PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

			The following individuals submitted comments on agenda item:	
Agenda #	**Relate To**	**Position**	**Name**	**Comments**
Public Comment		**Favor**	Aleen M Guerrero Martinez	
			Alejandro Lopez	
			Esperanza Castro	Hello, my name is Esperanza. I'm here with Fideicomiso Comunitario Tierra Libre, or FCTL. We actively serve the communities of Boyle Heights and unincorporated East Los Angeles. I am here today to express my support for item 11 because for weeks, families in the neighborhood have lived with the aftermath of the Lineage Logistics fire, which has created a public health hazard. Weeks have passed, and the putrid smell continues to grow, bringing swarms of flies, preventing families from opening windows amidst a heat wave, and forcing local shops to lose customers. The community cannot wait any longer. I please urge you to adopt this resolution without delay, and I also urge accountability from Lineage Logistics. We need an immediate cleanup at the site and direct support for the residents whose daily lives have been disrupted for weeks as a result of this fire. The neighborhood deserves to be treated with dignity so residents can feel safe in their community again. Thank you!
			Jacob Guerra	
			Jason A Vasquez	
			Jason A Vasquez	
			Jonathan Gonzalez	
			Josue Martinez	
			Lea M Bracamontes	
			Megan H Katerjian	I'm CEO of Door of Hope and a member of the Eaton Fire Collaborative. I support the motion "Streamlining Homelessness Prevention Services Including for Fire Impacted Communities." As a homelessness prevention and disaster case management service provider, as well as a fire survivor myself, I believe survivors need one clear place to find prevention resources, and we urge the Board to implement it fully and on schedule.

As of: 7/21/2026 3:00:20 PM

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

			The following individuals submitted comments on agenda item:	
Agenda #	**Relate To**	**Position**	**Name**	**Comments**
Public Comment		**Favor**	Michelle E Rosser	My name is Michelle Edith Rosser. I am a Los Angeles County resident. I am commenting on the Board's oversight responsibility for DCFS under County Code. Material omissions in dependency court reports constitute fraud upon the court per Hazel-Atlas Glass Co v Hartford-Empire Co, 322 U.S. 238. This is a systemic documentation issue affecting County liability and federal Title IV-E funding compliance. I respectfully request the Board: 1. Direct County Counsel to audit JV-570 report procedures for WIC 827 compliance 2. Review DCFS training on material fact disclosure to courts I am not disclosing confidential case information. I am requesting policy review. Thank you.
			susan Friend LeTourneur	
			Yessica Calderon	To except street sweeping citation for valid handicap place cards in la county.

As of: 7/21/2026 3:00:20 PM

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

			The following individuals submitted comments on agenda item:	
Agenda #	**Relate To**	**Position**	**Name**	**Comments**
Public Comment		**Other**	Christopher L Truman	Honorable Supervisors: In September 2025, this Board — on the Solis–Hahn motion, supporting document 207511 — directed the Sheriff to bar civil-immigration use of license-plate data, cut retention to sixty days, train deputies, log every export, and report back to this Board. The Sheriff's Department runs more than three hundred sixty fixed license-plate cameras and is the umbrella agency for Lomita, Carson, and the Palos Verdes Peninsula. The Sheriff did report back to this Board in January 2026. But the revised ALPR policy that report described — the hard bar on civil-immigration use, the sixty-day retention cap, deputy training, and export logging — is still not fully in force, reportedly held up in meet-and-confer. So I am asking, on the record: when will that policy actually take effect, and where are the promised follow-up updates? Please publish the Sheriff's ALPR privacy policy, the full camera inventory, and the ICE and CBP query logs. If the largest police force in California — LAPD — just walked away from these cameras, this Board should not let its own reform stall. The concern is not abstract. This Board's own directive assumed data was flowing where it should not; independent reporting since has shown California ALPR networks queried by out-of-state and federal agencies, including in immigration-related searches, despite local settings meant to prevent it. The remedy the Board already chose — a hard bar on immigration use, a sixty-day retention cap, deputy training, export logging, and a public report-back — is the right one. What residents need now is proof it was carried out. I respectfully ask the Board to (1) direct the Sheriff to state publicly when the civil-immigration-use bar, sixty-day retention cap, training, and export logging contemplated by supdoc 207511 will be fully in force, and to publish the promised follow-up compliance updates, (2) release the Sheriff's current ALPR usage-and-privacy policy, the fixed-camera inventory, and the ICE/CBP query logs, and (3) calendar a public status update so this Board's own reform does not stall unenforced. Thank you for your time and for the Board's leadership on this issue. Christopher Truman
			Kyle D Haab	This public comment constitutes formal notice and demand for immediate Los Angeles County oversight, coordinated preservation, custodian identification, source-record reconciliation, and written disposition concerning CRCD, Project Tipping Point, Amity Foundation, JCOD, CFCI-PA3-056-2023, the Auditor-Controller/Office of County Investigations, LAHSA/HMIS, County Executive Office routing, County-funded contractors, and related records.

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

This is no longer a request for general assistance, another routing acknowledgment, or another referral without ownership. The attached five exhibit packets document repeated notice, preservation demands, records requests, agency referrals, complaint numbers, contradictory classifications, and continuing efforts to identify the custodians of participant, consent, HMIS, housing, payment, grant-administration, contractor, monitoring, investigative, and disposition records.

The attachments are incorporated into this comment. They distinguish documented source records, attributed reports, reasonable inferences, unresolved questions, and legal issues requiring proof. No complaint number, referral, closure, database entry, signature, or analytical summary is represented as a criminal or civil finding. Native records, authenticated communications, certified records, audit logs, payment traces, governing contracts, and authorized investigative findings control.

The record raises potential statutory issues that require preservation and lawful review if the relevant elements are established.

California Penal Code section 135 provides:

“A person who, knowing that any book, paper, record, instrument in writing, digital image, video recording owned by another, or other matter or thing, is about to be produced in evidence upon a trial, inquiry, or investigation, authorized by law, willfully destroys, erases, or conceals the same, with the intent to prevent it or its content from being produced, is guilty of a misdemeanor.”

Accordingly, all potentially responsive physical and electronic records must be preserved. This notice does not assert that destruction has occurred. It places the County and relevant custodians on notice that intentional destruction, erasure, or concealment with the required knowledge and intent may implicate Penal Code section 135.

California Penal Code section 134 provides:

“Every person guilty of preparing any false or ante-dated book, paper, record, instrument in writing, or other matter or thing, with intent to produce it, or allow it to be produced for any fraudulent or deceitful purpose, as genuine or true, upon any trial, proceeding, or inquiry whatever, authorized by law, is guilty of felony.”

The County must therefore preserve original versions, creation dates, metadata, audit histories, edits, duplicate-profile histories, access logs, and source documents sufficient to authenticate any record used in an administrative, investigative, or judicial proceeding. This citation is conditional and is not an allegation that any person prepared a false record.

Correspondence Received

California Penal Code section 115, subdivision (a), provides:

"Every person who knowingly procures or offers any false or forged instrument to be filed, registered, or recorded in any public office within this state, which instrument, if genuine, might be filed, registered, or recorded under any law of this state or of the United States, is guilty of a felony."

Any public-office filing issue must be evaluated against the actual instrument, knowledge, authenticity, filing status, and statutory elements. No violation should be presumed merely from an inconsistency or disputed record.

California Penal Code section 470 provides, in relevant part:

"Every person who, with the intent to defraud, knowing that he or she has no authority to do so, signs the name of another person … is guilty of forgery."

It further applies to a person who, with intent to defraud, "alters, corrupts, or falsifies" specified legally operative records. Any signature or authorization dispute must therefore be resolved by producing the original document, signature history, execution method, timestamps, metadata, identity-verification record, and custodian declaration. A disputed signature alone does not establish forgery; knowledge, lack of authority, and intent to defraud must be proven.

California Penal Code section 530.5, subdivision (a), provides:

"Every person who willfully obtains personal identifying information … of another person, and uses that information for any unlawful purpose, including to obtain, or attempt to obtain, credit, goods, services, real property, or medical information without the consent of that person, is guilty of a public offense."

The unresolved consent, ROI, participant-profile, landlord, lease, ACH, W-9, housing, HMIS, family-status, and interagency-record questions require production of the source authorization and records showing how identifying information was collected and used. This citation does not establish identity theft. It identifies why consent, use, purpose, and authorization records are material.

California Penal Code section 424 applies to public officers and other persons charged with public money and includes circumstances in which a covered person, among other things, knowingly keeps a false account, makes a false entry or erasure, or fraudulently alters, falsifies, conceals, destroys, or obliterates an account relating to public money.

Because the record concerns CFCI-funded rental assistance and County-administered funds, the County must preserve and reconcile the complete payment chain. Section 424 cannot be applied merely because funding records are incomplete. It requires proof that the person was within the

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

	statute, that public money was involved, and that the specified prohibited conduct and required mental state occurred. California Penal Code section 532 addresses obtaining money, labor, property, or credit through knowingly designed false or fraudulent representations or pretenses. Any potential false-pretense issue requires proof of a knowingly false representation, intent to defraud, reliance, and the obtaining of money, labor, property, or another qualifying benefit. This comment requests records necessary to determine facts; it does not assert those elements have been proven. California Government Code section 1090, subdivision (a), provides: “Members of the Legislature, state, county, district, judicial district, and city officers or employees shall not be financially interested in any contract made by them in their official capacity, or by any body or board of which they are members.” Subdivision (b) further provides that an individual shall not aid or abet a covered official or employee in violating subdivision (a). Any section 1090 review requires identification of the covered official or employee, the contract-making participation, the financial interest, statutory exceptions, and the decision-specific nexus. Public/private role overlap alone is not proof of a violation. California Government Code section 87100 provides: “A public official at any level of state or local government shall not make, participate in making, or in any way attempt to use the public official’s official position to influence a governmental decision in which the official knows or has reason to know the official has a financial interest.” The County must preserve contracts, calendars, communications, recusals, Form 700 records, payment records, monitoring records, recommendations, approvals, and corrective-action decisions needed for any lawful conflict-of-interest review. The present record does not itself establish a financial interest or decision-making participation. California Civil Code section 1798.1 declares that privacy is a fundamental right and states that individuals have a right of privacy in information pertaining to them. It further recognizes risks created by indiscriminate collection, mainte
SYLVIA Soto	Pressure needs to continue on Lineage and other businesses with such enormous capacity. I understand regulations and boundaries need to be set, however just as Supervisor Barger mentioned air and natural conditions do not know borders and there are a lot of individuals outside of the boundaries set who are affected by the horrid smell aggerated by the current heat, and initial smoke that occurred did not stay in the boundaries set for resources. My mom and many seniors just outside the boundaries do not have air

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

Correspondence Received

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

	purifiers or air conditioner to deal with the exasperated heat in their homes with having to leave doors and windows closed due to smell. Recently she has had to go to my sister's house for temporary relief. As I drove her home she mentioned she is not able to keep her window open for a breeze at night because of the smell and she worries about her two dogs in the heat. The smell is horrid, I experienced it as we drove with the car windows open. I had to close the car windows and turn on the air conditioner in the car on as it was so bad on Beverly and Garhart (outside of your boundaries) I felt like vomiting and woke up with a headache this morning. I wondered why I have been waking up with headaches. Individuals' health are being affected and will be affected long after the clean up is done. I support Supervisor Horvach's suggestion, a plan needs to be set in place before any of these facilities is open and updated anytime any changes to these buildings are made. We regulate individual's homes more than we regulate big companies. These facilities should not be allowed next to residential areas. Seniors and residents who do not want to leave their homes don't want to leave because that is there home, not because they are not experiencing the harm. I am tired of elected officials quoting that they do not want to leave their homes. Let's do better as Govt agencies.
William Flores-Lemus	Date: July 21, 2026 Ref: For The Record ATTENTION: Cynthia Csimuta Please forward to. Chief Director Edward Yen Deputy Executive Officer Steven Hernandez Jenifer Tran Board of Supervisor Hilda L. Solis Board of Supervisor Hollie J. Mitchell Board of Supervisor Lindsey P. Horvath Board of Supervisor Janice Hahn Board of Supervisor Kathryn Barge In previous emails sent dated May 15, 2026 and May 19, 2026. I requested a appointment with Jennifer Tran. Also, to forward the correspondence to her immediate supervisors. My request was to gain information on Los Angeles County policies. To file official complaints towards Los Angeles County employees. Per our conversation I stated to my understanding in past emails sent. To The Executive Office of The Board Of Supervisors. Were received by Los Angeles County employees Fransisco and to my

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

knowledge Ileana.

I stated to you Fransisco is the subordinate of Jennifer Tran. He is aware one of my complaints is towards him. I claim he purposely mislead me in information.

In how to file a Human Resource compliant towards employees at The Public Defenders Office.

Also, towards employees that are under the policies. Of The Executive Office Board of Supervisors in particular Sonia Lopez.

I claim that that Jennifer Tran has not received my past emails. Due to either Fransisco or Ileana.

Have not forward those emails to her on purpose or have been told by thier supervisors to disregard my emails.

As Jennifer Tran is well aware of my past emails in complaints sent to her. I claim is also not forwarding my emails to her supervisors. Perhaps being instructed to disregard my emails.

The clear pattern that repeats is deliberate malfeasance. By The Public Defender's Office and The Executive Office of The Board of Supervisors is cleary apparent.

1. The Public Defender's Office has abandoned my petition for a Certificate of Rehabilitation.
2. Repeated refusal provide me legal competent legal advice.
3. Refused to acknowledge mistakes and not represent me to my best interests. Under Los Angeles County Policy and California State Law.
4. The Public Defender's Office refused to legally explain why a second petition of a Certificate of Rehabilitation needs to be filed.
5. Refuses to account for the chain of custody. On both occasions in what happened to Frank Flores's letter.
6. Has repeatedly refused all my legal requests.
7. To the Board of Supervisor Sonia Lopez's frivolous accusations towards me. In her actions spoiled the relationship with The Public Defenders Office. Also, to her repeated dismissal of my claims to assist me.
8. Los Angeles County employee Monnica Thelen's repeated refusal to provide me her supervisors contact. To file a Human Resources complaint.
9. Employee Francisco misleading me in how to file a Human Resource Complaint. In the claim that emails of my complaints are purposely being dismissed by him as to Jennifer Tran.

The recourse I have is to forward my complaint to several California State and Federal agencies. As to my State Senator and The California State Bar.

In requesting my State Senator in forwarding my complaint to The Governor's

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

Office and Attorney General's office for a thorough review.

In my claim that due process and civil liberties to gain my full State and Federal rights. Have been deprived as to emotional harm created.

By The Los Angeles County Public Defender's and Executive Office of The Board of Supervisors. In the lack of actions to bring forth accountability.

I understand that each Board of Supervisors are employees of Los Angeles County and subject to.

Their own employment policies as to State and Federal laws. As previously stated I will continue to email my complaints. As to post on The Board Supervisors website under Public Comments.

To maintain an official record as a means. That these Los Angeles County employees cannot state they were unaware in repeated complaints.

TAKE NOTE:
Persevere all emails received as I have documented all that have been sent. As to be used as evidence to prove my claims of repeated malfeasance.

My intent is to formulate specific arguments. To request written legal responses for the record. In the example established. By The Public Defender's Office and The Executive Office Board of Supervisors employees, I believe will withhold emails.

I claim these individuals in their actions. Have emotionally harmed me in abusing their administrative power, in not responding in causing deliberate delays.

In creating Los Angeles County Government Employee Protectionism, not to account for their actions.

I believe will be held accountable and predict will continue to obfuscate and to obstruct. In hindering the process to bring forth accountability. To State and Federal officials and further prove my arguments.

At this point there is point in communication with The Public Defender's Office and to Executive Office Board of Supervisors employees.

To include Jennifer Tran unless she is willing or directed to assist me to gain. All the information I am requesting to
file official Los Angeles County employee complaints.

Signed.

Mr. William Flores-Lemus

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

Correspondence Received

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

William Flores-Lemus	Date July 21,2026 To: Chief Director Edward Yen Deputy Executive Officer Steven Hernandez Jenifer Tran Request Forward To: The Board of Supervisor Hilda L. Solis Board of Supervisor Hollie J. Mitchell Board of Supervisor Lindsey P. Horvath Board of Supervisor Janice Hahn Board of Supervisor Kathryn Barge Ref: For The Record Legal Notice As Board of Supervisors you are well aware of all my emails sent and comments left. On the public website since January 26, 2026. In complaints towards The Public Defender's Office. In their willful refusal to represent me to my best interests. I have come to the conclusion The Board of Supervisors care less to bring forth accountability. To The Public Defenders Office. In knowingly and deliberately not responding to my complaints and legal requests. I claim The Board of Supervisors are protecting. The repeated behavior of The Public Defender's Office. In addition, that their actions are deliberate willful malfeasance. In repeatedly refusing to respond to my legal requests. To submit a strong petition in a Certificate of Rehabilitation. The last request in providing consent was on May, 6, 2026 that has been refused by The Public Defender Office. To forward all copies in the petition Certificate of Rehabilitation, to a State of California Public Official. This malfeasance is evident in their lack of responsibility to account for their mistakes. I am claiming mental duress has been caused by The Public Defender's Office. In them not to provide legal competent counsel. To make informed decisions and to provide my consent. This legal coercion by The Public Defender's Office that has been created. Has prompted me to file with The Alternative Public Defender's Office. Which I believe is the intent of The Public Defender's Office. In order for them

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

	to have no legal responsibility or obligations towards me. As The Public Defender's Office has repeatedly refused to legally explain. Why a second petition of a Certificate of Rehabilitation needs to be resubmitted. The Alternative Public Defender's Office will potentially inherit. A incomplete petition of a Certificate of Rehabilitation. That The Public Defender's Office will be responsible and be at direct fault. In addition, the time that has elapsed since I completed my part. In submitting petition of Certificate of Rehabilitation as of May 2025. The petition will be potentially outdated due to the direct delay of The Public Defender's Office willful lack of actions. When requesting for an Alternative Public Defender. I will be submitting two copies of all documents. To The Courts Records Department. That will be attached to my new petition as to this notice. In the Certificate of Rehabilitation will be forwarded to The Governor's Office. As to The Attorney Generals Office for review. In the meantime, I will be escalating a Los Angeles County Employee Complaint through the proper Human Resources Departments. Also, I will continue to email my complaints to The Board of Supervisors Executive Office as to on the BOS website public comments. Whether The Board of Supervisors respond or not. I will establish official record to be reviewed by agencies in the future. I am confident all my claims will be easily proven to establish. A repeated pattern in misconduct by The Public Defender's Office. Signed, William Flores-Lemus
William Flores-Lemus	Date: July 21,2026 Dear Board of Supervisors Executive Office. ATTENTION: Chief Director Edward Yen. Deputy Executive Officer Steven Hernandez. Board of Supervisor Hilda L. Solis

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

Board of Supervisor Hollie J. Mitchell
Board of Supervisor
Lindsey P. Horvath
Board of Supervisor Janice Hahn
Board of Supervisor Kathryn Barger

Compliant Towards
Los Angeles County Public Defender's Office.

Ricardo Daniel Garcia #178111
Justine Marie Esack #183784
Haydeh Behbehani Takasugi #173084
Monnica Thelen
#180661

Follow Up Executive Office Human Resources Department Complaint Towards.
The Board of Supervisor's Office
Chief of Staff Sonia Lopez.

Refer to emails sent to Sonia Lopez and The Public Defender's Office sent in order by dates.

11/6/2025, 11/10/2025, 11/12/2025, 11/13/2025, 11/26/2025 (From Haydah Takasugi) 11/28/2025, 12/2/2025,
12/8/2025,12/11/2025, 12/12/2025,
12/17,/2025, 1/6/2026, 1/9/2026,1/13/2026
1/ 26/2026, 2/2/2026, 2/4/2026, 2/9/2026, 2/10/2026,2/13/2026, 2/17/2026,
2/23/2026, 3/2/2026, 3/6/2026, 3/9/2026,3/16/2026, 3/17/2026,3/23/206,
4/6/2026,4/13/2026,4/20/2026, 4/27/2026,4/30/2026,5/1/2026,
5/4/2026,5/11/20,5/15/2026, 5/19/2026,5/22/2026,5/26/2026,
5/29/2026,6/8/2026,6/15/2026,
6/22/2026, 6/29/2026, 7/6/2026,7/14/2026

January 26, 2026
Communication with Haydah Takasugi in denial seeking counsel to make informed choices .

(I) I William Flores-Lemus am submitting this declaration to be truthful. To the best of my knowledge in events. In a complaint towards the mentioned.

I claim The Los Angeles Public Defender's Office. Is fully aware of my complaint and legal requests.

In addition, not representing me to my best interests. As I exhausted all means requesting written accountability directly from them.

In good faith I completed my part in providing documentation for a Certificate

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

of Rehabilitation/Pardon.

As I properly notified
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisor Hollie J. Mitchell's Office.

In claims of complaints and legal requests. That have not been contested and repeatedly denied by The Los Angeles Public Defender's Office.

I assert The Public Defender's Office has purposely delayed and obstructed. The process for the petition to continue under California State Law.

In denying me due process in The Public Defender's Office. Through their actions do not want. The petition of a Certificate of Rehabilitation/ Pardon to be successful.

To deprive me full State and Federal rights as a resident of California. I intend to address this. To the proper State and Federal OCR agencies for an official review.

I assert that The Public Defender's Office are acting as rouge
Los Angeles County Department.

Using their institutional legal knowledge and expertise.

To not account for their mistakes in not wanting. A official Human Resource Department compliant filed against individuals in their office.

Follow Up Executive Office Human Resources Department Complaint Towards.
The Board of Supervisor's Office
Chief of Staff Sonia Lopez.

I assert that Sonia Lopez's actions created a bias in The Public Defender's Office. In them denying the process of the petition of Certificate of Rehabilitation/ Pardon.

Refer to section (VII) & (VIII).

In her email sent on Jan 6, 2025 accusations towards me. Using her political position of privileged power. In retaliation several hours after I emailed her addressing her lack of concern of my claims as a constituent.

Forwarding the email to The Public Defender's Office. Which created harm in portraying me in a negative manner to them.

(II) On May 13, 2025 I submitted a letter Referenced Additional Letters of Character. That mentioned Francisco Flores and spouse, Ly Ky, Mr. M.C.Brown.

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

Refer to email sent by
Haydah Takasugi on
December 12, 2025

The purpose in submitting a petition of The Certificate of Rehabilitation/Pardon. Is to restore all my State and Federal rights as a resident of California.

I stated to the paralegal Valaura Baker in several communications with her. After submitting a second expanded letter of character from.

I explained my intent was not to create an adversarial environment with The Public Defender's Office or The District Attorney.

I claim that Valaura Baker asked me if I was a Combat Veteran to use in court. My response was that was not relevant.

I explained to her that my past two honorable military service was used against me in court by The District Attorney.

Requesting to submit additional relevant documents. To strengthen my petition in a Certificate of Rehabilitation/ Pardon. That request was denied directly by The Public Defender.

I claim after Valaura Baker asked me in reference to Frank Flores letter. If I could ask his spouse to write an additional letter. To substitute Mr. E.C Brown's letter that was rejected by The Pubic Defenders Office.

I responded to her that I did not want to impose towards him or his wife.

After I directly handed the letter to the paralegal Valera Baker. As she opened the letter and read it in front of me in May 2025.

I claim that Haydah Takasugi or Ria Snoek did not professionally account for that letter. In a proper chain of custody.

I claim The Public Defender's Office
took out or lost the letter and refuses to take responsibility for their mistakes.

(III) On November 6, 2025 I was informed prior to court by The Public Defender. That the letters of character were not verified.

I claim The District Attorney used Political Bias Non Legal Language.
In the term "Assault Weapon".

The court CASE NA099103 reflects the record that two long rifles were registered with The State of California.

In addition, The Record reflects those items on that the list The District

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Attorney verbally stated.

Where not on the warrant issued those items were illegally taken never returned. By Law Enforcement and used in court against me by The District Attorney.

I claim the intent by The District Attorney was to purposely bias the judge.

The public defender on
November 6, 2025 during court. Did not contest that Political Bias Non Legal Language.

In addition, he knew that there was no investigation to verify the authenticity of the letters of character.

I claim that the language used by The District Attorney created an immediate bias in judge in her physical facial reaction.

As she immediately denied my request to submit documents strengthening the petition of Certificate of Rehabilitation/Pardon.

I went to The Public Defender's office and spoke to Sean K. McDonald. Briefly speaking with him by his reaction to my questions. I believed he knew no investigation was conducted to contact Frank Flores and Leti.

I stated to him my objection that the exact same in tone and words. By The District Attorney was used ten years prior in court.

I stated to The Public Defender that if I confirmed to Valera Baker. I was Combat Veteran and the Political Bias None Legal Language. In the term "Assault Weapons " would be on the permanent transcript record.

In that transcript would be presented to The Governor in creating a potential bias towards my petition.

(IV) On Nov 6, 2025 6:21 PM
I emailed Haydah Takasugi and requested of a new investigator be appointed. To contact me prior and coordinate.

With the authors of the letters of characters. To inform them to expect a call. In avoiding any future problems of communication.

I requested that the previous investigator respond and provide the times and dates. That the authors of the letter of character were contacted. That request has been denied by
The Public Defender's Office.

I claim Haydah Takasugi and The Public Defender's Office having full knowledge did not call Frank Flores or Leti. From May 2025 to November 26.

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

Correspondence Received

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

	2025. To verify the authenticity of the letters of character. Upon request text messages will be provided that two authors of the letter of character were not contacted. Refer to emails dated. On November 10, 2025 6:07 PM November 12, 2025 1:39 PM November 13, 2025 6
William Flores-Lemus	Date: July 21, 2026 Dear State Senator Lola Smallwood-Cuevas. Ref: Request Constituent Assistance (I) I William Flores-Lemus constituent resident of 145 E. 36 PL Los Angeles Ca, 90011. I am submitting this declaration to be truthful. To the best of my knowledge in events. I request that The Office of California State Senator Lola Smallwood-Cuevas. Forward this complaint to The California Governor's Constituent Affairs ,The Attorney General's Office and The State of California Department of Civil Rights. For a thorough review to conduct an investigation in all my claims addressed. In particular to review my claims of deprivation of due process and Civil Rights, to attain my full Federal and State rights. Please review my emails sent on May 26, 2026 to The Los Angeles County Public Defender's Office. In a complaint towards: Ricardo Daniel Garcia #178111 Justine Marie Esack #183784 Haydeh Takasugi #173084 Monnica Thelen #180661 The Los Angeles County Executive Office Board of Supervisors (EOBOS) and Board Members. Chief Director Edward Yen Deputy Executive Officer Steven Hernandez, Jenifer Tran. Hilda L. Solis Hollie J. Mitchellr Lindsey P. Horvath Janice Hahn Kathryn Barger

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

Refer to the emails to The Public Defender's Office sent in order by dates.

11/6/2025, 11/10/2025, 11/12/2025, 11/13/2025, 11/26/2025 (From Haydah Takasugi) 11/28/2025, 12/2/2025,
12/8/2025,12/11/2025, 12/12/2025,
12/17,/2025, 1/6/2026, 1/9/2026,1/13/2026
1/ 26/2026, 2/2/2026, 2/4/2026, 2/9/2026, 2/10/2026,2/13/2026, 2/17/2026,
2/23/2026, 3/2/2026, 3/6/2026, 3/9/2026,3/16/2026, 3/17/2026,3/23/206,
4/6/2026,4/13/2026,4/20/2026, 4/27/2026,4/30/2026,5/1/2026,
5/4/2026,5/11/20,5/15/2026, 5/19/2026,5/22/2026,5/26/2026,
5/29/2026,6/8/2026,6/15/2026,
6/22/2026, 6/29/2026, 7/6/2026,7/14/2026

January 26, 2026 Email
Communication with Haydah Takasugi in denial seeking counsel to make informed choices.

(II) I claim exhausting all means to bring forth accountability. In my requests to The Los Angeles County Public Defender's Office.

In refusing to provide legal counsel,not represent me to my best interests and to account for their mistakes.

Denying me the ability to make informed choices and to provide my consent.

I claim that The Public Defender's Office has abandoned my petition for a Certificate of Rehabilitation. Being in violation of California State Law and Los Angeles County Policy.

*As I completed my legal part in the petition filing in May 2025.

In addition, I claim The Los Angeles Executive Office Board of Supervisors (EOBOS) and The Board Members.

Are fully aware of my compliant as they are protecting. The Public Defender's Office. Not to account for thier misconduct by ignoring my claims.

I assert the (EOBOS) are refusing me to gain Los Angeles County Polices. To allow me to file an official employee complaint and thier failure conduct an investigation into my claims.

Examples of the intent by The Public Defender's Office of willful malfeasance is as follows:

1.Refusal to provide legal counsel and represent me to my best interest.

2.Refusal to account for their mistakes.

3.Refusal to allow me to strengthen my petition of Certificate of Rehabilitation.

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

As they have deliberately deprived me of due process and civil liberties to gain Full Federal and State rights.

(III) Request In Remedies

I request.
1. The State of California Governor's Office request my petition packet from The Los Angeles Public Defender's office. For the California Governor to directly continue the process in granting a Certificate of Rehabilitation. In addition, to maintain a chain of custody in integrity.
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7.
8.
9.
10.
11.
12.
13.
14.
15.
16.
17.
18.A inquiry with and to provide written explanation from.

Executive Office Board of Supervisors
Chief Director Edward Yen
Deputy Executive Officer Steven Hernandez, Jenifer Tran.

The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors.
Hilda L. Solis
Hollie J. Mitchell's
Lindsey P. Horvath
Janice Hahn
Kathryn Barger

That have refused to assist me to gain information to file a compliant towards Los Angeles County employees as to investigate my claims.

(IV)
Being denied in person legal counsel prevents me from making informed choices, as to providing my consent.
In addition, degrades the trust between counsel and client.

Competent counsel is necessary that builds trust. *In good faith I completed

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

my legal part in providing documentation for a Certificate of Rehabilitation Pardon on May 2026.

I have properly notified all
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors. In requesting an investigation to gain a response in accountability being repeatedly refused assistance.

I claim additional burdens have been deliberately imposed on me by The Public Defender's Office. In addition, to The Los Angeles Executive Office Board of Supervisors and Board Members That is purposely refusing to assist to bring accountability.

I assert my complaints and legal requests have not been contested and repeatedly denied. By The Los Angeles Public Defender's Office.

The conclusion I claim The Public Defender's Office. Did not desire to represent me to my best interests. When submitting my petition in May 2026.

As they purposely delayed in using their institutional legal powers to not respond to none of my legal requests.

This experience has caused emotional harm is mental duress and diminished public trust towards.The Public Defender's Office as to County Government.

Signed,

William Flores-Lemus

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

Correspondence Received

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

William Flores-Lemus	Date: July 21, 2026 Ref: Preserve All Documents To Produce When Requested. ATTENTION: Los Angeles Public Defender's Office Ricardo Daniel Garcia #178111 Justine Marie Esack #183784 Haydeh Behbehani Takasugi #173084 Monnica Thelen #180661 Chief Director Edward Yen Deputy Executive Officer Steven Hernandez, Jenifer Tran. Board of Supervisor Hilda L. Solis Board of Supervisor Hollie J. Mitchell Board of Supervisor Lindsey P. Horvath Board of Supervisor Janice Hahn Board of Supervisor Kathryn Barger

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

Correspondence Received

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

				A complaint towards the above Los Angeles County employees was submitted with The California State Bar in requesting administrative remedies. *I look forward in the written responses to be forwarded to me from these employees in remedies requested. As previously stated I requested that The Office of California State Senator Lola Smallwood-Cuevas. Forward my past complaint to The California Governor's Constituent Affairs ,The Attorney General's Office and The State of California Department of Civil Rights. For a thorough review to conduct an investigation in all my claims addressed. In addition, a compliant will be filed with The U.S. Department of Justice Civil Rights Division. To review my claims of deprivation of due process and Civil Rights, to attain my full Federal and State rights. Signed, Mr. William Flores-Lemus
			William Flores-Lemus	Date: July 21,2026 To The Board of Supervisors. Board of Supervisor Hilda L. Solis Board of Supervisor Hollie J. Mitchell Board of Supervisor Lindsey P. Horvath Board of Supervisor Janice Hahn Board of Supervisor Kathryn Barger Request: Forward this complaint to Los Angeles County Employees. Ricardo Daniel Garcia Justine Marie Esack (I) In response to Valuara Baker's and Haydeh Takasugi's emails sent February 9, 2026, February 10, 2026. Timeline I submitted three initial letters from Frank Flores, Lee Ky and Mr. E.C. Brown and correct phone numbers. In the petition for a Certificate of Rehabilitation/Pardon

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

Refer to email sent on
December 6, 2025.

I previously stated.
On May 13, 2025 I submitted a cover letter Referenced Additional Letters of Character. That mentioned Francisco Flores and spouse, Ly Ky, Mr. M.C.Brown.

Refer to Haydeh Takasugi's email sent December 12, 2025, Those images did not include Frank Flores's letter.

A image of my cover letter was scanned for the record.

(II) In May 2025 I was asked by Valuara Baker to request to the author Lee Ky to extend her letter I later turned in.

A second letter was from Mr. E.C. Brown that was rejected. Due to that reference not knowing me in the required time.

I submitted a third substitute letter from Leti with the correct phone contact.

In the attempt by The Public Defenders Office to re use the rejected reference in Mr. E.C. Brown's letter.

Only included his title and phone contact. I was informed later that his daughter was contacted by mail. In potentially violating her privacy.

(III) In the meeting with Haydeh Takasugi on November 25, 2025.
There was no need to add any additional references. Due to submitting three previous letters with the correct phone contacts.

To address the chain of custody of retuning the packet that was sent to me by The Defender's Office. That packet was sealed and handed directly them.

In a email sent to Haydeh Takasugi on November 28, 2025 I stated.

In response I submitted an additional letter from Leti. As the chain of custody of all letters were sealed post marked and hand delivered directly to The Public Defender's Office.

In the chain included the receptionist that recorded the day I submitted the letters as I asked for a receipt. In her saying that was not necessary. Then to the paralegal Valaura Baker going directly Ria Snoek.

I assert there was no need from me to open the sealed packet sent to me. In understanding in keeping the integrity of the contents.

(IV) Valuara Baker's scope of practice is of a paralegal and not legal counsel.

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

Correspondence Received

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

	I have repeatedly requested for a in person meeting be facilitated by The Board of Supervisors with. Ricardo Daniel Garcia Justine Marie Esack That are aware of my complaints and legal requests that have been denied. I have previously stated they alone could address my concerns. To regain trust that has been previously spoiled. (V) The conclusion I have is The Public Defender's Office does not want to represent me to my best interest. Due to their refusal to deny my repeated requests and to account for their incompetence. Their delay is depriving me of due process in regaining my State and Federal rights. That is negatively hindering my ability to make informed choices. These empowered decisions are made by competent counsel that builds trust premised on my consent. I previously stated, in good faith I completed my part in providing documentation, for a petition for a Certificate of Rehabilitation/Pardon. Signed, William Flores-Lemus
William Flores-Lemus	Date: July 21, 2026 Ref: Complaint Dear, Board of Supervisor Hilda L. Solis Board of Supervisor Hollie J. Mitchell Board of Supervisor Lindsey P. Horvath Board of Supervisor Janice Hahn Board of Supervisor Kathryn Barger Request: Forward this complaint to Los Angeles County Employees. Ricardo Daniel Garcia #178111 Justine Marie Esack #183784 (I) A response to The Public Defender's Office and Haydeh Takasugi's email

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

sent on February 2, 2026.

Refer to the emails sent to The Los Angeles Country Board Of Supervisors Executive Office the same day and Jan 26, 2026.

My response to The Public Defender's Office and Haydeh Takasugi. I claim has purposely and repeatedly refused. To account for their mistakes and to Frank Flores's missing letter.

In addition, their failure to establish a proper chain of custody. After his letter was opened and read in front of me by Valaura Baker on May 2025.

I claim was lost or purposely taken out by The Public Defender's Office Haydeh Takasugi or Ria Snoek.

(II) I claim the inconsistency by The Public Defender's Office. In attempting to use a letter that was previously rejected. As to contacting Frank Flores on Jan 6, 2026 to verify a letter they do not have.

I claim Haydeh Takasugi purposely leaves out no investigation was conducted. From May 2025 to November 6, 2025. To verify the authenticity of the letters of character.

That The Public Defender's Office or Haydeh Takasugi can not provide any evidence of an investigation that was conducted.

(III) Haydeh Takasugi states a petition was filed on October 2, 2025.

As no investigation could have been conducted prior or after not having Frank Flores's missing letter.

The court filing was six months after May 2025 I submitted a petition for Certificate of Rehabilitation/ Pardon.

That establishes a short window of time from October 2, 2025 to November 5, 2025 to conduct a proper investigation.

I have previously claimed The Pubic Defender's Office and Haydeh Takasugi. Has repeatedly denied all my legal requests. Also, a written response on the times and dates. The authors of the letters of character were contacted.

Refer to Email November 6, 2025

I stated in my email dated
January 26, 2026, upon request I could provide text messages to establish that fact.

In having phone communication with the two authors. That stated no calls,

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

messages were logged in their phones.

I claim that Haydeh Takasugi knew Frank Flores's letter was missing and from May 2025 to November 26, 2025 meeting with her no investigation was conducted.

Refer to email sent by Haydeh Takasugi in November 26, 2025.

On May 13, 2025 I submitted a cover letter Referenced Additional Letters of Character. That mentioned Francisco Flores and spouse, Ly Ky, Mr. M.C.Brown.

Refer to Haydeh Takasugi's email sent December 12, 2025, Those images did not include Frank Flores's letter.

A image of my cover letter was scanned for the record.

(IV) TO ADDRESS
Haydeh's Takasugi's claim in a email sent on February 2, 2026. She stated, "You refused to give the District Attorney additional time to get in touch with your references."

RESPONSE
How did Haydah Takasugi come to that legal conclusion I refused?

When did I say that on the record?

Why does the District Attorney have to get in touch with my references? When the responsibility to conduct an investigation is by The Public Defender Office.

Is Haydeh Takasugi stating that two investigations are required. One from The Public Defender's Office and The District Attorney?

I have repeatedly asked to be placed back on court calendar as to other requests being denied by
Haydeh Takasugi.

(V) I claimed DPD Carlos Bido knew no investigation was conducted prior November 6, 2025.

I request that he provide a statement to clarify if he knew a nvestigation was conducted prior to November 6, 2025.

The email sent by
Haydeh Takasugi on Feb 2, 2026, she referred me to DPD Carlos Bido.

I previously emailed Haydeh Takasigi and stated that DPD Carlos Bido was ineffective counsel. That did not object to the Political Non Legal Language

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

intentionally used by The District Attorney.

That biased the Judge denying me to submit additional documentation. To strengthen my petition for a Certificate of Rehabilitation/Pardon.
Refer to email
November 6, 2025
November 11, 2025

In previously The Public Defender's Office denying me. To submit additional documents in May and November 26, 2025.

(VI) Haydeh Takasugi states,
"The only mechanism to get your petition back before the court is to draft a new Certificate of Rehabilitation."

RESPONSE
What is the reason the previous Certificate of Rehabilitation is being voided?

The subtext argument Haydeh Takasugi is asserting. Is that I have no options. Denying me the ability to be informed through counsel and to provide my consent.

As to dismissing all my prior complaints and requests for accountability.

In a email response on
January 26, 2026 to Haydeh Takasugi and previous documented attempts, on January 8, 16 and 19 2025.

I requested counsel from.
Ricardo Daniel Garcia #178111
Justine Marie Esack #183784

To address
The Public Defender's Office and
Haydeh Takasugi's incompetence. In refusing to respond to my past requests.

In addition, for them to explain to me in a written response. Why a new petition in a Certificate of Rehabilitation needs to be resubmitted?

Haydeh Takasugi continues to deny me due process. In her refusal to respond to my requests for accountability. That is creating barriers hindering my ability to make informed decisions.

Informed decisions are made by competent counsel that builds trust.

I previously stated, in good faith I completed my part in providing documentation, for a petition for a Certificate of Rehabilitation/Pardon.

Also, I provided a follow up courtesy call reminder to all The Board of

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

	Supervisors. To investigate my claims and provide a solution to establish accountability. I request that The Board of Supervisors facilitate a in person meeting with. Ricardo Daniel Garcia #178111 Justine Marie Esack #183784 Due to I have no trust in Haydeh Takasugi in her repeated incompetence. Nor do I have confidence in DPD Carlos Bido being effective counsel. My request is the only mechanism to address my compliments and provide legal counsel to me. To regain trust that has been previously spoiled by Haydeh Takasugi. To make empowered informed decisions and move forward. With my petition of a Certificate of Rehabilitation. To restore my full State and Federal rights. Signed, William Flores-Lemus
Yazmin Gasca	I respectfully submit this public comment to be entered into the official public record. This is a formal complaint regarding the City of South Gate and its Building and Safety Division. The City approved a structure at 8970 San Antonio Avenue that obstructs access and affects 8972 and 8972 1/2, raising concerns regarding compliance with Fire Code 504.1 for firefighter and emergency access. The City has not provided clear answers, supporting documentation, or a formal response through the California Public Records Act. Correspondence provided was outside of that process, and my request submitted on April 14, 2026 remains unanswered. Supporting documentation, including the CPRA email chain, City response, and Los Angeles County Fire Department response confirming applicable code requirements, has been provided. There are also concerns regarding lack of coordination with the Los Angeles County Fire Department and impacts to public safety, including for elderly individuals and people with disabilities. This matter is being placed on record for County review. A formal investigation and written response are requested.

				Yazmin Gasca
		Item Total	**24**	
Grand Total			**24**	

As of: 7/21/2026 3:00:20 PM

Re: Request for Inspection – Residential Fire and Life-Safety Concern

1 message

Yazmin Gasca <yazmin.gasca@gmail.com> Fri, May 22, 2026 at 2:24 PM
To: Raquel Larios <rlarios@sogate.org>
Cc: Hector Mendoza <hmendoza0810@gmail.com>, PRA <pra@sogate.org>

Hello City of South Gate,

I am following up regarding my California Public Records Act request originally submitted on April 14, 2026, and my May 15, 2026 correspondence.

The City previously requested an extension regarding the request. However, the following requests remain outstanding:

What specific evaluation or observations were used to conclude that the structure does not violate fire or safety codes
What measurements or clearance assessments were performed
How the rear dwelling's reliance on shared access for egress was reviewed
How a determination was made in the absence of any documented findings or inspection records
Which agency or department has authority over fire and life-safety code application for this property
The full name, title, and department of the individual responsible for the fire and life-safety determination
Any communications or documents involving Martin Salazar or Fire Prevention Engineering related to this matter
Any notes, summaries, communications, or records related to the May 4, 2026 Microsoft Teams meeting

The City has stated that no records, findings, or documentation exist related to inspections, fire access review, or fire and life-safety determinations regarding the property located at 8972 / 8972 1/2 San Antonio Avenue. However, the City has not provided a written explanation describing how those determinations were made in the absence of records or documented findings.

At this time, I respectfully request that future responses regarding this matter be handled formally through the City's CPRA process.

Thank you,

Yazmin Gasca-Mendoza and Hector Mendoza

On Tue, Apr 28, 2026 at 4:07 PM Raquel Larios <rlarios@sogate.org> wrote:

> Good afternoon Yazmin,
>
> Thank you for submitting a Public Records Request with the City of South Gate.
>
> We appreciate your patience please review the attached letter and attached is some of the documents requested as I receive items requested under you Public Records Act request, I will forward them to your attention.
>
> Should you have any questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to contact our department.
>
> Regards,
>
> **Raquel Larios**
>
> **Clerk Services Coordinator**

City of South Gate

8650 California Avenue, South Gate, CA 90280

P: (323) 563-5415

E: rlarios@sogate.org

W: cityofsouthgate.org

PLEASE NOTE THAT CITY HALL IS CLOSED ON FRIDAYS

From: Yazmin Gasca <yazmin.gasca@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 27, 2026 10:34 AM
To: Raquel Larios <rlarios@sogate.org>
Cc: Hector Mendoza <hmendoza0810@gmail.com>
Subject: Re: Request for Inspection – Residential Fire and Life-Safety Concern

This message is from an EXTERNAL SENDER - be CAUTIOUS, particularly with links and attachments

This message is from an EXTERNAL SENDER - be CAUTIOUS, particularly with links and attachments

Good Morning Raquel,

I am following up on the Public Records Request submitted on April 14, 2026 (see below).

As of today, I have not received a response within the timeframe required under the California Public Records Act.

Please provide a status update on my request, including any available records or a timeline for production.

I appreciate your assistance in this matter.

Thank you.

Yazmin Gasca-Mendoza and Hector Mendoza

310-431-8439 and 323-547-6794

On Tue, Apr 14, 2026 at 2:21 PM Raquel Larios <rlarios@sogate.org> wrote:

> Good afternoon,
>
> Thank you for your email regarding your Public Records Request. This email serves as confirmation that the City Clerk's Office has received your request and will move forward with processing it pursuant to the California Public Records Act.
>
> Should any additional clarification be needed, we will reach out.
>
> If you have any questions in the meantime, please feel free to contact me.
>
> Regards,

Raquel Larios

Clerk Services Coordinator

City of South Gate

8650 California Avenue, South Gate, CA 90280

P: (323) 563-5415

E: rlarios@sogate.org

W: cityofsouthgate.org

PLEASE NOTE THAT CITY HALL IS CLOSED ON FRIDAYS

From: Yazmin Gasca <yazmin.gasca@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 14, 2026 11:43 AM
To: Holly Monarrez <hmonarrez@sogate.org>; Jesus Tejeda <jtejeda@sogate.org>; Yodit Glaze <yglaze@sogate.org>; Rob Houston <rhouston@sogate.org>; Johanna Plascencia <jplascencia@sogate.org>; Jesus Murillo <jmurillo@sogate.org>; John Signo <jsigno@sogate.org>; Joshua Barron <jbarron@sogate.org>; Al Rios <arios@sogate.org>; Gil Hurtado <ghurtado@sogate.org>; cdinfo@sogate.org; Gabriel Perez <gperez@sogate.org>; mflad@sogate.org; City Clerk <CityClerk@sogate.org>; fire@sogate.org; mrios@sogate.org; mdeanda@sogate.org; aortiz@sogate.org; Manuela Rodriguez <mrodriguez@sogate.org>; rrios@sogate.org; PRA <pra@sogate.org>; Alicia Estrada <aestrada@sogate.org>; Dina Zaragoza <ezaragoza@sogate.org>; Giselle Hernandez <gmhernandez@sogate.org>
Cc: Hector Mendoza <hmendoza0810@gmail.com>
Subject: Re: Request for Inspection – Residential Fire and Life-Safety Concern

This message is from an EXTERNAL SENDER - be CAUTIOUS, particularly with links and attachments

This message is from an EXTERNAL SENDER - be CAUTIOUS, particularly with links and attachments

Subject: Public Records Request (CPRA) and Follow-Up – Fire and Life-Safety Concern

Dear City of South Gate,

I am submitting a request for public records under the **California Public Records Act** and following up on ongoing fire and life-safety concerns at my property.

The City has been aware of these concerns since **October 24, 2025**, and I have submitted multiple follow-up communications since that time, including my most recent correspondence on **March 26, 2026**. Multiple site visits have been conducted, including a visit on **April 2, 2026;** however, to date, I have not received any written findings, determinations, or next steps resulting from these inspections.

I am requesting a written summary of all inspections conducted, including any conclusions, code considerations, or required actions.

In addition, I am requesting the following public records:

-

- Any fire and life-safety inspection reports, including documentation from all site visits,
- including but not limited to April 2, 2026
- Any notes, findings, determinations, or internal reports related to those inspections
- Any code enforcement records, complaints, or case files associated with this matter
- Any permits, approvals, plans, inspections, or modifications related to the installation
- of the fence at the neighboring property (8970 San Antonio Avenue), specifically as they relate to fire access, egress, setbacks, or safety conditions
- Any internal communications (including emails, memoranda, or notes) between City staff,
- departments, or outside agencies regarding fire access, egress, or safety concerns at this location
- Any correspondence or coordination involving Community Development, Building & Safety,
- Fire-related personnel, or outside fire agencies concerning this issue

Date range: January 1, 2023 to present

I request that these records be provided electronically via email, mailed to my address listed above, or made available for in-person pickup. I am willing to receive the records through any of these methods.

If any portion of this request is denied, please provide the specific legal exemption and release all reasonably segregable portions of the records.

Additionally, I request written clarification of the Authority Having Jurisdiction responsible for fire and life-safety enforcement at this location, as I have received conflicting information.

Given the safety concerns involved, I respectfully request an update on the status of this matter, including any next steps and an anticipated timeline for response.

Please confirm receipt of this request.

Thank you for your time and attention.

Sincerely,

Yazmin Gasca-Mendoza & Hector Mendoza

310-431-8439 323-547-6794

Attached Letter.

On Thu, Apr 2, 2026 at 9:44 AM Yazmin Gasca <yazmin.gasca@gmail.com> wrote:

IMG_9375.JPG

IMG_9389.JPG

IMG_9393.JPG

IMG_9434.JPG

IMG_9472.JPG

IMG_9473.JPG

IMG_9476.JPG

IMG_9672.JPG

IMG_9674 (1).JPG

IMG_9674 (2).JPG

IMG_9674.JPG

IMG_9675.JPG

IMG_9677.JPG

Dear City of South Gate,

I am writing to follow up on my ongoing requests for a fire and life-safety inspection regarding my property. I have reached out multiple times (over 10 attempts), primarily to Gabriel Perez, Community Development Director, and have not received a clear response or written findings.

I am the homeowner, and both the front and rear units of the property are actively used. My concern is related to safe, reasonable, and equitable access for all occupants, including elderly and disabled family members and visitors with mobility limitations. The current conditions raise concerns regarding emergency access, fire department access, and egress for everyone on the property.

The white metal fence installed at the neighboring property (8970), which was approved by the City, has resulted in a side-yard pathway that is not functional for safe passage. The space is too narrow to allow a person to walk through safely and does not allow vehicle doors to open. This condition restricts entry and exit for occupants, creates a confined passage directly adjacent to the structure, and limits overall usability of the space. Additionally, the fence runs directly along bedroom windows, blocking clearance at those openings and further impacting safety.

These conditions raise clear concerns regarding safe movement, emergency access, and the ability to exit the property in the event of an emergency. As a result, our vehicles are now displaced on the street due to safety concerns in the event of an emergency.

I have previously communicated with Gabriel Perez, Community Development Director, who advised that the matter was forwarded to Martin Salazar with Los Angeles Fire Prevention Engineering. During our last communication on February 9, 2025, I was informed that a follow-up call would be arranged within 2–3 days; however, I have not received any further communication since that date.

I also opened a case with the Los Angeles County Fire Department and was informed that this matter is not within their jurisdiction and that the City of South Gate must address it directly. At this time, there appears to be a lack of coordination and communication between agencies regarding fire and life-safety concerns. The City has indicated reliance on Los Angeles County standards, yet there appears to be hesitation or inconsistency in applying or enforcing those same standards while also stating there is a partnership.

I have submitted concerns through multiple City departments, including Building & Safety, the Fire Department, the City Clerk, and City Council offices. In addition, I have filed complaints with Los Angeles County Public Works, and California Department of Housing and Community Development.

Additionally, I would like to bring to the City Council's attention broader concerns regarding communication, consistency, and enforcement. There appears to be limited coordination between the City and outside agencies on safety-related matters. It also appears that multiple features have been added to the originally approved project without clear review or enforcement, and the City has not taken action to address these changes.

Given the number of attempts and lack of response, I am requesting that this matter be formally reviewed and that the City coordinate internally or with the appropriate fire authority to conduct an inspection and provide written findings.

If this matter continues to go unresolved, I will pursue additional avenues for oversight and public review.

I respectfully request confirmation of next steps and scheduling of an inspection.

Thank you for your time and attention.

Sincerely,
Yazmin Gasca- Mendoza

I will be mailing in a certified copy as well. Thank you

City of South Gate

Community Development Department
Building and Safety Division
8650 California Avenue, South Gate, CA 90280
Phone: (323) 563-9549 Fax: (323) 563-9571
www.cityofsouthgate.org

May 14, 2026

Ms. Gasca:

This letter is intended to reply to your email dated May 12, 2025.

1. You understand the City has visited your property at 8972 San Antonio Avenue to discuss the construction of a neighboring fence on an adjacent property located at 8970 San Antonio Avenue. You have requested documentation of any findings or determinations made by the City in connection with those visits.

The City confirms there are no public records responsive to this request. The City staff that went to your property were there to observe only but did not generate any findings or records related to those observations. I have confirmed that City employee Jesus Murillo conducted the inspection for the installation of a block wall that runs from the City sidewalk to the structure of the house, however, the block wall is not the focus of your inquiry.

As to the fence which is at issue, the City understands that the property owner obtained a survey prior to installation and that the fence was installed consistent with the property line associated with your neighbor's property.

The City did not issue a permit for the fence at issue, thus there are no public records available with respect to that portion of the fence. The City confirms that the appliable code is California Residential Code, Section R105 (Permits). The City draws your attention to Residential Code Section R105 .2 (Work exempt from permit), subsection 7 states: "Fences not over 7 feet (2134 mm) high" are exempt from requiring a permit. A copy of Section R105.2 is attached.

2. Independent of any record for public records, you have asked for any determination of whether the fence that was constructed without any permit violates any fire or safety code. The City has determined that the fence does not violate any fire or safety code. The City's determination is based on the existence of a driveway of approximately 8-9 feet adjacent to your house that lies in between your structure

and the neighbor's property line. City staff have confirmed that fire safety regulations of the type involved here deal with permanent structures. The parking of a car on your driveway is not considered permanent and thus would not be a consideration for fire safety or code enforcement purposes. For example, hypothetically the City would send out its Code Enforcement team to order the owner to remove or repair a vehicle that is inoperative, because in that case the vehicle is not in a condition in which it could be moved. In essence the car is no longer parked for temporary usage given its inoperability. This scenario is not applicable to your situation, but it is provided as an example where the City could take steps.

The City also notes that the use of your driveway accessibility is dictated by the width of your vehicle, and thus the City is unable to consider that as a factor in determining whether there exists a fire hazard. Because these conditions are within your control, they are not a part of any fire or safety building code.

3. Another consideration is that your neighbor's property was built in the 40s where the side yard setbacks were much lower. Today, if those were constructed, the sideyard would require a 5-yard setback. Thus, the fence itself was constructed appropriately given the standards that apply.

In conclusion, the City does not have records that are responsive to your request. In an effort to assist, the City has provided additional information to provide you with added context as to the legality of the fence, and the inapplicability of any fire code ordinance.

Cordially,

William Campana,
Building Official
City of South Gate

R104.7.1 Approvals. A record of approvals shall be maintained by the building official and shall be available for public inspection during business hours in accordance with applicable laws.

R104.7.2 Inspections. The code official shall have the authority to conduct inspections, or shall accept reports of inspection by approved agencies or individuals. Reports of such inspections shall be in writing and be certified by a responsible officer of such approved agency or by the responsible individual. The building official shall keep a record of each inspection made, including notices and orders issued, showing the findings and disposition of each.

R104.7.3 Code alternatives and modifications. Application for alternative materials, design and methods of construction and equipment in accordance with Section R104.2.2; modifications in accordance with Section R104.2.3; and documentation of the final decision of the building official for either shall be in writing and shall be retained in the official records.

R104.7.4 Tests. The building official shall keep a record of tests conducted to comply with Section R104.2.2.5.

R104.7.5 Fees. The building official shall keep a record of fees collected and refunded in accordance with Section R108.

R104.8 Liability. The building official, member of the board of appeals or employee charged with the enforcement of this code, while acting for the jurisdiction in good faith and without malice in the discharge of the duties required by this code or other pertinent law or ordinance, shall not thereby be personally liable, either civilly or criminally, and is hereby relieved from personal liability for any damage accruing to persons or property as a result of an act or by reason of any act or omission in the discharge of official duties.

R104.8.1 Legal defense. Any suit or criminal complaint instituted against an officer or employee because of an act performed by that officer or employee in the lawful discharge of duties and under the provisions of this code or other laws or ordinances implemented through the enforcement of this code shall be defended by legal representatives of the jurisdiction until the final termination of the proceedings. The building official or any subordinate shall not be liable for cost in any action, suit or proceeding that is instituted in pursuance of the provisions of this code.

R104.9 Approved materials and equipment. Materials, equipment and devices approved by the building official shall be constructed and installed in accordance with such approval.

R104.9.1 Materials and equipment reuse. Materials, equipment and devices shall not be reused unless such elements are in good working condition and approved.

SECTION R105—PERMITS

R105.1 Required. Any owner or owner's authorized agent who intends to construct, enlarge, alter, repair, move, demolish or change the occupancy of a building or structure, or to erect, install, enlarge, alter, repair, remove, convert or replace any electrical, gas, mechanical or plumbing system, the installation of which is regulated by this code, or to cause any such work to be performed, shall first make application to the building official and obtain the required permit.

R105.2 Work exempt from permit. Exemption from permit requirements of this code shall not be deemed to grant authorization for any work to be done in any manner in violation of the provisions of this code or any other laws or ordinances of this jurisdiction. Permits shall not be required for the following:

Building:

1. Other than storm shelters, one-story detached accessory structures, provided that the floor area does not exceed *120 square feet (11.15 m²). It is permissible that these structures still be regulated by Part 7 California Wildland Urban-Interface Code, despite exemption from permit.*
2. Fences not over 7 feet (2134 mm) high.
3. Retaining walls that are not over 4 feet (1219 mm) in height measured from the bottom of the footing to the top of the wall, unless supporting a surcharge.
4. Water tanks supported directly upon grade if the capacity does not exceed 5,000 gallons (18 927 L) and the ratio of height to diameter or width does not exceed 2 to 1.
5. Sidewalks and driveways.
6. Painting, papering, tiling, carpeting, cabinets, counter tops and similar finish work.
7. Prefabricated swimming pools that are less than 24 inches (610 mm) deep.
8. Swings and other playground equipment.
9. Window awnings supported by an exterior wall that do not project more than 54 inches (1372 mm) from the exterior wall and do not require additional support.
10. Decks not exceeding 200 square feet (18.58 m²) in area, that are not more than 30 inches (762 mm) above grade at any point, are not attached to a dwelling or townhouse and do not serve the exit door required by Section R318.4.

Electrical:

1. Listed cord-and-plug connected temporary decorative lighting.
2. Reinstallation of attachment plug receptacles but not the outlets therefor.
3. Replacement of branch circuit overcurrent devices of the required capacity in the same location.
4. Electrical wiring, devices, appliances, apparatus or equipment operating at less than 25 volts and not capable of supplying more than 50 watts of energy.

Gmail Yazmin Gasca <yazmin.gasca@gmail.com>

RE: Follow-Up – May 4 Meeting (8972 San Antonio Ave, South Gate CA 90280)

1 message

Martin Salazar <Martin.Salazar@fire.lacounty.gov> Wed, May 6, 2026 at 7:08 AM
To: Yazmin Gasca <yazmin.gasca@gmail.com>

Good morning Yazmin,

When it comes to FD access to the rear of the property we use this correction. This is mainly for new construction or additions to the existing structure.

A minimum 5-foot-wide approved firefighter access walkway leading from the fire department access road to all required openings in the building's exterior walls shall be provided for firefighting and rescue purposes. Fire Code 504.1
Clearly identify firefighter walkway access routes on the site plan. Indicate the slope and walking surface material. Clearly show the required width.

Thank you,

Martin

From: Yazmin Gasca <yazmin.gasca@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 4, 2026 9:14 PM
To: Martin Salazar <Martin.Salazar@fire.lacounty.gov>
Cc: Hector Mendoza <hmendoza0810@gmail.com>
Subject: Follow-Up – May 4 Meeting (8972 San Antonio Ave, South Gate CA 90280)

CAUTION: External Email. Proceed Responsibly.

IMG_9386.JPG

IMG_9393.JPG

IMG_9419.JPG

IMG_9434.JPG

IMG_9472.JPG

IMG_9473.JPG

IMG_9475.JPG

IMG_9476.JPG

IMG_9478.JPG

IMG_9484.JPG

IMG_9485.JPG

IMG_9520.JPG

IMG_9671.JPG

IMG_9672.JPG

IMG_9674.JPG

IMG_9675.JPG

IMG_9677.JPG

IMG_0062.JPG

BEFORE.JPG

Hello Mr. Salazar,

Thank you for attending our meeting with the City of South Gate on Monday, May 4, 2026. We truly appreciate your candid response and transparency regarding the fencing built along the property line of 8972 and 8972 1/2 San Antonio Avenue.

If possible, we would appreciate it if you could share any applicable codes or regulations related to this situation. Attached are photos for your reference.

Please feel free to contact us at 310-431-8439 or 323-547-6794.

Thank you again for your time.

Sincerely,
Yazmin Gasca-Mendoza and Hector Mendoza

LOS ANGELES COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

PUBLIC COMMENT SUPPORTING RECORD

ATTACHMENT 1

EXECUTIVE KEY-EVIDENCE INDEX, MASTER FORENSIC CONTROL, AND PUBLIC-INTEGRITY RECORD

Master methodology, identifier registry, chronology, exhibit crosswalk, contradiction controls, FPPC screening record, and source incorporation.

Purpose

Provide the Board with a single master index and analytical control document identifying what is documented, reported, inferred, unresolved, and legally conditional, while preserving the separate FPPC/property/public-integrity record as a controlled oversight lane.

Evidence and proof boundary

This packet documents notice, chronology, source-record locations, preservation requests, agency routing, and unresolved record questions. It does not convert allegations, referrals, complaint numbers, closures, or analytical summaries into findings of misconduct, liability, criminal intent, or agency agreement. Native records, certified records, audit logs, payment traces, approved plans, and authenticated communications control.

Source documents incorporated

No.	Source document	Pages	SHA-256 prefix
1	MASTER_FORENSIC_EXHIBIT_BINDER_AZ_LEGAL_QA_AND_SELECTED_EXHIBITS_July9_2026 (1).pdf	258	60e671b7b3df
2	FPPC_COM-06192026-02541_MASTER_INTEGRATED_SUPPLEMENT_AND_EXHIBIT_BINDER_July8_2026 (1).pdf	115	58b0497f7e4d
3	00_01_CRCD_PTP_HMIS_PRIVACY_Guide_and_Subcategory_Index.pdf	1	ed4a5413a0eb

Requested Board handling

• Accept the packet as a documented public-comment notice event, not as an adjudicated finding.

• Direct coordinated preservation and custodian identification across County departments, contractors, fiscal administrators, and relevant service providers.

• Require a written cross-agency response identifying the owner of each unresolved record category and the status of each referral.

Public filing warning

Review every page before uploading. The record may contain personal addresses, signatures, housing and family information, health or HMIS information, taxpayer or banking data, child-related information, confidential communications, or records subject to sealing or restricted disclosure. Redact protected information before public submission.

Prepared July 18, 2026

MASTER FORENSIC EXHIBIT BINDER, LEGAL AUTHORITY COMPENDIUM, AND CROSS-AGENCY RECORD CONTROL REPORT

HUD / LAHSA-HMIS / JCOD-CFCI / DHCS / County Fraud-OCI / LAPD / CRCD-Amity / LAHD-LADBS / FPPC / CalVCB / Tennessee-California Family Proceedings

Expanded A-Z/AA-AG Exhibit Architecture
Forensic Questions and Answers | Statutory and Regulatory Issue Spotting
11,658-page source-corpus search and selected-source appendix

Prepared by Kyle Robert Haab
Self-Represented / Record-Control Submission
July 9, 2026

CONTROLLED / SENSITIVE RECORD

Contains child-related, housing, investigative, health-privacy, and litigation materials. Review for privilege, confidentiality, sealed-record restrictions, taxpayer/banking data, and court-filing requirements before redistribution. Unredacted W-9 and ACH pages are intentionally omitted from the broad appendix.

This report does not accuse any person or agency of a crime, civil violation, conflict of interest, or conspiracy. Criminal and civil authorities are listed only to identify elements, preservation duties, access procedures, and questions for authorized decision-makers.

Executive Control Summary

Purpose. Create a litigation-style, agency-readable exhibit binder in which every factual proposition is tied to a source, contrary evidence, limitation, missing record, custodian, and potentially relevant legal authority.

Corpus. The indexed audit corpus contains 48 unique top-level PDFs, 11,658 pages, and 19,061,852 extracted characters. It is supplemented by July 8-9, 2026 records and images created or received after the original corpus was assembled.

Central factual chain. The earliest identified CRCD HMIS source record is the April 27-28, 2023 Ruth's Place entry. Later records concern Project Tipping Point, County-funded rental assistance, landlord/lease processing, unit-status disputes, an unlawful-detainer judgment, family-law/reunification effects, LAHSA/HMIS review, County OCI referrals, LAPD reports, DHCS routing, HUD funding and records inquiries, FPPC review, and CalVCB consequences.

Primary unresolved questions. What consent existed; what later use it authorized; who accessed/disclosed data; which exact months and amounts were paid; who approved and monitored the placement; which physical unit matched the 2024 COFO; what each agency actually received/reviewed; and whether limited jurisdictional closures were incorrectly treated as global resolutions.

Evidentiary rule. A repeated statement, referral, or database address is not self-proving. The controlling record is the native source document, certified record, audit log, payment trace, approved plan, or authenticated communication.

Legal rule. Every listed statute is conditional. A legal citation identifies a possible duty or element only; it does not state that the element is proved.

Confidence and Use Legend

Classification	Meaning	Required treatment
D - Documented	Visible in a cited source record.	State the document says/shows; do not expand beyond its scope.
R - Reported	Contemporaneous party account, call note, or attributed statement.	Identify speaker, date, and lack of independent verification.
I - Inference	Reasoned connection drawn from multiple records.	State the supporting records and competing explanations.
U - Unresolved	Source record is missing, incomplete, contradictory, or held by another custodian.	Request the controlling record; do not fill the gap with accusation.
L - Legal conditional	Statute/regulation may apply if jurisdiction and elements are established.	Do not characterize as violation without authorized finding.

Section Map

- I. Corpus method and term-coverage report
- II. Master identifiers and controlled chronology
- III. Exhibit index A-Z and analytical exhibits AA-AG
- IV. Referral and funding flow diagrams
- V. Eighteen forensic Q&A categories
- VI. Cross-agency contradiction, property-identity, and custodian matrices
- VII. Legal authority compendium
- VIII. Preliminary findings, missing-record priorities, and response protocol
- IX. Selected-source exhibit appendix with bookmarks and master pagination

I. Corpus Method and 11,658-Page Search Coverage

Inventory control. 48 top-level PDFs; 11,658 pages; 19,061,852 extracted characters. Top-level SHA-256 hashes are recorded in Exhibit AE.

Search method. Literal identifiers were searched with case-insensitive text matching; concept searches included spelling/apostrophe variants. Selected scanned pages and image-only exhibits were visually inspected because text extraction may omit image content.

Critical limitation. Many master packets reproduce the same underlying exhibit. Matching-page counts show retrieval coverage, not independent corroboration or the number of unique events.

Search term / identifier	Matching corpus pages	Interpretive caution
Ruth’s Place	1,030	Coverage count; duplicates/reproductions may be present.
LAHSA	2,317	Coverage count; duplicates/reproductions may be present.
HMIS	2,357	Coverage count; duplicates/reproductions may be present.
HMIS ID 8F8CD9D8B	532	Coverage count; duplicates/reproductions may be present.
CFCI-PA3-056-2023	1,158	Coverage count; duplicates/reproductions may be present.
JCOD	1,451	Coverage count; duplicates/reproductions may be present.
Amity	2,120	Coverage count; duplicates/reproductions may be present.
Project Tipping Point	2,232	Coverage count; duplicates/reproductions may be present.
CRCD	4,611	Coverage count; duplicates/reproductions may be present.
DHCS	1,488	Coverage count; duplicates/reproductions may be present.
OCI 2025-23289	1,013	Coverage count; duplicates/reproductions may be present.
OCI 2026-23965	513	Coverage count; duplicates/reproductions may be present.
LAPD C259045687	1,041	Coverage count; duplicates/reproductions may be present.
LAPD C269013241	983	Coverage count; duplicates/reproductions may be present.
IAD 26-001767	388	Coverage count; duplicates/reproductions may be present.
HUD	1,314	Coverage count; duplicates/reproductions may be present.
HUD FOIA 26-FI-RO9-01576	59	Coverage count; duplicates/reproductions may be present.
Rebecca Blanco	8	Coverage count; duplicates/reproductions may be present.
LADBS	668	Coverage count; duplicates/reproductions may be present.
LAHD	767	Coverage count; duplicates/reproductions may be present.
Certificate of Occupancy	188	Coverage count; duplicates/reproductions may be present.

Search term / identifier	Matching corpus pages	Interpretive caution
1551 3/4	304	Coverage count; duplicates/reproductions may be present.
UD 26CMUD00417	366	Coverage count; duplicates/reproductions may be present.
Family 25CMFL00694	1,391	Coverage count; duplicates/reproductions may be present.
Mark Wilson	88	Coverage count; duplicates/reproductions may be present.
Marcella Gardens	20	Coverage count; duplicates/reproductions may be present.
LAFLA	415	Coverage count; duplicates/reproductions may be present.
CalVCB	423	Coverage count; duplicates/reproductions may be present.
A26-10253806	233	Coverage count; duplicates/reproductions may be present.
Hamilton 310909	312	Coverage count; duplicates/reproductions may be present.
ICPC 117604	569	Coverage count; duplicates/reproductions may be present.

Full top-level source inventory (48 files)

File	Pages	Text characters	SHA-256 prefix
01_REFINED_EX_PARTE_MAIN_25CMFL00694-3.pdf	11	38,500	50165ee8d426
02_PROPOSED_ORDER_REFINED_EX_PARTE_25CMFL00694.pdf	1	2,570	6169fd63cfec
03_REFINED_EX_PARTE_WITH_NAVIGATED_EXHIBITS_25CMFL00694.pdf	173	289,677	e75a04fd63c8
05B_Family_Law_Orders_Communications_and_Docket_Context.pdf	59	36,215	b12933c377b5
05_V4_Addendum_E_ICPC_DSS_Family_Source_Record_Routing.pdf	125	163,521	c1b9a3577c6f
07_ICPC_DSS_and_Family_Source_Record_Routing_pp_826-950.pdf	125	163,521	93c77dd50d5b
09_Master_Cross_Agency_CEOP_OCI_Source_Record_Control_pp_1024-1219.pdf	196	254,921	91a41e796203
12B_AS_Timeline_Counsel_Medical_UD_Part2_pp2409-2529.pdf	121	182,678	d6fb09363f6d
12_V4_Addendum_L_Full_Source_Packet_Incorporation_No_	903	1,435,341	9d9e13075dbf

File	Pages	Text characters	SHA-256 prefix
Delay_Control.pdf			
25CMFL00694_TARGETED_SUPPLEMENTAL_NOTICE_WITH_CRCD_COUNSEL_AND_ICPC_EXHIBITS_COMPLETE.pdf	117	190,273	54af39620f23
Auditor_Controller_OCI_Expanded_Source_Record_Packet_June20_2026-2.pdf	111	196,738	09d304b7a3ac
Auditor_Controller_Supplemental_Source_Record_Packet_CRCD_Formstack_Alliance_CA_DOJ.pdf	48	72,627	a8ba1df3ded7
B354753_25CMFL00694_AMANDA_PATTY_ICPC_TBPR_SOURCE_RECORD_UPDATED_PACKET.pdf	170	131,775	1e51b37efc14
B354753_25CMFL00694_AMANDA_PATTY_ORDERS_COMMUNICATIONS_RESPONSES_FILINGS_CLEAN.pdf	114	63,292	240af2ee4359
B354753_CORRECTED_SUPPLEMENTAL_APPLICATION_VOLUME_1_OF_3 (1).pdf	95	76,189	b076558c960b
B354753_CORRECTED_SUPPLEMENTAL_EXHIBITS_VOLUME_2_OF_3-1.pdf	86	92,725	9eb3609ad1f9
B354753_CORRECTED_SUPPLEMENTAL_EXHIBITS_VOLUME_3_OF_3.pdf	179	363,084	d513d92d910a
CRCD_Family_Referenced_Housing_Placement_Consent_CFCI_Source_Packet_July7_2026.pdf	14	38,901	ccef7523874c
CRCD_court_ready_subpoena_with_child_awareness_and_PTP_application (1).pdf	41	39,229	9a68a083ba93
Controlled_1000_Page_Master_Timeline_Identifier_Binder_25CMFL00694_June2026_v2_CDU_Supplement.pdf	1379	2,662,210	9d8020f3994f
Expanded_Ex_Parte_Support_WITH_EXHIBITS_25CMFL00694.pdf	143	192,062	4310ef954dff
FPPC_COM-06192026-02541_ULTRA_DETAILED_ALL_SOURCE_CONTROLLED_July3_2026.pdf	2838	4,324,448	c02583f9cd77
Freathy_ICPC_DSS_Routing_Glossary_Attachment_Packet_Kyle_Haab_May2026.pdf	117	149,333	73e5945860f2

File	Pages	Text characters	SHA-256 prefix
Gmail - Court-Ordered Visitation Schedule for Katherine & Cameron Izarraraz.PDF	1	1,793	1dc0deec3f08
Gmail - [External Message Added] City of Los Angeles public records request #26-6218(6).PDF	3	2,660	571de87b5655
Haab_Minors_Counsel_Response_Targeted_Supplemental_Exhibits_June25_2026.pdf	33	88,810	49ec317e8c0a
Hamilton_County_Request_to_Vacate_Set_Hearing_CA_TN_Communications_UPDATED_CORE_WITH_ACTUAL_EXHIBITS_CLEAN(2)(1).pdf	71	103,803	aa11f5c5a120
ICPC_DSS_Supplemental_Coordination_Packet_With_TBPR_Context_Embedded_Images_Kyle_Haab_May2026.pdf	29	30,460	64816afba06b
LADBS_Permit_Inspection_MHT_Source_Packet_July8_2026.pdf	11	10,568	2246c0de163a
LAPD_IAD_County_Oversight_Discrepancy_Updated_v4_Full_Merged_26-001767.pdf	411	864,757	d991161cd6b8
MASTER_SURGICAL_ALL_LANES_V7_COURT_READY_WITH_TENNESSEE_ORDER_PUBLIC_REDACTED.pdf	464	852,223	73bb60ca5ccf
Master_Forensic_QA_Audit_Volume_I_July_2026.pdf	48	91,677	390bb77ddc4d
Ms_Waller_Confidential_Child_Source_Record_Exhibit_Packet_25CMFL00694_June21_2026 (1).pdf	37	53,944	2079f824ec8f
NO_TRIM_Full_Detail_Smart_Timeline_and_Master_Packet_May_2026.pdf	271	529,586	bafce4b2904d
NO_TRIM_Master_Packet_UPDATED_June8_2026_CHAT_MEMORY_PRESERVATION_FULL.pdf	1207	2,308,569	d1e2ca932b4c
Orders_and_Patty_Communications_Context_25CMFL00694.pdf	47	13,964	322e8f243612
Patty_Lopez_Communications_Context_25CMFL00694.pdf	35	10,796	9be36fa23e0e
Reconciled_Supplemental_Declaration_Reunification_ICPC_25CMFL00694_With_Images.pdf	55	21,580	f333ee608aa4

File	Pages	Text characters	SHA-256 prefix
Samantha_Waller_Limited_Settlement_CA_TN_Family_Law_Nexus_WITH_SOURCE_APPENDIX_July7_2026.pdf	33	84,004	b22c34cb6b48
Superior Court of Los Angeles County _ Eviction Case Access.PDF	8	14,109	61f3533a0644
Superior Court of Los Angeles County _ Family Law Case Access(38).PDF	6	10,347	00f76b5db17b
Supplemental_Declaration_Incorporated_All_Exhibits_25CMFL00694_VOLUME_4_B354753_SOURCE_RECORD_UPDATED_PACKET.pdf	171	132,519	e50717e8a28a
Supplemental_Declaration_Reunification_ICPC_Docket_25CMFL00694_With_Images.pdf	53	14,552	f828b0f41e94
TENNESSEE_FULL_EFFECTIVE_SOURCE_RECORD_ICPC_PRE_DEADLINE_PACKET (1).pdf	142	164,614	81db2bd34876
UPDATED_Ex_Parte_Master_Status_Index_WITH_Exhibits_25CMFL00694.pdf	295	434,271	251e56c9cabb
Updated_Related_Court_Orders_ICPC_Plans_25CMFL00694.pdf	52	72,054	b609905f6a42
V9_MASTER_INTEGRATED_RECORD_CONTROL_BINDER_June13_2026-1.pdf	974	1,898,629	ebc34926df1f
document_1000004940.PDF	35	91,733	255cf865b299

II. Master Identifier Registry

Lane	Identifiers / references
Family / court	25CMFL00694; B354753; Tennessee dockets 310909, 310910, 310995, 310996; ICPC 117604, 117605
Housing / UD	26CMUD00417; 1551 3/4 E. 106th St.; 1551-1551 3/4; 1551-1551 1/2; APN 6065-016-024
LADBS / LAHD	COFO 252890; Permit 21014-10000-05021; permits 26016-10000-11129 and 25016-10000-33326; CEIS 1053480; Order A-6604739; LAHD 953784; SO311576
CRCD / funding	CFCI-PA3-056-2023; Project Tipping Point; Ruth's Place; Amity Foundation; JCOD
HMIS / LAHSA	HMIS ID 8F8CD9D8B; tickets 259260, 260627, 267528; LAHSA 2526KH-A
HUD	FOIA 26-FI-RO9-01576; former/related 26-FI-RO9-01580; FHEO 860997; Exchange 260629, 261243, 261413
County oversight	PRA25-028; CE25-512; CE26-048; ICMS 2025-137175; OCI 2025-23289 and 2026-23965
LAPD	C259045687; C269013241; 260327900363; IAD/complaint 26-001767
DHCS / health privacy	NEW-2026-01-18-8837; L109105-040626; 261231056; 36332332; HHS OCR 651319 and 680559
FPPC / CalVCB	FPPC COM-06192026-02541; CalVCB A26-10253806

Controlled Chronology

Date / period	Event and audit significance
Apr. 27-28, 2023	CRCD/Ruth's Place HMIS enrollment and next-day exit associated with HMIS ID 8F8CD9D8B. Earliest identifiable CRCD HMIS source record.
Mar. 1, 2023-Jun. 30, 2027	JCOD/Amity/CRCD agreement period identified for CFCI-PA3-056-2023.
Feb. 20, 2024	Tennessee permanency plan includes Return to Parent and housing/visitation tasks.
Apr. 24, 2024	ICPC Regulation 7 / interstate placement framework appears in the Tennessee record.
Mar.-Jun. 2024	Project Tipping Point communications, education/workforce coordination, intake, and program representations.
Jul. 18, 2024	Certificate of Occupancy No. 252890 issued for garage-to-ADU conversion using 1551-1551 3/4 address range.
Aug. 2024	Lease and placement at 1551 3/4 E. 106th Street; landlord and program document chain.
Aug. 2024-Jun. 2025	JCOD later states CFCI rental assistance was issued during this period, including nine months at $1,000/month.

Date / period	Event and audit significance
Oct. 7, 2024	Second Tennessee permanency plan retains Return to Parent and visitation/reunification provisions.
Jan. 15 / Feb. 12, 2025	Tennessee disposition/order later disputed as to personal consent, appearance, and source record.
Jul. 7, 2025	LADBS complaint shown as received; later order-to-comply and code-enforcement history.
Aug. 15, 2025	LAHD sends letter describing the premises as unapproved and referencing lack of valid COFO in its records.
Aug. 25, 2025	LADBS Order to Comply addresses property-wide occupancy/unpermitted work and distinguishes approved garage ADU.
Oct. 15 / Nov. 24, 2025	LAHD Notice and Order to Comply and inspection records for Case 953784.
Dec. 31, 2025	California registers Tennessee order in family case 25CMFL00694.
Mar. 11, 2026	California custody/visitation order establishes monitored Sunday visits and Wednesday confirmation terms.
Mar. 13, 2026	JCOD explains CFCI/Amity/CRCD funding chain and participant-specific rental assistance.
Mar. 31, 2026	HUD CPD states it found no HUD CPD funding for PTP/property and copies Rebecca Blanco.
Apr. 2, 2026	JCOD receives participant-specific CPRA accounting request.
Apr.-Jun. 2026	LAHSA/HMIS, OCI, LAPD, DHCS, HUD, FPPC, and records-preservation lanes develop.
Jun. 11, 2026	Minor's counsel appointed in California family matter; HUD publicly suspends LAHSA funding in separate system-level action.
Jun. 29, 2026	CalVCB denial issues under Application A26-10253806; appeal due within 45 days.
Jul. 7, 2026	Unlawful-detainer case 26CMUD00417 resolved by stipulated judgment; technical unit-identity issue not adjudicated on the face of the stipulation.
Jul. 8, 2026	JCOD reports continuing review of voluminous potentially responsive records; update/response anticipated by Aug. 19.
Jul. 8, 2026	Reported call with HUD employee ChinWoo Choi: matter said to be forwarded to Rebecca Blanco; written confirmation declined. Treated as contemporaneous party account pending HUD verification.

III. Formal Exhibit Index - Factual Proposition Crosswalk

Use. Each exhibit is assigned a factual proposition and an express limitation. Exhibits that are requests or analytical charts are not mislabeled as original agency findings.

Ex.	Description	Factual proposition / relevance	Limitation
A	Complete CRCD / Project Tipping Point master chronology and identifier registry	Provides the chronological framework and separates documented events, reported statements, and unresolved questions.	Generated from the reviewed corpus; it is an analytical index, not an original agency record.
B	HMIS participation record - CRCD/Ruth's Place, April 27-28, 2023	Anchors the earliest identifiable CRCD HMIS project and the source-consent question.	The redacted summary proves that a record was identified; it does not by itself prove consent validity or unauthorized use.
C	LAHSA/HMIS consent, ROI, privacy, and audit-log demand	Defines the exact records needed to test authorization, scope, duration, access, disclosure, and correction.	Primarily a request and issue list; absent source records remain unproven.
D	JCOD CFCI-PA3-056-2023 records	Shows the County CFCI/Amity/CRCD lane, participant-specific accounting request, active search, and anticipated update date.	An interim records letter is not a final accounting or audit conclusion.
E	DHCS referral correspondence to JCOD	Documents routing of CFCI/Amity/CRCD grant-administration issues to JCOD.	Referral does not resolve DHCS-held privacy, beneficiary, provider, or incident records.
F	HUD referral chain and Rebecca Blanco routing	Shows written no-CPD-funding posture, prior copy to Blanco, and later reported routing to Blanco.	The July 8 call account is a contemporaneous party statement; the screenshot is not proof of agency receipt or internal instruction.
G	HUD FOIA 26-FI-RO9-01576 correspondence	Preserves federal records-routing and source-record requests.	FOIA/Privacy Act coverage depends on HUD possession and applicable exemptions.
H	Auditor-Controller / County OCI complaint and referral chronology	Separates OCI 2025-23289 and 2026-23965 and identifies the evidence inventory question.	Referral or closure status does not prove the merits or completeness of review.
I	LAPD / IAD / public-integrity complaint records	Tests whether LAPD obtained the source consent, payment, LAHSA, and OCI records before classification or closure.	No inference of officer misconduct is made without the complete complaint and review files.
J	CRCD governance, Mark Wilson, and Marcella Gardens materials	Identifies CRCD leadership and a separate government-supported housing relationship with monitoring and records provisions.	Marcella Gardens is a separate project and is used only as institutional/oversight context.
K	Amity Foundation / CRCD contract and Project Tipping Point scope	Shows the documented public-funding chain and records that should exist.	Contract requirements do not prove actual compliance or noncompliance.

Ex.	Description	Factual proposition / relevance	Limitation
L	CRCD statements regarding 2023 sign-up and later Project Tipping Point status	Tests whether CRCD relied on a 2023 sign-up for later program activity and how it described funding/status.	Statements must be reconciled with native intake, consent, and payment records.
M	Lease, landlord verification, and rental-assistance mechanics	Shows the premises, household/program framing, and payment/landlord processing chain.	Bank account and taxpayer-ID pages are omitted; inclusion of transaction mechanics is not a fraud finding.
N	Unlawful-detainer pleadings, register, and stipulated judgment	Shows possession/lease-forfeiture consequences and the address used in court.	The stipulation resolves the terms stated; it does not itself decide the technical identity of the approved ADU or all collateral claims.
O	LAFLA communication that the unit was registered	Creates a conflict with the LAHD unapproved-unit letter.	Attorney statement is not an official LAHD rescission and should be reviewed in full context.
P	LAHD August 15, 2025 unapproved-unit letter	Documents LAHD's written position at that time.	Later corrections, withdrawal, or supersession must be obtained before treating the letter as final.
Q	LADBS/LAHD code-enforcement investigation	Separates the approved garage ADU from other allegedly unapproved work and shows ongoing enforcement.	Parcel-wide findings must not automatically be attributed to the exact leased interior without unit mapping.
R	LADBS permit and address-variant records	Shows multiple governmental address strings and later permit activity.	Address variants may reflect database conventions; they do not alone prove illegality or misidentification.
S	Certificates of Occupancy and unit-identity documents	Shows that a 2024 garage-to-ADU COFO existed under a range address.	The unresolved question is whether the exact premises delivered under the lease matched the approved plans/configuration.
T	Property-identity comparison matrix	Makes the identity problem auditable.	Analytical matrix; source agencies must make technical determinations.
U	Family-law, Tennessee permanency, and visitation records	Shows why housing and source-record stability have current child-centered materiality.	Records agencies are not asked to decide custody; the court controls legal findings.
V	FPPC Complaint COM-06192026-02541	Frames the limited conflict-of-interest/contracting inquiry.	Public funding or poor administration alone does not establish an FPPC violation.
W	CalVCB denial and appeal	Shows collateral agency consequences and the 45-day appeal requirement.	The denial is not a finding on the broader housing/records chain.
X	Hamilton County preservation correspondence and Tennessee filing	Shows efforts to obtain the underlying Tennessee record of consent, notice, appearance, and hearing.	Pending records requests do not prove the contents of missing recordings or logs.

Ex.	Description	Factual proposition / relevance	Limitation
Y	HUD-DHCS-JCOD-LAHSA-OCI-LAPD routing matrix	Prevents limited jurisdictional referrals from being treated as global merits determinations.	Analytical routing map; each agency must confirm its own records and scope.
Z	Comprehensive source-record preservation demand	Specifies native records, metadata, search logs, custodians, and contractor-held records.	A preservation request is not proof that evidence was lost or destroyed.
AA	Agency referral flowchart	Explains source record, later program use, and referrals.	Arrows show reported/documented routing, not causation or liability.
AB	Funding-flow diagram	Separates the documented County chain from separate HUD/LAHSA oversight lanes.	Does not infer federal funding where HUD denied CPD funding.
AC	Timeline of governmental and counsel statements	Shows chronology of inconsistent or lane-specific positions.	A difference may reflect scope, timing, or custodian differences rather than falsity.
AD	Record-custodian matrix	Assigns requested record types and identifies likely custody.	Custody is provisional until confirmed in writing.
AE	11,658-page corpus search report and source inventory	Demonstrates the scope of the audit search and identifies source locations.	Matching-page counts include repeated exhibits and are not independent corroboration counts.
AF	Legal-authority compendium	Provides element-triggered issue spotting for preservation, access, oversight, and litigation.	Not legal advice; criminal statutes are not allegations and enforcement is reserved to authorized agencies.
AG	Forensic Q&A, contradiction matrix, missing-record plan, and preliminary findings	Connects each proposition to a source exhibit, contrary evidence, and missing record.	Findings are preliminary audit conclusions, not judicial or administrative determinations.

IV. Analytical Flow Diagrams

Exhibit AA - Agency Referral and Record-Reliance Flow



Interpretation: arrows show documented or reported routing and functional dependence. They do not prove causation, agreement, or liability.

Exhibit AB - Funding and Payment Flow



Interpretation: the County-CFCI-Amity-CRCD lane is documented. HUD/LAHSA remain separate oversight/HMIS record lanes; HUD stated it did not identify CPD funding for PTP/property.

1. Corpus Control, Search Method, and Evidentiary Classification

Scope. Controls the 48-PDF, 11,658-page source corpus and distinguishes repeated exhibits from independent sources.

Q1. What was searched?

Answer. A page-level full-text index of 48 unique top-level PDFs containing 11,658 pages and 19,061,852 extracted characters, supplemented by current July 8-9 records and images not present in the earlier corpus.

Evidence anchor. Source inventory and corpus_index.sqlite; Exhibit AE.

Limitation / alternative explanation. The corpus contains repeated embedded exhibits; page hits are coverage indicators, not unique-event counts.

Q2. How were terms located?

Answer. Exact identifiers were searched with literal text matching; concepts were searched with variants. Top-file locations were retained so that each proposition can be traced to source pages.

Evidence anchor. Exhibit AE term report and source inventory.

Limitation / alternative explanation. Scanned pages with no extractable text may require visual review; selected scans were visually inspected.

Q3. What does a repeated match prove?

Answer. It proves that the term recurs in the compiled record, not that multiple agencies independently verified it.

Evidence anchor. Search methodology statement.

Limitation / alternative explanation. Duplicated packet pages must not be counted as independent corroboration.

Q4. How are confidence levels assigned?

Answer. Documented means visible in a source record; reported means attributed to a caller or party but not independently confirmed; inferred means a reasoned link; unresolved means the necessary source record is missing.

Evidence anchor. Control legend in this report.

Limitation / alternative explanation. Confidence labels do not replace admissibility, authentication, or agency findings.

Q5. What is the audit goal?

Answer. To identify the exact source record, custodian, decision, funding/payment path, property identity, referral scope, and missing evidence for each lane.

Evidence anchor. Exhibits A, Y, Z, AD, AG.

Limitation / alternative explanation. The audit is issue spotting and evidence control, not a criminal or civil adjudication.

Missing records / production targets

- Native source files and metadata for documents reproduced only as screenshots or embedded exhibits.
- Complete deduplication map at page-image level, not merely top-level SHA-256 file identity.
- Custodian confirmations for every reported referral and closure.

Potentially relevant authorities

- Fed. R. Evid. 901 / Cal. Evid. Code authentication principles (as applicable); business-record and certified-record procedures; no legal conclusion from search frequency.

2. CRCD/Ruth's Place HMIS Source Authorization - April 27-28, 2023

Scope. Tests the origin, content, scope, and later use of the only identified CRCD HMIS project in which the participant reports being active.

Q1. What is the source anchor?

Answer. The record identifies a CRCD/Ruth's Place Day Shelter enrollment beginning April 27, 2023 and exit April 28, 2023, associated with HMIS ID 8F8CD9D8B.

Evidence anchor. Exhibit B.

Limitation / alternative explanation. The source summary is redacted and does not itself include the complete signed consent package.

Q2. Does one day of enrollment prove broad future consent?

Answer. No. The existence of an enrollment is different from proof of a signed, informed, program-specific, time-bounded authorization for later projects, contacts, disclosures, housing processing, or family-status use.

Evidence anchor. Exhibits B and C.

Limitation / alternative explanation. The answer depends on the actual consent/ROI and governing privacy policies.

Q3. What exact records are necessary?

Answer. Enrollment, exit, intake, consent, ROI, privacy notice, verbal-consent notation, staff identity, data-entry history, edit history, exports, access logs, disclosures, restrictions, revocations, and correction notes.

Evidence anchor. Exhibit C and Z.

Limitation / alternative explanation. Some system logs may be retained for limited periods; preservation timing matters.

Q4. Why is the Ruth's Place project important?

Answer. CRCD later cited a 2023 program sign-up while later PTP activities included materially different services and housing/payment processing. The 2023 record is therefore the earliest testable source of claimed authority.

Evidence anchor. Exhibits B and L.

Limitation / alternative explanation. A later independent consent could cure or supersede earlier limits; that record has not been produced in the reviewed materials.

Q5. What would disprove the concern?

Answer. A complete, authenticated consent package showing the relevant scope, later renewal, participants, disclosures, revocation terms, and audit history.

Evidence anchor. Exhibit C.

Limitation / alternative explanation. Absence from this binder is not proof that no record exists.

Q6. What would strengthen the concern?

Answer. A missing or defective authorization; logs showing later use outside stated purpose; inconsistent dates; unrecorded verbal consent; or disclosures not reflected in the accounting.

Evidence anchor. Exhibits B, C, L.

Limitation / alternative explanation. Each item requires technical verification by the HMIS custodian.

Missing records / production targets

- Original signed/acknowledged consent and ROI.
- HMIS audit and disclosure logs.
- Local HMIS privacy policy and project-specific consent form in effect in April 2023.
- Records showing later PTP reliance on the Ruth's Place entry.

Potentially relevant authorities

- 24 CFR 578.103 (conditional CoC recordkeeping/confidentiality); local HMIS privacy/security policies; California constitutional privacy; HIPAA only if a covered entity/business associate and PHI are involved.

3. CRCD / Project Tipping Point Later Use, Intake, and Family-Status Data

Scope. Reconciles CRCD statements, PTP enrollment, family-aware intake, educational/workforce activity, housing placement, and consent.

Q1. What later activity appears in the record?

Answer. PTP outreach/enrollment, education and workforce coordination, housing placement, landlord contact, lease processing, rental-assistance documents, and household/family-status data.

Evidence anchor. Exhibits L and M.

Limitation / alternative explanation. The precise dataset and recipients require native records.

Q2. What did CRCD state?

Answer. The record contains a CRCD partner/stakeholder statement describing documentation-based and consent-driven engagement and referencing a 2023 CRCD sign-up.

Evidence anchor. Exhibit L.

Limitation / alternative explanation. A general statement must be tested against the actual consent and system logs.

Q3. Why is family status material?

Answer. Housing was pursued in the context of an existing reunification plan and a household with children. Later instability became relevant to family-law assessments and visitation logistics.

Evidence anchor. Exhibits M and U.

Limitation / alternative explanation. This does not mean CRCD controlled the family court or that housing alone determines custody.

Q4. What is the primary contradiction?

Answer. A limited one-day 2023 HMIS entry is invoked in the same record universe as later, materially broader 2024-2026 program and housing activity, while the complete renewal/consent chain remains unproduced.

Evidence anchor. Exhibits B, C, L.

Limitation / alternative explanation. The contradiction may be resolved by later signed documents.

Q5. What records should CRCD produce?

Answer. All intake versions, Formstack submissions, consent/ROI, privacy notices, contact authorizations, program status, grievance records, household fields, referral records, data exports, landlord files, and custodian affidavits.

Evidence anchor. Exhibits C, L, M, Z.

Limitation / alternative explanation. Tax and bank data should be produced through a protected process or redacted copy.

Missing records / production targets

- Native Formstack export and audit history.
- PTP case-management notes and program status history.
- Signed participant acknowledgments and renewal notices.
- CRCD retention schedule and litigation-hold notices.

Potentially relevant authorities

- California privacy principles; contract-based records duties under CFCI-PA3-056-2023; federal rules only if the particular system/funding/covered entity is established.

4. LAHSA / HMIS Custody, Limited Funding Review, and OCI Referral

Scope. Separates LAHSA funding jurisdiction from HMIS source-record custody, Risk Management review, and referral to County OCI.

Q1. What did LAHSA reportedly decide?

Answer. The record reflects a limited position that Project Tipping Point was not LAHSA-funded and a referral/communication toward County Fraud/OCI.

Evidence anchor. Exhibit H.

Limitation / alternative explanation. A funding classification is not a consent, access-log, disclosure, or data-correction determination.

Q2. Why does LAHSA remain relevant?

Answer. The source record was maintained or processed in the local HMIS environment; LAHSA records include tickets, Risk Management/Christopher Redd materials, and referral records.

Evidence anchor. Exhibits B, H.

Limitation / alternative explanation. Exact operational custody may rest with a participating agency, HMIS lead, vendor, or contractor.

Q3. What must the Redd file show?

Answer. The allegations reviewed, evidence received, systems searched, interview notes, legal/jurisdictional scope, findings, referral memorandum, and closure rationale.

Evidence anchor. Exhibit H.

Limitation / alternative explanation. The existence of a review does not establish completeness.

Q4. What is the no-global-closure issue?

Answer. A closed HMIS ticket or LAHSA funding determination cannot logically answer whether the April 2023 authorization existed or whether later use/disclosure was authorized.

Evidence anchor. Exhibits H, Y, Z.

Limitation / alternative explanation. Agencies may lawfully divide functions; the problem is only if one lane is treated as resolving another without evidence.

Q5. What current federal context is relevant?

Answer. HUD's June 11, 2026 public LAHSA suspension/OIG action creates system-level oversight context regarding controls and records, without proving any connection to this participant-level matter.

Evidence anchor. Analytical exhibit AC; official HUD notice cited in legal/source appendix.

Limitation / alternative explanation. No claim is made that the questioned federal amounts include this placement or HMIS record.

Missing records / production targets

- Complete HMIS tickets 259260, 260627, 267528.
- LAHSA Reference 2526KH-A file.
- Christopher Redd/Christopher Williams records.
- LAHSA-to-OCI referral/closure memorandum and attachments.
- Vendor/system audit logs and data dictionary.

Potentially relevant authorities

- 24 CFR 578.103 if CoC/HMIS-funded records are implicated; 2 CFR 200.334/.337 if federal award records; privacy/confidentiality limits; federal OIG access authorities.

5. HUD - CPD Funding Determination, HMIS Inquiry, FOIA, and Rebecca Blanco Routing

Scope. Separates HUD's no-CPD-funding conclusion from its records, HMIS inquiry, FOIA/Privacy Act, and internal-routing obligations.

Q1. What is documented in writing?

Answer. On March 31, 2026 HUD CPD stated that its review/outreach did not identify CPD funding for PTP or the property; Rebecca Blanco was copied.

Evidence anchor. Exhibit F.

Limitation / alternative explanation. The statement is limited to CPD funding and the records reviewed at that time.

Q2. What was later reported by phone?

Answer. On July 8, 2026 the participant reports that ChinWoo Choi said the matter had been forwarded to Rebecca Blanco, that he had been instructed not to engage, and that he declined to send written confirmation.

Evidence anchor. Exhibit F.

Limitation / alternative explanation. This remains a contemporaneous party account pending HUD confirmation; it is not an established internal instruction.

Q3. Why is the distinction important?

Answer. No CPD funding does not answer whether HUD possesses referral emails, FOIA records, LAHSA/HMIS correspondence, FHEO material, OIG routing, or records of an inquiry into the 2023 consent issue.

Evidence anchor. Exhibits F and G.

Limitation / alternative explanation. HUD may invoke exemptions or state that records are held by another component; it should identify the scope and referral.

Q4. What should HUD confirm?

Answer. Current assignee; referral date; transmitted documents; component; search systems; whether the 2023 HMIS consent issue was investigated; whether any matter was returned to JCOD; and expected response date.

Evidence anchor. Exhibits F, G, Z.

Limitation / alternative explanation. A routing confirmation does not require HUD to accept jurisdiction over nonfederal funding.

Q5. What does FOIA require?

Answer. A reasonable search and written response subject to statutory exemptions; Privacy Act rights apply only to qualifying records in a federal system of records.

Evidence anchor. Exhibit G and Authority AF.

Limitation / alternative explanation. Neither statute guarantees creation of records or disclosure of exempt third-party information.

Missing records / production targets

- Native Choi-Blanco referral email and metadata.
- HUD correspondence-control and call notes.
- FOIA search declarations and component referrals.
- Records of contacts with LAHSA, Coordinated Entry, HMIS provider, CRCD, or grantees.

Potentially relevant authorities

- 5 U.S.C. 552; 5 U.S.C. 552a; 24 CFR Part 15; Federal Records Act; 2 CFR Part 200 only if federal award records are involved.

6. JCOD / CFCI / Amity - Funding, Participant Accounting, Approval, and Monitoring

Scope. Reconstructs the documented County-funded chain and the participant-specific month-by-month accounting still under search.

Q1. What did JCOD confirm?

Answer. JCOD stated that CFCI funds supported rental assistance between August 2024 and June 2025; Program Area 3 Youth Housing; Amity oversaw administration through CRCD; nine months at $1,000/month; contract CFCI-PA3-056-2023.

Evidence anchor. Exhibits D and K.

Limitation / alternative explanation. The statement does not provide a month-by-month ledger or explain the full date range.

Q2. What is the numerical reconciliation problem?

Answer. August 2024 through June 2025 spans more than nine monthly periods, while JCOD identified nine months at $1,000. Exact paid months, gaps, other funding, approvals, and payees are unresolved.

Evidence anchor. Exhibit D.

Limitation / alternative explanation. Program proration or nonconsecutive payments may explain the difference.

Q3. What does the contract suggest should exist?

Answer. Approval, reimbursement, invoice, audit, monitoring, reporting, data-submission, subcontractor, and records-access documentation.

Evidence anchor. Exhibit K.

Limitation / alternative explanation. The contract is evidence of required processes, not proof that every record was created or retained.

Q4. What is JCOD's current posture?

Answer. Its July 8 interim response says the search/review of voluminous potentially responsive records remains active and anticipates an update or response by August 19, 2026.

Evidence anchor. Exhibit D.

Limitation / alternative explanation. This is not a final deadline adjudication and privileges/exemptions may be asserted.

Q5. What must the final accounting include?

Answer. Exact month, amount, source, invoice, CRCD submission, Amity approval, JCOD reimbursement, landlord/payee, authorization, monitoring exception, and any correction or recoupment.

Evidence anchor. Exhibits D, K, M, Z.

Limitation / alternative explanation. Sensitive financial identifiers should be redacted or produced under secure conditions.

Q6. Why does unit status matter to contract oversight?

Answer. If the program approved a placement, the file should identify what property documentation was reviewed and what corrective action occurred after LAHD/LADBS notices.

Evidence anchor. Exhibits M, P, Q, R, S.

Limitation / alternative explanation. The contract may allocate property vetting differently; the actual scope must be read.

Missing records / production targets

- Participant ledger and payment trace.
- Invoices and reimbursement packets.
- Amity monitoring notes and corrective action.
- Landlord onboarding/verification decision.
- Property-vetting checklist and later notice response.

Potentially relevant authorities

- CPRA Gov. Code 7922.535; California False Claims Act and Penal Code provisions only if their elements are independently established; contract audit/access clauses.

7. DHCS - Documented Handoff to JCOD and Unresolved DHCS-Held Records

Scope. Defines what DHCS referred and what DHCS may still be obligated to preserve or answer within its own systems.

Q1. What routing is documented?

Answer. The reviewed packet contains a DHCS communication identifying JCOD/Avi Bernard as the appropriate authority for the CFCI/Amity/CRCD grant-administration lane.

Evidence anchor. Exhibit E.

Limitation / alternative explanation. Referral does not establish the disposition of every DHCS complaint, privacy request, or provider-record issue.

Q2. What remains separate?

Answer. DHCS-held emails, incident reports, Medi-Cal beneficiary/provider records, privacy/accounting requests, licensing or contract records, and fraud-referral metadata, if any.

Evidence anchor. Exhibits E and Y.

Limitation / alternative explanation. Relevance depends on whether DHCS or a regulated entity actually held records.

Q3. What should DHCS confirm?

Answer. Scope of referral; documents sent; whether any DHCS-specific lane remained open/closed; retention; custodian; and whether Amity or CRCD appeared in DHCS systems.

Evidence anchor. Exhibits E, Z.

Limitation / alternative explanation. DHCS may lawfully state no responsive records after a documented search.

Q4. When do Medicaid/HIPAA rules apply?

Answer. Only where the record is maintained by a Medicaid agency, covered entity, or business associate and falls within the relevant record set.

Evidence anchor. Authority AF.

Limitation / alternative explanation. Do not treat all housing or nonprofit records as PHI.

Missing records / production targets

- DHCS search declaration and systems searched.
- Referral email attachments and metadata.
- Status of listed DHCS/HHS identifiers.
- Any provider/contract cross-reference for Amity, CRCD, or participant identifiers.

Potentially relevant authorities

- 42 CFR 431.300-.306; 45 CFR Part 164 conditionally; state health-information/privacy law as applicable; records-retention policies.

8. County Fraud / Auditor-Controller OCI - Separate Files and Evidence Inventory

Scope. Prevents OCI 2025-23289 and 2026-23965 from being collapsed into one referral or closure.

Q1. Why must the OCI references remain distinct?

Answer. The record associates 2025-23289 with a LAHSA referral/limited review and 2026-23965 with a separate County Counsel-referred source-record/privacy/fraud lane.

Evidence anchor. Exhibit H.

Limitation / alternative explanation. The agencies must confirm precise titles and status.

Q2. What is the core evidence-inventory question?

Answer. Whether OCI received the original Ruth's Place consent, HMIS logs, PTP intake, CFCI payment records, lease/landlord records, property notices, and LAPD reports before any disposition.

Evidence anchor. Exhibits H, B, C, D, M, I.

Limitation / alternative explanation. An intake file may be screened without obtaining every document; the scope should be stated.

Q3. What would a reliable closure memo include?

Answer. Allegations, jurisdiction, evidence reviewed, evidence not obtained, interviews, referrals, findings, limitations, conflicts screen, and retention/custody.

Evidence anchor. Exhibit H and Z.

Limitation / alternative explanation. Internal investigative privileges may limit disclosure, but basic routing/status can often be confirmed.

Q4. Does referral to LAPD resolve OCI issues?

Answer. No. Criminal-investigative sufficiency and County contract/audit/administrative issues have different elements and custodians.

Evidence anchor. Exhibits H, I, Y.

Limitation / alternative explanation. Parallel agencies may defer to each other; the record should say so.

Missing records / production targets

- Complete files for both OCI references.
- Evidence receipt logs.
- LAHSA referral memorandum.
- Conflict-screening and supervisory review records.
- Disposition and custodian statements.

Potentially relevant authorities

- County audit/contract authorities; CPRA subject to exemptions; Gov. Code 6200 and Penal Code 135 only as preservation issue spotting, not accusations.

9. LAPD / PSB / IAD - Report Classification, Evidence Receipt, and Complaint Review

Scope. Tests whether law-enforcement decisions were made after obtaining the key source, payment, and referral records.

Q1. Which identifiers matter?

Answer. C259045687, C269013241, tracking 260327900363, and complaint/IAD 26-001767.

Evidence anchor. Exhibit I.

Limitation / alternative explanation. Exact report titles, divisions, and disposition codes should be confirmed from native RMS records.

Q2. What was the evidentiary gap identified?

Answer. The packet asks whether LAPD obtained the 2023 consent/ROI, HMIS tickets/logs, LAHSA/Redd file, OCI files, CFCI payment records, lease/W-9/ACH chain, and property enforcement records.

Evidence anchor. Exhibit I.

Limitation / alternative explanation. The reviewed correspondence does not establish what detectives actually accessed internally.

Q3. What is the IAD question?

Answer. Whether the complaint review addresses evidence logging, cross-referencing, routing, closure classification, records requests, and any conflict-safe supervisory review.

Evidence anchor. Exhibit I.

Limitation / alternative explanation. A disagreement with an investigative decision is not proof of misconduct.

Q4. What should a response identify?

Answer. Report status; assigned unit; evidence inventory; cross-references; reason for classification/closure; complaint status; retained attachments; and any referral.

Evidence anchor. Exhibits I and Z.

Limitation / alternative explanation. Law-enforcement exemptions may restrict disclosure of investigative details.

Missing records / production targets

- RMS narrative and supplemental reports.
- Evidence and attachment logs.
- Detective/supervisor review notes.
- IAD complaint file and disposition.
- Cross-reference query results for OCI/LAHSA/JCOD identifiers.

Potentially relevant authorities

- Penal Code 832.5 complaint procedures; Penal Code 832.7 disclosure limits and specified disclosures; CPRA law-enforcement exemptions; evidence-preservation statutes only if elements are met.

10. CRCD Governance, Mark Wilson, Marcella Gardens, and Institutional Oversight Context

Scope. Uses official organizational and separate-project records to identify roles and oversight questions without merging distinct transactions.

Q1. What is established about Mark Wilson?

Answer. The reviewed City-profile source identifies Wilson as CRCD President/CEO and a City commission official/secretary role.

Evidence anchor. Exhibit J.

Limitation / alternative explanation. Public role does not prove participation in the participant-specific placement or any conflict.

Q2. What does Marcella Gardens show?

Answer. A separate DMH-CRCD supportive-housing relationship with reporting, monitoring, records, confidentiality, and signature provisions.

Evidence anchor. Exhibit J.

Limitation / alternative explanation. Marcella Gardens is not the 1551 3/4 placement and its funds are not shown to have financed PTP.

Q3. Why include the separate project?

Answer. It demonstrates CRCD's institutional housing role and the kinds of public oversight records that may exist; it also provides context for reported public-officer allegations requiring independent verification.

Evidence anchor. Exhibit J.

Limitation / alternative explanation. Context is not propensity evidence and should not be used to infer wrongdoing.

Q4. What would make governance relevant to FPPC?

Answer. A specific official, governmental decision, participation, financial interest, material effect, contribution, or contract interest supported by records.

Evidence anchor. Exhibits J and V.

Limitation / alternative explanation. Political access or appointment alone is insufficient.

Missing records / production targets

- Specific decision-maker list for CFCI/Amity/CRCD approvals.
- Form 700/conflict-code/recusal records for relevant public officials.
- Communications tying governance roles to this placement.
- Official response to any reported public-officer allegations.

Potentially relevant authorities

- Gov. Code 1090; 87100; 84308; FPPC regulations and enforcement standards; no inference without element-specific evidence.

11. LAHD / LADBS Property Identity, Certificate of Occupancy, and Enforcement

Scope. Reconciles the lease address, COFO range address, permit variants, parcel-wide enforcement, and exact physical unit.

Q1. Did a 2024 COFO exist?

Answer. Yes. The records show Certificate No. 252890 for a garage-to-ADU conversion, issued July 18, 2024, using the range address 1551-1551 3/4 E. 106th Street.

Evidence anchor. Exhibits R and S.

Limitation / alternative explanation. Existence of a COFO does not answer whether the exact leased interior matched approved plans.

Q2. What did the lease identify?

Answer. The lease identifies 1551 3/4 E. 106th Street.

Evidence anchor. Exhibit M.

Limitation / alternative explanation. A mailing/unit label is not a technical building-plan map.

Q3. What did LAHD say on Aug. 15, 2025?

Answer. LAHD Case SO311576 correspondence described an unapproved unit/lack of valid COFO in its records.

Evidence anchor. Exhibit P.

Limitation / alternative explanation. The record must show whether the letter was corrected, withdrawn, superseded, or based on an address mismatch.

Q4. What did LAFLA report?

Answer. A preserved communication states counsel's position that the unit was registered and the LAHD letter was an error.

Evidence anchor. Exhibit O.

Limitation / alternative explanation. This is not an official agency correction.

Q5. What did LADBS enforcement distinguish?

Answer. The order identifies an approved garage-to-ADU conversion while also citing property-wide or main-residence unapproved occupancy, second-floor kitchen/dwelling, electrical, plumbing, and related work.

Evidence anchor. Exhibit Q.

Limitation / alternative explanation. Technical mapping is necessary before assigning a violation to Unit 3/4.

Q6. What later permit activity matters?

Answer. Permit records use variants including 1551 3/4, 1551-1551 3/4, and 1551-1551 1/2 and address attached/detached or additional ADU work.

Evidence anchor. Exhibit R.

Limitation / alternative explanation. Later permit activity may be corrective or separate; it does not retroactively prove the 2024 unit status.

Q7. What is the decisive missing record?

Answer. Approved plans and a City-authenticated site/unit map tying the lease entrance, dimensions, utilities, and physical premises to COFO 252890.

Evidence anchor. Exhibits S and T.

Limitation / alternative explanation. Only the responsible building/housing agencies or qualified experts can make the technical determination.

Missing records / production targets

- Approved stamped plans and plot plan.
- Inspection photos and inspector notes.
- Address assignment history.
- LAHD registration ledger and correction history.
- Written unit-specific determination reconciling LAHD and LADBS.

Potentially relevant authorities

- Civil Code 1941.1, 1942.4, 1942.5; H&S 17920.3, 17980.6/.7; LAMC provisions cited in agency orders; COFO/permit law; no private criminal conclusion.

12. Unlawful-Detainer Case 26CMUD00417 and Scope of Stipulated Judgment

Scope. Defines what the July 7 stipulation resolved and what it did not resolve on its face.

Q1. What did the judgment address?

Answer. Possession of 1551 3/4, lease-right forfeiture, move-out terms, security-deposit treatment, waiver/no-post-judgment terms, and conditional sealing.

Evidence anchor. Exhibit N.

Limitation / alternative explanation. The handwritten terms control and should be read from a certified copy.

Q2. Did it technically determine the COFO identity?

Answer. Not on the face of the selected stipulation. It does not contain a building-plan finding identifying the physical unit covered by COFO 252890 or reconciling LAHD/LADBS variants.

Evidence anchor. Exhibits N, P, Q, R, S.

Limitation / alternative explanation. A separate transcript or incorporated term could add context; obtain the full certified court file.

Q3. Does settlement waive every later issue?

Answer. Only the actual language and applicable law determine scope. The binder should not claim waiver or nonwaiver beyond the written terms.

Evidence anchor. Exhibit N.

Limitation / alternative explanation. Legal counsel should analyze release, claim preclusion, sealing, and collateral issues.

Q4. Why is the case relevant to agency review?

Answer. It demonstrates concrete displacement and litigation consequences while records searches and unit-status questions remained unresolved.

Evidence anchor. Exhibits N, D, P-Q.

Limitation / alternative explanation. Consequence does not establish agency fault or causation.

Missing records / production targets

- Certified complete stipulation and register.
- Any hearing transcript/minute order.
- Complaint, answer, exhibits, settlement communications subject to privilege.
- Precise scope of sealed record and access order.

Potentially relevant authorities

- CCP 1161, 1161.2, 664.6; Civil Code 1950.5; sealing/access rules; contract/release principles.

13. Family-Law / Tennessee / ICPC Nexus and Child-Centered Materiality

Scope. Explains why the housing and record-control lanes are not factually separate from continuing reunification and visitation, without asking agencies to decide custody.

Q1. Was reunification historically present?

Answer. Selected February and October 2024 Tennessee permanency plans retain Return to Parent/reunification-related tasks and visitation.

Evidence anchor. Exhibit U.

Limitation / alternative explanation. The complete plans and later orders control; goals can change over time.

Q2. What is disputed about Jan. 15, 2025?

Answer. The source record raises questions about personal consent, appearance, notice, remote participation, proposed-order history, and the basis for the Tennessee disposition.

Evidence anchor. Exhibit X.

Limitation / alternative explanation. The order remains legally operative unless modified or vacated; dispute is not a finding of invalidity.

Q3. What is the California posture?

Answer. California registered the order and entered March 11, 2026 custody/visitation terms; minor's counsel was later appointed.

Evidence anchor. Exhibit U.

Limitation / alternative explanation. Current orders control conduct until changed.

Q4. Why does housing matter?

Answer. Stable, suitable housing and practical visitation logistics are factual inputs to reunification and best-interest analysis. Program-caused or system-related instability, if proved, may be relevant context.

Evidence anchor. Exhibits U, M, N.

Limitation / alternative explanation. Housing is not the sole custody factor; the court weighs all admissible evidence.

Q5. What should agencies do?

Answer. Produce accurate records and avoid characterizing the parent as noncooperative based on agency-created delays or missing information without complete context.

Evidence anchor. Exhibits U, Z.

Limitation / alternative explanation. The court, not the record custodian, decides credibility and legal effect.

Missing records / production targets

- Tennessee hearing audio/transcript and participation logs.
- Complete ICPC file and closure/classification records.
- Current visitation monitor records and caregiver communications.
- Certified California register and current orders.

Potentially relevant authorities

- Fam. Code 3011, 3020, 3150-3151, UCCJEA 3400 et seq.; CRC 5.242; WIC 827 where dependency records are involved; Tenn. Code 37-1-153; Tenn. Juv. Rule 115.

14. FPPC / Public Contracting / Conflict-of-Interest Screening

Scope. Defines the narrow elements that could place part of the matter within FPPC or Government Code 1090 jurisdiction.

Q1. Does public funding alone create FPPC jurisdiction?

Answer. No. The record must identify a covered official, governmental decision, participation/influence, financial interest, material effect, contract interest, or applicable contribution rule.

Evidence anchor. Exhibit V.

Limitation / alternative explanation. Poor administration, code violations, or an unfair outcome alone are not Political Reform Act violations.

Q2. What is the strongest potential screening lane?

Answer. Government Code 1090/87100 screening of identified officials or covered consultants who made or influenced contract, amendment, payment, monitoring, or enforcement decisions while holding a disqualifying interest.

Evidence anchor. Exhibits K, J, V.

Limitation / alternative explanation. No such interest is established in this report.

Q3. What records are necessary?

Answer. Decision routing, approval signatures, participant-payment approvals, ownership/financial relationships, Form 700 filings, conflict codes, recusals, gifts/income, campaign contributions, calendars, and communications.

Evidence anchor. Exhibit V.

Limitation / alternative explanation. Many records may show no conflict; the inquiry must remain neutral.

Q4. How do the unit and UD records relate?

Answer. They demonstrate material consequences and may identify what decision-makers knew, but they do not create FPPC jurisdiction by themselves.

Evidence anchor. Exhibits P-S, N.

Limitation / alternative explanation. FPPC is not a landlord-tenant or building-code tribunal.

Missing records / production targets

- Specific public officials/consultants and decisions.
- Financial-interest and contribution evidence.
- Conflict-code/recusal records.
- Contract amendment, monitoring, and payment decision trail.

Potentially relevant authorities

- Gov. Code 1090, 87100, 84308; FPPC regulations; public-resource/campaign rules where applicable.

15. CalVCB Denial and Appeal - Collateral Agency Consequence

Scope. Controls the June 29 denial, appeal period, and supporting record need without overstating the claim.

Q1. What was denied?

Answer. CalVCB Application A26-10253806 was denied on the stated ground that the available evidence did not show a program-qualifying crime/injury as described in the letter.

Evidence anchor. Exhibit W.

Limitation / alternative explanation. The letter should be quoted only from the original; it is not a determination of every underlying allegation.

Q2. What is the appeal deadline?

Answer. The denial and official CalVCB materials state that an appeal must be submitted within 45 days.

Evidence anchor. Exhibit W; Authority AF.

Limitation / alternative explanation. Calculate from the notice date and use the agency's current filing methods.

Q3. What additional evidence could matter?

Answer. Official reports, qualifying-crime documentation, injury/threat evidence, witness statements, and corrected agency records.

Evidence anchor. Exhibit W.

Limitation / alternative explanation. Eligibility elements are controlled by CalVCB law; housing/records harm alone may not qualify.

Q4. Why include it here?

Answer. It demonstrates how incomplete or narrowly classified law-enforcement records can create downstream agency consequences.

Evidence anchor. Exhibits I and W.

Limitation / alternative explanation. Causation must be demonstrated; inclusion is contextual.

Missing records / production targets

- Complete CalVCB claim file and documents relied upon.
- Any direct LAPD-to-CalVCB verification.
- Timely signed appeal and supporting documentation.

Potentially relevant authorities

- Gov. Code 13955, 13957, 13959; Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, appeal provisions; official CalVCB 45-day guidance.

16. Cross-Agency Routing, No-Global-Closure Rule, and Custodian Accountability

Scope. Prevents an agency's limited jurisdictional answer from being used as a substitute for another agency's factual or custodial duty.

Q1. Which statements are not equivalent?

Answer. No HUD CPD funding; no LAHSA PTP funding; outside DHCS CFCI jurisdiction; referred to JCOD; referred to OCI; HMIS ticket closed; LAPD report closed; CPRA pending.

Evidence anchor. Exhibits Y and AC.

Limitation / alternative explanation. Each may be valid within its lane.

Q2. What is the required response format?

Answer. Each agency should identify receipt, issue scope, systems searched, records found, records withheld, referrals, current custodian, retention, and next action/date.

Evidence anchor. Exhibits Y, Z, AD.

Limitation / alternative explanation. Agencies may redact or withhold under applicable law but should identify the basis.

Q3. Why is metadata important?

Answer. Referral and native-email metadata can establish timing, recipients, attachment transmission, and assignment even when narrative responses are ambiguous.

Evidence anchor. Exhibit Z.

Limitation / alternative explanation. Metadata interpretation may require technical expertise.

Q4. Who should coordinate?

Answer. No single agency must accept all jurisdiction; each should preserve and identify its portion, and the participant can maintain the master cross-reference.

Evidence anchor. Exhibits Y, AD.

Limitation / alternative explanation. This report does not impose authority beyond law or contract.

Missing records / production targets

- Written cross-agency custodian confirmations.
- Referral emails and attachments.
- Search declarations and retention schedules.
- No-record certifications identifying systems and terms searched.

Potentially relevant authorities

- FOIA/Privacy Act/CPRA procedural laws; federal award access rules; agency-specific confidentiality/exemptions; records-preservation laws.

17. Preservation, Authentication, Redaction, and Litigation-Ready Handling

Scope. Defines a defensible chain for native files, screenshots, emails, agency letters, court records, and sensitive financial data.

Q1. What should be preserved natively?

Answer. Emails with headers and attachments, EML/MSG files, HMIS exports/logs, spreadsheets, Formstack exports, payment ledgers, case-management entries, call notes, Teams/SharePoint/OneDrive records, and system metadata.

Evidence anchor. Exhibit Z.

Limitation / alternative explanation. PDF printouts alone may omit metadata.

Q2. How should screenshots be used?

Answer. As demonstrative or contemporaneous evidence with the original device/account and export preserved; label drafts versus sent messages.

Evidence anchor. Exhibits F and O.

Limitation / alternative explanation. Screenshot appearance can be edited and requires authentication.

Q3. How should W-9/ACH data be handled?

Answer. Use redacted copies in broad packets; produce unredacted pages only through secure, need-to-know, court, audit, or subpoena channels.

Evidence anchor. Exhibit M.

Limitation / alternative explanation. Redaction must not obscure the transaction fields necessary to identify the payee and approval.

Q4. What should a no-record response contain?

Answer. Custodian, systems, date range, search terms, repositories, contractors queried, retention/disposal basis, and whether another custodian was identified.

Evidence anchor. Exhibits Z and AD.

Limitation / alternative explanation. Law may not compel a formal declaration in every informal request; it is a best-practice demand.

Q5. What are preliminary preservation triggers?

Answer. Pending court proceedings, active records requests, agency investigations, appeals, and known disputes may make preservation reasonably foreseeable.

Evidence anchor. Chronology and Exhibit Z.

Limitation / alternative explanation. A preservation request does not establish spoliation.

Missing records / production targets

- Native originals for embedded email chains.
- Certified agency and court records.
- Hash manifest for every new July 8-9 record.
- Custodian declarations and business-record certifications.

Potentially relevant authorities

- Gov. Code 6200; Penal Code 135; federal/state record-retention rules; spoliation doctrines; authentication and business-record statutes/rules.

18. Priority Action Plan and Preliminary Audit Findings

Scope. Ranks immediate production and response items by evidentiary value and time sensitivity.

Q1. What is Priority 1?

Answer. Obtain the actual April 2023 consent/ROI/privacy package and HMIS audit/disclosure logs.

Evidence anchor. Exhibits B, C, Z.

Limitation / alternative explanation. This is the central source-authorization issue.

Q2. What is Priority 2?

Answer. Obtain JCOD/Amity/CRCD month-by-month payment, approval, reimbursement, monitoring, and landlord-vetting records.

Evidence anchor. Exhibits D, K, M.

Limitation / alternative explanation. Needed to reconcile the documented nine-month/eleven-month range and payment authority.

Q3. What is Priority 3?

Answer. Obtain a written HUD routing confirmation and the native Choi-Blanco/referral record.

Evidence anchor. Exhibits F, G.

Limitation / alternative explanation. Separates written agency action from oral account.

Q4. What is Priority 4?

Answer. Obtain LAHSA/Redd-to-OCI and OCI-to-LAPD evidence inventories and closure scopes.

Evidence anchor. Exhibits H, I.

Limitation / alternative explanation. Tests whether downstream decisions had the key source records.

Q5. What is Priority 5?

Answer. Obtain the City-authenticated unit map, approved plans, COFO history, LAHD registration history, and written reconciliation.

Evidence anchor. Exhibits O-S, T.

Limitation / alternative explanation. Technical property identity is central to the housing/UD record.

Q6. What is Priority 6?

Answer. Obtain Tennessee hearing recording, participation logs, and alleged-consent source; provide child-centered summary to minor's counsel/court as permitted.

Evidence anchor. Exhibits U and X.

Limitation / alternative explanation. Confidential records require authorized access and careful filing.

Q7. What are the preliminary findings?

Answer. The record supports a documented public-funding chain, a documented one-day 2023 HMIS source anchor, multiple limited referrals/closures, unresolved participant accounting, unresolved consent and audit logs, unresolved exact unit identity, and material downstream housing/family consequences.

Evidence anchor. Exhibits A-AG.

Limitation / alternative explanation. The record does not presently prove a crime, conflict of interest, intentional falsification, or agency conspiracy.

Missing records / production targets

- All Priority 1-6 records.
- Written responses after July 9, 2026.
- Certified final status for each open request and complaint.

Potentially relevant authorities

- All authorities in AF; use only in an element-specific, jurisdiction-specific manner.

V. Cross-Agency Contradiction Matrix (Exhibit AC)

Issue	Record A	Record B / tension	Controlling reconciliation record
2023 source consent	CRCD later references a 2023 sign-up.	Complete consent/ROI and later-use authority not produced.	Original signed records; HMIS audit/disclosure logs; later renewal.
PTP funding	CRCD communications include private-foundation descriptions.	JCOD states participant rental assistance used CFCI funds under PA3.	Month-by-month source ledger and invoices; distinguish program operating funds from rental payments.
HUD role	HUD CPD states no CPD funding/jurisdiction.	HUD also has FOIA/routing and reported HMIS inquiry/Blanco referral.	Written scope of each HUD lane, search declaration, referral email.
LAHSA role	LAHSA says PTP not LAHSA-funded.	Ruth's Place source record is in HMIS ecosystem and LAHSA handled tickets/review/referral.	HMIS custodian statement; Redd file; access/disclosure logs.
DHCS role	DHCS routes CFCI/Amity grant lane to JCOD.	DHCS may still hold privacy/provider/incident/referral records.	Written scope of closure and systems searched.
OCI status	Two OCI references appear in the record.	A closure/referral in one lane may be treated as global.	Separate intake, evidence inventory, status, and disposition for each reference.
LAPD sufficiency	Reports were classified/closed or routed.	Key source/payment/HMIS/OCI records may not have been obtained.	RMS evidence log and supervisory review.
Unit registration	LAFLA reported unit was registered and LAHD letter was an error.	LAHD issued an unapproved-unit letter and later enforcement continued.	LAHD registration history and formal correction/rescission, if any.
COFO	2024 COFO exists for garage-to-ADU range address.	Exact leased interior and later attached/detached/address variants remain unresolved.	Approved plans, unit map, address assignment, inspection file.
UD effect	Stipulation resolved possession and specified terms.	Technical unit identity and broader agency records were not decided on its face.	Certified full file and transcript/minute order.
Reunification	Tennessee plans retained Return to Parent.	Later order transferred custody and stated alleged agreement.	Hearing recording, participation/consent records, complete docket.

VI. Property Identity Matrix (Exhibit T)

Source	Address / identifier	What it establishes	What remains unresolved
Residential lease	1551 3/4 E. 106th St.	Contracted premises / possession address.	Identify physical entrance, floor area, utilities, and site location.
2024 COFO No. 252890	1551-1551 3/4 E. 106th St.	Garage portion converted to ADU; broader range address.	Approved plans and address assignment.
LADBS permit portal	1551; 1551 3/4; 1551-1551 3/4; 1551-1551 1/2	Multiple project/address variants.	Database history and permit-to-structure mapping.
LAHD Aug. 15 letter	1551 3/4	Described as unapproved/no valid COFO in LAHD records.	Formal correction/withdrawal and basis.
LADBS order / LAHD NTC	Parcel / 1551 E. 106th St.	Approved garage ADU distinguished from other unapproved work; property-wide enforcement.	Unit-specific inspection and photos.
Unlawful-detainer judgment	1551 3/4	Possession and lease-right disposition.	Court did not supply technical building map on selected pages.
Assessor/APN	6065-016-024	Parcel identity.	Does not distinguish interior units by itself.

VII. Record Custodian Matrix (Exhibit AD)

Agency/entity	Requested records	Likely custodian	Boundary / limitation
HUD CPD / FOIA / Privacy / FHEO / OIG	Funding review, referrals, federal FOIA/Privacy records, LAHSA/HMIS contacts, Blanco assignment.	HUD Los Angeles/Region IX, FOIA Office, component records officer, OIG.	No CPD funding does not eliminate custody of correspondence or referral records.
LAHSA / HMIS Lead / vendor	Ruth's Place enrollment, consent/ROI, privacy notice, audit/access/disclosure logs, tickets, Redd file.	LAHSA HMIS/Risk Management and participating-agency/vendor custodians.	Confidentiality and local HMIS policy may limit public disclosure but not necessarily first-party access.
JCOD	CFCI allocation, Amity contract administration, participant accounting, reimbursements, monitoring.	Avi Bernard/PIO, program and contract units.	Active CPRA search; final accounting pending.
Amity Foundation	Third-party administrator approvals, invoices, monitoring, CRCD submissions.	Amity contract/grants/compliance/records custodians.	Private entity records access depends on contract, CPRA agency possession/control, subpoena, or audit rights.
CRCD	Intake, consent, Formstack, PTP case notes, landlord file, payments, grievances, later use.	CRCD executive/program/IT/privacy/records custodians.	Sensitive participant and financial data requires protected handling.
DHCS	Referral, provider/beneficiary/privacy/incident/fraud records within DHCS systems.	Audits & Investigations, Privacy Office, Medi-Cal components.	Only records actually held or within DHCS jurisdiction.
Auditor-Controller / County Fraud OCI	Intakes 2025-23289 and 2026-23965, evidence inventories, referrals, closure.	OCI/Fraud Hotline/Auditor-Controller.	Investigatory exemptions may apply.
LAPD / PSB / IAD	RMS reports, evidence logs, detective/supervisor review, complaint file.	Commercial Crimes/assigned divisions, PSB/IAD, Records.	Law-enforcement and personnel confidentiality applies.
LAHD	Registration, SO311576, Case 953784, inspection, NTC, relocation, correspondence.	LAHD Code Enforcement/RSO/records.	Must distinguish registration from building-code/COFO approval.
LADBS	Permits, COFO, plans, inspection, CEIS 1053480, A-6604739, address history.	LADBS plan check, inspection, code enforcement, records.	Technical unit mapping needed.
LA Superior Court	UD and family registers, orders, sealed files, transcripts.	Clerk/Records, courtroom reporter/recording custodian.	Court access/sealing rules apply.
Hamilton County Juvenile Court Clerk	Dockets, recording, remote logs, notices, proposed orders, alleged consent record.	Juvenile Court Clerk and court administrator.	Tennessee confidentiality and court procedures apply.
CalVCB	Application A26-10253806, denial basis, agency verification, appeal file.	CalVCB claim/appeals custodian.	Program confidentiality and appeal deadlines apply.

VIII. Comprehensive Preservation and No-Record Protocol (Exhibit Z)

1. Preserve native emails, EML/MSG containers, full headers, attachments, forwarding history, delivery receipts, calendar entries, call notes, and correspondence-control logs.
2. Preserve HMIS/Clarity enrollment, exit, project, service, assessment, consent/ROI, privacy notice, user access, change history, export, disclosure, merge, deduplication, correction, restriction, and deletion logs.
3. Preserve Formstack and case-management submissions, version history, IP/timestamp/user fields, uploaded documents, webhook/export logs, and administrator audit records.
4. Preserve CFCI/Amity/CRCD contract, amendment, invoice, reimbursement, payment, approval, monitoring, data submission, questioned cost, audit, corrective action, complaint, and closeout records.
5. Preserve landlord onboarding, lease, verification, property search, inspection/COFO review, W-9/ACH routing, payee validation, rent ledger, communication, and payment trace. Broad copies should redact account and taxpayer numbers.
6. Preserve LAHD/LADBS permit, COFO, approved plans, inspection photographs, code-enforcement notes, address assignment/history, registration, correction/withdrawal, and referral records.
7. Preserve OCI/LAPD intake, evidence receipt, cross-reference, routing, classification, closure, supervisory review, complaint, and referral records.
8. Preserve Tennessee and California court audio, transcript, remote-participation logs, notice/service, appearance, proposed-order, docket, filing, and access records, subject to court confidentiality rules.
9. Issue written hold notices to contractors, subrecipients, vendors, former employees, and third-party administrators when records are within agency control or required by contract.

Minimum content of a defensible no-record response

- Name/title of custodian or declarant.
- Systems, mailboxes, drives, archives, databases, vendors, contractors, and paper locations searched.
- Date ranges and exact identifiers/search terms used.
- Whether deleted, archived, offboarded, or backup records were considered.
- Applicable retention schedule and any documented disposal date/authorization.
- Whether another custodian or agency was identified, with contact and transfer date.
- Whether any responsive record was withheld, and the legal basis/category of withholding.
- Whether the response is final or interim and the next response date.

IX. Legal Authority Compendium (Exhibit AF)

IMPORTANT: Authorities below are issue-spotting tools. Criminal statutes are not allegations. Applicability requires jurisdiction, admissible evidence, and proof of every element by an authorized court or agency.

1. Federal - 5 U.S.C. § 552 (FOIA)

Rule / relevance. Requires federal agencies to process requests for existing agency records and permits exemptions. Relevant to HUD search, referral, and response.

Element or jurisdiction limitation. Applies only to federal agency records; does not compel creation of a record or direct production from County/nonprofit entities.

Official/current source: https://uscode.house.gov/view.xhtml?req=granuleid:USC-prelim-title5-section552

2. Federal - 5 U.S.C. § 552a (Privacy Act)

Rule / relevance. Provides access/amendment and disclosure-accounting rights for qualifying records about an individual in a federal system of records.

Element or jurisdiction limitation. Applies only if the HUD record is maintained in a covered system and retrieved by an identifier; exemptions may apply.

Official/current source: https://uscode.house.gov/view.xhtml?req=granuleid:USC-prelim-title5-section552a

3. Federal - 24 C.F.R. Part 15

Rule / relevance. HUD FOIA and Privacy Act procedures, including component routing and request processing.

Element or jurisdiction limitation. Procedural; does not establish HUD program jurisdiction over PTP funding.

Official/current source: https://www.ecfr.gov/current/title-24/subtitle-A/part-15

4. Federal - 44 U.S.C. §§ 3101, 3301

Rule / relevance. Federal Records Act framework for creating/preserving adequate records and defining records.

Element or jurisdiction limitation. Does not create a broad private damages claim; used here for preservation/routing issue spotting.

Official/current source: https://uscode.house.gov/view.xhtml?path=/prelim@title44/chapter31

5. Federal - 24 C.F.R. § 578.103

Rule / relevance. CoC recipients/subrecipients must maintain records, confidentiality procedures, and generally retain CoC records for specified periods.

Element or jurisdiction limitation. Conditional: PTP was stated not to be HUD CPD funded. May remain relevant to LAHSA/HMIS records if maintained under a CoC/HMIS role.

Official/current source: https://www.ecfr.gov/current/title-24/subtitle-B/chapter-V/subchapter-C/part-578/subpart-G/section-578.103

6. Federal - 2 C.F.R. § 200.302

Rule / relevance. Federal award financial-management records must identify amount, source, expenditure, authorizations, obligations, and source documentation.

Element or jurisdiction limitation. Conditional on a federal award; not proof that CFCI/PTP was federally funded.

Official/current source: https://www.ecfr.gov/current/title-2/subtitle-A/chapter-II/part-200/subpart-D/section-200.302

7. Federal - 2 C.F.R. § 200.303

Rule / relevance. Requires effective internal controls for federal awards.

Element or jurisdiction limitation. Conditional on federal award applicability.

Official/current source: https://www.ecfr.gov/current/title-2/subtitle-A/chapter-II/part-200/subpart-D/section-200.303

8. Federal - 2 C.F.R. § 200.334

Rule / relevance. General three-year federal award record-retention rule, subject to extensions and exceptions.

Element or jurisdiction limitation. Conditional; other program rules or litigation/audit holds may require longer retention.

Official/current source: https://www.ecfr.gov/current/title-2/subtitle-A/chapter-II/part-200/subpart-D/subject-group-ECFR4acc10e7e3b676f/section-200.334

9. Federal - 2 C.F.R. § 200.337

Rule / relevance. Federal awarding agencies, pass-through entities, Inspectors General, and Comptroller General have access to recipient/subrecipient records.

Element or jurisdiction limitation. Does not automatically grant a private requester access; public access is separately limited.

Official/current source: https://www.ecfr.gov/current/title-2/subtitle-A/chapter-II/part-200/subpart-D/subject-group-ECFR4acc10e7e3b676f/section-200.337

10. Federal - 2 C.F.R. §§ 200.338-.339

Rule / relevance. Addresses public-access limits and remedies for noncompliance.

Element or jurisdiction limitation. Agency enforcement framework; not a private merits finding.

Official/current source: https://www.ecfr.gov/current/title-2/subtitle-A/chapter-II/part-200/subpart-D/subject-group-ECFR4acc10e7e3b676f

11. Federal - 42 C.F.R. §§ 431.300-.306

Rule / relevance. Safeguards Medicaid applicant/beneficiary information and limits disclosure to authorized purposes/recipients with safeguards.

Element or jurisdiction limitation. Relevant only to Medicaid agency records and qualifying beneficiary information.

Official/current source: https://www.ecfr.gov/current/title-42/chapter-IV/subchapter-C/part-431/subpart-F

12. Federal - 45 C.F.R. § 164.508

Rule / relevance. Sets requirements for HIPAA authorizations and identifies defective authorizations.

Element or jurisdiction limitation. Conditional on a covered entity/business associate and PHI; does not govern every HMIS or housing record.

Official/current source: https://www.ecfr.gov/current/title-45/subtitle-A/subchapter-C/part-164/subpart-E/section-164.508

13. Federal - 45 C.F.R. §§ 164.520, .524, .526, .528

Rule / relevance. Notice, access, amendment, and accounting-of-disclosures rights under HIPAA.

Element or jurisdiction limitation. Same conditional coverage limitation; specific exceptions/timeframes apply.

Official/current source: https://www.ecfr.gov/current/title-45/subtitle-A/subchapter-C/part-164/subpart-E

14. Federal - 31 U.S.C. § 3729 (False Claims Act)

Rule / relevance. Creates liability for knowingly false claims/statements material to federal payment and certain reverse false claims.

Element or jurisdiction limitation. Requires a federal claim/funds and scienter/materiality; this report makes no FCA finding.

Official/current source: https://uscode.house.gov/view.xhtml?req=granuleid:USC-prelim-title31-section3729

15. Federal - 18 U.S.C. § 1001

Rule / relevance. Criminalizes materially false statements/concealment in matters within federal jurisdiction, subject to elements and exceptions.

Element or jurisdiction limitation. Cited only as element-triggered issue spotting; no accusation.

Official/current source: https://uscode.house.gov/view.xhtml?req=granuleid:USC-prelim-title18-section1001

16. Federal - 18 U.S.C. § 1519

Rule / relevance. Addresses knowing destruction/falsification of records to obstruct federal matters or bankruptcy.

Element or jurisdiction limitation. Requires federal nexus and intent; preservation citation only, no allegation.

Official/current source: https://uscode.house.gov/view.xhtml?req=granuleid:USC-prelim-title18-section1519

17. California Constitution, art. I, § 1

Rule / relevance. Recognizes privacy as an inalienable right.

Element or jurisdiction limitation. Application depends on actor, reasonable expectation, serious invasion, and competing interests.

Official/current source: https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes_displayText.xhtml?lawCode=CONS&division=&title=&part=&chapter=&article=I

18. California Constitution, art. I, § 3(b)

Rule / relevance. Constitutional access principle for public meetings/records, subject to privacy and statutory exemptions.

Element or jurisdiction limitation. Does not override confidentiality statutes.

Official/current source: https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes_displayText.xhtml?lawCode=CONS&division=&title=&part=&chapter=&article=I

19. California Gov. Code § 7922.535

Rule / relevance. CPRA determination generally due within 10 days; unusual-circumstances written extension is limited to 14 days and must state reasons/expected date.

Element or jurisdiction limitation. Production timing is governed by prompt availability and practical circumstances; exemptions and consultations may apply.

Official/current source: https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes_displaySection.xhtml?lawCode=GOV&sectionNum=7922.535

20. California Gov. Code §§ 7922.500-.605

Rule / relevance. CPRA request procedures, assistance, determinations, and production framework.

Element or jurisdiction limitation. Confidentiality, privilege, investigatory, personnel, and other exemptions may apply.

Official/current source: https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes_displayText.xhtml?division=10.&chapter=1.&part=3.&lawCode=GOV&title=1.

21. California Gov. Code § 6200

Rule / relevance. Criminal provision for a public officer who willfully steals, removes, secretes, destroys, defaces, alters, or falsifies public records in custody.

Element or jurisdiction limitation. Requires officer status, willfulness, and prohibited conduct; no violation is alleged here.

Official/current source: https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes_displaySection.xhtml?lawCode=GOV&sectionNum=6200

22. California Penal Code § 135

Rule / relevance. Addresses willful destruction/erasure/concealment of evidence known to be about to be produced, with intent to prevent production.

Element or jurisdiction limitation. Requires knowledge and specific intent; preservation issue spotting only.

Official/current source: https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes_displaySection.xhtml?lawCode=PEN&sectionNum=135

23. California Penal Code § 72

Rule / relevance. Addresses knowingly presenting a false or fraudulent claim to a public board/officer.

Element or jurisdiction limitation. Requires a false claim and knowledge; payment discrepancy alone is insufficient.

Official/current source: https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes_displaySection.xhtml?lawCode=PEN&sectionNum=72

24. California Penal Code § 115

Rule / relevance. Addresses knowingly offering a false/forged instrument for filing/recording in a public office.

Element or jurisdiction limitation. Requires a qualifying instrument, falsity/forgery, knowledge, and filing/recording. No finding here.

Official/current source: https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes_displaySection.xhtml?lawCode=PEN&sectionNum=115

25. California Penal Code § 118

Rule / relevance. Perjury requires a knowingly false material statement under oath in a legally permitted context.

Element or jurisdiction limitation. Inconsistency or error is not perjury; materiality, oath, knowledge, and proof are required.

Official/current source: https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes_displaySection.xhtml?lawCode=PEN&sectionNum=118

26. California Penal Code § 141

Rule / relevance. Addresses specified evidence tampering/planting conduct.

Element or jurisdiction limitation. Not a general records-dispute statute; cited only if its precise elements are supported.

Official/current source: https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes_displaySection.xhtml?lawCode=PEN&sectionNum=141

27. California Penal Code § 424

Rule / relevance. Addresses specified misappropriation/misuse of public money by entrusted officers/persons.

Element or jurisdiction limitation. Requires custody/control and statutorily defined conduct; no conclusion from contract irregularity alone.

Official/current source: https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes_displaySection.xhtml?lawCode=PEN&sectionNum=424

28. California Penal Code § 470

Rule / relevance. Forgery provisions concerning specified false making/alteration/signatures with fraudulent intent.

Element or jurisdiction limitation. Requires proof of falsity and intent; disputed paperwork alone is insufficient.

Official/current source: https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes_displaySection.xhtml?lawCode=PEN&sectionNum=470

29. California Penal Code §§ 832.5, 832.7

Rule / relevance. Peace-officer complaint procedures/retention and confidentiality/disclosure framework.

Element or jurisdiction limitation. Does not guarantee public access to all complaint records; statutory exceptions control.

Official/current source: https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes_displayText.xhtml?division=&chapter=1.&part=2.&lawCode=PEN&title=3.

30. California Gov. Code § 1090

Rule / relevance. Prohibits specified public officers/employees from being financially interested in contracts they make in official capacity.

Element or jurisdiction limitation. Requires covered person, contract-making participation, financial interest, and no applicable exception. No finding here.

Official/current source: https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes_displaySection.xhtml?lawCode=GOV&sectionNum=1090

31. California Gov. Code § 87100

Rule / relevance. Bars a public official from making/participating in/influencing a governmental decision in which the official knows or should know of a financial interest.

Element or jurisdiction limitation. Requires identified official, decision, participation, financial interest, and materiality under FPPC rules.

Official/current source: https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes_displaySection.xhtml?lawCode=GOV&sectionNum=87100

32. California Gov. Code § 84308

Rule / relevance. Pay-to-play contribution restrictions/disclosure/recusal for specified proceedings and officials.

Element or jurisdiction limitation. Requires a covered proceeding, party/participant, contribution threshold/timing, and official involvement.

Official/current source: https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes_displaySection.xhtml?lawCode=GOV&sectionNum=84308

33. California Gov. Code §§ 12650 et seq.

Rule / relevance. California False Claims Act addresses knowingly false claims to state/local governments and related conduct.

Element or jurisdiction limitation. Requires a covered claim, falsity, scienter, and materiality; no CFCA finding here.

Official/current source: https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes_displaySection.xhtml?lawCode=GOV&sectionNum=12650

34. California Civil Code § 1941.1

Rule / relevance. Defines affirmative habitability characteristics and links untenantability to specified Health and Safety Code conditions.

Element or jurisdiction limitation. Fact-specific; does not by itself resolve permit/COFO identity or all tenant remedies.

Official/current source: https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes_displaySection.xhtml?lawCode=CIV&sectionNum=1941.1

35. California Civil Code § 1942.4

Rule / relevance. Restricts rent collection/demand under specified serious conditions after notice and agency citation/order, subject to statutory elements.

Element or jurisdiction limitation. Requires each statutory condition; an unapproved-unit letter alone may not satisfy all elements.

Official/current source: https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes_displaySection.xhtml?lawCode=CIV&sectionNum=1942.4

36. California Civil Code § 1942.5

Rule / relevance. Prohibits specified retaliatory acts against tenants for protected conduct.

Element or jurisdiction limitation. Requires protected activity, adverse conduct, timing, and defenses; no retaliation finding here.

Official/current source: https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes_displaySection.xhtml?lawCode=CIV&sectionNum=1942.5

37. California Civil Code § 1950.5

Rule / relevance. Governs residential security deposits, deductions, accounting, and return.

Element or jurisdiction limitation. Stipulated judgment terms and statutory rights must be read together.

Official/current source: https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes_displaySection.xhtml?lawCode=CIV&sectionNum=1950.5

38. California Health & Safety Code § 17920.3

Rule / relevance. Defines specified substandard building conditions.

Element or jurisdiction limitation. Agency findings and exact physical-unit mapping are necessary.

Official/current source: https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes_displaySection.xhtml?lawCode=HSC&sectionNum=17920.3

39. California Health & Safety Code §§ 17980.6-.7

Rule / relevance. Enforcement/remedy provisions for substandard buildings and orders.

Element or jurisdiction limitation. Procedural prerequisites and agency/court determinations control.

Official/current source: https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes_displayText.xhtml?division=13.&chapter=5.&part=1.5.&lawCode=HSC

40. California Code Civ. Proc. § 1161

Rule / relevance. Defines specified unlawful-detainer grounds and notices.

Element or jurisdiction limitation. The filed complaint, notice, stipulation, and case record control the actual theory.

Official/current source: https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes_displaySection.xhtml?lawCode=CCP&sectionNum=1161

41. California Code Civ. Proc. § 1161.2

Rule / relevance. Addresses access/sealing of unlawful-detainer records in specified circumstances.

Element or jurisdiction limitation. The court's specific sealing order and statutory conditions control.

Official/current source: https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes_displaySection.xhtml?lawCode=CCP&sectionNum=1161.2

42. California Code Civ. Proc. § 664.6

Rule / relevance. Allows a court to enter judgment pursuant to qualifying written/oral settlement stipulations.

Element or jurisdiction limitation. Scope is governed by the agreement and court order; collateral questions may remain.

Official/current source: https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes_displaySection.xhtml?lawCode=CCP&sectionNum=664.6

43. California Evidence Code §§ 1271, 1560

Rule / relevance. Business-record exception and subpoenaed business-record procedures.

Element or jurisdiction limitation. Foundation/authentication requirements apply; inclusion in a binder alone does not make a record admissible.

Official/current source: https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes_displayText.xhtml?division=10.&chapter=1.&part=2.&lawCode=EVID

44. California Family Code §§ 3011, 3020

Rule / relevance. Best-interest factors and policy regarding children's health/safety/welfare and parent-child contact.

Element or jurisdiction limitation. The family court controls application; no single housing fact is dispositive.

Official/current source: https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes_displayText.xhtml?division=8.&chapter=1.&part=2.&lawCode=FAM

45. California Family Code §§ 3150-3151 / Cal. Rules of Court 5.242

Rule / relevance. Appointment and duties of minor's counsel, including investigation and record access under governing law/rule.

Element or jurisdiction limitation. Confidentiality and scope of appointment apply.

Official/current source: https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes_displayText.xhtml?division=8.&chapter=10.&part=2.&lawCode=FAM

46. California UCCJEA, Fam. Code §§ 3400 et seq.

Rule / relevance. Interstate child-custody jurisdiction, registration, communication, and enforcement framework.

Element or jurisdiction limitation. Does not itself decide the underlying consent dispute; court orders remain operative unless changed.

Official/current source: https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes_displayText.xhtml?division=8.&chapter=1.&part=3.&lawCode=FAM

47. California Welf. & Inst. Code § 827

Rule / relevance. Confidentiality/access framework for juvenile case files.

Element or jurisdiction limitation. Requires authorized access/court order depending on requester and record.

Official/current source: https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes_displaySection.xhtml?lawCode=WIC&sectionNum=827

48. Los Angeles Municipal Code provisions cited in LAHD/LADBS orders

Rule / relevance. Agency notices cite LAMC building, occupancy, permit, habitability, and enforcement provisions, including §§ 91.109.1, 91.8105, 161.702, 161.354 and related sections.

Element or jurisdiction limitation. Use the actual notice and current code; parcel-wide citations do not automatically identify the exact leased interior.

Official/current source: https://codelibrary.amlegal.com/codes/los_angeles/latest/lamc/0-0-0-1

49. CalVCB - Gov. Code §§ 13955, 13957, 13959

Rule / relevance. Qualifying crime/compensable losses and appeal/hearing framework.

Element or jurisdiction limitation. Eligibility is program-specific; appeal must be timely and supported.

Official/current source: https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes_displayText.xhtml?division=3.&chapter=5.&part=4.&lawCode=GOV

50. Tennessee Code Ann. § 37-1-153

Rule / relevance. Confidentiality/access framework for juvenile records, including access for parties/counsel under specified conditions.

Element or jurisdiction limitation. Tennessee court procedures and confidentiality orders control.

Official/current source: https://law.justia.com/codes/tennessee/title-37/chapter-1/part-1/section-37-1-153/

51. Tennessee Juvenile Rule 115

Rule / relevance. Requires creation/maintenance of a record of juvenile hearings under the rule.

Element or jurisdiction limitation. Retention/access procedures and availability of a specific recording must be confirmed by the court/clerk.

Official/current source: https://www.tncourts.gov/courts/juvenile-family-courts/rules/juvenile-family-courts-rules/rule-115-recording

52. Tennessee Code Ann. §§ 39-16-503, -504

Rule / relevance. Evidence tampering and governmental-record offenses with knowledge/intent elements.

Element or jurisdiction limitation. Preservation issue spotting only; no accusation.

Official/current source: https://law.justia.com/codes/tennessee/title-39/chapter-16/part-5/

53. ICPC and Regulation No. 7

Rule / relevance. Interstate placement/priority processing framework used in child-placement records.

Element or jurisdiction limitation. Exact applicability depends on placement type and compact-administrator records.

Official/current source: https://aphsa.org/AAICPC/ICPC_Regulations.aspx

X. Preliminary Audit Findings and Response Checklist

Finding	Controlled statement
F-1	The record establishes a one-day April 27-28, 2023 CRCD/Ruth's Place HMIS source anchor, but the complete consent/ROI/privacy and audit-log package is not present in the reviewed materials.
F-2	The record establishes a County-funded CFCI/JCOD/Amity/CRCD rental-assistance lane and contract identifier, but not a complete participant-level month-by-month accounting.
F-3	HUD's written no-CPD-funding statement and the later reported Blanco referral address different questions; the native referral record remains a priority.
F-4	LAHSA funding classification, DHCS referral, OCI intake/closure, LAPD classification, and CPRA status are lane-specific and should not be treated as global merits determinations.
F-5	A 2024 garage-to-ADU COFO exists, while the exact mapping between approved plans and the leased premises remains unresolved due to address variants, later permits, and conflicting LAHD/LAFLA positions.
F-6	The UD stipulation documents possession and displacement consequences but does not, on its selected face, resolve the technical unit-identity record.
F-7	Tennessee permanency plans and California visitation orders make housing/record stability materially relevant to continuing reunification, while courts retain exclusive authority over custody findings.
F-8	The present record supports preservation, production, audit, conflict screening, and correction requests; it does not presently prove a crime, intentional falsification, prohibited conflict, or coordinated conspiracy.

Requested agency response format

10. Receipt date, office/unit, assigned person, and reference number.
11. Issue(s) accepted and issue(s) outside jurisdiction.
12. Systems, custodians, contractors, and date ranges searched.
13. Records located, produced, withheld, missing, never created, or disposed.
14. Referral date, recipient, transmitted attachments, and whether the originating office retained a copy.
15. Precise scope of any closure or no-jurisdiction determination.
16. Preservation/hold confirmation and retention schedule.
17. Next action and estimated response/production date.

Source and Certification Note

This report was generated from the indexed 11,658-page corpus, the selected source exhibits appended to the final PDF, and additional July 8-9 records. The author should review every exhibit, correct any name/date/address error, remove privileged or sealed material, and obtain legal advice before court filing. The source documents control over this analytical report.

Prepared for record-control use by: Kyle Robert Haab

Signature: _____ Date: _____

EXHIBIT B

SOURCE/DESCRIPTION

Selected Ruth's Place source-chain pages and redacted HMIS summary.

FACTUAL PROPOSITION / RELEVANCE

Anchors the earliest identifiable CRCD HMIS project and the source-consent question.

EVIDENTIARY LIMITATION

The redacted summary proves that a record was identified; it does not by itself prove consent validity or unauthorized use.

Controlled audit exhibit - not an accusation, adjudication, or finding of criminal or civil liability.

LAHSA Nexus Packet

CRCD / Ruth's Place Source-Record Chain and OCI / LAPD Cross-Reliance

Prepared for	Kyle Robert Haab
Date prepared	May 18, 2026
Purpose	Source-record and reliance-chain packet for LAHSA/HMIS, OCI/County Fraud, and LAPD PSB/IAD review.
Core theory	HMIS/LAHSA is downstream. The origin is CRCD's 2023 Ruth's Place source record and later 2024 Project Tipp

Use note: This packet is framed as a record-chain and cross-reference exhibit. It does not ask LAPD, LAHSA, or OCI to decide all housing, civil, family-law, or funding disputes. It asks each agency to identify what records it relied on, what records it excluded, and whether a narrow LAHSA funding-jurisdiction closure was improperly treated as a merits determination.

Redaction note: The Ruth's Place source spreadsheets contain DOB and SSN fields. This packet summarizes those records and intentionally omits the sensitive values. File the original spreadsheets only under an appropriate redaction, sealing, or controlled-record procedure.

I. Executive Summary

The current record should be framed as a source-record and reliance-chain problem, not as a standalone HMIS issue. The alleged HMIS/LAHSA issue is downstream from earlier CRCD contact and record creation. CRCD's Partner and Stakeholder Statement claims that the participant signed up through a CRCD program in 2023 and that this 2023 sign-up supplied the basis for all later communication. The Ruth's Place Day Shelter spreadsheets identify a CRCD Ruth's Place enrollment beginning April 27, 2023 and exiting April 28, 2023, with HMIS/program identifiers and sensitive personal data categories.

The unresolved question is whether a one-day Ruth's Place Day Shelter / HMIS-related enrollment lawfully and factually authorized later 2024 Project Tipping Point contact, enrollment, supportive services, rental assistance, landlord coordination, W-9/ACH payment handling, housing-stability records, household/family-status information, and downstream disclosures or reliance by LAHSA, OCI, LAPD, HUD/HMIS, partners, supporters, funders, landlords, or court-related systems.

This packet also addresses the LAHSA nexus. If LAHSA, through Christopher Redd and Christopher Williams, closed or referred the matter on the narrow ground that Project Tipping Point was not LAHSA-funded, that narrow funding-jurisdiction position cannot be used as a merits finding about CRCD's 2023/2024 source records, consent/ROI authority, landlord-payment documentation, or identity-theft / falsified-record allegations.

II. Core Chain

#	Link	What it means
1	Ruth's Place / CRCD 2023 source record	One-day Ruth's Place Day Shelter enrollment/exit appears in CRCD spreadsheet exports with HMIS/program identifiers and sensitive data categories.
2	CRCD Partner/Stakeholder defense	CRCD states a 2023 CRCD program sign-up supplied the basis for all subsequent communication and that engagement was documentation-based and consent-driven.
3	2024 Project Tipping Point activity	CRCD later identifies Project Tipping Point enrollment beginning June 13, 2024 and lists supportive services, including rent-related assistance.
4	Landlord/payment activity	CRCD apartment emails show CRCD connected the participant to the landlord and discussed the program/student rent payment structure.
5	LAHSA/HMIS ripple effect	Any HMIS/LAHSA issue is a downstream contamination or reliance issue if the original source record or consent/ROI authority was missing, invalid, overbroad, or repurposed.
6	OCI/LAPD cross-reliance	OCI and LAPD must clarify whether they relied on a limited LAHSA closure, and whether they reviewed or excluded CRCD, Ruth's Place, HMIS, W-9/ACH, and landlord-payment records.

III. Main Questions for Agencies

Agency/Lane	Required clarification
CRCD	Produce the 2023 Ruth's Place sign-up/intake/enrollment, HMIS/non-HMIS consent, ROI, privacy notice, referral, enrollment/exit, service history, audit/access logs, and any record converting Ruth's Place contact into 2024 Project Tipping Point activity.
LAHSA/HMIS	Clarify whether any LAHSA/HMIS review included consent/ROI source records, audit logs, disclosure logs, Freshservice/Risk Management records, and communications with OCI, CRCD, Project Tipping Point, HUD/HMIS, or law enforcement.
OCI / County Fraud	Confirm whether OCI treated LAHSA's closure as a funding-jurisdiction note or as a merits disposition; identify the records reviewed for 2025-23289 and 2026_23965.
LAPD PSB / IAD	Confirm whether LAPD obtained or reviewed LAHSA/Redd/Williams, OCI, HMIS/Freshservice, CRCD/PTP, W-9/ACH, and landlord-payment records before determining insufficiency or closure for C259045687 and C269013241.

IV. Precise Reliance Issue

Do not overstate the LAHSA point. The strongest use of the Williams/Redd/LAHSA issue is not that LAHSA proved misconduct. It is that a limited funding-jurisdiction closure cannot be used as a merits clearance. If LAHSA only determined that Project Tipping Point was not LAHSA-funded, then that finding does not resolve whether the earlier CRCD/Ruth's Place source record was valid, whether consent/ROI authority existed, whether records were repurposed, or whether later landlord/payment records were valid.

Clean formulation: LAHSA's closure cannot be treated as a merits finding because the actual unresolved issue begins earlier: CRCD's 2023 Ruth's Place source-record authority and the downstream 2024 Project Tipping Point, rental-assistance, landlord-payment, W-9/ACH, HMIS/LAHSA, OCI, and LAPD reliance chain.

V. Exhibit Index

Exhibit	Document	Use
A	CRCD Partner and Stakeholder Statement	Direct CRCD factual position: 2023 sign-up, consent-driven engagement, internal investigation claim, PTP rent-support description, and lease-party denial.
B	Ruth's Place Day Shelter Spreadsheet Excerpts - Redacted Summary	Shows the apparent 2023 CRCD/Ruth's Place source record and sensitive HMIS/program data categories without reproducing DOB/SSN values.
C	CRCD Email - Records / Enrollment / Grievance Response	Shows CRCD later identified PTP enrollment on June 13, 2024 and listed supportive services including rent-related assistance.
D	CRCD Apartment / Landlord Coordination Email Chain	Shows CRCD connected the participant to the landlord and discussed program/student rent payment structure.
E	LAPD PSB Personnel Complaint Email	Shows current LAPD PSB review request and cross-reference list including LAHSA/HMIS Freshservice 260627, OCI 2025-23289, 2026_23965, and C259045687/C269013241.
F	County Administrative Investigation Guidelines / OCI Reference	Supports OCI lane for employee, contractor, vendor misconduct, fraud, and misuse of resources or technology.
G	LAHD Unapproved Unit Letter	Shows official housing-enforcement context for the downstream landlord/housing-payment records.
H	LADBS Order to Comply	Shows building/use violation and no valid Certificate of Occupancy context for the unit.

EXHIBIT B

Ruth's Place Day Shelter Spreadsheet Excerpts - Redacted Summary

Redacted summary of the apparent 2023 CRCD/Ruth's Place source record. Sensitive DOB/SSN values are not reproduced.

Exhibit B - Redacted Summary

The original ENT and EXT spreadsheets are not appended because they contain sensitive identifiers, including DOB and SSN fields. This exhibit summarizes the non-sensitive fields necessary to show the record-chain issue.

Field	Redacted / summarized value
Source file	ENT-Ruths Place Day Shelter(1504).xlsx / EXT-Ruths Place Day Shelter(1504).xlsx
Agency / program	Coalition for Responsible Community Development - Ruths Place Day Shelter
Staff created / assigned	Asusena Montes (assigned staff shown inactive in exported record)
Enrollment start	04/27/2023
Enrollment exit	04/28/2023
Duration issue	One-day Ruth's Place day-shelter enrollment/exit appears to be the 2023 CRCD source record now relied on as later-contact authority.
HMIS/program flags shown	CA-600 Program; ES, SH, or TH Program; TAY Youth; Adult/Head of Household; PH conditions flag in exit file.
Identifiers shown	Unique ID, Personal ID, and Household ID are present in the source spreadsheets. Values are redacted/truncated in this packet.
Sensitive identifiers	DOB and SSN fields are present in the source spreadsheets and are intentionally not reproduced here.
Data categories shown	Prior residence/living situation, disability, employment, income, insurance, school status, foster-care history, juvenile-justice history, and related demographic fields.

Exhibit B - Use in Reliance Chain

This redacted summary supports the narrow point that the 2023 Ruth's Place record appears to be the source record behind CRCD's later statement that a 2023 CRCD program sign-up authorized all subsequent communication. It does not prove every later allegation by itself. It identifies the missing source records that must be produced and reviewed: intake/sign-up, HMIS and non-HMIS consent, ROI, privacy notice, referral, entry/exit, service history, audit/access logs, and records converting Ruth's Place contact into Project Tipping Point contact or services.

EXHIBIT C

SOURCE/DESCRIPTION
Targeted source-record, child-awareness, and later-use demand.

FACTUAL PROPOSITION / RELEVANCE
Defines the exact records needed to test authorization, scope, duration, access, disclosure, and correction.

EVIDENTIARY LIMITATION
Primarily a request and issue list; absent source records remain unproven.

Controlled audit exhibit - not an accusation, adjudication, or finding of criminal or civil liability.

ATTACHMENT 3 TO BUSINESS RECORDS SUBPOENA

Limited Request for 2023 Consent / ROI / Authorization Source Records

Coalition for Responsible Community Development / Project Tipping Point / Ruth's Place / HMIS-Clarity

Court Case:	25CMFL00694
Filing Party:	Kyle Robert Haab, self-represented Petitioner
Entity / Custodian:	Coalition for Responsible Community Development / Project Tipping Point / CRCD records custodian
Document Type:	Attachment to Business Records Subpoena / Limited Consent-ROI Source Record Request
Scope:	Missing 2023 consent / ROI / release / privacy notice / HMIS authorization / participant agreement / custodian declaration

Court-Ready Exhibit Control Note

This court-ready version keeps the subpoena narrow and uses only clear, relevant exhibits. Low-value mobile-preview screenshots and eFile workflow screenshots are omitted. No redactions were applied because redaction was not requested.

1. Limited Purpose

This subpoena attachment is limited. Petitioner is not seeking duplicate production of downstream records already possessed. Petitioner seeks the missing source record showing the consent, release, ROI, HMIS authorization, privacy notice, participant agreement, or other written authorization CRCD contends allowed later 2024-2026 use of Petitioner's personal information, child-related information, family-status information, housing records, landlord-verification records, lease records, ACH records, W-9 records, rental-assistance records, Project Tipping Point records, HMIS / Clarity records, and interagency disclosures.

The central question is:

What written source record authorized CRCD or its agents to rely on a 2023 CRCD / Ruth's Place / HMIS / Clarity contact for later 2024-2026 Project Tipping Point, housing-assistance, landlord-verification, lease, ACH, W-9, family-status, child-related, interagency, and court-impacting records?

2. Family-Law Relevance

The requested records are relevant to this family-law case because the Court is being asked to address visitation, family stability, housing-stability consequences, ICPC / UCCJEA source-record coordination, and whether current instability should be treated as voluntary parental instability when the underlying consent authority and source records remain disputed.

Without the requested 2023 consent, release, ROI, HMIS authorization, privacy notice, or participant agreement, this matter should not be treated as a routine private family-law dispute in which housing instability, service-routing failures, lack of stable family placement, or disrupted visitation are presumed to be voluntary parental conduct.

The source-record issue goes to whether a third-party provider collected, used, classified, transmitted, or relied on child-related and family-status information without clear written authority during a reunification, ICPC, housing-stability, and interagency-records context.

Until CRCD produces the consent and authorization basis it relied upon, the Court cannot fairly weigh downstream housing instability, household classification, records use, or service-routing consequences against Petitioner as if those facts were clean, independent, or undisputed.

3. Criminal, Civil, Administrative, and Oversight Relevance

The same consent record is relevant to related criminal, civil, administrative, and oversight matters because the threshold question is the same across those lanes: what written authority, if any, allowed CRCD or its agents to collect, use, transmit, classify, or rely on Petitioner's personal information, child-related information, family-status information, housing records, lease / W-9 / ACH records, rental-assistance records, HMIS / Clarity records, and interagency disclosures.

This subpoena does not ask the family court to decide criminal liability, civil liability, agency jurisdiction, fraud, identity theft, privacy violations, or program-compliance merits. It asks CRCD to produce the source authorization record so the same factual chain is not treated as consent-based in one forum, unauthorized in another forum, and unresolved in the family-law case.

Other case numbers, inquiry numbers, complaint references, and agency identifiers are included only as locator terms, preservation terms, and relevance anchors. They are not separate claims for relief in this subpoena.

4. Known Downstream Records Showing Why the Missing Consent Record Matters

A. HMIS / LAHSA Client Case Notes History

The HMIS / LAHSA Client Case Notes History identifies HMIS ID 8F8CD9D8B and shows a CRCD / Ruth's Place Day Shelter enrollment on April 27, 2023 and exit on April 28, 2023. That record is relevant because CRCD appears to rely on a 2023 CRCD /

Ruth's Place / HMIS contact as the basis for later communication. This subpoena therefore seeks the actual 2023 consent, ROI, privacy notice, sharing authorization, HMIS / Clarity authorization, disclosure log, and access log tied to that one-day 2023 CRCD / Ruth's Place record.

The same HMIS / LAHSA Client Case Notes History also includes later family/housing notes stating that Petitioner disclosed having children who were not in his custody and that the absence of children in his custody affected family-based housing eligibility. This is relevant because later family-status, household-composition, and child-related records affected housing and family-stability consequences.

B. Los Angeles County Housing Assistance Programs Universal Application

The Los Angeles County Housing Assistance Programs Universal Application is relevant because it identifies required documentation and signature requirements for housing assistance, including applicant information, household and child documentation, request forms, demographic/family-type fields, rental-assistance agreement language, and landlord verification requirements.

Those materials show that housing-assistance records are not merely informal communications. They require specific forms, documentation, signatures, program classification, applicant / case-manager / program-manager involvement, and landlord verification. This subpoena therefore seeks any complete application package, signed authorization, consent, ROI, privacy notice, participant agreement, or custodian declaration showing whether CRCD had authority to use Petitioner's information and child/family-status information in connection with any housing-assistance workflow.

C. 1551 E. 106th Street / Lease, Landlord Verification, ACH, and W-9 Packet

The 1551 E. 106th Street packet includes a residential lease agreement, County-style landlord verification form, ACH authorization material, and W-9 documents connected to the landlord / payment / rental-assistance workflow.

These downstream records are relevant because Petitioner already possesses many records showing later housing, landlord, payment, and rental-assistance activity. The missing record is the upstream consent, ROI, release, privacy notice, HMIS authorization, participant agreement, or other written authorization that CRCD contends allowed later creation, use, transmission, classification, or reliance on those downstream records.

If CRCD contends that the 2023 Ruth's Place / HMIS / CRCD contact authorized the later 2024-2026 housing, landlord, payment, Project Tipping Point, family-status, and child-related chain, CRCD must produce the exact source record showing that scope, duration, covered program, covered data categories, covered agencies, revocation terms, and child-related authority.

The attached ACH, landlord-verification, W-9, and lease images are incorporated as downstream examples. They are not offered to broaden this subpoena beyond consent. They show why CRCD must identify and produce the upstream source record authorizing use of personal information, landlord/payment documents, housing-assistance records, and related family-status information.

D. CRCD Project Tipping Point Enrollment / Supportive Services Response

CRCD's own written response states that Petitioner was enrolled in Project Tipping Point beginning June 13, 2024; identifies Project Tipping Point as supporting current and former foster youth at the post-secondary level; identifies rental assistance, security deposit, furniture assistance, student fees, supplies, and gift cards; and states that Project Tipping Point is supported through private foundations.

That response is relevant because it confirms a later Project Tipping Point service and payment chain after the alleged 2023 CRCD / Ruth's Place / HMIS contact. This subpoena seeks the consent / ROI / authorization record CRCD relied on to connect the 2023 contact to the later 2024-2026 Project Tipping Point enrollment, rental assistance, supportive services, records use, and disclosure chain.

E. CRCD Landlord Connection / Apartment Thread

The CRCD apartment thread shows a CRCD Rental Assistance Supervisor contacting a landlord about an apartment for Petitioner and Crystal, referring to a couple with a young child needing an apartment as soon as possible, discussing what the program/student could pay, and connecting Petitioner to the landlord. This is relevant because it is direct downstream evidence of CRCD involvement in

housing, landlord outreach, rental assistance, and child/family-status information.

This subpoena does not seek to re-produce that entire thread. It seeks the upstream written consent, ROI, release, privacy notice, HMIS authorization, participant agreement, or other source record authorizing CRCD to use child-related, household, family-status, landlord, rental-assistance, and housing-placement information in that chain.

F. LAHD / LADBS Housing Stability Records

LAHD and LADBS records concerning the 1551 E. 106th Street unit are relevant because they show later housing-stability consequences connected to the unit used in the downstream housing-assistance chain. These records help explain why the missing consent / authorization basis is material to family stability, housing stability, and visitation consequences. This subpoena does not ask CRCD to decide housing-code liability; it asks CRCD to produce the source authorization record underlying the records-use chain leading to that placement and related support.

5. Documents Requested

Please produce all documents, electronically stored information, records, logs, notes, forms, screenshots, metadata, audit trails, attachments, emails, texts, internal messages, case notes, system entries, and communications sufficient to show the following:

A. 2023 Consent / Opt-In / ROI Basis

1. Any 2023 CRCD, Ruth's Place, HMIS, Clarity, referral, intake, opt-in, outreach, privacy notice, release of information, participant agreement, service authorization, or consent record that CRCD contends authorized later communication with Kyle Robert Haab.

2. All records showing the scope, duration, program covered, agencies covered, data categories covered, revocation process, expiration date, and limitations of any 2023 consent, release, authorization, HMIS ROI, privacy notice, or participant agreement.

3. All records explaining how a 2023 CRCD / Ruth's Place / HMIS / Clarity contact was used as authority for later 2024-2026 Project Tipping Point outreach, eligibility review, document collection, landlord contact, housing support, education / workforce activity, or third-party communication.

B. Child-Related Consent and Family-Status Authority

4. Any record showing CRCD had authority to collect, receive, store, use, classify, disclose, transmit, or rely on information concerning Kyle Robert Haab's children.

5. All records showing when CRCD first learned that Kyle Robert Haab had children, who provided that information, how it was recorded, and what CRCD used it for.

6. All records showing whether Kyle Robert Haab was classified as an individual participant, couple, household with children, family household, reunification parent, former foster youth parent, or any other family or housing category.

7. All records showing whether CRCD knew, verified, discussed, or recorded that Kyle Robert Haab's children were in Tennessee DCS custody, placed with a grandparent, subject to ICPC, part of a reunification / permanency plan, or involved in any Tennessee or California family-law, dependency, or placement process.

8. All records showing whether child-related information or household composition was used in landlord outreach, housing placement, unit suitability, rent assistance, supportive services, or family-status classification.

C. HMIS / Clarity / Ruth's Place Consent Trail

9. All HMIS / Clarity records tied to HMIS ID 8F8CD9D8B sufficient to show the April 2023 Ruth's Place enrollment, exit, privacy notice, ROI, sharing authorization, referral, service note, case note, restriction, correction, disclosure history, and access / audit logs.

10. All records showing whether any 2023 Ruth's Place / HMIS / Clarity record was relied upon for later 2024-2026 Project Tipping Point communication, outreach, intake, enrollment, housing, rental assistance, or records use.

11. All records showing whether any 2023 HMIS / Clarity / Ruth's Place consent or ROI authorized disclosure to Project Tipping Point, CRCD workforce staff, Amity Foundation, JCOD, City LWDA / EWDD, LAHSA, DHCS, DPSS, DMH, DCFS, Tennessee DCS, landlord, law enforcement, or any third party.

D. Project Tipping Point / Participant File Limited to Consent and Authorization

12. The portions of the Project Tipping Point file for Kyle Robert Haab, participant ID 1233369910, that identify consent, ROI, privacy notice, participant agreement, authorization, disclosure authority, complaint/grievance rights, participant rights, child/family status, household classification, or staff reliance on a prior 2023 contact.

13. All Formstack submissions, intake forms, timestamps, IP address records, metadata, staff edits, system logs, upload logs, attachment logs, or audit records sufficient to show who entered, reviewed, modified, approved, exported, or transmitted information about Kyle Robert Haab, Crystal Lynn Izarraraz, their household, or their children.

14. All records showing the basis for CRCD's statement that Petitioner was enrolled in Project Tipping Point beginning June 13, 2024, including any intake, referral, eligibility, participant agreement, privacy notice, ROI, consent, release, enrollment form, or authorization tied to that enrollment.

15. All records showing the consent or authorization basis for CRCD's later supportive-service and rental-assistance records, including security deposit, rent

payments, furniture assistance, school fees, supplies, gift cards, or other supportive services.

E. Housing Assistance / Landlord / Payment Authorization

16. Any complete housing-assistance application package, rental-assistance agreement, landlord verification form, applicant authorization, case-manager certification, program-manager approval, participant agreement, release, ROI, privacy notice, or source document CRCD relied on in connection with housing assistance, landlord verification, rental assistance, lease, ACH, W-9, or payment processing.

17. All records showing who authorized use of Petitioner's information in connection with 1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4, Los Angeles, CA 90002, including landlord outreach, landlord referral, unit sourcing, lease, rental application, W-9, ACH, landlord verification, rent ledger, payment approval, payment request, payment confirmation, denial, delay, cutoff, and phase-out records.

18. All records showing whether CRCD relied on any child-related, household-composition, family-status, ICPC, reunification, or housing-stability information in connection with housing assistance, landlord verification, rental assistance, unit placement, or landlord communications.

19. All records showing the consent or authorization basis for CRCD communications with Christopher Cervantes, Jani Cervantes, Alexander Saltzman, Polet Lopez, Latea Davis, Amadi Maatafale, Chidumebi Ezenwoko, Jahrell / Jahrell Thomas, Kenta Estrada-Darley, or any CRCD representative concerning Petitioner, Crystal Lynn Izarraraz, their children, the unit, rent assistance, lease, W-9, ACH, landlord verification, or family/household status.

F. Funding Source / Reporting / Private Foundation Claim

20. All records showing the consent, authorization, participant agreement, privacy notice, or ROI basis for any reporting, payment, invoicing, audit, funder communication, or grant documentation involving Petitioner's Project Tipping Point services, rental assistance, supportive services, or housing assistance.

21. All records identifying the funding source or payment authority for rental assistance, security deposit assistance, supportive services, housing support, or related payments, including private foundations, Amity Foundation, JCOD, CFCI, CFCI-PA3-056-2023, Program Area 3 / Youth Housing, County funding, City funding, or mixed funding sources.

22. All records supporting any CRCD statement that Project Tipping Point services provided to Petitioner were supported only by private foundations, including the consent

or authorization basis for sharing Petitioner's information with any such funder.

G. Interagency Sharing / Disclosure / Audit Trail

23. All records showing whether CRCD shared, received, discussed, or relied on records involving Kyle Robert Haab, Crystal Lynn Izarraraz, or their children with EWDD, City of Los Angeles LWDA, LAHSA / HMIS, Amity Foundation, JCOD, DHCS, DPSS, DMH, DCFS, Tennessee DCS, LAPD, County Fraud / OCI, LAHD, LADBS, LACDPH, HUD, DOL OIG, or any oversight agency.

24. All disclosure accounting records, audit logs, access logs, staff-access records, Formstack logs, upload logs, edit logs, export logs, metadata, and transmission records showing who accessed, edited, transmitted, or relied on child-related, family-status, housing, or Project Tipping Point records.

H. CRCD Partner / Stakeholder Statement and 2023 Consent Claim

25. All records supporting any CRCD statement that a 2023 CRCD sign-up, contact, intake, opt-in, or entry point supplied authority for later Project Tipping Point communications or records use.

26. All records supporting any CRCD statement that later communications were documentation-based, consent-driven, or authorized by Petitioner.

27. Records sufficient to identify the CRCD employee, department, attorney, contractor, funder, third-party administrator, or outside reviewer who verified the factual claims concerning 2023 consent, later communication authority, housing assistance, ADA accommodation, landlord involvement, or Project Tipping Point records before circulation.

I. Policies and Procedures

28. All policies, procedures, manuals, privacy notices, consent forms, HMIS / Clarity procedures, Project Tipping Point procedures, ADA / grievance procedures, participant-rights documents, data-sharing policies, child/family-record policies, and records-retention policies applicable to the requested consent and authorization records.

6. Requested Format

Please produce the records in native electronic format where available, including metadata, timestamps, audit logs, email headers, attachments, and system-generated records. If native production is not available, please produce legible PDF copies.

7. If No Responsive Consent / ROI / Authorization Record Exists

If no responsive consent, ROI, authorization, privacy notice, HMIS / Clarity authorization, participant agreement, or release exists for any category, please provide a written custodian declaration identifying:

1. the category searched;

2. the systems searched;

3. the search terms used;

4. the custodian or department consulted;

5. whether records were deleted, archived, transferred, withheld, or never created;

6. whether CRCD contends a 2023 verbal opt-in, informal sign-up, HMIS record, referral record, or program contact supplied authority for later use;

7. the basis for any withholding, redaction, refusal, or claim of privilege; and

8. the person most knowledgeable regarding the missing consent / ROI / authorization record.

8. Key Identifiers for Search

- Kyle Robert Haab
- Kyle David Haab
- Crystal Lynn Izarraraz
- Project Tipping Point participant ID: 1233369910
- HMIS ID: 8F8CD9D8B
- Property: 1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4, Los Angeles, CA 90002
- CFCI-PA3-056-2023
- Program Area 3 / Youth Housing
- Amity Foundation
- JCOD
- LAHSA reference: 2526KH-A
- HMIS tickets: 259260, 260627, 267528

- County Fraud / OCI: 2025-23289 and 2026_23965
- LAPD reports: C259045687 and C269013241
- LAHD Case: SO311576
- LADBS Order to Comply: A-6604739
- California family-law case: 25CMFL00694
- Tennessee ICPC references: 117604 and 117605
- Tennessee petition references: 310909, 310910, 310995, 310996

9. Custodian Declaration Requested

The custodian of records should provide a declaration stating that the production is complete and identifying:

- the systems searched;
- the departments or custodians consulted;
- the date range searched;
- whether any responsive records were withheld;
- whether any responsive records were destroyed, deleted, archived, transferred, or never created;
- whether any responsive records are held by a third-party administrator, vendor, contractor, funder, fiscal agent, or public agency;
- whether CRCD claims any 2023 contact authorized later 2024-2026 Project Tipping Point, housing-assistance, child-related, family-status, landlord, rental-assistance, ACH, W-9, HMIS / Clarity, or interagency records use.

10. Source Record Index for Locator Purposes Only

The following source-record categories are included as locator and relevance references only. They are not separate claims for relief and are not requests for the family court to decide separate agency, civil, criminal, or administrative matters.

10. Curated Exhibit Appendix - Clear and Relevant Exhibits Only

The exhibits attached after this subpoena text are limited to clear source materials that directly support the subpoena's narrow request for CRCD's missing 2023 consent, ROI, release, privacy notice, HMIS authorization, participant agreement, or other source authorization record. Mobile-preview screenshots, eFile workflow screenshots, and other low-value locator screenshots are intentionally omitted from this court-ready version because they are less clear and less probative than the source records.

Exhibit A - CRCD Partner / Stakeholder Statement. Relevant because it asserts that Petitioner signed up to be contacted through a CRCD program in 2023 and that this supplied the basis for later communication. This is the exact consent/authorization claim the subpoena seeks to test through source records.

Exhibit B - HMIS / LAHSA Client Case Notes History, selected pages. Relevant because it identifies the HMIS ID and the 2023 CRCD / Ruth's Place Day Shelter enrollment/exit, and also includes later child/family-status and housing eligibility language. These pages explain why the requested consent trail matters to family stability and source-record coordination.

Exhibit C - Los Angeles County Housing Assistance Programs Universal Application. Relevant because it shows the housing-assistance workflow, applicant signatures, household/child documentation, demographic/family-type information, rental assistance agreement, landlord verification, and documentation requirements.

Exhibit D - ACH Authorization Agreement. Relevant as a downstream landlord/payment record showing why CRCD must identify the upstream consent and authorization basis for payment-processing records.

Exhibit E - Landlord Verification Form. Relevant as a downstream housing-assistance and landlord-verification record tied to the 1551 3/4 E. 106th Street placement chain.

Exhibit F - W-9 / Taxpayer Identification Record. Relevant as a downstream payment/tax-document record tied to the landlord/payment chain for which the source authorization is disputed.

Exhibit G - Residential Lease Agreement. Relevant as a downstream lease/rent/security-deposit record. Petitioner is not seeking duplicate production of this lease; it is included to show why the upstream consent, ROI, release, privacy notice, or authorization source record is material.

Limiting Statement. These exhibits do not expand this subpoena into a request for the family court to decide criminal, civil, administrative, housing-code, fraud, privacy, or program-compliance merits. They are attached only to show why the missing upstream 2023 consent/ROI/authorization source record is material across family stability, child-related information, household/family-status classification, housing assistance, landlord/payment records, and interagency source-record coordination.

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit	Description	Relevance
A	CRCD Partner / Stakeholder Statement	CRCD assertion that a 2023 CRCD program sign-up supplied the basis for subsequent communication.
B	HMIS / LAHSA Client Case Notes History - selected pages	HMIS ID, 2023 Ruth's Place enrollment/exit, and later child/family housing eligibility notes.
C	Los Angeles County Housing Assistance Programs Universal Application	Housing-assistance workflow, household/child documentation, rental-assistance agreement, signatures, and landlord verification.
D	ACH Authorization Agreement	Downstream landlord/payment-processing record.
E	Landlord Verification Form	Downstream landlord-verification and housing-assistance record.
F	W-9 / Taxpayer Identification Record	Downstream payment/tax-document record tied to landlord/payment workflow.
G	Residential Lease Agreement	Downstream lease/rent/security-deposit record tied to housing stability.

EXHIBIT D

SOURCE/DESCRIPTION
JCOD July 8 interim CPRA response and County funding confirmation.

FACTUAL PROPOSITION / RELEVANCE
Shows the County CFCI/Amity/CRCD lane, participant-specific accounting request, active search, and anticipated update date.

EVIDENTIARY LIMITATION
An interim records letter is not a final accounting or audit conclusion.

Controlled audit exhibit - not an accusation, adjudication, or finding of criminal or civil liability.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Hilda L. Solis, First District
Holly J. Mitchell, Second District
Lindsey P. Horvath, Third District
Janice Hahn, Fourth District
Kathryn Barger, Fifth District

LOS ANGELES COUNTY JUSTICE CARE AND OPPORTUNITIES DEPARTMENT

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration
500 West Temple Street, Room 100
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Website: jcod.lacounty.gov

DIRECTOR
Judge Songhai Armstead, *ret.*

7/8/26
VIA E-MAIL ONLY

Kyle Haab
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

Re: Public Records Act Request of April 2, 2026

Dear Mr. Haab:
This is in response to your California Public Records Act ("CPRA") request received by the County of Los Angeles, Justice, Care & Opportunities Department (JCOD) on April 2, 2026, wherein you requested the following:

> *I am requesting a written accounting and preservation of records regarding the rental assistance JCOD previously described as tied to CFCI funding.*
>
> *In the prior response, JCOD stated that:*
> *CFCI funds were used for rental assistance issued on my behalf between August 2024 and June 2025; the assistance was categorized under Program Area 3, Youth Housing; Amity Foundation oversaw administration of the rental assistance funds through CRCD; and the relevant contract was CFCI-PA3-056-2023.*
>
> *That same response also stated that CRCD used funds for only 9 months of rental assistance at $1,000 per month. Those statements do not reconcile without a month-by-month accounting.*
> *I am therefore requesting that JCOD identify, to the extent maintained by your office or accessible through the relevant County records:*
>
> - *the exact months for which rental assistance was paid on my behalf;*
> - *the exact amount paid for each month;*
> - *the funding source used for each payment;*
> - *whether any payments during the August 2024 to June 2025 period were made from a source other than CFCI;*

- *the approval basis and authorization record for each payment;*
- *the role of JCOD, Amity Foundation, and CRCD in approving, administering, or overseeing each payment;*
- *any reporting, compliance, or monitoring records tied to those payments under CFCI-PA3-056-2023.*

Please also preserve all related records, including payment ledgers, invoices, approval records, monitoring records, correspondence, and any internal notes or reports relating to the rental assistance issued on my behalf.

For reference, this request relates to the contradictory funding descriptions already associated with my matter, including Auditor-Controller references PRA25-028, CE25-512, and CE26-048, OCI / County Fraud reference 2026-23965, and Board ICMS No. 2025-137175.

I am requesting a written accounting and records clarification. I am not requesting a general narrative response.

Please respond in writing.

On April 13, 2026, JCOD extended time to respond pursuant to Government Code section 7922.535, subdivision (b). On April 27, 2026, we again extended time to respond to May 27, 2026. On May 27, 2026, we once again extended time to respond. We are continuing our search for and review of voluminous records that are potentially responsive to your CPRA request. Therefore, we anticipate providing you with an update or a response on or before August 19, 2026.

Please note that we reserve the right to assert any and all applicable privileges, doctrines, objections, and exemptions. In providing you with this response, JCOD is not waiving any rights, defenses, or claims of privilege, exception or exemption under the CPRA or any other statutes.

Thank you for your understanding and cooperation in this matter.

Sincerely,

Avi Bernard
Public Information Officer
Justice Care and Opportunities Department
abernard@jcod.lacounty.gov
jcod.lacounty.gov

LOS ANGELES COUNTY
JUSTICE CARE AND OPPORTUNITIES DEPARTMENT

Dear Mr. Haab,

JCOD staff have broken down your inquiry into questions, which have been answered below in purple. I have also attached all pertinent documents, including your PRA Request response letter.

*****

Relationship between LA County Board of Supervisors, CRCD, Amity Foundation, JCOD and CFCI:
The LA County Board of Supervisors approves funding allocations for CFCI to County departments and community organizations through a third-party administrator. LA County JCOD administers CFCI. Grant funds through CFCI are administered by JCOD via a third-party administrator, Amity Foundation. Amity Foundation has a grant agreement with CRCD using CFCI funds. Terms of the contract are March 1, 2023-June 30, 2027.

1. Were Care First Community Investment (CFCI) funds approved by the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors were used for rental assistance issued on my behalf between August 2024 and June 2025?
    1. CRCD representatives have provided differing statements regarding the source of these funds, including references to:
        i. Project Tipping Point being supported through private foundations
        ii. Amity Foundation serving as a third-party administrator of CFCI funds funded by the Board of Supervisors
        iii. CRCD directly paying 100% of rent

        CFCI funds were used for rental assistance between August 2024 and June 2025.

2. Were any CFCI funds were allocated to CRCD or Amity Foundation for rental assistance during the relevant period?

    CRCD's grant agreement with Amity Foundation includes rental assistance. CRCD used funds for 9 months of rental assistance at $1,000 per month consistent with the approved grant.

3. Was Kyle Dietz's rental assistance categorized under CFCI Housing Stability or any other CFCI allocation?

Kyle Dietz's rental assistance through CRCD was categorized under Program Area 3, Youth Housing. This was part of the CFCI Year 1 Spending Plan.

4. Was JCOD (administering department) or Amity (third-party administrator) responsible for the oversight of those rental assistance funds?

Amity Foundation oversaw the administration of rental assistance funds through CRCD.

5. The associated grant, contract, or allocation reference number, if applicable.
    1. Please request the grant, contract, or allocation reference number, if applicable, from Amity

Amity Foundation's contract with CRCD
Contract Number: CFCI - PA3 - 056 - 2023

Best,

Avi Bernard

Public Information Officer

Justice Care and Opportunities Department
abernard@jcod.lacounty.gov



jcod.lacounty.gov

18 attachments

- **Screenshot_20260317_124355_Print Spooler.jpg**
  655 KB
- **Screenshot_20260107_105457_Print Spooler.jpg**
  275 KB
- **Screenshot_20260201_110311_Print Spooler.jpg**
  571 KB
- **Screenshot_20251219_134436_Print Spooler.jpg**
  334 KB
- **Screenshot_20260317_102831_Print Spooler.jpg**
  1.3 MB
- **Screenshot_20260107_105735_Print Spooler.jpg**
  392 KB
- **Screenshot_20260114_092112_Print Spooler.jpg**
  639 KB
- **<External Message> Fwd: Automatic reply: Submission of Falsified Paperwork – Amity Foundation & LACCD Enrollment.eml**
  6.7 MB
- **Project Tipping Point - CRCD Response.pdf**
  305 KB
- **Kyle Haab - Project Tipping Point CPRA Request.pdf**
  432 KB
- **<External Message> Fwd: FW: PRA Request response Draft.eml**
  2.1 MB
- **img-f693338b-a77f-429b-84cc-b58de03f480f**
  33 KB
- **1A2 - SOW - Coalition for Responsible Community Development.pdf**
  65 KB
- **Agreement FE- CFCI - PA3 - 056 - 2023 - CRCD (1).pdf**
  1 MB
- **Coalition for Responsible Community Development (1).pdf**
  65 KB
- **CoalitionforResponsibleCommunityDevelopment_PA3_Y3Q4 (1).xlsx**
  44 KB
- **CRCD Partner and Stakeholder Statement.pdf**
  92 KB
- **PRA Request Response - Kyle Haab_3-13-26.pdf**
  192 KB

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Wed, Apr 1, 2026 at 7:50 AM
To: Clarke, Adriane J <Adriane.J.Clarke@hud.gov>, Gutierrez, Margarita (Schiff) <Margarita_Gutierrez@schiff.senate.gov>
Cc: Dela, Ester F <Ester.F.Dela@hud.gov>, Choi, Chin Woo <ChinWoo.Choi@hud.gov>, Blanco, Rebecca A <Rebecca.Blanco@hud.gov>

Ms. Gutierrez,

I am following up regarding constituent inquiry reference 2026-9DMA-FLD-00015.

I received a direct response from HUD on March 31, 2026 concerning Project Tipping Point funding. That response stated only that HUD did not identify HUD CPD funding or HUD jurisdiction. It did not resolve whether other federal or County-linked funding sources were involved, and it did not appear to come back through the congressional inquiry channel.

EXHIBIT E

SOURCE/DESCRIPTION
Selected DHCS handoff and remaining-jurisdiction pages.

FACTUAL PROPOSITION / RELEVANCE
Documents routing of CFCI/Amity/CRCD grant-administration issues to JCOD.

EVIDENTIARY LIMITATION
Referral does not resolve DHCS-held privacy, beneficiary, provider, or incident records.

Controlled audit exhibit - not an accusation, adjudication, or finding of criminal or civil liability.

EXHIBIT C

DHCS Handoff to JCOD and HUD Ruth's Place/HMIS Consent Lane

May 12 DHCS referral to Avi Bernard and separate federal HMIS/consent follow-up chronology.

Source control

Source: selected pages 99-103 from the 103-page Master V3 packet. Page 100 reproduces the DHCS email; pages 102-103 preserve the April 13 HUD chronology.

Evidentiary limitation

The DHCS email closes the DHCS grant-administration lane on its face. The HUD chronology is a preserved record summary and should be confirmed through the underlying native HUD communication.

EXHIBIT L

DHCS DIRECT HANDOFF TO JCOD / AVI BERNARD

Source: B354753_CORRECTED_SUPPLEMENTAL_EXHIBITS_VOLUME_3_OF_3.pdf

Source pages: 41 of 179

Purpose: Documents the May 12, 2026 DHCS Audits & Investigations email identifying JCOD as the proper CFCI/Amity authority, confirming direct contact with Avi Bernard, and closing the DHCS grant lane.

CONTROLLED SOURCE EXHIBIT - ORIGINAL NATIVE RECORD CONTROLS

Stanley White | Assistant Deputy Director
Audits & Investigations
California Department of Health Care Services
(916) 750-3779

From: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2026 1:13 PM
To: White, Stanley@DHCS <Stanley.White@dhcs.ca.gov>
Subject: Re: [External]Re: FW: PRA Request response Draft

[Quoted text hidden]

White, Stanley@DHCS <Stanley.White@dhcs.ca.gov> Tue, May 12, 2026 at 2:36 PM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Hi Kyle,

Thank you for speaking with me earlier today regarding your concerns related to the housing subsidy grant. After reviewing the materials you provided and discussing the matter with my team, I want to reiterate that your complaint falls outside the jurisdiction of the Department of Health Care Services (DHCS). As we discussed by telephone, the Los Angeles County Justice, Care and Opportunities Department (JCOD) is the appropriate county authority over the Care First Community Investment (CFCI) program and any issues involving the grant or the third-party administrator, Amity Foundation. Based on the batch of emails you shared, you have already been in contact with JCOD regarding this matter. To ensure accuracy, my team reached out to Avi Bernard at JCOD (abernard@jcod.lacounty.gov). Avi confirmed that JCOD is actively processing your Public Records Act (PRA) request and that he is the correct point of contact for any additional questions, outstanding issues, or updates you may need. Because this matter concerns activities and decisions under JCOD's authority and not DHCS; DHCS will consider this matter closed from our end. Thank you.

Stanley White | Assistant Deputy Director
Audits & Investigations
California Department of Health Care Services
(916) 750-3779

From: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

EXHIBIT M

HUD RUTH'S PLACE / HMIS CONSENT LANE PRESERVED

Source: V9_MASTER_INTEGRATED_RECORD_CONTROL_BINDER_June13_2026-1.pdf

Source pages: 684-685 of 943

Purpose: Preserves the April 13, 2026 chronology stating HUD narrowed the PTP CPD/CDBG funding lane but would reach out to LAHSA, Coordinated Entry, and the Los Angeles HMIS provider regarding Ruth's Place/release-of-information.

01/16/2026 - County HIPAA / privacy complaint formalizes the county-facing PHI / records-use theory. A County Counsel Health Information Privacy and Security Complaint Form was completed naming CRCD / Project Tipping Point and associated county-funded supportive-services administrators in connection with alleged unauthorized access, use, and disclosure of protected health information beginning no later than 03/18/2024 and continuing through 10/30/2025.

Why it matters. This is not just a health-information side issue. It is a county-facing responsibility and records-custody document tying together identity misuse, housing instability, and family-related harm.

Insert in the April 2026 status / routing area.

04/13/2026 - HUD funding lane narrows, but the HMIS / consent lane remains alive and is re-routed to entities with direct records knowledge. HUD's April 13 communication stated that Project Tipping Point was not supported by HUD CPD / CDBG funding, but also said HUD would reach out to LAHSA, Coordinated Entry, and the Los Angeles HMIS provider regarding the Ruth's Place / release-of-information issue.

04/15/2026 - disputed-consent forwarding preserves multi-agency notice and receipt. The disputed-consent thread was forwarded to multiple oversight recipients, including HUD, LAHSA, County recipients, Senator Schiff's office, and DOL OIG. Roger Passero replied that he had received it.

Why it matters. This keeps the HMIS / consent issue live even after the narrower HUD funding position and shows the matter remained in active routing rather than closing.

4. Category Timeline E — LAPD / Law Enforcement / Identity-Theft Track

Insert in the March 2026 correction sequence.

03/26/2026 - discovery point tied to DHCS review. The corrected report later states that the issue was discovered on March 26, 2026 after review of the DHCS Beneficiary Profile Report.

03/28/2026 - corrected report C269013241 expands the earlier identity-theft lane. The report states that it corrects and expands prior LAPD case C259045687 and frames the matter as unauthorized use of identifying information across workforce, student-aid, housing, county-funded rental assistance, and DHCS / health-benefit records.

Why it matters. This is the strongest bridge between the law-enforcement lane and the beneficiary-record lane.

Insert in the April 2026 escalation sequence.

04/05/2026 - IC3 complaint adds a federal internet-crime lane. An updated Internet Crime Complaint Center submission preserved a multi-state incident involving interstate electronic communications, online intake activity, disputed housing/payment records, direct losses, the June 7, 2024 PTP intake, and technical details including IP address 104.128.161.69.

Why it matters. This extends the law-enforcement lane beyond LAPD and County routing into a federal internet-crime intake path and preserves the online / technical framing more explicitly.

5. Category Timeline F — HUD / Federal / Congressional / OIG / Civil-Rights Track

Insert in the April 2026 federal follow-up area.

04/13/2026 - project-level HUD funding position narrows, but the HMIS / consent problem is expressly preserved. The April 13 HUD communication should be read as a bifurcation point. HUD CPD narrowed away from a project-specific CPD / CDBG funding nexus for Project Tipping Point, but expressly preserved follow-up with LAHSA, Coordinated Entry, and the Los Angeles HMIS provider regarding the disputed Ruth's Place / consent / release issue.

04/15/2026 - federal and congressional recipients are re-noticed on the disputed-consent issue. The same disputed-consent thread is forwarded to Senator Schiff's office, HUD recipients, LAHSA, County recipients, and DOL OIG, and receipt is confirmed by Roger Passero.

Why it matters. The federal lane did not close. It split into two narrower questions: project-level funding source and HMIS / consent / information-sharing basis.

Insert in the April 2026 federal escalation area.

04/05/2026 - IC3 submission should be cross-tagged into the federal track. Although not a HUD or OIG item, the IC3 complaint belongs in the broader federal-facing chronology because it formalizes the interstate electronic-activity and online-intake theory in a federal reporting channel.

6. Timeline H — Opposing Positions / Policy / Legal Posture

Insert in the December 2025 through April 2026 posture sequence.

12/04/2025 - MHAS closure should be treated as an adverse-but-useful posture document. The letter cuts against the relocation-assistance theory but simultaneously preserves the existence of likely unlawful-detainer defenses. It belongs in the posture timeline because it affects strategy, not because it resolves the underlying factual disputes.

01/16/2026 - County HIPAA complaint belongs in the formal correction / posture-preservation lane. This complaint organizes the dispute as unauthorized PHI use, records generation, inter-agency sharing, and ongoing correction obligations.

04/08/2026 - UD-105 answer packets move the merged case posture into active eviction defense. By this date, the case is no longer only an oversight and contradiction record. It is also a live tenant-defense matter with formal defenses selected and preserved.

Why it matters. Timeline H should show not just opposing-party statements and legal letters, but also the points where the user formally preserved a litigation or grievance position in response.

7. Universal Merged Timeline

Add or revise the following convergence nodes.

- 03/26/2026 - [DAT] [LAW] [CNTY] DHCS beneficiary-profile review becomes the discovery trigger for the corrected law-enforcement narrative. Why it converges. The data-integrity lane and the police-correction lane lock together.

EXHIBIT F

SOURCE/DESCRIPTION

HUD March chain plus contemporaneous July 8 email draft/screenshot.

FACTUAL PROPOSITION / RELEVANCE

Shows written no-CPD-funding posture, prior copy to Blanco, and later reported routing to Blanco.

EVIDENTIARY LIMITATION

The July 8 call account is a contemporaneous party statement; the screenshot is not proof of agency receipt or internal instruction.

Controlled audit exhibit - not an accusation, adjudication, or finding of criminal or civil liability.

EXHIBIT B

HUD March 2026 Funding-Source Email Chain

No CPD funding determination, grantee outreach, Rebecca Blanco copy, and County CFCI confirmation.

Source control

Source: six-page Gmail chain. HUD CPD states it did not identify HUD CPD funding for Project Tipping Point or the property. The same chain contains JCOD written confirmation of CFCI, Amity, CRCD, Program Area 3, and Contract CFCI-PA3-056-2023.

Evidentiary limitation

HUD's statement is limited to CPD funding. It does not resolve the separate HMIS consent, source-record, access-log, or records-custody issues.

Automatic reply: <External Message> Re: Project Tipping Point Funding Source

5 messages

Dela, Ester F <Ester.F.Dela@hud.gov> Mon, Mar 30, 2026 at 12:04 PM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Thank you for your message. I will respond when I return from leave on Tuesday, 3/31.

If you have an urgent issue or question, please contact Adriane Clarke at Adriane.J.Clarke@hud.gov.

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Mon, Mar 30, 2026 at 1:10 PM
To: Dela, Ester F <Ester.F.Dela@hud.gov>, Choi, Chin Woo <ChinWoo.Choi@hud.gov>, Clarke, Adriane J <Adriane.J.Clarke@hud.gov>

Dear HUD staff and employees

I am following up regarding the funding source for the rental subsidy connected to 1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4, and your outreach to Jahrell Thomas / CRCD.

As of now, this remains unresolved on my end. The core issue is still the same: local responses have shifted between “private foundations,” no LAHSA jurisdiction, and public-funding indications tied to CFCI Program Area 3 / Youth Housing, including contract reference CFCI-PA3-056-2023.

Please let me know:

1. Whether CRCD / Jahrell Thomas responded to your March 20 funding-source inquiry.
2. What funding source was identified for the subsidy used for my tenancy.
3. Whether any HUD, CoC, CDBG, or other public funds were involved directly or indirectly.
4. Whether HUD still considers CRCD a relevant subrecipient for purposes of oversight on this issue.
5. Whether any additional documentation is needed from me.

I am requesting a written clarification because the funding-source issue remains central to the broader records, oversight, and fraud-related concerns already raised.

Thank you,
Kyle Haab
[Quoted text hidden]

Clarke, Adriane J <Adriane.J.Clarke@hud.gov> Tue, Mar 31, 2026 at 1:37 PM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
Cc: Dela, Ester F <Ester.F.Dela@hud.gov>, Choi, Chin Woo <ChinWoo.Choi@hud.gov>, Blanco, Rebecca A <Rebecca.Blanco@hud.gov>

Hello Mr. Haab,

Thank you for your email.

Our office has reviewed our records and conducted outreach to relevant grantees. Based on this review, neither the Coalition for Responsible Community Development’s (CRCD) Project Tipping Point nor the property located at 1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4 has received funding through HUD’s Community Planning and Development (CPD) programs. As a result, there is no federal nexus, and this matter does not fall under HUD’s jurisdiction.

Additionally, in the materials you previously shared (emails dated March 13 and March 20, 2026), the attached information indicates that the funding source for Project Tipping Point is an agency other than HUD.

Given this, we recommend contacting CRCD or the appropriate funding entity directly to address your concerns.

If you have any questions, please let us know.

Best,

Adriane Clarke, MPA

Acting CPD Director- Los Angeles Field Office

Regional Senior CPD Representative

Region IX – Pacific/Hawaii

Office of Community Planning and Development

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

Phone: 602-379-7177

HUD Mobile: 202-247-6265

Email: Adriane.J.Clarke@hud.gov

https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning

[Quoted text hidden]

---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
To: "Sanford, Ahliyah" <asanford@bos.lacounty.gov>, "Giza, Maeve" <MGiza@bos.lacounty.gov>, "Lopez, Anita" <ALopez@bos.lacounty.gov>, "Escobedo, Marina" <MEscobedo@bos.lacounty.gov>, "Yang, Jonathan" <JYANG@bos.lacounty.gov>, Robert Broadbelt <RBroadbelt1@lacourt.org>, Joann Sanchez <jsanchez@amityfdn.org>, "richard.cheng@lacity.org" <richard.cheng@lacity.org>, "Gutierrez, Margarita (Schiff)" <Margarita_Gutierrez@schiff.senate.gov>
Cc: AC-CONSTITUENT <constituent@auditor.lacounty.gov>, "slee2@auditor.lacounty.gov" <slee2@auditor.lacounty.gov>, "Wood, Thomas" <TWood@auditor.lacounty.gov>, "msantana@auditor.lacounty.gov" <msantana@auditor.lacounty.gov>, "rcampbell@auditor.lacounty.gov" <rcampbell@auditor.lacounty.gov>, "marc.magallanes@lacity.org" <marc.magallanes@lacity.org>, "luis.preciado@lacity.org" <luis.preciado@lacity.org>, "Passero, Roger - OIG" <Passero.Roger@oig.dol.gov>, "lpark@lafla.org" <lpark@lafla.org>, "Clarke, Adriane J" <Adriane.J.Clarke@hud.gov>, "Blanco, Rebecca A" <Rebecca.Blanco@hud.gov>, "Choi, Chin Woo" <ChinWoo.Choi@hud.gov>, Alyssa Skolnick <askolnick@counsel.lacounty.gov>, "sluna@counsel.lacounty.gov" <sluna@counsel.lacounty.gov>, Miguel Victorino <Miguel.Victorino@doj.ca.gov>, "Joseph.Zimring@doj.ca.gov" <Joseph.Zimring@doj.ca.gov>, CPD GDAS <CPDGDAS@hud.gov>, "eestrada@harborinterfaith.org" <eestrada@harborinterfaith.org>, "Evangeline.Freathy@dss.ca.gov" <Evangeline.Freathy@dss.ca.gov>, "Dela, Ester F" <Ester.F.Dela@hud.gov>, Winston Mendoza <WMendoza@dhs.lacounty.gov>, Christopher Williams <cwilliams@lahsa.org>, Iskender Altan-Bonnet <ibonnet@lafla.org>, Sanea Smith <ssmith@alliancecr.org>, "kshelton@bos.lacounty.gov" <kshelton@bos.lacounty.gov>, info <info@amityfdn.org>, Lindsay Verity <lverity@publiccounsel.org>, Christine Bradshaw <cbradshaw@publiccounsel.org>, "Joena.McDonald@dss.ca.gov" <Joena.McDonald@dss.ca.gov>, "Hills, LaJuannah" <lhills@bos.lacounty.gov>
Bcc:
Date: Fri, 20 Mar 2026 22:24:30 +0000
Subject: <External Message> Fwd: Automatic reply: Submission of Falsified Paperwork – Amity Foundation & LACCD Enrollment
CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe. If you have concerns about the content of the email, please send it to phishing@hud.gov or click the Report Phishing Button on the Outlook ribbon or Phishing option within OWA.

---------- Forwarded message ---------
From: **Kyle** <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
Date: Fri, Mar 20, 2026, 1:48 PM
Subject: Re: Automatic reply: Submission of Falsified Paperwork – Amity Foundation & LACCD Enrollment
To: Joann Sanchez <jsanchez@amityfdn.org>, <AMLopez@amityfdn.org>
Cc: Aloka Narayanan <ANarayanan@jcod.lacounty.gov>, <abernard@jcod.lacounty.gov>, JCOD PIO <pio@jcod.lacounty.gov>, Crenshaw, Keyna J <Crenshkj@email.laccd.edu>, <KIRENGM@email.laccd.edu>, DHCS Incident Reporting <incidents@dhcs.ca.gov>, <lpark@lafla.org>, <luis.preciado@lacity.org>, <marc.magallanes@lacity.org>, <richard.cheng@lacity.org>, <jthomas@coalitionrcd.org>, <wdd-youth@coalitionrcd.org>, <jguadron@coalitionrcd.org>, <ldavis@coalitionrcd.org>, <chris@harrishayden.com>

Please have AMLopez@amityfdn.org
Respond im including communications of this email as well with other awareness of Amity documentation

On Thu, Mar 19, 2026, 8:53 PM Joann Sanchez <jsanchez@amityfdn.org> wrote:

> I will be out of the office on 3/19/2026 returning 3/20/2026, if you require immediate assistance, please contact Aeriell Lopez at AMLopez@amityfdn.org
>
> Thank you,

Disclaimer

The information contained in this communication from the sender is confidential. It is intended solely for use by the recipient and others authorized to receive it. If you are not the recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or taking action in relation of the contents of this information is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful.

This email has been scanned for viruses and malware, and may have been automatically archived by Mimecast, a leader in email security and cyber resilience. Mimecast integrates email defenses with brand protection, security awareness training, web security, compliance and other essential capabilities. Mimecast helps protect large and small organizations from malicious activity, human error and technology failure; and to lead the movement toward building a more resilient world. To find out more, visit our website.

---------- Forwarded message ---------
From: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
To: "Gutierrez, Margarita (Schiff)" <Margarita_Gutierrez@schiff.senate.gov>, "Choi, Chin Woo" <ChinWoo.Choi@hud.gov>, "Clarke, Adriane J" <Adriane.J.Clarke@hud.gov>, CPD GDAS <CPDGDAS@hud.gov>, AC-CONSTITUENT <constituent@auditor.lacounty.gov>
Cc: "Sanford, Ahliyah" <asanford@bos.lacounty.gov>, "Escobedo, Marina" <MEscobedo@bos.lacounty.gov>, "Noyola, Claudia" <CNoyola@bos.lacounty.gov>, "Hills, LaJuannah" <lhills@bos.lacounty.gov>, "complaints@doj.ca.gov" <complaints@doj.ca.gov>, "Caitlin.Noble@doj.ca.gov" <Caitlin.Noble@doj.ca.gov>, "Joseph.Zimring@doj.ca.gov" <Joseph.Zimring@doj.ca.gov>, "HollyJMitchell@bos.lacounty.gov" <HollyJMitchell@bos.lacounty.gov>, "ceop@bos.lacounty.gov" <ceop@bos.lacounty.gov>, Alyssa Skolnick <askolnick@counsel.lacounty.gov>, "RSeeley@amityfdn.org" <RSeeley@amityfdn.org>, Joann Sanchez <jsanchez@amityfdn.org>, Christopher Williams <cwilliams@lahsa.org>
Bcc:
Date: Sat, 14 Mar 2026 01:24:53 +0000
Subject: <External Message> Fwd: FW: PRA Request response Draft
CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe. If you have concerns about the content of the email, please send it to phishing@hud.gov or click the Report Phishing Button on the Outlook ribbon or Phishing option within OWA.

---------- Forwarded message ---------
From: **JCOD PIO** <PIO@jcod.lacounty.gov>
Date: Fri, Mar 13, 2026, 5:10 PM
Subject: FW: PRA Request response Draft
To: kyle.dietz99@gmail.com <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Dear Mr. Haab,

JCOD staff have broken down your inquiry into questions, which have been answered below in purple. I have also attached all pertinent documents, including your PRA Request response letter.

*****

Relationship between LA County Board of Supervisors, CRCD, Amity Foundation, JCOD and CFCI:
The LA County Board of Supervisors approves funding allocations for CFCI to County departments and community organizations through a third-party administrator. LA County JCOD administers CFCI. Grant funds through CFCI are administered by JCOD via a third-party administrator, Amity Foundation. Amity Foundation has a grant agreement with CRCD using CFCI funds. Terms of the contract are March 1, 2023-June 30, 2027.

1. Were Care First Community Investment (CFCI) funds approved by the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors were used for rental assistance issued on my behalf between August 2024 and June 2025?
    1. CRCD representatives have provided differing statements regarding the source of these funds, including references to:
        i. Project Tipping Point being supported through private foundations
        ii. Amity Foundation serving as a third-party administrator of CFCI funds funded by the Board of Supervisors
        iii. CRCD directly paying 100% of rent

        CFCI funds were used for rental assistance between August 2024 and June 2025.

2. Were any CFCI funds were allocated to CRCD or Amity Foundation for rental assistance during the relevant period?

    CRCD's grant agreement with Amity Foundation includes rental assistance. CRCD used funds for 9 months of rental assistance at $1,000 per month consistent with the approved grant.

3. Was Kyle Dietz's rental assistance categorized under CFCI Housing Stability or any other CFCI allocation?

Kyle Dietz's rental assistance through CRCD was categorized under Program Area 3, Youth Housing. This was part of the CFCI Year 1 Spending Plan.

4. Was JCOD (administering department) or Amity (third-party administrator) responsible for the oversight of those rental assistance funds?

Amity Foundation oversaw the administration of rental assistance funds through CRCD.

5. The associated grant, contract, or allocation reference number, if applicable.
    1. Please request the grant, contract, or allocation reference number, if applicable, from Amity

Amity Foundation's contract with CRCD
Contract Number: CFCI - PA3 - 056 - 2023

Best,

Avi Bernard

Public Information Officer

Justice Care and Opportunities Department
abernard@jcod.lacounty.gov



18 attachments

- **Screenshot_20260317_124355_Print Spooler.jpg**
  655 KB
- **Screenshot_20260107_105457_Print Spooler.jpg**
  275 KB
- **Screenshot_20260201_110311_Print Spooler.jpg**
  571 KB
- **Screenshot_20251219_134436_Print Spooler.jpg**
  334 KB
- **Screenshot_20260317_102831_Print Spooler.jpg**
  1.3 MB
- **Screenshot_20260107_105735_Print Spooler.jpg**
  392 KB
- **Screenshot_20260114_092112_Print Spooler.jpg**
  639 KB
- **<External Message> Fwd: Automatic reply: Submission of Falsified Paperwork – Amity Foundation & LACCD Enrollment.eml**
  6.7 MB
- **Project Tipping Point - CRCD Response.pdf**
  305 KB
- **Kyle Haab - Project Tipping Point CPRA Request.pdf**
  432 KB
- **<External Message> Fwd: FW: PRA Request response Draft.eml**
  2.1 MB
- **img-f693338b-a77f-429b-84cc-b58de03f480f**
  33 KB
- **1A2 - SOW - Coalition for Responsible Community Development.pdf**
  65 KB
- **Agreement FE- CFCI - PA3 - 056 - 2023 - CRCD (1).pdf**
  1 MB
- **Coalition for Responsible Community Development (1).pdf**
  65 KB
- **CoalitionforResponsibleCommunityDevelopment_PA3_Y3Q4 (1).xlsx**
  44 KB
- **CRCD Partner and Stakeholder Statement.pdf**
  92 KB
- **PRA Request Response - Kyle Haab_3-13-26.pdf**
  192 KB

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Wed, Apr 1, 2026 at 7:50 AM
To: Clarke, Adriane J <Adriane.J.Clarke@hud.gov>, Gutierrez, Margarita (Schiff) <Margarita_Gutierrez@schiff.senate.gov>
Cc: Dela, Ester F <Ester.F.Dela@hud.gov>, Choi, Chin Woo <ChinWoo.Choi@hud.gov>, Blanco, Rebecca A <Rebecca.Blanco@hud.gov>

Ms. Gutierrez,

I am following up regarding constituent inquiry reference 2026-9DMA-FLD-00015.

I received a direct response from HUD on March 31, 2026 concerning Project Tipping Point funding. That response stated only that HUD did not identify HUD CPD funding or HUD jurisdiction. It did not resolve whether other federal or County-linked funding sources were involved, and it did not appear to come back through the congressional inquiry channel.

Because your office was acting on my behalf as a constituent matter, I am requesting clarification on two points:

1. Whether HUD also provided a response directly to Senator Schiff's office under constituent inquiry 2026-9DMA-FLD-00015; and
2. Whether your office can confirm if HUD treated this as a formal congressional inquiry response or only as a direct inquiry from me.

For clarity, the current records point to a County-linked administrative chain involving JCOD, Amity Foundation as third-party administrator, CRCD, and contract reference CFCI-PA3-056-2023, while HUD's response appears limited to excluding HUD CPD. If your office received any separate agency response, routing note, or reference number, please let me know.

Thank you for your assistance.

Kyle Haab
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
657-557-4459
[Quoted text hidden]

image001.gif
23 KB

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Mon, May 11, 2026 at 7:26 PM
To: Clarke, Adriane J <Adriane.J.Clarke@hud.gov>, Gutierrez, Margarita (Schiff) <Margarita_Gutierrez@schiff.senate.gov>, Stanely Dhcs investigatons <stanely.white@dhcs.ca.gov>
Cc: Dela, Ester F <Ester.F.Dela@hud.gov>, Choi, Chin Woo <ChinWoo.Choi@hud.gov>, Blanco, Rebecca A <Rebecca.Blanco@hud.gov>

Dear Mr. White,

Thank you for your time and for your anticipated follow-up this week.

I am forwarding supporting emails and records for DHCS review and routing. My understanding is that you are the appropriate DHCS Investigation Division contact and that you may communicate with the Licensing Division as needed.

I am providing these materials to preserve the record and help DHCS determine whether any DHCS-regulated, licensed, funded, or contracted provider records, communications, or program actions are implicated.

I am not asking DHCS to decide family court issues, criminal liability, or non-DHCS agency fault. I am asking for review/routing to the correct DHCS unit, including Investigation Division, Licensing Division, or any other appropriate DHCS office.

Please let me know if there is a DHCS reference number, assigned unit, or specific document format you would like me to use for any further submissions.

Respectfully,

Kyle David Haab
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
[Quoted text hidden]

image001.gif
23 KB

EXHIBIT G

SOURCE/DESCRIPTION
July 6 HUD FOIA/source-record status and preservation follow-up.

FACTUAL PROPOSITION / RELEVANCE
Preserves federal records-routing and source-record requests.

EVIDENTIARY LIMITATION
FOIA/Privacy Act coverage depends on HUD possession and applicable exemptions.

Controlled audit exhibit - not an accusation, adjudication, or finding of criminal or civil liability.

THIRD STATUS, CPD ASSIGNMENT, TRACKING-NUMBER, SEARCH-SCOPE, SOURCE-RECORD CUSTODIAN, AND PRESERVATION FOLLOW-UP

HUD FOIA 26-FI-RO9-01576 / Related 26-FI-RO9-01580
LAHSA-HMIS / CRCD-Ruth's Place Source Authorization / HUD CPD Escalation

Prepared by	Kyle Robert David Haab
Date	July 6, 2026
Email / Phone	kyle.dietz99@gmail.com / 657-557-4459
HUD FOIA	26-FI-RO9-01576 (controlling number previously confirmed); related 26-FI-RO9-01580
HUD program route	FHEO Inquiry 860997 -> Chin Woo Choi / CPD -> Rebecca Blanco / regional CPD supervision, as reported to me by Mr. Choi
HUD Exchange	AAQ 260629 / 261243 / related 261413
Local identifiers	HMIS ID 8F8CD9D8B; LAHSA 2526KH-A; tickets 259260 / 260627 / 267528; CRCD/PTP ID 1233369910
Related oversight	OCI 2025-23289 (reported closed); OCI 2026-23965 (reported open); LAPD C259045687 / C269013241 (reported closed); LAPD complaint 26-001767

SOURCE-CONTROL AND NON-MERITS NOTICE

This submission requests records-processing status, CPD assignment confirmation, source-record custodian identification, preservation, and a written procedural route. It does not ask HUD to decide criminal guilt, civil liability, family-law merits, unlawful-detainer merits, WIOA liability, or the merits of any separate investigation. Complaint numbers, referrals, and open/closed status statements are included only to prevent fragmentation and mischaracterization. Native emails, agency records, and custodian files control over this summary.

Executive Request

HUD Region IX previously confirmed that FOIA 26-FI-RO9-01576 was the correct tracking number, that the request remained open, and that Community Planning and Development was reviewing responsive documents. I have not received a final determination, interim production, component-search description, referral notice, or updated estimated completion date.

Separately, HUD CPD Program Manager Chin Woo Choi informed me that the underlying program matter was forwarded to Rebecca Blanco for regional CPD handling. I request written confirmation of that assignment, the records transferred, the current CPD status, and the relationship between the CPD referral and the pending FOIA request.

The unresolved substantive records question remains narrow: identify the actual 2023 Ruth's Place / CRCD / HMIS consent, Release of Information, privacy notice, intake, opt-in, or participant authorization; identify its custodian and scope; determine whether it was represented as authorizing later 2024-2026 CRCD / Project Tipping Point, Amity / CFCI, landlord/payment, education/workforce, household, family-status, child-related, or agency-routing use; or issue a written no-record or custodian determination and identify the correct remaining process.

1. HUD CPD Assignment and Program-Review Chain

The current HUD routing record reflects the following program lane:

- HUD FHEO Inquiry 860997 was opened and later administratively closed without a merits determination concerning the HMIS consent-source issue.
- The matter was redirected to HUD Community Planning and Development and Chin Woo Choi.
- Mr. Choi informed me that the matter had been forwarded to Rebecca Blanco for regional CPD handling.
- No written CPD determination has identified the records reviewed, the source-record custodian, the scope of any consent or authorization, the communications made with LAHSA or the local CoC/HMIS Lead, or the final procedural route.

For precision, I describe Ms. Blanco as the regional CPD supervisor identified to me by Mr. Choi. I do not characterize her as the national head of HUD CPD unless HUD records use that exact title.

Please confirm:

1. the date and method by which Mr. Choi forwarded the matter to Ms. Blanco;
2. whether Ms. Blanco accepted the referral and is the assigned CPD program official, supervisory reviewer, or another role;
3. whether a CPD inquiry, correspondence-control, technical-assistance, monitoring, compliance, or tracking number was created;
4. what records, attachments, and prior HUD submissions were transmitted;

5. whether the transfer included the FHEO file, AAQ records, LAHSA/HMIS records, CRCD materials, and supplemental source packets;
6. whether CPD communicated with LAHSA, the Los Angeles CoC, the HMIS Lead, CRCD, OCI, or another entity;
7. whether CPD conducted any source-record, consent-scope, privacy, HMIS-custody, monitoring, or technical-assistance review; and
8. whether CPD issued any determination, closure, referral, no-record finding, or recommended next step.

2. FOIA Tracking-Number Reconciliation and Present Status

My records contain both HUD FOIA 26-FI-RO9-01576 and 26-FI-RO9-01580. Region IX later identified 26-FI-RO9-01576 as the correct tracking number. Please confirm:

9. 26-FI-RO9-01576 is the current controlling request number;
10. 26-FI-RO9-01580 was corrected, superseded, duplicated, closed, or cross-referenced;
11. all records and attachments submitted under either number are associated with the same processing file;
12. no search term, custodian, system, attachment, or referral was omitted because of the number discrepancy;
13. the date of the most recent substantive processing action;
14. the components presently assigned to search or review;
15. the current estimated completion date; and
16. whether a rolling or interim production will be made.

3. The Unresolved 2023 Source-Authorization and Later-Reliance Issue

The unresolved issue is not whether HUD must possess every local HMIS participant record. I understand that LAHSA, the Los Angeles Continuum of Care, the HMIS Lead, CRCD, or another provider may hold participant-level records. The request seeks HUD-held or HUD-controlled records, HUD communications and oversight records, records sufficient to identify the responsible custodian, and records showing referral, monitoring, technical-assistance, compliance, or routing activity.

The record categories concern the alleged 2023 Ruth's Place / CRCD / HMIS consent or source authorization and whether it, or a later authorization, was represented as permitting:

- personal, household, housing, and homelessness classifications;
- family-status and child-related information;
- education and workforce coordination;
- CRCD / Project Tipping Point and Amity / CFCI activity;
- landlord communications and housing placement;
- lease, W-9, ACH, payee, payment, and rental-assistance processing;
- HMIS access, disclosure, correction, merge, export, audit, or dispute handling; and
- downstream agency, oversight, law-enforcement, or court reliance.

Please produce or identify records sufficient to show the source document, date, signer or acknowledger, scope, covered programs and entities, expiration or revocation terms, metadata, downstream reliance, access/disclosure history, correction path, and responsible custodian. If HUD does not possess the source record, please identify the local custodian and the exact HUD-recognized governance, privacy, grievance, correction, or records process that applies.

4. LAHSA Funding-Jurisdiction Closure Did Not Resolve the Source-Record Question

LAHSA later stated that its Project Tipping Point review was limited to determining that the program was not LAHSA-funded and that LAHSA referred its limited matter back to the Los Angeles County Office of County Investigations. That response did not identify:

- the actual 2023 consent, ROI, privacy notice, intake, or participant authorization;
- the scope, duration, amendment, revocation, or expiration of any authorization;
- the source-record custodian or repositories searched;
- HMIS access, disclosure, correction, or audit history;
- whether a dispute notation or correction request was entered;
- whether later CRCD/PTP reliance was reviewed; or
- whether a written no-record or consent-scope determination was issued.

A funding-jurisdiction closure, technical-ticket closure, grievance-timeliness denial, or referral does not answer the separate source-record and custodian question unless the response identifies the record, search scope, custodian, access/disclosure history, and procedural disposition.

5. Disputed Characterization of the LAHSA Law-Enforcement Referral

A contemporaneous October 15, 2025 LAHSA communication retained in my records reflects that LAHSA staff received leadership direction, instructed me to report the matter to law enforcement, and used the wording that the matter was "indeed fraud and a form of theft." I do not present that wording as a final LAHSA finding, criminal adjudication, or probable-cause determination. Its significance is procedural: it records the origin and character of the law-enforcement reporting chain.

Christopher Williams later characterized Christopher Redd's recommendation as being based on my "apparent allegation" of identity theft and falsification of records by a CRCD staff member. The later description frames the referral as arising from my

independent allegation, while the contemporaneous source appears to reflect internal leadership direction and an affirmative agency characterization.

Please search for HUD-held or HUD-controlled records concerning:

- the October 15, 2025 communication and native metadata;
- the leadership direction referenced in that communication;
- communications involving Christopher Redd or Christopher Williams;
- LAHSA's closure memorandum or transmittal to OCI;
- HUD communications with LAHSA concerning the source-record, consent, or referral issue; and
- whether HUD received only a funding-jurisdiction closure or also received materials concerning the unresolved consent/source-record dispute.

6. Separate Investigation and Administrative Lanes

The current record reflects distinct lanes that should not be conflated:

Lane	Reported status	Limited relevance to HUD
LAPD RMS C259045687 / C269013241	Reported closed after Commercial Crimes review	Do not treat as current open criminal matters without current confirmation.
LAPD complaint 26-001767	Separate Internal Affairs personnel-misconduct review	Concerns LAPD handling; not proof that Commercial Crimes reopened the underlying reports.
County OCI 2025-23289	Reported closed	LAHSA closure memorandum was associated with this lane.
County OCI 2026-23965	Reported open	Separate County Counsel-referred fraud-investigation lane; scope not established here.
DOL OIG FOIA 2026067	DOL OIG stated an unidentified open law-enforcement investigation involved requested records	Does not identify target, offense, agency, or proceeding; included only for record preservation and referral-history accuracy.

I am not asking HUD to determine the status, merits, target, or scope of any investigation. The relevance is limited to whether HUD received communications or referrals, created related CPD/CoC/HMIS/privacy/monitoring records, or used a narrow LAHSA funding closure as if it resolved the separate source-record question.

7. Practical Impact and Need for a Written HUD Route

The missing source-record and custodian determination has ongoing practical consequences. The same disputed housing, consent, family-status, landlord-payment, and program records intersect with:

- unlawful-detainer case 26CMUD00417, with jury trial set for July 7, 2026;
- family case 25CMFL00694, with custody/visitation proceedings set for July 29, 2026;
- housing stability, benefits continuity, disability-related functioning, and access to services;
- parent-child contact, visitation logistics, and family-reunification planning;
- County rental-assistance and source-record requests; and
- multiple agency records that may repeat or rely upon the same unresolved 2023 source authorization.

I do not ask HUD to decide those proceedings. I ask HUD to prevent continued procedural looping by identifying what HUD reviewed, what CPD is doing, what the FOIA search covers, who holds the source record, and what exact process remains available.

8. Components, Custodians, Systems, and Search Terms

Please confirm whether the request or CPD referral includes searches or review by:

- HUD Region IX and the Los Angeles Field Office;
- Community Planning and Development, including Rebecca Blanco and Chin Woo Choi;
- staff responsible for Los Angeles CoC and HMIS oversight;
- HUD FOIA correspondence and case-management systems;
- HUD Exchange / Ask A Question records, including AAQ 260629, 261243, and related 261413;
- HUD Privacy, information-security, FHEO, or OIG referral records;
- HUD Headquarters FOIA or Executive Secretariat records; and
- any other component identified through referral or consultation.

Please identify the custodians, mailboxes, shared drives, case-management systems, correspondence systems, AAQ systems, monitoring files, referral systems, and other repositories searched or scheduled for search.

Search identifiers should include, at minimum: Kyle Robert David Haab; Kyle Haab; Kyle David Haab; kyle.dietz99@gmail.com; HMIS ID 8F8CD9D8B; LAHSA 2526KH-A; CRCD; Coalition for Responsible Community Development; Ruth's Place; Project Tipping Point; participant ID 1233369910; Amity Foundation; CFCI-PA3-056-2023; Christopher Redd; Christopher Williams; Chin Woo Choi; Rebecca Blanco; Los Angeles CoC; HMIS Lead; AAQ 260629 / 261243 / 261413; FHEO Inquiry 860997; OCI 2025-23289 / 2026-23965; LAPD C259045687 / C269013241 / complaint 26-001767; DOL OIG FOIA 2026067; consent; ROI; privacy

notice; participant authorization; HMIS opt-in; access log; disclosure history; audit trail; correction; dispute notation; source record; custodian; rental assistance; landlord; lease; W-9; ACH; household composition; family status; and child-related information.

9. Requested Written Response Matrix

#	Requested confirmation	Requested response
1	Current CPD owner / supervisor	Confirm whether Rebecca Blanco is the current CPD program owner or supervisory reviewer, and identify any tracking number.
2	Records transferred to CPD	Identify the date, method, sender, recipient, attachments, and systems used for the Choi-to-Blanco referral.
3	FOIA controlling number	Confirm 26-FI-RO9-01576 and explain the status of 26-FI-RO9-01580.
4	FOIA processing status	Provide the latest substantive action, components assigned, review stage, page/record estimate if known, and estimated completion date.
5	Search description	Identify custodians, mailboxes, systems, repositories, search terms, date ranges, referrals, transfers, and consultations.
6	2023 source authorization	Produce or identify the consent/ROI/privacy/intake/opt-in record, custodian, scope, duration, metadata, and correction route; or issue a no-record/custodian determination.
7	LAHSA funding closure	Confirm whether CPD treated the not-LAHSA-funded response as resolving the separate HMIS consent/source-record issue.
8	Local custodian and governance route	Identify the responsible LAHSA/CoC/HMIS Lead/provider custodian and the exact HUD-recognized grievance, privacy, correction, disclosure-accounting, or governance route.
9	Rolling production	Produce completed and reasonably segregable HUD records while other searches or consultations continue.
10	Additional requirements	State whether HUD needs identity verification, clarification, fee agreement, or another submission from me.

10. Preservation Request

Please preserve all HUD-held or HUD-controlled records concerning:

- FOIA 26-FI-RO9-01576 and 26-FI-RO9-01580;
- the FHEO-to-CPD referral, the Choi-to-Blanco referral, and all transferred attachments;
- AAQ 260629, 261243, 261413, and related HUD Exchange records;
- search instructions, search results, custodians, repositories, and search terms;
- referrals, consultations, transfers, and correspondence-control records;
- HUD communications with LAHSA, the Los Angeles CoC, HMIS Lead, CRCD, OCI, LAPD, DOL, or other agencies;
- CPD monitoring, technical-assistance, compliance, privacy, security, FHEO, or OIG routing records;
- the 2023 Ruth's Place / CRCD / HMIS source-record issue;
- consent, ROI, privacy, authorization, access, disclosure, correction, and audit-history records; and
- records identifying the proper custodian or final procedural route.

11. OGIS Assistance and Non-Duplication

Please associate this submission with any existing OGIS assistance matter concerning HUD FOIA 26-FI-RO9-01576, or open a HUD-specific assistance matter if none exists. The requested assistance is limited to confirming the controlling FOIA number, obtaining a documented processing status and estimated completion date, identifying components and systems searched, clarifying referrals or consultations, confirming association of supplemental exhibits, encouraging rolling production, and establishing a reasonable communication plan.

This is not a new complaint, a duplicate FOIA request, or a request that OGIS decide whether any HMIS disclosure was lawful. It is a controlled follow-up seeking one coherent HUD response across the program-review and records-processing lanes.

12. Source-Control Schedule

Ex.	Source record	Limited use
A	HUD Region IX / June 28 FOIA status follow-up	Confirms controlling number 26-FI-RO9-01576 was previously identified, request remained open, and CPD was reviewing responsive documents; also preserves the detailed search-scope request.

Ex.	Source record	Limited use
B	HUD AAQ 260629 / 261243 / related routing materials	Preserves HUD-to-local-HMIS escalation instructions and the unresolved procedural loop after LAHSA grievance and support responses.
C	HUD FHEO Inquiry 860997 and CPD referral records	Shows administrative closure without a source-record merits determination and redirection to CPD.
D	Chin Woo Choi communication regarding Rebecca Blanco	User-held source for the CPD supervisory referral; native email should control and be preserved.
E	LAHSA Christopher Williams response and October 15 source communication	Preserves the difference between the later apparent-allegation characterization and the contemporaneous leadership-directed referral wording.
F	OCI / LAPD / DOL status records	Used only to distinguish separate closed, open, and administrative lanes; not proof of misconduct or guilt.
G	Court-access and housing records	Used only to show timing, housing/family impact, and the need for a prompt written route; HUD is not asked to decide those proceedings.

Conclusion

The record now reflects two HUD lanes that must be coordinated but not conflated: (1) a CPD program-review referral that Mr. Choi stated was forwarded to Ms. Blanco, and (2) a Region IX FOIA request that HUD previously confirmed remained open with CPD reviewing responsive documents. I request a written response identifying the current CPD owner, the records transferred, the FOIA search and status, the source-record custodian, the exact remaining local or federal process, and a rolling production of completed, reasonably segregable HUD records.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert David Haab
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

EXHIBIT H

SOURCE/DESCRIPTION
Selected LAHSA/OCI limited-review and referral pages.

FACTUAL PROPOSITION / RELEVANCE
Separates OCI 2025-23289 and 2026-23965 and identifies the evidence inventory question.

EVIDENTIARY LIMITATION
Referral or closure status does not prove the merits or completeness of review.

Controlled audit exhibit - not an accusation, adjudication, or finding of criminal or civil liability.

EXHIBIT D

LAHSA / County Fraud-OCI Limited Review and Referral Records

OCI intake and status, LAHSA limited funding review, private-funding statement, and referral context.

Source control

Source: selected pages 7-13 from LAPD_IAD_County_Oversight_Discrepancy_Updated_v4_Full_Merged_26-001767.pdf. These pages reproduce County Fraud and LAHSA communications.

Evidentiary limitation

These records establish the scope and routing statements shown on their face. They do not independently determine whether the 2023 consent was valid or whether later use was authorized.

Fraud Hotline Case# 2025-23289 and Case# 2025-23345 - Request for Consent/Additional Information

5 messages

LA County Fraud Hotline <fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov> Mon, Sep 15, 2025 at 11:25 AM
To: Kyle.dietz99@gmail.com <Kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Greetings,

We are in receipt of your recent complaint and may be assigned to a lead investigator. The investigator may need additional information regarding your complaint, therefore, the **Los Angeles County Fraud Hotline/OCI** needs your written consent to **release your name, contact information, and/or documents provided (if any)** to the lead investigator to obtain details regarding **Case #2025-23289 and Case# 2025-23345.**

Please reply back to this email with your consent and best contact information (e-mail address and/or telephone number).

Additionally, we are unable to open: Representative Agreement Kyle H.zip.pdf you submitted. Please provide the document.

You may also submit supporting documentation by emailing the Hotline at fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov or by visiting our website at www.fraud.lacounty.gov and selecting "**Add to Case.**" Please enter only the numeric portion of your case number. You may check the status of your case by going to our website at www.fraud.lacounty.gov and selecting "**Check Status**." You will then need to enter your assigned case number. You may check to see if a case is open or closed, however, no specific details of any on-going investigation will be provided.

Thank you,

Los Angeles County Fraud Hotline

500 West Temple Street Suite 514

Los Angeles, CA 90012

Hotline Tel: 1-800-544-6861 | Fax: 213.947.5809

E-mail: fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov

Website: http://fraud.lacounty.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail, including any attachments, is intended for the sole use of the named recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information pertaining to official investigations for the County of Los Angeles, California. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or dissemination is prohibited. Distribution of the e-mail and any attachments are subject to Federal, State, and County regulations pertaining to law enforcement communications. If you received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender, permanently delete this e-mail and all attachments from your system and destroy all printed copies of the e-mail.

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Mon, Sep 15, 2025 at 6:21 PM
To: LA County Fraud Hotline <fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov>

I consent to the Los Angeles County Fraud Hotline/OCA releasing my name, contact information, and the documents I provide in relation to Case #2025-23289 and Case #2025-23345. My best contact information is:
Email:Kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
Phone: 657-557-4459
[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Thu, Oct 9, 2025 at 4:58 PM
To: LA County Fraud Hotline <fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov>
Cc: Winston Mendoza <WMendoza@dhs.lacounty.gov>

Dear Office of County Investigations,

I am following up regarding Case #2025-23289, currently marked as "in queue for department investigation."
Mr. Winston Mendoza from the Audit & Compliance Division confirmed transfer of my submission and directed any future correspondence to OCI for updates on the investigation's disposition.

Given the public-resource implications of this complaint — involving Coalition for Responsible Community Development (CRCD) and Project Tipping Point, both County-funded housing assistance partners — I respectfully request:

1. Confirmation that the case has been formally assigned to an investigator or unit;

2. The projected timeline for the completion or publication of findings; and

3. Whether a summary or Improvement Opportunity Report (IOR) will be issued once the review concludes.

I understand confidentiality limits disclosure of investigative materials, but a brief status acknowledgment and estimated timeframe will help me coordinate with HUD OIG and LAHSA Compliance, who are monitoring related matters (LAHD Case SO311576).

Thank you for your attention to this inquiry.

Respectfully,
Kyle David Robert Haab
1551 E 106th St, Los Angeles CA 90002
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com | (insert phone)

[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Fri, Oct 10, 2025 at 10:34 AM
To: LA County Fraud Hotline <fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov>
Cc: Winston Mendoza <WMendoza@dhs.lacounty.gov>

Subject: Case #2025-23289 – Status Request

Dear Office of County Investigations,

I am following up regarding Case #2025-23289 concerning Coalition for Responsible Community Development (Project Tipping Point).
Please confirm whether the matter has been assigned to an investigator and whether an estimated review timeline is available.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,
Kyle
657-557-4459
Kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
1551 E 106th St, Watts, CA 90002 ubit 3/4
[Quoted text hidden]

LA County Fraud Hotline <fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov> Wed, Oct
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Greetings,

Thank you for contacting the Los Angeles County Fraud Hotline. We hope this email finds you well. As discussed via telephone, you may contact the Los Angeles Homeless Services Auth
telephone at 213-683-3333 or visit their website and the County Equity Oversight Panel (CEOP) via telephone at 213-974-9868 or visit their website to file grievance concerning protected c

As of today, 10/15/2025, our records indicate that the investigation concerning Case #2025-23289, is currently "Case is in queue for department investigation." You may check the status
going to our website at fraud.lacounty.gov and selecting "**Check Status**." You will then need to enter your assigned case number (please enter only the numeric portion). You may check to
stage the investigation is (i.e., open, review, approved, etc.), however, no specific details of any on-going investigation will be provided.



Additionally, as per protocol, Los Angeles County Fraud Hotline investigations are **confidential** and we do not discuss or disclose any details or determinations of any cases. Our office is
compliance to the LA County Fraud Hotline Laws & Policies, including the non-disclosure of investigations pursuant to **Government Code 53087.6.**

In accordance with **California Government Code section 53087.6**, which governs our administration of the County's Fraud Hotline, we only share the complete results of our investigations
appointing authority (e.g. law enforcement, the subject department, etc.) for disciplinary purposes. This code section extends the right of confidentiality beyond whistleblowers, and, acco
not share our reports with informants in order to protect witnesses and subjects as well. I hope you can understand that we are obligated to protect witnesses and subjects from harassm
adverse impacts resulting from the disclosure of their identities.

Thank you.

Los Angeles County Fraud Hotline

500 West Temple Street Suite 514

Los Angeles, CA 90012

Hotline Tel: 1-800-544-6861 | Fax: 213.947.5809

E-mail: fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov

Website: http://fraud.lacounty.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail, including any attachments, is intended for the sole use of the named recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information pertaining to official investigations for the County of Los Angeles, Californ
review, use, disclosure, or dissemination is prohibited. Distribution of the e-mail and any attachments are subject to Federal, State, and County regulations pertaining to law enforcement communications. If you received this e-mail in error, please notify th
delete this e-mail and all attachments from your system, and destroy all printed copies of the e-mail.

From: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, October 10, 2025 10:34 AM
To: LA County Fraud Hotline <fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov>

EXHIBIT C

LAHSA Limited Funding-Jurisdiction Review and Referral Back to OCI

Evidentiary purpose

May 17, 2026 response from LAHSA Deputy Chief Risk Officer identifying file custody, December 11 referral back to OCI, and findings limited to whether Project Tipping Point was LAHSA-funded.

Please preserve and align this message with my prior HUD FOIA / CPD escalation packet and identify the correct office or records custodian for the unresolved consent-source, HMIS authorization, data-sharing, and disclosure-record issues.
Respectfully,
Kyle Robert Haab
1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4
Los Angeles, CA 90002
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
On Sun, May 17, 2026, 5:08 PM Christopher Williams <cwilliams@lahsa.org> wrote:

> Dear Mr. Haab,
>
> Please find responses inserted in red below.
>
>
>
> **Christopher Williams (he/him)**
> Deputy Chief Risk Officer
> Cell: 213-523-0938
> Email: cwilliams@lahsa.org
> www.lahsa.org
>
> *To drive the collaborative strategic vision to create solutions for the crisis of homelessness grounded in hope, compassion, and community.*
>
> *This transmission is intended only for the use of the addressee. If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. Be aware that most communications with LAHSA are subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act. If you received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the sender by replying to this communication or by telephone at **213-523-0938**. Thank you.*
>
> ---
>
> **From:** Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
> **Sent:** Thursday, April 23, 2026 3:04 PM
> **To:** Christopher Williams <cwilliams@lahsa.org>
> **Cc:** LA County Fraud Hotline <fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov>
> **Subject:** Re: LAHSA investigator Christopher Redd
>
> Dear Mr. Williams,
>
> Thank you for confirming that Christopher Redd was the LAHSA investigator who worked on my case and that he is no longer available to discuss LAHSA-related matters.
>
> Because Mr. Redd worked on this matter, I am requesting written clarification regarding the current status, record custody, and outcome of his review.
>
> Please confirm:
>
> 1. who now has custody of Mr. Redd's case file, notes, emails, findings, referrals, closure notes, or related records;
> LAHSA has custody of his case files, etc. The Deputy Chief Risk Officer has access to them. (That is me.)
>
> 2. whether the matter was closed, transferred, referred, or left pending after Mr. Redd left LAHSA;
> The matter was referred back to the Office of County Investigations (OCI) on December 11, 2025.
>
> 3. who, if anyone, is now assigned to respond to questions regarding Mr. Redd's review;
> There are no outstanding questions regarding Mr. Redd's review. If there were to be any, I would be the person to respond.
>
> 4. whether LAHSA issued any findings, determinations, referrals, internal notes, or closure explanation concerning my complaints;
> As you have been informed, LAHSA concluded that Project Tipping Point, the project you referred to that was being implemented by the Coalition for Responsible Community Development (CRCD), did not receive any funding

from LAHSA, and therefore LAHSA would not be investigating it.

5. whether any records from Mr. Redd's review were provided to, discussed with, or referred to the Los Angeles County Auditor-Controller Office of County Investigations, including the Fraud Hotline.
A summary of Mr. Redd's findings were provided to OCI as a closure memorandum for LAHSA's involvement in case 2025_23289 due to Project Tipping Point not being a LAHSA-funded program. His findings were limited to concluding that the program was not funded by LAHSA, which is a requirement for LAHSA investigations.

The consent / authorization issue remains unanswered. Please confirm whether LAHSA, Mr. Redd, or any LAHSA-related system reviewed or obtained records sufficient to show what consent, Release of Information, participant authorization, HMIS authorization, data-sharing authorization, or other written permission allowed CRCD, LAHSA, Amity Foundation, AJCC / WorkSource partners, or related providers to collect, access, disclose, transmit, or rely on my personal information, household information, housing information, landlord information, lease materials, W-9 / ACH materials, case notes, referral records, supportive-services records, or program eligibility records.

Please specifically confirm whether LAHSA has records showing:

1. the date any consent or authorization was signed;

2. the scope of the consent or authorization;

3. the programs, agencies, providers, landlords, or partners covered by the consent;

4. whether the consent covered CRCD, Project Tipping Point, LAHSA, Amity Foundation, Vernon-Central / LATTC WorkSource, AJCC-related activity, housing assistance, landlord contact, or data-sharing activity;

5. whether consent existed before the March 18, 2024 referral/contact activity;

6. whether Mr. Redd reviewed the consent issue before leaving LAHSA;

7. whether any missing, incomplete, expired, or disputed consent issue was identified, referred, or preserved.

Mr. Haab, you have already been provided all HMIS materials pertaining to you. You can review these materials yourself.

I am also requesting clarification regarding LAHSA's prior instruction that I report the matter to law enforcement based on concerns involving fraud and theft. Please confirm:

1. who made that instruction or recommendation;
As I understand it, Mr. Redd recommended that you report the matter to law enforcement based on your apparent allegation of identify theft and falsification of records by a CRCD staff member.

2. whether it was based on LAHSA's review of records, my allegations, CRCD records, landlord-related records, payment records, consent records, or other information;
As I understand it, Mr. Redd recommended that you report the matter to law enforcement based on your apparent allegation of identify theft and falsification of records by a CRCD staff member.

3. whether LAHSA documented the basis for advising law-enforcement reporting;
As I understand it, Mr. Redd recommended that you report the matter to law enforcement based on your apparent allegation of identify theft and falsification of records by a CRCD staff member.

4. whether LAHSA itself made or considered making any referral to law enforcement, the County Auditor-Controller, OCI, County Counsel, LAHD, HUD, or another oversight body;
LAHSA referred the matter back to OCI because it does not involve a LAHSA-funded project. LAHSA did not make any further referrals, as LAHSA had already been informed by you that you had reported it to LAPD.

5. whether LAHSA determined the issue was outside LAHSA's administrative jurisdiction because it involved possible fraud, theft, misuse of funds, or unauthorized handling of records;
LAHSA referred the matter back to OCI because it does not involve a LAHSA-funded project, not based on the specific nature of the allegations.

6. whether any such fraud/theft-related concern remains open, was closed, or was referred elsewhere.
LAHSA referred the matter back to OCI because it does not involve a LAHSA-funded project, not based on the specific nature of the allegations. That referral closed LAHSA's involvement with the matter.

EXHIBIT D

County Fraud Funding-Screen Conclusion

Evidentiary purpose

November 17, 2025 County Fraud Hotline email reporting the LAHSA/CRCD position that Project Tipping Point was privately funded.

Fraud Hotline Case #2025-23289

1 message

LA County Fraud Hotline <fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov> Mon, Nov 17, 2025 at 2:12 PM
To: kyle.dietz99@gmail.com <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Good Afternoon Mr. Hadd,

We hope this email finds you well. We have been informed by LAHSA that The Coalition for Responsible Community Development is a LAHSA-funded service provider. The program the participant (you) is enrolled in, is ***not*** a LAHSA funded program. According to the Coalition for Responsible Community Development, "Project Tipping Point is funded by foundations such as Conrad Hilton Foundation." Based on the information received from the service provider, Project Tipping Point is funded by private funds. We hope this is helpful.

Thank you.

Los Angeles County Fraud Hotline

500 West Temple Street Suite 514

Los Angeles, CA 90012

Hotline Tel: 1-800-544-6861 | Fax: 213.947.5809

E-mail: fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov

Website: http://fraud.lacounty.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail, including any attachments, is intended for the sole use of the named recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information pertaining to official investigations for the County of Los Angeles, California. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or dissemination is prohibited. Distribution of the e-mail and any attachments are subject to Federal, State, and County regulations pertaining to law enforcement communications. If you received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender, permanently delete this e-mail and all attachments from your system, and destroy all printed copies of the e-mail.

EXHIBIT I

SOURCE/DESCRIPTION

Commercial Crimes closure, PSB/IAD requests, report identifiers, and evidence-handling correspondence.

FACTUAL PROPOSITION / RELEVANCE

Tests whether LAPD obtained the source consent, payment, LAHSA, and OCI records before classification or closure.

EVIDENTIARY LIMITATION

No inference of officer misconduct is made without the complete complaint and review files.

Controlled audit exhibit - not an accusation, adjudication, or finding of criminal or civil liability.

EXHIBIT E

LAPD Commercial Crimes Closure and IAD Evidence-Handling Request

Closure communication, evidence categories, and request for conflict-safe review.

Source control

Source: selected pages 15-23 from the 411-page LAPD/IAD source packet. The selection includes the Commercial Crimes closure communication and the request to review what evidence was received and preserved.

Evidentiary limitation

A closure communication is a disposition record. It does not by itself show the complete evidence inventory, investigative steps, or whether later agency-generated records were considered.

SUPPLEMENTAL CONFLICT-SAFE ROUTING REQUEST

Internal Affairs Complaint 26-001767
RMS Reports C259045687 and C269013241

Date	June 19, 2026
To	Sergeant Mark Wright, LAPD Internal Affairs Division
Copy	Captain David Hwang; Office of Inspector General / Police Commission complaint channel
From	Kyle David Haab
Subject	Open OCI Case 2026-23965; Attorney General law-enforcement direction; independent routing of unresolved criminal evidence

Purpose of this supplement

This packet requests a documented, conflict-safe handoff for the unresolved criminal-evidence component while Internal Affairs reviews whether Commercial Crimes Division properly handled and closed the underlying LAPD reports.

It does not ask Internal Affairs to assume the jurisdiction of County Fraud/OCI, JCOD, the District Attorney, the Attorney General, or another agency. It asks Internal Affairs to preserve and review LAPD conduct, distinguish the separate investigative lanes, and identify an independent receiving authority for evidence that cannot be neutrally returned only to the command under review.

The unit that closed the criminal reports should not be the sole referral destination while Internal Affairs is investigating whether that unit properly received, preserved, reviewed, and closed the same evidence.

Documented routing posture

Attorney General direction: On April 30, 2026, the California DOJ Charitable Trusts Section stated that if a crime was being committed, local law enforcement should be contacted. This was a jurisdictional direction, not a finding that a crime occurred.

LAPD acceptance and closure: LAPD accepted the reports. Captain Francis Boateng requested the disputed landlord-verification packet and the lease bearing my name that I did not sign. Commercial Crimes later stated that it had closed the criminal case.

Internal Affairs review: Complaint 26-001767 concerns whether LAPD personnel properly received, logged, preserved, compared, authenticated, investigated, documented, and closed the submitted evidence.

District Attorney Public Integrity disposition: The Los Angeles County District Attorney Public Integrity Division stated that it lacked general oversight authority over other agencies and directed the matter to the Auditor-Controller, Office of County Investigations. That specialized jurisdictional response did not authenticate the disputed records or determine whether LAPD conducted an adequate investigation.

Open County investigative lane: The Los Angeles County Fraud Hotline confirmed that OCI Case 2025-23289 is closed and OCI Case 2026-23965 remains open. The open OCI matter concerns a separate County fraud, contractor, funding, records, or program-administration lane.

The three lanes must remain distinct

1. LAPD employee-conduct review

Whether Commercial Crimes and other LAPD personnel properly handled, preserved, investigated, documented, and closed RMS C259045687 and C269013241.

2. County Fraud/OCI review

The County-funded, contractor, grant-administration, payment-authority, records-custody, and program-oversight issues within open OCI Case 2026-23965.

3. Underlying criminal-evidence review

The disputed W-9, ACH authorization, lease, landlord-verification packet, identifying information, payment records, and the persons who created, submitted, transmitted, approved, or relied on those records.

Records requiring preservation and review

- The landlord-verification packet submitted in connection with CRCD / Project Tipping Point rental assistance;
- the W-9 containing identifying information I dispute and did not authorize;
- the ACH or payment-authorization record containing inconsistent identifying information;
- the lease listing my name that I did not sign;

- emails and messages identifying who requested, transmitted, received, reviewed, approved, or relied on those records;
- LAPD submission logs, property/evidence records, attachments, investigative notes, supervisory reviews, closure codes, and routing notes;
- requests to CRCD, Amity, JCOD, the landlord, County Fraud/OCI, or other custodians for originals, metadata, audit trails, payment records, or witness information.

Requested Internal Affairs action

1. Confirm that the W-9, ACH record, unsigned lease, landlord-verification packet, and related correspondence are contained in the Internal Affairs file or identifiable underlying LAPD file.
2. Determine what documents Commercial Crimes actually received and reviewed before closure, including whether the submitted attachments were logged, preserved, and retrievable.
3. Determine whether personnel compared the identifying information across the W-9, ACH, lease, landlord-verification, participant, and payment records.
4. Determine whether originals, native records, metadata, payment records, and relevant witnesses or custodians were pursued before closure.
5. Document the factual and supervisory basis for closure and whether later agency-generated records satisfied the stated condition for reconsideration or reopening.
6. Conduct and document an independence or conflict screen before referring the unresolved evidence back to Commercial Crimes.
7. Cross-reference Complaint 26-001767 with open OCI Case 2026-23965 where legally permissible, without treating either investigation as a substitute for the other.
8. Identify an LAPD command outside the chain under review, or an appropriate outside investigative or prosecutorial authority, to assess the unresolved criminal evidence.
9. Provide the receiving office, referral date, records transmitted, tracking number, acceptance status, and any procedure for submitting a sworn statement or secure evidence package.

Urgency and scope

The disputed records continue to affect housing, eviction-related, benefits, victim-compensation, and family-stability matters. Internal Affairs need not decide those collateral matters. The immediate need is evidence preservation, review of LAPD handling, and a documented investigative handoff before records, metadata, witnesses, or jurisdictional paths become more difficult to reconstruct.

This submission does not claim that the Attorney General, District Attorney, County Fraud Hotline, or another agency has found criminal conduct. It relies on their written routing responses to establish that the matter has been divided among specialized jurisdictions and that no agency response should be mischaracterized as a comprehensive merits finding outside that agency's stated role.

Requested written response

- The exact scope of the Internal Affairs investigation;
- whether the identified documents are in the investigative file;
- whether Commercial Crimes evidence handling and closure will be reviewed;
- whether an independence screen has been completed;
- the conflict-safe authority for the unresolved criminal evidence;
- whether Internal Affairs will make the referral or provide written submission instructions.

Respectfully,

Kyle David Haab
Complaint Form 26-001767
RMS C259045687 / C269013241
County Fraud/OCI 2025-23289 - closed
County Fraud/OCI 2026-23965 - open
DOJ PIU 1508015 | CFCI-PA3-056-2023
657-557-4459 | kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit	Description	Source page(s)
A	Los Angeles County District Attorney Public Integrity response directing the matter to the Auditor-Controller / Office of County Investigations.	Email PDF p. 1
B	Los Angeles County Fraud Hotline confirmation that OCI 2025-23289 is closed and OCI 2026-23965 is open.	Email PDF p. 9
C	Commercial Crimes closure notice and California Attorney General Charitable Trusts direction to contact local law enforcement if a crime is being committed.	Email PDF p. 6
D	June 19, 2026 request to Sergeant Wright to review the W-9, landlord-verification packet, ACH record, unsigned lease, evidence preservation, and closure process.	Email PDF pp. 9-10

Evidentiary treatment

The attached email pages are included as routing and notice records. They are not offered as proof that any agency found criminal conduct, nor as proof that the disputed records are fraudulent. They establish the agency responses, the open/closed case status stated by the County Fraud Hotline, the local-law-enforcement direction stated by the Attorney General's Charitable Trusts Section, the Commercial Crimes closure, and the scope of the evidence-handling questions presented to Internal Affairs.

Distribution caution

This packet contains personal contact information and references to housing, law-enforcement, County investigation, and family-stability matters. It is intended for official complaint, investigative, legal, or oversight routing. Sensitive identifiers such as Social Security numbers, bank-account numbers, taxpayer-identification numbers, full dates of birth, medical identifiers, and child-identifying information should not be transmitted in unsecured correspondence.

C259045687 / C269013241 / 26-001767 / 2025-23289 / 2026-23965 / DOJ PIU 1508015 / 2026-C-0704 / LADA PRA 2026-0406-1059 / CFCI-PA3-056-2023

2 messages

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Sun, May 24, 2026 at 5:43 PM
To: publicintegrity@da.lacounty.gov, Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office <info@da.lacounty.gov>, WCCMail <whitecollarcrime@da.lacounty.gov>
Cc: Steven Wang <SWang@da.lacounty.gov>, Karen Nishita <KNishita@da.lacounty.gov>, halvarado@da.lacounty.gov

Please also cross-reference LADA PRA/CPRA Request No. 2026-0406-1059 and LADA Real Estate Fraud File No. 2026-C-0704, because those records concern prior LADA handling/routing of related real-estate-fraud, consumer-protection, white-collar, and complaint-intake issues connected to the same LAPD, OCI, DOJ PIU, and CFCI-PA3-056-2023 record chain.
Dear Public Integrity Division,

Please see the attached signed packet for Public Integrity screening.

Please cross-reference LADA PRA/CPRA Request No. 2026-0406-1059 and LADA Real Estate Fraud File No. 2026-C-0704. This submission concerns public-agency handling, routing, preservation, and accountability issues tied to the alleged 2023 consent/source-record issue involving CRCD / Project Tipping Point, CFCI-PA3-056-2023, LAHSA/HMIS, County Fraud/OCI, LAPD reports, and related housing-assistance records.

I am not asking LADA to decide a family-law case, unlawful-detainer case, private landlord dispute, benefits matter, or local code issue. I am requesting screening of whether public-agency handling, closure, routing, refusal to identify a responsible custodian, or failure to preserve/review records created a Public Integrity concern.

The central concern is whether a limited 2023 CRCD/Ruth's Place/HMIS contact was treated as blanket authorization for later 2024–2026 Project Tipping Point, Amity/CFCI, landlord/payment, lease, W-9, ACH, housing-assistance, education/workforce, and child/family-status records.

Please confirm receipt and advise whether this should remain with Public Integrity, be cross-referenced with LADA File 2026-C-0704 and PRA 2026-0406-1059, or be routed to another LADA unit.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert Haab
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

LADA_Public_Integrity_Expanded_Alleged_Consent_Source_Record_Packet_Haab.pdf
162 KB

Public Integrity Mail <publicintegrity@da.lacounty.gov> Wed, Jun 17, 2026 at 10:28 AM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Dear Mr. Haab,

The Public Integrity Division investigates and prosecutes crimes committed by public officials and employees in the course of their official duties. We do not have general oversight authority over other governmental agencies. The issues you raised in you email below do not identify criminal conduct. Therefore, we are unable to address the concerns you raised. You may consider contacting the Los Angeles Auditor-Controller, Office of County Investigations.

Sincerely,

Public Integrity Division

Today, June 8, 2026, I called the Auditor-Controller / County Fraud contact line regarding the above references. I was transferred toward the County Fraud / OCI route, but the transfer disconnected before completion. Shortly afterward, I received a private-number call that immediately hung up. I then called the Auditor-Controller number back and the call again disconnected/hung up.

I am not alleging misconduct from the call event itself. I am documenting that I attempted to obtain routing/status information by phone, but no substantive status, closure, referral, or written routing determination was provided.

This follow-up concerns the same records-preservation and routing issue involving:

- County Fraud / OCI: 2025-23289 and 2026_23965
- CRCD / Project Tipping Point ID: 1233369910
- JCOD / CFCI / Amity: CFCI-PA3-056-2023
- CalVCB Application / Claim No.: A26-10253806
- LAPD Report No.: C259045687
- Corrected / Related LAPD Report No.: C269013241
- LAHSA Ref.: 2526KH-A
- HMIS ID: 8F8CD9D8B
- DOL OIG FOIA No.: 2026067
- Related unlawful-detainer matter: 26CMUD00417
- Related family-law matter: 25CMFL00694
- Property: 1551 3/4 E. 106th St., Los Angeles, CA 90002

Please confirm in writing:

1. Whether OCI references 2025-23289 and 2026_23965 remain open, closed, referred, transferred, or pending;

2. Whether either reference has been assigned to a specific investigator, unit, or routing contact;

3. Whether Thomas Woods / OCI is the correct investigator or contact for follow-up;

4. Whether the proper written contact remains fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov, mhuddleston@auditor.lacounty.gov, jeho@auditor.lacounty.gov, or another Auditor-Controller / OCI address;

5. Whether any closure letter, referral letter, no-jurisdiction letter, status note, or routing determination exists;

6. Whether County Auditor / OCI has preserved complaint notes, referral records, attachments, emails, call notes, routing notes, and closure/routing determinations connected to these references.

I am requesting routing/status clarification only. I am not asking the Auditor-Controller, County Fraud, or OCI to decide a court case, CalVCB eligibility, CRCD liability, landlord liability, HUD/HMIS merits, JCOD/CFCI merits, or family-law issues through this email.

Please do not include Social Security numbers, full bank-account numbers, taxpayer-identification numbers, full dates of birth, or other sensitive identifiers in an unsecured email response.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert David Haab
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
[Quoted text hidden]

LA County Fraud Hotline <fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov> Tue, Jun 9, 2026 at 7:56 AM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Greetings,

Thank you for contacting the Los Angeles County Fraud Hotline. Case 2025-23289 is closed. Case 2026-23965 is open. You may check the status of your reported cases at https://fraud.lacounty.gov/. Case investigators will contact you if they deem necessary. Reports and all other records related to Fraud Hotline investigations are confidential. You should contact the County Fraud Hotline at fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov for any future inquiries.

Thank you.

<TWalston@counsel.lacounty.gov>, Erica Gomez <Egomez@counsel.lacounty.gov>, Alyssa Skolnick <askolnick@counsel.lacounty.gov>, Adam Echelman <aechelman@calmatters.org>, Veronica Escobar <VEscobar@counsel.lacounty.gov>, Michael Buennagel <MBuennagel@counsel.lacounty.gov>, DDeAnda@counsel.lacounty.gov <DDeAnda@counsel.lacounty.gov>, raylene.lewis@lacda.org <raylene.lewis@lacda.org>, erica.lazaldi@dds.ca.gov <erica.lazaldi@dds.ca.gov>, Kristen.Webb@dhcs.ca.gov <Kristen.Webb@dhcs.ca.gov>, kristina.hall@dds.ca.gov <kristina.hall@dds.ca.gov>, sdovick@dmh.lacounty.gov <sdovick@dmh.lacounty.gov>

Mr. Haab,

Commercial Crimes Division has closed your criminal case. Please call my office for further details on the closure of the criminal case. Remove me from ALL email correspondence.
[Quoted text hidden]

Caitlin Noble <Caitlin.Noble@doj.ca.gov> Thu, Apr 30, 2026 at 1:27 PM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Per our discussion, the Charitable Trusts Section is not able to provide information responsive to your request. If a crime is being committed, please contact local law enforcement. Thank you

Caitlin W. Noble | Supervising Deputy Attorney General

California Department of Justice

Office of the Attorney General | Charitable Trusts Section

Desk: (415) 510-3498

Cell: (415) 265-3327

This email may contain confidential or privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender and delete this message without copying or disclosing it.

From: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 30, 2026 3:30 AM
To: CORSFeedback <CORSFeedback@lapd.online>; LA County Fraud Hotline <fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov>; AC-CONSTITUENT <constituent@auditor.lacounty.gov>; Christopher Williams <cwilliams@lahsa.org>; Caitlin Noble <Caitlin.Noble@doj.ca.gov>; FRANCIS BOATENG <34909@lapd.online>; MELVIN HERNANDEZ <34337@lapd.online>; Melinda.Castro@dhcs.ca.gov; ASChambers <AS_Chambers@cacd.uscourts.gov>; Kelly.Anderson@dre.ca.gov
Cc: Karen Nishita <KNishita@da.lacounty.gov>; Steven Wang <SWang@da.lacounty.gov>; HHS_FOIA_Public_Liaison@hhs.gov; FOIARequest@hhs.gov; FOIAExecSec@hud.gov; Passero, Roger - OIG <Passero.Roger@oig.dol.gov>; Tobias, Michael <Michael.Tobias@ed.gov>; OGIS@nara.gov; EDFOIAManager@ed.gov; EDFOIAappeals@ed.gov; robert.wehausen@ed.gov; Sean McDonald <SMcDonald@counsel.lacounty.gov>; Tiffany Walston <TWalston@counsel.lacounty.gov>; Erica Gomez <Egomez@counsel.lacounty.gov>; Alyssa Skolnick <askolnick@counsel.lacounty.gov>; Adam Echelman <aechelman@calmatters.org>; Veronica Escobar <VEscobar@counsel.lacounty.gov>; Michael Buennagel <MBuennagel@counsel.lacounty.gov>; DDeAnda@counsel.lacounty.gov; raylene.lewis@lacda.org; erica.lazaldi@dds.ca.gov; Kristen.Webb@dhcs.ca.gov; kristina.hall@dds.ca.gov; sdovick@dmh.lacounty.gov
Subject: Fwd: : Request for Assignment – Identity Theft & Fraudulent Housing Assistance Case (LAPD Case #C259045687)C269013241 /2026-23965/2025-23925/CV26-802-UA/L109105-040626/NEW-2026-01-18-8837/PC 1-26-0316-003/CPRA #2026-0406-1059/DMFEA Complaint Submission...

Client Case Notes History.pdf
95 KB

2026-05-11-PRA Response Letter-Final(3).pdf
363 KB

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Mon, Jun 1, 2026 at 1:59 PM
To: Sandra.Barrientos@doj.ca.gov, Jami.Cantore@doj.ca.gov, Caroline.Hughes@doj.ca.gov, complaints@doj.ca.gov, Alma.Pantoja@doj.ca.gov, HUDLACustomerService@hud.gov, Develyn.Rhodes-Johnson@hud.gov, Choi, Chin Woo <ChinWoo.Choi@hud.gov>, cpdgdas@hud.gov, Dela, Ester F <Ester.F.Dela@hud.gov>, Blanco, Rebecca A <rebecca.blanco@hud.gov>, Christopher Williams <cwilliams@lahsa.org>, DHCS Incident Reporting <incidents@dhcs.ca.gov>, COCO_HIPAA <HIPAA@counsel.lacounty.gov>
Cc: Caitlin Noble <Caitlin.Noble@doj.ca.gov>, Miguel Victorino <Miguel.Victorino@doj.ca.gov>, Joseph.Zimring@doj.ca.gov

To the California DOJ Public Inquiry Unit / Housing Justice Team / Appropriate DOJ Routing Unit,

I am submitting this supplemental follow-up for DOJ PIU No. 1508015.

This notice documents an investigation-integrity and accountability-routing concern. I am not asking DOJ to decide my full housing, family-law, unlawful-detainer, LAPD, County CFCI, CRCD / Project Tipping Point, HHS, DHCS, HUD, DOL, ED, or education-record matter.

