>> DEREK STEELE: Hey thank you for your patience. We've got folks braving the traffic we have 12 members in order to have quorum. So we're making it one person walking in the room at a time.

>> RENITA: Two online also.

>> DEREK STEELE: Fantastic. Fantastic. Hey, good to see you all many, Happy New Year, 2024. New year, new us. That's where we at. It's okay, I'm okay. All good.

>> RENITA: I'm sorry. Member Soto are you able to unmute.

>> I'm able to unmute.

>> RENITA: Member Lobianco are you able to unmute.

>> KELLY LOBIANCO: Yes, I am, hello.

>> DEREK STEELE: I want to note that the emergency absence protocol reset today for this meeting. Beginning with this meeting. Yeah. So you remember the two absences you can join virtually. You're good. Member Lewis in the building, there she goes. Got them on both sides. We got two more and we can Rock n' Roll. What's up Veronica. How are you doing?

>> VERONICA LEWIS: Trying to get the micro phone so I can sing Veronica.

>> DEREK STEELE: All right. There it is. I think we have done it, 2024 is kicked off. We are in the building. Hello again everyone. My name is Derek Steele. I happen to, I have the pleasure to chair this amazing body. Thank you all for community members and representatives from our different partners who joined us today. Not only here in person but also online. At this moment I would like to call this meeting to order. Thank you for joining today's, before we begin I would love to hand it off to Christian so we can read disclosures.

>> Christian: Thank you. I will now read the meeting disclosures. This meeting is being recorded for the purpose of the meeting minutes. By remaining in this meeting you cop sent to being recorded. This is a subject meeting. Since conversations and statement on the chat are not visible to people on the telephone and unable to participate the chat function is limited to technical assistance. There will be no forwarding to the advisory committee members. If members of the public would like to provide comment please do so during the public comment period or during the general public comment period. For closed caption assistance CART services are available. You may access these services by clicking on the Streamtext link provided in the chat after the reading of the disclosures. When accessing Zoom through a computer

browser Zoom app scroll to the reactions and you will see the raise hand feature. When accessing Zoom through the smartphone browser scroll to more there should be three buttons above more and you will see a drop down feature. For anyone experiencing online technical difficulties, telephone dial in information will also be provided in the chat. During public comment telephone participants may press star 9 to raise your hand or press star 6 to unmute. Written public comments are to be submitted to JCOD at LA county.gov written comments to be reviewed by the committee members prior to the meeting. It should be submitted by 5 p.m. the day before. These public comments will be shared with advisory committee members prior to the meeting and will be reflected in the meeting minutes. Written comments received after 5 p.m. the day before the meeting through the end of the meeting will be made part of the public meeting. However advisory committee members may not have the opportunity to review those comments prior to acting on agenda item. This concludes. Share Steele I'll pass it over to you.

>> DEREK STEELE: Thank you very much. Again, I've said it earlier but Happy New Year everyone. Yeah. 2024, we all here. Okay. Let's do it. We want to start the meeting before we take roll with the land acknowledgement. The land beneath our feet is the ancestral land of people who live in Los Angeles County and surrounding areas for thousands of years. I would like for us to acknowledge the Gabrieleno Tongva people, the Fernandeno Tatavium tribe and Ventureno Chumash people. These native people understood and respected the land. Connected and respected the four legged creatures who once roamed the earth freely. Their hearts told them never to take more than they could use and always give back to mother earth. These amazing people are still here today living and breathing amongst us and still giving back to the community that surrounds us. Thank you to our ancestors to those who have stewarded the land can we take roll.

I was going oh take roll first and come back to community greeting. Thank you.

>> We will conduct a roll call to expedite the process to be ready with the microphone before your name is called so you can ready to announce your attendance promptly. If you are unable to unmute please raise your hand on the Zoom so we can assign cohost privileges to you. If you're calling in on your phone you can press star 6. Which will again by last name please call your name when I say present. Judge Armstead or alternate.

>> Present.

- >> Rafael Carbajal
- >> Present.
- >> Alternate Ayala
- >> Present.
- >> Member Castillo.
- >> Present.
- >> Member Contreras or alternate.
- >> Present.
- >> Member Crunk or alternate
- >> Present.
- >> Member Cyrus Franklin or alternate.
- >> Present.
- >> Member Earley or alternate. Member Ferrer or alternate. Member Fuentes-Miranda or
- alternate.
 - >> Present.
 - >> Member Garcia or alternate.
 - >> Present.
 - >> Member Ghaly or alternate.
 - >> Clemons Hong present.
 - >> Member Lewis or alternate.
 - >> Present.
 - >> Member Lobianco or alternate.
 - >> Present online.
 - >> Member Nishiyama or alternate. Memo Brian or alternate. Member Schoonover or

alternate.

- >> Alternate present.
- >> Member Scorza or alternate. Member Soto or alternate.
- >> Present.
- >> Member Steele or alternate.

>> Present.

>> Member Stevens or alternate.

>> Present.

>> Member Williams or alternate. Member Wong or alternate. Thank you, this meeting has reached quorum.

>> DEREK STEELE: Fantastic let's do the community agreements. One of my favorite parts. I'll start. Be respectful of the diverse voices of being represented and remain openminded.

>> Be mindful of the powerful dynamics in this space. As well as the historical disenfranchisement of Black, indigenous communities accordingly prioritize and defer to community throughout this process. Be mindful. Diverse audience presenting to and make sure you speak with clarity.

>> Be collaborative.

>> Assume best intentions.

>> Challenge the idea, not the person.

>> Remember why we're here to center the Black, Brown and indigenous communities and other communities that have been most impacted by the carceral system, low-income communities trans and gender nonconforming.

>> Defer to community.

>> Transparency and followthrough.

>> Be intentional about hearing and allowing space for additional voices to be uplifted.

>> Be an active participant and try to be succinct in your thoughts and contributions.

>> Let equity lead the way.

>> Make spaces for youth voices.

>> As much as possible, allow community members to finish their sentence, thought during the public comment.

>> Review community agreements before every meeting and amend them as needed and begin CFCI advisory committee with land acknowledgement statements recognizing the respecting the indigenous people of the land we now call Los Angeles County. Thank you everyone. Really excited about the things we have on the agenda today. We will start by taking

a review of the minutes from our last meeting October 19th and also November 16th. This is because in the last meeting we did not have the ability to approve the prior minutes. I think was it a quorum issue. Right. So we'll be approving both sets. When you look into the packet you have both sets with you. The first one being the October 19th and second set being the November 16th. Taking a look at them. Anyone want to put forth a motion?

>> I put forth a motion to approve the October 19th, 2023, meeting minutes.

>> DEREK STEELE: Can we do them both or separately. Thank you I heard you member Lewis. It's been put forward by member Stevens seconded by member Lewis. Is there any readiness for that. How are you to go Tencha. It's noted that member Chavez is here. Alternate to member Williams. Hearing none let's go to public comment.

>> This commences the public comment period of the agenda. The public comment period during the minute will be one minute per person. Please use the raise hand feature now. We will call you on the order your hand is raised. When you called upon please state your full name for the minutes before beginning your public comment. I will lower your hand once you have completed your comment. Please dial star 6 on the phone to raise your hand. We will say name or last digits. Dial star 6 to unmute yourself. When accessing Zoom through a computer browser scroll to the reactions and you will see the raise hand feature. When accessing Zoom scroll to more and you will see a drop down with the raise hand feature. We will begin public comment for the approval of the meeting minutes October 19, 2023. Do we have any public comments on the room. Be state your full name for the record. Any public comment online? Seeing no hands or anything online this concludes the public comment period back to you chair Steele.

>> DEREK STEELE: Let us take the vote. Thank you very much.

>> We will conduct the vote and using a similar process as the roll call. Again we ask all committee members to be ready with the microphone before your name is called when I call your name please indicate your yes, no, or abstain. Judge Armstead or alternate. Kidding. Member Carbajal or alt.

>> Aye.

>> Member Castillo or alternate.

