

**Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council (JJCC)
Wednesday, August 31, 2022, Meeting Minutes**

DRAFT

Meeting: Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council (JJCC) Meeting

Date/Time of Meeting: Wednesday, August 31, 2022, 2:00 P.M. to 4:00 P.M.

Location of Meeting: Virtual Meeting - WebEx

Members Present = 21:

1. Samuel Abrahamian, Alternate Public Defender (APD)
2. Adam Bettino, Chief Deputy, Probation Department (JJCC Chair)
3. Gloria Janet Gonzalez, At-Large Community Representative
4. Josh Green, (Alternate Nicole Brown), Non-Profit Community-Based Organization (CBO) Supervisorial Representative, District 3
5. Alexis Hernandez, Non-Profit Community-Based Organization (CBO) Supervisorial Representative, District 4
6. Shelan Joseph, Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office (DA)
7. Yanira Lima, Department of Public Health (DPH)
8. John Mathews, (Alternate Chris Ah San), Board of Supervisors, Second District
9. Kent Mendoza, At-Large Community Representative
10. Dr. Denise Miranda, Los Angeles Unified School District (LAUSD)
11. Tapau Osborne, Los Angeles County Office of Education (LACOE)
12. Tricia Penrose, Juvenile Court (JC)
13. Dr. Denice Price, At-Large Community Representative
14. Diego H. Rodrigues, At-Large Community Representative
15. Luis J. Rodriguez, Los Angeles County Public Defender's Office (PD)
16. Mercy Santoro, Los Angeles County Parks and Recreation (LACO Parks and Recreation)
17. Chavon Smith, Los Angeles County Department of Public Social Services (DPSS)
18. Patricia Soung, Non-Profit Community-Based Organization (CBO) Supervisorial Representative, District 2
19. Karen Streich, Department of Mental Health (DMH)
20. Refugio Valle, Department of Youth Development (DYD)
21. Reginald Zachery, City of Los Angeles Mayor's Office **Audio Time Stamp:01:41-07:18**

Not Present: Regina Goree, Department of Children and Family Services (DCFS), Jeanette Lomeli, At-Large Community Representative, LT. Robert Medrano, Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department (LASD), Lt. Eric Morimoto, Los Angeles Police Department (LAPD), Juan Navarro, Non-Profit Community-Based Drug and Alcohol Provider, Bikila Ochoa, Non-Profit Community-Based Organization (CBO) Supervisorial Representative District 1, Dr. Nicole Vienna, Non-Profit Community-Based Organization (CBO) District 5

I. Call to Order and Roll Call of JJCC Voting Members

**Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council (JJCC)
Wednesday, August 31, 2022, Meeting Minutes**

DRAFT

(JJCPA Administration Note: Prior to the Roll Call, JJCPA Administration provided information regarding the process to make a public comment. The audience was directed to the Notice and Agenda that included the process to provide public comments during the live virtual meeting. Additionally, recurring issues were covered to provide clarity and assist those wishing to make a public comment.) **Audio Time Stamp: 00:00-01:42**

II. Welcome and Introductions

Adam Bettino, Chief Deputy, Probation, welcomed everyone and welcomed new JJCC members Samuel Abrahamian APD, and Shelan Joseph, DA. He addressed the Department name change of the JJCC member from Office of Diversion and Reentry, Office of Youth Diversion and Development (ODR/OYDD) to the Department of Youth Development. Due to the name organizational name change, he had been in contact with County Counsel and the Board of Supervisor's Office to determine how to modify the Organizational Rules to accurately reflect the name change. He indicated Refugio Valle, DYD was welcome to join them as a Panelist. At that point he moved on to the next agenda item. **Audio Time Stamp: 08:22-10:25**

III. Approval of the JJCC Draft Meeting Minutes, February 14, 2022

Attachment: Handout: JJCC Draft Meeting Minutes 2-14-22 (On Agenda of JJCC Meeting 8-31-22)

