COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES



CLAIMS BOARD

500 WEST TEMPLE STREET
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012-2713

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

Oscar Valdez
Office of the Auditor-Controller
Steve Robles
Chief Executive Office
Adrienne M. Byers
Office of the County Counsel

NOTICE OF MEETING AND AGENDA

The Los Angeles County Claims Board will hold a regular meeting on **Monday, July 3, 2023, at 9:30 a.m.**, at the Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration, 500 West Temple Street, Sixth Floor, Conference Room C, Los Angeles, California 90012. Members of the public who would like to listen to the open session of the meeting or would like to provide public comment may call (323) 776-6996, then enter ID 529 836 764# at 9:30 a.m. on July 3, 2023.

Reports of actions taken in Closed Session. The Los Angeles County Claims Board will report actions taken on any Closed Session Items on Monday, July 3, 2023, at approximately 12:30 p.m. Members of the public who would like to hear reportable actions taken on any Closed Session items may call (323) 776-6996, then enter ID 529 836 764# at 12:30 p.m. on July 3, 2023. Please note that these are approximate start times and there may be a short delay before the Closed Session is concluded and the actions can be reported.

TO PROVIDE PUBLIC COMMENT:

You may submit written public comments by e-mail to claimsboard@counsel.lacounty.gov or by mail to: Attention: Los Angeles County Claims Board, Executive Office, County Counsel, 500 West Temple Street, Los Angeles, California, 90012.

Written public comment or documentation must be submitted no later than 12:00 p.m. on Friday, June 30, 2023. Please include the Agenda item and meeting date in your correspondence. Comments and any other written submissions will become part of the official record of the meeting.

If you wish to address the Los Angeles County Claims Board in person, you may come to the Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration, 500 West Temple Street, Los Angeles, California, 90012, and enter on the Second Floor. Please advise the security guard station personnel that you would like to attend the public portion of the Claims Board meeting and a security guard will escort you to the Sixth Floor where you will be assisted.

PUBLIC COMMENT: Public comment is limited to the specific items on the agenda and general public comment is limited to subject matters within the jurisdiction of the Claims Board.

SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION: The Agenda and any supporting documents will be posted at https://lacounty.gov/newsroom/public-information/los-angeles-county-claims-board/ and can be provided upon request. Please submit requests for supporting documents to claimsboard@counsel.lacounty.gov.

If you would like more information, please contact Claims Board Secretary Derek Stane at dstane@counsel.lacounty.gov or Vivian Caballero at vcaballero@counsel.lacounty.gov.

AGENDA

- Call to Order.
- 2. Opportunity for members of the public to address the Claims Board on items of interest that are within the subject-matter jurisdiction of the Claims Board.
- 3. Closed Session Conference with Legal Counsel Existing Litigation (Government Code section 54956.9, subdivision (a)).
 - a. <u>Arcadio Marin v. County of Los Angeles</u> Tax Claim

This claim against the County of Los Angeles alleges breach of contract; settlement is recommended in the amount of \$34,968.35.

See Supporting Document

b. <u>Juan Carlos Garcia v. County of Los Angeles</u> Tax Claim

This claim against the County of Los Angeles alleges breach of contract; settlement is recommended in the amount of \$37,196.67.

See Supporting Document

c. <u>Teresa Saldana Rodriguez v. County of Los Angeles</u>
Tax Claim

This claim against the County of Los Angeles alleges breach of contract; settlement is recommended in the amount of \$51,464.54.

See Supporting Document

d. <u>Miao Chang Zheng v. County of Los Angeles, et al.</u> Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 19STCV01836

This lawsuit arises from injuries allegedly sustained in an automobile accident involving a Sheriff's Department deputy; settlement is recommended in the amount of \$750,000.

See Supporting Documents

e. <u>Alejandro Saul Vargas v. County of Los Angeles, et al.</u> Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 21STCV25187

This lawsuit arises from injuries allegedly sustained in an automobile accident involving a Sheriff's Department employee; settlement is recommended in the amount of \$60,000.

See Supporting Document

County of Los Angeles Claims Board Agenda for July 3, 2023 Page 3

f. Khuyen Kim Nguyen v. County of Los Angeles, et al. Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 20STCV09700

This lawsuit arises from injuries plaintiff allegedly sustained in an automobile accident with a Sheriff's Department deputy; settlement is recommended in the amount of \$75,000.

