

**Community Input Session**

# **SPA 5 – West Los Angeles**

# A Design for Thinking Together

## You'll have opportunities to:

- Share your initial reactions and budget priorities.
- Hear from others and discuss the choices within your SPA.
- Reflect on what you've heard.
- Share a closing perspective after having time to process together.

**We've intentionally structured the conversation so everyone has multiple opportunities to contribute — and so your closing input can be informed by the conversation, not just your first reaction.**



# How We'll Use Our Breakout Time

What should we expect?



## A LOCAL PICTURE

Review of local data, resources, and insights.




## A REALISTIC SCENARIO

Review of a potential Measure A budget scenario.




## CLARIFYING QUESTIONS

Answer clarifying questions in preparation for discussion.



## SHARE INITIAL THOUGHTS

Share your initial preferences in reaction to proposed scenario.



## REVIEW & DISCUSS

Explore priorities, concerns, and impacts.



## SHARE CLOSING THOUGHTS

Share where you land after the discussion.

# Point-In-Time Counts by CoC in Los Angeles County

| SPA or CoC              | Population       | 2024 Count    | 2025 Count    | 2026 Count    | % Change (2024→2026) | % of CoC Homeless (2026) |
|-------------------------|------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------------------|--------------------------|
| 1 - Antelope Valley     | 415,052          | 6,672         | 6,753         | 4,755         | -28.7%               | 6.9%                     |
| 2 - San Fernando Valley | 1,992,748        | 10,701        | 10,766        | 10,299        | -3.8%                | 15%                      |
| 3 - San Gabriel Valley  | 1,583,634        | 4,843         | 4,485         | 4,988         | 3%                   | 7.3%                     |
| 4 - Metro Los Angeles   | 1,108,271        | 18,389        | 16,955        | 19,431        | 5.7%                 | 28.3%                    |
| 5 - West Los Angeles    | 640,834          | 5,383         | 5,029         | 4,518         | -16.1%               | 6.6%                     |
| 6 - South Los Angeles   | 998,813          | 13,886        | 13,587        | 14,289        | 2.9%                 | 20.8%                    |
| 7 - East Los Angeles    | 1,256,015        | 5,899         | 4,778         | 5,522         | -6.4%                | 8.1%                     |
| 8 - South Bay / Harbor  | 1,063,859        | 5,428         | 5,424         | 4,774         | -12%                 | 7%                       |
| <b>LA CoC (Total)</b>   | <b>9,059,226</b> | <b>71,201</b> | <b>67,777</b> | <b>68,576</b> | <b>-3.7%</b>         | <b>100%</b>              |
| <b>Long Beach CoC</b>   | <b>450,469</b>   | <b>3,376</b>  | <b>3,595</b>  | <b>3,729</b>  | <b>+10.4%</b>        | <b>100%</b>              |
| <b>Glendale CoC</b>     | <b>187,160</b>   | <b>175</b>    | <b>197</b>    | <b>TBD</b>    | <b>TBD</b>           | <b>100%</b>              |
| <b>Pasadena CoC</b>     | <b>137,195</b>   | <b>556</b>    | <b>581</b>    | <b>577</b>    | <b>+3.7%</b>         | <b>100%</b>              |

# LA CoC PIT Count Insights

## SPA 5 — West Los Angeles

- SPA 5's overall rate is comparable to the LA CoC and its **total share roughly matches its population share** (7.1%). However, SPA 5 accounts for a slightly larger share of unsheltered, youth, DV/IPV, and reported SMI compared to SPA5's share of the population.
- **Total homelessness declined 13% in 2026**, driven entirely by a 17% drop in the unsheltered count. Sheltered stayed flat.
- The **unsheltered proportion is consistently about 10 percentage points higher than the LA CoC**. Despite the decline, three-quarters of people here remain unsheltered.

| PIT Count Results                               | LA CoC | SPA 5 |
|-------------------------------------------------|--------|-------|
| Sheltered                                       | 33%    | 25%   |
| Unsheltered                                     | 67%    | 75%   |
| For every 10k residents, how many are homeless? | 76     | 71    |
| Trend (2024-2026)                               | -3.7%  | -13%  |

- DV/IPV prevalence is elevated, and youth are somewhat above the percentage observed countywide (15% vs 13%). These are the two groups where SPA 5 stands out from countywide averages; other subgroups are roughly in line.