>> Aye.

>> Member Contreras or alternate.

>> Aye.

>> Member Crunk or alternate.

>> Aye.

>> Member Cyrus Franklin or alternate.

>> Aye.

>> Member Ferrer or alternate.

>> Aye.

>> Member Fuentes-Miranda or alternate.

>> Aye.

>> Member Garcia or alternate.

>> Aye.

>> Member Ghaly or alternate.

>> Aye.

>> Member Lewis or alternate.

>> Aye.

>> Member Lobianco or alternate.

>> Aye.

>> Member Nishiyama or alternate. Memo Brian or alternate. Member Schoonover or

alternate.

>> Aye.

>> Member Scorza or alternate member Soto or alternate.

>> Aye.

>> Member Steele or alternate.

>> Aye.

>> Member Stevens or alternate.

>> Aye.

>> Member Williams or alternate.

>> Aye.

>> Member Wong or alternate.

>> Aye.

>> DEREK STEELE: Thank you very much. Moving for the minute for November 16.
>> I would like to make a motion we approve the November 16, 2023, meeting minutes. Can
I get a second?

>> I'll second.

>> DEREK STEELE: Motion has been made by member Stevens seconded by member Lewis is there any comment? No. Fantastic. Public comment. On these minutes from the November 16th minutes.

>> This commences the public comment period during the meetings will be a minute a person for those online who would like to provide public comment please use the raise hand feature now. On the telephone please dial star 9 to raise your hand. When accessing through app scroll reactions and you will see the raise hand feature. When accessing Zoom scroll oh to more at the bottom of the tab and you will see a raise hand feature. We will begin public comment for the approval of the meeting minutes November 162023. Do we have any public comments in the room? Any public comment online?

>> RENITA: No raised hand.

>> This concludes.

>> DEREK STEELE: Can we take a vote.

>> We will conduct the vote for this motion using a similar process as roll call again we ask all members to be ready before your name is called. When I call your name please indicate your yes, no or abstain. Member Carbajal or alternate.

>> Aye.

>> Member Castillo or alternate.

>> Aye.

>> Member Contreras or alternate.

>> Abstain.

>> Member Crunk or alternate.

>> Aye.

>> Member Cyrus Franklin or alternate.

>> Aye.

>> Member Earley or alternate. Member Ferrer or alternate.

>> Aye.

>> Member Fuentes-Miranda or alternate.

>> Abstain.

>> Member Garcia or alternate.

>> Aye.

>> Member Ghaly or alternate.

>> Aye.

>> Member Lewis or alternate.

>> Aye.

>> Member Lobianco or alternate.

>> Aye.

>> Member Nishiyama or alternate. Memo Brian or alternate. Member Schoonover or

alternate aye.

>> Member Scorza or alternate. Member Soto or alternate.

>> Aye.

>> Member Steele or alternate.

>> Aye.

>> Member Stevens or alternate.

>> Aye.

>> Member Williams or alternate.

>> Abstain.

>> Member Wong or alternate.

>> Aye.

>> The motion passes.

>> DEREK STEELE: Fantastic. Thank you for working together quickly to handle that order of business. We will move to our first highlight from one of our service providers that is doing a lot of great work out in the community Latino equality alliance. We are excited to have you come and share a bit about the work. Please, the floor is yours.

>> Good afternoon or good evening, not sure what time period that is. My name is Eddie Martinez I am the executive director of the Latino equality alliance that does a lot of work. We are here to show how we use the CFCI funds to support LGBTQ youth in Los Angeles. I would like to give space to Angel Alizando.

>> Hello my name is angel, he/him/his. Thank you so much for having us and being able to talk about the work we do in the community. If we can please start with the second slide I'm going to go ahead and start with our history just a little bit of our history and when we started. So that was funded in January 2009. Proposition 8 amended the Constitution that allowed same sex marriage and so this proposition actually prohibited same sex couples from getting married and it wasn't until 2013 that it was back to be allowed here in California and so that was the beginning of Latin equality alliance a group of community individuals who were part of different organizations came together because they believe in liberty justice and equity for the LatinX community they created LEA or Latino equality alliance. Through coalitions we strive to build power to elevate the LGBTQ voices in the greater east side communities of Los Angeles. So I included a picture of the mayday March from last year. We attended. The we believe it's important to show visibility during those actions and so I wanted to highlight that. Go to the next slide, please. And so our service areas include Boyle heights, east LA, Huntington Park, the city of Bell, city of Bell gardens just to name a few. That's pretty much where our focus is. As of right now our main office is located in Boyle heights in a center called me centro. It is a center composed of different organizations like the LGBT center, LA LGBT center, Latin equality alliance and other organizations within the community. This is a space for community to get the resources that they need. We also host a pride pantry which recently changed to a space where communities can come and get their groceries, canned goods and fresh produce. And we have a new location in the city of Bell but I'll talk more about it in a later slide. Next slide, please.

So a Latin equality alliance we have different campaigns that target pretty much that has the same focus. So we focus a lot on leadership development, systemic changes, justice advocacy, a lot of education. So we have our mind over matter campaign, we have Recla. Rainbow coalition of Los Angeles. We have connect, so you can see how different campaigns that we have, have the same mission but focus on a different population if that makes sense. The QT connect group, educate them on Narcan and safe substance use prevention. It's pretty much related to

substance use and educate them and how to, if they want to go out and party how to do it safe. So that's pretty much our focus through that campaign. The mind over matter campaign for example focuses on that. Last year we did a community in the city of Bell Gardens and it was specifically for youth so they learn about social justice, restorative justice practices just to name a few of the things that they learn. So as you can see we create programs that elevate youth voices within the community. So that was just pretty much an insight on what we do with our campaigns. We can go into the next slide. And some of the programs and services we offer I listed a few but I did want to highlight some that I believe are very impactful in the community.

We have our LGBTQ youth groups. We have at the moment two. We have one in Boyle heights and our Boyle Heights location. We also have a research pop up center in the city of Bell gardens. Of course we had only one location prior to this year, we couldn't really reach to those communities for themselves. So we collaborated and partnered with family center, family youth center and they gave us this space to be able to provide the services, the resources, and just be able to engage our youth within the community.

So that's where we have that youth group at the moment. We also have a club in Bell gardens high school. This was led by students so you can see the impact we have not just in the community but within the youth in the community. I wanted to highlight that because I think I directly work with them and so it's really cool to see the growth and the impact that we have on this students.

I also want to highlight our annual Orgullo camp. This happens annually and last year we did it for two weeks, three days per week and the students learn about leadership skills, they have different, we have different workshops throughout the camp. And we expose to culture exploration, drag 101 the art form of it, being and we also did a dance Aztec they explore what the two spirit community is and they definitely loved it and so we keep seeing a growth in attendance for that camp and so this new location will definitely provide us with the space to be able to engage more youth.

And so yeah those are the programs and services that we offer. We can go to the next slide, please.

And so our main highlight. We're real excited to talk about our new location. It's called Mi, Sela we did a grand opening celebration last August and so as you can see there was different

members from the community that joined us, public officials, congressman Robert Garcia was there, and he attended the grand opening. We had council member Sohoslea. We had news media coverage, Telemundo, la opinion, ABC7 and that's how you see the impact we have in the community. We can go to the next page. I included a quote from one of our youth. They participated in our mind over matter campaign. We did an ambassador program to advocate for systemic changes, policy changes within the LACCD, Los Angeles community college district. So this program really gave her the tools to be a leader in the community. And we actually had the chance to have her and be a speaker for the grand opening and this is a quote from her. [Spanish] so basically what she said is that growing up she didn't have the resources or the safe space to be comfortably as a queer child growing up and Misela is giving her that connection to resources. So we can see the impact that we have, that we're really starting with this new location but we already see the impact and that's pretty much what we wanted to highlight as we mentioned. That's our focus. And so yeah I wanted to highlight this amazing story about Thursday. And she continues to be involved with the organization. So that's what we like to see.

