### STATEMENT OF PROCEEDINGS

# FOR THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY CLAIMS BOARD HELD VIA ONLINE CONFERENCE CALL

ON MONDAY, JULY 6, 2020, AT 9:30 A.M.

Present: Chair Steve Robles, Arlene Barrera, and Adrienne Byers.

- 1. Call to Order.
- 2. Opportunity for members of the public to address the Claims Board on items of interest within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Claims Board.

No members of the public were on the public teleconference phone line to address the Claims Board or to listen to the reportable actions of the Claims Board.

- 3. Closed Session Conference with Legal Counsel Existing Litigation (Subdivision [a] of Government Code section 54956.9).
  - a. <u>Lamont Tarkington v. County of Los Angeles, et al.</u>
    United States District Court Case No. 2:18-CV-07636-CJC-JC

This federal civil rights lawsuit alleges malicious prosecution after the California Court of Appeal reversed Plaintiff's 2007 conviction, resulting in his release from State prison.

### Action Taken:

The Claims Board recommended to the Board of Supervisors the settlement of this matter in the amount of \$1,500,000.

Vote: Ayes: 3 – Steve Robles, Arlene Barrera, and Adrienne Byers

### b. Non-Litigated Claim of Anita F. Henck and William E. Henck

This claim against the Department of Public Works alleges that Plaintiffs suffered real property damage caused by a backflow of sewage due to a mainline blockage.

### Action Taken:

The Claims Board approved the settlement of this matter in the amount of \$27,200.05.

Vote: Ayes: 3 – Steve Robles, Arlene Barrera, and Adrienne Byers

See Supporting Document

### c. Non-Litigated Claim of Edward Kaufman and Mandi Richardson

This claim against the Department of Public Works alleges that Plaintiffs suffered real property damage caused by a backflow of sewage due to a mainline blockage.

### Action Taken:

The Claims Board recommended to the Board of Supervisors the settlement of this matter in the amount of \$191,160.94.

Vote: Ayes: 3 – Steve Robles, Arlene Barrera, and Adrienne Byers

### See Supporting Document

### d. <u>Tereza Macias, et al. v. County of Los Angeles, et al.</u> Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 20STCV09648

This lawsuit arises from alleged injuries sustained in a vehicle accident involving an employee of the Department of Public Works.

### **Action Taken:**

The Claims Board approved the settlement of this matter in the amount of \$30,000.

Vote: Ayes: 3 – Steve Robles, Arlene Barrera, and Adrienne Byers

### See Supporting Document

# e. Nadyne Perez v. County of Los Angeles, et al. United States District Court Case No. 19-CV-10543

This lawsuit concerns allegations of sexual assault by a Sheriff's Department Custody Assistant.

### Action Taken:

The Claims Board recommended to the Board of Supervisors the settlement of this matter in the amount of \$725,000.

Vote: Ayes: 3 – Steve Robles, Arlene Barrera, and Adrienne Byers

# f. Calvin Newburn v. Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors, et al. United States District Court Case No. 2:18-CV-09692

This lawsuit against the Sheriff's Department alleges excessive force, and unreasonable search and seizure.

### Action Taken:

The Claims Board authorized a statutory offer. The substance of the settlement will be disclosed upon inquiry if the offer is accepted.

Vote: Ayes: 3 – Steve Robles, Arlene Barrera, and Adrienne Byers

See Supporting Document

# g. <u>George Vasquez v. Ronald Brown, et al.</u> United States District Court Case No. CV 18-07996 R (AFMx)

This civil rights lawsuit against the Office of the Public Defender alleges that Plaintiff's constitutional rights were violated as a result of his approximate 17-year pre-trial detention as a civil detainee.

### Action Taken:

The Claims Board recommended to the Board of Supervisors the settlement of this matter in the amount of \$4,500,000.

Vote: Ayes: 3 – Steve Robles, Arlene Barrera, and Adrienne Byers

### See Supporting Documents

### h. <u>Hector Almazan v. County of Los Angeles</u> Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 19STCV140043

This lawsuit alleges that an employee of the District Attorney's Office was subjected to discrimination, harassment, retaliation, and failure to accommodate.

### Action Taken:

The Claims Board approved the settlement of this matter in the amount of \$35,000.

Vote: Ayes: 3 – Steve Robles, Arlene Barrera, and Adrienne Byers

### i. <u>Claim of Dana Hubert</u> County Equity Investigations Unit Complaint No. CEIU 2018 12604

This claim alleges that an employee of the Department of Children and Family Services was subjected to disability discrimination.

### Action Taken:

The Claims Board approved the settlement of this matter in the amount of \$60,000.

