STATEMENT OF PROCEEDINGS

FOR THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY CLAIMS BOARD HELD VIA ONLINE CONFERENCE CALL

ON MONDAY, DECEMBER 7, 2020, AT 9:30 A.M.

Present: Chair Steve Robles, Arlene Barrera, and Adrienne Byers.

- 1. Call to Order.
- 2. Opportunity for members of the public to address the Claims Board on items of interest within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Claims Board.

No members of the public were on the public teleconference line to address the Claims Board or to listen to the reportable actions of the Claims Board.

- 3. Closed Session Conference with Legal Counsel Existing Litigation (Subdivision [a] of Government Code section 54956.9).
 - a. <u>Patricia Felix-Nevins v. County of Los Angeles, et al.</u> Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 18STCV04185

This dangerous condition lawsuit alleges Plaintiff suffered injuries sustained from a trip and fall on a sidewalk in an unincorporated area of Los Angeles; settlement is recommended in the amount of \$24,500.

Action Taken:

The Claims Board approved the settlement of this matter in the amount of \$24,500.

Vote: Ayes: 3 – Steve Robles, Arlene Barrera, and Adrienne Byers

See Supporting Document

b. <u>Michael Brown v. County of Los Angeles, et al.</u> Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. MC 027757

This dangerous condition lawsuit arises from alleged injuries sustained when a tree branch fell on Plaintiff at a Department of Public Health facility; settlement is recommended in the amount of \$125,000.

Action Taken:

The Claims Board recommended to the Board of Supervisors the settlement of this matter in the amount of \$125,000.

Vote: Ayes: 3 – Steve Robles, Arlene Barrera, and Adrienne Byers

See Supporting Documents

c. Courtney Weis v. County of Los Angeles, et al. Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC 724216

This lawsuit arises from alleged injuries sustained in an automobile accident involving an employee of the Sheriff's Department; settlement is recommended in the amount of \$45,000.

Action Taken:

The Claims Board approved the settlement of this matter in the amount of \$45,000.

Vote: Ayes: 3 – Steve Robles, Arlene Barrera, and Adrienne Byers

See Supporting Document

d. Claim of Desiree Monique Villalobos

This claim alleges Plaintiff was sexually assaulted by a Sheriff's Deputy at the Century Regional Detention Facility; settlement is recommended in the amount of \$50,000.

Action Taken:

The Claims Board approved the settlement of this matter in the amount of \$50,000.

Vote: Ayes: 3 – Steve Robles, Arlene Barrera, and Adrienne Byers

See Supporting Document

e. <u>Evangelina P., et al. v. County of Los Angeles, et al.</u> Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 19STCV03826

This wrongful death lawsuit concerns allegations of excessive force by Sheriff Deputies arising out of the shooting of Plaintiffs' father; settlement is recommended in the amount of \$600,000.

Action Taken:

The Claims Board recommended to the Board of Supervisors the settlement of this matter in the amount of \$600,000.

Vote: Ayes: 3 – Steve Robles, Arlene Barrera, and Adrienne Byers

See Supporting Documents

f. Claim of Brian Anderson

This lawsuit concerns allegations that an employee of the Probation Department was subjected to disability discrimination, failure to accommodate, and failure to engage in the interactive process; settlement is recommended in the amount of \$99,999.

Action Taken:

The Claims Board approved the settlement of this matter in the amount of \$99,999.

Vote: Ayes: 3 – Steve Robles, Arlene Barrera, and Adrienne Byers

HOA 102922867 1 2

g. <u>Marie Russell v. County of Los Angeles</u> Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC 642848

This lawsuit concerns allegations that an employee of the Department of Health Services was subjected to age and disability discrimination, retaliation, and failure to accommodate; settlement is recommended in the amount of \$300,000.

Action Taken:

The Claims Board recommended to the Board of Supervisors the settlement of this matter in the amount of \$300,000.

Vote: Ayes: 3 – Steve Robles, Arlene Barrera, and Adrienne Byers

4. Report of actions taken in Closed Session.

The Claims Board reconvened in open session and reported the actions taken in Closed Session as indicated under Agenda Item No. 3 above.

5. Approval of the Minutes of the November 16, 2020, regular meeting of the Claims Board.

Action Taken:

The Claims Board approved the Minutes.

Vote: Ayes: 3 – Steve Robles, Arlene Barrera, and Adrienne Byers

See Supporting Document

6. Items not on the posted agenda, to be referred to staff or placed on the agenda for action at a further meeting of the Board, or matters requiring immediate action because of emergency situation or where the need to take immediate action came to the attention of the Board subsequent to the posting of the agenda.