We can go on to the next page or slide. And so this is a space, I wanted to share some pictures of the before and after. As you can see, it's, these are the two main rooms. The one on the left side that's the lobby where the youth programming will take place and the one on the right side that's our pretty much our office space. Fun fact when we were looking for locations for Misela when we found this the roof where the tiles whatever they had in there were pretty old so we talked to the owner and the owner actually renovated the whole building. So we got a brand new space for our programming so that was really cool and maybe we can go on to the next page so you can see how it changes and looks now. As you can see we have a couch now, we have a TV, we have monitors for youth they can come and do homework. We started our homework launch and so this space is going to be for them to come and just do homework, study if they need WIFI we can provide them with that. Computers, we can always provide them with a computer if they need that.

So yeah I just wanted to show how amazing our space is looking and it's just starting so the impact definitely will grow. And we can go on to the next page, please. So yeah this pretty

much concludes my presentation, and I did want to share some challenges or some things that we kind of would like to address.

We would like to learn more about the community, what are their needs, what is something that they want to see in the community. We're thinking of doing a survey to ensure that we hear them and ensure that they have the connection to the resources that they need specifically. And so pretty much that's a challenge that we have seen or that we are in the work of addresses. And I included the address and I welcome all of you, if you have some time, please visit us. This is a welcoming space for everyone and just check it out. Mi, centro is big and there's definitely other organizations there that you can also go and check it out. And hours of operations go from 9 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. Everyone is welcome. We're actually having an open house happening in February 6, so if you would like to follow us on Instagram to stay tuned for more details, definitely, yeah, check it out and yeah I think this is pretty much -- I don't know if I missed anything.

>> You got it. All right. Just yeah I want to remind these were the funds that were given to us from the CFCI as a way to be creative, healthy and prosperous pathway for our youth to become amazing leaders and really invest back into the community so I want to say thank you for believing in us.

>> DEREK STEELE: Fantastic. Thank you so much. Just a couple of questions if you don't mind. So the resources that were provided that was able to support you all opening the Bell facility?

>> Yes. So we were able to get a three year lease, the sign in the front, the furniture, pay the utility, and all that whole process and funding to do outreach in the community and provide some direct services for youth.

>> DEREK STEELE: Fantastic. That is fantastic. Does anyone else have any thoughts or other questions or anything that comes to mind after hearing the presentation?

>> I want to say congratulations and I really, really proud you guys also talk about the two spirit community so thank you.

>> DEREK STEELE: Which program area are you all a part of, do you know.
>> Audience Member: 7. And just a little note. I'm a queer man so my high school was a block away and I used to pass in front of that building every day to go to school and home for 45

minutes and I remember struggling with who I am. I came out in college but it's kind of nice to go back to my childhood and see there's a space there for future youth to stay well and be happy.

>> DEREK STEELE: Say that, that's amazing.

>> RENITA: Member Soto has her hand raised.

>> DEREK STEELE: Thank you very much. Member Soto.

>> ROSA SOTO: Thank you so much. Just wanted to commend Latino equality alliance. I'm sorry I'm not there in person due to illness but wanted to congratulate you all at LEA and appreciate the journey and how difficult it is being a small nonprofit to navigate many of the county systems and so I've seen you develop and grow and be able to be an exceptional organization and hold space in our community that very few organizations hold. So just wanted to make sure that you know that you are a diamond in our community and just appreciating that, you know, this fund allows us to continue to support incredible organizations such as LEA.

>> DEREK STEELE: Cheers to that, thank you very much member Soto. All right. Anyone else, thank you very much for the presentation. We're going to move forward to talk about the year two CFCI recipient grant luncheon. It is a good pivot from this presentation because we get to see the growth trajectory to be able to support more organizations like them. And so bring forth Jacob to talk about that.

>> Thank you, chair Steele. I'll say a couple of words and we're going to show a video of the event. In December we held the year two grantee luncheon to celebrate the 123 grantees in year two. Thank you chair Steele and few Were at the scene Miranda and thank you others who are in attendance too. It was an incredible event I think may JCOD the favorite thing because we get to celebrate so many people and share that space. The video really expresses it better than I could. So we'll go ahead and play that video now [video].

>> It is an exciting and glorious day today. Earlier this year the board of supervisors gave out 55 million dollars to 118 community and faith based organizations. Today we are doing cohort 2's luncheon for our CFCI care grant recipient. Can't believe what the county is doing 122 million dollars to 123 organizations over a three year period. To hear how this funding has transcended communities are groundbreaking.

>> We're going to get a bigger van and warehouse and staffing and supplies. In our first year

with the CFCI program we were able to offer classes to women and support women in reentry who are specifically looking to enter the workforce. People have caught wind so this year we're expanding to make sure men and women were able to enjoy these programs. Our goal with this funding is to be able to hire more outreach coordinators so we can have more boots on the ground in order to provide intervention services to those in need. The funds we see from the care grant will make it possible to house victims of human trafficking but continue our efforts of outreach and providing emergency support services to those that are trapped in the dark road of trafficking. With this grant we are receiving we will be able to expand our community violence intervention work into communities we have not been able to pry these services in. We want to mobilize our services and make them more accessible to the participants we currently serve but expanding those services outside of those communities. The world is watching what we're doing and they are watching because they want to see how they can actually mimic the things we're doing here in this space. This care grant will be a significant opportunity for many of the grassroots community-based organizations to make sure that those that are most proximate to the needs in our community are providing the support. We would like to give a huge thank you to supervisor Hilda, thank you supervisor Mitchell we appreciate everything you're doing. We're thankful for CFCI for giving and supervisor Horvath and provide services thank you. Thank you to Janice Hahn for this incredible opportunity. We want to thank supervisor Barger for this opportunity we will make the most of it. Grateful and thankful to our board of supervisors and all of the people that support this hard work we're doing. We're excited and we're just getting started. CFCI. [End of video].

>> And I missed it.

>> DEREK STEELE: The last shot was all the folks who are in the room, the representation of all the different organizations like from when you think about the bleacher shot from year one, and then the room shot from year two, like this thing is growing exponentially and it's really, really phenomenal. I'm sorry I had to step out for a second. But I'm sure you shared the number of recipients for the chair and the amount of money?

>> 123 grantees and 122 million over three years.

>> DEREK STEELE: All right. This thing is growing and growing well. And it is amazing to be able to see the fruits of the labor that has been put in by community members, by different

community representatives and groups who have helped to steward the conversations to figure out what areas of focus we want to steward the resources and even everyone who is around this body. That put in to make sure that the right amounts are put in for the different areas so we can get the multitude of organizations that have, that are now participating with us. So shout out to everyone who is involved. Kudos to JCOD for an amazing event. Amity foundation for the coordination and everything. Like it was a really good time. It really was. I'll pause for a second. Anyone else want to share. I know there were others who were able to participate.

>> I have a question. Well, you know, man, you know it's just amazing to watch that video and to see the faces, so many people who were excited. And I cannot even imagine what it's going to be like now that measure J is constituted, that there may be, hopefully there will be more dollars that will flow into the community. And so I'm just wondering when can we have an update vote? What happens next?

>> DEREK STEELE: This is a question that is definitely being requested.

>> Member Stevens: I want to see more of the excitement you talked about the bleachers and the room, right. And all the people that have been benefitted and the presentation that we had today and I kept thinking about our young people. There is, the needs are so deep and so great, and our communities. And sometimes they are not even, because they aren't funding or resources, folks can't even articulate what those needs are because they can't even allow their thoughts and juices in their brains to flow to be creative in their thoughts because of lack of funding.

So I'm looking forward to a future presentation on more dollars.

>> DEREK STEELE: Go for it member Lewis.

