



Discussion Summary of PSC-35 Analysis

CANS/PSC Webinar 5/16/24

Why do certain programs have a small number of clients with matched pairs?

- Wonder if FSP focuses priority on the other required outcome pieces (i.e., baseline and other key measures).
- FSP might be getting clients as transfers and only completing reassessments.

What else would you like to see with the data?

- Would like to see the initial versus discharge data.
- Would like to get a sense of percentages of submitted assessments.
- Would like to see a report of this data and the CANS organized by SPA locations to help providers conduct their own comparative analysis.
- We have the capacity to analyze our own data internally, but we are curious as to how others are performing for benchmarking purposes.
- Include data analysis on gender, age group, race/ethnicity, diagnosis, respondent type for the PSC-35.
- Would like to see a wider date range.
- Would like to see what the data looked like before, during and after the pandemic.
- Would like to look at the percentage of clients who improved in terms of those who went from above clinical cutoff to below at discharge at the county wide level.

EPSDT Outcomes Team's ideas for next iteration of analyzing the PSC-35 aggregate data:

- Item analysis by treatment plan, since we saw some of the total scores for certain programs were at the clinical cutoff and none of the subscale scores were at the cutoff score for any of the programs.
- Sub-analysis based on respondent type to explore any possible differences that may occur with how they rate the client.
- Breaking down the data by specific age groups.
- Review a greater timeframe.