AGENDA

Members of the Public may address the Public Safety Cluster on any agenda item by submitting a written request prior to the meeting. Two (2) minutes are allowed per person in total for each item.

1. CALL TO ORDER

2. GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT

3. INFORMATIONAL ITEM(S): [Any Information Item is subject to discussion and/or presentation at the request of two or more Board offices with advance notification]:

   A. Board Letter:
      REQUEST APPROVAL OF FISCAL YEAR 2021-22 APPROPRIATION ADJUSTMENT FOR CONSULTANT SERVICES TO THE COUNTYWIDE WARRANT SYSTEM FOR THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF’S DEPARTMENT
      Speaker(s): Scott Goodwin, Fred Nazarbegian and Joe Salazar (Sheriff)

   B. Board Letter:
      AUTHORIZE THE PUBLIC DEFENDER TO EMPLOY RETIRED COUNTY EMPLOYEES ON A TEMPORARY BASIS
      Speaker(s): Jon Trochez (Public Defender)

4. PRESENTATION/DISCUSSION ITEM(S):

   A. Board Letter:
      PROBATION DEPARTMENT’S INTENT TO PROCEED WITH THE “HOME-LIKE” IMPROVEMENTS TO BARRY J. NIDORF JUVENILE HALL AND OBTAIN APPROVAL OF A FISCAL YEAR 2021-22 APPROPRIATION ADJUSTMENT
      Speaker(s): Robert Smythe (Probation)
B. Board Letter: (Continued from 5/25)
APPROVE SOLE SOURCE AMENDMENTS TO EXTEND PROPOSITION A
CONTRACTS NUMBER 78467 WITH UNIVERSAL PROTECTION SERVICES, LP
DBA ALLIED UNIVERSAL SECURITY SERVICES AND NUMBER 78469 WITH
SECURITAS SECURITY SERVICES, USA, INC. FOR ARMED AND UNARMED
SECURITY SERVICES
Speaker(s): Irma Santana and Jovie Hough (Sheriff’s)

C. Board Briefing:
PROBATION OVERSIGHT COMMISSION (POC) AND OFFICE OF INSPECTOR
GENERAL (OIG) MONTHLY BRIEFING
Speaker(s): Wendelyn Julien (POC) and Eric Bates (OIG)

D. Board Briefing:
SHERIFF’S ROSAS BRIEFING
Speaker(s): Hugo Macias (Sheriff)

5. PUBLIC COMMENTS

6. ADJOURNMENT

CLOSED SESSION

CS-1 CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL-EXISTING LITIGATION
(Subdivision (a) of Government Code Section 54956.9)
Maria Elvira Quintanilla Cebreros v. County of Los Angeles, et al.
United States District Court Case No. 2:20-cv-09267-RGK (KS)
Department: Medical Examiner-Coroner

CS-2 CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL-EXISTING LITIGATION
(Subdivision (a) of Government Code Section 54956.9)
United States District Court Case No. 2:20-CV-07393
Department: Sheriff’s

CS-3 CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL-EXISTING LITIGATION
(Subdivision (a) of Government Code Section 54956.9)
Non-Litigated Claim of Alexander Arupo
Department: Sheriff’s
7. UPCOMING ITEMS:

A. Board Briefing:
   OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL’S (OG) SHERIFF’S REFORM AND
   OVERSIGHT EFFORTS BRIEFING
   Speaker(s): Max Huntsman (OIG)

IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO EMAIL A COMMENT ON AN ITEM ON THE PUBLIC SAFETY
CLUSTER AGENDA, PLEASE USE THE FOLLOWING EMAIL AND INCLUDE THE
AGENDA NUMBER YOU ARE COMMENTING ON:

PUBLIC_SAFETY_COMMENTS@CEO.LACOUNTY.GOV
**BOARD LETTER/MEMO**  
**CLUSTER FACT SHEET**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>☒ Board Letter</th>
<th>☐ Board Memo</th>
<th>☐ Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**CLUSTER AGENDA REVIEW DATE**  
6/01/2022

**BOARD MEETING DATE**  
6/28/2022

**SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT AFFECTED**  
- ☐ All  
- ☐ 1st  
- ☐ 2nd  
- ☐ 3rd  
- ☒ 4th  
- ☐ 5th

**DEPARTMENT(S)**  
Sheriff’s Department

**SUBJECT**  
Request approval of an appropriation adjustment needed for consultant services of a countywide warrant system

**PROGRAM**  
County Warrant System

**AUTHORIZES DELEGATED AUTHORITY TO DEPT**  
- ☒ Yes  
- ☐ No

**SOLE SOURCE CONTRACT**  
- ☐ Yes  
- ☒ No

If Yes, please explain why:

**DEADLINES/ TIME CONSTRAINTS**

**COST & FUNDING**  
- Total cost: $495,000.  
- Funding source: Legacy Modernization Fund

TERMS (if applicable): eight months

Explanation: vendor was selected via a competitive ESMA bid. Project is estimated to compete in six to eight months

**PURPOSE OF REQUEST**  
Allow the use of Legacy Modernization funds for CWS Business Process Review. The BPR will develop a roadmap for CWS replacement or modernization over multiple phases, with subsequent decommissioning of the legacy system. The consultant services will provide a technical architecture and readiness approach for implementation. No issues or concerns

**BACKGROUND**  
(include internal/external issues that may exist including any related motions)  
Due to CWS archaic infrastructure, CWS has multiple challenges such as: the lack of ability to easily interface and integrate data with other justice agencies; the lack of workflow ability between the courts and justice agencies; mainframe technology limitations with on-going maintenance, modernization and the inability to enhance functionality; inability to hire technology professionals with the skillset to support an old technology; and disparate ancillary systems that do not integrate or interface with CWS.

**EQUITY INDEX OR LENS WAS UTILIZED**  
- ☐ Yes  
- ☒ No

If Yes, please explain how:

**SUPPORTS ONE OF THE NINE BOARD PRIORITIES**  
- ☐ Yes  
- ☒ No

If Yes, please state which one(s) and explain how:

**DEPARTMENTAL CONTACTS**

- Name, Title, Phone # & Email:  
  - Joe Salazar, Assistant Bureau Director, (562) 345 4455 JRSalaza@lasd.org  
  - Scott Goodwin, Information Technology Manager (562) 345 4149 SRGoodwi@lasd.org  
  - Fred Nazarbegian, Information Technology Manager 562 345 4338 fnazarbe@lasd.org
June 28, 2022

The Honorable Board of Supervisors
County of Los Angeles
383 Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration
500 West Temple Street
Los Angeles, California 90012

Dear Supervisors:

REQUEST APPROVAL OF FISCAL YEAR 2021-22 APPROPRIATION ADJUSTMENT FOR CONSULTANT SERVICES TO THE COUNTYWIDE WARRANT SYSTEM FOR THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF’S DEPARTMENT (ALL DISTRICTS) (4-VOTES)

CIO RECOMMENDATION: APPROVE (X) APPROVE WITH MODIFICATION ( ) DISAPPROVE ( )

SUBJECT

The Los Angeles County Sheriff’s Department (Department) requests the Board of Supervisors’ (Board) approval for an appropriation adjustment that transfers $495,000 from Obligated Fund Balance – Committed for IT Enhancements, commonly known as IT Legacy Modernization funding, to the Department for consultant services to replace its current Countywide Warrant System (CWS). This letter is also to notify the Board that the Department intends to request the Internal Services Department (ISD) to execute Enterprise Services Master Agreement (ESMA) Work Order (WO) E1-128, CWS Project. This WO is estimated at $495,000 and this notification is in accordance with ESMA guidelines that require prior Board notice on WO exceeding $300,000.

IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THE BOARD:

Approve the attached appropriation adjustment to transfer $495,000 from the Obligated Fund Balance – Committed for IT Enhancements to the Department’s Services and Supplies appropriation.
PURPOSE/JUSTIFICATION OF RECOMMENDED ACTION

The CWS is an automated repository for warrants issued within the County. It was developed in 1988 using mainframe IMS/COBOL/Assembler technology. This system services over 40 criminal justice agencies in their efforts to protect the public and ensure the integrity of judicial process with nearly 2 million active warrants.

Due to its archaic infrastructure, CWS has multiple challenges such as: the lack of ability to easily interface and integrate data with other justice agencies; the lack of workflow ability between the courts and justice agencies; mainframe technology limitations with on-going maintenance, modernization and the inability to enhance functionality; Inability to hire technology professionals with the skillset to support an old technology; and disparate ancillary systems that do not integrate or interface with CWS.

In an effort to replace this legacy system, the Department will engage a consultant via the County’s ESMA to conduct a Business Process Review (BPR). The BPR will develop a roadmap for CWS replacement or modernization over multiple phases, with subsequent decommissioning of the legacy system. The consultant services will provide a technical architecture and readiness approach for implementation.

The Department will assign a dedicated Records and Identification Bureau (RIB) project manager and establish an executive governance committee comprised of RIB and technology executives to provide sponsorship and to address risks. The CWS has been identified by the County’s CIO as a critical legacy application and is eligible for legacy application funding. The BPR is the first step towards CWS modernization, and a replacement plan is required and supported by the County’s CIO.

Implementation of Strategic Plan Goals

The recommended action is consistent with the principles of the County’s Strategic Plan, Strategy III.3, Pursue Operational Effectiveness, Fiscal Responsibility and Accountability. Specifically, by allowing the Department’s communications to operate effectively and efficiently.

FISCAL IMPACT/FINANCING

The cost of services provided by Gartner Consulting Incorporated shall not exceed $495,000. The County’s IT Investment Board (ITIB) approved using the IT Legacy Modernization Fund to pay for these services. Approval of the attached appropriation adjustment will allocate funding from Obligated Fund Balance – Committed for IT Enhancements to the Department for this purpose.
FACTS AND PROVISIONS/LEGAL REQUIREMENTS

There are no legal requirements prohibiting the recommended action. The terms and conditions of the Master Agreement have been approved by County Counsel. The contracted services are of an extraordinary, professional, or technical nature and are not restricted under Proposition A and are not subject to the Living Wage Program (County Code, Chapter 2.121).

The Master Agreement contains all the current County required provisions.

In compliance with Board Policy 6.020 “Chief Information Office Board Letter Approval”, the OCIO reviewed the IT components (management, design, development, acquisition, expansion, or purchase of IT systems and/or related services) of this request and recommends approval. The OCIO reviewed this Board letter and supporting documents and determined this recommended action does not constitute a technology-related acquisition of hardware, software, or professional services that would necessitate a formal written CIO Analysis.

CONTRACTING PROCESS

On June 3, 2021, the ISD released a competitive ESMA WO solicitation, E1-128, for the Department’s CWS Project. The solicitation was released to twenty-seven qualified contractors on ISD’s ESMA Category 1 list. On June 30, 2021, as a result of a competitive solicitation, ISD received a proposal from one contractor, Gartner Consulting Incorporated. The proposal was evaluated by an evaluation committee consisting of third-party evaluators from other county departments and Gartner Consulting Incorporated was recommended for award of ESMA WO E1-128 in the amount of $495,000.

This project is expected to be completed within six to eight months after the award of the contract and the Department will provide a quarterly project progress update to the County CIO Office.

Consistent with ESMA policies and procedures, this is hereby our notification informing your Board of the intent to award WO E1-128 for the CWS Project in the amount of $495,000. ISD will proceed with the award of this WO after Board approval.

IMPACT ON CURRENT SERVICES (OR PROJECTS)

Approval will ensure a technical architecture and a readiness approach for a CWS modernization plan.
CONCLUSION

Upon approval by the Board, please return a copy of the adopted Board letter.

Sincerely,

ALEX VILLANUEVA, SHERIFF

Reviewed by:

TIMOTHY K. MURAKAMI, PETER LOO
UNDERSHERIFF, ACTING CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICER
The Honorable Board of Supervisors  
June 28, 2022  
Page 5

AV:BY:nd
(Technology & Support Division)

c: Board of Supervisors, Justice Deputies  
Celia Zavala, Executive Officer, Board of Supervisors  
Fesia Davenport, Chief Executive Officer  
Sheila Williams, Senior Manager, Chief Executive Office (CEO)  
Rene Phillips, Manager, CEO  
Jocelyn Ventilacion, Principal Analyst, CEO  
Anna Petrosyan, Analyst, CEO  
Dawyn Harrison, Acting County Counsel  
Selwyn Hollins, Director, Internal Services Department (ISD)  
Gerald R. Plummer, Division Manager, ISD  
Elizabeth D. Miller, Chief Legal Advisor, Legal Advisory Unit  
Michele Jackson, Principal Deputy County Counsel, Legal Advisory Unit  
Timothy K. Murakami, Undersheriff  
John L. Satterfield, Chief of Staff  
Conrad Meredith, Division Director, Administrative Services Division (ASD)  
Brian Yanagi, Chief, Technology and Support Division (TSD)  
Glen C. Joe, Assistant Division Director, ASD  
Vanessa C. Chow, Sergeant, ASD  
Adam R. Wright, Sergeant, ASD  
Scott Goodwin, Information Technology Manager III, Data Systems Bureau  
Fred Nazarbegian, Information Technology Manager III, Office of Technology Planning  
Kristine D. Corrales, Deputy ASD  
(Technology & Support Div – Countywide Warrant System-Special Appropriation 06-28-22)
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
REQUEST FOR APPROPRIATION ADJUSTMENT
LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF’S DEPARTMENT

AUDITOR-CONTROLLER:
THE FOLLOWING APPROPRIATION ADJUSTMENT IS DEEMED NECESSARY BY THIS DEPARTMENT. PLEASE CONFIRM THE ACCOUNTING ENTRIES AND AVAILABLE BALANCES AND FORWARD TO THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER FOR HER RECOMMENDATION OR ACTION.