>> Member Lewis: Thank you for everybody to helped I was not able to go to the first one. For me it was amazing just to see the impact of all the work, the blood, sweat and tears I will say for myself. For the first one it was impactful to see all those folks and to have so many people come up that have followed the process, that have been a part of the process, and the truly formative stages of the work and part of the workgroups even prior to the creation of these committees. The original ATI and just to see them feel like they are seen now by the county, that their voices matter, and that the work that they do can finally get some support. We know it's not necessarily the full support to truly build all the infrastructure capacity needs we talked

about that a couple months ago. Nevertheless it's a step in the right direction and the transformative change to invest in the trusted people and organizations in the community is really what all those smiling faces, and I was standing on the bleachers so I'm sure you won't see me in the picture and that's okay because it doesn't matter. For me I feel like the effort to ensure that the people who in many cases have been directly impacted by whatever their mission is with the organization can actually access resources and get some supports and we're constantly talking about how to wrap our arms around these organizations to ensure it is sustained. It was impactful for me to see because I have not seen and it wasn't even everybody, but I had not seen of that number of people that have been touched by seeing these dollars in one place. So I was grateful to be a part of it and thank you to everybody who put it together. It was encouraging and motivating for me push against the status quo so we can do more of that and get the work done in the community.

>> I was crying from the minute I walked in. I'm like oh, no. Completely moved as I came in I always love to connect with the community and meet people and when I express that I sit in this committee, just hearing the responses from folks of this is the first funding that they have ever had. And the lifeline that this funding is providing. These funds are not only going to be able to transform communities, but it was so apparent in the luncheon that this money is transforming organizations. I was so present with the fact that this is economic development. Dollars are coming in, people are able to employ themselves for the first time. It was so hard not to be emotional as an individual that also founded a nonprofit and remembering the years, stretching every dollar and used to have a nickname called scrappy I just pulled whatever together and to make ends meet I would walk by a garbage can and if there was a pencil that still a little life in it, no worries we're still using this, right.

So understanding that mentality, and then remembering the first time I received funding. So grateful. And it also selfishly I was so grateful thinking that I'm a part of this body with each and every one of you. And it is blood, sweat and tears and frustrations and gray hairs and everything. We're giving of our time and the fruits of the labor, it's worth it, you know. And LEA thank you so much for coming today and sharing the great work and being a partner with CFCI in this, and I'm looking forward to continuing to hear more beautiful testimonies and looking at data.

But I just wanted to share my experience and grateful to be working with each and every one of you.

>> We should applaud that

>> DEREK STEELE: The thing I'm underscore both member Lewis and member Fuentes-Miranda actually both said that people who have been following this process along who had all these questions, trying to find a way who probably were a part of the organizing efforts to get this passed in the first place to be able to see many of them walk up and be like yo, thank you. That's what this is all about. To really be transformative in the way that these resources are going to our community and being able to impact communities too which we will get to the conversation later on in today's meeting.

Great event. Fantastic. I think it's a great pivot to the Amity presentation so we can talk through and like I guess tee up where things are and how we're moving along. You all come before this body a couple different times with different receptions each time. We're glad to have you back and present where things are with the work.

>> Thank you for having us. And that's a tough act to follow with LEA and the video from the luncheon.

>> DEREK STEELE: I also want to give a shout out to the JCOD comms team. To be able to capture a lot of the stories and if you're following onomatopoeia social media as well, to be able to see the dollars at work and at play in the various parts of the community. Shout out to Judge because you be all over the county. All over the county touting the success of all the different programs out here. So I really appreciate that.

>> Shout out to 2024, thank you JCOD.

>> DEREK STEELE: Thank you for the video. I'm sorry, go for it.

>> Audience Member: Thank you. Go to the next slide, please. Thank you. So my name is Joanne Sanchez I am the project director for the third party administrator. We're going to start with a year one overview. So 118 grants were awarded in year one. With approximately 47 million funds awarded in programs areas 1 through 7. Year one is currently in their fourth quarter, and they are currently working on developing milestones for their second year. Program area 8, capacity building grant awarded was 8.5 million dollars to 20 organizations, and they are currently in their second quarter. They just finished with their first quarter with the

reporting, they had a very successful first quarterly reporting. Next slide, please.

>> Good afternoon I am the VP of data and IT for the Amity foundation. Let's talk data. I know folks have been looking forward to dive in. I just want to give out the caveat what you're looking at is are these visuals are based on exploratory analysis that we internally did based on the amount of data that we have for our program areas 1 through 7 so I'm going to start from the left. So looking at the current data as of December 2023, there was over 11,000 unique participants being served by all of the grantees. Before I continue, I want to definitely give the kudos to all of the hard work that is happening on the ground. As I mentioned in previous meetings with our grantees along with our analysts and data manager, is that we want to make sure that we are elevating the great work that is happening.

So the second pillar we looked at over 4,000 total outcomes completed by participants. What exactly does this mean? It means that from program area 1 through 7, there has been a fair amount of impact given the amount of work that's being done. Moving forward, 250 total number of unique services available to participants. So looking at all of the services available through each program area we were able to quantify the unique count of the different services that are available to all the participants. Now, looking at the total services provided across program areas 1 through 7 it's over 70,000 services that have been recorded.

Meaning that, again, the work is happening, we're able to see and start measuring the different types of impact that we're having. And lastly, three hours plus so looking at all the information and all of the services being provided, we were able to quantify there is an average of three hours being spent with the participants while providing services. So that in itself kind of speaks to the volume of the need in the community and the amount of effort that is needed to continue to provide those services.

So I'll take a quick pause, I'm sure to open it for questioning if there's any.

>> DEREK STEELE: Go ahead, please. I see member Lewis and member Stevens.
>> Thank you just a couple of clarification points. So I know you said as of December 2023, but I'm unclear what the start is this a full 12 months, what time period.

>> Audience Member: Sure the time period was we started collecting data in the system in April if I'm correct.

{End of first hour session.}

[Change captioner 5:00 PM]

>> ... So the time period was we started collecting data in the system in April if I'm correct? May. May 1st, correction. May 1st is when we started asking.

>> This is eight months' worth of data. Can you say a little bit more about the three hours, one, how you know that. Just curious to know the collection method but also three hours over the course of eight months?

>> That is correct. The average is eight months and the way we were able to quantify the average time is when the COs are entering the grantee time in the system they are recording will time spent. Looking at programs one through seven and the average time spent with participants providing services equated to a little over three hours.

>> DEREK STEELE: Wait. I'm sorry. In my mind I heard the question that came up to what you're asking is how many interactions were there and you said based it off of 70,000?

>> That's correct. Over 70,000 interactions we have recorded. Not we but the grantees have recorded.
>> The average number of time you're using is what?

>> The time spent being recorded in the system so I'll use as an example if I'm working with a participant and let's say it was a crisis intervention service and took 90 minutes we quantified that across all areas.

All services require time spent for services, data point to be recorded in the system.

>> DEREK STEELE: Wow. So then you also have like a total number of hours --

>> Correct.

>> DEREK STEELE: All together but you gave the average.

>> I gave you the average.

>> DEREK STEELE: Wow, that's interesting. Member Stevens?

>> REBA STEVENS: Thank you for your questions. I think it may be helpful to better understand what the services are. What do the services look like and in what areas and where, you know, like, for instance, uniqueness. There are some areas that are more under served in communities. So I that I that that would probably be helpful to really understand. For instance, the presentation today did uplift and highlight a need in the community for young people.

>> Uh-huh.

>> REBA STEVENS: Are you going to provide more?

>> Definitely. This is the most -- again, very, very high level and we just wanted to provide a snippet of the type of data being captured to kind of give an overall stroke of what type of impact our grantees are having. Yes, in our model we do breakdown the information by program area. I think it would have been visually a little too much.

>> DEREK STEELE: We got a really comprehensive presentation in front of us. Feel free to keep going. >> So I'm going to hone in on the 4,000 total outcomes. If we can go to the next slide. So what outcomes are the 4,000 we see there? This is the breakdown of the type of outcomes or achievements that are being recorded in our systems that our participants or students as we call them that Amity are achieving. Credentials like food handling or OSHA. I want to also but the caveat that this type of point is not like the Department of Labor or but for this analysis, we did use the same strategy to kind of understand what type of certificates were being rendered by the providers.