# Insights from HUD's Housing Inventory Count

## SPA 5 — West Los Angeles

- SPA 5 holds 1,364 IH beds, or 5.3% of the LA CoC supply.
- SPA 5 holds 112 DV/IPV beds. That is 23.7% of all LA CoC DV beds as measured by the HIC, and 8.2% of the overall SPA 5 interim housing inventory.
- From the 1,364 beds in SPA 5, 48% are designated for individual adults and 39% for families.

| HUD Homeless Assistance Programs                                                         | HUD Housing Inventory Count Report Category | Service Planning Area (SPA) |       |       |        |       |       |       |       | Los Angeles COC | Long Beach COC | Pasadena COC | Glendale COC |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|
|                                                                                          |                                             | 1                           | 2     | 3     | 4      | 5     | 6     | 7     | 8     |                 |                |              |              |
| <b>Interim Housing:</b><br>• Emergency Shelter<br>• Safe Haven<br>• Transitional Housing | Total Interim Housing Beds                  | 1,396                       | 3,332 | 1,151 | 8,379  | 1,364 | 6,154 | 2,010 | 1,886 | 25,672          | 1,095          | 233          | 116          |
|                                                                                          | Individual Adult Beds                       | 48%                         | 68%   | 57%   | 82%    | 48%   | 59%   | 61%   | 73%   | 67%             | 73%            | 48%          | 31%          |
|                                                                                          | Family Beds                                 | 49%                         | 31%   | 38%   | 11%    | 39%   | 37%   | 31%   | 19%   | 27%             | 19%            | 46%          | 68%          |
|                                                                                          | Transition Aged Youth Beds                  | 2%                          | 1%    | 6%    | 6%     | 4%    | 3%    | 6%    | 1%    | 4%              | 2%             | 5%           | 1%           |
|                                                                                          | DV/IPV Beds                                 | 2%                          | 0%    | 0%    | 1%     | 8%    | 1%    | 2%    | 6%    | 2%              | 5%             | 0%           | 0%           |
| <b>Permanent Housing</b>                                                                 | Permanent Supportive Housing                | 893                         | 3,864 | 6,079 | 23,026 | 3,624 | 4,718 | 1,582 | 1,308 | 45,094          | 2,156          | 521          | 133          |
|                                                                                          | Other Permanent Housing                     | -                           | 228   | 110   | 600    | -     | 526   | 68    | 37    | 1,569           | 529            | 199          | -            |
|                                                                                          | Rapid Rehousing                             | 523                         | 1,529 | 750   | 2,593  | 1,110 | 2,208 | 910   | 564   | 10,187          | 512            | 15           | 47           |

