EVENT: CFCI

LOCATION: REMOTE

01/20/2022

5:15 PM - 6:30 PM

>> I was listening to the comments and I have to ask of the four and a half staff any impacted by the justice system. Any that have done time? If we are talking about fixing a system, why are we doing the same routine. All of the organization Ings running the same programs for 30 years and brought us in the position we are in. ATI started this two and a half years ago and we built the community of two people and it became one. You have to start putting people formerly incarcerated or just as impacted at the table. Don't ask us our opinion. Put us there so we have so some inputs on the how the direction is going.

>> Up next, we have Aerl.

>> Hello I wanted to lift up what everyone named regarding punitive function of electronic monitoring and it is expensive and can cost up to \$20 a day. It is an extension of the carceral space and drags people deeper in the apparatus and I want to warn about reformist reform and not making choices that are not grounded and the principals that we want to see in the future.

>> Thank you. Up next, we have Lee molet. Lee goes ahead.

>> Good afternoon. Hello? Can you hear me?

>> We can hear you.

Go ahead.

- >> Okay. I work with a nonprofit called union (Indistinct).

 And I would like to know if we can get Brian Nichols information.

 I was one of those guys incarcerate and had want to bring back for the who don't have reentry of their own and don't have support.

 Is it possible I can get his number so I can talk to him or e-mail?

 >> Thank you, Lee. Up an ex we are going to Michelle Reed.
- >> Yes, greetings my name is Michelle reed I am with SIU local 2015. My question and concern are I hear the conversation on mental health and everything. We need to allocate and make sure when they are billing and going through with a case management and everything and do more so they can't it rate with their family and inclusive and bringing their family with them and helping them so they do not return back into that zone or get stuck on something that makes them stuck. We need to do a little bit more. I have been doing a lot of research and talking to a lot of families around their family and loved ones. Around mental health that is incarcerated verses not knowing how to deal with them under the pandemic status. And we need to just be able to do more. And --
- >> Thank you. I am sorry your time is up. Thank you. Up next, we have JC. Go ahead.
 - >> Thank you. Can you hear me?
 - >> Yes, we can.

>> I am just frustrated to see the county continue to put people who are not impacted in these positions. I think it really is sad the way that you all continue to cut people out. Who are making decisions on behalf of folk are deeply impacted by jails, mass incarceration? You know? Four-person team doesn't make sense. And, you know, I know there are a lot of people who have been working to close this down for very long time. Just you all need to figure it out and stop beating around the bush and save lives.

- >> Thank you. Up next, we have (Indistinct). Go ahead.
- >> You got it right the first time can you hear me?
- >> Yes, I can.

>> Thank you. I just wanted to repeat what TK and someone else said that CFCI funds should not be used for carceral policies like incarceration and I also urge that you require whether the funds are used for the programs or not. And I also wanted to know if it is possible to receive a detail break down of how CFCI funds are use today fund JCIT and if the funds are being use today pay the salaries of JCIT contractors. We heard more community input is included which is great. How do you use community participation? We want to see more investment in community-based organizations as part of this carceral policy and see how this is implemented. Thank you.

>> Thank you. Up next, we will take one from the phone ending in 322. Go ahead.

>> Yes, I am also concerned about CFCI funds being used for electronic monitoring especially black and brown folks are surveilled LA when you have to pay for your incarceration. Incarceration (Indistinct) must vulnerable into. I would like them to ne if it is possible to receive a detailed breakdown of how CFCI funds are used to fund JCIT for example are they use today pay for the salaries of JCIT contractors? If we are talking about community input included in the JCIT plan for strategy development. How the find meetings for organizations. How does the JCIT plan for more community participation?

>> Thank you. Next, we have Jason. Go ahead.

>> Yes. Thank you so much and I was just wanting lg to make a comment to reiterate what was said before about the mental health problem and also ask about the considerations for increases the homeless situation within Los Angeles County by removing people from incarceration but not providing the necessary support to have people be connected with housing in the community. So that way we don't have people with not only, you no e, recent incarceration. But also, mental health issues that are left out on the street where they were just incarcerated. It would be wonderful to know if any of these funds are going to prevent that and possible

extending operation home key and helping people not to be put into the street to further worsen the homeless population.

- >> Thank you, Jason. Karen you are up.
- >> Hi can you hear me?
- >> Yes, we can.
- >> Hi everyone my name is Karen and I am calling as a community member and I wanted to uplift what JC and jack have already said I think it is absolutely necessary to have folk who is have just as impacted be a part of the process and not just serving or in conversation with the JCIT team. As Sophia mentioned earlier this is a matter of life and death. While is the JC team may be committed today the cause it will not measure up to folk who is are impacted by the justice system and it is necessary to have them in conversations at the seat of the table and making decisions for the community they are representing. Thank you.
- >> Miles Diana will be the last public comment we passed it had 15-minute.
 - >> Got it. Diana you are up. Go ahead.
- >> Thank you, my name is Diana, and with consulting and the rack. It is helpful to hear the progress that is moving forward and I also just want to share it is extremely disappointing at the same time. We are now in 2022 and many of us that are a part of this call were working on the initial reports for the ATI work group and then that shifted to the initial reports to close men's

central jail in 2020. Those reports that were developed, are clear plans to actually close the jail that had input from people who were formerly incarcerated that had consensus building approaches across the county departments and I also even went into the seven highly impacted communities that you always bring up that the advancement project brings up as well and we surveyed during the ATI work group process to see what they wanted to with the land where men's central jail is instead of having the jail.