See Supporting Document

g. <u>Dana Tompkins Barnett v. County of Los Angeles, et al.</u>
United States District Court Case No. 2:20-cv-02530

This federal civil rights lawsuit involves the death by suicide of an inmate at Twin Towers Correctional Facility in the custody of the Sheriff's Department; settlement is recommended in the amount of \$150,000.

h. Rita Williams vs. County of Los Angeles, et al.
Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 20STCV30376

This lawsuit arises from injuries plaintiff allegedly sustained in an automobile accident involving a Sheriff's Department lieutenant; settlement is recommended in the amount of \$150,000.

See Supporting Document

i. <u>Hector Galvan v. County of Los Angeles, et al.</u>
San Bernardino Superior Court Case No. CIVSB2100782

This lawsuit arises from injuries plaintiff allegedly sustained in an automobile accident with a District Attorney's Office employee; settlement is recommended in the amount of \$60,000.

See Supporting Document

j. <u>Samuel Bonner v. City of Long Beach, et al.</u> United States District Court Case No. 2:22-cv-02819

This federal civil rights lawsuit concerns allegations of wrongful conviction that resulted in plaintiff's imprisonment for approximately 37 years; settlement is recommended in the amount of \$3,000,000.

See Supporting Documents

k. <u>Triple B Clays, Inc. v. County of Los Angeles</u> Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 21STCV00739

This lawsuit against the County of Los Angeles relates to breach of contract; settlement is recommended in the amount of \$40,000.

See Supporting Document

County of Los Angeles Claims Board Agenda for July 3, 2023 Page 4

I. Kelly G. Emling v. County of Los Angeles, et al. United States District Court Case No. 2:23-cv-00601

This lawsuit against the County Counsel's office alleges discrimination, retaliation, and breach of contract; settlement is recommended in the amount of \$70,000.

m. <u>Clayton Larson v. County of Los Angeles, et al.</u>
Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 20STCV33759

This lawsuit against the Department of Regional Planning alleges retaliation and violation of whistleblower protection laws; settlement is recommended in the amount of \$450,000.

n. <u>Miriam Pakshyan v. County of Los Angeles</u>
Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 20STCV06454

This lawsuit concerns allegations that an employee of the Office of the Assessor was discriminated against based on age and disability; settlement is recommended in the amount of \$35,000.

4. Approval of the Minutes of the June 5, 2023, regular meeting of the Claims Board.

See Supporting Document

5. Adjournment.

INFORMATION ON PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION

CASE NAME PACE Tax Claim of Arcadio Marin

CASE NUMBER N/A

COURT N/A

DATE FILED September 29, 2020

COUNTY DEPARTMENT Internal Services Department

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AMOUNT \$ 34,968.35

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

COUNTY COUNSEL ATTORNEY Michael D. Owens

Deputy County Counsel

NATURE OF CASE Mr. Marin financed home improvements for an

acessory dwelling unit utilizing the County's PACE financing. Known problematic contractor A&Jb abandoned the property before completing the

financed work.

PAID ATTORNEY FEES, TO DATE \$ 0

PAID COSTS, TO DATE \$ 0

INFORMATION ON PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION

CASE NAME PACE Tax Claim of Juan Carlos Garcia

CASE NUMBER N/A

COURT N/A

DATE FILED November 5, 2021

COUNTY DEPARTMENT Internal Services Department

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AMOUNT \$ 37,196.67

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

COUNTY COUNSEL ATTORNEY Michael D. Owens

Deputy County Counsel

NATURE OF CASE Mr. Garcia financed home improvements for his

acessory dwelling unit utilizing the County's PACE financing. Known problematic contractor A&Jb abandoned the property before completing all of the

financed work.

PAID ATTORNEY FEES, TO DATE \$ 0

PAID COSTS, TO DATE \$ 0

INFORMATION ON PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION

CASE NAME PACE Tax Claim of Teresa Saldana Rodriguez

CASE NUMBER N/A

COURT N/A

DATE FILED September 28, 2020

COUNTY DEPARTMENT Internal Services Department

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AMOUNT \$ 51,464.54

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

COUNTY COUNSEL ATTORNEY Michael D. Owens

Deputy County Counsel

NATURE OF CASE

Ms. Rodriguez financed home improvements for an

acessory dwelling unit utilizing the County's PACE financing. Known problematic contractor A&Jb abandoned the property before completing the

financed work.

