### STATEMENT OF PROCEEDINGS

### FOR THE SPECIAL MEETING

### OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY CLAIMS BOARD

### HELD IN ROOM 648 OF THE KENNETH HAHN HALL OF ADMINISTRATION,

### **500 WEST TEMPLE STREET, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012**

ON

### THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 12, 2009, AT 8:00 AM

Present:

Maria M. Oms, Rocky Armfield and John Krattli

The following items were presented to the Claims Board for consideration and the Claims Board took actions as indicated in bold.

- 1. Call to Order.
- 2. Opportunity for members of the public to address the Claims Board on items of interest within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Claims Board.

No members of the public addressed the Claims Board.

- Closed Session Conference with Legal Counsel Existing Litigation (Subdivision (a) of Government Code Section 54956.9).
  - a. <u>Tyler Adkins, et al. v. County of Los Angeles</u>
    Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. PC 040 966

This medical negligence lawsuit by a patient and his mother arises from treatment received at Olive View Medical Center; settlement is recommended in the amount of \$395,000 and the assumption of the Medi-Cal lien in the amount of \$213,196.

### **Action Taken:**

This matter was continued to the next Claims Board meeting.

Absent:

None

Vote:

Unanimously carried

See Supporting Documents

b. <u>Maria Rodriguez v. Marita Moran, et al.</u>
Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. KC 049 690

This lawsuit arises from injuries sustained in a vehicle accident involving an employee of the Probation Department; settlement is recommend in the amount of \$72,500.

### **Action Taken:**

The Claims Board approved settlement in the amount of \$72,500.

Absent:

None

Vote:

Unanimously carried

See Supporting Documents

c. <u>Claim of Lite Extrusions Manufacturing, Inc.</u>

This claim seeks compensation for damage caused by a sewage back-up; settlement is recommended in the amount of \$47,437.30.

### **Action Taken:**

The Claims Board approved settlement in the amount of \$47,437.30.

Absent:

None

Vote:

Unanimously carried

See Supporting Documents

### d. Claim of Heath Geary

This claim seeks compensation for damage caused by a sewage back-up; settlement is recommended in the amount of \$63,283.51.

### **Action Taken:**

The Claims Board approved settlement in the amount of \$63,283.51.

Absent:

None

Vote:

Unanimously carried

See Supporting Documents

e. Raymond Johnson v. AT&T Corp., et al.
Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. MC 017 939

This lawsuit arises from injuries received from a trip and fall at the Vincent Grade-Acton Metrolink station; settlement is recommended in the amount of \$75,000.

### **Action Taken:**

The Claims Board approved settlement in the amount of \$75,000.

Absent:

None

Vote:

Unanimously carried

3

See Supporting Documents

HOA.586131,1

### INFORMATION ON PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION

**CASE NAME** 

Tyler Adkins and April Key v. County of

Los Angeles

CASE NUMBER

PC 040966

**COURT** 

Los Angeles Superior Court

North Valley District

DATE FILED

July 5, 2007

**COUNTY DEPARTMENT** 

Department of Health Services

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AMOUNT

\$395,000, plus assumption of the Medi-Cal lien in the amount of \$213,196.

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

Peter McNulty, Esq.

**COUNTY COUNSEL ATTORNEY** 

Narbeh Bagdasarian

NATURE OF CASE

This is a medical malpractice case brought by April Key and her son, Tyler Adkins, related to the care and treatment they received at Olive View

Medical Center ("OVMC").

On June 23, 2006, April Key, who was pregnant, presented to OVMC labor and delivery clinic with increased blood pressure. To manage her blood pressure, the medical staff prescribed magnesium sulfate. Due to staff's error,

the patient actually received Pitocin instead of magnesium sulfate.

The OVMC personnel immediately recognized the medication error and placed the patient on the correct medication. On June 27, 2006, April Key gave birth to Tyler Adkins.

Both Tyler Adkins and April Key brought a lawsuit against the County of Los Angeles, contending that OVMC failed to provide them with proper medical care.

Although the County asserts that the medication error did not cause any injuries to Tyler Adkins or April Key, the Department of Health Services agrees to the proposed settlement of this case in the amount of \$395,000 plus assumption of the Medi-Cal lien in the amount of \$213,196.