>> Sorry Diana your minute is up I have to cut you off.

Apologies. And the chair has closed public comment for this item.

Back to you chair.

>> Feel free to submit written public comment at the end of the meeting and if can you put the ATI e-mail in the chat to have the information to submit. Thank you for the input. Brandon, I know there was a lot of questions and things heard. I want to lift up an if few things. Contact information put in the chat. If you want to get in contact with the JCIT office you can reach at JCIT at CEO dot LA county dot gov and the information is also dropped in the chat and several people have interest in this. And then for the ATI team it will be helpful. Brandon made multiple references to the regular. I don't know if it was quarterly or other reports made to the board. I will make a request when the JCIT are sent to board they are made public documents. It would be great if we have those sent out to us and we will ask the JC

team to come every quarter to provide an update and as you heard there is a great interest in having a meaningful community input process throughout your process and if we can support that in any way as we are working on our own community engagement efforts let us know.

>> Thank you and making sure people got the e-mail address you read in the chat. Thank you for that too.

>> Thank you so much. And I am moving on from this agenda item. I am moving onto agenda item number seven which includes what is listed on the formal I agenda. We get an update at this time. Last week's meeting in the last meeting earlier this month about where we are in term offense the distribution of year one funds and potential um, take of year one funds and that update will include brief comments from the antiracism inclusion initiative around the equity piece that goes into how year one is being implemented ATI and (Indistinct).

>> Thank you for the opportunity to present to the committee in ways we are going to be able to relating leveraging (Indistinct) tools ins advancing equity and CCI projects and I will start briefly and talk about the expectations of ARDI in the CCI advisory committee and I will turn to the ATI team to answer the other questions you raised.

One of the things we are committed to doing is leveraging ARDI tools based on the boards unanimously approved motion. When

it established the advisory committee in August 2021. motion it included add directive to utilize equity tools developed by the ARDI initiative and have keeping with the directive we have collaborated with the ATI team to dop develop ACI projects which requires county departments to determine and articulate how to advance equity at each stage of the project development process. Prioritizing equity at the inception of CFCI projects ensure project outcomes with the aim to reduce disparities and access to. And address the impacts and out comes that are expected from CFCI projects and services. Our project design efforts support ability of departments to develop equitable department goals and equity metrics and inclusive outreach and engagement plan so that departments can prioritize the populations as well as geographies that are most impacted by the pandemic as well as by historic inequities while infusing equity and service delivery. ATI and ARDI provide technical assistance to CFCI project departments. And for the American rescue plan funded CFCI projects ARDI is primary technical assistance provider and for the CFCI primarily funded projects ATI is primary technical assistant provider. Through the technical assistance we make available to the departments in the project design process it allows them to codify equity commitments in the project design and cultivates greater accountability to achieve the committees' outcomes.

One of the things we are excited about doing and ensuring is that accountability will be made available through the CFCI project dash board. Which as directed by the board is a public facing tools that displays equity metrics target populations and performance metric that is are developed throughout the project design process.

We are happy to partner with the ATI colleagues to leverage the equity tool that is are designed by ARDI for (Indistinct) the equity mapping tool and equity dash board. If there are additional questions regarding the technical assistance process, I can share those with the team. But one of the things I will leave you all with the ATI team is working diligently to ensure equity is in each of the CFCI funded projects and we are confident that we will be able to not only share this information publicly but ensure that contracts and resources for CFCI are made available to the public. I will note that if folk would to learn more about CFCI con tracking opportunities. They can go to CEO's web site. CEO dot LA county dot gov slash recovery where the CFCI competitive solicitations are list and had been made available. And if folk also want to learn more about how they can stay abreast of any potential subcontracting opportunities they can go to the web site and sign up and click on the interest form which will make them available. That concludes my presentation at this time.

>> Thank you we are going to hear the full update and we will take comments and questions at that time. Thank you.

>> If you can put up the document. Further request of the advisory committee we drafted add document that lists all of the various CFCI funded programs whether the funds were CFCI or whether they were ARPA funds this is the (Indistinct) dollars we spoke about previously and provides a status from where they are in terms of planning implementing and launching the various efforts that were funded and as you can see and as of the work they are doing. With Dr. Scarsa and ARDI platform most of them are still in the project design phase. As you can see some -- 1 or 2 solicitations have been launched and those are mostly the, actually there is just one separate from TPA and community engagement solicitations and this document outlines and I believe this is attach today the agenda as well. Outlines each of the various programs that were The funding. Where the funding source and the various funded. projects and update on the implementation of the various projects as well as the amount of funding that was provided as well.

