

**CFCI ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING**  
**2/2/2023**  
**CAPTIONED BY TOTAL RECALL, [www.yourcaptioner.com](http://www.yourcaptioner.com)**

**4:00PM**

CHAIR STEELE: Give me one second. I apologize. We are here. We have a quorum. It is another fantastic, beautiful day in LA county.

There is a lot happening. A lot going on. I want to make sure we do the disclosure.

>>: I will read the disclosures. This meeting is being recorded. You consent to being recorded. This is a public meeting and subject to the brown act. The chat function is limited to tech assistance.

There will be no response or forwarding to the advisory committee members. If members of the public would like to provide comment, please do so during the public comment period for that item or during the general public comment period.

For captioning, cart services are available. You may access these by clicking on the stream text link that will be provided in the chat after the reading of the disclosures.

When accessing Zoom through a computer or smart phone, scroll to reactions. You will see raise hand feature. When accessing Zoom, scroll to more at the bottom. You will see a drop down menu with raise hand feature.

For anyone with difficulties, telephone dialing information will be provided in the chat. During public comment, telephone participants can press star nine to raise hand and star six to unmute.

Written public comments are to be submitted. To be reviewed before the meeting, it should be submitted by 5:00 PM the day before. They will be shared prior to the meeting and reflected in the minutes. If not, members may not have the chance to review comments prior to acting on the item.

This ends the reading of the meeting disclosures.

CHAIR STEELE: Thank you. We will start with the land acknowledgment. I will take that one on. We want to start this meeting by recognizing those whose land we currently reside. The original caretakers that still live and thrive among us. The [FOREIGN LANGUAGE].

We are thankful. We honor you. Second item. The advisory community agreements. As we have since we started, let us do a round robin. Be respectful.

MEMBER LEWIS: You need to call roll.

CHAIR STEELE: Sorry. Can we take roll SFL.

>>: Member Armstead.

>>: (Inaudible).

>>: Member Carbajal.

MEMBER CASTILLO: Present.

MEMBER CRUNK: Present.

MEMBER EARLEY: Present.

MEMBER FERRER: Present.

MEMBER FUENTES-MIRANDA: Present.

MEMBER GARCIA: Present.

MEMBER GHALY: Present.

>>: Contreras? Member Lewis.

MEMBER LEWIS: Present.

>>: Member Nishiyama. Member O'Brien. Member Lobianco.

Member Schoonover.

MEMBER SCHOONOVER: Present.

>>: Thank you. Member Scorza.

MEMBER SCORZA: I am here.

>>: This is Member Lobianco.

MEMBER SCORZA: Present.

MEMBER SOTO: Present.

CHAIR STEELE: Present.

>>: Member Verrett.

MEMBER VERRETT: Present.

MEMBER WILLIAMS: Present.

>>: Wong.

>>: Present.

>>: I see Member Carbajal with his hand raised. We have quorum with 21.

CHAIR STEELE: Thank you. I thought I had it this time. I was like, I am going to be ready. Can we bring up the community

agreements and let us work together to acknowledge these. Be respectful of the diverse voices. Remain open minded.

>>: Be mindful of power dynamics in space. Prioritize and defer throughout the process.

>>: Be mindful of the diverse audience. Make sure you speak with clarity.

>>: Be collaborative.

>>: Assume best intentions.

>>: Challenge the idea not the person.

>>: Remember why we are here. To center the communities most impacted. Low-income communities.

>>: Be intentional about hearing and allowing space for voices to be uplifted.

>>: Be an active participant. Try to be with your thoughts. Let equity lead the way.

>>: We begin the meetings with a land acknowledgment statement recognizing the people's of the land we now call LA county. Thank you for your participation in that. It is always an excellent exercise to be reminded of why we are here.

I want to lift up. We have been hearing from the committee. Taking a look at the rest of the agenda. We have some presentations today. How we are preparing for the next phase with the care first community investment dollars. Before we move on, can we please take action on the minutes?

>>: We approve the minutes. Motion has been made to approve the minutes on January 19th. Is there anything to add before we move forward with public comment? Can we please go to public comment?

>>: Can you start public comment please?

>>: The public comment period will be one minute. Please use the raise hand feature. Please state your full name for the minutes. I will lower your hand once you have completed the comment. We will call out the last digits when it is your turn.

Star six to unmute yourself.

>>: We will now start public comments for the minutes. Raise your hand if you would like to make a public comment. Back to you.

CHAIR STEELE: Thank you. The minutes for our last meeting have been moved. Can we move to vote?

>>: The last meeting on January 19th. Member Carbajal.

MEMBER CARBAJAL: Aye.

MEMBER CASTILLO: Aye.

MEMBER CRUNK: Aye.

MEMBER CYRUS-FRANKLIN: Aye.

MEMBER EARLEY: Aye.

MEMBER FERRER: Aye.

MEMBER FUENTES-MIRANDA: I.

MEMBER GARCIA: I.

MEMBER GHALY: I.

>>: Contrarez.

>>: Abstain.

MEMBER LEWIS: Aye.

MEMBER LOBIANCO: Aye.

MEMBER SCHOONOVER: Aye.

MEMBER SCORZA: Aye.

MEMBER SOTO: Aye.

MEMBER VERRETT: Aye.

MEMBER WILLIAMS: Aye.

>>: Wong.

>>: Aye.

>>: 18 yes votes. One abstention. Motion passes.

CHAIR STEELE: Moving on. Items 4 and 5. I want to start with five. In our conversation last week, there was a request to make sure everyone was aware of the schedule to get closer to the funding allocations to year three.

Is it possible for someone to bring up the schedule? Month of March going into April. I feel like it is easier to say a meeting isn't happening than trying to add it in. I think member Williams's point of view, making sure people can prepare themselves.

There are more meetings on the calendar to avoid having to be on a call for an additional hour. Not only putting forth the recommendations. But also helping to prioritize through the survey will we will go over today.

