

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

CLAIMS BOARD 500 WEST TEMPLE STREET LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012-2713

#### MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

Arlene Barrera Auditor-Controller Steve Robles Chief Executive Office Adrienne M. Byers Office of the County Counsel

# NOTICE OF MEETING

The Los Angeles County Claims Board will hold a regular meeting on **Monday, January 10, 2022 at 9:30 a.m.**, via online conference call. Members of the public who would like to listen to the open sessions of the meeting may call (323) 776-6996, then enter ID 311 505 378#, at 9:30 a.m. on January 10, 2022.

**Reports of actions taken in Closed Session**. The Los Angeles County Claims Board will report actions taken on any Closed Session Items on Monday, January 10, 2022 at 11:20 a.m. Members of the public who would like to hear reportable actions taken on any Closed Session items may call (323) 776-6996, then enter ID 311 505 378#, at 11:15 a.m. on January 10, 2022. Please note that this time is an approximate start time and there may be a short delay before the Closed Session is concluded and the actions can be reported.

# TO PROVIDE PUBLIC COMMENT:

You may submit written public comments by e-mail to <u>claimsboard@counsel.lacounty.gov</u> or by mail to: Attention: Los Angeles County Claims Board, Executive Office, County Counsel, 500 W. Temple St., Los Angeles, CA, 90012.

Written public comment or documentation must be submitted no later than 4 p.m. on Friday, January 7, 2021. Please include the Agenda item and meeting date in your correspondence. Comments and any other written submissions will become part of the official record of the meeting.

SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION: Any supporting documents will be posted and can be provided upon request. Please submit requests for supporting documents to <u>claimsboard@counsel.lacounty.gov</u>.

If you would like more information, please contact Derek Stane at <u>dstane@counsel.lacounty.gov</u>.

County of Los Angeles Claims Board Agenda for January 10, 2022 Page 2

#### AGENDA

- 1. Call to Order.
- 2. Opportunity for members of the public to address the Claims Board on items of interest that are within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Claims Board.
- Closed Session Conference with Legal Counsel Existing Litigation (Subdivision (a) of Government Code Section 54956.9).
  - a. <u>Jasiby Sanchez v. County of Los Angeles, et al.</u> Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 20STCV34522

This lawsuit arises from injuries plaintiff allegedly sustained in a vehicle accident involving an employee of the Fire Department; settlement is recommended in the amount of \$45,000.

See Supporting Document

b. <u>Angelita Rodriguez v. County of Los Angeles, et al.</u> Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. BC 700777

This lawsuit arises from injuries plaintiff allegedly sustained in a vehicle accident involving a Sheriff's Department deputy; settlement is recommended in the amount of \$45,000.

See Supporting Document

c. <u>Michelle Kim, et al. v. Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department, et al.</u> Orange County Superior Court Case No. 30-2019-01064880

This lawsuit arises from injuries plaintiff allegedly sustained in a multi-vehicle accident involving a Sheriff's Department detective; settlement is recommended in the amount of \$41,000.

See Supporting Document

d. <u>Milvian Rodriguez v. County of Los Angeles, et al.</u> United States District Court Case No. 2:21-cv-00455

This federal civil rights lawsuit alleges plaintiff was subjected to excessive force during an arrest and traffic stop by Sheriff's Department deputies; settlement is recommended in the amount of \$37,500.

See Supporting Document

County of Los Angeles Claims Board Agenda for January 10, 2022 Page 3

> e. <u>Alen Karaboghosian v. County of Los Angeles, et al.</u> United States District Court Case No. 2:20-cv-09336-DSF-MRW

> > This federal civil rights lawsuit alleges plaintiff was assaulted by other inmates while in custody of the Sheriff's Department; settlement is recommended in the amount of \$80,000.

See Supporting Document

f. <u>Estate of Christopher Nash v. County of Los Angeles, et al.</u> United States District Court Case No. 2:20-CV-05012

This federal civil rights lawsuit alleges wrongful death and deliberate indifference to medical needs by the Sheriff's Department following the death of plaintiff's brother; settlement is recommended in the amount of \$199,000.