The second document. Again, in last week's meeting. There was a lot of conversation and discussion regarding exactly how the funds are being allocated to departments. How the funds are going to be allocated over a couple of years. And then what allocation of funding would this committee be responsible for in subsequent years.

So, we started drafting this document and the goal is to sort of lay this out in a way that is a bit easier to understand. And so, I am going to walk through it a little.

measure J advisory committee year the recommendation for a hundred million dollars programming for a hundred million dollars, actual live it was more. I believe it was \$179 million of funding. Of programs to be funded. given to the CEO. The CEO identified a hundred million dollars of CFCI funding and then identified an additional \$87 million of arp funding and those funds were provided to county departments or a third-party administrator and the departments are in the process of determining how to spend those funds. We are in the process of finalizing the solicitation or the contract with the third-party administrator to push those funds out the door. What is envisioned is that those funds will be available for three-year span. is a hundred million dollars each year for three years that either the TPA or county departments will have access to spend.

In this current fiscal year your advisory body is reviewing or providing oversight for the \$187 million of CFCI and arp funding and then your committee is also making recommendations for an additional hundred million dollars of funding that will receive. And that hundred million dollars will be for a three-year time period and at the end of the three-year time period your advisory committee will have an opportunity to reprogram those funds or

allow them to remain where they are once you made the recommendations. One thing that your advisory committee will also have to consider is that part of the \$87 million of Arp funding we know is around for two years so your committee as part of the deliberation will have to determine whether it wants to fund programs that were funded with arp dollars with your CFCI funds and that is a determination that you will have to make.

At the end of fiscal year 24, 25. There will be a total of \$300 million. That your committee will have program and you will have opportunities each subsequent year to review essentially a hundred million dollars of funding to determine if you want to leave the programming in place or if you want to adjust the programs that are receiving those funds.

I think this document was also included as a link to the agenda and there is an opportunity for you all the to provide us with comments and we can make adjustments to the document. And we want to outline the three years of funding that your committee will be responsible for programming each year. Year one funding. Year two funding. And year three funding.

Each approximately a hundred million dollars that you will be developing program around. And then you will then have an opportunity to evaluate those programs and then make adjustments to each of those funds and I will stop there and see if there are any questions.

>> Can you pull up the first document please again? My concern is about being stuck on the fiscal year. Meaning there is a challenge or a short time contracting if contract rolls out until March or April. And I have been going back and forth and can you clarify. I think we got clarity earlier today. That whenever these programs that are TBD are launched sometime in the next few months the contract start date that start it is clock for the 46 months will be whatever that date is and they will be stuck to a July 1st and June 30th time line can you confirm that is the case.

>> Yes, we are having internal conversations to figure out the best way to do that so it doesn't cause complications on the back end. Yes. Assuming the committee is comfortable with the idea of the TPA and the departments having the full allotment of their grant shall we say. And that grant will be expended over a three-year period of time. We will make adjustments on the back end to make sure they have the full amount of time to launch the programs.

>> Other question I have and committee members raise your hands if you have comments is that do, we have any idea of the TBD whether or not they will be launched before July or are they here there and everywhere. And only reason I am raising it is because if we have all of the TBD I suggest even if we are not doing the traditional fiscal year. Again, the clock starts ticking when the

departments are funded that maybe we aim for April or something like that so it is consistent. When we do program evaluation it might be difficult having six different start months.

It would be helpful if you can drop the attachments in the chat. The versions of the agendas some folks have the links are not working and please put the PDF version in the chat for the public and members and members please don't chat it is for the staff the to communicate with the public as needed. Please drop the PDF in to the chat.

Any idea of the TBD whether or not the goal is prior to July 1st or we just don't know?

>> I believe it is the intent of all of the departments with the various programs to have the programs launched prior to the end of the fiscal year. I think each program is going to be different. It depends on if there is a new solicitation. If they are expanding a current contract they may have. So, it might be difficult to have one date for all of the programs. But I, we have spoken with each of the sort of program administrators for each of the programs and the intent is to start these in advance or prior to the end of the fiscal year. Hopefully within the next few months.

>> Okay. I think this body should weigh in on that. It feels very chaotic and it would be helpful if we can get more information even further in where they pr in the process. It would be helpful

to have a step-by-step guide. What are the things they are going the through? We understand equity tool is one piece but what are the steps required before it hit it is community. As we look at the updates, we understand people are on step 1 of 7 or 6 of 7 and that is the level of detail I will request that we get and we create a systematic way for the departments to report this and I would a lot of to hear from the departments representing here so we have this information on a consistent basis I am for that. For the purpose of the committee's responsibility, we need to tighten up where we are receiving the information and how and systematically receive it so we know what is going on. Bam by. Reba. Derrick and Dr. Gally. In that order and we can stop the share for now. Maybe.