Motion to approve the Draft Meeting Minutes of February 14, 2022, made by Tapau Osborne, LACOE, 2nd Reginald Zachery, City of Los Angeles Mayor's Office Audio Time Stamp: 10:27-11:02

JJCC Member Discussion: None

Public Comments: None

Ayes: Adam Bettino, Chief Deputy, Probation Department, John Mathews, (Alternate Chris Ah San), Board, Samuel Abrahamian APD, Dr. Karen Streich, DMH, Yanira Lima, DPH, Chavon Smith, DPSS, Shelan Joseph, DA, Tricia Penrose, JC, Mercy Santoro, LACO Parks and Recreations, Tapau Osborne, LACOE, Dr. Denise Miranda, LAUSD, Refugio Valle, DYD, Luis Rodriguez, PD, Reginald Zachery, City of Los Angeles Mayor's Office, Patricia Soung, Non-Profit CBO Supervisorial Representative District 2, Alexis Hernandez, Non-Profit CBO Supervisorial Representative District 4, Dr. Denice Price, At-Large Community Representative, Diego Rodrigues, At-Large Community Representative

No: None

**Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council (JJCC)
Wednesday, August 31, 2022, Meeting Minutes**

DRAFT

Abstain: Josh Green, (Alternate Nicole Brown), Non-Profit CBO Supervisorial Representative District 3, Gloria Janet Gonzalez, At-Large Community Representative

Motion Passed: Audio Time Stamp: 11:02-16:16

IV. Approval of the JJCC Draft Meeting Minutes May 24,2022

Attachment: Handout: JJCC Draft Meeting Minutes 5-24-22 (On Agenda of JJCC Meeting 8-31-22)

Motion to adopt the minutes made by Dr. Denice Price, At-Large Community Representative, 2nd by Chavon Smith, DPSS Audio Time Stamp: 16:25-16:54

JJCC Member Discussion: None

Public Comments: None

Ayes: Adam Bettino, Chief Deputy, Probation Department, John Mathews, (Alternate Chris Ah San), Board, Samuel Abrahamian APD, Dr. Karen Streich, DMH, Yanira Lima, DPH, Chavon Smith, DPSS, Shelan Joseph, DA, Tricia Penrose, JC, Mercy Santoro, LACO Parks and Recreations, Tapau Osborne, LACOE, Dr. Denise Miranda, LAUSD, Refugio Valle, DYD, Luis Rodriguez, PD, Reginald Zachery, City of Los Angeles Mayors Office, Patricia Soung, Non-Profit CBO Supervisorial Representative District 2, Alexis Hernandez, Non-Profit CBO Supervisorial Representative District 4, Dr. Denice Price, At-Large Community Representative, Diego Rodrigues, At-Large Community Representative, Gloria Janet Gonzalez, At-Large Community Representative

No: None

Abstain: Josh Green, (Alternate Nicole Brown), Non-Profit CBO Supervisorial Representative 3

Motion Passed: Audio Time Stamp: 16:54-20:00

V. Unfinished Business

Adam Bettino, Chief Deputy, Probation Department, allotted two (2) minutes for public comment. At the conclusion of public comment, he provided an update regarding the matter mentioned in public comments (Ready to Rise Initiative). He stated that the contract was in the process of being finalized. He stated they were working with the vendor going back and forth on key areas to finalize the contract. He stated it was an unfair characterization on the Probation Department to indicate that it was stuck with Probation. In fact, that is not the case. There has been a lot of conversation with the vendor trying to finalize some very important details. At that point they did not have an

**Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council (JJCC)
Wednesday, August 31, 2022, Meeting Minutes**

DRAFT

executed contract, however, it was hoped to have an executed contract in the near future. In answer to JJCC Member Discussion, he indicated there was conversation around data and ensuring the great stories can be told about all the diversion work that is occurring. He added that the Probation Department's Information Technology (IT) staff had been working closely with the contractor regarding information that is needed in the language to ensure they can finalize the contract. *Audio Time Stamp: 20:00-20:15, 24:30-25:18, 26:02-26:52*