See Supporting Documents

g. <u>Maurice Cannon v. County of Los Angeles</u> Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 19STCV11873

This lawsuit concerns allegations that an employee of the Sheriff's Department was subjected to discrimination, harassment, and retaliation; settlement is recommended in the amount of \$30,000.

h. Claim of Courtney Zifkin

This claim concerns allegations that an employee of the District Attorney's office was subjected to sexual harassment and retaliation; settlement is recommended in the amount of \$300,000.

4. Approval of the minutes of the December 20, 2021, regular meeting of the Claims Board.

See Supporting Document

- 5. Items not on the posted agenda, to be referred to staff or placed on the agenda for action at a further meeting of the Board, or matters requiring immediate action because of an emergency situation or where the need to take immediate action came to the attention of the Board subsequent to the posting of the agenda.
- 6. Adjournment.

#### INFORMATION ON PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION

CASE NAME Jasiby Sanchez v. County of Los Angeles, et. al. CASE NUMBER 20STCV34522 Los Angeles Superior Court COURT September 9, 2020 DATE FILED COUNTY DEPARTMENT **Fire Department** PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AMOUNT \$ 45,000 ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF Darin T. Chavez, Esq. **APPLS Law Group** COUNTY COUNSEL ATTORNEY Yuan Chang Deputy County Counsel This case arises from an automobile accident which NATURE OF CASE occurred on September 10, 2018, when a vehicle operated by a Los Angeles County Fire Department employee rear-ended Plaintiff's vehicle. Due to the risks and uncertainties of litigation, a full and final settlement of the case is recommended. PAID ATTORNEY FEES, TO DATE \$ 7,449 PAID COSTS, TO DATE 9,249 \$

# INFORMATION ON PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION

CASE NAME	Angelita Rodriguez, et al. v. County of Los Angeles, et al.	
CASE NUMBER	BC700777	
COURT	Los Angeles Superior Court	
DATE FILED	April 4, 2018	
COUNTY DEPARTMENT	Sheriff's Department	
PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AMOUNT	\$ 45,000	
ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF	Jacoby & Meyers Attorneys LLP	
COUNTY COUNSEL ATTORNEY	Richard Kudo Principal Deputy County Counsel	
NATURE OF CASE	This case involves a two car collision that on May 18, 2017, when a Sheriff's Depar car driven by a Deputy Sheriff exited a pr driveway and collided with plaintiff Angeli Rodriguez's Toyota sport utility vehicle. F Olga Hernandez was seated in the right f passenger seat. The collision occurred of Road just south of Colima Road in Rowla Plaintiffs each claim to have suffered inju damages from the accident. Due to the r uncertainties of litigation, a full and final s of the case is warranted	tment radio ivate Plaintiff ront n Fullerton nd Heights. ries and isks and
PAID ATTORNEY FEES, TO DATE	\$ 46,085	
PAID COSTS TO DATE	\$ 23 149	

# INFORMATION ON PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION

CASE NAME

CASE NUMBER

COURT

DATE FILED

COUNTY DEPARTMENT

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

NATURE OF CASE

COUNTY COUNSEL ATTORNEY

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AMOUNT

\$ 41,000

Edward W. Choi Law Offices of Choi & Associates, PC

Sheriff's Department

Department, et al.

30-2019-01064880

April 18, 2019

**Orange County Superior Court** 

Richard K. Kudo Principal Deputy County Counsel

This case involves a four vehicle, rear-end collision that occurred on May 29, 2018, on Imperial Boulevard in the city of Brea. The collision occurred when a Sheriff's Department sport utility vehicle driven by a department detective rear-ended a stopped pick-up truck that rear-ended the sedan in front of it driven by plaintiff Michelle Kim and in which nine year old daughter Robin Kim was seated in the front passenger seat. Ms. Kim's sedan was pushed into the rear end of the pick-up truck stopped in front of her. Plaintiffs claim to have suffered injuries and damages from the collision. Due to the risks and uncertainties of litigation, a full and final settlement of the case is warranted.