>> Thank you so much. I am a little confused. Just by how this was framed in terms of languages such as if this committee wants to approve. You know, this committee and other committees have made multiple recommendations to ensure that the funds go actually to the community. It is a little concern to go me that according to the time line that was shared. You know. A contract was supposed to start in July of last year and we are already in another year. In January.

Contract according to the document that was shared the first contract would have been ending in June of 2022. Right? And so, I don't understand why, what is the hold up. Again. Being that

there have been multiple recommendations and has been a lay out of how the funds need to be distribute and had all of that.

I guess what is the hold up? Why is it we are not putting the money to the people who need the money? We have many recommendations who this money should go to. I am not sure who can answer that. But it is just confusing and we, we heard from the presentation about the equity tools and all of that. There have been multiple things that have been developed and why is it that we are not acting fast enough? We are talking about the lives of people.

>> I will defer to Vincent and I will then provide a little bit of context. On the arp funded projects the requirement for all arp funded projects call for specific use of equity goals, outcomes, community engagement plan etc. It takes the departments time to develop the project design around the use of the goals so they can use the resource in that way. Another thing to note for the arp requirement for the arp CFCI projects they must use a solicitation bidding process and that takes time as well the county streamlined the process which makes it easier which is rolled out for departments and what is important to note while the committee made decisions to help move the dollars quickly because the county was able the to utilize it has dollars to further invest in CFCI aligned project it is projects had to come in line with the federal.

There is an important set of expectations for departments. The federal requirements both mandate and had strongly recommended must be adhered to in order to expand the funds and I will turn the rest over.

>> Thank you. And one of the things to consider is while the measure J advisory committee made recommendations to the CEO. The CEO then had to send the recommendations to the board of supervisors the board did not move the funds until October of last year. County department haves a short period of time to review the various programs they had sent to the measure J committee and process of implementing and determining what implementation would look like and developing the designs for the various programs and start to push out. It had a short window of time to move the effort forward. We would expect not the see these delays with year two funding and going forward and it was important to remember this is the first year of the effort and there is a lot of revving up and acclimating is still occurring.

>> Thank you. Reba?

>> With all due respect I don't think those are valid excuses. I think, yes, there are processes in place. I think, you no e, it is important. There have been recommendations about the process. Whoever made the decision to delay the process for the third-party administrator. The solicitation. That is also part of the processes that continues to happen.

I think there is obviously a restructuring process that needs to happen to ensure that the contract process is less tedious so money can go to the people who need it although I understand all of this federal and whatever mandates that we are saying. I think there is ways people k make this happen and we are six months into the process and how are we going to ensure that people get funded before the end of the contract year?

>> Great points and lots of considerations and we are having the discussions for the last several months and we are moving towards processes and part of it is transparency and figuring out a way for the body to be a part of the process and not just get updates and we are move ng the right direction and I know it is frustrating for many of us. We also want to be thoughtful as well and don't want things rushed today the point where there is an intended harm. Reba derrick and Dr. Gally.

>> I think the part is we don't have the consultant yet and I wonder if that has anything to do with the lag. I realize something. The spread sheet. I am, I am confused and had I don't like to operate in confusion within myself and I may not be the only person here who may need to perhaps sit with some of you to review some of this. And I say that because there is a lot of work and I respect the work of the community before I was seated. And so, I want to make sure that I am staying in line with all of their good works. But at the same time, I think there is more to

learn here. I looked at the spread sheet and I kept looking for under the SUD for treatment facilities and we can talk be about helping people get sober. If we don't have the right places for people to go and I didn't see that. And there is a lot of questions clarifying questions I believe that I have that can be taken off of here. And it is important for me to say publicly to the public as well to each of you. So, I am seeking some assistance to better understand some of the things in which I believe.

>> We are certainly available to walk through both of the documents or any of the documents with you to make sure you clearly understand what the documents are attempt to go reflect and we can schedule that offline for you.

>> Thank you.

>> Also, I want to offer the to all of the members and especially those not a part of the first committee as we go through the process of year two. Your input about what should be prioritized will be most impactful there where we are making decisions about what to recommend for funding for year \$2. Derrick?

>> Thank you chair. And I just want to say thank you for the update here. You were talking earlier and you mentioned in the, as long as the, I am par phrasing this. You can clarify if I am saying correctly. As long as the full allocation of the funds are

there. You all can figure out how the make sure all of the funds are expended to the projects that are actually funded right?

So, I am thinking about some of the other county processes that as an organization we have gone through. For instance, working with the department of public health. When they are trying the to figure out the funding for dealing with -- projects funded through it.

Sometimes that alignment. You know. The date of when it was supposed to go out goes past because they couldn't figure out the funding that has nothing to do with projects but there was a stopgap. That was provided to help maintain those organizations that are funded through the county until they figured out the project. Or there was an amendment in how much was in a year.

So maybe it was initially supposed to be \$300,000 but it turned into \$350,000 just because there was an in year two, I mean, because they are trying the o support the money that was allocate it had year before that going into the second year.

I guess the question I am asking what are some of the thinking to make sure the projected are made whole in the amount of funding that is supposed to happen in the three years of the life of the contracts they are supposed to have with year one funding.