Public Comments: Antonio Angelo, Roberta Shintani *Audio Time Stamp: 21:38-22-29, 23:24-24:18*

JJCC Member Discussion: Patricia Soung, Non-Profit CBO Supervisorial Representative District 2 *Audio Time Stamp: 25:35-26:02*

VI. New Business

a. Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council – Juvenile Justice Realignment Block Grant (JJCC-JJRBG) Subcommittee Update the Annual Plan for FY2023-24

i. Update by JJCC Chair

Adam Bettino, Chief Deputy, Probation Department, began by inviting the JJCC-JJRBG members to provide any information that he may miss during his update. There was a Board of Supervisors' response inquiry of March 15, 2022, that was due on August 31, 2022. County Counsel had reviewed it and the finishing touches were added as it related Camp Scott feasibility. The Board of Supervisors asked the JJCC-JJRBG Subcommittee to review Campus Kilpatrick, Dorothy Kirby Center and Camp Scott to determine what would need to be completed to facilitate a permanent site selection. A great amount of work and discussion occurred regarding feasibility and potential renovations. Probation met with the architect who provided a presentation to the JJCC-JJRBG regarding what is possible. What followed included further discussion as a group, to place the recommendations from the JJCC-JJRBG in the Board response. He stated they must handle environmental impacts separately, due to pending litigation. They had some conversations with the Board of Supervisors' Offices, about how best to discuss it. He indicated there had been Public Records Act requests from multiple places and it's a larger discussion about the path forward with site selection. Unfortunately, there had been a great deal of time discussion locations, and it shifted the focus away from the programming and care of these young people. He stated they have a team who are on site at Barry J. Juvenile Hall. Those providers include community-based

**Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council (JJCC)
Wednesday, August 31, 2022, Meeting Minutes**

DRAFT

providers, and they are providing mentoring restorative justice services. They have young men who are enrolled in school as well as film class. We are trying our based to provide as many services as possible. As of that date, they had fifty-four (54) young men who are ordered to Secure Youth Track.

He stated there had been a memorandum, or an email blast or a press release from the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisor Holy J. Mitchell's Office, District 2, regarding her concerns about youth currently housed at Barry J. Nidorf Juvenile Hall and the lack of movement of young people to Campus Kilpatrick. For those who remained through the nine (9) hours of the Board Meeting, there was a Motion. The Motion called for further action to be taken, and for Probation to go to the Board and talk through a plan of what it will take to move people to Campus Kilpatrick. He stated that Probation was in the midst of creating the plan. Additionally, they were having conversations with their partners about what would be needed on their end. He stated he did not wish to mis-speak that Patricia Soung was leading the effort, but that he was aware she was working closely with people on Credible Messengers. Additionally, that Refugio Valle's team was also working on it. He stated that they were still planning and needed to have communication with Labor, and that there was an open line of communication to ensure there is some type of movement. Additionally, he mentioned they were months away from receiving young men from Division of Juvenile Justice (DJJ). There are capacity concerns that Campus Kilpatrick will not receive more than forty-five (45) to fifty (50) young men. The current count indicated there were less than ten (10) young women who would be sent from DJJ. They were confident that they could use one of the cottages at Dorothy Kirby Center for the young women. He stated the site will need some renovation to create a softer environment. There is also a forthcoming plan for camera installation at all locations. He highlighted that the JJCC-JJRBG Subcommittee is acutely aware that capacity is a forthcoming concern as it is anticipated that Los Angeles County will receive up to 100 young people from DJJ prior to June 30, 2023. He stated we need to be very careful and thoughtful about additional sites and how we refrain from mixing populations. **Audio Time Stamp: 27:02-34:18, 34:44-34:52;35:29-35:37, 35:41-35:44**

JJCC Member Discussion: Josh Green, (Alternate Nicole Brown), Non-Profit CBO Supervisorial Representative District 3, Tapau Osborne, LACOE, Tapau Osborne, LACOE **Audio Time Stamp: 34:22-34:43, 35:10-35:37, 35:38-35:40**

**Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council (JJCC)
Wednesday, August 31, 2022, Meeting Minutes**

DRAFT

Public Comment: Jessica Quintana, Sue Fisher *Audio Time Stamp: 38:06-40:06, 41:31-43:29*

b. JJCC-Governance and Procedure Ad-Hoc Subcommittee Update

i. Update by JJCC Chair

Adam Bettino, Chief Deputy, Probation Department, provided an update. He stated the JJCC-Governance and Procedure Ad-Hoc Subcommittee met for a one-day event in an attempt to hold discussions regarding some of the previously raised governance concerns. As part of the JJCC Consultant's work, all JJCC members had previously been given the opportunity to complete a survey and/or interviewed to provide concerns regarding the governance process. During the one-day event, the Ad-Hoc Subcommittee held discussions regarding the following: 1. How items are placed onto the JJCC Agenda; 2. How the flow of the year and the process must occur regarding drafting the Comprehensive Multiagency Juvenile Justice Plan (CMJJP) that also includes the creation of a draft budget resulting in a vote to adopt recommendations regarding potential funding organizations. He stated there was a great deal of good discussion throughout the day. He moved on to have the JJCC Consultant (HMA) provide their update. *Audio Time Stamp: 43:45-45:43, 59:32-59:38, 1:02:08-1:02:31, 1:10:45 -1:11:00*

ii. Updated by JJCC Consultant (Health Management Associates (HMA))

Carol Clancy, HMA, indicated she and Holly McCravey, HMA, would provide the update. A PowerPoint Presentation was shown on screen during her presentation. HMA's previous work included conducting a survey and key informant interviews of JJCC members. Additionally, HMA did an abundance of background research. She stated HMA felt they had enough information to hold a process improvement event.

The JJCC-Governance and Procedure Ad-Hoc Subcommittee and HMA met on July 26, 2022, for the Process Improvement Event, and six (6) of the Ad-Hoc Subcommittee members and HMA were present. Prior to the Process Improvement Event, the following occurred: 1. HMA collaborated with the JJCC-Governance and Procedure Ad-Hoc Subcommittee regarding the agenda. They selected a mapping exercise of the Comprehensive Multiagency Juvenile Justice Plan (CMJJP) Steps that included evaluating the CMJJP Steps regarding the process of creating the annual CMJJP and funding allocation recommendations; 2. The goal of the mapping exercise was to review each CMJJP Step to

**Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council (JJCC)
Wednesday, August 31, 2022, Meeting Minutes**

DRAFT

determine what works, what is not working and potential improvements. At the end of the day, there was not enough time to pull things together. Therefore, for the presentation, she was speaking about the discussion areas that were covered during the one-day event. She stated they thought it was important for the JJCC to hear the priorities were that were discussed during the Process Improvement Event.

She moved on to cover What is Going Well: 1. The identification of populations of focus are well thought out and it is based on meaningful data about the population; 2. There is a strong commitment to obtaining wide community input from the JJCC-Community Advisory Committee (JJCC-CAC); 3. The scoring process that is completed by the JJCC-CMJJJ Ad-Hoc Subcommittee regarding funding request submissions was covered. She stated the scoring process had been revised several times, and the Ad-Hoc Subcommittee developed a strategy for scoring to maintain consensus on scoring. She moved on to cover the next item. What Steps in the Process Can Be Improved: 1. There is a sense that there is not enough time to complete the process; 2. The exploration to determine inefficient or un-necessary steps; 3. She discussed the utility of the survey and indicated during their discussion, the survey is not being used to directly inform the funding decisions. She stated one of their recommendations will include possibly excluding the survey until there can be a good understanding of how the information from the survey will be utilized to affect the funding decisions.