So that's what you see in the big piece there. If you look to your left you see that folks are enrolling into benefits, being placed into housing, employment, family reunification. And education placements. If you go to the next page, we drill down on the type of housing.

>> DEREK STEELE: I hit a link.

>> No worries so the next slide we see the drill down on the type of housing. Again, we followed HUD standards based on the services notes and that were being provided and also how our grantees were recording the services in the system. So 40% within trim housing. On the left-hand side you'll see the other types of housing that the participants are being placed through these services.

>> DEREK STEELE: Hmm.

>> We go to the next slide and we drill down on the type of employment. Once a participant is placed in employment, we were kind of curious see what type? Some of the placements are in sales. Internships or fellowships. Paid, I might add. Entrepreneurship we had a lot of folks with services starting their own businesses. Some joined the military and also joined the health care field.

And then the last slide we drilled down on education. So as our participants in the community were being placed in education, we wanted to see what type so we broke it down based on high school diploma, whether they were being connected to post-secondary education, professional development, or vocational school.

>> DEREK STEELE: In eight months. Is that right? I'm sorry, please. I'm sorry.

>> Question.

>> The previous slide? So we're talking about the employment?

>> DEREK STEELE: Yeah. Member Crunk?

>> MEMBER CRUNK: Wesley Crunk -

>> Paid internships or fellowships?

>> MEMBER CRUNK: Fellowships.

>> DEREK STEELE: The darker blue the left.

>> The left.

>> The other two? That would probably be helpful.

>> The other two?

>> DEREK STEELE: There we go.

>> I will definitely check in. I didn't realize that it didn't, it must have been under a certain percentage and that's why it did not provide the tag. But I will follow up on those and provide them. .

>> MEMBER CRUNK: We definitely need to talk because apprenticeship should be there and I would like to connect with you and help for career opportunities.

>> With nonprofits?

>> MEMBER CRUNK: Whoever can boost those over and whoever I need to talk to.

>> We can drill down on the data feeding the pie charts to identify the organizations providing those services.

>> DEREK STEELE: Just a note and I'm going to come to you. The way these are broken down, some of them don't have markers so we can now what it is in between, you know, even looking at it now, vocational is 2% and that means this purple, light, hot pink is 2% but all the others below, what is that? >> What I'll do is I'll make the adjustments and I'll provide the link so it's linked to a live dashboard that we set up internally.

>> DEREK STEELE: Member Lewis?

>> MEMBER LEWIS: It would be helpful in these presentations and if you can recap what number one through seven means, it would be helpful, the percentages are great but some type of data on the number of people that were helped. The number of people who have received these types of supports as you have them broken down and what that proportion is of the overall 11,000 people served is something you should be presenting on and make the note and send it in. Finally, on the types of

housing, does it reflect direct services through CFCI funded providers? Does it reflect linkages to these types of housing? Or does it reflect both activities?

>> Both of the activities.

>> MEMBER LEWIS: That should be broken down as well.

>> DEREK STEELE: That's a good point. Thank you. Member Stevens.

>> REBA STEVENS: I think it would be helpful to understand race and gender. Particularly, where, you know, we know that there are there are challenges. And particularly, you know, we think about the growing numbers of Latinas in community but also the challenges that Black people are experiencing as to why we're here in the first place, if you ask me.

>> DEREK STEELE: Take another step further. The question I guess to Amity is a report like more extensive than at a glance something that's on the horizon for this, for the work you are doing? Do you know what I mean? I'm just asking so that you have the opportunity to go more in depth for people who want to actually read. Because I understand this. You only have so much time to do the presentation so all the nuances you don't really get a chance to present fully but is that something that is being thought of doing?

>> Definitely and I can speak to TP and Amity. Yes that's definitely in the forefront and thank you all for the feedback, right? Again, it's perfect opportunity to see and understand what folks want to see versus through the lens when we were putting this together. So in short, yes, that extensive report is on its way.

This worked as perfectly intended to garner additional suggestions so that we are able to model the data exactly.

>> REBA STEVENS: Thank you for opening that door. That's a great door to open ask I'm going to step right on in. I think, too, it would be helpful, you know, we think about equity and the areas in which these numbers are reflecting per underserved communities or needs. That's one but I want to applaud something that was collected and that was, I believe it's the last slide with -- is it the last one? Okay. Here we go. Because I wrote excellent on my paper.

And that's the 70% that attained a high school diploma. That's really wonderful information to get and I'm excited about that 70% but I would probably be jumping on the top of the table if I knew where these children were. Like what community, what area actually benefited from this as a part of that 70%. >> MEMBNER CRUNK: As well as are they all children or what's the age? Youth?

>> I want to say it is youth but we can definitely provide that additional information based on the age groups.

>> To be clear I believe the request is for a demographic breakdown. Race, gender, age, area, ZIP code, et cetera. And we can put a heat map together and the graphs and a chart that shows the things we are not identifying and the hard numbers in addition to the percentages. Okay. Thanks.

>> I don't know how many you presented -- five people? I have no idea.

>> Hard numbers.

>> Or if it's meant to represent of the 11,000 which I'm sure it's not.

>> The percentages of each of the pie charts are the percentages of the 4,000 outcomes that are measured.

>> So just -- on best practices. So for the sector TPRAPL JKOD they had a data pace or a page you can filter and our team will sit down and it reflects our team will reflect on how many are male or female and I don't know if that's an option to develop something we would be able to evaluate and adjust it. >> We'll sit down and we'll have Steven at Amity sit and look at it. We're looking at a data Board because there's so many things are different places and we'll look internally at what that looks like and this is different because it passes through someone else. We'll work with them on having a plan in a couple of months. If that works, we can sit down and come up with a plan and we can have a dashboard and it would be great to have it online so people can click and see what's happening. Public facing the folks who approved these folks like my bosses and we'll work on that.

>> DEREK STEELE: I thank you for sharing that. I'm sure it will be something more comprehensive than what we're looking at right now. I appreciate at a glance. I also appreciate the feedback that everybody is giving about the times things they would like to see.

>> To co-Vice Chair Miranda's point earlier, we have been rushing and as you have been patient with us it's getting better at each iteration and we're learning and growing and we're excited about what we have learned so far and the numbers are amazing and what it actually means, I want to break that down a little bit further.

>> DEREK STEELE: I want to push farther. I want to get feedback from the community around this institution so please, feel free.

>> Can you. Can we go to the next slide?

>> DEREK STEELE: Next slide.

>> All right. So Year two overview. These are our new grantees. I'm happy to report that we have 123 grants that were awarded. Those are all of the -- so all the 123 that were actually got award letters, we were able to on Board and award those grants to and that amount is approximately \$104 million awards in programs nine through two is and we had an on boarding meeting. Everybody did a nice introduction of their organizations and what services they provided.

We got a lot of good feedback on there. We have a live data training coming up tomorrow, actually. And this will be training on the data system so grantees can get trained in that and begin entering data as well.

>> DEREK STEELE: Mmm hmm.

>> They are currently in quarter one and they are also working on developing their milestones for their first year. So services have Goodbye. It's really exciting. It's really exciting to see some of our year one grantees get second awards and being able to add to the service that's they are doing already and also to start newer services and expand what they are doing and provide services to their communities. So yeah, and their contract standard on December 1st.

>> DEREK STEELE: Funds already out the door?

>> Yes style okay.

>> And here is a photo from our year two grantee luncheon held on December 13.

And we're going to go just briefly through our year two grantees programs nine through 21. I did provide a list of all of our grantees and it is a lot. 123. But I would highlight some of them. In program area nine which is community operated youth centers, there were 34 awards given this this program area.

So I had a couple of them -- beat the streets Los Angeles, color come upon, every day heroes. Next slide is continued for program area #. Pomona Pride center. Red aim fire, youth justice coalition. Next slide. Program area ten, expanding safety in Los Angeles and there were 35 awards given in this program area.

