Communication Access Realtime Translation (CART) captioning is provided to facilitate communication accessibility. CART captioning and this realtime file may not be a totally verbatim record of the proceedings. The real-time human captioning you are about to view is provided by a Nationally Board Certified stenographic captioner.

.

>> CART PROVIDER: Standing by.

>> CHAIR STEELE: I'm just waiting to get quorum in the room. Thank you for being here today. I have a question. Is the parking lot on the other side of the wall? Who do we talk to about it? Let's wait for the ten minute recess. Let bring the meeting to order today. All right, all right. Thank you all for being in the space. Yes we've got a lot to tackle today. I want to first acknowledge each and every one of my peers. I know because of moving this thing at break neck speed, there's been pieces that, you know, folks have been just kind of trusting the process on.

Even in the community too, like as we were doing the things online and I know there was some folks who are first time listeners, first time engagers in this work. We, today, get a chance to actually bring it all home. Right.

So like I said in the last meeting last week I'm grateful for you participating in this group project that you didn't sign up but you did kind of sign up for because you did own the thing. We're going to maneuver in a way to get us to the finish line. On the ideas around the concept recommendations. Welcome to the space let's go ahead and get through the protocol pieces of our agenda today. Read the meeting disclosures. I'll start there.

>> I will read the meeting disclosures. This meeting is being recorded for the for the purpose of the meeting minutes. By remaining in this meeting you consent to being recorded. This is a public meeting and subject to the Brown act. Since conversations and statements on the chat are not visible to the people on the telephone, and who are unable to participate, the chat function is limited to technical assistance. There will be no response nor forwarding of any public comments to the advisory committee members. If members of the public would like to provide comment, please do so either during the public comment period for the specific agenda item or during the general public comment period. For closed caption assistance, CART services are available. You may access these

services by clicking on the Streamtext link that will be provided in the chat after the reading of the disclosures.

When accessing Zoom through a computer browser or smartphone Zoom app, scroll to the reactions at the bottom tab and you will see the raise hand feature. When accessing Zoom through the smartphone browser, scroll to more at the bottom to be. There should be three bounce above more. You will see a drop down menu with the raise hand feature. For anyone experiencing online technical difficulties, telephone dial in information will also be provided in the chat. During public comment, telephone participants may press star 9 to raise your hand, press star 6 to unmute. For Spanish interpretation, please click on the globe icon and select Spanish. For written public comments written public comments are to be submitted to JCOD at LA County.gov. For a written public comments to be reviewed by the committee members prior to the meeting, it should be submitted by 5 p.m. the day before the meeting.

These public comments will be shared with the advisory committee members prior to the meeting and will be reflected in the meeting minutes. Written comments received after 5 p.m. the day before the meeting through the end of the meeting will be made part of the public record for the meeting.

However, advisory committee members may not have the opportunity to review those comments prior to acting on an agenda item. This concludes the meeting disclosures. Chair Steele I'll pass it over to you

>> CHAIR STEELE: Thank you very much. We're going to move onto the land acknowledgement. The land bee note our feet is the ancestral lands of the people who live in Los Angeles County and surrounding areas for thousands of years. I would like for us to acknowledge the Gabrieleno Tongva people, the Fernandeno Tatavium tribe and the Ventureno Chumash people. These native people understood and respected the land connected and represented the four legged creatures the winged ones and everything in the ocean. Their hearts told them never to take more than they could use and always give back to mother earth. These amazing people are still here today living and breathing amongst us and still giving back to the communities that surround us. Aheehe, thank you to our ancestors. Moving on to the labor acknowledgement most modern days U.S. Constitutions have benefitted from the unaddressed legacy of stolen labor at the

foundation of this language and wealth. We acknowledge our threat to the people of African decent whose labor and suffering grew the infrastructure of a nation that refuses to refused to recognize their humanity. The 13th Amendment to the Constitution technically ended slavery in the United States we know that slave Reese ongoing impacts are felt to work in the United States. We recognize our debt to exploited workers past and present whose labor was and continues to be stolen through unjust practices. We acknowledge our collective debt to the indigenous peoples of this land whose labor was forced and exploited the Chinese immigrants who allowed for western development. Japanese Americans whose properties and livelihoods were taken from them while incarcerated during World War II and workers from the Philippines, South America who have worked Pacific Northwest farms and can Reese. We recognize the American born of African, Asian and south American decent whose labor remains hidden in the shadows. We recognize that the economy continues to rely on exploited labor of incarcerated people earn pennies an hour while generating billions and billions in goods and services each year. We know there are many other people who are prevented from reaping the true value of their labor. We acknowledge that the theft of their labor is a theft of generational progress. Nearly all people of color have been robbed of the opportunity and wealth that their ancestors might otherwise have passed onto them. Can I get the roll call before we get to the community agreements?

>> We will now conduct the roll call. To expedite this process we ask all advise real estate committee members to be ready with a microphone before your name is called so you can be ready to announce your attendance promptly. If you're unable to if you are participating remotely please indicate this by stating present, remote will he under just cause or present remotely under emergency circumstances when your name is called. After roll call is complete, we will review the list of members attending remotely. For those attending remotely under just cause, we ask you to briefly state your reason for remote attendance. As a reminder no further action is required for just cause.

For those attending under emergency circumstances, we will ask you to briefly describe the emergency necessitates your remote attendance. Following the descriptions, the committee will take a vote to confirm your participation under emergency circumstances. We will now begin the roll call by last name alphabetically. If you are on Zoom we can

>> Member Lobianco or alternate.

assign you cohost privileges. If you're calling in on your phone, you can unmute yourself by pressing star 6. You can raise your hand with star 9.

Judge Armstead or alternate. One moment. Member Carbajal or alternate. >> Present. >> Member Castillo or alternate. >> Present. >> Member Contreras or alternate. Member Crunk or alternate. >> Present. >> Member Victor Cyrus Franklin or alternate. >> Present. >> Member Eakins or alternate. >> Present. >> Member Tsai or alternate. >> Present and I will be there in ten minutes. Sorry I'm running late. >> Member Fuentes Miranda or alternate. >> Present remote I don't know if it's emergency or just cause but my mother fell and she's 88 years old and I'm responsible for her >> CHAIR STEELE: That's emergency, yeah. Yeah. I'll see you tomorrow. >> Member Garcia or alternate. Member Hong or alternate. >> Present alternate. >> Member Lewis or alternate. >> Present.

>> Present. >> Member Knight or alternate. >> Present. >> Member Scorza or alternate. >> Alternate present. >> Member Soto or alternate. >> Remote present. I'm almost there five minutes away. >> Member Steele or alternate. >> Present. >> Member Stevens or alternate. >> Present. >> Member Joey Williams or alternate. >> Present. >> Minimum Michael Williams. >> Member Wong or alternate. >> Alternate present. >> This meeting has reached quorum. >> CHAIR STEELE: Going through the community agreements and we will be ready to move forward. I'll start. Be respectful of the diverse voices being respected and remain open minded. >> Be mindful of power dynamics in this space as well as of the historical

DISCLAIMER: This is NOT a certified or verbatim transcript, but rather represents only the context of the class or meeting, subject to the inherent limitations of realtime captioning. The primary focus of realtime captioning is general communication access and as such this document is not suitable, acceptable, nor is it intended for use in any type of legal proceeding

disenfranchisement of black and indigenous communities. Accordingly defer to

community throughout this process.

- >> Be mindful of the diverse audience you're presenting to and make sure you speak with clarity.
 - >> Be collaborative.
 - >> Assume best attentions.
 - >> Challenge the idea not the person.
- >> Remember why we're here to center the Black, Brown and indigenous communities and other communities that have been most impacted by the carceral system low income communities, trans and gender nonconforming folks, et cetera.
 - >> Defer to community.
 - >> Transparency and follow through.
 - >> Be intentional about hearing and allowing space for additional voices to be uplifted.
 - >> Be an active participants and succinct your contributions.
 - >> Let equity lead the way.
 - >> Make space for youth voices.
- >> As much as possible allow community members to finish their sentence, thought during public comment.
 - >> Review community agreements before every meeting and amend them as needed.
- >> Begin CFCI advisory committee meetings with the land acknowledgement state recognizing respecting the indigenous people of the land we now call Los Angeles County. All right can we review and take appropriate action on the minutes from the CFCI advisory committee meeting on June 26. Actually let's do June 26 and also July 10th.
 - >> Should we do them together.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: If you so move.
- >> MEMBER STEVENS: I move that we approve the June 26, 2025 minutes as well as July 10th, 2025 minutes and July 17th, 2025 meeting minutes.

- >> CHAIR STEELE: Motion is moved.
 - >> Seconded.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: Motion is moved and seconded, thank you very much. Any questions, any amendments, any unreadiness on the minutes from the June 26th, July 10th and July 17th meetings? Hearing none let's go to public comment.
- >> This commences the public comment period of the agenda. The public comment period during the first—the public comment period during the meeting will be one minute per person for those online who would like to provide public comment please use the raise hand feature now. We will call on you in the order that your hand was raised. When you are called upon, please state your full name for the minutes. Before beginning your public comment, your hand will be lowered once you have completed the public comment. For those on the telephone please dial star 9 to raise your hand. We will say your name or the last digit of your telephone number when it is your turn. Dial star 6 to unmute yourself. When accessing Zoom through a computer browser, or a smartphone app scroll to reactions at the bottom tab. You will see the raise hand feature. We will now begin the public comment for approval of the minutes for the CFCI advisory committee regular meeting on June 26, 2025, and the Town Hall meeting on July 10th, 2025, and July 17th, 2025. Do we have any public comment in the room? Seeing no hands in the room we would like to go online.
- >> There are two hands raised. Juan Hoos, you've been unmuted. Please state your first last name for the record.
- >> First name is Juan, last name Hoos. Hello my name is Juan Jose Hoos and I represent the Asian Pacific Islander foreign movement a community based organization in Los Angeles California thank you for your continued efforts to prioritize communities impacted by the justice system. I'm here to support the CalFresh healthy living justice expansion proposal submitted by the Los Angeles food policy counsel in partnership with the Los Angeles County of department of health. Our organization transports and distributes to CalFresh healthy living funded partners that work with schools, food pantries parks and early childhood centers. Most of which serve justice impacted individuals and families. Access to fresh health food is foundational to health and healing and our work to ensure that communities most in need are not left behind. This funding would allow us to expand

our reach and improve food access in areas that have long been underserved thank you for your support.