She stated that they reviewed areas of inefficiency, or what might be reviewed as an area of process improvement. She covered Program Information Gathering: 1. Annually programs are provided an opportunity to provide information on their services; 2. The information is not well integrated into the funding decisions; 3. There was a question about why the information is gathered. She stated it is an area of potential improvement. Next, she covered the Funding Allocation Process: 1. There are a number of programs that receive funding each year, however each agency must apply annually; 2. A discussion occurred regarding the possibility of multi-year funding cycles was introduced. The next area they covered was the Operational organization of the JJCC: 1. WIC 749.22 designates the Chief Probation Officer as the Chair to ensure compliance with the law. Other counties have implemented models that include operational tasks of the committee are contracted out. HMA raised the question as to whether that would be more efficient way of doing business. She went on to state that the meeting was a starting point. Their next steps included: 1. Future meetings would be needed to decide upon priorities and potential action

**Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council (JJCC)
Wednesday, August 31, 2022, Meeting Minutes**

DRAFT

steps; 2. Action Steps would be presented to the JJCC for discussion and decision making; 3. Other items of interest that were noted but not discussed: a. Initial and on-going training for JJCC members; b. Structure of the JJCC-Community Advisory Committee. She ended by asked both Holly McCravey and the Ad-Hoc Subcommittee had anything to add. **Audio Time Stamp: 46:00-59:28, 1:07:04-1:07:42**

JJCC-Governance and Procedure Ad-Hoc Subcommittee Members: Tapau Osborne, LACOE, Patricia Soung, Non-Profit CBO Supervisorial Representative District 2, Josh Green, (Alternate Nicole Brown), Non-Profit CBO, Supervisorial Representative District 3, Patricia Soung, Non-Profit CBO Supervisorial Representative District 2
Audio Time Stamp: 59:56-1:01:08, 1:01:14-1:02:02, 1:05:10-1:06:47, 1:08:17-1:09:26

JJCC Members Discussion: Mercy Santoro, LACO Parks and Recreation, **Audio Time Stamp: 1:02:33-1:05:00, 1:09:38-1:10:17**

Public Comment: None

c. JJCPA Evaluator (RAND Corporation) Update

Adam Bettino, Chief Deputy, Probation Department, introduced the agenda item.

Stephanie Holliday, RAND Corporation, began her presentation by providing a brief review of her two (2) prior JJCC presentations. The December 3, 2021, JJCC meeting presentation included the 2021 Gap Analysis findings. The August 26, 2021, JJCC meeting was a status update presentation. During her presentation, a PowerPoint was shown on screen. She began with JJCPA Evaluator's Objectives: 1. Provide Consultation for Developing Program Evaluation Design and Metrics; 2. Provide annual Gap Analysis; 3. Conduct Literature Review of Effective Programs; 4. Conduct implementation and outcome analysis of funded programs. She moved on to provide progress on Objectives to date. She provided a recapitulation of RAND's 2021 Status Update. She stated they reviewed the California State Audit Report (released 2020) and stated that it highlighted that Los Angeles County needs to collect data on all individuals who participate in each JJCPA program and services. PowerPoint slide #5 indicated: *“Los Angeles...should collect data on all individuals participating in each of their JJCPA programs and services to adequately assess the effectiveness the effectiveness of those programs at reducing juvenile crime and delinquency.” (emphasis added).* She stated in consultation with the State Auditor, it was determined that identifiable youth-level data will be

**Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council (JJCC)
Wednesday, August 31, 2022, Meeting Minutes**

DRAFT

necessary to report on justice-system related outcomes, which will be required by most programs. The State Auditor was willing to consider a potential exception, however, it would be limited to a narrow set of programs (particularly universal prevention type programs). The example given was a park program where community members arrive to participate in the program. That example could mean there is not a specific identifiable subset of youth who would be receiving the services. In that instance, the program would need to collect and submit some type of outcome data.

Within the past year their work included the following: 1. Reviewed five (5) Statements of Work to ensure relevant and required data elements are identified; 2. Engaged with staff of 4 agencies (that oversee 12 programs) regarding data collection requirements; 3. Reviewed evaluation-related language in the Funding Request Submission Form that agencies complete and submit; 4. Reviewed and provided literature review and updates for selected programs for the Board of State Community Corrections (BSCC) JJCPA Application.