PAID ATTORNEY FEES, TO DATE \$ 0

PAID COSTS, TO DATE \$ 0

INFORMATION ON PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION

CASE NAME Miao Chang Zheng v. County of Los Angeles, et al.

CASE NUMBER 19STCV01836

COURT Los Angeles Superior Court

DATE FILED January 18, 2019

COUNTY DEPARTMENT Sheriff's Department

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AMOUNT \$ 750,000

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF Brian Breiter Esq.

Law Offices of Brian Breiter LLP

COUNTY COUNSEL ATTORNEY Kevin Engelien

Deputy County Counsel

NATURE OF CASE This incident occurred on March 12, 2018, when

Ms. Zheng's car was struck on the rear passenger side by an LASD patrol car. Ms. Zheng claims she sustained severe injuries as a result of the accident. Given the risks and uncertainties of litigation, a full and final settlement of the case in the amount of

\$750,000 is recommended.

PAID ATTORNEY FEES, TO DATE \$ 64,179

PAID COSTS, TO DATE \$ 119,294

Case Name:

Miao Chang Zheng v. County of Los Angeles, et al.

County of Los Angeles Summary Corrective Action Plan



Summary Corrective Action Plan

The intent of this form is to assist departments in writing a corrective action plan summary for attachment to the settlement documents developed for the Board of Supervisors and/or the County of Los Angeles Claims Board. The summary should be a specific overview of the claims/lawsuits' identified root causes and corrective actions (status, time frame, and responsible party). This summary does not replace the Corrective Action Plan form. If there is a question related to confidentiality, please consult County Counsel.

Date of incident/event:	March 12, 2018
Briefly provide a description of the incident/event:	Plaintiff alleges various injuries, including traumatic brain injuries, arising out of a traffic collision involving an on-duty Deputy Sheriff.
	On March 12, 2018, the involved Deputy Sheriff was driving in a marked Ford Crown Victoria patrol vehicle. The Deputy Sheriff was attempting to make a left turn from a private driveway on the eastside of Atlantic Boulevard in the city of Alhambra across the northbound lanes of Atlantic Boulevard and onto the southbound lanes.
	NOTE: Northbound Atlantic Boulevard has two travel lanes, with a right and left turn lane just past the driveway the Deputy Sheriff was attempting to pull out of. There is no central turning lane at the driveway and the street is divided by a solid double yellow line.
	Prior to the collision, vehicles in the marked lanes of traffic on northbound Atlantic Boulevard stopped short of the driveway the Deputy Sheriff was waiting in. Plaintiff was, simultaneously, driving in the center lane northbound on Atlantic Boulevard, prior to the center turn lane's transition into a left turn lane. Plaintiff's estimated speed was 20-30 miles per hour.
	The Deputy Sheriff proceeded out of the driveway toward the westside of Atlantic Boulevard. Plaintiff, driving in the center lane, struck the patrol vehicle.

Document version: 4.0 (January 2013)

Case Name:

Miao Chang Zheng v. County of Los Angeles, et al.

County of Los Angeles Summary Corrective Action Plan

1. Briefly describe the root cause(s) of the claim/lawsuit:

A Departmental root cause in this incident was the involved employee driving in a manner that violated the California Vehicle Code.

A non-Departmental root cause in this incident was the Plaintiff's use of the center turning lane.

A non-Departmental root cause in this incident was the untimely passing of the involved employee, preventing the County from being able to use his testimony to defend this lawsuit.

Document version: 4.0 (January 2013)

Case Name:

Miao Chang Zheng v. County of Los Angeles, et al.

County of Los Angeles Summary Corrective Action Plan

Briefly describe recommended corrective actions:

(Include each corrective action, due date, responsible party, and any disciplinary actions if appropriate)

- Traffic Collision Investigation The incident was thoroughly investigated by the City of Alhambra Police Department (APD). APD determined the involved employee was primarily responsible for the accident.
- Supervisor's Report of Incident or Damage to County Vehicle A thorough administrative investigation was conducted of the incident. Appropriate administrative action was taken.
- Employee Risk Assessment A comprehensive review of the involved deputy sheriff's work history was conducted after this incident. This review included uses of force, civil claims, and complaints, as well as traffic collisions.
- Training The involved employee's unit commander recommended an 8-hour driving course as a result of this incident.