- >> CHAIR STEELE: Thank you for your comment I want to note the items that we are discussing is the meeting minutes at this particular piece. But there will definitely be time and space provided for community members to talk on other items and recommendations but this is specific to the meeting minutes. So please have your comments go directly to that.
 - >> There are no more hands raised online.
 - >> Chair steel I have a correction I was present on July 10th and I was marked absent.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: Please make sure member Lewis was present for that meeting. Any other changes, anything else? Vote.
- >> We will now move to a vote. Just like for the minutes, or for the roll call, we're going to be in order of your name as your name is called please say yes, no, or abstain.
 - >> Member Carbajal.
 - >> Aye.
 - >> Member
- >> CHAIR STEELE: One second yes, sir.
- >> MEMBER CRUNK: I have a correction to July 10th. I wasn't here but I believe my alternate was online.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: 10th and 17th as well. Let's make sure we have member I'm sorry can you please say your last name Wuroala is added to the minutes July 10th and 17th.
 - >> Member Castillo.
 - >> Aye.
 - >> Member Contreras or alternate. Member Crunk.
 - >> Aye.
 - >> Member Cyrus Franklin.

>> Aye.
>> Member Eakins.
>> Aye.
>> Member Tsai.
>> Member Fuentes Miranda.
>> Aye.
>> Member Garcia or alternate.
>> Member Hong or alternate.
>> Aye.
>> Member Lewis.
>> Aye.
>> Member Lobianco.
>> Aye.
>> Member Knight.
>> Aye.
>> Member Scorza or alternate.
>> Aye.
>> Member Soto. Member Steele.
>> CHAIR STEELE: Aye.
>> Member Stevens.
>> Aye.
>> Member Joey Williams.
>> Member Myk'l Williams.

- >> Member Wong or alternate.
- >> Aye.
- >> Motion passes.
- >> Thank you. Robert is here, yes. I have a question I want to provide a moment for the different groups just to check in to make sure everybody is on the same page. Is it a call for a recess or what do I do on that? Recess. Thank you. So I want to give everybody the game plan for today. It is now 4:25. The game plan today is to, one, provide folks a moment to just make sure you check in with your team and everybody is on the same page about the concepts that you all have discussed. Thank you very much for taking the extra time to kind of get there to I want to make sure everybody is good to go.

And after that recess we'll come back together and each of the strategy area teams will lift up the concepts that you will be providing. Now, I know some folks grouped them or put them in buckets and that's fantastic. The ones that are in the buckets, though, for JCOD, we just need to know which of the items are also being utilized. We will also make sure that the buckets that you have will be recognized as well in this process but we just want to make sure that we're tracking the concepts along the way.

So each group will be able to provide what they are lifting up and at that point whatever the total number is, we'll have to work together to get down to the new number is 206.7 because we have to take the 12.3 out. It's 206.7. Why? Because the 12.3 that we originally approved back in November has to be included in our deliberation as well. So those things have already been vetted and we've already included that so the original number was 219 million but we're taking away, we're moving the 12.3 automatically into that number so we are now at 206.7. Whatever number after everybody has put forth their concepts down to 206.7 once we get there we'll vote on that approved, the next phase will provide in another recess for folks to take the concepts that you have lifted up and we'll give, we have to get to 50 million for the considerations to go with the CEO's recommendations. We'll take some time to deliberate amongst each of the teams to determine if you have 10 million out of the ones you put forward which ones are you putting forward to put towards the 50 million. We'll come back together, have that discussion, we get to 50 million we'll vote on that. Okay. So we've got oh two things to do. 206.7. 50 million. Questions?

- >> 10 million from each of the five.
- >> For sure.
- >> Start thinking that way.
- >> That's basically the way to think about it, why he.
- >> Good afternoon everybody. A couple of questions for you, Chairman Steele. I didn't receive the updated list with the new additions being scored, and then also I understand that some still may not have been scored because the rubric didn't reopen for scoring. So I'm just wondering how we might address that
- >> CHAIR STEELE: The 33 that didn't get scored, we're disseminated, they were reassigned to be scored, yeah.
- >> Right and then the forms I think that we did the original scoring on, I heard from a couple folks they hasn't actually been reopened so scores could be input. I don't know if that's accurate because none of mine were redisseminated.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: I got you. From my understanding because I had about eight or nine of them, there was a spreadsheet that was provided to be able to put the information into the spreadsheet so that they could be directly inputted back into the form; is that correct.
- >> Perfect. Did everybody else get the redistributed list with all of the scores on it? Because I haven't seen it.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: JCOD. So I know it didn't get disseminated back out because they were still waiting on certain scoring but I want to be clear about the scoring piece. It was to be utilized as a guide for the deliberation process around how we wanted to score them or how we wanted to actually I'm sorry narrow in on the concepts. So the scoring piece the more important piece was just making sure that folks had access to all of the concepts that you wanted to take into consideration. So what I'm hearing is that you did not get a chance to do that is that what you're saying.
- >> I just want to make sure that all of the proposals all the submissions were considered and I'm concerned that some may not have been considered and may not be put forth in discussions to do because they weren't scored and we were instructed last week to maybe

consider a cut off number for scoring for putting forth our proposals today. So maybe we can just verify that all of them have been scored and that all of them are being considered. I just wouldn't want anybody's hard work to not be part of this process.

- >> CHAIR STEELE: In all transparency from the list that I saw, there were a couple in strategy 2, several in strategy 3 and also the housing one, strategy 4, right.
 - >> We met again and considered all of ours.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: The education one, we were able to consider all of ours as well and I guess the question is I think there was maybe two or three for strategy 2. Were you all able to get those? I'm sorry.
 - >> Strategy 1 [indiscernible].
- >> CHAIR STEELE: Member Contreras picked up some of the pieces and she was in strategy 1 and 2 both groups to be able to bring those concepts into those places so I want to say thank you to her for holding it down she held it down for the home team. So to that end, I think we were able to take into consideration all the concepts into our deliberation process.
 - >> Wonderful thank you for confirming.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: Member Stevens.
- >> MEMBER STEVENS: Before we recess and come together I just wanted to be clear about capital building, projects that have capital building associated, that there has been projects that were funded for capital building and I just need to make sure that's on the record and that we're all clear.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: In our ongoing funds, yes.
- >> MEMBER STEVENS: That's allowable.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: Keep a note that even for these one time funds it's a 36 million window for those funds to be spent down to you know what I mean. So we want to make sure that they are getting out the door as soon as possible but the impact window for those dollars is also 36. Does that answer your concern.

- >> MEMBER STEVENS: In other words they have to be spent so whoever is getting these funds have to be ready ready.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: That's correct. Any other questions or thoughts to lift up here. Okay. It is 4:35. I'm going to give it to just about it's like want to give it to a quarter to for folks to get together, check in, make sure everybody is on the same page.
- >> MEMBER STEVENS: Have some smacks.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: We're going to take a recess until 4:45. Okay. Thank you.

(Recess until 4:45.)

>> CHAIR STEELE: All right everybody. Thank you for that. Trying to tell you it's a sight to see everybody standing around talking with each other like game planning okay here we go. All right. So this is the way we'll go through it. JCOD will be keeping track of the items that you are bringing forward. So as you are identifying the concept that you're lifting up, I ask that you please say the item number and the name. So there's the A column, row number. You know what I'm saying. And the name so JCOD can highlight to make sure that it's lifted up. If you change the funding amount, let us know that too so we can keep track of how much we're tracking across the board. Okay.

Now, I know that some of you all put them in buckets and that's cool. You can let us know what your strategy was and what your buckets are, we'll keep track of that as well. But we also want to make sure we're identifying each of the different strategies that are being lifted up so we can keep track of the funding amounts, okay.

I feel like maybe I should go first to model and then everybody else can go behind me should I do that.

- >> Can I confirm the numbers are in column B on the original
- >> CHAIR STEELE: The original document there was a column, like a tiny column. That's what I'm saying if you call the name they could find the name too if you can't find the number.

All right. To the community who is here in the room, bear with us. Okay as we work through this. I got you, okay. I see you and I know, you know, when we get past this first the

reason I want to vote on the one we have first so there is an opportunity for public comment to add into the discussion as well. But we got you, okay.

All right so I'll start. So my team member Eakins, member Carbajal we had education access and youth development. When we got a chance to take a look of the different strategy areas, there were a few things that were already apparent. That there were some projects that were submitted that could be grouped together. The scoring piece, you know, was a value add because we can kind of see at least from the areas of focus that the rubric had which ones kind of scored high and were committed to the project. But we also saw that many of the different projects had lower amounts as far as funding amounts are concerned. So in thinking about how we could be thoughtful around the groupings while also making sure that the entities that may get these concepts by way of the departments or the TPA we could make sure that it was something that could be tenable for an RFP or could be tenable for getting dollars out to partners that are built in. Build to thrive number 120. I am more than social media number 111. IABTC real ones that's number 110. Boys and girls club Pasadena, 108. Journey 4, 146. Journey forward, number 146. The Los Angeles County child abuse prevention, 160. Native youth for justice. That's 164. Reimagining reality, youth program that's 124. Mentor for change, that's 109.

Bridge builders turning youth into peace makers, 152. Is that it? Ramp LA craftsmanship training program 154. Nonclinical mental health resources 159, laced up steps towards stability 161. Protect our communities 132. My roots, story to set 151. Boots on the ground, 153. The healing stage, 149. Daughters of change, 113. Phoenix flame 122. VY LA, 118. Homeboy youth reentry center 143. Limitless community, 155. These are all under there. I should also mention with ours, we reached 50 million. With strategy, with our strategy area. Victimless 163. Social and emotional education, 156. Project redirect 121. Youth development services, 137. Rise to responsibility, 165. Youth development training 141. Purpose honor and discipline, 114. Grace place, 112. Brush strokes of healing, 130. Rise, 133. La Chochina culinary youth training, 139. Seeds of change, 148. Ruths to rise, 116. A new beginning, 115. I'm going to tell you when I get to that. I got you.

[CAPTIONER CHANGE 5:00 PM]

JCOD CFCI committee meeting

>> CHAIR STEELE: I'm going to tell you when I get there. I got you. Where did I leave off? I'm sorry. Plate of purpose, 131. Culture art series, 140. Youth empowerment program 150. Yeah, 150. Tech support, 135. Project optimism, 106. Money 101, 105. Peer mediation, 107. And college visit tour sponsorship and local trainings, 123.

Yes. All of those were under a million dollars and it's a total of \$14,000,713 -- \$14,713,715. Yes.

Now the next settle that we looked at was more than a million but less than 10 million and that list is a lot shorter, you all. So just bear with me.

