## CFCI ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING 2/17/2022 CAPTIONED BY TOTAL RECALL, www.yourcaptioner.com

## PART 1

## 4:00PM

VERONICA LEWIS: Good afternoon. Welcome to the community investment advisory committee. The meeting is called to order at 4:02pm.

MYLES MESHACK: One second. Good afternoon. I will read the meeting disclosures. This is being recorded for minutes. You consent to being recorded by remaining here. This is a public meeting. Since conversations on the chat can't be seen by people on the phone and not here, it will be limited to technical assistance.

If members of the public would like to provide public comment, you may. Cart services are available. Click on the stream text link provided in the chat or the closed captioning. When accessing Zoom through a computer or smart phone, scroll to reactions.

At the bottom, scroll to more. There should be three buttons. You should see a drop-down menu. On loin difficulties, telephone information will be provided in the chat. For those on the phone, press star nine to raise your hand. For Spanish, click the globe icon. Written public comments should be

submitted. To be reviewed, it should be submitted by 5:00 PM the day before.

They'll be shared with the committee members and will be reflected in the minutes. Comments after 5:00 PM the day before will be made part of the public record for the meeting. Members may not have the chance to review them prior to acting on an item. I have seen some members join. If you haven't been made a cohost, please raise your hand. This ends the reading. Back to you.

VERONICA LEWIS: Thank you. Please call the roll.

>>: Member Andrews. Or his alternate. Armstead.

>>: Vincent here.

>>: Carbajal?

>>: Present.

>>: Castillo.

>>: Present.

>>: Member Cronk. Cyrus?

>>: Present.

>>: Earley.

>>: Present.

>>: Garcia.

>>: Present.

>>: Hernandez.

>>: Present.

>>: Jimenez. Chair Veronica Lewis.

VERONICA LEWIS: Present.

>>: Member Nishiyama.

>>: Present.

>>: O'Brien? Lobianco. Schoonover.

>>: Present.

>>: Scorza.

>>: Present.

>>: Sharon.

>>: Present.

>>: Soto.

>>: Jose for Soto.

>>: Steele.

>>: Present.

>>: Verrett.

>>: Present.

>>: Member Williams.

>>: Here. We have 14 members and five alternates present.

VERONICA LEWIS: Thank you. We want to recognize those whose lands we reside on. The original caretakers [Reading]. Now, we will go to our quick review of the community agreement being reviewed by one of our vice chairs.

>>: Thank you. Number one, be respect full of the diverse voices. Be mindful of power dynamics. Be mindful of the diverse audience you are representing. Speak with clarify. Assume best intentions. Challenge the idea. Remember why we are here. Other

communities that have been impacted by the carceral system. Be intentional about allowing space for additional voices to be uplifted. Let equity lead the way. Allow community members to finish their sentence during public comment. Begin meetings with the land acknowledgment.

VERONICA LEWIS: Moving onto Item 3. The meeting minutes from our February 3, 2022, meeting. Any corrections or edits?

>>: I will make a motion to approve.

VERONICA LEWIS: Thank you.

>>: I second.

VERONICA LEWIS: Any suggestions, edits or comments about the meeting members? We will take public comment on only Item 3 at this time.

MYLES MESHACK: This commences the time for Item 3. Please use the raise your hand feature. Please state your full name for the minutes before beginning public comment. I will lower your hang when completed. Press star nine to raise your hand. We will call out the last digits of your phone number when it is your turn. Does anyone want to make a comment on the minutes? Any comments, please raise your hand. Back to you.

VERONICA LEWIS: Thank you. One last opportunity for members to make comments about the minutes before we take the vote.

>>: Starting with member Andrews. How do you vote? Are you there? Member Carbajal?

>>: Aye.

- >>: Castillo.
- >>: Aye.
- >>: Cronk.
- >:: Aye.
- >> Franklin.
- >>: Aye.
- >>: Ferrer.
- >>: Aye.
- >>: Garcia.
- >>: Aye.
- >>: Galley.
- >>: Abstain.
- >>: Hernandez.
- >>: Abstain.
- >>: Veronica Lewis.

VERONICA LEWIS: Aye.

- >>: Schoonover.
- >>: Aye.
- >>: Kibby.
- >>: Aye.
- >>: Jose Ruiz.
- >>: Aye.
- >>: Steele.
- >>: Aye.
- >>: Smith.

>>: Abstain.

>>: Williams.

>>: Abstention.

>>: The motion passes with 16 yes and four abstentions.

VERONICA LEWIS: Thank you. Today we will focus our time to end no later than seven. We will focus on a conversation about receiving specific recommendation ideas for the year two spending plan. Before we jump in, we will have a brief update from our ATI team about the solicitations for the community engagement consultant.

We can have a fully engaged conversation. We will make an important decision today. Number four. You have the floor.

>>: Here to provide a rebate. We have gotten into discussions with a third-party administrator with the goal of having a contract executed by the end of the month. We are looking forward to having someone on board by the end of the month.

Community engagement solicitation, we have identified a consultant. The name of the organization is street level strategy. We are look forward to bringing them to the meeting to introduce them publicly. I asked for a short description.

Grass root mobilization, community organizing and digital organizing. They specialize in engaged grass root coalitions to shape community and policy outcomes from clients at the local, state, and national levels.

Reflect the diversity of the communities in which they worked. Street level strategy is based this Los Angeles with experience in a dozen states. We have a consultant. We look forward to bringing them on.

>>: Thank you. Can you give us a similar breakdown of the TPA company that you gave for the community engagement one?

MYLES MESHACK: I cannot. We can't get into that until the negotiations are complete.

>>: We won't know who the company is that is responsible for the administrator until you sign the contract? We have to input on that?

MYLES MESHACK: I believe county council can speak on that. Are you available?

>>: I am here. When the county is in contract negotiations, they have to be in a fair bidding process. Only the organization and counties are involved. To ensure the process, the county doesn't release points until it is rewarded.

I can't really go into more detail beyond stating what the law is on that piece.

>>: I just want to add that I hope this organization reflects what we have been trying to push for. A company with experience in getting money to community. I have heard things about companies that could be up. Some don't have a base here in LA. They are in other states with an office here.

Perhaps have a track record of working with departments that have not been able to get money to community. I understand the importance of a fair and integrity negotiations but also that it is fair to us here.

VERONICA LEWIS: If you are joining us, we are having member discussion only at this time.

>>: Thank you. I hope we are not moving in a direction of east coast groups with offices in places like Pasadena. Quick question, considering this process was driven by community, how are they involved in the selection process? How are they weighed in the selection? Who from your team is on that committee?

MYLES MESHACK: Sorry. I am not sure I understand the question. Can you repeat it? How is community CBOs being used in the process? We take into account the guiding principles for a third-party administrator. I can't discuss who is part of the review team. They will be able to do the job.

>>: How is community included in that process?

MYLES MESHACK: We have listened to the concerns of the community. I believe the solicitation is still online. You can see what the factors were. Our push was driven by the principles and concerns. They will do the best.

>>: My question is about the community engagement consultant street level strategy. Just to get a sense. You said

the members of the team. Images and representation don't tell a story. What can we know from their work around those priorities?

>>: I think that is a question better answered by them.

They are scheduled to appear at the next meeting. They are

prepared to answer any questions. Their experience. Their

history. Their location. I don't think we are in the best

position to answer all the questions.

We ask them for a statement to give you information. They are in the best position to answer any questions they may have about their abilities.

>>: I am asking more about the selection process and alignment with our values and commitments. How is that factored into their selection?

>>: We have taken all the information we received from the measure J committee and this body and factored it in. They are public documents. This body can review those to determine if we did a good job factoring those in. The things you find most important. The things that should be considered in selecting those organizations. I think we did a good job of factoring in everything.

The importance of equity, diverse staff, an ability to focus on LGBTQ populations, they understood the importance of the trans community. I think that is reflected in the documents. We would encourage you all to take a look.

>>: Based on the work of the community, it is important for us that they are aligned with those priorities. I will leave it at that.

VERONICA LEWIS: Meagan.

>>: Thanks. Some of the things coming up is concern that these people have been picked. This is another indication that this body was left out of that conversation. We are hearing back. We have critical questions. We are not being met with detailed answers. For negotiations. We should of been a part. I want to express how this body is overseeing this process as opposed to hearing back as to what is going on.

VERONICA LEWIS: Thank you.

>>: They noted the limitations I think we have tried to do everything we could to make sure the spirit was incorporated to all the conversations we had with everyone interested in both solicitations. That was a much as we could do. We are open to other ideas. Ways to incorporate the beliefs. Given the legal constraints around our solicitation process.

>>: Thank you. Someone mentioned integrity. If we are talking about contracting processes, I understand most cannot be part of the contracting discussions based on limitations. I am naming the selection process. None of us were able to be a part of it.

>>: That is part of the process. That is not a part of the process we were able to include this body in. This body had a

chance to see the things we would be asking the body to do.

There is a copy of the contract that outlines clearly the work
this organization would be expected to do.

I think it gives some indication of the level of expertise they would have. The type of background they would have to have. It should give indication of the spirit of this body. I think we didn't everything we could to make sure it goes well through this process.

