

## **Section 6: EFFECTS FOUND NOT TO BE SIGNIFICANT**

EARVIN “MAGIC” JOHNSON RECREATION AREA MASTER PLAN  
DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT

EFFECTS NOT FOUND TO BE SIGNIFICANT

---

**6.0 EFFECTS NOT FOUND TO BE SIGNIFICANT**

In the course of this evaluation, certain impacts of the proposed Project were found to be less than significant due to the inability of a Project of this scope to create such impacts, or the absence of Project characteristics producing effects of this nature. The following section provides a brief description of effects found not to be significant or less than significant based on the analysis conducted through the Draft EIR preparation process. Several issues indicated as “No Impact” or “Less than Significant Impact” are nonetheless addressed in the Draft EIR as a matter of clarification or convenience for the reader.

**6.1 AGRICULTURAL RESOURCES**

- a) *Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?*  
**Determination: No Impact.**

The proposed Project site is not designated as Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance. Therefore, no impact would occur.

- b) *Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?*  
**Determination: No Impact.**

The proposed Project site is not zoned for agricultural use, and no Williamson Act contracts are associated with the proposed Project site. Therefore, no impact would occur.

- c) *Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code section 51104(g))?* **Determination: No Impact.**

The proposed Project site is not zoned as forest land, timberland, or timberland production. Therefore, no impact would occur.

- d) *Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?* **Determination: No Impact.**

Refer to Response 6.1 (c), above. No impact would occur.

- e) *Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use?* **Determination: No Impact.**

Refer to Response 4.2 (a), above. No impact would occur.

## 6.2 MINERAL RESOURCES

- a) *Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?* **Determination: No Impact.**

The proposed Project site does not contain known mineral resources and is not designated as aggregate in the *County of Los Angeles General Plan (1980) Land Use Map*. Therefore, no impacts would occur.

- b) *Result in the loss of availability of a locally important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?* **Determination: No Impact.**

Refer to Response 6.2 (a), above. No impacts are anticipated.

### 6.3 POPULATION AND HOUSING

- a) *Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?* **Determination: No Impact.**

The proposed Project would not result in the development of new homes or businesses, and would not extend infrastructure that would attract large populations of people. Therefore, no impact would occur.

- b) *Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?* **Determination: No Impact.**

No homes are located within the proposed Project footprint. Therefore, no housing would be displaced. Housing was previously located on the former Ujima Village Apartment Complex (UVA) site. However, those residences were previously demolished prior to the proposed Project being developed. No impacts would occur.

- c) *Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?* **Determination: No Impact.**

Refer to Response 4.13 (b), above. No impacts would occur in this regard.

*This page intentionally left blank.*