Street poets, 119. Yeah, you got it. Street poets 119.

Civic power participation and democracy with Artie125. The kindness closet, 128. Let me know, the civic power one was changed to \$2 million. That was 125.

2 million. Mmm hmm. Active A-ctive 129. Youth empowerment. Pathways program. Resource desert, 162. CASALA early intervention 157. School-Based Mentorship program, 138.

Youth works, 134. Pathways to success, 136. DYD's strengthening youth leadership, 144.

That was changed to 1.51 million, 500? Yeah. 1,500,000.

>> Why was we were just talking about that. We didn't do any -- steeping I'm sorry. That's okay. I was trying to be mindful. Trying to be mindful. It's all good. It's all good.

It's all good. It's all good, guys. Bridge to belonging, 142. That one -- yeah and then the nexus is 127. So that brought you the to 37,000,581 and then we wanted to make sure we added parks after dark which was more than \$10 million. That was 147.

Parks after dark. And we changed the number to 11666490. After having a conversation with Member Draxler? Was it Member Draxler? No. We had a conversation with one of the

members, one of the County folks from parks. The number they had was for three years and we're able to give them one year worth of resources. 11666490.

Did you get that? 11666490. That brings us to \$49,247,766. We did group them. And I'll pass over the grouping document but healing center, we grouped the ones under a million dollars to make sure that it was big number pot for the County department or the TPA that will receive the funds. Healing center, school partnerships, culturally rooted career and healing pathways for system impacted youth and young adults. Traditional job training and career navigation programs and entrepreneur and economic power building. Healing and connection and pyramid to wellness and systematic recovery. Systemic recovery and those not able to be grouped.

Are the ones that we had. It's the same price. I'm just saying that we grouped them into buckets as well, but I was making sure that I called out the ones that we were lifting up. Are we good? Member Eakins? Member Carbajal? All right. Thank you. That was it. Yes, please.

>> Hello everybody. Myself, Member Soto and Member Syrus Franklin made up the strategy for housing.

>> MEMBER LEWIS: And we had \$99.8 million worth of requests and our, and put it basically by half to \$46.9 million. We grouped into buckets because even though there were special populations and entities there were five buckets of housing related services that we noted. I'll go through the buckets and calling out the proposals themselves and also should these move forward, we also pulled out the special populations that were mentioned across the multiple proposals to make sure that all of those populations are eligible.

We talk to it from a concept perspective because that's what you're supposed to do. We're not funding these exact proposals so that's why we did it that way.

So I'm going to get started with -- we had five -- sorry. I'm trying to organize this. We had the five category include clinically enhanced housing. Interim Housing. Housing navigation. Homeless prevention. And permanent housing which includes all forms of permanent housing. Subsidies and project based. I will start with clinically enhanced Interim Housing.

The numbers I'm calling out consistent with the tabs. -P if you're following me you can go to the tabs. That's what our row numbers are connected to. All right.

So row 17. These are all the clinically enhanced Interim Housing models that were considered. Should it move forward we want the grant administrator to consider the different aspects of what people wrote. The special populations called out across these different proposal that's we want the grant administrator to make sure are funded for are folks that need substance use order support. Youth older adults. AIAN. And enhanced residential care which is a specialized program that DHS has.

Clinically enhanced Interim Housing concepts included in bucket are row 17. Farm garden project. Row seven, helping people help themselves. Los Angeles transition center.

Oh you going to give them the corresponding -- okay.

>> CHAIR STEELE: Yeah.

>> MEMBER LEWIS: Number nine rope on wheels disconnected youth.

>> CHAIR STEELE: 172.

>> MEMBER LEWIS: Row 10HFS housing care home services foundation.

>> CHAIR STEELE: 174.

>> MEMBER LEWIS: You the real MVP today cheer Steele.

>> MEMBER LEWIS: 121 Department of youth development.

>> CHAIR STEELE: 185.

>> MEMBER LEWIS: Row 29 many. I'll stop the rows. Independent prevail emergency services shelter JCOD.

>> CHAIR STEELE: Was that one of the ones that was added?

>> MEMBER LEWIS: They have my list and it's categorized. Yes. Yeah. I'm -- but, the dollar amounts are not relevant. Yeah. To us, I think.

>> CHAIR STEELE: Mmm hmm.

>> MEMBER LEWIS: Row 39 hearth and home elder care. Row 33, Housing for Health. Permanent Supportive Housing expansion. This one has two different, it falls into two different categories but part if a falls in the interim enhanced housing that I talked about. That's it for clinically enhanced Interim Housing and we're proposing 15 million for that bucket. All right. Moving on to housing navigation. There are -- sorry.

There are two different veteran related proposals and both housing navigation. Housing navigation additional cost. LA County veteran's affairs and there are two different ones. Row 33 and row 18 in the tab.

And then the other one under housing navigation. There's too more. Establishing housing avenue no, sir American Indian and Alaska Native with histories in LA County.

Find a number for that one or keep going? Again, I have it categorized.

>> CHAIR STEELE: Keep going keep going.

>> MEMBER LEWIS: Finally in the housing navigation category. Second chance is housing and navigation TDD supportive living.

We are proposing \$1 million for the housing navigation category. Yes?

Say the row numbers again? Okay. 18. 19. Which one? Housing navigation category. 18, 19, 26, and 36.

Mmm hmm.

>> [[off mic]]

>> MEMBER LEWIS: We're using whatever you sent before with the work groups. All of this is in my sheet and I'll sent it to you again. You don't have to do that legwork. This is from what we got on right after the July 10th meeting.

Moving on. So Interim Housing so this is the nonclinical on site Interim Housing. This covered all populations but there were a couple that specifically called out the LGBTQIA+ plus population and we want to make sure if this moves forward, there's a carve out for that sub group.

So let's get started. 36 row 36 again second chances housing navigation. TDD support living. Both have navigation housing component and Interim Housing come opponent enter so it falls into both.

Row three Interim Housing and emergency beds ensure lifestyle and housing.

Row six, Interim Housing and job resource center on site assured lifestyle housing, again.

Row 20 For just a second miracle pray sanctuary ministries Interim Housing. Scheme. Row 20.

Project miracle Interim Housing. It's a duplicate. So it's both row 20 and 23. They are duplicates of one another. Row 30. JCOD reentry Interim Housing.

Row 31, ODR lead. Row 35 holistic services for life or long-term reentry clients starfish stories. Row 40, steppingstone reentry pathway housing program. Hold on. I think there was one more combination. Interim Housing we are proposing \$20 million for that entire category.

- >> CHAIR STEELE: Okay.
- >> MEMBER LEWIS: All right. So moving onto permanent housing.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: How much was your housing navigation again?
- >> MEMBER LEWIS: One mountain. Prevention was 900,000 and then 20 million. Permanent housing.

All right. So permanent housing, again, we made specific note of a few different things related to the LGBTQIA+ plus community. So row 22 peer services and peer run housing and peer run respites share. Breaking barriers. Row 24, breaking barriers, corners. Row 27 time limit subsidies. CEO homeless initiative.

Row 34 supportive services for LGBTQIA+ plus TBD supportive living. Row 37 families first supported housing and TBD supported living. I think I covered everybody. 22, 24, 27. 37, 34; 33. Yeah. So um, we are recommending \$10 million for the permanent housing category. So, again, our total which is reduced by half of the requests amount for the projects we're asking to move forward is \$46,900,000.

- >> CHAIR STEELE: \$46,900,000. Thank you very much for the housing group. Hone it down. All right. We almost there. That's two down. Who, who will go next?
- >> We'll go next. Strategy one which did things a little bit different than the previously who announcements. We did not categorize but as we look at our proposals, strategy one was interesting because it had a lot of variety no it with it being diversion, Behavioral Health, mental health, and it had a lot of elements of arts and culture. So we had a little bit of everything.
- >> MEMBER DRAXLER: So our recommendations represent a flavor of that approximate and we recommend a little bit of that. We used a process by looking at a range of scoring and kind of finding a point where we wanted to dive into those higher scoring programs and through that discussion came down at the end with 17 proposals we will put forward.

I do not have the line nurses you'll have to find them.

- >> CHAIR STEELE: Thank you.
- >> MEMBER DRAXLER: The first is the healing center arts program for impacted adults at DOORS.
- >> 25.
- >> MEMBER DRAXLER: Thank you. The second one is justice connect support center.
- >> 34.
- >> MEMBER DRAXLER: The next one is the medical legal community partnership.
- >> 38.
- >> MEMBER DRAXLER: Next is Rapid Diversion Program expansion.
- >> 46.
- >> MEMBER DRAXLER: Next is Black mental health and wellness hubs.
- >> 20.
- >> MEMBER DRAXLER: Next is Care First Community Investment program.
- >> 32.

- >> MEMBER DRAXLER: Next is DPSSPD expungement program.
- >> Yes. 24.
- >> MEMBER DRAXLER: Next is HEAL the early assessment and linkage program.
- >> 52.
- >> MEMBER DRAXLER: And heal with home boy. Is that the number?
- >> CHAIR STEELE: You said HEAL a home boy?
- >> MEMBER DRAXLER: Mmm hmm.
- >> Got it. 19.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: Nineteen yeah.
- >> MEMBER DRAXLER: Great.

She is chosen. That was one of the add ons.

- >> I don't have that one.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: Yeah. That was one of the ones --
- >> MEMBER DRAXLER: That Jackie did.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: That Member Contreras did.
- >> MEMBER DRAXLER: Next is the sharp crisis stabilization and treatment center.
- >> 42.
- >> MEMBER DRAXLER: Harm reduction Engagement and Overdose Prevention.
- >> I think that was an add on.
- >> MEMBER DRAXLER: Oh. That might have been.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: What was the name of it one more time.
- >> MEMBER DRAXLER: Harm reduction Engagement and Overdose Prevention EOP.
- >> 57.

- >> MEMBER DRAXLER: Next one is YMC after school and summer program expansion.
- >> Nine.
- >> MEMBER DRAXLER: Next is organizational grant program through Department of arts and culture.
- >> [off mic]
- >> CHAIR STEELE: Name it one more time.
- >> MEMBER DRAXLER: Organizational grant program with the Department of arts and culture.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: Arts and culture.
- >> MEMBER DRAXLER: Organizational grant program. OGP.
- >> [off mic]
- >> MEMBER DRAXLER: Reconciliation education and counseling, crimes of hate. YMC urban Oasis Youth Center. And then I have a comment.

We had on our list ODR lead and Member Lewis, I think you said you had ODR lead and so I'm wondering if it's a duplicate. This one looks like it's the same thing. So we might want to take a look at that. That was one of our recommended.

