STATEMENT OF PROCEEDINGS FOR THE REGULAR MEETING

OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY CLAIMS BOARD

HELD IN ROOM 648 OF THE KENNETH HAHN HALL OF ADMINISTRATION, 500 WEST TEMPLE STREET, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012

ON

MONDAY, FEBRUARY 6, 2012, AT 9:30 AM

Present: Chair John Naimo, Laurie Milhiser, and Patrick Wu

- 1. Call to Order.
- 2. Opportunity for members of the public to address the Claims Board on items of interest within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Claims Board.

No members of the public addressed the Claims Board.

- 3. Closed Session Conference with Legal Counsel Existing Litigation (Subdivision (a) of Government Code Section 54956.9).
 - a. <u>Michael Leon Grubbs v. County of Los Angeles, et al.</u>
 United States District Court Case No. CV 11-02396 PA

This lawsuit concerns allegations of the use of excessive force by Sheriff's Deputies.

Action Taken:

The Claims Board approved settlement of this matter in the amount of \$75,000.

Vote: Ayes: 3 - John Naimo, Laurie Milhiser, and Patrick Wu

See Supporting Documents

b. Family Services of Long Beach and Aspiranet

This potential breach of contract claim arises from a contract for alcohol and drug services.

Action Taken:

The Claims Board approved settlement of this matter in the amount of \$70,000.

Vote: Aves: 3 - John Naimo, Laurie Milhiser, and Patrick Wu

See Supporting Documents

c. <u>Jane Doe, et al. v. County of Los Angeles, et al.</u> Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. PC 046 747

This lawsuit concerns the alleged sexual assault of a female minor by a male foster child while he was under the supervision of the Department of Children and Family Services.

Action Taken:

The Claims Board recommended to the Board of Supervisors the settlement of this matter in the amount of \$500,000.

Vote: Ayes: 3 - John Naimo, Laurie Milhiser, and Patrick Wu

See Supporting Documents

d. <u>Ebony Green v. County of Los Angeles, et al.</u> Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC 445 163

This lawsuit concerns allegations of race discrimination, harassment and retaliation by an employee of the District Attorney's Office.

Action Taken:

The Claims Board approved settlement of this matter in the amount of \$60,000.

Vote: Ayes: 3 - John Naimo, Laurie Milhiser, and Patrick Wu

e. Robert Vasquez, et al. v. County of Los Angeles Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. VC 056 933

This medical negligence lawsuit arises from injuries sustained by a patient while hospitalized at Rancho Los Amigos National Rehabilitation Center.

Action Taken:

The Claims Board recommended to the Board of Supervisors the settlement of this matter in the amount of \$2,300,000, plus the assumption of a Medi-Cal lien in the amount of \$194,504.15 and waiver of the County hospital bill in the amount of \$634,026.

Vote: Ayes: 3 - John Naimo, Laurie Milhiser, and Patrick Wu

See Supporting Documents

HOA.869093.1

f. Andriy Sviridov, et al. v. County of Los Angeles Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC 441 126

This lawsuit alleges negligence by Fire Department paramedics and Sheriff's Department deputies who attended to a minor's injuries after the minor's involvement in a gang related altercation.

Action Taken:

The Claims Board recommended to the Board of Supervisors the settlement of this matter in the amount of \$250,000.

Vote: Ayes: 3 - John Naimo, Laurie Milhiser, and Patrick Wu

See Supporting Documents

4. Report of actions taken in Closed Session.

The Claims Board reconvened in open session and reported the actions taken in closed session as indicated under Agenda Item No. 3 above.

5. Approval of the minutes of the January 9, 2012, special meeting of the Claims Board.

Action Taken:

The Claims Board approved the minutes.

Vote: Ayes: 3 - John Naimo, Laurie Milhiser, and Patrick Wu

See Supporting Document

6. Items not on the posted agenda, to be referred to staff or placed on the agenda for action at a further meeting of the Board, or matters requiring immediate action because of emergency situation or where the need to take immediate action came to the attention of the Board subsequent to the posting of the agenda.

No such matters were discussed.

7. Adjournment.

HOA.869093.1 3

INFORMATION ON PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION

CASE NAME Michael Leon Grubbs v.

COLA, et al.