VERONICA LEWIS: The one thing I want to say is, and I forget the name of the group, I think that as a reminder, I hope you took time to look at the year, I think that one of the recommendations that could come out of this body is a true way to ensure that there is some community involvement in the selection process. I want to lift that up again. Everyone share your thoughts. In terms of how we move forward and try to mitigate this from happening again, I want to lift up that we have that opportunity.

Okay. Sherri.

>>: My question is for these two contracts awarded on behalf of LA county. Do they go to the board for review and approval? If yes, is there an opportunity to hear and have input. My camera has a ghost.

VERONICA LEWIS: You are keeping us awake.

>>: That was my question. Are contracts given to the board the final step?

>>: These are not. They have delegated authority.

>>: Thank you.

VERONICA LEWIS: Reba.

>>: Happy black history month to everyone. I am confused. I think it is important to highlight that the county, the way it operates doesn't seem very community friendly. Is there a protocol where we reject your selection if it doesn't fit with us and community? The commitment of this work as well as the community.

While we know the county operates in a manner we are all discovering, it is important that we are all able to work together. What happens if it is not working for the betterment of the work and the community at large? I will wait for the response.

>>: We do have the authority to terminate the contract. It is our expectation that this consultant will be engaging consistently with this body.

While they are hired and the contract is signed, we all want, you are there to assist this body with going into the community and understanding in such a faction that this body has an opportunity to make spending recommendations.

We have been clear on that. If they were unable to do that, there are language in the contract that lets us address that.

With we are giving them a level of grace and a belief they can do the job they were picked to do. I think that would be -- I

understand wanting questions answered. I think they will be able to do the job.

I look forward to them talking to you and explaining what their experience looks like.

>>: Thank you.

VERONICA LEWIS: Thank you. I appreciate the fact that this new contact was edited to incorporate the feedback. I feel like we will need to do more labor if they don't meet the values and expectations. A lot is who they are. The TPA is one of the most important pieces of this work. This third-party administration is what gets us to get dollars to organizations.

The staff matters and the community who is implementing it. VERONICA LEWIS: Yes. Thank you.

>>: To go back about being open to ways to make the process better, I would wonder if it was possible, you don't want to put the proposals out there. There is a way to get top three to take a look at and provide some scoring on some tenants we have to help add to the process so there was a way to waeigh in. It is not necessarily messing with the integrity of negotiations.

Giving us a chance to weigh in on who is being put forth as a possibility. You are putting on public record some set of information on these organizations. There are probably some legal things that come with it.

Trying to be solution oriented. Most people are feeling about how the process exists. It is a suggestion we are bringing

forth for these dollars because of this body and how we operate she is right. It makes more work for us. The engagement selected last year is why many of us are at the table now.

They may have been good on paper. When it became good to implementing the process, there was a lot lacking if there are ways to engage a head of time, I think it may make the process better in the future.

>>: I think there is a chance for this body to play a role in the types of categories and parts of the solicitation or things you would like them to be judged on. We can incorporate those. We can weight those things they rise to the top in terms of most important to you.

Location of headquarters. Those are the things we can incorporate into future solicitations. They are determining factors in terms of who gets picked. We are open and welcome the chance to work with you all in crafting the document.

VERONICA LEWIS: I think the other piece is the timeline.

Not being so rushed. It doesn't coincide with our meeting. We will need to look at the entire process and make sure there is consideration to have a meaningful input into those things. The timeline is part of the reason we may be here. I am hoping we can step back to do what you described.

>>: Thank you. I think what we are hearing isn't so much necessarily a lack of faith in the outcome. It is a feeling of lack or participation in the process. I think he outlines some

comments. I think there is more opportunity for participation than weighing in on the criteria. There is no reason it can't be structured in a way to actively participate in reviewing the proposals or interviewing the candidates for the positions directly.

We could go through a process to elect certain members to participate and fill those roles. There is no reason why they can't participate in that process that wouldn't tilt negotiations themselves as long as people are selected themselves to participate in the full process.

VERONICA LEWIS: Great point.

>>: We are open to having conversations with the advisory body with what makes sense. We will follow county council in terms of anything we do. We have no choice. They are our council they let us know when we move beyond the bonds. Working with the body to create a process that works best.

VERONICA LEWIS: Thanks.

>>: I think we have seen dollars get out. Boots on the ground. Even this own committee has been prioritized in the funding this body should have the chance that if we don't like the engagement, we should be able to vote on it. We will take your recommendations. If we don't like the process, we can take it. Power doesn't sit with ATI. We could vote to implement that. Why not the county?

I love what the system said before about the recommendation. I strongly suggest we fallow up with that. We have power here. It doesn't sit well if your TPA is selected and we reject it.

>>: I want to echo what you shared. We have been mentioned that we should be having conversations around slowing the process down and thinking through what that's like for us. I propose a question. When should that happen? How do we actualize it so we do what Joey is saying?

VERONICA LEWIS: Great question. There are certain things my role is to move us forward. I think we can agendize the conversation. I am sure it will a lively discussion. I think we could do that within the next month or so. There will be opportunities later.

>>: My question will build off. As a body, are we con stained to the LA county budget timeline? I have seen other moments where the county has moved money into an account for future projects. When things come up, they fund them. I ask. If we are constrained to the budget, why are we? Why can't money be moved to an account?

It is a county initiative.

>>: This body is not constrained. The first year the goal was to line it up with the budget cycle. We don't feel pressed to those. You don't have to follow the budget cycle. They can move funds with other mechanisms.

VERONICA LEWIS: We are having that conversation about

Item 6. There are several moving parts. There are people who

wrote an opt-ED about it. We have to find ways to make that more

meaningful. We have a long way to go.

There are opportunities and concepts that have been fully planned out. You can weigh in about our projected timeline. Item after next. I will open up for public comment we are not taking any action on this item. Let us take public comments.

We can get into other lengthy discussions.

MYLES MESHACK: This commences public comment. Dial star nine to raise your hand. Star six to unmute. State your name for the record. I will begin now. We have a hand up that says iPhone. Is there a hand raised?

>>: This is TK. I want to agree with what was said we need to know who the contracts are going to. It is a great idea to agree with her to have county money. If they can have a separate account, that would be essential. I understand the process.

There is a lot of people in need. Holding things up. The people that are most impacted need to be involved in this process I feel like through some of it, some of these people are being left out of the conversations.

One, I agree. We need to know who they are going to prior. Thank you.

MYLES MESHACK: Claudia.

>>: Hi, everyone. I am with community health councils. I want to reiterate. The concern that many members have expressed regarding the lack of transparency about the process of selected a TPA. The implementation was meant to reimagine the distribution in a process that addresses barriers. It is frustrating to see nothing has changed. We encourage the office to submit to an implementation process that prioritizes collaboration to ensure the funding is able to reach small community-based organizations. Thank you.

MYLES MESHACK: Bambi.

>>: Good afternoon. I am with reimagine LA. It is hard to comprehend the mess the county people have created. I don't know why it needed to be reinstated. I don't know why you have shelling yourselves when you are not being integral. Using council to cover your bull shit is crazy. You are just messy.

MYLES MESHACK: Up next is Jason.

>>: I want to say thank you for the work you do every day.

To uplift the concerns regarding transparency, I hope you keep asking the tough questions. Especially in the meetings with the consultants. We need your voices. These questions answered. I appreciate that they are being asked. We were wondering we would have a voice in a measure we voted for.

MYLES MESHACK: Next is Gabriella.

>>: I want to uplift every person in this body that is questions the process. I have the same sorts of questions. Why

isn't this something that is public facing? Many of us were part of the process for months and months to build out recommendations. Not a single dollar has been distributed. I am proud of them for writing such a beautiful piece that takes to the problems at the county and where we see failure from there.

I want to ask you to consider working with us MYLES MESHACK: We have a phone number ending in 322.

>>: I would like to uplift the need for transparency. Thank you for continuing to ask these questions. The more glaring issue here is the transparency.

MYLES MESHACK: Okay. Next is Sophia.

>>: I am a member of the reimagine LA coalition. I want to lift up the comments of the committee members who are questioning the process. I appreciate dr. Galley uplifting that it is possible for members to participate in the contracting process.

That question or whether or not we could be a part of it and were told due to county policy, that is not possible. It feels like the county relies on policies to deny community engagement. I hope that changes. Thank you.

MYLES MESHACK: Any more hands for public comment? Back to you.

VERONICA LEWIS: Thank you to everyone for sharing your concerns. I do think we will need to form a subcommittee. I will need to tee that out to see if it will work on some of these

issues. Figuring out how to continue to push for this paradigm shift. I need to check with the team. I think that is the next step for us. We need to have some in-depth conversations. That is what is coming next. They will be here on March three.

Your priorities for them. We will go over the framework that I share with you a few months ago. I would encourage you to ask the hard questions. Share what you expect and would want to see. They are the extension of what this body wants to see.

>>: I wanted to add on every level we are looking at this. There is involvement.

VERONICA LEWIS: We will move onto Item 5. Looking at one facet of our effort to try to engage all the stakeholders and provide an opportunity to weigh in. I want to frame this again if you were not here. The community engagement consultant has been identified. We have had some conversation about the types of strategies and different purposes.