- >> CHAIR STEELE: How much was it?
- >> MEMBER DRAXLER: \$21 million.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: 21 million.
- >> MEMBER LEWIS: Understanding it the way I do there are multiple components and it makes sense under diversion because it's literally prebooking diversion.
- >> MEMBER DRAXLER: It looks like this is about motel Interim Housing resources.
- >> MEMBER LEWIS: It's the same one then.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: Look. Come on now. We might need that.
- >> MEMBER LEWIS: Thanks for catching that.

- >> CHAIR STEELE: We all right moving. Okay great we cooking.
- >> MEMBER DRAXLER: S those are our recommendations and we didn't do it by money. Just looking at it need. Not just looking but looking at need. We didn't care about the money.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: Are you able to grab the tops. Are y'all able to work on that?
- >> MEMBER STEVENS: I'm sorry?
- >> CHAIR STEELE: For this one.
- >> MEMBER DRAXLER: If that one is a duplicate, we'll offer up another one.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: 168 million. So, yeah we are going to have to circle back on that.
- >> MEMBER DRAXLER: If it is a duplicate, let me give you one more so that we can -- and we'll put up the skid row harm reduction and health hub. 48.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: All right. We got to get through the -- we got to get to the thing. All right. Cool. We get the final number on that, when you get a sec.

We got one, three, and four. That leaves us -- all right. Let's go.

- >> MEMBER JOEY WILLIAMS: I want to caveat Adam is out of the country and we worked together on this. I'm going to, if you set your filter to scores, it will have the top scores on it. We used 47 as a threshold, but give or take because one of those questions are kind of deceiving a bit and it says it this be done by any other group it lowers the score and we wanted to give or take and 43 is our threshold. Want to ensure you factor that into your reasoning. If you look at items two through 18, it will come out to about 10,677,000, 702. The first is number 211. Women's reentry program, all of support services. The second one is 218, life changers. I don't know if you need more name on that or if you found it.
- 222, CCFS reentry work program. 225, PATH, providing access for transformative horizon, Vermont village. Number six or on this one, 213 is justice involved veteran service division, Los Angeles County Department of military and veteran's affairs. # 27 art heals home boy healing through arts and creative economy. 241 project Fatherhood. Forms uniting families. 217 transitional employment for justice involved and unhoused.

Lancaster homeless group. 224, Avalon vocational launch pad avenue. 225. CEO new life. 21 reentry systems navigation, mass lib ratings.

221Siberia Thomas ministries. I hope I said that right. 226, removing the struggle.

209, AV reentry symposium. 232 the threshold project foundation. 234 choices that count. And then I believe the last one on this is 50 threshold was 244CTL reentry and empowerment program. I also want to caveat on the number two, one. Life changers. We wanted to raise that from 285 to a million. So it would be an additional \$715,000. Bringing that total of recommendations to 10,677,705. Moving forward to number 18. To a million from 285,000.

>> CHAIR STEELE: Mmm hmm.

>> Okay.

>> MEMBER JOEY WILLIAMS: We need more of that. That was just only one. It felt like really great work and it was really low to the work they were proposing to do by formerly incarcerated or justice involved folks that are leading it.

I'm going to start at 19. JCOD providing opportunities for women in reentry. That's 246. 256 first step forward empowerment resource center. 236 reclaim the narrative. 248 pause and purpose.

Pause and purpose. 239 reentry, resilience, and reform. 247 you are the master of you program for formerly incarcerated individuals.

251 holistic advocacy. 255 degrees not felonies. 258 supporting dual system impact across Los Angeles. 235 next verse. 250 a table for healing. 253 roots and wings pilot project. 259 unifying the community BBFF reentry. 206 system navigation of returning citizens, mass liberation. 208 navigating life. 237 rooting in rising of the last but not least is 238 empowering mentoring TGI aging population trans-Latino core legislative body. That should bring us with the recommendations from line 19 to 42 to \$41,828,885.88. Combine that with the first ones from line two through 18 it would be \$52,505,790.

Yeah. That would be the total for all the those from line two to 35. Yeah. And, of course, if we had to come to a situation where we had to navigate the last line item would be the ones that we need to negotiate. Equity and why we're here is super, super important.

Especially with the attacks that are happening on our TGI community from the presidential administration.

- >> CHAIR STEELE: Solid.
- >> MEMBER JOEY WILLIAMS: We're all getting attacked but we're getting super attacked.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: One more time.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: Strategy two, bring us home. Penn we're not going to make this easy for everybody because we did a lot of work breaking up.
- >> MEMBER FUENTES-MIRANDA: I will say them out slowly but I will tell you this: Our total recommendations are around four # million and some change. Marring so starting with the first one -- by the way, also for, we haven't teased out what the exact recommendation is per concepts.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: Yeah.
- >> MEMBER FUENTES-MIRANDA: Which we'll follow up with that. The figures one is just one break.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: I got you Michelle. I'm listening. It's 53? No? What is it?
- >> MEMBER FUENTES-MIRANDA: Next one is employment development, mass liberation.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: 101. Describe it too.
- >> MEMBER JOEY WILLIAMS: Okay.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: We locked in with you Michelle. Go ahead one more time.
- >> MEMBER FUENTES-MIRANDA: Employment development, mass liberation.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: Employment development. Mmm hmm. Mass liberation.
- >> MEMBER FUENTES-MIRANDA: Should I keep reading them or wait because I'm looking at the screen share?
- >> CHAIR STEELE: Go for it.

- >> MEMBER FUENTES-MIRANDA: Okay. Threads of hope. Hope global outreach foundation.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: One second. Yes. Got it. Go ahead.
- >> MEMBER FUENTES-MIRANDA: Work force services: American, native, and Indigenous services.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: Got it.
- >> MEMBER FUENTES-MIRANDA: Advancing community health and work force education.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: Got it.
- >> MEMBER FUENTES-MIRANDA: LA County high roads training partnership fund.
- >> MEMBER FUENTES-MIRANDA: Future force.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: Future force.
- >> MEMBER FUENTES-MIRANDA: There you go.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: Got it.
- >> MEMBER FUENTES-MIRANDA: New road landscape and maintenance.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: Got it.
- >> MEMBER FUENTES-MIRANDA: Café academy. Center apprenticeship and housing program. CAPL. There you go.

Black futures job pathways.

- >> CHAIR STEELE: Go ahead.
- >> MEMBER FUENTES-MIRANDA: The next: Ownership and opportunity a music rights intensive.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: Got it.
- >> MEMBER FUENTES-MIRANDA: Activate purpose entrepreneurship.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: Got it.

>> MEMBER FUENTES-MIRANDA: Cooperative education and development CEAD.

Did you get that one in power wellness. Power wellness.

- >> CHAIR STEELE: Next one.
- >> Give me one second.
- >> MEMBER FUENTES-MIRANDA: Okay.
- >> 70. Got it.
- >> MEMBER FUENTES-MIRANDA: LA County economic mobility hubs.
- >> 99. Got it.
- >> MEMBER FUENTES-MIRANDA: DCBA social equity program.
- >> You said it was a social equity program?
- >> MEMBER FUENTES-MIRANDA: Yeah.
- >> There you go. I see it. 87. Got it.
- >> MEMBER FUENTES-MIRANDA: Capacity strengthening grants for immigrant focused Community-based Organizations.
- >> 94. Got it.
- >> MEMBER FUENTES-MIRANDA: Black creative futures fund.
- >> 77. Got it.
- >> MEMBER FUENTES-MIRANDA: Financial reentry training.
- >> You said financial reentry training? Fen financial literacy. AB2927.
- >> 67. Got it.
- >> MEMBER FUENTES-MIRANDA: Financial literacy, high risk youth.
- >> 68. Got it.
- >> MEMBER FUENTES-MIRANDA: Legal education and advocacy project.

- >> 85. Got it.
- >> MEMBER FUENTES-MIRANDA: Represent LA immigration legal rep.
- >> Give me one second. Represent LA number 88. Got it.
- >> MEMBER FUENTES-MIRANDA: Um, vital income for dignified assistance.
- >> 82. Got it.
- >> MEMBER FUENTES-MIRANDA: LA County safe to work business interruption fund.
- >> Can you say it again, Michelle? I'm sorry.
- >> MEMBER FUENTES-MIRANDA: Yeah. LA County safe to work business interruption fund.
- >> I got it. Okay.
- >> MEMBER FUENTES-MIRANDA: Okay. And then CFCI tier incubator. I'm almost done.
- >> Give me one second. Incubator fund 60, got it.
- >> MEMBER FUENTES-MIRANDA: Nonprofit circle, advancing the seed.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: This is 42, right? 42 million.
- >> Circle, nonprofit circle advancing seed you said?
- >> MEMBER FUENTES-MIRANDA: Yes.
- >> Got it.
- >> MEMBER FUENTES-MIRANDA: Community and compliance matters.
- >> Got it.
- >> MEMBER FUENTES-MIRANDA: LA County collective well-being.
- >> Give me one second. LA County collective well-being. Got it.
- >> MEMBER FUENTES-MIRANDA: I believe I already gave you capacity strengthening grants for immigrant focused CBOs.

- >> Yes.
- >> MEMBER FUENTES-MIRANDA: Okay. I am complete. We are complete.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: Nice.
- >> Okay.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: Way to go. Total one more time for your group, Michelle?
- >> MEMBER FUENTES-MIRANDA: 42 million and some change.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: Could I get the change?
- >> MEMBER FUENTES-MIRANDA: I'll have to update.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: [Laughs] around 42 million. Something like that. We're going to round that up to 43 million. Do you know what I'm saying? [Laughs]

Hold on. I'll tell you in a second.

- >> MEMBER FUENTES-MIRANDA: We are modifying some of those. A few. We need to adjust what we're recommending.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: Y'all's came in, strategy one.
- >> Maybe around 46? Well, this is swiftly -- well, it's higher. [off mic].
- >> MEMBER STEVENS: Let's do a guesstimated number.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: That part too.
- >> Then it's 35.

[Background discussions off mic]

- >> CHAIR STEELE: Sorry. Member Jackson? Member Jackson?
- >> Yeah.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: What was the roundabout number you said?