The responsibility of honing in the amounts. The meeting on the 13th is the addition and the meeting on the 20th. We should have draft done by the 6th. Between 13th and 20th, time to bring it to community. We were having this discussion. We wanted to bring it to this space.

We may not be able to have enough time to get the feedback also and gauge it into the recommendations. A really interesting thing. This was an idea that bubbled up between the thinking about staying true to the community agreement around letting the community lead the way. Community members can engage in the process with us during the month of March and April by way of using the poll function in the Zoom meetings.

We are going through our process. We put 15 million toward a particular area or recommendation. Once we have done deliberations, we encourage people to be in this space with us. In that process, have a poll that says, we are on point. Two low or high.

We are able to use this space to provide the community a way for deliberations. We don't try to have ten different meetings outside of this space. Didn't try to bring all that information together. We can do it along the way with us. If there are any parts you missed,

>>: A matter of us getting our people to participate. As far as the schedule, I am fine with the extra meetings. Anything beyond two should be noted.

>>: Make a note of that. We can add the update to the meeting document. Any thoughts? Anyone else?

MEMBER LEWIS: I think we need to make sure we structure the point in time we get to making decisions. Having to prioritize. Structure in a way where this committee can do what we are appointed to do. I think that, I don't know what the listening sessions are for.

I have seen e-mails. Get people's input. Have people give input. Unless I am misunderstanding, I am not sure. I don't know that we will get through it. If there are additional contacts, let me know. That is my take on it. What item are we on?

CHAIR STEELE: Item 5. We were talking about the calendar. It goes with what is happening in the meetings. That is how we got to community members.

MEMBER LEWIS: I think it has its place. I would be cautious about where. We should have a chance to have interrupted conversations. We need to be intentional about where that sits.

CHAIR STEELE: That is in addition to the survey going out. Shout out to my main hector. Making sure all people have access to giving input. The survey is one. The listening sessions going through some of the more identified by the already indexes and platforms. They will provide access. Our deliberation may be different. We have front loaded this whole process.

We have to make sure it is not disruptive. It can be a continuous conversation with their input. I think in having this



conversation, it can be helpful to hear ideas about how best to go about doing that.

MEMBER LEWIS: Our responsibility is to get to 100 million. Regardless of how much community driven process there is, we will have to have those discussions. Those are not initially interrupted. I am nervous about the post to secondary community engagement.

The secondary community engagement after decisions allow for different days of the week. If we put it in this meeting, you lose that. That is a good point. Thank you. Good decisions. Confident I will do. Brings us to great points. We have been appointed to represent for the community.

CHAIR STEELE: This is a conversation about both. This is the next layer of engagement they are engaging with us. Maybe it is. We take the first run at it. We are coming back around to the decision-making processes.

I think that is a solid idea. This point about only it with being open to people to meet this this space, that is fair. The other part is that the timing of it and trying to meet the approval of the final version, that is where it gets tough.

If we can give ourselves more time, that can be helpful. The way the schedule is set up, we would only have a week and a half to have the meetings and gather the changes. Add them to our funding recommendation.

MEMBER SCORZA: I get the spirit of what you are trying to accomplish here. I think there may be a way to accomplish this. My understanding was there were some surveys. There are outreach activities. If there is a way, gathering the temperature, a way to disseminate a survey that asks those questions and some critical juncture, when the information is needed, I could see how that could get to the spirit of trying to be inclusive while also providing the opportunity for more people to engage.

Is there some sort of polling or survey mechanism that takes place after the deliberation?

CHAIR STEELE: The initial idea was can we do a secondary survey? We are about to go hard with this initial survey that you will see today from SLS. One of the biggest drawbacks that people gave us in the exist survey was it was too long. If you could have people in the space with us, they have committed to being a part of the conversation.

What we have envisioned. We want to think through it with you all as well. Does the tool need to be the Zoom one? I don't know. I could be some of the other tools out there. It is the part people were concerned about.

>>: I guess a brief ability to get to the spirit of what you are trying to get to. Input and form which proposals have does not have to require a whole extensive thing. It can be pointing people to a website. I think there may be an opportunity to do both.

The committee to receive the input needs without delaying the deliberations or stopping at every single item. I would support the idea of trying to be as inclusive as possible. Understanding and recognizing the need to minimize the other issues.

MEMBER LEWIS: We agreed to meeting input in a meaningful way. Allowing it to inform our process. It needs to be thoughtful. It is an actionable item. It is something this body needs to decide.

CHAIR STEELE: That is why we brought it here.

MEMBER LEWIS: Number four is actionable. I would not feel comfortable. Most appropriate for the full advisory committee to make the final decision. How we do the second piece. Collect information.

CHAIR STEELE: Item 5 was about the schedule. It is actual. We can move into Item 4. Is that how we go about it? I went over the schedule. In my space, I can add the special meetings we can remove them if we need to.

I want to make sure they are on the schedule.

MEMBER WILLIAMS: I was going to thank everyone for their input. I feel like at some point during the surveys, we felt like, I want to lift us that almost people don't want people to give input. On these surveys, it feels like they are shorter and there is a point where we got a bit bottlenecked.

Hopefully we are more well-oiled. When we get to the tough parts, it would be cool if there was a second survey. A shorter one. Pull it back. Help people guide the decision making. With our listening sessions we should have enough information to make informed decisions. That is just my recommendations.

The form is too damn long. You need a professional grant maker to do it.

CHAIR STEELE: We did get that feedback. Shout out to the trusted messengers. I am excited about people's steadfastness and drive to make sure they are getting through it. Continue on this conversation about community engagement.

We heard points of view. There is some energy. I am doing it in a way that is constructive. Making sure community has the opportunity to engage. What the intent is. Does anyone have more to say about it or would be open to bringing a motion forward? Having community members engage with us during the deliberation process.

MEMBER LEWIS: We are talking about input on our preliminary decisions. Actively engaged in the first part of it. I would like to move that we incorporate bandwidth and opportunity to do some polling between meetings. Areas we get stuck on. How community can help inform. We make a decision.