ADJUSTMENT REQUESTED AND REASONS THEREFORE
FY 2021-22
4 - VOTES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SOURCES</th>
<th>USES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GENERAL FUND</td>
<td>SHERIFF - GENERAL SUPPORT SERVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A01-3052 COMMITTED FOR IT ENHANCEMENTS</td>
<td>A01-SH-2000-15681-15687 SERVICES &amp; SUPPLIES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DECREASE OBLIGATED FUND BALANCE</td>
<td>INCREASE APPROPRIATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>495,000</td>
<td>495,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SOURCES TOTAL $ 495,000
USES TOTAL $ 495,000

JUSTIFICATION
Reflects the use of Obligated Fund Balance Committed for IT Enhancements for the Sheriff’s Department to seek consulting services from Gartner Consulting Incorporated to conduct a Business Process Review (BPR) of the Countywide Warrant System (CWS). The BPR will develop a roadmap for the CWS replacement or modernization.

Richard F. Martinez
Digitally signed by Richard F. Martinez
Date: 2022.04.13 15:09:48 -07'00'

BOARD OF SUPERVISOR’S APPROVAL (AS REQUESTED/REVISED)

REferred TO THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER FOR---

AUDITOR-CONTROLLER

B.A. NO. 198

ACTION

RECOMMENDATION

APPROVED AS REQUESTED

APPROVED AS REVISED

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

BY

Lan Sam
Digitally signed by Lan Sam
Date: 2022.04.13

DATE

April 13, 2022

DATE
| BOARD LETTER/MEMO  
| CLUSTER FACT SHEET |
| Board Letter  | Board Memo  | Other  |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CLUSTER AGENDA REVIEW DATE</th>
<th>6/1/2022</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BOARD MEETING DATE</td>
<td>6/14/2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT AFFECTED</td>
<td>All 1st 2nd 3rd 4th 5th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEPARTMENT(S)</td>
<td>Public Defender</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUBJECT</td>
<td>AUTHORIZE THE PUBLIC DEFENDER TO EMPLOY RETIRED COUNTY EMPLOYEES ON A TEMPORARY BASIS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROGRAM</td>
<td>Various Legal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUTHORIZES DELEGATED AUTHORITY TO DEPT</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOLE SOURCE CONTRACT</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEADLINES/ TIME CONSTRAINTS</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COST &amp; FUNDING</td>
<td>Total cost: N/A – classification action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Funding source: N/A – classification action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TERMS (if applicable): N/A – classification action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Explanation: N/A – classification action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PURPOSE OF REQUEST</td>
<td>Waive the 180-day break in service requirement for retirees and reinstate Michael Many to DPD II, Peggy Loveman-Sherer to DPD II, and Joseph Peavy to Lieutenant (Investigator).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Set compensation levels for the reinstated employees ranging from $63.49 to $74.23 per hour for up to the 960 hour/year limit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Employees will be placed on existing budgeted vacancies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BACKGROUND</td>
<td>The Public Defender is currently handling an unprecedented backlog of cases caused by pandemic-related trial extension orders, which lasted from April 2020 to February 2022.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The delay in legal proceedings for criminal trials and Juvenile Dependency cases increased workload demands and created significant operational challenges for the department, including the immediate need for additional attorney staff.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The request to waive the 180-day break in service requirement will provide the department with immediate additional resources it needs to help address the workload challenges, while it continues to hire and train more permanent staff.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Staffing and workload challenges also currently exist within the department’s investigative operations due to retirements. The requested retiree will provide continuity of operations until the department has identified a full-time permanent replacement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQUITY INDEX OR LENS WAS UTILIZED</td>
<td>Yes  No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>If Yes, please explain how:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes, this action will support programs that serve marginalized populations and assist the department in carrying out its mission.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUPPORTS ONE OF THE NINE BOARD PRIORITIES</td>
<td>Yes  No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>If Yes, please state which one(s) and explain how:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Supports Care First, Jails Last by enhancing legal programs available to indigent clients to support decarceration and rehabilitation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEPARTMENTAL CONTACTS</td>
<td>Name, Title, Phone # &amp; Email:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jon Trochez, Administrative Deputy, <a href="mailto:jtrochez@pubdef.lacounty.gov">jtrochez@pubdef.lacounty.gov</a>, (213) 974-2807</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
June 14, 2022

The Honorable Board of Supervisors
County of Los Angeles
383 Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration
500 West Temple Street
Los Angeles, California 90012

Dear Supervisors:

AUTHORIZE THE PUBLIC DEFENDER TO EMPLOY RETIRED COUNTY EMPLOYEES ON A TEMPORARY BASIS

(ALL SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICTS)
(3 VOTES)

SUBJECT

The Los Angeles County Public Defender is requesting the Board’s approval to grant an exception to the 180-day waiting period required under the California Public Employee's Pension Reform Act of 2013, with regard to reinstating retired County employees as 120-day rehired retirees.

IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THE BOARD:

1. Waive the 180-day break in service requirement and reinstate retired County employee, Michael Many, to a 120-day temporary assignment as a Deputy Public Defender II within the Public Defender, Central Region, Clara Shortridge Foltz Criminal Justice Center.

2. Waive the 180-day break in service requirement and reinstate retired County employee, Peggy Loveman-Sherer, to a 120-day temporary assignment as a Deputy Public Defender II within the Public Defender, North Region, San Fernando Branch Office.

3. Waive the 180-day break in service requirement and reinstate retired County employee, Joseph Peavy, to a 120-day temporary assignment as Lieutenant, Public Defender, within the Public Defender, Central Region, Clara Shortridge Foltz Criminal Justice Center.

4. Approve the request for Michael Many to receive compensation at the rate of $63.49 per hour, for up to 960 work hours within a fiscal year, upon the Board’s approval of her temporary reinstatement as a Deputy Public Defender II.
5. Approve the request for Peggy Loveman-Sherer to receive compensation at the rate of $63.49 per hour, for up to 960 work hours within a fiscal year, upon the Board’s approval of her temporary reinstatement as a Deputy Public Defender II.

6. Approve the request for Joseph Peavy to receive compensation at the rate of $74.23 per hour, for up to 960 work hours within a fiscal year, upon the Board’s approval of his temporary reinstatement as a Lieutenant, Public Defender.

PURPOSE/JUSTIFICATION OF RECOMMENDED ACTION

The Public Defender is currently handling an unprecedented backlog of cases caused by pandemic-related trial extension orders, which lasted from April 2020 to February 2022. The delay in legal proceedings for criminal trials and Juvenile Dependency cases increased workload demands and created significant operational challenges for the department, including the immediate need for additional attorney staff.

To address the workload challenges, the Public Defender is implementing various mitigation measures. For example, the department has implemented rapid recruitment and hiring efforts to backfill vacant attorney positions and fill operational gaps and expanded its recruitment and hiring to more experienced attorneys at the level of Deputy Public Defender II. Historically, the department only recruited and hired attorneys at the entry-level position of Deputy Public Defender I. Additionally, the Public Defender has collaborated with the Los Angeles County Employees Retirement Association to recruit and enlist retired public defender attorneys to bolster staffing levels. These measures, while effective, are insufficient to address the current workload challenges. The request to waive the 180-day break in service requirement will provide the department with immediate additional resources it needs to help address the workload challenges, while it continues to hire and train more permanent staff.

Staffing and workload challenges also currently exist within the department’s investigative operations. Within the past 90 days, two (2) of the three (3) Lieutenant, Public Defender (Lieutenant) incumbents retired leaving the remaining Lieutenant as the sole manager over the department’s large and complex Investigative Services Division. The department is currently working on the Lieutenant promotional examination; however, the new eligibility list is not expected to promulgate until July 2022. The requested retiree will provide continuity of operations until the department has identified a full-time permanent replacement.

IMPLEMENTATION OF STRATEGIC PLAN GOALS

Approval of the recommended actions is consistent with the County’s Strategic Plan Goal No. III, Strategy III.3: Pursue Operational Effectiveness, Fiscal Responsibility, and Accountability and is aligned with the Board’s Care First, Jails Last, and Alternatives to Incarceration priorities.

FISCAL IMPACT / FINANCING
The department will utilize current budgeted vacancies to fund the requested rehired retirees.

**FACTS AND PROVISION/LEGAL REQUIREMENTS**

The recommended action is consistent with the Public Employee’s Pension Reform Act of 2013, which allows a person who retires from the County to serve without reinstatement from retirement or loss or interruption of benefits provided by the retirement system, before a period of 180 days following the date of retirement, as long as the Board certifies the position is critically needed. The retired person has the skills required to perform work of limited duration.

**IMPACT ON CURRENT SERVICES (OR PROJECTS)**

The recommended actions will mitigate operational deficiencies caused by severe understaffing levels within the Department.

Respectfully submitted,

RICARDO D. GARCIA
Public Defender

RDG:jt:bd

Enclosures

c: Executive Office, Board of Supervisors
   Chief Executive Officer
   County Counsel
   Auditor-Controller
   Human Resources
   Los Angeles County Employees Retirement Association
**Board Letter**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CLUSTER AGENDA REVIEW DATE</th>
<th>6/1/2022</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BOARD MEETING DATE</td>
<td>6/14/2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT AFFECTED</td>
<td>X All 1st 2nd 3rd 4th 5th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEPARTMENT(S)</td>
<td>Probation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUBJECT</td>
<td>Advise Your Board of the Probation Department’s (Probation) Intent to Proceed with “Home-like” Improvements to Barry J. Nidorf Juvenile Hall and Central Juvenile Hall, and Obtain Approval of a Fiscal Year 2021-22 Appropriation Adjustment Applicable to this Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROGRAM</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUTHORIZES DELEGATED AUTHORITY TO DEPT</td>
<td>X No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOLE SOURCE CONTRACT</td>
<td>X No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If Yes, please explain why:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEADLINES/ TIME CONSTRAINTS</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COST &amp; FUNDING</td>
<td>Total 1x cost: $10,000,000 Funding source: Provisional Financing Uses (PFU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TERMS (if applicable):</td>
<td>N/A Explanation: N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PURPOSE OF REQUEST</td>
<td>To authorize the transfer of $10 million from the PFU budget to Probation’s FY 2021-22 operating budget to fund home-like improvements to selected living units at Probation juvenile halls.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BACKGROUND (include internal/external issues that may exist including any related motions)</td>
<td>Home-like improvements to youth living units and support buildings are required as part of the County’s Memorandum of Agreement with the Department of Justice (DOJ). The DOJ’s assigned monitor has approved the design plans. The improvements will create a living environment more conducive to the therapeutic and restorative programs provided to youth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQUITY INDEX OR LENS WAS UTILIZED</td>
<td>X Yes X No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If Yes, please explain how:</td>
<td>The visual and physical home improvements will create a living environment more conducive to the therapeutic and restorative programs provided to youth from disadvantaged backgrounds.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUPPORTS ONE OF THE NINE BOARD PRIORITIES</td>
<td>X No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If Yes, please state which one(s) and explain how:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEPARTMENTAL CONTACTS</td>
<td>Name, Title, Phone # &amp; Email: Robert Smythe, Administrative Deputy (562) 940-2516 <a href="mailto:robert.smythe@probation.lacounty.gov">robert.smythe@probation.lacounty.gov</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
June 14, 2022

The Honorable Board of Supervisors
County of Los Angeles
383 Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration
500 West Temple Street
Los Angeles, California 90012

Dear Supervisors:

ADVISE YOUR BOARD OF THE PROBATION DEPARTMENT’S INTENT TO PROCEED WITH “HOME-LIKE” IMPROVEMENTS TO BARRY J. NIDORF JUVENILE HALL AND CENTRAL JUVENILE HALL, AND OBTAIN APPROVAL OF A FISCAL YEAR 2021-22 APPROPRIATION ADJUSTMENT APPLICABLE TO THIS PROJECT (ALL SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICTS) (4 VOTES)

SUBJECT:

The County of Los Angeles Probation Department (Probation) advises your Board of our intent to proceed with transformation of designs and furnishings of selected youth living units at Barry J. Nidorf Juvenile Hall (BJNJH) and Central Juvenile Hall (CJH). The transformation creates a more home-like setting for youth in our care consistent with expectations of the California Department of Justice (DOJ). Action of your Board is needed to approval the attached Appropriation Adjustment applicable to this project.

IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT YOUR BOARD:

1. Approve the attached Appropriation Adjustment (Attachment) to transfer $10 million from the Provisional Financing Uses (PFU) budget to Probation’s Fiscal Year (FY) 2021-22 operating budget.

PURPOSE/JUSTIFICATION OF RECOMMENDATION

The purpose of the recommended action is to authorize the transfer of $10 million from the PFU budget to Probation’s FY 2021-22 operating budget to fund home-like improvements to selected living units at each of Probation’s two juvenile halls.
Home-like improvements primarily involve upgrades to colors, textures, and fixtures. The upgrades do not constitute a Capital Project as much of the work will be performed using the talents of the Internal Services Department (ISD) and/or contractors solicited through ISD’s existing resources. In addition, improvements will include furniture and fixtures obtained through the standard County procurement process. Seven living units will be impacted at BJNJH, and five at CJH.

Home-like improvements to youth living units and support buildings are required as part of the County’s Memorandum of Agreement with the DOJ. The DOJ’s assigned monitor has already approved design plans. These improvements will create a living environment more conducive to the therapeutic and restorative programs provided to youth. Examples of these improvements include:

- More vibrant and visually interesting color schemes,
- Softer seating that eliminates the appearance of hard edges,
- Wood or wood-look bed frames and bedside furniture (for living units that utilize free-standing bed frames),
- Removal and replacement of stainless-steel dining tables with family style tables,
- Use of vinyl or carpet flooring as appropriate to cover existing concrete,
- Lighting and restroom fixtures consistent with typical residential use,
- Greater use of toilet and shower privacy panels to enhance youths’ feelings of dignity and accommodate modesty needs, and
- Sound baffling features within youth living units to reduce the inherent echo effect due to large flat ceilings, walls, and floors.