PAID ATTORNEY FEES, TO DATE

\$59,458

PAID COSTS, TO DATE

\$36,302.88

## **Summary Corrective Action Plan**



		CALIFORNIA
Date of incident/event:	June 24, 2006	
Briefly provide a description of the incident/event:	On June 23, 2006, April Key, who was pregnant, presente Center labor and delivery clinic with increased blood press pressure, the medical staff prescribed magnesium sulfate actually received Pitocin. The hospital personnel immedia error and placed April Key on the correct medication. On birth to Tyler Adkins.	sure. To manage her blood  Due to staff error, April Key ately recognized the medication
1. Briefly des	scribe the root cause of the claim/lawsuit:	
Medica	tion error causing emotional distress	
Briefly des     (Include e     appropriate	cribe recommended corrective actions: each corrective action, due date, responsible party, e)	, and any disciplinary actions i
• /	Appropriate personnel corrective actions were done A system wide survey was done related to the administration hospitals have appropriate procedures to manage this manage this mational survey was done related to the administration have practices and procedures that are consistent with national survey was done reports disclosure	edication. of Pitocin. All DHS hospitals
Potent or one of	corrective actions are applicable to only your department please contact the Chief Executive Office Risk Manager tially has County-wide implications.  ially has implications to other departments (i.e., all huma or more other departments).  not appear to have County-wide or other department imp	nent Branch for assistance) in services, all safety departments,
100	Management Coordinator)	Date: 1/12/09
Signature: (Inter	rim Chief Medical Officer)  Splan  Tim Director)	Date:
W	Lhun	1-21-09

f. National City Corp., v. Maria Segovia, Los Angeles County
Treasurer and Tax Collector, and related cross-actions
Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC 382 126

This lawsuit concerns the compromise of a \$56,756 lien for medical services provided by LAC+USE Medical Center; settlement is recommended by accepting payment of \$10,080 in full satisfaction of the lien.

### **Action Taken:**

The Claims Board approved settlement by accepting the amount of \$10,080 in full satisfaction of the lien.

Absent:

None

Vote:

Unanimously carried

**See Supporting Document** 

4. Report of actions taken in Closed Session.

The Claims Board reconvened in open session and reported the actions taken in closed session as indicated under Agenda Item No. 3 above.

5. Adjournment.

### INFORMATION ON PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION

CASE NAME Maria Rodriguez v. Marita Moran, et al.

Van Nuys District

CASE NUMBER KC049690

COURT Los Angeles Superior Court

DATE FILED December 22, 2006

COUNTY DEPARTMENT Probation Department

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AMOUNT \$72,500

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF Tracy Baer, Esq.

(310) 226-7570

COUNTY COUNSEL ATTORNEY Brian T. Chu

Principal Deputy County Counsel

(213) 974-1956

NATURE OF CASE On November 22, 2006, Maria Rodriguez

was driving in the number one

southbound lane of Interstate Freeway 605 at approximately 50 miles-per-hour when a County employee, during the course and scope of her County employment, changed lanes into the adjacent number two lane. The two vehicles were alongside each other and subsequently collided into one another in

a sideswipe impact. It is disputed whether the County driver first

encroached into the number one lane in which Ms. Rodriguez was driving or Ms. Rodriguez encroached into the number two lane in which the County driver was driving before the impact. The

collision resulted in Ms. Rodriguez's

vehicle ricocheting into the center median and rolling over. The County driver's vehicle ricocheted into a third vehicle that then rolled down the right shoulder embankment. Ms. Rodriguez received soft tissue injuries to her neck, back and right arm as a result of the collision.

Ms. Rodriguez claims that the County driver negligently changed lanes into the number one southbound lane. The County claims that Ms. Rodriguez was similarly negligent by failing to check the number two lane for traffic.

Due to the risks and uncertainties of litigation, the Probation Department proposes a full and final settlement of this case as to Ms. Rodriguez in the amount of \$72,500.

PAID ATTORNEY FEES, TO DATE

\$15,345

PAID COSTS, TO DATE

\$14,765

### **Summary Corrective Action Plan**



The intent of this form is to assist departments in writing a corrective action plan summary for attachment to the settlement documents developed for the Board of Supervisors and/or the County of Los Angeles Claims Board. The summary should be a specific overview of the claims/lawsuits' identified root causes and corrective actions (status, time frame, and responsible party). This summary does not replace the Corrective Action Plan form. If there is a question related to confidentiality, please consult County Counsel.