To highlight a few, arise and go, advocates for peace and urban unit, inner city visions, justice for murdered children. Next slide.

Sorry. I'm going to, the previous one continued for program area ten. Street kids. Soledad enrichment action Inc. South Asian network Inc and the trans Latino coalition.

Awardees program area 11. Job readiness and placement for adults. Anchor of Hope international ministries Inc -- highlighting a few.

Program area 12. Healing informed arts project. Nine awards given. Astrid's heart foundation. Project knucklehead, and Roc Era Corp to name a few. Program area 13, satellite sites are gang impacted communities. Six awards given using. Cease for peace. United we stand up. Awardees in program area 14. Five given and increasing foot access in Los Angeles. Green arrow and LA comport and Polly's project.

Program area 15. Four awards given this this area. Bridge housing. Community bridge housing. Veteran social services.

Awardees in program area 16, release education and neighborhood treatment. There were four awards given it this area. Afterlife initiative, chances for change, and south central united. Program area 17, residential sexual exploitation, two awards give between. Forgotten children and free from hardship LA Inc. Program area 18. Indigenous youth empowerment. Three awards given.

Program area 19. Youth justice program, two awards given, antelope valley community uplift and dream live hope. Program 20 end exploitation and create a safer community for women.

And last but certainly not least, program area 21 from treatment diversion or jail to work. There are three awards given. Gold recovery, community Veteran services and rebuild California alliance.

>> DEREK STEELE: I'm going to pause real quick. Member Stevens.

>> REBA STEVENS: The dollar amount on these, is it divided? Split equally? Is it one program got more than the other?

>> It's not split equally, it was based on proposals and their ask.

>> REBA STEVENS: I'm not trying to be difficult here but I think it would be helpful to really understand. I know this is a total for those that were selected but it would be helpful to know how much these -each got.

>> We can provide that.

>> The other thing that I would request is also disaggregated data about the leadership of these organizations. I think that would be helpful to just know like are these Black leaders or help paint the picture as well.

>> Adding to the stats of the organizational breakdown, Black, LGB, formerly incarcerated.

>> DEREK STEELE: All the thing that's go along with the formation of it. Member Lewis?

>> MEMBER LEWIS: In addition to the things that were said, I think it would be helpful because we had conversations around expanded around the \$1.5 million budget.

The groups under that amount would be prioritized or so it would be helpful to understand what that looks like. Not necessarily per area per se but how many organizations. The annual budget a lot of the organizations, the only thresholds that we have and not just above and below but I think there are some other steps to like \$500,000. There are general ranges that are used when assessing and trying to understand where the organizations are and that would be helpful to have in this presentation with anyone related to the newly allocated resources.

>> Thank you.

>> DEREK STEELE: I think, toward that end, understanding, to that question, the budget sizes of the different orgs, right? At least that's what I'm receiving you're saying Member Lewis, I understand the budget sizes. I would like to know that more than how much each organization got to really help to understand, you for, the variance of how we actually supported those organizations. Do you know what I'm saying? So yeah, I really, all of the feedback on all of that I think is really, really valuable.

>> We'll take a note.

>> DEREK STEELE: Anyone else?

>> We got the notes.

>> DEREK STEELE: Got you. Go for it. Keep going.

>> SUSAN DAVE: Hello everyone I'm Susan Dave, the grant project assistant with the third party administrator. There was an increase in the amount of applications received from year one to year three and this is due to increase in support of applicants and grantees, including making outreach calls from year one and year two declined applicants and offering technical assistance with the application process. In addition to expanding our outreach to websites and email and social media posts. In year three we received 1286 applications which does not include PA28. American Indian day dare and family resource center. Applications are in a review process. \$1.02 in funding review request asks. Process improvements. Increased support for applicants and grantees. We implemented a budget form webinar specific to completing the police station budget form. We identified and made technical assistance calls to 75 previous unsuccessful year one applicants. The contracting process reduces to an estimated five months between year one PAs one through seven and year one PA8 and year two PA9 through 21. We improved our process on providing technical assistance.

Any questions?

>> DEREK STEELE: I just want to add to the technical assistance piece as taking my hat off as Chair and an organization that applied to year two, I just want to lift up A mity for the process of response, even to the folks who were declined. That was really helpful. Year one, that was one of the things of people not knowing where they stood. So the response that you all had in the year two process as a request from what was happening from us.

That was really helpful but even in that, of giving the understanding and also the technical support needed to understand how to apply effectively in things to be thinking about if you are going to apply for your three funds is really helpful as well.

But yeah, the last thing I do want to bring up this to body though is I really want to be able to see the aggregate. I'm sorry, the data around the budget sizes and how we are actually supporting them because you know, the folks being trained to really review these applications? They are doing their thing and they are being very thoughtful to do exactly what we asked for and making sure the smaller organizations that are usually overlooked are not being overlooked this process and the ways you are trying to help to refine that.

Even as you're going to be looking at year three, thinking about how to also make sure that some of the anchors of the bodies of work that are happen recognize also being considered in this, this is really helpful too. Thank you for taking in the feedback and refining the processes so we can get better and better with this. Please Member Castillo.

>> MEGAN CASTILLO: I have a couple of questions. I'm curious if there were outliers with regard to the applications submitted for grants or were they all pretty reasonable for the programs they were requesting? And then the second question that I had was around grid determinants for process improvement. Did you all do any kind of surveys to the folks that you all were serving to determine how you all were providing technical assistance? And then the last question that I have is how did you identify the 75 organizations that you all called back. Apply again and support them with technical assistance.

>> The 1.2 billion is a combination of all the submitted applications and their funding request and so that is the total amount. Your second question was --

>> MEGAN CASTILLO: Was there one for a billion dollars?

>> No. They all fell within the actual funding area of what was available in that program area and they just requested an amount based off of what was area in whatever program area they were applying in. >> DEREK STEELE: Uh-huh.

>> Next question?

>> MEGAN CASTILLO: Next question was around your process for determining process improvement. Surveys issued or how did you determine, outside of producing the amount of time that it took for processing, how did you all determine that.

>> As far as your processing, do you want to add more into that? Rebecca could probably come on for that question and I can answer the next one. How we identified the 75 previous unsuccessful year one applicants. We went through our portal and we checked to see what applicants were declined at least more than three times and we made reach out calls to those organizations to assist them with this process.

Lots of times we determined an organization had issues with accessing the portal or attaching the right documents. Sometimes they would attach incorrect documents so we guided them on what was needed in that process.

So we pretty much reached out to them and explained to them you know what we found that was a concern and how they can make improvements. So based off of like technical concerns.

>> Clarifying, you said rejected more than three times but then you said it was a first year.

>> We're focused on year one but the note is that some of these organizations in year one were also declined in year two and year three as well.

>> Thank you.

>> I mean year one and year two as well in program area eight. So they were declined three times.

>> DEREK STEELE: Rebecca gray was unmuted to answer the other question as well about how you all refine the process. Please feel free.

>> REBECCA GRAY: Hello. Sorry I couldn't be here today. Illness as well. Train not guilty half an hour. Very exciting.

So we did, one of the things that we added to the application, the last question application is please let us know where you would like technical support. And we took those data points, you know, like all ones that we could address preapplication or with pre-award, those were the things that rose to the top as far as how we addressed our technical assistance. On the operation side, they had some other

processes that they went through. Usually it was a larger number of organizations had difficulty with budgets. Had difficulty are state, like with your statement of information for the State of California. Any time something like that kind of bubbled to the surface, that would result in technical assistance. That would result in us adding trainings. That would result in us tossing out something that wasn't helpful and bringing on something that was.

Another thing, I think we did in year two is we surveyed our reviewers to find out if our reviewers had any input on how they found the process to go. The majority of our reviewers, we had 100% person of color reviewer pool for year two. 85% systems impacted. And we, like, from my perspective, their experience of these applications, what rose to the top for them, what made the difference for them in reading the applications. That has really, that has really helped for the year three process as well. >> DEREK STEELE: Fantastic. Thank you for that very much.

