

Community Input Session

SPA 2 – San Fernando Valley

A Design for Thinking Together

You'll have opportunities to:

- Share your initial reactions and budget priorities.
- Hear from others and discuss the choices within your SPA.
- Reflect on what you've heard.
- Share a closing perspective after having time to process together.

We've intentionally structured the conversation so everyone has multiple opportunities to contribute — and so your closing input can be informed by the conversation, not just your first reaction.



How We'll Use Our Breakout Time

What should we expect?



A LOCAL PICTURE

Review of local data, resources, and insights.




A REALISTIC SCENARIO

Review of a potential Measure A budget scenario.




CLARIFYING QUESTIONS

Answer clarifying questions in preparation for discussion.



SHARE INITIAL THOUGHTS

Share your initial preferences in reaction to proposed scenario.



REVIEW & DISCUSS

Explore priorities, concerns, and impacts.



SHARE CLOSING THOUGHTS

Share where you land after the discussion.

Point-In-Time Counts by CoC in Los Angeles County

SPA or CoC	Population	2024 Count	2025 Count	2026 Count	% Change (2024→2026)	% of CoC Homeless (2026)
1 - Antelope Valley	415,052	6,672	6,753	4,755	-28.7%	6.9%
2 - San Fernando Valley	1,992,748	10,701	10,766	10,299	-3.8%	15%
3 - San Gabriel Valley	1,583,634	4,843	4,485	4,988	3%	7.3%
4 - Metro Los Angeles	1,108,271	18,389	16,955	19,431	5.7%	28.3%
5 - West Los Angeles	640,834	5,383	5,029	4,518	-16.1%	6.6%
6 - South Los Angeles	998,813	13,886	13,587	14,289	2.9%	20.8%
7 - East Los Angeles	1,256,015	5,899	4,778	5,522	-6.4%	8.1%
8 - South Bay / Harbor	1,063,859	5,428	5,424	4,774	-12%	7%
LA CoC (Total)	9,059,226	71,201	67,777	68,576	-3.7%	100%
Long Beach CoC	450,469	3,376	3,595	3,729	+10.4%	100%
Glendale CoC	187,160	175	197	TBD	TBD	100%
Pasadena CoC	137,195	556	581	577	+3.7%	100%

LA CoC PIT Count Insights

SPA 2 — San Fernando Valley

- **SPA 2 is the largest SPA by population** (22% of the LA CoC) but has a **lower rate of homelessness than the CoC average** — accounting for 15% of CoC homelessness.
- Total homelessness was stable in 2026 (−4%), but the sheltered count declined significantly while unsheltered went up.
- The unsheltered proportion rose from ~64% in 2024 to 71% in 2026.

PIT Count Results	LA CoC	SPA 2
Sheltered	33%	29%
Unsheltered	67%	71%
For every 10k residents, how many are homeless?	76	52
Trend (2024-2026)	-3.7%	-4%

- SPA 2’s demographic profile mirrors the CoC overall, but the composition is shifting — DV/IPV prevalence jumped and chronic homelessness rose — while families and youth fell, consistent with the sheltered count decline.

Insights from HUD's Housing Inventory Count

SPA 2 — San Fernando Valley

- In addition to 116 beds in the Glendale CoC, SPA 2 holds 3,332 IH beds, or 13% of the LA CoC supply.
- Interim Adult beds are 68% of the mix of the LA CoC beds in SPA 2 and 31% in the Glendale CoC.
- Interim Family beds are 31% of the LA CoC beds in SPA 2 but 68% of the Glendale CoC beds.
- Including Glendale CoC, almost 10% of permanent housing beds are in this SPA.

HUD Homeless Assistance Programs	HUD Housing Inventory Count Report Category	Service Planning Area (SPA)								Los Angeles COC	Long Beach COC	Pasadena COC	Glendale COC
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8				
Interim Housing: • Emergency Shelter • Safe Haven • Transitional Housing	Total Interim Housing Beds	1,396	3,332	1,151	8,379	1,364	6,154	2,010	1,886	25,672	1,095	233	116
	Individual Adult Beds	48%	68%	57%	82%	48%	59%	61%	73%	67%	73%	48%	31%
	Family Beds	49%	31%	38%	11%	39%	37%	31%	19%	27%	19%	46%	68%
	Transition Aged Youth Beds	2%	1%	6%	6%	4%	3%	6%	1%	4%	2%	5%	1%
	DV/IPV Beds	2%	0%	0%	1%	8%	1%	2%	6%	2%	5%	0%	0%
Permanent Housing	Permanent Supportive Housing	893	3,864	6,079	23,026	3,624	4,718	1,582	1,308	45,094	2,156	521	133
	Other Permanent Housing	-	228	110	600	-	526	68	37	1,569	529	199	-
	Rapid Rehousing	523	1,529	750	2,593	1,110	2,208	910	564	10,187	512	15	47