- >> MEMBER DRAXLER: I think we're around 35 million. I'm rounding. If you take LEAD out of ours and put LEAD in the other group and you substitute in our skid row one, we should be around 35 million.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: Got it.
- >> Member Draxler: That's really rough math.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: Got it. 35 million. All right. We'll figure it out. Give me one second you all to make sure we solid. I don't need 15 minutes. Leave me alone, let me go. Okay? Down to the penny?
- >> You come up with 49?
- >> That's fine. I'm just trying to add it on my ...
- >> Okay.
- >> [Background discussion]
- >> CHAIR STEELE: Let's, let's -- all right. What is the total? What are we totaling at?
- >> [off mic]
- >> CHAIR STEELE: That's fine. That's fine.
- >> For strategy one we are totaling \$49,469,094.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: 49 million how much?
- >> \$49,469,094.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: Okay.
- >> Even. No change.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: Got you. Strategy two? Approximately 43 million. Strategy three is 49247766.
- >> MEMBER STEVENS: What's two again?
- >> CHAIR STEELE: Got you. 43 million. Strategy three is \$49,247,766. Strategy four was \$46,900,000. Strategy five was \$52,505,790.

790. Yeah. Total of? 49469094. -- 49469094. 094. Thank you.

So total \$241,122,650. So we got to get down to 206.7. And 206.7 okay?

>> We are at 241122650. \$241,122,650.

>> CHAIR STEELE: Yes?

>> So I think the gut to be to divide the amount by five strategies and I would have us consider doing it a different way thinking about housing and costing and more than perhaps youth programs or [GORMAN] depending on the program and I would just look to propose that as a discussion.

>> MEMBER LEWIS: While you're thinking, we just want to say that housing -- and really this is more so for the 50 million conversation bus that's the matter but we rant to request housing 40% given --

>> CHAIR STEELE: What's going on?

>> MEMBER LEWIS: 40% of the 50 million when you get to that conversation.

>> CHAIR STEELE: 40% of the 50. Well, let's hold on. One thing, first thing is first. Hold on hold on. Because I want to -- I got you. I just want to round into where we are first. First of all, everybody did the assignment. Thank you. Let's prop that up. Hold on.

So we narrowed 500 -- not yet because we ain't get to the finish line yet. We got 560 million roughly down to 241 million. Now we kind of like figure out how we slice the bread so that everyone can eat. Do you know what I mean?

So as you were going through your concepts and going through your deliberations, I first want to just ask, were there items that were, that even in your grouping -- because I know in our grouping, like, we were totaling pieces together. Along with the prices that we're totaling too. So is there a way that we can adjust the numbers to kind of, like, you know, provide space. Do you know what I mean? So, um, again, as a way of modeling what I mean, looking at what we provided. I know that there's about maybe \$4 million that is kind of duplicate work between some of the concepts that we have.

That if need be, we can, we can offer up as a part of this. But the thing is, though, to get to 206 from 241 means that we got to find literally almost like 30 what? \$35, \$36 million? 38 million? We need to get to 206.7. Yes. Please, Member Eakins.

- >> MEMBER EAKINS: If you remember in our deliberations yesterday, we talked about those organizations that were looking for three year funding and the opportunities that you know, could possibly present itself in the fall when the new budget, like, hits. So I don't know if anybody else took that into consideration like we did. So that was helpful in terms of our conversation. Perhaps it may help another group.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: That's good. So one of the things we are talking about when we were looking at some of the asks. The asks were based on three years. So we changed the three years to one years so that the amount of resources were still in the bucket but it was considering immediate release to the community. Do you know what I'm saying?

So like even in looking at yours, were there some of the asks that may have been very high asks because they were asking for multiyear funds that we can actually turn into a one-year fund, giving them the runway to try to figure out the next year after that.

- >> [Background voice off mic]
- >> I'm sorry I missed that, Member Stevens. Can you say that again?
- >> MEMBER STEVENS: Just thinking about those -- what concepts are new concepts as a one time and those who are already funds. So is it -- and is the concepts to complement what they already are doing. So are they asking for additional money to maybe enhance something in the programs? Help me with some language.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: I'm with you. You know, being transparent about it. Like, I know for instance, DYD is on my list, right? I think one of the asks that they had is an enhancement on dollars that that is already an ongoing. Do you know what I'm saying? I feel you on that. The idea of trying to get, trying to find \$34,422,650, thinking that's where we need to be.
- >> MEMBER STEVENS: Right. I would just like to make one other comment. You know, we're in a time like we've never been before. Wake up, y'all. This is a woke moment for all of us. We can't sit around the table and deliberate in the manner in which we did in the

beginning of the work we started. And I think we need to be honest with ourselves about what is being presented to us, particularly from the Trump administration. Can I say that?

- >> CHAIR STEELE: You on point. Go for it.
- >> MEMBER STEVENS: Because the promises that have been made, he's delivering upon them. And there are some new promises that came out today, so when I think about this, I think we need to be operating more selflessly. If there are agencies that are looking for something, you know, to enhance whatever they are doing. But really start thinking about how many people are going to die on our streets are how many people are not going to have a place to sleep? How many people are not going to have food to eat. This is really, really real. I'm going to be honest with y'all. I haven't experienced homelessness in over 25 years. And I'm afraid.

I'm experiencing -- I'm wondering, do I need to make a phone call? Do I need to ask for assistance and support? And I'm not talking about that my housing is, that I'm falling right now. But the fear, the fear of it all says, do I need to go back to mental health? Do you understand what I'm saying?

- >> CHAIR STEELE: Yeah. Yeah. We hear you.
- >> MEMBER STEVENS: And so then we have immigration. And we have those fears. And I just want to -- I'll end in saying this.

We're talking about a hundred forms of fear that's coming across our community that real life people -- and I happen to be experiencing it right now.

And it's frightening.

- >> CHAIR STEELE: Mmm hmm.
- >> MEMBER STEVENS: And for most of you, and I don't want to just be pointing my finger but in a sense I am. Because you work in it, sometimes you don't really, you're not, you're unable at all times to grasp what is actually happening to individuals right now that are in underserved communities, or people at that look a certain way. And you know, so I want us to remember that we're not -- and this is also about making sure that people don't go to jail because let me tell you about that man. That man right now today is promising to put people away and he wants to really put people away.

- >> CHAIR STEELE: Thank you very much for that. I want to ask, I think it's really important to get to this delineation and vote today. I know it's a time check 5:56. Yes. Member Contreras?
- >> MEMBER CONTRERAS: I know this is technical in nature but in the spirit of trying to move it, I did hear in my group accident one of my groups, group one. We did a cut off of 40.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: MVP>> MEMBER CONTRERAS: Thanks for the shout out. We did a cut off of 40 and I heard another group 42. I'm wondering if groups had a cut off and if we make the cuts consistent, would that what I what makes the cut?
- >> CHAIR STEELE: Yes. I hear you. I hear you on that. That's why I wanted to -- one of my first steps was okay, I can speak up to this because if I'm thinking about the 42, we're on 49. So in the idea of trying to offer up some changes --
- >> MEMBER CONTRERAS: No. I'm talking about the score.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: Oh, the scoring.
- >> MEMBER CONTRERAS: Score is not perfect, right? I know we did 40. I heard 42 as a cut off. Looking at those that scored above.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: Hmm.
- >> MEMBER CONTRERAS: So if other groups did the same thing. I'm just wondering if we align that, would that --
- >> Of your recommended.
- >> MEMBER CONTRERAS: Yes. Of your recommended one so if we move it up to 42 or, you know?
- >> Chair?
- >> CHAIR STEELE: Yes. I'm holding your suggestion and I'm going to Member Williams and Member Cyrus-Franklin and Member Williams.
- >> MEMBER JOEY WILLIAMS: Can we get the clean version of the speed sheet of what we did as far as the notes we spook what can be combined. I have seen recommends on redacting some of the amounts on some of ours and wanted to headache sure we got the

first cut done before we do that. I think that might be helpful in getting us there. If we get this done today what is the work for next week?

>> CHAIR STEELE: The deliberation of getting to the 50 million. The letter will also be drafted as well with the opportunity to just enter in what we decide upon in the letter. So we'll vote on the 50 million and also the final draft of the letter.

They are sending over the document you asked right now. All right.

- >> Chair Steele?
- >> CHAIR STEELE: I want to go to Member Cyrus-Franklin.
- >> MEMBER CYRUS-FRANKLIN: I'm good.
- >> MEMBER GORMAN: We have not looked at the amounts as closely and we looked at the concepts and programs and I think trying to reduce our amounts in a matter of minutes would be not fair to the proposals. Of.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: Okay. Got it.
- >> MEMBER GORMAN: I would speak for myself that I would be glad for our group to meet another time this week and report back to JCOD and the group before next Thursday. But I don't want to rush it.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: All right. Okay. Heard. Heard. I'm hearing some --
- >> MEMBER GORMAN: I'll defer if other people disagree.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: I'm hearing the murmurs.
- >> We need targets.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: Targets. Figuring how we can get there. I got you. I'm going to come back to, I got a thought.
- >> Can you hear me?
- >> CHAIR STEELE: Yes.
- >> MEMBER ARMSTEAD: I have two thoughts and the target thing is interesting in light of what I've heard around housing and other things in terms of the breakdown.

I'm wondering if we can say the@oreys if we say housing overall is 60 million and the other Al Gory is 35 million and we're working up to that. Adam was here on JCOD's behalf. The other thing that I think is people are looking at individual applications and not looking at what the concept is largely and I really think we need to be looking at what the concept is large worry.

[Captioner change 6:00 p.m.]

- >> I think people are still I wasn't here granted Adam is here on JCOD's behalf. People are looking at individual applications and not looking at the concept largely and I really think we need to look at the concept is largely because when it goes out and gets funded if it goes to TBA it's the concept that's funded not that individual application. Those are the categories we need to be looking at. We're grouping these together so broadly is this case management, specialized population, Native American youth studies, is this for trans population. And coming up with amounts for those concepts as opposed to an individual application for this amount of money.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: I agree. And I think too the question that was kind of raised by member Contreras, most of the groups have gotten to a grouping of sorts but that extra step of grouping and adding a number to your bucket or concept or group would really help the matter as well. That is taken, and I think the housing group has done that I think probably the most efficiently, right. So.
- >> If you know, can I add one thing housing for instance you have emergency, interim, support. No, no, I'm satisfying for the other categories if it's youth services after school programs, workforce development and categorizing the concepts.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: That's how we got to our 49 million as well. We just have an uncategorized bucket too that I think we can maybe even sharpen even further in the youth space to bring you back. But I want to, to the strategy areas that did not go that route initially, how do you all feel about being able to take that back and engage with your team to come with that, particularly I'm thinking about.