Information so they can get involved. Other parts are just hearing from people impacted to make sure we understand their experiences. There are some people that have project and program ideas as well that they would like to offer up. There is a broad community engagement plan we are still pending some additional resources. This conversation is one piece of a much broader stretch of how we hear from people in addition to public comment.

Last meeting we shared that we were trying to figure out the best way to collect these recommendations from people. In year one, it was not an equitable process. It looked different. We wanted to ensure that we did away with that. What you are about to see is a draft form of how people feel about projects. We will present that information. We are not about funding proposals. These are about concepts in our spending plan.

We are looking at one piece of the bigger puzzle. I wanted to say that to make it clear. We will walk you through it. As I shared, we are planning to have this be a web-based survey. This is a simple interface. It lets the team members to more simply filter, sort, and summarize it.

We will walk through the proposal. Let us walk through the draft first. Before we jump into this, are there comments about the things I shared? Someone nod. Take us through. This is available in a link in the agenda on the ATI website. Let us go through it.

>>: I will scroll through. I will start with a preview of the first page this is the start of that survey with the title and some background information. I will flag here that part of this text references the criteria we will be talking about. This is a screen shot of what the survey could look like.

If someone was looking at the survey when it is live, you can see they could press next. It would give them a progress bar. It is a platform that creates ease. The next page is

page 2. It'll be a simple section with general information. A person would click next and go to page. Some additional background information.

The person would identify which intercepts. They could select more than one this project aligns with. They could select all of them they could select the one that is relevant to them and their concept. Next is a question about the CFCI focus areas.

Those are listed. This says please select all they apply.

That is a typo. If someone selected restorative justice. Another question would pop up, please let us know what applies. These are draft lists of subcategories for each. The same idea.

If someone were to select youth development, they should see this pop up. There are examples here. We can read through here. It is for all the focus areas. Someone would click next and be taken to Geography and impact. Asking about service planning area.

This is an ability to link out to more information to help people. Show a map or help us identify which is relevant to them. A follow up asking to identify specific communities within these areas. The next question here asks people to click through this link.

Look at the justice equity needs index. Indicate if it will serve one of the zip codes. If yes, this question will pop up.

Please share which zip codes the project serves in that list.

The last asks for a general equity and impact statement.

It asks how it will improve the lives of residents of Los Angeles County. You would click next and go to page 4. Starting with the need or needs. Moving to a general description of the project including the activities and elements. Any considerations that should guide how it is implemented.

Then a question about goals and measurable objectives. A question here that asks whether the recommendation is to expand an existing project, adapt, or start something new. Some conditional logic. If someone says this is an expansion, they would see this question. Please describe the strategy that you are recommending. It would ask for outcomes and evaluations.

Next is a question about populations. This is a draft list.

Another recommendation about asking people whether the project includes culture centered projects. It lets people select yes, no, or unsure. If they select yes, they have asked to describe the practices. If not, they are asked to describe how the project would address the need to be culturally relevant to the populations it serves. This is the last section. The percentage of one-time startup costs. Ongoing personnel costs.

There is a button to upload a simple budget. Then it asks people to share the recommended graft administrator. Someone would click submit.

VERONICA LEWIS: Thank you. We will take broad comments about this. In general. There are specific questions raise your hand.

>>: A lot of work went into thinking about this survey tool. I think there are pieces that felt bureaucratic. I would urge that we minimize the work that the organizations would have to do. Places where we ask people to figure out what district. We could ask them the questions about what are their focused communities. Get them to tell us. That could get mapped to whatever we want.

I would like this this focus more on the content about the organizing work. Not ask them to spend time on things that may make it easier to review. I love the idea of being able to get broad participation.

VERONICA LEWIS: Some of the geography stuff was going to be critical to us prioritizing based on the guiding principles. We could get over 100. Most organizations will understand this. The thing I didn't say that some of you may not be aware of that I said at the earlier meeting is we will have information and we will cover that. The other thing to offer that we learned and heard from community the county departments provided all this detail.

They didn't have enough detail in the way they were presented. That was critical. We want to make sure we have

enough information. We have a few concepts that were lacking some detail. I want to lift that up.

EVENT: CFCI

LOCATION: REMOTE

02/17/2022

5:15 PM - 6:30 PM

PART 2

-- it was not the case we have 4 or 5 concepts that came from the community in

year one and were in the o able to move forward and lacking detail and I want to lift up

as part of the reason it is formatted this way. Regardless of who submits to be clear the

continue departments have to use the same format and we want everybody's concepts

to have the same information and if our committee has it in the spending plan our

committee has an executable testimony to move forward. Just want to bring that up. All

right. Let's see. Betsy.

>> Following Dr. Comment. I was looking at questions related. My questions

popped up. Will it be translated? Can we look at plain language? More access?

Glossary of terms and things like that so it feels very more government oriented at this

point in time and I saw question, it was the template for the budget and 35 which is more

detail budget and I was wondering again to make it more user friendly with a detailed

project description. You have a template or page limit. Or word limit. Same with a budget

and even though you say you are clear that this doesn't necessarily mean it is a proposal.

But it seems like a lot of work for a lot of people to do for this survey. So, I would, you

know, I scale down, maybe scale down the questions and look at templates particularly

for the advisory committee and others for you to be able the to categorize things simply

as you are getting the responses back. I think my overarching comments are to make user friendly. Readable. Translated and for a variety of people to be able to respond.

- >> VERONICA LEWIS: Whatever it comes out to be and taking to read-ability text and the formatting tools will make sense to make sure. Whatever grade level we think make it is most sense and that is a good idea and to be clear when you say translating you are talking about different languages?
- >> Yeah. Then if somebody has a disability in terms of reading, literacy. But also, visual.
- >> Good evening chairman Lewis in term offense the survey methodology I like the fact that it is also allowing for open ended questions and I think that is important. A survey is 1 to 5 like scale and it allows for people to put their own words into the format is a plus for me. One recommendation. I think it is the first initial page when we asked about the framework the assumption is folks know what that is. But we don't talk or reference that until page three and I would like the to define in the very beginning in laymen's terms or a quick sentence of what that is.
  - >> First paragraph I am referring to.
- >> VERONICA LEWIS: I thought she put an attachment that explained it. If not yes.
- >> I was looking for that. This is the first landing page on the survey. Assumption is folks would know what that is. But that might not be the case. I may be wrong.
- >> Other comment I like the incorporation after (Indistinct) and it looks at data in a more visual way and I like the connection to that as well.

>> Thank you. Doctor I don't know if you can hear. I know we had talked b about the equity tools. Is that available to be including or not ready yet?

- >> I can. In fact, it is public. So yes, you sure can.
- >> I think we should add that. Doctor gally.
- >> Can you hear me?
- >> Yes.

>> Great. I like the approach and having the county and community and anyone who wants to submit an idea submit on a single platform and since I form and having everyone submit the same types of information and that is valuable and I appreciate the first pass on getting there and I share the concerns on some of the information people might not have access to. There is also a variety of programs that would be served county wide. A lot of the housing programs and p wouldn't necessarily be focused on one specific zip code and spread across geographic boundaries and I have several areas where I think edits would be needed to the documents and I am not sure if there is a process to suggest text edit as a follow up to this. And I don't think it is that feasible to go line by line in the document I would appreciate the opportunity to have a text edit. Some of the examples are the framing in number five teen and 16 for some of the housing terminology doesn't align with meaningful categories. Rental assistance or vouchers are the same thing. (Indistinct) interim supportive housing and other ways in which housing should be broken down. Ill am concerned also there is not enough implementation (Indistinct) launch date on a project. And I also not enough attention right now on the percent of funds that willed be spent if the county department that is administering the funds. Whether through a TPA or direct administration what percent of funds go to the county.

Department staff itself would be put out through an RFP to the community and communitybased organizations and I think that was one of the helpful aspects of the process. To be able to say look this project commits to pushing out more than 90 percent of the funding that is received out to community-based organizations. Whether that is done through a CPA or another contracting vehicle and that is it is last input. I think there is a false dichotomy being put forward about the TPA itself and I suggest a different framing of the particular question. Right now (Indistinct) TPA is not administered or done by the county. But it obviously is. The strength of the TPA which can be administered by any county department we use TPA and OD R and (Indistinct) for health. It allows to push money to small businesses who otherwise might not be able the to qualify because of the strict county contracting rule. It is a way around that process. Any department can use the TPA given that the money be flowing through the county and approved bid the board (Indistinct) which is the current (Indistinct) structure I suggest a different frame offense the TPA issue and other edits and thank you for the tremendous work that was done to put the document together.

>> VERONICA LEWIS: Thank you I will circle back to you. In particular to things that may change some of the concepts and framing. I am going to come back to you for some of the thing you looked up in particular in terms of how framing the program components. And I think that is important to discuss in this space and separately you can send the edits in terms of the piece. The governing principle of no more than 15 percent stands with the committee and under the budget section with the high-level numbers as what percentage? So, if we are making a decision between two different concept you are saying one of the considerations. May be similar. If they are saying 90 percent will go

through verses ninety five percent is that why you are saying we want to know that information?

>> Yeah, if it is in the budget and becomes clear on the budget sheet great. I want to make sure the information is transparent and visible. In the last process when we went through measure J. There were some proposals it was 0 percent going out to community and I know have as a principle. 85 or 90 percent. Whatever percent we set some didn't show the principle.