No such matters were discussed.

7. Adjournment.

HOA.102922867.1 3

INFORMATION ON PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION

CASE NAME

Patricia Felix-Nevins v. City of Los Angeles, et al.

CASE NUMBER

18STCV04185

COURT

Los Angeles Superior Court

DATE FILED

November 6, 2018

COUNTY DEPARTMENT

Department of Public Works

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AMOUNT

\$ 24,500

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

Narek Postajian, Esq.

Law Offices of Eslamboly Hakim

COUNTY COUNSEL ATTORNEY

David D. Lee, Esq. Deputy County Counsel

NATURE OF CASE

This lawsuit arises out of a October 22, 2017, trip and fall accident involving plaintiff Patricia Felix-Nevins that occurred on the sidewalk in front of her home at 3906 Michigan Avenue in Los Angeles. Plaintiff claims to have suffered injuries as a result. Due to the risks and uncertainities of litigation, a full and final settlement of the case is warranted.

PAID ATTORNEY FEES, TO DATE

\$ 19,343

PAID COSTS, TO DATE

\$ 1.697

INFORMATION ON PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION

CASE NAME Michael Brown v. County of Los Angeles, et al.

CASE NUMBER MC027757

COURT Los Angeles Superior Court

DATE FILED May 3, 2018

COUNTY DEPARTMENT Public Health

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AMOUNT \$ 125,000

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF Allan Vaysberg, Esq.

Law Offices of Steers & Associates

COUNTY COUNSEL ATTORNEY

Michael J. Gordon

Deputy County Counsel

NATURE OF CASE On May 22, 2017, Plaintiff was allegedly struck on

his neck, back, left shoulder, and right knee when a large tree branch fell on him as he was walking at the Antelope Valley Drug & Alcohol Rehabilitation Center. The Department of Public Health operates and maintains that facility. Plaintiff contends the tree branch was a dangerous condition of public property that caused him injuries for which he seeks

damages.

Given the risks and uncertainties of litigation, a full and final settlement of the case in the amount of

\$125,000 is recommended.

PAID ATTORNEY FEES, TO DATE \$ 53,046

PAID COSTS, TO DATE \$ 26,190

Case Name: Michael Brown 17-1140339

Summary Corrective Action Plan



The intent of this form is to assist departments in writing a corrective action plan summary for attachment to the settlement documents developed for the Board of Supervisors and/or the County of Los Angeles Claims Board. The summary should be a specific overview of the claims/lawsuits' identified root causes and corrective actions (status, time frame, and responsible party). This summary does not replace the Corrective Action Plan form. If there is a question related to confidentiality, please consult County Counsel.

Date of incident/event:	May 22, 2017
Briefly provide a description of the incident/event:	Plaintiff who was a resident at the Antelope Valley Rehabiliation Center (AVRC), was allegedly injured when a tree branch from 18 feet off the ground broke off, fell and made contact with him. Plaintiff also alleges that in running from the sound of the break, he tripped and fell to his knee. The actual incident was unwitnessesd according to the Plaintiff.

1. Briefly describe the root cause(s) of the claim/lawsuit:

The Plaintiff alleges that the Department failed to provide adequate safeguards against a known dangerous condition to protect members of the public. The dangerous condition created a reasonably foreseeable risk of injury and the Department had both actual or constructive notice of the dangerous condition prior to the injury with sufficient time to have taken measures to protect against it. AVRC did not know about the alleged dangerous conditions; however, AVRC's landscaping and ground maintenance services did not include an expert Arborist to identify diseased or decayed trees. Prior to the incident on May 16, 2017, the landscaping contractor that conducted a visual inspection of all the AVRC and submitted a written proposal to AVRC to cut down 52 trees and prune 44 additional ones, did not recommend that the tree involved in the incident be cut down or pruned. The landscaping company did not have an Arborist in its team.

 Briefly describe recommended corrective actions: (Include each corrective action, due date, responsible party, and any disciplinary actions if appropriate)

On 6/1/2017, AVRC requested that ISD's contractor expedite inspecting the grounds and/or remove diseased and/or decaying trees, in particular the Chinese elm tree allegedly responsible for Plaintiff's injuries. The tree was pruned, but not determined that it needed to be removed.

Since then, on an almost biannual schedule, tree maintenance services have been provided at AVRC by contracted services.