- >> Strategy 1. I don't think that's going to be a problem. I think we kind of have strategy or we have categories there, we kind of like I said earlier, looked at the wide variety of what was in our strategy and then tried to bucket a little bit from each of those. So I think we kind of have the basis for it and we can refine that but I'm looking to my other workgroup members to get confirmation.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: I got you. All right I like that member Lewis.
- >> MEMBER LEWIS: I'm going to say something. So given all of the additional time that we've taken I just want to say that I want to make sure everybody is clear we've got two steps here and so while we should spend time on getting to the 207, I want to remind you all there's less than a 5% chance anything above \$50,000,000 and \$1 is going to be funded you all know that. If your workgroups are going to go back do both things, and I'm not it's not futile our chair is leading us and on principle we want to propose but there's less than a 5% anything above 50 million is going to be funded. So if you're going to talk again, and if you really want to get done next week, please consider that 50 million because that's ultimately really where our voices are going to be to be able to land to what the decisions are. Not the full amount. Everybody clear about that? Okay.
- >> I'm clear but I have a question about that going back to do we have targets in that 50 million, right. Like, you know, is housing taking the majority of it. I think we have to have that discussion because easily we could all go with 10 million in each category but I don't know if that's where we want to end up.
- >> I want to add to that. It's not a lot of money I think prioritizing is good because there will be another batch of money and we have priorities that are already set within the next batch of money comes down. I would like to propose that if we're looking at the 200 that 60 is focused on housing because that is one of the more expense categories and the other categories get 35 million each and it's divided that way. If it's 15 million of it which is basically 30% of it would go to housing.
 - >> It will be 36.5.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: We have identified the different areas in housing that they want to outline so it's not just housing, so prevention, it's all. I want to make sure I understand so

you're saying make sure we can elevate the housing pieces and then make sure that everybody is going to their conversations thinking about 35 million that gets us to the 206.

- >> I did it at 200. It's 36.5.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: I got you member Williams. To member Lewis's point as we're going.
 - >> Do both.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: We have to make sure we do both.
- >> If we do 15 million for the 50, 60 million for the 200 plus and divide the other ones by 4.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: Percentage wise so 60.
- >> I rounded down to 35 so we did housing at 35% the remaining balance for the other 16.25%.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: We split that evenly.
- >> MEMBER LEWIS: Whether it's 206.7 or 50 million. If we make that decision housing is going to get 35%, the remaining is 16.25% of the larger bucket that will have both tiers and the \$50,000,000. I don't know if this is an action item or if we have to vote.
 - >> I divided the other.
 - >> I said 35. But whatever it is I think that's a decision today.
 - >> Make that decision then we can use the same proportions and do both.
 - >> It would be easier to manage it.
 - >> The 207.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: So go member Williams but I come back to Judge to see if you want to put forth a motion.
- >> MEMBER WILLIAMS: I'm not against housing I support housing we did listening sessions we need housing. We have measure A, we have all these things that have happened with housing and now we're going to put another portion of this into this from our fund. Like is this where that money needs to come from is what I'm thinking about for this, and I support

it, I support wholeheartedly the allocations but I know in reentry if you look at our proposals it does involve housing in there too. So it's kind of a mixed thing because we're thinking about transitional housing and so I don't know about like a set amount and a threshold but I'm supportive of it but I think we've got to negotiate it kind of delicately especially when we're coming to reentry and things like that. That types of housing because it's and maybe some of these categories should be in housing, shouldn't be in reentry. I don't know just asking folks to analyze that a bit. And I appreciate Member Lewis but we've wasted, we spent a lot of time on this 206 million and 50 million, and it's just a shame if we're not going to have a crack at the 206 million, that maybe we should have been up front about it from the beginning.

- >> I can comment about that.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: I saw Member Crunk and I'll come back.
- >> MEMBER CRUNK: I have a question being that I am here representing labor I have a question. I think, I don't know the actual number you guys know it better than me. California has to build like 100 something thousand or maybe 200 some thousand units based on how much housing that we need. I think we do 20,000 a year so we're way off of that. I would like to know is any of that, any of these concepts going into the concept of building housing for the problem. So that is some of the concepts.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: I would defer to the housing group about that.
- >> Hiring training partnership fund I could name you one it's an economic development but the current CFCI funding is funding a number of programs including the building trades and a number of labor partners in thinking about surge capacity needed for the housing crisis and the fire rebuild.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: Heard. But as a nonprofit organization that is also developing and I know there's other developers who are even in the room access to resources to actually build is hard to come by. And say again so just as we're thinking about this, we're building the concepts around the things that are already offered up like how we can actually take those things into consideration. Hold on member Stevens I saw you and I'm going to come back. Member .

- >> Whatever it's fine. I appreciate Joy's point and I agree. What I'm thinking is that there will be another batch of money that will come down and so we prioritize and then we backfill like we did last time and backfill with the other priorities so we take the first stab and then we figure out what our percentages are and continue to fill as more dollars come this is just the first stab at it.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: I'm going oh come, member Stevens member Lewis and member Contreras.
- >> MEMBER STEVENS: I just wanted to be clear or better understand when we talk about housing and I heard you talk about interim housing so it's temporary and all. I'm thinking about crisis residential housing that's needed and I want to remind us all during this process that in service area 6, which is an underserved community of poverty that we're losing all of our beds at Augusta Hawkins they are all going to General Hospital which is outside of that second district SPA 6 underserved community. How much there's a concept that speaks to crisis residential facility. And it can be, use the money within 36 months so I want us to think about how important it is that well what I want us to be aware of is how something is, we're losing something but there's an opportunity right now to regain something that we're losing does that make sense.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: It does and toward that end that's more of a nuance of the description of that falls into one of the categories that they have because I just want to make sure that we are staying on the concept level not diving into the project level conversations on this. But I follow you.
- >> MEMBER LEWIS: That is relevant because the clinically enhanced interim housing will cover something like that. I just want to say we never got a chance to do the contact setting and it would have been really important because I'm going to spend 30 seconds measure A, all these dollars, there are no more enrollments that are going to be happening in the mainstream system for rental assistance. It shut down three months ago. More importantly actively they are having to ramp down which is means people who can't pay the money because of DPSS are going to be I won't go into the nuance related to that. The housing authorities because of the federal policies also are engaging in mitigating pay for Section 8 and all variations of long term subsidies they are losing and doing all they can to try to prevent getting to the point of having to literally terminate people actively receiving

rental assistance. So yes there's a lot of money to sustain the 55, 5700 households that are currently housed and many of those folks between now and next year because he there will be 60% less available and going to be displaced that's a quick landscape approach. And I also say that even with prop 1 at the state level, it has a very specific focus and there's lots of other people that are part of our population who don't qualify for that. There's an abundance of resources also significant gaps and I think it's important and, again, this is not self serving I'm not going to get anything out of any of these things but it's not quite what it appears and resources are dwindling and we know that people all these other things are great but if people don't have a stable place to live the housing crisis is at the top of mind. Just a little context.

- >> CHAIR STEELE: I appreciate that member Contreras and Castillo and Armstead to put forth a motion.
- >> Member Contreras: I was thinking 30% because it's 17.5% for each of the other areas and if we do that percentage we can do it against the larger number and the smaller number because all of these programs, I got to sit in too so I heard a lot of different programs they all work together they are all important.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: Also as a reminder shout out to even finding the fact that the resources were unspent so we can utilize it to fill in the gap of the things that are actually happening as this administration is doing what it's doing and how the changes on the budget level on all sides of the spectrum from the county to the cities were able to be able to pour some of these resources back into the community to at least give folks some runway to figure out the next step in the meantime. The idea of the 30 and 17.5 I think that makes it clean for us to be able to figure out next steps and Member Castillo and Member Armstead.
 - >> [Off mic].
- >> CHAIR STEELE: Before I come to your initial question in the round of conversation that went in, are you feeling a little more resolved in the discussion?
- >> No but we'll move forward. We have to learn to build some level of trust within this body and agree to disagree at times. [Indiscernible] We need to rethink like we put a lot of work into these five categories are these the right categories we should be funding and thinking about the scheme of things. We're committed at this point these five categories

but it feels like there's some big gaps and we've got to hope that funding is going to trickle down and come back which I don't have a lot of trust in the county. But other folks might

- >> CHAIR STEELE: And I think to that end part of the discussion for next week on both ends of determining how much of this money is going to TPA, how much money is going to county as well. So I think we won't tackle that right now but I want to put that on your mind as you're going back to your groups for the final discussion around the different concepts, to also keep in mind how we want to actually make sure the dollars are getting out of the door by way of these issues as well as elevating the reason why we're here too. The communities being impacted by this, you know, Black folks, indigenous folks, Brown folks, immigrants, across the spectrum of those who may be immigrants in this community, I mean, in the community of Los Angeles County, LGBTQIA, TGI, there are a lot of these you know what I'm saying there's a lot of work we've got to do.
- >> I support the concept wholehearted about the housing. I've seen so much mismanagement, LAHASA giving money back, all these things we fought so hard for in the community now we're seeing it's getting taken away from other important things in our community. It's disheartening it's been mismanaged.
 - >> Also the cost.
- >> That's the part I'm like disappointed in the mismanagement of the housing funds at la has is a and other places.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: Let's not power things we know are actually broken we have to make sure entities to steward those resources effectively I think.
 - >> And make those decisions. I support the concept of it.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: Okay, thank you. Member Castillo.
- >> MEMBER CASTILLO: You say keep it in mind is this what you would like for us to come back with next week like recommendations on this particular department, TPA, I'm just trying to clarify.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: Thank you for that to be more specific that will be one of the questions I'll be asking as we finalize the question next week. Take that into consideration, yes to be able to have answers for that when we come back. Member Armstead.

- >> MEMBER ARMSTEAD: I would like to make a motion that the percentages are broken down as I said previously so it's 30% towards housing and then the balance is divided by 4 for the other categories for both the 50 plus the 200 and change. For this round I think it could be once more money comes and I do believe more money is coming we can reevaluate it but it's not a lot of money here and so that's my that's my motion.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: Motion made. Yes.
- >> MEMBER LEWIS: Dr. Contreras said 17.5 but the dollar amount for the 206.7 million would be not nonhousing groups, 36 million 172.500 and groups outside of the housing group would be 8.75 million. I want to make sure people know the numbers. For housing for the 206.7, it would be 62 million and 10,000 and for the 50 million for the housing strategies it would be 15 million.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: There was a motion I want to see if there's a second.
 - >> Just for clarity and the record purposes could we have the motion fully stated clearly.
- >> MEMBER ARMSTEAD: So I move that for both categories there's 30% that goes to housing and 17.5 that goes to each of the other four categories for the amount for the 206.7 million, that would be 36 million 172,500 per each category that's not housing for the house category it would be 62 million, \$10,000. For the category for the amount that's \$50,000,000 it will be 15 million for the housing category in each of the additional categories will be for 8,000,750 did you get all that.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: Percentage wise it's 30 and 17.5.
- >> MEMBER ARMSTEAD: For both amounts.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: She broke down the numbers on it as well Noah, you got it.
 - >> Yes, thank you.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: Motion made and seconded. Any.
 - >> Seconded by member Lewis or you chair.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: Member Lewis.