The narrow issue is that multiple agencies have narrowed, delayed, redirected, or held separate pieces of the same source-record chain, but no office has identified final accountability for the handoff failure.

Attached are two records supporting this concern:

1. Source Authorization / Education-Record Demand — showing my request for CRCD / LACCD / LATTC to identify the exact consent, ROI, FERPA authorization, HMIS authorization, participant agreement, privacy notice, education-record release, landlord/payment authorization, and records custodian relied upon for 2024–2026 activity.

2. LAHSA / LAPD-IAD Routing Record — showing LAHSA's limited funding-jurisdiction review, referral back to County Fraud / OCI, and LAPD/IAD's instruction that I hold supplemental correspondence until Complaint Form 26-001767 is assigned to an investigator.

Relevant identifiers include DOJ PIU 1508015, OCI 2025-23289, OCI 2026_23965, CFCI-PA3-056-2023, CRCD / PTP ID 1233369910, HMIS ID 8F8CD9D8B, LAHSA Ref. 2526KH-A, LAPD C259045687, LAPD C269013241, LAPD Complaint Form 26-001767, DOL FOIA 2026067, HUD FOIA 26-FI-RO9-01580, ED OIG C26IOU05768, FERPA/SPPO 26-0253, and CalVCB A26-10253806.

My request is limited: please preserve this supplement with DOJ PIU 1508015 and identify whether this investigation-integrity concern belongs with the Housing Justice Team, Public Inquiry Unit, Charitable Trusts, Consumer Protection, another DOJ unit, or should be referred back to County Counsel, Auditor-Controller / OCI, LA County OIG, City OIG, DHCS, HHS/OCR, HUD, ED, DOL, or another office.

The issue is not lack of reporting. The issue is that the handoff itself has broken down.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert Haab
1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4
Los Angeles, CA 90002
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Wed, Jun 17, 2026 at 6:07 PM
To: 30274@lapd.online

[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Fri, Jun 19, 2026 at 4:06 AM
To: SGT Mark Wright <30274@lapd.online>, DAVID HWANG <34403@lapd.online>
Cc: OIG Complaint <oigcomplaint@lapd.online>, Community Relations OIG <communityrelationsoig@lacity.org>, complaints@doj.ca.gov, Sandra Barrientos <Sandra.Barrientos@doj.ca.gov>, COCO_HIPAA <HIPAA@counsel.lacounty.gov>, Caroline.Hughes@doj.ca.gov, Caitlin Noble <Caitlin.Noble@doj.ca.gov>

Sergeant Wright,

I am requesting that you review the W-9 and disputed landlord-verification records as part of the Internal Affairs investigation concerning LAPD's handling of RMS Reports C259045687 and C269013241.

These documents were central to my original report and were specifically requested by Captain Francis Boateng. Commercial Crimes later confirmed that my documents had been received.

The records requiring review include:

- the landlord-verification packet submitted in connection with the CRCD/Project Tipping Point rental-assistance process;
- the W-9 containing identifying information that I dispute and did not authorize;
- the ACH or payment-authorization records containing inconsistent identifying information;
- the lease listing my name that I did not sign;
- related emails showing who requested, transmitted, received, or relied on those records.

My complaint is not limited to disagreement with the case-closure decision. I am asking Internal Affairs to determine whether Commercial Crimes personnel properly:

1. reviewed the actual W-9 and landlord-verification records;
2. compared the identifying information across the W-9, ACH, lease, and participant records;
3. attempted to obtain the original documents and metadata from CRCD, the landlord, Amity, JCOD, or another records custodian;
4. identified who completed and submitted the disputed forms;
5. interviewed the relevant witnesses or custodians;
6. preserved and logged the documents I submitted;
7. documented the factual basis for concluding that the records did not support further criminal investigation; and
8. considered whether the later agency-generated records met Captain Boateng's stated condition for possible reopening.

Please associate this request and the previously forwarded documents with:

- RMS C259045687;
- RMS C269013241; and
- Complaint Form 26-001767.

Please confirm that the W-9, landlord-verification packet, lease, ACH records, and related correspondence are included in your investigative file and will be reviewed as part of the complaint.

Respectfully,

Kyle David Haab
[Quoted text hidden]

SUPPLEMENTAL COUNTY PRIVACY AND CONTROLLED-RECORD ADDENDUM

LAPD Internal Affairs Complaint 26-001767 | RMS C259045687 and C269013241 | OCI 2025-23289 and 2026-23965

Date	June 19, 2026
Prepared by	Kyle David Haab
Purpose	Merge County privacy and controlled source-record exhibits into the existing conflict-safe routing packet.

Control statement

These exhibits are included for routing, preservation, conflict screening, records-custody identification, and disposition reconciliation. They are not presented as a finding that CRCD, Project Tipping Point, a County contractor, LAPD personnel, or any public official violated HIPAA, committed a crime, or engaged in misconduct.

Why the added records matter

- **County privacy lane.** The January 16, 2026 County Counsel complaint identifies alleged unauthorized use, disclosure, and handling of personal and health information connected to CRCD/Project Tipping Point and County-funded supportive-services administration.
- **Cross-agency continuity.** ICMS No. 2025-137175 V23 preserves the related identifiers, custodian questions, referral history, limited agency dispositions, and source-record categories across County, City, State, federal, law-enforcement, housing, workforce, and privacy channels.
- **Closure-scope issue.** The added material supports a narrow inquiry into whether the County privacy complaint, consent/ROI questions, access and disclosure records, and County-contractor records were preserved or considered before OCI 2025-23289 was closed and whether they are associated with open OCI 2026-23965.

Requested handling

- Cross-reference the County Counsel privacy complaint with LAPD Complaint 26-001767, RMS C259045687 and C269013241, OCI 2025-23289, and open OCI 2026-23965.
- Identify whether County Counsel, DHS Audit and Compliance, LAHSA, JCOD, Amity, CRCD, County Fraud/OCI, or HHS OCR received, screened, referred, preserved, or issued a written disposition regarding the alleged privacy and source-authorization issues.
- Do not treat a funding-only, jurisdictional, records-only, privacy-routing, or administrative closure as a global merits determination of the disputed W-9, ACH, lease, landlord-verification, consent, payment, identity, or participant-record evidence.
- Provide a conflict-safe referral or written handoff where the office whose handling is being reviewed cannot serve as the sole destination for the unresolved evidence.

Added exhibits

Exhibit 11	Office of County Counsel Health Information Privacy and Security Complaint Form, dated January 16, 2026	1 page
Exhibit 12	ICMS No. 2025-137175 - V23 Controlled Source-Record, Federal Procedural Posture, White House Routing, and FBPS Disposition Su	372 pages

Sensitive-record notice: The merged packet contains personal, health, housing, family, victim-support, and investigative-routing information. Use secure transmission and avoid unnecessary redistribution.

EXHIBIT F

LAHSA Redd File, OCI Referral, and LAPD PSB/IAD Classification

Redd file custody, limited LAHSA findings, law-enforcement recommendation context, and PSB/IAD scope.

Source control

Source: selected pages 181-187 from the LAPD/IAD source packet. These pages preserve Christopher Williams' responses and the PSB/IAD classification correspondence.

Evidentiary limitation

The correspondence reflects the participants' stated understanding and scope. It is not a finding that a crime, privacy violation, or personnel violation occurred.

Re: C259045687 / C269013241 / OCI 2025-23289 & 2026-23965 / DOJ PIU #1508015 / DOL FOIA 2026067/PC 1-26-0316-003/ HUD FOIA-26-FI-RO9-01580/complaint form NO 26-001767

7 messages

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Tue, May 19, 2026 at 11:11 AM
To: Christopher Williams <cwilliams@lahsa.org>, JAY BALGEMINO <37816@lapd.online>, DAVID HWANG <34403@lapd.online>, CHARLES SCHLUND <35549@lapd.online>, CEOP <ceop@bos.lacounty.gov>
Cc: LA County Fraud Hotline <fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov>, AC-CONSTITUENT <constituent@auditor.lacounty.gov>, HUDLACustomerService@hud.gov, Clarke, Adriane J <Adriane.J.Clarke@hud.gov>, cpdgdas@hud.gov, Choi, Chin Woo <ChinWoo.Choi@hud.gov>, FOIAExecSec@hud.gov, foiaregion9@hud.gov, Dela, Ester F <Ester.F.Dela@hud.gov>, Sanford, Ahliyah <asanford@bos.lacounty.gov>, Hills, LaJuannah <lhills@bos.lacounty.gov>, Escobedo, Marina <MEscobedo@bos.lacounty.gov>, Community Relations OIG <communityrelationsoig@lacity.org>

Sergeant Balgemino,

I am providing one supplemental clarification for the PSB / IAD classification review regarding LAPD RMS C259045687 and C269013241.

Christopher Williams, LAHSA Deputy Chief Risk Officer, has now clarified LAHSA's position regarding Christopher Redd's prior review. Mr. Williams stated that LAHSA has custody of Mr. Redd's case file and that he, as Deputy Chief Risk Officer, has access to those records. He further stated that LAHSA referred the matter back to the Los Angeles County Auditor-Controller Office of County Investigations on December 11, 2025, and that a summary of Mr. Redd's findings was provided to OCI as a closure memorandum for LAHSA's involvement in case 2025_23289.

Mr. Williams also clarified that Mr. Redd's findings were limited to concluding that Project Tipping Point was not funded by LAHSA. Based on that response, LAHSA's closure appears to have been jurisdictional/funding-based only, not a merits determination on the underlying identity-theft, falsified-records, consent/authorization, W-9/ACH, landlord/payment, CRCD, HMIS/Freshservice, or minor-child-record issues.

This is material to the PSB / IAD review because LAPD's Commercial Crimes closure should be reviewed against whether LAPD obtained or cross-referenced the LAHSA Redd file, the December 11, 2025 LAHSA-to-OCI closure memorandum, OCI case 2025_23289, any related 2026_23965 record, and the LAHSA Risk Management / HMIS / Freshservice record chain before determining that C259045687 and C269013241 were insufficient.

For clarity, I am not asking PSB to decide LAHSA, CRCD, HUD/HMIS, CFCI, or County Fraud merits. I am asking that the complaint classification consider whether LAPD's investigation and closure explanation accounted for the agency records that LAHSA has now confirmed exist or were referred to OCI.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert Haab
1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4
Los Angeles, CA 90002
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

On Tue, May 19, 2026, 8:43 AM Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> wrote:

> HUD CPD / HUD Los Angeles Field Office / HUD FOIA Region IX,
> I am supplementing my prior HUD FOIA / CPD escalation request because LAHSA has now provided a written response through Christopher Williams, Deputy Chief Risk Officer, regarding LAHSA investigator Christopher Redd's review.
> Based on Mr. Williams' response, LAHSA's institutional position appears to be:
> LAHSA has custody of Mr. Redd's case file, notes, emails, findings, referrals, closure notes, and related records;
> Mr. Williams, as Deputy Chief Risk Officer, has access to those records;
> LAHSA referred the matter back to the Los Angeles County Auditor-Controller Office of County Investigations on December 11, 2025;
> LAHSA submitted a closure memorandum to OCI for case 2025_23289;
> Mr. Redd's findings were limited to concluding that Project Tipping Point was not funded by LAHSA;
> LAHSA's closure was therefore funding-jurisdictional only and was not a merits determination regarding consent, HMIS authorization, Release of Information, data-sharing, CRCD/PTP records, landlord-payment records, W-9/ACH records, household/family-status records, or records involving my minor children;
> LAHSA advised law-enforcement reporting based on allegations involving identity theft and falsification of records by a CRCD staff member;
> LAHSA considers its role closed as of December 11, 2025.
> This response is significant because it does not answer the HUD/HMIS source-record issue. LAHSA's conclusion that Project Tipping Point was not LAHSA-funded does not resolve whether LAHSA, local HMIS systems, CRCD, Amity Foundation, AJCC / WorkSource

partners, or related providers had valid consent, Release of Information, HMIS authorization, disclosure authority, access-log support, or data-sharing authority for the records later used or relied on.
I am not asking HUD to decide my family-law case, unlawful-detainer case, criminal allegation, or state-hearing merits. I am requesting written procedural clarification on the correct HUD / CPD / HMIS escalation path now that LAHSA has confirmed its own review was limited to funding jurisdiction only.
Please confirm:
whether HUD CPD considers LAHSA's "not LAHSA-funded" response sufficient to close the separate HMIS consent-source, data-sharing, and records-custody issues;
if not, which HUD office, CPD contact, HMIS lead, grantee/subrecipient custodian, or oversight pathway should receive the unresolved consent/ROI/source-record issue;
whether HUD FOIA 26-FI-RO9-01580 can be aligned with LAHSA's December 11, 2025 closure memorandum to OCI for case 2025_23289;
whether HUD can identify the proper records custodian for consent/ROI records, HMIS authorization records, access logs, disclosure logs, correction-request records, Freshservice/HMIS tickets, Risk Management routing, and LAHSA/OCI transmittal records;
whether HUD has a procedure for unresolved local HMIS source-record disputes when the local HMIS lead or related agency responds only on funding jurisdiction;
whether this matter should be routed through HUD CPD, HUD FOIA, HUD OIG, FHEO, Region IX CPD, LAHSA HMIS, or the local Continuum of Care governance structure.
Relevant identifiers include:
HUD FOIA: 26-FI-RO9-01580
HUD AAQ Question ID: 260629
HUD FHEO Inquiry: 860997
HMIS ID: 8F8CD9D8B
LAHSA Ref.: 2526KH-A
HMIS / LAHSA tickets: 259260, 260627, 267528
County Fraud / OCI: 2025_23289 and 2026_23965
CRCD / Project Tipping Point participant ID: 1233369910
CFCI reference: CFCI-PA3-056-2023
Property: 1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4, Los Angeles, CA 90002
Federal preservation docket: CV26-802-UA / 2:26-cv-00802-JFW-AS
Please preserve and align this message with my prior HUD FOIA / CPD escalation packet and identify the correct office or records custodian for the unresolved consent-source, HMIS authorization, data-sharing, and disclosure-record issues.
Respectfully,
Kyle Robert Haab
1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4
Los Angeles, CA 90002
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
On Sun, May 17, 2026, 5:08 PM Christopher Williams <cwilliams@lahsa.org> wrote:

> Dear Mr. Haab,
>
> Please find responses inserted in red below.
>
>
>
> **Christopher Williams (he/him)**
> Deputy Chief Risk Officer
> Cell: 213-523-0938
> Email: cwilliams@lahsa.org
> www.lahsa.org
>
> *To drive the collaborative strategic vision to create solutions for the crisis of homelessness grounded in hope, compassion, and community.*
>
> *This transmission is intended only for the use of the addressee. If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. Be aware that most communications with LAHSA are subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act. If you received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the sender by replying to this communication or by telephone at **213-523-0938**. Thank you.*
>
> ---
>
> **From:** Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
> **Sent:** Thursday, April 23, 2026 3:04 PM
> **To:** Christopher Williams <cwilliams@lahsa.org>

Cc: LA County Fraud Hotline <fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov>
Subject: Re: LAHSA investigator Christopher Redd

Dear Mr. Williams,

Thank you for confirming that Christopher Redd was the LAHSA investigator who worked on my case and that he is no longer available to discuss LAHSA-related matters.

Because Mr. Redd worked on this matter, I am requesting written clarification regarding the current status, record custody, and outcome of his review.

Please confirm:

1. who now has custody of Mr. Redd's case file, notes, emails, findings, referrals, closure notes, or related records;
LAHSA has custody of his case files, etc. The Deputy Chief Risk Officer has access to them. (That is me.)

2. whether the matter was closed, transferred, referred, or left pending after Mr. Redd left LAHSA;
The matter was referred back to the Office of County Investigations (OCI) on December 11, 2025.

3. who, if anyone, is now assigned to respond to questions regarding Mr. Redd's review;
There are no outstanding questions regarding Mr. Redd's review. If there were to be any, I would be the person to respond.

4. whether LAHSA issued any findings, determinations, referrals, internal notes, or closure explanation concerning my complaints;
As you have been informed, LAHSA concluded that Project Tipping Point, the project you referred to that was being implemented by the Coalition for Responsible Community Development (CRCD), did not receive any funding from LAHSA, and therefore LAHSA would not be investigating it.

5. whether any records from Mr. Redd's review were provided to, discussed with, or referred to the Los Angeles County Auditor-Controller Office of County Investigations, including the Fraud Hotline.
A summary of Mr. Redd's findings were provided to OCI as a closure memorandum for LAHSA's involvement in case 2025_23289 due to Project Tipping Point not being a LAHSA-funded program. His findings were limited to concluding that the program was not funded by LAHSA, which is a requirement for LAHSA investigations.

The consent / authorization issue remains unanswered. Please confirm whether LAHSA, Mr. Redd, or any LAHSA-related system reviewed or obtained records sufficient to show what consent, Release of Information, participant authorization, HMIS authorization, data-sharing authorization, or other written permission allowed CRCD, LAHSA, Amity Foundation, AJCC / WorkSource partners, or related providers to collect, access, disclose, transmit, or rely on my personal information, household information, housing information, landlord information, lease materials, W-9 / ACH materials, case notes, referral records, supportive-services records, or program eligibility records.

Please specifically confirm whether LAHSA has records showing:

1. the date any consent or authorization was signed;

2. the scope of the consent or authorization;

3. the programs, agencies, providers, landlords, or partners covered by the consent;

4. whether the consent covered CRCD, Project Tipping Point, LAHSA, Amity Foundation, Vernon-Central / LATTC WorkSource, AJCC-related activity, housing assistance, landlord contact, or data-sharing activity;

5. whether consent existed before the March 18, 2024 referral/contact activity;

6. whether Mr. Redd reviewed the consent issue before leaving LAHSA;

7. whether any missing, incomplete, expired, or disputed consent issue was identified, referred, or preserved.

Mr. Haab, you have already been provided all HMIS materials pertaining to you. You can review these materials yourself.

I am also requesting clarification regarding LAHSA's prior instruction that I report the matter to law enforcement based on concerns involving fraud and theft. Please confirm:

1. who made that instruction or recommendation;

As I understand it, Mr. Redd recommended that you report the matter to law enforcement based on your apparent allegation of identify theft and falsification of records by a CRCD staff member.

2. whether it was based on LAHSA's review of records, my allegations, CRCD records, landlord-related records, payment records, consent records, or other information;
As I understand it, Mr. Redd recommended that you report the matter to law enforcement based on your apparent allegation of identify theft and falsification of records by a CRCD staff member.

3. whether LAHSA documented the basis for advising law-enforcement reporting;
As I understand it, Mr. Redd recommended that you report the matter to law enforcement based on your apparent allegation of identify theft and falsification of records by a CRCD staff member.

4. whether LAHSA itself made or considered making any referral to law enforcement, the County Auditor-Controller, OCI, County Counsel, LAHD, HUD, or another oversight body;
LAHSA referred the matter back to OCI because it does not involve a LAHSA-funded project. LAHSA did not make any further referrals, as LAHSA had already been informed by you that you had reported it to LAPD.

5. whether LAHSA determined the issue was outside LAHSA's administrative jurisdiction because it involved possible fraud, theft, misuse of funds, or unauthorized handling of records;
LAHSA referred the matter back to OCI because it does not involve a LAHSA-funded project, not based on the specific nature of the allegations.

6. whether any such fraud/theft-related concern remains open, was closed, or was referred elsewhere.
LAHSA referred the matter back to OCI because it does not involve a LAHSA-funded project, not based on the specific nature of the allegations. That referral closed LAHSA's involvement with the matter.

I am also requesting clarification regarding any recording, photographing, audio-recording, video-recording, screenshotting, documenting, retaining, transmitting, or sharing of images, audio, video, or identifying information involving my minor children by CRCD, CRCD staff, Project Tipping Point, housing-support staff, or any related partner.

Please confirm whether LAHSA, Mr. Redd, or any LAHSA-related review identified, received, reviewed, preserved, or was informed of any records involving my minor children, including:

1. photos, videos, audio recordings, screenshots, intake records, household records, case notes, text messages, emails, or attachments involving my children;

2. the date, purpose, program, staff member, and system connected to any such recording or documentation;

3. what consent, parental authorization, guardian authorization, court authorization, media release, participant release, HMIS authorization, or other written permission was relied upon;

4. whether any images, audio, video, or identifying information involving my children were shared internally, externally, or with partner agencies;

5. whether LAHSA or Mr. Redd reviewed this minor-child consent issue before Mr. Redd left LAHSA.
As far as I know, LAHSA did not identify, receive, review, preserve, or get informed of any records involving your minor children. The investigation was limited to the process of determining that Project Tipping Point was not funded by LAHSA, and thus was not an issue for LAHSA to investigate, so LAHSA referred it back to OCI.

My main question is this:

What did LAHSA determine, document, refer, or preserve in connection with Mr. Redd's review of my matter, including the unresolved consent / authorization issue, LAHSA's instruction that I report concerns involving fraud and theft to law enforcement, and any records involving my minor children?
The investigation by Mr. Redd was limited to the process of determining that Project Tipping Point was not funded by LAHSA, and thus was not an issue for LAHSA to investigate, so LAHSA referred it back to OCI.

Please also preserve all records connected to Mr. Redd's handling of my matter, including emails, notes, case summaries, consent records, Release of Information records, HMIS-related records, communications with CRCD, communications with County departments, referral records, closure notes, records involving my minor children, and communications involving the Auditor-Controller / OCI.
LAHSA retains all records for seven years.

Since the LA County Fraud Hotline is copied on this thread, I am also requesting that LAHSA clarify whether it considers this matter open, closed, referred, or outside LAHSA's jurisdiction, and whether OCI has been provided any records or summary related to Mr. Redd's prior involvement.

LAHSA considers its role in this matter closed as of December 11, 2025, when its memorandum for case 2025_23289 was submitted to OCI.

Thank you,

Kyle David Haab
1551 E. 106th St Unit 3/4
Los Angeles, CA 90002
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

On Thu, Apr 23, 2026, 2:50 PM Christopher Williams <cwilliams@lahsa.org> wrote:

> Dear Mr. Haab,
>
> I understand that you have asked the Los Angeles County Department of Auditor-Controller's Office of County Investigations to have the LAHSA investigator who worked on your case, Christopher Redd, to call you.
>
> Mr. Redd moved to another position in another organization last month, and is no longer available to discuss LAHSA-related matters.
>
> Is there a question that I may be able to help you with?
>
> Thank you,
>
> Chris
>
> **Christopher Williams (he/him)**
> Deputy Chief Risk Officer
> Cell: 213-523-0938
> Email: cwilliams@lahsa.org
> www.lahsa.org
>
> *To drive the collaborative strategic vision to create solutions for the crisis of homelessness grounded in hope, compassion, and community.*
>
> *This transmission is intended only for the use of the addressee. If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. Be aware that most communications with LAHSA are subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act. If you received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the sender by replying to this communication or by telephone at **213-523-0938**. Thank you.*

JAY BALGEMINO <37816@lapd.online> Tue, May 19, 2026 at 12:54 PM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Mr. Haab,

I'm not investigating the personnel complaint. It would be assigned to an investigator. I'll forward your email to the investigator once it is assigned.
The investigation of the identity theft reports is the responsibility of Commercial Crimes Division. PSB and IAD only investigates employee misconduct.
As soon as this complaint gets assigned to an investigator, I would let you know and you can forward all your email correspondences to them. Until then, you can hold off
on sending me any correspondence by email.

Thank you very much.

Sergeant II Jay Balgemino

Los Angeles Police Department
Professional Standards Bureau
Complaint Classifications Unit
201 N. Figueroa Street, #600
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Phone: (213) 996-2768
Email: 37816@lapd.online

WARNING: This Communication with its contents may contain confidential and/or legally privileged information. It is solely for the use of the intended recipient(s). Unauthorized interception, review, use or disclosure is prohibited and may violate applicable laws including the Electronic Communication Privacy Act. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of the communication.

From: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 19, 2026 11:11 AM
To: Christopher Williams <cwilliams@lahsa.org>; JAY BALGEMINO <37816@lapd.online>; DAVID HWANG <34403@lapd.online>; CHARLES SCHLUND <35549@lapd.online>; CEOP <ceop@bos.lacounty.gov>
Cc: LA County Fraud Hotline <fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov>; AC-CONSTITUENT <constituent@auditor.lacounty.gov>; HUDLACustomerService@hud.gov <HUDLACustomerService@hud.gov>; Clarke, Adriane J <Adriane.J.Clarke@hud.gov>; cpdgdas@hud.gov <cpdgdas@hud.gov>; Choi, Chin Woo <ChinWoo.Choi@hud.gov>; FOIAExecSec@hud.gov <FOIAExecSec@hud.gov>; foiaregion9@hud.gov <foiaregion9@hud.gov>; Dela, Ester F <Ester.F.Dela@hud.gov>; Sanford, Ahliyah <asanford@bos.lacounty.gov>; Hills, LaJuannah <lhills@bos.lacounty.gov>; Escobedo, Marina <MEscobedo@bos.lacounty.gov>; Community Relations OIG <communityrelationsoig@lacity.org>
Subject: Re: C259045687 / C269013241 / OCI 2025-23289 & 2026-23965 / DOJ PIU #1508015 / DOL FOIA 2026067/PC 1-26-0316-003/ HUD FOIA-26-FI-RO9-01580/complaint form NO 26-001767

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Wed, May 20, 2026 at 1:27 PM
To: JAY BALGEMINO <37816@lapd.online>
Cc: OIG Complaint <oigcomplaint@lapd.online>, Community Relations OIG <communityrelationsoig@lacity.org>, FERNANDO PRIETO <34158@lapd.online>, FRANCIS BOATENG <34909@lapd.online>, DAVID HWANG <34403@lapd.online>, CHARLES SCHLUND <35549@lapd.online>, Caroline.Hughes@doj.ca.gov, Caitlin Noble <Caitlin.Noble@doj.ca.gov>, Sandra.Barrientos@doj.ca.gov, Jami.Cantore@doj.ca.gov, Douglas, Maya <maya.douglas@asm.ca.gov>

Dear Sergeant Balgemino,

I am attaching an updated packet for Complaint Form No. 26-001767 regarding LAPD's handling, routing, review, documentation, status communication, and closure of LAPD reports C259045687 and C269013241.

This attachment is not asking PSB/IAD to decide the full CRCD, LAHSA, HMIS, OCI, housing, benefits, family-law, or civil dispute. The focus is narrow: whether LAPD properly handled and closed C259045687 and C269013241, and whether the reports were properly linked, separated, reviewed, documented, and explained before closure.

Please confirm:

1. whether Complaint Form No. 26-001767 has been assigned to an investigator;
2. the investigator's name, unit, phone number, and email;
3. whether the complaint includes both C259045687 and C269013241;
4. where supplemental evidence should be submitted so it is preserved in the complaint file.

For clarity, I am not requesting another general redirect back to Commercial Crimes Division. CCD already closed the reports, and Captain Boateng directed me to OIG/Internal Affairs. I am requesting the assigned PSB/IAD contact for the complaint concerning CCD's handling and closure of these two reports.

Respectfully,

Kyle Haab
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
[Quoted text hidden]

37816_PSB_With_Prieto.pdf
1 MB

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Thu, May 21, 2026 at 11:44 AM
To: JAY BALGEMINO <37816@lapd.online>
Cc: OIG Complaint <oigcomplaint@lapd.online>, Community Relations OIG <communityrelationsoig@lacity.org>, FERNANDO PRIETO <34158@lapd.online>, FRANCIS BOATENG <34909@lapd.online>, DAVID HWANG <34403@lapd.online>, CHARLES SCHLUND <35549@lapd.online>, Caroline.Hughes@doj.ca.gov, Caitlin Noble <Caitlin.Noble@doj.ca.gov>, Sandra.Barrientos@doj.ca.gov, Jami.Cantore@doj.ca.gov, Douglas, Maya <maya.douglas@asm.ca.gov>

Dear Sergeant Balgemino,

I am following up regarding Complaint Form No. 26-001767 concerning LAPD's handling, routing, documentation, linkage, status communication, and closure of LAPD reports C259045687 and C269013241.

I understand from your May 19, 2026 response that you are not personally investigating the personnel complaint, that the matter will be assigned to an investigator, and that PSB/IAD reviews employee misconduct while Commercial Crimes Division remains responsible for the identity-theft report merits.

To avoid sending duplicate or misrouted materials, please confirm the following when available:

1. Whether Complaint Form No. 26-001767 has been assigned to an investigator;
2. The assigned investigator's name, unit, phone number, and email address;
3. Whether the complaint file includes both LAPD reports C259045687 and C269013241;
4. Whether the complaint is being reviewed as a personnel-handling / misconduct issue involving report routing, investigation, closure explanation, documentation, or failure to consider related agency records;
5. The correct method for submitting supplemental evidence so it is preserved in the complaint file.

For clarity, I am not asking PSB/IAD to decide the full CRCD, LAHSA, HMIS, OCI, housing, benefits, family-law, or civil dispute. I am asking for review of whether LAPD personnel properly handled and closed the two LAPD reports and whether the reports were properly linked, separated, reviewed, documented, and explained.

The reason this remains material is that LAHSA has now confirmed that Christopher Redd's review was limited to determining that Project Tipping Point was not LAHSA-funded, and that LAHSA referred the matter back to OCI on December 11, 2025 with a closure memorandum for case 2025_23289. That means LAHSA's closure was jurisdictional/funding-based only and did not resolve the underlying identity-theft, falsified-records, consent/authorization, W-9/ACH, landlord/payment, CRCD, HMIS, or minor-child-record issues.

Please confirm the assigned investigator or the proper submission path when available. I will hold additional evidence unless requested or until I am provided the correct investigator or intake channel.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert Haab
1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4
Los Angeles, CA 90002
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Tue, May 26, 2026 at 7:20 PM
To: JAY BALGEMINO <37816@lapd.online>, Develyn.Rhodes-Johnson@hud.gov
Cc: OIG Complaint <oigcomplaint@lapd.online>, Community Relations OIG <communityrelationsoig@lacity.org>, FERNANDO PRIETO <34158@lapd.online>, FRANCIS BOATENG <34909@lapd.online>, DAVID HWANG <34403@lapd.online>, CHARLES SCHLUND <35549@lapd.online>, Caroline.Hughes@doj.ca.gov, Caitlin Noble <Caitlin.Noble@doj.ca.gov>, Sandra.Barrientos@doj.ca.gov, Jami.Cantore@doj.ca.gov, Douglas, Maya <maya.douglas@asm.ca.gov>

Dear HUD CPD Coverage Contact,

I received the out-of-office notice for Adriane Clarke and am forwarding this only for coverage/routing awareness.

This concerns HUD / LAHSA / HMIS routing and preservation issues connected to CalVCB Application No. A26-10253806, LAPD reports C259045687 and C269013241, County Fraud / OCI references 2025-23289 and 2026-23965, and LAPD Complaint Form No. 26-

EXHIBIT G

Updated LAPD / OCI Conflict-Safe Assignment Request

Evidence completeness, reopening pathway, and independent-routing questions.

Source control

Source: selected pages 397-401 from the LAPD/IAD source packet. The request asks whether material County and LAHSA records were obtained before closure and identifies the evidence categories requiring review.

Evidentiary limitation

This is a requester-prepared preservation and routing submission, not an agency finding. The agency source records and formal responses control.

URGENT REQUEST FOR CONFLICT-SAFE ASSIGNMENT, INTERAGENCY COORDINATION, AND SUPPLEMENTAL REVIEW

LAPD RMS C259045687 and C269013241 | LAPD Complaint 26-001767 | County Fraud/OCI 2025-23289 and 2026-23965 | LADA BOI 2026-C-0704 | DRE PC 1-26-0316-003

Primary addressee	Sergeant Mark Wright, LAPD Internal Affairs Division
Additional distribution	LAPD command/OIG; Los Angeles County Fraud Hotline and Auditor-Controller contacts; DHS Audit & Compliance; LAHSA Risk; CEOP; County privacy; California DOJ/DHCS contacts; other recipients shown in Exhibit A
Submitted by	Kyle David Haab | kyle.dietz99@gmail.com | 657-557-4459
Purpose	Obtain a documented, conflict-safe investigative handoff; determine whether material County records were obtained before LAPD closure; preserve the reopening pathway described by Commercial Crimes; and prevent circular referral to the same units or contractors whose handling is disputed.

Requested response: written confirmation of scope, records reviewed, referral status, responsible authority, and whether the reports will be reopened or supplemented upon receipt of the DHS, LAHSA, and OCI materials.

1. Executive request

This submission does not ask Internal Affairs to decide County funding, licensing, privacy, housing, workforce, or civil claims outside LAPD jurisdiction. It asks Internal Affairs to review LAPD employee conduct and to identify a conflict-safe route for the unresolved underlying evidence while Commercial Crimes handling and closure are themselves under review.

The central problem is circularity. Commercial Crimes closed the LAPD reports based on the information available to it and referred the matter back to Los Angeles County Fraud. At the same time, County Fraud/OCI, DHS, LAHSA, and other County components possess or may possess administrative and investigative records that are not identified in the LAPD closure letter. The record also reflects that OCI 2026-23965 remains unresolved or awaiting a substantive response.

A closure based on an incomplete interagency record should not be treated as a comprehensive merits determination. If material County records were not requested or reviewed, those records fall within Captain Boateng's stated reopening standard for additional information.

2. The May 6, 2026 LAPD closure leaves a material record question

The Commercial Crimes letter states that the Department completed its investigation into alleged forged W-9 and ACH documents, mismatched Social Security numbers, unauthorized use of identity information, alleged illegal ADU rental, and misrepresentation of program information under RMS C259045687 and C269013241. It says multiple documents were reviewed but no evidence was identified to substantiate the allegations.

Critically, the conclusion is expressly framed as being based on the available information. The same letter directs possible further action to Project Tipping Point, CRCD, the Attorney General, the Department of Consumer Affairs, the Civil Rights Department, LA County Fraud, and the EEOC. The underlying LAPD narrative also identifies County Fraud matters 2025-23289 and 2026-23965.

Those statements require a source-record answer: what information was actually available to Commercial Crimes, what County files were requested, what records were received, and what evidence remained outside LAPD possession when the closure issued.

The decisive question is not whether LAPD reviewed "multiple documents." It is whether LAPD obtained and reviewed the material DHS, LAHSA, and OCI records connected to the same disputed documents and payment chain before closing the case.

3. Unresolved County record chain

- DHS administrative review: Winston Mendoza was identified in the correspondence as confirming transfer of the submission and directing status inquiries to OCI. No separate DHS merits finding or complete administrative-review file has been produced in the record available to the complainant.
- LAHSA handling: LAHSA initially directed the complainant to law enforcement while 2025-23289 was routed through County review. Christopher Williams later described a limited funding screen, but that explanation did not reconcile the earlier leadership-directed law-enforcement instruction or identify the full source record reviewed.
- OCI status discrepancy: 2025-23289 was later described as closed, while 2026-23965 has been described as open, unresolved, or pending a substantive response. The complainant has not received a complete explanation of the scope, investigator, evidence reviewed, or disposition of 2026-23965.
- County access: County Fraud/OCI is positioned to request or access records held by County departments and contractors. That makes interagency coordination relevant to any assessment of whether LAPD closed the reports without the County-side record.

4. Referral to law enforcement was agency-directed

The law-enforcement report was not presented as a purely self-generated escalation. The complainant reports that LAHSA directed him to law enforcement while LAHSA was involved in the County review chain, and that California Attorney General correspondence also directed possible criminal conduct to local law enforcement. The May 6 LAPD closure then referred the matter back to County Fraud.

That sequence creates a government-generated loop: County offices routed the matter to LAHSA and other components; LAHSA directed law-enforcement reporting; LAPD accepted and later closed the reports; and LAPD directed the complainant back to County Fraud without identifying a coordinated transfer of the evidence or confirming review of the still-unresolved County file.

5. The Real Estate Fraud Unit letter is narrow, not comprehensive

The Los Angeles County District Attorney Bureau of Investigation Real Estate Fraud Unit letter dated May 8, 2026, file 2026-C-0704, states that the unit generally investigates documents recorded in the Los Angeles County Registrar-Recorder's Office. It concluded that the complaint did not meet that specialized unit's criteria and advised that suspected other crimes could be reported to LAPD. It also identified possible civil remedies.

That is a jurisdictional disposition by a specialized recorded-document unit. It does not authenticate the disputed W-9, ACH authorization, lease, landlord-verification packet, program file, identity data, or public rental-assistance payment chain. It also does not resolve LAPD Internal Affairs, County Fraud/OCI, DRE licensing, privacy, workforce, or federal civil tracks.

6. BCSH, DRE, and workforce routing remain separate

The broader Business, Consumer Services and Housing Agency response was significant because it treated the submission as involving multiple regulatory and administrative lanes rather than reducing every issue to a private civil dispute. The present supplement does not claim that BCSH made a misconduct finding; it relies on the response as evidence of multi-jurisdictional routing.

The Department of Real Estate separately acknowledged the complaint under PC 1-26-0316-003 and stated that the matter remained under review. DRE also made clear that its authority is limited to possible discipline of real-estate licensees. A pending licensing review is different from a criminal investigation, County contractor review, or civil damages action.

The workforce route is likewise incomplete. The Department of Economic Opportunity directed the matter to the City LWDA. City/EWDD then directed Project Tipping Point administration and records questions back to Latea Davis at CRCD. A response from Irma Maldonado at CRCD remains pending. Returning a complaint to the disputed provider does not itself provide an independent grievance, custodian, or source-record determination.

7. Parallel proceedings must not be collapsed into "civil"

Track	Narrow subject	What it does not decide
LAPD Internal Affairs	LAPD employee conduct and adequacy of police handling	County funding merits, DRE discipline, civil liability
LAPD/independent criminal review	Possible identity, document, payment, or related offenses	Civil damages or agency discipline
County Fraud/OCI	County funding, contractors, program administration, County records	LAPD employee misconduct or federal civil claims
DRE	Licensee discipline	Criminal guilt, County funding, civil damages
BCSH/DEO/EWDD/LWDA	Regulatory and workforce routing, records and grievance path	Criminal guilt or adjudication of civil claims
Federal civil action	Civil claims and judicial relief	Agency discipline or whether a separate investigation should be opened

The existence of a federal civil lawsuit does not convert every licensing, privacy, funding, police-handling, or possible criminal issue into one civil dispute. Conversely, the existence of pending investigations does not prove misconduct. It proves that the proceedings have different jurisdictional questions and must be described accurately.

8. Specific written questions

1. Did Commercial Crimes request or obtain the DHS administrative-review file associated with Winston Mendoza before May 6, 2026?

2. Did Commercial Crimes request or obtain LAHSA's complete case notes, leadership instructions, Christopher Redd materials, Christopher Williams reconciliation, and the December 11, 2025 LAHSA-to-OCI memorandum?
3. Did Commercial Crimes contact the County Fraud Hotline or OCI regarding 2026-23965, and what was the response?
4. What exact W-9, ACH, lease, landlord-verification, payment, consent, identity, and program records were logged into the LAPD file?
5. Were original or native records, metadata, audit logs, payment records, and custodian testimony requested?
6. If the County records were not obtained, will their receipt trigger reopening or supplemental review under Captain Boateng's stated standard?
7. Is Internal Affairs reviewing whether Commercial Crimes reasonably identified, requested, preserved, and analyzed the interagency record before closure?
8. Which authority will independently review the underlying evidence while Commercial Crimes handling is under Internal Affairs review?
9. Has any referral been accepted by another office? If so, identify the office, date, records transmitted, scope, and tracking number.
10. Who is responsible for providing a substantive status or disposition for OCI 2026-23965?

9. Requested action

- Preserve RMS C259045687, RMS C269013241, Complaint 26-001767, all attachments, submission logs, detective notes, referral records, and communications concerning closure or reopening.
- Conduct a conflict screen so the same Commercial Crimes command is not the sole destination while its handling is under review.
- Cross-reference the LAPD matters with OCI 2025-23289 and 2026-23965, subject to lawful information-sharing limits.
- Request or document nonreceipt of the DHS, LAHSA, OCI, and relevant County contractor records.
- Reopen or supplement the criminal review if the missing County records materially expand the available information.
- Issue a written routing response distinguishing Internal Affairs review, County investigation, regulatory review, and any independent criminal referral.

10. Preservation and privacy limitation

The attachments contain identity, housing, health, family, and law-enforcement information. They should be handled under applicable confidentiality and evidence-preservation rules. Broad redistribution is not requested. The distribution screenshots are included only to document the intended interagency recipients and routing structure.

Conclusion

The record does not support treating one specialized closure as the final answer for every jurisdiction. The immediate task is narrower: identify what Commercial Crimes actually reviewed, obtain or account for the missing County-side record, protect the integrity of the Internal Affairs review, and provide a documented conflict-safe handoff for any unresolved underlying evidence.

Respectfully submitted: Kyle David Haab | LAPD Complaint 26-001767 | RMS C259045687 / C269013241 | OCI 2025-23289 / 2026-23965 | kyle.dietz99@gmail.com | 657-557-4459

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit	Description
A	Email draft distribution screenshots showing LAPD Internal Affairs, County Fraud/Auditor-Controller, DHS, LAHSA, CEOP, OIG, DOJ, DHCS, County privacy, and OCI recipients.
B	LAPD May 6, 2026 Commercial Crimes closure letter and RMS reports C269013241 and C259045687.
C	Los Angeles County District Attorney BOI Real Estate Fraud Unit letter dated May 8, 2026, file 2026-C-0704.
D	California Department of Real Estate acknowledgment dated May 8, 2026, PC 1-26-0316-003, stating that review remained pending and DRE authority is limited to license discipline.

Record-use note

The exhibits establish receipt, routing, stated scope, and pending or closed status as shown on their face. They do not independently prove that any person or agency committed misconduct. The requested action is source-record verification and conflict-safe coordination.

EXHIBIT J

SOURCE/DESCRIPTION
Official-role image and selected DMH-CRCD MOU pages.

FACTUAL PROPOSITION / RELEVANCE
Identifies CRCD leadership and a separate government-supported housing relationship with monitoring and records provisions.

EVIDENTIARY LIMITATION
Marcella Gardens is a separate project and is used only as institutional/oversight context.

Controlled audit exhibit - not an accusation, adjudication, or finding of criminal or civil liability.

Home > Mark Wilson

Mark Wilson

Secretary

Commissioner Mark Wilson is the Executive Director of the Coalition for Responsible Community Development (CRCD), who currently serves as the agency's President and CEO. A longtime civic leader on education, job training, supportive housing, and responsible LGBTQ+ M. Wilson is a past Director of the Rosa Parks Scholarship Board, with the Southern Christian Leadership Conference/Martin Luther King Legacy Association, and the Director of Community Development with the Bureau Economic Development Corporation.

MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING

BETWEEN

LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH

AND

CRCD

FOR

PERMANENT SUPPORTIVE HOUSING DEVELOPMENT (PSH)
MARCELLA GARDENS AKA 68TH & MAIN

This Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) is entered by and among the Los Angeles County Department of Mental Health, hereinafter known as "DMH," and **CRCD**, hereinafter known as the "Project Sponsor/Developer," for the **Marcella Gardens aka 68th & Main** Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) development, which has a total of 60 units including 29 DMH-funded units.

WHEREAS, the number of people experiencing homelessness in Los Angeles County has grown significantly over the last decade, reaching 75,312 in 2024, as reported in the Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority (LAHSA) 2024 Greater Los Angeles Homeless Count; and

WHEREAS, the LAHSA 2024 Greater Los Angeles Homeless Count found that 24% of people experiencing homelessness in the County reported having a Serious Mental Illness (SMI); and

WHEREAS, on January 10, 2023, the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors declared a Local Emergency for Homelessness and directed all County Departments to take the necessary steps for the protection of the life, health and safety of people experiencing homelessness in the County; and

WHEREAS, the State of California has provided funding for homeless services and the development of affordable housing units including PSH for people experiencing homelessness, which has been leveraged through local County and city funding; and

WHEREAS, since 2008, DMH has committed more than $1 billion in Mental Health Services Act (MHSA) funds toward capital investment programs, including the MHSA Housing Program, Special Needs Housing Program, Mental Health Housing Program, No Place Like Home Program, and Homekey+, with the purpose of soliciting qualified borrowers interested in applying for capital subsidies to develop PSH for the DMH Target Population, which is people living with SMI or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED), and their families, who are homeless, chronically homeless, or at risk of chronic homelessness; and

WHEREAS, the aforementioned Project Sponsor/Developer has been awarded funding through a DMH capital investment program at the aforementioned development for the DMH Target Population; and

WHEREAS, the funding awarded will be a permanent loan made directly to the Project Sponsor/Developer through executed loan documents and a regulatory agreement with the designated underwriting entities in partnership with DMH; and

WHEREAS, DMH has committed to providing Specialty Mental Health Services (SMHS) to people living in PSH units funded through DMH capital investment programs, and the Los Angeles County Departments of Health Services (DHS) and Public Health - Substance Abuse Prevention and Control (DPH-SAPC) have committed to providing, respectively, onsite Intensive Case Management Services (ICMS) and Substance Use Disorder (SUD) focused Client Engagement and

includes developing policies and procedures for processing reasonable accommodation requests and incorporating waiving credit and/or criminal history requirements when warranted.

5.2.4.1 Ensuring that the house rules, Property Management Plan and Tenant Selection Criteria, as well as any future amendments and/or deletions, are approved by DMH prior to implementation.

5.2.5 Calculating the rent of residents.

5.2.6 Conducting annual tenant re-certifications.

5.2.7 Developing procedures to ensure that residents have access to Property Management for routine business during regular business hours, Monday through Friday, and at any time for emergencies. A 24-hour access number for residents to call in case of property-related emergencies shall be posted in public areas that residents can easily locate and view.

5.2.8 Providing new residents with an overview of the lease agreement, house rules, and other tenant-related expectations, including rent collection and maintenance request submissions, as well as details of the 24/7 emergency procedures.

5.2.9 Managing and maintaining the PSH development, including having the capacity to respond to residents' maintenance requests for service within 48 hours. This shall also include providing facility and maintenance support to areas utilized for any onsite provision of Supportive Services.

5.2.10 Being aware of the staff providing Supportive Services to residents, understanding the roles and responsibilities of the Supportive Services providers, and providing them with the Property Management Plan, including written policies and procedures for maintenance requests and any forms that must be completed to request maintenance work.

5.2.11 Providing regular trainings for residents and staff on basic safety and evacuation procedures, and posting evacuation plans for the PSH development in public areas that residents can easily view and locate.

5.2.12 Maintaining an incident and maintenance log and allowing the Supportive Services providers to review those logs on an as-needed basis.

5.3 Data Collection and Reporting

5.3.1 The Project Sponsor/Developer shall have the capability to collect, manage, and submit data as directed by DMH to demonstrate resident outcomes, including those set forth by DMH, the Los Angeles County Development Authority, the California Department of Health Care Services, and/or the California Department of Housing and Community Development. The Project Sponsor/Developer shall work with DMH to develop and implement resident outcome tracking systems that can collect and produce reports on outcome data and all other required data elements, including resident demographics.

5.3.2 Failure to meet the data collection and reporting requirements within the requested timeframes may result in the Project Sponsor/Developer being subject to denial of future DMH capital funding opportunities.

6.0 JOINT RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE PARTIES

DMH and the Project Sponsor/Developer shall:

6.1 Share the contact information of key staff within their respective organizations who are involved with the PSH development (e.g., property managers, maintenance staff, supervisors, case managers, etc.), including e-mail addresses and phone numbers. Emergency contact information shall also be provided.

6.2 Share written policies, procedures, and forms for filing complaints, grievances, and incident reports related to owners, managers, or Supportive Services providers, as well as an overview of the reporting structure within each organization.

6.3 Conduct regular trainings for staff regarding maintaining client/resident privacy and confidentiality in accordance with all State and federal privacy laws.

6.4 Make diligent efforts to communicate with each other to ensure mutual accountability in carrying out each of the separate roles and functions of each Party under this MOU, and establish a convenient, regular schedule of communication to discuss issues including, at a minimum, providing updates on DMH-funded unit utilization rates, anticipated unit turnovers and move-ins, issues with resident service coordination, any resident behavioral issues and concerns, and housing quality standard issues. Such communication shall be held at least once per month unless the Parties agree to another schedule. In the event of a potential relapse, symptom exacerbation, arrest, hospitalization, or other critical incident involving a resident in a DMH-funded unit, the Property Manager shall immediately notify

13.0 INDEPENDENT CAPACITY

The Parties in the performance of this MOU shall act in an independent capacity. Therefore, the employees or agents of one Party shall not be deemed or construed to be the agents or employees of the other Party for any purpose whatsoever.

14.0 APPLICABLE LAWS

The Parties shall comply with all applicable local, State and federal laws as well as new, amended or revised laws, regulations and/or procedures that apply to the performance of this MOU.

14.1 The Project Sponsor/Developer acknowledges that DMH is not reviewing the PSH development for compliance with federal fair housing laws that may apply, including the Fair Housing Act and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. The Project Sponsor/Developer is solely responsible for ensuring compliance with fair housing laws.

15.0 COMPLETE AGREEMENT

This MOU contains the full and complete agreement among the Parties.

16.0 TERMINATION

Notwithstanding existing contracts between the Parties, the following termination clause applies:

This MOU may be terminated at any time by any Party upon giving a minimum of 90-day written notice or until a new Party has been put into place to serve in the same capacity as the terminating Party.

17.0 CONFIDENTIALITY

DMH and Project Sponsor/Developer agree that their employees, agents, and volunteers shall be bound by and abide by all applicable federal and State statutes and regulations pertaining to the confidentiality of client records and information. The Parties shall not use or disclose any information about a recipient of the services provided under this MOU for any purpose not connected with the Parties' MOU responsibilities, except with the written consent of such recipient or recipient's parent or guardian, or as required by law.

The Parties to this MOU shall maintain the confidentiality of all records and information relating to clients. For the purposes of this MOU, information means all files, applications, papers, documents, and records, including those maintained in electronic format. The Parties shall maintain

confidentiality in accordance with all federal, State, and local laws, ordinances, regulations, and directives relating to confidentiality.

The Parties agree that the sharing of Personally Identifiable Information (PII) or Protected Health Information (PHI) shall only occur where there is an authorization to release information or the disclosure is otherwise permitted or required by law.

18.0 DESIGNATION OF RESPONSIBLE PARTIES

The following persons, identified by position and title, have been designated in Exhibit 1 (County Administration) and Exhibit 2 (Project Sponsor/Developer Administration) as responsible parties for all communications, including required notices, related to this MOU. Any changes to Exhibits 1 and 2 will be made as specified in Section 9 (Notices).

/

/

/

/

/

/

/

/

/

/

/

/

/

/

/

/

/

/

/

/

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties have caused this MOU to be executed through their duly authorized representatives and certify that they have read, understood, and agreed to the terms and conditions of this MOU as set forth herein.

Project Sponsor/Developer		**Los Angeles County Department of Mental Health**	
Signature:		Signature:	
Name:	Mark Wilson	Name:	Lisa H. Wong, Psy.D.
Title:	President & CEO	Title:	Director
Date:	9/4/2025	Date:	September 8, 2025

EXHIBIT K

SOURCE/DESCRIPTION
Selected CFCI-PA3-056-2023 contract, audit, approval, reimbursement, and scope pages.

FACTUAL PROPOSITION / RELEVANCE
Shows the documented public-funding chain and records that should exist.

EVIDENTIARY LIMITATION
Contract requirements do not prove actual compliance or noncompliance.

Controlled audit exhibit - not an accusation, adjudication, or finding of criminal or civil liability.

EXHIBIT B

Amity-CRCD Contract CFCI-PA3-056-2023 - Selected Pages

CONTROL PURPOSE

Specific government-funded contract lane; County-funding recitals; written approval, invoices, public-record and audit provisions; Mark Wilson notice contact and CRCD signatory; scope and budget.

SOURCE CONTROL

Source file: FPPC_COM-06192026-02541_ULTRA_DETAILED_ALL_SOURCE_CONTROLLED_July3_2026.pdf
Source pages: 87,88,89,90,91,92,93,94,95,96,97,98,99,100
SHA-256 prefix: c02583f9cd778021

USE LIMITATION

Supports the stated proposition only. It is not an independent finding of an FPPC violation or crime.

DocuSign Envelope ID: A4464DE1-6BF1-4740-8CEB-2BD93B6AA7FA

CONTRACT BY AND BETWEEN

EPIDAURUS DBA AMITY FOUNDATION

AND

Coalition for Responsible Community Development

For Los Angeles County Grant Services

Contract Number: CFCI - PA3 - 056 - 2023

DocuSign Envelope ID: A4464DE1-6BF1-4740-8CEB-2BD93B6AA7FA

AGREEMENT BETWEEN

EPIDAURUS DBA AMITY FOUNDATION

AND

Coalition for Responsible Community Development

FOR

GRANT SERVICES LOCATED IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY

This Contract (hereafter referred to as "Agreement" or "Contract") is entered into by and between Epidaurus DBA Amity Foundation (hereafter referred to as "TPA") and Coalition for Responsible Community Development (hereafter referred to as "Grantee" or "Contractor") to provide Grant Services.

RECITALS

WHEREAS, the County of Los Angeles' Board of Supervisors desired to use locally generated unrestricted revenue to invest in communities and alternatives to incarceration to address the impact of injustice---in particular within the criminal justice systems; and

WHEREAS, on March 8, 2022, Los Angeles County (hereafter "County") entered into a contract with TPA to administer County Funds to nonprofit and community-based organizations or other classes of providers;

WHEREAS, TPA now enters into an Agreement with Grantee to provide services in Los Angeles County;

WHEREAS, Grantee is an entity capable of delivering services in conformance with the requirements set forth in this Agreement.

NOW THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual covenants contained herein, and for good and valuable consideration, the parties agree to the following:

1.0 WORK

1.1 Pursuant to the provisions of this Agreement, Grantee is solely responsible for the project activities as identified in the Grantee Proposal. Review and approval by the TPA is solely for the purpose of proper administration and shall not be deemed to relieve or restrict the Grantee's responsibility.

DocuSign Envelope ID: A4464DE1-6BF1-4740-8CEB-2BD93B6AA7FA

5.2 Written Approval for Reimbursement and Assumption

Grantee shall not be entitled to payment or reimbursement for any tasks or services performed, nor for any incidental or administrative expenses whatsoever incurred in or incidental to performance hereunder, except as approved in writing and is based upon Grantee performing on all required reporting. Grantee shall not be entitled to any reimbursement for any tasks or services performed, nor for any incidental or administrative expenses whatsoever incurred before complete execution of this Agreement.

If funding is not fully expended by Grantee in accordance with the Budget as set forth in Exhibit C during the term of this Agreement, Grantee will disclose this in the Quarterly Reporting, and the TPA shall make a determination about such funds upon receipt of such information, including whether the Grantee shall be required to return any remaining funding to the TPA. Grantee may not reallocate any funds and may not spend or retain any unspent funds after Agreement end date or termination notice without prior written approval from TPA.

5.3 Invoices and Payments

Grantee shall invoice TPA quarterly for providing the tasks, deliverables, goods, services, and other work specified in Exhibit A (Scope of Work) and elsewhere hereunder. Grantee's invoice shall conform to TPA requirements and shall be consistent with the Grantee Payment Schedule as outlined in Exhibit B (Compensation Schedule). If Grantee does not complete required trainings, data reporting, quarterly reporting, submit to budget reviews, or other requirements as outlined in this agreement or determined by TPA, TPA may, at TPA's discretion, increase invoice frequency, increase budget or data reporting requirements, and withhold or reduce payment until Grantee complies with all requirements outlined within this Agreement.

All invoices rendered under this Agreement shall be sent directly to Epidaurus DBA Amity Foundation. Invoices shall include the Agreement Number and Invoice or Purchase Order Number. Unless otherwise directed by TPA, invoices shall not be submitted more frequently than quarterly in arrears, consistent with Exhibit B (Compensation Schedule) before the 5th of the following month in which the previous quarter ended to the address listed below:

DocuSign Envelope ID: A4464DE1-6BF1-4740-8CEB-2BD93B6AA7FA

To Subcontractor:

Coalition for Responsible Community Development
Attn: Mark Wilson
3101 South Grand Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90007

mwilson@coalitionrcd.org

Instructions or notices from Agency are effective upon receipt, whether Grantee acknowledges through signature, via reply, or the absence of either.

8.18 Public Records Act

8.18.1 Any documents submitted by Grantee; all information obtained in connection with TPA's right to audit and inspect Grantee's documents, books, and accounting records pursuant to Paragraph 8.20 (Record Retention and Inspection-Audit Settlement) of this Agreement as well as those documents which were required to be submitted in response to the solicitation process used for this Agreement, if applicable, become the exclusive property of TPA. Unless an exception exists, all such documents or information forwarded to County, in whole or in part, become a matter of public record and shall be regarded as public records. Exceptions are governed by the California Government Code Section 6250 et seq. (Public Records Act) and which are marked "trade secret", "confidential", or "proprietary". TPA shall not in any way be liable or responsible for the disclosure of any such records including, without limitation, those so marked, if disclosure is required by law, or by an order issued by a court of competent jurisdiction. Grantee shall not directly or indirectly submit any records to County without the prior, express written approval of TPA.

8.18.2 In the event TPA or County is required to defend an action on a Public Records Act request for any of the aforementioned documents, information, books, records, and/or contents of a proposal marked "trade secret", "confidential", or "proprietary", Grantee agrees to defend and indemnify TPA and County from all costs and expenses, including reasonable attorney's fees, in action or liability arising under the Public Records Act.

DocuSign Envelope ID: A4464DE1-6BF1-4740-8CEB-2BD93B6AA7FA

8.19 Publicity

8.19.1 Grantee shall not disclose any details in connection with this Agreement to any person or entity except as may be otherwise provided hereunder or required by law. However, in recognizing Grantee's need to identify its services and related clients to sustain itself, TPA shall not inhibit Grantee from publishing its role under this Agreement within the following conditions:

8.19.1.1 Grantee shall develop all publicity material in a professional manner; and

8.19.1.2 During the term of this Agreement, Grantee shall not, and shall not authorize another to, publish or disseminate any commercial advertisements, press releases, feature articles, or other materials using the name of TPA or County without the prior written consent of the TPA. TPA shall not unreasonably withhold written consent.

8.19.2 Grantee may, without the prior written consent of TPA, indicate in its proposals and sales materials that it has been awarded this Agreement with TPA, provided that the requirements of this Paragraph 8.19 (Publicity) shall apply.

8.20 Record Retention and Inspection-Audit Settlement

8.20.1 Grantee shall maintain accurate and complete financial records of its activities and operations relating to this Agreement in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Grantee shall also maintain accurate and complete employment and other records relating to its performance of this Agreement. Grantee agrees that the TPA, County, or its authorized representatives, shall have access to and the right to examine, audit, excerpt, copy, or transcribe any pertinent transaction, activity, or record relating to this Agreement. All such material, including, but not limited to, all financial records, bank statements, cancelled checks or other proof of payment, timecards, sign-in/sign-out sheets and other time and employment records, and proprietary data and information, shall be kept and maintained by Grantee and shall be made available to the TPA or County during the term of this Agreement and for a period of five (5) years thereafter unless the TPA's written permission is given to dispose of any such material prior to such time. All such material shall be maintained by Grantee at a location in Los Angeles County, provided that if any such material is located outside Los Angeles County, then, at TPA's option, Grantee shall pay TPA, its designee, or authorized representative for travel, per diem, and other costs incurred by TPA, its designee, or authorized representative to

DocuSign Envelope ID: A4464DE1-6BF1-4740-8CEB-2BD93B6AA7FA

examine, audit, excerpt, copy, or transcribe such material at such other location.

8.20.2 In the event that an audit of Grantee is conducted specifically regarding this Agreement by any Federal or State auditor, or by any auditor or accountant employed by Grantee or otherwise, then Grantee shall file a copy of such audit report with TPA (send to TPAGrants@Amityfdn.org) within thirty (30) days of Contractor's receipt thereof, unless otherwise provided by applicable Federal or State law or under this Agreement. Subject to applicable law, TPA shall make a reasonable effort to maintain the confidentiality of such audit report(s). Failure on the part of Grantee to comply with any of the provisions of this Paragraph 8.20 (Record Retention and Inspection-Audit Settlement) shall constitute a material breach of this Agreement upon which TPA may terminate or suspend this Agreement.

8.20.3 If, at any time during the term of this Agreement or within five (5) years after the expiration or termination of this Agreement, representatives of TPA or County conduct an audit of Grantee regarding the work performed under this Agreement, and if such audit finds that TPA's dollar liability for any such work is less than payments made by TPA to Grantee, then the difference shall be either: a) repaid by Grantee to TPA, or TPA designee, by cash payment upon demand or b) at the sole option of TPA, deducted from any amounts due to Grantee from TPA, whether under this Agreement or otherwise. If such audit finds that TPA's dollar liability for such work is more than the payments made by TPA to Grantee, then the difference shall be paid to Grantee by TPA by cash payment, provided that in no event shall the TPA's maximum obligation for this Agreement exceed the funds appropriated by TPA for the purpose of this Agreement.

8.20.4 The requirements of this Paragraph 8.20 (Record Retention and Inspection-Audit Settlement) shall also apply to Subcontractors of Grantee. Grantee shall include provisions in its agreements with its Subcontractors requiring that they comply with Paragraph 8.20.

8.21 Subcontracting

8.21.1 The requirements of this Agreement may not be subcontracted by Grantee **without the advance approval of TPA**. Any attempt by Grantee to subcontract without the prior consent of TPA may be deemed a material breach of this Agreement.

8.21.1.1 If Grantee desires to subcontract, Grantee shall provide the following information at TPA's request:

DocuSign Envelope ID: A4464DE1-6BF1-4740-8CEB-2BD93B6AA7FA

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, TPA has caused this Agreement to be executed by its Chief Executive Officer or designee. Grantee has caused this Agreement to be executed by its duly authorized representative.

Coalition for Responsible Community Development		**Epidaurus dba Amity Foundation**	
Signature		Signature 	
Name:	Mark Wilson	Name:	Doug Bond
Title:	President & CEO	Title:	President & CEO
Date:	2/22/2023	Date:	2/24/2023

DocuSign Envelope ID: A4464DE1-6BF1-4740-8CEB-2BD93B6AA7FA

EXHIBIT A

SCOPE OF WORK

Coalition for Responsible Community Development "CRCD"

Geographic Area Served:

Zip codes:	90011, 90007, 90037, 90044, 90047, 90003, 90002, 90061, 90059, 90222, 90262, 90221, 90220
Service Planning Area:	SPA 6 - South Los Angeles
Supervisorial District:	District 1 – Hilda L. Solis District 2 – Holly J. Mitchell District 4 – Janice Hahn

Current Services Provided:

CRCD's direct services provide education, re-entry services, leadership development with a focus on workforce development, occupational training, and job placement for youth and young adults. For twelve years, the Coalition has served as lead agency to operate South LA YouthBuild – a construction-sector workforce development program for at-risk youth and young adults aged 18-24. The Coalition's Financial Opportunity Center offers financial literacy and coaching, with special outreach to individuals newly released from incarceration. For the past 8 years, the Coalition has operated a WorkSource Center on the LATTC campus, with a focus on vulnerable populations such as individuals reentering the community after incarceration/detention and foster youth. CRCD has also operated a YouthSource Center for the past five years and was recently awarded a contract to operate a BusinessSource Center to assist small businesses and entrepreneurs. CRCD's Housing Support Services Department is focused on helping young people, ages 18-24, obtain safe and stable housing by providing on-site support services at six of our permanent supportive housing developments in South LA that house individuals previously un-housed. Many of our residents are reintegrating into the community from institutions, such as youth involved with the child welfare system, residents who receive services from the Los Angeles County Department of Mental Health; and those who are under the jurisdiction of LA County Probation. Further, the Coalition operates a social enterprise, CRCD Enterprises, a general contractor which provided jobs to over 170 youth in the last three years, with a focus on proactively recruiting the youth reentry population.

How Organization Engages Diverse Participants:

CRCD leadership and staff are experienced in designing culturally appropriate outreach, programs and services to meet the needs of a participant base where 70% are Latino; 30% Black or African American; and approximately 60% are male, 40% female. The CRCD staff team is reflective of the community served; staff diversity is one of CRCD's strengths. Among CRCD staff, 91% are people of color (44% Latino, 44% Black, 2% Asian, 9% White); 40% are current/former residents of South LA. Executive Director Mark Wilson, who is Black, was born & raised in South LA; 100% of executive staff are people of color (two men, one woman). All staff are age 18-64; 40% are male, 60% female. The staff includes individuals with first-hand experience with trauma, the justice system, poverty, inequity, and related challenges. The agency implements culturally responsive outreach and retention practices based on the principle of cultural humility and deep-rooted knowledge and respect for the intersectional diversity of South Los Angeles. This is reflected in CRCD's highly successful engagement, retention and completion of the youth enrolled in its many programs.

What Grant Will Do For Organization:

CRCD is requesting funds to support Project Tipping Point (PTP), a foster youth student support and success program to address persistent and widespread challenges in postsecondary education. Less than 3% of foster youth graduate from college according to the National Foster Youth Institute. To address this, Project Tipping Point is grounded in an innovative partnership between CRCD and Los Angeles Trade Technical College. CRCD employs a team of College Navigators to provide high touch case management and wrap-around support services. We use a lower staff-to-student ratio than

DocuSign Envelope ID: A4464DE1-6BF1-4740-8CEB-2BD93B6AA7FA

traditional college programs to better address foster youth's complex barriers to postsecondary education. The College provides postsecondary courses aligned with career pathways in high growth sectors in the Los Angeles region. Pathways of study in PTP include advanced transportation and manufacturing, child development, construction/maintenance/utilities, cosmetology, culinary arts, design and media arts, and liberal arts. Transition age youth who were in foster care are at a high risk for homelessness. About 66% of the youth between the ages of 18 to 24 who were experiencing homelessness in 2020 had prior foster care system involvement and 54% had been in a residential foster care facility. Project Tipping Point staff report that the biggest challenge for our PTP foster youth that creates barriers to their education is housing stability. CRCD will use these funds to provide rental subsidies to student enrolled in PTP at three colleges, LATTC, Southwest College and Compton Community College.

Community Impact:
The overall goal of Project Tipping Point is to provide supportive services to former foster youth and assist them in successfully launching careers as young adults as part of their journey to healthy and productive lives. These funds will specifically address the need to provide financial literacy, housing support and rental assistance, which is very often a barrier to the achievement of this goal.
The project will provide rental assistance and housing stability services to PTP students. The PTP participants will have increased access to affordable and stable housing in their community. This will allow them to focus on their academic and career goals and successfully earn a certificate or a degree for their respective colleges, and also to secure employment that will pay a living wage and will allow them to continue to be stably housed. The PTP participants will be encouraged to participate and to the degree possible, have choices in their housing options and locations, based on availability. The Rental Assistance Case Manager that will be hired with these funds will assist with the placement and tracking of the youth.

Program Summary:
Requesting funds to support Project Tipping Point (PTP), a foster youth student support and success program to address persistent and widespread challenges in postsecondary education where less than 3% of foster youth graduate from college. A lower staff-to-student ratio better addresses foster youth's complex barriers to postsecondary education. Postsecondary courses are aligned with career pathways in high growth sectors in the Los Angeles region. Pathways of study in PTP include advanced transportation and manufacturing, child development, construction/maintenance/utilities, cosmetology, culinary arts, design and media arts, and liberal arts. CRCD will use these funds to provide rental subsidies to student enrolled in PTP at three colleges, LATTC, Southwest College and Compton Community College. The overall goal being the need to provide financial literacy, housing support and rental assistance, which is very often a barrier to the achievement of this goal.

This scope of work and yearly milestones are subject to modifications including budget restrictions and availability as directed by the funding source throughout the life of this contract.

DocuSign Envelope ID: A4464DE1-6BF1-4740-8CEB-2BD93B6AA7FA

ADDITIONAL GRANT REQUIREMENTS

Data and Information Submissions

No less than weekly by the close of business Tuesday for the preceding week, the Grantee shall collect, assess, and report participant data as requested or required by the TPA, and as outlined in Exhibit A-2 and as otherwise designated in this agreement. Exceptions or adjustments to the required data submissions must be pre-approved, in writing, by TPA. Any exceptions or modifications to the required data-submissions will be time-limited and shall be subject to change, with no less than 7 calendar days notice, at the direction of the TPA.

Grantee shall obtain appropriate authorizations for Release of Information from program participants/clients to collect and share information with the TPA, the County, and the County's contractors for program administration and evaluation, data analysis and outcome tracking purposes. Grantee agrees to provide requested data submissions, which may be reasonably modified at the request of the TPA throughout the term of this Agreement.

For specific programs/strategies, TPA will require individual level data. Grantee shall also collect and share, as required by TPA, through protected, encrypted mechanisms individual-level participant data to ensure that TPA and County can assess the impact of these programs on a participant's interaction with other systems and improve coordination. This individual level data may include, but is not limited to:

1. Participant name
2. Participant date of birth
3. Participant booking number or last four digits of social security number
4. Program start and end date

Grantee, at all times, shall comply with all legal requirements, including without limitation, the confidentiality, data, HIPAA Rules and 42 CFR Part 2 requirements set forth in the Contract and any other applicable laws and regulations and shall require that Service Providers also comply with these requirements.

Service Provider Grant/Contract Requirement for Background Checks and Security Investigations

Grantee is responsible for conducting background checks and security investigations on their staff and volunteers, conducting their own due diligence, and hiring staff or engaging volunteers with lived experience based on Service Provider's established plans, procedures, and standards for conducting background checks.

For Grantee's who will have regular or direct contact with Youth, the elderly, the infirmed and other vulnerable populations, Grantee must verify if any staff or subcontractors have been included in any state or federal sexual offender registry and shall not use any such staff or subcontractors in programs serving these populations. Contractor may access records from the Megan's Law website (http://www.meganslaw.ca.gov/) to conduct a California state sexual offender registry check. For a national sexual offender registry search, Contractor may access the U.S. Department of Justice's website

DocuSign Envelope ID: A4464DE1-6BF1-4740-8CEB-2BD93B6AA7FA

(www.nsopw.gov) and/or the Federal Bureau of investigations website (www.fbi.gov/scams-and-safety/sex-offender-registry). Grantee shall include this process as part of any Subcontractor agreements.

Within the first quarter of grant execution and prior to any hiring for grant-related services, Grantee shall develop background check and security investigation plans making sure that adequate checks are to be conducted for those staff members and volunteers in sensitive positions which are to be identified in the plan. When Grantee's complete background checks and security investigations on staff and/or volunteers, TPA may request and retain an attestation from Grantee that staff member and volunteer in sensitive positions underwent and passed background checks and security investigations in accordance with Grantee's plan and that no staff or volunteers involved in funded programs are listed on any sexual offender registry. No staff member or volunteer in a sensitive position shall be permitted to performance services until such attestation is provided and approved by the TPA.

Program Monitoring

TPA shall, at their discretion, conduct administrative and programmatic reviews to ensure Grantee remains in compliance with the contract requirements. TPA shall formally document administrative and programmatic deficiencies or repeated failures by the Grantee. County shall be provided with a copy of TPA findings within two (2) business days of documenting deficiencies.

In the event administrative deficiencies are identified, Grantee, shall provide a Technical Assistance or Corrective Action Plan, including, but not limited to, budget, fiscal and programmatic record keeping as needed to meet Grantee obligations under its contract with TPA. The Technical Plan, at a minimum, shall include corrective action and a timeline for when Grantee will complete correction action. TPA shall provide County with a copy of the Technical Assistance Plan.

Any grantee failing to meet their administrative or programmatic requirements, including failure to complete or comply with technical assistance or corrective action plans shall be considered a material breach of this Agreement.

Grantee shall provide evidence of a complaint and resolution procedure including an attestation that such procedure includes applicable regulatory requirements and meets all local, State, and federal laws to TPA.

Additional Requirements

Grantee shall attend all required trainings, as outlined by TPA. Grantee shall actively respond and participate in any request check-in or performance evaluation meetings as determined by TPA.

EXHIBIT L

SOURCE/DESCRIPTION

CRCD partner statement and enrollment/status records.

FACTUAL PROPOSITION / RELEVANCE

Tests whether CRCD relied on a 2023 sign-up for later program activity and how it described funding/status.

EVIDENTIARY LIMITATION

Statements must be reconciled with native intake, consent, and payment records.

Controlled audit exhibit - not an accusation, adjudication, or finding of criminal or civil liability.

EXHIBIT A

CRCD Partner and Stakeholder Statement

CRCD states the participant signed up through a CRCD program in 2023, claims all later engagement was documentation-based and consent-driven, and states its team found the allegations totally false. Full source document follows this separator.

Dear CRCD Partners and Supporters,

We were recently notified that a complaint containing several inaccurate claims about CRCD's outreach practices and services received through Project Tipping Point was circulated to various entities from a program participant. As part of our commitment to transparency and strong communication, we are sharing this update to ensure all partners, including those who were not contacted or made aware of the recent complaint, have clear and accurate information. A myriad of complaints were made against CRCD, alleging, among other things: (1) using fraudulent L.A. County housing documents; (2) failing to comply with ADA laws; and (3) disbursing funds through a fraudulent ACH account. This is to assure you we have taken these allegations seriously and they have been thoroughly investigated by our team, who have found them to be totally false. Despite the falsity of these allegations, we believe it is essential to clarify how our programs operate and how we engage with participants.

Project Tipping Point supports current and former foster youth ages 18–25 as they pursue post-secondary education at local community colleges. The program helps students complete general education coursework, select a career pathway, and build an education plan that leads toward an Associate of Arts degree and meaningful employment. Education-centered supportive services may include rent payments for students enrolled in 6–9 college-level, credit-bearing units, in order to promote and maintain housing stability while completing coursework. It is important to reaffirm that Project Tipping Point is a college persistence program and does not place students into long-term or subsidized housing. Housing agreements remain strictly between participants and landlords. The individual's lease agreement was made solely between them and an external landlord; CRCD was not a party to the lease. As part of our standard practice, CRCD offers all program participants the ability to submit ADA accommodation requests. The participant referenced did not submit any such request.

CRCD maintains a strict policy of only initiating communication with individuals who have voluntarily opted in, enrolled, or connected with us through one of our established entry points. In this case, the individual signed up to be contacted through a CRCD program in 2023, which provided the basis for all subsequent communication. All engagement is documentation-based, consent-driven, and aligned with ethical service standards. We do not conduct unsolicited outreach or intervene in external matters outside the scope of our

program. CRCD maintains program integrity through strong documentation and accountability practices, with accurate records of enrollment, communication, and supportive resources.

We also want to acknowledge the broader context in which this complaint emerged. Across Los Angeles and nationwide, organizations serving individuals and families are seeing rising levels of housing instability, financial strain, trauma, and emotional stress. These challenges can make it difficult for people to navigate support systems or fully understand program limitations. Under such pressure, it is not uncommon for individuals to feel overwhelmed, misinterpret program structures, or hope for assistance beyond what a program can provide. This trend is affecting agencies across the country, not an isolated issue.

CRCD meets these challenges with deep empathy and understanding. Our team listens closely and supports individuals as they navigate their educational and stabilization journey. We connect people to additional resources whenever possible. In this case, consistent with program guidelines, several support options were offered but not utilized; throughout the process, our team remained committed to clear and compassionate engagement.

For more than 2 decades, CRCD has supported thousands of youth in South Los Angeles with compassion, integrity, and consistency. We remain confident in our processes, dedicated to our mission, and grateful for your partnership, especially during a period of increased need. Our leadership team is available to address any additional questions or provide clarification. For additional information please contact Kenta Estrada-Darley, Chief Community Innovations Officer at (323) 861-2991.

With appreciation,

Jahrell Thomas

Jahrell Thomas
Chief Operations Officer (COO)
Coalition for Responsible Community Development (CRCD)

EXHIBIT C

CRCD Email - Records / Enrollment / Grievance Response

CRCD identifies PTP enrollment date, inactive/current status, supportive services, rent-related assistance, and private foundation support for PTP. Full source document follows this separator.

Subject: Formal Request for Records, Enrollment Status, and Grievance Procedures

1 message

Polet Lopez <palopez@coalitionrcd.org> Mon, Sep 29, 2025 at 10:37 AM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Good morning, Kyle,

Please see below the responses to the email you sent on 9/16/25.

1. Confirmation of my enrollment status — Am I currently considered an active participant in CRCD or its partner programs?

- Yes, you are currently enrolled in Project Tipping Point. As you are aware, the purpose of Project Tipping Point is to support academic success for current and former foster youth at the post-secondary level. It is a program requirement and expectation that participants are enrolled in at least 6-9 units per semester in order to be considered an active participant and in good standing with the program. Due to the fact that you are not currently enrolled in academic course work at LATTC for the Fall 2025 Semester, your status in Project Tipping Point is considered inactive. In addition, your academic progress has not met programmatic expectations as outlined below:
  - Summer 2024: No credit bearing courses attempted, no credits earned
  - Fall 2024: 7 units attempted, 2 earned
  - Spring 2025: No credit bearing courses attempted, no credits earned
  - Summer 2025: No credit bearing courses attempted, no credits earned

If you would like to discuss your continued participation in Project Tipping Point, as an active participant, please schedule a time to meet with myself or your College Navigator.

2. A list of supportive services I am currently enrolled in or entitled to, along with documentation of how these services have been or will be provided.

- Below is the list of supportive services that have been provided to you since enrolling into PTP on June 13, 2024:
  - Furniture assistance: $1,191.82
  - Rental assistance:
    - Security Deposit $2000
    - August 2024 rent $1500
    - Sept 2024- June 2025 @ $2000 = $20K
    - July and Aug @ $1000 = $2000
  - Supportive Services:
    - Student Fees: $120
    - School supplies: $124.61
    - Gift cards (food insecurities/ business attire): $805
  - Totaling: $15,741.43
- Project Tipping Point participants must be considered active and in enrolled in credit-bearing college courses in order to be eligible for supportive services.

3. Copies of any grievance procedures available to participants, as required by state, county, or federal funding guidelines.

Our team has been in consistent communication regarding the expectations of the program and addressing your needs and concerns. If you have additional needs or concerns, please schedule a time to meet with myself or your College Navigator and we will include additional Leadership staff from CRCD.

4. Identification of the funding sources (city, county, state, or federal grants) that support my enrollment and services.

- The Project Tipping Point is supported through private foundations.

5. Any communications, case notes, or agreements that document my referral, intake, or program eligibility.

- The requested documents have been provided.

Thank you and please let me know if you have any questions.

Polet Lopez | **Youth & Education Manager**

Workforce Development
213.743.6193 | **M:** 323.507.3054 | **F:** 323.521.1928 | **TTY:** 711
palopez@coalitionrcd.org | www.coalitionrcd.org

EXHIBIT M

SOURCE/DESCRIPTION

Lease first page, landlord verification, and selected landlord coordination emails.

FACTUAL PROPOSITION / RELEVANCE

Shows the premises, household/program framing, and payment/landlord processing chain.

EVIDENTIARY LIMITATION

Bank account and taxpayer-ID pages are omitted; inclusion of transaction mechanics is not a fraud finding.

Controlled audit exhibit - not an accusation, adjudication, or finding of criminal or civil liability.

RESIDENTIAL LEASE AGREEMENT

1. **PARTIES.** This Residential Lease Agreement ("Agreement") made this _____ is between: Landlord Name: CHRISTOPHER CERVANTES with a mailing address of: P.O. BOX 1111 DOWNEY CA 90241 ("Landlord"). AND Tenant Name(s): KYLE ROBERT HAAS ("Tenant(s)"). Landlord and Tenant are each collectively referred to as the "Parties."

2. **PROPERTY.** The Landlord agrees to lease the described property to the Tenant: Address: 1551 3/4 E 106 24th St. Los Angeles CA 90002 ("Premises").
Residence Type: ☐ Single-family ☐ Apartment ☐ Condominium ☐ Other: UNIT

3. **TERM.**
The Agreement shall begin on 8/3/24 and end on 8/3/25 ("Term").

4. **RENT.**
The Tenant shall pay the Landlord in equal monthly installments of $2,000 ("Rent"). The Rent shall be due on the 1st of every month and paid under the following instructions:
_____.

5. **SECURITY DEPOSIT.** The Tenant (check one):

☒ Shall deposit with the Landlord the sum of $2,000 as security for any damage caused to the Premises during the Term. Such deposit shall be returned to the Tenant, less any itemized deductions, within _____ days after the end of the Term.

☐ Shall NOT be required to pay a security deposit.

6. **SIGNATURES.**

Landlord Signature: _____ Date: 8/2/24

Printed Name: CHRISTOPHER CERVANTES

Tenant Signature: _____ Date: _____

Printed Name: _____

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
ADULT JUSTICE, HOUSING, EMPLOYMENT AND EDUCATION SERVICES

HOUSING ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS - UNIVERSAL APPLICATION

LANDLORD VERIFICATION FORM

(To be completed by Landlord)

Please Print

I intend to rent a unit/shared room to: KYLE ROBERT HAAB

The property is located at: 1551 3/4 E 106TH ST. (Street Address) (Apt. #)

LOS ANGELES (City) CA 90002 (Zip Code)

Type of Request:

☐ Security Deposit ☐ Ongoing Rental Assistance (Directly Operated FSP ONLY) ☐ Eviction Prevention

Please complete if applying for Security Deposit OR Rental Assistance.

Security deposit amount: $ 2,000

Regular months rent: $ 2,000

Tenant's subsidized rent portion: $ _____

Please complete if applying for Eviction Prevention.

Rent: $ 2,000

Late charges (as stated in lease): $ _____

Tenant's subsidized rent portion: $ _____

Apartment/House is: ☐ Furnished ☒ Unfurnished

Rent Includes: ☐ Electricity ☒ Water ☐ Gas ☐ Trash

DATE TENANCY BEGAN/WILL BEGIN: 9 / 3 / 24

Please make checks payable to: CHRISTOPHER CERVANTES

(Checks to be made only to the property owners or authorized Management Company)

Name of Property Owner: CHRISTOPHER CERVANTES

Address: P.O. BOX 1117 DOWNEY CA 90241

Telephone Number: (562) 924-9003

Property Owner Signature: _____ **Date:** 8 / 2 / 24
(or designee)

I understand that this is a Federal and/or State funded program and that abuse of this program is an offense. I certify under penalty of jury that all information that I have provided on this form is true and correct.

Applicant's Signature: _____ **Date:** ___ / ___ / ___

This form is not an agreement but only a confirmation of the amount of monies reflected in the rent/lease agreement and does not hold the County liable for any damages to the property as caused by the tenant.

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS BOX (For Office Use Only)

Amount Approved for payment: $ _____ Initialed By: _____

EXHIBIT D

CRCD Apartment / Landlord Coordination Email Chain

Shows CRCD connection to the landlord and rent/payment structure discussions. Full source document follows this separator.

Re: Apartments

17 messages

Alexander Saltzman <asaltzman@coalitionrcd.org> Mon, J
To: Chris Cervantes <chrisncervantes@hotmail.com>, kyle.dietz99@gmail.com <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Hi Kyle,
I wanted to connect you with a landlord we work with Chris Cerventes, he has an apartment that might work for you and Crystal!

Best,
Alex

Alexander Saltzman | **Rental Assistance Supervisor**

Workforce Development
213.743.6193 | M: 323.207.8867 | F: 323.521.1928 | TTY: 711
asaltzman@coalitionrcd.org | www.coalitionrcd.org

View CRCD's 2022 Annual Report

Confidentiality Notice: This message (including any attachments) contains confidential information intended for a specific individual and purpose, and is protected by law. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by email and delete the original message and any attachments. Any unauthorized disclosure, copying, or distribution of this message, or the taking of any action based on it, is strictly prohibited.

From: Chris Cervantes <chrisncervantes@hotmail.com>
Sent: Monday, July 29, 2024 2:43 PM
To: Alexander Saltzman <asaltzman@coalitionrcd.org>
Subject: Re: Apartments

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is SAFE.

Oh ok $2,000 total I can accept would you like to give me the info so I can show the unit ?
Sent from my iPhone

> On Jul 29, 2024, at 2:13 PM, Alexander Saltzman <asaltzman@coalitionrcd.org> wrote:
>
> Student can pay $500 maximum. We can do $1500 right now.
>
> ---
>
> **Alexander Saltzman** | **Rental Assistance Supervisor**
>
> Workforce Development
> 213.743.6193 | M: 323.207.8867 | F: 323.521.1928 | TTY: 711
> asaltzman@coalitionrcd.org | www.coalitionrcd.org
> <image997482.png> <image915219.png>
>
> **View CRCD's 2022 Annual Report**
>
> <image498710.png><image439144.png><image421352.png><image384167.png><image450263.png>
>
> *Confidentiality Notice: This message (including any attachments) contains confidential information intended for a specific individual and purpose, and is protected by law. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by email and delete the original message and any attachments. Any unauthorized disclosure, copying, or distribution of this message, or the taking of any action based on it, is strictly prohibited.*

From: Chris Cervantes <chrisncervantes@hotmail.com>
Sent: Monday, July 29, 2024 1:17 PM
To: Alexander Saltzman <asaltzman@coalitionrcd.org>
Subject: Re: Apartments

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is SAFE.

I can work with you a bit how much can the program/student pay?
Sent from my iPhone

> On Jul 29, 2024, at 12:56 PM, Chris Cervantes <chrisncervantes@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> I was asking 2100 with Michelle collect 2250
>
> Sent from my iPhone
>
> > On Jul 29, 2024, at 12:55 PM, Alexander Saltzman <asaltzman@coalitionrcd.org> wrote:
> >
> > How much is it?
> >
> > ---

Alexander Saltzman | **Rental Assistance Supervisor**

Workforce Development
213.743.6193 | **M:** 323.207.8867 | **F:** 323.521.1928 | **TTY:** 711
asaltzman@coalitionrcd.org | www.coalitionrcd.org
<image764909.png> <image821919.png>

View CRCD's 2022 Annual Report

<image393066.png><image028158.png><image650847.png><image268537.png><image705992.png>

Confidentiality Notice: This message (including any attachments) contains confidential information intended for a specific individual and purpose, and is protected by law. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by email and delete the original message and any attachments. Any unauthorized disclosure, copying, or distribution of this message, or the taking of any action based on it, is strictly prohibited.

From: Chris Cervantes <chrisncervantes@hotmail.com>
Sent: Monday, July 29, 2024 12:48 PM
To: Alexander Saltzman <asaltzman@coalitionrcd.org>
Subject: Re: Apartments

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is SAFE.

Hello Alex

Yes I have a 1 bedroom on 1551 3/4 e 106
Exactly like the unit that Michelle Mcquarters has it's available and just passed my final city I so it's brand new
Sent from my iPhone

> On Jul 29, 2024, at 12:41 PM, Alexander Saltzman <asaltzman@coalitionrcd.org> wrote:
>
> Hey Chris,
> I have a couple with a young kid who needs an apartment ASAP. Do you have anything at the moment?
>
> Best,
> Alex
>
> _____
>
> **Alexander Saltzman** | **Rental Assistance Supervisor**
>
> Workforce Development
> 213.743.6193 | **M:** 323.207.8867 | **F:** 323.521.1928 | **TTY:** 711
> asaltzman@coalitionrcd.org | www.coalitionrcd.org
> <image792267.png> <image503020.png>
>
> **View CRCD's 2022 Annual Report**
>
> <image054844.png><image027438.png><image717474.png><image221132.png><image226891.png>
>
> *Confidentiality Notice: This message (including any attachments) contains confidential information intended for a specific individual and purpose, and is protected by law. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by email and delete the original message and any attachments. Any unauthorized disclosure, copying, or distribution of this message, or the taking of any action based on it, is strictly prohibited.*

Chris Cervantes <chrisncervantes@hotmail.com> Mon, Jul 29, 2024 at 3:09 PM
To: Alexander Saltzman <asaltzman@coalitionrcd.org>
Cc: kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

Hello Kyle you can call or text me at 562 824 9003. I am out of town but will be tonight. Let me know you would like to see the unit. It's brand new with new stove and refrigerator
Sent from my iPhone

> On Jul 29, 2024, at 3:03 PM, Alexander Saltzman <asaltzman@coalitionrcd.org> wrote:
>
> Hi Kyle,
> I wanted to connect you with a landlord we work with Chris Cerventes, he has an apartment that might work for you and Crystal!
>
> Best,
> Alex
>
> _____
>
> **Alexander Saltzman** | **Rental Assistance Supervisor**
>
> Workforce Development
> 213.743.6193 | **M:** 323.207.8867 | **F:** 323.521.1928 | **TTY:** 711
> asaltzman@coalitionrcd.org | www.coalitionrcd.org
> <image632483.png> <image865130.png>
>
> **View CRCD's 2022 Annual Report**
>
> <image669415.png><image846410.png><image365194.png><image132017.png><image024395.png>
>
> *Confidentiality Notice: This message (including any attachments) contains confidential information intended for a specific individual and purpose, and is protected by law. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by email and delete the original message and any attachments. Any unauthorized disclosure, copying, or distribution of this message, or the taking of any action based on it, is strictly prohibited.*
>
> [Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Tue, Jul 30, 2024 at 5:07 AM
To: Chris Cervantes <chrisncervantes@hotmail.com>
Cc: Alexander Saltzman <asaltzman@coalitionrcd.org>

Hello I'm very interested in this housing opportunity please contact me back i sent you a text message from 657-557-4459

[Quoted text hidden]

Alexander Saltzman <asaltzman@coalitionrcd.org> Fri, Aug 2, 2024 at 9:25 AM
To: Chris Cervantes <chrisncervantes@hotmail.com>
Cc: kyle.dietz99@gmail.com <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Hi Chris,
I have attached the docs we need. Please also send over lease when ready and another copy of w9 if you have it on you.

Best,
Alex

_____

Alexander Saltzman | Rental Assistance Supervisor

Workforce Development
213.743.6193 | M: 323.207.8867 | F: 323.521.1928 | TTY: 711
asaltzman@coalitionrcd.org | www.coalitionrcd.org

View CRCD's 2022 Annual Report

Confidentiality Notice: This message (including any attachments) contains confidential information intended for a specific individual and purpose, and is protected by law. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by email and delete the original message and any attachments. Any unauthorized disclosure, copying, or distribution of this message, or the taking of any action based on it, is strictly prohibited.

From: Chris Cervantes <chrisncervantes@hotmail.com>
Sent: Monday, July 29, 2024 3:08 PM
To: Alexander Saltzman <asaltzman@coalitionrcd.org>
Cc: kyle.dietz99@gmail.com <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
Subject: Re: Apartments

[Quoted text hidden]

---

2 attachments

lvf.pdf
104 KB

ACH Quick Guide.pdf
126 KB

Chris Cervantes <chrisncervantes@hotmail.com> Fri, Aug 2, 2024 at 9:40 AM
To: Alexander Saltzman <asaltzman@coalitionrcd.org>
Cc: kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

Good morning hi I will prepare it today and fill the forms as well thank you
Sent from my iPhone

> On Aug 2, 2024, at 9:25 AM, Alexander Saltzman <asaltzman@coalitionrcd.org> wrote:
>
> Hi Chris,
> I have attached the docs we need. Please also send over lease when ready and another copy of w9 if you have it on you.
>
> Best,
> Alex
>
> _____
>
> **Alexander Saltzman | Rental Assistance Supervisor**
>
> Workforce Development
> 213.743.6193 | M: 323.207.8867 | F: 323.521.1928 | TTY: 711
> asaltzman@coalitionrcd.org | www.coalitionrcd.org
> <image320348.png> <image241638.png>
>
> **View CRCD's 2022 Annual Report**
>
> <image417780.png><image314672.png><image312284.png><image942013.png><image365032.png>
>
> *Confidentiality Notice: This message (including any attachments) contains confidential information intended for a specific individual and purpose, and is protected by law. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by email and delete the original message and any attachments. Any unauthorized disclosure, copying, or distribution of this message, or the taking of any action based on it, is strictly prohibited.*
>
> [Quoted text hidden]
> [Quoted text hidden]
> [Quoted text hidden]
>
> > [Quoted text hidden]
> > [Quoted text hidden]
> > [Quoted text hidden]
> >
> > > [Quoted text hidden]
> > > [Quoted text hidden]
> > > [Quoted text hidden]
> > >
> > > > [Quoted text hidden]
> > > >
> > > > [Quoted text hidden]
> > > >
> > > > > [Quoted text hidden]
> > > > > [Quoted text hidden]
> > > > > [Quoted text hidden]
> > > > > [Quoted text hidden]
> > > > > [Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]

Alexander Saltzman | **Rental Assistance Supervisor**
Workforce Development
213.743.6193 | M: 323.207.8867 | F: 323.521.1928

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Sun, Jun 1, 2025 at 9:34 AM
To: Giovanni Sanchez <Gsanchez@openarmscommunityhealth.com>

[Quoted text hidden]

7 attachments

- **image632483.png** 10 KB
- **image865130.png** 34 KB
- **image669415.png** 882 B
- **image846410.png** 1 KB
- **image365194.png** 1 KB
- **image132017.png** 883 B
- **image024395.png** 950 B

Giovanni Sanchez <gsanchez@openarmscommunityhealth.com> Mon, Jun 2, 2025 at 9:54 AM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
Cc: Anna Guy <annag@openarmscommunityhealth.com>

Hi Kyle,

Thank you for forwarding this information. I just returned to the office today, so I will review it and plan to get back to you tomorrow.

Best,
Giovanni

Giovanni Sanchez
He/Him/His
Lead Care Manager
(323)306-8120 Ext 118
gsanchez@openarmscommunityhealth.com

OPEN ARMS COMMUNITY HEALTH AND SERVICE CENTER
6317 S. FIGUEROA., LOS ANGELES, CA. 90044

[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Fri, Jun 6, 2025 at 6:15 AM
To: Giovanni Sanchez <gsanchez@openarmscommunityhealth.com>
Cc: Anna Guy <annag@openarmscommunityhealth.com>

Hello Giovanni I'm sorry I didn't get back to you yesterday I ended up having to attend a funeral in Simi valley when you have time I would like to reschedule

[Quoted text hidden]

Giovanni Sanchez <gsanchez@openarmscommunityhealth.com> Fri, Jun 6, 2025 at 9:15 AM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
Cc: Anna Guy <annag@openarmscommunityhealth.com>, Dmitriy Voskresenskiy <dmitriyv@openarmscommunityhealth.com>

Hi Kyle,

Thank you for getting in touch during this difficult time. Please accept our deepest condolences from everyone at Open Arms, and from me personally.

Please let me know when would be a good time for you to reschedule.

Best,
Giovanni

Giovanni Sanchez
He/Him/His
Lead Care Manager
(323)306-8120 Ext 118
gsanchez@openarmscommunityhealth.com

OPEN ARMS COMMUNITY HEALTH AND SERVICE CENTER
6317 S. FIGUEROA., LOS ANGELES, CA. 90044

[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Fri, Jun 6, 2025 at 10:34 AM
To: Giovanni Sanchez <gsanchez@openarmscommunityhealth.com>
Cc: Anna Guy <annag@openarmscommunityhealth.com>, Dmitriy Voskresenskiy <dmitriyv@openarmscommunityhealth.com>

I appreciate the support I don't believe I have anything after 2

[Quoted text hidden]

Giovanni Sanchez <gsanchez@openarmscommunityhealth.com> Fri, Jun 6, 2025 at 11:46 AM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

We close at 2PM on Fridays. When do you normally take a lunch break?
[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Fri, Jun 6, 2025 at 12:00 PM
To: Giovanni Sanchez <gsanchez@openarmscommunityhealth.com>

I can do now if you can

[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Wed, Aug 6, 2025 at 12:51 PM
To: cbradshaw@publiccounsel.org <cbradshaw@publiccounsel.org>

[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Wed, Aug 6, 2025 at 12:52 PM
To: cbradshaw@publiccounsel.org <cbradshaw@publiccounsel.org>

[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Wed, Aug 6, 2025 at 12:56 PM
To: cbradshaw@publiccounsel.org <cbradshaw@publiccounsel.org>

[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Wed, Aug 6, 2025 at 12:56 PM
To: cbradshaw@publiccounsel.org <cbradshaw@publiccounsel.org>

[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Wed, Aug 6, 2025 at 12:56 PM
To: cbradshaw@publiccounsel.org <cbradshaw@publiccounsel.org>

[Quoted text hidden]

EXHIBIT N

SOURCE/DESCRIPTION

Court register and six photographed judgment pages.

FACTUAL PROPOSITION / RELEVANCE

Shows possession/lease-forfeiture consequences and the address used in court.

EVIDENTIARY LIMITATION

The stipulation resolves the terms stated; it does not itself decide the technical identity of the approved ADU or all collateral claims.

Controlled audit exhibit - not an accusation, adjudication, or finding of criminal or civil liability.

Language Access
English

CASE INFORMATION:	26CMUD00417
Case Title:	CHRISTOPHER CERVANTES VS KYLE ROBERT DAVID HAAB, ET AL.
Filing Courthouse:	Compton Courthouse
Filing Date:	3/25/2026
Case Type:	Unlawful Detainer/Residential (not drugs or wrongful eviction) (General Jurisdiction)
Status:	Stipulated Judgment - Before Trial on 7/7/2026

Print New Search

FUTURE HEARINGS

PARTY INFORMATION

CERVANTES CHRISTOPHER	Plaintiff
HAAB KYLE ROBERT DAVID	Defendant
IZARRARAZ CRYSTAL LYNN	Defendant
MOSSAZADEH ARIEL H.	Attorney for Plaintiff

DOCUMENTS FILED

7/7/2026	Certificate of Mailing for (Jury Trial) of 07/07/2026	Filed by Clerk
7/7/2026	Judgment - Unlawful Detainer - Stipulated Judgment - Before Trial - 07/07/2026 entered for Plaintiff CHRISTOPHER CERVANTES against Defendant KYLE ROBERT DAVID HAAB; Defendant CRYSTAL LYNN IZARRARAZ.	Filed by Clerk
7/7/2026	Minute Order (Jury Trial)	Filed by Clerk
7/2/2026	Declaration Consolidated Supplemental Trial Brief, Declaration, Request for Limited Evidentiary, Privacy, and Preservation Protections,	Filed by KYLE ROBERT DAVID HAAB (Defendant)
7/1/2026	Certificate of Mailing for (Hearing on Motion for Summary Judgment) of 07/01/2026	Filed by Clerk

7/1/2026	Defendant Kyle Robert David Haab's Fifth Supplemental Declaration re Pending JCOD PRA Request No. 3, Material Rental-Assistance, Payment, Consent and Source Records, PRA Processing Clarification, and Cross-Referenced Case Identifiers; Exhibits AS-AU	Filed by KYLE ROBERT DAVID HAAB (Defendant)
7/1/2026	Minute Order (Hearing on Motion for Summary Judgment)	Filed by Clerk
7/1/2026	Trial Brief	Filed by KYLE ROBERT DAVID HAAB (Defendant)
7/1/2026	Trial Brief	Filed by KYLE ROBERT DAVID HAAB (Defendant)
6/30/2026	Certificate of Mailing for (Hearing on Ex Parte Application TO SHORTEN TIME TO HEAR COUNS...) of 06/30/2026	Filed by Clerk
6/30/2026	Minute Order (Hearing on Ex Parte Application TO SHORTEN TIME TO HEAR COUNS...)	Filed by Clerk
6/26/2026	Declaration in Support of Attorney's Motion to Be Relieved as Counsel-Civil	Filed by John Benjamin Wu (Attorney)
6/26/2026	Declaration in Support of Attorney's Motion to Be Relieved as Counsel-Civil	Filed by John Benjamin Wu (Attorney)
6/26/2026	Ex Parte Application TO SHORTEN TIME TO HEAR COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS MOTION TO BE RELIEVED AS COUNSEL	Filed by KYLE ROBERT DAVID HAAB (Defendant); CRYSTAL LYNN IZARRARAZ (Defendant)
6/26/2026	Notice of Motion	Filed by CRYSTAL LYNN IZARRARAZ (Defendant)
6/26/2026	Notice of Motion	Filed by KYLE ROBERT DAVID HAAB (Defendant)
6/23/2026	Certificate of Mailing for (Jury Trial) of 06/23/2026	Filed by Clerk
6/23/2026	Minute Order (Jury Trial)	Filed by Clerk
6/23/2026	Notice OF WITHDRAWAL OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT	Filed by KYLE ROBERT DAVID HAAB (Defendant); CRYSTAL LYNN IZARRARAZ (Defendant)
6/23/2026	Order REGARDING STIPULATION TO CONTINUE TRIAL	Filed by CHRISTOPHER CERVANTES (Plaintiff); KYLE ROBERT DAVID HAAB (Defendant); CRYSTAL LYNN IZARRARAZ (Defendant)
6/17/2026	STIPULATION TO CONTINUE TRIAL	Filed by CHRISTOPHER CERVANTES (Plaintiff); KYLE ROBERT DAVID HAAB (Defendant); CRYSTAL LYNN IZARRARAZ (Defendant)
6/12/2026	Order on Court Fee Waiver (Superior Court)	Filed by Clerk
6/10/2026	DEFENDANTS? REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE #1 IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS? MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT	Filed by KYLE ROBERT DAVID HAAB (Defendant); CRYSTAL LYNN IZARRARAZ (Defendant)
6/10/2026	NOTICE OF MOTION AND DEFENDANTS? MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT THEREOF; DECLARATION OF BENJAMIN WU; PROPOSED ORDER THEREON	Filed by KYLE ROBERT DAVID HAAB (Defendant); CRYSTAL LYNN IZARRARAZ (Defendant)

6/5/2026	PROPOSED ORDER REGARDING STIPULATION TO CONTINUE TRIAL	Filed by CHRISTOPHER CERVANTES (Plaintiff); KYLE ROBERT DAVID HAAB (Defendant); CRYSTAL LYNN IZARRARAZ (Defendant)
6/1/2026	Stipulation and Order TO CONTINUE TRIAL	Filed by CHRISTOPHER CERVANTES (Plaintiff); KYLE ROBERT DAVID HAAB (Defendant); CRYSTAL LYNN IZARRARAZ (Defendant)
5/12/2026	Minute Order (Non-Jury Trial)	Filed by Clerk
5/6/2026	Request for Entry of Default / Judgment	Filed by CHRISTOPHER CERVANTES (Plaintiff)
4/22/2026	Proof of Service by Substituted Service	Filed by CHRISTOPHER CERVANTES (Plaintiff)
4/21/2026	Substitution of Attorney	Filed by CRYSTAL LYNN IZARRARAZ (Defendant)
4/21/2026	Substitution of Attorney	Filed by KYLE ROBERT DAVID HAAB (Defendant)
4/20/2026	Notice of Unlawful Detainer Trial	Filed by Clerk
4/17/2026	Answer	Filed by CRYSTAL LYNN IZARRARAZ (Defendant)
4/17/2026	Answer	Filed by KYLE ROBERT DAVID HAAB (Defendant)
4/17/2026	Notice of Appearance	Filed by CRYSTAL LYNN IZARRARAZ (Defendant)
4/17/2026	Notice of Appearance	Filed by KYLE ROBERT DAVID HAAB (Defendant)
4/17/2026	Proof of Service by Mail	Filed by CRYSTAL LYNN IZARRARAZ (Defendant)
4/17/2026	Proof of Service by Mail	Filed by KYLE ROBERT DAVID HAAB (Defendant)
4/17/2026	Request/Counter-Request To Set Case For Trial	Filed by CHRISTOPHER CERVANTES (Plaintiff)
4/10/2026	Order on Court Fee Waiver (Superior Court)	Filed by Clerk
4/10/2026	Order on Court Fee Waiver (Superior Court)	Filed by Clerk
4/9/2026	Answer	Filed by CRYSTAL LYNN IZARRARAZ (Defendant)
4/9/2026	Answer	Filed by KYLE ROBERT DAVID HAAB (Defendant)
4/9/2026	Demand for Jury Trial	Filed by CRYSTAL LYNN IZARRARAZ (Defendant)
4/9/2026	Demand for Jury Trial	Filed by KYLE ROBERT DAVID HAAB (Defendant)
4/9/2026	Order on Court Fee Waiver (Superior Court)	Filed by Clerk
4/9/2026	Order on Court Fee Waiver (Superior Court)	Filed by Clerk

4/9/2026	Proof of Service (not Summons and Complaint)	Filed by CRYSTAL LYNN IZARRARAZ (Defendant)
4/9/2026	Proof of Service (not Summons and Complaint)	Filed by KYLE ROBERT DAVID HAAB (Defendant)
4/8/2026	Notice of Rejection - Pleadings	Filed by Clerk
3/27/2026	Notice of Unlawful Detainer (Eviction) (ALL OCCUPANTS)	Filed by
3/27/2026	Notice of Unlawful Detainer (Eviction) (CRYSTAL LYNN IZARRARAZ)	Filed by
3/27/2026	Notice of Unlawful Detainer (Eviction) (KYLE ROBERT HAAB)	Filed by
3/25/2026	Civil Case Cover Sheet	Filed by CHRISTOPHER CERVANTES (Plaintiff)
3/25/2026	Complaint	Filed by CHRISTOPHER CERVANTES (Plaintiff)
3/25/2026	Notice of Case Assignment - Limited Civil Case	Filed by Clerk
3/25/2026	Property Owner/Landlord Only Hearing Notice	Filed by Clerk
3/25/2026	Summons on Complaint	Filed by CHRISTOPHER CERVANTES (Plaintiff)

PROCEEDINGS HELD

Case Information | Register Of Actions | FUTURE HEARINGS | PARTY INFORMATION | Documents Filed | Past Proceedings | Document Images

7/7/2026 08:30 AM	Department B	Jury Trial	Held
7/1/2026 08:30 AM	Department B	Hearing on Motion for Summary Judgment	Not Held - Taken Off Calendar by Court
6/30/2026 08:30 AM	Department B	Hearing on Ex Parte Application	Held - Motion Granted
6/23/2026 08:30 AM	Department B	Jury Trial	Not Held - Continued - Stipulation
6/9/2026 08:30 AM	Department B	Jury Trial	Not Held - Rescheduled by Party
6/1/2026 08:30 AM	Department Civil Cler	Order to Show Cause Re: Failure to File Proof of Service	Not Held - Advanced and Vacated
5/12/2026 08:30 AM	Department B	Non-Jury Trial	Held - Continued

REGISTER OF ACTIONS

Case Information | Register Of Actions | FUTURE HEARINGS | PARTY INFORMATION | Documents Filed | Past Proceedings | Document Images

7/7/2026	Jury Trial
7/7/2026	Minute Order

7/7/2026	Certificate of Mailing for
7/7/2026	Judgment - Unlawful Detainer
7/2/2026	Declaration
7/1/2026	Hearing on Motion for Summary Judgment
7/1/2026	Minute Order
7/1/2026	Certificate of Mailing for
7/1/2026	Defendant Kyle Robert David Haab's Fifth Supplemental Declaration re Pending JCOD PRA Request No. 3, Material Rental-Assistance, Payment, Consent and Source Records, PRA Processing Clarification, and Cross-Referenced Case Identifiers; Exhibits AS-AU
7/1/2026	Trial Brief
7/1/2026	Trial Brief
6/30/2026	Hearing on Ex Parte Application
6/30/2026	Minute Order
6/30/2026	Certificate of Mailing for
6/26/2026	Ex Parte Application
6/26/2026	Declaration in Support of Attorney's Motion to Be Relieved as Counsel-Civil
6/26/2026	Notice of Motion
6/26/2026	Notice of Motion
6/26/2026	Declaration in Support of Attorney's Motion to Be Relieved as Counsel-Civil
6/23/2026	Jury Trial
6/23/2026	Minute Order
6/23/2026	Certificate of Mailing for
6/23/2026	Notice
6/23/2026	Order
6/17/2026	STIPULATION TO CONTINUE TRIAL
6/12/2026	Order on Court Fee Waiver (Superior Court)
6/10/2026	DEFENDANTS? REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE #1 IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS? MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
6/10/2026	NOTICE OF MOTION AND DEFENDANTS? MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT THEREOF; DECLARATION OF BENJAMIN WU; PROPOSED ORDER THEREON
6/9/2026	Jury Trial
6/5/2026	PROPOSED ORDER REGARDING STIPULATION TO CONTINUE TRIAL
6/1/2026	Order to Show Cause Re: Failure to File Proof of Service
6/1/2026	Stipulation and Order
5/12/2026	Non-Jury Trial
5/12/2026	Minute Order
5/6/2026	Request for Entry of Default / Judgment
4/22/2026	Proof of Service by Substituted Service
4/21/2026	Substitution of Attorney
4/21/2026	Substitution of Attorney
4/20/2026	Notice of Unlawful Detainer Trial

4/17/2026 Proof of Service by Mail
4/17/2026 Notice of Appearance
4/17/2026 Notice of Appearance
4/17/2026 Request/Counter-Request To Set Case For Trial
4/17/2026 Answer
4/17/2026 Proof of Service by Mail
4/17/2026 Answer
4/10/2026 Order on Court Fee Waiver (Superior Court)
4/10/2026 Order on Court Fee Waiver (Superior Court)
4/9/2026 Demand for Jury Trial
4/9/2026 Answer
4/9/2026 Order on Court Fee Waiver (Superior Court)
4/9/2026 Demand for Jury Trial
4/9/2026 Proof of Service (not Summons and Complaint)
4/9/2026 Answer
4/9/2026 Order on Court Fee Waiver (Superior Court)
4/9/2026 Proof of Service (not Summons and Complaint)
4/8/2026 Notice of Rejection - Pleadings
3/27/2026 Notice of Unlawful Detainer (Eviction) (ALL OCCUPANTS)
3/27/2026 Notice of Unlawful Detainer (Eviction) (KYLE ROBERT HAAB)
3/27/2026 Notice of Unlawful Detainer (Eviction) (CRYSTAL LYNN IZARRARAZ)
3/25/2026 Summons
3/25/2026 Complaint
3/25/2026 Notice of Case Assignment - Limited Civil Case
3/25/2026 Property Owner/Landlord Only Hearing Notice
3/25/2026 Civil Case Cover Sheet

DOCUMENT IMAGES

Case Information | Register Of Actions | FUTURE HEARINGS | PARTY INFORMATION | Documents Filed | Past Proceedings | Document Images

03/25/2026 Property Owner/Landlord Only Hearing Notice
03/25/2026 Complaint
03/25/2026 Summonson Complaint
03/25/2026 Civil Case Cover Sheet
03/25/2026 Notice of Case Assignment - Limited Civil Case
03/27/2026 Notice of Unlawful Detainer (Eviction) (CRYSTAL LYNN IZARRARAZ)
03/27/2026 Notice of Unlawful Detainer (Eviction) (KYLE ROBERT HAAB)
03/27/2026 Notice of Unlawful Detainer (Eviction) (ALL OCCUPANTS)
04/08/2026 Notice of Rejection - Pleadings

04/09/2026 Order on Court Fee Waiver (Superior Court)

04/09/2026 Order on Court Fee Waiver (Superior Court)

04/09/2026 Proof of Service (not Summons and Complaint)

04/09/2026 Proof of Service (not Summons and Complaint)

04/09/2026 Answer

04/09/2026 Answer

04/09/2026 Demand for Jury Trial

04/09/2026 Demand for Jury Trial

04/10/2026 Order on Court Fee Waiver (Superior Court)

04/10/2026 Order on Court Fee Waiver (Superior Court)

04/17/2026 Proof of Service by Mail

04/17/2026 Proof of Service by Mail

04/17/2026 Request/Counter-Request To Set Case For Trial

04/17/2026 Answer

04/17/2026 Answer

04/17/2026 Notice of Appearance

04/17/2026 Notice of Appearance

04/20/2026 Notice of Unlawful Detainer Trial

04/21/2026 Substitution of Attorney

04/21/2026 Substitution of Attorney

04/22/2026 Proof of Service by Substituted Service

05/06/2026 Request for Entry of Default / Judgment

05/12/2026 Minute Order (Non-Jury Trial)

06/01/2026 Stipulation and Order TO CONTINUE TRIAL

06/05/2026 PROPOSED ORDER REGARDING STIPULATION TO CONTINUE TRIAL

06/10/2026 NOTICE OF MOTION AND DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT THEREOF; DECLARATION OF BENJAMIN WU; PROPOSED ORDER THEREON

06/10/2026 DEFENDANTS' REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE #1 IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

06/12/2026 Order on Court Fee Waiver (Superior Court)

06/17/2026 STIPULATION TO CONTINUE TRIAL

06/23/2026 Minute Order (Jury Trial)

06/23/2026 Notice OF WITHDRAWAL OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

06/23/2026 Order REGARDING STIPULATION TO CONTINUE TRIAL

06/23/2026 Certificate of Mailing for(Jury Trial) of 06/23/2026

06/26/2026 Ex Parte Application TO SHORTEN TIME TO HEAR COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS MOTION TO BE RELIEVED AS COUNSEL

06/26/2026 Declaration in Support of Attorney's Motion to Be Relieved as Counsel-Civil

06/26/2026 Declaration in Support of Attorney's Motion to Be Relieved as Counsel-Civil

06/26/2026 Notice of Motion

06/26/2026	Notice of Motion
06/30/2026	Minute Order (Hearing on Ex Parte Application TO SHORTEN TIME TO HEAR COUNS...)
06/30/2026	Certificate of Mailing for(Hearing on Ex Parte Application TO SHORTEN TIME TO HEAR COUNS...) of 06/30/2026
07/01/2026	Minute Order (Hearing on Motion for Summary Judgment)
07/01/2026	Defendant Kyle Robert David Haab's Fifth Supplemental Declaration re Pending JCOD PRA Request No. 3, Material Rental-Assistance, Payment, Consent and Source Records, PRA Processing Clarification, and Cross-Referenced Case Identifiers; Exhibits AS-AU
07/01/2026	Trial Brief
07/01/2026	Trial Brief
07/01/2026	Certificate of Mailing for(Hearing on Motion for Summary Judgment) of 07/01/2026
07/02/2026	Declaration Consolidated Supplemental Trial Brief, Declaration, Request for Limited Evidentiary, Privacy, and Preservation Protections,
07/07/2026	Minute Order (Jury Trial)
07/07/2026	Certificate of Mailing for(Jury Trial) of 07/07/2026

Back To Top

Supplemental Terms and Conditions for UD Stipulation and Judgment Case No. 26CMUD00417

10. ☐ This is a Pay and Stay agreement. To extend the move-out date in Paragraph 5, Defendant(s) shall pay the following amounts by the following dates:

_____ _____ _____ _____

_____ _____ _____ _____

_____ _____ _____ _____

☐ by personal check ☐ by certified funds ☐ by credit card ☐ by Venmo ☐ _____

11. ☒ Sealing the Record. The court file for this UD case shall remain sealed and confidential

a. ☐ without conditions

b. ☒ on the conditions that Defendant(s)

i. ☒ abide(s) by the terms & conditions of this Stipulation,

ii. ☒ vacate(s) the premises on or before the agreed move-out date in Paragraph 5, including the return of all keys to the rental premises, return of remote control devices and garage door openers if any, and removal of all the Defendant's/ Defendants' personal property,

iii. ☐ make(s) the payments provided in this Stipulation by the date(s) indicated

c. ☒ The court file may be un-sealed upon *ex parte* application by Plaintiff, should Defendant(s) timely fail to comply with the terms and conditions of this Stipulation.

12. ☒ Time is of the Essence. Delays of any kind beyond the dates agreed upon in this Stipulation except as expressly provided are not permitted. It is urgent that the Plaintiff regain possession of the rental premises in order to prepare the premises for re-rental / sale as soon as possible.

13. ☒ Condition of Property Upon Tenant(s) vacating the premises. Defendant(s) agree(s) that the rental premises will be left in broom-clean condition as of the agreed move-out date in Paragraph 5. Any personal property remaining in the rental premises after the move-out date and time may be treated by Plaintiff as abandoned property, and may be discarded, sold or disposed of by Plaintiff with no further notice to Defendant(s).

14. ☒ No post-judgment motions. Because the move-out date in Paragraph 5 is later in time than the 10 day-period the Court would have ordered if the case had gone to trial and if Plaintiff won, Defendant hereby waives the right to seek any further extension of the move-out date, whether by hardship application, appeal, ex parte motion, or otherwise.

15. ☐ Defendant can Extend the move-out date to _____ by pre-paying $_____ to _____ ☐ in certified funds ☐ by check ☐ _____ on or before _____

16. ☒ Forwarding Address. Defendant(s) is/are to provide her/his/their forwarding address or other contact information on or before the move-out date so the Plaintiff will know where to send the security deposit accounting noted in Paragraph 4.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA,
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

COPY

FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles
JUL 07 2026
David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court
By: M. Martin, Deputy

COURTHOUSE ADDRESS: 200 W Compton Blvd, Compton CA 90220

PLAINTIFF: Owyishaka Covington

DEFENDANT: Kyle Robert David Haab, Crystal Lynn Izarraraz

CASE NUMBER: 26CMUD00417

DATE AND TIME OF HEARING: 7/7/26, 8:30 AM

DEPARTMENT: B

Unlawful Detainer Stipulated Judgment

THE PARTIES STIPULATE (AGREE) AS FOLLOWS:

1. Judgment shall be entered in favor of plaintiff as named in the complaint and against the following defendants:

Kyle Robert David Haab, Crystal Lynn Izarraraz

(Identify and name defendant(s) exactly as judgment is to be entered. Do not abbreviate or use "etc." or et al.")

Plaintiff is awarded possession of the premises located at: (street address, apartment/unit number, city and county): 1651 3/4 E 104th St, Los Angeles, CA 90002

☒ Defendant(s) rights under lease or rental agreement are forfeited.

2. Judgment shall be entered for:

☐ $ _____ Past Due Rent ☐ $ _____ Holdover Damages

☐ $ _____ Attorney Fees plus costs of $ _____.

3. Defendant(s) security deposit, if any:

☐ shall be returned or accounted for by the plaintiff within 21 days after the defendant(s) vacates the premises [Civil Code, section 1950.5]

☒ shall be retained by the plaintiff and the defendant(s) waive any claim to its return.

4. Judgment shall be entered:

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

COPY

FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles
JUL 07 2026
David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court
By: M. Masten, Deputy

COURTHOUSE ADDRESS: 200 W Compton Blvd, Compton CA 90220

PLAINTIFF: Quintiple Investments

DEFENDANT: Kyle Robert David Haab, Crystal Lynn Zarraga

CASE NUMBER: 26CMUD06417

DATE AND TIME OF HEARING: 7/7/26, 8:30 AM

DEPARTMENT: B

Unlawful Detainer Stipulated Judgment

THE PARTIES STIPULATE (AGREE) AS FOLLOWS:

1. Judgment shall be entered in favor of plaintiff as named in the complaint and against the following defendants:

Kyle Robert David Haab, Crystal Lynn Zarraga

(Identify and name defendant(s) exactly as judgment is to be entered. Do not abbreviate or use "etc." or et al.")

Plaintiff is awarded possession of the premises located at: (street address, apartment/unit number, city and county): 1551 3/4 E. 108th St, Los Angeles, CA 90002

☒ Defendant(s) rights under lease or rental agreement are forfeited.

2. Judgment shall be entered for:

☐ $ _____ Past Due Rent ☐ $ _____ Holdover Damages

☐ $ _____ Attorney Fees plus costs of $ _____

3. Defendant(s) security deposit, if any:

☐ shall be returned or accounted for by the plaintiff within 21 days after the defendant(s) vacates the premises [Civil Code, section 1950.5]

☒ shall be retained by the plaintiff and the defendant(s) waive any claim to its return.

4. Judgment shall be entered:

Plaintiff (Elvvuate) vs. Defendant(s) | Case Number: 26CM06007137

☐ none

☒ now and stay enforcement of judgment as follows: a writ of possession may issue forthwith with no final lockout prior to 8/16/26 (date)

☐ only upon default by the defendant(s) in the performance of any of the obligations required by this stipulation.

5. Defendant(s) agree to vacate the subject premises by 8/19/26 and remove all personal property and persons covered by this stipulation.

6. Plaintiff and defendant(s) further stipulate as follows: So long as Defendants vacate on or before August 16, 2026, Plaintiff shall provide Defendants with a payment of $5,000. If Defendants do not vacate on or before date of August 16, 2026, Plaintiff shall have no obligation to pay Defendants $5,000.

Please see Supplemental Attachment

7. ☐ Defendant(s) agree(s) to pay the amount set forth in Paragraph 2 on the schedule set forth below. In the event of default in payment, a writ of execution may be issued for the remaining balance on the judgment creditor's verified application, without further notice or hearing.

8. ☒ **WAIVER OF RIGHTS:** We, the undersigned defendant(s), understand that we have the following rights: (a) to be represented by an attorney of our own choice, at our own expense: and (b) to notice and an opportunity to be heard on the issue of any default in payment of installments, or on any other alleged violation of conditions staying the enforcement of the judgment. We give up these rights and freely agree that judgment may be entered against us in accordance with this stipulation.

9. ☐ _____ acknowledge(s) receiving assistance from a _____ language interpreter in the preparation and execution of this stipulation.

Short Title:	Case Number:

Date: 7/7/26 — Ariel Mossazadeh (Print Name) — Signature — ☐ Plaintiff ☐ Defendant or ☒ Attorney

Date: 7-7-26 — Crystal Dzamarwitz (Print Name) — Signature — ☐ Plaintiff ☒ Defendant or ☐ Attorney

Date: 7-7-26 — Kayle Hobbs (Print Name) — Signature — ☐ Plaintiff ☒ Defendant or ☐ Attorney

Date: _____ — _____ (Print Name) — _____ (Signature) — ☐ Plaintiff ☐ Defendant or ☐ Attorney

---

☒ The Court hereby orders the above-named parties to comply with the terms of the stipulation, and the clerk is directed to enter this stipulation as judgment.

☒ Proof having been made to the satisfaction of the court, Plaintiff is also granted judgment as to all unnamed tenants. [Code Civil Procedure, section 415.46]

☐ The above-named parties agree to abide by the terms of the stipulation which is approved by the court. The case is calendared for dismissal or entry of judgment on _____ at _____ in Department _____.

☒ Based on the stipulation of the parties, and pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure, section 1161.2(a)(2), the Court further orders that the court file and all court records, electronic or otherwise, of this case are ordered sealed until further order of court and may not be accessed by any person except the parties, counsel of record and the court.

Date 7.7.26

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

Judicial Officer JAMES P. COOPER, III

Unlawful Detainer Stipulated Judgment

3:22 •

Mobile Query
cd.uscourts.gov

	(afe)
43	*06/22/2026* *STRICKEN* NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE SECOND AMENDED AND SUPPLEMENTAL COMPLAINT; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES; DECLARATION OF KYLE DAVID HAAB filed by Plaintiff Kyle David Haab. Motion set for hearing on 7/23/2026 at 10:00 AM before Judge Fernando M. Olguin. (Attachments: # 1 Lodged [Proposed] Second Amended and Supplemental Complaint, # 2 Lodged [Proposed] Order) (hr) Modified on 6/30/2026 (vdr).
44	*06/30/2026* ORDER STRIKING by Judge Fernando M. Olguin Re: MOTION for Leave to File SECOND AMENDED AND SUPPLEMENTAL COMPLAINT 43 . The following document(s) be STRICKEN for failure to comply with the Local Rules, General Order and/or the Courts Case Management Order for the following reason(s): (1) Hearing information is incorrect. Motions to be filed before the Magistrate Judge in this action, not the District Judge. (vdr)
45	*07/06/2026* SUPERSEDING CORRECTED PRE-SERVICE EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR (1) LIMITED PRESERVATION; (2) CONDITIONAL LEAVE TO SERVE SEVEN NARROW RULE 34 REQUESTS AFTER AUTHORIZED SERVICE; (3) PROTECTIVE HANDLING; AND (4) ALTERNATIVE STATUS RELIEF filed by Plaintiff Kyle David Haab. (hr)

Pages: 5, Cost: 0.50 Full Site

EXHIBIT O

SOURCE/DESCRIPTION
Preserved communication/image describing counsel's position.

FACTUAL PROPOSITION / RELEVANCE
Creates a conflict with the LAHD unapproved-unit letter.

EVIDENTIARY LIMITATION
Attorney statement is not an official LAHD rescission and should be reviewed in full context.

Controlled audit exhibit - not an accusation, adjudication, or finding of criminal or civil liability.

EXHIBIT P

SOURCE/DESCRIPTION
Courtesy notice and landlord letter, LAHD Case SO311576.

FACTUAL PROPOSITION / RELEVANCE
Documents LAHD's written position at that time.

EVIDENTIARY LIMITATION
Later corrections, withdrawal, or supersession must be obtained before treating the letter as final.

Controlled audit exhibit - not an accusation, adjudication, or finding of criminal or civil liability.

City of Los Angeles

Tiena Johnson Hall, General Manager
Tricia Keane, Executive Officer

Anna E. Ortega, Assistant General Manager
Luz C. Santiago, Assistant General Manager
Craig Arceneaux, Acting Assistant General Manager

Karen Bass, Mayor

LOS ANGELES HOUSING DEPARTMENT
1910 Sunset Blvd, Ste 300
Los Angeles, CA 90026
Tel: 213.808.8808

housing.lacity.gov

August 15, 2025

Kyle Haab
1551 ¾ E. 106th St.
Los Angeles CA 90002

RE: LAHD Case Number: SO311576

The enclosed is a courtesy copy of a letter sent to your landlord(s):

Please retain for your records.

Thank you.

City of Los Angeles

Tiena Johnson Hall, General Manager
Tricia Keane, Executive Officer

Anna E. Ortega, Assistant General Manager
Luz C. Santiago, Assistant General Manager
Craig Arceneaux, Acting Assistant General Manager

Karen Bass, Mayor

LOS ANGELES HOUSING DEPARTMENT
1910 Sunset Blvd, Ste 300
Los Angeles, CA 90026
Tel: 213.808.8808

housing.lacity.gov

August 15, 2025

Christopher N Cervantes
Post Office Box 1111
Downey, CA 90240-0111

RE: LAHD Case Number: SO311576
Tenant Name(s): Kyle Haab
Address: 1551 ¾ E. 106th St., Los Angeles CA 90002

To Whom It May Concern

Our records indicate that you are the owner of the above property that is subject to Rent Stabilization Ordinance (RSO). The Investigation and Enforcement Section of the Los Angeles Housing Department (LAHD), has reviewed a complaint filed with LAHD.

UNAPPROVED UNIT

The Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety (LADBS), issued the landlord an Order to Comply, with an effective date of August 8, 2025, regarding the following relevant code violation(s):

- Building or structure is being used, occupied, or it's existing occupancy classification has changed without the issuance of a valid Certificate of Occupancy;

The RSO as codified in the Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC), requires that a notice to evict state one of the legal reasons for eviction. Section 151.09 of the RSO specifies the legal reasons for eviction and Paragraph C of that section states, "the landlord shall serve on the tenant a written notice setting forth the reasons for the termination." Compliance with a government agency's order that necessitates the vacating of the unit is one of the reasons for eviction under the RSO. There is a specific procedure the RSO requires a landlord to follow for evictions based on compliance with a governmental order. The procedure a landlord must follow to evict a tenant to comply with a government order involves the following:

1. The landlord must file with LAHD a Declaration of Intent to Evict in Order to Comply with a Government Agency's Order;
2. The landlord must serve the tenant a 30 or 60 day written notice of eviction attached to a copy of the filed Declaration of Intent to Evict;
3. The landlord must either pay relocation directly to the tenant or deposit it in an escrow account with escrow instructions to the tenant within 15 days of the date on the notice of eviction. Depending on tenancy, the relocation assistance amount can range from $10,650.00 - $26,550.00, effective from July 1, 2025 through June 30, 2026.

Please contact the Landlord Declaration Section of LAHD for further details on how to comply with a Landlord Declaration at (213) 808-8537. Inquiries can be emailed to this general email: lahd.declarations@lacity.org.

EXHIBIT Q

SOURCE/DESCRIPTION
LADBS order and LAHD Notice and Order to Comply/inspection pages.

FACTUAL PROPOSITION / RELEVANCE
Separates the approved garage ADU from other allegedly unapproved work and shows ongoing enforcement.

EVIDENTIARY LIMITATION
Parcel-wide findings must not automatically be attributed to the exact leased interior without unit mapping.

Controlled audit exhibit - not an accusation, adjudication, or finding of criminal or civil liability.

MAYOR
BOARD OF
BUILDING AND SAFETY
COMMISSIONERS
DEPARTMENT OF
BUILDING AND SAFETY
201 NORTH FIGUEROA STREET
LOS ANGELES, CA 90012

CORISSA I
JAVIEI
MOISES
PRE
NAN
VICE P
JACOB
OSAMA YOUNAN, P.E.
GENERAL MANAGER
SUPERINTENDENT OF BUILDING
JOHN WEIGHT
EXECUTIVE OFFICER
KAREN BASS

CASE #:

ORDER TO COMPLY AND NOTICE OF FEE

CALIFORNIA

CITY OF LOS ANGELES

1053480
CERVANTES, CHRISTOPHER N
August 25, 2025

COMPLIANCE DATE:

September 24, 2025

EFFECTIVE DATE:

PO BOX 1111
DOWNEY, CA 90240

ORDER #:

A 6604739
OWNER OF
1551 E 106TH ST
SITE ADDRESS:
6065 016 024
ASSESSORS PARCEL NO.:
ZONE:
R2; Two Family Zone

An inspection has revealed that the property (Site Address) listed above is in violation of the Los Angeles Municipal Code (L.A.M.C.) sections listed below. You are hereby ordered to correct the violation(s) and contact the inspector listed in the signature block at the end of this document for a compliance inspection by the compliance date listed above.

FURTHER, THE CODE VIOLATION INSPECTION FEE (C.V.I.F) OF **$ 356.16** ($336 fee plus a six percent Systems Development Surcharge of $20.16) **WILL BE BILLED TO THE PROPERTY OWNER.** The invoice/notice will be sent to the owner as it appears on the last equalized assessment roll. Section 98.0421 L.A.M.C.

NOTE: FAILURE TO PAY THE C.V.I.F. WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE INVOICE DATE OF THE BILL NOTED ABOVE WILL RESULT IN A LATE CHARGE OF TWO (2) TIMES THE C.V.I.F. PLUS A 50 PERCENT COLLECTION FEE FOR A TOTAL OF **$1,176.00.**

Any person who fails to pay the fee, late charge and collection fee, shall also pay interest. Interest shall be calculated at the rate of one percent per month.

The inspection has revealed that the property is in violation of the Los Angeles Municipal Code as follows:

VIOLATION(S):

Building or structure is being used, occupied, or its existing occupancy classification has changed without the issuance of a valid Certificate of Occupancy.

1.

Discontinue the unapproved use of the building or structure until all violations of this code have been corrected and a valid Certificate of Occupancy has been issued.

You are therefore ordered to:
Code Section(s) in Violation:

91.104.2.5, 91.103.1, 12.21A.1.(a) of the L.A.M.C.

Location:

The first and second floors of the residence.
The 2 story single family dwelling is being used as a duplex without the required plans, permits, inspections; or approvals.

Comments:

The approximate 10' x 10' remodel of the 2nd floor foyer to a kitchen was/is constructed without the required permits and approvals.

2.

1) Demolish and remove all construction work performed without the required permit(s), AND 2) Restore the existing structure(s) to its originally

approved condition, if altered in

CODE ENFORCEMENT BUREAU

For routine City business and non emergency services: Call 3 1 1

www.ladbs.org

As a covered entity under Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act, the City of Los Angeles does not discriminate on the basis of disability and, upon request, will provide reasonable accommodation to ensure equal access to its programs, services and activities.

anyway, OR 3) Submit plans, obtain the required permits and expose the work for proper inspections.

Code Section(s) in Violation:

91.8105, 91.106.1.1, 91.106.1.2, 91.108.4, 91.106.3.2, 91.103.1, 12.21A.1.(a) of the L.A.M.C.

Location:

2nd floor of the single family residence.
The 2nd floor of the single family dwelling has been converted to an Unpermitted Dwelling Unit and does not conform to the certificate of occupancy of record.

Comments:

Hazardous Mechanical Equipment (heating, air conditioning, refrigeration or ventilation) in the water heater installation.

3.

Remove all mechanical equipment, including vents that does not conform with all applicable laws in effect at the time of installation and which has not been maintained in good condition and is being used in an unsafe manner.

You are therefore ordered to:

Code Section(s) in Violation:

91.8902.6, 91.8902, 91.103.1, 12.21A.1.(a) of the L.A.M.C.

Location:

Rear yard.
The water heater vent is installed in a manner not consistent with the code.

Comments:

Electrical work has been done without the required permits and approvals.

4.

Obtain all required electrical permits and approvals.

You are therefore ordered to:

Code Section(s) in Violation:

93.0201, 93.0104, 91.103.1 12.21A.1.(a) of the L.A.M.C.

Location:

The 2nd floor the unapproved breezeway enclosure.
There is an unapproved kitchen on the 2nd floor and an unpermitted breezeway enclosure serving as an unapproved laundry facility connecting the main house and the approved garage to ADU conversion.

Comments:

Plumbing work has been done without the required permits and approvals.

5.

Obtain all required plumbing permits and approvals.

You are therefore ordered to:

Code Section(s) in Violation:

94.103.1.1, 91.103.1, 12.21A.1.(a) of the L.A.M.C.

Location:

The 2nd floor kitchen and the unapproved breezeway enclosure.
There is an unapproved kitchen on the 2nd floor and an unpermitted breezeway enclosure serving as an unapproved laundry facility connecting the main house and the approved garage to ADU conversion.

Comments:

As a covered entity under Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act, the City of Los Angeles does not discriminate on the basis of disability and, upon request, will provide reasonable accommodation to ensure equal access to its programs, services and activities.

NON COMPLIANCE FEE WARNING:
In addition to the C.V.I.F. noted above, a proposed noncompliance fee in the amount of **$660.00** may be imposed for failure to comply with the order within 15 days after the compliance date specified in the order or unless an appeal or request for slight modification is filed within 15 days of the compliance date.

If an appeal or request for slight modification is not filed within 15 days of the compliance date or extensions granted therefrom, the determination of the department to impose and collect a non compliance fee shall be final. Section 98.0411 L.A.M.C.

YOU ARE IN VIOLATION OF THE L.A.M.C. IT IS YOUR RESPONSIBILITY TO CORRECT THE VIOLATION(S) AND CONTACT THE INSPECTOR LISTED BELOW TO ARRANGE FOR A COMPLIANCE INSPECTION BEFORE THE NON COMPLIANCE FEE IS IMPOSED. Failure to correct the violations and arrange for the compliance inspection within 15 days from the Compliance Date, will result in imposition of the fee noted below.

NOTE: FAILURE TO PAY THE NON COMPLIANCE FEE WITHIN 30 DAYS AFTER THE DATE OF MAILING THE INVOICE, MAY RESULT IN A LATE CHARGE OF TWO (2) TIMES THE NON COMPLIANCE FEE PLUS A 50 PERCENT COLLECTION FEE FOR A TOTAL OF $**2,310.00.**

Any person who fails to pay the non compliance fee, late charge and collection fee shall also pay interest. Interest shall be calculated at the rate of one percent per month.

PENALTY WARNING:
Any person who violates or causes or permits another person to violate any provision of the Los Angeles Municipal Code (L.A.M.C.) is guilty of a misdemeanor which is punishable by a fine of not more than $1000.00 and/or six (6) months imprisonment for each violation. Section 11.00 (m) L.A.M.C.

INVESTIGATION FEE REQUIRED:
Whenever any work has been commenced without authorization by a permit or application for inspection, and which violates provisions of Articles 1 through 8 of Chapter IX of the Los Angeles Municipal Code (L.A.M.C.) , and if no order has been issued by the department or a court of law requiring said work to proceed, a special investigation fee which shall be double the amount charged for an application for inspection, license or permit fee, but not less than $400.00 , shall be collected on each permit, license or application for inspection. Section 98.0402 (a) L.A.M.C.

APPEAL PROCEDURES:
There is an appeal procedure established in this city whereby the Department of Building and Safety and the Board of Building and Safety Commissioners have the authority to hear and determine err or abuse of discretion, or requests for slight modification of the requirements contained in this order when appropriate fees have been paid. Section 98.0403.1 and 98.0403.2 L.A.M.C.

Any questions regarding invoices or how to pay fees should be directed to financial services at (213) 482 6890.

Any questions regarding the order to comply and compliance matters contact the inspector noted below at (310)732 4533.

Office hours are 7:00 a.m. to 3:30 p.m. Monday through Thursday.

LOS ANGELES, CA 90731
638 S. BEACON ST #276
KEITH EDGHILL
Inspector:
Date:
August 06, 2025
REVIEWED BY
(310)732 4533
Keith.Edghill@lacity.org

CODE ENFORCEMENT BUREAU
For routine City business and non emergency services: Call 3 1 1
www.ladbs.org

As a covered entity under Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act, the City of Los Angeles does not discriminate on the basis of disability and, upon request, will provide reasonable accommodation to ensure equal access to its programs, services and activities.

CITY OF LOS ANGELES

Los Angeles Housing Department

Karen Bass, Mayor

Code Enforcement Division
1910 Sunset Blvd., Suite 300
Los Angeles, CA 90026
Tel: (310) 524-1230

POSTING

,

Date: October 15, 2025
Case ID: 953784
APN: 6065016024

NOTICE AND ORDER TO COMPLY

Sections 161.702 and 161.354, Los Angeles Municipal Code
Sections 17980 and 17980.6, California Health and Safety Code

Our records indicate you are the owner of the property located at **1551 E 106TH ST** . An inspection of the premises has revealed conditions that affect the health and safety of the occupants and cause the building to be determined to be in violation of the City of Los Angeles Municipal Code. These conditions, their location on the premises, and the specific violation(s) of the Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC) and or California Health and Safety Code are described on the attached pages.

You, as the property owner, or responsible party, are ordered to eliminate all of the described conditions and diligently pursue the work necessary to eliminate any violations of the LAMC and Health and Safety Code on or before **11/21/2025**.

Some of the work required to repair the building may require that you obtain a permit and request related inspections from the Department of Building and Safety. We strongly urge you to seek the services of qualified installers, properly licensed by the State Contractors License Board.

A re-inspection of the premises will be conducted on **Monday, November 24, 2025** between **1:00 PM** and **3:00 PM**. You or your representative must be present to escort the Housing Inspector. Any and all units are subject to re-inspection and require the same uniform compliance throughout the premises. You must provide notice to all affected tenants not less than 24 hours prior to the scheduled inspection. The entire premises must be in full compliance with the Los Angeles Municipal Code.

If you have any questions or concerns regarding this notice/order/inspection, please feel free to contact us at the email/phone numbers provided below. Inspectors are best reachable by phone on weekdays from 7 to 9 am. *Si tiene preguntas, por favor contáctenos como se indica al final de esta notificación.*

Inspector: Maurice Williams	Email: Maurice.Williams@lacity.org	Inspector Phone: (213) 252-2869
Office Address: 1910 Sunset Blvd Suite #300 Los Angeles, CA 90026		Office Phone: (310) 524-1230

Issuing Inspector:
Maurice Williams

Proof of Mailing -- On 10/15/2025 the signee mailed a copy of this notice by First-Class Mail, postage prepaid, to the person(s) listed on the last equalized assessment roll.

As a covered entity under Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act, the City of Los Angeles does not discriminate on the basis of disability and, upon request, will provide reasonable accommodation to ensure equal access to its programs, services and activities.

FIRE SAFETY

Failure to provide and maintain the required permanently wired, with battery back-up, smoke detectors at all sleeping rooms, and areas adjacent to sleeping rooms. Sections 91.8603, 91.8104 of the L.A.M.C.
Violation Severity Level: HIGH

1551 3/4 E 106TH ST
ENTIRE UNIT, Note: ADDRESS CHIRPING, MISSING OR HANGING FROM BASE SMOKE DETECTOR THROUGHOUT THE UNIT VERIFYING FUNCTION- ALL INSTALLED SMOKE DETECTORS ARE REQUIRED TO BE HARDWIRED WITH BATTERY BACK UP WITH MOST UNITS HAVING A GREEN INDICATING LIGHT AS EVIDENCE OF BEING HARDWIRED

An owner of a single family dwelling unit intended for human occupancy shall install a carbon monoxide device, approved and listed by the State Fire Marshall pursuant, in each existing dwelling unit having a fossil fuel burning heater or appliance, fireplace, or an attached garage. Exception: For all multiple-family dwelling units, this requirement becomes effective on January 1, 2013. Sections 91.915, 91.8104 of the L.A.M.C.

1551 3/4 E 106TH ST
ENTIRE UNIT, Note: MISSING

MAINTENANCE

Failure to maintain the existing building, structure, premises, or portion thereof in conformity with the code regulations and department approvals in effect at the time of construction. Sections 91.2.401.6, 91.8104 of the L.A.M.C.

1551 3/4 E 106TH ST
BEDROOM1, Note: REINSTALL MISSING ATTIC ACCESS PANEL

PLUMBING

Failure to provide and maintain a positive seal (caulk or grout) between plumbing fixtures at contact point with walls and/or floors. Sections 94.101.7, 94.102.4, 91.8104.7 of the L.A.M.C.
Violation Severity Level: LOW

1551 3/4 E 106TH ST
KITCHEN, Note: SEAL GAP(S) WHERE UNDER MOUNT SINK MOUNTS TO COUNTERTOP TO PREVENT LEAKES INTO LOWER CABINETRY

HEATING AND VENTILATION

Failure to provide and/or maintain an approved and/or non-defective domestic range vent. Section 95.111.1 of the L.A.M.C.
Violation Severity Level: LOW

1551 3/4 E 106TH ST
KITCHEN, Note: EXHAUST FAN ABOVE STOVE DOESNT WORK

UNAPPROVED USE OR OCCUPANCY

Failure to obtain a building permit and Certificate of Occupancy or Certificate of Completion from the Department of Building and

Safety for the change of use or occupancy for the building or that portion described herein. Sections 91.104.2.5, 91.109.1, 91.8105, 91.8203, 91.8204 of the L.A.M.C.

1551 E 106TH ST
ENTIRE UNIT, Permit Required, , Note: ONTAIN NEW CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY TO REFLECT CURRENT SITE CONDITIONS OR RETURN BUILDING TO ITS ORIGINAL APPROVED CONDITION

UNAPPROVED UNIT(S)

Failure to obtain the required building permit and inspection approvals for the unapproved dwelling unit as described below, or restore the structure to its originally approved condition. If the unit(s) is/are occupied, you may be ordered to vacate the unit(s) and pay the tenant relocation assistance fees. (See attached Notice & Warning pages) Sections 91.106.1.1, 91.109.1, 91.8105, 151.09.(a)11, 163.02(A) of the L.A.M.C.

1551 E 106TH ST
ENTIRE UNIT, Note: THE UNIT 1551 HAS BEEN SUB DIVIDED CREATING AN ADDITIINAL DWELLING UNIT NOW KNOWN AS 1551A AND 1551B. WITH THE ADDITION OF A KITCHEN, UNAPPROVED PLUMBING AT THE UPSTAIRS UNIT.OBTAIN RELEVANT PERMIT FROM THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING AND SAFETY(LADBS) FOLLOWING ESTABLISHED PROCESS UNTIL PERMIT IS FINALIZED OR RETURN TO STRUCTURES TO IT'S ORIGINAL CONDITION/ USE.

HEATING AND VENTILATION

Failure to maintain the required appliance venting system in a good and safe condition, and in conformance with applicable laws at the time of installation. Section 95.111.1 of the L.A.M.C.
EXTERIOR, Note: WATER HEATER VENT NOT CONNECTED
1551 E 106TH ST

RELOCATION INFORMATION:

Any tenant who is displaced or subject to displacement from a residential rental unit as a result of a Notice to Vacate or any order requiring the vacation of the unit by the Enforcement Agency, shall be entitled to relocation benefits payable by the landlord to the tenant in each unit in the amounts prescribed in Section 163.05 L.A.M.C. Furthermore if the landlord fails, neglects, or refuses to pay relocation payments, the City may advance relocation payments to the affected tenant (s). Recovery of the relocation payments plus a penalty of 50% will be assessed against the property in the form of a lien as per California Health and Safety Code Section 17975.5.

COMPLIANCE:

A property owner has complied with this Notice/Order when an inspector from the Los Angeles Housing and Community Investment Department have verified the abatement of the cited violations in conformity with requirement of the Los Angeles Municipal Code.

Los Angeles Housing Department
Inspection Report

Inspection Date and Time: 11/24/2025 1:00:00 PM APN: 6065016024

Address:1551 E 106TH ST Case# 953784

Inspector Name:Maurice Williams

For a more detailed explanation of the Violation Severity Level, please refer to the attached Severity Level Basis document.

Unit Address	Area	Violation	Permit	Inspector's Note	Violation Severity Level
1551 3/4 E 106TH ST	ENTIRE UNIT	SMOKE DETECTORS		ADDRESS CHIRPING, MISSING OR HANGING FROM BASE SMOKE DETECTOR THROUGHOUT THE UNIT VERIFYING FUNCTION- ALL INSTALLED SMOKE DETECTORS ARE REQUIRED TO BE HARDWIRED WITH BATTERY BACK UP WITH MOST UNITS HAVING A GREEN INDICATING LIGHT AS EVIDENCE OF BEING HARDWIRED	HIGH
	KITCHEN	CAULKING		SEAL GAP(S) WHERE UNDER MOUNT SINK MOUNTS TO COUNTERTOP TO PREVENT LEAKES INTO LOWER CABINETRY	LOW
	KITCHEN	VENT-KITCHEN		EXHAUST FAN ABOVE STOVE DOESNT WORK	LOW
	ENTIRE UNIT	Carbon Monoxide Detectors		MISSING	Not Assigned
	BEDROOM1	GENERAL MAINTENANCE		REINSTALL MISSING ATTIC ACCESS PANEL	Not Assigned
1551 E 106TH ST	EXTERIOR	VENTING SYSTEM		WATER HEATER VENT NOT CONNECTED	HIGH
	ENTIRE UNIT	NEW C/O REQUIRED	Required	ONTAIN NEW CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY TO REFLECT CURRENT SITE CONDITIONS OR RETURN BUILDING TO ITS ORIGINAL APPROVED CONDITION	Not Assigned
	ENTIRE UNIT	Unapproved Unit(s)	Required	THE UNIT 1551 HAS BEEN SUB DIVIDED CREATING AN ADDITIINAL DWELLING UNIT NOW KNOWN AS 1551A AND 1551B. WITH THE ADDITION OF A KITCHEN, UNAPPROVED PLUMBING AT THE UPSTAIRS UNIT.OBTAIN RELEVANT PERMIT FROM THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING AND SAFETY(LADBS) FOLLOWING ESTABLISHED PROCESS UNTIL PERMIT IS FINALIZED OR RETURN TO STRUCTURES TO IT'S ORIGINAL CONDITION/ USE.	Not Assigned

EXHIBIT R

SOURCE/DESCRIPTION
Permit/inspection packet and portal screenshots.

FACTUAL PROPOSITION / RELEVANCE
Shows multiple governmental address strings and later permit activity.

EVIDENTIARY LIMITATION
Address variants may reflect database conventions; they do not alone prove illegality or misidentification.

Controlled audit exhibit - not an accusation, adjudication, or finding of criminal or civil liability.

LADBS PERMIT AND INSPECTION SOURCE PACKET

1551 E. 106th Street property - three user-supplied MHT captures

Control purpose

This packet preserves and renders three City of Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety permit/inspection webpages supplied as MHT files. The rendered pages are source captures, not certified LADBS records. Native MHT files and official LADBS records control.

Source file	Address / permit	Work description	Captured status
Permit and Inspection Report Detail.mht	1551 1/2 E 106TH ST 90002 Permit 21014-10000-05021 / Job B21LA18647	CONVERT GARAGE TO ADU	Issued: Issued on 4/5/2023 Status: CofO Issued SHA-256: a10e6c5b35c36045
Permit and Inspection Report Detail(1).mht	1551 1/2 E 106TH ST 90002 Permit 26016-10000-11129 / Job B26LA16515	EPLAN. CHANGE OF USE FROM ATTACHED ADU TO DETACHED ADU. WORK PERFORMED PER LAMC 12.22 A.33(b)(1). *P	Issued: Issued on 1/16/2026 Status: Issued SHA-256: e0507f7a8ba1ffe0
Permit and Inspection Report Detail(2).mht	1551 1/2 E 106TH ST 90002 Permit 25016-10000-33326 / Job B25LA47334	Eplan: Convert existing 2nd floor of 2-story SFD into ADU per GC 66323(a)(1). Remove and relocate patio cover. **	Issued: Issued on 6/19/2026 Status: Issued SHA-256: c0f238903656f0ba

Key source distinctions

The 2021/2023 permit for conversion of the garage to an ADU shows Certificate of Occupancy issued and permit finaled on July 18, 2024. The two 2026 permits concern later change-of-use / second-floor ADU work and were only in issued status in the supplied captures. One 2026 work description expressly references compliance with Department Order effective August 25, 2025 and CEIS Case 1053480. These records must be reconciled by permit number, structure location, unit designation, inspection date, and certificate-of-occupancy scope; one permit or certificate should not be assumed to legalize every structure or occupancy at the site.

Authentication note

For court or agency use, request certified permit jackets, approved plans, inspection records, certificate-of-occupancy documents, CEIS order history, address/unit crosswalk, and custodian declaration. The MHT captures show what the public portal displayed when saved, but do not independently establish authenticity, completeness, or current status.

SOURCE 1: Permit and Inspection Report Detail.mht

1551-1551 3/4 E 106TH ST 90002 - Permit 21014-10000-05021

Plan Check / Job: B21LA18647

Work description: CONVERT GARAGE TO ADU

Issued: Issued on 4/5/2023

Status: CofO Issued

Native MHT SHA-256: 10e6c5b35c36045899f41f702657dff147f71fe639b93a38d9e7fb09cfe53c8

SOURCE CAPTURE - OFFICIAL/CERTIFIED LADBS RECORDS CONTROL

An Official Website of
the City of L.A. Los Angeles

Services

City Services

Directory

City Directory

Skip Dept Navigation to Main Content

1551-1551 3/4 E 106TH ST 90002

Certificate Information: 1551-1551 3/4 E 106TH ST 90002

Application / Permit
21014-10000-05021
Plan Check / Job No.
B21LA18647
Group
Building
Type
Bldg-Alter/Repair
Sub-Type
1 or 2 Family Dwelling
Primary Use
(1) Accessory Dwelling Unit
Work Description
CONVERT GARAGE TO ADU
Permit Issued
Issued on 4/5/2023
Issuing Office
Metro
Current Status
CofO Issued on 7/18/2024
Certificate of Occupancy
CofO Issued More Information

Permit Application Status History

Submitted	10/5/2021	APPLICANT
Assigned to Plan Check Engineer	10/21/2021	ARSALAN SHABESTARI
Corrections Issued	10/26/2021	ARSALAN SHABESTARI
Quality Review Completed	11/5/2021	GARO TELMI
Building Plans Picked Up	11/23/2021	APPLICANT
Plan Check Approved	6/29/2022	ARSALAN SHABESTARI
Issued	4/5/2023	APPLICANT
CofO in Progress	7/18/2024	ANSON MAGLASANG
CofO Issued	7/18/2024	ANSON MAGLASANG
Permit Finaled	7/18/2024	ANSON MAGLASANG

Permit Application Clearance Information

Address approval	Cleared	12/7/2021	CINDY QI
Eng Process Fee Ord 176,300	Cleared	12/9/2021	CINDY QI

Sewer availability	Cleared	2/14/2022	CINDY QI
Low Impact Development	Cleared	3/4/2022	KHAING AYE
Roof/Waste drainage to street	Cleared	3/15/2022	CINDY QI

Contact Information

Contractor	Ebenezer Construction; Lic. No.: 657529-B	9214 MANZANAR AVE	DOWNEY, CA 90240

Inspector Information

JOHN KLARIN, (310) 732-4558	Office Hours: 7:00-8:00 AM MON-FRI
ARTHUR NEGRETE, (310) 732-4558	Office Hours: 7:00-8:00 AM MON-FRI

Pending Inspections

No Data Available.

Inspection Request History

Drywall Nailing	12/14/2023	Approved	JOHN KLARIN
BUILDING-Rough-Frame	4/1/2024	Corrections Issued	BEN MOLSTAD
ELECTRICAL-Rough	4/1/2024	Corrections Issued	BEN MOLSTAD
Gas Test	4/1/2024	Approved	BEN MOLSTAD
Green Building Rough	4/1/2024	Not Ready for Inspection	BEN MOLSTAD
HVAC-Rough	4/1/2024	Corrections Issued	BEN MOLSTAD
PLUMBING-Rough	4/1/2024	Corrections Issued	BEN MOLSTAD
Sewer	4/1/2024	Approved	BEN MOLSTAD
BUILDING-Rough-Frame	4/11/2024	Not Ready for Inspection	BEN MOLSTAD
ELECTRICAL-Rough	4/11/2024	Not Ready for Inspection	BEN MOLSTAD
Green Building Rough	4/11/2024	Not Ready for Inspection	BEN MOLSTAD
HVAC-Rough	4/11/2024	Not Ready for Inspection	BEN MOLSTAD
Insulation	4/11/2024	Not Ready for Inspection	BEN MOLSTAD
PLUMBING-Rough	4/11/2024	Not Ready for Inspection	BEN MOLSTAD
BUILDING-Rough-Frame	4/24/2024	Approved	BEN MOLSTAD
ELECTRICAL-Rough	4/24/2024	Approved	BEN MOLSTAD
Green Building Rough	4/24/2024	Approved	BEN MOLSTAD
Insulation	4/24/2024	Approved	BEN MOLSTAD
PLUMBING-Rough	4/24/2024	Approved	BEN MOLSTAD
Drywall Nailing	5/7/2024	Approved	BEN MOLSTAD
Final	6/5/2024	Corrections Issued	BEN MOLSTAD
Green Building Final	6/5/2024	Approved	BEN MOLSTAD
SGSOV-Seismic Gas S/O Valve	6/5/2024	SGSOV Approved	BEN MOLSTAD
Smoke Detectors	6/5/2024	Approved	BEN MOLSTAD
ELECTRICAL-Final	6/20/2024	Approved	BEN MOLSTAD
Final	6/20/2024	Corrections Issued	BEN MOLSTAD
HVAC-Final	6/20/2024	Approved	BEN MOLSTAD
PLUMBING-Final	6/20/2024	Approved	BEN MOLSTAD

Final	7/3/2024	Corrections Issued	BEN MOLSTAD
ELECTRICAL-Final	7/10/2024	Approved	BEN MOLSTAD
Final	7/10/2024	OK to Issue CofO	BEN MOLSTAD
Green Building Final	7/10/2024	Approved	BEN MOLSTAD
HVAC-Final	7/10/2024	Approved	BEN MOLSTAD
PLUMBING-Final	7/10/2024	Approved	BEN MOLSTAD
Pre-Inspection	7/10/2024	Approved	BEN MOLSTAD
SGSOV-Seismic Gas S/O Valve	7/10/2024	SGSOV Approved	BEN MOLSTAD

SOURCE 2: Permit and Inspection Report Detail (1).mht

1551 3/4 E 106TH ST 90002 - Permit 26016-10000-11129

Plan Check / Job: B26LA16515

Work description: EPLAN. CHANGE OF USE FROM ATTACHED ADU TO DETACHED ADU WORK PER LAMC 12.22 A.33(b)(1). *REMOVAL OF ATTACHED PATIO COVER UNDER 25016-10000-33326. COFO SHALL BE ISSUED CONCURRENTLY WITH PERMIT UNDER 25016-10000-33326*

Issued: Issued on 6/18/2026

Status: Issued

Native MHT SHA-256: 0507f7a8ba1ffe04f4b302f46687bb3b5dfc65718299ed0806b33dfa032e216

SOURCE CAPTURE - OFFICIAL/CERTIFIED LADBS RECORDS CONTROL

An Official Website of
the City of L.A. Los Angeles

Services

City Services

Directory

City Directory

Skip Dept Navigation to Main Content

1551 3/4 E 106TH ST 90002

Certificate Information: 1551 3/4 E 106TH ST 90002

Application / Permit
26016-10000-11129
Plan Check / Job No.
B26LA16515
Group
Building
Type
Bldg-Alter/Repair
Sub-Type
1 or 2 Family Dwelling
Primary Use
(1) Accessory Dwelling Unit
Work Description
EPLAN. CHANGE OF USE FROM ATTACHED ADU TO DETACHED ADU WORK PER LAMC 12.22 A.33(b)(1). *REMOVAL OF ATTACHED PATIO COVER UNDER 25016-10000-33326. COFO SHALL BE ISSUED CONCURRENTLY WITH PERMIT UNDER 25016-10000-33326*
Permit Issued
Issued on 6/18/2026
Issuing Office
Current Status
Issued on 6/18/2026

Permit Application Status History

Submitted	4/27/2026	APPLICANT
Assigned to Plan Check Engineer	4/28/2026	NEHEMIAS RAMOS
Corrections Issued	4/29/2026	NEHEMIAS RAMOS
Plan Check Approved	6/4/2026	CARLOS MONTES
Plan Check Approved	6/18/2026	CARLOS MONTES
Issued	6/18/2026	APPLICANT

Permit Application Clearance Information

No Data Available.

Contact Information

Contractor	Ebenezer Construction; Lic. No.: 657529-B	,

Inspector Information

JOHN KLARIN, (310) 732-4558	Office Hours: 7:00-8:00 AM MON-FRI

ARTHUR NEGRETE, (310) 732-4558	Office Hours: 7:00-8:00 AM MON-FRI

Pending Inspections

No Data Available.

Inspection Request History

No Data Available.

SOURCE 3: Permit and Inspection Report Detail (2).mht

1551-1551 1/2 E 106TH ST 90002 - Permit 25016-10000-33326

Plan Check / Job: B25LA47334

Work description: Eplan: Convert existing 2nd floor of 2-story SFD into ADU per GC 66323(a)(1). Removal of attached patio cover. *** "COMPLY WITH DEPARTMENT ORDER effective date 08/25/2025. PERMIT WILL EXPIRE 30 DAYS FROM ISSUANCE DATE". CEIS Case Number is 1053480.***

Issued: Issued on 6/19/2026

Status: Issued

Native MHT SHA-256: 3f238903656f0ba8df9c96e1053b22ea199ce26bcb491b2d87e8e1ec276c930

SOURCE CAPTURE - OFFICIAL/CERTIFIED LADBS RECORDS CONTROL

An Official Website of
the City of L.A. Los Angeles

Services

City Services

Directory

City Directory

Skip Dept Navigation to Main Content

1551-1551 1/2 E 106TH ST 90002

Certificate Information: 1551-1551 1/2 E 106TH ST 90002

Application / Permit
25016-10000-33326
Plan Check / Job No.
B25LA47334
Group
Building
Type
Bldg-Alter/Repair
Sub-Type
1 or 2 Family Dwelling
Primary Use
(1) Accessory Dwelling Unit
Work Description
Eplan: Convert existing 2nd floor of 2-story SFD into ADU per GC 66323(a)(1). Removal of attached patio cover. *** "COMPLY WITH DEPARTMENT ORDER effective date 08/25/2025. PERMIT WILL EXPIRE 30 DAYS FROM ISSUANCE DATE". CEIS Case Number is 1053480.***
Permit Issued
Issued on 6/19/2026
Issuing Office
Current Status
Issued on 6/19/2026

Permit Application Status History

Submitted	11/12/2025	APPLICANT
Assigned to Plan Check Engineer	11/14/2025	JOHNPAUL ISIP
Corrections Issued	11/15/2025	JOHNPAUL ISIP
Applicant returned to address corrections	3/25/2026	JOHNPAUL ISIP
Plan Check Approved	6/18/2026	DAVID CASTILLO
Issued	6/19/2026	APPLICANT

Permit Application Clearance Information

Address approval	Cleared	12/16/2025	ADRIAN SANCHEZ
Eng Process Fee Ord 176,300	Cleared	2/19/2026	ADRIAN SANCHEZ

Contact Information

Contractor	Ebenezer Construction; Lic. No.: 657529-B	,
Engineer	Espino,, Manuel A; Lic. No.: C55526	12109 WOODRUFF AVE DOWNEY, CA 90241

Inspector Information

No Data Available.

Pending Inspections

No Data Available.

Inspection Request History

No Data Available.

7:43 • 98
ladbsservice...
vices2.lacity.org

LADBS
CITY OF LOS ANGELES
DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING & SAFETY

Return to Payment Portal

1551 E 106TH ST

Date Received: 7/7/2025
Description: MISCELLANEOUS COMPLAINTS
Inspector: KEITH EDGHILL
Phone: (310)732-4533
Status: UNDER INVESTIGATION

Order Information

Order Number	Order Type	Effective Date	Issued By	Phone
0	ORDER TO COMPLY	8/25/2025	KEITH EDGHILL	(310)732-4533

Code Violation Information

Violation	Date in Compliance
Building or structure is being used, occupied, or it's existing occupancy classification has changed without the issuance of a valid Certificate of Occupancy.	
Hazardous Mechanical Equipment (heating, air conditioning, refrigeration or ventilation) in the water heater installation.	
The approximate 10' x 10' remodel of the 2nd floor foyer to a kitchen was/is constructed without the required permits and approvals.	
Electrical work has been done without the required permits and approvals.	
Plumbing work has been done without the required permits and approvals.	

LADBS
CITY OF LOS ANGELES
DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING & SAFETY

Return to Payment Portal

090A213-160

- Parcel Profile Report: 1
- Permit Information found: 4
  - 1551-1551 1/2 E 106TH ST 90002
  - 1551 3/4 E 106TH ST 90002
  - 1551-1551 3/4 E 106TH ST 90002
  - 1551 E 106TH ST 90002
- Code Enforcement Information: 6
- Soft-story Retrofit Program Information: 1

Back



LADBS

Services
Plan Review & Permitting
Inspection
Code Enforcement & Compliance

LADBS
CITY OF LOS ANGELES
DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING & SAFETY

Return to Payment Portal

sections and select the specific information for further detail.

090A213-160

+ Parcel Profile Report: 1

− Permit Information found: 4

+ 1551-1551 1/2 E 106TH ST 90002

Expand Closed 1551 3/4 E 106TH ST 90002

Application/Permit #	PC/Job #	Type	Status	Work Description
26016-10000-11129	B26LA16515	Bldg-Alter/Repair	Issued 6/18/2026	EPLAN. CHANGE OF USE FROM ATTACHED ADU TO DETACHED ADU WORK PER LAMC 12.22 A.33(b)(1). *REMOVAL OF ATTACHED PATIO COVER UNDER 25016-10000-33326. COFO SHALL BE ISSUED CONCURRENTLY WITH PERMIT UNDER 25016-10000-33326*

EXHIBIT S

SOURCE/DESCRIPTION
2011 and 2024 COFO images and approval records.

FACTUAL PROPOSITION / RELEVANCE
Shows that a 2024 garage-to-ADU COFO existed under a range address.

EVIDENTIARY LIMITATION
The unresolved question is whether the exact premises delivered under the lease matched the approved plans/configuration.

Controlled audit exhibit - not an accusation, adjudication, or finding of criminal or civil liability.

CITY OF LOS ANGELES
CALIFORNIA

ANTONIO R. VILLARAIGOSA
MAYOR

CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY

OWNER WEAVER,BRIAN

14142 SACRAMENTO ST
FONTANA CA 92336

No building or structure or portion thereof and no trailer park or portion thereof shall be used or occupied until a Certificate of Occupancy has been issued therefor. Section 91.109.1 LAMC

CERTIFICATE:	Issued-Valid	DATE
BY:	BRADLEY NEIGHBO	07/15/2011

SITE IDENTIFICATION
ADDRESS: **1551 E 106TH ST 90002**

LEGAL DESCRIPTION

TRACT	BLOCK	LOT(s)	ASR CO. MAP REF #	PARCEL PIN	APN
BILLER TRACT		56	M B 5-168	498A213 168	6065-016-015

This certifies that, so far as ascertained or made known to the undersigned, the building or portion of building described below and located at the above address(es) complies with the applicable construction requirements (Chapter IX) and/or the applicable zoning requirements (Chapter 1) of the Los Angeles Municipal Code for the use and occupancy group in which it is classified and with applicable requirements of the State Housing Law for the following occupancies and is subject to any affidavits or building and zoning code modifications whether listed or not.

COMMENT NEW 2-STORY SFD WATTACHED PATIO COVER AND 2-CAR GARAGE.

USE PRIMARY: **Dwelling - Single Family** OTHER: **Garage - Private** **Patio Cover**

PERMITS
06010-70000-00052 |

STRUCTURAL INVENTORY

ITEM DESCRIPTION	CHANGED	TOTAL
Stories	2 Stories	2 Stories
Length	90.5 Feet	90.5 Feet
Width	19 Feet	19 Feet
Height (HC)	17.92 Feet	17.92 Feet
Floor Area (FC)	2261 SqFt	2261 SqFt
Type V-N Construction		
Dwelling Unit	1 Units	1 Units
Wood (Plywood, OSB, etc)Sheerwall		
Foundation - Continuous Footing		
Floor Construction - Concrete Slab on Grade		
Wall Construction - Wood Stud		
Roof Construction - Wood Frame/Sheathing		
R3 Occ. Group	2261 SqFt	2261 SqFt
U1 Occ. Group	380 SqFt	380 SqFt
Parking Req'd for Bldg (Attn+Bl(s)+In)	2 Stalls	2 Stalls

CITY LA DBS
DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING AND SAFETY

APPROVAL

CERTIFICATE NUMBER	24017
BRANCH OFFICE	LA
COUNCIL DISTRICT	15
INSPECTION DISTRICT	8503
BUREAU	INSPECTN
DIVISION	RESIDNSP
STATUS:	CofO Issued
STATUS BY:	BRADLEY NEIGHBORS
STATUS DATE:	07/15/2011

Brad Neighbors

APPROVED BY: BRADLEY NEIGHBORS
EXPIRATION DATE:

GDB 05A

 Certificate No: 24017

PERMIT DETAIL

PERMIT NUMBER	PERMIT ADDRESS	PERMIT DESCRIPTION	STATUS - DATE - BY
06010-70000-00052	1551 E 106th St	NEW 2-STORY SFD WATTACHED PATIO COVER AND 2-CAR GARAGE. (SEE PERMIT#06010-70000-00054)	CofO Issued - 07/15/2011 BRADLEY NEIGHBORS

PARCEL INFORMATION

Alloc. 15*.50/AB	Area Planning Commission: South Los Angeles	LADBS Branch Office: LA
Council District: 15	Certified Neighborhood Council: Watts	Community Plan Area: Southeast Los Angeles
Census Tract: 2459.00	District Map: 498A213	Energy Zone: 8
Earthquake Induced Liquefaction Area: Yes	Lot Size: 15' X 130.00'	Lot Type: Interior
Near Source Zone (Distance): 2.7	School Within 500 Feet Radius: YES	Thomas Brothers Map Grid: 706-5*5
Zone: RD1.5		

PARCEL DOCUMENT

City Planning Cases (CPC): CPC-1985-586-GP	City Planning Cases (CPC): CPC-1986-827	Community Development Block Cases (CDBG): PEA Los Angeles
Community Development Block Cases (CDBG): LARD Central (CR)	Community Development Block Grant (CDBG): HEZ-Slide Alameda Corridor State Enterprise Zone	Ordinance: 0000-0000-0000
Ordinance: (OBD): 0000-167544-LARR	Ordinance: (OBD): 0000-171600	Specific Plan Area (SPA): South Central Alcohol Sales
Zoning Information File (ZI): ZI-2130 Mid-Alameda Corridor State Enterprise Zone		

CHECKLIST ITEMS

Attachment - Plot Plan	Combine Elec - Wrk. per 91.107.2.1.1.1	Combine HVAC - Wrk. per 91.107.2.1.1.1
Combine Plumbg - Wrk. per 91.107.2.1.1.1	Special Inspect - Structural Observation	

PROPERTY OWNER, TENANT, APPLICANT INFORMATION

OWNER(S)
Weaver, Brian — 14142 Sacramento St — FONTANA CA 92336

TENANT

APPLICANT
Ownership: Owner
Darnell Watson/Son Owner — (323) 864-4751

BUILDING RELOCATED FROM:

(C)ONTRACTOR, (A)RCHITECT & (E)NGINEER INFORMATION

NAME	ADDRESS		CLASS	LICENSE #	PHONE #
(E) Nirta, Jose M	8910 Beverlywood St.	Los Angeles, CA 90034	NA	C36/660	
(O) , Owner-Builder	,	,	NA	0	

SITE IDENTIFICATION-ALL
ADDRESS: **1551 E 106TH ST 90002**

LEGAL DESCRIPTION - ALL

TRACT	BLOCK	LOT(s)	ASR CO. MAP REF #	PARCEL PIN	APN
BILLER TRACT		56	M B 5-168	498A213 168	6065-016-015

CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY

OWNER: CNC DEVELOPMENT GROUP LLC AND

JANI REALTY AND INVESTMENTS LLC
8 PO BOX 39894
DOWNEY CA 90239

No building or structure or portion thereof and no entire yard or portion thereof shall be used or occupied until a Certificate of Occupancy has been issued therefor.

CERTIFICATE: Issued-Valid
BY: ANSON MAGLASANG
DATE: 07/18/2024

GREEN - MANDATORY

SITE IDENTIFICATION
ADDRESS: 1551-1551 3/4 E 106TH ST 90002

LEGAL DESCRIPTION

TRACT	BLOCK	LOT(s)	ARB	CD, MAP REF. #	PARCEL NO.	APN
HELEN TRACT		56		30 B 5-100	8904-033-160	6065-016-024

This certificate does not be construed or deemed to be a permit to authorize any person to violate any provision of any law. The building or portion of building described below and located at the above address(es) complies with the applicable construction requirements of Chapter IX and/or the applicable zoning requirements of Chapter 1 of the Los Angeles Municipal Code for the use and occupancy group to which it is classified and with applicable requirements of the State Building Law for the following permissible and or subject to any affidavits or recorded documents on file with zoning and/or board or use.

COMMENT: CONVERT EXISTING GARAGE PORTION OF AN EXISTING SINGLE-FAMILY DWELLING INTO AN ACCESSORY DWELLING UNIT.

USE: PRIMARY: Dwelling - Single Family
OTHER: Accessory Dwelling Unit

PERMITS
21014-10000-05021

STRUCTURAL INVENTORY

ITEM DESCRIPTION	UNASSIGNED	TOTAL
Accessory Dwelling Unit	1 Units	1 Units
Dwelling Unit	0 Units	
Floor Area (SF)	300 SqFt	
Height (FT)	0 Feet	
Length	0 Feet	
Stories	0 Stories	
Type V-B Construction		
Width	0 Feet	
R3 Occ. Group	300 SqFt	
U Occ. Group	-300 SqFt	
Parking Req 0 for Bldg (Auto/Bicycle)	0 Stalls	

CITY LA DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING

APPROVAL

CERTIFICATE NUMBER	212890
BRANCH OFFICE	LA
COUNCIL DISTRICT	10
BUREAU	INSPECTN
DIVISION	RESIDENT
STATUS	Cofd Issued
STATUS BY	ANSON MAGL
STATUS DATE	07/18/2024
APPROVED BY	ANSON MAGL
EXPIRATION DATE	

LA DBS
DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING AND SAFETY

Certificate No. 725599

PERMIT DETAIL

PERMIT NUMBER	PERMIT ADDRESS	PERMIT DESCRIPTION	STATUS - DATE - BY
21014-10000-05021	1551-1551 3/4 E 106th St	CONVERT GARAGE TO ADU	Cofd-Issued - 07/18/2024 ANSON MAGLASANG

PARCEL INFORMATION

Area Planning Commission: South Los Angeles	Census Tract: 2450.00	Certified Neighborhood Council: Watts
Community Plan Area: Southeast Los Angeles	Council District: 10	District Map: 094A213
Earthquake Induced Liquefaction Area: Yes	Energy Zone: 9	LADBS Branch Office: LA
Yard Source Zone Distance: 5.0	Inland Within 500 Feet Shoreline: YES	Thomas Brothers Map Grid: 706 H5
Zone: RS-1		

PARCEL DOCUMENT

City Planning Case (CPC): CPC-1965-566-PP	City Planning Case (CPC): CPC-1965-566-PP	City Planning Case (CPC): CPC-1966-827-CZ-PP
City Planning Case (CPC): CPC-1999-1062-CA	City Planning Case (CPC): CPC-2000-1752-CPU	City Planning Case (CPC): CPC-1965-1771-CRA
Ordinance (ORD): ORD-164225	Ordinance: ADOPTED 10/7/54 (164000)	Ordinance (ORD): ORD-11681
Ordinance (ORD): ORD-173692	Specific Plan Area (SPA): NOT IN USE AVAILABLE (0.0 (0000) SQ.FT.)	Zoning Information File (ZI): ZI-2374 Specific Plan: South Los Angeles Alcohol Ct
Zoning Information File (ZI): ZI-2374 Auto Enterprise Zone: Los Angeles	Zoning Information File (ZI): ZI-2452 Transit Priority Area in the City of Los A	

CHECKLIST ITEMS

Attachment - Plot Plan	Combine Elec - Prvk. per 91.107.2.1.1.1	Combine HVAC - Prvk. per 91.107.2.1.1.1
Combine Plumbing - Prvk. per 91.107.2.1.1.1	Special Inspect - Structural Observation	Sol. Work Heater - Schedule Gas Shut Off Valve

PROPERTY OWNER, TENANT, APPLICANT INFORMATION

OWNER(S)
Cnc Development Group Llc And — 8 Po Box 39894 — Downey Ca 90239

TENANT

APPLICANT
Thanos Bezos — (323) 787-1775

BUILDING BEING ACCESSED (BEING)

CONTRACTOR, LICENSE, DISTRICT & QUALIFIER INFORMATION

NAME	ADDRESS	LICENSE	LICENSE TYPE(S)	PHONE(S)
B.J. Elkmore Construction	9214 Mansour Ave,	Downey, CA 90240	B	6023245

SITE IDENTIFICATION ALL

ADDRESS
1551-1551 3/4 E 106TH ST 90002

LA DBS
DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING AND SAFETY

LEGAL DESCRIPTION ALL

TRACT	BLOCK	LOT(s)	ARB	CD, MAP REF. #	PARCEL NO.	APN
HELEN TRACT		56		30 B 5-100	8904-033-160	6065-016-024

EXHIBIT U

SOURCE/DESCRIPTION
California docket, selected Tennessee permanency-plan pages, and March 11, 2026 visitation orders.

FACTUAL PROPOSITION / RELEVANCE
Shows why housing and source-record stability have current child-centered materiality.

EVIDENTIARY LIMITATION
Records agencies are not asked to decide custody; the court controls legal findings.

Controlled audit exhibit - not an accusation, adjudication, or finding of criminal or civil liability.

Language Access
English

Case Information | Register Of Actions | FUTURE HEARINGS | PARTY INFORMATION | Documents Filed | Past Proceedings

CASE INFORMATION:	25CMFL00694
Case Title:	HAAB, KYLE ROBERT VS LOPEZ, PATTY
Filing Courthouse:	Compton Courthouse
Filing Date:	12/31/2025
Case Type:	Other Family Law (General Jurisdiction)
Status:	Statistical Disposition on 12/31/2025

Click here to access document images for this case.

If this link fails, you may go to the Case Document Images site and search using the case number displayed on this page

Print | New Search

FUTURE HEARINGS

Case Information | Register Of Actions | FUTURE HEARINGS | PARTY INFORMATION | Documents Filed | Past Proceedings

7/29/2026	8:30	Department N	200 West Compton Blvd., Compton, CA 90220	Motion Hearing
7/29/2026	8:30	Department N	200 West Compton Blvd., Compton, CA 90220	RFOMOD - Custody, Visitation

PARTY INFORMATION

Case Information | Register Of Actions | FUTURE HEARINGS | PARTY INFORMATION | Documents Filed | Past Proceedings

COURT OF APPEAL	Other
HAAB KYLE ROBERT	Petitioner
IZARRARAZ CRYSTAL LYNN	Claimant
LOPEZ PATTY	Respondent
WALLER SAMANTHA KATHLEEN	Minor's Counsel for Child

DOCUMENTS FILED

Case Information | Register Of Actions | FUTURE HEARINGS | PARTY INFORMATION | Documents Filed | Past Proceedings

7/6/2026	Memorandum - Points and Authorities Declaration - Request for (Ex Parte) Orders FL-303	Filed by Petitioner

6/17/2026	Nunc Pro Tunc Minute Order	Filed by
6/16/2026	Appeal Document DCA Order re: Petition for Writ of Mandate B354753	Filed by Other
6/16/2026	Declaration of Counsel for Child Re Qualifications Declaration of Counsel for Child Re Qualifications	Filed by Child
6/11/2026	Minute Order	Filed by
6/11/2026	Minute Order	Filed by
6/11/2026	Order - Court Appointed Counsel Child/Minor	Filed by Minor's Counsel
6/10/2026	Declaration Supplemental Declaration re Tennessee Filing Acceptance, ICPC/DCS Source Records, CRCD/Alliance/LAFLA Communications, and Parent-Child Contact	Filed by Petitioner
6/8/2026	Declaration Supplemental Addendum of Family-Law, Dependency-Origin, and Current Stability Records Relevant to June 11, 2026 Custody/Visitation Hearing	Filed by
6/8/2026	Declaration Supplemental Declaration of Kyle Robert Haab re Dual-Jurisdiction Errors, Tennessee Source-Order Defect, California Implementation Defect, Reunification Framework, Housing/Program Records, and Foreseeable Harm	Filed by
5/29/2026	Declaration Supplemental Declaration re Updated Tennessee Accepted Filing, Housing-Stability Timing, Legal-Aid Access, and Source-Record Issues Relevant to June 11, 2026 Custody/Visitation Hearing	Filed by Petitioner
5/29/2026	Declaration Supplemental Declaration re Updated Tennessee Accepted Filings, Housing-Stability Timing, Legal-Aid Access, and Source-Record Issues Relevant to June 11, 2026 Custody/Visitation Hearing	Filed by Petitioner
5/20/2026	Proof of Service Supplemental Proof of Service on Respondent Superior Court	Filed by Petitioner
5/11/2026	Declaration-Notice on Request-Temp Emergency Orders FL-303	Filed by Petitioner
5/11/2026	Ex Parte RFO Emergency Orders for Other	Filed by
5/11/2026	Exhibit List Exhibit List for Request for Judicial Notice re TBPR File, Disputed Tennessee Order, ICPC/DCS Source Records, Agency Routing, and Non-Voluntary Instability	Filed by Petitioner
5/11/2026	Exhibit List Exhibit List for Request for Judicial Notice re TBPR File, Disputed Tennessee Order, ICPC/DCS Source Records, Agency Routing, and Non-Voluntary Instability	Filed by Petitioner
5/11/2026	Exhibit List Exhibit List for Request for Judicial Notice re TBPR File, Disputed Tennessee Order, ICPC/DCS Source Records, Agency Routing, and Non-Voluntary Instability	Filed by Petitioner
5/11/2026	Exhibit List Exhibit List for Request for Judicial Notice re TBPR File, Disputed Tennessee Order, ICPC/DCS Source Records, Agency Routing, and Non-Voluntary Instability	Filed by Petitioner
5/11/2026	Exhibit List Exhibit List for Request for Judicial Notice re TBPR File, Disputed Tennessee Order, ICPC/DCS Source Records, Agency Routing, and Non-Voluntary Instability	Filed by Petitioner
5/11/2026	Minute Order	Filed by
5/11/2026	Proposed Ex Parte RFO Emergency Orders for Other	Filed by Petitioner

5/11/2026	Request - Judicial Notice Request for Judicial Notice re TBPR File, Disputed Tennessee Order, ICPC/DCS Source Records, Agency Routing, and Non-Voluntary Instability	Filed by Petitioner
5/7/2026	Declaration Supplemental Declaration re Reunification/Permanency Plan, ICPC Communications, and Pending 5/4/2026 RFO/MTN	Filed by Petitioner
5/6/2026	Declaration -LETTER TO JUDGE -FAMILY COURT MEDIATION FORM -IMPACT STATEMENT -FAMILY PERMANENCY PLAN -FAMILY PHOTO	Filed by Respondent
5/6/2026	Minute Order	Filed by
5/6/2026	Notice - Voiding of Filing	Filed by Petitioner
5/4/2026	RFO/MTN - Amended (No Fee) Request to Set Aside, Vacate, or Stay UCCJEA Registration and Enforcement of Tennessee Custody Order Pending Tennessee Juvenile Record and ICPC Record Review ****Notice of Voiding Filing filed on 5/6/26*****	Filed by Petitioner
4/16/2026	Fee Waiver - Order on Court Fee Waiver - Granted FW003	Filed by Claimant
4/16/2026	Joinder - Motion for Joinder (1st App Fee, 3rd Pty Claimant)	Filed by Claimant
4/1/2026	Declaration Supplemental Declaration re Pending Tennessee Motion to Set Aside / 25CMFL00694	Filed by Child
3/27/2026	Declaration - Supervised Visitation - Non Prof - FL-324(NP)	Filed by Petitioner
3/16/2026	Declaration - UCCJEA Guide & File - FL-105-GC-120 UCCJEA Declaratio	Filed by Petitioner
3/16/2026	RFO/MTN - Mod - Custody/Visitation Guide & File - FL-300 Request For Order - Cust	Filed by Petitioner
3/11/2026	Minute Order	Filed by
3/11/2026	Order - Findings and Order After Hearing Proceeding Held: 3/11/2026 - Prepared by Court	Filed by
1/23/2026	Ex Parte RFO/RFO Mod Emergency Orders for Custody/Visitation	Filed by
1/23/2026	Minute Order	Filed by
1/22/2026	Declaration - Ex Parte Notice (Notice Given) Guide & File - Declaration Re Notice for Tempo	Filed by Petitioner
1/22/2026	Declaration - UCCJEA Guide & File - FL-105-GC-120 UCCJEA Declaratio	Filed by Petitioner
1/22/2026	Declaration - UCCJEA Guide & File - FL-105-GC-120 UCCJEA Declaratio	Filed by Petitioner
1/22/2026	Fee Waiver - Order on Court Fee Waiver - Granted FW003 Guide & File - FW-003 Fee Waiver Order	Filed by Petitioner
1/22/2026	Proposed Ex Parte RFO/RFO Mod Emergency Orders for Cus/Vist Guide & File - FL-300 Request For Order - Cust	Filed by Petitioner
1/22/2026	RFO/MTN - Mod - Custody/Visitation Guide & File - FL-300 Request For Order - Cust	Filed by Petitioner
1/21/2026	Ex Parte RFO Emergency Orders for Other	Filed by
1/21/2026	Minute Order	Filed by

1/20/2026	Proposed Ex Parte RFO Emergency Orders for Other File on Demand, Hearing for 1/21/2026	Filed by Petitioner
12/31/2025	Declaration - UCCJEA	Filed by Petitioner
12/31/2025	Family Law Case Cover Sheet - FAM-020	Filed by Petitioner
12/31/2025	Fee Waiver - Order on Court Fee Waiver - Granted FW003	Filed by Petitioner
12/31/2025	Notice - Case Assignment	Filed by
12/31/2025	Registration of Out-of-State Custody/Support Order	Filed by Petitioner

PROCEEDINGS HELD

Case Information | Register Of Actions | FUTURE HEARINGS | PARTY INFORMATION | Documents Filed | Past Proceedings

6/17/2026 8:30 AM	Department Department N	Nunc Pro Tunc Order Hearing	Held - Order Made
6/11/2026 8:30 AM	Department Department N	Motion Hearing	Held - Continued
6/11/2026 8:30 AM	Department Department N	RFOMOD - Custody, Visitation	Held - Continued
5/11/2026 8:30 AM	Department Department N	Ex Parte Hearing	Denied
5/6/2026 8:30 AM	Department Department N	RFOMOD - Custody, Visitation	Held - Continued
3/11/2026 8:30 AM	Department Department N	RFOMOD - Custody, Visitation	Held - Order Made
1/23/2026 8:30 AM	Department Department N	Ex Parte Hearing	Denied
1/21/2026 8:30 AM	Department Department N	Ex Parte Hearing	Denied

REGISTER OF ACTIONS

Case Information | Register Of Actions | FUTURE HEARINGS | PARTY INFORMATION | Documents Filed | Past Proceedings

7/6/2026	Memorandum - Points and Authorities
6/17/2026	Nunc Pro Tunc Order Hearing
6/17/2026	Nunc Pro Tunc Minute Order
6/16/2026	Appeal Document
6/16/2026	Declaration of Counsel for Child Re Qualifications
6/11/2026	RFOMOD - Custody, Visitation
6/11/2026	Motion Hearing
6/11/2026	Minute Order
6/11/2026	Order - Court Appointed Counsel Child/Minor
6/11/2026	Minute Order
6/10/2026	Declaration
6/8/2026	Declaration

6/8/2026	Declaration
5/29/2026	Declaration
5/29/2026	Declaration
5/20/2026	Proof of Service
5/11/2026	Ex Parte Hearing
5/11/2026	Proposed Ex Parte RFO Emergency Orders for Other
5/11/2026	Minute Order
5/11/2026	Ex Parte RFO Emergency Orders for Other
5/11/2026	Declaration-Notice on Request-Temp Emergency Orders FL-303
5/11/2026	Exhibit List
5/11/2026	Exhibit List
5/11/2026	Exhibit List
5/11/2026	Exhibit List
5/11/2026	Exhibit List
5/11/2026	Request - Judicial Notice
5/7/2026	Declaration
5/6/2026	RFOMOD - Custody, Visitation
5/6/2026	Minute Order
5/6/2026	Notice - Voiding of Filing
5/6/2026	Declaration
5/4/2026	RFO/MTN - Amended (No Fee)
4/16/2026	Fee Waiver - Order on Court Fee Waiver - Granted FW003
4/16/2026	Joinder - Motion for Joinder (1st App Fee, 3rd Pty Claimant)
4/1/2026	Declaration
3/27/2026	Declaration - Supervised Visitation - Non Prof - FL-324(NP)
3/16/2026	Declaration - UCCJEA
3/16/2026	RFO/MTN - Mod - Custody/Visitation
3/11/2026	RFOMOD - Custody, Visitation
3/11/2026	Minute Order
3/11/2026	Order - Findings and Order After Hearing
1/23/2026	Ex Parte Hearing
1/23/2026	Ex Parte RFO/RFO Mod Emergency Orders for Custody/Visitation
1/23/2026	Minute Order
1/22/2026	Declaration - Ex Parte Notice (Notice Given)
1/22/2026	Declaration - UCCJEA
1/22/2026	Declaration - UCCJEA
1/22/2026	RFO/MTN - Mod - Custody/Visitation
1/22/2026	Proposed Ex Parte RFO/RFO Mod Emergency Orders for Cus/Vist
1/22/2026	Fee Waiver - Order on Court Fee Waiver - Granted FW003
1/21/2026	Ex Parte Hearing

1/21/2026	Ex Parte RFO Emergency Orders for Other
1/21/2026	Minute Order
1/20/2026	Proposed Ex Parte RFO Emergency Orders for Other
12/31/2025	Registration of Out-of-State Custody/Support Order
12/31/2025	Declaration - UCCJEA
12/31/2025	Fee Waiver - Order on Court Fee Waiver - Granted FW003
12/31/2025	Family Law Case Cover Sheet - FAM-020
12/31/2025	Notice - Case Assignment

Back To Top

Ms. Izarraraz will provide the department with a copy of a current valid driver's license, proof of car insurance, vehicle registration, or a transportation plan. Ms. Izarraraz will provide the Department with proof of legal and verifiable income or proof of disability. Ms. Izarraraz will maintain her income for 6 consecutive months.	02/20/2024	08/20/2024	Crystal Lynn Izarraraz, DCS Worker
Ms. Izarraraz will pay child support as Ordered by the Court and provide necessary items to meet the child's needs during any visitation or parenting time. DCS will verify that all child support payments are being made, if ordered by the court.	02/20/2024	08/20/2024	Crystal Lynn Izarraraz, DCS Worker

Need Priority 8

Concerned Person:	Crystal Lynn Izarraraz
Initial Description As Of: 02/26/2024	Parent and child(ren) need to maintain a bonded relationship

Responsibilities	Start Date	Expected Completion Date	Responsible Person
Ms. Izarraraz will maintain contact with the child (ex. letters, phone calls, visitation). Ms. Izarraraz will utilize visitation in a positive manner to aide in the reunification process. Ms. Izarraraz will attend all regularly scheduled visitations with the child and notify DCS in advance if she cannot attend a scheduled visitation. Ms. Izarraraz must confirm the visit 24 hours in advance and will arrive on time to scheduled visitation. If she is more than 15 minutes late, it will be canceled.	02/20/2024	08/20/2024	Crystal Lynn Izarraraz, DCS Worker; Foster Parent(s)

Crystal Lynn Izarraraz Responsibilities
Ms. Izarraraz will schedule, attend and complete a mental health assessment, be open and honest with providers and actively participate in and follow any treatment recommendations, including but not limited to medication management, therapy, and outpatient treatment.
Ms. Izarraraz will resolve current legal charges and refrain from attaining any additional charges. Ms. Izarraraz will provide the Department with parole/probation information and will keep the Department informed of any court appointments related to criminal charges.
Ms. Izarraraz will not be around those that use or are known to use or abuse illegal substances, alcohol, or non-prescribed medications. Anyone in the home over the age of 18 will submit to random drug screens by the Department. If a failed drug screen is present, that individual will attend and complete an alcohol and drug assessment, following all recommendations. Ms. Izarraraz will ensure her child is being always supervised by a sober appropriate adult.
Ms. Izarraraz will schedule, attend and complete an alcohol and drug assessment, while being open and honest, and follow all recommendations. Ms. Izarraraz will abstain from alcohol abuse, using illicit drugs and/or non-prescribed medications. Ms. Izarraraz will submit to random drug screens by the Department (urine, saliva, and hair follicle testing). Upon any relapse or following a failed drug screen, Ms. Izarraraz will seek a new assessment and follow all recommendations.

Crystal Lynn Izarraraz Responsibilities
Ms. Izarraraz will sign all required releases for the Department to ensure proper case management. Ms. Izarraraz will attend A/A meetings or N/A meetings and obtain a sponsor to assist with achieving and maintain sobriety.
Ms. Izarraraz will attend Child and Family Team Meetings and Court Hearings, as requested. Ms. Izarraraz will cooperate and participate with all service providers and follow recommendations.
Ms. Izarraraz will attend and complete a clinical parenting assessment, while being open and honest, and follow all recommendations. Ms. Izarraraz will attend and complete a DCS approved parenting course. A certificate of completion will be submitted to DCS as proof of the fulfillment of this requirement. Ms. Izarraraz will maintain contact with the Department of Children¿s Services and update FSW regarding changes in address, telephone, employment, etc., within 24 hours of changes being made.
Ms. Izarraraz will attend and actively engage in Department-approved domestic violence and anger management classes. Upon completion, Ms. Izarraraz will provide proof of completion and be able to demonstrate healthy coping skills.
Ms. Izarraraz will not allow illegal activity in her home. All people residing in the home will be able to pass a background check to ensure children are appropriately cared for and supervised. Persons will sign releases so DCS can conduct the background check. Ms. Izarraraz?s home will be kept at a safe temperature for the child, have appropriate and functioning child safety equipment and fire hazard prevention standards (smoke detectors, ways of heating and cooling the house, cabinet locks).
Ms. Izarraraz will provide the Department with a copy of rental/lease agreement in her own name. Ms. Izarraraz will maintain residential stability (in a home that is clean, safe, and appropriate) for a minimum of six consecutive months. Mortgages/rent will be paid in full on time. Ms.Izarraraz home will have access to clean running water and utilities (whether electric, gas or solar). Ms. Izarraraz will meet minimal housekeeping standards (example: no hoarding, garbage disposed of appropriately)
Ms. Izarraraz will pay child support as Ordered by the Court and provide necessary items to meet the child's needs during any visitation or parenting time. DCS will verify that all child support payments are being made, if ordered by the court.
Ms. Izarraraz will provide the department with a copy of a current valid driver's license, proof of car insurance, vehicle registration, or a transportation plan. Ms. Izarraraz will provide the Department with proof of legal and verifiable income or proof of disability. Ms. Izarraraz will maintain her income for 6 consecutive months.
Ms. Izarraraz will maintain contact with the child (ex. letters, phone calls, visitation). Ms. Izarraraz will utilize visitation in a positive manner to aide in the reunification process. Ms. Izarraraz will attend all regularly scheduled visitations with the child and notify DCS in advance if she cannot attend a scheduled visitation. Ms. Izarraraz must confirm the visit 24 hours in advance and will arrive on time to scheduled visitation. If she is more than 15 minutes late, it will be canceled.

Need Priority 1	
Concerned Person:	Kyle Robert Haab
Initial Description As Of: 02/20/2024	There is a history or suspicion of mental health issues.

Responsibilities	Start Date	Expected Completion Date	Responsible Person

Mr. Haab will schedule, attend and complete a mental health assessment, be open and honest with providers and actively participate in and follow any treatment recommendations, including but not limited to medication management, therapy, and outpatient treatment.	02/20/2024	08/20/2024	Kyle Robert Haab, DCS Worker; Service Provider

Need Priority 2	
Concerned Person:	Kyle Robert Haab
Initial Description As Of: 02/20/2024	Mr. Haab has/have a history of criminal activity, incarceration and/or allegations.

Responsibilities	Start Date	Expected Completion Date	Responsible Person
Mr. Haab will resolve current legal charges and refrain from attaining any additional charges. Mr. Haab will provide the Department with parole/probation information and will keep the Department informed of any court appointments related to criminal charges.	02/20/2024	08/20/2024	Kyle Robert Haab, DCS Worker

Need Priority 3	
Concerned Person:	Kyle Robert Haab
Initial Description As Of: 02/20/2024	There are allegations and/or suspicions, or a history, of substance abuse.

Responsibilities	Start Date	Expected Completion Date	Responsible Person
Mr. Haab will not be around those that use or are known to use or abuse illegal substances, alcohol, or non-prescribed medications. Anyone in the home over the age of 18 will submit to random drug screens by the Department. If a failed drug screen is present, that individual will attend and complete an alcohol and drug assessment, following all recommendations. Mr. Haab will ensure his child is being always supervised by a sober appropriate adult.	02/20/2024	08/20/2024	Kyle Robert Haab, DCS Worker; Service Provider
Mr. Haab will schedule, attend and complete an alcohol and drug assessment, while being open and honest, and follow all recommendations. Mr. Haab will abstain from alcohol abuse, using illicit drugs and/or non-prescribed medications. Mr. Haab will submit to random drug screens by the Department which include but are not limited to urine, saliva, and hair follicle testing. Upon any relapse or following a failed drug screen, Mr. Haab will seek a new assessment and follow all recommendations.	02/20/2024	08/20/2024	Kyle Robert Haab, DCS Worker; Service Provider

Mr. Haab will attend A/A meetings or N/A meetings and obtain a sponsor to assist with achieving and maintain sobriety. Mr. Haab will sign all required releases for the Department to ensure proper case management.	02/20/2024	08/20/2024	Kyle Robert Haab, DCS Worker; Service Provider

Need Priority 4	
Concerned Person:	Kyle Robert Haab
Initial Description As Of: 02/20/2024	There concerns regarding effective parenting skills.

Responsibilities	Start Date	Expected Completion Date	Responsible Person
Mr. Haab will maintain contact with the Department of Children?s Services and update FSW regarding changes in address, telephone, employment, etc., within 24 hours of the changes being made. Mr. Haab will attend Child and Family Team Meetings and Court Hearings, as requested.	02/20/2024	08/20/2024	Kyle Robert Haab, DCS Worker
Mr. Haab will attend and complete a clinical parenting assessment, while being open and honest, and follow all recommendations. Mr. Haab will attend and complete a DCS approved parenting course. A certificate of completion will be submitted to DCS as proof of the fulfillment of this requirement. Mr. Haab will cooperate and participate with all service providers and follow recommendations.	02/20/2024	08/20/2024	Kyle Robert Haab, DCS Worker; Service Provider

Need Priority 5	
Concerned Person:	Kyle Robert Haab
Initial Description As Of: 02/20/2024	There is a history, or allegations/suspicions, of domestic violence in the family home.

Responsibilities	Start Date	Expected Completion Date	Responsible Person
Mr. Haab will attend and actively engage in Department-approved domestic violence and anger management classes. Upon completion, Mr. Haab will provide proof of completion and be able to demonstrate healthy coping skills.	02/20/2024	08/20/2024	Kyle Robert Haab, DCS Worker; Service Provider

Need Priority 6	
Concerned Person:	Kyle Robert Haab
Initial Description As Of: 02/20/2024	Mr. Haab has/had history of residential instability.

Responsibilities	Start Date	Expected Completion Date	Responsible Person
Mr. Haab will provide the Department with a copy of rental/lease agreement in her own name. Mr. Haab will maintain residential stability (in a home that is clean, safe, and appropriate) for a minimum of six consecutive months. Mortgages/rent will be paid in full on time. Mr. Haab will meet minimal housekeeping standards (example: no hoarding, garbage disposed of appropriately). Mr. Haab home will have access to clean running water and utilities (whether electric, gas, or solar).	02/20/2024	08/20/2024	Kyle Robert Haab, DCS Worker
Mr. Haab will not allow illegal activity in his home. All people residing in the home will be able to pass a background check to ensure children are appropriately cared for and supervised. Persons will sign releases so DCS can conduct the background check. Mr. Haab's home will be kept at a safe temperature for the child, have appropriate and functioning child safety equipment and fire hazard prevention standards (smoke detectors, ways of heating and cooling the house, cabinet locks, etc.)	02/20/2024	08/20/2024	Kyle Robert Haab, DCS Worker

Need Priority 7	
Concerned Person:	Kyle Robert Haab
Initial Description As Of: 02/20/2024	Mr. Haab will need to be able to adequately support the child(ren).

Responsibilities	Start Date	Expected Completion Date	Responsible Person
Mr. Haab will pay child support as Ordered by the Court and provide necessary items to meet the child?s needs during any visitation or parenting time. DCS will verify that all child support payments are being made, if ordered by the court.	02/20/2024	08/20/2024	Kyle Robert Haab, DCS Worker
Mr. Haab will provide the department with a copy of a current valid driver's license, proof of car insurance, vehicle registration, or a transportation plan. Mr. Haab will provide the Department with proof of legal and verifiable income or proof of disability. Mr. Haab will maintain her income for 6 consecutive months.	02/20/2024	08/20/2024	Kyle Robert Haab, DCS Worker

Need Priority 8	
Concerned Person:	Kyle Robert Haab
Initial Description As Of: 02/26/2024	Parent and child(ren) need to maintain a bonded relationship

Responsibilities	Start Date	Expected Completion Date	Responsible Person
Mr. Haab will maintain contact with the child (ex. letters, phone calls, or visitation). Mr. Haab will utilize visitation in a positive manner to aide in the reunification process. Mr. Haab will attend all regularly scheduled visitations with the child and notify the Department in advance if he cannot attend a scheduled visitation. Mr. Haab must confirm the visit 24 hours in advance and will arrive on time to scheduled visitation. If he is more than 15 minutes late, the visit will be cancelled.	02/20/2024	08/20/2024	Kyle Robert Haab, DCS Worker; Foster Parent(s)

Kyle Robert Haab Responsibilities
Mr. Haab will schedule, attend and complete a mental health assessment, be open and honest with providers and actively participate in and follow any treatment recommendations, including but not limited to medication management, therapy, and outpatient treatment.
Mr. Haab will resolve current legal charges and refrain from attaining any additional charges. Mr. Haab will provide the Department with parole/probation information and will keep the Department informed of any court appointments related to criminal charges.
Mr. Haab will attend A/A meetings or N/A meetings and obtain a sponsor to assist with achieving and maintain sobriety. Mr. Haab will sign all required releases for the Department to ensure proper case management.
Mr. Haab will not be around those that use or are known to use or abuse illegal substances, alcohol, or non-prescribed medications. Anyone in the home over the age of 18 will submit to random drug screens by the Department. If a failed drug screen is present, that individual will attend and complete an alcohol and drug assessment, following all recommendations. Mr. Haab will ensure his child is being always supervised by a sober appropriate adult.
Mr. Haab will schedule, attend and complete an alcohol and drug assessment, while being open and honest, and follow all recommendations. Mr. Haab will abstain from alcohol abuse, using illicit drugs and/or non-prescribed medications. Mr. Haab will submit to random drug screens by the Department which include but are not limited to urine, saliva, and hair follicle testing. Upon any relapse or following a failed drug screen, Mr. Haab will seek a new assessment and follow all recommendations.
Mr. Haab will attend and complete a clinical parenting assessment, while being open and honest, and follow all recommendations. Mr. Haab will attend and complete a DCS approved parenting course. A certificate of completion will be submitted to DCS as proof of the fulfillment of this requirement. Mr. Haab will cooperate and participate with all service providers and follow recommendations.
Mr. Haab will maintain contact with the Department of Children?s Services and update FSW regarding changes in address, telephone, employment, etc., within 24 hours of the changes being made. Mr. Haab will attend Child and Family Team Meetings and Court Hearings, as requested.
Mr. Haab will attend and actively engage in Department-approved domestic violence and anger management classes. Upon completion, Mr. Haab will provide proof of completion and be able to demonstrate healthy coping skills.
Mr. Haab will not allow illegal activity in his home. All people residing in the home will be able to pass a background check to ensure children are appropriately cared for and supervised. Persons will sign releases so DCS can conduct the background check. Mr. Haab's home will be kept at a safe temperature for the child, have appropriate and functioning child safety equipment and fire hazard prevention standards (smoke detectors, ways of heating and cooling the house, cabinet locks, etc.)

Kyle Robert Haab Responsibilities
Mr. Haab will provide the Department with a copy of rental/lease agreement in her own name. Mr. Haab will maintain residential stability (in a home that is clean, safe, and appropriate) for a minimum of six consecutive months. Mortgages/rent will be paid in full on time. Mr. Haab will meet minimal housekeeping standards (example: no hoarding, garbage disposed of appropriately). Mr. Haab home will have access to clean running water and utilities (whether electric, gas, or solar).
Mr. Haab will pay child support as Ordered by the Court and provide necessary items to meet the child?s needs during any visitation or parenting time. DCS will verify that all child support payments are being made, if ordered by the court.
Mr. Haab will provide the department with a copy of a current valid driver's license, proof of car insurance, vehicle registration, or a transportation plan. Mr. Haab will provide the Department with proof of legal and verifiable income or proof of disability. Mr. Haab will maintain her income for 6 consecutive months.
Mr. Haab will maintain contact with the child (ex. letters, phone calls, or visitation). Mr. Haab will utilize visitation in a positive manner to aide in the reunification process. Mr. Haab will attend all regularly scheduled visitations with the child and notify the Department in advance if he cannot attend a scheduled visitation. Mr. Haab must confirm the visit 24 hours in advance and will arrive on time to scheduled visitation. If he is more than 15 minutes late, the visit will be cancelled.

Needs

Concerned Person:	Katherine Rose Izarraraz
Initial Description As Of: 02/20/2024	Katherine will need to maintain optimum physical health.

Responsibilities	Start Date	Expected Completion Date	Responsible Person
Katherine will need to attend bi-annual dental EPSDT appointments. Katherine will need to attend medical EPSDT appointments. Resource parent(s) will schedule needed appointments and notify the FSW. DCS will then inform the parent(s) of upcoming appointments. Katherine will need to attend all scheduled appointments and follow provider recommendations.	02/20/2024	08/20/2024	Katherine Rose Izarraraz, DCS Worker, Service Provider, Foster Parent(s)

Concerned Person:	Cameron Dietz Izarraraz
Initial Description As Of: 02/20/2024	Cameron will need to maintain optimum physical health.

Responsibilities	Start Date	Expected Completion Date	Responsible Person
Cameron will need to attend bi-annual dental EPSDT appointments (once one year old). Cameron will need to attend medical EPSDT appointments. Resource parent(s) will schedule needed appointments and notify the FSW. DCS will then inform the parent(s) of upcoming appointments. Cameron will need to attend all scheduled appointments and follow provider recommendations.	02/20/2024	08/20/2024	Cameron Dietz Izarraraz, DCS Worker, Foster Parent(s), Service Provider

Ms. Izarraraz will maintain contact with the child (ex. letters, phone calls, visitation). Ms. Izarraraz will utilize visitation in a positive manner to aide in the reunification process. Ms. Izarraraz will attend all regularly scheduled visitations with the child and notify DCS in advance if she cannot attend a scheduled visitation. Ms. Izarraraz must confirm the visit 24 hours in advance and will arrive on time to scheduled visitation. If she is more than 15 minutes late, it will be canceled.	02/20/2024	04/08/2025	Crystal Lynn Izarraraz, DCS Worker; Foster Parent(s)

Need Priority 6

Concerned Person:	Crystal Lynn Izarraraz
Initial Description As Of: 02/20/2024	There are allegations and/or suspicions, or a history, of substance abuse.
Update As Of: 10/07/2024	There are allegations and/or suspicions, or a history, of substance abuse.

Responsibilities	Start Date	Expected Completion Date	Responsible Person
Ms. Izarraraz will sign all required releases for the Department to ensure proper case management. Ms. Izarraraz will attend A/A meetings or N/A meetings and obtain a sponsor to assist with achieving and maintain sobriety.	02/20/2024	04/08/2025	Crystal Lynn Izarraraz, DCS Worker; Service Provider
Ms. Izarraraz will schedule, attend and complete an alcohol and drug assessment, while being open and honest, and follow all recommendations. Ms. Izarraraz will abstain from alcohol abuse, using illicit drugs and/or non-prescribed medications. Ms. Izarraraz will submit to random drug screens by the Department (urine, saliva, and hair follicle testing). Upon any relapse or following a failed drug screen, Ms. Izarraraz will seek a new assessment and follow all recommendations.	02/20/2024	04/08/2025	Crystal Lynn Izarraraz, DCS Worker; Service Provider
Ms. Izarraraz will not be around those that use or are known to use or abuse illegal substances, alcohol, or non-prescribed medications. Anyone in the home over the age of 18 will submit to random drug screens by the Department. If a failed drug screen is present, that individual will attend and complete an alcohol and drug assessment, following all recommendations. Ms. Izarraraz will ensure her child is being always supervised by a sober appropriate adult.	02/20/2024	04/08/2025	Crystal Lynn Izarraraz, DCS Worker

Need Priority 7

Concerned Person:	Crystal Lynn Izarraraz
Initial Description As Of: 02/20/2024	There concerns regarding effective parenting skills.
Update As Of: 10/07/2024	There concerns regarding effective parenting skills.

Responsibilities	Start Date	Expected Completion Date	Responsible Person

Ms. Izarraraz will attend Child and Family Team Meetings and Court Hearings, as requested. Ms. Izarraraz will cooperate and participate with all service providers and follow recommendations.	02/20/2024	04/08/2025	Crystal Lynn Izarraraz, DCS Worker; Service Provider
Ms. Izarraraz will attend and complete a clinical parenting assessment, while being open and honest, and follow all recommendations. Ms. Izarraraz will attend and complete a DCS approved parenting course. A certificate of completion will be submitted to DCS as proof of the fulfillment of this requirement. Ms. Izarraraz will maintain contact with the Department of Children¿s Services and update FSW regarding changes in address, telephone, employment, etc., within 24 hours of changes being made.	02/20/2024	04/08/2025	Crystal Lynn Izarraraz, DCS Worker; Service Provider

Need Priority 8

Concerned Person:	Crystal Lynn Izarraraz
Initial Description As Of: 02/20/2024	Ms. Izarraraz will need to be able to adequately support the child(ren).
Update As Of: 10/07/2024	Ms. Izarraraz will need to be able to adequately support the child(ren).

Responsibilities	Start Date	Expected Completion Date	Responsible Person
Ms. Izarraraz will pay child support as Ordered by the Court and provide necessary items to meet the child's needs during any visitation or parenting time. DCS will verify that all child support payments are being made, if ordered by the court.	02/20/2024	04/08/2025	Crystal Lynn Izarraraz, DCS Worker
Ms. Izarraraz will provide the department with a copy of a current valid driver's license, proof of car insurance, vehicle registration, or a transportation plan. Ms. Izarraraz will provide the Department with proof of legal and verifiable income or proof of disability. Ms. Izarraraz will maintain her income for 6 consecutive months.	02/20/2024	04/08/2025	Crystal Lynn Izarraraz, DCS Worker

Need Priority 9

Concerned Person:	Crystal Lynn Izarraraz
Initial Description As Of: 02/21/2024	Ms. Izarraraz does not have a form of transportation.
Update As Of: 10/07/2024	Ms. Izarraraz does not have a form of transportation.

Responsibilities	Start Date	Expected Completion Date	Responsible Person
Mr. Haab will provide DCS with a transportation plan or proof of vehicle registration, car insurance, and a valid drivers license. He will ensure the children have proper vehicle safety gear according to federal law, such as a car seat.	02/21/2024	04/08/2025	Crystal Lynn Izarraraz, DCS Worker; Service Provider

Crystal Lynn Izarraraz Responsibilities
Ms. Izarraraz will schedule, attend and complete a mental health assessment, be open and honest with providers and actively participate in and follow any treatment recommendations, including but not limited to medication management, therapy, and outpatient treatment.
Ms. Izarraraz will resolve current legal charges and refrain from attaining any additional charges. Ms. Izarraraz will provide the Department with parole/probation information and will keep the Department informed of any court appointments related to criminal charges.
Ms. Izarraraz will attend and actively engage in Department-approved domestic violence and anger management classes. Upon completion, Ms. Izarraraz will provide proof of completion and be able to demonstrate healthy coping skills.
Ms. Izarraraz will not allow illegal activity in her home. All people residing in the home will be able to pass a background check to ensure children are appropriately cared for and supervised. Persons will sign releases so DCS can conduct the background check. Ms. Izarraraz?s home will be kept at a safe temperature for the child, have appropriate and functioning child safety equipment and fire hazard prevention standards (smoke detectors, ways of heating and cooling the house, cabinet locks).
Ms. Izarraraz will provide the Department with a copy of rental/lease agreement in her own name. Ms. Izarraraz will maintain residential stability (in a home that is clean, safe, and appropriate) for a minimum of six consecutive months. Mortgages/rent will be paid in full on time. Ms.Izarraraz home will have access to clean running water and utilities (whether electric, gas or solar). Ms. Izarraraz will meet minimal housekeeping standards (example: no hoarding, garbage disposed of appropriately)
Ms. Izarraraz will maintain contact with the child (ex. letters, phone calls, visitation). Ms. Izarraraz will utilize visitation in a positive manner to aide in the reunification process. Ms. Izarraraz will attend all regularly scheduled visitations with the child and notify DCS in advance if she cannot attend a scheduled visitation. Ms. Izarraraz must confirm the visit 24 hours in advance and will arrive on time to scheduled visitation. If she is more than 15 minutes late, it will be canceled.
Ms. Izarraraz will not be around those that use or are known to use or abuse illegal substances, alcohol, or non-prescribed medications. Anyone in the home over the age of 18 will submit to random drug screens by the Department. If a failed drug screen is present, that individual will attend and complete an alcohol and drug assessment, following all recommendations. Ms. Izarraraz will ensure her child is being always supervised by a sober appropriate adult.
Ms. Izarraraz will schedule, attend and complete an alcohol and drug assessment, while being open and honest, and follow all recommendations. Ms. Izarraraz will abstain from alcohol abuse, using illicit drugs and/or non-prescribed medications. Ms. Izarraraz will submit to random drug screens by the Department (urine, saliva, and hair follicle testing). Upon any relapse or following a failed drug screen, Ms. Izarraraz will seek a new assessment and follow all recommendations.
Ms. Izarraraz will sign all required releases for the Department to ensure proper case management. Ms. Izarraraz will attend A/A meetings or N/A meetings and obtain a sponsor to assist with achieving and maintain sobriety.
Ms. Izarraraz will attend Child and Family Team Meetings and Court Hearings, as requested. Ms. Izarraraz will cooperate and participate with all service providers and follow recommendations.
Ms. Izarraraz will attend and complete a clinical parenting assessment, while being open and honest, and follow all recommendations. Ms. Izarraraz will attend and complete a DCS approved parenting course. A certificate of completion will be submitted to DCS as proof of the fulfillment of this requirement. Ms. Izarraraz will maintain contact with the Department of Children¿s Services and update FSW regarding changes in address, telephone, employment, etc., within 24 hours of changes being made.
Ms. Izarraraz will pay child support as Ordered by the Court and provide necessary items to meet the child's needs during any visitation or parenting time. DCS will verify that all child support payments are being made, if ordered by the court.

Crystal Lynn Izarraraz Responsibilities
Ms. Izarraraz will provide the department with a copy of a current valid driver's license, proof of car insurance, vehicle registration, or a transportation plan. Ms. Izarraraz will provide the Department with proof of legal and verifiable income or proof of disability. Ms. Izarraraz will maintain her income for 6 consecutive months.
Mr. Haab will provide DCS with a transportation plan or proof of vehicle registration, car insurance, and a valid drivers license. He will ensure the children have proper vehicle safety gear according to federal law, such as a car seat.

Need Priority 1			
Concerned Person:	Kyle Robert Haab		
Initial Description As Of: 02/20/2024	Mr. Haab has/had history of residential instability.		
Update As Of: 10/07/2024	Mr. Haab has/had history of residential instability.		
Responsibilities	**Start Date**	**Expected Completion Date**	**Responsible Person**
Mr. Haab will provide the Department with a copy of rental/lease agreement in her own name. Mr. Haab will maintain residential stability (in a home that is clean, safe, and appropriate) for a minimum of six consecutive months. Mortgages/rent will be paid in full on time. Mr. Haab will meet minimal housekeeping standards (example: no hoarding, garbage disposed of appropriately). Mr. Haab home will have access to clean running water and utilities (whether electric, gas, or solar).	02/20/2024	04/08/2025	Kyle Robert Haab, DCS Worker
Mr. Haab will not allow illegal activity in his home. All people residing in the home will be able to pass a background check to ensure children are appropriately cared for and supervised. Persons will sign releases so DCS can conduct the background check. Mr. Haab's home will be kept at a safe temperature for the child, have appropriate and functioning child safety equipment and fire hazard prevention standards (smoke detectors, ways of heating and cooling the house, cabinet locks, etc.)	02/20/2024	04/08/2025	Kyle Robert Haab, DCS Worker

Need Priority 2			
Concerned Person:	Kyle Robert Haab		
Initial Description As Of: 01/31/2024	Mr. Haab is facing charges for felony possession of marijuana.		
Update As Of: 10/07/2024	Mr. Haab is facing charges for felony possession of marijuana.		
Responsibilities	**Start Date**	**Expected Completion Date**	**Responsible Person**

Mr. Haab will resolve current legal charges and refrain from attaining any additional charges. Mr. Haab will provide the Department with parole/probation information and will keep the Department informed of any court appointments related to criminal charges.	10/08/2024	04/08/2025	Kyle Robert Haab, DCS Worker

Need Priority 3

Concerned Person:	Kyle Robert Haab
Initial Description As Of: 02/20/2024	Mr. Haab will need to be able to adequately support the child(ren).
Update As Of: 10/07/2024	Mr. Haab will need to be able to adequately support the child(ren).

Responsibilities	Start Date	Expected Completion Date	Responsible Person
Mr. Haab will provide the department with a copy of a current valid driver's license, proof of car insurance, vehicle registration, or a transportation plan. Mr. Haab will provide the Department with proof of legal and verifiable income or proof of disability. Mr. Haab will maintain her income for 6 consecutive months.	02/20/2024	04/08/2025	Kyle Robert Haab, DCS Worker
Mr. Haab will pay child support as Ordered by the Court and provide necessary items to meet the child?s needs during any visitation or parenting time. DCS will verify that all child support payments are being made, if ordered by the court.	02/20/2024	04/08/2025	Kyle Robert Haab, DCS Worker

Need Priority 4

Concerned Person:	Kyle Robert Haab
Initial Description As Of: 02/26/2024	Parent and child(ren) need to maintain a bonded relationship
Update As Of: 10/07/2024	Parent and child(ren) need to maintain a bonded relationship.

Responsibilities	Start Date	Expected Completion Date	Responsible Person
Mr. Haab will maintain contact with the child (ex. letters, phone calls, or visitation). Mr. Haab will utilize visitation in a positive manner to aide in the reunification process. Mr. Haab will attend all regularly scheduled visitations with the child and notify the Department in advance if he cannot attend a scheduled visitation. Mr. Haab must confirm the visit 24 hours in advance and will arrive on time to scheduled visitation. If he is more than 15 minutes late, the visit will be cancelled.	02/20/2024	04/08/2025	Kyle Robert Haab, DCS Worker; Foster Parent(s)

Need Priority 5

Concerned Person:	Kyle Robert Haab
Initial Description As Of: 02/20/2024	There are allegations and/or suspicions, or a history, of substance abuse.

Update As Of: 10/07/2024	Mr. Haab stated that he has a past with illegal substances but currently only uses marijuana as a form of coping.

Responsibilities	Start Date	Expected Completion Date	Responsible Person
Mr. Haab will attend A/A meetings or N/A meetings and obtain a sponsor to assist with achieving and maintain sobriety. Mr. Haab will sign all required releases for the Department to ensure proper case management.	02/20/2024	04/08/2025	Kyle Robert Haab, DCS Worker; Service Provider
Mr. Haab will not be around those that use or are known to use or abuse illegal substances, alcohol, or non-prescribed medications. Anyone in the home over the age of 18 will submit to random drug screens by the Department. If a failed drug screen is present, that individual will attend and complete an alcohol and drug assessment, following all recommendations. Mr. Haab will ensure his child is being always supervised by a sober appropriate adult.	02/20/2024	04/08/2025	Kyle Robert Haab, DCS Worker; Service Provider
Mr. Haab will schedule, attend and complete an alcohol and drug assessment, while being open and honest, and follow all recommendations. Mr. Haab will abstain from alcohol abuse, using illicit drugs and/or non-prescribed medications. Mr. Haab will submit to random drug screens by the Department which include but are not limited to urine, saliva, and hair follicle testing. Upon any relapse or following a failed drug screen, Mr. Haab will seek a new assessment and follow all recommendations.	02/20/2024	04/08/2025	Kyle Robert Haab, DCS Worker; Service Provider

Need Priority 6

Concerned Person:	Kyle Robert Haab
Initial Description As Of: 02/20/2024	There concerns regarding effective parenting skills.
Update As Of: 10/07/2024	There concerns regarding effective parenting skills. Mr. Haab has reported that he sustained a traumatic brain injury and sometimes need assistance understanding certain things. The home health nurse stated Mr. Haab can complete parenting tasks but needs help being prompted.

Responsibilities	Start Date	Expected Completion Date	Responsible Person

Mr. Haab will attend and complete a clinical parenting assessment, while being open and honest, and follow all recommendations. Mr. Haab will attend and complete a DCS approved parenting course. A certificate of completion will be submitted to DCS as proof of the fulfillment of this requirement. Mr. Haab will cooperate and participate with all service providers and follow recommendations.	02/20/2024	04/08/2025	Kyle Robert Haab, DCS Worker; Service Provider
Mr. Haab will maintain contact with the Department of Children?s Services and update FSW regarding changes in address, telephone, employment, etc., within 24 hours of the changes being made. Mr. Haab will attend Child and Family Team Meetings and Court Hearings, as requested.	02/20/2024	04/08/2025	Kyle Robert Haab, DCS Worker

Need Priority 7

Concerned Person:	Kyle Robert Haab
Initial Description As Of: 02/20/2024	There is a history or suspicion of mental health issues.
Update As Of: 10/07/2024	There is a history or suspicion of mental health issues.

Responsibilities	Start Date	Expected Completion Date	Responsible Person
Mr. Haab will schedule, attend and complete a mental health assessment, be open and honest with providers and actively participate in and follow any treatment recommendations, including but not limited to medication management, therapy, and outpatient treatment.	02/20/2024	04/08/2025	Kyle Robert Haab, DCS Worker; Service Provider

Need Priority 8

Concerned Person:	Kyle Robert Haab
Initial Description As Of: 02/20/2024	There is a history, or allegations/suspicions, of domestic violence in the family home.
Update As Of: 10/07/2024	There is a history, or allegations/suspicions, of domestic violence in the family home.

Responsibilities	Start Date	Expected Completion Date	Responsible Person
Mr. Haab will attend and actively engage in Department-approved domestic violence and anger management classes. Upon completion, Mr. Haab will provide proof of completion and be able to demonstrate healthy coping skills.	02/20/2024	04/08/2025	Kyle Robert Haab, DCS Worker; Service Provider

Need Priority 9

Concerned Person:	Kyle Robert Haab
Initial Description As Of: 02/21/2024	Mr. Haab does not have a form of transportation.
Update As Of: 10/07/2024	Mr. Haab does not have a form of transportation.

Responsibilities	Start Date	Expected Completion Date	Responsible Person
Mr. Haab will provide DCS with a transportation plan or proof of vehicle registration, car insurance, and a valid drivers license. He will ensure the children have proper vehicle safety gear according to federal law, such as a car seat.	02/21/2024	04/08/2025	Kyle Robert Haab, DCS Worker; Service Provider

Kyle Robert Haab Responsibilities
Mr. Haab will not allow illegal activity in his home. All people residing in the home will be able to pass a background check to ensure children are appropriately cared for and supervised. Persons will sign releases so DCS can conduct the background check. Mr. Haab's home will be kept at a safe temperature for the child, have appropriate and functioning child safety equipment and fire hazard prevention standards (smoke detectors, ways of heating and cooling the house, cabinet locks, etc.)
Mr. Haab will provide the Department with a copy of rental/lease agreement in her own name. Mr. Haab will maintain residential stability (in a home that is clean, safe, and appropriate) for a minimum of six consecutive months. Mortgages/rent will be paid in full on time. Mr. Haab will meet minimal housekeeping standards (example: no hoarding, garbage disposed of appropriately). Mr. Haab home will have access to clean running water and utilities (whether electric, gas, or solar).
Mr. Haab will resolve current legal charges and refrain from attaining any additional charges. Mr. Haab will provide the Department with parole/probation information and will keep the Department informed of any court appointments related to criminal charges.
Mr. Haab will pay child support as Ordered by the Court and provide necessary items to meet the child?s needs during any visitation or parenting time. DCS will verify that all child support payments are being made, if ordered by the court.
Mr. Haab will provide the department with a copy of a current valid driver's license, proof of car insurance, vehicle registration, or a transportation plan. Mr. Haab will provide the Department with proof of legal and verifiable income or proof of disability. Mr. Haab will maintain her income for 6 consecutive months.
Mr. Haab will maintain contact with the child (ex. letters, phone calls, or visitation). Mr. Haab will utilize visitation in a positive manner to aide in the reunification process. Mr. Haab will attend all regularly scheduled visitations with the child and notify the Department in advance if he cannot attend a scheduled visitation. Mr. Haab must confirm the visit 24 hours in advance and will arrive on time to scheduled visitation. If he is more than 15 minutes late, the visit will be cancelled.
Mr. Haab will attend A/A meetings or N/A meetings and obtain a sponsor to assist with achieving and maintain sobriety. Mr. Haab will sign all required releases for the Department to ensure proper case management.
Mr. Haab will not be around those that use or are known to use or abuse illegal substances, alcohol, or non-prescribed medications. Anyone in the home over the age of 18 will submit to random drug screens by the Department. If a failed drug screen is present, that individual will attend and complete an alcohol and drug assessment, following all recommendations. Mr. Haab will ensure his child is being always supervised by a sober appropriate adult.

Section 6 - California FL-340 / FL-341 Custody and Visitation Orders - March 11, 2026

Section Divider

Los Angeles Superior Court case 25CMFL00694. Includes FL-340, FL-341 pages, and Attachment to FL-341 Item 16. The pages in this section are from the prior combined orders PDF.

Prepared as a single PDF attachment. Original court records and source PDFs control.

Los Angeles Superior Court - FL-340 Findings and Order After Hearing - March 11, 2026



FL-340

Prepared my court

FOR COURT USE ONLY

FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles
MAR 11 2026
David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court
By: S. Jones, Deputy

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF Los Angeles
STREET ADDRESS: 200 W. Compton Blvd
MAILING ADDRESS: same
CITY AND ZIP CODE: Compton, CA 90220
BRANCH NAME: South Central

PETITIONER/PLAINTIFF: Kyle Robert Haab
RESPONDENT/DEFENDANT: Patty Lopez
OTHER PARENT/PARTY:

CASE NUMBER: 25CMFL00694

FINDINGS AND ORDER AFTER HEARING

1. This proceeding was heard on (date): 03/11/2026 at (time): 8:30 in Dept.: N Room: 504
   by Judge (name): Maria Puente-Porras ☐ Temporary Judge
   On the order to show cause, notice of motion or request for order filed (date): 01/22/26 by (name): K. Haab
   a. ☒ Petitioner/plaintiff present ☐ Attorney present (name):
   b. ☒ Respondent/defendant present ☐ Attorney present (name):
   c. ☐ Other parent/party present ☐ Attorney present (name):

THE COURT ORDERS

2. Custody and visitation/parenting time:	As attached	☐ on form FL-341	☐ Other	☐ Not applicable
3. Child support:	As attached	☐ on form FL-342	☐ Other	☐ Not applicable
4. Spousal or family support:	As attached	☐ on form FL-343	☐ Other	☐ Not applicable
5. Property orders:	As attached	☐ on form FL-344	☐ Other	☐ Not applicable
6. Attorney's fees:	As attached	☐ on form FL-346	☐ Other	☐ Not applicable
7. Other orders:	☒ As attached	☐ Not applicable		

8. All other issues are reserved until further order of court.
9. ☐ This matter is rescheduled for further hearing on (date): at (time): in Dept.:
   on the following issues:

Date: 03/11/2026

JUDICIAL OFFICER

The order prepared by (specify): is approved as conforming to the court order.

Date:

MARIA PUENTE-PORRAS, JUDGE

SIGNATURE OF ☐ ATTORNEY FOR ☐ PETITIONER/PLAINTIFF ☐ RESPONDENT/DEFENDANT ☐ OTHER PARENT/PARTY

Date:

SIGNATURE OF ☐ ATTORNEY FOR ☐ PETITIONER/PLAINTIFF ☐ RESPONDENT/DEFENDANT ☐ OTHER PARENT/PARTY

Page 1 of 1

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use
Judicial Council of California
FL-340 [Rev. July 1, 2014]

FINDINGS AND ORDER AFTER HEARING
(Family Law—Custody and Support—Uniform Parentage)

For your protection and privacy, please press the Clear This Form button after you have printed the form.

Print this form | Save this form | Clear this form

FL-341

PETITIONER:
RESPONDENT:
OTHER PARENT/PARTY:

CASE NUMBER: 25CMFL00694

CHILD CUSTODY AND VISITATION (PARENTING TIME) ORDER ATTACHMENT

TO [X] *Findings and Order After Hearing* (form FL-340) [ ] Judgment (form FL-180) [ ] Judgment (form FL-250)
Stipulation and Order for Custody and/or Visitation of Children (form FL-355)
[ ] Other (specify):

1. **Jurisdiction.** This court has jurisdiction to make child custody orders in this case under the Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act (Family Code sections 3400–3465).
2. **Notice and opportunity to be heard.** The responding party was given notice and an opportunity to be heard, as provided by the laws of the State of California.
3. **Country of habitual residence.** The country of habitual residence of the child or children in this case is
   [X] the United States [ ] Other (specify):
4. **Penalties for violating this order.** If you violate this order, you may be subject to civil or criminal penalties, or both.
5. [ ] **Child abduction prevention.** There is a risk that one of the parties will take the children out of California without the other party's permission. (*Child Abduction Prevention Order Attachment* (form FL-341(B)) is attached and must be obeyed.)
6. [X] **Child custody.** Custody of the minor children of the parties is awarded as follows:

Child's Name	Birth Date	Legal custody to: (person who decides about the child's health, education, and welfare)	Physical custody to: (person the child regularly lives with)
Katherine Rose Izarraraz	1/13/2024	Patty Lopez	Patty Lopez
Cameron Dietz Izarraraz	1/13/2024	Patty Lopez	Patty Lopez

7. [ ] **Child custody orders with allegations of a history of abuse or substance abuse**
   (*Do not complete this section if the parties have entered, or will enter into, an agreement on child custody and/or visitation (parenting time), in writing or stated in court.*)
   a. Allegations have been raised in form FL-311, other documents filed in the court, or in a court hearing that
      [ ] petitioner [ ] respondent [ ] other parent/party has (or have) either
      (1) a history of abuse against any of the following persons: a child, the other parent, their current spouse, or the person they live with or are dating or engaged to; or
      (2) the habitual or continual illegal use of controlled substances, or the habitual or continual abuse of alcohol, or the habitual or continual abuse of prescribed controlled substances.
   b. [ ] The court does NOT grant sole or joint custody of the minor children to [ ] petitioner [ ] respondent [ ] other parent/party
   c. [ ] Even though there are allegations of a history of abuse or substance abuse, the court GRANTS sole or joint custody of the minor child as set out in item 6 for the following reasons: [ ] Attachment 7c.

FL-341

PETITIONER:
RESPONDENT:
OTHER PARENT/PARTY:

CASE NUMBER: 25CMFL00694

8. Visitation (Parenting Time)
   a. ☐ Reasonable right of visitation to the party without physical custody **(not appropriate in cases involving domestic violence)**
   b. ☐ See the attached _____ -page document
   c. ☐ The parties will go to child custody mediation or child custody recommending counseling at *(specify date, time, and location)*:

   d. ☐ No Visitation (parenting time)
   e. ☒ Visitation (parenting time) for the ☒ petitioner ☐ respondent ☐ other *(name)*: will be as follows:

      (1) ☐ **Weekends starting** *(date)*:
      (Note: The first weekend of the month is the first weekend with a Saturday.)
      ☐ 1st ☐ 2nd ☐ 3rd ☐ 4th ☐ 5th weekend of the month
      from *(day of week)* at *(time)* ☐ a.m. ☐ p.m./ if applicable, specify: ☐ start of school ☐ after school
      to *(day of week)* at *(time)* ☐ a.m. ☐ p.m./ if applicable, specify: ☐ start of school ☐ after school
      (a) ☐ The parties will alternate the fifth weekends, with the ☐ petitioner ☐ respondent ☐ other parent/party having the initial fifth weekend, which starts *(date)*:
      (b) ☐ The ☐ petitioner ☐ respondent ☐ other parent/party will have the fifth weekend in ☐ odd ☐ even numbered months.

      (2) ☐ **Alternate weekends starting** *(date)*:
      from *(day of week)* at *(time)* ☐ a.m. ☐ p.m./ if applicable, specify: ☐ start of school ☐ after school
      to *(day of week)* at *(time)* ☐ a.m. ☐ p.m./ if applicable, specify: ☐ start of school ☐ after school

      (3) ☐ **Weekdays starting** *(date)*:
      from *(day of week)* at *(time)* ☐ a.m. ☐ p.m./ if applicable, specify: ☐ start of school ☐ after school
      to *(day of week)* at *(time)* ☐ a.m. ☐ p.m./ if applicable, specify: ☐ start of school ☐ after school

      (4) ☐ **Other visitation (parenting time) days and restrictions are:** ☐ listed in Attachment 7e(4) (form MC-025 may be used for this purpose) ☐ as follows:

CHILD CUSTODY AND VISITATION (PARENTING TIME) ORDER ATTACHMENT

FL-341

PETITIONER:
RESPONDENT:
OTHER PARENT/PARTY:

CASE NUMBER: 25CMFL00694

9. ☐ **Visitation (parenting time) with allegations of a history of abuse, substance abuse, or other parenting concerns**
   a. ☐ **Supervised visitation (parenting time).**
      (1) Until ☐ further order of the court ☐ other (specify): the
      ☐ petitioner ☐ respondent ☐ other parent/party (name):
      will have supervised visitation (parenting time) with the minor children according to the schedule on page 2.
      (2) **In addition, *Supervised Visitation Order* (form FL-341(A) is attached.**
   b. ☐ **Unsupervised visitation (parenting time)**
      (Do not complete this section if the parties have entered or will enter into an agreement on child custody and/or visitation (parenting time), in writing or stated in court.)
      (1) Even though there are allegations of a history of abuse or substance abuse under Family Code section 3011, the ☐ petitioner ☐ respondent ☐ other parent/party (name):
      has (or have) unsupervised visitation (parenting time) with the minor children as set forth in 8.
      (2) The reasons for granting unsupervised visitation to the person(s) alleged to have a history of abuse or substance abuse are: ☐ as follows: ☐ Attachment 9b.

      (3) The orders for visitation (parenting time) are specific as to time, day, place, and manner of transfer of the child, as Family Code section 6323(c) requires.

10. ☒ **Transportation for visitation (parenting time) and place of exchange**
   a. The children must be driven only by a licensed and insured driver. The vehicle must be legally registered with the Department of Motor Vehicles, and must have child restraint devices properly installed, as required by law.
   b. ☐ Transportation to begin the visits will be provided by the ☐ petitioner ☐ respondent ☐ other (specify):
   c. ☐ Transportation from the visits will be provided by the ☐ petitioner ☐ respondent ☐ other (specify):
   d. ☐ The exchange point at the beginning of the visit will be at (address):
   e. ☐ The exchange point at the end of the visit will be at (address):
   f. ☐ During the exchanges, the party driving the children will wait in the car and the other party will wait in the home (or exchange location) while the children go between the car and the home (or exchange location).
   g. ☒ Other (specify): as determined by monitor

11. ☐ **Travel with children.** The ☐ petitioner ☐ respondent ☐ other parent/party (name):
must have written permission from the other parent or a court order to take the children out of
   a. ☐ the state of California.
   b. ☐ the following counties (specify):
   c. ☐ other places (specify):

FL-341

PETITIONER:
RESPONDENT:
OTHER PARENT/PARTY:

CASE NUMBER: 25CMFL 00694

12. ☐ **Holiday schedule.** The children will spend holiday time as listed ☐ below ☐ in the attached schedule. (Children's Holiday Schedule Attachment (form FL-341(C)) may be used for this purpose.)

13. ☐ **Additional custody provisions.** The parties will follow the additional custody provisions listed ☐ below ☐ in the attached schedule. (Additional Provisions—Physical Custody Attachment (form FL-341(D)) may be used for this purpose.)

14. ☐ **Joint legal custody.** The parties will share joint legal custody as listed ☐ below ☐ in the attached schedule. (Joint Legal Custody Attachment (form FL-341(E)) may be used for this purpose.)

15. **Access to children's records.** Both the custodial and noncustodial parent have the right to access records and information about their minor children (including medical, dental, and school records) and consult with professionals who are providing services to the children.

16. ☒ **Other** (specify): see attached

THIS IS A COURT ORDER.

FL-341 [Rev. January 1, 2023]

CHILD CUSTODY AND VISITATION (PARENTING TIME) ORDER ATTACHMENT

For your protection and privacy, please press the Clear This Form button after you have printed the form.

Print this form | Save this form

Kyle Robert Haab vs. Patty Lopez 25CMFL00694

Attachment to Fl-341 item 16

The Court finds that based on the registration of the Tennessee custody order California has jurisdiction over the children.

The Petitioner, Father's, request for custody of the minor children is denied. Petitioner has not had meaningful/significant contact with the children in the last year.

Based on the testimony, his parenting time has been monitored for some hours, in 2026 – on 2 occasions [January 13, 2026 and January 25, 2026]. In 2025, the testimony revealed that there was some consistency in the early part of the year, maybe once weekly and less defined the second half of the year. There was an incident that occurred in August 2025 that resulted in the Respondent/custodial maternal grandmother asking the Petitioner and the children's mother, Crystal Izzarraraz, to leave her home.

The Court finds that the children's well being requires monitored parenting time and orders as follows:

All parenting time shall be monitored.

Every Sunday, commencing march 15, 2026 from 1pm to 4 pm.

The location of the parenting time shall be a location agreed upon between the Petitioner/Father and the selected monitor.

The monitors shall be maternal aunt, maternal grandfather or a professional monitor selected by the Petitioner/Father.

Petitioner/Father shall select the monitor and no later than Wednesday, by 6pm, the monitor shall confirm with maternal grandmother that the parenting time will be taking place. If the confirmation is not received, then the parenting time for that upcoming Sunday is forfeited. If maternal grandmother chooses to allow parenting time to occur even though the confirmation was late, she is free to do so.

The monitors shall complete FL-342 and file the same with the court.

All prior orders remain in full force and effect.

///

EXHIBIT V

SOURCE/DESCRIPTION
Selected detailed FPPC supplement pages.

FACTUAL PROPOSITION / RELEVANCE
Frames the limited conflict-of-interest/contracting inquiry.

EVIDENTIARY LIMITATION
Public funding or poor administration alone does not establish an FPPC violation.

Controlled audit exhibit - not an accusation, adjudication, or finding of criminal or civil liability.

DETAILED SUPPLEMENTAL ENFORCEMENT SUBMISSION

Decision-Specific FPPC Jurisdiction Analysis and Selected Source Exhibits

Complaint Reference: COM-06192026-02541

Submitted by	Kyle Robert Haab
Date	July 8, 2026
Primary contract lane	CFCI-PA3-056-2023 - Amity Foundation / CRCD / JCOD
Principal conflict-screening subject	Mark Wilson - CRCD President & CEO; official City profile identifies a commissioner/secretary role
Related court matters	26CMUD00417; 25CMFL00694
Prior controlled packet	2,838-page FPPC all-source packet submitted July 3, 2026
Purpose	Provide a self-contained, exhibit-focused supplement that isolates the governmental decision, official role, private economic interest, material effect, and missing participation/disclosure records.

Evidence boundary. This packet requests element-by-element FPPC review. It does not assert that any identified person has committed a crime or violated the Political Reform Act or Government Code section 1090. Official records and native source documents control over summaries. Housing, unlawful-detainer, family-law, false-claims, and criminal issues are included only to establish materiality, source-record need, or appropriate referral boundaries.

CONFIDENTIAL / CONTROLLED - NOT A PUBLIC-FILING SET

To: Katelyn Rader and FPPC Enforcement Division

Re: Expanded supplement and selected exhibits - COM-06192026-02541

Subject: CFCI-PA3-056-2023, CRCD public/private role overlap, participant-specific rental-assistance transaction, and downstream court consequences

Dear Ms. Rader:

This submission expands the five-page update transmitted earlier on July 8, 2026. It is designed to let FPPC counsel assess the actual jurisdictional theory without searching the 2,838-page source packet. The selected exhibits are limited to the official role, contract, signature, public-funding, financial-interest, participant-transaction, court-status, and housing-source records most directly relevant to the screening inquiry.

1. Executive summary

One-sentence issue. Official City material identifies Mark Wilson as simultaneously holding a City commissioner/secretary role and serving as CRCD President and CEO; Wilson signed the Amity-CRCD contract CFCI-PA3-056-2023 in his CRCD capacity; JCOD confirms participant-specific CFCI rental assistance under that contract; CRCD tax records identify compensation and related-entity economic interests; the missing question is whether Wilson or another covered public official made, participated in, influenced, monitored, modified, reimbursed, or protected a governmental decision affecting those private interests without required disclosure or recusal.

Element	Present source	What it supports	What remains missing
Public role	Official City profile source sheet	Public/private role overlap requiring historical appointment and authority review	Certified appointment, term dates, body, duties, designated-position status
Government contract	Executed CFCI-PA3-056-2023 agreement	Specific public-funds contract lane; CRCD is named grantee; Wilson signed for CRCD	County approval chain, award recommendation, public decision makers, amendments
Participation	Contract identifies Wilson as CRCD notice contact and signatory	Private-side participation is established	Any public-side vote, advice, recommendation, influence, negotiation, monitoring, ratification, or recusal
Economic interest	CRCD Form 990 and Schedule L	Compensation; 40% CRCD Partners LLC interest; loans and rent transaction	Applicable Form 700 schedules and decision-specific materiality analysis
Participant-specific effect	JCOD response and lease/verification records	CFCI rental assistance was used for this household and transaction	Complete ledger, approvals, invoices, reimbursement, monitoring, consent, and correction records
Downstream materiality	UD and family dockets; City housing records	Shows the practical consequence and urgency of accurate contract/decision records	Does not independently create FPPC jurisdiction or prove a conflict

2. Why the matter warrants FPPC screening

Government Code section 1090 lane. FPPC guidance explains that section 1090 prohibits state and local officers or employees from participating in making government contracts in which they have a financial interest, and that contract making may reach beyond final signature to planning, negotiation, recommendation, and approval. Exhibit B establishes a specific government-funded contract and Exhibit A identifies the public/private role overlap. Exhibits D and B establish private economic and contract interests. The still-missing element is public-side participation in the County or related City decision.

Political Reform Act conflict lane. Under the Act, the relevant inquiry is whether a public official made, participated in, or used an official position to influence a governmental decision with a reasonably foreseeable and material financial effect on the official's financial interests. The records support a targeted search for Form 700, conflict-code, disclosure, recusal, advice, calendar, meeting, and communication records. They do not establish the result of that inquiry.

Form 700 and conflict-code lane. FPPC states that local agencies must identify designated positions in a conflict-of-interest code and that officials who make or participate in decisions file Statements of Economic Interests. The official City role, dates, disclosure categories, filings, and amendments should be verified rather than inferred from a webpage.

Section 84308 lane - conditional only. No present exhibit establishes a covered contribution or proceeding. Campaign contribution, agent, party, participant, disclosure, recusal, and return-of-contribution records should be

reviewed only if official contribution or proceeding records create that nexus. This packet does not affirmatively allege a pay-to-play violation.

Jurisdiction limit. FPPC does not become the forum for landlord-tenant liability, building-code compliance, custody, visitation, false claims, document falsification, or general misuse of public funds merely because those issues appear in the same chronology. Those issues are included to show economic materiality, participant-specific consequences, source-record gaps, and possible referral needs.

3. The specific governmental decision and contract chain

1. Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors approved CFCI funding allocations, according to JCOD's written response.
2. JCOD administers CFCI and used Amity Foundation as third-party administrator.
3. Amity entered into Contract CFCI-PA3-056-2023 with CRCD. The agreement recites County funding and requires written approval, invoices, reporting, record retention, audit access, and public-record handling.
4. Mark Wilson signed the contract as CRCD President and CEO on February 22, 2023 and was listed as CRCD's notice contact.
5. JCOD later confirmed that CFCI funds were used for rental assistance for Kyle Dietz/Haab between August 2024 and June 2025; CRCD used nine months at $1,000 per month; the assistance was categorized as Program Area 3 / Youth Housing; and Amity oversaw administration through CRCD.
6. The lease and landlord-verification documents identify a $2,000 rental transaction at 1551 3/4 E. 106th Street. The complete payment, approval, reimbursement, monitoring, consent, and ledger chain remains incomplete.

Decision points requiring identification. The contract lane contains several distinct governmental decisions that may have different officials and different conflict rules: allocation, solicitation, scoring, award, approval, amendment, budget approval, reimbursement, monitoring, corrective action, waiver, extension, closeout, complaint handling, records response, and recovery of questioned costs. FPPC counsel should not assume that the same person made every decision.

4. Public role, private role, and economic-interest evidence

Public role evidence. Exhibit A is a controlled source sheet for an official City webpage identifying Mark Wilson as a City secretary/commissioner while also stating that he serves as CRCD President and CEO. The record supports verification of the exact commission, appointment, tenure, duties, decision authority, conflict code, and filing obligations. It does not prove participation in the County CFCI decision.

Private contract participation. Exhibit B shows that Wilson was CRCD's named notice contact and signed the Amity-CRCD agreement for CRCD. This establishes private-side contract participation. It does not establish that he acted in a public capacity on the contract.

Economic interests. Exhibit D shows CRCD reportable compensation to Wilson and a Schedule L disclosure identifying Wilson as a 40% partner in CRCD Partners LLC, related loans, and a $248,320 rent transaction. These records support a financial-interest inquiry. They do not prove that any particular governmental decision materially affected those interests.

5. Participant-specific transaction and material effect

Why this is not merely an abstract contract. Exhibit C ties the CFCI contract to a participant-specific rental-assistance transaction. Exhibit E ties the transaction to a particular unit, rent amount, landlord-verification process, and housing-assistance application. The records therefore support tracing actual payments, approvals, reporting, monitoring, and whether the transaction was represented consistently to the participant, landlord, County, and courts.

- Month-by-month payments, credits, reversals, and payee records;
- Invoices and reimbursement submissions from CRCD to Amity and from Amity to JCOD or the County;
- Written approval for rental assistance and any exception, amendment, or phase-out;
- Participant eligibility, intake, consent, release-of-information, privacy, household, and family-status records;
- Grant reporting, outcomes, audit logs, corrective-action records, and questioned-cost review;
- Communications identifying who approved, monitored, modified, suspended, or closed the participant-specific assistance;
- Any records showing whether a covered public official advised, influenced, intervened in, or was screened from the matter.

6. Unlawful-detainer, unit, and family-law consequences

Unlawful-detainer. Exhibit F shows that 26CMUD00417 ended by stipulated judgment before trial on July 7, 2026. The court disposition establishes the procedural outcome, not the complete public-funding or conflict record. The signed settlement controls its own scope.

Unit identity and City enforcement. Exhibit G confirms a July 18, 2024 Certificate of Occupancy for a 380-square-foot garage-conversion ADU and later LADBS/LAHD findings involving the main residence, second-floor kitchen, breezeway/laundry enclosure, electrical/plumbing work, and Unit 3/4 safety or maintenance issues. These records are relevant to what was sourced, monitored, reimbursed, and represented under the funded placement. They are not proof of an FPPC conflict.

Family-law. Exhibit H shows the active family-law matter, children's counsel, and the July 29 custody/visitation hearing. Housing instability affects transportation, visitation logistics, family-service eligibility, and the practical reunification pathway. This explains urgency and materiality; it does not ask FPPC to decide custody.

7. Key contradiction and reconciliation matrix

Issue	Record 1	Record 2	Required reconciliation
Funding description	JCOD: CFCI funds, PA-3 Youth Housing, Amity oversight, 9 months at $1,000	Other CRCD descriptions referenced private support or different payment structures	Official ledger, source-by-month, invoices, approvals, amendments, participant notice
Program role	CRCD/Amity contract authorizes grant services, reporting, audit, records, and rental-assistance budget	Later institutional statements minimized or separated housing placement from PTP	Scope of work, actual charged cost, program coding, monitoring, complaint and corrective-action files
Public/private role	Official City source identifies commissioner/secretary role and CRCD leadership	No current exhibit proves public-side participation in CFCI award or administration	Appointment, calendars, communications, votes, advice, recusals, conflict screening
Economic interest	Form 990 compensation and Schedule L related-entity interests	No current exhibit links those interests to a specific government decision	Form 700, source-of-income and investment schedules, materiality and nexus analysis
Housing consequence	Lease, UD, and City enforcement show participant-specific consequences	Those consequences do not themselves prove a conflict	Use only to establish materiality, transaction trace, preservation, and referral

8. Requested FPPC action

7. Associate this expanded submission and exhibits with COM-06192026-02541.
8. Confirm whether the active screening concerns Government Code section 1090, Political Reform Act conflicts, Form 700/conflict-code compliance, section 84308, or another identified FPPC provision.
9. Identify the exact remaining deficiency, if any: public-office proof, governmental decision, participation, financial interest, material effect, disclosure/recusal, limitations, or jurisdiction.
10. Preserve the submitted source records and the prior 2,838-page packet without requiring duplicate resubmission.
11. Obtain or request the official records listed below where FPPC has authority, or identify the proper custodian and acceptable procedure for a targeted supplement.
12. Separate non-FPPC issues for appropriate referral without treating that referral as a merits resolution of the FPPC conflict lane.
13. Provide written confirmation that the July 7-8 telephone and email update has been associated with the pending attorney review.

9. Priority official records

City public-role records

- Appointment, oath, confirmation, term dates, commission/body name, duties, committees, calendars, agendas, minutes, votes, recusals, ethics advice, and conflict-of-interest code
- All Form 700 filings and amendments for the relevant period, subject to lawful access and redaction

County / JCOD / Amity contract records

- Board allocation and spending-plan records; solicitation, scoring, award recommendation, approval, amendments, budget, and closeout
- Contract monitoring, audit, invoices, reimbursement, payment, questioned-cost, corrective-action, and participant-level rental-assistance records
- Communications involving Wilson, CRCD, Amity, JCOD, County officials, and any City body relevant to the contract

CRCD financial and related-entity records

- Documents sufficient to explain the decision-specific effect on CRCD, Wilson compensation, CRCD Partners LLC, related loans, rents, property, or other reportable interests
- Disclosure, recusal, conflict-screening, and independent-review records maintained by CRCD or the public agencies

Participant transaction records

- Lease-version chain, landlord verification, payment approvals, ledger, invoices, W-9/ACH processing history with sensitive fields redacted, consent/ROI, eligibility, data access, and monitoring records

10. Allegation and referral boundaries

- The packet does not allege that holding two positions is unlawful by itself.
- The packet does not allege that signing the contract in a private capacity proves public-side participation.
- The packet does not allege that compensation or a related-party transaction proves a disqualifying interest in every decision.
- The packet does not allege that missing, delayed, or contradictory records establish intent, fraud, perjury, conspiracy, or records destruction.
- The packet does not ask FPPC to adjudicate the lease, unlawful-detainer settlement, unit legality, custody, visitation, or criminal liability.
- The packet requests referral only where a non-FPPC issue is apparent and preserves the need for a written FPPC jurisdictional determination.

11. Selected exhibit index

Exhibit	Document	FPPC relevance	Limitation
A	Official City Mark Wilson role source sheet	Public/private role overlap	Does not prove decision-specific participation
B	Amity-CRCD Contract CFCI-PA3-056-2023 - selected pages	Specific contract; Wilson signatory; reimbursement, records, audit, scope and budget	Private-side signature is not public-side action
C	JCOD March 13, 2026 funding confirmation	Participant-specific CFCI funding, PA-3 Youth Housing, Amity oversight, nine months at $1,000	Does not establish conflict or complete accounting
D	CRCD 2023 Form 990 compensation and Schedule L	Potential private economic interests for decision-specific analysis	Does not show material effect or nonrecusal
E	Lease and landlord-verification pages	Transaction, address, rent amount, housing-assistance context	Not a complete payment or assent determination
F	Unlawful-detainer court register excerpt	Procedural outcome and material consequence	Does not resolve FPPC issues
G	Selected LADBS/LAHD source records	What was approved, cited, and physically at issue in the funded placement	Housing enforcement is outside FPPC merits jurisdiction
H	Family-law docket excerpt	Current child-centered urgency and material consequence	Does not create FPPC jurisdiction

Prior packet cross-reference. The July 3 controlled packet remains the complete source repository. This supplement intentionally avoids resending thousands of pages. Relevant prior pages include the decision-specific jurisdiction guide at pages 1-12 and the full source manifest at pages 2,837-2,838. The selected exhibits below are the documents most necessary for immediate FPPC screening.

12. Authorities and official guidance

- Government Code section 1090; FPPC, Section 1090 and Quick Guide to Section 1090.
- Government Code section 87100 and implementing FPPC conflict-of-interest rules.
- Government Code section 84308 and FPPC Pay-to-Play Limits and Prohibitions - cited conditionally only.
- FPPC, Conflict of Interest Code Rules and Form 700 guidance.
- FPPC, File a Complaint; Enforcement Process; Regulation 18360.

Official source links: https://www.fppc.ca.gov/learn/section-1090/ ; https://www.fppc.ca.gov/learn/conflicts-of-interest-rules/ ; https://www.fppc.ca.gov/filing-officers/conflict-of-interest-code-rules/ ; https://www.fppc.ca.gov/form-700/ ; https://www.fppc.ca.gov/enforcement/file-a-complaint/ .

13. Submission certification and contact

I certify that this submission is made in good faith as a focused supplement to the pending FPPC review. Where a fact is based on an agency record, court register, official webpage, contract, tax filing, or copied communication, the exhibit is identified. Where proof remains incomplete, the gap is expressly stated. I request an element-specific response rather than an inference that the broader housing or family dispute is itself an FPPC violation.

Kyle Robert Haab
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

EXHIBIT W

SOURCE/DESCRIPTION
June 29 denial letter and appeal form.

FACTUAL PROPOSITION / RELEVANCE
Shows collateral agency consequences and the 45-day appeal requirement.

EVIDENTIARY LIMITATION
The denial is not a finding on the broader housing/records chain.

Controlled audit exhibit - not an accusation, adjudication, or finding of criminal or civil liability.

CALIFORNIA
CalVCB
VICTIM COMPENSATION BOARD

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
GAVIN NEWSOM, Governor

6/29/2026

Kyle David Haab
1551 E 106th St
Unit 3/4
Los Angeles, CA 90002-3S07

RE: APPLICATION FOR VICTIM COMPENSATION
CLAIMANT: Kyle David Haab
DATE OF CRIME: 6/7/2024
APPLICATION NUMBER: A26-10253806

Dear Kyle David Haab

Your application for crime victim compensation is denied.

A preponderance of the evidence does not show that you were a victim of a program qualifying crime. We have been unable to verify physical injury or threat of physical injury as a direct result of a qualifying crime. This Program covers specific types of crimes, which include crimes where a person is injured, threatened with injury, or killed because of a crime per Government Code Section 13955. Based on the crime documentation from the Los Angeles Police Department, you were not present at the time of the crime and there was no physical injury or threat of physical injury to you. Unfortunately, program compensation cannot be authorized at this time. If you do not agree with this determination, you may submit an appeal with additional crime documentation substantiating a program qualifying crime.

You have the right to appeal. **To appeal, you must complete the enclosed Appeal Form and return it within 45 days of the date of this letter.** If you filed your claim online, you may also use the online portal to file your appeal and upload additional documents.

Unless your appeal is successful, we are unable to make any payment(s) related to your application.

Whenever you call or write to us, please use your application number A26-10253806. Please also make sure your contact information stays updated. For questions, please call Customer Service at 1-800-777-9229, Monday - Friday between the hours of 8 a.m. and 5 p.m.

Sincerely,

Customer Service Representative

VICTIM COMPENSATION PROGRAM
PO Box 942003
Sacramento, California 94204-2003
Toll Free Number: 1-800-777-9229
Fax Number: 1-866-302-8663
www.victims.ca.gov

04036

A26-10253806

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

APPEAL FORM

VCB-31-06045 (02/2024)

CalVCB
CALIFORNIA VICTIM COMPENSATION BOARD

Application ID:
A26-10253806
Bill ID:

Name: Kyle David Haab

Date: 6/29/2026

If your application or bill was denied and you **do not agree** with this decision, you may file an appeal. An appeal must be postmarked or received within 45 days of the date on your denial letter. CalVCB will review your appeal and all information you provide.

- To file an appeal, you or your representative must complete this form and return it to CalVCB at the following address: Legal and Appeals Office, PO Box 350, Sacramento, CA, 95812-0350.
- You may also submit this form by email (info@victims.ca.gov); by facsimile (victimsappealsfax@victims.ca.gov) or upload this form using your CalVCB Online Portal login information.
- To file an appeal for the decision to deny or partially deny attorney fees, the attorney must complete this form and return it to CalVCB.

You must state the specific reason(s) you disagree with the denial and provide information, and/or documents to support the reason(s) you believe your claim should be approved. Additional sheets of paper may be used if needed. **If you do not appeal within 45 days, the denial will be final.**

Reasons(s) I disagree with this decision:

I certify that all the information I have provided is true and correct.

_____ _____

Applicant, Claimant, or Representative's Signature Date

You are required to keep current contact information on file with CalVCB. If your information has changed, please update it below.

Address: _____ Daytime phone: (____) _____

City: _____ State: _____ Zip: _____

EXHIBIT X

SOURCE/DESCRIPTION
Selected request and source-order pages.

FACTUAL PROPOSITION / RELEVANCE
Shows efforts to obtain the underlying Tennessee record of consent, notice, appearance, and hearing.

EVIDENTIARY LIMITATION
Pending records requests do not prove the contents of missing recordings or logs.

Controlled audit exhibit - not an accusation, adjudication, or finding of criminal or civil liability.

STATE OF TENNESSEE
HAMILTON COUNTY JUVENILE COURT

In re:	Cameron Dietz Izarraraz and Katherine Rose Izarraraz
Petition / Docket Nos.:	310909, 310910, 310995, 310996
Related ICPC Records:	117604 and 117605
Related CA Trial Matter:	Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 25CMFL00694
Related CA Appellate Matter:	California Court of Appeal Case No. B354753
Filing Party:	Kyle Robert Haab, self-represented parent

REQUEST TO VACATE OR SET ASIDE JANUARY 15, 2025 ORDER, OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE, REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL HEARING, HEARING RECORD, SOURCE-RECORD REVIEW, AND CALIFORNIA/TENNESSEE COMMUNICATIONS

Filed as: Request for Judicial Review / Other Document Type: Request to Vacate or Set Hearing and Obtain California-Tennessee Communications

Purpose of Filing

Kyle Robert Haab, self-represented, respectfully requests that the Court vacate or set aside the Hamilton County Juvenile Court order dated January 15, 2025 and entered February 12, 2025. In the alternative, if the Court does not vacate or set aside the order on this filing alone, I request a judicial hearing, hearing record access, source-record review, and preservation/identification of all California/Tennessee communications, transmissions, release logs, ICPC records, UCCJEA communications, attorney-appearance records, and remote-hearing records connected to that order.

This filing is not a request for clerk staff to provide legal advice. It is not a request for Hamilton County Juvenile Court to decide separate California housing, agency, public-funding, law-enforcement, or attorney-discipline matters. It is a request for relief and record clarification from the Tennessee court whose order is being relied upon in California proceedings.

Primary Relief Requested: vacate or set aside the January 15, 2025 order entered February 12, 2025.
Alternative Relief Requested: set a judicial hearing and require preservation, identification, and access to the hearing record, source records, ICPC/DCS records, and California/Tennessee communications before the order continues to be treated as reliable in California proceedings.

I. Order and Disputed Consent

The January 15, 2025 order appears to divest custody from Crystal Izarraraz, grant care, custody, and control of the children to Patty Lopez, end further Tennessee hearings on petitions 310909 and 310910, relieve all counsel, relinquish jurisdiction to California, and authorize release of confidential child-file information to the State of California if requested.

I dispute that I personally, knowingly, and validly consented to the disposition reflected in that order. I also dispute that the current record available to me shows a direct personal statement, signed consent, valid written waiver, complete notice record, appearance record, Webex/remote-participation record, or attorney-authority record sufficient to establish my knowing agreement to custody divestment, no further Tennessee hearings, counsel being relieved, jurisdiction being relinquished to California, and confidential child records being released to California.

The Tennessee Board of Professional Responsibility process concerns attorney ethics only. It does not substitute for a court finding on the legal effect of alleged consent language in a juvenile-court order. Therefore, the disputed consent, waiver, notice, appearance, participation, jurisdiction, and confidential-release issues must be addressed through the Court record.

II. Why Vacatur or a Judicial Hearing Is Needed

The Tennessee order has active downstream consequences in California, including Los Angeles Superior Court case 25CMFL00694 and California Court of Appeal case B354753. The order is being treated as a source record affecting custody, visitation, parent-child contact, reunification posture, UCCJEA registration/enforcement, and access to

confidential child-file information.

If the record does not show valid personal consent, proper notice, proper appearance, or a reliable source record for the alleged agreement, then the January 15, 2025 order should not continue to be relied upon without review. If immediate vacatur is not procedurally available on this filing alone, I request a hearing and written instructions identifying the proper procedure to challenge, clarify, or review the order.

The requested review is narrow: what record supports the statement that I agreed, what record supports jurisdiction relinquishment to California, what record supports release of confidential child-file information to California, and what records were transmitted, requested, or relied upon between Tennessee and California.

III. California/Tennessee Communications Requested

Because the order states that jurisdiction was relinquished to California and confidential child-file information may be released to California if requested, I request preservation, identification, and access to any communications, requests, transmissions, release logs, court-to-court communications, ICPC communications, UCCJEA communications, or agency-to-court communications between Tennessee and California related to this order.

- communications between Hamilton County Juvenile Court and any California court, including Los Angeles Superior Court;
- communications between Tennessee DCS, Tennessee ICPC, Hamilton County Juvenile Court, or court personnel and California DCFS, California ICPC, Los Angeles County counsel, or any California child-welfare or court personnel;
- any request from California for confidential child-file records, ICPC records, juvenile records, custody-order records, or court-file records;
- any release log, transmittal record, clerk note, docket entry, email, fax, mailing, upload, court portal entry, or data-transfer record showing what was sent to California and when;
- any communication regarding ICPC identifiers 117604 and 117605;
- any communication supporting jurisdiction relinquishment, UCCJEA registration, custody transfer, no-further-hearings language, counsel being relieved, or alleged consent;
- any record showing whether I received notice, appeared, participated, was admitted to Webex, was excluded from Webex, was disconnected, waived rights, or gave written or oral consent.

IV. Specific Relief Requested

1. Vacate or set aside the January 15, 2025 order entered February 12, 2025 based on disputed personal consent and unresolved source-record issues.

2. Alternatively, set a judicial hearing to determine the record basis for the January 15, 2025 order, including alleged consent, waiver, appearance, participation, notice, jurisdiction relinquishment, counsel relief, and release of confidential records to California.

3. Preserve all hearing records, audio recordings, remote appearance logs, Webex or virtual hearing logs, minute entries, court notes, docket entries, orders, filings, correspondence, ICPC records, UCCJEA communications, and confidential-record release logs connected to the January 15, 2025 order and petitions 310909, 310910, 310995, and 310996.

4. Identify or provide access to the hearing transcript, hearing audio/CD, appearance record, Webex or remote-participation record, minute entry, notice/service record, written consent, written waiver, attorney filing, attorney communication, or any other record relied upon for alleged consent.

5. Identify all California/Tennessee communications and transmissions related to the order, including any request from California for confidential child-file information and any record released or transmitted to California.

6. Confirm whether the Court file contains ICPC records, ICPC referrals, ICPC correspondence, ICPC 100A/100B records, or ICPC-related docket entries connected to ICPC 117604 and 117605.

7. Confirm whether petitions 310909, 310910, 310995, and 310996 remain closed, transferred, inactive, or subject to further review or clarification.

8. Confirm whether all counsel were relieved with proper notice and whether the record shows that affected parties had an opportunity to be heard.

9. Issue written procedural instructions if the Court determines that a different motion, petition, record request, transcript request, or hearing request is required.

10. Grant any other relief the Court deems just and proper to prevent continued reliance on a disputed source record without review.

V. Good-Faith Filing and Access Statement

I am self-represented and am attempting to use the proper Hamilton County Juvenile Court process. I completed remote filing-access steps, addressed the Affidavit of Indigency issue, and used the closest available eFile category, Request for Judicial Review, because the portal does not clearly provide a separate Motion to Vacate category in the visible options.

This filing is made in good faith to obtain judicial review, vacatur or set-aside relief, a hearing if needed, and access to the Tennessee/California communications and source records supporting the January 15, 2025 order.

VI. Updated Exhibit Index

Exhibit A - Related Tennessee and California Orders: Shows March 18, 2024 Tennessee juvenile order context, January 15 / February 12, 2025 disputed order, and March 11, 2026 California custody / visitation implementation pages.

Exhibit B - Amanda Morrison / TBPR and Patty Lopez Record-Chain Addendum: Shows why Amanda / TBPR and Patty placement / visitation implementation records are connected through the disputed source order and why ordinary family-law enforcement should not replace source-record review.

Exhibit C - TBPR and Hamilton County eFile / Notice of Association Access Records: Shows the disputed alleged-consent issue was preserved, and that Hamilton County provided a path for out-of-state pro se filing, judicial-review packet submission, Webex identity verification, and Affidavit of Indigency correction.

Exhibit D - Corrected California Writ Petition / Court of Appeal Received Packet Excerpts: Shows active California reliance on the Tennessee order and the request for immediate review of custody/visitation, UCCJEA/ICPC, and source-order issues.

Exhibit E - CJP Clean Screening Complaint - Limited Court-Access Context: Included only to show that the California fair-process/source-record concern was separately preserved; not offered for Hamilton County to decide judicial discipline.

Exhibit F - CRCD / Project Tipping Point Optional Source-Record Excerpts: Included only as downstream context showing broader source-authorization and records-custody issues; not offered as the basis for Hamilton County to decide CRCD/HMIS merits.

Exhibits are attached to show the disputed order, the California reliance context, the TBPR ethics-only limitation, the Hamilton filing-access route, and the broader good-faith effort to obtain source-record review. The non-Tennessee agency context is included only to explain downstream reliance and procedural urgency; it is not a request for this Court to decide unrelated agency merits.

VII. Verification

I declare under penalty of perjury that the factual statements above are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

Date: 05/29/26

Signature: Kyle Haab

Kyle Robert Haab

Certificate of Service

I certify that a copy of this filing was submitted through the Hamilton County Juvenile Court eFile system and, to the extent required by court rule or court instruction, will be served on all parties or counsel of record through the available court-approved service method.

Date: 05/29/26

Signature: Kyle Haab

Kyle Robert Haab

EXHIBIT A

Related Tennessee and California orders: March 18, 2024 Tennessee order; January 15/February 12, 2025 Tennessee order; March 11, 2026 California custody/visitation order pages.

COMBINED ORDERS AND COMMUNICATIONS CONTEXT

Case No. 25CMFL00694

This combined packet contains two sections:

Section A - Combined Related Court Orders.
Includes Tennessee juvenile/dependency orders and the March 11, 2026 Los Angeles Superior Court custody/visitation order pages previously compiled as a single court-order attachment.

Section B - Patty Lopez Communications Context.
Includes user-provided communication screenshots related to visitation scheduling, monitor coordination, requirements asserted by Patty Lopez, and communications concerning Sunday parenting time.

Filing-use note: If the filing interview asks specifically for "court orders from other cases," upload the orders-only PDF rather than this combined context packet. Use this combined packet only where the court asks for, permits, or you are filing supporting evidence/context with a declaration or request.

COMBINED RELATED COURT ORDERS

Case No. 25CMFL00694

Prepared as a single PDF attachment containing the relevant court orders referenced in the family/UCCJEA and juvenile/dependency posture.

This packet is intended for the upload field requesting court order(s) from related cases involving the children.

Included orders:

Tennessee Order - March 18, 2024

1. Hamilton County Juvenile Court - Findings and Recommendations - March 18, 2024 - Page 1
2. Hamilton County Juvenile Court - Findings and Recommendations - March 18, 2024 - Page 2

Tennessee Order - January 15, 2025 / Entered February 12, 2025

3. Hamilton County Juvenile Court - Findings and Recommendations - January 15, 2025 - Page 1
4. Hamilton County Juvenile Court - Findings and Recommendations - Entered February 12, 2025 - Page 2

California Order - March 11, 2026

5. Los Angeles Superior Court - FL-340 Findings and Order After Hearing - March 11, 2026
6. Los Angeles Superior Court - FL-341 Custody and Visitation Order Attachment - Page 1
7. Los Angeles Superior Court - FL-341 Custody and Visitation Order Attachment - Page 2
8. Los Angeles Superior Court - FL-341 Custody and Visitation Order Attachment - Page 3
9. Los Angeles Superior Court - FL-341 Custody and Visitation Order Attachment - Page 4
10. Los Angeles Superior Court - Attachment to FL-341 Item 16



STATE OF TENNESSEE
Hamilton County Juvenile Court

Date: March 18, 2024
Magistrate: W. Troy McDougal

Petition # 310,909 310,910 310,995
310,996

In the Matter of:

Cameron Contreras 01/13/24
Katherine Contreras 01/13/24

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE MAGISTRATE

This matter is before the court for adjudicatory hearing on the Department of Children's Services (DCS) Petitions for Temporary Legal Custody and Ex Parte Order, 310,909 and 310,910, and for custody hearing on petitions 310,995, and 310,996 filed by Patty Lopez, maternal grandmother. The ex parte protective custody order was signed on January 26, 2024.

The court continued the matter by agreement of counsel. Also, a permanency plan was submitted and the court found the plan to be appropriate. The court ratifies the permanency plan without any objections from counsel.

IT IS THEREFORE RECOMMENDED:

1. The permanency plan is ratified and approved pursuant to T.C.A. 37-2-403.

2. Petitions 310,909 and 310,910 are continued for adjudicatory hearing, petitions 310,995, 310,996 are continued for custody hearing on July 8, 2024 at 1:30 p.m.