Since May 23, 2019, it became clear through expert examinations by Arborists hired by both Plaintiff and DPH Counsel that tree maintenance provided by ISD's contractor was not sufficient and that an expert Arborist needs to examine AVRC's trees for disease and decay to determine pruning and/or removal of trees. Ground level visual inspection by the landscaping services would not have been sufficient to detect any problems. The Substance Abuse Prevention and Control (SAPC), which oversees AVRC operations, has been working with AVRC management and the DPH Administrative Services Division to update the agreement to require that Arborists are included in contracted services. Meanwhile, these services are procured through purchase orders.

Document version: 4.0 (January 2013)

COVID-19 activities have impacted completion of the contracting process in addition to causing low admission rates at AVRC, which is planning temporary closure until further notice. AVRC is currently resolving logistical issues, such as reassignment of staff during the facility's temporary closure.				
To avoid incurring potential liability costs in the future from similar incidents, DPH Facilities Management will work with Facility Administrators of DPH-owned facilities to implement scheduled tree inspections as part of their landscaping service contracts and/or purchase orders.				
	44			
3. Are the corrective actions addressing department-wide system is	ssues?			
☐ Yes – The corrective actions address department-wide system issues.				
No – The corrective actions are only applicable to the affected parties.				
Name: (Risk Management Coordinator) Nichole Alcaraz				
Signature: Nichole Alcaraz Acaraz Date: 2020.08.10 16:16:43 -07:00*	Date: 8/10/20			
Name: (Department Head) Dr. Barbara Ferrer				
Signature:	Date:			
	08/17/20			
Chief Executive Office Risk Management Inspector General USE Of	VLY .			
Are the corrective actions applicable to other departments within the County?				
Yes, the corrective actions potentially have County-wide applicability.				
No, the corrective actions are applicable only to this departme				
Name: (Risk Management Inspector General)	The state of the s			
RobertChavez	SOL SOLETO			
Signature:	Date:			
Joseph J.	8/18/20			

INFORMATION ON PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION

CASE NAME Courtney Weis v. County of Los Angles, et al.

CASE NUMBER BC724216

COURT Los Angeles Superior Court

DATE FILED October 3, 2018

COUNTY DEPARTMENT Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AMOUNT \$ 45,000

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF Stuart Sherman, Esq.

Stuart Law Firm.

COUNTY COUNSEL ATTORNEY Leonard L. Linares

Principal Deputy County Counsel

NATURE OF CASE

This lawsuit arises from an auto versus auto

collision that occurred on September 28, 2017. A Sheriff's department vehicle failed to stop before colliding with the rear of the Paintiff's vehicle. As a result of this accident, Plaintiff sustained property damage and personal injury. Considering the risks and uncertainties of trial, a full settlement of this

case is warranted.

PAID ATTORNEY FEES, TO DATE \$ 10.026

PAID COSTS, TO DATE \$ 4,046

INFORMATION ON PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION

CASE NAME

Re: Desiree Monique Villalobos

CASE NUMBER

n/a

COURT

n/a

DATE FILED

n/a

COUNTY DEPARTMENT

Sheriff's Department

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AMOUNT

50,000

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

Justin E. Sterling, Esq.

COUNTY COUNSEL ATTORNEY

Millicent L. Rolon, Principal Deputy County Counsel

NATURE OF CASE

This is a recommendation to settle for \$50,000, a claim filed by Desiree Monique Villalobos, alleging that she was sexually assaulted by Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department Deputy Giancarlo Scotti while she was incarcerated at the Century Regional Detention Facility.

Given the risks and uncertainties of litigation, a reasonable settlement at this time will avoid further litigation costs. The full and final settlement of the case in the amount of \$50,000 is recommended.

PAID ATTORNEY FEES, TO DATE

\$ 3,624

PAID COSTS, TO DATE

\$ 1

INFORMATION ON PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION

CASE NAME

Evangelina P. et al. v. County of Los Angeles, et al.

CASE NUMBER

19STCV03826

COURT

Los Angeles Superior Court

DATE FILED

February 4, 2019

COUNTY DEPARTMENT

Sheriff's Department

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AMOUNT

\$ 600,000

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

Perez and Caballero Frank Perez, Esq.

COUNTY COUNSEL ATTORNEY

Richard Hsueh

Deputy County Counsel

NATURE OF CASE

This is a recommendation to settle for \$600,000, inclusive of attorneys' fees and costs, this State civil rights and wrongful death lawsuit filed by Plaintiffs Evangelina P., Catalina P., and Adriana P., ("Plaintiffs") by and through their guardian ad litem, Jasmine Webb, arising out of the September 2018 fatal Deputy-involved shooting of Ivan Pena.

