For Review of Legal Entity (LE) Contract Provider Clinical Records

Date of Review: LE Name:				
Provider Number: Name of Reviewer:				
Client ID or Assigned # for Redacted Record: Review Period: Start Date End Date				
REQUIREMENT	YES	NO	N/A	COMMENTS
Assessment/ Diagnosis				
1. Contained a current and complete Assessment with all required data elements				
 Completed/finalized the Assessment within the standard required time frame (i.e. within 60 days, 5 days for STRTP) 				
3. Contained an included diagnosis as the primary diagnosis for treatment				
4. Identified impairments resulting from an included diagnosis				
5. Contained an included diagnosis that was consistent with the presenting problem, history, mental status exam, and other clinical data documented in the Assessment.				
6. Identified and described risk factors				
7. Identified client strengths				
8. Described the presenting problem				
 Documented relevant conditions and psychosocial factors affecting the client's physical health and mental health 				
 Any relevant cultural considerations and/or special service needs were identified (e.g. language, cultural/ethnic background, or disability 				
11. Thoroughly documented all Assessment elements				
 Contained the complete signature(s) of staff allowed to perform a Psychiatric Diagnostic Assessment 				

For Review of Legal Entity (LE) Contract Provider Clinical Records

	ncluded a co-signature when documented by a student of a discipline llowed to perform a Psychiatric Diagnostic Assessment		
14. A	ssessment Addendums were completed when appropriate		
15. D	bates for when the Assessments were finalized were clear		
A T	Contained a Needs Evaluation when required (i.e. at time of Initial ssessment or whenever TCM needs arise (after 1/1/2021), at receiving CM, and when new needs arise)		
	nt Treatment Plan		
	ontained a current Treatment Plan covering the review period		
	urrent Treatment Plan contained all of the required staff signatures		
	he Treatment Plan was developed with the client/legal representative's articipation as evidenced by the client/legal representative's signature		
si pa	reatment Plans that were missing the client/legal representative's gnature contained documentation of client/legal representative's articipation and/or efforts to obtain their signature		
a	he Treatment Plan Objectives were based on the symptoms, behaviors, nd impairments identified in the Assessment		
	he Treatment Plan Objectives were specific observable and/or specific uantifiable		
re	he Treatment Plan addressed linguistic and interpretive needs when elevant		
fr	he Treatment Plan interventions included the modality, a specific equency, and the duration if services were to be provided for less than 12 nonths		
	he Treatment Plan Interventions were consistent with the Treatment Plan bjectives		
	he Treatment Plan interventions focused on addressing the identified inctional impairments as a result of the mental disorder		
11. T	reatment Plan updates were completed when appropriate		
12. D	ates for when the Treatment Plan was finalized were clear		

For Review of Legal Entity (LE) Contract Provider Clinical Records

13. Indicates that a copy of the Treatment Plan was offered to the client/legal representative		
14. For Medi-Cal EPSDT Beneficiaries the chart included a completed ICC Eligibility form		
15. Treatment Plan for charts in which Child and Family Team was in place documented ICC as a Type of Service		
Consent for Medications		
 Indicated that the client was being prescribed medications by the LE Contract Provider being reviewed 		
2. For those charts in which medications were being prescribed there was a completed Medication Consent/Outpatient Medication Review		
 For those charts in which medications were being prescribed there was a completed Medication Consent/Outpatient Medication Review form with all the required elements 		
 For those charts in which medications were being prescribed there was a completed Medication Consent/Outpatient Medication Review form contained the Prescriber's complete signature (including discipline/title, license number, and the date) 		
 For those charts in which medications were being prescribed to a minor who was a ward/dependent of the court there was a completed Outpatient Medication Consent/Review form (mark "0" if not applicable) 		
 For those charts in which medications were prescribed to a minor who was a ward/dependent of the court, a JV220 and JV223 were present (mark "0" if not applicable) 		
 For those charts in which medications were being prescribed, the Medication Consent/Outpatient Review form contained the client/legal representative's signature 		
Progress Notes		
 Progress Notes documented reimbursable interventions provided by the practitioner 		
2. Services documented in the Progress Note that were provided when a Medi-Cal Lockout applied utilized a non-billable code		
 Progress Notes documented the client's response to the interventions provided 		

For Review of Legal Entity (LE) Contract Provider Clinical Records

 Interventions documented in the Progress Note related back to the Objectives and proposed interventions in the Treatment Plan 		
Progress Notes documented the provision of ICC services (and IHBS if applicable) for STRTP clients		
 Contained documentation of a CFT meeting taking place at least every 90 days where the provision of ICC services are being documented in the Progress Notes 		
 The Procedure Code selected matched the services documented in the Progress Notes 		
8. The interventions documented in the Progress Notes were provided by a practitioner within the scope of practice		
9. When more than one practitioner participated in the same service, the names of each staff participating in the service were included in the Progress Note with his/her specific intervention/contribution and time		
 Progress Notes contained the complete signature of the person providing the service and/or staff co-signing (including discipline/title, relevant identification number if applicable and date documented) 		
 Progress Notes included co-signatures when documented by a student or staff requiring co-signature per Guide to Procedure Code requirements 		
12. Progress Notes were finalized within the required time frame		
13. Dates for when the Progress Notes were finalized were clear		
14. Progress Notes contained all required data elements		
15. For any group Progress Notes the number of clients were documented and time claimed was appropriately portioned		
16. For client receiving TBS, IHBS or TFC for the dates covered by the progress notes being reviewed, there was evidence/record of an active authorization in the chart		

For Review of Legal Entity (LE) Contract Provider Clinical Records

ADDITIONAL COMMENT/NOTES	