# Existing County-Funded Resources: SPA 5

|                                             | Category          | Entities and Programs                   | Service Planning Area (SPA) |       |     |       |     |       |     |       |          | TOTAL  |
|---------------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|-----|-------|-----|-------|-----|-------|----------|--------|
|                                             |                   |                                         | 1                           | 2     | 3   | 4     | 5   | 6     | 7   | 8     | Multiple |        |
| Dept of Homeless Services and Housing (HSH) | Outreach          | HSH Outreach Teams                      | 6                           | 9     | 7   | 17    | 7   | 13    | 8   | 7     |          | 74     |
|                                             | Permanent Housing | HSH Shallow Subsidies                   | 30                          | 83    | 53  | 66    | 21  | 119   | 52  | 93    |          | 517    |
|                                             |                   | HSH Time-Limited Subsidies              | 76                          | 165   | 109 | 334   | 30  | 546   | 121 | 266   |          | 1,647  |
|                                             |                   | HSH Tenant-Based ICMS & FHSP            | 861                         | 1,260 | 882 | 2,780 | 472 | 2,887 | 739 | 1,408 |          | 11,289 |
|                                             |                   | HSH Project-Based ICMS & FHSP           | 383                         | 2,028 | 707 | 5,582 | 652 | 2,149 | 634 | 1,600 |          | 13,735 |
|                                             |                   | HSH Enriched Residential Care Beds      | 3                           | 199   | 36  | 80    | 5   | 18    | 57  | 98    |          | 496    |
|                                             | Interim Housing   | HSH Interim Housing Beds                | 483                         | 563   | 334 | 1,550 | 140 | 1,316 | 642 | 1,098 |          | 6,126  |
| Local Solutions Fund (LSF)                  | Outreach          | LSF Outreach Teams                      | 1                           | 3     | 5   |       | 2   | 1     | 3   | 4     |          | 19     |
|                                             | Permanent Housing | LSF Shallow Subsidies                   |                             | 50    | 280 |       | 50  |       | 66  | 90    |          | 536    |
|                                             |                   | LSF Time-Limited Subsidies              | 120                         | 101   | 61  |       | 5   |       | 30  | 18    |          | 335    |
|                                             |                   | LSF Project Based Vouchers              |                             |       | 5   |       |     |       |     |       |          | 5      |
|                                             | Interim Housing   | LSF Motel Vouchers                      |                             | 46    | 159 |       | 6   | 10    | 55  | 88    |          | 364    |
|                                             |                   | LSF Interim Housing Beds                | 19                          | 809   | 186 | 603   | 114 | -     | 246 | 232   |          | 2,209  |
| HSH CoC Allocation                          | Permanent Housing | Long Beach CoC TLS                      |                             |       |     |       |     |       |     | 45    |          | 45     |
|                                             | Pending           | Glendale CoC                            |                             | TBD   |     |       |     |       |     |       |          | TBD    |
|                                             | Pending           | Pasadena CoC                            |                             |       | TBD |       |     |       |     |       |          | TBD    |
| Other County Depts                          | Permanent Housing | DMH, DHS, JCOD, & DPH FHSP Subsidies    |                             |       |     |       |     |       |     |       | 4,000    | 4,000  |
|                                             | Interim Housing   | DPSS Voucher Based Beds                 | 440                         | 189   | 238 | 157   | 247 | 957   | 422 | 167   |          | 2,817  |
|                                             |                   | DMH Interim Housing Beds (includes TAY) | 56                          | 149   | 113 | 775   | 45  | 195   | 127 | 215   |          | 1,675  |
|                                             |                   | DMH Interim Housing Family Units        | -                           | 12    | -   | 22    | -   | 42    | 30  | -     |          | 106    |
|                                             |                   | DHS Recuperative Care Center Beds       |                             | 48    |     | 96    |     | 100   | 50  |       |          | 294    |
|                                             |                   | DHS Respite Beds                        |                             |       |     | 30    |     |       |     |       |          | 30     |
|                                             |                   | DHS Diversion & Reentry Beds            | Data Pending                |       |     |       |     |       |     |       |          |        |