Date of incident/event:	November 22, 2006 on the 605 Hwy Southbound-south of Valley Boulevard., at approximately 10:55a.m.
Briefly provide a description of the incident/event:	Plaintiff and County of Los Angeles (COLA) permittee driver were involved in an auto accident on the 605 Hwy, while traveling southbound just south of Valley Boulevard. Plaintiff was driving vehicle-1 in the number-1 lane traveling southbound at approximately 50 miles per hour (MPH). COLA employee was driving private vehicle-2 in the number-2 lane traveling southbound at approximately 60-65 mph. A third driver was in vehicle-3 traveling at approximately 60 mph in the same direction in the number-3 lane.

. Briefly describe the root cause of the claim/lawsuit:

The incident stems from a 6 year COLA permittee driver with no known in-service accident history being involved in an auto accident with the plaintiff on the 605 Hwy. Root cause factors identified include:

 COLA staff member possible violation of California Vehicle Code Section 21658(a)unsafe lane change

Upon review and preparation related to the legal matter the above root causes were identified. This matter is being settled to mitigate associated costs.

 Briefly describe recommended corrective actions: (Include each corrective action, due date, responsible party, and any disciplinary actions if appropriate)

### Recommended Corrective Action:

Task #1 Name:

Accident Review Enhancement

System Issue:

Process/Procedure

Responsible Person:

Erbie Phillips

Task Description:

- 1. The Department vehicle incident review process includes, but is not limited to the mandatory completion of the COLA Report of Vehicle Collision or Incident. The Department will modify this review process to include the use of an internal Driver Accident Review/Investigative Report (DAR/IR) that must be submitted to the Department Risk Management Office (RMO) within 10 days of the incident. The immediate supervisor or designee will complete the DAR/IR after they investigate the vehicle incident, which consists of obtaining facts and circumstances related to the incident. The supervisor will also recommend associated corrective action to prevent or reduce the likelihood of similar vehicle incidents. In addition, the director or designee will review the DAR/IR to determine concurrence/non-concurrence prior to submission to the RMO. This task was completed on or about September 2008.
- 2. The Department Risk Management staff conducted an analysis of existing driver services program(s) and made a recommendation to the Chief related to preventable vehicle accidents. The recommendation includes, but is not limited to modification of existing Accident Review Committee Policy, the quarterly review of DAR/IR (and other related reports/material) and submission of a RMO corrective action recommendation to the bureau chief related to the bureaus associated DAR/IR's. This task to be completed on or about April 2009.

Task #2 Name:	Driver Handbook		
System Issue:	Process/Procedure		
Responsible Person:	Stanley Ricketts or designee		
Task Description:	1. The Department involved COLA Mileag provided with the current State of Califo Vehicle Driver Handbook. The COLA! will acknowledge the receipt and review compliance with all traffic laws. This taabout December 2008.	ornia Department of Motor Mileage Permittee Driver the handbook related to	
(Huisure pease conte	ive actions are applicable to only your department on the Chinf Executive Office Risk Management Branch for a as Country-wide implications.  The implications to other departments (i.e., all hungs, or one or more other departments).	ssistanco)	
Does not ap	pear to have County-wide or other department in	nplications.	
Signature: (Pisk Macageri	wnf.Coordins.co/)	Date:	
Entire Phil	as to	1/2/09	
Signature (Department H Oabert (	3 Josefon	Date: 1-7-09	

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES-PROBATION DEPARTMENT

### DRIVER ACCIDENT REVIEW/INVESTIGATIVE REPORT

SUPERVISOR'S REVIEW - FOR DEPARTMENTAL ACCIDENT PREVENTION

PURPOSE:

It is the policy of the Department that all drivers be properly licensed, obey all traffic laws, and use required safety equipment in accordance with California Vehicle Codes. In addition, it is the policy of the Department that all vehicle accidents involving Probation personnel driving a vehicle owned by the County of Los Angeles, or driving their personal vehicle as an authorized mileage permittee driver, shall be investigated, and the investigation findings reviewed by the Department's Accident Review

SUPERVISOR:

Page 1 of 2

Supervisors must investigate each driver accident, report facts and circumstances, confirm the type of vehicle used (County or Private) on Department business, and initiate or recommend corrective action to achieve accident prevention.