>> Initially there was consideration or conversation around whatever funding, whatever funds were not spent the first year as part of year one would be taken and placed, taken aside and

potentially reallocated by your advisory committee. This advisory committee. I think given the difficulty a lot of departments have had just getting the departments up and running I think there is new thinking around it had idea of not necessarily touching any of the funding. Any of the year one funding and allowing departments and the TPA enough flexibility to spend those funds without any of them being reduced. Without that allocation being reduced to give you an example. If an organization was given a million dollars each year for three years the initial thinking was if they did not spend all of the million dollars in the first year. Whatever portion was unspent would be returned in the sense to your advisory committee and you will have an opportunity to reallocate those funds to some other effort that you believe was worthwhile.

I think the new thinking is that because departments have had not had. The TPA have had sufficient time to start any of their programming it doesn't make any sense to take funding away from the departments or the TPA. Instead to allow the funds to remain and extend the period in which they would have to do the programming.

So, that I, I think that is the new thinking. On the back end there is some accounting and bureaucratic pieces we have to figure out. That shouldn't stop your body from make that recommendation if you think that is what makes sense.

>> I want to say a different way. What we are try to go move towards is whatever the contract start date or program launch date is to allocate the first phase of the funds that is on when the 36-month of allocation will begin. A full three years regardless of when it starts. February, March, April, May or June. The 36-month period begins at that point. So, the program has an opportunity to operate a full 36 months and I know that is what you said say it that way to help make sure everybody grasps what we are saying. Which is different from what we have heard for months. Okay.

>> Thank you for the clarification. Thank you for that. That is helpful and I am glad to hear that which mean it is funded projects for year one will rf the full life of those dollars to be able to bring forth the solutions that are bringing to the community. So that is good to hear.

>> Thank you Dr. Gally.

>> Thank you I have a few comments coming from the perspective of a department that is administering a number of the projects through both housing for health and also the office of diversion and reentry and I think there is a lot of streamlining to be done to allow the money to move out faster and several of the points have been made I want to reiterate the departments are not in a position where they can get the money moved out and I understand the frustration and we feel it too with having sat on the previous

measure J advisory body and CFCI. Those decisions were made a year ago about where the money should go but the departments did not receive the authority to spend any money until about a month ago and it was still about a month ago where we still didn't have authority to hire or on board any contractors and that is part of this administrative and bureaucratic process I think needs to be streamlined and had there is also a program design review process that adds another degree of administrative burden that I think is unnecessary and could be eliminate and had I think there is a lot of parts of this process that could be done differently if our goal is to move the money out and get people served quickly and I would encourage a different approach to the county processes that could be done. It could be done. Hiring authority could be done. Early contract. Budget adjustments and different ways to make this happen and we need the flexibility and be able to empower the departments and hold them accountable for their results rather than adding a lot of this administrative burden and I will say as the department (Indistinct) had any communication directly from ATI about the status of t projects it would be great if we can be added today the distribution list about these or the status of the projects, I know me and a few others were not in loop.

>> Thank you I think it is helpful to hear the additional context and I said this I feel like we are going to need to have an ad hoc committee. The implementation team that works alongside

ATI and the departments. We can't do business as usual. We can't go for the status quo and I know there is complexities with all of these things and I think it is worth get through the complexities to get to the other side so the experience is improved for all involve and had thank you for the additional context and I think it is helpful to hear those things. T Sophia?

>>S as I saw the plan for the upcoming year. I understand we have been told over and over we won't know what the counties budget will look like in coming years and there is uncertainty there and I want to reiterate and I think it is worth saying over and over the ten percent outlined the county has committed to. Let me not talk about measure J and I know this is a different process now. The ten percent that the county has committed to again was the baseline. The floor not the ceiling and I hope to see the \$300 million grow.

Folks who were on the advisory committee from last year have seen the amount of money needed for all of the programs and the programs that are needed to actually implement a care first county it is way beyond \$300 million especially as we start to increase permits and housing and things that have on going costs.

>> Thank you. Bam by we will take you as final comment for now. Bam by?

>> Thank you so much. For opportunity once again. I do have to recognize and admit obviously there are a lot of great and

intentional people who wants to do the right thing and their heart is in it. And I want to acknowledge that. And I want to appreciate that.

It is important for us to recognize that the system is flawed. There is, it has not worked for our people. We have seen thousands of pages of contract that is the county has that are unnecessary. Right? That is why there was, there was a recommendation to use a third-party administrator so that those organizations that are the most impacted could benefit from that.

It is just, it goes back when, what was his name from the CEO office presented. I forgot. He is saying how departments are working on (Indistinct).

I think this is an opportunity and again I have been involved in county contracts and managing programs and all of that. For many years and I know how all of this works and it is time to create the changes and those who have the ability I want to invite you to use your power to make things happen for our people. And I know your intention is there. But I don't know what is happening that it is not happening. So, I want to invite you to do that. So, thank you.