>> Thank you.

>> I request the data points as well, who the reviewers are.

>> DEREK STEELE: Thank you.

>> I appreciate the community center approach and the ability to take the feedback and the intent behind all of this effort and I thank you for that.

A couple of things, I don't understand denied three times when to my knowledge there were two rounds of funding.

>> One through seven and eight in year two. So we just notice they were also declined the three rounds.

>> I got it. I was trying to figure out how we got three when there were two rounds. When you made 75 calls does that mean you engaged with 75 agencies or you attempted to provide assistance for 75?
>> We provided technical assistance with 75 organizations and we engaged with those organizations over the phone. If we didn't reach them the first time, we made another call to make sure we reached them to inform them of what we found was a technical issue.

>> Okay. So technical, so how much time did you all spend? Because how much time did you spend providing technical assistance?

>> The calls would vary. The calls could be transcribe 15 to 30 minutes depending on the individual. It just depends on the organization. Some organizations were savvier than others and some you need to give them time to explain.

>> So when I hear technical assistance I think of something different that's a little bit more involved: So these were one time conversations primarily?

>> One time conversations.

>> DEREK STEELE: So because we got some too, right? We had our call. It was four folks from Amity. From various departments on kind of answer specifically about the things that we were looking for. Prior to, though, I sent the email, the response totem was to set up a time. We didn't need a subsequent conversation because after the -- I'm probably one of the more savvy ones but I think to Member Lewis' point, were there some that actually may have needed a little bit more time and more energy to help them really map it out. Like, were you like pushing them to come to the webinars or coming to the things to be able to get more details information to be able to, whenever their request was?

>> When it came to the technical assistance, we informed them what the issue was. We said you attached wrong document or you may want to pay attention to that when you're attaching the documents to the application and making sure they understood.

Sometimes confused on attaching the annual budget and we were explaining the difference between what the prior attachments were.

The majority of the organizations understood what the concern was.

>> MEMBER LEWIS: One more question in the same vein. Outside of that process do you offer for people in the organization that were denied, can they make a request for a feedback session? Do you offer that as a part of this procurement process?

>> We do provide feedback sessions and we gave them a general overview of concerns with declined applicants and we just broke down what those issues were.

>> MEMBER LEWIS: My question is do they have an opportunity to request it?

>> These organizations have all requested it so they have either sent an email in to our TPA grants email requesting feedback in regard to their denied applicant.

>> MEMBER LEWIS: That was different than what you were doing.

>> That was different and we gave them the reasons for the many declined applications.

>> Thank you.

>> Mmm hmm.

>> MEMBER CRUNK: You didn't give this assistance until the third time which was recent, right?

>> Very recent.

>> MEMBER CRUNK: Are you going to track the rate with the demographic of who is getting help? Did it help them?

>> That is definitely a part this have process and those organizations have been documented in the reach out we have made and we have encouraged them to reapply for year three and we'll see who has improved in the applications.

>> DEREK STEELE: I keep bringing us up because we're one of the organizations who is more than a million. As one of the larger organizations, what are the best ways to approach something like this to be, because we're one of the anchor organizations some of the spheres of influence that we operate in and I wanted to make sure we did it the way. I appreciated the nuance not creating technical assistance assuming the smaller organizations might not know what they are doing but also providing technical for the larger organization about how to be thoughtful in approach.

>> I want to clarify something. The difference between unsuccessful applications were probably missing documents and didn't go through the screening process. We were talking about applications that did meet that but there was not enough funding. 75 may not look that large. Those who went through screening but there was not enough money left.

>> That's very different.

>> DEREK STEELE: I'm going to go here and I'm going to come around the table. Go ahead.
>> Thank you.

>> VICTOR CYRUS-FRANKLIN: Wonder how you came up with that figure and the increase in applications and how did you go about reducing that time and how did that work? Was this an average of the applications that came through compared to the first year? How did you come up with the estimate of five months? Where did that come from?

>> Our first year from I believe the beginning of our process to, what was it, about seven months, and so just refining our processes in terms of providing support for insurance processes. Helping the awardees to on Board getting insurance. Assisting them with refining their budget so also milestones and all of those things, the barrier that's we had in terms of timeline inside the first year, we made changes. And brought in additional support so that we can move that timeline a little faster and so we got it down to five months as opposed to the first year.

>> We did improve our process where we identified fiscal sponsors to support in that and we also processed prepayments for insurance. Some organizations that couldn't have the money to pay for it were able to get the documents and Amity was able to pay for the insurance so they can meet that requirement.

>> DEREK STEELE: Two more slides. Knock those out. We're not going to get to the last part. It's not going to work. Go ahead.

>> Year three updates moving forward. Once we do get selection of those awardees, we're going to provide technical assistance to our awardees during the contracts which I just spoke on in terms of support with their budgets and being able to refine those and also with insurance, right? So helping them and supporting them through the process of getting insurance and increasing to the level that's they need. And also providing support with prepayments for anyone that does need a prepayment. So we are currently on target to meet the goal to award year three funds in the spring of 2000 thank you and that is approximately \$175 million. Next slide. And thank you. So two more picture from our luncheon. For anybody that has any questions, they can email us at TPA grants@amityfdn.org. Any questions?

>> DEREK STEELE: Anybody else have thoughts or questions?

>> Thank you.

>> DEREK STEELE: Thank you. Appreciate it. I want to --

>> I'm sorry I have one more. Sorry.

>> DEREK STEELE: I'm sorry go for it.

>> For people who did not pass -- I'm going to call it application threshold.

>> MEMBER LEWIS: Is there any technical assistance even in the form of group webinars or workshops or whatever for that group of organizations?

>> There is technical assistance and we do provide webinars and they are provided on our website and everybody can access them as well.

>> DEREK STEELE: I want to do general Public Comment. Wait. Yes. General Public Comment now to make sure that if there's any community Members or that want to respond to the presentation or have any questions and stuff like that can be brought forward as well before we get to the last part. I think we may need to leave this, leave the next part for the next meeting. But I do want to give a quick

update on it so that everybody understands where we are. But please, let's go to general Public Comment.

>> We will now open the general public period as a reminder, how much time do you think to give to Public Comment time, Chair?

>> DEREK STEELE: One minute.

>> One minute per person. General Public Comment are limited to the matters within the subject matter jurisdiction of the CFCI Advisory Committee. We will call you in the order hands are raised. Do we have any Public Comment in the room? Seeing no hands in the room, we'll move to online. Do we have any Public Comment online?

>> RENITA: There's a couple of hands online.

>> DEREK STEELE: We'll start with reverent holly Devi.

>> Hello. Thank you. I'm really thrilled to see how quickly year three funds have been applied. I'm thrilled that there was so much outreach and that the applications were doubled from year one. I hope we get twice as many like 2400 for the next round. I'm really grateful for the work this committee has done and that Amity has stepped up and I know a couple of other organizations have applied like we did ask they really appreciated the technical support. It's ongoing and let's keep it going. Thank you so much.

>> Thank you. We'll move to ByroN.

>> BYRON: Thank you for the presentation and this committee's work truly uplifting the community and investment which is what this funding was set up for.

It took us less than three years to get over 1 billion being requested and we're looking at 123 and still funding less than 10%. So over 1 billion is closer to the 900 million.

It's the law and closer to where we need to be in terms of funding as we move forward. And then looking at the less than 10% of who is being funded and knowing that we are receiving less than 10% of the County costs for CFCI funding and this is looking at the third party administrator grantees and not looking at the funding going through the department. We're looking forward to a new video with a lot more money. We will credit the hundred million owed. We look to this body uplifting that this year. Thank you.

>> DEREK STEELE: Thank you.

>> Identify Public Comment? Okay. Seeing no other hands, back to you. This concludes the Public Comment period.