- >> MEMBER CASTILLO: I have a question, we did receive a certain amount of recommendation that were eventually bucketed and according to this data that Derek has, I think youth programming actually received the highest amount of recommendations and I do think that's really important data. Like it just suggests to me that there's a high desire and a high need to invest in our youth. So I think that should also be factored into what you're uplifting, certainly I know housing will cost the most. But I do think we should be prioritizing youth programming as well.
- >> MEMBER CRUNK: Instead of just divide by 4 remainder.
- >> MEMBER CASTILLO: Like 7% to housing and other .
- >> Sorry chair steel. If we're looking at the number of recommendations or number of proposals, we might want to also look at the amount of money of those recommendations because for all we know the youth ones may be a smaller amount of money even though there are more recommendations. Just to have the full picture.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: Member Crunk.
- >> MEMBER GORMAN: That said I support the housing division that we just broke down.
- >> MEMBER CRUNK: I support that but in light of the uplift that member Castillo said, I don't know if I'm not housing and I'm something else, that I feel that I'm really in second place do I feel comfortable dividing everything else by 4 that makes me equal to something that if we actually did it by tier that it would be the lowest.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: So.
- >> MEMBER CRUNK: Or should we be since we found number 1 maybe we take some time to find 4, 3, 2, 1 and percentage it like that.
 - >> [Off mic].
- >> MEMBER CRUNK: It will take longer but.
- >> MEMBER ARMSTEAD: The challenge is that everything doesn't cost the same amount of money. The principle my thought process on housing is it costs much more to put a person in a bed to have an after school activity or food sustainability those are not as expensive it wasn't about that's the biggest priority, it's costs the most.

- >> MEMBER CRUNK: Could we do it by cost or would that take too long I'm just saying.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: Member Contreras.
- >> Member Contreras: There were some programs that could have gone in transition age youth served in a different category so it would be hard to rank them I think.
 - >> And some of the other ones did have housing in them.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: To that end, because I hear the thought I hear the concern. Also mindful of the time that we're in, right and the moment. We're addressing with one time funds this is one bite at the Apple we've got others coming our ability to bring this to the table to the Board of Supervisors reimagining how we can utilize community voice as we continue to go along with the resources stewarded here. Part of the moment Measure J is in the middle of a lot of conversations just in general, right. Trying to make sure we're rising to the occasion not to discredit or diminish any of the other categories but the but also the hard line is a hard line on the numbers. We've got to find a way to get to 206.7 and 50. This is a way to respond to the moment be thoughtful about what we're bringing to the table but at the same time do our due diligence to elevate the other parts of the work that is necessary too.
- >> MEMBER JOEY WILLIAMS: We've had lots of conversations and had to comb through past meetings to talk about things we've agreed upon, right, whether they've been verbally or you've done a lot of that work Derek, God bless you for that. When we think about it now start thinking about the future allocations are we going to continue to put housing as being funded more in the next allocations or do more equitable across the board I think it's just something to think about we're doing it now because we're meeting the need and making the other allocation are we going to continue to go down this road and I would add some caveats. Since it's one time funding maybe the recommendation would be that if you're receiving measure A funds or other housing funds from the county that you wouldn't qualify for this batch of funding since it's one time and it would go forward to groups who are trying to get their foot in the door on this and do good work. I know seeing a lot of head nods there but I'm just trying to think about an equitable way of doing this for folks on the ground out there doing housing work that need this funding it's not going to be multiyear it's going to be one time and other folks they have an advantage if they are getting measure AULA funds.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: I want to make sure, I'm fine.

- >> MEMBER JOEY WILLIAMS: It wouldn't be popular.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: I follow what you're saying I want to underscore what member Lewis they are drawing back on some of those funds. State house LA has been doing work since the pandemic. The ULA gets passed there is resources supposed to be allocated for those to be able to continue to work. Soft power in the city is now working to try to put a kibosh on that contract for several organizations that have been doing the work from being able to have the resources to continue doing the work you know what I'm saying and the idea of budget cuts.
- >> MEMBER JOEY WILLIAMS: Funding cuts.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: That's what I'm saying in the idea of how you characterize it, if they were receiving ULA dollars in which they may still be in the moment knowing that that stuff is about to sunset would they qualify or would they not qualify based on the criteria you gave. You see you know what I'm saying. So there's a lot of different nuances to what's actually happening in the field that yes we want to make sure the organizations that are bootstrapping this and trying to make a way are elevated for sure because that's the whole point how we put this together while we were looking at stuff that was less than a million dollars anyway but also want to make sure that the the standard bearers in the space who are actually doing significant work can continue doing that too because the landscape is completely shifting across all categories not just housing
- >> MEMBER JOEY WILLIAMS: When we're thinking about decisions moving forward too is that housing, house assistance as decreased in LA County but native homelessness as increased. We increased by 2.8% so I wanted to like throw that out there before we celebrate too soon about the increase in that.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: Heard. I want to go Member Stevens, Member Gorman, Member Soto. I got you Member Knight. Member Stevens Gorman Soto Knight and then we have a motion on the floor too.
- >> MEMBER STEVENS: I think what's interesting is I listen from with a community ear because I don't work in your system. And even with a decrease, there's still over 73,000 people that are outside unhoused and it's a guesstimated name it's not even accurate at all. But I just, I wish that so many of us were really aware and paying attention for those

who may not be. Maybe thoughtful here. About what's really going on. Even with measure A. Measure A is short. The county Board of Supervisors cut all the county departments I believe by 3%.

>> 8 and a half.

>> CHAIR STEELE: I know.

- >> MEMBER STEVENS: But then when you really look at it and think about all this money, all this money, and we're cutting access centers and across LA County do you understand what an access center is? It's a front door. It's a resource center. It's an opportunity for people to come inside and use the restroom. To get maybe a snack to eat. To pick up their mail so when we think about housing, I think that it's really important that we're recognizing the importance of putting people back together again so that they can prepare their own meal so they can use their own restroom so they can lay in their own bed. All of those things but if we're not paying attention to what is actually missing, not to mention that the city of Los Angeles is broke, the county got some good credit because it's broke. If it applies for any, it's got to pay a bill. The state of California is broke let's keep it real and the federal government ain't giving up nothing we need to start saying where is the foundation that we're starting from Chair Steele because that's the reality.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: Member Gorman.
- >> MEMBER GORMAN: I wanted to acknowledge that there's frequent need in every population across this county in every issue area and topic area and these are really difficult decision that is we're making. I would suggest we make the decisions based on the process and what is laid out for CFCI decision making without consideration of exactly who is getting funding through other processes because I think that's going to get way too complicated and we don't know enough about that. Thank you.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: Absolutely. Member Soto. Member Stevens, please. I want to go member Soto. And Member Knight.
- >> MEMBER SOTO: I just want to say I'm really moved by one, I think the incredible work that was done by the groups and just the diligence that everyone is taking to not take lightly some of the decision making that needs to happen here, the cuts that we are making and also to uplift just where the priorities are, and I appreciate that there is alignment that at

least right now housing is one of the highest Next slide, please and we need to make sure that we give priority to that. The other thing I'm seeing is that there are emergent needs that are coming and we're all really thinking about, given what many of the priorities of the administration federally are and how it's impacting our communities.

I really see that balance and the flexibility of this funding being key and instrumental to how it can support our communities because oftentimes I think in county system it's much more difficult. So I just want to, you know, lean into that and make sure that we see the strength of what's happening here today and not land at a place where we're feeling like we're still pulling against each other. Because it's happening but I feel like the energy of what we're feeling in the room is much more positive and much more empathetic and we're strong because of that

>> CHAIR STEELE: Member Knight.

>> Member Knight: I feel like my comments they were earlier and out of left field. One thought everyone has shared really thoughtful feedback how to consolidate. And we have tried to group as much as we for possibly can. The one area there's cross over for the economic opportunity and potential youth development there were workforce development programming concepts that were being put forward and so I think that as much as we can, potentially work together or at least see if there are through lines between those two concepts that we can move forward and then hopefully that will kind of even the scale a little bit for the youth development and the economic opportunity strategies.

The other just moment that I want, that I hope folks are thinking about, there are so many crises that we're trying to address. The one that I've seen that was uplifted quite a bit from the public comment and the written comments that were received was around food insecurity and resourcing those types of concepts. That didn't fall under my strategy and I was trying to follow when they were listing I think it was strategy 1 and so I'm not sure if any of those concepts were being promoted but I would offer that as another really emergent need in the community right now

>> CHAIR STEELE: CalFresh for healthy living is what you're talking about. Did anyone see that.

- >> MEMBER CONTRERAS: So I reviewed, we discussed a little bit in our group, I reviewed those. There were three put forth from public health the connection to the population was not as clear there were three different populations. One was really generic then one was tied to justice involved and one was tied to immigrant populations but using the rubric and making the connection they didn't elevate. We had to cut off of 40. They didn't make that cut. So we discussed it and, you know, it's just a matter of where we want to go.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: I'm not going to go I can't.
 - >> If you're doing concepts, if that's a concept, categories.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: She said it didn't make it even to the concept level because it didn't score high enough.
- >> That said when we reconvene we can give it under a second look it was under strategy 1 and I did read the public comment and I hear the concerns around the table and I know CalFresh is getting cut left and right. So it's definitely something we will pick back up.
- >> It was about outreach to communities to inform them so the CalFresh, it wouldn't mitigate the CalFresh cuts.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: Is there I feel like one of them, the CalFresh for healthy living is the food distribution, the produce one there was three I remember reading there was three. One of them was about produce I think.
- >> Happy to revisit them because it would be helpful. I think I was definitely struggling with comparing that to, you know, people that had already been adjudicated and it was a diversion program that's what I struggled with.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: Okay. So the motion is on the floor. It has been seconded. We've discussed it very thoroughly. I want to go to public comment and general public comment. And then we'll vote and then we'll get out of here thank you very much for the extra time. It is now 6:38. I am grateful. I see that you all see the importance in this as well to be able to provide the time and attention to it. So please we'll go to public comment.
 - >> Are we going to public comment on the motion.