>>: I will second that.

CHAIR STEELE: To include community engagement throughout the deliberation process in ways to help us move past the difficult parts.

>>: Yes. That is capturing it. Any thoughts?

>>: Having multiple surveys? Is that what we are looking at?

CHAIR STEELE: When we get stuck on a particular issue, it can be a couple questions that get input between meetings.

>>: I wanted to make sure I understood what the proposal is.

MEMBER LEWIS: I am not saying this negates some simple way for the community to weigh in on the final preliminary draft. This doesn't replace that.

CHAIR STEELE: Separate from. Any other thoughts or questions?

>>: I won't lie. I am preoccupied. The main thing I heard is when Member Lewis said, I followed the process last time. We got bottlenecked. I am a little concerned about them too. We bring a whole other set of ideas. If it will deter it, I don't think anyone would mind it.

CHAIR STEELE: Are with on the right track or off the track? Yes or no? It is not trying to create a whole new atmosphere that we have to deliberate on. Making sure community voice is helping to say, you are good. We follow that. That is the energy of this whole thing.

It is not about opening all the way up. It is just are we on point, not on point? We can use that information to move in the right direction. We had a whole deliberation about parks after dark. It came down to a close vote.

Before, community members could weigh in as well. Using that as a point of reference to make a decision. Community voice supports that process along the way.

>>: They only have one minute. Some of those people would be inside to have a little bit more of the communities what their investment is. That is the thinking. I want to be clear.

CHAIR STEELE: Member Lewis is saying to do it between the meetings rather than in the meetings. Is that correct is this.

MEMBER LEWIS: Yes. I don't know if we have the bandwidth. I don't think it is fair.

MEMBER WILLIAMS: I think the example was good. We are talking about those outliers. Tier two. We could take those 4 or 5 concepts. Get them out get that feedback. It is how I am thinking about it.

>>: That is what my motion is for.

>>: If the survey is sent between meetings, who would the survey go to? Who would with a part of that list serve? Who is the audience for the survey as it goes out if it is between meetings?

MEMBER LEWIS: Everyone that receives information.

CHAIR STEELE: The trusted messengers.

>>: I am just processing.

CHAIR STEELE: We talked to county council about this to make sure. It is a general polling. We wouldn't have to do it like in year one. Everyone had to be a member of the committee. It is a poll to give context to the decision being made by the voting members. You could use the Zoom polling function to set up a poll to put out to the public that are here.

To member Lewis's point, she is saying it does add a little bit of unfairness. It only opens it to the people that made it to the meeting. The spirit she had was being able to get as many people to come to this space to provide their feedback. This motion is slightly different. We are talking about having surveys go out between meetings.

MEMBER LEWIS: I will call them polls. What areas do you live in? The other thing, I know it is not ideal. I want to say the public comment period is intended to allow people to weigh in real time. That should be considered a part of how our decisions are informed.

It is still a part of it.

>>: The only variable, it is easy to organize to get support when you have more time. It creates another variable to factor in if one is able to send a link to get support to weight in favor of one over another. I think there is a value in real time response. It can limit some degree of that potential. I am supportive in ways to give my voice to the public.

>>: Thank you so much. For that same reason that you just uplifted around public comment, I think it is important to incorporate the polling that Member Stevens has uplifted. Not everyone is comfortable with public comment and it is for a limited time. How do we uplift and engage in live back and forth? Incorporating more avenues for community members to engage this this process with such a limited timeline. I am a proponent. I think incorporating surveys makes sense. We have to be tactful.

The survey was over 30 minutes long. What does it look like to get as many responses from community members knowing that the survey will take 30-minutes to complete? The engagement will look a lot different.

CHAIR STEELE: The later part is the polling in the meeting versus the survey.

>>: That is right.

CHAIR STEELE: Thank you.

MEMBER CRUNK: I did notice there were a few issues that we tabled. We came back to it. Overload of people on those issues. I am calling everyone. You need to check in. I like what he is saying. Are we picking those people to sit in and the main thing, I am listening. I think this is right move. We need extra angles. We are going back and forth like we did about the parks.

A live poll comes out. They can poll. Or even at home 60 people. They can say, I like it. There are a few things. A live



poll. You can feel the pulse. This continues. Are these people having the same type of communication privileges we have? Am I making sense?

CHAIR STEELE: I don't think it is the latter. The participating through poll to affirm one way or the other. Another layer of we heard from them. That can be the deciding factor or supporting role. It won't be a perfect situation. Let us call it what it is. I will say that if we are doing be between, I have to consider.

We are putting this on their team to tally up. Be prepared for the next meeting. With all the things we will be doing, I want to be mindful of the body of work to do things between meetings. Then we do polling. It gives us the results. We can see what the community is saying in the space with us.

EVENT: CFCI  
LOCATION: REMOTE  
2/2/2023  
R. Farrell

-- we can see what the community is saying in the space with us. Is it perfect? No. Open up to everybody out here? No, it is not. If anyone is deciding you want to do in the meeting rather than outside. Again, the motion on the floor now is we do it in between is there will need to be a request for an amendment to motion if anyone would want to do that. If not, the motion we are going to move forward on is the polling happening in between meetings. Anyone want to make an amendment?

>> I have one question if it goes between the meetings this committee will clearly define the questions that are going to be polled between the meetings. Correct?

>> DEREK: That is correct. I am, can I request a shift? Or no I cannot request a shift. Or a motion.

>> VERONICA: Can you.

>> DEREK: I will request an amendment to have it outside of the meetings rather than inside.

>> VERONICA: I do not accept we have so many groups come together so disenfranchised it disenfranchises others whether it is a great organization and people are left out and I don't accept.

>> DEREK: You got it and let's go to public comment.

>> Angela ready for public comment?

>> Yes. This is for item four contradict?

>> DEREK: Yes, motion is to have community input by way of a poll in between meetings.