>> Thank you bam by. I want to, we will take public comment and then I want to close the loop on some of the requests. As we move forward and I appreciate all of the work going into this with the ATI team and contracts team and also there is some things we

need to push for and let's open up public comment. Same thing
15 minutes maximum for this item.

- >> Okay here we go we are doing public comment on the most recent item which is the first year CFCI spending. Raise your hand online or star nine to raise your hand.
 - >> To clarify it is both on items 5 and 7 combined.
- >> Thank you madame chair. Star nine to raise your hand star six to un-mute yourself and we will take public comment on those items and I have one hand raised. TK. Go ahead TK.
- >> Hi thank you for your time. So, I want to thank Miss Lewis for clarifying about the three years and allocation to have the funds and thank you to the team for the work. And validated points and a lot of information impact. It is crucial. The community voted on measure J two years ago and yes it needs to be b a thought-out process and committees and subcommittees are arrange today allocate the funds and create the programs and what Miss Bam biwas say sg absolutely we need to pressure, to move a little bit faster. People are dying on these streets and need mental health service. The county is dragging its feet not allocating the funds and what can the community do in support of moving this forward to get the housing project that is we need and also 300 million like Miss Sofia said is not enough and as time goes on and increasing prices are happening.

>> Thank you, your time is up. Moving to Nicole Brown. Go ahead.

>> Good evening, Nicole Brown with the peace institute. Thank you for sharing the information it is helpful and I, while I think the majority of the year one funding recommendations it is great to know that they will have 36 months of funding. There were a couple that I remember that seemed like they might be more appropriate for one-time funds and you will have to check in with the program for instance tens of millions allocated to this camp. That was redon in Lancaster and I wasn't sure if that was a onetime fund or on-going programming and I invite the committee to still review some of those of the year one spending allocation and funding allocation and I want to reiterate what Sofia said and that we believe it should be greater than the \$300 million. Thank you.

>> Thank you. (Indistinct). Go ahead.

>> I don't know if I misheard this or it is incorrect. It is trouble to go hear that maybe at some point after the American rescue plan funding is gone. What programs are be getting CFCI funding? That is CFCI funding being used to fill the vacuum that the absence of the Arp funding will Lee and to reiterate a point that was made earlier when measure J was passed the expectation was that we will get about 990 million and I don't want to pick between arts for our youth and legal services for immigrants. That

is, those services community members pushed for and we want to have. And the we know what we need. Additionally, please --

- >> Thank you, your time is up. Going to (Indistinct) please.
- >> So, I want to uplift what the last two speak shared. I urge that this advisory committee continue, or prioritize and represent the needs and experiences of the people who are most impacted by this. And then this starts off by reflecting. Making sure that voices, the people who are most impacted and most underrepresented are reflected in this and their voices are represented as well. And again, just fully fund this measure. Fully fund CFCI. We voted for measure J and want all of measure J and all of the money to be going to our community and black and brown and indigenous people need to be at the center of the decisions being made here. We need to implement participatory budgeting and look to examples like peoples cares first budget to see what that looks like and meanness and we need to fully fund measure J and CFCI.
 - >> Thank you, your time is up. Alex Dobs go ahead.
- >> Hi my name is Alex and I am a youth pastor in east LA and I he a similar concern as Reba where I didn't see on the document line for the reinvision youth school and summer programs which is \$4 million on the detail budget and I wonder if that can be add and had more transparency and that item or every item on the detail

budget is open for funding or when funding would start. Thank you.

- >> Thank you, Alex. Jason goes ahead.
- >> Yes this is Jason again with the psycho, social pod cast and thank you again to all of the panelists and the work you are doing and this is a life or death situation for many people and people dealing with mental illness or possibly facing life on the street after dealing with being faced with incarceration we are on the right step and I echo the sentiments of other people to fully fund measure J and do as much as we can to prevent people from continuing a cycle of poverty and homelessness within Los Angeles county thank you so much and have a great day.
 - >> Thank you. Up next, we have Christian green. Go ahead.
- >> Awesome greetings everyone and thank you for the work that you are doing and thanks for what you are all doing and in regards someone stated what can the community do and I think that is a question and I think maybe we are surrounding solution and what can we do to help you all and what can we do at the end of the day and uplifting the antelope valley and making sure we center these, our voice at the center of the conversation as well. There is student that are continuously being victimize and had at the hands of law enforcement and we want to make sure they are highlighted in these documents as well. I will stop there. Thank you.

- >> Thank you Christian. We have a, I think a few more minutes.