DIRECTOR/REVIEWING OFFICER:

As the reviewing officer you are responsible for the quality (accuracy and completeness) of the supervisors report and to initiate follow-up corrective action. The reviewing officer shall forward the original signature document to Risk Management within 10 days of the accident as follows:

LOS ANGELES COUNTY PROBATION DEPARTMENT ATTN: RISK MANAGEMENT 9150 E. IMPERIAL HWY. DOWNEY, CA 90242 Ph.: (562) 940-2670

SUPERVISOR'S REPORT DRIVER'S EMPLOYEE#: DRIVER'S NAME: DRIVER WAS: OFFICE/FACILITY LOCATION DRIVER'S POSITION: On Duty Off-Duty LICENSE PLATE NO : VEHICLE MAKE/MODLE/YEAR VEHICLE TYPE Private/Personal Vehicle COLA Vehicle HOW DID ACCIDENT OCCUR? WHAT DRIVING RULES, VEHICLE LAWS OR VIOLATIONS CONTRIBUTED TO THE CAUSE OF THE ACCIDENT? THE SUPERVISOR: Asked the Department Driver Questions about the incident Yes No No Yes -Asked about distractions No Yes -Asked if using cell phone immediately prior to accident Yes Yes ] No -Asked if eating immediately prior to accident -Asked if reaching immediately prior to accident ☐ No ☐ No Yes Went to the Scene of the Accident Yes □ No Closely examined the seat belts and safety equipment No Examined for mechanical defects ☐ Yes ☐ No Read the associated Police Report and Citations Yes Yes ☐ Yes □ No Reviewed Abstract of License Records Yes Yes □ No Reviewed/Signed the COLA Report of Vehicle Accident or Incident Driver Accident Review

	R -VEHICLE ACCIDENT CLASSIFIC HICLE ACCIDENT:	ATION REPORTIN	G	
	EVASION WITH OTHER VEHICLE Evasive Maneuver Lost Control Hit other vehicle in rear Hit from rear Proceeding Straight Crossed into opposing lanes Changing Lanes Making a Right Turn Making a Left Turn Backing-up Mechanical Failure Collision with Bicycle	Los Cc Collide Backin Runaw Lost lo Mecha Struck	e Maneuver ntrol d with stationary object g-up ay vehicle	STRIKING PEDESTRIAN In a crosswalk Not in a crosswalk While backing-up Other:
WAS ACCID	ENT PREVENTABLE BY DEPARTM	ENT DRIVER?	☐ Yes ☐ No	
CORRECTIV	VE ACTION RECOMMENDATION			
Reco Drives Drives Drives Drives Drives	ge or Improve Equipment mmend Removal from Mileage Permittee I r 1st Preventable Accident-Worker/Supervisor C er 2nd Preventable Accident within 4 years-L er 3nd Preventable Accident within 4 years-Re er 4th Preventable Accident within 4 years-Re er 5th Preventable Accident within 4 years-Re er 5th Preventable Accident within 4 years-Re er 5th Preventable Accident within 4 years-Re	Conference & Sign for Re etter of Warning & Sign equest 5-Day Suspensi equest 15-Day Suspen equest Discharge	gn for Receipt of Current Con sion and Mandatory State c	A DMV Drivers Handbook
SUPERVISOR PE	RINTED NAME:		DATE:	
SUPERVISOR SI	IGNATURE:		PHONE NUMBE	R:
REVIEWII	NG OFFICER	·		
☐ I CONCUR	e or	☐ I DO NOT CON	CUR WITH THE SUPERVIS	OR
MY EVALUAT	TION AND ACTION TAKEN:			
HOW WAS TH	IE DRIVER INFORMED OF YOUR EVALUA	TION AND FOLLOW-	UP ACTION?	
BASED UPON	INITIATION OF THE ABOVE CORRECTIV	E ACTION 🗌	N/A □	Other:
DIRECTOR/REV	TEWING OFFICER PRINTED NAME:		DATE:	
SIGNATURE:			PHONE NUMBE	R.
			,	···

Driver Accident Review Page 2 of 2

### INFORMATION ON PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION

CASE NAME Claim of Lite Extrusions Manufacturing,

Inc.