Present by telephone conference: Patty Lopez, maternal grandmother.

Present in court: Attorney Christopher Hillis, representing Mother; Attorney Amanda Morrison, representing father; Attorney Jeff Davis, Guardian Ad Litem.



STATE OF TENNESSEE
Hamilton County Juvenile Court

Cameron Izarraraz
Katherine Izarraraz
March 18, 2024
Page two

Present in court (Cont.) Akera Williams and Katie Sidebottom, DCS; State's Attorney Ashley Ivey; Linda Covington, Intake and Family Services Department.

Entered this 11th day of April, 2024.

W. Troy McCall
JUVENILE COURT MAGISTRATE

jds

ENTERED
4-11-2024



STATE OF TENNESSEE
Hamilton County Juvenile Court

Date: January 15 2025
Magistrate: Jacquelyn Griffith

Petition #310,910; 310,909; 310,995
310,996

In the Matter of:

Cameron Izarraraz 01/13/24
Katherine Izarraraz 01/13/24

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE MAGISTRATE

This matter is before the court for a disposition hearing on dependency and neglect/abused child petitions 310,909; 310,909 filed by the Department of Children's Services (DCS), and for a custody hearing on petitions 310,995 and 310,996 filed by Patty Lopez, maternal grandmother. The children were adjudicated to be dependent and neglected children and placed in the legal custody of DCS on July 8, 2024.

In the absence of Magistrate W. Troy McDougal these matters were heard by Magistrate Jacquelyn Griffith.

State's Attorney Ashley Avey informed the court that the children are in the care of his grandmother who lives in California. DCS is recommending that custody of the children be granted to the grandmother and this court relinquish jurisdiction to California. DCS does not have any concerns about the child being in the care of his grandmother.

Attorney Christopher Stiles, representing the mother, Crystal Izarraraz, stated she is in agreement with DCS's recommendation. He also discussed the matter with Attorney Amanda Morrison, representing the father, Kyle Haab. She confirmed the father was also in agreement.

The court granted the recommendation of DCS for good cause shown.

IT IS THEREFORE RECOMMENDED:

1. Petitions 310,995 and 310,996 are formally sustained.

MASTER INTEGRATED FPPC SUPPLEMENT AND EXHIBIT-CONTROL BINDER

Complaint COM-06192026-02541

Submitted by	Kyle Robert Haab
Date	July 8, 2026
Primary contract lane	CFCI-PA3-056-2023 - Amity Foundation / CRCD / JCOD
Primary property	1551 3/4 E. 106th Street, Los Angeles, CA 90002
Related court matters	26CMUD00417; 25CMFL00694
Common institutional actor	Coalition for Responsible Community Development (CRCD)
Principal screening subject	Public/private role overlap, governmental decisions, economic interests, disclosure, recusal, monitoring, payment, and corrective action

Purpose. Consolidate the July 8 FPPC materials, the property-address and Certificate-of-Occupancy analysis, the CRCD/Marcella Gardens oversight comparison, the LAFLA unit-registration contradiction, and the DMH-CRCD Marcella Gardens MOU into one controlled, attorney-readable record.

Evidence boundary. This binder requests preservation, element-specific conflict screening, and appropriate referral. It does not state that Mayor Karen Bass, Mark Wilson, CRCD, LAHD, LADBS, DMH, JCOD, Amity Foundation, LAFLA, the landlord, or any other person committed a crime or violated the Political Reform Act. Reported allegations, attorney recaps, agency records, and court records are separately classified.

CONFIDENTIAL / CONTROLLED - NOT A PUBLIC-FILING SET

1. Filing Guide and Source-Incorporation Statement

This master binder incorporates the unique content of the uploaded July 8 materials while avoiding unnecessary duplication. The 91-page V3 packet contains the 70-page V2 packet and the 21-page property-address addendum. V2 and the standalone 21-page addendum are therefore incorporated by inclusion within V3 rather than appended a second time.

Source	Treatment in this binder	Master pages
Five-page supplemental update	Included in full as the initial case-status and jurisdiction update.	13-17
Ninety-one-page V3 packet	Included in full; this is the controlling consolidated FPPC packet and already contains the V2 governance addendum and the property-address/COFO addendum.	19-109
Nine-page CRCD/Marcella nexus report	Included in full as the focused oversight-comparison and records-preservation analysis.	111-119
LAFLA June 15-16 source pages	Included in full to preserve the written recap that LAHD investigator Joseph Plasencia reportedly stated the ADU was registered and the earlier LAHD letter was erroneous.	121-131
DMH-CRCD Marcella Gardens MOU	Included in full to preserve the distinct PSH governance, monitoring, reporting, grievance, privacy, and records framework.	133-146

Controlling-record rule. The native agency, court, contract, email, permit, and MOU records control over all summaries. The article-based political-protection allegations remain allegation-source material only.

Recommended transmission: send this master binder only when FPPC counsel requests a consolidated record. For an initial follow-up, the shorter focused addendum remains easier to review.

2. Key Identifiers and Binder Roadmap

Category	Identifier / reference
FPPC	COM-06192026-02541
County grant / contract	CFCI-PA3-056-2023
Program	CRCD Project Tipping Point; Program Area 3 / Youth Housing
County administration	Los Angeles County JCOD; Amity Foundation as third-party administrator
Unlawful detainer	26CMUD00417
Family law	25CMFL00694
Property	1551 3/4 E. 106th Street; variants include 1551, 1551-1551 3/4, 1551-1551 1/2, 1551A, and 1551B
LADBS permit / COFO	Permit 21014-10000-05021; Certificate of Occupancy No. 252890, issued July 18, 2024
LADBS enforcement	CEIS Case 1053480; Order A-6604739; complaint shown under investigation
Later LADBS permits	26016-10000-11129 and 25016-10000-33326
LAHD correspondence	July 14, 2025 Unit Not Registered request; August 15, 2025 unapproved-unit letter
LAFLA contradiction source	June 15-16, 2026 written recap attributed to Nataly Estrada after counsel contact with LAHD investigator Joseph Plasencia
Marcella Gardens	DMH MOU 363; CRCD as project sponsor/developer; 60-unit PSH project with 29 DMH-funded units

Binder parts: I - five-page update; II - V3 detailed supplement and exhibits; III - Marcella Gardens / LAHD-LADBS / UD / FPPC nexus report; IV - LAFLA source pages; V - DMH-CRCD Marcella Gardens MOU.

3. Executive Synthesis

The integrated record presents a participant-specific public-funds transaction that later became intertwined with property-status ambiguity, possession litigation, and family-stability consequences. JCOD confirms that CFCI funds were used for rental assistance through CRCD under Program Area 3 / Youth Housing, with Amity overseeing administration. The lease and landlord-verification records identify a $2,000 tenancy at 1551 3/4 E. 106th Street, while JCOD identified nine months of CFCI assistance at $1,000 per month. The complete month-by-month ledger, approvals, reimbursement trail, participant notice, and monitoring record remain material.

The property record is not accurately described by either extreme. LADBS issued Certificate of Occupancy No. 252890 for a garage-to-ADU conversion under the range address 1551-1551 3/4 E. 106th Street. The lease used the specific subaddress 1551 3/4. Later records use multiple related addresses, distinguish an approved garage ADU from unapproved work elsewhere on the parcel, show an open enforcement investigation, and include 2026 permits changing the ADU from attached to detached while contemplating a concurrent COFO. The precise physical identity and configuration of the premises leased as Unit 3/4 therefore require plan-level reconciliation.

The LAFLA record creates a separate evidentiary contradiction. LAFLA wrote that, after speaking with LAHD investigator Joseph Plasencia, counsel understood the ADU to be registered, the earlier LAHD letter to be erroneous, and the proposed illegal-unit summary-judgment theory to be unavailable. That is an attorney communication reporting an investigator statement; it is not itself a certified LAHD correction, rescission, or final technical determination. It must be reconciled with the July 14 Unit Not Registered request, the August 15 unapproved-unit letter, LADBS enforcement records, and later permit activity.

The Marcella Gardens comparison is relevant as an oversight-structure lead, not as proof of a common scheme. CRCD is the common nonprofit actor, but Marcella Gardens is a separate DMH permanent-supportive-housing development governed by a distinct MOU, while 1551 3/4 was a Project Tipping Point participant-level rental-assistance placement. The comparison supports preservation of monitoring, audit, grievance, records, payment, and governance evidence. It does not establish that the same funds, property, officials, or alleged conduct were involved.

FPPC issue. The property and program failures are not independently FPPC violations. The actionable question is whether a covered official or consultant made, participated in, influenced, monitored, modified, reimbursed, protected, or declined corrective action on a governmental decision while holding a disqualifying or undisclosed financial interest, contribution, gift, income source, or other reportable economic relationship.

4. Evidence Classification and Accuracy Controls

Classification	Examples in this binder	Permitted conclusion
Verified source record	Executed CFCI contract; JCOD funding confirmation; lease; COFO; LADBS portal; UD judgment; DMH-CRCD MOU; official City profile; Form 990/Schedule L.	Use for the proposition shown, subject to authentication and complete-file review.
Attorney communication	LAFLA June 15-16 recap of counsel contact with LAHD investigator.	Shows LAFLA written strategy and reported statement; does not equal a certified LAHD agency determination.
Reported allegation	Press report concerning an LAHD officer, Marcella Gardens/CRCD, missing funds, and political protection.	Supports preservation and targeted inquiry only; not an LAHD admission or adjudicated fact.
Reasonable investigative inference	Common CRCD role and fragmented oversight justify cross-agency reconciliation.	Supports records requests and conflict screening, not a finding of intent or wrongdoing.
Missing proof	Public-side participation; decision-specific financial effect; Form 700 disclosure; recusal; contribution; instruction to alter enforcement; certified unit map; full ledger.	Must be obtained before concluding a Political Reform Act, section 1090, pay-to-play, false-claims, or criminal violation.

Accuracy rules used throughout:

- Do not call the press allegations an LAHD admission.
- Do not state that the 2024 COFO is under investigation; the separate CEIS enforcement matter is the item shown under investigation.
- Do not equate LAHD rental registration with plan conformity, final inspection, or lawful occupancy of the exact physical premises.
- Do not state that the UD settlement adjudicated unit legality, public-funds compliance, conflict issues, or family-law causation.
- Do not state that Mark Wilson's simultaneous public and private roles prove participation in the County CFCI decision.
- Do not merge Marcella Gardens and 1551 3/4 as the same project or funding source.

5. Integrated Chronology

Date	Event	Why it matters
Feb. 22-24, 2023	Mark Wilson and Amity execute CFCI-PA3-056-2023 for CRCD; scope includes Project Tipping Point rental assistance.	Creates contract, approval, reporting, audit, data, complaint, and records duties.
Apr. 5, 2023	Permit 21014-10000-05021 issued for garage-to-ADU conversion.	Property approval lane begins.
July 10-18, 2024	Final inspections approved; COFO No. 252890 issued under 1551-1551 3/4.	Establishes an approved 380-square-foot garage ADU before tenancy.
Aug. 3, 2024	Lease identifies 1551 3/4 at $2,000 monthly rent.	Participant-specific transaction begins.
Aug. 2024-June 2025	JCOD later confirms CFCI assistance; nine months at $1,000 per month.	Requires ledger and payment-source reconciliation.
July 7, 2025	LADBS complaint received; status later shown under investigation.	Triggers enforcement and notice chronology.
July 14, 2025	LAHD Unit Not Registered request.	Conflicts with later reported LAFLA/LAHD position.
Aug. 15, 2025	LAHD unapproved-unit letter.	Formal written unit-status issue requiring correction history.
Aug. 25, 2025	LADBS Order A-6604739 effective.	Separates approved garage ADU from main-house duplex, second-floor kitchen, breezeway, plumbing, electrical, and occupancy issues.
June 15-16, 2026	LAFLA recap states Plasencia reportedly said ADU was registered and earlier LAHD letter was an error.	Creates direct unresolved agency/counsel contradiction.
June 18-19, 2026	Later permits issued for attached-to-detached ADU change and second-floor ADU compliance; concurrent COFO contemplated.	Raises configuration and address-mapping questions.
July 7, 2026	UD case 26CMUD00417 ends by stipulated judgment before trial.	Resolves possession terms, not the collateral public-contract, unit-status, or conflict issues.
July 8, 2026	FPPC supplemental submissions and controlled consolidation.	Preserves current record and narrows element-specific review.

6. Unit-Status and Transaction Contradiction Matrix

Issue	Record A	Record B / conflict	Required resolution
Registration	LAHD July 14 request identifies Unit Not Registered.	LAFLA June 15-16 recap says Plasencia reportedly stated the ADU had been registered throughout.	Certified LAHD registration history, unit number, effective dates, correction/withdrawal log, and written agency determination.
Unapproved-unit letter	LAHD August 15 letter identifies unapproved-unit / COFO concerns.	LAFLA recap says LAHD letter was an error.	Drafting, approval, rescission, correction, supersession, and communication history.
COFO identity	COFO 252890 covers 1551-1551 3/4 and a 380-square-foot garage ADU.	Lease uses 1551 3/4; later permits use 1551 3/4 and 1551-1551 1/2.	Approved plot/floor plans, address assignment, entrance/footprint map, inspection notes, and photographs.
Attached/detached status	2024 COFO/permit record concerns garage ADU.	2026 permit changes attached ADU to detached and requires concurrent COFO.	Explain physical condition during tenancy and legal effect of later correction.
Payment structure	Lease shows $2,000 rent; earlier program communications described other splits.	JCOD confirms nine months at $1,000 per month.	Month-by-month charge, tenant share, program share, source, payee, approval, invoice, reimbursement, credit, and receipt.
Oversight responsibility	CRCD performed placement and payment processing; Amity oversaw administration.	Records remain fragmented among CRCD, Amity, JCOD, LAHD, LADBS, landlord, and counsel.	Custodian map, search logs, monitoring file, corrective-action file, and final closeout.

The contradiction is material because the same premises became the subject of a publicly supported placement, a City enforcement record, and a possession judgment. The correct remedy is record reconciliation, not an unsupported conclusion that the unit was definitively lawful or definitively unlawful.

7. CRCD, Marcella Gardens, Public Roles, and Political-Access Screening

The Marcella Gardens MOU establishes a formal DMH-CRCD permanent-supportive-housing relationship. CRCD is identified as project sponsor/developer for a 60-unit project containing 29 DMH-funded units. The MOU describes monitoring, coordination, rent-roll and vacancy review, supportive-service and property-management duties, data reporting, grievance procedures, privacy controls, audit access, and records retention. These provisions demonstrate the type of traceable oversight record that a publicly connected housing project should generate.

Project Tipping Point is a separate CFCI-funded program. Its contract and scope identify rental subsidies, housing-stability services, participant choice, placement assistance, data reporting, complaint procedures, monitoring, and corrective-action duties. The common CRCD role supports an inquiry into whether the same executives, finance staff, compliance personnel, or public-agency liaisons participated across programs. It does not establish common funds or common misconduct.

The official City source identifying Mark Wilson as a City commissioner/secretary and CRCD President/CEO supports verification of appointment, term, duties, conflict code, Form 700 obligations, calendars, votes, recusals, and communications. His CRCD signature on the CFCI contract establishes private-side participation. It does not establish public-side participation in County allocation, award, reimbursement, monitoring, or enforcement.

The reported LAHD-officer allegations concerning Marcella Gardens and political protection are relevant only as a preservation and screening lead. A supported theory would require underlying emails, calendars, meeting records, contribution and fundraising records, Form 700 filings, recusal records, enforcement instructions, audit findings, payment records, and evidence tying a specific official to a specific governmental decision. Political access, appointment, or institutional overlap alone is insufficient.

Precise comparison. Both matters involve CRCD and fragmented housing oversight. Marcella Gardens involves development-level DMH controls; 1551 3/4 involves participant-level CFCI rental assistance and unit vetting. The proper inquiry is whether formal controls produced complete records and corrective action - not whether one matter proves the allegations in the other.

8. FPPC Element Map and Referral Boundaries

Lane	Present support	Missing element / proof
Government Code section 1090	Specific publicly funded contract; private-side CRCD signatory; economic interests identified in tax records.	Covered public office; public-side participation in contract making; prohibited financial interest; exceptions; decision-specific nexus.
Political Reform Act conflict - section 87100	Official public/private role overlap; potential economic interests; governmental decisions affecting CRCD.	Exact governmental decision; participation or influence; reasonably foreseeable material financial effect; disclosure and recusal record.
Form 700 / conflict code	Official City role requires verification.	Certified appointment, designated-position status, disclosure categories, filed schedules, amendments, advice, and recusals.
Section 84308 pay-to-play	No present exhibit proves a covered contribution or proceeding.	Contribution, contributor, agent, party/participant, covered official, proceeding, timing, disclosure, recusal, or return.
Public resources / campaign activity	Press allegation raises preservation issue only.	Use of public staff, funds, communications, or resources for campaign purposes rather than legitimate government activity.
False claims / criminal referral	Payment, invoice, W-9, ACH, ledger, and reimbursement records are material.	Falsity, knowledge, intent, materiality, actual claim, public payment, damages, and proper enforcing authority.

FPPC should not be asked to decide landlord-tenant liability, building-code compliance, custody, visitation, legal malpractice, or criminal guilt. Those issues may explain materiality and referral needs, but FPPC jurisdiction depends on the official-interest-decision link.

9. Unlawful-Detainer, Family-Law, and Collateral Consequences

The July 7 stipulated judgment in 26CMUD00417 awarded possession of 1551 3/4, forfeited lease rights, set move-out and sealing conditions, and resolved the written settlement terms. It did not contain a technical finding matching the exact premises to the 2024 approved ADU plans. It also did not independently decide CFCI payment authority, participant consent, grant monitoring, LAHD correction history, public-official conflicts, or the effect of those matters on family stability.

The housing record remains directly relevant to family-law case 25CMFL00694 because the interstate history included Return to Parent as a permanency goal and current reunification efforts depend on transportation, monitored visitation, communications, service eligibility, storage of children's belongings, and stable housing. The record should distinguish parent conduct from program phase-out, landlord conduct, property conditions, agency classification barriers, and records fragmentation.

The causal issue is not whether housing records determine custody. They do not. The issue is whether system-created or externally caused instability is later treated as parental noncooperation without a complete chronology. The family court and minor's counsel may consider the housing evidence for that limited child-centered purpose, while FPPC separately considers only its own conflict and disclosure jurisdiction.

CalVCB denial and other collateral administrative outcomes may document downstream consequences, but they do not establish FPPC jurisdiction or the truth of the underlying criminal allegations. They should remain in separate agency lanes unless requested.

Settlement-scope control. Do not describe the UD stipulation as a release of unrelated records, oversight, FPPC, HUD, family-law, or agency-review rights unless the signed agreement expressly and lawfully says so.

10. Requested FPPC Handling and Exhibit Map

Requested handling:

- Associate this master binder with COM-06192026-02541 and preserve it with the July 3 all-source packet and July 8 supplements.
- Identify the precise FPPC lane under review and any remaining element deficiency: public office, governmental decision, participation, economic interest, material effect, disclosure/recusal, contribution, or limitations.
- Preserve and obtain the public-role, Form 700, calendar, meeting, contribution, contract, payment, monitoring, complaint, corrective-action, unit-identification, and LAHD correction records necessary for an element-specific determination.
- Treat the LAFLA recap as evidence of a reported contradiction requiring a certified LAHD response, not as a final technical determination.
- Treat the Marcella Gardens materials as a separate-project oversight comparison and allegation-preservation lead, not as proof that the 1551 3/4 transaction used the same funds or involved the same conduct.
- Treat the UD judgment as a procedural and materiality update, not as a merits resolution of the public-integrity or grant-administration issues.
- Where evidence falls outside FPPC jurisdiction, preserve it and identify the proper referral without characterizing referral as a merits finding.

Part	Contents	Master pages
I	Five-page supplemental update - UD settlement, CFCI housing, unit identity, family-law consequences.	13-17
II	V3 detailed supplement - decision-specific analysis, governance addendum, property-address/COFO addendum, and selected exhibits.	19-109
III	CRCD/Marcella Gardens/LAHD-LADBS/UD/FPPC focused nexus report.	111-119
IV	LAFLA source pages preserving the June 15-16 written recap and related communications.	121-131
V	DMH-CRCD Marcella Gardens MOU 363.	133-146

Certification: This integration memorandum accurately states the present source classification and avoids treating disputed or reported information as an adjudicated fact. The appended native-source reproductions control.

PART I
SUPPLEMENTAL CASE-STATUS UPDATE

July 7 stipulated judgment, CFCI-funded housing, unit identity, and family-law effects

SUPPLEMENTAL ENFORCEMENT UPDATE

Unlawful-Detainer Settlement, CFCI-Funded Housing, Unit-Identity Evidence, and Family-Law Consequences

FPPC Complaint Reference: COM-06192026-02541

Submitted by	Kyle Robert Haab
Date	July 8, 2026
Primary related matters	26CMUD00417; 25CMFL00694
Program / contract	CFCI-PA3-056-2023 / CRCD / Project Tipping Point / Amity Foundation / JCOD
Purpose	Associate new material with the pending FPPC review, preserve the source record, and separate FPPC-jurisdiction issues from other public-funds and court-related referral issues.

Evidence boundary. This supplement does not allege that any identified person committed a crime or violated the Political Reform Act. It provides new records and asks for a decision-specific conflict review, preservation, and appropriate referral. The signed settlement, court register, agency records, and native source documents control over summaries.

CONFIDENTIAL / CONTROLLED - NOT A PUBLIC-FILING SET

To: Katelyn Rader and FPPC Enforcement Division

Re: Supplemental update for COM-06192026-02541

Subject: July 7 stipulated judgment, CFCI-funded housing record, unit-legality evidence, and continuing family-law effects

Dear Ms. Rader:

Thank you for speaking with me on July 7, 2026. My understanding from the call was that FPPC attorneys were actively reviewing the matter and that I could submit a focused follow-up regarding the unlawful-detainer settlement and the CRCD-sourced housing placement. This document provides that update without repeating the prior 2,838-page controlled source packet.

1. Five-minute update

New development	Why it matters	Limit / unresolved issue
July 7, 2026 stipulated judgment before trial in 26CMUD00417.	The possession/rent dispute ended without a merits trial. The outcome is now being used in a broader environment involving public funding and family stability.	The actual signed settlement controls. The judgment does not automatically decide public-funds, consent, payment, conflict, or family-law issues.
JCOD/CFCI record confirms a County-funded rental-assistance lane through Amity and CRCD under CFCI-PA3-056-2023.	The placement was not solely a private landlord-tenant transaction. The grant, vendor, payment, consent, and oversight records remain material.	The complete month-by-month ledger, approvals, invoices, ROI/consent, monitoring, and custodian records are still incomplete.
LADBS issued Certificate of Occupancy No. 252890 for a 380-square-foot garage-conversion ADU on July 18, 2024.	The certificate existed shortly before the August 2024 tenancy and must be matched to the exact physical premises leased as Unit 3/4.	Later LADBS/LAHD records identify an approved garage ADU but separate unapproved second-floor, duplex, breezeway, plumbing, electrical, and safety conditions.
Family-law case 25CMFL00694 remains active, with housing stability and visitation directly affecting reunification.	The public-funded placement and its breakdown may affect how current instability and parent-child contact are evaluated.	Housing records do not decide custody, but the complete cause-and-effect chronology is relevant to the child-centered record.

2. Unlawful-detainer settlement and scope

Court posture. The court register reflects that unlawful-detainer case 26CMUD00417 concluded through a stipulated judgment before trial on July 7, 2026. This update is not an effort to relitigate possession through FPPC.

- The settlement should be evaluated according to its actual written terms. Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6 authorizes judgment pursuant to a qualifying settlement; it does not enlarge the agreement beyond its terms.
- The settlement does not, by itself, establish the original source of the unit, the public rental-assistance authorization, the accuracy of the lease/W-9/ACH/payment chain, the scope of participant consent, or the existence or absence of a governmental conflict.
- The settlement also does not automatically waive independent public-records requests, agency oversight, FPPC review, or child-centered use of the housing chronology unless the signed agreement expressly and lawfully covers those matters.

3. CFCI-PA3-056-2023 public-funds nexus

Existing record. The controlled FPPC packet already documents an executed Amity-CRCD CFCI agreement, JCOD confirmation of CFCI rental assistance, CRCD/landlord communications, and conflicting descriptions of the promised and paid rent structure. The new settlement makes the missing transaction record more consequential, not less.

- CRCD introduced or sourced the landlord and described a family-aware placement.
- The lease and landlord-verification documents identify 1551 3/4 E. 106th Street, $2,000 monthly rent, and a housing-assistance transaction.
- The landlord completed W-9 and ACH materials authorizing payment processing through CRCD; those sensitive records should remain redacted outside a controlled evidentiary review.
- JCOD identified CFCI Program Area 3 / Youth Housing and Amity oversight, but the complete payment, reimbursement, approval, participant-consent, and monitoring chain remains outstanding.
- The requested records may show whether the rent obligation changed because of grant administration, phase-out, payment discrepancy, or an independently agreed tenant obligation.

FPPC significance. These facts do not independently prove a Political Reform Act or Government Code section 1090 violation. They increase the economic materiality of any covered governmental decision affecting CRCD, its grant, contract oversight, corrective action, reimbursement, monitoring, or complaint handling. The missing issue remains decision-specific participation, financial interest, disclosure, recusal, and foreseeable material effect.

4. Unit identity, Certificate of Occupancy, and later enforcement

Corrected position. LADBS issued Certificate of Occupancy No. 252890 on July 18, 2024 under Permit 21014-10000-05021 for conversion of an existing garage portion into an approximately 380-square-foot ADU associated with 1551-1551 3/4 E. 106th Street. I am not asserting that no Certificate of Occupancy existed.

The unresolved question is whether the exact physical premises leased and delivered as Unit 3/4 matched the approved ADU. The later record identifies:

- an approved garage-to-ADU conversion;
- a main two-story residence allegedly used as a duplex;
- an unapproved second-floor kitchen;
- an unpermitted breezeway/laundry enclosure connecting the main house and approved garage ADU;
- unpermitted electrical and plumbing work;
- fire-safety and habitability violations at 1551 3/4; and
- a 2026 permit describing a change from attached ADU to detached ADU and concurrent Certificate-of-Occupancy processing.

Why this matters to the complaint. The unit record bears on what was sourced, represented, approved, paid for, monitored, and delivered under a public-funded placement. A valid certificate for one approved ADU does not automatically identify the exact space occupied, and later violations in the main structure do not automatically invalidate the approved ADU. The agencies must reconcile the physical unit, approved plans, address, attachment status, and payment record.

5. Immediate family-law and reunification nexus

The housing issue is operationally connected to family-law case 25CMFL00694. The underlying Tennessee dependency record included Return to Parent as a permanency goal, and the parents relocated toward California while seeking proximity, housing, and services intended to support reunification. Later displacement affects transportation, monitored visitation, reliable communications, storage of children's supplies, and access to family-based services.

- Family Code section 3011 directs consideration of the children's health, safety, welfare, and the nature and amount of contact with both parents.
- Family Code section 3020 recognizes frequent and continuing parent-child contact when consistent with the children's best interests.
- Family Code section 3151 charges appointed children's counsel with gathering evidence, reviewing relevant records, and investigating custody and visitation facts.
- The public-funded housing record does not determine custody, but it may explain whether current instability was voluntary, externally caused, temporary, or remediable.

Requested treatment. Please do not treat the stipulated unlawful-detainer judgment as resolving the separate question whether a publicly funded housing placement, conflict-screening failure, grant-administration error, or records fragmentation contributed to the instability now affecting parent-child contact.

6. FPPC jurisdiction and referral boundaries

Authority / lane	Why cited	Evidence still required
Political Reform Act; Gov. Code §§ 83115, 83116	FPPC may investigate possible Act violations and, after probable cause and hearing, order compliance and penalties.	Covered official, decision, participation, economic interest, material financial effect, disclosure/recusal, and jurisdiction.
Gov. Code § 1090	Prohibits covered public officers/employees from being financially interested in contracts made by them in an official capacity. FPPC has a section 1090 advice/enforcement role.	Government-side participation in contract formation or making; prohibited financial interest; statutory coverage and exceptions.
Gov. Code § 12651 - California False Claims Act	Potential civil/referral screen where knowingly false claims or records are material to payment from public funds.	Claim or request for payment, falsity, knowledge, materiality, damages, and proper enforcing authority.
Penal Code § 72	Potential criminal referral screen for an	Intent to defraud, falsity, presentation for

Authority / lane	Why cited	Evidence still required
	intentionally false or fraudulent claim, bill, account, voucher, or writing presented for public payment.	allowance/payment, covered public entity, and competent criminal authority.
Family Code §§ 3011, 3020, 3151	Explains why housing-source and stability evidence has immediate child-centered relevance.	The family court, not FPPC, decides custody and visitation.
CCP § 664.6	Settlement scope is governed by the actual settlement terms and qualifying stipulation.	Signed agreement, transcript if oral, judgment, release language, and any retained-jurisdiction terms.

No accusation. The civil and criminal provisions above are cited only to explain preservation and referral. Missing, delayed, inconsistent, or incomplete records do not establish knowledge, intent, falsity, financial interest, or a completed violation.

7. Requested FPPC action

1. Associate this supplement and its limited attachments with COM-06192026-02541.

2. Confirm the current complaint/case status and assigned Enforcement contact, to the extent permitted.

3. Preserve the prior controlled packet and this supplement as one source-controlled record.

4. Evaluate the public/private role overlap and any decision-specific participation affecting CRCD contracts, grants, monitoring, corrective action, complaint routing, or economic interests.

5. Obtain or request the precise governmental decision records, agendas, minutes, communications, Form 700 schedules, disclosure/recusal records, and contract-participation records necessary for a section 1090 or Political Reform Act analysis.

6. Treat the July 7 stipulated judgment as a case-status update, not as proof that the public-integrity and grant-administration issues were resolved.

7. Where evidence falls outside FPPC jurisdiction, preserve it and identify or make any appropriate referral without characterizing referral as a finding.

8. Provide written confirmation that the update was received and associated with the matter.

8. Limited attachment set

To avoid burden and duplication, this supplement should be sent with only the following new or controlling materials:

Exhibit	Document	Purpose
A	Court register or signed stipulated judgment from 26CMUD00417	Shows current posture and exact settlement/judgment language.
B	One-page CFCI / JCOD funding confirmation and contract identifier	Establishes the public-funds and oversight lane.
C	Redacted lease first page and landlord-verification page	Establishes the address, rent, tenancy date, and housing-assistance transaction without disclosing bank or taxpayer identifiers.
D	Certificate of Occupancy No. 252890 and key LADBS/LAHD enforcement pages	Frames the approved garage ADU and separate later unapproved conditions.
E	Family-law register first page showing 25CMFL00694 and the next custody/visitation hearing	Establishes immediate child-centered timing and relevance.
F	This supplemental update	Provides issue boundaries and requested action.

Do not attach: unredacted ACH forms, bank-account images, Social Security numbers, W-9 taxpayer identifiers, the full 2,838-page packet, or duplicate materials unless FPPC specifically requests them.

9. Verification and certification

I certify that this supplement accurately states my present understanding of the cited court and agency records. The July 7 telephone account is my contemporaneous recollection; the call should not be treated as a formal FPPC finding or promise beyond written agency confirmation. I request that all factual and jurisdictional conclusions be based on the underlying authenticated records.

Respectfully submitted,

Kyle Robert Haab

657-557-4459

kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

FPPC Reference: COM-06192026-02541

Appendix A - Authorities and official sources

The following authorities are included for issue-spotting, jurisdiction, preservation, and referral. Current text and application remain for the receiving authority and counsel.

- **Political Reform Act:** Government Code §§ 81000-91014; FPPC enforcement overview.
- **Government Code § 83115:** FPPC investigation of possible violations upon sworn complaint or on its own initiative.
- **Government Code § 83116:** Probable-cause hearing authority and possible cease-and-desist, filing, and monetary orders.
- **Government Code § 1090:** Financial-interest prohibition concerning contracts made by covered public officers or employees in their official capacity.
- **Government Code § 12651:** California False Claims Act civil liability provisions for specified knowingly false claims and records.
- **Penal Code § 72:** False or fraudulent claim, bill, account, voucher, or writing presented for public allowance or payment with intent to defraud.
- **Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6:** Entry and enforcement of judgment pursuant to qualifying settlement terms.
- **Family Code §§ 3011 and 3020:** Best-interest factors, child safety, and frequent/continuing contact policy.
- **Family Code § 3151:** Duties and investigative authority of appointed counsel for a child.

Official sources:

- FPPC Enforcement
- FPPC Political Reform Act overview
- FPPC Section 1090 overview
- California Legislative Information

PART II
DETAILED FPPC V3 PACKET

Decision-specific analysis, governance addendum, property-address/COFO addendum, and selected exhibits

DETAILED SUPPLEMENTAL ENFORCEMENT SUBMISSION

Decision-Specific FPPC Jurisdiction Analysis and Selected Source Exhibits

Complaint Reference: COM-06192026-02541

Submitted by	Kyle Robert Haab
Date	July 8, 2026
Primary contract lane	CFCI-PA3-056-2023 - Amity Foundation / CRCD / JCOD
Principal conflict-screening subject	Mark Wilson - CRCD President & CEO; official City profile identifies a commissioner/secretary role
Related court matters	26CMUD00417; 25CMFL00694
Prior controlled packet	2,838-page FPPC all-source packet submitted July 3, 2026
Purpose	Provide a self-contained, exhibit-focused supplement that isolates the governmental decision, official role, private economic interest, material effect, and missing participation/disclosure records.

Evidence boundary. This packet requests element-by-element FPPC review. It does not assert that any identified person has committed a crime or violated the Political Reform Act or Government Code section 1090. Official records and native source documents control over summaries. Housing, unlawful-detainer, family-law, false-claims, and criminal issues are included only to establish materiality, source-record need, or appropriate referral boundaries.

CONFIDENTIAL / CONTROLLED - NOT A PUBLIC-FILING SET

To: Katelyn Rader and FPPC Enforcement Division

Re: Expanded supplement and selected exhibits - COM-06192026-02541

Subject: CFCI-PA3-056-2023, CRCD public/private role overlap, participant-specific rental-assistance transaction, and downstream court consequences

Dear Ms. Rader:

This submission expands the five-page update transmitted earlier on July 8, 2026. It is designed to let FPPC counsel assess the actual jurisdictional theory without searching the 2,838-page source packet. The selected exhibits are limited to the official role, contract, signature, public-funding, financial-interest, participant-transaction, court-status, and housing-source records most directly relevant to the screening inquiry.

1. Executive summary

One-sentence issue. Official City material identifies Mark Wilson as simultaneously holding a City commissioner/secretary role and serving as CRCD President and CEO; Wilson signed the Amity-CRCD contract CFCI-PA3-056-2023 in his CRCD capacity; JCOD confirms participant-specific CFCI rental assistance under that contract; CRCD tax records identify compensation and related-entity economic interests; the missing question is whether Wilson or another covered public official made, participated in, influenced, monitored, modified, reimbursed, or protected a governmental decision affecting those private interests without required disclosure or recusal.

Element	Present source	What it supports	What remains missing
Public role	Official City profile source sheet	Public/private role overlap requiring historical appointment and authority review	Certified appointment, term dates, body, duties, designated-position status
Government contract	Executed CFCI-PA3-056-2023 agreement	Specific public-funds contract lane; CRCD is named grantee; Wilson signed for CRCD	County approval chain, award recommendation, public decision makers, amendments
Participation	Contract identifies Wilson as CRCD notice contact and signatory	Private-side participation is established	Any public-side vote, advice, recommendation, influence, negotiation, monitoring, ratification, or recusal
Economic interest	CRCD Form 990 and Schedule L	Compensation; 40% CRCD Partners LLC interest; loans and rent transaction	Applicable Form 700 schedules and decision-specific materiality analysis
Participant-specific effect	JCOD response and lease/verification records	CFCI rental assistance was used for this household and transaction	Complete ledger, approvals, invoices, reimbursement, monitoring, consent, and correction records
Downstream materiality	UD and family dockets; City housing records	Shows the practical consequence and urgency of accurate contract/decision records	Does not independently create FPPC jurisdiction or prove a conflict

2. Why the matter warrants FPPC screening

Government Code section 1090 lane. FPPC guidance explains that section 1090 prohibits state and local officers or employees from participating in making government contracts in which they have a financial interest, and that contract making may reach beyond final signature to planning, negotiation, recommendation, and approval. Exhibit B establishes a specific government-funded contract and Exhibit A identifies the public/private role overlap. Exhibits D and B establish private economic and contract interests. The still-missing element is public-side participation in the County or related City decision.

Political Reform Act conflict lane. Under the Act, the relevant inquiry is whether a public official made, participated in, or used an official position to influence a governmental decision with a reasonably foreseeable and material financial effect on the official's financial interests. The records support a targeted search for Form 700, conflict-code, disclosure, recusal, advice, calendar, meeting, and communication records. They do not establish the result of that inquiry.

Form 700 and conflict-code lane. FPPC states that local agencies must identify designated positions in a conflict-of-interest code and that officials who make or participate in decisions file Statements of Economic Interests. The official City role, dates, disclosure categories, filings, and amendments should be verified rather than inferred from a webpage.

Section 84308 lane - conditional only. No present exhibit establishes a covered contribution or proceeding. Campaign contribution, agent, party, participant, disclosure, recusal, and return-of-contribution records should be

reviewed only if official contribution or proceeding records create that nexus. This packet does not affirmatively allege a pay-to-play violation.

Jurisdiction limit. FPPC does not become the forum for landlord-tenant liability, building-code compliance, custody, visitation, false claims, document falsification, or general misuse of public funds merely because those issues appear in the same chronology. Those issues are included to show economic materiality, participant-specific consequences, source-record gaps, and possible referral needs.

3. The specific governmental decision and contract chain

1. Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors approved CFCI funding allocations, according to JCOD's written response.
2. JCOD administers CFCI and used Amity Foundation as third-party administrator.
3. Amity entered into Contract CFCI-PA3-056-2023 with CRCD. The agreement recites County funding and requires written approval, invoices, reporting, record retention, audit access, and public-record handling.
4. Mark Wilson signed the contract as CRCD President and CEO on February 22, 2023 and was listed as CRCD's notice contact.
5. JCOD later confirmed that CFCI funds were used for rental assistance for Kyle Dietz/Haab between August 2024 and June 2025; CRCD used nine months at $1,000 per month; the assistance was categorized as Program Area 3 / Youth Housing; and Amity oversaw administration through CRCD.
6. The lease and landlord-verification documents identify a $2,000 rental transaction at 1551 3/4 E. 106th Street. The complete payment, approval, reimbursement, monitoring, consent, and ledger chain remains incomplete.

Decision points requiring identification. The contract lane contains several distinct governmental decisions that may have different officials and different conflict rules: allocation, solicitation, scoring, award, approval, amendment, budget approval, reimbursement, monitoring, corrective action, waiver, extension, closeout, complaint handling, records response, and recovery of questioned costs. FPPC counsel should not assume that the same person made every decision.

4. Public role, private role, and economic-interest evidence

Public role evidence. Exhibit A is a controlled source sheet for an official City webpage identifying Mark Wilson as a City secretary/commissioner while also stating that he serves as CRCD President and CEO. The record supports verification of the exact commission, appointment, tenure, duties, decision authority, conflict code, and filing obligations. It does not prove participation in the County CFCI decision.

Private contract participation. Exhibit B shows that Wilson was CRCD's named notice contact and signed the Amity-CRCD agreement for CRCD. This establishes private-side contract participation. It does not establish that he acted in a public capacity on the contract.

Economic interests. Exhibit D shows CRCD reportable compensation to Wilson and a Schedule L disclosure identifying Wilson as a 40% partner in CRCD Partners LLC, related loans, and a $248,320 rent transaction. These records support a financial-interest inquiry. They do not prove that any particular governmental decision materially affected those interests.

5. Participant-specific transaction and material effect

Why this is not merely an abstract contract. Exhibit C ties the CFCI contract to a participant-specific rental-assistance transaction. Exhibit E ties the transaction to a particular unit, rent amount, landlord-verification process, and housing-assistance application. The records therefore support tracing actual payments, approvals, reporting, monitoring, and whether the transaction was represented consistently to the participant, landlord, County, and courts.

- Month-by-month payments, credits, reversals, and payee records;
- Invoices and reimbursement submissions from CRCD to Amity and from Amity to JCOD or the County;
- Written approval for rental assistance and any exception, amendment, or phase-out;
- Participant eligibility, intake, consent, release-of-information, privacy, household, and family-status records;
- Grant reporting, outcomes, audit logs, corrective-action records, and questioned-cost review;
- Communications identifying who approved, monitored, modified, suspended, or closed the participant-specific assistance;
- Any records showing whether a covered public official advised, influenced, intervened in, or was screened from the matter.

6. Unlawful-detainer, unit, and family-law consequences

Unlawful-detainer. Exhibit F shows that 26CMUD00417 ended by stipulated judgment before trial on July 7, 2026. The court disposition establishes the procedural outcome, not the complete public-funding or conflict record. The signed settlement controls its own scope.

Unit identity and City enforcement. Exhibit G confirms a July 18, 2024 Certificate of Occupancy for a 380-square-foot garage-conversion ADU and later LADBS/LAHD findings involving the main residence, second-floor kitchen, breezeway/laundry enclosure, electrical/plumbing work, and Unit 3/4 safety or maintenance issues. These records are relevant to what was sourced, monitored, reimbursed, and represented under the funded placement. They are not proof of an FPPC conflict.

Family-law. Exhibit H shows the active family-law matter, children's counsel, and the July 29 custody/visitation hearing. Housing instability affects transportation, visitation logistics, family-service eligibility, and the practical reunification pathway. This explains urgency and materiality; it does not ask FPPC to decide custody.

7. Key contradiction and reconciliation matrix

Issue	Record 1	Record 2	Required reconciliation
Funding description	JCOD: CFCI funds, PA-3 Youth Housing, Amity oversight, 9 months at $1,000	Other CRCD descriptions referenced private support or different payment structures	Official ledger, source-by-month, invoices, approvals, amendments, participant notice
Program role	CRCD/Amity contract authorizes grant services, reporting, audit, records, and rental-assistance budget	Later institutional statements minimized or separated housing placement from PTP	Scope of work, actual charged cost, program coding, monitoring, complaint and corrective-action files
Public/private role	Official City source identifies commissioner/secretary role and CRCD leadership	No current exhibit proves public-side participation in CFCI award or administration	Appointment, calendars, communications, votes, advice, recusals, conflict screening
Economic interest	Form 990 compensation and Schedule L related-entity interests	No current exhibit links those interests to a specific government decision	Form 700, source-of-income and investment schedules, materiality and nexus analysis
Housing consequence	Lease, UD, and City enforcement show participant-specific consequences	Those consequences do not themselves prove a conflict	Use only to establish materiality, transaction trace, preservation, and referral

8. Requested FPPC action

7. Associate this expanded submission and exhibits with COM-06192026-02541.
8. Confirm whether the active screening concerns Government Code section 1090, Political Reform Act conflicts, Form 700/conflict-code compliance, section 84308, or another identified FPPC provision.
9. Identify the exact remaining deficiency, if any: public-office proof, governmental decision, participation, financial interest, material effect, disclosure/recusal, limitations, or jurisdiction.
10. Preserve the submitted source records and the prior 2,838-page packet without requiring duplicate resubmission.
11. Obtain or request the official records listed below where FPPC has authority, or identify the proper custodian and acceptable procedure for a targeted supplement.
12. Separate non-FPPC issues for appropriate referral without treating that referral as a merits resolution of the FPPC conflict lane.
13. Provide written confirmation that the July 7-8 telephone and email update has been associated with the pending attorney review.

9. Priority official records

City public-role records

- Appointment, oath, confirmation, term dates, commission/body name, duties, committees, calendars, agendas, minutes, votes, recusals, ethics advice, and conflict-of-interest code
- All Form 700 filings and amendments for the relevant period, subject to lawful access and redaction

County / JCOD / Amity contract records

- Board allocation and spending-plan records; solicitation, scoring, award recommendation, approval, amendments, budget, and closeout
- Contract monitoring, audit, invoices, reimbursement, payment, questioned-cost, corrective-action, and participant-level rental-assistance records
- Communications involving Wilson, CRCD, Amity, JCOD, County officials, and any City body relevant to the contract

CRCD financial and related-entity records

- Documents sufficient to explain the decision-specific effect on CRCD, Wilson compensation, CRCD Partners LLC, related loans, rents, property, or other reportable interests
- Disclosure, recusal, conflict-screening, and independent-review records maintained by CRCD or the public agencies

Participant transaction records

- Lease-version chain, landlord verification, payment approvals, ledger, invoices, W-9/ACH processing history with sensitive fields redacted, consent/ROI, eligibility, data access, and monitoring records

10. Allegation and referral boundaries

- The packet does not allege that holding two positions is unlawful by itself.
- The packet does not allege that signing the contract in a private capacity proves public-side participation.
- The packet does not allege that compensation or a related-party transaction proves a disqualifying interest in every decision.
- The packet does not allege that missing, delayed, or contradictory records establish intent, fraud, perjury, conspiracy, or records destruction.
- The packet does not ask FPPC to adjudicate the lease, unlawful-detainer settlement, unit legality, custody, visitation, or criminal liability.
- The packet requests referral only where a non-FPPC issue is apparent and preserves the need for a written FPPC jurisdictional determination.

11. Selected exhibit index

Exhibit	Document	FPPC relevance	Limitation
A	Official City Mark Wilson role source sheet	Public/private role overlap	Does not prove decision-specific participation
B	Amity-CRCD Contract CFCI-PA3-056-2023 - selected pages	Specific contract; Wilson signatory; reimbursement, records, audit, scope and budget	Private-side signature is not public-side action
C	JCOD March 13, 2026 funding confirmation	Participant-specific CFCI funding, PA-3 Youth Housing, Amity oversight, nine months at $1,000	Does not establish conflict or complete accounting
D	CRCD 2023 Form 990 compensation and Schedule L	Potential private economic interests for decision-specific analysis	Does not show material effect or nonrecusal
E	Lease and landlord-verification pages	Transaction, address, rent amount, housing-assistance context	Not a complete payment or assent determination
F	Unlawful-detainer court register excerpt	Procedural outcome and material consequence	Does not resolve FPPC issues
G	Selected LADBS/LAHD source records	What was approved, cited, and physically at issue in the funded placement	Housing enforcement is outside FPPC merits jurisdiction
H	Family-law docket excerpt	Current child-centered urgency and material consequence	Does not create FPPC jurisdiction

Prior packet cross-reference. The July 3 controlled packet remains the complete source repository. This supplement intentionally avoids resending thousands of pages. Relevant prior pages include the decision-specific jurisdiction guide at pages 1-12 and the full source manifest at pages 2,837-2,838. The selected exhibits below are the documents most necessary for immediate FPPC screening.

12. Authorities and official guidance

- Government Code section 1090; FPPC, Section 1090 and Quick Guide to Section 1090.
- Government Code section 87100 and implementing FPPC conflict-of-interest rules.
- Government Code section 84308 and FPPC Pay-to-Play Limits and Prohibitions - cited conditionally only.
- FPPC, Conflict of Interest Code Rules and Form 700 guidance.
- FPPC, File a Complaint; Enforcement Process; Regulation 18360.

Official source links: https://www.fppc.ca.gov/learn/section-1090/ ; https://www.fppc.ca.gov/learn/conflicts-of-interest-rules/ ; https://www.fppc.ca.gov/filing-officers/conflict-of-interest-code-rules/ ; https://www.fppc.ca.gov/form-700/ ; https://www.fppc.ca.gov/enforcement/file-a-complaint/ .

13. Submission certification and contact

I certify that this submission is made in good faith as a focused supplement to the pending FPPC review. Where a fact is based on an agency record, court register, official webpage, contract, tax filing, or copied communication, the exhibit is identified. Where proof remains incomplete, the gap is expressly stated. I request an element-specific response rather than an inference that the broader housing or family dispute is itself an FPPC violation.

Kyle Robert Haab
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

EXHIBIT A

Official City Mark Wilson Role Source Sheet

CONTROL PURPOSE

Official City source identifies a public commissioner/secretary role and CRCD President/CEO role; establishes role overlap requiring appointment and conflict-code verification.

SOURCE CONTROL

Source file: FPPC_COM-06192026-02541_ULTRA_DETAILED_ALL_SOURCE_CONTROLLED_July3_2026.pdf
Source pages: 58
SHA-256 prefix: c02583f9cd778021

USE LIMITATION

Supports the stated proposition only. It is not an independent finding of an FPPC violation or crime.

City of Los Angeles Profile - Mark Wilson, Secretary / Commissioner

Classification: Tier 1 - official City source

PUBLIC-REDACTED | NATIVE SOURCE CONTROLS

Preserved file: Mark Wilson _ Civil + Human Rights and Equity Department.mht

Captured: Fri, 12 Jun 2026 18:53:24 -0700

Source URL: https://civilandhumanrights.lacity.gov/mark-wilson

SHA-256: b34bd3bcc1c9d2b38462e8e4f462c14b67408848f2fd240e74498db05a131d6c

Source proposition

The City page identifies Mark Wilson as Secretary and Commissioner and states that he is CRCD's President and CEO.

Relevant preserved text / concise excerpt

"Mark Wilson is the Executive Director of the Coalition for Responsible Community Development (CRCD), who currently serves as the agency's President and CEO."

Use / limitation

Direct public/private role overlap. It does not establish participation in a particular governmental decision, a disqualifying financial interest, nonrecusal, or improper influence.

Native-source preservation: the uploaded MHT archive is embedded in the final PDF as an attachment. The source sheet is an index and relevance control, not a substitute for the native archive.

EXHIBIT B

Amity-CRCD Contract CFCI-PA3-056-2023 - Selected Pages

CONTROL PURPOSE

Specific government-funded contract lane; County-funding recitals; written approval, invoices, public-record and audit provisions; Mark Wilson notice contact and CRCD signatory; scope and budget.

SOURCE CONTROL

Source file: FPPC_COM-06192026-02541_ULTRA_DETAILED_ALL_SOURCE_CONTROLLED_July3_2026.pdf
Source pages: 87,88,89,90,91,92,93,94,95,96,97,98,99,100
SHA-256 prefix: c02583f9cd778021

USE LIMITATION

Supports the stated proposition only. It is not an independent finding of an FPPC violation or crime.

DocuSign Envelope ID: A4464DE1-6BF1-4740-8CEB-2BD93B6AA7FA

CONTRACT BY AND BETWEEN

EPIDAURUS DBA AMITY FOUNDATION

AND

Coalition for Responsible Community Development

For Los Angeles County Grant Services

Contract Number: CFCI - PA3 - 056 - 2023

DocuSign Envelope ID: A4464DE1-6BF1-4740-8CEB-2BD93B6AA7FA

AGREEMENT BETWEEN

EPIDAURUS DBA AMITY FOUNDATION

AND

Coalition for Responsible Community Development

FOR

GRANT SERVICES LOCATED IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY

This Contract (hereafter referred to as "Agreement" or "Contract") is entered into by and between Epidaurus DBA Amity Foundation (hereafter referred to as "TPA") and Coalition for Responsible Community Development (hereafter referred to as "Grantee" or "Contractor") to provide Grant Services.

RECITALS

WHEREAS, the County of Los Angeles' Board of Supervisors desired to use locally generated unrestricted revenue to invest in communities and alternatives to incarceration to address the impact of injustice---in particular within the criminal justice systems; and

WHEREAS, on March 8, 2022, Los Angeles County (hereafter "County") entered into a contract with TPA to administer County Funds to nonprofit and community-based organizations or other classes of providers;

WHEREAS, TPA now enters into an Agreement with Grantee to provide services in Los Angeles County;

WHEREAS, Grantee is an entity capable of delivering services in conformance with the requirements set forth in this Agreement.

NOW THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual covenants contained herein, and for good and valuable consideration, the parties agree to the following:

1.0 WORK

1.1 Pursuant to the provisions of this Agreement, Grantee is solely responsible for the project activities as identified in the Grantee Proposal. Review and approval by the TPA is solely for the purpose of proper administration and shall not be deemed to relieve or restrict the Grantee's responsibility.

DocuSign Envelope ID: A4464DE1-6BF1-4740-8CEB-2BD93B6AA7FA

5.2 Written Approval for Reimbursement and Assumption

Grantee shall not be entitled to payment or reimbursement for any tasks or services performed, nor for any incidental or administrative expenses whatsoever incurred in or incidental to performance hereunder, except as approved in writing and is based upon Grantee performing on all required reporting. Grantee shall not be entitled to any reimbursement for any tasks or services performed, nor for any incidental or administrative expenses whatsoever incurred before complete execution of this Agreement.

If funding is not fully expended by Grantee in accordance with the Budget as set forth in Exhibit C during the term of this Agreement, Grantee will disclose this in the Quarterly Reporting, and the TPA shall make a determination about such funds upon receipt of such information, including whether the Grantee shall be required to return any remaining funding to the TPA. Grantee may not reallocate any funds and may not spend or retain any unspent funds after Agreement end date or termination notice without prior written approval from TPA.

5.3 Invoices and Payments

Grantee shall invoice TPA quarterly for providing the tasks, deliverables, goods, services, and other work specified in Exhibit A (Scope of Work) and elsewhere hereunder. Grantee's invoice shall conform to TPA requirements and shall be consistent with the Grantee Payment Schedule as outlined in Exhibit B (Compensation Schedule). If Grantee does not complete required trainings, data reporting, quarterly reporting, submit to budget reviews, or other requirements as outlined in this agreement or determined by TPA, TPA may, at TPA's discretion, increase invoice frequency, increase budget or data reporting requirements, and withhold or reduce payment until Grantee complies with all requirements outlined within this Agreement.

All invoices rendered under this Agreement shall be sent directly to Epidaurus DBA Amity Foundation. Invoices shall include the Agreement Number and Invoice or Purchase Order Number. Unless otherwise directed by TPA, invoices shall not be submitted more frequently than quarterly in arrears, consistent with Exhibit B (Compensation Schedule) before the 5th of the following month in which the previous quarter ended to the address listed below:

DocuSign Envelope ID: A4464DE1-6BF1-4740-8CEB-2BD93B6AA7FA

To Subcontractor:

Coalition for Responsible Community Development
Attn: Mark Wilson
3101 South Grand Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90007

mwilson@coalitionrcd.org

Instructions or notices from Agency are effective upon receipt, whether Grantee acknowledges through signature, via reply, or the absence of either.

8.18 Public Records Act

8.18.1 Any documents submitted by Grantee; all information obtained in connection with TPA's right to audit and inspect Grantee's documents, books, and accounting records pursuant to Paragraph 8.20 (Record Retention and Inspection-Audit Settlement) of this Agreement as well as those documents which were required to be submitted in response to the solicitation process used for this Agreement, if applicable, become the exclusive property of TPA. Unless an exception exists, all such documents or information forwarded to County, in whole or in part, become a matter of public record and shall be regarded as public records. Exceptions are governed by the California Government Code Section 6250 et seq. (Public Records Act) and which are marked "trade secret", "confidential", or "proprietary". TPA shall not in any way be liable or responsible for the disclosure of any such records including, without limitation, those so marked, if disclosure is required by law, or by an order issued by a court of competent jurisdiction. Grantee shall not directly or indirectly submit any records to County without the prior, express written approval of TPA.

8.18.2 In the event TPA or County is required to defend an action on a Public Records Act request for any of the aforementioned documents, information, books, records, and/or contents of a proposal marked "trade secret", "confidential", or "proprietary", Grantee agrees to defend and indemnify TPA and County from all costs and expenses, including reasonable attorney's fees, in action or liability arising under the Public Records Act.

DocuSign Envelope ID: A4464DE1-6BF1-4740-8CEB-2BD93B6AA7FA

8.19 Publicity

8.19.1 Grantee shall not disclose any details in connection with this Agreement to any person or entity except as may be otherwise provided hereunder or required by law. However, in recognizing Grantee's need to identify its services and related clients to sustain itself, TPA shall not inhibit Grantee from publishing its role under this Agreement within the following conditions:

8.19.1.1 Grantee shall develop all publicity material in a professional manner; and

8.19.1.2 During the term of this Agreement, Grantee shall not, and shall not authorize another to, publish or disseminate any commercial advertisements, press releases, feature articles, or other materials using the name of TPA or County without the prior written consent of the TPA. TPA shall not unreasonably withhold written consent.

8.19.2 Grantee may, without the prior written consent of TPA, indicate in its proposals and sales materials that it has been awarded this Agreement with TPA, provided that the requirements of this Paragraph 8.19 (Publicity) shall apply.

8.20 Record Retention and Inspection-Audit Settlement

8.20.1 Grantee shall maintain accurate and complete financial records of its activities and operations relating to this Agreement in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Grantee shall also maintain accurate and complete employment and other records relating to its performance of this Agreement. Grantee agrees that the TPA, County, or its authorized representatives, shall have access to and the right to examine, audit, excerpt, copy, or transcribe any pertinent transaction, activity, or record relating to this Agreement. All such material, including, but not limited to, all financial records, bank statements, cancelled checks or other proof of payment, timecards, sign-in/sign-out sheets and other time and employment records, and proprietary data and information, shall be kept and maintained by Grantee and shall be made available to the TPA or County during the term of this Agreement and for a period of five (5) years thereafter unless the TPA's written permission is given to dispose of any such material prior to such time. All such material shall be maintained by Grantee at a location in Los Angeles County, provided that if any such material is located outside Los Angeles County, then, at TPA's option, Grantee shall pay TPA, its designee, or authorized representative for travel, per diem, and other costs incurred by TPA, its designee, or authorized representative to

DocuSign Envelope ID: A4464DE1-6BF1-4740-8CEB-2BD93B6AA7FA

examine, audit, excerpt, copy, or transcribe such material at such other location.

8.20.2 In the event that an audit of Grantee is conducted specifically regarding this Agreement by any Federal or State auditor, or by any auditor or accountant employed by Grantee or otherwise, then Grantee shall file a copy of such audit report with TPA (send to TPAGrants@Amityfdn.org) within thirty (30) days of Contractor's receipt thereof, unless otherwise provided by applicable Federal or State law or under this Agreement. Subject to applicable law, TPA shall make a reasonable effort to maintain the confidentiality of such audit report(s). Failure on the part of Grantee to comply with any of the provisions of this Paragraph 8.20 (Record Retention and Inspection-Audit Settlement) shall constitute a material breach of this Agreement upon which TPA may terminate or suspend this Agreement.

8.20.3 If, at any time during the term of this Agreement or within five (5) years after the expiration or termination of this Agreement, representatives of TPA or County conduct an audit of Grantee regarding the work performed under this Agreement, and if such audit finds that TPA's dollar liability for any such work is less than payments made by TPA to Grantee, then the difference shall be either: a) repaid by Grantee to TPA, or TPA designee, by cash payment upon demand or b) at the sole option of TPA, deducted from any amounts due to Grantee from TPA, whether under this Agreement or otherwise. If such audit finds that TPA's dollar liability for such work is more than the payments made by TPA to Grantee, then the difference shall be paid to Grantee by TPA by cash payment, provided that in no event shall the TPA's maximum obligation for this Agreement exceed the funds appropriated by TPA for the purpose of this Agreement.

8.20.4 The requirements of this Paragraph 8.20 (Record Retention and Inspection-Audit Settlement) shall also apply to Subcontractors of Grantee. Grantee shall include provisions in its agreements with its Subcontractors requiring that they comply with Paragraph 8.20.

8.21 Subcontracting

8.21.1 The requirements of this Agreement may not be subcontracted by Grantee **without the advance approval of TPA**. Any attempt by Grantee to subcontract without the prior consent of TPA may be deemed a material breach of this Agreement.

8.21.1.1 If Grantee desires to subcontract, Grantee shall provide the following information at TPA's request:

DocuSign Envelope ID: A4464DE1-6BF1-4740-8CEB-2BD93B6AA7FA

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, TPA has caused this Agreement to be executed by its Chief Executive Officer or designee. Grantee has caused this Agreement to be executed by its duly authorized representative.

Coalition for Responsible Community Development		**Epidaurus dba Amity Foundation**	
Signature		Signature 	
Name:	Mark Wilson	Name:	Doug Bond
Title:	President & CEO	Title:	President & CEO
Date:	2/22/2023	Date:	2/24/2023

DocuSign Envelope ID: A4464DE1-6BF1-4740-8CEB-2BD93B6AA7FA

EXHIBIT A

SCOPE OF WORK

Coalition for Responsible Community Development "CRCD"

Geographic Area Served:

Zip codes:	90011, 90007, 90037, 90044, 90047, 90003, 90002, 90061, 90059, 90222, 90262, 90221, 90220
Service Planning Area:	SPA 6 - South Los Angeles
Supervisorial District:	District 1 – Hilda L. Solis District 2 – Holly J. Mitchell District 4 – Janice Hahn

Current Services Provided:

CRCD's direct services provide education, re-entry services, leadership development with a focus on workforce development, occupational training, and job placement for youth and young adults. For twelve years, the Coalition has served as lead agency to operate South LA YouthBuild – a construction-sector workforce development program for at-risk youth and young adults aged 18-24. The Coalition's Financial Opportunity Center offers financial literacy and coaching, with special outreach to individuals newly released from incarceration. For the past 8 years, the Coalition has operated a WorkSource Center on the LATTC campus, with a focus on vulnerable populations such as individuals reentering the community after incarceration/detention and foster youth. CRCD has also operated a YouthSource Center for the past five years and was recently awarded a contract to operate a BusinessSource Center to assist small businesses and entrepreneurs. CRCD's Housing Support Services Department is focused on helping young people, ages 18-24, obtain safe and stable housing by providing on-site support services at six of our permanent supportive housing developments in South LA that house individuals previously un-housed. Many of our residents are reintegrating into the community from institutions, such as youth involved with the child welfare system, residents who receive services from the Los Angeles County Department of Mental Health; and those who are under the jurisdiction of LA County Probation. Further, the Coalition operates a social enterprise, CRCD Enterprises, a general contractor which provided jobs to over 170 youth in the last three years, with a focus on proactively recruiting the youth reentry population.

How Organization Engages Diverse Participants:

CRCD leadership and staff are experienced in designing culturally appropriate outreach, programs and services to meet the needs of a participant base where 70% are Latino; 30% Black or African American; and approximately 60% are male, 40% female. The CRCD staff team is reflective of the community served; staff diversity is one of CRCD's strengths. Among CRCD staff, 91% are people of color (44% Latino, 44% Black, 2% Asian, 9% White); 40% are current/former residents of South LA. Executive Director Mark Wilson, who is Black, was born & raised in South LA; 100% of executive staff are people of color (two men, one woman). All staff are age 18-64; 40% are male, 60% female. The staff includes individuals with first-hand experience with trauma, the justice system, poverty, inequity, and related challenges. The agency implements culturally responsive outreach and retention practices based on the principle of cultural humility and deep-rooted knowledge and respect for the intersectional diversity of South Los Angeles. This is reflected in CRCD's highly successful engagement, retention and completion of the youth enrolled in its many programs.

What Grant Will Do For Organization:

CRCD is requesting funds to support Project Tipping Point (PTP), a foster youth student support and success program to address persistent and widespread challenges in postsecondary education. Less than 3% of foster youth graduate from college according to the National Foster Youth Institute. To address this, Project Tipping Point is grounded in an innovative partnership between CRCD and Los Angeles Trade Technical College. CRCD employs a team of College Navigators to provide high touch case management and wrap-around support services. We use a lower staff-to-student ratio than

DocuSign Envelope ID: A4464DE1-6BF1-4740-8CEB-2BD93B6AA7FA

traditional college programs to better address foster youth's complex barriers to postsecondary education. The College provides postsecondary courses aligned with career pathways in high growth sectors in the Los Angeles region. Pathways of study in PTP include advanced transportation and manufacturing, child development, construction/maintenance/utilities, cosmetology, culinary arts, design and media arts, and liberal arts. Transition age youth who were in foster care are at a high risk for homelessness. About 66% of the youth between the ages of 18 to 24 who were experiencing homelessness in 2020 had prior foster care system involvement and 54% had been in a residential foster care facility. Project Tipping Point staff report that the biggest challenge for our PTP foster youth that creates barriers to their education is housing stability. CRCD will use these funds to provide rental subsidies to student enrolled in PTP at three colleges, LATTC, Southwest College and Compton Community College.

Community Impact:
The overall goal of Project Tipping Point is to provide supportive services to former foster youth and assist them in successfully launching careers as young adults as part of their journey to healthy and productive lives. These funds will specifically address the need to provide financial literacy, housing support and rental assistance, which is very often a barrier to the achievement of this goal.
The project will provide rental assistance and housing stability services to PTP students. The PTP participants will have increased access to affordable and stable housing in their community. This will allow them to focus on their academic and career goals and successfully earn a certificate or a degree for their respective colleges, and also to secure employment that will pay a living wage and will allow them to continue to be stably housed. The PTP participants will be encouraged to participate and to the degree possible, have choices in their housing options and locations, based on availability. The Rental Assistance Case Manager that will be hired with these funds will assist with the placement and tracking of the youth.

Program Summary:
Requesting funds to support Project Tipping Point (PTP), a foster youth student support and success program to address persistent and widespread challenges in postsecondary education where less than 3% of foster youth graduate from college. A lower staff-to-student ratio better addresses foster youth's complex barriers to postsecondary education. Postsecondary courses are aligned with career pathways in high growth sectors in the Los Angeles region. Pathways of study in PTP include advanced transportation and manufacturing, child development, construction/maintenance/utilities, cosmetology, culinary arts, design and media arts, and liberal arts. CRCD will use these funds to provide rental subsidies to student enrolled in PTP at three colleges, LATTC, Southwest College and Compton Community College. The overall goal being the need to provide financial literacy, housing support and rental assistance, which is very often a barrier to the achievement of this goal.

This scope of work and yearly milestones are subject to modifications including budget restrictions and availability as directed by the funding source throughout the life of this contract.

DocuSign Envelope ID: A4464DE1-6BF1-4740-8CEB-2BD93B6AA7FA

ADDITIONAL GRANT REQUIREMENTS

Data and Information Submissions

No less than weekly by the close of business Tuesday for the preceding week, the Grantee shall collect, assess, and report participant data as requested or required by the TPA, and as outlined in Exhibit A-2 and as otherwise designated in this agreement. Exceptions or adjustments to the required data submissions must be pre-approved, in writing, by TPA. Any exceptions or modifications to the required data-submissions will be time-limited and shall be subject to change, with no less than 7 calendar days notice, at the direction of the TPA.

Grantee shall obtain appropriate authorizations for Release of Information from program participants/clients to collect and share information with the TPA, the County, and the County's contractors for program administration and evaluation, data analysis and outcome tracking purposes. Grantee agrees to provide requested data submissions, which may be reasonably modified at the request of the TPA throughout the term of this Agreement.

For specific programs/strategies, TPA will require individual level data. Grantee shall also collect and share, as required by TPA, through protected, encrypted mechanisms individual-level participant data to ensure that TPA and County can assess the impact of these programs on a participant's interaction with other systems and improve coordination. This individual level data may include, but is not limited to:

1. Participant name
2. Participant date of birth
3. Participant booking number or last four digits of social security number
4. Program start and end date

Grantee, at all times, shall comply with all legal requirements, including without limitation, the confidentiality, data, HIPAA Rules and 42 CFR Part 2 requirements set forth in the Contract and any other applicable laws and regulations and shall require that Service Providers also comply with these requirements.

Service Provider Grant/Contract Requirement for Background Checks and Security Investigations

Grantee is responsible for conducting background checks and security investigations on their staff and volunteers, conducting their own due diligence, and hiring staff or engaging volunteers with lived experience based on Service Provider's established plans, procedures, and standards for conducting background checks.

For Grantee's who will have regular or direct contact with Youth, the elderly, the infirmed and other vulnerable populations, Grantee must verify if any staff or subcontractors have been included in any state or federal sexual offender registry and shall not use any such staff or subcontractors in programs serving these populations. Contractor may access records from the Megan's Law website (http://www.meganslaw.ca.gov/) to conduct a California state sexual offender registry check. For a national sexual offender registry search, Contractor may access the U.S. Department of Justice's website

DocuSign Envelope ID: A4464DE1-6BF1-4740-8CEB-2BD93B6AA7FA

(www.nsopw.gov) and/or the Federal Bureau of investigations website (www.fbi.gov/scams-and-safety/sex-offender-registry). Grantee shall include this process as part of any Subcontractor agreements.

Within the first quarter of grant execution and prior to any hiring for grant-related services, Grantee shall develop background check and security investigation plans making sure that adequate checks are to be conducted for those staff members and volunteers in sensitive positions which are to be identified in the plan. When Grantee's complete background checks and security investigations on staff and/or volunteers, TPA may request and retain an attestation from Grantee that staff member and volunteer in sensitive positions underwent and passed background checks and security investigations in accordance with Grantee's plan and that no staff or volunteers involved in funded programs are listed on any sexual offender registry. No staff member or volunteer in a sensitive position shall be permitted to performance services until such attestation is provided and approved by the TPA.

Program Monitoring

TPA shall, at their discretion, conduct administrative and programmatic reviews to ensure Grantee remains in compliance with the contract requirements. TPA shall formally document administrative and programmatic deficiencies or repeated failures by the Grantee. County shall be provided with a copy of TPA findings within two (2) business days of documenting deficiencies.

In the event administrative deficiencies are identified, Grantee, shall provide a Technical Assistance or Corrective Action Plan, including, but not limited to, budget, fiscal and programmatic record keeping as needed to meet Grantee obligations under its contract with TPA. The Technical Plan, at a minimum, shall include corrective action and a timeline for when Grantee will complete correction action. TPA shall provide County with a copy of the Technical Assistance Plan.

Any grantee failing to meet their administrative or programmatic requirements, including failure to complete or comply with technical assistance or corrective action plans shall be considered a material breach of this Agreement.

Grantee shall provide evidence of a complaint and resolution procedure including an attestation that such procedure includes applicable regulatory requirements and meets all local, State, and federal laws to TPA.

Additional Requirements

Grantee shall attend all required trainings, as outlined by TPA. Grantee shall actively respond and participate in any request check-in or performance evaluation meetings as determined by TPA.

DocuSign Envelope ID: A4464DE1-6BF1-4740-8CEB-2BD93B6AA7FA

COMPENSATION SCHEDULE

MAXIMUM AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED APPROVED GRANT BUDGET OUTLINED IN EXHIBIT C – GRANT BUDGET

GRANT PERIOD	PERCENTAGE OF ANNUAL AMOUNT	INVOICE DUE BY	REQUIREMENTS TO BE PAID (In Addition to Ongoing Data Requirements)
Activation Payment	25% of annual budget	March 1, 2023 or Upon Agreement Execution, whichever is later.	▪ Agreement, exhibits, and required documents fully executed. ▪ Grant Activation Report Completed and submitted.
Mar 1–May 31, 2023	25% of annual budget	Jun 5, 2023	▪ Grant Update Report Completed and submitted.
Jun 1–Aug 31, 2023	25% of annual budget	Sep 5, 2023	▪ Grant Update Report Completed and submitted.
Sep 1–Nov 30, 2023	25% of annual budget	Dec 5, 2023	▪ Grant Update Report Completed and submitted.
Dec 1–Feb 29, 2024	25% of annual budget	Mar 5, 2024	▪ Grant Update Report Completed and submitted.
Mar 1–May 31, 2024	25% of annual budget	Jun 5, 2024	▪ Grant Update Report Completed and submitted.
Jun 1–Aug 31, 2024	25% of annual budget	Sep 5, 2024	▪ Grant Update Report Completed and submitted.
Sep 1–Nov 30, 2024	25% of annual budget	Dec 5, 2024	▪ Grant Update Report Completed and submitted.
Dec 1–Feb 28, 2025	25% of annual budget	Mar 5, 2025	▪ Grant Update Report Completed and submitted.
Mar 1–May 31, 2025	25% of annual budget	Jun 5, 2025	▪ Grant Update Report Completed and submitted.
Jun 1–Aug 31, 2025	25% of annual budget	Sep 5, 2025	▪ Grant Update Report Completed and submitted.
Sep 1–Nov 30, 2025	15% of annual budget	Dec 5, 2025	▪ Grant Update Report Completed and submitted.
Dec 1 – Feb 28, 2026	10% of annual budget	March 15, 2026	▪ Grant Update Report Completed and submitted.

Exhibit B shall be subject to Section 5.3 of the Agreement

DocuSign Envelope ID: A4464DE1-6BF1-4740-8CEB-2BD93B6AA7FA

Project Title: **Coalition for Responsible Community Development CRCI** **EXHIBIT C - Budget**

Project Program Category: **Career/Education Pathway**

Program Area: **PA-3**

3-Year Total Amount for Entirety of Project: **$ 900,000.00**

Salaries (including benefits) - 12 months					
Position Title	**Monthly Salary FT**	**# Months**	**% FTE***	**Total Salaries**	**Why is this staff person required for your program / project? What will they do?**
Case Manager				$ 66,000.00	1 FTE will provide case management services to program participants.
Subtotal Salaries				**$ 66,000.00**	
Services & Supplies					
	Monthly Cost	**# Months**		**Total Services & Supplies**	**Why is this item needed for your program / project? What will it do?**
Communications (cell phones, etc.)				$ 600.00	Dedicated telephone for staff member.
Equipment (computer/printer)				$ 1,265.00	Dedicated computer for staff member.
Technical Support (IT)				$ 167.90	IT support as needed during program implementation.
Program/ Participant/ Client Supplies (different from Office Supplies)				$ 7,680.00	This will cover cost of school fees, books, transportation assistance, etc.
Rental Assistance				$ 186,000.00	Estimated cost of assistance related to rent & utilities.
Subtotal Operating Expenses				**$ 195,712.90**	
Project Management Costs Maximum 15% (can be less)				**$ 39,256.94**	
Total Budget Year 1				**$ 300,969.84**	

***Percentage of Full Time Employment--for instance, staff whose time is 100% dedicated to the project are 1 FTE; staff whose time is 80% dedicated are .8 FTE; and so on.**

Final budget subject to contract approval. Payments will not be disbursed until final contract execution.

Exhibit C Notes:

Re-budgeting across Budget Line Items or between Budget Periods is allowed, except where such re-budgeting would result in a change to the Purpose of the Grant. Notwithstanding the foregoing, however, Grantee must request prior written approval from TPA's assigned Grant Advocate for any re-budgeting above 10% for any given Budget Line Item or for any re-budgeting between Budget periods (i.e., contract budget years). Any approved budget modifications may impact your payment schedule, at the sole discretion of the TPA. TPA must be informed within ten (10) business days of any material change in Grantee's operating budget and expenses, including but not limited to material variations in executive compensation or unforeseen operating expenses.

DocuSign Envelope ID: A4464DE1-6BF1-4740-8CEB-2BD93B6AA7FA

Project Title: **Coalition for Responsible Community Development C** **EXHIBIT C - Budget**

Project Program Category: **Career/Education Pathway**

Program Area: **PA-3**

3-Year Total Amount for Entirety of Project: **$900,000.00**

Salaries (including benefits) - 12 months					
Position Title	**Monthly Salary FT (3 Yr Average)**	**# Months**	**% FTE***	**Total Salaries**	**Why is this staff person required for your program / project? What will they do?**
Case Manager				$ 198,000.00	1 FTE will provide case management services to program participants.
Subtotal Salaries				**$ 198,000.00**	
				22.00%	**% of Total Grant**
Services & Supplies					
	Monthly Cost	**# Months**		**Total Services & Supplies**	**Why is this item needed for your program / project? What will it do?**
Communications (cell phones, etc.)				$ 1,800.00	Dedicated telephone for staff member.
Equipment (computer/printer)				$ 1,265.00	Dedicated computer for staff member.
Technical Support (IT)				$ 503.70	IT support as needed during program implementation.
Program/ Participant/ Client Supplies (different from Office Supplies)				$ 23,040.00	This will cover cost of school fees, books, transportation assistance, etc.
Rental Assistance				$ 558,000.00	Estimated cost of assistance related to rent & utilities.
Subtotal Operating Expenses				**$ 584,608.70**	
				64.96%	**% of Total Grant**
Project Management Costs Maximum 15% (can be less)				**$ 117,391.30**	
				13.04%	**% of Total Grant**
Total 3-Year Budget				**$ 900,000.00**	

***Percentage of Full Time Employment--for instance, staff whose time is 100% dedicated to the project are 1 FTE; staff whose time is 80% dedicated are .8 FTE; and so on.**

Final budget subject to contract approval. Payments will not be disbursed until final contract execution.

Exhibit C Notes:

Re-budgeting across Budget Line Items or between Budget Periods is allowed, except where such re-budgeting would result in a change to the Purpose of the Grant. Notwithstanding the foregoing, however, Grantee must request prior written approval from TPA's assigned Grant Advocate for any re-budgeting above 10% for any given Budget Line Item or for any re-budgeting between Budget periods (i.e., contract budget years). Any approved budget modifications may impact your payment schedule, at the sole discretion of the TPA. TPA must be informed within ten (10) business days of any material change in Grantee's operating budget and expenses, including but not limited to material variations in executive compensation or unforeseen operating expenses.

EXHIBIT C

JCOD Participant-Specific CFCI Funding Confirmation

CONTROL PURPOSE

JCOD confirms CFCI rental assistance, Program Area 3 / Youth Housing, nine months at $1,000 per month, Amity oversight, and contract identifier.

SOURCE CONTROL

Source file: FPPC_COM-06192026-02541_ULTRA_DETAILED_ALL_SOURCE_CONTROLLED_July3_2026.pdf
Source pages: 2790,2791
SHA-256 prefix: c02583f9cd778021

USE LIMITATION

Supports the stated proposition only. It is not an independent finding of an FPPC violation or crime.

Cc: Sanford, Ahliyah <asanford@bos.lacounty.gov>, Escobedo, Marina <MEscobedo@bos.lacounty.gov>, Noyola, Claudia <CNoyola@bos.lacounty.gov>, Hills, LaJuannah <lhills@bos.lacounty.gov>, <complaints@doj.ca.gov>, <Caitlin.Noble@doj.ca.gov>, <Joseph.Zimring@doj.ca.gov>, <HollyJMitchell@bos.lacounty.gov>, <ceop@bos.lacounty.gov>, Alyssa Skolnick <askolnick@counsel.lacounty.gov>, <RSeeley@amityfdn.org>, Joann Sanchez <jsanchez@amityfdn.org>, Christopher Williams <cwilliams@lahsa.org>

---------- Forwarded message ---------
From: **JCOD PIO** <PIO@jcod.lacounty.gov>
Date: Fri, Mar 13, 2026, 5:10 PM
Subject: FW: PRA Request response Draft
To: kyle.dietz99@gmail.com <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Dear Mr. Haab,

JCOD staff have broken down your inquiry into questions, which have been answered below in purple. I have also attached all pertinent documents, including your PRA Request response letter.

*****

Relationship between LA County Board of Supervisors, CRCD, Amity Foundation, JCOD and CFCI:
The LA County Board of Supervisors approves funding allocations for CFCI to County departments and community organizations through a third-party administrator. LA County JCOD administers CFCI. Grant funds through CFCI are administered by JCOD via a third-party administrator, Amity Foundation. Amity Foundation has a grant agreement with CRCD using CFCI funds. Terms of the contract are March 1, 2023-June 30, 2027.

1. Were Care First Community Investment (CFCI) funds approved by the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors were used for rental assistance issued on my behalf between August 2024 and June 2025?
    1. CRCD representatives have provided differing statements regarding the source of these funds, including references to:
        i. Project Tipping Point being supported through private foundations
        ii. Amity Foundation serving as a third-party administrator of CFCI funds funded by the Board of Supervisors
        iii. CRCD directly paying 100% of rent

        CFCI funds were used for rental assistance between August 2024 and June 2025.

2. Were any CFCI funds were allocated to CRCD or Amity Foundation for rental assistance during the relevant period?

    CRCD's grant agreement with Amity Foundation includes rental assistance. CRCD used funds for 9 months of rental assistance at $1,000 per month consistent with the approved grant.

3. Was Kyle Dietz's rental assistance categorized under CFCI Housing Stability or any other CFCI allocation?

Kyle Dietz's rental assistance through CRCD was categorized under Program Area 3, Youth Housing. This was part of the CFCI Year 1 Spending Plan.

4. Was JCOD (administering department) or Amity (third-party administrator) responsible for the oversight of those rental assistance funds?

Amity Foundation oversaw the administration of rental assistance funds through CRCD.

5. The associated grant, contract, or allocation reference number, if applicable.
    1. Please request the grant, contract, or allocation reference number, if applicable, from Amity

Amity Foundation's contract with CRCD
Contract Number: CFCI - PA3 - 056 - 2023

Best,

Avi Bernard

Public Information Officer

Justice Care and Opportunities Department
abernard@jcod.lacounty.gov

jcod.lacounty.gov



EXHIBIT D

CRCD 2023 Form 990 Compensation and Schedule L

CONTROL PURPOSE

Potential private economic interests for decision-specific analysis: reportable compensation, CRCD Partners LLC interest, related loans, and rent transaction.

SOURCE CONTROL

Source file: FPPC_COM-06192026-02541_ULTRA_DETAILED_ALL_SOURCE_CONTROLLED_July3_2026.pdf
Source pages: 1957,2031
SHA-256 prefix: c02583f9cd778021

USE LIMITATION

Supports the stated proposition only. It is not an independent finding of an FPPC violation or crime.

Part VII **Compensation of Officers, Directors,Trustees, Key Employees, Highest Compensated Employees, and Independent Contractors**

Check if Schedule O contains a response or note to any line in this Part VII . . . . . ☐

Section A. Officers, Directors, Trustees, Key Employees, and Highest Compensated Employees

1a Complete this table for all persons required to be listed. Report compensation for the calendar year ending with or within the organization's tax year.

- List all of the organization's **current** officers, directors, trustees (whether individuals or organizations), regardless of amount of compensation. Enter -0- in columns (D), (E), and (F) if no compensation was paid.
- List all of the organization's **current** key employees, if any. See the instructions for definition of "key employee."
- List the organization's five **current** highest compensated employees (other than an officer, director, trustee or key employee) who received reportable compensation (box 5 of Form W-2, box 6 of Form 1099-MISC, and/or box 1 of Form 1099-NEC) of more than $100,000 from the organization and any related organizations.
- List all of the organization's **former** officers, key employees, or highest compensated employees who received more than $100,000 of reportable compensation from the organization and any related organizations.
- List all of the organization's **former directors or trustees** that received, in the capacity as a former director or trustee of the organization, more than $10,000 of reportable compensation from the organization and any related organizations.

See the instructions for the order in which to list the persons above.

☐ Check this box if neither the organization nor any related organization compensated any current officer, director, or trustee.

(A) Name and title	(B) Average hours per week (list any hours for related organizations below dotted line)	(C) Position (do not check more than one box, unless person is both an officer and a director/trustee)					(D) Reportable compensation from the organization (W-2/1099-MISC/1099-NEC)	(E) Reportable compensation from related organizations (W-2/1099-MISC/1099-NEC)	(F) Estimated amount of other compensation from the organization and related organizations
		Individual trustee or director	**Institutional Trustee;**	Officer	Key employee	Highest compensated employee	Former			
(1) MARK WILSON PRESIDENT & CEO	30.00 10.00	X		X				174,975	126,254	13,652
(2) ANTONIO MANNING CHAIRMAN	10.00	X		X				0	0	0
(3) BRYAN WILSON TREASURER/SECRETARY	5.00	X		X				0	0	0
(4) DAVID HERRIFORD DIRECTOR	1.00	X						0	0	0
(5) RON MITNICK DIRECTOR	1.00	X						0	0	0
(6) BRUCE SAITO DIRECTOR	1.00	X						0	0	0
(7) BEATRIZ P FLORES DIRECTOR	1.00	X						0	0	0
(8) MARCIA WILSON DIRECTOR	1.00	X						0	0	0
(9) SELERIN NTAHITAGABIRA CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER	40.00			X				162,830	0	19,091
(10) JAHRELL THOMAS CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER	40.00					X		135,579	0	12,321
(11) RICARDO MENDOZA CHIEF BUS. DEVELOPMENT OFFICER	40.00					X		167,579	0	11,821

efile Public Visual Render | Objectid: 202413199349316581 - Submission: 2024-11-14 | TIN: 20-2445113

Schedule L (Form 990)

Department of the Treasury

Transactions with Interested Persons

Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, lines 25a, 25b, 26, 27, 28a, 28b, or 28c, or Form 990-EZ, Part V, line 38a or 40b.
Attach to Form 990 or Form 990-EZ.
Go to www.irs.gov/Form990 for instructions and the latest information.

OMB No. 1545-0047

2023

Open to Public Inspection

Name of the organization
COALITION FOR RESPONSIBLE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

Employer identification number
20-2445113

Part I **Excess Benefit Transactions** (section 501(c)(3), section 501(c)(4), and section 501(c)(29) organizations only).
Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 25a or 25b, or Form 990-EZ, Part V, line 40b.

1	(a) Name of disqualified person	(b) Relationship between disqualified person and organization	(c) Description of transaction	(d) Corrected? Yes	(d) Corrected? No

2 Enter the amount of tax incurred by the organization managers or disqualified persons during the year under section 4958. . . . . $

3 Enter the amount of tax, if any, on line 2, above, reimbursed by the organization . . . . . $

Part II **Loans to and/or From Interested Persons.**
Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990-EZ, Part V, line 38a, or Form 990, Part IV, line 26; or if the organization reported an amount on Form 990, Part X, line 5, 6, or 22.

(a) Name of interested person	(b) Relationship with organization	(c) Purpose of loan	(d) Loan to or from the organization? To	(d) Loan to or from the organization? From	(e) Original principal amount	(f) Balance due	(g) In default? Yes	(g) In default? No	(h) Approved by board or committee? Yes	(h) Approved by board or committee? No	(i) Written agreement? Yes	(i) Written agreement? No
(1) CRCD PARTNERS LLC	MARK WILSON, PRESIDENT & CEO, IS A 40% PARTNER	START UP CAPITAL INVESTMENT		X	260,000	1		No	Yes		Yes	
(2) CRCD PARTNERS LLC	MARK WILSON, PRESIDENT & CEO, IS A 40% PARTNER	START UP CAPITAL INVESTMENT		X	400,000	400,000		No	Yes		Yes	
(3) CRCD PARTNERS LLC	MARK WILSON, PRESIDENT & CEO, IS A 40% PARTNER	WORKING CAPITAL		X	49,000	49,000		No	Yes		Yes	
Total			0			449,499						

Part III **Grants or Assistance Benefiting Interested Persons.**
Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 27.

(a) Name of interested person	(b) Relationship between interested person and the organization	(c) Amount of assistance	(d) Type of assistance	(e) Purpose of assistance

Part IV **Business Transactions Involving Interested Persons.**
Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 28a, 28b, or 28c.

(a) Name of interested person	(b) Relationship between interested person and the organization	(c) Amount of transaction	(d) Description of transaction	(e) Sharing of organization's revenues? Yes	(e) Sharing of organization's revenues? No
(1) CRCD PARTNERS LLC	MARK WILSON, PRESIDENT & CEO, IS A 40% PARTNER	248,320	RENTS		No

Part V **Supplemental Information**
Provide additional information for responses to questions on Schedule L (see instructions).

Return Reference	Explanation

Additional Data Return to Form

Software ID:
Software Version:

EXHIBIT E

Lease and Landlord-Verification Transaction Pages

CONTROL PURPOSE

Participant-specific address, rent amount, lease context, and housing-assistance verification. Sensitive ACH and taxpayer pages are excluded.

SOURCE CONTROL

Source file: 1551 34 (1).pdf
Source pages: 1,2
SHA-256 prefix: d533f44d7115170a

USE LIMITATION

Supports the stated proposition only. It is not an independent finding of an FPPC violation or crime.

RESIDENTIAL LEASE AGREEMENT

1. **PARTIES.** This Residential Lease Agreement ("Agreement") made this _____ is between: Landlord Name: CHRISTOPHER CERVANTES with a mailing address of: P.O. BOX 1111 DOWNEY CA 90241 ("Landlord"). AND Tenant Name(s): KYLE ROBERT HAAS ("Tenant(s)"). Landlord and Tenant are each collectively referred to as the "Parties."

2. **PROPERTY.** The Landlord agrees to lease the described property to the Tenant: Address: 1551 3/4 E 106 24th St. Los Angeles CA 90002 ("Premises").
Residence Type: ☐ Single-family ☐ Apartment ☐ Condominium ☐ Other: UNIT

3. **TERM.**
The Agreement shall begin on 8/3/24 and end on 8/3/25 ("Term").

4. **RENT.**
The Tenant shall pay the Landlord in equal monthly installments of $2,000 ("Rent"). The Rent shall be due on the 1st of every month and paid under the following instructions:
_____.

5. **SECURITY DEPOSIT.** The Tenant (check one):

☒ Shall deposit with the Landlord the sum of $2,000 as security for any damage caused to the Premises during the Term. Such deposit shall be returned to the Tenant, less any itemized deductions, within _____ days after the end of the Term.

☐ Shall NOT be required to pay a security deposit.

6. **SIGNATURES.**
Landlord Signature: _____ Date: 8/2/24
Printed Name: CHRISTOPHER CERVANTES
Tenant Signature: _____ Date: _____
Printed Name: _____

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
ADULT JUSTICE, HOUSING, EMPLOYMENT AND EDUCATION SERVICES

HOUSING ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS - UNIVERSAL APPLICATION

LANDLORD VERIFICATION FORM

(To be completed by Landlord)

Please Print

I intend to rent a unit/shared room to: KYLE ROBERT HPAB

The property is located at: 1551 3/4 E 106TH ST. (Street Address) (Apt. #)

LOS ANGELES (City) CA 90002 (Zip Code)

Type of Request:

☐ Security Deposit ☐ Ongoing Rental Assistance (Directly Operated FSP ONLY) ☐ Eviction Prevention

Please complete if applying for Security Deposit OR Rental Assistance.

Security deposit amount: $ 2,000

Regular months rent: $ 2,000

Tenant's subsidized rent portion: $ _____

Please complete if applying for Eviction Prevention.

Rent: $ 2,000

Late charges (as stated in lease): $ _____

Tenant's subsidized rent portion: $ _____

Apartment/House is: ☐ Furnished ☒ Unfurnished

Rent Includes: ☐ Electricity ☒ Water ☐ Gas ☐ Trash

DATE TENANCY BEGAN/WILL BEGIN: 9 / 3 / 24

Please make checks payable to: CHRISTOPHER CERVANTES

(Checks to be made only to the property owners or authorized Management Company)

Name of Property Owner: CHRISTOPHER CERVANTES

Address: P.O. BOX 1117 DOWNEY CA 90241

Telephone Number: (562) 924-9003

Property Owner Signature: _____ **Date:** 8 / 2 / 24
(or designee)

I understand that this is a Federal and/or State funded program and that abuse of this program is an offense. I certify under penalty of jury that all information that I have provided on this form is true and correct.

Applicant's Signature: _____ **Date:** ___ / ___ / ___

This form is not an agreement but only a confirmation of the amount of monies reflected in the rent/lease agreement and does not hold the County liable for any damages to the property as caused by the tenant.

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS BOX (For Office Use Only)

Amount Approved for payment: $ _____ **Initialed By:** _____

EXHIBIT F

Unlawful-Detainer Court Register Excerpt

CONTROL PURPOSE

Procedural posture and July 7, 2026 stipulated judgment before trial in 26CMUD00417.

SOURCE CONTROL

Source file: Superior Court of Los Angeles County _ Eviction Case Access.PDF
Source pages: 1,2
SHA-256 prefix: 61f3533a06445593

USE LIMITATION

Supports the stated proposition only. It is not an independent finding of an FPPC violation or crime.

Language Access
English

CASE INFORMATION:	26CMUD00417
Case Title:	CHRISTOPHER CERVANTES VS KYLE ROBERT DAVID HAAB, ET AL.
Filing Courthouse:	Compton Courthouse
Filing Date:	3/25/2026
Case Type:	Unlawful Detainer/Residential (not drugs or wrongful eviction) (General Jurisdiction)
Status:	Stipulated Judgment - Before Trial on 7/7/2026

Print New Search

FUTURE HEARINGS

PARTY INFORMATION

CERVANTES CHRISTOPHER	Plaintiff
HAAB KYLE ROBERT DAVID	Defendant
IZARRARAZ CRYSTAL LYNN	Defendant
MOSSAZADEH ARIEL H.	Attorney for Plaintiff

DOCUMENTS FILED

7/7/2026	Certificate of Mailing for (Jury Trial) of 07/07/2026	Filed by Clerk
7/7/2026	Judgment - Unlawful Detainer - Stipulated Judgment - Before Trial - 07/07/2026 entered for Plaintiff CHRISTOPHER CERVANTES against Defendant KYLE ROBERT DAVID HAAB; Defendant CRYSTAL LYNN IZARRARAZ.	Filed by Clerk
7/7/2026	Minute Order (Jury Trial)	Filed by Clerk
7/2/2026	Declaration Consolidated Supplemental Trial Brief, Declaration, Request for Limited Evidentiary, Privacy, and Preservation Protections,	Filed by KYLE ROBERT DAVID HAAB (Defendant)
7/1/2026	Certificate of Mailing for (Hearing on Motion for Summary Judgment) of 07/01/2026	Filed by Clerk

7/1/2026	Defendant Kyle Robert David Haab's Fifth Supplemental Declaration re Pending JCOD PRA Request No. 3, Material Rental-Assistance, Payment, Consent and Source Records, PRA Processing Clarification, and Cross-Referenced Case Identifiers; Exhibits AS-AU	Filed by KYLE ROBERT DAVID HAAB (Defendant)
7/1/2026	Minute Order (Hearing on Motion for Summary Judgment)	Filed by Clerk
7/1/2026	Trial Brief	Filed by KYLE ROBERT DAVID HAAB (Defendant)
7/1/2026	Trial Brief	Filed by KYLE ROBERT DAVID HAAB (Defendant)
6/30/2026	Certificate of Mailing for (Hearing on Ex Parte Application TO SHORTEN TIME TO HEAR COUNS...) of 06/30/2026	Filed by Clerk
6/30/2026	Minute Order (Hearing on Ex Parte Application TO SHORTEN TIME TO HEAR COUNS...)	Filed by Clerk
6/26/2026	Declaration in Support of Attorney's Motion to Be Relieved as Counsel-Civil	Filed by John Benjamin Wu (Attorney)
6/26/2026	Declaration in Support of Attorney's Motion to Be Relieved as Counsel-Civil	Filed by John Benjamin Wu (Attorney)
6/26/2026	Ex Parte Application TO SHORTEN TIME TO HEAR COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS MOTION TO BE RELIEVED AS COUNSEL	Filed by KYLE ROBERT DAVID HAAB (Defendant); CRYSTAL LYNN IZARRARAZ (Defendant)
6/26/2026	Notice of Motion	Filed by CRYSTAL LYNN IZARRARAZ (Defendant)
6/26/2026	Notice of Motion	Filed by KYLE ROBERT DAVID HAAB (Defendant)
6/23/2026	Certificate of Mailing for (Jury Trial) of 06/23/2026	Filed by Clerk
6/23/2026	Minute Order (Jury Trial)	Filed by Clerk
6/23/2026	Notice OF WITHDRAWAL OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT	Filed by KYLE ROBERT DAVID HAAB (Defendant); CRYSTAL LYNN IZARRARAZ (Defendant)
6/23/2026	Order REGARDING STIPULATION TO CONTINUE TRIAL	Filed by CHRISTOPHER CERVANTES (Plaintiff); KYLE ROBERT DAVID HAAB (Defendant); CRYSTAL LYNN IZARRARAZ (Defendant)
6/17/2026	STIPULATION TO CONTINUE TRIAL	Filed by CHRISTOPHER CERVANTES (Plaintiff); KYLE ROBERT DAVID HAAB (Defendant); CRYSTAL LYNN IZARRARAZ (Defendant)
6/12/2026	Order on Court Fee Waiver (Superior Court)	Filed by Clerk
6/10/2026	DEFENDANTS? REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE #1 IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS? MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT	Filed by KYLE ROBERT DAVID HAAB (Defendant); CRYSTAL LYNN IZARRARAZ (Defendant)
6/10/2026	NOTICE OF MOTION AND DEFENDANTS? MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT THEREOF; DECLARATION OF BENJAMIN WU; PROPOSED ORDER THEREON	Filed by KYLE ROBERT DAVID HAAB (Defendant); CRYSTAL LYNN IZARRARAZ (Defendant)

EXHIBIT G

Selected LADBS and LAHD Source Records

CONTROL PURPOSE

Certificate of Occupancy for the approved garage ADU; later LADBS order and LAHD notice distinguishing approved ADU, main-house subdivision, second-floor kitchen, breezeway, and Unit 3/4 conditions.

SOURCE CONTROL

Source file: LAHD_LADBS_Mayor_Supplemental_Unit_Identity_CofO_Source_Packet_July8_2026.pdf
Source pages: 22,23,26,27,28,31,32,33
SHA-256 prefix: 0a1b3e1898d06655

USE LIMITATION

Supports the stated proposition only. It is not an independent finding of an FPPC violation or crime.

CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY

OWNER CNC DEVELOPMENT GROUP LLC AND

JANI REALTY AND INVESTMENTS LLC
0 PO BOX 39094
DOWNEY CA 90239

No building or structure or portion thereof and no trailer park or portion thereof shall be used or occupied until a Certificate of Occupancy has been issued thereof. Section

CERTIFICATE: Issued-Valid
BY: ANSON MAGLASANG
DATE: 07/18/2024

GREEN - MANDATORY

SITE IDENTIFICATION
ADDRESS: 1551-1551 3/4 E 106TH ST 90002

LEGAL DESCRIPTION

TRACT	BLOCK	LOT(s)	ARB	CO. MAP REF #	PARCEL PIN	APN
DILLER TRACT		96		M B 5-180	090A213 160	6065-016-024

This certifies that, so far as ascertained or made known to the undersigned, the building or portion of building described below and located at the above address(es) complies with the applicable construction requirements (Chapter 9) and/or the applicable zoning requirements (Chapter 1) of the Los Angeles Municipal Code for the use and occupancy group in which it is classified and with applicable requirements of the State Housing Law for the following occupancies and is subject to any affidavits or building and zoning code modifications whether listed or not.

COMMENT CONVERT EXISTING GARAGE PORTION OF AN EXISTING SINGLE-FAMILY DWELLING INTO AN ACCESSORY DWELLING UNIT.

USE

PRIMARY	OTHER
Dwelling - Single Family	Accessory Dwelling Unit

PERMITS
21014-10000-05021

STRUCTURAL INVENTORY

ITEM DESCRIPTION	CHANGED	TOTAL
Accessory Dwelling Unit	1 Units	1 Units
Dwelling Unit	0 Units	
Floor Area (ZC)	380 Sqft	
Height (ZC)	0 Feet	
Length	0 Feet	
Stories	0 Stories	
Type V-B Construction		
Width	0 Feet	
R3 Occ. Group	380 Sqft	
U Occ. Group	-380 Sqft	
Parking Req'd for Bldg (Auto+Bicycle)	0 Stalls	

CITY LA DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING

APPROVAL

CERTIFICATE NUMBER	252890
BRANCH OFFICE:	LA
COUNCIL DISTRICT:	15
BUREAU:	INSPECTN
DIVISION:	RESDINSP
STATUS:	CofO Issued
STATUS BY:	ANSON MAGL
STATUS DATE:	07/18/2024
APPROVED BY:	ANSON MAGL
EXPIRATION DATE:	

08-R-95A

CITY LA DBS DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING AND SAFETY

Certificate No: *252890

PERMIT DETAIL

PERMIT NUMBER	PERMIT ADDRESS	PERMIT DESCRIPTION	STATUS - DATE - BY
21014-10000-05021	1551-1551 3/4 E 106th St	CONVERT GARAGE TO ADU	CofO Issued - 07/18/2024 ANSON MAGLASANG

PARCEL INFORMATION

Area Planning Commission: South Los Angeles
Community Plan Area: Southeast Los Angeles
Earthquake-Induced Liquefaction Area: Yes
Near Source Zone Distance: 3.8
Zone: R2-1
Census Tract: 2420.00
Council District: 15
Energy Zone: 8
School Within 500 Foot Radius: YES
Certified Neighborhood Council: Watts
District Map: 090A213
LADBS Branch Office: LA
Thomas Brothers Map Grid: 704-F5

PARCEL DOCUMENT

City Planning Cases (CPC) CPC-1983-506
City Planning Cases (CPC) CPC-1990-346-CA
Ordinance (ORD) ORD-162128
Ordinance (ORD) ORD-171682
Zoning Information File (ZI) ZI-2374 State Enterprise Zone: Los Angeles
City Planning Cases (CPC) CPC-1983-506-SP
City Planning Cases (CPC) CPC-2008-1553-CPU
Ordinance (ORD) ORD-167354-SA4880
Specific Plan Area (SPA) SOUTH LOS ANGELES ALCOHOL SALES
Zoning Information File (ZI) ZI-2452 Transit Priority Area in the City of Los A
City Planning Cases (CPC) CPC-1986-827-GPC
City Planning Cases (CPC) CPC-2010-2771-CRA
Ordinance (ORD) ORD-171681
Zoning Information File (ZI) ZI-1231 Specific Plan: South Los Angeles Alcohol S

CHECKLIST ITEMS

Attachment - Plot Plan
Combine Plumbg - Wrk. per 91.107.2.1.1.1
Combine Elec - Wrk. per 91.107.2.1.1.1
Special Inspect - Structural Observation
Combine HVAC - Wrk. per 91.107.2.1.1.1
Std. Work Descr - Seismic Gas Shut Off Valve

PROPERTY OWNER, TENANT, APPLICANT INFORMATION

OWNER(S)
Cnc Development Group Llc And — 0 Po Box 39094 — DOWNEY CA 90239

TENANT

APPLICANT
Relationship: Other
Mayra Reyes- — (323) 707-1772

BUILDING RELOCATED FROM:

(C)ONTRACTOR, (A)RCHITECT & (E)NGINEER INFORMATION

NAME	ADDRESS		CLASS	LICENSE #	PHONE #
(C) Ebenezer Construction	9214 Manzanar Ave,	Downey, CA 90240	B	657529	

SITE IDENTIFICATION-ALL

ADDRESS:
1551-1551 3/4 E 106TH ST 90002

LEGAL DESCRIPTION-ALL

TRACT	BLOCK	LOT(s)	ARB	CO.MAP REF #	PARCEL PIN	APN
DILLER TRACT		96		M B 5-180	090A213 160	6065-016-024

LA DBS
DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING AND SAFETY

MAYOR
BOARD OF
BUILDING AND SAFETY
COMMISSIONERS
DEPARTMENT OF
BUILDING AND SAFETY
201 NORTH FIGUEROA STREET
LOS ANGELES, CA 90012

CORISSA I
JAVIEI
MOISES
PRE
NAN
VICE P
JACOB
OSAMA YOUNAN, P.E.
GENERAL MANAGER
SUPERINTENDENT OF BUILDING
JOHN WEIGHT
EXECUTIVE OFFICER
KAREN BASS

CASE #:

ORDER TO COMPLY AND NOTICE OF FEE

CALIFORNIA

CITY OF LOS ANGELES

1053480
CERVANTES, CHRISTOPHER N
August 25, 2025

COMPLIANCE DATE:

September 24, 2025

EFFECTIVE DATE:

PO BOX 1111
DOWNEY, CA 90240

ORDER #:

A 6604739
OWNER OF
1551 E 106TH ST
SITE ADDRESS:
6065 016 024
ASSESSORS PARCEL NO.:
ZONE:
R2; Two Family Zone

An inspection has revealed that the property (Site Address) listed above is in violation of the Los Angeles Municipal Code (L.A.M.C.) sections listed below. You are hereby ordered to correct the violation(s) and contact the inspector listed in the signature block at the end of this document for a compliance inspection by the compliance date listed above.

FURTHER, THE CODE VIOLATION INSPECTION FEE (C.V.I.F) OF **$ 356.16** ($336 fee plus a six percent Systems Development Surcharge of $20.16) **WILL BE BILLED TO THE PROPERTY OWNER.** The invoice/notice will be sent to the owner as it appears on the last equalized assessment roll. Section 98.0421 L.A.M.C.

NOTE: FAILURE TO PAY THE C.V.I.F. WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE INVOICE DATE OF THE BILL NOTED ABOVE WILL RESULT IN A LATE CHARGE OF TWO (2) TIMES THE C.V.I.F. PLUS A 50 PERCENT COLLECTION FEE FOR A TOTAL OF **$1,176.00.**

Any person who fails to pay the fee, late charge and collection fee, shall also pay interest. Interest shall be calculated at the rate of one percent per month.

The inspection has revealed that the property is in violation of the Los Angeles Municipal Code as follows:

VIOLATION(S):

Building or structure is being used, occupied, or its existing occupancy classification has changed without the issuance of a valid Certificate of Occupancy.

1.

Discontinue the unapproved use of the building or structure until all violations of this code have been corrected and a valid Certificate of Occupancy has been issued.

You are therefore ordered to:
Code Section(s) in Violation:

91.104.2.5, 91.103.1, 12.21A.1.(a) of the L.A.M.C.

Location:

The first and second floors of the residence.
The 2 story single family dwelling is being used as a duplex without the required plans, permits, inspections; or approvals.

Comments:

The approximate 10' x 10' remodel of the 2nd floor foyer to a kitchen was/is constructed without the required permits and approvals.

2.

1) Demolish and remove all construction work performed without the required permit(s), AND 2) Restore the existing structure(s) to its originally

approved condition, if altered in

CODE ENFORCEMENT BUREAU

For routine City business and non emergency services: Call 3 1 1

www.ladbs.org

As a covered entity under Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act, the City of Los Angeles does not discriminate on the basis of disability and, upon request, will provide reasonable accommodation to ensure equal access to its programs, services and activities.

anyway, OR 3) Submit plans, obtain the required permits and expose the work for proper inspections.

Code Section(s) in Violation:

91.8105, 91.106.1.1, 91.106.1.2, 91.108.4, 91.106.3.2, 91.103.1, 12.21A.1.(a) of the L.A.M.C.

Location:

2nd floor of the single family residence.
The 2nd floor of the single family dwelling has been converted to an Unpermitted Dwelling Unit and does not conform to the certificate of occupancy of record.

Comments:

Hazardous Mechanical Equipment (heating, air conditioning, refrigeration or ventilation) in the water heater installation.

3.

Remove all mechanical equipment, including vents that does not conform with all applicable laws in effect at the time of installation and which has not been maintained in good condition and is being used in an unsafe manner.

You are therefore ordered to:

Code Section(s) in Violation:

91.8902.6, 91.8902, 91.103.1, 12.21A.1.(a) of the L.A.M.C.

Location:

Rear yard.
The water heater vent is installed in a manner not consistent with the code.

Comments:

Electrical work has been done without the required permits and approvals.

4.

Obtain all required electrical permits and approvals.

You are therefore ordered to:

Code Section(s) in Violation:

93.0201, 93.0104, 91.103.1 12.21A.1.(a) of the L.A.M.C.

Location:

The 2nd floor the unapproved breezeway enclosure.
There is an unapproved kitchen on the 2nd floor and an unpermitted breezeway enclosure serving as an unapproved laundry facility connecting the main house and the approved garage to ADU conversion.

Comments:

Plumbing work has been done without the required permits and approvals.

5.

Obtain all required plumbing permits and approvals.

You are therefore ordered to:

Code Section(s) in Violation:

94.103.1.1, 91.103.1, 12.21A.1.(a) of the L.A.M.C.

Location:

The 2nd floor kitchen and the unapproved breezeway enclosure.
There is an unapproved kitchen on the 2nd floor and an unpermitted breezeway enclosure serving as an unapproved laundry facility connecting the main house and the approved garage to ADU conversion.

Comments:

CODE ENFORCEMENT BUREAU

For routine City business and non emergency services: Call 3 1 1

www.ladbs.org

As a covered entity under Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act, the City of Los Angeles does not discriminate on the basis of disability and, upon request, will provide reasonable accommodation to ensure equal access to its programs, services and activities.

CITY OF LOS ANGELES

Los Angeles Housing Department

Karen Bass, Mayor

Code Enforcement Division
1910 Sunset Blvd., Suite 300
Los Angeles, CA 90026
Tel: (310) 524-1230

POSTING

,

Date: October 15, 2025
Case ID: 953784
APN: 6065016024

NOTICE AND ORDER TO COMPLY

Sections 161.702 and 161.354, Los Angeles Municipal Code
Sections 17980 and 17980.6, California Health and Safety Code

Our records indicate you are the owner of the property located at **1551 E 106TH ST** . An inspection of the premises has revealed conditions that affect the health and safety of the occupants and cause the building to be determined to be in violation of the City of Los Angeles Municipal Code. These conditions, their location on the premises, and the specific violation(s) of the Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC) and or California Health and Safety Code are described on the attached pages.

You, as the property owner, or responsible party, are ordered to eliminate all of the described conditions and diligently pursue the work necessary to eliminate any violations of the LAMC and Health and Safety Code on or before **11/21/2025**.

Some of the work required to repair the building may require that you obtain a permit and request related inspections from the Department of Building and Safety. We strongly urge you to seek the services of qualified installers, properly licensed by the State Contractors License Board.

A re-inspection of the premises will be conducted on **Monday, November 24, 2025** between **1:00 PM** and **3:00 PM**. You or your representative must be present to escort the Housing Inspector. Any and all units are subject to re-inspection and require the same uniform compliance throughout the premises. You must provide notice to all affected tenants not less than 24 hours prior to the scheduled inspection. The entire premises must be in full compliance with the Los Angeles Municipal Code.

If you have any questions or concerns regarding this notice/order/inspection, please feel free to contact us at the email/phone numbers provided below. Inspectors are best reachable by phone on weekdays from 7 to 9 am. *Si tiene preguntas, por favor contáctenos como se indica al final de esta notificación.*

Inspector: Maurice Williams	Email: Maurice.Williams@lacity.org	Inspector Phone: (213) 252-2869
Office Address: 1910 Sunset Blvd Suite #300 Los Angeles, CA 90026		Office Phone: (310) 524-1230

Issuing Inspector:
Maurice Williams

Proof of Mailing -- On 10/15/2025 the signee mailed a copy of this notice by First-Class Mail, postage prepaid, to the person(s) listed on the last equalized assessment roll.

As a covered entity under Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act, the City of Los Angeles does not discriminate on the basis of disability and, upon request, will provide reasonable accommodation to ensure equal access to its programs, services and activities.

FIRE SAFETY

Failure to provide and maintain the required permanently wired, with battery back-up, smoke detectors at all sleeping rooms, and areas adjacent to sleeping rooms. Sections 91.8603, 91.8104 of the L.A.M.C.
Violation Severity Level: HIGH

1551 3/4 E 106TH ST
ENTIRE UNIT, Note: ADDRESS CHIRPING, MISSING OR HANGING FROM BASE SMOKE DETECTOR THROUGHOUT THE UNIT VERIFYING FUNCTION- ALL INSTALLED SMOKE DETECTORS ARE REQUIRED TO BE HARDWIRED WITH BATTERY BACK UP WITH MOST UNITS HAVING A GREEN INDICATING LIGHT AS EVIDENCE OF BEING HARDWIRED

An owner of a single family dwelling unit intended for human occupancy shall install a carbon monoxide device, approved and listed by the State Fire Marshall pursuant, in each existing dwelling unit having a fossil fuel burning heater or appliance, fireplace, or an attached garage. Exception: For all multiple-family dwelling units, this requirement becomes effective on January 1, 2013. Sections 91.915, 91.8104 of the L.A.M.C.

1551 3/4 E 106TH ST
ENTIRE UNIT, Note: MISSING

MAINTENANCE

Failure to maintain the existing building, structure, premises, or portion thereof in conformity with the code regulations and department approvals in effect at the time of construction. Sections 91.2.401.6, 91.8104 of the L.A.M.C.

1551 3/4 E 106TH ST
BEDROOM1, Note: REINSTALL MISSING ATTIC ACCESS PANEL

PLUMBING

Failure to provide and maintain a positive seal (caulk or grout) between plumbing fixtures at contact point with walls and/or floors. Sections 94.101.7, 94.102.4, 91.8104.7 of the L.A.M.C.
Violation Severity Level: LOW

1551 3/4 E 106TH ST
KITCHEN, Note: SEAL GAP(S) WHERE UNDER MOUNT SINK MOUNTS TO COUNTERTOP TO PREVENT LEAKES INTO LOWER CABINETRY

HEATING AND VENTILATION

Failure to provide and/or maintain an approved and/or non-defective domestic range vent. Section 95.111.1 of the L.A.M.C.
Violation Severity Level: LOW

1551 3/4 E 106TH ST
KITCHEN, Note: EXHAUST FAN ABOVE STOVE DOESNT WORK

UNAPPROVED USE OR OCCUPANCY

Failure to obtain a building permit and Certificate of Occupancy or Certificate of Completion from the Department of Building and

Safety for the change of use or occupancy for the building or that portion described herein. Sections 91.104.2.5, 91.109.1, 91.8105, 91.8203, 91.8204 of the L.A.M.C.

1551 E 106TH ST
ENTIRE UNIT, Permit Required, , Note: ONTAIN NEW CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY TO REFLECT CURRENT SITE CONDITIONS OR RETURN BUILDING TO ITS ORIGINAL APPROVED CONDITION

UNAPPROVED UNIT(S)

Failure to obtain the required building permit and inspection approvals for the unapproved dwelling unit as described below, or restore the structure to its originally approved condition. If the unit(s) is/are occupied, you may be ordered to vacate the unit(s) and pay the tenant relocation assistance fees. (See attached Notice & Warning pages) Sections 91.106.1.1, 91.109.1, 91.8105, 151.09.(a)11, 163.02(A) of the L.A.M.C.

1551 E 106TH ST
ENTIRE UNIT, Note: THE UNIT 1551 HAS BEEN SUB DIVIDED CREATING AN ADDITIINAL DWELLING UNIT NOW KNOWN AS 1551A AND 1551B. WITH THE ADDITION OF A KITCHEN, UNAPPROVED PLUMBING AT THE UPSTAIRS UNIT.OBTAIN RELEVANT PERMIT FROM THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING AND SAFETY(LADBS) FOLLOWING ESTABLISHED PROCESS UNTIL PERMIT IS FINALIZED OR RETURN TO STRUCTURES TO IT'S ORIGINAL CONDITION/ USE.

HEATING AND VENTILATION

Failure to maintain the required appliance venting system in a good and safe condition, and in conformance with applicable laws at the time of installation. Section 95.111.1 of the L.A.M.C.
EXTERIOR, Note: WATER HEATER VENT NOT CONNECTED
1551 E 106TH ST

EXHIBIT H

Family-Law Docket Excerpt

CONTROL PURPOSE

Active custody/visitation matter, Minor's Counsel, and July 29, 2026 hearing showing current materiality and urgency.

SOURCE CONTROL

Source file: Superior Court of Los Angeles County _ Family Law Case Access(38).PDF
Source pages: 1
SHA-256 prefix: 00f76b5db17b7c8d

USE LIMITATION

Supports the stated proposition only. It is not an independent finding of an FPPC violation or crime.

Language Access
English

Case Information | Register Of Actions | FUTURE HEARINGS | PARTY INFORMATION | Documents Filed | Past Proceedings

CASE INFORMATION:	25CMFL00694
Case Title:	HAAB, KYLE ROBERT VS LOPEZ, PATTY
Filing Courthouse:	Compton Courthouse
Filing Date:	12/31/2025
Case Type:	Other Family Law (General Jurisdiction)
Status:	Statistical Disposition on 12/31/2025

Click here to access document images for this case.

If this link fails, you may go to the Case Document Images site and search using the case number displayed on this page

Print | New Search

FUTURE HEARINGS

Case Information | Register Of Actions | FUTURE HEARINGS | PARTY INFORMATION | Documents Filed | Past Proceedings

7/29/2026	8:30	Department N	200 West Compton Blvd., Compton, CA 90220	Motion Hearing
7/29/2026	8:30	Department N	200 West Compton Blvd., Compton, CA 90220	RFOMOD - Custody, Visitation

PARTY INFORMATION

Case Information | Register Of Actions | FUTURE HEARINGS | PARTY INFORMATION | Documents Filed | Past Proceedings

COURT OF APPEAL	Other
HAAB KYLE ROBERT	Petitioner
IZARRARAZ CRYSTAL LYNN	Claimant
LOPEZ PATTY	Respondent
WALLER SAMANTHA KATHLEEN	Minor's Counsel for Child

DOCUMENTS FILED

Case Information | Register Of Actions | FUTURE HEARINGS | PARTY INFORMATION | Documents Filed | Past Proceedings

7/6/2026	Memorandum - Points and Authorities Declaration - Request for (Ex Parte) Orders FL-303	Filed by Petitioner

GOVERNANCE, UNIT-LEGALITY, AND UNLAWFUL-DETAINER NEXUS ADDENDUM

FPPC Complaint COM-06192026-02541

Submitted by	Kyle Robert Haab
Date	July 8, 2026
Primary private entity	Coalition for Responsible Community Development (CRCD)
Principal person for screening	Mark Wilson - CRCD President/CEO; official City profile identifies a commissioner role
City departments	Los Angeles Housing Department (LAHD); Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety (LADBS); Mayor's Office
Property	1551 / 1551 3/4 E. 106th Street, Los Angeles, CA 90002
Court matters	26CMUD00417 (unlawful detainer); 25CMFL00694 (family law)
Prior packet	46-page Detailed Supplement and Selected Exhibits, July 8, 2026

EVIDENCE BOUNDARY. This addendum does not allege that Mayor Karen Bass, Mark Wilson, LAHD, LADBS, or any identified person directed, concealed, or manipulated the legal status of the property. It identifies verified institutional relationships, a documented public/private role overlap, unresolved cross-department property records, and the records needed to determine whether any disclosure, recusal, influence, routing, or conflict issue exists.

CONFIDENTIAL / CONTROLLED - NOT A PUBLIC-FILING SET

1. Executive conclusion

The new material strengthens a records-and-governance inquiry, not a completed misconduct finding. The official City record identifies Mark Wilson as a City commissioner and as CRCD President and CEO. The relevant commission is mayor-appointed. Official LAHD files also document CRCD and Mark Wilson in City-financed housing-development transactions. These facts create a legitimate need to identify appointment, disclosure, recusal, communication, and decision-participation records. They do not establish that Mayor Bass personally appointed Wilson, communicated about this property, or influenced LAHD or LADBS treatment of Unit 3/4.

The unit-legality issue is technically divided between LADBS and LAHD. LADBS records show an approved garage-to-ADU conversion and later violations involving a second-floor kitchen, unapproved dwelling use, and a breezeway/laundry connection. LAHD records separately identify habitability defects at 1551 3/4 and an unapproved subdivision of the main residence. The unlawful-detainer stipulation awarded possession of premises identified as 1551 3/4 and forfeited lease rights, but it did not make a technical finding identifying which structure was leased or resolving the conflicting City records.

Accordingly, the relevant FPPC question is not whether the eviction or code violations were unfair. It is whether any covered official participated in, influenced, routed, monitored, or protected a governmental decision affecting CRCD or a related private interest while subject to disclosure, recusal, or Government Code section 1090 restrictions.

2. Verified governance nexus

Component	Verified fact	Why it matters	What is not established
Mark Wilson / CRCD	Official City material identifies Wilson as a commissioner and CRCD President/CEO.	Creates a public/private role-overlap screening issue and supports requests for appointment, Form 700, recusal, and communication records.	No present proof that he used the commission role to affect this property, CFCI, LAHD, LADBS, or the UD case.
Mayor / commission	Official City material describes the relevant commission as mayor-appointed.	Supports inquiry into appointment authority, term, removal authority, supervision boundaries, and disclosure obligations.	The current record does not establish that Mayor Bass personally appointed Wilson or directed any action concerning the property.
LAHD	Official LAHD records identify CRCD as lead developer and Mark Wilson as a project contact in City housing transactions; LAHD works with the Mayor and Council on housing priorities.	Shows a preexisting institutional housing relationship between LAHD and CRCD that warrants independent record reconciliation.	It does not prove preferential treatment, improper influence, or involvement in the specific Unit 3/4 rental placement.
LADBS	LADBS controls permits, inspections, Certificates of Occupancy, and building-code enforcement.	Its records are necessary to identify the exact lawful structure and whether later permits corrected prior conditions.	The Mayor or LAHD cannot substitute for LADBS technical findings.
Unlawful detainer	The filed stipulation identifies the premises as 1551 3/4 and resolves possession and lease forfeiture.	The exact physical/legal identity of the premises was material to the dispute and settlement context.	The stipulation does not itself adjudicate code compliance, the correct Certificate of Occupancy, or the public-funding chain.

3. Mayor Bass nexus - precise formulation

Verified. The City commission structure is mayor-appointed; LAHD is a City housing department whose policy work is coordinated with the Mayor and City Council; the official City profile identifies Mark Wilson as a commissioner and CRCD President/CEO; and official LAHD records document CRCD in City housing-development transactions.

Not verified. No present source establishes that Mayor Bass appointed Wilson to his current seat, discussed Kyle Haab, Unit 3/4, CFCI-PA3-056-2023, the unlawful-detainer case, or the LADBS/LAHD enforcement record. No present source establishes that the Mayor directed a permit, inspection, Certificate-of-Occupancy, code-enforcement, rental-registration, or litigation decision.

Proper use of the nexus. The relationship supports independent preservation, disclosure, recusal, and communications review. It should not be stated as proof that the Mayor or Wilson caused the unit-status ambiguity.

4. Unit-legality ambiguity requiring one coordinated City determination

Record lane	What the record says	Ambiguity	Required reconciliation
2024 LADBS Certificate	Certificate No. 252890 / Permit 21014-10000-05021 concerns a roughly 380-square-foot garage-conversion ADU associated with 1551-1551 3/4.	The certificate does not, by itself, prove that the exact premises delivered under the lease matched the approved plan.	Approved plot plan, floor plan, final inspection, address assignment, dimensions, entrance, utilities, and photographs.
2025 LADBS enforcement	The main two-story single-family dwelling was used as a duplex; an unapproved second-floor kitchen and breezeway/laundry enclosure were identified; the order refers to an approved garage-to-ADU conversion.	The order distinguishes an approved ADU from unapproved work but does not identify whether the leased premises included any unapproved area or connection.	Inspector notes, photographs, diagrams, CEIS history, and a structure-by-structure crosswalk.
2025 LAHD enforcement	LAHD cited smoke/CO detectors, maintenance, sink sealing, and exhaust issues at 1551 3/4; it separately described the primary dwelling as subdivided into 1551A and 1551B.	LAHD and LADBS use different unit labels and enforcement descriptions.	One joint LAHD/LADBS written determination mapping each label to a physical structure.
2026 permit portal	The portal shows multiple address variants and a permit changing an attached ADU to detached ADU, with a new Certificate of Occupancy tied to related work.	It is unclear whether the 2026 permit corrected classification, physical attachment, address, or earlier unapproved construction.	Permit narrative, plan set, correction sheets, inspection results, final status, and non-retroactivity statement.
Lease / program records	The lease and landlord-verification documents use 1551 3/4 and connect the unit to a public rental-assistance transaction.	The payment and lease chain must be matched to the exact lawful structure.	Lease version, landlord verification, W-9/ACH custodian data, ledger, program approval, and unit verification records.

5. Direct effect on unlawful-detainer case 26CMUD00417

The signed stipulation has legal effect according to its actual terms. The available pages show judgment for possession of 1551 3/4, forfeiture of the defendants' lease rights, a move-out deadline, conditional sealing, and waiver language concerning post-judgment relief. The past-due-rent, damages, and attorney-fee amount lines visible on the form were not completed.

The stipulation should not be described as a trial finding that the unit was lawful, that every rent charge was correct, or that the approved 380-square-foot ADU was the exact space delivered. It also should not be described as automatically invalid because City records are ambiguous. The settlement resolved possession under its written terms; the technical City-record question remains separate unless expressly released or adjudicated.

The ambiguity was material because it could affect:

- Identification of the actual premises covered by the lease and possession judgment.
- Whether the landlord delivered the approved garage ADU, part of the main residence, a connected breezeway area, or a different configuration.
- Whether rent, security-deposit, program-payment, and habitability records were attributed to the correct unit.
- Whether LAHD relocation, REAP, habitability, or unapproved-unit procedures applied.
- Whether public rental-assistance funds were approved for a unit that matched the City-approved plans.
- The settlement value, defenses considered, and scope of any release, without converting those issues into findings that were never made.

Code of Civil Procedure section 1174 distinguishes possession, lease forfeiture, rent due, and damages in unlawful-detainer judgments. Civil Code section 1941.1 separately addresses minimum habitability characteristics. These authorities show why possession and technical habitability/unit identity are related but not identical legal questions.

6. FPPC relevance and jurisdictional limits

Government Code section 1090 prohibits covered city officers and employees from being financially interested in contracts made by them in their official capacities or by bodies of which they are members. Government Code section 87100 prohibits a public official from making, participating in, or influencing a governmental decision in which the official knows or has reason to know the official has a financial interest.

The new exhibits support screening of the following questions:

- What City body and term apply to Wilson's commissioner role, and what appointing authority approved it?
- Was the position designated under a conflict-of-interest code, and what Form 700 schedules applied?
- Did Wilson or CRCD communicate with the Mayor's Office, LAHD, LADBS, a commissioner, or City staff about this property, the unit, the program, the code complaints, or the UD case?
- Did any public official participate in a City or County decision that materially affected CRCD, Wilson, a related entity, the landlord, or the rental-assistance transaction?
- Were disclosures, recusals, screening walls, or advice letters required or used?
- Did any City official request that a complaint, permit, inspection, enforcement action, or records request be expedited, delayed, narrowed, closed, or routed outside ordinary procedures?

The record does not presently answer those questions. The property ambiguity and UD consequence establish materiality and the need for records; they do not substitute for proof of a governmental decision, official participation, financial interest, and material effect.

7. External media allegation - evidentiary boundary

The user supplied a saved April 15, 2026 article titled “City Officer Claims Housing Millions Disappeared for Mayor's Political Survival.” The article reports allegations attributed to undercover footage and names CRCD-linked housing development activity. The article is a third-party media account relying on other media and an undercover recording. It is not used here as proof that money disappeared, that Mayor Bass acted improperly, or that the allegations concern this property or CFCI-PA3-056-2023.

Its proper use is limited to a records lead: identify the underlying recording, speaker, project files, audit findings, City responses, and any official investigation before drawing conclusions. The article should remain in a clearly marked “unverified contextual lead” appendix rather than the core evidentiary exhibits.

8. Immediate record requests generated by this addendum

A. FPPC / Katelyn Rader

- Certified appointment instrument, term, commission name, duties, and current status for Mark Wilson.
- Applicable conflict-of-interest code, designated-position analysis, Form 700 filings, amendments, and recusal records.
- Communications involving Wilson, CRCD, the Mayor's Office, LAHD, LADBS, JCOD, Amity, the landlord, or the property.
- Decision-specific identification of any City or County contract, permit, funding, monitoring, enforcement, or referral decision in which a covered official participated.
- Any advice, screening, recusal, or enforcement records relating to the public/private role overlap.

B. Mayor's Office / LAHD / LADBS

- One coordinated structure-and-address crosswalk for 1551, 1551 1/2, 1551 3/4, 1551A, and 1551B.
- All communications with CRCD, Mark Wilson, Amity, JCOD, the landlord, or counsel regarding the property, complaints, permits, Certificate of Occupancy, tenancy, or litigation.
- Constituent-services routing, escalation, closure, and referral records.
- LAHD and LADBS inspector notes, photographs, final inspection records, approved plans, address-assignment records, and 2026 permit closeout documents.
- Written confirmation that any Mayor's Office role was coordination only and that technical determinations remain with LADBS/LAHD.

C. Unlawful-detainer / transaction records

- Complete filed stipulation and every referenced supplement or attachment.
- Complaint, notices, rent ledger, credits, payment history, lease versions, and settlement communications.
- Any express release or waiver affecting claims outside possession and the security deposit.
- Records showing whether City code documents, program-payment records, or unit-legality issues were considered during settlement.

9. Recommended neutral statement to FPPC

Official City sources identify Mark Wilson as a City commissioner while he serves as CRCD President and CEO, and official LAHD records document CRCD in City housing transactions. Separate LADBS and LAHD records leave unresolved which physical structure and address designation corresponded to the premises leased as 1551 3/4. The unlawful-detainer stipulation resolved possession and lease forfeiture but did not make a technical finding on the unit's approved configuration. These facts do not establish wrongdoing by Mayor Bass, Wilson, LAHD, or LADBS. They support preservation and review of appointment, disclosure, recusal, communication, decision-routing, permit, enforcement, and transaction records to determine whether any covered official participated in a governmental decision affecting a private economic interest.

10. Exhibit index

Exhibit	Description	Evidentiary use
I	Official City role source sheet and user-supplied Mark Wilson profile screenshot	Public/private role overlap; exact appointment and term still require certification.
J	Official LAHD/CRCD institutional relationship source sheet	Shows City housing transactions involving CRCD and Wilson; does not prove involvement in Unit 3/4.
K	LADBS permit-address and enforcement screenshots	Shows multiple address variants and unresolved enforcement/permit status.
L	Filed unlawful-detainer stipulated judgment pages	Shows possession/lease-forfeiture terms and what was not adjudicated on the visible form.

M	External media lead source note	Unverified contextual lead only; requires primary-source corroboration.
N	CalVCB denial and appeal form	Collateral administrative consequence only; not evidence of an FPPC or unit-legality violation.

11. Authorities and official sources

[1] City of Los Angeles, Mark Wilson official profile: identifies Commissioner Mark Wilson and CRCD President/CEO.

[2] City of Los Angeles, Human Relations Commission: describes the body as a mayor-appointed advisory board.

[3] LAHD Public Policy Development: states LAHD works with its executive team, Mayor, and City Council to establish housing-policy priorities.

[4] LAHD / City Clerk, Marcella Gardens supplemental bond materials (April 3, 2024): identifies CRCD as lead developer and Mark Wilson as President/CEO and contact.

[5] LAHD / City Clerk, 803 E. 5th Street financing materials (August 26, 2021): identifies CRCD/Mark Wilson borrower-side roles and the City/LAHD governmental-lender role.

[6] California Government Code section 1090.

[7] California Government Code section 87100.

[8] California Code of Civil Procedure section 1174.

[9] California Civil Code section 1941.1.

[10] User-supplied saved article, The Kenya Times, April 15, 2026; retained only as an unverified lead.

Source URLs and access dates are preserved in the electronic work file. Official agency and court records control over this narrative and over any media account.

EXHIBIT I - Official City Role and Appointment Structure

EVIDENTIARY USE AND LIMIT

Official City materials establish a public/private role overlap requiring screening. They do not establish that Mayor Karen Bass personally appointed Mark Wilson, directed him, or influenced the Unit 3/4 matter.

Verified official facts

- The City of Los Angeles profile identifies Commissioner Mark Wilson as CRCD President and CEO.
- The City describes the Human Relations Commission as a mayor-appointed advisory board.
- The current appointment instrument, exact commission body, term dates, duties, designated-position status, Form 700 coverage, and recusal history remain to be certified.

Records needed

- Appointment and confirmation records; term and removal authority; conflict-of-interest code; Form 700 filings; recusal records; commission minutes and communications involving CRCD, housing, LAHD, LADBS, or this property.
- Any Mayor's Office communications concerning Mark Wilson, CRCD, CFCI-PA3-056-2023, the property, code enforcement, permits, or the unlawful-detainer matter.

Official sources

City of Los Angeles Mark Wilson profile; City of Los Angeles Human Relations Commission “About the Commission” page. Accessed July 8, 2026.

EXHIBIT I - User-Supplied Official City Profile Screenshot - Part 1

Home > Mark Wilson

Mark Wilson

Secretary

Commissioner Mark Wilson is the Executive Director of the Coalition for Responsible Community Development (CRCD), who currently serves as the

Screenshot displays the City profile, the role label “Secretary,” and CRCD leadership description.

User-supplied screenshot; official City webpage text controls over image interpretation.

EXHIBIT I - User-Supplied Official City Profile Screenshot - Part 2

Secretary

Commissioner Mark Wilson is the Executive Director of the Coalition for Responsible Community Development (CRCD), who currently serves as the agency's President and CEO. A longtime policy leader on education, job training, supportive housing, and restorative justice, Mr. Wilson is a past Director of the Youth Empowerment Project with the Southern Christian Leadership Conference/Martin Luther King Legacy Association, and the Director of Community Development with the Dunbar Economic Development Corporation.



Screenshot displays the City profile, the role label "Secretary," and CRCD leadership description.

User-supplied screenshot; official City webpage text controls over image interpretation.

EXHIBIT J - Official LAHD / CRCD Institutional Relationship

EVIDENTIARY USE AND LIMIT

Official LAHD and City Clerk records show that CRCD and Mark Wilson have participated in City-financed housing-development transactions. They do not establish involvement in the specific Unit 3/4 rental placement, inspection, permit, or unlawful-detainer case.

Verified official facts

- April 2024 LAHD materials identify CRCD as lead developer for Marcella Gardens and identify Mark Wilson as CRCD President/CEO and project contact.
- 2021 LAHD/City financing materials identify CRCD entities and Mark Wilson in borrower/manager roles and identify the City of Los Angeles, acting through LAHD, as governmental lender in an affordable-housing transaction.
- LAHD states that its policy work is coordinated with its executive team, Mayor, and City Council.

Why this matters

The preexisting institutional relationship increases the need for independent cross-department record reconciliation and conflict screening. It does not establish preferential treatment or a causal link to the property at issue.

Records needed

- LAHD/Mayor/CRCD communications regarding the property or rental program; conflict disclosures; recusal records; constituent routing; any request to expedite, delay, close, narrow, or reclassify a complaint, permit, or enforcement action.

EXHIBIT K - LADBS Address Variants and Enforcement Status

EVIDENTIARY USE AND LIMIT

These portal screenshots show multiple address variants and an open enforcement record. They do not alone identify which physical structure was leased or establish that the 2024 Certificate of Occupancy was invalid.

Key issue

The exact space identified in the lease and unlawful-detainer stipulation as 1551 3/4 must be mapped to the approved garage ADU, the main residence, the second-floor conversion, the breezeway/laundry enclosure, or another configuration.

Required determination

- One LADBS/LAHD structure-and-address crosswalk; approved plans and final inspection; 2026 permit closeout; inspector photographs; legal unit count; and a statement whether later permits operated prospectively.

EXHIBIT K - LADBS Address / Permit Portal - Part 1



Portal reflects multiple address variants for the same parcel.

User-supplied LADBS portal screenshot, July 8, 2026.

EXHIBIT K - LADBS Address / Permit Portal - Part 2



Portal reflects multiple address variants for the same parcel.

User-supplied LADBS portal screenshot, July 8, 2026.

EXHIBIT K - LADBS Code Enforcement Portal - Part 1

LADBS
CITY OF LOS ANGELES
DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING & SAFETY

Return to Payment Portal

1551 E 106TH ST

Date Received:	7/7/2025
Description:	MISCELLANEOUS COMPLAINTS
Inspector:	KEITH EDGHILL
Phone:	(310)732-4533
Status:	UNDER INVESTIGATION

Order Information

Order Number	Order Type	Effective Date	Issued By	Phone
0	ORDER TO COMPLY	8/25/2025	KEITH EDGHILL	(310)732-4533

Portal reflects an Order to Comply and listed occupancy, mechanical, kitchen, electrical, and plumbing issues.

User-supplied LADBS portal screenshot, July 8, 2026.

Order Number	Order Type	Effective Date	Issued By	Phone
0	ORDER TO COMPLY	8/25/2025	KEITH EDGHILL	(310)732-4533

Code Violation Information

Violation	Date in Compliance
Building or structure is being used, occupied, or it's existing occupancy classification has changed without the issuance of a valid Certificate of Occupancy.	
Hazardous Mechanical Equipment (heating, air conditioning, refrigeration or ventilation) in the water heater installation.	
The approximate 10' x 10' remodel of the 2nd floor foyer to a kitchen was/is constructed without the required permits and approvals.	
Electrical work has been done without the required permits and approvals.	
Plumbing work has been done without the required permits and approvals.	

Portal reflects an Order to Comply and listed occupancy, mechanical, kitchen, electrical, and plumbing issues.

User-supplied LADBS portal screenshot, July 8, 2026.

EXHIBIT L - Unlawful-Detainer Stipulated Judgment - 26CMUD00417

EVIDENTIARY USE AND LIMIT

The filed stipulation resolves possession and other written settlement terms. It is not presented as a technical LADBS/LAHD determination and does not, on the visible pages, adjudicate which structure was the approved ADU.

Visible features

- Premises identified as 1551 3/4 E. 106th Street; judgment for possession; lease rights forfeited; move-out and sealing provisions; signatures and judicial approval.
- The visible past-due-rent, damages, and attorney-fee amount fields were not completed.
- The settlement must be interpreted from the complete filed stipulation and every incorporated supplement.

Materiality

The judgment applied to a named unit while City records used several address and structure descriptions. That makes a technical crosswalk material to the transaction history and settlement context, without automatically invalidating the judgment.

EXHIBIT L - Filed Stipulated Judgment - Page Image 1



SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA,
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles
JUL 07 2026
By: M. Rose, Deputy

Unlawful Detainer Stipulated Judgment

THE PARTIES STIPULATE (AGREE) AS FOLLOWS:

Unlawful Detainer Stipulated Judgment

Filed stipulation - premises, possession, lease forfeiture, and security-deposit term.

User-supplied photograph of filed court document, July 7, 2026.

EXHIBIT L - Filed Stipulated Judgment - Page Image 2



Move-out and waiver terms; handwritten supplemental language requires the original filed copy for exact interp

User-supplied photograph of filed court document, July 7, 2026.

EXHIBIT L - Filed Stipulated Judgment - Page Image 3



Supplemental Terms and Conditions for UD Stipulation and Judgment Case No. 24CMUD06417

10. ☐ This is a Pay and Stay agreement. To extend the move-out date in Paragraph 5, Defendant(s) shall pay the following amounts by the following dates:

_____ _____ _____ _____

_____ _____ _____ _____

_____ _____ _____ _____

☐ by personal check ☐ by certified funds ☐ by credit card ☐ by Venmo ☐ _____

11. ☒ Sealing the Record. The court file for this UD case shall remain sealed and confidential

a. ☐ without conditions

b. ☒ on the conditions that Defendant(s)

i. ☒ abide(s) by the terms & conditions of this Stipulation,

ii. ☒ vacate(s) the premises on or before the agreed move-out date in Paragraph 5, including the return of all keys to the rental premises, return of remote control devices and garage door openers if any, and removal of all the Defendant's/ Defendants' personal property,

iii. ☐ make(s) the payments provided in this Stipulation by the date(s) indicated

c. ☒ The court file may be un-sealed upon ex parte application by Plaintiff, should Defendant(s) timely fail to comply with the terms and conditions of this Stipulation.

12. ☒ Time is of the Essence. Delays of any kind beyond the dates agreed upon in this Stipulation except as expressly provided are not permitted. It is urgent that the Plaintiff regain possession of the rental premises in order to prepare the premises for re-rental / sale as soon as possible.

13. ☒ Condition of Property Upon Tenant(s) vacating the premises. Defendant(s) agree(s) that the rental premises will be left in broom-clean condition as of the agreed move-out date in Paragraph 5. Any personal property remaining in the rental premises after the move-out date and time may be treated by Plaintiff as abandoned property, and may be discarded, sold or disposed of by Plaintiff with no further notice to Defendant(s).

14. ☒ No post-judgment motions. Because the move-out date in Paragraph 5 is later in time than the 10 day-period the Court would have ordered if the case had gone to trial and if Plaintiff prevailed, Defendant hereby waives the right to seek any further extension of the move-out date, whether by hardship application, appeal, ex parte motion, or otherwise.

15. ☐ Defendant can Extend the move-out date to _____ by pre-paying $_____ to _____ ☐ in certified funds ☐ by check ☐ _____ on or before _____ _____

17. ☒ Forwarding Address. Defendant(s) is/are to provide her/his/their forwarding address or other contact information on or before the [illegible] date so the Plaintiff will know where to send the security deposit accounting owed to [illegible]

Supplemental terms concerning sealing, timing, condition on vacating, post-judgment relief, and forwarding add

User-supplied photograph of filed court document, July 7, 2026.

EXHIBIT L - Filed Stipulated Judgment - Page Image 4

Signature/judicial approval page; court directs entry of stipulation as judgment and orders sealing under the

User-supplied photograph of filed court document, July 7, 2026.

EXHIBIT M - External Media Lead - Verification Boundary

EVIDENTIARY USE AND LIMIT

This source is an unverified contextual lead and is not used as proof of missing funds, political motive, misconduct by Mayor Bass, or involvement in the Unit 3/4 matter.

Source

Saved MHT of The Kenya Times article, “City Officer Claims Housing Millions Disappeared for Mayor’s Political Survival,” by Wiltord Otieno, April 15, 2026. The article reports allegations attributed to undercover footage released by another media organization and references a CRCD-linked development.

Proper investigative use

- Identify and obtain the original recording, full unedited footage, speaker authentication, project files, audit records, City responses, and any official investigation.
- Determine whether any allegation concerns the same contracts, decision-makers, funding streams, or entities before using it in an enforcement analysis.
- Keep the article outside the core evidence until primary records corroborate a specific allegation.

EXHIBIT N - CalVCB Denial and Appeal Form - Collateral Consequence Only

EVIDENTIARY USE AND LIMIT

These documents do not establish an FPPC violation, a conflict of interest, or the legal status of Unit 3/4. They are retained only as a collateral administrative consequence and deadline record.

Document summary

- CalVCB Application A26-10253806, denial dated June 29, 2026.
- Denial states the submitted record did not establish a qualifying crime involving physical injury or threat of physical injury and references LAPD documentation.
- Appeal form states a 45-day filing period.

Use

Preserve separately from the core FPPC/LAHD/LADBS evidence so it does not dilute the decision-specific conflict inquiry.

EXHIBIT N - CalVCB Document 1



CalVCB
VICTIM COMPENSATION BOARD

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
GAVIN NEWSOM, Governor

6/29/2026

Kyle David Haab
1551 E 108th St
Unit 3/4
Los Angeles, CA 90002-3507

RE: APPLICATION FOR VICTIM COMPENSATION
CLAIMANT: Kyle David Haab
DATE OF CRIME: 8/7/2024
APPLICATION NUMBER: A26-10253806

Dear Kyle David Haab

Your application for crime victim compensation is denied.

A preponderance of the evidence does not show that you were a victim of a program qualifying crime. We have been unable to verify physical injury or threat of physical injury as a direct result of a qualifying crime. This Program covers specific types of crimes, which include crimes where a person is injured, threatened with injury, or killed because of a crime per Government Code Section 13955. Based on the crime documentation from the Los Angeles Police Department, you were not present at the time of the crime and there was no physical injury or threat of physical injury to you. Unfortunately, program compensation cannot be authorized at this time. If you do not agree with this determination, you may submit an appeal with additional crime documentation substantiating a program qualifying crime.

You have the right to appeal. **To appeal, you must complete the enclosed Appeal Form and return it within 45 days of the date of this letter.** If you filed your claim online, you may also use the online portal to file your appeal and upload additional documents.

Unless your appeal is successful, we are unable to make any payment(s) related to your application.

Whenever you call or write to us, please use your application number A26-10253806. Please also make sure your contact information stays updated. For questions, please call Customer Service at 1-800-777-9229, Monday - Friday Between the hours of 8 a.m. and 5 p.m.

Sincerely,

Customer Service Representative

VICTIM COMPENSATION PROGRAM
PO Box 400004
Sacramento, California 95840
Toll Free Number: 1-800-777-9229
For Hearing Impaired: 1-800-735-2929
www.victims.ca.gov

04036

A26-10253806

CalVCB denial letter.

User-supplied photograph; Application A26-10253806.

EXHIBIT N - CalVCB Document 2



STATE OF CALIFORNIA

APPEAL FORM

VCB-31-06045 (02/2024)

CalVCB

Application ID:
A26-10253806
Bill ID:

Name: Kyle David Haab

Date: 6/29/2026

If your application or bill was denied and you **do not agree** with this decision, you may file an appeal. An appeal must be postmarked or received within 45 days of the date on your denial letter. CalVCB will review your appeal and all information you provide.

- To file an appeal, you or your representative must complete this form and return it to CalVCB at the following address: Legal and Appeals Office, PO Box 350, Sacramento, CA 95812-0350.
- You may also submit this form by email (info@victims.ca.gov); by facsimile (victimsappeals.fax@victims.ca.gov) or upload this form using your CalVCB Online Portal login information.
- To file an appeal for the decision to deny or partially deny attorney fees, the attorney must complete this form and return it to CalVCB.

You must state the specific reason(s) you disagree with the denial and provide information, and/or documents to support the reason(s) you believe your claim should be approved. Additional sheets of paper may be used if needed. **If you do not appeal within 45 days, the denial will be final.**

Reasons(s) I disagree with this decision:

I certify that all the information I have provided is true and correct.

Applicant, Claimant, or Representative's Signature — Date

You are required to keep current contact information on file with CalVCB. If your information has changed, please update it below:

Address: _____ Daytime phone: (___) _____

City: _____ State _____ Zip _____

CALIFORNIA VICTIM COMPENSATION BOARD

06045 A26-10253806

CalVCB appeal form.

User-supplied photograph; Application A26-10253806.

PROPERTY ADDRESS-VARIANT AND COFO STATUS RECONCILIATION ADDENDUM

FPPC Complaint COM-06192026-02541 | CFCI-PA3-056-2023 | 26CMUD00417 | 25CMFL00694

Controlling precision point

The 2024 garage-conversion permit is shown by LADBS as finaled with a Certificate of Occupancy issued for the address range “1551-1551 3/4 E. 106th Street.” The residential lease identifies the premises more specifically as “1551 3/4 E. 106th Street.” The address range may include the leased subaddress, but address overlap alone does not prove that the exact physical premises delivered under the lease matched the approved 380-square-foot ADU, approved plans, attachment status, or final-inspection configuration.

Prepared July 8, 2026

Kyle Robert Haab | 657-557-4459 | kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

Important status distinction

The 2024 Certificate of Occupancy is not shown as “under investigation.” LADBS shows it as issued on July 18, 2024. The separate code-enforcement matter for 1551 E. 106th Street is shown as under investigation, and the 2026 permits indicate that another Certificate of Occupancy is to be issued concurrently after work involving the second-floor ADU and attached/detached classification. This addendum preserves that distinction.

Purpose: Add the three saved LADBS permit reports to the controlled FPPC record and explain why the address variants, permit statuses, and later COFO language require agency reconciliation before the legality of the leased unit is treated as settled.

1. Executive finding

Finding

The records support neither of two overbroad conclusions: (1) that no Certificate of Occupancy existed for any Unit 3/4 address, or (2) that the 2024 Certificate conclusively legalized every space rented or occupied under the label Unit 3/4. The correct issue is physical-unit identity and configuration.

- Permit 21014-10000-05021 uses the address range 1551-1551 3/4 E. 106th Street and shows “CofO Issued” on July 18, 2024 for a garage-to-ADU conversion.
- The lease identifies the premises as 1551 3/4 E. 106th Street, a subaddress contained within or associated with the broader permit-address range.
- Permit 26016-10000-11129 uses the exact lease-style address 1551 3/4 E. 106th Street and changes the use from attached ADU to detached ADU. It states that a COFO shall issue concurrently with Permit 25016-10000-33326.
- Permit 25016-10000-33326 uses 1551-1551 1/2 E. 106th Street and concerns conversion of the second floor of the two-story single-family dwelling into an ADU in response to Department Order / CEIS Case 1053480.
- The later permits were issued in June 2026 but the saved reports show no inspection history or pending inspections. The reports do not show the new concurrent COFO as issued.
- Therefore, the record requires LADBS/LAHD to map each address variant to a specific structure, floor, entrance, unit footprint, permit, and Certificate of Occupancy.

Sources: LADBS native MHT captures saved July 8, 2026; lease packet, first page; Certificate of Occupancy No. 252890; CEIS Case 1053480 / Order A-6604739.

2. Address and permit reconciliation matrix

Record	Address displayed	Subject	Status shown	Unresolved identity question
Lease dated Aug. 3, 2024	1551 3/4 E. 106th St.	Premises rented for $2,000/month	Executed lease document	Was the delivered premises exactly the 380-sq.-ft. approved garage ADU?
Permit 21014-10000-05021	1551-1551 3/4 E. 106th St.	Convert garage to ADU	CofO issued 7/18/2024; permit finaled	Does the address range encompass the lease unit, and did the occupied layout match approved plans?
Permit 26016-10000-11129	1551 3/4 E. 106th St.	Change attached ADU to detached ADU	Issued 6/18/2026; no inspections shown	Why was attachment status changed, and what condition existed during the tenancy?
Permit 25016-10000-33326	1551-1551 1/2 E. 106th St.	Convert second floor of SFD to ADU; comply with order	Issued 6/19/2026; no inspections shown	How does “1/2” relate to the main house, second-floor unit, breezeway, and “3/4” ADU?
CEIS 1053480 / Order A-6604739	1551 E. 106th St.	Main residence used as duplex; second-floor kitchen; breezeway/laundry	Under investigation; order effective 8/25/2025	Which violations affected the approved garage ADU versus the main residence or connecting structures?

Interpretive rule

An address range can be administratively valid while still leaving the physical identity of a leased unit unresolved. The decisive evidence is the approved plot plan, floor plan, unit dimensions, final-inspection record, address-assignment record, and comparison to the actual premises delivered to the tenants.

3. Permit, COFO, and enforcement chronology

Date	Record event
October 5, 2021	Permit 21014 submitted for garage-to-ADU conversion under address range 1551-1551 3/4.
April 5, 2023	Permit 21014 issued.
April-July 2024	Rough and final inspections progress; several correction/not-ready entries precede final approvals.
July 10, 2024	LADBS inspection history shows “OK to Issue CofO” and approved final components.
July 18, 2024	Permit 21014 finaled and CofO issued.
August 3, 2024	Lease identifies the rented premises as 1551 3/4 E. 106th Street.
July 7, 2025	LADBS code-enforcement complaint received for 1551 E. 106th Street; status shown as under investigation.
August 25, 2025	Order A-6604739 effective: main two-story residence used as duplex; second-floor kitchen and breezeway/laundry issues cited.
November 12, 2025	Permit 25016 submitted for second-floor ADU and compliance with CEIS 1053480.
April 27, 2026	Permit 26016 submitted to change attached ADU to detached ADU.
June 18-19, 2026	Permits 26016 and 25016 issued. Permit 26016 says COFO shall issue concurrently with Permit 25016.
July 8, 2026	Saved LADBS reports show both 2026 permits as issued, with no inspection request history or pending inspections displayed and no newly issued concurrent COFO displayed.

4. What “under investigation” does and does not mean

Do not conflate the records

The saved LADBS portal record for the code-enforcement complaint is the item shown as “UNDER INVESTIGATION.” Permit 21014 is separately shown as finaled with a CofO issued. The later permits are shown as issued, but the reports do not show the new concurrent COFO as issued.

4.1 Record-specific statuses

- 2024 garage ADU: finaled; CofO issued July 18, 2024.
- 2025 code-enforcement complaint / CEIS 1053480: under investigation.
- 2026 attached-to-detached ADU permit: issued June 18, 2026; no inspections shown; requires concurrent COFO with the second-floor permit.
- 2026 second-floor ADU permit: issued June 19, 2026; no inspections shown; expressly tied to compliance with the 2025 Department Order.

4.2 Accurate formulation for agencies and court records

“LADBS issued a 2024 Certificate of Occupancy for a garage-conversion ADU under the range address 1551-1551 3/4 E. 106th Street. The lease used the specific subaddress 1551 3/4. A separate 2025 enforcement case remains under investigation, and 2026 permits use both 1551 3/4 and 1551-1551 1/2 while requiring another concurrent COFO. The unresolved question is whether the exact premises leased and occupied matched the approved 2024 ADU throughout the tenancy and how the later attachment-status and second-floor permits affect that determination.”

5. Physical-unit identity test

The following records are needed to resolve the ambiguity

1. Approved 2024 plot plan showing the garage ADU location relative to the main residence and patio/breezeway.
2. Approved 2024 floor plan showing the exact 380-square-foot footprint, entrance, kitchen, bathroom, windows, utilities, and sleeping area.
3. Official address-assignment record identifying whether 1551 3/4 was assigned specifically to that garage ADU.
4. Final-inspection field notes and photographs from July 2024.
5. Inspection photographs and diagrams from the 2025 LADBS and LAHD enforcement matters.
6. Plans for the 2026 attached-to-detached conversion and the second-floor ADU permit.
7. The new or concurrent Certificate of Occupancy contemplated by Permit 26016.
8. A written City mapping of 1551, 1551A, 1551B, 1551 1/2, 1551 3/4, and each range address to the exact structure or floor.

Why this matters

The same subaddress can appear in a lease and in a permit record while the actual premises still differ in footprint, attachment status, approved use, or included areas. Conversely, use of a range address does not itself make the lease address invalid. Only the physical and plan comparison can resolve the issue.

6. Direct effect on unlawful-detainer case 26CMUD00417

- The lease and stipulated judgment use the specific premises address 1551 3/4 E. 106th Street.
- The stipulated judgment resolved possession, move-out obligations, lease forfeiture, security-deposit treatment, and sealing conditions. It did not contain a technical LADBS determination that the leased premises matched the approved 2024 ADU plans.
- No monetary judgment for past-due rent or holdover damages appears entered in the photographed first page of the stipulation; the specific signed terms control.
- The legality/identity ambiguity remains relevant to how the rental transaction, public rental-assistance placement, habitability history, and later housing instability are described in administrative and family-law records.
- The ambiguity does not automatically invalidate the stipulated judgment. It supports a separate request for accurate City and funding records and for careful limits on what the settlement is said to have decided.

Controlled conclusion

The unlawful-detainer settlement should not be represented as an adjudication that Unit 3/4 was either lawful or unlawful. The settlement resolved the terms written into the stipulation; the City records remain necessary to determine the physical-unit and permit questions.

7. FPPC, governance, and HUD relevance

7.1 FPPC relevance

- The address/COFO ambiguity is not itself an FPPC violation.
- It is relevant as a transaction-control issue because public or publicly administered rental-assistance records may identify the property using different address variants.
- The lease, landlord verification, W-9, ACH, invoices, reimbursement claims, monitoring records, and agency approvals should be reconciled to the exact physical unit and applicable CofO.
- FPPC's core question remains whether a covered official or consultant participated in a governmental decision while holding a disqualifying or undisclosed financial interest. The property ambiguity is supporting context, not a substitute for the official-interest-decision link.

7.2 HUD / program-integrity relevance

- HUD or another oversight office should determine whether any federal program, pass-through award, participant-protection rule, or monitoring duty applied to the placement.
- If the placement was federally connected, the oversight record should show which unit was inspected or accepted, which address was used, what habitability or occupancy evidence was reviewed, and whether later enforcement information was reported.
- The agency should not treat the 2024 CofO range address as conclusive without matching it to the premises delivered under the lease.

7.3 Governance record

The previously submitted governance addendum identifies the public-role and CRCD-role overlap requiring careful conflict screening. This property supplement does not allege that the Mayor, Mark Wilson, LAHD, or LADBS caused the address ambiguity or influenced the unlawful-detainer matter. It asks FPPC and oversight reviewers to preserve the decision, disclosure, recusal, contract, payment, monitoring, and unit-identification records needed to test any such theory rather than infer it from titles or timing.

8. Requested determinations and preservation

9. Identify the exact physical unit covered by Certificate of Occupancy No. 252890 and Permit 21014-10000-05021.
10. State whether 1551 3/4 is the officially assigned address for that unit and explain the range notation 1551-1551 3/4.
11. Explain why Permit 26016 changed the unit from attached to detached and identify the physical condition before and after the change.
12. Identify the purpose and current status of the new concurrent COFO required by Permit 26016.
13. Explain how 1551-1551 1/2 under Permit 25016 relates to the second floor, the main dwelling, and the Unit 3/4 ADU.
14. Identify which portions of the property remain under investigation and which portions were approved or finaled.
15. Reconcile every address variant used in LADBS, LAHD, lease, funding, payment, inspection, and court records.
16. Preserve native permit records, plan sets, field notes, photographs, address-assignment records, emails, audit logs, and metadata.
17. For CFCI/CRCD/Amity/JCOD records, identify which address and physical unit was approved for payment and what occupancy or habitability documentation was relied upon.
18. Avoid characterizing the unlawful-detainer stipulation as deciding the technical unit-legality question.

9. Exhibit index and source-control notes

Ex.	Source	Key point	Source-control detail
A	LADBS MHT - Permit 21014	Range address; CofO issued 7/18/2024; permit finaled	Saved 7/8/2026 7:45:04 AM; SHA-256 a10e6c5b35c36045...
B	LADBS MHT - Permit 26016	Exact lease-style address; attached-to-detached change; concurrent COFO language	Saved 7/8/2026 7:45:37 AM; SHA-256 e0507f7a8ba1ffe0...
C	LADBS MHT - Permit 25016	Address 1551-1551 1/2; second-floor ADU; tied to CEIS 1053480	Saved 7/8/2026 7:46:46 AM; SHA-256 c0f238903656f0ba...
D	Residential lease, page 1	Specific premises address 1551 3/4; tenancy beginning 8/3/2024	Source: 1551 34 (1).pdf, page 1
E1-E2	Certificate of Occupancy No. 252890	Range address 1551-1551 3/4; garage-to-ADU; 380 sq. ft.	City/LADBS certificate dated 7/18/2024, divided into two legible image pages
F1-F2	LADBS code-enforcement portal screenshot	Complaint status “UNDER INVESTIGATION”; violations tied to 1551 E. 106th	Captured from LADBS portal; CEIS 1053480 / Order A-6604739, divided into two legible image pages

Evidentiary limitation

The MHT captures preserve the text and presentation of the LADBS permit pages as saved on July 8, 2026. They are not certified records. Certified plan sets, address-assignment records, inspection files, and Certificates of Occupancy remain the best evidence for a final technical determination.

Exhibit A - LADBS native-source extract: Permit 21014-10000-05021

Source file: Permit and Inspection Report Detail.mht
Saved header date: Wed, 08 Jul 2026 07:45:04 -0700
SHA-256: a10e6c5b35c36045899f41f702657dff147f71fe639b93a38d9e7fb09cfe53c8

```
1551-1551 3/4 E 106TH ST    90002
Certificate Information:
            1551-1551 3/4 E 106TH ST    90002
Application / Permit
21014-10000-05021
Plan Check / Job No.
B21LA18647
Group
Building
Type
Bldg-Alter/Repair
Sub-Type
1 or 2 Family Dwelling
Primary Use
(1) Accessory Dwelling Unit
Work Description
CONVERT GARAGE TO ADU
Permit Issued
Issued on 4/5/2023
Issuing Office
Metro
Current Status
CofO Issued
on 7/18/2024
Certificate of Occupancy
CofO Issued
More Information
Permit Application Status History
Submitted
10/5/2021
APPLICANT
Assigned to Plan Check Engineer
10/21/2021
ARSALAN SHABESTARI
Corrections Issued
10/26/2021
ARSALAN SHABESTARI
Quality Review Completed
11/5/2021
GARO TELMI
Building Plans Picked Up
11/23/2021
APPLICANT
Plan Check Approved
6/29/2022
ARSALAN SHABESTARI
Issued
4/5/2023
APPLICANT
CofO in Progress
7/18/2024
ANSON MAGLASANG
CofO Issued
7/18/2024
ANSON MAGLASANG
Permit Finaled
7/18/2024
ANSON MAGLASANG
Permit Application Clearance Information
Address approval
Cleared
12/7/2021
CINDY QI
Eng Process Fee Ord 176,300
Cleared
12/9/2021
CINDY QI
Sewer availability
Cleared
2/14/2022
CINDY QI
Low Impact Development
```

Cleared
3/4/2022
KHAING AYE
Roof/Waste drainage to street
Cleared
3/15/2022
CINDY QI
Contact Information
Contractor
Ebenezer Construction; Lic. No.: 657529-B
9214 MANZANAR AVE DOWNEY, CA 90240
Inspector Information
JOHN KLARIN, (310) 732-4558
Office Hours: 7:00-8:00 AM MON-FRI
ARTHUR NEGRETE, (310) 732-4558
Office Hours: 7:00-8:00 AM MON-FRI
Pending Inspections
No Data Available.
Inspection Request History
Drywall Nailing
12/14/2023
Approved
JOHN KLARIN
BUILDING-Rough-Frame
4/1/2024
Corrections Issued
BEN MOLSTAD
ELECTRICAL-Rough
4/1/2024
Corrections Issued
BEN MOLSTAD
Gas Test
4/1/2024
Approved
BEN MOLSTAD
Green Building Rough
4/1/2024
Not Ready for Inspection
BEN MOLSTAD
HVAC-Rough
4/1/2024
Corrections Issued
BEN MOLSTAD
PLUMBING-Rough
4/1/2024
Corrections Issued
BEN MOLSTAD
Sewer
4/1/2024
Approved
BEN MOLSTAD
BUILDING-Rough-Frame
4/11/2024
Not Ready for Inspection
BEN MOLSTAD
ELECTRICAL-Rough
4/11/2024
Not Ready for Inspection
BEN MOLSTAD
Green Building Rough
4/11/2024
Not Ready for Inspection
BEN MOLSTAD
HVAC-Rough
4/11/2024
Not Ready for Inspection
BEN MOLSTAD
Insulation
4/11/2024
Not Ready for Inspection
BEN MOLSTAD
PLUMBING-Rough
4/11/2024
Not Ready for Inspection
BEN MOLSTAD
BUILDING-Rough-Frame
4/24/2024
Approved

BEN MOLSTAD
ELECTRICAL-Rough
4/24/2024
Approved
BEN MOLSTAD
Green Building Rough
4/24/2024
Approved
BEN MOLSTAD
Insulation
4/24/2024
Approved
BEN MOLSTAD
PLUMBING-Rough
4/24/2024
Approved
BEN MOLSTAD
Drywall Nailing
5/7/2024
Approved
BEN MOLSTAD
Final
6/5/2024
Corrections Issued
BEN MOLSTAD
Green Building Final
6/5/2024
Approved
BEN MOLSTAD
SGSOV-Seismic Gas S/O Valve
6/5/2024
SGSOV Approved
BEN MOLSTAD
Smoke Detectors
6/5/2024
Approved
BEN MOLSTAD
ELECTRICAL-Final
6/20/2024
Approved
BEN MOLSTAD
Final
6/20/2024
Corrections Issued
BEN MOLSTAD
HVAC-Final
6/20/2024
Approved
BEN MOLSTAD
PLUMBING-Final
6/20/2024
Approved
BEN MOLSTAD
Final
7/3/2024
Corrections Issued
BEN MOLSTAD
ELECTRICAL-Final
7/10/2024
Approved
BEN MOLSTAD
Final
7/10/2024
OK to Issue CofO
BEN MOLSTAD
Green Building Final
7/10/2024
Approved
BEN MOLSTAD
HVAC-Final
7/10/2024
Approved
BEN MOLSTAD
PLUMBING-Final
7/10/2024
Approved
BEN MOLSTAD
Pre-Inspection

7/10/2024
Approved
BEN MOLSTAD
SGSOV-Seismic Gas S/O Valve
7/10/2024
SGSOV Approved
BEN MOLSTAD

Exhibit B - LADBS native-source extract: Permit 26016-10000-11129

Source file: Permit and Inspection Report Detail (1).mht
Saved header date: Wed, 08 Jul 2026 07:45:37 -0700
SHA-256: e0507f7a8ba1ffe04f4b302f46687bb3b5dfc65718299ed0806b33dfa032e216

1551 3/4 E 106TH ST 90002
Certificate Information:
1551 3/4 E 106TH ST 90002
Application / Permit
26016-10000-11129
Plan Check / Job No.
B26LA16515
Group
Building
Type
Bldg-Alter/Repair
Sub-Type
1 or 2 Family Dwelling
Primary Use
(1) Accessory Dwelling Unit
Work Description
EPLAN. CHANGE OF USE FROM ATTACHED ADU TO DETACHED ADU WORK PER LAMC 12.22 A.33(b)(1). *REMOVAL OF ATTACHED PATIO COVER UNDER 25016-10000-33326. COFO SHALL BE ISSUED CONCURRENTLY WITH PERMIT UNDER 25016-10000-33326*
Permit Issued
Issued on 6/18/2026
Issuing Office
Current Status
Issued
on 6/18/2026
Permit Application Status History
Submitted
4/27/2026
APPLICANT
Assigned to Plan Check Engineer
4/28/2026
NEHEMIAS RAMOS
Corrections Issued
4/29/2026
NEHEMIAS RAMOS
Plan Check Approved
6/4/2026
CARLOS MONTES
Plan Check Approved
6/18/2026
CARLOS MONTES
Issued
6/18/2026
APPLICANT
Permit Application Clearance Information
No Data Available.
Contact Information
Contractor
Ebenezer Construction; Lic. No.: 657529-B
,
Inspector Information
JOHN KLARIN, (310) 732-4558
Office Hours: 7:00-8:00 AM MON-FRI
ARTHUR NEGRETE, (310) 732-4558
Office Hours: 7:00-8:00 AM MON-FRI
Pending Inspections
No Data Available.
Inspection Request History
No Data Available.

Exhibit C - LADBS native-source extract: Permit 25016-10000-33326

Source file: Permit and Inspection Report Detail (2).mht
Saved header date: Wed, 08 Jul 2026 07:46:46 -0700
SHA-256: c0f238903656f0ba8df9c96e1053b22ea199ce26bcb491b2d87e8e1ec276c930

1551-1551 1/2 E 106TH ST 90002
Certificate Information:
1551-1551 1/2 E 106TH ST 90002
Application / Permit
25016-10000-33326
Plan Check / Job No.
B25LA47334
Group
Building
Type
Bldg-Alter/Repair
Sub-Type
1 or 2 Family Dwelling
Primary Use
(1) Accessory Dwelling Unit
Work Description
Eplan: Convert existing 2nd floor of 2-story SFD into ADU per GC 66323(a)(1). Removal of attached patio cover. *** "COMPLY WITH DEPARTMENT ORDER effective date 08/25/2025. PERMIT WILL EXPIRE 30 DAYS FROM ISSUANCE DATE". CEIS Case Number is 1053480.***
Permit Issued
Issued on 6/19/2026
Issuing Office
Current Status
Issued
on 6/19/2026
Permit Application Status History
Submitted
11/12/2025
APPLICANT
Assigned to Plan Check Engineer
11/14/2025
JOHNPAUL ISIP
Corrections Issued
11/15/2025
JOHNPAUL ISIP
Applicant returned to address corrections
3/25/2026
JOHNPAUL ISIP
Plan Check Approved
6/18/2026
DAVID CASTILLO
Issued
6/19/2026
APPLICANT
Permit Application Clearance Information
Address approval
Cleared
12/16/2025
ADRIAN SANCHEZ
Eng Process Fee Ord 176,300
Cleared
2/19/2026
ADRIAN SANCHEZ
Contact Information
Contractor
Ebenezer Construction; Lic. No.: 657529-B
,
Engineer
Espino,, Manuel A; Lic. No.: C55526
12109 WOODRUFF AVE DOWNEY, CA 90241
Inspector Information
No Data Available.
Pending Inspections
No Data Available.
Inspection Request History
No Data Available.

Exhibit D - Lease page identifying the premises as 1551 3/4 E. 106th Street

RESIDENTIAL LEASE AGREEMENT

1. **PARTIES.** This Residential Lease Agreement ("Agreement") made this _____ is between:
Landlord Name: Christopher Ceballos with a mailing address
of: P.O. Box 1111 Downey CA 90241 ("Landlord"), AND
Tenant Name(s): Kyle Robert Frahm ("Tenant(s)"). Landlord
and Tenant are each collectively referred to as the "Parties."

2. **PROPERTY.** The Landlord agrees to lease the described property to the Tenant:
Address: 1551 3/4 E 106 24 St Los Angeles 90002 ("Premises").
Residence Type: ☐ Single-family ☐ Apartment ☐ Condominium ☐ Other: Unit

3. **TERM.**
The Agreement shall begin on 9/3/24 and end on 9/3/25 ("Term").

4. **RENT.**
The Tenant shall pay the Landlord in equal monthly installments of $2,000 ("Rent"). The Rent shall be due on the 1st of every month and paid under the following instructions:
_____

5. **SECURITY DEPOSIT.** The Tenant (check one):
☒ Shall deposit with the Landlord the sum of $2,000 as security for any damage caused to the Premises during the Term. Such deposit shall be returned to the Tenant, less any itemized deductions, within _____ days after the end of the Term.
☐ Shall NOT be required to pay a security deposit.

6. **SIGNATURES.**
Landlord Signature: _____ Date: 9/2/24
Printed Name: Christopher Ceballos
Tenant Signature: _____ Date: _____
Printed Name: _____

eSign

Page 1 of 1

Residential lease, page 1. The lease uses the specific subaddress "1551 3/4 E. 106th Street."

Exhibit E1 - Certificate of Occupancy No. 252890 (upper portion)



CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY

OWNER: CNC DEVELOPMENT GROUP LLC AND

JANI REALTY AND INVESTMENTS LLC
0 PO BOX 39094
DOWNEY CA 90239

No building or structure or portion thereof and no trailer park or portion thereof shall be used or occupied until a Certificate of Occupancy has been issued thereof.

CERTIFICATE: Issued-Valid
BY: ANSON MAGLASANG
DATE: 07/18/2024

GREEN - MANDATORY

SITE IDENTIFICATION
ADDRESS: 1551-1551 3/4 E 106TH ST 90002

LEGAL DESCRIPTION

TRACT	BLOCK	LOT(s)	ARB	CO. MAP REF. #	PARCEL PIN	APN
DILLER TRACT		96		35 B 5-189	898A213 169	6065-016-024

This certifies that, so far as ascertained or made known to the undersigned, the building or portion of building described below and located at the above address(es) complies with the applicable construction requirements (Chapter 9) and/or the applicable zoning requirements (Chapter 1) of the Los Angeles Municipal Code for the use and occupancy group to which it is classified and with applicable requirements of the State Housing Law for the following occupancies and is subject to any conditions as set forth on the reverse side of this certificate, whether listed or not.

COMMENT: CONVERT EXISTING GARAGE PORTION OF AN EXISTING SINGLE-FAMILY DWELLING INTO AN ACCESSORY DWELLING UNIT.

USE: PRIMARY: Dwelling - Single Family; OTHER: Accessory Dwelling Unit

PERMITS
21014-10000-69021

STRUCTURAL INVENTORY

ITEM DESCRIPTION	CHANGED	TOTAL
Accessory Dwelling Unit	1 Units	1 Units
Dwelling Unit	0 Units	
Floor Area (ZC)	308 Sqft	
Height (ZC)	0 Feet	
Length	0 Feet	
Stories	0 Stories	
Type V-B Construction		
Width	0 Feet	
R3 Occ. Group	308 Sqft	
U Occ. Group	-308 Sqft	
Parking Req'd for Bldg (Auto+Bicycle)	0 Stalls	

CITY LA DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING

APPROVAL

CERTIFICATE NUMBER:	252890
BRANCH OFFICE:	LA
COUNCIL DISTRICT:	15
BUREAU:	INSPECTN
DIVISION:	RESDINSP
STATUS:	CofO Issued
STATUS BY:	ANSON MAGL
STATUS DATE:	07/18/2024
APPROVED BY:	ANSON MAGL
EXPIRATION DATE:	

Page 2 of 2

Certificate No: 252890

Upper portion of the July 18, 2024 Certificate of Occupancy. The site-identification line uses the range address “1551-1551 3/4 E. 106th Street.”

Exhibit E2 - Certificate of Occupancy No. 252890 (lower portion)



Page 2 of 2

PERMIT DETAIL

PERMIT NUMBER	PERMIT ADDRESS	PERMIT DESCRIPTION	STATUS - DATE - BY
21014-10000-05021	1551-1551 3/4 E 106th St	CONVERT GARAGE TO ADU	

PARCEL INFORMATION

PARCEL DOCUMENT

CHECKLIST ITEMS

Attachment - Plot Plan
Combine Elec - Wrk. per 91.107.2.1.1.1
Combine HVAC - Wrk. per 91.107.2.1.1.1
Combine Plumbg - Wrk. per 91.107.2.1.1.1
Special Inspect - Structural Observation
Std. Work Descr - Seismic Gas Shut Off Valve

PROPERTY OWNER, TENANT, APPLICANT INFORMATION

OWNER(S)
Cuc Development Group Llc And — P Po Box 20094 — DOWNEY CA 90239

TENANT

APPLICANT
Mayra Reyes — (323) 707-1772

BUILDING RELOCATED FROM:

CONTRACTOR, ARCHITECT & ENGINEER INFORMATION

NAME	ADDRESS	CLASS	LICENSE #	PHONE #
(C) Elevator Construction	8214 Manoment Ave. Downey, CA 90242	B	657510	

SITE IDENTIFICATION: ALL

ADDRESS:
1551-1551 3/4 E 106TH ST 90002

LEGAL DESCRIPTION: ALL

TRACT	BLOCK	LOT(s)	ARB	CD MAP REF #	PARCEL NO	APN
DILLER TRACT		96		35 B 5-180	090A213 160	6065-016-024

LA DBS
DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING AND SAFETY

Lower portion of the certificate and permit detail. The permit description identifies the garage-to-ADU conversion under Permit 21014-10000-05021.

Exhibit F1 - Code-enforcement portal status (upper portion)



Upper portal section showing the July 7, 2025 complaint for 1551 E. 106th Street, inspector Keith Edghill, and status "UNDER INVESTIGATION."

Exhibit F2 - Code-enforcement portal status (violation list)

Order Number	Order Type	Effective Date	Issued By	Phone
0	ORDER TO COMPLY	8/25/2025	KEITH EDGHILL	(310)732-4533

Code Violation Information

Violation	Date in Compliance
Building or structure is being used, occupied, or it's existing occupancy classification has changed without the issuance of a valid Certificate of Occupancy.	
Hazardous Mechanical Equipment (heating, air conditioning, refrigeration or ventilation) in the water heater installation.	
The approximate 10' x 10' remodel of the 2nd floor foyer to a kitchen was/is constructed without the required permits and approvals.	
Electrical work has been done without the required permits and approvals.	
Plumbing work has been done without the required permits and approvals.	

Lower portal section listing the Certificate-of-Occupancy, mechanical, second-floor kitchen, electrical, and plumbing issues.

PART III
MARCELLA GARDENS / OVERSIGHT NEXUS

Separate-project comparison, LAHD-LADBS and unlawful-detainer relevance, and FPPC screening boundaries

CRCD / MARCELLA GARDENS / LAHD-LADBS / UNLAWFUL-DETAINER / FPPC

Focused Investigative Nexus, Records-Preservation, and Evidentiary Analysis

Prepared for	Kyle Robert Haab
Related matters	FPPC Complaint COM-06192026-02541; Unlawful-Detainer Case 26CMUD00417; Family Case 25CMFL00694
Property	1551 3/4 E. 106th Street, Los Angeles, California 90002, including address variants appearing in agency records
Program references	CRCD / Project Tipping Point; CFCI-PA3-056-2023; landlord-verification, W-9, ACH, and rental-assistance records
Date	July 2026

Purpose. This report explains the documented structural similarities and critical distinctions between reported allegations concerning the Marcella Gardens development and the separate CRCD-assisted placement at 1551 3/4 E. 106th Street. It identifies the immediate relevance to unit-status ambiguity, the unlawful-detainer record, public-program oversight, and possible FPPC screening.

Important limitation. The reported allegations are not an LAHD admission, an adjudicated fact, or proof that the same conduct occurred in the 1551 3/4 placement. This report separates verified source-record facts from allegations, inferences, and records still required.

CONFIDENTIAL / SENSITIVE RECORD REVIEW

1. Executive Summary

The strongest supported position is that the Marcella Gardens matter and the 1551 3/4 placement are **separate transactions involving a common nonprofit housing actor, CRCD, within fragmented City and County oversight systems**. The Marcella Gardens materials demonstrate a formal DMH-CRCD permanent-supportive-housing relationship with monitoring, compliance, data, grievance, and records duties. The Project Tipping Point materials independently show that CRCD was funded to provide rental subsidies, housing-stability services, placement assistance, and tracking for former foster youth. The 1551 3/4 packet then shows a lease, landlord-verification form, ACH authorization, and W-9 assembled for a CRCD-connected placement.

The evidentiary concern is not that one document proves political protection or financial misconduct. It is that the available record presents a recurring **oversight gap**: formal housing-program controls exist, but the complete contemporaneous record has not yet reconciled the exact property identity, legal occupancy configuration, payment authority, inspection status, responsible custodian, and corrective action before and during the rental-assistance period.

That gap has direct consequences because the unlawful-detainer judgment identifies the premises as 1551 3/4 E. 106th Street, while agency records contain multiple address variants and an enforcement history. The issue therefore concerns more than a generalized housing complaint. It concerns the factual identity and status of the premises that became the subject of possession litigation, together with the public-program placement and payment chain that preceded the litigation.

For FPPC purposes, the matter becomes actionable only if records identify a covered official, governmental decision, contribution or financial interest, participation, disclosure failure, or use of public resources for campaign purposes. Political access or institutional overlap alone is insufficient.

Core conclusion

The proper presentation is a records-preservation and conflict-screening request, not an accusation: cross-reference the Marcella Gardens allegations as notice of a claimed oversight problem; independently investigate the 1551 3/4 placement through the lease, landlord, payment, address, inspection, enforcement, and decision records; and determine whether any official conflict, contribution, recusal, or campaign-related issue exists.

2. Source Classification: Fact, Allegation, Inference, and Missing Proof

Category	What the present record supports	What it does not yet prove
Verified source fact	DMH and CRCD executed an MOU for Marcella Gardens, a 60-unit PSH development with 29 DMH-funded units, and assigned monitoring, coordination, reporting, grievance, privacy, and records duties.	That the 1551 3/4 placement was part of Marcella Gardens or funded through the same capital source.
Verified source fact	Project Tipping Point described rental subsidies, housing-stability services, placement assistance, participant choice, and tracking for eligible students.	That every placement was inspected or approved by a particular City or County agency before payment.
Verified source fact	The 1551 3/4 packet contains a residential lease, landlord-verification form, CRCD ACH authorization, and W-9.	That the exact unit designation was legally resolved, inspected, or reconciled across all agency systems.
Reported allegation	A press article reports that a City housing finance officer alleged missing funds, weak corrective action, and political reluctance to challenge certain developers, including a reference to Marcella Gardens/CRCD.	That LAHD admitted wrongdoing, that the allegations were adjudicated, or that they apply to the 1551 3/4 placement.
Reasonable investigative inference	The common CRCD role and fragmented oversight justify cross-agency records preservation, conflict screening, and reconciliation of approval and payment records.	Intentional concealment, theft, pay-to-play conduct, or political protection without underlying communications and financial records.

3. Structural Similarities Requiring Investigation

3.1 Common institutional actor

CRCD is the common institutional actor, but in different capacities. In Marcella Gardens, CRCD is the project sponsor/developer under a formal DMH MOU. In Project Tipping Point, CRCD is the funded program operator providing rental subsidies and housing-stability support. In the 1551 3/4 transaction, the preserved packet includes the lease and the landlord, W-9, and ACH instruments used in a CRCD-connected payment process.

This common role supports inquiry into governance, custody of records, approval pathways, monitoring, conflict-screening, and whether the same executives, compliance personnel, finance staff, or public-agency liaisons participated in both program environments. It does not establish a common funding source or common misconduct.

3.2 Formal oversight controls versus unresolved reconciliation

The Marcella Gardens MOU requires ongoing DMH monitoring of rent rolls, vacancies, resident outcomes, property-management compliance, supportive services, data reporting, grievance procedures, and coordination meetings. The Project Tipping Point scope likewise describes housing support, rental assistance, placement, tracking, and participant choice. These documents describe systems that should ordinarily generate a traceable administrative record.

The unresolved issue is whether the 1551 3/4 placement generated and retained a complete record showing: the precise legal identity of the unit; the property record searched; the inspection or habitability review performed; the person who approved the landlord; the payment source and dates; the address used in each system; notice of enforcement or code issues; and the decision made after those issues were known.

3.3 Fragmented City-County-provider oversight

The records implicate multiple custodians: CRCD, JCOD/CFCI, Amity, DMH, LAHD, LADBS, LAHSA/HMIS, the landlord, and potentially the Mayor's Office or other City officials. Fragmentation creates a predictable failure mode: each entity can identify another custodian while no entity reconciles the complete transaction.

DMH's April 20, 2026 response is therefore significant but not dispositive. DMH stated that it found no responsive records after searching the supplied person, address, identifier, CRCD, Project Tipping Point, Amity, and date information. That response supports a targeted follow-up asking whether capital-investment, clinical, CES, contractor, supportive-housing, payment, and partner-held systems were separately searched and whether records were transferred, excluded, or treated as nonresponsive.

3.4 Political-access comparison

The article supplied by the requester reports allegations that political considerations affected enforcement and that developers with access to the Mayor's Office were not challenged. The article is an allegation source, not an agency admission. A public or advisory position held by a CRCD executive may establish institutional access, but access alone does not prove influence, conflict, fundraising activity, recusal failure, or direction to alter enforcement.

A supported political-protection theory would require calendars, meeting records, communications, contribution records, Form 700 filings, recusal records, approval memoranda, enforcement instructions, and evidence connecting a specific official to a specific governmental decision.

4. Critical Distinctions That Must Be Preserved

Marcella Gardens and 1551 3/4 are not the same project. Marcella Gardens is a permanent-supportive-housing development governed by a DMH-CRCD MOU and separate capital-loan and regulatory documents. The 1551 3/4 transaction is a participant-level rental-assistance placement documented through a residential lease, landlord-verification form, ACH authorization, and W-9.

- The present record does not establish that 1551 3/4 was a Marcella Gardens unit.
- The present record does not establish that Marcella Gardens capital funds paid rent at 1551 3/4.
- The present record does not establish that DMH approved the specific 1551 3/4 placement.
- The present record does not establish that the same City development funds were involved.
- The present record does not establish that the reported Marcella Gardens allegations caused the unlawful-detainer case.

The accurate formulation is that the two matters present separate transactions with a common provider and potentially overlapping oversight, governance, and records-custody questions.

5. Immediate Nexus to Unit Legality and the Unlawful-Detainer Record

The immediate nexus arises because the public-program placement, the exact premises designation, the unit-status records, and the possession judgment concern the same occupancy. The question is not whether housing-code ambiguity automatically nullifies an unlawful-detainer judgment. The question is whether material facts about the premises and the publicly supported placement were accurately identified, preserved, disclosed, and considered.

Issue	Why it matters to the UD record	Required reconciliation
Exact premises identity	The judgment and lease identify 1551 3/4 E. 106th Street, while other systems use variants such as 1551 E. 106th Street Apt 3/4 or combined address ranges.	Parcel, permit, certificate-of-occupancy, rent-registration, and enforcement records tied to each variant.
Occupancy status	An unresolved occupancy or certificate issue may affect the factual description of the premises, inspection history, and disclosure record.	LADBS case history, orders to comply, permit closeout, COFO records, inspection notes, photographs, and responsible-person communications.
Program placement	The placement was not merely a private landlord-tenant transaction if public or grant-connected actors selected, documented, or paid the landlord.	CRCD, JCOD/CFCI, Amity, landlord, W-9, ACH, invoice, payment, eligibility, approval, and monitoring records.
Notice and corrective action	The timing of agency knowledge may affect whether risks were preventable and whether the tenant was blamed for a program-created instability.	Who knew what, when; who communicated with the landlord; whether payments continued; and what relocation or remediation was considered.
Settlement scope	A possession settlement ordinarily does not resolve every collateral public-contracting, records, conflict, code, or program-administration question.	Review the signed settlement language, releases, reservations, sealing terms, and matters outside the UD court's adjudication.

Analytical limit: The existence of an inspection, permit, address, or COFO discrepancy does not by itself determine the legal effect on the judgment. That effect depends on the precise records, pleadings, settlement language, preserved defenses, and applicable law. This report identifies the factual nexus and discovery need.

6. Housing Stability and Family-Law Consequences

The housing issue is not functionally separate from the family-law matter where reunification and parental stability remain active concerns. A publicly supported placement that later becomes unstable can influence visitation logistics, service eligibility, perceptions of parental cooperation, and the practical ability to reunify. The causal question is whether the instability arose from parental conduct, from an unresolved property or payment problem, from agency classification barriers, or from a combination of causes.

For a child-centered review, the record should distinguish between: (1) the parents' conduct; (2) program design and phase-out; (3) landlord and property conditions; (4) address or unit-status ambiguity; (5) agency eligibility rules that exclude parents without current custody; and (6) downstream use of housing instability as an adverse fact. This distinction is necessary to avoid treating system-created instability as proof of parental unwillingness or noncooperation.

7. FPPC Relevance: What Would Make the Matter Actionable

The FPPC generally regulates conflicts of interest, disclosure, campaign finance, pay-to-play restrictions in covered proceedings, and use of public resources for campaign activity. Poor housing administration, code ambiguity, or an unfavorable unlawful-detainer result is not independently an FPPC violation.

A viable screening theory requires evidence of one or more of the following:

- A specific public official participating in a governmental decision affecting a disqualifying financial interest.
- A contribution, gift, income source, investment, business relationship, or other reportable interest connected to the decision.
- A covered contract, permit, license, entitlement, funding award, loan, enforcement decision, or other proceeding involving a contributor or financially interested party.
- Failure to disclose, recuse, or comply with applicable conflict-of-interest procedures.
- Use of public staff, funds, communications, or resources for campaign purposes rather than legitimate governmental activity.
- Evidence that an enforcement, audit, payment, or corrective-action decision was altered because of fundraising, reelection, or political-support considerations.

The article may support preservation and screening because it reports an allegation of political motive. It does not substitute for the underlying contribution records, calendars, emails, memoranda, Form 700 records, contract files, or decision documents.

8. Targeted Records-Preservation and Production Demands

The following records are narrowly tailored to test the theory without assuming wrongdoing:

Custodian / lane	Requested records
CRCD / Project Tipping Point	Complete participant file; intake and eligibility; housing search; unit and landlord vetting; case notes; internal approvals; funding source; subsidy schedule; payment ledger; W-9; ACH; landlord verification; lease versions; communications; complaints; incident, relocation, and closeout records.
JCOD / CFCI / Amity	Contract CFCI-PA3-056-2023; scope, amendments, invoices, deliverables, monitoring, payment authorization, subcontracting, data reports, participant-level approvals, corrective action, and custodian map.
LAHD	Rent-registration and property-profile records for every address variant; complaint and inspection files; August 15, 2025 correspondence; internal review of any incorrect or withdrawn unapproved-unit notice; referral and escalation records; communications with CRCD, landlord, Mayor's Office, LADBS, JCOD, or counsel.
LADBS	Permit and inspection history; COFO/certificate records; open and closed cases; orders to comply; inspection photographs and notes; address-merger or unit-renumbering records; responsible-person contacts; and communications about the 1551 / 1551 3/4 variants.
DMH	Search logs and custodians for the April 2026 PRA response; capital-investment, CES, clinical, housing, supportive-service, contractor, partner, and records-referral systems; and any CRCD governance or compliance communications relevant to the same executive or provider.
Mayor's Office / City ethics and campaign records	Calendars, meetings, communications, contribution and fundraising records, Form 700 and recusal records, and instructions concerning Marcella Gardens, CRCD, LAHD enforcement, or related funding decisions.
Landlord / property owner	All lease drafts; property disclosures; permit and COFO representations; communications with CRCD and agencies; payment records; tax forms; bank-payment records; complaints; notices; repairs; inspections; and UD communications.
Court and counsel records	Complete UD stipulation, supplemental terms, exhibits, proof of service, transcript or recording if any, communications about settlement scope, and records concerning whether the public-program and unit-status issues were disclosed or preserved.

9. Recommended Submission Language

The Marcella Gardens allegations do not establish that the same funds or decisions governed my Project Tipping Point placement. They are nevertheless relevant as notice of a potentially similar oversight structure involving CRCD: public or publicly connected housing funds, fragmented City and County monitoring, continued operations or payments despite unresolved questions, and a reported allegation of institutional reluctance to scrutinize a politically connected provider. My 1551 3/4 records independently require reconciliation of the landlord-selection process, unit-legality review, payment authorization, address and Certificate-of-Occupancy discrepancies, LAHD/LADBS enforcement notice, and the decisions made after those conditions became known. I request that these matters be cross-referenced for records-preservation and conflict-screening purposes without treating the reported officer allegations as established fact.

10. Source and Exhibit Locator

- **Source A - MHMOU363_CRCD_Marcella Gardens aka 68th Main-PSH(6).pdf.** Fourteen pages. Key locations: cover and project identity (pp. 1-2); purpose and coordination model (pp. 3-4); DMH monitoring and supportive-services duties (pp. 4-6); CRCD/project-sponsor and property-management duties (pp. 6-9); data, communication, notices, and conflict resolution (pp. 9-10 and following).
- **Source B - 1A2 - SOW - Coalition for Responsible Community Development.pdf.** Two pages. Describes Project Tipping Point, rental subsidies, housing stability, participant choice, placement assistance, and tracking.
- **Source C - 1551 34 (1).pdf.** Eight pages. Lease for 1551 3/4 E. 106th Street (p. 1); landlord-verification form (p. 2); CRCD ACH authorization (p. 3); W-9 (pp. 4-8).
- **Source D - 159801_HousingAssistanceProgram-UniversalApplication.pdf.** Eight pages. General housing-assistance checklist and forms, including lease, ownership, W-9, rental-assistance agreement, and landlord-verification requirements.
- **Source E - K. D. HAAB Follow Up PRA 4_16 (1).pdf.** Two pages. DMH states that no responsive records were located after searches using supplied name, address variants, participant and health identifiers, CRCD, Project Tipping Point, Amity, and relevant periods.
- **Source F - Client History - DMH.pdf and Client Case Notes History.pdf.** Shows CES/LAHSA-related history, CRCD entries, later housing instability, family-program eligibility barriers, and a LAHSA note directing a reported CRCD-related fraud concern toward law enforcement and grievance resources.
- **Source G - Beneficiary_Profile_Report__with_Capitation_3__V4_revised_March_2026_96575025D.Haab.pdf.** Page 23 lists address variants including 1551 E. 106th St Apt 3/4 and 1551 3/4 E. 106th St during overlapping eligibility periods. Medical details are not relied upon for this housing analysis.
- **Source H - LA City Officer Alleges Housing Millions Vanished For Karen Bass's Political Survival.mht.** Saved press article dated April 15, 2026. Treated solely as an allegation source and notice for preservation, not as proof or an agency admission.

11. Final Audit Position

The record supports immediate preservation, cross-agency reconciliation, and conflict screening. It does not support a conclusive accusation that CRCD, LAHD, the Mayor's Office, DMH, JCOD, Amity, the landlord, or any individual committed fraud, corruption, or a Political Reform Act violation. The decisive evidence will be the underlying approvals, payments, property records, enforcement communications, calendars, financial-interest disclosures, contribution records, and instructions concerning corrective action.

The most defensible case theory is therefore: a publicly connected housing placement entered an unresolved property and records environment; the resulting instability became material to possession and family-law consequences; and the responsible agencies must identify the complete approval, monitoring, payment, enforcement, and conflict record.

Use note: This document is an organizational and evidentiary analysis prepared from supplied records. It is not legal advice, a finding of misconduct, or a substitute for review by counsel of the controlling court record, contracts, ordinances, and statutes.

PART IV
LAFLA UNIT-STATUS CONTRADICTION SOURCE

June 15-16 recap reporting LAHD investigator statements concerning registration and the August 15 letter

I spoke to cwilliams@lahsa.org Christopher Williams Deputy risk management who toke over holly Henderson position and cwilliams@lahsa.org said the direction of me to report to law enforcement fraud and theft on October 15 2025 was holly Henderson but cwilliams@lahsa.org claimed.holly Henderson direction was responsive.to my description vs a independent finding then why did leadership direction matter was indeed fraud and.form of theft cwilliams@lahsa.org said i can give his information to law-enforcement but both cwilliams@lahsa.org and lafla Phillip lemon supervisor attorney eviction said independent findings despite my clarity notes showing leadership direction with confirmation of indeed fraud and form of theft is different then making referal based from communications

Shortly affter I spoke to 30274@lapd.online who is assigned to 26-001767 he told me to email 34158@lapd.online and 34909@lapd.online who is detective prieot and captain boateng and cc him as way to ensureni have outlet to submit

documentation that may warrant investigation as Captain Boateng and since the origin of this complaint routes back fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov who decided to assign dhs and lahsa for 2025-23289 and public-integrity unit of DA informed.me to contact auditor controller and lasd informed me the matter is city jurisdiction lapd also Your new sworn complaint number is COM-06192026-02541. As the sworn complainant, we will reach out to you with more information within 14 calendar days.

Have a great weekend,
Katelyn june 19 th was complaint 14 days from that time I expect.response ms Katelyn confirm attorney are reviewing the matter

Good Afternoon Kyle and Crystal,

As you requested, here is a recap of the phone conversations that took place on 6/15 and 6/16:

On Monday, June 15, 2026, there was a conference call between the two of you, your attorney Ben W., our supervisor Phillip L., and Nataly E. During this call Ben explained the outcome of the discovery investigation. Based on the investigation and the direct call between Ben and the LAHD investigator, Mr. Plasencia, it was explained that the proposed Motion for Summary Judgment re: overstatement of rent due to illegal unit would no longer work. Mr. Plasencia informed us that the ADU you guys reside in is actually a registered unit and the letter LAHD provided you was an error on their end. If the LAHD investigator is called to testify, he will say that the unit has been registered the entire time and that will not be favorable to our

case. Attorneys do not feel trial is in your best interest but ultimately the decision is yours. It was suggested for both of you to reconsider settlement as relocation and time to move may still be on the table. Phillip reminded you both that signed a Joint Representation Waiver, so the decision to move forward with trial or settlement had to be a mutual decision.

On Tuesday, June 16, 2026, Nataly E. Called you both to follow up regarding the conversation from the previous day. I explained what the jury process would look like and if we proceed to jury trial, the possibility of settlement with relocation and time to move will not be available to the two of you anymore. Joint Representation was further explained to the two of you and in order for us to continue representing both of you, you both have to be on the same page. If you are both not on the same page regarding how we

should proceed with the case, we will not be able to represent either of you because there would be a conflict of interest.

Best Regards,

Nataly Estrada| Paralegal - Eviction Defense Center

Pronouns: she, her, hers

Legal Aid Foundation of Los Angeles

South Los Angeles Office

7000 S. Broadway | Los Angeles, CA 90003

213.640.3809 direct | nestrada@lafla.org

This message contains information from the Legal Aid Foundation of Los Angeles which may be confidential and/or privileged. If you are not an intended recipient, please refrain from any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of this information and note that such actions are prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, kindly notify the sender and immediately delete this email and any files that may be attached.

Contacted me and informed that the attorney are reviewing the matter and expectedmrespose 14.daysnfrom june 19th

Mr. Haab and Ms. Izarraraz,

Please find copies of the discovery responses we intend to provide to the plaintiff attached. If these responses look satisfactory to you, please sign and return the verification forms Nataly will be requesting in your email inbox. Each of you will need to provide verifications, but the packets provided to each of you is exactly identical and as such our responses will also reflect that.

If you have changes or comments to make, please provide them to us by 12:00 PM PST on

Thursday, June 25 so that we may properly revise our responses and send these out to opposing counsel in a timely manner.

Regards,

Benjamin Wu | Staff Attorney

Pronouns: he, him, his

Legal Aid Foundation of Los Angeles

7000 S. Broadway | Los Angeles, CA 90003

424.645.6197 direct

BWu@lafla.org | www.lafla.org

A rainbow colored circle with stripes

Description automatically generated A red circle with a white letter f in it

Description automatically generated A red circle with a white letter in it

Description automatically generated A red circle with a white square with a camera in it

Description automatically generated A red circle with white letters

Description automatically generated A red circle with a white play button

Description automatically generated A white butterfly in a red circle

Description automatically generated A white letter in a red circle

Description automatically generated

This message contains information from the Legal Aid Foundation of Los Angeles which may be confidential and/or privileged. If you are not an intended recipient, please refrain from any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of this information and note that such actions are prohibited. If you have received this

transmission in error, kindly notify the sender and immediately delete this email and any files that may be attached.

PART V
DMH-CRCD MARCELLA GARDENS MOU

MOU 363 - monitoring, supportive services, property management, privacy, grievance, records, and coordination duties

MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING

BETWEEN

LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH

AND

CRCD

FOR

PERMANENT SUPPORTIVE HOUSING DEVELOPMENT (PSH)
MARCELLA GARDENS AKA 68TH & MAIN

This Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) is entered by and among the Los Angeles County Department of Mental Health, hereinafter known as "DMH," and **CRCD**, hereinafter known as the "Project Sponsor/Developer," for the **Marcella Gardens aka 68th & Main** Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) development, which has a total of 60 units including 29 DMH-funded units.

WHEREAS, the number of people experiencing homelessness in Los Angeles County has grown significantly over the last decade, reaching 75,312 in 2024, as reported in the Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority (LAHSA) 2024 Greater Los Angeles Homeless Count; and

WHEREAS, the LAHSA 2024 Greater Los Angeles Homeless Count found that 24% of people experiencing homelessness in the County reported having a Serious Mental Illness (SMI); and

WHEREAS, on January 10, 2023, the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors declared a Local Emergency for Homelessness and directed all County Departments to take the necessary steps for the protection of the life, health and safety of people experiencing homelessness in the County; and

WHEREAS, the State of California has provided funding for homeless services and the development of affordable housing units including PSH for people experiencing homelessness, which has been leveraged through local County and city funding; and

WHEREAS, since 2008, DMH has committed more than $1 billion in Mental Health Services Act (MHSA) funds toward capital investment programs, including the MHSA Housing Program, Special Needs Housing Program, Mental Health Housing Program, No Place Like Home Program, and Homekey+, with the purpose of soliciting qualified borrowers interested in applying for capital subsidies to develop PSH for the DMH Target Population, which is people living with SMI or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED), and their families, who are homeless, chronically homeless, or at risk of chronic homelessness; and

WHEREAS, the aforementioned Project Sponsor/Developer has been awarded funding through a DMH capital investment program at the aforementioned development for the DMH Target Population; and

WHEREAS, the funding awarded will be a permanent loan made directly to the Project Sponsor/Developer through executed loan documents and a regulatory agreement with the designated underwriting entities in partnership with DMH; and

WHEREAS, DMH has committed to providing Specialty Mental Health Services (SMHS) to people living in PSH units funded through DMH capital investment programs, and the Los Angeles County Departments of Health Services (DHS) and Public Health - Substance Abuse Prevention and Control (DPH-SAPC) have committed to providing, respectively, onsite Intensive Case Management Services (ICMS) and Substance Use Disorder (SUD) focused Client Engagement and

Navigation Services (CENS) to people residing in PSH, hereinafter known as "Supportive Services"; and

WHEREAS, DMH and the Project Sponsor/Developer, hereinafter together referred to as the "Parties," desire to coordinate their resources and actions toward the common goal of enabling the DMH Target Population to obtain and retain PSH and achieve community reintegration and self-sufficiency by promoting affordable permanent housing and integrated Supportive Services.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual covenants herein set forth and the mutual benefits to be derived therefrom, the Parties agree as follows:

1.0 TERM OF AGREEMENT

The term of this MOU shall become effective August 1, 2025 and shall remain in effect through the full term of the permanent loan with the designated underwriting entity. This MOU may be extended beyond the life of the permanent loan if agreed upon by both parties.

This MOU replaces any prior MOU between the Project Sponsor/Developer and DMH for the aforementioned PSH development.

2.0 PURPOSE

The purpose of this MOU is to outline the roles and responsibilities between the Parties for all DMH-funded units in the PSH development as well as their relationship to other partners including Property Management, which is recognized to be an agent acting on behalf of the Project Sponsor/Developer, and the Supportive Services providers.

This MOU is for the Parties to coordinate their resources and efforts to provide permanent affordable housing to the DMH Target Population using the PSH model. PSH is a nationally recognized, evidence-based practice that consistently has shown that coupling appropriate services with permanent housing increases housing stability and the likelihood that residents will remain housed and connected to mental health treatment. PSH has also been shown to reduce the high costs of crisis services to people living with SMI or SED who are experiencing homelessness and to provide a foundation that enables them, through their resiliency, to further realize their life goals.

3.0 GUIDING PRINCIPLES

The Parties shall work together to establish a foundation of trust and partnership and provide seamless, high-quality housing and Supportive Services to each resident based on their individual needs. The Parties shall also work together to resolve identified issues and concerns that threaten the full utilization of the DMH-funded units and the health and safety of the residents, Supportive Services staff, and the PSH development itself.

4.0 RESPONSIBILITIES OF DMH

4.1 Filling Vacancies

4.1.1 Vacancies shall be filled through the following lease-up process. DMH shall enter all DMH-funded units that are included, as well as turnover units, into the Coordinated Entry System (CES) Referral Management System (RMS) to obtain a match for the unit based on the unit size and any unit restrictions, such as disability type, age, sensory or mobility concern, family composition, and/or Veteran status. DMH shall notify the LAHSA CES Matching Team when DMH-funded units become available, and the LAHSA CES Matching Team shall refer people who match the unit requirements entered in RMS.

4.1.2 Upon receiving a referral, DMH shall be responsible for verifying that the person has an SMI or SED diagnosis as defined in the California Welfare and Institutions Code 5600.3. If such a diagnosis is confirmed, the applicant may begin the housing application process with Property Management who will assess the applicant for other eligibility criteria, such as income and homeless status.

4.2 Ongoing Monitoring and Compliance Reviews

4.2.1 DMH shall conduct monthly, quarterly, and annual reviews of the operations of the DMH-funded units, including reviews of rent rolls, vacancy rates, percentages of income being paid as rent, and the number of residents involved in meaningful activities such as employment, education, volunteer work, or other community reintegration efforts.

4.2.2 DMH shall conduct reviews to ensure Property Management is properly managing the development in accordance with the regulatory agreement and adhering to the DMH-approved Tenant Selection Criteria.

4.2.3 DMH, shall ensure that appropriate Supportive Services are being provided to residents.

4.3 Communication and Coordination

4.3.1 DMH shall conduct quarterly meetings with representatives of the Project Sponsor/Developer, Property Management, and the Supportive Services providers to discuss coordination of Supportive Services, referrals, unit utilization, filling vacancies, and any problems in the PSH development.

4.3.2 DMH shall facilitate meetings with the Project Sponsor/Developer and/or other partners, as needed, to assist in resolving conflicts involving residents or addressing any conflicts among partnership members.

4.4 Supportive Services Provision

4.4.1 DMH shall be responsible for the provision of SMHS to the qualifying heads of household and/or family members living in DMH-funded units. Each qualifying resident in a DMH-funded unit shall have the opportunity to receive SMHS either from the DMH mental health provider assigned to the PSH development or from another mental health provider of the resident's choice. That provider shall be primarily responsible for the resident's mental health care.

4.4.2 Supportive Services shall be client-driven and coordinated to meet the needs of the resident. The Supportive Services providers shall work as part of an integrated team to coordinate the services rendered and ensure housing stability, housing retention, and community reintegration.

4.4.3 The privacy of residents shall be protected in the delivery of Supportive Services**.**

4.4.4 On-site Supportive Services providers shall provide the following:

4.4.4.1 Assessment, individual and group therapy, crisis intervention, medication support, and case management.

4.4.4.2 Regular contact with each resident based on their needs, but at least once a month, including regular observation of the client's residence to identify housekeeping and hoarding issues and intervene as needed.

4.4.4.3 Opportunities for case conferencing to discuss resident progress, coordinate services, and provide appropriate interventions as needed.

4.4.4.4 Linkage to community services and supports including, at a minimum, healthcare, childcare, substance use treatment, education and employment services, and self-help groups, as well as making information about these services readily available to residents.

4.4.4.5 Life skills training including, at a minimum, health education, money management, housekeeping, menu planning, meal preparation, and being a good neighbor.

4.4.4.6 Assistance with accessing available housing assistance funds, as needed, to help maintain housing and avoid eviction during times of crisis (e.g., hospitalization, entry into a rehabilitation program, etc.).

5.0 RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE PROJECT SPONSOR/DEVELOPER

5.1 General Oversight

The Project Sponsor/Developer shall be responsible for the following:

5.1.1 Identifying and providing the number of DMH-funded supportive housing units for the DMH Target Population per the regulatory agreement, and sharing the unit matrix with DMH and other partners that participate in the lease-up process.

5.1.2 Limiting the number of ADA-restricted units to a pro rata share based on the number and percentage of DMH-funded units in the PSH development.

5.1.3 Providing DMH and other partners with a copy of the Tenant Selection Criteria for the PSH development at least 60 calendar days prior to initial lease-up. The Tenant Selection Criteria shall be in alignment with the regulatory agreement and low-barrier (including not disqualifying potential tenants because of a negative credit history), and comply with all applicable local, State and federal statutes and regulations, including those governing fair housing and Housing First.

5.1.4 Allocating and maintaining adequate office space in the PSH development to facilitate on-site services and resident meetings with service providers. The office space shall ensure privacy and encourage participation in services.

5.1.5 Participating in coordinated lease-up meetings approximately three months prior to the projected Temporary Certificate of Occupancy date or Certificate of Occupancy.

5.1.6 Developing and maintaining a clear separation of responsibilities and duties between Property Management and Supportive Services staff. This is especially important when the Project Sponsor/Developer, Property Management, and/or Supportive Services staff work for the same agency.

In such cases, the oversight of each aspect shall be maintained by separate supervisors/managers.

5.1.7 Maintaining the security, health, and safety of the residents, Supportive Services staff and the PSH development itself.

5.1.8 Conducting annual resident satisfaction surveys and making quality assurance adjustments, as necessary, based on survey results.

5.1.9 Providing DMH with a minimum of 90-day written notice of any expected contract termination with Property Management, as well as seeking DMH approval of the new proposed Property Management agency prior to entering into a new contract.

5.2 Hiring, Monitoring and Oversight of Property Management

The Project Sponsor/Developer shall hire the Property Manager and oversee their contract including ensuring that Property Management is responsible for the following:

5.2.1 Ensuring that only the DMH Target Population is housed in DMH-funded units by only accepting people referred through the CES or other DMH-approved system where DMH has already confirmed their Target Population eligibility. Property Management shall also notify DMH within 24 hours if/when an applicant for housing, who has been deemed eligible by DMH, is rejected, and provide justification.

5.2.2 Using the evidence-based PSH model to guide operations and ensuring regular training of Property Management staff in such areas as working with people with SUD, SMI and SED; Mental Health First Aid; the PSH and Housing First models; and advanced safety precautions, including first aid, crisis management, conflict de-escalation, and knowing when to call emergency medical personnel or the police, and when to communicate with their supervisors and/or the Supportive Services providers in the event of an emergency.

5.2.3 Developing and following grievance policies and procedures, and ensuring that grievance forms are located in a public area where residents can freely access them without the observation of Property Management staff.

5.2.4 Creating house rules and a Property Management Plan that align with the regulatory agreement and comply with all applicable local, State and federal statutes and regulations, including those governing fair housing, the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), and residents' rights regarding notices, evictions and reasonable accommodation requests. This

includes developing policies and procedures for processing reasonable accommodation requests and incorporating waiving credit and/or criminal history requirements when warranted.

5.2.4.1 Ensuring that the house rules, Property Management Plan and Tenant Selection Criteria, as well as any future amendments and/or deletions, are approved by DMH prior to implementation.

5.2.5 Calculating the rent of residents.

5.2.6 Conducting annual tenant re-certifications.

5.2.7 Developing procedures to ensure that residents have access to Property Management for routine business during regular business hours, Monday through Friday, and at any time for emergencies. A 24-hour access number for residents to call in case of property-related emergencies shall be posted in public areas that residents can easily locate and view.

5.2.8 Providing new residents with an overview of the lease agreement, house rules, and other tenant-related expectations, including rent collection and maintenance request submissions, as well as details of the 24/7 emergency procedures.

5.2.9 Managing and maintaining the PSH development, including having the capacity to respond to residents' maintenance requests for service within 48 hours. This shall also include providing facility and maintenance support to areas utilized for any onsite provision of Supportive Services.

5.2.10 Being aware of the staff providing Supportive Services to residents, understanding the roles and responsibilities of the Supportive Services providers, and providing them with the Property Management Plan, including written policies and procedures for maintenance requests and any forms that must be completed to request maintenance work.

5.2.11 Providing regular trainings for residents and staff on basic safety and evacuation procedures, and posting evacuation plans for the PSH development in public areas that residents can easily view and locate.

5.2.12 Maintaining an incident and maintenance log and allowing the Supportive Services providers to review those logs on an as-needed basis.

5.3 Data Collection and Reporting

5.3.1 The Project Sponsor/Developer shall have the capability to collect, manage, and submit data as directed by DMH to demonstrate resident outcomes, including those set forth by DMH, the Los Angeles County Development Authority, the California Department of Health Care Services, and/or the California Department of Housing and Community Development. The Project Sponsor/Developer shall work with DMH to develop and implement resident outcome tracking systems that can collect and produce reports on outcome data and all other required data elements, including resident demographics.

5.3.2 Failure to meet the data collection and reporting requirements within the requested timeframes may result in the Project Sponsor/Developer being subject to denial of future DMH capital funding opportunities.

6.0 JOINT RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE PARTIES

DMH and the Project Sponsor/Developer shall:

6.1 Share the contact information of key staff within their respective organizations who are involved with the PSH development (e.g., property managers, maintenance staff, supervisors, case managers, etc.), including e-mail addresses and phone numbers. Emergency contact information shall also be provided.

6.2 Share written policies, procedures, and forms for filing complaints, grievances, and incident reports related to owners, managers, or Supportive Services providers, as well as an overview of the reporting structure within each organization.

6.3 Conduct regular trainings for staff regarding maintaining client/resident privacy and confidentiality in accordance with all State and federal privacy laws.

6.4 Make diligent efforts to communicate with each other to ensure mutual accountability in carrying out each of the separate roles and functions of each Party under this MOU, and establish a convenient, regular schedule of communication to discuss issues including, at a minimum, providing updates on DMH-funded unit utilization rates, anticipated unit turnovers and move-ins, issues with resident service coordination, any resident behavioral issues and concerns, and housing quality standard issues. Such communication shall be held at least once per month unless the Parties agree to another schedule. In the event of a potential relapse, symptom exacerbation, arrest, hospitalization, or other critical incident involving a resident in a DMH-funded unit, the Property Manager shall immediately notify

the Supportive Services staff by phone and in writing within 24 hours of the event(s). Supportive Services providers shall assist residents in times of crisis to obtain the appropriate Supportive Services needed to maintain their permanent housing. Supportive Services providers shall be given timely notifications on lease violations, inspections, and meetings with residents in DMH-funded units.

6.5 Notify each other of any potential changes or losses of funding that could impact the regular operations of the PSH development.

7.0 FUNDING

There is no monetary compensation between DMH and Project Sponsor/Developer under this MOU.

8.0 AMENDMENTS

This MOU may be amended upon mutual agreement of the Parties. Such modification shall be in writing and effective upon the execution of a written amendment to this MOU by both Parties hereto.

8.1 Any suggested modifications to this MOU shall be made in writing and submitted to DMH for approval. If approved, DMH will incorporate the changes into the MOU via a written amendment and present it for signature by the Parties.

8.2 DMH will periodically review the MOU to identify any amendments necessary to more effectively operate the PSH development.

9.0 NOTICES

All notices or demands required or permitted to be given or made under this MOU shall be in writing via electronic mail addressed to the below:

Department of Mental Health:

Email: **CapInvestPrgms@dmh.lacounty.gov**

Project Sponsor/Developer Administration:

Email: **mwilson@coalitionrcd.org**

10.0 CONFLICT RESOLUTION

Any dispute between the Parties regarding the performance of services reflected in this MOU will be brought to the attention of the Director of DMH, or designee, and the Director of the Project Sponsor/Developer, or designee. It shall be resolved by the mutual agreement between the Director of DMH, or designee, and the Director of Project Sponsor/Developer, or designee, and the decision will be final. If a mutual

resolution cannot be achieved, either Party may decide to terminate this MOU, per the terms in Section 16 (Termination) of this MOU.

11.0 LIMITATION OF LIABILITY, INDEMNIFICATION, AND INSURANCE

To the maximum extent provided by law, in no event shall either Party be responsible for any special, indirect, consequential, or exemplary damages of any kind whatsoever, including loss of profits and/or indirect economic damages, and regardless of whether such damages arise from claims based upon contract, negligence, tort or other.

DMH and the Project Sponsor/Developer mutually agree to defend, hold harmless, and indemnify the other and their Governing Board, officers, agents, employees, and volunteers from any and all liabilities including, but not limited to, any claims for damages, death, sickness, or other personal injury or injury to property, including, without limitation, all consequential damages for any cause whatsoever arising from or connected with its services and/or MOU in the normal course of business, unless resulting from the gross negligence or willful misconduct of their agents and/or employees.

Each Party shall be responsible for providing disability, workers' compensation, professional liability, or other insurance as well as licenses and permits usual or necessary for performing the services under this MOU.

In accordance with California Government Code Section 989-991.2, County Code Chapter 5.32, and Articles 1 and 2 of the Los Angeles County Charter, the County, a political sub-division of the State, is authorized to self-insure for its liability. This self-insurance includes coverage for legal liability and defense costs for claims asserted by third parties for bodily injury and property damage, including general and professional liability (malpractice).

12.0 RECORDS AND AUDITS

12.1 The Project Sponsor/Developer receiving funding for this PSH development shall establish and maintain a current accounting system in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and standards, as well as all local, State and federal requirements.

12.2 The Project Sponsor/Developer shall make available to DMH and its authorized representatives, for purposes of inspection and audit, any and all books, papers, documents, and financial and/or other records pertaining to the operation of this MOU. To the extent that any records contain confidential client information, records will be made available contingent upon compliance with State and federal law pertaining to client privacy and confidentiality including the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA). The aforementioned records shall be available for inspection and audit during regular business hours throughout the term of this MOU and for a period of six years after the expiration of the term of this MOU.

13.0 INDEPENDENT CAPACITY

The Parties in the performance of this MOU shall act in an independent capacity. Therefore, the employees or agents of one Party shall not be deemed or construed to be the agents or employees of the other Party for any purpose whatsoever.

14.0 APPLICABLE LAWS

The Parties shall comply with all applicable local, State and federal laws as well as new, amended or revised laws, regulations and/or procedures that apply to the performance of this MOU.

14.1 The Project Sponsor/Developer acknowledges that DMH is not reviewing the PSH development for compliance with federal fair housing laws that may apply, including the Fair Housing Act and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. The Project Sponsor/Developer is solely responsible for ensuring compliance with fair housing laws.

15.0 COMPLETE AGREEMENT

This MOU contains the full and complete agreement among the Parties.

16.0 TERMINATION

Notwithstanding existing contracts between the Parties, the following termination clause applies:

This MOU may be terminated at any time by any Party upon giving a minimum of 90-day written notice or until a new Party has been put into place to serve in the same capacity as the terminating Party.

17.0 CONFIDENTIALITY

DMH and Project Sponsor/Developer agree that their employees, agents, and volunteers shall be bound by and abide by all applicable federal and State statutes and regulations pertaining to the confidentiality of client records and information. The Parties shall not use or disclose any information about a recipient of the services provided under this MOU for any purpose not connected with the Parties' MOU responsibilities, except with the written consent of such recipient or recipient's parent or guardian, or as required by law.

The Parties to this MOU shall maintain the confidentiality of all records and information relating to clients. For the purposes of this MOU, information means all files, applications, papers, documents, and records, including those maintained in electronic format. The Parties shall maintain

confidentiality in accordance with all federal, State, and local laws, ordinances, regulations, and directives relating to confidentiality.

The Parties agree that the sharing of Personally Identifiable Information (PII) or Protected Health Information (PHI) shall only occur where there is an authorization to release information or the disclosure is otherwise permitted or required by law.

18.0 DESIGNATION OF RESPONSIBLE PARTIES

The following persons, identified by position and title, have been designated in Exhibit 1 (County Administration) and Exhibit 2 (Project Sponsor/Developer Administration) as responsible parties for all communications, including required notices, related to this MOU. Any changes to Exhibits 1 and 2 will be made as specified in Section 9 (Notices).

/

/

/

/

/

/

/

/

/

/

/

/

/

/

/

/

/

/

/

/

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties have caused this MOU to be executed through their duly authorized representatives and certify that they have read, understood, and agreed to the terms and conditions of this MOU as set forth herein.

Project Sponsor/Developer		**Los Angeles County Department of Mental Health**	
Signature:		Signature:	
Name:	Mark Wilson	Name:	Lisa H. Wong, Psy.D.
Title:	President & CEO	Title:	Director
Date:	9/4/2025	Date:	September 8, 2025

CRCD / Project Tipping Point / HMIS / Privacy / Consent

01_CRCD_PTP_HMIS_PRIVACY | Category guide and subcategory index | June 24, 2026

CONFIDENTIAL CONTROLLED RECORD - Contains personal, health, housing, financial, family, and legal information.

Identifier	Value
CRCD/PTP participant	1233369910
County grant / program	CFCI-PA3-056-2023
HMIS/Clarity	8F8CD9D8B
2023 activity	April 27-28, 2023

Category Scope

Institutional CRCD/PTP records, HMIS source authority, consent/ROI, PHI policy, child-awareness, and record-custody materials.

How to Use This Category

Open the smallest subcategory PDF that matches the issue. Each PDF begins with a generated cover and source list. Large source packets are divided into loading-optimized volumes with original page ranges stated in the filenames.

Evidentiary Control

Generated covers and indexes are navigation aids. Original appended source pages control. Duplicate and superseded versions are not repeated in the working library unless needed to preserve procedural history.

Subcategory PDF Map

PDF file	Pages	Contents
01A_CRCD_PTP_HMIS_Consent_ROI_Child_Awareness.pdf	78	HMIS, consent, ROI, child-awareness
01B_CRCD_Privacy_PHI_and_Grievance_Policies_Photo_Exhibits.pdf	9	CRCD PHI/privacy and grievance policy photographs

LOS ANGELES COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

PUBLIC COMMENT SUPPORTING RECORD

ATTACHMENT 2

CRCD / PROJECT TIPPING POINT / CONSENT-ROI / HMIS SOURCE-RECORD EXHIBITS

Court-ready, limited source-authorization requests with clear exhibits, child-awareness context, housing-payment records, and custodian declarations requested.

Purpose

Preserve the narrow threshold question of what written 2023 consent, ROI, privacy notice, HMIS authorization, participant agreement, or other source authority was relied upon for later 2024-2026 Project Tipping Point, housing, payment, landlord, family-status, and interagency records.

Evidence and proof boundary

This packet documents notice, chronology, source-record locations, preservation requests, agency routing, and unresolved record questions. It does not convert allegations, referrals, complaint numbers, closures, or analytical summaries into findings of misconduct, liability, criminal intent, or agency agreement. Native records, certified records, audit logs, payment traces, approved plans, and authenticated communications control.

Source documents incorporated

No.	Source document	Pages	SHA-256 prefix
1	CRCD_court_ready_subpoena_with_clear_relevant_images (1).pdf	34	9254c75cf4e4
2	CRCD_court_ready_subpoena_with_child_awareness_and_PTP_application (1).pdf	41	9a68a083ba93

Requested Board handling

• Identify the official CRCD/PTP custodian and preserve native intake, Formstack, HMIS, email, disclosure, and audit-log records.

• Produce or identify the source authorization relied upon for later housing, landlord, payment, family-status, and child-related records.

• Do not treat downstream signatures or repeated database entries as substitutes for the controlling source authorization.

Public filing warning

Review every page before uploading. The record may contain personal addresses, signatures, housing and family information, health or HMIS information, taxpayer or banking data, child-related information, confidential communications, or records subject to sealing or restricted disclosure. Redact protected information before public submission.

Prepared July 18, 2026

ATTACHMENT 3 TO BUSINESS RECORDS SUBPOENA

Limited Request for 2023 Consent / ROI / Authorization Source Records

Coalition for Responsible Community Development / Project Tipping Point / Ruth's Place / HMIS-Clarity

Court Case:	25CMFL00694
Filing Party:	Kyle Robert Haab, self-represented Petitioner
Entity / Custodian:	Coalition for Responsible Community Development / Project Tipping Point / CRCD records custodian
Document Type:	Attachment to Business Records Subpoena / Limited Consent-ROI Source Record Request
Scope:	Missing 2023 consent / ROI / release / privacy notice / HMIS authorization / participant agreement / custodian declaration

Court-Ready Exhibit Control Note

This court-ready version keeps the subpoena narrow and uses only clear, relevant exhibits. Low-value mobile-preview screenshots and eFile workflow screenshots are omitted. No redactions were applied because redaction was not requested.

1. Limited Purpose

This subpoena attachment is limited. Petitioner is not seeking duplicate production of downstream records already possessed. Petitioner seeks the missing source record showing the consent, release, ROI, HMIS authorization, privacy notice, participant agreement, or other written authorization CRCD contends allowed later 2024-2026 use of Petitioner's personal information, child-related information, family-status information, housing records, landlord-verification records, lease records, ACH records, W-9 records, rental-assistance records, Project Tipping Point records, HMIS / Clarity records, and interagency disclosures.

The central question is:

What written source record authorized CRCD or its agents to rely on a 2023 CRCD / Ruth's Place / HMIS / Clarity contact for later 2024-2026 Project Tipping Point, housing-assistance, landlord-verification, lease, ACH, W-9, family-status, child-related, interagency, and court-impacting records?

2. Family-Law Relevance

The requested records are relevant to this family-law case because the Court is being asked to address visitation, family stability, housing-stability consequences, ICPC / UCCJEA source-record coordination, and whether current instability should be treated as voluntary parental instability when the underlying consent authority and source records remain disputed.

Without the requested 2023 consent, release, ROI, HMIS authorization, privacy notice, or participant agreement, this matter should not be treated as a routine private family-law dispute in which housing instability, service-routing failures, lack of stable family placement, or disrupted visitation are presumed to be voluntary parental conduct.

The source-record issue goes to whether a third-party provider collected, used, classified, transmitted, or relied on child-related and family-status information without clear written authority during a reunification, ICPC, housing-stability, and interagency-records context.

Until CRCD produces the consent and authorization basis it relied upon, the Court cannot fairly weigh downstream housing instability, household classification, records use, or service-routing consequences against Petitioner as if those facts were clean, independent, or undisputed.

3. Criminal, Civil, Administrative, and Oversight Relevance

The same consent record is relevant to related criminal, civil, administrative, and oversight matters because the threshold question is the same across those lanes: what written authority, if any, allowed CRCD or its agents to collect, use, transmit, classify, or rely on Petitioner's personal information, child-related information, family-status information, housing records, lease / W-9 / ACH records, rental-assistance records, HMIS / Clarity records, and interagency disclosures.

This subpoena does not ask the family court to decide criminal liability, civil liability, agency jurisdiction, fraud, identity theft, privacy violations, or program-compliance merits. It asks CRCD to produce the source authorization record so the same factual chain is not treated as consent-based in one forum, unauthorized in another forum, and unresolved in the family-law case.

Other case numbers, inquiry numbers, complaint references, and agency identifiers are included only as locator terms, preservation terms, and relevance anchors. They are not separate claims for relief in this subpoena.

4. Known Downstream Records Showing Why the Missing Consent Record Matters

A. HMIS / LAHSA Client Case Notes History

The HMIS / LAHSA Client Case Notes History identifies HMIS ID 8F8CD9D8B and shows a CRCD / Ruth's Place Day Shelter enrollment on April 27, 2023 and exit on April 28, 2023. That record is relevant because CRCD appears to rely on a 2023 CRCD /

Ruth's Place / HMIS contact as the basis for later communication. This subpoena therefore seeks the actual 2023 consent, ROI, privacy notice, sharing authorization, HMIS / Clarity authorization, disclosure log, and access log tied to that one-day 2023 CRCD / Ruth's Place record.

The same HMIS / LAHSA Client Case Notes History also includes later family/housing notes stating that Petitioner disclosed having children who were not in his custody and that the absence of children in his custody affected family-based housing eligibility. This is relevant because later family-status, household-composition, and child-related records affected housing and family-stability consequences.

B. Los Angeles County Housing Assistance Programs Universal Application

The Los Angeles County Housing Assistance Programs Universal Application is relevant because it identifies required documentation and signature requirements for housing assistance, including applicant information, household and child documentation, request forms, demographic/family-type fields, rental-assistance agreement language, and landlord verification requirements.

Those materials show that housing-assistance records are not merely informal communications. They require specific forms, documentation, signatures, program classification, applicant / case-manager / program-manager involvement, and landlord verification. This subpoena therefore seeks any complete application package, signed authorization, consent, ROI, privacy notice, participant agreement, or custodian declaration showing whether CRCD had authority to use Petitioner's information and child/family-status information in connection with any housing-assistance workflow.

C. 1551 E. 106th Street / Lease, Landlord Verification, ACH, and W-9 Packet

The 1551 E. 106th Street packet includes a residential lease agreement, County-style landlord verification form, ACH authorization material, and W-9 documents connected to the landlord / payment / rental-assistance workflow.

These downstream records are relevant because Petitioner already possesses many records showing later housing, landlord, payment, and rental-assistance activity. The missing record is the upstream consent, ROI, release, privacy notice, HMIS authorization, participant agreement, or other written authorization that CRCD contends allowed later creation, use, transmission, classification, or reliance on those downstream records.

If CRCD contends that the 2023 Ruth's Place / HMIS / CRCD contact authorized the later 2024-2026 housing, landlord, payment, Project Tipping Point, family-status, and child-related chain, CRCD must produce the exact source record showing that scope, duration, covered program, covered data categories, covered agencies, revocation terms, and child-related authority.

The attached ACH, landlord-verification, W-9, and lease images are incorporated as downstream examples. They are not offered to broaden this subpoena beyond consent. They show why CRCD must identify and produce the upstream source record authorizing use of personal information, landlord/payment documents, housing-assistance records, and related family-status information.

D. CRCD Project Tipping Point Enrollment / Supportive Services Response

CRCD's own written response states that Petitioner was enrolled in Project Tipping Point beginning June 13, 2024; identifies Project Tipping Point as supporting current and former foster youth at the post-secondary level; identifies rental assistance, security deposit, furniture assistance, student fees, supplies, and gift cards; and states that Project Tipping Point is supported through private foundations.

That response is relevant because it confirms a later Project Tipping Point service and payment chain after the alleged 2023 CRCD / Ruth's Place / HMIS contact. This subpoena seeks the consent / ROI / authorization record CRCD relied on to connect the 2023 contact to the later 2024-2026 Project Tipping Point enrollment, rental assistance, supportive services, records use, and disclosure chain.

E. CRCD Landlord Connection / Apartment Thread

The CRCD apartment thread shows a CRCD Rental Assistance Supervisor contacting a landlord about an apartment for Petitioner and Crystal, referring to a couple with a young child needing an apartment as soon as possible, discussing what the program/student could pay, and connecting Petitioner to the landlord. This is relevant because it is direct downstream evidence of CRCD involvement in

housing, landlord outreach, rental assistance, and child/family-status information.

This subpoena does not seek to re-produce that entire thread. It seeks the upstream written consent, ROI, release, privacy notice, HMIS authorization, participant agreement, or other source record authorizing CRCD to use child-related, household, family-status, landlord, rental-assistance, and housing-placement information in that chain.

F. LAHD / LADBS Housing Stability Records

LAHD and LADBS records concerning the 1551 E. 106th Street unit are relevant because they show later housing-stability consequences connected to the unit used in the downstream housing-assistance chain. These records help explain why the missing consent / authorization basis is material to family stability, housing stability, and visitation consequences. This subpoena does not ask CRCD to decide housing-code liability; it asks CRCD to produce the source authorization record underlying the records-use chain leading to that placement and related support.

5. Documents Requested

Please produce all documents, electronically stored information, records, logs, notes, forms, screenshots, metadata, audit trails, attachments, emails, texts, internal messages, case notes, system entries, and communications sufficient to show the following:

A. 2023 Consent / Opt-In / ROI Basis

1. Any 2023 CRCD, Ruth's Place, HMIS, Clarity, referral, intake, opt-in, outreach, privacy notice, release of information, participant agreement, service authorization, or consent record that CRCD contends authorized later communication with Kyle Robert Haab.

2. All records showing the scope, duration, program covered, agencies covered, data categories covered, revocation process, expiration date, and limitations of any 2023 consent, release, authorization, HMIS ROI, privacy notice, or participant agreement.

3. All records explaining how a 2023 CRCD / Ruth's Place / HMIS / Clarity contact was used as authority for later 2024-2026 Project Tipping Point outreach, eligibility review, document collection, landlord contact, housing support, education / workforce activity, or third-party communication.

B. Child-Related Consent and Family-Status Authority

4. Any record showing CRCD had authority to collect, receive, store, use, classify, disclose, transmit, or rely on information concerning Kyle Robert Haab's children.

5. All records showing when CRCD first learned that Kyle Robert Haab had children, who provided that information, how it was recorded, and what CRCD used it for.

6. All records showing whether Kyle Robert Haab was classified as an individual participant, couple, household with children, family household, reunification parent, former foster youth parent, or any other family or housing category.

7. All records showing whether CRCD knew, verified, discussed, or recorded that Kyle Robert Haab's children were in Tennessee DCS custody, placed with a grandparent, subject to ICPC, part of a reunification / permanency plan, or involved in any Tennessee or California family-law, dependency, or placement process.

8. All records showing whether child-related information or household composition was used in landlord outreach, housing placement, unit suitability, rent assistance, supportive services, or family-status classification.

C. HMIS / Clarity / Ruth's Place Consent Trail

9. All HMIS / Clarity records tied to HMIS ID 8F8CD9D8B sufficient to show the April 2023 Ruth's Place enrollment, exit, privacy notice, ROI, sharing authorization, referral, service note, case note, restriction, correction, disclosure history, and access / audit logs.

10. All records showing whether any 2023 Ruth's Place / HMIS / Clarity record was relied upon for later 2024-2026 Project Tipping Point communication, outreach, intake, enrollment, housing, rental assistance, or records use.

11. All records showing whether any 2023 HMIS / Clarity / Ruth's Place consent or ROI authorized disclosure to Project Tipping Point, CRCD workforce staff, Amity Foundation, JCOD, City LWDA / EWDD, LAHSA, DHCS, DPSS, DMH, DCFS, Tennessee DCS, landlord, law enforcement, or any third party.

D. Project Tipping Point / Participant File Limited to Consent and Authorization

12. The portions of the Project Tipping Point file for Kyle Robert Haab, participant ID 1233369910, that identify consent, ROI, privacy notice, participant agreement, authorization, disclosure authority, complaint/grievance rights, participant rights, child/family status, household classification, or staff reliance on a prior 2023 contact.

13. All Formstack submissions, intake forms, timestamps, IP address records, metadata, staff edits, system logs, upload logs, attachment logs, or audit records sufficient to show who entered, reviewed, modified, approved, exported, or transmitted information about Kyle Robert Haab, Crystal Lynn Izarraraz, their household, or their children.

14. All records showing the basis for CRCD's statement that Petitioner was enrolled in Project Tipping Point beginning June 13, 2024, including any intake, referral, eligibility, participant agreement, privacy notice, ROI, consent, release, enrollment form, or authorization tied to that enrollment.

15. All records showing the consent or authorization basis for CRCD's later supportive-service and rental-assistance records, including security deposit, rent

payments, furniture assistance, school fees, supplies, gift cards, or other supportive services.

E. Housing Assistance / Landlord / Payment Authorization

16. Any complete housing-assistance application package, rental-assistance agreement, landlord verification form, applicant authorization, case-manager certification, program-manager approval, participant agreement, release, ROI, privacy notice, or source document CRCD relied on in connection with housing assistance, landlord verification, rental assistance, lease, ACH, W-9, or payment processing.

17. All records showing who authorized use of Petitioner's information in connection with 1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4, Los Angeles, CA 90002, including landlord outreach, landlord referral, unit sourcing, lease, rental application, W-9, ACH, landlord verification, rent ledger, payment approval, payment request, payment confirmation, denial, delay, cutoff, and phase-out records.

18. All records showing whether CRCD relied on any child-related, household-composition, family-status, ICPC, reunification, or housing-stability information in connection with housing assistance, landlord verification, rental assistance, unit placement, or landlord communications.

19. All records showing the consent or authorization basis for CRCD communications with Christopher Cervantes, Jani Cervantes, Alexander Saltzman, Polet Lopez, Latea Davis, Amadi Maatafale, Chidumebi Ezenwoko, Jahrell / Jahrell Thomas, Kenta Estrada-Darley, or any CRCD representative concerning Petitioner, Crystal Lynn Izarraraz, their children, the unit, rent assistance, lease, W-9, ACH, landlord verification, or family/household status.

F. Funding Source / Reporting / Private Foundation Claim

20. All records showing the consent, authorization, participant agreement, privacy notice, or ROI basis for any reporting, payment, invoicing, audit, funder communication, or grant documentation involving Petitioner's Project Tipping Point services, rental assistance, supportive services, or housing assistance.

21. All records identifying the funding source or payment authority for rental assistance, security deposit assistance, supportive services, housing support, or related payments, including private foundations, Amity Foundation, JCOD, CFCI, CFCI-PA3-056-2023, Program Area 3 / Youth Housing, County funding, City funding, or mixed funding sources.

22. All records supporting any CRCD statement that Project Tipping Point services provided to Petitioner were supported only by private foundations, including the consent

or authorization basis for sharing Petitioner's information with any such funder.

G. Interagency Sharing / Disclosure / Audit Trail

23. All records showing whether CRCD shared, received, discussed, or relied on records involving Kyle Robert Haab, Crystal Lynn Izarraraz, or their children with EWDD, City of Los Angeles LWDA, LAHSA / HMIS, Amity Foundation, JCOD, DHCS, DPSS, DMH, DCFS, Tennessee DCS, LAPD, County Fraud / OCI, LAHD, LADBS, LACDPH, HUD, DOL OIG, or any oversight agency.

24. All disclosure accounting records, audit logs, access logs, staff-access records, Formstack logs, upload logs, edit logs, export logs, metadata, and transmission records showing who accessed, edited, transmitted, or relied on child-related, family-status, housing, or Project Tipping Point records.

H. CRCD Partner / Stakeholder Statement and 2023 Consent Claim

25. All records supporting any CRCD statement that a 2023 CRCD sign-up, contact, intake, opt-in, or entry point supplied authority for later Project Tipping Point communications or records use.

26. All records supporting any CRCD statement that later communications were documentation-based, consent-driven, or authorized by Petitioner.

27. Records sufficient to identify the CRCD employee, department, attorney, contractor, funder, third-party administrator, or outside reviewer who verified the factual claims concerning 2023 consent, later communication authority, housing assistance, ADA accommodation, landlord involvement, or Project Tipping Point records before circulation.

I. Policies and Procedures

28. All policies, procedures, manuals, privacy notices, consent forms, HMIS / Clarity procedures, Project Tipping Point procedures, ADA / grievance procedures, participant-rights documents, data-sharing policies, child/family-record policies, and records-retention policies applicable to the requested consent and authorization records.

6. Requested Format

Please produce the records in native electronic format where available, including metadata, timestamps, audit logs, email headers, attachments, and system-generated records. If native production is not available, please produce legible PDF copies.

7. If No Responsive Consent / ROI / Authorization Record Exists

If no responsive consent, ROI, authorization, privacy notice, HMIS / Clarity authorization, participant agreement, or release exists for any category, please provide a written custodian declaration identifying:

1. the category searched;

2. the systems searched;

3. the search terms used;

4. the custodian or department consulted;

5. whether records were deleted, archived, transferred, withheld, or never created;

6. whether CRCD contends a 2023 verbal opt-in, informal sign-up, HMIS record, referral record, or program contact supplied authority for later use;

7. the basis for any withholding, redaction, refusal, or claim of privilege; and

8. the person most knowledgeable regarding the missing consent / ROI / authorization record.

8. Key Identifiers for Search

- Kyle Robert Haab
- Kyle David Haab
- Crystal Lynn Izarraraz
- Project Tipping Point participant ID: 1233369910
- HMIS ID: 8F8CD9D8B
- Property: 1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4, Los Angeles, CA 90002
- CFCI-PA3-056-2023
- Program Area 3 / Youth Housing
- Amity Foundation
- JCOD
- LAHSA reference: 2526KH-A
- HMIS tickets: 259260, 260627, 267528

- County Fraud / OCI: 2025-23289 and 2026_23965
- LAPD reports: C259045687 and C269013241
- LAHD Case: SO311576
- LADBS Order to Comply: A-6604739
- California family-law case: 25CMFL00694
- Tennessee ICPC references: 117604 and 117605
- Tennessee petition references: 310909, 310910, 310995, 310996

9. Custodian Declaration Requested

The custodian of records should provide a declaration stating that the production is complete and identifying:

- the systems searched;
- the departments or custodians consulted;
- the date range searched;
- whether any responsive records were withheld;
- whether any responsive records were destroyed, deleted, archived, transferred, or never created;
- whether any responsive records are held by a third-party administrator, vendor, contractor, funder, fiscal agent, or public agency;
- whether CRCD claims any 2023 contact authorized later 2024-2026 Project Tipping Point, housing-assistance, child-related, family-status, landlord, rental-assistance, ACH, W-9, HMIS / Clarity, or interagency records use.

10. Source Record Index for Locator Purposes Only

The following source-record categories are included as locator and relevance references only. They are not separate claims for relief and are not requests for the family court to decide separate agency, civil, criminal, or administrative matters.

10. Curated Exhibit Appendix - Clear and Relevant Exhibits Only

The exhibits attached after this subpoena text are limited to clear source materials that directly support the subpoena's narrow request for CRCD's missing 2023 consent, ROI, release, privacy notice, HMIS authorization, participant agreement, or other source authorization record. Mobile-preview screenshots, eFile workflow screenshots, and other low-value locator screenshots are intentionally omitted from this court-ready version because they are less clear and less probative than the source records.

Exhibit A - CRCD Partner / Stakeholder Statement. Relevant because it asserts that Petitioner signed up to be contacted through a CRCD program in 2023 and that this supplied the basis for later communication. This is the exact consent/authorization claim the subpoena seeks to test through source records.

Exhibit B - HMIS / LAHSA Client Case Notes History, selected pages. Relevant because it identifies the HMIS ID and the 2023 CRCD / Ruth's Place Day Shelter enrollment/exit, and also includes later child/family-status and housing eligibility language. These pages explain why the requested consent trail matters to family stability and source-record coordination.

Exhibit C - Los Angeles County Housing Assistance Programs Universal Application. Relevant because it shows the housing-assistance workflow, applicant signatures, household/child documentation, demographic/family-type information, rental assistance agreement, landlord verification, and documentation requirements.

Exhibit D - ACH Authorization Agreement. Relevant as a downstream landlord/payment record showing why CRCD must identify the upstream consent and authorization basis for payment-processing records.

Exhibit E - Landlord Verification Form. Relevant as a downstream housing-assistance and landlord-verification record tied to the 1551 3/4 E. 106th Street placement chain.

Exhibit F - W-9 / Taxpayer Identification Record. Relevant as a downstream payment/tax-document record tied to the landlord/payment chain for which the source authorization is disputed.

Exhibit G - Residential Lease Agreement. Relevant as a downstream lease/rent/security-deposit record. Petitioner is not seeking duplicate production of this lease; it is included to show why the upstream consent, ROI, release, privacy notice, or authorization source record is material.

Limiting Statement. These exhibits do not expand this subpoena into a request for the family court to decide criminal, civil, administrative, housing-code, fraud, privacy, or program-compliance merits. They are attached only to show why the missing upstream 2023 consent/ROI/authorization source record is material across family stability, child-related information, household/family-status classification, housing assistance, landlord/payment records, and interagency source-record coordination.

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit	Description	Relevance
A	CRCD Partner / Stakeholder Statement	CRCD assertion that a 2023 CRCD program sign-up supplied the basis for subsequent communication.
B	HMIS / LAHSA Client Case Notes History - selected pages	HMIS ID, 2023 Ruth's Place enrollment/exit, and later child/family housing eligibility notes.
C	Los Angeles County Housing Assistance Programs Universal Application	Housing-assistance workflow, household/child documentation, rental-assistance agreement, signatures, and landlord verification.
D	ACH Authorization Agreement	Downstream landlord/payment-processing record.
E	Landlord Verification Form	Downstream landlord-verification and housing-assistance record.
F	W-9 / Taxpayer Identification Record	Downstream payment/tax-document record tied to landlord/payment workflow.
G	Residential Lease Agreement	Downstream lease/rent/security-deposit record tied to housing stability.

EXHIBIT A

CRCD Partner / Stakeholder Statement

Relevance: CRCD asserts a 2023 CRCD program sign-up supplied the basis for subsequent communication; this is the consent/authorization basis requested.

This exhibit is attached only to support the limited subpoena request for the missing 2023 consent / ROI / authorization source record. It does not expand the subpoena to request merits rulings on separate civil, criminal, administrative, housing-code, or oversight matters.

Dear CRCD Partners and Supporters,

We were recently notified that a complaint containing several inaccurate claims about CRCD's outreach practices and services received through Project Tipping Point was circulated to various entities from a program participant. As part of our commitment to transparency and strong communication, we are sharing this update to ensure all partners, including those who were not contacted or made aware of the recent complaint, have clear and accurate information. A myriad of complaints were made against CRCD, alleging, among other things: (1) using fraudulent L.A. County housing documents; (2) failing to comply with ADA laws; and (3) disbursing funds through a fraudulent ACH account. This is to assure you we have taken these allegations seriously and they have been thoroughly investigated by our team, who have found them to be totally false. Despite the falsity of these allegations, we believe it is essential to clarify how our programs operate and how we engage with participants.

Project Tipping Point supports current and former foster youth ages 18–25 as they pursue post-secondary education at local community colleges. The program helps students complete general education coursework, select a career pathway, and build an education plan that leads toward an Associate of Arts degree and meaningful employment. Education-centered supportive services may include rent payments for students enrolled in 6–9 college-level, credit-bearing units, in order to promote and maintain housing stability while completing coursework. It is important to reaffirm that Project Tipping Point is a college persistence program and does not place students into long-term or subsidized housing. Housing agreements remain strictly between participants and landlords. The individual's lease agreement was made solely between them and an external landlord; CRCD was not a party to the lease. As part of our standard practice, CRCD offers all program participants the ability to submit ADA accommodation requests. The participant referenced did not submit any such request.

CRCD maintains a strict policy of only initiating communication with individuals who have voluntarily opted in, enrolled, or connected with us through one of our established entry points. In this case, the individual signed up to be contacted through a CRCD program in 2023, which provided the basis for all subsequent communication. All engagement is documentation-based, consent-driven, and aligned with ethical service standards. We do not conduct unsolicited outreach or intervene in external matters outside the scope of our

program. CRCD maintains program integrity through strong documentation and accountability practices, with accurate records of enrollment, communication, and supportive resources.

We also want to acknowledge the broader context in which this complaint emerged. Across Los Angeles and nationwide, organizations serving individuals and families are seeing rising levels of housing instability, financial strain, trauma, and emotional stress. These challenges can make it difficult for people to navigate support systems or fully understand program limitations. Under such pressure, it is not uncommon for individuals to feel overwhelmed, misinterpret program structures, or hope for assistance beyond what a program can provide. This trend is affecting agencies across the country, not an isolated issue.

CRCD meets these challenges with deep empathy and understanding. Our team listens closely and supports individuals as they navigate their educational and stabilization journey. We connect people to additional resources whenever possible. In this case, consistent with program guidelines, several support options were offered but not utilized; throughout the process, our team remained committed to clear and compassionate engagement.

For more than 2 decades, CRCD has supported thousands of youth in South Los Angeles with compassion, integrity, and consistency. We remain confident in our processes, dedicated to our mission, and grateful for your partnership, especially during a period of increased need. Our leadership team is available to address any additional questions or provide clarification. For additional information please contact Kenta Estrada-Darley, Chief Community Innovations Officer at (323) 861-2991.

With appreciation,

Jahrell Thomas

Jahrell Thomas
Chief Operations Officer (COO)
Coalition for Responsible Community Development (CRCD)

EXHIBIT B

HMIS / LAHSA Client Case Notes History - selected pages

Relevance: Selected pages show the HMIS ID, 2023 CRCD / Ruth's Place entry, and later child/family-status and housing eligibility language.

This exhibit is attached only to support the limited subpoena request for the missing 2023 consent / ROI / authorization source record. It does not expand the subpoena to request merits rulings on separate civil, criminal, administrative, housing-code, or oversight matters.

Client Notes

System
Haab, Kyle
8F8CD9D8B
Date Range: 12/01/2022 thru 10/17/2025

Name:	Haab, Kyle	**Date of Birth:**	02/28/1999 (Age: 26)
SSN:	***-**-5695	**Quality of DOB:**	Full DOB Reported
Quality of SSN:	Full SSN Reported	**Unique Identifier:**	8F8CD9D8B

Title: Telephone Call

Agency: Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority (LAHSA) **Staff: Clark, Kamesha** **Date: 10/15/2025**

G: Goal was to follow up with client regarding a fraud case with one of LAHSA's sub-recipients.

I: HRS followed up with client after receiving instruction from leadership on how to handle the matter. HRS advised client to take the case through law enforcement as it is indeed fraud and a form of theft.

R: Client understood the reasoning after asking a few more general questions and was also provided with former and current CM to request additional information should the cops need it.

P: Client has been provided with resources and will utilize them for further support.

Title: Telephone Call

Agency: Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority (LAHSA) **Staff: Clark, Kamesha** **Date: 10/14/2025**

Client's Project Stay: Resource and Referral [10/14/2025 - 10/14/2025]

HRS will follow up with client on what resource can be provided to best assist his situation.

Title: Telephone Call

Agency: Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority (LAHSA) **Staff: Clark, Kamesha** **Date: 10/14/2025**

Client's Project Stay: Resource and Referral [10/14/2025 - 10/14/2025]

G: Client called in seeking an update on his case. Client states that he and his partner were involved in a rental fraud case where they were exchanging confidential information via text messages with someone who they thought were legit personnel.

I: HRS engaged in conversation with client to obtain more info to assist in directing him to the appropriate help. Client states it was with Coalition for Responsible Community Development. HRS then obtained case number after client repeatedly insisted on providing it. HRS attempted to provide client with active program(s) CM's contact info.

R: Client was not receptive to the resources being provided. He proceeded to state that the "LA County Fraud Department" did get involved and told him that his fraud case is with LAHSA. HRS then provided client with grievance dept info as they hold cases. Client was receptive of the resource given.

P: Client will reach LAHSA grievance for further support.

Title: Problem Solving Update

Agency: Harbor Interfaith Services **Staff: ward, jennifer** **Date: 07/28/2025**

Head of Household (HOH) is currently residing in a unit with his fiancé. He reported ongoing issues with his landlord and is actively seeking a new housing unit. HOH disclosed that he has children; however, they are currently not in his custody and have been placed in the care of their grandparent. HOH confirmed that there is no open court case or scheduled court date regarding custody of the children at this time. Due to the absence of children in his custody, HOH does not meet the eligibility criteria for family-based housing programs. He was referred to the Bringing Families Home program; however, it was clarified that he would need to pursue assistance through an individual-based program instead. HOH was provided with the appropriate contact information and resources for individual housing support programs.

Title: Problem solving conversation/screening

Agency: The Whole Child **Staff: Ramirez, Marlissa** **Date: 07/22/2025**

G - To discuss familys living situation and resources.

Client Notes

System
Haab, Kyle
8F8CD9D8B
Date Range: 12/01/2022 thru 10/17/2025

I - Family Response Team (FRT) held a Problem-Solving conversation with Participant (PTC) asking about their current living situation and household composition. FRT explained to PTC that program guidelines include having a minor/qualified dependent within your custody. FRT encouraged PTC to connect with agencies serving single individuals and offered to email resources.

R - Prior to seeking services from TWC, family was sleeping in a unit with girlfriend PTC landlord is going to sell the unit and PTC does not have anywhere to go PTC does not have custody of his child at the moment. PTC was receptive of guidelines and open to resources offered by FRT.

P - FRT will send a referral to Harbor Interfaith on PTC behalf.

Title: Telephone contact

Agency: Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority (LAHSA) **Staff: Jimenez, Sefora** **Date: 07/08/2025**

Client's Project Stay: Resource and Referral [07/08/2025 - 07/08/2025]

Client called in seeking emergency housing voucher. R&R staff provided resources eviction, housing rights, HDAP, and 211 for information/resources. R&R staff referred to provider for further assistance.

Title: Enrollment

Agency: Harbor Interfaith Services **Staff: Gonzalez, Kyra** **Date: 06/27/2025**

The client has become homeless and require the services of a CES Access center. Client enrolled in CES Adult Access Center SPA 8. Client requested assistance with the CES Assessment.

Title: Exit

Agency: Coalition for Responsible Community Development **Staff: Montes, Asusena** **Date: 04/28/2023**

Client's Project Stay: Ruths Place Day Shelter [04/27/2023 - 04/28/2023]

Intake specialist exiting youth from program. Youth has moved in with family temporarily. Youth has completed program goals.

Title: Problem Solving Meeting Conversation 1

Agency: Covenant House California **Staff: Campos, Leslie** **Date: 04/28/2023**

Client's Project Stay: Problem-Solving Youth [04/28/2023 - 05/19/2023]

The youth was referred by CRCD for family reunification. The youth was sleeping in a friend's car but got away. The youth will be reunified with a friend. The PS confirmed with a friend and will be buying a ticket via Greyhound back in Tucson, AZ.

Title: Enrollment

Agency: Coalition for Responsible Community Development **Staff: Montes, Asusena** **Date: 04/27/2023**

Client's Project Stay: Ruths Place Day Shelter [04/27/2023 - 04/28/2023]

Asusena Montes CRCD Ruths Place I Intake Specialist| 4201 S. Centra Ave. Los Angeles, California, 90011(323) 432-2440

G: To successfully enroll client into the Access Center program.

B: The client was very respectful and open about her situation and how they became homeless.

I: Intake Specialist completed the intake process with youth. Additional services were emphasized to the youth.

R: Client was happy to be enrolled into our program, knowing that he will now be able to start receiving services.

P: Continue to offer support and services to the youth as needed.

Title: Problem Solving Conversation #1

Agency: Coalition for Responsible Community Development **Staff: Kidd, Samantha** **Date: 04/27/2023**

I Samantha Kidd |CRCD Ruth's Place | Program Solving / Prevention Specialist | Case Manger 4201 S Central Ave L.A 90011

EXHIBIT C

Los Angeles County Housing Assistance Programs Universal Application

Relevance: Shows housing-assistance workflow, documentation requirements, applicant signatures, household and child documentation, demographic/family-type fields, rental-assistance agreement, and landlord verification.

This exhibit is attached only to support the limited subpoena request for the missing 2023 consent / ROI / authorization source record. It does not expand the subpoena to request merits rulings on separate civil, criminal, administrative, housing-code, or oversight matters.

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH
ADULT JUSTICE, HOUSING, EMPLOYMENT AND EDUCATION SERVICES

HOUSING ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS - UNIVERSAL APPLICATION

INSTRUCTIONS

HOUSING ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS CHECKLIST (pg. 2)

- This checklist will identify all required documentation that MUST be submitted when applying for any housing assistance program.

REQUEST FORM (pg. 3)
This form must be completed when applying for any housing assistance program.

- Check the appropriate program that applicant is currently enrolled or check "other" and include the name of the Program.
- Check the type of housing assistance requested. If applying for more then one program check all that apply.
- Check if applicant is a Section 8 or Shelter Plus Care recipient. Check neither if it does not apply.
- Complete client and agency's information.
- Must be signed by Applicant, Case Manager and Program Manager.

DEMOGRAPHIC SHEET (pg.4)
This form must be completed when applying for any housing assistance program.

- Complete Income Status, Housing Status, and Demographic Information Sections, by checking all that apply.
- Only complete On-going Section when applying for On-going Rental Assistance.
- Only complete Eviction Prevention Section when applying for Eviction Prevention.

HOUSEHOLD GOODS/GIFT CARD REQUEST FORM (pg. 5 & 6)
Complete these forms when applying for Household Goods along with page 2 & 3.

- If applying for household goods or utilities assistance use page 5.
- Check type of utility being requested, if applying for more then one program check all that apply. *(For DMH Directly Operated FSP ONLY)*
- If applying for gift cards use page 6, check type of gift card requested.
- Complete vendor's name, amount requested, and itemized cost.
- When applying for Household Goods list the requested items and attach merchant's invoice.
- When requesting assistance with utilities, attach utility bill.
- Must be signed by Case Manager and Program Manager.

RENTAL ASSISTANCE AGREEMENT FORM (pg. 7)
Complete this form when applicant is enrolled in a DMH Directly Operated FSP Program along with page 2 & 3.

- Complete month(s) of rental assistance being requested, and the regular monthly rent amount.
- Complete housing plan section.
- Must be signed by Applicant, Case Manager and Program Manager.

LANDLORD VERIFICATION FORM (pg. 8)
This form must be completed by Landlord when applicant is applying for Security Deposit, Eviction Prevention, and/or On-Going Rental Assistance. Submit with completed application.

- Present to Landlord for completion along with W-9 form.
- Must be signed by Applicant and Landlord.

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH
ADULT JUSTICE, HOUSING, EMPLOYMENT AND EDUCATION SERVICES

HOUSING ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS - UNIVERSAL APPLICATION

CHECKLIST

REQUIRED DOCUMENTS

(General requirements for any Housing Assistance Program).

- ☐ Photo Identification ***and*** Social Security Card of applicant and all household members 18 years of age and older.
- ☐ Social Security Card ***or*** Birth Certificate for any child in the household under the age of 18.
- ☐ Applicant's Income Verification dated **within 30 days** (i.e., payroll stubs, verification of receipt of SSI, SSDI or SDI Benefits).
- ☐ If the client is a **Section 8 or Shelter Plus Care recipient**, attach one of the following items:
  - ☐ ***Letter of Determination**** from the City Housing Authority, or;
  - ☐ Verification of ***Lease Approval**** from the County Housing Authority.

**These letters stipulate (1) tenant and landlord respective shares of rent and (2) statement that the unit has been inspected and approved.*

- ☐ If the applicant is NOT a **Section 8** or **Shelter Plus Care** recipient, the following documents **MUST** be provided with the application:
  - ☐ Signed copy of the Lease Agreement and;
  - ☐ Verification of Property Ownership (Deed of Trust, Property Tax Bill or a notarized letter verifying ownership.)
- ☐ **Completed W-9 Form by the VENDOR;** *This form is required by the Auditor-Controller and must be completed by the Vendor and included with the application if not already on file with DMH. If the case manager knows that they have sent one in the past, there is no need to do it again. If uncertain, have vendor complete the form.*

EVICTION PREVENTION

(Required documents for Eviction Prevention, in addition to the general required documents indicated above).

- ☐ *Notice to Evict* with the date of eviction clearly stated. (i.e., 3 day notice, 30 day notice)
- ☐ Evidence that the applicant has resided in the unit for at least 6 months (utility bill, bank statement, etc.)

HOUSEHOLD GOODS

(Required documents for Household Goods, in addition to the general required documents indicated above).

- ☐ Original receipt or vendor's invoice. (Internet generated invoice, must submit receipt of items purchased.)
- ☐ Verification that applicant was homeless prior to moving into current residence. (If the applicant was approved for Security Deposit Assistance within the last 3 months, verification is not required.)

DMH - DIRECTLY OPERATED FSP AND WELLNESS CENTERS

(Required documents for applicants enrolled in Directly Operated FSP & Wellness Center Programs for any housing assistance program, in addition to the general required documents indicated above).

- ☐ Signed Rental Assistance Agreement Form. (FSP Only)
- ☐ Completed *CSS Request Form* must be signed by the Applicant, Case Manager, and the Mental Health Clinical Program Head. This form **MUST** accompany Housing Assistance Applications.

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH
ADULT JUSTICE, HOUSING, EMPLOYMENT AND EDUCATION SERVICES

HOUSING ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS - UNIVERSAL APPLICATION

REQUEST FORM

Please check all that apply:

Applicant is currently enrolled in: ☐ CRS ☐ PEI/CORS ☐ FSP ☐ Wellness ☐ FCCS ☐ Other_____

Type of assistance applicant is applying for:

☐ Security Deposit ☐ Eviction Prevention ☐ On-going Rental Assistance (DMH Directly Operated FSP requesting more than one month)

☐ Household Goods ☐ Utility Assistance (DMH Directly Operated FSP ONLY) ☐Rental Assistance

Is applicant a recipient of: ☐ Section 8 ☐ Shelter Plus Care ☐ Neither

---

Applicant's Name: _____ Phone:(____) _____

Head of Household: _____ Phone :(____) _____
(If different from applicant)

Current Address: _____ City:_____Zip:_____

MIS #: _____ SSN: _____ DOB: _____

Agency Name: _____

Address: _____ City: _____ Zip: _____

Case Manager/Housing Specialist: _____

Phone: (____) _____ Fax: (____) _____ Email:_____

The agency declares and certifies each of the following statements to be true and correct:

1. The agency is currently providing mental health services and case management to the applicant and has verified the income and identification of all members of the applicant's household.
2. The agency has provided information to the applicant on tenant-landlord rights and tenant responsibilities, including the appropriate treatment of rental property, appropriate behavior within the neighborhood, and the importance of timely payment of rent.
3. The applicant is eligible to participate in this program and has a documented income source that can reasonably be expected to cover the proposed rent and living expenses.
4. The applicant has assured the agency that they have not received eviction prevention or security deposit assistance through the Housing Assistance Program in the last 12 months.

Applicant: _____ _____
Signature Date

Case Manager/
Housing Specialist: _____ _____
Signature Date

Program Manager: _____ _____
Signature Date

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH
ADULT JUSTICE, HOUSING, EMPLOYMENT AND EDUCATION SERVICES

INCOME STATUS

What is your total monthly income? $ _____ Total monthly expenses? $ _____

What is your current source(s) of income? (Check all that apply.)

- ❑ Employment
- ❑ General Relief
- ❑ Child Support
- ❑ CalWORKS (TANF)
- ❑ Social Security Retirement
- ❑ State Disability Insurance
- ❑ Unemployment Insurance
- ❑ Supplemental Security Income
- ❑ Veteran's Administration Pension
- ❑ Family/Friend
- ❑ None
- ❑ Other (Specify) _____

Please give a brief description of why applicant needs housing assistance: _____

HOUSING STATUS

Are you currently homeless? ❑ Yes ❑ No
(If you do not have a permanent place to live, you are considered homeless)

Number of occasions of homelessness in the last 3 years: _____

What is the length of your current episode of homelessness:

- ❑ under 1 month
- ❑ 1 – 2 months
- ❑ 3 – 4 months
- ❑ 5 – 6 months
- ❑ 7 – 12 months
- ❑ over 1 year

Location of your current episode of homelessness:

- ❑ SA 1 Antelope Valley
- ❑ SA 2 San Fernando Valley
- ❑ SA 3 San Gabriel Valley
- ❑ SA 4 Metro
- ❑ SA 5 West LA
- ❑ SA 6 South LA
- ❑ SA 7 South East
- ❑ SA 8 Harbor

Length of your previous episode of homelessness:

- ❑ under 1 month
- ❑ 1 – 2 months
- ❑ 3 – 4 months
- ❑ 5 – 6 months
- ❑ 7 – 12 months
- ❑ over 1 year

Survival strategies that you have utilized during your episodes of homelessness (check all that apply):

- ❑ Shelters/Missions
- ❑ Abandon House
- ❑ Hospital
- ❑ Motels/Agency Paying
- ❑ Living in a Car
- ❑ Family/Friend
- ❑ Garage
- ❑ Motels/Client Paying

What circumstance(s) led to your current homelessness?

- ❑ Reduction in Benefits
- ❑ Problems with Landlord
- ❑ Victim of Domestic Violence
- ❑ Crime Victim
- ❑ Substance Abuse
- ❑ Eviction
- ❑ Jail
- ❑ Family/Friend Unable to Provide Aid
- ❑ Loss of Job
- ❑ Acute Illness
- ❑ Problems with Tenants or Spouse
- ❑ Disability
- ❑ Hospitalization
- ❑ Other _____

DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION

Gender:	Marital Status:	Family Type:	# of Children:	If without minor children, is the applicant?	Ethnicity:
❑ Male ❑ Female	❑ Separated ❑ Divorced ❑ Widowed ❑ Single ❑ Never Married	❑ Single Adult ❑ Adult w/child ❑ Adult w/children ❑ Two Adults ❑ Two Adults w/child ❑ Two Adults w/children	❑ 1 ❑ 2 ❑ 3 ❑ 4 ❑ 5 or more	❑ Pregnant ❑ Frail Elderly	❑ African-American ❑ Asian/Pacific Islander ❑ Caucasian ❑ Hispanic ❑ Other

Please check and list other co-occurring disorders:

❑ Medical/Physical Condition _____ ❑ Substance Abuse _____ ❑ Other _____

ONGOING RENTAL ASSISTANCE

FSP applicants ONLY (must be filled out if applying for Ongoing Rental Assistance)

Type of housing to which you are requesting a subsidy:

- ❑ Sober Living
- ❑ Shared/Collaborative Housing
- ❑ Residential Treatment Program
- ❑ Transitional Housing
- ❑ Apartment
- ❑ Other _____

Requested length of subsidy in months: _____

EVICTION PREVENTION REQUEST:

(must be filled out if applying for eviction prevention)

Does the client:

❑ Rent ❑ Own Monthly rent/mortgage $ _____

How long have you lived at your present address? _____

Amount behind in rent/mortgage: $ _____

Note. The payment of rent in arrears cannot exceed one month's rent plus a reasonable documented late charge.

Have you received one of the following? *(Please state date notice was received)*

- ❑ 3 Day Notice to Pay or Quit _____
- ❑ 5 day Marshall Notice to Vacate _____
- ❑ 30 day Notice _____
- ❑ Unfavorable Court Judgment _____

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH
ADULT JUSTICE, HOUSING, EMPLOYMENT AND EDUCATION SERVICES

HOUSING ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS - UNIVERSAL APPLICATION

HOUSEHOLD GOODS REQUEST FORM

Applicant's Name: _____

Agency Name: _____

UTILITY REQUEST (DMH Directly Operated FSP ONLY): ☐ Electricity ☐ Water ☐ Gas

VENDOR INFORMATION:

Vendor _____ Amount requesting: $_____

Contact _____ Phone: (___) _____

Vendor: _____ Amount requesting: $_____

Contact _____ Phone: (___) _____

Please list items that are being purchase (attach additional sheet if necessary)

VENDOR NAME	DESCRIPTION OF ITEMS	COST		
		UNIT COST	QUANTITY	TOTAL COST
			TOTAL AMOUNT OF REQUEST:	

CERTIFICATION

The agency declares and certifies each of the following statements to be true and correct:

- The agency has verified that the applicant is in need of the requested items and that the requested expenditures are consistent with program guidelines.
- The agency has verified and explained to applicant that the request is not to exceed the limited lifetime allocation of $800 for appliances, furniture and other household expenses. (FSP & Wellness applicants are subjected to purchase limits as stated in the CSS Expenditure Coding Guide).

Case Manager/
Housing Specialist: _____ _____
Signature Date

Program Manager: _____ _____
Signature Date

Page 5 of 8

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH
ADULT JUSTICE, HOUSING, EMPLOYMENT AND EDUCATION SERVICES

HOUSING ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS - UNIVERSAL APPLICATION

GIFT CARD REQUEST FORM

Applicant's Name: _____

Agency Name: _____

Gift Card Request *(Please check all that apply):* ☐ **Wal-Mart** ☐ **Target**

Please list items that are being purchase (attach additional sheet if necessary)

VENDOR NAME	DESCRIPTION OF ITEMS	COST		
		UNIT COST	QUANTITY	TOTAL COST
			TOTAL AMOUNT OF REQUEST:	

CERTIFICATION

The agency declares and certifies each of the following statements to be true and correct:

- The agency has verified that the applicant is in need of the requested items and that the requested expenditures are consistent with program guidelines.
- The agency has verified and explained to applicant that the request is not to exceed the limited lifetime allocation of $600 for appliances, furniture and other household expenses. (FSP & Wellness applicants are subjected to purchase limits as stated in the CSS Expenditure Coding Guide).
- If there is a remaining balance on gift card. It must be returned to Housing Policy and Development within one week of purchases.

Case Manager/
Housing Specialist: _____ _____
Signature Date

Program Manager: _____ _____
Signature Date

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS BOX (For Office Use Only)

Received by: _____ Date received: _____

☐**Approved** ☐ **Denied** Date of Notification: _____

Reason Denied: _____ Denied By: _____

Approved by: _____ Approval Date: _____
Division Chief

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH
ADULT JUSTICE, HOUSING, EMPLOYMENT AND EDUCATION SERVICES

HOUSING ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS - UNIVERSAL APPLICATION

RENTAL ASSISTANCE AGREEMENT FORM
(DMH Directly Operated FSP ONLY)

As a condition of the Full Service Partnership Rental Assistance Program, I agree to have the County of Los Angeles Department of Mental Health issue a check payable to my landlord each month for .....months. This rental assistance payment will be in the amount of $....., for each of the months that I am eligible.

I agree to:

- work with my Case Manager to (1) find other housing options if needed, (2) participate in establishing benefits to continue rental payments and, (3) assume responsibility of my entire monthly rent.
- Immediately notify my Case Manager of any changes in housing cost or housing composition (including receipt of any other subsidized housing, [i.e. Section 8 or any other rent contributions program]), but not later than 3 business days after the change occurs.

I agree to repay the County of Los Angeles – Department of Mental Health for all payments made on my behalf through the FSP Rental Assistance Program upon receipt of a retro-active Supplemental Security Income (SSI) check (as well as subsequent checks) or through other monies received until the balance owed to the Department of Mental Health is paid.

I understand that the rental assistance payments are temporary housing assistance issued to eligible FSP individuals and their families. I also understand that should my FSP services be discontinued within this agreement period, the rental assistance will be discontinued. I elect to accept the rental assistance payments by signing the statements below.

HousingPlan:_____

_____

_____

I,_____ accept rental assistance payments and agree
(Participant's Name)
to the terms indicated above. I also understand that although DMH is making a partial or full payment of rent and the County is in no way a party to the rental agreement I have with the landlord.

_____ _____
Participant's Name (Print) *Address, City & Zip*

_____ (___)_____ _____
Participant's Signature *Telephone* *Date*

_____ _____ _____ _____
Case Manager *Date* *Program Manager* *Date*

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
ADULT JUSTICE, HOUSING, EMPLOYMENT AND EDUCATION SERVICES

HOUSING ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS - UNIVERSAL APPLICATION

LANDLORD VERIFICATION FORM

(To be completed by Landlord)

Please Print

I intend to rent a unit/shared room to: _____

The property is located at _____ _____
Street Address *Apt. #*

_____ _____
City *Zip Code*

Type of Request:

☐ Security Deposit ☐ Ongoing Rental Assistance (Directly Operated FSP ONLY) ☐ Eviction Prevention

Please complete if applying for Security Deposit OR Rental Assistance.

Security deposit amount: $_____

Regular months rent: $_____

Tenant's subsidized rent portion: $_____

Please complete if applying for Eviction Prevention.

Rent: $_____

Late charges (as stated in lease): $_____

Tenant's subsidized rent portion: $_____

Apartment/House is: ☐ Furnished ☐ Unfurnished

Rent Includes: ☐ Electricity ☐ Water ☐ Gas ☐ Trash

DATE TENANCY BEGAN/WILL BEGIN: ____/____/____

Please make checks payable to: _____
(Checks to be made only to the property owners or authorized Management Company)

Name of Property Owner: _____

Address: _____

Telephone Number: (____) _____

Property Owner Signature: _____ _____ **Date:** ____/____/____
(or designee)

I understand that this is a Federal and/or State funded program and that abuse of this program is an offense. I certify under penalty of jury that all information that I have provided on this form is true and correct.