**IMPLEMENTATION OF STRATEGIC PLAN GOALS**

The recommended action is consistent with the County of Los Angeles Strategic Plan Goal I: Make Investments That Transform Lives. Specifically, it will address Strategy I.2 to Enhance Our Delivery of Comprehensive Interventions, and Goal III: Realize Tomorrow’s Government Today.

**FISCAL IMPACT/FINANCING**

One-time funding of $10 million for home-like needs was set-aside in the PFU budget from FY 2018-19 year-end savings and allocated during the Supplemental Changes budget phase for FY 2019-20. Your Board’s authorization is required to transfer these PFU funds to Probation’s operating budget. The timing of this action will result in the need to roll-over funding into FY 2022-23, but this roll-over ensures we avoid the potential for interruption of transformational progress.

Final cost of full build-out of home-like improvements is anticipated to be approximately $50 million for the two juvenile halls. A more precise cost will be determined as we update pricing of purchases and identify contract service costs using current economic
conditions. Costs that exceed the PFU designation will be funded from Probation’s existing operating resources.

FACTS AND PROVISIONS/LEGAL REQUIREMENTS

Funding was previously designated in the PFU for home-like needs. Your Board’s authorization is required to move these funds to Probation’s FY 2021-22 operating budget.

IMPACT ON CURRENT SERVICES

These funds transfer and appropriation authorization provides the resources needed to transform the traditionally institutional look of juvenile hall facilities into a more home-like environment that is supportive of the therapeutic and rehabilitative needs of youth in Probation’s care. These changes also enhance feelings of calmness and well-being for both youth and staff as they work together to build youth skills to prepare youth for successful transition to their respective communities. These enhancements will also allow youth to work and live in a small group milieu and create welcoming and age-appropriate living spaces including safe spaces for contemplation, counseling, service engagement, and visitation to reinforce family linkages.

Respectfully submitted,

Adolfo Gonzales
Chief Probation Officer
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Attachment

c: Chief Executive Officer
County Counsel
Executive Officer, Board of Supervisors
# REQUEST FOR APPROPRIATION ADJUSTMENT

**PROBATION DEPARTMENT**

**AUDITOR-CONTROLLER:**
The following appropriation adjustment is deemed necessary by this department. Please confirm the accounting entries and available balances and forward to the Chief Executive Officer for her recommendation or action.

**ADJUSTMENT REQUESTED AND REASONS THEREFORE**

FY 2021-22

3 - VOTES

## SOURCES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PFU-PROBATION</th>
<th>PROBATION - JUVENILE INSTITUTIONS SERVICES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A01-CB-2000-13749-13758</td>
<td>A01-PB-2000-17000-17250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SERVICES &amp; SUPPLIES</td>
<td>SERVICES &amp; SUPPLIES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DECREASE APPROPRIATION</th>
<th>10,000,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>USES TOTAL</th>
<th>$ 10,000,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

## JUSTIFICATION

Reflects the transfer of Services and Supplies appropriation from the Provisional Financing Uses (PFU) to the Probation Department’s Juvenile Institution Services Budget Unit to implement Phase I of the Home-Like Improvement Project at the juvenile halls.

**AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE**

GINA M. BYRNES, CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER

**BOARD OF SUPERVISOR’S APPROVAL (AS REQUESTED/REVISED)**

- [ ] ACTION
- [ ] RECOMMENDATION
- [ ] APPROVED AS REQUESTED
- [ ] APPROVED AS REVISED

**REFERRED TO THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER FOR---**

AUDITOR-CONTROLLER

BY _______________

**B.A. NO.**

DATE

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

BY _______________

**DATE**
**Subject:** Sheriff’s Department is seeking Board approval of Sole Source Amendments to Proposition A Contracts Number 78467 with Allied Universal Security Services (Allied) and Number 78469 with Securitas Security Services, USA, Inc. (Securitas); that will extend the term of the contracts for one year, from July 1, 2022, through and including June 30, 2023, with an option to extend for up to an additional six-months, in any increment. The delivery of armed and unarmed guard services (Guard Services) will continue to be cost-effective during the extension term.

**Program:** Armed and Unarmed Security Guard Services

**Authorizes Delegated Authority to Dept:** Yes  No

**Sole Source Contract:** Yes  No

If Yes, please explain why: This is a Sole Source Amendment to the existing Contracts. The Amendments will provide uninterrupted Guard Services for client departments seeking to provide secure facilities for County residents receiving services at the locations, while the Department continues developing a competitive solicitation for a successor contract or contracts.

**Deadlines/Time Constraints:** The current contracts expire on June 30, 2022.

**Cost & Funding:**

- Total cost: Estimated cost for each Contract, including the option year: $47.1 million for Securitas (78469), $42.6 million for Allied (78467).
- Funding source: The fees paid to Allied and Securitas for Guard Services are offset by billings to client departments. The client departments are required to demonstrate that sufficient funds are budgeted for any service requests by providing a Departmental Service Order prior to delivery of Guard Services.
- TERMS (if applicable): Extend the term of the Contracts for one year, from July 1, 2022, through and including June 30, 2023, with an option to extend for up to an additional six-months, in any increment.

**Purpose of Request:** The recommended actions will enable the Department to continue providing approximately 689 armed and unarmed security guards and security guard supervisors in 180 County facilities distributed within the Central, South and North Zones. Securitas provides services in Central and South Zones; and Allies provides services in the North Zone.

**Background:** On March 10, 2022, in accordance with Board Policy 5.100, the Department provided the Board with advance notification of its intent to enter into Sole-Source Amendments to extend the contracts for Guard Services.

**Equity Index or Lens Was Utilized:** Yes  No

If Yes, please explain how:

**Supports One of the Nine Board Priorities:** Yes  No

If Yes, please state which one(s) and explain how:

**Departmental Contacts:**

- Irma Santana, Contracts Manager, 213-229-3264, ISantan@lasd.org
- Jovie Hough, County Project Director (213)61303915, Jhough@lasd.org
June 8, 2022

The Honorable Board of Supervisors
County of Los Angeles
383 Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration
500 West Temple Street
Los Angeles, California  90012

Dear Supervisors:

APPROVE SOLE SOURCE AMENDMENTS TO EXTEND
PROPOSITION A CONTRACTS NUMBER 78467 WITH UNIVERSAL
PROTECTION SERVICES, LP DBA ALLIED UNIVERSAL SECURITY
SERVICES AND NUMBER 78469 WITH SECURITAS SECURITY SERVICES, USA,
INC. FOR ARMED AND UNARMED SECURITY GUARD SERVICES
(ALL DISTRICTS) (3 VOTES)

SUBJECT

The Los Angeles County (County) Sheriff’s Department (Department) is seeking the Board’s approval of Sole Source Amendments (Amendments) to Proposition A contracts (Contracts) Number 78467 with Universal Protection Services, LP dba Allied Universal Security Services (Allied) and Number 78469 with Securitas Security Services, USA, Inc. (Securitas), that will extend the term of the Contracts for one year, plus a six-month option period. The Amendments will maintain uninterrupted armed and unarmed security guard services (Guard Services) for County departments to provide secure facilities for their customers at public locations while the Department completes a competitive solicitation for a successor contract or contracts. The delivery of Guard Services will continue to be cost-effective during the extension term.

IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THE BOARD:

1. Delegate authority to the Sheriff, or his designee, to execute Amendments, substantially similar to the attached Amendments to extend the term of the Contracts
for one year, from July 1, 2022, through June 30, 2023, plus an option to extend for up to six additional months, in any increment.

2. Delegate authority to the Sheriff, or his designee, to execute the additional six-month option period in any increment provided it is in the best interest of the County.

3. Delegate authority to the Sheriff, or his designee, to terminate the Contracts for convenience, either in whole or in part, if necessary, with 30 calendar days advance written notice once the Department has completed the solicitation process for a replacement contract or contracts.

PURPOSE/JUSTIFICATION OF RECOMMENDED ACTION

The recommended actions will enable the Department to continue providing approximately 689 armed and unarmed security guards and security guard supervisors to 180 County facilities distributed within the Central Zone, South Zone, and North Zone geographical units of the Department’s Countywide Services Bureau. Securitas provides services in the Central and South Zones and Allied provides services in the North Zone.

On January 5, 2016, the Board approved and authorized the Contracts for Guard Services with Allied and Securitas with an initial term from February 1, 2016, through January 31, 2019, plus three additional one-year extension options, with a final expiration date of January 31, 2022.

On December 21, 2021, appearing on the Board agenda as item #25, the Department sought Board approval to extend the Contracts with Allied and Securitas for a period of five months, from February 1, 2022, through June 30, 2022, with an option period of up to six additional months. Per a motion by Supervisor Janice Hahn, the Board adopted the five-month extension period but removed the six-month option period pending the outcome of the December 7, 2021, Board Motion by Supervisors Hilda L. Solis and Hahn re: Health Care for Contracted Employees.

The Contracts will expire on June 30, 2022. On March 10, 2022, in accordance with Board Policy 5.100, the Department provided the Board with advance notification of its intent to enter negotiations for Sole-Source Amendments to extend the Contracts for a period of one year, plus an option for up to six-months in any increment, to prevent disruption of Guard Services while the Department completes its solicitation for a successor contract.
Implementation of Strategic Plan Goals

The recommended action is consistent with the principles of the County’s Strategic Plan, Strategy III.3 – Pursue Operational Effectiveness, Fiscal Responsibility and Accountability, by enabling the Department to provide Guard Services for client departments and the communities they serve by hiring qualified contracted armed and unarmed security guards and security guard supervisors.

FISCAL IMPACT/FINANCING

The fees paid by the Department to Allied and Securitas for Guard Services will be offset by billings to the following client departments; Assessor, Auditor-Controller, Chief Executive Office, Child Support Services, Children and Family Services, District Attorney, Internal Services, Mental Health, Military and Veterans Affairs, Public Health, Probation, Registrar/County Clerk, Library, and Workforce Development Aging & Community Services.

The hourly rate increases for the Amendment term are provided in attached Exhibit B, Pricing Schedule, for reference. The cost for providing Guard Services under during the Amendment term is estimated to be $42.6 million for Allied and $47.1 million for Securitas based upon the service levels currently requested by the client departments.

The client departments have provided documentation that sufficient funds are budgeted to maintain their requested level of Guard Services for the Amendment term. Similarly, the Department will seek the necessary revenue-offset appropriation during the scheduled budget process to finance the Guard Services during the Amendment term.

FACTS AND PROVISIONS/LEGAL REQUIREMENTS

On January 5, 2016, the Board approved and authorized the Contracts for Guard Services with Allied and Securitas with an initial term from February 1, 2016, through January 31, 2019, plus three additional one-year extension options, with a final expiration date of January 31, 2022.

The Contracts were amended on seven occasions to reflect the exercise of the option terms, add and/or update new County-mandated provisions, and to remove references to the Department of Health Services and the Department of Public Social Services. Additionally, the Contract with Allied (originally AlliedBarton) was amended to memorialize the Contractor’s name change.

Allied and Securitas will continue to be responsible for providing Security Guard services on an ongoing basis.
The Contracts, including the proposed Amendments, continue to meet Proposition A cost effectiveness criteria.

Allied and Securitas are compliant with all Board and Chief Executive Office requirements, including Jury Service Program, Safely Surrendered Baby Law, and Defaulted Property Tax Reduction Program, and the Living Wage Program (County Code Chapter 2.201) requirements.

County Counsel will approve the Amendments as to form prior to execution.

**IMPACT ON CURRENT SERVICES (OR PROJECTS)**

Approval of this action will allow the Department to efficiently provide uninterrupted Guard Services to client departments for the benefit of their customers.

**CONCLUSION**

Upon Board approval, please return two adopted copies of the Board letter to the Department’s Contracts Unit.

Sincerely,

ALEX VILLANUEVA, SHERIFF

TIMOTHY K. MURAKAMI
UNDERSHERIFF
The Honorable Board of Supervisors  
June 8, 2022  
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(Fiscal Administration Bureau - Contracts Unit)

c: Board of Supervisors, Justice Deputies  
   Celia Zavala, Executive Officer, Board of Supervisors  
   Fesia Davenport, Chief Executive Officer  
   Sheila Williams, Senior Manager, Chief Executive Office (CEO)  
   Rene Phillips, Manager, CEO  
   Jocelyn Ventilacion, Principal Analyst, CEO  
   Anna Petrosyan, Analyst, CEO  
   Dawyn Harrison, Acting County Counsel  
   Elizabeth D. Miller, Chief Legal Advisor, Legal Advisory Unit  
   Michele Jackson, Principal Deputy County Counsel, Legal Advisory Unit  
   Timothy K. Murakami, Undersheriff  
   Holly A. Francisco, Assistant Sheriff  
   John L. Satterfield, Chief of Staff  
   Laura E. Lecrivain, Division Chief, Countywide Services Division (CWSD)  
   Conrad Meredith, Division Director, Administrative Services Division (ASD)  
   Ernest E. Chavez, Commander, CWSD  
   Glen C. Joe, Assistant Division Director, ASD  
   Rick M. Cavataio, Director, Fiscal Administration Bureau (FAB)  
   Dave E. Culver, Assistant Director, FAB, Contracts Unit  
   Vanessa C. Chow, Sergeant, ASD  
   Irma Santana, Contracts Manager, Contracts Unit  
   Adam R. Wright, Sergeant, ASD  
   Kristine D. Corrales, Deputy ASD  
   Jovie Hough, County Project Manager, County Services Bureau  
   Abby Valdez, Senior Contract Analyst, Contracts Unit

(Contracts - Allied & Securitas Security Guard Services 06-08-22)
AMENDMENT NUMBER EIGHT
TO
CONTRACT NUMBER 78467
FOR ARMED AND UNARMED SECURITY GUARD SERVICES

This Amendment Number Eight (Amendment) to Contract Number 78467 (Contract) is entered into by and between County of Los Angeles (County) and Universal Protection Services, LP dba Allied Universal Security Services (Contractor) (formerly AlliedBarton Security Services, LP), effective upon execution by both parties.