>> Reminder the public comment period is one minute per person to provide public comment dial star nine to use the raise hand feature. Dial star six to un-mute yourselves and we will call on you in the order that your hand was raised by stating the last digits of the telephone number. Remember to state your full name we will now begin public comment for agenda item number four.

>> Maya goes ahead. Can you un-mute yourself? Sorry you should be able to un-mute yourself now.

>> Thank you all. I wanted to come on and voice support for what member Stevens and Castillo and what derrick just tried to do for his amendment as well. I think it is important that community members can give quick feedback while they will attending the meeting and it is important to get as much feedback from the community as possible to know we are in alignment with the community during this process and in the past couple of years there were oftentimes when we fell off from being aligned with the community and the community let us know that in different ways and also a lot of folks aren't comfortable giving public comment and there is a time restraint with public comment and I do think we should focus on engaging the community and not the arbitrary time lines. Thank you.

>> Thank you, Maya. Laura goes ahead.

>> Yes, my name is Laura I also want to voice my support for the proposal put forward by member steel, Stevens and Castillo and I think the vote and suggestion made during this meeting would be helpful. Surveys could be more meaningful in meetings. And the high barrier of entry for public comment because of nerves and public justice could be an issue. If folks are monolingual in a language other than language a poll could be presented to them in the other language and more acceptable perhaps if they are able the to listen to the language interpretation.

Also I feel that the poll allows people who are shy or perhaps don't feel they have enough policy knowledge to get into great detail to just weigh in and this conversation was no we waited 45 minutes to weigh in on the conversation when we could have done the poll about supporting the poll.

>> Thank you. Next, we have Holly. Go ahead.

>> Thank you so much and you are grateful that you do allow the public to comment and appreciate the arduousness of this. I am not in support of the survey if it could be amended to be stated as a poll would be important and I encourage in the meeting polls as well which is not measure four, item four that is upright now and I am in support of the yes and. And I appreciate that the committee is being sensitive to allow all types of participation to happen. Because we are here with the boots on the ground and it is difficult to pull two hours away a day and commit to the meeting time. Thank you I am going to yield my time.

>> Thank you. Sophia. Go ahead.

>> Can you hear me?

>> Yes, we can hear you.

>> Thank you. Sophia Lee district one. I also want to uplift the both and suggestion that has been raised. I am sensitive to any potential logistical concerns that might come up with having polls in between meetings. But zoom already has the poll function built in and I think it is a great way to increase and lower the barrier for participation. The concern by member Lewis. You know the goal of the poll isn't to out shadow or outweigh certain voices that might be a minority and I think people who disagree or other ethnicity can still give public comment and I think increasing the number of ways people can participate will be powerful. Thank you.

>> Thank you, Sophia. Hector goes ahead.

>> Thank you. Good afternoon. Hector. I want to uplift and or recommendation as well and just highlight the need to have as many opportunities for people with disabilities that are system impacted to be able to contribute to the process and I think that would allow to do that in the department of mental health we have stakeholder process to enforce about the work that is done here. To request to have as much plain language included in the material so folks don't experience a language barrier or technical barrier to be able to provide some of the information that you need to make decision here. Thank you.

>> Thank you hector. BY RON?

>> Definitely in support of the polls and any other system that allow for the community to write inputs and also establish the community engagement process. When your three models that the county can continue and ensure that the community is fully involved and also it is not just an extractive process and as we continue to build towards

(Indistinct) budget and participatory budget in different ways and listen to what the community has to say and thank you for the opportunities.

>> Thank you and anybody else that would like the to make a comment? Raise your hand. Star nine to raise your hand if you are on the phone. Okay. Seeing no more hands back to you chair steel.

>> DEREK: Thank you very much everyone and your contribution on the conversation on the committee and also in the community.

>> I will repeat the motion and let me know if I got it right. Chair steel. I am going to call the vote for the motion to approve the motion to obtain community input by way of poll in between meetings if the CFCI committee gets stuck on a particular issue.

(Voting).

>> MEGAN: Did someone second the motion?

>> DEREK: Yeah, member Williams did.

(Voting).

>> The motion passes with 14 yes votes one know and three abstentions.

>> VERONICA: There were two nos.

>> DEREK: Member Stevens and.

>> And who?

>> DEREK: Me.

>> I am sorry the motion passes with 13 yes votes two knows and three abstentions apologies.

>> DEREK: No problem. This is a big deal. This is good. The JCOD team you got my commitment and hopefully member Lewis, member so to and as well as the leadership to help come up with a game plan on how to best do this. I think within of the most important aspects of what we are saying is when we get stuck. If we are not getting stuck, I would wonder what the polling could look like in between as well and we will get there and have those conversations when we get to those points and thank you everyone for your input on this. Member so to.

>> ROSA: Thank you chairman. The consideration of user expectations on the polls. I think we have to be honest and transparent with the community about the poll or at least set the expectation. As example if a particular initiative as higher percentage and are in the discussion and either vote down or continued that we just, it is almost like the disclaimer that we want to make sure people understand and we want to hear from them to advise this body in our decision making process. Just to have that articulated in a simple and clear message. Again, because participation is extremely important but also is transparency. I think the second part to that is accessibility has been brought up and Hector often brings it up ensuring that the language and technology that we use for the poll isn't cumbersome and notes the accessibility and need we are looking for so people feel heard and acknowledged and validated.

>> DEREK: For sure. Absolutely and that is the part I am saying we have to make sure we bring that to the leadership space and working with the JCOD team and determine the best ways to do that to capture all aspects of that in between meetings

when we do the polls and we will make sure we work together on that and make sure it is taking place.

I want to take the privilege and I want to move -- dang. Supposed to talk about the, oh that is plan we talked about that. We were going to have a showing of the survey by SLS today as well. Correct me if I'm wrong, I want to move to the presentations and then come back to this conversation. Can I do that? Because I want to bring the survey to be the last thing we do. And I want to make sure we get the presentations in from JCOD as well as from DHS. So, I want to move to item six and then come baa welcome to four. Since we just made a vote on four can I come back to four? I am asking generally.