>> VERONICA LEWIS: It is a good suggestion. We would add it. I think it is a good suggestion what percentage would go.

>> It should be somewhere in there.

>> VERONICA LEWIS: It should be its own question. And I will circle back and I think some of the things that you said need to be raised in this space. Reba.

>> Thank you. And I you can appreciate Dr. Gally and Robert's comments. I am curious about whether or not, you are curious ant zip codes and (Indistinct) districts as well as the spas how else we know if equity if we are dispersing equity and I see that as a way of doing so. Am I missing something here?

>> Are you saying if we don't ask the questions how do we determine equity?

>> Yes.

>> It is such a great question. I agree we need to know the geographic spread if someone gives zip codes of the communities, they are working in we can map by zip codes and create a map. I think it is important to know communities that are going to be served and we were asking people to put in information three different times about what those communities were and I feel you can put the zip code in and on the back end we

can create those maps and I hear you. It might be easier to ask people to map it. I wasn't disagreeing at all with the need to understand where people are going to be working. I just felt like for community-based organizations that don't have a lot of resources the less we make them try to figure out how we classify, you know, how we organize ourselves. I think it is easier.

>> I want to offer up. Those different data points will be useful in a variety of ways. I want to say as the leader of a community organization to expect us to know all of the zip codes. To tell you that, and some administrators organize, that is not helpful. Multiple neighbors in south LA and expected to know and find all of the zip codes that is more work.

>> No if you said south LA we should be able to figure out what south LA is. I am trying to say anything we can do to make it easier for community-based organizations to give us the information that is important but to do in a way they normally give the information.

I think you are right. Some organizations say I am in spa five and other organizations say I serve these seven neighborhoods and I think on our end as a county or whoever is looking at the instruments you can program it so you can then plot everybody and see what the distribution is. I am not disagreeing at all with anything that you are saying or that Reba was saying and I agree.

>> All of the perspectives are important. We appreciate it. One thing that came up and I think potentially thinking about what was said. In addition to the (Indistinct) districts which you know we do need that for a variety of reasons maybe have a selection where it says all of LA county as I well. And they don't have to click all five. As a thought.

>> I have one last thing and I wanted to be clear about what the context would look like and maybe this is not the right time for this question or concern. That they are all the same. For instance, the department of mental health contract looks the same is there a way these contexts could be in sync. Some people say department of public health context is easier. Vote for them. How do we make certain for everyone that the contacts are easier and more accessible and more friendly to those who apply?

>> VERONICA LEWIS: We have been having those conversations for the last several years and I want to clarify and I am glad you raised this. I probably need to say four more times but this particular survey is for contact recommendations and not actual projects to be funded our body does not have the authority to fund. As I share with you as an example. T the year one committee had to go through and engage in a process where we widdl down \$900 million worth of recommendations to 177 something million. Our body is going to work to get to a hundred million. We are not funding the con cements vent that is why we call concepts consciously and not proposals and when the advisory committee receives the information in addition to all of the other community input in general. We will then engage in a process whereby we are having conversations and prioritizing until we get to \$100 million worth of projects and we had will submit to the CEO's office and their office will make the ultimate decision and that will be presented to the board. And this survey will not result in us saying we are going to directly fund a project to be very clear.

Separate and apart from that. Yes, what you are saying I think it is critical and we are hoping there could be greater alignment to have less bureaucracy. Pastor vik?

>> Thank you chair Lewis and everyone on the team to pull this together. My question is simple and quick. Question 20 on the survey. And if the (Indistinct). Concept regarding the highest needs of codes identified by the justice equity needs index and asks people the to do the research based on the JENI. And I don't remember how often the numbers change in the JENI and to identify those zip codes and ask those people on the survey whether they are serving communities and people that are in the zip codes themselves and leading with that could also help in the engagement to help those and organizations serving those zip codes to see that is a high factor in the preprocess. I guess but --

>> VERONICA LEWIS: The list and have them click off of the list is that what you are saying? My only concern with that is, while, and that is why I asked about arties tool. I think that is a good idea. Depending on how we use it though you wouldn't want concepts ins one of the zip codes and I think that is why we are try to go create taunt for people to express outside of the number what makes it, and I think we can all agree there is some communities. Have you looked at that list? There are some communities not on the list. I think it is a good point and proposed to weigh in on. If we say this is one of the ways we prioritize there is lots of communities not on the list. We know folks can demonstrate higher need in other ways and we need to be thoughtful if we do it that way.

- >> Agreed thank you.
- >> VERONICA LEWIS: I see Kelly are you a voting member today?
- >> I am. Sorry I was a little bit late if you can scroll up to some of the con at no time areas. And.

>> VERONICA LEWIS: Hold on a second. If you can stop sharing your screen for a second. I don't want to receive the point highest area of need. I will come back and I see your hand up. Members based on what you heard you would like to hear your thoughts if you have thoughts about the JENI question. Again, we may get 800000001.5 billion worth of requests and the priorities that are set forth are what is going to have to guide us in terms of how we wide I that down and I would like to hear your thoughts about what pastor vik just raised before we move on. Ewe nis are you responding to the point if so, go ahead please.

>> Yes, chairman Lewis it is an important question to bring up and in the ATI. Alternates to incarceration report. The community engagement that happened there led by the (Indistinct). That was done through a prioritization of zip codes most heavily impacted by incarceration and we got the zip code information from studies down by UCLA the million-dollar hoods project and I think it can be impactful to uplift communities and just wanting to uplift that layer and wanting to think about the layer of what communities of small businesses we need to be investing might be different zip codes. And housing might be different zip codes and I am not trying the to add layers that is one of the layers we used in prioritizing community outreach and report development process through the cares first development process.

>> VERONICA LEWIS: Yeah. So, I do want to uplift the board motion that governs our work. Specifically call out (Indistinct) and arty tools and that is part of the reason they are here. And at the same time to the point just made we can't solely rely on that. To your point about, you know, somebody may propose capacity development if for organizations to build a capacity for us to be able to engage in the community and

dekarsrate. That may look different as you think about equity as you it relates to organizations and placements and the small businesses that you just gave. I want to be clear where the community is going. I think it is important because the board to utilize those tools and we had a different open-ended question and if the answer is known and you don't score high on any of the tools tell us why and we would still need to consider that in some shape, form or fashion. So. Any other thoughts on that piece?

>> One I have on that question too is that the county is going through the process of using these kinds of tools as we develop our projects and I am just wondering if there is an opportunity for technical assistance that we can offer. Open spaces for folks to come and we sort of demo these tools and show people how they might use them to narrow in on the zip codes and articulate their need because it can be a little bit -- if you are not thinking articulating the areas with this lens.

>> VERONICA LEWIS: You see it reflected on the time line. Certainly, information session and TA sessions are part of the plan. A lot of people have visions of what should be done. If we simplify it. Some folks don't know how to break it down and for that reason we will have information in TA sessions.

>> Awesome. Thank you. And then I guess since am off mute I will quickly say the other piece. I am just looking at question 12 and # 13. Youth development. Job training and employ. I was wondering about the addition of elements in here that I think might be important in these areas. Thinking about work base learning and internships which I think could apply for youth development. Job training and employment.

Then for job training and employment. Also thinking about retention and advancement. And thinking of upscaling opportunities and helping people maintain stability in the work force.

>> VERONICA LEWIS: Thank you. This is a draft in the true definition of draft.

Yes. All of the, yes. And your I say you don't want it at all. And we have to start over.

Yeah, tailor is taking notes and this is being recorded so yes.

- >> Thank you very much.
- >> Vincent?
- >> Thank you. Mine is a process question in regards to the comment you make with the end product. So, I understand the end product from the survey and once it has been, survey and wid I led down would be a set of recommendations that is going to be given to the CEO. That is the part I wasn't sure about.

>> The end product of the survey itself will be for this for product summary. A lot of the recommendations are complete and from there we will go through a process of prioritizing until, we will go through iterative process of prioritizing until we get to a hundred million. The other pieces that contribute to our decision making are the pieces of information that come from the broader community engagement. Listening sessions and town halls and all of the things that the community engagement consultant is responsible for doing all of those pieces are going to inform how we make decisions and prioritize these concept recommendations. And the initial will be a summary in some type of table format for the advisory committee to review and prioritize individually and we will do an iterative process that will take several weeks.

>> With that understanding I guess my ultimate question is what is the product.

What is the product that you envision this advisory body providing to the CEO's office?

What is that product?

>> VERONICA LEWIS: A spending plan with a list of projects that we propose. And similar to the previous one. In addition to actual recommendations for how to spend the dollars based on different projects. Recommendations for how to continue to move the county into this true care first community investment paradigm shift inclusive to the things we talked b about today. Yes, a spending plan itself and some other recommendations that are related to the work that we are doing.

>> With that I would certainly recommend that this body be as prescriptive as possible so there is some fidelity into whatever it is you hand to the CEO in terms of whoever is going to implement that. That it be as prescriptive and as clear as possible. So that once it is implemented looks like what was presented to the CEO or the board. You want to make sure there isn't a difference from a concept and proposal and what is implemented on the back end and raises a few concerns for me.