CASE NUMBER N/A

COURT N/A

DATE FILED March 12, 2008

COUNTY DEPARTMENT Public Works Special District General

Liability Trust Fund -Sewer & Drain District

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AMOUNT \$47,437.30

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF None

COUNTY COUNSEL ATTORNEY Brian T. Chu

Principal Deputy County Counsel

(213) 974-1956

NATURE OF CASE

This non-litigated claim involves property

damages arising from a sewer backup at

the business of Lite Extrusions

Manufacturing, Inc. located in Gardena. A County sewer crew investigated the complaint and found that sewer effluent entered the business through a bathroom toilet and intruded into the adjoining hallway and three office spaces. The County crew rodded the mainline and relieved a stoppage created by rags and

accumulated debris. The sewer water. however, caused damage to the flooring, drywall, cabinetry, baseboards, paint, plumbing fixtures, appliances and other personal property. Lite Extrusions' business was interrupted while the property was cleaned and repaired. The sewer mainline is maintained by the County as part of the Consolidated Sewer Maintenance District. Due to the inherent risks and uncertainties involved in a trial, the potential liability and potential exposure to an adverse verdict, the County proceeded with settlement negotiations and was eventually able to develop this recommended settlement.

PAID ATTORNEY FEES, TO DATE

\$0

PAID COSTS, TO DATE

\$400



# Summary Corrective Action Plan County of Los Angeles Department of Public Works



The intent of this form is to assist departments in writing a corrective action plan summary for attachment to the settlement documents developed for the Board of Supervisors and/or the County of Los Angeles Claims Board. The summary should be a specific overview of the claims/lawsuits' identified root causes and corrective actions (status, time frame, and responsible party). This summary does not replace the Corrective Action Plan form. If there is a question related to confidentiality, please consult County Counsel.

Claim:	Lite Extrusions
Date of incident/event:	March 10, 2008
Briefly provide a description of the incident/event:	This is a sewage back-up that occurred at a business at 15025 South Main Street in the City of Gardena. The effluent caused damage to the carpet, drywall, base boards, and other office equipment in the main office and in the production area.
	Public Works responded and the crew confirmed the existence of a main line blockage created by rags and the acumulation of debris between manhole numbers 239 and 241. Remediation under the Rapid Response Program was initiated.

Briefly describe the root cause of the claim/lawsuit:

The cause of the sewer backup was a rag blockage of the main line sewer. Public Works provided semi-annual inspections of the main line. The last inspection was carried out on July 19, 2007. At the time, observation of the sewer flow conditions was normal. There is no history of backup or flooding in the area.

County o	f Los Angeles Department of Public Wor	ks
Summary	Corrective Action Plan	

	,		
	·		
	ended corrective actions: , due date, responsible party, and a	ny disciplinary actic	ons if appropriate)
This segment of the main line issues were found. Given the not deem it necessary to implibe inspected semi-annually a	at rag blockages do not occul ement a rodding schedule fo	r regularly, Sewer or the main line.	er Maintenance Division did The main line will continue t
3. State if the corrective act (If unsure, please contact the C	tions are applicable to only y Chief Executive Office Risk Manager	our department ment Branch for ass	or other County departmentsistance)
Potentially has a Co	unty-wide implication.		
Potentially has implied or one or more other	cations to other departments departments).	(i.e., all human	services, all safety departme
X Does not appear to ha	ave County-wide or other dep	artment implica	itions.
Signature: (Risk Management Coe	Hedinator)		Date:
Pat Proano Lat	hoano	-	11/13/08
Signature: (Director)  Dran D E Holh			Date:
Dean D. Efstathiou (Acting Di	rector)		1 '
·			