Vote: Ayes: 3 – Steve Robles, Arlene Barrera, and Adrienne Byers

### j. <u>Sai-Hung "Josh" Hui v. County of Los Angeles, et al.</u> Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC 651111

This lawsuit alleges that an employee of the Department of Health Services was subjected to racial discrimination, harassment, defamation, and whistleblower retaliation.

### Action Taken:

The Claims Board approved the settlement of this matter in the amount of \$40,000.

Vote: Ayes: 3 – Steve Robles, Arlene Barrera, and Adrienne Byers

HOA 102922867 1 3

4. Report of actions taken in Closed Session.

The Claims Board reconvened in open session and reported the actions taken in Closed Session as indicated under Agenda Item No. 3 above.

5. Approval of the Minutes of the June 1, 2020, regular meeting of the Claims Board.

### Action Taken:

The Claims Board approved the Minutes.

Vote: Ayes: 3 – Steve Robles, Arlene Barrera, and Adrienne Byers

See Supporting Document

6. Items not on the posted agenda, to be referred to staff or placed on the agenda for action at a further meeting of the Board, or matters requiring immediate action because of emergency situation or where the need to take immediate action came to the attention of the Board subsequent to the posting of the agenda.

4

No such matters were discussed.

7. Adjournment.

HOA.102922867.1

## INFORMATION ON PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION

CASE NAME

Non-Litigated Claim of Anita F. Henck and William E. Henck, trustees for the "William Edward Henck Jr.

and Anita F. Henck Family Trust"

CASE NUMBER

N/A

COURT

N/A

DATE FILED

April 8, 2019

**COUNTY DEPARTMENT** 

Department of Public Works

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AMOUNT

\$ 27,200.05

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

N/A

COUNTY COUNSEL ATTORNEY

Joseph A. Langton

Principal Deputy County Counsel

NATURE OF CASE

This claim arises from a blocked sewer mainline that caused sewage backflow into Claimant's residence and damaged real property. Due to the risks and uncertainties of litigation, a full settlement of the claim is warranted.

PAID ATTORNEY FEES, TO DATE

\$ N/A

PAID COSTS, TO DATE

\$ N/A

### INFORMATION ON PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION

CASE NAME

Non-Litigated Claim of Edward Kaufman and Mandi

Richardson

CASE NUMBER

N/A

COURT

N/A

DATE FILED

May 22, 2019

**COUNTY DEPARTMENT** 

Department of Public Works

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AMOUNT

\$ \$191,160.94

(sum includes prior payment of \$78,190.17)

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

N/A

COUNTY COUNSEL ATTORNEY

Lindsay Yoshiyama Deputy County Counsel

NATURE OF CASE

This claim arises from a blocked sewer mainline that caused a sewage backflow into Claimants' residence and damaged their real property. Due to

the risks and uncertainties of litigation, a full

settlement of the claim is warranted.

PAID ATTORNEY FEES, TO DATE

\$ 4,446.00

PAID COSTS, TO DATE

\$ 78,190.17

### INFORMATION ON PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION

CASE NAME

Tereza Macias, et al. v. County of

Los Angeles, et al.

CASE NUMBER

19STCV09998

COURT

Los Angeles Superior Court

DATE FILED

May 30, 2019

**COUNTY DEPARTMENT** 

Department Of Public Works

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AMOUNT

\$ 30,000

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

Denis Brue, Esq.

**COUNTY COUNSEL ATTORNEY** 

Patrick Stockalper, Esq.

Kevin Engelien, Esq. Deputy County Counsel

NATURE OF CASE

This lawsuit arises from a three vehicle collision that occurred on January 3, 2018, when an

employee of the County of Los Angeles Department of Public Works made a left turn while failing to yield

to oncoming traffic. Due to the risks and

uncertainties of litigation, a full and final settlement

of the case is warranted.

PAID ATTORNEY FEES, TO DATE

\$ 6,531

PAID COSTS, TO DATE

\$ 1.888

## INFORMATION ON PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION

**CASE NAME** 

Calvin Newburn v. Los Angeles County Board of

Supervisors, et al.

**CASE NUMBER** 

2:18-CV-09692

COURT

**DATE FILED** 

November 16, 2018

COUNTY DEPARTMENT

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AMOUNT

\$ 90,000

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

In Pro Per

**COUNTY COUNSEL ATTORNEY** 

Alexandra B. Zuiderweg, Senior Deputy County

Counsel

NATURE OF CASE

This is a recommendation to make a statutory offer of judgment pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure Rule 68 in the amount of \$90,000, inclusive of attorneys' fees and costs, to Plaintiff Calvin Newburn. Mr. Newburn filed a lawsuit against Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department Deputies alleging excessive force, and unreasonable search and seizure.

