

Date 7/17/25

JCOD CFCI meeting

Communication Access Realtime Translation (CART) captioning is provided to facilitate communication accessibility. CART captioning and this real time file may not be a totally verbatim record of the proceedings. The real-time human captioning you are about to view is provided by a Nationally Board Certified stenographic captioner.

.

>> CART PROVIDER: Standing by

>> CHAIR STEELE: Thank you to each and every one of you that took the time out to make sure that you filled in your recommendations. I will be walking us through what we are going to get accomplished. Let's go ahead and read the disclosures and move forward with all the other aspects of the opening of the meeting. If you are a member and have not been able to unlock make sure you raise your hand so they can make you a cohost. Thank you.

>> This meeting is being recorded by remaining in this meeting you consent to being recorded. This is a public meeting and subject to the Brown act. Since conversations and statements on the chat are now visible to people on the telephone and who are unable to participate, the chat function is limited to technical assistance. There will be no response, nor forwarding of any public comments to the advisory committee members. If members of the public would like to provide comment, please do so. For closed caption assistance, CART services

are available. You may access these services by clicking on the Streamtext link that will be provided in the chat after the reading of the disclosures. When accessing Zoom through a computer browser or smartphone scroll to reactions at the bottom tab and you will see the raise hand feature. Press star 9 to raise your hand and star 6 to unmute. For Spanish interpretation please click on the globe icon and Spanish. JCOD at LA County.gov. For written public comments to be reviewed by the committee members prior to the meeting. It should be submitted by 5 p.m. the day before the meeting. These public comments will be shared with the advisory committee members prior to the meeting and reflected in the meeting minutes written comments after 5 p.m. the day before the meeting through the end of the meeting will be made part of the public record for the meeting. However, advisory committee members may not have the opportunity to review those comments prior to acting on an agenda item. This concludes the meeting disclosures Chair Steele I'll pass it to you.

>> CHAIR STEELE: Okay. Let's call the roll.

>> We will conduct the roll call to expedite this process we ask all advisory committee members to be ready with a microphone before your name is called so that you can be ready to announce your attendance promptly. If you are unable to unmute yourself please raise your hand so we can assign cohost privileges to you. If you are calling in on your phone, you can unmute yourself by pressing star 6. You can raise your hand with star 9. We will now begin the roll call by last name alphabetically when your name is called, please say present. Judge

Armstead or alternate. Member Carbajal or alternate.

>> Present.

>> Member Castillo or alternate.

>> Present.

>> Member Contreras or alternate.

>> Present.

>> Member Crunk or alternate.

>> Present.

>> Member Cyrus Franklin or alternate.

>> Present.

>> Member Eakins or alternate.

>> Present.

>> Member Tsai or alternate.

>> Present.

>> Member Fuentes-Miranda or alternate.

>> Present.

>> Member Garcia or alternate.

>> Present.

>> That was alternate present.

>> Yes, present can you hear me?

>> Yes I can, thank you.

>> Member Hong or alternate.

>> Alternate present.

>> Member Lewis or alternate. Member Knight or alternate.

>> Present.

>> Member Scorza or alternate.

>>.

>> Did you say Soto.

>> I did.

>> Present.

>> Member Steele or alternate.

>> Present.

>> Member Stevens or alternate.

>> Member Joey Williams or alternate.

>> Present.

>> Member Myk'l Williams.

>> Member Wong or alternate.

>> Alternate present.

>> CHAIR STEELE: All right, cool thank you very much let's move onto the community agreement, I'm sorry the committee land acknowledgement and the labor acknowledgement I'll go ahead and read those. Land acknowledgement the land beneath our feet is the ancestral land of people who lived in Los Angeles County and surrounding areas for thousands of years. I would like for acknowledge the Gabrieleno Tongva people, the Fernandeno Tatavium tribe and the Ventureno Chumash people. These native people understood and respected the land connected and respected the four legged creatures who once roam the earth freely and winged ones and everything in the ocean. Their hearts told them never to take more than they could use, always give back to mother earth these amazing people are still here today living and

breathing among us and still giving back to the communities that surround us. Aheehe. Thank you to our ancestors. Labor acknowledgement. We with respectfully acknowledge our debt to enslaved people whose labor and suffering built and grew the economies and structure of a nation that refused recognized to their humanity. While the 13th Amendment of Constitution technically ended slavery in the United States we know that slavery's ongoing impacts are still felt by countless people forced through violence threat and coercion to work in the of the U.S. We recognize our debt to exploited workers past and present whose labor Evers and continues to be stolen through unjust practices. We acknowledge our collective debt to the indigenous people of this land whose labor was forced and exploited. The Chinese immigrants who built railroads that allowed for western American development. Japanese Americans whose properties and livelihoods were taken from them when incarcerated during World War II and migration from Philippines who have worked Pacific Northwest farms and can Reese. We recognize the recognize the immigrant whose labor remains hidden in the shadows but still contributes to the wellness of our collective community we recognize that our economy continues to rely on the exploited labor of incarcerated people largely people of color who earn pennies an hour while generating billions of goods and services each year. We know there are many other people too numerous to mention who are prevented from reaping the true value of their labor by unjust systems and cruel practices. We mourn their loss of life, liberty and opportunity and we acknowledge that the theft of labor is the theft of

generational progress. Nearly all people of color who have been robbed of the opportunity and wealth their ancestors might have otherwise passed onto them. Communities agreements let's Rock n' Roll. With read with me. Be respectful of the diverse voices being prepped is and remain open-minded.

>> Be mindful of power dynamics in this space as well as of the historical disenfranchisement of Black and indigenous communities. Accordingly prioritize and defer to community throughout this process.

>> Be mindful of the diverse audience you're presenting to and make sure you speak with clarity.

>> Be collaborative.

>> Assume best intentions.

>> Challenge the idea not the person.

>> Remember why we're here to center the Black, Brown and indigenous communities and other communities that have been most impacted by the carceral system low income communities, trans and gender nonconforming folks, et cetera.

>> Defer to community. Transparency and follow-through.

>> Be intentional for hearing additional voices to be uplifted.

>> Be an active participant and try to be succinct in your thoughts and contributions.

>> Let equity lead the way.

>> Make spaces for youth voices.

>> As much as possible allow community members to finish their sentences, thoughts, during public comment.

>> Review community agreements and amend as needed and begin CFCI respecting indigenous people of the land we now call Los Angeles County. Thank you, thank you, thank you. Remember why we're here, right. Assuming best intentions, be collaborative, challenge the idea not the person. These pieces are super critical to the process that we're going through today. And in years past, we have been able to do this and do this effectively but they didn't have the compounded challenges of the things that are happening in the world around us putting the pressure on this process either. Like this is a moment where we get to put into practice the things that we have been putting together over the last several years you all. Right.