Applicant's Signature: _____ **Date:** ____/____/____

This form is not an agreement but only a confirmation of the amount of monies reflected in the rent/lease agreement and does not hold the County liable for any damages to the property as caused by the tenant.

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS BOX (For Office Use Only)

Amount Approved for payment: $ _____ **Initialed By:** _____

Page 8 of 8

EXHIBIT D

ACH Authorization Agreement

Relevance: Downstream landlord/payment-processing record. Included to show why CRCD must identify the upstream consent/authorization basis for payment records.

This exhibit is attached only to support the limited subpoena request for the missing 2023 consent / ROI / authorization source record. It does not expand the subpoena to request merits rulings on separate civil, criminal, administrative, housing-code, or oversight matters.

Authorization Agreement For Automated Clearing House Transactions (ACH)

ACH Authorization

Customer Name:	Christopher Cervantes

I (we) hereby authorize: COALITION FOR RESPONSIBLE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT, hereinafter called COMPANY, to initiate credit entries and to initiate, if necessary, debit entries and adjustments for any credit entries in error to my (our)

☒ Checking ☐ Savings account (select one) indicated below and the depository named below, hereinafter called DEPOSITORY, to credit and/or debit the same to such account. This authority will remain in effect until the COMPANY is notified by me (us) in writing to cancel it in such time as to afford the COMPANY and the DEPOSITORY a reasonable opportunity to act on it.

Bank Information

DEPOSITORY NAME:	Wells Fargo Bank	Branch: (if applicable)	
City, State, ZIP:	Downey CA 90241		
Transit/ABA No: ("Routing #")	122000247	Account #:	0021560073

This authority is to remain in full force and effect until COMPANY has received written notification from me of its termination in such time and in such manner as to afford COMPANY and DEPOSITORY a reasonable opportunity to act on it. I acknowledge that the origination of ACH transactions to my account must comply with the provisions of U.S. law.

Name(s): *Please print* Christopher Cervantes EIN/SS#: 610 07-3376

Signature(s) 8-2-24 Date

CHECK ONE: I am not currently participating in the Automated Payment Program.

☐ ADD – Credit the account shown.

I am currently participating in the Automated Payment Program.

☐ CHANGE – Change financial institutions and/or account number.

EXHIBIT E

Landlord Verification Form

Relevance: Downstream housing-assistance and landlord-verification record tied to the 1551 3/4 E. 106th Street placement chain.

This exhibit is attached only to support the limited subpoena request for the missing 2023 consent / ROI / authorization source record. It does not expand the subpoena to request merits rulings on separate civil, criminal, administrative, housing-code, or oversight matters.

Exhibit E - Landlord Verification Form

Downstream housing-assistance and landlord-verification record tied to the 1551 3/4 E. 106th Street placement chain.

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
ADULT JUSTICE, HOUSING, EMPLOYMENT AND EDUCATION SERVICES

HOUSING ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS - UNIVERSAL APPLICATION

LANDLORD VERIFICATION FORM

(To be completed by Landlord)

Please Print

I intend to rent a unit/shared room to: KYLE ROBERT HAAB

The property is located at: 1551 3/4 E 106th St. (Street Address) — Apt #

Los Angeles (City) CA 90062 (Zip Code)

Type of Request:

☐ Security Deposit ☐ Ongoing Rental Assistance (Directly Operated FSP ONLY) ☐ Eviction Prevention

Please complete if applying for Security Deposit OR Rental Assistance.

Security deposit amount: $ 2,000

Regular months rent: $ 2,000

Tenant's subsidized rent portion: $

Please complete if applying for Eviction Prevention.

Rent: $ 2,000

Late charges (as stated in lease): $

Tenant's subsidized rent portion: $

Apartment/House is: ☐ Furnished ☒ Unfurnished

Rent Includes: ☐ Electricity ☒ Water ☐ Gas ☐ Trash

DATE TENANCY BEGAN/WILL BEGIN: 9 / 3 / 24

Please make checks payable to: Christopher Cervantes

(Checks to be made only to the property owners or authorized Management Company)

Name of Property Owner: Christopher Cervantes

Address: P.O. Box 1117 Downey CA 90241

Telephone Number: (562) 824-9003

Property Owner Signature *(or designee)*: _____ **Date:** 8 / 2 / 24

I understand that this is a Federal and/or State funded program and that abuse of this program is an offense. I certify under penalty of jury that all information that I have provided on this form is true and correct.

Applicant's Signature: _____ **Date:** ___ / ___ / ___

This form is not an agreement but only a confirmation of the amount of monies reflected in the rental/lease agreement and does not hold the County liable for any damages to the property as caused by the tenant.

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS BOX (For Office Use Only)

Amount Approved for payment: $ _____ **Initialed By:** _____

Page 6 of 8

EXHIBIT F

W-9 / Taxpayer Identification Record

Relevance: Downstream payment/tax-document record tied to the landlord/payment workflow.

This exhibit is attached only to support the limited subpoena request for the missing 2023 consent / ROI / authorization source record. It does not expand the subpoena to request merits rulings on separate civil, criminal, administrative, housing-code, or oversight matters.

Form **W-9**
(Rev. October 2018)
Department of the Treasury
Internal Revenue Service

Request for Taxpayer Identification Number and Certification

▶ Go to www.irs.gov/FormW9 for instructions and the latest information.

Give Form to the requester. Do not send to the IRS.

1 Name (as shown on your income tax return). Name is required on this line; do not leave this line blank.
CHRISTOPHER CERVANTES

2 Business name/disregarded entity name, if different from above

Print or type. See Specific Instructions on page 3.

3 Check appropriate box for federal tax classification of the person whose name is entered on line 1. Check only one of the following seven boxes.

☒ Individual/sole proprietor or single-member LLC ☐ C Corporation ☐ S Corporation ☐ Partnership ☐ Trust/estate

☐ Limited liability company. Enter the tax classification (C=C corporation, S=S corporation, P=Partnership) ▶ _____

Note: Check the appropriate box in the line above for the tax classification of the single-member owner. Do not check LLC if the LLC is classified as a single-member LLC that is disregarded from the owner unless the owner of the LLC is another LLC that is not disregarded from the owner for U.S. federal tax purposes. Otherwise, a single-member LLC that is disregarded from the owner should check the appropriate box for the tax classification of its owner.

☐ Other (see instructions) ▶

4 Exemptions (codes apply only to certain entities, not individuals; see instructions on page 3):

Exempt payee code (if any) _____

Exemption from FATCA reporting code (if any) _____

(Applies to accounts maintained outside the U.S.)

5 Address (number, street, and apt. or suite no.) See instructions.
P.O. BOX 1111

Requester's name and address (optional)

6 City, state, and ZIP code
DOWNEY CA 90241

7 List account number(s) here (optional)

Part I Taxpayer Identification Number (TIN)

Enter your TIN in the appropriate box. The TIN provided must match the name given on line 1 to avoid backup withholding. For individuals, this is generally your social security number (SSN). However, for a resident alien, sole proprietor, or disregarded entity, see the instructions for Part I, later. For other entities, it is your employer identification number (EIN). If you do not have a number, see *How to get a TIN*, later.

Note: If the account is in more than one name, see the instructions for line 1. Also see *What Name and Number To Give the Requester* for guidelines on whose number to enter.

Social security number: 611 - 07 - 3376

or

Employer identification number: _ _ - _ _ _ _ _ _ _

Part II Certification

Under penalties of perjury, I certify that:

1. The number shown on this form is my correct taxpayer identification number (or I am waiting for a number to be issued to me); and
2. I am not subject to backup withholding because: (a) I am exempt from backup withholding, or (b) I have not been notified by the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) that I am subject to backup withholding as a result of a failure to report all interest or dividends, or (c) the IRS has notified me that I am no longer subject to backup withholding; and
3. I am a U.S. citizen or other U.S. person (defined below); and
4. The FATCA code(s) entered on this form (if any) indicating that I am exempt from FATCA reporting is correct.

Certification instructions. You must cross out item 2 above if you have been notified by the IRS that you are currently subject to backup withholding because you have failed to report all interest and dividends on your tax return. For real estate transactions, item 2 does not apply. For mortgage interest paid, acquisition or abandonment of secured property, cancellation of debt, contributions to an individual retirement arrangement (IRA), and generally, payments other than interest and dividends, you are not required to sign the certification, but you must provide your correct TIN. See the instructions for Part II, later.

Sign Here Signature of U.S. person ▶ _____ Date ▶ 8-12-2024

General Instructions

Section references are to the Internal Revenue Code unless otherwise noted.

Future developments. For the latest information about developments related to Form W-9 and its instructions, such as legislation enacted after they were published, go to www.irs.gov/FormW9.

Purpose of Form

An individual or entity (Form W-9 requester) who is required to file an information return with the IRS must obtain your correct taxpayer identification number (TIN) which may be your social security number (SSN), individual taxpayer identification number (ITIN), adoption taxpayer identification number (ATIN), or employer identification number (EIN), to report on an information return the amount paid to you, or other amount reportable on an information return. Examples of information returns include, but are not limited to, the following.

- Form 1099-INT (interest earned or paid)
- Form 1099-DIV (dividends, including those from stocks or mutual funds)
- Form 1099-MISC (various types of income, prizes, awards, or gross proceeds)
- Form 1099-B (stock or mutual fund sales and certain other transactions by brokers)
- Form 1099-S (proceeds from real estate transactions)
- Form 1099-K (merchant card and third party network transactions)
- Form 1098 (home mortgage interest), 1098-E (student loan interest), 1098-T (tuition)
- Form 1099-C (canceled debt)
- Form 1099-A (acquisition or abandonment of secured property)

Use Form W-9 only if you are a U.S. person (including a resident alien), to provide your correct TIN.

If you do not return Form W-9 to the requester with a TIN, you might be subject to backup withholding. See What is backup withholding, *later.*

Cat. No. 10231X Form **W-9** (Rev. 10-2018)

EXHIBIT G

Residential Lease Agreement

Relevance: Downstream lease/rent/security-deposit record tied to housing stability and the missing upstream consent/ROI/authorization source record.

This exhibit is attached only to support the limited subpoena request for the missing 2023 consent / ROI / authorization source record. It does not expand the subpoena to request merits rulings on separate civil, criminal, administrative, housing-code, or oversight matters.

RESIDENTIAL LEASE AGREEMENT

1. **PARTIES.** This Residential Lease Agreement ("Agreement") made this _____ is between:
Landlord Name: CHRISTOPHER CERVANTES with a mailing address of: P.O. BOX 1111 DOWNEY CA 90241 ("Landlord"), AND
Tenant Name(s): KYLE ROBERT HAMB ("Tenant(s)"). Landlord and Tenant are each collectively referred to as the "Parties."

2. **PROPERTY.** The Landlord agrees to lease the described property to the Tenant:
Address: 1551 3/4 E 106 1/2 St. Los Angeles CA 90002 ("Premises").
Residence Type: ☐ Single-family ☐ Apartment ☐ Condominium ☐ Other: UNIT

3. **TERM.**
The Agreement shall begin on 8/3/24 and end on 8/3/25 ("Term").

4. **RENT.**
The Tenant shall pay the Landlord in equal monthly installments of $2,000 ("Rent"). The Rent shall be due on the 1st of every month and paid under the following instructions:

5. **SECURITY DEPOSIT.** The Tenant (check one):
☒ Shall deposit with the Landlord the sum of $2,000 as security for any damage caused to the Premises during the Term. Such deposit shall be returned to the Tenant, less any itemized deductions, within _____ days after the end of the Term.
☐ Shall NOT be required to pay a security deposit.

6. **SIGNATURES.**
Landlord Signature: _____ Date: 8/2/24
Printed Name: CHRISTOPHER CERVANTES
Tenant Signature: _____ Date: _____
Printed Name: _____

eSign

ATTACHMENT 3 TO BUSINESS RECORDS SUBPOENA

Limited Request for 2023 Consent / ROI / Authorization Source Records

Coalition for Responsible Community Development / Project Tipping Point / Ruth's Place / HMIS-Clarity

Court Case:	25CMFL00694
Filing Party:	Kyle Robert Haab, self-represented Petitioner
Entity / Custodian:	Coalition for Responsible Community Development / Project Tipping Point / CRCD records custodian
Document Type:	Attachment to Business Records Subpoena / Limited Consent-ROI Source Record Request
Scope:	Missing 2023 consent / ROI / release / privacy notice / HMIS authorization / participant agreement / custodian declaration

Court-Ready Exhibit Control Note

This court-ready version keeps the subpoena narrow and uses only clear, relevant exhibits. Low-value mobile-preview screenshots and eFile workflow screenshots are omitted. No redactions were applied because redaction was not requested.

1. Limited Purpose

This subpoena attachment is limited. Petitioner is not seeking duplicate production of downstream records already possessed. Petitioner seeks the missing source record showing the consent, release, ROI, HMIS authorization, privacy notice, participant agreement, or other written authorization CRCD contends allowed later 2024-2026 use of Petitioner's personal information, child-related information, family-status information, housing records, landlord-verification records, lease records, ACH records, W-9 records, rental-assistance records, Project Tipping Point records, HMIS / Clarity records, and interagency disclosures.

The central question is:

What written source record authorized CRCD or its agents to rely on a 2023 CRCD / Ruth's Place / HMIS / Clarity contact for later 2024-2026 Project Tipping Point, housing-assistance, landlord-verification, lease, ACH, W-9, family-status, child-related, interagency, and court-impacting records?

2. Family-Law Relevance

The requested records are relevant to this family-law case because the Court is being asked to address visitation, family stability, housing-stability consequences, ICPC / UCCJEA source-record coordination, and whether current instability should be treated as voluntary parental instability when the underlying consent authority and source records remain disputed.

Without the requested 2023 consent, release, ROI, HMIS authorization, privacy notice, or participant agreement, this matter should not be treated as a routine private family-law dispute in which housing instability, service-routing failures, lack of stable family placement, or disrupted visitation are presumed to be voluntary parental conduct.

The source-record issue goes to whether a third-party provider collected, used, classified, transmitted, or relied on child-related and family-status information without clear written authority during a reunification, ICPC, housing-stability, and interagency-records context.

Until CRCD produces the consent and authorization basis it relied upon, the Court cannot fairly weigh downstream housing instability, household classification, records use, or service-routing consequences against Petitioner as if those facts were clean, independent, or undisputed.

3. Criminal, Civil, Administrative, and Oversight Relevance

The same consent record is relevant to related criminal, civil, administrative, and oversight matters because the threshold question is the same across those lanes: what written authority, if any, allowed CRCD or its agents to collect, use, transmit, classify, or rely on Petitioner's personal information, child-related information, family-status information, housing records, lease / W-9 / ACH records, rental-assistance records, HMIS / Clarity records, and interagency disclosures.

This subpoena does not ask the family court to decide criminal liability, civil liability, agency jurisdiction, fraud, identity theft, privacy violations, or program-compliance merits. It asks CRCD to produce the source authorization record so the same factual chain is not treated as consent-based in one forum, unauthorized in another forum, and unresolved in the family-law case.

Other case numbers, inquiry numbers, complaint references, and agency identifiers are included only as locator terms, preservation terms, and relevance anchors. They are not separate claims for relief in this subpoena.

4. Known Downstream Records Showing Why the Missing Consent Record Matters

A. HMIS / LAHSA Client Case Notes History

The HMIS / LAHSA Client Case Notes History identifies HMIS ID 8F8CD9D8B and shows a CRCD / Ruth's Place Day Shelter enrollment on April 27, 2023 and exit on April 28, 2023. That record is relevant because CRCD appears to rely on a 2023 CRCD /

Ruth's Place / HMIS contact as the basis for later communication. This subpoena therefore seeks the actual 2023 consent, ROI, privacy notice, sharing authorization, HMIS / Clarity authorization, disclosure log, and access log tied to that one-day 2023 CRCD / Ruth's Place record.

The same HMIS / LAHSA Client Case Notes History also includes later family/housing notes stating that Petitioner disclosed having children who were not in his custody and that the absence of children in his custody affected family-based housing eligibility. This is relevant because later family-status, household-composition, and child-related records affected housing and family-stability consequences.

B. Los Angeles County Housing Assistance Programs Universal Application

The Los Angeles County Housing Assistance Programs Universal Application is relevant because it identifies required documentation and signature requirements for housing assistance, including applicant information, household and child documentation, request forms, demographic/family-type fields, rental-assistance agreement language, and landlord verification requirements.

Those materials show that housing-assistance records are not merely informal communications. They require specific forms, documentation, signatures, program classification, applicant / case-manager / program-manager involvement, and landlord verification. This subpoena therefore seeks any complete application package, signed authorization, consent, ROI, privacy notice, participant agreement, or custodian declaration showing whether CRCD had authority to use Petitioner's information and child/family-status information in connection with any housing-assistance workflow.

C. 1551 E. 106th Street / Lease, Landlord Verification, ACH, and W-9 Packet

The 1551 E. 106th Street packet includes a residential lease agreement, County-style landlord verification form, ACH authorization material, and W-9 documents connected to the landlord / payment / rental-assistance workflow.

These downstream records are relevant because Petitioner already possesses many records showing later housing, landlord, payment, and rental-assistance activity. The missing record is the upstream consent, ROI, release, privacy notice, HMIS authorization, participant agreement, or other written authorization that CRCD contends allowed later creation, use, transmission, classification, or reliance on those downstream records.

If CRCD contends that the 2023 Ruth's Place / HMIS / CRCD contact authorized the later 2024-2026 housing, landlord, payment, Project Tipping Point, family-status, and child-related chain, CRCD must produce the exact source record showing that scope, duration, covered program, covered data categories, covered agencies, revocation terms, and child-related authority.

The attached ACH, landlord-verification, W-9, and lease images are incorporated as downstream examples. They are not offered to broaden this subpoena beyond consent. They show why CRCD must identify and produce the upstream source record authorizing use of personal information, landlord/payment documents, housing-assistance records, and related family-status information.

D. CRCD Project Tipping Point Enrollment / Supportive Services Response

CRCD's own written response states that Petitioner was enrolled in Project Tipping Point beginning June 13, 2024; identifies Project Tipping Point as supporting current and former foster youth at the post-secondary level; identifies rental assistance, security deposit, furniture assistance, student fees, supplies, and gift cards; and states that Project Tipping Point is supported through private foundations.

That response is relevant because it confirms a later Project Tipping Point service and payment chain after the alleged 2023 CRCD / Ruth's Place / HMIS contact. This subpoena seeks the consent / ROI / authorization record CRCD relied on to connect the 2023 contact to the later 2024-2026 Project Tipping Point enrollment, rental assistance, supportive services, records use, and disclosure chain.

E. CRCD Landlord Connection / Apartment Thread

The CRCD apartment thread shows a CRCD Rental Assistance Supervisor contacting a landlord about an apartment for Petitioner and Crystal, referring to a couple with a young child needing an apartment as soon as possible, discussing what the program/student could pay, and connecting Petitioner to the landlord. This is relevant because it is direct downstream evidence of CRCD involvement in

housing, landlord outreach, rental assistance, and child/family-status information.

This subpoena does not seek to re-produce that entire thread. It seeks the upstream written consent, ROI, release, privacy notice, HMIS authorization, participant agreement, or other source record authorizing CRCD to use child-related, household, family-status, landlord, rental-assistance, and housing-placement information in that chain.

F. LAHD / LADBS Housing Stability Records

LAHD and LADBS records concerning the 1551 E. 106th Street unit are relevant because they show later housing-stability consequences connected to the unit used in the downstream housing-assistance chain. These records help explain why the missing consent / authorization basis is material to family stability, housing stability, and visitation consequences. This subpoena does not ask CRCD to decide housing-code liability; it asks CRCD to produce the source authorization record underlying the records-use chain leading to that placement and related support.

5. Documents Requested

Please produce all documents, electronically stored information, records, logs, notes, forms, screenshots, metadata, audit trails, attachments, emails, texts, internal messages, case notes, system entries, and communications sufficient to show the following:

A. 2023 Consent / Opt-In / ROI Basis

1. Any 2023 CRCD, Ruth's Place, HMIS, Clarity, referral, intake, opt-in, outreach, privacy notice, release of information, participant agreement, service authorization, or consent record that CRCD contends authorized later communication with Kyle Robert Haab.

2. All records showing the scope, duration, program covered, agencies covered, data categories covered, revocation process, expiration date, and limitations of any 2023 consent, release, authorization, HMIS ROI, privacy notice, or participant agreement.

3. All records explaining how a 2023 CRCD / Ruth's Place / HMIS / Clarity contact was used as authority for later 2024-2026 Project Tipping Point outreach, eligibility review, document collection, landlord contact, housing support, education / workforce activity, or third-party communication.

B. Child-Related Consent and Family-Status Authority

4. Any record showing CRCD had authority to collect, receive, store, use, classify, disclose, transmit, or rely on information concerning Kyle Robert Haab's children.

5. All records showing when CRCD first learned that Kyle Robert Haab had children, who provided that information, how it was recorded, and what CRCD used it for.

6. All records showing whether Kyle Robert Haab was classified as an individual participant, couple, household with children, family household, reunification parent, former foster youth parent, or any other family or housing category.

7. All records showing whether CRCD knew, verified, discussed, or recorded that Kyle Robert Haab's children were in Tennessee DCS custody, placed with a grandparent, subject to ICPC, part of a reunification / permanency plan, or involved in any Tennessee or California family-law, dependency, or placement process.

8. All records showing whether child-related information or household composition was used in landlord outreach, housing placement, unit suitability, rent assistance, supportive services, or family-status classification.

C. HMIS / Clarity / Ruth's Place Consent Trail

9. All HMIS / Clarity records tied to HMIS ID 8F8CD9D8B sufficient to show the April 2023 Ruth's Place enrollment, exit, privacy notice, ROI, sharing authorization, referral, service note, case note, restriction, correction, disclosure history, and access / audit logs.

10. All records showing whether any 2023 Ruth's Place / HMIS / Clarity record was relied upon for later 2024-2026 Project Tipping Point communication, outreach, intake, enrollment, housing, rental assistance, or records use.

11. All records showing whether any 2023 HMIS / Clarity / Ruth's Place consent or ROI authorized disclosure to Project Tipping Point, CRCD workforce staff, Amity Foundation, JCOD, City LWDA / EWDD, LAHSA, DHCS, DPSS, DMH, DCFS, Tennessee DCS, landlord, law enforcement, or any third party.

D. Project Tipping Point / Participant File Limited to Consent and Authorization

12. The portions of the Project Tipping Point file for Kyle Robert Haab, participant ID 1233369910, that identify consent, ROI, privacy notice, participant agreement, authorization, disclosure authority, complaint/grievance rights, participant rights, child/family status, household classification, or staff reliance on a prior 2023 contact.

13. All Formstack submissions, intake forms, timestamps, IP address records, metadata, staff edits, system logs, upload logs, attachment logs, or audit records sufficient to show who entered, reviewed, modified, approved, exported, or transmitted information about Kyle Robert Haab, Crystal Lynn Izarraraz, their household, or their children.

14. All records showing the basis for CRCD's statement that Petitioner was enrolled in Project Tipping Point beginning June 13, 2024, including any intake, referral, eligibility, participant agreement, privacy notice, ROI, consent, release, enrollment form, or authorization tied to that enrollment.

15. All records showing the consent or authorization basis for CRCD's later supportive-service and rental-assistance records, including security deposit, rent

payments, furniture assistance, school fees, supplies, gift cards, or other supportive services.

E. Housing Assistance / Landlord / Payment Authorization

16. Any complete housing-assistance application package, rental-assistance agreement, landlord verification form, applicant authorization, case-manager certification, program-manager approval, participant agreement, release, ROI, privacy notice, or source document CRCD relied on in connection with housing assistance, landlord verification, rental assistance, lease, ACH, W-9, or payment processing.

17. All records showing who authorized use of Petitioner's information in connection with 1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4, Los Angeles, CA 90002, including landlord outreach, landlord referral, unit sourcing, lease, rental application, W-9, ACH, landlord verification, rent ledger, payment approval, payment request, payment confirmation, denial, delay, cutoff, and phase-out records.

18. All records showing whether CRCD relied on any child-related, household-composition, family-status, ICPC, reunification, or housing-stability information in connection with housing assistance, landlord verification, rental assistance, unit placement, or landlord communications.

19. All records showing the consent or authorization basis for CRCD communications with Christopher Cervantes, Jani Cervantes, Alexander Saltzman, Polet Lopez, Latea Davis, Amadi Maatafale, Chidumebi Ezenwoko, Jahrell / Jahrell Thomas, Kenta Estrada-Darley, or any CRCD representative concerning Petitioner, Crystal Lynn Izarraraz, their children, the unit, rent assistance, lease, W-9, ACH, landlord verification, or family/household status.

F. Funding Source / Reporting / Private Foundation Claim

20. All records showing the consent, authorization, participant agreement, privacy notice, or ROI basis for any reporting, payment, invoicing, audit, funder communication, or grant documentation involving Petitioner's Project Tipping Point services, rental assistance, supportive services, or housing assistance.

21. All records identifying the funding source or payment authority for rental assistance, security deposit assistance, supportive services, housing support, or related payments, including private foundations, Amity Foundation, JCOD, CFCI, CFCI-PA3-056-2023, Program Area 3 / Youth Housing, County funding, City funding, or mixed funding sources.

22. All records supporting any CRCD statement that Project Tipping Point services provided to Petitioner were supported only by private foundations, including the consent

or authorization basis for sharing Petitioner's information with any such funder.

G. Interagency Sharing / Disclosure / Audit Trail

23. All records showing whether CRCD shared, received, discussed, or relied on records involving Kyle Robert Haab, Crystal Lynn Izarraraz, or their children with EWDD, City of Los Angeles LWDA, LAHSA / HMIS, Amity Foundation, JCOD, DHCS, DPSS, DMH, DCFS, Tennessee DCS, LAPD, County Fraud / OCI, LAHD, LADBS, LACDPH, HUD, DOL OIG, or any oversight agency.

24. All disclosure accounting records, audit logs, access logs, staff-access records, Formstack logs, upload logs, edit logs, export logs, metadata, and transmission records showing who accessed, edited, transmitted, or relied on child-related, family-status, housing, or Project Tipping Point records.

H. CRCD Partner / Stakeholder Statement and 2023 Consent Claim

25. All records supporting any CRCD statement that a 2023 CRCD sign-up, contact, intake, opt-in, or entry point supplied authority for later Project Tipping Point communications or records use.

26. All records supporting any CRCD statement that later communications were documentation-based, consent-driven, or authorized by Petitioner.

27. Records sufficient to identify the CRCD employee, department, attorney, contractor, funder, third-party administrator, or outside reviewer who verified the factual claims concerning 2023 consent, later communication authority, housing assistance, ADA accommodation, landlord involvement, or Project Tipping Point records before circulation.

I. Policies and Procedures

28. All policies, procedures, manuals, privacy notices, consent forms, HMIS / Clarity procedures, Project Tipping Point procedures, ADA / grievance procedures, participant-rights documents, data-sharing policies, child/family-record policies, and records-retention policies applicable to the requested consent and authorization records.

6. Requested Format

Please produce the records in native electronic format where available, including metadata, timestamps, audit logs, email headers, attachments, and system-generated records. If native production is not available, please produce legible PDF copies.

7. If No Responsive Consent / ROI / Authorization Record Exists

If no responsive consent, ROI, authorization, privacy notice, HMIS / Clarity authorization, participant agreement, or release exists for any category, please provide a written custodian declaration identifying:

1. the category searched;

2. the systems searched;

3. the search terms used;

4. the custodian or department consulted;

5. whether records were deleted, archived, transferred, withheld, or never created;

6. whether CRCD contends a 2023 verbal opt-in, informal sign-up, HMIS record, referral record, or program contact supplied authority for later use;

7. the basis for any withholding, redaction, refusal, or claim of privilege; and

8. the person most knowledgeable regarding the missing consent / ROI / authorization record.

8. Key Identifiers for Search

- Kyle Robert Haab
- Kyle David Haab
- Crystal Lynn Izarraraz
- Project Tipping Point participant ID: 1233369910
- HMIS ID: 8F8CD9D8B
- Property: 1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4, Los Angeles, CA 90002
- CFCI-PA3-056-2023
- Program Area 3 / Youth Housing
- Amity Foundation
- JCOD
- LAHSA reference: 2526KH-A
- HMIS tickets: 259260, 260627, 267528

- County Fraud / OCI: 2025-23289 and 2026_23965
- LAPD reports: C259045687 and C269013241
- LAHD Case: SO311576
- LADBS Order to Comply: A-6604739
- California family-law case: 25CMFL00694
- Tennessee ICPC references: 117604 and 117605
- Tennessee petition references: 310909, 310910, 310995, 310996

9. Custodian Declaration Requested

The custodian of records should provide a declaration stating that the production is complete and identifying:

- the systems searched;
- the departments or custodians consulted;
- the date range searched;
- whether any responsive records were withheld;
- whether any responsive records were destroyed, deleted, archived, transferred, or never created;
- whether any responsive records are held by a third-party administrator, vendor, contractor, funder, fiscal agent, or public agency;
- whether CRCD claims any 2023 contact authorized later 2024-2026 Project Tipping Point, housing-assistance, child-related, family-status, landlord, rental-assistance, ACH, W-9, HMIS / Clarity, or interagency records use.

10. Source Record Index for Locator Purposes Only

The following source-record categories are included as locator and relevance references only. They are not separate claims for relief and are not requests for the family court to decide separate agency, civil, criminal, or administrative matters.

10. Curated Exhibit Appendix - Clear and Relevant Exhibits Only

The exhibits attached after this subpoena text are limited to clear source materials that directly support the subpoena's narrow request for CRCD's missing 2023 consent, ROI, release, privacy notice, HMIS authorization, participant agreement, or other source authorization record. Mobile-preview screenshots, eFile workflow screenshots, and other low-value locator screenshots are intentionally omitted from this court-ready version because they are less clear and less probative than the source records.

Exhibit A - CRCD Partner / Stakeholder Statement. Relevant because it asserts that Petitioner signed up to be contacted through a CRCD program in 2023 and that this supplied the basis for later communication. This is the exact consent/authorization claim the subpoena seeks to test through source records.

Exhibit B - HMIS / LAHSA Client Case Notes History, selected pages. Relevant because it identifies the HMIS ID and the 2023 CRCD / Ruth's Place Day Shelter enrollment/exit, and also includes later child/family-status and housing eligibility language. These pages explain why the requested consent trail matters to family stability and source-record coordination.

Exhibit C - Los Angeles County Housing Assistance Programs Universal Application. Relevant because it shows the housing-assistance workflow, applicant signatures, household/child documentation, demographic/family-type information, rental assistance agreement, landlord verification, and documentation requirements.

Exhibit D - ACH Authorization Agreement. Relevant as a downstream landlord/payment record showing why CRCD must identify the upstream consent and authorization basis for payment-processing records.

Exhibit E - Landlord Verification Form. Relevant as a downstream housing-assistance and landlord-verification record tied to the 1551 3/4 E. 106th Street placement chain.

Exhibit F - W-9 / Taxpayer Identification Record. Relevant as a downstream payment/tax-document record tied to the landlord/payment chain for which the source authorization is disputed.

Exhibit G - Residential Lease Agreement. Relevant as a downstream lease/rent/security-deposit record. Petitioner is not seeking duplicate production of this lease; it is included to show why the upstream consent, ROI, release, privacy notice, or authorization source record is material.

Limiting Statement. These exhibits do not expand this subpoena into a request for the family court to decide criminal, civil, administrative, housing-code, fraud, privacy, or program-compliance merits. They are attached only to show why the missing upstream 2023 consent/ROI/authorization source record is material across family stability, child-related information, household/family-status classification, housing assistance, landlord/payment records, and interagency source-record coordination.

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit	Description	Relevance
A	CRCD Partner / Stakeholder Statement	CRCD assertion that a 2023 CRCD program sign-up supplied the basis for subsequent communication.
B	HMIS / LAHSA Client Case Notes History - selected pages	HMIS ID, 2023 Ruth's Place enrollment/exit, and later child/family housing eligibility notes.
C	Los Angeles County Housing Assistance Programs Universal Application	Housing-assistance workflow, household/child documentation, rental-assistance agreement, signatures, and landlord verification.
D	ACH Authorization Agreement	Downstream landlord/payment-processing record.
E	Landlord Verification Form	Downstream landlord-verification and housing-assistance record.
F	W-9 / Taxpayer Identification Record	Downstream payment/tax-document record tied to landlord/payment workflow.
G	Residential Lease Agreement	Downstream lease/rent/security-deposit record tied to housing stability.

EXHIBIT A

CRCD Partner / Stakeholder Statement

Relevance: CRCD asserts a 2023 CRCD program sign-up supplied the basis for subsequent communication; this is the consent/authorization basis requested.

This exhibit is attached only to support the limited subpoena request for the missing 2023 consent / ROI / authorization source record. It does not expand the subpoena to request merits rulings on separate civil, criminal, administrative, housing-code, or oversight matters.

Dear CRCD Partners and Supporters,

We were recently notified that a complaint containing several inaccurate claims about CRCD's outreach practices and services received through Project Tipping Point was circulated to various entities from a program participant. As part of our commitment to transparency and strong communication, we are sharing this update to ensure all partners, including those who were not contacted or made aware of the recent complaint, have clear and accurate information. A myriad of complaints were made against CRCD, alleging, among other things: (1) using fraudulent L.A. County housing documents; (2) failing to comply with ADA laws; and (3) disbursing funds through a fraudulent ACH account. This is to assure you we have taken these allegations seriously and they have been thoroughly investigated by our team, who have found them to be totally false. Despite the falsity of these allegations, we believe it is essential to clarify how our programs operate and how we engage with participants.

Project Tipping Point supports current and former foster youth ages 18–25 as they pursue post-secondary education at local community colleges. The program helps students complete general education coursework, select a career pathway, and build an education plan that leads toward an Associate of Arts degree and meaningful employment. Education-centered supportive services may include rent payments for students enrolled in 6–9 college-level, credit-bearing units, in order to promote and maintain housing stability while completing coursework. It is important to reaffirm that Project Tipping Point is a college persistence program and does not place students into long-term or subsidized housing. Housing agreements remain strictly between participants and landlords. The individual's lease agreement was made solely between them and an external landlord; CRCD was not a party to the lease. As part of our standard practice, CRCD offers all program participants the ability to submit ADA accommodation requests. The participant referenced did not submit any such request.

CRCD maintains a strict policy of only initiating communication with individuals who have voluntarily opted in, enrolled, or connected with us through one of our established entry points. In this case, the individual signed up to be contacted through a CRCD program in 2023, which provided the basis for all subsequent communication. All engagement is documentation-based, consent-driven, and aligned with ethical service standards. We do not conduct unsolicited outreach or intervene in external matters outside the scope of our

program. CRCD maintains program integrity through strong documentation and accountability practices, with accurate records of enrollment, communication, and supportive resources.

We also want to acknowledge the broader context in which this complaint emerged. Across Los Angeles and nationwide, organizations serving individuals and families are seeing rising levels of housing instability, financial strain, trauma, and emotional stress. These challenges can make it difficult for people to navigate support systems or fully understand program limitations. Under such pressure, it is not uncommon for individuals to feel overwhelmed, misinterpret program structures, or hope for assistance beyond what a program can provide. This trend is affecting agencies across the country, not an isolated issue.

CRCD meets these challenges with deep empathy and understanding. Our team listens closely and supports individuals as they navigate their educational and stabilization journey. We connect people to additional resources whenever possible. In this case, consistent with program guidelines, several support options were offered but not utilized; throughout the process, our team remained committed to clear and compassionate engagement.

For more than 2 decades, CRCD has supported thousands of youth in South Los Angeles with compassion, integrity, and consistency. We remain confident in our processes, dedicated to our mission, and grateful for your partnership, especially during a period of increased need. Our leadership team is available to address any additional questions or provide clarification. For additional information please contact Kenta Estrada-Darley, Chief Community Innovations Officer at (323) 861-2991.

With appreciation,

Jahrell Thomas

Jahrell Thomas
Chief Operations Officer (COO)
Coalition for Responsible Community Development (CRCD)

EXHIBIT B

HMIS / LAHSA Client Case Notes History - selected pages

Relevance: Selected pages show the HMIS ID, 2023 CRCD / Ruth's Place entry, and later child/family-status and housing eligibility language.

This exhibit is attached only to support the limited subpoena request for the missing 2023 consent / ROI / authorization source record. It does not expand the subpoena to request merits rulings on separate civil, criminal, administrative, housing-code, or oversight matters.

Client Notes

System
Haab, Kyle
8F8CD9D8B
Date Range: 12/01/2022 thru 10/17/2025

Name:	Haab, Kyle	**Date of Birth:**	02/28/1999 (Age: 26)
SSN:	***-**-5695	**Quality of DOB:**	Full DOB Reported
Quality of SSN:	Full SSN Reported	**Unique Identifier:**	8F8CD9D8B

Title: Telephone Call

Agency: Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority (LAHSA) **Staff: Clark, Kamesha** **Date: 10/15/2025**

G: Goal was to follow up with client regarding a fraud case with one of LAHSA's sub-recipients.

I: HRS followed up with client after receiving instruction from leadership on how to handle the matter. HRS advised client to take the case through law enforcement as it is indeed fraud and a form of theft.

R: Client understood the reasoning after asking a few more general questions and was also provided with former and current CM to request additional information should the cops need it.

P: Client has been provided with resources and will utilize them for further support.

Title: Telephone Call

Agency: Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority (LAHSA) **Staff: Clark, Kamesha** **Date: 10/14/2025**

Client's Project Stay: Resource and Referral [10/14/2025 - 10/14/2025]

HRS will follow up with client on what resource can be provided to best assist his situation.

Title: Telephone Call

Agency: Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority (LAHSA) **Staff: Clark, Kamesha** **Date: 10/14/2025**

Client's Project Stay: Resource and Referral [10/14/2025 - 10/14/2025]

G: Client called in seeking an update on his case. Client states that he and his partner were involved in a rental fraud case where they were exchanging confidential information via text messages with someone who they thought were legit personnel.

I: HRS engaged in conversation with client to obtain more info to assist in directing him to the appropriate help. Client states it was with Coalition for Responsible Community Development. HRS then obtained case number after client repeatedly insisted on providing it. HRS attempted to provide client with active program(s) CM's contact info.

R: Client was not receptive to the resources being provided. He proceeded to state that the "LA County Fraud Department" did get involved and told him that his fraud case is with LAHSA. HRS then provided client with grievance dept info as they hold cases. Client was receptive of the resource given.

P: Client will reach LAHSA grievance for further support.

Title: Problem Solving Update

Agency: Harbor Interfaith Services **Staff: ward, jennifer** **Date: 07/28/2025**

Head of Household (HOH) is currently residing in a unit with his fiancé. He reported ongoing issues with his landlord and is actively seeking a new housing unit. HOH disclosed that he has children; however, they are currently not in his custody and have been placed in the care of their grandparent. HOH confirmed that there is no open court case or scheduled court date regarding custody of the children at this time. Due to the absence of children in his custody, HOH does not meet the eligibility criteria for family-based housing programs. He was referred to the Bringing Families Home program; however, it was clarified that he would need to pursue assistance through an individual-based program instead. HOH was provided with the appropriate contact information and resources for individual housing support programs.

Title: Problem solving conversation/screening

Agency: The Whole Child **Staff: Ramirez, Marlissa** **Date: 07/22/2025**

G - To discuss familys living situation and resources.

Client Notes

System
Haab, Kyle
8F8CD9D8B
Date Range: 12/01/2022 thru 10/17/2025

I - Family Response Team (FRT) held a Problem-Solving conversation with Participant (PTC) asking about their current living situation and household composition. FRT explained to PTC that program guidelines include having a minor/qualified dependent within your custody. FRT encouraged PTC to connect with agencies serving single individuals and offered to email resources.

R - Prior to seeking services from TWC, family was sleeping in a unit with girlfriend PTC landlord is going to sell the unit and PTC does not have anywhere to go PTC does not have custody of his child at the moment. PTC was receptive of guidelines and open to resources offered by FRT.

P - FRT will send a referral to Harbor Interfaith on PTC behalf.

Title: Telephone contact

Agency: Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority (LAHSA) **Staff: Jimenez, Sefora** **Date: 07/08/2025**

Client's Project Stay: Resource and Referral [07/08/2025 - 07/08/2025]

Client called in seeking emergency housing voucher. R&R staff provided resources eviction, housing rights, HDAP, and 211 for information/resources. R&R staff referred to provider for further assistance.

Title: Enrollment

Agency: Harbor Interfaith Services **Staff: Gonzalez, Kyra** **Date: 06/27/2025**

The client has become homeless and require the services of a CES Access center. Client enrolled in CES Adult Access Center SPA 8. Client requested assistance with the CES Assessment.

Title: Exit

Agency: Coalition for Responsible Community Development **Staff: Montes, Asusena** **Date: 04/28/2023**

Client's Project Stay: Ruths Place Day Shelter [04/27/2023 - 04/28/2023]

Intake specialist exiting youth from program. Youth has moved in with family temporarily. Youth has completed program goals.

Title: Problem Solving Meeting Conversation 1

Agency: Covenant House California **Staff: Campos, Leslie** **Date: 04/28/2023**

Client's Project Stay: Problem-Solving Youth [04/28/2023 - 05/19/2023]

The youth was referred by CRCD for family reunification. The youth was sleeping in a friend's car but got away. The youth will be reunified with a friend. The PS confirmed with a friend and will be buying a ticket via Greyhound back in Tucson, AZ.

Title: Enrollment

Agency: Coalition for Responsible Community Development **Staff: Montes, Asusena** **Date: 04/27/2023**

Client's Project Stay: Ruths Place Day Shelter [04/27/2023 - 04/28/2023]

Asusena Montes CRCD Ruths Place I Intake Specialist| 4201 S. Centra Ave. Los Angeles, California, 90011(323) 432-2440

G: To successfully enroll client into the Access Center program.

B: The client was very respectful and open about her situation and how they became homeless.

I: Intake Specialist completed the intake process with youth. Additional services were emphasized to the youth.

R: Client was happy to be enrolled into our program, knowing that he will now be able to start receiving services.

P: Continue to offer support and services to the youth as needed.

Title: Problem Solving Conversation #1

Agency: Coalition for Responsible Community Development **Staff: Kidd, Samantha** **Date: 04/27/2023**

I Samantha Kidd |CRCD Ruth's Place | Program Solving / Prevention Specialist | Case Manger 4201 S Central Ave L.A 90011

EXHIBIT C

Los Angeles County Housing Assistance Programs Universal Application

Relevance: Shows housing-assistance workflow, documentation requirements, applicant signatures, household and child documentation, demographic/family-type fields, rental-assistance agreement, and landlord verification.

This exhibit is attached only to support the limited subpoena request for the missing 2023 consent / ROI / authorization source record. It does not expand the subpoena to request merits rulings on separate civil, criminal, administrative, housing-code, or oversight matters.

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH
ADULT JUSTICE, HOUSING, EMPLOYMENT AND EDUCATION SERVICES

HOUSING ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS - UNIVERSAL APPLICATION

INSTRUCTIONS

HOUSING ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS CHECKLIST (pg. 2)

- This checklist will identify all required documentation that MUST be submitted when applying for any housing assistance program.

REQUEST FORM (pg. 3)
This form must be completed when applying for any housing assistance program.

- Check the appropriate program that applicant is currently enrolled or check "other" and include the name of the Program.
- Check the type of housing assistance requested. If applying for more then one program check all that apply.
- Check if applicant is a Section 8 or Shelter Plus Care recipient. Check neither if it does not apply.
- Complete client and agency's information.
- Must be signed by Applicant, Case Manager and Program Manager.

DEMOGRAPHIC SHEET (pg.4)
This form must be completed when applying for any housing assistance program.

- Complete Income Status, Housing Status, and Demographic Information Sections, by checking all that apply.
- Only complete On-going Section when applying for On-going Rental Assistance.
- Only complete Eviction Prevention Section when applying for Eviction Prevention.

HOUSEHOLD GOODS/GIFT CARD REQUEST FORM (pg. 5 & 6)
Complete these forms when applying for Household Goods along with page 2 & 3.

- If applying for household goods or utilities assistance use page 5.
- Check type of utility being requested, if applying for more then one program check all that apply. *(For DMH Directly Operated FSP ONLY)*
- If applying for gift cards use page 6, check type of gift card requested.
- Complete vendor's name, amount requested, and itemized cost.
- When applying for Household Goods list the requested items and attach merchant's invoice.
- When requesting assistance with utilities, attach utility bill.
- Must be signed by Case Manager and Program Manager.

RENTAL ASSISTANCE AGREEMENT FORM (pg. 7)
Complete this form when applicant is enrolled in a DMH Directly Operated FSP Program along with page 2 & 3.

- Complete month(s) of rental assistance being requested, and the regular monthly rent amount.
- Complete housing plan section.
- Must be signed by Applicant, Case Manager and Program Manager.

LANDLORD VERIFICATION FORM (pg. 8)
This form must be completed by Landlord when applicant is applying for Security Deposit, Eviction Prevention, and/or On-Going Rental Assistance. Submit with completed application.

- Present to Landlord for completion along with W-9 form.
- Must be signed by Applicant and Landlord.

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH
ADULT JUSTICE, HOUSING, EMPLOYMENT AND EDUCATION SERVICES

HOUSING ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS - UNIVERSAL APPLICATION

CHECKLIST

REQUIRED DOCUMENTS

(General requirements for any Housing Assistance Program).

- ☐ Photo Identification ***and*** Social Security Card of applicant and all household members 18 years of age and older.
- ☐ Social Security Card ***or*** Birth Certificate for any child in the household under the age of 18.
- ☐ Applicant's Income Verification dated **within 30 days** (i.e., payroll stubs, verification of receipt of SSI, SSDI or SDI Benefits).
- ☐ If the client is a **Section 8 or Shelter Plus Care recipient**, attach one of the following items:
  - ☐ ***Letter of Determination**** from the City Housing Authority, or;
  - ☐ Verification of ***Lease Approval**** from the County Housing Authority.

**These letters stipulate (1) tenant and landlord respective shares of rent and (2) statement that the unit has been inspected and approved.*

- ☐ If the applicant is NOT a **Section 8** or **Shelter Plus Care** recipient, the following documents **MUST** be provided with the application:
  - ☐ Signed copy of the Lease Agreement and;
  - ☐ Verification of Property Ownership (Deed of Trust, Property Tax Bill or a notarized letter verifying ownership.)
- ☐ **Completed W-9 Form by the VENDOR;** *This form is required by the Auditor-Controller and must be completed by the Vendor and included with the application if not already on file with DMH. If the case manager knows that they have sent one in the past, there is no need to do it again. If uncertain, have vendor complete the form.*

EVICTION PREVENTION

(Required documents for Eviction Prevention, in addition to the general required documents indicated above).

- ☐ *Notice to Evict* with the date of eviction clearly stated. (i.e., 3 day notice, 30 day notice)
- ☐ Evidence that the applicant has resided in the unit for at least 6 months (utility bill, bank statement, etc.)

HOUSEHOLD GOODS

(Required documents for Household Goods, in addition to the general required documents indicated above).

- ☐ Original receipt or vendor's invoice. (Internet generated invoice, must submit receipt of items purchased.)
- ☐ Verification that applicant was homeless prior to moving into current residence. (If the applicant was approved for Security Deposit Assistance within the last 3 months, verification is not required.)

DMH - DIRECTLY OPERATED FSP AND WELLNESS CENTERS

(Required documents for applicants enrolled in Directly Operated FSP & Wellness Center Programs for any housing assistance program, in addition to the general required documents indicated above).

- ☐ Signed Rental Assistance Agreement Form. (FSP Only)
- ☐ Completed *CSS Request Form* must be signed by the Applicant, Case Manager, and the Mental Health Clinical Program Head. This form **MUST** accompany Housing Assistance Applications.

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH
ADULT JUSTICE, HOUSING, EMPLOYMENT AND EDUCATION SERVICES

HOUSING ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS - UNIVERSAL APPLICATION

REQUEST FORM

Please check all that apply:

Applicant is currently enrolled in: ☐ CRS ☐ PEI/CORS ☐ FSP ☐ Wellness ☐ FCCS ☐ Other_____

Type of assistance applicant is applying for:

☐ Security Deposit ☐ Eviction Prevention ☐ On-going Rental Assistance (DMH Directly Operated FSP requesting more than one month)

☐ Household Goods ☐ Utility Assistance (DMH Directly Operated FSP ONLY) ☐Rental Assistance

Is applicant a recipient of: ☐ Section 8 ☐ Shelter Plus Care ☐ Neither

---

Applicant's Name: _____ Phone:(____) _____

Head of Household: _____ Phone :(____) _____
(If different from applicant)

Current Address: _____ City:_____Zip:_____

MIS #: _____ SSN: _____ DOB: _____

Agency Name: _____

Address: _____ City: _____ Zip: _____

Case Manager/Housing Specialist: _____

Phone: (____) _____ Fax: (____) _____ Email:_____

The agency declares and certifies each of the following statements to be true and correct:

1. The agency is currently providing mental health services and case management to the applicant and has verified the income and identification of all members of the applicant's household.
2. The agency has provided information to the applicant on tenant-landlord rights and tenant responsibilities, including the appropriate treatment of rental property, appropriate behavior within the neighborhood, and the importance of timely payment of rent.
3. The applicant is eligible to participate in this program and has a documented income source that can reasonably be expected to cover the proposed rent and living expenses.
4. The applicant has assured the agency that they have not received eviction prevention or security deposit assistance through the Housing Assistance Program in the last 12 months.

Applicant: _____ _____
Signature Date

Case Manager/
Housing Specialist: _____ _____
Signature Date

Program Manager: _____ _____
Signature Date

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH
ADULT JUSTICE, HOUSING, EMPLOYMENT AND EDUCATION SERVICES

INCOME STATUS

What is your total monthly income? $ _____ Total monthly expenses? $ _____

What is your current source(s) of income? (Check all that apply.)

- ❑ Employment
- ❑ General Relief
- ❑ Child Support
- ❑ CalWORKS (TANF)
- ❑ Social Security Retirement
- ❑ State Disability Insurance
- ❑ Unemployment Insurance
- ❑ Supplemental Security Income
- ❑ Veteran's Administration Pension
- ❑ Family/Friend
- ❑ None
- ❑ Other (Specify) _____

Please give a brief description of why applicant needs housing assistance: _____

HOUSING STATUS

Are you currently homeless? ❑ Yes ❑ No
(If you do not have a permanent place to live, you are considered homeless)

Number of occasions of homelessness in the last 3 years: _____

What is the length of your current episode of homelessness:

- ❑ under 1 month
- ❑ 1 – 2 months
- ❑ 3 – 4 months
- ❑ 5 – 6 months
- ❑ 7 – 12 months
- ❑ over 1 year

Location of your current episode of homelessness:

- ❑ SA 1 Antelope Valley
- ❑ SA 2 San Fernando Valley
- ❑ SA 3 San Gabriel Valley
- ❑ SA 4 Metro
- ❑ SA 5 West LA
- ❑ SA 6 South LA
- ❑ SA 7 South East
- ❑ SA 8 Harbor

Length of your previous episode of homelessness:

- ❑ under 1 month
- ❑ 1 – 2 months
- ❑ 3 – 4 months
- ❑ 5 – 6 months
- ❑ 7 – 12 months
- ❑ over 1 year

Survival strategies that you have utilized during your episodes of homelessness (check all that apply):

- ❑ Shelters/Missions
- ❑ Abandon House
- ❑ Hospital
- ❑ Motels/Agency Paying
- ❑ Living in a Car
- ❑ Family/Friend
- ❑ Garage
- ❑ Motels/Client Paying

What circumstance(s) led to your current homelessness?

- ❑ Reduction in Benefits
- ❑ Problems with Landlord
- ❑ Victim of Domestic Violence
- ❑ Crime Victim
- ❑ Substance Abuse
- ❑ Eviction
- ❑ Jail
- ❑ Family/Friend Unable to Provide Aid
- ❑ Loss of Job
- ❑ Acute Illness
- ❑ Problems with Tenants or Spouse
- ❑ Disability
- ❑ Hospitalization
- ❑ Other _____

DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION

Gender:	Marital Status:	Family Type:	# of Children:	If without minor children, is the applicant?	Ethnicity:
❑ Male ❑ Female	❑ Separated ❑ Divorced ❑ Widowed ❑ Single ❑ Never Married	❑ Single Adult ❑ Adult w/child ❑ Adult w/children ❑ Two Adults ❑ Two Adults w/child ❑ Two Adults w/children	❑ 1 ❑ 2 ❑ 3 ❑ 4 ❑ 5 or more	❑ Pregnant ❑ Frail Elderly	❑ African-American ❑ Asian/Pacific Islander ❑ Caucasian ❑ Hispanic ❑ Other

Please check and list other co-occurring disorders:

❑ Medical/Physical Condition _____ ❑ Substance Abuse _____ ❑ Other _____

ONGOING RENTAL ASSISTANCE

FSP applicants ONLY (must be filled out if applying for Ongoing Rental Assistance)

Type of housing to which you are requesting a subsidy:

- ❑ Sober Living
- ❑ Shared/Collaborative Housing
- ❑ Residential Treatment Program
- ❑ Transitional Housing
- ❑ Apartment
- ❑ Other _____

Requested length of subsidy in months: _____

EVICTION PREVENTION REQUEST:

(must be filled out if applying for eviction prevention)

Does the client:

❑ Rent ❑ Own Monthly rent/mortgage $ _____

How long have you lived at your present address? _____

Amount behind in rent/mortgage: $ _____

Note. The payment of rent in arrears cannot exceed one month's rent plus a reasonable documented late charge.

Have you received one of the following? *(Please state date notice was received)*

- ❑ 3 Day Notice to Pay or Quit _____
- ❑ 5 day Marshall Notice to Vacate _____
- ❑ 30 day Notice _____
- ❑ Unfavorable Court Judgment _____

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH
ADULT JUSTICE, HOUSING, EMPLOYMENT AND EDUCATION SERVICES

HOUSING ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS - UNIVERSAL APPLICATION

HOUSEHOLD GOODS REQUEST FORM

Applicant's Name: _____

Agency Name: _____

UTILITY REQUEST (DMH Directly Operated FSP ONLY): ☐ Electricity ☐ Water ☐ Gas

VENDOR INFORMATION:

Vendor _____ Amount requesting: $_____

Contact _____ Phone: (___) _____

Vendor: _____ Amount requesting: $_____

Contact _____ Phone: (___) _____

Please list items that are being purchase (attach additional sheet if necessary)

VENDOR NAME	DESCRIPTION OF ITEMS	COST		
		UNIT COST	QUANTITY	TOTAL COST
			TOTAL AMOUNT OF REQUEST:	

CERTIFICATION

The agency declares and certifies each of the following statements to be true and correct:

- The agency has verified that the applicant is in need of the requested items and that the requested expenditures are consistent with program guidelines.
- The agency has verified and explained to applicant that the request is not to exceed the limited lifetime allocation of $800 for appliances, furniture and other household expenses. (FSP & Wellness applicants are subjected to purchase limits as stated in the CSS Expenditure Coding Guide).

Case Manager/
Housing Specialist: _____ _____
Signature Date

Program Manager: _____ _____
Signature Date

Page 5 of 8

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH
ADULT JUSTICE, HOUSING, EMPLOYMENT AND EDUCATION SERVICES

HOUSING ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS - UNIVERSAL APPLICATION

GIFT CARD REQUEST FORM

Applicant's Name: _____

Agency Name: _____

Gift Card Request *(Please check all that apply):* ☐ **Wal-Mart** ☐ **Target**

Please list items that are being purchase (attach additional sheet if necessary)

VENDOR NAME	DESCRIPTION OF ITEMS	COST		
		UNIT COST	QUANTITY	TOTAL COST
			TOTAL AMOUNT OF REQUEST:	

CERTIFICATION

The agency declares and certifies each of the following statements to be true and correct:

- The agency has verified that the applicant is in need of the requested items and that the requested expenditures are consistent with program guidelines.
- The agency has verified and explained to applicant that the request is not to exceed the limited lifetime allocation of $600 for appliances, furniture and other household expenses. (FSP & Wellness applicants are subjected to purchase limits as stated in the CSS Expenditure Coding Guide).
- If there is a remaining balance on gift card. It must be returned to Housing Policy and Development within one week of purchases.

Case Manager/
Housing Specialist: _____ _____
Signature Date

Program Manager: _____ _____
Signature Date

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS BOX (For Office Use Only)

Received by: _____ Date received: _____

☐**Approved** ☐ **Denied** Date of Notification: _____

Reason Denied: _____ Denied By: _____

Approved by: _____ Approval Date: _____
Division Chief

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH
ADULT JUSTICE, HOUSING, EMPLOYMENT AND EDUCATION SERVICES

HOUSING ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS - UNIVERSAL APPLICATION

RENTAL ASSISTANCE AGREEMENT FORM
(DMH Directly Operated FSP ONLY)

As a condition of the Full Service Partnership Rental Assistance Program, I agree to have the County of Los Angeles Department of Mental Health issue a check payable to my landlord each month for .....months. This rental assistance payment will be in the amount of $....., for each of the months that I am eligible.

I agree to:

- work with my Case Manager to (1) find other housing options if needed, (2) participate in establishing benefits to continue rental payments and, (3) assume responsibility of my entire monthly rent.
- Immediately notify my Case Manager of any changes in housing cost or housing composition (including receipt of any other subsidized housing, [i.e. Section 8 or any other rent contributions program]), but not later than 3 business days after the change occurs.

I agree to repay the County of Los Angeles – Department of Mental Health for all payments made on my behalf through the FSP Rental Assistance Program upon receipt of a retro-active Supplemental Security Income (SSI) check (as well as subsequent checks) or through other monies received until the balance owed to the Department of Mental Health is paid.

I understand that the rental assistance payments are temporary housing assistance issued to eligible FSP individuals and their families. I also understand that should my FSP services be discontinued within this agreement period, the rental assistance will be discontinued. I elect to accept the rental assistance payments by signing the statements below.

HousingPlan:_____

_____

_____

I,_____ accept rental assistance payments and agree
(Participant's Name)
to the terms indicated above. I also understand that although DMH is making a partial or full payment of rent and the County is in no way a party to the rental agreement I have with the landlord.

_____ _____
Participant's Name (Print) *Address, City & Zip*

_____ (___)_____ _____
Participant's Signature *Telephone* *Date*

_____ _____ _____ _____
Case Manager *Date* *Program Manager* *Date*

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
ADULT JUSTICE, HOUSING, EMPLOYMENT AND EDUCATION SERVICES

HOUSING ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS - UNIVERSAL APPLICATION

LANDLORD VERIFICATION FORM

(To be completed by Landlord)

Please Print

I intend to rent a unit/shared room to: _____

The property is located at _____ _____
Street Address *Apt. #*

_____ _____
City *Zip Code*

Type of Request:

☐ Security Deposit ☐ Ongoing Rental Assistance (Directly Operated FSP ONLY) ☐ Eviction Prevention

Please complete if applying for Security Deposit OR Rental Assistance.

Security deposit amount: $_____

Regular months rent: $_____

Tenant's subsidized rent portion: $_____

Please complete if applying for Eviction Prevention.

Rent: $_____

Late charges (as stated in lease): $_____

Tenant's subsidized rent portion: $_____

Apartment/House is: ☐ Furnished ☐ Unfurnished

Rent Includes: ☐ Electricity ☐ Water ☐ Gas ☐ Trash

DATE TENANCY BEGAN/WILL BEGIN: ____/____/____

Please make checks payable to: _____
(Checks to be made only to the property owners or authorized Management Company)

Name of Property Owner: _____

Address: _____

Telephone Number: (____) _____

Property Owner Signature: _____ _____ **Date:** ____/____/____
(or designee)

I understand that this is a Federal and/or State funded program and that abuse of this program is an offense. I certify under penalty of jury that all information that I have provided on this form is true and correct.

Applicant's Signature: _____ **Date:** ____/____/____

This form is not an agreement but only a confirmation of the amount of monies reflected in the rent/lease agreement and does not hold the County liable for any damages to the property as caused by the tenant.

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS BOX (For Office Use Only)

Amount Approved for payment: $ _____ **Initialed By:** _____

Page 8 of 8

EXHIBIT D

ACH Authorization Agreement

Relevance: Downstream landlord/payment-processing record. Included to show why CRCD must identify the upstream consent/authorization basis for payment records.

This exhibit is attached only to support the limited subpoena request for the missing 2023 consent / ROI / authorization source record. It does not expand the subpoena to request merits rulings on separate civil, criminal, administrative, housing-code, or oversight matters.

Authorization Agreement For Automated Clearing House Transactions (ACH)

ACH Authorization

Customer Name:	Christopher Cervantes

I (we) hereby authorize: COALITION FOR RESPONSIBLE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT, hereinafter called COMPANY, to initiate credit entries and to initiate, if necessary, debit entries and adjustments for any credit entries in error to my (our)

☒ Checking ☐ Savings account (select one) indicated below and the depository named below, hereinafter called DEPOSITORY, to credit and/or debit the same to such account. This authority will remain in effect until the COMPANY is notified by me (us) in writing to cancel it in such time as to afford the COMPANY and the DEPOSITORY a reasonable opportunity to act on it.

Bank Information

DEPOSITORY NAME:	Wells Fargo Bank	Branch: (if applicable)	
City, State, ZIP:	Downey CA 90241		
Transit/ABA No: ("Routing #")	122000247	Account #:	0021560073

This authority is to remain in full force and effect until COMPANY has received written notification from me of its termination in such time and in such manner as to afford COMPANY and DEPOSITORY a reasonable opportunity to act on it. I acknowledge that the origination of ACH transactions to my account must comply with the provisions of U.S. law.

Name(s): *Please print* Christopher Cervantes EIN/SS#: 610 07-3376

Signature(s) 8-2-24 Date

CHECK ONE: I am not currently participating in the Automated Payment Program.

☐ ADD – Credit the account shown.

I am currently participating in the Automated Payment Program.

☐ CHANGE – Change financial institutions and/or account number.

EXHIBIT E

Landlord Verification Form

Relevance: Downstream housing-assistance and landlord-verification record tied to the 1551 3/4 E. 106th Street placement chain.

This exhibit is attached only to support the limited subpoena request for the missing 2023 consent / ROI / authorization source record. It does not expand the subpoena to request merits rulings on separate civil, criminal, administrative, housing-code, or oversight matters.

Exhibit E - Landlord Verification Form

Downstream housing-assistance and landlord-verification record tied to the 1551 3/4 E. 106th Street placement chain.

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
ADULT JUSTICE, HOUSING, EMPLOYMENT AND EDUCATION SERVICES

HOUSING ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS - UNIVERSAL APPLICATION

LANDLORD VERIFICATION FORM

(To be completed by Landlord)

Please Print

I intend to rent a unit/shared room to: KYLE ROBERT HAAB

The property is located at: 1551 3/4 E 106th St. (Street Address) — Apt #

Los Angeles (City) CA 90062 (Zip Code)

Type of Request:

☐ Security Deposit ☐ Ongoing Rental Assistance (Directly Operated FSP ONLY) ☐ Eviction Prevention

Please complete if applying for Security Deposit OR Rental Assistance.

Security deposit amount: $ 2,000

Regular months rent: $ 2,000

Tenant's subsidized rent portion: $

Please complete if applying for Eviction Prevention.

Rent: $ 2,000

Late charges (as stated in lease): $

Tenant's subsidized rent portion: $

Apartment/House is: ☐ Furnished ☒ Unfurnished

Rent Includes: ☐ Electricity ☒ Water ☐ Gas ☐ Trash

DATE TENANCY BEGAN/WILL BEGIN: 9 / 3 / 24

Please make checks payable to: Christopher Cervantes

(Checks to be made only to the property owners or authorized Management Company)

Name of Property Owner: Christopher Cervantes

Address: P.O. Box 1117 Downey CA 90241

Telephone Number: (562) 824-9003

Property Owner Signature *(or designee)*: _____ **Date:** 8 / 2 / 24

I understand that this is a Federal and/or State funded program and that abuse of this program is an offense. I certify under penalty of jury that all information that I have provided on this form is true and correct.

Applicant's Signature: _____ **Date:** ___ / ___ / ___

This form is not an agreement but only a confirmation of the amount of monies reflected in the rental/lease agreement and does not hold the County liable for any damages to the property as caused by the tenant.

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS BOX (For Office Use Only)

Amount Approved for payment: $ _____ **Initialed By:** _____

Page 6 of 8

EXHIBIT F

W-9 / Taxpayer Identification Record

Relevance: Downstream payment/tax-document record tied to the landlord/payment workflow.

This exhibit is attached only to support the limited subpoena request for the missing 2023 consent / ROI / authorization source record. It does not expand the subpoena to request merits rulings on separate civil, criminal, administrative, housing-code, or oversight matters.

Form **W-9**
(Rev. October 2018)
Department of the Treasury
Internal Revenue Service

Request for Taxpayer Identification Number and Certification

▶ Go to www.irs.gov/FormW9 for instructions and the latest information.

Give Form to the requester. Do not send to the IRS.

1 Name (as shown on your income tax return). Name is required on this line; do not leave this line blank.
CHRISTOPHER CERVANTES

2 Business name/disregarded entity name, if different from above

Print or type. See Specific Instructions on page 3.

3 Check appropriate box for federal tax classification of the person whose name is entered on line 1. Check only one of the following seven boxes.

☒ Individual/sole proprietor or single-member LLC ☐ C Corporation ☐ S Corporation ☐ Partnership ☐ Trust/estate

☐ Limited liability company. Enter the tax classification (C=C corporation, S=S corporation, P=Partnership) ▶ _____

Note: Check the appropriate box in the line above for the tax classification of the single-member owner. Do not check LLC if the LLC is classified as a single-member LLC that is disregarded from the owner unless the owner of the LLC is another LLC that is not disregarded from the owner for U.S. federal tax purposes. Otherwise, a single-member LLC that is disregarded from the owner should check the appropriate box for the tax classification of its owner.

☐ Other (see instructions) ▶

4 Exemptions (codes apply only to certain entities, not individuals; see instructions on page 3):

Exempt payee code (if any) _____

Exemption from FATCA reporting code (if any) _____

(Applies to accounts maintained outside the U.S.)

5 Address (number, street, and apt. or suite no.) See instructions.
P.O. BOX 1111

Requester's name and address (optional)

6 City, state, and ZIP code
DOWNEY CA 90241

7 List account number(s) here (optional)

Part I Taxpayer Identification Number (TIN)

Enter your TIN in the appropriate box. The TIN provided must match the name given on line 1 to avoid backup withholding. For individuals, this is generally your social security number (SSN). However, for a resident alien, sole proprietor, or disregarded entity, see the instructions for Part I, later. For other entities, it is your employer identification number (EIN). If you do not have a number, see *How to get a TIN*, later.

Note: If the account is in more than one name, see the instructions for line 1. Also see *What Name and Number To Give the Requester* for guidelines on whose number to enter.

Social security number: 611 - 07 - 3376

or

Employer identification number: _ _ - _ _ _ _ _ _ _

Part II Certification

Under penalties of perjury, I certify that:

1. The number shown on this form is my correct taxpayer identification number (or I am waiting for a number to be issued to me); and
2. I am not subject to backup withholding because: (a) I am exempt from backup withholding, or (b) I have not been notified by the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) that I am subject to backup withholding as a result of a failure to report all interest or dividends, or (c) the IRS has notified me that I am no longer subject to backup withholding; and
3. I am a U.S. citizen or other U.S. person (defined below); and
4. The FATCA code(s) entered on this form (if any) indicating that I am exempt from FATCA reporting is correct.

Certification instructions. You must cross out item 2 above if you have been notified by the IRS that you are currently subject to backup withholding because you have failed to report all interest and dividends on your tax return. For real estate transactions, item 2 does not apply. For mortgage interest paid, acquisition or abandonment of secured property, cancellation of debt, contributions to an individual retirement arrangement (IRA), and generally, payments other than interest and dividends, you are not required to sign the certification, but you must provide your correct TIN. See the instructions for Part II, later.

Sign Here Signature of U.S. person ▶ _____ Date ▶ 8-12-2024

General Instructions

Section references are to the Internal Revenue Code unless otherwise noted.

Future developments. For the latest information about developments related to Form W-9 and its instructions, such as legislation enacted after they were published, go to www.irs.gov/FormW9.

Purpose of Form

An individual or entity (Form W-9 requester) who is required to file an information return with the IRS must obtain your correct taxpayer identification number (TIN) which may be your social security number (SSN), individual taxpayer identification number (ITIN), adoption taxpayer identification number (ATIN), or employer identification number (EIN), to report on an information return the amount paid to you, or other amount reportable on an information return. Examples of information returns include, but are not limited to, the following.

- Form 1099-INT (interest earned or paid)
- Form 1099-DIV (dividends, including those from stocks or mutual funds)
- Form 1099-MISC (various types of income, prizes, awards, or gross proceeds)
- Form 1099-B (stock or mutual fund sales and certain other transactions by brokers)
- Form 1099-S (proceeds from real estate transactions)
- Form 1099-K (merchant card and third party network transactions)
- Form 1098 (home mortgage interest), 1098-E (student loan interest), 1098-T (tuition)
- Form 1099-C (canceled debt)
- Form 1099-A (acquisition or abandonment of secured property)

Use Form W-9 only if you are a U.S. person (including a resident alien), to provide your correct TIN.

If you do not return Form W-9 to the requester with a TIN, you might be subject to backup withholding. See What is backup withholding, *later.*

Cat. No. 10231X Form **W-9** (Rev. 10-2018)

EXHIBIT G

Residential Lease Agreement

Relevance: Downstream lease/rent/security-deposit record tied to housing stability and the missing upstream consent/ROI/authorization source record.

This exhibit is attached only to support the limited subpoena request for the missing 2023 consent / ROI / authorization source record. It does not expand the subpoena to request merits rulings on separate civil, criminal, administrative, housing-code, or oversight matters.

RESIDENTIAL LEASE AGREEMENT

1. **PARTIES.** This Residential Lease Agreement ("Agreement") made this _____ is between:
Landlord Name: CHRISTOPHER CERVANTES with a mailing address of: P.O. BOX 1111 DOWNEY CA 90241 ("Landlord"), AND
Tenant Name(s): KYLE ROBERT HAMB ("Tenant(s)"). Landlord and Tenant are each collectively referred to as the "Parties."

2. **PROPERTY.** The Landlord agrees to lease the described property to the Tenant:
Address: 1551 3/4 E 106 1/2 St. Los Angeles CA 90002 ("Premises").
Residence Type: ☐ Single-family ☐ Apartment ☐ Condominium ☐ Other: UNIT

3. **TERM.**
The Agreement shall begin on 8/3/24 and end on 8/3/25 ("Term").

4. **RENT.**
The Tenant shall pay the Landlord in equal monthly installments of $2,000 ("Rent"). The Rent shall be due on the 1st of every month and paid under the following instructions:

5. **SECURITY DEPOSIT.** The Tenant (check one):
☒ Shall deposit with the Landlord the sum of $2,000 as security for any damage caused to the Premises during the Term. Such deposit shall be returned to the Tenant, less any itemized deductions, within _____ days after the end of the Term.
☐ Shall NOT be required to pay a security deposit.

6. **SIGNATURES.**
Landlord Signature: _____ Date: 8/2/24
Printed Name: CHRISTOPHER CERVANTES
Tenant Signature: _____ Date: _____
Printed Name: _____

eSign

SUPPLEMENTAL EXHIBIT G

CRCD Apartment Email Showing Child / Family-Status Awareness

Relevance: This exhibit is added because the subpoena asks for the missing 2023 consent / ROI / authorization source record, including any authority CRCD claims allowed later use or sharing of child-related, family-status, household-composition, housing, landlord, and rental-assistance information.

The email thread shows CRCD Rental Assistance Supervisor Alexander Saltzman communicating with the landlord about housing for a "couple with a young kid" and later connecting the landlord to Petitioner and Crystal. This is relevant to the limited subpoena because it shows CRCD was not merely handling a generic student file; CRCD was using or relying on family-status / child-related information in the housing-placement and rental-assistance chain.

This exhibit does not ask the court to decide separate agency, criminal, housing-code, or civil-liability issues. It is included only to show why CRCD should produce the upstream consent / ROI / authorization source record, or a custodian declaration stating that no such record exists.

Exhibit G-1 - Full Source Page from CRCD / Landlord Email Thread

Full page included to preserve context. The enlarged excerpt appears on the next page for readability.



Hey Chris,
I have a couple with a young kid who needs an apartment ASAP. Do you have anything at the moment?

Best,
Alex

Alexander Saltzman | Rental Assistance Supervisor

Hi Kyle,
I wanted to connect you with a landlord we work with Chris Cervantes, he has an apartment that might work for you and Crystal!

Best,
Alex

Alexander Saltzman | Rental Assistance Supervisor

Exhibit G-2 - Enlarged Excerpt Showing Child / Family-Status Awareness

Enlarged excerpt from the same email page. Key language: "I have a couple with a young kid who needs an apartment ASAP."



From: Chris Cervantes <chriscervantes@hotmail.com>
Sent: Monday, July 29, 2024 12:48 PM
To: Alexander Saltzman <asaltzman@coalitionnd.org>
Subject: Re: Apartments

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content

Hello Alex

Yes I have a 1 bedroom on 1551 3/4 e 105
Exactly like the unit that Michelle Mequarters has it's available and just passed my final city I so it's brand new
Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 29, 2024, at 12:41 PM, Alexander Saltzman <asaltzman@coalitionnd.org> wrote:

Hey Chris,
I have a couple with a young kid who needs an apartment ASAP. Do you have anything at the moment?

Best,
Alex

Alexander Saltzman | Rental Assistance Supervisor

Purpose of this excerpt: to make the child/family-status language legible without forcing the court or custodian to read a reduced full-page screenshot.

Supplemental Exhibit H - Project Tipping Point Intake Application

Purpose: Adds the PTP intake/application record showing CRCD had child/family-status notice before the later housing-assistance and landlord/payment records. This exhibit remains limited to the subpoena issue: the missing upstream 2023 consent/ROI/authorization source record and the basis for CRCD use/disclosure of family-status and housing-related information.

Why this exhibit is included

The PTP intake/application page reflects child/family-status information, including a response that the participant currently had or was expecting children and the number of children listed as two.

This is relevant because CRCD later handled housing-assistance, landlord placement, rent/payment, ACH, W-9, lease, and related records. The subpoena does not ask the court to decide program liability; it asks CRCD to produce the missing source consent/ROI/authorization record or a custodian declaration that no such record exists.

The enlarged excerpt is included for readability only. The full source page follows so the excerpt can be viewed in context.

Exhibit pages added:

- H-1: PTP application source-cover/context page.
- H-2: PTP intake/application full source page.
- H-3: Enlarged excerpt of child/family-status fields.

Exhibit H-1 - PTP Application Source Context Page

Exhibit P2 - Project Tipping Point / Education-Intake Records - June 2024

Source page 31 | Embedded page 1 of 3. Purpose: Adds the missing PTP intake paperwork June 2024 pre-application records used for stable housing, child/family status, education record collection, and pre-relocation context.

DHCS Assistance Packet - Beneficiary / Source-System / Army-CH12 Nexus

Exhibit F - Project Tipping Point / Education-Intake Records

Date	June 2024
Source file	User-provided PTP and LACCD spreadsheet
Purpose	Shows intake/application records relevant to stable housing, pre-relocation context, child/family status information, education-record collection, and pre-relocation context.

Prepared for submission to DHCS - Kyle Hunt - May 3, 2025

Supplemental Federal Section 1 - Army / Project Tipping Point Care Evidence
Cross-reference and preservation section - only not source records omitted

Page 6
DCI-HHTT-0.31-H-1-90

Exhibit H-2 - PTP Intake/Application Full Source Page

Exhibit P2 - Project Tipping Point / Education-Intake Records - June 2024

Source page 82 | Embedded page 2 of 8. Purpose: Adds the missing PTP intake paperwork (June 2024 rev.) application records used for stable housing, child/family status, education record collection, and pre-production context.

DHCS Assistance Packet - Beneficiary / Status-System / Army-CHC2 Nexus

Exhibit F - Page 1 of 2



Project Tipping Point Intake Questionnaire

Name	Kyle Hunt
Date of Birth	03/[illegible]/89
Age	35
Cell Number	(707) 357-4491
Home Phone	(707) 357-4491
Email	Kylehunt238@gmail.com
Transportation Assistance Preference (Select one)	Bus Card

Please check the box that best fits your answer and write in where applicable:

Do you have a high school diploma (HS Equivalent)?	Yes
What year did you attain your Diploma or GED? From what school?	2004 Cardinal Newman High School
Do you have stable housing?	Yes
Have you ever been arrested in any other county?	No
Do you currently have or are you expecting any children?	Yes
When are you expecting or how many children do you have?	2
Have you ever had an IEP or 504 plan?	Yes
Please specify one:	IEP
Are you a U.S. Citizen?	Yes
Do you have a preferred gender pronoun (he/she/they/them)?	Yes

Prepared for submission to DHCS - Kyle Hunt - May 3, 2025

Supplemental Federal Section 1 - Army / Project Upstream Post-Care Evidence
Cross-reference and preservation section - relying on finance records context

Page 9
DCI-[illegible]-[illegible] of 90

Exhibit H-3 - Enlarged PTP Intake Child/Family-Status Excerpt

Readable excerpt from the PTP intake/application page. This excerpt shows the child/family-status fields in larger size; see Exhibit H-2 for the full source page.



What year did you attain your Diploma or GED? From what school?	2024 chatanooga workforce and development
Do you have stable housing?	Yes
Have you ever been enrolled in any other college?	No
Do you currently have or are you expecting any children?	Yes
When are you expecting or how many children do you have?	2
Have you ever had an IEP or 504 plan?	Yes
Please select one	IEP
Are you a U.S. Citizen?	Yes
Do you have a preferred gender pronoun (he/she/they/them)?	Yes

Note: Enlargement is included for readability only and should be read with the full source page in Exhibit H-2.

LOS ANGELES COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

PUBLIC COMMENT SUPPORTING RECORD

ATTACHMENT 3

JCOD / CFCI / COUNTY CUSTODY, PAYMENT, AND RECORD-CONTROL PACKET

County custodian, corrective-owner, duplicate-profile, payment-authority, CFCI, Amity, EWDD, OCI, and CEOP source-control materials.

Purpose

Document the County public-funds and contractor-held records lane, identify fragmented custody, preserve participant-profile and payment records, and request a single written custodian matrix rather than repeated routing.

Evidence and proof boundary

This packet documents notice, chronology, source-record locations, preservation requests, agency routing, and unresolved record questions. It does not convert allegations, referrals, complaint numbers, closures, or analytical summaries into findings of misconduct, liability, criminal intent, or agency agreement. Native records, certified records, audit logs, payment traces, approved plans, and authenticated communications control.

Source documents incorporated

No.	Source document	Pages	SHA-256 prefix
1	Attachment_3_JCOD_County_Custody_and_Record_Control.pdf	334	03b7309163b5

Requested Board handling

• Identify the official custodian for the complete CFCI-PA3-056-2023 participant and payment file.

• Reconcile duplicate participant profiles, enrollment dates, ROI/consent, reporting systems, invoices, approvals, and month-by-month payments.

• Preserve contractor, subcontractor, fiscal-agent, help-desk, CRM, audit-log, and legacy-system records before any further referral.

Public filing warning

Review every page before uploading. The record may contain personal addresses, signatures, housing and family information, health or HMIS information, taxpayer or banking data, child-related information, confidential communications, or records subject to sealing or restricted disclosure. Redact protected information before public submission.

Prepared July 18, 2026

LOS ANGELES COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

PUBLIC COMMENT SUPPORTING EXHIBITS

ATTACHMENT 3 - JCOD / COUNTY CUSTODY AND RECORD CONTROL

Custodian, preservation, corrective-owner, JCOD, EWDD, OCI, CEOP, and cross-agency source-control records.

Contents

1. JCOD_Urgent_Custodian_Preservation_and_Corrective_Owner_Request_July2026.pdf (3 pages)

2. 03_County_JCOD_EWDD_and_OCI_Custodian_Ownership_pp_133-266.pdf (134 pages)

3. 09_Master_Cross_Agency_CEOP_OCI_Source_Record_Control_pp_1024-1219.pdf (196 pages)

Public-record caution: This attachment contains source documents selected from the existing record. Before uploading, the submitter should independently confirm that no unredacted Social Security numbers, banking information, medical details, minor-identifying information, private addresses, or other protected personal information remain. Submission to the Board may make the material publicly accessible.

This packet is submitted to document notice, chronology, preservation requests, agency routing, and supporting records. Inclusion does not establish that any recipient accepted the submitter's factual or legal conclusions.

Prepared July 18, 2026

URGENT COUNTY COORDINATION, CUSTODIAN, PRESERVATION, AND CORRECTIVE-OWNER REQUEST

JCOD / CFCI-PA3-056-2023 / Project Tipping Point / Duplicate Participant Profiles / Contractor-Held Records

Prepared by	Kyle David Haab
Good-faith record period	Documented requests and preservation efforts beginning September 11, 2025
Purpose	Require a coordinated written determination, identify record custodians and corrective owners, and prevent further routing without resolution
Status limitation	Telephone statements are presented as contemporaneous accounts unless and until confirmed by agency call logs, CRM notes, recordings, or written responses.

I. Urgency and Exhaustion of Good-Faith Efforts

This matter is urgent. Since September 11, 2025, I have repeatedly attempted in good faith to obtain, preserve, and reconcile participant, enrollment, consent, reporting, payment, referral, and audit records held or controlled by Los Angeles County departments, JCOD, CRCD, private contractors, private foundations, and related service entities.

The issue has been routed through multiple offices without a complete custodian determination or unified record reconciliation. The continued passage of time creates a material preservation risk because personnel change, contractor relationships end, databases migrate, duplicate profiles may be merged, and retention periods may expire.

A substantive response is now overdue. Another generalized referral or acknowledgment will not resolve the identified record gaps.

II. Recent JCOD Communications

July 13, 2026. Elizabeth with JCOD advised that she confirmed with a supervisor that my email had been received, that JCOD was working on obtaining a response, and that I should call back the following day.

July 15, 2026, approximately 11:20 p.m. After I contacted JCOD Support and received a return call, I was informed that two participant profiles had been created or appeared to exist. The representative could not identify who created the profiles or the dates on which they were created. I was advised that a supervisor would return my call.

Support Center team lead. Ms. Martinez explained that the JCOD Support Center can provide services and route matters but cannot make the substantive program, records, or compliance determination. She indicated that she would provide an email documenting the Support Center response and instructed me to continue contacting JCOD personnel, including E. Lopez and A. Bernard.

III. Temporal and Program-Record Conflict

The Watts Community Labor Community Center enrollment from June 11 through December 4, 2025 appears to be the first identified JCOD enrollment in the currently available participant history. That later enrollment does not explain the separate JCOD statement associated with A. Bernard that rental assistance under CFCI-PA3-056-2023 operated from approximately August 2024 through June 2025.

The County must identify what profile, enrollment, eligibility determination, referral, ROI, reporting system, contractor file, and payment authorization supported the earlier August 2024-June 2025 rental-assistance period.

IV. Records That Remain Outstanding or Unreconciled

• Creation date, creator, source system, and purpose of each participant profile.

• Whether the two profiles were linked, merged, corrected, deactivated, exported, or searched together.

• Which profile is the official record for CFCI-PA3-056-2023 and Project Tipping Point.

• The participant-specific enrollment, eligibility, ROI, privacy notice, start date, exit date, and reporting history for the August 2024-June 2025 assistance period.

• The system used for recurring participant-level reporting required by the CFCI agreement.

• Month-by-month invoices, approvals, checks, ACH confirmations, reimbursements, landlord records, and funding-source accounting.

• Records held by CRCD, Amity Foundation, JCOD, County contractors, private foundations, fiscal agents, and any downstream service provider.

• Call logs, CRM entries, routing records, supervisor assignments, and written follow-up concerning the July 13 and July 15 communications.

V. Formal Demand for Coordinated Assignment

The recipients of this correspondence are directed to identify, in writing, the office and person responsible for each record category. If responsibility is shared, the response must provide a custodian matrix rather than another general referral.

1. Participant-profile creation and duplicate-profile reconciliation.

2. CFCI-PA3-056-2023 participant reporting, eligibility, ROI, and accounting.

3. Contractor-held and private-entity records within County possession, custody, or control.

4. Native-system audit logs, merge history, access records, and metadata.

5. Preservation enforcement and corrective action.

6. Final coordinated County response.

VI. Preservation Demand

All recipients must immediately preserve potentially responsive records, including records held by contractors, subcontractors, fiscal agents, private foundations, consultants, former employees, shared-service centers, and third-party administrators.

• Native emails and attachments; mailboxes; forwarding rules; archived and deleted items.

• Participant profiles; profile links; merge records; duplicate-detection records; audit logs; access logs; exports; edits; and deletion or restoration history.

• Legacy databases; migration reports; exception reports; backups; document repositories; cloud storage; and local device records.

• Contracts; subcontracts; scopes of work; monitoring; invoices; checks; ACH records; bank confirmations; reimbursements; and payment approvals.

• Intake, referral, enrollment, eligibility, consent, ROI, privacy, grievance, case-note, service, start, and exit records.

• Call recordings, call detail logs, CRM notes, help-desk tickets, routing records, supervisor tasks, and internal messaging.

VII. Required Written Response

Please provide a substantive written response within five business days of receipt. At minimum, the response must identify the assigned corrective owner, the responsible custodian for each record category, the searches already performed, the systems and repositories searched, the records found or withheld, the reason for any withholding, the outstanding steps, and a firm completion date.

If any recipient contends that it lacks responsibility, it must identify the specific office or contractor believed to possess the records, state whether the matter was actually transmitted to that office, provide the transmission date, and preserve a copy of the referral and all attachments.

This request documents continuing good-faith exhaustion and is intended to prevent further fragmentation of the record. It does not request a predetermined finding of fraud or misconduct; it demands preservation, identification of the responsible custodian, and a complete written reconciliation.

VIII. Key References

CFCI	CFCI-PA3-056-2023
OCI	2025-23289 / 2026-23965
LAPD / IAD	C259045687 / C26170000583 / 26-001767
LAHSA	2526KH-A
CEOP	REF25-0005204
ICMS	2025-13715
Family law	25CMFL00694

Prepared for coordinated delivery to JCOD, County oversight, County Counsel, contract compliance, privacy, records, CRCD, and other identified custodians.

V4 ADDENDUM A - COUNTY / JCOD / EWDD / OCI CUSTODIAN OWNERSHIP PACKET

CFCI-PA3-056-2023 / CRCD-PTP / Amity / City LWDA-EWDD / County Fraud-OCI

Purpose and no-delay posture

This packet is designed to eliminate delay caused by agencies saying another office has the records. It requires a written owner for the merged County/City/CRCD/Amity source-record and payment-authority chain.

This is a cure-stage packet. The receiving office should not treat it as a new initial complaint, a generalized narrative, or a request to decide every collateral issue. The requested response is a written disposition-quality answer: custodian, source record, search scope, access/disclosure logs, payment authority, routing owner, and next procedural step.

Response deadline / adequate-response standard

- Written acknowledgement and assignment or routing owner requested within 5 business days.

- Substantive written status, no-record determination, referral confirmation, or production plan requested within 10 business days unless a statute supplies a different deadline.

- A vague closure, a funding-only closure, or a referral back to an implicated custodian is not adequate unless the response identifies the records searched, the exact issue closed, and the remaining owner.

- If the office lacks jurisdiction, the response should identify the correct office, transfer path, or reason no transfer is possible.

Control statement

JCOD has the CFCI/Amity public-funds lane; EWDD/City LWDA has the City workforce/WorkSource lane; County Fraud/OCI has the fraud/referral/disposition lane; CRCD/PTP and Amity hold provider/operator records. A valid response must state which custodian owns each record category and which oversight office can compel production or correction.

Key identifiers

Identifier / lane	Use in this packet	Required handling
CRCD / Project Tipping Point ID 1233369910	Program file and source authorization anchor	Search using exact ID and all variants.
CFCI-PA3-056-2023	County public-funds / Amity / CRCD rental-assistance lane	Tie to payment authority and monitoring records.
OCI 2025-23289 / 2026-23965	County Fraud / County Counsel privacy-routing lanes	Reconcile status and scope.
LAPD C259045687 / C269013241	Law-enforcement documentation and closure-integrity lane	Cross-reference, do not isolate.
HUD FOIA 26-FI-RO9-01576 / LAHSA 2526KH-A / HMIS 8F8CD9D8B	HMIS / HUD / CoC source-record lane	Do not treat LAHSA funding closure as consent closure.
City LWDA / EWDD / WorkSource	Local workforce/participant-level records lane	Do not route only to CRCD without independent oversight path.
CWDB / EDD Compliance Review	State workforce oversight after local process	Use for WIOA grievance/search-scope escalation.

Detailed evidence-to-response matrix

Evidence / source	What it establishes	Response now required
JCOD_EWDD_OCI oversight packet	Preserves the custodian and oversight problem across JCOD, EWDD, OCI, CRCD, and Amity.	Provide one custodian matrix with record owner, statutory process, and production route.
CWDB response	Clarifies participant-level workforce records are local, not held by CWDB.	Identify City LWDA/EWDD and local operator records owner.
ETA Region 6 routing email	Preserves federal workforce routing question.	Confirm DOL/ETA vs local WIOA route.

Evidence / source	What it establishes	Response now required
JCOD PRA / response draft thread	Shows document-production lane for CFCI, rental assistance, approval basis, and participant records.	Produce or schedule production of payment/authorization records.

Minimum adequate response checklist

1. Who is the official custodian for the complete CFCI-PA3-056-2023 participant/payment file?

2. Who holds the participant agreement, ROI, service plan, case notes, grievances, payment approvals, invoices, reimbursement requests, and monitoring records?

3. Which office owns the City LWDA/EWDD/WorkSource records if participant-level records are local and CWDB has no participant-level custody?

4. Was CRCD/PTP treated as the sole custodian, and if so what independent route exists when CRCD is the disputed provider?

5. Does OCI 2026-23965 cross-reference 2025-23289 and the JCOD/CFCI/Amity rental-assistance lane?

6. Produce a month-by-month table showing rent amount, program amount, participant amount, security deposit, funding source, payee, and approving custodian.

No-substitution rule

- Do not substitute an acknowledgement for a disposition.

- Do not substitute a funding-source answer for a source-authorization answer.

- Do not substitute a no-open-case statement for historical records classification.

- Do not substitute a provider or contractor response for an independent custodian response when that provider or contractor is part of the disputed chain.

Records to preserve and search

Record category	Specific records to preserve/search	Why it matters
CFCI grant administration	CFCI contract, Amity administration records, CRCD subrecipient files, Program Area 3 Youth Housing materials.	Establishes public-funds/payment lane.
Payment documents	Lease, W-9, ACH, vendor/payee setup, payment ledger, invoices, reimbursement requests.	Explains rent/security deposit and payee identity.
Participant records	Consent, ROI, participant agreement, service plan, case management, grievance, housing-support records.	Shows whether Kyle and Crystal were treated differently.
Workforce records	EWDD/City LWDA, WorkSource, CalJOBS/AJCC, LATTC/Vernon-Central records.	Shows education/workforce overlap and local custody.
OCI disposition records	2025-23289, 2025-23345, 2026-23965 assignment, closure, referral, report, and scope notes.	Reconciles contradictory status labels.

Proposed agency response format

Field	Agency entry requested	Reason
Matter status	Open / closed / referred / transferred / no-record / pending / partially produced	Prevents contradictory status labels.
Assigned owner	Name, unit, email, phone, and case number if available	Prevents delay by routing loop.
Records searched	Systems, custodians, date ranges, keywords, identifiers	Prevents false no-record answers.
Source authority found	Exact consent, ROI, agreement, referral, payment approval, or no-record determination	Answers the core defect.
Remaining step	Production date, referral target, appeal route, complaint route, or correction process	Allows follow-up without restarting.

Appendix index - source exhibits appended after generated front matter

Appendix	Source file/pages	Purpose
1	JCOD/EWDD/OCI oversight packet - full source packet	Primary source packet.
2	CWDB response - full email PDF	State/local workforce custody clarification.
3	ETA Region 6 routing - full email PDF	Federal workforce routing clarification.

Appendix	Source file/pages	Purpose
4	JCOD PRA response draft - full email PDF	Payment/document production lane.

Confidentiality and redaction warning

- Review before public filing. These materials may include housing, health, HMIS/social-service, education, legal-aid, law-enforcement, family-law, juvenile, settlement, tax, payment, address, and sensitive personal records.

- Do not publicly distribute unredacted SSNs, bank/routing numbers, taxpayer IDs, full DOBs, medical identifiers, minor-child details, or privileged/confidential communications.

- Original source records control if any embedded page, image, or OCR text is unclear.

SOURCE EXHIBIT APPENDIX

Appendix 1: JCOD / EWDD / OCI Oversight Custodian Packet

Source: JCOD_EWDD_OCI_Oversight_Custodian_Packet_May30_2026.pdf

Purpose: Primary custodian-ownership source packet.

The original document pages follow. If any source page is unclear, request the original native/source record from the custodian.

Oversight Custodian Request Packet

JCOD / EWDD / Auditor-Controller / OCI Review of CRCD / Project Tipping Point Source-Record and Payment Chain

Prepared for: Los Angeles County JCOD, City of Los Angeles EWDD / City LWDA, Los Angeles County Auditor-Controller / OCI, with Amity Foundation and CRCD copied for custodian notice.

Prepared by: Kyle Robert Haab, self-represented / requesting party

Date: May 30, 2026

Core issue: Who owns the merged source-record, custodian, authorization, payment-authority, preservation, correction, and referral determination?

Control Statement

This packet is not a request that every office decide every collateral housing, family-law, unlawful-detainer, criminal, benefits, education, or civil issue. It is a focused oversight and custodian request asking JCOD, EWDD / City LWDA, and Auditor-Controller / OCI to identify who owns the remaining records question and to state whether CRCD / Amity are the proper custodians or whether another oversight office must respond.

The request is directed to oversight offices because the records now show overlapping lanes: JCOD for CFCI / Amity / CRCD grant-administration and payment records; EWDD / City LWDA for CRCD / Vernon-Central-LATTC WorkSource and WIOA/AJCC/EO/ADA oversight; and Auditor-Controller / OCI for County Fraud / investigation / cross-reference records.

Suggested Recipients and Use

Role	Contacts	Purpose
To - County CFCI / grant oversight	SHarada@jcod.lacounty.gov emokhtarian@jcod.lacounty.gov	CFCI-PA3-056-2023; Amity / CRCD administration; participant, payment, monitoring, reimbursement, landlord-verification, W-9 / ACH records.
To - Auditor-Controller / OCI	wevans@auditor.lacounty.gov fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov constituent@auditor.lacounty.gov	Association with OCI 2025-23289 and 2026_23965; County Fraud / investigation routing; preservation and cross-reference.
To - City LWDA / EWDD	richard.cheng@lacity.org luis.preciado@lacity.org	City LWDA / EWDD oversight; WIOA / AJCC / EO / ADA / grievance path; independent route beyond CRCD / Latea Davis.
CC - Provider / administrator notice	ldavis@coalitionrcd.org jguadron@coalitionrcd.org info@amityfdn.org jsanchez@amityfdn.org tpagrants@amityfdn.org	Provider and administrator entities potentially holding PTP, participant, source-authorization, rental-assistance, and payment records.

Use this packet as a clean attachment to one oversight email. Do not merge this with unrelated family-law, CalVCB, or LAPD narrative unless a recipient asks for supplemental records. The goal is to force a written custodian / no-record / source-scope / routing answer.

Suggested Email Body

Hello,

I am submitting this for oversight review, records preservation, and custodian clarification involving JCOD, EWDD / City LWDA, and the Auditor-Controller / OCI.

The purpose is not to ask every office to investigate every related issue. The purpose is to identify which oversight office owns the unresolved source-record, payment-authority, participant-record, and custodian determination involving CRCD / Project Tipping Point, Amity Foundation, City LWDA / EWDD, and CFCI-PA3-056-2023.

This request is being sent to JCOD, EWDD, and Auditor-Controller / OCI because the record now shows overlapping jurisdictional lanes:

1. JCOD is the County-side CFCI / Amity / CRCD lane for CFCI-PA3-056-2023, Program Area 3 / Youth Housing, rental-assistance funding, monitoring, payment records, and grant-administration records.

2. EWDD / City LWDA is the workforce-development lane because County DEO determined that the CRCD-operated Vernon-Central / LATTC WorkSource Center falls under City of Los Angeles LWDA jurisdiction, not County LWDA.

3. Auditor-Controller / OCI is the County Fraud / investigation / cross-reference lane for OCI references 2025-23289 and 2026_23965.

4. Amity Foundation and CRCD are copied because they appear to be provider / administrator entities holding or controlling Project Tipping Point participant records, rental-assistance records, source-authorization records, landlord/payment records, W-9 / ACH records, approval records, monitoring records, or communications.

The unresolved problem is that the City / EWDD route points back to CRCD / Latea Davis for Project Tipping Point complaints and records, while CRCD / Amity have not provided a substantive custodian, source-authorization, no-record, correction, or routing determination. Because CRCD is the disputed provider, routing the matter only back to CRCD does not resolve the independent oversight issue.

For accuracy, DHCS NEW-2026-01-18-8837 is a DHCS incident / records-integrity cross-reference only. I am not asking JCOD, EWDD, Auditor-Controller / OCI, Amity, or CRCD to decide a DHCS incident. I am using that identifier only to preserve the records-integrity routing history.

Please confirm in writing:

1. Whether JCOD owns or will identify the custodian for CFCI-PA3-056-2023 / Amity / CRCD / Project Tipping Point rental-assistance, participant, approval, monitoring, reimbursement, landlord-verification, W-9 / ACH, and payment records;

2. Whether EWDD / City LWDA has an independent WIOA / AJCC / Equal Opportunity / ADA / grievance or compliance route for CRCD / Vernon-Central-LATTC WorkSource complaints, separate from sending the matter back only to CRCD / Latea Davis;

3. Whether Auditor-Controller / OCI will associate this submission with OCI references 2025-23289 and 2026_23965;

4. Whether CRCD / Latea Davis, Jose Guadron, Amity Foundation, Joann Sanchez, or Amity TPA Grants is the records custodian for Project Tipping Point ID 1233369910, participant records, source authorization, consent / ROI, landlord-payment records, education/workforce records, family-status records, or rental-assistance records;

5. Whether any office has custody of the alleged 2023 CRCD / Ruth's Place / HMIS source authorization or can state in writing that no such record exists;

6. Whether any office has records showing the lawful basis for contacting me while I was in Tennessee, processing housing or rental-assistance records, communicating with a landlord, receiving or using lease/payee/W-9/ACH materials, or relying on education/workforce or family-status information;

7. Whether any office will issue a written custodian, no-record, source-scope, correction, preservation, referral, or final-disposition determination;

8. Which single office or contact should receive future submissions so nonresponsible recipients are not copied.

This is an oversight and custodian request. I am not asking any one office to decide every collateral housing, family-law, unlawful-detainer, criminal, benefits, education, or civil issue. I am asking JCOD, EWDD, and Auditor-Controller / OCI to identify who owns the merged records question and to state whether CRCD / Amity are the proper custodians or whether another oversight office must respond.

Please preserve this email and attachments with the relevant JCOD, CFCI, Amity, CRCD, Project Tipping Point, EWDD, City LWDA, WorkSource / AJCC, Auditor-Controller, OCI, County Fraud, and DHCS cross-reference records.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert Haab
1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4
Los Angeles, CA 90002
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

Agency-Position Reconciliation Matrix

Lane	Record position / evidence	What the oversight request asks now
JCOD / CFCI	JCOD response identifies the prior CFCI / Program Area 3 / Youth Housing / Amity / CRCD rental-assistance description and states JCOD is still searching and reviewing voluminous responsive records.	Confirm custodian for participant-level authorization, payment ledgers, approval records, monitoring records, landlord-verification, W-9 / ACH, reimbursements, and CFCI-PA3-056-2023 backup.
EWDD / City LWDA	City/EWDD and CRCD communications route Project Tipping Point complaints back to CRCD / Latea Davis and recognize Richard Cheng / EWDD involvement in ADA / WIOA complaint routing.	Identify an independent EWDD / City LWDA / WIOA / AJCC / EO / ADA / grievance route when the disputed provider is CRCD itself.
Auditor-Controller / OCI	OCI / County Fraud references 2025-23289 and 2026_23965 remain part of the cross-agency record chain and CEOP/County intake records indicate submissions were received and added to the case.	Confirm case association, cross-reference, preservation, and whether the record chain should be referred or corrected through County oversight.
Amity / CRCD	Amity responded it would contact you directly; CRCD / Latea auto-reply and CRCD workforce leadership routes were identified; no substantive source-record/custodian determination is shown in the thread.	State whether Amity / CRCD / Latea Davis / Jose Guadron / TPA Grants are custodians, or identify the correct custodian.
DHCS cross-reference	DHCS NEW-2026-01-18-8837 is a DHCS incident / records-integrity cross-reference only.	Do not make Amity / CRCD decide DHCS; use the DHCS ID only to preserve the records-integrity trail while JCOD / County handles CFCI / Amity / CRCD grant-administration questions.
LAHSA / HMIS / Risk	LAHSA materials show the Redd review was limited to whether PTP was LAHSA-funded and the matter was referred back to OCI; Janine Trejo auto-reply identified LAHSA support/finance/contracts/funding contacts.	Treat LAHSA’s response as funding-jurisdiction only, not a merits determination on consent, ROI, access logs, disclosure history, or source authorization.

Exhibit Index

Exhibit A - JCOD May 27, 2026 CPRA Response - Pending Voluminous Records Review

Shows JCOD has not completed the month-by-month accounting / approval / monitoring / payment-record review for CFCI rental assistance and expects a later response.

Exhibit B - EWDD / CRCD Routing - Richard Cheng, Jose Guadron, Latea Davis

Shows EWDD routed ADA/WIOA/PTP complaint questions to CRCD and CRCD identified Latea Davis as the Project Tipping Point complaint contact.

Exhibit C - Project Tipping Point CPRA Request / City-EWDD Funding Inquiry Chain

Shows City/EWDD personnel discussed PTP records, County funding questions, and whether EWDD had responsive information.

Exhibit D - City CPRA 25-18879 / 25-18989 Record Trail

Shows City/EWDD CPRA tracking and property/payment-submission record inquiries involving 1551 E. 106th St. / Unit 3/4 and associated records.

Exhibit E - Amity / CRCD / DHCS Cross-Reference Thread

Shows Amity said it would contact you directly; Latea Davis auto-reply identified CRCD WDD contacts; and you requested written-only routing and custodian confirmation.

Exhibit F - CEOP / CalVCB / LAHSA / LAPD Routing Thread

Shows CEOP receipt and case association; preserves LAHSA/Redd/OCI, CalVCB, LAPD, and ongoing harm/corrective-routing context.

Exhibit G - LAHSA Janine Trejo Auto-Reply - Functional Routing Contacts

Identifies LAHSA overall support, finance, contracts, and funding/grants/allocations contacts for follow-up routing.

Exhibit H - BCSH / HCD / CRD State-Level Routing Response

Shows a state housing/consumer/civil-rights umbrella route was attempted and no support or additional routing recommendation was provided.

Exhibit I - EWDD WIOA Monitoring Cover Letter

Shows EWDD has a WIOA monitoring / quality-of-service / corrective-improvement framework for AJCC/WorkSource programs generally.

Exhibit J - Not Merely Civil / Agency-Position Reconciliation Packet

Prior summary packet explaining why a blanket civil label does not resolve public-records, public-funds, records-integrity, and oversight custody issues.

Suggested attachment note: This packet is submitted to support a written custodian, source-scope, no-record, correction, referral, preservation, and final-disposition determination. It is not submitted as a request that any one office adjudicate every related issue.

Exhibit A

JCOD May 27, 2026 CPRA Response - Pending Voluminous Records Review

Attached behind this divider are the source pages for this exhibit.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Hilda L. Solis, First District
Holly J. Mitchell, Second District
Lindsey P. Horvath, Third District
Janice Hahn, Fourth District
Kathryn Barger, Fifth District

LOS ANGELES COUNTY JUSTICE CARE AND OPPORTUNITIES DEPARTMENT

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration
500 West Temple Street, Room 100
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Website: jcod.lacounty.gov

DIRECTOR
Judge Songhai Armstead, *ret.*

5/27/26
VIA E-MAIL ONLY

Kyle Haab
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

Re: Public Records Act Request of April 2, 2026

Dear Mr. Haab:
This is in response to your California Public Records Act ("CPRA") request received by the County of Los Angeles, Justice, Care & Opportunities Department (JCOD) on April 2, 2026, wherein you requested the following:

> *I am requesting a written accounting and preservation of records regarding the rental assistance JCOD previously described as tied to CFCI funding.*
>
> *In the prior response, JCOD stated that:*
> *CFCI funds were used for rental assistance issued on my behalf between August 2024 and June 2025; the assistance was categorized under Program Area 3, Youth Housing; Amity Foundation oversaw administration of the rental assistance funds through CRCD; and the relevant contract was CFCI-PA3-056-2023.*
>
> *That same response also stated that CRCD used funds for only 9 months of rental assistance at $1,000 per month. Those statements do not reconcile without a month-by-month accounting.*
> *I am therefore requesting that JCOD identify, to the extent maintained by your office or accessible through the relevant County records:*
>
> - *the exact months for which rental assistance was paid on my behalf;*
> - *the exact amount paid for each month;*
> - *the funding source used for each payment;*
> - *whether any payments during the August 2024 to June 2025 period were made from a source other than CFCI;*

- *the approval basis and authorization record for each payment;*
- *the role of JCOD, Amity Foundation, and CRCD in approving, administering, or overseeing each payment;*
- *any reporting, compliance, or monitoring records tied to those payments under CFCI-PA3-056-2023.*

Please also preserve all related records, including payment ledgers, invoices, approval records, monitoring records, correspondence, and any internal notes or reports relating to the rental assistance issued on my behalf.

For reference, this request relates to the contradictory funding descriptions already associated with my matter, including Auditor-Controller references PRA25-028, CE25-512, and CE26-048, OCI / County Fraud reference 2026-23965, and Board ICMS No. 2025-137175.

I am requesting a written accounting and records clarification. I am not requesting a general narrative response.

Please respond in writing.

On April 13, 2026, JCOD extended time to respond pursuant to Government Code section 7922.535, subdivision (b). On April 27, 2026, we again extended time to respond to May 27, 2026. We are continuing our search for and review of voluminous records that are potentially responsive to your CPRA request. Therefore, we anticipate providing you with an update or a response on or before July 8, 2026.

Please note that we reserve the right to assert any and all applicable privileges, doctrines, objections, and exemptions. In providing you with this response, JCOD is not waiving any rights, defenses, or claims of privilege, exception or exemption under the CPRA or any other statutes.

Thank you for your understanding and cooperation in this matter.

Sincerely,

Avi Bernard
Public Information Officer
Justice Care and Opportunities Department
abernard@jcod.lacounty.gov
jcod.lacounty.gov

LOS ANGELES COUNTY
JUSTICE CARE AND OPPORTUNITIES DEPARTMENT

Exhibit B

EWDD / CRCD Routing - Richard Cheng, Jose Guadron, Latea Davis

Attached behind this divider are the source pages for this exhibit.

Subject: Re: “ADA and WIOA Compliance Complaint – CRCD / Project Tipping Point”
From: Richard Cheng <richard.cheng@lacity.org>
Date: 11/14/2025, 3:06 PM
To: Jose Guadron <jguadron@coalitionrcd.org>

Thanks Jose, appreciate the info, have a great weekend!

On Fri, Nov 14, 2025 at 2:56 PM Jose Guadron <jguadron@coalitionrcd.org> wrote:

Hello Richard and Luis,

We have been working with Mr. Kyle Haab for some time and provided services under the Project Tipping Point Program. Complaints for Project Tipping Point can be referred to Latea Davis, Director of Workforce Development, who is copied on this email.

Please let us know if you any other questions.

Jose Guadron | Senior Director of Workforce Development
Workforce Development
CRCD: 213.743.6193 | **M:** 323.897.9384 | **F:** 323.521.1928 | **TTY:** 711
jguadron@coalitionrcd.org | www.coalitionrcd.org

View CRCD's 2024 Annual Report

Confidentiality Notice: This message (including any attachments) contains confidential information intended for a specific individual and purpose, and is protected by law. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by email and delete the original message and any attachments. Any unauthorized disclosure, copying, or distribution of this message, or the taking of any action based on it, is strictly prohibited.

From: Richard Cheng <richard.cheng@lacity.org>
Sent: Wednesday, November 12, 2025 11:45 AM
To: Ro'Lesha Coleman <rcoleman@coalitionrcd.org>; Jose Guadron <jguadron@coalitionrcd.org>
Cc: Luis Preciado <luis.preciado@lacity.org>
Subject: Fwd: “ADA and WIOA Compliance Complaint – CRCD / Project Tipping Point”

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is SAFE.

Hi Ro'Lesha & Jose,
Please see below email & advise who the complaints for Project Tipping Point should be routed to? Is there a lead on your end or at the County? Thanks!

---------- Forwarded message ---------
From: **Kyle** <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
Date: Tue, Nov 11, 2025 at 9:33 AM
Subject: “ADA and WIOA Compliance Complaint – CRCD / Project Tipping Point”
To: <richard.cheng@lacity.org>, <ceop@bos.lacounty.gov>, <ciaran.mcevoy@usdoj.gov>, <contact.lapdonline@gmail.com>, LA County Fraud Hotline <fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov>, TitleIX@gmail.com <TitleIX@gmail.com>, Christopher Redd <credd@lahsa.org>, Dr. Holly Henderson <hhenderson@lahsa.org>, Polet Lopez <palopez@coalitionrcd.org>, Sanford, Ahliyah <asanford@bos.lacounty.gov>, <smyers@lafla.org>, <dph-phiadmin@ph.lacounty.gov>, <halvarado@da.lacounty.gov>, <David_Carter@cacd.uscourts.gov>, <info@da.lacounty.gov>, Miguel Victorino <Miguel.Victorino@doj.ca.gov>, <Joseph.Zimring@doj.ca.gov>, <kclark@lahsa.org>, <kdixon@lahsa.org>, LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>, <lahd.rso.south@lacity.org>

Dear Mr. Cheng,

I am filing a formal ADA and Equal Opportunity complaint regarding the Coalition for Responsible Community Development’s “Project Tipping Point” program. I was enrolled under this program but denied required grievance procedures, ADA accommodations, and full disclosure of eligibility requirements, despite my disability status.

My fiancée, also a participant, received different enrollment materials that included grievance procedures and supportive-service information. I did not. I believe this constitutes unequal access and non-compliance with WIOA §188 and 29 CFR 38.

Attached are supporting documents including intake forms, program emails, and correspondence verifying that the program is operated under CRCD’s Vernon-Central/LATTC WorkSource Center, which falls under EWDD jurisdiction.

Please confirm receipt and advise next steps in filing this complaint formally.

Sincerely,
Kyle Haab
657-557-4459
Kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
1233369910 unique ID ptp

--
Best Regards,
Richard Cheng
Economic & Workforce Development Department
Equal Opportunity Compliance Officer
Richard.Cheng@lacity.org

--
Best Regards,
Richard Cheng
Economic & Workforce Development Department
Equal Opportunity Compliance Officer
Richard.Cheng@lacity.org

Exhibit C

Project Tipping Point CPRA Request / City-EWDD Funding Inquiry Chain

Attached behind this divider are the source pages for this exhibit.

Luis Preciado <luis.preciado@lacity.org>

Kyle Haab - Project Tipping Point CPRA Request

6 messages

Luis Preciado <luis.preciado@lacity.org> Thu, Dec 18, 2025 at 6:06 PM
To: Jose Guadron <jguadron@coalitionrcd.org>
Cc: Latea Davis <ldavis@coalitionrcd.org>, Marc Magallanes <marc.magallanes@lacity.org>

Good afternoon Jose,

I hope all is well with you. I am reaching out to you for assistance, (not EO related for once) in regards to a California Public Records Act request I am processing for EWDD and I need some assistance. I have CC'd my supervisor Marc Magallanes from EWDD as well.

In the last 24 hours, a participant you are familiar with **Kyle Haab (CalJobs User ID: 36716949)** submitted four separate CPRA requests, seeking records and communications related to his enrollment in Project Tipping Point (PTP), as well as records associated with what appears to be a County of Los Angeles rental assistance program (not sure if he was referring to the same program). He also indicated that he worked with a CRCD employee at the time (roughly July 1, 2024 and August 31, 2024), Alexander Saltzman, and is seeking records related to his enrollment, financial transactions, funding, services, case notes, and communications. The four requests are quite extensive.

I know Latea Davis handles complaints for CRCD for Project Tipping Point, however, since he is seeking public records related to Project Tipping point, is the funding for PTP from the County? I do not see he is enrolled in any City of LA services.

Do you have a point of contact with the County, someone that may handle CPRA requests, or is familiar with Project Tipping Point, so that I may refer Mr. Haab to them, as EWDD does not have the information he is seeking?

Please advise when you have a moment.

Thank you Jose!

--
Luis F. Preciado
California Public Records Act Coordinator
Administrative Services Division
Economic & Workforce Development Department

Luis Preciado <luis.preciado@lacity.org> Thu, Dec 18, 2025 at 6:09 PM
To: Richard Cheng <richard.cheng@lacity.org>

Just FYI
[Quoted text hidden]

Luis Preciado <luis.preciado@lacity.org> Mon, Dec 29, 2025 at 9:41 AM
To: Jose Guadron <jguadron@coalitionrcd.org>
Cc: Latea Davis <ldavis@coalitionrcd.org>, Marc Magallanes <marc.magallanes@lacity.org>

Good morning Jose and Latea,

I hope you both are doing well and enjoying the Holidays. I just wanted to follow up on my previous email for information regarding PTP funding and the appropriate County contact.

Thank you both in advance.
[Quoted text hidden]

Jose Guadron <jguadron@coalitionrcd.org> Tue, Dec 30, 2025 at 12:50 PM
To: Luis Preciado <luis.preciado@lacity.org>
Cc: Latea Davis <ldavis@coalitionrcd.org>, Marc Magallanes <marc.magallanes@lacity.org>

Hello Luis,

Apologies for the delayed response. Project Tipping Point is a college persistence program which helps foster youth achieve their post secondary education goals. PTP is a CRCD program and not funded by the County so there is no County contact.

It is my understanding that Kyle has been provided with the documentation he has requested as it relates to his participation in our program. Should he have any further questions, please redirect him to Latea Davis.

Thank you

Jose Guadron | Senior Director of Workforce Development
Workforce Development
CRCD: 213.743.6193 | **M:** 323.897.9384 | **F:** 323.521.1928 | **TTY:** 711
jguadron@coalitionrcd.org | www.coalitionrcd.org

View CRCD's 2024 Annual Report

Confidentiality Notice: This message (including any attachments) contains confidential information intended for a specific individual and purpose, and is protected by law. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by email and delete the original message and any attachments. Any unauthorized disclosure, copying, or distribution of this message, or the taking of any action based on it, is strictly prohibited.

From: Luis Preciado <luis.preciado@lacity.org>
Sent: Monday, December 29, 2025 9:42:05 AM
To: Jose Guadron <jguadron@coalitionrcd.org>
Cc: Latea Davis <ldavis@coalitionrcd.org>; Marc Magallanes <marc.magallanes@lacity.org>
Subject: Re: Kyle Haab - Project Tipping Point CPRA Request

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is SAFE.

[Quoted text hidden]

Luis Preciado <luis.preciado@lacity.org> Tue, Dec 30, 2025 at 2:00 PM
To: Jose Guadron <jguadron@coalitionrcd.org>
Cc: Latea Davis <ldavis@coalitionrcd.org>, Marc Magallanes <marc.magallanes@lacity.org>

Ok, thank you for the clarification Jose. I will reach out to Mr. Haab with this information.

[Quoted text hidden]

Jose Guadron <jguadron@coalitionrcd.org> Wed, Jan 7, 2026 at 6:15 PM
To: Luis Preciado <luis.preciado@lacity.org>
Cc: Latea Davis <ldavis@coalitionrcd.org>, Marc Magallanes <marc.magallanes@lacity.org>, Kenta Estrada-Darley <kestrada-darley@coalitionrcd.org>

Hello Luis,

I hope you are well. After consulting with my team, I was reminded that a portion of the supportive services (rental assistance) is covered by funding from the Amity Foundation that serves as a third-party administrator for the County of Los Angeles Care First Community Investment, which is funded by the board of supervisors spending plan. However, Projecting Tipping Point (PTP) is primarily supported by private funding sources.

We will notify the Amity Foundation of the request as they are the administrators of the funding and main point of county with the County of Los Angeles. Please let us know if you have any further questions.

Thank you.

Jose Guadron | Senior Director of Workforce Development
Workforce Development
CRCD: 213.743.6193 | **M:** 323.897.9384 | **F:** 323.521.1928 | **TTY:** 711
jguadron@coalitionrcd.org | www.coalitionrcd.org

View CRCD's 2024 Annual Report

Confidentiality Notice: This message (including any attachments) contains confidential information intended for a specific individual and purpose, and is protected by law. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by email and delete the original message and any attachments. Any unauthorized disclosure, copying, or distribution of this message, or the taking of any action based on it, is strictly prohibited.

From: Luis Preciado <luis.preciado@lacity.org>
Sent: Tuesday, December 30, 2025 2:00 PM
[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]

Exhibit D1

City CPRA 25-18879 Request Detail

Attached behind this divider are the source pages for this exhibit.

City of Los Angeles Public Record Requests

Request #25-18879
Open
As of December 18, 2025, 7:13am
Request Visibility: Department

Details

Pursuant to the California Public Records Act (Gov. Code §7920.000 et seq.),

I request records sufficient to show whether CRCD submitted any request,

invoice, reimbursement, drawdown, or expenditure report to EWDD for housing

assistance, rental assistance, or landlord payments connected to:

1551 E 106th St, Los Angeles, CA 90002

(Unit 3/4 or any associated unit designation)

Time period: March 1, 2024 – September 30, 2024.

This request is limited to financial and administrative records only and

includes, but is not limited to:

• Any payment requests, reimbursement claims, or invoices submitted by CRCD

• Any expenditure or performance reports referencing rent or housing

• Any approval, denial, or rejection of such submissions

• Any records showing that no submission was made

If no responsive records exist, please provide a written statement to that

effect. If records are withheld, identify the statutory exemption relied upon.

Received

December 17, 2025 via web

Due

December 29, 2025

Departments

Economic & Workforce Development Department (EWDD)

Additional Information

No data entered

City of Los Angeles Public Record Requests

kyle

kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
1551 E 106th st unit 3/4 , los angeles, CA 90002
6575574459
Self

Requester email status list

Request opened

- **Sent** *December 17, 2025, 11:02pm*
- **Delivered** *December 17, 2025, 11:02pm*
- **Opened** *December 17, 2025, 11:03pm*

Tags

Documents

Public (pending)

(none)

Requester

(none)

Staff Only

(none)

Staff

Point of Contact

ewdd-cpra@lacity.org

Support

luis.preciado@lacity.org
marc.magallanes@lacity.org

Tasks

City of Los Angeles Public Record Requests

Department Assignment — Public
Economic & Workforce Development Department (EWDD)
December 17, 2025, 11:02pm (auto-assigned)

New Point of Contact — Staff Only
EWDD CPRA
December 17, 2025, 11:02pm by kyle on behalf of EWDD CPRA

Request Visibility — Staff Only
Department-Only
December 17, 2025, 11:02pm (auto-assigned)

Request Opened — Public
Request received via web
December 17, 2025, 11:02pm by kyle

Support Staff Added — Staff Only
Marc Magallanes
Luis Preciado
December 17, 2025, 11:02pm (auto-assigned)

Exhibit D2

City CPRA 25-18989 Internal Routing 1

Attached behind this divider are the source pages for this exhibit.

Luis Preciado <luis.preciado@lacity.org>

CPRA Request 25-18989

Luis Preciado <luis.preciado@lacity.org> Mon, Dec 29, 2025 at 10:17 AM
To: Blair Miller <blair.miller@lacity.org>, Joanne Lu <joanne.lu@lacity.org>, Michael Cham <michael.cham@lacity.org>, Doug Kim <doug.kim@lacity.org>
Cc: Marc Magallanes <marc.magallanes@lacity.org>, Fred Jackson <fred.jackson@lacity.org>

Good morning Blaire and Joanne,

I am reaching out in regards to a CPRA request that we received regarding a property located at **1551 E 106th St, Los Angeles, CA 90002 (Unit 3/4 or any associated unit designation) during the Time period: March 1, 2024 – September 30, 2024.**

I have attached a copy of the full request below for your reference.

Please advise when you have a moment.

Thank you!

--
Luis F. Preciado
California Public Records Act Coordinator
Administrative Services Division
Economic & Workforce Development Department

25-18879.pdf
163K

Exhibit D3

City CPRA 25-18989 Internal Routing 2

Attached behind this divider are the source pages for this exhibit.

Luis Preciado <luis.preciado@lacity.org>

CPRA Request 25-18989

Blair Miller <blair.miller@lacity.org> Mon, Dec 29, 2025 at 1:09 PM
To: Luis Preciado <luis.preciado@lacity.org>
Cc: Joanne Lu <joanne.lu@lacity.org>, Michael Cham <michael.cham@lacity.org>, Doug Kim <doug.kim@lacity.org>, Marc Magallanes <marc.magallanes@lacity.org>, Fred Jackson <fred.jackson@lacity.org>

Hi Luis. I have no information that is responsive to this request.

Thank you,

Blair Miller
Real Estate Group
Economic and Workforce Development Department | City of Los Angeles
444 S. Flower Street, Los Angeles, CA 90071

[Quoted text hidden]

Exhibit D4

City CPRA 25-18989 Internal Routing 3

Attached behind this divider are the source pages for this exhibit.

Luis Preciado <luis.preciado@lacity.org>

CPRA Request 25-18989

Joanne Lu <joanne.lu@lacity.org> Mon, Jan 5, 2026 at 12:43 PM
To: Luis Preciado <luis.preciado@lacity.org>
Cc: Michael Cham <michael.cham@lacity.org>, Doug Kim <doug.kim@lacity.org>, Marc Magallanes <marc.magallanes@lacity.org>, Fred Jackson <fred.jackson@lacity.org>

Hi Luis,

I don't find any files or records in Lending Unit related to this location **1551 E 106th St, Los Angeles, CA 90002.**

Thank you,

Joanne
[Quoted text hidden]
--
Joanne Lu
Portfolio Management
Economic Development Division
Economic and Workforce Development Department
444 South Flower Street, 14th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071
Email Address: joanne.lu@lacity.org

The information contained in this e-mail message is intended for the sole use of the named recipients. This message is privileged & confidential. If the reader(s) of this message are not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipients, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error and that any review, dissemination, distribution or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately by e-mail and delete the original message. NO COMMUNICATION IN THIS E-MAIL SHALL BE BINDING OR CONTRACTUAL IN NATURE.

Exhibit E

Amity / CRCD / DHCS Cross-Reference Thread

Attached behind this divider are the source pages for this exhibit.

Automatic reply: NEW-2026-01-18-8837 - DHCS Incident Reporting

5 messages

info <info@amityfdn.org> Mon, Jan 19, 2026 at 9:23 AM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

We will contact you directly. Thanks

Disclaimer

The information contained in this communication from the sender is confidential. It is intended solely for use by the recipient and others authorized to receive it. If you are not the recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or taking action in relation of the contents of this information is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful.

This email has been scanned for viruses and malware, and may have been automatically archived by Mimecast, a leader in email security and cyber resilience. Mimecast integrates email defenses with brand protection, security awareness training, web security, compliance and other essential capabilities. Mimecast helps protect large and small organizations from malicious activity, human error and technology failure; and to lead the movement toward building a more resilient world. To find out more, visit our website.

Latea Davis <ldavis@coalitionrcd.org> Tue, Jan 20, 2026 at 8:28 AM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Good Morning/Afternoon,

I am currently out the office with little to no access to my emails. I'm set to return Wednesday, January 21, 2026. If you need specific WDD programmatic assistance regarding the following services, please contact the appropriate Associate Director(s) below:

- AD of Adult Workforce Development: Ro'Lesha Colemen at rcoleman@coalitionrcd.org
- AD of Placement Services: Jerry Walker at jwalker@coalitionrcd.org
- AD of Youth Workforce Development: Krystal Rivera at krivera@coalitionrcd.org

If you have a compliance or operational request, please reach out to our Sr. Director of Workforce Development, Jose Guadron at jguadron@coalitionrcd.org.

Thank you and have a great day!!

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Tue, Jan 20, 2026 at 8:41 AM
To: info <info@amityfdn.org>

To Whom It May Concern,

Due to the existence of pending administrative and oversight complaints, I am formally requesting that all communications with me be conducted in writing via email only.

This request is made to ensure clarity, accuracy, and proper documentation of all communications. I do not consent to phone calls, voicemail messages, or other verbal communications at this time.

Please confirm receipt of this notice and direct any necessary correspondence to this email address.

Thank you for your cooperation.

Kyle David Haab
[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Wed, Jan 21, 2026 at 11:07 AM
To: info <info@amityfdn.org>, Joann Sanchez <jsanchez@amityfdn.org>, ldavis@coalitionrcd.org, jguadron@coalitionrcd.org, tpagrants@amityfdn.org

This email follows my voicemail left today regarding confirmation of receipt of my prior written correspondence related to Project Tipping Point.
My call was made solely to ensure receipt and proper routing of the email already sent. I am not requesting discussion by phone.
Please confirm in writing whether the referenced correspondence was received and, if so, the office or supervisor to whom it has been routed.
If Amity Foundation believes it is not the appropriate custodian for any portion of the referenced materials, please state that position in writing.
Thank you.
Kyle David Haab
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Mon, Mar 9, 2026 at 12:49 PM
To: joaquinc@viacarela.org

[Quoted text hidden]

Exhibit F

CEOP / CalVCB / LAHSA / LAPD Routing Thread

Attached behind this divider are the source pages for this exhibit.

Re: CalVCB-A26-10253806-LAPD reports C259045687 / C269013241 / OCI 2025-23289 & 2026-23965 / DOJ PIU #1508015 / DOL FOIA 2026067/PC 1-26-0316-003/ HUD FOIA-26-FI-RO9-01580/complaint form NO 26-001767

9 messages

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Tue, May 26, 2026 at 6:15 PM
To: Christopher Williams <cwilliams@lahsa.org>, JAY BALGEMINO <37816@lapd.online>, DAVID HWANG <34403@lapd.online>, CHARLES SCHLUND <35549@lapd.online>, CEOP <ceop@bos.lacounty.gov>, Victims CalVCB Online Access@Victims <CalVCBOnlineAccess@victims.ca.gov>
Cc: LA County Fraud Hotline <fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov>, AC-CONSTITUENT <constituent@auditor.lacounty.gov>, HUDLACustomerService@hud.gov, Clarke, Adriane J <Adriane.J.Clarke@hud.gov>, cpdgdas@hud.gov, Choi, Chin Woo <ChinWoo.Choi@hud.gov>, FOIAExecSec@hud.gov, foiaregion9@hud.gov, Dela, Ester F <Ester.F.Dela@hud.gov>, Sanford, Ahliyah <asanford@bos.lacounty.gov>, Hills, LaJuannah <lhills@bos.lacounty.gov>, Escobedo, Marina <MEscobedo@bos.lacounty.gov>, Community Relations OIG <communityrelationsoig@lacity.org>

Dear CalVCB Representative,

I am submitting supplemental law-enforcement and agency-routing documentation for CalVCB Application No. A26-10253806.

Attached is the LAPD report documentation related to LAPD report numbers C259045687 and C269013241, along with a brief nexus explanation showing why these reports are connected to the agency-routing history for this claim.

For clarity, I understand that CalVCB does not decide criminal liability, agency misconduct, or the merits of any LAPD Internal Affairs / PSB complaint. I am submitting this information so CalVCB can correctly identify the law-enforcement documentation and routing history connected to my claim.

The claim is connected to the following record chain:

1. LAHSA stated that Christopher Redd's review was limited to determining whether CRCD / Project Tipping Point was LAHSA-funded;
2. LAHSA stated that the matter was referred back to the Los Angeles County Auditor-Controller Office of County Investigations for OCI case 2025_23289;
3. LAHSA stated that law-enforcement reporting was recommended based on allegations involving identity theft and falsification of records by a CRCD staff member;
4. LAPD reports C259045687 and C269013241 became the law-enforcement records tied to that routing history;
5. LAPD Complaint Form No. 26-001767 concerns the handling, linkage, classification, closure explanation, and supplemental-evidence path for those LAPD reports.

I am asking CalVCB to associate the attached records with Application No. A26-10253806 as supporting documentation for the claim review.

Please confirm receipt and advise whether CalVCB needs any additional law-enforcement records, agency-routing records, verification forms, or provider documents.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert Haab
CalVCB Application No. A26-10253806
Phone: 657-557-4459
Email: kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
On Tue, May 19, 2026, 11:11 AM Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> wrote:

> Sergeant Balgemino,
>
> I am providing one supplemental clarification for the PSB / IAD classification review regarding LAPD RMS C259045687 and C269013241.
>
> Christopher Williams, LAHSA Deputy Chief Risk Officer, has now clarified LAHSA's position regarding Christopher Redd's prior review. Mr. Williams stated that LAHSA has custody of Mr. Redd's case file and that he, as Deputy Chief Risk Officer, has access to those records. He further stated that LAHSA referred the matter back to the Los Angeles County Auditor-Controller Office of County Investigations on December 11, 2025, and that a summary of Mr. Redd's findings was provided to OCI as a closure memorandum for LAHSA's involvement in case 2025_23289.
>
> Mr. Williams also clarified that Mr. Redd's findings were limited to concluding that Project Tipping Point was not funded by LAHSA. Based on that response, LAHSA's closure appears to have been jurisdictional/funding-based only, not a merits determination on the

underlying identity-theft, falsified-records, consent/authorization, W-9/ACH, landlord/payment, CRCD, HMIS/Freshservice, or minor-child-record issues.

This is material to the PSB / IAD review because LAPD's Commercial Crimes closure should be reviewed against whether LAPD obtained or cross-referenced the LAHSA Redd file, the December 11, 2025 LAHSA-to-OCI closure memorandum, OCI case 2025_23289, any related 2026_23965 record, and the LAHSA Risk Management / HMIS / Freshservice record chain before determining that C259045687 and C269013241 were insufficient.

For clarity, I am not asking PSB to decide LAHSA, CRCD, HUD/HMIS, CFCI, or County Fraud merits. I am asking that the complaint classification consider whether LAPD's investigation and closure explanation accounted for the agency records that LAHSA has now confirmed exist or were referred to OCI.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert Haab
1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4
Los Angeles, CA 90002
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
On Tue, May 19, 2026, 8:43 AM Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> wrote:

> HUD CPD / HUD Los Angeles Field Office / HUD FOIA Region IX,
> I am supplementing my prior HUD FOIA / CPD escalation request because LAHSA has now provided a written response through Christopher Williams, Deputy Chief Risk Officer, regarding LAHSA investigator Christopher Redd's review.
> Based on Mr. Williams' response, LAHSA's institutional position appears to be:
> LAHSA has custody of Mr. Redd's case file, notes, emails, findings, referrals, closure notes, and related records;
> Mr. Williams, as Deputy Chief Risk Officer, has access to those records;
> LAHSA referred the matter back to the Los Angeles County Auditor-Controller Office of County Investigations on December 11, 2025;
> LAHSA submitted a closure memorandum to OCI for case 2025_23289;
> Mr. Redd's findings were limited to concluding that Project Tipping Point was not funded by LAHSA;
> LAHSA's closure was therefore funding-jurisdictional only and was not a merits determination regarding consent, HMIS authorization, Release of Information, data-sharing, CRCD/PTP records, landlord-payment records, W-9/ACH records, household/family-status records, or records involving my minor children;
> LAHSA advised law-enforcement reporting based on allegations involving identity theft and falsification of records by a CRCD staff member;
> LAHSA considers its role closed as of December 11, 2025.
> This response is significant because it does not answer the HUD/HMIS source-record issue. LAHSA's conclusion that Project Tipping Point was not LAHSA-funded does not resolve whether LAHSA, local HMIS systems, CRCD, Amity Foundation, AJCC / WorkSource partners, or related providers had valid consent, Release of Information, HMIS authorization, disclosure authority, access-log support, or data-sharing authority for the records later used or relied on.
> I am not asking HUD to decide my family-law case, unlawful-detainer case, criminal allegation, or state-hearing merits. I am requesting written procedural clarification on the correct HUD / CPD / HMIS escalation path now that LAHSA has confirmed its own review was limited to funding jurisdiction only.
> Please confirm:
> whether HUD CPD considers LAHSA's "not LAHSA-funded" response sufficient to close the separate HMIS consent-source, data-sharing, and records-custody issues;
> if not, which HUD office, CPD contact, HMIS lead, grantee/subrecipient custodian, or oversight pathway should receive the unresolved consent/ROI/source-record issue;
> whether HUD FOIA 26-FI-RO9-01580 can be aligned with LAHSA's December 11, 2025 closure memorandum to OCI for case 2025_23289;
> whether HUD can identify the proper records custodian for consent/ROI records, HMIS authorization records, access logs, disclosure logs, correction-request records, Freshservice/HMIS tickets, Risk Management routing, and LAHSA/OCI transmittal records;
> whether HUD has a procedure for unresolved local HMIS source-record disputes when the local HMIS lead or related agency responds only on funding jurisdiction;
> whether this matter should be routed through HUD CPD, HUD FOIA, HUD OIG, FHEO, Region IX CPD, LAHSA HMIS, or the local Continuum of Care governance structure.
> Relevant identifiers include:
> HUD FOIA: 26-FI-RO9-01580
> HUD AAQ Question ID: 260629
> HUD FHEO Inquiry: 860997
> HMIS ID: 8F8CD9D8B
> LAHSA Ref.: 2526KH-A
> HMIS / LAHSA tickets: 259260, 260627, 267528
> County Fraud / OCI: 2025_23289 and 2026_23965
> CRCD / Project Tipping Point participant ID: 1233369910
> CFCI reference: CFCI-PA3-056-2023
> Property: 1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4, Los Angeles, CA 90002
> Federal preservation docket: CV26-802-UA / 2:26-cv-00802-JFW-AS

Please preserve and align this message with my prior HUD FOIA / CPD escalation packet and identify the correct office or records custodian for the unresolved consent-source, HMIS authorization, data-sharing, and disclosure-record issues.
Respectfully,
Kyle Robert Haab
1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4
Los Angeles, CA 90002
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
On Sun, May 17, 2026, 5:08 PM Christopher Williams <cwilliams@lahsa.org> wrote:

> Dear Mr. Haab,
>
> Please find responses inserted in red below.
>
> **Christopher Williams (he/him)**
> Deputy Chief Risk Officer
> Cell: 213-523-0938
> Email: cwilliams@lahsa.org
> www.lahsa.org
>
> *To drive the collaborative strategic vision to create solutions for the crisis of homelessness grounded in hope, compassion, and community.*
>
> *This transmission is intended only for the use of the addressee. If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. Be aware that most communications with LAHSA are subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act. If you received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the sender by replying to this communication or by telephone at **213-523-0938**. Thank you.*
>
> ---
>
> **From:** Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
> **Sent:** Thursday, April 23, 2026 3:04 PM
> **To:** Christopher Williams <cwilliams@lahsa.org>
> **Cc:** LA County Fraud Hotline <fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov>
> **Subject:** Re: LAHSA investigator Christopher Redd
>
> Dear Mr. Williams,
>
> Thank you for confirming that Christopher Redd was the LAHSA investigator who worked on my case and that he is no longer available to discuss LAHSA-related matters.
>
> Because Mr. Redd worked on this matter, I am requesting written clarification regarding the current status, record custody, and outcome of his review.
>
> Please confirm:
>
> 1. who now has custody of Mr. Redd's case file, notes, emails, findings, referrals, closure notes, or related records;
> LAHSA has custody of his case files, etc. The Deputy Chief Risk Officer has access to them. (That is me.)
>
> 2. whether the matter was closed, transferred, referred, or left pending after Mr. Redd left LAHSA;
> The matter was referred back to the Office of County Investigations (OCI) on December 11, 2025.
>
> 3. who, if anyone, is now assigned to respond to questions regarding Mr. Redd's review;
> There are no outstanding questions regarding Mr. Redd's review. If there were to be any, I would be the person to respond.
>
> 4. whether LAHSA issued any findings, determinations, referrals, internal notes, or closure explanation concerning my complaints;
> As you have been informed, LAHSA concluded that Project Tipping Point, the project you referred to that was being implemented by the Coalition for Responsible Community Development (CRCD), did not receive any funding

from LAHSA, and therefore LAHSA would not be investigating it.

5. whether any records from Mr. Redd's review were provided to, discussed with, or referred to the Los Angeles County Auditor-Controller Office of County Investigations, including the Fraud Hotline.
A summary of Mr. Redd's findings were provided to OCI as a closure memorandum for LAHSA's involvement in case 2025_23289 due to Project Tipping Point not being a LAHSA-funded program. His findings were limited to concluding that the program was not funded by LAHSA, which is a requirement for LAHSA investigations.

The consent / authorization issue remains unanswered. Please confirm whether LAHSA, Mr. Redd, or any LAHSA-related system reviewed or obtained records sufficient to show what consent, Release of Information, participant authorization, HMIS authorization, data-sharing authorization, or other written permission allowed CRCD, LAHSA, Amity Foundation, AJCC / WorkSource partners, or related providers to collect, access, disclose, transmit, or rely on my personal information, household information, housing information, landlord information, lease materials, W-9 / ACH materials, case notes, referral records, supportive-services records, or program eligibility records.

Please specifically confirm whether LAHSA has records showing:

1. the date any consent or authorization was signed;

2. the scope of the consent or authorization;

3. the programs, agencies, providers, landlords, or partners covered by the consent;

4. whether the consent covered CRCD, Project Tipping Point, LAHSA, Amity Foundation, Vernon-Central / LATTC WorkSource, AJCC-related activity, housing assistance, landlord contact, or data-sharing activity;

5. whether consent existed before the March 18, 2024 referral/contact activity;

6. whether Mr. Redd reviewed the consent issue before leaving LAHSA;

7. whether any missing, incomplete, expired, or disputed consent issue was identified, referred, or preserved.

Mr. Haab, you have already been provided all HMIS materials pertaining to you. You can review these materials yourself.

I am also requesting clarification regarding LAHSA's prior instruction that I report the matter to law enforcement based on concerns involving fraud and theft. Please confirm:

1. who made that instruction or recommendation;
As I understand it, Mr. Redd recommended that you report the matter to law enforcement based on your apparent allegation of identify theft and falsification of records by a CRCD staff member.

2. whether it was based on LAHSA's review of records, my allegations, CRCD records, landlord-related records, payment records, consent records, or other information;
As I understand it, Mr. Redd recommended that you report the matter to law enforcement based on your apparent allegation of identify theft and falsification of records by a CRCD staff member.

3. whether LAHSA documented the basis for advising law-enforcement reporting;
As I understand it, Mr. Redd recommended that you report the matter to law enforcement based on your apparent allegation of identify theft and falsification of records by a CRCD staff member.

4. whether LAHSA itself made or considered making any referral to law enforcement, the County Auditor-Controller, OCI, County Counsel, LAHD, HUD, or another oversight body;
LAHSA referred the matter back to OCI because it does not involve a LAHSA-funded project. LAHSA did not make any further referrals, as LAHSA had already been informed by you that you had reported it to LAPD.

5. whether LAHSA determined the issue was outside LAHSA's administrative jurisdiction because it involved possible fraud, theft, misuse of funds, or unauthorized handling of records;
LAHSA referred the matter back to OCI because it does not involve a LAHSA-funded project, not based on the specific nature of the allegations.

6. whether any such fraud/theft-related concern remains open, was closed, or was referred elsewhere.
LAHSA referred the matter back to OCI because it does not involve a LAHSA-funded project, not based on the specific nature of the allegations. That referral closed LAHSA's involvement with the matter.

I am also requesting clarification regarding any recording, photographing, audio-recording, video-recording, screenshotting, documenting, retaining, transmitting, or sharing of images, audio, video, or identifying information involving my minor children by CRCD, CRCD staff, Project Tipping Point, housing-support staff, or any related partner.

Please confirm whether LAHSA, Mr. Redd, or any LAHSA-related review identified, received, reviewed, preserved, or was informed of any records involving my minor children, including:

1. photos, videos, audio recordings, screenshots, intake records, household records, case notes, text messages, emails, or attachments involving my children;

2. the date, purpose, program, staff member, and system connected to any such recording or documentation;

3. what consent, parental authorization, guardian authorization, court authorization, media release, participant release, HMIS authorization, or other written permission was relied upon;

4. whether any images, audio, video, or identifying information involving my children were shared internally, externally, or with partner agencies;

5. whether LAHSA or Mr. Redd reviewed this minor-child consent issue before Mr. Redd left LAHSA.

As far as I know, LAHSA did not identify, receive, review, preserve, or get informed of any records involving your minor children. The investigation was limited to the process of determining that Project Tipping Point was not funded by LAHSA, and thus was not an issue for LAHSA to investigate, so LAHSA referred it back to OCI.

My main question is this:

What did LAHSA determine, document, refer, or preserve in connection with Mr. Redd's review of my matter, including the unresolved consent / authorization issue, LAHSA's instruction that I report concerns involving fraud and theft to law enforcement, and any records involving my minor children?

The investigation by Mr. Redd was limited to the process of determining that Project Tipping Point was not funded by LAHSA, and thus was not an issue for LAHSA to investigate, so LAHSA referred it back to OCI.

Please also preserve all records connected to Mr. Redd's handling of my matter, including emails, notes, case summaries, consent records, Release of Information records, HMIS-related records, communications with CRCD, communications with County departments, referral records, closure notes, records involving my minor children, and communications involving the Auditor-Controller / OCI.

LAHSA retains all records for seven years.

Since the LA County Fraud Hotline is copied on this thread, I am also requesting that LAHSA clarify whether it considers this matter open, closed, referred, or outside LAHSA's jurisdiction, and whether OCI has been provided any records or summary related to Mr. Redd's prior involvement.

LAHSA considers its role in this matter closed as of December 11, 2025, when its memorandum for case 2025_23289 was submitted to OCI.

Thank you,

Kyle David Haab
1551 E. 106th St Unit 3/4
Los Angeles, CA 90002
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

On Thu, Apr 23, 2026, 2:50 PM Christopher Williams <cwilliams@lahsa.org> wrote:

> Dear Mr. Haab,
>
> I understand that you have asked the Los Angeles County Department of Auditor-Controller's Office of County Investigations to have the LAHSA investigator who worked on your case, Christopher Redd, to call you.
>
> Mr. Redd moved to another position in another organization last month, and is no longer available to discuss LAHSA-related matters.
>
> Is there a question that I may be able to help you with?
>
> Thank you,
>
> Chris

Christopher Williams (he/him)
Deputy Chief Risk Officer
Cell: 213-523-0938
Email: cwilliams@lahsa.org
www.lahsa.org

To drive the collaborative strategic vision to create solutions for the crisis of homelessness grounded in hope, compassion, and community.

*This transmission is intended only for the use of the addressee. If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. Be aware that most communications with LAHSA are subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act. If you received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the sender by replying to this communication or by telephone at **213-523-0938**. Thank you.*

37816_PSB_Final_With_Commercial_Crimes_Closure_screen.pdf
2.3 MB

CEOP <CEOP@bos.lacounty.gov> Wed, May 27, 2026 at 7:48 AM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>, Christopher Williams <cwilliams@lahsa.org>, JAY BALGEMINO <37816@lapd.online>, DAVID HWANG <34403@lapd.online>, CHARLES SCHLUND <35549@lapd.online>, CEOP <CEOP@bos.lacounty.gov>, Victims CalVCB Online Access@Victims <CalVCBOnlineAccess@victims.ca.gov>
Cc: LA County Fraud Hotline <fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov>, AC-CONSTITUENT <constituent@auditor.lacounty.gov>, HUDLACustomerService@hud.gov <HUDLACustomerService@hud.gov>, Clarke, Adriane J <Adriane.J.Clarke@hud.gov>, cpdgdas@hud.gov <cpdgdas@hud.gov>, Choi, Chin Woo <ChinWoo.Choi@hud.gov>, FOIAExecSec@hud.gov <FOIAExecSec@hud.gov>, foiaregion9@hud.gov <foiaregion9@hud.gov>, Dela, Ester F <Ester.F.Dela@hud.gov>, Sanford, Ahliyah <ASanford@bos.lacounty.gov>, Hills, LaJuannah <LHills@bos.lacounty.gov>, Escobedo, Marina <MEscobedo@bos.lacounty.gov>, Community Relations OIG <communityrelationsoig@lacity.org>

Greetings

Your documents have been received! The additional information will be added to the case. If there is a need to contact an investigator will reach out at that time. Feel free to contact our offices with further questions or concerns regarding this matter.

Thank you

Kamilah Felder

Senior Board Specialist

Executive Office of the Board of Supervisors

County Equity Oversight Panel

County Intake Specialist Unit

500 W. Temple St. B-26

Los Angeles, CA 90012

(p) 213-974-9868/(p) 213-974-4785

(f) 213-620-9282

KFelder@bos.lacounty.gov

[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Wed, May 27, 2026 at 8:04 AM
To: CEOP <CEOP@bos.lacounty.gov>
Cc: Christopher Williams <cwilliams@lahsa.org>, JAY BALGEMINO <37816@lapd.online>, DAVID HWANG <34403@lapd.online>, CHARLES SCHLUND <35549@lapd.online>, Victims CalVCB Online Access@Victims <CalVCBOnlineAccess@victims.ca.gov>, LA County Fraud Hotline <fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov>, AC-CONSTITUENT <constituent@auditor.lacounty.gov>, HUDLACustomerService@hud.gov, Clarke, Adriane J <Adriane.J.Clarke@hud.gov>, cpdgdas@hud.gov, Choi, Chin Woo <ChinWoo.Choi@hud.gov>, FOIAExecSec@hud.gov, foiaregion9@hud.gov, Dela, Ester F <Ester.F.Dela@hud.gov>, Sanford, Ahliyah <ASanford@bos.lacounty.gov>, Hills, LaJuannah <LHills@bos.lacounty.gov>, Escobedo, Marina <MEscobedo@bos.lacounty.gov>, Community Relations OIG <communityrelationsoig@lacity.org>

Dear CEOP / County Intake Specialist Unit,

Thank you for confirming receipt and that the additional information will be added to the case.

I am submitting this short clarification because the receipt does not yet address the ongoing harm issue.

My concern is not limited to whether one agency received documents or whether one past investigation was closed. The current issue is that unresolved disputed records and source-authority questions continue to affect communications and decisions across multiple jurisdictions and systems, including California County/City agencies, LAPD records, County Fraud / OCI, LAHSA / HMIS routing, CalVCB Application No. A26-10253806, LACCD / LATTC education-record issues, and Tennessee juvenile / pro se filing access connected to UCCJEA / ICPC-related matters.

The specific unresolved issue is:

Who has responsibility to identify, correct, pause reliance on, or provide a corrective-action pathway for disputed records and communications that continue to cause harm while agency reviews, PRA/FOIA matters, and complaint processes remain pending?

This includes disputed or unresolved records involving:

1. CRCD / Project Tipping Point source authorization;
2. alleged 2023 Ruth's Place / HMIS consent being treated as authority for later 2024–2026 activity;
3. LAHSA / OCI routing and the limited nature of LAHSA's funding-jurisdiction review;
4. LAPD reports C259045687 and C269013241 and Complaint Form No. 26-001767;
5. CFCI-PA3-056-2023 / Amity / CRCD rental-assistance records;
6. LACCD / LATTC education-record access and FERPA/SPPO tracking number 26-0253;
7. CalVCB Application No. A26-10253806, which now requires law-enforcement and verification documentation;
8. Tennessee / California court-access and family-integrity consequences where disputed records may be relied upon without correction.

I am not asking CEOP to decide the merits of every underlying claim. I am asking CEOP to identify the proper County corrective-action, investigator, ADA/accommodation, records-correction, or interagency coordination pathway for ongoing harm caused by disputed records and cross-jurisdiction communications.

Please confirm whether this ongoing-harm issue will be assigned, cross-referenced, or routed to an investigator or appropriate County office.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert Haab
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
[Quoted text hidden]

CRCD_court_ready_subpoena_with_child_awareness_and_PTP_application.pdf
10.8 MB

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Wed, May 27, 2026 at 8:29 AM
To: CEOP <CEOP@bos.lacounty.gov>, KFelder@bos.lacounty.gov, Panosyan, Samantha <SPanosyan@bos.lacounty.gov>, Moran, Paul <PMoran@bos.lacounty.gov>, ccha@bos.lacounty.gov
Cc: Christopher Williams <cwilliams@lahsa.org>, JAY BALGEMINO <37816@lapd.online>, DAVID HWANG <34403@lapd.online>, CHARLES SCHLUND <35549@lapd.online>, Victims CalVCB Online Access@Victims <CalVCBOnlineAccess@victims.ca.gov>, LA County Fraud Hotline <fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov>, AC-CONSTITUENT <constituent@auditor.lacounty.gov>, HUDLACustomerService@hud.gov, Clarke, Adriane J <Adriane.J.Clarke@hud.gov>, cpdgdas@hud.gov, Choi, Chin Woo <ChinWoo.Choi@hud.gov>, FOIAExecSec@hud.gov, foiaregion9@hud.gov, Dela, Ester F <Ester.F.Dela@hud.gov>, Sanford, Ahliyah <ASanford@bos.lacounty.gov>, Hills, LaJuannah

<LHills@bos.lacounty.gov>, Escobedo, Marina <MEscobedo@bos.lacounty.gov>, Community Relations OIG <communityrelationsoig@lacity.org>, Thomas Woods Oci Investigator <TWood@auditor.lacounty.gov>, Thomas.Scott@lacity.org, Steven Lee Auditor Controller <slee2@auditor.lacounty.gov>, rcampbell@auditor.lacounty.gov, Magtoto, Chris <cmagtoto@auditor.lacounty.gov>, msantana@auditor.lacounty.gov, cibarra@auditor.lacounty.gov, Winston Mendoza <WMendoza@dhs.lacounty.gov>

[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Wed, May 27, 2026 at 9:12 AM
To: CEOP <CEOP@bos.lacounty.gov>, KFelder@bos.lacounty.gov, Panosyan, Samantha <SPanosyan@bos.lacounty.gov>, Moran, Paul <PMoran@bos.lacounty.gov>, ccha@bos.lacounty.gov, Develyn.Rhodes-Johnson@hud.gov
Cc: Christopher Williams <cwilliams@lahsa.org>, JAY BALGEMINO <37816@lapd.online>, DAVID HWANG <34403@lapd.online>, CHARLES SCHLUND <35549@lapd.online>, Victims CalVCB Online Access@Victims <CalVCBOnlineAccess@victims.ca.gov>, LA County Fraud Hotline <fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov>, AC-CONSTITUENT <constituent@auditor.lacounty.gov>, HUDLACustomerService@hud.gov, Clarke, Adriane J <Adriane.J.Clarke@hud.gov>, cpdgdas@hud.gov, Choi, Chin Woo <ChinWoo.Choi@hud.gov>, FOIAExecSec@hud.gov, foiaregion9@hud.gov, Dela, Ester F <Ester.F.Dela@hud.gov>, Sanford, Ahliyah <ASanford@bos.lacounty.gov>, Hills, LaJuannah <LHills@bos.lacounty.gov>, Escobedo, Marina <MEscobedo@bos.lacounty.gov>, Community Relations OIG <communityrelationsoig@lacity.org>, Thomas Woods Oci Investigator <TWood@auditor.lacounty.gov>, Thomas.Scott@lacity.org, Steven Lee Auditor Controller <slee2@auditor.lacounty.gov>, rcampbell@auditor.lacounty.gov, Magtoto, Chris <cmagtoto@auditor.lacounty.gov>, msantana@auditor.lacounty.gov, cibarra@auditor.lacounty.gov, Winston Mendoza <WMendoza@dhs.lacounty.gov>

Dear CEOP / County Intake Specialist Unit / Los Angeles County Fraud Hotline,

I am submitting the attached updated cross-agency source-record addendum for association with active OCI case 2026_23965 and related County Fraud / OCI case 2025-23289.

This packet is not intended to replace prior submissions. It is intended to organize the updated information into one readable addendum showing why the unresolved issue is ongoing harm from disputed source records and cross-agency reliance.

The attached addendum connects the same core source-record issue across multiple agency lanes:

1. CRCD / Project Tipping Point and the alleged 2023 Ruth's Place / HMIS consent or source-record basis;
2. LAHSA / HMIS routing and the limited nature of LAHSA's Project Tipping Point funding-jurisdiction review;
3. County Fraud / OCI references 2025-23289 and 2026_23965;
4. LAPD reports C259045687 and C269013241, and LAPD Complaint Form No. 26-001767;
5. CFCI-PA3-056-2023 / JCOD / Amity / CRCD rental-assistance records;
6. LACCD / LATTC / StudentAid / FERPA / ED OIG education-record and account-security concerns;
7. HUD CPD / LAHSA / HMIS consent-ripple and source-record escalation issues;
8. CWDB / City LWDA / EWDD workforce-routing and CRCD WorkSource/AJCC oversight concerns;
9. DHCS source-system, beneficiary-record, Amity/CFCI, and records-integrity routing issues;
10. LADA Public Integrity screening concerns regarding public-agency handling, routing, closure, and custodian identification.

The central issue remains:

Who has responsibility to identify, correct, pause reliance on, or provide a corrective-action pathway for disputed records and communications that continue to cause harm while agency reviews, PRA/FOIA matters, complaints, and court-access issues remain pending?

I am not asking CEOP or County Fraud to decide every underlying housing, family-law, education, health-record, or law-enforcement issue. I am asking for administrative confirmation that this addendum has been added to the proper County record and that the County will identify the office responsible for corrective-action routing, records correction, ADA/accommodation routing, investigator assignment, or interagency coordination.

Please confirm:

1. The attached addendum has been associated with OCI case 2026_23965;
2. Related records from County Fraud / OCI case 2025-23289 will be cross-referenced;
3. 2025-23289 will not be treated as a final merits denial unless OCI confirms that the allegations were actually investigated;
4. The County will identify the responsible office for ongoing harm caused by disputed source records and cross-jurisdiction communications.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert Haab
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
[Quoted text hidden]

CEOP_OCI_2026_23965_Cross_Agency_Source_Record_Addendum_May27_2026.pdf
8.6 MB

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Wed, May 27, 2026 at 11:10 AM
To: CEOP <CEOP@bos.lacounty.gov>, KFelder@bos.lacounty.gov, Panosyan, Samantha <SPanosyan@bos.lacounty.gov>, Moran, Paul <PMoran@bos.lacounty.gov>, ccha@bos.lacounty.gov, Develyn.Rhodes-Johnson@hud.gov, cpdgdas@hud.gov, OIG Complaint <oigcomplaint@lapd.online>, Community Relations OIG <communityrelationsoig@lacity.org>
Cc: Christopher Williams <cwilliams@lahsa.org>, JAY BALGEMINO <37816@lapd.online>, DAVID HWANG <34403@lapd.online>, CHARLES SCHLUND <35549@lapd.online>, Victims CalVCB Online Access@Victims <CalVCBOnlineAccess@victims.ca.gov>, LA County Fraud Hotline <fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov>, AC-CONSTITUENT <constituent@auditor.lacounty.gov>, HUDLACustomerService@hud.gov, Clarke, Adriane J <Adriane.J.Clarke@hud.gov>, cpdgdas@hud.gov, Choi, Chin Woo <ChinWoo.Choi@hud.gov>, FOIAExecSec@hud.gov, foiaregion9@hud.gov, Dela, Ester F <Ester.F.Dela@hud.gov>, Sanford, Ahliyah <ASanford@bos.lacounty.gov>, Hills, LaJuannah <LHills@bos.lacounty.gov>, Escobedo, Marina <MEscobedo@bos.lacounty.gov>, Community Relations OIG <communityrelationsoig@lacity.org>, Thomas Woods Oci Investigator <TWood@auditor.lacounty.gov>, Thomas.Scott@lacity.org, Steven Lee Auditor Controller <slee2@auditor.lacounty.gov>, rcampbell@auditor.lacounty.gov, Magtoto, Chris <cmagtoto@auditor.lacounty.gov>, msantana@auditor.lacounty.gov, cibarra@auditor.lacounty.gov, Winston Mendoza <WMendoza@dhs.lacounty.gov>

Hello,

Thank you for confirming the proper routing distinction.

I understand that the Office of the Inspector General is an intake point for complaints alleged against LAPD employees, and that LAPD Internal Affairs conducts the complaint investigations. I also understand that supplemental documentation relating to my existing complaint may be sent to the copied complaint addresses.

I am writing to preserve and clarify that Complaint Form No. 26-001767 is the personnel-handling / complaint-routing matter connected to LAPD reports C259045687 and C269013241.

My request is not for OIG to decide the underlying CRCD, LAHSA, HMIS, County Fraud/OCI, housing, family-law, CalVCB, or civil matters. My request is limited to the correct point of contact and submission path for supplemental documentation from County, City, State, and Federal officials that relates to:

1. handling, linkage, classification, closure explanation, and routing of LAPD reports C259045687 and C269013241;
2. whether supplemental records should be sent to LAPD Internal Affairs, OIG intake, or both;
3. whether Complaint Form No. 26-001767 has been assigned or cross-referenced to those LAPD reports;
4. how to submit related documentation from LAHSA, County Fraud/OCI, CEOP, CalVCB, LACCD/LATTC, DHCS, LADA, and other agencies without creating duplicate complaints;
5. whether there is a specific investigator, unit, email address, or reference format I should use for future supplemental submissions.

This matters because the same law-enforcement record chain is now being relied upon for CalVCB Application No. A26-10253806 and for cross-agency documentation involving LAHSA's referral back to County Fraud/OCI case 2025_23289, OCI 2026_23965, and alleged identity theft / falsification-of-records concerns.

Please confirm the proper point of contact and whether future supplemental documentation should be sent to OIG, LAPD Internal Affairs, oigcomplaint@lapd.online, another LAPD address, or all relevant complaint-routing addresses.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert Haab
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
[Quoted text hidden]

Community Relations OIG <communityrelationsoig@lacity.org> Wed, May 27, 2026 at 1:09 PM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
Cc: OIG Complaint <oigcomplaint@lapd.online>

Hi again. LAPD Internal Affairs is going to be your best point of contact going forward. They will assign an investigator to your case.

Thank you.
[Quoted text hidden]
--

Community Relations & Strategic Initiatives
Office of the Inspector General
Los Angeles Police Commission
350 S. Figueroa Street, Suite 1002
Los Angeles, CA 90071
(213) 893-6400
communityrelationsoig@lacity.org
www.oig.lacity.org

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Wed, May 27, 2026 at 1:16 PM
To: Community Relations OIG <communityrelationsoig@lacity.org>
Cc: OIG Complaint <oigcomplaint@lapd.online>

Hello,

Thank you for confirming that LAPD Internal Affairs is the proper point of contact going forward.

The unresolved issue is that LAPD has not yet identified the assigned Internal Affairs investigator or provided a direct case-contact path for Complaint Form No. 26-001767.

Please confirm:

1. whether Complaint Form No. 26-001767 has been assigned to an Internal Affairs investigator;

2. the investigator's name, serial number, unit, phone number, and email address, if assigned;
3. if not yet assigned, the current status and expected assignment timeframe;
4. whether supplemental documentation should continue to be sent to oigcomplaint@lapd.online until assignment;
5. whether Complaint Form No. 26-001767 is cross-referenced with LAPD reports C259045687 and C269013241.

This matters because supplemental documentation from CEOP, CalVCB, LAHSA, County Fraud/OCI, HUD, and other agencies is being generated, and I need the correct LAPD Internal Affairs recipient so evidence is not misrouted or duplicated.

Related identifiers:

LAPD reports: C259045687 and C269013241
LAPD Complaint Form No.: 26-001767
CalVCB Application No.: A26-10253806
County Fraud / OCI references: 2025_23289 and 2026_23965
CEOP receipt confirmation: May 27, 2026

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert Haab
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Sat, May 30, 2026 at 12:23 PM
To: wevans@auditor.lacounty.gov, jlim@lahsa.org, SHarada@jcod.lacounty.gov, emokhtarian@jcod.lacounty.gov, KFelder@bos.lacounty.gov, JAY BALGEMINO <37816@lapd.online>, AC-CONSTITUENT <constituent@auditor.lacounty.gov>, LA County Fraud Hotline <fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov>
Cc: OIG Complaint <oigcomplaint@lapd.online>, bkuhn@lahsa.org, jtrejo@lahsa.org, Community Relations OIG <communityrelationsoig@lacity.org>, CHARLES SCHLUND <35549@lapd.online>, info@bcsh.ca.gov, eeo@bcsh.ca.gov, HUDLACustomerService@hud.gov, richard.cheng@lacity.org, mason.kia@dol.gov, luis.preciado@lacity.org

Hello,

I am submitting this as a focused oversight and agency-position reconciliation request.

I am not asking every office copied here to investigate every issue. I am asking the County-side offices with overlapping records to acknowledge each other's prior positions and identify the responsible office for the remaining source-record, custodian, payment-authority, preservation, correction, and referral determination.

The issue is no longer whether one agency can call this civil, outside scope, or outside jurisdiction. Several agencies have already narrowed their own jurisdiction. The unresolved issue is that no office has identified who owns the remaining record chain.

BCSH's response is important because it shows that I attempted the state housing / consumer / civil-rights routing path. BCSH checked with HCD and CRD, reported that HCD could not support the matter, CRD did not view it as within the civil-rights realm, and provided no additional recommendations. That does not resolve the matter. It shows that a simple "this is civil" label is not a meaningful disposition unless the agency also identifies the civil custodian, remedy path, or corrective owner.

The current agency-position gap is:

1. County DEO determined CRCD / Vernon-Central-LATTC WorkSource falls under City of Los Angeles LWDA, not County LWDA.

2. City / EWDD records identified Latea Davis / CRCD as a custodian/contact, but CRCD is the disputed provider, so that does not answer the independent oversight question.

3. JCOD confirmed the CFCI / Amity / CRCD rental-assistance lane and remains responsible for CFCI / Amity grant-administration routing after DHCS directed the housing subsidy grant issue back to JCOD.

4. LAHSA stated its review was limited to whether Project Tipping Point was LAHSA-funded and referred the matter back to OCI, which does not resolve HMIS consent, ROI, source authorization, access logs, disclosure records, or landlord/payment records.

5. LAPD Commercial Crimes stated the reports may be reopened if additional information from LAHSA or other County or City agencies warrants criminal filing.

6. LADA, DRE, LASD, DHCS, BCSH/HCD/CRD, and other offices have issued jurisdictional narrowing responses, but none has identified the final custodian or corrective owner.

The question I am asking each office to answer is narrow:

Who owns the merged source-record, custodian, authorization, payment-authority, preservation, correction, and referral determination?

Please confirm in writing:

1. Whether this submission will be associated with OCI references 2025-23289 and 2026_23965;

2. Whether LAHSA's prior "not LAHSA-funded" response is being treated only as a funding-jurisdiction closure, not a merits determination;

3. Whether JCOD's CFCI / Amity / CRCD records review includes participant-level authorization records, payment ledgers, approval records, monitoring records, source-consent records, landlord-verification records, W-9 / ACH records, and reimbursement records under CFCI-PA3-056-2023;

4. Whether any County or City office has custody of the alleged 2023 CRCD / Ruth's Place / HMIS source authorization or can state that no such record exists;

5. Whether any agency has records that should be referred to or reviewed by LAPD Commercial Crimes under the stated reopening standard;

6. Whether the City LWDA / EWDD / CRCD WorkSource lane has an independent complaint or custodian path other than directing the matter back to CRCD / Latea Davis;

7. Whether CalVCB Application No. A26-10253806 should be supported through agency-to-agency verification of landlord W-9, ACH, lease, landlord-verification, and rental-assistance payment records instead of requiring direct document collection from an adverse landlord;

8. Which single office or contact should receive future submissions so nonresponsible recipients are not copied.

This is a coordination, preservation, and custodian request. I am asking each agency to state its position, acknowledge the other agency positions, and identify the correct office if it is not your office.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert Haab
1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4
Los Angeles, CA 90002
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
[Quoted text hidden]

Exhibit G

LAHSA Janine Trejo Auto-Reply - Functional Routing Contacts

Attached behind this divider are the source pages for this exhibit.

Automatic reply: CalVCB-A26-10253806-LAPD reports C259045687 / C269013241 / OCI 2025-23289 & 2026-23965 / DOJ PIU #1508015 / DOL FOIA 2026067/PC 1-26-0316-003/ HUD FOIA-26-FI-RO9-01580/complaint form NO 26-001767

1 message

Janine Trejo <jtrejo@lahsa.org> Sat, May 30, 2026 at 12:24 PM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

I am currently out of the office. Please see contact list below and send your request to the appropriate contact.

For overall department support- Janine Lim jlim@lahsa.org

For Finance- Kathy Chen kchen@lahsa.org

For Contracts- Alejandro Gomez Palma agpalma@lahsa.org and for

Funding, Grant and Allocations- Abigail Proff aproff@lahsa.org

Thanks so much!

Exhibit H

BCSH / HCD / CRD State-Level Routing Response

Attached behind this divider are the source pages for this exhibit.

RE: BCSH Contact Form - General Questions

1 message

BCSH Info@BCSH <Info@bcsh.ca.gov> Wed, May 20, 2026 at 12:00 PM
To: Kyle.dietz99@gmail.com <Kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
Cc: BCSH Info@BCSH <Info@bcsh.ca.gov>

Good afternoon,

Following up on your inquiry from 4/24 with Business, Consumer Services, and Housing Agency.

I have followed up with colleagues to see if any assistance can be provided, both with Housing, Community Development and Civil Rights Department. It was advised that it is does not fall within the scope of what HCD can support and CRD advised that this is not in the realm of a civil rights issue.

There were no additional recommendations for how to best follow up, based on the information provided.

Thank you,

Melissa Fialer

Executive Assistant

Business, Consumer Services and Housing Agency

California Interagency Council on Homelessness

Contact Us:

HR Matters: hr@bcsh.ca.gov

Administrative & Business Services & Executive Support Matters: info@bcsh.ca.gov

EEO Matters: eeo@bcsh.ca.gov

It is our mission to provide accurate and timely administrative services through quality customer service.

Confidentiality Note: This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain privileged and confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, please immediately contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

From: no_reply@dca.ca.gov <no_reply@dca.ca.gov>
Sent: Friday, April 24, 2026 7:40 AM
To: BCSH Info@BCSH <Info@bcsh.ca.gov>
Subject: BCSH Contact Form - General Questions

A contact form was submitted for the California Business, Consumer Services and Housing Agency.

State of California
BUSINESS, CONSUMER SERVICES AND HOUSING AGENCY

Hello,

A contact form was submitted with the following details:

Reason For Inquiry

- General Questions

Name

- Kyle David Haab

Email Address

- Kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

Message

- I am requesting routing by the California Business, Consumer Services and Housing Agency because this matter involves publicly connected housing services, homelessness-system records, consumer/public-funds concerns, alleged records/identity misuse, disability-access issues, and continuing harm to family integrity. This is not an ordinary private landlord dispute and it is not only a family-law matter. The housing and records-use chain appears to have affected my family's relocation,
housing stability, access to services, law-enforcement reporting, and later family-law consequences. The matter involves CRCD / Project Tipping Point, Amity Foundation, LAHSA / HMIS, County of Los Angeles, City of Los Angeles, County Fraud / OCI, LAPD, DA
administrative handling, DHCS / Medi-Cal records concerns, and County-funded rental assistance under CFCI-PA3-056-2023. The central issue is consent and lawful authority. My position is that no office has identified the lawful consent, Release of Information,
HMIS authorization, participant authorization, referral authority, or data-sharing basis that allowed CRCD / Project Tipping Point to contact my household while we were in Tennessee, use my information, communicate with landlords, process housing records,
or create records later affecting housing stability and family-law consequences. This matters because CRCD's contact and representations occurred before my family had stable California housing and before the later records and rental-assistance chain developed.
If CRCD cannot identify a lawful basis for the March 2024 contact and later records use, then later housing records, rental assistance, landlord communications, HMIS / participant records, and family-law consequences rest on a disputed foundation. JCOD confirmed
a direct public-funding and County oversight pathway. JCOD confirmed that the Board of Supervisors approved CFCI funding allocations, that JCOD administered CFCI, that Amity Foundation served as third-party administrator, and that Amity had a grant agreement
with CRCD using CFCI funds. JCOD also confirmed that CFCI funds were used for rental assistance issued on my behalf between August 2024 and June 2025, categorized under Program Area 3 / Youth Housing, with contract number CFCI-PA3-056-2023. That establishes
the public-funded housing chain: Board / CFCI → JCOD
→ Amity Foundation
→ CRCD
→ rental assistance issued on my behalf. However, JCOD's confirmation of funding does not identify the lawful consent or authorization basis for the contact
and records-use chain. That is the unresolved gap: public funding and program involvement are confirmed, but no office has identified the lawful authority for the records, contact, referral, rental-assistance, and landlord-communication activity that followed.
LAHSA records also make this more serious. LAHSA records documented a leadership-directed law-enforcement referral describing the matter as "indeed fraud and a form of theft." I understand that is not a criminal conviction or prosecutorial finding. However,
it is also not merely my personal suspicion. Based on that LAHSA-directed instruction, I made LAPD report C259045687. A later LAPD report, C269013241, corrected or expanded the same underlying matter. The matter has since been fragmented across agencies. Related
identifiers include: CFCI-PA3-056-2023; HMIS ID 8F8CD9D8B; LAHSA Ref. 2526KH-A; HMIS tickets 259260, 260627, and 267528; County Fraud / OCI references 2025-23289 and 2026_23965; LAPD reports C259045687 and C269013241;

LAPD tracking 260327900363; DA CPRA #2026-0406-1059; CA Attorney General PIU 1508015; State Auditor complaint I2025-4591; DHCS NEW-2026-01-18-8837; DRE 1-26-0316-003; HHS OCR 651319; DOJ Civil Rights 679521-CMLR; HUD inquiry 860997; family-law case 25CMFL00694; and federal civil case CV26-802-UA. The California
Attorney General acknowledged my housing complaint under PIU 1508015 and forwarded it to the Housing Justice Team. The AG letter also recognized that local disputes can become matters of broad public interest. However, the AG also directed me back to local
government channels, including County Counsel, the Board of Supervisors, city agencies, and local representatives. I followed those routes. The problem is that the local routes have not produced corrective ownership. County, City, LAHSA, County Counsel, LAPD,
DA, and other offices continue to redirect the matter without issuing a final written ownership, correction, preservation, cross-agency linkage, or closure/status determination. There is also a DHCS / Medi-Cal records issue. I submitted a DHCS HIPAA authorization
request through GovQA under Reference No. L109105-040626. The later response was processed under Case No. C-12024866-Q6L7, but was partial. My request sought more than Fee-For-Service claim history. It sought privacy, routing, disclosure, audit trails, disputed
beneficiary-profile entries, eligibility/enrollment linkage, address history, same-case linkage, correction records, managed-care records, case-management records, and Treatment Authorization Request screens. DHCS indicated some categories were referred for
separate processing, but I still do not have a meaningful follow-up contact, unit identification, or tracking path for the remaining HIPAA-authorized records. There is also a disability-access component. My fiancée has an active South Central Los Angeles Regional
Center appeal process regarding her regional-center denial. I also have a pending regional-center intake/application. I receive SSI, have long-term mental-health care history and prior conservatorship history, am now my own payee, and have IHSS Case No. 2724991
and physical-therapy needs. This matter affects a disability-impacted family's housing stability, service access, court participation, and family integrity. There is also a public-integrity and power-imbalance concern. My fiancée's mother, Patty Lopez, is
publicly identified as a current San Fernando City Councilmember and former California State Assemblymember. She is also central to the children's placement, visitation, and practical access issues in the family-law matter. I am not asking BCSH to decide custody
or make any finding against her. I am stating that the overlap between a public official's family role, disputed family-law consequences, County-funded CRCD / CFCI housing activity, unresolved consent questions, and lack of legal access requires neutral routing
and corrective ownership. I am low-income, self-represented, and unable to obtain full counsel for the combined civil, criminal, housing, DHCS/privacy, disability-access, and family-law posture. My First Amended Complaint is currently being screened in federal
case CV26-802-UA before Judge Alka Sagar. I understand that screening is not a merits finding. I am preserving the record because ordinary administrative channels have not corrected the harm. I am not asking BCSH to decide my family-law case, prosecute anyone,
or act as my attorney. I am asking BCSH to route this matter to the appropriate housing, consumer, homelessness-system, public-funds, or state oversight contact, or to identify which office can require a corrective ownership determination.

Specifically, I
am asking for routing or written guidance regarding: 1. publicly funded housing assistance through CFCI / JCOD / Amity / CRCD; 2. HMIS / homelessness-system consent, authorization, and records-use issues; 3. consumer/public-funds concerns involving rental
assistance and housing representations; 4. preservation and correction of disputed housing, HMIS, rental-assistance, and participant records; 5. coordination where local agencies continue to redirect responsibility despite notice; 6. disability-access and
family-integrity harm caused by lack of corrective ownership. At minimum, I request a written routing response identifying the responsible state or housing oversight contact. My family should not remain trapped in a referral loop where every agency has notice,
but no agency identifies who owns consent review, correction, preservation, cross-reference, or final status.

Exhibit I

EWDD WIOA Monitoring Cover Letter

Attached behind this divider are the source pages for this exhibit.

CAROLYN M. HULL
GENERAL MANAGER

CITY OF LOS ANGELES
CALIFORNIA

KAREN BASS
MAYOR

ECONOMIC AND WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT
444 S. FLOWER STREET
LOS ANGELES, CA 90071

January 14, 2026

Philip Starr, Psy. D.
Executive Director
Managed Career Solutions SPC
3333 Wilshire Blvd. #405
Los Angeles, CA 90010

SUBJECT: **PY 2024-25 1ST QUARTER QUALITY OF SERVICE MONITORING SITE VISIT REPORT WIOA CONTRACT NUMBER: 145614 – BOYLE HEIGHTS / EAST LOS ANGELES WORKSOURCE CENTER**

Dear Mr. Starr:

The purpose of this letter is to transmit the results of the 1st Quarter Quality of Service monitoring site visit report of your Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA) funded program. The Quality of Service portion of the 1st Quarter Monitor Site includes information captured during the 2024 America's Job Centers of California (AJCC) Certification Site Visits conducted by EWDD staff on October 7, 2024. Results pertaining to the 2024 AJCC Certification process have been submitted to the State Employment Development Department (EDD) and each AJCC/WSC will be required to address any/all Continuous Improvement Plans (CIP) raised during the certification process and this report.

It is your responsibility to ensure that all systems, programs, and outcomes comply with the applicable Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA) laws and regulations, and Federal, State, and City policies. Therefore, any deficiencies identified in a subsequent review, such as an audit, would remain your sole responsibility.

We would like to thank you and your staff for your courtesy and cooperation during the site visit. If you have any questions regarding the report or require technical assistance, please contact your assigned Workforce Development Analyst, Tatiana Eulian-Lopes at Tatiana.Eulian-Lopes@lacity.org or at (213) 744-9050, TTY: 711.

Sincerely,

DONNY BROOKS, Director
Workforce Development System

DB:SM:TE-L
Attachment: 1st Quarter Monitoring Site Visit Report
cc: Jessica, Espinoza

This WIOA Title I financially assisted program or activity is an equal opportunity employer/program.
Auxiliary aids and services are available upon request to individuals with disabilities.

Exhibit J

Not Merely Civil / Agency-Position Reconciliation Packet

Attached behind this divider are the source pages for this exhibit.

Not Merely Civil: Agency-Position Reconciliation Packet

Purpose: oversight coordination, records preservation, custodian identification, and agency-position reconciliation.

Prepared by: Kyle Robert Haab | 1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4, Los Angeles, CA 90002 | 657-557-4459 | kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

Date: May 30, 2026

1. If it is not simply civil, what is it?

It is best described as a **multi-lane public-records, public-funds, records-integrity, and agency-oversight matter with civil consequences**. It may also contain a **law-enforcement referral issue** if agency-held records show identity misuse, falsified records, false payment documentation, or unauthorized use of personal/household information. Calling it "civil" does not answer the unresolved custodian and source-record questions.

Civil is a remedy lane, not a complete disposition. A private civil case may address damages, eviction, lease rights, or court relief. But it does not identify the public-record custodian, HMIS/ROI source record, CFCI payment authority, Amity/CRCD monitoring record, City LWDA grievance path, or County Fraud / OCI referral disposition.

Lane	Why this is more than a private civil dispute
Administrative / oversight	Multiple agencies have issued jurisdictional narrowing responses, but no office has issued a merged source-record, no-record, correction, referral, or final-disposition determination.
Public funds / contract monitoring	JCOD confirmed the CFCI / Amity / CRCD rental-assistance lane and continues to review payment, approval, authorization, and monitoring records under CFCI-PA3-056-2023.
Records-integrity / consent	The disputed issue is whether a 2023 CRCD / Ruth's Place / HMIS contact authorized later 2024-2026 Project Tipping Point, Amity/CFCI, landlord/payment, education/workforce, and child/family-status record use.
Privacy / data-sharing	The chain includes HMIS/LAHSA, education/workforce records, participant records, source authorization, access/disclosure logs, and child/family-status information.
Law-enforcement referral	LAPD Commercial Crimes' reopening standard depends on supplemental information from LAHSA or other County/City agencies; LASD and LADA/DRE responses narrow jurisdiction but do not resolve the source-record chain.
Civil remedies	Civil remedies may still exist, but a civil label does not identify the public custodian, public-funding record, or corrective owner.

2. Core response to "this is civil"

Calling this civil is not a disposition unless the agency also identifies the civil custodian, remedy path, or corrective owner. BCSH/HCD/CRD did not provide a corrective state housing or civil-rights route. DRE and LADA Real Estate Fraud narrowed their own jurisdiction. LASD directed the law-enforcement path back toward the police agency for the location. JCOD, LAHSA, City EWDD, Auditor-Controller/OCI, and LAPD still hold pieces of the source-record and routing chain.

The requested action is not a merits ruling. The requested action is a written agency-position reconciliation: who has records, who lacks records, who owns the source authorization question, who owns payment/monitoring records, and who must refer any agency-held supplemental evidence to the correct office.

3. Objective of this packet

- Force each agency to state its jurisdictional position in writing.
- Force agencies to acknowledge the other agencies' positions instead of treating each response in isolation.
- Identify the one office or coordinated path responsible for source-record custody, payment authority, preservation, correction, and referral.
- Preserve the record for CalVCB, LAPD reopening review, County Fraud / OCI, JCOD/CFCI, LAHSA/HMIS, City LWDA/EWDD, and court-related use.

4. Requested written determination

- Whether the recipient accepts any jurisdiction, records custody, oversight, complaint-routing, preservation, or referral responsibility.
- If jurisdiction is denied, which office is the correct custodian or oversight authority.
- Whether prior responses are jurisdictional closures only, not merits findings.
- Whether any office has custody of the alleged 2023 CRCD / Ruth’s Place / HMIS source authorization, or can state that no such record exists.
- Whether any County/City/LAHSA/JCOD records should be referred to LAPD Commercial Crimes under the stated reopening standard.
- Which single contact should receive future submissions so nonresponsible recipients are not copied.

Exhibit Index

Exhibit	Document	Use
A	Ultimate CRCD/PTP Record-Chain Packet, front matter pages	Control statement: this is a record-chain problem, not unrelated complaints.
B	County DEO / City LWDA excerpts from Volume 1	Shows County DEO placed CRCD / Vernon-Central-LATTC WorkSource under City of Los Angeles LWDA.
C	JCOD funding confirmation and DHCS/JCOD routing thread excerpts	Shows CFCI / Amity / CRCD funding chain, DHCS handoff to JCOD, and clarified JCOD records request.
D	JCOD PRA response dated May 27, 2026	Shows JCOD still reviewing voluminous records on payment, authorization, and monitoring.
E	LAHSA Nexus Packet front pages	Frames LAHSA/HMIS as source-record/reliance-chain issue, not only funding.
F	DHCS incident / Amity-Latea response thread	Preserves DHCS NEW-2026-01-18-8837 as records-integrity cross-reference and Amity/CRCD routing issue.
G	LASD Outside Agency Information and SIB public inquiry thread	Shows LASD narrowed investigative role and left routing with local police/handling unit/reference.
H	BCSH / HCD / CRD routing response	Shows state housing/civil-rights umbrella route was attempted and no additional route was provided.
I	LADA Public Integrity screening email	Shows public-agency handling/routing/preservation issue was submitted as Public Integrity screening.
J	CalVCB / JCOD W-9 custodian-path request	Shows verification problem: payment records should be obtained through agency/custodian path, not adverse landlord.

Source-control note: original exhibit pages control if any summary conflicts with an original record.

Exhibit A

Ultimate CRCD/PTP Record-Chain Packet - front matter. Shows the control statement, the issue map, and why this is framed as a record-chain problem rather than unrelated complaints.

Ultimate CRCD / Project Tipping Point Record-Chain Packet

Media audit, public-agency routing, source-record custody, and family-stability nexus

Prepared for: controlled reporter intake, legal review, agency routing, and records-custody escalation

Prepared by: Kyle Robert Haab | 1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4, Los Angeles, CA 90002 | 657-557-4459 | kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

Date: May 30, 2026

Primary identifiers: CRCD / Project Tipping Point ID 1233369910; CFCI-PA3-056-2023; HMIS ID 8F8CD9D8B; LAHSA Ref. 2526KH-A; LAHD SO311576; LADBS A-6604739 / Case 1053480; LAPD C259045687 and C269013241; County Fraud / OCI 2025-23289 and 2026_23965; LACCD 25-2166 / 25-2167; FERPA/SPPO 26-0253; family-law case 25CMFL00694; unlawful-detainer case 26CMUD00417.

Handling warning: This packet contains sensitive personal, housing, family-status, education, public-benefits, law-enforcement, court, landlord, and contact information. It is for controlled intake and review. It should not be posted publicly without redaction and consent review. Do not publish taxpayer numbers, account/routing information, child-identifying details, juvenile-court records, personal phone numbers, or protected private information.

1. Control Statement

This is not a collection of unrelated complaints. The control issue is a documented record-chain problem involving CRCD / Project Tipping Point, a City workforce/AJCC/LATTC lane, County CFCI/Amity rental-assistance records, LAHSA/HMIS source-record questions, landlord-payment records, housing-code enforcement, law-enforcement/County Fraud routing, and family-stability consequences.

The requested review is not for a reporter, court, or intake officer to declare criminal or civil liability from this packet alone. The requested review is narrower: identify who authorized the placement, who paid or approved the rental assistance, who verified the unit, who had custody of the consent/source records, and why no agency has produced a clean source-record, custodian, consent-scope, unit-vetting, payment-authority, or final-disposition trail.

> **Core public-interest question:** Did a public-workforce-connected nonprofit and related funding/records systems use education-linked eligibility, household/family-status information, alleged prior HMIS/Ruth's Place authorization, landlord-payment documents, and rental-assistance records to support a California housing placement before the source authorization, eligibility, records custody, unit vetting, and payment authority were cleanly established?

2. What Makes This Different From Most Complaints

Difference	Why it matters
Not one bad service experience	The issue is not merely dissatisfaction with a nonprofit, landlord, school, agency, or court. The same record chain appears across several public or publicly connected systems.
Not newly created	The issues were preserved in 2025: LAPD delivery, County Fraud/OCI references, LAHSA/County routing, CRCD/PTP, WIOA/workforce, Amity, housing-code, and family/custody impact were already being raised before the later court and HUD filings.
Public workforce lane exists	CRCD is not only a private nonprofit. The record includes a City workforce/AJCC/LATTC lane, with CRCD operating Vernon-Central/LATTC WorkSource-related programming and EWDD/City records requests concerning the relevant property and administrative records.
Child/family-status data entered the chain	PTP records and later landlord communications include child/family-status language and education/disability-related data before or during the relocation and placement chain.
Housing was not cleanly private	CRCD staff communicated with a known landlord, discussed the program/student payment split, and requested lease/W-9/landlord-verification/ACH-type records; the unit later became tied to LAHD/LADBS unapproved-use and certificate-of-occupancy issues.
No single custodian has resolved it	Agencies have responded in fragments: funding jurisdiction, technical HMIS support, grievance timing, PRA no-record/exemption positions, or referrals. None of those fragments answers the source-record question.

3. The Cleanest One-Sentence Framing

> This is different because records show I raised the CRCD/PTP housing, WIOA/workforce, Amity, LAHSA, LAPD, County Fraud, and child-custody impact issues in 2025; later records did not create the issue, they confirmed that multiple agencies had pieces of the same chain while no single custodian produced the source authorization, payment authority, unit-vetting record, or final disposition.

4. Timeline Spine

Date	Record-chain significance
March 18-19, 2024	Preserved CRCD/PTP contact overlaps active Tennessee family/child-welfare context; alleged prior 2023 authority becomes material.
June 7, 2024	PTP intake preserved with PTP ID 1233369910; stable housing, children/family-status, IEP/education-related data, and IP workflow questions.
June 13, 2024	Amity-linked paperwork/IDP date and custody chain disputed; potential bridge between PTP intake, Amity/CFCI, and later rental assistance.
June 20, 2024	LATTC/LACCD noncredit application preserved with same-IP issue and education/workforce access questions.
July 29, 2024	CRCD rental assistance staff contacted landlord about a couple with a young kid and discussed program/student rent payment structure.
August 2, 2024	Lease, W-9, landlord-verification, and ACH/payment-document chain requested in connection with the placement.
September 29, 2025	CRCD stated PTP enrollment/inactive status, listed supportive services/rental assistance, and described PTP support as private foundations.
November 2025	Multi-agency notices preserved LAPD, County Fraud, LAHSA, WIOA/workforce, Amity, housing-code, and child-custody impact issues.
December 2025 - May 2026	EWDD/City records, LAHSA/HMIS, HUD AAQ, LADA PRA, JCOD/CFCI, and court filings refined the same records-custody issue.

5. Oversight Lanes Map

Lane	Record question
City LWDA / EWDD / AJCC / WorkSource	CRCD / Vernon-Central/LATTC WorkSource / education-workforce and records-custody lane. This is not County DEO workforce jurisdiction.
LACCD / LATTC / FERPA / SPPO	Education-record access, enrollment-status verification, OpenCCC/LATTC metadata, navigator/screen-access, correction/amendment path.
JCOD / Amity / CFCI / CRCD	CFCI-PA3-056-2023 rental-assistance accounting, payment authority, approval basis, monitoring, and custodian path.
LAHSA / HMIS / Ruth's Place / CRCD	Alleged 2023 source authorization, HMIS ID 8F8CD9D8B, ROI/consent, audit/access logs, disclosure history, correction/dispute notation.
LAHD / LADBS	Unapproved-use, Certificate of Occupancy, RSO/unapproved unit, habitability and relocation implications.
LAPD / County Fraud / OCI / CEOP	Identity/document irregularity routing, law-enforcement/County Fraud references, complaint handling, closure/routing clarity.
LADA / Consumer Protection / Real Estate Fraud	PRA and complaint-track clarity; whether records exist showing receipt, routing, disposition, or no responsive non-exempt records.
Family law / unlawful detainer	Practical downstream impact: housing stability, parent-child contact, visitation logistics, court participation, and whether instability is treated as voluntary.

6. The Source-Record Question

The repeated question is not whether one agency can describe its own narrow jurisdiction. The question is what exact consent, authorization, ROI, HMIS-Clarity release, Ruth's Place source record, participant opt-in, education-record release, landlord/payment authorization, privacy notice, or later agreement allowed CRCD / Project Tipping Point, Amity / CFCI, LAHSA/HMIS, LACCD/LATTC, landlord/payment records, and child/family-status information to be used, shared, reported, transmitted, or relied upon after 2023.

If the source authorization exists and covers the later activity, the responsible custodian should be able to identify the record, date, signer/acknowledger, scope, covered programs, expiration/revocation terms, metadata, downstream reliance, and correction path. If it does not exist, is limited, expired, program-specific, or does not cover the later use, the downstream records require review.

7. Reporter / Reviewer Questions

• Who authorized the July-August 2024 housing placement and rent-support chain?

• Who verified the unit before CRCD connected the participant to the landlord?

• Who held the original consent, ROI, HMIS, participant, education, or landlord/payment authorization?

• Was Project Tipping Point treated as private-foundation funded, CFCI/Amity/County funded, or both, and how were payments coded?

• Why did the record include children/family-status and education/IEP-related data before or during relocation and placement?

• Did the alleged 2023 Ruth's Place/HMIS contact authorize later 2024-2026 PTP, Amity/CFCI, LACCD/LATTC, landlord/payment, or child/family-status use?

• Which agency has authority to issue a written no-record, custodian, or source-scope determination when technical support, grievance, PRA, funding, and enforcement channels each answer only part of the problem?

8. Evidence Status Rule

Document-confirmed means an attached exhibit supports the statement. **Record-preserved** means a document, email, screenshot, or agency response preserves the issue but may require custodian authentication. **Audit issue** means a conflict, missing record, metadata question, or jurisdiction gap requiring agency confirmation. **User-reported** means context from Kyle requiring source production before formal findings.

9. Non-Merits Boundary

This packet does not ask any reviewer to decide family-law merits, unlawful-detainer merits, criminal liability, civil damages, attorney discipline, FERPA liability, HMIS liability, CFCI compliance, or agency misconduct from the packet alone. It asks whether the record chain warrants controlled investigation, custodian identification, preservation, and a clean disposition trail.

10. Exhibit Index

Exhibit	Document	Use
A	CRCD/PTP Media Audit Packet With Exhibits	Baseline media audit packet: timeline, contradictions, PTP/LATTC same-IP issue, landlord-placement email chain, CRCD enrollment/funding response, JCOD/CFCI pending records, LADBS order, CRCD financial/WorkSource excerpts, CRCD stakeholder statement.
B	CWDB / City LWDA / EWDD Workforce Exhibit Packet	City workforce/AJCC/LATTC and LWDA jurisdiction lane. Included because the education/workforce lane is not floating; it has a public workforce oversight path.
C	HUD AAQ 260629 / LAHSA-HMIS Combined Follow-Up Packet	HUD-to-LAHSA local HMIS loop, LAHSA grievance/HMIS support route, source-record/custodian/audit-trail issue, and alleged 2023 Ruth's Place/HMIS authorization question.
D	City / EWDD CPRA 25-18989 and 25-18879 Materials	City administrative record request lane concerning 1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4 and financial/administrative records for housing assistance, rental assistance, reimbursements, drawdowns, expenditure reports, and landlord payments.
E	November 2025 Early Preservation and Multi-Agency Notices	Shows this was already preserved as a multi-agency housing, WIOA/workforce, Amity, LAHSA, LAPD/County Fraud, and child-custody impact issue in 2025.
F	LADA PRA / Real Estate Fraud / Consumer Protection Routing Documents	Public-agency routing opacity: PRA response, no non-exempt records to produce, 2026-C-0704 real-estate review, and Consumer Protection complaint-track clarification issue.
G	Education-Source and CalVCB/JCOD Custodian-Path Emails	Education-record access/source authorization demand and CalVCB/JCOD request to identify the landlord W-9/payment-record custodian path rather than forcing direct requests to an adverse landlord.

Source-control rule: original PDFs, emails, screenshots, agency letters, court records, and custodian responses control if any front-matter summary conflicts with an original exhibit page.

Exhibit B

County DEO / City LWDA excerpts. Shows the County DEO position that CRCD / Vernon-Central-LATTC WorkSource falls under the City of Los Angeles LWDA, not County LWDA, and the unresolved City/EWDD custodian route.

Thank you for your email. Please note that the Coalition for Responsible Community Development (CRCD) operated Vernon-Central/LATTC WorkSource Center is not under the Los Angeles County jurisdiction; it falls under the City of Los Angeles.

If you have any questions, please contact us at Compliance@opportunity.lacounty.gov.

Best regards,

department of economic opportunity
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

Regulatory Compliance Division

Department of Economic Opportunity
County of Los Angeles
510 S. Vermont Avenue,
11th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90020
Compliance@opportunity.lacounty.gov

From: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 3, 2026 6:04 PM
To: Contract Compliance <Compliance@opportunity.lacounty.gov>
Subject: Formal WIOA / AJCC / Workforce Development Compliance Complaint Regarding CRCD / Project Tipping Point

CAUTION: External Email. Proceed Responsibly. [Quoted text hidden]

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email message, including any attachments, from the Department of Economic Opportunity is intended for the official and confidential use of the recipient to whom it is addressed. It contains information that may be confidential, privileged, or otherwise exempted from disclosure under applicable law. If you have received this message in error, be advised that any review, disclosure, use, dissemination, distribution, or reproduction of this message or its contents is strictly prohibited. Please notify us immediately by a reply e-mail that you have received this message in error, and destroy this message, including any attachments.

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Tue, May 5, 2026 at 3:40 PM
To: Contract Compliance <Compliance@opportunity.lacounty.gov>

To: Compliance@opportunity.lacounty.gov

Hello,

Thank you for speaking with me by phone.

Per the call, I am providing the identifiers needed so County DEO can alert or route this to the appropriate Workforce Development contact for response or jurisdiction clarification.

This relates to my prior WIOA / AJCC / Workforce Development compliance complaint regarding CRCD / Project Tipping Point / Vernon-Central-LATTC WorkSource. County DEO previously responded that the CRCD-operated Vernon-Central/LATTC WorkSource Center is not under Los Angeles County jurisdiction and falls under the City of Los Angeles. I am requesting clarification and routing for the Workforce Development side.

Key identifiers:

- Complainant: Kyle David Haab
- Phone: 657-557-4459
- Email: kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
- Address involved: 1551 3/4 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4, Los Angeles, CA 90002
- Organization: Coalition for Responsible Community Development / CRCD
- Program: Project Tipping Point
- WorkSource / AJCC: Vernon-Central/LATTC WorkSource Center

Contract Compliance <Compliance@opportunity.lacounty.gov> Thu, May 7, 2026 at 1:56 PM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Good afternoon,

To confirm: Please note that the Coalition for Responsible Community Development (CRCD) is not under the jurisdiction of Los Angeles County Local Workforce Development Area (LWDA); it falls under the City of Los Angeles LWDA.

department of economic opportunity
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

Regulatory Compliance Division

Department of Economic Opportunity
County of Los Angeles
510 S. Vermont Avenue,
11th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90020
Compliance@opportunity.lacounty.gov

From: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 6, 2026 6:48 AM
To: Contract Compliance <Compliance@opportunity.lacounty.gov>
Cc: ldavis@coalitionrcd.org <ldavis@coalitionrcd.org>; Jose Guadron <jguadron@coalitionrcd.org>; Chris Morris <chris@harrishayden.com>; Herbert Hayden <herbert@harrishayden.com>; kidan@harrishayden.com <kidan@harrishayden.com>; john@harrishayden.com <john@harrishayden.com>; Kestrada-darely@coalitionrcd.org <Kestrada-darely@coalitionrcd.org>; Avi Bernard <ABernard@jcod.lacounty.gov>
Subject: Re: Formal WIOA / AJCC / Workforce Development Compliance Complaint Regarding CRCD / Project Tipping Point

CAUTION: External Email. Proceed Responsibly.

Good afternoon,

To confirm: Please note that the Coalition for Responsible Community Development (CRCD)/ Project Tipping Point. is not under the Los Angeles County jurisdiction; it falls under the City of Los Angeles

[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Thu, May 7, 2026 at 11:24 PM
To: Contract Compliance <Compliance@opportunity.lacounty.gov>

Hello DEO Compliance,

Thank you for confirming that CRCD / the Vernon-Central-LATTC WorkSource Center is not under the Los Angeles County LWDA and falls under the City of Los Angeles LWDA.

I am requesting immediate written routing assistance because this matter is urgent and involves sensitive personal information, child-related information, housing stability, family-integrity issues, and active court-related records involving my children.

My concern is that the matter is now caught in a routing loop. County DEO has identified City LWDA as the workforce jurisdiction, while City/EWDD public-records responses have repeatedly directed me back to Latea Davis at CRCD. That does not resolve the issue because CRCD is the entity whose records, consent authority, complaint process, stakeholder statement, and Project Tipping Point conduct are disputed.

Call Confirmation re DEO Compliance / City LWDA Routing – CRCD / Project Tipping Point

2 messages

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Wed, May 13, 2026 at 1:17 PM
To: Contract Compliance <compliance@opportunity.lacounty.gov>
Cc: ldavis@coalitionrcd.org, luis.preciado@lacity.org, richard.cheng@lacity.org, jguadron@coalitionrcd.org

Hello,

I am confirming my phone call today with DEO Compliance / Department of Economic Opportunity regarding my CRCD / Project Tipping Point / Vernon-Central-LATTC WorkSource routing issue.

During the call, I explained that County DEO previously identified the CRCD-operated Vernon-Central/LATTC WorkSource Center as outside Los Angeles County LWDA jurisdiction and under the City of Los Angeles LWDA. My concern remains that City/EWDD responses have directed me back to CRCD / Latea Davis, while CRCD is the entity whose records, consent authority, complaint process, and Project Tipping Point conduct are disputed.

My understanding from today's call is that DEO Compliance / Opportunities has my phone number and I should expect an update shortly.

Please confirm in writing:

1. Whether DEO has forwarded or will forward my complaint to City of Los Angeles LWDA / EWDD compliance;
2. The specific City contact, unit, email address, or reference number for follow-up;
3. Whether DEO has any remaining County-side routing notes, jurisdiction notes, referral records, or communications involving CRCD / Project Tipping Point / Amity / JCOD / CFCI-PA3-056-2023 / County Fraud 2025-23289 or 2026-23965;
4. Whether DEO is taking no position on the separate County CFCI / Amity / JCOD lane.

I am not asking County DEO to decide the full matter. I am asking for written routing clarification so the workforce/AJCC/City LWDA lane is not left unresolved.

Respectfully,

Kyle David Haab
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

Contract Compliance <Compliance@opportunity.lacounty.gov> Wed, May 13, 2026 at 4:56 PM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Good afternoon, Mr. Haab,

Based on our conversation today at 4:16 p.m., you have the contact information for CRCD, and you can find the City of Los Angeles Economic & Workforce Development Department (EWDD) Equal Opportunity Compliance Officer's information at this link: Contact the EWDD.

Thank you,

department of economic opportunity
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

Regulatory Compliance Division

Department of Economic Opportunity
County of Los Angeles
510 S. Vermont Avenue,
11th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90020

Compliance@opportunity.lacounty.gov

From: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 13, 2026 1:17 PM
To: Contract Compliance <Compliance@opportunity.lacounty.gov>
Cc: ldavis@coalitionrcd.org <ldavis@coalitionrcd.org>; luis.preciado@lacity.org <luis.preciado@lacity.org>; richard.cheng@lacity.org <richard.cheng@lacity.org>; Jose Guadron <jguadron@coalitionrcd.org>
Subject: Call Confirmation re DEO Compliance / City LWDA Routing – CRCD / Project Tipping Point

CAUTION: External Email. Proceed Responsibly. [Quoted text hidden]

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email message, including any attachments, from the Department of Economic Opportunity is intended for the official and confidential use of the recipient to whom it is addressed. It contains information that may be confidential, privileged, or otherwise exempted from disclosure under applicable law. If you have received this message in error, be advised that any review, disclosure, use, dissemination, distribution, or reproduction of this message or its contents is strictly prohibited. Please notify us immediately by a reply e-mail that you have received this message in error, and destroy this message, including any attachments.

Exhibit C

JCOD funding confirmation and DHCS-to-JCOD routing excerpts. Shows Board/CFCI/JCOD/Amity/CRCD chain, DHCS jurisdictional handoff, and clarified document categories for source authorization, payments, and monitoring.

FW: PRA Request response Draft

23 messages

JCOD PIO <PIO@jcod.lacounty.gov> Fri, Mar 13, 2026 at 5:10 PM
To: kyle.dietz99@gmail.com <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Dear Mr. Haab,

JCOD staff have broken down your inquiry into questions, which have been answered below in purple. I have also attached all pertinent documents, including your PRA Request response letter.

*****

Relationship between LA County Board of Supervisors, CRCD, Amity Foundation, JCOD and CFCI:
The LA County Board of Supervisors approves funding allocations for CFCI to County departments and community organizations through a third-party administrator. LA County JCOD administers CFCI. Grant funds through CFCI are administered by JCOD via a third-party administrator, Amity Foundation. Amity Foundation has a grant agreement with CRCD using CFCI funds. Terms of the contract are March 1, 2023-June 30, 2027.

1. Were Care First Community Investment (CFCI) funds approved by the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors were used for rental assistance issued on my behalf between August 2024 and June 2025?
    1. CRCD representatives have provided differing statements regarding the source of these funds, including references to:
        i. Project Tipping Point being supported through private foundations
        ii. Amity Foundation serving as a third-party administrator of CFCI funds funded by the Board of Supervisors
        iii. CRCD directly paying 100% of rent

        CFCI funds were used for rental assistance between August 2024 and June 2025.

2. Were any CFCI funds were allocated to CRCD or Amity Foundation for rental assistance during the relevant period?

    CRCD's grant agreement with Amity Foundation includes rental assistance. CRCD used funds for 9 months of rental assistance at $1,000 per month consistent with the approved grant.

3. Was Kyle Dietz's rental assistance categorized under CFCI Housing Stability or any other CFCI allocation?

Kyle Dietz's rental assistance through CRCD was categorized under Program Area 3, Youth Housing. This was part of the CFCI Year 1 Spending Plan.

4. Was JCOD (administering department) or Amity (third-party administrator) responsible for the oversight of those rental assistance funds?

Amity Foundation oversaw the administration of rental assistance funds through CRCD.

5. The associated grant, contract, or allocation reference number, if applicable.
    1. Please request the grant, contract, or allocation reference number, if applicable, from Amity

Amity Foundation's contract with CRCD
Contract Number: CFCI - PA3 - 056 - 2023

Best,

Avi Bernard

Public Information Officer

Justice Care and Opportunities Department
abernard@jcod.lacounty.gov

jcod.lacounty.gov

LOS ANGELES COUNTY JUSTICE CARE AND OPPORTUNITIES DEPARTMENT

6 attachments

- **1A2 - SOW - Coalition for Responsible Community Development.pdf**
  65 KB
- **Agreement FE- CFCI - PA3 - 056 - 2023 - CRCD (1).pdf**
  1 MB
- **Coalition for Responsible Community Development (1).pdf**
  65 KB
- **CoalitionforResponsibleCommunityDevelopment_PA3_Y3Q4 (1).xlsx**
  44 KB
- **CRCD Partner and Stakeholder Statement.pdf**
  92 KB
- **PRA Request Response - Kyle Haab_3-13-26.pdf**
  192 KB

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Fri, Mar 13, 2026 at 6:24 PM
To: Gutierrez, Margarita (Schiff) <Margarita_Gutierrez@schiff.senate.gov>, Choi, Chin Woo <ChinWoo.Choi@hud.gov>, Clarke, Adriane J <Adriane.J.Clarke@hud.gov>, cpdgdas@hud.gov, AC-CONSTITUENT <constituent@auditor.lacounty.gov>
Cc: Sanford, Ahliyah <asanford@bos.lacounty.gov>, Escobedo, Marina <MEscobedo@bos.lacounty.gov>, Noyola, Claudia <CNoyola@bos.lacounty.gov>, Hills, LaJuannah <lhills@bos.lacounty.gov>, complaints@doj.ca.gov, Caitlin.Noble@doj.ca.gov, Joseph.Zimring@doj.ca.gov, HollyJMitchell@bos.lacounty.gov, ceop@bos.lacounty.gov, Alyssa Skolnick <askolnick@counsel.lacounty.gov>, RSeeley@amityfdn.org, Joann Sanchez <jsanchez@amityfdn.org>, Christopher Williams <cwilliams@lahsa.org>

[Quoted text hidden]

7 attachments

- **img-f693338b-a77f-429b-84cc-b58de03f480f**
  33 KB
- **1A2 - SOW - Coalition for Responsible Community Development.pdf**
  65 KB
- **Agreement FE- CFCI - PA3 - 056 - 2023 - CRCD (1).pdf**
  1 MB
- **Coalition for Responsible Community Development (1).pdf**
  65 KB
- **CoalitionforResponsibleCommunityDevelopment_PA3_Y3Q4 (1).xlsx**
  44 KB
- **CRCD Partner and Stakeholder Statement.pdf**
  92 KB
- **PRA Request Response - Kyle Haab_3-13-26.pdf**
  192 KB

Stanley White | Assistant Deputy Director
Audits & Investigations
California Department of Health Care Services
(916) 750-3779

From: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2026 1:13 PM
To: White, Stanley@DHCS <Stanley.White@dhcs.ca.gov>
Subject: Re: [External]Re: FW: PRA Request response Draft

[Quoted text hidden]

White, Stanley@DHCS <Stanley.White@dhcs.ca.gov> Tue, May 12, 2026 at 2:36 PM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Hi Kyle,

Thank you for speaking with me earlier today regarding your concerns related to the housing subsidy grant. After reviewing the materials you provided and discussing the matter with my team, I want to reiterate that your complaint falls outside the jurisdiction of the Department of Health Care Services (DHCS). As we discussed by telephone, the Los Angeles County Justice, Care and Opportunities Department (JCOD) is the appropriate county authority over the Care First Community Investment (CFCI) program and any issues involving the grant or the third-party administrator, Amity Foundation. Based on the batch of emails you shared, you have already been in contact with JCOD regarding this matter. To ensure accuracy, my team reached out to Avi Bernard at JCOD (abernard@jcod.lacounty.gov). Avi confirmed that JCOD is actively processing your Public Records Act (PRA) request and that he is the correct point of contact for any additional questions, outstanding issues, or updates you may need. Because this matter concerns activities and decisions under JCOD's authority and not DHCS; DHCS will consider this matter closed from our end. Thank you.

Stanley White | Assistant Deputy Director
Audits & Investigations
California Department of Health Care Services
(916) 750-3779

From: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2026 1:13 PM
To: White, Stanley@DHCS <Stanley.White@dhcs.ca.gov>
Subject: Re: [External]Re: FW: PRA Request response Draft

[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Wed, May 13, 2026 at 9:54 AM
To: White, Stanley@DHCS <Stanley.White@dhcs.ca.gov>, JCOD PIO <pio@jcod.lacounty.gov>, abernard@jcod.lacounty.gov, Angelica.Rey@dhcs.ca.gov, Joann Sanchez <jsanchez@amityfdn.org>
Cc: Benjamin Wu <Bwu@lafla.org>, Nataly Estrada <nestrada@lafla.org>, Cassandra Buhler <cbuhler@lafla.org>, Crystal Izarraraz <izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com>, Sanford, Ahliyah <asanford@bos.lacounty.gov>

Dear Mr. Bernard,

I am requesting JCOD coordination and written routing clarification regarding CFCI-PA3-056-2023, the rental-assistance records, and the related court and agency deadlines now affected by the unresolved records issue.

I understand that JCOD is processing my pending PRA request regarding the rental assistance JCOD previously identified as tied to CFCI funding. I also understand that JCOD's April 27, 2026 response states that JCOD is continuing its search and review of potentially responsive records and anticipates an update or response on or before May 27, 2026.

I am asking that JCOD treat this as more than a payment-ledger issue. The CFCI-PA3-056-2023 rental-assistance records affect my current living situation, court participation, family-law posture, and related administrative proceedings.

The unresolved issue is:

What consent, authorization, ROI, release, intake record, participant agreement, source record, or other authority supported the use, sharing, reporting, payment processing, monitoring, and reliance on my information within the CFCI / Amity / CRCD rental-assistance chain?

This matters because JCOD is answering for the CFCI-PA3-056-2023 rental-assistance lane, but the same records overlap with several active matters:

1. Unlawful detainer case 26CMUD00417, with a pending June 9, 2026 jury trial;
2. Family-law case 25CMFL00694, with a pending June 11, 2026 custody/visitation hearing;
3. Federal matter CV26-802-UA;
4. SHN-105293108, where DSS stated the matter was assigned to "County" but did not identify the County department or representative;
5. County Fraud / OCI references 2025-23289 and 2026-23965;
6. LAPD reports C259045687 and C269013241, with unresolved coordination questions involving Captain Francis Boateng;
7. DOL OIG records/FOIA response involving the same identifiers;
8. DRE investigation assigned to Kelly Anderson regarding the landlord/property documentation lane;
9. Pending Regional Center service-access appointment involving my fiancée, Crystal Izarraraz, whose stability and documentation needs affect our family-functioning and court participation.

For the unlawful-detainer case only, I am represented by Legal Aid Foundation of Los Angeles. My attorney is Bwu@lafla.org, the paralegal assistant is nestrada@lafla.org, and the LAFLA case worker is cbuhler@lafla.org. I do not have representation in the family-law case.

I am not asking JCOD to decide family law, unlawful detainer, criminal liability, disability services, attorney discipline, or real-estate enforcement. I am asking JCOD to coordinate the records lane that JCOD controls or administers through CFCI-PA3-056-2023.

Please confirm:

1. Whether JCOD's pending PRA review includes the approval basis and authorization record for each rental-assistance payment;
2. Whether JCOD's review includes participant consent, ROI, release, intake, privacy, or source records relied upon for CFCI-PA3-056-2023 reporting and payment processing;
3. Whether JCOD's review includes Amity Foundation records showing participant reporting, payment approval, reimbursement, invoice, oversight, monitoring, or compliance activity;
4. Whether JCOD's review includes CRCD records involving my lease, landlord verification, W-9, ACH, payment records, housing-support documents, or rent ledgers;
5. Whether JCOD's review includes records showing whether Amity or CRCD relied on an alleged 2023 Ruth's Place / HMIS-Clarity consent or ROI;
6. Whether JCOD will coordinate directly with LAHSA regarding the separate HMIS / Ruth's Place / consent-source issue;
7. Whether JCOD will coordinate directly with County Fraud / OCI regarding 2025-23289 and 2026-23965;

From: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
Date: Thursday, May 21, 2026 at 2:49 PM
To: White, Stanley@DHCS <Stanley.White@dhcs.ca.gov>; JCOD PIO <PIO@jcod.lacounty.gov>; Avi Bernard <ABernard@jcod.lacounty.gov>; Angelica.Rey@dhcs.ca.gov <Angelica.Rey@dhcs.ca.gov>; Joann Sanchez <jsanchez@amityfdn.org>; LGAinquries@dhcs.ca.gov <LGAinquries@dhcs.ca.gov>
Cc: Benjamin Wu <Bwu@lafla.org>; Nataly Estrada <nestrada@lafla.org>; Cassandra Buhler <cbuhler@lafla.org>; Crystal Izarraraz <izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com>; Melinda.Castro@dhcs.ca.gov <Melinda.Castro@dhcs.ca.gov>; Melissa.Bacon@dhcs.ca.gov <Melissa.Bacon@dhcs.ca.gov>; Michelle.Touhey@dhcs.ca.gov <Michelle.Touhey@dhcs.ca.gov>; Jami.Cantore@doj.ca.gov <Jami.Cantore@doj.ca.gov>; Alma.Pantoja@doj.ca.gov <Alma.Pantoja@doj.ca.gov>
Subject: Re: [External]Re: FW: PRA Request response Draft

CAUTION: External Email. Proceed Responsibly. [Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Thu, May 21, 2026 at 4:19 PM
To: JCOD PIO <PIO@jcod.lacounty.gov>

Dear Mr. Bernard,

Thank you for your response. I am clarifying the specific documents requested so JCOD can continue processing without delay.

Please do not treat this clarification as replacing or withdrawing my existing April 2, 2026 CPRA request. JCOD's April 27, 2026 response already stated that JCOD was continuing its search and review of potentially responsive records and anticipated an update or response on or before May 27, 2026.

I am copying Stanley White and Angelica Rey from DHCS because DHCS Audits & Investigations directed the CFCI / Amity / grant-administration issue back to JCOD. This clarification is intended to identify the specific documents needed from the JCOD / Amity / CRCD lane, while preserving that DHCS may remain separate for any DHCS-held records.

This is a document request only. I am not asking for legal opinions or narrative answers.

Please produce records in JCOD's possession, custody, or control sufficient to show:

1. The exact months rental assistance was paid on my behalf between August 2024 and June 2025.

2. The exact amount paid for each month.

3. The funding source used for each payment.

4. Whether any payment during the August 2024 to June 2025 period was made from a source other than CFCI.

5. The approval basis and authorization record for each payment.

6. The role of JCOD, Amity Foundation, and CRCD in approving, administering, reimbursing, monitoring, or overseeing each payment.

7. Any invoice, reimbursement request, payment approval, expenditure report, payment ledger, backup documentation, monitoring record, compliance record, correspondence, internal note, or participant-level report tied to those payments under CFCI-PA3-056-2023.

8. Any participant consent, release, ROI, authorization, intake form, participant agreement, privacy notice, eligibility record, or source record relied upon for my information to be used, shared, reported, monitored, reimbursed, or processed within the JCOD / Amity / CRCD rental-assistance chain.

9. Any record showing what consent, ROI, release, authorization, court order, guardian authorization, parental authorization, participant agreement, or source record allowed CRCD, Amity, or JCOD-related records to collect, use, classify, disclose, transmit, report, or rely on information concerning my children, including child names, custody status, household composition, family status, reunification status, ICPC status, placement status, dependency/family-law status, or eligibility for family-based housing assistance.

10. Any record showing when CRCD, Amity, or JCOD first learned that I had children, who provided that information, how it was recorded, and whether it was used in rental assistance, housing placement, family-status classification, eligibility, reporting, monitoring, reimbursement, or program compliance.

11. Any Amity Foundation paperwork, enrollment paperwork, Individual Development Plan paperwork, case notes, intake records, eligibility records, participant records, or related documentation dated on or around June 13, 2024 involving Kyle Robert Haab / Kyle Dietz and any CRCD contact listed on that paperwork, including Regina Vazquez / R. Vazquez / rvazquez@coalitionrcd.org.

12. Any record showing how the Amity paperwork was created, transmitted, received, reviewed, approved, corrected, relied upon, or reported by Amity Foundation, CRCD, or JCOD, including who entered the information, what source documents were used, what consent/ROI authorized the transfer, and whether the paperwork was used for CFCI-PA3-056-2023 eligibility, reporting, reimbursement, or rental-assistance processing.

13. Any record showing whether JCOD, Amity, or CRCD relied on a 2023 CRCD / Ruth's Place / HMIS / Clarity contact, consent, release, or ROI for later Project Tipping Point, Amity enrollment, rental assistance, landlord, household, child-related, or family-status activity.

14. Records identifying the custodian responsible for participant-level CFCI-PA3-056-2023 records, rental-assistance backup records, consent/ROI/source records, Amity enrollment/IDP records, family/child-status records, Amity reporting records, and CRCD participant records.

This request concerns CFCI-PA3-056-2023, Amity Foundation, CRCD / Project Tipping Point, rental assistance associated with Kyle Robert Haab / Kyle Dietz, my household/family-status information, my children's information, Amity paperwork dated on or around June 13, 2024, and 1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4, Los Angeles, CA 90002.

If JCOD does not possess a requested category of records, please state whether JCOD understands the records to be held by Amity Foundation, CRCD, LAHSA/HMIS, another County department, or another custodian.

If records are withheld or redacted, please produce all reasonably segregable non-exempt portions and identify the exemption claimed.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert Haab
1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4
Los Angeles, CA 90002
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
[Quoted text hidden]

img-cad01c9d-f2fc-425e-8b78-9448f2d11790
33 KB

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Tue, May 26, 2026 at 1:32 PM
To: JCOD PIO <PIO@jcod.lacounty.gov>

Dear Mr. Bernard,

I am following up regarding my clarified document request concerning CFCI-PA3-056-2023, Amity Foundation, CRCD / Project Tipping Point, and rental assistance associated with Kyle Robert Haab / Kyle Dietz.

JCOD previously confirmed that CFCI funds were used for rental assistance between August 2024 and June 2025, that CRCD used funds for 9 months of rental assistance at $1,000 per month, and that the assistance was categorized under Program Area 3, Youth Housing.

On May 21, 2026, I clarified the specific documents requested, including records sufficient to show payment months, amounts, funding source, approval basis, authorization records, participant consent/ROI/source records, Amity/CRCD payment backup, and records concerning household/family-status or child-related information.

Please confirm whether JCOD's anticipated May 27, 2026 update or response will include these clarified categories, or whether JCOD needs anything further from me to process the request.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert Haab
1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4
Los Angeles, CA 90002
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
[Quoted text hidden]

JCOD PIO <PIO@jcod.lacounty.gov> Tue, May 26, 2026 at 4:29 PM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Dear Mr. Haab,

Thank you for the updated information. I will file a new PRA request for those documents, dated 5/21/26. Your other PRA request will be separate.

Best,
Avi
[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Tue, May 26, 2026 at 5:09 PM
To: JCOD PIO <PIO@jcod.lacounty.gov>, jthomas@coalitionRCD.org <jthomas@coalitionrcd.org>
Cc: abernard@jcod.lacounty.gov

Dear Mr. Bernard and Mr. Thomas,

I am forwarding the CalVCB rental / relocation verification forms for record-custodian clarification and completion guidance.

CalVCB has opened Application No. A26-10253806 and issued forms requesting verification of rental and relocation-related expenses. These forms overlap with the CFCI-PA3-056-2023 / Amity Foundation / CRCD / Project Tipping Point rental-assistance records previously identified by JCOD.

I am not asking JCOD to act as my landlord or to complete any section that JCOD is not authorized to complete. I am requesting written clarification on the proper custodian or entity that can verify the rental-assistance, payment, and relocation-related records connected to:

1. CRCD / Project Tipping Point;
2. Amity Foundation as third-party administrator;
3. CFCI-PA3-056-2023;
4. rental assistance associated with Kyle Robert Haab / Kyle Dietz;
5. 1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4, Los Angeles, CA 90002;
6. any lease, landlord-verification, payment, reimbursement, approval, or relocation-related documentation in the CFCI / Amity / CRCD record chain.

Please confirm:

1. Who is the correct person or entity to complete the CalVCB rental verification form if the rent/payment records were processed through CRCD / Amity / CFCI;
2. Whether CRCD can verify any rental-assistance, landlord/payment, lease, W-9, ACH, or relocation-related records;
3. Whether Amity Foundation is the proper custodian for any payment verification or reimbursement records;
4. Whether JCOD's new May 21, 2026 PRA request will include records sufficient to verify payment months, payment amounts, funding source, authorization/source records, and participant-level backup documentation;
5. Whether any CalVCB verification should be directed to the landlord, CRCD, Amity Foundation, JCOD, or another custodian.

I am providing these forms for routing and verification purposes only. I am not asking JCOD or CRCD to decide CalVCB eligibility, criminal liability, family-law issues, unlawful-detainer issues, or any non-records matter.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert Haab
CalVCB Application No. A26-10253806
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
[Quoted text hidden]

---

6 attachments

- **CamScanner 05-26-2026 16.51_5 (3).jpg**
  366 KB
- **CamScanner 05-26-2026 16.51_1 (3).jpg**
  3.2 MB
- **CamScanner 05-26-2026 16.51_4 (3).jpg**
  3.2 MB
- **CamScanner 05-26-2026 16.51_3 (3).jpg**
  3.7 MB

Exhibit D

JCOD May 27, 2026 PRA response. Shows JCOD is still searching and reviewing voluminous records concerning rental assistance accounting, authorization, roles, and monitoring.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Hilda L. Solis, First District
Holly J. Mitchell, Second District
Lindsey P. Horvath, Third District
Janice Hahn, Fourth District
Kathryn Barger, Fifth District

LOS ANGELES COUNTY JUSTICE CARE AND OPPORTUNITIES DEPARTMENT

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration
500 West Temple Street, Room 100
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Website: jcod.lacounty.gov

DIRECTOR
Judge Songhai Armstead, *ret.*

5/27/26
VIA E-MAIL ONLY

Kyle Haab
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

Re: Public Records Act Request of April 2, 2026

Dear Mr. Haab:
This is in response to your California Public Records Act ("CPRA") request received by the County of Los Angeles, Justice, Care & Opportunities Department (JCOD) on April 2, 2026, wherein you requested the following:

> *I am requesting a written accounting and preservation of records regarding the rental assistance JCOD previously described as tied to CFCI funding.*
>
> *In the prior response, JCOD stated that:*
> *CFCI funds were used for rental assistance issued on my behalf between August 2024 and June 2025; the assistance was categorized under Program Area 3, Youth Housing; Amity Foundation oversaw administration of the rental assistance funds through CRCD; and the relevant contract was CFCI-PA3-056-2023.*
>
> *That same response also stated that CRCD used funds for only 9 months of rental assistance at $1,000 per month. Those statements do not reconcile without a month-by-month accounting.*
> *I am therefore requesting that JCOD identify, to the extent maintained by your office or accessible through the relevant County records:*
>
> - *the exact months for which rental assistance was paid on my behalf;*
> - *the exact amount paid for each month;*
> - *the funding source used for each payment;*
> - *whether any payments during the August 2024 to June 2025 period were made from a source other than CFCI;*

- *the approval basis and authorization record for each payment;*
- *the role of JCOD, Amity Foundation, and CRCD in approving, administering, or overseeing each payment;*
- *any reporting, compliance, or monitoring records tied to those payments under CFCI-PA3-056-2023.*

Please also preserve all related records, including payment ledgers, invoices, approval records, monitoring records, correspondence, and any internal notes or reports relating to the rental assistance issued on my behalf.

For reference, this request relates to the contradictory funding descriptions already associated with my matter, including Auditor-Controller references PRA25-028, CE25-512, and CE26-048, OCI / County Fraud reference 2026-23965, and Board ICMS No. 2025-137175.

I am requesting a written accounting and records clarification. I am not requesting a general narrative response.

Please respond in writing.

On April 13, 2026, JCOD extended time to respond pursuant to Government Code section 7922.535, subdivision (b). On April 27, 2026, we again extended time to respond to May 27, 2026. We are continuing our search for and review of voluminous records that are potentially responsive to your CPRA request. Therefore, we anticipate providing you with an update or a response on or before July 8, 2026.

Please note that we reserve the right to assert any and all applicable privileges, doctrines, objections, and exemptions. In providing you with this response, JCOD is not waiving any rights, defenses, or claims of privilege, exception or exemption under the CPRA or any other statutes.

Thank you for your understanding and cooperation in this matter.

Sincerely,

Avi Bernard
Public Information Officer
Justice Care and Opportunities Department
abernard@jcod.lacounty.gov
jcod.lacounty.gov

LOS ANGELES COUNTY
JUSTICE CARE AND OPPORTUNITIES DEPARTMENT

Exhibit E

LAHSA nexus/source-record framing. Shows LAHSA/HMIS as part of the downstream source-record and reliance-chain issue, not a complete merits disposition.

LAHSA Nexus Packet

CRCD / Ruth's Place Source-Record Chain and OCI / LAPD Cross-Reliance

Prepared for	Kyle Robert Haab
Date prepared	May 18, 2026
Purpose	Source-record and reliance-chain packet for LAHSA/HMIS, OCI/County Fraud, and LAPD PSB/IAD review.
Core theory	HMIS/LAHSA is downstream. The origin is CRCD's 2023 Ruth's Place source record and later 2024 Project Tipp

Use note: This packet is framed as a record-chain and cross-reference exhibit. It does not ask LAPD, LAHSA, or OCI to decide all housing, civil, family-law, or funding disputes. It asks each agency to identify what records it relied on, what records it excluded, and whether a narrow LAHSA funding-jurisdiction closure was improperly treated as a merits determination.

Redaction note: The Ruth's Place source spreadsheets contain DOB and SSN fields. This packet summarizes those records and intentionally omits the sensitive values. File the original spreadsheets only under an appropriate redaction, sealing, or controlled-record procedure.

I. Executive Summary

The current record should be framed as a source-record and reliance-chain problem, not as a standalone HMIS issue. The alleged HMIS/LAHSA issue is downstream from earlier CRCD contact and record creation. CRCD's Partner and Stakeholder Statement claims that the participant signed up through a CRCD program in 2023 and that this 2023 sign-up supplied the basis for all later communication. The Ruth's Place Day Shelter spreadsheets identify a CRCD Ruth's Place enrollment beginning April 27, 2023 and exiting April 28, 2023, with HMIS/program identifiers and sensitive personal data categories.

The unresolved question is whether a one-day Ruth's Place Day Shelter / HMIS-related enrollment lawfully and factually authorized later 2024 Project Tipping Point contact, enrollment, supportive services, rental assistance, landlord coordination, W-9/ACH payment handling, housing-stability records, household/family-status information, and downstream disclosures or reliance by LAHSA, OCI, LAPD, HUD/HMIS, partners, supporters, funders, landlords, or court-related systems.

This packet also addresses the LAHSA nexus. If LAHSA, through Christopher Redd and Christopher Williams, closed or referred the matter on the narrow ground that Project Tipping Point was not LAHSA-funded, that narrow funding-jurisdiction position cannot be used as a merits finding about CRCD's 2023/2024 source records, consent/ROI authority, landlord-payment documentation, or identity-theft / falsified-record allegations.

II. Core Chain

#	Link	What it means
1	Ruth's Place / CRCD 2023 source record	One-day Ruth's Place Day Shelter enrollment/exit appears in CRCD spreadsheet exports with HMIS/program identifiers and sensitive data categories.
2	CRCD Partner/Stakeholder defense	CRCD states a 2023 CRCD program sign-up supplied the basis for all subsequent communication and that engagement was documentation-based and consent-driven.
3	2024 Project Tipping Point activity	CRCD later identifies Project Tipping Point enrollment beginning June 13, 2024 and lists supportive services, including rent-related assistance.
4	Landlord/payment activity	CRCD apartment emails show CRCD connected the participant to the landlord and discussed the program/student rent payment structure.
5	LAHSA/HMIS ripple effect	Any HMIS/LAHSA issue is a downstream contamination or reliance issue if the original source record or consent/ROI authority was missing, invalid, overbroad, or repurposed.
6	OCI/LAPD cross-reliance	OCI and LAPD must clarify whether they relied on a limited LAHSA closure, and whether they reviewed or excluded CRCD, Ruth's Place, HMIS, W-9/ACH, and landlord-payment records.

III. Main Questions for Agencies

Agency/Lane	Required clarification
CRCD	Produce the 2023 Ruth's Place sign-up/intake/enrollment, HMIS/non-HMIS consent, ROI, privacy notice, referral, enrollment/exit, service history, audit/access logs, and any record converting Ruth's Place contact into 2024 Project Tipping Point activity.
LAHSA/HMIS	Clarify whether any LAHSA/HMIS review included consent/ROI source records, audit logs, disclosure logs, Freshservice/Risk Management records, and communications with OCI, CRCD, Project Tipping Point, HUD/HMIS, or law enforcement.
OCI / County Fraud	Confirm whether OCI treated LAHSA's closure as a funding-jurisdiction note or as a merits disposition; identify the records reviewed for 2025-23289 and 2026_23965.
LAPD PSB / IAD	Confirm whether LAPD obtained or reviewed LAHSA/Redd/Williams, OCI, HMIS/Freshservice, CRCD/PTP, W-9/ACH, and landlord-payment records before determining insufficiency or closure for C259045687 and C269013241.

IV. Precise Reliance Issue

Do not overstate the LAHSA point. The strongest use of the Williams/Redd/LAHSA issue is not that LAHSA proved misconduct. It is that a limited funding-jurisdiction closure cannot be used as a merits clearance. If LAHSA only determined that Project Tipping Point was not LAHSA-funded, then that finding does not resolve whether the earlier CRCD/Ruth's Place source record was valid, whether consent/ROI authority existed, whether records were repurposed, or whether later landlord/payment records were valid.

Clean formulation: LAHSA's closure cannot be treated as a merits finding because the actual unresolved issue begins earlier: CRCD's 2023 Ruth's Place source-record authority and the downstream 2024 Project Tipping Point, rental-assistance, landlord-payment, W-9/ACH, HMIS/LAHSA, OCI, and LAPD reliance chain.

V. Exhibit Index

Exhibit	Document	Use
A	CRCD Partner and Stakeholder Statement	Direct CRCD factual position: 2023 sign-up, consent-driven engagement, internal investigation claim, PTP rent-support description, and lease-party denial.
B	Ruth's Place Day Shelter Spreadsheet Excerpts - Redacted Summary	Shows the apparent 2023 CRCD/Ruth's Place source record and sensitive HMIS/program data categories without reproducing DOB/SSN values.
C	CRCD Email - Records / Enrollment / Grievance Response	Shows CRCD later identified PTP enrollment on June 13, 2024 and listed supportive services including rent-related assistance.
D	CRCD Apartment / Landlord Coordination Email Chain	Shows CRCD connected the participant to the landlord and discussed program/student rent payment structure.
E	LAPD PSB Personnel Complaint Email	Shows current LAPD PSB review request and cross-reference list including LAHSA/HMIS Freshservice 260627, OCI 2025-23289, 2026_23965, and C259045687/C269013241.
F	County Administrative Investigation Guidelines / OCI Reference	Supports OCI lane for employee, contractor, vendor misconduct, fraud, and misuse of resources or technology.
G	LAHD Unapproved Unit Letter	Shows official housing-enforcement context for the downstream landlord/housing-payment records.
H	LADBS Order to Comply	Shows building/use violation and no valid Certificate of Occupancy context for the unit.

EXHIBIT A

CRCD Partner and Stakeholder Statement

CRCD states the participant signed up through a CRCD program in 2023, claims all later engagement was documentation-based and consent-driven, and states its team found the allegations totally false. Full source document follows this separator.

Exhibit F

DHCS incident / Amity-Latea response thread. Preserves DHCS NEW-2026-01-18-8837 as the DHCS incident / records-integrity cross-reference and Amity/CRCD written-routing issue.

Automatic reply: NEW-2026-01-18-8837 - DHCS Incident Reporting

5 messages

info <info@amityfdn.org> Mon, Jan 19, 2026 at 9:23 AM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

We will contact you directly. Thanks

Disclaimer

The information contained in this communication from the sender is confidential. It is intended solely for use by the recipient and others authorized to receive it. If you are not the recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or taking action in relation of the contents of this information is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful.

This email has been scanned for viruses and malware, and may have been automatically archived by Mimecast, a leader in email security and cyber resilience. Mimecast integrates email defenses with brand protection, security awareness training, web security, compliance and other essential capabilities. Mimecast helps protect large and small organizations from malicious activity, human error and technology failure; and to lead the movement toward building a more resilient world. To find out more, visit our website.

Latea Davis <ldavis@coalitionrcd.org> Tue, Jan 20, 2026 at 8:28 AM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Good Morning/Afternoon,

I am currently out the office with little to no access to my emails. I'm set to return Wednesday, January 21, 2026. If you need specific WDD programmatic assistance regarding the following services, please contact the appropriate Associate Director(s) below:

- AD of Adult Workforce Development: Ro'Lesha Colemen at rcoleman@coalitionrcd.org
- AD of Placement Services: Jerry Walker at jwalker@coalitionrcd.org
- AD of Youth Workforce Development: Krystal Rivera at krivera@coalitionrcd.org

If you have a compliance or operational request, please reach out to our Sr. Director of Workforce Development, Jose Guadron at jguadron@coalitionrcd.org.

Thank you and have a great day!!

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Tue, Jan 20, 2026 at 8:41 AM
To: info <info@amityfdn.org>

To Whom It May Concern,

Due to the existence of pending administrative and oversight complaints, I am formally requesting that all communications with me be conducted in writing via email only.

This request is made to ensure clarity, accuracy, and proper documentation of all communications. I do not consent to phone calls, voicemail messages, or other verbal communications at this time.

Please confirm receipt of this notice and direct any necessary correspondence to this email address.

Thank you for your cooperation.

Kyle David Haab
[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Wed, Jan 21, 2026 at 11:07 AM
To: info <info@amityfdn.org>, Joann Sanchez <jsanchez@amityfdn.org>, ldavis@coalitionrcd.org, jguadron@coalitionrcd.org, tpagrants@amityfdn.org

This email follows my voicemail left today regarding confirmation of receipt of my prior written correspondence related to Project Tipping Point.
My call was made solely to ensure receipt and proper routing of the email already sent. I am not requesting discussion by phone.
Please confirm in writing whether the referenced correspondence was received and, if so, the office or supervisor to whom it has been routed.
If Amity Foundation believes it is not the appropriate custodian for any portion of the referenced materials, please state that position in writing.
Thank you.
Kyle David Haab
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Mon, Mar 9, 2026 at 12:49 PM
To: joaquinc@viacarela.org

[Quoted text hidden]

Exhibit G

LASD routing responses. Shows LASD did not take investigative ownership and directed the path toward the local police agency / handling unit, preserving another jurisdictional narrowing response.

Outside Agency Information

1 message

SIB Auto Response <SIB-AutoResponse@lasd.org> Tue, May 26, 2026 at 12:30 PM
To: Kyle.dietz99@gmail.com <Kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

If you have an emergency, please dial 9-1-1

Thank you for reaching out to us Kyle haab .

Criminal Reports are investigated by the police agency where the crime occurred. Based on your selection, LASD is not your investigating Police Agency. You will need to contact your police agency from WorkSource Center / service provider connection: Coalition for Responsible Community Development-Los Angeles Trade-Technical College, 400 W. Washington Blvd, Los Angeles, CA 90015 where the crime occurred at.

If you would like to report your crime anonymously, you may do so at https://www.lacrimestoppers.org/

Additional Agency Info:
Police Department - Address & Telephone
Alhambra PD - 211 S First St, 91801; (626) 570-5151
Arcadia PD - 250 W Huntington Dr, 91007; (626) 574-5150
Azusa PD - 725 N Alameda Ave, 91702; (626) 812-3200
Baldwin Park PD - 14403 E Pacific Ave, 91706; (626) 960-1955
Bell PD - 6326 Pine Ave, 90201; (323) 585-1245
Bell Gardens PD - 7100 Garfield Ave, 90201; (562) 806-7600
Beverly Hills PD - 464 N Rexford Dr, 90210; (310) 550-4951
Burbank PD - 200 N Third St, 91502; (818) 238-3000
Claremont PD - 570 W Bonita Ave, 91711; (909) 399-5411
Covina PD - 444 N Citrus Ave, 91723; (626) 331-3391
Culver City PD - 4040 Duquesne Ave, 90232; (310) 253-6208
Downey PD - 10911 Brookshire Ave, 90241; (562) 861-0771
El Monte PD - 11333 Valley Blvd, 91731; (626) 580-2100
El Segundo PD - 348 Main St, 90245; (310) 524-2200
Gardena PD - 1718 W 162nd St, 90247; (310) 217-9670
Glendale PD - 131 N Isabel St, 91206; (818) 548-4840
Glendora PD - 150 S Glendora Ave, 91741; (626) 914-8250
Hawthorne PD - 12501 S Hawthorne Blvd, 90250; (310) 349-2700
Hermosa Beach PD - 540 Pier Ave, 90254; (310) 318-0360
Huntington Park PD - 6542 Miles Ave, 90255; (323) 584-6254
Inglewood PD - One W Manchester Blvd, 90301; (310) 412-5210
Irwindale PD - 5050 N Irwindale Ave, 91706; (626) 430-2244
La Verne PD - 2061 Third St, 91750; (909) 596-1913
Long Beach PD - 400 W Broadway, 90802; (562) 570-7260
Los Angeles PD - 100 W First St, 90012; (877) 275-5273
Los Angeles School Police - 333 South Beaudry Avenue; (213) 241-1000
Los Angeles World Airports Police - 6320 West 96th Street; (424) 6 4 6-6200
Manhattan Beach PD - 420 15th St, 90266; (310) 545-4566
Monrovia PD - 140 E Lime Ave, 91016; (626) 256-8000
Montebello PD - 1600 W Beverly Blvd, 90640; (323) 887-1313
Monterey Park PD - 320 W Newmark Ave, 91754; (626) 307-1311
Palos Verdes Estates PD - 340 Palos Verdes Dr West, 90274; (310) 378-4211
Pasadena PD - 207 N Garfield Ave, 91101; (626) 744-4501
Pomona PD - 490 W Mission Blvd, 91766; (909) 620-2155
Port of Los Angeles Police - 425 South Palos Verdes Street; (310) 732-3508
Redondo Beach PD - 401 Diamond St, 90277; (310) 379-2477
San Fernando PD - 910 First St, 91340; (818) 898-1267
San Gabriel PD - 625 S Del Mar Ave, 91776 ; (626) 308-2828
San Marino PD - 2200 Huntington Dr, 91108; (626) 300-0720
Santa Fe Springs PD - 11576 Telegraph Rd, 90670 ; (562) 409-1850; TDD (562) 409-1855
Santa Monica PD - 333 Olympic Dr, PO Box 2200, 90401; (310) 395-9931
Sierra Madre PD - 242 W Sierra Madre Bl, 91024; (626) 355-1414
Signal Hill PD - 2745 Walnut Ave, 90755; (562) 989-7200
South Gate PD - 8620 California Ave, 90280; (323) 563-5436

South Pasadena PD - 1422 Mission St, 91030; (626) 403-7270
Torrance PD - 3300 Civic Center Dr, 90503; (310) 328-3456
UCLA School Police - 601 Westwood Plaza; (310) 825-1491
USC Dept. of Public Safety - 3667 McClintock Ave, (2 13) 740-6000
Vernon PD - 4305 S Santa Fe Ave, 90058; (323) 583-8811
West Covina PD - 1444 W Garvey, 91791; (626) 939-8500
Whittier PD - 13200 Penn St, 90602; (562) 567-9200

Sheriff's Information Bureau
Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department
211 West Temple Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
213-229-1700

Re: Public Inquiry (Requesting routing clarification only. LAHSA says its review was limited to whether CRCD/PTP was LAHSA-funded and that it referred the matter back to County Fraud/OCI 2025-23289 on 12/11/25. LAHSA also said law-enforcement reporting...

5 messages

SIB Media24 <sibmedia24@lasd.org> Mon, May 25, 2026 at 2:04 PM
To: Kyle.dietz99@gmail.com <Kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Good afternoon,

What media outlet do you represent?

Deputy Kari M. Mercer
Public Information Officer - Sheriff's Information Bureau
Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department
211 W. Temple Street, Los Angeles CA 90012
(213) 229-1850 (Office)
(213) 505-3175 (Mobile)

From: SIB Auto Response <SIB-AutoResponse@lasd.org>
Sent: Monday, May 25, 2026 1:24 PM
To: SIB Media24 <sibmedia24@lasd.org>
Subject: Public Inquiry (Requesting routing clarification only. LAHSA says its review was limited to whether CRCD/PTP was LAHSA-funded and that it referred the matter back to County Fraud/OCI 2025-23289 on 12/11/25. LAHSA also said law-enforcement reporting was...

The following request was submitted through LASD's Public Contact form at 5/25/2026 1:23 PM:

Subject: LAHSA / County Fraud OCI / LAPD / HUD-HMIS Routing Clarification
Question: I am requesting routing clarification only. This matter goes back to LAHSA and County oversight. LAHSA Deputy Chief Risk Officer Christopher Williams stated that LAHSA's review of Christopher Redd's case file was limited to determining that CRCD / Project Tipping Point was not LAHSA-funded. LAHSA stated that it referred the matter back to the Los Angeles County Auditor-Controller Office of County Investigations on December 11, 2025 for County Fraud / OCI case 2025-23289. LAHSA also stated that law-enforcement reporting was recommended based on allegations involving identity theft and falsification of records by a CRCD staff member. The unresolved question is which County or law-enforcement entity is responsible for routing or reviewing the remaining issues: County Fraud / OCI 2025-23289 and 2026-23965; LAPD reports C259045687 and C269013241; HUD / HMIS source-record and consent issues; CRCD / Project Tipping Point; LAHSA / HMIS; and CFCI-PA3-056-2023. I am not requesting emergency response and I am not asking LASD to decide the merits. I am asking whether LASD has any role, referral path, investigative intake, public-integrity routing, or records-preservation pathway, or whether this must remain with County Fraud / OCI, LAPD, LAHSA, HUD, or another oversight office.

Reference #: OPCTR2026-23bbbd8dd830
Associated Ref #: OCI 2025-23289; 2026-23965; LAPD C259045687; C269013241; HMIS 8F8CD9D8B; LAHSA 2526KH-A

Requestor Information

Name: Kyle haab
City: Los Angeles

Email: Kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
Phone: 657-557-4459

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Mon, May 25, 2026 at 3:22 PM
To: SIB Media24 <sibmedia24@lasd.org>

Good afternoon Deputy Mercer,

Thank you for responding.

I do not represent a media outlet. I am a self-represented member of the public requesting routing clarification only.

To clarify why I contacted LASD: this matter has already involved multiple law-enforcement and County oversight channels, including LAPD reports C259045687 and C269013241, County Fraud / OCI references 2025-23289 and 2026-23965, District Attorney-related communications, and LAHSA's referral back to County OCI.

LAHSA stated its review was limited to whether CRCD / Project Tipping Point was LAHSA-funded and that the matter was referred back to County Fraud / OCI on December 11, 2025. LAHSA also stated law-enforcement reporting was recommended based on allegations involving identity theft and falsification of records by a CRCD staff member.

My question is not for media assistance. I am asking whether LASD has any role, referral path, investigative intake, public-integrity routing, or records-preservation pathway when a County oversight matter involves LAHSA, County Fraud / OCI, LAPD reports, HUD / HMIS source-record concerns, CRCD / Project Tipping Point, and CFCI-PA3-056-2023.

If LASD is not the correct agency, please identify whether this should remain with County Fraud / OCI, LAPD, the District Attorney, LAHSA, HUD, or another County oversight or law-enforcement office.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert Haab
Los Angeles, CA
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
[Quoted text hidden]

---

3 attachments

Outlook-p3y02pdq.png
34 KB

County_Law_Enforcement_Nexus_Brief_Haab_May25_2026.pdf
11 KB

County_Law_Enforcement_Nexus_Text_and_Exhibits_Haab_May25_2026.pdf
4.8 MB

SIB Media24 <sibmedia24@lasd.org> Mon, May 25, 2026 at 4:05 PM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Hi Kyle,

Thank you for responding. We have forwarded your inquiry to a handling unit for response.

Deputy Kari M. Mercer
Public Information Officer - Sheriff's Information Bureau
Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department
211 W. Temple Street, Los Angeles CA 90012
(213) 229-1850 (Office)
(213) 505-3175 (Mobile)

From: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 25, 2026 3:22 PM
To: SIB Media24 <sibmedia24@lasd.org>
Subject: Re: Public Inquiry (Requesting routing clarification only. LAHSA says its review was limited to whether CRCD/PTP was LAHSA-funded and that it referred the matter back to County Fraud/OCI 2025-23289 on 12/11/25. LAHSA also said law-enforcement reporting...

This message is from an EXTERNAL SENDER - be CAUTIOUS, particularly with links and attachments

[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Tue, May 26, 2026 at 11:37 AM
To: SIB Media24 <sibmedia24@lasd.org>

Dear Deputy Mercer / Sheriff's Information Bureau,

I am following up on the May 25, 2026 response stating that my public inquiry was forwarded to a handling unit for response.

I am requesting routing clarification only at this stage. I am not asking the Sheriff's Information Bureau to decide the merits of the matter.

Please confirm, if available:

1. Which LASD handling unit received the inquiry;
2. Whether a reference number, tracking number, or incident number was created;
3. Whether the handling unit will respond directly to me by email or phone;
4. Whether LASD is the correct law-enforcement routing point for this issue, or whether I should continue only with LAPD / County Fraud / OCI;
5. Whether I should submit a concise evidence packet to the handling unit, or wait until a unit contact is assigned.

The inquiry relates to routing confusion after LAHSA stated its review was limited to whether CRCD / Project Tipping Point was LAHSA-funded, and after LAHSA referenced law-enforcement reporting and County Fraud / OCI routing. I am trying to preserve the correct law-enforcement and oversight path without duplicating reports or sending documents to the wrong unit.

Please provide the correct next step or the contact information for the assigned handling unit.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert Haab
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
[Quoted text hidden]

2 attachments

Outlook-p3y02pdq.png
34 KB

Outlook-40mi44fj.png
34 KB

SIB Media24 <sibmedia24@lasd.org> Tue, May 26, 2026 at 1:04 PM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Good afternoon,

The reference number you were provided upon submitting your inquiry is the reference number that will stay with your inquiry. The responding unit will reach out to you when they have the information if there is any information to provide - we do not offer a timeline on response. We appreciate your patience.

Deputy Kari M. Mercer

Public Information Officer - Sheriff's Information Bureau
Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department
211 W. Temple Street, Los Angeles CA 90012
(213) 229-1850 (Office)
(213) 505-3175 (Mobile)

From: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 26, 2026 11:37 AM
[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]

Exhibit H

BCSH / HCD / CRD routing response. Shows the state housing / consumer / civil-rights umbrella route was attempted and no corrective route or additional recommendation was provided.

RE: BCSH Contact Form - General Questions

1 message

BCSH Info@BCSH <Info@bcsh.ca.gov> Wed, May 20, 2026 at 12:00 PM
To: Kyle.dietz99@gmail.com <Kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
Cc: BCSH Info@BCSH <Info@bcsh.ca.gov>

Good afternoon,

Following up on your inquiry from 4/24 with Business, Consumer Services, and Housing Agency.

I have followed up with colleagues to see if any assistance can be provided, both with Housing, Community Development and Civil Rights Department. It was advised that it is does not fall within the scope of what HCD can support and CRD advised that this is not in the realm of a civil rights issue.

There were no additional recommendations for how to best follow up, based on the information provided.

Thank you,

Melissa Fialer

Executive Assistant

Business, Consumer Services and Housing Agency

California Interagency Council on Homelessness

Contact Us:

HR Matters: hr@bcsh.ca.gov

Administrative & Business Services & Executive Support Matters: info@bcsh.ca.gov

EEO Matters: eeo@bcsh.ca.gov

It is our mission to provide accurate and timely administrative services through quality customer service.

Confidentiality Note: This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain privileged and confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, please immediately contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

From: no_reply@dca.ca.gov <no_reply@dca.ca.gov>
Sent: Friday, April 24, 2026 7:40 AM
To: BCSH Info@BCSH <Info@bcsh.ca.gov>
Subject: BCSH Contact Form - General Questions

A contact form was submitted for the California Business, Consumer Services and Housing Agency.

State of California
BUSINESS, CONSUMER SERVICES AND HOUSING AGENCY

Hello,

A contact form was submitted with the following details:

Reason For Inquiry

- General Questions

Name

- Kyle David Haab

Email Address

- Kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

Message

- I am requesting routing by the California Business, Consumer Services and Housing Agency because this matter involves publicly connected housing services, homelessness-system records, consumer/public-funds concerns, alleged records/identity misuse, disability-access issues, and continuing harm to family integrity. This is not an ordinary private landlord dispute and it is not only a family-law matter. The housing and records-use chain appears to have affected my family's relocation,
housing stability, access to services, law-enforcement reporting, and later family-law consequences. The matter involves CRCD / Project Tipping Point, Amity Foundation, LAHSA / HMIS, County of Los Angeles, City of Los Angeles, County Fraud / OCI, LAPD, DA
administrative handling, DHCS / Medi-Cal records concerns, and County-funded rental assistance under CFCI-PA3-056-2023. The central issue is consent and lawful authority. My position is that no office has identified the lawful consent, Release of Information,
HMIS authorization, participant authorization, referral authority, or data-sharing basis that allowed CRCD / Project Tipping Point to contact my household while we were in Tennessee, use my information, communicate with landlords, process housing records,
or create records later affecting housing stability and family-law consequences. This matters because CRCD's contact and representations occurred before my family had stable California housing and before the later records and rental-assistance chain developed.
If CRCD cannot identify a lawful basis for the March 2024 contact and later records use, then later housing records, rental assistance, landlord communications, HMIS / participant records, and family-law consequences rest on a disputed foundation. JCOD confirmed
a direct public-funding and County oversight pathway. JCOD confirmed that the Board of Supervisors approved CFCI funding allocations, that JCOD administered CFCI, that Amity Foundation served as third-party administrator, and that Amity had a grant agreement
with CRCD using CFCI funds. JCOD also confirmed that CFCI funds were used for rental assistance issued on my behalf between August 2024 and June 2025, categorized under Program Area 3 / Youth Housing, with contract number CFCI-PA3-056-2023. That establishes
the public-funded housing chain: Board / CFCI → JCOD
→ Amity Foundation
→ CRCD
→ rental assistance issued on my behalf. However, JCOD's confirmation of funding does not identify the lawful consent or authorization basis for the contact
and records-use chain. That is the unresolved gap: public funding and program involvement are confirmed, but no office has identified the lawful authority for the records, contact, referral, rental-assistance, and landlord-communication activity that followed.
LAHSA records also make this more serious. LAHSA records documented a leadership-directed law-enforcement referral describing the matter as "indeed fraud and a form of theft." I understand that is not a criminal conviction or prosecutorial finding. However,
it is also not merely my personal suspicion. Based on that LAHSA-directed instruction, I made LAPD report C259045687. A later LAPD report, C269013241, corrected or expanded the same underlying matter. The matter has since been fragmented across agencies. Related
identifiers include: CFCI-PA3-056-2023; HMIS ID 8F8CD9D8B; LAHSA Ref. 2526KH-A; HMIS tickets 259260, 260627, and 267528; County Fraud / OCI references 2025-23289 and 2026_23965; LAPD reports C259045687 and C269013241;

LAPD tracking 260327900363; DA CPRA #2026-0406-1059; CA Attorney General PIU 1508015; State Auditor complaint I2025-4591; DHCS NEW-2026-01-18-8837; DRE 1-26-0316-003; HHS OCR 651319; DOJ Civil Rights 679521-CMLR; HUD inquiry 860997; family-law case 25CMFL00694; and federal civil case CV26-802-UA. The California
Attorney General acknowledged my housing complaint under PIU 1508015 and forwarded it to the Housing Justice Team. The AG letter also recognized that local disputes can become matters of broad public interest. However, the AG also directed me back to local
government channels, including County Counsel, the Board of Supervisors, city agencies, and local representatives. I followed those routes. The problem is that the local routes have not produced corrective ownership. County, City, LAHSA, County Counsel, LAPD,
DA, and other offices continue to redirect the matter without issuing a final written ownership, correction, preservation, cross-agency linkage, or closure/status determination. There is also a DHCS / Medi-Cal records issue. I submitted a DHCS HIPAA authorization
request through GovQA under Reference No. L109105-040626. The later response was processed under Case No. C-12024866-Q6L7, but was partial. My request sought more than Fee-For-Service claim history. It sought privacy, routing, disclosure, audit trails, disputed
beneficiary-profile entries, eligibility/enrollment linkage, address history, same-case linkage, correction records, managed-care records, case-management records, and Treatment Authorization Request screens. DHCS indicated some categories were referred for
separate processing, but I still do not have a meaningful follow-up contact, unit identification, or tracking path for the remaining HIPAA-authorized records. There is also a disability-access component. My fiancée has an active South Central Los Angeles Regional
Center appeal process regarding her regional-center denial. I also have a pending regional-center intake/application. I receive SSI, have long-term mental-health care history and prior conservatorship history, am now my own payee, and have IHSS Case No. 2724991
and physical-therapy needs. This matter affects a disability-impacted family's housing stability, service access, court participation, and family integrity. There is also a public-integrity and power-imbalance concern. My fiancée's mother, Patty Lopez, is
publicly identified as a current San Fernando City Councilmember and former California State Assemblymember. She is also central to the children's placement, visitation, and practical access issues in the family-law matter. I am not asking BCSH to decide custody
or make any finding against her. I am stating that the overlap between a public official's family role, disputed family-law consequences, County-funded CRCD / CFCI housing activity, unresolved consent questions, and lack of legal access requires neutral routing
and corrective ownership. I am low-income, self-represented, and unable to obtain full counsel for the combined civil, criminal, housing, DHCS/privacy, disability-access, and family-law posture. My First Amended Complaint is currently being screened in federal
case CV26-802-UA before Judge Alka Sagar. I understand that screening is not a merits finding. I am preserving the record because ordinary administrative channels have not corrected the harm. I am not asking BCSH to decide my family-law case, prosecute anyone,
or act as my attorney. I am asking BCSH to route this matter to the appropriate housing, consumer, homelessness-system, public-funds, or state oversight contact, or to identify which office can require a corrective ownership determination.

Specifically, I
am asking for routing or written guidance regarding: 1. publicly funded housing assistance through CFCI / JCOD / Amity / CRCD; 2. HMIS / homelessness-system consent, authorization, and records-use issues; 3. consumer/public-funds concerns involving rental
assistance and housing representations; 4. preservation and correction of disputed housing, HMIS, rental-assistance, and participant records; 5. coordination where local agencies continue to redirect responsibility despite notice; 6. disability-access and
family-integrity harm caused by lack of corrective ownership. At minimum, I request a written routing response identifying the responsible state or housing oversight contact. My family should not remain trapped in a referral loop where every agency has notice,
but no agency identifies who owns consent review, correction, preservation, cross-reference, or final status.

Exhibit I

LADA Public Integrity screening email. Shows the public-agency handling, routing, preservation, and accountability issue was submitted to Public Integrity with cross-references.

C259045687 / C269013241 / 26-001767 / 2025-23289 / 2026-23965 / DOJ PIU 1508015 / 2026-C-0704 / LADA PRA 2026-0406-1059 / CFCI-PA3-056-2023

1 message

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Sun, May 24, 2026 at 5:43 PM
To: publicintegrity@da.lacounty.gov, Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office <info@da.lacounty.gov>, WCCMail <whitecollarcrime@da.lacounty.gov>
Cc: Steven Wang <SWang@da.lacounty.gov>, Karen Nishita <KNishita@da.lacounty.gov>, halvarado@da.lacounty.gov

Please also cross-reference LADA PRA/CPRA Request No. 2026-0406-1059 and LADA Real Estate Fraud File No. 2026-C-0704, because those records concern prior LADA handling/routing of related real-estate-fraud, consumer-protection, white-collar, and complaint-intake issues connected to the same LAPD, OCI, DOJ PIU, and CFCI-PA3-056-2023 record chain.
Dear Public Integrity Division,

Please see the attached signed packet for Public Integrity screening.

Please cross-reference LADA PRA/CPRA Request No. 2026-0406-1059 and LADA Real Estate Fraud File No. 2026-C-0704. This submission concerns public-agency handling, routing, preservation, and accountability issues tied to the alleged 2023 consent/source-record issue involving CRCD / Project Tipping Point, CFCI-PA3-056-2023, LAHSA/HMIS, County Fraud/OCI, LAPD reports, and related housing-assistance records.

I am not asking LADA to decide a family-law case, unlawful-detainer case, private landlord dispute, benefits matter, or local code issue. I am requesting screening of whether public-agency handling, closure, routing, refusal to identify a responsible custodian, or failure to preserve/review records created a Public Integrity concern.

The central concern is whether a limited 2023 CRCD/Ruth's Place/HMIS contact was treated as blanket authorization for later 2024–2026 Project Tipping Point, Amity/CFCI, landlord/payment, lease, W-9, ACH, housing-assistance, education/workforce, and child/family-status records.

Please confirm receipt and advise whether this should remain with Public Integrity, be cross-referenced with LADA File 2026-C-0704 and PRA 2026-0406-1059, or be routed to another LADA unit.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert Haab
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

LADA_Public_Integrity_Expanded_Alleged_Consent_Source_Record_Packet_Haab.pdf
162 KB

Exhibit J

CalVCB / JCOD W-9 custodian-path request. Shows a concrete verification need where agency-to-agency custodian coordination is preferable to requiring direct collection from an adverse landlord.

CalVCB A26-10253806 / JCOD CFCI-PA3-056-2023 — Request to Coordinate Custodian Path for Landlord W-9 / Rental-Assistance Records

1 message

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Sat, May 30, 2026 at 12:04 AM
To: Jacqueline.Velazco@victims.ca.gov, Victims CalVCB Online Access@Victims <CalVCBOnlineAccess@victims.ca.gov>
Cc: JCOD PIO <pio@jcod.lacounty.gov>, KFelder@bos.lacounty.gov, Chris Cervantes <chrisncervantes@hotmail.com>, ariel@miragelaw.com <ariel@miragelaw.com>, jthomas@coalitionRCD.org <jthomas@coalitionrcd.org>, ldavis@coalitionrcd.org, mwilson@coalitionrcd.org, dbond@amityfdn.org, RSeeley@amityfdn.org

To: CalVCB Claims Unit
Cc: Avi Bernard / JCOD, Amity Foundation, CRCD records custodian
Hello,
I am writing to connect CalVCB and JCOD regarding my CalVCB claim A26-10253806 and the related County / CFCI / Amity / CRCD rental-assistance records tied to CFCI-PA3-056-2023.
CalVCB has asked about obtaining the landlord W-9. I respectfully request that CalVCB not direct me to obtain that document from the landlord directly as the first route, because the landlord is an adverse party in the related unlawful-detainer matter and the W-9 appears to be part of the rental-assistance / landlord-verification / payment-processing file maintained through CRCD / Project Tipping Point, Amity, and/or County CFCI administration.
JCOD has already confirmed that the rental-assistance period involved August 2024 through June 2025 under the CFCI / Amity / CRCD Project Tipping Point funding chain. Because of that, JCOD / Amity / CRCD should have direct knowledge of the proper records custodian or administrative path for obtaining the landlord W-9, ACH authorization, landlord verification form, lease/payment records, and related payment documentation.
My request is narrow:
Please have JCOD identify the correct custodian for the landlord W-9 and related rental-assistance payment records tied to CFCI-PA3-056-2023 / Project Tipping Point / 1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4.
Please have CalVCB and JCOD coordinate directly, or at least confirm the correct records path, instead of requiring me to seek the W-9 from the landlord.
Please preserve and associate this request with CalVCB A26-10253806, CFCI-PA3-056-2023, County Fraud / OCI 2025-23289 and 2026_23965, and the related rental-assistance / source-record issues.
Please confirm whether the W-9 was received by CRCD, Amity Foundation, JCOD, or another County-funded administrator before or during the August 2024 through June 2025 rental-assistance period.
This request is not asking CalVCB to decide the full County / CRCD / landlord issue. I am asking only that the agency with direct administrative knowledge of the rental-assistance payment chain identify or provide the correct custodian path for the W-9, rather than placing me in the position of requesting the document from the adverse landlord.
Related identifiers for cross-reference:
CalVCB Claim: A26-10253806
JCOD / CFCI: CFCI-PA3-056-2023
Program: CRCD / Project Tipping Point
Third-party administrator: Amity Foundation
County Fraud / OCI: 2025-23289 and 2026_23965
Property: 1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4 / 1551 3/4 E. 106th St., Los Angeles, CA 90002
Landlord / Payee: Christopher N. Cervantes
Related UD: 26CMUD00417
Related Family Law: 25CMFL00694
Please confirm receipt and advise whether CalVCB needs a specific authorization, subpoena, agency request, PRA request, or other form for JCOD / Amity / CRCD to provide or verify the W-9 and related payment records.
Respectfully,
Kyle Robert Haab
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
---------- Forwarded message ---------
From: **JCOD PIO** <PIO@jcod.lacounty.gov>
Date: Wed, May 27, 2026, 4:30 PM
Subject: Update on PRA Request #2
To: kyle.dietz99@gmail.com <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Dear Mr. Haab,

Please see attached regarding your PRA request #2.

Best,

Avi Bernard
Public Information Officer
Justice Care and Opportunities Department
abernard@jcod.lacounty.gov
jcod.lacounty.gov



2 attachments

img-1d325d64-ce2e-4064-a9d6-4cb5c451f1a2
33 KB

PRA Request Response - Kyle Haab_PRA2_5-27-26.pdf
265 KB

SOURCE EXHIBIT APPENDIX

Appendix 2: CWDB response

Source: Gmail - CWDB Response to Public Record Requests Received on 5_22_26 & 6_10_26(2).PDF

Purpose: Shows participant-level records are local, not CWDB-held.

The original document pages follow. If any source page is unclear, request the original native/source record from the custodian.

CWDB Response to Public Record Requests Received on 5/22/26 & 6/10/26

1 message

CWDB Legal <Legal@cwdb.ca.gov> Fri, Jun 12, 2026 at 10:38 AM
To: kyle.dietz99@gmail.com <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Kyle Robert Haab

kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

Hi Kyle,

On May 22, 2026, the California Workforce Development Board ("CWDB") received the following public record request:

"Dear California Workforce Development Board Public Records / Legal Unit,

I am submitting this California Public Records Act request and custodian-clarification request regarding Coalition for Responsible Community Development (CRCD), Project Tipping Point (PTP), Vernon-Central/LATTC WorkSource Center, City of Los Angeles LWDA / EWDD routing, and related workforce-development complaint records.

Date range requested: January 1, 2023 to present.

County DEO confirmed in writing that CRCD / Vernon-Central-LATTC WorkSource is not under Los Angeles County LWDA jurisdiction and falls under the City of Los Angeles LWDA. I later requested written routing clarification because City/EWDD-related responses have directed me back to CRCD / Latea Davis, while CRCD is the disputed provider whose records, consent authority, grievance process, and Project Tipping Point conduct are at issue. County DEO did not provide a specific independent City referral number, custodian determination, or grievance determination. It directed me to CRCD contact information and general EWDD Equal Opportunity Compliance Officer information.

DOL OIG FOIA No. 2026067 is also relevant. On May 1, 2026, DOL OIG stated in writing that it has "an open law enforcement investigation involving the records" I requested and withheld the records in full under FOIA Exemption 7(A), which applies where disclosure could interfere with law-enforcement proceedings or pending investigations. I am not asking CWDB to interfere with DOL OIG. I am asking CWDB to preserve and identify the City LWDA / EWDD / CRCD / WorkSource records lane while overlapping federal law-enforcement processing remains open.

I am also attaching a limited source-record packet showing why the workforce records lane matters. That packet requests the missing 2023 consent / ROI / authorization source record and includes selected exhibits showing: CRCD's assertion that a 2023 CRCD program sign-up supplied the basis for later communication; HMIS/Ruth's Place records; housing-assistance workflow forms; downstream ACH, W-9, landlord-verification, and lease records; a CRCD landlord email referencing a "couple with a young kid"; and PTP application material reflecting child/family-status information. This is provided only to explain the record-custody issue, not to ask CWDB to decide family-law, criminal, housing-code, HMIS, or civil-liability merits.

I am not asking CWDB to decide housing, family-law, criminal, HMIS, CFCI, or civil-liability issues. I am asking CWDB to search for records and identify the correct state-supervised workforce-development route when County DEO says "City LWDA," City/EWDD redirects to CRCD, and CRCD is the provider being complained about.

Relevant identifiers:

- Coalition for Responsible Community Development / CRCD

- Project Tipping Point / PTP

- Vernon-Central/LATTC WorkSource Center

- City of Los Angeles LWDA / EWDD

- Richard Cheng / richard.cheng@lacity.org

- Latea Davis / CRCD

- PTP participant ID: 1233369910

- CFCI-PA3-056-2023

- Amity Foundation

- LACCD / LATTC Student ID: 900855235

- County Fraud / OCI: 2025-23289 and 2026-23965

- HMIS ID: 8F8CD9D8B

- LAHSA Ref.: 2526KH-A

- DOL OIG FOIA No. 2026067

- Federal case: CV26-802-UA / 2:26-cv-00802-JFW-AS

Please produce records sufficient to show:

1. Whether CWDB has records identifying CRCD as a workforce-development provider, subrecipient, contractor, AJCC partner, WorkSource partner, or City LWDA-related entity;

2. Whether CWDB has records involving CRCD / Project Tipping Point, Vernon-Central/LATTC WorkSource, education/workforce services, student navigation, college persistence, supportive services, or rental assistance tied to education/workforce participation;

3. Whether CWDB has records identifying the proper City LWDA / EWDD custodian for complaints involving CRCD / Project Tipping Point when the complaint is against CRCD itself;

4. Whether a City LWDA / EWDD contact may redirect a participant-records, grievance, EO/ADA, WIOA/AJCC, or records-access issue back to CRCD without providing an independent grievance route, custodian determination, or written records path;

5. Whether CWDB has policies, guidance, monitoring records, corrective-action records, complaint procedures, referral procedures, or oversight records governing participant records, consent, privacy, supportive services, education/workforce documentation, and subrecipient/vendor records for Local Workforce Development Areas;

6. Whether CWDB has records involving CRCD, Project Tipping Point, LACCD/LATTC, Amity Foundation, CFCI-PA3-056-2023, or education/workforce-related rental assistance.

If CWDB does not maintain responsive records, please identify the proper custodian, including whether the request should be directed to City of Los Angeles LWDA, EWDD, CRCD, LACCD/LATTC, DOL, or another workforce-development entity.

Please preserve this as a routing and records-custody issue because County DEO confirmed City LWDA jurisdiction, but the City/EWDD/CRCD route has not produced an independent written custodian, grievance, EO/ADA, WIOA/AJCC, or records-access determination.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert Haab

1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4

Los Angeles, CA 90002

657-557-4459

kyle.dietz99@gmail.com"

On June 10, 2026, CWDB received the following supplemental request:

"Dear CWDB Records / Legal Unit,

I am submitting this supplemental routing follow-up regarding my pending May 22, 2026 CPRA request concerning CRCD / Project Tipping Point, Vernon-Central / LATTC WorkSource, City LWDA / EWDD routing, WIOA/AJCC/One-Stop records, supportive services, rental assistance, participant-record access, consent/ROI, grievance, EO/ADA, and records-custody issues.

CWDB Legal previously acknowledged receipt of my request and invoked a statutory extension, stating that CWDB would respond on or before June 15, 2026.

I am supplementing the record because CareerOneStop has now responded that each state has its own workforce system and that, in California, the relevant state system is LWDA. I am therefore asking CWDB/LWDA to preserve and clarify the proper California workforce-system routing path rather than redirecting the matter back to CRCD, because CRCD is the disputed provider whose records, consent authority, grievance process, and Project Tipping Point conduct are at issue.

This supplemental follow-up concerns the same identifiers previously provided:

- CRCD / Coalition for Responsible Community Development

- Project Tipping Point / PTP 1233369910

- Vernon-Central / LATTC WorkSource Center

- City of Los Angeles LWDA / EWDD

- WIOA / AJCC / One-Stop / WorkSource records

- LACCD / LATTC

- Amity Foundation

- CFCI-PA3-056-2023

- HMIS / Clarity ID 8F8CD9D8B

- LAHSA Ref. 2526KH-A

- County Fraud / OCI 2025-23289 and 2026-23965

- DOL OIG FOIA No. 2026067

- Related education, supportive-service, rental-assistance, consent, ROI, grievance, ADA/EO, and records-access issues

I am not asking CWDB to interfere with DOL OIG, to decide any criminal issue, or to decide housing, family-law, HMIS, or civil-liability merits. I am asking CWDB to identify and preserve the proper state-supervised workforce-development records lane when CareerOneStop has pointed the matter to California LWDA, County DEO previously pointed to City LWDA, City/EWDD-related routing has directed me back to CRCD, and CRCD is the provider whose records and grievance process are disputed.

Please confirm:

1. Whether CWDB/LWDA is the correct state route for this WIOA/AJCC/WorkSource/EWDD/CRCD/PTP records-custody issue;

2. Whether CWDB will include the CareerOneStop LWDA routing response in its pending response to my May 22, 2026 CPRA request;

3. Whether the proper custodian is CWDB, LWDA, City of Los Angeles EWDD / City LWDA, CRCD, LACCD/LATTC, or another workforce-system entity;

4. Whether a participant-records, grievance, EO/ADA, WIOA/AJCC, or records-access issue may be routed back only to CRCD when CRCD is the disputed provider;

5. What independent grievance, appeal, ADA/EO, participant-record, and records-request process applies to CRCD / Project Tipping Point / Vernon-Central-LATTC WorkSource records;

6. Whether CWDB/LWDA will preserve all routing notes, interagency consultation records, referral records, emails, and custodian-determination records connected to this request.