>> I believe you can go out of order and I am not a hundred percent sure the fact we had a vote on four closed that out. If Tyson has objection if he is here otherwise, I say go for it and we can come back.

>> DEREK: We are going to go forward and let go to item six. Presentation from DHS. In the presence of time, it is 5:15 if it is possible to hit the high points of the presentation to make sure we still get out of here on time. Please.

>> Perfect thank you. Good evening, everyone my name is leapy. I am deputy director at housing of health. I will make it as quick as possible I will talk to your b about the CFCI round one project we invested in and if we can go to the next slide, please.

Am I going to control the slides or somebody else?

>> If you have the slides up.

>> It is okay we can run through this quickly and I want to talk about the approach at housing for health and principles include housing firsts and harm reduction ask and we do whatever it takes and it is something that we heavily believe in that every person as



an individual needs specific and very carefully planned and had tailored services and we partner with community organizations and experts and one of the things we have done well over the last ten years since we started the program is being able to braid funding and being able to create simplicity and sustainability for the partners and they are not the ones having to chase different types of funding and we do it for them and contain the pain and they one invoice and we pay on time. We have a flexible way and easier way to get on the contracting process for DHS and housing for health through the master services agreement. And obviously we are fortunate to have great collaborations with all of the county, with all of the community-based partners and some of whom are on the panel today and if we can go to the next slide.

This is the program overview quickly. We um, have street-based engagements and we have over 70 teams we fund throughout the county of Los Angeles and they are multidisciplinary teams who do street base engagement and outreach every day. We are having interim housing programs that are stabilization which have minimal clinical oversight but allow people to stay until they matched and eventually moved into housing and we have a recuperative care program which allows people to come out of care settings and recuperate and have clinical oversight and we are able to do step downs between the programs.

The permanent housing program includes the homeless prevention unit which is a data driven approach working with the California lab at UCLA with data analytics for people falling in homelessness and the permanent supportive housing program which is up to housing 18,000 people we are about a 92 percent retention rate after one year in housing and it speaks to the community based partners and the rich residential care

program which is where people can go and live in licensed care facilities as long as they need and overlaying all of that is benefits advocacy program which is sea best. S SI and S -- and clinical services overlays everything.

Just to give you a flavor of what we did with the first year and first round of funding and we were able the to support permanent housing subsidies in two buildings at spot 8 and 6 and invested in the board and care program called ERC which is throughout Los Angeles County. Two buildings fully leased up and have an additional 200 units coming online and now we have 103 people being serve and had done start to use the funding in October 2021.

That is the data I just gave you. 47 units across two project based buildings and 200 more units coming online and quickly expanding on the ERC side and doing referrals as we speak.

This is some of the data but you have these slides and in the interest of time I will skip some of these and if you wouldn't mind going to the next slide. This is again these enrollment numbers and these are also data that we submit through reports directly. I think once a quarter. You will see that we were able to refer 46 people in the first quarter and another 11 and 46 and if you add those up across the rows, we get a 103 and that is the number of people that are being referred into the program.

We also if you look into those that have moved into the program. That does come up to three and we are still in the process of housing a cup of more people and we expect those numbers will line up to 103 fairly soon. Next slide please.

These are all of the types of services that people get connected to. We do link to mental health services. Substance use disorder services social services including benefit

linkage. Basic necessities. And that is the list. Case managers do everything they can to make sure people get every type of service they need to be able to be stable in housing.

Next slide.

These are the gender demographics as you can see and again this is the 103 people who we are serving. Next slide.

This is our age demographics. Next slide. Again, race, ethnicity and if you want to review this again on your own time, we will definitely welcome questions via e-mail for the interest of time.

This is where the geographic distribution is. I will note if you see spot two San Fernando valley stands out. I think for some people the 21 are a lot of board and care facilities and there are a lot of board and care ERC in the San Fernando valley and that is where we try to cluster people for the ease of service.

We did try to include a success story for you. The housing we invested in was for the office of diversion and reentry and those additional 200 units will also continue to serve ODR clients and this one was written up in LAist which was great and we got good press on that and heartwarming stories and we were able to intervene in people's lives and give a different life throughout their live as they come into permanent housing and be able to take care of the health and be able to succeed as the individuals that they want to become in housing and in their communities.

Ly say one of the projects we were able the to fund which is an eight-unit project was developed by RLNA as a local developer and they did also win the 2019 LA housing innovation award and we are looking to invest in innovative programs and developers bringing on projects faster and intervening in people's lives in a positive way.

That is, it. I hope I was able to get this information to you as quickly as possible and I know that was fast and I now have another presenter behind me and I can take any questions or if you want to e-mail the to me I am happy to do that too.

>> DEREK: Thank you very much for that. Member Lewis.

>> VERONICA: Thank you. It is great to see you. I think you said one went into automatic supportive services and two projects can you clarify all of the participants came from ODR?

>> Thank you for asking that they were all from ODR. They are all supporting ODR projects. They refer them and they are also the ones managing the contracts to provide those services.

>> VERONICA: That is great. Opposite diversion reentry if anybody in public doesn't know what that is. It will be helpful next time if we can understand how many folks went the project sites as part of the diversion program as oppose today people back in the community that have returned. A lot of the housing stuff is related to trying to move to decarceration and it helps to understand the sub populations of folks you understand what I am saying?

>> Yes, we can do that next time.

>> VERONICA: Thank you.

>> DEREK: Anyone else? Any questions? Can you pull the slide up one more time? I am sorry and go to the demo slide if you don't mind. Like 5 or 6 slides back. The race one it is after the age. There we go. Go to the spot one again?

>> Those are the two buildings that opened up. Spa six building and last column of eight units that one is fully leased up and the one in spot eight with 38 units is almost completely leased up.