Name: (Risk Management Coordinator) Commander Tania E. Plunkett				
Signature: Name: (Department Head)	Date: 3-2%-23			
Chief Paula L. Tokar				
Signature: Quille	Date: 4/3/23			
Chief Executive Office Risk Management Inspector G	Reneral USE ONLY			
Are the corrective actions applicable to other department	s within the County?			
☑ Yes, the corrective actions potentially have County-wide applicability.				
☐ No, the corrective actions are applicable only t	o this department.			
Name: (Risk Management Inspector General) Daniela Prowizor				
Signature: Daniela Digitally signed by Daniela Prowizor Date: 2023.04.05 Prowizor 14:31:55-07'00'	Date: 4/5/2023			

INFORMATION ON PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION

CASE NAME Alejandro Saul Vargas v. County of Los Angeles, et

CASE NUMBER 21STCV25187

COURT Los Angeles Superior Court

DATE FILED July 8, 2021

Sheriff's Department COUNTY DEPARTMENT

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AMOUNT 60,000

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF Alan C. Brown,

Day, Day and Brown

COUNTY COUNSEL ATTORNEY Michael Gordon.

Senior Deputy County Counsel

NATURE OF CASE On April 6, 2020, plaintiff Alejandro Saul Vargas's

vehicle and a Sheriff's Department truck collided on

Interstate 10 near 8th Street in Los Angeles.

Mr. Vargas alleges the collision caused injuries for

which he seeks compensation.

Given the risk and uncertainties of litigation, a full and final settlement of the case in the amount of

\$60,000 is warranted.

PAID ATTORNEY FEES, TO DATE \$ 8,516

PAID COSTS, TO DATE 7,495

INFORMATION ON PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION

Khuyen Kim Nguyen vs. County of Los Angeles, et CASE NAME

CASE NUMBER 20STCV09700

COURT Los Angeles Superior Court

DATE FILED March 9, 2020

COUNTY DEPARTMENT Sheriff's Department

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AMOUNT 75,000

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF Michael Lung Yueng, Esq.

Law Offices of Michael L. Yeung, APC

COUNTY COUNSEL ATTORNEY Melissa A. McCaverty

Deputy County Counsel

This incident occurred on January 28, 2020, when NATURE OF CASE

LASD Arson Investigator Deputy Yclan's County Chevrolet Tahoe rear ended Ms. Nguyen's 2012 Toyota Sienna on the Interstate 105 freeway.

Ms. Nguyen claims she sustained severe injuries as

a result of the accident.

Due to the risks and uncertainties of litigation, a full and final settlement of the case in the amount of

\$75,000 is recommended.

PAID ATTORNEY FEES, TO DATE 20,911

PAID COSTS, TO DATE 6,060

INFORMATION ON PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION

CASE NAME Rita Williams v. County of Los Angeles, et al.

CASE NUMBER 20STCV30376

COURT Los Angeles Superior Court

DATE FILED August 11, 2020

COUNTY DEPARTMENT Sheriff's Department

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AMOUNT \$ 150,000

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF Marley McClean, Esq.,

Law Offices of Wayne McClean

COUNTY COUNSEL ATTORNEY LaTasha N. Corry

Deputy County Counsel

NATURE OF CASE This incident occurred on November 20, 2018, when

Plaintiff's car was rear-ended by an LASD

employee's vehicle. Plaintiff claims she sustained

severe injuries as a result of the accident.

Given the risks and uncertainties of litigation, a full and final settlement of the case in the amount of

\$150,000 is recommended.

PAID ATTORNEY FEES, TO DATE \$ 11,502

PAID COSTS, TO DATE \$ 10,007

INFORMATION ON PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION

CASE NAME Hector Galvan v. County of Los Angeles, et al.

CASE NUMBER CIVSB2100782

COURT San Bernardino Superior Court

DATE FILED July 8, 2021

COUNTY DEPARTMENT District Attorney's Office

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AMOUNT \$ 60,000

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF James A. Allarie,

Law Offices of James Allarie

COUNTY COUNSEL ATTORNEY Michael Gordon,

Senior Deputy County Counsel

NATURE OF CASE On June 15, 2020, plaintiff Hector Galvan's truck

and a District Attorney's Office car collided on Interstate 210 near Mountain Avenue in Upland. Mr. Galvan alleges the collision caused injuries for

which he seeks compensation.