Please preserve this email as a supplement to the pending CPRA request and include it in any final response or custodian-routing determination.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert David Haab

1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4

Los Angeles, CA 90002

657-557-4459

kyle.dietz99@gmail.com"

Thank you for your public records requests received by the California Workforce Development Board on May 22, 2026 and June 10, 2026, and for your patience while we completed our review. This letter responds to both submissions.

Scope of This Response

The California Public Records Act requires CWDB to search for and produce existing records that are responsive to a request that reasonably describes identifiable documents in CWDB's possession. The Act does not require CWDB to answer questions, make jurisdictional or legal determinations, or produce records held by other agencies or organizations. Several aspects of your requests, including questions about which agency is the correct custodian, whether a participant may be redirected to a disputed provider, and what independent grievance routes apply to specific providers, ask CWDB to make determinations of that kind rather than to produce records. CWDB is not able to respond to those aspects of your requests under the Act, but we have done our best below to provide practical assistance where we can.

Search Conducted

Upon receipt of your requests, CWDB conducted a diligent search of its records systems, files, and staff most likely to possess records responsive to the subjects you identified. That search encompassed records related to the Coalition for Responsible Community Development (CRCD), Project Tipping Point (PTP), the Vernon-Central/LATTC WorkSource Center, the City of Los Angeles Local Workforce Development Area (LWDA), the Employment and Economic Development Department (EWDD), and the other entities, individuals, and case identifiers listed in your requests.

Requests 1, 2, 3, 4, and 6 — Entity-Specific and Participant-Level Records

CWDB's search found no records in its possession responsive to your requests for records identifying or involving CRCD, Project Tipping Point, the Vernon-Central/LATTC WorkSource Center, LACCD/LATTC, Amity Foundation, CFCI-PA3-056-2023, or any of the other specific entities, individuals, or case identifiers listed in your requests, including PTP participant ID 1233369910, HMIS ID 8F8CD9D8B, LAHSA Ref. 2526KH-A, and County OCI case numbers 2025-23289 and 2026-23965.

CWDB does not possess or maintain participant-level records, consent or authorization records, grievance files, rental assistance records, or operational records for Local Workforce Development Areas, WorkSource Centers, or their subrecipients and contractors. Records of that nature are held at the local level by the relevant LWDA and its operators, not by CWDB.

Request 5 — CWDB Policies, Guidance, and Oversight Records

CWDB's search identified responsive records in this category. CWDB co-develops and issues policy guidance documents called Workforce Services Directives, which convey legal expectations and procedural requirements to Local Workforce Development Boards governing the administration of programs under the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA). These directives constitute CWDB's primary policy and guidance records governing Local Workforce Development Areas and their subrecipients and partners.

The directive most directly relevant to the concerns you have described is Workforce Services Directive WSD18-05, WIOA Grievance and Complaint Resolution Procedures (September 4, 2018), a copy of which is attached to this letter as a PDF. WSD18-05 establishes the procedures that all WIOA Title I recipients and subrecipients, including local area operators and their subrecipients such as WorkSource Center operators, must follow in receiving, hearing, and resolving grievances and complaints from participants and other interested parties alleging violations of WIOA Title I requirements. Among other things, it sets out participant rights, local-level hearing requirements, timelines for decisions, and the process for appealing a local-level decision to the state.

The complete library of publicly available Workforce Services Directives, which includes additional policy and guidance documents governing a range of WIOA program areas, is available at the following link: Workforce Services Directives. Those directives represent the full body of CWDB's publicly available policy and guidance records responsive to Request 5.

Please note that compliance monitoring of Local Workforce Development Boards, including oversight of their subrecipients, is administered by the California Employment Development Department (EDD), not by CWDB. Records related to monitoring, corrective action, or compliance determinations involving specific Local Boards or their operators would be held by EDD, not CWDB, and CWDB's search did not locate any such records in its own possession.

Custodian Identification and Practical Assistance

While CWDB is not in a position to make a binding jurisdictional determination on your behalf, we can offer the following practical guidance to assist you in identifying the appropriate records path.

As described in the attached WSD18-05, complaints and grievances by WIOA Title I participants alleging violations by a local area operator or its subrecipients are to be filed at the local level in the first instance. If a participant is dissatisfied with the outcome of the local-level process, or if an appeal or independent state-level review is sought, appeals and requests for state review are submitted to EDD's Compliance Review Office at the following address:

Chief, Compliance Review Office, MIC 22-M

Employment Development Department

P.O. Box 826880

Sacramento, CA 94280-0001

The Compliance Review Office is the appropriate state-level contact for grievance and complaint matters of the type you have described, including situations where a participant has a concern about a WorkSource Center operator and is seeking an independent review outside of that operator's own process.

For records held at the City of Los Angeles LWDA level, the appropriate contact is the City of Los Angeles Economic and Workforce Development Department (EWDD), which administers the City LWDA.

Supplemental Request — June 10, 2026

CWDB has treated your June 10, 2026 supplemental communication as part of the same records request, and this response addresses both submissions together. The search described above encompassed the subjects identified in your supplemental request. To the extent the supplemental request asks CWDB to confirm routing determinations made by CareerOneStop or other agencies, or to make independent jurisdictional findings, those requests fall outside the scope of what the Act requires CWDB to provide, as noted above. CWDB has no additional records responsive to the supplemental request beyond what is addressed in this letter.

Record Preservation

CWDB will retain its own records in accordance with applicable California law and state records retention requirements. CWDB notes that you have referenced an open federal law enforcement investigation (DOL OIG FOIA No. 2026067) and a pending federal civil matter (2:26-cv-00802-JFW-AS), and CWDB will take appropriate steps consistent with its legal obligations with respect to any records in its possession potentially relevant to those matters.

If you have any questions about this response, you can contact CWDB Legal at Legal@cwdb.ca.gov.

---

wsd18-05.pdf
258 KB

SOURCE EXHIBIT APPENDIX

Appendix 3: ETA Region 6 routing clarification

Source: Gmail - ETA Region 6 Routing Clarification — WIOA_AJCC, CRCD_PTP, City LWDA_EWDD, DOL OIG 2026067(1).PDF

Purpose: Preserves DOL/ETA/WIOA routing question.

The original document pages follow. If any source page is unclear, request the original native/source record from the custodian.

ETA Region 6 Routing Clarification — WIOA/AJCC, CRCD/PTP, City LWDA/EWDD, DOL OIG 2026067

2 messages

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Sat, Jun 6, 2026 at 10:16 AM
To: RO6-RA-SF@dol.gov, Coen, Michael - OIG <Coen.Michael@oig.dol.gov>, Passero, Roger - OIG <Passero.Roger@oig.dol.gov>, mason.kia@dol.gov, legal@cwdb.ca.gov, Contract Compliance <compliance@opportunity.lacounty.gov>

Dear ETA Region 6,

I am requesting routing clarification only regarding WIOA/AJCC and City LWDA/EWDD records connected to CRCD / Project Tipping Point, the Vernon-Central/LATTC WorkSource Center AJCC, CalJOBS, and related workforce-supportive-service records.

Relevant identifiers include:

CRCD / Project Tipping Point ID: 1233369910
CFCI-PA3-056-2023
City CPRA Request: 25-18989
CalJOBS Application ID: 22707388
CalJOBS Case Note ID: 33836577
DOL OIG FOIA: 2026067
County Fraud/OCI: 2025-23289 and 2026_23965
LATTC / OpenCCC Confirmation: NC-31050281
SPPO / FERPA: 26-0253
ED OIG: C26IOU06179

The issue is not a request for ETA Region 6 to decide housing, family-law, SSA, HUD, DHCS, criminal, or landlord merits. I am asking which ETA/WIOA/AJCC/LWDA office is responsible for records custody, source authorization, correction routing, grievance routing, and preservation for the workforce-development portion.

The records appear to involve multiple workforce lanes: City of Los Angeles LWDA/EWDD, CRCD / Vernon-Central-LATTC WorkSource Center, CRCD / Project Tipping Point, LATTC-related education/workforce records, CalJOBS, and later WIOA/AJCC service records. I need clarification whether this should be handled by ETA Region 6, City LWDA/EWDD, California Workforce Development Board, DOL OIG, the local AJCC operator, or another designated WIOA records/grievance office.

Please confirm the correct office, contact, and process for submitting a narrow records-custody/source-authorization inquiry regarding WIOA/AJCC records and any related participant-record correction or grievance route.

Respectfully,
Kyle Robert Haab
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

DOL_OIG_Supplemental_Preservation_Routing_Packet_Haab_June6_2026.pdf
21.7 MB

Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com> Sat, Jun 6, 2026 at 10:16 AM
To: kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

Message not delivered

There was a problem delivering your message to **legal@cwdb.ca.gov**. See the technical details below, or try resending in a few minutes.

The response from the remote server was:

```
554 mx0b-004f0801.pphosted.com ESMTP not accepting messages
```

SOURCE EXHIBIT APPENDIX

Appendix 4: JCOD PRA response draft thread

Source: Gmail - FW_ PRA Request response Draft(20).PDF

Purpose: Shows document-production path for CFCI/Amity/CRCD rental-assistance records.

The original document pages follow. If any source page is unclear, request the original native/source record from the custodian.

FW: PRA Request response Draft

23 messages

JCOD PIO <PIO@jcod.lacounty.gov> Fri, Mar 13, 2026 at 5:10 PM
To: kyle.dietz99@gmail.com <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Dear Mr. Haab,

JCOD staff have broken down your inquiry into questions, which have been answered below in purple. I have also attached all pertinent documents, including your PRA Request response letter.

*****

Relationship between LA County Board of Supervisors, CRCD, Amity Foundation, JCOD and CFCI:
The LA County Board of Supervisors approves funding allocations for CFCI to County departments and community organizations through a third-party administrator. LA County JCOD administers CFCI. Grant funds through CFCI are administered by JCOD via a third-party administrator, Amity Foundation. Amity Foundation has a grant agreement with CRCD using CFCI funds. Terms of the contract are March 1, 2023-June 30, 2027.

1. Were Care First Community Investment (CFCI) funds approved by the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors were used for rental assistance issued on my behalf between August 2024 and June 2025?
    1. CRCD representatives have provided differing statements regarding the source of these funds, including references to:
        i. Project Tipping Point being supported through private foundations
        ii. Amity Foundation serving as a third-party administrator of CFCI funds funded by the Board of Supervisors
        iii. CRCD directly paying 100% of rent

        CFCI funds were used for rental assistance between August 2024 and June 2025.

2. Were any CFCI funds were allocated to CRCD or Amity Foundation for rental assistance during the relevant period?

    CRCD's grant agreement with Amity Foundation includes rental assistance. CRCD used funds for 9 months of rental assistance at $1,000 per month consistent with the approved grant.

3. Was Kyle Dietz's rental assistance categorized under CFCI Housing Stability or any other CFCI allocation?

Kyle Dietz's rental assistance through CRCD was categorized under Program Area 3, Youth Housing. This was part of the CFCI Year 1 Spending Plan.

4. Was JCOD (administering department) or Amity (third-party administrator) responsible for the oversight of those rental assistance funds?

Amity Foundation oversaw the administration of rental assistance funds through CRCD.

5. The associated grant, contract, or allocation reference number, if applicable.
    1. Please request the grant, contract, or allocation reference number, if applicable, from Amity

Amity Foundation's contract with CRCD
Contract Number: CFCI - PA3 - 056 - 2023

Best,

Avi Bernard

Public Information Officer

Justice Care and Opportunities Department
abernard@jcod.lacounty.gov

jcod.lacounty.gov

LOS ANGELES COUNTY JUSTICE CARE AND OPPORTUNITIES DEPARTMENT

6 attachments

- **1A2 - SOW - Coalition for Responsible Community Development.pdf**
  65 KB
- **Agreement FE- CFCI - PA3 - 056 - 2023 - CRCD (1).pdf**
  1 MB
- **Coalition for Responsible Community Development (1).pdf**
  65 KB
- **CoalitionforResponsibleCommunityDevelopment_PA3_Y3Q4 (1).xlsx**
  44 KB
- **CRCD Partner and Stakeholder Statement.pdf**
  92 KB
- **PRA Request Response - Kyle Haab_3-13-26.pdf**
  192 KB

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Fri, Mar 13, 2026 at 6:24 PM
To: Gutierrez, Margarita (Schiff) <Margarita_Gutierrez@schiff.senate.gov>, Choi, Chin Woo <ChinWoo.Choi@hud.gov>, Clarke, Adriane J <Adriane.J.Clarke@hud.gov>, cpdgdas@hud.gov, AC-CONSTITUENT <constituent@auditor.lacounty.gov>
Cc: Sanford, Ahliyah <asanford@bos.lacounty.gov>, Escobedo, Marina <MEscobedo@bos.lacounty.gov>, Noyola, Claudia <CNoyola@bos.lacounty.gov>, Hills, LaJuannah <lhills@bos.lacounty.gov>, complaints@doj.ca.gov, Caitlin.Noble@doj.ca.gov, Joseph.Zimring@doj.ca.gov, HollyJMitchell@bos.lacounty.gov, ceop@bos.lacounty.gov, Alyssa Skolnick <askolnick@counsel.lacounty.gov>, RSeeley@amityfdn.org, Joann Sanchez <jsanchez@amityfdn.org>, Christopher Williams <cwilliams@lahsa.org>

[Quoted text hidden]

7 attachments

- **img-f693338b-a77f-429b-84cc-b58de03f480f**
  33 KB
- **1A2 - SOW - Coalition for Responsible Community Development.pdf**
  65 KB
- **Agreement FE- CFCI - PA3 - 056 - 2023 - CRCD (1).pdf**
  1 MB
- **Coalition for Responsible Community Development (1).pdf**
  65 KB
- **CoalitionforResponsibleCommunityDevelopment_PA3_Y3Q4 (1).xlsx**
  44 KB
- **CRCD Partner and Stakeholder Statement.pdf**
  92 KB
- **PRA Request Response - Kyle Haab_3-13-26.pdf**
  192 KB

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Fri, Mar 13, 2026 at 6:29 PM
To: PRA LAHSA <pra@lahsa.org>, HHS_FOIA_Public_Liaison@hhs.gov

[Quoted text hidden]

7 attachments

- **img-f693338b-a77f-429b-84cc-b58de03f480f**
  33 KB
- **1A2 - SOW - Coalition for Responsible Community Development.pdf**
  65 KB
- **Agreement FE- CFCI - PA3 - 056 - 2023 - CRCD (1).pdf**
  1 MB
- **Coalition for Responsible Community Development (1).pdf**
  65 KB
- **CoalitionforResponsibleCommunityDevelopment_PA3_Y3Q4 (1).xlsx**
  44 KB
- **CRCD Partner and Stakeholder Statement.pdf**
  92 KB
- **PRA Request Response - Kyle Haab_3-13-26.pdf**
  192 KB

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Mon, Mar 16, 2026 at 9:50 AM
To: Anna Guy <annag@openarmscommunityhealth.com>

[Quoted text hidden]

7 attachments

- **img-f693338b-a77f-429b-84cc-b58de03f480f**
  33 KB
- **1A2 - SOW - Coalition for Responsible Community Development.pdf**
  65 KB
- **Agreement FE- CFCI - PA3 - 056 - 2023 - CRCD (1).pdf**
  1 MB
- **Coalition for Responsible Community Development (1).pdf**
  65 KB
- **CoalitionforResponsibleCommunityDevelopment_PA3_Y3Q4 (1).xlsx**
  44 KB
- **CRCD Partner and Stakeholder Statement.pdf**
  92 KB
- **PRA Request Response - Kyle Haab_3-13-26.pdf**
  192 KB

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Wed, Mar 18, 2026 at 11:24 AM
To: Passero.Roger@oig.dol.gov

Good afternoon MR Passero im Forwarding this response in relevance of funding and and responses from CRCD
[Quoted text hidden]

7 attachments

- **img-f693338b-a77f-429b-84cc-b58de03f480f**
  33 KB
- **1A2 - SOW - Coalition for Responsible Community Development.pdf**
  65 KB
- **Agreement FE- CFCI - PA3 - 056 - 2023 - CRCD (1).pdf**
  1 MB

Coalition for Responsible Community Development (1).pdf
65 KB

CoalitionforResponsibleCommunityDevelopment_PA3_Y3Q4 (1).xlsx
44 KB

CRCD Partner and Stakeholder Statement.pdf
92 KB

PRA Request Response - Kyle Haab_3-13-26.pdf
192 KB

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Wed, Mar 18, 2026 at 7:03 PM
To: lpark@lafla.org

[Quoted text hidden]

7 attachments

img-f693338b-a77f-429b-84cc-b58de03f480f
33 KB

1A2 - SOW - Coalition for Responsible Community Development.pdf
65 KB

Agreement FE- CFCI - PA3 - 056 - 2023 - CRCD (1).pdf
1 MB

Coalition for Responsible Community Development (1).pdf
65 KB

CoalitionforResponsibleCommunityDevelopment_PA3_Y3Q4 (1).xlsx
44 KB

CRCD Partner and Stakeholder Statement.pdf
92 KB

PRA Request Response - Kyle Haab_3-13-26.pdf
192 KB

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Mon, May 11, 2026 at 4:45 PM
To: Douglas, Maya <maya.douglas@asm.ca.gov>

Im fowarding this emails regarding County funding obligations in consent tracking
[Quoted text hidden]

7 attachments

img-f693338b-a77f-429b-84cc-b58de03f480f
33 KB

1A2 - SOW - Coalition for Responsible Community Development.pdf
65 KB

Agreement FE- CFCI - PA3 - 056 - 2023 - CRCD (1).pdf
1 MB

Coalition for Responsible Community Development (1).pdf
65 KB

CoalitionforResponsibleCommunityDevelopment_PA3_Y3Q4 (1).xlsx
44 KB

CRCD Partner and Stakeholder Statement.pdf
92 KB

PRA Request Response - Kyle Haab_3-13-26.pdf
192 KB

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Mon, May 11, 2026 at 6:07 PM
To: Stanely Dhcs investigatons <stanely.white@dhcs.ca.gov>

Dear Mr. White,

Thank you for your time and for your anticipated follow-up this week.

I am forwarding supporting emails and records for DHCS review and routing. My understanding is that you are the appropriate DHCS Investigation Division contact and that you may communicate with the Licensing Division as needed.

I am providing these materials to preserve the record and help DHCS determine whether any DHCS-regulated, licensed, funded, or contracted provider records, communications, or program actions are implicated.

I am not asking DHCS to decide family court issues, criminal liability, or non-DHCS agency fault. I am asking for review/routing to the correct DHCS unit, including Investigation Division, Licensing Division, or any other appropriate DHCS office.

Please let me know if there is a DHCS reference number, assigned unit, or specific document format you would like me to use for any further submissions.

Respectfully,

Kyle David Haab
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
[Quoted text hidden]

7 attachments

- **img-f693338b-a77f-429b-84cc-b58de03f480f**
  33 KB
- **1A2 - SOW - Coalition for Responsible Community Development.pdf**
  65 KB
- **Agreement FE- CFCI - PA3 - 056 - 2023 - CRCD (1).pdf**
  1 MB
- **Coalition for Responsible Community Development (1).pdf**
  65 KB
- **CoalitionforResponsibleCommunityDevelopment_PA3_Y3Q4 (1).xlsx**
  44 KB
- **CRCD Partner and Stakeholder Statement.pdf**
  92 KB
- **PRA Request Response - Kyle Haab_3-13-26.pdf**
  192 KB

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Mon, May 11, 2026 at 9:32 PM
To: elijahblack30@gmail.com

---------- Forwarded message ---------
From: **JCOD PIO** <PIO@jcod.lacounty.gov>
Date: Fri, Mar 13, 2026, 5:10 PM
Subject: FW: PRA Request response Draft
To: kyle.dietz99@gmail.com <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

[Quoted text hidden]

7 attachments

- **img-f693338b-a77f-429b-84cc-b58de03f480f**
  33 KB
- **1A2 - SOW - Coalition for Responsible Community Development.pdf**
  65 KB
- **Agreement FE- CFCI - PA3 - 056 - 2023 - CRCD (1).pdf**
  1 MB

Coalition for Responsible Community Development (1).pdf
65 KB

CoalitionforResponsibleCommunityDevelopment_PA3_Y3Q4 (1).xlsx
44 KB

CRCD Partner and Stakeholder Statement.pdf
92 KB

PRA Request Response - Kyle Haab_3-13-26.pdf
192 KB

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Mon, May 11, 2026 at 9:53 PM
To: elijahblack30@gmail.com

---------- Forwarded message ---------
From: **Kyle** <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
[Quoted text hidden]
[Quoted text hidden]

---

6 attachments

1A2 - SOW - Coalition for Responsible Community Development.pdf
65 KB

Agreement FE- CFCI - PA3 - 056 - 2023 - CRCD (1).pdf
1 MB

Coalition for Responsible Community Development (1).pdf
65 KB

CoalitionforResponsibleCommunityDevelopment_PA3_Y3Q4 (1).xlsx
44 KB

CRCD Partner and Stakeholder Statement.pdf
92 KB

PRA Request Response - Kyle Haab_3-13-26.pdf
192 KB

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Tue, May 12, 2026 at 12:25 AM
To: stanley.white@dhcs.ca.gov

Dear Mr. White,

Thank you for your time and for your anticipated follow-up this week.

I am forwarding supporting emails and records for DHCS review and routing. My understanding is that you are the appropriate DHCS Investigation Division contact and that you may communicate with the Licensing Division as needed.

I am providing these materials to preserve the record and help DHCS determine whether any DHCS-regulated, licensed, funded, or contracted provider records, communications, or program actions are implicated.

I am not asking DHCS to decide family court issues, criminal liability, or non-DHCS agency fault. I am asking for review/routing to the correct DHCS unit, including Investigation Division, Licensing Division, or any other appropriate DHCS office.

Please let me know if there is a DHCS reference number, assigned unit, or specific document format you would like me to use for any further submissions.

Respectfully,

Kyle David Haab
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
[Quoted text hidden]

---

img-f693338b-a77f-429b-84cc-b58de03f480f
33 KB

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Tue, May 12, 2026 at 1:19 AM
To: stanley.white@dhcs.ca.gov

Dear Mr. White,

Thank you for your time and for your anticipated follow-up this week.

I am forwarding supporting emails and records for DHCS review and routing. My understanding is that you are the appropriate DHCS Investigation Division contact and that you may communicate with the Licensing Division as needed.

I am providing these materials to preserve the record and help DHCS determine whether any DHCS-regulated, licensed, funded, or contracted provider records, communications, or program actions are implicated.

I am not asking DHCS to decide family court issues, criminal liability, or non-DHCS agency fault. I am asking for review/routing to the correct DHCS unit, including Investigation Division, Licensing Division, or any other appropriate DHCS office.

Please let me know if there is a DHCS reference number, assigned unit, or specific document format you would like me to use for any further submissions.

Respectfully,

Kyle David Haab
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

---------- Forwarded message ---------
From: **JCOD PIO** <PIO@jcod.lacounty.gov>
Date: Fri, Mar 13, 2026, 5:10 PM
Subject: FW: PRA Request response Draft
To: kyle.dietz99@gmail.com <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

[Quoted text hidden]

7 attachments

- **img-f693338b-a77f-429b-84cc-b58de03f480f**
  33 KB
- **1A2 - SOW - Coalition for Responsible Community Development.pdf**
  65 KB
- **Agreement FE- CFCI - PA3 - 056 - 2023 - CRCD (1).pdf**
  1 MB
- **Coalition for Responsible Community Development (1).pdf**
  65 KB
- **CoalitionforResponsibleCommunityDevelopment_PA3_Y3Q4 (1).xlsx**
  44 KB
- **CRCD Partner and Stakeholder Statement.pdf**
  92 KB
- **PRA Request Response - Kyle Haab_3-13-26.pdf**
  192 KB

White, Stanley@DHCS <Stanley.White@dhcs.ca.gov> Tue, May 12, 2026 at 12:38 PM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Hi Kyle,

I am confirming receipt of the 31 emails you sent me earlier today. Once I have completed my review and additional research; I will contact you later this week via telephone to discuss. Thank you for your patience.

Stanley White | Assistant Deputy Director
Audits & Investigations
California Department of Health Care Services
(916) 750-3779

[Quoted text hidden]

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail and any attachments may contain information which is confidential, sensitive, privileged, proprietary or otherwise protected by law. The information is solely intended for the named recipients, other authorized individuals, or a person responsible for delivering it to the authorized recipients. If you are not an authorized recipient of this message, you are not permitted to read, print, retain, copy or disseminate this message or any part of it. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately by return e-mail and delete it from your e-mail inbox, including your deleted items folder.

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Tue, May 12, 2026 at 1:13 PM
To: White, Stanley@DHCS <Stanley.White@dhcs.ca.gov>

Dear Mr. White,

Thank you for confirming receipt of the 31 emails and for reviewing the materials.

I appreciate your additional research and anticipated follow-up later this week.

Because this matter involves multiple records, agencies, and routing issues, I respectfully request that after any telephone discussion, DHCS also provide a brief written summary confirming:

1. what DHCS reviewed;
2. what issue or lane DHCS is reviewing;
3. whether the matter is with Audits & Investigations, Licensing, Privacy, OLS, or another DHCS unit;
4. whether any materials are being referred or coordinated with another agency or custodian;
5. whether DHCS needs any additional documents from me.

This will help me keep the record accurate and avoid misunderstanding after the phone call.

Respectfully,

Kyle David Haab
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
[Quoted text hidden]

---

image001.png
5 KB

White, Stanley@DHCS <Stanley.White@dhcs.ca.gov> Tue, May 12, 2026 at 1:19 PM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Hi Kyle,

I am confirming receipt of your email. Thank you.

Stanley White | Assistant Deputy Director
Audits & Investigations
California Department of Health Care Services
(916) 750-3779

From: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2026 1:13 PM
To: White, Stanley@DHCS <Stanley.White@dhcs.ca.gov>
Subject: Re: [External]Re: FW: PRA Request response Draft

[Quoted text hidden]

White, Stanley@DHCS <Stanley.White@dhcs.ca.gov> Tue, May 12, 2026 at 2:36 PM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Hi Kyle,

Thank you for speaking with me earlier today regarding your concerns related to the housing subsidy grant. After reviewing the materials you provided and discussing the matter with my team, I want to reiterate that your complaint falls outside the jurisdiction of the Department of Health Care Services (DHCS). As we discussed by telephone, the Los Angeles County Justice, Care and Opportunities Department (JCOD) is the appropriate county authority over the Care First Community Investment (CFCI) program and any issues involving the grant or the third-party administrator, Amity Foundation. Based on the batch of emails you shared, you have already been in contact with JCOD regarding this matter. To ensure accuracy, my team reached out to Avi Bernard at JCOD (abernard@jcod.lacounty.gov). Avi confirmed that JCOD is actively processing your Public Records Act (PRA) request and that he is the correct point of contact for any additional questions, outstanding issues, or updates you may need. Because this matter concerns activities and decisions under JCOD's authority and not DHCS; DHCS will consider this matter closed from our end. Thank you.

Stanley White | Assistant Deputy Director
Audits & Investigations
California Department of Health Care Services
(916) 750-3779

From: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2026 1:13 PM
To: White, Stanley@DHCS <Stanley.White@dhcs.ca.gov>
Subject: Re: [External]Re: FW: PRA Request response Draft

[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Wed, May 13, 2026 at 9:54 AM
To: White, Stanley@DHCS <Stanley.White@dhcs.ca.gov>, JCOD PIO <pio@jcod.lacounty.gov>, abernard@jcod.lacounty.gov, Angelica.Rey@dhcs.ca.gov, Joann Sanchez <jsanchez@amityfdn.org>
Cc: Benjamin Wu <Bwu@lafla.org>, Nataly Estrada <nestrada@lafla.org>, Cassandra Buhler <cbuhler@lafla.org>, Crystal Izarraraz <izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com>, Sanford, Ahliyah <asanford@bos.lacounty.gov>

Dear Mr. Bernard,

I am requesting JCOD coordination and written routing clarification regarding CFCI-PA3-056-2023, the rental-assistance records, and the related court and agency deadlines now affected by the unresolved records issue.

I understand that JCOD is processing my pending PRA request regarding the rental assistance JCOD previously identified as tied to CFCI funding. I also understand that JCOD's April 27, 2026 response states that JCOD is continuing its search and review of potentially responsive records and anticipates an update or response on or before May 27, 2026.

I am asking that JCOD treat this as more than a payment-ledger issue. The CFCI-PA3-056-2023 rental-assistance records affect my current living situation, court participation, family-law posture, and related administrative proceedings.

The unresolved issue is:

What consent, authorization, ROI, release, intake record, participant agreement, source record, or other authority supported the use, sharing, reporting, payment processing, monitoring, and reliance on my information within the CFCI / Amity / CRCD rental-assistance chain?

This matters because JCOD is answering for the CFCI-PA3-056-2023 rental-assistance lane, but the same records overlap with several active matters:

1. Unlawful detainer case 26CMUD00417, with a pending June 9, 2026 jury trial;
2. Family-law case 25CMFL00694, with a pending June 11, 2026 custody/visitation hearing;
3. Federal matter CV26-802-UA;
4. SHN-105293108, where DSS stated the matter was assigned to "County" but did not identify the County department or representative;
5. County Fraud / OCI references 2025-23289 and 2026-23965;
6. LAPD reports C259045687 and C269013241, with unresolved coordination questions involving Captain Francis Boateng;
7. DOL OIG records/FOIA response involving the same identifiers;
8. DRE investigation assigned to Kelly Anderson regarding the landlord/property documentation lane;
9. Pending Regional Center service-access appointment involving my fiancée, Crystal Izarraraz, whose stability and documentation needs affect our family-functioning and court participation.

For the unlawful-detainer case only, I am represented by Legal Aid Foundation of Los Angeles. My attorney is Bwu@lafla.org, the paralegal assistant is nestrada@lafla.org, and the LAFLA case worker is cbuhler@lafla.org. I do not have representation in the family-law case.

I am not asking JCOD to decide family law, unlawful detainer, criminal liability, disability services, attorney discipline, or real-estate enforcement. I am asking JCOD to coordinate the records lane that JCOD controls or administers through CFCI-PA3-056-2023.

Please confirm:

1. Whether JCOD's pending PRA review includes the approval basis and authorization record for each rental-assistance payment;
2. Whether JCOD's review includes participant consent, ROI, release, intake, privacy, or source records relied upon for CFCI-PA3-056-2023 reporting and payment processing;
3. Whether JCOD's review includes Amity Foundation records showing participant reporting, payment approval, reimbursement, invoice, oversight, monitoring, or compliance activity;
4. Whether JCOD's review includes CRCD records involving my lease, landlord verification, W-9, ACH, payment records, housing-support documents, or rent ledgers;
5. Whether JCOD's review includes records showing whether Amity or CRCD relied on an alleged 2023 Ruth's Place / HMIS-Clarity consent or ROI;
6. Whether JCOD will coordinate directly with LAHSA regarding the separate HMIS / Ruth's Place / consent-source issue;
7. Whether JCOD will coordinate directly with County Fraud / OCI regarding 2025-23289 and 2026-23965;

8. Whether JCOD will identify the proper County contact for SHN-105293108 if the assigned “County” department relates to CFCI / Amity / CRCD rental-assistance records;
9. Whether JCOD will identify any records that should be referred to or coordinated with LAPD, DRE, LAHSA, County Counsel, Amity, CRCD, or another responsible custodian;
10. Whether JCOD can provide a written status update before the June court deadlines, even if the full PRA production is still being processed.

My goal is agency-to-agency coordination, not another broad referral loop. JCOD’s own records confirm the County CFCI / Amity / CRCD rental-assistance lane. The remaining issue is what consent/source record authorized that records chain and who will coordinate with the other agencies where the records overlap.

Respectfully,

Kyle David Haab
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
[Quoted text hidden]

image001.png
5 KB

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Thu, May 21, 2026 at 2:42 PM
To: White, Stanley@DHCS <Stanley.White@dhcs.ca.gov>, JCOD PIO <pio@jcod.lacounty.gov>, abernard@jcod.lacounty.gov, Angelica.Rey@dhcs.ca.gov, Joann Sanchez <jsanchez@amityfdn.org>, LGAinquries@dhcs.ca.gov
Cc: Benjamin Wu <Bwu@lafla.org>, Nataly Estrada <nestrada@lafla.org>, Cassandra Buhler <cbuhler@lafla.org>, Crystal Izarraraz <izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com>, Melinda.Castro@dhcs.ca.gov, Melissa.Bacon@dhcs.ca.gov, Michelle.Touhey@dhcs.ca.gov, Jami.Cantore@doj.ca.gov, Alma.Pantoja@doj.ca.gov

DHCS_LGA_CRCD_Impact_Nexu…
Exhibit_Packet_May21_2026.pdf

[Quoted text hidden]

2 attachments

image001.png
5 KB

DHCS_LGA_CRCD_Impact_Nexus_Exhibit_Packet_May21_2026.pdf
16.2 MB

JCOD PIO <PIO@jcod.lacounty.gov> Thu, May 21, 2026 at 3:38 PM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Dr. Mr. Haab,

PRA requests only apply to documents in our possession. Your communication on 5/13/2026 was difficult to understand and appears to ask multiple questions, but does not request documents. Can you clarify what documents you are requesting?

Best,

Avi Bernard
Public Information Officer
Justice Care and Opportunities Department
abernard@jcod.lacounty.gov
jcod.lacounty.gov

LOS ANGELES COUNTY
JUSTICE CARE AND OPPORTUNITIES DEPARTMENT

From: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
Date: Thursday, May 21, 2026 at 2:49 PM
To: White, Stanley@DHCS <Stanley.White@dhcs.ca.gov>; JCOD PIO <PIO@jcod.lacounty.gov>; Avi Bernard <ABernard@jcod.lacounty.gov>; Angelica.Rey@dhcs.ca.gov <Angelica.Rey@dhcs.ca.gov>; Joann Sanchez <jsanchez@amityfdn.org>; LGAinquries@dhcs.ca.gov <LGAinquries@dhcs.ca.gov>
Cc: Benjamin Wu <Bwu@lafla.org>; Nataly Estrada <nestrada@lafla.org>; Cassandra Buhler <cbuhler@lafla.org>; Crystal Izarraraz <izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com>; Melinda.Castro@dhcs.ca.gov <Melinda.Castro@dhcs.ca.gov>; Melissa.Bacon@dhcs.ca.gov <Melissa.Bacon@dhcs.ca.gov>; Michelle.Touhey@dhcs.ca.gov <Michelle.Touhey@dhcs.ca.gov>; Jami.Cantore@doj.ca.gov <Jami.Cantore@doj.ca.gov>; Alma.Pantoja@doj.ca.gov <Alma.Pantoja@doj.ca.gov>
Subject: Re: [External]Re: FW: PRA Request response Draft

CAUTION: External Email. Proceed Responsibly. [Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Thu, May 21, 2026 at 4:19 PM
To: JCOD PIO <PIO@jcod.lacounty.gov>

Dear Mr. Bernard,

Thank you for your response. I am clarifying the specific documents requested so JCOD can continue processing without delay.

Please do not treat this clarification as replacing or withdrawing my existing April 2, 2026 CPRA request. JCOD's April 27, 2026 response already stated that JCOD was continuing its search and review of potentially responsive records and anticipated an update or response on or before May 27, 2026.

I am copying Stanley White and Angelica Rey from DHCS because DHCS Audits & Investigations directed the CFCI / Amity / grant-administration issue back to JCOD. This clarification is intended to identify the specific documents needed from the JCOD / Amity / CRCD lane, while preserving that DHCS may remain separate for any DHCS-held records.

This is a document request only. I am not asking for legal opinions or narrative answers.

Please produce records in JCOD's possession, custody, or control sufficient to show:

1. The exact months rental assistance was paid on my behalf between August 2024 and June 2025.

2. The exact amount paid for each month.

3. The funding source used for each payment.

4. Whether any payment during the August 2024 to June 2025 period was made from a source other than CFCI.

5. The approval basis and authorization record for each payment.

6. The role of JCOD, Amity Foundation, and CRCD in approving, administering, reimbursing, monitoring, or overseeing each payment.

7. Any invoice, reimbursement request, payment approval, expenditure report, payment ledger, backup documentation, monitoring record, compliance record, correspondence, internal note, or participant-level report tied to those payments under CFCI-PA3-056-2023.

8. Any participant consent, release, ROI, authorization, intake form, participant agreement, privacy notice, eligibility record, or source record relied upon for my information to be used, shared, reported, monitored, reimbursed, or processed within the JCOD / Amity / CRCD rental-assistance chain.

9. Any record showing what consent, ROI, release, authorization, court order, guardian authorization, parental authorization, participant agreement, or source record allowed CRCD, Amity, or JCOD-related records to collect, use, classify, disclose, transmit, report, or rely on information concerning my children, including child names, custody status, household composition, family status, reunification status, ICPC status, placement status, dependency/family-law status, or eligibility for family-based housing assistance.

10. Any record showing when CRCD, Amity, or JCOD first learned that I had children, who provided that information, how it was recorded, and whether it was used in rental assistance, housing placement, family-status classification, eligibility, reporting, monitoring, reimbursement, or program compliance.

11. Any Amity Foundation paperwork, enrollment paperwork, Individual Development Plan paperwork, case notes, intake records, eligibility records, participant records, or related documentation dated on or around June 13, 2024 involving Kyle Robert Haab / Kyle Dietz and any CRCD contact listed on that paperwork, including Regina Vazquez / R. Vazquez / rvazquez@coalitionrcd.org.

12. Any record showing how the Amity paperwork was created, transmitted, received, reviewed, approved, corrected, relied upon, or reported by Amity Foundation, CRCD, or JCOD, including who entered the information, what source documents were used, what consent/ROI authorized the transfer, and whether the paperwork was used for CFCI-PA3-056-2023 eligibility, reporting, reimbursement, or rental-assistance processing.

13. Any record showing whether JCOD, Amity, or CRCD relied on a 2023 CRCD / Ruth's Place / HMIS / Clarity contact, consent, release, or ROI for later Project Tipping Point, Amity enrollment, rental assistance, landlord, household, child-related, or family-status activity.

14. Records identifying the custodian responsible for participant-level CFCI-PA3-056-2023 records, rental-assistance backup records, consent/ROI/source records, Amity enrollment/IDP records, family/child-status records, Amity reporting records, and CRCD participant records.

This request concerns CFCI-PA3-056-2023, Amity Foundation, CRCD / Project Tipping Point, rental assistance associated with Kyle Robert Haab / Kyle Dietz, my household/family-status information, my children's information, Amity paperwork dated on or around June 13, 2024, and 1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4, Los Angeles, CA 90002.

If JCOD does not possess a requested category of records, please state whether JCOD understands the records to be held by Amity Foundation, CRCD, LAHSA/HMIS, another County department, or another custodian.

If records are withheld or redacted, please produce all reasonably segregable non-exempt portions and identify the exemption claimed.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert Haab
1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4
Los Angeles, CA 90002
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
[Quoted text hidden]

img-cad01c9d-f2fc-425e-8b78-9448f2d11790
33 KB

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Tue, May 26, 2026 at 1:32 PM
To: JCOD PIO <PIO@jcod.lacounty.gov>

Dear Mr. Bernard,

I am following up regarding my clarified document request concerning CFCI-PA3-056-2023, Amity Foundation, CRCD / Project Tipping Point, and rental assistance associated with Kyle Robert Haab / Kyle Dietz.

JCOD previously confirmed that CFCI funds were used for rental assistance between August 2024 and June 2025, that CRCD used funds for 9 months of rental assistance at $1,000 per month, and that the assistance was categorized under Program Area 3, Youth Housing.

On May 21, 2026, I clarified the specific documents requested, including records sufficient to show payment months, amounts, funding source, approval basis, authorization records, participant consent/ROI/source records, Amity/CRCD payment backup, and records concerning household/family-status or child-related information.

Please confirm whether JCOD's anticipated May 27, 2026 update or response will include these clarified categories, or whether JCOD needs anything further from me to process the request.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert Haab
1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4
Los Angeles, CA 90002
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
[Quoted text hidden]

JCOD PIO <PIO@jcod.lacounty.gov> Tue, May 26, 2026 at 4:29 PM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Dear Mr. Haab,

Thank you for the updated information. I will file a new PRA request for those documents, dated 5/21/26. Your other PRA request will be separate.

Best,
Avi
[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Tue, May 26, 2026 at 5:09 PM
To: JCOD PIO <PIO@jcod.lacounty.gov>, jthomas@coalitionRCD.org <jthomas@coalitionrcd.org>
Cc: abernard@jcod.lacounty.gov

Dear Mr. Bernard and Mr. Thomas,

I am forwarding the CalVCB rental / relocation verification forms for record-custodian clarification and completion guidance.

CalVCB has opened Application No. A26-10253806 and issued forms requesting verification of rental and relocation-related expenses. These forms overlap with the CFCI-PA3-056-2023 / Amity Foundation / CRCD / Project Tipping Point rental-assistance records previously identified by JCOD.

I am not asking JCOD to act as my landlord or to complete any section that JCOD is not authorized to complete. I am requesting written clarification on the proper custodian or entity that can verify the rental-assistance, payment, and relocation-related records connected to:

1. CRCD / Project Tipping Point;
2. Amity Foundation as third-party administrator;
3. CFCI-PA3-056-2023;
4. rental assistance associated with Kyle Robert Haab / Kyle Dietz;
5. 1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4, Los Angeles, CA 90002;
6. any lease, landlord-verification, payment, reimbursement, approval, or relocation-related documentation in the CFCI / Amity / CRCD record chain.

Please confirm:

1. Who is the correct person or entity to complete the CalVCB rental verification form if the rent/payment records were processed through CRCD / Amity / CFCI;
2. Whether CRCD can verify any rental-assistance, landlord/payment, lease, W-9, ACH, or relocation-related records;
3. Whether Amity Foundation is the proper custodian for any payment verification or reimbursement records;
4. Whether JCOD's new May 21, 2026 PRA request will include records sufficient to verify payment months, payment amounts, funding source, authorization/source records, and participant-level backup documentation;
5. Whether any CalVCB verification should be directed to the landlord, CRCD, Amity Foundation, JCOD, or another custodian.

I am providing these forms for routing and verification purposes only. I am not asking JCOD or CRCD to decide CalVCB eligibility, criminal liability, family-law issues, unlawful-detainer issues, or any non-records matter.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert Haab
CalVCB Application No. A26-10253806
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
[Quoted text hidden]

---

6 attachments

- **CamScanner 05-26-2026 16.51_5 (3).jpg**
  366 KB
- **CamScanner 05-26-2026 16.51_1 (3).jpg**
  3.2 MB
- **CamScanner 05-26-2026 16.51_4 (3).jpg**
  3.2 MB
- **CamScanner 05-26-2026 16.51_3 (3).jpg**
  3.7 MB

CamScanner 05-26-2026 16.51_2 (3).jpg
3.8 MB

img-cad01c9d-f2fc-425e-8b78-9448f2d11790
33 KB

V4 ADDENDUM G - MASTER CROSS-AGENCY CEOP / OCI SOURCE-RECORD CONTROL PACKET

OCI 2026-23965 / 2025-23289 / StudentAid-FSA / LAHSA-HMIS / HUD / CWDB-EWDD / DHCS / LADA / CalVCB / LAPD

Purpose and no-delay posture

This packet is the master bridge for County intake and cross-agency association. It does not ask one office to decide every merit issue. It asks one office to identify the corrective-action owner or cross-reference path for the same unresolved source-record chain.

This is a cure-stage packet. The receiving office should not treat it as a new initial complaint, a generalized narrative, or a request to decide every collateral issue. The requested response is a written disposition-quality answer: custodian, source record, search scope, access/disclosure logs, payment authority, routing owner, and next procedural step.

Response deadline / adequate-response standard

- Written acknowledgement and assignment or routing owner requested within 5 business days.

- Substantive written status, no-record determination, referral confirmation, or production plan requested within 10 business days unless a statute supplies a different deadline.

- A vague closure, a funding-only closure, or a referral back to an implicated custodian is not adequate unless the response identifies the records searched, the exact issue closed, and the remaining owner.

- If the office lacks jurisdiction, the response should identify the correct office, transfer path, or reason no transfer is possible.

Control statement

The common control issue is whether a limited 2023 CRCD/Ruths Place/HMIS contact was treated as continuing authority for later 2024-2026 PTP, Amity/CFCI, landlord/payment, lease, W-9, ACH, rental assistance, education/workforce, HMIS, CalVCB, law-enforcement, and child/family-status records without a source record expressly authorizing that later activity.

Key identifiers

Identifier / lane	Use in this packet	Required handling
CRCD / Project Tipping Point ID 1233369910	Program file and source authorization anchor	Search using exact ID and all variants.
CFCI-PA3-056-2023	County public-funds / Amity / CRCD rental-assistance lane	Tie to payment authority and monitoring records.
OCI 2025-23289 / 2026-23965	County Fraud / County Counsel privacy-routing lanes	Reconcile status and scope.
LAPD C259045687 / C269013241	Law-enforcement documentation and closure-integrity lane	Cross-reference, do not isolate.
HUD FOIA 26-FI-RO9-01576 / LAHSA 2526KH-A / HMIS 8F8CD9D8B	HMIS / HUD / CoC source-record lane	Do not treat LAHSA funding closure as consent closure.
CalVCB A26-10253806	Victim-compensation/rental verification lane	Associate law-enforcement and housing records.
ED OIG C26IOU05768 / FERPA-SPPO 26-0253	Education source-record lane	Associate education/access logs.
DOL OIG 2026067	Federal workforce/law-enforcement FOIA lane	Do not treat local closure as federal closure.

Detailed evidence-to-response matrix

Evidence / source	What it establishes	Response now required
CEOP/OCI cross-agency addendum	Already compiles the control theory, routing update, timeline, and exhibits across major lanes.	Add to OCI 2026-23965 and cross-reference 2025-23289/2025-23345.
StudentAid/FSA embedded in CEOP packet	Shows education-record/account-security nexus.	Associate ED/FSA with County source-record chain.

Evidence / source	What it establishes	Response now required
LAHSA/HMIS/HUD embedded in CEOP packet	Shows HMIS/source-record and local/federal routing.	Do not treat funding closure as source authorization closure.
DHCS/CWDB/LADA embedded in CEOP packet	Shows provider, workforce, and public-integrity routing.	Identify proper corrective owner.

Minimum adequate response checklist

1. Confirm this addendum is added to active OCI case 2026-23965.

2. Confirm whether prior records from 2025-23289 and 2025-23345 are cross-referenced without treating 2025-23289 as a merits denial unless a merits investigation actually occurred.

3. Confirm whether 2026-23965 remains the active County Counsel HIPAA/privacy-related fraud referral or identify the correct active case number.

4. Identify the office responsible for records correction, corrective-action routing, ADA/accommodation routing, or interagency coordination where disputed records continue to create current harm.

5. Confirm whether County will reconcile LAHSA limited funding-jurisdiction review with the later-confirmed CFCI/JCOD/Amity/CRCD public-funding and rental-assistance chain.

6. Issue a written source-record owner and no-substitution determination.

No-substitution rule

- Do not substitute an acknowledgement for a disposition.

- Do not substitute a funding-source answer for a source-authorization answer.

- Do not substitute a no-open-case statement for historical records classification.

- Do not substitute a provider or contractor response for an independent custodian response when that provider or contractor is part of the disputed chain.

Records to preserve and search

Record category	Specific records to preserve/search	Why it matters
Master source records	2023 Ruths Place/HMIS, 2024 PTP Formstack, Amity IDP, LATTC/FSA, CFCI rental assistance.	Defines source chain.
Downstream reliance	Landlord/payment, lease, W-9, ACH, CalVCB, law-enforcement, court, family-status records.	Defines harm/current reliance.
Cross-agency routing	LAHSA, HUD, CWDB/EWDD, DHCS, LADA, DOL, ED, LAPD, County Counsel, OCI.	Defines owner problem.
Status/closure records	2025-23289, 2025-23345, 2026-23965, LAHSA closure, LAPD closure, federal FOIA status.	Defines no-delay disposition matrix.

Proposed agency response format

Field	Agency entry requested	Reason
Matter status	Open / closed / referred / transferred / no-record / pending / partially produced	Prevents contradictory status labels.
Assigned owner	Name, unit, email, phone, and case number if available	Prevents delay by routing loop.
Records searched	Systems, custodians, date ranges, keywords, identifiers	Prevents false no-record answers.
Source authority found	Exact consent, ROI, agreement, referral, payment approval, or no-record determination	Answers the core defect.
Remaining step	Production date, referral target, appeal route, complaint route, or correction process	Allows follow-up without restarting.

Appendix index - source exhibits appended after generated front matter

Appendix	Source file/pages	Purpose
1	CEOP/OCI cross-agency addendum - full	Primary master source packet.

Confidentiality and redaction warning

- Review before public filing. These materials may include housing, health, HMIS/social-service, education, legal-aid, law-enforcement, family-law, juvenile, settlement, tax, payment, address, and sensitive personal records.

- Do not publicly distribute unredacted SSNs, bank/routing numbers, taxpayer IDs, full DOBs, medical identifiers, minor-child details, or privileged/confidential communications.

- Original source records control if any embedded page, image, or OCR text is unclear.

SOURCE EXHIBIT APPENDIX

Appendix 1: CEOP/OCI 2026-23965 Cross-Agency Source-Record Addendum

Source: CEOP_OCI_2026_23965_Cross_Agency_Source_Record_Addendum_May27_2026.pdf

Purpose: Primary master cross-agency control packet.

The original document pages follow. If any source page is unclear, request the original native/source record from the custodian.

Updated Cross-Agency Source-Record Addendum

For CEOP / County Intake / Los Angeles County Fraud Hotline / OCI 2026_23965

Prepared by Kyle Robert Haab | May 27, 2026 | For administrative case association, cross-reference, preservation, and corrective-action routing.

Primary active case	OCI 2026_23965
Related prior lane	County Fraud / OCI 2025-23289 and 2025-23345
Other identifiers	CalVCB A26-10253806; LAPD C259045687 and C269013241; LAPD Complaint Form 26-001767; LAHSA 2526KH-A; HMIS 8F8CD9D8B; CFCI-PA3-056-2023; ED OIG C26IOU05768; FERPA/SPPO 26-0253; DOL OIG FOIA 2026067; HUD FOIA 26-FI-RO9-01580.
Purpose	To transmit updated cross-agency records showing the common alleged 2023 CRCD/Ruth's Place/HMIS source-record issue and downstream reliance across education, HMIS, HUD/CPD, workforce, DHCS, County CFCI/Amity, LADA, LAPD/OCI, and CalVCB lanes.

Use and boundary statement

This addendum is submitted for administrative routing, case association, records correction, evidence preservation, and identification of a corrective-action owner. It does not ask CEOP, OCI, LADA, HUD, DHCS, CWDB, LAHSA, or any other office to decide every underlying housing, family-law, criminal, education, benefits, or civil-liability issue. It asks the receiving office to preserve and cross-reference the records and identify the office responsible for disputed source-record reliance and ongoing harm.

Source-control note: selected full packets and selected key pages are appended. Original PDFs, emails, screenshots, agency letters, spreadsheets, and source files remain the controlling records if any embedded page is unclear. This packet is designed to be usable as an email-size updated addendum rather than a full duplicate of every existing master packet.

Updated Transmittal Text

Dear CEOP / County Intake Specialist Unit / Los Angeles County Fraud Hotline:

I am submitting this updated cross-agency source-record addendum for association with active OCI case 2026_23965 and related prior County Fraud / OCI records, including 2025-23289 and 2025-23345.

This addendum does not replace my prior CEOP / OCI supplemental packet or the FSA education-record addendum. It adds updated source packets showing that the same unresolved source-authority issue appears across LAHSA/HMIS, HUD CPD/HMIS escalation, City LWDA / EWDD / CWDB workforce routing, DHCS beneficiary/source-system routing, LADA Public Integrity screening, and StudentAid/FSA/FERPA/ED OIG records.

The common control issue is whether a limited 2023 CRCD / Ruth's Place / HMIS contact was treated as continuing authority for later 2024-2026 Project Tipping Point, Amity/CFCI, landlord/payment, lease, W-9, ACH, rental-assistance, education/workforce, HMIS, CalVCB, law-enforcement, and child/family-status records without a source record expressly authorizing that later activity.

The ongoing harm is that unresolved records and source-authority questions continue to affect benefits, housing stability, law-enforcement documentation, education records, public-program routing, and Tennessee/California court-access or family-integrity matters while agencies continue to route portions of the issue to one another.

I am not requesting confidential investigative strategy or privileged internal records. I am requesting confirmation that this addendum is added to OCI 2026_23965, cross-referenced with 2025-23289 and related agency records, and routed to the correct corrective-action, records-correction, ADA/accommodation, interagency-coordination, or investigator pathway.

Requested confirmations

1. Confirm this addendum has been added to active OCI case 2026_23965.

2. Confirm prior related records from 2025-23289 and 2025-23345 will be cross-referenced without treating 2025-23289 as a merits denial unless OCI confirms that a merits investigation actually occurred.

3. Confirm whether 2026_23965 remains the active County Counsel HIPAA/privacy-related fraud referral or identify the correct active case number.

4. Identify the office responsible for records-correction, corrective-action routing, ADA/accommodation routing, or interagency coordination where disputed records continue to create current harm.

5. Confirm whether the County will reconcile the LAHSA limited funding-jurisdiction review with the later-confirmed CFCI / JCOD / Amity / CRCD public-funding and rental-assistance record chain.

Control Theory

Evidence lane	What the updated packet preserves
StudentAid/FSA / ED OIG / FERPA	Education-record and account-security nexus involving LATTC enrollment history, noncredit application metadata, StudentAid.gov / FSA records, ED OIG C26IOU05768, and FERPA/SPPO 26-0253.
LAHSA / HMIS / CRCD Ruth's Place	The source-record chain begins with an alleged 2023 CRCD/Ruth's Place/HMIS contact; LAHSA/HMIS is downstream if later PTP activity relied on that record.
HUD CPD / HMIS escalation	HUD/CPD lane is procedure/routing/preservation: identify the escalation path when local HMIS does not provide written source-record, consent-scope, access-log, disclosure, correction, or escalation response.
CWDB / City LWDA / EWDD / WorkSource	Workforce lane asks for City LWDA/EWDD custodian and routing clarification where County DEO says CRCD/Vernon-Central-LATTC WorkSource falls under City of Los Angeles LWDA.
DHCS / beneficiary / source-system	DHCS lane asks whether beneficiary records, provider records, source systems, privacy/disclosure logs, Amity/DHCS status, or TPL/fraud routing touched the same CRCD/PTP/Amity/CFCI chain.
LADA Public Integrity	Public-integrity lane asks whether public handling, routing, closure, custodian refusal, or failure to preserve/cross-reference records created an accountability concern.
CEOP / OCI / County Fraud	County lane must reconcile active 2026_23965 with the prior 2025-23289 status conflict and identify the office responsible for ongoing harm and corrective-action routing.

Control sentence

The unresolved issue is not whether one agency has jurisdiction over every topic. The unresolved issue is which public office owns corrective-action responsibility for a County-administered or County-funded contractor/subrecipient record chain where disputed source authority and cross-jurisdiction communications continue to affect housing, benefits, law-enforcement documentation, education records, and interstate family-court access.

Timeline and Routing Update

Date / period	Preserved event and relevance
04/27/2023-04/28/2023	CRCD/Ruth's Place/HMIS one-day entry appears in the record chain. The open question is whether that entry authorized later 2024-2026 PTP, housing, education, landlord/payment, child/family-status, and interagency activity.
06/07/2024	PTP application was submitted with metadata and education/housing/family-status fields, creating a pre-arrival / source-authorization anchor.
06/13/2024	Amity IDP date is disputed as to timing, custody chain, source authorization, and later use.
06/20/2024-06/30/2024	LATTC noncredit application and FSA enrollment history create education-record and account-security questions.
08/2024-06/2025	CFCI / JCOD / Amity / CRCD rental-assistance pathway implicated through CFCI-PA3-056-2023.
10/15/2025	County Fraud Hotline email reflected case 2025-23289 in queue for department investigation.
12/11/2025	LAHSA later stated the Redd review was closed and referred back to OCI; the review was limited to whether PTP was LAHSA-funded.
01/2026-03/2026	County Counsel HIPAA/privacy complaint and OCI 2026_23965 referral preserved the active privacy/fraud routing lane.
05/2026	Updated packets preserve ED/FSA, LAHSA/HMIS, HUD CPD, CWDB/City LWDA, DHCS, LADA, CEOP/OCI, CalVCB, and LAPD/IAD nexus.

Exhibit Index

Exhibit	Packet / selected source pages	Purpose
A	StudentAid/FSA Feedback Education-Record Nexus Packet - full 40-page packet	Preserves ED OIG, FERPA/SPPO, LATTC noncredit, FSA enrollment, Amity IDP timing, and source-authorization questions.
B	LAHSA Nexus CRCD/Ruth's Place Source-Record Chain Packet - full 35-page packet	Preserves CRCD 2023 source-record claim, Ruth's Place/HMIS one-day entry, LAHSA limited review, and OCI/LAPD cross-reliance question.
C	HUD CPD Corrected Escalation Packet - full 38-page packet	Preserves HUD CPD/HMIS escalation issue, consent ripple effect, LAHSA enforcement routing, and HUD FOIA association.
D	CWDB CPRA Request Packet - selected key pages	Preserves City LWDA/EWDD/WorkSource custodian issue, DOL OIG 2026067, and workforce records-routing request.
E	DHCS LGA CRCD Impact Nexus Packet - selected key pages	Preserves DHCS records-integrity, beneficiary/source-system, Amity-CFCI, HMIS/Ruth's Place, family/housing impact, and requested DHCS review.
F	LADA Public Integrity Expanded Screening Packet - full 12-page packet	Preserves LADA Public Integrity screening request, alleged consent/source-record issue, CFCI-PA3-056-2023, and law-enforcement routing.

Selected-pages note

The FSA, LAHSA, HUD, and LADA packets are short enough to append in full. The CWDB and DHCS packets are longer; this addendum includes the selected narrative, custodian, routing, DOL OIG, Amity/CFCI, PTP, and consent/source-record pages most relevant to CEOP / OCI 2026_23965. Full CWDB and DHCS source packets remain available for separate transmission if requested.

EXHIBIT A

StudentAid / FSA Education-Record and Account-Security Nexus

Education-record nexus packet involving ED OIG C26IOU05768, FERPA/SPPO 26-0253, LATTC noncredit confirmation NC-31050281, PTP ID 1233369910, same-IP metadata, StudentAid.gov enrollment history, Amity IDP timing, and the disputed source authorization path.

Pages included: Full packet, pages 1-40

Source file: StudentAid_FSA_Feedback_Education_Record_Nexus_Exhibit_Packet_Haab_May25_2026.pdf

StudentAid.gov / Federal Student Aid Feedback Exhibit Packet

CRCD / Project Tipping Point - Education-Record, Pre-Arrival Enrollment, Noncredit Application, Amity IDP, and Source-Authorization Nexus

Prepared by	Kyle Robert Haab
Date	May 25, 2026
Primary purpose	Concise upload packet for StudentAid.gov / FSA Feedback Center, ED OIG cross-reference, FERPA/SPPO preservatio
Core issue	Whether education/enrollment records, noncredit application activity, and program paperwork were created, dated, acce
Lead organization named	Coalition for Responsible Community Development (CRCD) / Project Tipping Point; related Amity Foundation / LATTC /
Key identifiers	ED OIG C26IOU05768; FERPA/SPPO 26-0253; LACCD 25-2166 / 25-2167; CRCD/PTP 1233369910; LATTC noncredi

Source Attachment Control Note. This packet is designed to be uploaded as a concise exhibit set. Original PDFs, emails, screenshots, agency receipts, portal records, school records, and source files remain the controlling records if any embedded exhibit is unclear. Sensitive identifiers are redacted where necessary; the unredacted source record should be transmitted only to an authorized agency or custodian through a secure channel.

Limiting statement. This packet does not ask StudentAid.gov/FSA to decide housing, custody, landlord, criminal, County, or state-court issues. Those matters are referenced only where they cross-reference the same education-record, enrollment-assistance, identity/account-security, and source-authorization facts.

1. Copy-Ready StudentAid.gov Description Text

Use the following text in the StudentAid.gov/FSA Feedback Center description field, or attach this packet if the text field is too limited.

```
My issue is not a routine FAFSA correction. I am reporting a records-integrity and account-security concern
involving my StudentAid.gov/FSA enrollment history, LATTC enrollment records, noncredit application activity,
Project Tipping Point, Amity Foundation paperwork, and alleged 2023 consent/source-record authority.

The disputed timeline shows a possible pre-arrival education/workforce activation pattern. My Project Tipping
Point application was submitted on 6/7/24 with IP address 104.128.161.169. My LATTC noncredit application was
submitted on 6/20/24 under confirmation NC-31050281 using the same IP address, while still showing Tennessee
address information. My StudentAid.gov enrollment history later shows Los Angeles Trade-Technical College, school
code 00122700, Culinary Arts/Chef Training, with a program begin date of 6/30/24.

Amity Foundation IDP paperwork dated 6/13/24 states that I was “currently taking a sanitation course” and working
toward a culinary certification. I do not dispute that the ink signature appears to be mine. I dispute the
6/13/24 date, timing, custody chain, source authorization, and later use of the document. My understanding is
that Polet Lopez told me to answer questions and sign paperwork but not date it. My records indicate Sanitation &
Safety was not a June 13 course; it appears later in the education record chain.

I am requesting review of whether any StudentAid.gov, FAFSA, NSLDS, LATTC, LACCD, noncredit transcript,
school-linkage, enrollment, or education-verification record was created, submitted, accessed, modified,
transmitted, or relied on without valid written authorization.

This also involves Nagera Pemberton’s enrollment-assistance/screen-access role and the question of whether any
staff, navigator, contractor, nonprofit, school, or third party assisted with, accessed, submitted, or relied on
my education records before I was physically present in California or before valid authorization was established.

Relevant identifiers: ED OIG C26IOU05768; FERPA/SPPO 26-0253; LACCD 25-2166 and 25-2167; CRCD/PTP 1233369910;
LATTC noncredit confirmation NC-31050281; HMIS 8F8CD9D8B; LAHSA 2526KH-A; CFCI-PA3-056-2023; County Fraud/OCI
2025-23289 and 2026-23965; LAPD C259045687 and C269013241.
```

2. Copy-Ready Fair Outcome Text

```
A fair outcome would be written confirmation of the source of my LATTC/FSA enrollment reporting, including who
submitted or caused the 6/30/24 LATTC program begin date, who submitted the 6/20/24 noncredit application,
whether any StudentAid.gov/FAFSA/NSLDS/school-linkage activity occurred before my physical California arrival,
and whether any third party accessed or used my education records.

I request preservation and review of access logs, IP addresses, timestamps, device records, school submissions,
NSLDS/FSA reporting records, FAFSA activity, account-recovery events, noncredit transcript records, OpenCCC/LACCD
records, LATTC records, and any staff or navigator records involving Nagera Pemberton.

If no unauthorized activity occurred, I request written confirmation identifying the legitimate source record,
reporting path, and authorization relied upon.

If suspicious or unauthorized activity occurred, I request account protection, correction of inaccurate records,
preservation of logs, identification of the school/entity/staff/account involved, and referral to ED OIG,
FERPA/SPPO, FSA account-resolution staff, and any appropriate privacy or law-enforcement office.

Please associate this submission with ED OIG complaint C26IOU05768 and FERPA/SPPO tracking number 26-0253.
```

3. Narrow Issue Presented

Whether CRCD / Project Tipping Point, Amity Foundation, LACCD/LATTC, or any staff/navigator/third-party access path caused, assisted with, created, dated, submitted, transmitted, or relied on education-record or enrollment-status records before physical California arrival or without a valid written source authorization.

The specific focus is the alignment between: (1) the PTP application metadata; (2) the LATTC noncredit application metadata; (3) the StudentAid.gov LATTC enrollment history; (4) the LATTC transcript course timing; and (5) the Amity IDP dated 6/13/24.

4. Key Facts and Timeline

Date	Record / Event	Why it matters
3/25/2024	CSAC Chafee preliminary award notice tied to CSAC ID 1[illegible]	Shows [illegible] context existed before later CRCD/PTP education-housing activit
6/7/2024	PTP application submitted at 2:25 PM; IP 104.128.161.169, [illegible]	Creates [illegible] anchor and shows PTP intake contained education, housing, disability/IE
6/13/2024	Amity IDP dated 6/13/24, listing Los Angeles address and stating student was currently taking sanitation course	Creates disputed date/timing issue because the record does not appear as active on that da
6/20/2024	LATTC noncredit application submitted; confirmation NC-3[illegible]	Shows [illegible] application [illegible] and still reflecte
6/20/2024	CSAC marked 2023-2024 Chafee as not enrolled/payment issued through Cal Grant C College	Shows [illegible] enrollment basis at that point in the record chain.
6/30/2024	StudentAid.gov enrollment history later shows LATTC program beginning 6/28/24	Creates [illegible] who submitted or caused that begin date and f
Fall 2024	Transcript shows Sanitation & Safety in Fall 2024, not as a direct conflict with the 6/13/24 Amity note	Directly [illegible]
5/23/2026	ED OIG receipt confirms complaint number C26IOU05768	Creates the [illegible] StudentAid.gov submissions through StudentAid.gov feedback with the OIG num

5. Evidence Theory - What Each Exhibit Proves

Exhibit	Document / record	Purpose / relevance
A	ED OIG receipt - C26IOU05768	Shows ED OIG received the complaint and directed suspected identity/account activity to StudentAid.gov Fee
B	Project Tipping Point Intake/Application metadata	Shows PTP application submission time, browser/device, IP address 104.128.161.169, unique ID 123336991
C	LATTC noncredit application report - NC-31050281	Shows noncredit application submitted 6/20/24 using the same IP address as the PTP application, while listing
D	StudentAid.gov enrollment history screenshot	Shows FSA enrollment history for LATTC school code 00122700, Culinary Arts/Chef Training, and repeated 6
E	LATTC unofficial transcript	Shows Summer 2024 noncredit record and Fall 2024 Sanitation & Safety course timing.
F	Amity Foundation IDP student-information page	Shows Amity/CRCD-linked IDP, Los Angeles address, sensitive participant data, and alleged 6/13/24 participa
G	Amity Foundation IDP notes/signature page	Shows 6/13/24 date and note stating student was currently taking a sanitation course. This is the central timing
H	CSAC Chafee award/status screenshots	Shows education/aid context before and around the disputed pre-arrival enrollment chain.
I	StudentAid.gov Feedback form screenshot	Shows current intended submission path and reinforces that this packet is for FSA Feedback/account-resolutio

6. Requested Preservation and Review

- Preserve and review StudentAid.gov/FSA account access logs, IP addresses, timestamps, device data, account-recovery events, FAFSA activity, school-linkage events, and NSLDS enrollment-reporting records.
- Preserve and review LACCD/LATTC/OpenCCC records for confirmation NC-31050281, including the student IP address, timestamp, device/session data, address fields, and any staff-assisted or third-party submission notes.
- Preserve and review CRCD/PTP Formstack records for unique ID 1233369910, including IP address, browser, timestamps, edits, exports, upload logs, staff notes, and routing records.
- Preserve and review Amity Foundation IDP metadata, original scan/upload history, custody chain, and records showing who wrote the sanitation-course note and who inserted or confirmed the 6/13/24 date.
- Preserve and review records involving Nagera Pemberton, including enrollment assistance, screen access, navigator activity, and any school/program records accessed, submitted, or transmitted.
- Provide written confirmation of the legitimate source record and authorization relied upon; if suspicious or unauthorized activity is identified, protect the account, correct inaccurate records, preserve logs, and refer to the appropriate office.

EXHIBIT A

ED OIG Complaint Receipt - C26IOU05768

Purpose: Documents ED OIG receipt and instructions to report suspicious activity through StudentAid.gov feedback while referencing the OIG complaint number.

Source-control note: This separator is added for navigation. Original files and screenshots remain the controlling source records if any embedded image is unclear.

Exhibit A - Source Page

ED OIG receipt email, May 23, 2026. OIG complaint number C26IOU05768.

Your ED OIG Complaint was received C26IOU05768

1 message

System Account <hqopshl@ed.gov> Sat, May 23, 2026 at 4:53 AM
To: kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

Do Not Reply. This mailbox is not checked.

Thank you for submitting your complaint to the U.S. Department of Education Office of Inspector General.

We have received your complaint. Your OIG complaint number is: C26IOU05768.

We kindly ask for your patience as we address complaints in the order that they are received.

Identity Theft Victims

If you suspect that you are an identity theft victim, you should complete the following steps:

1. Complete and save a report with the Federal Trade Commission at https://identitytheft.gov.
2. File a police report.
3. Follow instructions here, https://studentaid.gov/help-center/answers/article/how-do-i-submit-a-feedback-or-report-a-scam-or-suspicious-activity to learn more about next steps and to recover your studentaid.gov account.
4. Report suspicious activity at the https://studentaid.gov/feedback-center/ to start the account resolution process. You should reference your OIG complaint number (C26IOU05768) in your feedback submission.

Schools

Schools should not wait to hear from the OIG and should follow their procedures for administering aid. We will contact you when we have processed the information you have submitted. If you have known identity theft victims, please have them submit a complaint at https://oig.ed.gov/hotline.

EXHIBIT B

Project Tipping Point Intake/Application Metadata

Purpose: Shows PTP application submitted June 7, 2024 at 2:25 PM with IP address 104.128.161.169 and unique ID 1233369910; also shows intake fields including stable housing, prior college enrollment, children, IEP/504, and education information.

Source-control note: This separator is added for navigation. Original files and screenshots remain the controlling source records if any embedded image is unclear.

Exhibit B - PTP Application Source Page

PTP application image showing submission metadata, IP address, and intake questionnaire.

Form Name:
Submission Time:
Browser:
IP Address:
Unique ID:
Location:

PTP Application
June 7, 2024 2:23 pm
Chrome Mobile 125.0.0.0 / Android
104.128.161.109
1253599910

Project Tipping Point Intake Questionnaire

Name	Kyle Haab
Date of Birth	02/28/1999
Age	25
Cell Number:	(657) 557-4459
Home Phone:	(657) 557-4459
Email	Kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
Transportation Assistance Preference (Select one):	Gas Card

Please check the box that best fits your answer and write in where applicable:

Do you have a high school diploma or GED equivalent?	Yes
What year did you attain your Diploma or GED? From what school?	2024 chatanooga workforce and development
Do you have stable housing?	Yes
Have you ever been enrolled in any other college?	No
Do you currently have or are you expecting any children?	Yes
When are you expecting or how many children do you have?	2
Have you ever had an IEP or 504 plan?	Yes
Please select one	IEP
Are you a U.S. Citizen?	Yes
Do you have a preferred gender pronoun (he/she/they/them)?	Yes

EXHIBIT C

LATTC Noncredit Application Report - NC-31050281

Purpose: Shows LATTC noncredit application submitted June 20, 2024 with the same IP address as the PTP application. The report also shows Summer/Fall 2024 term information and Tennessee address information.

Source-control note: This separator is added for navigation. Original files and screenshots remain the controlling source records if any embedded image is unclear.

Exhibit C-1 - LATTC Noncredit Application Report Page 1

Noncredit application report: submit date 6/20/24, confirmation NC-31050281, and IP address 104.128.161.169.

Los Angeles Trade Technical College

FULL APPLICATION REPORT

GENERAL APPLICATION

Submit Date	6/20/2024 3:35 PM	**Confirmation Number**	NC-31050281
College ID (MIS)	745	**Term Code**	2246
Term Start Date	6/10/24 12:00 AM	**Term End Date**	8/26/24 12:00 AM
Sis Receipt	true	**Major Code**	T024218E
Fraud Status	4	**Fraud Score**	0.1400560141
Non Credit	true	**Major Category**	
Term Description	Summer/Fall 2024		
Intended 4 Year Major	T024218E		
Major Description	Certificate of Completion in College Readiness		
Educational Goal	Undecided on goal		

OPENCCC ACCOUNT INFO

CCCID	CUB2827	**SSID**	
First Name	kyle	**Gender**	Male
Middle Name	david	**Previous First Name**	
Last Name	haab	**Previous Middle Name**	
Previous Name		**Previous Last Name**	
Preferred Name	kyle	**Preferred First Name**	kyle
Date of Birth	2/28/1999	**Preferred Middle Name**	
SSN Last 4		**Preferred Last Name**	
SSN Exception		**No SSN**	true
Main Phone Number	(657) 557-4459	**Hover Help Language**	en
Main Phone Authorized Text	false	**Second Phone Number**	
Fax Number		**Second Phone Auth Text**	false
Email	kyle.diatz99@gmail.com		
IDme Optin Timestamp	3/25/2024 9:18 AM	**IP Address (Student)**	104.128.161.169
IDme Confirmation			
IDme Workflow Status			

Exhibit C-2 - LATTC Noncredit Application Report Page 2

Noncredit application report: address/demographic context, including Tennessee address information.

PROFILE / DEMOGRAPHIC INFO

Current Mailing Street1	arlington		
Current Mailing Street2			
Permanent Address1	arlington		
Permanent Address2			
Current Mailing City	Chattanooga	**Permanent City**	Chattanooga
Current Mailing State	TN	**Permanent State**	TN
Current Mailing Zip	37406	**Permanent Zip**	
Current MailingNonUS Province		**Perm Non USProvince**	
Current Mailing NonUS Postal	37406	**Permanent NonUS Postal**	37406
Current Mailing Country	US	**Permanent Country**	US
Current Mailing Same as	false	**Non US Permanent Address**	No
No Current Mailing Homeless	true	**No Perm Address Homeless**	Yes
Parent / Guardian Full Name		**Parent/Guardian Relationship**	
Parent/Guardian1 Ed Level	Unknown	**Dependency Status**	19 or Older and Independent
Parent/Guardian2 Ed Level	Unknown		
Student Parent	false	**Student Deps Over 18**	
		Student Deps Under 18	
Hispanic	false		
Race / Ethnicity	NNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNY		
Race Groups	21		
Race Ethnic Full			

800,806

Residency

Residency Status	N		
Residency Area A	9	**Admission Eligibility**	
Residency Area B	9	**AB540 Eligibility**	false
Residency Area C	9	**Financial Aid Eligibility**	false
Residency Area D	9	**CA College Emp.**	false
CA Residency 2 years	false	**CA Public School Emp.**	false
Date Arrived in California		**CA Seasonal Ag**	false
Not Yet Arrived in California	false	**Foster Youth Status**	
Attended College Outside	false	**Foster Youth Priority**	false
Attended College Outside		**Foster Youth MIS**	false
Lawsuit Outside CA	false	**Foster Youth**	false
Lawsuit Outside CA Year		**Homeless Youth**	false
Taxes Outside CA	false		
Taxes Outside CA Year			
Voted Outside CA	false		
Voted Outside CA Year			

EXHIBIT D

StudentAid.gov / FSA Enrollment History Screenshot

Purpose: Shows FSA enrollment history for Los Angeles Trade-Technical College, school code 00122700, Culinary Arts/Chef Training, with repeated program begin date 6/30/24 and later status changes.

Source-control note: This separator is added for navigation. Original files and screenshots remain the controlling source records if any embedded image is unclear.

Exhibit D - StudentAid.gov My Enrollment Screenshot

FSA enrollment history screenshot showing LATTC school code 00122700 and 6/30/24 program begin date.

EXHIBIT E

LATTC Unofficial Transcript

Purpose: Shows the education course timing. The record shows Summer 2024 noncredit activity and Fall 2024 credit course timing for Sanitation & Safety, which is relevant to the Amity IDP dated 6/13/24.

Source-control note: This separator is added for navigation. Original files and screenshots remain the controlling source records if any embedded image is unclear.

Exhibit E - LATTC Unofficial Transcript Page 1

Transcript source page showing 2024 Fall credit record and Beginning of Non Credit Record.

Unofficial Transcript

Name: Haab,Kyle David
Student ID: 900855235

SSN: XXX-XX-5695
Birthdate: February-28-XXXX
Print Date: Feb 2, 2025

Beginning of Credit Record

2024 Fall (Aug 26, 2024 - Dec 15, 2024)

Course		College	Title	Units Attempted	Units Earned	Grade	Grade Points	Notes
CLN ART	112	LATTC	Sanitation & Safety	2.00	2.00	A	8	CSU
SPANISH	001	LATTC	Elem Spanish I	5.00	0.00	W	0	CSU;UC

			Units Attempted	Units Earned	GPA Units	Grade Points
Term GPA	4.00	Term Totals	7.00	2.00	2.00	8
Cum GPA	4.00	Cum Totals	7.00	2.00	2.00	8
Cum GPA w/o NDA	4.00		7.00	2.00		8

Credit Career Totals

			Units Attempted	Units Earned	GPA Units	Grade Points
Cum GPA:	4.00	Cum Totals	7.00	2.00	2.00	8

Beginning of Non Credit Record

2024 Summer (Jun 10, 2024 - Aug 25, 2024)

Course		College	Title	Units Attempted	Units Earned	Grade	Grade Points	Notes
VOC FD	540CF	LATTC	Elem of Cooking Techniques	0.00	0.00	NP	0	NDA

			Units Attempted	Units Earned	GPA Units	Grade Points
Term GPA	0.00	Term Totals	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
Cum GPA	0.00	Cum Totals	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
Cum GPA w/o NDA	0.00		0.00	0.00		0

2024 Fall (Aug 26, 2024 - Dec 15, 2024)

Course		College	Title	Units Attempted	Units Earned	Grade	Grade Points	Notes
TUTOR	001T	LATTC	Supervised Tutoring	0.00	0.00	*	0	NDA

			Units Attempted	Units Earned	GPA Units	Grade Points
Term GPA	0.00	Term Totals	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
Cum GPA	0.00	Cum Totals	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
Cum GPA w/o NDA	0.00		0.00	0.00		0

UNOFFICIAL

EXHIBIT F

Amity Foundation Individual Development Plan - Student Information

Purpose: Shows Amity/CRCD-linked IDP student information, Los Angeles address, participant-sensitive fields, and the disputed June 2024 service-plan context. SSN is redacted in this packet.

Source-control note: This separator is added for navigation. Original files and screenshots remain the controlling source records if any embedded image is unclear.

Exhibit F-1 - Amity IDP Student Information Page

Amity IDP student-information page. SSN redacted by preparer for safety.

INDIVIDUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN (IDP)

Amity Foundation Projects:

☐ Amistad ☐ Beacon ☐ STOP (Specialized Treatment for Optimizing Programming) ☐ La Entrada
☐ MCRP (Male Community Reentry Program)
☐ Outside Referrals: _____
☐ Other: _____

Demonstrator / Advocate Contact Information:
Name: Regina Vazquez Phone Number: (323) 899-9145
Email: rvazquez@amityfound.org

Student Information:

First Name: Kyle Last Name: HAAB CDCR# _____
Address: 15514 106 St City: Los angeles State: CA Zip Code: 90002
Cell Phone: 657 557 4159 Email Address: kyle.Diet299@gmail
Date of Birth: 02/28 [redacted] ☐ Male ☐ Nonbinary ☐ Other: _____
Social Security Number: [redacted]

Primary Language Spoken: ☑ English ☐ Spanish ☐ Other: _____

Are you a citizen of the United States of America? ☑ Yes ☐ No
If you are not a citizen, do you have right to work in the U. S.? ☐ Yes ☐ No

Ethnic Group / Race:

☐ Native American or Alaskan Native
☐ Asian (provide details below)
☐ South Asia ☐ South Eastern Asia ☐ Central Asia ☐ East Asia ☐ Western Asia
☐ Other: _____
☐ Black or African American (provide details below)
☐ African ☐ Black European ☐ Black Latinx or Afro-Latinx
☐ Hispanic or Latino/a/x (provide details below)
☐ Mexican/Mexican American/Chicana-o ☐ Central American ☐ South American ☐ Cuban
☐ Caribbean
☐ Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander (provide details below)
☐ Native Hawaiian ☐ Guamanian ☐ Samoan
☐ White/Caucasian (Non-Hispanic [provide details below])
☑ White European ☐ North African ☐ Middle Eastern ☐ Armenian

Exhibit F-2 - Amity IDP Needs Assessment Page

Amity IDP page showing education status, SSI/income, and supportive-service categories.

History of Violence Crime? ☐Yes ☑No

Most Recent Convictions: _____

Release date of incarceration: _____ Status: ☐Parole ☐Probation

Parole/Probation Officer Contact information:

Name: _____ Phone Number: _____

Email: _____ Office Address: _____

History of Substance Abuse? ☑Yes ☐No

Attending NA/AA Groups: ☐Yes ☐No

Currently involved in any support groups: NO

Education Status: High School Graduate? ☑Yes ☐No Highest Grade Completed: _____

College Graduate? ☐Yes ☑No Other Training / Education: _____

Have you served in the US Military? ☐Yes ☑No Separation Date:

Have you ever been homeless? ☑Yes ☐No

Selective Service Registration: ☑Yes ☐No ☑N/A

Current Income or Earnings: 934 Income Source: SSI

NEEDS ASSESSMENT INFORMATION (Check all that apply):

Supportive Service (Needs Assessment):

- ☑ IDs
- ☑ Transportation
- ☐ Housing
- ☑ Medical/Dental
- ☑ Childcare
- ☐ Other_____
- ☐ Other_____

- ☐ Mental Health Treatment
- ☐ Substance Abuse Treatment
- ☐ Child Support/Alimony
- ☐ Disability Disclosure
- ☐ Other_____
- ☐ Other_____
- ☐ Other_____

Workforce Development (Career Assessment):

- ☐ Continuing Education (HS Diploma, GED, Post-2nd Ed)
  Specify: _____
- ☐ Occupational Skills Training (Certification)
  Specify: _____
- ☐ Workplace Learning (Internships, Summer Jobs, etc.)
  Specify: _____
- ☐ Employment Placement (Unsubsidized Empl.)
  Specify: _____
- ☐ Other: _____

Exhibit F-3 - Amity IDP Goals Page

Amity IDP goals page showing culinary/certification and related goals.



Kyle
Have a job in culinary field
Comfortable with kids
Start taking parenting classes
Having better management of stress
Effective communication

INDIVIDUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN (IDP)

Name: Kyle
Learning to be at with my emotions and not letting run
Growing the future of financial stability to
Heal myself & heal all
wanting to make business and take course to be self

EXHIBIT G

Amity Foundation IDP Notes and 6/13/24 Date

Purpose: Central timing-conflict exhibit. The handwritten note states the student was currently taking a sanitation course and the page is dated 6/13/24. User does not dispute the apparent ink signature; user disputes date, timing, custody chain, source authorization, and later use.

Source-control note: This separator is added for navigation. Original files and screenshots remain the controlling source records if any embedded image is unclear.

Exhibit G - Amity IDP Notes / Signature / Date Page

Amity IDP notes page stating student is currently taking a sanitation course; signatures and dates show 6/13/24.

Notes: Student is currently taking a Sanitation Course and is looking to take more classes to finish his Culinary certification. Student does feel like he has made progress towards reaching his goal.

Student Signature

6/13/24
Date

Case Manager Signature

6/13/24
Date

IDP Review/Revision Dates:

	1st	2nd	3rd	4th
Date:				
Initials:	/	/	/	/

EXHIBIT H

CSAC Chafee Grant Education-Aid Context

Purpose: Shows education/aid context before and around the disputed enrollment chain, including preliminary Chafee eligibility and later 2023-2024 marked not enrolled status.

Source-control note: This separator is added for navigation. Original files and screenshots remain the controlling source records if any embedded image is unclear.

Exhibit H-1 - CSAC Preliminary Award Screenshot

CSAC Chafee Grant preliminary award announcement dated March 25, 2024.


6:53
99
Save as PDF
Copies: 01
Paper size: Letter
PDF
California Chafee Grant Program – Preliminary Award Announcement
1/1

Exhibit H-2 - CSAC Marked Not Enrolled Screenshot

CSAC Chafee Grant status email dated June 20, 2024 marked not enrolled.


6:55
99
Save as PDF
PDF
Copies: 01
Paper size: Letter
PDF
Chafee Grant Status 2023-24 Marked Not Enrolled
1/1

EXHIBIT I

StudentAid.gov Feedback Submission Path

Purpose: Shows the portal page where this packet and narrative are intended to be submitted for FSA account-resolution review.

Source-control note: This separator is added for navigation. Original files and screenshots remain the controlling source records if any embedded image is unclear.

Exhibit I - StudentAid.gov Feedback Page

StudentAid.gov Submit Feedback page - Step 4 of 6, Describe Your Issue.

Submit Feedback
Describe Your Issue
Next, describe your issue. Providing detailed information will make it easier for us to respond to you effectively.
None of These Topics Apply to Me
Upload a Document
Additional Information
Need help?

Final Control Statement

This packet preserves the education-record and account-security nexus only. The requested result is a written source-record and metadata review. If the records are legitimate, complete, and authorized, the responsible custodian should be able to identify the source record, reporting path, access path, and authorization. If records are missing, inconsistent, backdated, misdated, unsupported, or accessed by an unauthorized party, the appropriate agency should preserve logs, correct records, protect the account, and refer the matter to the proper office.

Key repeated statement: **I do not dispute my apparent ink signature. I dispute the 6/13/24 date, timing, custody chain, source authorization, digital access path, and later use of records suggesting California-based education/workforce activity before my physical California arrival.**

EXHIBIT B

LAHSA Nexus - CRCD / Ruth's Place Source-Record Chain and OCI / LAPD Cross-Reliance

Packet framing LAHSA/HMIS as downstream from CRCD's 2023 Ruth's Place source record and later 2024 PTP/housing-payment use. It preserves why a limited LAHSA funding-jurisdiction closure should not be used as a merits determination.

Pages included: Full packet, pages 1-35

Source file: LAHSA_Nexus_CRCD_RuthsPlace_Source_Record_Packet.pdf

Separator page added for navigation; original source pages follow.

LAHSA Nexus Packet

CRCD / Ruth's Place Source-Record Chain and OCI / LAPD Cross-Reliance

Prepared for	Kyle Robert Haab
Date prepared	May 18, 2026
Purpose	Source-record and reliance-chain packet for LAHSA/HMIS, OCI/County Fraud, and LAPD PSB/IAD review.
Core theory	HMIS/LAHSA is downstream. The origin is CRCD's 2023 Ruth's Place source record and later 2024 Project Tipp

Use note: This packet is framed as a record-chain and cross-reference exhibit. It does not ask LAPD, LAHSA, or OCI to decide all housing, civil, family-law, or funding disputes. It asks each agency to identify what records it relied on, what records it excluded, and whether a narrow LAHSA funding-jurisdiction closure was improperly treated as a merits determination.

Redaction note: The Ruth's Place source spreadsheets contain DOB and SSN fields. This packet summarizes those records and intentionally omits the sensitive values. File the original spreadsheets only under an appropriate redaction, sealing, or controlled-record procedure.

I. Executive Summary

The current record should be framed as a source-record and reliance-chain problem, not as a standalone HMIS issue. The alleged HMIS/LAHSA issue is downstream from earlier CRCD contact and record creation. CRCD's Partner and Stakeholder Statement claims that the participant signed up through a CRCD program in 2023 and that this 2023 sign-up supplied the basis for all later communication. The Ruth's Place Day Shelter spreadsheets identify a CRCD Ruth's Place enrollment beginning April 27, 2023 and exiting April 28, 2023, with HMIS/program identifiers and sensitive personal data categories.

The unresolved question is whether a one-day Ruth's Place Day Shelter / HMIS-related enrollment lawfully and factually authorized later 2024 Project Tipping Point contact, enrollment, supportive services, rental assistance, landlord coordination, W-9/ACH payment handling, housing-stability records, household/family-status information, and downstream disclosures or reliance by LAHSA, OCI, LAPD, HUD/HMIS, partners, supporters, funders, landlords, or court-related systems.

This packet also addresses the LAHSA nexus. If LAHSA, through Christopher Redd and Christopher Williams, closed or referred the matter on the narrow ground that Project Tipping Point was not LAHSA-funded, that narrow funding-jurisdiction position cannot be used as a merits finding about CRCD's 2023/2024 source records, consent/ROI authority, landlord-payment documentation, or identity-theft / falsified-record allegations.

II. Core Chain

#	Link	What it means
1	Ruth's Place / CRCD 2023 source record	One-day Ruth's Place Day Shelter enrollment/exit appears in CRCD spreadsheet exports with HMIS/program identifiers and sensitive data categories.
2	CRCD Partner/Stakeholder defense	CRCD states a 2023 CRCD program sign-up supplied the basis for all subsequent communication and that engagement was documentation-based and consent-driven.
3	2024 Project Tipping Point activity	CRCD later identifies Project Tipping Point enrollment beginning June 13, 2024 and lists supportive services, including rent-related assistance.
4	Landlord/payment activity	CRCD apartment emails show CRCD connected the participant to the landlord and discussed the program/student rent payment structure.
5	LAHSA/HMIS ripple effect	Any HMIS/LAHSA issue is a downstream contamination or reliance issue if the original source record or consent/ROI authority was missing, invalid, overbroad, or repurposed.
6	OCI/LAPD cross-reliance	OCI and LAPD must clarify whether they relied on a limited LAHSA closure, and whether they reviewed or excluded CRCD, Ruth's Place, HMIS, W-9/ACH, and landlord-payment records.

III. Main Questions for Agencies

Agency/Lane	Required clarification
CRCD	Produce the 2023 Ruth's Place sign-up/intake/enrollment, HMIS/non-HMIS consent, ROI, privacy notice, referral, enrollment/exit, service history, audit/access logs, and any record converting Ruth's Place contact into 2024 Project Tipping Point activity.
LAHSA/HMIS	Clarify whether any LAHSA/HMIS review included consent/ROI source records, audit logs, disclosure logs, Freshservice/Risk Management records, and communications with OCI, CRCD, Project Tipping Point, HUD/HMIS, or law enforcement.
OCI / County Fraud	Confirm whether OCI treated LAHSA's closure as a funding-jurisdiction note or as a merits disposition; identify the records reviewed for 2025-23289 and 2026_23965.
LAPD PSB / IAD	Confirm whether LAPD obtained or reviewed LAHSA/Redd/Williams, OCI, HMIS/Freshservice, CRCD/PTP, W-9/ACH, and landlord-payment records before determining insufficiency or closure for C259045687 and C269013241.

IV. Precise Reliance Issue

Do not overstate the LAHSA point. The strongest use of the Williams/Redd/LAHSA issue is not that LAHSA proved misconduct. It is that a limited funding-jurisdiction closure cannot be used as a merits clearance. If LAHSA only determined that Project Tipping Point was not LAHSA-funded, then that finding does not resolve whether the earlier CRCD/Ruth's Place source record was valid, whether consent/ROI authority existed, whether records were repurposed, or whether later landlord/payment records were valid.

Clean formulation: LAHSA's closure cannot be treated as a merits finding because the actual unresolved issue begins earlier: CRCD's 2023 Ruth's Place source-record authority and the downstream 2024 Project Tipping Point, rental-assistance, landlord-payment, W-9/ACH, HMIS/LAHSA, OCI, and LAPD reliance chain.

V. Exhibit Index

Exhibit	Document	Use
A	CRCD Partner and Stakeholder Statement	Direct CRCD factual position: 2023 sign-up, consent-driven engagement, internal investigation claim, PTP rent-support description, and lease-party denial.
B	Ruth's Place Day Shelter Spreadsheet Excerpts - Redacted Summary	Shows the apparent 2023 CRCD/Ruth's Place source record and sensitive HMIS/program data categories without reproducing DOB/SSN values.
C	CRCD Email - Records / Enrollment / Grievance Response	Shows CRCD later identified PTP enrollment on June 13, 2024 and listed supportive services including rent-related assistance.
D	CRCD Apartment / Landlord Coordination Email Chain	Shows CRCD connected the participant to the landlord and discussed program/student rent payment structure.
E	LAPD PSB Personnel Complaint Email	Shows current LAPD PSB review request and cross-reference list including LAHSA/HMIS Freshservice 260627, OCI 2025-23289, 2026_23965, and C259045687/C269013241.
F	County Administrative Investigation Guidelines / OCI Reference	Supports OCI lane for employee, contractor, vendor misconduct, fraud, and misuse of resources or technology.
G	LAHD Unapproved Unit Letter	Shows official housing-enforcement context for the downstream landlord/housing-payment records.
H	LADBS Order to Comply	Shows building/use violation and no valid Certificate of Occupancy context for the unit.

EXHIBIT A

CRCD Partner and Stakeholder Statement

CRCD states the participant signed up through a CRCD program in 2023, claims all later engagement was documentation-based and consent-driven, and states its team found the allegations totally false. Full source document follows this separator.

Dear CRCD Partners and Supporters,

We were recently notified that a complaint containing several inaccurate claims about CRCD's outreach practices and services received through Project Tipping Point was circulated to various entities from a program participant. As part of our commitment to transparency and strong communication, we are sharing this update to ensure all partners, including those who were not contacted or made aware of the recent complaint, have clear and accurate information. A myriad of complaints were made against CRCD, alleging, among other things: (1) using fraudulent L.A. County housing documents; (2) failing to comply with ADA laws; and (3) disbursing funds through a fraudulent ACH account. This is to assure you we have taken these allegations seriously and they have been thoroughly investigated by our team, who have found them to be totally false. Despite the falsity of these allegations, we believe it is essential to clarify how our programs operate and how we engage with participants.

Project Tipping Point supports current and former foster youth ages 18–25 as they pursue post-secondary education at local community colleges. The program helps students complete general education coursework, select a career pathway, and build an education plan that leads toward an Associate of Arts degree and meaningful employment. Education-centered supportive services may include rent payments for students enrolled in 6–9 college-level, credit-bearing units, in order to promote and maintain housing stability while completing coursework. It is important to reaffirm that Project Tipping Point is a college persistence program and does not place students into long-term or subsidized housing. Housing agreements remain strictly between participants and landlords. The individual's lease agreement was made solely between them and an external landlord; CRCD was not a party to the lease. As part of our standard practice, CRCD offers all program participants the ability to submit ADA accommodation requests. The participant referenced did not submit any such request.

CRCD maintains a strict policy of only initiating communication with individuals who have voluntarily opted in, enrolled, or connected with us through one of our established entry points. In this case, the individual signed up to be contacted through a CRCD program in 2023, which provided the basis for all subsequent communication. All engagement is documentation-based, consent-driven, and aligned with ethical service standards. We do not conduct unsolicited outreach or intervene in external matters outside the scope of our

program. CRCD maintains program integrity through strong documentation and accountability practices, with accurate records of enrollment, communication, and supportive resources.

We also want to acknowledge the broader context in which this complaint emerged. Across Los Angeles and nationwide, organizations serving individuals and families are seeing rising levels of housing instability, financial strain, trauma, and emotional stress. These challenges can make it difficult for people to navigate support systems or fully understand program limitations. Under such pressure, it is not uncommon for individuals to feel overwhelmed, misinterpret program structures, or hope for assistance beyond what a program can provide. This trend is affecting agencies across the country, not an isolated issue.

CRCD meets these challenges with deep empathy and understanding. Our team listens closely and supports individuals as they navigate their educational and stabilization journey. We connect people to additional resources whenever possible. In this case, consistent with program guidelines, several support options were offered but not utilized; throughout the process, our team remained committed to clear and compassionate engagement.

For more than 2 decades, CRCD has supported thousands of youth in South Los Angeles with compassion, integrity, and consistency. We remain confident in our processes, dedicated to our mission, and grateful for your partnership, especially during a period of increased need. Our leadership team is available to address any additional questions or provide clarification. For additional information please contact Kenta Estrada-Darley, Chief Community Innovations Officer at (323) 861-2991.

With appreciation,

Jahrell Thomas

Jahrell Thomas
Chief Operations Officer (COO)
Coalition for Responsible Community Development (CRCD)

EXHIBIT B

Ruth's Place Day Shelter Spreadsheet Excerpts - Redacted Summary

Redacted summary of the apparent 2023 CRCD/Ruth's Place source record. Sensitive DOB/SSN values are not reproduced.

Exhibit B - Redacted Summary

The original ENT and EXT spreadsheets are not appended because they contain sensitive identifiers, including DOB and SSN fields. This exhibit summarizes the non-sensitive fields necessary to show the record-chain issue.

Field	Redacted / summarized value
Source file	ENT-Ruths Place Day Shelter(1504).xlsx / EXT-Ruths Place Day Shelter(1504).xlsx
Agency / program	Coalition for Responsible Community Development - Ruths Place Day Shelter
Staff created / assigned	Asusena Montes (assigned staff shown inactive in exported record)
Enrollment start	04/27/2023
Enrollment exit	04/28/2023
Duration issue	One-day Ruth's Place day-shelter enrollment/exit appears to be the 2023 CRCD source record now relied on as later-contact authority.
HMIS/program flags shown	CA-600 Program; ES, SH, or TH Program; TAY Youth; Adult/Head of Household; PH conditions flag in exit file.
Identifiers shown	Unique ID, Personal ID, and Household ID are present in the source spreadsheets. Values are redacted/truncated in this packet.
Sensitive identifiers	DOB and SSN fields are present in the source spreadsheets and are intentionally not reproduced here.
Data categories shown	Prior residence/living situation, disability, employment, income, insurance, school status, foster-care history, juvenile-justice history, and related demographic fields.

Exhibit B - Use in Reliance Chain

This redacted summary supports the narrow point that the 2023 Ruth's Place record appears to be the source record behind CRCD's later statement that a 2023 CRCD program sign-up authorized all subsequent communication. It does not prove every later allegation by itself. It identifies the missing source records that must be produced and reviewed: intake/sign-up, HMIS and non-HMIS consent, ROI, privacy notice, referral, entry/exit, service history, audit/access logs, and records converting Ruth's Place contact into Project Tipping Point contact or services.

EXHIBIT C

CRCD Email - Records / Enrollment / Grievance Response

CRCD identifies PTP enrollment date, inactive/current status, supportive services, rent-related assistance, and private foundation support for PTP. Full source document follows this separator.

Subject: Formal Request for Records, Enrollment Status, and Grievance Procedures

1 message

Polet Lopez <palopez@coalitionrcd.org> Mon, Sep 29, 2025 at 10:37 AM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Good morning, Kyle,

Please see below the responses to the email you sent on 9/16/25.

1. Confirmation of my enrollment status — Am I currently considered an active participant in CRCD or its partner programs?

- Yes, you are currently enrolled in Project Tipping Point. As you are aware, the purpose of Project Tipping Point is to support academic success for current and former foster youth at the post-secondary level. It is a program requirement and expectation that participants are enrolled in at least 6-9 units per semester in order to be considered an active participant and in good standing with the program. Due to the fact that you are not currently enrolled in academic course work at LATTC for the Fall 2025 Semester, your status in Project Tipping Point is considered inactive. In addition, your academic progress has not met programmatic expectations as outlined below:
  - Summer 2024: No credit bearing courses attempted, no credits earned
  - Fall 2024: 7 units attempted, 2 earned
  - Spring 2025: No credit bearing courses attempted, no credits earned
  - Summer 2025: No credit bearing courses attempted, no credits earned

If you would like to discuss your continued participation in Project Tipping Point, as an active participant, please schedule a time to meet with myself or your College Navigator.

2. A list of supportive services I am currently enrolled in or entitled to, along with documentation of how these services have been or will be provided.

- Below is the list of supportive services that have been provided to you since enrolling into PTP on June 13, 2024:
  - Furniture assistance: $1,191.82
  - Rental assistance:
    - Security Deposit $2000
    - August 2024 rent $1500
    - Sept 2024- June 2025 @ $2000 = $20K
    - July and Aug @ $1000 = $2000
  - Supportive Services:
    - Student Fees: $120
    - School supplies: $124.61
    - Gift cards (food insecurities/ business attire): $805
  - Totaling: $15,741.43
- Project Tipping Point participants must be considered active and in enrolled in credit-bearing college courses in order to be eligible for supportive services.

3. Copies of any grievance procedures available to participants, as required by state, county, or federal funding guidelines.

Our team has been in consistent communication regarding the expectations of the program and addressing your needs and concerns. If you have additional needs or concerns, please schedule a time to meet with myself or your College Navigator and we will include additional Leadership staff from CRCD.

4. Identification of the funding sources (city, county, state, or federal grants) that support my enrollment and services.

- The Project Tipping Point is supported through private foundations.

5. Any communications, case notes, or agreements that document my referral, intake, or program eligibility.

- The requested documents have been provided.

Thank you and please let me know if you have any questions.

_____

Polet Lopez | **Youth & Education Manager**

Workforce Development
213.743.6193 | **M:** 323.507.3054 | **F:** 323.521.1928 | **TTY:** 711
palopez@coalitionrcd.org | www.coalitionrcd.org

EXHIBIT D

CRCD Apartment / Landlord Coordination Email Chain

Shows CRCD connection to the landlord and rent/payment structure discussions. Full source document follows this separator.

Re: Apartments

17 messages

Alexander Saltzman <asaltzman@coalitionrcd.org> Mon, J
To: Chris Cervantes <chrisncervantes@hotmail.com>, kyle.dietz99@gmail.com <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Hi Kyle,
I wanted to connect you with a landlord we work with Chris Cerventes, he has an apartment that might work for you and Crystal!

Best,
Alex

Alexander Saltzman | **Rental Assistance Supervisor**

Workforce Development
213.743.6193 | M: 323.207.8867 | F: 323.521.1928 | TTY: 711
asaltzman@coalitionrcd.org | www.coalitionrcd.org

View CRCD's 2022 Annual Report

Confidentiality Notice: This message (including any attachments) contains confidential information intended for a specific individual and purpose, and is protected by law. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by email and delete the original message and any attachments. Any unauthorized disclosure, copying, or distribution of this message, or the taking of any action based on it, is strictly prohibited.

From: Chris Cervantes <chrisncervantes@hotmail.com>
Sent: Monday, July 29, 2024 2:43 PM
To: Alexander Saltzman <asaltzman@coalitionrcd.org>
Subject: Re: Apartments

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is SAFE.

Oh ok $2,000 total I can accept would you like to give me the info so I can show the unit ?
Sent from my iPhone

> On Jul 29, 2024, at 2:13 PM, Alexander Saltzman <asaltzman@coalitionrcd.org> wrote:
>
> Student can pay $500 maximum. We can do $1500 right now.
>
> ---
>
> **Alexander Saltzman** | **Rental Assistance Supervisor**
>
> Workforce Development
> 213.743.6193 | M: 323.207.8867 | F: 323.521.1928 | TTY: 711
> asaltzman@coalitionrcd.org | www.coalitionrcd.org
> <image997482.png> <image915219.png>
>
> **View CRCD's 2022 Annual Report**
>
> <image498710.png><image439144.png><image421352.png><image384167.png><image450263.png>
>
> *Confidentiality Notice: This message (including any attachments) contains confidential information intended for a specific individual and purpose, and is protected by law. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by email and delete the original message and any attachments. Any unauthorized disclosure, copying, or distribution of this message, or the taking of any action based on it, is strictly prohibited.*

From: Chris Cervantes <chrisncervantes@hotmail.com>
Sent: Monday, July 29, 2024 1:17 PM
To: Alexander Saltzman <asaltzman@coalitionrcd.org>
Subject: Re: Apartments

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is SAFE.

I can work with you a bit how much can the program/student pay?
Sent from my iPhone

> On Jul 29, 2024, at 12:56 PM, Chris Cervantes <chrisncervantes@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> I was asking 2100 with Michelle collect 2250
>
> Sent from my iPhone
>
> > On Jul 29, 2024, at 12:55 PM, Alexander Saltzman <asaltzman@coalitionrcd.org> wrote:
> >
> > How much is it?
> >
> > ---

Alexander Saltzman | **Rental Assistance Supervisor**

Workforce Development
213.743.6193 | **M:** 323.207.8867 | **F:** 323.521.1928 | **TTY:** 711
asaltzman@coalitionrcd.org | www.coalitionrcd.org
<image764909.png> <image821919.png>

View CRCD's 2022 Annual Report

<image393066.png><image028158.png><image650847.png><image268537.png><image705992.png>

Confidentiality Notice: This message (including any attachments) contains confidential information intended for a specific individual and purpose, and is protected by law. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by email and delete the original message and any attachments. Any unauthorized disclosure, copying, or distribution of this message, or the taking of any action based on it, is strictly prohibited.

From: Chris Cervantes <chrisncervantes@hotmail.com>
Sent: Monday, July 29, 2024 12:48 PM
To: Alexander Saltzman <asaltzman@coalitionrcd.org>
Subject: Re: Apartments

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is SAFE.

Hello Alex

Yes I have a 1 bedroom on 1551 3/4 e 106
Exactly like the unit that Michelle Mcquarters has it's available and just passed my final city I so it's brand new
Sent from my iPhone

> On Jul 29, 2024, at 12:41 PM, Alexander Saltzman <asaltzman@coalitionrcd.org> wrote:
>
> Hey Chris,
> I have a couple with a young kid who needs an apartment ASAP. Do you have anything at the moment?
>
> Best,
> Alex
>
> _____
>
> **Alexander Saltzman** | **Rental Assistance Supervisor**
>
> Workforce Development
> 213.743.6193 | **M:** 323.207.8867 | **F:** 323.521.1928 | **TTY:** 711
> asaltzman@coalitionrcd.org | www.coalitionrcd.org
> <image792267.png> <image503020.png>
>
> **View CRCD's 2022 Annual Report**
>
> <image054844.png><image027438.png><image717474.png><image221132.png><image226891.png>
>
> *Confidentiality Notice: This message (including any attachments) contains confidential information intended for a specific individual and purpose, and is protected by law. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by email and delete the original message and any attachments. Any unauthorized disclosure, copying, or distribution of this message, or the taking of any action based on it, is strictly prohibited.*

Chris Cervantes <chrisncervantes@hotmail.com> Mon, Jul 29, 2024 at 3:09 PM
To: Alexander Saltzman <asaltzman@coalitionrcd.org>
Cc: kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

Hello Kyle you can call or text me at 562 824 9003. I am out of town but will be tonight. Let me know you would like to see the unit. It's brand new with new stove and refrigerator
Sent from my iPhone

> On Jul 29, 2024, at 3:03 PM, Alexander Saltzman <asaltzman@coalitionrcd.org> wrote:
>
> Hi Kyle,
> I wanted to connect you with a landlord we work with Chris Cerventes, he has an apartment that might work for you and Crystal!
>
> Best,
> Alex
>
> _____
>
> **Alexander Saltzman** | **Rental Assistance Supervisor**
>
> Workforce Development
> 213.743.6193 | **M:** 323.207.8867 | **F:** 323.521.1928 | **TTY:** 711
> asaltzman@coalitionrcd.org | www.coalitionrcd.org
> <image632483.png> <image865130.png>
>
> **View CRCD's 2022 Annual Report**
>
> <image669415.png><image846410.png><image365194.png><image132017.png><image024395.png>
>
> *Confidentiality Notice: This message (including any attachments) contains confidential information intended for a specific individual and purpose, and is protected by law. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by email and delete the original message and any attachments. Any unauthorized disclosure, copying, or distribution of this message, or the taking of any action based on it, is strictly prohibited.*
>
> [Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Tue, Jul 30, 2024 at 5:07 AM
To: Chris Cervantes <chrisncervantes@hotmail.com>
Cc: Alexander Saltzman <asaltzman@coalitionrcd.org>

Hello I'm very interested in this housing opportunity please contact me back i sent you a text message from 657-557-4459

[Quoted text hidden]

Alexander Saltzman <asaltzman@coalitionrcd.org> Fri, Aug 2, 2024 at 9:25 AM
To: Chris Cervantes <chrisncervantes@hotmail.com>
Cc: kyle.dietz99@gmail.com <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Hi Chris,
I have attached the docs we need. Please also send over lease when ready and another copy of w9 if you have it on you.

Best,
Alex

_____

Alexander Saltzman | **Rental Assistance Supervisor**

Workforce Development
213.743.6193 | **M:** 323.207.8867 | **F:** 323.521.1928 | **TTY:** 711
asaltzman@coalitionrcd.org | www.coalitionrcd.org

View CRCD's 2022 Annual Report

Confidentiality Notice: This message (including any attachments) contains confidential information intended for a specific individual and purpose, and is protected by law. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by email and delete the original message and any attachments. Any unauthorized disclosure, copying, or distribution of this message, or the taking of any action based on it, is strictly prohibited.

From: Chris Cervantes <chrisncervantes@hotmail.com>
Sent: Monday, July 29, 2024 3:08 PM
To: Alexander Saltzman <asaltzman@coalitionrcd.org>
Cc: kyle.dietz99@gmail.com <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
Subject: Re: Apartments

[Quoted text hidden]

2 attachments

lvf.pdf
104 KB

ACH Quick Guide.pdf
126 KB

Chris Cervantes <chrisncervantes@hotmail.com> Fri, Aug 2, 2024 at 9:40 AM
To: Alexander Saltzman <asaltzman@coalitionrcd.org>
Cc: kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

Good morning hi I will prepare it today and fill the forms as well thank you
Sent from my iPhone

> On Aug 2, 2024, at 9:25 AM, Alexander Saltzman <asaltzman@coalitionrcd.org> wrote:
>
> Hi Chris,
> I have attached the docs we need. Please also send over lease when ready and another copy of w9 if you have it on you.
>
> Best,
> Alex
>
> _____
>
> **Alexander Saltzman** | **Rental Assistance Supervisor**
>
> Workforce Development
> 213.743.6193 | **M:** 323.207.8867 | **F:** 323.521.1928 | **TTY:** 711
> asaltzman@coalitionrcd.org | www.coalitionrcd.org
> <image320348.png> <image241638.png>
>
> **View CRCD's 2022 Annual Report**
>
> <image417780.png><image314672.png><image312284.png><image942013.png><image365032.png>
>
> *Confidentiality Notice: This message (including any attachments) contains confidential information intended for a specific individual and purpose, and is protected by law. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by email and delete the original message and any attachments. Any unauthorized disclosure, copying, or distribution of this message, or the taking of any action based on it, is strictly prohibited.*
>
> [Quoted text hidden]
> [Quoted text hidden]
> [Quoted text hidden]
>
> > [Quoted text hidden]
> > [Quoted text hidden]
> > [Quoted text hidden]
> >
> > > [Quoted text hidden]
> > > [Quoted text hidden]
> > > [Quoted text hidden]
> > >
> > > > [Quoted text hidden]
> > > >
> > > > [Quoted text hidden]
> > > >
> > > > > [Quoted text hidden]
> > > > > [Quoted text hidden]
> > > > > [Quoted text hidden]
> > > > > [Quoted text hidden]
> > > > > [Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]

Alexander Saltzman | **Rental Assistance Supervisor**
Workforce Development
213.743.6193 | M: 323.207.8867 | F: 323.521.1928

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Sun, Jun 1, 2025 at 9:34 AM
To: Giovanni Sanchez <Gsanchez@openarmscommunityhealth.com>

[Quoted text hidden]

7 attachments

- **image632483.png** 10 KB
- **image865130.png** 34 KB
- **image669415.png** 882 B
- **image846410.png** 1 KB
- **image365194.png** 1 KB
- **image132017.png** 883 B
- **image024395.png** 950 B

Giovanni Sanchez <gsanchez@openarmscommunityhealth.com> Mon, Jun 2, 2025 at 9:54 AM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
Cc: Anna Guy <annag@openarmscommunityhealth.com>

Hi Kyle,

Thank you for forwarding this information. I just returned to the office today, so I will review it and plan to get back to you tomorrow.

Best,
Giovanni

Giovanni Sanchez
He/Him/His
Lead Care Manager
(323)306-8120 Ext 118
gsanchez@openarmscommunityhealth.com

OPEN ARMS COMMUNITY HEALTH AND SERVICE CENTER
6317 S. FIGUEROA., LOS ANGELES, CA. 90044

[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Fri, Jun 6, 2025 at 6:15 AM
To: Giovanni Sanchez <gsanchez@openarmscommunityhealth.com>
Cc: Anna Guy <annag@openarmscommunityhealth.com>

Hello Giovanni I'm sorry I didn't get back to you yesterday I ended up having to attend a funeral in Simi valley when you have time I would like to reschedule

[Quoted text hidden]

Giovanni Sanchez <gsanchez@openarmscommunityhealth.com> Fri, Jun 6, 2025 at 9:15 AM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
Cc: Anna Guy <annag@openarmscommunityhealth.com>, Dmitriy Voskresenskiy <dmitriyv@openarmscommunityhealth.com>

Hi Kyle,

Thank you for getting in touch during this difficult time. Please accept our deepest condolences from everyone at Open Arms, and from me personally.

Please let me know when would be a good time for you to reschedule.

Best,
Giovanni

Giovanni Sanchez
He/Him/His
Lead Care Manager
(323)306-8120 Ext 118
gsanchez@openarmscommunityhealth.com

OPEN ARMS COMMUNITY HEALTH AND SERVICE CENTER
6317 S. FIGUEROA., LOS ANGELES, CA. 90044

[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Fri, Jun 6, 2025 at 10:34 AM
To: Giovanni Sanchez <gsanchez@openarmscommunityhealth.com>
Cc: Anna Guy <annag@openarmscommunityhealth.com>, Dmitriy Voskresenskiy <dmitriyv@openarmscommunityhealth.com>

I appreciate the support I don't believe I have anything after 2

[Quoted text hidden]

Giovanni Sanchez <gsanchez@openarmscommunityhealth.com> Fri, Jun 6, 2025 at 11:46 AM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

We close at 2PM on Fridays. When do you normally take a lunch break?
[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Fri, Jun 6, 2025 at 12:00 PM
To: Giovanni Sanchez <gsanchez@openarmscommunityhealth.com>

I can do now if you can

[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Wed, Aug 6, 2025 at 12:51 PM
To: cbradshaw@publiccounsel.org <cbradshaw@publiccounsel.org>

[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Wed, Aug 6, 2025 at 12:52 PM
To: cbradshaw@publiccounsel.org <cbradshaw@publiccounsel.org>

[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Wed, Aug 6, 2025 at 12:56 PM
To: cbradshaw@publiccounsel.org <cbradshaw@publiccounsel.org>

[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Wed, Aug 6, 2025 at 12:56 PM
To: cbradshaw@publiccounsel.org <cbradshaw@publiccounsel.org>

[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Wed, Aug 6, 2025 at 12:56 PM
To: cbradshaw@publiccounsel.org <cbradshaw@publiccounsel.org>

[Quoted text hidden]

EXHIBIT E

LAPD PSB Personnel Complaint Email

Shows PSB review request and cross-reference targets: C259045687, C269013241, LAHSA/HMIS Freshservice ticket 260627, OCI 2025-23289, 2026_23965, and CRCD/PTP records. Full source document follows this separator.

Personnel Complaint

2 messages

JAY BALGEMINO <37816@lapd.online> Mon, May 18, 2026 at 12:27 PM
To: kyledietz99@gmail.com <kyledietz99@gmail.com>

Mr. Haab,

As per our conversation over the phone, can you please forward to me the email correspondence you had exchanged with the Office of the Inspector General (OIG) and LAPD personnel assigned to Commercial Crimes Division (CCD) regarding the identity theft crime reports you have filed.

I will email you the Complaint Form number after I have reviewed the email correspondence. If you need anything else, please do not hesitate to ask.

Thank you very much.

Sergeant II Jay Balgemino
Los Angeles Police Department
Professional Standards Bureau
Complaint Classifications Unit
201 N. Figueroa Street, #600
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Phone: (213) 996-2768
Email: 37816@lapd.online

WARNING: This Communication with its contents may contain confidential and/or legally privileged information. It is solely for the use of the intended recipient(s). Unauthorized interception, review, use or disclosure is prohibited and may violate applicable laws including the Electronic Communication Privacy Act. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of the communication.

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Mon, May 18, 2026 at 5:23 PM
To: JAY BALGEMINO <37816@lapd.online>

Sergeant Balgemino,

Thank you for speaking with me regarding my LAPD OIG / PSB complaint.

I am sending the attached final PSB packet for complaint classification and review regarding LAPD RMS reports C259045687 and C269013241.

This final packet includes the prior PSB materials plus the Commercial Crimes Division closure / insufficiency letter signed by Captain Francis Boateng, the official LAPD report pages for C259045687 and C269013241, the pending online identity-theft report, the CORS / LAPDonline.org reporting instruction, and the LAPD contact-card chain involving Detective Wong, Detective Prieto, Southeast Detectives, and Southeast Patrol / desk contacts.

The central issue for PSB/IAD review is not whether PSB decides the underlying housing, CRCD, LAHSA, HMIS, CFCI, family-law, or civil matters. The issue is whether LAPD properly handled, documented, cross-referenced, assigned, investigated, and closed or separated the related LAPD reports before determining that the matter was insufficient.

Specifically, I am requesting review of whether LAPD reconciled:

1. the early 10/23/25 desk-contact classification as a business/civil dispute;

2. the later identity-theft and forgery allegations involving W-9, ACH, Social Security number, identity-use, landlord/payment, and housing-assistance records;

3. the pending LAPD online report / tracking number 251113900281;

4. LAPD RMS C259045687;

5. LAPD RMS C269013241;

6. the 3/27/26 CORS / LAPDonline.org instruction referencing C259045687 as related and return 3/30/26;

7. the 3/30/26 same-date detective-contact chain involving Detective Wong for C259045687 and Detective Prieto for C269013241;

8. LAHSA Risk Management / HMIS Freshservice ticket 260627;

9. County Fraud / Auditor-Controller / OCI references 2025-23289 and 2026_23965;

10. CRCD / Project Tipping Point, landlord-payment, and related agency records.

The Commercial Crimes closure letter states that multiple documents were reviewed and that there was insufficient evidence to support a criminal case involving identity theft or forgery. My concern is that I have not received a clear explanation of what records were reviewed, what records were excluded, whether LAHSA / County Fraud / OCI / HMIS / CRCD records were obtained, and whether C259045687 and C269013241 were properly linked before closure or insufficiency treatment.

Please confirm receipt of this final packet and provide the Complaint Form number when available.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert Haab
1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4
Los Angeles, CA 90002
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
[Quoted text hidden]

37816_PSB_Final_With_Commercial_Crimes_Closure.pdf
13.4 MB

EXHIBIT F

County Administrative Investigation Guidelines / OCI Reference

Supports why OCI/County Fraud should not treat a limited LAHSA funding closure as a full merits investigation. Full source document follows this separator.

County of Los Angeles
CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICE
Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration
500 West Temple Street, Room 713, Los Angeles, California 90012
(213) 974-1101
http://ceo.lacounty.gov

WILLIAM T FUJIOKA
Chief Executive Officer

Board of Supervisors
GLORIA MOLINA
First District

MARK RIDLEY-THOMAS
Second District

ZEV YAROSLAVSKY
Third District

DON KNABE
Fourth District

MICHAEL D. ANTONOVICH
Fifth District

April 21, 2011

To: All Department Heads

From: William T Fujioka
Chief Executive Officer

Wendy L. Watanabe
Auditor-Controller

Lisa M. Garrett
Director of Personnel

GUIDELINES FOR ADMINISTRATIVE INVESTIGATIONS UPDATE

This memo provides updated guidelines for administrative investigations concerning suspected employee misconduct and fraud. These guidelines summarize Chapter 15 of the County Fiscal Manual (CFM), Employee Misconduct, and supplement the CFM by addressing video and/or audio surveillance.

In accordance with CFM 15.2.0, departments are responsible for reporting all allegations of misconduct or fraud by employees or County contractors and vendors to the Auditor-Controller (A-C) Office of County Investigations (OCI). Examples of misconduct or fraud include, but are not limited to: theft of County resources including cash or equipment, embezzlement, personal use of County equipment or resources, work hour abuse, and misuse of technology resources (computers, Internet and/or email access, etc.). Other complaints such as performance or behavioral issues, discrimination, harassment, etc., may be addressed by the Department of Human Resources (DHR) or the Office of Affirmative Action and Compliance (OAAC). Attached is a list for common problems and the corresponding agency to be contacted. Departments should contact OCI if it is questionable whether an employee's activity rises to the level of misconduct or fraud.

General Investigations

To ensure proper handling of allegations of misconduct or fraud, departments are instructed:

- **NOT** to investigate the matter;
- **NOT** to contact any employee or other individual(s) under suspicion; and
- **NOT** to disturb or otherwise compromise any physical evidence.

OCI will advise departments on the specific course of action to be taken upon receiving notification of misconduct or fraud. When dealing with employees who are under investigation, departments should follow the advice of County Counsel, DHR and OCI when considering options such as reassignment, suspension, or discharge.

Video Surveillance Taping

To ensure employees' rights are preserved and to mitigate legal liability to the County, departments should never undertake any type of video/audio surveillance unless authorized by the A-C in advance. The A-C will identify privacy issues and will consult with County Counsel or the District Attorney, as needed. The A-C will establish parameters on the use of taping equipment (how, where, and when taping should be done). The A-C will approve a video surveillance plan and will supervise or monitor any type of surveillance taping.

In general, video taping (no sound) in public locations is acceptable and will need to be reviewed and approved on a case-by-case basis. Departments must provide the following list of information to OCI as part of any request to authorize video surveillance (no sound) taping:

- Specific complaint under investigation;
- Justification for video surveillance (why no other investigative methods will work);
- Location (provide a specific description, e.g. diagrams, photos, etc.);
- Specific time and duration taping needs to be done;
- What activities should normally be occurring in the location to be taped; and
- Which employees might use the location to be taped.

Video taping in rooms with a clear expectation of privacy (such as restrooms) may only be authorized by a court order.

Audio Taping

Audio taping (i.e., audio in conjunction with video recording, taping of personal or telephone conversations, etc.) has more stringent requirements governed by federal and State laws and is prohibited in administrative investigations. All audio taping must be authorized in advance by court order.

Criminal Investigations

Board Policy #9.040 designates the A-C, District Attorney, and the Sheriff as the only three County agencies with the authority to investigate criminal activity related to County employees' job-related duties. However, departments with employees who have Peace Officer status (e.g., Sheriff Deputies, Probation Officers, District Attorney Investigators, etc.) have legal authority to conduct investigations of their personnel. All other suspected criminal activities by County employees should be reported immediately to OCI.

Other Investigations of Employee Misconduct

Department of Human Resources

The Department of Human Resources is responsible for the investigation claims of retaliation which have resulted from employee "whistle blowing" regarding fraud, waste, or misuse of County resources. Departments are responsible for reporting such allegations to the DHR Director of Personnel.

DHR is also responsible for exercising general supervision over the enforcement of the Civil Service Rules and policies, procedures, and guidelines relating to the County's personnel system. As such, DHR is responsible for investigating claims alleging violation of personnel matters. Depending on the specific allegations, complaints pertaining to improper personnel practices, exam improprieties, inappropriate appointments, and preferential treatment may be investigated by DHR. If it is determined that the allegations would be more appropriately addressed internally, the complaint will be referred to the subject department. Departments should contact DHR for assistance in determining whether specific employee behavior meets the criteria of misconduct.

Office of Affirmative Action Compliance

The Office of Affirmative Action Compliance is responsible for investigating complaints of employment discrimination, harassment, and retaliation pertaining to protected

groups, such as race, color, national origin, ancestry, sex, sexual orientation, religion, age, disability, medical condition, and marital status. OAAC is also responsible for investigating claims of retaliation for filing a previous complaint of employment discrimination.

Chief Executive Officer

The Chief Executive Officer is responsible for investigating complaints of workers' compensation fraud.

Training

A-C and DHR have identified three primary ways for departments to receive training on how to conduct personnel investigations:

- A-C and DHR will provide high-level training/briefings for Department Heads, Chief Deputies, Administrative Deputies, and Departmental Human Resources Managers (or other compliance officer positions) at the May/June 2011, monthly meetings of these groups.

- A-C will add a video surveillance component to its fraud awareness training that is currently offered to supervisors and managers. This training focuses on "red flags" of fraud, reporting requirements, and other related topics.

- A-C is establishing a Countywide Investigator Network which will hold periodic meetings to coordinate with designated investigative staff with the goal of improving the quality of and standardizing approaches to investigations. A-C has identified approximately 200 employees so far that will be included in this group. The first meeting is slated to be held in June 2011, and will include video and audio taping as a specific topic at that meeting.

References

- County Fiscal Manual Chapter 15 – Employee Misconduct
- Board Policy #6.101 – Use of Information Technology (IT) Resources (are for business use only – no privacy rights – County can monitor usage – refer to A-C for investigations of misuse of IT resources)
- Board Policy #6.104 – Use of Electronic Mail (e-mail) by County Employees
- Board Policy #6.105 – Internet Usage
- Board Policy #6.109 – Security Incident Reporting

- Board Policy #9.040 – Investigations of Possible Criminal Activity within County Government (only the Sheriff, District Attorney, and A-C can investigate criminal activity)
- County Code Section 5.02.060 – Retaliation for Reporting Fraud, Waste, or Misuse of County Resources Prohibited (retaliation due to whistle blowing)
- County Code Section 5.08.020 – Director of Personnel and Affirmative Action Compliance Officer Responsibility and Authority
- Policies, Procedures, and Guidelines #910 - Employee Cooperation in the Administrative Investigation and Hearing Processes

If you have any questions or need additional information, please contact Wendy L. Watanabe, Auditor-Controller, at (213) 974-8302 or Lisa M. Garrett, Director of Personnel, at (213) 974-2406.

WTF:EFS:cg

Attachment

c: Administrative Deputies
Chief Deputies
Departmental Human Resources Managers

K:\Letters To Department Heads, Word\04.21.11 Guidelines For Administrative Investigations Update.Docx

Attachment

LIST OF COMMON EMPLOYEE, CONTRACTOR AND VENDOR MISCONDUCT AND INVESTIGATIVE AGENCY

Common employee, contractor, and vendor misconduct	Investigating Agency
Fraud, theft of County resources, embezzlement, vendor/contractor improprieties	Auditor-Controller
Abuse of work hours: • Timecard fraud • Conducting personal business during work hours	Auditor-Controller
Misuse of County equipment: • Personal use of telephones, cell phones, copiers, etc.	Auditor-Controller
Misuse of information technology resources: • Personal use of computers, abuse of email and Internet access, etc. • Viewing pornography or other inappropriate material	Auditor-Controller
Human Resources issues: • Performance and behavioral issues • Improper personnel practices • Inappropriate bonus issuance • Examination improprieties • Inappropriate appointments • Preferential treatment • Retaliation due to whistle blowing	Department of Human Resources

Discrimination, American Disabilties Act violations, and other Affirmative Action issues	Department of Human Resources/ Office of Affirmative Action Compliance
Workers' Compensation fraud	Chief Executive Office - Risk Management
Workplace threats	Sheriff - Office of Security Management

EXHIBIT G

LAHD Unapproved Unit Letter

Shows official housing-enforcement context for the downstream landlord/housing-payment records. Full source document follows this separator.

City of Los Angeles

Tiena Johnson Hall, General Manager
Tricia Keane, Executive Officer

Anna E. Ortega, Assistant General Manager
Luz C. Santiago, Assistant General Manager
Craig Arceneaux, Acting Assistant General Manager

Karen Bass, Mayor

LOS ANGELES HOUSING DEPARTMENT
1910 Sunset Blvd, Ste 300
Los Angeles, CA 90026
Tel: 213.808.8808

housing.lacity.gov

August 15, 2025

Kyle Haab
1551 ¾ E. 106th St.
Los Angeles CA 90002

RE: LAHD Case Number: SO311576

The enclosed is a courtesy copy of a letter sent to your landlord(s):

Please retain for your records.

Thank you.

City of Los Angeles

Tiena Johnson Hall, General Manager
Tricia Keane, Executive Officer

Anna E. Ortega, Assistant General Manager
Luz C. Santiago, Assistant General Manager
Craig Arceneaux, Acting Assistant General Manager

Karen Bass, Mayor

LOS ANGELES HOUSING DEPARTMENT
1910 Sunset Blvd, Ste 300
Los Angeles, CA 90026
Tel: 213.808.8808

housing.lacity.gov

August 15, 2025

Christopher N Cervantes
Post Office Box 1111
Downey, CA 90240-0111

RE: LAHD Case Number: SO311576
Tenant Name(s): Kyle Haab
Address: 1551 ¾ E. 106th St., Los Angeles CA 90002

To Whom It May Concern

Our records indicate that you are the owner of the above property that is subject to Rent Stabilization Ordinance (RSO). The Investigation and Enforcement Section of the Los Angeles Housing Department (LAHD), has reviewed a complaint filed with LAHD.

UNAPPROVED UNIT

The Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety (LADBS), issued the landlord an Order to Comply, with an effective date of August 8, 2025, regarding the following relevant code violation(s):

- Building or structure is being used, occupied, or it's existing occupancy classification has changed without the issuance of a valid Certificate of Occupancy;

The RSO as codified in the Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC), requires that a notice to evict state one of the legal reasons for eviction. Section 151.09 of the RSO specifies the legal reasons for eviction and Paragraph C of that section states, "the landlord shall serve on the tenant a written notice setting forth the reasons for the termination." Compliance with a government agency's order that necessitates the vacating of the unit is one of the reasons for eviction under the RSO. There is a specific procedure the RSO requires a landlord to follow for evictions based on compliance with a governmental order. The procedure a landlord must follow to evict a tenant to comply with a government order involves the following:

1. The landlord must file with LAHD a Declaration of Intent to Evict in Order to Comply with a Government Agency's Order;
2. The landlord must serve the tenant a 30 or 60 day written notice of eviction attached to a copy of the filed Declaration of Intent to Evict;
3. The landlord must either pay relocation directly to the tenant or deposit it in an escrow account with escrow instructions to the tenant within 15 days of the date on the notice of eviction. Depending on tenancy, the relocation assistance amount can range from $10,650.00 - $26,550.00, effective from July 1, 2025 through June 30, 2026.

Please contact the Landlord Declaration Section of LAHD for further details on how to comply with a Landlord Declaration at (213) 808-8537. Inquiries can be emailed to this general email: lahd.declarations@lacity.org.

Should you have any further questions, please call me at (818) 756-1490, between the hours of 10:00 a.m. – 12:00 p.m. and 2:00 p.m. – 4:00 p.m. Tuesdays and Thursdays. You can leave a message anytime.
Sincerely,



JOSEPH PLASCENCIA, Senior Housing Investigator I
Investigation and Enforcement Section

c: Kyle Haab

EXHIBIT H

LADBS Order to Comply

Shows building/use violation and Certificate of Occupancy context for the unit. Full source document follows this separator.

BOARD OF
BUILDING AND SAFETY
COMMISSIONERS

JACOB STEVENS
PRESIDENT
NANCY YAP
VICE-PRESIDENT
CORISSA HERNANDEZ
JAVIER NUNEZ
MOISES ROSALES

CITY OF LOS ANGELES
CALIFORNIA

DEPARTMENT OF
BUILDING AND SAFETY
201 NORTH FIGUEROA STREET
LOS ANGELES, CA 90012

OSAMA YOUNAN, P.E.
GENERAL MANAGER
SUPERINTENDENT OF BUILDING

JOHN WEIGHT
EXECUTIVE OFFICER

KAREN BASS
MAYOR

ORDER TO COMPLY AND NOTICE OF FEE

CERVANTES, CHRISTOPHER N
PO BOX 1111
DOWNEY, CA 90240

CASE #: 1053480
ORDER #: A-6604739
EFFECTIVE DATE: August 25, 2025
COMPLIANCE DATE: **September 24, 2025**

OWNER OF
SITE ADDRESS: **1551 E 106TH ST**
ASSESSORS PARCEL NO.: 6065-016-024
ZONE: R2; Two Family Zone

An inspection has revealed that the property (Site Address) listed above is in violation of the Los Angeles Municipal Code (L.A.M.C.) sections listed below. You are hereby ordered to correct the violation(s) and contact the inspector listed in the signature block at the end of this document for a compliance inspection by the compliance date listed above.

FURTHER, THE CODE VIOLATION INSPECTION FEE (C.V.I.F) OF **$ 356.16** ($336 fee plus a six percent Systems Development Surcharge of $20.16) **WILL BE BILLED TO THE PROPERTY OWNER.** The invoice/notice will be sent to the owner as it appears on the last equalized assessment roll. Section 98.0421 L.A.M.C.

NOTE: FAILURE TO PAY THE C.V.I.F. WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE INVOICE DATE OF THE BILL NOTED ABOVE WILL RESULT IN A LATE CHARGE OF TWO (2) TIMES THE C.V.I.F. PLUS A 50 PERCENT COLLECTION FEE FOR A TOTAL OF **$1,176.00.**
Any person who fails to pay the fee, late charge and collection fee, shall also pay interest. Interest shall be calculated at the rate of one percent per month.

The inspection has revealed that the property is in violation of the Los Angeles Municipal Code as follows:

VIOLATION(S):

1. **Building or structure is being used, occupied, or its existing occupancy classification has changed without the issuance of a valid Certificate of Occupancy.**

   You are therefore ordered to: Discontinue the unapproved use of the building or structure until all violations of this code have been corrected and a valid Certificate of Occupancy has been issued.

   Code Section(s) in Violation: 91.104.2.5, 91.103.1, 12.21A.1.(a) of the L.A.M.C.

   Location: The first and second floors of the residence.

   Comments: The 2-story single-family dwelling is being used as a duplex without the required plans, permits, inspections; or approvals.

2. **The approximate 10' x 10' remodel of the 2nd floor foyer to a kitchen was/is constructed without the required permits and approvals.**

   You are therefore ordered to: 1) Demolish and remove all construction work performed without the required permit(s), AND 2) Restore the existing structure(s) to its originally approved condition, if altered in

As a covered entity under Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act, the City of Los Angeles does not discriminate on the basis of disability and, upon request, will provide reasonable accommodation to ensure equal access to its programs, services and activities.

anyway, OR 3) Submit plans, obtain the required permits and expose the work for proper inspections.

Code Section(s) in Violation: 91.8105, 91.106.1.1, 91.106.1.2, 91.108.4, 91.106.3.2, 91.103.1, 12.21A.1.(a) of the L.A.M.C.

Location: 2nd floor of the single-family residence.

Comments: The 2nd floor of the single-family dwelling has been converted to an Unpermitted Dwelling Unit and does not conform to the certificate of occupancy of record.

3. Hazardous Mechanical Equipment (heating, air conditioning, refrigeration or ventilation) in the water heater installation.

You are therefore ordered to: Remove all mechanical equipment, including vents that does not conform with all applicable laws in effect at the time of installation and which has not been maintained in good condition and is being used in an unsafe manner.

Code Section(s) in Violation: 91.8902.6, 91.8902, 91.103.1, 12.21A.1.(a) of the L.A.M.C.

Location: Rear yard.

Comments: The water heater vent is installed in a manner not consistent with the code.

4. Electrical work has been done without the required permits and approvals.

You are therefore ordered to: Obtain all required electrical permits and approvals.

Code Section(s) in Violation: 93.0201, 93.0104, 91.103.1 12.21A.1.(a) of the L.A.M.C.

Location: The 2nd floor the unapproved breezeway enclosure.

Comments: There is an unapproved kitchen on the 2nd floor and an unpermitted breezeway enclosure serving as an unapproved laundry facility connecting the main house and the approved garage to ADU conversion.

5. Plumbing work has been done without the required permits and approvals.

You are therefore ordered to: Obtain all required plumbing permits and approvals.

Code Section(s) in Violation: 94.103.1.1, 91.103.1, 12.21A.1.(a) of the L.A.M.C.

Location: The 2nd floor kitchen and the unapproved breezeway enclosure.

Comments: There is an unapproved kitchen on the 2nd floor and an unpermitted breezeway enclosure serving as an unapproved laundry facility connecting the main house and the approved garage to ADU conversion.

As a covered entity under Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act, the City of Los Angeles does not discriminate on the basis of disability and, upon request, will provide reasonable accommodation to ensure equal access to its programs, services and activities.

NON-COMPLIANCE FEE WARNING:

YOU ARE IN VIOLATION OF THE L.A.M.C. IT IS YOUR RESPONSIBILITY TO CORRECT THE VIOLATION(S) AND CONTACT THE INSPECTOR LISTED BELOW TO ARRANGE FOR A COMPLIANCE INSPECTION BEFORE THE NON-COMPLIANCE FEE IS IMPOSED. Failure to correct the violations and arrange for the compliance inspection within 15 days from the Compliance Date, will result in imposition of the fee noted below.

In addition to the C.V.I.F. noted above, a proposed noncompliance fee in the amount of **$660.00** may be imposed for failure to comply with the order within 15 days after the compliance date specified in the order or unless an appeal or request for slight modification is filed within 15 days of the compliance date.

If an appeal or request for slight modification is not filed within 15 days of the compliance date or extensions granted therefrom, the determination of the department to impose and collect a non-compliance fee shall be final. Section 98.0411 L.A.M.C.

NOTE: FAILURE TO PAY THE NON-COMPLIANCE FEE WITHIN 30 DAYS AFTER THE DATE OF MAILING THE INVOICE, MAY RESULT IN A LATE CHARGE OF TWO (2) TIMES THE NON-COMPLIANCE FEE PLUS A 50 PERCENT COLLECTION FEE FOR A TOTAL OF $**2,310.00.**

Any person who fails to pay the non-compliance fee, late charge and collection fee shall also pay interest. Interest shall be calculated at the rate of one percent per month.

PENALTY WARNING:

Any person who violates or causes or permits another person to violate any provision of the Los Angeles Municipal Code (L.A.M.C.) is guilty of a misdemeanor which is punishable by a fine of not more than $1000.00 and/or six (6) months imprisonment for each violation. Section 11.00 (m) L.A.M.C.

INVESTIGATION FEE REQUIRED:

Whenever any work has been commenced without authorization by a permit or application for inspection, and which violates provisions of Articles 1 through 8 of Chapter IX of the Los Angeles Municipal Code (L.A.M.C.) , and if no order has been issued by the department or a court of law requiring said work to proceed, a special investigation fee which shall be double the amount charged for an application for inspection, license or permit fee, but not less than $400.00 , shall be collected on each permit, license or application for inspection. Section 98.0402 (a) L.A.M.C.

APPEAL PROCEDURES:

There is an appeal procedure established in this city whereby the Department of Building and Safety and the Board of Building and Safety Commissioners have the authority to hear and determine err or abuse of discretion, or requests for slight modification of the requirements contained in this order when appropriate fees have been paid. Section 98.0403.1 and 98.0403.2 L.A.M.C.

Any questions regarding invoices or how to pay fees should be directed to financial services at (213) 482-6890.
Any questions regarding the order to comply and compliance matters contact the inspector noted below at (310)732-4533.
Office hours are 7:00 a.m. to 3:30 p.m. Monday through Thursday.

Inspector: Date: August 06, 2025

KEITH EDGHILL
638 S. BEACON ST #276
LOS ANGELES, CA 90731
(310)732-4533
Keith.Edghill@lacity.org

REVIEWED BY

As a covered entity under Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act, the City of Los Angeles does not discriminate on the basis of disability and, upon request, will provide reasonable accommodation to ensure equal access to its programs, services and activities.

EXHIBIT C

HUD CPD Corrected Escalation - Consent Ripple Effect / LAHSA Enforcement Routing / HMIS Source Record

Corrected HUD CPD / HUD Los Angeles Field Office packet preserving threshold consent, ripple effect, local HMIS/LAHSA escalation, enforcement routing, HUD FOIA association, and HUD/CPD procedure questions.

Pages included: Full packet, pages 1-38

Source file: HUD_CPD_Escalation_Packet_CORRECTED_LAHSA_Enforcement_Consent_Ripple_May15_2026.pdf

HUD CPD / HUD Los Angeles Field Office Escalation Packet

CORRECTED VERSION - Consent Ripple Effect / LAHSA Enforcement Routing / HMIS Source-Record Escalation / HUD FOIA Association

Prepared by	Kyle Robert Haab
Date	May 15, 2026
Property	1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4, Los Angeles, CA 90002
Primary HUD lane requested	CPD routing, preservation, records custody, HMIS escalation procedure, FOIA association
HUD AAQ	Question ID 260629
HUD FHEO Inquiry	860997
HUD FOIA	26-FI-RO9-01580 / FOIA submitted April 3, 2026
PTP participant ID	1233369910
HMIS ID	8F8CD9D8B
LAHSA Ref. / HMIS tickets	2526KH-A / 259260, 260627, 267528
Enforcement references	County Fraud / OCI 2025-23289 and 2026-23965; LAPD RMS C259045687 and C269013241
Related cases	25CMFL00694 / 26CMUD00417 / SHN-105293108

Purpose of corrected packet

This corrected packet is prepared for HUD CPD / HUD Los Angeles Field Office routing. It requests written clarification of the next escalation step after HUD Exchange directed the HMIS issue back to LAHSA / local HMIS channels and stated that LAHSA's written response can then be taken to the local HUD CPD office.

This corrected version emphasizes that consent is the threshold issue; that disputed or missing consent creates a ripple effect across HMIS, CRCD / Project Tipping Point, housing placement, landlord-payment records, family-status classification, and downstream proceedings; and that LAHSA's routing toward enforcement / fraud channels makes preservation and source-record custody critical to the integrity of OCI and LAPD IAD / PSB review.

Sensitive records notice

This packet includes housing, family-status, administrative, and program-record identifiers. It should be routed only to offices with a legitimate program, records, oversight, FOIA, enforcement-routing, or intake role.

Corrected Framing for HUD CPD

HUD / LAHSA / HMIS alignment

HUD does not directly house the HMIS database. LAHSA administers the local HMIS. However, HUD Exchange directed me to LAHSA as the local HMIS administrator and advised that LAHSA's written response can then be taken to the local HUD CPD office. HUD's HMIS Data and Technical Standards, CPD / CoC framework, and prior CPD routing make this a HUD-aligned escalation issue once the local HMIS Lead fails to provide a written source-record determination, consent-scope disposition, access-log / disclosure process, correction procedure, or escalation path.

Consent is the threshold issue

Without the source authorization record, none of the later CRCD / Project Tipping Point housing, HMIS, landlord-payment, family-status, or reunification-related records can be treated as clean or undisputed. The question is what CRCD, Ruth's Place, LAHSA / HMIS, or Project Tipping Point treated as authorization for later 2024-2026 records use.

Consent ripple effect

If the original consent, ROI, HMIS authorization, privacy notice, or participant agreement is missing, limited, expired, program-specific, or did not authorize later Project Tipping Point activity, then every downstream record needs review: CRCD / PTP contact, HMIS reliance, family-status classification, child-related information, landlord communications, lease records, W-9 / ACH records, rental-assistance records, unit-suitability records, housing placement, and later agency routing.

LAHSA enforcement routing / OCI / LAPD IAD integrity issue

LAHSA did not simply leave this as a service-navigation issue. LAHSA's handling directed the matter toward enforcement, fraud, and law-enforcement channels while still not producing a written HMIS source-record determination, consent-scope disposition, access log, disclosure history, correction pathway, or escalation route. That matters because the same unresolved consent / HMIS / CRCD / PTP records chain is now connected to County Fraud / OCI 2025-23289 and 2026-23965, LAPD RMS C259045687 and C269013241, LAPD / OIG / IAD / PSB routing, LAHSA Ref. 2526KH-A, and HMIS tickets 259260, 260627, and 267528.

Narrow HUD CPD request

I am not asking HUD CPD to direct OCI, LAPD, IAD, PSB, or any enforcement agency. I am asking HUD CPD to identify the correct HUD / CPD / CoC / HMIS escalation path so the local HMIS source-record issue is not treated as resolved merely because it was routed to enforcement. The requested action is preservation and routing: identify who is responsible for preserving and producing LAHSA / HMIS / CRCD / PTP records used, disclosed, referenced, or relied upon before the matter entered OCI, LAPD, IAD / PSB, or other enforcement lanes.

Ripple chain

limited or disputed 2023 HMIS / Ruth's Place / CRCD consent -> later 2024-2026 Project Tipping Point contact and records use -> housing placement and rental-assistance processing -> landlord / lease / W-9 / ACH / payment records -> unit legality and habitability issues -> unlawful-detainer exposure -> loss of housing stability -> reduced ability to participate in court, maintain visitation, and pursue reunification -> agencies treating the issue as ordinary service navigation instead of a source-record and family-stability problem.

Copy-Ready Email

To: ChinWoo.Choi@hud.gov; Ester.F.Dela@hud.gov; Adriane.J.Clarke@hud.gov; Cpdgdas@hud.gov; Rebecca.Blanco@hud.gov; Sonja.Cossio@hud.gov; Katherine.vasilopoulos@hud.gov; HUDLACustomerService@hud.gov

Cc: R9IntakeInbox6@hud.gov; FHEOCorrespondenceUnit@hud.gov; AllAboutFHIP@hud.gov

Subject: Corrected Submission of HUD CPD Escalation Packet - CRCD / LAHSA / HMIS / Project Tipping Point / HUD FOIA 26-FI-RO9-01580

Dear HUD CPD / HUD Los Angeles Field Office,

Please see the attached corrected HUD CPD escalation packet regarding the CRCD / Project Tipping Point / LAHSA / HMIS records issue involving 1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4, Los Angeles, CA 90002.

This corrected packet emphasizes the threshold consent issue, the ripple effect from disputed or missing source authorization records, and the fact that LAHSA's handling directed the matter toward enforcement / fraud channels while the underlying HMIS source-record issue remains unresolved.

I am submitting this packet to preserve the routing record and request written clarification of the correct HUD CPD escalation, records-custody, FOIA association, preservation, HMIS escalation, and program-oversight procedure.

I am copying HUD FHEO / Region IX only for routing history because FHEO Inquiry 860997 was administratively closed for lack of FHEO jurisdiction and redirected toward HUD CPD / related housing-program channels. I am not asking FHEO to reopen the inquiry through this email unless FHEO identifies a proper basis or referral route.

I am not asking HUD CPD to decide my family-law case, unlawful-detainer case, attorney-discipline matter, DRE matter, state-hearing merits, County Fraud / OCI matter, LAPD IAD / PSB matter, or any criminal allegation. I am requesting procedure, routing, preservation, and records-custody clarification only.

Relevant identifiers:

Name: Kyle Robert Haab
Property: 1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4, Los Angeles, CA 90002
CRCD / Project Tipping Point participant ID: 1233369910
HMIS ID: 8F8CD9D8B
LAHSA Ref.: 2526KH-A
HMIS tickets: 259260, 260627, 267528
CFCI reference: CFCI-PA3-056-2023
County Fraud / OCI: 2025-23289 and 2026-23965
LAPD RMS: C259045687 and C269013241
LAPD / OIG / IAD / PSB routing: procedural control-number and preservation request pending
LADBS Order: A-6604739 / Case 1053480
LAHD Case: SO311576
DRE matter: PC 1-26-0316-003
CDSS State Hearing: SHN-105293108
Unlawful-detainer case: 26CMUD00417
California family-law case: 25CMFL00694
Federal case / preservation docket: CV26-802-UA / 2:26-cv-00802-JFW-AS
DOL OIG FOIA: 2026067
HUD FHEO Inquiry: 860997
HUD AAQ Question ID: 260629
HUD FOIA / FOIA.gov: HUD FOIA 26-FI-RO9-01580; FOIA request submitted April 3, 2026

The attached packet includes:

1. Corrected front matter and copy-ready email;
2. HUD Exchange AAQ Question ID 260629 response;
3. HUD CPD April 27, 2026 response;
4. HUD CPD / Senator Schiff routing response;
5. HUD FHEO administrative closure for Inquiry 860997;
6. CRCD / Latea Davis records-custody request;
7. FCA attorney declination / program-compliance red-flags screenshot;
8. DRE / LAHD / LADBS unit-status excerpts.

HUD / LAHSA / HMIS alignment:

I understand that HUD does not maintain a national HMIS database and that LAHSA administers the local HMIS. However, HUD Exchange directed me to LAHSA as the local HMIS administrator and advised that LAHSA's written response can then be taken to the local HUD CPD office. HUD's HMIS Data and Technical Standards, CPD / CoC framework, and prior CPD routing make this a HUD-aligned escalation issue once the local HMIS Lead fails to provide a written source-record determination, consent-scope disposition, access-log / disclosure process, correction procedure, or escalation path.

The question is therefore not whether HUD personally holds my HMIS record. The question is what HUD CPD escalation path applies when the local HMIS Lead / CoC administrator does not provide a written response after HUD Exchange and HUD CPD have both routed the HMIS privacy / security issue back to local HMIS channels.

Consent as the threshold issue:

The consent issue is the threshold issue. Without the source authorization record, none of the later CRCD / Project Tipping Point housing, HMIS, landlord-payment, family-status, or reunification-related records can be treated as clean or undisputed.

The entire records chain appears to depend on what CRCD, Ruth's Place, LAHSA / HMIS, or Project Tipping Point treated as authorization. If the only source record is a limited 2023 Ruth's Place / CRCD / HMIS-tracked contact or one-day enrollment, then HUD CPD should identify what procedure applies to determine whether that record could support later 2024-2026 activity involving a different program, different purpose, different funding path, different housing transaction, different state, landlord / payment records, education / workforce coordination, and child / family-status information.

The missing consent source record affects whether CRCD / Project Tipping Point had authority to contact me or my household, collect or use family-status and child-related information, rely on LAHSA / HMIS records outside the limited HMIS project context, process landlord / lease / W-9 / ACH / rental-assistance records, or treat downstream housing instability as voluntary parental instability rather than a consequence of unresolved source-record problems.

Consent ripple effect:

The consent issue created a ripple effect. If the original consent, ROI, HMIS authorization, privacy notice, or participant agreement is missing, limited, expired, program-specific, or did not authorize later Project Tipping Point activity, then every downstream record needs review.

The ripple effect is:

limited or disputed 2023 HMIS / Ruth's Place / CRCD consent -> later 2024-2026 Project Tipping Point contact and records use -> housing placement and rental-assistance processing -> landlord / lease / W-9 / ACH / payment records -> unit legality and habitability issues -> unlawful-detainer exposure -> loss of housing stability -> reduced ability to participate in court, maintain visitation, and pursue reunification -> agencies treating the issue as ordinary service navigation instead of a source-record and family-stability problem.

LAHSA enforcement routing / OCI / LAPD IAD integrity issue:

I also need HUD CPD to understand that LAHSA did not simply leave this as a service-navigation issue. LAHSA's handling directed the matter toward enforcement, fraud, and law-enforcement channels while still not producing a written HMIS source-record determination, consent-scope disposition, access log, disclosure history, correction pathway, or escalation route.

That matters because the same unresolved consent / HMIS / CRCD / Project Tipping Point records chain is now connected to County Fraud / OCI matters 2025-23289 and 2026-23965; LAPD RMS reports C259045687 and C269013241; LAPD / OIG / IAD / PSB routing and preservation issues; LAHSA Ref. 2526KH-A; HMIS tickets 259260, 260627, and 267528; DOL OIG FOIA / federal records routing; CRCD / Project Tipping Point participant ID 1233369910; and HMIS ID 8F8CD9D8B.

My concern is the integrity of the investigative and administrative record. If LAHSA directed me toward enforcement or fraud-reporting channels, then LAHSA / HMIS / CRCD source records, consent records, access logs, disclosure histories, ticket histories, internal routing notes, and referral records should be preserved and identifiable. Otherwise, enforcement agencies may review downstream reports without the original source-record foundation.

I am not asking HUD CPD to direct OCI, LAPD, IAD, PSB, or any enforcement agency. I am asking HUD CPD to identify the correct HUD / CPD / CoC / HMIS escalation path so the local HMIS source-record issue is not treated as resolved merely because it was routed to enforcement.

Housing is not separate from consent:

The unit at 1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4 was connected to CRCD / Project Tipping Point rental assistance, landlord communications, lease records, W-9 / ACH / payment records, and later unlawful-detainer exposure. City records identify unit-status concerns, including unapproved-unit and Certificate of Occupancy issues. Those issues affect housing stability, court participation, family reunification, and parent-child contact.

HUD FOIA association:

I am also requesting that HUD CPD associate this submission with my HUD FOIA / FOIA.gov records request. HUD CPD's April 27, 2026 response states that I submitted a FOIA request on April 3, 2026 and that CPD provided responsive records to HUD's FOIA office for review and processing. That FOIA lane is relevant because the records produced or withheld may identify the routing history, CPD review notes, LAHSA / City communications, CRCD funding determinations, HUD OIG referral, and the basis for redirecting HMIS personal-information concerns back to LAHSA / local HMIS channels.

Program-compliance framing:

I also want to clarify why I am requesting administrative routing and records preservation rather than asking CPD to make a fraud finding. I previously submitted materials to an attorney for possible False Claims Act review. The attorney declined representation

because the case would likely not warrant the substantial attorney time and litigation expense required, and because proving scienter / intent to defraud would be difficult. However, the attorney also stated that the evidence raises red flags about program compliance. I am therefore not asking HUD CPD to conclude fraud. I am asking CPD to identify the correct oversight lane, records custodian, preservation process, LAHSA / HMIS escalation path, and any applicable referral route for program-compliance concerns.

Please confirm the following:

1. Whether HUD CPD will accept LAHSA's written HMIS response, once obtained, for local CPD review as HUD Exchange advised.

2. If LAHSA does not provide a written HMIS source-record response, what escalation path applies.

3. Whether escalation should proceed through LAHSA HMIS governance, the Los Angeles CoC board, HUD CPD Los Angeles Field Office, HUD Region IX, HUD privacy / security channels, HUD OIG, or another HUD-designated process.

4. Whether CPD can identify the correct records custodian for LAHSA / HMIS / CoC records involving HMIS ID 8F8CD9D8B, CRCD / Ruth's Place 2023 enrollment records, disclosure history, access logs, provider-sharing history, audit trail, correction history, and ROI / consent records.

5. Whether CPD can identify the correct grantee / subrecipient contact for CRCD-related records, given the prior CPD statement that CRCD is a subrecipient of CoC and CDBG funds through LAHSA and the City.

6. Whether CPD can clarify the boundary between Project Tipping Point funding jurisdiction, CRCD's status as a HUD-funded subrecipient through other programs, HMIS data / privacy procedures administered locally, HUD OIG referral for fraud / waste / abuse / program-compliance concerns, and HUD FOIA / FOIA.gov records processing.

7. Whether CPD can confirm what type of LAHSA written response is sufficient to bring back to CPD for review.

8. Whether CPD has a preferred submission method for a narrow supplemental packet after LAHSA responds or fails to respond.

9. Whether the prior HUD OIG referral has any reference number, tracking number, or office contact.

10. Whether this HUD CPD escalation packet can be associated with HUD FOIA 26-FI-RO9-01580 or any related FOIA.gov tracking number.

11. If CPD cannot associate this packet with the FOIA file, please identify the correct HUD FOIA contact for submitting it as a supplemental preservation / cross-reference record.

12. If CPD considers the matter fully closed, please identify the exact office or process for unresolved local HMIS privacy / security escalation when the local HMIS Lead does not issue a written source-record determination.

13. Whether CPD can identify the correct routing for records involving CRCD / Project Tipping Point, CFCI-PA3-056-2023, LAHSA / HMIS, City of Los Angeles, County of Los Angeles, Amity / JCOD, County Fraud / OCI, LAPD IAD / PSB preservation issues, and related housing-support records.

14. Whether CPD recommends that I submit the LAHSA non-response or unresolved HMIS tickets to HUD OIG, HUD CPD, HUD Region IX, the Los Angeles CoC board, LAHSA governance, HUD FOIA, or another specific office.

15. Who is responsible for preserving and producing the LAHSA / HMIS / CRCD / Project Tipping Point records that were used, disclosed, referenced, or relied upon before the matter entered OCI, LAPD, IAD / PSB, or other enforcement lanes.

I am requesting procedure and routing only. I am not asking CPD to adjudicate every related issue. I need a written answer identifying the next correct step after HUD Exchange directed me back to LAHSA and then to local CPD with LAHSA's written response, and after LAHSA's handling directed the issue toward enforcement without first producing the source-record determination.

Please confirm receipt of the attached corrected packet and identify the correct CPD contact, records custodian, grantee / subrecipient contact, FOIA contact, HMIS escalation path, or HUD referral route.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert Haab
1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4
Los Angeles, CA 90002
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

Corrected Exhibit Index

Exhibit	Document	Purpose
A	HUD Exchange AAQ Question ID 260629 response	Shows HUD does not maintain a national HMIS database, identifies LAHSA/local HMIS lane, and states LAHSA written response can be taken to local HUD CPD.
B	HUD CPD April 27, 2026 response	Shows CPD final jurisdiction position, local HMIS redirection, HUD LA customer service contact, and FOIA processing statement.
C	HUD CPD / Senator Schiff routing response	Shows CPD statement that CRCD was a CoC/CDBG subrecipient through LAHSA/City and that allegations were forwarded/referrals made.
D	HUD FHEO administrative closure for Inquiry 860997	Shows FHEO non-merits closure and redirection toward CPD / related housing-program channels.
E	CRCD / Latea Davis records-custody request	Shows request for PTP records, consent/authorization, family-status, housing placement, unit legality, and BFH State Hearing association.
F	FCA attorney declination / program-compliance red-flags screenshot	Shows administrative program-compliance red flags while clarifying that this is not a request for CPD fraud finding.
G	DRE / LAHD / LADBS unit-status excerpts	Shows unit legality, Certificate of Occupancy, and unapproved-unit context relevant to housing stability and family reunification impact.

Source attachment control

Original PDFs, emails, agency letters, screenshots, FOIA records, HMIS records, and agency responses remain the controlling exhibits if separately requested or produced. This corrected packet is a routing, preservation, and escalation-control packet.

Exhibit A

HUD Exchange AAQ Question ID 260629 Response

Local HMIS / LAHSA written response and local CPD escalation instruction.

Question Response for General Public Question ID 260629 - HUD Exchange Ask A Question

1 message

<aaq@hudexchange.info> Fri, May 15, 2026 at 7:52 AM
To: kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

Question Status: Answered

Thank you for submitting a question via the HUD Exchange. The response to your question is listed below.

Requestor Name: Kyle Haab

Requestor Email: kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

Question Related To: General Public

Question ID: 260629

Question Subject:

Final HMIS Lead Request for Written Procedure, Source-Record Custodian, Consent Scope, and Escalation – HMIS ID 8F8CD9D8B

Question Text:

Dear Ms. Kuhn / LAHSA HMIS Lead:

I am requesting a written HMIS Lead response regarding an unresolved HMIS privacy, consent, records-use, program-seperation, and escalation issue involving CRCD / Ruth's Place / Project Tipping Point / LAHSA HMIS.

HUD AAQ previously directed my HMIS privacy/security questions to the local CoC or HMIS Lead and identified LAHSA / Bevin Kuhn as the Los Angeles County CoC HMIS Lead. HUD CPD also directed my HMIS personal-information concerns back to LAHSA / local HMIS channels. That local routing has not resolved the issue.

The central issue is consent scope and program separation.

CRCD / Project Tipping Point appears to be relying on a one-day 2023 Ruth's Place / CRCD HMIS-tracked project enrollment to justify ongoing communication and later records use involving Project Tipping Point, which appears to be a separate non-HMIS-tracked program. No consent, ROI, HMIS authorization, privacy notice, participant agreement, disclosure history, or program-specific authorization has been produced showing that the limited Ruth's Place / HMIS contact authorized later Project Tipping Point activity.

A one-day HMIS-tracked project enrollment should not be treated as open-ended authorization for a different year, different program, different purpose, different funding stream, different housing transaction, different state, landlord/payment records, education/workforce coordination, or child/family-status information.

This matters because CRCD had or should have had knowledge that I was in Tennessee, that I had two children, that I had stable housing, and that my family was involved in an active reunification / permanency context. Later records use and communications affected housing stability, agency classification, family-support access, legal-service access, and downstream referrals.

Relevant identifiers include:

Exhibit A - HUD Exchange AAQ Question ID 260629 Response - Source page 2

HUD AAQ Question ID 259772

HUD FHEO Inquiry 860997

HUD CAS 886647-F8H2X

HUD CRM 0435430

HUD FOIA 26-FI-RO9-01580

HMIS ID 8F8CD9D8B

LAHSA Ref. 2526KH-A

HMIS tickets 259260, 260627, 267528

CRCD / Ruth's Place HMIS project entry dated approximately April 27–28, 2023

CRCD / Project Tipping Point Intake ID 1233369910

County Fraud / OCI 2025-23289 and 2026-23965

DOL OIG FOIA.gov Submission ID 2838146

CMS FOIA.gov Submission ID 2838271 / CMS Document #040320267102 / PIN QTBG

HHS / ACF FOIA.gov Submission ID 2838106 / HHS tracking 2026-HHS-FOIA-002440

Department of Education FOIA.gov Submission ID 2838226

CFCI-PA3-056-2023

LAPD RMS C259045687 and C269013241

Los Angeles Superior Court family-law case 25CMFL00694

Unlawful-detainer case 26CMUD00417

TBPR investigative contact ttantshafer@tbor.org

Please provide a written response identifying:

1. The records custodian for the original 2023 Ruth's Place / CRCD HMIS source record.

2. Whether the April 27–28, 2023 Ruth's Place / CRCD HMIS enrollment was accessed, used, disclosed, shared, referenced, or relied upon by CRCD / Project Tipping Point after that limited enrollment.

3. Whether Project Tipping Point was HMIS-tracked or non-HMIS-tracked.

4. What LAHSA / HMIS / CoC policy permits or prohibits a non-HMIS program from relying on a prior HMIS-tracked project enrollment as consent for later activity.

5. The consent, ROI, HMIS authorization, privacy notice, disclosure permission, participant agreement, or other source record allegedly relied upon for later CRCD / Project Tipping Point activity.

6. The procedure to obtain my HMIS access log, provider-sharing history, disclosure accounting, audit trail, edit history, correction history, referral history, enrollment record, and exit record.

7. Whether HMIS tickets 259260, 260627, and 267528 were administrative closures only, substantive determinations, referrals, unresolved tickets, or corrections.

8. The correction, restriction, amendment, dispute-notation, disclosure-accounting, and preservation procedure if no valid consent or ROI exists.

9. Whether LAHSA / HMIS Risk Management, privacy, compliance, or CoC governance reviewed this issue.

10. The escalation path if LAHSA / HMIS Lead does not provide a written source-record determination or disposition, including whether the matter goes to LAHSA HMIS governance, the Los Angeles CoC board, HUD CPD Field Office, HUD Region IX, HUD privacy/security channels, or another office.

I am not asking LAHSA to decide every collateral issue. I am asking LAHSA, as the local HMIS Lead / HMIS administrator, to identify the required HMIS procedure, source-record custody, consent-scope determination process, audit/disclosure process, correction pathway, preservation procedure, and escalation route.

Please do not treat prior ticket closures or referrals as substantive determinations unless LAHSA can identify the source record reviewed, the custodian, the policy applied, the disclosure/access history reviewed, and the written basis for the disposition.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert Haab

1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4

Los Angeles, CA 90002

657-557-4459

kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

Additional Information:

Added by Requestor on 05-15-2026 04.59 AM (ET)

I am adding this information to clarify why this is an unresolved HMIS escalation issue, not a new general complaint.

HUD has already routed this matter through multiple lanes. HUD FHEO administratively closed inquiry 860997 for lack of jurisdiction, but stated that the closure was not a judgment on the merits. HUD CPD later stated that CRCD is a current subrecipient of HUD CoC and CDBG funds through LAHSA and the City of Los Angeles, forwarded my complaint to LAHSA and the City, and referred fraud allegations to HUD OIG. HUD CPD later concluded that Project Tipping Point and the unit at 1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4 were not supported by HUD CPD funding, and redirected HMIS personal-information concerns back to LAHSA / local HMIS channels. HUD AAQ also directed HMIS privacy/security questions to the local CoC or HMIS Lead.

That is the procedural problem: every route leads back to LAHSA / HMIS Lead, but LAHSA has not provided a written process, custodian, disposition, correction path, or escalation route.

The issue is consent scope and program separation. CRCD / Ruth's Place appears to have a one-day 2023 HMIS-tracked project entry, approximately April 27–28, 2023. CRCD / Project Tipping Point then appears to have treated that limited contact as continuing authority for later 2024–2026 communication and records use. Project Tipping Point appears to be a separate non-HMIS-tracked program. No consent, ROI, HMIS authorization, privacy notice, participant agreement, disclosure accounting, access log, provider-sharing history, or program-specific authorization has been produced showing that the one-day HMIS contact authorized later Project Tipping Point activity.

A one-day HMIS-tracked enrollment should not be treated as open-ended consent for a different year, different program, different purpose, different funding path, different state, landlord/payment records, rental-assistance processing, education/workforce coordination, or child/family-status information.

Exhibit A - HUD Exchange AAQ Question ID 260629 Response - Source page 4

This matters because CRCD had or should have had knowledge that I was in Tennessee, had two children, had stable housing, and was involved in an active reunification / permanency context. Later records use affected housing stability, public-program classification, family-service access, legal-service access, and downstream agency referrals. This is not only about money. It is about whether personal, household, housing, education, rental-assistance, and family-status information was used without a produced source authorization.

LAHSA's involvement has made the matter harder to resolve because the issue was routed through HMIS tickets and Risk Management, I was directed toward law-enforcement / fraud reporting, later told the matter was outside LAHSA jurisdiction, and still have not received a written HMIS source record process. Ticket closures should not be treated as substantive determinations where no consent source, access log, disclosure accounting, audit trail, custodian, or correction procedure has been identified.

The unresolved records issue now affects multiple related proceedings and agency lanes, including County Fraud / OCI 2025-23289 and 2026-23965, LAPD RMS C259045687 and C269013241, DOL OIG FOIA records, CMS / DHCS beneficiary-record questions, HHS / ACF Children's Bureau routing, Department of Education / FERPA records, family-law case 25CMFL00694, unlawful-detainer case 26CMUD00417, and the Tennessee counsel / consent issue involving tlanishafer@tbpr.org. I am not asking HUD Exchange to decide those matters. I am explaining why unresolved HMIS consent and records-use questions are causing downstream harm.

My procedural question is narrow: when HUD directs an HMIS privacy/security issue to the local CoC / HMIS Lead, but LAHSA does not provide a written process or disposition and is itself part of the disputed routing history, what escalation path applies?

Please identify whether escalation should proceed through LAHSA HMIS governance, the Los Angeles CoC board, HUD CPD Field Office, HUD Region IX, HUD privacy/security channels, HUD OIG, or another HUD-designated process. Please also identify the correct office, contact point, and submission method for requesting consent/ROI source records, HMIS access logs, provider-sharing history, disclosure accounting, audit trail, correction/amendment, dispute notation, restriction, and preservation.

Added by Requestor on 05-15-2026 04:59 AM (ET)

I am adding this information to clarify why this is an unresolved HMIS escalation issue, not a new general complaint.

HUD has already routed this matter through multiple lanes. HUD FHEO administratively closed Inquiry 660997 for lack of jurisdiction, but stated that the closure was not a judgment on the merits. HUD CPD later stated that CRCD is a current subrecipient of HUD CoC and CDBG funds through LAHSA and the City of Los Angeles, forwarded my complaint to LAHSA and the City, and referred fraud allegations to HUD OIG. HUD CPD later concluded that Project Tipping Point and the unit at 1551 E. 108th St., Unit 3/4 were not supported by HUD CPD funding, and redirected HMIS personal information concerns back to LAHSA / local HMIS channels. HUD AAQ also directed HMIS privacy/security questions to the local CoC or HMIS Lead.

That is the procedural problem: every route leads back to LAHSA / HMIS Lead, but LAHSA has not provided a written process, custodian, disposition, correction path, or escalation route.

The issue is consent scope and program separation. CRCD / Ruth's Place appears to have a one-day 2023 HMIS-tracked project entry, approximately April 27–28, 2023. CRCD / Project Tipping Point then appears to have treated that limited contact as continuing authority for later 2024–2026 communication and records use. Project Tipping Point appears to be a separate non-HMIS-tracked program. No consent, ROI, HMIS authorization, privacy notice, participant agreement, disclosure accounting, access log, provider-sharing history, or program-specific authorization has been produced showing that the one-day HMIS contact authorized later Project Tipping Point activity.

A one-day HMIS-tracked enrollment should not be treated as open-ended consent for a different year, different program, different purpose, different funding path, different state, landlord/payment records, rental-assistance processing, education/workforce coordination, or child/family-status information.

This matters because CRCD had or should have had knowledge that I was in Tennessee, had two children, had stable housing, and was involved in an active reunification / permanency context. Later records use affected housing stability, public-program classification, family-service access, legal-service access, and downstream agency referrals. This is not only about money. It is about whether personal, household, housing, education, rental-assistance, and family-status information was used without a produced source authorization.

LAHSA's involvement has made the matter harder to resolve because the issue was routed through HMIS tickets and Risk Management, I was directed toward law-enforcement / fraud reporting, later told the matter was outside LAHSA jurisdiction, and still have not received a written HMIS source record process. Ticket closures should not be treated as substantive determinations where no consent source, access log, disclosure accounting, audit trail, custodian, or correction procedure has been identified.

The unresolved records issue now affects multiple related proceedings and agency lanes, including County Fraud / OCI 2025-23289 and 2026-23965, LAPD RMS C259045687 and C269013241, DOL OIG FOIA records, CMS / DHCS beneficiary-record questions, HHS / ACF Children's Bureau routing, Department of Education / FERPA records, family-law case 25CMFL00694, unlawful-detainer case 26CMUD00417, and the Tennessee counsel / consent issue involving tlanishafer@tbpr.org. I am not asking HUD Exchange to decide those matters. I am explaining why unresolved HMIS consent and records-use questions are causing downstream harm.

My procedural question is narrow: when HUD directs an HMIS privacy/security issue to the local CoC / HMIS Lead, but LAHSA does not provide a written process or disposition and is itself part of the disputed routing history, what escalation path applies?

Please identify whether escalation should proceed through LAHSA HMIS governance, the Los Angeles CoC board, HUD CPD Field Office, HUD Region IX, HUD privacy/security channels, HUD OIG, or another HUD-designated process. Please also identify the correct

office, contact point, and submission method for requesting consent/ROI source records, HMIS access logs, provider-sharing history, disclosure accounting, audit trail, correction/amendment, dispute notation, restriction, and preservation.

Response:

Hello Kyle, and thank you for contacting us.

To begin, HUD does not have a national HMIS database, so we are not able to respond to your specific questions. But we do have some information for you.

HUD has a set of HMIS Data and Technical Standards. These are used by your local HMIS.

You can review the HMIS Data and Technical Standards here:

- Overview of HMIS Data and Technical Standards
  https://www.hudexchange.info/programs/hmis/hmis-data-and-technical-standards/
- FY 2026 HMIS Data and Technical Standards - List of Publications
  https://www.hudexchange.info/resource/3824/hmis-data-dictionary/

Should you have specific questions, please contact LAHSA. Just ask them to show you their policies and procedures and how they use the HMIS Data and Technical Standards. You may want to get a written response so that everyone is on the same page. You can then take LAHSA's written response to the local HUD CPD office.

For other needs, please **call 2-1-1**, which is a hotline for things like housing, food, shelter, etc. Just call 2-1-1 from any phone and tell the operator what is going on.

We do hope this information is helpful and thank you again for contacting us. Take care.

Please note: the response provided in this email is specific to the question you submitted and may not apply to similar questions. Therefore, please use discretion in providing the response to others, as the answer may not apply to their particular situation.

Please click on the [View Question] button below to perform the following actions:

- **View your question**, answer, and any applicable attachments
- **Ask a new, unrelated question** using the same requestor information
- **Reopen this question** if you need more assistance with the same question

View Question

This email account (aaq@hudexchange.info) does not have the ability to reply to emails. Please DO NOT REPLY to this email address, as all messages sent to this address will not be responded to. If you are inquiring about the status of your question, need to add additional information, need to cancel this question, or have a follow up question/want to reopen this question, please use the "View Question" link above. For any other needs please contact the HUD Exchange website team. Please keep this email for your records.

Exhibit B

HUD CPD Final Response - April 27, 2026

CPD funding-jurisdiction conclusion and LAHSA/local HMIS redirection.

Exhibit B - HUD CPD Final Response - April 27, 2026 - Source page 1

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
Office of Community Planning and Development
Los Angeles Field Office, Region IX
300 N. Los Angeles Street, Suite 4054
Los Angeles, CA 90012

April 27, 2026

Dear Mr. Haab,

The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), Office of Community Planning and Development (CPD), is following up on multiple pieces of correspondence received regarding your complaint concerning the Coalition for Responsible Community Development (CRCD).

HUD's Office of Field Policy and Management initially received an inquiry from Senator Schiff's office on December 18, 2025, and referred it to CPD for review. The matter was assigned to CPD because CRCD is both a direct recipient of a Community Project Funding (CPF) grant and a subrecipient of Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds administered by the City of Los Angeles, as well as Continuum of Care (CoC) funds administered by the Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority (LAHSA). The CPF grant supports the rehabilitation of an extended-stay hotel into permanent supportive housing, which is currently under development.

According to the Congressional Casework Authorization Form you signed on December 2, 2025, you indicated that you received relocation, education, and rental assistance through CRCD's Project Tipping Point and referenced a housing unit located at 1551 E. 106th Street, Los Angeles, CA 90002. In response, CPD conducted outreach to the appropriate administering agencies to determine whether HUD CPD funding was associated with the housing unit or services referenced.

The City of Los Angeles confirmed on January 8, 2026, that neither the client services nor the housing unit in question were funded with CDBG resources. On January 13, 2026, the City further confirmed that the unit was not supported by HOME Investment Partnerships Program (HOME) funds. On January 21, 2026, LAHSA confirmed that the unit was not funded through the Continuum of Care (CoC) program.

Following CPD's February 4, 2026 correspondence, additional verification was conducted regarding the specific program identified in your complaint. On February 12, 2026, the City confirmed that no CDBG funds were used to support CRCD's Project Tipping Point. On February 18, 2026, LAHSA confirmed that while CRCD receives funding through LAHSA, those funds are not used for Project Tipping Point.

Based on these findings, CPD determined that neither the program referenced in your complaint (Project Tipping Point) nor the housing unit you identified is supported by HUD CPD funding. As such, this matter does not fall within HUD CPD program jurisdiction. CPD previously communicated this determination to you via email on March 31, 2026. While CRCD may receive funding from other sources, those sources are outside the scope of HUD CPD oversight.

For concerns related to rental assistance or services associated with Project Tipping Point, you may wish to contact CRCD directly or the appropriate funding entity.

HUD also understands that you submitted a Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request on April 3, 2026, seeking documentation related to this matter. CPD has provided responsive records to HUD's FOIA office for review and processing.

Additionally, you raised concerns regarding the use and sharing of personal information within the Los Angeles City and County Continuum of Care's Homeless Management Information System (HMIS). As HMIS is administered at the local level, HUD recommends that you contact the LAHSA Grievance Coordinator at (213) 683-3333 or submit an inquiry via https://www.lahsa.org/support/contact-us.

To further assist, CPD has provided you with a list of federal, state, and local housing and support resources, including information on homeless assistance, housing programs, legal aid, and avenues for reporting housing-related concerns below.

This concludes CPD's review of this matter. HUD has provided all relevant information available and does not have additional information to share. If you need to contact our office regarding other matters, you may reach the Los Angeles Field Office at (213) 894-8000 or HUDLACustomerService@hud.gov.

Sincerely,

Adriane Clarke

Adriane Clarke, Acting Director
Office of Community Planning and Development
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

Enclosure

Homeless Resources

- Homeless assistance Home | 211LA, or dial 2-1-1.
- Find a Shelter findhelp.org by findhelp - Search and Connect to Social Care.
- Housing for Veterans U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development-VA Supportive Housing (HUD-VASH) Program - VA Homeless Programs

Discrimination Complaints

- Report Discrimination at Report Housing Discrimination | HUD.gov / U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), or call the Office of Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity hotline at 1-800-669-9777. For additional services, you can also contact the Fair Housing | Housing Rights Center | Los Angeles & Ventura County.

Housing Assistance

- Find affordable housing AffordableHousing.com - Affordable Houses & Apartments For Rent.
- HUD Resource Locator HUD Resource Locator
- Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) assistance Housing Choice Voucher Tenants | HUD.gov / U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD).
- State and local government resources State and local governments | USAGov.

Legal Assistance

- Free, confidential assistance from trained specialists who can connect you with eviction assistance, legal aid, housing, food, transportation, and mental health/healthcare at Your Local 211 | United Way 211
- Find a lawyer for affordable legal aid at Find a lawyer for affordable legal aid | USAGov
- Legal Aid Foundation of Los Angeles LAFLA: Legal Aid Foundation of Los Angeles | Justice • Equity • Hope, or call 1-800-399-4529.
- Domestic Violence Services available at Find Domestic Violence and Abuse Help, Information and Stats.

Reporting Other Housing Issues

- Landlord-Tenant Issues (State of Calif.) https://oag.ca.gov/tenants
- Los Angeles County tenant information and services https://www.stayhousedla.org/
- Tenants and residents should first contact their property site staff or management company and ask if a grievance procedure is available to address their concerns.
- Crime: Call 9-1-1 or speak with your local police department.
- Report Waste/Fraud/Abuse at Hotline | Office of Inspector General, Department of Housing and Urban Development.

Request Information

- Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) https://www.foia.gov/
- HUD Press Room https://www.hud.gov/news

Assistance for Persons with Disabilities

- HUD welcomes and is prepared to receive calls from individuals who are deaf or hard of hearing, as well as individuals with speech or communication disabilities.
- To learn more about how to make an accessible telephone call, please visit Telecommunications Relay Service - TRS | Federal Communications Commission.

Exhibit C

HUD CPD Response to Senator Schiff - February 4, 2026

Prior CPD routing: CRCD as CoC/CDBG subrecipient through LAHSA/City and HUD OIG referral for fraud allegations.

Exhibit C - HUD CPD Response to Senator Schiff - February 4, 2026 - Source page 1

Sent: Wednesday, February 4, 2026 7:39 PM
To: Gutierrez, Margarita (Schiff) <Margarita_Gutierrez@schiff.senate.gov>
Subject: Response to inquiry from Sen. Schiff obo Kyle D. Haab | 2026-9DMA-FLD-00015

Dear Senator Schiff,

Thank you for your inquiry dated December 18, 2025, submitted to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) on behalf of your constituent, Mr. Kyle D. Haab, regarding his complaint against the Coalition for Responsible Community Development (CRCD).

CRCD is a current subrecipient of HUD Continuum of Care (CoC) and Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds administered by the Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority (LAHSA) and the City of Los Angeles, respectively. HUD has forwarded Mr. Haab's complaint to the appropriate officials at LAHSA and the City for their initial review and for any further action deemed necessary, including referral to their respective fraud, waste, and abuse divisions. The points of contact are as follows:

Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority:
Christopher Williams, Deputy Chief Risk Officer
cwilliams@lahsa.org

City of Los Angeles:
Rebecca Ronquillo, Chief Management Analyst
rebecca.ronquillo@lacity.org

In addition, because the complaint includes allegations of fraudulent practices, HUD has referred the matter to the HUD Office of Inspector General for review.

I hope this information is helpful.

Sincerely,

Office of Community Planning and Development
Community Planning and Development | HUD.gov / U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)
California | HUD.gov / U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)

Exhibit D

HUD FHEO Administrative Closure - Inquiry 860997

FHEO non-merits closure and redirection toward CPD/program channels.

Exhibit D - HUD FHEO Administrative Closure - Inquiry 860997 - Source page 1

HUD Housing Discrimination Inquiry No. 860997

R9IntakeInbox6 <R9IntakeInbox6@hud.gov>
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz96@gmail.com>, tzamaraz36@gmail.com <tzamaraz36@gmail.com>
Wed, Jan 21, 2026 at 2:52 PM

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
Office of Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity – Region IX
[redacted]
San Francisco, CA [redacted]
Voice (800) 347-3739; (415) 489-6400
TTY [redacted]
www.hud.gov

January 21, 2026

Kyle Haab and Crystal Izarraraz
[redacted]
[redacted]

Dear Complainants,

The above-referenced housing discrimination inquiry was received by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development pursuant to federal fair housing laws. This claim has been administratively closed for lack of jurisdiction because federal fair housing laws do not cover the subject matter and/or bases of the alleged discrimination.

Since your problem is regarding the subject program, possibly financed with HUD CPD CDBG funding, you are advised to contact the following representative in the **HUD Office of Community Planning and Development**: **Chin Woo Choi – (213) 534-2571 – Los Angeles CPD Program Manager** - ChinWoo.Choi@hud.gov.

Since your problem may also be about a legal matter, you are also advised to contact **Housing Rights Center (HRC) at: 3255 Wilshire Blvd #1150, Los Angeles, CA 90010, at (800) 477-5977 or at: www.hrc-la.org.**

You may also contact **HUD Housing Counseling Agencies at 1-888-995-4673 or at** www.financialstability.gov for low-income rentals and other housing assistance.

This administrative closure does not represent a judgment upon the merits of the allegations contained in the claim. The Fair Housing Act provides that, notwithstanding this action by the Department, a complainant may file a civil action in an appropriate United States District Court, or a State Court, no later than two (2) years after the occurrence or the termination of the alleged discriminatory housing practice(s).

If, in the future, you or someone you know believes they have been discriminated against in their housing, a new inquiry may be submitted online at https://www.hud.gov/contactus/file-complaint.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Stolze

Jennifer Stolze
Chief, Intake Branch
Office of Fair Housing and
Equal Opportunity

image001.wmz
6 KB

Exhibit E

CRCD / Latea Davis Records-Custody Request - SHN-105293108

Records-custody and preservation request covering PTP ID 1233369910, HMIS ID 8F8CD9D8B, consent/ROI, family status, housing placement, rental assistance, and unit legality.

Exhibit E - CRCD / Latea Davis Records-Custody Request - SHN-105293108 - Source page 1

CRCD / Project Tipping Point Ready-to-Send Email Packet

Formal records-custody, preservation, and state-hearing association request

Prepared for	Coalition for Responsible Community Development / Project Tipping Point - Latea Davis; copied to CDSS State Hearings and CDSS Public Inquiry / state oversight
Prepared by	Kyle Haab
Date	April 30, 2026
Primary recipient	ldavis@coalitionrcd.org
CC recipients	palopez@coalitionrcd.org; SHDCentralSupport@dss.ca.gov; SHDCSSU@dss.ca.gov; plan@dss.ca.gov
Email subject	Formal CRCD Records-Custody and Preservation Request - Project Tipping Point / BFH State Hearing SHN-105293108
Primary issue	CRCD records custody, preservation, production, consent/authorization scope, family-related records, and BFH State Hearing association
State Hearing	SHN-105293108 - Bringing Families Home eligibility
PTP identifier	Project Tipping Point participant ID 1233969910
HMIS identifier	HMIS ID 8F8CD9D8B
Property	1551 E. 108th Street, Unit 3/4, Los Angeles, CA 90002
Funding/admin reference	CFCI-PA3-056-2023 / Amity / JCOD / CFCI pathway disputed

Copy-Ready Email

To: ldavis@coalitionrcd.org
CC: palopez@coalitionrcd.org; SHDCentralSupport@dss.ca.gov; SHDCSSU@dss.ca.gov; plan@dss.ca.gov
Subject: Formal CRCD Records-Custody and Preservation Request - Project Tipping Point / BFH State Hearing SHN-105293108

Dear Ms. Davis,

I am submitting this formal records-custody, preservation, and production request regarding Coalition for Responsible Community Development / Project Tipping Point records involving me, my fiancée, my children, my household composition, my relocation from Tennessee to California, my housing placement, and any family-status or reunification-related records.

I am copying CDSS State Hearings and CDSS Public Inquiry / state oversight because CDSS State Hearing SHN-105293108 is active and concerns Bringing Families Home eligibility. The requested CRCD / Project Tipping Point records are material to whether I was treated as individual based rather than family-based based on incomplete, disputed, or improperly sourced family status, custody, reunification, HMIS, dependency, ICPC, UCCJEA, housing, and Project Tipping Point records.

Agency / Organization: Coalition for Responsible Community Development
Program: Project Tipping Point
CRCD contact: Latea Davis
Requester: Kyle Haab
PTP participant ID: 1233969910
CDSS State Hearing: SHN-105293108
HMIS ID: 8F8CD9D8B
Property: 1551 E. 108th Street, Unit 3/4, Los Angeles, CA 90002
Related funding / administration reference: CFCI PA3 056 2023

NARROW ISSUE PRESENTED

The issue is not whether CRCD should decide every related family-law, ICPC, DHCS, HMIS, or housing-enforcement issue. The narrow issue is whether CRCD can identify, preserve, and produce records in its custody or control showing:

CRCD / Project Tipping Point Records-Custody Packet - SHN-105293108

1. what Project Tipping Point records exist for me;

2. what consent, release, authorization, HMIS ROI, FERPA authorization, HIPAA authorization where applicable, privacy notice, participant authorization, or disclosure records CRCD relied on;

3. what records show CRCD knew about my children before I relocated from Tennessee to California;

4. what records show how CRCD classified my household, family status, custody status, reunification status, housing need, or participant category;

5. what housing, landlord, lease, W-9, ACH, payment, rental assistance, Amity / JCOD / CFCI, and unit suitability records exist;

6. whether any CRCD / PTP records were shared with, received from, or relied upon by LAHSA/HMIS, EWDD, Amity Foundation, JCOD, LATTC/LACCD, DPSS, DHCS, DMH, DCFS, Tennessee DCS, law enforcement, or any oversight agency.

WHY THIS IS URGENT

This request is urgent because CDSS State Hearing SHN-105293108 concerns Bringing Families Home eligibility. The BFH issue turns on whether I was treated as individual-based rather than family-based because my children were not physically in my custody, while the underlying custody, reunification, dependency, ICPC, UCCJEA, CRCD / Project Tipping Point, HMIS, and housing records remain disputed.

CRCD / Project Tipping Point records are material because CRCD had pre-move notice that I had children, and CRCD's records may have affected later family-status classification, housing eligibility, reunification barriers, and the downstream determination that I should pursue individual-based rather than family-based housing assistance.

REQUESTED CRCD ACTION

Please preserve and produce records sufficient to show the following:

1. The complete Project Tipping Point file for participant ID 1233309910.

2. All intake, enrollment, eligibility, referral, assessment, case notes, progress notes, staff notes, supervisor notes, internal notes, closure notes, service plans, and status-change records.

3. All records showing the date, basis, and authority for any Project Tipping Point enrollment, including any record using June 7, 2024 or June 13, 2024 as an intake, enrollment, assessment, or authorization date.

4. All records identifying my children, household composition, family status, custody status, reunification status, dependency status, ICPC status, UCCJEA status, or grandparent placement.

5. All records showing whether CRCD verified whether my children were in my physical custody, in Tennessee DCS custody, placed with a grandparent, subject to ICPC, or part of an active reunification plan.

6. All records showing whether CRCD classified me as an individual participant, couple, household with children, family household, former foster youth parent, reunification parent, or any other family/housing category.

7. All consent, release, authorization, privacy notice, participant agreement, HMIS ROI, FERPA authorization, HIPAA authorization where applicable, disclosure accounting, and data sharing records.

8. Any alleged 2023 Ruth's Place / CRCD / HMIS / Clarity consent record that CRCD contends authorized later 2024-2026 Project Tipping Point activity.

9. If CRCD contends that any 2023 Ruth's Place, HMIS, referral, intake, opt-in, or service authorization supplied consent for later Project Tipping Point activity, please identify the exact document, date, program covered, scope, duration, data categories covered, agencies covered, and revocation process.

10. All HMIS / Clarity records tied to HMIS ID 8F9CD9D86, including any April 2023 Ruth's Place enrollment, exit, privacy notice, ROI, sharing authorization, referral, service note, case note, restriction, correction, or disclosure-history record.

11. All Formstack submissions, intake forms, timestamps, IP address records, metadata, staff edits, system logs, upload logs, attachment logs, and records showing who entered, reviewed, modified, approved, or transmitted my information.

12. All LATTC / LACCD / College Navigator records, including records involving FAFSA, ward-of-court documentation, school records, transcripts, enrollment, active coursework, six-credit-unit requirement, student eligibility, or education-record access.

13. All records involving CRCD staff or representatives including Latea Davis, Polet Lopez, Nigora / Nigora Pemberton, Alexander Saltzman, Chidumebi Ezenwoke, Jessica Rodriguez, Amani Runia, Kenia Estrada-Darley, Jahrell / Jahrel Thomas, or any other CRCD staff member involved in my file.

14. All housing-support records concerning 1551 E. 109th Street, Unit 3/4, including landlord communications, lease, rental application, W-9, ACH, landlord verification, rent ledger, payment approval, payment request, payment confirmation, denial, delay, cutoff, phasing-out, and supportive services records.

15. All records showing whether CRCD sourced, referred, approved, inspected, recommended, negotiated, processed, or funded the housing placement.

16. All records showing whether CRCD verified the unit's legality, certificate of occupancy, registration status, code status, habitability, or suitability for a family / reunification household.

17. All records identifying the funding source for rental assistance, supportive services, housing support, or related payments, including Amity Foundation, JCOD, CFCI, Program Area 3 / Youth Housing, private foundations, County funding, City funding, or mixed funding sources.

18. All records involving CFCI-PA3-056-2023 or any Amity / JCOD / CFCI pathway connected to rental assistance or Project Tipping Point.

Prepared for submission - Kyle Haab - April 30, 2026

19. All communications with Amity Foundation, JCOD, EWDD, LAHSA, HMIS/Clarity, City of Los Angeles, County of Los Angeles, DHCS, DPSS, DMH, DCFS, Tennessee DCS, law enforcement, or oversight agencies involving me, my fiancée, my children, Project Tipping Point, the property, rental assistance, or family status.

20. All grievance, complaint, ADA/accommodation, participant rights, service termination, active coursework, six credit unit, inactive status, adverse action, or program status records involving me or my household.

21. All records concerning LAPD reports C256045897 and C269013241, County Fraud / DCI 2025-23209 and 2026-23965, LAHSA Ref. 2526KH-A, HMIS tickets 259260, 260527, 267529, LA ID Case SO311575, LADBS Order A-6604738, or LACDPH Complaint C0080406, to the extent CRCD has records referencing those matters.

REQUESTED WRITTEN CLARIFICATION

Please confirm in writing:

1. whether CRCD accepts custody, control, or possession of responsive Project Tipping Point records;
2. whether you are the correct complaint and records contact for this request;
3. whether any responsive records are held by another CRCD department, contractor, subrecipient, partner, vendor, fiscal agent, funder, or third-party administrator;
4. whether any records have been deleted, archived, transferred, withheld, redacted, or marked confidential;
5. whether CRCD contends any records exist but refuses to produce them;
6. the legal or policy basis for any refusal, redaction, withholding, delay, or referral;
7. the date CRCD will provide production or a written explanation.

STATE OVERSIGHT / STATE HEARING ASSOCIATION REQUEST

For CDSS State Hearings and CDSS Public Inquiry / state oversight: I am not asking CDSS to adjudicate CRCD's records obligations through this email. I am asking CDSS to associate this request and the attached packet with State Hearing SHN-105293108 because CRCD's response, non-response, or delay may affect my ability to inspect, challenge, and rebut the records used in the Bringing Families Home eligibility decision.

ATTACHMENTS

Attached are OGIS-style records-control packets and supporting crosswalks for CRCD / Project Tipping Point, HMIS / LAHSA, and CDSS State Hearing / BFH review. Original PDFs, emails, agency letters, screenshots, HMIS records, State Hearing records, and official responses remain the controlling exhibits if separately requested or produced.

Please confirm receipt, preservation, the correct CRCD records custodian, and the expected production date.

Respectfully,

Kyle Haab
1551 E. 108th St., Unit 3/4
Los Angeles, CA 90002
657-557-4450
kyle.dietz899@gmail.com

Issue Summary

Question presented: Can CRCD / Project Tipping Point identify, preserve, and produce the records in its custody or control that were created, received, used, shared, transmitted, or relied upon concerning Kyle Haab, Crystal Izarraraz, their children, family status, custody/reunification status, housing placement, rental assistance, consent/authorization, HMIS, education-record access, Amity/JCOD/CFCI funding, and BFH-related downstream classification?

Narrow dispute: This submission does not ask CRCD or CDSS to decide all related family-law, ICPC, DHCS, HMIS, law-enforcement, or housing-enforcement matters. It asks CRCD to answer for its own records and asks CDSS State Hearings / state oversight to associate CRCD's response, delay, or non-response with the

BFH State Hearing file because the records may affect hearing preparation, case-file review, Statement of Position review, and rebuttal of eligibility records.

Requested Outcome

- CRCD confirms receipt and immediate preservation.
- CRCD identifies the correct records custodian and complaint handler.
- CRCD produces or explains the status of the Project Tipping Point file for participant ID 1233369910.
- CRCD produces or identifies all consent, authorization, HMIS ROI, FERPA authorization, HIPAA authorization where applicable, disclosure-history, and data-sharing records.
- CRCD confirms whether any 2023 Ruth's Place / HMIS / Clarity contact is being asserted as consent for later Project Tipping Point activity, and if so produces the exact document and scope.
- CDSS State Hearings associates the request and any CRCD response/non-response with SHN-105293108 for BFH eligibility hearing preparation.

Attachment / Exhibit Index

Exhibit	Document	Date	Purpose
A	CRCD Project Tipping Point Family Records Attachment Packet	April 30, 2026	Primary CRCD packet for PTP participant ID 1233369910; family records, consent/authorization, housing, landlord, education, Amity/DCDD/CRCI, and BFH relevance.
B	CARBA / HMIS Consent Privacy Records Attachment Packet	April 30, 2026	HMIS / Clarity packet for HMIS ID 1BTCDR0001, Ruth's Place 2023 consent/ROI, disclosure history, HMIS correction, and downstream family-status use.
C	CDSS State Hearing / BFH Records Attachment Packet	April 30, 2026	State Hearing packet for SHN-105293108; asks CDSS to associate the CRCD/HMIS records issue with BFH eligibility, case file, Statement of Position, and hearing preparation.
D	Combined CRCD / HMIS / State Hearing Attachment Packet	April 30, 2026	Crosswalk combining CRCD, HMIS, and BFH State Hearing issues in one records-production document.
E	CDSS / NARA Assistance Packet - ED FOIA 25-00261/F-11A / Appeal 26-00021-A	April 30, 2026	Formatting model for this packet: proposed e-mail, narrow issue, requested action, exhibit index, and original exhibit control statement.

Source Attachment Control

This packet is designed to be attached to an email or portal message. Original PDFs, emails, agency letters, screenshots, encrypted records, State Hearing notices, HMIS materials, and agency responses remain the controlling exhibits if transmitted separately. This document is a records-routing and production-control packet.

Sensitive child/family, health, disability, housing, law-enforcement, HMIS, and educational records should be transmitted only to recipients with a legitimate records or oversight role. Use the narrowest exhibit set necessary for each recipient.

Recommended Attachment Set for Email

1. Primary CRCD-only family records packet - PTP participant ID 1233369910

Exhibit E - CRCD / Latea Davis Records-Custody Request - SHN-105293108 - Source page 5

2. LAHSA / HMIS consent and privacy records packet - HMIS ID 8F8CD9D8B
3. CDSS State Hearing / BFH packet - SHN-105293108
4. Combined CRCD / HMIS / State Hearing crosswalk packet

Prepared for submission - Kyle Haab - April 30, 2026

Exhibit F1

FCA Attorney Intake / Upload Link

Shows materials were submitted for potential FCA review before the declination.

Exhibit F1 - FCA Attorney Intake / Upload Link - Source page 1

HUD submission

3 messages

Kyle McGee <kmcgee@gelaw.com> Fri, Oct 10, 2025 at 9:55 AM
To: kyle.dietz99@gmail.com <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Kyle,

I received your web submission (apologies but it wasn't immediately forwarded to me). I'd be happy to take a look at the supporting materials you describe and let you know whether we think there is a viable claim worth pursuing under the FCA.

Feel free to email me the materials at this address. If you need an upload link let me know and I can arrange that.

Kyle

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Fri, Oct 10, 2025 at 10:15 AM
To: Kyle McGee <kmcgee@gelaw.com>

Hello Mr. McGee,
Thank you for your message. Please send me the secure upload link you mentioned so I can submit my complete evidence set (HUD_FCA_Evidence_Summary.pdf and supporting exhibits).
Feel free
[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle McGee <kmcgee@gelaw.com> Fri, Oct 10, 2025 at 12:28 PM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Kyle,

The documents can be uploaded to ShareFile here: https://gelaw.sharefile.com/r-rfcef5c2678024abb809c819ddf87c992

Thanks.

[Quoted text hidden]

Exhibit F2

FCA Attorney Declination / Program-Compliance Red Flags Screenshot

Supports administrative-diligence framing: no fraud finding requested from CPD, but program-compliance red flags warrant routing and preservation.

Exhibit F2 - FCA attorney declination / program-compliance red flags screenshot

Exhibit G

DRE Rental Placement / Unit-Status Packet Excerpts

Unit legality, certificate-of-occupancy, unapproved-unit, and rental-placement context tied to housing stability and records-routing issue.

Exhibit G - DRE Rental Placement / Unit-Status Packet Excerpts - Source page 1

DRE Supplemental Rental Placement / Unit Status Packet

Prepared for Kelly Anderson, Special Investigator, Complaint Intake Unit - California Department of Real Estate
DRE Complaint Intake Matter: PC 1-26-0316-003
Prepared by Kyle Robert Haab - May 2026

Field	Detail
Primary addressee	Kelly Anderson, Special Investigator, Complaint Intake Unit, Department of Real Estate, 320 W. 4th Street, Ste. 350, Los Angeles, CA 90013; Kelly.Anderson@dre.ca.gov
DRE complaint number	PC 1-26-0316-003
Subject/property	Christopher N. Cervantes / 1551 3/4 E. 106th St., Los Angeles, CA 90002 / related 1551 E. 106th St. property records
Narrow issue	Whether the rental placement, unit-status representations, lease/payee records, rent-assistance processing, landlord communications, and any real-estate activity connected to the unit were accurate, lawful, and within DRE jurisdiction.
Requested DRE action	Associate this packet with PC 1-26-0316-003; preserve and review the records; determine whether licensed or unlicensed real estate activity, property management, leasing, referral, solicitation, payee, or rental-document concerns fall within DRE jurisdiction; identify any additional documents needed.
Boundary statement	This packet does not ask DRE to decide CRCD program compliance, LAHD/LADBS code enforcement, police reports, CDSS/IOPC issues, or family-law matters. Those records are included only where they show rental-placement context, unit status, or downstream harm.

Packet note: This is an organizing packet. The original emails, LAHD/LADBS records, rental agreement pages, and ownership records remain controlling exhibits if separately requested by DRE.

Key Dates Table

Date	Event	Why it matters
June 13, 2024	CRCD later identifies this as Project Tipping Point enrollment date.	Establishes program context before rental placement.
July 29, 2024	CRCD Rental Assistance Supervisor connects Kyle with landlord Christopher N. Cervantes and discusses rent/payment terms.	Shows rental-placement and negotiation chain.
August 2024 - June 2025	CRCD later identifies deposit/rent support as supportive services.	Shows program-funded tenancy and payment context.
August 18, 2025	LAHD sends letter regarding unapproved unit / RSO procedure after LADBS order.	Shows later official unit-status issue.
August 25, 2025	LADBS Order to Comply identifies use/occupancy and certificate-of-occupancy violations.	Shows property/code status requiring DRE contextual review.
September 29, 2025	CRCD responds to records/status request; states active/inactive PTP status and lists rental assistance.	Confirms program records and supportive-services accounting.
April 28, 2026	Kelly Anderson provides DRE submission instructions for PC 1-26-0316-003.	Confirms DRE intake and document-submission path.
April 30, 2026	Kelly Anderson confirms receipt of complaint documents and states she has not yet reviewed the complaint.	Confirms file association; supports supplemental organization.

Specific DRE Review Questions

1. Does DRE have jurisdiction over any licensed or unlicensed real-estate activity connected to the rental placement, lease, referral, property management, solicitation, or payment handling?

2. Was any person or entity acting as a broker, salesperson, property manager, leasing agent, rental-placement intermediary, or compensated referral source?

3. Were unit-status representations accurate when the tenant was connected to the landlord and rental terms were discussed?

4. Did the rental agreement, owner information, payee information, and property records align?

5. Was the unit lawfully represented as rentable at the time of the referral and lease/payment process?

6. If the unit was later found unapproved or lacking a valid Certificate of Occupancy, should DRE review the lease-placement representations or refer to LAHD/LADBS only?

7. Does the record suggest a need to request additional documents from the landlord, CRCD, any property manager, or public agency records custodian?

8. Does DRE need a signed declaration, chronological timeline, or clarification of which attachments correspond to each issue?

9. If DRE lacks jurisdiction over part of the complaint, what agency or office should receive that portion?

10. What additional information does Kelly Anderson need from Kyle Haab at this stage?

Records Preservation Request

Please preserve and associate with PC 1-26-0316-003 all rental-placement communications, referral emails, rental agreement pages, payee/ownership records, CRCD rental-assistance records, LAHD/LADBS records, public-record responses, and any communications between landlord, CRCD staff, tenant, property owner, property manager, or third-party rental-assistance personnel concerning 1551 3/4 E. 106th St. or related property records.

Exhibit D-01 - LAHD Case SO311576 letter, page 1

City of Los Angeles

Tiena Johnson Hall, General Manager
Tricia Keane, Executive Officer

Anna E. Ortega, Assistant General Manager
Luz C. Santiago, Assistant General Manager
Craig Arceneaux, Acting Assistant General Manager

Karen Bass, Mayor

LOS ANGELES HOUSING DEPARTMENT
1910 Sunset Blvd, Ste 300
Los Angeles, CA 90026
Tel: 213.808.8808

housing.lacity.gov

August 15, 2025

Kyle Haab
1551 ½ E. 106th St.
Los Angeles CA 90002

RE: LAHD Case Number: SO311576

The enclosed is a courtesy copy of a letter sent to your landlord(s):

Please retain for your records.

Thank you.

An Equal Opportunity Employer

DRE Supplemental Packet - PC 1-25-0316-003 - Kyle Haab - Page 14

Exhibit D-02 - LAHD Case SO311576 letter, page 2

City of Los Angeles

Tiena Johnson Hall, General Manager
Tricia Keane, Executive Officer

Anna E. Ortega, Assistant General Manager
Luz C. Santiago, Assistant General Manager
Craig Arceneaux, Acting Assistant General Manager

Karen Bass, Mayor

LOS ANGELES HOUSING DEPARTMENT
1910 Sunset Blvd, Ste 300
Los Angeles, CA 90026
Tel: 213.808.8808

housing.lacity.gov

August 15, 2025

Christopher N Cervantes
Post Office Box 1111
Downey, CA 90240-0111

RE: LAHD Case Number: SO311576
Tenant Name(s): Kyle Haab
Address: 1551 ½ E. 106th St., Los Angeles CA 90002

To Whom It May Concern

Our records indicate that you are the owner of the above property that is subject to Rent Stabilization Ordinance (RSO). The Investigation and Enforcement Section of the Los Angeles Housing Department (LAHD), has reviewed a complaint filed with LAHD.

UNAPPROVED UNIT

The Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety (LADBS), issued the landlord an Order to Comply, with an effective date of August 8, 2025, regarding the following relevant code violation(s):

- Building or structure is being used, occupied, or it's existing occupancy classification has changed without the issuance of a valid Certificate of Occupancy.

The RSO as codified in the Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC), requires that a notice to evict state one of the legal reasons for eviction. Section 151.09 of the RSO specifies the legal reasons for eviction and Paragraph C of that section states, "the landlord shall serve on the tenant a written notice setting forth the reasons for the termination." Compliance with a government agency's order that necessitates the vacating of the unit is one of the reasons for eviction under the RSO. There is a specific procedure the RSO requires a landlord to follow for evictions based on compliance with a governmental order. The procedure a landlord must follow to evict a tenant to comply with a government order involves the following:

1. The landlord must file with LAHD a Declaration of Intent to Evict in Order to Comply with a Government Agency's Order.
2. The landlord must serve the tenant a 30 or 60 day written notice of eviction attached to a copy of the filed Declaration of Intent to Evict;
3. The landlord must either pay relocation directly to the tenant or deposit it in an escrow account with escrow instructions to the tenant within 15 days of the date on the notice of eviction. Depending on tenancy, the relocation assistance amount can range from $10,650.00 - $26,550.00, effective from July 1, 2025 through June 30, 2026.

Please contact the Landlord Declaration Section of LAHD for further details on how to comply with a Landlord Declaration at (213) 808-8537. Inquiries can be emailed to this general email. lahdsdeclarationofintent@lacity.org.

An Equal Opportunity Employer

Exhibit E-01 - LADBS Order to Comply, page 1

BOARD OF BUILDING AND SAFETY COMMISSIONERS

JACOB STEVENS
PRESIDENT
NANCY YAP
VICE-PRESIDENT
CORISSA HERNANDEZ
DAVID KIRKE
MORRIS KRAKOW

CITY OF LOS ANGELES
CALIFORNIA

KAREN BASS
MAYOR

DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING AND SAFETY
201 N. FIGUEROA STREET, 4TH FLOOR, LOS ANGELES, CA 90012

OSAMA YOUNAN, P.E.
GENERAL MANAGER
SUPERINTENDENT OF BUILDING

JADI WRIGHT
DEPUTY MAYOR

ORDER TO COMPLY AND NOTICE OF FEE

CERVANTES, CHRISTOPHER N
PO BOX 7111
DOWNEY, CA 90240

CASE #: 1053480
ORDER #: A-6685729
EFFECTIVE DATE: August 15
COMPLIANCE DATE: Septembe

OWNER OF
SITE ADDRESS: **3551 E 146TH ST**
ASSESSORS PARCEL NO.: 6065-016-009
ZONE: R2 Two Family Zone

An inspection has revealed that the property (Site Address) listed above is in violation of the Los Angeles Municipal Code (L.A.M.C.) sections listed below. You are hereby ordered to correct the violation(s) and contact the inspector listed in the signature block at the end of this document for a compliance inspection by the compliance date listed above.

FURTHER, THE CODE VIOLATION INSPECTION FEE (C.V.I.F.) OF **$ 186.16** (3336 fee plus 6% percent Systems Development Surcharge of $20.16) **WILL BE BILLED TO THE PROPERTY OWNER**. The invoice/order will be sent to the owner as it appears on the last equalized assessment roll. Section 98.0421 L.A.M.C.

NOTE: FAILURE TO PAY THE C.V.I.F. WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE INVOICE DATE OF THE BILL NOTED ABOVE WILL RESULT IN A LATE CHARGE OF 140 (2) TIMES THE C.V.I.F. PLUS A 30 PERCENT COLLECTION FEE FOR A TOTAL OF **$1,170.88.**
Any person who fails to pay the fee, late charge and collection fee, shall also pay interest. Interest shall be calculated at the rate of one percent per month.

The inspection has revealed that the property is in violation of the Los Angeles Municipal Code as follows:

VIOLATION(S):

1. **Building or structure is being used, occupied, or its existing occupancy classification has changed without the issuance of a valid Certificate of Occupancy.**

   You are therefore ordered to: Discontinue the unapproved use of the building or structure until all violations of this code have been corrected and a valid Certificate of Occupancy has been issued.

   Code Section(s) in Violation: 91.104.2.5, 91.109.1, 12.21.A.1.(a) of the L.A.M.C.

   Location: The first and second floors of the residence.

   Comments: The 2-story single-family dwelling is being used as a duplex without the required plans, permits, inspections, or approvals.

2. **The approximate 10' x 10' remodel of the 2nd floor foyer to a kitchen was/is constructed without the required permits and approvals.**

   You are therefore ordered to: 1) Demolish and remove all construction work performed without the required permit(s), AND 2) Restore the existing structure(s) to its originally approved condition, if altered in

As a covered entity under Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act, the City of Los Angeles does not discriminate on the basis of disability and, upon request, will provide reasonable accommodation to ensure equal access to its programs, services and activities.

CODE ENFORCEMENT BUREAU
For routine City business and non-emergency services: Call 3-1-1
www.ladbs.org

Page 1 of 3

Exhibit E-02 - LADBS Order to Comply, page 2

anyway, OR 3) Submit plans, obtain the required permits and expose the work for proper inspection.

Code Section(s) in Violation: 91.8105, 91.106.1.1, 91.106.1.2, 91.108.4, 91.106.3.5, 91.103.1, 12.21A.1.(a) of the L.A.M.C.

Location: 2nd floor of the single-family residence.

Comments: The 2nd floor of the single-family dwelling has been converted to an Unpermitted Dwelling Unit and does not conform to the *certificate of occupancy* of record.

3. **Hazardous Mechanical Equipment (heating, air conditioning, refrigeration or ventilation) in the water heater installation.**

You are therefore ordered to: Remove all mechanical equipment, including vents that does not conform with all applicable laws in effect at the time of installation and which has not been maintained in good condition and is being used in an unsafe manner.

Code Section(s) in Violation: 91.8902.6, 91.8902, 91.103.1, 12.21A.1.(a) of the L.A.M.C.

Location: Rear yard.

Comments: The water heater vent is installed in a manner not consistent with the code.

4. **Electrical work has been done without the required permits and approvals.**

You are therefore ordered to: Obtain all required electrical permits and approvals.

Code Section(s) in Violation: 93.0201, 95.0104, 91.103.1 12.21A.1.(a) of the L.A.M.C.

Location: The 2nd floor the unapproved breezeway enclosure.

Comments: There is an unapproved kitchen on the 2nd floor and an unpermitted breezeway enclosure serving as an unapproved laundry facility connecting the main house and the approved garage to ADU conversion.

5. **Plumbing work has been done without the required permits and approvals.**

You are therefore ordered to: Obtain all required plumbing permits and approvals.

Code Section(s) in Violation: 94.103.1.1, 91.105.1, 12.21A.1.(a) of the L.A.M.C.

Location: The 2nd floor kitchen and the unapproved breezeway enclosure.

Comments: There is an unapproved kitchen on the 2nd floor and an unpermitted breezeway enclosure serving as an unapproved laundry facility connecting the main house and the approved garage to ADU conversion.

As a covered entity under Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act, the City of Los Angeles does not discriminate on the basis of disability and, upon request, will provide reasonable accommodation to ensure equal access to its programs, services and activities.

CODE ENFORCEMENT BUREAU
For routine City business and non-emergency services: Call 3-1-1
www.ladbs.org

Page 2 of 3

DRE Supplemental Packet - PC 1-26-0316-003 - Kyle Haab - Page 17

EXHIBIT D

CWDB CPRA Request - CRCD / Project Tipping Point / City LWDA / EWDD Routing

Selected pages from the CWDB CPRA packet preserving the City LWDA/EWDD/WorkSource custodian issue, County DEO routing responses, DOL OIG FOIA 2026067 open-law-enforcement-investigation withholding, and workforce records request.

Pages included: Selected pages 1-4 and 7-30

Source file: CWDB_CPRA_Request_CRCD_PTP_City_LWDA_Exhibit_Packet.pdf

California Public Records Act Request Packet

Coalition for Responsible Community Development / Project Tipping Point

City of Los Angeles LWDA / EWDD Routing - Workforce Custodian Clarification

Submitted to	California Workforce Development Board - Public Records / Legal Unit
Submission email	legal@cwdb.ca.gov
Requester	Kyle Robert Haab
Contact	657-557-4459 | kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
Address	1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4, Los Angeles, CA 90002
Date prepared	May 22, 2026
Date range requested	January 1, 2023 to present

Boundary Statement

This packet is formatted for a California Public Records Act request. It asks for existing public records and records sufficient to identify the proper workforce-development custodian/routing lane. It does not ask CWDB to decide family-law, housing, criminal, HMIS, CFCI, DHCS, LAHSA, or civil-liability merits.

California Public Records Act Request

To: California Workforce Development Board Public Records / Legal Unit
Email: legal@cwdb.ca.gov

Subject: CPRA Request - CRCD / Project Tipping Point / City LWDA / EWDD Routing / DOL OIG 2026067

Dear California Workforce Development Board Public Records / Legal Unit,

I am submitting this California Public Records Act request under Government Code section 7920.000 et seq. regarding Coalition for Responsible Community Development (CRCD), Project Tipping Point (PTP), Vernon-Central/LATTC WorkSource Center, City of Los Angeles Local Workforce Development Area (City LWDA), EWDD routing, and related workforce-development complaint records.

Date range requested: January 1, 2023 to present.

This request seeks existing records. I am not asking CWDB to create a new record, conduct a legal investigation, make findings, or decide non-workforce merits. If a requested category would be burdensome, please treat each numbered category as severable and produce records sufficient to show the responsive routing, custodian, policy, monitoring, or communication record.

Background and PRA Need

County DEO confirmed in writing that CRCD / Vernon-Central-LATTC WorkSource is not under Los Angeles County LWDA jurisdiction and falls under the City of Los Angeles LWDA. I later requested written routing clarification because City/EWDD-related responses have directed me back to CRCD / Latea Davis, while CRCD is the disputed provider whose records, consent authority, grievance process, and Project Tipping Point conduct are at issue. County DEO did not provide a specific independent City referral number, custodian determination, or grievance determination; it directed me to CRCD contact information and general EWDD Equal Opportunity Compliance Officer information.

DOL OIG FOIA No. 2026067 is also relevant. On May 1, 2026, DOL OIG stated in writing that it has an open law-enforcement investigation involving the records I requested and withheld the records in full under FOIA Exemption 7(A), which applies where disclosure could interfere with law-enforcement proceedings or pending investigations. I am not asking CWDB to interfere with DOL OIG. I am asking CWDB to preserve and identify the City LWDA / EWDD / CRCD / WorkSource records lane while overlapping federal law-enforcement processing remains open.

The attached exhibits are included only to identify the programs, workforce region, records involved, date range, routing history, and source-record context. They are not submitted to ask CWDB to decide family-law, criminal, housing-code, HMIS, DHCS, CFCI, or civil-liability merits.

Records Requested

1. Records identifying CRCD as a workforce-development provider, subrecipient, contractor, AJCC partner, WorkSource partner, operator, delegate agency, service provider, vendor, or City LWDA-related entity.
2. Records involving CRCD / Project Tipping Point, Vernon-Central/LATTC WorkSource Center, education/workforce services, student navigation, college persistence, supportive services, stabilization services, or rental assistance tied to education/workforce participation.
3. Records identifying the proper City LWDA / EWDD custodian, complaint channel, EO/ADA contact, WIOA/AJCC grievance route, monitoring unit, or records-access route for complaints involving CRCD / Project Tipping Point when the complaint is against CRCD itself.
4. Records showing whether a City LWDA / EWDD contact may redirect a participant-records, grievance, EO/ADA, WIOA/AJCC, supportive-services, or records-access issue back to the provider that is the subject of the complaint without issuing an independent grievance route, custodian determination, or written records path.
5. Policies, procedures, guidance, monitoring records, corrective-action records, complaint procedures, referral procedures, memoranda, desk manuals, or oversight records governing participant records, consent, privacy,

supportive services, education/workforce documentation, rental-assistance support, and subrecipient/vendor records for Local Workforce Development Areas.

6. Records involving CRCD, Project Tipping Point, LACCD/LATTC, East Los Angeles College, Amity Foundation, JCOD, CFCI-PA3-056-2023, or education/workforce-related rental assistance.
7. Records mentioning or cross-referencing DOL OIG FOIA No. 2026067, County Fraud / OCI references 2025-23289 or 2026-23965, HMIS ID 8F8CD9D8B, PTP participant ID 1233369910, or federal case CV26-802-UA / 2:26-cv-00802-JFW-AS, to the extent those terms appear in CWDB-held workforce-development, oversight, monitoring, routing, or correspondence records.
8. Communications between CWDB and any of the following concerning CRCD, PTP, City LWDA, EWDD, Vernon-Central/LATTC WorkSource, LACCD/LATTC, Amity, CFCI-PA3-056-2023, or related participant-record/grievance routing: City of Los Angeles EWDD, Los Angeles County DEO, CRCD, LACCD, DOL, DOL OIG, LAHSA, JCOD, Amity Foundation, or any Local Workforce Development Board contact.

Search Terms / Identifiers

Coalition for Responsible Community Development; CRCD; Project Tipping Point; PTP; Vernon-Central/LATTC WorkSource Center; City of Los Angeles LWDA; EWDD; Richard Cheng; Latea Davis; Mark Wilson; Jahrell Thomas; Kenta Estrada-Darley; Nagera / Nigera Pemberton; Amity Foundation; JCOD; CFCI-PA3-056-2023; Program Area 3 / Youth Housing; LACCD; LATTC; East Los Angeles College; PTP participant ID 1233369910; LACCD/LATTC Student ID 900855235; HMIS ID 8F8CD9D8B; LAHSA Ref. 2526KH-A; County Fraud / OCI 2025-23289 and 2026-23965; DOL OIG FOIA No. 2026067; Federal case CV26-802-UA / 2:26-cv-00802-JFW-AS.

Production Format / Fees / Narrowing

Please provide responsive records electronically by email where possible. Native electronic records are preferred where available, including attachments, email headers, metadata, logs, or exported records. If records are only available as PDF copies, legible PDF production is acceptable.

If CWDB anticipates duplication or production charges over $25.00, please notify me before incurring costs. If any part of this request is denied or withheld, please identify the exemption claimed and provide any reasonably segregable non-exempt portions. If CWDB does not maintain responsive records for a category, please state that clearly for that category.

If CWDB believes another agency is the proper custodian, please produce any CWDB-held referral, routing, oversight, policy, or correspondence records showing that custodian or route. As a courtesy, please identify the agency, unit, email address, or contact person CWDB believes is the proper custodian for the City LWDA / EWDD / CRCD workforce records lane.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert Haab
1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4
Los Angeles, CA 90002
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

Exhibit Index

Exhibit	Document	PRA relevance
A	CWDB Public Records Request webpage screenshot	Shows CWDB public-records route, legal@cwdb.ca.gov, mail address, and CWDB guidance requiring names of programs/projects/workforce regions and the date/date range.
B	Formal WIOA / AJCC / Workforce Development Compliance Complaint Regarding CRCD / Project Tipping Point	Shows the workforce-development complaint record, CRCD/PTP/AJCC issue framing, and County/City LWDA routing context.
C	Call Confirmation re DEO Compliance / City LWDA Routing - CRCD / Project Tipping Point	Shows request for routing clarification and DEO response pointing to general City EWDD/CRCD contacts rather than a specific independent custodian or referral number.
D	DOL OIG FOIA No. 2026067 Final Response	Shows open law-enforcement investigation involving requested records and FOIA Exemption 7(A) withholding.
E	CRCD Limited Consent/ROI Source Record Packet with Child-Awareness and PTP Application	Shows source-record issue, 2023 CRCD/Ruth's Place/HMIS authority question, PTP application context, and downstream landlord/payment records.
F	LAHSA Nexus Packet - CRCD / Ruth's Place Source-Record Chain and OCI / LAPD Cross-Reliance	Shows HMIS/LAHSA as downstream from CRCD source-record chain and explains why a narrow funding-jurisdiction closure should not be treated as a merits finding.
G	DHCS LGA CRCD Impact Nexus Packet - selected narrative pages 1-8	Shows cross-agency source-system and Amity-CFCI nexus for context, limited to front narrative and timeline/requested-review pages.

Note: Exhibits are included for record location and routing context only. They do not expand the PRA request into a demand for CWDB to decide other agencies' merits, create new records, or adjudicate legal claims.

EXHIBIT B

Formal WIOA / AJCC / Workforce Development Compliance Complaint Regarding CRCD / Project Tipping Point

PRA relevance / limiting statement:

Shows the workforce-development complaint and the City/County LWDA routing issue. Included to help CWDB locate any workforce, AJCC, WorkSource, monitoring, complaint, or custodian records.

This exhibit is attached for records-location, routing, and custodian-identification context only.

Formal WIOA / AJCC / Workforce Development Compliance Complaint Regarding CRCD / Project Tipping Point

8 messages

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Fri, Apr 3, 2026 at 6:04 PM
To: compliance@opportunity.lacounty.gov

Dear Compliance Office,

I am submitting this as a formal complaint and request for compliance review regarding Coalition for Responsible Community Development (CRCD), including conduct connected to Project Tipping Point, the Vernon-Central/LATTC WorkSource Center, and related staff handling my intake, enrollment, supportive services, housing assistance, and grievance concerns.

The core issue is that the records I have obtained show overlapping workforce-development, intake, education, housing, and supportive-service activity, while CRCD and related parties have given inconsistent explanations about program status, funding source, administrative authority, grievance routing, and their role in my case.

A key fact is that communications from CRCD staff were consistently presented under a Workforce Development, Housing & Support Services, or otherwise integrated program identity. My records include communications involving Latea Davis, Director of Workforce Development; Nagera Pemberton, College Navigator, Workforce Development; Jessica Rodriguez, College Navigator, Workforce Development; Amaris Runia, Intake Specialist, Workforce Development; Alexander Saltzman, Rental Assistance Supervisor, Workforce Development; Polet Lopez, Youth & Education Manager; and Chidumebi Ezenwoko, Rental Assistance Coordinator, Housing & Support Services. This is significant because it indicates that my case was being handled within an identifiable CRCD program structure, not through isolated or informal private communications.

Additional records also show that as early as March 18, 2024, a CRCD-linked contact saved as “Program Lady” asked my partner for my phone number so that I could be referred into Project Tipping Point. Later communications from that same contact discussed shared housing assistance and stated, in substance, that both members of the household would receive $1,500. That same chain later tied to the CRCD email address amaatafale@coalitionrcd.org, which I understand belonged to CRCD staff member Amadi Maatafale. Lease materials were later transmitted to that CRCD email account. These records support that CRCD staff were involved not only in education-related intake, but also in referral, housing-assistance representations, and receipt of lease-related documents.

My records further show that Nagera Pemberton, acting in a College Navigator role, communicated with me regarding program enrollment and requested education-related verification documents. Jessica Rodriguez later continued communication in the same College Navigator / Workforce Development structure after Nagera Pemberton was no longer involved. Amaris Runia, identifying herself as an Intake Specialist within Workforce Development, sent a CRCD participant intake form. Jacqueline Ramos, identifying herself as being from Project Tipping Point / CRCD, requested additional documents including a high school diploma, foster ward letter, and photo ID. Regina Vazquez appeared on Amity Foundation paperwork using the CRCD email address rvasquez@coalitionrcd.org.

Alexander Saltzman, identified as Rental Assistance Supervisor within Workforce Development, directly participated in housing-placement and landlord communications, including lease and W-9 processing. Polet Lopez later stated in writing that I had been enrolled in Project Tipping Point since June 13, 2024 and that supportive services had been provided, including furniture assistance, rental assistance, student fees, school supplies, and gift cards, while also stating that Project Tipping Point was supported through private foundations.

Additional communications from Chidumebi Ezenwoko are also relevant. In those records, Chidumebi, identifying herself as Rental Assistance Coordinator within Housing & Support Services, discussed my school debt, my inability to register for classes, referral to a regional program, referral to the work source center for job assistance, employment assistance for my fiancée, housing leads, Bayberry debt from Tennessee, Firestone housing denial, landlord communications, late fees, and prior conversations with Polet, Sanea, and the landlord. In another communication, Chidumebi stated that CRCD had consistently assisted with employment resources, rental assistance, and educational assistance. These records are important because they show CRCD handling school, housing, landlord, employment, and supportive-service matters together in a coordinated way.

CRCD also circulated a partner / stakeholder statement responding to complaints about Project Tipping Point and stating that additional information could be directed to Kenta Estrada-Darley, Chief Community Innovations Officer. That is relevant because it shows Kenta Estrada-Darley was presented by CRCD itself as an escalation or clarification contact concerning the disputed program narrative.

Requested Determinations

Whether my case involved WIOA, AJCC / WorkSource operations, CalJOBS, County-funded supportive services, or another DEO / EWDD-administered or DEO / EWDD-oversaw structure.

Whether CRCD, in handling my case, acted as a WIOA provider, AJCC / WorkSource operator, workforce-development program operator, housing-support provider, contractor, subrecipient, secondary subrecipient, grantee, or County-funded supportive-services provider.

Whether any CalJOBS record, AJCC / WorkSource participant file, intake record, enrollment record, supportive-services record, grievance record, eligibility record, case note, landlord-contact record, housing-support record, or adverse-action record exists for me.

Whether CRCD staff, including Latea Davis, Nagera Pemberton, Jessica Rodriguez, Amaris Runia, Jacqueline Ramos, Regina Vazquez, Alexander Saltzman, Polet Lopez, Chidumebi Ezenwoko, Amadi Maatafale, and Kenta Estrada-Darley, were acting within the same workforce-development or related public program structure when handling my records, intake, concerns, school issues, employment issues, housing-related matters, or escalation requests.

Whether required participant notices, grievance procedures, equal-opportunity notices, rights advisements, eligibility notices, housing-support notices, or adverse-action notices should have been provided to me.

Whether complaints and record requests should have been routed to an independent compliance or grievance process rather than being directed back to CRCD leadership and staff.

Whether records should now be preserved immediately, including CalJOBS records, AJCC / WorkSource records, internal CRCD communications, intake communications, landlord communications, housing leads, lease / W-9 / ACH materials, Amity-related communications, partner / stakeholder statement communications, funding records, and communications involving all staff identified above.
If this matter does not belong in a standard WIOA grievance track, then please identify the correct compliance, EO, AJCC, CalJOBS, participant-rights, housing-support, or other County review process and route or refer this complaint accordingly.
Please confirm receipt of this complaint in writing. I am prepared to provide supporting screenshots, emails, intake records, housing records, lease-related records, public materials, and related documentation.
Thank you,
Kyle David Haab
1551 E 106th St Unit 3/4
Los Angeles, CA 90002
(657) 557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

17 attachments

- **file_00000000fc8461f593795a06e8d9d22e-sanitized.jpg**
  109 KB
- **file_00000000ae3461f7970111712be6da76-sanitized.jpg**
  182 KB
- **file_0000000084a8720cb2f0820f520fefbb-sanitized.jpg**
  169 KB
- **Screenshot_20260314_092354_Photos.jpg**
  940 KB
- **file_00000000ebd071f7a0970ac4889c4f2f-sanitized.jpg**
  336 KB
- **Screenshot_20250914_084817_Photos.jpg**
  772 KB
- **file_0000000033dc71f6968bd8614e8cd1b1-sanitized.jpg**
  261 KB
- **file_000000009fc871f5832989eec454da9e-sanitized.jpeg**
  166 KB
- **file_00000000273071f5a8dcf702bfc40461-sanitized.jpeg**
  243 KB
- **Screenshot_20260210_153126_OneDrive.jpg**
  611 KB
- **CRCD Partner and Stakeholder Statement.pdf**
  92 KB
- **Project Tipping Point - CRCD Response.pdf**
  305 KB
- **Kyle Haab - Project Tipping Point CPRA Request.pdf**
  432 KB
- **Gmail - FW_ PRA Request response Draft.PDF**
  152 KB
- **CRCD-Privacy-Policy_2026.pdf**
  203 KB
- **1551 34.pdf**
  4.5 MB
- **Client Case Notes History.pdf**
  95 KB

Contract Compliance <Compliance@opportunity.lacounty.gov> Tue, May 5, 2026 at 3:08 PM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Good afternoon,

Thank you for your email. Please note that the Coalition for Responsible Community Development (CRCD) operated Vernon-Central/LATTC WorkSource Center is not under the Los Angeles County jurisdiction; it falls under the City of Los Angeles.

If you have any questions, please contact us at Compliance@opportunity.lacounty.gov.

Best regards,

department of economic opportunity
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

Regulatory Compliance Division

Department of Economic Opportunity
County of Los Angeles
510 S. Vermont Avenue,
11th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90020
Compliance@opportunity.lacounty.gov

From: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 3, 2026 6:04 PM
To: Contract Compliance <Compliance@opportunity.lacounty.gov>
Subject: Formal WIOA / AJCC / Workforce Development Compliance Complaint Regarding CRCD / Project Tipping Point

CAUTION: External Email. Proceed Responsibly. [Quoted text hidden]

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email message, including any attachments, from the Department of Economic Opportunity is intended for the official and confidential use of the recipient to whom it is addressed. It contains information that may be confidential, privileged, or otherwise exempted from disclosure under applicable law. If you have received this message in error, be advised that any review, disclosure, use, dissemination, distribution, or reproduction of this message or its contents is strictly prohibited. Please notify us immediately by a reply e-mail that you have received this message in error, and destroy this message, including any attachments.

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Tue, May 5, 2026 at 3:40 PM
To: Contract Compliance <Compliance@opportunity.lacounty.gov>

To: Compliance@opportunity.lacounty.gov

Hello,

Thank you for speaking with me by phone.

Per the call, I am providing the identifiers needed so County DEO can alert or route this to the appropriate Workforce Development contact for response or jurisdiction clarification.

This relates to my prior WIOA / AJCC / Workforce Development compliance complaint regarding CRCD / Project Tipping Point / Vernon-Central-LATTC WorkSource. County DEO previously responded that the CRCD-operated Vernon-Central/LATTC WorkSource Center is not under Los Angeles County jurisdiction and falls under the City of Los Angeles. I am requesting clarification and routing for the Workforce Development side.

Key identifiers:

- Complainant: Kyle David Haab
- Phone: 657-557-4459
- Email: kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
- Address involved: 1551 3/4 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4, Los Angeles, CA 90002
- Organization: Coalition for Responsible Community Development / CRCD
- Program: Project Tipping Point
- WorkSource / AJCC: Vernon-Central/LATTC WorkSource Center

- CRCD intake / participant identifier: PTP Intake ID 1233369910
- County Fraud / Auditor-Controller: 2025-23289
- County Fraud / Auditor-Controller: 2026-23965
- County / CFCI funding reference: CFCI-PA3-056-2023
- Program area: CFCI Program Area 3 / Youth Housing
- Third-party administrator referenced in records: Amity Foundation
- LAHSA / HMIS reference: 2526KH-A
- HMIS Unique ID: 8F8CD9D8B
- HMIS / LAHSA tickets: 259260, 260627, 267528
- LAPD reports: C259045687 and C269013241
- Online LAPD tracking: 260327900363
- LAHD case: SO311576
- LADBS order: A-6604739
- DRE case: 1-26-0316-003
- DHCS reference: NEW-2026-01-18-8837
- DHCS / HIPAA records request: L109105-040626
- CDSS State Hearing: SHN-105293108
- California family case: 25CMFL00694

CRCD staff / contacts referenced in the complaint:

- Latea Davis, Director of Workforce Development
- Nagera Pemberton, College Navigator / Workforce Development
- Jessica Rodriguez, College Navigator / Workforce Development
- Amaris Runia, Intake Specialist / Workforce Development
- Alexander Saltzman, Rental Assistance Supervisor / Workforce Development
- Polet Lopez, Youth & Education Manager
- Chidumebi Ezenwoko, Rental Assistance Coordinator / Housing & Support Services
- Amadi Maatafale / amaatafale@coalitionrcd.org
- Regina Vazquez / rvasquez@coalitionrcd.org
- Kenta Estrada-Darley, Chief Community Innovations Officer

My request is for DEO to identify or alert the proper Workforce Development contact who can confirm:

1. whether this is solely a City of Los Angeles EWDD / WIOA / AJCC matter;
2. whether County DEO has any Workforce Development records, referral records, coordination records, or jurisdictional records involving me, CRCD, Project Tipping Point, Amity, or CFCI-PA3-056-2023;
3. whether any County-funded supportive-services, CFCI, Amity, or County contractor/vendor component should be handled by another County office;
4. the correct City or County point of contact for any Workforce Development response.

Please confirm receipt and identify the office or person this is being routed to.

Respectfully,
Kyle David Haab
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
[Quoted text hidden]

Outlook-image001.p.png
34 KB

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Wed, May 6, 2026 at 6:48 AM
To: Contract Compliance <Compliance@opportunity.lacounty.gov>
Cc: ldavis@coalitionrcd.org, jguadron@coalitionrcd.org, chris@harrishayden.com, herbert@harrishayden.com, kidan@harrishayden.com, john@harrishayden.com, Kestrada-darely@coalitionrcd.org, abernard@jcod.lacounty.gov

Request for DEO Review and Routing – CRCD / Project Tipping Point / Workforce Development / 2023 Consent Basis

Hello,

Per my prior communication with DEO Compliance, I am attaching a consolidated DEO Compliance / Workforce Development packet for review and routing.

I am copying Harris & Hayden because their office previously responded on behalf of CRCD through a cease-and-desist letter, so they are included for notice and records-preservation purposes only.

My request is narrow. I am not asking DEO to decide every related civil, housing, family-law, criminal, DHCS, LAHSA/HMIS, or CFCI issue. I am asking DEO to clarify whether DEO has any Workforce Development, complaint-routing, contractor/vendor, referral,

jurisdictional, interagency, or preservation records involving CRCD / Project Tipping Point.

The central question is consent and records authority:

What exact 2023 consent, release, HMIS authorization, intake form, participant agreement, opt-in, or other record did CRCD rely on, and what exact later 2024–2026 Project Tipping Point actions did that record authorize?

Specifically, I am asking DEO to confirm:

1. whether DEO's prior jurisdiction response was limited only to the City WorkSource / WIOA / AJCC lane;
2. whether DEO searched only formal participant-enrollment records or also complaint-routing, Workforce Development, contractor/vendor, referral, interagency, and jurisdictional records;
3. whether DEO has any records involving CRCD, Project Tipping Point, Latea Davis, Jose Guadron, City EWDD, Amity Foundation, JCOD, CFCI-PA3-056-2023, County Fraud / Auditor-Controller 2025-23289, or County Fraud / Auditor-Controller 2026-23965;
4. what office owns the separate County-connected CFCI / Amity / CRCD rental-assistance records lane;
5. what office is responsible for the 2023 consent / ROI / records-authority question if DEO is not the final custodian.

Please confirm receipt of the attached packet and identify who this has been routed to on the Workforce Development or compliance side.

Im including relevant email of CRCD as well

Respectfully,
Kyle Robert Haab
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
[Quoted text hidden]

2 attachments

Outlook-image001.p.png
34 KB

DEO_Compliance_Workforce_Consent_Routing_Packet_With_Embedded_Attachments_Kyle_Haab_May2026.pdf
6.2 MB

Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com> Wed, May 6, 2026 at 6:49 AM
To: kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

Address not found

Your message wasn't delivered to **Kestrada-darely@coalitionrcd.org** because the address couldn't be found, or is unable to receive mail.

LEARN MORE

This link will take you to a third-party site

The response from the remote server was:

```
550 5.4.1 Recipient address rejected: Access denied. For more information see
https://aka.ms/EXOSmtpErrors [SJ1PEPF00001CE0.namprd05.prod.outlook.com 2026-05-06T13:49:01.142Z
08DEA7107A055C03]
```

Contract Compliance <Compliance@opportunity.lacounty.gov> Thu, May 7, 2026 at 1:56 PM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Good afternoon,

To confirm: Please note that the Coalition for Responsible Community Development (CRCD) is not under the jurisdiction of Los Angeles County Local Workforce Development Area (LWDA); it falls under the City of Los Angeles LWDA.

department of economic opportunity
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

Regulatory Compliance Division
Department of Economic Opportunity
County of Los Angeles
510 S. Vermont Avenue,
11th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90020
Compliance@opportunity.lacounty.gov

From: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 6, 2026 6:48 AM
To: Contract Compliance <Compliance@opportunity.lacounty.gov>
Cc: ldavis@coalitionrcd.org <ldavis@coalitionrcd.org>; Jose Guadron <jguadron@coalitionrcd.org>; Chris Morris <chris@harrishayden.com>; Herbert Hayden <herbert@harrishayden.com>; kidan@harrishayden.com <kidan@harrishayden.com>; john@harrishayden.com <john@harrishayden.com>; Kestrada-darely@coalitionrcd.org <Kestrada-darely@coalitionrcd.org>; Avi Bernard <ABernard@jcod.lacounty.gov>
Subject: Re: Formal WIOA / AJCC / Workforce Development Compliance Complaint Regarding CRCD / Project Tipping Point

CAUTION: External Email. Proceed Responsibly.

Good afternoon,

To confirm: Please note that the Coalition for Responsible Community Development (CRCD)/ Project Tipping Point. is not under the Los Angeles County jurisdiction; it falls under the City of Los Angeles

[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Thu, May 7, 2026 at 11:24 PM
To: Contract Compliance <Compliance@opportunity.lacounty.gov>

Hello DEO Compliance,

Thank you for confirming that CRCD / the Vernon-Central-LATTC WorkSource Center is not under the Los Angeles County LWDA and falls under the City of Los Angeles LWDA.

I am requesting immediate written routing assistance because this matter is urgent and involves sensitive personal information, child-related information, housing stability, family-integrity issues, and active court-related records involving my children.

My concern is that the matter is now caught in a routing loop. County DEO has identified City LWDA as the workforce jurisdiction, while City/EWDD public-records responses have repeatedly directed me back to Latea Davis at CRCD. That does not resolve the issue because CRCD is the entity whose records, consent authority, complaint process, stakeholder statement, and Project Tipping Point conduct are disputed.

I am attaching an updated routing response packet for context. I am not asking DEO to decide the entire CRCD / Project Tipping Point matter. I am asking DEO to immediately identify or route to the correct independent City or County office responsible for each lane.

The urgent unresolved issues include:

1. Who is the correct independent City of Los Angeles LWDA / EWDD / WIOA / AJCC / Equal Opportunity / ADA complaint contact for complaints involving CRCD's workforce-development lane?

2. If EWDD directs complaints to Latea Davis / CRCD, what independent complaint process exists when the complaint is against CRCD itself?

3. Does County DEO have any separate County-side routing notes, complaint records, referral records, jurisdiction notes, or interagency communications involving CRCD / Project Tipping Point / Amity / JCOD / CFCI-PA3-056-2023 / County Fraud references 2025-23289 or 2026_23965?

4. Please confirm that the City/EWDD no-records and "contact Latea Davis" responses do not close or resolve separate County-connected issues involving CFCI, Amity, JCOD, County Fraud / Auditor-Controller / OCI, LAHSA/HMIS, rental-assistance records, County landlord-verification forms, consent/authorization records, or records involving my children.

5. Please identify or route to the office responsible for requiring CRCD to substantiate the claims made in the Partner and Stakeholder Statement signed by Jahrell Thomas.

6. Please identify or route to the office responsible for determining what source record CRCD relied on to collect, use, classify, disclose, or transmit child-related information.

7. Please preserve all DEO-side records, routing notes, search notes, emails, complaint records, referral records, jurisdictional notes, and communications involving this matter.

This request is for immediate routing, preservation, and written clarification. Please provide the correct contact or department as soon as possible so this matter does not continue bouncing between County, City, and CRCD while harm continues.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert Haab
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4
Los Angeles, CA 90002
[Quoted text hidden]

2 attachments

Outlook-image001.p.png
34 KB

updated_deo_routing_response_packet_may2026-2.pdf
991 KB

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Fri, May 8, 2026 at 11:05 AM
To: Contract Compliance <Compliance@opportunity.lacounty.gov>

Dear County DEO Regulatory Compliance,

Thank you for confirming that Coalition for Responsible Community Development's Vernon-Central/LATTC WorkSource Center is not under Los Angeles County LWDA jurisdiction and falls under the City of Los Angeles LWDA.

Because your office has identified the City of Los Angeles LWDA as the proper workforce jurisdiction, I am requesting that County DEO forward my complaint and supporting materials to the correct City of Los Angeles LWDA / EWDD compliance, grievance, or oversight contact.

Please copy me on the referral or provide the exact City contact, email address, unit name, and reference number so I can follow up directly.

My complaint concerns CRCD / Project Tipping Point / Vernon-Central-LATTC WorkSource Center and related questions involving intake, enrollment, supportive services, housing assistance, alleged 2023 consent or records-use authority, CFCI-PA3-056-2023 / Program Area 3 Youth Housing, HMIS/LAHSA, and the effect on family-service and housing coordination.

Please also clarify whether County DEO is taking any position on the CFCI-PA3-056-2023 / Program Area 3 Youth Housing component, or whether that portion should be routed separately to a County CFCI, CEO, Auditor-Controller/OCI, LAHSA/HMIS, or other County

contact.

I am requesting referral rather than closure because the issue involves mixed City, County, HMIS, CFCI, and service-provider records, and I need written routing clarity.

Respectfully,

Kyle David Haab
1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4
Los Angeles, CA 90002
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
[Quoted text hidden]

Outlook-image001.p.png
34 KB

EXHIBIT C

DEO Compliance / City LWDA Routing Call Confirmation

PRA relevance / limiting statement:

Shows the request for written routing clarification after County DEO identified City of Los Angeles LWDA. Included to show the unresolved independent City LWDA / EWDD custodian and grievance route.

This exhibit is attached for records-location, routing, and custodian-identification context only.

Call Confirmation re DEO Compliance / City LWDA Routing – CRCD / Project Tipping Point

2 messages

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Wed, May 13, 2026 at 1:17 PM
To: Contract Compliance <compliance@opportunity.lacounty.gov>
Cc: ldavis@coalitionrcd.org, luis.preciado@lacity.org, richard.cheng@lacity.org, jguadron@coalitionrcd.org

Hello,

I am confirming my phone call today with DEO Compliance / Department of Economic Opportunity regarding my CRCD / Project Tipping Point / Vernon-Central-LATTC WorkSource routing issue.

During the call, I explained that County DEO previously identified the CRCD-operated Vernon-Central/LATTC WorkSource Center as outside Los Angeles County LWDA jurisdiction and under the City of Los Angeles LWDA. My concern remains that City/EWDD responses have directed me back to CRCD / Latea Davis, while CRCD is the entity whose records, consent authority, complaint process, and Project Tipping Point conduct are disputed.

My understanding from today's call is that DEO Compliance / Opportunities has my phone number and I should expect an update shortly.

Please confirm in writing:

1. Whether DEO has forwarded or will forward my complaint to City of Los Angeles LWDA / EWDD compliance;
2. The specific City contact, unit, email address, or reference number for follow-up;
3. Whether DEO has any remaining County-side routing notes, jurisdiction notes, referral records, or communications involving CRCD / Project Tipping Point / Amity / JCOD / CFCI-PA3-056-2023 / County Fraud 2025-23289 or 2026-23965;
4. Whether DEO is taking no position on the separate County CFCI / Amity / JCOD lane.

I am not asking County DEO to decide the full matter. I am asking for written routing clarification so the workforce/AJCC/City LWDA lane is not left unresolved.

Respectfully,

Kyle David Haab
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

Contract Compliance <Compliance@opportunity.lacounty.gov> Wed, May 13, 2026 at 4:56 PM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Good afternoon, Mr. Haab,

Based on our conversation today at 4:16 p.m., you have the contact information for CRCD, and you can find the City of Los Angeles Economic & Workforce Development Department (EWDD) Equal Opportunity Compliance Officer's information at this link: Contact the EWDD.

Thank you,

department of economic opportunity
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

Regulatory Compliance Division

Department of Economic Opportunity
County of Los Angeles
510 S. Vermont Avenue,
11th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90020

Compliance@opportunity.lacounty.gov

From: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 13, 2026 1:17 PM
To: Contract Compliance <Compliance@opportunity.lacounty.gov>
Cc: ldavis@coalitionrcd.org <ldavis@coalitionrcd.org>; luis.preciado@lacity.org <luis.preciado@lacity.org>; richard.cheng@lacity.org <richard.cheng@lacity.org>; Jose Guadron <jguadron@coalitionrcd.org>
Subject: Call Confirmation re DEO Compliance / City LWDA Routing – CRCD / Project Tipping Point

CAUTION: External Email. Proceed Responsibly. [Quoted text hidden]

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email message, including any attachments, from the Department of Economic Opportunity is intended for the official and confidential use of the recipient to whom it is addressed. It contains information that may be confidential, privileged, or otherwise exempted from disclosure under applicable law. If you have received this message in error, be advised that any review, disclosure, use, dissemination, distribution, or reproduction of this message or its contents is strictly prohibited. Please notify us immediately by a reply e-mail that you have received this message in error, and destroy this message, including any attachments.

EXHIBIT D

DOL OIG FOIA No. 2026067 Final Response

PRA relevance / limiting statement:

Shows DOL OIG written confirmation of an open law-enforcement investigation involving the requested records and withholding under FOIA Exemption 7(A).

This exhibit is attached for records-location, routing, and custodian-identification context only.

U.S. Department of Labor

Office of Inspector General
Washington D.C. 20210

May 1, 2026

Kyle Haab
1551 E. 106th Street, Unit 3/4
Los Angeles, CA 90002

Re: Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), 5 U.S.C. §552
Request No. 2026067

Dear Mr. Haab:

This letter is in response to your April 3, 2026, Freedom of Information request, a copy of which is enclosed for your convenience. Your request was received in this office on April 3, 2026, and assigned FOIA request number 2026067. We ask that you use this number when referring to your request.

The policy of the Inspector General is to make, to the extent possible, full disclosure of our identifiable records in accordance with the provisions of the Freedom of Information Act. I am responding on behalf of the Office of Inspector General (OIG).

Currently, the DOL OIG has an open law enforcement investigation involving the records you have requested. Therefore, the information you request is exempt from disclosure in its entirety under 5 U.S.C. 552 (b)(7)(A). Exemption 7A of the FOIA covers the withholding of records, the disclosure of which would interfere with law enforcement proceedings or pending investigations.

Should you wish to discuss this response to your request, feel free to contact this office at FOIA.PrivacyAct@oig.dol.gov or the DOL FOIA Public Liaison at dolpublicliaison@dol.gov. Additionally, you may contact the Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) at the National Archives and Records Administration to inquire about the FOIA mediation services they offer. The contact information for OGIS is as follows: Office of Government Information Services, National Archives and Records Administration, 8601 Adelphi Road-OGIS, College Park, Maryland 20740-6001; e-mail at ogis@nara.gov; telephone 202-741-5770; toll free at 1-877-684-6448; or facsimile at 202-741-5769.

If you are not satisfied with the response to this request, you have the right to administratively appeal this decision within 90 days from the date of this letter. Should you decide to do this, your appeal must state, in writing, the grounds for appeal, together with any statement or arguments. Such an appeal should be addressed and directed to the Solicitor of Labor, citing OIG FOIA No. 2026067, Room N-2428, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210 or emailed to foiaappeals@dol.gov. Please refer to the Department of Labor regulations at 29 CFR 70.22 for further details on your appeal rights.

For your information, Congress excluded three discrete categories of law enforcement and national security records from the requirements of the FOIA. *See* 5 U.S.C. 552(c). This response is limited to those records that are subject to the requirements of the FOIA. This is a standard notification that is given to all our requesters and should not be taken as an indication that excluded records do, or do not, exist.

If you have any questions concerning this letter, feel free to contact this office at FOIA.PrivacyAct@oig.dol.gov. Please refer to FOIA request number 2026067, on future correspondence. We look forward to assisting you.

Sincerely,

Michael Coen

Michael Coen
FOIA Officer

EXHIBIT E

CRCD Limited Consent/ROI Source Record Packet with Child-Awareness and PTP Application

PRA relevance / limiting statement:

Shows the missing source-record issue and why the workforce lane matters: 2023 CRCD/Ruth's Place/HMIS authority, 2024 PTP, child/family-status, landlord/payment, ACH, W-9, and rental-assistance records.

This exhibit is attached for records-location, routing, and custodian-identification context only.

ATTACHMENT 3 TO BUSINESS RECORDS SUBPOENA

Limited Request for 2023 Consent / ROI / Authorization Source Records

Coalition for Responsible Community Development / Project Tipping Point / Ruth's Place / HMIS-Clarity

Court Case:	25CMFL00694
Filing Party:	Kyle Robert Haab, self-represented Petitioner
Entity / Custodian:	Coalition for Responsible Community Development / Project Tipping Point / CRCD records custodian
Document Type:	Attachment to Business Records Subpoena / Limited Consent-ROI Source Record Request
Scope:	Missing 2023 consent / ROI / release / privacy notice / HMIS authorization / participant agreement / custodian declaration

Court-Ready Exhibit Control Note

This court-ready version keeps the subpoena narrow and uses only clear, relevant exhibits. Low-value mobile-preview screenshots and eFile workflow screenshots are omitted. No redactions were applied because redaction was not requested.

1. Limited Purpose

This subpoena attachment is limited. Petitioner is not seeking duplicate production of downstream records already possessed. Petitioner seeks the missing source record showing the consent, release, ROI, HMIS authorization, privacy notice, participant agreement, or other written authorization CRCD contends allowed later 2024-2026 use of Petitioner's personal information, child-related information, family-status information, housing records, landlord-verification records, lease records, ACH records, W-9 records, rental-assistance records, Project Tipping Point records, HMIS / Clarity records, and interagency disclosures.

The central question is:

What written source record authorized CRCD or its agents to rely on a 2023 CRCD / Ruth's Place / HMIS / Clarity contact for later 2024-2026 Project Tipping Point, housing-assistance, landlord-verification, lease, ACH, W-9, family-status, child-related, interagency, and court-impacting records?

2. Family-Law Relevance

The requested records are relevant to this family-law case because the Court is being asked to address visitation, family stability, housing-stability consequences, ICPC / UCCJEA source-record coordination, and whether current instability should be treated as voluntary parental instability when the underlying consent authority and source records remain disputed.

Without the requested 2023 consent, release, ROI, HMIS authorization, privacy notice, or participant agreement, this matter should not be treated as a routine private family-law dispute in which housing instability, service-routing failures, lack of stable family placement, or disrupted visitation are presumed to be voluntary parental conduct.

The source-record issue goes to whether a third-party provider collected, used, classified, transmitted, or relied on child-related and family-status information without clear written authority during a reunification, ICPC, housing-stability, and interagency-records context.

Until CRCD produces the consent and authorization basis it relied upon, the Court cannot fairly weigh downstream housing instability, household classification, records use, or service-routing consequences against Petitioner as if those facts were clean, independent, or undisputed.

3. Criminal, Civil, Administrative, and Oversight Relevance

The same consent record is relevant to related criminal, civil, administrative, and oversight matters because the threshold question is the same across those lanes: what written authority, if any, allowed CRCD or its agents to collect, use, transmit, classify, or rely on Petitioner's personal information, child-related information, family-status information, housing records, lease / W-9 / ACH records, rental-assistance records, HMIS / Clarity records, and interagency disclosures.

This subpoena does not ask the family court to decide criminal liability, civil liability, agency jurisdiction, fraud, identity theft, privacy violations, or program-compliance merits. It asks CRCD to produce the source authorization record so the same factual chain is not treated as consent-based in one forum, unauthorized in another forum, and unresolved in the family-law case.

Other case numbers, inquiry numbers, complaint references, and agency identifiers are included only as locator terms, preservation terms, and relevance anchors. They are not separate claims for relief in this subpoena.

4. Known Downstream Records Showing Why the Missing Consent Record Matters

A. HMIS / LAHSA Client Case Notes History

The HMIS / LAHSA Client Case Notes History identifies HMIS ID 8F8CD9D8B and shows a CRCD / Ruth's Place Day Shelter enrollment on April 27, 2023 and exit on April 28, 2023. That record is relevant because CRCD appears to rely on a 2023 CRCD /

Ruth's Place / HMIS contact as the basis for later communication. This subpoena therefore seeks the actual 2023 consent, ROI, privacy notice, sharing authorization, HMIS / Clarity authorization, disclosure log, and access log tied to that one-day 2023 CRCD / Ruth's Place record.

The same HMIS / LAHSA Client Case Notes History also includes later family/housing notes stating that Petitioner disclosed having children who were not in his custody and that the absence of children in his custody affected family-based housing eligibility. This is relevant because later family-status, household-composition, and child-related records affected housing and family-stability consequences.

B. Los Angeles County Housing Assistance Programs Universal Application

The Los Angeles County Housing Assistance Programs Universal Application is relevant because it identifies required documentation and signature requirements for housing assistance, including applicant information, household and child documentation, request forms, demographic/family-type fields, rental-assistance agreement language, and landlord verification requirements.

Those materials show that housing-assistance records are not merely informal communications. They require specific forms, documentation, signatures, program classification, applicant / case-manager / program-manager involvement, and landlord verification. This subpoena therefore seeks any complete application package, signed authorization, consent, ROI, privacy notice, participant agreement, or custodian declaration showing whether CRCD had authority to use Petitioner's information and child/family-status information in connection with any housing-assistance workflow.

C. 1551 E. 106th Street / Lease, Landlord Verification, ACH, and W-9 Packet

The 1551 E. 106th Street packet includes a residential lease agreement, County-style landlord verification form, ACH authorization material, and W-9 documents connected to the landlord / payment / rental-assistance workflow.

These downstream records are relevant because Petitioner already possesses many records showing later housing, landlord, payment, and rental-assistance activity. The missing record is the upstream consent, ROI, release, privacy notice, HMIS authorization, participant agreement, or other written authorization that CRCD contends allowed later creation, use, transmission, classification, or reliance on those downstream records.

If CRCD contends that the 2023 Ruth's Place / HMIS / CRCD contact authorized the later 2024-2026 housing, landlord, payment, Project Tipping Point, family-status, and child-related chain, CRCD must produce the exact source record showing that scope, duration, covered program, covered data categories, covered agencies, revocation terms, and child-related authority.

The attached ACH, landlord-verification, W-9, and lease images are incorporated as downstream examples. They are not offered to broaden this subpoena beyond consent. They show why CRCD must identify and produce the upstream source record authorizing use of personal information, landlord/payment documents, housing-assistance records, and related family-status information.

D. CRCD Project Tipping Point Enrollment / Supportive Services Response

CRCD's own written response states that Petitioner was enrolled in Project Tipping Point beginning June 13, 2024; identifies Project Tipping Point as supporting current and former foster youth at the post-secondary level; identifies rental assistance, security deposit, furniture assistance, student fees, supplies, and gift cards; and states that Project Tipping Point is supported through private foundations.

That response is relevant because it confirms a later Project Tipping Point service and payment chain after the alleged 2023 CRCD / Ruth's Place / HMIS contact. This subpoena seeks the consent / ROI / authorization record CRCD relied on to connect the 2023 contact to the later 2024-2026 Project Tipping Point enrollment, rental assistance, supportive services, records use, and disclosure chain.

E. CRCD Landlord Connection / Apartment Thread

The CRCD apartment thread shows a CRCD Rental Assistance Supervisor contacting a landlord about an apartment for Petitioner and Crystal, referring to a couple with a young child needing an apartment as soon as possible, discussing what the program/student could pay, and connecting Petitioner to the landlord. This is relevant because it is direct downstream evidence of CRCD involvement in

housing, landlord outreach, rental assistance, and child/family-status information.

This subpoena does not seek to re-produce that entire thread. It seeks the upstream written consent, ROI, release, privacy notice, HMIS authorization, participant agreement, or other source record authorizing CRCD to use child-related, household, family-status, landlord, rental-assistance, and housing-placement information in that chain.

F. LAHD / LADBS Housing Stability Records

LAHD and LADBS records concerning the 1551 E. 106th Street unit are relevant because they show later housing-stability consequences connected to the unit used in the downstream housing-assistance chain. These records help explain why the missing consent / authorization basis is material to family stability, housing stability, and visitation consequences. This subpoena does not ask CRCD to decide housing-code liability; it asks CRCD to produce the source authorization record underlying the records-use chain leading to that placement and related support.

5. Documents Requested

Please produce all documents, electronically stored information, records, logs, notes, forms, screenshots, metadata, audit trails, attachments, emails, texts, internal messages, case notes, system entries, and communications sufficient to show the following:

A. 2023 Consent / Opt-In / ROI Basis

1. Any 2023 CRCD, Ruth's Place, HMIS, Clarity, referral, intake, opt-in, outreach, privacy notice, release of information, participant agreement, service authorization, or consent record that CRCD contends authorized later communication with Kyle Robert Haab.

2. All records showing the scope, duration, program covered, agencies covered, data categories covered, revocation process, expiration date, and limitations of any 2023 consent, release, authorization, HMIS ROI, privacy notice, or participant agreement.

3. All records explaining how a 2023 CRCD / Ruth's Place / HMIS / Clarity contact was used as authority for later 2024-2026 Project Tipping Point outreach, eligibility review, document collection, landlord contact, housing support, education / workforce activity, or third-party communication.

B. Child-Related Consent and Family-Status Authority

4. Any record showing CRCD had authority to collect, receive, store, use, classify, disclose, transmit, or rely on information concerning Kyle Robert Haab's children.

5. All records showing when CRCD first learned that Kyle Robert Haab had children, who provided that information, how it was recorded, and what CRCD used it for.

6. All records showing whether Kyle Robert Haab was classified as an individual participant, couple, household with children, family household, reunification parent, former foster youth parent, or any other family or housing category.

7. All records showing whether CRCD knew, verified, discussed, or recorded that Kyle Robert Haab's children were in Tennessee DCS custody, placed with a grandparent, subject to ICPC, part of a reunification / permanency plan, or involved in any Tennessee or California family-law, dependency, or placement process.

8. All records showing whether child-related information or household composition was used in landlord outreach, housing placement, unit suitability, rent assistance, supportive services, or family-status classification.

C. HMIS / Clarity / Ruth's Place Consent Trail

9. All HMIS / Clarity records tied to HMIS ID 8F8CD9D8B sufficient to show the April 2023 Ruth's Place enrollment, exit, privacy notice, ROI, sharing authorization, referral, service note, case note, restriction, correction, disclosure history, and access / audit logs.

10. All records showing whether any 2023 Ruth's Place / HMIS / Clarity record was relied upon for later 2024-2026 Project Tipping Point communication, outreach, intake, enrollment, housing, rental assistance, or records use.

11. All records showing whether any 2023 HMIS / Clarity / Ruth's Place consent or ROI authorized disclosure to Project Tipping Point, CRCD workforce staff, Amity Foundation, JCOD, City LWDA / EWDD, LAHSA, DHCS, DPSS, DMH, DCFS, Tennessee DCS, landlord, law enforcement, or any third party.

D. Project Tipping Point / Participant File Limited to Consent and Authorization

12. The portions of the Project Tipping Point file for Kyle Robert Haab, participant ID 1233369910, that identify consent, ROI, privacy notice, participant agreement, authorization, disclosure authority, complaint/grievance rights, participant rights, child/family status, household classification, or staff reliance on a prior 2023 contact.

13. All Formstack submissions, intake forms, timestamps, IP address records, metadata, staff edits, system logs, upload logs, attachment logs, or audit records sufficient to show who entered, reviewed, modified, approved, exported, or transmitted information about Kyle Robert Haab, Crystal Lynn Izarraraz, their household, or their children.

14. All records showing the basis for CRCD's statement that Petitioner was enrolled in Project Tipping Point beginning June 13, 2024, including any intake, referral, eligibility, participant agreement, privacy notice, ROI, consent, release, enrollment form, or authorization tied to that enrollment.

15. All records showing the consent or authorization basis for CRCD's later supportive-service and rental-assistance records, including security deposit, rent

payments, furniture assistance, school fees, supplies, gift cards, or other supportive services.

E. Housing Assistance / Landlord / Payment Authorization

16. Any complete housing-assistance application package, rental-assistance agreement, landlord verification form, applicant authorization, case-manager certification, program-manager approval, participant agreement, release, ROI, privacy notice, or source document CRCD relied on in connection with housing assistance, landlord verification, rental assistance, lease, ACH, W-9, or payment processing.

17. All records showing who authorized use of Petitioner's information in connection with 1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4, Los Angeles, CA 90002, including landlord outreach, landlord referral, unit sourcing, lease, rental application, W-9, ACH, landlord verification, rent ledger, payment approval, payment request, payment confirmation, denial, delay, cutoff, and phase-out records.

18. All records showing whether CRCD relied on any child-related, household-composition, family-status, ICPC, reunification, or housing-stability information in connection with housing assistance, landlord verification, rental assistance, unit placement, or landlord communications.

19. All records showing the consent or authorization basis for CRCD communications with Christopher Cervantes, Jani Cervantes, Alexander Saltzman, Polet Lopez, Latea Davis, Amadi Maatafale, Chidumebi Ezenwoko, Jahrell / Jahrell Thomas, Kenta Estrada-Darley, or any CRCD representative concerning Petitioner, Crystal Lynn Izarraraz, their children, the unit, rent assistance, lease, W-9, ACH, landlord verification, or family/household status.

F. Funding Source / Reporting / Private Foundation Claim

20. All records showing the consent, authorization, participant agreement, privacy notice, or ROI basis for any reporting, payment, invoicing, audit, funder communication, or grant documentation involving Petitioner's Project Tipping Point services, rental assistance, supportive services, or housing assistance.

21. All records identifying the funding source or payment authority for rental assistance, security deposit assistance, supportive services, housing support, or related payments, including private foundations, Amity Foundation, JCOD, CFCI, CFCI-PA3-056-2023, Program Area 3 / Youth Housing, County funding, City funding, or mixed funding sources.

22. All records supporting any CRCD statement that Project Tipping Point services provided to Petitioner were supported only by private foundations, including the consent

or authorization basis for sharing Petitioner's information with any such funder.

G. Interagency Sharing / Disclosure / Audit Trail

23. All records showing whether CRCD shared, received, discussed, or relied on records involving Kyle Robert Haab, Crystal Lynn Izarraraz, or their children with EWDD, City of Los Angeles LWDA, LAHSA / HMIS, Amity Foundation, JCOD, DHCS, DPSS, DMH, DCFS, Tennessee DCS, LAPD, County Fraud / OCI, LAHD, LADBS, LACDPH, HUD, DOL OIG, or any oversight agency.

24. All disclosure accounting records, audit logs, access logs, staff-access records, Formstack logs, upload logs, edit logs, export logs, metadata, and transmission records showing who accessed, edited, transmitted, or relied on child-related, family-status, housing, or Project Tipping Point records.

H. CRCD Partner / Stakeholder Statement and 2023 Consent Claim

25. All records supporting any CRCD statement that a 2023 CRCD sign-up, contact, intake, opt-in, or entry point supplied authority for later Project Tipping Point communications or records use.

26. All records supporting any CRCD statement that later communications were documentation-based, consent-driven, or authorized by Petitioner.

27. Records sufficient to identify the CRCD employee, department, attorney, contractor, funder, third-party administrator, or outside reviewer who verified the factual claims concerning 2023 consent, later communication authority, housing assistance, ADA accommodation, landlord involvement, or Project Tipping Point records before circulation.

I. Policies and Procedures

28. All policies, procedures, manuals, privacy notices, consent forms, HMIS / Clarity procedures, Project Tipping Point procedures, ADA / grievance procedures, participant-rights documents, data-sharing policies, child/family-record policies, and records-retention policies applicable to the requested consent and authorization records.

6. Requested Format

Please produce the records in native electronic format where available, including metadata, timestamps, audit logs, email headers, attachments, and system-generated records. If native production is not available, please produce legible PDF copies.

7. If No Responsive Consent / ROI / Authorization Record Exists

If no responsive consent, ROI, authorization, privacy notice, HMIS / Clarity authorization, participant agreement, or release exists for any category, please provide a written custodian declaration identifying:

1. the category searched;

2. the systems searched;

3. the search terms used;

4. the custodian or department consulted;

5. whether records were deleted, archived, transferred, withheld, or never created;

6. whether CRCD contends a 2023 verbal opt-in, informal sign-up, HMIS record, referral record, or program contact supplied authority for later use;

7. the basis for any withholding, redaction, refusal, or claim of privilege; and

8. the person most knowledgeable regarding the missing consent / ROI / authorization record.

8. Key Identifiers for Search

- Kyle Robert Haab
- Kyle David Haab
- Crystal Lynn Izarraraz
- Project Tipping Point participant ID: 1233369910
- HMIS ID: 8F8CD9D8B
- Property: 1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4, Los Angeles, CA 90002
- CFCI-PA3-056-2023
- Program Area 3 / Youth Housing
- Amity Foundation
- JCOD
- LAHSA reference: 2526KH-A
- HMIS tickets: 259260, 260627, 267528

EXHIBIT E

DHCS LGA CRCD Impact Nexus - Beneficiary / Source-System / Amity-CFCI / HMIS / Family-Housing Impact

Selected pages from the DHCS packet preserving DHCS records-integrity, source-system, beneficiary-profile, Amity/CFCI, HMIS/Ruth's Place, landlord/payment, family/housing impact, and requested DHCS review questions.

Pages included: Selected pages 1-8, 17, 27-35, 38-40, and 44-49

Source file: DHCS_LGA_CRCD_Impact_Nexus_Exhibit_Packet_May21_2026.pdf

Separator page added for navigation; original source pages follow.

DHCS LGA Exhibit-Based Timeline and Nexus Packet

CRCD / Project Tipping Point Impact Nexus

Beneficiary Records | Source-System Integrity | Amity-CFCI | HMIS/Ruth's Place Source Record | Family/Housing Impact

Prepared for	DHCS LGA Inquiries
Prepared by	Kyle Robert Haab | 657-557-4459 | kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
Date	May 21, 2026
Primary DHCS references	NEW-2026-01-18-8837; L109105-040626; Medi-Cal Fraud #261231056; DMFEA #36332332
Other key references	CFCI-PA3-056-2023; HMIS ID 8F8CD9D8B; PTP ID 1233369910; B354753; 25CMFL00694; 26CMUD00417

Boundary statement: This packet does not ask DHCS to decide the full family-law case, unlawful-detainer case, LAPD matter, JCOD grant compliance, LAHSA/HMIS dispute, Tennessee custody dispute, or CRCD liability. It asks DHCS to identify whether DHCS has remaining records-integrity, beneficiary-record, source-system, fraud/DMFEA, provider-status, privacy/disclosure, Third Party Liability, incident-routing, correction, or preservation responsibility connected to the CRCD / Project Tipping Point / Amity / CFCI pathway.

Control Theory

The core issue is not simply who paid rent. The core issue is whether a 2023 CRCD / Ruth's Place / HMIS / Clarity contact was treated as continuing authority for later 2024-2026 Project Tipping Point communications, housing assistance, landlord/payment records, child/family-status data, interagency disclosures, and public-program records. If DHCS records or DHCS-routed records touched that same chain, DHCS should identify the relevant source systems, custodians, correction owners, disclosure/accounting records, and routing status.

This packet provides exhibit text and descriptive nexus so DHCS can separate its own lane from JCOD grant administration, LAHSA/HMIS funding jurisdiction, family court merits, and other agency lanes.

Exhibit-Based Timeline and Nexus

Exhibit A - Tennessee Resident Ledger / Pre-Relocation Housing Stability

Text from exhibit:

The ledger is titled "Resident Ledger (NON HAP)" and lists Kyle Haab at 1101 Arlington Avenue #07, Chattanooga, TN 37406. It shows lease dates from 10/10/2023 to 10/31/2024, move-in on 10/10/2023, and move-out on 07/31/2024. It shows repeated low rent charges/payments before final move-out adjustments and a $0.00 balance.

Descriptive nexus:

This shows an identifiable Tennessee tenancy before the California relocation. The relevance is not that Tennessee housing was permanent forever. The relevance is that the later California housing instability should be reviewed against the fact that CRCD/PTP communications and housing representations occurred while there was still Tennessee housing history and active Tennessee family/reunification circumstances.

Actual source pages: Appendix 1

Exhibit B - DHCS Assistance Packet / Beneficiary Source-System Amity-CFCI Nexus

Text from exhibit:

The packet identifies the primary issue as beneficiary-record integrity, source-system review, privacy/authorization, provider/claim records, Amity/DHCS licensing nexus, and routing of related CFCI/public-funds issues. It identifies related entities including CRCD / Project Tipping Point, Amity Foundation, JCOD, CFCI-PA3-056-2023, LAHSA/HMIS, Tennessee DCS, and Hamilton County Juvenile Court.

Descriptive nexus:

This is the core DHCS exhibit. It shows the matter was already framed for DHCS as a records-integrity and source-system issue, not merely a housing complaint. The DHCS question is whether any DHCS-connected record, provider, source system, licensed entity, contractor, managed-care system, or privacy obligation intersected with the CRCD/PTP/Amity/CFCI rental-assistance and Tennessee-to-California relocation chain.

Actual source pages: Appendix 2

Exhibit C - CRCD Court-Ready Subpoena / Limited Consent-ROI Source Record Request

Text from exhibit:

The subpoena attachment states that the request is limited to the missing source record showing the consent, release, ROI, HMIS authorization, privacy notice, participant agreement, or other written authorization CRCD contends allowed later 2024-2026 use of personal information, child-related information, family-status information, housing records, landlord-verification records, lease records, ACH records, W-9 records, rental-assistance records, Project Tipping Point records, HMIS/Clarity records, and interagency disclosures.