A. WHEREAS, on January 5, 2016, County and Contractor entered into the Contract with a commencement date of February 1, 2016, to provide Armed and Unarmed Security Guard Services for the Los Angeles County Sheriff’s Department (Department); and

B. WHEREAS, on February 22, 2017, County and Contractor entered into Amendment Number One to the Contract to (1) memorialize the merger of the parent companies of AlliedBarton Security Services, LP and Universal Services of America, and the corporate name change of the California operating entity from AlliedBarton Security Services, LP to Universal Protection Service, LP dba Allied Universal Security Services; (2) replace Sub-paragraph 4.1 to clarify the Contract commencement date; (3) replace Sub-paragraph 8.1 (Amendments and Change Notices) to correct an administrative process; (4) add the County-mandated provision regarding Compliance with Zero Tolerance Human Trafficking; (5) replace Sub-paragraph 9.1 (Compliance with the County’s Living Wage Program) to update the County’s Living Wage Ordinance; (6) replace Sub-paragraph 4.1.2 (County Staffing Plan) of Exhibit A (Statement of Work) to correct an administrative process; (7) replace Exhibit B (Pricing Schedule) to reflect costs associated with compliance with the updated County's Living Wage Ordinance, and (8) update the County Living Wage Ordinance exhibits; and

C. WHEREAS, on January 23, 2019, County and Contractor entered into Amendment Number Two to the Contract to (1) exercise the first-one-year option period and extend the term of the Contract from February 1, 2019 through and including January 31, 2020; (2) update the County-mandated provisions regarding Assignment and Delegation/Mergers or Acquisitions, Consideration of Hiring GAIN-GROW Participants, Safely Surrendered Baby Law, and County’s Quality Assurance Plan; and (3) add the County-mandated provisions regarding Default Method of Payment: Direct Deposit or Electronic Funds Transfer, Compliance with Fair Chance Employment Practices, and Compliance with the County Policy of Equity; and

D. WHEREAS, on March 12, 2019, County Board of Supervisors approved contract number 78926 between County and Contractor for the provision of unarmed security guard services to the Los Angeles County Department of Health Services (DHS) at County DHS facilities and other County facilities occupied by DHS, for the period of May 1, 2019 through January 31, 2022; and
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E. WHEREAS, on June 4, 2019, County and Contractor entered into Amendment Number Three to (1) remove all references to DHS including DHS locations/addresses, staffing levels/plans, pricing schedules, and Contract language throughout the Contract; (2) update the County-mandated provision regarding Compliance with Fair Chance Employment Practices; (3) update Attachment 1 (Location/address) of Exhibit A (Statement of Work) to remove DHS information; (4) update Attachment 2 (Minimum Staffing Plan by SPA) of Exhibit A (Statement of Work) to remove DHS staffing levels/plans; and (5) update Exhibit B (Pricing Schedule) to correct the costs associated with compliance with the County’s Living Wage Ordinance as modified under Amendment Number One; and

F. WHEREAS, on January 10, 2020, County and Contractor entered into Amendment Number Four to (1) exercise the second one-year option period and extend the term of the Contract from February 1, 2020 through and including January 31, 2021; and (2) update the County Living Wage Ordinance Exhibit K (Living Wage Rate Annual Adjustments) of the Contract; and

G. WHEREAS, on November 18, 2020, County and Contractor entered into Amendment Number Five to (1) exercise the third and final one-year option period and extend the term of the Contract from February 1, 2021 through and including January 31, 2022; (2) add County-mandated provision regarding Prohibition from Participation in Future Solicitation(s); and (3) update the County Living Wage Ordinance Exhibit K (Living Wage Rate Annual Adjustments) of the Contract; and

H. WHEREAS, on June 8, 2021, County Board of Supervisors approved contract number 79156 for Contractor to provide armed and unarmed security guard services directly to the Los Angeles County Department of Public Social Services (DPSS) at DPSS locations and at other facilities as needed, for the period of June 23, 2021 through June 22, 2024; and

I. WHEREAS, on November 10, 2021, County and Contractor entered into Amendment Number Six to (1) cease all services provided to DPSS under this Contract and remove all references herein to services specific to DPSS, including applicable locations/addresses, staffing levels/plans, and Contract language throughout the Contract and relevant exhibits; (2) update the County-mandated provision regarding Facsimile Representations; (3) update Attachment 1 (Location/address) of Exhibit A (Statement of Work) to remove DPSS information; and (4) update Attachment 2 (Minimum Staffing Plan by SPA) of Exhibit A (Statement of Work) to remove DPSS staffing levels/plans; and

J. WHEREAS, on January 24, 2022, County and Contractor entered into Amendment Number Seven to (1) extend the term of the Contract for five months, from February
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1, 2022, through and including June 30, 2022; (2) add County-mandated provision regarding the COVID-19 Vaccinations of County Contractor Personnel; and (3) update the County Living Wage Ordinance Exhibit K (Living Wage Rate Annual Adjustments), effective January 1, 2022, of the Contract.

K. WHEREAS, the Contract currently expires on June 30, 2022: and

L. WHEREAS, County and Contractor agree to (1) extend the term of the Contract for one year, from July 1, 2022, through and including June 30, 2023, with an option to extend for up to six additional months, in any increment; (2) update the County-mandated provisions regarding Contractor’s Acknowledgement of County’s Commitment to the Safely Surrendered Baby Law, Notice to Employees Regarding the Safely Surrender Baby Law, Compliance with Fair Chance Employment Practices and Employee Retention Rights; (3) update Exhibit B (Pricing Schedule) to add the Maximum Annual Contract Sums for the extension period; and (4) update Exhibit G4 - COVID-19 Certification of Compliance to provide clarification for Contractor Personnel.

NOW THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual covenants set forth herein, and for good and valuable consideration, County and Contractor hereby agree to amend the Contract as follows:

1. Paragraph 4.0 (Term of Contract) of the Contract is deleted in its entirety and replaced as follows to extend the term of the Contract for one year, from July 1, 2022, through and including June 30, 2023, with an option to extend for up to an additional six-months, in any increment:

4.0 TERM OF CONTRACT

4.1 The term of this Contract shall commence on February 1, 2016, and terminate on June 30, 2023, with an option to extend for up to six additional months, in any increment, unless sooner terminated or extended, in whole or in part, as provided in the Contract.

4.2 The County shall have the sole option to extend the term of this Contract up to six months, in any increment. Such options period(s) may be exercised at the sole discretion of the Sheriff, as authorized by the County Board of Supervisors.

4.3 The County maintains databases that track/monitor contractor performance history. Information entered into such database may be
used for a variety of purposes, including determining whether the County will exercise an extension option.

4.4 Contractor shall notify the Department when this Contract is within six (6) months of the expiration of the term as provided for hereinabove. Upon occurrence of this event, Contractor shall send written notification to the County Project Director at the address herein provided in Exhibit E - County’s Administration.

2. Paragraph 5.0 (Contract Sum) sub-paragraph 5.1 only, of the Contract is amended as follows to add the Maximum Annual Contract Sums for the extension period:

- **5 Month Ext. Option (2/1/22-6/30/22)**
  - $9,768,480.26

- **One Year Extension (7/1/22-6/30/23)**
  - $28,007,430.11

- **6 Month Ext. Option (7/1/23-12/31/23)**
  - $14,558,962.00

3. Sub-paragraph 8.13 (Contractor’s Acknowledgement of County’s Commitment to Safely Surrendered Baby Law) of the Contract is deleted in its entirety and replaced as follows to update the County-mandated provision regarding Contractor’s Acknowledgement of County’s Commitment to Safely Surrendered Baby Law:

**8.13 Contractor’s Acknowledgement of County’s Commitment to Safely Surrendered Baby Law**

Contractor acknowledges that County places a high priority on the implementation of the Safely Surrendered Baby Law. Contractor understands that it is County’s policy to encourage all County contractors to voluntarily post the County’s “Safely Surrendered Baby Law” poster, in Exhibit I, in a prominent position at Contractor’s place of business. Contractor will also encourage its subcontractors, if any, to post this poster in a prominent position in the subcontractor’s place of business. Information and posters for printing are available at:


4. Sub-paragraph 8.33 (Notice to Employees Regarding the Safely Surrendered Baby Law) of the Contract is deleted in its entirety and replaced as follows to update the
County-mandated provision regarding Notice to Employees Regarding the Safely Surrendered Baby Law:

8.33 Notice to Employees Regarding the Safely Surrendered Baby Law

Contractor shall notify and provide to its employees and shall require each subcontractor to notify and provide to its employees, information regarding the Safely Surrendered Baby Law, its implementation in Los Angeles County, and where and how to safely surrender a baby. The information is set forth in Exhibit I, Safely Surrendered Baby Law of this Contract. Additional information is available at:

https://lacounty.gov/residents/family-services/child-safety/safe-surrender/

5. Sub-paragraph 8.55 (Compliance with Fair Chance Employment Hiring Practices) of the Contract is deleted in its entirety and replaced as follows to update the County-mandated provision regarding Fair Chance Employment Practices:

8.55 Compliance with Fair Chance Employee Practices

8.55.1 Contractor, and its subcontractors, must comply with fair chance employment hiring practices set forth in California Government Code Section 12952. Contractor’s violation of this sub-paragraph of the Contract may constitute a material breach of the Contract. In the event of such material breach, County may, at its sole discretion, terminate the Contract.

8.55.2 Contractor’s certification of compliance with fair chance employment hiring practices is attached as Exhibit M (Compliance with Fair Chance Employments Hiring Practices Certification) of the Contract.

6. Sub-paragraph 9.1.11 (Employee Retention Rights) of the Contract is deleted in its entirety and replaced as follows to update the County-mandated provision regarding Contractor Employee Retention Rights:

9.1.11 Contractor Employee Retention Rights

1. Contractor must offer employment to all retention employees who are qualified for such jobs. A “retention employee” is an individual who:
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(a) is not an exempt employee under the minimum wage and maximum hour exemptions defined in the Federal Fair Labor Standards Act; and

(b) has been employed a contractor under a predecessor security services contract with the County for at least six (6) months prior to the date of the Contract; and

(c) is, or will be terminated from their employment as a result of County entering into a Contract.

2. Contractor will not be required to hire a retention employee who:

(a) has been convicted of a crime related to the job or their performance; or

(b) fails to meet any other County requirement for employees of the Contractor.

3. Contractor will not terminate a retention employee, except for cause, until:

(a) The first ninety (90) days of employment under the Contract; or

(b) The termination of the Contract.

Thereafter, the Contractor may retain a retention employee on the same terms and conditions as the Contractor’s other employee.

7. Exhibit B (Pricing Schedule) of the Contract is deleted in its entirety and replaced with the revised Exhibit B (Pricing Schedule), attached hereto, to add the rates and cost of the extension period.

8. Exhibit G4 (COVID-19 Certification of Compliance form) is deleted in its entirety and replaced with the amended and restated Exhibit G4 (COVID-19 Vaccination Certification of Compliance), attached hereto, to include clarification for Contractor Personnel.

9. Except as expressly provided in this Amendment Number Eight, all other terms,
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covenants, and conditions of the Contract shall remain the same and in full force and effect.

10. Contractor represents and warrants that the person executing this Amendment Number Eight for Contractor is an authorized agent who has actual authority to bind Contractor to each and every item, condition, and obligation of this Amendment and that all requirements of Contractor have been fulfilled to provide such actual authority.
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IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have caused this Amendment Number Eight to be executed by their duly authorized representatives on the dates written below.

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

By: _____________________________
    ALEX VILLANUEVA, SHERIFF
Date: _____________________________

UNIVERSAL PROTECTION SERVICES, LP dba
ALLIED UNIVERSAL SECURITY SERVICES

Signed: __________________________
Printed: __________________________
Title: ____________________________
Date: ____________________________

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
DAWYN HARRISON
A/County Counsel

By: Approval on File
  Michele Jackson
  Principal Deputy County Counsel
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This Amendment Number Eight (Amendment) to Contract Number 78469 (Contract) is entered into by and between the County of Los Angeles (County) and Securitas Security Services, USA, Inc. (Contractor), effective upon execution by both parties.