CASE NUMBER CV11-02396 PA (VBKx)

COURT United States District Court

DATE FILED Complaint filed March 22, 2011

Claim: N/A

COUNTY DEPARTMENT Sheriff's Department

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AMOUNT \$ 75,000

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF Justin H. Sanders

The Sanders Law Firm, LLP

COUNTY COUNSEL ATTORNEY Jennifer A.D. Lehman

NATURE OF CASE

Plaintiff Michael Leon Grubbs

alleges that Sheriff's Deputies arrested him and subjected him to excessive force without probable

cause.

The Deputies contend that probable cause existed for both the arrest and the force used in response to Plaintiff's actions.

However, due to the risks and uncertainties of litigation, a full and final settlement of the case in the

amount of \$75,000 is

recommended.

PAID	ATT	ORNEY	FEES.	, TO	DATE
------	------------	-------	-------	------	------

\$ 24,225.19

PAID COSTS, TO DATE

\$ 1,862.44

Case Name: Michael Leon Grubbs v. County of Los Angeles, et al.

Summary Corrective Action Plan



The intent of this form is to assist departments in writing a corrective action plan summary for attachment to the settlement documents developed for the Board of Supervisors and/or the County of Los Angeles Claims Board. The summary should be a specific overview of the claims/lawsuits' identified root causes and corrective actions (status, time frame, and responsible party). This summary does not replace the Corrective Action Plan form. If there is a question related to confidentiality, please consult County Counsel.

Date of incident/event:	Monday, August 16, 2010; approximately 8:00 a.m.
Briefly provide a description of the incident/event:	Michael Leon Grubbs v. County of Los Angeles, et al. Summary Corrective Action Plan No. 2011-029 On Monday, August 16, 2010, at approximately 8:00 a.m., an off-duty Los Angeles County deputy sheriff observed the plaintiff steal property from the front porch of his residence. The deputy sheriff alerted his roommate (also a Los Angeles County deputy sheriff). The plaintiff then fled the location on foot. The deputies located the plaintiff approximately, three blocks away. While in the process of detaining him, the two deputies and the plaintiff became involved in a physical altercation. The plaintiff was transported to a local medical facility and ultimately cleared for booking. The deputies arrested the plaintiff pursuant to California Penal Code section 834, Arrest Defined; California Penal Code section 841, Formalities in Making Arrest. Representatives from the Chino Police Department took the plaintiff into custody. He was booked at the West Valley Detention Center (San Bernardinio County) for a violation of California Penal Code section 666,
. •	Petty Theft with Prior Theft Conviction, and California Penal Code section 148(a)(1), Resisting, Delaying, or Obstructing Officer or Emergency Medical technician.

1. Briefly describe the root cause(s) of the claim/lawsuit:

The plaintiff claims he was the subject of excessive force and, as a result, sustained permanent physical injuries and emotional distress.

This section intentionally left blank.

	y describe recommended corrective actions: de each corrective action, due date, responsible party, and any disciplinary actions if appropriate)
	angeles County Sheriff's Department had relevant policies and procedures/protocols in effect of this incident.
	ngeles County Sheriff's Department's training curriculum addresses the circumstances which n this incident.
The Los a employee recommer	Angeles County Sheriff's Department conducted a thorough review of this incident. No misconduct was suspected or alleged, and no corrective action measures were ided.
Although revision to June 30, 2	not a result of this incident, the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department is evaluating a existing policy. If the revision is ultimately approved and adopted, it will be implemented by 012.
3. State	if the corrective actions are applicable to only your department or other County departments: ure, please contact the Chief Executive Office Risk Management Branch for assistance).
- P	otentially has Countywide implications.
	otentially has an implication to other departments (i.e., all human services, all safety epartments, or one or more other departments).
	oes not appear to have Countywide or other department(s) implications.

This section intentionally left blank.

Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department

Name: (Risk Management Coordinator)	
Shaun J. Mathers, Captain Risk Management Bureau	
Signature:	Date:
6-0-e	1/12/17
Name: (Department Head)	
Roberta A. Abner, Chief Leadership and Training Division	·
Signature: / Calusta a Cobrer	Date: //13/12_

Chief Executive Office Risk Management Branch

Destiny Hoffman	
Signature:	Date:
Desting Hoffman	1/30/2012

INFORMATION ON PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION

CASE NAME

Family Services of Long

Beach/Aspiranet

CASE NUMBER

N/A - Pre-litigation Demand

COURT

N/A

DATE FILED

6-30-11

COUNTY DEPARTMENT

Public Health

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AMOUNT

70,000

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

Linda Kollar

Hooper, Lundy & Bookman

COUNTY COUNSEL ATTORNEY

Richard K. Mason

NATURE OF CASE

Breach of Contract Equitable

Estoppel

PAID ATTORNEY FEES, TO DATE

\$ Approximately \$5,000

PAID COSTS, TO DATE

\$ None

Summary Corrective Action Plan

Date of incident/event:	Family Services of Long Beach
Briefly provide a description of the incident/event:	Contract dispute. Family Services of Long Beach (FSLB) sought reimbursement for providing contract services to clients referred to FSLB by an agency on behalf of the department, after the contract expired.

A. ROOT CAUSE OF THE LAWSUIT

The department failed to notify referral agencies that the contract had expired and no further referrals to FSLB were authorized.

B. RECOMMENDED CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

Develop and implement a policy, with procedures for ensuring referrals are stopped when contracts are suspended, terminated, or not renewed.

The policy will address:

- a) Notification of Community Assessment & Service Centers about suspended, terminated, or non-renewal of contracts.
- b) Distribution of updated referral lists on a quarterly basis and when changes occur.
- c) Transfers of clients of terminated contractors to authorized treatment providers.
- C. APPLICABILITY TO OTHER DEPARTMENTS Does not appear to have County-wide or other department implications.

D. APPROVALS Signature: JONATHAN E. FIELDING, M.D., M.P.H. Department of Public Health Director and County of Los Angeles Health Officer	Date: January 9, 2012
JIM DAY Department of Public Health Risk Manager	Date: January 9, 2012

INFORMATION ON PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION

CASE NAME

Jane Doe, et al. v. County of Los

Angeles, et al.

CASE NUMBER

PC046747

COURT

Los Angeles Superior Court

DATE FILED

10/28/2009

COUNTY DEPARTMENT

Department of Children and

Family Services

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AMOUNT

\$ 500,000

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

William M. Berman

Berman & Riedel, LLP

COUNTY COUNSEL ATTORNEY

Lauren M. Black

Principal Deputy County Counsel

Social Services Division

Patricia Ellyatt

Maranga Morgenstern

NATURE OF CASE

This case involves the alleged sexual assault of nine-year-old girl

by a male 17-year-old foster child.

PAID ATTORNEY FEES, TO DATE

\$ 223,072

PAID COSTS, TO DATE

\$ 64,728

Case Name: Jane Doe v Co	ΩU	Δ
--------------------------	------------	---

Summary Corrective Action Plan



The intent of this form is to assist departments in writing a corrective action plan summary for attachment to the settlement documents developed for the Board of Supervisors and/or the County of Los Angeles Claims Board. The summary should be a specific overview of the claims/lawsuits' identified root causes and corrective actions (status, time frame, and responsible party). This summary does not replace the Corrective Action Plan form. If there is a question related to confidentiality, please consult County Counsel.

Date of incident/event:	May 30, 2009
Briefly provide a description of the incident/event:	A seventeen-year old dependent minor, sexually assaulted the nine-year old biological daughter of a certified foster parent.

Briefly describe the <u>root cause(s)</u> of the claim/lawsuit:

The certified foster parents allowed children to have unsupervised, unmonitored play behind closed doors resulting in the assault of a nine-year old girl by a seventeen-year old boy.

 Briefly describe recommended corrective actions: (Include each corrective action, due date, responsible party, and any disciplinary actions if appropriate)

All case-related work was conducted in accordance to departmental policy and standards.

•
department or other County departments: for assistance)
e., all human services, all safety
nent implications.
Date:
1.12.12
Date:
1-18-2012
• .
Date: 1-6-12

INFORMATION ON PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION

CASE NAME Robert Vasquez, et al. v. County of

Los Angeles

CASE NUMBER VC 056933

COURT Los Angeles Superior Court

South East District

DATE FILED August 9, 2010

COUNTY DEPARTMENT Department of Health Services

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AMOUNT \$2,300,000, plus the assumption

of the Medi-Cal lien in the amount of \$194,504.15 and waiver of the hospital bill in the amount of

\$634,026.