Due to the high risks and uncertainties of litigation, a reasonable settlement at this time will avoid further litigation costs. The full and final settlement of the case in the amount of \$90,000 is recommended.

PAID ATTORNEY FEES, TO DATE

\$ 24,740

PAID COSTS, TO DATE

\$ 3,153

### INFORMATION ON PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION

CASE NAME

George Vasquez vs. Brown, Ronald, et al.

CASE NUMBER

CV 18-7996 R (AFMx)

COURT

United States District Court

DATE FILED

May 3, 2019

COUNTY DEPARTMENT

Office of the Public Defender

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AMOUNT

\$ 4,500,000

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

Arnoldo Casillas, Esq.

Casillas & Associates

COUNTY COUNSEL ATTORNEY

Jonathan McCaverty

Principal Deputy County Counsel

NATURE OF CASE

This is a recommendation to settle for \$4,500,000, an Office of the Public Defender ("Public Defender") civil rights lawsuit filed by former Public Defender client, Plaintiff George Vasquez, who claims his constitutional rights were violated arising out of his approximately 17-year pre-trial detention as a civil detainee pursuant to the Sexual Violent Predator Act.

Given the risks and uncertainties of litigation, a reasonable settlement at this time will avoid further litigation costs; therefore, a full and final settlement of the case is warranted.

PAID ATTORNEY FEES, TO DATE

75,952

PAID COSTS, TO DATE

\$ 654

Case George Vasquez v. County of Los Angeles Name:

### **Summary Corrective Action Plan**



The intent of this form is to assist departments in writing a corrective action plan summary for attachment to the settlement documents developed for the Board of Supervisors and/or the County of Los Angeles Claims Board. The summary should be a specific overview of the claims/lawsuits' identified root causes and corrective actions (status, time frame, and responsible party). This summary does not replace the Corrective Action Plan form. If there is a question related to confidentiality, please consult County Counsel.

Date of incident/event:	2003 to 2018
Briefly provide a description of the incident/event:	This matter arises out of a federal civil rights complaint filed Sept. 14, 2018 naming, former Public Defender Ronald Brown, former Chief Deputy Public Defender Kelly Emling, Public Defender Division Chief Michael Suzuki, Chief Deputy Jenny Brown, Assistant Public Defender Ruben Marquez, former Deputy Public Defender Daniel Kuperberg, Supervisor Ridley-Thomas, the Office of the Los Angeles County Public Defender and the County as defendants. Vasquez alleges that he was jailed as a civil detainee for 17 years awaiting trial to deem him a Sexually Violent Predator ("SVP"). In violation of his constitutional rights, he was never brought to trial. A Los Angeles Superior Court judge removed the Public Defender and appointed the same attorney in the Zavala matter and then dismissed the SVP petition finding that his 17 year pre-trial detention was presumptively prejudicial violating Vasquez' due process rights.

1. Briefly describe the root cause(s) of the claim/lawsuit:

Staffing reductions in the special unit resulted in continuances by attorneys who believed they had insufficient resources to take the cases to trial; failure to obtain clear time waivers from clients who preferred to remain at the state hospital during court appearances.

Document version: 4.0 (January 2013)

	THE COLUMN TWO IS NOT		THE PARTY OF THE P	
Briefly describe recommended corrective actions: (Include each corrective action, due date, responsible party, and any disciplinary actions if appropriate)				
manageable and that ad	dequate support reso	urces are provided; re	Civil Commitment Units a equire either a form writte sen by the court on the re	en
				,
		•	•	
		department-wide sys		
☑ No – The correct  Name: (Risk Management C	tive actions are only a	•		
☑ No – The correct  Name; (Risk Management Clon Trochez	tive actions are only a	•		ð
No – The correct  Name: (Risk Management C  Jon Trochez  Signature:  Name: (Department Flead)	tive actions are only a	•	eted parties.	7
No – The correct  Name: (Risk Management C  Jon Trochez  Signature:  Name: (Department Flead)  Ricardo Garcia	tive actions are only a	•	eted parties.	2
Name: (Risk Management C Jon Trochez Bignature: Name: (Department Head) Ricardo Garcia	tive actions are only a	•	Date: 3-5-2	D
Name: (Risk Management Clon Trochez  Signature:  Name: (Department Head) Ricardo Garcia	tive actions are only a	•	Date:	7
No - The correct  Name: (Risk Management Clon Trochez  Signature:  Name: (Department Head)  Ricardo Garcia	coordinator)	applicable to the affect	Date: 3-5-2 Date: 3-3-2000	7
Name: (Risk Management Clon Trochez  Signature: Name: (Department Head) Ricardo Garcia  Signature: Chief Executive Office	coordinator)  Risk Management In	applicable to the affect	Date: 3-5-2 Date: 3-3-2000	2
No - The correct  Name: (Risk Management C  Jon Trochez  Signature:  Name: (Department read)  Ricardo Garcia  Signature:  Chief Executive Office  Are the corrective actions	Risk Management In sapplicable to other	applicable to the affect	Date: 3-5-2  Date: 3-3-2000  SE ONLY  e County?	7
No - The correct  Name: (Risk Management C  Jon Trochez  Signature:  Name: (Department lead)  Ricardo Garcia  Signature:  Chief Executive Office  Are the corrective actions  Yes, the corrective	Risk Management In applicable to other active actions potentia	nspector General US	Date: 3-3-2000  BE ONLY  e County?  applicability.	2