Descriptive nexus:

This exhibit narrows the DHCS issue. If DHCS records, beneficiary-profile records, provider records, fraud intake, DMFEA routing, Third Party Liability routing, or disclosure logs touched the same chain, DHCS should identify the source system, custodian, correction owner, and routing status.

Actual source pages: Appendix 3

Exhibit D - 2023 Ruth's Place / HMIS Consent Issue

Text from exhibit:

The DHCS packet states that a limited 2023 Ruth's Place / HMIS contact may explain why an old record existed, but it does not automatically establish continuing authority for a different year, different program, different funding stream, different state, different housing transaction, and family-sensitive activity involving children.

Descriptive nexus:

This is the cleanest consent/source-record issue. If CRCD is relying on a 2023 Ruth's Place/HMIS contact as the basis for later 2024-2026 Project Tipping Point communication, housing assistance, landlord records, rental-assistance processing, child/family-status records, and agency communications, DHCS needs to know whether any DHCS record, source system, beneficiary profile, provider record, or disclosure log later relied on that disputed chain.

Actual source pages: Appendix 2 and Appendix 4

Exhibit E - CRCD Partner / Stakeholder Statement

Text from exhibit:

The CRCD subpoena packet identifies this as the CRCD Partner / Stakeholder Statement and states that it is relevant because CRCD asserts that a 2023 CRCD program sign-up supplied the basis for subsequent communication.

Descriptive nexus:

This is the contradiction exhibit. CRCD appears to rely on a prior 2023 contact as the basis for later communications, while later records show a materially different 2024-2026 Project Tipping Point / housing / rental-assistance / Amity-CFCI chain. DHCS should not treat later DHCS-related records as clean unless the source authorization for that expanded use is identified.

Actual source pages: Appendix 3

Exhibit F - HMIS / LAHSA Client Case Notes History

Text from exhibit:

The CRCD subpoena packet identifies HMIS / LAHSA Client Case Notes History as showing the HMIS ID, the 2023 CRCD / Ruth's Place entry, and later child/family-status and housing eligibility language. The subpoena text identifies HMIS ID 8F8CD9D8B and a CRCD / Ruth's Place Day Shelter enrollment on April 27, 2023 and exit on April 28, 2023.

Descriptive nexus:

This is the HMIS source-record anchor. The issue is whether a one-day Ruth's Place/HMIS contact could lawfully support later CRCD/PTP communications, housing placement, family-status classification, child-related data use, and interagency disclosures. DHCS relevance exists because DHCS may have beneficiary, address-history, provider, privacy, disclosure, audit, or source-system records that later incorporated or responded to this chain.

Actual source pages: Appendix 3 and Appendix 4

Exhibit G - Los Angeles County Housing Assistance Programs Universal Application

Text from exhibit:

The subpoena packet identifies this exhibit as showing housing-assistance workflow, documentation requirements, applicant signatures, household and child documentation, demographic/family-type fields, rental-assistance agreement, and landlord verification.

Descriptive nexus:

This matters because the housing chain required formal documentation and authorization. If CRCD/PTP used housing-assistance workflows involving household/family-status data, rental assistance, landlord verification, payment forms, and public-program reporting, DHCS should clarify whether any DHCS lane received, relied on, routed, or preserved related records.

Actual source pages: Appendix 3

Exhibit H - ACH Authorization Agreement

Text from exhibit:

The subpoena packet identifies the ACH Authorization Agreement as a downstream landlord/payment-processing record included to show why CRCD must identify the upstream consent/authorization basis for payment records.

Descriptive nexus:

This shows the records chain moved beyond informal support into landlord/payment processing. DHCS should determine whether any DHCS fraud, provider, beneficiary, Third Party Liability, disclosure, or audit trail records touched the payment-processing chain, especially where Amity/CFCI/CRCD are part of a public-program pathway.

Actual source pages: Appendix 3

Exhibit I - Landlord Verification Form

Text from exhibit:

The subpoena packet identifies the Landlord Verification Form as a downstream housing-assistance and landlord-verification record tied to the 1551 3/4 E. 106th Street placement chain.

Descriptive nexus:

This connects CRCD/PTP activity to a specific housing placement and landlord verification process. DHCS is not being asked to act as the landlord agency. DHCS is being asked to identify whether its records, source systems, provider records, or routing notes later incorporated or responded to the housing placement, address history, household status, or records-integrity issues from that placement.

Actual source pages: Appendix 3

Exhibit J - W-9 / Taxpayer Identification Record

Text from exhibit:

The subpoena packet identifies the W-9 / Taxpayer Identification Record as a downstream payment/tax-document record tied to the landlord/payment workflow.

Descriptive nexus:

This shows the housing-assistance chain involved tax/payment documentation, not just informal emails. This strengthens the request for DHCS to clarify whether fraud intake, DMFEA routing, provider/payment records, TPLRD referral, or records-integrity lanes exist where public-program payment records intersect with DHCS-related systems or Amity's DHCS-related status.

Actual source pages: Appendix 3

Exhibit K - Residential Lease Agreement

Text from exhibit:

The subpoena packet identifies the Residential Lease Agreement as a downstream lease/rent/security-deposit record tied to housing stability and the missing upstream consent/ROI/authorization source record.

Descriptive nexus:

This is the housing-stability anchor. It shows the downstream records tied to the California unit and rent obligation. DHCS should clarify whether later beneficiary, address-history, provider, housing-service, managed-care, privacy, audit, or routing records treated this housing instability as voluntary private instability without first resolving the CRCD/PTP source-record and public-program chain.

Actual source pages: Appendix 3

Exhibit L - Project Tipping Point Intake / Children and Family Status

Text from exhibit:

The DHCS packet identifies Project Tipping Point / education-intake records from June 2024 as relevant to stable housing, two children/family-status information, education-record collection, and pre-relocation context.

Descriptive nexus:

This matters because CRCD/PTP knew or appears to have known child/family-status information before or during the California housing chain. That makes the later records more sensitive than an ordinary adult-only housing referral. DHCS should preserve

and identify whether any beneficiary profile, provider record, housing-service coding, address history, or same-case linkage used child/family-status data from this disputed chain.

Actual source pages: Appendix 2

Exhibit M - Amadi / CRCD First Contact Role

Text from exhibit:

The DHCS packet identifies Amadi / first-contact-role material as showing Amadi M. publicly identified as a CRCD Project Coordinator / Alumni Coordinator during the relevant period, supporting the pre-enrollment contact-chain issue.

Descriptive nexus:

This supports the need to identify who collected information, what system it was entered into, what consent was relied on, and whether any DHCS-connected records later incorporated or received information from that chain.

Actual source pages: Appendix 2

Exhibit N - Amity / CRCD CFCI Agreement / CFCI-PA3-056-2023

Text from exhibit:

The DHCS packet identifies the Amity / CRCD CFCI Agreement - CFCI-PA3-056-2023 as showing public-funds rental-assistance program terms, Project Tipping Point scope, rental subsidies, ROI/data-sharing, confidentiality, audit, and budget provisions.

Descriptive nexus:

This is the public-program anchor. CRCD/PTP was not merely a private informal support arrangement if rental assistance flowed through CFCI-PA3-056-2023 with Amity and CRCD. DHCS relevance arises because Amity is part of the DHCS/provider-status question and because the records chain involves ROI, data-sharing, confidentiality, audit, and participant records.

Actual source pages: Appendix 2 and Appendix 5

Exhibit O - DHCS Amity Investigations Escalation

Text from exhibit:

The DHCS Amity Investigations packet states the issue is framed for DHCS Investigations and asks DHCS to reconcile Shawna's beneficiary-fraud / Licensing and Certification routing with Melinda Castro's statement that DHCS Investigations is appropriate.

Descriptive nexus:

This shows the DHCS routing conflict is internal and concrete: Fraud Intake, Licensing and Certification, Investigations, incident reporting, DMFEA, Third Party Liability, and JCOD referral have not been reconciled in writing.

Actual source pages: Appendix 5

Exhibit P - ICPC / DSS Routing Packet

Text from exhibit:

The ICPC/DSS packet states its purpose is records routing, custodian clarification, and historical ICPC classification. It identifies 25CMFL00694, SHN-105293108, TBPR File 101977-2026-3-TT-INV, Tennessee identifiers 117604 / 117605, docket references 310909 / 310910 / 310995 / 310996, and LA DCFS reference L56B285.

Descriptive nexus:

This shows why the CRCD/PTP housing and records chain had family-integrity consequences. The housing move, source-record dispute, and child/family-status classification affected reunification, ICPC, and California family-law posture. DHCS should preserve records that classified the household or children based on this unresolved chain.

Actual source pages: Appendix 6

Exhibit Q - Tennessee DCS Records Access Barrier

Text from exhibit:

The ICPC/DSS packet includes a Tennessee DCS records response stating that records needed for legal purposes require attorney subpoena or court process, and Hamilton County Juvenile Court records must be obtained from that court.

Descriptive nexus:

This matters because source records remain inaccessible or unclassified. DHCS should not treat downstream records as final, clean, or undisputed when Tennessee source records, ICPC records, and the alleged-consent foundation remain blocked behind subpoena/court-order access.

Actual source pages: Appendix 6

Exhibit R - January 15, 2025 Tennessee Order / Alleged Consent

Text from exhibit:

The ICPC/DSS packet explains that the January 15, 2025 Tennessee order is disputed because it allegedly relied on consent while producing custody divestment, no further Tennessee hearings, counsel being relieved, and jurisdiction being relinquished to California.

Descriptive nexus:

This explains why child/family-status records should not be treated as settled. If DHCS or DHCS-connected systems later relied on custody/family-status classification flowing from the disputed Tennessee order, DHCS should identify the source system, correction owner, disclosure history, and audit trail.

Actual source pages: Appendix 6

Exhibit S - Category 07 DHCS / Family Law / DRE Nexus Packet

Text from exhibit:

Category 07 identifies its jurisdictional nexus as Tennessee BPR, ED/SPPO/OGIS, DHCS, family court/appellate, ICPC, DRE, and LASD routing. It states the factual nexus connects disputed Tennessee consent, education-record search adequacy, DHCS beneficiary/source-system questions, family-law source-record issues, and DRE rental-placement/unit-status review.

Descriptive nexus:

This shows the cross-system records context. DHCS is not being asked to decide DRE, family law, or TBPR issues. DHCS is being asked to identify its own source-system, beneficiary-record, privacy, provider-status, fraud/DMFEA, and routing responsibilities inside a larger multi-agency records chain.

Actual source pages: Appendix 7

Exhibit T - California Court of Appeal / B354753 Filing Acceptance

Text from exhibit:

The Court of Appeal accepted the writ filing under B354753 on May 21, 2026.

Descriptive nexus:

This establishes urgency. The disputed CRCD/PTP / HMIS / Amity-CFCI / DHCS records chain is no longer just historical. It is actively affecting appellate, family-law, visitation, housing-stability, and unlawful-detainer consequences.

Actual source pages: Appendix 8

Timeline Summary

Date	Event	Exhibit(s)
04/27/2023-04/28/2023	CRCD / Ruth's Place / HMIS entry appears; later CRCD communications appear to rely on 2023 contact as source authority.	Exhibits C, D, E, F
10/10/2023-07/31/2024	Tennessee resident ledger shows identifiable tenancy before California relocation.	Exhibit A
02/20/2024	Tennessee permanency plan listed Return to Parent.	Exhibit P
04/24/2024	Tennessee ICPC Regulation 7 order created interstate placement-record trail.	Exhibit P
06/2024	Project Tipping Point intake showed stable housing and two children/family-status information.	Exhibit L
06/2024-08/2024	CRCD/PTP housing chain and California unit-sourcing/payment workflow developed.	Exhibits G-K
08/2024-06/2025	CFCI / Amity / CRCD rental-assistance pathway implicated through CFCI-PA3-056-2023.	Exhibits N, O
01/15/2025 / 02/12/2025	Tennessee order allegedly relied on consent and relinquished jurisdiction; disputed source-record issue preserved.	Exhibits Q, R
01/2026	DHCS records-integrity / preservation / amendment lane raised under NEW-2026-01-18-8837.	Exhibits B, D
02/26/2026	DHCS funding clarification request asked how CFCI, Amity TPA, rental-assistance records, and DHCS/privacy routing intersect.	Exhibit B
03/2026	DHCS beneficiary profile / Medi-Cal report reflected disputed address/source-system/same-case/provider questions.	Exhibit B
05/2026	DHCS Shawna / Amity-CFCI assistance and investigations routing packets preserved Fraud Intake / Licensing / Investigations conflict.	Exhibits B, O
05/06/2026	Tennessee DCS stated legal records require subpoena/court process; court records must be obtained from Hamilton County court.	Exhibit Q
05/21/2026	California Court of Appeal accepted writ under B354753.	Exhibit T

Requested DHCS Review Based on Exhibits

1. Confirm whether DHCS's referral to JCOD applied only to CFCI / Amity grant administration, or whether DHCS also intended to close all DHCS privacy, beneficiary-record, fraud/DMFEA, provider-status, incident, disclosure, audit, correction, and Third Party Liability lanes.

2. Confirm whether DHCS has any record under NEW-2026-01-18-8837, HIPAA/GovQA L109105-040626, Medi-Cal Fraud Reference 261231056, DMFEA Reference 36332332, or Third Party Liability routing.

3. Explain why Third Party Liability was identified and what specific TPLRD issue is supposed to be reviewed.

4. Identify any DHCS record, referral note, disclosure log, audit trail, provider-status record, beneficiary-profile record, correction record, source-system note, routing note, or communication involving CRCD, Project Tipping Point, Amity Foundation, JCOD, CFCI-PA3-056-2023, LAHSA/HMIS, Ruth's Place, Tennessee address history, California address history, household/family-status information, or children-related information.

5. Confirm whether Amity's DHCS-regulated/licensed footprint creates any DHCS review, routing, or preservation duty where Amity also appears in the CFCI / CRCD / Project Tipping Point rental-assistance pathway.

6. If DHCS has no further role, identify the specific office that owns the unresolved DHCS-related records-integrity / privacy / beneficiary-record / provider-status / Third Party Liability question.

Requested Preservation

Please preserve any DHCS-held or DHCS-routed records involving Kyle Robert Haab, Crystal Lynn Izarraraz, their household, their children, CRCD, Project Tipping Point, Amity Foundation, CFCI-PA3-056-2023, JCOD, LAHSA/HMIS, Ruth's Place, beneficiary-profile entries, address history, same-case linkage, provider activity, DMH/Short-Doyle entries, housing-service coding, privacy/authorization records, disclosure logs, audit trails, correction records, fraud/DMFEA routing, Third Party Liability routing, and any communication involving the Tennessee-to-California relocation and housing chain.

Appendix / Actual Exhibit Pages Included

Appendix	Contents
Appendix 1	Tennessee Resident Ledger image
Appendix 2	DHCS Assistance Packet - Beneficiary / Source-System / Amity-CFCI Nexus
Appendix 3	CRCD Court-Ready Subpoena / Limited Consent-ROI Source Record Request
Appendix 4	LAHSA Nexus CRCD Ruth's Place Source Record Packet
Appendix 5	DHCS Amity Investigations Escalation Packet
Appendix 6	ICPC/DSS Routing Packet - selected source pages
Appendix 7	Category 07 TBPR / ED FOIA / DHCS / Family Law / DRE - selected source pages
Appendix 8	Court of Appeal Filing Accepted - B354753

Universal Nexus

A limited 2023 Ruth's Place / HMIS contact may explain why an old record existed, but it does not automatically establish continuing post-exit authority for a different year, different program, different funding stream, different state, different housing transaction, and family-sensitive activity involving my children.

The Tennessee connection is that California-side program and housing representations appear to have influenced relocation from stable Tennessee housing while an active Tennessee child-welfare / permanency plan involving my children was pending.

The CFCI connection is that the later rental assistance appears tied to CFCI-PA3-056-2023 / Program Area 3 / Youth Housing / Amity / CRCD, not only private foundations.

The Amity connection is that Amity appears to be the CFCI third-party administrator and also appears to have a DHCS-regulated/licensed footprint.

The DHCS connection is that the later records include Medi-Cal/DHCS beneficiary-profile issues, address history, same-case linkage, provider activity, DMH/Short-Doyle activity, housing-related coding, privacy issues, and source-system questions.

The child-welfare harm is that housing and records activity affected my ability to coordinate with my children, preserve visitation, maintain stability, and comply with the Tennessee permanency plan.

Requested Outcome

6. Review the DHCS-connected records lane.
7. Preserve all relevant DHCS/source-system/provider/privacy records.
8. Identify what source systems created or supplied the disputed records.
9. Determine whether Amity's DHCS-regulated/licensed role creates any DHCS review authority.
10. Determine whether DMH/Short-Doyle entries require separate review.
11. Determine whether housing-service, homelessness, supported-housing, or case-management coding relied on disputed records.
12. Identify correction, amendment, dispute-notation, or disclosure-accounting options.
13. Route or identify the proper oversight office for CFCI, Amity TPA, JCOD, LA County, Auditor-Controller, LAHSA/HMIS, child-welfare, ICPC, or court-record issues outside DHCS jurisdiction.

Exhibit D - Amity / CRCD CFCI Agreement - CFCI-PA3-056-2023

Date	2023-2027 term
Source file	Agreement FE- CFCI - PA3 - 056 - 2023 - CRCD (1).pdf
Purpose	Shows structured public-funds rental-assistance program terms, Project Tipping Point scope, rental subsidies, ROI/data-sharing, confidentiality, audit, and budget provisions.

Exhibit D - Page 1 of 3

DocuSign Envelope ID: A4464DD1-68F1-4748-9CDB-28C9CBB6AA7FA

SCOPE OF WORK

traditional college programs to better address foster youth's complex barriers to postsecondary education. The College provides postsecondary courses aligned with career pathways in high growth sectors in the Los Angeles region. Pathways of study in PTP include advanced transportation and manufacturing, child development, construction/maintenance/utilities, cosmetology, culinary arts, design and media arts, and liberal arts. Transition age youth who were in foster care are at a high risk for homelessness. About 66% of the youth between the ages of 18 to 24 who were experiencing homelessness in 2020 had prior foster care system involvement and 54% had been in a residential foster care facility. Project Tipping Point staff report that the biggest challenge for our PTP foster youth that creates barriers to their education is housing stability. CRCD will use these funds to provide rental subsidies to student enrolled in PTP at three colleges, LATTC, Southwest College and Compton Community College.

Community Impact:
The overall goal of Project Tipping Point is to provide supportive services to former foster youth and assist them in successfully launching careers as young adults as part of their journey to healthy and productive lives. These funds will specifically address the need to provide financial literacy, housing support and rental assistance, which is very often a barrier to the achievement of this goal.
The project will provide rental assistance and housing stability services to PTP students. The PTP participants will have increased access to affordable and stable housing in their community. This will allow them to focus on their academic and career goals and successfully earn a certificate or a degree for their respective colleges, and also to secure employment that will pay a living wage and will allow them to continue to be stably housed. The PTP participants will be encouraged to participate and to the degree possible, have choices in their housing options and locations, based on availability. The Rental Assistance Case Manager that will be hired with these funds will assist with the placement and tracking of the youth.

Program Summary:
Requesting funds to support Project Tipping Point (PTP), a foster youth student support and success program to address persistent and widespread challenges in postsecondary education where less than 3% of foster youth graduate from college. A lower staff-to-student ratio better addresses foster youth's complex barriers to postsecondary education. Postsecondary courses are aligned with career pathways in high growth sectors in the Los Angeles region. Pathways of study in PTP include advanced transportation and manufacturing, child development, construction/maintenance/utilities, cosmetology, culinary arts, design and media arts, and liberal arts. CRCD will use these funds to provide rental subsidies to student enrolled in PTP at three colleges, LATTC, Southwest College and Compton Community College. The overall goal being the need to provide financial literacy, housing support and rental assistance, which is very often a barrier to the achievement of this goal.

This scope of work and yearly milestones are subject to modifications including budget restrictions and availability as directed by the funding source throughout the life of this contract.

Exhibit D - Page 2 of 3

DocuSign Envelope ID: A4464DD1-68F1-4746-9CEB-28C9CBB6AA7FA

Exhibit A-1

ADDITIONAL GRANT REQUIREMENTS

Data and Information Submissions

No less than weekly by the close of business Tuesday for the preceding week, the Grantee shall collect, assess, and report participant data as requested or required by the TPA, and as outlined in Exhibit A-2 and as otherwise designated in this agreement. Exceptions or adjustments to the required data submissions must be pre-approved, in writing, by TPA. Any exceptions or modifications to the required data-submissions will be time-limited and shall be subject to change, with no less than 7 calendar days notice, at the direction of the TPA.

Grantee shall obtain appropriate authorizations for Release of Information from program participants/clients to collect and share information with the TPA, the County, and the County's contractors for program administration and evaluation, data analysis and outcome tracking purposes. Grantee agrees to provide requested data submissions, which may be reasonably modified at the request of the TPA throughout the term of this Agreement.

For specific programs/strategies, TPA will require individual level data. Grantee shall also collect and share, as required by TPA, through protected, encrypted mechanisms individual-level participant data to ensure that TPA and County can assess the impact of these programs on a participant's interaction with other systems and improve coordination. This individual level data may include, but is not limited to:

1. Participant name
2. Participant date of birth
3. Participant booking number or last four digits of social security number
4. Program start and end date

Grantee, at all times, shall comply with all legal requirements, including without limitation, the confidentiality, data, HIPAA Rules and 42 CFR Part 2 requirements set forth in the Contract and any other applicable laws and regulations and shall require that Service Providers also comply with these requirements.

Service Provider Grant/Contract Requirement for Background Checks and Security Investigations

Grantee is responsible for conducting background checks and security investigations on their staff and volunteers, conducting their own due diligence, and hiring staff or engaging volunteers with lived experience based on Service Provider's established plans, procedures, and standards for conducting background checks.

For Grantee's who will have regular or direct contact with Youth, the elderly, the infirmed and other vulnerable populations, Grantee must verify if any staff or subcontractors have been included in any state or federal sexual offender registry and shall not use any such staff or subcontractors in programs serving these populations. Contractor may access records from the Megan's Law website (http://www.meganslaw.ca.gov/) to conduct a California state sexual offender registry check. For a national sexual offender registry search, Contractor may access the U.S. Department of Justice's website

Exhibit D - Page 3 of 3

DocuSign Envelope ID: A4464DE1-68F1-4740-8CEB-28C9CBB6AA7FA

Project Title: Coalition for Responsible Community Development CBO — **EXHIBIT C - Budget**
Project Program Category: Career/Education Pathway
Program Area: PA-2
3-Year Total Amount for Entirety of Project: $ 900,000.00

Salaries (including benefits) - 12 months					
Position Title	**Monthly Salary FT**	**# Months**	**%FTE***	**Total Salaries**	**Why is this staff person required for your program / project? What will they do?**
Case Manager				$ 66,000.00	1 FTE will provide case management services to program participants.
Subtotal Salaries				**$ 66,000.00**	
Services & Supplies					
	Monthly Cost	**# Months**		**Total Services & Supplies**	**Why is this item needed for your program / project? What will it do?**
Communications (cell phones, etc.)				$ 600.00	Dedicated telephone for staff member.
Equipment (computer/printer)				$ 1,200.00	Dedicated computer for staff member.
Technical Support (IT)				$ 167.90	IT support as needed during program implementation.
Program/ Participant/ Client Supplies (different from Office Supplies)				$ 7,680.00	This will cover cost of school fees, books, transportation assistance, etc.
Rental Assistance				$ 180,000.00	Estimated cost of assistance related to rent & utilities.
Subtotal Operating Expenses				**$ 195,712.90**	
Project Management Costs Maximum 15% (can be less)				$ 39,356.96	
Total Budget Year 1				$ 300,069.86	

***Percentage of Full Time Employment--for instance, staff whose time is 100% dedicated to the project are 1 FTE; staff whose time is 80% dedicated are .8 FTE, and so on.**

Final budget subject to contract approval. Payments will not be disbursed until final contract execution.

Exhibit C Notes:

Re-budgeting across Budget Line Items or between Budget Periods is allowed, except where such re-budgeting would result in a change to the Purpose of the Grant. Notwithstanding the foregoing, however, Grantee must request prior written approval from TPA's assigned Grant Advocate for any re-budgeting above 10% for any given budget line item or for any re-budgeting between budget periods (i.e., contract budget years). Any approved budget modifications may impact your payment schedule, at the sole discretion of the TPA. TPA must be informed within ten (10) business days of any material change in Grantee's operating budget and expenses, including but not limited to material variations in executive compensation or unforeseen operating expenses.

Exhibit E - CRCD Partner and Stakeholder Statement

Date	[Insert]
Source file	CRCD Partner and Stakeholder Statement(1)(1).pdf
Purpose	Shows CRCD/partner narrative concerning Project Tipping Point, funding/support description, and the asserted 2023 contact basis for later communication.

Exhibit E - Page 1 of 1

Dear CRCD Partners and Supporters,

We were recently notified that a complaint containing several inaccurate claims about CRCD's outreach practices and services received through Project Tipping Point was circulated to various entities from a program participant. As part of our commitment to transparency and strong communication, we are sharing this update to ensure all partners, including those who were not contacted or made aware of the recent complaint, have clear and accurate information. A myriad of complaints were made against CRCD, alleging, among other things: (1) using fraudulent L.A. County housing documents; (2) failing to comply with ADA laws; and (3) disbursing funds through a fraudulent ACH account. This is to assure you we have taken these allegations seriously and they have been thoroughly investigated by our team, who have found them to be totally false. Despite the falsity of these allegations, we believe it is essential to clarify how our programs operate and how we engage with participants.

Project Tipping Point supports current and former foster youth ages 18–25 as they pursue post-secondary education at local community colleges. The program helps students complete general education coursework, select a career pathway, and build an education plan that leads toward an Associate of Arts degree and meaningful employment. Education-centered supportive services may include rent payments for students enrolled in 6–9 college-level, credit-bearing units, in order to promote and maintain housing stability while completing coursework. It is important to reaffirm that Project Tipping Point is a college persistence program and does not place students into long-term or subsidized housing. Housing agreements remain strictly between participants and landlords. The individual's lease agreement was made solely between them and an external landlord; CRCD was not a party to the lease. As part of our standard practice, CRCD offers all program participants the ability to submit ADA accommodation requests. The participant referenced did not submit any such request.

CRCD maintains a strict policy of only initiating communication with individuals who have voluntarily opted in, enrolled, or connected with us through one of our established entry points. In this case, the individual signed up to be contacted through a CRCD program in 2023, which provided the basis for all subsequent communication. All engagement is documentation-based, consent-driven, and aligned with ethical service standards. We do not conduct unsolicited outreach or intervene in external matters outside the scope of our

Exhibit F - Project Tipping Point / Education-Intake Records

Date	June 2024
Source file	User-provided PTP and LACCD screenshots
Purpose	Shows intake/application records relevant to stable housing, two children/family-status information, education-record collection, and pre-relocation context.

Exhibit F - Page 1 of 2



Project Tipping Point Intake Questionnaire

Name	Kyle Haab
Date of Birth	02/26/1999
Age	25
Cell Number:	(657) 557-4459
Home Phone:	(657) 557-4459
Email	Kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
Transportation Assistance Preference (Select one):	Gas Card

Please check the box that best fits your answer and write in where applicable:

Do you have a high school diploma or GED equivalent?	Yes
What year did you attain your Diploma or GED? From what school?	2024 chatanooga workforce and development
Do you have stable housing?	Yes
Have you ever been enrolled in any other college?	No
Do you currently have or are you expecting any children?	Yes
When are you expecting or how many children do you have?	2
Have you ever had an IEP or 504 plan?	Yes
Please select one	IEP
Are you a U.S. Citizen?	Yes
Do you have a preferred gender pronoun (he/she/they/them)?	Yes

Exhibit F - Page 2 of 2

Client History

Coalition for Responsible Community Development

Date Range: 04/01/2023 thru 04/30/2023

Name: [redacted]

SSN: [redacted]

Date of Birth: [redacted]

Quality of DOB: Full DOB Reported

Quality of SSN: Full SSN Reported

Unique Identifier: [redacted]

Program/Service History

Service Name	Start Date	End Date
Coalition for Responsible Community Development:		
[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]
[redacted]	04/28/2023	04/28/2023
[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]
[redacted]	04/27/2023	04/27/2023
Food and Drink Items: Food	04/27/2023	04/27/2023
[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]

Unit History

Project Type	Program Name	Unit Assignment	Bed Assignment	Start Date	End Date
No data to display.					

CLARITY

Exhibit H - Rental-Assistance / Landlord / Lease Records

Date	August 2024 and related
Source file	Gmail - Re_ Apartments.PDF; kathy renatal agreement.PDF
Purpose	Shows unit-sourcing, landlord/rental-assistance, and lease records relevant to $2,000 rent, $500 tenant share, $1,500 program-share representation, and reconciliation with reported CFCI amounts.

Exhibit H - Page 1 of 2



Re: Apartments

Hi Kyle,
I wanted to connect you with a landlord we work with Chris Cervantes, he has an apartment that might work for you and Crystal!

Best,
Alex

Workforce Development

COALITION for RESPONSIBLE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

From: Chris Cervantes
Sent: Monday, July 29, 2024 2:43 PM
To: Alexander Saltzman
Subject: Re: Apartments

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 29, 2024, at 2:13 PM, Alexander Saltzman wrote:

Student can pay $500 maximum. We can do $1500 right now.

Workforce Development

From: Chris Cervantes
Sent: Monday, July 29, 2024 1:17 PM
To: Alexander Saltzman
Subject: Re: Apartments

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 29, 2024, at 12:56 PM, Chris Cervantes wrote:

Iwas asking 2100 with Michelle called 2250

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 29, 2024, at 12:55 PM, Alexander Saltzman wrote:

How much is it?

Exhibit H - Page 2 of 2

CALIFORNIA ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS®

ADDENDUM No. 1
(C.A.R. Form ADM, Revised 12/21)

The following terms and conditions are hereby incorporated in and made a part of the Purchase Agreement, OR X Residential Lease or Month-to-Month Rental Agreement, ☐ Transfer Disclosure Statement (Note: An amendment to the TDS may give the Buyer a right to rescind), ☐ Other _____,
dated December 5, 2023, on property known as 1551 "A" E 106th st Los Angeles, Ca. 90002 ("Property/Premises"),
in which Cathie Joy Arteaga, Urbicio Arteaga is referred to as ("Buyer/Tenant"),
and Christopher Cervantes is referred to as ("Seller/Landlord").
Buyer/Tenant and Seller/Landlord are referred to as the "Parties."

1. Total rent to be $3,000 a month
2. Tenants to pay $200 additional a month for utilities
3. Total portion from tenants to be $700 a month

The foregoing terms and conditions are hereby agreed to, and the undersigned acknowledge receipt of a copy of this Addendum.

Buyer/Tenant: Cathie Joy Arteaga Date _____

Buyer/Tenant: Urbicio Arteaga Date _____

Seller/Landlord: Christopher Cervantes Date _____

Seller/Landlord: _____ Date 12-5-23

© 2021, California Association of REALTORS®, Inc. United States copyright law (Title 17 U.S. Code) forbids the unauthorized distribution, display and reproduction of this form, or any portion thereof, by photocopy machine or any other means, including facsimile or computerized formats. THIS FORM HAS BEEN APPROVED BY THE CALIFORNIA ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (C.A.R.). NO REPRESENTATION IS MADE AS TO THE LEGAL VALIDITY OR ACCURACY OF ANY PROVISION IN ANY SPECIFIC TRANSACTION. A REAL ESTATE BROKER IS THE PERSON QUALIFIED TO ADVISE ON REAL ESTATE TRANSACTIONS. IF YOU DESIRE LEGAL OR TAX ADVICE, CONSULT AN APPROPRIATE PROFESSIONAL. This form is made available to real estate professionals through an agreement with or purchase from the California Association of REALTORS®. It is not intended to identify the user as a REALTOR®. REALTOR® is a registered collective membership mark which may be used only by members of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® who subscribe to its Code of Ethics.

Published and Distributed by:
REAL ESTATE BUSINESS SERVICES, LLC.
a subsidiary of the CALIFORNIA ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS®
525 South Virgil Avenue, Los Angeles, California 90020

Appendix 3
CRCD Consent ROI Source Record Packet

Court-ready subpoena attachment with clear relevant images

ATTACHMENT 3 TO BUSINESS RECORDS SUBPOENA

Limited Request for 2023 Consent / ROI / Authorization Source Records

Coalition for Responsible Community Development / Project Tipping Point / Ruth's Place / HMIS-Clarity

Court Case:	25CMFL00694
Filing Party:	Kyle Robert Haab, self-represented Petitioner
Entity / Custodian:	Coalition for Responsible Community Development / Project Tipping Point / CRCD records custodian
Document Type:	Attachment to Business Records Subpoena / Limited Consent-ROI Source Record Request
Scope:	Missing 2023 consent / ROI / release / privacy notice / HMIS authorization / participant agreement / custodian declaration

Court-Ready Exhibit Control Note

This court-ready version keeps the subpoena narrow and uses only clear, relevant exhibits. Low-value mobile-preview screenshots and eFile workflow screenshots are omitted. No redactions were applied because redaction was not requested.

1. Limited Purpose

This subpoena attachment is limited. Petitioner is not seeking duplicate production of downstream records already possessed. Petitioner seeks the missing source record showing the consent, release, ROI, HMIS authorization, privacy notice, participant agreement, or other written authorization CRCD contends allowed later 2024-2026 use of Petitioner's personal information, child-related information, family-status information, housing records, landlord-verification records, lease records, ACH records, W-9 records, rental-assistance records, Project Tipping Point records, HMIS / Clarity records, and interagency disclosures.

The central question is:

What written source record authorized CRCD or its agents to rely on a 2023 CRCD / Ruth's Place / HMIS / Clarity contact for later 2024-2026 Project Tipping Point, housing-assistance, landlord-verification, lease, ACH, W-9, family-status, child-related, interagency, and court-impacting records?

2. Family-Law Relevance

The requested records are relevant to this family-law case because the Court is being asked to address visitation, family stability, housing-stability consequences, ICPC / UCCJEA source-record coordination, and whether current instability should be treated as voluntary parental instability when the underlying consent authority and source records remain disputed.

Without the requested 2023 consent, release, ROI, HMIS authorization, privacy notice, or participant agreement, this matter should not be treated as a routine private family-law dispute in which housing instability, service-routing failures, lack of stable family placement, or disrupted visitation are presumed to be voluntary parental conduct.

The source-record issue goes to whether a third-party provider collected, used, classified, transmitted, or relied on child-related and family-status information without clear written authority during a reunification, ICPC, housing-stability, and interagency-records context.

Until CRCD produces the consent and authorization basis it relied upon, the Court cannot fairly weigh downstream housing instability, household classification, records use, or service-routing consequences against Petitioner as if those facts were clean, independent, or undisputed.

3. Criminal, Civil, Administrative, and Oversight Relevance

The same consent record is relevant to related criminal, civil, administrative, and oversight matters because the threshold question is the same across those lanes: what written authority, if any, allowed CRCD or its agents to collect, use, transmit, classify, or rely on Petitioner's personal information, child-related information, family-status information, housing records, lease / W-9 / ACH records, rental-assistance records, HMIS / Clarity records, and interagency disclosures.

This subpoena does not ask the family court to decide criminal liability, civil liability, agency jurisdiction, fraud, identity theft, privacy violations, or program-compliance merits. It asks CRCD to produce the source authorization record so the same factual chain is not treated as consent-based in one forum, unauthorized in another forum, and unresolved in the family-law case.

Other case numbers, inquiry numbers, complaint references, and agency identifiers are included only as locator terms, preservation terms, and relevance anchors. They are not separate claims for relief in this subpoena.

4. Known Downstream Records Showing Why the Missing Consent Record Matters

A. HMIS / LAHSA Client Case Notes History

The HMIS / LAHSA Client Case Notes History identifies HMIS ID 8F8CD9D8B and shows a CRCD / Ruth's Place Day Shelter enrollment on April 27, 2023 and exit on April 28, 2023. That record is relevant because CRCD appears to rely on a 2023 CRCD /

Ruth's Place / HMIS contact as the basis for later communication. This subpoena therefore seeks the actual 2023 consent, ROI, privacy notice, sharing authorization, HMIS / Clarity authorization, disclosure log, and access log tied to that one-day 2023 CRCD / Ruth's Place record.

The same HMIS / LAHSA Client Case Notes History also includes later family/housing notes stating that Petitioner disclosed having children who were not in his custody and that the absence of children in his custody affected family-based housing eligibility. This is relevant because later family-status, household-composition, and child-related records affected housing and family-stability consequences.

B. Los Angeles County Housing Assistance Programs Universal Application

The Los Angeles County Housing Assistance Programs Universal Application is relevant because it identifies required documentation and signature requirements for housing assistance, including applicant information, household and child documentation, request forms, demographic/family-type fields, rental-assistance agreement language, and landlord verification requirements.

Those materials show that housing-assistance records are not merely informal communications. They require specific forms, documentation, signatures, program classification, applicant / case-manager / program-manager involvement, and landlord verification. This subpoena therefore seeks any complete application package, signed authorization, consent, ROI, privacy notice, participant agreement, or custodian declaration showing whether CRCD had authority to use Petitioner's information and child/family-status information in connection with any housing-assistance workflow.

C. 1551 E. 106th Street / Lease, Landlord Verification, ACH, and W-9 Packet

The 1551 E. 106th Street packet includes a residential lease agreement, County-style landlord verification form, ACH authorization material, and W-9 documents connected to the landlord / payment / rental-assistance workflow.

These downstream records are relevant because Petitioner already possesses many records showing later housing, landlord, payment, and rental-assistance activity. The missing record is the upstream consent, ROI, release, privacy notice, HMIS authorization, participant agreement, or other written authorization that CRCD contends allowed later creation, use, transmission, classification, or reliance on those downstream records.

If CRCD contends that the 2023 Ruth's Place / HMIS / CRCD contact authorized the later 2024-2026 housing, landlord, payment, Project Tipping Point, family-status, and child-related chain, CRCD must produce the exact source record showing that scope, duration, covered program, covered data categories, covered agencies, revocation terms, and child-related authority.

The attached ACH, landlord-verification, W-9, and lease images are incorporated as downstream examples. They are not offered to broaden this subpoena beyond consent. They show why CRCD must identify and produce the upstream source record authorizing use of personal information, landlord/payment documents, housing-assistance records, and related family-status information.

D. CRCD Project Tipping Point Enrollment / Supportive Services Response

CRCD's own written response states that Petitioner was enrolled in Project Tipping Point beginning June 13, 2024; identifies Project Tipping Point as supporting current and former foster youth at the post-secondary level; identifies rental assistance, security deposit, furniture assistance, student fees, supplies, and gift cards; and states that Project Tipping Point is supported through private foundations.

That response is relevant because it confirms a later Project Tipping Point service and payment chain after the alleged 2023 CRCD / Ruth's Place / HMIS contact. This subpoena seeks the consent / ROI / authorization record CRCD relied on to connect the 2023 contact to the later 2024-2026 Project Tipping Point enrollment, rental assistance, supportive services, records use, and disclosure chain.

E. CRCD Landlord Connection / Apartment Thread

The CRCD apartment thread shows a CRCD Rental Assistance Supervisor contacting a landlord about an apartment for Petitioner and Crystal, referring to a couple with a young child needing an apartment as soon as possible, discussing what the program/student could pay, and connecting Petitioner to the landlord. This is relevant because it is direct downstream evidence of CRCD involvement in

housing, landlord outreach, rental assistance, and child/family-status information.

This subpoena does not seek to re-produce that entire thread. It seeks the upstream written consent, ROI, release, privacy notice, HMIS authorization, participant agreement, or other source record authorizing CRCD to use child-related, household, family-status, landlord, rental-assistance, and housing-placement information in that chain.

F. LAHD / LADBS Housing Stability Records

LAHD and LADBS records concerning the 1551 E. 106th Street unit are relevant because they show later housing-stability consequences connected to the unit used in the downstream housing-assistance chain. These records help explain why the missing consent / authorization basis is material to family stability, housing stability, and visitation consequences. This subpoena does not ask CRCD to decide housing-code liability; it asks CRCD to produce the source authorization record underlying the records-use chain leading to that placement and related support.

5. Documents Requested

Please produce all documents, electronically stored information, records, logs, notes, forms, screenshots, metadata, audit trails, attachments, emails, texts, internal messages, case notes, system entries, and communications sufficient to show the following:

A. 2023 Consent / Opt-In / ROI Basis

1. Any 2023 CRCD, Ruth's Place, HMIS, Clarity, referral, intake, opt-in, outreach, privacy notice, release of information, participant agreement, service authorization, or consent record that CRCD contends authorized later communication with Kyle Robert Haab.

2. All records showing the scope, duration, program covered, agencies covered, data categories covered, revocation process, expiration date, and limitations of any 2023 consent, release, authorization, HMIS ROI, privacy notice, or participant agreement.

3. All records explaining how a 2023 CRCD / Ruth's Place / HMIS / Clarity contact was used as authority for later 2024-2026 Project Tipping Point outreach, eligibility review, document collection, landlord contact, housing support, education / workforce activity, or third-party communication.

B. Child-Related Consent and Family-Status Authority

4. Any record showing CRCD had authority to collect, receive, store, use, classify, disclose, transmit, or rely on information concerning Kyle Robert Haab's children.

5. All records showing when CRCD first learned that Kyle Robert Haab had children, who provided that information, how it was recorded, and what CRCD used it for.

6. All records showing whether Kyle Robert Haab was classified as an individual participant, couple, household with children, family household, reunification parent, former foster youth parent, or any other family or housing category.

7. All records showing whether CRCD knew, verified, discussed, or recorded that Kyle Robert Haab's children were in Tennessee DCS custody, placed with a grandparent, subject to ICPC, part of a reunification / permanency plan, or involved in any Tennessee or California family-law, dependency, or placement process.

8. All records showing whether child-related information or household composition was used in landlord outreach, housing placement, unit suitability, rent assistance, supportive services, or family-status classification.

C. HMIS / Clarity / Ruth's Place Consent Trail

9. All HMIS / Clarity records tied to HMIS ID 8F8CD9D8B sufficient to show the April 2023 Ruth's Place enrollment, exit, privacy notice, ROI, sharing authorization, referral, service note, case note, restriction, correction, disclosure history, and access / audit logs.

10. All records showing whether any 2023 Ruth's Place / HMIS / Clarity record was relied upon for later 2024-2026 Project Tipping Point communication, outreach, intake, enrollment, housing, rental assistance, or records use.

11. All records showing whether any 2023 HMIS / Clarity / Ruth's Place consent or ROI authorized disclosure to Project Tipping Point, CRCD workforce staff, Amity Foundation, JCOD, City LWDA / EWDD, LAHSA, DHCS, DPSS, DMH, DCFS, Tennessee DCS, landlord, law enforcement, or any third party.

D. Project Tipping Point / Participant File Limited to Consent and Authorization

12. The portions of the Project Tipping Point file for Kyle Robert Haab, participant ID 1233369910, that identify consent, ROI, privacy notice, participant agreement, authorization, disclosure authority, complaint/grievance rights, participant rights, child/family status, household classification, or staff reliance on a prior 2023 contact.

13. All Formstack submissions, intake forms, timestamps, IP address records, metadata, staff edits, system logs, upload logs, attachment logs, or audit records sufficient to show who entered, reviewed, modified, approved, exported, or transmitted information about Kyle Robert Haab, Crystal Lynn Izarraraz, their household, or their children.

14. All records showing the basis for CRCD's statement that Petitioner was enrolled in Project Tipping Point beginning June 13, 2024, including any intake, referral, eligibility, participant agreement, privacy notice, ROI, consent, release, enrollment form, or authorization tied to that enrollment.

15. All records showing the consent or authorization basis for CRCD's later supportive-service and rental-assistance records, including security deposit, rent

EXHIBIT F

LADA Public Integrity Expanded Screening Packet - Alleged 2023 Consent / CFCI-PA3-056-2023

Public Integrity screening packet asking whether public-agency handling, routing, closure, preservation, custodian refusal, or failure to cross-reference records created an accountability issue connected to alleged 2023 consent and CFCI-PA3-056-2023.

Pages included: Full packet, pages 1-12

Source file: LADA_Public_Integrity_Expanded_Alleged_Consent_Source_Record_Packet_Haab.pdf

Separator page added for navigation; original source pages follow.

EXPANDED REQUEST FOR PUBLIC INTEGRITY SCREENING

Alleged 2023 Consent / Source-Record Issue, CFCI-PA3-056-2023, and Law-Enforcement Routing

Prepared for: Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office
Public Integrity Division
211 West Temple Street, Suite 1000
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Prepared by: Kyle Robert Haab
1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4
Los Angeles, CA 90002
Phone: 657-557-4459
Email: kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

Date: May 24, 2026

Purpose of this packet

This packet asks LADA Public Integrity to screen public-agency handling, routing, closure, preservation, and accountability issues. It does not ask LADA to decide a family-law case, unlawful-detainer case, private landlord dispute, benefits matter, or local code issue.

Core Control Issue

Whether a limited 2023 CRCD/Ruth's Place/HMIS contact was treated as blanket authorization for later 2024-2026 Project Tipping Point, Amity/CFCI, landlord/payment, lease, W-9, ACH, housing-assistance, education/workforce, HMIS, and child/family-status records without an actual source record expressly authorizing that later activity.

Requested Screening Action

Screen whether any public official or public employee handling, routing, closure, refusal to identify a custodian, or failure to preserve/review records created a public-integrity concern. If Public Integrity is not the correct unit, please identify the correct LADA, County, DOJ, Auditor-Controller/OCI, County Counsel, or other oversight path.

Cover Letter

Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office
Public Integrity Division
211 West Temple Street, Suite 1000
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Re: Expanded Request for Public Integrity Screening - County/LAHSA/OCI/LAPD Handling of CFCI-PA3-056-2023 and Alleged 2023 Consent Source-Record Issue

To the Public Integrity Division:

I am requesting written screening by the Public Integrity Division regarding possible public-agency handling, routing, preservation, and accountability issues involving County-linked records and law-enforcement referrals.

This submission is deliberately limited. I am not asking LADA to decide a family-law case, unlawful-detainer case, private landlord dispute, benefits matter, or local code issue. I am requesting review of whether any public official or public employee handling, routing, closure, refusal to identify a custodian, or failure to preserve records created a public-integrity concern.

The central issue is alleged consent from 2023. CRCD / Project Tipping Point appears to rely on a 2023 CRCD/Ruth's Place/HMIS contact as authority for later 2024-2026 use of housing, rental-assistance, landlord/payment, lease, W-9, ACH, education/workforce, HMIS, and child/family-status records. A limited 2023 shelter/HMIS contact should not be treated as blanket authorization unless the actual source record expressly authorizes the later activity.

The County-linked issue is CFCI-PA3-056-2023, Program Area 3 / Youth Housing, involving JCOD, Amity Foundation, CRCD / Project Tipping Point, County Fraud/OCI, LAHSA/HMIS routing, and related housing-assistance records. The harm is ongoing: housing instability, eviction exposure, court-access barriers, family separation, and reunification impact.

The law-enforcement concern is not that I am asking Public Integrity to decide the entire CRCD / Project Tipping Point matter. The concern is whether public-agency handling, referral, closure, classification, and failure to identify a responsible records custodian created a public-integrity issue after multiple law-enforcement and oversight identifiers were generated.

LAHSA later stated that its review was limited to determining that Project Tipping Point was not LAHSA-funded, that the matter was referred back to OCI on December 11, 2025, and that law-enforcement reporting had been recommended based on alleged identity theft and falsification of records. That limited funding-jurisdiction review did not resolve the underlying consent/source-record, privacy, identity-record, landlord/payment, W-9/ACH, child/family-status, or LAPD report-handling issues.

I am requesting Public Integrity screening of whether the public-agency handling created a misconduct, routing, preservation, obstruction, concealment, or accountability concern, and whether this matter should be referred to another LADA unit, DOJ, County Counsel, Auditor-Controller/OCI, or another oversight body.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert Haab
1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4
Los Angeles, CA 90002
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

Executive Summary

What this packet is asking Public Integrity to screen

This packet asks whether public-agency handling created a public-integrity concern after agencies generated, received, routed, closed, or cross-referenced records connected to an alleged 2023 consent/source-record issue. The request is not for prosecution based on conclusions. It is for screening of the public handling and accountability pathway.

Why this is public-integrity relevant

1. County-linked CFCI-PA3-056-2023 records appear connected to later CRCD / Project Tipping Point rental assistance and housing records.
2. LAHSA stated its review was limited to whether Project Tipping Point was LAHSA-funded and referred the matter back to OCI, leaving the consent/source-record issue unresolved.
3. Law-enforcement reporting was recommended based on alleged identity theft and falsification of records, but the record chain remains fragmented across LAPD, OCI, LAHSA/HMIS, LADA, DRE, HUD, DOL, DOJ, and County channels.
4. The alleged 2023 consent issue affects whether later 2024-2026 records should have been collected, used, disclosed, relied on, or treated as clean public-program records.
5. The ongoing practical harm includes housing instability, eviction exposure, inability to stabilize for court access, family separation, and reunification impact.

What the packet does not ask LADA to do

- Does not ask Public Integrity to decide custody, visitation, or family-law merits.
- Does not ask Public Integrity to decide the unlawful-detainer case or local code enforcement merits.
- Does not ask Public Integrity to resolve all CRCD, LAHSA, HMIS, DRE, DOL, HUD, or DOJ matters.
- Does not ask Public Integrity to treat a funding-jurisdiction closure as a criminal merits finding.
- Does ask Public Integrity to screen whether public-agency handling, closure, routing, and custodian refusal/absence created an accountability concern.

Expanded Exhibit Index

Exhibit	Title	Purpose
A	Universal Impact Nexus - Alleged 2023 Consent	Shows how the alleged 2023 consent/source-record issue connects CRCD/PTP, CFCI-PA3-056-2023, housing stability, eviction exposure, court access, and family separation.
B	Law-Enforcement and Oversight Identifier Matrix	Lists report numbers, complaint files, and oversight references that should be cross-referenced in any public-integrity screening.
C	LAHSA Limited Review / OCI Referral Summary	Summarizes the LAHSA/Williams thread: LAHSA custody/access to Redd records, referral back to OCI, limited funding-jurisdiction review, and law-enforcement recommendation.
D	Alleged Consent / Source-Record Custodian Questions	Identifies the consent, ROI, HMIS authorization, privacy notice, participant agreement, and payment-authority records at issue.
E	County CFCI / JCOD / Amity / CRCD Nexus	Explains why County-linked CFCI-PA3-056-2023 routing matters to public-agency accountability.
F	Public Integrity Screening Questions	Frames the limited public-integrity questions without asking LADA to decide underlying civil, housing, family-law, or code matters.
G	Ongoing Harm and Foreseeability Statement	Explains immediate and ongoing harm: housing instability, eviction exposure, court-access barriers, family separation, and reunification impact.
H	Documents Available on Request	Lists narrow, high-priority source documents that can be provided without overwhelming screening review.

EXHIBIT A

Universal Impact Nexus - Alleged 2023 Consent

Control Point

The alleged 2023 CRCD/Ruth's Place/HMIS contact is the source-record issue. The question is whether that limited 2023 contact factually and legally authorized later 2024-2026 Project Tipping Point, Amity/CFCI, landlord/payment, education/workforce, housing-assistance, HMIS, and child/family-status activity.

Universal Nexus

6. Consent/source-record: What consent, release, HMIS authorization, privacy notice, participant agreement, or data-sharing authority existed?
7. Program/funding: What authority connected later CRCD/PTP rental-assistance activity to CFCI-PA3-056-2023 and any County/JCOD/Amity process?
8. Housing placement: What records supported the lease, landlord verification, W-9, ACH, payment, rental-assistance, and unit-status decisions?
9. Records routing: Which public office accepted, rejected, redirected, closed, or retained responsibility for the record chain?
10. Law-enforcement handling: Were LAPD, OCI, LAHSA, LADA, DRE, HUD, DOL, DOJ, and County identifiers cross-referenced before closure or redirection?
11. Harm: Did fragmented public-agency handling allow foreseeable housing instability, eviction exposure, court-access barriers, and family separation to continue?

Impact Statement

The harm is not limited to a historical records question. The unresolved source-record issue continues to affect housing stability, unlawful-detainer exposure, ability to participate in court, visitation, reunification, and family integrity. The harm was foreseeable because the records chain involved housing assistance, landlord/payment records, public-program records, and child/family-status information.

EXHIBIT B

Law-Enforcement and Oversight Identifier Matrix

Source	Identifier	Why it matters
LAPD report	C259045687	Original/related identity-theft and records-reporting lane.
LAPD report	C269013241	Related/corrected/expanded report lane.
LAPD PSB/IAD	Complaint Form No. 26-001767	Personnel-handling, classification, closure, explanation, and routing review.
LAPD Commercial Crimes	Commercial Crimes handling/closure issue	Merits lane for identity-theft reports and closure explanation.
LADA Real Estate Fraud	File No. 2026-C-0704	Limited real-estate-fraud review; did not resolve consent/source-record or identity/falsified-record issue.
DOJ Public Inquiry Unit	DOJ PIU #1508015	Attorney General routing / housing justice / privacy / records-integrity supplement.
LA County Fraud / OCI	2025-23289	Original County Fraud/OCI reference tied to LAHSA closure memorandum / routing.
LA County Fraud / OCI	2026-23965	Later County Fraud/OCI reference tied to continuing routing and records-preservation issues.
California DRE	PC 1-26-0316-003	Landlord/property-documentation regulatory lane.
HUD FOIA / CPD	26-FI-RO9-01580	HUD/HMIS/CPD routing and records-preservation lane.
DOL OIG / FOIA	2026067	Federal workforce/AJCC/DOL preservation and cross-reference lane.
FERPA/SPPO	26-0253	Education-record / student privacy complaint lane.
ED OIG	C26IOU05768	Education-related oversight lane.
CFCI / JCOD / Amity	CFCI-PA3-056-2023	County-linked youth housing / rental-assistance record chain.

Public Integrity Relevance

The identifiers show that this was not a single private complaint. The same records chain has been routed through law-enforcement, County fraud, public-program, regulatory, housing, education, and federal oversight lanes. Public Integrity screening is requested because the unresolved question is whether public-agency handling, routing, closure, and custodian refusal/absence prevented meaningful accountability.

EXHIBIT C

LAHSA Limited Review / OCI Referral Summary

Key LAHSA/Williams Points Preserved in Email Thread

12. LAHSA stated that it has custody of Christopher Redd's case files, notes, emails, findings, referrals, closure notes, and related records, with access held by the Deputy Chief Risk Officer.
13. LAHSA stated the matter was referred back to the Office of County Investigations (OCI) on December 11, 2025.
14. LAHSA stated that a summary of Mr. Redd's findings was provided to OCI as a closure memorandum for LAHSA's involvement in case 2025_23289.
15. LAHSA stated Mr. Redd's findings were limited to concluding that Project Tipping Point was not funded by LAHSA, which LAHSA treated as a requirement for LAHSA investigations.
16. LAHSA stated law-enforcement reporting was recommended based on apparent allegations of identity theft and falsification of records by a CRCD staff member.
17. LAHSA stated its role was closed as of December 11, 2025, but the consent/source-record issue was not resolved by that funding-jurisdiction closure.

Why this matters

A funding-jurisdiction closure is not a consent determination. LAHSA's conclusion that Project Tipping Point was not LAHSA-funded did not answer what written consent, release, HMIS authorization, privacy notice, participant agreement, data-sharing authority, landlord-payment authorization, or child/family-status authorization allowed later records activity. The Public Integrity issue is whether downstream public handling treated a limited jurisdictional closure as if it resolved the broader record-integrity and law-enforcement-handling questions.

Requested Cross-Reference

- LAHSA/Redd file and closure memorandum to OCI for 2025_23289.
- County Fraud/OCI 2025-23289 and 2026-23965.
- LAPD C259045687 and C269013241, plus PSB/IAD Complaint Form No. 26-001767.
- DOJ PIU #1508015, LADA File 2026-C-0704, DRE PC 1-26-0316-003, HUD FOIA 26-FI-RO9-01580, and DOL FOIA 2026067.

EXHIBIT D

Alleged Consent / Source-Record Custodian Questions

Records that should exist or be accounted for

- Original 2023 CRCD/Ruth's Place/HMIS consent, intake, release, ROI, privacy notice, participant agreement, service authorization, or HMIS authorization.
- Any later 2024-2026 Project Tipping Point consent, application terms, privacy notice, release, participant agreement, referral authorization, or data-sharing authorization.
- Any authorization covering CRCD, Project Tipping Point, Amity Foundation, JCOD/CFCI, LAHSA/HMIS, Vernon-Central/LATTC WorkSource, AJCC-related activity, LACCD/LATTC education verification, landlord contact, or payment processing.
- Any landlord verification, W-9, ACH, lease, payment, rental-assistance, or source-of-funds documents showing what authority was relied on.
- Any records involving child/family-status information, minor-child information, household composition, reunification context, or family-status representations.
- Any correction, dispute, audit, referral, complaint, or preservation records created after the consent/source-record issue was raised.

Specific Custodian Questions

18. Which County, LAHSA, JCOD, Amity, CRCD, or other custodian holds the actual alleged 2023 consent/source record?
19. Was the alleged 2023 consent limited to Ruth's Place / HMIS / shelter-related contact, or did it expressly cover later Project Tipping Point rental assistance?
20. Did the alleged 2023 consent exist before or after later 2024 Project Tipping Point / education / landlord-payment activity?
21. What agencies, landlords, providers, schools, workforce partners, or payment processors were expressly covered?
22. Was the source record ever reviewed by LAHSA, OCI, LAPD, LADA, DRE, JCOD, Amity, CRCD, or another public office before closure or redirection?
23. If no custodian can produce the source record, what public-agency decision relied on it and how was that reliance validated?

Control phrase

A limited 2023 shelter/HMIS contact should not be treated as blanket authorization for later Project Tipping Point, Amity/CFCI, JCOD, landlord, education/workforce, housing-assistance, or child/family-status records unless the actual source record expressly says so.

EXHIBIT E

County CFCI / JCOD / Amity / CRCD Nexus

County-linked records at issue

The County-linked issue is CFCI-PA3-056-2023, Program Area 3 / Youth Housing. The working record chain identifies JCOD, Amity Foundation, and CRCD / Project Tipping Point as connected to rental-assistance and housing-support records. Public Integrity screening is requested because the dispute is not only whether a private nonprofit made a statement; it is whether County-linked records and public-agency routing were handled in a way that preserved accountability.

Why CFCI jurisdiction matters

- CFCI-PA3-056-2023 is the County-linked anchor for rental-assistance and youth housing records.
- If County-linked records were used, the custodian and oversight path should be identifiable.
- If Amity or CRCD handled rental assistance under a County-linked pathway, the authorization, payment, landlord, and participant records should be audit-ready.
- If public offices received complaints about these records, routing and closure should not erase the source-record issue.
- Fragmented handling creates a public-integrity problem when each agency disclaims ownership but public-program records continue to create real-world harm.

Public handling concern

The County cannot treat the matter only as a private landlord dispute, only as a family-law issue, or only as a non-LAHSA funding question if the record chain includes County-linked CFCI rental assistance, JCOD/Amity/CRCD records, County Fraud/OCI references, LAHSA/HMIS routing, and law-enforcement referrals. Public Integrity should screen whether the handling preserved a traceable custodian and cross-reference path.

EXHIBIT F

Public Integrity Screening Questions

24. Was any public employee or public office required to preserve or cross-reference the alleged 2023 consent/source-record issue after receiving related submissions?
25. Did any public office close, redirect, or mark the matter resolved based only on funding jurisdiction while leaving the consent/source-record issue unresolved?
26. Did any public office fail to identify the responsible custodian for the alleged 2023 consent/source record, CFCI-PA3-056-2023 records, or related landlord/payment records?
27. Did any public office treat LAHSA's limited "not LAHSA-funded" finding as if it resolved identity-theft, falsified-record, consent, privacy, landlord/payment, W-9/ACH, or child/family-status issues?
28. Did any public office fail to cross-reference LAPD C259045687, LAPD C269013241, PSB/IAD 26-001767, County Fraud/OCI 2025-23289, County Fraud/OCI 2026-23965, LADA 2026-C-0704, DRE PC 1-26-0316-003, HUD 26-FI-RO9-01580, and DOL 2026067 where relevant?
29. Did fragmented public-agency handling prevent a clear answer to who owns, can produce, or can validate the records relied upon?
30. Does the matter belong with Public Integrity, another LADA unit, DOJ, County Counsel, Auditor-Controller/OCI, or another public-agency accountability path?

Requested disposition

Please provide written screening confirmation, identify whether Public Integrity will retain, refer, or decline the matter, and identify the correct office for records custody, public-agency handling review, or law-enforcement cross-reference review if Public Integrity is not the proper unit.

EXHIBIT G

Ongoing Harm and Foreseeability Statement

Foreseeable harm

The harm was foreseeable because the disputed records concerned housing placement, rental assistance, landlord payments, education/workforce participation, household/family status, and records that later affected court access and family stability. If the source authorization was missing, limited, expired, or did not cover later activity, the downstream harm should have been prevented, corrected, or at least routed to an accountable custodian.

Immediate and ongoing harm

- Housing instability and unlawful-detainer exposure connected to the placement and rental-assistance record chain.
- Court-access barriers caused by inability to stabilize housing and transmit court packets while navigating multiple public-agency lanes.
- Family separation and reunification impact because housing stability and records reliability affect visitation and family-law proceedings.
- Records-integrity harm because unresolved consent/source-record questions continue to be treated as fragmented issues rather than one chain.
- Accountability harm because each public-agency closure or redirection can be used to avoid answering who owns and can validate the source authorization.

Why delay matters

Each additional delay increases the risk that the disputed records will continue to be treated as reliable while the actual source authorization remains unproduced. That is the practical public-integrity issue: a record chain with no accountable custodian can keep producing consequences without anyone deciding whether the source record actually authorized the later use.

EXHIBIT H

Documents Available on Request

To avoid overwhelming screening review, this packet summarizes the narrow record chain. The following source records can be provided if Public Integrity requests them:

31. LAHSA / Christopher Williams thread showing custody/access to Redd records, limited funding-jurisdiction review, referral back to OCI, and law-enforcement reporting recommendation.
32. LAPD reports C259045687 and C269013241 correspondence and PSB/IAD Complaint Form No. 26-001767 materials.
33. LADA Real Estate Fraud File No. 2026-C-0704 correspondence.
34. County Fraud/OCI 2025-23289 and 2026-23965 routing materials.
35. CFCI-PA3-056-2023 / JCOD / Amity / CRCD records or requests.
36. DOJ PIU #1508015 supplement and California DOJ Housing Justice routing materials.
37. DRE PC 1-26-0316-003 materials.
38. HUD FOIA 26-FI-RO9-01580 and LAHSA/HMIS identifiers.
39. DOL OIG / FOIA 2026067 materials.
40. LAHD SO311576, LADBS A-6604739 / Case 1053480, and unlawful-detainer case 26CMUD00417 references where necessary to show ongoing housing harm.

Verification

I declare that the factual statements in this screening packet are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief. I understand that this packet is a request for Public Integrity screening and routing, not a request for legal advice or a request that LADA decide any pending court case.

Date: _____ Signature: _____
Kyle Robert Haab

LOS ANGELES COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

PUBLIC COMMENT SUPPORTING RECORD

ATTACHMENT 4

AUDITOR-CONTROLLER / OCI / LAPD-IAD OVERSIGHT AND DISCREPANCY RECORD

Expanded County investigative source-record packet, Formstack and custodian issues, payment tracing, agency disposition reconciliation, and LAPD/IAD routing.

Purpose

Provide the Board with the principal County oversight record showing preservation requests, evidence-to-investigation matrices, distinct OCI matter statuses, contractor and staff-custodian questions, and the separate LAPD/IAD handling lane.

Evidence and proof boundary

This packet documents notice, chronology, source-record locations, preservation requests, agency routing, and unresolved record questions. It does not convert allegations, referrals, complaint numbers, closures, or analytical summaries into findings of misconduct, liability, criminal intent, or agency agreement. Native records, certified records, audit logs, payment traces, approved plans, and authenticated communications control.

Source documents incorporated

No.	Source document	Pages	SHA-256 prefix
1	Attachment_4_Auditor_Controller_OCI_and_LAPD_Oversight.pdf	571	2c0f65146386

Requested Board handling

• Cross-reference OCI 2025-23289 and 2026-23965 without treating a limited closure as resolution of issues outside the reviewed scope.

• Require a written matrix of records searched, custodians, findings category, referrals, preservation status, and present owner.

• Preserve and authenticate participant files, staff accounts, Formstack records, payment approvals, family-status data, and routing/disposition records.

Public filing warning

Review every page before uploading. The record may contain personal addresses, signatures, housing and family information, health or HMIS information, taxpayer or banking data, child-related information, confidential communications, or records subject to sealing or restricted disclosure. Redact protected information before public submission.

Prepared July 18, 2026

LOS ANGELES COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

PUBLIC COMMENT SUPPORTING EXHIBITS

ATTACHMENT 4 - AUDITOR-CONTROLLER / OCI / LAPD OVERSIGHT

Auditor-Controller, Office of County Investigations, supplemental routing records, and LAPD/IAD discrepancy materials.

Contents

1. Auditor_Controller_OCI_Expanded_Source_Record_Packet_June20_2026-2.pdf (111 pages)

2. Auditor_Controller_Supplemental_Source_Record_Packet_CRCD_Formstack_Alliance_CA_DOJ.pdf (48 pages)

3. LAPD_IAD_County_Oversight_Discrepancy_Updated_v4_Full_Merged_26-001767.pdf (411 pages)

Public-record caution: This attachment contains source documents selected from the existing record. Before uploading, the submitter should independently confirm that no unredacted Social Security numbers, banking information, medical details, minor-identifying information, private addresses, or other protected personal information remain. Submission to the Board may make the material publicly accessible.

This packet is submitted to document notice, chronology, preservation requests, agency routing, and supporting records. Inclusion does not establish that any recipient accepted the submitter's factual or legal conclusions.

Prepared July 18, 2026

AUDITOR-CONTROLLER / OCI

SUPPLEMENTAL SOURCE-RECORD INTEGRATION PACKET

CRCD / Project Tipping Point - Formstack, Staff-Custodian, Alliance/Reunification, LAHD, and DOJ Routing Records

Prepared for	Los Angeles County Auditor-Controller / Office of County Investigations (OCI)
Prepared by	Kyle Robert Haab
Date	June 20, 2026
Primary County matter	OCI 2026-23965
Related prior matter	OCI 2025-23289
Program identifiers	CRCD / Project Tipping Point 1233369910; CFCI-PA3-056-2023
Related matters	25CMFL00694; 26CMUD00417; LAPD C259045687 / C269013241; LAHD SO311576

Purpose. This packet integrates the prior 46-page Auditor-Controller source-record packet with newly uploaded, non-duplicative records. It is designed to support source-record preservation, custodian identification, program/funding classification, payment-authority review, and cross-agency routing for OCI 2026-23965.

Evidence limitation. The exhibits establish communications, dates, routing, recorded representations, and identifiable records. They do not, standing alone, establish fraud, criminal intent, malice, unlawful access, or a statutory violation. Those determinations require native records, metadata, governing contracts, witness interviews, and an authorized investigative finding.

1. Integrated record presented

- A separate CRCD Formstack participant-intake link was sent directly to Crystal Izarraraz by CRCD intake staff on September 10, 2024. The native Formstack submission, audit history, assignment, record ID, edits, and service classification remain material.
- CRCD/Alliance correspondence documents housing support, landlord contact, Bayberry debt resolution, prevention referrals, kinship-caregiver context, and family-reunification coordination. The issue is not whether every organization accepted liability; it is which entity received, used, routed, or preserved the records.
- The additional exhibits identify named staff accounts and systems that should be searched, preserve a later employment-status statement by Nagera Pemberton, document LAHD/LADBS unapproved-unit enforcement, and show unresolved DOJ routing/search discrepancies.

2. Duplication control

The one-page Crystal Formstack email and the 41-page CRCD/Alliance preservation packet were already embedded in the prior 46-page packet and are not appended a second time. Overlapping DOJ packets were also reduced to the controlling front matter and the three core DOJ letters. This keeps the record focused while preserving the original source PDFs separately.

I. Evidence-to-investigation matrix

Issue	Record support	Required investigative reconciliation
Separate participant path	September 10, 2024 email from CRCD Intake Specialist Amaris Runia to Crystal with a general CRCD participant-intake link.	Obtain Crystal's native Formstack record, submission metadata, staff assignment, eligibility decision, consent/ROI, and program/funding code. Do not merge adult participant files without a documented basis.
Staff-role / employment discrepancy	December 7, 2025 email states Nagera Pemberton had not worked for CRCD since May 2023, while the broader record attributes 2024 enrollment-assistance communications to a CRCD address and College Navigator role.	Verify employment/contract dates, account ownership, delegated authority, mailbox retention, supervisor instructions, handoff records, and whether the 2024 communications were authentic and authorized.
Out-of-state intake and eligibility	The record places Kyle and Crystal in Tennessee during early enrollment and housing discussions while later documents characterize Los Angeles program participation and services.	Identify the actual eligibility rule, geographic requirement, referral source, residence/homelessness certification, date eligibility was established, and who approved any exception or remote pre-enrollment activity.
Family/children information	Alliance and CRCD-linked records later contain guardianship, kinship-caregiver, family-reunification, and child-related information.	Identify when child/family information entered each system, the source, the signer's authority, the permitted purpose, disclosures, and whether any earlier authorization was actually broad enough to cover later-created records. Do not presume that a pre-birth or unrelated authorization covered later-born children.
Landlord/payment and unit legality	CRCD records reference rent assistance, landlord contact, W-9/ACH/lease materials, while LAHD/LADBS later identified an unapproved unit / certificate-of-occupancy issue.	Reconcile month-by-month payment authority, funding source, landlord verification, unit suitability, notice dates, and whether payments or case-management activity continued after City notice.
Cross-agency disposition	DOJ records show Housing Justice routing, a later no-record PRA response, and a separate DMFEA referral to DHCS. County records separately show OCI 2025-23289 closed and 2026-23965 open.	Maintain distinct routing lanes; do not treat a limited jurisdictional closure as a complete merits finding. Identify current owner, search scope, referral status, and disposition category for each matter.

II. Evidentiary characterization

What the exhibits support: notice, communications, custody leads, chronology, recorded representations, possible record locations, and specific inconsistencies requiring source-record verification.

What they do not yet prove: who entered each disputed field, whether an error was intentional, whether any payment was unauthorized, whether a statutory offense occurred, or whether any individual acted with malice.

Investigative threshold: the record is sufficiently specific to justify preservation, native-system searches, staff/account authentication, contract and eligibility review, payment tracing, and written disposition reconciliation.

III. Surgical Auditor-Controller / OCI action requested

- **Associate and cross-reference:** Associate this packet with OCI 2026-23965 and cross-reference OCI 2025-23289 without treating the prior closure as a determination of issues not expressly reviewed.
- **Preserve before referral:** Issue or confirm preservation for CRCD/PTP, Amity/CFCI, JCOD, County, and relevant contractor/subcontractor records before any further routing.
- **Authenticate the participant files:** Determine whether Kyle and Crystal had separate participant records, when each record was created, which program/funding lane applied, and what consent, ROI, or source authorization supported each file.
- **Verify staff and account authority:** Confirm the status and authority of Nagera/Nigera Pemberton, Amaris Runia, Nia Bolton, Polet Lopez, Latea Davis, Alexander Saltzman, Regina/R. Vazquez, Chidumebi Ezenwoko, Gianna Luna-Hernandez, and other named custodians during the relevant dates.
- **Trace housing money and approvals:** Produce or identify the month-by-month source of rental assistance, security deposit, landlord payments, Bayberry/NCS payment, vendor/payee setup, W-9, ACH, lease, reimbursement, and approval records.
- **Reconcile location and eligibility:** Identify the residence, homelessness, foster-youth, education-enrollment, and geographic eligibility evidence relied on at each approval point, including any exception, remote intake, or pre-arrival service decision.
- **Reconcile child/family information:** Identify the first date, source, purpose, custodian, and disclosure path for children, guardianship, kinship care, family reunification, and related family-status data. Identify the specific authorization relied on for each disclosure.
- **Reconcile LAHD/LADBS notice:** Determine what CRCD/PTP, the landlord, and any funding/monitoring entity knew about LAHD SO311576 and LADBS A-6604739, when they knew it, and whether payments or placement activity continued after notice.
- **Issue a written status matrix:** Provide a nonconfidential matrix stating records searched, custodians, findings category, referrals, matters outside scope, preservation status, and present owner. Confidential evidence and witness identities need not be disclosed.
- **Conflict-safe handoff:** If an issue falls outside OCI jurisdiction, preserve the record first and identify the receiving independent office, transfer date, reference number, and responsible contact rather than returning the matter solely to the disputed provider.

IV. No presumption from silence, routing, or labels

A complaint number, referral, automatic reply, closure label, or “no records” response is evidence of administrative handling only. It does not establish a merits finding unless the responsible office identifies the scope, evidence reviewed, and disposition basis. Likewise, the existence of a signature does not by itself establish informed authorization for every later record, person, program, or disclosure; the signed form and its actual scope control.

V. Minimum preservation and search scope

Native systems and metadata

Formstack submissions and audit logs; CRCD case-management systems; participant IDs; HMIS or referral systems; email headers and attachments; calendar/Zoom/Teams records; document-management histories; scan/upload/export/download logs; IP/device/session records; edit history; assignment and transfer history.

Named accounts

npemberton@coalitionrcd.org and related former-staff mailbox records; arunia@coalitionrcd.org; nbolton@coalitionrcd.org; palopez@coalitionrcd.org; ldavis@coalitionrcd.org; asaltzman@coalitionrcd.org; rvazquez@coalitionrcd.org; cezenwoko@coalitionrcd.org; gluna@coalitionrcd.org; imaldonado@coalitionrcd.org; JCOD/Amity/County custodians identified in the exhibits.

Eligibility and participant records

Applications, intake questionnaires, IDPs, education verification, foster-youth verification, residence/homelessness certifications, college enrollment, case notes, service plans, grievance notices, participant-rights notices, consent/ROI forms, and amendments/corrections.

Fiscal and housing records

Funding code, contract line, invoice, reimbursement, approval, purchase order, check/ACH, payment ledger, landlord verification, W-9, vendor/payee record, lease, rent split, security deposit, Bayberry/NCS payment, unit inspection, habitability, certificate of occupancy, LAHD/LADBS notice, and corrective-action records.

Family and privacy records

Child/family-status source records, guardianship/kinship-caregiver communications, reunification referrals, disclosure logs, access logs, privacy complaints, amendment requests, and records identifying who received family information and for what purpose.

Disposition records

OCI intake and closure codes, investigator assignment, referral/transmittal records, department responses, LAHSA closure scope, Board/County Counsel routing, DOJ referral records, and any written finding that an issue was jurisdictional, evidentiary, procedural, referred, or merits-based.

VI. Requested response format

Issue	Records searched	Custodian	Records found	Disposition	Transferred to	Preserved?
Participant files						
Housing/payment						
Child/family data						
Disposition/routing						

VII. Non-duplicative exhibit index and packet map

Section	Included range	Purpose
Front Memorandum	Pages 1-5	Purpose, evidence matrix, requested OCI action, preservation/search scope, and exhibit map.
Divider A + Prior Auditor-Controller Packet	Following divider; 46 pages	Prior focused packet containing the September 10 Crystal Formstack email and the complete 41-page CRCD/Alliance source-record packet.
Divider B + Nagera Pemberton / CRCD Meeting Summary Thread	2 source pages	Preserves the December 7, 2025 statement that she had not worked for CRCD since May 2023 and the request for CRCD meeting records.
Divider C + Named Custodian / Email Search Scope	Selected pages 1-7 and 20-22	Identifies precise accounts, systems, search terms, and the staff-role/record-custody discrepancy requiring authentication.
Divider D + Legal Aid / Alliance / CRCD Routing	Selected pages 1-2	Defines the Alliance/CRCD housing-support, legal-aid, and reunification source-record questions without repeating the same 41-page exhibit set.
Divider E + LAHD Continued Harm / Business Operations Record	26 pages	Includes LAHD/LADBS enforcement records and the timeline requiring reconciliation with continuing housing-support, landlord, and payment activity.
Divider F + DOJ Independent Routing / Search Reconciliation	Selected pages 1-14	Includes the DOJ control summary plus actual PIU 1508015, PRA 26-949, and DMFEA 36332332 letters to show routing ambiguity, not a merits finding.

VIII. Submission statement

Please preserve and associate the attached source records with OCI 2026-23965 and cross-reference OCI 2025-23289. This submission is intended to explain the legal and administrative significance of the actual exhibits, not to substitute allegation for proof. The requested outcome is a documented, conflict-safe source-record review that identifies the responsible custodian, governing program/funding lane, payment authority, consent/authorization basis, and disposition of each issue.

Respectfully submitted,

Kyle Robert Haab
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com | 657-557-4459

EXHIBIT A

PRIOR AUDITOR-CONTROLLER SOURCE-RECORD PACKET

Formstack participant intake / separate participant path / Alliance-CRCD housing and family-reunification record chain

---

Controlled source exhibit - original PDF controls - not a merits finding

SUPPLEMENTAL SOURCE-RECORD EXHIBIT PACKET

CRCD Formstack Participant Intake / Separate Participant Path / Alliance-CRCD Housing and Family-Reunification Record Chain

Prepared for	Los Angeles County Auditor-Controller / Office of County Investigations (OCI)
Prepared by	Kyle Robert Haab
Date	June 20, 2026
Primary County matter	OCI 2026-23965
Related prior matter	OCI 2025-23289
Program identifiers	CRCD / Project Tipping Point 1233369910; CFCI-PA3-056-2023
Related court matters	25CMFL00694; 26CMUD00417

Purpose. This packet preserves two complete source exhibits and states the limited administrative significance of those records. It requests source-record reconciliation, native-system preservation, custodian identification, and program/funding classification. It does not ask the Auditor-Controller to decide family-law, eviction, education, or criminal merits outside its jurisdiction.

Evidence limitation. The attached records establish communications, dates, participants, and identifiable record locations. Standing alone, they do not conclusively prove fraud, unauthorized access, intent, malice, or a particular statutory violation. Those determinations require the native records, metadata, audit logs, witness interviews, and the governing contract and program rules.

1. Source records added by this supplement

Exhibit A - September 10, 2024 CRCD Formstack intake transmission. Amaris Runia, identified in the signature block as an Intake Specialist in CRCD Workforce Development, sent Crystal Izarraraz a direct link to the CRCD participant-intake Formstack. The preserved email was later forwarded on September 30, 2025. The native September 10 transmission is the operative source event.

Exhibit B - CRCD / Alliance Records Preservation and Source-Record Clarification Packet. The 41-page packet contains the preservation demand and source exhibits concerning housing assistance, landlord contact, Bayberry debt resolution, CRCD/Alliance coordination, family-reunification communications, and CRCD enrollment and supportive-service representations.

2. Why the September 10 intake transmission is material

- **Separate participant path.** The email was addressed directly to Crystal and supplied a general CRCD participant-intake link. It therefore supports inquiry into whether Crystal had a separate intake, participant file, case-management record, eligibility decision, consent record, and service history.
- **No automatic household consent.** A link sent to one adult does not, by itself, establish consent by another adult or authority to create, use, or disclose records for unborn or later-born children. The precise scope depends on the completed form, disclosures, signatures, dates, and program terms.
- **Native metadata is required.** The produced email does not show whether the Formstack form was opened, completed, saved, submitted, edited, duplicated, or linked to an existing record. Formstack submission data, audit history, IP address, timestamp, record ID, edits, attachments, and staff access logs are therefore material.
- **Program and funding classification is unresolved.** The link identifies CRCD participant intake generally. The native record must show whether the resulting file was classified under Project Tipping Point, Workforce Development, housing/supportive services, CFCI-PA3-056-2023, a privately funded program, or another service lane.

3. Record-chain reconciliation shown by Exhibit B

Record	What the exhibit shows	Administrative question
July 1, 2025 CRCD email	CRCD stated it was working on an outstanding balance so income-based housing could be pursued and described housing assistance as an additional service.	What program, funding source, approval, and participant authorization governed the activity?
July 7, 2025 CRCD email	CRCD requested landlord information and communicated directly with the landlord regarding possible assistance.	Where are the landlord-contact authorization, case note, verification, and payment records?
July 22, 2025 CRCD email	CRCD referenced an agreement involving CRCD staff, Alliance staff, and the landlord over two Zoom sessions, with signing and late-fee pressure.	Produce the agreement, drafts, Zoom/calendar records, parties, authority, and grievance notice.
June 2025 Bayberry chain	The Tennessee ledger entered the CRCD record chain; the $350 balance later became $0.00.	Identify who paid, approved, routed, reimbursed, or otherwise caused satisfaction.
Alliance family-reunification thread	Alliance communicated with the maternal grandmother regarding the children in guardian/kinship care and family reunification.	Identify the consent scope, records received, referrals made, and whether child/family information entered any CRCD or County-linked file.
September 29, 2025 CRCD response	CRCD described Kyle as enrolled beginning June 13, 2024 and listed extensive rental and supportive services while also describing PTP as privately funded.	Reconcile participant start date, eligibility, public/private funding, payment ledgers, and CFCI/JCOD/Amity records.

4. Surgical action requested within Auditor-Controller / OCI jurisdiction

- **Associate and cross-reference.** Associate this supplement with OCI 2026-23965 and cross-reference OCI 2025-23289, PTP 1233369910, and CFCI-PA3-056-2023 without treating a prior limited closure as resolving separate source-authorization, privacy, payment, or records-integrity issues.
- **Preserve native records.** Preserve the September 10, 2024 email in native format with headers and attachments; the Formstack form definition; all submissions connected to Crystal Izarraraz, Kyle Haab, their email addresses and phone numbers; audit logs; IP addresses; timestamps; edits; exports; deletion logs; and staff-access history.
- **Identify the separate participant record.** State whether Crystal had a separate participant ID, case manager, intake, eligibility determination, service plan, privacy notice, consent/ROI, grievance rights, and program exit or termination record.
- **Trace the funding and payment lane.** Identify whether any services, rental assistance, landlord contact, Bayberry payment, security deposit, rent payment, or related reimbursement was charged to CFCI-PA3-056-2023, another County source, City/workforce funds, private foundations, or another account.
- **Identify custodians and transfers.** For records not held by OCI, identify the County department, contractor, subrecipient, fiscal intermediary, or records custodian; preserve records before referral; and document the date and destination of each transfer.

5. Minimum written response

Field	Requested entry
Matter association	Added to 2026-23965; cross-referenced to 2025-23289 and CFCI-PA3-056-2023, or written explanation.
Formstack record	Submission found / no submission / draft only / deleted / held elsewhere; include record ID, date, program, and custodian.
Participant status	Separate Crystal file / household-linked file / no participant file / classification pending.
Source authority	Specific consent, ROI, privacy acknowledgement, referral, agreement, or written no-record determination.
Funding/payment lane	County / CFCI / City / private / mixed / unknown, with ledger or custodian identified.
Preservation	Systems, mailboxes, date ranges, and record categories preserved.

Field	Requested entry
Next owner	Name, unit, contact information, tracking number, and expected response date.

6. Exhibit index and source-control statement

Exhibit	Source	Pages	Purpose
A	Gmail - Fwd: Form to fill out	1	Preserves the September 10, 2024 CRCD Workforce Development email sending Crystal Izarraraz the CRCD participant-intake Formstack link.
B	CRCD / Alliance Records Preservation Request Packet	41	Preserves the coordinated housing, landlord, Bayberry, Alliance, family-reunification, legal-referral, enrollment, and supportive-service record chain.

Source-control statement. The appended PDFs are the controlling exhibit pages for this supplement. Native emails, Formstack records, attachments, original metadata, and custodian-produced records control over summaries or OCR. If an exhibit page is unclear, the receiving office should request the native source rather than infer missing content.

Confidentiality and filing warning. Exhibit B contains housing, financial, family-reunification, child/guardian, legal-aid, and program-participation information. It should not be publicly filed or broadly redistributed without reviewing redactions and the purpose of disclosure.

7. Declaration of source submission

I submit the attached records as copies maintained in my records. I request that the receiving office preserve them, compare them against native custodian records, and make its own findings. I reserve the ability to provide native emails, screenshots, testimony, and additional source records through an authorized and secure process.

Respectfully submitted,

Kyle Robert Haab
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
657-557-4459

Fwd: Form to fill out

1 message

Crystal Izarraraz <izarrarazcrystal666@gmail.com> Tue, Sep 30, 2025 at 9:08 AM
To: izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com <izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com>

---------- Forwarded message ---------
From: **Amaris Runia** <arunia@coalitionrcd.org>
Date: Tue, Sep 10, 2024, 1:31 PM
Subject: Form to fill out
To: izarrarazcrystal666@gmail.com <izarrarazcrystal666@gmail.com>

https://coalitionrcd.formstack.com/forms/crcd_participant_intake

Get Outlook for Android

_____

Amaris Runia | **Intake Specialist**

Workforce Development

CRCD: 213.743.6193 | **M:** 323.712.3976 | **F:** 323.234.1040 | **TTY:** 711

arunia@coalitionrcd.org | www.coalitionrcd.org

View CRCD's 2022 Annual Report

Confidentiality Notice: This message (including any attachments) contains confidential information intended for a specific individual and purpose, and is protected by law. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by email and delete the original message and any attachments. Any unauthorized disclosure, copying, or distribution of this message, or the taking of any action based on it, is strictly prohibited.

7 attachments

- **image715860.png** 10 KB
- **image660636.png** 34 KB
- **image758602.png** 882 B
- **image922544.png** 1 KB
- **image533213.png** 1 KB
- **image429795.png** 883 B
- **image406992.png** 950 B

RECORDS PRESERVATION AND SOURCE-RECORD CLARIFICATION PACKET

CRCD / Project Tipping Point and Alliance for Children's Rights Coordination

Prepared by: Kyle Robert Haab
Email: kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
Phone: 657-557-4459

Related family-law matter: Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 25CMFL00694

Date: June 7, 2026

Recipients / custodians for routing:

Coalition for Responsible Community Development (CRCD), Project Tipping Point, CRCD Youth & Education / Workforce / Housing & Support Services, and any proper CRCD records custodian responsible for Project Tipping Point, landlord-payment, Bayberry, WorkSource/AJCC, CRCD/Ruth's Place, and related supportive-service records.

Alliance for Children's Rights, including TAY Director / staff involved in housing-prevention, CRCD coordination, kinship-caregiver contact, family-reunification coordination, legal-referral/corrective-referral activity, and any proper Alliance records custodian.

Purpose: This packet requests preservation, production, and custodian clarification for records showing that the housing, rental-assistance, Bayberry ledger, landlord-payment, kinship-caregiver, family-reunification, and legal-referral issues were coordinated service-provider matters, not merely isolated private landlord-tenant or private custody issues.

Position statement: This request does not ask CRCD or Alliance to accept legal liability. It asks each organization to preserve and clarify records showing what each entity received, used, relied on, approved, routed, paid, coordinated, referred, or declined to handle.

I. Executive Summary

The attached records show a coordinated housing-prevention and supportive-services record chain involving CRCD / Project Tipping Point, Alliance for Children's Rights, landlord communications, Bayberry ledger resolution, workforce referrals, SSA/General Relief income limitations, guardianship / kinship-caregiver context, and family-reunification goals. These records are material because later landlord and court narratives risk reducing the matter to a simple private rent-default or private custody issue.

The unresolved record questions are narrow and concrete: who received the source records; who had consent or release authority; who communicated with the landlord; who approved or routed payments; what funding source or program authority applied; whether CRCD/PTP or a partner paid, coordinated, or caused payment of the Bayberry / National Credit Systems balance; and what family-stability or reunification purpose was being supported.

The requested response should identify the custodian, preserve the records and metadata, produce non-privileged records where appropriate, and state in writing if any entity has no records, no role, no authority, or a conflict/scope limitation.

II. Core Factual Themes Shown by the Exhibits

Theme	Material point
Housing assistance / program-payment context	CRCD communications reference housing assistance as an additional service, current-rent assistance discussions, landlord contact, Bayberry balance resolution, and a signing/agreement process involving CRCD staff, Alliance staff, and the landlord.
Bayberry ledger and paid-in-full issue	Bayberry identified a $350 balance; the ledger was transmitted into the CRCD chain; National Credit Systems later reflected $0.00 / paid-in-full access. The missing record is who paid, approved, routed, or coordinated satisfaction.
Alliance housing-prevention context	Alliance provided housing-prevention and community-resource coordination, including HOPICS, CRCD/Ruth's Place, WorkSource-related resources, and documentation that SSA/General Relief income did not cover rent.
Family reunification / kinship-caregiver purpose	Alliance communications with Patty Lopez identified the children as being in Guardian/Kinship care with their maternal grandmother and framed the meeting as discussing Crystal and Kyle's children's family reunification.
Legal referral / corrective-referral need	The May 20, 2026 request to Alliance sought urgent family-law, UCCJEA, writ, parent-child contact, monitored-visitation, and conflict-free referral assistance tied to 25CMFL00694.

III. Preservation and Production Request

Please preserve and produce, or identify the proper custodian for, the following categories of records. This request includes records, metadata, emails, text messages, attachments, notes, fiscal/payment records, meeting records, referrals, participant forms, authorizations, consent/ROI forms, grant or funding-code records, payment approvals, landlord-verification records, W-9/ACH records, rent ledgers, program eligibility records, case notes, and communications.

1. The full Bayberry ledger file, including all CRCD/PTP case notes, internal emails, payment approvals, funding-source notes, grant codes, check/ACH records, reimbursement records, or communications related to the $350 Bayberry balance.

2. Records showing who paid, approved, authorized, routed, or coordinated payment or satisfaction of the Bayberry / National Credit Systems account.

3. All CRCD/PTP communications with the current landlord, Christopher Cervantes, including rent-assistance discussions, landlord-verification records, W-9/ACH/payment forms, lease documents, rent ledgers, payment ledgers, and any agreement or signing documents referenced by CRCD staff.

4. All records involving Sanea Smith / Alliance for Children's Rights, including consent, referral, coordination, Zoom records, meeting notes, emails, texts, housing-prevention referrals, employment referrals, and case notes concerning Project Tipping Point, housing support, rent assistance, landlord coordination, homelessness prevention, family-stability

goals, guardianship, kinship care, or reunification planning.

5. All records involving Patty Lopez, Crystal Izarraraz, Alliance for Children's Rights, CRCD/PTP, or any other participant concerning family reunification, kinship-caregiver coordination, custody/guardianship stability, housing stability, visitation, or support services.

6. All records involving HOPICS, Ruth's Place, CRCD housing-support services, Prevention Assistance, WorkSource/AJCC, DPSS, SSA/General Relief income documentation, or any external referral connected to housing stability.

7. All records showing the funding source, program authority, eligibility basis, and service category used for housing-related assistance, including Bayberry, security deposit, rent, current rent, relocation assistance, income-based housing qualification, prevention assistance, landlord payment support, or homelessness-prevention referral.

8. All Alliance legal-aid/pro bono/corrective-referral records, including family-law, UCCJEA, writ, parent-child contact, monitored visitation, reunification, conflict, denial, referral, or scope-limitation records related to 25CMFL00694.

9. All grievance, appeal, notice, participant-rights, privacy notice, authorization, ROI, FERPA/HMIS/WIOA/AJCC, landlord authorization, and records-access procedures applicable to Project Tipping Point, CRCD housing support, or Alliance coordination.

IV. Request for Written Clarification

Please state in writing: (1) whether CRCD/PTP or Alliance received the Bayberry ledger; (2) whether CRCD/PTP, Alliance, or a partner paid, routed, approved, or coordinated satisfaction of the Bayberry/NCS balance; (3) whether any CRCD/PTP staff communicated with the landlord about rent assistance, lease/payment documents, W-9/ACH, or agreement/signing requirements; (4) what funding source or program authority governed any housing support; (5) what consent, ROI, or participant authorization permitted sharing among CRCD, Alliance, the landlord, Patty Lopez, Crystal Izarraraz, and any partner; (6) whether Alliance provided or declined legal referral/corrective referral support; and (7) who is the correct custodian for records not held by the recipient.

If any requested item is denied, withheld, not retained, not within scope, or held by another entity, please identify that fact and provide the correct custodian or referral path. Please preserve all related records during review.

V. Draft Transmittal Email

Dear CRCD / Project Tipping Point Records Custodian and Alliance for Children's Rights Records Custodian,

I am submitting this Records Preservation and Source-Record Clarification Packet regarding my Project Tipping Point / CRCD housing-support record chain, Alliance for Children's Rights coordination, Bayberry ledger, current-rent assistance discussions, landlord communications, housing-prevention referrals, family-reunification coordination, and related legal-referral / corrective-referral records.

This request concerns records showing that the matter was not merely a private landlord-tenant issue or isolated private custody issue. CRCD communications reference housing assistance, landlord contact, current-rent discussions, Bayberry balance resolution, and an agreement/signing process. Alliance communications document housing-prevention needs, SSA/General Relief income limits, community housing referrals, kinship-caregiver contact with Patty Lopez, family-reunification coordination, and later urgent legal-referral/corrective-referral concerns involving 25CMFL00694.

Please preserve and produce, or identify the proper custodian for, all records listed in the attached packet, including emails, text messages, metadata, attachments, case notes, fiscal/payment records, referral records, consent/ROI records, landlord/payment records, meeting notes, Zoom records, and any records involving CRCD, Project Tipping Point, Alliance for Children's Rights, HOPICS, Ruth's Place, DPSS, WorkSource/AJCC, Patty Lopez, Crystal Izarraraz, the landlord Christopher Cervantes, Amity/CFCI/JCOD, City LWDA/EWDD, or related fiscal/workforce entities.

This request does not ask CRCD or Alliance to accept legal liability. The issue is whether CRCD/PTP or Alliance received, used, relied on, paid, routed, coordinated, referred, denied, or preserved records and services related to housing stability, family stability, parent-child contact, reunification planning, and legal/corrective referral needs.

Please confirm preservation of the records and identify the correct custodian for any records outside your control.

Respectfully,
Kyle Robert Haab
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
657-557-4459

VI. Exhibit Index

Exhibit	Description	Relevance
A	CRCD Follow-Up Conversation - July 1, 2025	CRCD references working on paying an outstanding balance so Kyle could qualify for income-based housing and states that housing assistance is an additional service.
B	CRCD Follow-Up Conversation - July 7, 2025	CRCD references current rent, landlord request, speaking with Polet, requesting landlord information, and speaking with the landlord about possible assistance.
C	CRCD Follow-Up Conversation - July 22, 2025	CRCD references an agreement involving CRCD staff, Sanea, and the landlord over Zoom sessions, plus late-fee concerns.
D	CRCD Meeting Follow-Up - June 12/13, 2025	CRCD references Firestone denial due to the Bayberry balance, requests ledger, affordable housing resources, and WorkSource registration.
E	Bayberry Ledger Email Thread	Bayberry identifies the $350 balance and the ledger is routed into the CRCD record chain.
F	National Credit Systems Paid-in-Full / $0.00 Record	Shows later Bayberry/NCS account balance context and need for payment-source records.
G	Alliance / CRCD Housing Support Thread	Alliance contacts CRCD about Project Tipping Point support and consent; Polet Lopez and CRCD staff appear in housing-support coordination.
H	Alliance Community Resources Thread	Documents housing-prevention needs, ended rental assistance, SSA/GR income limits, employment efforts, out-of-state homelessness, and family goals for children in guardianship.
I	Alliance / Patty Lopez Reunification Coordination Thread	Shows Patty, Crystal, Kyle, and Alliance coordinating around Guardian/Kinship care and family reunification.
J	Alliance Urgent Pro Bono / Corrective Referral Request	Shows later need for family-law/UCCJEA/writ/parent-child-contact assistance tied to 25CMFL00694.
K	CRCD Records / Enrollment Status Response	Provides CRCD/PTP context, including Project Tipping Point support and funding-source language.

EXHIBIT A

CRCD Follow-Up Conversation - July 1, 2025

CRCD references working on paying the outstanding balance so Kyle could qualify for income-based housing and notes housing assistance as an additional service.

Follow-Up Conversation

3 messages

Chidumebi Ezenwoko <cezenwoko@coalitionrcd.org> Tue, Jul 1, 2025 at 11:33 AM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
Cc: Gianna Luna-Hernandez <gluna@coalitionrcd.org>

Hello Kyle,
This email is a follow-up email from our conversation. Yesterday, we spoke, and I told you that Chrystal will have to go to Ruth's place and register at Prevention. I told you that they will be able to assist you guys with a one-time payment for the whole year. You will also be required to have a steady income to assist with paying your rent. I also told you that we were working on paying your outstanding balance so you can qualify for income-based housing. You also mentioned yesterday that you wanted the documents so you could check if you qualified for relocation assistance. I explained to you that Project Tipping Point assists with school, and housing assistance is an additional service we provide. On the other hand, I mentioned that you contact AJCC to assist you with landing a job and you said you registered with them last week on Friday 6/27/25.

_____

Chidumebi Ezenwoko | **Rental Assistance Coordinator**

Housing & Support Services
General: 323.432.2440 | 213.743.6193 |
cezenwoko@coalitionrcd.org | www.coalitionrcd.org

Confidentiality Notice: This message (including any attachments) contains confidential information intended for a specific individual and purpose, and is protected by law. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by email and delete the original message and any attachments. Any unauthorized disclosure, copying, or distribution of this message, or the taking of any action based on it, is strictly prohibited.

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Thu, Mar 19, 2026 at 9:41 PM
To: Passero, Roger - OIG <Passero.Roger@oig.dol.gov>

Im fowarding you this relevance to lack of communications regarding funding availability also i attaching notice of assistance cutting without any grievance procedure or appeal i was told that my signature is acknowledgment that I received form vs agreement present at January 13 2025 meeting was latea davis ldavis@coalitionrcd.org and polet Lopez palopez@coalitionrcd.org with a timing of ftb suspensions that allign same say rent responsibility shifts towards us
[Quoted text hidden]

11 attachments

- **image446951.png** 950 B
- **image063032.png** 1 KB
- **image056578.jpg** 25 KB
- **image352711.png** 1 KB
- **image693370.png** 883 B
- **image128317.png** 882 B
- **Screenshot_20260319_213220_Print Spooler.jpg** 295 KB
- **file_000000001350622f82dcf7b0c28e2be0-sanitized.jpg** 167 KB
- **file_00000000113461f5826251ed4bdff76e-sanitized.jpg** 180 KB
- **file_000000005c18722f8f07f33c70e46490-sanitized.jpg** 254 KB

file_000000008bb8622f957982502aff6fc4-sanitized.jpg
156 KB

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Mon, May 11, 2026 at 9:58 PM
To: elijahblack30@gmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

---

11 attachments

image446951.png
950 B

image063032.png
1 KB

image056578.jpg
25 KB

image352711.png
1 KB

image693370.png
883 B

image128317.png
882 B

Screenshot_20260319_213220_Print Spooler.jpg
295 KB

file_00000001350622f82dcf7b0c28e2be0-sanitized.jpg
167 KB

file_00000000113461f5826251ed4bdff76e-sanitized.jpg
180 KB

file_000000005c18722f8f07f33c70e46490-sanitized.jpg
254 KB

file_000000008bb8622f957982502aff6fc4-sanitized.jpg
156 KB

EXHIBIT B

CRCD Follow-Up Conversation - Current Rent / Landlord Contact - July 7, 2025

CRCD references current rent, landlord request, Polet, landlord information, and speaking with the landlord about possible assistance.

Follow-Up Conversation

1 message

Chidumebi Ezenwoko <cezenwoko@coalitionrcd.org> Mon, Jul 7, 2025 at 1:20 PM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
Cc: Gianna Luna-Hernandez <gluna@coalitionrcd.org>, izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com <izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com>

Hello Kyle and Crystal,
Earlier today, you called making inquiries about your Bayberry ledger from Tennessee. You also asked about if we were assisting with your current rent because your landlord has been requesting it. I reminded you that I spoke to Polet about it and we were trying to see what we could do to assist. I requested your landlord's information and spoke with him. I told him that we were looking to assist but it may take sometime because Polet was away. He mentioned that he had been trying to reach out to you guys but you guys have been rude to him. He mentioned that you and Crystal brought in a dog even though you were not meant to. He also mentioned that he came around today to speak to you and Crystal and the door was slammed in his face which he said was rude. Kyle mentioned that the landlord registered the housing as a "multi-family housing" meanwhile it is a single family unit. Kyle also claimed in our phone conversation that the landlord has two social security numbers. Kyle also mentioned that he didn't want to speak to the landlord in person and only wants to communicate through email for documentation purposes. I checked-in with Crystal regarding her job applications and she said she hasn't gotten any response.

_____

Chidumebi Ezenwoko | **Rental Assistance Coordinator**

Housing & Support Services
General: 323.432.2440 | 213.743.6193 |
cezenwoko@coalitionrcd.org | www.coalitionrcd.org

Confidentiality Notice: This message (including any attachments) contains confidential information intended for a specific individual and purpose, and is protected by law. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by email and delete the original message and any attachments. Any unauthorized disclosure, copying, or distribution of this message, or the taking of any action based on it, is strictly prohibited.

EXHIBIT C

CRCD Follow-Up Conversation - Agreement / Signing / Late Fees - July 22, 2025

CRCD references an agreement involving CRCD staff, Sanea, and the landlord during Zoom sessions and notes late-fee pressure.

Follow-Up Conversation

1 message

Chidumebi Ezenwoko <cezenwoko@coalitionrcd.org> Tue, Jul 22, 2025 at 9:51 AM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
Cc: Gianna Luna-Hernandez <gluna@coalitionrcd.org>

Hello Kyle,
This is a follow-up email to the conversation we had. I called asking you if you will come tomorrow as you promised during the meeting last week to sign. You said you will wait till July 31st. I reminded you of the agreement you had with me, Sanea, and the landlord when we spoke over two zoom sessions two weeks ago. I also reminded you that the longer you wait the more impatient the landlord becomes, and late fees get added.

_____

Chidumebi Ezenwoko | **Rental Assistance Coordinator**

Housing & Support Services
General: 323.432.2440 | 213.743.6193 |
cezenwoko@coalitionrcd.org | www.coalitionrcd.org

Confidentiality Notice: This message (including any attachments) contains confidential information intended for a specific individual and purpose, and is protected by law. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by email and delete the original message and any attachments. Any unauthorized disclosure, copying, or distribution of this message, or the taking of any action based on it, is strictly prohibited.

EXHIBIT D

CRCD Meeting Follow-Up - Bayberry / Firestone / Housing / WorkSource - June 12-13, 2025

CRCD references Firestone denial because of Bayberry balance, requests ledger, affordable housing resources, and WorkSource registration.

Meeting Follow-Up

3 messages

Chidumebi Ezenwoko <cezenwoko@coalitionrcd.org> Thu, Jun 12, 2025 at 11:04 AM
To: kyle.dietz99@gmail.com <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
Cc: Gianna Luna-Hernandez <gluna@coalitionrcd.org>

Good morning Kyle,
These are the few things we discussed in our conversation a little while ago. In our discussion, you mentioned you reached out to the college advisor at Project Tipping Point explaining to her about your outstanding balance and what could be done to help you clear your debt so you could continue with school. You also mentioned that you reached out to an income-based housing called FIRESTONE, but you were denied as a result of your $350 outstanding balance from Bayberry in Tennesse. I reminded you to contact them tomorrow since you claimed they will reopen at noon tomorrow to ask for a ledger.
I have attached the two documents I promised to provide that inform you about several affordable housing units you could contact and about registering for the work source center to prepare you for job opportunities
June 2025 Calendar (1) 1.pdf

Chidumebi Ezenwoko | **Rental Assistance Coordinator**

Housing & Support Services
General: 323.432.2440 | 213.743.6193 |
cezenwoko@coalitionrcd.org | www.coalitionrcd.org

Confidentiality Notice: This message (including any attachments) contains confidential information intended for a specific individual and purpose, and is protected by law. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by email and delete the original message and any attachments. Any unauthorized disclosure, copying, or distribution of this message, or the taking of any action based on it, is strictly prohibited.

thumbnail_IMG_7112.jpg
468 KB

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Fri, Jun 13, 2025 at 6:17 AM
To: Chidumebi Ezenwoko <cezenwoko@coalitionrcd.org>
Cc: Gianna Luna-Hernandez <gluna@coalitionrcd.org>

[Quoted text hidden]

7 attachments

image616937.jpg
25 KB

image801975.png
882 B

image394858.png
1 KB

image200399.png
1 KB

image301405.png
883 B

image996704.png
950 B

Haab Ledger.pdf
19 KB

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Thu, Mar 19, 2026 at 9:16 PM
To: Passero, Roger - OIG <Passero.Roger@oig.dol.gov>

Im fowarding this mr passero as shows knowledge of my previous Tennessee residency and the request for ledger i will also attach supportive documentation from fire stone denial the concern is what funds were used to pay for something crcd says they are not responsible for
[Quoted text hidden]

11 attachments

image200399.png
1 KB

image394858.png
1 KB

image301405.png
883 B

image801975.png
882 B

image996704.png
950 B

image616937.jpg
25 KB

Screenshot_20260109_154907_Gmail.jpg
591 KB

Screenshot_20260110_002453_OneDrive.jpg
896 KB

Screenshot_20260109_155032_OneDrive.jpg
716 KB

Screenshot_20260319_211405_Print Spooler.jpg
465 KB

Haab Ledger.pdf
19 KB

EXHIBIT E

Bayberry Ledger Email Thread

Bayberry identifies the balance and the ledger is routed into the CRCD record chain.

Ledger

6 messages

Alexandrya Frazier <afrazier1@alcomgt.com> Fri, Jun 13, 2025 at 6:08 AM
To: kyle.dietz99@gmail.com <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Your current balance is 350.00 but to be sure call National Credit 1-800-515-6223.

I have attached your ledger.

Alexandrya Frazier
Assistant Community Manager

BAYBERRY apartments

2300 Wilson St
Chattanooga, TN 37406

t: 423-680-6325
f: 423-716-6272

www.alcomgt.com

2023 BEST PLACES TO WORK IN MULTIFAMILY

Alexandrya Frazier
Assistant Community Manager

BAYBERRY apartments

2300 Wilson St
Chattanooga, TN 37406

t: 423-680-6325
f: 423-716-6272

www.alcomgt.com

2025 BEST PLACES TO WORK IN MULTIFAMILY

Haab Ledger.pdf
19 KB

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Fri, Jun 27, 2025 at 10:04 AM
To: Polet Lopez <palopez@coalitionrcd.org>

[Quoted text hidden]

5 attachments

Outlook-i1cuprjh.png
22 KB

Outlook-0lnpqeqm.png
27 KB

bayberry_920caaf8-49e6-4ed0-a23f-a812e9d57130.png
18 KB

bestplacetowork_5465e0da-2497-441b-8857-c6fa94d6b24b.png
12 KB

Haab Ledger.pdf
19 KB

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Mon, Jun 30, 2025 at 1:16 PM
To: Polet Lopez <palopez@coalitionrcd.org>

[Quoted text hidden]

5 attachments

bayberry_920caaf8-49e6-4ed0-a23f-a812e9d57130.png
18 KB

bestplacetowork_5465e0da-2497-441b-8857-c6fa94d6b24b.png
12 KB

Outlook-0lnpqeqm.png
27 KB

Outlook-i1cuprjh.png
22 KB

Haab Ledger.pdf
19 KB

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Mon, Jun 30, 2025 at 1:16 PM
To: Polet Lopez <palopez@coalitionrcd.org>

---------- Forwarded message ---------
From: **Alexandrya Frazier** <afrazier1@alcomgt.com>
Date: Fri, Jun 13, 2025, 6:08 AM
Subject: Ledger
To: kyle.dietz99@gmail.com <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

[Quoted text hidden]

5 attachments

Outlook-i1cuprjh.png
22 KB

Outlook-0lnpqeqm.png
27 KB

bayberry_920caaf8-49e6-4ed0-a23f-a812e9d57130.png
18 KB

bestplacetowork_5465e0da-2497-441b-8857-c6fa94d6b24b.png
12 KB

Haab Ledger.pdf
19 KB

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Mon, May 11, 2026 at 9:57 PM
To: elijahblack30@gmail.com

---------- Forwarded message ---------
From: **Alexandrya Frazier** <afrazier1@alcomgt.com>
Date: Fri, Jun 13, 2025, 6:08 AM
Subject: Ledger
To: kyle.dietz99@gmail.com <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

[Quoted text hidden]

---

5 attachments

Outlook-i1cuprjh.png
22 KB

Outlook-0lnpqeqm.png
27 KB

bayberry_920caaf8-49e6-4ed0-a23f-a812e9d57130.png
18 KB

bestplacetowork_5465e0da-2497-441b-8857-c6fa94d6b24b.png
12 KB

Haab Ledger.pdf
19 KB

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Mon, May 11, 2026 at 10:01 PM
To: elijahblack30@gmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

---

5 attachments

Outlook-i1cuprjh.png
22 KB

Outlook-0lnpqeqm.png
27 KB

bestplacetowork_5465e0da-2497-441b-8857-c6fa94d6b24b.png
12 KB

bayberry_920caaf8-49e6-4ed0-a23f-a812e9d57130.png
18 KB

Haab Ledger.pdf
19 KB

EXHIBIT F

National Credit Systems Paid-in-Full / $0.00 Record

Shows later Bayberry / National Credit Systems account status and need for source-payment records.

National Credit Systems, Inc.

P.O. Box 672288 Marietta, GA 30006 (800) 459-1539 (404) 629-2728
Monday - Thursday 8:00 a.m. - 7:00 p.m., Friday 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m.

09/02/2025

Kyle Haab
1151 E 106TH ST
Los Angeles CA 90003

Current Creditor: BAYBERRY APTS
Client Account Number: 1101-07
NCS Account Number: 5593558
NCS Default Password (for website): 7242C8D2
Balance: $0.00

As a courtesy and convenience to consumers who have satisfied their debt in full with National Credit Systems, you may access a Paid in Full letter directly from our website.

A waiting period before this letter is available may apply if you have made your final payment recently. This period will vary depending on the payment method used in your final transaction but is typically as listed below:

- Less than 24 hours (excluding weekends or holidays) for any method other than a check or ACH
- 7 days for ACH draft or check by phone
- 14 days for normal paper check

To access this letter, follow the instructions below:

1. Go to www.nationalcreditsystems.com
2. Select the option for "Resolve My Debt"
3. Login using your NCS Account Number and NCS Default Password provided above
4. Once logged in, select Paid in Full Letter and follow the prompts.
5. Your letter will then display or download to your downloaded items location.

You may contact our office for assistance if you experience difficulties with this process.

Sincerely,

KENYATA DANTZLER
Collection Representative

PIF INSTR

This is an attempt to collect a debt and any information obtained will be used for that purpose. This communication is from a debt collector.

NOTICE: SEE REVERSE SIDE FOR IMPORTANT INFORMATION.

Disclosure for California consumers:
As required by law, you are hereby notified that a negative credit report reflecting on your credit record may be submitted to a credit reporting agency if you fail to fulfill the terms of your credit obligations. "The state Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act and the federal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act require that, except under unusual circumstances, collectors may not contact you before 8 a.m. or after 9 p.m. They may not harass you by using threats of violence or arrest or by using obscene language. Collectors may not use false or misleading statements or call you at work if they know or have reason to know that you may not receive personal calls at work. For the most part, collectors may not tell another person, other than your attorney or spouse, about your debt. Collectors may contact another person to confirm your location or enforce a judgment. For more information about debt collection activities, you may contact the Federal Trade Commission at 1-877-FTC-HELP or www.ftc.gov <http://www.ftc.gov>."
California License Number = 10800-99

Disclosure for Colorado consumers:
FOR INFORMATION ABOUT THE COLORADO FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT, SEE <HTTPS://COAG.GOV/OFFICE-SECTIONS/CONSUMER-PROTECTION/CONSUMER-CREDIT-UNIT/COLLECTION-AGENCY-REGULATION/>
Local Office:
1776 South Jackson Street, #900,
Denver, CO 80210
720-287-8669
A consumer has the right to request in writing that a debt collector or collection agency cease further communication with the consumer. A written request to cease communication will not prohibit the debt collector or collection agency from taking any other action authorized by law to collect the debt.

Disclosure for Massachusetts consumers:
NOTICE OF IMPORTANT RIGHTS.
YOU HAVE THE RIGHT TO MAKE WRITTEN OR ORAL REQUEST THAT TELEPHONE CALLS REGARDING YOUR DEBT NOT BE MADE TO YOU AT YOUR PLACE EMPLOYMENT. ANY SUCH ORAL REQUEST WILL BE VALID FOR ONLY TEN DAYS UNLESS YOU PROVIDE WRITTEN CONFIRMATION OF THE REQUEST POSTMARKED OR DELIVERED WITHIN SEVEN DAYS OF SUCH REQUEST. YOU MAY TERMINATE THIS REQUEST BY WRITING TO THE DEBT COLLECTOR.

Disclosure for Minnesota consumers:
This collection agency is licensed by the Minnesota Department of Commerce.

Dislcosure for North Carolina consumers:
NORTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE PERMIT NUMBER 119500338.

Disclosure for Nevada consumers:
Please note: If you choose to make a payment by credit card, a separate convenience fee may apply. However, other payment options are available that are free of charge.

Disclosure for New York City consumers:
NYC Department of Consumer Affairs license 1277208

Dislcosure for Tennessee consumers:
This collection agency is licensed by the Collection Service Board of the Department of commerce and Insurance.

Disclosure for Utah consumers:
As required by Utah law, you are hereby notified that a negative credit report reflecting on your credit record may be submitted to a credit reporting agency if you fail to fulfill the terms of your credit obligations. We will not submit a negative credit report to a credit reporting agency about this credit obligation until the expiration of the time period described above.

EXHIBIT G

Alliance / CRCD Housing Support Thread

Alliance contacts CRCD about Project Tipping Point support for Kyle with consent; Polet and CRCD staff appear in coordination.

Re: Housing Support

9 messages

Sanea Smith <ssmith@alliancecr.org> Wed, Jun 25, 2025 at 1:39 PM
To: cezenwoko@coalitionrcd.org <cezenwoko@coalitionrcd.org>, kyle.dietz99@gmail.com <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
Cc: lwaters@coalitionrcd.org <lwaters@coalitionrcd.org>

Good Afternoon,
I am Sanea Smith with Alliance for Children's Rights, and I wanted to get more information on the Tipping Point Project for the above client Kyle Haab. Kyle has given consent to speak with you in how to beat support. Please let me know. Thank you.

Sanea Smith

TAY Director

Alliance for Children's Rights

D: (213) 401-0936

O: (213) 368-6010 ext 152

4525 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite # 150

Los Angeles, CA 90010

https://allianceforchildrensrights.org/

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Wed, Jun 25, 2025 at 2:29 PM
To: Sanea Smith <ssmith@alliancecr.org>
Cc: cezenwoko@coalitionrcd.org <cezenwoko@coalitionrcd.org>, lwaters@coalitionrcd.org <lwaters@coalitionrcd.org>

palopez@coalitionrcd.org

[Quoted text hidden]

Sanea Smith <ssmith@alliancecr.org> Tue, Jul 8, 2025 at 9:00 AM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>, Polet Lopez <palopez@coalitionrcd.org>
Cc: cezenwoko@coalitionrcd.org <cezenwoko@coalitionrcd.org>, lwaters@coalitionrcd.org <lwaters@coalitionrcd.org>

Good Morning,
Thank you for the information.

Sanea Smith

TAY Director

Alliance for Children's Rights

D: (213) 401-0936

O: (213) 368-6010 ext 152

4525 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite # 150

Los Angeles, CA 90010

https://allianceforchildrensrights.org/

From: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2025 2:29:01 PM
To: Sanea Smith <ssmith@alliancecr.org>

Cc: cezenwoko@coalitionrcd.org <cezenwoko@coalitionrcd.org>; lwaters@coalitionrcd.org <lwaters@coalitionrcd.org>
Subject: Re: Housing Support

[EXTERNAL]

[Quoted text hidden]

Sanea Smith <ssmith@alliancecr.org> Tue, Jul 8, 2025 at 1:02 PM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>, Polet Lopez <palopez@coalitionrcd.org>
Cc: cezenwoko@coalitionrcd.org <cezenwoko@coalitionrcd.org>, lwaters@coalitionrcd.org <lwaters@coalitionrcd.org>

https://zoom.us/j/96886707255?pwd=Wywhj5Dxghe0DeemfPdewkvrdiBi2j.1

Join our Cloud HD Video Meeting

Zoom is the leader in modern enterprise cloud communications.

zoom.us

From: Sanea Smith <ssmith@alliancecr.org>
Sent: Tuesday, July 8, 2025 9:00 AM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>; Polet Lopez <palopez@coalitionrcd.org>
[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Tue, Nov 4, 2025 at 12:50 PM
To: izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Tue, Nov 4, 2025 at 12:50 PM
To: izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Tue, Nov 4, 2025 at 12:51 PM
To: Crystal Izarraraz <izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com>

[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Tue, Nov 4, 2025 at 12:51 PM
To: Crystal Izarraraz <izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com>

[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Fri, Apr 17, 2026 at 11:58 AM
To: nestrada@lafla.org

As relevance towards my housing
[Quoted text hidden]

EXHIBIT H

Alliance Community Resources Thread

Alliance documents housing prevention, ended rental assistance, SSA/General Relief income limitations, employment efforts, out-of-state homelessness, and family goals for children in guardianship.

Re: Community Resources

8 messages

Sanea Smith <ssmith@alliancecr.org> Tue, Jul 1, 2025 at 12:27 PM
To: Izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com <Izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com>, Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>, Shahzareh Ali <sali@alliancecr.org>

Good Afternoon Kyle and Crystal,
Please see below the resources for alternative housing solutions and Prevention Assistance to maintain your current housing needs. Crystal the ACR Staff that will be assisting you is @Shahzareh Ali. She will follow up with to gather more information to support possible next steps. As a reminder Alliance for Children's Rights does not operate direct housing and will support your case with external referrals to available community housing and prevention.

Homeless Access Centers | HOPICS (please arrive earlier than the opening hours to gain access to complete an intake)

📍 **New Temporary Location:**

3103 South Grand Ave, Los Angeles CA 90007

📞 **Contact Us:**

323-432-2440

https://dpss.lacounty.gov/en/cash/gr/housing.html

Thank you,

Sanea Smith, M.S.
TAY Director
Alliance for Children's Rights
D: (213) 401-0936
O: (213) 368-6010 ext 152
4525 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite # 150
Los Angeles, CA 90010

https://allianceforchildrensrights.org/

Crystal Izarraraz <izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com> Tue, Jul 1, 2025 at 12:28 PM
To: Kyle <Kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

[Quoted text hidden]

Sanea Smith <ssmith@alliancecr.org> Tue, Jul 1, 2025 at 12:47 PM
To: Izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com <Izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com>, Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>, Shahzareh Ali <sali@alliancecr.org>

CONTROLLED SOURCE RECORD - ORIGINAL EXHIBITS CONTROL

Please see below additional resources that HOPICS and CRCD Ruth's Place offers

Housing Placement And Stabilization | HOPICS

Housing, Support Services & Job Training Programs | CRCD

https://www.countyoffice.org/south-los-angeles-worksource-center-los-angeles-ca-f84/

** I am in need of housing prevention to support us from become homeless. We were connected to a program that assisted with rental assistance however, the program has ended, and my current income does not cover the rent. We are pending notice of when to vacate in the next 14-30 days. Me and my partner have set income of SSA and General Relief. We are working towards identifying employment opportunities that can assist our immediate needs. We were experiencing homelessness out of state then relocated to Los Angeles. We too are working towards meeting family goals for our children that in Guardianship.

Sanea Smith, M.S.
TAY Director
Alliance for Children's Rights
D: (213) 401-0936
O: (213) 368-6010 ext 152
4525 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite # 150
Los Angeles, CA 90010

https://allianceforchildrensrights.org/

From: Sanea Smith
Sent: Tuesday, July 1, 2025 12:27 PM
To: lzarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com <lzarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com>; Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>; Shahzareh Ali <sali@alliancecr.org>
Subject: Re: Community Resources

[Quoted text hidden]

Sanea Smith <ssmith@alliancecr.org> Tue, Jul 1, 2025 at 12:57 PM
To: lzarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com <lzarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com>, Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>, Shahzareh Ali <sali@alliancecr.org>

Hi,
Please see below resource for IHSS
Seniors and Persons with Disabilities

> Seniors and Persons with Disabilities - Department of Public Social Services
>
> In-Home Supportive Services (IHSS) helps pay for services provided to eligible persons who are 65 years of age or over, or legally blind, or disabled adults and children, so they can remain safely in their own homes.
>
> dpss.lacounty.gov

From: Sanea Smith <ssmith@alliancecr.org>
Sent: Tuesday, July 1, 2025 12:47 PM
[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Tue, Mar 10, 2026 at 6:13 PM
To: Crystal Izarraraz <izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com>

---------- Forwarded message ---------
From: **Sanea Smith** <ssmith@alliancecr.org>
Date: Tue, Jul 1, 2025, 12:57 PM
Subject: Re: Community Resources
To: Izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com <Izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com>, Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>, Shahzareh Ali <sali@alliancecr.org>

[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Wed, Mar 11, 2026 at 5:53 PM
To: Sanford, Ahliyah <asanford@bos.lacounty.gov>, AC-CONSTITUENT <constituent@auditor.lacounty.gov>, Giza, Maeve <MGiza@bos.lacounty.gov>, Alyssa Skolnick <askolnick@counsel.lacounty.gov>
Cc: Ester.F.Dela@hud.gov, Clarke, Adriane J <Adriane.J.Clarke@hud.gov>, Choi, Chin Woo <ChinWoo.Choi@hud.gov>, rebecca.blanco@hud.gov

[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Mon, Mar 16, 2026 at 9:56 AM
To: Anna Guy <annag@openarmscommunityhealth.com>

[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Mon, May 11, 2026 at 10:36 PM
Draft To: elijahblack30@gmail.com

---------- Forwarded message ---------
From: **Kyle** <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
Date: Mon, Mar 16, 2026, 9:56 AM
Subject: Fwd: Community Resources
To: Anna Guy <annag@openarmscommunityhealth.com>

---------- Forwarded message ---------
From: **Kyle** <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
Date: Wed, Mar 11, 2026, 5:53 PM
Subject: Fwd: Community Resources
To: Sanford, Ahliyah <asanford@bos.lacounty.gov>, AC-CONSTITUENT <constituent@auditor.lacounty.gov>, Giza, Maeve <MGiza@bos.lacounty.gov>, Alyssa Skolnick <askolnick@counsel.lacounty.gov>
Cc: <Ester.F.Dela@hud.gov>, Clarke, Adriane J <Adriane.J.Clarke@hud.gov>, Choi, Chin Woo <ChinWoo.Choi@hud.gov>, <rebecca.blanco@hud.gov>

---------- Forwarded message ---------
From: **Kyle** <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
Date: Tue, Mar 10, 2026, 6:13 PM
Subject: Fwd: Community Resources
To: Crystal Izarraraz <izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com>

---------- Forwarded message ---------
From: **Sanea Smith** <ssmith@alliancecr.org>
Date: Tue, Jul 1, 2025, 12:57 PM
Subject: Re: Community Resources
To: Izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com <Izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com>, Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>, Shahzareh Ali <sali@alliancecr.org>

Hi,
Please see below resource for IHSS
Seniors and Persons with Disabilities

Seniors and Persons with Disabilities - Department of Public Social Services

In-Home Supportive Services (IHSS) helps pay for services provided to eligible persons who are 65 years of age or over, or legally blind, or disabled adults and children, so they can remain safely in their own homes.

dpss.lacounty.gov

From: Sanea Smith <ssmith@alliancecr.org>
Sent: Tuesday, July 1, 2025 12:47 PM
To: lzarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com <lzarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com>; Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>; Shahzareh Ali <sali@alliancecr.org>
Subject: Re: Community Resources

Please see below additional resources that HOPICS and CRCD Ruth's Place offers

Housing Placement And Stabilization | HOPICS

Housing, Support Services & Job Training Programs | CRCD

https://www.countyoffice.org/south-los-angeles-worksource-center-los-angeles-ca-f84/

** I am in need of housing prevention to support us from become homeless. We were connected to a program that assisted with rental assistance however, the program has ended, and my current income does not cover the rent. We are pending notice of when to vacate in the next 14-30 days. Me and my partner have set income of SSA and General Relief. We are working towards identifying employment opportunities that can assist our immediate needs. We were experiencing homelessness out of state then relocated to Los Angeles. We too are working towards meeting family goals for our children that in Guardianship.

Sanea Smith, M.S.
TAY Director
Alliance for Children's Rights
D: (213) 401-0936
O: (213) 368-6010 ext 152
4525 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite # 150
Los Angeles, CA 90010

https://allianceforchildrensrights.org/

From: Sanea Smith
Sent: Tuesday, July 1, 2025 12:27 PM
To: lzarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com <lzarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com>; Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>; Shahzareh Ali <sali@alliancecr.org>
Subject: Re: Community Resources

Good Afternoon Kyle and Crystal,
Please see below the resources for alternative housing solutions and Prevention Assistance to maintain your current housing needs. Crystal the ACR Staff that will be assisting you is @Shahzareh Ali. She will follow up with to gather more information to support possible next steps. As a reminder Alliance for Children's Rights does not operate direct housing and will support your case with external referrals to available community housing and prevention.

Homeless Access Centers | HOPICS (please arrive earlier than the opening hours to gain access to complete an intake)

📍 **New Temporary Location:**

3103 South Grand Ave, Los Angeles CA 90007

📞 **Contact Us:**

323-432-2440

https://dpss.lacounty.gov/en/cash/gr/housing.html

Thank you,

Sanea Smith, M.S.
TAY Director
Alliance for Children's Rights
D: (213) 401-0936
O: (213) 368-6010 ext 152
4525 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite # 150
Los Angeles, CA 90010

https://allianceforchildrensrights.org/

EXHIBIT I

Alliance / Patty Lopez Reunification Coordination Thread

Shows Patty, Crystal, Kyle, and Alliance coordinating around Guardian/Kinship care and family reunification.

RE: talking to patty lopez

10 messages

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Tue, Jul 22, 2025 at 12:50 PM
To: smith@alliancecr.org <smith@alliancecr.org>, Crystal Izarraraz <izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com>, Patty Lopez <Asmlopez2015@yahoo.com>

Hello, I'm reaching out to introduce you to Patty Lopez, the guardian of Cameron and Katherine of our children. I'm making this email and added everyone to further communicate on proceeding with a plan to get our kids back in our custody. This email is to introduce Sanea Smith the TAY coordinator for youth to Patty Lopez about the plan and more contact information for Sanea Smith To reach out to Patty Lopez.

Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com> Tue, Jul 22, 2025 at 12:50 PM
To: kyle.dietz99@gmail.com



The response from the remote server was:

```
550 5.4.1 Recipient address rejected: Access denied. For more information see
https://aka.ms/EXOSmtpErrors [SJ1PEPF00001CDC.namprd05.prod.outlook.com 2025-07-22T19:50:34.426Z
08DDC69EF4184400]
```

[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Tue, Jul 22, 2025 at 1:05 PM
To: Crystal Izarraraz <izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com>, Patty Lopez <Asmlopez2015@yahoo.com>, Sanea Smith <ssmith@alliancecr.org>

[Quoted text hidden]

Sanea Smith <ssmith@alliancecr.org> Tue, Jul 22, 2025 at 1:09 PM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>, Crystal Izarraraz <izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com>, Patty Lopez <Asmlopez2015@yahoo.com>

Hi Patty,
I am Sanea Smith with Alliance for Children's Rights the TAY Director. Crystal and Kyle shared that their children are in Guardian/Kinship care as their maternal grandmother. Please call me to gather more information from you on how we can assist Crystal and Kyle with possible next steps with family reunification. Thank you in advance.

Sanea Smith

Sanea Smith

TAY Director

Alliance for Children's Rights

D: (213) 401-0936

O: (213) 368-6010 ext 152

4525 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite # 150

Los Angeles, CA 90010

https://allianceforchildrensrights.org/

From: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 22, 2025 1:05:30 PM
To: Crystal Izarraraz <izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com>; Patty Lopez <Asmlopez2015@yahoo.com>; Sanea Smith <ssmith@alliancecr.org>
Subject: Re: talking to patty lopez

[EXTERNAL]

[Quoted text hidden]

Patty Lopez <asmlopez2015@yahoo.com> Wed, Jul 23, 2025 at 6:40 AM
Reply-to: Patty Lopez <asmlopez2015@yahoo.com>
To: ssmith@alliancecr.org
Cc: Crystal Izarraraz <izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com>, Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Dear Sanea Smith,

Thank you for reaching out. I would be happy to discuss the matter further with you. I am available for a call this Friday between 1pm and 4pm or on July 29th. Please let me know which time slot works best for you, and I will make sure to schedule it accordingly.

Best regards,

Patty López
Cellular: 661 502-7997
Email: asmlopez2015@yahoo.com

Yahoo Mail: Search, Organize, Conquer
[Quoted text hidden]

Sanea Smith <ssmith@alliancecr.org> Wed, Jul 23, 2025 at 3:25 PM
To: Patty Lopez <asmlopez2015@yahoo.com>
Cc: Crystal Izarraraz <izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com>, Shahzareh Ali <sali@alliancecr.org>, Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>, Xinyi Zhang <xzhang@alliancecr.org>

Good Afternoon,
If we can meet on Monday July 29th that would be great. Please confirm a time and I will forward a calendar invite.

**Meeting to discuss Crystal and Kyle's children's family reunification

Thank you in advance,

Sanea Smith, M.S.
TAY Director
Alliance for Children's Rights
D: (213) 401-0936
O: (213) 368-6010 ext 152

4525 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite # 150
Los Angeles, CA 90010

https://allianceforchildrensrights.org/

From: Patty Lopez <asmlopez2015@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, July 23, 2025 6:40 AM
To: Sanea Smith <ssmith@alliancecr.org>
Cc: Crystal Izarraraz <izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com>; Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Wed, Jul 23, 2025 at 3:41 PM
To: Sanea Smith <ssmith@alliancecr.org>

Anytime works for us monday 29th
[Quoted text hidden]

Sanea Smith <ssmith@alliancecr.org> Wed, Jul 23, 2025 at 3:43 PM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>, Patty Lopez <asmlopez2015@yahoo.com>, Crystal Izarraraz <izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com>, Shahzareh Ali <sali@alliancecr.org>, Xinyi Zhang <xzhang@alliancecr.org>

Hi Kyle,
Monday is July 28th, and Tuesday is July 29th, please let me know what day you preferred.

Sanea Smith

TAY Director

Alliance for Children's Rights

D: (213) 401-0936

O: (213) 368-6010 ext 152

4525 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite # 150

Los Angeles, CA 90010

https://allianceforchildrensrights.org/

From: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, July 23, 2025 3:41:09 PM
To: Sanea Smith <ssmith@alliancecr.org>
[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Wed, Jul 23, 2025 at 3:46 PM
To: Sanea Smith <ssmith@alliancecr.org>

Thank you for the correction
[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Mon, Mar 16, 2026 at 9:55 AM
To: Anna Guy <annag@openarmscommunityhealth.com>

[Quoted text hidden]

EXHIBIT J

Alliance Urgent Pro Bono / Corrective Referral Request

Shows family-law/UCCJEA/writ/parent-child-contact assistance request tied to 25CMFL00694 and disputed Tennessee/ICPC history.

Urgent Pro Bono / Corrective Referral Request — Family Law UCCJEA, Writ, and Parent-Child Contact

4 messages

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Wed, May 20, 2026 at 2:31 PM
To: cyacoub@alliancecr.org, Sanea Smith <ssmith@alliancecr.org>, Xinyi Zhang <xzhang@alliancecr.org>, Shahzareh Ali <sali@alliancecr.org>, Crystal Izarraraz <izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com>

Dear Alliance for Children's Rights,

I am requesting urgent pro bono, limited-scope, or corrective referral assistance.

My Los Angeles Superior Court family-law case is 25CMFL00694. I am a low-income parent whose only income is SSI. I am currently self-represented in a family-law/UCCJEA matter involving a disputed Tennessee juvenile/custody order, monitored visitation, lack of a workable reunification structure, and a Second District writ/appellate issue.

I am asking Alliance to review whether it can provide or arrange:

1. emergency family-law representation;
2. limited-scope UCCJEA / interstate custody assistance;
3. limited-scope assistance correcting or refiling the Second District writ petition;
4. assistance preserving court-ordered parent-child contact and monitored visitation;
5. a conflict-free pro bono referral if Alliance cannot assist directly;
6. written confirmation of any denial, conflict, or scope limitation if Alliance cannot help.

This is also a corrective-action request because Alliance had prior context. Prior communications involving Sanea Smith at ssmith@alliancecr.org are relevant because Alliance had earlier context involving CRCD-related referrals, Public Counsel referral activity, disputed narrative/correction concerns, and the need for a legal pathway. I am not contacting Alliance as a new intake with no history. I am asking Alliance to review whether that prior context supports corrective pro bono assistance or a conflict-free emergency referral now.

The current harm is concrete. I remain self-represented in 25CMFL00694. The California case is relying on a disputed Tennessee order. I am attempting to preserve parent-child contact through trial-court filings and a writ petition. The prior writ filing was rejected for technical defects rather than merits review, which shows the need for legal assistance. I cannot afford private counsel, and SmartLaw/LACBA advised that it cannot assist with pro bono or low-income representation.

For clarity, I am not asking Alliance to prosecute crimes, investigate attorney misconduct, or litigate unrelated agency complaints. I am asking Alliance to address the family-law and child-rights consequence: my children remain in a legal posture where parent-child contact is at risk, I am self-represented, and the record involves a disputed out-of-state order, ICPC/DCS history, alleged consent issues, and no functioning reunification or monitored-visitation pathway.

I can provide supporting documents upon request, including:

- Sanea Smith / Alliance communications;
- the communication involving the disputed or false narrative correction issue;
- Public Counsel referral communications;
- the March 11, 2026 custody/visitation order;
- the May 11, 2026 emergency-relief denial;
- the Second District writ rejection notice and corrected writ draft;
- Tennessee order and records-request materials;
- proof of SSI-only income;
- SmartLaw/LACBA response confirming it cannot assist with pro bono or low-income representation;
- LAFLA scope communications showing assistance appears limited to unlawful-detainer / eviction defense.

Please confirm whether Alliance can provide emergency review, representation, limited-scope assistance, or a conflict-free pro bono referral. If Alliance cannot assist, please provide a written explanation and identify the appropriate no-cost referral pathway for a low-income parent needing emergency family-law/UCCJEA/writ assistance.

Respectfully,

Kyle Haab
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com> Wed, May 20, 2026 at 2:31 PM
To: kyle.dietz99@gmail.com



The response from the remote server was:

```
550 5.4.1 Recipient address rejected: Access denied. For more information see
https://aka.ms/EXOSmtpErrors [SJ1PEPF00002317.namprd03.prod.outlook.com 2026-05-20T21:31:39.167Z
08DEB35EBC53E268]
```

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Wed, May 20, 2026 at 3:15 PM
To: cyacoub@alliancecr.org, Sanea Smith <ssmith@alliancecr.org>, Xinyi Zhang <xzhang@alliancecr.org>, Shahzareh Ali <sali@alliancecr.org>, Crystal Izarraraz <izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com>, rtomasek@alliancecr.org

[Quoted text hidden]

Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com> Wed, May 20, 2026 at 3:16 PM
To: kyle.dietz99@gmail.com



The response from the remote server was:

```
550 5.4.1 Recipient address rejected: Access denied. For more information see
https://aka.ms/EXOSmtpErrors [DM2PEPF00003FC4.namprd04.prod.outlook.com 2026-05-20T22:16:03.344Z
08DEB300643E7AC7]
```

EXHIBIT K

CRCD Records / Enrollment Status Response

CRCD response regarding Project Tipping Point, funding-source language, and requested records/context.

Subject: Formal Request for Records, Enrollment Status, and Grievance Procedures

1 message

Polet Lopez <palopez@coalitionrcd.org> Mon, Sep 29, 2025 at 10:37 AM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Good morning, Kyle,

Please see below the responses to the email you sent on 9/16/25.

1. Confirmation of my enrollment status — Am I currently considered an active participant in CRCD or its partner programs?

- Yes, you are currently enrolled in Project Tipping Point. As you are aware, the purpose of Project Tipping Point is to support academic success for current and former foster youth at the post-secondary level. It is a program requirement and expectation that participants are enrolled in at least 6-9 units per semester in order to be considered an active participant and in good standing with the program. Due to the fact that you are not currently enrolled in academic course work at LATTC for the Fall 2025 Semester, your status in Project Tipping Point is considered inactive. In addition, your academic progress has not met programmatic expectations as outlined below:
  - Summer 2024: No credit bearing courses attempted, no credits earned
  - Fall 2024: 7 units attempted, 2 earned
  - Spring 2025: No credit bearing courses attempted, no credits earned
  - Summer 2025: No credit bearing courses attempted, no credits earned

If you would like to discuss your continued participation in Project Tipping Point, as an active participant, please schedule a time to meet with myself or your College Navigator.

2. A list of supportive services I am currently enrolled in or entitled to, along with documentation of how these services have been or will be provided.

- Below is the list of supportive services that have been provided to you since enrolling into PTP on June 13, 2024:
  - Furniture assistance: $1,191.82
  - Rental assistance:
    - Security Deposit $2000
    - August 2024 rent $1500
    - Sept 2024- June 2025 @ $2000 = $20K
    - July and Aug @ $1000 = $2000
  - Supportive Services:
    - Student Fees: $120
    - School supplies: $124.61
    - Gift cards (food insecurities/ business attire): $805
  - Totaling: $15,741.43
- Project Tipping Point participants must be considered active and in enrolled in credit-bearing college courses in order to be eligible for supportive services.

3. Copies of any grievance procedures available to participants, as required by state, county, or federal funding guidelines.

Our team has been in consistent communication regarding the expectations of the program and addressing your needs and concerns. If you have additional needs or concerns, please schedule a time to meet with myself or your College Navigator and we will include additional Leadership staff from CRCD.

4. Identification of the funding sources (city, county, state, or federal grants) that support my enrollment and services.

- The Project Tipping Point is supported through private foundations.

5. Any communications, case notes, or agreements that document my referral, intake, or program eligibility.

- The requested documents have been provided.

Thank you and please let me know if you have any questions.

Polet Lopez | **Youth & Education Manager**

Workforce Development
213.743.6193 | **M:** 323.507.3054 | **F:** 323.521.1928 | **TTY:** 711
palopez@coalitionrcd.org | www.coalitionrcd.org

EXHIBIT B

NAGERA PEMBERTON / CRCD MEETING-SUMMARY THREAD

Employment-status statement, role/custody discrepancy, and request for official CRCD records

---

Controlled source exhibit - original PDF controls - not a merits finding

Request for Written Summary of CRCD Meeting on 12/05/2025

5 messages

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Sun, Dec 7, 2025 at 11:23 AM
To: jguadron@coalitionrcd.org, rcoleman@coalitionrcd.org
Cc: Nia Bolton <nbolton@coalitionrcd.org>, Polet Lopez <palopez@coalitionrcd.org>, ldavis@coalitionrcd.org, Alexander Saltzman <asaltzman@coalitionrcd.org>, Chidumebi Ezenwoko <cezenwoko@coalitionrcd.org>, Nagera Pemberton <npemberton36@gmail.com>, Nagera Pemberton <npemberton@coalitionrcd.org>, Clark, Eric J <clarkej@lattc.edu>, Crystal Izarraraz <izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com>

Dear Mr. Guadron and Ms. Coleman,

Thank you again for meeting with my fiancée, Crystal Izarraraz, and me on [insert date], along with Eric Clark. Because the meeting involved program compliance issues, housing placement concerns, and multiple active investigations, I am requesting a written summary of the discussion and any notes your staff documented.

During the meeting, Mr. Guadron stated that the staff I would need to speak with were not in the office that day. For clarity and accuracy, I am including all CRCD personnel who have been involved in my case, directly or indirectly, so that the full chain of involvement is represented.

For the record, please provide CRCD's written confirmation of the following:

1. That CRCD initiated contact with us, not the other way around.
2. That CRCD staff assisted with our relocation to 1551 E 106th Street, a placement that is now under review by multiple agencies.
3. Whether CRCD considers us "no longer enrolled" in Project Tipping Point, and the exact date CRCD believes that separation took effect.
4. What internal actions CRCD has taken or plans to take in regard to the issues raised: unsafe housing conditions, conflicting information provided to agencies, fraud concerns, program miscommunication, and staff conduct.
5. Whether any supervisors or program managers reviewed our file before or after the relocation took place.

Given that HUD, APS, LAHSA Risk Management, federal caseworkers, and a state legislative office are now reviewing aspects of this situation, I require CRCD's written response so that all agencies receive consistent information.

Please reply at your earliest convenience.

Sincerely,

Kyle Haab

657 557 4459

Nagera Pemberton <npemberton36@gmail.com> Sun, Dec 7, 2025 at 5:00 PM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Hello Kyle,

As mentioned previously I am not apart of the CRCD organization and have not worked with them or been employed since May 2023. I understand your frustration and need for information however, I ask that you please refrain from emailing my personal email address and to stop including me in this situation as I am in no way responsible for this unfortunate situation.

Thank you,
Nagera Pemberton
[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Sun, Dec 21, 2025 at 10:55 AM
To: Crenshaw, Keyna J <Crenshkj@email.laccd.edu>

The response from Ms. Pemberton does not dispute that she participated in enrollment-related communications while I was located in Tennessee.
Her statement only addresses her current employment status, not whether she engaged in recruitment, enrollment facilitation, or program representations at the time relevant to this review.
Because the inducement, reliance, and relocation occurred during the period in which she acknowledges prior involvement, her communications remain directly relevant to the audit's scope.
[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Thu, Mar 19, 2026 at 7:49 PM
To: Passero, Roger - OIG <Passero.Roger@oig.dol.gov>

Im fowarding this mr Passero as relevance to conflicting statements with nagera Pemberton previous activity in 2024

---------- Forwarded message ---------
From: **Nagera Pemberton** <npemberton36@gmail.com>
Date: Sun, Dec 7, 2025, 5:00 PM
Subject: Re: Request for Written Summary of CRCD Meeting on 12/05/2025
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Mon, May 11, 2026 at 8:24 PM
To: jguadron@coalitionrcd.org, rcoleman@coalitionrcd.org, Stanely Dhcs investigatons <stanely.white@dhcs.ca.gov>
Cc: Nia Bolton <nbolton@coalitionrcd.org>, Polet Lopez <palopez@coalitionrcd.org>, ldavis@coalitionrcd.org, Alexander Saltzman <asaltzman@coalitionrcd.org>, Chidumebi Ezenwoko <cezenwoko@coalitionrcd.org>, Nagera Pemberton <npemberton36@gmail.com>, Nagera Pemberton <npemberton@coalitionrcd.org>, Clark, Eric J <clarkej@lattc.edu>, Crystal Izarraraz <izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com>

Dear Mr. White,

Thank you for your time and for your anticipated follow-up this week.

I am forwarding supporting emails and records for DHCS review and routing. My understanding is that you are the appropriate DHCS Investigation Division contact and that you may communicate with the Licensing Division as needed.

I am providing these materials to preserve the record and help DHCS determine whether any DHCS-regulated, licensed, funded, or contracted provider records, communications, or program actions are implicated.

I am not asking DHCS to decide family court issues, criminal liability, or non-DHCS agency fault. I am asking for review/routing to the correct DHCS unit, including Investigation Division, Licensing Division, or any other appropriate DHCS office.

Please let me know if there is a DHCS reference number, assigned unit, or specific document format you would like me to use for any further submissions.

Respectfully,

Kyle David Haab
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
[Quoted text hidden]

EXHIBIT C

NAMED CUSTODIAN / STAFF / EMAIL SEARCH SCOPE

Specific accounts, systems, keywords, metadata, and no-delay preservation instructions

---

Controlled source exhibit - original PDF controls - not a merits finding

V4 Addendum K-2 - Additional Named Custodian / Email Search Scope

Adds CCha@bos.lacounty.gov, Rvazquez@coalitionrcd.org, Asaltzman@coalitionrcd.org, and Alexandersaltzman@cdrewu.edu to the no-delay custodian search list.

K-2 additional named contacts to add to Addendum K

This supplement is not an allegation against the listed contacts. It is a custodian/search-scope instruction. The purpose is to prevent delay by requiring each agency or organization to state whether responsive records exist, whether the contact is the custodian, whether records were transferred, and which office owns the next response.

The following contacts must be added to the named-custodian and email-search scope for V4 Addendum K: CCha@bos.lacounty.gov, Rvazquez@coalitionrcd.org, Asaltzman@coalitionrcd.org, and Alexandersaltzman@cdrewu.edu.

1. Contact-specific search matrix

Contact / account	Lane to search	Required records / search terms	Required written answer
CCha@bos.lacounty.gov	BOS / County corrective-owner routing	OCI 2025-23289; OCI 2026-23965; LAHSA/HMIS; JCOD/CFCI; Amity; CRCD/PTP; LAHD/LADBS; County Privacy/HIPAA; public comment; constituent services; corrective-owner requests; referrals to County Counsel, Auditor-Controller, CEO, DHS, JCOD, LAHSA, BOS staff.	Records found / no records / not custodian / transferred / pending / referred; identify receiving office, date, and owner.
Rvazquez@coalitionrcd.org	CRCD/PTP / Amity-IDP / participant-document chain	Regina/R. Vazquez; Amity IDP; 6/13/2024 paperwork; participant forms; signatures; source authorization; consent/ROI; case notes; PTP eligibility; education/workforce records; housing support; communications with Amity, JCOD, LACCD/LATTC, and CRCD staff.	Confirm whether this account holds, sent, received, edited, uploaded, scanned, or relied on any source records.
Asaltzman@coalitionrcd.org	CRCD housing-placement / rental-assistance / landlord-payment chain	Alexander/Alex Saltzman; landlord sourcing; 1551 3/4 E. 106th; lease; W-9; ACH; vendor/payee setup; landlord verification; rent split; $500 tenant / $1,500 program / $2,000 rent; security deposit; July/August 2025 assistance; unit verification; LAHD/LADBS notice; CalVCB verification.	Produce or identify payment-authority records, landlord-contact records, and unit-verification records; identify custodian if not held by this account.
Alexandersaltzman@cdrewu.edu	External/institutional cross-system email account search	Search only for matter-related communications or attachments involving CRCD, PTP, Project Tipping Point, Kyle Haab, Crystal Izarraraz, 1551 E. 106th, rental assistance, landlord, W-9, ACH, CFCI, Amity, JCOD, LAHD, LACCD/LATTC, education/workforce, DHCS/DMH/health-system references, and any forwarding between this account and CRCD accounts.	State whether this is outside custody, no responsive records, or responsive records exist; identify whether preservation/production requires Drew University, CRCD, or another custodian.

2. Required no-delay response format

For each address listed above, the responding custodian should answer in a table using these exact categories: searched account/system; date range searched; keywords used; records found; records withheld and basis; records transferred and recipient; not-custodian explanation; preservation confirmation; next owner; expected response date.

3. Minimum keywords and identifiers

• Names: Kyle Haab, Kyle Robert Haab, Kyle David Haab, Crystal Izarraraz, Nagera/Nigera Pemberton, Latea/Latoaya Davis, Chidumebi Ezenwoko, Regina/R. Vazquez, Alex/Alexander Saltzman, Polet Lopez, Amadi, Jahrell/Jahreell Thomas, Christopher Cervantes, Jani Cervantes, Sanea Smith.
• Programs and entities: CRCD, Coalition for Responsible Community Development, Project Tipping Point, PTP, Amity, JCOD, CFCI, LACCD, LATTC, WorkSource, LAHSA, HMIS, Ruth's Place, County Fraud, OCI, County Privacy, BOS, LAHD, LADBS, CalVCB.
• Record terms: consent, ROI, source authorization, intake, IDP, Formstack, audit log, access log, disclosure log, amendment, correction, grievance, case manager, new system, lease, W-9, ACH, vendor, payee, landlord verification, rent split, rental assistance, security deposit, July assistance, August assistance, unit not registered, certificate of occupancy.

• Identifiers: PTP 1233369910; CFCI-PA3-056-2023; OCI 2025-23289; OCI 2026-23965; LAHSA 2526KH-A; HMIS 8F8CD9D8B; LAHD SO311576; LADBS A-6604739; LAPD C259045687; LAPD C269013241; CalVCB A26-10253806.

4. Source linkage and relevance

The existing V4 packets already use source pages showing Nagera/education records, Latea/EWDD routing, Joann Sanchez/Amity, Crenshaw/LACCD contact, imaldonado/County Privacy routing, Chidumebi/gluna correspondence, and BOS corrective-owner staff. This K-2 supplement adds CCha, Rvazquez, Asaltzman, and Alexandersaltzman so no reviewer can claim the search terms or possible custodians were unclear.

5. Preserve-before-referral instruction

If any listed contact is not the proper custodian, the agency or organization should still preserve responsive records before referral and should state the exact office/person/date to which the request was transferred. A bare statement that the issue belongs elsewhere is insufficient unless it identifies what was searched and who now owns the response.

V4 Addendum K-1 - BOS Staff / Corrective-Owner Email Search Scope

Purpose

This supplement adds the Board of Supervisors / County corrective-owner email contacts identified after Addendum K was created. These contacts are included as routing, receipt, preservation, escalation, and corrective-owner search terms. The purpose is to prevent further delay based on unclear County Board routing, district-office routing, or lack of a named County owner.

Field	Detail
Packet relationship	This is a supplement to V4 Addendum K - Named Custodian / Staff / Email Search Scope. It does not replace Addenda A-J or the prior K source pages.
Added contacts	spanosyan@bos.lacounty.gov; Asanford@bos.lacounty.gov; PMoran@bos.lacounty.gov; KFelder@bos.lacounty.gov
Core issue	Whether any BOS or district-office contact received, forwarded, logged, routed, or acted on CRCD/PTP, LAHSA/HMIS, CFCI/JCOD/Amity, County Fraud/OCI, LAHD/LADBS, legal-aid, family-source-record, or continued-harm materials, and who currently owns written disposition.
Boundary	This supplement does not accuse BOS staff of wrongdoing. It treats each email address as a records-location, routing, forwarding, preservation, tracking, or corrective-owner contact point.

No-delay control statement

For each added BOS contact, the County should provide a written response identifying whether records were found, no records exist, the contact is not the custodian, the matter was transferred, the records were preserved, the matter is pending, or the matter was referred. A response should identify the receiving office, tracking number if any, date of referral, and the present owner for written disposition.

BOS contact matrix

Email / contact	Known role in this packet	Records/search scope	Required written response
spanosyan@bos.lacounty.gov	BOS / County routing contact provided for corrective-owner and constituent/escalation search scope. Role to be confirmed by BOS.	Search received/sent/forwarded emails, attachments, calendar notes, constituent logs, casework notes, referrals, and routing records involving CRCD/PTP, LAHSA/HMIS, CFCI/JCOD/Amity, County Fraud/OCI 2025-23289 and 2026-23965, LAHD/LADBS, legal aid, and continued harm.	Confirm found/no records/not custodian/transferred/preserved/pending/referred; identify district/office, tracking number, recipient office, and present corrective owner.
Asanford@bos.lacounty.gov	BOS / County routing contact provided for corrective-owner and constituent/escalation search scope. Role to be confirmed by BOS.	Same scope: CRCD/PTP, LAHSA/HMIS, JCOD/CFCI/Amity, OCI, County Counsel/privacy, legal aid, family-source records, LAHD July 14 2025 complaint, continued business operations, and Chidumebi/gluna correspondence.	Same required response; include whether any referral went to CEO, County Counsel, Auditor-Controller/OCI, DHS, LAHSA, JCOD, BCSH/HCD/CRD, or another owner.
PMoran@bos.lacounty.gov	BOS / County routing contact provided for corrective-owner and constituent/escalation search scope. Role to be confirmed by BOS.	Same scope plus any public-comment, Board agenda, supervisor-office, district-office, housing-stability, eviction/UD, legal-aid access, and corrective-action materials.	Same required response; include whether the matter was logged as constituent services, public comment, referral, policy issue, privacy complaint, or no-action.
KFelder@bos.lacounty.gov	BOS / County routing contact provided for corrective-owner and constituent/escalation search scope. Role to be confirmed by BOS.	Same scope plus any communications with CRCD, Amity, LAHSA, JCOD, County Fraud/OCI, County Privacy/HIPAA, LAHD/LADBS, or legal-aid/court-access offices.	Same required response; identify whether records are held by this office, another BOS office, CEO, County Counsel, or a department custodian.

BOS search instructions and preservation language

Search terms to associate with the four added BOS contacts

Kyle Haab; Crystal Izarraraz; CRCD; Coalition for Responsible Community Development; Project Tipping Point; PTP 1233369910; LAHSA; HMIS; Ruths Place; CFCI-PA3-056-2023; JCOD; Amity Foundation; County Fraud; OCI 2025-23289; OCI 2026-23965; County Counsel HIPAA/privacy; LAHD SO311576; LADBS A-6604739; 1551 3/4 E. 106th St.; Chidumebi Ezenwoko; gluna@coalitionrcd.org; Nagera Pemberton; Latea Davis; legal aid; Alliance for Children Rights; Public Counsel; LAFLA; SmartLaw; reunification; ICPC; family source records; continued harm; business operations; payment authority; W-9; ACH; lease; rent ledger; landlord verification.

Requested records to preserve

• All emails, attachments, headers, forwarding chains, constituent-service logs, casework notes, internal routing notes, calendar entries, call notes, intake records, public-comment routing records, and Board/district-office referral records involving the contacts above.

• Any records showing whether the matter was sent to CEO, County Counsel, Auditor-Controller/OCI, DHS, LAHSA/HMIS, JCOD/CFCI, Amity, CRCD/PTP, LAHD/LADBS, DCFS/ICPC, legal-aid providers, or any state/federal office.

• Any written decision to decline action, treat the matter as outside jurisdiction, route it to another office, or close it as duplicative based on OCI 2025-23289, OCI 2026-23965, LAHSA review, HUD/CPD review, or another partial closure.

• Any records showing whether the July 14, 2025 LAHD complaint and later continued-harm/business-operations issue were considered in County corrective-owner routing.

Proposed agency response format

Contact	Found records?	Custodian?	Transferred to	Tracking no.	Pending/closed	Preservation confirmed	Next owner
spanosyan@bos.lacounty.gov							
Asanford@bos.lacounty.gov							
PMoran@bos.lacounty.gov							
KFelder@bos.lacounty.gov							

This response format is intended to prevent circular referral and avoid treating a partial LAHSA, HUD, County Fraud, or departmental closure as a complete County corrective-owner determination.

V4 Addendum K - Named Custodian / Staff / Email Search Scope

Field	Detail
Packet title	V4 Addendum K - Named Custodian / Staff / Email Search Scope
Prepared for	CRCD / Project Tipping Point; Amity Foundation; LACCD / LATTC; County / City / Federal reviewers; legal-aid and court-access reviewers
Prepared by	Kyle Robert Haab
Purpose	Add the named staff/contact layer requested by Kyle: Nagera/Nigera Pemberton, Latea/Latoaya Davis, gluna@coalitionrcd.org, jsanchez@amityfdn.org, Crenshkj@email.laccd.edu, imaldonado@coalitionrcd.org, and related Chidumebi correspondence.
Control issue	No further delay should be justified on the basis of unclear contacts. The packet identifies each named person/email, why the contact matters, what records should be searched, and what written response is required.
Boundary	This packet does not accuse each named person of wrongdoing. It treats each name/email as a record-location, custodian, routing, search-term, preservation, or witness/contact point.

No-delay control statement

The receiving agency or custodian should not delay on the ground that the request is too broad, unclear, or misdirected. This addendum narrows the search universe to named staff, named emails, named programs, and named record categories. If a listed person or address is not the correct custodian, the recipient should identify the correct custodian, preserve the routing trail, and issue a written no-record / non-custodian / referral response for that person or address.

1. Named contact matrix

Name / email	Known or preserved role	Why this person/contact matters	Records to search and preserve
Nagera / Nigera Pemberton	College Navigator / enrollment-assistance role referenced in education and PTP records.	Core education-record access issue: navigator communications, screen-access, Formstack link, onboarding documents, LATTC/LACCD/OpenCCC activity, and whether CRCD/PTP relied on education status before clean authorization.	All CRCD/PTP communications; Formstack/send-link logs; navigator notes; screen-share or device/session records; LACCD/LATTC/OpenCCC communications; staff instructions; handoff records after departure from CRCD; supervisor records.
Latea / Latoaya Davis	CRCD Workforce Development Director / EWDD-identified PTP complaint and records contact.	City/EWDD routing points back to CRCD/Latea while CRCD is the disputed provider. That creates an independent-routing and custodian-confirmation issue.	PTP file; complaint/grievance records; consent/ROI; WIOA/AJCC records; staff assignment; program approvals; communication with EWDD, JCOD, Amity, LAHSA/HMIS, LACCD/LATTC, landlord/payee contacts.
gluna@coalitionrcd.org	CRCD email contact copied on Chidumebi Ezenwoko follow-up messages. The source pages identify Gianna Luna-Hernandez as the displayed sender/contact for this email.	Shows the housing-support / rent / Ruth's Place / Prevention / signing / late-fee correspondence had an additional CRCD copied contact. That contact must be included in CRCD searches and preservation.	All emails copied to or from gluna@coalitionrcd.org; June-July 2025 Chidumebi threads; meeting notes; rent-assistance documents; agreement/signing records; late-fee communications; landlord/rent status records.
jsanchez@amityfdn.org	Amity Foundation contact identified as Joann Sanchez in JCOD/DHCS/Amity correspondence.	Amity is part of the CFCI-PA3-056-2023 / CRCD / PTP rental-assistance and provider-program records lane. This contact belongs in Amity and DHCS Investigations search scopes.	Amity intake/IDP records; CFCI contract/participant records; ROI/data submissions; payment/approval records; DHCS routing; emails with DHCS, JCOD, CRCD, LAFLA, County, and Kyle.
Crenshkj@email.laccd.edu	LACCD/LATTC contact listed as "Crenshaw, Keyna J" in the education-source authorization correspondence.	This is a specific LACCD/LATTC email that must be included in searches for education-record source authorization, audit logs, access logs, local grievance routing, and student-record correction.	All communications involving Kyle, CRCD/PTP, LATTC, LACCD, OpenCCC, noncredit application NC-31050281, student-record holds, FERPA/SPPO, local grievance, enrollment/access logs.
imaldonado@coalitionrcd.org	CRCD email contact copied on County Privacy / HIPAA custodian clarification and cross-agency source-record demands.	This email appears in privacy/HIPAA routing and CRCD records-custody correspondence; it should be included in CRCD preservation and custodian searches.	County Privacy / HIPAA emails; source-authorization records; CRCD/PTP custodial routing; HMIS/LAHSA/County correspondence; privacy, disclosure, correction, and preservation communications.
Chidumebi Ezenwoko	CRCD staff sender in June-July 2025 housing-support follow-up correspondence.	Already highlighted in Addendum J; included here because the new gluna record is tied to Chidumebi threads and continued harm after LAHD complaint timing.	June 12, July 1, July 7, July 22, 2025 emails; meeting notes; rent, Bayberry, Firestone, Ruth's Place/Prevention, signing, late fees, landlord contact, housing-support records.

2. Agency-specific no-delay questions

Agency / custodian	Required written answer
CRCD / Project Tipping Point	Identify whether Latea Davis, imaldonado@coalitionrcd.org, gluna@coalitionrcd.org, Chidumebi Ezenwoko, Nagera/Nigera Pemberton, Polet Lopez, Amadi, Alex Saltzman, and related staff are within the complete PTP search. Produce or identify the custodian of emails, Formstack logs, consent/ROI, participant agreements, grievance/case-manager records, rent-assistance, landlord, lease, W-9, ACH, and payment ledgers.
Amity Foundation	Identify whether Joann Sanchez / jsanchez@amityfdn.org is a records custodian or routing contact for Amity/CFCI/PTP records. Confirm whether Amity has participant records, IDP records, ROI/source authorization, payment approval records, case notes, or DHCS-related provider/program records.
LACCD / LATTC	Search for Crenshkj@email.laccd.edu and any LACCD/LATTC staff communications involving CRCD/PTP, Nagera Pemberton, noncredit confirmation NC-31050281, StudentAid/FSA/NSLDS, OpenCCC, FERPA/SPPO, identity holds, access logs, and local grievance routing.
EWDD / City LWDA / WorkSource	Do not simply route back to CRCD/Latea. Identify the independent City LWDA / AJCC / WIOA / EO / ADA grievance and records route when the disputed provider is CRCD or CRCD personnel.
JCOD / CFCI / Auditor-Controller / OCI	Search these names/emails as record-location terms for CFCI-PA3-056-2023, Amity, CRCD/PTP, payment authority, participant authorization, monitoring, reimbursement, and County Fraud/OCI disposition.
County Privacy / HIPAA	Treat imaldonado@coalitionrcd.org, Latea Davis, CRCD/PTP, Amity, LAHSA/HMIS, CFCI/JCOD, and County Counsel referral OCI 2026-23965 as privacy/custodian routing search terms. Provide a written custodian/no-custodian answer.
Federal independent review	Use the names/emails as non-affiliated search terms and conflict-screening markers; do not rely on CRCD or affiliated local systems to certify completeness without independent custodian confirmation.

3. Required records-to-preserve list

• All emails, attachments, headers, BCC/CC metadata, and thread history for each listed name or email address.

• CRCD/PTP Formstack records, session logs, IP addresses, submission exports, edit logs, staff view/download/export logs, and assignment notes.

• Education records: OpenCCC, LATTC noncredit NC-31050281, LACCD/LATTC communications, enrollment holds, local grievance records, FERPA/SPPO complaint-routing records, and access/audit logs.

• Amity records: IDP packet, case notes, ROI/data-submission records, CFCI participant files, DHCS-related records, and all communications involving jsanchez@amityfdn.org.

• County/CFCI records: approval basis, funding source, participant authorization, rental-assistance ledger, reimbursement request, monitoring file, audit record, and subcontractor/TPA records.

• Housing records: rent-assistance agreements, transition notices, landlord verification, lease, W-9, ACH, payment ledgers, security deposit, unit suitability, LAHD/LADBS enforcement communications, late-fee and continued-harm communications.

• Privacy/HMIS records: consent/ROI, HMIS access logs, disclosure accounting, amendment/correction requests, LAHSA/HMIS tickets, County Counsel HIPAA/privacy referral, and OCI 2026-23965 routing records.

• Personnel/witness records: staff role descriptions, supervisor assignments, case-manager assignments, handoff notes, departure/handoff records for former staff, and written policies for routing complaints away from disputed provider staff.

4. Written response format requested

For each listed person/email	Response required
Search performed?	Yes / No. If no, state why not and identify correct custodian.
Records found?	Yes / No / pending. Identify categories without disclosing protected content publicly.
Custodian?	Name the official custodian, department, email, phone, and case/reference number.
Preservation?	Confirm preservation of emails, metadata, attachments, logs, case notes, and source systems.
Authority relied on?	Identify the consent/ROI/authorization/participant agreement or state that none was located.
Referral?	State whether routed to CRCD, Amity, LACCD/LATTC, EWDD, JCOD, OCI, County Privacy, DHCS, HUD, DOL, ED, HHS, LAPD/LASD, or other unit.
Deadline	Provide date certain for final response or rolling production.

5. Source-exhibit roadmap

Source appended after this front matter	What it supports
Source Set A - V4 Addendum A pages	Latea Davis and Joann Sanchez appear in County/JCOD/EWDD/Amity routing, including City/EWDD route-back and Amity/CFCI correspondence.
Source Set B - V4 Addendum B pages	Nagera Pemberton and Crenshaw/Crenshkj LACCD contact appear in education-source authorization, FERPA, StudentAid/FSA, and LACCD/LATTC correspondence.
Source Set C - V4 Addendum C pages	imaldonado@coalitionrcd.org appears in County Privacy/HIPAA and source-record custodian clarification communications.
Source Set D - V4 Addendum J pages	gluna@coalitionrcd.org appears in Chidumebi Ezenwoko follow-up emails involving Ruth's Place/Prevention, Bayberry, current rent, signing, and late-fee communications.
Source Set E - LASD coordination pages	Role matrix and law-enforcement/county coordination framing identify Latea, Nagera, Amity/JCOD contacts, CRCD/PTP, CFCI, LAHSA/HMIS, and LAPD/OCI cross-reference needs.

Source-control note

The appended pages are included to remove delay and avoid any claim that the names or email addresses are unsupported. Original emails, PDFs, screenshots, native headers, and agency source files remain controlling if a higher-resolution or certified copy is required. If any source page is unclear, the custodian should request the native email/PDF rather than close the issue as unsupported.

7. Amity / CFCI-PA3-056-2023 records;
8. housing documentation, landlord/payment records, and rental-assistance records;
9. any child/family-status information used, recorded, shared, or relied upon.

Please also preserve all emails, text messages, internal communications, case notes, forms, audit logs, staff assignment records, supervisory instructions, and documents involving Ms. Pemberton's CRCD-related role.

If CRCD contends that Ms. Pemberton is not the proper recipient, then CRCD must identify the proper official recipient or custodian. I am asking for the official CRCD records path so this issue is not routed through a former staff member's personal email.

Respectfully,

Kyle David Haab
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

I am acknowledging that Nagera Pemberton has stated she is no longer employed with CRCD and has requested that her personal email not be used for CRCD business communications.

Going forward, I am requesting that CRCD identify the official agency representative, records custodian, or counsel responsible for responding to the pending issues involving Project Tipping Point, staff actions, records use, housing documentation, and consent/authorization.

I am not abandoning the substance of the inquiry. I am routing it through official CRCD channels.

Ms. Pemberton's response states that, during her employment, she fulfilled her responsibilities as directed. That makes CRCD's official records, supervision, instructions, and custody of program documents directly relevant.

Please identify who at CRCD is responsible for addressing:

1. Project Tipping Point enrollment and communications;
2. staff actions taken during Ms. Pemberton's employment;
3. the alleged 2023 consent/contact basis;
4. any 2024 consent, ROI, authorization, or release relied upon;
5. Amity / CFCI-PA3-056-2023 related records;
6. LATTC/LACCD coordination records;
7. housing documentation and rental-assistance records;
8. any child/family-status information used, recorded, or disclosed;
9. any instructions given to staff regarding my enrollment, housing, school enrollment, records, or communications.

Please also preserve all communications, notes, instructions, internal messages, case-management records, emails, text messages, forms, signatures, audit logs, and staff assignment records involving Ms. Pemberton's role in my CRCD / Project Tipping Point matter.

This request is made in good faith for records clarification, preservation, and proper agency routing. If CRCD believes a different office, counsel, or custodian should receive these requests, please identify that person in writing.

Respectfully,

Kyle David Haab
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

On Tue, May 12, 2026, 11:54 AM Nagera Pemberton <npemberton36@gmail.com> wrote:

> Hello Kyle,
>
> As previously stated, please refrain from contacting my personal email regarding any CRCD business matters. I am no longer employed with the organization, and during my employment I fulfilled my responsibilities as directed.
>
> I have previously requested that my personal email be removed from these communications, yet the contact has continued. These repeated unwanted communications are inappropriate and need to stop.
>
> Please cease further contact to this email address regarding CRCD matters and remove my information from future correspondence.
>
> Thank you,
> Nagera Pemberton

> On Friday, December 12, 2025, Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> wrote:

To all recipients,

I am issuing this formal correction and requesting agency-level clarification regarding CRCD's representations about my enrollment in Project Tipping Point, the staff who handled my case, and the documentation submitted under my name. Multiple facts in your records conflict with each other and with the actual timeline, and several actions taken by staff appear to violate program requirements, eligibility rules, and basic compliance procedures.

The following items require written clarification from CRCD, Amity, LAHSA, and the landlord copied here.

---

1. Program Initiation & Enrollment Communication

• Amadi Tafale initiated contact with me while I was still living in Tennessee, informing me that I was being connected to Project Tipping Point.
• Nagera Pemberton, acting as a College Navigator, sent me the Formstack enrollment link and provided the documentation list required for Project Tipping Point onboarding.
• My completed Formstack submission should have generated an internal participant record for intake processing, but no such record exists.
• Immediately after I submitted Formstack, Nagera stopped communication.

Clarification Requested:

1. Who authorized Amadi to initiate out-of-state enrollment contact?

2. Who directed Nagera to send onboarding documents?

3. Why was my Formstack submission never processed into any system (HMIS, CRCD intake, LACCD workflow)?

4. Who decided not to complete my intake after my submission?

1A. Unprocessed Formstack Enrollment Submission

Despite my completion of the official Formstack intake, CRCD never processed my digital file. As a result:

• No digital enrollment exists
• No eligibility verification exists
• No case record exists
• No program agreement exists
• No service documentation exists

CRCD then shifted to paper-only documentation, including false entries such as "2 children," which were never reported by me.

I require written explanation for why my intake was abandoned after submission.

---

2. Housing Placement Documentation (CRCD – Polet Lopez & Latea Davis)

CRCD staff Polet Lopez and Latea Davis produced conflicting ledgers showing rental payments issued under my name for 1551 E 106th St, despite:

• No HMIS enrollment
• No program agreement
• No inspection
• No eligibility verification
• No consistent payment record

Clarification Requested:

11. Why relocation occurred despite my stable HUD housing.

Thank you.

Kyle Haab
Kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

Crystal Izarraraz
Izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com

On Sun, Dec 7, 2025, 5:00 PM Nagera Pemberton <npemberton36@gmail.com> wrote:

> Hello Kyle,
>
> As mentioned previously I am not apart of the CRCD organization and have not worked with them or been employed since May 2023. I understand your frustration and need for information however, I ask that you please refrain from emailing my personal email address and to stop including me in this situation as I am in no way responsible for this unfortunate situation.
>
> Thank you,
> Nagera Pemberton
>
> On Sunday, December 7, 2025, Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> > Dear Mr. Guadron and Ms. Coleman,
> >
> > Thank you again for meeting with my fiancée, Crystal Izarraraz, and me on [insert date], along with Eric Clark. Because the meeting involved program compliance issues, housing placement concerns, and multiple active investigations, I am requesting a written summary of the discussion and any notes your staff documented.
> >
> > During the meeting, Mr. Guadron stated that the staff I would need to speak with were not in the office that day. For clarity and accuracy, I am including all CRCD personnel who have been involved in my case, directly or indirectly, so that the full chain of involvement is represented.
> >
> > For the record, please provide CRCD's written confirmation of the following:
> >
> > 1. That CRCD initiated contact with us, not the other way around.
> > 2. That CRCD staff assisted with our relocation to 1551 E 106th Street, a placement that is now under review by multiple agencies.
> > 3. Whether CRCD considers us "no longer enrolled" in Project Tipping Point, and the exact date CRCD believes that separation took effect.
> > 4. What internal actions CRCD has taken or plans to take in regard to the issues raised: unsafe housing conditions, conflicting information provided to agencies, fraud concerns, program miscommunication, and staff conduct.
> > 5. Whether any supervisors or program managers reviewed our file before or after the relocation took place.
> >
> > Given that HUD, APS, LAHSA Risk Management, federal caseworkers, and a state legislative office are now reviewing aspects of this situation, I require CRCD's written response so that all agencies receive consistent information.
> >
> > Please reply at your earliest convenience.
> >
> > Sincerely,
> >
> > Kyle Haab
> >
> > 657 557 4459

EXHIBIT D

LEGAL AID / ALLIANCE / CRCD SOURCE-RECORD ROUTING

Alliance housing-prevention, legal-aid access, family-stability, and reunification routing questions

---

Controlled source exhibit - original PDF controls - not a merits finding

V4 Addendum I - Legal Aid / Alliance / CRCD Source-Record Routing

Detailed no-delay addendum: legal-aid access, Alliance involvement, CRCD/PTP housing-support communications, Chidumebi correspondence, reunification and source-record routing.

Field	Detail
Packet title	V4 Addendum I - Legal Aid / Alliance for Children's Rights / CRCD Reunification and Source-Record Routing
Primary focus	Alliance for Children's Rights, Public Counsel / legal-aid access, CRCD/PTP housing-support communications, Chidumebi Ezenwoko correspondence, family-stability/reunification routing, and source-record preservation.
Core identifiers	25CMFL00694; CRCD/PTP 1233369910; LAHSA/HMIS 8F8CD9D8B / 2526KH-A; CFCI-PA3-056-2023; LAHD SO311576; OCI 2025-23289 / 2026-23965.
Boundary	This packet does not ask Alliance, CRCD, legal-aid providers, or family-court personnel to decide criminal, landlord, housing-code, ICPC, or public-funds merits. It asks for records, custodian identification, preservation, and written routing.

1. No-delay control statement

The legal-aid/Alliance lane cannot be treated as background only. The record shows CRCD/PTP housing-support communications and Alliance housing-prevention/referral communications in the same family-stability and reunification timeline. A response that says “we do not provide direct housing” does not answer whether Alliance received, created, relied on, or routed CRCD/PTP source records or family-stability records.

2. Narrow issue presented

Whether Alliance for Children’s Rights, Public Counsel/other legal-aid contacts, or CRCD/PTP staff hold records showing coordination, referral, advice, housing-prevention activity, kinship-caregiver/reunification communications, landlord-payment discussions, Bayberry ledger/payment activity, WorkSource/AJCC referrals, or source-authorization records that affected the family-stability and court-access timeline.

3. Why the Alliance/CRCD packet matters

• CRCD communications show housing assistance, landlord contact, current-rent discussions, Bayberry balance resolution, and an agreement/signing process - not merely academic counseling.
• Alliance communications show housing-prevention referrals, external housing resources, and TAY staff routing in the same family-stability period.
• The unresolved issue is who held the complete source record and who had authority to use or share household, family-status, housing, school, and financial information.
• The family-law and ICPC issues are included only to show materiality: the housing-support record chain affected family stability, visitation/reunification access, and court posture.

4. Chidumebi Ezenwoko correspondence - highlighted records

Date	Record	Why it matters / required response
June 12-13, 2025	Meeting follow-up from Chidumebi Ezenwoko	References Project Tipping Point, Firestone income-based housing denial due to Bayberry balance, ledger request, affordable-housing documents, and WorkSource registration. Required response: identify whether this was a CRCD/PTP, Housing & Support Services, WorkSource, or Alliance-related service file; identify who approved the housing-support activity.
July 1, 2025	Follow-up conversation from Chidumebi	References Crystal going to Ruth’s Place/Prevention, a one-time payment for the whole year, steady income, paying outstanding balance to qualify for income-based housing, relocation-assistance documents, and the statement that PTP assists with school while housing assistance is an additional service. Required response: identify source authority, funding source, and whether the record was shared with Alliance/Ruth’s Place/HMIS.
July 7, 2025	Current-rent / landlord-contact email	References Bayberry ledger, current rent, landlord request, Polet, requested landlord information, and speaking with the landlord about possible assistance. Required response: identify whether landlord communication was authorized, logged, and tied to a participant agreement.

Date	Record	Why it matters / required response
July 22, 2025	Agreement / signing / late-fee email	References an agreement involving Chidumebi, Sanea, and the landlord over two Zoom sessions, and pressure to sign due to late fees. Required response: produce the agreement, Zoom/session records, who Sanea represented, who authorized the terms, and what grievance/appeal rights were provided.

5. Alliance / legal-aid custodian questions

• Identify every Alliance staff member, legal-aid staff member, referral partner, or external provider who received or created records concerning CRCD/PTP, Bayberry, Firestone, Ruth's Place, HOPICS, landlord communications, current rent, or reunification/housing stability.
• State whether Alliance received any CRCD/PTP file, participant agreement, ROI, housing-support agreement, landlord email, rent ledger, court order, ICPC/DCS record, or family-status information.
• State whether Alliance communicated with CRCD, Sanea Smith, Shahzareh Ali, Public Counsel, LAFLA, SmartLaw, LSC, or any provider regarding housing prevention, legal referral, custody/visitation, reunification, or relocation assistance.
• If Alliance does not hold records, provide a written no-record/custodian-transfer statement identifying what systems, staff, mailboxes, and date ranges were searched.

6. CRCD/PTP custodian questions tied to legal-aid/access

• Produce the agreement referenced in Chidumebi's July 22 email involving Chidumebi, Sanea, and the landlord, including drafts and signatures.
• Produce records showing whether Chidumebi's housing-support work was under PTP, CRCD Housing & Support Services, WorkSource/AJCC, CFCI/Amity, private foundation funds, or another funding lane.
• Produce communications with Alliance, Public Counsel, LAFLA, HOPICS, Ruth's Place, DPSS/GR, Firestone, Bayberry/National Credit Systems, and the landlord.
• Produce the complete grievance/case-management file explaining why Crystal had case-worker/new-system paperwork and what process Kyle was placed under.

7. Proposed written response format

Item	Answer required	Records searched	Custodian / next owner
Alliance/CRCD coordination	Yes / No / partial	Staff, shared drives, Gmail/Outlook, case systems, referral systems	Name, title, email
Chidumebi agreement / Zoom records	Produced / no record / held elsewhere	Email, calendar, Zoom/Teams, CRCD case file	Name, title, email
Housing-prevention / Bayberry / Firestone records	Produced / no record / held elsewhere	CRCD, Alliance, referrals, landlord/payment files	Name, title, email
Family/reunification/housing-stability records	Produced / no record / held elsewhere	Legal-aid, Alliance, court/referral systems	Name, title, email

8. Exhibit list appended

Ex.	Source pages	Purpose
I-A	CRCD / Alliance Records Preservation Packet pages 1-5	Front matter, executive summary, requested records, transmittal, exhibit index.
I-B	Pages 6-15	Chidumebi correspondence: June 12/13, July 1, July 7, July 22.
I-C	Page 31	Alliance/Sanea housing-prevention/referral context: Alliance does not operate direct housing but provides referral/support.
I-D	Page 41	CRCD/Polet formal response on enrollment/supportive-services and funding representation.
I-E	Pages 51-89	Legal-aid/LSC/Public Counsel/Alliance context and access/exhaustion record excerpts.

EXHIBIT E

LAHD CONTINUED HARM / BUSINESS-OPERATIONS RECORD

Unapproved-unit enforcement, landlord/payment continuity, and post-notice source-record reconciliation

---

Controlled source exhibit - original PDF controls - not a merits finding

V4 Addendum J - LAHD Continued Harm / Business Operations / Chidumebi

Detailed no-delay addendum: LAHD July 14 correspondence, later unapproved-unit finding, continued CRCD/PTP housing-support activity, and Chidumebi correspondence.

Field	Detail
Packet title	V4 Addendum J - LAHD Continued Harm / Business-Operations Continuity / Chidumebi Housing-Support Correspondence
Primary focus	Why the July 14, 2025 LAHD correspondence and later August 15, 2025 LAHD unapproved-unit finding require a written enforcement/custodian response when CRCD/PTP housing-support activity, landlord communications, payments, and business operations continued.
Core identifiers	LAHD SO311576; LADBS Order / Case 1053480 / A-6604739; 1551 3/4 E. 106th St.; CRCD/PTP 1233369910; CFCI-PA3-056-2023; LAPD C259045687 / C269013241; OCI 2025-23289 / 2026-23965.
Boundary	This packet does not ask LAHD, LADBS, CRCD, LAPD, JCOD, or federal agencies to decide every civil or criminal issue. It asks for written reconciliation of enforcement status, source authorization, payment authority, landlord/unit verification, and why harm continued after official notice.

1. No-delay control statement

The July 14, 2025 LAHD correspondence shows the City had opened a Unit Not Registered complaint and requested lease/rent/government/court documents within 15 days. Later LAHD/LADBS records identified an unapproved-unit / no-valid-certificate-of-occupancy issue. CRCD/PTP housing-support and landlord-contact correspondence continued during the same period. Agencies should not delay by treating this as a normal tenant dispute until they reconcile the unit status, CRCD/PTP payment/support activity, and the landlord/payment authority.

2. Core question presented

After LAHD correspondence and enforcement activity put the unit and landlord on official notice, why did the housing-placement/payment chain continue without a complete written determination identifying: (1) the unit's lawful rental status; (2) whether CRCD/PTP or a related funding source verified habitability/legal occupancy; (3) whether any landlord payment, rent support, agreement, or case-management activity continued; and (4) which agency owns enforcement or corrective action?

3. Timeline requiring reconciliation

Date	Record	Why it requires action
July 7, 2025	LAHD complaint date reflected in July 14 LAHD correspondence	LAHD complaint existed before later CRCD/PTP or landlord-related follow-ups. Agencies must identify whether CRCD, landlord, LAHD, or payment/funding custodians knew of the complaint and whether payments/agreements continued afterward.
July 14, 2025	LAHD request for documentation - Unit Not Registered / SO311576	LAHD requested lease, proof of rent payments, government notices, and court documents within 15 days. This is the first no-delay enforcement anchor.
July 1 / July 7 / July 22, 2025	Chidumebi Ezenwoko emails	CRCD housing-support correspondence references rent, landlord contact, prevention/Ruth's Place, a one-time payment, agreement/signing, and late fees. These records must be reconciled with LAHD enforcement.
August 15, 2025	LAHD unapproved-unit letter	LAHD/LADBS identified use/occupancy or occupancy classification changed without valid Certificate of Occupancy and described RSO declaration/relocation process.
August 29, 2025	CRCD rent verification letter	CRCD letter states CRCD paid 100% of Kyle Haab rent from August 2024 to June 2025 at $1,000/month; this conflicts with $2,000 rent and other rental-assistance terms and requires a payment-authority matrix.
Oct-Nov 2025	Further tenant complaint / health and access issues	Records indicate continuing habitability, pest, harassment/access, and enforcement concerns after the initial LAHD correspondence.

4. "Business continues" / operational continuity issues

• If a property is under LAHD/LADBS enforcement, the response must say whether landlord payments, CRCD/PTP rental support, security-deposit support, or relocation offers continued and under what verified authority.

• If CRCD-related LLC entities show FTB suspension/not-good status, the response must clarify whether any suspended or affiliate entity was connected to housing, landlord coordination, property operations, payee setup, or service delivery. This is an audit/governance question, not a conclusion of invalidity.
• If CRCD's main nonprofit, affiliates, or partners continued to operate housing-support services after the LAHD complaint, the reviewer should identify whether any monitoring, corrective action, risk review, or conflict-screening occurred.
• If public or grant-backed funds were used, the reviewer should identify whether unit legality and habitability were verified before payment and whether LAHD/LADBS findings triggered suspension, referral, or corrective action.

5. Chidumebi correspondence - why it must be highlighted

Chidumebi Ezenwoko's emails are not peripheral. They place a CRCD Rental Assistance Coordinator / Housing & Support Services role inside the same July 2025 period as LAHD complaint activity. The emails reference Ruth's Place/Prevention, one-time payment, outstanding Bayberry balance, current rent, landlord contact, possible assistance, and an agreement involving Chidumebi, Sanea, and the landlord. These records require production of the agreement, funding lane, consent/ROI authority, case notes, payment approvals, Zoom/calendar records, and grievance/appeal rights.

6. Enforcement/custodian questions that must be answered

• LAHD/LADBS: Was SO311576 linked to any later landlord payment, eviction, relocation, RSO, declaration, or code-enforcement action? Was the July 14 request satisfied? What documents were received? What remained missing?
• CRCD/PTP: Did CRCD know of LAHD SO311576 before continuing rent, relocation, landlord, agreement, or housing-support discussions? Who verified unit legality?
• JCOD/Amity/CFCI: Were payments or reports made after LAHD complaint/enforcement notice? Was unit legality/habitability a condition of payment? What monitoring occurred?
• LAPD/OCI/LADA/HUD/DOL/HHS/ED as applicable: Were LAHD/LADBS enforcement records considered before closing, narrowing, referring, or delaying related records-integrity, public-funds, or identity-use matters?

7. Proposed agency response matrix

Issue	Required answer	Records searched	Custodian / owner
SO311576 status	Open / closed / referred / corrected / enforcement pending	LAHD complaint, RSO, declarations, tenant docs, landlord docs	Name, title, email
Unit legality / CofO	Verified / not verified / disputed / no record	LADBS, LAHD, permits, inspections, certificate records	Name, title, email
CRCD/PTP post-notice activity	Continued / stopped / unknown	CRCD email, case notes, payment ledgers, landlord contacts	Name, title, email
Chidumebi agreement	Produced / no record / held elsewhere	Email, calendar, Zoom, agreement drafts, signatures	Name, title, email
Payment authority	Month-by-month matrix	W-9, ACH, ledger, CFCI, CRCD, Amity, landlord records	Name, title, email

8. Exhibit list appended

Ex.	Source	Purpose
J-A	July 14, 2025 LAHD correspondence image	Shows SO311576, complaint date 07/07/2025, Unit Not Registered, requested documents, 15-day response, assigned investigator.
J-B	August 15, 2025 LAHD letter	Shows unapproved unit, LADBS order/no valid Certificate of Occupancy, RSO declaration/relocation process.
J-C	Chidumebi pages from CRCD/Alliance packet	Shows CRCD housing support, landlord contact, current rent, agreement/signing, Bayberry/Firestone/WorkSource.
J-D	CRCD rental-assistance / verification images	Shows later CRCD/PTP rental-assistance representations and terms that must be reconciled.
J-E	CRCD LLC / CRCD Main Street LLC SOS screenshots	Governance/affiliate standing context; not a conclusion against the main nonprofit.

Source Exhibits - Addendum J

Selected LAHD/LADBS, Chidumebi, and CRCD/PTP source pages.

Source-control note: This divider is added for navigation. The source pages following this divider remain the controlling exhibit pages if a native, certified, or higher-resolution version is required.

Exhibit J-A - LAHD July 14, 2025 Correspondence

LAHD confirmation of complaint filed / request for documentation: complaint date 07/07/2025, alleged violation Unit Not Registered, case SO311576, assigned investigator, 15-day response.

City of Los Angeles

Tiena Johnson Hall, General Manager
Tricia Keane, Executive Officer

Anna E. Ortega, Assistant General Manager
Luz C. Santiago, Assistant General Manager
Craig Arceneaux, Acting Assistant General Manager

Karen Bass, Mayor

LOS ANGELES HOUSING DEPARTMENT
1910 Sunset Blvd, Ste 300
Los Angeles, CA 90026
Tel: 213.808.8808

housing.lacity.gov

August 15, 2025

Kyle Haab
1551 ¾ E. 106th St.
Los Angeles CA 90002

RE: LAHD Case Number: SO311576

The enclosed is a courtesy copy of a letter sent to your landlord(s):

Please retain for your records.

Thank you.

City of Los Angeles

Tiena Johnson Hall, General Manager
Tricia Keane, Executive Officer

Anna E. Ortega, Assistant General Manager
Luz C. Santiago, Assistant General Manager
Craig Arceneaux, Acting Assistant General Manager

Karen Bass, Mayor

LOS ANGELES HOUSING DEPARTMENT
1910 Sunset Blvd, Ste 300
Los Angeles, CA 90026
Tel: 213.808.8808

housing.lacity.gov

August 15, 2025

Christopher N Cervantes
Post Office Box 1111
Downey, CA 90240-0111

RE: LAHD Case Number: SO311576
Tenant Name(s): Kyle Haab
Address: 1551 ¾ E. 106th St., Los Angeles CA 90002

To Whom It May Concern

Our records indicate that you are the owner of the above property that is subject to Rent Stabilization Ordinance (RSO). The Investigation and Enforcement Section of the Los Angeles Housing Department (LAHD), has reviewed a complaint filed with LAHD.

UNAPPROVED UNIT

The Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety (LADBS), issued the landlord an Order to Comply, with an effective date of August 8, 2025, regarding the following relevant code violation(s):

- Building or structure is being used, occupied, or it's existing occupancy classification has changed without the issuance of a valid Certificate of Occupancy;

The RSO as codified in the Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC), requires that a notice to evict state one of the legal reasons for eviction. Section 151.09 of the RSO specifies the legal reasons for eviction and Paragraph C of that section states, "the landlord shall serve on the tenant a written notice setting forth the reasons for the termination." Compliance with a government agency's order that necessitates the vacating of the unit is one of the reasons for eviction under the RSO. There is a specific procedure the RSO requires a landlord to follow for evictions based on compliance with a governmental order. The procedure a landlord must follow to evict a tenant to comply with a government order involves the following:

1. The landlord must file with LAHD a Declaration of Intent to Evict in Order to Comply with a Government Agency's Order;
2. The landlord must serve the tenant a 30 or 60 day written notice of eviction attached to a copy of the filed Declaration of Intent to Evict;
3. The landlord must either pay relocation directly to the tenant or deposit it in an escrow account with escrow instructions to the tenant within 15 days of the date on the notice of eviction. Depending on tenancy, the relocation assistance amount can range from $10,650.00 - $26,550.00, effective from July 1, 2025 through June 30, 2026.

Please contact the Landlord Declaration Section of LAHD for further details on how to comply with a Landlord Declaration at (213) 808-8537. Inquiries can be emailed to this general email: lahd.declarations@lacity.org.

Should you have any further questions, please call me at (818) 756-1490, between the hours of 10:00 a.m. – 12:00 p.m. and 2:00 p.m. – 4:00 p.m. Tuesdays and Thursdays. You can leave a message anytime.
Sincerely,

JOSEPH PLASCENCIA, Senior Housing Investigator I
Investigation and Enforcement Section

c: Kyle Haab

EXHIBIT A

CRCD Follow-Up Conversation - July 1, 2025

CRCD references working on paying the outstanding balance so Kyle could qualify for income-based housing and notes housing assistance as an additional service.

Follow-Up Conversation

3 messages

Chidumebi Ezenwoko <cezenwoko@coalitionrcd.org> Tue, Jul 1, 2025 at 11:33 AM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
Cc: Gianna Luna-Hernandez <gluna@coalitionrcd.org>

Hello Kyle,
This email is a follow-up email from our conversation. Yesterday, we spoke, and I told you that Chrystal will have to go to Ruth's place and register at Prevention. I told you that they will be able to assist you guys with a one-time payment for the whole year. You will also be required to have a steady income to assist with paying your rent. I also told you that we were working on paying your outstanding balance so you can qualify for income-based housing. You also mentioned yesterday that you wanted the documents so you could check if you qualified for relocation assistance. I explained to you that Project Tipping Point assists with school, and housing assistance is an additional service we provide. On the other hand, I mentioned that you contact AJCC to assist you with landing a job and you said you registered with them last week on Friday 6/27/25.

_____

Chidumebi Ezenwoko | **Rental Assistance Coordinator**

Housing & Support Services
General: 323.432.2440 | 213.743.6193 |
cezenwoko@coalitionrcd.org | www.coalitionrcd.org

Confidentiality Notice: This message (including any attachments) contains confidential information intended for a specific individual and purpose, and is protected by law. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by email and delete the original message and any attachments. Any unauthorized disclosure, copying, or distribution of this message, or the taking of any action based on it, is strictly prohibited.

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Thu, Mar 19, 2026 at 9:41 PM
To: Passero, Roger - OIG <Passero.Roger@oig.dol.gov>

Im fowarding you this relevance to lack of communications regarding funding availability also i attaching notice of assistance cutting without any grievance procedure or appeal i was told that my signature is acknowledgment that I received form vs agreement present at January 13 2025 meeting was latea davis ldavis@coalitionrcd.org and polet Lopez palopez@coalitionrcd.org with a timing of ftb suspensions that allign same say rent responsibility shifts towards us
[Quoted text hidden]

11 attachments

- **image446951.png** 950 B
- **image063032.png** 1 KB
- **image056578.jpg** 25 KB
- **image352711.png** 1 KB
- **image693370.png** 883 B
- **image128317.png** 882 B
- **Screenshot_20260319_213220_Print Spooler.jpg** 295 KB
- **file_000000001350622f82dcf7b0c28e2be0-sanitized.jpg** 167 KB
- **file_00000000113461f5826251ed4bdff76e-sanitized.jpg** 180 KB
- **file_000000005c18722f8f07f33c70e46490-sanitized.jpg** 254 KB

EXHIBIT B

CRCD Follow-Up Conversation - Current Rent / Landlord Contact - July 7, 2025

CRCD references current rent, landlord request, Polet, landlord information, and speaking with the landlord about possible assistance.

Follow-Up Conversation

1 message

Chidumebi Ezenwoko <cezenwoko@coalitionrcd.org> Mon, Jul 7, 2025 at 1:20 PM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
Cc: Gianna Luna-Hernandez <gluna@coalitionrcd.org>, izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com <izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com>

Hello Kyle and Crystal,
Earlier today, you called making inquiries about your Bayberry ledger from Tennessee. You also asked about if we were assisting with your current rent because your landlord has been requesting it. I reminded you that I spoke to Polet about it and we were trying to see what we could do to assist. I requested your landlord's information and spoke with him. I told him that we were looking to assist but it may take sometime because Polet was away. He mentioned that he had been trying to reach out to you guys but you guys have been rude to him. He mentioned that you and Crystal brought in a dog even though you were not meant to. He also mentioned that he came around today to speak to you and Crystal and the door was slammed in his face which he said was rude. Kyle mentioned that the landlord registered the housing as a "multi-family housing" meanwhile it is a single family unit. Kyle also claimed in our phone conversation that the landlord has two social security numbers. Kyle also mentioned that he didn't want to speak to the landlord in person and only wants to communicate through email for documentation purposes. I checked-in with Crystal regarding her job applications and she said she hasn't gotten any response.

_____

Chidumebi Ezenwoko | **Rental Assistance Coordinator**

Housing & Support Services
General: 323.432.2440 | 213.743.6193 |
cezenwoko@coalitionrcd.org | www.coalitionrcd.org

Confidentiality Notice: This message (including any attachments) contains confidential information intended for a specific individual and purpose, and is protected by law. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by email and delete the original message and any attachments. Any unauthorized disclosure, copying, or distribution of this message, or the taking of any action based on it, is strictly prohibited.

EXHIBIT C

CRCD Follow-Up Conversation - Agreement / Signing / Late Fees - July 22, 2025

CRCD references an agreement involving CRCD staff, Sanea, and the landlord during Zoom sessions and notes late-fee pressure.

Follow-Up Conversation

1 message

Chidumebi Ezenwoko <cezenwoko@coalitionrcd.org> Tue, Jul 22, 2025 at 9:51 AM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
Cc: Gianna Luna-Hernandez <gluna@coalitionrcd.org>

Hello Kyle,
This is a follow-up email to the conversation we had. I called asking you if you will come tomorrow as you promised during the meeting last week to sign. You said you will wait till July 31st. I reminded you of the agreement you had with me, Sanea, and the landlord when we spoke over two zoom sessions two weeks ago. I also reminded you that the longer you wait the more impatient the landlord becomes, and late fees get added.

_____

Chidumebi Ezenwoko | **Rental Assistance Coordinator**

Housing & Support Services
General: 323.432.2440 | 213.743.6193 |
cezenwoko@coalitionrcd.org | www.coalitionrcd.org

Confidentiality Notice: This message (including any attachments) contains confidential information intended for a specific individual and purpose, and is protected by law. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by email and delete the original message and any attachments. Any unauthorized disclosure, copying, or distribution of this message, or the taking of any action based on it, is strictly prohibited.

EXHIBIT D

CRCD Meeting Follow-Up - Bayberry / Firestone / Housing / WorkSource - June 12-13, 2025

CRCD references Firestone denial because of Bayberry balance, requests ledger, affordable housing resources, and WorkSource registration.

Meeting Follow-Up

3 messages

Chidumebi Ezenwoko <cezenwoko@coalitionrcd.org> Thu, Jun 12, 2025 at 11:04 AM
To: kyle.dietz99@gmail.com <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
Cc: Gianna Luna-Hernandez <gluna@coalitionrcd.org>

Good morning Kyle,
These are the few things we discussed in our conversation a little while ago. In our discussion, you mentioned you reached out to the college advisor at Project Tipping Point explaining to her about your outstanding balance and what could be done to help you clear your debt so you could continue with school. You also mentioned that you reached out to an income-based housing called FIRESTONE, but you were denied as a result of your $350 outstanding balance from Bayberry in Tennesse. I reminded you to contact them tomorrow since you claimed they will reopen at noon tomorrow to ask for a ledger.
I have attached the two documents I promised to provide that inform you about several affordable housing units you could contact and about registering for the work source center to prepare you for job opportunities
June 2025 Calendar (1) 1.pdf

Chidumebi Ezenwoko | **Rental Assistance Coordinator**

Housing & Support Services
General: 323.432.2440 | 213.743.6193 |
cezenwoko@coalitionrcd.org | www.coalitionrcd.org

Confidentiality Notice: This message (including any attachments) contains confidential information intended for a specific individual and purpose, and is protected by law. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by email and delete the original message and any attachments. Any unauthorized disclosure, copying, or distribution of this message, or the taking of any action based on it, is strictly prohibited.

thumbnail_IMG_7112.jpg
468 KB

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Fri, Jun 13, 2025 at 6:17 AM
To: Chidumebi Ezenwoko <cezenwoko@coalitionrcd.org>
Cc: Gianna Luna-Hernandez <gluna@coalitionrcd.org>

[Quoted text hidden]

7 attachments

image616937.jpg
25 KB

image801975.png
882 B

image394858.png
1 KB

image200399.png
1 KB

image301405.png
883 B

image996704.png
950 B

Haab Ledger.pdf
19 KB

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Thu, Mar 19, 2026 at 9:16 PM
To: Passero, Roger - OIG <Passero.Roger@oig.dol.gov>

Im fowarding this mr passero as shows knowledge of my previous Tennessee residency and the request for ledger i will also attach supportive documentation from fire stone denial the concern is what funds were used to pay for something crcd says they are not responsible for
[Quoted text hidden]

11 attachments

image200399.png
1 KB

image394858.png
1 KB

image301405.png
883 B

image801975.png
882 B

image996704.png
950 B

image616937.jpg
25 KB

Screenshot_20260109_154907_Gmail.jpg
591 KB

Screenshot_20260110_002453_OneDrive.jpg
896 KB

Screenshot_20260109_155032_OneDrive.jpg
716 KB

Screenshot_20260319_211405_Print Spooler.jpg
465 KB

Haab Ledger.pdf
19 KB

Exhibit J-D1 - CRCD August 29, 2025 Rent Verification

CRCD letter image stating CRCD paid 100% of Kyle Haab rent from August 2024 until June 2025 at $1,000 per month.

Exhibit J-D2 - January 13 Rental Assistance Letter - Kyle

January 13, 2025 rental-assistance change letter stating PTP assistance would end in April 2025.

Exhibit J-D3 - January 13 Rental Assistance Letter - Crystal

Separate January 13, 2025 rental-assistance change letter for Crystal.

Exhibit J-D4 - Later PTP Clarification / Proposal

Later PTP clarification/proposal stating PTP is not a housing program and describing July/August 2025 support and September onward responsibility.

Exhibit J-E1 - SOS History / FTB Suspension Screenshot

Secretary of State history screenshot showing system amendment / FTB suspended status for related CRCD entity. Governance/affiliate context only.

Exhibit J-E2 - CRCD LLC Entity Status Screenshot

CRCD LLC SOS screenshot showing Suspended-FTB, not-good FTB standing, agent Mark Wilson, address at 3101 South Grand Avenue.

Exhibit J-E3 - CRCD Main Street LLC Entity Status Screenshot

CRCD Main Street LLC SOS screenshot showing Suspended-FTB / not-good standing context. Governance/affiliate context only.

Exhibit I - LADBS Order to Comply Page 1 of 3

CITY OF LOS ANGELES

ORDER TO COMPLY AND NOTICE OF FEE

Exhibit I - LADBS Order to Comply Page 2 of 3

Exhibit I - Page 2 of 3

Family Law Court Assistance Packet – Case 23CRIR120004

Prepared for Sheriff/Lasd Hearing – Kyle Haab – May 2026

Exhibit I - LADBS Order to Comply Page 3 of 3

Exhibit I - Page 3 of 3

NON-COMPLIANCE FEE WARNING:

FOR ANY EXPLANATION OF THIS LAW, IT IS YOUR RESPONSIBILITY TO CORRECT THE VIOLATION(S) AND CONTACT THE INSPECTOR LISTED BELOW TO ARRANGE FOR A COMPLIANCE INSPECTION BEFORE THE NON-COMPLIANCE FEE IS IMPOSED. Failure to correct the violations and arrange for the compliance inspection within 10 days from the Compliance Date, will result in imposition of the fee noted below.

In addition to the [illegible] noted above, a progressive non-compliance fee in the amount of $660.00 may be imposed for failure to comply with this order within 10 days after the compliance date specified in the order or unless an appeal or request for slight modification is filed within 15 days of the compliance date.

If an appeal or request for slight modification is not filed within 15 days of the compliance date or a reasonable granted thereafter, the determination of the department to impose said civil or non-compliance fee shall be final. Section 98.0411 L.A.M.C.

NOTE: [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[

EXHIBIT F

DOJ INDEPENDENT ROUTING / SEARCH RECONCILIATION

PIU 1508015, PRA 26-949, and DMFEA 36332332 - routing evidence only, not a merits finding

---

Controlled source exhibit - original PDF controls - not a merits finding

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE / OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

Request for Independent Routing, Conflict Screening, Charitable-Trust / Public-Integrity Review, and DOJ Search Reconciliation

Requester: Kyle David Haab
Primary DOJ references: PIU No. 1508015; PRA No. 26-949; DMFEA Ref. No. 36332332
Related identifiers: LAPD C259045687; LAPD C269013241; LAPD Complaint Form 26-001767; County OCI 2025-23289 and 2026-23965; DOL OIG FOIA 2026067; Federal Case 2:26-cv-00802-FMO-ASx; CRCD / PTP 1233369910; CFCI-PA3-056-2023; HMIS 8F8CD9D8B.

This packet is directed specifically to the California DOJ and Attorney General. It is not a request that the DOJ Public Records Coordinator obtain local-agency records. It requests DOJ/AG review of DOJ's own routing/search records, a written responsibility path, and conflict-screening or referral for the charitable-assets, public-funds, housing, consumer-protection, public-integrity, privacy, and law-enforcement referral-chain issues identified below.

I. One-Page Control Summary

The material issue is not a routine customer-service dispute with a single nonprofit program. The record shows a large California public-benefit corporation and related entities operating across public grants, private foundations, youth workforce, education, housing navigation, rental assistance, landlord documentation, WorkSource/AJCC activity, and real estate. Multiple City, County, State, and federal channels were placed on notice, but the record still does not identify one independent lead reviewer, a complete source-record production, or a cross-agency disposition matrix.

The immediate DOJ/AG question is narrow: which DOJ component is responsible for each DOJ-owned lane, and what DOJ record establishes the intake, routing, referral, search, disposition, preservation, or closure status of PIU 1508015, PRA 26-949, DMFEA 36332332, and related correspondence?

The requested remedy is not a declaration that CRCD or any public agency committed a crime. The requested remedy is a written DOJ/AG routing and conflict-screening determination, with a preservation and search-reconciliation path that does not send the matter only back to CRCD or to one local agency whose own oversight may be implicated.

II. DOJ/AG Components Requested for Routing Review

Potential DOJ/AG lane	Why it is implicated	Requested DOJ action
Public Inquiry Unit / PIU 1508015	PIU reportedly forwarded the matter to Housing Justice Team, while PRA 26-949 later reported no responsive intake/routing records.	Identify current status, component routing, and any referral/disposition record.
Public Records Coordinator / PRA 26-949	The prior no-record response is difficult to reconcile with PIU and DMFEA letters that refer to DOJ routing/referral activity.	Conduct supplemental search of PIU, Housing Justice Team, DMFEA, and named DOJ personnel repositories, or explain why no record exists.
Charitable Trusts / Registry	CRCD is a California nonprofit with public reporting, governance, subsidiaries, related entities, public/private funding, and charitable-assets questions.	Confirm whether this requires CT-9, whether this packet may supplement a CT-9, and whether charitable-assets/gross-mismanagement screening is warranted.
Housing Justice Team	PIU letter stated the housing complaint/tip was forwarded there; the record involves a publicly assisted housing placement into a City-confirmed unapproved unit.	Confirm receipt, rejection, referral, closure, or pending status, without disclosing confidential investigative details.
Consumer Protection / Economic Opportunity	The dispute involves representations to a participant concerning enrollment, funding source, eligibility, rental assistance, services, and records.	Screen whether any consumer-protection or unfair/deceptive-practice lane exists; otherwise identify the correct state/local lane.
Public Integrity / Law-Enforcement Referral	DOJ directed alleged criminal conduct to local law enforcement, but local records show fragmented LAPD, LASD, LADA, OCI, and LAHSA routing.	Clarify whether DOJ has any public-integrity referral role, or whether the matter remains entirely local/federal.
Privacy / Health / DMFEA-DHCS routing	DMFEA declined for DMFEA investigation and forwarded to DHCS, while County privacy/HIPAA and health-data references remain unresolved.	Provide nonconfidential referral metadata and identify whether DOJ retained any record or responsibility.

III. Record-Supported Facts Requiring Independent Conflict Screening

Issue	Record support	Why DOJ/AG review is requested
CRCD scale and public-resource stewardship	CRCD's strategic plan describes an ecosystem of affordable housing, jobs, training, and services with public partners; it states a 2024 budget of $60 million and 250 staff; it states public grants are a major funding source.	The size and public/private funding structure make this a governance and charitable-assets issue, not only an individual service dispute.
CRCD related-entity structure	Audited consolidated statements and Form 990 identify CRCD and subsidiaries, CRCD Enterprises, CRCD Partners, CRCD LLC, CRCD Apartments, housing partnerships, WorkSource/LATTC, youth development, housing navigation, and real estate activity.	Review must identify which entity held records, handled money, made representations, or owed oversight duties.
Participant-file and funding-source dispute	CRCD acknowledged Project Tipping Point enrollment/inactive status, supportive services and rental assistance amounts, and private-foundation support; the response did not provide a formal grievance procedure determination.	The records dispute concerns source authority, eligibility, services, funding chain, and grievance access.
Housing-placement and landlord-payment chain	CRCD rental-assistance correspondence connects the participant to a landlord and negotiates rent support; later email requests lease, W-9, and ACH-related documentation.	This creates a records, payment-authority, landlord-verification, and source-consent question.
City-confirmed housing-code problem	LAHD and LADBS records show an unapproved unit / occupancy and Certificate-of-Occupancy issue at the same address, with RSO/relocation implications.	The placement-record issue materially affected housing stability and may implicate City/County/funder oversight.
Referral-chain ambiguity	LAHSA correspondence says its review was limited to LAHSA funding and referred back to OCI; County OCI 2025-23289 is reportedly closed while 2026-23965 remains open; LAPD IAD/Detective Bureau assignment was pending in the correspondence.	A limited funding-jurisdiction closure should not be treated as a complete merits determination absent a written disposition matrix.

IV. Why Local or Provider-Only Review Is Insufficient

CRCD may be a necessary records custodian. That does not make CRCD an independent investigator of allegations concerning its own executives, staff, programs, records, payments, source authorizations, affiliated entities, grievance process, or representations to funders.

Similarly, a City, County, or State agency does not become legally disqualified merely because it funded or monitored CRCD. But where an agency must evaluate both CRCD's conduct and the agency's own selection, monitoring, payment, referral, records, or closure conduct, the agency is reviewing itself. That is the institutional self-review problem requiring written conflict screening.

The Attorney General's charity-oversight materials state that the AG has primary responsibility for supervising charities and protecting charitable assets and donations for their intended use. They identify issues such as self-dealing, loans to directors/officers, loss/diversion of charitable assets, reporting violations, breaches of fiduciary duty, and criminal activity by charities as matters potentially within AG concern. This packet requests screening against those lanes, not a merits finding.

V. DOJ/AG Legal and Policy References Used for Routing - Not Merits Findings

Authority / source	Use in this packet
CA DOJ charity complaint guidance	Supports routing to Charitable Trusts / Registry where allegations concern charitable-assets misuse, gross mismanagement, diversion, reporting, fiduciary duty, or improper charitable conduct.
Government Code section 12586	Supports relevance of financial reporting, audited statements, audit committee independence, public inspection of audited statements, and board compensation review duties for large charitable corporations.
Government Code section 11180	Supports agency authority to investigate matters within the department's jurisdiction; cited only to ask DOJ to identify which DOJ lane, if any, owns this matter.
Business and Professions Code section 17500	Cited only as a possible consumer-protection screening reference where representations about services, eligibility, housing, or funding are alleged to be untrue or misleading.
DOJ Public Records online form guidance	Recognizes DOJ cannot process records held by local agencies and does not provide legal research/advice; this request is limited to DOJ's own intake, search, routing, referral, and disposition records.
County administrative investigation guidance	Shows why OCI handling and closure classification matter where County contractor/vendor misconduct or fraud is alleged; it is not treated as DOJ authority.

VI. Specific DOJ/AG Requests

1. Assign or identify a DOJ contact, section, or intake route responsible for nonconfidential routing and disposition communication for PIU 1508015, PRA 26-949, and DMFEA 36332332.

2. Confirm whether PIU 1508015 was received by the Housing Justice Team, rejected, referred, closed, or remains pending. A confidential investigation need not be confirmed; a nonconfidential administrative routing explanation is requested.

3. Confirm whether this packet should be submitted as a CT-9 charity complaint, a supplement to an existing charity complaint, a Public Inquiry Unit supplement, a PRA supplement, or separate submissions to different DOJ components.

4. Screen whether the CRCD structure and record facts warrant Charitable Trusts review for charitable-assets, fiduciary, reporting, related-entity, gross-mismanagement, or improper-use concerns.

5. Screen whether Housing Justice, Consumer Protection, Public Integrity, Privacy, or another DOJ component has any jurisdiction or referral role; if not, identify the correct state/local/federal lane.

6. Reconcile PRA 26-949 with the PIU and DMFEA letters: identify the components, custodians, repositories, search terms, and date ranges searched, and whether PIU, Housing Justice Team, DMFEA, Jami Cantore, Sandra Barrientos, Caitlin Noble, Caroline Hughes, or other named DOJ personnel were searched.

7. Identify the nonconfidential date, recipient, office, and attachment inventory for any DOJ referral to Housing Justice Team, DHCS, local law enforcement, District Attorney, Charitable Trusts, Consumer Protection, Housing, Privacy, Public Integrity, or another entity.

8. Preserve DOJ records concerning the identifiers listed on page 1, including intake logs, correspondence, routing metadata, attachments, referral records, component notes, closure codes, and search instructions.

9. Do not route this request solely back to CRCD as the disputed provider. CRCD may be a custodian, but independent review requires an office outside CRCD to decide routing, conflict screening, and final disposition.

10. Provide a written responsibility matrix if DOJ takes no action: which office, if any, owns charitable trust, housing, public integrity, consumer protection, privacy, public records, and law-enforcement referral issues.

VII. Requested Conflict-Screening Matrix

Question	Requested answer
Financial or contractual relationship	Does the reviewing office have any funding, contract, monitoring, referral, data-sharing, or oversight relationship with CRCD, CRCD LLC, CRCD Enterprises, CRCD Partners, Amity, JCOD, EWDD, LAHSA, or County DEO?
Self-review risk	Would the reviewer be evaluating its own prior monitoring, closure, funding, referral, or records handling?
Source-record access	Can the reviewer obtain participant, consent/ROI, landlord, W-9, ACH, lease, HMIS, education, workforce, payment, audit-log, and grievance records?
Disposition authority	Can the reviewer impose or refer for correction, repayment, monitoring, suspension, registration action, or enforcement if evidence supports it?
Final written outcome	Will the outcome identify whether review was jurisdictional, procedural, evidentiary, discretionary, merits-based, referred, or closed?

VIII. Public Records Request Text for DOJ Form

Record Description: I request DOJ records concerning PIU No. 1508015, PRA No. 26-949, DMFEA Ref. No. 36332332, and related correspondence submitted by Kyle David Haab concerning CRCD / Project Tipping Point, LAPD C259045687, LAPD C269013241, LAPD Complaint Form 26-001767, County OCI 2025-23289 and 2026-23965, DOL OIG FOIA 2026067, Federal Case 2:26-cv-00802-FMO-ASx, CFCI-PA3-056-2023, HMIS 8F8CD9D8B, and CRCD/PTP 1233369910. Please search DOJ PIU, Housing Justice Team, DMFEA, Public Records, Charitable Trusts/Registry, Consumer Protection, Privacy, Public Integrity, and named DOJ personnel records for intake, routing, assignment, referral, transmittal, attachment inventory, component-search, disposition, closure, preservation, and response-preparation records.

Comments: This request seeks DOJ-owned records only. It does not ask DOJ to produce local agency records, criminal history records, legal research, or legal advice. If withheld, please identify the record category, the exemption, and whether segregable nonexempt portions can be released. If no records are found, please identify components, custodians, systems, search terms, and date ranges searched.

IX. Chronology for DOJ/AG Review

Date / period	Event preserved	DOJ/AG relevance
June-July 2024	CRCD/PTP intake and rental-assistance communications begin; CRCD staff communicate with landlord and identify family housing need.	Source authority, consent, family-status, eligibility, and landlord-verification records become material.
Aug. 2024	Landlord/payment-related records requested or prepared through CRCD communications, including lease, W-9, ACH-related documentation in the email chain.	Payment authority and records integrity require independent custodian review.
Sept. 11, 2025 and after	Records transparency and funding-source requests begin no later than this date and escalate through later agency channels.	Notice predates later local closures and routing disputes.
Aug. 15-25, 2025	LAHD and LADBS records identify unapproved use / Certificate-of-Occupancy and unpermitted dwelling-unit issues.	Housing placement and City enforcement issues are not limited to ordinary participant dissatisfaction.
Sept. 29, 2025	CRCD response acknowledges PTP enrollment/inactive status, supportive services, rental-assistance amounts, and private-foundation funding.	Participant record exists and must be reconciled with consent, grievance, and source-record demands.
Dec. 11, 2025 / 2026	LAHSA states its review was limited to lack of LAHSA funding and that summary was sent to OCI for 2025-23289.	A funding-jurisdiction closure cannot safely substitute for identity, consent, payment, HMIS, or charitable governance review.
April 2026	DOJ PIU, PRA, and DMFEA records create search/reconciliation issue.	Requires DOJ-owned record search and routing clarification.
May-June 2026	LAPD/IAD, OCI, DOJ, DOL, HUD, and other matters remain fragmented; 2025-23289 reported closed while 2026-23965 remains open.	Lead-agency and disposition matrix remains unresolved.

X. Source Exhibit Index and Page Map

Ex.	Document	Final PDF range	Purpose
A	Prior DOJ Search-Reconciliation Packet - PIU 1508015 / PRA 26-949 / DMFEA 36332332	7-14	Shows the specific DOJ-internal reconciliation issue: PIU forwarding to Housing Justice Team, PRA no-record response, and DMFEA referral to DHCS.
B	CRCD 2025-2029 Strategic Plan	15-33	CRCD self-describes its ecosystem, public resources stewardship, $60 million 2024 budget, 250 staff, public grants, CRCD Partners, CRCD Enterprises, board, executive team, growth, and governance systems.
C	CRCD and Subsidiaries 2023 Consolidated Financial Statements	34-95	Shows audited consolidated structure, Government Auditing Standards context, assets, contracts/grants, related parties, subsidiaries, CRCD Enterprises, CRCD Apartments, CRCD LLC, and housing partnerships.
D	CRCD 2023 IRS Form 990 / ProPublica Filing	96-132	Shows public Form 990 information: governance, gross receipts, grants, program service descriptions, WorkSource/LATTC, housing navigation, CRCD Enterprises, real estate, youth development, and related organization schedules.
E	California Secretary of State Statement of Information - CRCD LLC	133-135	Shows CRCD LLC, CRCD as manager/member, Mark Wilson as agent for service, and low-income housing development and operation as the business type.
F	CRCD / Project Tipping Point Records, Enrollment, Supportive Services, Funding Source Response	136-137	Shows CRCD acknowledged Project Tipping Point enrollment status, inactive status, supportive services and rental assistance amounts, and described Project Tipping Point funding as private foundations.
G	CRCD Rental Assistance / Landlord Email Chain - 1551 3/4 E. 106th Street	138-143	Shows CRCD rental assistance staff communicating with the landlord, describing a couple with a young child needing an apartment, and discussing program/student rent split and documents for landlord verification, lease, W-9, and ACH.
H	LAHD Letter - Case SO311576 - Unapproved Unit / RSO Relocation Procedure	144-147	Shows LAHD identified the property as subject to RSO, referenced an unapproved unit, described the LADBS Order to Comply, and listed required relocation-related steps for compliance with a government order.
I	LADBS Order to Comply - Case 1053480 / Order A-6604739	148-151	Shows City code enforcement findings for the property, including use/occupancy without a valid Certificate of Occupancy and unpermitted dwelling-related construction.
J	LAHSA / LAPD / OCI / DOJ-Copied Correspondence - Disposition Ambiguity and Referral Chain	152-161	Shows the referral-chain issue: LAHSA says its review was limited to LAHSA funding and was referred back to OCI; LAPD IAD/Detective Bureau assignment remained pending; DOJ contacts were copied.
K	Los Angeles County Administrative Investigation Guidelines	162-169	Shows County guidance that suspected misconduct or fraud by County contractors/vendors should be reported to OCI and that departments should not investigate or compromise evidence.

Ex.	Document	Final PDF range	Purpose
L	NFF / LA Opportunity Youth Collaborative Report - LA Youth Workforce Funding Context	170-178	Shows broader City/County youth-workforce funding context, systemic administrative burden, compliance burden, reimbursement strain, and public/private workforce system dependency.

XI. Precision Limits

1. This packet does not allege that DOJ has confirmed any violation, opened any investigation, or made any merits finding.

2. A report number, complaint number, referral, or copied email is evidence of notice or administrative handling only, unless the responsible agency issues a merits determination.

3. A local-agency funding-jurisdiction closure does not resolve separate consent, payment, data-sharing, charitable-assets, consumer-protection, privacy, or public-integrity questions unless the closure says so.

4. A request for DOJ/AG routing and conflict screening is not a demand for criminal prosecution, legal advice, or disclosure of confidential investigative information.

5. Source exhibits control over this summary. If any summary conflicts with an original document, the original document controls.

XII. Declaration of Requester

I submit this packet to preserve the record, request accurate DOJ/AG routing, and avoid further circular referral among interested entities. The facts summarized here are based on documents in the attached exhibit set and previously submitted correspondence. I request a nonconfidential written routing, search, or disposition response to the extent legally permitted.

Date: _____, 2026 Signature: _____
Kyle David Haab

XIII. Service / Submission Note

Use this packet as an attachment to the DOJ online Public Records form, a PIU supplement, or a CT-9 charity complaint supplement if DOJ/AG instructs that CT-9 is the required intake route. The packet is targeted to DOJ/AG and intentionally avoids attaching full child/family-law, medical, ACH, W-9, or taxpayer-number exhibits. Sensitive downstream records should be produced only to an authorized investigator, court, or records custodian using redaction where required.

EXHIBIT A

Prior DOJ Search-Reconciliation Packet - PIU 1508015 / PRA 26-949 / DMFEA 36332332

Source file: DOJ_PRA_26-949_Supplemental_Search_Clarification_With_Exhibits_June12_2026.pdf

Purpose: Shows the specific DOJ-internal reconciliation issue: PIU forwarding to Housing Justice Team, PRA no-record response, and DMFEA referral to DHCS.

This divider page is added for DOJ/AG navigation. The attached source document controls over any summary. Sensitive data should be handled under normal DOJ/AG records-management and confidentiality procedures.

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

SUPPLEMENTAL PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST, SEARCH-RECONCILIATION NOTICE, AND EXHIBIT INDEX

Requester: Kyle David Haab
Prior request: PRA No. 26-949
Related DOJ reference: PIU No. 1508015
Related DMFEA reference: 36332332

This supplement is narrowly directed to records maintained by the California Department of Justice concerning its own intake, routing, component referral, search, response, and disposition activity. It does not request records maintained solely by LAPD, LASD, Los Angeles County, LAHSA, JCOD, DHCS, DOL, HUD, or another outside agency.

I. Critical Record Reconciliation

On April 15, 2026, the DOJ Public Inquiry Unit issued a letter under PIU No. 1508015 stating that the housing complaint/tip had been forwarded to DOJ's Housing Justice Team. The letter further explained that complaints and tips may contribute to pattern-or-practice review, formal investigation, or judicial enforcement, while noting that DOJ may be unable to provide investigative updates.

On April 16, 2026, DOJ issued its final response to PRA No. 26-949, stating that it searched logical places and consulted knowledgeable people but identified no responsive records. The request expressly sought DOJ intake, routing, review, referral, communication, determination, closure, and internal-tracking records concerning the same underlying submissions.

Those two letters create a specific, document-supported search-reconciliation question: what DOJ record, system entry, email, routing instruction, transmittal, assignment note, or case-management action supported the April 15 statement that the matter was forwarded to the Housing Justice Team, and was that component and its repositories included in the PRA 26-949 search?

On April 30, 2026, DOJ's Division of Medi-Cal Fraud and Elder Abuse issued a separate letter under Reference No. 36332332 stating that it declined the complaint for DMFEA investigation and forwarded it to the Department of Health Care Services. That letter likewise implies the existence of at least some DOJ intake, review, referral, or transmittal record.

These documents do not, by themselves, establish intentional withholding, record destruction, criminal conduct, or an inadequate search as a legal conclusion. They do support a focused supplemental search and a written explanation of the components, custodians, systems, and referral records examined.

II. Targeted Supplemental Records Request

1. **PIU No. 1508015:** intake records, complaint/tip records, case-management entries, routing notes, component-transfer records, transmittal emails, attachment inventories, referral metadata, assignment records, closure or status codes, and communications reflecting the April 15, 2026 forwarding to the Housing Justice Team.

2. **Housing Justice Team:** records showing receipt, rejection, acceptance, screening, assignment, return, transfer, closure, or other disposition of PIU No. 1508015 or the underlying complaint/tip.

3. **PRA No. 26-949 search administration:** search instructions, office/component referrals, custodian lists, systems and repositories searched, search terms, date ranges, knowledgeable persons consulted, search responses, collection logs, and records showing whether PIU and the Housing Justice Team were searched.

4. **PRA No. 26-949 response preparation:** nonprivileged drafts, routing notes, approvals, correspondence, and records identifying the factual basis for the April 16, 2026 no-responsive-record determination.

5. **DMFEA No. 36332332:** intake, screening, review, decision, referral, transmittal, recipient, date-sent, attachment inventory, routing metadata, and communications concerning the stated referral to DHCS.

6. **Named DOJ personnel and addresses:** nonexempt communications, routing records, or case-management entries involving Caitlin Noble, Jami Cantore, Sandra Barrientos, Caroline Hughes, the Public Inquiry Unit, the Housing Justice Team, DMFEA Complaint Intake, or other DOJ personnel concerning PIU 1508015, PRA 26-949, DMFEA 36332332, LAPD C259045687, LAPD C269013241, OCI 2025-23289, or OCI 2026-23965.

7. **Unsent or pending responses:** any written response, referral notice, closure notice, jurisdictional determination, or disposition prepared but not transmitted to the requester.

III. Search Parameters and Production Instructions

1. Search all known requester name forms: Kyle David Haab, Kyle Robert Haab, and Kyle Robert David Haab.

2. Search the email variants kyle.dietz99@gmail.com, kyledietz99@gmail.com, and enjoithetime999@gmail.com.

3. Search exact and punctuation-variable forms of PIU 1508015, PRA 26-949, DMFEA 36332332, C259045687, C269013241, 2025-23289, and 2026-23965.

4. Search DOJ email, case-management, complaint-intake, correspondence-tracking, referral, shared-drive, archived, and component-specific systems reasonably likely to contain responsive material.

5. Produce records electronically by email or secure download where available.

6. For withheld material, identify the record or category, the withholding basis, and whether reasonably segregable nonexempt portions can be released.

7. If DOJ again concludes that no responsive records exist, identify the components, custodians, repositories, search terms, date ranges, and knowledgeable persons consulted, and explain whether the April 15 PIU referral statement was generated from a system or record not included in the prior search.

IV. Requested Clarification

Please state whether this submission will be treated as: (a) a new PRA request; (b) a supplemental request associated with PRA No. 26-949; or (c) a request for reconsideration or additional search. Please provide the assigned request number and the responsible DOJ Public Records Coordinator.

V. Exhibit Index

Exhibit	Date / reference	Document and relevance
A	Apr. 15, 2026 PIU 1508015	DOJ Public Inquiry Unit letter stating that the housing complaint/tip was forwarded to the Housing Justice Team.
B	Apr. 16, 2026 PRA 26-949	DOJ final response stating that no responsive records were identified after a search of logical places and consultation with knowledgeable people.
C	Apr. 30, 2026 DMFEA 36332332	DMFEA letter stating that the complaint was declined for DMFEA investigation and forwarded to DHCS.

Additional cross-agency correspondence concerning LAPD Complaint 26-001767, County OCI matters, DHCS routing, LAHSA's limited funding-jurisdiction closure, and later DOJ recipients is preserved separately. It is not appended here in order to keep this DOJ request limited to DOJ's own records and avoid an unnecessarily broad attachment set.

VI. Declaration of Accuracy

The statements in this supplement are based on the attached source records. The attached source records control over this summary. This supplement seeks records and search clarification; it does not assert that DOJ has made a merits finding concerning the underlying allegations.

Date: _____ Signature: _____
Kyle David Haab

ROB BONTA
Attorney General

State of California
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

PUBLIC INQUIRY UNIT
P.O. BOX 944255
SACRAMENTO, CA 94244-2550
(916) 210-6276
TOLL FREE: (800) 952-5225
TTY: CA Relay Service
(800) 735-2922

April 15, 2026

PIU: 1508015

Kyle Haab
1551 E 106th Street #3/4
Los Angeles, CA 90002

Dear Kyle Haab:

Thank you for contacting the Office of the Attorney General and submitting your housing complaint/tip. We appreciate your bringing this matter to our attention.

We have forwarded your complaint to our Housing Justice Team. This team seeks to address the housing crisis broadly, across different areas of law, to ensure safe housing is available for everyone. Where appropriate, the Attorney General may enforce state laws to increase housing supply and provide housing security and stability to all Californians. Complaints and tips such as yours are critical to developing information about patterns or practices that may lead to formal investigation or a judicial enforcement action by our office. Thus, what originates as a local dispute may become a matter of broad public interest, warranting the Attorney General's attention and/or intervention.

Attorney General's Office investigations are generally subject to confidentiality restrictions, so we may be unable to provide updates or further information regarding the handling of the matter. Further, when this office files a legal action, it acts on behalf of the People of the State of California to enforce the requirements of state law. Although our actions do sometimes result in restitution for victims, state law prohibits the Attorney General from acting directly on behalf of an individual or private entities seeking judicial relief.

We encourage you to continue to work with your local government representatives towards a resolution of your issue. For complaints against city employees or agencies, address your complaint to the head of the city agency, the office of the city attorney, the office of the mayor, and your representative on the city council. For complaints against county employees or agencies, address your complaint to the head of the county agency, the office of the county counsel, and your representative on the county Board of Supervisors.

If you feel your complaint about improper governmental activities has not been addressed satisfactorily by the local government agency, consider submitting your complaint to the county grand jury. Contact information is available from the California Grand Jurors Association at: https://cgja.org/grand-jury-contact-information-by-county/.

If you need legal advice or representation, we suggest you consult with a private or legal aid attorney. You may obtain a referral to a certified lawyer referral service by contacting the State Bar at (866) 442-2529 (toll-free in California) or (415) 538-2250 (from outside California), or via the State Bar of California website. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may wish to contact your local legal aid office. For a referral, please visit http://lawhelpca.org/ and click on the Find Legal Help tab. Members of the armed forces and their dependents can also obtain legal assistance from their local JAG legal assistance office, http://legalassistance.law.af.mil/content/locator.php

For information about tenants' rights, please see https://oag.ca.gov/consumers/general/landlord-tenant-disputes. Other resources include the California Courts' self-help housing information at http://www.courts.ca.gov/selfhelp-housing.htm, which covers topics such as evictions and security deposits, and http://lawhelpca.org/subtopics/landlord-and-tenant-issues.

Please be assured that your complaint is receiving the attention it deserves. While we are not able to offer direct legal assistance to you, we sincerely appreciate your efforts in helping us address matters of public concern. Thank you again for reaching out to us.

Sincerely,
Public Inquiry Unit

For ROB BONTA
Attorney General

CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Rob Bonta
Attorney General

455 GOLDEN GATE AVENUE, SUITE 11000
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-7004

Public: (415) 510-4400
Telephone: (415) 510-3498
Facsimile: (415) 703-1107
E-Mail: Caitlin.Noble@doj.ca.gov

April 16, 2026

Kyle David Haab
1551 ¾ E. 106th St.
Los Angeles, CA 90002
Kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

RE: Public Records Act request 26-949

Dear Kyle David Haab:

This correspondence is in response to your request, received by the California Department of Justice, Office of the Attorney General on April 9, 2026, in which you sought records pursuant to the Public Records Act as set forth in Government Code Section 7822.000, et seq.

> I request all non-exempt DOJ-held records showing what DOJ did with my submissions concerning CRCD, Project Tipping Point, Amity Foundation, housing assistance, privacy / records issues, identity-theft issues, and the tenancy / document chain tied to 1551 E. 106th Street, Los Angeles, CA 90002, Unit 3/4. Please include intake, routing, review, referral, communication, determination, closure, and internal tracking records.
>
> Relevant identifiers: DOJ Civil Rights 679521-CMLR; HHS OCR 651319; DHCS NEW-2026-01-18-8837; HUD FHEO 860997; HUD CAS-886647-F8H2X; HUD CRM 0435430; Senator Schiff 2026-9DMA-FLD-00015; LAPD C259045687; LAPD C269013241; LAPD 260327900363; County / OCI 2025-23289; County / OCI 2026-23965; 25CMFL00694; 2:26-cv-00802-JFW-AS; IC3 8cbf126972f24eee84729c50b87bf34d

///

We searched in logical places and with knowledgeable people but identified no records responsive to this request. This concludes the Department's response.

Sincerely,

CAITLIN W. NOBLE
Supervising Deputy Attorney General

For ROB BONTA
Attorney General

CWN:

SF2025401063
45039047

CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Rob Bonta
Attorney General

Division of Medi-Cal Fraud & Elder Abuse
P. O. Box 944255
Sacramento, CA 94244-2550
Fax: (916) 263-2565

April 30, 2026

Kyle David Haab
Kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

Re: Complaint Declined and Referred

To Whom It May Concern:

Kenta Estrada Darley
DMFEA Reference Number: 36332332

Thank you for submitting a complaint to the Division of Medi-Cal Fraud and Elder Abuse (DMFEA). We have completed our review of the information provided in your complaint. After careful assessment, we have determined the following:

Your complaint does not meet the criteria for investigation by the DMFEA. We have forwarded your complaint to the Department of Health Care Services as parts of your complaint appear to fall within their scope of authority. While we will take no further action, we appreciate you bringing this matter to our attention.

We value the public's role in helping us protect vulnerable individuals and safeguard the Medi-Cal program. Thank you again for your concern and commitment to reporting potential fraud or abuse.

In the future if you suspect Medi-Cal fraud or elder abuse, not related to this investigation, please resubmit the online complaint form on the California Attorney General Website at https://oag.ca.gov/dmfea/reporting or call the complaint line at (800) 722-0432.

Sincerely,

COMPLAINT INTAKE UNIT
Division of Medi-Cal Fraud and Elder Abuse

For ROB BONTA
Attorney General

SUPPLEMENTAL SOURCE-RECORD EXHIBIT PACKET

CRCD Formstack Participant Intake / Separate Participant Path / Alliance-CRCD Housing and Family-Reunification Record Chain

Prepared for	Los Angeles County Auditor-Controller / Office of County Investigations (OCI)
Prepared by	Kyle Robert Haab
Date	June 20, 2026
Primary County matter	OCI 2026-23965
Related prior matter	OCI 2025-23289
Program identifiers	CRCD / Project Tipping Point 1233369910; CFCI-PA3-056-2023
Related court matters	25CMFL00694; 26CMUD00417

Purpose. This packet preserves two complete source exhibits and states the limited administrative significance of those records. It requests source-record reconciliation, native-system preservation, custodian identification, and program/funding classification. It does not ask the Auditor-Controller to decide family-law, eviction, education, or criminal merits outside its jurisdiction.

Evidence limitation. The attached records establish communications, dates, participants, and identifiable record locations. Standing alone, they do not conclusively prove fraud, unauthorized access, intent, malice, or a particular statutory violation. Those determinations require the native records, metadata, audit logs, witness interviews, and the governing contract and program rules.

1. Source records added by this supplement

Exhibit A - September 10, 2024 CRCD Formstack intake transmission. Amaris Runia, identified in the signature block as an Intake Specialist in CRCD Workforce Development, sent Crystal Izarraraz a direct link to the CRCD participant-intake Formstack. The preserved email was later forwarded on September 30, 2025. The native September 10 transmission is the operative source event.

Exhibit B - CRCD / Alliance Records Preservation and Source-Record Clarification Packet. The 41-page packet contains the preservation demand and source exhibits concerning housing assistance, landlord contact, Bayberry debt resolution, CRCD/Alliance coordination, family-reunification communications, and CRCD enrollment and supportive-service representations.

2. Why the September 10 intake transmission is material

- **Separate participant path.** The email was addressed directly to Crystal and supplied a general CRCD participant-intake link. It therefore supports inquiry into whether Crystal had a separate intake, participant file, case-management record, eligibility decision, consent record, and service history.
- **No automatic household consent.** A link sent to one adult does not, by itself, establish consent by another adult or authority to create, use, or disclose records for unborn or later-born children. The precise scope depends on the completed form, disclosures, signatures, dates, and program terms.
- **Native metadata is required.** The produced email does not show whether the Formstack form was opened, completed, saved, submitted, edited, duplicated, or linked to an existing record. Formstack submission data, audit history, IP address, timestamp, record ID, edits, attachments, and staff access logs are therefore material.
- **Program and funding classification is unresolved.** The link identifies CRCD participant intake generally. The native record must show whether the resulting file was classified under Project Tipping Point, Workforce Development, housing/supportive services, CFCI-PA3-056-2023, a privately funded program, or another service lane.

3. Record-chain reconciliation shown by Exhibit B

Record	What the exhibit shows	Administrative question
July 1, 2025 CRCD email	CRCD stated it was working on an outstanding balance so income-based housing could be pursued and described housing assistance as an additional service.	What program, funding source, approval, and participant authorization governed the activity?
July 7, 2025 CRCD email	CRCD requested landlord information and communicated directly with the landlord regarding possible assistance.	Where are the landlord-contact authorization, case note, verification, and payment records?
July 22, 2025 CRCD email	CRCD referenced an agreement involving CRCD staff, Alliance staff, and the landlord over two Zoom sessions, with signing and late-fee pressure.	Produce the agreement, drafts, Zoom/calendar records, parties, authority, and grievance notice.
June 2025 Bayberry chain	The Tennessee ledger entered the CRCD record chain; the $350 balance later became $0.00.	Identify who paid, approved, routed, reimbursed, or otherwise caused satisfaction.
Alliance family-reunification thread	Alliance communicated with the maternal grandmother regarding the children in guardian/kinship care and family reunification.	Identify the consent scope, records received, referrals made, and whether child/family information entered any CRCD or County-linked file.
September 29, 2025 CRCD response	CRCD described Kyle as enrolled beginning June 13, 2024 and listed extensive rental and supportive services while also describing PTP as privately funded.	Reconcile participant start date, eligibility, public/private funding, payment ledgers, and CFCI/JCOD/Amity records.

4. Surgical action requested within Auditor-Controller / OCI jurisdiction

- **Associate and cross-reference.** Associate this supplement with OCI 2026-23965 and cross-reference OCI 2025-23289, PTP 1233369910, and CFCI-PA3-056-2023 without treating a prior limited closure as resolving separate source-authorization, privacy, payment, or records-integrity issues.
- **Preserve native records.** Preserve the September 10, 2024 email in native format with headers and attachments; the Formstack form definition; all submissions connected to Crystal Izarraraz, Kyle Haab, their email addresses and phone numbers; audit logs; IP addresses; timestamps; edits; exports; deletion logs; and staff-access history.
- **Identify the separate participant record.** State whether Crystal had a separate participant ID, case manager, intake, eligibility determination, service plan, privacy notice, consent/ROI, grievance rights, and program exit or termination record.
- **Trace the funding and payment lane.** Identify whether any services, rental assistance, landlord contact, Bayberry payment, security deposit, rent payment, or related reimbursement was charged to CFCI-PA3-056-2023, another County source, City/workforce funds, private foundations, or another account.
- **Identify custodians and transfers.** For records not held by OCI, identify the County department, contractor, subrecipient, fiscal intermediary, or records custodian; preserve records before referral; and document the date and destination of each transfer.

5. Minimum written response

Field	Requested entry
Matter association	Added to 2026-23965; cross-referenced to 2025-23289 and CFCI-PA3-056-2023, or written explanation.
Formstack record	Submission found / no submission / draft only / deleted / held elsewhere; include record ID, date, program, and custodian.
Participant status	Separate Crystal file / household-linked file / no participant file / classification pending.
Source authority	Specific consent, ROI, privacy acknowledgement, referral, agreement, or written no-record determination.
Funding/payment lane	County / CFCI / City / private / mixed / unknown, with ledger or custodian identified.
Preservation	Systems, mailboxes, date ranges, and record categories preserved.

Field	Requested entry
Next owner	Name, unit, contact information, tracking number, and expected response date.

6. Exhibit index and source-control statement

Exhibit	Source	Pages	Purpose
A	Gmail - Fwd: Form to fill out	1	Preserves the September 10, 2024 CRCD Workforce Development email sending Crystal Izarraraz the CRCD participant-intake Formstack link.
B	CRCD / Alliance Records Preservation Request Packet	41	Preserves the coordinated housing, landlord, Bayberry, Alliance, family-reunification, legal-referral, enrollment, and supportive-service record chain.

Source-control statement. The appended PDFs are the controlling exhibit pages for this supplement. Native emails, Formstack records, attachments, original metadata, and custodian-produced records control over summaries or OCR. If an exhibit page is unclear, the receiving office should request the native source rather than infer missing content.

Confidentiality and filing warning. Exhibit B contains housing, financial, family-reunification, child/guardian, legal-aid, and program-participation information. It should not be publicly filed or broadly redistributed without reviewing redactions and the purpose of disclosure.

7. Declaration of source submission

I submit the attached records as copies maintained in my records. I request that the receiving office preserve them, compare them against native custodian records, and make its own findings. I reserve the ability to provide native emails, screenshots, testimony, and additional source records through an authorized and secure process.

Respectfully submitted,

Kyle Robert Haab
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
657-557-4459

Fwd: Form to fill out

1 message

Crystal Izarraraz <izarrarazcrystal666@gmail.com> Tue, Sep 30, 2025 at 9:08 AM
To: izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com <izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com>

---------- Forwarded message ---------
From: **Amaris Runia** <arunia@coalitionrcd.org>
Date: Tue, Sep 10, 2024, 1:31 PM
Subject: Form to fill out
To: izarrarazcrystal666@gmail.com <izarrarazcrystal666@gmail.com>

https://coalitionrcd.formstack.com/forms/crcd_participant_intake

Get Outlook for Android

_____

Amaris Runia | **Intake Specialist**

Workforce Development

CRCD: 213.743.6193 | **M:** 323.712.3976 | **F:** 323.234.1040 | **TTY:** 711

arunia@coalitionrcd.org | www.coalitionrcd.org

View CRCD's 2022 Annual Report

Confidentiality Notice: This message (including any attachments) contains confidential information intended for a specific individual and purpose, and is protected by law. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by email and delete the original message and any attachments. Any unauthorized disclosure, copying, or distribution of this message, or the taking of any action based on it, is strictly prohibited.

7 attachments

- **image715860.png** 10 KB
- **image660636.png** 34 KB
- **image758602.png** 882 B
- **image922544.png** 1 KB
- **image533213.png** 1 KB
- **image429795.png** 883 B
- **image406992.png** 950 B

RECORDS PRESERVATION AND SOURCE-RECORD CLARIFICATION PACKET

CRCD / Project Tipping Point and Alliance for Children's Rights Coordination

Prepared by: Kyle Robert Haab
Email: kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
Phone: 657-557-4459

Related family-law matter: Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 25CMFL00694

Date: June 7, 2026

Recipients / custodians for routing:

Coalition for Responsible Community Development (CRCD), Project Tipping Point, CRCD Youth & Education / Workforce / Housing & Support Services, and any proper CRCD records custodian responsible for Project Tipping Point, landlord-payment, Bayberry, WorkSource/AJCC, CRCD/Ruth's Place, and related supportive-service records.

Alliance for Children's Rights, including TAY Director / staff involved in housing-prevention, CRCD coordination, kinship-caregiver contact, family-reunification coordination, legal-referral/corrective-referral activity, and any proper Alliance records custodian.

Purpose: This packet requests preservation, production, and custodian clarification for records showing that the housing, rental-assistance, Bayberry ledger, landlord-payment, kinship-caregiver, family-reunification, and legal-referral issues were coordinated service-provider matters, not merely isolated private landlord-tenant or private custody issues.

Position statement: This request does not ask CRCD or Alliance to accept legal liability. It asks each organization to preserve and clarify records showing what each entity received, used, relied on, approved, routed, paid, coordinated, referred, or declined to handle.

I. Executive Summary

The attached records show a coordinated housing-prevention and supportive-services record chain involving CRCD / Project Tipping Point, Alliance for Children's Rights, landlord communications, Bayberry ledger resolution, workforce referrals, SSA/General Relief income limitations, guardianship / kinship-caregiver context, and family-reunification goals. These records are material because later landlord and court narratives risk reducing the matter to a simple private rent-default or private custody issue.

The unresolved record questions are narrow and concrete: who received the source records; who had consent or release authority; who communicated with the landlord; who approved or routed payments; what funding source or program authority applied; whether CRCD/PTP or a partner paid, coordinated, or caused payment of the Bayberry / National Credit Systems balance; and what family-stability or reunification purpose was being supported.

The requested response should identify the custodian, preserve the records and metadata, produce non-privileged records where appropriate, and state in writing if any entity has no records, no role, no authority, or a conflict/scope limitation.

II. Core Factual Themes Shown by the Exhibits

Theme	Material point
Housing assistance / program-payment context	CRCD communications reference housing assistance as an additional service, current-rent assistance discussions, landlord contact, Bayberry balance resolution, and a signing/agreement process involving CRCD staff, Alliance staff, and the landlord.
Bayberry ledger and paid-in-full issue	Bayberry identified a $350 balance; the ledger was transmitted into the CRCD chain; National Credit Systems later reflected $0.00 / paid-in-full access. The missing record is who paid, approved, routed, or coordinated satisfaction.
Alliance housing-prevention context	Alliance provided housing-prevention and community-resource coordination, including HOPICS, CRCD/Ruth's Place, WorkSource-related resources, and documentation that SSA/General Relief income did not cover rent.
Family reunification / kinship-caregiver purpose	Alliance communications with Patty Lopez identified the children as being in Guardian/Kinship care with their maternal grandmother and framed the meeting as discussing Crystal and Kyle's children's family reunification.
Legal referral / corrective-referral need	The May 20, 2026 request to Alliance sought urgent family-law, UCCJEA, writ, parent-child contact, monitored-visitation, and conflict-free referral assistance tied to 25CMFL00694.

III. Preservation and Production Request

Please preserve and produce, or identify the proper custodian for, the following categories of records. This request includes records, metadata, emails, text messages, attachments, notes, fiscal/payment records, meeting records, referrals, participant forms, authorizations, consent/ROI forms, grant or funding-code records, payment approvals, landlord-verification records, W-9/ACH records, rent ledgers, program eligibility records, case notes, and communications.

1. The full Bayberry ledger file, including all CRCD/PTP case notes, internal emails, payment approvals, funding-source notes, grant codes, check/ACH records, reimbursement records, or communications related to the $350 Bayberry balance.

2. Records showing who paid, approved, authorized, routed, or coordinated payment or satisfaction of the Bayberry / National Credit Systems account.

3. All CRCD/PTP communications with the current landlord, Christopher Cervantes, including rent-assistance discussions, landlord-verification records, W-9/ACH/payment forms, lease documents, rent ledgers, payment ledgers, and any agreement or signing documents referenced by CRCD staff.

4. All records involving Sanea Smith / Alliance for Children's Rights, including consent, referral, coordination, Zoom records, meeting notes, emails, texts, housing-prevention referrals, employment referrals, and case notes concerning Project Tipping Point, housing support, rent assistance, landlord coordination, homelessness prevention, family-stability

goals, guardianship, kinship care, or reunification planning.

5. All records involving Patty Lopez, Crystal Izarraraz, Alliance for Children's Rights, CRCD/PTP, or any other participant concerning family reunification, kinship-caregiver coordination, custody/guardianship stability, housing stability, visitation, or support services.

6. All records involving HOPICS, Ruth's Place, CRCD housing-support services, Prevention Assistance, WorkSource/AJCC, DPSS, SSA/General Relief income documentation, or any external referral connected to housing stability.

7. All records showing the funding source, program authority, eligibility basis, and service category used for housing-related assistance, including Bayberry, security deposit, rent, current rent, relocation assistance, income-based housing qualification, prevention assistance, landlord payment support, or homelessness-prevention referral.

8. All Alliance legal-aid/pro bono/corrective-referral records, including family-law, UCCJEA, writ, parent-child contact, monitored visitation, reunification, conflict, denial, referral, or scope-limitation records related to 25CMFL00694.

9. All grievance, appeal, notice, participant-rights, privacy notice, authorization, ROI, FERPA/HMIS/WIOA/AJCC, landlord authorization, and records-access procedures applicable to Project Tipping Point, CRCD housing support, or Alliance coordination.

IV. Request for Written Clarification

Please state in writing: (1) whether CRCD/PTP or Alliance received the Bayberry ledger; (2) whether CRCD/PTP, Alliance, or a partner paid, routed, approved, or coordinated satisfaction of the Bayberry/NCS balance; (3) whether any CRCD/PTP staff communicated with the landlord about rent assistance, lease/payment documents, W-9/ACH, or agreement/signing requirements; (4) what funding source or program authority governed any housing support; (5) what consent, ROI, or participant authorization permitted sharing among CRCD, Alliance, the landlord, Patty Lopez, Crystal Izarraraz, and any partner; (6) whether Alliance provided or declined legal referral/corrective referral support; and (7) who is the correct custodian for records not held by the recipient.

If any requested item is denied, withheld, not retained, not within scope, or held by another entity, please identify that fact and provide the correct custodian or referral path. Please preserve all related records during review.

V. Draft Transmittal Email

Dear CRCD / Project Tipping Point Records Custodian and Alliance for Children's Rights Records Custodian,

I am submitting this Records Preservation and Source-Record Clarification Packet regarding my Project Tipping Point / CRCD housing-support record chain, Alliance for Children's Rights coordination, Bayberry ledger, current-rent assistance discussions, landlord communications, housing-prevention referrals, family-reunification coordination, and related legal-referral / corrective-referral records.

This request concerns records showing that the matter was not merely a private landlord-tenant issue or isolated private custody issue. CRCD communications reference housing assistance, landlord contact, current-rent discussions, Bayberry balance resolution, and an agreement/signing process. Alliance communications document housing-prevention needs, SSA/General Relief income limits, community housing referrals, kinship-caregiver contact with Patty Lopez, family-reunification coordination, and later urgent legal-referral/corrective-referral concerns involving 25CMFL00694.

Please preserve and produce, or identify the proper custodian for, all records listed in the attached packet, including emails, text messages, metadata, attachments, case notes, fiscal/payment records, referral records, consent/ROI records, landlord/payment records, meeting notes, Zoom records, and any records involving CRCD, Project Tipping Point, Alliance for Children's Rights, HOPICS, Ruth's Place, DPSS, WorkSource/AJCC, Patty Lopez, Crystal Izarraraz, the landlord Christopher Cervantes, Amity/CFCI/JCOD, City LWDA/EWDD, or related fiscal/workforce entities.

This request does not ask CRCD or Alliance to accept legal liability. The issue is whether CRCD/PTP or Alliance received, used, relied on, paid, routed, coordinated, referred, denied, or preserved records and services related to housing stability, family stability, parent-child contact, reunification planning, and legal/corrective referral needs.

Please confirm preservation of the records and identify the correct custodian for any records outside your control.

Respectfully,
Kyle Robert Haab
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
657-557-4459

VI. Exhibit Index

Exhibit	Description	Relevance
A	CRCD Follow-Up Conversation - July 1, 2025	CRCD references working on paying an outstanding balance so Kyle could qualify for income-based housing and states that housing assistance is an additional service.
B	CRCD Follow-Up Conversation - July 7, 2025	CRCD references current rent, landlord request, speaking with Polet, requesting landlord information, and speaking with the landlord about possible assistance.
C	CRCD Follow-Up Conversation - July 22, 2025	CRCD references an agreement involving CRCD staff, Sanea, and the landlord over Zoom sessions, plus late-fee concerns.
D	CRCD Meeting Follow-Up - June 12/13, 2025	CRCD references Firestone denial due to the Bayberry balance, requests ledger, affordable housing resources, and WorkSource registration.
E	Bayberry Ledger Email Thread	Bayberry identifies the $350 balance and the ledger is routed into the CRCD record chain.
F	National Credit Systems Paid-in-Full / $0.00 Record	Shows later Bayberry/NCS account balance context and need for payment-source records.
G	Alliance / CRCD Housing Support Thread	Alliance contacts CRCD about Project Tipping Point support and consent; Polet Lopez and CRCD staff appear in housing-support coordination.
H	Alliance Community Resources Thread	Documents housing-prevention needs, ended rental assistance, SSA/GR income limits, employment efforts, out-of-state homelessness, and family goals for children in guardianship.
I	Alliance / Patty Lopez Reunification Coordination Thread	Shows Patty, Crystal, Kyle, and Alliance coordinating around Guardian/Kinship care and family reunification.
J	Alliance Urgent Pro Bono / Corrective Referral Request	Shows later need for family-law/UCCJEA/writ/parent-child-contact assistance tied to 25CMFL00694.
K	CRCD Records / Enrollment Status Response	Provides CRCD/PTP context, including Project Tipping Point support and funding-source language.

EXHIBIT A

CRCD Follow-Up Conversation - July 1, 2025

CRCD references working on paying the outstanding balance so Kyle could qualify for income-based housing and notes housing assistance as an additional service.

Follow-Up Conversation

3 messages

Chidumebi Ezenwoko <cezenwoko@coalitionrcd.org> Tue, Jul 1, 2025 at 11:33 AM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
Cc: Gianna Luna-Hernandez <gluna@coalitionrcd.org>

Hello Kyle,
This email is a follow-up email from our conversation. Yesterday, we spoke, and I told you that Chrystal will have to go to Ruth's place and register at Prevention. I told you that they will be able to assist you guys with a one-time payment for the whole year. You will also be required to have a steady income to assist with paying your rent. I also told you that we were working on paying your outstanding balance so you can qualify for income-based housing. You also mentioned yesterday that you wanted the documents so you could check if you qualified for relocation assistance. I explained to you that Project Tipping Point assists with school, and housing assistance is an additional service we provide. On the other hand, I mentioned that you contact AJCC to assist you with landing a job and you said you registered with them last week on Friday 6/27/25.

_____

Chidumebi Ezenwoko | **Rental Assistance Coordinator**

Housing & Support Services
General: 323.432.2440 | 213.743.6193 |
cezenwoko@coalitionrcd.org | www.coalitionrcd.org

Confidentiality Notice: This message (including any attachments) contains confidential information intended for a specific individual and purpose, and is protected by law. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by email and delete the original message and any attachments. Any unauthorized disclosure, copying, or distribution of this message, or the taking of any action based on it, is strictly prohibited.

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Thu, Mar 19, 2026 at 9:41 PM
To: Passero, Roger - OIG <Passero.Roger@oig.dol.gov>

Im fowarding you this relevance to lack of communications regarding funding availability also i attaching notice of assistance cutting without any grievance procedure or appeal i was told that my signature is acknowledgment that I received form vs agreement present at January 13 2025 meeting was latea davis ldavis@coalitionrcd.org and polet Lopez palopez@coalitionrcd.org with a timing of ftb suspensions that allign same say rent responsibility shifts towards us
[Quoted text hidden]

11 attachments

- **image446951.png**
  950 B
- **image063032.png**
  1 KB
- **image056578.jpg**
  25 KB
- **image352711.png**
  1 KB
- **image693370.png**
  883 B
- **image128317.png**
  882 B
- **Screenshot_20260319_213220_Print Spooler.jpg**
  295 KB
- **file_000000001350622f82dcf7b0c28e2be0-sanitized.jpg**
  167 KB
- **file_00000000113461f5826251ed4bdff76e-sanitized.jpg**
  180 KB
- **file_000000005c18722f8f07f33c70e46490-sanitized.jpg**
  254 KB

file_000000008bb8622f957982502aff6fc4-sanitized.jpg
156 KB

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Mon, May 11, 2026 at 9:58 PM
To: elijahblack30@gmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

---

11 attachments

image446951.png
950 B

image063032.png
1 KB

image056578.jpg
25 KB

image352711.png
1 KB

image693370.png
883 B

image128317.png
882 B

Screenshot_20260319_213220_Print Spooler.jpg
295 KB

file_00000001350622f82dcf7b0c28e2be0-sanitized.jpg
167 KB

file_00000000113461f5826251ed4bdff76e-sanitized.jpg
180 KB

file_000000005c18722f8f07f33c70e46490-sanitized.jpg
254 KB

file_000000008bb8622f957982502aff6fc4-sanitized.jpg
156 KB

EXHIBIT B

CRCD Follow-Up Conversation - Current Rent / Landlord Contact - July 7, 2025

CRCD references current rent, landlord request, Polet, landlord information, and speaking with the landlord about possible assistance.

Follow-Up Conversation

1 message

Chidumebi Ezenwoko <cezenwoko@coalitionrcd.org> Mon, Jul 7, 2025 at 1:20 PM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
Cc: Gianna Luna-Hernandez <gluna@coalitionrcd.org>, izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com <izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com>

Hello Kyle and Crystal,
Earlier today, you called making inquiries about your Bayberry ledger from Tennessee. You also asked about if we were assisting with your current rent because your landlord has been requesting it. I reminded you that I spoke to Polet about it and we were trying to see what we could do to assist. I requested your landlord's information and spoke with him. I told him that we were looking to assist but it may take sometime because Polet was away. He mentioned that he had been trying to reach out to you guys but you guys have been rude to him. He mentioned that you and Crystal brought in a dog even though you were not meant to. He also mentioned that he came around today to speak to you and Crystal and the door was slammed in his face which he said was rude. Kyle mentioned that the landlord registered the housing as a "multi-family housing" meanwhile it is a single family unit. Kyle also claimed in our phone conversation that the landlord has two social security numbers. Kyle also mentioned that he didn't want to speak to the landlord in person and only wants to communicate through email for documentation purposes. I checked-in with Crystal regarding her job applications and she said she hasn't gotten any response.

_____

Chidumebi Ezenwoko | **Rental Assistance Coordinator**

Housing & Support Services
General: 323.432.2440 | 213.743.6193 |
cezenwoko@coalitionrcd.org | www.coalitionrcd.org

Confidentiality Notice: This message (including any attachments) contains confidential information intended for a specific individual and purpose, and is protected by law. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by email and delete the original message and any attachments. Any unauthorized disclosure, copying, or distribution of this message, or the taking of any action based on it, is strictly prohibited.

EXHIBIT C

CRCD Follow-Up Conversation - Agreement / Signing / Late Fees - July 22, 2025

CRCD references an agreement involving CRCD staff, Sanea, and the landlord during Zoom sessions and notes late-fee pressure.

Follow-Up Conversation

1 message

Chidumebi Ezenwoko <cezenwoko@coalitionrcd.org> Tue, Jul 22, 2025 at 9:51 AM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
Cc: Gianna Luna-Hernandez <gluna@coalitionrcd.org>

Hello Kyle,
This is a follow-up email to the conversation we had. I called asking you if you will come tomorrow as you promised during the meeting last week to sign. You said you will wait till July 31st. I reminded you of the agreement you had with me, Sanea, and the landlord when we spoke over two zoom sessions two weeks ago. I also reminded you that the longer you wait the more impatient the landlord becomes, and late fees get added.

_____

Chidumebi Ezenwoko | **Rental Assistance Coordinator**

Housing & Support Services
General: 323.432.2440 | 213.743.6193 |
cezenwoko@coalitionrcd.org | www.coalitionrcd.org

Confidentiality Notice: This message (including any attachments) contains confidential information intended for a specific individual and purpose, and is protected by law. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by email and delete the original message and any attachments. Any unauthorized disclosure, copying, or distribution of this message, or the taking of any action based on it, is strictly prohibited.

EXHIBIT D

CRCD Meeting Follow-Up - Bayberry / Firestone / Housing / WorkSource - June 12-13, 2025

CRCD references Firestone denial because of Bayberry balance, requests ledger, affordable housing resources, and WorkSource registration.

Meeting Follow-Up

3 messages

Chidumebi Ezenwoko <cezenwoko@coalitionrcd.org> Thu, Jun 12, 2025 at 11:04 AM
To: kyle.dietz99@gmail.com <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
Cc: Gianna Luna-Hernandez <gluna@coalitionrcd.org>

Good morning Kyle,
These are the few things we discussed in our conversation a little while ago. In our discussion, you mentioned you reached out to the college advisor at Project Tipping Point explaining to her about your outstanding balance and what could be done to help you clear your debt so you could continue with school. You also mentioned that you reached out to an income-based housing called FIRESTONE, but you were denied as a result of your $350 outstanding balance from Bayberry in Tennesse. I reminded you to contact them tomorrow since you claimed they will reopen at noon tomorrow to ask for a ledger.
I have attached the two documents I promised to provide that inform you about several affordable housing units you could contact and about registering for the work source center to prepare you for job opportunities
June 2025 Calendar (1) 1.pdf

Chidumebi Ezenwoko | **Rental Assistance Coordinator**

Housing & Support Services
General: 323.432.2440 | 213.743.6193 |
cezenwoko@coalitionrcd.org | www.coalitionrcd.org

Confidentiality Notice: This message (including any attachments) contains confidential information intended for a specific individual and purpose, and is protected by law. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by email and delete the original message and any attachments. Any unauthorized disclosure, copying, or distribution of this message, or the taking of any action based on it, is strictly prohibited.

thumbnail_IMG_7112.jpg
468 KB

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Fri, Jun 13, 2025 at 6:17 AM
To: Chidumebi Ezenwoko <cezenwoko@coalitionrcd.org>
Cc: Gianna Luna-Hernandez <gluna@coalitionrcd.org>

[Quoted text hidden]

7 attachments

image616937.jpg
25 KB

image801975.png
882 B

image394858.png
1 KB

image200399.png
1 KB

image301405.png
883 B

image996704.png
950 B

Haab Ledger.pdf
19 KB

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Thu, Mar 19, 2026 at 9:16 PM
To: Passero, Roger - OIG <Passero.Roger@oig.dol.gov>

Im fowarding this mr passero as shows knowledge of my previous Tennessee residency and the request for ledger i will also attach supportive documentation from fire stone denial the concern is what funds were used to pay for something crcd says they are not responsible for
[Quoted text hidden]

11 attachments

image200399.png
1 KB

image394858.png
1 KB

image301405.png
883 B

image801975.png
882 B

image996704.png
950 B

image616937.jpg
25 KB

Screenshot_20260109_154907_Gmail.jpg
591 KB

Screenshot_20260110_002453_OneDrive.jpg
896 KB

Screenshot_20260109_155032_OneDrive.jpg
716 KB

Screenshot_20260319_211405_Print Spooler.jpg
465 KB

Haab Ledger.pdf
19 KB

EXHIBIT E

Bayberry Ledger Email Thread

Bayberry identifies the balance and the ledger is routed into the CRCD record chain.

Ledger

6 messages

Alexandrya Frazier <afrazier1@alcomgt.com> Fri, Jun 13, 2025 at 6:08 AM
To: kyle.dietz99@gmail.com <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Your current balance is 350.00 but to be sure call National Credit 1-800-515-6223.

I have attached your ledger.

Alexandrya Frazier
Assistant Community Manager

BAYBERRY apartments

2300 Wilson St
Chattanooga, TN 37406

t: 423-680-6325
f: 423-716-6272

www.alcomgt.com

Alexandrya Frazier
Assistant Community Manager

BAYBERRY apartments

2300 Wilson St
Chattanooga, TN 37406

t: 423-680-6325
f: 423-716-6272

www.alcomgt.com

Haab Ledger.pdf
19 KB

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Fri, Jun 27, 2025 at 10:04 AM
To: Polet Lopez <palopez@coalitionrcd.org>

[Quoted text hidden]

5 attachments

Outlook-i1cuprjh.png
22 KB

Outlook-0lnpqeqm.png
27 KB

bayberry_920caaf8-49e6-4ed0-a23f-a812e9d57130.png
18 KB

bestplacetowork_5465e0da-2497-441b-8857-c6fa94d6b24b.png
12 KB

Haab Ledger.pdf
19 KB

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Mon, Jun 30, 2025 at 1:16 PM
To: Polet Lopez <palopez@coalitionrcd.org>

[Quoted text hidden]

5 attachments

bayberry_920caaf8-49e6-4ed0-a23f-a812e9d57130.png
18 KB

bestplacetowork_5465e0da-2497-441b-8857-c6fa94d6b24b.png
12 KB

Outlook-0lnpqeqm.png
27 KB

Outlook-i1cuprjh.png
22 KB

Haab Ledger.pdf
19 KB

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Mon, Jun 30, 2025 at 1:16 PM
To: Polet Lopez <palopez@coalitionrcd.org>

---------- Forwarded message ---------
From: **Alexandrya Frazier** <afrazier1@alcomgt.com>
Date: Fri, Jun 13, 2025, 6:08 AM
Subject: Ledger
To: kyle.dietz99@gmail.com <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

[Quoted text hidden]

5 attachments

Outlook-i1cuprjh.png
22 KB

Outlook-0lnpqeqm.png
27 KB

bayberry_920caaf8-49e6-4ed0-a23f-a812e9d57130.png
18 KB

bestplacetowork_5465e0da-2497-441b-8857-c6fa94d6b24b.png
12 KB

Haab Ledger.pdf
19 KB

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Mon, May 11, 2026 at 9:57 PM
To: elijahblack30@gmail.com

---------- Forwarded message ---------
From: **Alexandrya Frazier** <afrazier1@alcomgt.com>
Date: Fri, Jun 13, 2025, 6:08 AM
Subject: Ledger
To: kyle.dietz99@gmail.com <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

[Quoted text hidden]

---

5 attachments

Outlook-i1cuprjh.png
22 KB

Outlook-0lnpqeqm.png
27 KB

bayberry_920caaf8-49e6-4ed0-a23f-a812e9d57130.png
18 KB

bestplacetowork_5465e0da-2497-441b-8857-c6fa94d6b24b.png
12 KB

Haab Ledger.pdf
19 KB

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Mon, May 11, 2026 at 10:01 PM
To: elijahblack30@gmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

---

5 attachments

Outlook-i1cuprjh.png
22 KB

Outlook-0lnpqeqm.png
27 KB

bestplacetowork_5465e0da-2497-441b-8857-c6fa94d6b24b.png
12 KB

bayberry_920caaf8-49e6-4ed0-a23f-a812e9d57130.png
18 KB

Haab Ledger.pdf
19 KB

EXHIBIT F

National Credit Systems Paid-in-Full / $0.00 Record

Shows later Bayberry / National Credit Systems account status and need for source-payment records.

National Credit Systems, Inc.

P.O. Box 672288 Marietta, GA 30006 (800) 459-1539 (404) 629-2728
Monday - Thursday 8:00 a.m. - 7:00 p.m., Friday 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m.

09/02/2025

Kyle Haab
1151 E 106TH ST
Los Angeles CA 90003

Current Creditor: BAYBERRY APTS
Client Account Number: 1101-07
NCS Account Number: 5593558
NCS Default Password (for website): 7242C8D2
Balance: $0.00

As a courtesy and convenience to consumers who have satisfied their debt in full with National Credit Systems, you may access a Paid in Full letter directly from our website.

A waiting period before this letter is available may apply if you have made your final payment recently. This period will vary depending on the payment method used in your final transaction but is typically as listed below:

- Less than 24 hours (excluding weekends or holidays) for any method other than a check or ACH
- 7 days for ACH draft or check by phone
- 14 days for normal paper check

To access this letter, follow the instructions below:

1. Go to www.nationalcreditsystems.com
2. Select the option for "Resolve My Debt"
3. Login using your NCS Account Number and NCS Default Password provided above
4. Once logged in, select Paid in Full Letter and follow the prompts.
5. Your letter will then display or download to your downloaded items location.

You may contact our office for assistance if you experience difficulties with this process.

Sincerely,

KENYATA DANTZLER
Collection Representative

PIF INSTR

This is an attempt to collect a debt and any information obtained will be used for that purpose. This communication is from a debt collector.

NOTICE: SEE REVERSE SIDE FOR IMPORTANT INFORMATION.

Disclosure for California consumers:
As required by law, you are hereby notified that a negative credit report reflecting on your credit record may be submitted to a credit reporting agency if you fail to fulfill the terms of your credit obligations. "The state Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act and the federal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act require that, except under unusual circumstances, collectors may not contact you before 8 a.m. or after 9 p.m. They may not harass you by using threats of violence or arrest or by using obscene language. Collectors may not use false or misleading statements or call you at work if they know or have reason to know that you may not receive personal calls at work. For the most part, collectors may not tell another person, other than your attorney or spouse, about your debt. Collectors may contact another person to confirm your location or enforce a judgment. For more information about debt collection activities, you may contact the Federal Trade Commission at 1-877-FTC-HELP or www.ftc.gov <http://www.ftc.gov>."
California License Number = 10800-99

Disclosure for Colorado consumers:
FOR INFORMATION ABOUT THE COLORADO FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT, SEE <HTTPS://COAG.GOV/OFFICE-SECTIONS/CONSUMER-PROTECTION/CONSUMER-CREDIT-UNIT/COLLECTION-AGENCY-REGULATION/>
Local Office:
1776 South Jackson Street, #900,
Denver, CO 80210
720-287-8669
A consumer has the right to request in writing that a debt collector or collection agency cease further communication with the consumer. A written request to cease communication will not prohibit the debt collector or collection agency from taking any other action authorized by law to collect the debt.

Disclosure for Massachusetts consumers:
NOTICE OF IMPORTANT RIGHTS.
YOU HAVE THE RIGHT TO MAKE WRITTEN OR ORAL REQUEST THAT TELEPHONE CALLS REGARDING YOUR DEBT NOT BE MADE TO YOU AT YOUR PLACE EMPLOYMENT. ANY SUCH ORAL REQUEST WILL BE VALID FOR ONLY TEN DAYS UNLESS YOU PROVIDE WRITTEN CONFIRMATION OF THE REQUEST POSTMARKED OR DELIVERED WITHIN SEVEN DAYS OF SUCH REQUEST. YOU MAY TERMINATE THIS REQUEST BY WRITING TO THE DEBT COLLECTOR.

Disclosure for Minnesota consumers:
This collection agency is licensed by the Minnesota Department of Commerce.

Dislcosure for North Carolina consumers:
NORTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE PERMIT NUMBER 119500338.

Disclosure for Nevada consumers:
Please note: If you choose to make a payment by credit card, a separate convenience fee may apply. However, other payment options are available that are free of charge.

Disclosure for New York City consumers:
NYC Department of Consumer Affairs license 1277208

Dislcosure for Tennessee consumers:
This collection agency is licensed by the Collection Service Board of the Department of commerce and Insurance.

Disclosure for Utah consumers:
As required by Utah law, you are hereby notified that a negative credit report reflecting on your credit record may be submitted to a credit reporting agency if you fail to fulfill the terms of your credit obligations. We will not submit a negative credit report to a credit reporting agency about this credit obligation until the expiration of the time period described above.