A. WHEREAS, on January 5, 2016, County and Contractor entered into the Contract with a commencement date of February 1, 2016, to provide Armed and Unarmed Security Guard Services for the Los Angeles County Sheriff’s Department (Department); and

B. WHEREAS, on February 13, 2017, County and Contractor entered into Amendment Number One to the Contract to (1) to replace Sub-paragraph 4.1 to clarify the Contract commencement date, (2) replace Sub-paragraph 8.1 (Amendments and Change Notices) to correct an administrative process, (3) add the County-mandated provision regarding Compliance with Zero Tolerance Human Trafficking, (4) replace Sub-paragraph 9.1 (Compliance with the County’s Living Wage Program) to update the County’s Living Wage Ordinance, (5) replace Sub-paragraph 4.1.2 (County Staffing Plan) of Exhibit A (Statement of Work) to correct an administrative process, (6) replace Exhibit B (Pricing Schedule) to reflect costs associated with the updated County’s Living Wage Ordinance, and (7) update the County’s Living Wage Ordinance exhibits; and

C. WHEREAS, on January 8, 2019, County and Contractor entered into Amendment Number Two to the Contract to (1) exercise the first-one-year option period and extend the term of the Contract from February 1, 2019 through and including January 31, 2020; (2) update the County-mandated provisions regarding Assignment and Delegation/Mergers or Acquisitions, Consideration of Hiring GAIN-GROW Participants, Safely Surrendered Baby Law, and County’s Quality Assurance Plan; and (3) add the County-mandated provisions regarding Default Method of Payment: Direct Deposit or Electronic Funds Transfer, Compliance with Fair Chance Employment Practices, and Compliance with the County Policy of Equity; and

D. WHEREAS, on March 12, 2019, County Board of Supervisors approved contract number 78925 between County and Contractor for the provision of unarmed security guard services to the Los Angeles County Department of Health Services (DHS) at County DHS facilities and other County facilities occupied by DHS, for the period of May 1, 2019 through January 31, 2022; and

E. WHEREAS, on June 4, 2019, County and Contractor entered into Amendment Number Three to (1) remove all references to DHS including DHS locations/addresses, staffing levels/plans, pricing schedules, and contract language throughout the Contract; (2) update the County-mandated provision regarding Compliance with Fair Chance Employment Practices; (3) update Attachment 1
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(Location/address) of Exhibit A (Statement of Work) to remove DHS information; (4) update Attachment 2 (Minimum Staffing Plan by SPA) of Exhibit A (Statement of Work) to remove DHS staffing levels/plans; and (5) update Exhibit B (Pricing Schedule) to correct the costs associated with compliance with the County’s Living Wage Ordinance as modified under Amendment Number One; and

F. WHEREAS, on January 10, 2020, County and Contractor entered into Amendment Number Four to (1) exercise the second one-year option period and extend the term of the Contract from February 1, 2020 through and including January 31, 2021; and (2) update the County Living Wage Ordinance Exhibit K (Living Wage Rate Annual Adjustments) of the Contract; and

G. WHEREAS, on November 18, 2020, County and Contractor entered into Amendment Number Five to (1) exercise the third and final one-year option period and extend the term of the Contract from February 1, 2021 through and including January 31, 2022; (2) add County-mandated provision regarding Prohibition from Participation in Future Solicitation(s); and (3) update the County Living Wage Ordinance Exhibit K (Living Wage Rate Annual Adjustments) of the Contract; and

H. WHEREAS, on June 8, 2021, County Board of Supervisors approved contract number 79156 for Contractor to provide armed and unarmed security guard services directly to the Los Angeles County Department of Public Social Services (DPSS) at DPSS locations and at other facilities as needed, for the period of June 23, 2021 through June 22, 2024; and

I. WHEREAS, on November 10, 2021, County and Contractor entered into Amendment Number Six to (1) cease all services provided to DPSS under this Contract and remove all references herein to services specific to DPSS, including applicable locations/addresses, staffing levels/plans, and Contract language throughout the Contract and relevant exhibits; (2) update the County-mandated provision regarding Facsimile Representations; (3) update Attachment 1 (Location/address) of Exhibit A (Statement of Work) to remove DPSS information; and (4) update Attachment 2 (Minimum Staffing Plan by SPA) of Exhibit A (Statement of Work) to remove DPSS staffing levels/plans; and

J. WHEREAS, on January 24, 2022, County and Contractor entered into Amendment Number Seven to (1) extend the term of the Contract for five months, from February 1, 2022, through and including June 30, 2022; (2) add County-mandated provision regarding the COVID-19 Vaccinations of County Contractor Personnel; and (3) update the County Living Wage Ordinance Exhibit K (Living Wage Rate Annual Adjustments), effective January 1, 2022, of the Contract.
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K. WHEREAS, the Contract currently expires on June 30, 2022: and

L. WHEREAS, County and Contractor agree to (1) extend the term of the Contract for one year, from July 1, 2022, through and including June 30, 2023, with an option to extend for up to six additional months, in any increment; (2) update the County-mandated provisions regarding Contractor’s Acknowledgement of County’s Commitment to the Safely Surrendered Baby Law, Notice to Employees Regarding the Safely Surrender Baby Law, Compliance with Fair Chance Employment Practices and Employee Retention Rights; (3) update Exhibit B (Pricing Schedule) to add the Maximum Annual Contract Sums for the extension period; and (4) update Exhibit G4 - COVID-19 Certification of Compliance to provide clarification for Contractor Personnel.

NOW THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual covenants set forth herein, and for good and valuable consideration, County and Contractor hereby agree to amend the Contract as follows:

1. Paragraph 4.0 (Term of Contract) of the Contract is deleted in its entirety and replaced as follows to extend the term of the Contract for one year, from July 1, 2022, through and including June 30, 2023, with an option to extend for up to six additional months, in any increment

4.0 TERM OF CONTRACT

4.1 The term of this Contract shall commence on February 1, 2016, and terminate on June 30, 2023, with an option to extend for up to six additional months, in any increment, unless sooner terminated or extended, in whole or in part, as provided in the Contract.

4.2 The County shall have the sole option to extend the term of this Contract up to six months, in any increment. Such options period(s) may be exercised at the sole discretion of the Sheriff, as authorized by the County Board of Supervisors.

4.3 The County maintains databases that track/monitor contractor performance history. Information entered into such database may be used for a variety of purposes, including determining whether the County will exercise an extension option.

4.4 Contractor shall notify the Department when this Contract is within six (6) months of the expiration of the term as provided for hereinabove. Upon occurrence of this event, Contractor shall send written notification
AMENDMENT NUMBER EIGHT
TO
CONTRACT NUMBER 78469
FOR ARMED AND UNARMED SECURITY GUARD SERVICES

to the County Project Director at the address herein provided in Exhibit E - County’s Administration.

2. Paragraph 5.0 (Contract Sum), sub-paragraph 5.1 only, of the Contract is amended as follows to add the Maximum Annual Contract Sums and update the Maximum Contract Sum for the extension period:

5 Month Ext. Option (2/1/22-6/30/22)
$10,491,134.40

One Year Extension (7/1/22-6/30/23)
$31,018,015.62

6 Month Ext. Option (7/1/23-12/31/23)
$16,050,302.70

The Maximum Contract Sum shall be $257,928,009.06

3. Sub-paragraph 8.13 (Contractor’s Acknowledgement of County’s Commitment to Safely Surrendered Baby Law) of the Contract is deleted in its entirety and replaced as follows to update the County-mandated provision regarding Contractor’s Acknowledgement of County’s Commitment to Safely Surrendered Baby Law:

8.13 Contractor’s Acknowledgement of County’s Commitment to Safely Surrendered Baby Law

Contractor acknowledges that County places a high priority on the implementation of the Safely Surrendered Baby Law. Contractor understands that it is County’s policy to encourage all County contractors to voluntarily post the County’s “Safely Surrendered Baby Law” poster, in Exhibit I, in a prominent position at Contractor’s place of business. Contractor will also encourage its subcontractors, if any, to post this poster in a prominent position in the subcontractor’s place of business. Information and posters for printing are available at:

https://lacounty.gov/residents/family-services/child-safety/safe-surrender/

4. Sub-paragraph 8.33 (Notice to Employees Regarding the Safely Surrendered Baby Law) of the Contract is deleted in its entirety and replaced as follows to update the County-mandated provision regarding Notice to Employees Regarding the Safely Surrendered Baby Law:
8.33 Notice to Employees Regarding the Safely Surrendered Baby Law

Contractor shall notify and provide to its employees and shall require each subcontractor to notify and provide to its employees, information regarding the Safely Surrendered Baby Law, its implementation in Los Angeles County, and where and how to safely surrender a baby. The information is set forth in Exhibit I, Safely Surrendered Baby Law of this Contract. Additional information is available at:

https://lacounty.gov/residents/family-services/child-safety/safe-surrender/

5. Sub-paragraph 8.55 (Compliance with Fair Chance Employment Hiring Practices) of the Contract is deleted in its entirety and replaced as follows to update the County-mandated provision regarding Fair Chance Employment Practices:

8.55 Compliance with Fair Chance Employee Practices

8.55.1 Contractor, and its subcontractors, must comply with fair chance employment hiring practices set forth in California Government Code Section 12952. Contractor’s violation of this sub-paragraph of the Contract may constitute a material breach of the Contract. In the event of such material breach, County may, at its sole discretion, terminate the Contract.

8.55.2 Contractor’s certification of compliance with fair chance employment hiring practices is attached as Exhibit M (Compliance with Fair Chance Employments Hiring Practices Certification) of the Contract.

6. Sub-paragraph 9.1.11 (Employee Retention Rights) of the Contract is deleted in its entirety and replaced as follows to update the County-mandated provision regarding Contractor Employee Retention Rights:

9.1.11 Contractor Employee Retention Rights

1. Contractor must offer employment to all retention employees who are qualified for such jobs. A “retention employee” is an individual who:

(a) is not an exempt employee under the minimum wage and maximum hour exemptions defined in the Federal Fair Labor Standards Act; and
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(b) has been employed a contractor under a predecessor security services contract with the County for at least six (6) months prior to the date of the Contract; and

(c) is, or will be terminated from their employment as a result of County entering into a Contract.

2. Contractor will not be required to hire a retention employee who:

(a) has been convicted of a crime related to the job or their performance; or

(b) fails to meet any other County requirement for employees of the Contractor.

3. Contractor will not terminate a retention employee, except for cause, until:

(a) the first ninety (90) days of employment under the Contract; or

(b) the termination of the Contract.

Thereafter, the Contractor may retain a retention employee on the same terms and conditions as the Contractor's other employee.

7. Exhibit B (Pricing Schedule) of the Contract is deleted in its entirety and replaced with the revised Exhibit B (Pricing Schedule), attached hereto, to add the rates and cost of the extension period.

8. Exhibit G4 (COVID-19 Certification of Compliance form) is deleted in its entirety and replaced with the amended and restated Exhibit G4 (COVID-19 Vaccination Certification of Compliance), attached hereto, to include clarification for Contractor Personnel.

9. Except as expressly provided in this Amendment Number Eight, all other terms, covenants, and conditions of the Contract shall remain the same and in full force and effect.
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10. Contractor represents and warrants that the person executing this Amendment Number Eight for Contractor is an authorized agent who has actual authority to bind Contractor to each and every item, condition, and obligation of this Amendment and that all requirements of Contractor have been fulfilled to provide such actual authority.
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IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have caused this Amendment Number Eight to be executed by their duly authorized representatives on the dates written below.

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

By: _____________________________
ALEX VILLANUEVA, SHERIFF
Date: _____________________________

SECURITAS SECURITY SERVICES, USA, INC.

Signed: ___________________________
Printed: __________________________
Title: _____________________________
Date: _____________________________

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
DAWYN HARRISON
A/County Counsel

By: ________________________________
Michele Jackson
Principal Deputy County Counsel
COVID-19 Vaccination Certification of Compliance

Urgency Ordinance, County Code Title 2 – Administration, Division 4 – Miscellaneous – Chapter 2.212
(COVID-19 Vaccinations of County Contractor Personnel)

I, ____________________________, on behalf of ________________________________,
[Project director or authorized principal] [Company / Contractor Name]

(“Contractor”), certify that on County Contract Number 78467 for

Armed and Unarmed Security Guard Services

________________________________________________________________________
[Description of services provided]

_____ All Contractor Personnel* on this Contract are fully vaccinated as required by the Ordinance.

_____ Most Contractor Personnel* on this Contract are fully vaccinated as required by the Ordinance. The Contractor or its employer of record has granted a valid medical or religious exemption to the below identified Contractor Personnel. Contractor will certify weekly that the following unvaccinated Contractor Personnel have tested negative within 72 hours of starting their work week under the County Contract, unless the contracting County department requires otherwise. The Contractor Personnel who have been granted a valid medical or religious exemption are [LIST ALL CONTRACTOR PERSONNEL]:

*Contractor Personnel includes subcontractors

________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________

I have authority to bind the Contractor, and have reviewed the requirements above and further certify that I will comply with said requirements.

__________________________ __________________________
Signature Date

__________________________
Title

__________________________
Company/Contractor Name

County of Los Angeles Armed and Unarmed Security Guard Services
Sheriff’s Department Standard Exhibits
COVID-19 Vaccination Certification of Compliance

Urgency Ordinance, County Code Title 2 – Administration, Division 4 – Miscellaneous – Chapter 2.212
(COVID-19 Vaccinations of County Contractor Personnel)

I, ___________________________, on behalf of ___________________________________________,
[Project director or authorized principal] [Company / Contractor Name]

(“Contractor”), certify that on County Contract Number 78469 for

Armed and Unarmed Security Guard Services

[Description of services provided]

_____ All Contractor Personnel* on this Contract are fully vaccinated as required by the Ordinance.

_____ Most Contractor Personnel* on this Contract are fully vaccinated as required by the Ordinance. The Contractor or its employer of record has granted a valid medical or religious exemption to the below identified Contractor Personnel. Contractor will certify weekly that the following unvaccinated Contractor Personnel have tested negative within 72 hours of starting their work week under the County Contract, unless the contracting County department requires otherwise. The Contractor Personnel who have been granted a valid medical or religious exemption are [LIST ALL CONTRACTOR PERSONNEL]:

*Contractor Personnel includes subcontractors

I have authority to bind the Contractor, and have reviewed the requirements above and further certify that I will comply with said requirements.