>> DEREK: Fantastic work.

>> VERONICA: To that point go back one slide. Can you talk about given what we know about incarceration, can you talk about these numbers and how the majority are white individuals given what we know about the data. Can you talk about that a little more and why you think that is the case?

>> Yeah, I would probably need to consult a little more with the office of diversion and reentry. The reason why we are in the middle of this is because we manage the flexible housing subsidy pool that is where funding went into to provide support for the buildings from the subsidy perspective you see about -- we can, I will just say we have taken the black people experience and homelessness report from the California policy lab and trying our best to overlay it on housing retention rates. That is something that there are several recommendations that we are going to be taking pretty seriously and we can talk and see if the office of diversion and reentry is also doing something similar.

>> DEREK: Fantastic. Member Williams?

>> JOEY: Thank you for sharing the demographics and maybe a category for indigenous folks and they are not on here. And we have a large indigenous population in the Los Angeles County and I would recommend that.

>> Okay. Thank you so much for that.

>> VERONICA: Last thing I promise as a member of the black people experiencing homelessness committee I am hoping this is not just an ask for you all DHS that notion

and I know ARDI is working on this the true racial equity framework and lens in terms of how the resources are being assigned it would be helpful to hear how that looks like I know there is a piece where ARDI worked closely with each of the departments and if there is a way for us to understand that. All of the programs.

>> DEREK: For sure is that maybe a report we would like to hear from doctor Scorza on the to talk through what that looks like or you want to lift up every time we bring them in to talk about that piece of the work as well. Which side of the conversation would you like to hear?

>> VERONICA: Both.

>> DEREK: Got it. Anyone else? Amazing presentation and very much for coming and having this he prepares and had great work and we will look the to see the progress over time. It is in this case to see the dollars at work that is what is up. Good work.

I will move to item seven. JCOD's presentation.

>> Thank you so much. My name is Joseph wise wily and program manager with the justice care and opportunities department and I am here to speak today about the breaking barriers rapid rehousing program. Next slide please.

So this just gives an overview of the program and it is a rehousing program that is mirrored with case management and employment services it is managed by brilliant corners and they provide step down rental assistance which means provide a certain portion of the rent while the participant pays the rest of the portion for up to 24 months of assistance during that time they are receiving case management and job assistance services including job training and clinked to transitional subsidized employment and idea

is as they get closer to the 24-month of assistance they take over more of the rent and can hopefully transition successfully in place.

Come from the community. Probation and different community-based organizations. After the referral process, they do a quick employment assessment and enrolled in a housing search and participant and provider work together to find apartments and landlords that are willing to accept the program. After being placed. They really work hard on making sure they have the budget correct and the financial literacy the to take over the rent and they do a reassessment at the end of the 24-month period to see if they are ready to take over the rent fully. If they are not, they look at other types of housing. Maybe permanent supportive housing. Family reunification. If they need ha little more time it can extend beyond 24 months.

To give a little bit of a background. The program started in 2015 and funded by the Hilton foundation probation and it was in partnership with DHS and housing for health and they manage the federal housing subsidy portion of it while we JCOD manage the supportive services component. The submission came from corners themselves and they needed I am decisional funding and awarded in year one to serve new participants and JCOD was chosen as administrator for it and starting to enroll and bill new participants in April 2022 so far spent about a million dollars in supportive services and housing subsidies and enrolled 55 participants as of September 2022 and also awarded to increase capacity to serve even more participants. Target population. They do serve both single adult or families and in order to be referred the probation officer can submit a referral or participants enrolled in reentry case management program which has about 30 providers throughout Los Angeles County can make a referral through the community

health worker and I can send information and how the enroll in that separately for everyone. Next slide.

This is a breakdown of everything since inception. I have some CFCI specific data and the provider is working on getting out the CFCI participants verse the historical participants and I have the demographic data and gender data to share if people are interest and had they are needing a little more time to get the supportive services data down and hopefully we will have that for you by next quarter.

Since program inception 85 participants have been enrolled and 542 were housed and 255 this number is updated. It is a total of 418 participants obtained employment and I can send updated slides for that and 267 successful exits and that looks like 137 individuals being able to take over the rent fully at the end of the 24-month rental subsidy and 61 individuals reunited with family and six transitioned to permanent supportive housing and also got another 2.5 million from the board of state and community corrections to use for housing subsidies and they have gotten a lot of funding recently and looking to expand and had serve for participants and we are excited about that.

I can quickly share the CFCI data. If you want many to share my screen or I can quickly send to you whatever works for you.

>> Go ahead.

>> Awesome. As I said this are working on getting supportive services and interim data tracking system is having a hard time separating CFCI from non CFCI for supportive services but this were able to get the gender age and race and ethnicity demographics for me. And I can send this out as well to everyone to make sure everyone has it.



They were also able to transition 14 participants to other subsidies with higher level of care. They are about making sure people don't have anything once the 24-month subsidy is up and they work hard to make sure if they do have higher level of care that they are able to receive that or family reunification or some things so they are not left stranded.

Any questions about breaking barriers or referral process or data?

>> DEREK: Any questions from the members?

>> VERONICA: I am sorry there was a highlighted note that said the members it said your on track where you place them not where they came you from?

>> Exactly they don't track where people were referred from or spa they came from. A lot of time they are homeless or couch surfing. So, they track where they place people.

>> VERONICA: Okay thank you.

>> Is that something you would like for them to change?

>> VERONICA: I think it would be helpful. Especially it is year two I believe. Is there more money for breaking barriers in year two?

>> Yeah.

>> VERONICA: I think it is important and helpful for future presentations we want to see the outcomes. How many people are placed and how many people are still housed. Thank you though.

>> Yeah, to share that report they did have that. They had in Q one July to September 22nd, 83 clients linked to permanent housing and Q 2, 69 clients linked and

Q four -- and Q 2, 2 clients taking over the rank completely and they did provide the data as well. It is just the service language they have difficulty with right now but they have the flow metrics available.