### INFORMATION ON PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION

CASE NAME

Claim of Heath Geary

**CASE NUMBER** 

N/A

COURT

N/A

DATE FILED

June 16, 2008

**COUNTY DEPARTMENT** 

Public Works Special District General

Liability Trust Fund -Sewer & Drain District

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AMOUNT

\$ 63,283.51

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

None

**COUNTY COUNSEL ATTORNEY** 

Brian T. Chu

Principal Deputy County Counsel

(213) 974-1956

NATURE OF CASE

This non-litigated claim involves property damages arising from a sewer backup at the home of Heath Geary located in Altadena. A County sewer crew

investigated the complaint and found that wastewater from a sewer main line entered the home through a bathroom toilet and bathtub and intruded into the adjoining bathroom, hallway, bedroom and closet. The County crew rodded the mainline and relieved a stoppage created by overgrown tree roots. The sewage,

however, caused damage to the flooring, drywall, cabinetry, baseboards, paint, plumbing fixtures, appliances, walls and other personal property. The sewer mainline is maintained by the County as part of the Consolidated Sewer Maintenance District. Due to the inherent risks and uncertainties involved in a trial, the potential liability and potential exposure to an adverse verdict, the County proceeded with settlement negotiations and was eventually able to develop this recommended settlement.

PAID ATTORNEY FEES, TO DATE

\$0

PAID COSTS, TO DATE

\$0

HOA.574869.1 -2-



## Summary Corrective Action Plan County of Los Angeles Department of Public Works



The intent of this form is to assist departments in writing a corrective action plan summary for attachment to the settlement documents developed for the Board of Supervisors and/or the County of Los Angeles Claims Board. The summary should be a specific overview of the claims/lawsuits' identified root causes and corrective actions (status, time frame, and responsible party). This summary does not replace the Corrective Action Plan form. If there is a question related to confidentiality, please consult County Counsel.

Claim/Lawsuit: Date of incident/event:	Heath Geary June 15, 2008
Briefly provide a description of the incident/event:	This is a sewage back-up that occurred at a residence at 565 Athens Street, unincorporated Altadena area. The claimant stated a blocked sewer mainline caused a sewer backup at his residence, which resulted in damage to his property.  Public Works responded and the crew confirmed the existence of a main line blockage created by tree roots between Manhole Numbers 60 and 63.

Briefly describe the root cause of the claim/lawsuit:

21, 2008.	amuai mapeciioni	s of the main line.	. The last inspec	tion was carried	out on Febr

Briefly describe recommended corrective actions:     (Include each corrective action, due date, responsible party, and any disciplination).	
This segment of the main line was placed on a 90 day rodding sche and will remain on this schedule until it is no longer necessary as depersonnel. It will also continue to be on a semi-annual inspection page 1.	etermined by maintenance
3. State if the corrective actions are applicable to only your depart (If unsure, please contact the Chief Executive Office Risk Management Branch	iment or other County departments: for assistance)
Potentially has a County-wide implication.	
Potentially has implications to other departments (i.e., all h or one or more other departments).	uman services, all safety departments,
Does not appear to have County-wide or other department in	mplications.
Signature: (Risk Management Coordinator)	Date:
Pat Proano Plotent	11/13/07
Signature: (Director)  Deon D. Ephoth	Date: 11-17-08
Dean D. Efstathiou (Acting Director)	

### **INFORMATION ON PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION**

CASE NAME

Raymond Johnson v. AT&T Corp., et al.,

CASE NUMBER

MC017939

COURT

Los Angeles County Superior Court

North District

DATE FILED

Feb. 20, 2007

**COUNTY DEPARTMENT** 

Public Works and Internal Services

Departments

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AMOUNT

\$75,000

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

Jennifer B. Smith, Esq.

Law Offices of C. Ray Carlson

(661) 294-0044

**COUNTY COUNSEL ATTORNEY** 

Jerry Custis

Principal Deputy County Counsel

(213) 974-1965

NATURE OF CASE

Broken arm and severed thumb ligament

suffered in trip and fall at Metrolink

station

PAID ATTORNEY FEES, TO DATE

\$46,399

PAID COSTS, TO DATE

\$4,411

## Summary Corrective Action Plan County of Los Angeles Department of Public Works

The intent of this form is to assist departments in writing a corrective action plan summary for attachment to the settlement documents developed for the Board of Supervisors and/or the County of Los Angeles Claims Board. The summary should be a specific overview of the claims/lawsuits' identified root causes and corrective actions (status, time frame, and responsible party). This summary does not replace the Corrective Action Plan form. If there is a question related to confidentiality, please consult County Counsel.