Given the risk and uncertainties of litigation, a full and final settlement of the case in the amount of

\$60,000 is warranted.

PAID ATTORNEY FEES, TO DATE \$ 18,975

PAID COSTS, TO DATE \$ 11,870

INFORMATION ON PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION

CASE NAME Samuel Bonner v. City of Long Beach, et al.

CASE NUMBER 2:22-cv-02819

COURT United States District Court

DATE FILED April 27, 2022

COUNTY DEPARTMENT District Attorney

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AMOUNT \$ 3,000,000

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF Andrew Stein, Esquire

Law Offices of Stein and Markus, PLC

Gregory Peacock, Esquire

Greg Peacock Law

COUNTY COUNSEL ATTORNEY Jonathan McCaverty

Principal Deputy County Counsel

NATURE OF CASE

This is a recommendation to settle for \$3,000,000, a

District Attorney federal civil rights lawsuit brought by Samuel Bonner against the County for a wrongful conviction that resulted in his imprisonment of 37 years. Given the high risks and uncertainties of litigation, a reasonable settlement at this time will avoid further litigation costs. The full and final settlement amount of \$3,000,000 is recommended.

PAID ATTORNEY FEES, TO DATE \$ 75,512

PAID COSTS, TO DATE \$ 20,495

Case Name: Samuel Bonner v. City of Long Beach et. al.

Summary Corrective Action Plan



The intent of this form is to assist departments in writing a corrective action plan summary for attachment to the settlement documents developed for the Board of Supervisors and/or the County of Los Angeles Claims Board. The summary should be a specific overview of the claims/lawsuits' identified root causes and corrective actions (status, time frame, and responsible party). This summary does not replace the Corrective Action Plan form. If there is a question related to confidentiality, please consult County Counsel.

Date of incident/event:	1982 - 2019
Briefly provide a description of the incident/event:	Plaintiff, Samuel Bonner, sues the County of Los Angeles, the City of Long Beach, and former Long Beach Police Department detectives in connection with his prosecution and resultant convictions of murder and robbery in 1983. Mr. Bonner's convictions were overturned during a 2019 re-sentencing hearing and his subsequent motion for factual innocence was granted in 2020. In all, Mr. Bonner was incarcerated nearly 37 years. Plaintiff claims that the County is liable due to the misconduct of a deputy district attorney in pursuing conflicting legal theories in his trial and that of his co-defendant. Additionally, Plaintiff claims that the Office did not comply with its post-conviction Brady obligations by not turning over information regarding the lack of credibility of a jailhouse informant that was used to secure the conviction against Plaintiff.

- 1. Briefly describe the **root cause(s)** of the claim/lawsuit:
 - 1) DDA Seifert pursued two separate legal theories against two defendants in separate trials.
 - 2) The continued pursuit by the Office to oppose Plaintiff's various post-conviction motions from 1983 to 2021, when some potential Brady material was discovered post-conviction in the 1990s
- 2. Briefly describe recommended corrective actions: (Include each corrective action, due date, responsible party, and any disciplinary actions if appropriate)

No corrective action plan is needed as to root cause 1. DDA Seifert operated outside of policy and committed misconduct. DDA Seifert retired from the Department in 2000. As to the second cause, since 1983, the Office has established robust training, dedicated personnel, and several systems to ensure Brady compliance and to review cases post-conviction for issues of misconduct and factual innocence.

3.	Are the corrective actions addressing department-wide system issues?
	☐ Yes – The corrective actions address department-wide system issues.

Document version: 4.0 (January 2013) Page 1 of 2

X No – The corrective actions are only applicable to the affected parties.