Regarding the process of conducting a comprehensive review of evidence-based practices for juvenile justice population, they combined the literature review with their gap analysis effort. Based upon input received, the idea was to have RAND evaluate or assist in filling the gap by providing summaries of the literature. They assisted by providing information on what the literature supports. RAND made an effort to conduct a comprehensive review of evidence-based practices that included published articles since 2000. They reviewed 5,275 articles of which 320 articles screened full text for inclusion, of which 165 articles were identified and included in the review. During their review of the articles, they abstracted data regarding the outcomes of the evaluations that included data regarding criminal justice, academic, behavioral health, aggressive behavior, and relationship outcomes. Additionally, their work included the retrieval of information on the rigor of the study design and any equity-oriented outcomes. The goal was to have a published version available by late December 2022/January 2023, with the JJCC and JJCPA programs as the target audience. Their focus will also include drawing out implications and recommendations that are relevant to the Los Angeles County JJCPA context.

She provided an overview of conducting implementation and outcome analysis of funded programs. She stated they developed a logic model for each program of interest. She moved on to cover Process Evaluation Methods that included: 1. Utilization of data provided by program; 2. Interviews/focus groups with staff; 3. Interviews/focus groups with youth and parents/guardians. Outcome Evaluation Methods that included: 1.

**Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council (JJCC)
Wednesday, August 31, 2022, Meeting Minutes**

DRAFT

Programmatic and administrative data; 2. Specific measure ideally vary by program goals or theory of change.

She provided a progress update of 2021 programs that included the following: 1. Between March and December 2021, they conducted 39 interviews with 44 respondents; 2. Conducted outreach to 52 youth/caregiver dyads (104 people) and between November 2021 and July 2022 they conducted 4 interviews. She covered their efforts to reach those identified. RAND Corporation's team was exploring different methods to reduce some of the barriers to be able to reach those they attempted to contact. She stated they faced some challenges with receiving initial juvenile justice outcome data in May 2022. However, it was missing some specific information that was provided in August 2022. The aim for analysis was to be completed by December 2022 and to report out in early 2023. She covered RAND's rigorous quality assurance process regarding their reports and must undergo peer reviews by experts in the field; this would be followed by the publication process. Once the Gap Analysis findings have been curated, she indicated they would be happy to provide a presentation on the findings to the JJCC and once they pass the quality assurance review threshold, then they are able to make those findings public.

She moved on to cover the second set of programs (2022) that included: 1. Department of Public Health, substance abuse programs (Youth Substance Use, Client Engagement Services (CENS), and Support Services); 2. Los Angeles County Workforce Development Aging and Community Services (WDACS); 3. City of Los Angeles Employment Workforce Development Department (EWDD); 4. Youth Development Training; 5. Public Private/Partnership (Ready to Rise); 6. Department Public Health (DPH) Office of Violence Prevention Trauma Prevention Initiative/Capacity Building Training. Regarding the progress updates she stated they interviewed 27 people during 18 interviews as some were conducted one on one and some were in pairs when interviews. They were in process of conducting outreach and scheduling 17 interviews. She covered the reasons why they were only able to complete 27 interviews. She explained that it takes an exceptional amount of time to obtain the names from organizations that include multiple follow ups in conversations and emails. There is a great deal of groundwork that occurs prior to the interviews. As an example, for one program it took six (6) months to receive the names. She stated they were losing 2 ½ to 6 months awaiting names to reach out to attempt to schedule interviews. She made a request during her presentation to ask that if they send outreach to a program, she requested that the timelier the program's response is, then the more expediently

**Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council (JJCC)
Wednesday, August 31, 2022, Meeting Minutes**

DRAFT

RAND Corporation can reach out and in turn the more expediently the program evaluation can be completed. Their goal was to complete staff interviews across programs and determine the method for youth/caregiver data collection by the end of October 2022 and to conduct interviews with capacity building participants by the end of December 2022. She discussed common barriers they encountered regarding evaluation activities. They included: 1. COVID continued to affect the operations of the organization; 2. Agencies raised concerns about the submission of identifiable youth data, which limits the available data for quantitative analysis of program usage and outcomes; 3. Youth and caregiver recruitment involves multiple complicated steps, and she indicated they were looking to become creative regarding the issues. She ended with providing the potential future program of focus. Audio Time Stamp: 1:11:21-1:37:51, 1:39:14-1:41:57, 1:42:46-1:43:55, 1:44:36-1:44:43