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF Michael F. Moran, Esq.

Law Offices of Michael Moran

Steven Ibarra, Esq.

Law Offices of Steven Ibarra

COUNTY COUNSEL ATTORNEY Narbeh Bagdasarian

Senior Deputy County Counsel

NATURE OF CASE

Robert Vasquez was admitted to

Rancho Los Amigos National Rehabilitation Center ("Rancho") for rehabilitation. The patient was then allowed to leave the facility to spend the holidays with his family.

Prior to the temporary discharge from Rancho, Mr. Vasquez fell while at the restroom. Rancho staff evaluated the patient, and since the patient did not show any signs or symptoms of brain trauma

or neurological problem, the staff proceeded with the discharge. While at home, the patient suffered bleeding in his brain.

Mr. Vasquez brought a lawsuit against the County of Los Angeles alleging that the Rancho staff failed to conduct a thorough examination of the patient prior to his discharge. The plaintiff further alleges that if a thorough neurological examination or an imaging study had been performed, the Rancho staff would have detected the early signs of the bleeding in the patient's brain.

PAID ATTORNEY FEES, TO DATE

\$78,572

PAID COSTS, TO DATE

\$36,969.29

Summary Corrective Action Plan



The intent of this form is to assist departments in writing a corrective action plan summary for attachment to the settlement documents developed for the Board of Supervisors and/or the County of Los Angeles Clalms Board. The summary should be a specific overview of the claims/lawsuits' identified root causes and corrective actions (status, time frame, and responsible party). This summary does not replace the Corrective Action Plan form. If there is a question related to confidentiality, please consult County Counsel.

Date of incident/event;	November 27, 2009
Briefly provide a description of the incident/event:	Robert Vasquez was admitted to Rancho Los Amigos National Rehabilitation Center ("Rancho") for rehabilitation. The patient was then allowed to leave the facility to spend the holidays with his family.
·	Prior to the temporary discharge, Mr. Vasquez fell while at the bathroom. Rancho staff evaluated the patient, and since the patient did not show any signs or symptoms of brain trauma or neurological problem, the staff proceeded with the discharge. While at home, the patient suffered bleeding in his brain.

 Briefly describe the <u>root cause(s)</u> of the claim/law
--

Bleeding in the brain after a fall resulting in permanent impairment.

- 2. Briefly describe recommended corrective actions:
 (Include each corrective action, due date, responsible party, and any disciplinary actions if appropriate)
 - All appropriate personnel corrective actions have been taken
 - A system wide and outside-DHS survey was conducted to determine the practices in place for assessing patients for risk of falls. All DHS acute care facilities have a process in place to assess patients for risk of falls. DHS is standardizing the tool used for this assessment.
 - A system wide survey was conducted to determine the preventative measures in place to reduce the risk of falls. It was determined that each DHS acute care facility utilizes preventative measures to reduce the risk of falls. DHS is standardizing these measures.
 - A survey of the DHS hospitals was conducted to determine if there are expected actions to be taken after a patient falls. Each of the DHS hospitals reported that they have a protocol in place after a patient falls. DHS is standardizing these protocols including the ordering of a CT scan or MRI in those patients who fall and are on anti-coagulants.
 - A survey of the DHS hospitals was conducted to determine physician notification and
 evaluation expectations after a patient falls. It was determined that all of the DHS hospitals
 expect the physician to be notified when a patient falls, and for the physician to conduct an
 evaluation of the patient.

3. State if the corrective actions are applicable to only your departme (If unsure, please contact the Chief Executive Office Risk Management for assistant		
Potentially has County-wide implications.		
Potentially has an implication to other departments (i.e., all human services, all safety departments, or one or more other departments).		
X Does not appear to have County-wide or other department imp	olications. '	
Name: (Risk Management Coordinator)		
kim Mckenzie		
Signature: Kum McKenzie Cet Kum McKenzie	Date: 6 12	
Name: (Department Head) CK (C)		
Signature: LOFEGORY POLIC	Date: 12	
·		
Chief Executive Office Risk Management		
Name: USO COSTANTINO		
Signature:	Date: /- 4-20/2	

INFORMATION ON PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION

CASE NAME Andriy Sviridov, a minor, by and

through his Guardian Ad Litem, Andrey Sviridov v. County of

Los Angeles, et al.