Name: (Risk Management Coordinator) Julie Dixon Silva				
Signature: What was a signature of the s	Date: 5.30.23			
Name: (Department Head)				
Sharon L. Woo				
Signature:	Date: 5.30 2023			
Chief Executive Office Risk Management Inspector General USE ONLY				
Are the corrective actions applicable to other departments within the County?				
☐ Yes, the corrective actions potentially have County-wide applicability.				
No, the corrective actions are applicable only to this department.				
Name: (Risk Management Inspector General)				
Daniela Prowizor				
Signature: Daniela Digitally signed by Daniela Provincer	Date:			
P-4-: 2022 05 20 16:05:11	5/30/2023			
Prowizor -07'00'				

INFORMATION ON PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION

CASE NAME Triple B Clays, Inc. v. County of Los Angeles

CASE NUMBER 21STCV00739

COURT Los Angeles County Superior Court

Central Courthouse District

Stanley Mosk Civil

DATE FILED January 8, 2021

COUNTY DEPARTMENT Parks and Recreation

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AMOUNT \$ 40,000

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF Eric S. Oto

COUNTY COUNSEL ATTORNEY Lisa C. Jacobs

NATURE OF CASE This is a complaint filed by Triple B Clays, Inc.

("Plaintiff") against the County for breach of its obligations under a Concession Contract ("Contract") between Plaintiff and the County. Plaintiff ran the shooting concession at the Whittier Narrows Recreation Area ("Property") for 20 years. Plaintiff submitted four claims to the County for reimbursement for capital improvements to the

Property paid for by Plaintiff totaling \$61,203. The claims were denied by the County due to Plaintiff's

failure to comply with the procedure to obtain reimbursement outlined in the Contract.

PAID ATTORNEY FEES, TO DATE \$ 88,654

PAID COSTS, TO DATE \$ 4,383

LOS ANGELES COUNTY CLAIMS BOARD

MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING

JUNE 5, 2023

1. Call to Order.

The meeting of the Los Angeles County Claims Board was called to order at 9:39 a.m. The meeting was held virtually with Claims Board Members participating in person and online. Claims Board Members Adrienne M. Byers and Oscar Valdez appeared in person and via video conference. Claims Board Chair Steve Robles was absent.

All other persons present at the virtual Claims Board meeting: Joseph A. Langton, Melissa McCaverty, Ann Aguilar, Richard K. Kudo, Narbeh Bagdasarian, Edward Morrissey, Kevin Engelien, Timothy J. Kral, Millicent L. Rolon, Dušan Pavlović, Laura Mendenhall, Tyler Battles, and Jake Abrams appeared for the Office of the County Counsel. Ronald Castaneda appeared for Public Works. Cestre Balderamos and Christina Lee appeared for Department of Children and Family Services. Crystal Kibby, Vanessa Dinsay Jenkins, and Ly Ngo appeared for Department of Mental Health. Elizabeth Ratinoff and William Frayeh appeared for the District Attorney. Tania Plunkett, Shanese Winfrey, Shawnee Hinchman, Christopher Johnson, Christopher Mouat, Renata Phillip, Thomas Kim, Michael Gomez, Geradette Montoya appeared for the Sheriff's Department. Amy Bodek appeared for Regional Planning. Attorney Aamir Raza appeared for Lawrence, Beach, Allen & Choi, PC. Attorney Thomas C. Hurrell appeared for Hurrell Cantrall, LLP.

2. Opportunity for members of the public to address the Claims Board on items of interest within the subject-matter jurisdiction of the Claims Board.

No members of the public appeared in person or telephonically.

3. Closed Session – Conference with Legal Counsel – Existing Litigation (Government Code section 54956.9, subdivision (a)).

At 9:40 a.m., Adrienne M. Byers convened the meeting in closed session to discuss the items listed below as 4(a) through 4(k).

4. Report of Actions Taken in Closed Session.

No members of the public were present to hear the reportable actions of the Claims Board.

At 12:01 p.m., the Claims Board reconvened in open session via video conference and reported the actions taken in closed session as follows:

a. Non-Litigated Claims of Jose A. Munguia, et al.

These non-litigated claims seek compensation for property damage caused by an automobile accident involving an employee from the Department of Public Works.

Action Taken:

The Claims Board approved settlement of Item 4.a. in the amount of \$26,097.96.

Vote: Ayes: 2 – Oscar Valdez, and Adrienne M. Byers

Absent: Steve Robles

b. <u>Sergey Afanasyev v. County of Los Angeles</u> Los Angeles Superior Court Case Number 20STCV03086

This lawsuit arises from alleged injuries sustained in an automobile accident involving an employee from the Department of Public Works.

Action Taken:

The Claims Board approved settlement of Item 4.b. in the amount of \$45,000.

Vote: Ayes: 2 – Oscar Valdez, and Adrienne M. Byers

Absent: Steve Robles

c. Non-Litigated Claims of Arturo B. Vasquez and Subro Claims Inc.