Given the risks and uncertainties of litigation, a reasonable settlement at this time will avoid further litigation costs. The full and final settlement of the case in the amount of \$600,000 is recommended.

PAID ATTORNEY FEES, TO DATE

\$ 70.853

PAID COSTS, TO DATE

\$ 50,755

Case Name: Evangelina P.et al. v. County of Los Angeles, et al.

Summary Corrective Action Plan



The intent of this form is to assist departments in writing a corrective action plan summary for attachment to the settlement documents developed for the Board of Supervisors and/or the County of Los Angeles Claims Board. The summary should be a specific overview of the claims/lawsuits' identified root causes and corrective actions (status, time frame, and responsible party). This summary does not replace the Corrective Action Plan form. If there is a question related to confidentiality, please consult County Counsel.

Date of incident/event:	
Briefly provide a description of the incident/event:	Evangelina P. et al. v. County of Los Angeles Summary Corrective Action Plan 2020-18
	On September 24, 2018, at approximately 11:25 p.m., two uniformed deputy sheriffs assigned to East Los Angeles station, were driving their marked patrol vehicle near the intersection of De Garmo Drive and Meisner Avenue, City Terrace when they observed a silver Honda Accord parked adjacent to the north curb line facing south of 3609 Meisner Avenue.
	Note: Near the point where the vehicle occupied by the decedent was parked, De Garmo Drive turns sharply and becomes Meisner Street. The street is hilly with winding roads located in a part of the City Terrace area of Los Angeles.
	Meisner Street has multiple street lamps and security flood lights situated on the south side of the street inside an enclosed water tank utility facility. The combined lights illuminated the area, making lighting conditions very visible.
	Both deputy sheriffs observed three occupants (driver, front seat passenger, and rear seat passenger [decedent]) inside of the vehicle with all the windows of the vehicle down. Both deputy sheriffs became suspicious and curious as to their activity in the area.
	Note: The area is well known to be frequented by gang members from Juarez Mara Villa, who often tag the area with graffiti and fire gunshots into the air. According to the residents, the gang problems in this area have gotten worse. The residents had requested the sheriff's department for additional patrol checks to address the area's issues.
	The first deputy sheriff, who was driving, and the second deputy sheriff, a passenger, attempted to contact the occupants in the vehicle to further investigate any possible illegal activity. Due to the narrow streets in the area, it was not easily accessible to complete a U-turn. However, the first deputy sheriff elected to stop his patrol vehicle just past the suspect vehicle, facing the opposite direction.
	The first deputy sheriff walked around the rear of the Honda Accord to the rear passenger side of the vehicle illuminating the interior of the vehicle with his flashlight. The first deputy sheriff observed the right rear passenger door of the vehicle slightly open. As the first deputy sheriff got closer, he observed the rear passenger, (decedent), appeared to be

drunk, his eyes were glossy, and saw several beer cans in the rear seat of the vehicle.

To further investigate, the first deputy sheriff asked the decedent to exit the vehicle to conduct a drunk in public investigation. At the same time, the second deputy sheriff approached the driver's door and contacted the other two vehicle occupants (the driver and front passenger), while maintaining visual contact with both the occupants and the first deputy sheriff.

As the decedent exited the vehicle, the first deputy sheriff took control of both of the decedent's wrists behind his back in order to conduct a pat-down search for weapons. The first deputy sheriff ordered the decedent to place his hands together behind his back. The decedent did not comply with the first deputy sheriff's orders and began to shift his shoulders back and forth eventually allowing him to break free from the first deputy sheriff's grip. Due to the close quarters, the first deputy sheriff grabbed the decedent in a "bear-hug" type control hold and attempted to pin him against the vehicle in an effort to control his movements.

The second deputy sheriff heard the first deputy yell "deputy involved in a fight" and yelled to the second deputy sheriff to advise assisting units, via her portable radio. The first deputy sheriff felt the decedent reach towards his waistband. The decedent produced a handgun from his waistband and discharged one round into an unknown direction. The first deputy sheriff immediately felt the heat and recoil of the blast near his stomach area.