>> DEREK: Member Castillo?

>> MEGAN: I have one question is there any way for community members to self-refer to this program and if so or if not, how can they get referred?

>> Right now, it is not really self-referral they can be refer by a probation officer or a community health worker in one of the RICMS programs and I can follow one the community to make sure you get information on how you can get enroll and had how a community health worker can make the referral.

>> DEREK: Can you spell out the acronym.

>> Yeah, reentry intensive case management services and it is another one of the programs that JCOD contracts with providers for.

>> DEREK: You said you can provide the list of service providers?

>> Yeah.

>> DEREK: Okay that would be helpful to make sure we have that available to public. T.

>> Absolutely.

>> DEREK: Member Lewis.

>> VERONICA: I think I will come back to the implementation of data for me. We want t to make sure we standardize where we are asking the respective departments and third-party administrator and come back and share information about in terms of the different categories and something we might not get to it until we are done with the year

three process. We want to make sure we are making the best use of the time and the information that the committee thinks are useful depending on the different categories of funding and it is exciting and great work. Thank you.

>> DEREK: That is where we see and starting to ask questions working with the JCOD team to get a firmer understanding of the work they have been doing on the data side and I think judge arm stead gave us a glimpse of that in the last meeting. I learned at least in preliminary discussions the difficulty of aligning folks around a certain set of data that can, that is telling a similar story across all areas of focus and I think they shared a bit of what it looks like in the last slide that he shared with the break down on the, in the sales spread sheet he had. That sheet is familiar to me. I have seen it as one of the funded partners for the county on some of the work on the education side and it is interesting the way they are tracking and using the form for housing and it is similar in nature to the way we are tracking things particularly from the linkage standpoint and education too. When we get to see the platform that is aggregating all of the data, I agree with you we should probably have a conversation around how, what other elements we might think are missing from what we want to see in our data tracking here in this space. It is definitely a conversation to have. Anyone else? No? All right.

Thank you very much to the JCOD team. I appreciate you. It is 5:41. What I would like to do is come back to item four and bring SLS forward and I am going to give you nine minutes on the showing of the survey to give us some time to talk about it with the hope of leaving time for public comment before we get out of here at six.

>> Sounds great. Thank you, chairman Steel. I am going to introduce Christian from our team and she joined our team about six months ago and she is our data guru

and she will walk through the survey and we have been working closely with the subcommittee weekly to give them different update them on the progress of the survey and where we are at and she will be walking you through the tool and how it would function more or less and with that I will turn it over to Christian.

>> Perfect thank you. And thank you so much everyone here. Specifically, the subcommittee because all of your feedback has gone into why the survey the way it is and how it is going the best hope possible to get feedback from the community. And like said my name is Christian and I have the survey displayed on the screen and a couple of notes about the tool that we are using and we are using survey monkey and it has the capability to sync up with phone readers for those who need the text read out to them. We will also get it translated into a number of languages and in light of the wonderful amount of submissions that we received, 145 to be exact we did a lot of work to make sure this survey was the appropriate length to make sure that we get all of the feedback that we possibly could from the community and we did a lot of brainstorming together as a group to make sure that this tool does just that and doesn't take everybody's entire day to get the feedback.

Starting off with of course the first question. Primary language. And as we go through the survey. There are a few logical considerations built into it to ensure that we are getting the feedback from everyone and also getting the feedback from the right folks and first here we have if you answer this question no you are not a resident of LA county it will take you back. It will kick you out of the survey and we are only getting responses from people in LA county and you can see that functionality. I will say yes here and that will take me to the first set of questions here. And getting the zip code and what is the

district? If you don't know your district, we have this link here that takes you to neighborhood info dot LA city dot org that allows them to type in the address and identify what the district is.

Additionally, we do have the question about how did you find out about the survey and here are the options here. We have a section for community organizations. And if the respondent does click on that it does pop up. Here it pops up the community organizations.

We have this list here of our trusted messengers to make sure the language was inclusive and we change today community organization with our trusted messengers listed here. If there is another organization that the respondent heard about the survey from, they can put that here under the other organization section.

We also have a cup of open-ended questions in the survey. The first being what does equity mean the to you? This question is set up to randomly it will either be on the top of the question which I will talk about in a so he could or the bottom and that way we can get different vantage points first than being primed with answering the question before deciding what issues are most important to them and then another set of people. After having made the decision.

So, on to that decision we do have all of our focus areas listed out here. The program areas with a brief description of each of them. These descriptions are the same descriptions that were on the guide sheet when people filled out the recommendation form to begin with.

So, they are aligned there and we also have it set up to only accept three areas. Going back to the top of when I just mentioned how many recommendations we got. We

got 145. If were to put all 145 of those into the survey. The survey would be nearly an hour long. So, to mitigate that. We are also making sure that we get a good number of responses from folks particularly from areas that are most important to them. We rate and had come you were with the best path forward to have folks select the top three areas and this question is set up to only accept three. If you do other ones and you try to go to the next one it will give you a little nudge to fix that.

What will end up happen sg when you go over here. Uncheck that. And since I chose restorative justice noncarceral pretrial services and community-based services. When I go to the next section those are the ones that I get to provide rankings on with the exception of a r third sort of wild card one thrown in so that we not only get the ones that are most important to them. But maybe a cup of extra ones that do not have a lot of submissions and we still want to get input on the community on where they are rank and had how they should be fund and had if they should be funded.

>> DEREK: Let me clarify briefly. There were three areas that got majority of the recommendations. Two that did not have as many and I am talking about less than ten. And the idea is that give folk it is opportunity to select their preference and give their ranking on the preferences and they will also be asked to give the ranking on one of the areas they didn't select and we have a randomize and had true to nature understanding of what people prefer and also the things they don't prefer. What ways do they p want to lift up the different recommendations?