JJCC Member Discussion: Patricia Soung, Non-Profit CBO Supervisorial Representative District 2, Patricia Soung, Non-Profit CBO Supervisorial Representative District 2, Josh Green, (Alternate Nicole Brown), Non-Profit CBO Supervisorial Representative District 3, Josh Green, (Alternate Nicole Brown), Non-Profit CBO Supervisorial Representative District 3, Dr. Denise Miranda, LAUSD, Josh Green, (Alternate Nicole Brown), Non-Profit CBO Supervisorial Representative District 3, Patricia Soung, Non-Profit CBO Supervisorial Representative District 2, Luis Rodriguez, PD, Shelan Joseph, DA Audio Time Stamp: 1:38:03-1:39:13, 1:41:58-1:42:45, 1:44:02-1:44:35, 1:44:44-1:44:49, 1:44:50-1:45:06, 1:45:15-1:46:21, 1:47:30-1:50:30, 1:50:34-1:53:02, 1:53:03-1:56:43, 1:58:42-2:00:09

Adam Bettino, Chief Deputy, Probation Department, during the JJCC Member Discussion, stated Stephanie Holiday shared the State Audit information. He stated that if Los Angeles County would like to receive the State funding for the JJCPA grant, this is what is needed. He wanted to understand what the concern is regarding collecting data. He stated it has been a recurring issue of some type of allegation that the Probation Department has used the data inappropriately. In fact, the use of the data is to tell the amazing story of youth who did not enter the juvenile justice system. He asked if something had occurred or had there ever been a breach of information? He did not recall that occurring. Additionally, the Probation Department is under certain restrictions from the State Auditor, and those who provide the funding (Board of State and Community Corrections) that we must provide the information. He stated the goal is to keep youth out of the juvenile justice system. They want to tell a story regarding how the multiple millions of dollars assist in keeping youth out of the juvenile justice system. He stated that he absolutely rejects the notion that the information would be used in a nefarious manner. He stated what

**Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council (JJCC)
Wednesday, August 31, 2022, Meeting Minutes**

DRAFT

is ultimately of concern of the Probation Department bringing youth into the juvenile justice system. He stated it is absolutely not occurring with data collection. After additional JJCC Member Discussion, he wanted to be clear that the Probation Department is not bringing youth into some sort of system. That is not happening. He agreed with Luis Rodriguez, PD, to have further conversations about data and would make a note to create a smaller group to have discussion around it. He ended by stating that ensuring we are obtaining data to tell our story of all the great work that organizations are involved with to keep youth out of the juvenile justice system. He thanked Stephanie Holliday, RAND Corporation, for her presentation. **Audio Time Stamp: 1:46:20-1:49:2, 1:56:45-1:58:39, 2:00:13-2:00:2:01:18**

Public Comments: Matthew Weber, Reena Hajat Carrol, Aaron Valencia
Audio Time Stamp: 2:02:55-2:04:57, 2:06:03-2:07:47, 2:09:04-2:11:06

VII. Other Matters/Public Comments

(JJCPA Administration Note: An attempt was made for one person who had requested to make a public comment and the attempt included calling the telephone number provided, however the call went to voice message.

The announcement included a reminder regarding the JJCC-Community Advisory Committee's Youth Service Needs Assessment Survey, that was due to close on October 1, 2022. Additionally, the Community Feedback on Programs and Programs that was due to close on September 1, 2022. The last announcement was regarding the JJCC At-Large Community Representative application. The update indicated the information was pending and would be sent out as soon as possible.) **Audio Time Stamp: 2:13:00-2:13:47**

VIII. Adjournment – Meeting Adjourned at 4:17 P.M.