To I just want to make sure that we underscore the community agreements as we are getting in space with each other having conversations with each other. And making the decisions with each other at the same time. You can go on to the next slide. Sorry. Thank you very much for that I appreciate you bringing that up. Okay. It is now 4:16 and the goal is to, you know, you all have done the work and someone please bring up the spreadsheet that was sent out via email to folks, please. You all are amazing you understood the assignment and you did the assignment. I am grateful is very much so as being able to be the leader in this space but you all led the way in making sure that the voices and all the ideas were actually heard and lifted up by way of this process.

So thank you very much for the time that you spent making sure that your strategies were actually identified. You know, as you can

see in the spreadsheet, it's actually broken down by the groups that you are in. Strategy 1, strategy 2, 3, 4, 5. Examining back to strategy one strategy 2 is the accessing youth and development. Housing stability and 5 is reentry in community and reintegration. All right. So we are actually going to break up into groups today. And in those groups we are going to review the assignments -- I mean, the concepts assigned to your strategy area by way of the rubric. Okay. And we're using the rubric to evaluate the scores and the spreadsheets that you all found. We're reviewing the high scores, lift up recommendations, flagging combinations that we can actually put together. Calling out red flags. And you know the gaps in the low scoring pieces so you can talk amongst each other why did this one score so low and talk that through. Of course we want you to use your lens to spot trends as well like many focused on school based services where there are ones that could be brought together to make sense. And make sure these patterns are something that you are taking into consideration. Okay.

I'm making time for an hour for us to do that work, we want to make sure that we can report back. So I want you to make sure we note which ones you're lifting up as a group because that's the whole point. The whole point is to look at a strategy and I have this document I'm going to send over to you all so you can use it as a way to guide yourself through the conversation but the goal is to take a look at your identified concepts and as a group, decide what you're lifting up to bring back to the advisory committee space. We'll have a share out at

that point to let us know which ones you have identified and why suggesting the combinations that you created as well. This will be the conclusion of the first cut. I want to be clear friends, this is today not about individual wins, it's about the collective care. We're here to elevate the strongest concepts, refine what's unclear and make sure that there's a final set of investments that we will actually vote on later onto make sure that we're all on the same page there. But this is just the first step in that process. This is a process how to think the Care First vision can be tangible with these sets of criteria with short-term -- I'm sorry the one time funds, okay. So I will give you the guidance in a second. Again, today we're actually going to take a look at the document, take a look at the document that was sent to you, identify the ones you want to lift up, come to a consensus in your group what you want to be back to the advisory committee. We'll share out and have a breakdown of the sheet of the ones lifted up to be part of the conversation for next week. Okay.

We're not finalizing anything here. Any questions on anything I just said? Anybody? Go ahead member Contreras.

>> I was on vacation and I'm wondering how others like me could be helpful.

>> CHAIR STEELE: Which space do you think you align best with the value add you bring to the stable of the five strategy areas that I mentioned from your perspective. I would say just join that group to be a part of the discussion to listen in does that make sense.

>> Yes, thank you.

>> CHAIR STEELE: Member Crunk.

>> MEMBER CRUNK: I wanted to get off my cell phone and
[indiscernible] (Echo).

>> CHAIR STEELE: Sounds like you might be good to go, yeah? Cool.
Let's make sure that we can switch him over so he's good to go. He added
himself let's make sure we can make him a cohost from his computer so
he can turn off his phone. All right. I see Maria and Sabra and then
Reba are you all just trying to get unmuted is that what we're doing?

>> Ruth: This is Ruth I was just going to say maybe we could share
since this is a 100% virtual environment what the rules of the community
members are versus the roles of the members just so that everyone knows
when the community members can speak. Yes.

>> CHAIR STEELE: Good call. So the community members you have
the ability to pick a breakout group that you want to -- that most
identifies with you and adds value to the point that you want to be
following. You have the ability to move into that group not as a
participant in the conversation but as an observer of the conversation
that's taking place. Got it? We will, when we come back, we'll provide
space and opportunity for public comment for sure so that you can
definitely add value to the conversation but we want to make sure that
the spaces that are happening in the breakout groups, those
conversations can happen where they need to at that point.

You have the ability, you can check out the breakout box, breakout
rooms box, you pick which room you want to go into and you can actually
participate in that conversation that way.

>> This is Anna Gorman. On the forms that we submitted many of us wrote notes. Are those available to us that we can -- is that where they are? No. I want to make sure that I sure the notes verbally with my small group as we figure out what to lift up

>> CHAIR STEELE: Okay.

>> Anna: I don't know if those are available to us. Because it also would be good to see our colleague's comments on the ones they reviewed as well.

>> This is Kassy I'm screen sharing. You should be seeing the Excel sheet and we left the notes in the right column. So the notes will be all the way to the last column on the right, all the notes have been kept into the spreadsheet so you should be able to access them easily.

>> Anna: Excellent, thanks.

>> CHAIR STEELE: So I apologize JCOD I did not provide this document to you ahead of time. And also just want to say this because my goal is to try to get us into these groups by 4:30. It occurred to me after last meeting and as we were preparing for this meeting that a lot of the thinking around what we're doing here because we're moving so fast is in my head, okay. And I apologize for that, you all. Like and I am very grateful that you all are rolling with me. And the leadership on this as we are like kind of getting things going and flowing. You know and trusting the process like you all are incredibly amazing, very, very grateful for that. And I, you've got my commitment to do better to make sure that you have a full understanding of what

we're trying to do. So just as a brief to outline this again. What we're going to do today is do the first cut in this. Right.

And have a final conversation around where we want to be with that. When we get to next week, we will narrow that list down to two groups, the first group is the full on 219 million dollars. Making sure that we're comfortable with whatever strategies have been lifted up for it to be in that category. Because the CEO's office has put forth their own motion, we will also have the ability over the next week or so to be able to review what the CEO put forward to so that we can be thoughtful about how we want to analyze what we're lifting up for the final 49 million and some change that is left over from what the CEO has already provided, right. If the Board of Supervisors decide we want to go with what the CEO has but we want to hear from the committee, there's the 49 million dollar category that we'll have that we want to make sure automatically get lifted up alongside the CEO's recommendations. But the case that we are making in that, you know, leadership has been making over the last several weeks is making sure that the Board of Supervisors understand we are taking in what the CEO is also provided as well because there might be a combination that we see differently than the CEO's office. Right. There may be an amount that we feel like needs to be different than what they actually propose.

So looking at the full 219 million from us has the ability to be an enhancement on top of what the CEO's office did. As well as being able to get community input and also adding some things that they didn't even consider. So we're going to have that pot, that's next week we're

going to finalize our numbers next week and from next week's meeting until the 31st, we'll be writing and drafting the board letter and July 31st meeting we will finalize the board letter in that conversation. Okay.

Cool.

So let me put in the chat if you allow me this document.