__________________________________________  ______________________________
Signature                                 Date

__________________________________________
Title

__________________________________________
Company/Contractor Name

County of Los Angeles
Sheriff’s Department

Armed and Unarmed Security Guard Services
Standard Exhibits
**EXHIBIT B - PRICING SCHEDULE**

**ARMED AND UNARMED SECURITY GUARD SERVICES**

**NORTH ZONE - SPAS ONE, TWO, AND FOUR**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GUARD</th>
<th>HOURS REQUIRED</th>
<th>NORTH ZONE - SPAS ONE, TWO, AND FOUR</th>
<th>FIVE-MONTH EXTENSION (2/1/22-6/30/22)</th>
<th>ONE YEAR EXTENSION (7/1/22-6/30/23)</th>
<th>SIX-MONTH EXTENSION (7/1/23-12/31/23)</th>
<th>NORTH ZONE - SPAS ONE, TWO, AND FOUR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ITEM</td>
<td>MONTHLY</td>
<td>ANNUAL</td>
<td>CONTRACT TOTAL</td>
<td>RATE</td>
<td>5 MONTH EXT.OPTION</td>
<td>ONE YEAR EXTENSION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNARMED</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$ 45.69</td>
<td>$ 55.98</td>
<td>$ 58.65</td>
<td>$ 58.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARMED</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$ 52.46</td>
<td>$ 65.57</td>
<td>$ 68.09</td>
<td>$ 68.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUPERVISOR</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$ 55.01</td>
<td>$ 66.53</td>
<td>$ 68.99</td>
<td>$ 68.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POST COMMANDER</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SUPERVISOR**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EFFECTIVE DATE</th>
<th>UNARMED</th>
<th>ARMED</th>
<th>SUPERVISOR</th>
<th>POST COMMANDER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2/1/22 - 6/30/22</td>
<td>11,246</td>
<td>33,668</td>
<td>9,024</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/1/22 - 6/30/23</td>
<td>8,009</td>
<td>33,692</td>
<td>7,224</td>
<td>250,917</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Max Annual Contract Sum**

- $ 28,007,430.11
- $ 14,558,962.00
- $ 218,797,519.78

**Total Contract Cost (2/1/16 - 12/31/23)**

- $ 211,514,990.34

**Max Contract Sum**

- $ 218,797,519.78

**OVERTIME/SPECIAL RATES (FILLS AUTOMATICALLY)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GUARD</th>
<th>HOURS REQUIRED</th>
<th>NORTH ZONE - SPAS ONE, TWO, AND FOUR</th>
<th>FIVE-MONTH EXTENSION (2/1/22-6/30/22)</th>
<th>ONE YEAR EXTENSION (7/1/22-6/30/23)</th>
<th>SIX-MONTH EXTENSION (7/1/23-12/31/23)</th>
<th>NORTH ZONE - SPAS ONE, TWO, AND FOUR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ITEM</td>
<td>MONTHLY</td>
<td>ANNUAL</td>
<td>CONTRACT TOTAL</td>
<td>RATE</td>
<td>5 MONTH EXT.OPTION</td>
<td>RATE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNARMED</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$ 45.69</td>
<td>$ 55.98</td>
<td>$ 58.65</td>
<td>$ 58.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARMED</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$ 52.46</td>
<td>$ 65.57</td>
<td>$ 68.09</td>
<td>$ 68.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUPERVISOR</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$ 55.01</td>
<td>$ 66.53</td>
<td>$ 68.99</td>
<td>$ 68.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POST COMMANDER</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## EXHIBIT B - PRICING SCHEDULE

### ARMED AND UNARMED SECURITY GUARD SERVICES

#### CENTRAL ZONE - SPAS THREE AND FIVE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GUARD</th>
<th>HOURS REQUIRED</th>
<th>CENTRAL ZONE (2/1/16 - 1/31/22)</th>
<th>FIVE-MONTH EXTENSION (5/1/22 - 6/30/23)</th>
<th>ONE YEAR EXTENSION (7/1/22 - 6/30/23)</th>
<th>SIX-MONTH EXTENSION (7/1/23 - 12/31/23)</th>
<th>CENTRAL ZONE (2/1/22 - 12/31/23)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UNARMED</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITEM</td>
<td>MONTHLY</td>
<td>ANNUAL</td>
<td>CONTRACT TOTAL</td>
<td>RATE</td>
<td>5 MONTH EXT.OPTION</td>
<td>RATE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNARMED</td>
<td>4,955</td>
<td>59,460</td>
<td>$11,199,885.60</td>
<td>$36.11</td>
<td>$529,729.00</td>
<td>$39.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARMED</td>
<td>14,389</td>
<td>172,668</td>
<td>$34,392,012.24</td>
<td>$40.19</td>
<td>$1,604,384.80</td>
<td>$41.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUPERVISOR</td>
<td>2,029</td>
<td>24,348</td>
<td>$5,039,062.08</td>
<td>$41.69</td>
<td>$295,165.20</td>
<td>$43.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Projected Totals

- UNARMED: $50,630,859.92
- ARMED: $573,568
- SUPERVISOR: $50,880,566.87

### Expenditure Totals (2/1/16 - 1/31/22)

- $50,880,566.87

### Amendment 7

5 Month Extension including 10%

- $2,429,279.00

### Amendment 8

Extension Years - Projected Totals

- Max Annual Contract Sum: $7,494,055.91
- Max Annual Contract Sum: $7,749,004.88

### Total Contract Cost

- $65,299,366.92

### Maximum Contract Sum (as revised in Amendment 3)

- $55,694,055.91

### OVERTIME/SPECIAL RATES (FILLS AUTOMATICALLY)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GUARD</th>
<th>HOURS REQUIRED</th>
<th>CENTRAL ZONE (2/1/16 - 1/31/22)</th>
<th>FIVE-MONTH EXTENSION (5/1/22 - 6/30/23)</th>
<th>ONE YEAR EXTENSION (7/1/22 - 6/30/23)</th>
<th>SIX-MONTH EXTENSION (7/1/23 - 12/31/23)</th>
<th>CENTRAL ZONE (2/1/22 - 12/31/23)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UNARMED</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITEM</td>
<td>MONTHLY</td>
<td>ANNUAL</td>
<td>CONTRACT TOTAL</td>
<td>RATE</td>
<td>5 MONTH EXT.OPTION</td>
<td>RATE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNARMED</td>
<td>6,717</td>
<td>80.29</td>
<td>$98.15</td>
<td>$58.15</td>
<td>$61.23</td>
<td>$64.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARMED</td>
<td>6,254</td>
<td>79.80</td>
<td>$97.63</td>
<td>$58.15</td>
<td>$61.23</td>
<td>$64.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUPERVISOR</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

[Amended and Restated Under Amendment Number 8]
### SOUTH ZONE - SPAS SIX, SEVEN, AND EIGHT

#### GUARD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>SOUTH ZONE (2/1/16 - 1/31/22)</th>
<th>FIVE-MONTH EXTENSION (2/1/22 - 6/30/22)</th>
<th>ONE YEAR EXTENSION (7/1/22 - 6/30/23)</th>
<th>SIX-MONTH EXTENSION (7/1/23 - 12/31/23)</th>
<th>SOUTH ZONE (2/1/22 - 12/31/23)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MONTHLY</td>
<td>ANNUAL</td>
<td>CONTRACT TOTAL</td>
<td>RATE</td>
<td>5 MONTH EXT.OPTION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNARMED</td>
<td>31,325</td>
<td>375,900</td>
<td>69,203,190.00</td>
<td>38.11</td>
<td>1,128,056.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5,920</td>
<td>29,600</td>
<td>1,128,056.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8,025</td>
<td>96,296</td>
<td>3,797,894.52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8,025</td>
<td>48,148</td>
<td>1,965,391.16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARMED</td>
<td>36,151</td>
<td>433,812</td>
<td>84,450,182.04</td>
<td>40.19</td>
<td>5,179,687.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25,776</td>
<td>128,880</td>
<td>5,179,687.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27,944</td>
<td>335,326</td>
<td>13,949,561.60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27,944</td>
<td>167,663</td>
<td>7,217,892.15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUPERVISOR</td>
<td>8,204</td>
<td>98,448</td>
<td>19,975,099.20</td>
<td>41.69</td>
<td>801,281.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3,844</td>
<td>19,220</td>
<td>801,281.80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6,592</td>
<td>79,107</td>
<td>3,413,462.74</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6,592</td>
<td>39,553</td>
<td>1,766,457.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POST COMMANDER</td>
<td>521</td>
<td>6,252</td>
<td>1,488,476.16</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projected Totals</td>
<td>196,862</td>
<td>1,858,205</td>
<td>175,116,947.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Expenditure Totals

| Amendment 7 (2/1/22 - 6/30/22) 5 Month Extension including 10% | $ 148,061,215.60 |
| Amendment 8 (7/1/22 - 12/31/23) Extension Years- Projected Totals | $ 7,109,025.00 |
| Total Contract Cost (2/1/16 - 12/31/23) | $ 191,202,868.26 |
| Maximum Contract Sum (as revised in Amendment 3) | $ 192,628,642.14 |

### OVERTIME/SPECIAL RATES (FILLS AUTOMATICALLY)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GUARD ITEM</th>
<th>SOUTH ZONE (2/1/16 - 1/31/22)</th>
<th>FIVE-MONTH EXTENSION (2/1/22 - 6/30/22)</th>
<th>ONE YEAR EXTENSION (7/1/22 - 6/30/23)</th>
<th>SIX-MONTH EXTENSION (7/1/23 - 12/31/23)</th>
<th>SOUTH ZONE (2/1/22 - 12/31/23)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MONTHLY</td>
<td>ANNUAL</td>
<td>CONTRACT TOTAL</td>
<td>RATE</td>
<td>5 MONTH EXT.OPTION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNARMED</td>
<td>$ 57.17</td>
<td>$ 69.16</td>
<td>$ 61.23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARMED</td>
<td>$ 60.29</td>
<td>$ 62.40</td>
<td>$ 64.58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUPERVISOR</td>
<td>$ 62.54</td>
<td>$ 64.73</td>
<td>$ 66.99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POST COMMANDER</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The mission of the Probation Oversight Commission (POC) is to re-imagine probation services in the County of Los Angeles to achieve accountability, transparency, and healing of the people served by and working for the Probation Department. The POC creates pathways for community engagement to foster trust between the community and the Probation Department. The POC ensures adherence to the highest ethics and the proper stewardship of public funds to support Probation in achieving the best outcomes for youth and adults on Probation.
Facility Inspections
- Pre-inspections at Central Juvenile Hall and Campus Kilpatrick were completed in April 2022. The report and Probation Department’s response are attached to this report.
- The annual inspection process launched the week of May 30, 2022 with an inspection at Dorothy Kirby Center. The POC will release inspection to the Board and the public as they are completed this year along with Probation’s written response to each inspection.

Community Engagement
- The POC’s Community Information Officer joined the team on March 21, 2022. He has updated the communications strategy to focus on increasing meeting participation and engagement along with continuous communication of progress on sub-committee’s goals and our organizational values. This includes updates to strategy and production of social media content, website content, and press releases. The POC is also evaluating the expansion of external Spanish communications and other strategies to target parent engagement.
- The distribution list for the POC now includes 12, 612 people (up from 5,500 March 1st). The POC got over 6,000 impressions on social media for the month of April and recently launched a YouTube channel to share our meeting replays as well as short meeting recaps.

Gender Responsive Decarceration
- In line with the POC’s strategic goal to maintain the low population of incarcerated youth, the POC continues to work on implementation of the Board motion to decarcerate girls and gender expansive youth. The POC is working directly with the Youth Justice Reimagined Work Group and the Public Defender’s Office to ensure that the Board’s motion is implement and to ensure that the work is closely connected to the overall goals and work of Youth Justice Reimagined and the new Department of Youth Development.
- A barrier to progress on this work relates to data sharing so the workgroup has joined with the POC to enforce those requests.

Data Sharing
- The POC continues to work to ensure that Probation is providing data to the POC and the public. Despite obstacles over the past year, Probation and the POC are expecting to sign a Memorandum of Understanding this month to provide regular, robust data sharing.
- Thee POC is now sharing population data from the halls and camps on the POC website and quarterly sharing data on all Probation bureaus called "ProbStat."
Los Angeles County Probation Oversight Commission

Juvenile Facilities PRE-INSPECTIONS REPORT: 2022
BACKGROUND

An entire inspection cycle was completed by the Probation Oversight Commission (POC) in 2021. A formal Facility Inspections report was submitted to the Board of State and Community Corrections (BSCC) by the Commission at the end of 2021. The findings of this report were presented and made public at the end of 2021, during various public-facing meetings.

Findings in the POC’s report garnered attention from various community stakeholders, advocates, and the general public. In addition, the Board of Supervisors (BOS) also referenced the report and its findings during discussions about health, safety, and welfare for youth at LA County juvenile facilities. As such, specific requests by the BOS to the Chief Probation Officer were made to ameliorate conditions referenced in the report. Moreover, it was recommended by the BOS that the POC communicate findings to the Probation Department with increased frequency, following each inspection in addition to the delivery of the annual report and that the Probation Department respond to the inspection reports in writing. Thus, to fully engage the Probation Department and key stakeholders, this report focuses on two pre-inspections conducted by the Probation Oversight Commission in April 2022 whilst offering findings and eliciting answers to the questions posed by the Commission following the inspections.

2022 INSPECTION CYCLE: PRE-INSPECTIONS

As per the authority to inspect under Title 15 of the California of Code of Regulations and as part of standard operating procedure, the POC will complete an inspection cycle this year of the eight (8) operating juvenile facilities in Los Angeles County. This inspection cycle is proposed to commence in June 2022. However, due to extenuating factors related to the temporary closure of Central Juvenile Hall (CJH) and subsequent merging of the two (2) juvenile halls in March and the plan to move the former “Department of Juvenile Justice” (DJJ) population (hereafter “secure track youth”) to a permanent secure facility, two (2) pre-inspections were planned at Central Juvenile Hall (CJH) and at Campus Kilpatrick (Kilpatrick) before the formal inspection process begins.