Michelle Kim, et al. v. Los Angeles County Sheriff's

PAID ATTORNEY FEES, TO DATE \$ 23,157

PAID COSTS, TO DATE

\$ 3,560

HOA.103460012.1

#### INFORMATION ON PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION

Milvian Rodriguez v. County of Los Angeles, et al. CASE NAME CASE NUMBER 2:21-CV-00455 United States District Court COURT DATE FILED January 21, 2021 COUNTY DEPARTMENT Sheriff's Department PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AMOUNT \$ 37,500 Gregory Peacock, Esq. ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF **Richard Hsueh** COUNTY COUNSEL ATTORNEY **Deputy County Counsel** NATURE OF CASE This is a recommendation to settle for \$37,500. inclusive of attorneys' fees and costs, this federal lawsuit filed by Plaintiffs Milvian Rodriguez, Kevin Hernandez, A.B., and A.S.H. against the County and Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department ("LASD") Deputies Aaron Abellano, Joana Palombi, Woodrow Kim, and Joana Macz Moran alleging federal civil rights violations arising from her arrest during a traffic stop. Given the risks and uncertainties of litigation, a reasonable settlement at this time will avoid further litigation costs. The full and final settlement of the case in the amount of \$37,500 is recommended. 11,044 PAID ATTORNEY FEES, TO DATE \$ \$ 0 PAID COSTS, TO DATE

# INFORMATION ON PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION

CASE NAME

CASE NUMBER

COURT

DATE FILED

COUNTY DEPARTMENT

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AMOUNT

\$80,000

\$

2:20-cv-09336-DSF-MRW

United States District Court

September 25, 2019

Sheriff's Department

Morris S. Getzels, Esquire

\$80,000 for this case.

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

COUNTY COUNSEL ATTORNEY

NATURE OF CASE

This is a recommendation to settle for \$80,000, inclusive of attorneys' fees and costs, a federal civil rights lawsuit filed by Alen Karaboghosian alleging Sheriff's Department and Correctional Health Servcies staff failed to properly assess and classify him as developmentally disabled before assigning him to general population housing. Given the high risks and uncertainties of litigation, a reasonable settlement at this time will avoid further litigation

costs. We recommend a full and final settlement of

Timothy J. Kral, Principal Deputy County Counsel

Alen Karaboghosian v. County of Los Angeles, et al.

PAID ATTORNEY FEES, TO DATE

\$ 99,000

PAID COSTS, TO DATE

\$ 2,700

HOA.103474847.1

### INFORMATION ON PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION

Estate of Christopher Nash, et al. v. County of Los CASE NAME Angeles, et al. 2:20-CV-05012 CASE NUMBER COURT United States District Court DATE FILED March 20, 2020 COUNTY DEPARTMENT Sheriff's Department 199,000 PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AMOUNT \$ ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF Yana Henriks, Esq. COUNTY COUNSEL ATTORNEY Minas Samuelian **Deputy County Counsel** NATURE OF CASE This is a recommendation to settle for \$199,000 inclusive of attorneys' fees and costs, a lawsuit filed by Anthony Nash ("Plaintiff") against the County alleging federal civil rights violations and wrongful death following the in-custody death of his brother, Christopher Nash. Given the high risks and uncertainties of litigation, a reasonable settlement at this time will avoid further litigation costs. The full and final settlement of the case in the amount of \$199,000 is recommended. PAID ATTORNEY FEES, TO DATE 72,656 \$ \$ PAID COSTS, TO DATE 22,358



# **Summary Corrective Action Plan**

The intent of this form is to assist departments in writing a corrective action plan summary for attachment to the settlement documents developed for the Board of Supervisors and/or the County of Los Angeles Claims Board. The summary should be a specific overview of the claims/lawsuits' identified root causes and corrective actions (status, time frame, and responsible party). This summary does not replace the Corrective Action Plan form. If there is a question related to <u>confidentiality</u>, please consult County Counsel.