>> I'm sorry this is Maria, I need to be a cohost in order to relieve my colleague as the second interpreter

>> CHAIR STEELE: Got it.

>> This is Kassy from JCOD I want to jump in, I see Anna your comment in the chat that you're not seeing the link. The spreadsheet that I'm currently screen sharing right now, that was emailed to the entire committee around 3:30. So it should be in your emails.

>> CHAIR STEELE: Yeah, JCOD, I am sending you all the breakout group break down so you can send it out to the committee members so everybody has it as well. I'm responding to the email that had the recommendation form. All right. I have Michelle, please.

>>.

>> MEMBER FUENTES-MIRANDA: .

>> Member Fuentes-Miranda, she's just trying to be -- I got you. Okay. Can we make her a cohost, please. And also member Knight can you please make her a cohost.

>> Member Knight: I'm a cohost and I see other in the chat I did not receive that email with the spreadsheet that is on the screen. I can put my email in the chat if that's helpful.

>> CHAIR STEELE: Please, let's make sure that they get it.

>> MEMBER STEVENS: I haven't received it either. Member Stevens needs to get it as well.

>> MEMBER CYRUS-FRANKLIN: I didn't either.

>> Anna: Maybe you can send it to all the members.

>> CHAIR STEELE: It's on the JCOD email for the CFCI committee all of us are in it. At least I hope we all are unless you changed your email.

>> I think it may not have gotten through. Thank you.

>> That was it I need to be unmuted.

>> CHAIR STEELE: She needs to be a cohost so she doesn't have the problem in her break out group. Member Soto can you please make her a cohost.

>> I can unmute now. I haven't received it either.

>> CHAIR STEELE: We are about to send it back out. I want to make sure everybody has it before it goes, before we go.

>> This is Alina I don't think I got it.

>> I just resent it.

>> CHAIR STEELE: Noah sent it out. And Spanish interpreter needs to be made a cohost when you get a second. I know you're working on the email Noah so it's all good. First things first. Everyone who has their hand up please bear with us. One of the last things I will say when we get into the conversations, I have an example of how you figure out how you want to cut the line. What is your line? Right. As an example and you all can come up with your strategy how you want to do

it in your space. As a rule of thumb, concepts, scoring, 50, up to 50, strongest candidates for advancement. Concepts 47 to 49 range may be promising but may need discussion from you all. Anything less than 47 may need substantial revision. Your group might be different to your numbers might be different. So I would take that into consideration. The only additional consideration I would also make is something that we have done in years past, remembering why we're here and from an equity standpoint the communities of folks who need to makes, who need the resources for sure, taking it into consideration the times and historically what Measure J is setting out to do for our most impacted communities. So if they end up below the line but those are still programs that are definitely needed and you know that none of those programs are available above the line, you want to make sure that those get added to the conversation as well for at least consideration by the advisory committee. Member Stevens are you good?

>> MEMBER STEVENS: I have a question and I want to share where I'm concerned right now. So I have an interest beyond strategy 1 which was the strategy in which I was a part of. So how, how are we able to, you know, weigh-in beyond the strategies in which we were assigned to? And I'm talking in fairness because I'm concerned about housing. I'm deeply concerned about reentry. So how do we plan on being able to weigh-in beyond the strategy that we have been assigned.

>> CHAIR STEELE: Got it. My first ask is to trust your counterparts that they will do the due diligence that is necessary in this, right. But the second part is the reason why they are lifting

it up to the advisory committee and sharing out gives us the ability to ask questions and ask for further deep dive on the decision-making as well. You see what I'm saying.

>> MEMBER STEVENS: Are you also encouraging us to read through all of the -- because I read through everything.

>> CHAIR STEELE: And that's why we made all of them available to everybody so that folks who felt that they wanted to be able to lift up thing in their group could actually do so as well. And at least have the conversations around why it was or was not actually selected you see what I'm saying.

>> MEMBER STEVENS: So their opportunity.

>> CHAIR STEELE: Further discussion not only just today but also next week around making sure that it gets into the final as well you know what I'm saying.

>> MEMBER STEVENS: Okay.

>> CHAIR STEELE: I want to underscore, we want to make sure we're doing everything we can to stand on business on the equity principles that Measure J stands on and also making sure that we are meeting the moment too. Right. So, again, I just ask that we trust our counterparts to do the due diligence like you did as well with when you were doing your rubric.

All right, folks. I assume everybody has the document now? Give me a thumbs up if you do. Just give me a thumbs up all right, cool. You can press the breakout box, the at the bottom you should see breakout rooms. I need you to select

>> MEMBER STEVENS: I don't see that.

>> I don't think it's available yet.

>> CHAIR STEELE: I'm creating them right now everyone. Thank you very much I thought we had already done it. We're going to let you choose the room. Okay. So there's five rooms. If you should see the breakout room box at the bottom do you see it?

>> MEMBER STEVENS: No.

>> CHAIR STEELE: Look at the bolt of your screen all the rooms are open. You can select one of the five rooms that you need to be in. If you are strategy 1 go to room 1. If you are strategy 2, go to room 2.

>> Derek, I can rename them so it's easier for people to navigate.

>> MEMBER STEVENS: You can just place me there because I don't see breakout anything.

>> CHAIR STEELE: You need to go to 1, right.

>> MEMBER STEVENS: Yes.

>> Derek can you send me to 1 as well, please.

>> CHAIR STEELE: Who said that.

>> Tolu.

>> CHAIR STEELE: Thank you.

>> This is Connie I can't seem to get into breakout 1 so if somebody can put me there I see the option but I can't get in.

>> I lost the options where are they.

>> MEMBER JOEY WILLIAMS: Scroll down at the bottom.

>> This is member Eakins I'm not seeing anything.

>> CHAIR STEELE: Which room do you need to go in.

>> MEMBER EAKINS: I'm in room 3 with you.

>> CHAIR STEELE: I got you. I'm going to throw you in there.

>> MEMBER SOTO: I don't know if I'm seeing the right thing. When I see rooms 5 there's a bunch of unassigned. There's participants so if you can put me in-housing that would be great.

>> CHAIR STEELE: Housing is 4, right.

>> This is Ruth from JCOD that pop up box that you see there's a scroll bar on the right. If you scroll down on that scroll bar you will see the rooms underneath the unassigned people and then you will see the little button to join.

>> MEMBER SOTO: Thank you so much I see it now.

>> Ruth: No worries.

>> CHAIR STEELE: I see the rooms are filling up. Because everyone is a cohost so everybody sees the same screen I see.

>> A hand from a Dawn.

>> CHAIR STEELE: For those who need the interpretation, or the closed captioning, can you raise your hand. Or actually they probably already left to go to whatever room they were in because it's not being captioned.

>> CHAIR STEELE: Megan, which room are you in.

>> MEMBER CASTILLO: I'm just trying to support you. Maria asked to unmute.