This claim arises from alleged injuries sustained in an automobile accident with an employee from the Department of Children and Family Services.

Action Taken:

The Claims Board approved settlement of Item 4.c. in the amount of \$59,989.91.

Vote: Ayes: 2 - Oscar Valdez, and Adrienne M. Byers

Absent: Steve Robles

d. <u>Michael Elio Fusco v. County of Los Angeles, et al.</u> Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 21STCV39037

This lawsuit arises from injuries Plaintiff allegedly sustained in an automobile accident involving a Department of Children and Family Services employee.

Action Taken:

The Claims Board approved settlement of Item 4.d. in the amount of \$29,000.

Vote: Ayes: 2 - Oscar Valdez, and Adrienne M. Byers

Absent: Steve Robles

e. Raquel Tamayo v. County of Los Angeles, et al. Los Angeles Superior Court Case Number 21STCV12912

This lawsuit concerns allegations that Plaintiff suffered injuries while receiving care at a Department of Mental Health facility.

Action Taken:

The Claims Board recommended to the Board of Supervisors settlement of Item 4.e. in the amount of \$400,000.

Vote: Ayes: 2 – Oscar Valdez, and Adrienne M. Byers

Absent: Steve Robles

f. Melva Viola Carter v. County of Los Angeles, et al. Los Angeles Superior Court Case Number 21STCV22830

This lawsuit arises from injuries Plaintiff allegedly sustained in an automobile accident with a District Attorney's Office employee.

Action Taken:

The Claims Board approved settlement of Item 4.f. in the amount of \$31,500.

Vote: Ayes: 2 – Oscar Valdez, and Adrienne M. Byers

Absent: Steve Robles

g. <u>Miao Chang Zheng v. County of Los Angeles, et al.</u> Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 19STCV01836

This lawsuit arises from alleged injuries sustained in an automobile accident involving a Sheriff's Department deputy; settlement is recommended in the amount of \$750,000.

Action Taken:

The Claims Board voted to continue Item 4.g. to a future Claims Board meeting.

Vote: Ayes: 2 - Oscar Valdez, and Adrienne M. Byers

Absent: Steve Robles

h. Maria Arias, et al. v. County of Los Angeles, et al. United States District Court No. 2:21-cv-06865-MWF-KES

This lawsuit involves the death of an inmate in the custody of the Sheriff's Department.

Action Taken:

The Claims Board approved settlement of Item 4.h. in the amount of \$99,000.

Vote: Ayes: 2 - Oscar Valdez, and Adrienne M. Byers

Absent: Steve Robles

i. Alex Gutierrez v. County of Los Angeles, et al. Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 21STCV14492

This lawsuit against the Sheriff's Department alleges violations of civil rights.

Action Taken:

The Claims Board approved settlement of Item 4.i. in the amount of \$75,000.

Vote: Ayes: 2 - Oscar Valdez, and Adrienne M. Byers

Absent: Steve Robles

j. <u>Jacqueline Kroll v. County of Los Angeles, et al.</u> United States District Court Case No. 2:21-CV-00832

This federal civil rights lawsuit concerns allegations of sexual assault by a Sheriff's Department employee at a detention facility.

Action Taken:

The Claims Board recommended to the Board of Supervisors settlement of Item 4.j. in the amount of \$575,000.

Vote: Ayes: 2 – Oscar Valdez, and Adrienne M. Byers

Absent: Steve Robles

k. Save Our Rural Town v. Department of Auditor-Controller, et al. Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 21STCP03696

This lawsuit relates to a California Environmental Quality Act matter against the County of Los Angeles.

Action Taken:

The Claims Board approved settlement of Item 4.k. in the amount of \$79,153.58.

Vote: Ayes: 2 - Oscar Valdez, and Adrienne M. Byers

Absent: Steve Robles

5. Approval of the Minutes of the May 15, 2023, regular meeting of the Claims Board.

Action Taken:

The Claims Board approved the Minutes of the May 15, 2023, regular meeting of the Claims Board.

Vote: Ayes: 2 – Oscar Valdez, and Adrienne M. Byers

By Laura

Absent: Steve Robles

6. Adjournment.

The meeting was adjourned at 12:03 p.m.

LOS ANGELES COUNTY CLAIMS BOARD

Derek Stane

Claims Board Secretary