We have two more minutes okay perfect I am at the most important part. This is how folks are going to be ranking. For instance this is access to funding for small minority owned businesses and once the person has the idea of what they want to put in what

order and they drag and drop in the order they want or there is a drop down here for them to understand Kate what their first, second, third, however many options there are for them for their ranking. That is how they rank on each of those sections to let us know what is their priority in terms of funding for those focus areas.

That work it is same way with r for all of the focus areas and you will see going back to what I said here that since they chose those three areas all of the areas are the ones that show up with the exception of one other one and as you can see here, we have a lot of recommendations and people will be able to read through all of them and rank them as they see fit and that is the recommendation part. I did want to skip forward quickly just to show you all the more demographic types offense questions.

This brings me to our second open ended question and what is the long-term vision for LA after the programs are funded so we can see where people's heads are. As they think through what LA will look like after we implement all of the funding and have the programs going and areas and got their feedback what is their long-term vision. And the last section here are the same experience questions we have on the survey last year for consistency sake and also for analysis sake so we can go back and compare how those questions have changed by different constructs and by different demographics. I will stop there. I think I got some time to spare.

>> DEREK: Fantastic. Questions or thoughts? How do you feel about the survey? Is there feedback you would like to have in the thinking of getting to the final point. We are looking to roll this out next week. Yes?

>> VERONICA: I think it is great. Two quick things on the community how hear about us. There's no question to ask people to select. There was no options -- JCOD list

people that are part of the committee are sending things out and I think you need more options and also describe what people are being asked to do and other thing I will say in terms of it does make it clear when people say what the priorities are on the page leads into whichever categories or recommendations you are going to see that is what it is going to do and you may want more transparent language around that on the panel. Thank you.

>> DEREK: We added the other section to the question as well. And you can type in where you learned or who is you learned it from and we got to exercise and said we can add --

>> VERONICA: There is obvious ones. The JCOD list and advisory committee members I understand that you can't put everything. I think it is limited.

>> DEREK: I got you on that. Member Williams?

>> JOEY: I just had a question and I know last year on the survey you went quickly as far as race and want to make sure you are including Alaska and -- I don't know if the question is in there, we had questions about adding it in and they did it quickly and make sure it carries over.

>> It is in there and it is at the end. I don't know if you wanted to jump over but it is at the end. We ask the question about demographic data. Gender. Age and ethnicity based.

>> JOEY: Thank you so much for that. I didn't have access to the link and couldn't look more closely and thank you for that I appreciate it.

>> VERONICA: What is the total dollar amount for the 150 projects. What is the dollar amount it equates to?



>> That was submitted?

>> VERONICA: Yes.

>> Over a billion dollars. Across 145 recommendations. 25 okays. Well, I have a different perspective on the survey then. With don't have time for it. 1.2 billion.

>> Yeah.

>> DEREK: That is the thing. The idea is that the community members will help us prioritize areas of focus and recommendations but our job is the harder job of saying come on. This is how we will prioritize the dollars towards these types of projects.

>> VERONICA: I think you should say in the opening statement. I didn't see context language. Hundred million dollars 1.2 we need your help and didn't see framework at the top of the survey either.

>> DEREK: Good point. Make sure we have the framework at the top of the survey and also for the more important questions about what the outcomes are. So, they know what the promises to what this are selecting.

>> Yeah, we got that. Other thoughts?

>> ROSA: I think to add that some of the questions are quite layered. I am not sure if there was a testing of the education level. And again, to the accessibility questions.

>> VERONICA: Is that done. Literacy test is applied you can tell us? Is that a test you applied to the survey?

>> We try today make the language as accessible as possible and we can definitely run it through some tools that give us a clear indication of where it is in terms of grade level. Just from my readings we want t to keep things around a third-grade reading level.

>> DEREK: I didn't think about that I am going to be honest. We talk about this in the subcommittee and it is not a thought I had you am glad you asked it. And anyone else? Cool. SLS great work. It is exciting and we are going to add the feedback that you all gave today and the goal is to make sure you all have access to the link before it goes live.

When you do get the link, please if there is anything else. Even after it is live if there is anything else that you think we need to make sure we take into consideration add. Don't be afraid to reach out and let us know so we can make sure as soon as possible. Member Williams?

>> JOEY: Question. When is it going to end to, I know we got the contracts and it is going to April. When is the survey going to end and had when been it live?

>> It is technically March 5th at 11:59. Midnight.

>> JOEY: Okay we are going to have some issues I know the (Indistinct) contracts say April on there. The folks having the time maybe something we can figure out with the subcommittee on that with the language of the contract. Go ahead.

>> DEREK: Are you asking about their support of the, there is other testimonies, like, for them to support that is the reason why it goes to April. The process we have for the funding recommendations does it until then and the idea is that they are helping us thought the entire process.

>> JOEY: Folks should know that and hopefully you bring up at the subcommittee if they are planning to enroll in the listing session that it ends on the sixth. Or do online one. Other folks just a heads up.

>> DEREK: You got it. Thank you. All right. I know we have a couple of minutes left. If you can oblige and give a few more so that public comment or those who want to comment can comment. We are going to move to public comment. It will be the general public comment on the rest of the items. Open up to the public.

>> Okay we have reached general public comment. Once again comment will be one minute per person. Remember to state your name for the record and if you are on the phone star nine to raise your hand and star six to un-mute. Raise your hand if you would like to make a comment and we will start with Hector. Go ahead.

>> Just comments on the survey you need a framework to help it. It is lacking ng plain language accessibility from top to bottom and I want to point that out. That is not the language is inaccessible. Asking folks hypothetical and periodic Cal concept throws people off and the reliability for the data is questionable. Even the fact you are using you have a long list of ranking system the problem with that is individuals get tired of looking for the ones they rank and you get unreliable data and sometimes people will look for ones they like and raise it up and other ones -- perhaps -- increase reliant that particular that you have now and given the amount of money and -- your factor is really problematic. As reevaluate the response that is you might get with this given the fact that the language and accessibility are going to play a factor.