- >> We have to we have to keep those separate. So if we're going to do public comment on this vote we're going to take the public comment and then general public comment.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: Public comment on this motion.
 - >> This is public comment period on the motion.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: Do I have to do them separately? I have to do them separately. Okay. Thank you. Please.
- >> This is public comment period for this motion. The public comment period during the meeting will be one minute per person for those online who would like to provide public comment please use the raise hand feature now we will call on you in the order that your hand was raised. When you are called upon please state your full name for the minutes before beginning your public comment. Your hand will be lowered once you have completed your public comment. For those on the telephone please dial star 9 to raise your hand we will say your name or the last digits of your telephone number when it is your turn. Dial star 6 to unmute. When accessing Zoom through computer browser or smartphone Zoom app scroll to reactions and you will see the raise hand feature. We will begin public comment for approval of the minutes for the okay. For the approval of this motion. Do we have any public comments in the room? Seeing no hands in the room we would like to go online.
- >> There are two hands raised online Adele Adnrade your hand was raised first you haven unmuted please state your first and last name for the record you have one minute to speak.
- >> Hi my name is Adele Andrade Stadler I'm a counsel member of the city of Alhambra and Department of Social services. As we grapple with the consequences of the federal immigration enforcement. Rep LA is valuable to support those impacted the most. CFCI has provided the seed funding for represent LA and since it's launch in the program has provided free local service fos over 5,000 immigrants. In recent weeks the federal government has detained over 1600 immigrants for deportation in LA and surrounding now more than ever immigrant and communities need us to continue to grow and expand the program. The immigration raids have a devastating consequences for families and small businesses. Families have lost their primary bread winners and need assistance to support their livelihoods. As a counsel member if people are not showing up to response.

- >> CHAIR STEELE: Thank you your time that's time thank you. All right I want to say Jorge, Jose.
- >> Next person we have is Byron Jose and Jose Miguel Ruiz is after that. Byron Jose if you could state your name for the record you have one minute to speak.
- >> Byron Jose with translate coalition thank you for your intentional discussion and all your hard work and prioritizing these priorities. Given the vast need and limited resources. Thank you to member Stevens and the members who continue to uplift values around CFCI but also solidarity. Lastly, if we would take 1.5 million or 15 million I don't know what is the final amount that shows in your spreadsheet for the TGI mentorship project specifically for aging populations but, again, we look forward to continue working with all of you as we continue to advance for more full funding for Measure J given we continue to find every year we go through this. Whoever is running this camera all of you look amazing and 4K I feel like in there in person. Shout out to this vendor thank you.
- >> Jose Miguel Ruiz you now have the floor. You've been unmuted you have one minute to speak. State your full name last name for the record.
- >> Good afternoon everyone my name is Jose Miguel Ruiz executive director for Qiitava and supervisor for district 1 and alternate. I just want to thank Reba for constantly uplifting the needs of the community as a lived experience person I think we ought to thank her and we don't thank her enough for her contribution every time. Thank you Reba I wish I was there next to you. I also want to echo a need for support for represent LA. It has been our brothers and sisters are being enforced it's targeted mentioned he's going to come after a lot of the families that were set to serve. And if we're not providing housing and we're not covering the basic needs like food children are scared and we see it through a lot of the office of immigrant affairs families who are missing their court hearing because of the fear and there's no system there's no rules they've been broken and I think anything we can do to represent and support small businesses now will pay dividend in the future. Thank you for uplifting these points I want to emphasize the need to take action.
 - >> Your time is expired sorry.
 - >> Thank you, appreciate you.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: Sorry. That was the last comment.

>> Member Fuentes Miranda.

>> Member Garcia or alternate. Member Hong.

>> Aye.

>> Aye.

>> Apologize that was the last comment we'll be taking for the motion. We will also be taking general public comment.
>> CHAIR STEELE: Let's move to the vote.
>> We will now conduct the vote for this motion and we will be using a similar process to the roll call. Again we ask all committee members to be ready with the microphone before your name is called. When I call your name, please indicate your vote of yes, no, or abstain. Member Carbajal.
>> Aye.
>> Member Castillo.
>> Aye.
>> Member Contreras.
>> Yes.
>> Member Crunk.
>> Yes.
>> Member Cyrus Franklin.
>> Aye.
>> Member Eakins.
>> Aye.
>> Member Tsai.
>> Aye.

DISCLAIMER: This is NOT a certified or verbatim transcript, but rather represents only the context of the class or meeting, subject to the inherent limitations of realtime captioning. The primary focus of realtime captioning is general communication access and as such this document is not suitable, acceptable, nor is it intended for use in any type of legal proceeding

	>> Member Lewis.
	>> Aye.
	>> Member Lobianco.
	>> Aye.
	>> Member Knight.
	>> Aye.
	>> Member Scorza or alternate.
	>> Yes.
	>> Member Soto.
	>> Aye.
	>> Member Steele.
	>> Aye.
	>> Member Stevens.
	>> Aye.
	>> Member Joey Williams.
	>> Aye.
	>> Member Myk'l Williams. Member Wong or alternate.
	>> Aye.
	>> Motion passes.
>	> CHAIR STEELE: All right, thank you. Motion passes. Great. General public comment.
С	>> We will now open the general public comment period. As a reminder, the public omment period is one minute per person telephone users please dial star 9 and star 6 to

DISCLAIMER: This is NOT a certified or verbatim transcript, but rather represents only the context of the class or meeting, subject to the inherent limitations of realtime captioning. The primary focus of realtime captioning is general communication access and as such this document is not suitable, acceptable, nor is it intended for use in any type of legal proceeding

unmute. Computer and smartphone users locate your raise hand feature to be placed in the queue. General public comments are limited to the CFCI advisory committee we will

call on you in the order that your hand was raised. State your full name. We will now begin the general public comment. Do we have any public comments in the room? State your full name for the record please.

- >> Lee nard harass me yo. My name is Leonard Harmilo. Speaking on behalf of the public health institute proposal CalFresh groceries CalFresh groceries systematic harm including immigrant youth particularly threatened or feel threatened by the presence of ICE. It would provide groceries to wraparound services through community based organizations to food assistance programs like snap have recently been cut and eligibility requirements become more limiting this will be pivotal to ensure communities are able to access food to support their healing and approve overall health and well being. As a child of immigrants sometimes fear grocery shopping for being detained by ICE. Thank you.
 - >> Please state your name for the record.
 - >> Diana Pena.
 - >> You have one minute.
- >> Hello my name is Diana Pena speaking on behalf of the public health institute thank you for your continued commitment to investing in communities impacted by the justice system. I'm speaking in support of the Care First groceries proposal which was submitted by the Los Angeles food policy counsel Care First groceries including immigrant communities and opportunity youth particularly those by the presence of ICE. It will provide groceries to wraparound services through community based organization. Increasing food access in these communes is essential further harm and supporting long term stability. As programs like SNAP have been cut and requirements become limiting this will be pivotal to ensure communities to support their overall health and well being. We urge you to support this in food health and justice, thank you.
 - >> Thank you.
 - >> Please state your name for the record.
- >> Katarina Masesson. I'm with the Los Angeles regional food bank and highly encourage you you all to real estate consider proposal 39 CalFresh living justice expansion and I want to echo members Stevens in being real if this does not pass through it will be detrimental to

our entire community. What I can say from my program we work with the nutrition pantry program six different agencies throughout the Los Angeles regional food bank with you work with skid row, downtown Los Angeles Venice, San Gabriel valley. They are seen and served with dignity. 60% are not going just once they go for years and years as their main source of food so I highly encourage you all to really reconsider for strategy 1 proposal 39 thank you.

- >> Thank you.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: Any online.
 - >> There are no more comments in the room?
- >> CHAIR STEELE: No.
- >> Two comments online. Lena silver. You've been unmuted please state your first and last name for the record you have one minute to speak.
- >> Lena silver. I am director of policy and administrative advocacy at Naned legal services the lead organization for benefits access for immigrants Los Angeles. Please meet the moment and fully fund represent LA and the small business interruption fund two programs that respond to the extreme inequity caused by recent immigration raids. CFCI provided the seed funding for represent LA and now our immigrant communities need us to expand deportation defense. The rules for who is prioritized for deportation are out the window and the situation is dire. When wage earners are deported their families become homeless. Since the raids many small businesses have suffered devastating economic loss and workers who have been detained and people are too afraid to go to work people are in dire need of cash assistance our court self help centers have evictions because people could not work to pay rent.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: Thank you.
 - >> Chris Carter you've been unmuted please state your full name for the record.
- >> Thank you Chris car sister also with the languages regional food bank and I'm here to recommend reconsideration to support the CalFresh healthy living justice expansion proposal submitted by the LA food policy counsel in partnership with the LA County Department of Health. The LA food bank through CalFresh category 5 funding provides

fresh produce through CalFresh healthy living funded partners that work with schools, health clinics food pantries and early childhood centers. Since October of 2020 the LA food bank has distributed 5.5 million pounds of fresh produce to 304,000 households totaling over a million people at 93 locations. Last year the LA food bank distributed 1.2 million pounds of fresh produce to nearly 68,000 households totaling 266,000 people. Thanks to CalFresh healthy living our distributions.

- >> Your time is expired.
- >> Thank you.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: Thank you.
- >> There are no more hands raised online please also note that we have received written public comments from 28 individuals. A printout of this comment can be found in the committee members packet these comments are also linked in the agenda online. As a reminder if you would like to submit a written public comment for a future meeting, please email JCOD@LA County.gov up to 24 hours prior to the meeting please include the meeting date in your correspondence as well as any agenda items on which you are commenting. This concludes the public meeting period I will now pass it back to you chair steel.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: I want to note and I'll leave it here housing food is really important too as the 28 people who have left comments in our packet have noted. Thank you very much for your time everybody. We come back next week. Not only are we going to be voting on the final piece of this puzzle but also just be mindful that we will have to also be avoiding conflict of interest and all of those types of things too so Robert we are putting you to work next week. They were working to send the full list the updated list.
- >> Yeah, we were working on getting that updated list with everything you all uplifted so we will get that finalized and sent to you all tomorrow with more instructions from Derek by end of business day tomorrow.
- >> CHAIR STEELE: Meeting adjourned have great day everybody. 6:56 PT

Communication Access Realtime Translation (CART) captioning is provided to facilitate communication accessibility. CART captioning and this realtime file may not be a totally

verbatim record of the proceedings. The real time human captioning you are about to view is provided by a Nationally Board Certified stenographic captioner.