

Resolution to Create JJCC Member Attorney Representatives Data Ad-Hoc Subcommittee for Discussion Regarding Welfare and Institutions Code 749.21, Government Code 30061, and the California State Audit Regarding Collection of Identifiable Data on All Youth Served in Each JJCPA Program and for Each Service It Provides to Adequately Assess the Effectiveness of JJCPA Programs at Reducing Juvenile Crime and Delinquency

Adam Bettino

Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council, December 6, 2022

WHEREAS the Juvenile Justice Crime Prevention Act (JJCPA) provides the County with an annual allocation of State funds to develop and implement a Comprehensive Multi-Agency Juvenile Justice Plan (CMJJP) as mandated by Government Code Section 30061; and, in accordance with Welfare and Institutions Code (WIC) 749.21 that requires each county to take a multiagency approach to reducing juvenile crime and delinquency. Additionally, WIC 749.22 includes the following information regarding effectiveness. “Develop information and intelligence-sharing systems to ensure that county actions are fully coordinated, and to provide data for measuring the success of the grantee in achieving its goals. The plan shall develop goals related to the outcome measures that shall be used to determine the effectiveness of the program. Identify outcome measures which shall include, but not be limited to, the following: The rate of juvenile arrests. The rate of successful completion of probation. The rate of successful completion of restitution and court-ordered community service responsibilities.”¹

Additionally, the Auditor of the State of California’s *Juvenile Justice Crime Prevention Act – Weak Oversight Has Hindered Its Meaningful Implementation* Report Number: 2019-116, stated: “To determine how their funded programs may have contributed to countywide juvenile justice trends, counties must maintain data on participants in those programs.”² The report went on to state: “Without reliable information on the individuals who participated in JJCPA-funded programs, counties cannot adequately assess the effectiveness of those programs in reducing juvenile crime and delinquency.”³ Regarding the state mandated year-end reports, the report stated: “Specifically, counties must include descriptions or analyses of how their JJCPA-funded programs may have contributed to or influenced countywide juvenile justice trends, such as declining arrests.”⁴

WHEREAS, during the August 31, 2022, Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council (JJCC) meeting, a discussion resulted in this motion to create the JJCC Member Attorney Representatives Data Ad-Hoc Subcommittee.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the JJCC appoint a JJCC Member Attorney Representatives Data Ad-Hoc Subcommittee:

1. Comprised of the following: Chief Executive Office County Counsel, Probation, Public Defender’s Office and Los Angeles County Office of Education.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the JJCC-JJCC Member Attorney Representatives Data Ad-Hoc Subcommittee be empowered to:

1. Meet to discuss data collection as part of the State Grant for Juvenile Justice Crime Prevention Act.
2. Make recommendations at the January/February 2023 Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council meeting.

¹ Welfare and Institutions Code 749.22

² Auditor of the State of California, Juvenile Justice Crime Prevention Act- Weak Oversight Has Hindered Its Meaningful Implementation Report Number 2019-116.

³ Ibid.

⁴ Ibid.