Case: Date of incident/event:	Raymond Johnson September 20, 2005
Briefly provide a description of the incident/event:	The plaintiff tripped and fell over a slightly raised utility vault cover located in the sidewalk along the access driveway that leads to the Acton/Vincent Grade Park-and-Ride Lot and Metrolink Station.

Briefly describe the root cause of the lawsuit:

### Background

The County of Los Angeles constructed the Acton/Vincent Grade Park-and-Ride Lot, the Metrolink Station, the subject access driveway located off of Sierra Highway, and the subject utility vault. The County is responsible for the maintenance of the lot, station, access driveway, and vault. The facility was opened to the general public in January 2000. At the time of the incident, Public Works administered an Agreement with International Services Incorporated (ISI), who provided security services at this facility. As stipulated in the Agreement, security personnel were to patrol and inspect the area and report any security or maintenance issues found at the site.

#### Root Cause of the Lawsuit

It was determined that the cause of the Plaintiff's trip and fall incident was due to the failure to properly report issues concerning the subject facility by ISI to the Public Works Project Manager, ISI's lack of understanding of the scope of work and a lack of strong oversight by Public Works.

 Briefly describe recommended corrective actions: (Include each corrective action, due date, responsible party, and any disciplinary actions if appropriate)

To ensure a more thorough and consistent review of the entire park and ride lot, as of September 2007, Public Works has required guard patrol verification technology in the latest security services contract. The guard patrol technology tracks the security officer's hourly patrol of the site and provides an electronic record of all patrol activity. Security officers are required to routinely and consistently patrol all areas of the County lot, including the area of the vault cover, and report maintenance issues.

Public Works has strengthened their contract oversight responsibilities by ensuring procedures are in place to review all daily usage reports and daily activity reports (which are to include maintenance issues observed while patrolling) from the contractor prior to authorizing monthly payments to the

contractor, as well as inspecting the site on a quarterly basis. The Contractor shall immediately notify the Contract Manager of any conditions that may result in injury to the public and follow up with an email of the Incident Report. If a situation should arise after hours, the Contractor shall call the Public Works Radio Room Dispatch for immediate attention.

By April 1, 2009, Public Works will amend its contract agreement with the security contractor to explicitly define the responsibilities pertaining to reporting any maintenance issues and clarify the boundaries of the Metrolink Station facility. Public Works has also met with the new security contractor, US Metro Group, to reemphasize their contractual obligations.

3.	3. State if the corrective actions are applicable to only your department or other County departments: (If unsure, please contact the Chief Executive Office Risk Management Branch for assistance)	
	Potentially has County-wide implications.	
(	Potentially has implications to other departments (i.e., all human services, all safety departments, or one or more other departments).	
	Does not appear to have County-wide or other department implications.	
Signature: (Risk Management Coordinator)		Date:
Pat Proano fat from		1/15/09
Signature: (Director)		Date:
   Gail I	Farber Yau Farher	1-25-09

### INFORMATION ON PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION

CASE NAME <u>National City Corporation v. Maria</u>

Segovia, Los Angeles County Treasurer and Tax Collector, and related cross-

<u>actions</u>

CASE NUMBER BC 382126

COURT Los Angeles Superior Court, Central

District, Dept. 52

DATE FILED February 6, 2009

COUNTY DEPARTMENT Treasurer and Tax Collector

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AMOUNT Accept \$10,080 as settlement in full of

medical lien for \$56,756

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF Michael F. Amlaw, Esq.

COUNTY COUNSEL ATTORNEY Brandi M. Moore

**Deputy County Counsel** 

(213) 974-1832

NATURE OF CASE

This is an interpleader action where the

insurance company in an underlying third party personal injury action deposited with the court the approximate amount of the County's lien for medical services provided to the cross-complainant

following her accident.

This case has been vigorously litigated with cross-complainant filing a Cross-Complaint, a First Amended Cross-Complaint, and a motion to amend her answer to the original Complaint in Interpleader. Upon denial of that final motion, Cross-Complainant filed a writ challenging the court's decision. That matter is set for an OSC on March 24, 2009. Due to the uncertainties and costs associated with further litigation, the Treasurer and Tax Collector recommends accepting \$10,080 in full and final settlement of the County's lien.

PAID ATTORNEY FEES, TO DATE

\$15,599.86

PAID COSTS, TO DATE

\$0