For Review of Legal Entity (LE) Contract Provider Clinical Records

Da	te of Review: LE Name:		LE Number:				
Provider Number: Name of Reviewer:							
Cli	Client ID or Assigned # for Redacted Record: Review Period: Start Date End Date						
REQUIREMENT			NO	N/A	COMMENTS		
As	ssessment/ Diagnosis						
1.	The Assessment contains information that reasonably supports the beneficiary's entry into the SMHS system						
2.	Described the presenting problem						
3.	Documented relevant conditions and psychosocial factors affecting the client's physical health and mental health						
4.	Any relevant cultural considerations and/or special service needs were identified (e.g. language, cultural/ethnic background, or disability)						
5.	Identified client strengths						
6.	Identified and described risk factors						
7.	For children/youth contains information about potential history of trauma, involvement in the Child Welfare or Juvenile Justice System and/or experience of homelessness						
8.	Thoroughly documented all Assessment elements						
9.	Contained a mental health related diagnosis or suspected mental health disorder (e.g. Unspecified)						
10.	Contained the complete signature(s) of staff allowed to perform a Psychiatric Diagnostic Assessment						
11.	Included a co-signature when documented by a student of a discipline allowed to perform a Psychiatric Diagnostic Assessment						
12.	Dates for when the Assessments were finalized were clear						
13.	Completed/finalized the Assessment within the standard required time frame (i.e. within 60 days, 5 days for STRTP)						

For Review of Legal Entity (LE) Contract Provider Clinical Records

14	. Contained a current and complete Assessment with all required data elements		
15	. Assessment Addendums were completed when appropriate		
16	Contained a Needs Evaluation when required (i.e. at time of Initial Assessment or whenever TCM needs arise (after 1/1/2021), at receiving TCM, and when new needs arise)		
CI	ient Treatment Plan		
1.	Contained a current Treatment Plan covering the review period		
2.	The Treatment Plan Objectives were based on the symptoms, behaviors, and impairments identified in the Assessment		
3.	The Treatment Plan Objectives were specific observable and/or specific quantifiable		
4.	The Treatment Plan interventions were relevant to the Treatment Plan Objectives		
5.	The Treatment Plan interventions focused on addressing the identified functional impairments as a result of the mental disorder or suspected mental disorder		
6.	The Treatment Plan interventions included the modality, a specific frequency, and the duration if services were to be provided for less than 12 months		
7.	Treatment Plan for charts in which Child and Family Team (CFT) was in place documented ICC as a Type of Service		
8.	The Treatment Plan addressed linguistic and interpretive needs when relevant		
9.	Current Treatment Plan contained all of the required staff signatures		
10	The Treatment Plan was developed with the client/legal representative's participation as evidenced by the client/legal representative's signature		
11	Treatment Plans that were missing the client/legal representative's signature contained documentation of client/legal representative's participation and/or efforts to obtain their signature		
12.	Dates for when the Treatment Plan was finalized were clear		

For Review of Legal Entity (LE) Contract Provider Clinical Records

13.	Treatment Plan updates were completed when appropriate						
14.	Indicates that a copy of the Treatment Plan was offered to the client/legal representative						
Co	onsent for Medications						
1.	Indicated that the client was being prescribed medications by the LE Contract Provider being reviewed						
2.	For those charts in which medications were being prescribed, there was a completed Medication Consent/Outpatient Medication Review						
3.	For those charts in which medications were being prescribed, there was a completed Medication Consent/Outpatient Medication Review form with all the required data elements						
4.	For those charts in which medications were being prescribed, there was a completed Medication Consent/Outpatient Medication Review form contained the Prescriber's complete signature (including discipline/title, license number, and the date)						
5.	For those charts in which medications were being prescribed, the Medication Consent/Outpatient Review form contained the client/legal representative's signature						
6.	For those charts in which medications were being prescribed to a minor who was a ward/dependent of the court, there was a completed Outpatient Medication Consent/Review form						
7.	For those charts in which medications were prescribed to a minor who was a ward/dependent of the court, a JV220 and a JV223 were present						
Pr	Progress Notes						
1.	All services documented that were claimed were actual SMHS (e.g. no claims for leaving telephone messages)						
2.	Documentation in the Progress Notes of the actual Interventions provided described the provision of Medically Necessary services based on the symptoms and impairments documented in the client's assessment and/or other information in the clinical record						
3.	The interventions documented in the Progress Notes were provided by a practitioner within the scope of practice						

For Review of Legal Entity (LE) Contract Provider Clinical Records

4.	When more than one practitioner participated in the same service, the names of each staff participating in the service were included in the Progress Note with his/her specific intervention/contribution and time		
5.	Progress Notes documented the client's response to the interventions provided		
6.	Contained documentation of a CFT meeting taking place at least every 90 days where the provision of ICC services are being documented in the Progress Notes		
7.	Progress Notes documented the provision of ICC services (and IHBS if applicable) for STRTP clients		
8.	For client receiving TBS, IHBS or TFC for the dates covered by the progress notes being reviewed, there was evidence/record of an active authorization in the chart		
9.	The Procedure Code selected matched the services documented in the Progress Notes		
10.	Services documented in the Progress Note that were provided when a Medi- Cal Lockout applied utilized a non-billable code		
11.	For any group Progress Notes the number of clients were documented and time claimed was appropriately portioned		
12.	Progress Notes contained the complete signature of the person providing the service and/or staff co-signing (including discipline/title, relevant identification number if applicable and date documented)		
13.	Progress Notes included co-signatures when documented by a student or staff requiring co-signature per Guide to Procedure Code requirements		
14.	Dates for when the Progress Notes were finalized were clear		
15.	Progress Notes were finalized within the required time frame		
16.	Progress Notes contained all required data elements		

For Review of Legal Entity (LE) Contract Provider Clinical Records

ADDITIONAL COMMENT/NOTES	