- >> VERONICA LEWIS: Okay did you (Indistinct) for year one.
- >> Yes.
- >> VERONICA LEWIS: I would ask you to offer up suggestions for additional information that we need to request so we can minimize interpretation having to be done. That is what I would ask for. That is opposite in the direction we are moving in and it speaks to my point. I know in some cases we left things to interpretation and it seems like a lot. We need as much information as possible and I am saying again to the rest of the folks when we send whatever we do send. It is as clear as possible. I also think part

of what we talked about because of other challenges we have had with the um, presentation this time. This body should have implementation in tandem with the ATI office and I think part of the challenges will go away when you have folks in the community part of the body work alongside ATI as well. And that is a piece that needs to happen no matter how clear the proposals are. Nor Massachusetts Dr. Gally and George.

>> Thank you mentioned about the work that RD is doing and I am thinking Dr. Your equity explorer which has been a good tool to look at need and bring together multiple layers of data. Did you mention that tool? That RD tools?

>> VERONICA LEWIS: Yes, I was hoping Jenny and Jessie alone are not the identifying factors if the tool is available that people can use that as an option as well to demonstrate a high need community.

>> Great was your recommendation to add to number 20 I think it is a great idea. One of the comments getting more familiar with the tool and having more data sets that help to define what the areas of high need are. And I support and perhaps doctor may want to in an upcoming meeting I share that work. I think that because I think it is critical and it is, I think a guideline or we are using as a guide to help make our resource allocation as well and in regards to the youth development piece. I want to make sure physical and we know we have many organizations that are here. Regarding equity and sports for all. Critical after school programming for youth and I want to make sure that is included support for health, physical wellbeing. And how do we encompass that aspect of sometimes in some of the highest need communities the only youth programing and I know Derrick will disagree with me the only real after school programming is a sports program where they encourage mentor ship and coaching and we want to make sure to

help develop that infrastructure as part of the work. I want to include if not support but the physical piece.

>> VERONICA LEWIS: Okay are you clear. Okay. Thank you. Dr. Gally?

>> Yeah, I want to say to Vincent I appreciate the point he brought up and I don't know if it is here or down the road at least for me it is worth exploring further how to avoid what I think he is referring to and what I know department happen with the last years measure J process. How do you get enough specificity and clarity about what actually people want to have funded? There are ideas and there are general services. There are general concepts. And then there is actual programs that have proven outcomes or a model that people believe in. Or that a lead that people have confidence in. And they are not the same thing and sometimes there is more clarity, a vision in where people want to go. Sometimes on one top you can than there is on another because the work in one field is more advance or further along and I don't know if it is necessarily a one size fits all solution to the problem. But I think it is worth thinking about where there is clarity and specificity of what people want funded. There is that knowledge and that desire by the community and by the advisory group and by the county people.

How do you take that wisdom r forward into a spending plan that avoids some of the problems we ran into last time? Because the detail. It is not just the concepts that matter. The concepts and strategies are important. But the model matters of how the concepts are implemented. The person in the lead role matters for implementing. I wonder how we (Indistinct) apart whether through this survey or another route.

>> VERONICA LEWIS: I am curious what you said a few times about this group feeling about a sub advisory implementation committee that is a delegation of this group to help with some of that. What do you all think about that?

>> This is a phenomenal idea and also have a T PA one but that is great.

>> VERONICA LEWIS: Obviously that and Vincent. He wants to figure this out and I appreciate your comments and know you will share and I know we are trying to go balance asking for too much information and I want to say this. I am offering, I suggested that we have TA session because I know there is folks who have good ideas and don't necessarily know how to put them in a way that articulates clearly all of the different ways, we are asking question for them to explain the whole thing and I want to offer up to everyone there is some people in the community who have done extensive amounts of research and work and put thought in this. From a strength-based perspective a lot of folk ins the community ready and willing and able to give us specificity. Dollar amounts and understand the impact and leverage. And I think we should honor that and allow them to do that and there has not been a space for that to happen. And I want to push a little bit on a narrative that folks don't know how to do this. There is a lot of folks waiting for an opportunity to weigh in and for the folks that have good ideas and don't necessarily have (Indistinct) and want to have a TA session and hopefully we can and get them to the point of submitting if not we will have valuable feedback. There is a lot of folks in the community who can respond well to what we are asking and give us all of the things that we need. And I want to say that. The deficit model piece, I don't like that perspective. All right. George. Did you have a comment?

>> Can you hear me?

>> Go ahead George, you got it man.

>> Sorry I will make it quick. I like it. On a staff note, I think there is an extra period when you are clicking the spa link. Just delete the extra period so the hyperlink works. And the other item I appreciate what Vincent said. Last year we worked specifically for the antelope valley and had community leaders and activists talk about specifically wanting fund to help get the challenger center you were and going and it clearly, we didn't get the funding and even though it got approved through the measure J committee. But I blame that basically on the transition over the to this. And I hope there is a clear process and when the community wants something and asking for something that it gets done. People lose faith in the process if there is a disconnect and it is not clear.

>> Thank you. Derrick?

>> I just want to say thank you for what you just said chair. About the deficit analysis. I feel like in the generation of, and all of the, in this conversation of all of the additions a lot of it was coming from there and I was trying to figure out what was really pulling on me as I am listening to all of the suggestions of this being a catch all and sort of ways. Because we are trying to make it as simple as possible. As far as checking a box. But there are a lot of folks who are prepared for this and I think it is a way of trying to meet in the middle of providing the space but also having people level up to where it needs to be and finding that middle ground as well.

I just want to say in the idea of iterative process of getting the information as far as recommendations. When we get to the recommendations part. One of the things we did last year was taking recommendations that you all came up with and having another survey of giving and understanding from the community about how they felt about the

references when it was final. Same type of idea I was suggesting from the conversation earlier. Making sure we are meeting the mark and not just leaning on our own expectations and own understanding of what these need to be and making sure the community has a voice at the same time. I love this process the to make sure we are giving them the opportunity to make sure all of the requirements are there. But at the same time making sure we are keeping in mind that we want to have an iterative process of engagement on making sure that the recommendations we end up with at the end are the right ones that the community is standing up for.

>> VERONICA LEWIS: Right time line embeds community input after the draft. One housekeeping note. If the member comes in and alternate is speaking notify us, we can't have both the member and alternate participating and I want to remind you all please be mindful of that and it is important as we are beginning to start to make critical decisions and have some voting decisions it is important that we don't have representation from both the member and the alternate and be mindful of that please. Joey?

- >> I answer your question I do support what you said about having a member that has got to be part of the process and saying yes, it is worth it.
  - >> VERONICA LEWIS: Thank you. Thanks Joey. Betsy.
- >> Yeah. Just in response to what you were saying in terms of coming from a strength-based approach as oppose today deficit and I was looking back at the contact information and it has down agency slash affiliation but it oftentimes ss a coalition or some kind of a collaboration that is wanting to bring something forth and I wonder if that needs to be stated and had encouraged at the front end as well. Otherwise, it feels like singular agencies coming forward as oppose today collaborative efforts.

>> VERONICA LEWIS: I think affiliation is a broad enough term. If you have a suggestion. Maybe to broaden even further please tell affiliation of collaborative name. Or coalition. Yeah. I suggested it isn't looking at a single agency in a competitive kind of way.

>> VERONICA LEWIS: One thing I'd not say and I should have had said and you can you tell me whether or not you want to do this. When this process is completed and the survey deadline is up and you get the summary. The summary will not show where the recommendations came from. It is more so we need more information. The thought is you won't necessarily see who it came from. For variety of reasons and some obvious reasons as well. Vincent?

>> Last comment. You want to be clear my comments weren't directed towards the community. But more so towards the advisory body and recommendation that is you give to the CEO. And one thing to consider is maybe once you have willed down the proposals to match the hundred million dollars and maybe there is a second process by which the authors of the various proposals are reengaged and there is a conversation with them to go into the process and talk through what they want to see and what they want it to look like and maybe we can pose some questions they hadn't thought about to get their answers thoughts on. So, when the CEO recommends to the board and we are moving into the implementation stage. It is form beside I the voices of the community and (Inaudible), I think this is all good and I think the second piece takes it to the next level.

>> VERONICA LEWIS: Yeah, I am sorry there was a delay I didn't know you were still talking. I think that is great and I you said implementation committee but maybe the

body is the same one that facilitates that process yeah that is a good idea. Thank you for that. P.

Okay. Well, any other comments? Dr. Gally I want today circle back to you really quick. Just to see, so for everyone if you have all of the members, if you have minor edits and grammatic type things please send the information to the ATI e-mail address and we will address those. If any major concept pieces that you have concerns with, please raise here and we will look at this again in March. Dr. Gally I wanted to circle back you raised a few points and I wanted to see if there are a few things that we need to look at here.

Next step and we will hear public comment on the item. Tailor heard all of the suggested edits and send whatever minor edits you have to the ATI e-mail tailor or to you directly?

>> ATI is fine.

>> March 3rd meeting, we will review the revised version based on the input that everyone has provided today and if it is ready to move forward, we will vote. We will get to that more when we get to time line next time. Thank you for perspectives and thoughts if you have anything else comes up let us know we will try our best to have a healthy balance of not making too complicated but also the points earlier. Making detail and had an informed decision and have a fundable concept as we move forward. Vin sent was your hand up again? Dr. Gally?

>> Tailor can you send out a word version of the document? Is that possible?

