STATEMENT OF PROCEEDINGS FOR THE REGULAR MEETING

OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY CLAIMS BOARD

HELD IN ROOM 648 OF THE KENNETH HAHN HALL OF ADMINISTRATION, 500 WEST TEMPLE STREET, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012

ON

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 7, 2011, AT 9:30 AM

Present: Chair John Naimo, Laurie Milhiser, and John Krattli

- 1. Call to Order.
- 2. Opportunity for members of the public to address the Claims Board on items of interest within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Claims Board.

No members of the public addressed the Claims Board.

- 3. Closed Session Conference with Legal Counsel Existing Litigation (Subdivision (a) of Government Code section 54956.9).
 - a. <u>Christopher Lundy v. County of Los Angeles, Jesus Urrutia</u> Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. TC 024 571

This lawsuit arises from personal injuries received when a Sheriff's patrol car struck a pedestrian in a cross-walk.

Action Taken:

The Claims Board approved settlement of this matter in the amount of \$32,500.

Vote: Ayes: 3 - John Naimo, Laurie Milhiser, and John Krattli

See Supporting Documents

b. <u>Jessie Marin, et al. v. County of Los Angeles</u> Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. TC 024 255

This medical negligence lawsuit by a minor and his parents arises from treatment received at the Harbor/UCLA Medical Center.

Action Taken:

The Claims Board recommended to the Board of Supervisors the settlement of this matter in the amount of \$2,800,000 plus assumption of a Medi-Cal lien in the amount of \$16,208.87 and waiver of the HUMC hospital bill in the amount of \$19,455.

Vote: Ayes: 3 - John Naimo, Laurie Milhiser, and John Krattli

See Supporting Documents

4. Report of actions taken in Closed Session.

The Claims Board reconvened in open session and reported the actions taken in closed session as indicated under Agenda Item No. 3 above.

5. Review of Board Policy No. 8.020 – Procedures for Including Corrective Follow-up Reports as Part of the Claims Settlements Presented to the Board.

Action Taken:

The Claims Board voted to recommend changes to the policy.

Vote: Ayes: 3 - John Naimo, Laurie Milhiser, and John Krattli

See Supporting Document

6. Approval of the minutes of the October 17, 2011, regular meeting of the Claims Board.

Action Taken:

The Claims Board approved the minutes.

Vote: Ayes: 3 - John Naimo, Laurie Milhiser, and John Krattli

See Supporting Document

HOA.837982.1

7. Items not on the posted agenda, to be referred to staff or placed on the agenda for action at a further meeting of the Board, or matters requiring immediate action because of emergency situation or where the need to take immediate action came to the attention of the Board subsequent to the posting of the agenda.

No such matters were discussed.

8. Adjournment.

HOA.837982.1 3

CASE SUMMARY

INFORMATION ON PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION

CASE NAME

Christopoher Lundy v. County of

Los Angeles,

CASE NUMBER

TC 024571

COURT

Los Angeles Superior Court

South Central District

DATE FILED

August 4, 2010

COUNTY DEPARTMENT

Sheriff's Department

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AMOUNT

\$32,500.00

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

Mindy Bish

Sedin, Begakis & Bish

(661) 255-8300

COUNTY COUNSEL ATTORNEY

Bruce Cochran

Principal Deputy County Counsel

213-974-1837

NATURE OF CASE

On November 4, 2009, Plaintiff was crossing the street at the intersection located at Century Boulevard and Vermont Avenue in a clearly marked crosswalk, with the signal in his favor when he was struck by a LASD patrol car driven by Deputy Jesus Urrutia.

Plaintiff rolled across the top of the patrol car and was thrown to the ground. He was transported by ambulance to the emergency

room.

Plaintiff incurred \$17,091.65 in verifiable medical expenses and \$3,000.00 in loss of verifiable earnings.

PAID ATTORNEY FEES, TO DATE

\$23,793.96

PAID COSTS, TO DATE

\$1,279.45

Case Name: Christopher Lundy v. County of Los Angeles, et al.

Summary Corrective Action Plan



The intent of this form is to assist departments in writing a corrective action plan summary for attachment to the settlement documents developed for the Board of Supervisors and/or the County of Los Angeles Claims Board. The summary should be a specific overview of the claims/lawsults' identified root causes and corrective actions (status, time frame, and responsible party). This summary does not replace the Corrective Action Plan form. If there is a question related to confidentiality, please consult County Counsel.