>> CHAIR STEELE: Spanish interpreter.

>> Maria: Yes, I am still not able to relief my colleague. I

don't have the interpreting feature on my end. I don't know if I was made a cohost already but I really need to relief my colleague at this point.

>> CHAIR STEELE: JCOD can I get some help with that.

>> Kassy: We're figuring it out.

>> CHAIR STEELE: I guess for folks who are still in the main room, you have the ability to choose which breakout room you want to join and listen in on the conversation that's being had for the breakdown in that space. For the conversations that are happening for that space. Feel free to pick a breakout room. You can move around if you want to as well. You can press the breakout rooms section over here at the bottom of your screen and you can pick which room you want to go into. I'm going to hop into mine. I have all the advisory committee members been placed?

>> Kassy: I believe so.

>> CHAIR STEELE: Thank you very much. Are we going to have a member in JCOD in each one.

>> Yes we're going to jump to a room to make sure we're there to answer questions if anything comes up.

>> Is there a room that you would like, because there's only three of us right now.

>> CHAIR STEELE: No, it's cool. I'm leaning on the committee members and I'll broadcast this too, to select whatever ones they are going to select but when we get back to the main room, Kassy, or Noah, whoever is going to be managing that making sure that we are creating

another tab has this week's version of what's selected. You see what I'm saying? If group 1 says it's 1 through 17, you take 1 through 27 you know what I mean and so that we have all of them in one spreadsheet for next week's meeting.

>> Kassy: Okay. And then do you have a minimum or a limit of proposals that they should come back with or just whatever.

>> CHAIR STEELE: Whatever they come back with we'll narrow it down next week.

>> Kassy: Is there a time you want everyone to come back.

>> Kassy: 35:20.

>> CHAIR STEELE: I'm going to put a broadcast out to let everyone know we've got five minutes and we'll bring folks at 5:25 bring everybody back.

>> Kassy: Sounds good.

>> CHAIR STEELE: 5:15 I'll give five minutes. 5:20 we bring everybody back because I want to get ten minutes for public comment and then we'll spend the last 30 minutes talking through and lifting up what we're going to lift up.

>> Okay, sounds good.

>> CHAIR STEELE: Thank you.

(Breakout rooms commenced until approximately 5:30pm).

>> MEMBER SOTO: Anyone from JCOD on.

>> Kassy: Yes.

>> MEMBER SOTO: I'm going to switch my device can you make me host on my new device.

>> Kassy: Noah is the current main host I think he's the only one with those capabilities but let me see.

>> MEMBER SOTO: I am going to switch devices and then hopefully someone can make me host.

>> Kassy: We will do that for sure.

>> MEMBER SOTO: Thank you.

>> CHAIR STEELE: We got pretty far but it was a lot to do a lot going on in the breakout. How are you all feeling, how is everybody feeling.

>> Chair Steele can I raise a concern.

>> CHAIR STEELE: Yes.

>> Anna: We had some of ours that were assigned to somebody that couldn't review. So we had a chunk of ours that didn't get reviewed so didn't make it on to the final list. So we need to reassess like how do include those in the evaluation and not knock them out just because either I don't know in our group it was somebody who couldn't be a reviewer but in other groups it may have been somebody who was not here today or like couldn't get theirs in on time or whatever it was.

>> CHAIR STEELE: For the other groups did we run into that issue for anyone else?

>> MEMBER SOTO: For the housing we had a number of areas that we prioritized yesterday in our workgroup that we were in real time submitting, and they didn't -- some of them didn't make it in. So there are at least two or three that the Reverend and I identified that are not on the list and we would like to figure out how to uplift those.

>> Member Gorman: If you look at the number of original submissions and on the rubric it's not the same.

>> ADAM BETTINO: There are 25 missing that were not scored.

>> CHAIR STEELE: Of the 25, what I can suggest is that -- let me hold space for that for a second. Can we hold that for a second. And I have a thought but I want to get through some of the rest before we -- before I identify that. But actually my thinking is we'll make sure that somebody can do that work for those that didn't get rated. Between this week and next week. So that we'll at least know what the rubric is on those and in our consideration for next week, we can take those, put them in yellow, you know just because they didn't make it into like the conversation, and provide the space and opportunity for folks to kind of like identify those at that point. Is that okay, something we can do? Unfortunately -- go ahead, I'm sorry Anna.

>> Anna Gorman: To me that sounds great just making sure you have a few people doing the review because there might be conflicts.

>> CHAIR STEELE: For sure, absolutely. Member Stevens and Crunk.

>> MEMBER STEVENS: I'll make this quick I felt a bit uncomfortable I didn't feel very much prepared because I didn't know how to prepare so when it came to us going over it, I was stumbling with my notes. I was trying to find this and that and I didn't have enough notes in the actual document that we created. And I always like to lean into discomfort about my own inadequacies. I wish knowing what I know now that we as a group on the strategy could have met prior to this meeting

and then we would have been better prepared because the stumble could have happened there. That's just my thoughts. Are you frozen or are you just --

>> CHAIR STEELE: I'm pondering what you're saying and thinking. I am actively trying to figure out a solution to what you're saying. Go ahead.

>> MEMBER STEVENS: Had we strategy one not knowing that I was going to be back with strategy 1, if we could have met prior I think it would have been more beneficial in reference to time and being able to have in depth conversation and stumble, right, and figure it out.

>> CHAIR STEELE: I gotcha. The pressure was on in this conversation, I hear you. I hear you, Member Stevens. Thank you for acknowledging that. Mr. Soto and member Crunk after that.

>> MEMBER SOTO: Thank you. Reverend please fill in if I miss anything. The other concern that we had in our rubric review is that the rubric states the projects by name and since we're uplifting concept, sometimes in the name we lose what the actual concept is. And so I think that is something that needs to be rectified because I can list you out the six concepts that we support overall, right, with some of the target amounts based on the discussion we had yesterday in our workgroup but there's no way to uplift that in prioritizing this list and rubric because this adds another layer of evaluation that, you know, we can't respond to and then we tried to scroll around to see if we could like find in the summary, right, more of the alignment around the concepts and, again, only the project name is stated on the rubric.

>> CHAIR STEELE: Yeah, to that end, because of the amount of time that we needed to make sure we had to even get the information in, JCOD wasn't able to have the document ready until today. So I think that was part of the challenge. And that's not to any of their fault like, you know, it just took a lot of time for us to get through 259 recommendations. So.

>> MEMBER CYRUS-FRANKLIN: Just to add on the other aspect of that is, getting a sense of the amounts with the allocations with the recommendation.

>> CHAIR STEELE: I was about to say the same thing. The challenge is what we talked about in our group. If I had the description along -- if we had the description along with the amounts, it will help us kind of determine how we wanted to actually identify where we are.