>> DEREK STEELE: Thank you very much. So in the last, what was that? It's like 12 minutes, right? Looking at the last part of the agenda is really talking about the Data and Evaluation Recommendations. Thank you very much first of all for those who participated in the subcommittee. It took us a second to get our bearings and try to figure out there's a lot of information that you saw from Amity's presentation. That's just a drop in the bucket of the larger Marco picture from a data standpoint when we think about the departments and everything as well. For those who participates, we had a series of different conversations and I want to lift up Member Castillo because as a part of a conversation she mentioned in passing because sometimes she's talking, you know she's saying something but if you're closer to her you can hear exactly what she said. It was one of those.

And it stuck with me for the whole week. And so when we got back together, we had a conversation about the difficulty of trying to come up with an evaluation strategy after only two and a half years of work. Right?

That's not enough information to really be able to say, here is what the impact looks like. And there's a larger arc of a story that is being told or that is actually being created as we move forward every single year in this work and the time needed to be able to paint that picture about what the impact looks like needs to be provided. So with that in mind, the data and evaluation subcommittee decided we were going to go with what we know for sure. Year one and the grantees that have the dollars are collecting similar information and it doesn't matter which area you're in.

Using that as a baseline to say here is where all the work is being done. Here are the types of folks and the types of people who are, and the people themselves who are being supported through these dollars. Hour is how the dollars are being spent but using that as part of the larger narrative that we are going to be crafting together over the next few years with this as the baseline. In order to get that baseline information, [indistinct] was taking notes for months in the various conversation that's we did have and in the last meeting we were able to come up with a -- the document is actually attached as a recommendation of how to gather information. It doesn't matter if you are an organization that's supported by way of the TPA or one of the varying departments that got resources. How to make sure we can tell this story effectively.

Before you jump in there Steven, anyone from the subcommittee, is there anything you want to add to what I just said? No? I captured it good? Great. Thank you.

I'll end by saying that this work takes time and the energy it takes to actually capture the information as needed to be able to tell the story takes even more time and energy and I want to lift up the organizations who have already been doing this to get the baseline information that we have. That is value added that is necessary and we're thankful for it and we're going to be able to utilize it I have. Go ahead, Steven.

>> STEVEN: This was not a J product and done in collaboration with the Members here and based on the direction provided and these conversations and the subcommittee conversations and taking into consideration concerns and comments.

We believe this provides a nice balance between being substantial enough to do a proper evaluation while also not being too burdensome or overwhelming for the grantees or departments. It allows us to use this information in this process to better understand challenges that folks placed doing this work and we are support them as an administrator and you all as a committee. We talked about understanding the experience of the smaller organizations and what we're working with and how often this is their first source of funding and the huge challenges they have faced making sure everything runs smoothly. We think that provides grace and understanding for the experience but also to make informed decisions to have understanding beyond the three years.

How this provides the opportunity to create an impact work and to be able to share all the work happening.

If we turn our attention to the document. Digital program launch which provides opportunities for grantees and departments to face hurdles and lessons learned. Provides an idea of the propose the services they will provide and the projected demographics.

>> DEREK STEELE: Can I pause real quick? To that end, you brought up Member Lewis being able to capture what, or like.

>> Categories.

>> DEREK STEELE: Dollars have been spent down so that he can with have that as a part of our evaluation. When we think about reallocating dollars, which funds do not use that at all that we're going to take that and put forward in the future. That's a part of this strategy too.
>> Spending is different category. You're talking about the valuation of the spend down.

>> It's not in this document since this document was finalized for the meeting. We have worked internally to understand how best to structure the ask of understanding the spending data quality status in each program and that will be included in other versions.

>> DEREK STEELE: The first question is did your program launch but that's directly tied to where is the money? I want to make sure we understand that Part two. Go for it.

>> The next Section is if yes which will obviously be the vast majority of practical's. This digs into quantitative and qualitative and is not too burdensome and difficult to reach for the organization she were working with.

The next question, number two. More narrative in form and allows a department or Member to share why. The subcommittee discussing what the larger impacts of CFCI funding at large will be reached and those will be solidified and folks would be able to speak to how the program goes to the goals you all set as the Committee.

Before that, so if additional resources are available, this question, number three. Signed the paper additional resources are needed to do their work and they say yes, we want to ensure the additional funds should she get it goes to funding more people and the services offered. We have follow up questions to that affect In addition to provides information for you all should you want to allocate funds if it gives you an idea of what could be needed to increase funds or services.

This is the area where I wanted to make a suggestion to you all to consider including an ask for that particular program their most current spending activity and what is left in that lending.

And near the bottom there, there are a couple of what the C1ommittee says is optional. Program outcomes and goals and challenges if any they faced. This is something that -

Would suggest you consider a mandatory question and provides direct goals and outcomes and could provide clear measures to point to during an evaluation. The grantees have their milestones.

>> RENITA, mute your computer. We can hear you talking to your grandson.

>> We have a question bank at the end for questions tossed around in the meeting and I believe with more time we can do in the next meeting solicit meeting from the larger group. JCOD is here to support. Thank you.

>> DEREK STEELE: The idea is for folks to respond to. We don't have the time today and we'll bring it back up to give you time to digest it and we'll bring it back up as well.

The idea is to provide this to everyone and receive this information so that we can create an impact report that we will be able to provide to the Board of Supervisors and their offices and to the general public about where we are as to beginning this process of evaluating. We can use the information received back in our consideration on how we want to reallocate funds from year one which is an important and critical piece of this puzzle. We can he consider this conversation, we have to have a process if place of how we reevaluate every year and the reallocation of the funds. I really appreciate the way that the intention that the subcommittee has utilized the time together to come up with a game plan on this. There is the suggestion of like, moving the first optional question and making it mandatory. I think we oscillated back and forth when we were talking about that. For myself as I thought about did a bit, I know it's probably really important to see what the channels are. I really want to know what made it difficult so we can learn from it and actually make the process better. Let me step back. Lewis?

>> MEMBER LEWIS: Unfortunately, I'll be out of town in February and my alternate will probably be here. I'm sorry I have only been able to be at one of the committee meetings but I saw the data collected from everybody and I'm concerned there's not enough quantitative indicators here because the labor it takes to synthesize and report out the qualitative data, which is important, is a lot and I'm concerned there's not enough quantitative data, given what I know is collected. Same thing I'll say even as it relates to some of the challenges I'll encourage yes we need to tell the stories but I'll encourage you to there are ways to categorize things so that the report out can be quantified in many of the areas I'm concerned it's very heavily qualitative and things are missing I think in terms of the story we should be able to tell with the information that is collected.

>> DEREK STEELE: The thinking is the quantitative piece we are already collecting and we have and we will be able to utilize that based on what we have received as well. I feel you I got you we have to be thoughtful on how we do that. We captured it in the if yes piece. I got you. Anyone else? We're going to stick a pin in this right there. I'm sorry, please?

>> I don't want to take up a lot of time but just want to thank the C1ommittee for the work. But one thought. We had a pretty extensive discussion on technical assistance and I think this is the definition of what that is. But when thinking around the challenges that are identified, if there's additional did you understanding, maybe a question of could some of that funding help support the organization in addressing an identified challenge and how we're building the capacity through technical assistance

because depending on what the challenges of the barriers are that the organization is facing in either launching their program and there's dollars to potentially support, is there not guilty here around TA or connecting it to the other survey questions. At the end of the day, how do we continue to support the capacity of the organization to be able to continue this work and get more funding sources and, you know, built its Board and build its Member. Trying to think about at what point do you know, some of the -- and I think at the last meeting we talked about the challenges, you know, the heavy lift, right, that data collection takes and could there be some opportunities for each of the grantees to support that work.

So I think just one quick observation and look forward to the conversation next time. So thank you. >> DEREK STEELE: I got you. Thank you. You good? All right then. All right. We had the general community and we're going to stick a pin in this there. Thank you very much for your contribution and we're going to keep working on this and bring it back to you all at the next meeting so that we can finalize it. Meeting adjourned.

>> Thank you.

>> DEREK STEELE: Thank you.

[Meeting adjourned 6:03 p.m.]