# Existing County-Funded Resources: SPA 5


|                                             | Category          | Entities and Programs                   | Service Planning Area (SPA) |     |      |      |     |     |     |      |          | TOTAL  |
|---------------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----|------|------|-----|-----|-----|------|----------|--------|
|                                             |                   |                                         | 1                           | 2   | 3    | 4    | 5   | 6   | 7   | 8    | Multiple |        |
| Dept of Homeless Services and Housing (HSH) | Outreach          | HSH Outreach Teams                      | 8%                          | 12% | 9%   | 23%  | 9%  | 18% | 11% | 9%   |          | 74     |
|                                             | Permanent Housing | HSH Shallow Subsidies                   | 6%                          | 16% | 10%  | 13%  | 4%  | 23% | 10% | 18%  |          | 517    |
|                                             |                   | HSH Time-Limited Subsidies              | 5%                          | 10% | 7%   | 20%  | 2%  | 33% | 7%  | 16%  |          | 1,647  |
|                                             |                   | HSH Tenant-Based ICMS & FHSP            | 8%                          | 11% | 8%   | 25%  | 4%  | 26% | 7%  | 12%  |          | 11,289 |
|                                             |                   | HSH Project-Based ICMS & FHSP           | 3%                          | 15% | 5%   | 41%  | 5%  | 16% | 5%  | 12%  |          | 13,735 |
|                                             |                   | HSH Enriched Residential Care Beds      | 1%                          | 40% | 7%   | 16%  | 1%  | 4%  | 11% | 20%  |          | 496    |
|                                             | Interim Housing   | HSH Interim Housing Beds                | 8%                          | 9%  | 5%   | 25%  | 2%  | 21% | 10% | 18%  |          | 6,126  |
| Local Solutions Fund (LSF)                  | Outreach          | LSF Outreach Teams                      | 5%                          | 16% | 26%  | 0%   | 11% | 5%  | 16% | 21%  |          | 19     |
|                                             | Permanent Housing | LSF Shallow Subsidies                   | 0%                          | 9%  | 52%  | 0%   | 9%  | 0%  | 12% | 17%  |          | 536    |
|                                             |                   | LSF Time-Limited Subsidies              | 36%                         | 30% | 18%  | 0%   | 1%  | 0%  | 9%  | 5%   |          | 335    |
|                                             |                   | LSF Project Based Vouchers              | 0%                          | 0%  | 100% | 0%   | 0%  | 0%  | 0%  | 0%   |          | 5      |
|                                             | Interim Housing   | LSF Motel Vouchers                      | 0%                          | 13% | 44%  | 0%   | 2%  | 3%  | 15% | 24%  |          | 364    |
|                                             |                   | LSF Interim Housing Beds                | 1%                          | 37% | 8%   | 27%  | 5%  | 0%  | 11% | 11%  |          | 2,209  |
| HSH CoC Allocation                          | Permanent Housing | Long Beach CoC TLS                      | 0%                          | 0%  | 0%   | 0%   | 0%  | 0%  | 0%  | 100% |          | 45     |
|                                             | Pending           | Glendale CoC                            |                             | TBD |      |      |     |     |     |      |          | TBD    |
|                                             | Pending           | Pasadena CoC                            |                             |     | TBD  |      |     |     |     |      |          | TBD    |
| Other County Depts                          | Permanent Housing | DMH, DHS, JCOD, & DPH FHSP Subsidies    |                             |     |      |      |     |     |     |      | 100%     | 4,000  |
|                                             | Interim Housing   | DPSS Voucher Based Beds                 | 16%                         | 7%  | 8%   | 6%   | 9%  | 34% | 15% | 6%   |          | 2,817  |
|                                             |                   | DMH Interim Housing Beds (includes TAY) | 3%                          | 9%  | 7%   | 46%  | 3%  | 12% | 8%  | 13%  |          | 1,675  |
|                                             |                   | DMH Interim Housing Family Units        | 0%                          | 11% | 0%   | 21%  | 0%  | 40% | 28% | 0%   |          | 106    |
|                                             |                   | DHS Recuperative Care Center Beds       | 0%                          | 16% | 0%   | 33%  | 0%  | 34% | 17% | 0%   |          | 294    |
|                                             |                   | DHS Respite Beds                        | 0%                          | 0%  | 0%   | 100% | 0%  | 0%  | 0%  | 0%   |          | 30     |
|                                             |                   | DHS Diversion & Reentry Beds            | Data Pending                |     |      |      |     |     |     |      |          |        |

# **A Realistic Scenario**



# Explaining a "Budget Constraint"

Why does a \$75M constraint exist when the County isn't reducing its funding?