The purpose of the CJH pre-inspection was to (1) have a first-hand view of the current construction underway there; (2) follow-up on concerning issues from the 2021 POC inspection; and (3) assess the facility’s readiness for the CJH youth’s return. The pre-inspection aims were achieved through direct observation at the facility; review of work plan records provided by the Probation Department’s Maintenance Services Bureau (MSB) and Probation leadership, and via communication with on-site Probation and Internal Services Department (ISD) staff members.

The POC planned its pre-inspection of Kilpatrick for the end of April to coincide with plans publicized by the Probation Department to move the secure track population from Barry J. Nidof Juvenile Hall (BJNJH) to Kilpatrick, which was identified by the BOS as one of two permanent secure facilities. The key aim of the POC’s pre-inspection at Kilpatrick was to assess the facility’s readiness to receive the youth from BNJNH and assess the security and safety measures in place as well as the physical space and programming available to the youth.
CENTRAL JUVENILE HALL

During the weekend of March 12\(^{th}\), the Central Juvenile Hall (youth) population was moved to Barry J. Nidorf Juvenile Hall (BJNJH). Repairs and renovations at the CJH facility were said to have prompted the relocation. As such, per Probation Department leadership, the youth are expected to remain at BJNIJH 90 days or less, pending the completion of the work needed at CJH.

In April 2022, two POC commissioners and three POC staff members visited CJH to conduct a pre-inspection of the facility. There were no youth residing at the facility. The only areas holding youth were the medical units, intake, and court appearances areas. Probation informed the POC that youth stay in these temporary detention areas for no longer than six (6) hour per day at which point they are returned to BJNIJH or released. Representatives from ISD, Probation Maintenance Service Bureau (MSB) Management, and Probation accompanied the POC during the walk through. It is noted that prior to the pre-inspection, a building inspection work plan dated April 13, 2022 indicating work completed and outstanding repairs was shared with the POC team. In sum, the plan indicated that 58 repairs or tasks were completed between 3/30/22 to 4/13/22 and 230 repairs or tasks remained outstanding at the time of this inspection.

Findings:

- Some major work like HVAC, Furnace replacement/repair, and roofing was not indicated on the workplan. However, staff noted that roofing and HVAC is underway on a couple of buildings and other projects will commence later. Specifically, at least three (3) buildings, housing units for boys, were identified as needing these major repairs which staff expect to take at least six (6) months to complete.

  **Question:** What is the plan for these major projects including goals for starting and completing the work?

- Guides who accompanied the Commissioners on the inspection noted that COVID created issues and further delayed work at the facility, particularly affecting work schedules over the past year, due to the availability of ISD crews, supply chain delays, and other issues.

  **Question:** Are there any further delays foreseen or expected?

- Significant concrete replacement work is underway, currently in two areas that serve as main thoroughfare from the east side of the facility to the west side and vice-versa and to outside care or appointments. Important to note is that the medical modular building is located on the west-side of the facility and access to court or outside medical care (LAC-USC) requires access to be gained through these two areas. Additionally, another walkway area that is being planned for concrete replacement is in front of the boy’s school.

  **Question(s):** What is the timeframe for full completion of the concrete replacement project? If not complete by the time youth begin to return, what is the plan to move youth around the facility?
• Intake/Receiving and Quarantine Units: The regular unit that processes youth at intake needs significant improvement. Work is currently underway to the dayroom ceiling and floor (e.g., exposed ceiling/insulation material; broken concrete; and drywall work, due to previous moisture damage on walls (bulging paint/drywall). Commissioners were informed that Unit W is currently being used as intake and quarantine (Unit W is located approx. 400 yards from this building). It was also noted that there was significant damage to the Unit W roof – the Commissioners learned that there is no active work order for the roof repair on Unit W.

Question(s): What is the interim plan for boy’s intake/receiving? When are these areas expected to be completed?

• During the inspection, a Probation skeleton crew was noted to be working on-site. Per observation, it appeared that fewer than 20 staff were assigned at CJH (not counting ISD, MSB work crews and kitchen staff). Most staff were noted to be assigned in the IDC area and court holding area.

Question(s): What is the average number of youth (for intake) currently? How many youth get transported to and from CJH (on average)? With regards to the control center (admitting area, near IDC), are there plans to upgrade old equipment and renovate that area?

• The entire Girls’ CARE unit is under much needed renovations; ISD and Probation indicated that youth are not expected to return to this unit and that another unit may be used as an alternative to house girls previously assigned to CARE. The proposed unit (XY) is said to be further along regarding readiness and is equipped with wet rooms and cleaner recreational space immediately outside the unit.

Question(s): When would the alternate unit be available to girls to move in? What is the projected status of the completion of Girls’ CARE?

• Units A/B and C/D (on the east side of the facility): The work to these housing units which has typically housed girls/young women, is underway (painting walls, cracks on floor being filled, etc.).

Question: Completion and readiness of these buildings – timeline?

• The kitchen appeared in good repair with commissioners noting improvements since the last inspection including plumbing issues resolved and proper drainage with exception of leaky hot water bowls. The Commissioners were informed that the kitchen is currently serving approximately 20 meals/day.

Question: When will remaining scheduled repairs be completed in the kitchen?
• Repair work is underway at the boy’s school (west side), in various classrooms. The Commissioners were informed that the steam pressure valve project (leading up to the school) is currently underway between Probation and USC). Note: This area is currently roped off with yellow “caution” tape. There are uneven sidewalks leading up to the school which need repair, but the projected start of this concrete replacement work is unknown.

*Question(s): Is the steam pressure valve project expected to affect construction or repair completions at the school or on any other areas of the facility? What is the timeline for concrete replacement?*

• Commissioners were informed that the area in front of the boy’s school is earmarked for building chain-link fences described as “pen-like” barriers to prevent youth from different units from recreating together.

*Question: Is this project continuing to be considered and/or planned?*

**CAMPUS KILPATRICK**

The pre-inspection of Kilpatrick occurred at the end of April 2022, to coincide with plans made by the Probation Department to move the former Department of Juvenile Justice (DJJ) (“secure track”) population from Barry J. Nidorf Juvenile Hall (BJNJH) to a permanent secure facility. After months of deliberation among Probation, the BOS, and county and community stakeholders, Campus Kilpatrick was identified as one of two permanent secure facilities for these boys and young men. As such, the key aim of the POC’s pre-inspection at Kilpatrick was to assess the facility’s readiness to receive the youth from BJNIJH; particularly assessing security and safety measures in place as well as having physical space and programming available to the youth.

Three Commissioners along with three staff members from the POC visited Kilpatrick to conduct a pre-inspection of the facility. The facility’s director and a Supervising Deputy Probation Officer (SDPO) accompanied the group during the inspection and availed themselves to answer questions from the Commissioners as they came up.

*Findings:*

• Safety and Security Measures: As part of the pre-inspection, the Commissioners performed a walk through of the facility, including alongside the camp’s perimeter. All housing areas, administrative and school buildings appeared secure and required key or scan access for entry. Commissioners visited one cottage (Maple) identified as a potential cottage to house the incoming youth. The perimeter fencing immediately outside the cottage did not have the new “roller bar” installed on the top of the fence. This roller bar has been identified as adding a premier layer of security to Kilpatrick. It was explained to the Commissioners that the materials for the roller bars are on back-order, thereby delayed in arriving to Kilpatrick. A precise timeline for their arrival was not given. Since the inspection, the POC has learned that a different cottage, Oak, will be used for the first group of secure track youth and that roller bars are installed on the fencing outside that cottage. Commissioners inspected Oak last year but did not visit that cottage during the April 2022 pre-inspection.
**Question(s):** What impact does the roller bar back-order have? Are there any other safety or security measures planned for Kilpatrick?

- **Physical Space and Programs/Services:** Kilpatrick leadership shared the plan to house the incoming youth with the Commissioners: One dedicated cottage would be used for the first group, with both sides available to accommodate youth as they phase-in to Kilpatrick and to provide space for sleeping, education, and programming. As the incoming youth would have their own sleeping quarters, they too would recreate and participate in programming together as well as visit the dining hall with their group for meals. Since this first cohort arriving to Kilpatrick are all high school graduates, they would not attend the LACOE school on-site. Rather, college, vocational, or employment training would need to be identified and provided for this population.

**Question(s):** What will happen to the youth currently at Kilpatrick serving their camp disposition? What is the housing and school plan for the second/next incoming cohort of boys/young men? What colleges and/or CBO’s have been engaged to work with the youth in an educational/vocational or mentorship capacity?

- **Other Observations / Staff Perspectives:** First, regarding parking lot safety and security, staff felt the security of Kilpatrick would be improved by enhancing parking lot security. The staff culture and leadership seemed positive, and it would be beneficial to probation to maintain that team dynamic. It would be ideal to see the director of Kilpatrick directly involved in conceptualizing youth programming and staff training for secure track under an LA model given her expertise. Related, the DPO’s currently at Kilpatrick maintained that they would be willing to work with secure track youth, but the department would need to appropriately train them and increase compensation.

**Question(s):** Are there any plans to enhance security in the parking lot? Would staff perspectives be directly sought out to inform the transition to Kilpatrick?

###
Probation was provided with Los Angeles County Probation Oversight Commission’s 2022 Juvenile Facilities Pre-Inspections Report on Wednesday, May 18, 2022. The Probation Oversight Commission’s inspection cycle is proposed to commence in June 2022. However, due to extenuating factors related to the temporary closure of Central Juvenile Hall (CJH) and subsequent merging of the two (2) juvenile halls in March and the plan to move SYTF youth to Campus Kilpatrick (CVK) two (2) pre-inspections were planned at CJH and at CVK before the formal inspection process begins. What follows are Probation’s responses to the questions provided in that report.

Central Juvenile Hall:

1. Some major work like HVAC, Furnace replacement/repair, and roofing was not indicated on the workplan. However, staff noted that roofing and HVAC is underway on a couple of buildings and other projects will commence later. Specifically, at least three (3) buildings, housing units for boys, were identified as needing these major repairs which staff expect to take at least six (6) months to complete. **Question: What is the plan for these major projects including goals for starting and completing the work?**

   *Most of these projects have already begun and we are working with the Internal Services Department (ISD) to ensure progress is monitored for completion. These are considered capital projects and require coordination with the Department of Public Works (DPW), ISD, and the Chief Executive Office (CEO).*

2. Guides who accompanied the Commissioners on the inspection noted that COVID created issues and further delayed work at the facility, particularly affecting work schedules over the
past year, due to the availability of ISD crews, supply chain delays, and other issues. **Question:** Are there any further delays foreseen or expected?

The County is experiencing supply chain delays. Probation is reliant on ISD work crews and employees with specialized skills to complete some of the work at our facilities. Probation and ISD are meeting on a regular basis to discuss priorities.

3. Significant concrete replacement work is underway, currently in two areas that serve as main thoroughfare from the east side of the facility to the west side and vice-versa and to outside care or appointments. **Question(s):** What is the timeframe for full completion of the concrete replacement project? If not complete by the time youth begin to return, what is the plan to move youth around the facility?

Concrete work surrounding Medical modular building is completed. Concrete repair leading up to Boys school is pending and will need to be scheduled and organized after all youth return to the facility. The concrete repair near PQ/RS leading to the Boy’s School is in progress.

Probation is finalizing construction of a Game Room and Barber Shop for our youth. Due to electrical requirements for this project, the concrete repair for this area is being coordinated by ISD. Concrete is expected to be poured in the coming weeks. Youth will not access this area upon return, but as a safety measure, this area will be fenced off for security.

4. Intake/Receiving and Quarantine Units: The regular unit that processes youth at intake needs significant improvement. Work is currently underway to the dayroom ceiling and floor (e.g., exposed ceiling/insulation material; broken concrete; and drywall work, due to previous moisture damage on walls (bulging paint/drywall). Commissioners were informed that Unit W is currently being used as intake and quarantine (Unit W is located approx. 400 yards from this building). It was also noted that there was significant damage to the Unit W roof – the Commissioners learned that there is no active work order for the roof repair on Unit W. **Question(s):** What is the interim plan for boy’s intake/receiving? When are these areas expected to be completed?

Currently there are no significant repairs planned for the intake and receiving units. **Management Services Bureau** will have the roof inspected by ISD to determine if the roof will need replacement.

*The Medical Unit (MOU) has 8 Beds and will serve as the temporary intake/receiving. Unit W (1&2) is no longer being used as Intake/Receiving and Quarantine. If any youth have COVID they will remain the MOU. Unit W2 will be the temporary location for the Hope Center if it is needed. The additional repairs to Unit W are estimated to be completed before June 1st.*

5. During the inspection, a Probation skeleton crew was noted to be working on-site. Per observation, it appeared that fewer than 20 staff were assigned at CJH (not counting ISD,
MSB work crews and kitchen staff). Most staff were noted to be assigned in the IDC area and court holding area. Question(s): What is the average number of youth (for intake) currently? How many youth get transported to and from CJH (on average)? With regards to the control center (admitting area, near IDC), are there plans to upgrade old equipment and renovate that area?

Central Juvenile Hall currently averages just over 3 intakes a day and approximately 100 per month. This average reflects the intake numbers since the closure of CJH (March 13th- May 17th).

Since the CJH closure there have been 226 intakes - 199 males 27 females. This number is low because it does not include Probation Department’s intake which was rerouted to BJNJH. During the closure of CJH, approximately 22 youths traveled to and from CJH on a daily basis.

6. The entire Girls’ CARE unit is under much needed renovations; ISD and Probation indicated that youth are not expected to return to this unit and that another unit may be used as an alternative to house girls previously assigned to CARE. The proposed unit (XY) is said to be further along regarding readiness and is equipped with wet rooms and cleaner recreational space immediately outside the unit. Question(s): When would the alternate unit be available to girls to move in? What is the projected status of the completion of Girls’ CARE?