>> I can do that.

>> Thank you.

>> VERONICA LEWIS: Thank you. Let's take public comment on this item only. The next item we are going to talk about potential time line for how we do this work in addition to other community engagements and I want you to know what is next.

>> We reached public comment on the most recent item only. And if you would like to make comment raise your hand. Star nun to raise your hand if you are on the phone star six to un-mute yourself. State your name before you start your comment. Up first we have Nicole brown. Go ahead Nicole.

>> Good even everyone. Nicole Brown with the urban peace institute and LA uprising coalition. A couple of comments I am sorry I am participating from my phone and I can't see the document. If you are using sequential intercept model, I hope it is the modified ATI model to be able to include intercept 0 and 1 for ATI which is critical to CFCI and I appreciate striking a balance between geography and a lot of people making ideas will find it hard to have zip codes and maybe giving an option if they have specific zip codes or not. There needs to be transparency and all of the things should be posted publicly and all of the proposals and I agree an implement tag committee and guidance on the TPA would be helpful. Thank you very much.

>> Thank you up next, we have nigh ya. Go ahead. Can you un-mute yourself?

>> Can you hear me? A couple of things first of all I think there needs to be more than one subcommittee. There could be there should be other working committees within this group. And I think the LA times article that Megan and bam by wrote brings out the need of more community involvement in the process. More intentional empowerment of the community. And I think that there should be a communications piece. Newsletter or something to get out into the community. And I also black people experiencing

homelessness was supposed to be formed through arty and that never happen and had one last thing there needs to be better response to public comment. I think the county process of not responding to public comment. That needs to be better. Why not respond to public comment.

>> Thank you. Any other public comments? I phone with the hand raised.

>> Yes, sir. Thank you for the time. I agree on the TPA subcommittee we should actually be able the to weigh in on the contracts and what third parties are getting accepted for the contracts in terms I believe we are talking about a survey you are implementing that you had done prior to measure J being voted on and that is how you got ideas from the community and what funding was needed. My concern is there is people in communities and not that they are intelligent enough to fill the surveys out and whatnot. They might not have access including the homeless population that is impact and had how will the outreach ha p to get resources so they have an internet or a computer to full the surveys out. Or will you be going to the communities with paper documents or how are the particular communities that are heavily impacted receive the resources. I know you said you had teaching classes and I am concerned about that thank you for your time.

- >> Up next (Indistinct). Go ahead.
- >> You can you hear me?
- >> Yes.
- >> Okay thank you. I just want to uplift what T just finished saying it is important that this T PA be implemented and be done so in a way that it addresses these barriers for specific marginalized communities. And accessibility for community-based

organizations as well. We have to be inclusive of all of the community members whether that is unhoused people. Whether that is immigrant communities. Whether that is people working in underground economies. And you have to be considerate of all of Los Angeles community members and have to prioritize (Indistinct). Which includes multi-year funding and so this process --

- >> Thank you.
- >> Okay.
- >> Do we have anybody else who would like to make comment? Seeing no handing baa to you madame chair.

>> VERONICA LEWIS: Thank you everyone. A couple I of things all documents reviewed including the summary of requirements will be public documents and we look forward to community engagement consultant in to what we heard with broad reach to multiple populations in a variety of ways and that is come ng the near future and other thing I will say there is a steering committee on black people experiencing homelessness and I know that because I am on it. The person who made that comment I don't know if it is on arteries web site or not. Hopefully the county can work to get him information on what that process looks like. Share your comments members using the ATI e-mail address and we will come back March 3rd with a new draft and thank you for the perspective and considerations and thoughts on this matter. Moving onto agenda item number six. Looking at the there is a proposed time line and we had a conversation about the time line. And I want to walk through the idea and hear from you all inclusive of some of the perspectives about pushing the time line out completely. We are going to take a

five-minute break so folks stretch. Stand up or do whatever and then we will resume at 6:13 and go into agenda item number six.

(5-minute break).

>> Thank you. Welcome back. So, we are going to go into agenda item number six. Tailor if you are able to pull up the attachment. Zoom in one time. Thank you. Okay. If you are not on video do put a thumbs up in the reaction so I can know if you are back for the committee members. All right. I will go through this and then it is completely open for discussion. And adjustment. We need to have a broad idea of what our work plan will be in term Is of the time line and all of the activities with us come to go a decision where we formally finalize a spending plan that goes to CEO or the CEO office spending plan goes to board of supervisors and initially and if you recall in the first meeting in September or October. We were told that our process naturally would not be expected to be included as part of the budget process that would be finalized before June 30th. Instead, we are asked to pry and produce something by July so the year two spending plan could be included in the supplemental budget process in September. There is a conversation today about whether or not that is necessary. Nevertheless, that is what this time line is based on and I am few going go through it briefly and then the floor is open for discussion and adjustments and doing something different if that is what comes up. Ideally and this may or may not happen. We will finalize the concept recommendations survey in early March and open up the survey the following week and host the information and technical assistance. That is what TA stands for sessions between a few times in the month of March and potentially the survey day one would be April eighth 8th and a week or two to sort and summarize for uses and have I will come back to thing ins orange in a second and review the submissions and not necessarily begin discussions about them at all. Review the summary of submissions during the April 21st meeting and have a process where the advisory committee members are doing some ranking individually and then we aggregate those things and that is what starts the conversation about for lack of pa better term the highest ranked concept and we will engage in the iterative process and prioritization in the month of May the which will probably be every week during that month and carry over to June if need be. That is depending upon how many we receive can be a lengthy process finalize the initial draft in early June and submit the final CFCI spending plan for year two to the CEO in early July. The things in orange account for community engagement pieces and the community engagement just start and had variety of input is mentioned multiple times today I will not repeat again that are hoping to get outside of the specific concept and hoping that will start to take place right away. Ideally March through May. During this time frame we will be getting reports back from surveys and other engagement and hopefully community-based organizations and listening sessions and all of these other things and that is why I said orange. This is to summarize the work plan and as I mentioned earlier to Derrick's comments after our draft of the year two spending plan for the hundred million dollars is completed, we are hoping to have true community unput in the same way the previous committee did on the spending plan and ultimately obviously there will probably be adjustments made after the community input and we will finalize it again. That is what proposed in terms of the timeline and let's hear your thoughts comments on this committee members. Derrick?

>> Thank you very much for this chair and the parts in orange I think are very valuable. And you know, I would, I just have a question on engaging this outside of the space of these meetings and what the engagement. Making sure the engagement is very robust on both parts. Right? I am thinking even in the TA session of the community engagement before the service mission closes of how to get the listening sessions and talk through things with people. And always reminding at the end of a conversation or session or opportunity that the community orgs we are connected to are doing to make sure people are submitting things into the survey as well to get as many submissions as possible. But like said making as robust as possible. Especially with the end piece as I was saying earlier, we get to the (Indistinct) spending plan but it is not just a couple of meetings it is also getting a survey back out there and letting folks know what we are planning and getting many more feedback in there and finding ways to involve that feedback into the final thing that we submit so the community has voice at the beginning. Intermediate and as well as at the very end of the process and not just us making all of the decisions for them.

>> VERONICA LEWIS: I have a question for the ATI team. Is community engagement consultant ready to start working right now? Can you speak a little bit? Obviously, that determines a lot. When do we expect to begin some of the activities? We have a lot of leg work that has been done. Can you help me to understand a little bit?

>> We would assume they are red you to start as soon as they are given some direction or want to hear from the advisory committee. The leadership of the advisory committee. As soon as possible.

>> VERONICA LEWIS: Okay. Other thoughts on this? I don't know what silence means.

>> Hi chairman Lewis. Real quick in terms of the March 7th open up survey does that mean the platform goes live and if so, how soon do we have access to the California \$300,000 for outreach as that only give us less than a month. And I say we because I hope to be as involved with supervisor district one's appointee as well as hitting the pavement and running and the survey as well and engaging as many community members as we can.

>> VERONICA LEWIS: Yes, open the survey means that the web-based survey is live. We don't and correct me if I'm wrong we don't have the formal commitment from California yet. But we working on that. As a reminder there is money from year one that is funding some of the community engagement as well.

>> That could be allocated for, you can say the hardware r or more of the, you know I pads or whatever are needed for folks to take it. Considering there might be a tech gap or divide there as well. Could that be used as well?

>> VERONICA LEWIS: CFCI money from year one? In the discussion with California endowment that is part of the discussion. ATI team. I believe so but I don't want to speak out of turn. Vincent or moss can you respond to the question.

>> Use of the funds?

>> VERONICA LEWIS: Yeah, community engagement CFCI money can it be used for I pads etc. to support the community engagement that is the question.

>> I think they can but what is the process to do that. With the consultants using the I pad to engage community. We have to figure out what it would look like. I do not know why we couldn't do that.

>> VERONICA LEWIS: Technically community engagement dollars should be used for what we think it shall look like. For how that is done has been expressed but whatever the community engagement plan will be.

>> I am thinking more (Indistinct) that is give us less than a month to make the edits and launch the survey. Have the hardware and by March 7th capture responses. And am just try to go see what is available in terms of the infrastructure and the hardware. In this case I pads and hot spots whatever we are using if (Indistinct) in the community to give us input so we have the resources available. Otherwise, we can look out for other al tern tiffs as well.