Date of incident/event:	Wednesday, November 4, 2009; approximately 8:50 p.m.
Briefly provide a description of the incident/event:	Christopher Lundy vs. County of Los Angeles, et al. Summary Corrective Action Plan No. 2011-023
	On Wednesday, November 4, 2009, at approximately 8:50 p.m., a Los Angeles County deputy sheriff was driving a standard County of Los Angeles-owned black and white patrol vehicle north on Vermont Avenue, south of Century Boulevard, Los Angeles, when the vehicle he was driving collided with a pedestrian. He entered the intersection against a red traffic signal and struck the plaintiff, a pedestrian traveling eastbound on Century Boulevard, west of the vehicle, in the fully-marked south crosswalk.

1. Briefly describe the root cause(s) of the claim/lawsuit:

The plaintiff claims damages for injuries he sustained in the traffic collision.

This traffic collision was thoroughly investigated by representatives from the California Highway Patrol and the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department. Their investigations concluded that the deputy sheriff caused the traffic collision after violating California Vehicle Code section 21453(a), Circular Red or Red Arrow.

 Briefly describe recommended corrective actions: (Include each corrective action, due date, responsible party, and any disciplinary actions if appropriate)

The Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department had relevant policies and procedures/protocols in effect at the time of this incident.

The Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department's training curriculum addresses the circumstances which occurred in this incident.

The Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department's administrative review revealed employee misconduct. Appropriate administrative action was taken.

3. State if the corrective actions are applicable to only your departm (If unsure, please contact the Chief Executive Office Risk Management	nent or other County departments: Branch for assistance).
Potentially has Countywide Implications.	
Potentially has an implication to other departments (i.e., all h departments, or one or more other departments).	uman services, all safety
Does not appear to have Countywide or other department(s)	implications.
Name: (Risk Management Coordinator)	
Shaufi J. Mathers, Captain Risk Management Bureau	
Signature:	Date:
6-9 D	10/6/11
Name: (Department Head)	
Roberta A. Abner, Chief Leadership and Training Division	·
Şignature:	Date:
Tallesh lalker	10/7/11
Chief Executive Office Risk Management Branch	
Name:	
LEO COSTANTINO	
Signature:	Date:
	10-18-2011
ERISK Mgt. Inspector General/CAP-SCAP-RECAP/Summary Corrective Action Plan Form 2-01-10 (I	

CASE SUMMARY

INFORMATION ON PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION

CASE NAME

Jessie Marin, et al. v. County of

Los Angeles

CASE NUMBER

TC 024255

COURT

Los Angeles Superior Court

South Central District

DATE FILED

May 5, 2010

COUNTY DEPARTMENT

Department of Health Services

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AMOUNT

\$2,800,000 plus the assumption of the Medi-Cal lien in the amount of \$16,208.87 and waiver of the hospital bill in the amount of

\$19,455.

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

Shirley K. Watkins

Law Offices of Michels & Watkins

COUNTY COUNSEL ATTORNEY

Narbeh Bagdasarian

Senior Deputy County Counsel

NATURE OF CASE

On March 21, 2008, Guadalupe Fernandez, an 18-year-old pregnant female, presented to Harbor/UCLA Medical Center ("HUMC") for induction of labor.

The staff at HUMC observed the mother and her fetus. On March 23, 2008, at about 1:55 a.m., the patient gave birth to

her son, Jessie Marin.

Jessie Marin demonstrated evidence of neurological injuries.

Jessie Marin, through his Guardian Ad Litem, filed an action against the County of Los Angeles contending that the HUMC staff failed to perform an early cesarean section, and that such failure contributed to his injuries.

PAID ATTORNEY FEES, TO DATE

\$60,652

PAID COSTS, TO DATE

\$51,868.96

Summary Corrective Action Plan



The intent of this form is to assist departments in writing a corrective action plan summary for attachment to the settlement documents developed for the Board of Supervisors and/or the County of Los Angeles Claims Board. The summary should be a specific overview of the claims/lawsuits' identified root causes and corrective actions (status, time frame, and responsible party). This summary does not replace the Corrective Action Plan form. If there is a question related to <u>confidentiality</u>, please consult County Counsel.