CASE NUMBER BC 441126

COURT Los Angeles Superior Court -

Central District

DATE FILED July 7, 2010

COUNTY DEPARTMENT Los Angeles County Fire

Department and Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AMOUNT \$250,000

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF P. Christopher Ardalan, Esq.

Ardalan & Associates, PLC

Boris Treyson, Esq. Law Offices of Treyzon &

Associates

COUNTY COUNSEL ATTORNEY Narbeh Bagdasarian

Senior Deputy County Counsel

NATURE OF CASE On November 17, 2009, Andriy

Sviridov, was involved in some physical altercation. The

Los Angeles County Fire Department paramedics were called to the scene. After

examining Andriy and believing him to be in a stable condition, the

paramedics left the scene.

On November 18, 2009, Andriy was found to have an altered level of consciuosness. He was taken to the hospital and was diagnosed with bleeding in his brain.

Andriy, by and through his Guardian Ad Litem, filed a lawsuit against the County of Los Angeles contending that the County paramedics were negligent in their examination and evaluation of Andriy and that the paramedics should have taken Andriy to a hospital.

PAID ATTORNEY FEES, TO DATE

\$58,504

PAID COSTS, TO DATE

\$21,735.89

Summary Corrective Action Plan



The intent of this form is to assist departments in writing a corrective action plan summary for attachment to the settlement documents developed for the Board of Supervisors and/or the County of Los Angeles Claims Board. The summary should be a specific overview of the claims/lawsuits' identified root causes and corrective actions (status, time frame, and responsible party). This summary does not replace the Corrective Action Plan form. If there is a question related to confidentiality, please consult County Counsel.

Date of incident/event:	November 17, 2009	
Briefly provide a description of the incident/event:	Plaintiff Sviridov is a 17 y/o male who was involved in an altercation with other young adults in the parking lot of a McDonald's in West Hollywood. It was alleged that he attempt to break up a fight between two other individuals.	
	A 911 call was placed to L.A. County Fire by an unknown caller who reported that Sviridov had been assaulted. The Engine 8 and Squad 8 responded along with a contracted, private ambulance. Paramedics found Sviridov awake and alert and stating nothing had happened and he did not wish to be examined or transported. Paramedics examined him and documented that he had no complaints, no chest pain, shortness of breath, altered mental status, nausea, or vomiting. He had good motor strength and was alert and oriented. He had no visible trauma and no trauma to his head. He was not weak or dizzy and denied neck or back pain. All of his vital signs were within normal limits. He continued to state that he did not wish to be transported and due to the lack positive findings was released to his 20 y/o brother at the scene.	
	After returning to the station, one member of the crew apparently stated he had noticed that Sviridov was incontinent of urine. The paramedic completing the EMS form did not note that condition at the scene but added to the report.	
•	The following morning (11/18/09) a 911 call was placed to L.A. City Fire from Sviridov's home. Paramedics arriving on scene found him in bed with an altered level of consciousness, he had vomited, and his pupils were fixed and dilated. The paramedics noted a small hematoma to his left occipital lobe. He was transported to Cedars-Sinai Medical Center where he was found to be non-responsive to everything except painful stimuli. Sviridov remained in Cedars-Sinai in a persistent vegetative state until January 28, 2010 when he was transferred to a long term care facility, where he remains as of this date.	