EXHIBIT G

Alliance / CRCD Housing Support Thread

Alliance contacts CRCD about Project Tipping Point support for Kyle with consent; Polet and CRCD staff appear in coordination.

Re: Housing Support

9 messages

Sanea Smith <ssmith@alliancecr.org> Wed, Jun 25, 2025 at 1:39 PM
To: cezenwoko@coalitionrcd.org <cezenwoko@coalitionrcd.org>, kyle.dietz99@gmail.com <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
Cc: lwaters@coalitionrcd.org <lwaters@coalitionrcd.org>

Good Afternoon,
I am Sanea Smith with Alliance for Children's Rights, and I wanted to get more information on the Tipping Point Project for the above client Kyle Haab. Kyle has given consent to speak with you in how to beat support. Please let me know. Thank you.

Sanea Smith

TAY Director

Alliance for Children's Rights

D: (213) 401-0936

O: (213) 368-6010 ext 152

4525 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite # 150

Los Angeles, CA 90010

https://allianceforchildrensrights.org/

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Wed, Jun 25, 2025 at 2:29 PM
To: Sanea Smith <ssmith@alliancecr.org>
Cc: cezenwoko@coalitionrcd.org <cezenwoko@coalitionrcd.org>, lwaters@coalitionrcd.org <lwaters@coalitionrcd.org>

palopez@coalitionrcd.org

[Quoted text hidden]

Sanea Smith <ssmith@alliancecr.org> Tue, Jul 8, 2025 at 9:00 AM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>, Polet Lopez <palopez@coalitionrcd.org>
Cc: cezenwoko@coalitionrcd.org <cezenwoko@coalitionrcd.org>, lwaters@coalitionrcd.org <lwaters@coalitionrcd.org>

Good Morning,
Thank you for the information.

Sanea Smith

TAY Director

Alliance for Children's Rights

D: (213) 401-0936

O: (213) 368-6010 ext 152

4525 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite # 150

Los Angeles, CA 90010

https://allianceforchildrensrights.org/

From: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2025 2:29:01 PM
To: Sanea Smith <ssmith@alliancecr.org>

Cc: cezenwoko@coalitionrcd.org <cezenwoko@coalitionrcd.org>; lwaters@coalitionrcd.org <lwaters@coalitionrcd.org>
Subject: Re: Housing Support

[EXTERNAL]

[Quoted text hidden]

Sanea Smith <ssmith@alliancecr.org> Tue, Jul 8, 2025 at 1:02 PM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>, Polet Lopez <palopez@coalitionrcd.org>
Cc: cezenwoko@coalitionrcd.org <cezenwoko@coalitionrcd.org>, lwaters@coalitionrcd.org <lwaters@coalitionrcd.org>

https://zoom.us/j/96886707255?pwd=Wywhj5Dxghe0DeemfPdewkvrdiBi2j.1

Join our Cloud HD Video Meeting

Zoom is the leader in modern enterprise cloud communications.

zoom.us

From: Sanea Smith <ssmith@alliancecr.org>
Sent: Tuesday, July 8, 2025 9:00 AM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>; Polet Lopez <palopez@coalitionrcd.org>
[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Tue, Nov 4, 2025 at 12:50 PM
To: izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Tue, Nov 4, 2025 at 12:50 PM
To: izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Tue, Nov 4, 2025 at 12:51 PM
To: Crystal Izarraraz <izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com>

[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Tue, Nov 4, 2025 at 12:51 PM
To: Crystal Izarraraz <izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com>

[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Fri, Apr 17, 2026 at 11:58 AM
To: nestrada@lafla.org

As relevance towards my housing
[Quoted text hidden]

EXHIBIT H

Alliance Community Resources Thread

Alliance documents housing prevention, ended rental assistance, SSA/General Relief income limitations, employment efforts, out-of-state homelessness, and family goals for children in guardianship.

Re: Community Resources

8 messages

Sanea Smith <ssmith@alliancecr.org> Tue, Jul 1, 2025 at 12:27 PM
To: Izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com <Izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com>, Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>, Shahzareh Ali <sali@alliancecr.org>

Good Afternoon Kyle and Crystal,
Please see below the resources for alternative housing solutions and Prevention Assistance to maintain your current housing needs. Crystal the ACR Staff that will be assisting you is @Shahzareh Ali. She will follow up with to gather more information to support possible next steps. As a reminder Alliance for Children's Rights does not operate direct housing and will support your case with external referrals to available community housing and prevention.

Homeless Access Centers | HOPICS (please arrive earlier than the opening hours to gain access to complete an intake)

📍 **New Temporary Location:**

3103 South Grand Ave, Los Angeles CA 90007

📞 **Contact Us:**

323-432-2440

https://dpss.lacounty.gov/en/cash/gr/housing.html

Thank you,

Sanea Smith, M.S.
TAY Director
Alliance for Children's Rights
D: (213) 401-0936
O: (213) 368-6010 ext 152
4525 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite # 150
Los Angeles, CA 90010

https://allianceforchildrensrights.org/

Crystal Izarraraz <izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com> Tue, Jul 1, 2025 at 12:28 PM
To: Kyle <Kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

[Quoted text hidden]

Sanea Smith <ssmith@alliancecr.org> Tue, Jul 1, 2025 at 12:47 PM
To: Izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com <Izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com>, Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>, Shahzareh Ali <sali@alliancecr.org>

Please see below additional resources that HOPICS and CRCD Ruth's Place offers

Housing Placement And Stabilization | HOPICS

Housing, Support Services & Job Training Programs | CRCD

https://www.countyoffice.org/south-los-angeles-worksource-center-los-angeles-ca-f84/

** I am in need of housing prevention to support us from become homeless. We were connected to a program that assisted with rental assistance however, the program has ended, and my current income does not cover the rent. We are pending notice of when to vacate in the next 14-30 days. Me and my partner have set income of SSA and General Relief. We are working towards identifying employment opportunities that can assist our immediate needs. We were experiencing homelessness out of state then relocated to Los Angeles. We too are working towards meeting family goals for our children that in Guardianship.

Sanea Smith, M.S.
TAY Director
Alliance for Children's Rights
D: (213) 401-0936
O: (213) 368-6010 ext 152
4525 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite # 150
Los Angeles, CA 90010

https://allianceforchildrensrights.org/

From: Sanea Smith
Sent: Tuesday, July 1, 2025 12:27 PM
To: lzarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com <lzarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com>; Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>; Shahzareh Ali <sali@alliancecr.org>
Subject: Re: Community Resources

[Quoted text hidden]

Sanea Smith <ssmith@alliancecr.org> Tue, Jul 1, 2025 at 12:57 PM
To: lzarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com <lzarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com>, Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>, Shahzareh Ali <sali@alliancecr.org>

Hi,
Please see below resource for IHSS
Seniors and Persons with Disabilities

> Seniors and Persons with Disabilities - Department of Public Social Services
>
> In-Home Supportive Services (IHSS) helps pay for services provided to eligible persons who are 65 years of age or over, or legally blind, or disabled adults and children, so they can remain safely in their own homes.
>
> dpss.lacounty.gov

From: Sanea Smith <ssmith@alliancecr.org>
Sent: Tuesday, July 1, 2025 12:47 PM
[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Tue, Mar 10, 2026 at 6:13 PM
To: Crystal Izarraraz <izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com>

---------- Forwarded message ---------
From: **Sanea Smith** <ssmith@alliancecr.org>
Date: Tue, Jul 1, 2025, 12:57 PM
Subject: Re: Community Resources
To: Izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com <Izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com>, Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>, Shahzareh Ali <sali@alliancecr.org>

[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Wed, Mar 11, 2026 at 5:53 PM
To: Sanford, Ahliyah <asanford@bos.lacounty.gov>, AC-CONSTITUENT <constituent@auditor.lacounty.gov>, Giza, Maeve <MGiza@bos.lacounty.gov>, Alyssa Skolnick <askolnick@counsel.lacounty.gov>
Cc: Ester.F.Dela@hud.gov, Clarke, Adriane J <Adriane.J.Clarke@hud.gov>, Choi, Chin Woo <ChinWoo.Choi@hud.gov>, rebecca.blanco@hud.gov

[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Mon, Mar 16, 2026 at 9:56 AM
To: Anna Guy <annag@openarmscommunityhealth.com>

[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Mon, May 11, 2026 at 10:36 PM
Draft To: elijahblack30@gmail.com

---------- Forwarded message ---------
From: **Kyle** <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
Date: Mon, Mar 16, 2026, 9:56 AM
Subject: Fwd: Community Resources
To: Anna Guy <annag@openarmscommunityhealth.com>

---------- Forwarded message ---------
From: **Kyle** <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
Date: Wed, Mar 11, 2026, 5:53 PM
Subject: Fwd: Community Resources
To: Sanford, Ahliyah <asanford@bos.lacounty.gov>, AC-CONSTITUENT <constituent@auditor.lacounty.gov>, Giza, Maeve <MGiza@bos.lacounty.gov>, Alyssa Skolnick <askolnick@counsel.lacounty.gov>
Cc: <Ester.F.Dela@hud.gov>, Clarke, Adriane J <Adriane.J.Clarke@hud.gov>, Choi, Chin Woo <ChinWoo.Choi@hud.gov>, <rebecca.blanco@hud.gov>

---------- Forwarded message ---------
From: **Kyle** <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
Date: Tue, Mar 10, 2026, 6:13 PM
Subject: Fwd: Community Resources
To: Crystal Izarraraz <izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com>

---------- Forwarded message ---------
From: **Sanea Smith** <ssmith@alliancecr.org>
Date: Tue, Jul 1, 2025, 12:57 PM
Subject: Re: Community Resources
To: Izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com <Izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com>, Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>, Shahzareh Ali <sali@alliancecr.org>

Hi,
Please see below resource for IHSS
Seniors and Persons with Disabilities

Seniors and Persons with Disabilities - Department of Public Social Services

In-Home Supportive Services (IHSS) helps pay for services provided to eligible persons who are 65 years of age or over, or legally blind, or disabled adults and children, so they can remain safely in their own homes.

dpss.lacounty.gov

From: Sanea Smith <ssmith@alliancecr.org>
Sent: Tuesday, July 1, 2025 12:47 PM
To: Izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com <Izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com>; Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>; Shahzareh Ali <sali@alliancecr.org>
Subject: Re: Community Resources

Please see below additional resources that HOPICS and CRCD Ruth's Place offers

Housing Placement And Stabilization | HOPICS

Housing, Support Services & Job Training Programs | CRCD

https://www.countyoffice.org/south-los-angeles-worksource-center-los-angeles-ca-f84/

** I am in need of housing prevention to support us from become homeless. We were connected to a program that assisted with rental assistance however, the program has ended, and my current income does not cover the rent. We are pending notice of when to vacate in the next 14-30 days. Me and my partner have set income of SSA and General Relief. We are working towards identifying employment opportunities that can assist our immediate needs. We were experiencing homelessness out of state then relocated to Los Angeles. We too are working towards meeting family goals for our children that in Guardianship.

Sanea Smith, M.S.
TAY Director
Alliance for Children's Rights
D: (213) 401-0936
O: (213) 368-6010 ext 152
4525 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite # 150
Los Angeles, CA 90010

https://allianceforchildrensrights.org/

From: Sanea Smith
Sent: Tuesday, July 1, 2025 12:27 PM
To: Izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com <Izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com>; Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>; Shahzareh Ali <sali@alliancecr.org>
Subject: Re: Community Resources

Good Afternoon Kyle and Crystal,
Please see below the resources for alternative housing solutions and Prevention Assistance to maintain your current housing needs. Crystal the ACR Staff that will be assisting you is @Shahzareh Ali. She will follow up with to gather more information to support possible next steps. As a reminder Alliance for Children's Rights does not operate direct housing and will support your case with external referrals to available community housing and prevention.

Homeless Access Centers | HOPICS (please arrive earlier than the opening hours to gain access to complete an intake)

📍 **New Temporary Location:**

3103 South Grand Ave, Los Angeles CA 90007

📞 **Contact Us:**

323-432-2440

https://dpss.lacounty.gov/en/cash/gr/housing.html

Thank you,

Sanea Smith, M.S.
TAY Director
Alliance for Children's Rights
D: (213) 401-0936
O: (213) 368-6010 ext 152
4525 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite # 150
Los Angeles, CA 90010

https://allianceforchildrensrights.org/

EXHIBIT I

Alliance / Patty Lopez Reunification Coordination Thread

Shows Patty, Crystal, Kyle, and Alliance coordinating around Guardian/Kinship care and family reunification.

RE: talking to patty lopez

10 messages

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Tue, Jul 22, 2025 at 12:50 PM
To: smith@alliancecr.org <smith@alliancecr.org>, Crystal Izarraraz <izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com>, Patty Lopez <Asmlopez2015@yahoo.com>

Hello, I'm reaching out to introduce you to Patty Lopez, the guardian of Cameron and Katherine of our children. I'm making this email and added everyone to further communicate on proceeding with a plan to get our kids back in our custody. This email is to introduce Sanea Smith the TAY coordinator for youth to Patty Lopez about the plan and more contact information for Sanea Smith To reach out to Patty Lopez.

Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com> Tue, Jul 22, 2025 at 12:50 PM
To: kyle.dietz99@gmail.com



The response from the remote server was:

```
550 5.4.1 Recipient address rejected: Access denied. For more information see
https://aka.ms/EXOSmtpErrors [SJ1PEPF00001CDC.namprd05.prod.outlook.com 2025-07-22T19:50:34.426Z
08DDC69EF4184400]
```

[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Tue, Jul 22, 2025 at 1:05 PM
To: Crystal Izarraraz <izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com>, Patty Lopez <Asmlopez2015@yahoo.com>, Sanea Smith <ssmith@alliancecr.org>

[Quoted text hidden]

Sanea Smith <ssmith@alliancecr.org> Tue, Jul 22, 2025 at 1:09 PM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>, Crystal Izarraraz <izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com>, Patty Lopez <Asmlopez2015@yahoo.com>

Hi Patty,
I am Sanea Smith with Alliance for Children's Rights the TAY Director. Crystal and Kyle shared that their children are in Guardian/Kinship care as their maternal grandmother. Please call me to gather more information from you on how we can assist Crystal and Kyle with possible next steps with family reunification. Thank you in advance.

Sanea Smith

Sanea Smith

TAY Director

Alliance for Children's Rights

D: (213) 401-0936

O: (213) 368-6010 ext 152

4525 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite # 150

Los Angeles, CA 90010

https://allianceforchildrensrights.org/

From: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 22, 2025 1:05:30 PM
To: Crystal Izarraraz <izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com>; Patty Lopez <Asmlopez2015@yahoo.com>; Sanea Smith <ssmith@alliancecr.org>
Subject: Re: talking to patty lopez

[EXTERNAL]

[Quoted text hidden]

Patty Lopez <asmlopez2015@yahoo.com> Wed, Jul 23, 2025 at 6:40 AM
Reply-to: Patty Lopez <asmlopez2015@yahoo.com>
To: ssmith@alliancecr.org
Cc: Crystal Izarraraz <izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com>, Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Dear Sanea Smith,

Thank you for reaching out. I would be happy to discuss the matter further with you. I am available for a call this Friday between 1pm and 4pm or on July 29th. Please let me know which time slot works best for you, and I will make sure to schedule it accordingly.

Best regards,

Patty López
Cellular: 661 502-7997
Email: asmlopez2015@yahoo.com

Yahoo Mail: Search, Organize, Conquer
[Quoted text hidden]

Sanea Smith <ssmith@alliancecr.org> Wed, Jul 23, 2025 at 3:25 PM
To: Patty Lopez <asmlopez2015@yahoo.com>
Cc: Crystal Izarraraz <izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com>, Shahzareh Ali <sali@alliancecr.org>, Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>, Xinyi Zhang <xzhang@alliancecr.org>

Good Afternoon,
If we can meet on Monday July 29th that would be great. Please confirm a time and I will forward a calendar invite.

**Meeting to discuss Crystal and Kyle's children's family reunification

Thank you in advance,

Sanea Smith, M.S.
TAY Director
Alliance for Children's Rights
D: (213) 401-0936
O: (213) 368-6010 ext 152

4525 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite # 150
Los Angeles, CA 90010

https://allianceforchildrensrights.org/

From: Patty Lopez <asmlopez2015@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, July 23, 2025 6:40 AM
To: Sanea Smith <ssmith@alliancecr.org>
Cc: Crystal Izarraraz <izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com>; Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Wed, Jul 23, 2025 at 3:41 PM
To: Sanea Smith <ssmith@alliancecr.org>

Anytime works for us monday 29th
[Quoted text hidden]

Sanea Smith <ssmith@alliancecr.org> Wed, Jul 23, 2025 at 3:43 PM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>, Patty Lopez <asmlopez2015@yahoo.com>, Crystal Izarraraz <izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com>, Shahzareh Ali <sali@alliancecr.org>, Xinyi Zhang <xzhang@alliancecr.org>

Hi Kyle,
Monday is July 28th, and Tuesday is July 29th, please let me know what day you preferred.

Sanea Smith

TAY Director

Alliance for Children's Rights

D: (213) 401-0936

O: (213) 368-6010 ext 152

4525 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite # 150

Los Angeles, CA 90010

https://allianceforchildrensrights.org/

From: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, July 23, 2025 3:41:09 PM
To: Sanea Smith <ssmith@alliancecr.org>
[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Wed, Jul 23, 2025 at 3:46 PM
To: Sanea Smith <ssmith@alliancecr.org>

Thank you for the correction
[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Mon, Mar 16, 2026 at 9:55 AM
To: Anna Guy <annag@openarmscommunityhealth.com>

[Quoted text hidden]

EXHIBIT J

Alliance Urgent Pro Bono / Corrective Referral Request

Shows family-law/UCCJEA/writ/parent-child-contact assistance request tied to 25CMFL00694 and disputed Tennessee/ICPC history.

Urgent Pro Bono / Corrective Referral Request — Family Law UCCJEA, Writ, and Parent-Child Contact

4 messages

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Wed, May 20, 2026 at 2:31 PM
To: cyacoub@alliancecr.org, Sanea Smith <ssmith@alliancecr.org>, Xinyi Zhang <xzhang@alliancecr.org>, Shahzareh Ali <sali@alliancecr.org>, Crystal Izarraraz <izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com>

Dear Alliance for Children's Rights,

I am requesting urgent pro bono, limited-scope, or corrective referral assistance.

My Los Angeles Superior Court family-law case is 25CMFL00694. I am a low-income parent whose only income is SSI. I am currently self-represented in a family-law/UCCJEA matter involving a disputed Tennessee juvenile/custody order, monitored visitation, lack of a workable reunification structure, and a Second District writ/appellate issue.

I am asking Alliance to review whether it can provide or arrange:

1. emergency family-law representation;
2. limited-scope UCCJEA / interstate custody assistance;
3. limited-scope assistance correcting or refiling the Second District writ petition;
4. assistance preserving court-ordered parent-child contact and monitored visitation;
5. a conflict-free pro bono referral if Alliance cannot assist directly;
6. written confirmation of any denial, conflict, or scope limitation if Alliance cannot help.

This is also a corrective-action request because Alliance had prior context. Prior communications involving Sanea Smith at ssmith@alliancecr.org are relevant because Alliance had earlier context involving CRCD-related referrals, Public Counsel referral activity, disputed narrative/correction concerns, and the need for a legal pathway. I am not contacting Alliance as a new intake with no history. I am asking Alliance to review whether that prior context supports corrective pro bono assistance or a conflict-free emergency referral now.

The current harm is concrete. I remain self-represented in 25CMFL00694. The California case is relying on a disputed Tennessee order. I am attempting to preserve parent-child contact through trial-court filings and a writ petition. The prior writ filing was rejected for technical defects rather than merits review, which shows the need for legal assistance. I cannot afford private counsel, and SmartLaw/LACBA advised that it cannot assist with pro bono or low-income representation.

For clarity, I am not asking Alliance to prosecute crimes, investigate attorney misconduct, or litigate unrelated agency complaints. I am asking Alliance to address the family-law and child-rights consequence: my children remain in a legal posture where parent-child contact is at risk, I am self-represented, and the record involves a disputed out-of-state order, ICPC/DCS history, alleged consent issues, and no functioning reunification or monitored-visitation pathway.

I can provide supporting documents upon request, including:

- Sanea Smith / Alliance communications;
- the communication involving the disputed or false narrative correction issue;
- Public Counsel referral communications;
- the March 11, 2026 custody/visitation order;
- the May 11, 2026 emergency-relief denial;
- the Second District writ rejection notice and corrected writ draft;
- Tennessee order and records-request materials;
- proof of SSI-only income;
- SmartLaw/LACBA response confirming it cannot assist with pro bono or low-income representation;
- LAFLA scope communications showing assistance appears limited to unlawful-detainer / eviction defense.

Please confirm whether Alliance can provide emergency review, representation, limited-scope assistance, or a conflict-free pro bono referral. If Alliance cannot assist, please provide a written explanation and identify the appropriate no-cost referral pathway for a low-income parent needing emergency family-law/UCCJEA/writ assistance.

Respectfully,

Kyle Haab
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com> Wed, May 20, 2026 at 2:31 PM
To: kyle.dietz99@gmail.com



The response from the remote server was:

```
550 5.4.1 Recipient address rejected: Access denied. For more information see
https://aka.ms/EXOSmtpErrors [SJ1PEPF00002317.namprd03.prod.outlook.com 2026-05-20T21:31:39.167Z
08DEB35EBC53E268]
```

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Wed, May 20, 2026 at 3:15 PM
To: cyacoub@alliancecr.org, Sanea Smith <ssmith@alliancecr.org>, Xinyi Zhang <xzhang@alliancecr.org>, Shahzareh Ali <sali@alliancecr.org>, Crystal Izarraraz <izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com>, rtomasek@alliancecr.org

[Quoted text hidden]

Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com> Wed, May 20, 2026 at 3:16 PM
To: kyle.dietz99@gmail.com



The response from the remote server was:

```
550 5.4.1 Recipient address rejected: Access denied. For more information see
https://aka.ms/EXOSmtpErrors [DM2PEPF00003FC4.namprd04.prod.outlook.com 2026-05-20T22:16:03.344Z
08DEB300643E7AC7]
```

EXHIBIT K

CRCD Records / Enrollment Status Response

CRCD response regarding Project Tipping Point, funding-source language, and requested records/context.

Subject: Formal Request for Records, Enrollment Status, and Grievance Procedures

1 message

Polet Lopez <palopez@coalitionrcd.org> Mon, Sep 29, 2025 at 10:37 AM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Good morning, Kyle,

Please see below the responses to the email you sent on 9/16/25.

1. Confirmation of my enrollment status — Am I currently considered an active participant in CRCD or its partner programs?

- Yes, you are currently enrolled in Project Tipping Point. As you are aware, the purpose of Project Tipping Point is to support academic success for current and former foster youth at the post-secondary level. It is a program requirement and expectation that participants are enrolled in at least 6-9 units per semester in order to be considered an active participant and in good standing with the program. Due to the fact that you are not currently enrolled in academic course work at LATTC for the Fall 2025 Semester, your status in Project Tipping Point is considered inactive. In addition, your academic progress has not met programmatic expectations as outlined below:
  - Summer 2024: No credit bearing courses attempted, no credits earned
  - Fall 2024: 7 units attempted, 2 earned
  - Spring 2025: No credit bearing courses attempted, no credits earned
  - Summer 2025: No credit bearing courses attempted, no credits earned

If you would like to discuss your continued participation in Project Tipping Point, as an active participant, please schedule a time to meet with myself or your College Navigator.

2. A list of supportive services I am currently enrolled in or entitled to, along with documentation of how these services have been or will be provided.

- Below is the list of supportive services that have been provided to you since enrolling into PTP on June 13, 2024:
  - Furniture assistance: $1,191.82
  - Rental assistance:
    - Security Deposit $2000
    - August 2024 rent $1500
    - Sept 2024- June 2025 @ $2000 = $20K
    - July and Aug @ $1000 = $2000
  - Supportive Services:
    - Student Fees: $120
    - School supplies: $124.61
    - Gift cards (food insecurities/ business attire): $805
  - Totaling: $15,741.43
- Project Tipping Point participants must be considered active and in enrolled in credit-bearing college courses in order to be eligible for supportive services.

3. Copies of any grievance procedures available to participants, as required by state, county, or federal funding guidelines.

Our team has been in consistent communication regarding the expectations of the program and addressing your needs and concerns. If you have additional needs or concerns, please schedule a time to meet with myself or your College Navigator and we will include additional Leadership staff from CRCD.

4. Identification of the funding sources (city, county, state, or federal grants) that support my enrollment and services.

- The Project Tipping Point is supported through private foundations.

5. Any communications, case notes, or agreements that document my referral, intake, or program eligibility.

- The requested documents have been provided.

Thank you and please let me know if you have any questions.

_____

Polet Lopez | **Youth & Education Manager**

Workforce Development
213.743.6193 | **M:** 323.507.3054 | **F:** 323.521.1928 | **TTY:** 711
palopez@coalitionrcd.org | www.coalitionrcd.org

SUPPLEMENTAL SOURCE-RECORD EXHIBIT

California Department of Justice - Registry of Charities and Fundraisers
Acknowledgment concerning Coalition for Responsible Community Development

Document date	June 19, 2026
Issuing office	California Department of Justice, Registry of Charities and Fundraisers
Named organization	Coalition for Responsible Community Development
Related County matters	OCI 2025-23289; OCI 2026-23965; CFCI-PA3-056-2023; CRCD/PTP 1233369910

What the exhibit establishes

• The California Department of Justice formally acknowledged receipt of a complaint concerning CRCD.

• The Charitable Trusts Section states that it may investigate or audit alleged charitable abuses and may file civil actions to correct such abuses.

• The letter directs allegations of criminal activity to local law enforcement or the district attorney in the county where the activity occurred.

• The office states that all submitted complaints are reviewed, while also explaining that it does not disclose information concerning pending investigations or audits.

Auditor-Controller relevance

• This record confirms an independent state charitable-oversight notice relating to CRCD and supports preservation of records relevant to County grant administration, contractor monitoring, participant reporting, payment authority, and source-record integrity.

• The state acknowledgment does not displace the Auditor-Controller or OCI role. County-linked claims, invoices, participant records, grant-funded services, and contractor conduct remain matters for County investigative-audit and referral review within County jurisdiction.

• The state letter also preserves the distinction between civil charitable oversight and potential criminal referral. Any criminal characterization still requires authenticated evidence and review by the appropriate law-enforcement or prosecuting authority.

Evidentiary limitation

The acknowledgment proves receipt and identifies the California DOJ Charitable Trusts Section's general oversight function. It does not establish that the Attorney General opened an investigation, reached findings, or determined that CRCD violated civil or criminal law.

The original one-page California DOJ acknowledgment follows as the source exhibit. Original source records control.

ROB BONTA
Attorney General

State of California
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

1300 I STREET
P.O. BOX 903447
SACRAMENTO, CA 94203-4470
Complaints@doj.ca.gov

June 19, 2026

KYLE HAAB
Kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

RE: **COALITION FOR RESPONSIBLE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT**

Hello,

This will acknowledge receipt of your complaint regarding the **COALITION FOR RESPONSIBLE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT** organization.

The Charitable Trusts Section of the Attorney General's Office conducts investigations and audits of alleged charitable abuses, and may file lawsuits to correct such abuses. Complaints alleging criminal activity should be referred to local law enforcement or the district attorney in the county in which the activity occurred.

The Attorney General does not review matters involving internal labor disputes, contested elections, disagreements between directors and members over policy and procedures, and most legal actions between charities and third parties regarding contracts or torts. Also, under Corporations Code section 9230, the Attorney General has limited authority to investigate religious corporations. Persons with complaints in any of the foregoing categories may choose to consult a private attorney to review legal rights and remedies.

Further information regarding the Attorney General's oversights of charitable trusts is available on the Attorney General's website, at http://oag.ca.gov/charities.

Unfortunately, we lack the staffing to enable us to investigate all of the thousands of complaints we receive, and must limit ourselves to those few which we believe to be the most significant. We do, however, review all complaints submitted to us. As a matter of law and policy, the Attorney General's Office does not provide information regarding its pending investigations and audits.

Thank you for bringing this matter to our attention.

Sincerely,
Registry of Charities and Fundraisers

For Rob Bonta
Attorney General

SUPPLEMENTAL COUNTY OVERSIGHT DISCREPANCY AND CONFLICT-SAFE ROUTING REQUEST

Internal Affairs Complaint 26-001767 | RMS C259045687 and C269013241

Date	June 19, 2026
To	Sergeant Mark Wright, LAPD Internal Affairs Division
Copies	Captain David Hwang; LAPD/Police Commission OIG complaint channel; Los Angeles County Auditor-Controller / OCI; Board of Supervisors routing contacts
Screening copy	Los Angeles County Office of Inspector General, jurisdictional screening only; no assertion that it has general oversight of the Auditor-Controller
From	Kyle David Haab
Subject	LAHSA-directed law-enforcement reporting, DHS/LAHSA screening discrepancy, OCI 2025-23289 closure, open OCI 2026-23965, and independent routing of unresolved evidence

Purpose of this addendum

This addendum supplements the existing Internal Affairs conflict-safe routing packet. It identifies a material discrepancy in how County offices described the handling of County Fraud/OCI Case 2025-23289 and explains why a funding-jurisdiction screen cannot be treated as a full merits investigation of the disputed W-9, ACH, lease, landlord-verification, consent, records-custody, and payment evidence.

Core discrepancy: A Board office described the complaint as thoroughly investigated by DHS and LAHSA, while LAHSA later stated its findings were limited to whether Project Tipping Point received LAHSA funding and that it did not investigate the underlying allegations.

Documented sequence and discrepancy

1. September 15, 2025: The County Fraud Hotline requested written consent to release the complainant’s name, contact information, and documents to a possible lead investigator in Cases 2025-23289 and 2025-23345.
2. October 9, 2025: The contemporaneous correspondence records the complainant’s statement that Winston Mendoza of DHS Audit & Compliance confirmed transfer of the submission and directed future status inquiries to OCI. The attached record does not contain a separate written DHS merits finding from Mr. Mendoza.
3. October 15, 2025: The County Fraud Hotline stated that Case 2025-23289 was "in queue for department investigation" and directed the complainant to LAHSA and CEOP while maintaining investigative confidentiality.
4. November 17, 2025: The County Fraud Hotline reported that LAHSA said CRCD was a LAHSA-funded provider but Project Tipping Point was not LAHSA-funded, based in part on CRCD’s statement that the project used private foundation funds.
5. December 12, 2025: A representative of Supervisor Holly Mitchell’s office stated that DHS and LAHSA had investigated the claims and characterized the matter as thoroughly investigated by appropriate departments.
6. May 17, 2026: LAHSA’s Deputy Chief Risk Officer stated that LAHSA had custody of Christopher Redd’s file, referred the matter back to OCI on December 11, 2025, and provided a closure memorandum whose findings were limited to whether Project Tipping Point was funded by LAHSA. LAHSA stated it would not investigate a project it determined was not LAHSA-funded.
7. June 9, 2026: The County Fraud Hotline confirmed that Case 2025-23289 was closed while Case 2026-23965 remained open.

Reporting causation: LAHSA instruction was the reason for the law-enforcement report

I state that I reported the disputed W-9, ACH, lease, landlord-verification, identity-record, and payment issues to law enforcement because LAHSA instructed me to do so. I did not initiate the police-reporting step as an independent attempt to bypass the County review; I followed the governmental routing that had been provided to me.

At that time, County Fraud/OCI Case 2025-23289 had already been routed through a DHS administrative-review or transfer stage and was being screened through LAHSA. The County Fraud Hotline directed me to LAHSA and CEOP while stating that Case 2025-23289 was in queue for department investigation. LAHSA then directed the matter to law enforcement.

This sequence is material because it identifies the source and timing of the police report. The law-enforcement report was a response to LAHSA's direction during the County-assigned review process. It should not later be characterized as though LAHSA merely reacted to a preexisting, unsupported accusation that I independently chose to label as identity theft or falsified records.

Christopher Williams's later reconciliation addressed the limited scope of LAHSA's funding review, but it did not reconcile the earlier leadership-directed law-enforcement instruction. The record should identify who issued that instruction, what information LAHSA reviewed, why law enforcement was selected, and whether LAHSA now stands by or disputes the contemporaneous referral note.

Source basis: County Fraud/OCI routing correspondence for Case 2025-23289; the contemporaneous LAHSA case-note entry documenting leadership direction and a law-enforcement referral; and the later LAHSA response limiting Christopher Redd's review to the funding question.

Why the distinction is material

A determination that Project Tipping Point was not funded by LAHSA is a funding-jurisdiction determination. It does not, by itself, authenticate or resolve the disputed records, identify who created or submitted them, establish consent, verify payment authority, or determine whether law-enforcement evidence was fully reviewed.

- No written DHS allegation-by-allegation findings are included in the attached record.
- LAHSA expressly limited its findings to the funding question and returned the matter to OCI.
- The Board-office statement that the complaint was thoroughly investigated is therefore broader than the documented LAHSA scope.
- The closure basis for OCI 2025-23289, and its relationship to open OCI 2026-23965, requires written reconciliation.

Effect on the LAPD Internal Affairs review

Internal Affairs is not being asked to decide County funding or nonprofit oversight. It is being asked to determine whether Commercial Crimes independently reviewed the actual evidence or instead relied on incomplete agency-routing or closure descriptions. The LAHSA closure memorandum, any DHS administrative-review record, and the OCI closure basis are relevant to that inquiry because they show what was and was not reviewed outside LAPD.

Requested conflict-safe actions

8. Obtain or request confirmation of the December 11, 2025 LAHSA-to-OCI closure memorandum and its stated scope.
9. Identify whether a written DHS Audit & Compliance review, transfer memorandum, findings letter, or closure record exists, including the role of Winston Mendoza.
10. Determine the formal closure reason for OCI 2025-23289 and whether it was based only on the LAHSA funding screen, a DHS administrative review, CRCD’s representations, or an independent source-record review.
11. Determine whether OCI 2025-23289 was cross-referenced, superseded, or continued through open OCI 2026-23965.
12. Determine whether Commercial Crimes obtained or reviewed the LAHSA file, DHS review record, OCI closure basis, W-9, ACH, unsigned lease, landlord-verification packet, original metadata, payment records, and relevant witness or custodian information before closing the LAPD reports.
13. Conduct an independence screen before returning the unresolved criminal evidence solely to Commercial Crimes, whose handling and closure are under review.
14. Identify an independent LAPD command or outside investigative/prosecutorial authority for the unresolved criminal-evidence component, while preserving OCI 2026-23965 as the separate County funding and contractor lane.

County oversight and OIG jurisdictional screening

The Los Angeles County Office of Inspector General should not be described as a general appellate authority over the Auditor-Controller. Its official website identifies a complaint channel for Sheriff’s Department matters and an investigatory role in Probation Department oversight. A County OIG copy is therefore requested only for jurisdictional screening if any Sheriff, Probation, custody, reentry, or administration-of-justice component falls within its mandate. If not, the County should identify the proper independent Board-level, Auditor-Controller, County Counsel, CEOP, or other oversight path for reconciling the inconsistent investigation descriptions.

Source note: Los Angeles County Office of Inspector General official website, accessed June 19, 2026, describes Sheriff’s Department complaint intake and a Probation Department oversight role.

Requested written response

- Who conducted the DHS administrative review, what records were reviewed, and what written disposition exists?
- What precisely did LAHSA investigate, and what did it expressly decline to investigate?
- What was the actual closure basis for OCI 2025-23289?
- How is closed Case 2025-23289 related to open Case 2026-23965?
- Did LAPD independently review the source documents, or rely on County/LAHSA routing descriptions?
- What conflict-safe authority will review the unresolved criminal evidence while Commercial Crimes handling is under Internal Affairs review?

15. Will LAHSA and County Fraud/OCI confirm that my law-enforcement report followed LAHSA's instruction during the 2025-23289 review, rather than recharacterizing the referral as arising solely from my own allegations?

Evidentiary limitations

This addendum does not claim that DHS, LAHSA, OCI, the District Attorney, the Attorney General, or LAPD found criminal conduct. It identifies inconsistent descriptions of investigative scope and requests reconciliation. The October 9 statement concerning Mr. Mendoza is a contemporaneous statement in the correspondence, not a separately attached DHS findings letter. Commercial property, background-report, or social-media materials are not relied upon in this addendum.

Exhibit index

Exhibit	Description	Pages
A	December 12, 2025 Board-office email stating DHS and LAHSA investigated and characterizing the complaint as thoroughly investigated.	1
B	County Fraud/OCI correspondence: consent request; contemporaneous Mendoza/DHS transfer statement; October 15 status showing Case 2025-23289 in queue for department investigation and directing the complainant to LAHSA and CEOP.	2
C	LAHSA records and May 17, 2026 response: leadership-directed law-enforcement referral; custody and referral back to OCI; later findings limited to LAHSA funding jurisdiction.	2
D	November 17, 2025 County Fraud Hotline email reporting LAHSA/CRCD funding-screen conclusion.	1
Incorporated packet	Prior Supplemental Conflict-Safe Routing Request with LADA, OCI open/closed status, Attorney General/local-law-enforcement direction, Commercial Crimes closure, and June 19 IAD evidence-review request.	8

Respectfully,

Kyle David Haab
Complaint Form 26-001767
RMS C259045687 / C269013241
County Fraud/OCI 2025-23289 - closed
County Fraud/OCI 2026-23965 - open
DOJ PIU 1508015 | CFCI-PA3-056-2023
657-557-4459 | kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

EXHIBIT A

Board Office Representation of DHS and LAHSA Investigation

Evidentiary purpose

December 12, 2025 email stating that DHS and LAHSA investigated and characterizing the complaint as thoroughly investigated.

Included as a routing, scope, status, and notice record. Not a merits finding of criminal conduct.

Inquiry Update | Office of LA County Supervisor Holly J Mitchell

1 message

Sanford, Ahliyah <ASanford@bos.lacounty.gov> Fri, Dec 12, 2025 at 11:41 AM
To: kyle.dietz99@gmail.com <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
Cc: Alfonso Ruiz-Delgado <ARDelgado@bos.lacounty.gov>

Good morning Mr. Dietz,

Thank you for your continued outreach to our office.

We have had multiple conversations regarding your concerns with the Coalition for Responsible Community Development and their program, Project Tipping Point. When you first called, I informed you our office only has jurisdiction over LA County Departments and the Coalition for Responsible Community Development is a nonprofit organization. So, our office does not have jurisdiction over them. Although I reiterated this multiple times, you continued stating the county provided funding for the organization through LAHSA and other departments. I informed you that although this is true, numerous other agencies and organizations also provided funding to this organization. Providing funding to an organization does not equate to having jurisdiction over it. The only entity that can investigate and regulate nonprofits is the Attorney General's Office. I provided you with this information, and you have since filed a complaint with their office. Despite this, you continued to contact our office regarding your concerns.

In response to your continued outreach, I referred your case to the Auditor Controller's OCI division to follow up regarding your case. I was informed the Department of Health Services and LAHSA investigated your claims, and LAHSA emailed you their findings on December 1st – confirming the county does not have jurisdiction over this matter. Your complaint has been thoroughly investigated by the appropriate departments, and LAHSA followed up with you regarding their findings.

Although this may not be the news you want to hear, we have done everything we can to assist you with your concerns. If you would like to continue pursuing your claim, please work with the Coalition for Responsible Community Development's Board of Directors and follow up with the Attorney General's Office regarding your concerns.

Best,

Ahliyah Sanford | *Deputy, Constituent Engagement*

Pronouns: She/Her/Hers

Office of Supervisor Holly J. Mitchell, Second District

Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

O: (213) 974-2222| **F:** (213) 680-3283

E: ASanford@bos.lacounty.gov

EXHIBIT B

DHS / OCI Transfer Statement and County Investigation Queue

Evidentiary purpose

County Fraud correspondence showing consent intake, the contemporaneous statement regarding Winston Mendoza and DHS Audit & Compliance transfer, and the official October 15 status that Case 2025-23289 was in queue for department investigation.

Included as a routing, scope, status, and notice record. Not a merits finding of criminal conduct.

Fraud Hotline Case# 2025-23289 and Case# 2025-23345 - Request for Consent/Additional Information

5 messages

LA County Fraud Hotline <fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov> Mon, Sep 15, 2025 at 11:25 AM
To: Kyle.dietz99@gmail.com <Kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Greetings,

We are in receipt of your recent complaint and may be assigned to a lead investigator. The investigator may need additional information regarding your complaint, therefore, the **Los Angeles County Fraud Hotline/OCI** needs your written consent to **release your name, contact information, and/or documents provided (if any)** to the lead investigator to obtain details regarding **Case #2025-23289 and Case# 2025-23345.**

Please reply back to this email with your consent and best contact information (e-mail address and/or telephone number).

Additionally, we are unable to open: Representative Agreement Kyle H.zip.pdf you submitted. Please provide the document.

You may also submit supporting documentation by emailing the Hotline at fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov or by visiting our website at www.fraud.lacounty.gov and selecting "**Add to Case.**" Please enter only the numeric portion of your case number. You may check the status of your case by going to our website at www.fraud.lacounty.gov and selecting "**Check Status**." You will then need to enter your assigned case number. You may check to see if a case is open or closed, however, no specific details of any on-going investigation will be provided.

Thank you,

Los Angeles County Fraud Hotline

500 West Temple Street Suite 514

Los Angeles, CA 90012

Hotline Tel: 1-800-544-6861 | Fax: 213.947.5809

E-mail: fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov

Website: http://fraud.lacounty.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail, including any attachments, is intended for the sole use of the named recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information pertaining to official investigations for the County of Los Angeles, California. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or dissemination is prohibited. Distribution of the e-mail and any attachments are subject to Federal, State, and County regulations pertaining to law enforcement communications. If you received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender, permanently delete this e-mail and all attachments from your system and destroy all printed copies of the e-mail.

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Mon, Sep 15, 2025 at 6:21 PM
To: LA County Fraud Hotline <fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov>

I consent to the Los Angeles County Fraud Hotline/OCA releasing my name, contact information, and the documents I provide in relation to Case #2025-23289 and Case #2025-23345. My best contact information is:
Email:Kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
Phone: 657-557-4459
[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Thu, Oct 9, 2025 at 4:58 PM
To: LA County Fraud Hotline <fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov>
Cc: Winston Mendoza <WMendoza@dhs.lacounty.gov>

Dear Office of County Investigations,

I am following up regarding Case #2025-23289, currently marked as "in queue for department investigation."
Mr. Winston Mendoza from the Audit & Compliance Division confirmed transfer of my submission and directed any future correspondence to OCI for updates on the investigation's disposition.

Given the public-resource implications of this complaint — involving Coalition for Responsible Community Development (CRCD) and Project Tipping Point, both County-funded housing assistance partners — I respectfully request:

1. Confirmation that the case has been formally assigned to an investigator or unit;

2. The projected timeline for the completion or publication of findings; and

3. Whether a summary or Improvement Opportunity Report (IOR) will be issued once the review concludes.

I understand confidentiality limits disclosure of investigative materials, but a brief status acknowledgment and estimated timeframe will help me coordinate with HUD OIG and LAHSA Compliance, who are monitoring related matters (LAHD Case SO311576).

Thank you for your attention to this inquiry.

Respectfully,
Kyle David Robert Haab
1551 E 106th St, Los Angeles CA 90002
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com | (insert phone)

[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Fri, Oct 10, 2025 at 10:34 AM
To: LA County Fraud Hotline <fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov>
Cc: Winston Mendoza <WMendoza@dhs.lacounty.gov>

Subject: Case #2025-23289 – Status Request

Dear Office of County Investigations,

I am following up regarding Case #2025-23289 concerning Coalition for Responsible Community Development (Project Tipping Point).
Please confirm whether the matter has been assigned to an investigator and whether an estimated review timeline is available.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,
Kyle
657-557-4459
Kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
1551 E 106th St, Watts, CA 90002 ubit 3/4
[Quoted text hidden]

LA County Fraud Hotline <fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov> Wed, Oct
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Greetings,

Thank you for contacting the Los Angeles County Fraud Hotline. We hope this email finds you well. As discussed via telephone, you may contact the Los Angeles Homeless Services Auth telephone at 213-683-3333 or visit their website and the County Equity Oversight Panel (CEOP) via telephone at 213-974-9868 or visit their website to file grievance concerning protected c

As of today, 10/15/2025, our records indicate that the investigation concerning Case #2025-23289, is currently "Case is in queue for department investigation." You may check the status going to our website at fraud.lacounty.gov and selecting "**Check Status**." You will then need to enter your assigned case number (please enter only the numeric portion). You may check to stage the investigation is (i.e., open, review, approved, etc.), however, no specific details of any on-going investigation will be provided.



Additionally, as per protocol, Los Angeles County Fraud Hotline investigations are **confidential** and we do not discuss or disclose any details or determinations of any cases. Our office is compliance to the LA County Fraud Hotline Laws & Policies, including the non-disclosure of investigations pursuant to **Government Code 53087.6.**

In accordance with **California Government Code section 53087.6**, which governs our administration of the County's Fraud Hotline, we only share the complete results of our investigations appointing authority (e.g. law enforcement, the subject department, etc.) for disciplinary purposes. This code section extends the right of confidentiality beyond whistleblowers, and, acco not share our reports with informants in order to protect witnesses and subjects as well. I hope you can understand that we are obligated to protect witnesses and subjects from harassm adverse impacts resulting from the disclosure of their identities.

Thank you.

Los Angeles County Fraud Hotline

500 West Temple Street Suite 514

Los Angeles, CA 90012

Hotline Tel: 1-800-544-6861 | Fax: 213.947.5809

E-mail: fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov

Website: http://fraud.lacounty.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail, including any attachments, is intended for the sole use of the named recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information pertaining to official investigations for the County of Los Angeles, Californ review, use, disclosure, or dissemination is prohibited. Distribution of the e-mail and any attachments are subject to Federal, State, and County regulations pertaining to law enforcement communications. If you received this e-mail in error, please notify th delete this e-mail and all attachments from your system, and destroy all printed copies of the e-mail.

From: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, October 10, 2025 10:34 AM
To: LA County Fraud Hotline <fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov>

EXHIBIT C

LAHSA Limited Funding-Jurisdiction Review and Referral Back to OCI

Evidentiary purpose

May 17, 2026 response from LAHSA Deputy Chief Risk Officer identifying file custody, December 11 referral back to OCI, and findings limited to whether Project Tipping Point was LAHSA-funded.

Included as a routing, scope, status, and notice record. Not a merits finding of criminal conduct.

Please preserve and align this message with my prior HUD FOIA / CPD escalation packet and identify the correct office or records custodian for the unresolved consent-source, HMIS authorization, data-sharing, and disclosure-record issues.
Respectfully,
Kyle Robert Haab
1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4
Los Angeles, CA 90002
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
On Sun, May 17, 2026, 5:08 PM Christopher Williams <cwilliams@lahsa.org> wrote:

> Dear Mr. Haab,
>
> Please find responses inserted in red below.
>
> **Christopher Williams (he/him)**
> Deputy Chief Risk Officer
> Cell: 213-523-0938
> Email: cwilliams@lahsa.org
> www.lahsa.org
>
> *To drive the collaborative strategic vision to create solutions for the crisis of homelessness grounded in hope, compassion, and community.*
>
> *This transmission is intended only for the use of the addressee. If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. Be aware that most communications with LAHSA are subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act. If you received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the sender by replying to this communication or by telephone at **213-523-0938**. Thank you.*
>
> ---
>
> **From:** Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
> **Sent:** Thursday, April 23, 2026 3:04 PM
> **To:** Christopher Williams <cwilliams@lahsa.org>
> **Cc:** LA County Fraud Hotline <fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov>
> **Subject:** Re: LAHSA investigator Christopher Redd
>
> Dear Mr. Williams,
>
> Thank you for confirming that Christopher Redd was the LAHSA investigator who worked on my case and that he is no longer available to discuss LAHSA-related matters.
>
> Because Mr. Redd worked on this matter, I am requesting written clarification regarding the current status, record custody, and outcome of his review.
>
> Please confirm:
>
> 1. who now has custody of Mr. Redd's case file, notes, emails, findings, referrals, closure notes, or related records;
> LAHSA has custody of his case files, etc. The Deputy Chief Risk Officer has access to them. (That is me.)
>
> 2. whether the matter was closed, transferred, referred, or left pending after Mr. Redd left LAHSA;
> The matter was referred back to the Office of County Investigations (OCI) on December 11, 2025.
>
> 3. who, if anyone, is now assigned to respond to questions regarding Mr. Redd's review;
> There are no outstanding questions regarding Mr. Redd's review. If there were to be any, I would be the person to respond.
>
> 4. whether LAHSA issued any findings, determinations, referrals, internal notes, or closure explanation concerning my complaints;
> As you have been informed, LAHSA concluded that Project Tipping Point, the project you referred to that was being implemented by the Coalition for Responsible Community Development (CRCD), did not receive any funding

from LAHSA, and therefore LAHSA would not be investigating it.

5. whether any records from Mr. Redd's review were provided to, discussed with, or referred to the Los Angeles County Auditor-Controller Office of County Investigations, including the Fraud Hotline.
A summary of Mr. Redd's findings were provided to OCI as a closure memorandum for LAHSA's involvement in case 2025_23289 due to Project Tipping Point not being a LAHSA-funded program. His findings were limited to concluding that the program was not funded by LAHSA, which is a requirement for LAHSA investigations.

The consent / authorization issue remains unanswered. Please confirm whether LAHSA, Mr. Redd, or any LAHSA-related system reviewed or obtained records sufficient to show what consent, Release of Information, participant authorization, HMIS authorization, data-sharing authorization, or other written permission allowed CRCD, LAHSA, Amity Foundation, AJCC / WorkSource partners, or related providers to collect, access, disclose, transmit, or rely on my personal information, household information, housing information, landlord information, lease materials, W-9 / ACH materials, case notes, referral records, supportive-services records, or program eligibility records.

Please specifically confirm whether LAHSA has records showing:

1. the date any consent or authorization was signed;

2. the scope of the consent or authorization;

3. the programs, agencies, providers, landlords, or partners covered by the consent;

4. whether the consent covered CRCD, Project Tipping Point, LAHSA, Amity Foundation, Vernon-Central / LATTC WorkSource, AJCC-related activity, housing assistance, landlord contact, or data-sharing activity;

5. whether consent existed before the March 18, 2024 referral/contact activity;

6. whether Mr. Redd reviewed the consent issue before leaving LAHSA;

7. whether any missing, incomplete, expired, or disputed consent issue was identified, referred, or preserved.

Mr. Haab, you have already been provided all HMIS materials pertaining to you. You can review these materials yourself.

I am also requesting clarification regarding LAHSA's prior instruction that I report the matter to law enforcement based on concerns involving fraud and theft. Please confirm:

1. who made that instruction or recommendation;
As I understand it, Mr. Redd recommended that you report the matter to law enforcement based on your apparent allegation of identify theft and falsification of records by a CRCD staff member.

2. whether it was based on LAHSA's review of records, my allegations, CRCD records, landlord-related records, payment records, consent records, or other information;
As I understand it, Mr. Redd recommended that you report the matter to law enforcement based on your apparent allegation of identify theft and falsification of records by a CRCD staff member.

3. whether LAHSA documented the basis for advising law-enforcement reporting;
As I understand it, Mr. Redd recommended that you report the matter to law enforcement based on your apparent allegation of identify theft and falsification of records by a CRCD staff member.

4. whether LAHSA itself made or considered making any referral to law enforcement, the County Auditor-Controller, OCI, County Counsel, LAHD, HUD, or another oversight body;
LAHSA referred the matter back to OCI because it does not involve a LAHSA-funded project. LAHSA did not make any further referrals, as LAHSA had already been informed by you that you had reported it to LAPD.

5. whether LAHSA determined the issue was outside LAHSA's administrative jurisdiction because it involved possible fraud, theft, misuse of funds, or unauthorized handling of records;
LAHSA referred the matter back to OCI because it does not involve a LAHSA-funded project, not based on the specific nature of the allegations.

6. whether any such fraud/theft-related concern remains open, was closed, or was referred elsewhere.
LAHSA referred the matter back to OCI because it does not involve a LAHSA-funded project, not based on the specific nature of the allegations. That referral closed LAHSA's involvement with the matter.

EXHIBIT D

County Fraud Funding-Screen Conclusion

Evidentiary purpose

November 17, 2025 County Fraud Hotline email reporting the LAHSA/CRCD position that Project Tipping Point was privately funded.

Included as a routing, scope, status, and notice record. Not a merits finding of criminal conduct.

Fraud Hotline Case #2025-23289

1 message

LA County Fraud Hotline <fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov> Mon, Nov 17, 2025 at 2:12 PM
To: kyle.dietz99@gmail.com <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Good Afternoon Mr. Hadd,

We hope this email finds you well. We have been informed by LAHSA that The Coalition for Responsible Community Development is a LAHSA-funded service provider. The program the participant (you) is enrolled in, is ***not*** a LAHSA funded program. According to the Coalition for Responsible Community Development, "Project Tipping Point is funded by foundations such as Conrad Hilton Foundation." Based on the information received from the service provider, Project Tipping Point is funded by private funds. We hope this is helpful.

Thank you.

Los Angeles County Fraud Hotline

500 West Temple Street Suite 514

Los Angeles, CA 90012

Hotline Tel: 1-800-544-6861 | Fax: 213.947.5809

E-mail: fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov

Website: http://fraud.lacounty.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail, including any attachments, is intended for the sole use of the named recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information pertaining to official investigations for the County of Los Angeles, California. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or dissemination is prohibited. Distribution of the e-mail and any attachments are subject to Federal, State, and County regulations pertaining to law enforcement communications. If you received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender, permanently delete this e-mail and all attachments from your system, and destroy all printed copies of the e-mail.

INCORPORATED PRIOR PACKET

Supplemental Conflict-Safe Routing Request and Existing Exhibits

Evidentiary purpose

Incorporates the previously prepared routing packet containing the District Attorney Public Integrity response, County Fraud open/closed status, Attorney General local-law-enforcement direction, Commercial Crimes closure, and the June 19 Internal Affairs evidence-review request.

Included as a routing, scope, status, and notice record. Not a merits finding of criminal conduct.

SUPPLEMENTAL CONFLICT-SAFE ROUTING REQUEST

Internal Affairs Complaint 26-001767
RMS Reports C259045687 and C269013241

Date	June 19, 2026
To	Sergeant Mark Wright, LAPD Internal Affairs Division
Copy	Captain David Hwang; Office of Inspector General / Police Commission complaint channel
From	Kyle David Haab
Subject	Open OCI Case 2026-23965; Attorney General law-enforcement direction; independent routing of unresolved criminal evidence

Purpose of this supplement

This packet requests a documented, conflict-safe handoff for the unresolved criminal-evidence component while Internal Affairs reviews whether Commercial Crimes Division properly handled and closed the underlying LAPD reports.

It does not ask Internal Affairs to assume the jurisdiction of County Fraud/OCI, JCOD, the District Attorney, the Attorney General, or another agency. It asks Internal Affairs to preserve and review LAPD conduct, distinguish the separate investigative lanes, and identify an independent receiving authority for evidence that cannot be neutrally returned only to the command under review.

The unit that closed the criminal reports should not be the sole referral destination while Internal Affairs is investigating whether that unit properly received, preserved, reviewed, and closed the same evidence.

Documented routing posture

Attorney General direction: On April 30, 2026, the California DOJ Charitable Trusts Section stated that if a crime was being committed, local law enforcement should be contacted. This was a jurisdictional direction, not a finding that a crime occurred.

LAPD acceptance and closure: LAPD accepted the reports. Captain Francis Boateng requested the disputed landlord-verification packet and the lease bearing my name that I did not sign. Commercial Crimes later stated that it had closed the criminal case.

Internal Affairs review: Complaint 26-001767 concerns whether LAPD personnel properly received, logged, preserved, compared, authenticated, investigated, documented, and closed the submitted evidence.

District Attorney Public Integrity disposition: The Los Angeles County District Attorney Public Integrity Division stated that it lacked general oversight authority over other agencies and directed the matter to the Auditor-Controller, Office of County Investigations. That specialized jurisdictional response did not authenticate the disputed records or determine whether LAPD conducted an adequate investigation.

Open County investigative lane: The Los Angeles County Fraud Hotline confirmed that OCI Case 2025-23289 is closed and OCI Case 2026-23965 remains open. The open OCI matter concerns a separate County fraud, contractor, funding, records, or program-administration lane.

The three lanes must remain distinct

1. LAPD employee-conduct review

Whether Commercial Crimes and other LAPD personnel properly handled, preserved, investigated, documented, and closed RMS C259045687 and C269013241.

2. County Fraud/OCI review

The County-funded, contractor, grant-administration, payment-authority, records-custody, and program-oversight issues within open OCI Case 2026-23965.

3. Underlying criminal-evidence review

The disputed W-9, ACH authorization, lease, landlord-verification packet, identifying information, payment records, and the persons who created, submitted, transmitted, approved, or relied on those records.

Records requiring preservation and review

- The landlord-verification packet submitted in connection with CRCD / Project Tipping Point rental assistance;
- the W-9 containing identifying information I dispute and did not authorize;
- the ACH or payment-authorization record containing inconsistent identifying information;
- the lease listing my name that I did not sign;

- emails and messages identifying who requested, transmitted, received, reviewed, approved, or relied on those records;
- LAPD submission logs, property/evidence records, attachments, investigative notes, supervisory reviews, closure codes, and routing notes;
- requests to CRCD, Amity, JCOD, the landlord, County Fraud/OCI, or other custodians for originals, metadata, audit trails, payment records, or witness information.

Requested Internal Affairs action

1. Confirm that the W-9, ACH record, unsigned lease, landlord-verification packet, and related correspondence are contained in the Internal Affairs file or identifiable underlying LAPD file.
2. Determine what documents Commercial Crimes actually received and reviewed before closure, including whether the submitted attachments were logged, preserved, and retrievable.
3. Determine whether personnel compared the identifying information across the W-9, ACH, lease, landlord-verification, participant, and payment records.
4. Determine whether originals, native records, metadata, payment records, and relevant witnesses or custodians were pursued before closure.
5. Document the factual and supervisory basis for closure and whether later agency-generated records satisfied the stated condition for reconsideration or reopening.
6. Conduct and document an independence or conflict screen before referring the unresolved evidence back to Commercial Crimes.
7. Cross-reference Complaint 26-001767 with open OCI Case 2026-23965 where legally permissible, without treating either investigation as a substitute for the other.
8. Identify an LAPD command outside the chain under review, or an appropriate outside investigative or prosecutorial authority, to assess the unresolved criminal evidence.
9. Provide the receiving office, referral date, records transmitted, tracking number, acceptance status, and any procedure for submitting a sworn statement or secure evidence package.

Urgency and scope

The disputed records continue to affect housing, eviction-related, benefits, victim-compensation, and family-stability matters. Internal Affairs need not decide those collateral matters. The immediate need is evidence preservation, review of LAPD handling, and a documented investigative handoff before records, metadata, witnesses, or jurisdictional paths become more difficult to reconstruct.

This submission does not claim that the Attorney General, District Attorney, County Fraud Hotline, or another agency has found criminal conduct. It relies on their written routing responses to establish that the matter has been divided among specialized jurisdictions and that no agency response should be mischaracterized as a comprehensive merits finding outside that agency's stated role.

Requested written response

- The exact scope of the Internal Affairs investigation;
- whether the identified documents are in the investigative file;
- whether Commercial Crimes evidence handling and closure will be reviewed;
- whether an independence screen has been completed;
- the conflict-safe authority for the unresolved criminal evidence;
- whether Internal Affairs will make the referral or provide written submission instructions.

Respectfully,

Kyle David Haab
Complaint Form 26-001767
RMS C259045687 / C269013241
County Fraud/OCI 2025-23289 - closed
County Fraud/OCI 2026-23965 - open
DOJ PIU 1508015 | CFCI-PA3-056-2023
657-557-4459 | kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit	Description	Source page(s)
A	Los Angeles County District Attorney Public Integrity response directing the matter to the Auditor-Controller / Office of County Investigations.	Email PDF p. 1
B	Los Angeles County Fraud Hotline confirmation that OCI 2025-23289 is closed and OCI 2026-23965 is open.	Email PDF p. 9
C	Commercial Crimes closure notice and California Attorney General Charitable Trusts direction to contact local law enforcement if a crime is being committed.	Email PDF p. 6
D	June 19, 2026 request to Sergeant Wright to review the W-9, landlord-verification packet, ACH record, unsigned lease, evidence preservation, and closure process.	Email PDF pp. 9-10

Evidentiary treatment

The attached email pages are included as routing and notice records. They are not offered as proof that any agency found criminal conduct, nor as proof that the disputed records are fraudulent. They establish the agency responses, the open/closed case status stated by the County Fraud Hotline, the local-law-enforcement direction stated by the Attorney General's Charitable Trusts Section, the Commercial Crimes closure, and the scope of the evidence-handling questions presented to Internal Affairs.

Distribution caution

This packet contains personal contact information and references to housing, law-enforcement, County investigation, and family-stability matters. It is intended for official complaint, investigative, legal, or oversight routing. Sensitive identifiers such as Social Security numbers, bank-account numbers, taxpayer-identification numbers, full dates of birth, medical identifiers, and child-identifying information should not be transmitted in unsecured correspondence.

C259045687 / C269013241 / 26-001767 / 2025-23289 / 2026-23965 / DOJ PIU 1508015 / 2026-C-0704 / LADA PRA 2026-0406-1059 / CFCI-PA3-056-2023

2 messages

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Sun, May 24, 2026 at 5:43 PM
To: publicintegrity@da.lacounty.gov, Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office <info@da.lacounty.gov>, WCCMail <whitecollarcrime@da.lacounty.gov>
Cc: Steven Wang <SWang@da.lacounty.gov>, Karen Nishita <KNishita@da.lacounty.gov>, halvarado@da.lacounty.gov

Please also cross-reference LADA PRA/CPRA Request No. 2026-0406-1059 and LADA Real Estate Fraud File No. 2026-C-0704, because those records concern prior LADA handling/routing of related real-estate-fraud, consumer-protection, white-collar, and complaint-intake issues connected to the same LAPD, OCI, DOJ PIU, and CFCI-PA3-056-2023 record chain.
Dear Public Integrity Division,

Please see the attached signed packet for Public Integrity screening.

Please cross-reference LADA PRA/CPRA Request No. 2026-0406-1059 and LADA Real Estate Fraud File No. 2026-C-0704. This submission concerns public-agency handling, routing, preservation, and accountability issues tied to the alleged 2023 consent/source-record issue involving CRCD / Project Tipping Point, CFCI-PA3-056-2023, LAHSA/HMIS, County Fraud/OCI, LAPD reports, and related housing-assistance records.

I am not asking LADA to decide a family-law case, unlawful-detainer case, private landlord dispute, benefits matter, or local code issue. I am requesting screening of whether public-agency handling, closure, routing, refusal to identify a responsible custodian, or failure to preserve/review records created a Public Integrity concern.

The central concern is whether a limited 2023 CRCD/Ruth's Place/HMIS contact was treated as blanket authorization for later 2024–2026 Project Tipping Point, Amity/CFCI, landlord/payment, lease, W-9, ACH, housing-assistance, education/workforce, and child/family-status records.

Please confirm receipt and advise whether this should remain with Public Integrity, be cross-referenced with LADA File 2026-C-0704 and PRA 2026-0406-1059, or be routed to another LADA unit.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert Haab
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

LADA_Public_Integrity_Expanded_Alleged_Consent_Source_Record_Packet_Haab.pdf
162 KB

Public Integrity Mail <publicintegrity@da.lacounty.gov> Wed, Jun 17, 2026 at 10:28 AM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Dear Mr. Haab,

The Public Integrity Division investigates and prosecutes crimes committed by public officials and employees in the course of their official duties. We do not have general oversight authority over other governmental agencies. The issues you raised in you email below do not identify criminal conduct. Therefore, we are unable to address the concerns you raised. You may consider contacting the Los Angeles Auditor-Controller, Office of County Investigations.

Sincerely,

Public Integrity Division

Today, June 8, 2026, I called the Auditor-Controller / County Fraud contact line regarding the above references. I was transferred toward the County Fraud / OCI route, but the transfer disconnected before completion. Shortly afterward, I received a private-number call that immediately hung up. I then called the Auditor-Controller number back and the call again disconnected/hung up.

I am not alleging misconduct from the call event itself. I am documenting that I attempted to obtain routing/status information by phone, but no substantive status, closure, referral, or written routing determination was provided.

This follow-up concerns the same records-preservation and routing issue involving:

- County Fraud / OCI: 2025-23289 and 2026_23965
- CRCD / Project Tipping Point ID: 1233369910
- JCOD / CFCI / Amity: CFCI-PA3-056-2023
- CalVCB Application / Claim No.: A26-10253806
- LAPD Report No.: C259045687
- Corrected / Related LAPD Report No.: C269013241
- LAHSA Ref.: 2526KH-A
- HMIS ID: 8F8CD9D8B
- DOL OIG FOIA No.: 2026067
- Related unlawful-detainer matter: 26CMUD00417
- Related family-law matter: 25CMFL00694
- Property: 1551 3/4 E. 106th St., Los Angeles, CA 90002

Please confirm in writing:

1. Whether OCI references 2025-23289 and 2026_23965 remain open, closed, referred, transferred, or pending;

2. Whether either reference has been assigned to a specific investigator, unit, or routing contact;

3. Whether Thomas Woods / OCI is the correct investigator or contact for follow-up;

4. Whether the proper written contact remains fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov, mhuddleston@auditor.lacounty.gov, jeho@auditor.lacounty.gov, or another Auditor-Controller / OCI address;

5. Whether any closure letter, referral letter, no-jurisdiction letter, status note, or routing determination exists;

6. Whether County Auditor / OCI has preserved complaint notes, referral records, attachments, emails, call notes, routing notes, and closure/routing determinations connected to these references.

I am requesting routing/status clarification only. I am not asking the Auditor-Controller, County Fraud, or OCI to decide a court case, CalVCB eligibility, CRCD liability, landlord liability, HUD/HMIS merits, JCOD/CFCI merits, or family-law issues through this email.

Please do not include Social Security numbers, full bank-account numbers, taxpayer-identification numbers, full dates of birth, or other sensitive identifiers in an unsecured email response.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert David Haab
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
[Quoted text hidden]

LA County Fraud Hotline <fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov> Tue, Jun 9, 2026 at 7:56 AM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Greetings,

Thank you for contacting the Los Angeles County Fraud Hotline. Case 2025-23289 is closed. Case 2026-23965 is open. You may check the status of your reported cases at https://fraud.lacounty.gov/. Case investigators will contact you if they deem necessary. Reports and all other records related to Fraud Hotline investigations are confidential. You should contact the County Fraud Hotline at fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov for any future inquiries.

Thank you.

<TWalston@counsel.lacounty.gov>, Erica Gomez <Egomez@counsel.lacounty.gov>, Alyssa Skolnick <askolnick@counsel.lacounty.gov>, Adam Echelman <aechelman@calmatters.org>, Veronica Escobar <VEscobar@counsel.lacounty.gov>, Michael Buennagel <MBuennagel@counsel.lacounty.gov>, DDeAnda@counsel.lacounty.gov <DDeAnda@counsel.lacounty.gov>, raylene.lewis@lacda.org <raylene.lewis@lacda.org>, erica.lazaldi@dds.ca.gov <erica.lazaldi@dds.ca.gov>, Kristen.Webb@dhcs.ca.gov <Kristen.Webb@dhcs.ca.gov>, kristina.hall@dds.ca.gov <kristina.hall@dds.ca.gov>, sdovick@dmh.lacounty.gov <sdovick@dmh.lacounty.gov>

Mr. Haab,

Commercial Crimes Division has closed your criminal case. Please call my office for further details on the closure of the criminal case. Remove me from ALL email correspondence.
[Quoted text hidden]

Caitlin Noble <Caitlin.Noble@doj.ca.gov> Thu, Apr 30, 2026 at 1:27 PM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Per our discussion, the Charitable Trusts Section is not able to provide information responsive to your request. If a crime is being committed, please contact local law enforcement. Thank you

Caitlin W. Noble | Supervising Deputy Attorney General

California Department of Justice

Office of the Attorney General | Charitable Trusts Section

Desk: (415) 510-3498

Cell: (415) 265-3327

This email may contain confidential or privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender and delete this message without copying or disclosing it.

From: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 30, 2026 3:30 AM
To: CORSFeedback <CORSFeedback@lapd.online>; LA County Fraud Hotline <fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov>; AC-CONSTITUENT <constituent@auditor.lacounty.gov>; Christopher Williams <cwilliams@lahsa.org>; Caitlin Noble <Caitlin.Noble@doj.ca.gov>; FRANCIS BOATENG <34909@lapd.online>; MELVIN HERNANDEZ <34337@lapd.online>; Melinda.Castro@dhcs.ca.gov; ASChambers <AS_Chambers@cacd.uscourts.gov>; Kelly.Anderson@dre.ca.gov
Cc: Karen Nishita <KNishita@da.lacounty.gov>; Steven Wang <SWang@da.lacounty.gov>; HHS_FOIA_Public_Liaison@hhs.gov; FOIARequest@hhs.gov; FOIAExecSec@hud.gov; Passero, Roger - OIG <Passero.Roger@oig.dol.gov>; Tobias, Michael <Michael.Tobias@ed.gov>; OGIS@nara.gov; EDFOIAManager@ed.gov; EDFOIAappeals@ed.gov; robert.wehausen@ed.gov; Sean McDonald <SMcDonald@counsel.lacounty.gov>; Tiffany Walston <TWalston@counsel.lacounty.gov>; Erica Gomez <Egomez@counsel.lacounty.gov>; Alyssa Skolnick <askolnick@counsel.lacounty.gov>; Adam Echelman <aechelman@calmatters.org>; Veronica Escobar <VEscobar@counsel.lacounty.gov>; Michael Buennagel <MBuennagel@counsel.lacounty.gov>; DDeAnda@counsel.lacounty.gov; raylene.lewis@lacda.org; erica.lazaldi@dds.ca.gov; Kristen.Webb@dhcs.ca.gov; kristina.hall@dds.ca.gov; sdovick@dmh.lacounty.gov
Subject: Fwd: : Request for Assignment – Identity Theft & Fraudulent Housing Assistance Case (LAPD Case #C259045687)C269013241 /2026-23965/2025-23925/CV26-802-UA/L109105-040626/NEW-2026-01-18-8837/PC 1-26-0316-003/CPRA #2026-0406-1059/DMFEA Complaint Submission...

Client Case Notes History.pdf
95 KB

2026-05-11-PRA Response Letter-Final(3).pdf
363 KB

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Mon, Jun 1, 2026 at 1:59 PM
To: Sandra.Barrientos@doj.ca.gov, Jami.Cantore@doj.ca.gov, Caroline.Hughes@doj.ca.gov, complaints@doj.ca.gov, Alma.Pantoja@doj.ca.gov, HUDLACustomerService@hud.gov, Develyn.Rhodes-Johnson@hud.gov, Choi, Chin Woo <ChinWoo.Choi@hud.gov>, cpdgdas@hud.gov, Dela, Ester F <Ester.F.Dela@hud.gov>, Blanco, Rebecca A <rebecca.blanco@hud.gov>, Christopher Williams <cwilliams@lahsa.org>, DHCS Incident Reporting <incidents@dhcs.ca.gov>, COCO_HIPAA <HIPAA@counsel.lacounty.gov>
Cc: Caitlin Noble <Caitlin.Noble@doj.ca.gov>, Miguel Victorino <Miguel.Victorino@doj.ca.gov>, Joseph.Zimring@doj.ca.gov

To the California DOJ Public Inquiry Unit / Housing Justice Team / Appropriate DOJ Routing Unit,

I am submitting this supplemental follow-up for DOJ PIU No. 1508015.

This notice documents an investigation-integrity and accountability-routing concern. I am not asking DOJ to decide my full housing, family-law, unlawful-detainer, LAPD, County CFCI, CRCD / Project Tipping Point, HHS, DHCS, HUD, DOL, ED, or education-record matter.

The narrow issue is that multiple agencies have narrowed, delayed, redirected, or held separate pieces of the same source-record chain, but no office has identified final accountability for the handoff failure.

Attached are two records supporting this concern:

1. Source Authorization / Education-Record Demand — showing my request for CRCD / LACCD / LATTC to identify the exact consent, ROI, FERPA authorization, HMIS authorization, participant agreement, privacy notice, education-record release, landlord/payment authorization, and records custodian relied upon for 2024–2026 activity.

2. LAHSA / LAPD-IAD Routing Record — showing LAHSA's limited funding-jurisdiction review, referral back to County Fraud / OCI, and LAPD/IAD's instruction that I hold supplemental correspondence until Complaint Form 26-001767 is assigned to an investigator.

Relevant identifiers include DOJ PIU 1508015, OCI 2025-23289, OCI 2026_23965, CFCI-PA3-056-2023, CRCD / PTP ID 1233369910, HMIS ID 8F8CD9D8B, LAHSA Ref. 2526KH-A, LAPD C259045687, LAPD C269013241, LAPD Complaint Form 26-001767, DOL FOIA 2026067, HUD FOIA 26-FI-RO9-01580, ED OIG C26IOU05768, FERPA/SPPO 26-0253, and CalVCB A26-10253806.

My request is limited: please preserve this supplement with DOJ PIU 1508015 and identify whether this investigation-integrity concern belongs with the Housing Justice Team, Public Inquiry Unit, Charitable Trusts, Consumer Protection, another DOJ unit, or should be referred back to County Counsel, Auditor-Controller / OCI, LA County OIG, City OIG, DHCS, HHS/OCR, HUD, ED, DOL, or another office.

The issue is not lack of reporting. The issue is that the handoff itself has broken down.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert Haab
1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4
Los Angeles, CA 90002
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Wed, Jun 17, 2026 at 6:07 PM
To: 30274@lapd.online

[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Fri, Jun 19, 2026 at 4:06 AM
To: SGT Mark Wright <30274@lapd.online>, DAVID HWANG <34403@lapd.online>
Cc: OIG Complaint <oigcomplaint@lapd.online>, Community Relations OIG <communityrelationsoig@lacity.org>, complaints@doj.ca.gov, Sandra Barrientos <Sandra.Barrientos@doj.ca.gov>, COCO_HIPAA <HIPAA@counsel.lacounty.gov>, Caroline.Hughes@doj.ca.gov, Caitlin Noble <Caitlin.Noble@doj.ca.gov>

Sergeant Wright,

I am requesting that you review the W-9 and disputed landlord-verification records as part of the Internal Affairs investigation concerning LAPD's handling of RMS Reports C259045687 and C269013241.

These documents were central to my original report and were specifically requested by Captain Francis Boateng. Commercial Crimes later confirmed that my documents had been received.

The records requiring review include:

- the landlord-verification packet submitted in connection with the CRCD/Project Tipping Point rental-assistance process;
- the W-9 containing identifying information that I dispute and did not authorize;
- the ACH or payment-authorization records containing inconsistent identifying information;
- the lease listing my name that I did not sign;
- related emails showing who requested, transmitted, received, or relied on those records.

My complaint is not limited to disagreement with the case-closure decision. I am asking Internal Affairs to determine whether Commercial Crimes personnel properly:

1. reviewed the actual W-9 and landlord-verification records;
2. compared the identifying information across the W-9, ACH, lease, and participant records;
3. attempted to obtain the original documents and metadata from CRCD, the landlord, Amity, JCOD, or another records custodian;
4. identified who completed and submitted the disputed forms;
5. interviewed the relevant witnesses or custodians;
6. preserved and logged the documents I submitted;
7. documented the factual basis for concluding that the records did not support further criminal investigation; and
8. considered whether the later agency-generated records met Captain Boateng's stated condition for possible reopening.

Please associate this request and the previously forwarded documents with:

- RMS C259045687;
- RMS C269013241; and
- Complaint Form 26-001767.

Please confirm that the W-9, landlord-verification packet, lease, ACH records, and related correspondence are included in your investigative file and will be reviewed as part of the complaint.

Respectfully,

Kyle David Haab
[Quoted text hidden]

SUPPLEMENTAL COUNTY PRIVACY AND CONTROLLED-RECORD ADDENDUM

LAPD Internal Affairs Complaint 26-001767 | RMS C259045687 and C269013241 | OCI 2025-23289 and 2026-23965

Date	June 19, 2026
Prepared by	Kyle David Haab
Purpose	Merge County privacy and controlled source-record exhibits into the existing conflict-safe routing packet.

Control statement

These exhibits are included for routing, preservation, conflict screening, records-custody identification, and disposition reconciliation. They are not presented as a finding that CRCD, Project Tipping Point, a County contractor, LAPD personnel, or any public official violated HIPAA, committed a crime, or engaged in misconduct.

Why the added records matter

- **County privacy lane.** The January 16, 2026 County Counsel complaint identifies alleged unauthorized use, disclosure, and handling of personal and health information connected to CRCD/Project Tipping Point and County-funded supportive-services administration.
- **Cross-agency continuity.** ICMS No. 2025-137175 V23 preserves the related identifiers, custodian questions, referral history, limited agency dispositions, and source-record categories across County, City, State, federal, law-enforcement, housing, workforce, and privacy channels.
- **Closure-scope issue.** The added material supports a narrow inquiry into whether the County privacy complaint, consent/ROI questions, access and disclosure records, and County-contractor records were preserved or considered before OCI 2025-23289 was closed and whether they are associated with open OCI 2026-23965.

Requested handling

- Cross-reference the County Counsel privacy complaint with LAPD Complaint 26-001767, RMS C259045687 and C269013241, OCI 2025-23289, and open OCI 2026-23965.
- Identify whether County Counsel, DHS Audit and Compliance, LAHSA, JCOD, Amity, CRCD, County Fraud/OCI, or HHS OCR received, screened, referred, preserved, or issued a written disposition regarding the alleged privacy and source-authorization issues.
- Do not treat a funding-only, jurisdictional, records-only, privacy-routing, or administrative closure as a global merits determination of the disputed W-9, ACH, lease, landlord-verification, consent, payment, identity, or participant-record evidence.
- Provide a conflict-safe referral or written handoff where the office whose handling is being reviewed cannot serve as the sole destination for the unresolved evidence.

Added exhibits

Exhibit 11	Office of County Counsel Health Information Privacy and Security Complaint Form, dated January 16, 2026	1 page
Exhibit 12	ICMS No. 2025-137175 - V23 Controlled Source-Record, Federal Procedural Posture, White House Routing, and FBPS Disposition Su	372 pages

Sensitive-record notice: The merged packet contains personal, health, housing, family, victim-support, and investigative-routing information. Use secure transmission and avoid unnecessary redistribution.

If you believe that this office or one of its business associates has violated HIPAA Privacy, Security or Breach Notification Rules or Policies, you may file a complaint with us or the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Office for Civil Rights (OCR).

Office of the County Counsel

Health Information Privacy and Security Complaint Form

YOUR FIRST NAME	YOUR LAST NAME
Kyle	Haab
HOME PHONE (Please include area code)	WORK PHONE (Please include area code)
(657) 557-4459	

STREET ADDRESS	CITY
1551 East 106th Street unit 3/4	Los angeles

STATE	ZIP	E-MAIL ADDRESS (If available)
California	90002	Kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

Are you filing this complaint for someone else? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, whose health information privacy/security rights do you believe were violated?

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME

What person(s) or entities representing or conducting services on behalf of the Office the County Counsel do you believe violated the HIPAA Privacy/Security Rule? PERSON / AGENCY / ORGANIZATION

Coalition for Responsible Community Development (CRCD)
Project Tipping Point Program
Los Angeles County–funded supportive services administrators

STREET ADDRESS	CITY
400 West Washington Boulevard	Los Angeles

STATE	ZIP	PHONE (Please include area code)
California	90015	213-763-595

When do you believe that the violation(s) occurred?

LIST DATE(S): Between March 2024 and October 2025, my protected personal and health information was accessed, used, and disclosed without my authorization by entities and staff associated with the Coalition for Responsible

Briefly describe/state the violation (what happened). How and why do you believe the HIPAA Privacy/Security/Breach Notification rules were violated?Please be as specific as possible. (Attach additional pages as needed)

While I was residing out of state in Tennessee with stable housing and an active family reunification plan, I was contacted through unsolicited outreach by CRCD/PTP staff. During this contact, CRCD staff obtained and used my personally identifiable information (PII) and protected health information (PHI)—including disability status, housing status, education records, and identifying documents.

Following this outreach:

Forms and records were generated without my informed consent, including program enrollment materials, lease-related documents, W-9 and ACH authorization forms, and education-related records.

My information was entered into multiple systems and shared across agencies, including county-funded housing and supportive services systems, despite the absence of valid authorization.

Please sign and date this complaint. You do not need to sign if submitting this form by email because submission by email represents your signature.

SIGNATURE: [signature] DATE: 01/16/2026

Filing a complaint is voluntary. However, without the information requested above, we may not be able to proceed with your complaint. We will use the information to evaluate your complaint and determine how we will process your complaint. Information submitted on this form is treated confidential. Names or other identifying information about individuals are disclosed when it is necessary for investigation of possible health information privacy violations, internal systems operations, or routine uses. This can include disclosure of information outside the Department for purposes associated with health information privacy compliance and as required or permitted by law. It is illegal for a covered entity to intimidate, threaten, coerce, discriminate or retaliate against you for filing this complaint. You are not required to use this form. You may also write a letter or submit a complaint electronically with the same information to us.

Submit your complaint to:

Office of the County Counsel
Information Security and Privacy Officer
500 West Temple Street, Room 602
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Email: HIPAA@COUNSEL.LACOUNTY.GOV
Tel: (213) 974 - 3489

Alternativly, you can also file your complaint with HHS-OCR at:

HHS-OCR
Region IX, Office for Civil Rights
U.S. Department of Health & Human Services 90 7th Street, Suite 4-100
San Francisco, CA 94103
(415) 437 - 8311 (TTD) / (415) 437- 8329 (Fax)

ICMS No. 2025-137175 - V23 Supplemental Exhibit Addendum

Controlled Source-Record, Federal Procedural Posture, White House Routing, and FPPC Disposition Supplement

Prepared by: Kyle Robert David Haab

Date: June 16, 2026

Primary purpose: To supplement the ICMS V22 controlled record with additional exhibits received or identified after V22, without asking any recipient to make a criminal charging decision, adjudicate civil merits, or treat any single agency disposition as a global merits resolution.

Control Statement

This V23 addendum incorporates the federal docket deficiency notice, federal docket-posture screenshots, FPPC attached rejection letter, and White House receipt/referral correspondence as records-control exhibits. These records are included to preserve search accuracy, routing continuity, caption correction, procedural status, and agency-disposition boundaries. They are not submitted as proof of wrongdoing, liability, charging decisions, or merits findings.

The federal docket materials show that Document 38 is a Notice to Filer of Deficiencies for Document 31. The deficiency notice identifies the case number issue and the hand-signature issue. It should be treated as a procedural filing-control item, not a merits determination regarding the source-record exhibits.

The FPPC correspondence is preserved only as an FPPC jurisdiction/disposition marker. It should not be treated as a global merits finding on CRCD / Project Tipping Point records, public-funds custody, JCOD/CFCI/Amity source records, law-enforcement report handling, nonprofit governance, victim-support records, HUD/HMIS routing, WIOA/AJCC records, or the 1551 payment/lease chain.

The White House correspondence is preserved as federal correspondence-control and possible agency-referral evidence. It confirms receipt/review and a referral to an appropriate federal agency for possible assistance or further action. It is not presented as proof that a federal agency accepted the merits or made any finding.

New V23 Exhibit Index

Exhibit N - Federal docket procedural posture and deficiency notice. Includes screenshots showing Document 31 source-record/code-purpose filing context, Document 38 Notice to Filer of Deficiencies, and docket-entry summary. Used to preserve corrected caption/case-number/signature control and to avoid treating the deficiency as a merits ruling.

Exhibit O - FPPC Complaint No. COM-06102026-02353 rejection letter. Preserves the FPPC Enforcement Division disposition as a limited jurisdiction/pleading-particularity marker, not a global merits resolution.

Exhibit P - White House receipt and agency-liaison response emails. Preserves receipt/referral path showing the White House received the message and provided a copy to an appropriate federal agency for possible assistance or further action.

Requested Handling

Please associate V23 with the existing ICMS thread and the V22 controlled source-record supplement. If a recipient only needs the added materials, use the Exhibit N-P appendix. If a recipient needs the full continuity record, use the V23 expanded packet. The 361+ page full archive remains reserved for recipients who need the complete OGIS exhibit set.

ICMS No. 2025-137175

Controlled Source-Record Supplement V22 with Core Exhibits

Preservation / Routing / Custodian / Disposition Clarification Packet

This packet supplements the existing ICMS-controlled thread. It is not a request that every copied recipient investigate every allegation, make a criminal charging decision, decide civil liability, or resolve family-law, unlawful-detainer, HUD/HMIS, WIOA, CalVCB, FPPC, FOIA, or state/local public-records merits by email. It is a controlled preservation and routing packet designed to prevent the same CRCD / Project Tipping Point / 1551 / JCOD-CFCI-Amity / WIOA-HMIS / CalVCB / law-enforcement source-record chain from being fragmented across agency labels.

Primary identifiers preserved

ICMS 2025-137175; OCI 2025-23289 and 2026-23965; LAPD C259045687 and C269013241; LAPD Complaint Form 26-001767; DOJ PIU 1508015; DOL OIG FOIA 2026067 / OGIS C-0007476635; CRCD / Project Tipping Point ID 1233369910; JCOD / CFCI / Amity CFCI-PA3-056-2023; LAHSA Ref. 2526KH-A / HMIS ID 8F8CD9D8B; HUD FOIA 26-FI-RO9-01576 and prior reference variant 26-FI-RO9-01580; LADA 2026-C-0704 and LADA PRA/CPRA 2026-0406-1059; LSC Docket 26-0-216; CalVCB A26-10253806; FPPC COM-06102026-02353; UD 26CMUD00417; family-law 25CMFL00694; federal civil case 2:26-cv-00802-FMO-AS; property 1551 3/4 E. 106th Street, Los Angeles, CA 90002.

Why exhibits are included

The attached exhibits are included to show record-chain continuity: the existing ICMS thread; the LAFLA source-record notice; the 1551 lease/forms/payout source email; JCOD PRA status and clarification records; CalVCB relocation/rental-verification records; DOL OIG/OGIS FOIA-process routing; FPPC jurisdiction/disposition marker; CWDB/WIOA state-local custody guidance; WSD18-05 WIOA grievance procedures; HUD/LAHSA/HMIS source-record routing; and OGIS FOIA-process assistance. A limited closure, no-record response, out-of-scope label, funding-jurisdiction statement, or investigative label should not be treated as a global merits resolution of the entire source-record chain.

Exhibit Index - Core Packet

Exh.	Document	Pages	Purpose
A	Existing ICMS Thread / Controlled Follow-Up and OIG Direction to LAPD Internal Affairs	5	Preserves original ICMS 2025-137175 controlled follow-up, cross-agency identifiers, and the June 15 OIG response directing LAPD complaint updates to Internal Affairs.
B	LAFLA V20 Full Source-Record Supplement - Main Notice	4	Preserves the LAFLA-facing source-record notice, investigative-identifier framing, and UD 26CMUD00417 record-integrity request.
C	June 16 Forwarded 1551 Source-Record Email / Chris Cervantes Lease-Forms-Payout Transmission	3	Shows the original 1551 transaction was transmitted as lease agreement, forms, and payout information, not merely a selected lease page.
D	JCOD June 16 PRA Request #3 Status / Extension Letter	3	Shows JCOD status/update on PRA Request #3 and preserves pending CFCI/Amity/CRCD source-record categories.
E	JCOD June 1-5 PRA Clarification Thread	5	Shows JCOD first stated it was looking for documents and then requested clarification; requester clarified into existing-record categories.
F	CalVCB Application A26-10253806 / Relocation and Rental-Verification Submission Chain	5	Preserves victim-support and relocation/rental verification lane and notes that W-9/ACH/payment records may be held by landlord or program custodians.
G	DOL OIG FOIA 2026067 FOIA/Privacy Act Routing Confirmation	1	Confirms DOL OIG FOIA/PA routing through FOIA.PrivacyAct@oig.dol.gov for DOL OIG FOIA No. 2026067.
H	FPPC Complaint COM-06102026-02353 Rejection Correspondence	1	Preserves FPPC rejection as a jurisdiction/disposition marker, not a global merits finding on CRCD/PTP source records.

Exh.	Document	Pages	Purpose
I	CWDB June 12 Response to Public-Records Requests / Workforce Custody Clarification	6	Shows CWDB has no participant-level CRCD/PTP records and identifies local LWDA/operator records and EDD Compliance Review Office as the practical WIOA grievance route.
J	WSD18-05 - WIOA Grievance and Complaint Resolution Procedures	12	Provides WIOA Title I local, state, and federal grievance timelines, hearing, appeal, and EDD review process.
K	HUD CPD / LAHSA / HMIS Ruth's Place Source-Record Routing Thread	7	Preserves unresolved HUD/HMIS source-record, consent-scope, LAHSA Ref. 2526KH-A, and HMIS ID 8F8CD9D8B routing issues.
L	OGIS Assistance Request - DOL OIG FOIA 2026067 / Cross-Reference and FOIA Process Clarification	4	Preserves federal FOIA-process framing: search scope, component routing, referrals, segregability, preservation, and cross-reference association.

Optional Full Archive Exhibit

A separate full-archive version appends Exhibit M, the 285-page OGIS Follow-Up Packet. Use the core version for ordinary ICMS follow-up; use the full archive only if a recipient asks for the complete federal FOIA-process exhibit set or disputes the search-scope/cross-reference record.

ICMS CONTROLLED SOURCE-RECORD SUPPLEMENT - V21

ICMS No. 2025-137175 | OCI 2025-23289 / 2026-23965 | LAPD C259045687 / C269013241 | DOJ PIU 1508015 | CRCD/PTP 1233369910 | JCOD/CFCI/Amity CFCI-PA3-056-2023

Prepared by	Kyle Robert David Haab
Date prepared	June 16, 2026
Purpose	Controlled routing, preservation, records-custody, and disposition clarification supplement. Not a merits determination or request
Property	1551 3/4 E. 106th Street, Los Angeles, CA 90002
Related proceedings	26CMUD00417; 25CMFL00694; 2:26-cv-00802-FMO-AS

Email Text for ICMS Thread

Subject: Re: ICMS No. 2025-137175 / Controlled Follow-Up - Supplemental Source-Record, WIOA/HMIS/FOIA, Victim-Support, and Custodian Preservation

Dear County Fraud / OCI, California DOJ, LAPD Oversight / Internal Affairs, LADA, CRCD Governance / Records Contacts, City EWDD / LWDA, CWDB / EDD routing contacts, HUD / LAHSA / HMIS routing contacts, DOL OIG / OGIS routing contacts, and copied oversight recipients:

I am responding in the existing ICMS-controlled thread to supplement the prior June 13, 2026 controlled follow-up. This supplement is intended to preserve and clarify the records-custody, routing, and disposition issue across the same source-record chain. It is not intended to ask every copied recipient to investigate every allegation or to make a merits determination by email.

The purpose is narrower: please preserve, cross-reference, and identify the proper responsible lane so the same CRCD / Project Tipping Point / 1551 / JCOD-CFCI-Amity / WIOA-HMIS / CalVCB / law-enforcement record chain is not fragmented between civil, criminal, public-records, legal-services, workforce, HUD/HMIS, victim-support, public-integrity, and nonprofit-governance labels.

Attachments / materials incorporated for this ICMS thread:

1. ICMS V21 Controlled Source-Record Supplement PDF;
2. LAFLA V20 Full Source-Record Supplement - Main PDF;
3. LAFLA V20 Supplement with Standard Appendix, if file size allows;
4. June 16, 2026 forwarded 1551 source-record email;
5. JCOD June 16, 2026 PRA status / extension response;
6. JCOD June 1-5, 2026 PRA clarification thread;
7. CalVCB Application A26-10253806 confirmation / relocation and rental-verification correspondence;
8. DOL OIG FOIA 2026067 / FOIA-Privacy Act routing confirmation;
9. FPPC Complaint No. COM-06102026-02353 rejection correspondence;
10. CWDB June 12, 2026 response to public-record requests received May 22 and June 10, 2026;
11. WSD18-05, WIOA Grievance and Complaint Resolution Procedures;
12. HUD CPD / LAHSA / HMIS Ruth's Place source-record routing thread;
13. OGIS DOL / ED / HUD / HHS FOIA-process follow-up or targeted OGIS routing email, if file size allows.

If attachment-size limits prevent delivery, please treat this email as the control notice and advise which mailbox or portal should receive the larger appendix or exhibit set.

Relevant identifiers include, without limitation:

- ICMS No. 2025-137175;
- CRCD / Project Tipping Point ID 1233369910;
- JCOD / CFCI / Amity reference CFCI-PA3-056-2023;

- County Fraud / OCI Case Nos. 2025-23289 and 2026-23965;
- LAPD Report Nos. C259045687 and C269013241;
- LAPD Complaint Form No. 26-001767;
- DOJ PIU No. 1508015;
- DOL OIG FOIA No. 2026067 / OGIS C-0007476635;
- LAHSA Ref. 2526KH-A / HMIS ID 8F8CD9D8B;
- HUD FOIA 26-FI-RO9-01576 and prior reference variant 26-FI-RO9-01580;
- LADA File No. 2026-C-0704 / LADA PRA-CPRA No. 2026-0406-1059;
- LSC Docket No. 26-0-216;
- CalVCB Application No. A26-10253806;
- FPPC Complaint No. COM-06102026-02353;
- related UD case 26CMUD00417;
- related family-law case 25CMFL00694;
- federal civil case 2:26-cv-00802-FMO-AS;
- property: 1551 3/4 E. 106th Street, Los Angeles, California 90002.

The June 16 forwarded 1551 source-record email confirms that the original 1551 transaction was not merely a selected lease page. The original Chris Cervantes email to Alexander Saltzman / CRCD and me stated that he was sending the "lease agreement and forms including payout info." That makes the lease packet, forms, payout/payment information, landlord verification, W-9, ACH, rent ledger, CRCD/PTP records, JCOD/CFCI/Amity records, and related custodian records material to the unresolved source-record chain.

JCOD has also confirmed that it is continuing to search and review voluminous potentially responsive records concerning rental-assistance payment months, amounts, funding source, approval and authorization records, JCOD / Amity / CRCD roles, invoices, reimbursement requests, payment approvals, ledgers, backup documentation, monitoring and compliance records, consent / ROI / source records, child/family-status records, Amity records, and custodian-identification records.

The CalVCB record is included as a victim-support and relocation/rental-verification lane. It does not prove criminal liability by itself. It does, however, confirm that CalVCB Application A26-10253806 involves rental / relocation verification and the disputed housing-placement and payment-record chain for 1551 3/4 E. 106th Street. The CalVCB correspondence also reflects that landlord W-9, ACH authorization, payment-processing records, rental-assistance records, or updated payee verification may be held by the landlord, CRCD / Project Tipping Point, Amity Foundation, JCOD / CFCI, or another records custodian. That reinforces the need for source-record preservation and custodian identification.

The CWDB record is included as a workforce/WIOA routing and custodian-clarification lane. CWDB reported that it does not possess participant-level CRCD/PTP, Vernon-Central/LATTC WorkSource, LACCD/LATTC, Amity, CFCI-PA3-056-2023, PTP ID 1233369910, HMIS ID 8F8CD9D8B, LAHSA Ref. 2526KH-A, or OCI-specific records. CWDB further stated that participant-level, consent/authorization, grievance, rental-assistance, and operational records for Local Workforce Development Areas, WorkSource Centers, subrecipients, and contractors are held locally by the relevant LWDA and operators, not by CWDB.

The CWDB response also identifies the practical route: for City LWDA records, the relevant local contact is City of Los Angeles EWDD; for state-level grievance and complaint review, the identified state route is EDD's Compliance Review Office. WSD18-05 confirms that WIOA Title I recipients and subrecipients must maintain grievance and complaint procedures; that grievances are generally filed locally first; that the local area must issue a written decision within 60 days; and that adverse decisions, failure to issue a timely decision, or restraint/coercion/reprisal issues may be routed to EDD Compliance Review Office for state review.

The HUD / LAHSA / HMIS materials are included as the federal housing and data-custody lane. The open issue is not whether Project Tipping Point was HUD-funded. The open issue is whether a 2023 Ruth's Place / CRCD / HMIS source record, ROI, consent, privacy notice, participant agreement, access log, disclosure log, correction route, no-record determination, or consent-scope determination exists, and whether any such record was later used or relied upon for Project Tipping Point, landlord/payment records, Amity/CFCI rental-assistance processing, education/workforce coordination, family-status information, or related housing-system activity.

The DOL OIG / OGIS materials are included as the federal FOIA-process and workforce-records routing lane. OGIS is not being asked to decide criminal, state, local, housing, family-law, or civil-liability merits. The OGIS record is included to preserve search-scope, component-routing, referral, segregability, preservation, and whether federal agencies have associated the correct identifiers with DOL, ED, HUD, and HHS FOIA matters.

The FPPC record is included only as a political-practices / public-integrity jurisdiction and disposition marker. FPPC's rejection of Complaint No. COM-06102026-02353 should not be treated as a global merits finding regarding CRCD / Project Tipping Point records, rental-assistance source records, law-enforcement report handling, County fraud routing, DOJ routing, CalVCB victim-support documentation, WIOA/AJCC grievance obligations, HUD/HMIS source-record issues, or the 1551 lease/payment chain. It simply preserves that the FPPC lane was submitted and rejected by FPPC, meaning any remaining public-integrity, nonprofit-governance, charitable-trust, records-custody, public-funds, or law-enforcement issues must be routed through the proper non-FPPC lane if FPPC lacks jurisdiction or declines to proceed.

That status matters because no office should treat a limited "not our funding source," "not our jurisdiction," "not assigned," "closed," "outside criminal scope," "not LAHSA-funded," "no CWDB participant-level records," "local LWDA/operator records," "rejected by FPPC," or "FOIA/PA routing only" statement as a global merits resolution of the full CRCD / Project Tipping Point / 1551 / JCOD-CFCI-Amity / WIOA-HMIS source-record chain.

I am also preserving the criminal, non-civil investigative, public-integrity, OIG, OCI, DOJ / AG, LAPD, LADA, FPPC, CalVCB, CWDB, EDD, HUD, LAHSA, HMIS, DOL, OGIS, and related identifiers as cross-reference, routing, preservation, and victim-rights preservation identifiers. I am not asking any civil office, legal-services provider, records office, public-records office, workforce office, or court-adjacent recipient to make a criminal charging decision by email. But investigative labels, victim-support labels, FOIA labels, FPPC jurisdiction labels, WIOA grievance labels, or HUD/HMIS routing labels should not be used to defeat record preservation, civil relevance, lawful discovery, victim-impact documentation, or the ability to identify the proper custodian.

For County Fraud / OCI, please confirm, without disclosing confidential investigative details:

1. whether Case 2026-23965 remains open;

2. whether Case 2026-23965 is cross-referenced to Case 2025-23289;

3. whether the supplemental V20 / JCOD / 1551 / CalVCB / DOL OIG / FPPC / CWDB-WIOA / HUD-HMIS materials should continue to be sent to fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov;

4. whether OCI has preserved or associated the CRCD/PTP, JCOD/CFCI/Amity, W-9/ACH, landlord-payment, consent/ROI, child/family-status, victim-support, WIOA/AJCC, HMIS, and source-authorization records with the open file;

5. whether any non-sensitive additional documentation is requested from me at this time.

For DOJ, please confirm administratively, if available:

1. whether DOJ PIU No. 1508015 remains pending, was referred, or was closed;

2. whether any DOJ unit has accepted or declined review of the housing, nonprofit-governance, consumer-protection, charitable-trust, public-integrity, privacy, victim-support routing, workforce/WIOA, HMIS, or law-enforcement referral issue;

3. whether the V20 / 1551 / JCOD / CalVCB / DOL OIG / FPPC / CWDB-WIOA / HUD-HMIS materials should be submitted to a specific DOJ unit or only preserved in the existing PIU / complaint-routing record;

4. whether there is a written routing or disposition record identifying the correct law-enforcement or oversight agency.

For LAPD Oversight / Internal Affairs, please confirm:

1. whether Complaint Form No. 26-001767 has been assigned to a named investigator;

2. whether the complaint file includes or cross-references LAPD Report Nos. C259045687 and C269013241;

3. where supplemental evidence should be sent so it is preserved in the correct Internal Affairs / complaint file;

4. whether the review includes report linkage, classification, closure explanation, evidence routing, and the effect of related agency records on report handling;

5. whether victim-support documentation such as CalVCB Application A26-10253806 may be cross-referenced without disclosure of sensitive victim or child-identifying information.

For LADA, please confirm administratively:

1. whether the consumer-protection / real-estate-fraud / white-collar / public-integrity materials involving CRCD / Project Tipping Point were received;

2. whether they were reviewed separately, merged, referred, declined, placed in an exempt investigative file, or omitted from intake;

3. whether LADA File No. 2026-C-0704 and PRA / CPRA No. 2026-0406-1059 were cross-referenced with LAPD C259045687, LAPD C269013241, OCI 2025-23289, OCI 2026-23965, DOJ PIU 1508015, CalVCB A26-10253806, FPPC COM-06102026-02353, DOL OIG FOIA

2026067, and CFCI-PA3-056-2023.

For CRCD governance / records contacts, please identify in writing:

1. the official custodian for the complete Project Tipping Point file associated with ID 1233369910;

2. whether CRCD maintains the complete 1551 lease/forms/payout packet;

3. whether CRCD maintains landlord verification, W-9, ACH, rent ledger, rental-assistance, payment-processing, consent/ROI, privacy, participant agreement, education/workforce, victim-support-related verification, WIOA/AJCC, HMIS-source, and household records involving me or my household;

4. whether CRCD accepts custody of the Project Tipping Point records or contends another entity is custodian;

5. whether CRCD will issue a written production, no-record, partial-record, exemption, denial, transfer, correction, or custodian determination;

6. who has supervisory authority over Project Tipping Point records, source authorization, complaints, grievance routing, and custodian determinations.

For City EWDD / City LWDA, please confirm:

1. whether EWDD is the proper local LWDA / WorkSource records and grievance route for CRCD / Project Tipping Point / Vernon-Central-LATTC WorkSource issues;

2. whether EWDD has an independent grievance, EO/ADA, WIOA/AJCC, participant-record, or records-access path that does not route only back to CRCD where CRCD is the disputed provider;

3. whether EWDD will preserve all local records, routing notes, complaint files, monitoring records, CPRA records, participant-record access requests, and referral records involving CRCD / PTP ID 1233369910;

4. whether EWDD will identify the correct local hearing/grievance officer, EO Compliance Officer, records custodian, or appeal route.

For CWDB / EDD routing purposes, please preserve the CWDB June 12, 2026 response and WSD18-05 record as confirming that participant-level records are local LWDA/operator records, while EDD Compliance Review Office is the state-level review path for WIOA Title I grievance and complaint matters when local process is adverse, untimely, or procedurally deficient.

For HUD / LAHSA / HMIS routing purposes, please confirm:

1. whether HUD CPD, LAHSA, Coordinated Entry, or the HMIS provider identified any 2023 Ruth's Place / CRCD / HMIS source record, ROI, consent, privacy notice, participant agreement, access log, or disclosure log;

2. whether any such record was used or relied upon for later CRCD / Project Tipping Point contact, enrollment, housing, landlord-payment, Amity/CFCI rental-assistance, education/workforce, or family-status activity;

3. whether the issue is pending, closed, redirected, or assigned;

4. if redirected, the exact office, contact, process, and required submission method.

For CalVCB / victim-support routing purposes, if any CalVCB recipient is copied or this is later forwarded to CalVCB:

1. please associate the housing-payment / relocation verification materials with Application A26-10253806;

2. please confirm whether CalVCB requires a current landlord W-9, ACH authorization, landlord verification, direct agency request, release, subpoena, or other formal process;

3. please identify whether CalVCB can request landlord/payee verification directly from the proper custodian if I do not possess a current W-9 or ACH record;

4. please preserve that the landlord is an adverse party in related UD case 26CMUD00417.

For DOL OIG / FOIA-PA routing purposes, if any DOL OIG recipient is copied or this is later forwarded to DOL OIG:

1. please associate this correspondence with DOL OIG FOIA No. 2026067;

2. please preserve any related OGIS, ETA / WIOA / AJCC, City EWDD / LWDA, CRCD / PTP, correspondence-control, White House referral, or component-routing records;

3. please identify whether any additional FOIA/PA formatting, component-routing, or cross-reference information is needed.

For OGIS / federal FOIA-process routing purposes, if this is forwarded to OGIS:

1. please associate this supplemental email with OGIS C-0007476635 and any related DOL, ED, HUD, and HHS OGIS matters;
2. please preserve that this is a FOIA-process, search-scope, referral, segregability, component-routing, and identifier-association issue only;
3. please do not treat this as a request for OGIS to decide state/local, criminal, civil, housing, family-law, or court merits.

For all recipients:

Please preserve all records, metadata, emails, attachments, intake forms, internal routing notes, Formstack entries, case notes, access logs, disclosure logs, correction notes, payment records, referral records, investigative routing records, workforce grievance records, victim-support records, FOIA/PA records, HMIS/CoC routing records, source documents, and records-retention logs related to this matter.

Please do not include Social Security numbers, full bank-account numbers, taxpayer-identification numbers, full dates of birth, medical identifiers, child-identifying details, victim-sensitive details, or other sensitive identifiers in any unsecured email response.

This supplement is sent without waiver of any rights, remedies, objections, claims, privileges, defenses, or victim-rights concerns. It is intended to preserve continuity of the records chain and prevent fragmentation between agencies, investigative labels, public-records labels, legal-services labels, workforce/WIOA labels, HUD/HMIS labels, victim-support labels, or political-practices jurisdiction labels.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert David Haab

657-557-4459

kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

EXHIBIT A

Existing ICMS Thread / Controlled Follow-Up and OIG Direction to LAPD Internal Affairs

Pages in source exhibit: 5

Preserves original ICMS 2025-137175 controlled follow-up, cross-agency identifiers, and the June 15 OIG response directing LAPD complaint updates to Internal Affairs.

Use note: This exhibit is included for routing, preservation, custodian identification, cross-reference control, or disposition clarification. Inclusion is not a merits finding, charging request, or waiver of privacy, privilege, victim-rights, defenses, or remedies.

ICMS No. 2025-137175/Controlled Follow-Up: OCI 2025-23289 / 2026-23965, LAPD C259045687 / C269013241, DOJ PIU 1508015, CRCD/PTP Custody/26-001767/2026-C-0704/2:26-cv-00802-FMO-ASx

2 messages

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Sat, Jun 13, 2026 at 5:07 AM
To: Sandra Barrientos <Sandra.Barrientos@doj.ca.gov>, teresa.depaz@doj.ca.gov, jthomas@coalitionRCD.org <jthomas@coalitionrcd.org>, imaldonado@coalitionrcd.org, mhuddleston@auditor.lacounty.gov, jeho@auditor.lacounty.gov, Polet Lopez <palopez@coalitionrcd.org>, ldavis@coalitionrcd.org, richard.cheng@lacity.org, COCO_HIPAA <HIPAA@counsel.lacounty.gov>, acerda@coalitionrcd.org, Felder, Kamilah <KFelder@bos.lacounty.gov>, Panosyan, Samantha <SPanosyan@bos.lacounty.gov>, Jami.Cantore@doj.ca.gov, complaints@doj.ca.gov, LA County Fraud Hotline <fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov>, AC-CONSTITUENT <constituent@auditor.lacounty.gov>, CEOP <ceop@bos.lacounty.gov>, Blanco, Rebecca A <rebecca.blanco@hud.gov>, OIG Complaint <oigcomplaint@lapd.online>, Community Relations OIG <communityrelationsoig@lacity.org>, WCCMail <whitecollarcrime@da.lacounty.gov>, DEC-Docket@hud.gov, vanessa_figueroa@cacd.uscourts.gov, cwdbinfo@cwdb.ca.gov, Christopher Williams <cwilliams@lahsa.org>, goneill@lahsa.org

Dear County Fraud / OCI, California DOJ, LAPD Oversight, LADA, and CRCD Governance / Records Contacts,

I am submitting this controlled follow-up to preserve and clarify the unresolved law-enforcement, County Fraud / OCI, DOJ, LAHSA, LADA, and CRCD records-custody chain.

I am not asking every copied recipient to investigate every allegation. I am requesting written responsibility, routing, and disposition clarification so this matter is not lost between agencies, treated as closed by one limited review, or routed back only to the provider whose records and conduct are disputed.

Relevant identifiers include:

County Fraud / OCI Case No. 2025-23289
County Fraud / OCI Case No. 2026-23965
LAPD Report No. C259045687
LAPD Report No. C269013241
LAPD Complaint Form No. 26-001767
DOJ PIU No. 1508015
DMFEA Reference No. 36332332
DOL OIG FOIA No. 2026067
LAHSA Ref. 2526KH-A
HMIS ID 8F8CD9D8B
CRCD / Project Tipping Point ID 1233369910
JCOD / CFCI / Amity Reference CFCI-PA3-056-2023
LADA File No. 2026-C-0704
LADA PRA / CPRA No. 2026-0406-1059
Property: 1551 3/4 E. 106th Street, Los Angeles, CA 90002

The issue is now broader than one records request or one agency referral.

LAHSA previously treated its role as closed after determining that Project Tipping Point was not LAHSA-funded and submitting a December 11, 2025 closure memorandum to County OCI for Case 2025-23289. That determination appears to have been jurisdictional and funding-based only. It did not resolve the underlying identity-theft, falsified-record, consent / ROI, HMIS authorization, W-9, ACH, landlord-payment, source-authorization, CRCD, Amity, JCOD, EWDD, child-record, privacy, or public-funds issues.

HUD's later Notice of Immediate Suspension Pending Investigation involving LAHSA does not prove CRCD or Project Tipping Point misconduct. It does, however, make it inappropriate for any agency to treat LAHSA's limited "not LAHSA-funded" conclusion as a global merits determination. LAHSA was part of the referral and closure chain. Therefore, any reliance on LAHSA's December 11, 2025 memorandum should be reviewed for scope accuracy.

County Fraud has confirmed that OCI Case 2025-23289 is closed and OCI Case 2026-23965 remains open. The present record does not show whether 2026-23965 superseded, continued, cross-referenced, narrowed, or separated unresolved issues from 2025-23289.

DOJ has also created an unresolved routing issue. DOJ PIU No. 1508015 was acknowledged and reportedly forwarded to the Housing Justice Team. A DOJ Public Records Act response later stated that no responsive DOJ intake, routing, referral, communication, closure, or tracking records were located. DOJ also directed alleged criminal conduct to local law enforcement. That creates a practical question: which law-enforcement or oversight office has accepted responsibility for the criminal, records-integrity, nonprofit-governance, public-funds, or housing-system lane?

LASD has stated that it has no role and that the relevant address falls under LAPD jurisdiction. LAPD has distinguished Commercial Crimes' responsibility for the underlying report merits from PSB / Internal Affairs responsibility for complaint handling. As of the last written response I received, LAPD Complaint Form No. 26-001767 had not yet been assigned to a named investigator.

The District Attorney's Office also acknowledged a CPRA request covering a consumer-protection complaint, two real-estate-fraud complaints, related emails, intake, routing, referral, and disposition records. The final response did not clearly identify whether the consumer-protection submission was received, omitted, merged, screened, referred, placed in an exempt investigative file, or otherwise dispositioned.

For County Fraud / OCI, please confirm, without disclosing confidential investigative details:

1. Whether Case 2025-23289 was closed based only on LAHSA's limited funding-jurisdiction memorandum;
2. Whether Case 2026-23965 is cross-referenced to Case 2025-23289;
3. Whether 2026-23965 includes the unresolved consent, source-authorization, HMIS, CRCD / PTP, payment, W-9, ACH, landlord, child-record, privacy, or identity-theft issues;
4. Whether supplemental records should continue to be sent only to fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov;
5. Whether any additional non-sensitive documentation is requested from me at this time.

For DOJ, please confirm, if available administratively:

1. Whether PIU No. 1508015 remains pending, was referred, or was closed;
2. Which DOJ unit received the Housing Justice Team referral;
3. Whether any DOJ unit has accepted review of the nonprofit-governance, housing, consumer-protection, public-integrity, privacy, or law-enforcement referral issue;
4. Whether the April 16, 2026 no-record PRA response included PIU, Housing Justice Team, DMFEA, and referral-system searches;
5. Whether DOJ has a written routing or disposition record identifying the correct law-enforcement or oversight agency.

For LAPD oversight / Internal Affairs, please confirm:

1. Whether Complaint Form No. 26-001767 has now been assigned to a named investigator;
2. Whether it includes both C259045687 and C269013241;
3. Whether the review includes report linkage, classification, closure explanation, evidence routing, and failure to consider related agency records;
4. Where supplemental evidence should be sent so it is preserved in the correct complaint file.

For LADA, please confirm administratively:

1. Whether the consumer-protection complaint involving CRCD / Project Tipping Point was received;
2. Whether it was reviewed separately, merged with the real-estate-fraud submissions, referred, declined, placed in an exempt investigative file, or omitted from intake;
3. Whether Public Integrity, Consumer Protection, White Collar Crime, Real Estate Fraud, or another unit accepted or declined review;
4. Whether LADA File No. 2026-C-0704 and PRA / CPRA No. 2026-0406-1059 were cross-referenced with LAPD C259045687, LAPD C269013241, OCI 2025-23289, OCI 2026-23965, DOJ PIU 1508015, and CFCI-PA3-056-2023.

For CRCD governance / records contacts, this email is copied for records-custodian, governance, and conflict-screening notice. Please identify in writing:

1. Who supervises or has authority over Latea Davis regarding Project Tipping Point records, source authorization, complaints, and custodian determinations;
2. Whether Jose Guadron is the responsible compliance / operational supervisor for this records-custody issue;
3. Whether Irma Maldonado is the correct routing contact for Jahrell Thomas / executive-level review;
4. Whether Kenta Estrada-Darley has any supervisory, board, executive, records-custodian, program, or governance role related to Project Tipping Point, Latea Davis, Polet Lopez, or the disputed records;
5. If Kenta Estrada-Darley is not the correct oversight person, the name, title, email, phone number, and role of the correct person;
6. Whether Polet Lopez reports to Latea Davis for the relevant Project Tipping Point / records-custodian issue;
7. Whether CRCD accepts custody of the Project Tipping Point file associated with ID 1233369910 or contends that another entity is the custodian;
8. Whether CRCD will issue a written production, no-record, partial-record, exemption, denial, transfer, correction, or custodian determination.

The requested remedy is a written cross-agency disposition matrix identifying:

1. What LAHSA actually decided;
2. What LAHSA did not decide;
3. What LAHSA transmitted to OCI;
4. What OCI did with those records;
5. Whether LAPD reviewed or requested those records;
6. Whether LADA considered the law-enforcement referral chain;
7. Whether HUD treated HMIS / source-record issues separately from LAHSA funding jurisdiction;
8. Whether DOJ treated the matter as housing, nonprofit governance, consumer protection, public integrity, privacy, or law enforcement;

9. Whether JCOD / CFCI / Amity / CRCD records were independently reviewed;
10. Which office presently has authority to investigate, correct, refer, or close each unresolved lane.

Please do not include Social Security numbers, full bank-account numbers, taxpayer-identification numbers, full dates of birth, medical identifiers, child-identifying details, or other sensitive identifiers in an unsecured email response.

This request is for administrative routing, preservation, custodian identification, and disposition clarification only. It is not a demand that any records office disclose confidential investigative material or make a criminal charging decision by email.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert David Haab
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

On Wed, Jun 10, 2026, 2:56 PM Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> wrote:

> I am following up on my June 5, 2026 formal demand and preservation notice concerning CRCD / Project Tipping Point records, Project Tipping Point ID 1233369910, source authorization, education/workforce records, housing and payment records, and related records custody.
> The prior responses consisted primarily of out-of-office or routing notices. I have not received a substantive written response identifying the responsible CRCD records custodian or addressing the requested source-authorization and records-custody questions.
> The Los Angeles County Fraud Hotline separately confirmed that Case 2025-23289 is closed and Case 2026-23965 remains open. I am not requesting confidential investigative information. I am requesting administrative routing, records-preservation confirmation, and identification of the proper custodian.
> Please provide a written response addressing the following:
> Identify the official CRCD / Project Tipping Point records custodian for my participant file and household-related records.
> Confirm whether CRCD maintains the complete file associated with Project Tipping Point ID 1233369910.
> Confirm whether CRCD maintains any consent, release of information, privacy notice, participant agreement, FERPA or education release, WIOA/AJCC authorization, HMIS authorization, landlord authorization, or other source authority used to create, obtain, disclose, or rely upon my records.
> Confirm whether CRCD maintains lease, landlord, W-9, ACH, rent-ledger, payment-processing, rental-assistance, education, LATTC, WorkSource, workforce, supportive-service, or household records involving me or my household.
> Identify whether any records were received from or transmitted to LACCD/LATTC, City EWDD/LWDA, Amity Foundation, JCOD/CFCI, LAHSA/HMIS, Alliance for Children's Rights, the landlord, or another partner.
> Confirm that all responsive records, metadata, emails, attachments, Formstack entries, case notes, access logs, disclosure logs, correction requests, payment records, and internal routing records are being preserved.
> If CRCD is not the custodian, identify the exact office, person, email address, telephone number, and basis for transferring responsibility.
> State whether CRCD will produce the records, provide a partial production, issue a written denial, provide a no-records determination, or identify records withheld or maintained by another custodian.
> For County Fraud / OCI, please confirm only the following non-confidential routing information:
> Whether supplemental records for open Case 2026-23965 should continue to be sent to fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov;
> Whether Case 2026-23965 has been assigned to a unit or investigator, without disclosing confidential investigative information;
> Whether Case 2025-23289 was cross-referenced, superseded, transferred, or otherwise associated with Case 2026-23965; and
> Whether additional non-sensitive documentation is presently required from me.
> Relevant identifiers:
> CRCD / Project Tipping Point ID: 1233369910
> JCOD / CFCI / Amity: CFCI-PA3-056-2023
> City CPRA Request: 25-18989
> County Fraud / OCI: 2025-23289 and 2026-23965
> SPPO / FERPA: 26-0253
> Related unlawful-detainer case: 26CMUD00417
> Related family-law case: 25CMFL00694
> Property: 1551 3/4 E. 106th Street, Los Angeles, California 90002
> Please provide the custodian and routing response within five business days. This follow-up is sent to preserve the record and does not waive any rights, objections, claims, remedies, or defenses.
> Respectfully,
> Kyle Robert David Haab
> 657-557-4459

On Fri, Jun 5, 2026, 11:09 PM Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> wrote:

> Dear Ms. Davis and CRCD / City EWDD Records Custodians,
>
> I am sending this as a formal written demand and preservation notice regarding CRCD / Project Tipping Point records, source authorization, records custody, and corrective routing.
>
> This demand follows the City of Los Angeles / EWDD Public Records release for Request No. 25-18989, which released responsive records and directed Project Tipping Point inquiries to Latea Davis at CRCD, ldavis@coalitionrcd.org.

This demand also follows LAHSA's CPRA final responses under Ref. 2526KH-A. LAHSA objected to or withheld HMIS / participant-related records under confidentiality and privacy grounds and directed questions about my specific HMIS records to HMIS Support / Freshservice. LAHSA's responses did not resolve CRCD / Project Tipping Point source authorization, CRCD records custody, lease/payment records, W-9/ACH records, education/workforce records, or Project Tipping Point file custody.

Accordingly, the unresolved issue now rests with CRCD and any responsible City/EWDD custodian or oversight contact:

Who is the official custodian, what records exist, what records are being withheld or not produced, and what source authorization allowed CRCD / Project Tipping Point records to be created, used, transmitted, relied upon, or shared?

This is a demand for written confirmation and corrective action regarding the following records:

1. The official CRCD custodian for my Project Tipping Point records;

2. The complete CRCD / Project Tipping Point file associated with me, my household, and Project Tipping Point ID 1233369910;

3. Any intake, enrollment, eligibility, referral, case note, housing, rent, landlord, lease, W-9, ACH, payment, education, workforce, supportive-service, or household records connected to me or my household;

4. Any consent, ROI, privacy notice, participant agreement, HMIS / Clarity release, FERPA / education release, WIOA / AJCC release, landlord authorization, payment authorization, or other written source authority relied upon by CRCD;

5. Any records showing whether CRCD, Project Tipping Point, Vernon-Central / LATTC WorkSource, City LWDA / EWDD, LACCD / LATTC, Amity Foundation, JCOD / CFCI, LAHSA / HMIS, or any partner agency accessed, received, transmitted, used, or relied on my information;

6. Any records showing whether CRCD preserved, referred, corrected, disputed, or internally reviewed my complaints regarding unauthorized records, lease/payment records, source authorization, privacy, education/workforce status, or household information.

Relevant identifiers include:

CRCD / Project Tipping Point ID: 1233369910
City of Los Angeles CPRA Request: 25-18989
LAHSA Ref.: 2526KH-A
HMIS ID: 8F8CD9D8B
JCOD / CFCI / Amity: CFCI-PA3-056-2023
County Fraud / OCI: 2025-23289 and 2026_23965
DOL OIG / FOIA: 2026067
ED OIG: C26IOU05768
SPPO / FERPA: 26-0253
HHS OCR: 651319 / 680559
DHCS CIN: 96575025D
Property: 1551 3/4 E. 106th St., Los Angeles, CA 90002
Related unlawful-detainer matter: 26CMUD00417
Related family-law matter: 25CMFL00694

This demand is not a request for informal assistance. It is a demand that CRCD and any responsible City/EWDD custodian identify the official records custodian, preserve the records, and provide the written source-authorization and records-custody determination that has been repeatedly requested.

Please provide a written response confirming:

1. Whether CRCD accepts custody of the Project Tipping Point records identified above;

2. Whether Latea Davis is the responsible CRCD contact for Project Tipping Point records, as identified in the City/EWDD CPRA response;

3. Whether CRCD maintains the source authorization, consent, ROI, privacy notice, participant agreement, or release relied upon for my records;

4. Whether CRCD maintains lease, landlord, W-9, ACH, payout, rental-assistance, or payment-processing records tied to 1551 3/4 E. 106th St.;

5. Whether CRCD maintains education/workforce, LATTC, WorkSource, WIOA/AJCC, or City LWDA/EWDD records involving me;

6. Whether CRCD contends another office is the custodian, and if so, the exact office, person, email, phone number, and legal basis for that position;

7. Whether CRCD will produce, correct, or formally deny the requested records;

8. Whether CRCD will provide a written no-record, partial-record, exemption, or custodian-transfer determination if responsive records are not produced.

If CRCD or the responsible public-agency custodian continues to fail to identify the official custodian, produce responsive records, provide a written source-authorization determination, or state a lawful basis for withholding records, I reserve all rights to seek appropriate relief, including a petition for writ of mandate under Code of Civil Procedure sections 1085 and 1086, Public Records Act remedies where applicable, costs, and any other appropriate relief.

Please preserve all records, metadata, emails, attachments, intake forms, Formstack entries, internal notes, case notes, referral records, source documents, communications, disclosure logs, access logs, correction notes, and records-retention logs related to this matter.

This notice is sent without waiver of any rights, remedies, objections, claims, or defenses.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert Haab
657-557-4459

On Fri, Jun 5, 2026, 1:48 PM Sandra Barrientos <Sandra.Barrientos@doj.ca.gov> wrote:

> Thank you for your email. I am away out of the office until June 22, 2026. I will not have access to email during that time. If you need immediate assistance, please contact Deputy Attorney General Jami Cantore at jami.cantore@doj.ca.gov, or my assistant Teresa de Paz at (213) 269-6554 or teresa.depaz@doj.ca.gov.
>
> I will respond to your email when I return. Thank you for your paitence.
>
> Sandra Barrientos
>
> CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This communication with its contents may contain confidential and/or legally privileged information. It is solely for the use of the intended recipient(s). Unauthorized interception, review, use or disclosure is prohibited and may violate applicable laws including the Electronic Communications Privacy Act. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of the communication.

Community Relations OIG <communityrelationsoig@lacity.org> Mon, Jun 15, 2026 at 9:09 AM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
Cc: OIG Complaint <oigcomplaint@lapd.online>

Hello, Mr. Haab,

For any updates or other information relating to your complaint investigation involving LAPD, please contact Internal Affairs directly -- https://www.lapdonline.org/office-of-the-chief-of-police/professional-standards-bureau/internal-affairs-division/
800-339-6868

Thank you.
[Quoted text hidden]
--

Community Relations & Strategic Initiatives
Office of the Inspector General
Los Angeles Police Commission
360 S. Figueroa Street, Suite 1002
Los Angeles, CA 90071
(213) 893-6400
communityrelationsoig@lacity.org
www.oig.lacity.org

EXHIBIT B

LAFLA V20 Full Source-Record Supplement - Main Notice

Pages in source exhibit: 4

Preserves the LAFLA-facing source-record notice, investigative-identifier framing, and UD 26CMUD00417 record-integrity request.

Use note: This exhibit is included for routing, preservation, custodian identification, cross-reference control, or disposition clarification. Inclusion is not a merits finding, charging request, or waiver of privacy, privilege, victim-rights, defenses, or remedies.

LAFLA FULL SOURCE-RECORD SUPPLEMENT - V20

UD 26CMUD00417 | JCOD/CFCI/Amity Records | 1551 Source Packet | V17/V19 Incorporation | Investigative-Identifier and Victim-Rights Preservation

Prepared by	Kyle Robert David Haab | 657-557-4459 | kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
Date	June 16, 2026
Primary matter	Unlawful-detainer case 26CMUD00417 - LAFLA accepted representation lane
Related references	LSC Docket 26-0-216; family-law case 25CMFL00694 as child/family housing-stability context; JCOD/CFCI/Amity/CRCD/PTP source-record chain
Purpose	Create a clear LAFLA record after attachments were sent before the new JCOD materials were received. This V20 integrates V17/V19, the JCOD June 16 update, the June 1-5 PRA clarification thread, the June 16 forwarded 1551 source-record email, and non-civil investigative cross-reference preservation.

CONTROL POSITION: This supplement does not ask LAFLA to prosecute crimes, litigate the federal case, decide agency misconduct, or take over family-law/UCCJEA issues. It asks LAFLA, in the UD case it accepted, to review and preserve source records that may affect rent/default, payment credits, lease authenticity, landlord verification, W-9/ACH, payout information, exhibit completeness, credibility, and trial strategy.

1. Why this V20 supplement is necessary

The earlier LAFLA email and attachment transmission did not include the new JCOD correspondence because the JCOD update was received afterward. This V20 is therefore a clarifying supplement. It prevents the record from appearing as a general attachment dump and identifies exactly why the JCOD materials and 1551 source-record transmittal matter to the accepted UD representation.

The operative point is narrow: LAFLA should not treat the rent ledger, default theory, lease packet, landlord-verification record, W-9/ACH record, payout/payment record, or source-record chain as complete while JCOD/CFCI/Amity/CRCD records remain pending, split across PRA tracks, or unreconciled.

2. New JCOD record-status issue

JCOD has confirmed it is continuing to search and review voluminous records potentially responsive to the PRA request covering rental-assistance payment months, amounts, funding sources, approval and authorization records, JCOD/Amity/CRCD roles, invoices, reimbursement requests, payment approvals, ledgers, backup documentation, monitoring and compliance records, consent/ROI/source records, child/family-status records, Amity records, and custodian-identification records.

The June 1-5 PRA thread also matters because JCOD initially stated that it was looking for documents, then objected that some requests were framed as information rather than identifiable public records. The request was then clarified into existing record categories: PRA tracking, request logs, routing notes, search scope, keywords, custodians, repositories, cross-references, extension-deadline records, assigned staff, and non-JCOD custodian identification.

LAFLA does not need to litigate CPRA inside the UD. But if the source records are not available before trial or settlement posture, LAFLA should consider subpoenas, supplementation demands, objections to incomplete records, or trial-protection measures.

3. Current 1551 source-record transmittal now incorporated

The June 16, 2026 forwarded email to LAFLA, children's counsel, and LSC contacts preserves the May 12 DHCS routing thread and the original August 2, 2024 Chris Cervantes transmission to Alexander Saltzman / CRCD and Kyle. That source email states that the sender provided the "lease agreement and forms including payout info." The attachment list

included the 1551 34 packet, a new lease email/PDF, the Housing Assistance Program Universal Application, CRCD/PTP media audit packet, and the LAFLA V17 standard and full exhibit packets.

That matters because the original 1551 transaction was not merely a selected one-page lease. It involved lease documents, forms, and payout/payment information. LAFLA should confirm whether it reviewed that full record before making or relying on any statement about no forgery, no payment-source relevance, unit legality, rent default, or plaintiff exhibit completeness.

4. Criminal / non-civil investigative identifiers and victim-rights preservation

This supplement includes criminal, non-civil investigative, public-integrity, OIG, OCI, DOJ/AG, LAPD, and related oversight identifiers only as cross-reference, preservation, routing, and victim-rights preservation identifiers. This does not ask LAFLA to prosecute criminal allegations, decide criminal guilt, or convert the UD case into a criminal case.

However, an agency label such as investigative, criminal, fraud-related, law-enforcement-sensitive, public-integrity, or outside LAFLA criminal-prosecution scope does not make the same underlying records irrelevant to the UD defense. The same records may still affect lease authentication, rent ledger accuracy, payment credits, landlord verification, W-9/ACH records, payout information, source-record completeness, plaintiff credibility, exhibit authentication, and trial strategy.

Preservation of investigative identifiers also preserves that reported or suspected victim-impact issues should not be fragmented away from the civil source-record chain. To the extent any victim-rights framework applies, investigative-process labels should not be used to defeat record preservation, civil relevance, lawful discovery, or the ability to document harm and source-record access issues.

Identifier / lane	Use in this LAFLA supplement
LAPD C259045687 / C269013241 / Complaint Form 26-001767	Law-enforcement/report-handling cross-reference; preserves that record-integrity and report-status issues may overlap with civil source-record relevance.
County OCI / Fraud references 2025-23289 and 2026-23965	County fraud/investigative routing cross-reference tied to public-funds, participant-record, and source-record issues.
DOJ / AG / PIU #1508015 and related AG/CT-9/charitable-trust routing references	State oversight/conflict-screening and charitable/public-integrity cross-reference; not a request that LAFLA prosecute.
DOL OIG FOIA 2026067 / OGIS C-0007476635 / workforce-routing records	Federal workforce/public-records preservation lane connected to CRCD/PTP, EWDD/LWDA/AJCC, and source-record custody.
LSC Docket 26-0-216	Legal-services grievance-exhaustion oversight lane for LAFLA; not a demand that LSC direct strategy.
CalVCB A26-10253806 and related victim-support references, if applicable	Victim-support / harm-documentation cross-reference; not proof of criminal liability by itself.

5. Requested written response from LAFLA

• Confirm whether the housing workgroup reviewed the full 1551 lease/forms/payout packet, not only a selected lease page.

• Confirm whether LAFLA reviewed the new JCOD June 16 response and the June 1-5 PRA clarification thread.

• Confirm whether LAFLA will request, subpoena, demand, preserve, or otherwise obtain JCOD/CFCI/Amity/CRCD payment and authorization records before trial or settlement posture.

• Confirm whether LAFLA will object to any incomplete lease packet, rent ledger, default theory, or selected plaintiff exhibit if the full payment/source-record chain remains pending.

• Confirm whether LAFLA will seek a continuance or other trial-protection measure if material JCOD/CFCI/Amity records are unavailable before key UD deadlines.

• Confirm, deny, or correct prior oral statements regarding unit legality, LAHD alleged mistake, no sign of forgery, and fraud/theft/forgery/payment-source relevance.

• Clarify whether criminal/investigative matters are outside LAFLA prosecution scope only, or whether LAFLA asserts those facts are irrelevant to the UD defense. Those are different positions.

• Identify the Level 1 grievance reviewer, current grievance status, Level 2 route, and Level 3 route under LAFLA grievance procedure and LSC exhaustion record.

6. Copy-ready transmittal email text

Subject: V20 Full LAFLA Supplement - JCOD Update / 1551 Source Records / Investigative-Identifier Preservation - UD 26CMUD00417

LAFLA Team:

I am submitting the attached V20 full supplement because my earlier email and attachments did not include the JCOD correspondence that I received afterward. This V20 integrates the V17/V19 LAFLA demand, the new JCOD June 16 response, the June 1-5 JCOD PRA clarification thread, and the June 16 forwarded 1551 source-record email.

My request remains narrow. I am not asking LAFLA to prosecute criminal allegations, litigate my federal case, decide agency misconduct, or take over family-law matters. I am asking LAFLA, in UD 26CMUD00417, to review and preserve the source records that may affect rent/default, payment credits, lease authenticity, landlord verification, W-9/ACH records, payout information, plaintiff exhibit completeness, credibility, and trial strategy.

JCOD has now confirmed that it is still searching/reviewing voluminous records potentially responsive to the CFCI/Amity/CRCD/PTP rental-assistance, funding-source, approval/authorization, ledger, consent/ROI, family-status, and custodian record requests. LAFLA should not treat the rent/default record or lease/payment record as complete while those records remain pending and unreconciled.

I am also preserving criminal, non-civil investigative, public-integrity, OIG, OCI, DOJ/AG, LAPD, and related oversight identifiers only as cross-reference and victim-rights preservation identifiers. I am not asking LAFLA to act as law enforcement. But investigative labels do not make the underlying records irrelevant to the UD if they affect lease authentication, rent ledger accuracy, payment credits, W-9/ACH, landlord verification, payout records, source-record completeness, credibility, or trial evidence.

Please provide the written response requested in V20 before any material trial, settlement, or case-position decision is made in 26CMUD00417.

Respectfully,
Kyle Robert David Haab
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

7. Appendix / exhibit control

Appendix	Document	Purpose
A	V19 LAFLA JCOD/V17 Incorporated Supplement - full or standard appendix	Carries forward the expanded LAFLA demand, JCOD update framing, V17 incorporation, grievance exhaustion, and source-record reconciliation record.
B	June 16, 2026 forwarded 1551 source-record email	Shows the 1551 source transaction included lease agreement, forms, and payout information and that the record was sent to LAFLA/LSC/children's counsel.
C	This V20 front matter	Controls the updated transmittal, criminal/non-civil investigative identifier preservation language, and LAFLA response demands.

Use note: Send the main V20 PDF in the email body record. Attach the standard appendix if file size matters. Use the full appendix when LAFLA disputes custody, completeness, relevance, or source-record review.

EXHIBIT C

June 16 Forwarded 1551 Source-Record Email / Chris Cervantes Lease-Forms-Payout Transmission

Pages in source exhibit: 3

Shows the original 1551 transaction was transmitted as lease agreement, forms, and payout information, not merely a selected lease page.

Use note: This exhibit is included for routing, preservation, custodian identification, cross-reference control, or disposition clarification. Inclusion is not a merits finding, charging request, or waiver of privacy, privilege, victim-rights, defenses, or remedies.

Fwd: 1551 3/4 E 106th st -Docket number 26-0-216/25CMFL00694/26CMUD00417

2 messages

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Tue, Jun 16, 2026 at 2:10 AM
To: Benjamin Wu <Bwu@lafla.org>, Nataly Estrada <nestrada@lafla.org>, Phillip Leamon <pleamon@lafla.org>, Nicole Perez <nperez@lafla.org>, samantha@samanthawallerlaw.com
Cc: Complaint Calls <ComplaintCalls@lsc.gov>, crobinson@oig.lsc.gov, rathl@lsc.gov

---------- Forwarded message ---------
From: **Kyle** <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
Date: Tue, May 12, 2026, 12:22 AM
Subject: Fwd: 1551 3/ E 106
To: <stanley.white@dhcs.ca.gov>

Dear Mr. White,

Thank you for your time and for your anticipated follow-up this week.

I am forwarding supporting emails and records for DHCS review and routing. My understanding is that you are the appropriate DHCS Investigation Division contact and that you may communicate with the Licensing Division as needed.

I am providing these materials to preserve the record and help DHCS determine whether any DHCS-regulated, licensed, funded, or contracted provider records, communications, or program actions are implicated.

I am not asking DHCS to decide family court issues, criminal liability, or non-DHCS agency fault. I am asking for review/routing to the correct DHCS unit, including Investigation Division, Licensing Division, or any other appropriate DHCS office.

Please let me know if there is a DHCS reference number, assigned unit, or specific document format you would like me to use for any further submissions.

Respectfully,

Kyle David Haab
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
---------- Forwarded message ---------
From: **Kyle** <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
Date: Mon, May 11, 2026, 9:40 PM
Subject: Fwd: 1551 3/ E 106
To: <elijahblack30@gmail.com>

---------- Forwarded message ---------
From: **chris cervantes** <chrisncervantes@hotmail.com>
Date: Fri, Aug 2, 2024, 4:16 PM
Subject: 1551 3/ E 106
To: Alexander Saltzman <asaltzman@coalitionrcd.org>, Kyle Tenant <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Alex/Klye

Hello here is lease agreement and forms including payout info. Please let me know if you have any questions thank you

7 attachments

LAFLA_Expanded_Response_Demand_V17_manifest-3.txt
342 B

1551 34.pdf
4.5 MB

Gmail - New lease.PDF
110 KB

159801_HousingAssistanceProgram-UniversalApplication.pdf
2.1 MB

CRCD_PTP_Media_Audit_Packet_With_Exhibits-1.pdf
1.5 MB

LAFLA_UD_26CMUD00417_EXPANDED_Response_Demand_V17_WITH_STANDARD_EXHIBITS.pdf
1.5 MB

LAFLA_UD_26CMUD00417_EXPANDED_Response_Demand_V17_WITH_FULL_EXHIBITS.pdf
5.5 MB

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Tue, Jun 16, 2026 at 9:56 AM
To: Benjamin Wu <Bwu@lafla.org>, Nataly Estrada <nestrada@lafla.org>, Phillip Leamon <pleamon@lafla.org>, Nicole Perez <nperez@lafla.org>, samantha@samanthawallerlaw.com
Cc: Complaint Calls <ComplaintCalls@lsc.gov>, crobinson@oig.lsc.gov, rathl@lsc.gov

Benjamin, Nataly, Phillip, Nicole, Amy, and LAFLA Client Services / Compliance:

I am submitting the attached V20 LAFLA Full Source-Record Supplement to make the record clear after my earlier attachment transmission.

My earlier email did not include the new JCOD correspondence because I received the JCOD response afterward. This V20 supplement incorporates the V17/V19 LAFLA demand record, the JCOD June 16 response, the June 1–5 JCOD PRA clarification thread, and the June 16 forwarded 1551 source-record email showing that the original 1551 transmission involved lease agreement, forms, and payout information.

My request remains narrow.

I am not asking LAFLA to prosecute criminal allegations, litigate my federal case, decide agency misconduct, or take over family-law/UCCJEA matters. I am asking LAFLA, in the unlawful-detainer case it accepted — 26CMUD00417 — to review, preserve, and address source records that may affect rent/default, payment credits, lease authenticity, landlord verification, W-9/ACH records, payout information, plaintiff exhibit completeness, credibility, authentication, and trial strategy.

The new JCOD materials matter because JCOD has now confirmed that it is still searching and reviewing voluminous potentially responsive records involving CFCI/Amity/CRCD/PTP rental assistance, funding source, approval and authorization records, ledgers, consent/ROI/source records, family-status records, and custodian-identification records. LAFLA should not treat the rent/default record, lease packet, payment-source record, or source-record chain as complete while those records remain pending and unreconciled.

I am also preserving criminal, non-civil investigative, public-integrity, OIG, OCI, DOJ/AG, LAPD, and related oversight identifiers only as cross-reference, routing, preservation, and victim-rights preservation identifiers. I am not asking LAFLA to act as law enforcement. But investigative labels do not make the underlying records irrelevant to the UD if they affect lease authentication, rent ledger accuracy, payment credits, W-9/ACH, landlord verification, payout records, source-record completeness, credibility, or trial evidence.

Please confirm in writing:

1. whether LAFLA's housing workgroup reviewed the full 1551 lease/forms/payout packet, not only a selected lease page;

2. whether LAFLA reviewed the new JCOD June 16 response and the June 1–5 JCOD PRA clarification thread;

3. whether LAFLA will request, subpoena, demand, preserve, or otherwise obtain JCOD/CFCI/Amity/CRCD payment and authorization records before trial or settlement posture;

4. whether LAFLA will object to any incomplete lease packet, rent ledger, default theory, or selected plaintiff exhibit if the full payment/source-record chain remains pending;

5. whether LAFLA will seek a continuance or other trial-protection measure if material JCOD/CFCI/Amity records are unavailable before key UD deadlines;

6. whether LAFLA will confirm, deny, or correct prior oral statements regarding unit legality, LAHD alleged mistake, no sign of forgery, and fraud/theft/forgery/payment-source relevance;

7. whether criminal/investigative matters are outside LAFLA prosecution scope only, or whether LAFLA asserts those facts are irrelevant to the UD defense. Those are different positions;

8. the current Level 1 grievance reviewer, grievance status, Level 2 route, and Level 3 route under LAFLA's grievance procedure and the LSC exhaustion record.

For clarity, this email is also intended to preserve the federal oversight / civil-rights record that the same source-record chain remains unresolved. That federal preservation does not ask LAFLA to litigate my federal case. It only preserves that the

JCOD/CFCI/Amity/CRCD/PTP, 1551 lease/forms/payout, consent/ROI, payment, and custodian records remain material to housing stability, record integrity, and related proceedings.

Please provide the written response requested in V20 before any material trial, settlement, or case-position decision is made in 26CMUD00417.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert David Haab
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
[Quoted text hidden]

---

3 attachments

LAFLA_UD_26CMUD00417_FULL_Source_Record_JCOD_Investigative_Identifiers_Supplement_V20_MAIN.pdf
10 KB

LAFLA_UD_26CMUD00417_FULL_Source_Record_JCOD_Investigative_Identifiers_Supplement_V20_WITH_STANDARD_APPENDIX.pdf
2.2 MB

LAFLA_UD_26CMUD00417_FULL_Source_Record_JCOD_Investigative_Identifiers_Supplement_V20_WITH_FULL_APPENDIX.pdf
6.2 MB

EXHIBIT D

JCOD June 16 PRA Request #3 Status / Extension Letter

Pages in source exhibit: 3

Shows JCOD status/update on PRA Request #3 and preserves pending CFCI/Amity/CRCD source-record categories.

Use note: This exhibit is included for routing, preservation, custodian identification, cross-reference control, or disposition clarification. Inclusion is not a merits finding, charging request, or waiver of privacy, privilege, victim-rights, defenses, or remedies.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Hilda L. Solis
First District

Holly J. Mitchell
Second District

Lindsey P. Horvath
Third District

Janice Hahn
Fourth District

Kathryn Barger
Fifth District

LOS ANGELES COUNTY
JUSTICE CARE AND OPPORTUNITIES DEPARTMENT

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration
500 West Temple Street, Room 100
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Website: jcod.lacounty.gov

DIRECTOR
Judge Songhai Armstead, *ret.*

6/16/26

VIA E-MAIL ONLY

Kyle Haab
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

Re: Public Records Act Request of May 21, 2026

Dear Mr. Haab:

This is in response to your California Public Records Act ("CPRA") request received by the County of Los Angeles, Justice, Care & Opportunities Department (JCOD) on April 2, 2026, wherein you requested the following:

> *This is a document request only. I am not asking for legal opinions or narrative answers.*
>
> *Please produce records in JCOD's possession, custody, or control sufficient to show:*
>
> *1. The exact months rental assistance was paid on my behalf between August 2024 and June 2025.*
>
> *2. The exact amount paid for each month.*
>
> *3. The funding source used for each payment.*
>
> *4. Whether any payment during the August 2024 to June 2025 period was made from a source other than CFCI.*
>
> *5. The approval basis and authorization record for each payment.*
>
> *6. The role of JCOD, Amity Foundation, and CRCD in approving, administering, reimbursing, monitoring, or overseeing each payment.*

7. Any invoice, reimbursement request, payment approval, expenditure report, payment ledger, backup documentation, monitoring record, compliance record, correspondence, internal note, or participant-level report tied to those payments under CFCI-PA3-056-2023.

8. Any participant consent, release, ROI, authorization, intake form, participant agreement, privacy notice, eligibility record, or source record relied upon for my information to be used, shared, reported, monitored, reimbursed, or processed within the JCOD / Amity / CRCD rental-assistance chain.

9. Any record showing what consent, ROI, release, authorization, court order, guardian authorization, parental authorization, participant agreement, or source record allowed CRCD, Amity, or JCOD-related records to collect, use, classify, disclose, transmit, report, or rely on information concerning my children, including child names, custody status, household composition, family status, reunification status, ICPC status, placement status, dependency/family-law status, or eligibility for family-based housing assistance.

10. Any record showing when CRCD, Amity, or JCOD first learned that I had children, who provided that information, how it was recorded, and whether it was used in rental assistance, housing placement, family-status classification, eligibility, reporting, monitoring, reimbursement, or program compliance.

11. Any Amity Foundation paperwork, enrollment paperwork, Individual Development Plan paperwork, case notes, intake records, eligibility records, participant records, or related documentation dated on or around June 13, 2024 involving Kyle Robert Haab / Kyle Dietz and any CRCD contact listed on that paperwork, including Regina Vazquez / R. Vazquez / rvazquez@coalitionrcd.org.

12. Any record showing how the Amity paperwork was created, transmitted, received, reviewed, approved, corrected, relied upon, or reported by Amity Foundation, CRCD, or JCOD, including who entered the information, what source documents were used, what consent/ROI authorized the transfer, and whether the paperwork was used for CFCI-PA3-056-2023 eligibility, reporting, reimbursement, or rental-assistance processing.

13. Any record showing whether JCOD, Amity, or CRCD relied on a 2023 CRCD / Ruth's Place / HMIS / Clarity contact, consent, release, or ROI for later Project Tipping Point, Amity enrollment, rental assistance, landlord, household, child-related, or family-status activity.

14. Records identifying the custodian responsible for participant-level CFCI-PA3-056-2023 records, rental-assistance backup records, consent/ROI/source records, Amity enrollment/IDP records, family/child-status records, Amity reporting records, and CRCD participant records.

This request concerns CFCI-PA3-056-2023, Amity Foundation, CRCD / Project Tipping Point, rental assistance associated with Kyle Robert Haab / Kyle Dietz, my household/family-status information, my children's information, Amity paperwork dated on or around June 13, 2024, and 1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4, Los Angeles, CA 90002.

If JCOD does not possess a requested category of records, please state whether JCOD understands the records to be held by Amity Foundation, CRCD, LAHSA/HMIS, another County department, or another custodian.

If records are withheld or redacted, please produce all reasonably segregable non-exempt portions and identify the exemption claimed.

On June 1, 2026, JCOD extended time to respond pursuant to Government Code section 7922.535, subdivision (b). We are continuing our search for and review of voluminous records that are potentially responsive to your CPRA request. Therefore, we anticipate providing you with an update or a response on or before July 15, 2026.

Please note that we reserve the right to assert any and all applicable privileges, doctrines, objections, and exemptions. In providing you with this response, JCOD is not waiving any rights, defenses, or claims of privilege, exception or exemption under the CPRA or any other statutes.

Thank you for your understanding and cooperation in this matter.

Sincerely,

Avi Bernard
Public Information Officer
Justice Care and Opportunities Department
abernard@jcod.lacounty.gov
jcod.lacounty.gov

EXHIBIT E

JCOD June 1-5 PRA Clarification Thread

Pages in source exhibit: 5

Shows JCOD first stated it was looking for documents and then requested clarification; requester clarified into existing-record categories.

Use note: This exhibit is included for routing, preservation, custodian identification, cross-reference control, or disposition clarification. Inclusion is not a merits finding, charging request, or waiver of privacy, privilege, victim-rights, defenses, or remedies.

Update on PRA Request #3

7 messages

JCOD PIO <PIO@jcod.lacounty.gov> Mon, Jun 1, 2026 at 6:17 PM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Dear Mr. Haab,

Please see the attached document (with updated page numbers) regarding your PRA request #3.

Best,

Avi Bernard
Public Information Officer
Justice Care and Opportunities Department
abernard@jcod.lacounty.gov
jcod.lacounty.gov

PRA Request #3 - Kyle Haab_6-1-26.pdf
266 KB

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Tue, Jun 2, 2026 at 10:58 AM
To: JCOD PIO <PIO@jcod.lacounty.gov>

Dear Mr. Bernard,

Thank you for the update on PRA Request #3.

I am requesting written clarification because my concern is that related JCOD PRA activity is being split into separate PRA tracks and extended under later dates without expressly preserving or referencing the earlier February-March 2026 activity that occurred before April 2, 2026.

Please see the attached focused addendum.

To summarize, please confirm that JCOD's current PRA processing, extensions, and searches preserve and account for:

1. my February 18, 2026 PRA / funding-clarification request;
2. JCOD's February 27, 2026 response and its later statement that there was no internal tracking or reference number associated with that request;
3. JCOD's March 13, 2026 substantive response confirming the CFCI / Amity Foundation / CRCD rental-assistance funding chain, Program Area 3 / Youth Housing classification, and contract number CFCI-PA3-056-2023;
4. my April 2, 2026 PRA request seeking a written accounting and preservation of rental-assistance records; and
5. my May 21, 2026 clarification seeking payment ledgers, funding-source records, approval/authorization records, consent/ROI/source records, Amity/CRCD backup records, child/family-status records, and custodian-identification records.

I am not objecting to JCOD assigning separate PRA tracks if separate processing is administratively necessary. I am asking JCOD to confirm that separate processing will not narrow the search window, omit records created before April 2, 2026, or disconnect the March 13 funding confirmation from the later payment-ledger and consent/source-record requests.

Please confirm in writing:

1. whether the February 18, February 27, and March 13 records are being preserved and cross-referenced as part of the same CFCI / Amity / CRCD / rental-assistance record chain;
2. whether the current April 2 and May 21 PRA searches include records created before April 2, 2026;

3. whether JCOD is limiting any search by request date rather than by subject matter;
4. whether JCOD will identify responsive records, communications, logs, attachments, internal notes, and source materials created before April 2, 2026;
5. whether JCOD will provide a cross-reference or index showing how the February, March, April, and May PRA tracks relate to each other; and
6. whether JCOD will identify the proper custodian if any responsive category is held by Amity Foundation, CRCD, LAHSA/HMIS, another County office, or another custodian.

This is a record-scope clarification request only. I am not asking for legal advice or a narrative opinion. I am asking JCOD to preserve and clarify the continuity of the records chain so that the earlier February-March handling is not omitted from later PRA extensions or productions.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert Haab
[Quoted text hidden]

2 attachments

img-edf95e9b-5799-4752-ae1f-dc2ebdec81eb.png
33 KB

JCOD_PRA_Splitting_PreApril_Scope_Response_Addendum_June2_2026.pdf
106 KB

JCOD PIO <PIO@jcod.lacounty.gov> Tue, Jun 2, 2026 at 2:17 PM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Dear Mr. Haab,

We are looking for the requested documents. We will produce all applicable records in JCOD's possession subject to applicable exemptions.

Best,

Avi Bernard
Public Information Officer
Justice Care and Opportunities Department
213-768-7565
abernard@jcod.lacounty.gov
jcod.lacounty.gov

From: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2026 at 11:01 AM
To: JCOD PIO <PIO@jcod.lacounty.gov>
Subject: Re: Update on PRA Request #3

CAUTION: External Email. Proceed Responsibly. [Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Tue, Jun 2, 2026 at 5:57 PM
To: JCOD PIO <PIO@jcod.lacounty.gov>

[Quoted text hidden]

2 attachments

img-edf95e9b-5799-4752-ae1f-dc2ebdec81eb.png
33 KB

img-27cffd02-27e9-4c76-972d-ef25ab15c7a4
33 KB

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Tue, Jun 2, 2026 at 6:00 PM
To: JCOD PIO <PIO@jcod.lacounty.gov>

Dear Mr. Bernard,

Thank you for your June 2, 2026 response stating that JCOD is looking for the requested documents and will produce all applicable records in JCOD's possession subject to applicable exemptions.

I appreciate that confirmation. I understand JCOD is actively searching for records.

I am sending this limited follow-up because my specific concern was not whether JCOD is searching generally. My concern is whether the use of separate PRA tracks, later-dated extensions, or updated PRA processing documents will narrow, omit, or disconnect records created before April 2, 2026 from the current search and production.

For clarity, I am not objecting to JCOD using separate PRA tracks if that is administratively necessary. I am asking for written confirmation that separate processing will not limit the search by request date instead of subject matter.

Please confirm whether JCOD's current search and production will preserve and account for the continuous CFCI / Amity / CRCD / rental-assistance record chain, including:

1. my February 18, 2026 PRA / funding-clarification request;
2. JCOD's February 27, 2026 response and later statement that no internal tracking or reference number was associated with that request;
3. JCOD's March 13, 2026 substantive response confirming the CFCI / Amity Foundation / CRCD rental-assistance funding chain, Program Area 3 / Youth Housing classification, and contract number CFCI-PA3-056-2023;
4. my April 2, 2026 PRA request seeking written accounting and preservation of rental-assistance records;
5. my May 21, 2026 clarification seeking payment ledgers, funding-source records, approval/authorization records, consent/ROI/source records, Amity/CRCD backup records, child/family-status records, and custodian-identification records.

Please also confirm whether the current PRA extensions and searches include records created before April 2, 2026 where those records are responsive by subject matter, including communications, logs, attachments, internal notes, source materials, funding confirmations, payment records, and custodian records.

My requested clarification is limited to records scope and preservation. I am not asking JCOD for legal advice, a narrative opinion, or a merits determination. I am asking JCOD to clarify whether its production will preserve the full February–May 2026 record chain and identify any responsive records held by JCOD or, if applicable, another custodian such as Amity Foundation, CRCD, LAHSA/HMIS, or another County office.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert Haab
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
[Quoted text hidden]

---

2 attachments

img-edf95e9b-5799-4752-ae1f-dc2ebdec81eb.png
33 KB

JCOD_CRCD_PTP_JCOD_Response_Packet_With_Exhibits_June2_2026.pdf
5.2 MB

JCOD PIO <PIO@jcod.lacounty.gov> Wed, Jun 3, 2026 at 2:04 PM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Dear Mr. Haab,

The CPRA only requires us to provide documents. If the documents exist, we will turn them over.

Your request for records pursuant to the California Public Records Act was assigned to our office. Your request does not ask for "identifiable" public records, but "information" in the form of interrogatories. The Public Records Act, Government Code, section 7922.530(a), does not require that a public entity create a

record in order to respond to a request for information. The Act only requires that certain non-exempt records already in existence be made available; it does not require the public entity to answer interrogatories.

Kindly clarify your request to describe identifiable records so that we may attempt to further assist you. Please note, your request is pending until further clarification is received. Feel free to contact me if you have any questions or concerns. Thank you for your time.

Best,

Avi Bernard
Public Information Officer
Justice Care and Opportunities Department
abernard@jcod.lacounty.gov
jcod.lacounty.gov

[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Fri, Jun 5, 2026 at 1:00 PM
To: JCOD PIO <PIO@jcod.lacounty.gov>

Dear Mr. Bernard,

Thank you for your June 3, 2026 response.

I understand JCOD's position that the CPRA requires production of existing, non-exempt records and does not require JCOD to create records or answer interrogatories. I am clarifying this as a request for identifiable existing records concerning PRA processing, extensions, tracking, and search scope.

This clarification is limited to whether JCOD's use of separate PRA tracks, later-dated extension letters, or updated PRA processing documents affected the search scope for records created before April 2, 2026.

Date range requested: February 18, 2026 to present, unless a record is directly tied to the March 13, 2026 CFCI / Amity / CRCD funding response or the later April / May PRA tracks.

Please produce the following existing records, treating each category as severable:

1. Records showing how JCOD assigned, logged, tracked, calendared, or processed my February 18, 2026, April 2, 2026, May 21, 2026, June 1, 2026, June 2, 2026, and June 3, 2026 PRA communications involving CFCI-PA3-056-2023, Amity Foundation, CRCD, Project Tipping Point, or rental-assistance records.

2. Records showing any internal tracking number, request number, log entry, case entry, assignment note, routing note, or tracking decision for the above PRA communications.

3. Records showing the reason JCOD treated any portion of the related PRA activity as separate PRA tracks, including any internal email, note, instruction, assignment record, or processing record.

4. Records showing the scope, date range, keywords, custodians, repositories, or search instructions used for PRA Request #3.

5. Records showing whether PRA Request #3 includes or excludes records created before April 2, 2026 where those records concern CFCI-PA3-056-2023, Amity Foundation, CRCD, Project Tipping Point, rental assistance, payment ledgers, funding-source records, approval records, consent/ROI/source records, or custodian-identification records.

6. Records showing whether JCOD cross-referenced the February 18, February 27, March 13, April 2, May 21, June 1, June 2, or June 3 PRA communications with one another.

7. Records supporting, calendaring, or explaining any extension letter or response deadline for PRA Request #3, including records identifying the request date, extension date, response deadline, and scope of records being searched.

8. Records showing whether the March 13, 2026 JCOD response regarding CFCI / Amity Foundation / CRCD, Program Area 3 / Youth Housing, and CFCI-PA3-056-2023 was included in the search universe for PRA Request #3 or any related PRA track.

9. Records identifying the JCOD staff, unit, custodian, program contact, or contractor contact assigned to search for records responsive to PRA Request #3.

10. Records identifying any known non-JCOD custodian, including Amity Foundation, CRCD, LAHSA/HMIS, another County department, or another office, if JCOD determined that responsive records are not in JCOD's possession.

This request is not asking JCOD to create a new record, answer interrogatories, provide legal advice, or make a merits determination. It asks only for existing records showing PRA tracking, extension use, search scope, cross-referencing, and whether later PRA processing narrowed or preserved the pre-April 2026 record chain.

If JCOD has no records responsive to a category, please state that for the category. If records are withheld, please identify the exemption claimed and produce any reasonably segregable non-exempt portions.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert Haab
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
[Quoted text hidden]

---

img-da50a7d0-bf33-4005-835e-fc7069c57c5d
33 KB

EXHIBIT F

CalVCB Application A26-10253806 / Relocation and Rental-Verification Submission Chain

Pages in source exhibit: 5

Preserves victim-support and relocation/rental verification lane and notes that W-9/ACH/payment records may be held by landlord or program custodians.

Use note: This exhibit is included for routing, preservation, custodian identification, cross-reference control, or disposition clarification. Inclusion is not a merits finding, charging request, or waiver of privacy, privilege, victim-rights, defenses, or remedies.

CalVCB Application A26-10253806 — Confirmation of Supporting Documents Submitted

6 messages

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Wed, May 27, 2026 at 6:24 PM
To: Victims CalVCB Online Access@Victims <CalVCBOnlineAccess@victims.ca.gov>

Hello,

I am writing to confirm that I submitted the requested supporting documents for CalVCB Application No. A26-10253806.

The documents relate to rental / relocation verification and the disputed housing-placement and payment-record chain involving 1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4, Los Angeles, CA 90002.

Please confirm receipt and let me know if CalVCB needs any additional verification, forms, landlord records, agency records, or payment documentation.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert Haab
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

Victims CalVCB Online Access@Victims <CalVCBOnlineAccess@victims.ca.gov> Fri, Jun 5, 2026 at 10:26 AM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Hello Kyle,

Thank you for contacting the California Victim Compensation Board (CalVCB).

It appears that you are missing the Relocation Expense Worksheet. Please complete the attached document and return for processing.

If you have additional questions or require more information, please call CalVCB Customer Care Section toll-free at 800-777-9229 or visit our website at https://victims.ca.gov/. Please have your application number available when contacting our office.

Best Regards,

Customer Care Analyst - AK

800-777-9229

CALIFORNIA
CalVCB
VICTIM COMPENSATION BOARD

From: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 27, 2026 6:25 PM
To: Victims CalVCB Online Access@Victims <CalVCBOnlineAccess@victims.ca.gov>
Subject: CalVCB Application A26-10253806 — Confirmation of Supporting Documents Submitted

Caution: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

[Quoted text hidden]

Relocation Expense Worksheet.pdf
207 KB

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Fri, Jun 5, 2026 at 3:37 PM
To: Victims CalVCB Online Access@Victims <CalVCBOnlineAccess@victims.ca.gov>, Jacqueline.Velazco@victims.ca.gov

Hello,

I am submitting the requested Relocation Expense Worksheet and lease/rental verification materials for CalVCB Application No. A26-10253806.

For clarity, I do not currently possess a recent or current landlord W-9. I am not representing that I have a current W-9 for the landlord/payee.

The documents I am submitting are limited to the Relocation Expense Worksheet, lease/rental verification, and available supporting documentation showing the rental housing expense. The lease/rental materials show $2,000 monthly rent and a $2,000 security deposit for 1551 3/4 E. 106th St., Los Angeles, CA 90002.

As previously explained, any landlord W-9, ACH authorization, payment-processing record, rental-assistance record, or updated payee verification may be held by the landlord, CRCD / Project Tipping Point, Amity Foundation, JCOD / CFCI, or another records custodian involved in the rental-assistance/payment chain. Because the landlord is an adverse party in the related unlawful-detainer matter, I am requesting that CalVCB advise whether it needs a direct agency request, landlord verification form, subpoena, release, or other formal process for obtaining a current W-9 or payment record from the proper custodian.

I am submitting the records I currently have so CalVCB can continue processing the relocation worksheet. Please let me know if a current W-9 is specifically required and whether CalVCB can request it directly from the landlord or the rental-assistance records custodian.
[Quoted text hidden]

3 attachments

image001.jpg
685 KB

CalVCB_A26-10253806_Lease_Rental_Verification_HIGHLIGHTED.pdf
646 KB

1551 34.pdf
4.5 MB

Victims CalVCB Online Access@Victims <CalVCBOnlineAccess@victims.ca.gov> Wed, Jun 10, 2026 at 7:35 AM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

This email is not monitored daily please send all your emails to info@victims.ca.gov thank you.

Please also resend your documents to info@victims.ca.gov

Please do not reply to this email. Emails can only be sent from this email address. To send emails to CalVCB, please use info@victims.ca.gov Please include your application number when sending documents to CalVCB.

Thank you for using CalVCB,

California Victim Compensation Board

Office: 800-777-9229 **|** Fax: 866-902-8669

Email: info@victims.ca.gov

Website: CalVCB - CA Victim Compensation Board

[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Wed, Jun 10, 2026 at 8:05 AM
To: Victims CalVCB Online Access@Victims <CalVCBOnlineAccess@victims.ca.gov>, info@victims.ca.gov

Im fowarding this message becuase I was instructed to send regular correspondence to info@victims.ca.gov so im fowarding this out of Compliance please confirm upon reciept
[Quoted text hidden]

3 attachments

- **image001.png**
  32 KB
- **CalVCB_A26-10253806_Lease_Rental_Verification_HIGHLIGHTED (1).pdf**
  646 KB
- **1551 34 (6).pdf**
  4.5 MB

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Fri, Jun 12, 2026 at 3:40 PM
To: Victims CalVCB Online Access@Victims <CalVCBOnlineAccess@victims.ca.gov>, info@victims.ca.gov

CalVCB

Application ID: A25-10253806

This page must be signed and dated.

Section 12: Information Release

I agree that a photocopy or fax of this signed form is as valid as the original, and any signature giving permission for the release of an applicable information.

I agree that CalVCB or its representatives may disclose disabilities from the concerned offenders or any matter or financial incomes paid to me by CalVCB and that by doing this application it have authorized use of information in this application and subsequent claim filed to prevent disclosure from the concerned offender.

In order to verify or process this application, I agree that it and to or its representatives may provide information about this claim above, and the information I presented on this application, to any representative named on this application, governmental agency, or health care provider or other provider of services, and may pay the provider directly if payment of these services is approved.

I agree that I may revoke this authorization at any time. The revocation must be in writing. The revocation will take effect when CalVCB receives it, but it may be received ineligible for CalVCB benefits. Once that declaration is received by CalVCB, however, no healthcare provider may withhold treatment, adjustment, assessment or eligibility but specialties are whether I might this authorization. I am entitled to a copy of this authorization (except in limited circumstances). I agree that a photocopy declaration under this authorization may be reimbursed by the person or organization that sent this authorization may no longer be protected by federal or state law.

I agree that the authorization and agreements listed will expire ten (10) years after the date of my signing this form.

Signed: [signature] Date: 6/12/2026

(Parent or guardian must sign if victim is a minor or incapacitated.)

Section 13: My Agreement to the California Victim Compensation Board

As required by California law, I will contact and notify the California Victim Compensation Board (CalVCB) if I or anyone on my behalf receives any payments from the offender, a civil lawsuit, an insurance policy, or any other government or private entity, for losses suffered as a direct result of the crime that was the basis for receipt of benefits from CalVCB, in the amount of the total benefits granted by CalVCB. I understand I may be responsible for repaying CalVCB any amount for which it is later determined that I was not eligible. I will notify CalVCB if I hire an attorney or represent me in any action related to this crime or if I pursue any action on my own.

Any money I receive from CalVCB for an emergency relocation expenses, improving home security, or for modifying a home or vehicle for a disabled victim will be used only for those purposes. If I am a victim of domestic violence receiving emergency relocation expenses, I will not tell the Offender my home address and will not allow the Offender on the premises at any time. If I have a restraining order against the offender.

In the event that I am compensated for any recovery from CalVCB and that failure of it I otherwise subsequently receive compensation for the same loss on my behalf from the perpetrator (including any monies received through a restitution order) or from any other source, I hereby assign to the Victim Compensation Board any and all rights to such duplicate compensation.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that all the information I have provided is true, correct and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand that I may be found to be ineligible for benefits, and that action may be taken to recover benefits I receive if I provide information that is false, intentionally incomplete, or misleading.

Signed: [signature] Date: 6/12/2026

(Parent or guardian must sign if victim is a minor or is age-exited. County social workers, see section 13a.)

Printed Name:

Section 13a: For County Social Workers Only

As required by California law, I will contact and inform the California Victim Compensation Board (CalVCB) if I or the victim receives any payments from the offender, a civil lawsuit, an insurance policy, or any other government or private entity, for losses suffered as a direct result of the crime that was the basis for receipt of benefits from CalVCB.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that all the information I have provided is true, correct and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand that the claimant may be found to be ineligible for benefits, and that action may be taken to recover benefits the claimant received if the claimant provided information that is false, intentionally incomplete, or misleading.

Signed: Date:

Printed Name:

Mail completed application to:

California Victim Compensation Board
PO Box 3036, Sacramento, CA 95812-3036

or

deliver to your local Victim Witness Assistance Center

For more information call:

1-800-777-9229

Hearing impaired, please call the California Relay Service (TTY)

victims.ca.gov | Helping California Crime Victims Since 1965

State of California
Victim Compensation Board
Form VCGB-VDP-005 (Rev. 10/2021) (ENG)
ARC/Public Access

05116

A25-10253806

im sending the signed agreement and am waiting further instructions correct me if wrong but my understanding im waiting from direction from Cal VCB

[Quoted text hidden]

EXHIBIT G

DOL OIG FOIA 2026067 FOIA/Privacy Act Routing Confirmation

Pages in source exhibit: 1

Confirms DOL OIG FOIA/PA routing through FOIA.PrivacyAct@oig.dol.gov for DOL OIG FOIA No. 2026067.

Use note: This exhibit is included for routing, preservation, custodian identification, cross-reference control, or disposition clarification. Inclusion is not a merits finding, charging request, or waiver of privacy, privilege, victim-rights, defenses, or remedies.

Automatic reply: [External] Re: OGIS Assistance Request – DOL OIG FOIA 2026067 / Cross-Reference and FOIA Process Clarification

2 messages

Coen, Michael - OIG <Coen.Michael@oig.dol.gov> Sat, Jun 13, 2026 at 4:24 AM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Thank you for your e-mail. I am currently out of the office and will return Monday, June 15, 2026. Please submit all FOIA/PA related inquiries to FOIA.PrivacyAct@oig.dol.gov and I will respond upon my return.

v/r

Michael Coen

Government Information Specialist

Office of Inspector General

U.S. Department of Labor

Telephone: 202-285-9260

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Mon, Jun 15, 2026 at 11:59 AM
To: Coen, Michael - OIG <Coen.Michael@oig.dol.gov>, FOIA.PrivacyAct@oig.dol.gov

To DOL OIG FOIA/PA Office:

I am forwarding this follow-up to FOIA.PrivacyAct@oig.dol.gov as instructed by Michael Coen's June 13, 2026 automatic reply regarding FOIA/PA inquiries. Please associate this correspondence with DOL OIG FOIA No. 2026067 and any related correspondence-control, OGIS, White House referral, ETA/WIOA/AJCC, or component-routing records.

[Quoted text hidden]

EXHIBIT H

FPPC Complaint COM-06102026-02353 Rejection Correspondence

Pages in source exhibit: 1

Preserves FPPC rejection as a jurisdiction/disposition marker, not a global merits finding on CRCD/PTP source records.

Use note: This exhibit is included for routing, preservation, custodian identification, cross-reference control, or disposition clarification. Inclusion is not a merits finding, charging request, or waiver of privacy, privilege, victim-rights, defenses, or remedies.

Rejection Letter re: FPPC Complaint No. COM-06102026-02353; Mark Wilson, Coalition for Responsible Community Development

1 message

Katelyn Rader <krader@fppc.ca.gov> Mon, Jun 15, 2026 at 3:02 PM
To: kyle.dietz99@gmail.com <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Dear Kyle Haab,

Please see attached a letter from the Fair Political Practices Commission (FPPC) to you, regarding the rejection of a sworn complaint you submitted.

Thank you,
Katelyn

Katelyn J. Rader
Staff Services Analyst, Enforcement Division
FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION
1102 Q Street, Suite 3050, Sacramento, CA 95811
krader@fppc.ca.gov | +1 279-237-5997 | www.fppc.ca.gov

Confidentiality Notice: *This email message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any review, use, disclosure, or distribution not authorized by the intended recipient(s) is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.*

Rejection Letter re FPPC Complaint No. COM-06102026-02353; Mark Wilson, Coalition for Responsible Community Development.pdf
540 KB

EXHIBIT I

CWDB June 12 Response to Public-Records Requests / Workforce Custody Clarification

Pages in source exhibit: 6

Shows CWDB has no participant-level CRCD/PTP records and identifies local LWDA/operator records and EDD Compliance Review Office as the practical WIOA grievance route.

Use note: This exhibit is included for routing, preservation, custodian identification, cross-reference control, or disposition clarification. Inclusion is not a merits finding, charging request, or waiver of privacy, privilege, victim-rights, defenses, or remedies.

CWDB Response to Public Record Requests Received on 5/22/26 & 6/10/26

1 message

CWDB Legal <Legal@cwdb.ca.gov> Fri, Jun 12, 2026 at 10:38 AM
To: kyle.dietz99@gmail.com <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Kyle Robert Haab

kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

Hi Kyle,

On May 22, 2026, the California Workforce Development Board ("CWDB") received the following public record request:

"Dear California Workforce Development Board Public Records / Legal Unit,

I am submitting this California Public Records Act request and custodian-clarification request regarding Coalition for Responsible Community Development (CRCD), Project Tipping Point (PTP), Vernon-Central/LATTC WorkSource Center, City of Los Angeles LWDA / EWDD routing, and related workforce-development complaint records.

Date range requested: January 1, 2023 to present.

County DEO confirmed in writing that CRCD / Vernon-Central-LATTC WorkSource is not under Los Angeles County LWDA jurisdiction and falls under the City of Los Angeles LWDA. I later requested written routing clarification because City/EWDD-related responses have directed me back to CRCD / Latea Davis, while CRCD is the disputed provider whose records, consent authority, grievance process, and Project Tipping Point conduct are at issue. County DEO did not provide a specific independent City referral number, custodian determination, or grievance determination. It directed me to CRCD contact information and general EWDD Equal Opportunity Compliance Officer information.

DOL OIG FOIA No. 2026067 is also relevant. On May 1, 2026, DOL OIG stated in writing that it has "an open law enforcement investigation involving the records" I requested and withheld the records in full under FOIA Exemption 7(A), which applies where disclosure could interfere with law-enforcement proceedings or pending investigations. I am not asking CWDB to interfere with DOL OIG. I am asking CWDB to preserve and identify the City LWDA / EWDD / CRCD / WorkSource records lane while overlapping federal law-enforcement processing remains open.

I am also attaching a limited source-record packet showing why the workforce records lane matters. That packet requests the missing 2023 consent / ROI / authorization source record and includes selected exhibits showing: CRCD's assertion that a 2023 CRCD program sign-up supplied the basis for later communication; HMIS/Ruth's Place records; housing-assistance workflow forms; downstream ACH, W-9, landlord-verification, and lease records; a CRCD landlord email referencing a "couple with a young kid"; and PTP application material reflecting child/family-status information. This is provided only to explain the record-custody issue, not to ask CWDB to decide family-law, criminal, housing-code, HMIS, or civil-liability merits.

I am not asking CWDB to decide housing, family-law, criminal, HMIS, CFCI, or civil-liability issues. I am asking CWDB to search for records and identify the correct state-supervised workforce-development route when County DEO says "City LWDA," City/EWDD redirects to CRCD, and CRCD is the provider being complained about.

Relevant identifiers:

- Coalition for Responsible Community Development / CRCD

- Project Tipping Point / PTP

- Vernon-Central/LATTC WorkSource Center

- City of Los Angeles LWDA / EWDD

- Richard Cheng / richard.cheng@lacity.org

- Latea Davis / CRCD

- PTP participant ID: 1233369910

- CFCI-PA3-056-2023

- Amity Foundation

- LACCD / LATTC Student ID: 900855235

- County Fraud / OCI: 2025-23289 and 2026-23965

- HMIS ID: 8F8CD9D8B

- LAHSA Ref.: 2526KH-A

- DOL OIG FOIA No. 2026067

- Federal case: CV26-802-UA / 2:26-cv-00802-JFW-AS

Please produce records sufficient to show:

1. Whether CWDB has records identifying CRCD as a workforce-development provider, subrecipient, contractor, AJCC partner, WorkSource partner, or City LWDA-related entity;

2. Whether CWDB has records involving CRCD / Project Tipping Point, Vernon-Central/LATTC WorkSource, education/workforce services, student navigation, college persistence, supportive services, or rental assistance tied to education/workforce participation;

3. Whether CWDB has records identifying the proper City LWDA / EWDD custodian for complaints involving CRCD / Project Tipping Point when the complaint is against CRCD itself;

4. Whether a City LWDA / EWDD contact may redirect a participant-records, grievance, EO/ADA, WIOA/AJCC, or records-access issue back to CRCD without providing an independent grievance route, custodian determination, or written records path;

5. Whether CWDB has policies, guidance, monitoring records, corrective-action records, complaint procedures, referral procedures, or oversight records governing participant records, consent, privacy, supportive services, education/workforce documentation, and subrecipient/vendor records for Local Workforce Development Areas;

6. Whether CWDB has records involving CRCD, Project Tipping Point, LACCD/LATTC, Amity Foundation, CFCI-PA3-056-2023, or education/workforce-related rental assistance.

If CWDB does not maintain responsive records, please identify the proper custodian, including whether the request should be directed to City of Los Angeles LWDA, EWDD, CRCD, LACCD/LATTC, DOL, or another workforce-development entity.

Please preserve this as a routing and records-custody issue because County DEO confirmed City LWDA jurisdiction, but the City/EWDD/CRCD route has not produced an independent written custodian, grievance, EO/ADA, WIOA/AJCC, or records-access determination.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert Haab

1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4

Los Angeles, CA 90002

657-557-4459

kyle.dietz99@gmail.com"

On June 10, 2026, CWDB received the following supplemental request:

"Dear CWDB Records / Legal Unit,

I am submitting this supplemental routing follow-up regarding my pending May 22, 2026 CPRA request concerning CRCD / Project Tipping Point, Vernon-Central / LATTC WorkSource, City LWDA / EWDD routing, WIOA/AJCC/One-Stop records, supportive services, rental assistance, participant-record access, consent/ROI, grievance, EO/ADA, and records-custody issues.

CWDB Legal previously acknowledged receipt of my request and invoked a statutory extension, stating that CWDB would respond on or before June 15, 2026.

I am supplementing the record because CareerOneStop has now responded that each state has its own workforce system and that, in California, the relevant state system is LWDA. I am therefore asking CWDB/LWDA to preserve and clarify the proper California workforce-system routing path rather than redirecting the matter back to CRCD, because CRCD is the disputed provider whose records, consent authority, grievance process, and Project Tipping Point conduct are at issue.

This supplemental follow-up concerns the same identifiers previously provided:

- CRCD / Coalition for Responsible Community Development

- Project Tipping Point / PTP 1233369910

- Vernon-Central / LATTC WorkSource Center

- City of Los Angeles LWDA / EWDD

- WIOA / AJCC / One-Stop / WorkSource records

- LACCD / LATTC

- Amity Foundation

- CFCI-PA3-056-2023

- HMIS / Clarity ID 8F8CD9D8B

- LAHSA Ref. 2526KH-A

- County Fraud / OCI 2025-23289 and 2026-23965

- DOL OIG FOIA No. 2026067

- Related education, supportive-service, rental-assistance, consent, ROI, grievance, ADA/EO, and records-access issues

I am not asking CWDB to interfere with DOL OIG, to decide any criminal issue, or to decide housing, family-law, HMIS, or civil-liability merits. I am asking CWDB to identify and preserve the proper state-supervised workforce-development records lane when CareerOneStop has pointed the matter to California LWDA, County DEO previously pointed to City LWDA, City/EWDD-related routing has directed me back to CRCD, and CRCD is the provider whose records and grievance process are disputed.

Please confirm:

1. Whether CWDB/LWDA is the correct state route for this WIOA/AJCC/WorkSource/EWDD/CRCD/PTP records-custody issue;

2. Whether CWDB will include the CareerOneStop LWDA routing response in its pending response to my May 22, 2026 CPRA request;

3. Whether the proper custodian is CWDB, LWDA, City of Los Angeles EWDD / City LWDA, CRCD, LACCD/LATTC, or another workforce-system entity;

4. Whether a participant-records, grievance, EO/ADA, WIOA/AJCC, or records-access issue may be routed back only to CRCD when CRCD is the disputed provider;

5. What independent grievance, appeal, ADA/EO, participant-record, and records-request process applies to CRCD / Project Tipping Point / Vernon-Central-LATTC WorkSource records;

6. Whether CWDB/LWDA will preserve all routing notes, interagency consultation records, referral records, emails, and custodian-determination records connected to this request.

Please preserve this email as a supplement to the pending CPRA request and include it in any final response or custodian-routing determination.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert David Haab

1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4

Los Angeles, CA 90002

657-557-4459

kyle.dietz99@gmail.com"

Thank you for your public records requests received by the California Workforce Development Board on May 22, 2026 and June 10, 2026, and for your patience while we completed our review. This letter responds to both submissions.

Scope of This Response

The California Public Records Act requires CWDB to search for and produce existing records that are responsive to a request that reasonably describes identifiable documents in CWDB's possession. The Act does not require CWDB to answer questions, make jurisdictional or legal determinations, or produce records held by other agencies or organizations. Several aspects of your requests, including questions about which agency is the correct custodian, whether a participant may be redirected to a disputed provider, and what independent grievance routes apply to specific providers, ask CWDB to make determinations of that kind rather than to produce records. CWDB is not able to respond to those aspects of your requests under the Act, but we have done our best below to provide practical assistance where we can.

Search Conducted

Upon receipt of your requests, CWDB conducted a diligent search of its records systems, files, and staff most likely to possess records responsive to the subjects you identified. That search encompassed records related to the Coalition for Responsible Community Development (CRCD), Project Tipping Point (PTP), the Vernon-Central/LATTC WorkSource Center, the City of Los Angeles Local Workforce Development Area (LWDA), the Employment and Economic Development Department (EWDD), and the other entities, individuals, and case identifiers listed in your requests.

Requests 1, 2, 3, 4, and 6 — Entity-Specific and Participant-Level Records

CWDB's search found no records in its possession responsive to your requests for records identifying or involving CRCD, Project Tipping Point, the Vernon-Central/LATTC WorkSource Center, LACCD/LATTC, Amity Foundation, CFCI-PA3-056-2023, or any of the other specific entities, individuals, or case identifiers listed in your requests, including PTP participant ID 1233369910, HMIS ID 8F8CD9D8B, LAHSA Ref. 2526KH-A, and County OCI case numbers 2025-23289 and 2026-23965.

CWDB does not possess or maintain participant-level records, consent or authorization records, grievance files, rental assistance records, or operational records for Local Workforce Development Areas, WorkSource Centers, or their subrecipients and contractors. Records of that nature are held at the local level by the relevant LWDA and its operators, not by CWDB.

Request 5 — CWDB Policies, Guidance, and Oversight Records

CWDB's search identified responsive records in this category. CWDB co-develops and issues policy guidance documents called Workforce Services Directives, which convey legal expectations and procedural requirements to Local Workforce Development Boards governing the administration of programs under the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA). These directives constitute CWDB's primary policy and guidance records governing Local Workforce Development Areas and their subrecipients and partners.

The directive most directly relevant to the concerns you have described is Workforce Services Directive WSD18-05, WIOA Grievance and Complaint Resolution Procedures (September 4, 2018), a copy of which is attached to this letter as a PDF. WSD18-05 establishes the procedures that all WIOA Title I recipients and subrecipients, including local area operators and their subrecipients such as WorkSource Center operators, must follow in receiving, hearing, and resolving grievances and complaints from participants and other interested parties alleging violations of WIOA Title I requirements. Among other things, it sets out participant rights, local-level hearing requirements, timelines for decisions, and the process for appealing a local-level decision to the state.

The complete library of publicly available Workforce Services Directives, which includes additional policy and guidance documents governing a range of WIOA program areas, is available at the following link: Workforce Services Directives. Those directives represent the full body of CWDB's publicly available policy and guidance records responsive to Request 5.

Please note that compliance monitoring of Local Workforce Development Boards, including oversight of their subrecipients, is administered by the California Employment Development Department (EDD), not by CWDB. Records related to monitoring, corrective action, or compliance determinations involving specific Local Boards or their operators would be held by EDD, not CWDB, and CWDB's search did not locate any such records in its own possession.

Custodian Identification and Practical Assistance

While CWDB is not in a position to make a binding jurisdictional determination on your behalf, we can offer the following practical guidance to assist you in identifying the appropriate records path.

As described in the attached WSD18-05, complaints and grievances by WIOA Title I participants alleging violations by a local area operator or its subrecipients are to be filed at the local level in the first instance. If a participant is dissatisfied with the outcome of the local-level process, or if an appeal or independent state-level review is sought, appeals and requests for state review are submitted to EDD's Compliance Review Office at the following address:

Chief, Compliance Review Office, MIC 22-M

Employment Development Department

P.O. Box 826880

Sacramento, CA 94280-0001

The Compliance Review Office is the appropriate state-level contact for grievance and complaint matters of the type you have described, including situations where a participant has a concern about a WorkSource Center operator and is seeking an independent review outside of that operator's own process.

For records held at the City of Los Angeles LWDA level, the appropriate contact is the City of Los Angeles Economic and Workforce Development Department (EWDD), which administers the City LWDA.

Supplemental Request — June 10, 2026

CWDB has treated your June 10, 2026 supplemental communication as part of the same records request, and this response addresses both submissions together. The search described above encompassed the subjects identified in your supplemental request. To the extent the supplemental request asks CWDB to confirm routing determinations made by CareerOneStop or other agencies, or to make independent jurisdictional findings, those requests fall outside the scope of what the Act requires CWDB to provide, as noted above. CWDB has no additional records responsive to the supplemental request beyond what is addressed in this letter.

Record Preservation

CWDB will retain its own records in accordance with applicable California law and state records retention requirements. CWDB notes that you have referenced an open federal law enforcement investigation (DOL OIG FOIA No. 2026067) and a pending federal civil matter (2:26-cv-00802-JFW-AS), and CWDB will take appropriate steps consistent with its legal obligations with respect to any records in its possession potentially relevant to those matters.

If you have any questions about this response, you can contact CWDB Legal at Legal@cwdb.ca.gov.

---

wsd18-05.pdf
258 KB

EXHIBIT J

WSD18-05 - WIOA Grievance and Complaint Resolution Procedures

Pages in source exhibit: 12

Provides WIOA Title I local, state, and federal grievance timelines, hearing, appeal, and EDD review process.

Use note: This exhibit is included for routing, preservation, custodian identification, cross-reference control, or disposition clarification. Inclusion is not a merits finding, charging request, or waiver of privacy, privilege, victim-rights, defenses, or remedies.

Directive

Date: September 4, 2018 **Number:** WSD18-05

WIOA Grievance and Complaint Resolution Procedures

Executive Summary

This policy provides the guidance and establishes the procedures regarding grievances and complaints alleging noncriminal violations of Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA) Title I requirements. This policy applies to all WIOA Title I recipients and subrecipients, and is effective immediately.

This policy contains some state-imposed requirements. All state-imposed requirements are indicated by ***bold, italic*** type.

This Directive finalizes Workforce Services Draft Directive *WIOA Grievance and Complaint Resolution Procedures* (WSDD-176), issued for comment on February 14, 2018. The Workforce Development Community submitted 10 comments during the draft comment period. A summary of comments, including all changes, is provided as attachment to the Directive.

This policy supersedes Workforce Services Directive *Grievance and Complaint Procedures* (WSD08-4), dated September 3, 2008. Retain this Directive until further notice.

References

- WIOA (Public Law 113-128) Section 181(c)
- Title 20 *Code of Federal Regulations* (CFR) Sections 683.600 and 683.610
- Title 29 CFR Section 38.9
- Title 22 *California Code of Regulations* (CCR) Division 1, Subdivision 2, Chapter 2, Sections 5050 – 5070

Background

Under WIOA Section 181(c), each state, Local Workforce Development Area (Local Area), and direct recipient of Title I funding is required to establish and maintain procedures for participants and other interested parties to file grievances and complaints alleging violations of WIOA Title I requirements. These procedures must be made available to all relevant parties

within the Local Area, including America's Job Center of California℠ (AJCC) partners, service providers, and program participants.

This Directive contains guidance regarding the receipt, hearing, resolution, and appeals process of WIOA Title I grievances and complaints at the local, state, and federal level in accordance with Title 20 CFR Sections 683.600 and 683.610. These procedures apply only to programmatic complaints alleging violations of WIOA Title I requirements in the operation/administration of WIOA programs and activities. For information on complaints alleging discrimination under WIOA Section 188 and/or Title 29 CFR Part 38, see Workforce Services Directive *Nondiscrimination and Equal Opportunity Procedures* (WSD17-01). For information on complaints alleging criminal fraud, waste, abuse, or other criminal activity, see Workforce Services Directive *Incident Reporting* (WSD24-03). Lastly, for appeals of administrative or financial sanction(s) on a Local Area resulting from monitoring, investigations, or audits, see *Audit Resolution* (WSD22-06).

Policy and Procedures

General Requirements

The procedures set forth in this Directive must be used by all Local Areas in the development of their local grievance, complaint, and hearing procedures, and govern the treatment and handling of all grievances or complaints connected with WIOA Title I grant recipients and subrecipients.

Grievances or complaints must be filed within one year of the alleged violation. All grievances, complaints, amendments, and withdrawals must be in writing. All persons filing grievances or complaints shall be free from restraint, coercion, reprisal, and discrimination.

Furthermore, all recipients and subrecipients of WIOA Title I funds must make reasonable efforts to ensure their policies and corresponding information will be understood by affected participants and individuals, including youth and individuals with limited English proficiency. These efforts must comply with the language requirements of Title 29 CFR Section 38.9.

WIOA Title I Governor's Discretionary and Rapid Response subrecipients (except Local Areas) are not required to develop procedures for responding to grievances and complaints from participants and interested parties. Rather, these subrecipients must adopt the Employment Development Department's (EDD) state level grievance and complaint procedures, and must designate an individual who will be responsible for publishing the procedures.

Definitions

Complainant – any participant or other interested or personally affected party alleging a noncriminal violation of WIOA Title I requirements.

Complaint file – a file that is maintained in a central location within each office, which includes a copy of each complaint filed along with pertinent documentation.

Compliance Review Office – the organization within the EDD that oversees the administrative resolution of WIOA programmatic complaints.

Days – consecutive calendar days, including weekends and holidays.

Grievance or complaint – a written expression by a party alleging a violation of WIOA Title I, regulations promulgated under WIOA, recipient grants, subgrants, or other specific agreements under WIOA.

Hearing Officer – an impartial person or group of persons that shall preside at a hearing on a grievance or complaint.

Local Area – the Local Area administrative entity and its subrecipients to whom the administrative entity has delegated the grievance and complaint resolution process.

Participant – an individual who has been determined to be eligible to participate in and who is receiving services under a program authorized under WIOA.

Participant case file – either a hard copy or an electronic file.

Recipient – an entity to which a WIOA grant is awarded directly from the U.S. Department of Labor (DOL) to carry out a program under WIOA Title I.

Service Provider – a public agency, private nonprofit organization, or private-for-profit entity that delivers educational, training, employment, or supportive services to WIOA participants.

State Review Panel – an entity within the EDD composed of representatives from EDD's Compliance Review Office, Legal Office, and Director's Office. This panel shall review and approve or disapprove decisions and recommendations regarding grievances or complaints.

Subrecipient – an entity to which a recipient awards funds and is accountable to the recipient (or higher tier subrecipient) for the use of the funds provided.

Local Level Grievance and Complaint Resolution Procedures

Local Areas must establish procedures for resolving programmatic grievances and complaints alleging violations of WIOA Title I. These local procedures are intended to resolve issues related to WIOA Title I grant programs operated by the Local Area's administrative entity or its subrecipients. The local procedures must meet the following criteria:

1. Notice to Affected Parties

   Local Areas must provide a copy of the local grievance and complaint procedures to each participant. These procedures must include the following:

   - Notification that the participant has the right to file a grievance or complaint at any time within one year of the alleged violation.
   - Instructions and timelines for filing a grievance or complaint.
   - Notification that the participant has the right to receive technical assistance from the Local Area in filing the grievance or complaint.
   - Notification that the participant may file an appeal or request a separate review by EDD if they experience an incident of restraint, coercion, or reprisal as a result of filing a complaint.

   The local grievance and complaint procedures must be posted in a public location and made available to any interested parties or members of the public.

   Where a hard copy case file is maintained, staff must include a signed copy of an acknowledgement of receipt of the local grievance and complaint procedures in each participant's case file. Where an electronic case file is maintained, staff must either: (1) enter a case note indicating that this notification did occur, the date of the notification, and the name of the staff person who provided it, or (2) scan a signed copy of an acknowledgement of receipt of the local grievance and complaint procedures and attach it to the participant's case file.

   Local Areas have the responsibility to provide technical assistance to complainants, including those filing grievances or complaints against the Local Area. Technical assistance includes, but is not limited to, providing instructions on how to file a complaint, and providing copies of relevant documents such as the WIOA, federal regulations, state laws and regulations, local procedures, and/or contracts. This does not require Local Areas to violate any rule of confidentiality or provide legal advice.

2. Filing a Grievance or Complaint

   The official filing date of a grievance or complaint is the date it is received by the Local Area, AJCC partner, service provider, or subrecipient. The filing shall be considered a request for a hearing and the Local Area shall issue a written decision within 60 days of

the official filing date. The Local Area shall send a copy of the grievance or complaint to the respondent.

The grievance or complaint must be in writing, signed, and dated by the complainant. The Local Area should obtain the following information for all complaints. However, the absence of any of the requested information shall not be used as a basis for dismissing the grievance or complaint:

- Full name, telephone number, and mailing address of the complainant and respondent.
- A clear and concise statement of the facts and dates describing the alleged violation.
- The provision(s) of WIOA, federal regulations, grant, or other agreements under WIOA believed to have been violated.
- Grievances or complaints against individuals, including staff or participants, shall indicate how those individuals did not comply with WIOA law, regulation, or contract.
- The remedy sought by the complainant.

A grievance or complaint may be amended to correct technical deficiencies at any time up to the start of the hearing. Grievances or complaints may not be amended to add new issues unless the complainant withdraws and resubmits the complaint. However, the one year time period in which a grievance or complaint may be filed is not extended for grievances or complaints refiled with amendments. Grievances or complaints may be withdrawn at any time prior to the issuance of the hearing officer's decision***.***

3. Informal Resolution

The Local Area shall notify the complainant and respondent of the opportunity for an informal resolution. Respondents must make a good faith effort to resolve all grievances or complaints prior to the scheduled hearing. Failure on the part of either the complainant or respondent to exert a good faith effort shall not constitute a basis for dismissing a grievance or complaint, nor shall this be considered a part of the facts to be judged during the resolution process. The Local Area must assure that all grievances or complaints not resolved informally or not withdrawn are given a hearing, regardless of the grievance or complaint's apparent merit or lack of merit.

If a complainant and respondent are able to reach an informal resolution, a notice of resolution must be sent to the complainant and entered into the complaint file. If the informal resolution leads to an impasse between the complainant and respondent, the complainant may choose to not proceed to a hearing. If this occurs, a notice of impasse must be sent to the complainant and entered into the complaint file. In the event of resolution or impasse, the Local Area must request the complainant to provide a written withdrawal of the complaint within 10 days of receipt of the notice of resolution or impasse.

4. Local Level Hearing

Hearings on any grievance or complaint shall be conducted within 30 days of the filing date of the grievance or complaint:

a. Notice of Hearing

The Local Area must notify the complainant and respondent in writing of the hearing at least 10 days prior to the date of the hearing. The 10-day notice period may be shortened with written consent from both parties. The notice shall be in writing and contain the following information:

- The date of the notice, name of complainant, and the name of the party against whom the grievance is filed.
- The date, time, and location of the hearing.
- A statement of the alleged violation(s). The statement must accurately reflect the content of the grievance or complaint as submitted by the complainant. However, clarifying notes may be added to assure that the grievance or complaint is addressed accurately.
- The name, address, and telephone number of the contact person issuing the notice.

b. Conduct of Hearing

An impartial hearing officer shall conduct the hearing. ***All members of the Local Workforce Development Board and the local Chief Elected Official(s) are considered interested parties and cannot conduct an impartial hearing***. The state suggests the Local Area seek impartial hearing officers from among the staff of legal offices or personnel departments of local municipalities or counties that will not be directly affected by, or will not implement the final resolution of, a specific grievance or complaint.

The hearing must be conducted in an informal manner and not be bound by strict rules of evidence. All hearings must follow any applicable procedures established by the Local Area. Both parties have the right to be represented at their own cost, present written and oral testimony, call and question witnesses, and request and examine records and documents relevant to the issues. The hearing must be recorded electronically or by a court reporter.

c. Decision of Hearing

The hearing officer shall provide a written decision to the Local Area. The Local Area shall mail the written decision to both parties by first class mail no later than 60 days after the filing date of the grievance or complaint. The decision shall contain the following information:

- The names of the parties involved.

- A statement of the alleged violation(s) and related issues.
- A statement of the facts.
- The hearing officer's decision and reasoning.
- A statement of the corrective action or remedies for violations, if any, to be implemented.
- A notice of the right of either party to request an appeal of the decision by the State Review Panel within 10 days of receipt of the decision.

5. Local Level Appeal

   If a complainant does not receive a decision within 60 days of the filing date of the grievance or complaint, or receives an adverse decision, the complainant has the right to file an appeal with the state. See the subsection below entitled *Appeals of Local Level Decisions or Requests for EDD Review*.

State Level Grievance and Complaint Resolution Procedures

As required under Title 20 CFR Section 683.600(d), the state must establish procedures for resolving appeals of decisions issued at the local level, grievances or complaints regarding statewide workforce programs, and grievances or complaints alleging state violations of WIOA Title I requirements.

Appeals of Local Level Decisions or Requests for EDD Review

If the Local Area has issued an adverse decision or failed to follow the procedures in this Directive, the complainant may file an appeal with the state. Additionally, if the Local Area has not issued a decision within the 60 day time limit, or if there has been any incident(s) of restraint, coercion, or reprisal at the local level as a result of filing a grievance or complaint, the complainant may file a request for EDD review.

The appeal or request for EDD review must be in writing, signed, and dated by the complainant. The state will attempt to obtain the following information. However, the absence of any of the requested information will not be used as a basis for dismissing the appeal or request for EDD review.

- The full name, telephone number, and mailing address of the complainant and the Local Area's administrative entity.
- A statement of the basis of the appeal or request for EDD review.
- Copies of relevant documents, such as the complaint filed with the Local Area and their decision, if any was received.

Appeals must be filed or postmarked within 10 days from the date on which the complainant received an adverse decision from the Local Area. Requests for EDD review must be filed or postmarked within 15 days from either of the following:

- The date on which a complainant should have received a decision regarding a locally filed complainant, which is defined as five days from the date the decision was due.
- The date on which an instance of restraint, coercion, or reprisal was alleged to have occurred as a result of filing the complaint.

Complainants must submit appeals or requests for EDD review to the following address:

Chief, Compliance Review Office, MIC 22-M
Employment Development Department
P.O. Box 826880
Sacramento, CA 94280-0001

If an evidentiary hearing was held at the local level, the Compliance Review Office shall request the record of the hearing from the Local Area and the State Review Panel shall review the record without scheduling an additional hearing. The Local Area is to provide written transcripts of any audio or visual recordings of the hearings via overnight mail. Within 10 days of receipt of the grievance or complaint, the Compliance Review Office shall notify the complainant and respondent of the opportunity for an informal resolution and proceed with the informal resolution process.

If an evidentiary hearing was not held at the local level, the Compliance Review Office shall instruct the Local Area to hold a hearing within 30 days of receipt of the appeal or request for EDD review. If the Local Area refuses to hold a hearing within the required timeframe, the EDD shall schedule an evidentiary hearing before an impartial hearing officer within the 30 day timeframe.

State Level Grievances and Complaints

All grievances or complaints alleging noncriminal, state violations of WIOA Title I requirements, or grievances or complaints by individuals or interested parties affected by statewide workforce programs shall be filed in writing with the Chief of the Compliance Review Office. The official filing date of the grievance or complaint is the date it is received by the Compliance Review Office. The filing shall be considered a request for a hearing and the State Review Panel shall issue a written decision within 60 days of the official filing date. The Compliance Review Office shall send a copy of the grievance or complaint to the respondent.

The grievance or complaint must be in writing, dated, and signed by the complainant. The state will attempt to obtain the following information for all complaints. However, the absence of any of the requested information will not be used as a basis for dismissing the grievance or complaint.

- Full name, telephone number, and mailing address of the complainant and respondent.
- A clear and concise statement of the facts and dates describing the alleged violation.
- The provision(s) of WIOA, federal regulations, state laws or regulations, grant, or other agreements under WIOA believed to have been violated.

- Grievances or complaints against individuals, including staff or participants, shall indicate how those individuals did not comply with WIOA law, regulation, or contract.
- The remedy sought by the complainant.

The Chief of the Compliance Review Office or their designee shall review the grievance or complaint and notify the complainant and respondent of the opportunity for an informal resolution within 10 days of receipt. If the state cannot resolve the grievance or complaint informally, a hearing will be held.

EDD Hearing

Hearings on any grievance or complaint shall be conducted within 30 days of the filing of the grievance or complaint. The complainant, respondent, and Local Area (in cases of local level appeals and requests for EDD review) shall be notified in writing of the hearing at least 10 days prior to the hearing. The hearing shall be conducted by an impartial officer. The hearing notice shall contain the following information:

- The date of the notice, name of complainant, and the name of the party against whom the grievance is filed.
- The date, time, and location of the hearing.
- A statement of the alleged violations. These statements must accurately reflect the content of the grievance or complaint as submitted by the complainant. However clarifying notes may be added to assure that the grievance or complaint is addressed accurately.
- The name, address, and telephone number of the contact person issuing the notice.

The EDD hearing shall be conducted in an informal manner without the application of strict rules of evidence. Both parties shall have the right to be represented, present written and/or oral testimony under oath and arguments, call and question witnesses, and request and examine records and documents relevant to the issues. The hearing shall be recorded either electronically or by a court reporter.

Title 22 CCR regulates the responsibilities and processes of EDD administrative law judges and hearings and as such, applies to the EDD hearings and hearing officers described in this Directive. Title 22 CCR Sections 5050 through 5070 outline all applicable state laws and must be adhered to by the EDD hearing officers. Examples of subjects addressed include special hearing accommodation, electronic hearings, ex parte communications, and consolidation of similar cases. All references to “administrative law judge” hold the same meaning as “hearing officer” in regard to these regulations.

State Review Panel

Following completion of the EDD hearing, the EDD hearing officer shall make a written recommendation to the State Review Panel. The hearing officer's recommendation shall contain the following information:

- The names of the parties involved.
- A statement of the alleged violation(s) and related issues.
- A statement of the facts.
- The EDD hearing officer's decision and reasoning.
- A statement of the corrective action or remedies for violations, if any, to be implemented.

The State Review Panel shall not conduct a new evidentiary hearing, but shall review the record established by either the local level hearing or the EDD hearing. The State Review Panel shall issue a decision on the basis of the information contained within the record. The State Review Panel may accept, reject, or modify the EDD hearing officer's recommendation or the decision of the Local Area, and shall issue a written decision to the concerned parties within 60 days of the EDD's receipt of the local level appeal, request for EDD review, or grievance or complaint.

The State Review Panel shall send a written decision to both the complainant and the respondent by certified mail. The decision shall contain the following information:

- The names of the parties involved.
- A statement of the alleged violation(s) and related issues.
- A statement of the facts.
- The State Review Panel's decision and reasoning.
- A statement of the corrective action or remedies for violations, if any, to be implemented.
- A notice of the right of either party to file an appeal with the U.S. Secretary of Labor (Secretary).

Remanded Local Grievances and Complaints

Grievances or complaints filed directly with the state related to Local Area programs will be remanded to the Local Area. Reviews that reveal a trial issue, such as the hearing officer being an interested party, will be remanded to the Local Area for a retrial of the grievance or complaint.

Federal Level Appeal Process

If the State Review Panel has issued an adverse decision regarding a grievance or complaint, or has not issued a decision within 60 days of receipt of a local level appeal, request for EDD review, or grievance or complaint, the complainant may file an appeal with the Secretary. This appeal process applies to grievances and complaints that originated at the local or state level.

Appeals of an adverse decision must be filed within 60 days of receipt of the adverse decision from the State Review Panel. In cases where the State Review Panel did not issue a decision, the complainant must file an appeal within 120 days of either of the following:

- The date on which the complainant filed the appeal of a local level decision or request for EDD review with the state.
- The date on which the complainant filed the grievance or complaint with the state.

All appeals to the Secretary must be sent to the DOL National Office via certified mail with return receipts requested. Copies of the appeal must simultaneously be provided to the DOL Employment and Training Administration (ETA) Regional Administrator and the respondent. Mailing addresses for the DOL National Office and ETA Regional Administrator are included below:

DOL National Office	Secretary of Labor Attn: Assistant Secretary of ETA U.S. Department of Labor 200 Constitution Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20210
ETA Regional Administrator	Office of Regional Administrator U.S. Department of Labor P.O. Box 193767 San Francisco, CA 94119-3767

Grievances or complaints filed directly with the Secretary that were not previously filed with the Local Area and/or state will be remanded to the Local Area or state, as appropriate.

The Secretary shall issue a final determination no later than 120 days after receiving the appeal.

Remedies

Remedies that may be imposed for a violation of any requirement under WIOA Title I shall be limited to the following:

- Suspension or termination of payments under WIOA Title I.
- Prohibition of placement of a participant with an employer that has violated any requirement under WIOA Title I.
- Reinstatement of an employee, where applicable, payment of lost wages and benefits, and reestablishment of other relevant terms, conditions, and privileges of employment.
- Other equitable relief, where appropriate.

None of the above shall be construed to prohibit a complainant from pursuing a remedy authorized under another federal, state, or local law for a violation of WIOA Title I.

Action

Bring this Directive to the attention of all affected parties.

Inquiries

If you have any questions, please contact your Regional Advisor at 1-916-654-7799.

/s/ JAIME L. GUTIERREZ, Chief
Workforce Services Branch

Attachments:

Summary of Comments

The EDD is an equal opportunity employer/program. Auxiliary aids and services are available upon request to individuals with disabilities.

EXHIBIT K

HUD CPD / LAHSA / HMIS Ruth's Place Source-Record Routing Thread

Pages in source exhibit: 7

Preserves unresolved HUD/HMIS source-record, consent-scope, LAHSA Ref. 2526KH-A, and HMIS ID 8F8CD9D8B routing issues.

Use note: This exhibit is included for routing, preservation, custodian identification, cross-reference control, or disposition clarification. Inclusion is not a merits finding, charging request, or waiver of privacy, privilege, victim-rights, defenses, or remedies.

Automatic reply: <External Message> Re: CalVCB-A26-10253806-LAPD reports C259045687 / C269013241 / OCI 2025-23289 & 2026-23965 / DOJ PIU #1508015 / DOL FOIA 2026067/PC 1-26-0316-003/ HUD FOIA-26-FI-RO9-01580/complaint form NO 26-001767

9 messages

Clarke, Adriane J <Adriane.J.Clarke@hud.gov> Tue, May 26, 2026 at 6:16 PM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Greetings,

Thank you for your message.

HUD offices will be closed on Monday, May 25, 2026, in observance of the Memorial Day holiday.

I will be out of the office from May 26 through May 29, returning on June 1, 2026. Upon my return, I will respond to your email as soon as possible.

Should you need immediate assistance for both Los Angeles and Phoenix CPD Offices, please contact the following designees for coverage on the respective dates:

Date	Acting Coverage	Email
May 26	Develyn Rhodes-Johnson	Develyn.Rhodes-Johnson@hud.gov
May 27	Develyn Rhodes-Johnson	Develyn.Rhodes-Johnson@hud.gov
May 28	Ester Dela	Ester.F.Dela@hud.gov
May 29	Ester Dela	Ester.F.Dela@hud.gov
June 3	Chin Choi / Rebecca Blanco for PHX	ChinWoo.Choi@hud.gov
June 4	Chin Choi / Rebecca Blanco for PHX	ChinWoo.Choi@hud.gov
June 5	Rebecca Blanco	Rebecca.Blanco@hud.gov

Best,

Adriane Clarke, MPA

Acting CPD Director- Los Angeles Field Office

Regional Senior CPD Representative

Region IX – Pacific/Hawaii

Office of Community Planning and Development

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

Email: Adriane.J.Clarke@hud.gov

https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Tue, Jun 2, 2026 at 1:12 PM
To: Clarke, Adriane J <Adriane.J.Clarke@hud.gov>, Develyn.Rhodes-Johnson@hud.gov, Choi, Chin Woo <ChinWoo.Choi@hud.gov>, Blanco, Rebecca A <rebecca.blanco@hud.gov>, Sonja.Cossio@hud.gov, HUDLACustomerService@hud.gov, FOIAExecSec@hud.gov, foiaregion9@hud.gov
Cc: Christopher Williams <cwilliams@lahsa.org>, jlim@lahsa.org, jtrejo@lahsa.org, katherine.vasilopoulos@hud.gov, bkuhn@lahsa.org, sluna@counsel.lacounty.gov, cpdgdas@hud.gov, ldavis@coalitionrcd.org, jthomas@coalitionRCD.org <jthomas@coalitionrcd.org>

Dear HUD CPD Los Angeles Field Office,

I am following up regarding the Ruth's Place / HMIS consent and information-sharing issue discussed in HUD CPD's April 13, 2026 response.

This follow-up is narrow. I am not asking HUD CPD to revisit the funding determination that Project Tipping Point / 1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4 was not funded through HUD CPD programs. I understand that HUD CPD narrowed the funding lane and directed me to CRCD or the appropriate funding entity for non-HUD funding issues.

The issue that remains unanswered is the separate Ruth's Place / HMIS consent issue.

On April 13, 2026, HUD CPD acknowledged that I reported the following:

1. I did not sign a release of information during my Ruth's Place shelter stay;
2. I believe a release may have been used by CRCD to contact me or enroll me in Project Tipping Point;
3. I do not recall signing a release associated with HMIS;
4. HUD CPD would reach out to LAHSA, Coordinated Entry, and the HMIS provider in Los Angeles to review and clarify the circumstances.

I have not received a written answer identifying what HUD CPD learned from LAHSA, Coordinated Entry, or the HMIS provider.

Please provide a written response confirming:

1. whether HUD CPD contacted LAHSA, Coordinated Entry, and/or the HMIS provider after the April 13, 2026 response;
2. the date of any such contact;
3. which office or person responded;
4. whether any 2023 Ruth's Place / CRCD / HMIS release, ROI, privacy notice, participant agreement, Clarity record, opt-in, or consent source record was identified;
5. whether the alleged 2023 Ruth's Place / HMIS contact authorized later 2024–2026 Project Tipping Point contact, enrollment, records use, referral activity, landlord/payment records, Amity/CFCI rental-assistance processing, education/workforce coordination, or family-status information;
6. if no source record was identified, who must issue the written no-record, custodian, consent-scope, or correction determination;
7. whether HUD CPD considers this issue still pending, closed, or redirected;
8. if redirected, the exact office, contact, process, and required submission method.

For clarity, the unanswered issue is not whether Project Tipping Point was HUD CPD-funded. The unanswered issue is whether a 2023 Ruth's Place / HMIS consent or release record existed and whether it was later used or relied upon outside its lawful scope.

Please preserve this follow-up with the HUD CPD / HMIS / LAHSA routing record and HUD FOIA 26-FI-RO9-01580.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert Haab
HMIS ID: 8F8CD9D8B
LAHSA Ref.: 2526KH-A
HUD FHEO Inquiry: 860997
HUD FOIA: 26-FI-RO9-01580
CRCD / Project Tipping Point ID: 1233369910
JCOD / CFCI: CFCI-PA3-056-2023
[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Wed, Jun 3, 2026 at 3:09 AM
To: Clarke, Adriane J <Adriane.J.Clarke@hud.gov>, Develyn.Rhodes-Johnson@hud.gov, Choi, Chin Woo <ChinWoo.Choi@hud.gov>, Blanco, Rebecca A <rebecca.blanco@hud.gov>, Sonja.Cossio@hud.gov, HUDLACustomerService@hud.gov, FOIAExecSec@hud.gov, foiaregion9@hud.gov
Cc: Christopher Williams <cwilliams@lahsa.org>, jlim@lahsa.org, jtrejo@lahsa.org, katherine.vasilopoulos@hud.gov, bkuhn@lahsa.org, sluna@counsel.lacounty.gov, cpdgdas@hud.gov, ldavis@coalitionrcd.org, jthomas@coalitionRCD.org <jthomas@coalitionrcd.org>

Please associate the attached HUD AAQ 261243 response and LAPD CPRA #26-6218 cross-reference packet with my pending source-record / HMIS / ticket-closure issue.
HUD AAQ 261243 identifies the next escalation path as the Continuum of Care governance grievance process, then the local HUD Field Office / CPD staff overseeing HMIS in Los Angeles, and HUD OIG if fraud or misconduct remains unresolved.
My issue remains narrow: LAHSA ticket closures do not answer the source-record question. I am asking for a written custodian, no-record, consent-scope, correction/dispute-notation, access-log, disclosure-log, or escalation determination regarding the alleged 2023 CRCD / Ruth's Place / HMIS source record tied to HMIS ID 8F8CD9D8B.
The attached LAPD CPRA #26-6218 packet is included only as a cross-reference because LAPD closure integrity depends on whether LAPD considered or lacked LAHSA/HMIS, County Fraud / OCI, HUD/HMIS, JCOD/CFCI/Amity/CRCD, and source-record materials before closure or insufficiency determinations for C259045687 and C269013241.
I am not asking LAHSA or HUD CPD to decide LAPD's criminal investigation. I am asking LAHSA / HMIS / CoC governance / HUD CPD to identify the correct custodian and escalation path for the unresolved HMIS source-record and consent-scope issue.
Respectfully,
Kyle Robert Haab
[Quoted text hidden]

LAPD_CPRA_26-6218_FULL_Supplement_PLUS_SECOND_Addendum_With_Source_Excerpts_June2026.pdf
15.1 MB

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Wed, Jun 3, 2026 at 12:53 PM
To: Clarke, Adriane J <Adriane.J.Clarke@hud.gov>, Develyn.Rhodes-Johnson@hud.gov, Choi, Chin Woo <ChinWoo.Choi@hud.gov>, Blanco, Rebecca A <rebecca.blanco@hud.gov>, Sonja.Cossio@hud.gov, HUDLACustomerService@hud.gov, FOIAExecSec@hud.gov, foiaregion9@hud.gov
Cc: Christopher Williams <cwilliams@lahsa.org>, jlim@lahsa.org, jtrejo@lahsa.org, katherine.vasilopoulos@hud.gov, bkuhn@lahsa.org, sluna@counsel.lacounty.gov, cpdgdas@hud.gov, ldavis@coalitionrcd.org, jthomas@coalitionRCD.org <jthomas@coalitionrcd.org>

HUD CPD / Adriane Clarke follow-up call log

Date/time: 06/03/2024
Caller: Kyle Robert Haab
Contact: Adriane J. Clarke, HUD CPD Los Angeles Field Office
Issue: Confirmation of June 2 and June 3 emails regarding HUD CPD / LAHSA / HMIS Ruth's Place source-record issue.

I left voicemail messages at both available numbers requesting confirmation of receipt of my June 2 and June 3 emails. I referenced HUD FOIA 26-FI-RO9-01580, AAQ 260629, AAQ 261243, AAQ 261413, HMIS ID 8F8CD9D8B, and LAHSA Ref. 2526KH-A.

I noted that Ms. Clarke's automatic reply stated she would return June 1 and respond as soon as possible. I requested confirmation whether the matter is pending, redirected, or assigned for response.

Current status: awaiting email or call response from HUD CPD.
Evidence status: user-reported/operational call log unless later confirmed by voicemail record, callback, email response, or HUD written reply.
[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Wed, Jun 3, 2026 at 1:01 PM
To: Clarke, Adriane J <Adriane.J.Clarke@hud.gov>, Develyn.Rhodes-Johnson@hud.gov, Choi, Chin Woo <ChinWoo.Choi@hud.gov>, Blanco, Rebecca A <rebecca.blanco@hud.gov>, Sonja.Cossio@hud.gov, HUDLACustomerService@hud.gov, FOIAExecSec@hud.gov, foiaregion9@hud.gov, Dela, Ester F <Ester.F.Dela@hud.gov>

Cc: Christopher Williams <cwilliams@lahsa.org>, jlim@lahsa.org, jtrejo@lahsa.org, katherine.vasilopoulos@hud.gov, bkuhn@lahsa.org, sluna@counsel.lacounty.gov, cpdgdas@hud.gov, ldavis@coalitionrcd.org, jthomas@coalitionRCD.org <jthomas@coalitionrcd.org>

Dear Ms. Dela,

I am contacting you because I just spoke with Chin Choi regarding my HUD CPD / LAHSA / HMIS source-record issue. Mr. Choi advised that he handed the matter over to you and specifically directed me to email you directly because including too many recipients may prevent the matter from being seen clearly.

This concerns the unresolved Ruth's Place / HMIS source-record issue tied to:

HUD FOIA: 26-FI-RO9-01580
HUD AAQ: 260629 / 261243 / 261413
HMIS ID: 8F8CD9D8B
LAHSA Ref.: 2526KH-A
CRCD / Project Tipping Point ID: 1233369910

My narrow request is for confirmation of the correct HUD CPD / CoC / HMIS procedural route and whether the matter is pending, assigned, redirected, or awaiting response.

The unresolved issue is not whether Project Tipping Point was HUD CPD-funded. The unresolved issue is whether a 2023 Ruth's Place / CRCD / HMIS source record, ROI, consent, privacy notice, participant agreement, access log, disclosure log, no-record determination, correction route, or consent-scope determination exists, and who is responsible for issuing that written determination.

Please confirm:

1. Whether you received or now have this matter for review;
2. Whether HUD CPD contacted LAHSA, Coordinated Entry, or the HMIS provider after the April 13, 2026 HUD CPD response;
3. Whether any source record or custodian has been identified;
4. Whether the issue is pending, closed, redirected, or assigned;
5. The correct next step or office if you are not the proper contact.

I am not asking HUD CPD to decide fraud, criminal liability, LAPD matters, family-law issues, or damages. I am requesting only routing, custodian, and source-record status clarification.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert Haab
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Wed, Jun 3, 2026 at 1:03 PM
To: Clarke, Adriane J <Adriane.J.Clarke@hud.gov>, Develyn.Rhodes-Johnson@hud.gov, Choi, Chin Woo <ChinWoo.Choi@hud.gov>, Blanco, Rebecca A <rebecca.blanco@hud.gov>, Sonja.Cossio@hud.gov, HUDLACustomerService@hud.gov, FOIAExecSec@hud.gov, foiaregion9@hud.gov, Dela, Ester F <Ester.F.Dela@hud.gov>
Cc: Christopher Williams <cwilliams@lahsa.org>, jlim@lahsa.org, jtrejo@lahsa.org, katherine.vasilopoulos@hud.gov, bkuhn@lahsa.org, sluna@counsel.lacounty.gov, cpdgdas@hud.gov, ldavis@coalitionrcd.org, jthomas@coalitionRCD.org <jthomas@coalitionrcd.org>

HUD CPD call log – Chin Choi

Date/time: 06/03/2024 1:00 pm
Caller: Kyle Robert Haab
HUD contact: Chin Choi / ChinWoo.Choi@hud.gov

I spoke with Chin Choi regarding the HUD CPD / LAHSA / HMIS Ruth's Place source-record issue. Mr. Choi stated that he handed my case/matter over to Ester Dela and instructed me to direct my email to Ester.F.Dela@hud.gov. He stated that if too many other recipients are included, the email may not get seen clearly. Mr. Choi also stated he would call me back in approximately half an hour.

[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Mon, Jun 8, 2026 at 11:24 AM
To: Clarke, Adriane J <Adriane.J.Clarke@hud.gov>, Develyn.Rhodes-Johnson@hud.gov, Choi, Chin Woo <ChinWoo.Choi@hud.gov>, Blanco, Rebecca A <rebecca.blanco@hud.gov>, Sonja.Cossio@hud.gov, HUDLACustomerService@hud.gov, FOIAExecSec@hud.gov, foiaregion9@hud.gov, Dela, Ester F <Ester.F.Dela@hud.gov>
Cc: Christopher Williams <cwilliams@lahsa.org>, jlim@lahsa.org, jtrejo@lahsa.org, katherine.vasilopoulos@hud.gov, bkuhn@lahsa.org, sluna@counsel.lacounty.gov, cpdgdas@hud.gov, ldavis@coalitionrcd.org, jthomas@coalitionRCD.org <jthomas@coalitionrcd.org>

Dear Mr. Choi,

I am following up to preserve the record that I left you a voicemail today regarding HUD CPD / HMIS / CoC routing and preservation.

I contacted you because HUD's June 5, 2026 auto-reply from Ester Dela identified you as the coverage contact for urgent issues while she was out. My June 5 email concerned cross-agency housing and records-routing issues involving the following identifiers:

- HUD 26-FI-RO9-01576
- HMIS / Clarity ID 8F8CD9D8B
- CFCI-PA3-056-2023
- Project Tipping Point / PTP 1233369910
- County HIPAA / OCI 2026_23965
- Related DOL / ED / HHS-OCR routing identifiers

I am not asking for a phone determination on a court case, county grant dispute, or fraud allegation. I am requesting the correct HUD CPD / HMIS / CoC routing contact and preservation path for a written follow-up.

Please confirm whether this matter should be routed to you, Adriane Clarke, Ester Dela, HUD CPD Los Angeles, HUD FHEO, the local CoC/HMIS lead, LAHSA, or another HUD mailbox.

For preservation purposes, I am requesting routing guidance regarding any HUD CPD, HMIS/CoC, complaint-routing, referral, or housing-instability records connected to HUD 26-FI-RO9-01576, HMIS 8F8CD9D8B, CFCI-PA3-056-2023, and PTP 1233369910.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert David Haab
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Mon, Jun 8, 2026 at 6:51 PM
To: Clarke, Adriane J <Adriane.J.Clarke@hud.gov>, Develyn.Rhodes-Johnson@hud.gov, Choi, Chin Woo <ChinWoo.Choi@hud.gov>, Blanco, Rebecca A <rebecca.blanco@hud.gov>, Sonja.Cossio@hud.gov, HUDLACustomerService@hud.gov, FOIAExecSec@hud.gov, foiaregion9@hud.gov, Dela, Ester F <Ester.F.Dela@hud.gov>
Cc: Christopher Williams <cwilliams@lahsa.org>, jlim@lahsa.org, jtrejo@lahsa.org, katherine.vasilopoulos@hud.gov, bkuhn@lahsa.org, sluna@counsel.lacounty.gov, cpdgdas@hud.gov, ldavis@coalitionrcd.org, jthomas@coalitionRCD.org <jthomas@coalitionrcd.org>, Sonja.Cossio@hud.gov

Dear Mr. Choi,

Thank you for returning my call today.

I am following up to preserve my understanding of the call and to request the written routing confirmation you mentioned.

My understanding is that my HUD-related complaint/matter was directed to the HUD CPD Regional Director and HUD OIG, and that you would send an email confirming where the matter was forwarded so I have written proof of handling/routing.

For reference, the matter involves:

- HUD 26-FI-RO9-01576 / related HUD CPD routing
- HMIS / Clarity ID 8F8CD9D8B
- LAHSA Ref. 2526KH-A
- CRCD / Project Tipping Point ID 1233369910
- CFCI-PA3-056-2023
- County Fraud / OCI 2025-23289 and 2026_23965
- DOL OIG FOIA 2026067

I am not asking for a merits determination by email. I am only requesting written confirmation of the HUD CPD / HUD OIG routing path and the office or person to whom the matter was forwarded.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert David Haab
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Tue, Jun 9, 2026 at 10:19 AM
To: Clarke, Adriane J <Adriane.J.Clarke@hud.gov>, Develyn.Rhodes-Johnson@hud.gov, Choi, Chin Woo <ChinWoo.Choi@hud.gov>, Blanco, Rebecca A <rebecca.blanco@hud.gov>, Sonja.Cossio@hud.gov, HUDLACustomerService@hud.gov, FOIAExecSec@hud.gov,

foiaregion9@hud.gov, Dela, Ester F <Ester.F.Dela@hud.gov>
Cc: Christopher Williams <cwilliams@lahsa.org>, jlim@lahsa.org, jtrejo@lahsa.org, katherine.vasilopoulos@hud.gov, bkuhn@lahsa.org, sluna@counsel.lacounty.gov, cpdgdas@hud.gov, ldavis@coalitionrcd.org, jthomas@coalitionRCD.org <jthomas@coalitionrcd.org>

To: ChinWoo.Choi@hud.gov
Cc: Ester.F.Dela@hud.gov; Adriane.J.Clarke@hud.gov; Rebecca.Blanco@hud.gov

Dear Mr. Choi,

Thank you for speaking with me by phone today regarding my HUD / HMIS concern.

I am sending this written follow-up to preserve my understanding of the call and to request written clarification of HUD's routing, preservation, and referral position.

During the call, I understood you to state that the matter had been referred to Ester F. Dela, that you would follow up with Adriane J. Clarke regarding communications and how my case was handled, and that you needed to update your HUD identification / access credentials before you could log into your account and further review the matter.

I also understood you to say that HUD OIG focuses on high-stakes matters, that HUD funding constraints may affect what HUD can do, and that one possible HUD response could be an apology letter if my HMIS information was misused.

I need to clarify my position. An apology letter is not a complete remedy for the issues I have reported. My concern is not limited to discourtesy, poor communication, or ordinary administrative error. I have reported alleged unauthorized use or misuse of HMIS / housing / supportive-service information, possible source-authorization defects, possible records-access issues, possible reliance on inaccurate or unauthorized records, and related criminal or fraud concerns that are already being preserved in other lanes.

I am not asking HUD to decide my family-law case, unlawful-detainer matter, CalVCB claim, County Fraud case, LAPD report, or CRCD civil liability through this email. I am asking HUD to identify the correct HUD / CPD / HMIS / LAHSA / HUD OIG routing path and preserve the record.

Please confirm in writing:

1. Whether you referred this matter to Ester F. Dela, and if so, the date, routing basis, and reference number if one exists;
2. Whether you will follow up with Adriane J. Clarke regarding how my HUD / HMIS communications and records issues were handled;
3. Whether Rebecca Blanco or another HUD CPD official has any role in reviewing or routing this matter;
4. Whether HUD considers the reported HMIS misuse issue to be only a customer-service or apology-letter matter, or whether HUD will also review source authorization, record correction, access, disclosure, and referral issues;
5. Whether HUD will preserve communications, notes, referrals, emails, complaint records, routing records, and any records concerning my HMIS / LAHSA / CRCD / Project Tipping Point issue;
6. Whether HUD can identify the proper custodian or grievance path for HMIS source authorization, HMIS access logs, HMIS disclosure records, HMIS correction records, and records showing who accessed, used, transmitted, or relied on my HMIS information;
7. Whether HUD OIG, HUD CPD, LAHSA, the HMIS Lead, or another office is the proper path for allegations involving possible fraud, criminal conduct, or misuse of federally connected housing records;
8. Whether there is a written complaint number, referral number, or tracking number for this HUD-side review.

Relevant identifiers for routing and preservation only:

HUD / HMIS issue: alleged misuse or unauthorized reliance on HMIS information
LAHSA Ref.: 2526KH-A
HMIS ID: 8F8CD9D8B
CRCD / Project Tipping Point ID: 1233369910
JCOD / CFCI / Amity: CFCI-PA3-056-2023
County Fraud / OCI: 2025-23289 and 2026-23965
LAPD Report No.: C259045687
Corrected / Related LAPD Report No.: C269013241
CalVCB Claim No.: A26-10253806
Property: 1551 3/4 E. 106th St., Los Angeles, CA 90002
Related unlawful-detainer matter: 26CMUD00417
Related family-law matter: 25CMFL00694

For clarity, I am not asking HUD to make a criminal finding by email. I am asking HUD to confirm the proper referral and preservation path because the issue involves more than an apology if HMIS information was misused, disclosed, relied upon, or connected to rental-assistance or supportive-service records without valid source authorization.

Please do not include Social Security numbers, full dates of birth, medical identifiers, bank information, taxpayer-identification numbers, child-identifying information, or other sensitive identifiers in an unsecured email response.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert David Haab

657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
[Quoted text hidden]

EXHIBIT L

OGIS Assistance Request - DOL OIG FOIA 2026067 / Cross-Reference and FOIA Process Clarification

Pages in source exhibit: 4

Preserves federal FOIA-process framing: search scope, component routing, referrals, segregability, preservation, and cross-reference association.

Use note: This exhibit is included for routing, preservation, custodian identification, cross-reference control, or disposition clarification. Inclusion is not a merits finding, charging request, or waiver of privacy, privilege, victim-rights, defenses, or remedies.

OGIS Assistance Request – DOL OIG FOIA 2026067 / Cross-Reference and FOIA Process Clarification

5 messages

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Wed, May 13, 2026 at 2:10 PM
To: OGIS@nara.gov, FOIA.PrivacyAct@oig.dol.gov

Hello,

I am requesting OGIS assistance regarding my U.S. Department of Labor / DOL OIG FOIA matter, DOL OIG FOIA No. 2026067.

I am not asking OGIS to interfere with any law-enforcement investigation, require disclosure of protected investigative material, or decide the merits of my underlying allegations.

I am requesting FOIA process assistance regarding search scope, administrative handling, cross-reference, segregation, and routing of responsive records where possible.

The issue is that the same factual chain appears fragmented across DOL OIG, CRCD / Project Tipping Point, Vernon-Central/LATTC WorkSource / AJCC, City LWDA/EWDD, County JCOD / CFCI / Amity, LAHSA/HMIS, County Fraud / OCI, DHCS, and LAPD records.

Please assist with clarifying whether DOL FOIA / DOL OIG FOIA has accounted for:

1. DOL OIG FOIA No. 2026067;
2. any administrative intake, routing, assignment, tracking, or cross-reference records;
3. any non-exempt segregable records;
4. any records showing whether the matter was referred to or coordinated with DOL ETA, WIOA/AJCC oversight, City LWDA/EWDD, State Monitor Advocate, or another DOL component;
5. any records sufficient to show whether related identifiers were associated with the same FOIA or investigative file;
6. any records sufficient to show whether DOL OIG received and associated supplemental cross-reference materials;
7. any records sufficient to show whether DOL has a separate non-OIG program-office lane for CRCD / WorkSource / AJCC / City LWDA issues.

Relevant identifiers include:

- DOL OIG FOIA No. 2026067;
- CRCD / Coalition for Responsible Community Development;
- Project Tipping Point;
- Vernon-Central/LATTC WorkSource;
- City LWDA / EWDD;
- Nagera Pemberton;
- LAHSA / HMIS;
- County Fraud / OCI 2025-23289 and 2026-23965;
- LAPD C259045687 and C269013241;
- JCOD / CFCI-PA3-056-2023;
- Amity Foundation;
- DHCS / DMFEA referral issues.

I understand that records may be withheld if disclosure would interfere with law-enforcement proceedings. My request to OGIS is narrower: please assist with FOIA process clarification, including whether the correct components, search locations, identifiers, and administrative records were considered.

I am attaching:

1. My DOL FOIA request;
2. DOL's FOIA response or acknowledgment for FOIA No. 2026067;
3. Any DOL appeal or follow-up, if applicable;
4. DOL's appeal response or final response, if applicable;
5. My supplemental cross-reference packet or index, if needed.

Respectfully,

Kyle David Haab
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

2 attachments

2026067-F_Haab FOIA Request (1)(1)(1).pdf
7 KB

2026067-F_Haab Final Response(13).pdf
291 KB

OGIS <OGIS+noreply@nara.gov> Wed, May 13, 2026 at 2:11 PM
To: kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

Thank you for contacting the Office of Government Information Services (OGIS).

This is an auto response, please DO NOT respond to this email as the response will not be received by the OGIS staff. Emails must be sent to ogis@nara.gov.

As the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) ombuds, OGIS assists FOIA requesters and federal agencies by helping them resolve their FOIA disputes, and by addressing their questions and concerns about the FOIA process. Please note, we receive many inquiries and, if appropriate, will respond to you as quickly as possible.

For more information about OGIS's services, please explore the information on our mediation and compliance functions at archives.gov/ogis.

If you seek OGIS's assistance with the FOIA process and have not done so already, please email us again at ogis@nara.gov and provide us with the following:
- What assistance you seek from OGIS
- A copy of your FOIA request
- The agency's response to your request that includes the FOIA tracking number
- Your appeal letter
- The agency's response to your appeal

We encourage you to transmit documents as PDF attachments.

If you contacted OGIS in error, please email us at ogis@nara.gov and notify us that you no longer seek our assistance.

OGIS <ogis@nara.gov> Fri, May 22, 2026 at 6:39 AM
To: OGIS <ogis@nara.gov>
Cc: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Dear Kyle David Haab,

We have attached a response to this submission to the Office of Government Information Services. Your other submissions will be responded to separately.

Sincerely,
The OGIS Staff

[Quoted text hidden]

C-0007476635 Response.pdf
160 KB

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Sat, Jun 13, 2026 at 4:22 AM
To: OGIS <ogis@nara.gov>, Coen, Michael - OIG <Coen.Michael@oig.dol.gov>

Dear OGIS Team,

Please associate this email and the attached materials with my pending OGIS assistance matter, including OGIS C-0007476635, and with my related federal FOIA disputes involving DOL, HUD, the Department of Education, and HHS.

I am submitting this as a supplemental FOIA-dispute record. I am not asking OGIS to investigate criminal allegations, decide state or local complaint merits, review family-law issues, or provide private legal relief. I am asking OGIS to assist with the federal FOIA issues within its role: search adequacy, component routing, referrals, custodians, preservation, segregability, appeal status, and whether federal agencies are relying on incomplete or jurisdiction-limited local closures as though they were complete merits determinations.

The recent record now shows a material referral and custody problem across federal, state, county, city, and local law-enforcement channels:

1. DOJ PIU No. 1508015 stated that my housing complaint/tip was forwarded to the California DOJ Housing Justice Team.

2. DOJ PRA No. 26-949 then stated that DOJ found no responsive intake, routing, referral, communication, determination, closure, or internal-tracking records.

3. DOJ / Charitable Trusts later directed the alleged criminal-conduct issue to local law enforcement.

4. DMFEA Reference No. 36332332 was declined by DMFEA and forwarded to DHCS.

5. DHCS later stated that, from DHCS's perspective, its department's involvement was closed, while also noting that Audits & Investigations would review the information already provided regarding Medi-Cal eligibility and possible misuse of personal information.

6. LAHSA confirmed that its review was limited to determining that Project Tipping Point was not LAHSA-funded, that it referred the matter back to County OCI on December 11, 2025, and that LAHSA's involvement in OCI Case 2025-23289 was closed on that limited funding-jurisdiction basis.

7. County Fraud / OCI later confirmed that Case 2025-23289 is closed and Case 2026-23965 remains open, without providing a nonconfidential explanation of whether the open case supersedes, cross-references, or continues any unresolved portion of the closed case.

8. LASD stated that the address at 1551 3/4 E. 106th Street is within LAPD jurisdiction and that LASD has no role.

9. LAPD has separate reports C259045687 and C269013241, while LAPD Internal Affairs / PSB Complaint Form No. 26-001767 remains a complaint-handling / personnel-review lane concerning how the reports were classified, linked, handled, and closed. As of the last written response, the matter was being handled through Detective Bureau but had not yet been assigned to a named investigator.

10. LADA CPRA No. 2026-0406-1059 sought records showing receipt, intake, routing, referral, and disposition of one consumer-protection complaint and two real-estate-fraud complaints. LADA's response did not clearly establish whether the consumer complaint was received, merged, referred, screened, omitted, placed in an exempt investigative file, or otherwise dispositioned.

This referral pattern matters to the federal FOIA matters because the disputed records involve federal funding, federal grantee/subrecipient oversight, HUD/HMIS and CoC systems, DOL/WIOA and CalJOBS/workforce systems, education-record access, HHS/OCR/CMS-related records, and federal agency referrals. A state or local office's limited jurisdictional closure should not be treated by a federal agency as proof that no federal records exist, that no component search is required, or that no referral records exist.

I am also submitting the recent HUD / LAHSA development as supplemental context for the HUD FOIA lane. HUD issued a Notice of Immediate Suspension Pending Investigation regarding LAHSA. That development is relevant to search scope and preservation because my HUD FOIA / CPD / HMIS concerns involve LAHSA, HMIS, local CoC records, funding-jurisdiction closure records, LAHSA-to-OCI referral records, and whether HUD components searched the correct program, oversight, and enforcement repositories.

For DOL, the unresolved FOIA issue includes DOL OIG FOIA No. 2026067, the reported Exemption 7(A) posture, ETA / WIOA oversight, CRCD / Vernon-Central-LATTC WorkSource, City EWDD / City LWDA, County AJCC activity, CalJOBS records, and whether federal components searched or referred records involving CRCD, Project Tipping Point, CFCI, Amity, LATTC, and related workforce records.

For HUD, the unresolved FOIA issue includes HUD FOIA No. 26-FI-RO9-01576, any prior reference variant including 26-FI-RO9-01580, HUD CPD / Region IX, FHEO, OIG, LAHSA / HMIS, CoC governance, source authorization, disclosure logs, access logs, and LAHSA's December 11, 2025 referral / closure memorandum to County OCI.

For the Department of Education, the unresolved FOIA / records issue includes LACCD / LATTC, SPPO / FERPA No. 26-0253, ED OIG C26IOU05768, education-status records, source authorization, and whether education records or enrollment status were used or transmitted in connection with CRCD / Project Tipping Point eligibility, housing, workforce, or supportive services.

For HHS, the unresolved FOIA / records issue includes HHS OCR Nos. 651319 and 680559, CMS-related records, DHCS / Medi-Cal cross-references, DHCS GovQA / HIPAA request L109105-040626, DMFEA No. 36332332, and whether federal HHS components searched or properly routed records involving privacy, beneficiary-record integrity, disclosure, and cross-agency referrals.

My request to OGIS is limited to the following:

1. Please confirm this supplemental evidence is associated with the pending OGIS matter and related agency files.

2. Please ask the relevant agencies to identify the exact FOIA request or appeal numbers with which these materials are associated.

3. Please ask each agency to clarify which components, offices, systems, custodians, and search terms were used.

4. Please ask each agency to clarify whether referral, routing, intake, closure, administrative-tracking, and component-transfer records were searched separately from investigative merits files.

5. Please ask each agency to clarify whether it relied on any state or local closure, and if so, whether that closure was jurisdictional, procedural, evidentiary, discretionary, or merits-based.

6. Please ask each agency to preserve records and metadata relating to referrals, search instructions, component routing, custodian communications, appeal processing, and segregability review.

7. Please ask DOL OIG, where applicable, to clarify the administrative status of FOIA No. 2026067 and whether any nonexempt segregable portions, referral records, or administrative processing records can be released without interfering with any protected law-enforcement proceeding.

8. Please ask HUD to clarify whether the recent LAHSA suspension / investigation materials affect search scope, component referral, or preservation for my HUD FOIA and CPD / HMIS-related records.

9. Please ask the agencies not to treat CRCD, LAHSA, DHCS, County OCI, LADA, LAPD, LASD, or another local entity's limited response as a global resolution of federal records issues unless the federal agency identifies the records reviewed and the basis for that conclusion.

Key identifiers for association include:

OGIS: C-0007476635
DOL OIG FOIA: 2026067
HUD FOIA: 26-FI-RO9-01576
Prior HUD reference variant: 26-FI-RO9-01580
HUD FHEO: 860997
HUD AAQ: 260629
HHS OCR: 651319 / 680559
DHCS GovQA / HIPAA: L109105-040626
DHCS Incident: NEW-2026-01-18-8837
DMFEA: 36332332
ED OIG: C26IOU05768
SPPO / FERPA: 26-0253
County Fraud / OCI: 2025-23289 and 2026-23965
LAPD: C259045687 and C269013241
LAPD Complaint Form: 26-001767
LADA PRA: 2026-0406-1059
CRCD / Project Tipping Point ID: 1233369910
CFCI / Amity / JCOD: CFCI-PA3-056-2023
LAHSA Ref.: 2526KH-A
HMIS ID: 8F8CD9D8B
Federal case: 2:26-cv-00802-FMO-ASx

Attached are targeted supplemental materials for search and referral reconciliation, including the DOJ PRA 26-949 supplemental search clarification, the DOJ PIU / PRA / DMFEA exhibits, the LAHSA notice of suspension, and the relevant index or preservation summary.

Please confirm receipt and advise whether OGIS needs the attachments separated by agency or FOIA number.

Respectfully,

Kyle David Haab
1551 3/4 E. 106th Street
Los Angeles, CA 90002
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
[Quoted text hidden]

OGIS_Follow_Up_DOL_ED_HUD_HHS_With_Full_Exhibits_June13_2026.pdf
7.3 MB

OGIS <OGIS+noreply@nara.gov> Sat, Jun 13, 2026 at 4:24 AM
To: kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

EXHIBIT M

OGIS Follow-Up Packet - DOL / ED / HUD / HHS FOIA Association and Search-Scope Record

Pages in source exhibit: 285

Full federal FOIA-process exhibit archive for DOL/ED/HUD/HHS search-scope, referral, preservation, and state-local routing issues.

Use note: This exhibit is included for routing, preservation, custodian identification, cross-reference control, or disposition clarification. Inclusion is not a merits finding, charging request, or waiver of privacy, privilege, victim-rights, defenses, or remedies.

OGIS FOLLOW-UP PACKET

Association of DOL, ED, HUD, and HHS FOIA Matters / Search Scope, Referrals, Preservation, and State-Local Routing Records

Recipient: OGIS@nara.gov
Requester: Kyle David Haab
Date prepared: June 13, 2026

This packet is a federal FOIA-process follow-up. It is not a request that OGIS decide criminal, civil, housing, family-law, state-agency, local-agency, or court merits. The exhibits are included to support search-scope, routing, referral, preservation, identifier-correction, and segregability questions.

Exhibit Index

Exh.	Document	Pages	Purpose / search-scope relevance
A	Master identifier and cross-reference control index	12	Identifier, email, case-number, and reference-number control across federal, state, local, court, housing, workforce, medical, and family lanes.
B	CWDB public-records response and state/local WIOA custody clarification	6	Supports DOL/ETA/WIOA search scope, EDD oversight routing, and local LWDA/operator custody issues.
C	EDD/WIOA grievance directive WSD18-05	12	Supports distinction between local grievance procedure, state review, and federal/state WIOA oversight.
D	EWDD ADA/WIOA complaint acknowledgment	1	Shows EWDD acknowledged an ADA/WIOA complaint for investigation.
E	EWDD internal Project Tipping Point / CRCD CPRA routing email	2	Shows EWDD/CRCD routing and local custodian questions concerning Project Tipping Point records.
F	EWDD CPRA 26-6247 response/release record	11	Shows production of EWDD/CRCD/complaint-related records under one City CPRA request.
G	EWDD CPRA 26-6249 closure/no-responsive-record lane	1	Shows narrower no-record/referral outcome requiring reconciliation with 26-6247.
H	EWDD CPRA 26-6247 and 26-6249 extension / message records	2	Shows City request administration for 26-6247.
I	EWDD CPRA 26-6249 extension / message record	2	Shows City request administration for 26-6249.
J	EWDD CPRA 26-6249 supplemental / message record	2	Additional City 26-6249 administrative record.
K	DOJ PRA 26-949 / PIU 1508015 / DMFEA 36332332 search-reconciliation packet	7	Shows PIU referral to Housing Justice Team, PRA no-record issue, and DMFEA referral to DHCS.
L	DHCS citizen complaint and fraud-routing clarification thread	3	Shows DHCS routing/closure language and remaining HHS/CMS/OCR search-scope relevance.
M	LAHSA / LAPD / OCI routing and law-enforcement chain	9	Shows LAHSA Redd-file custody, OCI referral, LAPD PSB/IAD status, and law-enforcement routing ambiguity.
N	County Fraud / OCI 2025-23289 closure and 2026-23965 open status with CRCD custodian demand thread	12	Shows OCI open/closed status and unresolved custodian/source-authorization demand.
O	Formal source-authorization and CRCD records demand	15	Shows direct demand for CRCD/PTP source authorization, education/workforce records, and custodian identification.
P	LASD jurisdiction/no-role clarification	4	Shows LASD disclaimed jurisdiction for the property and declined further role, routing law-enforcement issues back toward LAPD.
Q	LADA CPRA 2026-0406-1059 extension	3	Shows LADA recognized the request sought administrative handling of consumer-protection and real-estate-fraud submissions.
R	LADA CPRA 2026-0406-1059 final response	6	Shows LADA reported no nonexempt responsive administrative-handling records located, leaving consumer-fraud routing ambiguity.
S	HUD/LAHSA Notice of Immediate Suspension Pending Investigation	13	Included for HUD FOIA search scope, preservation, component routing, CoC/LAHSA oversight context, and federal-funds integrity relevance - not as proof of individual HMIS allegations.
T	Prior HUD/OGIS follow-up regarding HUD FOIA / HMIS / CPD search scope	2	Shows prior OGIS/HUD follow-up and preserved HUD FOIA search-scope issue.

Exh.	Document	Pages	Purpose / search-scope relevance
U	HUD/LAHSA/CRCD whistleblower context message	1	Shows HUD/LAHSA/CRCD cross-state preservation notice and federal housing search-scope relevance.
V	CRCD consolidated financial statements and subsidiaries	61	Shows CRCD structure, subsidiaries, Project Tipping Point, WorkSource, housing, CRCD Enterprises, and affiliate context for independent review/search scope.
W	CRCD 2023 Form 990	36	Shows nonprofit governance, revenue, assets, program service descriptions, and organizational context for public-funds/records-custody issues.
X	September 2025 / records transparency and grievance request reference	1	Shows records-transparency, enrollment-status, and grievance-procedure request history.
Y	Public web-capture text appendix from uploaded MHT exhibits	31	Text-rendered public-context web captures supplied by requester. Included as context only, not as proof of underlying allegations.

Email / Letter Text

To: OGIS@nara.gov
Subject: OGIS Follow-Up - Association of DOL, ED, HUD, and HHS FOIA Matters / Search Scope, Referrals, Preservation, and State-Local Routing Records

Dear OGIS Staff,

I am following up regarding my pending and related OGIS assistance requests involving the U.S. Department of Labor, the U.S. Department of Education, HUD, and HHS.

Please associate this message with my existing OGIS matters and any pending OGIS intake records involving the following federal FOIA matters:

1. DOL / DOL OIG

DOL OIG FOIA No. 2026067
OGIS Case No. C-0007476635
Protective administrative appeal submitted May 22, 2026

Issue: Exemption 7(A), open law-enforcement posture, search scope, segregability, preservation, and relationship to state/local WIOA, CalJOBS, EWDD, EDD, CWDB, CRCD, WorkSource, and Project Tipping Point records.

2. U.S. Department of Education

ED FOIA Request No. 26-00060-F-PA
ED FOIA Appeal No. 26-00026-A
SPPO / FERPA No. 26-0253
ED OIG No. C26IOU05768
OGIS Case No. C-0007476619

Issue: search adequacy and referral handling concerning LACCD/LATTC, education-record access, FERPA/source authorization, CRCD / Project Tipping Point, workforce/education eligibility, and any federal component records concerning the use, verification, transmission, or disclosure of education-status information.

3. HUD

HUD FOIA Request No. 26-FI-RO9-01576
Former incorrect reference preserved for search purposes: 26-FI-RO9-01580
HUD AAQ references: 260629 and 261413
HUD FHEO Inquiry: 860997
HMIS ID: 8F8CD9D8B
LAHSA Ref.: 2526KH-A

Issue: HUD CPD, Region IX, HMIS, Continuum of Care, grantee/subrecipient, LAHSA, CRCD, Amity, CFCI, consent/ROI, HMIS authorization, access logs, disclosure logs, Freshservice/HMIS tickets, records-custody search scope, and whether a local funding-jurisdiction closure was treated as resolving separate federal HMIS/source-record issues.

4. HHS

HHS FOIA Request No. 2026-HHS-FOIA-002440
FOIA.gov Submission No. 2838106
CMS FOIA Submission No. 2838271
HHS OCR references: 651319 and 680559
DHCS cross-references: NEW-2026-01-18-8837, L109105-040626, C-12024866-Q6L7, Medi-Cal Fraud Ref. 261231056, DMFEA Ref. 36332332

Issue: HHS component search scope, OCR/CMS/ACF routing, federal-state health/privacy records, beneficiary-record integrity, complaint referral handling, and whether state DHCS routing or closure statements were treated as resolving any federal HHS FOIA, OCR, CMS, or civil-rights records issue.

I am not asking OGIS to adjudicate the underlying criminal, civil, housing, family-law, state-agency, local-agency, or court allegations. I understand that OGIS provides federal FOIA dispute-resolution and ombuds assistance. My request is limited to federal FOIA process issues: agency search adequacy, component routing, referral handling, preservation, appeal status, exemption use, segregability, and whether federal agencies are accurately accounting for related state/local records and referrals.

The reason this follow-up is necessary is that the state and local record now shows recurring referral, custody, and disposition ambiguity that directly affects federal FOIA searches. Multiple offices have acknowledged pieces of the

matter, but no complete lead-agency or cross-agency disposition matrix has been identified.

The relevant state/local and court context includes:

- CWDB's response clarifying that participant-level, consent, grievance, rental-assistance, and operational records should be maintained locally by the relevant LWDA and operators, while EDD remains relevant to state monitoring, compliance review, and corrective-action oversight. This is directly relevant to the DOL/ETA/WIOA search scope.

- EWDD's prior ADA/WIOA complaint acknowledgment, later CPRA responses, CalJOBS "no City-services enrollment" position, and referral back to CRCD/Latea Davis, even though CRCD is the disputed provider and likely records custodian.

- The distinction between documented Boyle Heights / Barrio Action application activity, East San Gabriel Valley AJCC WIOA activity, City LWDA records, County LWDA records, CRCD/PTP provider records, and CalJOBS account or service-code records.

- DOJ PIU No. 1508015 was reportedly forwarded to the Housing Justice Team, while DOJ PRA No. 26-949 later stated that no responsive DOJ intake, routing, referral, communication, closure, or tracking records were located.

- DMFEA Reference No. 36332332 was declined for DMFEA investigation and forwarded to DHCS, showing a separate state referral chain relevant to HHS/CMS/OCR search terms and routing.

- County Fraud / OCI has confirmed that Case 2025-23289 is closed and Case 2026-23965 is open, but the present record does not identify whether those matters were cross-referenced, whether the open case carries forward unresolved portions of the closed case, or whether federal agencies treated a local closure as a merits determination.

- LAHSA previously limited its review to whether Project Tipping Point was LAHSA-funded and referred the matter back to OCI. That funding-jurisdiction closure did not resolve HMIS consent, source authorization, disclosure logs, access logs, CRCD records, payment records, or family-status records.

- LASD disclaimed jurisdiction and directed the principal law-enforcement lane to LAPD, while LAPD distinguished Commercial Crimes from Internal Affairs / PSB review. As of the last written record I have, LAPD Complaint Form No. 26-001767 had not yet been assigned to a named investigator.

- LADA's CPRA response acknowledged a request that included one consumer-protection complaint and two real-estate-fraud complaints, but the final response did not clearly identify whether the consumer-fraud complaint was received, merged, referred, omitted, screened, or placed in an exempt investigative file.

- HUD has issued or is associated with a Notice of Immediate Suspension Pending Investigation concerning LAHSA. I am not submitting that as proof of my individual HMIS allegations. I am submitting it because it confirms that LAHSA / CoC / HUD oversight, financial controls, conflict-of-interest, and federal-funds integrity issues are not merely local background and are relevant to HUD FOIA search scope, preservation, and component routing.

The related court matters are included only to show urgency, materiality, and why the records must be accurately searched and preserved. I am not asking OGIS or any federal FOIA office to decide those court matters.

Related court and case identifiers:

- Federal civil case: 2:26-cv-00802-FMO-ASx
Former caption/search variants: CV26-802-UA and 2:26-cv-00802-JFW-ASx

- Unlawful-detainer matter: 26CMUD00417
Issue relevance: housing stability, rental-assistance records, landlord/payment records, lease/W-9/ACH records, unit status, source authorization, and records relied upon during eviction-related proceedings.

- Family-law matter: 25CMFL00694
Issue relevance: custody/visitation, child stability, assigned/minor counsel issues, housing instability, disputed source records, and the practical effect of agency records on family-law posture.

- California Court of Appeal matter: B354753
Issue relevance: preservation of the dependency-origin / ICPC / UCCJEA / Tennessee source-order / alleged-consent / child-family-integrity issues, and the relationship between housing-record instability, agency-record disputes, and court access.

- Tennessee / ICPC identifiers: Tennessee petitions 310909, 310910, 310995, 310996; ICPC identifiers 117604 and 117605.
Issue relevance: source-order, notice, consent, interstate-placement, and dependency-origin record questions.

For each federal FOIA matter, I request OGIS assistance in obtaining written clarification of the following:

1. Whether the agency has associated my supplemental packets and corrected identifiers with the correct FOIA request, appeal, or OGIS matter.

2. Which components, offices, systems, custodians, and date ranges were searched.

3. Whether the agency searched all known identifier variants, including corrected and former request numbers, name variants, email variants, program IDs, complaint numbers, HMIS identifiers, County Fraud / OCI references, LAPD report numbers, court docket numbers, state/local routing references, and provider records.

4. Whether any records were referred to another component or agency, and whether the receiving office accepted, rejected, returned, closed, or retained the referral.

5. Whether the agency is relying on any state or local closure as a federal FOIA search or merits disposition, and if so, which closure record and what scope.

6. Whether any records are being withheld under law-enforcement, privacy, deliberative-process, investigative, interagency, or other exemptions, and whether reasonably segregable nonexempt portions can be released.

7. Whether preservation has been confirmed for responsive records, including emails, attachments, referral records, metadata, search logs, complaint-routing records, case-management entries, disclosure/access logs, audit logs, transmittal records, and component-routing notes.

8. Whether OGIS has assigned or will assign separate assistance tracking for DOL, ED, HUD, and HHS, or whether these matters are being handled under existing OGIS case numbers.

The specific relief I am seeking through OGIS is not disclosure of confidential investigative details. I am seeking FOIA-process clarity: proper association of supplemental evidence, confirmation of component searches, correction of identifiers, referral tracking, preservation, segregability review, and written status of each FOIA request or appeal.

Please confirm that this message has been associated with:

- DOL OIG FOIA No. 2026067 / OGIS Case No. C-0007476635;
- ED FOIA No. 26-00060-F-PA / Appeal No. 26-00026-A / OGIS Case No. C-0007476619;
- HUD FOIA No. 26-FI-RO9-01576;
- HHS FOIA No. 2026-HHS-FOIA-002440 / FOIA.gov No. 2838106; and
- any related OGIS intake record for CMS FOIA Submission No. 2838271.

The full source exhibits follow this letter. The key exhibits are limited to records showing identifier corrections, agency routing, state/local referral ambiguity, CWDB/EDD/EWDD workforce custody, LAHSA/HMIS closure scope, court docket materiality, CRCD structural-custody context, and current federal FOIA appeal posture.

Respectfully,

Kyle David Haab
1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4
Los Angeles, CA 90002
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

EXHIBIT A

Master identifier and cross-reference control index

Source file: MASTER_CASE_INDEX_GLOSSARY_IDENTIFIERS_EMAILS_REFERENCES_June12_2026.pdf
Pages: 12

Relevance: Identifier, email, case-number, and reference-number control across federal, state, local, court, housing, workforce, medical, and family lanes.

The full source document follows this cover page.

MASTER CASE INDEX, IDENTIFIER GLOSSARY, EMAIL AND REFERENCE DIRECTORY

CRCD / Project Tipping Point / Workforce / Housing / HMIS / Federal / Family / Law-Enforcement Record Chain

Controlled working index - Version 1
As of June 12, 2026

CONFIDENTIAL / CONTROLLED USE. This index contains personal identifiers, child/family references, medical tracking numbers, law-enforcement references, and email addresses. It is intended to preserve search accuracy and cross-reference integrity. It is not a public filing and is not itself proof of civil or criminal liability.

Prepared for Kyle Robert Haab | Primary federal matter: 2:26-cv-00802-FMO-ASx

1. Control Statement and Use Rules

This document is a locator and reconciliation tool. It consolidates case numbers, report numbers, complaint references, program identifiers, record-request numbers, email identifiers, alias/search variants, and their relationships across separate civil, family, housing, administrative, records, medical, and law-enforcement lanes.

An identifier appearing here establishes only that the identifier appears in the preserved record. A report number, complaint number, FOIA number, claim number, or agency submission does not establish wrongdoing, agency acceptance of the merits, or a charging decision.

Closures must be read narrowly. A funding-jurisdiction closure, technical-ticket closure, licensing closure, CPRA/FOIA response, customer-service closure, or records no-hit does not automatically resolve source authorization, data custody, search adequacy, payment authority, record accuracy, or another agency's jurisdiction.

For records searches, all name, email, address, case-number, and punctuation variants should be used. A search limited to one email address, one case-number suffix, or one address format may produce an incomplete result.

Status Legend

Status label	Meaning
CURRENT	Controlling identifier or verified current route.
FORMER / SUPERSEDED	Previously used identifier retained for historical search and cross-reference.
CORRECTED	A prior number or address was later corrected; both are retained to avoid a false no-record result.
OPEN / PENDING	The record reflects active handling, pending processing, or an open review; not a merits finding.
CLOSED / LIMITED	A lane was closed or jurisdictionally limited; not a global merits disposition.
SUBMITTED / NO STATUS	Submission was confirmed, but the receiving agency states it will not disclose status absent legal obligation.
TRACKING / RECORDS	Administrative tracking number for records, referrals, or document processing.

2. Identity, Alias, and Search-Key Glossary

Identifier / variant	Context	Search or control note
Kyle David Haab	Federal caption / filings	Current federal-caption form in recent filings.
Kyle Robert Haab	Family, medical, and earlier federal records	Common record variant; include in searches.
Kyle Robert David Haab	Medical and some agency records	Expanded legal-name variant; include in identity matching.
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com	Primary email	Current main correspondence address.
enjoithetime999@gmail.com	Alternate / historical email	Used in Boyle Heights / workforce correspondence; search separately.
kyledietz99@gmail.com	Variant without punctuation	Appears in some preserved source records; include in search terms.
657-557-4459	Primary telephone	Used across court, agency, medical, and counsel records.
1551 E. 106th Street, Unit 3/4, Los Angeles, CA 90002	Primary address form	Use with address variants below.
1551 3/4 E. 106th St. / 1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4	Property-address variants	Search all variants; property and court systems use inconsistent formatting.

3. Corrections and Superseded Identifiers

The entries below must be retained together. Deleting the former value can create false no-record results; using the former value as current can misroute filings or correspondence.

Field	Former / incorrect	Current / corrected	Reason
Federal case initials	2:26-cv-00802-JFW-AS / JFW-ASx	2:26-cv-00802-FMO-ASx	Dkt. 30 reassignment, June 8, 2026.
HUD FOIA	26-FI-RO9-01580	26-FI-RO9-01576	Corrected HUD FOIA number; preserve both for search.
Children's counsel email	attorney.waller@gmail.com	samantha@samanthawallerlaw.com	Counsel stated the appointment-order address was incorrect and notified the court.
Address format	1551 E. 106th Street / 1551 3/4 E. 106th St.	1551 E. 106th Street, Unit 3/4 (plus all variants)	Use all forms for property, court, housing, and payment searches.
User email variants	kyle.dietz99@gmail.com / enjoithetime999@gmail.com / kyledietz99@gmail.com	Search all variants	Different programs and operators may have used different email identifiers.

4. Master Case and Reference Number Index

Identifier	Lane / entity	Status	Purpose / cross-reference note
2:26-cv-00802-FMO-ASx	Federal civil action	CURRENT	Haab v. Coalition for Responsible Community Development, et al.; assigned to Judge Fernando M. Olguin and Magistrate Judge Alka Sagar.
2:26-cv-00802-JFW-AS / JFW-ASx	Federal civil action	FORMER / SUPERSEDED	Prior judge initials; retain for pre-reassignment filings and docket searches.
CV26-802-UA	Federal civil intake / legacy reference	FORMER / CROSS-REFERENCE	Appears in earlier federal packets.
Dkt. 27	Federal docket	FILED 05/14/2026	Updated federal case filing and supplemental exhibit packet.
Dkt. 28	Federal docket	FILED 06/01/2026	Motion for limited early discovery / preservation.
Dkt. 29	Federal docket	DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE 06/05/2026	Denied at screening/service stage; not a merits finding on relevance.
Dkt. 30	Federal docket	REASSIGNMENT 06/08/2026	Self-recusal / reassignment to FMO; use current caption thereafter.
25CMFL00694	Los Angeles Superior Court family law	CURRENT	Haab v. Lopez; children's counsel appointed June 11, 2026.
310909 / 310910 / 310995 / 310996	Hamilton County Juvenile Court	HISTORICAL / SOURCE	Tennessee juvenile dockets associated with the children and source-order record.
ICPC 117604 / 117605	Interstate Compact	SOURCE / ROUTING	Historical Tennessee-California ICPC record and classification requests.
SHN-105293108	CDSS State Hearing	RELATED	Family-status / Bringing Families Home classification lane.
101977-2026-3-TT-INV	Tennessee Board of Professional Responsibility	PENDING / INVESTIGATIVE REFERENCE	Attorney/source-record complaint reference; not a merits finding.
L56B285	Los Angeles County DCFS	RELATED	California child-welfare / ICPC reference.
26CMUD00417	Los Angeles Superior Court unlawful detainer	RELATED / PENDING RECORD	Housing-stability lane; separate from federal and family merits.
SO311576	Los Angeles Housing Department	ENFORCEMENT REFERENCE	Housing/unit condition and occupancy lane.
A-6604739 / Case 1053480	Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety	ORDER / CASE	Order to comply / occupancy and unit-use issues.
PC 1-26-0316-003	California Department of Real Estate	CLOSED / LIMITED JURISDICTION	DRE principal/landlord jurisdictional closure; does not resolve program/payment issues.
1233369910	CRCD / Project Tipping Point participant identifier	CENTRAL SOURCE IDENTIFIER	Use for participant file, Formstack, eligibility, case notes, housing, education, family-status, and metadata searches.
CFCI-PA3-056-2023	CFCI / JCOD / Amity / CRCD agreement or program reference	CENTRAL FUNDING / RECORDS IDENTIFIER	Use for contract, payment, monitoring, landlord, W-9/ACH, and participant records.

Identifier	Lane / entity	Status	Purpose / cross-reference note
Program Area 3 / Youth Housing	CFCI program lane	CROSS-REFERENCE	Use with CFCI-PA3-056-2023 and Amity/JCOD records.
8F8CD9D8B	HMIS unique identifier	CENTRAL SOURCE IDENTIFIER	Use for Clarity/HMIS consent, ROI, access, disclosure, correction, merge/split, and data-quality records.
2526KH-A	LAHSA reference	RELATED	LAHSA/HMIS complaint and correction-routing reference.
259260 / 260627 / 267528	HMIS support tickets	TRACKING	Technical/support ticket references; a ticket closure is not a privacy/custody merits decision.
26-FI-RO9-01576	HUD FOIA	CORRECTED / CURRENT	Correct HUD FOIA number.
26-FI-RO9-01580	HUD FOIA	FORMER / INCORRECT	Prior miscitation retained only for search and correction history.
AAQ 260629 / 261243 / 261413	HUD Ask A Question	TRACKING	HUD CPD/HMIS routing and escalation references.
2026067	DOL OIG FOIA	INVESTIGATION-SENSITIVE / 7(A)	Record reflects withholding tied to an open law-enforcement investigation; not proof of guilt.
26-6247	City of Los Angeles EWDD CPRA	RECORDS RELEASED	Produced CRCD, complaint, monitoring, routing, and workforce materials.
26-6249	City of Los Angeles EWDD CPRA	CLOSED / NO RESPONSIVE RECORDS	Separate scope; referred PTP records issue back to CRCD.
03555136	California EDD fraud report	SUBMITTED / NO STATUS	Submission confirmation; EDD states it does not disclose status unless required by law.
03555139	California EDD fraud report	SUBMITTED / NO STATUS	Separate submission confirmation; use same form and reference number for updates.
NC-31050281	OpenCCC / LATTC	EDUCATION ACCOUNT / APPLICATION REFERENCE	Use for source-authorization, account, access-log, and application-history searches.
25-2166 / 25-2167	LACCD public records	TRACKING	Education-record / access / authorization requests.
C26IOU05768	U.S. Department of Education OIG	COMPLAINT / ROUTING REFERENCE	Education-record nexus complaint identifier.
26-0253	SPPO / FERPA	COMPLAINT REFERENCE	Student privacy complaint lane.
26-00060-F-PA	U.S. Department of Education FOIA	FOIA REFERENCE	Education records/search scope.
26-00021-A / 26-00026-A	U.S. Department of Education appeals	ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS	Separate appeal references.
131020921	California Student Aid Commission	ACCOUNT / IDENTIFIER	Education/financial-aid cross-reference.
2025-23289	Los Angeles County Fraud / OCI	CLOSED / ROUTED	Original County Fraud/OCI lane; later status and jurisdiction routing remain material.
2026-23965	Los Angeles County Fraud / OCI / privacy bridge	OPEN / PENDING	Current bridge or related matter; preserve association with prior case and County Counsel referral.
2025-23345	Los Angeles County Fraud / OCI	RELATED REFERENCE	Appears in master identifier index; preserve for search and disposition clarification.
CE26-048	County CEOP / public-integrity routing	RELATED	Corrective-owner / escalation reference.
REF25-0005204	County reference	RELATED	County routing/reference identifier.
C259045687	LAPD RMS	LAW-ENFORCEMENT REPORT	Identity/forged-document and source-record allegations; not a guilt finding.
C269013241	LAPD RMS	RELATED / CORRECTED REPORT	Related report lane; preserve linkage to C259045687.
26-001767	LAPD Complaint Form / PSB-IAD	COMPLAINT / ROUTING	Investigation-handling complaint and supplemental-evidence route.
43848	LAPD incident/reference	RELATED	Use in report-linkage and evidence-inventory searches.
260327900363	LAPD online workflow / CORS	TRACKING	Online complaint/report workflow reference.
26-6218	LAPD CPRA	RECORDS REQUEST	Law-enforcement records / victim-access / administrative-status request.

Identifier	Lane / entity	Status	Purpose / cross-reference note
37815 / 37816	LAPD / PSB correspondence references	RELATED	Preserved internal/online references appearing in complaint packets.
2026-C-0704	Los Angeles County District Attorney	PUBLIC-INTEGRITY SCREENING	Screening/routing identifier; not a charging decision.
A26-10253806	California Victim Compensation Board	CLAIM / TRACKING	Victim-compensation and relocation-document lane; not eligibility or criminal finding.
NEW-2026-01-18-8837	DHCS incident reference	CROSS-REFERENCE	Health-system/records-integrity routing; not the principal workforce complaint.
772-70400	PIH Health - Downey Hospital	MEDICAL RECORDS TRACKING	Physical-therapy and prior rehabilitation records request.
774-84540	PIH Health - Physicians	MEDICAL RECORDS TRACKING	Current referral/source record request.
96575025D / 47540140 / 001750896	PIH patient / MR identifiers	CONTROLLED MEDICAL IDENTIFIERS	Use only for matching PIH Physicians, South Gate, and Downey records.
25081902710898000006	PIH referral / authorization	MEDICAL REFERENCE	August 2025 specialist authorization record.
26-0552326 / 26-0553577	LAFLA LegalServer	LEGAL-SERVICES TRACKING	Legal-aid intake / grievance / scope references.
26-0-216	Legal Services Corporation	COMPLAINT / DOCKET	LSC review reference.
4633033	SmartLaw referral	REFERRAL ID	Legal-referral chain identifier.

5. Cross-Lane Association Map

Primary lane	Anchor identifier(s)	Related identifiers	Why associated
CRCD / PTP source record	1233369910	CFCI-PA3-056-2023; HMIS 8F8CD9D8B; LATTC NC-31050281; landlord/payment records	Participant, consent, eligibility, case-note, metadata, housing, and education record chain.
City workforce lane	EWDD 26-6247 / 26-6249	Boyle Heights contact; CalJOBS; CRCD/Vernon-Central-LATTC; EDD 03555136/03555139	Distinguishes account/activity, pending intake, formal enrollment, and City service coding.
County workforce lane	East San Gabriel Valley AJCC	County DEO; Managed Career Solutions; EDD/CalJOBS	Separate local workforce area may explain why City records did not include County services.
HMIS / LAHSA	8F8CD9D8B; 2526KH-A	HUD FOIA 26-FI-RO9-01576; tickets 259260/260627/267528; OCI/LAPD	Funding jurisdiction is separate from data custody, privacy, source authorization, and access logs.
County fraud / privacy	2025-23289; 2026-23965	CE26-048; REF25-0005204; CFCI-PA3-056-2023; LAHSA; LAPD	Separate case statuses must not be collapsed into one global closure.
Law enforcement	C259045687; C269013241	26-001767; 43848; 260327900363; 26-6218; DOL OIG 2026067	Report, complaint, records request, and federal investigative records are separate lanes.
Family / interstate	25CMFL00694	TN 310909/310910/310995/310996; ICPC 117604/117605; L56B285; SHN-105293108	Tennessee finality does not adjudicate downstream California source-record use.
Housing / property	26CMUD00417	SO311576; A-6604739 / 1053480; DRE PC 1-26-0316-003; CRCD/PTP payment records	UD, code enforcement, licensing jurisdiction, and program placement/payment are distinct.
Education	NC-31050281	LACCD 25-2166/25-2167; ED OIG C26IOU05768; SPPO 26-0253; ED FOIA/appeals	Source authorization, access logs, application history, and complaint/FOIA lanes must be separated.
Victim / relocation	A26-10253806	LAPD reports; County Fraud/OCI; landlord/payment; unlawful detainer	CalVCB documentation shows claimed impact and verification needs, not criminal guilt.
Medical records	772-70400 / 774-84540	PIH patient IDs and referral number; Yagnesh Desai; All Care	Used to reconcile back-related history and the current hand-related referral.