### County of Los Angeles Summary Corrective Action Plan

Name: (Risk Management Inspector Genera	
Signature:	Date:

### COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES CLAIMS BOARD

#### MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING

**JUNE 1, 2020** 

### 1. Call to Order.

This meeting of the County of Los Angeles Claims Board was called to order at 9:32 a.m. The meeting was held via teleconference with all Claims Board Members participating telephonically. Claims Board Members online for the teleconference meeting were: Chair Steve Robles, Arlene Barrera, and Adrienne Byers.

All other persons also appeared telephonically. Those attending the meeting were: Office of the County Counsel: Chris Keosian, Amie Park, Millicent Rolon, Jenny Tam, Nicole Davis Tinkham, Rosanne Wong, Camille Townsend Granville, and Stacey Lee; Sheriff's Department: Mark Allen, Elizabeth Quesada, Kristine Corrales, Kevin Pearcy, Carlos Marquez, and Mike Burse; Fire Department: Anthony Marrone; Office of the Auditor-Controller: Patricia Navarro; and Outside Counsel: Elizabeth Bright.

2. Opportunity for members of the public to address the Claims Board on items of interest within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Claims Board.

No members of the public were on the public teleconference line to address the Claims Board or to listen to the reportable actions of the Claims Board.

3. Closed Session – Conference with Legal Counsel – Existing Litigation (Subdivision [a] of Government Code section 54956.9).

At 9:38 a.m., the Chair convened the meeting into Closed Session to discuss the items listed as 4(a) through 4(i).

4. Report of actions taken in Closed Session.

At 10:56 a.m., the Claims Board reconvened in open session via public teleconference line and reported the actions taken in Closed Session as follows:

a. <u>Andre McClelland v. County of Los Angeles, et al.</u>
United States District Court Case No. 2:19-CV-6755-SVW

This federal lawsuit alleges that Plaintiff's civil rights were violated while he was incarcerated at Men's Central Jail.

### Action Taken:

The Claims Board recommended to the Board of Supervisors the settlement of this matter in the amount of \$450,000.

Vote: Aves: 3 – Steve Robles, Arlene Barrera, and Adrienne Byers

#### Jane Doe, a minor v. County of Los Angeles, et al. b. Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 19STCV29320

This lawsuit alleges that a minor was sexually assaulted by a Detective of the Los Angeles Sheriff's Department.

### Action Taken:

The Claims Board recommended to the Board of Supervisors the settlement of this matter in the amount of \$5,500,000.

Ayes: 3 – Steve Robles, Arlene Barrera, and Adrienne Byers

#### Claim of Cynthia Maluto C.

This claim by a former employee of the Fire Department alleges gender discrimination, harassment, failure to accommodate, and retaliation.

### Action Taken:

The Claims Board approved the settlement of this matter in the amount of \$99,999.

Ayes: 3 – Steve Robles, Arlene Barrera, and Adrienne Byers Vote:

#### Ruchika Bharadwaj v. County of Los Angeles, et al. d. Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC 650281

This lawsuit alleges that an employee of the Auditor-Controller was subjected to disability discrimination, failure to accommodate and retaliation.

### Action Taken:

The Claims Board recommended to the Board of Supervisors the settlement of this matter in the amount of \$325,000.

Vote:

Ayes:

2 – Steve Robles, and Adrienne Byers

Recusal:

1 - Arlene Barrera

#### Approval of the Minutes of the May 18, 2020, regular meeting of the Claims Board. 5.

### Action Taken:

The Claims Board approved the Minutes.

Vote: Aves: 3 – Steve Robles, Arlene Barrera, and Adrienne Byers

6. Items not on the posted agenda, to be referred to staff or placed on the agenda for action at a further meeting of the Board, or matters requiring immediate action because of emergency situation or where the need to take immediate action came to the attention of the Board subsequent to the posting of the agenda.

No such matters were discussed.

7. Adjournment.

The meeting was adjourned at 10:58 a.m.

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES CLAIMS BOARD

Danalis