Existing County-Funded Resources: SPA 2

	Category	Entities and Programs	Service Planning Area (SPA)									TOTAL
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Multiple	
Dept of Homeless Services and Housing (HSH)	Outreach	HSH Outreach Teams	6	9	7	17	7	13	8	7		74
	Permanent Housing	HSH Shallow Subsidies	30	83	53	66	21	119	52	93		517
		HSH Time-Limited Subsidies	76	165	109	334	30	546	121	266		1,647
		HSH Tenant-Based ICMS & FHSP	861	1,260	882	2,780	472	2,887	739	1,408		11,289
		HSH Project-Based ICMS & FHSP	383	2,028	707	5,582	652	2,149	634	1,600		13,735
		HSH Enriched Residential Care Beds	3	199	36	80	5	18	57	98		496
	Interim Housing	HSH Interim Housing Beds	483	563	334	1,550	140	1,316	642	1,098		6,126
Local Solutions Fund (LSF)	Outreach	LSF Outreach Teams	1	3	5		2	1	3	4		19
	Permanent Housing	LSF Shallow Subsidies		50	280		50		66	90		536
		LSF Time-Limited Subsidies	120	101	61		5		30	18		335
		LSF Project Based Vouchers			5							5
	Interim Housing	LSF Motel Vouchers		46	159		6	10	55	88		364
		LSF Interim Housing Beds	19	809	186	603	114	-	246	232		2,209
HSH CoC Allocation	Permanent Housing	Long Beach CoC TLS								45		45
	Pending	Glendale CoC		TBD								TBD
	Pending	Pasadena CoC			TBD							TBD
Other County Depts	Permanent Housing	DMH, DHS, JCOD, & DPH FHSP Subsidies									4,000	4,000
	Interim Housing	DPSS Voucher Based Beds	440	189	238	157	247	957	422	167		2,817
		DMH Interim Housing Beds (includes TAY)	56	149	113	775	45	195	127	215		1,675
		DMH Interim Housing Family Units	-	12	-	22	-	42	30	-		106
		DHS Recuperative Care Center Beds		48		96		100	50			294
		DHS Respite Beds				30						30
		DHS Diversion & Reentry Beds										Data Pending

Existing County-Funded Resources: SPA 2


	Category	Entities and Programs	Service Planning Area (SPA)									TOTAL
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Multiple	
Dept of Homeless Services and Housing (HSH)	Outreach	HSH Outreach Teams	8%	12%	9%	23%	9%	18%	11%	9%		74
	Permanent Housing	HSH Shallow Subsidies	6%	16%	10%	13%	4%	23%	10%	18%		517
		HSH Time-Limited Subsidies	5%	10%	7%	20%	2%	33%	7%	16%		1,647
		HSH Tenant-Based ICMS & FHSP	8%	11%	8%	25%	4%	26%	7%	12%		11,289
		HSH Project-Based ICMS & FHSP	3%	15%	5%	41%	5%	16%	5%	12%		13,735
		HSH Enriched Residential Care Beds	1%	40%	7%	16%	1%	4%	11%	20%		496
	Interim Housing	HSH Interim Housing Beds	8%	9%	5%	25%	2%	21%	10%	18%		6,126
Local Solutions Fund (LSF)	Outreach	LSF Outreach Teams	5%	16%	26%	0%	11%	5%	16%	21%		19
	Permanent Housing	LSF Shallow Subsidies	0%	9%	52%	0%	9%	0%	12%	17%		536
		LSF Time-Limited Subsidies	36%	30%	18%	0%	1%	0%	9%	5%		335
		LSF Project Based Vouchers	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		5
	Interim Housing	LSF Motel Vouchers	0%	13%	44%	0%	2%	3%	15%	24%		364
		LSF Interim Housing Beds	1%	37%	8%	27%	5%	0%	11%	11%		2,209
HSH CoC Allocation	Permanent Housing	Long Beach CoC TLS	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%		45
	Pending	Glendale CoC		TBD								TBD
	Pending	Pasadena CoC			TBD							TBD
Other County Depts	Permanent Housing	DMH, DHS, JCOD, & DPH FHSP Subsidies									100%	4,000
	Interim Housing	DPSS Voucher Based Beds	16%	7%	8%	6%	9%	34%	15%	6%		2,817
		DMH Interim Housing Beds (includes TAY)	3%	9%	7%	46%	3%	12%	8%	13%		1,675
		DMH Interim Housing Family Units	0%	11%	0%	21%	0%	40%	28%	0%		106
		DHS Recuperative Care Center Beds	0%	16%	0%	33%	0%	34%	17%	0%		294
		DHS Respite Beds	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%		30
		DHS Diversion & Reentry Beds			Data Pending							