Date of incident/event:	3/23/2008
Briefly-provide a description of the incident/event:	On March 21, 2008, Guadalupe Fernandez, an 18 year old pregnant female, presented to Harbor UCLA Medical Center ("HUMC") for induction of labor. The staff at HUMC observed the mother and her fetus. At about 1:55 AM on March 23, 2008, the patient gave birth to her son, Jesse Marin. Jesse demonstrated evidence of neurological injuries. Jesse Marin, through his Guardian-ad-Litem, filed an action against the County of Los Angeles contending that the HUMC staff failed to perform an early Cesarean Section, and that such failure contributed to his injuries

- Briefly describe the root cause(s) of the claim/lawsuit:
 - Fetal distress during labor resulting in neurological impairment
- 2. Briefly describe recommended corrective actions:

 (Include each corrective action, due date, responsible party, and any disciplinary actions if appropriate)
 - · All appropriate personnel corrective actions have been taken.
 - A system wide survey was conducted to determine the process for ensuring obstetric nursing competency for fetal heart rate monitoring. It was determined that there are educational programs and exams for nurses at each facility.
 - A system wide survey was conducted to determine the process of documenation in the perinatal setting. It was determined that all DHS hospitals who provide perinatal services have documentation standards in place.
 - Several system wide surveys (within and external to DHS) were conducted to determine the training, competency, credentialing, and priviliging requirements for obstetric physicians related to fetal heart rate monitoring.
 - Educational conferences were held regarding fetal heart rate monitoring for physicians and nurses. The training materials for fetal heart rate monitoring were also updated.
 - All obstetric attending and resident staff completed the DHS Fetal Heart Monitoring training and examination which is now tied to physician credentialing.
 - A focused review of labor and delivery services at Harbor UCLA Medical Center and Olive View UCLA Medical Center demonstrated that complication rates are at or below national benchmarks.

3. Sta	ate if the corrective actions are applicable to only your department of the contact the Chief Executive Office Risk Management for assist	nent or other County departments:
	Potentially has County-wide implications.	
	Potentially has an implication to other departments (i.e., all h departments, or one or more other departments).	uman services, all safety
X	Does not appear to have County-wide or other department in	nplications.
Name: (Risk Management Coordinator)	
	Limberty McKenzie	
Signatu	ro: Vanckein	Date: 10/13/1,
M	Department Head) HCNOI H. Katz, M.D.	
Signatur	Mulue K	Date:
Chief Exe	ecutive Office Risk Management	
Name:	LEO COSTANTINO	
Signatur	e: AAA	Date: /0/6/2011
		;

Board Procedure 8.020 Sunset Review and Recommended Update

C	_		1	_		1	ı
4 :	റ	n	т	$^{\circ}$	n	т	۰
v	v	1	u	$\overline{}$	6 1	L	



Policy #:	TELO:	Effective Date:
8.020	Procedures For Including Corrective Follow-Up Reports As Part of The Claims Settlements Presented To The Board	12/10/96
	PURPOSE	j
Establishe: settlement	s a requirement for corrective action follow-up reports as parties forwarded to the Board of Supervisors.	art of the claim
	REFERENCE	
December	10, 1996 Board Order, <u>Synopsis 48</u>	The Manufacture of the Association of the Associati
	POLICY	
VI departm Iny claims	ent heads must include a proposed corrective action follow-up settlement letter forwarded to the Board.	report as part o
	RESPONSIBLE DEPARTMENT	
uditor-Cor	ntroller	ara da da
hief Exec	utive Office	
County Cou	insel	
erentral desire		
	DATE ISSUED/SUNSET DATE	

Current Title:

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES CLAIMS BOARD

MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING

October 17, 2011

1. Call to Order.

This meeting of the County of Los Angeles Claims Board was called to order at 9:30 a.m. The meeting was held in the Executive Conference Room, 648 Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration, Los Angeles, California.

Claims Board Members present at the meeting were: John Naimo, Laurie Milhiser, and John Krattli.

- Other persons in attendance at the meeting were: Office of the County Counsel: Stephanie Jo Farrell, Richard Mason, Tighe Hudson, Brandon Nichols, Eduardo Montelongo, Takin Khoram, Christopher Keosian, and Joyce Aiello; Department of Mental Health: Margo Morales and Shelli Amber Weeks; Department of Public Works: Michael Hays; Department of Public Social Services: Sharon Fisher; Department of Children and Family Services: Michelle Victor, Lynne Condon, Carmen Abbott, and David Diamond; Department of Health Services: Bonnie Bilitch and Edgar Soto; Department of Human Resources: Cecile Ochoa and Letitia Ellison-Cooper; Outside Counsel: Allen Thomas, Scott E. Boyer, Jeff Hausman, and Catie Mathers.
- 2. Opportunity for members of the public to address the Claims Board on items of interest within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Claims Board.