## What's driving the budget constraint?

Some examples:

- Losing one-time funding from ARPA and ERF for programs.
- Absorbing permanent housing clients that are losing their other funding sources.
- Higher costs for subsidies, Cost of Living Adjustments, etc.
- Reductions in CalAIM rates and services with Managed Care Plans.


How much are we currently spending?

What we think it will cost to do the same services next year

How much we expect to have next year


# Explaining the “Scenario”

HSH wants your input on how we are considering addressing this budget constraint.




# Resource Allocation Scenario: End Result

FY 2026-27 DEPARTMENT OF HOMELESS SERVICES AND HOUSING  
MEASURE A SPENDING PLAN



FY 2027-28 RESOURCE ALLOCATION SCENARIO




Note: The diagrams only include the Measure A categories of Comprehensive Homelessness Services, Homeless Solutions Innovations, and Accountability, Data, and Research to ensure last year's allocations are consistent with the scenario.

# Hearing from You



# Hearing from You

HSH wants your input on how we are considering addressing this budget constraint.



## HSH wants your input:

- **Acceptability:** Is this scenario generally on the right track or wrong track for your community?
- **Impact:** How would this scenario impact your community's ability to meet Measure A goals?
- **Specific Improvements:** What concerns or adjustments should HSH consider before moving forward?

How much are we currently spending?

What we think it will cost to do the same services next year

How much we expect to have next year



# Clarifying Questions?

Use this time to clarify what you've heard.  
We'll make space for perspectives and reactions next.



LA COUNTY  
**Homeless  
Services  
& Housing**

# SPA 5 - Share Your Initial Preferences

Use the link in the chat or scan the QR code to access the Menti



## **BALANCING IMPACT BETWEEN PROGRAMS**

Given the available Measure  
A budget and the need to  
address budget constraints,  
which approach would you  
most prefer HSH to  
prioritize?



## **BALANCING IMPACT WITHIN INTERIM HOUSING**

Given that we must reduce  
funding for Interim Housing,  
what approach should we  
take to make those  
reductions?

Scan the QR Code!



# Mentimeter Results

17



# Discussion



As you consider the scenario, what factors or tradeoffs feel most important for HSH to weigh?



What do you want HSH to know about this SPA (needs, conditions, resources) that should inform the funding decisions?



# SPA 5 – Share Your Closing Preferences

What are your preferences after discussing? Use the link in the chat or scan the QR code to access the Menti.



## **BALANCING IMPACT BETWEEN PROGRAMS**

Which approach would you most prefer HSH to prioritize?



## **BALANCING IMPACT WITHIN INTERIM HOUSING**

What approach should we take to make interim housing reductions?



## **IF HSH IDENTIFIES ADDITIONAL FUNDING**

What do you want HSH to prioritize?



## **CONFIDENCE AFTER TODAY**

How confident do you feel that HSH intends to use your feedback as they develop the draft Measure A Spending Plan?

Scan the QR Code!



Placeholder Slide

# Mentimeter Results



# Next Steps



# How can I provide feedback?




**Online Comment Form**  
Provide comments and ask questions throughout the process



**Community Input Sessions**  
Ask questions, gain clarity about the process and provide feedback before the Spending Plan is drafted



**Public Meetings**  
Provide public comment at the Homelessness and Housing Cluster, ECRHA, and LTRHA meetings – check webpage for scheduled meetings



**Town Hall**  
Provide your input about the draft Spending Plan



**Board of Supervisors Meetings**  
Provide your thoughts directly as part of the Board's consideration of the final Spending Plan

# Spending Plan Timeline



# Our Commitment

For FY 2027-2028




Decisions will be guided by **data, community input, equity, and system outcomes**



The goal is to **preserve critical services while building a sustainable homelessness response system**



**For more information and updates, visit our website**



**Thank You**