The first deputy sheriff, not knowing if he had been shot observed the handgun and continued to struggle violently for control of the gun. As the struggle continued, the second deputy sheriff ran to the rear of the suspect's vehicle in order to assist the first deputy sheriff. The second deputy sheriff bumped into the first deputy sheriff causing him and the decedent to fall to the ground. During the altercation, both the first deputy sheriff and the decedent struggled for possession of the handgun. At one point, the first deputy sheriff had placed his finger in between the trigger and the trigger wall in an attempt to prevent the decedent from firing the handgun.

During the struggle, the decedent pointed the handgun in the direction of the second deputy sheriff. Fearing for her life and the first deputy sheriff's safety, the second deputy sheriff fired three rounds from her duty weapon at the decedent at close range, striking the decedent three times and subsequently hit the first deputy sheriff once in the forearm.

The second deputy sheriff immediately advised, via her portable radio, she was involved in a shooting and requested medical assistance for the decedent and the first deputy sheriff. The second deputy sheriff removed a tourniquet from her gun belt and applied it to the first deputy sheriff's arm pending the arrival of medical personnel.

Additional units arrived on scene, including California Highway Patrol (CHP) who often monitors the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department's radio frequency.

A third deputy sheriff assigned to East Los Angeles station arrived on scene and saw the first deputy sheriff bleeding from his right arm. Due

A third deputy sheriff assigned to East Los Angeles station arrived on scene and saw the first deputy sheriff bleeding from his right arm. Due to the street being so narrow, the third deputy sheriff knew numerous responding units would soon block the road. The third deputy sheriff formulated a plan to transport the first deputy sheriff to LAC-USC Medical Center with the assistance of CHP.

The Los Angeles County Fire Department responded and rendered medical care to the decedent, who was subsequently pronounced dead at the scene at approximately 11:38 p.m.

The other occupants of the vehicle were detained without further incident.

A loaded Taurus 9mm semi-automatic handgun was recovered near the passenger side of the vehicle.

> Note: Toxicology results determined the decedent had a bloodalcohol level of .137% at the time of the incident.

1. Briefly describe the **root cause(s)** of the claim/lawsuit:

A Department root cause in this incident was the tactical disadvantage and positioning of the patrol vehicle during the traffic stop of the decedent.

A non-Department root cause in this incident was the decedent's failure to comply with the lawful orders of Los Angeles County deputy sheriffs. Instead of obeying the orders, the decedent assaulted the deputies, retrieved a firearm from his person, and fired it.

Briefly describe recommended corrective actions: (Include each corrective action, due date, responsible party, and any disciplinary actions if appropriate)

Criminal Investigation

The incident was investigated by the Sheriff's Department Homicide Bureau to determine if any criminal misconduct occurred. The results of their investigation were presented to representatives from the Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office.

On September 24, 2019, the Los Angeles County District's Attorney's Office, Justice System Integrity Division, concluded the second deputy sheriff acted lawfully in self-defense and in defense of others. The District Attorney's Office indicated the case would be closed and they would take no further action in this matter.

Administrative Investigation
This incident was investigated by representatives of the Sheriff's Department's Internal Affairs Bureau to determine if any administrative misconduct occurred before, during, or after this incident. The results of the investigation were presented to the Executive Force Review Committee (EFRC) for adjudication. On July 29, 2020, the EFRC determined the use of deadly force and tactics were within Department Policy.

3. Are the corrective actions addressing Department-wide system issues?

☐ Yes – The corrective actions address Department-wide system	
⋈ No – The corrective actions are only applicable to the affected p in the corrective actions are only applicable to the affected p in the corrective actions are only applicable to the affected p in the corrective actions are only applicable to the affected p in the corrective actions are only applicable to the affected p in the corrective actions are only applicable to the affected p in the corrective actions are only applicable to the affected p in the corrective actions are only applicable to the affected p in the corrective actions are only applicable to the affected p in the corrective actions are only applicable to the affected p in the corrective action action action. In the corrective action action action action action action action action. In the correction action action action action action action action action. In the correction action action action action action action action action. In the correction action action. In the correction action ac	parties.
Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department Name: (Risk Management Coordinator)	
Albert M. Maldonado, Captain Risk Management Bureau	
Signature:	Date: 10/5/20
Name: (Department Head)	Notice of the second of the se
Matthew J. Burson, Chief Professional Standards Division	
Signature: 135C28 MCHIEF	Date: 10-12-20
Chief Executive Office Risk Management Inspector General USE O	NLY
Are the corrective actions applicable to other departments within the Co Yes, the corrective actions potentially have County-wide appli No, the corrective actions are applicable only to this Department	unty? cability.
Name: (Risk Management Inspector General)	
Destiny Castro	
Signature:	Date:
Destiny Castro	10/19/2020

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES CLAIMS BOARD

MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING

NOVEMBER 16, 2020

1. Call to Order.

This meeting of the County of Los Angeles Claims Board was called to order at 9:36 a.m. The meeting was held via teleconference with all Claims Board Members participating telephonically. Claims Board Members online for the teleconference meeting were: Arlene Barrera, and Adrienne Byers. Chair Steve Robles was absent.