No members of the public addressed the Claims Board.

3. Closed Session – Conference with Legal Counsel – Existing Litigation (Subdivision (a) of Government Code Section 54956.9).

At 9:33 a.m., the Chairperson adjourned the meeting into Closed Session to discuss the items listed as 4(a) through 4(f) below.

4. Report of actions taken in Closed Session.

At 11:50 a.m., the Claims Board reconvened in open session and reported the actions taken in Closed Session as follows:

a. Claim of Erica Loberg

This matter concerns allegations that an employee of the Department of Mental Health was subjected to retaliation.

Action Taken:

The Claims Board approved settlement of this matter in the amount of \$25,000 and the payment of unpaid salary and benefits.

Vote: Ayes: 3 - John Naimo, Laurie Milhiser, and John Krattli

b. <u>Bertha Carino v. County of Los Angeles</u> Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC 430 763

This lawsuit arises from injuries received from a slip and fall accident which occurred at the LAC+USC Medical Center.

Action Taken:

The Claims Board approved settlement of this matter in the amount of \$32,500 and waiver of a \$1,500 lien.

Vote: Ayes: 3 - John Naimo, Laurie Milhiser, and John Krattli

c. <u>Victoria L. Wilder v. Herbert G. Hunt, et al.</u> Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. PC 046 224

This dangerous condition lawsuit arises from injuries sustained in an automobile accident which occurred at the intersection of Lake Manor Drive and Ventura Way in the unincorporated Chatsworth area.

Action Taken:

The Claims Board recommended to the Board of Supervisors the settlement of this matter in the amount of \$150,000.

Vote: Ayes: 3 - John Naimo, Laurie Milhiser, and John Krattli

d. <u>Suzanne Gracia v. County of Los Angeles, et al.</u> Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC 440 499

This lawsuit concerns allegations that a civilian employee of the Sheriff's Department was subjected to sexual harassment.

Action Taken:

The Claims Board approved settlement of this matter in the amount of \$95,000

Vote: Ayes: 3 - John Naimo, Laurie Milhiser, and John Krattli

e. <u>Richard Sule v. County of Los Angeles</u> Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC 435 683

This lawsuit by a former employee of the Department of Public Social Services arises from allegations of racial discrimination.

Action Taken:

The Claims Board approved settlement of this matter in the amount of \$35,000.

Vote: Ayes: 3 - John Naimo, Laurie Milhiser, and John Krattli

f. <u>Diane Weissburg v. County of Los Angeles</u> Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. SC 093 110

This lawsuit concerns allegations that a former employee of the Department of Children and Family services was subjected to discrimination and retaliation.

Action Taken:

The Claims Board recommended to the Board of Supervisors the settlement of this matter in the amount of \$275,000.

Vote: Ayes: 3 - John Naimo, Laurie Milhiser, and John Krattli

4. Report of actions taken in Closed Session.

The Claims Board reconvened in open session and reported the actions taken in closed session as indicated under Agenda Item No. 3 above.

5. Review of Board Policy No. 8.020 – Procedures for Including Corrective Follow-up Reports as Part of the Claims Settlements Presented to the Board.

Action Taken:

The Claims Board continued this item.

Vote: Ayes: 3 - John Naimo, Laurie Milhiser, and John Krattli

6. Approval of the minutes of the October 3, 2011, regular meeting of the Claims Board and the October 12, 2011, special meeting of the Claims Board.

Action Taken:

The Claims Board approved the minutes.

Vote: Ayes: 3 - John Naimo, Laurie Milhiser, and John Krattli

7. Items not on the posted agenda, to be referred to staff or placed on the agenda for action at a further meeting of the Board, or matters requiring immediate action because of emergency situation or where the need to take immediate action came to the attention of the Board subsequent to the posting of the agenda.

No such matters were discussed.

8. Adjournment.

The meeting was adjourned at 11:55 a.m.

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES CLAIMS BOARD

Βv

Renee F. Mendoza