>> MEMBER CYRUS-FRANKLIN: It helps us, can help guide the process in terms of what projects could be combined, right. And how the investment can lay out ultimately when we get to that phase, but yeah.

>> CHAIR STEELE: With that being said I'm not fortunately even like walking away with this being like the first cut fully. I think it's the first look at the first cut for sure. Right. And I wanted to ask you all, the availability even of trying to be able to meet in between this week and next week to get a final, like, cut from your group you know what I mean? Or, I mean, there's a couple ways we can take the bite at the Apple where it's like okay, we took a look at the list today. We can update the data that's on the document and we can give folks an opportunity to, you know, come together in a group outside of

this to finish the work, to highlight what they want to highlight. And then send in the final version that can be sent to us before the meeting on Thursday. We can go that route. Or, you know, it can be a -- that's actually the first one that comes to mind. I'm open to any suggestions. Member Crunk and Carbajal.

>> MEMBER CASTILLO: I feel like Michelle said she can't get off mute so can somebody else Michelle Fuentes-Miranda.

>> CHAIR STEELE: Thank you. Member Crunk.

>> MEMBER CRUNK: So first thank JCOD I'm starting to understand how things work. Thanks for the whole format. I learned today that I think just like Member Stevens said there was, when I got in there I didn't really understand what fully the goal was and now I just heard you say there was supposed to be like, we were trying to eliminate some stuff. So this is what I think would make it better. At least for me and I learned it in there because I learned stuff inside, I think the groups like you gave me 11 for just me. So that's just the way my mind thinks on this 11. Then you gave Megan her ten or whatever and we're talking about it but we're not even talking about it collectively. I think if the group got all of them and went at the -- and went at each one as a group, that we would have a better score right now going with it. Because I had some really good programs but the way that I viewed scoring, I think I may have given a 3 where somebody else would have given a 5. So that means that that program looks way better than this program just based on the people who did it and what they understood the scoring process was.

I would rather it be a collective doing each one and now the number comes from the group, not just the number came from Wesley on this one and then the number came from them. Because in my group and forgive me, I'm not throwing my group under the bus, they were very intelligent and I could have used that information when I was doing it, but I didn't see us eliminating anything. It was kind of it was what it was. So I don't understand what that was for. And another thing, if it was strategy so I guess some of us was privy for information that others weren't because strategy too, we saw the money. So now that becomes part of -- so, I mean, if I'm not making sense

>> CHAIR STEELE: You are on point, I hear you. Member Carbajal and member Williams.

>> MEMBER CARBAJAL: From my end I think if we do ad hoc groups between then and now I'm willing to make, clear out my schedule approximate make some time because this is important. If we go that route want to flag that.

And the second thing not that I'm advocating for one of my programs but one of my programs is not represented in the scoring so it might have been one of the ones that was missed so I wanted to flag that the represented one wasn't in the scoring total.

>> CHAIR STEELE: Okay, cool appreciate that.

>> Member Gorman: At least one of our wasn't either.

>> CHAIR STEELE: Member Williams.

>> MEMBER JOEY WILLIAMS: I feel like the group with me and Adam and the reentry group we made significant process, ranked all of ours

and made some decisions as far as like from 47 on is a good place we want to factor in one of those questions actually dropped scores three or four points about can this org do it alone or if they can drop some points so we're going to do a give or take of about four or five points to 42 and then a bumping TGI program up and that should be in the notes that Adam has as far as when we're looking at why can we're here and the equity piece of it that we provides black, indigenous and transgender folks. I feel like we're in a good place, all of our rankings are complete. I leave it up to Adam to decide if we want to meet again. As far as section 5 I feel like we're in a pretty good place. In Adam has any words I would hear that too.

>> ADAM BETTINO: I appreciate that, member Williams and I think we did come to a good consensus on where we are and where we wanted to draw a line or start to draw a line. I think it gets more complicated as we bring those to the larger group and seeing what everyone else's lines are. I do agree that it would be helpful to see the dollars but again in talking through concepts and working towards program area recommendations, you know, I don't know, I do think there's still some confusion and there seems to be some work going back and forth. A lot of the way that these read were as proposals, right, for a particular program. And, you know, while we need to see in some of these I think we need to see the detail I wonder if that's not muddying the waters because it's set out to review concepts examine not particular programs. So there's some work Chair Steele, we've brought all this back once people feel comfortable with that, now where do those things land in

terms of determining what is the series of recommendations this body is comfortable making based on the information that we have.

>> CHAIR STEELE: Yeah, and I think to that end and what everybody said wait before I say that. Member Contreras. I saw your hand.

>> Member Contreras: I joined the economic student and stability and I could have others as well. Ideally if it's in strategy 2 I could review those over the weekend and have them ready for Monday. I was going to say I don't have time in my schedule but Rafael made me feel bad so I will also figure out how to open up time because I agree this is extremely important. And I think in our group we made some progress in grouping and, you know, I think that's important work. And then what Member Crunk said about some people scored that's going to be a challenge if we don't have the deeper conversation we run the risk of it being misrepresented.

>> CHAIR STEELE: For sure member Gorman you're in group 2, yeah.

>> Member Gorman: No in one.

>> CHAIR STEELE: Cool. So member Contreras, it was in category 1, public hell.

>> Behavioral health and wellness.

>> CHAIR STEELE: Is that cool for you to identify and take a look at.

>> Member Contreras: Yeah, I probably could have joined any of the groups happy to do that.

>> ALTERNATE DRAXLER: In group 1 we have seven assigned to someone so it's not huge I think it's 7.

>> CHAIR STEELE: Got it. And then the one, yeah, so we will work with JCOD to make sure that they all get assigned so we can work through those within like the next day or two. And yeah, we are talking there is an ask, so what I'm thinking is based off what everybody said, because even if in our group we were able to identify a good range. Ours was 46. 46 and above. So we're kind of around the same I guess median that strategy 5 was in as well. And so based on, like, the starting of the work that got done today, if folks are able to come back together one or time to like kind of finalize that piece, I'm not opposed to what Member Crunk is actually bringing forward where folks get a chance to identify, you know -- well I will say this does become a challenge though, member Crunk because of the need to rework it, and I want to honor and lift up member Castillo because she said this from jump and my push back was just the amount of time it would take for everybody to be able to do that as a group and the amount of time that people have outside of this space to even have that work through. You know what I mean because how intensive the rubric is. Nonetheless, this is a very large group project that we are trying to work through. And many of us who have never worked together in a group before. Like together in this way. So I appreciate you all like moving through the toughness and the -- as Member Stevens said, kind of working through the discomfort of where we are in front of us. I think if we are able to get together one more time, it will give us a chance to kind of narrow it all the way down so that what we are looking at in the meeting next week is what has been identified by all the groups.