March 20, 2019	
	Estate of Christopher Nash v. County of Los Angeles Summary Corrective Action Plan 2021 Upon arriving at CRDF, Deputies One and Two reviewed the Arreste Medical Screening form with the decedent. The decedent verbal answered no to all the medical screening questions. Deputy One did no observe any signs that would be consistent with someone being under th influence of a controlled substance. The decedent was escorted into the CRDF booking area and was placed inside booking cell #6.
	While inside of the detox cell, the decedent was provided food in the deto cell. The decedent could be seen drinking the milk that was provided, bu did not appear to eat the food. Title 15 "Safety Checks" were conducte by custody personnel. Closed Circuit Television (CCTV) showed the decedent sitting upright in his cell, and later laid back down on the floor.
	Throughout the shift, Title 15 "Safety Checks" were completed by custoo personnel.
	CCTV later showed the decedent lying on the ground and intermittent moving his body. As the evening progressed and Title 15 "Safe Checks" were completed, CCTV footage showed the decedent lying of the floor, but not moving.
	Deputy Seven entered the decedent's holding cell and approached the decedent who was lying on the floor. Deputy Seven stated the deceder appeared to be asleep. Deputy Seven checked for a pulse on the decedent's neck, and was able to feel a slight pulse. He attempted to wake the decedent for a second time, but the decedent was unresponsive A nurse and gurney were requested for the decedent.
	As Deputy Seven waited for a nurse, he checked again to see if he coul find a pulse on the decedent and was unable to locate a pulse. Deputie Four and Seven observed the decedent had stopped breathing. CPR was initiated. Two nurses then arrived at the decedent's cell. The deceder was hooked up to an Automated External Defibrillator (AED). The physician assistant then arrived and oversaw the medical team Naloxone Nasal Spray (Narcan) was administered to the decedent. N shockable rhythm was recognized by the AED, and CPR was continued
	The Los Angeles County Fire Department (LACFD) arrived at the decedent's cell and took over CPR. The decedent was still unresponsive The paramedics on the scene administered another dose of Narcan to the decedent. Emergency lifesaving efforts were performed, but the decedent was still unresponsive. The decedent was pronounced deceased at 8:51 p.m. by the LACFD captain.

1. Briefly describe the root cause(s) of the claim/lawsuit:

The complaint alleges the County and several of its employees were deliberately indifferent to the decedent's serious medical needs.

1) Department root cause in this incident was a deputy did not conduct a thorough inmate safety check.

2) Department root cause in this incident was the delay in recognizing the decedent was in distress.

3) Department root cause in this incident was deputies did not have Naloxone Nasal Spray (Narcan).

4) Non-Department root cause in this incident was, the decedent did not honestly answer the questions on the Arrestee Medical Screening form.

 Briefly describe recommended corrective actions: (Include each corrective action, due date, responsible party, and any disciplinary actions if appropriate)

Installed safety check barcodes in the Female and Male sobering and holding cells; completed 4/9/19; assigned to Century Regional Detention Facility by the captain.

Rebrief of CDM 4-11/030.00 Inmate Safety Checks; completed 5/13/19; assigned to Custody Support Services Bureau Sergeant.

Rebrief on the use and deployment of Narcan; completed 4/20/19; assigned to Century Regional Detention Facility by the captain.

Distribution of Narcan to Century Regional Detention Facility; completed 4/2/19; assigned to Century Regional Detention Facility by the captain.

3. Are the corrective actions addressing Department-wide system issues?

- □ Yes The corrective actions address Department-wide system issues.
- ☑ No The corrective actions are only applicable to the affected parties.

Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department Name: (Risk Management Coordinator) Jason P. Wolak, Commander **Custody Services Division** Date: Signature: Dolal 12.13.21 Name: (Department Head) Margarita Velazguez, Chief **Custody Services Division** Date: Signature: 17 15/202 Chief Executive Office Risk Management Inspector General USE ONLY Are the corrective actions applicable to other departments within the County? Yes, the corrective actions potentially have County-wide applicability. No, the corrective actions are applicable only to this Department. Name: (Risk Management Inspector General) **Destiny Castro** Signature: Date: Destiny Castro 12/16/2021

#### LOS ANGELES COUNTY CLAIMS BOARD

#### MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING

#### **DECEMBER 20, 2021**

#### 1. Call to Order.

The meeting of the Los Angeles County Claims Board was called to order at 9:37 a.m. The meeting was held via teleconference with all Claims Board Members participating telephonically. Claims Board Members online for the teleconference meeting were: Chair Steve Robles, Arlene Barrera, and Adrienne Byers.