 T anybody else want to make a comment? Ta sha. Go ahead.
 - >> Thank you can you hear me, okay?
 - >> Yes, we can.
- >> Just wanted today short comment to reiterate and request that all documents that are being presented whether by ATI or any person presenting in this forum should be made available ahead of time. Similar to what Dr. Gally mentioned. Not only to departments but the community and the advisory board. All documents. Whether time line bs and presentation slides and it will give us time to review and be prepared to have the appropriate questions and a thought-out process prior to this meeting. Thank you.
 - >> Laura you are up next go ahead.
- >> My name is Laura and I wasn't able toll give public comment during JCIT public comment. I want to relate it the county has made plans -- the creation of JCIT is redundant and in some ways undermining the lived expertise of community members who have made all of the plans and I want to reiterate what the other public comment said the JCIT needs to include directly impacted voices and not partner with the sheriff and monitor people. Release people now as community has been advocating for and in relation to the current issue. I want to reiterate what community member haves

said that measure J was almost up to a p billion dollars and now looking at 300 million and the loss of the more than.

- >> Sorry your time is up. Do we have. Madame chair you want to take anymore comment.
 - >> We still have 15 minutes.
 - >> Okay. Queen Cora goes ahead.
- >> Hell, low I want to thank you all. The committee. Advisory committee and appreciate the efforts that you have all put towards making an impact and can I want to introduce myself as a community member and business owner of building simple. And am definitely interested in partnering and creating sustainable solutions. I take shipping containers and I provide housing and I am definitely looking forward to partnering web site is simple ink dot com. And for those looking for lodging that is honor able and sustainable.
 - >> Thank you (Indistinct). Go ahead.
- >> Hi. I just want to consider this from a different perspective. Because I know the timing of this is egregious and it is frustrating and I do want to think about the fact that the best of the county programs has taken considerably more time to create ask if you put a chef in the kitchen with all of the tools that are available to them and give them ha of the time to cook. They are going to give awe crazy mess.

I do want to think about how best to balance that at this point in time and how to bring them to capacity to do it in a much

shorter time while still getting funds to the community. Because we absolutely need these funds to hit the streets sooner rather than later.

>> Thank you. Anymore comment we have time if for one more comment perhaps. Any hands? Did TK already comment? There is one comment per person on the item. Did TK already comment?

>> I don't know whether or not it was on this item. I can't recall.

>> Yes, the first person to speak on the item.

>> who did not comment and would like to make comment. We have time for one more comment. Any hand? Seeing no hands back to you madame chair.

>> Thank you to all of the speakers for your perspectives and thoughts and suggestions. We are listening and I think to close the loop on this. We, is it possible to more systematically understand what is happening with this implementation and I am posing that question to our ATI colleagues and derrick I see your hand. I am concerned. Is there a way?

Let me ask, how do you understand what is happening with the implementation of CFCI right now? Is there some type of reporting mechanism from the county departments? Are you case conferencing? What does the process look like currently and how can we be privy to that information in a more Realtime way?

>> So, there are several ways in which we are engaged with departments to see how they are moving forward with implementation and one is using the ARDI platform. And departments are being asked to enter data information on the implement of programs and asked them to do it quarterly and we also put departments on notice to brief you on how well they are moving the various programs along. All departments have been placed on noticed and we can certainly and I think we thinking the various agendas of this. We are thinking every couple of months of having departments to come and brief you all. But we can always change that if you would like departments to come and explain to you how they are implementing and launching the various programs that is something we can certainly work to put forth a schedule for having departments to come and do that.

>> I think that is part of it. And I don't want to create more work. But I do feel like what you describe second-degree not going to get the committee what they need in a realistic manner and we have a lot of work to do. And that could take up the rest of the time between now and June and I don't know how feasible that is and I almost feel like we need to create something where this is a monthly update and hitting key point about where they are and that information is made available publicly and to the committee and in addition to that I will go back to earlier comment can we get something that shows the key or primary steps before,

from when the department receives the dollars to when they are going to release them. So, the report that we ask for can inform us based on where they are on those steps and I think we need to start and figure out what does it take and understand where they are and I also think that can support. We have two different things happening now and str to figure out in a meaningful and thoughtful way and it is very clear and I think our committee expressed over the last few months we want to see something different and don't want the same experience in year two. I think really being more systematic about understanding what the process is. And getting reports about where people are in the process can also help us understand what the issues are partly to what Dr. Gally writes and so we can work on those things and not do again in a few months.

>> We can query departments on a monthly basis and ask them where they are with implementation of various programs and put in a template that charts out t where each department is and provide to the advisory committee.

We can certainly start that process now and put something in place that allows us to do that on a monthly basis.

>> That would be great and it is a starting point and doesn't resolve all of the challenges everybody has raised today I understand that. And we start to organize that way it allows us

to understand and measure what is happening and we cannot afford to do it again for year two. We can't do it like this. Derrick?

>> Thank you chair. I also want to take a moment to acknowledge how much impact we have made in such short time. Right? So much change is happening within the county. Not just things within the realm of CFCI. I mentioned this a few times it is ways how we are approaching (Indistinct) with the match of what is happening with ECC which is the equity and county contracting. We haven't even gotten to the point where those things come together and being in these spaces, I can see why it takes so much time. You really have to go through each and every part to make sure you undo the things that are harmful and recreate thing that is are going to be more effective and to be able to improve the process.

We got some of our friends and allies and coconspirators in the county losing sleep the to make sure they keep the things moving as fast as possible. At the same time of that these are public dollars and they have a fiduciary duty.