Now, the thing that I would ask from the JCOD team if we can, based on the conversation that just transpired, we need to update this with at least the concept description and then also the amount of money that is being requested as well. That way because we weren't even able to determine what our number threshold was so like yeah, we got 46 to 55, but, you know, that may only represent what 20 million dollars. You know what I'm saying. Or less. Because some of these projects were, like, \$300,000 here, \$100,000 here that type of stuff so trying to be mindful of that part as well. And yeah, I love what you said Member Williams making sure as you're going through your list make sure you're updating and bringing forth the ones from an equity standpoint why we're here to be in your list. So that is the ask that we get back together one more time before next Thursday. That you are able to finalize this body of work, provide that back to JCOD so they can give us a final version of what is being lifted up by all of the work that we did. So that when we come back together on Thursday we can actually discuss through what our first cut looks like to get to the final cut. How you all feel about that, if you rock with it, let me get a thumbs up. If you don't put a thumbs down. Member Gorman.

>> Member Gorman: Thumbs up on that. Just double checking we should be meeting individually with our groups, we're not going to have a full CFCI meeting

>> CHAIR STEELE: Yes meeting with our groups as an ad hoc committee meeting.

>> Member Gorman: For us to keep in mind that the scoring, the

rubric didn't totally line up with the application. Which I'm sure we all noticed. The applications didn't necessarily have specific questions about could -- about sustainability or about could this be merged with other projects on this theme. So we were scoring on things that people didn't write about. So we were making interpretations of those without being able to read about them or ask them. So I just want us to keep that in mind.

>> CHAIR STEELE: Indeed. And the why hyped that as well we have these additional considerations that kind of play into the one time fund piece of all of this. You know so that's why those additional questions come in. That's an additional consideration we have to have. And I want to note the rubric is a kind of way to reference, to put this in context as to what we want to bring forward. But like I said there's other considerations from an equity standpoint, from understanding what's going on in the times and we want to make sure that we are responding to the times as well. All those things are taken into consideration as we get to our final set of recommendations. All right you all.

>> ADAM BETTINO: Can I add quickly, Chair Steele I want to shout out the JCOD team quite honestly throwing this together as quickly as we did to get us this far. So thank you so much to the team. Really, really amazing work. And please know that we're rushing like we're rushing, rushing, this time. We will have kinks worked out, there will be ongoing discussion about the one time funding. There will be one time funding next year. We get to have the same party we're having right

now next year on a much longer timeline, all the lessons learned. This all will get definitely, we're going to smooth this out but we appreciate you all riding with us and, again, thanks to the JCOD team.

>> CHAIR STEELE: Yeah, man the turn around on all this stuff has been phenomenal, thank you, thank you. Go ahead, membership Stevens.

>> MEMBER STEVENS: Yeah, I just, Adam you jumped in front of it because I personally had to reach out and on more than one occasion and I want to thank the JCOD team for the support that I received, and I knew they were supporting all of us. So thank you.

>> CHAIR STEELE: For sure. Here is the hard part on this someone in each group has to take the lead to organize the rest of their counterparts to actually meet. I think for some of us on the other side group 3, there's only three of us so it makes it a little bit easier but the larger groups, strategy 1 and strategy 2 you've got to organize it a bit more folks before we get to Thursday. I know that strategy 5, you all met yesterday, right? Member Soto was that you all or somebody else that met.

>> MEMBER SOTO: Group 4 we met yesterday morning.

>> CHAIR STEELE: So just kind of working with JCOD to kind of make sure that we can get the meeting scheduled. Noah thank you for pulling up on their meeting to be supportive in that space.

>> Noah: Of course. They planned the meeting so they had the Zoom and everything ready. If needed, you guys want me to set up zooms for the small breakout rooms?

>> CHAIR STEELE: For the ad hoc meetings you mean.

>> Noah: Yeah.

>> CHAIR STEELE: Would that be easier if like we're creating.

>> Noah: Mind you that implies the idea with the Brown act that you can't really meet like that if you're going to be talking about stuff that you're going to vote on. If it's just you all, I mean, and I happen to just tune in.

>> CHAIR STEELE: These are facts.

>> Noah: The logistics and the visual will probably --

>> CHAIR STEELE: We will work in the smaller groups together because none of the groups actually have more than enough to even make quorum.

>> ADAM BETTINO: If we're calling them ad hoc it's been established I think we're okay.

>> Noah: Perfect.

>> CHAIR STEELE: Member Sheikh.

>> MEMBER SHEIKH: Chair Steele can you underscore for us the goal as we go into next week just to make sure we're all on the same page?

>> CHAIR STEELE: I'm so glad you asked that. So the -- what we are looking to do, going into next week's meeting, is to make sure that each of the strategy areas has the set of recommendations that need to be considered for final review. For final approval of what's going to go into our recommendations and Board of Supervisors.

So on the meeting next week, what we will be doing is finalizing it into two categories, one, the full 219 million dollars. Okay. 219 million dollars of what our recommendation is to go before the board

to say here are the strategies and here is the way we want to make sure the resources are cut up to utilize one time funds. All right.

The second category is out of that 219 million, if we only can recommend 49 million and some change, here are the ones that we're recommending in that 49 million and some change, right. In that meeting next week that's what we will be working to get us down toward. So as you are, in your ad hoc committee meeting this week, taking those things into consideration. Right. Yes, we want to make sure that as many strategies can be included in that, but we can't fund everything. It's 525 million dollars worth of recommendations just in what was proposed. Right.

So we can't take everything with us. But we can do our best to combine some stuff, make it into groupings so that folks who actually did provide some concepts can actually apply to some stuff. Even in ours we were able to create some TPA buckets, youth mental health, youth workforce, youth reentry, one time single investments like equipment and stuff like that. These are things where we can say here are the buckets for the TPA and then here is our set of recommendations that were also brought to us that couldn't be combined into anything else. However you all want to go about it, your recommendations out of your strategy area is going to go to the grouping that we will consider next week. Did that help?

>> MEMBER SHEIKH: Yes. We'll come back and see next week where we've landed, thank you.

>> CHAIR STEELE: I am I have full trust in our ability goat to

the finish line. How did they do that in such short order, short time. I'm a believer, I think we can get there. I will take the responsibility for strategy 3 to organize my counterparts and look like Connie said that she is sending out an email to her counterparts as well have we got anybody from strategy area 2 to bring together your folks?

>> MEMBER FUENTES-MIRANDA: We have an email thread going.

>> CHAIR STEELE: Perfect. We've done it I don't know about you all Joey was like, we've already done it. This is my brother right here I love this guy. You guys got to get it together, we're done. Can we get the strategy tabs organized by scores highest to lowest. Member Williams can you clarify real quick.

>> MEMBER JOEY WILLIAMS: We got it covered we were just on the different sections to have the highest scores to lowest. Have them organized in that fashion.

>> Noah: Member Williams that was a thought but even in the breakout group I was in it disrupted the flow because members were looking for the order that they originally scored their section in. So potentially if that works for you we can accommodate but it doesn't necessarily work for all the strategies.