All other persons also appeared telephonically. Those attending the meeting were: Office of the County Counsel: Kelsey Nau, Rosa Linda Cruz, Nicole Rommero, Wendy Sha, and Pirjo Ranansinghe; Department of Public Works: Michael Hays, and Alicia Ramos; Department of Probation: Mark Garcia; Sheriff's Department: Jason Wolak, and Michael Abbot; Department of Social Services: Simone Agee, and Carlos Molina; Outside Counsel: Mark Worthge, and Rickey Ivie.

 Opportunity for members of the public to address the Claims Board on items of interest within the subject-matter jurisdiction of the Claims Board.

No members of the public were on the public teleconference phone line to address the Claims Board.

#### Closed Session – Conference with Legal Counsel – Existing Litigation (Subdivision [a] of Government Code section 54956.9).

At 9:38 a.m., the Chair convened the meeting into closed session to discuss the items listed as 4(a) through 4(e).

#### 4. Report of actions taken in Closed Session.

No members of the public were on the public teleconference phone line to hear the reportable actions of the Claims Board.

At 11:33 a.m., the Claims Board reconvened in open session via the public teleconference phone line and reported the actions taken in closed session as follows:

#### a. Non-Litigated Claims of Oganes Tagaryan and Progressive Insurance

This claim involves property damage allegedly sustained in a vehicle accident involving an employee of the Department of Public Works.

#### Action Taken:

The Claims Board approved settlement of this matter in the amount of \$29,409.81.

Vote: Ayes: 3 – Steve Robles, Arlene Barrera, and Adrienne Byers

# b. <u>Cannon Corporation v. County of Los Angeles</u> Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. 20STCV33594

This lawsuit against the Department of Public Works alleges breach of contract involving consultant engineering services.

#### Action Taken:

The Claims Board approved settlement of this matter in the amount of \$50,000.

Vote: Ayes: 3 – Steve Robles, Arlene Barrera, and Adrienne Byers

#### c. <u>Erica Salazar, as Guardian Ad Litem for M.S., a minor v. County of Los Angeles, et al.</u> United States District Court Case No. 2:20-CV-00567-DSF-AS

This lawsuit alleges that plaintiff's civil rights were violated while she was under the supervision of the Probation Department at the Los Padrinos Juvenile Hall.

#### Action Taken:

The Claims Board recommended to the Board of Supervisors settlement of this matter in the amount of \$220,000.

Vote: Ayes: 3 – Steve Robles, Arlene Barrera, and Adrienne Byers

#### d. <u>Jerry San Martin v. County of Los Angeles</u> Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. BC 956220

This lawsuit concerns a former employee of the Department of Public Social Services who alleges failure to engage in the interactive process and failure to provide a reasonable accommodation.

#### Action Taken:

The Claims Board approved settlement of this matter in the amount of \$39,000.

Vote: Ayes: 3 – Steve Robles, Arlene Barrera, and Adrienne Byers

### e. <u>Claudia Ramirez v. County of Los Angeles</u> Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 19STCV44301

This lawsuit concerns allegations that an employee of the Sheriff's Department was subjected to gender discrimination, harassment, and retaliation.

#### Action Taken:

The Claims Board approved settlement of this matter in the amount of \$65,000.

Vote: Ayes: 3 – Steve Robles, Arlene Barrera, and Adrienne Byers

Claims Board Minutes December 20, 2021 Page 3 of 3

5. Approval of the Minutes of the December 6, 2021, regular meeting of the Claims Board.

Action Taken:

The Claims Board approved the Minutes.

Vote: Ayes: 3 - Steve Robles, Arlene Barrera, and Adrienne Byers

6. Items not on the posted agenda, to be referred to staff or placed on the agenda for action at a further meeting of the Board, or matters requiring immediate action because of an emergency situation or where the need to take immediate action came to the attention of the Board subsequent to the posting of the agenda.

No such matters were discussed.

7. Adjournment.

The meeting was adjourned at 11:35 a.m.