>> VERONICA LEWIS: These are not hard dates. Again, this is a starting point. This is, this is a survey for a specific concept of recommendations separate and apart there will be actual less detailed surveys that are happening and other types of engagement and these are not said dates and it is a starting point for a conversation and anything can be adjusted here. We are not stuck to any of the dates. I think my recommendation would be we have a month at the most minimum for us to prepare and thug the March 7th gives less than a month considering we saw this for the first time.

>> VERONICA LEWIS: Okay. Derrick?

>> Two questions. I am feeling what he is asking but I want to ask in a different way. The ease of getting those funds and using the funds for what we need to do for the outreach process seems as though the county dollars that is supposed to be allocate

today this process so far are hard to get to and I want to find out with the time line being what it is how simple will it be to be able to activate the dollars right away.

Second question is. To the idea around as committee members the freedoms to do our sessions and community engagement and activating folks into this work and making sure that the submissions are actually being put in in the first place on the front end. Are there dos and don'ts as far as that is concerned from how we can talk about it. How we are supposed to set up. Or do we have to be in alliance with the TA session that are being itemized in this time line that we have in front of us? I am interested to note what that looks like. It is about the money and will also about what we can and can't do.

>> VERONICA LEWIS: I will ask the second question in a second. But Vincent how much is the community consulting contract and ki care more so about how much of the contract includes money for the outreach activities?

>> Their contract is for outreach. If you are talking about funding for machines or hardware. I think you would, I don't recall off the top of my head how the contract is constructed if there is funding specifically for hardware and we can have the conversation and figure out how we identify that. We don't know what the engagement looks like. And when I say we I am speaking specifically of the ATI staff we don't know what the engagement is going to look like. What the particular needs are and the committee wants to include in that and once we have that. And you can join in the conversation with the consultants and we can figure that out.

>> VERONICA LEWIS: Okay this is not just about hardware and there are other costs as well and some we identified when thinking about the private funding.

- >> Right, there was. I think there was. We should have a figure out what that looks like.
- >> VERONICA LEWIS: I think the question is they have the money and say this is what we want to do and don't have the to go through a bureaucratic county process. This is what we want and go and get and it pay for this. We don't have to go through another process.
- >> Right, there is not another process I want to make sure we allocate the money for them to do that. There wouldn't be another process other than purchasing whatever it is you want them to do.
  - >> VERONICA LEWIS: And Tyson or somebody from county counsel.
- >> Let me specify (Indistinct). Some of the organizations who want to respond to the survey can respond in exactly of putting together programs or projects that the community definitely needs because of the listening sessions we created or I will be a part of leading those listening sessions. Those community engagement activities are their dos and don'ts I have to be mindful of as a committee member leading those types of.
- >> VERONICA LEWIS: My response if you are not doing on behalf of the advisory committee many of us have different networks we work in and that is my response but let's hear from county counsel.
- >> This is the son and we may need to foul up offline because I don't fully understand and I don't want to take up too much time. Yeah. We can follow up online. I think I am going to need more information but I agree with what Veronica said. That of course you are allowed to lead a listening session and be able to engage the community

there. And invite people from the community that you want to hear from. That is all, we want that. Right? That is why the board and the community they appointed you all to this. They know of your connections to the community.

>> VERONICA LEWIS: As long as you don't have CFCI advisory listening session on your thing.

>> All right. I get it. The first few meetings talking about the Brown act and the doss and don'ts. It is in my heart. Shoot I signed up for something and want to make sure I am not violating in any kind of way. I appreciate that thank you very much. Megan.

>> Thank you. Some questions come up for me when I look at the time line. Can is their room for participatory budgeting models to be implemented into community engagement practices and thinking of the ATI pilot and thinking about how we implement that into this tomb line and also just consider that some of the money hasn't been distributed for year one and thinking through the time line it still feels rushed today a certain degree. Of course, we want to make sure we are getting the dollars down to ground. That is the main priority. We want do in a way that is equitable and just and we want to allow tomb for community members to engage with us and I think one of the recommendations and some of the things we heard from year one is it felt too rushed. I think what you raised earlier there is a dichotomy about the needs to get the dollars to the ground and also, we are (Indistinct) with the process and how do we begin to balance that and be honest with ourselves how we can move in tandem with the county and move in tandem and making sure we are meeting the needs of the community.

>> VERONICA LEWIS: Do you have an actual recommendation in terms of time line I know offered one and participatory budget I have a few questions about that. Do you have a recommendation?

>> Thinking through and will continue to circle back and I think maybe at least additional six weeks to this time line.

>> VERONICA LEWIS: Tell me specific activities that would happen as it relates to participatory budgeting. I know the term comes up in the scope of our realm and what the advisory committee is charged to do give me examples of activities you are suggesting will happen in the six-week process.

>> I think part of the discrepancy here as the process stands, we take recommendations from the community and we review and take it back and present to the board or the BOS and they make decisions or CEO makes decisions it is centered around what the community wants or the community decides where the dollars go. No middle man or no one deciding how that happening and I think a good reference would be that of the ATI pilot. One that is laid out in the MCJ closure plan I think that is a good reference for you.

>> VERONICA LEWIS: To be clear this is one part of the engagement process. Give me some examples of actual activities you suggest that happen in terms of participatory budgeting within the scope of this body aim not clear what that is. Some examples would be helpful and I can understand we can incorporate it. Some specific examples of the actual activities would be helpful to understand. I am not clear still.

>> Are you canning me?

>> VERONICA LEWIS: I am asking you Megan and anyone else who wants to weigh in. I hear participatory budgeting and I understand what it is. Within the scope of what we are tasked to do. Within our scope as a committee, I want to understand. Give examples of specific activities you are suggesting that you are a part of. This is one part I said from the beginning concept of recommendations is one phase of this and I want to understand some of the activities you are suggesting so I am clear about what you are proposing we add.

>> Includes real listening sessions. Here I don't see sessions that are laid out and tombed out and state. A clear time line that allots for community engagement. If we are ending a draft in June of 2022. That doesn't allot for us the to go back and review all of the information that we are receiving for community.

Also thinking what mentioned if we are talking about a time line and have a month to do X, Y, Z that doesn't lend itself to hear the voices of the community.

>> VERONICA LEWIS: That is helpful as I said multiple times I think today and previous meetings this is the time line as it relates to the concept of recommendations specifically point well taken for more time what is in orange. As we said we went through the strategies together in January. Listening. Talk circles and all of the activities are about to begin. That was community engagement consultants' responsibility and now they are here that is their responsibility. Yes. I wanted to know specifically with what you are talking act and I think that is a part of the plan. Point well taken in term many r time. But that is part of the community engagement plan.

All right. We have heard add six weeks to this. Any other thoughts? On this matter or anybody want to offer up a motion with those adjustments? Sherry and ewe nis. Ewe

nis I think your hand was up. Did you want to make a comment? Thumbs up? Okay. All right. Sherry?

- >> Okay chair Lewis. I think you mentioned sorry sherry (Indistinct). I think you mentioned that we were targeting to hit the September supplemental budget time frame. Right? Did ki hear that correctly?
- >> VERONICA LEWIS: Yes, that is the requesting currently that we have from the CO's office as you heard Vincent say we don't have to necessarily do that.
  - >> Okay. I didn't hear Vincent and I am sorry I apologize.
- >> VERONICA LEWIS: Yes, that is what this time line is based on. I think ewe nis ask the question about putting it somewhere and just have more time and I believe the response was yes you can do that and it is the balance of getting the money out and being thoughtful and that is what the time line is based on and it can be adjusted.
- >> That is what I was thinking if it needs to be balanced for the full-time to get community engagement support and allow for decision making and that would then shorten the time frame if we were trying to meet a September deadline doing all of the activities it is good to know we are not wed to September supplemental budget.
- >> VERONICA LEWIS: I want to understand Vincent the process of stating that we are going to be outside of the scope of the supplemental budget. It will be helpful to hear that part. It will be helpful to understand that is part if that is the direction that the committee decides to move in. Ewe nis? Okay. If your hand is a carry over or not. Ly come back to you if you want to say something. Megan and then Dr. Gally.

>> Other question I have is around tier two and tier 1 recommendations and I am kind of figuring out where does that land in this conversation and how do we begin to uplift the work that came out of year one implementation?

>> VERONICA LEWIS: That will be a part of the review and I don't think it is reflected here. Thanks for raising that and I think I mentioned a month or so ago it will be part of the review to look at tier two which includes some of the projects funded in year one but not fully funded. And that is part of the process. I will make sure to note that. Dr. Gally?

>> Yeah. I want to raise the concern that if we attach this on to supplemental budget process in the county then that means that is the money can't really go out practically speaking until the end of 2022 and probably more likely 2023 which is a long time.

I just want to be cautious if we add on time line. That there are real implications as pointed out chair getting the money out to the street and whether or not it is possible to do some of the work in parallel and also a specific request that perhaps we have someone from CEO finance come back and talk about how there is various county budgeting mechanisms offense how the money can be made available to spend and put out through solicitations earlier. Just the window of the supplemental budget process is very late in the counties calendar.

- >> VERONICA LEWIS: Thank you.
- >> I just realized ewe nis is no longer a cohost and maybe that is why they couldn't un-mute.
  - >> VERONICA LEWIS: I asked to un-mute let's see if that helps.