All other persons also appeared telephonically. Those attending the meeting were: Office of the County Counsel: Richard Hsueh, Kevin Engelien, Narbeh Bagdasarian, and Rosanne Wong; Sheriff's Department: Mark Allen, Christopher Reed, Justin Diaz, Eric Lasko, Christopher Nee, Melynie Rivers, and Kristine Corrales; Internal Services Department: Devin Dawson; Department of Health Services: Claudia Aguirre, and Arun Patel; and Outside Counsel: Justin Clark, and Raymond Fuentes.

 Opportunity for members of the public to address the Claims Board on items of interest within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Claims Board.

No members of the public were on the public teleconference phone line to address the Claims Board.

 Closed Session – Conference with Legal Counsel – Existing Litigation (Subdivision [a] of Government Code section 54956.9).

At 9:35 a.m., Arlene Barrera convened the meeting into closed session to discuss the items listed as 4(a) through 4(i).

4. Report of actions taken in Closed Session.

No members of the public were on the public teleconference phone line to hear the reportable actions of the Claims Board.

At 11:38 a.m., the Claims Board reconvened in open session via the public teleconference line and reported the actions taken in closed session as follows:

a. <u>Charlton Sanders v. County of Los Angeles, et al.</u>
United States District Court Case No. 2:15-CV-00907

This federal lawsuit alleges civil rights violations and intentional infliction of emotional distress to a pretrial detainee by Sheriff's Deputies.

Action Taken:

The Claims Board approved the settlement of this matter in the amount of \$99,950.

Vote: Ayes: 3 – Steve Robles, Arlene Barrera, and Adrienne Byers

b. <u>Ellie Tae Yeon Yoon v. County of Los Angeles, et al.</u> Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 20STCV12304

This lawsuit arises from alleged personal injuries sustained in a vehicle accident involving a Sheriff's Deputy.

Action Taken:

The Claims Board approved the settlement of this matter in the amount of \$40,000.

Vote: Ayes: 3 – Steve Robles, Arlene Barrera, and Adrienne Byers

c. <u>Steven Kunz-Bost v. County of Los Angeles, et al.</u> Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 19STCV34675

This lawsuit arises from alleged personal injuries sustained in a vehicle accident involving an employee with the Sheriff's Department.

Action Taken:

The Claims Board recommended to the Board of Supervisors the settlement of this matter in the amount of \$125,000.

Vote: Ayes: 3 - Steve Robles, Arlene Barrera, and Adrienne Byers

d. Robert Gallardo v. Wendell Enix, et al. Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC 704391

Cypress Insurance Company, administered by Berkshire Hathaway Homestate Companies v. County of Los Angeles Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 19STCV13852

This lawsuit arises from alleged personal injuries sustained in a vehicle accident involving an employee with the Internal Services Department.

Action Taken:

The Claims Board approved the settlement of this matter in the amount of \$99,000.

Vote: Ayes: 3 - Steve Robles, Arlene Barrera, and Adrienne Byers

e. <u>Anthony Tanon v. County of Los Angeles</u> Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC 649913

This lawsuit concerns allegations of medical malpractice for injuries sustained while the plaintiff was receiving care at LAC+USC Medical Center.

Action Taken:

The Claims Board recommended to the Board of Supervisors the settlement of this matter in the amount of \$250,000.

Vote: Ayes: 3 - Steve Robles, Arlene Barrera, and Adrienne Byers

5. Approval of the Minutes of the October 19, 2020, regular meeting of the Claims Board.

Action Taken:

The Claims Board approved the Minutes.

Vote: Ayes: 2 – Arlene Barrera and Adrienne Byers

Abstention: Chair Steve Robles

6. Items not on the posted agenda, to be referred to staff or placed on the agenda for action at a further meeting of the Board, or matters requiring immediate action because of emergency situation or where the need to take immediate action came to the attention of the Board subsequent to the posting of the agenda.

No such matters were discussed

7. Adjournment.

The meeting was adjourned at 11:13 a.m.

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES CLAIMS BOARD

Derek Stan