>> MEMBER JOEY WILLIAMS: Yeah, we used a filter and Z through A or A through Z and lined them up according to the highest to lowest scores.

>> Noah: On all of the tabs for anyone in any breakout group the spreadsheet is formatted so you can reorder the list of concepts in whatever order works best for your group whether that be score largest

to smallest, dollar amount largest to smallest just so you can take note of that for future meetings.

>> CHAIR STEELE: I want to note whoever was keeping, sharing their screen to kind of see where all the different groups actually were at the moment, please make sure you keep your notes for your meeting because the document that JCOD is going to send over is not going to have your notes in it, right. Because we're not like working from a one shared document.

>> Noah: These are separate documents.

>> CHAIR STEELE: It will be responsible for us to send over to them what our final version is so they can update the final document for us to review next week. So I just want to note whoever was sharing their screen in their group just make sure you bring that information to your ad hoc committee meeting as well.

>> Noah: I can put the emails in the chat just so everyone can send those email to the same place. You can send it to me and the CFCI email.

>> CHAIR STEELE: I appreciate you all. This was an attempt at something different and we're going to move to public comment in a moment. Is there any additional questions or thoughts anybody needs addressed?

>> MEMBER STEVENS: I want to say thank you to every single person that is a part of this process and particularly the group that I was in that were so patient and so -- I didn't feel, you know -- thank you. And thank you our leader is Connie Draxler.

>> CHAIR STEELE: Member Crunk do you feel what we addressed what you brought up.

>> MEMBER CRUNK: Yes I want to be in the room with some veterans.

>> CHAIR STEELE: For sure. Absolutely. Okay. Thank you very much everybody for your time and your attention in this. And having a little bit of fun in some group project work. We are going to get back together and I'll see you all next week. Let's go ahead to public comment. Thank you for the additional time too while we kind of work through this part and not rushing through this part making sure we are aligning our forces getting ready for next week. I want to go to general public comment but if you need to step away that's cool. And you know after public comment general public comment we will adjourn the meeting.

>> We will now open the general public comment period. Please note that general public comment are limited to the matters within the subject matter jurisdiction of the CFCI advisory committee. As a reminder the public comment period is one minute per person. Telephone users please dial star 9 to use the raise hand feature and star 6 to unmute yourself. Computer and smartphone users locate your raise hand feature to be placed in the cue. We will call on you in the order that your hand was raised. Please remember to state your full name. We will now begin the general public comment period. Noah are there any raised hands online.

>> Noah: Yes. We have five raised hands, more than five raised hands online. Additionally there were no written public comments submitted by the deadline yesterday at 5 p.m. The first public comment

that came in was to Sabra Williams. You can unmute yourself if I can have you please state your first and last name for the record. You have one minute to speak.

>> Hi my name is Sabra Williams my organization is creative acts we are grantees however we did not receive the survey so that is a really big problem I know we are not alone. Several organizations never received the survey at a critical time for so many CBO's could you have had a more equitable way to issue the surveys to make sure we have the chance to apply. Sounds like the time issue is impacting decisions. I understand you're pushing some people through. I really hope you also have some kind of relationship especially for your current grantees who did not receive the survey. I agree Wesley's proposal is right about a group discussion and also I have a question the fact that people who are ranking also have programs in the running isn't that a conflict of interest. Thank you.

>> The next hand raised is angel Anderson. Please state your first and last name for the record you have one minute to speak.

>> Hello my name is angel Anderson and I just wanted to comment about the scoring process. It seemed like in the first breakout room we heard that some people scored kind of skewed high in the way that they scored and then subjectively other people seemed to skew low in the way that they scored and if you happened to get somebody who was a low scorer suddenly you're not making the cut off. I just wondered is there time in this process to make it more equitable to allow for assigning each project to be reviewed by at least three people so that

you can create a accumulative score for each project rather than allowing it to be subjectively scored by just a single individual. I'll yield the rest of my time.

>> Thank you, the next hand raised is COFEM. Could you please state your first and last name for the record you have one minute.

>> Thank you good afternoon I'm Yesenia Kush with counsel of Mexican federations speaking on behalf of the immigrant represent LA and small business interruption fund programs. CFCI provided the seed funded for them and our immigrant communities need us to continue to grow and expand this program. Since the immigration raids we have suffered. It has been a life line for those facing deportation, keeping families together and promoting community stability. With growing threats to our communities expanding access to legal representation is more urgent than ever. We need cash assistance to continue the day-to-day operations to support the livelihoods. We ask you to align the Care First community investment priorities with this urgent demand by committing an additional 2 toiling 875 million to represent LA and establishing a permanent legal services fund by reallocating 10% of the LA SD vacant position we stand with reimagine LA in calling for full funding of Measure J and the continued expansion of this CFCI investments thank you.

>> Next hand raised is the Byron. Could you please state your first and last name for the record you have one minute.

>> Good afternoon pie bye Ron Jose with trans Latino and reimagine LA. Thank you to the committee members taking the time to make sure

that this process is led by the community and there's input thank you to folks who are lifting the empowering and mentoring TGI aging populations in year three we were able to work with this body to ensure 1.5 million program. As we enter into the fifth year we want to make sure we are aligning expanding services specifically for seniors. We look forward to continuing working with this body to make sure there is alignment where there is represent LA or the programs however there shouldn't be anymore one time unspent funds to as we weight the scores how are we ensuring that requests made by departments where these unspent funds are coming from are not yet again just moving around to another department that may not be using them. Thank you everyone for listening to community and for all your time.

>> Fernando Reyes. You have one minute to speak please state your first and last name for the record.

>> Hello my name is Fernando Reyes and I'm speaking on behalf of the children's collective Inc. Serving south Los Angeles over 50 years. We provide comprehensive services wanted to thank the committee for your commitment to funding programs that heel and uplift communities affected by incarceration. We strongly support the LA Department of Public health to the justice expansion project of children's collective. We have seen how firsthand how traumatic economic hardship and lack of access intersects families and children. They are working to rebuild their lives in the aftermath of justice system involvement and health often takes a back seat to basic survival. CalFresh healthy living offers physical activity classes and monthly free produce

distributions which are an essentially resources this expansion would allow programs to reach more families deepen it's impact and promote resilience through community rooted wellness. Thank you for your prevention healing and justice.

>> CHAIR STEELE: I want to note Angelica Palmeros will be the last public speakers but please continue.

>> The next person in line is Kelly owe Campo. You have one minute to speak please state your first and last name for the record.

>> Hi name is Kelly O'Campo and I work at active San Gabriel valley thank you for your impact. I am here to highlight CalFresh healthy living team and justice expansion project proposal our CalFresh is making a real difference by providing bilingual classes across the valley and east LA. We've established strong partnerships with parks to deliver free accessible programming including yoga, Zumba, hands-on cooking classes reaching diverse populations with engaging culturally relevant resources and we urge you to support this proposal. It will enable us to continue to serve our community specifically in justice impacted communities effectively and to support health and healing. Thank you for your support.