>> So, Megan asked the question about tier one and tier 2 and I want to lift a quick comment. Around having money moved into accounts so we can move forward and I will say that constrains to a hundred million dollars for the year. Be you the state is having a really good year. Billions in surplus and I imagine maybe there is a more tax that have been paid at the county level maybe there is more money at the county in the general funds that could be added into measure J and the ten percent was the floor not the ceiling and as much as I would say let's get the money ASAP and do it and I don't want to constrain on that I would like to have an opportunity to reassess the general funds in case there is extra so we can get more money.

>> VERONICA LEWIS: From a practical stand point what are you suggesting we try to get in the supplemental budget process or are you suggesting something different?

>> That I will have to get back with a resolution. If we can get the money out quicker that is the best but also Megan's point makes sure the money comes out to the values, we want the to do and do that right and we can't rush that. We are not trying to be perfect. We are trying to go be just. We are trying the to be fair and equitable and that is go to go take a little bit of an investment. I will get back to you and I apologize for that.

- >> VERONICA LEWIS: Dr. Gally is your hand carrying over?
- >> That is an old hand.
- >> VERONICA LEWIS: Vincent can you speak to the process and again I just, you know. We literally are look at probably April quite frankly committee members if we push this. We are probably locking at realistically based on what we observed now and it was year one and it was more hiccups we are looking at March or April 2nd, '30 potentially

and you want to lift that up in terms of really the money getting to who are the grant administrators are based on what we have seen. Potentially and vin can you speak to and ewe nis brought it up and you said there is an option to put in the account. I want to understand what that means if we are outside of the scope of the supplemental budget process. Does that mean agenda whenever we are ready? Money is set aside and we can move forward. Can you talk about that so we can be clear about that?

>> Your recommendations are not bound to be attached to one of the three budgeting cycles and I agree with Dr. Gally we should probably bring someone from finance here to discuss all of the implication of that. More than I will be able to say coaching. So, we can maybe have them come back and talk more about that. I do have a question though.

Under host survey information TA session March 7th to the 25th is that something you envision the consultant doing or doing in collaboration with the consultant or is that something you envision individual on the advisory committee doing.

>> VERONICA LEWIS: If the consultant does it would be great. Obviously, you and I talked. If I needed to I would do it. You can tell me if that is appropriate or not. I come from a capacity building background. And the community engagement consulting that is a good idea. I think the time lines will be pushed back by some of the input we have heard and I think we need clarity about what are the implications of the different options as it relates to timeline before we can really make a thoughtful decision about the timeline. Yeah.

Reba your hand was up. Okay. So, there is a few different pieces here. Next meeting, we will be meeting the committee engagement folks and I feel like we need to

spend the bulk of the time having the giving direction and obviously you will have questions for them and beyond that they are the ones that is are selected and at least for now will be moving forward and we need to have conversations so they can clear actionable items to move this forward. We have some leg work we have done and I expect that is going to be a long conversation and we also obviously need to figure out the timeline. In terms of agenda. I feel like we would like to know your thoughts and I do think we need to figure out when the timeline is going to be and the public is clear and people can begin to prepare. If we have another meeting scheduled three hours and potentially, we spend one-half of it with the community engagement person or consultant. Or majority of it with the community engagement consultant and a third of it hearing from the budget and finance folks and then that informing our decision about the time line. And we won't even get to the concept survey in the next meeting. Most likely. Thoughts about that just in terms of process and timing?

- >> Give us a little bit more time to dig into this?
- >> VERONICA LEWIS: We got to get the community engagement consultant. What the community engagement consultant does is part of petition and part timeline and both things have to be talk about and I don't know which goes first. I think in finance and timeline and then the community engagement consultant. Maybe in that order.

Clearly this timeline we have won't be the timeline that is clear what it is pushed to I don't know we have to understand the implications of the different options available to us of in term offense potentially delaying with consideration the frustration from the broader community about taking too long and the considerations about being thoughtful. I am suggesting that we won't get to the survey and that is going to push the time line

back and we are going to spend a good amount of time talk to go consultant and talking about the \time line. And naturally we are thinking April at best before we can start to accept the concept recommendations and we are not able the talk about them next time and I need to call a special meeting for administer 10th is another option.

>> What if we, would the team be open to creating a subcommittee that focuses on community engagement subcommittee to work with the consultant to do that labor?

>> VERONICA LEWIS: I thought about that too. Yeah, that was something that came to mind for me too. Even if we expand the timeline, we are not going out six months that would take us into, the delay would be too long and yeah, I think that is a good idea. Yes. I think that is a good idea and I think we can formalize that since we don't as an actionable item that is something we can put on the agenda March 3rd to take action on. Yeah.

I am proposing and we will not get back to the survey and we probably won't have time an ex-time we will have a conversation with the community engagement consultant and come up with actionable items to do the work and potentially take a vote on the community engagement subcommittee and I was thinking the same thing and think it is a great idea and timeline conversation and hear from budget. Reverse order makes as more sense. Timeline in tandem with the community engagement consultant and that is all we can do next time. Any objections to that and I will ask that way. Okay.

And I will just say now there is the likelihood that there may be a special meeting or two. Happening. In March. So, I will say that now. In addition to push this through. Let cease what happens next week and next meeting and it will be a three-hour meeting as well. All right. Any other comment from the members before we take public comment

on the item? All right. Let's open up public item for agenda item number six and general public comment as well.

>> Okay we have reached public comment for item six and general comment as well. Raise your hand. Star nine to raise your hand on the phone star six to mute yourself and remember to state your full name for if record. Going first hector ra mir rez go ahead.

>> Thank you I the considerations to the extension of the calendar. Definitely giving some of the continued roadblocks that I think this committee and the previous measure J had to face and I want to make recommendations around community engagement and definitely recommending whoever the contractor is has a particular type of participating goal of unique participants. At our meetings last time one of the biggest meetings had over 300 people I think to expect to have 300 unique participants from each district be as a baseline be a consideration as you try to measure the engagement metrics to make sure they are surpassing last year. Thank you. #.

>> Thank you, next Lauren. Go ahead.

>> Hi my name is Lauren I am with (Indistinct) white people for black lives JLA and reimagine LA coalition. And I want to underscore what hector has said and other members of the body have said. Having only a survey and also the timeline presented is not enough engagement. I agree with the need to implement strategies that is give community more opportunity to discuss listening succession or formal subcommittee as folks have uplifted and had I wanted to name it would be important for the CEO to include the actual dollar amount community members are working with as close an approximation as possible given the shifting budget cycle. It was hurtful in year one for communities to

give so many recommendations and see (Indistinct) lowball and had that concludes my comment thank you.

>> Up next, we have Sophia. Go ahead. I want to uplift Megan's point about integrating participator budgeting into the community engagement process and as much as I feel the additional \$100 million allocated is not enough. Gives community members clear parameters and a guideline how to prioritize and make decision on how they want the dollars to be spent to that end I think creating whether it is a subcommittee or ad hoc committee. A space where committee members can participate freely would be a great deal. Maybe once all of the concept recommendations have been submitting and had that committee can hear an overview of what the proposals are and can discuss and rank the proposals themselves. Rather than just happening at the advisory committee level. Thank you.

>> Okay Nicole Brown goes ahead had.

>> Thank you. Nicole Brown. A few comments. I think it is difficult to see this timeline and not understand the activities that the community engagement consultant is going to be doing if that could be added I that would be helpful in weighing in. I think at least six weeks need to be added to the time line and we haven't gotten the funds out for year one and I understand the urgency and at the same time I think you need to be careful and I echo Derrick steels comments be about. Before the deal is you need -- think about some subcommittee process people can use the experience and expertise to weigh in and help you prioritize recommendations and lastly if ARP funds are included make it clear and make it a known process since they are only one-time funds by next year. Thank you.

>> Okay. Boar aky go ahead.

>> (Indistinct). Just want to uplift what has already been said now. The CFCI advisory committee needs to be able to prioritize community-based input throughout the process and the amount of funding that is currently allocate second-degree not enough and needs to be dispersed into the community in ways that represent what the communities' needs are and again knead to include community input throughout the entire process. I strongly encourage you implement a participatory budget and structure and that is it.

>> Do we have anybody else who would like to make a comment? Raise your hands? Anybody on the phone? Star nine to raise hand. Seeing no hands back to you madame chair.

>> VERONICA LEWIS: Thank you. I think that some of the information that has been discussed and I think ATI team we can get the community engagement consultant some information including some of the strategies and thing we came up with and hopefully they can come with an idea of thoughts and there is a lot of suggestions made over the last several months and I don't think we need to start from scratch. I hope they can come to our next meeting with some type of idea. We are not starting from scratch and have a lot of discussions and we kneed the meeting minutes and need the survey results and other things and I will meet them sometime before the next meeting and I think that is the plan and I don't think we should come here with them being empty handed and let's give them some information so they can come with some type of starting plan from all of the things we have been talking about for the last couple of months and that is my request. Thanks everyone and we have a lot of work to do. Thank you for the

comments and attention and extra time today. Next meeting is March 3rd and 4 o'clock anticipated to end around 7:00 p.m. And meeting is adjourned thank you.