Sometimes it is an old adage. We can want to push the dollars out as fast as possible and yes, they need to happen that way. There are people in the balance and impact and had affected by it. I don't know about you all I still want a process of measuring twice and cutting once when it comes to the dollars so they can

have the most impact possible and also, we are not having waste across the process.

I agree we need to move as fast as possible we need to make sure that the dollars are moving in a way that is impactful too.

To your point chair if there is a way to measure the process along the way so we can see where some of the hang ups in year one so we don't have the same things ins year two. I am m here for the information and how we can support that. I want to lift up the hard work and dedication I know some of the folks or at least I am talking through to get us where we need to be with this.

>> Thank you for that. Thank you. Doctor --

>> Thank you for that. I want to thank the committee members for lifting up the issues and especially the issues of needing to go fast. Ly start by saying we heard people call in on public comments talk about their family and my brother is one of those people dealing with mental health issues in ask out of men's central jail. That is a personal thing for me. I don't get to see him. He goes back and forth in and out of jail dealing with substance abuse issues. Let me say clearly this hits home for a lot of folks who do work in county including myself and others.

I will also say sometimes moving fast helps to maintain the status quo and that reenforces broken systems if we want to focus on equity, we got to get it right the first time. One of the challenges we face in LA county in general is sometimes we are

pushed today move things really fast but we can't let billions of dollars be allocated in a traditional fashion and we can't do it the way we did before if we want to create change and that is going the take time for us to look at the data. Target the needs of communities and figure out where the disparities exist and use the resources and fashions that are going to address the need that is all of us are talking about right now.

My encouragement is for us to at least recognize there is a need. There are folk who are houseless. Folk who are incarcerated and had we have the o do everything we can to move the ball forward. We got to get it right too. And by getting it right. have to stream line systems and I am not here to defend anyone. I know our teams are working to do. And yes, we have to outcomes for families and at the end of the day we cannot go the status quo or the way things done in the past and we have to make sure the department haves the support they need to target the populations that are important and make sure contracting opportunities are made available to small businesses and non-profits. So, we can shift the systems and resources into communities and the county is embarking on a new journey to make that happen. I am not here to defend anyone. I am here to lift this up. It is important context to let folk know how much work it takes to make these things happen so we can fundamentally achieve equity and I will leave my comments there.

>> Thank you for that and I think all of the perspectives are help and that is why we are here and there is so much diversity across the committee. Challenge folks are forgetting sg some of the questions and requirements are questions asked by the departments that have never been asked before. To meet the guiding principles. They never had to grapple with these things before about equity and being more intentional. That is going take more time. We are trying not to do business as usual. At the same time I think from the perspective of this committee we are going to work closely with the ATI office to make sure there is a great level of transparency and we can understand what is going on and both things can be true at the same time and I think all of the perspectives are helpful and when you know better you do better and we are going to organize ourselves to understand what is going on and continue to improve the process so that the folks that need the help can get the help that they need in a way that makes sense and there is a level of account transparency like we never seen before which is what we are aiming pr with this process. Doctor is your hand up begun or is that a carry over?

Thank you all for your comments. We did have a presentation today. I want to kind of post check. Because we are schedule today end at 630. As you know we have \$1.5 million that we need to reallocate. Ideally continue to be towards youth programs.

ODR and the service integration. Office is prepared to do a

presentation today and I want to do a post check to see if you want to hear the presentation today and pick up the discussion in the next meeting. would you like to continue the entire subject matter until next meeting? Please share your feedback at this time.

- >> Any indication of the length of the presentation?
- >> Do we know presentation is?
- >> I think it is about ten minutes but I will let my team correct me if I'm wrong. But I will propose moving forward today.
- >> Are the majority of you able to say for another 20 minutes at least. I can't see some of you. I don't know what that means.

 Okay. Thank you, Kelly. All right. Let's move forward then.

So, and we will continue agenda item number nine where we discuss and take a vote. While we are going to move forward to agenda item number eight. Related to the youth hub programs. You all have the floor.

>> Thank you so much madame chair this is Carlos with the service integration branch. With the groups permission if it is okay, I would like the o have a brief presentation that I could share with you if I can share my screen. Is that snoek.

- >> Yes, thank you.
- >> Thank you very much. Let me know when you can see the screen. You see it? Great. I will try to make this quick should be three the five minutes long. I was told I have 3 to 5 minutes

to talk about the transition and what it is. What it is we talk about and have to understand about what (Indistinct) Los Angeles is and the opportunity of how the two things got married.

Essentially what we have if folks are familiar, you call 211 there is information and referrals and folks ask for information and refer the services. What happened was we had a contract that was expiring in 2022. One and continue the contract in 2022 and went through the RFP process and selected a vendor and now in the process of finalizing the negotiation process. At the same time there was a board memo or directive to create a transition ageing hub online. A one stop shop if you will for all things transitioned youth or caretakers. For anyone that really touches a life of the young person foster care who is transitioning out.