>> Lauren James. You have one minute to speak please state your first and last name for the record.

>> Lauren James and I am the President of the regional chamber of San Gabriel valley. Represent LA and small business interruption fund programs CFCI provided the seed funding for represent LA and now more than ever our immigrant communities need us. Since the raids began many

small businesses and individuals have suffered devastating economic consequences. Many need cash assistance to continue their day-to-day operations and support livelihoods these are unprecedented times and it is critical that we step up to support our most vulnerable neighbors. I urge the committee to support the funding requests to both represent LAX small business interruption fund to meet the moment and support our immigrant communities. Thank you.

>> Dalila Lopez you have one minute to speak.

>> Hello my name is Dalila Lopez and I represent the family clinic I want to acknowledge and thank you for your leadership in health equity and communities affect bid incarceration I'm also here to support the CalFresh Haylee living proposal from the Los Angeles food policy counsel and the D public health. This will allow health clinics to connect patients with trauma informed nutrition education and fresh food to prevent and manage chronic conditions we also host fresh produce distributions for our patients who live in these areas of high need just last year we distributed over a million and all of our partners have distributed even more which is critical for those reentering society or living in areas with limited success to healthy food. This will help us to do more with the dignity and respect thank you so much.

>> Shannon Camacho you have one minute to speak. Please state your first and last name for the record.

>> Hi good afternoon my name is Shannon Camacho with inclusive action for the city. And I am calling to express my support to represent LA program. Represent LA now more than ever we want to work with

partners to ensure we rise to meet the deportation. Especially those currently locked up in detention centers. In today's increasingly volatile landscape it is important to expand access as well. To help meet the growing need we ask the committee to align with this urgent demand by committing an additional 2.8 million dollars to represent LA. This will empower legal service providers to provide access to legal protection. I want to uplift under strategy 5 aging TGI potentials, it's more important to uplift our transgender communities as well. I urge the CFCI advisory committee to support these funding requests to protect our communities thank you.

>> Steven Trapasso please state your first and last name for the record.

>> Hello my name is Steven Trapasso executive director of seeds of hope I was coming on here to talk about CalFresh healthy living but since some of my colleagues already did that, I guess I can talk about how seeds of hope would integrate our own programming on top of the CalFresh healthy living initiative. We've worked so seeds of hope has worked for over a decade in immigrant and under resourced communities using food as a tool for connection, dignity and healing. Just this morning we served over 150 families at MLK hospital offering fresh food alongside access to social services. Our new proposal for CFCI builds on that work by expanding ten of our food distribution sites into community resilience hubs we applied for four of the program areas but we got lumped into category 1. So what we're looking to create is safe welcoming spaces where immigrants and justice involved residents can

access food resources and wraparound care and what we see.

>> Steven, your time is expired. Sorry. Patricia Alarcon you have one minute to speak please state your first and last name for the record.

>> Hi anytime name is Patricia A business alliance and I am calling to for support for LA and small business programs. It was launched from CFCI and now more than ever our immigrant communities need this program to grow and continue. Since the immigration raids I've seen individuals and small businesses are being hit hard financially. Many are struggling just to stay afloat and some are on the verge of closing as we speak. We're living through a critical moment and it's important we show up for these communities who are most vulnerable. I'm urging the CFCI advisory committee to fund both of these programs so we can rise to the occasion and truly support our immigrant neighbors thank you for your time.

>> Citlaly Cerezo. State your name for the record.

>> Oh, shit I'm so sorry. High name is Citlaly Cerezo I'm calling to express my support for the represent LA and small business interruption funds programs. CFCI provided the seed funding for represent LA and now more than ever our immigrant communities need us to continue to grow and expand this program. Since the immigration raids began small businesses and individuals have suffered devastating economic consequences. Many need cash assistance to continue their day-to-day operations and support their livelihoods. These are unprecedented times and critical that we step up and support our most

vulnerable neighbors. I urge the CFCI advisory committee to support the funding requests for both represent LA and the small business interruption fund. To meet the moment and support our immigrant communities thank you for your time.

>> Angelica Palmeros you are the last person to speak. Please state your first and last name for the record. You have one minute.

>> My name is Angelica Palmeros calling from the city of Montgomery bellow. I am calling to support represent LA and small businesses interruption fund programs. CFCI provided the foundation for representing LA and it's urgent and now more than ever that our immigrant communities need us to continue to grow and expand this program. As we are serving our community our small businesses are in need of assistance not only for them but for others. I hope the CFCI advisory committee will support the funding request for both represent LA and the small businesses interruption fund. And in supporting our community and the small businesses. Thank you.

>>.

>> CHAIR STEELE: That concludes public comment. Thank you very much. I just want to ask really quickly the set that was in public -- I'm sorry strategy 1, the diversion barrier health examine wellness, it looks like, you know, the one that was lifted up in public comment was not on this list which makes me feel like it didn't get reviewed. Is that the case?

>> I think that was in strategy 2.

>> ADAM BETTINO: It was in strategy 2 and I don't see a score chair

steel so that's one we need to revisit.

>> CHAIR STEELE: So I appreciate the time everybody. We will definitely adjourn the meeting here we've got some work to do in between time in the meantime you all have a good one.

>> Member Crunk has his hand up.

>> CHAIR STEELE: Go for it sorry I didn't see it.

>> MEMBER CRUNK: It was scored and like I said I want a do over.

>> CHAIR STEELE: Cool. I think even more than a do over it's a collective conversation around how it is.

>> MEMBER CRUNK: Exactly need all the information to make an educated and I think collectively because somebody said something in my room that I never even thought and knew about and that would have changed a lot.

>> CHAIR STEELE: For sure right on. So based on the feedback that we got in this space, JCOD is going to update the documents to send over to everybody so that all that information is there. The folks will meet together in your ad hoc committees and, you know, we'll be able to finalize our recommendations from there that we're lifting up for final review and voting next week. Okay.

So we're going to adjourn. El Segundo next week. AC Bilbrew. El Segundo Boulevard Los Angeles California 90061. We are not going downtown, we are not going to Magic Johnson, we are headed over to east El Segundo Boulevard. Meeting adjourned can I grab before you go JCOD I want to wrap up just make sure we're on the same page as far as a game plan everybody else have a great weekend

>> MEMBER EAKINS: I did insert my email for you.

>> CHAIR STEELE: Thank you.

Communication Access Realtime Translation (CART) captioning is provided to facilitate communication accessibility. CART captioning and this real time file may not be a totally verbatim record of the proceedings. The real-time human captioning you are about to view is provided by a Nationally Board Certified stenographic captioner.