1.	Briefly describe the root cause(s) of the claim/lawsuit:
	 The paramedic documenting the examination of the patient documented a symptom that he did not personally observe. There was no investigation of the cause or impact of that symptom.
2.	Briefly describe recommended corrective actions: (Include each corrective action, due date, responsible party, and any disciplinary actions if appropriate)
	Conduct training for the paramedics and the engine crew on medical documentation, assessment, and interaction with law enforcement. (Dr. Frank Pratt, Department Medical Director/December 2009)

State if the corrective actions are applicable to only your department or other County departments: (If unsure, please contact the Chief Executive Office Risk Management for assistance)			
Potentially has County-wide implications.			
Potentially has an implication to other departments (i.e., all human services, all safety departments, or one or more other departments).			
X Does not appear to have County-wide or other department imp	lications.		
Name: (Risk Management Coordinator) Michael Kranther			
Signature: Michael Lee To	Date: 9/2-2/11		
Name: (Department Head) Daryl L. Osby, Fire Chief			
Signature:	Date: 09/78/1/		
Chief Executive Office Risk Management			
Name: CO COSTANTINO			
Signature:	Date: 10/4/2011		

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES CLAIMS BOARD

MINUTES OF SPECIAL MEETING

January 9, 2012

1. Call to Order.

This meeting of the County of Los Angeles Claims Board was called to order at 10:44 a.m. The meeting was held in the Executive Conference Room, 648 Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration, Los Angeles, California.

Claims Board Members present at the meeting were: John Naimo, Laurie Milhiser, and Patrick Wu.

Other persons in attendance at the meeting were: Office of the County Counsel: Stacey Lee, Vicki Kozikoujekian, Donna Koch and Joyce Aiello; Fire Department: Chief Daryl L. Osby, and Michael Kranther; Probation Department: Tracy Jordan Johnson; Public Defender: Ruben J. Marquez; Department of Human Resources: Cecile Ochoa and Leticia Ellison-Cooper; Outside Counsel: Mildred K. O'Linn and Nohemi G. Ferguson.

2. Opportunity for members of the public to address the Claims Board on items of interest within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Claims Board.

No members of the public addressed the Claims Board.

3. Closed Session – Conference with Legal Counsel – Existing Litigation (Subdivision (a) of Government Code Section 54956.9).

At 10:44 a.m., the Chairperson adjourned the meeting into Closed Session to discuss the items listed as 4(a) through 4(d) below.

4. Report of actions taken in Closed Session.

At 12:06 p.m., the Claims Board reconvened in open session and reported the actions taken in Closed Session as follows:

a. <u>Matthew Harris v. County of Los Angeles, et al.</u>
Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC 432 939

This lawsuit seeks compensation for injuries received by an inmate while in the custody of the Sheriff's Department.

Action Taken:

The Claims Board approved settlement of this matter in the amount of \$95,000.

Vote: Ayes: 3 - John Naimo, Laurie Milhiser, and Patrick Wu

b. <u>Simeon Juan Espinosa v. County of Los Angeles, et al.</u> Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC 447 309

This lawsuit arises from injuries sustained in a vehicle versus pedestrian accident involving an employee of the Department of Probation

Action Taken:

The Claims Board approved settlement of this matter in the amount of \$100,000.

Vote: Ayes: 3 - John Naimo, Laurie Milhiser, and Patrick Wu

c. <u>Gary Aalberts v. County of Los Angeles</u> Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC 412 940

This lawsuit concerns allegations that an employee of the Fire Department was subjected to reverse racial discrimination and retaliation.

Action Taken:

The Claims Board recommended to the Board of Supervisors the settlement of this matter in the amount of \$350,000.

Vote: Ayes: 3 - John Naimo, Laurie Milhiser, and Patrick Wu

d. <u>Katherine Olszewski v. County of Los Angeles, et al.</u> Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC 461 036

This lawsuit concerns allegations that an employee of the Public Defender's Office was subjected to sexual harassment.

Action Taken:

The Claims Board approved settlement of this matter in the amount of \$\$81,000.

Vote: Ayes: 3 - John Naimo, Laurie Milhiser, and Patrick Wu

5. Approval of the minutes of the December 19, 2011, regular meeting of the Claims Board.

Action Taken:

The Claims Board approved the minutes.

Vote: Ayes: 3 - John Naimo, Laurie Milhiser, and Patrick Wu

6. Items not on the posted agenda, to be referred to staff or placed on the agenda for action at a further meeting of the Board, or matters requiring immediate action because of emergency situation or where the need to take immediate action came to the attention of the Board subsequent to the posting of the agenda.

No such matters were discussed.

Adjournment. 7.

The meeting was adjourned at 12:11 p.m.

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES CLAIMS BOARD

By Carol J. Slosson