A Realistic Scenario



Explaining a "Budget Constraint"

Why does a \$75M constraint exist when the County isn't reducing its funding?



What's driving the budget constraint?

Some examples:

- Losing one-time funding from ARPA and ERF for programs.
- Absorbing permanent housing clients that are losing their other funding sources.
- Higher costs for subsidies, Cost of Living Adjustments, etc.
- Reductions in CalAIM rates and services with Managed Care Plans.


How much are we currently spending?

What we think it will cost to do the same services next year

How much we expect to have next year


Explaining the “Scenario”

HSH wants your input on how we are considering addressing this budget constraint.




Resource Allocation Scenario: End Result

FY 2026-27 DEPARTMENT OF HOMELESS SERVICES AND HOUSING
MEASURE A SPENDING PLAN



FY 2027-28 RESOURCE ALLOCATION SCENARIO




Note: The diagrams only include the Measure A categories of Comprehensive Homelessness Services, Homeless Solutions Innovations, and Accountability, Data, and Research to ensure last year’s allocations are consistent with the scenario.

Hearing from You



Hearing from You

HSH wants your input on how we are considering addressing this budget constraint.



HSH wants your input:

- **Acceptability:** Is this scenario generally on the right track or wrong track for your community?
- **Impact:** How would this scenario impact your community’s ability to meet Measure A goals?
- **Specific Improvements:** What concerns or adjustments should HSH consider before moving forward?

How much are we currently spending?

What we think it will cost to do the same services next year

How much we expect to have next year



Clarifying Questions?

Use this time to clarify what you've heard.
We'll make space for perspectives and reactions next.



LA COUNTY
**Homeless
Services
& Housing**

SPA 2 - Share Your Initial Preferences

Use the link in the chat or scan the QR code to access the Menti



BALANCING IMPACT BETWEEN PROGRAMS

Given the available Measure
A budget and the need to
address budget constraints,
which approach would you
most prefer HSH to
prioritize?



BALANCING IMPACT WITHIN INTERIM HOUSING

Given that we must reduce
funding for Interim Housing,
what approach should we
take to make those
reductions?

Scan the QR Code!



Mentimeter Results

17



Discussion



As you consider the scenario, what factors or tradeoffs feel most important for HSH to weigh?



What do you want HSH to know about this SPA (needs, conditions, resources) that should inform the funding decisions?



SPA 2 – Share Your Closing Preferences

What are your preferences after discussing? Use the link in the chat or scan the QR code to access the Menti.



BALANCING IMPACT BETWEEN PROGRAMS

Which approach would you most prefer HSH to prioritize?



BALANCING IMPACT WITHIN INTERIM HOUSING

What approach should we take to make interim housing reductions?



IF HSH IDENTIFIES ADDITIONAL FUNDING

What do you want HSH to prioritize?



CONFIDENCE AFTER TODAY

How confident do you feel that HSH intends to use your feedback as they develop the draft Measure A Spending Plan?

Scan the QR Code!



Placeholder Slide

Mentimeter Results



Next Steps



How can I provide feedback?



Online Comment Form

Provide comments and ask questions throughout the process




Community Input Sessions

Ask questions, gain clarity about the process and provide feedback before the Spending Plan is drafted



Public Meetings

Provide public comment at the Homelessness and Housing Cluster, ECRHA, and LTRHA meetings – check webpage for scheduled meetings



Town Hall

Provide your input about the draft Spending Plan



Board of Supervisors Meetings

Provide your thoughts directly as part of the Board's consideration of the final Spending Plan

Spending Plan Timeline



Our Commitment

For FY 2027-2028




Decisions will be guided by **data, community input, equity, and system outcomes**



The goal is to **preserve critical services while building a sustainable homelessness response system**



For more information and updates, visit our website



Thank You