Unit X/Y is ready to receive youth. The ISD plans were recently received for improvements in the Girls’ CARE unit. Project scope and timeline are currently under review.

7. Units A/B and C/D (on the east side of the facility): The work to these housing units which has typically housed girls/young women, is underway (painting walls, cracks on floor being filled, etc.). Question: Completion and readiness of these buildings – timeline?

The work in units A/B and C/D work is complete, and these units are now occupied. This work included painting, repairing cracks in the floor, cleaning of walls and windows. All units deep-cleaned for the arrival of the youth back to CJH.

8. The kitchen appeared in good repair with commissioners noting improvements since the last inspection including plumbing issues resolved and proper drainage with exception of leaky hot water bowls. The Commissioners were informed that the kitchen is currently serving approximately 20 meals/day. Question: When will remaining scheduled repairs be completed in the kitchen?

Probation is working closely with ISD to address the remaining repairs. We hope that these repairs will be completed in the coming weeks.

9. Repair work is underway at the boy’s school (west side), in various classrooms. The Commissioners were informed that the steam pressure valve project (leading up to the school) is currently underway between Probation and USC). Note: This area is currently
roped off with yellow “caution” tape. There are uneven sidewalks leading up to the school which need repair, but the projected start of this concrete replacement work is unknown.

**Question(s):** Is the steam pressure valve project expected to affect construction or repair completions at the school or on any other areas of the facility? What is the timeline for concrete replacement?

*The steam project with USC has not begun. We do not anticipate that this work will have a negative impact on the movement of youth to school. Concrete repair is being scheduled with ISD.*

10. Commissioners were informed that the area in front of the boy’s school is earmarked for building chain-link fences described as “pen-like” barriers to prevent youth from different units from recreating together.

**Probation is not moving forward with this project.**

**Campus Kilpatrick:**

1. Safety and Security Measures: As part of the pre-inspection, the Commissioners performed a walkthrough of the facility, including alongside the camp’s perimeter. All housing areas, administrative and school buildings appeared secure and required key or scan access for entry. Commissioners visited one cottage (Maple) identified as a potential cottage to house the incoming youth. The perimeter fencing immediately outside the cottage did not have the new “roller bar” installed on the top of the fence. This roller bar has been identified as adding a premier layer of security to Kilpatrick. It was explained to the Commissioners that the materials for the roller bars are on back-order, thereby delayed in arriving to Kilpatrick. A precise timeline for their arrival was not given. Since the inspection, the POC has learned that a different cottage, Oak, will be used for the first group of secure track youth and that roller bars are installed on the fencing outside that cottage. Commissioners inspected Oak last year but did not visit that cottage during the April 2022 pre-inspection. **Question(s): What impact does the roller bar back-order have? Are there any other safety or security measures planned for Kilpatrick?**

*The back order of roller bars may delay moving into some of the cottages at Kilpatrick. Roller bars and other anti-climbing measures will be completed by ISD once the materials are available. Probation is prioritizing appropriate staffing and programming for these young people, which we believe contribute to enhanced safety and security.*

2. Physical Space and Programs/Services: Kilpatrick leadership shared the plan to house the incoming youth with the Commissioners: One dedicated cottage would be used for the first group, with both sides available to accommodate youth as they phase-in to Kilpatrick and to provide space for sleeping, education, and programming. As the incoming youth would have their own sleeping quarters, they too would recreate and participate in programming together as well as visit the dining hall with their group for meals. Since this first cohort arriving to Kilpatrick are all high school graduates, they would not attend the LACOE school on-site. Rather, college, vocational, or employment training would need to be identified and provided
for this population. **Question(s): What will happen to the youth currently at Kilpatrick serving their camp disposition?** What is the housing and school plan for the second/next incoming cohort of boys/young men? What colleges and/or CBO’s have been engaged to work with the youth in an educational/vocational or mentorship capacity?

The current plan would not call to disrupt the Kilpatrick youth’s program and they will remain on-site. Kilpatrick’s intake was stopped as of March 18, 2022, in an effort to reduce the population foreseeing the transition and need of capacity for the SYTF population.

The second cohort will transition into the Oak Cottage where the first cohort will be living and integrate with the first cohort. The school plan is responsive to the needs and interest of the young men. The majority are over the age of 18 therefore are not required to attend our traditional LACOE educational services. Career Technical Education (CTE) courses will be offered though Mission College and LACOE.

There are an array of CBOs currently serving the SYTF population. Below are the current providers who have committed to continue and expand services at Campus Kilpatrick as the young people transition.

- **ARC (Anti-Recidivism Coalition (ARC)) - Family Therapy**
- **Probation (Individual Dialectical Behavior Therapy (DBT) Counseling) - Individual meetings with DPOII/T&C to work on Interactive Journaling**
- **Arts and Culture Department (Inside Out Writers)- This program focuses on language, rhyming and emotional balance through writing to enhance the youth’s reading experience and literacy.**
- **Arts and Culture Department - (HomeBoy Arts Academy) - Arts programming and mentoring**
- **LACOE (Let it out) - Self-expression through music**
- **County Delinquency Prevention Program (Healing Dialogue & Action (HDA)) – Mentoring: HDA brings together people wounded by violence and broken criminal justice systems to change the criminal justice system, so it is one that respects and offers an opportunity for transformation for victims, offenders, and families.**
- **Probation Education Services College Courses (Mission College, East Los Angeles College and UCLA) - A 12-week course on college enrollment. The course teaches the youth how to apply to college, register for classes, and read a syllabus and course catalog.**
- **Catholic and Protestant Services (Religious Services) - Weekly Protestant and Catholic services**
- **AYC (Asian Youth Center) - Curriculum-based discussions regarding Decision Making, Choices and Consequences, Anger Management, Substance Abuse Prevention, and**
Recognizing Thinking Errors as Minimizing, Self-Centeredness, and False Blaming which will help in decrease criminal and delinquency behavior.

3. Other Observations / Staff Perspectives: First, regarding parking lot safety and security, staff felt the security of Kilpatrick would be improved by enhancing parking lot security. The staff culture and leadership seemed positive, and it would be beneficial to probation to maintain that team dynamic. It would be ideal to see the director of Kilpatrick directly involved in conceptualizing youth programming and staff training for secure track under an LA model given her expertise. Related, the DPO’s currently at Kilpatrick maintained that they would be willing to work with secure track youth, but the department would need to appropriately train them and increase compensation. **Question(s): Are there any plans to enhance security in the parking lot? Would staff perspectives be directly sought out to inform the transition to Kilpatrick?**

*Probation is working with DPW to build a security gate and the entrance of the parking lot. This will provide a needed security enhancement to the facility. Staff will also enhance perimeter searches and surveillance as part of their regular duties.*

*The Director and staff at Kilpatrick continue to be engaged in the planning to expand the LA Model to all facilities and populations in our detention facilities. Staff currently assigned to Kilpatrick have received additional training on the LA Model and are providing valuable operational insights to the SYTF planning team. *Probation is committed to fully implementing the LA Model at Kilpatrick and across all juvenile facilities.*

c:  
Fesia Davenport, Chief Executive Officer  
Celia Zavala, Executive Officer, Board of Supervisors  
Dawyn Harrison, Acting County Counsel  
Wendelyn Julien, Executive Director, Probation Oversight Commission
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Rosas, et al. v. Los Angeles County

Sheriff Alex Villanueva

Rosas Implementation Plan

Department’s Compliance
Rosas Settlement Agreement Provision 1.4 requires the Department to publicly report to the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors bi-annually:

- Implementation Plan
- Status of Compliance
- Training on Use of Force Policy
- Use of Force Statistics and Trends
- Department Use of Force Policy Violations
- Inmate Grievances

Note: The data provided for this presentation compares data from the year of 2020 and 2021.
Rosas, et al. v. Sheriff
Alex Villanueva

➢ Rosas is a federal class action lawsuit alleging a pattern of excessive use of force in the Downtown jail facilities (Men’s Central Jail, Twin Towers Correctional Facility, and the Inmate Reception Center).

➢ The Settlement Agreement was initially approved by the Court on April 21, 2015. The Department began assessing compliance with the Settlement Agreement effective July 1, 2018, after the establishment of the revised Compliance Measures (May 2018).

➢ Provisions are grouped in 7 Categories:

Note: Rosas Monitor Mark Harris resigned in September of 2021. As of May of 2022, Kathy Kenney resumed the position as monitor.
Downtown Jail Complex
Rosas Provision
Force Mitigation and Quality Improvement Efforts

- Weekly meetings with Division Chiefs
  - Comprehensive review of use of force incidents

- Custody Division tracks head strike incidents

- The Sheriff has approved body worn cameras at Men’s Central Jail (Pilot Program)

- * WRAP Directive revised

- * Prohibited Use of Force Policy Directive that limits use of personal weapons to the head has been published; however, the stakeholders review is still pending

*In-progress projects
# Update on Jail Use of Force Training

## Custody Force Training Statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Training Course</th>
<th>Custody Division Personnel Trained in 2020</th>
<th>Custody Division Personnel Trained in 2021</th>
<th>Rosas Compliance for Basin Facilities in 2021**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Custody Division Force Policy</td>
<td>1,295</td>
<td>1,658</td>
<td>Initial Training Completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Custody Division Force Policy Refresher</td>
<td>1,291</td>
<td>2,076</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethics Training</td>
<td>1,236</td>
<td>1,288</td>
<td>Initial Training Completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethics Training Refresher</td>
<td>1,460</td>
<td>1,222</td>
<td>92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIMI / DeVRT Training</td>
<td>883</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>Initial Training Completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIMI / DeVRT Training Refresher</td>
<td>683</td>
<td>1,969</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of Force Report Writing and Documentation for Supervisors Refresher</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The data was provided by the Custody Training and Standards Bureau.

** Percentages are based on the Custody Compliance and Sustainability Bureau Rosas Provisions self-assessment data from the 2021 annual report.
Update on Initiated Administrative Investigations

Initiated administrative investigations have increased every year since 2019.

![Bar Chart: Initiated Administrative Investigations]

- 2019: 86
- 2020: 99
- 2021: 107
## Update on Administrative Investigations

### Violations of the Use of Force Policy

**Basin Facilities**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Initiated Cases</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **January 1, 2020 - December 31, 2020** | 10              | - Nine cases were found to be in violation of Use of Force Policy  
|                               |                 | - One case was unfounded                                  |
| **January 1, 2021 - December 31, 2021** | 14              | - Eleven cases were found to be in violation of Use of Force Policy  
|                               |                 | - One case was unfounded                                  
|                               |                 | - Two cases are pending                                    |
Overall Use of Force Statistics

Use of Force incidents from 2020 to 2021 increased by 20%; however, when comparing 2019 to 2021, the overall Use of Force incidents decreased by 14%.

On May 5, 2022, the eLOTS Monthly Force By Category report was generated; however, it is subject to change based on the investigation findings.
Category 1 incidents increased from 2020 to 2021 by 14%; however, when comparing 2019 to 2021, the Category 1 incidents decreased by 7.4%.
Category 2 incidents increased from 2020 to 2021 by 52%; however, when comparing 2019 to 2021, the Category 2 incidents decreased by 17.5%.
Downtown Jail Complex
Use of Force - Category 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Annual 2020 Category 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MCJ: 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Annual 2021 Category 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MCJ: 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Category 3 Uses of Force are investigated by Internal Affairs Bureau.

The Category 3 incidents for 2021 did not consist of any head strikes.
## Status of Compliance

### Published Reports

### Panel's Tenth Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Administrative</th>
<th>Use of Force</th>
<th>Training</th>
<th>Force Reporting / Investigations</th>
<th>Grievances</th>
<th>Restraints</th>
<th>Early Warning System</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Compliant</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Compliant</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pending</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Applicable</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>9</strong></td>
<td><strong>25</strong></td>
<td><strong>11</strong></td>
<td><strong>24</strong></td>
<td><strong>24</strong></td>
<td><strong>8</strong></td>
<td><strong>3</strong></td>
<td><strong>104</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Panel's Ninth Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Administrative</th>
<th>Use of Force</th>
<th>Training</th>
<th>Force Reporting / Investigations</th>
<th>Grievances</th>
<th>Restraints</th>
<th>Early Warning System</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Compliant</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Compliant</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pending</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Applicable</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>9</strong></td>
<td><strong>25</strong></td>
<td><strong>11</strong></td>
<td><strong>24</strong></td>
<td><strong>24</strong></td>
<td><strong>8</strong></td>
<td><strong>3</strong></td>
<td><strong>104</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Inmate Grievances

Data provided by Custody Support Services Bureau compares the time period of January 1, 2020 - December 31, 2020, and January 1, 2021 - December 31, 2021.

*The iPad Requests totals are for all custody facilities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2021</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General Grievances</td>
<td>7,783</td>
<td>7,435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complaints Against Staff</td>
<td>660</td>
<td>713</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iPad Requests</td>
<td>2,390,024</td>
<td>1,100,088</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Basin Facility Grievances
### Basin Facilities

#### Top 5 Grievances

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2021</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Living Conditions</td>
<td>1. Living Conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1675</td>
<td>1406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Other*</td>
<td>2. Mail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1446</td>
<td>1201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1137</td>
<td>831</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Staff Complaints</td>
<td>4. Staff Complaints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>659</td>
<td>713</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Classification</td>
<td>5. Other*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>538</td>
<td>708</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Grievances classified as "Other" were initially mis-categorized, but were subsequently completed with the following disposition(s): visiting, television, inmate work assignment, inmate money/accounts, inmate property, justice delays (Pro-Per, Law Library, etc.), court-related issues (work release, time-off credits/early release), classification, etc. All grievances classified as “Other” were appropriately handled to completion.