6. Email and Custodian Identifier Directory

Email addresses are preserved as record identifiers. Inclusion does not prove current employment, authority, receipt, or responsibility. Addresses should be verified before new service or confidential transmission.

Email identifier	Entity / person	Record role or context	Status / use
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com	Kyle Haab	Primary correspondence / court / agency email	CURRENT
enjoithetime999@gmail.com	Kyle Haab	Historical workforce/Boyle Heights email identifier	SEARCH VARIANT
kyledietz99@gmail.com	Kyle Haab	Punctuation-free variant appearing in source records	SEARCH VARIANT
samantha@samanthawallerlaw.com	Samantha K. Waller, appointed children's counsel	Verified direct email in June 12, 2026 response	CURRENT
office@samanthawallerlaw.com	Law Office of Samantha K. Waller	Office contact	CURRENT
attorney.waller@gmail.com	Appointment order	Counsel stated this address was incorrect	INCORRECT / DO NOT USE
ldavis@coalitionrcd.org	CRCD / Latea Davis	PTP participant and records correspondence; disputed-provider referral endpoint	SOURCE / CUSTODIAN
jthomas@coalitionrcd.org	CRCD	CRCD correspondence	SOURCE
jguadron@coalitionrcd.org	CRCD	CRCD correspondence	SOURCE
jacqueline.ramos@coalitionrcd.org	CRCD	PTP/records correspondence	SOURCE
npemberton@coalitionrcd.org	CRCD	PTP navigator / education-document correspondence	SOURCE
asaltzman@coalitionrcd.org	CRCD	Landlord / housing coordination correspondence	SOURCE
amaatafale@coalitionrcd.org	CRCD	CRCD correspondence	SOURCE
imaldonado@coalitionrcd.org	CRCD	CRCD correspondence	SOURCE
palopez@coalitionrcd.org	CRCD	CRCD stakeholder/participant-status response	SOURCE
jwalker@coalitionrcd.org	CRCD	CRCD correspondence	SOURCE
krivera@coalitionrcd.org	CRCD	CRCD correspondence	SOURCE
mwilson@coalitionrcd.org	CRCD	CRCD correspondence	SOURCE
rcoleman@coalitionrcd.org	CRCD	CRCD correspondence	SOURCE
jsanchez@amityfdn.org	Amity Foundation	CFCI / participant / payment record lane	SOURCE
tpagrants@amityfdn.org	Amity Foundation	Grant/program contact	SOURCE
info@amityfdn.org	Amity Foundation	General contact	SOURCE
rseeley@amityfdn.org	Amity Foundation	Correspondence preserved in record	SOURCE
dbond@amityfdn.org	Amity Foundation	Correspondence preserved in record	SOURCE
abernard@jcod.lacounty.gov	Los Angeles County JCOD	CFCI / records / contract correspondence	CUSTODIAN / OVERSIGHT
pio@jcod.lacounty.gov	Los Angeles County JCOD	Public information / records route	CUSTODIAN
jcod@lacounty.gov	Los Angeles County JCOD	General route	CUSTODIAN
solicitations@jcod.lacounty.gov	Los Angeles County JCOD	Procurement/contract route	CUSTODIAN
compliance@opportunity.lacounty.gov	Los Angeles County DEO	Workforce compliance / County LWDA route	OVERSIGHT
richard.cheng@lacity.org	City of Los Angeles EWDD	Equal Opportunity Compliance Officer	OVERSIGHT
publicrecords@lacity.org	City of Los Angeles	CPRA portal/contact	RECORDS
lacity_26-6247-requester-notes@inbound.nextrequest.com	City NextRequest	CPRA 26-6247 correspondence route	TRACKING
lacity_26-6249-requester-notes@inbound.nextrequest.com	City NextRequest	CPRA 26-6249 correspondence route	TRACKING
egonzalez@mcscareergroup.com	Managed Career Solutions / Boyle Heights	Case-manager / pending application correspondence	WORKFORCE SOURCE
b.gaitan-potts@mcscareergroup.com	Managed Career Solutions / East San Gabriel Valley AJCC	WIOA enrollment/training history correspondence	WORKFORCE SOURCE
ccalderon@mcscareergroup.com	Managed Career Solutions	Workforce correspondence	WORKFORCE SOURCE
legal@cwdb.ca.gov	California Workforce Development Board	CPRA/legal response and routing	STATE OVERSIGHT
credd@lahsa.org	LAHSA	Funding-jurisdiction / OCI correspondence	SOURCE / OVERSIGHT
hmissupport@lahsa.org	LAHSA HMIS Support	HMIS technical/support route	TECHNICAL
pra@lahsa.org	LAHSA	Public records route	RECORDS
cwilliams@lahsa.org	LAHSA	Correspondence preserved in record	SOURCE

Email identifier	Entity / person	Record role or context	Status / use
bkuhn@lahsa.org	LAHSA	Correspondence preserved in record	SOURCE
dfrias@lahsa.org	LAHSA	Correspondence preserved in record	SOURCE
ogis@nara.gov	Office of Government Information Services	Federal FOIA dispute-resolution / ombuds route	FEDERAL FOIA
foia.privacyact@oig.dol.gov	DOL OIG	DOL OIG FOIA route	FEDERAL FOIA / OIG
foiaappeals@dol.gov	U.S. Department of Labor	FOIA administrative appeal route	FEDERAL FOIA
dolpublicliaison@dol.gov	U.S. Department of Labor	FOIA public liaison	FEDERAL FOIA
coen.michael@oig.dol.gov	DOL OIG	OIG correspondence preserved in record	FEDERAL OIG
passero.roger@oig.dol.gov	DOL OIG	OIG correspondence preserved in record	FEDERAL OIG
foiaregion9@hud.gov	HUD Region IX	HUD FOIA route	FEDERAL FOIA
cpdgdas@hud.gov	HUD CPD	Program/records route	FEDERAL PROGRAM
aaq@hudexchange.info	HUD Exchange AAQ	AAQ submissions 260629/261243/261413	TRACKING
ferpa.complaints@ed.gov	U.S. Department of Education SPPO	FERPA complaint route	FEDERAL EDUCATION
whistleblowercoordinator.oig@ed.gov	U.S. Department of Education OIG	OIG/whistleblower route	FEDERAL OIG
ocrcomplaint@hhs.gov	HHS OCR	Civil-rights/health complaint route	FEDERAL HHS
ocrmail@hss.gov	HHS/HSS OCR address as preserved	Historical/variant agency email	SEARCH VARIANT
fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov	Los Angeles County Auditor-Controller	County Fraud Hotline	COUNTY FRAUD
privacy@ceo.lacounty.gov	Los Angeles County CEO Privacy	County privacy route	COUNTY PRIVACY
hipaa@counsel.lacounty.gov	Los Angeles County Counsel	HIPAA/privacy referral route	COUNTY COUNSEL
ceop@bos.lacounty.gov	County Executive Office / BOS route	County corrective-owner / public-integrity correspondence	COUNTY OVERSIGHT
oigcomplaint@lapd.online	LAPD complaint/OIG route	Investigation-handling complaint correspondence	LAW ENFORCEMENT
contact.lapdonline@gmail.com	LAPD online contact as preserved	Online contact / workflow correspondence	LAW ENFORCEMENT
usacac.publiccorruption@usdoj.gov	U.S. Attorney, Central District of California	Public-corruption reporting route	FEDERAL LAW ENFORCEMENT
usacac.cv-civilrights@usdoj.gov	U.S. Attorney, Central District of California	Civil-rights route	FEDERAL CIVIL RIGHTS
info@victims.ca.gov	California Victim Compensation Board	Supporting-document submission route	VICTIM SERVICES
calvcbonlineaccess@victims.ca.gov	California Victim Compensation Board	Online access / claim correspondence	VICTIM SERVICES
jacqueline.velazco@victims.ca.gov	California Victim Compensation Board	Claim correspondence	VICTIM SERVICES
complaints@tbpr.org	Tennessee Board of Professional Responsibility	Attorney complaint route	TENNESSEE
kheflin@tbpr.org	Tennessee Board of Professional Responsibility	File correspondence	TENNESSEE
ttantshafer@tbpr.org	Tennessee Board of Professional Responsibility	File correspondence	TENNESSEE
dcs.records@tn.gov	Tennessee DCS	Records request route	TENNESSEE RECORDS
tnicpc.ei-dcs@tn.gov	Tennessee ICPC	ICPC route	TENNESSEE ICPC
icpc@dss.ca.gov	California CDSS ICPC	State ICPC route	CALIFORNIA ICPC
evangeline.freathy@dss.ca.gov	California CDSS	ICPC/DSS routing correspondence	CALIFORNIA ICPC
dependencyrecords@counsel.lacounty.gov	Los Angeles County Counsel	Dependency records route	COUNTY RECORDS
yagnesh.desai@pihhealth.org	PIH Health Downey Rehabilitation	Prior physical therapist / records clarification	MEDICAL

7. Acronym and Agency Glossary

Acronym	Meaning
AAQ	HUD Ask A Question
AJCC	America’s Job Center of California
BFH	Bringing Families Home
CalJOBS	California workforce case-management system
CalVCB	California Victim Compensation Board
CFCI	Care First and Community Investment

Acronym	Meaning
CPD	HUD Community Planning and Development
CPRA	California Public Records Act
CRCD	Coalition for Responsible Community Development
DCS	Tennessee Department of Children's Services
DEO	Los Angeles County Department of Economic Opportunity
DOL OIG	U.S. Department of Labor Office of Inspector General
EDD	California Employment Development Department
ED OIG	U.S. Department of Education Office of Inspector General
EWDD	City of Los Angeles Economic and Workforce Development Department
FERPA	Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act
FOIA	Freedom of Information Act
HMIS	Homeless Management Information System
ICPC	Interstate Compact on the Placement of Children
JCOD	Los Angeles County Justice, Care and Opportunities Department
LACCD	Los Angeles Community College District
LAHSA	Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority
LATTC	Los Angeles Trade-Technical College
LWDA	Local Workforce Development Area
OCI	Los Angeles County Office of County Investigations / County Fraud lane as used in records
OGIS	Office of Government Information Services
PIH	PIH Health
PSB/IAD	LAPD Professional Standards Bureau / Internal Affairs Division
PTP	Project Tipping Point
ROI	Release of Information
SPPO	Student Privacy Policy Office
TBPR	Tennessee Board of Professional Responsibility
UD	Unlawful detainer
WIOA	Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act

8. EDD Fraud-Report Confirmation Supplement

On June 12, 2026, two separate California EDD online fraud reports were confirmed as submitted. The confirmation pages state that EDD does not disclose status or provide updates unless ordered or required by law, and that EDD will contact the reporter only if more information is needed. These confirmations establish submission and reference numbers only.

Reference	Type	Recorded status	Limitation
03555136	California EDD online fraud report	Submitted June 12, 2026; update using the same form and reference number.	No public status; not proof of investigation or wrongdoing.
03555139	California EDD online fraud report	Separate submission confirmed June 12, 2026.	No public status; not proof of investigation or wrongdoing.

9. Source-Document Index

Source file	Length	Primary use in this index
FEDERAL_FULL_EFFECTIVE_CODED_STATUTE_PURPOSE_PACKET_FMO_ASx.pdf	615 pages	Federal case caption, code/purpose matrices, master identifier index, and incorporated all-lanes packets.
FEDERAL_SUPPLEMENTAL_NOTICE_TENNESSEE_SOURCE_RECORD_ACCESS_BARRIER_FMO_ASx.pdf	17 pages	Corrected federal posture, Tennessee/ICPC source-record access barrier, and limited civil-use boundary.
MASTER_SURGICAL_ALL_LANES_V7_COURT_READY_WITH_TENNESSEE_ORDER_PUBLIC_REDACTED.pdf	457 pages	Corrected-caption source-record packet with identifier, preservation, and lane matrices.
Master_Preservation_Timeline_and_Multi_Agency_Investigative_Integrity_Record_V2_COUNSEL_RECEIPT_June12_2026.pdf	81 pages	Preservation chronology, workforce/education/FOIA integration, and appointed-counsel receipt/contact correction.
Gmail - CONFIDENTIAL — Immediate Child-Stability...PDF	2 pages	Children's counsel receipt confirmation dated June 12, 2026.
Gmail - Re_ Form Submission - New Form.PDF	1 page	Counsel's verified email, notice of order error, and review/packet plan.
EDD confirmation screenshots	2 images	Fraud-report submission confirmations 03555136 and 03555139.

10. Source Integrity Manifest

Source filename	Bytes	SHA-256
FEDERAL_FULL_EFFECTIVE_CODED_STATUTE_PURPOSE_PACKET_FMO_ASx.pdf	33,304,711	8ba7e8139ce48d066880bd844f844c533d3f00b2ad10722e52c30f277ce907f8
FEDERAL_SUPPLEMENTAL_NOTICE_TENNESSEE_SOURCE_RECORD_ACCESS_BARRIER_FMO_ASx.pdf	4,058,254	cfaa3a45cd1901c33cfeceb736ea46ea7e4ad0ca912eaf286e59d53d14047225
MASTER_SURGICAL_ALL_LANES_V7_COURT_READY_WITH_TENNESSEE_ORDER_PUBLIC_REDACTED.pdf	19,088,010	73bb60ca5ccf57d9f3547bcff352525d9426647045d84a2e6a89b2f31d6cf6fc
Master_Preservation_Timeline_and_Multi_Agency_Investigative_Integrity_Record_V2_COUNSEL_RECEIPT_June12_2026.pdf	14,718,193	648b9af5c7cd6eff45f3a4e99af1c55b35682cb71123f75971ddeaac9114c2ac
Gmail - CONFIDENTIAL — Immediate Child-Stability, Visitation, Housing, and Interstate-Order Review — 25CMFL00694(1).PDF	101,569	225524547880df7a7ba7a50ab84cf40b0fb7cf1960ab4fda0f06ca16e5e34102
Gmail - Re_ Form Submission - New Form.PDF	96,955	0479223cf17b77356515b30f514f4bd6b3cf0b993d052d126b21b56aadd19d5c
file_00000000b73c71fd84f80d864df889cb	173,812	f466cd602992925231c39c5222dc9c96c1f9f88f533b379650f786ba6291f8d0
file_0000000009f071fdb6f96cbc62543f63	157,950	3017b21cdb8876f964b06cb71df02a4775c8e6978ed4721b0597f4d882cb0df8

Appendix A - EDD Confirmation 03555136

Source screenshot supplied June 12, 2026. The image is preserved to authenticate the reference number and submission language.


1:17
Report Identi...
ndd.edd.ca.gov
Home
Benefits Login
Employer Login
Employment Development Department
State of California
Home | Report Fraud | Report Identity Theft
Confirmation
You successfully submitted your fraud report. Thank you. Your reference number is 03555136. You can use this same form and reference number if you need to update your report later.
To preserve confidentiality and integrity, the EDD does not disclose status or provide updates to allegations of fraud, unless court ordered or as required by law. We will not contact you unless we need more information about this fraud report.
If you believe you were a victim of identity theft, you can file a report with the Federal Trade Commissions (FTC). For more information, visit Help Fight Fraud.

Appendix B - EDD Confirmation 03555139

Source screenshot supplied June 12, 2026. The image is preserved to authenticate the separate reference number and submission language.



Document-Control Certification

This index was compiled from the source files listed in Section 9 and the two EDD confirmation screenshots. Where a source packet contained a former or corrected identifier, both values were retained and labeled. Original agency, court, and native source records control if any conflict is later identified.

Prepared: June 12, 2026
Version: 1.0
Classification: Confidential controlled working record

EXHIBIT B

CWDB public-records response and state/local WIOA custody clarification

Source file: Gmail - CWDB Response to Public Record Requests Received on 5_22_26 & 6_10_26.PDF
Pages: 6

Relevance: Supports DOL/ETA/WIOA search scope, EDD oversight routing, and local LWDA/operator custody issues.

The full source document follows this cover page.

CWDB Response to Public Record Requests Received on 5/22/26 & 6/10/26

1 message

CWDB Legal <Legal@cwdb.ca.gov> Fri, Jun 12, 2026 at 10:38 AM
To: kyle.dietz99@gmail.com <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Kyle Robert Haab

kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

Hi Kyle,

On May 22, 2026, the California Workforce Development Board ("CWDB") received the following public record request:

"Dear California Workforce Development Board Public Records / Legal Unit,

I am submitting this California Public Records Act request and custodian-clarification request regarding Coalition for Responsible Community Development (CRCD), Project Tipping Point (PTP), Vernon-Central/LATTC WorkSource Center, City of Los Angeles LWDA / EWDD routing, and related workforce-development complaint records.

Date range requested: January 1, 2023 to present.

County DEO confirmed in writing that CRCD / Vernon-Central-LATTC WorkSource is not under Los Angeles County LWDA jurisdiction and falls under the City of Los Angeles LWDA. I later requested written routing clarification because City/EWDD-related responses have directed me back to CRCD / Latea Davis, while CRCD is the disputed provider whose records, consent authority, grievance process, and Project Tipping Point conduct are at issue. County DEO did not provide a specific independent City referral number, custodian determination, or grievance determination. It directed me to CRCD contact information and general EWDD Equal Opportunity Compliance Officer information.

DOL OIG FOIA No. 2026067 is also relevant. On May 1, 2026, DOL OIG stated in writing that it has "an open law enforcement investigation involving the records" I requested and withheld the records in full under FOIA Exemption 7(A), which applies where disclosure could interfere with law-enforcement proceedings or pending investigations. I am not asking CWDB to interfere with DOL OIG. I am asking CWDB to preserve and identify the City LWDA / EWDD / CRCD / WorkSource records lane while overlapping federal law-enforcement processing remains open.

I am also attaching a limited source-record packet showing why the workforce records lane matters. That packet requests the missing 2023 consent / ROI / authorization source record and includes selected exhibits showing: CRCD's assertion that a 2023 CRCD program sign-up supplied the basis for later communication; HMIS/Ruth's Place records; housing-assistance workflow forms; downstream ACH, W-9, landlord-verification, and lease records; a CRCD landlord email referencing a "couple with a young kid"; and PTP application material reflecting child/family-status information. This is provided only to explain the record-custody issue, not to ask CWDB to decide family-law, criminal, housing-code, HMIS, or civil-liability merits.

I am not asking CWDB to decide housing, family-law, criminal, HMIS, CFCI, or civil-liability issues. I am asking CWDB to search for records and identify the correct state-supervised workforce-development route when County DEO says "City LWDA," City/EWDD redirects to CRCD, and CRCD is the provider being complained about.

Relevant identifiers:

- Coalition for Responsible Community Development / CRCD

- Project Tipping Point / PTP

- Vernon-Central/LATTC WorkSource Center

- City of Los Angeles LWDA / EWDD

- Richard Cheng / richard.cheng@lacity.org

- Latea Davis / CRCD

- PTP participant ID: 1233369910

- CFCI-PA3-056-2023

- Amity Foundation

- LACCD / LATTC Student ID: 900855235

- County Fraud / OCI: 2025-23289 and 2026-23965

- HMIS ID: 8F8CD9D8B

- LAHSA Ref.: 2526KH-A

- DOL OIG FOIA No. 2026067

- Federal case: CV26-802-UA / 2:26-cv-00802-JFW-AS

Please produce records sufficient to show:

1. Whether CWDB has records identifying CRCD as a workforce-development provider, subrecipient, contractor, AJCC partner, WorkSource partner, or City LWDA-related entity;

2. Whether CWDB has records involving CRCD / Project Tipping Point, Vernon-Central/LATTC WorkSource, education/workforce services, student navigation, college persistence, supportive services, or rental assistance tied to education/workforce participation;

3. Whether CWDB has records identifying the proper City LWDA / EWDD custodian for complaints involving CRCD / Project Tipping Point when the complaint is against CRCD itself;

4. Whether a City LWDA / EWDD contact may redirect a participant-records, grievance, EO/ADA, WIOA/AJCC, or records-access issue back to CRCD without providing an independent grievance route, custodian determination, or written records path;

5. Whether CWDB has policies, guidance, monitoring records, corrective-action records, complaint procedures, referral procedures, or oversight records governing participant records, consent, privacy, supportive services, education/workforce documentation, and subrecipient/vendor records for Local Workforce Development Areas;

6. Whether CWDB has records involving CRCD, Project Tipping Point, LACCD/LATTC, Amity Foundation, CFCI-PA3-056-2023, or education/workforce-related rental assistance.

If CWDB does not maintain responsive records, please identify the proper custodian, including whether the request should be directed to City of Los Angeles LWDA, EWDD, CRCD, LACCD/LATTC, DOL, or another workforce-development entity.

Please preserve this as a routing and records-custody issue because County DEO confirmed City LWDA jurisdiction, but the City/EWDD/CRCD route has not produced an independent written custodian, grievance, EO/ADA, WIOA/AJCC, or records-access determination.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert Haab

1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4

Los Angeles, CA 90002

657-557-4459

kyle.dietz99@gmail.com"

On June 10, 2026, CWDB received the following supplemental request:

"Dear CWDB Records / Legal Unit,

I am submitting this supplemental routing follow-up regarding my pending May 22, 2026 CPRA request concerning CRCD / Project Tipping Point, Vernon-Central / LATTC WorkSource, City LWDA / EWDD routing, WIOA/AJCC/One-Stop records, supportive services, rental assistance, participant-record access, consent/ROI, grievance, EO/ADA, and records-custody issues.

CWDB Legal previously acknowledged receipt of my request and invoked a statutory extension, stating that CWDB would respond on or before June 15, 2026.

I am supplementing the record because CareerOneStop has now responded that each state has its own workforce system and that, in California, the relevant state system is LWDA. I am therefore asking CWDB/LWDA to preserve and clarify the proper California workforce-system routing path rather than redirecting the matter back to CRCD, because CRCD is the disputed provider whose records, consent authority, grievance process, and Project Tipping Point conduct are at issue.

This supplemental follow-up concerns the same identifiers previously provided:

- CRCD / Coalition for Responsible Community Development

- Project Tipping Point / PTP 1233369910

- Vernon-Central / LATTC WorkSource Center

- City of Los Angeles LWDA / EWDD

- WIOA / AJCC / One-Stop / WorkSource records

- LACCD / LATTC

- Amity Foundation

- CFCI-PA3-056-2023

- HMIS / Clarity ID 8F8CD9D8B

- LAHSA Ref. 2526KH-A

- County Fraud / OCI 2025-23289 and 2026-23965

- DOL OIG FOIA No. 2026067

- Related education, supportive-service, rental-assistance, consent, ROI, grievance, ADA/EO, and records-access issues

I am not asking CWDB to interfere with DOL OIG, to decide any criminal issue, or to decide housing, family-law, HMIS, or civil-liability merits. I am asking CWDB to identify and preserve the proper state-supervised workforce-development records lane when CareerOneStop has pointed the matter to California LWDA, County DEO previously pointed to City LWDA, City/EWDD-related routing has directed me back to CRCD, and CRCD is the provider whose records and grievance process are disputed.

Please confirm:

1. Whether CWDB/LWDA is the correct state route for this WIOA/AJCC/WorkSource/EWDD/CRCD/PTP records-custody issue;

2. Whether CWDB will include the CareerOneStop LWDA routing response in its pending response to my May 22, 2026 CPRA request;

3. Whether the proper custodian is CWDB, LWDA, City of Los Angeles EWDD / City LWDA, CRCD, LACCD/LATTC, or another workforce-system entity;

4. Whether a participant-records, grievance, EO/ADA, WIOA/AJCC, or records-access issue may be routed back only to CRCD when CRCD is the disputed provider;

5. What independent grievance, appeal, ADA/EO, participant-record, and records-request process applies to CRCD / Project Tipping Point / Vernon-Central-LATTC WorkSource records;

6. Whether CWDB/LWDA will preserve all routing notes, interagency consultation records, referral records, emails, and custodian-determination records connected to this request.

Please preserve this email as a supplement to the pending CPRA request and include it in any final response or custodian-routing determination.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert David Haab

1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4

Los Angeles, CA 90002

657-557-4459

kyle.dietz99@gmail.com"

Thank you for your public records requests received by the California Workforce Development Board on May 22, 2026 and June 10, 2026, and for your patience while we completed our review. This letter responds to both submissions.

Scope of This Response

The California Public Records Act requires CWDB to search for and produce existing records that are responsive to a request that reasonably describes identifiable documents in CWDB's possession. The Act does not require CWDB to answer questions, make jurisdictional or legal determinations, or produce records held by other agencies or organizations. Several aspects of your requests, including questions about which agency is the correct custodian, whether a participant may be redirected to a disputed provider, and what independent grievance routes apply to specific providers, ask CWDB to make determinations of that kind rather than to produce records. CWDB is not able to respond to those aspects of your requests under the Act, but we have done our best below to provide practical assistance where we can.

Search Conducted

Upon receipt of your requests, CWDB conducted a diligent search of its records systems, files, and staff most likely to possess records responsive to the subjects you identified. That search encompassed records related to the Coalition for Responsible Community Development (CRCD), Project Tipping Point (PTP), the Vernon-Central/LATTC WorkSource Center, the City of Los Angeles Local Workforce Development Area (LWDA), the Employment and Economic Development Department (EWDD), and the other entities, individuals, and case identifiers listed in your requests.

Requests 1, 2, 3, 4, and 6 — Entity-Specific and Participant-Level Records

CWDB's search found no records in its possession responsive to your requests for records identifying or involving CRCD, Project Tipping Point, the Vernon-Central/LATTC WorkSource Center, LACCD/LATTC, Amity Foundation, CFCI-PA3-056-2023, or any of the other specific entities, individuals, or case identifiers listed in your requests, including PTP participant ID 1233369910, HMIS ID 8F8CD9D8B, LAHSA Ref. 2526KH-A, and County OCI case numbers 2025-23289 and 2026-23965.

CWDB does not possess or maintain participant-level records, consent or authorization records, grievance files, rental assistance records, or operational records for Local Workforce Development Areas, WorkSource Centers, or their subrecipients and contractors. Records of that nature are held at the local level by the relevant LWDA and its operators, not by CWDB.

Request 5 — CWDB Policies, Guidance, and Oversight Records

CWDB's search identified responsive records in this category. CWDB co-develops and issues policy guidance documents called Workforce Services Directives, which convey legal expectations and procedural requirements to Local Workforce Development Boards governing the administration of programs under the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA). These directives constitute CWDB's primary policy and guidance records governing Local Workforce Development Areas and their subrecipients and partners.

The directive most directly relevant to the concerns you have described is Workforce Services Directive WSD18-05, WIOA Grievance and Complaint Resolution Procedures (September 4, 2018), a copy of which is attached to this letter as a PDF. WSD18-05 establishes the procedures that all WIOA Title I recipients and subrecipients, including local area operators and their subrecipients such as WorkSource Center operators, must follow in receiving, hearing, and resolving grievances and complaints from participants and other interested parties alleging violations of WIOA Title I requirements. Among other things, it sets out participant rights, local-level hearing requirements, timelines for decisions, and the process for appealing a local-level decision to the state.

The complete library of publicly available Workforce Services Directives, which includes additional policy and guidance documents governing a range of WIOA program areas, is available at the following link: Workforce Services Directives. Those directives represent the full body of CWDB's publicly available policy and guidance records responsive to Request 5.

Please note that compliance monitoring of Local Workforce Development Boards, including oversight of their subrecipients, is administered by the California Employment Development Department (EDD), not by CWDB. Records related to monitoring, corrective action, or compliance determinations involving specific Local Boards or their operators would be held by EDD, not CWDB, and CWDB's search did not locate any such records in its own possession.

Custodian Identification and Practical Assistance

While CWDB is not in a position to make a binding jurisdictional determination on your behalf, we can offer the following practical guidance to assist you in identifying the appropriate records path.

As described in the attached WSD18-05, complaints and grievances by WIOA Title I participants alleging violations by a local area operator or its subrecipients are to be filed at the local level in the first instance. If a participant is dissatisfied with the outcome of the local-level process, or if an appeal or independent state-level review is sought, appeals and requests for state review are submitted to EDD's Compliance Review Office at the following address:

Chief, Compliance Review Office, MIC 22-M

Employment Development Department

P.O. Box 826880

Sacramento, CA 94280-0001

The Compliance Review Office is the appropriate state-level contact for grievance and complaint matters of the type you have described, including situations where a participant has a concern about a WorkSource Center operator and is seeking an independent review outside of that operator's own process.

For records held at the City of Los Angeles LWDA level, the appropriate contact is the City of Los Angeles Economic and Workforce Development Department (EWDD), which administers the City LWDA.

Supplemental Request — June 10, 2026

CWDB has treated your June 10, 2026 supplemental communication as part of the same records request, and this response addresses both submissions together. The search described above encompassed the subjects identified in your supplemental request. To the extent the supplemental request asks CWDB to confirm routing determinations made by CareerOneStop or other agencies, or to make independent jurisdictional findings, those requests fall outside the scope of what the Act requires CWDB to provide, as noted above. CWDB has no additional records responsive to the supplemental request beyond what is addressed in this letter.

Record Preservation

CWDB will retain its own records in accordance with applicable California law and state records retention requirements. CWDB notes that you have referenced an open federal law enforcement investigation (DOL OIG FOIA No. 2026067) and a pending federal civil matter (2:26-cv-00802-JFW-AS), and CWDB will take appropriate steps consistent with its legal obligations with respect to any records in its possession potentially relevant to those matters.

If you have any questions about this response, you can contact CWDB Legal at Legal@cwdb.ca.gov.

---

wsd18-05.pdf
258 KB

EXHIBIT C

EDD/WIOA grievance directive WSD18-05

Source file: wsd18-05.pdf
Pages: 12

Relevance: Supports distinction between local grievance procedure, state review, and federal/state WIOA oversight.

The full source document follows this cover page.

Directive

Date: September 4, 2018 **Number:** WSD18-05

WIOA Grievance and Complaint Resolution Procedures

Executive Summary

This policy provides the guidance and establishes the procedures regarding grievances and complaints alleging noncriminal violations of Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA) Title I requirements. This policy applies to all WIOA Title I recipients and subrecipients, and is effective immediately.

This policy contains some state-imposed requirements. All state-imposed requirements are indicated by ***bold, italic*** type.

This Directive finalizes Workforce Services Draft Directive *WIOA Grievance and Complaint Resolution Procedures* (WSDD-176), issued for comment on February 14, 2018. The Workforce Development Community submitted 10 comments during the draft comment period. A summary of comments, including all changes, is provided as attachment to the Directive.

This policy supersedes Workforce Services Directive *Grievance and Complaint Procedures* (WSD08-4), dated September 3, 2008. Retain this Directive until further notice.

References

- WIOA (Public Law 113-128) Section 181(c)
- Title 20 *Code of Federal Regulations* (CFR) Sections 683.600 and 683.610
- Title 29 CFR Section 38.9
- Title 22 *California Code of Regulations* (CCR) Division 1, Subdivision 2, Chapter 2, Sections 5050 – 5070

Background

Under WIOA Section 181(c), each state, Local Workforce Development Area (Local Area), and direct recipient of Title I funding is required to establish and maintain procedures for participants and other interested parties to file grievances and complaints alleging violations of WIOA Title I requirements. These procedures must be made available to all relevant parties

within the Local Area, including America's Job Center of California℠ (AJCC) partners, service providers, and program participants.

This Directive contains guidance regarding the receipt, hearing, resolution, and appeals process of WIOA Title I grievances and complaints at the local, state, and federal level in accordance with Title 20 CFR Sections 683.600 and 683.610. These procedures apply only to programmatic complaints alleging violations of WIOA Title I requirements in the operation/administration of WIOA programs and activities. For information on complaints alleging discrimination under WIOA Section 188 and/or Title 29 CFR Part 38, see Workforce Services Directive *Nondiscrimination and Equal Opportunity Procedures* (WSD17-01). For information on complaints alleging criminal fraud, waste, abuse, or other criminal activity, see Workforce Services Directive *Incident Reporting* (WSD24-03). Lastly, for appeals of administrative or financial sanction(s) on a Local Area resulting from monitoring, investigations, or audits, see *Audit Resolution* (WSD22-06).

Policy and Procedures

General Requirements

The procedures set forth in this Directive must be used by all Local Areas in the development of their local grievance, complaint, and hearing procedures, and govern the treatment and handling of all grievances or complaints connected with WIOA Title I grant recipients and subrecipients.

Grievances or complaints must be filed within one year of the alleged violation. All grievances, complaints, amendments, and withdrawals must be in writing. All persons filing grievances or complaints shall be free from restraint, coercion, reprisal, and discrimination.

Furthermore, all recipients and subrecipients of WIOA Title I funds must make reasonable efforts to ensure their policies and corresponding information will be understood by affected participants and individuals, including youth and individuals with limited English proficiency. These efforts must comply with the language requirements of Title 29 CFR Section 38.9.

WIOA Title I Governor's Discretionary and Rapid Response subrecipients (except Local Areas) are not required to develop procedures for responding to grievances and complaints from participants and interested parties. Rather, these subrecipients must adopt the Employment Development Department's (EDD) state level grievance and complaint procedures, and must designate an individual who will be responsible for publishing the procedures.

Definitions

Complainant – any participant or other interested or personally affected party alleging a noncriminal violation of WIOA Title I requirements.

Complaint file – a file that is maintained in a central location within each office, which includes a copy of each complaint filed along with pertinent documentation.

Compliance Review Office – the organization within the EDD that oversees the administrative resolution of WIOA programmatic complaints.

Days – consecutive calendar days, including weekends and holidays.

Grievance or complaint – a written expression by a party alleging a violation of WIOA Title I, regulations promulgated under WIOA, recipient grants, subgrants, or other specific agreements under WIOA.

Hearing Officer – an impartial person or group of persons that shall preside at a hearing on a grievance or complaint.

Local Area – the Local Area administrative entity and its subrecipients to whom the administrative entity has delegated the grievance and complaint resolution process.

Participant – an individual who has been determined to be eligible to participate in and who is receiving services under a program authorized under WIOA.

Participant case file – either a hard copy or an electronic file.

Recipient – an entity to which a WIOA grant is awarded directly from the U.S. Department of Labor (DOL) to carry out a program under WIOA Title I.

Service Provider – a public agency, private nonprofit organization, or private-for-profit entity that delivers educational, training, employment, or supportive services to WIOA participants.

State Review Panel – an entity within the EDD composed of representatives from EDD's Compliance Review Office, Legal Office, and Director's Office. This panel shall review and approve or disapprove decisions and recommendations regarding grievances or complaints.

Subrecipient – an entity to which a recipient awards funds and is accountable to the recipient (or higher tier subrecipient) for the use of the funds provided.

Local Level Grievance and Complaint Resolution Procedures

Local Areas must establish procedures for resolving programmatic grievances and complaints alleging violations of WIOA Title I. These local procedures are intended to resolve issues related to WIOA Title I grant programs operated by the Local Area's administrative entity or its subrecipients. The local procedures must meet the following criteria:

1. Notice to Affected Parties

   Local Areas must provide a copy of the local grievance and complaint procedures to each participant. These procedures must include the following:

   - Notification that the participant has the right to file a grievance or complaint at any time within one year of the alleged violation.
   - Instructions and timelines for filing a grievance or complaint.
   - Notification that the participant has the right to receive technical assistance from the Local Area in filing the grievance or complaint.
   - Notification that the participant may file an appeal or request a separate review by EDD if they experience an incident of restraint, coercion, or reprisal as a result of filing a complaint.

   The local grievance and complaint procedures must be posted in a public location and made available to any interested parties or members of the public.

   Where a hard copy case file is maintained, staff must include a signed copy of an acknowledgement of receipt of the local grievance and complaint procedures in each participant's case file. Where an electronic case file is maintained, staff must either: (1) enter a case note indicating that this notification did occur, the date of the notification, and the name of the staff person who provided it, or (2) scan a signed copy of an acknowledgement of receipt of the local grievance and complaint procedures and attach it to the participant's case file.

   Local Areas have the responsibility to provide technical assistance to complainants, including those filing grievances or complaints against the Local Area. Technical assistance includes, but is not limited to, providing instructions on how to file a complaint, and providing copies of relevant documents such as the WIOA, federal regulations, state laws and regulations, local procedures, and/or contracts. This does not require Local Areas to violate any rule of confidentiality or provide legal advice.

2. Filing a Grievance or Complaint

   The official filing date of a grievance or complaint is the date it is received by the Local Area, AJCC partner, service provider, or subrecipient. The filing shall be considered a request for a hearing and the Local Area shall issue a written decision within 60 days of

the official filing date. The Local Area shall send a copy of the grievance or complaint to the respondent.

The grievance or complaint must be in writing, signed, and dated by the complainant. The Local Area should obtain the following information for all complaints. However, the absence of any of the requested information shall not be used as a basis for dismissing the grievance or complaint:

- Full name, telephone number, and mailing address of the complainant and respondent.
- A clear and concise statement of the facts and dates describing the alleged violation.
- The provision(s) of WIOA, federal regulations, grant, or other agreements under WIOA believed to have been violated.
- Grievances or complaints against individuals, including staff or participants, shall indicate how those individuals did not comply with WIOA law, regulation, or contract.
- The remedy sought by the complainant.

A grievance or complaint may be amended to correct technical deficiencies at any time up to the start of the hearing. Grievances or complaints may not be amended to add new issues unless the complainant withdraws and resubmits the complaint. However, the one year time period in which a grievance or complaint may be filed is not extended for grievances or complaints refiled with amendments. Grievances or complaints may be withdrawn at any time prior to the issuance of the hearing officer's decision***.***

3. Informal Resolution

The Local Area shall notify the complainant and respondent of the opportunity for an informal resolution. Respondents must make a good faith effort to resolve all grievances or complaints prior to the scheduled hearing. Failure on the part of either the complainant or respondent to exert a good faith effort shall not constitute a basis for dismissing a grievance or complaint, nor shall this be considered a part of the facts to be judged during the resolution process. The Local Area must assure that all grievances or complaints not resolved informally or not withdrawn are given a hearing, regardless of the grievance or complaint's apparent merit or lack of merit.

If a complainant and respondent are able to reach an informal resolution, a notice of resolution must be sent to the complainant and entered into the complaint file. If the informal resolution leads to an impasse between the complainant and respondent, the complainant may choose to not proceed to a hearing. If this occurs, a notice of impasse must be sent to the complainant and entered into the complaint file. In the event of resolution or impasse, the Local Area must request the complainant to provide a written withdrawal of the complaint within 10 days of receipt of the notice of resolution or impasse.

4. Local Level Hearing

Hearings on any grievance or complaint shall be conducted within 30 days of the filing date of the grievance or complaint:

a. Notice of Hearing

The Local Area must notify the complainant and respondent in writing of the hearing at least 10 days prior to the date of the hearing. The 10-day notice period may be shortened with written consent from both parties. The notice shall be in writing and contain the following information:

- The date of the notice, name of complainant, and the name of the party against whom the grievance is filed.
- The date, time, and location of the hearing.
- A statement of the alleged violation(s). The statement must accurately reflect the content of the grievance or complaint as submitted by the complainant. However, clarifying notes may be added to assure that the grievance or complaint is addressed accurately.
- The name, address, and telephone number of the contact person issuing the notice.

b. Conduct of Hearing

An impartial hearing officer shall conduct the hearing. ***All members of the Local Workforce Development Board and the local Chief Elected Official(s) are considered interested parties and cannot conduct an impartial hearing***. The state suggests the Local Area seek impartial hearing officers from among the staff of legal offices or personnel departments of local municipalities or counties that will not be directly affected by, or will not implement the final resolution of, a specific grievance or complaint.

The hearing must be conducted in an informal manner and not be bound by strict rules of evidence. All hearings must follow any applicable procedures established by the Local Area. Both parties have the right to be represented at their own cost, present written and oral testimony, call and question witnesses, and request and examine records and documents relevant to the issues. The hearing must be recorded electronically or by a court reporter.

c. Decision of Hearing

The hearing officer shall provide a written decision to the Local Area. The Local Area shall mail the written decision to both parties by first class mail no later than 60 days after the filing date of the grievance or complaint. The decision shall contain the following information:

- The names of the parties involved.

- A statement of the alleged violation(s) and related issues.
- A statement of the facts.
- The hearing officer's decision and reasoning.
- A statement of the corrective action or remedies for violations, if any, to be implemented.
- A notice of the right of either party to request an appeal of the decision by the State Review Panel within 10 days of receipt of the decision.

5. Local Level Appeal

   If a complainant does not receive a decision within 60 days of the filing date of the grievance or complaint, or receives an adverse decision, the complainant has the right to file an appeal with the state. See the subsection below entitled *Appeals of Local Level Decisions or Requests for EDD Review*.

State Level Grievance and Complaint Resolution Procedures

As required under Title 20 CFR Section 683.600(d), the state must establish procedures for resolving appeals of decisions issued at the local level, grievances or complaints regarding statewide workforce programs, and grievances or complaints alleging state violations of WIOA Title I requirements.

Appeals of Local Level Decisions or Requests for EDD Review

If the Local Area has issued an adverse decision or failed to follow the procedures in this Directive, the complainant may file an appeal with the state. Additionally, if the Local Area has not issued a decision within the 60 day time limit, or if there has been any incident(s) of restraint, coercion, or reprisal at the local level as a result of filing a grievance or complaint, the complainant may file a request for EDD review.

The appeal or request for EDD review must be in writing, signed, and dated by the complainant. The state will attempt to obtain the following information. However, the absence of any of the requested information will not be used as a basis for dismissing the appeal or request for EDD review.

- The full name, telephone number, and mailing address of the complainant and the Local Area's administrative entity.
- A statement of the basis of the appeal or request for EDD review.
- Copies of relevant documents, such as the complaint filed with the Local Area and their decision, if any was received.

Appeals must be filed or postmarked within 10 days from the date on which the complainant received an adverse decision from the Local Area. Requests for EDD review must be filed or postmarked within 15 days from either of the following:

- The date on which a complainant should have received a decision regarding a locally filed complainant, which is defined as five days from the date the decision was due.
- The date on which an instance of restraint, coercion, or reprisal was alleged to have occurred as a result of filing the complaint.

Complainants must submit appeals or requests for EDD review to the following address:

Chief, Compliance Review Office, MIC 22-M
Employment Development Department
P.O. Box 826880
Sacramento, CA 94280-0001

If an evidentiary hearing was held at the local level, the Compliance Review Office shall request the record of the hearing from the Local Area and the State Review Panel shall review the record without scheduling an additional hearing. The Local Area is to provide written transcripts of any audio or visual recordings of the hearings via overnight mail. Within 10 days of receipt of the grievance or complaint, the Compliance Review Office shall notify the complainant and respondent of the opportunity for an informal resolution and proceed with the informal resolution process.

If an evidentiary hearing was not held at the local level, the Compliance Review Office shall instruct the Local Area to hold a hearing within 30 days of receipt of the appeal or request for EDD review. If the Local Area refuses to hold a hearing within the required timeframe, the EDD shall schedule an evidentiary hearing before an impartial hearing officer within the 30 day timeframe.

State Level Grievances and Complaints

All grievances or complaints alleging noncriminal, state violations of WIOA Title I requirements, or grievances or complaints by individuals or interested parties affected by statewide workforce programs shall be filed in writing with the Chief of the Compliance Review Office. The official filing date of the grievance or complaint is the date it is received by the Compliance Review Office. The filing shall be considered a request for a hearing and the State Review Panel shall issue a written decision within 60 days of the official filing date. The Compliance Review Office shall send a copy of the grievance or complaint to the respondent.

The grievance or complaint must be in writing, dated, and signed by the complainant. The state will attempt to obtain the following information for all complaints. However, the absence of any of the requested information will not be used as a basis for dismissing the grievance or complaint.

- Full name, telephone number, and mailing address of the complainant and respondent.
- A clear and concise statement of the facts and dates describing the alleged violation.
- The provision(s) of WIOA, federal regulations, state laws or regulations, grant, or other agreements under WIOA believed to have been violated.

- Grievances or complaints against individuals, including staff or participants, shall indicate how those individuals did not comply with WIOA law, regulation, or contract.
- The remedy sought by the complainant.

The Chief of the Compliance Review Office or their designee shall review the grievance or complaint and notify the complainant and respondent of the opportunity for an informal resolution within 10 days of receipt. If the state cannot resolve the grievance or complaint informally, a hearing will be held.

EDD Hearing

Hearings on any grievance or complaint shall be conducted within 30 days of the filing of the grievance or complaint. The complainant, respondent, and Local Area (in cases of local level appeals and requests for EDD review) shall be notified in writing of the hearing at least 10 days prior to the hearing. The hearing shall be conducted by an impartial officer. The hearing notice shall contain the following information:

- The date of the notice, name of complainant, and the name of the party against whom the grievance is filed.
- The date, time, and location of the hearing.
- A statement of the alleged violations. These statements must accurately reflect the content of the grievance or complaint as submitted by the complainant. However clarifying notes may be added to assure that the grievance or complaint is addressed accurately.
- The name, address, and telephone number of the contact person issuing the notice.

The EDD hearing shall be conducted in an informal manner without the application of strict rules of evidence. Both parties shall have the right to be represented, present written and/or oral testimony under oath and arguments, call and question witnesses, and request and examine records and documents relevant to the issues. The hearing shall be recorded either electronically or by a court reporter.

Title 22 CCR regulates the responsibilities and processes of EDD administrative law judges and hearings and as such, applies to the EDD hearings and hearing officers described in this Directive. Title 22 CCR Sections 5050 through 5070 outline all applicable state laws and must be adhered to by the EDD hearing officers. Examples of subjects addressed include special hearing accommodation, electronic hearings, ex parte communications, and consolidation of similar cases. All references to “administrative law judge” hold the same meaning as “hearing officer” in regard to these regulations.

State Review Panel

Following completion of the EDD hearing, the EDD hearing officer shall make a written recommendation to the State Review Panel. The hearing officer's recommendation shall contain the following information:

- The names of the parties involved.
- A statement of the alleged violation(s) and related issues.
- A statement of the facts.
- The EDD hearing officer's decision and reasoning.
- A statement of the corrective action or remedies for violations, if any, to be implemented.

The State Review Panel shall not conduct a new evidentiary hearing, but shall review the record established by either the local level hearing or the EDD hearing. The State Review Panel shall issue a decision on the basis of the information contained within the record. The State Review Panel may accept, reject, or modify the EDD hearing officer's recommendation or the decision of the Local Area, and shall issue a written decision to the concerned parties within 60 days of the EDD's receipt of the local level appeal, request for EDD review, or grievance or complaint.

The State Review Panel shall send a written decision to both the complainant and the respondent by certified mail. The decision shall contain the following information:

- The names of the parties involved.
- A statement of the alleged violation(s) and related issues.
- A statement of the facts.
- The State Review Panel's decision and reasoning.
- A statement of the corrective action or remedies for violations, if any, to be implemented.
- A notice of the right of either party to file an appeal with the U.S. Secretary of Labor (Secretary).

Remanded Local Grievances and Complaints

Grievances or complaints filed directly with the state related to Local Area programs will be remanded to the Local Area. Reviews that reveal a trial issue, such as the hearing officer being an interested party, will be remanded to the Local Area for a retrial of the grievance or complaint.

Federal Level Appeal Process

If the State Review Panel has issued an adverse decision regarding a grievance or complaint, or has not issued a decision within 60 days of receipt of a local level appeal, request for EDD review, or grievance or complaint, the complainant may file an appeal with the Secretary. This appeal process applies to grievances and complaints that originated at the local or state level.

Appeals of an adverse decision must be filed within 60 days of receipt of the adverse decision from the State Review Panel. In cases where the State Review Panel did not issue a decision, the complainant must file an appeal within 120 days of either of the following:

- The date on which the complainant filed the appeal of a local level decision or request for EDD review with the state.
- The date on which the complainant filed the grievance or complaint with the state.

All appeals to the Secretary must be sent to the DOL National Office via certified mail with return receipts requested. Copies of the appeal must simultaneously be provided to the DOL Employment and Training Administration (ETA) Regional Administrator and the respondent. Mailing addresses for the DOL National Office and ETA Regional Administrator are included below:

DOL National Office	Secretary of Labor Attn: Assistant Secretary of ETA U.S. Department of Labor 200 Constitution Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20210
ETA Regional Administrator	Office of Regional Administrator U.S. Department of Labor P.O. Box 193767 San Francisco, CA 94119-3767

Grievances or complaints filed directly with the Secretary that were not previously filed with the Local Area and/or state will be remanded to the Local Area or state, as appropriate.

The Secretary shall issue a final determination no later than 120 days after receiving the appeal.

Remedies

Remedies that may be imposed for a violation of any requirement under WIOA Title I shall be limited to the following:

- Suspension or termination of payments under WIOA Title I.
- Prohibition of placement of a participant with an employer that has violated any requirement under WIOA Title I.
- Reinstatement of an employee, where applicable, payment of lost wages and benefits, and reestablishment of other relevant terms, conditions, and privileges of employment.
- Other equitable relief, where appropriate.

None of the above shall be construed to prohibit a complainant from pursuing a remedy authorized under another federal, state, or local law for a violation of WIOA Title I.

Action

Bring this Directive to the attention of all affected parties.

Inquiries

If you have any questions, please contact your Regional Advisor at 1-916-654-7799.

/s/ JAIME L. GUTIERREZ, Chief
Workforce Services Branch

Attachments:

Summary of Comments

The EDD is an equal opportunity employer/program. Auxiliary aids and services are available upon request to individuals with disabilities.

EXHIBIT D

EWDD ADA/WIOA complaint acknowledgment

Source file: 20251112-Re_“ADA and WIOA Compliance Complaint – CRCD _ Pro-9.pdf
Pages: 1

Relevance: Shows EWDD acknowledged an ADA/WIOA complaint for investigation.

The full source document follows this cover page.

Subject: Re: "ADA and WIOA Compliance Complaint – CRCD / Project Tipping Point"
From: Richard Cheng <richard.cheng@lacity.org>
Date: 11/12/2025, 11:00 AM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
BCC: luis.preciado@lacity.org

Thank you for your email Mr. Haab, the EO Compliance Team will investigate & get back to you as soon as possible. We appreciate you bringing this matter to our attention.

On Tue, Nov 11, 2025 at 9:33 AM Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> wrote:

> Dear Mr. Cheng,
>
> I am filing a formal ADA and Equal Opportunity complaint regarding the Coalition for Responsible Community Development's "Project Tipping Point" program. I was enrolled under this program but denied required grievance procedures, ADA accommodations, and full disclosure of eligibility requirements, despite my disability status.
>
> My fiancée, also a participant, received different enrollment materials that included grievance procedures and supportive-service information. I did not. I believe this constitutes unequal access and non-compliance with WIOA §188 and 29 CFR 38.
>
> Attached are supporting documents including intake forms, program emails, and correspondence verifying that the program is operated under CRCD's Vernon-Central/LATTC WorkSource Center, which falls under EWDD jurisdiction.
>
> Please confirm receipt and advise next steps in filing this complaint formally.
>
> Sincerely,
> Kyle Haab
> 657-557-4459
> Kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
> [redacted]unique ID ptp

--

Best Regards,
Richard Cheng
Economic & Workforce Development Department
Equal Opportunity Compliance Officer
Richard.Cheng@lacity.org

EXHIBIT E

EWDD internal Project Tipping Point / CRCD CPRA routing email

Source file: 20251218-Fwd_ Kyle Haab - Project Tipping Point CPRA Reques-2.pdf
Pages: 2

Relevance: Shows EWDD/CRCD routing and local custodian questions concerning Project Tipping Point records.

The full source document follows this cover page.

Subject: Fwd: Kyle Haab - Project Tipping Point CPRA Request
From: Luis Preciado <luis.preciado@lacity.org>
Date: 12/18/2025, 6:09 PM
To: Richard Cheng <richard.cheng@lacity.org>

Just FYI

---------- Forwarded message ---------
From: **Luis Preciado** <luis.preciado@lacity.org>
Date: Thu, Dec 18, 2025 at 6:06 PM
Subject: Kyle Haab - Project Tipping Point CPRA Request
To: Jose Guadron <jguadron@coalitionrcd.org>
Cc: Latea Davis <ldavis@coalitionrcd.org>, Marc Magallanes <marc.magallanes@lacity.org>

Good afternoon Jose,

I hope all is well with you. I am reaching out to you for assistance, (not EO related for once) in regards to a California Public Records Act request I am processing for EWDD and I need some assistance. I have CC'd my supervisor Marc Magallanes from EWDD as well.

In the last 24 hours, a participant you are familiar with **Kyle Haab (CalJobs User ID: [redacted])** submitted four separate CPRA requests, seeking records and communications related to his enrollment in Project Tipping Point (PTP), as well as records associated with what appears to be a County of Los Angeles rental assistance program (not sure if he was referring to the same program). He also indicated that he worked with a CRCD employee at the time (roughly July 1, 2024 and August 31, 2024), Alexander Saltzman, and is seeking records related to his enrollment, financial transactions, funding, services, case notes, and communications. The four requests are quite extensive.

I know Latea Davis handles complaints for CRCD for Project Tipping Point, however, since he is seeking public records related to Project Tipping point, is the funding for PTP from the County? I do not see he is enrolled in any City of LA services.

Do you have a point of contact with the County, someone that may handle CPRA requests, or is familiar with Project Tipping Point, so that I may refer Mr. Haab to them, as EWDD does not have the information he is seeking?

Please advise when you have a moment.

Thank you Jose!

--
Luis F. Preciado
California Public Records Act Coordinator
Administrative Services Division
Economic & Workforce Development Department

--

Luis F. Preciado
California Public Records Act Coordinator
Administrative Services Division
Economic & Workforce Development Department

EXHIBIT F

EWDD CPRA 26-6247 response/release record

Source file: Gmail - [Document Released to Requester] City of Los Angeles public records request #26-6247(4).PDF
Pages: 11

Relevance: Shows production of EWDD/CRCD/complaint-related records under one City CPRA request.

The full source document follows this cover page.

[Document Released to Requester] City of Los Angeles public records request #26-6247

1 message

publicrecords@lacity.org <messages@nextrequest.com>
Reply-to: lacity_26-6247-requester-notes@inbound.nextrequest.com
To: kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

Thu, Apr 30, 2026 at 12:21 PM

-- Attach a non-image file and/or reply ABOVE THIS LINE with a message, and it will be sent to staff on this request. --

City of Los Angeles Public Records

Documents have been released for record request #26-6247 along with the following message:

Hello Mr. Kyle Haab,

The documents responsive to **CPRA Request 26-6247** have been released and are now available for your review.

Item 4: CalJOBS database indicated no enrollment records associated with services provided by the City of Los Angeles.

Item 8: The records you previously submitted through NextRequest remain available on the NextRequest platform. You may log in to your account at any time to access those records.

As the responsive records associated with your request have been provided, we consider this request to be closed.

Thank you.

- Kyle Haab - Project Tipping Point CPRA Request.pdf
- Project Tipping Point - CRCD Response.pdf
- Gmail - Re_ Apartments(1).PDF
- Client Case Notes History.pdf
- CRCD-Privacy-Policy_2026.pdf
- CRCD Partner and Stakeholder Statement.pdf

- Item 5- 1551 34 2.pdf
- 25-18879.pdf
- City of Los Angeles Mail - CPRA Request 25-18989 1.pdf
- City of Los Angeles Mail - CPRA Request 25-18989 2.pdf
- City of Los Angeles Mail - CPRA Request 25-18989 3.pdf
- Kyle Haab - Project Tipping Point CPRA Request.pdf
- Kyle Haab - Project Tipping Point CPRA Request.pdf
- City of Los Angeles Mail - [External Message Added] City of Los Angeles records request #25-18880.pdf
- Project Tipping Point - CRCD Response.pdf - NextRequest - Modern FOIA & Public Records Request Software.pdf
- 20251114-Re_“ADA and WIOA Compliance Complaint – -2.pdf
- 20251114-Re_“ADA and WIOA Compliance Complaint – -2.pdf
- Kyle Haab - Project Tipping Point CPRA Request.pdf
- Project Tipping Point - CRCD Response.pdf - NextRequest - Modern FOIA & Public Records Request Software.pdf
- (1)City of Los Angeles Mail - City of Los Angeles NextRequest Daily Digest.pdf
- .30City of Los Angeles Mail - [Note Added] by Luis Preciado.pdf
- 1City of Los Angeles Mail - [Note Added] by Luis Preciado.pdf
- 7City of Los Angeles Mail - [External Me...pdf
- 6.1City of Los Angeles Mail - [External Me...pdf
- 17City of Los Angeles Mail - [Document Ad...pdf
- 19City of Los Angeles Mail - [External Me...pdf
- 27City of Los Angeles Mail - City of Los Angeles NextRequest Daily Digest.pdf
- 177City of Los Angeles Mail - [Closed] Cit...pdf
- 181City of Los Angeles Mail - City of Los Angeles NextRequest Daily Digest.pdf
- 125City of Los Angeles Mail - Overdue and ...pdf
- 198City of Los Angeles Mail - City of Los Angeles NextRequest Daily Digest.pdf
- 226City of Los Angeles Mail - Overdue and ...pdf
- 2121City of Los Angeles Mail - Overdue and ...pdf
- 12188City of Los Angeles Mail - [External Me...pdf
- City of Los Angeles Mail - [Opened] Cit...pdf
- 17City of Los Angeles Mail - [External Me...pdf
- 18City of Los Angeles Mail - City of Los Angeles NextRequest Daily Digest.pdf
- 110City of Los Angeles Mail - City of Los Angeles NextRequest Daily Digest.pdf
- 112City of Los Angeles Mail - [Document Ad...pdf
- 112City of Los Angeles Mail - [External Me...pdf

- 113City of Los Angeles Mail - City of Los Angeles NextRequest Daily Digest.pdf
- 177City of Los Angeles Mail - [Due Date Ch...pdf
- 1116City of Los Angeles Mail - [Closed] Cit...pdf
- 1219City of Los Angeles Mail - City of Los Angeles NextRequest Daily Digest.pdf
- 1218City of Los Angeles Mail - City of Los Angeles NextRequest Daily Digest.pdf
- 1224City of Los Angeles Mail - Overdue and ...pdf
- 1225City of Los Angeles Mail - Overdue and ...pdf
- 1226City of Los Angeles Mail - Overdue and ...pdf
- 1227City of Los Angeles Mail - City of Los Angeles NextRequest Daily Digest.pdf
- 1230City of Los Angeles Mail - [Note Added] by Luis Preciado.pdf
- 12266City of Los Angeles Mail - [External Me...pdf
- 1226666City of Los Angeles Mail - [Due Date Ch...pdf
- 1City of Los Angeles Mail - [Document Ad...pdf
- 1City of Los Angeles Mail - City of Los Angeles NextRequest Daily Digest.pdf
- 2City of Los Angeles Mail - City of Los Angeles NextRequest Daily Digest.pdf
- 3City of Los Angeles Mail - Overdue and ...pdf
- 4City of Los Angeles Mail - Overdue and ...pdf
- 17City of Los Angeles Mail - [Due Date Ch...pdf
- 43City of Los Angeles Mail - Overdue and ...pdf
- 177City of Los Angeles Mail - [External Me...pdf
- a7City of Los Angeles Mail - [Closed] Cit...pdf
- aa1City of Los Angeles Mail - [Note Added] by Luis Preciado.pdf
- aasCity of Los Angeles Mail - [Opened] Cit...pdf
- aCity of Los Angeles Mail - City of Los Angeles NextRequest Daily Digest.pdf
- b7City of Los Angeles Mail - City of Los Angeles NextRequest Daily Digest.pdf
- cCity of Los Angeles Mail - [External Me...pdf
- sasCity of Los Angeles Mail - City of Los Angeles NextRequest Daily Digest.pdf
- .3City of Los Angeles Mail - [Note Added] by Luis Preciado.pdf
- 1City of Los Angeles Mail - [External Me...pdf
- 2.1City of Los Angeles Mail - [External Me...pdf
- 2.12City of Los Angeles Mail - City of Los Angeles NextRequest Daily Digest.pdf
- 2City of Los Angeles Mail - [Closed] Cit...pdf
- 3 City of Los Angeles Mail - Overdue and ...pdf

- 5City of Los Angeles Mail - City of Los Angeles NextRequest Daily Digest.pdf
- 3City of Los Angeles Mail - City of Los Angeles NextRequest Daily Digest.pdf
- 4City of Los Angeles Mail - [External Me...pdf
- 20City of Los Angeles Mail - [External Me...pdf
- 22City of Los Angeles Mail - Overdue and ...pdf
- 111City of Los Angeles Mail - Overdue and ...pdf
- 131City of Los Angeles Mail - [External Me...pdf
- 31City of Los Angeles Mail - [Note Added] by Luis Preciado.pdf
- 281City of Los Angeles Mail - [Due Date Ch...pdf
- aaCity of Los Angeles Mail - [Opened] Cit...pdf
- accCity of Los Angeles Mail - [External Me...pdf
- abCity of Los Angeles Mail - City of Los Angeles NextRequest Daily Digest.pdf
- 5City of Los Angeles Mail - City of Los Angeles NextRequest Daily Digest.pdf
- 20City of Los Angeles Mail - [External Me...pdf
- .3City of Los Angeles Mail - [Note Added] by Luis Preciado.pdf
- 20241120-MCS-Boyle Heights 1st Quarter Report-1.pdf
- 20241120-MCS-Boyle Heights 1st Quarter Report-2.pdf
- 24-25 Closeout Hollywood-07092025162201 (1).pdf
- 20250806-MCS BH CLOSEOUT DESK REVIEW-1 (1).pdf
- 20250806-MCS BH CLOSEOUT DESK REVIEW-1.pdf
- 24-25 Closeout Hollywood-07092025162201.pdf
- 20251111-“ADA and WIOA Compliance Complaint – CRC.pdf
- 20251111-Fwd_ “ADA and WIOA Compliance Complaint – CRCD _ P-13.pdf
- 20251111-Fwd_ “ADA and WIOA Compliance Complaint .pdf
- 20251112-Fwd_ “ADA and WIOA Compliance Complaint – CRCD _ P-12.pdf
- 20251112-Fwd_ “ADA and WIOA Compliance Complaint .pdf
- 20251112-Re_“ADA and WIOA Compliance Complaint – .pdf
- 20251112-Re_“ADA and WIOA Compliance Complaint – CRCD _ Pro-9.pdf
- 20251111-Evidence Update – CRCD _ Project Tipping Point Fun-23.pdf
- 20251114-Escalation – Conflicting Jurisdictio.pdf
- 20251114-Re_“ADA and WIOA Compliance Complaint – -1.pdf
- 20251114-Re_“ADA and WIOA Compliance Complaint – .pdf
- 20251114-Re_“ADA and WIOA Compliance Complaint – -2.pdf

- 20251114-Re_“ADA and WIOA Compliance Complaint – CRCD _ Pro-11.pdf
- 20251119-Coordination Request – Evidence Delivered to LAPD -18.pdf
- 20251120-Multi-Agency Oversight Request – CRCD Misrepresent-17.pdf
- 20251120-Coordinated Review Requested – CRCD Housing Placem-16.pdf
- 20251121-Follow-Up on Correspondence Shared-15.pdf
- 20251124-Coordination Requested – LAPD DR C259045687 _ LAHS-14.pdf
- 20251126-Escalation Required – Provider Fraud, Misrepresent-12.pdf
- 20251124-Follow-Up on DR C259045687 – Request for Assignmen-13.pdf
- 20251203-Update Regarding CRCD _ Project Tipping Point – Ov-11.pdf
- 20251207-Follow-Up_ Housing Placement Concerns & LAPD Comme-22.pdf
- 20251208-Immediate Action Required – Multi-Agency Fraud & J-10.pdf
- 20251212-_ Formal Correction & Request for Agency-Level Cla-8.pdf
- 20251209-ADA EMERGENCY REQUEST – Imminent Harm, Retaliation-9.pdf
- 20251212-Re__ Formal Correction & Request for Agency-Level -7.pdf
- 20251218-[External Message Added] City of Los Angeles recor-2.pdf
- 20251218-[External Message Added] City of Los Angeles recor-3 (1).pdf
- 20251218-[External Message Added] City of Los Angeles recor-3.pdf
- 20251218-[External Message Added] City of Los Angeles recor-4 (1).pdf
- 20251218-[External Message Added] City of Los Angeles recor-4.pdf
- 20251218-[External Message Added] City of Los Angeles recor-5 (1).pdf
- 20251218-[External Message Added] City of Los Angeles recor-5 (2).pdf
- 20251218-[External Message Added] City of Los Angeles recor-5.pdf
- 20251218-[External Message Added] City of Los Angeles recor-5 (3).pdf
- 20251218-[External Message Added] City of Los Angeles recor-6 (1).pdf

- 20251218-[External Message Added] City of Los Angeles recor-6.pdf
- 20251218-[External Message Added] City of Los Angeles recor-6 (2).pdf
- 20251218-[External Message Added] City of Los Angeles recor-7 (1).pdf
- 20251218-[External Message Added] City of Los Angeles recor-7.pdf
- 20251218-[External Message Added] City of Los Angeles recor-8 (1).pdf
- 20251218-CPRA Status-9.pdf
- 20251218-CPRA Status-10.pdf
- 20251218-[External Message Added] City of Los Angeles recor-8.pdf
- 20251218-Fwd_ Kyle Haab - Project Tipping Point CPRA Reques-2.pdf
- 20251218-Fwd_ Kyle Haab - Project Tipping Point CPRA Reques-3 (2).pdf
- 20251218-Fwd_ Kyle Haab - Project Tipping Point CPRA Reques-3.pdf
- 20251218-Kyle Haab - Project Tipping Point CPRA Request-4 (2).pdf
- 20251218-Kyle Haab - Project Tipping Point CPRA Request-4 (1).pdf
- 20251219-City of Los Angeles NextRequest Daily Digest for L-2.pdf
- 20251218-Kyle Haab - Project Tipping Point CPRA Request-4.pdf
- 20251219-City of Los Angeles NextRequest Daily Digest-1 (1).pdf
- 20251219-City of Los Angeles NextRequest Daily Digest-1 (2).pdf
- 20251219-City of Los Angeles NextRequest Daily Digest-1 (3).pdf
- 20251219-City of Los Angeles NextRequest Daily Digest-2.pdf
- 20251219-City of Los Angeles NextRequest Daily Digest-1.pdf
- 20251219-City of Los Angeles NextRequest Daily Digest-3.pdf
- Casenote.pdf
- ADULT MCS_BH_145621_2024_09_AD_INVOICE.pdf
- ADULT MCS_BH_145621_2024_09_AD_INVOICE (1).pdf
- CPRA Request Status 12.18.25 (1).pdf
- chatgpt-1760363064663.pdf
- CPRA Request Status 12.18.25.pdf
- CS LOG 25-26.pdf

- MCS BH - DW Participant_Summary PY 2024-25 (1).pdf
- MCS BH - DW Participant_Summary PY 2024-25.pdf
- MCS BH Closeout Desk Review Report TEMPLATE DRAFT 071625 (1).pdf
- MCS BH Closeout Desk Review Report TEMPLATE DRAFT 071625.pdf
- MCS BH ADULT ENROLLED CALJOBS REPORT (1).pdf
- MCS BH ADULT ENROLLED CALJOBS REPORT.pdf
- MCS BH COVER LETTER Closeout Desk Review Cover Letter PY 2024-25 (1).pdf
- MCS BH COVER LETTER Closeout Desk Review Cover Letter PY 2024-25.pdf
- chatgpt-1757696126280.pdf
- MCS BH DW ENROLLED CALJOBS REPORT (1).pdf
- MCS BH DW ENROLLED CALJOBS REPORT.pdf
- MCS BHAdultParticipantSummary (1).pdf
- MCS BHAdultParticipantSummary.pdf
- MCS BOYLE HEIGHTS DW ENROLLED INDIVIDUAL REPORT (1).pdf
- MCS BOYLE HEIGHTS DW ENROLLED INDIVIDUAL REPORT.pdf
- MCS BOYLE HEIGHTS ENROLLED INDIVIDUAL REPORTS (1).pdf
- MCS_BH_145621_2024_09_DW_INVOICE (1).pdf
- MCS BOYLE HEIGHTS ENROLLED INDIVIDUAL REPORTS.pdf
- MCS_BH_145621_2024_09_DW_INVOICE.pdf
- MCS_BH_145621_2025_05_AD_INVOICE (1).pdf
- MCS-BH 1st Quarter Quality of Service Cover Letter PY 2024-25 (1).pdf
- MCS_BH_145621_2025_05_AD_INVOICE.pdf
- MCS-BH 1st Quarter Quality of Service Cover Letter PY 2024-25.pdf
- MCS_BH_145621_2025_05_DW_INVOICE (1).pdf
- MCS_BH_145621_2025_05_DW_INVOICE.pdf
- YOUR AGENCY 1st Quarter Quality of Service Monitor Site Visit Report TEMPLATE (1).pdf
- YOUR AGENCY 1st Quarter Quality of Service Monitor Site Visit Report TEMPLATE.pdf
- Screenshot_20250912_115906_Chrome.pdf
- Screenshot_20251108_092440_Gmail.pdf
- 20251111-“ADA and WIOA Compliance Complaint – CRC.pdf
- 20251111-Fwd_ “ADA and WIOA Compliance Complaint – CRCD _ P-13.pdf
- 20251111-Fwd_ “ADA and WIOA Compliance Complaint .pdf

- 20251112-Fwd_ “ADA and WIOA Compliance Complaint – CRCD _ P-12.pdf
- 20251112-Re_“ADA and WIOA Compliance Complaint – .pdf
- 20251112-Fwd_ “ADA and WIOA Compliance Complaint .pdf
- 20251112-Re_“ADA and WIOA Compliance Complaint – CRCD _ Pro-9.pdf
- 20251114-Re_“ADA and WIOA Compliance Complaint – .pdf
- 20251114-Re_“ADA and WIOA Compliance Complaint – -1.pdf
- 20251114-Re_“ADA and WIOA Compliance Complaint – CRCD _ Pro-11.pdf
- 20251114-Re_“ADA and WIOA Compliance Complaint – -2.pdf
- 20251218-Fwd_ Kyle Haab - Project Tipping Point CPRA Reques-3.pdf
- 20251218-Kyle Haab - Project Tipping Point CPRA Request-4.pdf
- 20241120-MCS-Boyle Heights 1st Quarter Report-1.pdf
- 20241120-MCS-Boyle Heights 1st Quarter Report-2.pdf
- 24-25 Closeout Hollywood-07092025162201 (1).pdf
- 20250806-MCS BH CLOSEOUT DESK REVIEW-1 (1).pdf
- 20250806-MCS BH CLOSEOUT DESK REVIEW-1.pdf
- 24-25 Closeout Hollywood-07092025162201.pdf
- 20251114-Escalation – Conflicting Jurisdictio.pdf
- 20251119-Coordination Request – Evidence Delivered to LAPD -18.pdf
- 20251120-Coordinated Review Requested – CRCD Housing Placem-16.pdf
- 20251120-Multi-Agency Oversight Request – CRCD Misrepresent-17.pdf
- 20251124-Coordination Requested – LAPD DR C259045687 _ LAHS-14.pdf
- 20251121-Follow-Up on Correspondence Shared-15.pdf
- 20251124-Follow-Up on DR C259045687 – Request for Assignmen-13.pdf
- 20251111-Evidence Update – CRCD _ Project Tipping Point Fun-23.pdf
- 20251203-Update Regarding CRCD _ Project Tipping Point – Ov-11.pdf
- 20251126-Escalation Required – Provider Fraud, Misrepresent-12.pdf
- 20251208-Immediate Action Required – Multi-Agency Fraud & J-10.pdf
- 20251207-Follow-Up_ Housing Placement Concerns & LAPD Comme-22.pdf
- 20251209-ADA EMERGENCY REQUEST – Imminent Harm, Retaliation-9.pdf

- 20251212-Re__ Formal Correction & Request for Agency-Level -7.pdf
- 20251212-_ Formal Correction & Request for Agency-Level Cla-8.pdf
- 20251218-[External Message Added] City of Los Angeles recor-2.pdf
- 20251218-[External Message Added] City of Los Angeles recor-3 (1).pdf
- 20251218-[External Message Added] City of Los Angeles recor-3.pdf
- 20251218-[External Message Added] City of Los Angeles recor-4.pdf
- 20251218-[External Message Added] City of Los Angeles recor-4 (1).pdf
- 20251218-[External Message Added] City of Los Angeles recor-5 (1).pdf
- 20251218-[External Message Added] City of Los Angeles recor-5 (2).pdf
- 20251218-[External Message Added] City of Los Angeles recor-5 (3).pdf
- 20251218-[External Message Added] City of Los Angeles recor-5.pdf
- 20251218-[External Message Added] City of Los Angeles recor-6 (1).pdf
- 20251218-[External Message Added] City of Los Angeles recor-6 (2).pdf
- 20251218-[External Message Added] City of Los Angeles recor-6.pdf
- 20251218-[External Message Added] City of Los Angeles recor-7 (1).pdf
- 20251218-[External Message Added] City of Los Angeles recor-8 (1).pdf
- 20251218-[External Message Added] City of Los Angeles recor-7.pdf
- 20251218-[External Message Added] City of Los Angeles recor-8.pdf
- 20251218-CPRA Status-9.pdf
- 20251218-CPRA Status-10.pdf
- 20251218-Fwd_ Kyle Haab - Project Tipping Point CPRA Reques-3.pdf
- 20251218-Kyle Haab - Project Tipping Point CPRA Request-4 (1).pdf
- 20251218-Fwd_ Kyle Haab - Project Tipping Point CPRA Reques-2.pdf
- 20251218-Kyle Haab - Project Tipping Point CPRA Request-4.pdf

- 20251219-City of Los Angeles NextRequest Daily Digest-1 (1).pdf
- 20251219-City of Los Angeles NextRequest Daily Digest for L-2.pdf
- 20251219-City of Los Angeles NextRequest Daily Digest-1 (2).pdf
- 20251219-City of Los Angeles NextRequest Daily Digest-1 (3).pdf
- 20251219-City of Los Angeles NextRequest Daily Digest-2.pdf
- 20251219-City of Los Angeles NextRequest Daily Digest-1.pdf
- 20251219-City of Los Angeles NextRequest Daily Digest-3.pdf
- Casenote.pdf
- ADULT MCS_BH_145621_2024_09_AD_INVOICE.pdf
- ADULT MCS_BH_145621_2024_09_AD_INVOICE (1).pdf
- chatgpt-1760363064663.pdf
- CPRA Request Status 12.18.25 (1).pdf
- CS LOG 25-26.pdf
- CPRA Request Status 12.18.25.pdf
- MCS BH - DW Participant_Summary PY 2024-25 (1).pdf
- MCS BH - DW Participant_Summary PY 2024-25.pdf
- MCS BH Closeout Desk Review Report TEMPLATE DRAFT 071625 (1).pdf
- MCS BH ADULT ENROLLED CALJOBS REPORT (1).pdf
- MCS BH ADULT ENROLLED CALJOBS REPORT.pdf
- MCS BH Closeout Desk Review Report TEMPLATE DRAFT 071625.pdf
- MCS BH COVER LETTER Closeout Desk Review Cover Letter PY 2024-25 (1).pdf
- chatgpt-1757696126280.pdf
- MCS BH COVER LETTER Closeout Desk Review Cover Letter PY 2024-25.pdf
- MCS BH DW ENROLLED CALJOBS REPORT (1).pdf
- MCS BH DW ENROLLED CALJOBS REPORT.pdf
- MCS BHAdultParticipantSummary (1).pdf
- MCS BHAdultParticipantSummary.pdf
- MCS BOYLE HEIGHTS DW ENROLLED INDIVIDUAL REPORT.pdf
- MCS BOYLE HEIGHTS DW ENROLLED INDIVIDUAL REPORT (1).pdf
- MCS BOYLE HEIGHTS ENROLLED INDIVIDUAL REPORTS (1).pdf
- MCS BOYLE HEIGHTS ENROLLED INDIVIDUAL REPORTS.pdf
- MCS_BH_145621_2024_09_DW_INVOICE (1).pdf

- MCS_BH_145621_2024_09_DW_INVOICE.pdf
- MCS_BH_145621_2025_05_AD_INVOICE (1).pdf
- MCS_BH_145621_2025_05_AD_INVOICE.pdf
- MCS_BH_145621_2025_05_DW_INVOICE (1).pdf
- MCS-BH 1st Quarter Quality of Service Cover Letter PY 2024-25 (1).pdf
- MCS-BH 1st Quarter Quality of Service Cover Letter PY 2024-25.pdf
- MCS_BH_145621_2025_05_DW_INVOICE.pdf
- YOUR AGENCY 1st Quarter Quality of Service Monitor Site Visit Report TEMPLATE (1).pdf
- Screenshot_20250912_115906_Chrome.pdf
- YOUR AGENCY 1st Quarter Quality of Service Monitor Site Visit Report TEMPLATE.pdf
- Screenshot_20251108_092440_Gmail.pdf
- 2.1City of Los Angeles Mail - [External Me...pdf

View Request 26-6247

https://lacity.nextrequest.com/requests/26-6247

CIVICPLUS
NextRequest

Questions about your request? Reply to this email or sign in to contact staff at City of Los Angeles.

Technical support: See our help page

EXHIBIT G

EWDD CPRA 26-6249 closure/no-responsive-record lane

Source file: Gmail - Your City of Los Angeles public records request #26-6249 has been closed(2).PDF
Pages: 1

Relevance: Shows narrower no-record/referral outcome requiring reconciliation with 26-6247.

The full source document follows this cover page.

Your City of Los Angeles public records request #26-6249 has been closed.

1 message

publicrecords@lacity.org <messages@nextrequest.com>
Reply-to: lacity_26-6249-requester-notes@inbound.nextrequest.com
To: kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

Thu, Apr 30, 2026 at 12:26 PM

-- Attach a non-image file and/or reply ABOVE THIS LINE with a message, and it will be sent to staff on this request. --

City of Los Angeles Public Records

Record request #26-6249 has been closed.

The closure reason supplied was:

This request is now closed. Please refer to the information posted on this request.

View Request 26-6249

https://lacity.nextrequest.com/requests/26-6249

CIVICPLUS NextRequest

Questions about your request? Reply to this email or sign in to contact staff at City of Los Angeles.

Technical support: See our help page

EXHIBIT H

EWDD CPRA 26-6247 and 26-6249 extension / message records

Source file: Gmail - [External Message Added] City of Los Angeles public records request #26-6247(1).PDF
Pages: 2

Relevance: Shows City request administration for 26-6247.

The full source document follows this cover page.

[External Message Added] City of Los Angeles public records request #26-6247

1 message

publicrecords@lacity.org <messages@nextrequest.com>
Reply-to: lacity_26-6247-requester-notes@inbound.nextrequest.com
To: kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

Mon, Apr 20, 2026 at 7:38 AM

-- Attach a non-image file and/or reply ABOVE THIS LINE with a message, and it will be sent to staff on this request. --

City of Los Angeles Public Records

A message was sent to you regarding record request #26-6247:

Good morning Mr. Kyle Haab,

This message is to acknowledge receipt of California Public Records Act **Request 26-6247** submitted to the Economic and Workforce Development Department on April 8, 2026.

The Department has conducted an initial review and identified records responsive to your request that are subject to disclosure.

At this time, we anticipate that responsive documents will be produced by June 30, 2026.

If additional time is needed to complete the production, we will reach out with an update on the revised timeline as soon as it becomes available.

Thank you.

View Request 26-6247

https://lacity.nextrequest.com/requests/26-6247

Questions about your request? Reply to this email or sign in to contact staff at City of Los Angeles.

Technical support: See our help page

EXHIBIT I

EWDD CPRA 26-6249 extension / message record

Source file: Gmail - [External Message Added] City of Los Angeles public records request #26-6249(6).PDF
Pages: 2

Relevance: Shows City request administration for 26-6249.

The full source document follows this cover page.

[External Message Added] City of Los Angeles public records request #26-6249

1 message

publicrecords@lacity.org <messages@nextrequest.com> Mon, Apr 20, 2026 at 7:49 AM
Reply-to: lacity_26-6249-requester-notes@inbound.nextrequest.com
To: kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

-- Attach a non-image file and/or reply ABOVE THIS LINE with a message, and it will be sent to staff on this request. --

City of Los Angeles Public Records

A message was sent to you regarding record request #26-6249:

Good morning Mr. Kyle Haab,

This message is to acknowledge receipt of your California Public Records Act (CPRA) **Request 26-6249**, which was submitted to the Economic and Workforce Development Department (EWDD) on April 8, 2026.

Pursuant to the provisions of the California Public Records Act, the EWDD is extending the initial response period by an additional fourteen (14) days. This extension is necessary to allow sufficient time to determine whether any records responsive to your request are in the Department's possession, custody, or control.

We will reach out to you if we have any questions or updates regarding your request.

Thank you.

View Request 26-6249

https://lacity.nextrequest.com/requests/26-6249

Questions about your request? Reply to this email or sign in to contact staff at City of Los Angeles.

Technical support: See our help page

EXHIBIT J

EWDD CPRA 26-6249 supplemental / message record

Source file: Gmail - [External Message Added] City of Los Angeles public records request #26-6249(7).PDF
Pages: 2

Relevance: Additional City 26-6249 administrative record.

The full source document follows this cover page.

[External Message Added] City of Los Angeles public records request #26-6249

1 message

publicrecords@lacity.org <messages@nextrequest.com>
Reply-to: lacity_26-6249-requester-notes@inbound.nextrequest.com
To: kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

Thu, Apr 30, 2026 at 12:25 PM

-- Attach a non-image file and/or reply ABOVE THIS LINE with a message, and it will be sent to staff on this request. --

City of Los Angeles Public Records

A message was sent to you regarding record request #26-6249:

Hello Mr. Haab,

In response to CPRA Request 26-6249, we have determined that there are no records responsive to your request.

Project Tipping Point is not a program administered by the City of Los Angeles, Economic and Workforce Development Department.

For questions regarding the administration or records related to your participation in Project Tipping Point, please contact Latea Davis at the Coalition for Responsible Community Development (CRCD) at ldavis@coalitionrcd.org.

Thank you.

View Request 26-6249

https://lacity.nextrequest.com/requests/26-6249

CIVICPLUS
NextRequest

Questions about your request? Reply to this email or sign in to contact staff at City of Los Angeles.

Technical support: See our help page

EXHIBIT K

DOJ PRA 26-949 / PIU 1508015 / DMFEA 36332332 search-reconciliation packet

Source file: DOJ_PRA_26-949_Supplemental_Search_Clarification_With_Exhibits_June12_2026.pdf
Pages: 7

Relevance: Shows PIU referral to Housing Justice Team, PRA no-record issue, and DMFEA referral to DHCS.

The full source document follows this cover page.

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

SUPPLEMENTAL PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST, SEARCH-RECONCILIATION NOTICE, AND EXHIBIT INDEX

Requester: Kyle David Haab
Prior request: PRA No. 26-949
Related DOJ reference: PIU No. 1508015
Related DMFEA reference: 36332332

This supplement is narrowly directed to records maintained by the California Department of Justice concerning its own intake, routing, component referral, search, response, and disposition activity. It does not request records maintained solely by LAPD, LASD, Los Angeles County, LAHSA, JCOD, DHCS, DOL, HUD, or another outside agency.

I. Critical Record Reconciliation

On April 15, 2026, the DOJ Public Inquiry Unit issued a letter under PIU No. 1508015 stating that the housing complaint/tip had been forwarded to DOJ's Housing Justice Team. The letter further explained that complaints and tips may contribute to pattern-or-practice review, formal investigation, or judicial enforcement, while noting that DOJ may be unable to provide investigative updates.

On April 16, 2026, DOJ issued its final response to PRA No. 26-949, stating that it searched logical places and consulted knowledgeable people but identified no responsive records. The request expressly sought DOJ intake, routing, review, referral, communication, determination, closure, and internal-tracking records concerning the same underlying submissions.

Those two letters create a specific, document-supported search-reconciliation question: what DOJ record, system entry, email, routing instruction, transmittal, assignment note, or case-management action supported the April 15 statement that the matter was forwarded to the Housing Justice Team, and was that component and its repositories included in the PRA 26-949 search?

On April 30, 2026, DOJ's Division of Medi-Cal Fraud and Elder Abuse issued a separate letter under Reference No. 36332332 stating that it declined the complaint for DMFEA investigation and forwarded it to the Department of Health Care Services. That letter likewise implies the existence of at least some DOJ intake, review, referral, or transmittal record.

These documents do not, by themselves, establish intentional withholding, record destruction, criminal conduct, or an inadequate search as a legal conclusion. They do support a focused supplemental search and a written explanation of the components, custodians, systems, and referral records examined.

II. Targeted Supplemental Records Request

1. **PIU No. 1508015:** intake records, complaint/tip records, case-management entries, routing notes, component-transfer records, transmittal emails, attachment inventories, referral metadata, assignment records, closure or status codes, and communications reflecting the April 15, 2026 forwarding to the Housing Justice Team.

2. **Housing Justice Team:** records showing receipt, rejection, acceptance, screening, assignment, return, transfer, closure, or other disposition of PIU No. 1508015 or the underlying complaint/tip.

3. **PRA No. 26-949 search administration:** search instructions, office/component referrals, custodian lists, systems and repositories searched, search terms, date ranges, knowledgeable persons consulted, search responses, collection logs, and records showing whether PIU and the Housing Justice Team were searched.

4. **PRA No. 26-949 response preparation:** nonprivileged drafts, routing notes, approvals, correspondence, and records identifying the factual basis for the April 16, 2026 no-responsive-record determination.

5. **DMFEA No. 36332332:** intake, screening, review, decision, referral, transmittal, recipient, date-sent, attachment inventory, routing metadata, and communications concerning the stated referral to DHCS.

6. **Named DOJ personnel and addresses:** nonexempt communications, routing records, or case-management entries involving Caitlin Noble, Jami Cantore, Sandra Barrientos, Caroline Hughes, the Public Inquiry Unit, the Housing Justice Team, DMFEA Complaint Intake, or other DOJ personnel concerning PIU 1508015, PRA 26-949, DMFEA 36332332, LAPD C259045687, LAPD C269013241, OCI 2025-23289, or OCI 2026-23965.

7. **Unsent or pending responses:** any written response, referral notice, closure notice, jurisdictional determination, or disposition prepared but not transmitted to the requester.

III. Search Parameters and Production Instructions

1. Search all known requester name forms: Kyle David Haab, Kyle Robert Haab, and Kyle Robert David Haab.

2. Search the email variants kyle.dietz99@gmail.com, kyledietz99@gmail.com, and enjoithetime999@gmail.com.

3. Search exact and punctuation-variable forms of PIU 1508015, PRA 26-949, DMFEA 36332332, C259045687, C269013241, 2025-23289, and 2026-23965.

4. Search DOJ email, case-management, complaint-intake, correspondence-tracking, referral, shared-drive, archived, and component-specific systems reasonably likely to contain responsive material.

5. Produce records electronically by email or secure download where available.

6. For withheld material, identify the record or category, the withholding basis, and whether reasonably segregable nonexempt portions can be released.

7. If DOJ again concludes that no responsive records exist, identify the components, custodians, repositories, search terms, date ranges, and knowledgeable persons consulted, and explain whether the April 15 PIU referral statement was generated from a system or record not included in the prior search.

IV. Requested Clarification

Please state whether this submission will be treated as: (a) a new PRA request; (b) a supplemental request associated with PRA No. 26-949; or (c) a request for reconsideration or additional search. Please provide the assigned request number and the responsible DOJ Public Records Coordinator.

V. Exhibit Index

Exhibit	Date / reference	Document and relevance
A	Apr. 15, 2026 PIU 1508015	DOJ Public Inquiry Unit letter stating that the housing complaint/tip was forwarded to the Housing Justice Team.
B	Apr. 16, 2026 PRA 26-949	DOJ final response stating that no responsive records were identified after a search of logical places and consultation with knowledgeable people.
C	Apr. 30, 2026 DMFEA 36332332	DMFEA letter stating that the complaint was declined for DMFEA investigation and forwarded to DHCS.

Additional cross-agency correspondence concerning LAPD Complaint 26-001767, County OCI matters, DHCS routing, LAHSA's limited funding-jurisdiction closure, and later DOJ recipients is preserved separately. It is not appended here in order to keep this DOJ request limited to DOJ's own records and avoid an unnecessarily broad attachment set.

VI. Declaration of Accuracy

The statements in this supplement are based on the attached source records. The attached source records control over this summary. This supplement seeks records and search clarification; it does not assert that DOJ has made a merits finding concerning the underlying allegations.

Date: _____ Signature: _____
Kyle David Haab

ROB BONTA
Attorney General

State of California
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

PUBLIC INQUIRY UNIT
P.O. BOX 944255
SACRAMENTO, CA 94244-2550
(916) 210-6276
TOLL FREE: (800) 952-5225
TTY: CA Relay Service
(800) 735-2922

April 15, 2026

PIU: 1508015

Kyle Haab
1551 E 106th Street #3/4
Los Angeles, CA 90002

Dear Kyle Haab:

Thank you for contacting the Office of the Attorney General and submitting your housing complaint/tip. We appreciate your bringing this matter to our attention.

We have forwarded your complaint to our Housing Justice Team. This team seeks to address the housing crisis broadly, across different areas of law, to ensure safe housing is available for everyone. Where appropriate, the Attorney General may enforce state laws to increase housing supply and provide housing security and stability to all Californians. Complaints and tips such as yours are critical to developing information about patterns or practices that may lead to formal investigation or a judicial enforcement action by our office. Thus, what originates as a local dispute may become a matter of broad public interest, warranting the Attorney General's attention and/or intervention.

Attorney General's Office investigations are generally subject to confidentiality restrictions, so we may be unable to provide updates or further information regarding the handling of the matter. Further, when this office files a legal action, it acts on behalf of the People of the State of California to enforce the requirements of state law. Although our actions do sometimes result in restitution for victims, state law prohibits the Attorney General from acting directly on behalf of an individual or private entities seeking judicial relief.

We encourage you to continue to work with your local government representatives towards a resolution of your issue. For complaints against city employees or agencies, address your complaint to the head of the city agency, the office of the city attorney, the office of the mayor, and your representative on the city council. For complaints against county employees or agencies, address your complaint to the head of the county agency, the office of the county counsel, and your representative on the county Board of Supervisors.

If you feel your complaint about improper governmental activities has not been addressed satisfactorily by the local government agency, consider submitting your complaint to the county grand jury. Contact information is available from the California Grand Jurors Association at: https://cgja.org/grand-jury-contact-information-by-county/.

If you need legal advice or representation, we suggest you consult with a private or legal aid attorney. You may obtain a referral to a certified lawyer referral service by contacting the State Bar at (866) 442-2529 (toll-free in California) or (415) 538-2250 (from outside California), or via the State Bar of California website. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may wish to contact your local legal aid office. For a referral, please visit http://lawhelpca.org/ and click on the Find Legal Help tab. Members of the armed forces and their dependents can also obtain legal assistance from their local JAG legal assistance office, http://legalassistance.law.af.mil/content/locator.php

For information about tenants' rights, please see https://oag.ca.gov/consumers/general/landlord-tenant-disputes. Other resources include the California Courts' self-help housing information at http://www.courts.ca.gov/selfhelp-housing.htm, which covers topics such as evictions and security deposits, and http://lawhelpca.org/subtopics/landlord-and-tenant-issues.

Please be assured that your complaint is receiving the attention it deserves. While we are not able to offer direct legal assistance to you, we sincerely appreciate your efforts in helping us address matters of public concern. Thank you again for reaching out to us.

Sincerely,
Public Inquiry Unit

For ROB BONTA
Attorney General

CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Rob Bonta
Attorney General

455 GOLDEN GATE AVENUE, SUITE 11000
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-7004

Public: (415) 510-4400
Telephone: (415) 510-3498
Facsimile: (415) 703-1107
E-Mail: Caitlin.Noble@doj.ca.gov

April 16, 2026

Kyle David Haab
1551 ¾ E. 106th St.
Los Angeles, CA 90002
Kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

RE: Public Records Act request 26-949

Dear Kyle David Haab:

This correspondence is in response to your request, received by the California Department of Justice, Office of the Attorney General on April 9, 2026, in which you sought records pursuant to the Public Records Act as set forth in Government Code Section 7822.000, et seq.

> I request all non-exempt DOJ-held records showing what DOJ did with my submissions concerning CRCD, Project Tipping Point, Amity Foundation, housing assistance, privacy / records issues, identity-theft issues, and the tenancy / document chain tied to 1551 E. 106th Street, Los Angeles, CA 90002, Unit 3/4. Please include intake, routing, review, referral, communication, determination, closure, and internal tracking records.
>
> Relevant identifiers: DOJ Civil Rights 679521-CMLR; HHS OCR 651319; DHCS NEW-2026-01-18-8837; HUD FHEO 860997; HUD CAS-886647-F8H2X; HUD CRM 0435430; Senator Schiff 2026-9DMA-FLD-00015; LAPD C259045687; LAPD C269013241; LAPD 260327900363; County / OCI 2025-23289; County / OCI 2026-23965; 25CMFL00694; 2:26-cv-00802-JFW-AS; IC3 8cbf126972f24eee84729c50b87bf34d

///

We searched in logical places and with knowledgeable people but identified no records responsive to this request. This concludes the Department's response.

Sincerely,

CAITLIN W. NOBLE
Supervising Deputy Attorney General

For ROB BONTA
Attorney General

CWN:

SF2025401063
45039047

CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Rob Bonta
Attorney General

Division of Medi-Cal Fraud & Elder Abuse
P. O. Box 944255
Sacramento, CA 94244-2550
Fax: (916) 263-2565

April 30, 2026

Kyle David Haab
Kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

Re: Complaint Declined and Referred

To Whom It May Concern:

Kenta Estrada Darley
DMFEA Reference Number: 36332332

Thank you for submitting a complaint to the Division of Medi-Cal Fraud and Elder Abuse (DMFEA). We have completed our review of the information provided in your complaint. After careful assessment, we have determined the following:

Your complaint does not meet the criteria for investigation by the DMFEA. We have forwarded your complaint to the Department of Health Care Services as parts of your complaint appear to fall within their scope of authority. While we will take no further action, we appreciate you bringing this matter to our attention.

We value the public's role in helping us protect vulnerable individuals and safeguard the Medi-Cal program. Thank you again for your concern and commitment to reporting potential fraud or abuse.

In the future if you suspect Medi-Cal fraud or elder abuse, not related to this investigation, please resubmit the online complaint form on the California Attorney General Website at https://oag.ca.gov/dmfea/reporting or call the complaint line at (800) 722-0432.

Sincerely,

COMPLAINT INTAKE UNIT
Division of Medi-Cal Fraud and Elder Abuse

For ROB BONTA
Attorney General

EXHIBIT L

DHCS citizen complaint and fraud-routing clarification thread

Source file: Gmail - Follow-Up — DHCS Citizen Complaint _ LGA-Fraud Routing — NEW-2026-01-18-8837, L109105-040626, Fraud #261231056, D(6).PDF
Pages: 3

Relevance: Shows DHCS routing/closure language and remaining HHS/CMS/OCR search-scope relevance.

The full source document follows this cover page.

Follow-Up — DHCS Citizen Complaint / LGA-Fraud Routing — NEW-2026-01-18-8837, L109105-040626, Fraud #261231056, DMFEA #36332332

2 messages

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Wed, May 27, 2026 at 2:02 PM
To: Fraud@DHCS <fraud@dhcs.ca.gov>, White, Stanley@DHCS <stanley.white@dhcs.ca.gov>, Angelica.Rey@dhcs.ca.gov, eeo@bcsh.ca.gov, info@bcsh.ca.gov, hr@bcsh.ca.gov
Cc: Melinda.Castro@dhcs.ca.gov, Melissa.Bacon@dhcs.ca.gov, Berotti, Audrey@DHCS <audrey.berotti@dhcs.ca.gov>, Michelle.Touhey@dhcs.ca.gov

Dear DHCS LGA Inquiries, DHCS Fraud Intake, Mr. White, Ms. Rey, Ms. Castro, Ms. Bacon, Ms. Touhey, and Ms. Berotti,

I am following up to request routing clarification regarding my pending DHCS citizen complaint and related DHCS submissions.

My understanding is that DHCS LGA directed me to submit the fraud/waste/abuse or mismanagement-related materials to Fraud@dhcs.ca.gov. I did so. Fraud Intake accepted information under Medi-Cal Fraud Reference #261231056. The same matter later involved Stanley White, Angelica Rey, Melinda Castro, Melissa Bacon, Michelle Touhey, and Audrey Berotti.

I understand that DHCS may not be able to disclose confidential investigative details or complaint-resolution information. I am not requesting protected investigative details. I am requesting administrative routing clarification so the matter is not lost between DHCS LGA, Fraud Intake, Audits & Investigations, Licensing and Certification, Privacy/HIPAA/GovQA, OLS, DMFEA, and JCOD.

The current issue is that different DHCS lanes appear to have addressed different parts of the matter:

1. Fraud Intake accepted information under Medi-Cal Fraud Reference #261231056 and related DHCS records-integrity concerns.
2. Shawna / Fraud Intake later advised that concerns about Amity may involve Facility Licensing / Licensing and Certification.
3. Angelica Rey reviewed the Amity DHCS-licensed provider-status lane and pointed the CFCI / Amity / CRCD grant issue to JCOD.
4. Stanley White / DHCS Audits & Investigations reviewed the housing subsidy grant portion and stated that JCOD is the appropriate county authority for CFCI grant-administration issues.
5. My pending citizen complaint and LGA coordination issue are broader than the housing subsidy grant alone and include possible DHCS-specific routing, beneficiary-record, source-system, privacy/disclosure, incident-reporting, provider-status, fraud-intake, records-integrity, amendment/correction, and cross-reference issues.

Relevant identifiers:

DHCS NEW-2026-01-18-8837
DHCS GovQA / HIPAA request L109105-040626
DHCS / Gainwell response C-12024866-Q6L7
Medi-Cal Fraud Reference #261231056
DMFEA Reference #36332332
Medi-Cal CIN 96575025D
HHS OCR 651319 and 680559
County Fraud / OCI 2025-23289 and 2026_23965
CRCD / Project Tipping Point ID 1233369910
CFCI-PA3-056-2023
LAHSA / HMIS ID 8F8CD9D8B
LAPD C259045687 and C269013241
CDSS State Hearing SHN-105293108
IHSS Case 2724991
Federal case CV26-802-UA / 2:26-cv-00802-JFW-AS

Please confirm, in writing:

1. whether LGA's instruction to email Fraud@dhcs.ca.gov satisfied the required DHCS submission path for the citizen complaint;
2. whether Medi-Cal Fraud Reference #261231056 remains open, was referred, was closed, or is informational only, to the extent DHCS may disclose that administratively;
3. whether Stanley White's response closed only the CFCI / housing subsidy grant portion or all DHCS-specific issues;
4. whether Angelica Rey's response closed only the Amity DHCS-licensed-provider lane or the broader DHCS citizen complaint;
5. whether Melinda Castro's direction toward DHCS Investigations resulted in any formal assignment, referral, or closure;
6. whether Melissa Bacon, Michelle Touhey, or Audrey Berotti has any pending role in the citizen complaint, LGA routing, or DHCS incident / records-integrity issue;
7. whether any remaining DHCS-specific issue must be submitted through a separate DHCS form, GovQA request, privacy amendment request, LGA channel, Fraud Intake channel, OLS channel, Licensing channel, or other unit.

I understand that JCOD may own the CFCI / Amity / CRCD grant-administration lane. My request is for DHCS to identify whether any DHCS-owned or DHCS-routed issue remains. If DHCS considers all DHCS lanes closed, please provide a written closure/routing statement identifying the scope of closure.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert Haab
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.comRelevant DHCS / Medi-Cal / state-routing identifiers:

DHCS Incident / records-integrity track: NEW-2026-01-18-8837
DHCS GovQA / HIPAA request: L109105-040626
DHCS / Gainwell response: C-12024866-Q6L7
Medi-Cal Fraud Reference: #261231056
DMFEA Reference: #36332332
Medi-Cal CIN shown in records: 96575025D
DHCS Managed Care Ombudsman Reference: 01869619
DHCS escalation/operator reference: 2131
HHS OCR references: 651319 and 680559
CMS FOIA submission: 2838271
CMS Document No. / PIN: 040320267102 / QTBG

DHCS-linked program / provider / routing identifiers:

Amity Foundation / CFCI / JCOD routing issue
CRCD / Project Tipping Point ID: 1233369910
CFCI contract/reference: CFCI-PA3-056-2023
JCOD / Amity / CRCD rental-assistance lane
Los Angeles County JCOD contact: Avi Bernard / abernard@jcod.lacounty.gov

Cross-reference identifiers relevant to DHCS routing only:

County Fraud / OCI: 2025-23289 and 2026_23965
LAHSA / HMIS ID: 8F8CD9D8B
LAHSA reference: 2526KH-A
LAPD reports: C259045687 and C269013241
CDSS State Hearing: SHN-105293108
IHSS Case: 2724991
Federal case: CV26-802-UA / 2:26-cv-00802-JFW-AS

Addresses relevant to DHCS records-integrity / beneficiary-profile concern:

1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4, Los Angeles, CA 90002
9188 Glenoaks Blvd.

White, Stanley@DHCS <Stanley.White@dhcs.ca.gov> Fri, May 29, 2026 at 8:04 AM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Hi Kyle,

Thank you for speaking with me yesterday regarding your concerns about the housing subsidy grant, your Medi-Cal eligibility, and the possible misuse of your personal information. I am writing to confirm the information we discussed. The housing subsidy grant issue falls outside the jurisdiction of the Department of Health Care Services (DHCS). As noted in our conversation, the Los Angeles County Justice, Care and Opportunities Department (JCOD) is the appropriate entity responsible for the Care First Community Investment (CFCI) program and any issues involving that grant or the third-party administrator, Amity Foundation. DHCS; including the Office of Legal Services, Office of Civil Rights, Local Governmental Agency (LGA) unit, Fraud Intake team, Licensing & Certification, Privacy/HIPAA team, and GovQA, does not have any open incident reports or cases related to this matter or matters involving your Medi-Cal account.

Regarding the concerns you raised about your Medi-Cal eligibility, the Audits & Investigations Division will review the information you have already provided and, if appropriate, determine whether further action is needed. DHCS has

sufficient information to conduct that review, and no additional documentation is required from you at this time. As we discussed, DHCS does not provide updates on complaint reviews or fraud investigations. From DHCS' perspective, all matters you have raised are considered closed with respect to our department's involvement. Going forward there is no need to copy DHCS staff on communications you send to other agencies that are assisting you with your outstanding concerns. Thank you.

Stanley White | Assistant Deputy Director
Audits & Investigations
California Department of Health Care Services
(916) 750-3779

[Quoted text hidden]

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail and any attachments may contain information which is confidential, sensitive, privileged, proprietary or otherwise protected by law. The information is solely intended for the named recipients, other authorized individuals, or a person responsible for delivering it to the authorized recipients. If you are not an authorized recipient of this message, you are not permitted to read, print, retain, copy or disseminate this message or any part of it. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately by return e-mail and delete it from your e-mail inbox, including your deleted items folder.

EXHIBIT M

LAHSA / LAPD / OCI routing and law-enforcement chain

Source file: Gmail - Re_ C259045687 _ C269013241 _ OCI 2025-23289 & 2026-23965 _ DOJ PIU #1508015 _ DOL FOIA 2026067_PC 1-26-0316-003(25).PDF
Pages: 9

Relevance: Shows LAHSA Redd-file custody, OCI referral, LAPD PSB/IAD status, and law-enforcement routing ambiguity.

The full source document follows this cover page.

Re: C259045687 / C269013241 / OCI 2025-23289 & 2026-23965 / DOJ PIU #1508015 / DOL FOIA 2026067/PC 1-26-0316-003/ HUD FOIA-26-FI-RO9-01580/complaint form NO 26-001767

7 messages

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Tue, May 19, 2026 at 11:11 AM
To: Christopher Williams <cwilliams@lahsa.org>, JAY BALGEMINO <37816@lapd.online>, DAVID HWANG <34403@lapd.online>, CHARLES SCHLUND <35549@lapd.online>, CEOP <ceop@bos.lacounty.gov>
Cc: LA County Fraud Hotline <fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov>, AC-CONSTITUENT <constituent@auditor.lacounty.gov>, HUDLACustomerService@hud.gov, Clarke, Adriane J <Adriane.J.Clarke@hud.gov>, cpdgdas@hud.gov, Choi, Chin Woo <ChinWoo.Choi@hud.gov>, FOIAExecSec@hud.gov, foiaregion9@hud.gov, Dela, Ester F <Ester.F.Dela@hud.gov>, Sanford, Ahliyah <asanford@bos.lacounty.gov>, Hills, LaJuannah <lhills@bos.lacounty.gov>, Escobedo, Marina <MEscobedo@bos.lacounty.gov>, Community Relations OIG <communityrelationsoig@lacity.org>

Sergeant Balgemino,

I am providing one supplemental clarification for the PSB / IAD classification review regarding LAPD RMS C259045687 and C269013241.

Christopher Williams, LAHSA Deputy Chief Risk Officer, has now clarified LAHSA's position regarding Christopher Redd's prior review. Mr. Williams stated that LAHSA has custody of Mr. Redd's case file and that he, as Deputy Chief Risk Officer, has access to those records. He further stated that LAHSA referred the matter back to the Los Angeles County Auditor-Controller Office of County Investigations on December 11, 2025, and that a summary of Mr. Redd's findings was provided to OCI as a closure memorandum for LAHSA's involvement in case 2025_23289.

Mr. Williams also clarified that Mr. Redd's findings were limited to concluding that Project Tipping Point was not funded by LAHSA. Based on that response, LAHSA's closure appears to have been jurisdictional/funding-based only, not a merits determination on the underlying identity-theft, falsified-records, consent/authorization, W-9/ACH, landlord/payment, CRCD, HMIS/Freshservice, or minor-child-record issues.

This is material to the PSB / IAD review because LAPD's Commercial Crimes closure should be reviewed against whether LAPD obtained or cross-referenced the LAHSA Redd file, the December 11, 2025 LAHSA-to-OCI closure memorandum, OCI case 2025_23289, any related 2026_23965 record, and the LAHSA Risk Management / HMIS / Freshservice record chain before determining that C259045687 and C269013241 were insufficient.

For clarity, I am not asking PSB to decide LAHSA, CRCD, HUD/HMIS, CFCI, or County Fraud merits. I am asking that the complaint classification consider whether LAPD's investigation and closure explanation accounted for the agency records that LAHSA has now confirmed exist or were referred to OCI.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert Haab
1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4
Los Angeles, CA 90002
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

On Tue, May 19, 2026, 8:43 AM Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> wrote:

> HUD CPD / HUD Los Angeles Field Office / HUD FOIA Region IX,
> I am supplementing my prior HUD FOIA / CPD escalation request because LAHSA has now provided a written response through Christopher Williams, Deputy Chief Risk Officer, regarding LAHSA investigator Christopher Redd's review.
> Based on Mr. Williams' response, LAHSA's institutional position appears to be:
> LAHSA has custody of Mr. Redd's case file, notes, emails, findings, referrals, closure notes, and related records;
> Mr. Williams, as Deputy Chief Risk Officer, has access to those records;
> LAHSA referred the matter back to the Los Angeles County Auditor-Controller Office of County Investigations on December 11, 2025;
> LAHSA submitted a closure memorandum to OCI for case 2025_23289;
> Mr. Redd's findings were limited to concluding that Project Tipping Point was not funded by LAHSA;
> LAHSA's closure was therefore funding-jurisdictional only and was not a merits determination regarding consent, HMIS authorization, Release of Information, data-sharing, CRCD/PTP records, landlord-payment records, W-9/ACH records, household/family-status records, or records involving my minor children;
> LAHSA advised law-enforcement reporting based on allegations involving identity theft and falsification of records by a CRCD staff member;
> LAHSA considers its role closed as of December 11, 2025.
> This response is significant because it does not answer the HUD/HMIS source-record issue. LAHSA's conclusion that Project Tipping Point was not LAHSA-funded does not resolve whether LAHSA, local HMIS systems, CRCD, Amity Foundation, AJCC / WorkSource

partners, or related providers had valid consent, Release of Information, HMIS authorization, disclosure authority, access-log support, or data-sharing authority for the records later used or relied on.
I am not asking HUD to decide my family-law case, unlawful-detainer case, criminal allegation, or state-hearing merits. I am requesting written procedural clarification on the correct HUD / CPD / HMIS escalation path now that LAHSA has confirmed its own review was limited to funding jurisdiction only.
Please confirm:
whether HUD CPD considers LAHSA's "not LAHSA-funded" response sufficient to close the separate HMIS consent-source, data-sharing, and records-custody issues;
if not, which HUD office, CPD contact, HMIS lead, grantee/subrecipient custodian, or oversight pathway should receive the unresolved consent/ROI/source-record issue;
whether HUD FOIA 26-FI-RO9-01580 can be aligned with LAHSA's December 11, 2025 closure memorandum to OCI for case 2025_23289;
whether HUD can identify the proper records custodian for consent/ROI records, HMIS authorization records, access logs, disclosure logs, correction-request records, Freshservice/HMIS tickets, Risk Management routing, and LAHSA/OCI transmittal records;
whether HUD has a procedure for unresolved local HMIS source-record disputes when the local HMIS lead or related agency responds only on funding jurisdiction;
whether this matter should be routed through HUD CPD, HUD FOIA, HUD OIG, FHEO, Region IX CPD, LAHSA HMIS, or the local Continuum of Care governance structure.
Relevant identifiers include:
HUD FOIA: 26-FI-RO9-01580
HUD AAQ Question ID: 260629
HUD FHEO Inquiry: 860997
HMIS ID: 8F8CD9D8B
LAHSA Ref.: 2526KH-A
HMIS / LAHSA tickets: 259260, 260627, 267528
County Fraud / OCI: 2025_23289 and 2026_23965
CRCD / Project Tipping Point participant ID: 1233369910
CFCI reference: CFCI-PA3-056-2023
Property: 1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4, Los Angeles, CA 90002
Federal preservation docket: CV26-802-UA / 2:26-cv-00802-JFW-AS
Please preserve and align this message with my prior HUD FOIA / CPD escalation packet and identify the correct office or records custodian for the unresolved consent-source, HMIS authorization, data-sharing, and disclosure-record issues.
Respectfully,
Kyle Robert Haab
1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4
Los Angeles, CA 90002
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
On Sun, May 17, 2026, 5:08 PM Christopher Williams <cwilliams@lahsa.org> wrote:

> Dear Mr. Haab,
>
> Please find responses inserted in red below.
>
>
>
> **Christopher Williams (he/him)**
> Deputy Chief Risk Officer
> Cell: 213-523-0938
> Email: cwilliams@lahsa.org
> www.lahsa.org
>
> *To drive the collaborative strategic vision to create solutions for the crisis of homelessness grounded in hope, compassion, and community.*
>
> *This transmission is intended only for the use of the addressee. If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. Be aware that most communications with LAHSA are subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act. If you received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the sender by replying to this communication or by telephone at **213-523-0938**. Thank you.*
>
> ---
>
> **From:** Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
> **Sent:** Thursday, April 23, 2026 3:04 PM
> **To:** Christopher Williams <cwilliams@lahsa.org>

Cc: LA County Fraud Hotline <fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov>
Subject: Re: LAHSA investigator Christopher Redd

Dear Mr. Williams,

Thank you for confirming that Christopher Redd was the LAHSA investigator who worked on my case and that he is no longer available to discuss LAHSA-related matters.

Because Mr. Redd worked on this matter, I am requesting written clarification regarding the current status, record custody, and outcome of his review.

Please confirm:

1. who now has custody of Mr. Redd's case file, notes, emails, findings, referrals, closure notes, or related records;
LAHSA has custody of his case files, etc. The Deputy Chief Risk Officer has access to them. (That is me.)

2. whether the matter was closed, transferred, referred, or left pending after Mr. Redd left LAHSA;
The matter was referred back to the Office of County Investigations (OCI) on December 11, 2025.

3. who, if anyone, is now assigned to respond to questions regarding Mr. Redd's review;
There are no outstanding questions regarding Mr. Redd's review. If there were to be any, I would be the person to respond.

4. whether LAHSA issued any findings, determinations, referrals, internal notes, or closure explanation concerning my complaints;
As you have been informed, LAHSA concluded that Project Tipping Point, the project you referred to that was being implemented by the Coalition for Responsible Community Development (CRCD), did not receive any funding from LAHSA, and therefore LAHSA would not be investigating it.

5. whether any records from Mr. Redd's review were provided to, discussed with, or referred to the Los Angeles County Auditor-Controller Office of County Investigations, including the Fraud Hotline.
A summary of Mr. Redd's findings were provided to OCI as a closure memorandum for LAHSA's involvement in case 2025_23289 due to Project Tipping Point not being a LAHSA-funded program. His findings were limited to concluding that the program was not funded by LAHSA, which is a requirement for LAHSA investigations.

The consent / authorization issue remains unanswered. Please confirm whether LAHSA, Mr. Redd, or any LAHSA-related system reviewed or obtained records sufficient to show what consent, Release of Information, participant authorization, HMIS authorization, data-sharing authorization, or other written permission allowed CRCD, LAHSA, Amity Foundation, AJCC / WorkSource partners, or related providers to collect, access, disclose, transmit, or rely on my personal information, household information, housing information, landlord information, lease materials, W-9 / ACH materials, case notes, referral records, supportive-services records, or program eligibility records.

Please specifically confirm whether LAHSA has records showing:

1. the date any consent or authorization was signed;

2. the scope of the consent or authorization;

3. the programs, agencies, providers, landlords, or partners covered by the consent;

4. whether the consent covered CRCD, Project Tipping Point, LAHSA, Amity Foundation, Vernon-Central / LATTC WorkSource, AJCC-related activity, housing assistance, landlord contact, or data-sharing activity;

5. whether consent existed before the March 18, 2024 referral/contact activity;

6. whether Mr. Redd reviewed the consent issue before leaving LAHSA;

7. whether any missing, incomplete, expired, or disputed consent issue was identified, referred, or preserved.

Mr. Haab, you have already been provided all HMIS materials pertaining to you. You can review these materials yourself.

I am also requesting clarification regarding LAHSA's prior instruction that I report the matter to law enforcement based on concerns involving fraud and theft. Please confirm:

1. who made that instruction or recommendation;

As I understand it, Mr. Redd recommended that you report the matter to law enforcement based on your apparent allegation of identify theft and falsification of records by a CRCD staff member.

2. whether it was based on LAHSA's review of records, my allegations, CRCD records, landlord-related records, payment records, consent records, or other information;
As I understand it, Mr. Redd recommended that you report the matter to law enforcement based on your apparent allegation of identify theft and falsification of records by a CRCD staff member.

3. whether LAHSA documented the basis for advising law-enforcement reporting;
As I understand it, Mr. Redd recommended that you report the matter to law enforcement based on your apparent allegation of identify theft and falsification of records by a CRCD staff member.

4. whether LAHSA itself made or considered making any referral to law enforcement, the County Auditor-Controller, OCI, County Counsel, LAHD, HUD, or another oversight body;
LAHSA referred the matter back to OCI because it does not involve a LAHSA-funded project. LAHSA did not make any further referrals, as LAHSA had already been informed by you that you had reported it to LAPD.

5. whether LAHSA determined the issue was outside LAHSA's administrative jurisdiction because it involved possible fraud, theft, misuse of funds, or unauthorized handling of records;
LAHSA referred the matter back to OCI because it does not involve a LAHSA-funded project, not based on the specific nature of the allegations.

6. whether any such fraud/theft-related concern remains open, was closed, or was referred elsewhere.
LAHSA referred the matter back to OCI because it does not involve a LAHSA-funded project, not based on the specific nature of the allegations. That referral closed LAHSA's involvement with the matter.

I am also requesting clarification regarding any recording, photographing, audio-recording, video-recording, screenshotting, documenting, retaining, transmitting, or sharing of images, audio, video, or identifying information involving my minor children by CRCD, CRCD staff, Project Tipping Point, housing-support staff, or any related partner.

Please confirm whether LAHSA, Mr. Redd, or any LAHSA-related review identified, received, reviewed, preserved, or was informed of any records involving my minor children, including:

1. photos, videos, audio recordings, screenshots, intake records, household records, case notes, text messages, emails, or attachments involving my children;

2. the date, purpose, program, staff member, and system connected to any such recording or documentation;

3. what consent, parental authorization, guardian authorization, court authorization, media release, participant release, HMIS authorization, or other written permission was relied upon;

4. whether any images, audio, video, or identifying information involving my children were shared internally, externally, or with partner agencies;

5. whether LAHSA or Mr. Redd reviewed this minor-child consent issue before Mr. Redd left LAHSA.
As far as I know, LAHSA did not identify, receive, review, preserve, or get informed of any records involving your minor children. The investigation was limited to the process of determining that Project Tipping Point was not funded by LAHSA, and thus was not an issue for LAHSA to investigate, so LAHSA referred it back to OCI.

My main question is this:

What did LAHSA determine, document, refer, or preserve in connection with Mr. Redd's review of my matter, including the unresolved consent / authorization issue, LAHSA's instruction that I report concerns involving fraud and theft to law enforcement, and any records involving my minor children?
The investigation by Mr. Redd was limited to the process of determining that Project Tipping Point was not funded by LAHSA, and thus was not an issue for LAHSA to investigate, so LAHSA referred it back to OCI.

Please also preserve all records connected to Mr. Redd's handling of my matter, including emails, notes, case summaries, consent records, Release of Information records, HMIS-related records, communications with CRCD, communications with County departments, referral records, closure notes, records involving my minor children, and communications involving the Auditor-Controller / OCI.
LAHSA retains all records for seven years.

Since the LA County Fraud Hotline is copied on this thread, I am also requesting that LAHSA clarify whether it considers this matter open, closed, referred, or outside LAHSA's jurisdiction, and whether OCI has been provided any records or summary related to Mr. Redd's prior involvement.

LAHSA considers its role in this matter closed as of December 11, 2025, when its memorandum for case 2025_23289 was submitted to OCI.

Thank you,

Kyle David Haab
1551 E. 106th St Unit 3/4
Los Angeles, CA 90002
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

On Thu, Apr 23, 2026, 2:50 PM Christopher Williams <cwilliams@lahsa.org> wrote:

> Dear Mr. Haab,
>
> I understand that you have asked the Los Angeles County Department of Auditor-Controller's Office of County Investigations to have the LAHSA investigator who worked on your case, Christopher Redd, to call you.
>
> Mr. Redd moved to another position in another organization last month, and is no longer available to discuss LAHSA-related matters.
>
> Is there a question that I may be able to help you with?
>
> Thank you,
>
> Chris
>
> **Christopher Williams (he/him)**
> Deputy Chief Risk Officer
> Cell: 213-523-0938
> Email: cwilliams@lahsa.org
> www.lahsa.org
>
> *To drive the collaborative strategic vision to create solutions for the crisis of homelessness grounded in hope, compassion, and community.*
>
> *This transmission is intended only for the use of the addressee. If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. Be aware that most communications with LAHSA are subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act. If you received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the sender by replying to this communication or by telephone at **213-523-0938**. Thank you.*

JAY BALGEMINO <37816@lapd.online> Tue, May 19, 2026 at 12:54 PM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Mr. Haab,

I'm not investigating the personnel complaint. It would be assigned to an investigator. I'll forward your email to the investigator once it is assigned.
The investigation of the identity theft reports is the responsibility of Commercial Crimes Division. PSB and IAD only investigates employee misconduct.
As soon as this complaint gets assigned to an investigator, I would let you know and you can forward all your email correspondences to them. Until then, you can hold off
on sending me any correspondence by email.

Thank you very much.

Sergeant II Jay Balgemino

Los Angeles Police Department
Professional Standards Bureau
Complaint Classifications Unit
201 N. Figueroa Street, #600
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Phone: (213) 996-2768
Email: 37816@lapd.online

WARNING: This Communication with its contents may contain confidential and/or legally privileged information. It is solely for the use of the intended recipient(s). Unauthorized interception, review, use or disclosure is prohibited and may violate applicable laws including the Electronic Communication Privacy Act. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of the communication.

From: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 19, 2026 11:11 AM
To: Christopher Williams <cwilliams@lahsa.org>; JAY BALGEMINO <37816@lapd.online>; DAVID HWANG <34403@lapd.online>; CHARLES SCHLUND <35549@lapd.online>; CEOP <ceop@bos.lacounty.gov>
Cc: LA County Fraud Hotline <fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov>; AC-CONSTITUENT <constituent@auditor.lacounty.gov>; HUDLACustomerService@hud.gov <HUDLACustomerService@hud.gov>; Clarke, Adriane J <Adriane.J.Clarke@hud.gov>; cpdgdas@hud.gov <cpdgdas@hud.gov>; Choi, Chin Woo <ChinWoo.Choi@hud.gov>; FOIAExecSec@hud.gov <FOIAExecSec@hud.gov>; foiaregion9@hud.gov <foiaregion9@hud.gov>; Dela, Ester F <Ester.F.Dela@hud.gov>; Sanford, Ahliyah <asanford@bos.lacounty.gov>; Hills, LaJuannah <lhills@bos.lacounty.gov>; Escobedo, Marina <MEscobedo@bos.lacounty.gov>; Community Relations OIG <communityrelationsoig@lacity.org>
Subject: Re: C259045687 / C269013241 / OCI 2025-23289 & 2026-23965 / DOJ PIU #1508015 / DOL FOIA 2026067/PC 1-26-0316-003/ HUD FOIA-26-FI-RO9-01580/complaint form NO 26-001767

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Wed, May 20, 2026 at 1:27 PM
To: JAY BALGEMINO <37816@lapd.online>
Cc: OIG Complaint <oigcomplaint@lapd.online>, Community Relations OIG <communityrelationsoig@lacity.org>, FERNANDO PRIETO <34158@lapd.online>, FRANCIS BOATENG <34909@lapd.online>, DAVID HWANG <34403@lapd.online>, CHARLES SCHLUND <35549@lapd.online>, Caroline.Hughes@doj.ca.gov, Caitlin Noble <Caitlin.Noble@doj.ca.gov>, Sandra.Barrientos@doj.ca.gov, Jami.Cantore@doj.ca.gov, Douglas, Maya <maya.douglas@asm.ca.gov>

Dear Sergeant Balgemino,

I am attaching an updated packet for Complaint Form No. 26-001767 regarding LAPD's handling, routing, review, documentation, status communication, and closure of LAPD reports C259045687 and C269013241.

This attachment is not asking PSB/IAD to decide the full CRCD, LAHSA, HMIS, OCI, housing, benefits, family-law, or civil dispute. The focus is narrow: whether LAPD properly handled and closed C259045687 and C269013241, and whether the reports were properly linked, separated, reviewed, documented, and explained before closure.

Please confirm:

1. whether Complaint Form No. 26-001767 has been assigned to an investigator;
2. the investigator's name, unit, phone number, and email;
3. whether the complaint includes both C259045687 and C269013241;
4. where supplemental evidence should be submitted so it is preserved in the complaint file.

For clarity, I am not requesting another general redirect back to Commercial Crimes Division. CCD already closed the reports, and Captain Boateng directed me to OIG/Internal Affairs. I am requesting the assigned PSB/IAD contact for the complaint concerning CCD's handling and closure of these two reports.

Respectfully,

Kyle Haab
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
[Quoted text hidden]

37816_PSB_With_Prieto.pdf
1 MB

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Thu, May 21, 2026 at 11:44 AM
To: JAY BALGEMINO <37816@lapd.online>
Cc: OIG Complaint <oigcomplaint@lapd.online>, Community Relations OIG <communityrelationsoig@lacity.org>, FERNANDO PRIETO <34158@lapd.online>, FRANCIS BOATENG <34909@lapd.online>, DAVID HWANG <34403@lapd.online>, CHARLES SCHLUND <35549@lapd.online>, Caroline.Hughes@doj.ca.gov, Caitlin Noble <Caitlin.Noble@doj.ca.gov>, Sandra.Barrientos@doj.ca.gov, Jami.Cantore@doj.ca.gov, Douglas, Maya <maya.douglas@asm.ca.gov>

Dear Sergeant Balgemino,

I am following up regarding Complaint Form No. 26-001767 concerning LAPD's handling, routing, documentation, linkage, status communication, and closure of LAPD reports C259045687 and C269013241.

I understand from your May 19, 2026 response that you are not personally investigating the personnel complaint, that the matter will be assigned to an investigator, and that PSB/IAD reviews employee misconduct while Commercial Crimes Division remains responsible for the identity-theft report merits.

To avoid sending duplicate or misrouted materials, please confirm the following when available:

1. Whether Complaint Form No. 26-001767 has been assigned to an investigator;
2. The assigned investigator's name, unit, phone number, and email address;
3. Whether the complaint file includes both LAPD reports C259045687 and C269013241;
4. Whether the complaint is being reviewed as a personnel-handling / misconduct issue involving report routing, investigation, closure explanation, documentation, or failure to consider related agency records;
5. The correct method for submitting supplemental evidence so it is preserved in the complaint file.

For clarity, I am not asking PSB/IAD to decide the full CRCD, LAHSA, HMIS, OCI, housing, benefits, family-law, or civil dispute. I am asking for review of whether LAPD personnel properly handled and closed the two LAPD reports and whether the reports were properly linked, separated, reviewed, documented, and explained.

The reason this remains material is that LAHSA has now confirmed that Christopher Redd's review was limited to determining that Project Tipping Point was not LAHSA-funded, and that LAHSA referred the matter back to OCI on December 11, 2025 with a closure memorandum for case 2025_23289. That means LAHSA's closure was jurisdictional/funding-based only and did not resolve the underlying identity-theft, falsified-records, consent/authorization, W-9/ACH, landlord/payment, CRCD, HMIS, or minor-child-record issues.

Please confirm the assigned investigator or the proper submission path when available. I will hold additional evidence unless requested or until I am provided the correct investigator or intake channel.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert Haab
1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4
Los Angeles, CA 90002
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Tue, May 26, 2026 at 7:20 PM
To: JAY BALGEMINO <37816@lapd.online>, Develyn.Rhodes-Johnson@hud.gov
Cc: OIG Complaint <oigcomplaint@lapd.online>, Community Relations OIG <communityrelationsoig@lacity.org>, FERNANDO PRIETO <34158@lapd.online>, FRANCIS BOATENG <34909@lapd.online>, DAVID HWANG <34403@lapd.online>, CHARLES SCHLUND <35549@lapd.online>, Caroline.Hughes@doj.ca.gov, Caitlin Noble <Caitlin.Noble@doj.ca.gov>, Sandra.Barrientos@doj.ca.gov, Jami.Cantore@doj.ca.gov, Douglas, Maya <maya.douglas@asm.ca.gov>

Dear HUD CPD Coverage Contact,

I received the out-of-office notice for Adriane Clarke and am forwarding this only for coverage/routing awareness.

This concerns HUD / LAHSA / HMIS routing and preservation issues connected to CalVCB Application No. A26-10253806, LAPD reports C259045687 and C269013241, County Fraud / OCI references 2025-23289 and 2026-23965, and LAPD Complaint Form No. 26-

001767.

I am not requesting emergency action or a merits decision. I am asking only that the prior submission be preserved and routed to the proper HUD CPD / HMIS contact if any time-sensitive response is required before Ms. Clarke returns.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert Haab
657-557-4459

kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
[Quoted text hidden]

2 attachments

37816_PSB_Final_With_Commercial_Crimes_Closure_screen.pdf
2.3 MB

HUD_CPD_Escalation_Packet_CORRECTED_LAHSA_Enforcement_Consent_Ripple_May15_2026.pdf
8.4 MB

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Mon, Jun 1, 2026 at 10:10 AM
To: JAY BALGEMINO <37816@lapd.online>, Develyn.Rhodes-Johnson@hud.gov
Cc: OIG Complaint <oigcomplaint@lapd.online>, Community Relations OIG <communityrelationsoig@lacity.org>, FERNANDO PRIETO <34158@lapd.online>, FRANCIS BOATENG <34909@lapd.online>, DAVID HWANG <34403@lapd.online>, CHARLES SCHLUND <35549@lapd.online>, Caroline.Hughes@doj.ca.gov, Caitlin Noble <Caitlin.Noble@doj.ca.gov>, Sandra.Barrientos@doj.ca.gov, Jami.Cantore@doj.ca.gov, Douglas, Maya <maya.douglas@asm.ca.gov>

Dear LAPD Internal Affairs / OIG Complaint Intake,

I am following up regarding LAPD Complaint Form No. 26-001767, which concerns the handling, linkage, classification, closure explanation, and supplemental-evidence path for LAPD reports C259045687 and C269013241.

I understand that Commercial Crimes Division handles the underlying identity-theft report merits, and that PSB / IAD handles employee-conduct and complaint-handling issues. I am not asking IAD to decide the underlying CRCD, LAHSA, HMIS, County Fraud / OCI, CalVCB, housing, or family-law issues.

My request is limited to complaint administration and evidence-routing.

Please confirm:

1. Whether Complaint Form No. 26-001767 has been assigned to an investigator;

2. The assigned investigator's name, unit, phone number, and email address;

3. Whether Complaint Form No. 26-001767 is cross-referenced to both LAPD reports C259045687 and C269013241;

4. Whether supplemental records should be sent to the assigned investigator, LAPD Internal Affairs intake, OIG complaint intake, or another address;

5. Whether future supplemental materials should be held until assignment is confirmed to avoid duplicate or misrouted submissions.

The reason for this request is that these LAPD reports are now being used as supporting law-enforcement records in related agency processes, including CalVCB Application No. A26-10253806 and County / LAHSA / OCI routing records. I need to make sure any supplemental records are preserved in the correct complaint file and sent to the correct investigator.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert Haab
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
[Quoted text hidden]

DAVID HWANG <34403@lapd.online> Mon, Jun 1, 2026 at 2:47 PM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>, JAY BALGEMINO <37816@lapd.online>, Develyn.Rhodes-Johnson@hud.gov <Develyn.Rhodes-Johnson@hud.gov>

Cc: OIG Complaint <oigcomplaint@lapd.online>, Community Relations OIG <communityrelationsoig@lacity.org>, FERNANDO PRIETO <34158@lapd.online>, FRANCIS BOATENG <34909@lapd.online>, CHARLES SCHLUND <35549@lapd.online>, Caroline.Hughes@doj.ca.gov <Caroline.Hughes@doj.ca.gov>, Caitlin Noble <Caitlin.Noble@doj.ca.gov>, Sandra.Barrientos@doj.ca.gov <Sandra.Barrientos@doj.ca.gov>, Jami.Cantore@doj.ca.gov <Jami.Cantore@doj.ca.gov>, Douglas, Maya <maya.douglas@asm.ca.gov>

Good afternoon

This is being investigated by Detective Bureau and has not yet been assigned an investigator. Please be patient as it takes time.

DAVID HWANG, Captain
Internal Affairs Division
(213) 996-2740

From: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, June 1, 2026 10:10 AM
To: JAY BALGEMINO <37816@lapd.online>; Develyn.Rhodes-Johnson@hud.gov <Develyn.Rhodes-Johnson@hud.gov>
Cc: OIG Complaint <oigcomplaint@lapd.online>; Community Relations OIG <communityrelationsoig@lacity.org>; FERNANDO PRIETO <34158@lapd.online>; FRANCIS BOATENG <34909@lapd.online>; DAVID HWANG <34403@lapd.online>; CHARLES SCHLUND <35549@lapd.online>; Caroline.Hughes@doj.ca.gov <Caroline.Hughes@doj.ca.gov>; Caitlin Noble <Caitlin.Noble@doj.ca.gov>; Sandra.Barrientos@doj.ca.gov <Sandra.Barrientos@doj.ca.gov>; Jami.Cantore@doj.ca.gov <Jami.Cantore@doj.ca.gov>; Douglas, Maya <maya.douglas@asm.ca.gov>
[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]

EXHIBIT N

County Fraud / OCI 2025-23289 closure and 2026-23965 open status with CRCD custodian demand thread

Source file: Gmail - Automatic reply_ Formal Demand – Source Authorization, Education-Record Access, PTP 1233369910, CFCI-PA3-056-202(17).PDF
Pages: 12

Relevance: Shows OCI open/closed status and unresolved custodian/source-authorization demand.

The full source document follows this cover page.

Automatic reply: Formal Demand – Source Authorization, Education-Record Access, PTP 1233369910, CFCI-PA3-056-2023, LACCD/LATTC Records-2025-23289 2026-23965

11 messages

Polet Lopez <palopez@coalitionrcd.org> Wed, Jun 3, 2026 at 10:53 AM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Thank you for your email. I am currently out of office. Please direct any questions or concerns to my director Latea Davis to ldavis@coalitionrcd.org

Ho, Jeffrey <jeho@auditor.lacounty.gov> Fri, Jun 5, 2026 at 1:45 PM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

I am out of the office. If you need immediate assistance, please contact Matt Huddleston at mhuddleston@auditor.lacounty.gov.

Jahrell Thomas <jthomas@coalitionrcd.org> Fri, Jun 5, 2026 at 1:46 PM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Hello,

Thank you for your message. I will be out of the office starting Thursday, June 4, 2026 and returning on Monday, June 8, 2026. If you require immediate assistance, please contact my executive assistant, Irma Maldonado at imaldonado@coalitionrcd.org. Irma can assist you with contacting the appropriate colleague to respond to your inquiry.

Best regards,

Sandra Barrientos <Sandra.Barrientos@doj.ca.gov> Fri, Jun 5, 2026 at 1:48 PM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Thank you for your email. I am away out of the office until June 22, 2026. I will not have access to email during that time. If you need immediate assistance, please contact Deputy Attorney General Jami Cantore at jami.cantore@doj.ca.gov, or my assistant Teresa de Paz at (213) 269-6554 or teresa.depaz@doj.ca.gov.

I will respond to your email when I return. Thank you for your paitence.

Sandra Barrientos

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This communication with its contents may contain confidential and/or legally privileged information. It is solely for the use of the intended recipient(s). Unauthorized interception, review, use or disclosure is prohibited and may violate applicable laws including the Electronic Communications Privacy Act. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of the communication.

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Fri, Jun 5, 2026 at 11:09 PM
To: Sandra Barrientos <Sandra.Barrientos@doj.ca.gov>, teresa.depaz@doj.ca.gov, jthomas@coalitionRCD.org <jthomas@coalitionrcd.org>, imaldonado@coalitionrcd.org, mhuddleston@auditor.lacounty.gov, jeho@auditor.lacounty.gov, Polet Lopez <palopez@coalitionrcd.org>, ldavis@coalitionrcd.org, richard.cheng@lacity.org, COCO_HIPAA <HIPAA@counsel.lacounty.gov>, acerda@coalitionrcd.org, Felder, Kamilah <KFelder@bos.lacounty.gov>, Panosyan, Samantha <SPanosyan@bos.lacounty.gov>

Dear Ms. Davis and CRCD / City EWDD Records Custodians,

I am sending this as a formal written demand and preservation notice regarding CRCD / Project Tipping Point records, source authorization, records custody, and corrective routing.

This demand follows the City of Los Angeles / EWDD Public Records release for Request No. 25-18989, which released responsive records and directed Project Tipping Point inquiries to Latea Davis at CRCD, ldavis@coalitionrcd.org.

This demand also follows LAHSA's CPRA final responses under Ref. 2526KH-A. LAHSA objected to or withheld HMIS / participant-related records under confidentiality and privacy grounds and directed questions about my specific HMIS records to HMIS Support / Freshservice. LAHSA's responses did not resolve CRCD / Project Tipping Point source authorization, CRCD records custody, lease/payment records, W-9/ACH records, education/workforce records, or Project Tipping Point file custody.

Accordingly, the unresolved issue now rests with CRCD and any responsible City/EWDD custodian or oversight contact:

Who is the official custodian, what records exist, what records are being withheld or not produced, and what source authorization allowed CRCD / Project Tipping Point records to be created, used, transmitted, relied upon, or shared?

This is a demand for written confirmation and corrective action regarding the following records:

1. The official CRCD custodian for my Project Tipping Point records;

2. The complete CRCD / Project Tipping Point file associated with me, my household, and Project Tipping Point ID 1233369910;

3. Any intake, enrollment, eligibility, referral, case note, housing, rent, landlord, lease, W-9, ACH, payment, education, workforce, supportive-service, or household records connected to me or my household;

4. Any consent, ROI, privacy notice, participant agreement, HMIS / Clarity release, FERPA / education release, WIOA / AJCC release, landlord authorization, payment authorization, or other written source authority relied upon by CRCD;

5. Any records showing whether CRCD, Project Tipping Point, Vernon-Central / LATTC WorkSource, City LWDA / EWDD, LACCD / LATTC, Amity Foundation, JCOD / CFCI, LAHSA / HMIS, or any partner agency accessed, received, transmitted, used, or relied on my information;

6. Any records showing whether CRCD preserved, referred, corrected, disputed, or internally reviewed my complaints regarding unauthorized records, lease/payment records, source authorization, privacy, education/workforce status, or household information.

Relevant identifiers include:

CRCD / Project Tipping Point ID: 1233369910
City of Los Angeles CPRA Request: 25-18989
LAHSA Ref.: 2526KH-A
HMIS ID: 8F8CD9D8B
JCOD / CFCI / Amity: CFCI-PA3-056-2023
County Fraud / OCI: 2025-23289 and 2026_23965
DOL OIG / FOIA: 2026067
ED OIG: C26IOU05768
SPPO / FERPA: 26-0253
HHS OCR: 651319 / 680559
DHCS CIN: 96575025D
Property: 1551 3/4 E. 106th St., Los Angeles, CA 90002
Related unlawful-detainer matter: 26CMUD00417
Related family-law matter: 25CMFL00694

This demand is not a request for informal assistance. It is a demand that CRCD and any responsible City/EWDD custodian identify the official records custodian, preserve the records, and provide the written source-authorization and records-custody determination that has been repeatedly requested.

Please provide a written response confirming:

1. Whether CRCD accepts custody of the Project Tipping Point records identified above;

2. Whether Latea Davis is the responsible CRCD contact for Project Tipping Point records, as identified in the City/EWDD CPRA response;

3. Whether CRCD maintains the source authorization, consent, ROI, privacy notice, participant agreement, or release relied upon for my records;

4. Whether CRCD maintains lease, landlord, W-9, ACH, payout, rental-assistance, or payment-processing records tied to 1551 3/4 E. 106th St.;

5. Whether CRCD maintains education/workforce, LATTC, WorkSource, WIOA/AJCC, or City LWDA/EWDD records involving me;

6. Whether CRCD contends another office is the custodian, and if so, the exact office, person, email, phone number, and legal basis for that position;

7. Whether CRCD will produce, correct, or formally deny the requested records;

8. Whether CRCD will provide a written no-record, partial-record, exemption, or custodian-transfer determination if responsive records are not produced.

If CRCD or the responsible public-agency custodian continues to fail to identify the official custodian, produce responsive records, provide a written source-authorization determination, or state a lawful basis for withholding records, I reserve all rights to seek appropriate relief, including a petition for writ of mandate under Code of Civil Procedure sections 1085 and 1086, Public Records Act remedies where applicable, costs, and any other appropriate relief.

Please preserve all records, metadata, emails, attachments, intake forms, Formstack entries, internal notes, case notes, referral records, source documents, communications, disclosure logs, access logs, correction notes, and records-retention logs related to this matter.

This notice is sent without waiver of any rights, remedies, objections, claims, or defenses.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert Haab
657-557-4459
[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Sun, Jun 7, 2026 at 1:12 PM
To: Sandra Barrientos <Sandra.Barrientos@doj.ca.gov>, teresa.depaz@doj.ca.gov, jthomas@coalitionRCD.org <jthomas@coalitionrcd.org>, imaldonado@coalitionrcd.org, mhuddleston@auditor.lacounty.gov, jeho@auditor.lacounty.gov, Polet Lopez <palopez@coalitionrcd.org>, ldavis@coalitionrcd.org, richard.cheng@lacity.org, COCO_HIPAA <HIPAA@counsel.lacounty.gov>, acerda@coalitionrcd.org, Felder, Kamilah <KFelder@bos.lacounty.gov>, Panosyan, Samantha <SPanosyan@bos.lacounty.gov>, Jacqueline.Velazco@victims.ca.gov, LA County Fraud Hotline <fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov>, AC-CONSTITUENT <constituent@auditor.lacounty.gov>, jcod@lacounty.gov, executiveoffice@bos.lacounty.gov, Crystal Izarraraz <izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com>, chris@harrishayden.com, john@harrishayden.com, herbert@harrishayden.com, kidan@harrishayden.com

Attn: CRCD Board of Directors, Board Governance / Compliance Contact, CRCD Counsel, President / CEO, Executive Leadership, and Responsible Records Custodian:

I am sending this as a board-level governance, counsel-routing, records-custodian, preservation, conflict-screening, and oversight demand.

This demand preserves and renews my original CRCD / Project Tipping Point records request dating back to September 11, 2025. This is not a new issue. It is a continuation of the same unresolved records-custody, source-authorization, housing-stability, rental-assistance, workforce/education, privacy, CalVCB, OCI, and family-stability records dispute that has remained unresolved through later requests, agency routing, counsel involvement, and court-related proceedings.

I request written identification of the responsible CRCD / Project Tipping Point records custodian and records path for the following:

CRCD / Project Tipping Point ID: 1233369910
CalVCB Application / Claim No.: A26-10253806
County Fraud / OCI: 2025-23289 and 2026_23965
JCOD / CFCI / Amity: CFCI-PA3-056-2023

City of Los Angeles CPRA Request: 25-18989
LAHSA Ref.: 2526KH-A
HMIS ID: 8F8CD9D8B
DOL OIG / FOIA: 2026067
HHS OCR: 651319 / 680559
DHCS Incident: NEW-2026-01-18-8837
DOJ PIU No.: 1508015
LAPD Report No.: C259045687
Corrected / Related LAPD Report No.: C269013241
LAPD Complaint Form No.: 26-001767
Related Unlawful-Detainer Matter: 26CMUD00417
Related Family Law Matter: 25CMFL00694
Property: 1551 3/4 E. 106th St., Los Angeles, CA 90002

This notice is administrative, governance-related, and records-related only. It is not directed to any judicial officer in a judicial capacity. It is not a request for legal advice, court action, ex parte communication, or influence in any pending court matter. If any CRCD board member, officer, partner, funder, employee, contractor, or affiliated person is also a judicial officer, former judicial officer, public official, attorney, justice-system partner, or agency official, I request that this matter be handled only through formal administrative, governance, compliance, legal, records-custodian, or oversight channels.

I am also identifying my fiancée, Crystal Lynn Izarraraz, email izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com, as an additional affected victim, household member, and claimant-related contact. She is included because the disputed housing, rental-assistance, landlord/payment, relocation, records-use, and family-stability issues affected both of us and our household. Please do not disclose her confidential records without any required authorization, release, or consent. If a separate CalVCB form, victim statement, authorization, release, application, verification form, or claimant-contact form is required from her, please identify the required form and secure submission method.

I. PRESERVATION OF SEPTEMBER 11, 2025 ORIGINAL REQUEST

This demand expressly preserves my original records request dating back to September 11, 2025. From that date forward, CRCD / Project Tipping Point and related public-agency or program partners were on notice that I was requesting source records, authorization records, participant records, funding records, and records affecting housing stability, rental assistance, education/workforce participation, family status, and household stability.

A screenshot of my September 11, 2025 message to Latea Davis confirms the specific scope of the original request. In that message, I requested records concerning:

1. Which grant or contract paid for my enrollment and rent assistance under Project Tipping Point;

2. Which agency or funder administered or passed down those funds;

3. Copies of tenant-verification records or landlord-payment forms tied to my case;

4. Verification of lease compliance because CRCD represented that it “only provides the payments, not the lease,” while CRCD and its partners still remained responsible for transparency and accountability regarding how federal, state, county, private, or pass-through funds were applied.

The same September 11, 2025 message thread also shows related landlord materials, including an August 2024 landlord email transmitting a lease agreement and forms including payout information. That is directly relevant to the present custodian dispute because the missing records include landlord-verification, W-9, ACH, payee setup, payment-processing, lease-review, ledger-review, and rental-assistance records.

This September 11, 2025 request therefore preserved the precise source-record categories now at issue. CRCD cannot reasonably characterize the current demand as new, unclear, or unrelated to the original records request. The unresolved issue has always been the same: identifying the funding source, responsible custodian, source authorization, landlord-payment record path, and non-privileged business/program records supporting CRCD / Project Tipping Point assistance.

II. RECORD CATEGORIES PRESERVED BY THE ORIGINAL REQUEST AND ALL FOLLOW-UPS

The original request and all follow-ups include, but are not limited to, the following record categories:

1. Any intake, application, enrollment, eligibility, referral, participant-status, assessment, case note, service-plan, benefit, or program file connected to me, Crystal Lynn Izarraraz, our household, or Project Tipping Point ID 1233369910;

2. Any written consent, ROI, privacy notice, participant agreement, Formstack submission, HMIS / Clarity release, FERPA / education release, WIOA / AJCC release, landlord authorization, payment authorization, data-sharing authorization, or other source authorization relied upon by CRCD, Project Tipping Point, EWDD, WorkSource, LACCD / LATTC, Amity, JCOD / CFCI, LAHSA / HMIS, or any partner agency;

3. Any housing, rental-assistance, landlord, lease, ledger, payee, W-9, ACH, payment-processing, payment-approval, reimbursement, relocation, eviction-prevention, or housing-stability record connected to 1551 3/4 E. 106th St., Los Angeles, CA 90002;

4. Any education, workforce, WorkSource, AJCC, LATTC, LACCD, EWDD, City LWDA, WIOA, job training, school support, enrollment status, participant eligibility, or service-related record involving me or my household;

5. Any records concerning household composition, family-status classification, minor children, reunification planning, transportation support, parent-child contact, family-stability representations, or family-law related communications;

6. Any grievance, appeal, complaint, corrective-action, audit, compliance, monitoring, investigation, oversight, public-funder, or records-retention procedure applicable to CRCD / Project Tipping Point, CFCI-PA3-056-2023, EWDD, WorkSource / AJCC, or related supportive-service records.

III. CRCD COUNSEL INVOLVEMENT AND ROUTING PROBLEM

CRCD previously used Harris & Hayden to transmit cease-and-desist correspondence on behalf of CRCD. I do not treat that correspondence as proof that CRCD's position is correct. I treat it as proof that CRCD had counsel-level notice of the dispute and treated the matter as sufficiently legal and formal to involve counsel.

That creates a records-custody, privilege-scope, and governance issue.

If CRCD contends this matter must be handled through counsel, then CRCD must identify the attorney or legal contact authorized to receive preservation notices, records-custodian demands, subpoenas, CalVCB verification requests, OCI correspondence, JCOD / CFCI routing requests, source-authorization demands, family-law related records notices, and requests for no-record, partial-record, transfer, or denial determinations.

If CRCD contends this matter should be handled through internal staff, partner statements, stakeholder statements, program contacts, or administrative routing, then CRCD must identify the official records custodian, grievance process, appeal process, correction process, source-authorization records, and non-privileged business/program records.

CRCD cannot use counsel to restrict contact while also relying on informal stakeholder statements or internal routing to avoid a written custodian determination. Attorney involvement may protect confidential attorney-client communications, but it does not automatically shield underlying intake records, participant records, consent/ROI records, rental-assistance records, landlord-verification records, W-9 / ACH / payment-processing records, grievance records, funding-source records, or program records created or maintained outside privileged legal advice.

IV. CALVCB / VICTIM-SERVICES AND RENTAL-VERIFICATION ISSUE

This matter includes CalVCB Application / Claim No. A26-10253806. CalVCB has requested relocation and rental-verification documentation. I submitted the records I currently possess, but I do not currently possess a current landlord W-9, ACH authorization, updated payee verification, landlord-verification record, or complete rental-assistance payment-processing file.

Those records may be held by the landlord, CRCD / Project Tipping Point, Amity Foundation, JCOD / CFCI, County CFCI administration, or another public-funded program custodian.

Because the landlord is an adverse party in related housing proceedings, I am requesting formal custodian routing and agency-to-agency verification rather than requiring me to obtain W-9, ACH, landlord-verification, payment-processing, relocation, or rental-assistance records directly from the adverse landlord.

Please confirm whether CRCD, Project Tipping Point, Amity Foundation, JCOD / CFCI, County CFCI administration, or another office maintains or can obtain:

1. Landlord W-9 records;
2. ACH authorization records;
3. Payee setup records;
4. Landlord-verification records;
5. Lease-review records;
6. Ledger-review records;
7. Rental-assistance approval records;
8. Payment-processing records;
9. Reimbursement records;
10. Relocation-verification records;
11. Funding-source records;
12. Records sufficient to support CalVCB Application / Claim No. A26-10253806.

V. OCI / COUNTY AUDITOR / OVERSIGHT ISSUE

This demand also preserves and renews all records connected to County Fraud / OCI references 2025-23289 and 2026_23965.

Please confirm whether CRCD, County Auditor / OCI, EWDD, JCOD / CFCI, Amity, LAHSA / HMIS, WorkSource / AJCC, or any related public agency has created, received, routed, closed, transferred, referred, or retained records connected to those references.

Please also confirm whether OCI references 2025-23289 and 2026_23965 have been cross-referenced with:

CRCD / Project Tipping Point ID 1233369910;
CalVCB Application / Claim No. A26-10253806;
JCOD / CFCI-PA3-056-2023;
LAPD C259045687;
LAPD C269013241;
the landlord/payment records for 1551 3/4 E. 106th St.;
the related unlawful-detainer matter 26CMUD00417;
and Family Law Case No. 25CMFL00694.

VI. BOARD GOVERNANCE AND CONFLICT SCREENING

The board-level issue is that no responsible CRCD custodian has clearly identified who owns, maintains, controls, can correct, can preserve, or can produce the Project Tipping Point source file, participant file, rent-assistance file, landlord/payment file, consent/ROI file, grievance file, or funding-source file.

I request that the Board or appropriate governance/compliance designee ensure preservation and provide written confirmation regarding:

1. The official CRCD / Project Tipping Point records custodian for PTP ID 1233369910;

2. Whether Latea Davis is the responsible CRCD contact for Project Tipping Point records;

3. Whether Irma Maldonado is the proper internal routing contact for board, governance, compliance, legal, executive, or custodian routing;

4. The applicable records-request, grievance, appeal, complaint, correction, amendment, and no-record determination process;

5. Whether CRCD maintains intake, enrollment, eligibility, referral, case note, housing, rent, landlord, lease, W-9, ACH, payment, education, workforce, supportive-service, transportation, family-status, household-composition, and child-related records connected to me, Crystal Lynn Izarraraz, or our household;

6. Whether CRCD maintains any consent, ROI, privacy notice, participant agreement, Formstack submission, HMIS / Clarity release, FERPA / education release, WIOA / AJCC release, landlord authorization, payment authorization, data-sharing authorization, or other written source authority relied upon for those records;

7. Whether CRCD / Project Tipping Point paid, processed, approved, reviewed, reimbursed, coordinated, or transmitted rental assistance for 1551 3/4 E. 106th St., Los Angeles, CA 90002, including any landlord W-9, ACH, payee setup, lease review, ledger review, landlord-verification, payment approval, payment-processing, reimbursement, or funding-source record;

8. Whether CRCD accepts custody of responsive Project Tipping Point records or contends that another office is the custodian, and if so, the exact office, person, email, phone number, and legal basis for that position;

9. Whether any records are being withheld as privileged, and if so, the privilege asserted, the general category of the record, the date range, the custodian, and whether non-privileged segregable portions will be produced;

10. Whether any board-level conflict screen, independent review, outside counsel review, governance review, or compliance review is required because CRCD's public board, public-agency relationships, justice-system funding, workforce connections, housing programs, or legal/oversight contacts may create actual, potential, or perceived conflicts.

VII. SPECIFIC RESPONSE REQUIRED TO SEPTEMBER 11, 2025 REQUEST

Please specifically respond to the September 11, 2025 request by identifying:

1. The grant, contract, funder, or funding stream that paid for my Project Tipping Point enrollment, rent assistance, or supportive services;

2. The agency, funder, fiscal intermediary, or pass-through entity that administered those funds;

3. The custodian of tenant-verification records and landlord-payment forms tied to my case;

4. The custodian of the landlord payout information, W-9, ACH, payee setup, lease-review, ledger-review, and payment-processing records;

5. Whether CRCD contends it "only provides the payments, not the lease," and if so, who reviewed the lease, verified the landlord, approved payment, confirmed payee information, checked compliance, and retained the supporting records.

VIII. PRESERVATION DEMAND / LITIGATION HOLD

Please preserve all records, metadata, emails, attachments, Formstack entries, intake records, internal notes, case notes, referral records, source documents, payment records, fiscal records, funder records, landlord communications, lease records, W-9 records, ACH records, ledger records, disclosure logs, access logs, correction notes, grievance records, compliance records, OCI correspondence, audit records, monitoring records, and records-retention logs related to this matter.

This includes records held by individual employees, executives, board members, counsel, contractors, consultants, fiscal staff, program staff, partner agencies, funders, referral partners, and any third-party service provider.

Please do not delete, alter, overwrite, selectively disclose, reclassify, backdate, remove metadata from, or otherwise modify responsive records. If records have already been deleted, transferred, archived, withheld, corrected, altered, or destroyed, please identify the records-retention policy, date, person responsible, and basis for that action.

IX. REQUESTED WRITTEN RESPONSE

Please provide a written response within 10 calendar days confirming:

1. The official custodian;
2. The counsel-routing contact, if any;
3. The scope of counsel representation, if any;
4. The privilege position, if any;
5. The non-privileged records-production path;
6. The grievance and appeal procedure;
7. The correction or amendment procedure;
8. The CalVCB verification path;
9. The OCI / County Auditor routing status;
10. The JCOD / CFCI / Amity routing status;
11. The board/governance conflict-screening status;
12. Whether CRCD accepts custody, denies custody, transfers custody, or will issue a no-record, partial-record, exemption, denial, or custodian-transfer determination.

I am not asking the Board, CRCD, DOJ, OCI, JCOD, CalVCB, County Auditor, City officials, counsel, or any copied recipient to decide custody, unlawful detainer, criminal liability, CalVCB eligibility, civil liability, or program liability through this email. This is a records-custodian, preservation, routing, source-authorization, conflict-screening, and agency-verification demand.

This issue is urgent because disputed housing, rental-assistance, W-9, ACH, landlord-verification, relocation, workforce, and supportive-service records are now affecting housing stability, economic harm, transportation, family reunification planning, parent-child contact, CalVCB Application / Claim No. A26-10253806, and pending Family Law Case No. 25CMFL00694.

Do not include Social Security numbers, full bank-account numbers, taxpayer-identification numbers, full dates of birth, or other sensitive identifiers in an unsecured email response.

This notice is sent without waiver of any rights, remedies, objections, claims, defenses, subpoena requests, correction requests, amendment requests, preservation demands, writ remedies, Public Records Act remedies, CalVCB remedies, family-law remedies, housing remedies, privacy remedies, or court remedies.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert Haab
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Mon, Jun 8, 2026 at 3:06 PM
To: Sandra Barrientos <Sandra.Barrientos@doj.ca.gov>, teresa.depaz@doj.ca.gov, jthomas@coalitionRCD.org <jthomas@coalitionrcd.org>, imaldonado@coalitionrcd.org, mhuddleston@auditor.lacounty.gov, jeho@auditor.lacounty.gov, Polet Lopez <palopez@coalitionrcd.org>, ldavis@coalitionrcd.org, richard.cheng@lacity.org, COCO_HIPAA <HIPAA@counsel.lacounty.gov>, acerda@coalitionrcd.org, Felder, Kamilah <KFelder@bos.lacounty.gov>, Panosyan, Samantha <SPanosyan@bos.lacounty.gov>, Jacqueline.Velazco@victims.ca.gov, LA County Fraud Hotline <fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov>, AC-CONSTITUENT <constituent@auditor.lacounty.gov>, jcod@lacounty.gov, executiveoffice@bos.lacounty.gov, Crystal Izarraraz <izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com>, chris@harrishayden.com, john@harrishayden.com, herbert@harrishayden.com, kidan@harrishayden.com

Dear Mr. Huddleston and Mr. Ho,

I am following up regarding County Fraud / OCI references 2025-23289 and 2026_23965.

I am contacting both of you because Mr. Ho's June 5, 2026 out-of-office reply directed immediate assistance to Matt Huddleston at mhuddleston@auditor.lacounty.gov. I am requesting written routing/status clarification only.

This follow-up concerns the same records-preservation and routing issue previously identified in my June 5 and June 7 notices involving:

- County Fraud / OCI: 2025-23289 and 2026_23965
- CRCD / Project Tipping Point ID: 1233369910
- JCOD / CFCI / Amity: CFCI-PA3-056-2023
- CalVCB Application / Claim No.: A26-10253806
- LAPD Report No.: C259045687
- Corrected / Related LAPD Report No.: C269013241
- LAHSA Ref.: 2526KH-A
- HMIS ID: 8F8CD9D8B
- DOL OIG FOIA No.: 2026067
- Related unlawful-detainer matter: 26CMUD00417
- Related family-law matter: 25CMFL00694
- Property: 1551 3/4 E. 106th St., Los Angeles, CA 90002

I am not asking the Auditor-Controller, County Fraud, or OCI to decide a court case, CalVCB eligibility, CRCD liability, landlord liability, HUD/HMIS merits, JCOD/CFCI merits, or family-law issues through this email.

I am asking only for written status, routing, and preservation clarification regarding the County Fraud / OCI lane.

Please confirm:

1. Whether OCI references 2025-23289 and 2026_23965 remain open, closed, referred, transferred, or pending;

2. Whether those references have been cross-referenced with CRCD / Project Tipping Point ID 1233369910, CFCI-PA3-056-2023, CalVCB A26-10253806, LAPD C259045687, LAPD C269013241, 26CMUD00417, and 25CMFL00694;

3. Whether County Auditor / OCI has received, retained, referred, or routed records involving CRCD, Project Tipping Point, Amity Foundation, JCOD / CFCI, LAHSA / HMIS, City EWDD / WorkSource / AJCC, landlord payment records, W-9, ACH, lease, ledger, rental-assistance, relocation, or supportive-service records;

4. Whether there is a written closure letter, referral letter, no-jurisdiction letter, routing determination, or status update for OCI 2025-23289 or 2026_23965;

5. Whether the proper follow-up route is Mr. Huddleston, Mr. Ho, the County Fraud Hotline, County Counsel, JCOD, another Auditor-Controller unit, or another County office;

6. Whether records, routing notes, referral records, attachments, complaint notes, emails, metadata, and closure/routing determinations connected to these references are being preserved.

If County Auditor / OCI does not maintain responsive records or cannot provide status because the matter was referred elsewhere, please identify the receiving office, referral date, referral reference, and any written procedure for requesting status or records.

Please do not include Social Security numbers, full bank-account numbers, taxpayer-identification numbers, full dates of birth, or other sensitive identifiers in an unsecured email response.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert David Haab
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Mon, Jun 8, 2026 at 3:12 PM
To: Sandra Barrientos <Sandra.Barrientos@doj.ca.gov>, teresa.depaz@doj.ca.gov, jthomas@coalitionRCD.org <jthomas@coalitionrcd.org>, imaldonado@coalitionrcd.org, mhuddleston@auditor.lacounty.gov, jeho@auditor.lacounty.gov, Polet Lopez <palopez@coalitionrcd.org>, ldavis@coalitionrcd.org, richard.cheng@lacity.org, COCO_HIPAA <HIPAA@counsel.lacounty.gov>, acerda@coalitionrcd.org, Felder, Kamilah <KFelder@bos.lacounty.gov>, Panosyan, Samantha <SPanosyan@bos.lacounty.gov>, Jacqueline.Velazco@victims.ca.gov, LA County Fraud Hotline <fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov>, AC-CONSTITUENT <constituent@auditor.lacounty.gov>, jcod@lacounty.gov, executiveoffice@bos.lacounty.gov, Crystal Izarraraz <izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com>, chris@harrishayden.com, john@harrishayden.com, herbert@harrishayden.com, kidan@harrishayden.com

Dear Mr. Huddleston, Mr. Ho, and County Fraud / Auditor-Controller Staff,

I am following up to preserve today's call-routing issue and to request written status/routing clarification regarding County Fraud / OCI references 2025-23289 and 2026_23965.

Today, June 8, 2026, I called the Auditor-Controller / County Fraud contact line regarding the above references. I was transferred toward the County Fraud / OCI route, but the transfer disconnected before completion. Shortly afterward, I received a private-number call that immediately hung up. I then called the Auditor-Controller number back and the call again disconnected/hung up.

I am not alleging misconduct from the call event itself. I am documenting that I attempted to obtain routing/status information by phone, but no substantive status, closure, referral, or written routing determination was provided.

This follow-up concerns the same records-preservation and routing issue involving:

- County Fraud / OCI: 2025-23289 and 2026_23965
- CRCD / Project Tipping Point ID: 1233369910
- JCOD / CFCI / Amity: CFCI-PA3-056-2023
- CalVCB Application / Claim No.: A26-10253806
- LAPD Report No.: C259045687
- Corrected / Related LAPD Report No.: C269013241
- LAHSA Ref.: 2526KH-A
- HMIS ID: 8F8CD9D8B
- DOL OIG FOIA No.: 2026067
- Related unlawful-detainer matter: 26CMUD00417
- Related family-law matter: 25CMFL00694
- Property: 1551 3/4 E. 106th St., Los Angeles, CA 90002

Please confirm in writing:

1. Whether OCI references 2025-23289 and 2026_23965 remain open, closed, referred, transferred, or pending;

2. Whether either reference has been assigned to a specific investigator, unit, or routing contact;

3. Whether Thomas Woods / OCI is the correct investigator or contact for follow-up;

4. Whether the proper written contact remains fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov, mhuddleston@auditor.lacounty.gov, jeho@auditor.lacounty.gov, or another Auditor-Controller / OCI address;

5. Whether any closure letter, referral letter, no-jurisdiction letter, status note, or routing determination exists;

6. Whether County Auditor / OCI has preserved complaint notes, referral records, attachments, emails, call notes, routing notes, and closure/routing determinations connected to these references.

I am requesting routing/status clarification only. I am not asking the Auditor-Controller, County Fraud, or OCI to decide a court case, CalVCB eligibility, CRCD liability, landlord liability, HUD/HMIS merits, JCOD/CFCI merits, or family-law issues through this email.

Please do not include Social Security numbers, full bank-account numbers, taxpayer-identification numbers, full dates of birth, or other sensitive identifiers in an unsecured email response.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert David Haab
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
[Quoted text hidden]

LA County Fraud Hotline <fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov> Tue, Jun 9, 2026 at 7:56 AM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Greetings,

Thank you for contacting the Los Angeles County Fraud Hotline. Case 2025-23289 is closed. Case 2026-23965 is open. You may check the status of your reported cases at https://fraud.lacounty.gov/. Case investigators will contact you if they deem necessary. Reports and all other records related to Fraud Hotline investigations are confidential. You should contact the County Fraud Hotline at fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov for any future inquiries.

Thank you.

Los Angeles County Fraud Hotline

500 West Temple Street Suite 514

Los Angeles, CA 90012

Hotline Tel: 1-800-544-6861 | Fax: 213.947.5809

E-mail: fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov

Website: http://fraud.lacounty.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail, including any attachments, is intended for the sole use of the named recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information pertaining to official investigations for the County of Los Angeles, California. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or dissemination is prohibited. Distribution of the e-mail and any attachments are subject to Federal, State, and County regulations pertaining to law enforcement communications. If you received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender, permanently delete this e-mail and all attachments from your system, and destroy all printed copies of the e-mail.

From: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, June 8, 2026 3:13 PM
To: Sandra Barrientos <Sandra.Barrientos@doj.ca.gov>; teresa.depaz@doj.ca.gov; jthomas@coalitionRCD.org; imaldonado@coalitionrcd.org; Huddleston, Matthew <mhuddleston@auditor.lacounty.gov>; Ho, Jeffrey <jeho@auditor.lacounty.gov>; Polet Lopez <palopez@coalitionrcd.org>; ldavis@coalitionrcd.org; richard.cheng@lacity.org; COCO_HIPAA <HIPAA@counsel.lacounty.gov>; acerda@coalitionrcd.org; Felder, Kamilah <KFelder@bos.lacounty.gov>; Panosyan, Samantha <SPanosyan@bos.lacounty.gov>; Jacqueline.Velazco@victims.ca.gov; LA County Fraud Hotline <fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov>; AC-CONSTITUENT <CONSTITUENT@auditor.lacounty.gov>; JCOD <JCOD@lacounty.gov>; executiveoffice@bos.lacounty.gov; Crystal Izarraraz <izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com>; Chris Morris <chris@harrishayden.com>; john@harrishayden.com; Herbert Hayden <herbert@harrishayden.com>; kidan@harrishayden.com
Subject: Re: Automatic reply: Formal Demand – Source Authorization, Education-Record Access, PTP 1233369910, CFCI-PA3-056-2023, LACCD/LATTC Records-2025-23289 2026-23965

CAUTION: External Email. Proceed Responsibly.

[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Tue, Jun 9, 2026 at 10:12 AM
To: LA County Fraud Hotline <fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov>, mhuddleston@auditor.lacounty.gov, jeho@auditor.lacounty.gov, AC-CONSTITUENT <constituent@auditor.lacounty.gov>

To: fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov
Cc: jeho@auditor.lacounty.gov; mhuddleston@auditor.lacounty.gov

Dear Los Angeles County Fraud Hotline,

Thank you for confirming that County Fraud / OCI Case 2025-23289 is closed and Case 2026-23965 is open, and that future inquiries should be directed to fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov.

I am sending this follow-up to preserve the routing issue involving Jeffrey Ho and Matthew Huddleston. Jeffrey Ho's out-of-office response directed immediate assistance to Matthew Huddleston at mhuddleston@auditor.lacounty.gov. Your June 9 response then clarified that future inquiries should go to the Fraud Hotline email address.

Please confirm, if available without disclosing confidential investigative information:

1. Whether mhuddleston@auditor.lacounty.gov is only an alternate contact for administrative routing, or whether Matthew Huddleston is connected to Case 2026-23965;
2. Whether Jeffrey Ho has any role in Case 2026-23965 or whether all follow-up should remain only through fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov;
3. Whether Case 2025-23289 was cross-referenced, superseded, transferred, or otherwise associated with open Case 2026-23965;
4. Whether Case 2026-23965 has been assigned to an investigator, unit, or routing contact;
5. Whether any additional non-sensitive documentation is needed from me at this time;
6. Whether future supplemental records should be sent by email, fax, portal upload, mail, or another secure method.

For routing only, the relevant identifiers are:

County Fraud / OCI Case: 2025-23289
County Fraud / OCI Case: 2026-23965
CRCD / Project Tipping Point ID: 1233369910
JCOD / CFCI / Amity: CFCI-PA3-056-2023
CalVCB Claim: A26-10253806
LAHSA Ref.: 2526KH-A
HMIS ID: 8F8CD9D8B
LAPD Report: C259045687
Corrected / Related LAPD Report: C269013241
DOL OIG FOIA: 2026067
ED OIG Complaint: C26IOU05768
SPPO / FERPA: 26-0253
Property: 1551 3/4 E. 106th St., Los Angeles, CA 90002
Related unlawful-detainer matter: 26CMUD00417
Related family-law matter: 25CMFL00694

I understand that Fraud Hotline investigative records are confidential. I am not requesting confidential investigative records, law-enforcement-sensitive information, privileged material, or internal work product. This request is limited to routing, status, case-association, and submission-procedure clarification.

Please do not include Social Security numbers, full bank-account numbers, taxpayer-identification numbers, full dates of birth, medical identifiers, child-identifying details, or other sensitive identifiers in an unsecured email response.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert David Haab
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Wed, Jun 10, 2026 at 2:56 PM
To: Sandra Barrientos <Sandra.Barrientos@doj.ca.gov>, teresa.depaz@doj.ca.gov, jthomas@coalitionRCD.org <jthomas@coalitionrcd.org>, imaldonado@coalitionrcd.org, mhuddleston@auditor.lacounty.gov, jeho@auditor.lacounty.gov, Polet Lopez <palopez@coalitionrcd.org>, ldavis@coalitionrcd.org, richard.cheng@lacity.org, COCO_HIPAA <HIPAA@counsel.lacounty.gov>, acerda@coalitionrcd.org, Felder, Kamilah <KFelder@bos.lacounty.gov>, Panosyan, Samantha <SPanosyan@bos.lacounty.gov>, Jami.Cantore@doj.ca.gov, complaints@doj.ca.gov, LA County Fraud Hotline <fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov>, AC-CONSTITUENT <constituent@auditor.lacounty.gov>, CEOP <ceop@bos.lacounty.gov>, Blanco, Rebecca A <rebecca.blanco@hud.gov>

I am following up on my June 5, 2026 formal demand and preservation notice concerning CRCD / Project Tipping Point records, Project Tipping Point ID 1233369910, source authorization, education/workforce records, housing and payment records, and related records custody.
The prior responses consisted primarily of out-of-office or routing notices. I have not received a substantive written response identifying the responsible CRCD records custodian or addressing the requested source-authorization and records-custody questions.
The Los Angeles County Fraud Hotline separately confirmed that Case 2025-23289 is closed and Case 2026-23965 remains open. I am not requesting confidential investigative information. I am requesting administrative routing, records-preservation confirmation, and identification of the proper custodian.
Please provide a written response addressing the following:
Identify the official CRCD / Project Tipping Point records custodian for my participant file and household-related records.
Confirm whether CRCD maintains the complete file associated with Project Tipping Point ID 1233369910.
Confirm whether CRCD maintains any consent, release of information, privacy notice, participant agreement, FERPA or education release, WIOA/AJCC authorization, HMIS authorization, landlord authorization, or other source authority used to create, obtain, disclose, or rely upon my records.
Confirm whether CRCD maintains lease, landlord, W-9, ACH, rent-ledger, payment-processing, rental-assistance, education, LATTC, WorkSource, workforce, supportive-service, or household records involving me or my household.
Identify whether any records were received from or transmitted to LACCD/LATTC, City EWDD/LWDA, Amity Foundation, JCOD/CFCI, LAHSA/HMIS, Alliance for Children's Rights, the landlord, or another partner.
Confirm that all responsive records, metadata, emails, attachments, Formstack entries, case notes, access logs, disclosure logs, correction requests, payment records, and internal routing records are being preserved.

If CRCD is not the custodian, identify the exact office, person, email address, telephone number, and basis for transferring responsibility.
State whether CRCD will produce the records, provide a partial production, issue a written denial, provide a no-records determination, or identify records withheld or maintained by another custodian.
For County Fraud / OCI, please confirm only the following non-confidential routing information:
Whether supplemental records for open Case 2026-23965 should continue to be sent to fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov;
Whether Case 2026-23965 has been assigned to a unit or investigator, without disclosing confidential investigative information;
Whether Case 2025-23289 was cross-referenced, superseded, transferred, or otherwise associated with Case 2026-23965; and
Whether additional non-sensitive documentation is presently required from me.
Relevant identifiers:
CRCD / Project Tipping Point ID: 1233369910
JCOD / CFCI / Amity: CFCI-PA3-056-2023
City CPRA Request: 25-18989
County Fraud / OCI: 2025-23289 and 2026-23965
SPPO / FERPA: 26-0253
Related unlawful-detainer case: 26CMUD00417
Related family-law case: 25CMFL00694
Property: 1551 3/4 E. 106th Street, Los Angeles, California 90002
Please provide the custodian and routing response within five business days. This follow-up is sent to preserve the record and does not waive any rights, objections, claims, remedies, or defenses.
Respectfully,
Kyle Robert David Haab
657-557-4459

On Fri, Jun 5, 2026, 11:09 PM Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> wrote:
[Quoted text hidden]

EXHIBIT O

Formal source-authorization and CRCD records demand

Source file: Gmail - Formal Demand – Source Authorization, Education-Record Access, PTP 1233369910, CFCI-PA3-056-2023, LACCD_LATTC Re(17).PDF
Pages: 15

Relevance: Shows direct demand for CRCD/PTP source authorization, education/workforce records, and custodian identification.

The full source document follows this cover page.

Formal Demand – Source Authorization, Education-Record Access, PTP 1233369910, CFCI-PA3-056-2023, LACCD/LATTC Records

8 messages

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Sun, May 24, 2026 at 8:46 PM
To: White, Stanley@DHCS <stanley.white@dhcs.ca.gov>, Angelica.Rey@dhcs.ca.gov, MCQUARAD@lattc.edu
Cc: mwilson@coalitionrcd.org, Polet Lopez <palopez@coalitionrcd.org>, ldavis@coalitionrcd.org, jguadron@coalitionrcd.org, procurement@lattc.edu, durana@laccd.edu, acerda@coalitionrcd.org, vartanlm@elac.edu, richard.cheng@lacity.org, llmcgee@lasd.org, Michelle.Touhey@dhcs.ca.gov, Jami.Cantore@doj.ca.gov, Alma.Pantoja@doj.ca.gov, Sandra.Barrientos@doj.ca.gov

Hello,

This is a formal demand for agency-level clarification, preservation, and correction regarding the source authority CRCD / Project Tipping Point relied upon for education, workforce, housing, rental-assistance, landlord/payment, and child/family-status activity connected to my records.

This demand is directed to CRCD and copied to relevant LACCD/LATTC/ELAC contacts because the newly produced LACCD/ELAC/LATTC agreements show that CRCD had formal education/workforce roles involving student support, participant records, academic/work-experience benchmarks, stipend/payment processing, monthly reporting, confidentiality, FERPA-related duties, and campus-based WorkSource operations.

That makes the education-record issue central. CRCD was not acting only as a housing nonprofit. CRCD's activity appears to connect education status, enrollment assistance, workforce participation, housing eligibility, Project Tipping Point supportive services, rental assistance, and landlord/payment documentation.

The central disputed issue is alleged 2023 consent. CRCD appears to rely on a 2023 CRCD/Ruth's Place/HMIS contact as authority for later 2024–2026 activity. A limited 2023 shelter/HMIS contact is not blanket authorization for later Project Tipping Point, LACCD/LATTC/ELAC, Amity/CFCI, landlord/payment, lease, W-9, ACH, housing-assistance, education/workforce, or child/family-status records unless the actual source record expressly authorizes that later use.

I demand that CRCD and/or the responsible LACCD/LATTC/ELAC office identify, in writing, the exact source authority relied upon for any access, viewing, verification, transmission, use, or reliance on my education information, enrollment status, student status, workforce participation, housing eligibility, or related records.

This demand includes the role of Nagera Pemberton or any other navigator, staff member, contractor, program representative, or partner who assisted with enrollment, had screen access, viewed my information, helped complete forms, verified education status, communicated with LACCD/LATTC/ELAC, or contributed to Project Tipping Point housing/supportive-service determinations.

I am not alleging that one staff member alone caused the disputed harm. I am demanding identification of the authority, record custodian, and correction process for the record chain.

Please provide a written response identifying:

1. The exact consent, ROI, FERPA authorization, HMIS authorization, participant agreement, privacy notice, education-record release, landlord/payment authorization, or other source record relied upon;

2. The date the source record was created, signed, accepted, or electronically acknowledged;

3. Who signed, accepted, witnessed, processed, or relied on the source record;

4. Whether the source record expressly covered Project Tipping Point;

5. Whether the source record expressly covered LACCD, LATTC, ELAC, enrollment verification, education status, student records, or FERPA-protected information;

6. Whether the source record expressly covered Nagera Pemberton or any navigator/staff access to my screen, enrollment records, student portal, or education information;

7. Whether the source record expressly covered Amity Foundation or CFCI-PA3-056-2023;

8. Whether the source record expressly covered landlord verification, lease documents, W-9, ACH, rental-assistance payments, or payment processing;

9. Whether the source record expressly covered child/family-status, household composition, family-reunification, or child-related information;

10. The current custodian of the source record;

11. The grievance, correction, amendment, or dispute process if no valid authorization exists.

I also demand preservation of all related records, including but not limited to emails, text messages, intake forms, Formstack records, HMIS/Ruth's Place records, PTP records, education-verification records, LACCD/LATTC/ELAC communications, Nagera Pemberton-related communications or screen-access records, navigator notes, case notes, referral records, Amity/CFCI records, landlord/payment records, lease records, W-9, ACH, internal notes, audit logs, access logs, and records showing who accessed, transmitted, approved, relied on, or corrected my information.

Relevant identifiers include:

CRCD / Project Tipping Point ID: 1233369910;
CFCI-PA3-056-2023;
HMIS ID: 8F8CD9D8B;
LAHSA Ref. 2526KH-A;
LACCD files 25-2166 and 25-2167;
FERPA/SPPO 26-0253;
ED OIG C26IOU05768;
County Fraud/OCI 2025-23289 and 2026-23965;
LAPD C259045687 and C269013241;
DOJ PIU #1508015;
LADA File 2026-C-0704;
LADA PRA 2026-0406-1059;
DRE PC 1-26-0316-003;
LAHD SO311576;
LADBS A-6604739 / Case 1053480;
Unlawful-detainer case 26CMUD00417;
Family-law case 25CMFL00694.

This is urgent because the disputed record chain continues to affect housing stability, eviction exposure, court access, family separation, and reunification impact.

Please do not redirect this as a general complaint, a private housing dispute, or a general student-services issue. This is a demand for identification of the source authorization and record custodian for education-linked Project Tipping Point, housing-assistance, and rental-assistance activity.

Please respond in writing within seven business days with the source authorization relied upon, the custodian responsible for the record, and the correction/grievance process if CRCD or LACCD cannot produce a valid authorization.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert Haab
1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4
Los Angeles, CA 90002
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
On Tue, May 12, 2026, 12:39 PM Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> wrote:

> Hello,
>
> I am following up regarding records and communications involving Nagera Pemberton's role during her employment with CRCD / Project Tipping Point.
>
> Ms. Pemberton has stated that she is no longer employed by CRCD and has requested that her personal email not be used for CRCD business. I will direct this issue through official CRCD channels.
>
> This does not withdraw the records inquiry. It confirms that CRCD must identify the proper agency representative, records custodian, counsel, or designated contact responsible for records and actions taken during Ms. Pemberton's CRCD employment.
>
> Please identify the CRCD custodian responsible for:
>
> 1. records involving Ms. Pemberton's role in my Project Tipping Point matter;
> 2. staff instructions, supervision, and communications during her employment;
> 3. CRCD/PTP enrollment and contact records;
> 4. any alleged 2023 consent/contact basis;
> 5. any 2024 consent, ROI, authorization, or release relied upon;
> 6. LATTC/LACCD coordination records;

7. Amity / CFCI-PA3-056-2023 records;
8. housing documentation, landlord/payment records, and rental-assistance records;
9. any child/family-status information used, recorded, shared, or relied upon.

Please also preserve all emails, text messages, internal communications, case notes, forms, audit logs, staff assignment records, supervisory instructions, and documents involving Ms. Pemberton's CRCD-related role.

If CRCD contends that Ms. Pemberton is not the proper recipient, then CRCD must identify the proper official recipient or custodian. I am asking for the official CRCD records path so this issue is not routed through a former staff member's personal email.

Respectfully,

Kyle David Haab
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

I am acknowledging that Nagera Pemberton has stated she is no longer employed with CRCD and has requested that her personal email not be used for CRCD business communications.

Going forward, I am requesting that CRCD identify the official agency representative, records custodian, or counsel responsible for responding to the pending issues involving Project Tipping Point, staff actions, records use, housing documentation, and consent/authorization.

I am not abandoning the substance of the inquiry. I am routing it through official CRCD channels.

Ms. Pemberton's response states that, during her employment, she fulfilled her responsibilities as directed. That makes CRCD's official records, supervision, instructions, and custody of program documents directly relevant.

Please identify who at CRCD is responsible for addressing:

1. Project Tipping Point enrollment and communications;
2. staff actions taken during Ms. Pemberton's employment;
3. the alleged 2023 consent/contact basis;
4. any 2024 consent, ROI, authorization, or release relied upon;
5. Amity / CFCI-PA3-056-2023 related records;
6. LATTC/LACCD coordination records;
7. housing documentation and rental-assistance records;
8. any child/family-status information used, recorded, or disclosed;
9. any instructions given to staff regarding my enrollment, housing, school enrollment, records, or communications.

Please also preserve all communications, notes, instructions, internal messages, case-management records, emails, text messages, forms, signatures, audit logs, and staff assignment records involving Ms. Pemberton's role in my CRCD / Project Tipping Point matter.

This request is made in good faith for records clarification, preservation, and proper agency routing. If CRCD believes a different office, counsel, or custodian should receive these requests, please identify that person in writing.

Respectfully,

Kyle David Haab
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

On Tue, May 12, 2026, 11:54 AM Nagera Pemberton <npemberton36@gmail.com> wrote:

> Hello Kyle,
>
> As previously stated, please refrain from contacting my personal email regarding any CRCD business matters. I am no longer employed with the organization, and during my employment I fulfilled my responsibilities as directed.
>
> I have previously requested that my personal email be removed from these communications, yet the contact has continued. These repeated unwanted communications are inappropriate and need to stop.
>
> Please cease further contact to this email address regarding CRCD matters and remove my information from future correspondence.
>
> Thank you,
> Nagera Pemberton
>
> On Friday, December 12, 2025, Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> wrote:

To all recipients,

I am issuing this formal correction and requesting agency-level clarification regarding CRCD's representations about my enrollment in Project Tipping Point, the staff who handled my case, and the documentation submitted under my name. Multiple facts in your records conflict with each other and with the actual timeline, and several actions taken by staff appear to violate program requirements, eligibility rules, and basic compliance procedures.

The following items require written clarification from CRCD, Amity, LAHSA, and the landlord copied here.

---

1. Program Initiation & Enrollment Communication

• Amadi Tafale initiated contact with me while I was still living in Tennessee, informing me that I was being connected to Project Tipping Point.
• Nagera Pemberton, acting as a College Navigator, sent me the Formstack enrollment link and provided the documentation list required for Project Tipping Point onboarding.
• My completed Formstack submission should have generated an internal participant record for intake processing, but no such record exists.
• Immediately after I submitted Formstack, Nagera stopped communication.

Clarification Requested:

1. Who authorized Amadi to initiate out-of-state enrollment contact?

2. Who directed Nagera to send onboarding documents?

3. Why was my Formstack submission never processed into any system (HMIS, CRCD intake, LACCD workflow)?

4. Who decided not to complete my intake after my submission?

1A. Unprocessed Formstack Enrollment Submission

Despite my completion of the official Formstack intake, CRCD never processed my digital file. As a result:

• No digital enrollment exists
• No eligibility verification exists
• No case record exists
• No program agreement exists
• No service documentation exists

CRCD then shifted to paper-only documentation, including false entries such as "2 children," which were never reported by me.

I require written explanation for why my intake was abandoned after submission.

---

2. Housing Placement Documentation (CRCD – Polet Lopez & Latea Davis)

CRCD staff Polet Lopez and Latea Davis produced conflicting ledgers showing rental payments issued under my name for 1551 E 106th St, despite:

• No HMIS enrollment
• No program agreement
• No inspection
• No eligibility verification
• No consistent payment record

Clarification Requested:

1. Who represented me as an enrolled participant?

2. Who processed or approved payments?

3. Why do Polet and Latea's ledgers contradict each other and the landlord's statements?

2A. August 22, 2024 — W-9 & Lease Sent to Amadi

On August 22, 2024, my fiancé, Crystal Izarraraz, was instructed to send the W-9 and lease directly to Amadi because the landlord stated he had not been paid by the program and expected us to cover rent.

This contradicts CRCD's later claim that rent had already been processed.

Clarification Requested:

1. Why were we instructed to submit housing documents directly to Amadi?

2. Why had the landlord not been paid at that point?

3. Who was responsible for payment processing?

4. Why do CRCD's ledgers not match the landlord's records?

---

3. Landlord Verification (Landlord CC'd)

Since the landlord is included for transparency, I request written confirmation of:

A. Whether a valid lease was ever executed between myself (Kyle Haab) and Cervantes Group LLC.
B. If so, provide the signed lease immediately.
C. If no lease exists, explain why CRCD reported tenancy and issued payments.
D. Confirm whether any ACH payments were issued under my name.

---

4. Enrollment Status With Partner Agencies

Amity Foundation has stated by phone that I am not an enrolled participant.
Yet CRCD submitted paperwork and financial documents implying enrollment.

Clarification Requested:

1. Was I ever considered enrolled in Project Tipping Point?

2. If not, who submitted documents under my identity?

3. Why do partner agencies show no record of my enrollment?

4A. False Household Composition ("2 Children") Entered Into My File

CRCD-generated paperwork lists me as having “2 children.”
This is false, was never reported by me, and materially affects:

• Funding category
• Eligibility
• Program reporting
• HMIS classification

Clarification Requested:

1. Who entered “2 children” into my file?

2. Was this used in any reporting to LAHSA, HUD, Amity, or County systems?

3. What corrections will CRCD make?

4B. Backdated Amity Paperwork Signed in Tennessee

My Amity paperwork was generated while I was still in Tennessee in June.
I was asked to “sign but not date” the form, and the paperwork falsely placed me in California before I had relocated.

This indicates backdating and invalid enrollment processing.

Clarification Requested:

1. Who prepared these documents?

2. Why was I told not to date them?

3. Were these forms submitted as valid to any oversight body?

4C. Same-Day Conflict With Tennessee DCS Reunification Plan

The date CRCD requested my fiancé’s ID, SSN, W-9, and lease documents was the exact same day Tennessee DCS finalized our reunification plan.

This timing materially disrupted our court-ordered reunification process.

Clarification Requested:

1. Why CRCD initiated a placement process during an active reunification milestone.

2. Whether CRCD understood it was relocating two disabled parents with an active DCS case.

4D. Pre-Existing HUD Housing (Unit #187)

Before CRCD’s involvement, my fiancé and I were stably housed in a 2-bedroom HUD-subsidized apartment (#187) in Tennessee.

We were not homeless, and we were fully compliant with our Tennessee DCS reunification plan.

Under HUD and LAHSA eligibility rules, individuals housed in an active HUD unit are not eligible for PTP housing assistance.

CRCD's involvement removed us from stable housing and destabilized our case.

Clarification Requested:

1. Why CRCD initiated enrollment for individuals already in a HUD unit.

2. Why relocation was initiated without eligibility or need.

---

5. Oversight Investigations

The following agencies currently have open matters connected to this case:

• LA County OCI
• LA County Fraud Unit
• LAHD Code Enforcement
• LAPD Commercial Crimes Division
• LACCD Internal Audit
• HUD OIG
• Office of Assemblymember Tina McKinnor

Accurate responses will be forwarded to these bodies.

---

6. Required Written Responses (Within 5 Business Days)

I request point-by-point answers from all agencies copied, addressing:

1. Who contacted me and under what authority.

2. Who processed my documents.

3. Why my Formstack intake was ignored.

4. Why HMIS was bypassed.

5. Why conflicting ledgers exist.

6. Whether a lease existed.

7. Why payments were issued without enrollment.

8. Who falsified household information.

9. Why backdated documents were produced.

10. Why CRCD inserted itself into an active reunification process.

11. Why relocation occurred despite my stable HUD housing.

Thank you.

Kyle Haab
Kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

Crystal Izarraraz
Izarrarazcrystal36@gmail.com

On Sun, Dec 7, 2025, 5:00 PM Nagera Pemberton <npemberton36@gmail.com> wrote:

> Hello Kyle,
>
> As mentioned previously I am not apart of the CRCD organization and have not worked with them or been employed since May 2023. I understand your frustration and need for information however, I ask that you please refrain from emailing my personal email address and to stop including me in this situation as I am in no way responsible for this unfortunate situation.
>
> Thank you,
> Nagera Pemberton
>
> On Sunday, December 7, 2025, Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> > Dear Mr. Guadron and Ms. Coleman,
> >
> > Thank you again for meeting with my fiancée, Crystal Izarraraz, and me on [insert date], along with Eric Clark. Because the meeting involved program compliance issues, housing placement concerns, and multiple active investigations, I am requesting a written summary of the discussion and any notes your staff documented.
> >
> > During the meeting, Mr. Guadron stated that the staff I would need to speak with were not in the office that day. For clarity and accuracy, I am including all CRCD personnel who have been involved in my case, directly or indirectly, so that the full chain of involvement is represented.
> >
> > For the record, please provide CRCD's written confirmation of the following:
> >
> > 1. That CRCD initiated contact with us, not the other way around.
> > 2. That CRCD staff assisted with our relocation to 1551 E 106th Street, a placement that is now under review by multiple agencies.
> > 3. Whether CRCD considers us "no longer enrolled" in Project Tipping Point, and the exact date CRCD believes that separation took effect.
> > 4. What internal actions CRCD has taken or plans to take in regard to the issues raised: unsafe housing conditions, conflicting information provided to agencies, fraud concerns, program miscommunication, and staff conduct.
> > 5. Whether any supervisors or program managers reviewed our file before or after the relocation took place.
> >
> > Given that HUD, APS, LAHSA Risk Management, federal caseworkers, and a state legislative office are now reviewing aspects of this situation, I require CRCD's written response so that all agencies receive consistent information.
> >
> > Please reply at your earliest convenience.
> >
> > Sincerely,
> >
> > Kyle Haab
> >
> > 657 557 4459

CRCD_court_ready_subpoena_with_child_awareness_and_PTP_application(1).pdf
10.8 MB

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Tue, May 26, 2026 at 10:02 PM
To: White, Stanley@DHCS <stanley.white@dhcs.ca.gov>, Angelica.Rey@dhcs.ca.gov, MCQUARAD@lattc.edu
Cc: mwilson@coalitionrcd.org, Polet Lopez <palopez@coalitionrcd.org>, ldavis@coalitionrcd.org, jguadron@coalitionrcd.org, procurement@lattc.edu, durana@laccd.edu, acerda@coalitionrcd.org, vartanlm@elac.edu, richard.cheng@lacity.org, llmcgee@lasd.org, Michelle.Touhey@dhcs.ca.gov, Jami.Cantore@doj.ca.gov, Alma.Pantoja@doj.ca.gov, Sandra.Barrientos@doj.ca.gov, aragonam@lattc.edu, romanaj@email.laccd.edu, Crenshaw, Keyna J <Crenshkj@email.laccd.edu>, hughespm@email.laccd.edu, jeanpr@email.laccd.edu, johnsolc@email.laccd.edu, carranmd@email.laccd.edu

Hello,

I am following up regarding the CRCD / Project Tipping Point source-authorization and education-record access issue involving LACCD / LATTC / ELAC records.

This is not a request for LACCD or LATTC to decide my housing case, family-law case, CalVCB claim, law-enforcement matter, or any non-education dispute. I am requesting identification of the correct LACCD oversight, audit, compliance, FERPA, procurement, contract-monitoring, or grievance contact responsible for CRCD-linked education/workforce records.

My concern is that CRCD was not acting only as a housing-support nonprofit. CRCD's role appears to have overlapped with LACCD / LATTC / ELAC education and workforce functions, including student support, participant records, education-status verification, workforce participation, WorkSource / AJCC activity, stipend or payment processing, monthly reporting, confidentiality duties, and FERPA-related obligations.

The unresolved issue is the source authority relied upon for any access, viewing, verification, transmission, use, reporting, or reliance on my education, enrollment, student-status, workforce, housing-eligibility, or related records in connection with CRCD / Project Tipping Point.

Please identify the correct LACCD / LATTC office or individual responsible for:

1. CRCD-related contract oversight, procurement oversight, or WorkSource / AJCC oversight;
2. FERPA compliance and student-record access questions;
3. LACCD Internal Audit or compliance review involving CRCD-linked records;
4. records involving CRCD / Project Tipping Point and education-status verification;
5. records involving any navigator, staff member, contractor, or partner who accessed or assisted with enrollment, OpenCCC, student portal, education-verification, or workforce-participation information;
6. correction, amendment, grievance, or dispute procedures if no valid consent or authorization exists.

Relevant identifiers include:

CRCD / Project Tipping Point ID 1233369910
CFCI-PA3-056-2023
LACCD files 25-2166 and 25-2167
FERPA / SPPO tracking number 26-0253
ED OIG reference C26IOU05768
ED FOIA Request 26-00060-F-PA
ED FOIA Appeal 26-00026-A
LAPD C259045687 and C269013241
County Fraud / OCI 2025-23289 and 2026-23965

Please confirm whether this should be handled by LACCD Internal Audit, the LACCD FERPA/student-records office, LATTC administration, procurement/contract monitoring, or another designated records custodian.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert Haab
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Tue, May 26, 2026 at 10:43 PM
To: White, Stanley@DHCS <stanley.white@dhcs.ca.gov>, Angelica.Rey@dhcs.ca.gov, MCQUARAD@lattc.edu, Olivasjl@lattc.edu, Lewiswj@lattc.edu, Public Records Act <PRA@cccco.edu>, montoyj@wlac.edu
Cc: mwilson@coalitionrcd.org, Polet Lopez <palopez@coalitionrcd.org>, ldavis@coalitionrcd.org, jguadron@coalitionrcd.org, procurement@lattc.edu, durana@laccd.edu, acerda@coalitionrcd.org, vartanlm@elac.edu, richard.cheng@lacity.org, llmcgee@lasd.org, Michelle.Touhey@dhcs.ca.gov, Jami.Cantore@doj.ca.gov, Alma.Pantoja@doj.ca.gov, Sandra.Barrientos@doj.ca.gov, aragonam@lattc.edu,

romanaj@email.laccd.edu, Crenshaw, Keyna J <Crenshkj@email.laccd.edu>, hughespm@email.laccd.edu, jeanpr@email.laccd.edu, johnsolc@email.laccd.edu, carranmd@email.laccd.edu, dbond@amityfdn.org, Joann Sanchez <jsanchez@amityfdn.org>, Lopez, Anita <ALopez@bos.lacounty.gov>, cpra@laccd.edu, KIRENGM@email.laccd.edu, washindk@lattc.edu, tpagrants@amityfdn.org, RSeeley@amityfdn.org

Hello,

I am supplementing my prior source-authorization and education-record access request with a specific LATTC enrollment-record event from June 2024.

On June 26–28, 2024, I contacted LATTC staff because I was unable to register for credit courses after my application appeared connected to noncredit classes. LATTC staff requested my student ID, worked with Admissions & Records, and later confirmed that I could register into credit courses.

This matters because CRCD / Project Tipping Point eligibility, housing-support activity, rental-assistance activity, and workforce/education support appear to have depended on education status, enrollment access, or education-verification information. I am not alleging that every LATTC staff member caused the disputed harm. I am asking LACCD / LATTC to identify the correct records custodian, oversight contact, FERPA contact, audit contact, or grievance/correction process for the education-record portion.

Please preserve and identify records sufficient to show:

1. Who accessed, corrected, viewed, verified, transmitted, or relied on my LATTC application, student ID, enrollment status, OpenCCC record, credit/noncredit status, or course-registration eligibility;
2. Whether any CRCD / Project Tipping Point staff, navigator, partner, contractor, WorkSource/AJCC representative, or outside agency communicated with LATTC, LACCD, Admissions & Records, or related offices about my enrollment or student status;
3. What consent, FERPA release, ROI, participant agreement, privacy notice, or other authorization permitted any such access, verification, transmission, or reliance;
4. Whether the education-record activity was connected to Project Tipping Point eligibility, CFCI-PA3-056-2023 rental assistance, Amity Foundation records, CRCD case notes, landlord/payment records, or workforce participation;
5. Which LACCD / LATTC office is responsible for reviewing or correcting the education-record access issue if no valid source authorization exists.

Relevant identifiers include:

CRCD / Project Tipping Point ID 1233369910
CFCI-PA3-056-2023
LACCD files 25-2166 and 25-2167
FERPA / SPPO tracking number 26-0253
ED OIG reference C26IOU05768
ED FOIA Request 26-00060-F-PA
ED FOIA Appeal 26-00026-A
LAPD C259045687 and C269013241
County Fraud / OCI 2025-23289 and 2026-23965

This request remains limited to records custody, source authorization, FERPA/student-record access, audit/oversight routing, and correction/grievance procedure. I am not asking LACCD or LATTC to decide the housing case, family-law case, CalVCB claim, law-enforcement matter, or any non-education dispute.

Please confirm the correct office or person responsible for responding.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert Haab
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Tue, May 26, 2026 at 11:02 PM
To: White, Stanley@DHCS <stanley.white@dhcs.ca.gov>, Angelica.Rey@dhcs.ca.gov, MCQUARAD@lattc.edu, Olivasjl@lattc.edu, Lewiswj@lattc.edu, Public Records Act <PRA@cccco.edu>, montoyj@wlac.edu
Cc: mwilson@coalitionrcd.org, Polet Lopez <palopez@coalitionrcd.org>, ldavis@coalitionrcd.org, jguadron@coalitionrcd.org, procurement@lattc.edu, durana@laccd.edu, acerda@coalitionrcd.org, vartanlm@elac.edu, richard.cheng@lacity.org, llmcgee@lasd.org, Michelle.Touhey@dhcs.ca.gov, Jami.Cantore@doj.ca.gov, Alma.Pantoja@doj.ca.gov, Sandra.Barrientos@doj.ca.gov, aragonam@lattc.edu, romanaj@email.laccd.edu, Crenshaw, Keyna J <Crenshkj@email.laccd.edu>, hughespm@email.laccd.edu, jeanpr@email.laccd.edu, johnsolc@email.laccd.edu, carranmd@email.laccd.edu, dbond@amityfdn.org, Joann Sanchez <jsanchez@amityfdn.org>, Lopez, Anita <ALopez@bos.lacounty.gov>, cpra@laccd.edu, KIRENGM@email.laccd.edu, washindk@lattc.edu, tpagrants@amityfdn.org, RSeeley@amityfdn.org

[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Wed, May 27, 2026 at 9:27 AM
To: White, Stanley@DHCS <stanley.white@dhcs.ca.gov>, Angelica.Rey@dhcs.ca.gov, MCQUARAD@lattc.edu, Olivasjl@lattc.edu, Lewiswj@lattc.edu, Public Records Act <PRA@cccco.edu>, montoyj@wlac.edu, KFelder@bos.lacounty.gov, CEOP <ceop@bos.lacounty.gov>, Panosyan, Samantha <SPanosyan@bos.lacounty.gov>, Moran, Paul <PMoran@bos.lacounty.gov>, ccha@bos.lacounty.gov, Giza, Maeve <MGiza@bos.lacounty.gov>, Hills, LaJuannah <lhills@bos.lacounty.gov>, alexandersaltzman@cdrewu.edu, elizabethhartigan@cdrewu.edu, solicitations@jcod.lacounty.gov, tpagrants@amityfdn.org
Cc: mwilson@coalitionrcd.org, Polet Lopez <palopez@coalitionrcd.org>, ldavis@coalitionrcd.org, jguadron@coalitionrcd.org, procurement@lattc.edu, durana@laccd.edu, acerda@coalitionrcd.org, vartanlm@elac.edu, richard.cheng@lacity.org, llmcgee@lasd.org, Michelle.Touhey@dhcs.ca.gov, Jami.Cantore@doj.ca.gov, Alma.Pantoja@doj.ca.gov, Sandra.Barrientos@doj.ca.gov, aragonam@lattc.edu, romanaj@email.laccd.edu, Crenshaw, Keyna J <Crenshkj@email.laccd.edu>, hughespm@email.laccd.edu, jeanpr@email.laccd.edu, johnsolc@email.laccd.edu, carranmd@email.laccd.edu, dbond@amityfdn.org, Joann Sanchez <jsanchez@amityfdn.org>, Lopez, Anita <ALopez@bos.lacounty.gov>, cpra@laccd.edu, KIRENGM@email.laccd.edu, washindk@lattc.edu, RSeeley@amityfdn.org, jcod@lacounty.gov

Hello,

I am submitting this short supplemental preservation and routing notice for the existing source-authorization thread involving CRCD / Project Tipping Point, LACCD / LATTC records, CFCI-PA3-056-2023, and related agency records.

This email is not intended to create a new complaint against every recipient. It is intended to preserve the record and request identification of the correct custodian, compliance contact, or corrective-action pathway.

The updated issue is that the June 26–28, 2024 LATTC enrollment-record event is directly relevant to the CRCD / Project Tipping Point source-authorization question. I contacted LATTC because I could not register for credit courses after my application appeared connected to noncredit classes. LATTC staff requested my student ID, worked with Admissions & Records, and later confirmed I could register into credit courses.

That matters because CRCD / Project Tipping Point eligibility and supportive services appear to have depended on education status, credit-bearing units, enrollment verification, workforce participation, or student-record information.

The unresolved question remains:

What consent, FERPA release, ROI, participant agreement, HMIS authorization, privacy notice, or other source record authorized any access, verification, transmission, use, reporting, or reliance on my education, enrollment, workforce, housing-eligibility, landlord/payment, or family-status information?

Please preserve records sufficient to show:

1. who accessed, corrected, viewed, verified, transmitted, or relied on my LATTC application, student ID, enrollment status, OpenCCC record, credit/noncredit status, or course-registration eligibility;

2. whether CRCD / Project Tipping Point, any navigator, any WorkSource / AJCC-related staff, any contractor, or any outside partner communicated with LACCD / LATTC regarding my enrollment, education status, or student-record information;

3. what authorization was relied upon for any such access, verification, transmission, or reporting;

4. whether the education-record activity was connected to Project Tipping Point eligibility, rental assistance, CFCI-PA3-056-2023, Amity Foundation, landlord/payment records, or workforce participation;

5. which office is responsible for reviewing or correcting the education-record and source-authorization issue if no valid source authorization exists.

I am attaching the updated cross-agency source-record addendum for records-preservation and routing context. It is not intended to replace prior submissions. It is intended to show that the same disputed source-record issue is now affecting multiple records lanes, including CRCD / Project Tipping Point, LACCD / LATTC, StudentAid / FERPA, LAHSA / HMIS, JCOD / Amity / CFCI, DHCS, County Fraud / OCI, LAPD, and related court-access issues.

Please confirm receipt and identify the correct office or person responsible for responding to this source-authorization, records-custody, FERPA/student-record, audit/oversight, or grievance/correction issue.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert Haab
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
[Quoted text hidden]

CEOP_OCI_2026_23965_Cross_Agency_Source_Record_Addendum_May27_2026.pdf
8.6 MB

Lopez, Anita <ALopez@bos.lacounty.gov> Fri, May 29, 2026 at 7:09 AM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>, White, Stanley@DHCS <stanley.white@dhcs.ca.gov>, Angelica.Rey@dhcs.ca.gov <Angelica.Rey@dhcs.ca.gov>, MCQUARAD@lattc.edu <MCQUARAD@lattc.edu>, Olivasjl@lattc.edu <Olivasjl@lattc.edu>, Lewiswj@lattc.edu <Lewiswj@lattc.edu>, Public Records Act <PRA@cccco.edu>, montoyj@wlac.edu <montoyj@wlac.edu>
Cc: mwilson@coalitionrcd.org <mwilson@coalitionrcd.org>, Polet Lopez <palopez@coalitionrcd.org>, ldavis@coalitionrcd.org <ldavis@coalitionrcd.org>, jguadron@coalitionrcd.org <jguadron@coalitionrcd.org>, procurement@lattc.edu <procurement@lattc.edu>, durana@laccd.edu <durana@laccd.edu>, acerda@coalitionrcd.org <acerda@coalitionrcd.org>, vartanlm@elac.edu <vartanlm@elac.edu>, richard.cheng@lacity.org <richard.cheng@lacity.org>, llmcgee@lasd.org <llmcgee@lasd.org>, Michelle.Touhey@dhcs.ca.gov <Michelle.Touhey@dhcs.ca.gov>, Jami.Cantore@doj.ca.gov <Jami.Cantore@doj.ca.gov>, Alma.Pantoja@doj.ca.gov <Alma.Pantoja@doj.ca.gov>, Sandra.Barrientos@doj.ca.gov <Sandra.Barrientos@doj.ca.gov>, aragonam@lattc.edu <aragonam@lattc.edu>, romanaj@email.laccd.edu <romanaj@email.laccd.edu>, Crenshaw, Keyna J <Crenshkj@email.laccd.edu>, hughespm@email.laccd.edu <hughespm@email.laccd.edu>, jeanpr@email.laccd.edu <jeanpr@email.laccd.edu>, johnsolc@email.laccd.edu <johnsolc@email.laccd.edu>, carranmd@email.laccd.edu <carranmd@email.laccd.edu>, dbond@amityfdn.org <dbond@amityfdn.org>, Joann Sanchez <jsanchez@amityfdn.org>, cpra@laccd.edu <cpra@laccd.edu>, KIRENGM@email.laccd.edu <KIRENGM@email.laccd.edu>, washindk@lattc.edu <washindk@lattc.edu>, tpagrants@amityfdn.org <tpagrants@amityfdn.org>, RSeeley@amityfdn.org <RSeeley@amityfdn.org>

PLEASE CORRECT THIS PROBLEM. DOES NOT CONCERN ME AND IS ANNOYING.
I AM A COUNTY COMMISSIONER OF 32 YEARS ON THE ASSESSMENT APPEALS BOARD, I DO NOT WANT TO HAVE TO CONTACT MY COUNTY SUPERVISOR OVER THESE MANY MISDIRECTED EMAILS.
ANITA LOPEZ
818-795-1648

From: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 26, 2026 11:02 PM
To: White, Stanley@DHCS <stanley.white@dhcs.ca.gov>; Angelica.Rey@dhcs.ca.gov <Angelica.Rey@dhcs.ca.gov>; MCQUARAD@lattc.edu <MCQUARAD@lattc.edu>; Olivasjl@lattc.edu <Olivasjl@lattc.edu>; Lewiswj@lattc.edu <Lewiswj@lattc.edu>; Public Records Act <PRA@cccco.edu>; montoyj@wlac.edu <montoyj@wlac.edu>
Cc: mwilson@coalitionrcd.org <mwilson@coalitionrcd.org>; Polet Lopez <palopez@coalitionrcd.org>; ldavis@coalitionrcd.org <ldavis@coalitionrcd.org>; jguadron@coalitionrcd.org <jguadron@coalitionrcd.org>; procurement@lattc.edu <procurement@lattc.edu>; durana@laccd.edu <durana@laccd.edu>; acerda@coalitionrcd.org <acerda@coalitionrcd.org>; vartanlm@elac.edu <vartanlm@elac.edu>; richard.cheng@lacity.org <richard.cheng@lacity.org>; llmcgee@lasd.org <llmcgee@lasd.org>; Michelle.Touhey@dhcs.ca.gov <Michelle.Touhey@dhcs.ca.gov>; Jami.Cantore@doj.ca.gov <Jami.Cantore@doj.ca.gov>; Alma.Pantoja@doj.ca.gov <Alma.Pantoja@doj.ca.gov>; Sandra.Barrientos@doj.ca.gov <Sandra.Barrientos@doj.ca.gov>; aragonam@lattc.edu <aragonam@lattc.edu>; romanaj@email.laccd.edu <romanaj@email.laccd.edu>; Crenshaw, Keyna J <Crenshkj@email.laccd.edu>; hughespm@email.laccd.edu <hughespm@email.laccd.edu>; jeanpr@email.laccd.edu <jeanpr@email.laccd.edu>; johnsolc@email.laccd.edu <johnsolc@email.laccd.edu>; carranmd@email.laccd.edu <carranmd@email.laccd.edu>; dbond@amityfdn.org <dbond@amityfdn.org>; Joann Sanchez <jsanchez@amityfdn.org>; Lopez, Anita <ALopez@bos.lacounty.gov>; cpra@laccd.edu <cpra@laccd.edu>; KIRENGM@email.laccd.edu <KIRENGM@email.laccd.edu>; washindk@lattc.edu <washindk@lattc.edu>; tpagrants@amityfdn.org <tpagrants@amityfdn.org>; RSeeley@amityfdn.org <RSeeley@amityfdn.org>
Subject: Re: Formal Demand – Source Authorization, Education-Record Access, PTP 1233369910, CFCI-PA3-056-2023, LACCD/LATTC Records
[Quoted text hidden]

Public Records Act <PRA@cccco.edu> Fri, May 29, 2026 at 9:54 AM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>, White, Stanley@DHCS <stanley.white@dhcs.ca.gov>, Angelica.Rey@dhcs.ca.gov <Angelica.Rey@dhcs.ca.gov>, MCQUARAD@lattc.edu <MCQUARAD@lattc.edu>, Olivasjl@lattc.edu <Olivasjl@lattc.edu>, Lewiswj@lattc.edu <Lewiswj@lattc.edu>, Public Records Act <PRA@cccco.edu>, montoyj@wlac.edu <montoyj@wlac.edu>
Cc: mwilson@coalitionrcd.org <mwilson@coalitionrcd.org>, Polet Lopez <palopez@coalitionrcd.org>, ldavis@coalitionrcd.org <ldavis@coalitionrcd.org>, jguadron@coalitionrcd.org <jguadron@coalitionrcd.org>, procurement@lattc.edu <procurement@lattc.edu>, durana@laccd.edu <durana@laccd.edu>, acerda@coalitionrcd.org <acerda@coalitionrcd.org>, vartanlm@elac.edu <vartanlm@elac.edu>, richard.cheng@lacity.org <richard.cheng@lacity.org>, llmcgee@lasd.org <llmcgee@lasd.org>, Michelle.Touhey@dhcs.ca.gov <Michelle.Touhey@dhcs.ca.gov>, Jami.Cantore@doj.ca.gov <Jami.Cantore@doj.ca.gov>, Alma.Pantoja@doj.ca.gov <Alma.Pantoja@doj.ca.gov>, Sandra.Barrientos@doj.ca.gov <Sandra.Barrientos@doj.ca.gov>, aragonam@lattc.edu

<aragonam@lattc.edu>, romanaj@email.laccd.edu <romanaj@email.laccd.edu>, Crenshaw, Keyna J <Crenshkj@email.laccd.edu>, hughespm@email.laccd.edu <hughespm@email.laccd.edu>, jeanpr@email.laccd.edu <jeanpr@email.laccd.edu>, johnsolc@email.laccd.edu <johnsolc@email.laccd.edu>, carranmd@email.laccd.edu <carranmd@email.laccd.edu>, dbond@amityfdn.org <dbond@amityfdn.org>, Joann Sanchez <jsanchez@amityfdn.org>, Lopez, Anita <ALopez@bos.lacounty.gov>, cpra@laccd.edu <cpra@laccd.edu>, KIRENGM@email.laccd.edu <KIRENGM@email.laccd.edu>, Washington, Dione K <washindk@lattc.edu>, tpagrants@amityfdn.org <tpagrants@amityfdn.org>, RSeeley@amityfdn.org <RSeeley@amityfdn.org>

Mr. Dietz:

Student Grievances

The Chancellor's Office has no legal authority or enforcement jurisdiction over student grievance matters, or over the student grievance process, which is conducted locally, through student services at your college and/or community college district. Some examples of student grievance issues are:

- First Amendment issues
- Financial-Financial Aid
- Housing
- Grading issues.
- Disagreements with your instructors or district staff which do not involve allegations of unlawful discrimination.
- Campus safety issues.
- Matters of student discipline up to, and including, expulsion from a college campus.

You may wish to check the college catalog or Web site to learn how to initiate the college's grievance process. If you are unable to find that information, you can contact the chief student services officer at the district for information on filing student grievances. If you already filed a student grievance or had disciplinary action taken against you and you are not satisfied with the outcome, you may wish to check with student services at the college or college district to find out how to appeal this decision locally. There is no appeal to the State Chancellor from a student grievance decision or a student disciplinary action; as explained above, it is a local matter in which we have no authority or jurisdiction.

Please remove this email address from your future correspondence regarding this matter.

Sincerely,

Angelia Harlow

Executive Assistant

From: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 26, 2026 10:43 PM
To: White, Stanley@DHCS <stanley.white@dhcs.ca.gov>; Angelica.Rey@dhcs.ca.gov; MCQUARAD@lattc.edu; Olivasjl@lattc.edu; Lewiswj@lattc.edu; Public Records Act <PRA@cccco.edu>; montoyj@wlac.edu
Cc: mwilson@coalitionrcd.org; Polet Lopez <palopez@coalitionrcd.org>; ldavis@coalitionrcd.org; jguadron@coalitionrcd.org; procurement@lattc.edu; durana@laccd.edu; acerda@coalitionrcd.org; vartanlm@elac.edu; richard.cheng@lacity.org; llmcgee@lasd.org; Michelle.Touhey@dhcs.ca.gov; Jami.Cantore@doj.ca.gov; Alma.Pantoja@doj.ca.gov; Sandra.Barrientos@doj.ca.gov; aragonam@lattc.edu; romanaj@email.laccd.edu; Crenshaw, Keyna J <Crenshkj@email.laccd.edu>; hughespm@email.laccd.edu; jeanpr@email.laccd.edu; johnsolc@email.laccd.edu; carranmd@email.laccd.edu; dbond@amityfdn.org; Joann Sanchez <jsanchez@amityfdn.org>; Lopez, Anita <ALopez@bos.lacounty.gov>; cpra@laccd.edu; KIRENGM@email.laccd.edu; Washington, Dione K <washindk@lattc.edu>; tpagrants@amityfdn.org; RSeeley@amityfdn.org
Subject: Re: Formal Demand – Source Authorization, Education-Record Access, PTP 1233369910, CFCI-PA3-056-2023, LACCD/LATTC Records

[External Email: Do not click any links or open attachments if you do not trust the sender and know the content is safe]

[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Sat, May 30, 2026 at 2:02 AM
To: Public Records Act <PRA@cccco.edu>, KFelder@bos.lacounty.gov
Cc: MCQUARAD@lattc.edu, Olivasjl@lattc.edu, Lewiswj@lattc.edu, montoyj@wlac.edu, mwilson@coalitionrcd.org, Polet Lopez <palopez@coalitionrcd.org>, ldavis@coalitionrcd.org, jguadron@coalitionrcd.org, procurement@lattc.edu, durana@laccd.edu, acerda@coalitionrcd.org, vartanlm@elac.edu, richard.cheng@lacity.org, llmcgee@lasd.org, Michelle.Touhey@dhcs.ca.gov, Jami.Cantore@doj.ca.gov, Alma.Pantoja@doj.ca.gov, Sandra.Barrientos@doj.ca.gov, aragonam@lattc.edu, romanaj@email.laccd.edu, Crenshaw, Keyna J <Crenshkj@email.laccd.edu>, hughespm@email.laccd.edu, jeanpr@email.laccd.edu, johnsolc@email.laccd.edu, carranmd@email.laccd.edu, dbond@amityfdn.org, Joann Sanchez <jsanchez@amityfdn.org>, cpra@laccd.edu, KIRENGM@email.laccd.edu, Washington, Dione K <washindk@lattc.edu>, tpagrants@amityfdn.org, RSeeley@amityfdn.org

Hello,

I am requesting a written local custodian determination regarding CRCD / Project Tipping Point-linked education and workforce records.

This is not a routine student grievance about grades, discipline, ordinary campus services, or general housing assistance. The issue is source authorization, records custody, access authority, FERPA/student-record access, correction rights, and contract/workforce oversight involving CRCD / Project Tipping Point-linked education, enrollment, OpenCCC, LATTC, ELAC, workforce, and WorkSource/AJCC records.

This issue was preserved before my May 2026 formal demand. On or about December 16, 2025, I submitted a CPRA request seeking student enrollment, application, CCCApply/OpenCCC, access-log, audit-log, communication/referral, and correction/modification records. The response indicated that the request concerned Los Angeles Community College District records and was being referred or routed to the local agency/custodian.

My current request is therefore not a new complaint or late-created theory. It is a continued request for the local custodian to identify the source authorization, FERPA/student-record access authority, access logs, audit logs, correction process, and records-custody path for CRCD / Project Tipping Point-linked education/workforce records.

The specific jurisdiction and custodian question is:

Who has authority to confirm whether CRCD / Project Tipping Point, any College Navigator, WorkSource/AJCC partner, contractor, staff member, or affiliated program representative had valid authorization to access, view, verify, transmit, use, report, or rely on my education, enrollment, student-status, CCCApply/OpenCCC, LATTC, ELAC, workforce, or related records?

This matters because CRCD / Project Tipping Point appears to connect education status, workforce participation, foster-youth verification, housing eligibility, supportive services, rental assistance, landlord/payment records, and child/family-status information. The unresolved issue is what exact consent, release, ROI, FERPA authorization, participant agreement, privacy notice, contract authority, or source record authorized that education/workforce record chain.

I have exhausted every identified assistance channel that has been provided to me or reasonably available to me so far. I have attempted to route the issue through CRCD, LACCD/LATTC contacts, Chancellor's Office channels, public-records contacts, agency contacts, legal-aid channels, and oversight contacts. The problem is that each response either redirects the matter, limits jurisdiction, treats it as a general student grievance, or identifies that the recipient is not the proper contact.

If the Chancellor's Office position is that this must be handled locally, then I need the local office to identify the correct designated contact. I should not be left to guess among multiple departments, staff, former staff, unrelated officials, and misdirected recipients.

Please confirm in writing:

1. Whether this issue falls within your jurisdiction or records-custody responsibility;

2. Whether your office can identify the correct FERPA/student-records custodian, compliance office, internal audit contact, procurement/contract-monitoring office, or WorkSource/AJCC oversight contact;

3. Whether your office can review or route the issue involving CRCD-linked education/workforce records and source authorization;

4. Whether there are records showing CRCD / Project Tipping Point, any navigator, staff member, contractor, WorkSource/AJCC partner, or affiliated representative accessed, assisted with, verified, transmitted, reported, or relied on my CCCApply/OpenCCC, LATTC, ELAC, enrollment, student-status, education-verification, or workforce-participation records;

5. Whether there are access logs, audit logs, submitter metadata, correction logs, student-record disclosure history, enrollment-assistance records, or internal notes responsive to the December 2025 access-log request;

6. Whether there is any FERPA consent, education-record release, ROI, participant agreement, privacy notice, contract authority, or source authorization that permitted CRCD-linked access, verification, reporting, or use of my education/workforce records;

7. Whether there is any agreement, MOU, contract, work order, procurement record, or service arrangement involving CRCD, Project Tipping Point, LATTC, ELAC, LACCD, WorkSource, AJCC, or related education/workforce services that governs student-record access, confidentiality, participant records, reporting, or supportive services;

8. What correction, amendment, FERPA challenge, grievance, or dispute process applies if no valid authorization exists, or if records were accessed, used, transmitted, or relied upon without proper authority;

9. If your office has no jurisdiction, please identify the correct office, contact, appeal path, or written process;

10. If no office will review the issue or identify the source authorization, please state that clearly so I can preserve the jurisdictional gap for FERPA/SPPO, ED OIG, public-records, court, or other appropriate review.

Relevant education/workforce identifiers include:

CRCD / Project Tipping Point ID: 1233369910
LATTC noncredit confirmation number: NC-31050281
LACCD files: 25-2166 and 25-2167
FERPA / SPPO tracking number: 26-0253
ED OIG reference: C26IOU05768
ED FOIA Request: 26-00060-F-PA
ED FOIA Appeal: 26-00026-A
FOIA.gov Department of Education submission: 2838226
City / EWDD CPRA requests: 25-18989 and 25-18879
HMIS ID tied to alleged source-record issue: 8F8CD9D8B
CFCI / Amity / CRCD contract reference: CFCI-PA3-056-2023
Family-law case: 25CMFL00694
Unlawful-detainer case: 26CMUD00417

I am requesting a jurisdiction and custodian determination, not a general referral and not a merits decision on unrelated housing, court, or agency matters.

Please respond in writing with the correct custodian, process, and any required form or portal step needed to obtain or correct these records.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert Haab
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
[Quoted text hidden]

Education_Source_Authorization_Custodian_Packet_May30_2026.pdf
1.8 MB

EXHIBIT P

LASD jurisdiction/no-role clarification

Source file: Gmail - RE_ New Entry_ Contact Us - South LA Station(4).PDF
Pages: 4

Relevance: Shows LASD disclaimed jurisdiction for the property and declined further role, routing law-enforcement issues back toward LAPD.

The full source document follows this cover page.

RE: New Entry: Contact Us - South LA Station

3 messages

Johnson, Raymond <rjohnson@lasd.org> Sat, Jun 6, 2026 at 10:19 AM
To: kyle.dietz99@gmail.com <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Hello Mr. Dietz,

Thank you for your email.

Based on the address you provided, **1551 3/4 E. 106th Street, Los Angeles, CA 90002 is not within the jurisdiction of South Los Angeles Station or the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department.** That location falls within the jurisdiction of the **Los Angeles Police Department (LAPD).**

As such, South Los Angeles Station is unable to provide guidance regarding any criminal report, investigation, or records associated with that address. For any remaining questions regarding criminal reports, investigative status, records preservation, or agency jurisdiction, you should contact the appropriate LAPD division responsible for that location.

If you are seeking records related to prior LASD contacts or online submissions, those requests would need to be directed through the appropriate LASD records or Information Bureau channels. However, based on the information provided, South Los Angeles Station does not appear to have a further investigative role in this matter.

From: Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, June 6, 2026 9:48 AM
To: South LA Station Public Emails <SouthLA@lasd.org>
Subject: New Entry: Contact Us - South LA Station

Disclaimer: If this is an emergency, calll 9-1-1. This form is for general information only, please allow a few days to process.

I have read and understood this statement.

Name

Kyle Haab

Subject

Routing Clarification / Records Preservation — OPCTR2026-23bbbd8dd830

Phone Number

6575574459

Email

kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

Message

I am submitting this as a general-information routing clarification and records-preservation request only. This is not an emergency and not a duplicate crime report.

Prior LASD references: OPCTR2026-23bbbd8dd830 and OPCTR2026-d64dbce3c402. LASD previously indicated this matter is not currently being investigated by LASD, or has already been investigated by LASD, and advised me to contact the investigating agencies. LASD also provided South Los Angeles Station contact information based on my later selection.

Please confirm whether LASD has any record, referral note, handling-unit note, informational report, or preserved routing record connected to OPCTR2026-23bbbd8dd830 / OPCTR2026-d64dbce3c402, and whether LASD considers LAPD or LASD South Los Angeles Station the proper law-enforcement contact for any remaining criminal-report lane.

Related identifiers: LAPD C259045687; LAPD C269013241; LAPD Complaint 26-001767; County

Fraud/OCI 2025-23289 and 2026_23965; CFCI-PA3-056-2023; HMIS 8F8CD9D8B; LAHSA 2526KH-A; CRCD / Project Tipping Point; 400 W. Washington Blvd., Los Angeles, CA 90015; and 1551 3/4 E. 106th St., Los Angeles, CA 90002.

Please provide the correct next step or confirm that LASD has no further role.

Sent from Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Sat, Jun 6, 2026 at 12:59 PM
To: Johnson, Raymond <rjohnson@lasd.org>, llmcgee@lasd.org

Deputy/Officer Johnson,

Thank you for the clarification.

I understand your response that 1551 3/4 E. 106th Street, Los Angeles, CA 90002 is not within South Los Angeles Station or LASD jurisdiction, and that any criminal-report or investigative-status questions for that address should be directed to the appropriate LAPD division.

For clarity, I am not asking South Los Angeles Station to investigate or take over LAPD reports C259045687 or C269013241.

My remaining LASD-related question is separate and limited to the LATTC / 400 W. Washington Blvd. campus-contact lane. I previously spoke with Deputy Sheriff-Team Leader Larry McGee at LATTC and followed up regarding whether any LASD/LATTC campus contact note, referral note, informational report, call-for-service record, preserved email, or routing record exists concerning CRCD / Project Tipping Point, CFCI-PA3-056-2023, County Fraud/OCI 2025-23289, County Fraud/OCI 2026_23965, and related LAPD cross-references.

If South Los Angeles Station has no role, please advise whether that LATTC campus-specific question should be directed to the LASD Community College Bureau, LASD Records / Information Bureau, LATTC Sheriff's Office, or another LASD contact.

Respectfully,
Kyle Robert Haab
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
[Quoted text hidden]

Johnson, Raymond <rjohnson@lasd.org> Sat, Jun 6, 2026 at 1:53 PM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

We have no role in any of this. I hope your able to find what you are looking for. I do not have other contacts for you and do not want to send you on a fishing expedition because I understand your time is valuable.

From: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, June 6, 2026 1:00 PM
To: Johnson, Raymond <rjohnson@lasd.org>; McGee, Larry L. <llmcgee@lasd.org>
Subject: Re: New Entry: Contact Us - South LA Station

This message is from an EXTERNAL SENDER - be CAUTIOUS, particularly with links and attachments

[Quoted text hidden]

EXHIBIT Q

LADA CPRA 2026-0406-1059 extension

Source file: 2026-04-23-PRA-2d Extension Ltr.(8).pdf
Pages: 3

Relevance: Shows LADA recognized the request sought administrative handling of consumer-protection and real-estate-fraud submissions.

The full source document follows this cover page.

LOS ANGELES COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
BUREAU OF FRAUD AND CORRUPTION PROSECUTIONS
REAL ESTATE SECTION

NATHAN J. HOCHMAN • District Attorney
STEVEN I. KATZ • Chief Deputy District Attorney
MARIA RAMIREZ • Assistant District Attorney

HOON CHUN • Director

ADDITIONAL EXTENSION LETTER

Kyle.Dietz99@gmail.com
VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

April 23, 2026

Mr. Kyle Haab
1551 E. 106th St., Unit ¾
Los Angeles CA 90002

Dear Mr. Haab,

California Public Records Act Request Extension
CPRA #2026-0406-1059

Los Angeles County District Attorney (LADA) is in receipt of your California Public Records Act (CPRA) Request 2026-0406-1059 and dated April 3, 2026 and received on April 3, 2026 requesting:

> THIS IS A COMBINED REQUEST UNDER THE CALIFORNIA PUBLIC RECORDS ACT FOR RECORDS SUFFICIENT TO SHOW RECEIPT AND ADMINISTRATIVE HANDLING OF COMPLAINT FORMS AND RELATED SUBMISSIONS I SENT TO THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE.
>
> PLEASE ROUTE THIS REQUEST AS NEEDED TO THE APPROPRIATE OFFICE OR OFFICES, INCLUDING THE CONSUMER PROTECTION DIVISION AND THE BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION / REAL ESTATE FRAUD UNIT.
>
> I REQUEST RECORDS SUFFICIENT TO SHOW, FOR EACH ITEM IDENTIFIED BELOW:
>
> 1. THE DATE RECEIVED;
> 2. THE METHOD OF RECEIPT, INCLUDING EMAIL, MAIL, HAND DELIVERY, SCAN, UPLOAD, OR OTHER INTAKE;
> 3. ANY INBOX, MAILROOM, SCAN, INTAKE, OR DATE-STAMP RECORD;
> 4. ANY COMPLAINT LOG, DATABASE ENTRY, ROUTING ENTRY, CONTROL NUMBER, OR TRACKING NUMBER;
>
> 5. THE OFFICE, DIVISION, UNIT, OR EMPLOYEE TO WHOM THE SUBMISSION WAS ROUTED;

6. ANY ACKNOWLEDGMENT, REJECTION, REFERRAL, CROSS-REFERENCE, CLOSURE, OR DISPOSITION CORRESPONDENCE;
7. ANY RECORD SHOWING WHETHER THE MATTER WAS OPENED, REVIEWED, FORWARDED, DECLINED, OR DEEMED NON-ACTIONABLE;
8. IF YOUR POSITION IS THAT NO RESPONSIVE COMPLAINT WAS RECEIVED, ANY RECORDS SUFFICIENT TO SHOW THAT NO COMPLAINT ENTRY OR INTAKE RECORD EXISTS.

SUBMISSIONS TO BE SEARCHED:

A. CONSUMER PROTECTION COMPLAINT FORM SUBMITTED BY ME REGARDING COALITION FOR RESPONSIBLE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT, INCLUDING PROJECT TIPPING POINT AND WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT / WORKSOURCE OPERATIONS.

B. REAL ESTATE FRAUD COMPLAINT FORM DATED JANUARY 4, 2026 CONCERNING 1221 W 82ND STREET, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90044 AND 7740 MCGROARTY STREET, TUJUNGA, CALIFORNIA 91042, INCLUDING RECORDED INSTRUMENT NUMBER 756253.

C. REAL ESTATE FRAUD COMPLAINT FORM DATED JANUARY 4, 2026 CONCERNING CHRISTOPHER NOE CERVANTES, JANI CERVANTES, AND ANA MARIA BITOLAS, INCLUDING PROPERTIES AT 1551–1555 E. 106TH STREET, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90002 AND 8624 GRAHAM AVENUE, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90002.

D. RELATED EMAIL SUBMISSIONS SENT BY ME FROM KYLE.DIETZ99@GMAIL.COM TO THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, INCLUDING EMAILS TO INFO@DA.LACOUNTY.GOV AND ANY ATTACHMENTS RELATING TO CONSUMER PROTECTION, REAL ESTATE FRAUD, CRCD, PROJECT TIPPING POINT, CERVANTES, OR CROSS-REFERENCE NOTICES, DURING THE PERIOD NOVEMBER 1, 2025 THROUGH MARCH 31, 2026.

PLEASE SEARCH USING THE FOLLOWING IDENTIFIERS AS NEEDED:

- KYLE DAVID HAAB
- KYLE ROBERT HAAB
- KYLE.DIETZ99@GMAIL.COM
- CRCD
- COALITION FOR RESPONSIBLE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
- PROJECT TIPPING POINT
- WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
- WORKSOURCE
- CHRISTOPHER CERVANTES
- CHRISTOPHER NOE CERVANTES
- JANI CERVANTES

- ANA MARIA BITOLAS
- MARK A. WILSON
- RUTH M. TEAGUE
- 1551 E. 106TH ST.
- 1221 W. 82ND ST.
- 7740 MCGROARTY ST.
- 8624 GRAHAM AVE.
- C259045687
- 860097
- 202509-31419626

THIS REQUEST IS LIMITED TO RECORDS SUFFICIENT TO SHOW RECEIPT AND ADMINISTRATIVE HANDLING. I AM NOT REQUESTING EXEMPT INVESTIGATIVE MATERIALS BEYOND SEGREGABLE NON-EXEMPT RECORDS SHOWING INTAKE, ROUTING, ACKNOWLEDGMENT, REFERRAL, AND DISPOSITION.

IF ANY PORTION OF THIS REQUEST IS DENIED, PLEASE IDENTIFY THE SPECIFIC STATUTORY BASIS FOR THE DENIAL AND PRODUCE ALL REASONABLY SEGREGABLE NON-EXEMPT PORTIONS.

IF RESPONSIVE RECORDS EXIST ELECTRONICALLY, PLEASE PROVIDE THEM ELECTRONICALLY.

PLEASE CONFIRM RECEIPT OF THIS REQUEST AND PROVIDE THE WRITTEN DETERMINATION REQUIRED BY LAW.

On April 10, 2026, we extended the response to your CPRA request to April 24, 2026, pursuant to California Government Code section 7922.535, subdivision (b).

We are continuing to review and engage in consultation to appropriately respond to your request. We anticipate that we will be able to provide you with a further response by May 23, 2026.

In providing you with this response, LADA does not waive any rights, defense, claims of privilege or claims of exemption of any record under California Public Records Act or any other statutes.

Very truly yours,

NATHAN J. HOCHMAN
District Attorney

By:
Karen Nishita
Deputy-In-Charge
Real Estate Fraud Section

EXHIBIT R

LADA CPRA 2026-0406-1059 final response

Source file: 2026-05-11-PRA Response Letter-Final(1).pdf
Pages: 6

Relevance: Shows LADA reported no nonexempt responsive administrative-handling records located, leaving consumer-fraud routing ambiguity.

The full source document follows this cover page.

LOS ANGELES COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
BUREAU OF FRAUD AND CORRUPTION PROSECUTIONS
REAL ESTATE SECTION

NATHAN J. HOCHMAN • District Attorney
STEVEN I. KATZ • Chief Deputy District Attorney
MARIA RAMIREZ • Assistant District Attorney

HOON CHUN • Director

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL
Kyle.Dietz99@gmail.com

May 11, 2026

Mr. Kyle Haab Dietz
1551 E. 106th St., Unit ¾
Los Angeles CA 90002

Dear Mr. Haab,

California Public Records Act Request Response
CPRA #2026-0406-1059

The Los Angeles County District Attorney (LADA) is in receipt of your California Public Record Act (CPRA) Request #2026-0406-1059 dated April 3, 2026 and received on April 3, 2026 requesting:

> *THIS IS A COMBINED REQUEST UNDER THE CALIFORNIA PUBLIC RECORDS ACT FOR RECORDS SUFFICIENT TO SHOW RECEIPT AND ADMINISTRATIVE HANDLING OF COMPLAINT FORMS AND RELATED SUBMISSIONS I SENT TO THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE.*
>
> *PLEASE ROUTE THIS REQUEST AS NEEDED TO THE APPROPRIATE OFFICE OR OFFICES, INCLUDING THE CONSUMER PROTECTION DIVISION AND THE BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION / REAL ESTATE FRAUD UNIT.*
>
> *I REQUEST RECORDS SUFFICIENT TO SHOW, FOR EACH ITEM IDENTIFIED BELOW:*
>
> *1. THE DATE RECEIVED;*
>
> *2. THE METHOD OF RECEIPT, INCLUDING EMAIL, MAIL, HAND DELIVERY, SCAN, UPLOAD, OR OTHER INTAKE;*

//

Hall of Justice
211 West Temple Street, Suite 1000
Los Angeles, CA 90012
(213) 257-2300

3. ANY INBOX, MAILROOM, SCAN, INTAKE, OR DATE-STAMP RECORD;

4. ANY COMPLAINT LOG, DATABASE ENTRY, ROUTING ENTRY, CONTROL NUMBER, OR TRACKING NUMBER;

5. THE OFFICE, DIVISION, UNIT, OR EMPLOYEE TO WHOM THE SUBMISSION WAS ROUTED;

6. ANY ACKNOWLEDGMENT, REJECTION, REFERRAL, CROSS-REFERENCE, CLOSURE, OR DISPOSITION CORRESPONDENCE;

7. ANY RECORD SHOWING WHETHER THE MATTER WAS OPENED, REVIEWED, FORWARDED, DECLINED, OR DEEMED NON-ACTIONABLE;

8. IF YOUR POSITION IS THAT NO RESPONSIVE COMPLAINT WAS RECEIVED, ANY RECORDS SUFFICIENT TO SHOW THAT NO COMPLAINT ENTRY OR INTAKE RECORD EXISTS.

SUBMISSIONS TO BE SEARCHED:

A. CONSUMER PROTECTION COMPLAINT FORM SUBMITTED BY ME REGARDING COALITION FOR RESPONSIBLE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT, INCLUDING PROJECT TIPPING POINT AND WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT / WORKSOURCE OPERATIONS.

B. REAL ESTATE FRAUD COMPLAINT FORM DATED JANUARY 4, 2026 CONCERNING 1221 W 82ND STREET, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90044 AND 7740 MCGROARTY STREET, TUJUNGA, CALIFORNIA 91042, INCLUDING RECORDED INSTRUMENT NUMBER 756253.

C. REAL ESTATE FRAUD COMPLAINT FORM DATED JANUARY 4, 2026 CONCERNING CHRISTOPHER NOE CERVANTES, JANI CERVANTES, AND ANA MARIA BITOLAS, INCLUDING PROPERTIES AT 1551–1555 E. 106TH STREET, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90002 AND 8624 GRAHAM AVENUE, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90002.

D. RELATED EMAIL SUBMISSIONS SENT BY ME FROM KYLE.DIETZ99@GMAIL.COM TO THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, INCLUDING EMAILS TO INFO@DA.LACOUNTY.GOV AND ANY

//

ATTACHMENTS RELATING TO CONSUMER PROTECTION, REAL ESTATE FRAUD, CRCD, PROJECT TIPPING POINT, CERVANTES, OR CROSS-REFERENCE NOTICES, DURING THE PERIOD NOVEMBER 1, 2025 THROUGH MARCH 31, 2026.

PLEASE SEARCH USING THE FOLLOWING IDENTIFIERS AS NEEDED:

- *KYLE DAVID HAAB*
- *KYLE ROBERT HAAB*
- *KYLE.DIETZ99@GMAIL.COM*
- *CRCD*
- *COALITION FOR RESPONSIBLE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT*
- *PROJECT TIPPING POINT*
- *WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT*
- *WORKSOURCE*
- *CHRISTOPHER CERVANTES*
- *CHRISTOPHER NOE CERVANTES*
- *JANI CERVANTES*
- *ANA MARIA BITOLAS*
- *MARK A. WILSON*
- *RUTH M. TEAGUE*
- *1551 E. 106TH ST.*
- *1221 W. 82ND ST.*
- *7740 MCGROARTY ST.*
- *8624 GRAHAM AVE.*
- *C259045687*
- *860097*
- *202509-31419626*

THIS REQUEST IS LIMITED TO RECORDS SUFFICIENT TO SHOW RECEIPT AND ADMINISTRATIVE HANDLING. I AM NOT REQUESTING EXEMPT INVESTIGATIVE MATERIALS BEYOND SEGREGABLE NON-EXEMPT RECORDS SHOWING INTAKE, ROUTING, ACKNOWLEDGMENT, REFERRAL, AND DISPOSITION.

The Los Angeles County District Attorney (LADA) is also in receipt of your Supplemental California Public Record Act (CPRA) Request #2026-0406-1059 dated April 23, 2026 and received on April 23, 2026 requesting:

//

I am supplementing my prior clarification regarding CPRA #2026-0406-1059.

The relevance of the LAHSA, County Fraud / OCI, County Counsel, and LAPD records is that my DA submissions were not isolated complaints. They are part of a documented agency-routing chain.

LAHSA / HMIS directed me to report the matter to law enforcement as involving possible fraud / theft. At that time, County Fraud / OCI case/reference 2025-23289 already existed. I made LAPD report C259045687 because of that LAHSA instruction. The later LAPD report C269013241 was made to correct or expand the same underlying matter.

County Counsel has also received related submissions concerning County Fraud / OCI case 2026_23965, privacy/consent issues, and the unresolved question of which County office owns correction, preservation, linkage, or referral responsibility. However, County Counsel's April 17, 2026 response treated parts of my request as clarification questions rather than providing a substantive ownership determination.

This is relevant to the District Attorney's Office because my request seeks records sufficient to show what LADA received, how the submissions were routed, whether Consumer Protection, Real Estate Fraud, the Bureau of Investigation, or another unit reviewed them, and whether the materials were referred, closed, declined, or linked to law-enforcement / County Fraud / OCI activity.

I am not asking LADA to create new analysis through this CPRA request. I am asking for existing non-exempt administrative-handling records showing receipt, intake, routing, acknowledgment, referral, and disposition.

Please include in the search any records reflecting whether LADA considered or referenced:

1. *LAHSA Ref. 2526KH-A;*
2. *HMIS ID 8F8CD9D8B;*
3. *County Fraud / OCI reference 2025-23289;*
4. *County Fraud / OCI reference 2026_23965;*
5. *LAPD report C259045687;*
6. *LAPD report C269013241;*
7. *CRCD / Project Tipping Point;*

8. Consumer Protection or Real Estate Fraud submissions involving Cervantes-related property issues.

In response to your request, ~~notwithstanding its diligent and thorough search,~~ the LADA did not locate any non-exempt responsive records to produce. The record(s) and information you request either does not exist and/or is exempt from disclosure under the CPRA and will not be released. Exemptions which may apply to your request include, but are not necessarily limited to:

Medical records and personnel files (Gov. Code, § 7927.700 and § 7927.705);

Records contained in the law enforcement investigative file, which may include, but are not necessarily limited to: crime scene photos; lab analysis reports; witness statements; photo ID folders; and all other law enforcement reports, including reports and investigation by District Attorney Investigators (Gov. Code §§ 7923.600-7923.625; *Williams v. Superior Court* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337);

Notes or portions of documents which reflect the analysis or conclusions of an investigating officer (Gov. Code § 7923.605, subd. (b));

Records confidentially disclosed from one governmental agency to another (Gov. Code § 7921.505, subd. (c)(5));

A listing of LADA closed cases against a particular defendant (89 Ops.Cal.Atty.Gen. 204, 215 (2006);

Elder abuse records (Welf. & Inst. § 15633);

Preliminary drafts, notes, interagency or intra-agency memoranda (Gov. Code §§ 7927.500 & 7922.705);

Attorney work product such as writings that reflect an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, or legal research or theories (Gov. Code §§ 7922.000, 7927.705; Pen. Code § 1054.6; Code. Civ. Pro. §§ 2018.020, 2018.030);

Records which tend to reveal the deliberative process, including material which would expose an agency's decision-making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its

functions (Gov. Code § 7922.00; *Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court* (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325);

Documents provided to our office by a governmental agency which we agreed to treat as confidential (Gov. Code § 7921.505, subd. (c)(5));

Records for which the public interest nondisclosure outweighs the public interest in disclosure (Gov. Code § 7922.000; *Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court* (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325).

This CPRA response does not include any documents which may exist but were not located in our search for our records. The collection of such material would mean creating new records. Agencies are not required to create new records in response to CPRA requests. (*Regents of University of California v. Superior Court* (2013) 222 Cal.App.4th 383.)

Please note that in providing this response to your request, the LADA does not waive any available rights, defenses, or claims of privilege or exemption of any record under the CPRA or any other statutes.

This letter concludes our response to your request dated April 3, 2026 and your supplemental request dated April 23, 2026. Thank you for your time and attention to this matter.

Very truly yours,

NATHAN J. HOCHMAN
District Attorney

By:

KAREN NISHITA
Deputy District Attorney

EXHIBIT S

HUD/LAHSA Notice of Immediate Suspension Pending Investigation

Source file: LAHSA-notice-of-suspension.pdf
Pages: 13

Relevance: Included for HUD FOIA search scope, preservation, component routing, CoC/LAHSA oversight context, and federal-funds integrity relevance - not as proof of individual HMIS allegations.

The full source document follows this cover page.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
THE DEPUTY SECRETARY
WASHINGTON, DC 20410-0050

June 11, 2026

VIA EMAIL (without exhibits) and FEDEX (with exhibits)

Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority
Attn: Gita O'Neill, CEO
707 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1000
Los Angeles, CA 90017
goneill@lahsa.org

SUBJECT: Notice of Immediate Suspension Pending Investigation

Dear Ms. O'Neill:

Your agency, the Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority (LAHSA), leads the largest federally funded Continuum of Care (CoC) in the nation for homeless services. LAHSA is the lead agency for the Los Angeles CoC, which received more than $220 million for 2024, and $944 million since 2021, from the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). These are funds generously provided by American taxpayers for the care of our neediest neighbors. HUD has a mandate and a duty to the American taxpayer to ensure LAHSA is using those funds lawfully and appropriately. Information has come to light demonstrating that LAHSA may have committed violations of federal law in performing its obligations under HUD grant agreements. Accordingly, HUD's Office of Inspector General (OIG) has initiated an investigation. HUD has evidence that LAHSA's repeated false statements and its irresponsible actions and failures, including its lack of financial management, internal controls, and safeguards against conflicts of interest, pose a threat to HUD, the public, and those living on the streets of Los Angeles.

It is HUD's duty to ensure that CoC Program funds are being used as intended – to reduce homelessness. Today's action is done in furtherance of that duty and to protect HUD, the public, and homeless individuals and families. HUD has determined that continuing to provide additional federal funds to LAHSA is not in the public interest. HUD remains dedicated to the CoC Program's mission of reducing homelessness. Suspending LAHSA's participation in federal government programs is a necessary step in accomplishing that critical mission in Los Angeles.

LAHSA's failures have been so severe and pervasive that Los Angeles County has withdrawn its funding for the agency, and the City of Los Angeles is considering doing so as well. A federal judge in Los Angeles stated in open court that LAHSA appeared to have committed "obvious fraud" and appointed a monitor to oversee their financial activity. The agency's former CEO resigned after she and LAHSA violated federal conflict-of-interest rules by committing more than $2 million in federal funds under LAHSA's control to her husband's employer. LAHSA and the former CEO also committed LAHSA-managed federal funds to her own former employer.

These examples are not isolated incidents—they establish a clear pattern. And despite all these failures, and more as detailed below, LAHSA has regularly certified to HUD that it is in compliance with federal law, rules, and HUD program requirements. HUD has ample reason to suspect that hundreds of those certifications and other statements by LAHSA are false.

HUD cannot ignore LAHSA's wanton mismanagement of public funds. HUD's mission is to reduce the plague of homelessness in America. Turning over billions of dollars from American taxpayers to an organization under investigation and suspected of gross misuse of federal funding and "obvious fraud" does nothing to reduce homelessness. Indeed, diverting dollars from worthy programs to LAHSA merely makes the homeless crisis worse.

Accordingly, and as further explained below, HUD is immediately suspending LAHSA from future participation in procurement and nonprocurement transactions as a participant or principal, with HUD and throughout the Executive Branch of the Federal Government, pending the outcome of the HUD OIG investigation.[1] This action is in accordance with the procedures set forth in Title 2, Code of Federal Regulations (C.F.R.), Parts 180 and 2424. Copies of the regulatory provisions cited herein can be found on the U.S. Government Publishing Office's website at www.govinfo.gov.

A. Basis for Suspension

Suspension is a serious action. 2 C.F.R. § 180.700. HUD may suspend any person, including an entity such as LAHSA,[2] who has been, is, or may reasonably be expected to be a participant or principal in a covered transaction. 2 C.F.R. § 180.150. A suspension is warranted when the agency finds adequate evidence[3] to suspect a cause for debarment under 2 C.F.R. § 180.800(b), (d) and "[i]mmediate action is necessary to protect the public interest." § 180.700(b) &(c). Causes for debarment include a "[v]iolation of the terms of a public agreement or transaction so serious as to affect the integrity of a Federal agency program." § 180.800(b). This includes willful failures to perform in accordance with the terms of public agreements, a history of such failures, or a willful violation of "a statutory or regulatory provision or requirement applicable to a public agreement or transaction." *Id.* Debarment is also justified by "[a]ny . . . cause that is so serious or compelling in nature that it affects [the person's] present responsibility." § 180.800(d). Suspension is intended to protect the public interest by "ensur[ing] the integrity of Federal programs by conducting business only with responsible persons." 2 C.F.R. § 180.125.

Here, there is more than adequate evidence to suspect that LAHSA has violated the terms of numerous public agreements or transactions, including those with HUD, that these violations affect the integrity of multiple HUD funding programs under § 180.800(b), and that LAHSA's actions and inactions affect its present responsibility under § 180.800(d). LAHSA has repeatedly certified in its agreements with HUD that it has adequate capacity, financial safeguards and reporting, safeguards against conflicts of interest, and complies with applicable laws and regulations. But its track record

---

[1] The scope of a HUD-OIG investigation is subject to privilege.

[2] *See* 2 C.F.R. § 180.985 (defining "Person" as "any individual, corporation, partnership, association, unit of government, or legal entity, regardless of how organized.").

[3] "Adequate evidence" means "information sufficient to support the reasonable belief that a particular act or omission has occurred." 2 C.F.R. § 180.900.

demonstrates systemic and repeated failures to comply and jeopardizes the operations of LAHSA and all parties it does business with. These failures put at risk hundreds of millions of dollars from HUD—that is, the taxpayers—every year. The serious nature of these deficiencies and the amount of money at stake necessitate HUD taking immediate action to protect the public interest.

Background

Homelessness is a crisis plaguing Americans, American families, and our communities. Through the CoC Program, HUD promotes the goal of ending homelessness by making grants to, *inter alia*, fund efforts by nonprofit providers and state and local governments. Representatives from relevant organizations, such as governments and nonprofit homeless assistance providers, establish a CoC for a particular geographic area. The CoC is responsible for the community-wide efforts to reduce homelessness and for selecting the individual projects that will be considered for HUD funding. CoCs apply for HUD grant funds, which are then directed to individual projects, such as nonprofit homeless assistance providers.

Los Angeles is, and for years has been, the epicenter of the homelessness crisis in America. In 1993, the City and County of Los Angeles created LAHSA as a joint powers authority to address the problem of homelessness in Los Angeles County. *See* Exhibit 1, Joint Exercise of Powers Agreement – County, City, LAHSA. LAHSA is the lead agency in the HUD-funded Los Angeles City and County CoC (Los Angeles CoC)—the largest CoC in the country—and is responsible for coordinating and managing federal, state, county, and city funds for programs providing shelter, housing, and services to homeless individuals and families. *See* Exhibit 2, Los Angeles Continuum of Care, Governance Charter, at 7-9; Exhibit 3, Fiscal Year 2024 Continuum of Care Competition Homeless Assistance Award Report. In 2024, LAHSA was the second largest direct recipient of HUD CoC funds in the nation, behind only the Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles, receiving $77,065,784. *See* Exhibit 4, HUD's 2024 Continuum of Care Program Funding Awards, CA-600.[4] Moreover, from 2013-2024 the Los Angeles CoC received $1.62 billion in CoC funds from HUD. *See* Exhibit 5, LA City and County CA-600 CoC Awards 2013-24. Despite receiving significant funding from the federal government, as well as state, city, and county funding, Los Angeles' homelessness crisis remains the largest in the country.[5]

---

[4] Awards for individuals CoCs for 2024 are available here: https://www.hudexchange.info/programs/coc/awards-by-component/?filter_Year=2024&filter_Scope=CoC&filter_State=&filter_CoC=&program=CoC&group=AwardComp (last visited June 5, 2026).

[5] California has consistently been at the center of the nation's homelessness crisis. California alone represents 24% of the nation's homelessness. *Compare* Exhibit 6, CoC Homeless Population 2024 – All States with Exhibit 7, CoC Homeless Population 2024 – California. California has had the largest homeless population in the country every year since 2007—almost two decades. *See* CoC Homeless Populations and Subpopulations Reports, https://www.hudexchange.info/programs/coc/coc-homeless-populations-and-subpopulations-reports/ (last visited June 3, 2026) (for relevant years, compare "Total Homeless Persons" in reports for "All States, Territories, Puerto Rico and District of Columbia" and reports for California). Los Angeles' homeless population makes up 38% of the entire state's homeless

LAHSA receives and manages significant HUD funding and has since 1993. In addition to CoC funds, LAHSA has also received funding—either directly from HUD or through the City or County of Los Angeles—under HUD's Emergency Solutions Grants (ESG) and Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) programs. *See* Exhibit 9, LAHSA Single Audit FY2025, p. 49; Exhibit 10, LAHSA Single Audit FY2022, p. 50. As such, LAHSA is and may reasonably be expected to be a participant in covered transactions. *See* 2 C.F.R. §§ 180.200, 180.970, 180.980.

HUD requires those who receive and manage grant funds under HUD programs to ensure the integrity of those programs by using adequate financial management and internal controls, and by safeguarding against possible conflicts of interest or improper personal gain.[6] These requirements are part of LAHSA's agreements with HUD, and LAHSA has repeatedly certified that it will follow them. LAHSA's suspension is necessary because, as discussed below, there is adequate evidence to suspect LAHSA has failed to comply with those requirements, made repeated false statements to HUD, and has "committed irregularities that seriously reflect on the propriety of further Federal Government dealings" with it, 2 C.F.R. § 180.715(b)(5). Due to the existence of adequate evidence to suspect numerous serious and ongoing violations of HUD program requirements by LAHSA, as detailed below, HUD has determined it cannot reasonably rely on LAHSA's ability to operationally and effectively coordinate, manage, distribute, and monitor taxpayer dollars in a responsible manner or to execute the CoC Program's mission to combat homelessness. LAHSA, as the lead agency of the largest CoC in the nation, has been woefully remiss in carrying out its obligations and has detrimentally affected the integrity of the CoC Program. HUD and the public have lost confidence in LAHSA and its ability to tackle the homelessness crisis.[7]

LAHSA's Financial Management and Internal Controls

LAHSA's publicly documented difficulties with financial management and internal controls are chronic and systemic.[8] HUD's OIG found that LAHSA failed to conduct onsite fiscal

---

population. *Compare* Exhibit 8, CoC Homeless Population 2024 – Los Angeles *with* Exhibit 8, CoC Homeless Population 2024 – California. In 2024, the homeless population of Los Angeles made up more than 9% of the entire nation's homeless population. *Compare* Exhibit 8, CoC Homeless Population 2024 – Los Angeles with Exhibit 6, CoC Homeless Population 2024 – All States.

[6] *See* 2 C.F.R. §§ 200.302 (financial management), 200.303 (internal controls), 200.112 (conflicts of interest), 200.113 (mandatory disclosures). These requirements are incorporated into the program-specific regulations governing HUD grant programs. *See* 24 C.F.R. §§ 570.502 (CDBG), 576.407(e) (ESG), 578.99(e) (CoC). HUD's program regulations also contain separate conflict-of-interest prohibitions. *See* 24 C.F.R. §§, 570.611 (CDBG), 576.404 (ESG), 578.95 (CoC).

[7] Los Angeles County's decision to reduce its funding to LAHSA is a clear example of the public's loss of confidence in LAHSA's ability to effectively carry out its mission. *See infra* at p. 7.

[8] This suspension will allow HUD to independently investigate public reports and issues referenced herein. For the purpose of this action, those reports constitute "adequate evidence" justifying immediate suspension.

monitoring of project sponsors, lacked required documentation, paid for ineligible expenses, and had poor cash management controls. *See* Exhibit 11, HUD OIG Audit Report, dated June 8, 2007. That audit warned of systemic accountability and compliance failures that have persisted for decades.

Recent reviews and oversight reveal that LAHSA has not adequately implemented requisite financial management and internal controls. In 2019, the LA City Controller faulted LAHSA for having ill-defined goals, uncoordinated data collection, and performance metrics that were unclear and not specific. *See* Exhibit 12, Los Angeles City Controller Report, dated August 28, 2019. In 2022, HUD's OIG found that LAHSA failed to use $3.5 million in CoC grant awards, letting the funds expire, did not support the CoC's Homeless Management Information System (HMIS), did not submit timely annual performance reports, and did not provide supporting documentation for over $870,000 in payroll and rent costs LAHSA charged to its CoC grants. *See* Exhibit 13, HUD OIG Audit Report, dated January 20, 2022. In a City Council meeting in August 2023, it was disclosed that LAHSA was not enforcing a requirement that providers under a City program record when individuals exited transitional motel housing.[9] As a result, LAHSA could not even determine whether it had used funding to pay for empty hotel rooms.

Since November 2024, multiple audits, assessments, and lawsuits reveal consistent and continued failures related to delays or misdirected payments, failed monitoring of performance and adequate reviews, lack of payment and integrity measures, and misconduct in operations. The following describes some of the serious failures in each of these areas.

In November 2024, a review by LA County's Auditor-Controller found, among other things, that LAHSA misused money from a government funder by using it "to pay for services provided under another government funders' contract/grant." *See* Exhibit 15, LA County Auditor-Controller Review Report, dated November 19, 2024, p. 8. Similarly, LAHSA paid two subrecipients, including a subrecipient of HUD funds, weeks *before* LAHSA received grant funds to cover the particular cost. *See id.* at 38-39. In response, LAHSA described changes to its payment protocols but did not dispute that it used funds from an unrelated source to make the payments. *See id.*

The County's 2024 review also found that LAHSA routinely pays service providers late, including, in that case, five of thirteen sampled subcontractors. *See id.* at 8-9. LAHSA's failure to make timely payments had been noted in prior audits in 2018 and 2021. *See* Exhibit 16, LA County Auditor-Controller Review Report, dated April 10, 2018, pp. 12-13; Exhibit 17, LA County Auditor-Controller Review Reports 2020-21, pp. 6-7, 14, 25-27, 147. In another finding of financial mismanagement, the 2024 review report notes that, in 2017, LAHSA failed to secure repayment agreements with service providers that received a total of $51 million in cash advances. *See* Exhibit 15 at 3-5. By July 2024, only a small fraction of that money had been paid back, and

---

[9] *See* LA City Council Housing and Homelessness Committee meeting, August 2, 2023, at https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MWyLexzTu9M (last visited June 5, 2026) (timestamp 1:16:40); *see also* Exhibit 14 - City of LA CAO Correspondence, Homeless Emergency Account, dated July 28, 2023, pp. 14-15 (showing exit data accuracy of 0%-27%, which "continues to fall below a reliable rate of accuracy.").

some providers were no longer contracting with LAHSA, making recovery more difficult. *See id.*

The County's 2024 audit was deeply critical of LAHSA's internal audit program and provider review. The relevant findings suggest the program is not meaningfully functioning. *See id.* at 15-17. For example, the audit found that roughly half of LAHSA's planned contract reviews with providers did not include methods for monitoring the providers' compliance with service delivery requirements. *See id.* at 12-13.

Separately, in November 2024, the City Controller's Office found that LAHSA failed to spend $513 million in public funds that were budgeted during fiscal year 2024, pointing to a lack of staff and old technology. *See* Exhibit 18, LA City Controller Announcement, dated November 14, 2024.

In 2025, a federal judge in Los Angeles presiding over a lawsuit against the City and County regarding homelessness spending—*LA Alliance for Human Rights, et al. v. City of Los Angeles, et al.*, Case No. 2:20-cv-02291 (C.D. Cal.) (the LA Alliance case)—ordered an assessment that included a review of services provided by LAHSA. *See* Exhibit 19, Independent Assessment of City-Funded Homelessness Assistance Programs, dated May 14, 2025. The firm conducting the assessment, Alvarez & Marsal Public Sector Services, LLC (A&M), found CoC services to be "disjointed," and faulted LAHSA's limited financial oversight and performance monitoring. *See id.* at 5. A&M found that LAHSA was unable to accurately identify and calculate its expenses and did not rigorously reconcile spending with services provided, making it impossible to determine how much was spent, how well the services worked, or whether they were even provided.[10] *See id.* at 4-5, 84-85. A&M found LAHSA's contracts with providers to be unclear, allowing wide variations in the services provided and the cost of those services. *See id.* at 5-7. A&M further found that LAHSA's "lack of data and real-time oversight not only limited the capacity to measure the effectiveness of homelessness interventions but also increased the risk of resource misallocation." *Id.* at 4.

During the 2025 assessment, LAHSA failed to provide documentation to verify the existence of nearly 2,300 housing sites for which it was responsible. *See id.* at 64. Seventy percent of the contracts for those sites did not disclose any expenses over the prior year. *See id.* LAHSA issued a statement acknowledging some of the problems identified in an amended draft of the A&M assessment. *See* Exhibit 20, LAHSA Response to Second Amended Draft A&M Assessment, dated March 24, 2025, pp. 3-8.

In recent months, it was disclosed in the LA Alliance case that LAHSA had not adjusted its requests for funding of an 88-bed shelter space even though it knew the shelter was operating at roughly half-capacity, which the presiding judge considered "obvious fraud." *See* Exhibit 21, Transcript of Hearing in *LA Alliance v. City and County of LA*, 20-CV-02291, dated November 12, 2025, pp. 117-18. The presiding judge in the LA Alliance case has already appointed a monitor, and a Special Master in that case has recommended that, absent significant progress, the court consider placing LAHSA into receivership. *See* Exhibit 22, Order dated June 24, 2025, pp. 9-10,

---

[10] Even after requesting supplemental documentation from the City, "A&M was not able to confirm that LAHSA actually validated the accuracy of the expenses, including that the service paid was actually provided." *Id.* at 84.

61-62.

Both during the court-ordered 2025 assessment and in its reported dealings with officials, LAHSA has struggled to provide requested documentation, a fundamental task for an entity subject to oversight. *See* Exhibit 19 at 32. For example, it was recently reported that LAHSA had failed to produce a summary of unpaid bills requested by one of its own Commissioners, such that the Commissioner resorted to filing a *public* records request. *See LA's Lead Homelessness Agency Owes At Least $69M to Service Providers in Overdue Payments*, LAist, February 20, 2026.

According to public reporting, in 2025, LAHSA settled allegations of misconduct—including financial mismanagement—from two former senior employees, but did not report their allegations, as required, to LA County's Office of County Investigations, which later opened an investigation based on media reports regarding the settlements. *See* "Investigation Underway into Claims LAist Unearthed about Top Homeless Services Officials," LAist, September 16, 2025.

LAHSA's inability to make timely payments to providers has shown little or no improvement. In recent months, LAHSA and City staff have reported that LAHSA owed roughly $69 million in payments to shelter, housing, and other service providers, many of which are more than 90 days overdue.[11] City staff recently described the ineffective flow of money "back and forth and around and around in circles" between the City and LAHSA.[12] For one provider, the amount past due is more than $12 million.[13] LAHSA's providers have reportedly considered layoffs or even bankruptcy filings due to the delayed payments.[14] In February 2026, a LAHSA Commissioner reported that unpaid LAHSA vendors are simply walking off the job.[15] The head of one of LAHSA's CoC subrecipients has been quoted as saying that the late payments have brought his organization "to the breaking point." *See* Exhibit 23, LA County Board of Supervisors Meeting Transcript, dated May 21, 2024, p. 29. In recent months, LAHSA's interim CEO has acknowledged on-going payment problems and months-long delays in contracting with service providers and is reported to have described LAHSA as being "in crisis" and "unstable."[16]

In April 2025, LA County voted to withdraw its funding from LAHSA effective July 1, 2026, a decision covering roughly $350 million annually. *See* Exhibit 24, LA County Board of Supervisors Meeting Statement of Proceedings, dated April 1, 2025, pp. 17-22. The LA City Council is considering doing the same and its homelessness committee has already recommended transferring at least some funding away from LAHSA. *See* Exhibit 25, City of LA Housing and

---

[11] *See* LAHSA Finance Committee Meeting – February 20, 2026, available at https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UUAqtdXisOw (last visited June 4, 2026) (timestamp 7:00).
[12] *See* Housing and Homelessness Committee Meeting – April 10, 2026, available at https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=e4e7R0yAylk (last visited June 4, 2026) (timestamp 1:42:30).
[13] *See id.* at timestamp 54:25.
[14] *See* Housing and Homelessness Committee Meeting – April 10, 2026, available at https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=e4e7R0yAylk (last visited June 4, 2026) (timestamps 49:57, 1:18:30).
[15] *See* LAHSA Finance Committee Meeting – February 20, 2026, available at https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UUAqtdXisOw (last visited June 4, 2026) (timestamp 16:41).
[16] *See* LAHSA Commission Meeting, February 27, 2026, available at https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nHXZt7hs4Dc (last visited June 5, 2026) (timestamp 2:08:46).

Homelessness Committee Meeting Journal, April 15, 2026; Exhibit 26, City of LA Staff Recommendations Adopted by Housing and Homelessness Committee on April 15, 2026. As a result, LAHSA announced in April 2026 that it will lay off 284 employees and eliminate 130 vacant positions. *See* Exhibit 27, LAHSA Announces Organizational Restructuring, dated April 20, 2026.

In March 2026, LAHSA missed the deadline for filing its federally mandated Single Audit, in part because it could not timely produce financial records to its auditor. *See* "LAHSA's Federal Funding at Risk after Homelessness Agency Blows Audit Deadline," LAist, April 2, 2026. LAHSA eventually released the audit in May 2026. *See* Exhibit 9, LAHSA Single Audit FY2025, p. 6, 56. The auditor found further failures of bookkeeping and accounting:

> LAHSA did not have effective controls in place to provide reasonable assurance that financial statements were prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (U.S. GAAP) on a timely basis.
>
> ...
>
> The internal controls over financial reporting were not adequately designed or implemented to ensure timely identification and correction of accounting matters or timely preparation and provision of information necessary for financial reporting and audit purposes.

Id. at 52-53.

And on May 21, 2026, the County's Auditor-Controller released a financial and operational process review of LAHSA, covering the period following LAHSA's FY2025 Single Audit. *See* Exhibit 28, LA County Auditor-Controller Review, dated May 21, 2026. The Auditor-Controller faulted LAHSA for its limited financial transparency, for having incomplete and inaccurate financial records, for deficiencies in cash management, for its volume of overdue payments to service providers, for failing to submit timely requests to LA County for advance funding to pay providers, for accepting late invoices from providers—83% of the invoices reviewed— and for failing to identify overpayments and underpayments and recoup excess advances at the end of each fiscal quarter. *See id.* at 5-10. Like others described herein, the Auditor-Controller's review was frustrated because "LAHSA was unable to provide complete financial statements and accounting records, including a balance sheet, which prevented us from conducting a full-scope review." *Id.* at 1.

LAHSA's lack of financial management and internal controls violates HUD's requirements, violates the terms of LAHSA's agreements with HUD, undermines the integrity of HUD programs, and exposes taxpayer funds to heightened risk of fraud and abuse.

Conflicts of Interest

LAHSA's conduct with regard to conflicts of interest raises separate concerns regarding its responsibility to participate in federal programs. As noted above, HUD's program regulations prohibit conflicts of interest to preserve the integrity of HUD programs and otherwise protect against actual or perceived improprieties, including fraud, waste, and abuse. *See* 2 C.F.R.

§ 200.112 (conflicts of interest); 24 C.F.R. §§, 570.611 (CDBG), 576.404 (ESG), 578.95 (CoC). Those prohibitions are also terms of the agreements and transactions between LAHSA and HUD, and between LAHSA and its subrecipients. *See, e.g.*, Exhibit 29, LAHSA CoC Grant Agreement, dated January 8, 2025 (incorporating 24 C.F.R. Part 578). HUD expects and requires recipients of federal funds to comply with these provisions.

LAHSA certifies to HUD dozens of times each year that it will comply with these requirements and will "establish safeguards to prohibit employees from using their positions for a purpose that constitutes or presents the appearance of personal or organizational conflict of interest, or personal gain." *See, e.g.*, Exhibit 30, LAHSA CoC Application dated September 20, 2023, p. 18. HUD's conflict-of-interest requirements apply to, among others, any "employee, agent, consultant, officer, or elected or appointed official" of LAHSA. § 578.95(d)(1).

LAHSA did not even have its own internal written conflict-of-interest policy until September 26, 2025. *See* Exhibit 31, LAHSA Commission Meeting, dated September 26, 2025. Based on public reporting, it appears that prior to 2024, LAHSA did not require any of its hundreds of staff and commissioners to file interest disclosure forms, other than one individual—the Executive Director or CEO—before 2025. *See* "LA's Homelessness Agency Handles $700M in Contracts Each Year. Just One Employee Must Disclose Conflicts," LAist, December 18, 2024. In 2022, LAHSA's then-Executive Director notified LAHSA that California law required LAHSA to obtain interest disclosures from people in dozens of additional roles, but LAHSA's Commission did not approve a list of new disclosures for roughly two years, and even then, it needed further approval from the County Board of Supervisors. *See id.* This failure made it much more difficult for LAHSA to learn of any potential conflicts of interest, an obvious missing "safeguard."

The Single Audit released in May 2026 noted that LAHSA had engaged in undisclosed "Related Party Transactions" with a subrecipient that had employed the husband of LAHSA's former CEO as one its senior staff.[17] *See* Exhibit 9, LAHSA Single Audit FY2025, p. 37. In a particularly troubling instance during an October 30, 2024, meeting, LAHSA's Commissioners reviewed and approved conflict of interest code statements and, in the same meeting, authorized its then-CEO to contract with her husband's employer to provide services for over $2 million—an obvious violation of HUD's requirements. *See* Exhibit 32, LAHSA Special Commission Meeting, dated October 30, 2024.

Although LAHSA purported to screen the CEO from LAHSA's specific dealings with that subrecipient, such a screen would not have addressed the scope of interests covered by HUD's requirements. Those requirements cover a broader swath of conduct—for example, being in a position to "gain inside information" regarding HUD-assisted activity. *See* 24 C.F.R. § 578.95(d)(1). The purported screen notwithstanding, the CEO went on to sign contracts and communicate with the subrecipient regarding public complaints alleging that the subrecipient failed to provide required services and falsified documents. *See* "LA's Top Homelessness Official Signed $2.1 Million Contract with Husband's Employer," LAist, February 11, 2025. LAHSA

[17] LAHSA did not tell the auditor about these transactions; he learned about them through public reporting. *See* LAHSA Audit Committee Meeting, April 20, 2026, available at https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6ndD5NCIOss (last visited June 5, 2026) (timestamp 12:53).

never conducted a performance report for that subrecipient. *See id.*

Even though LAHSA's Commission knew about this conflict of interest before the CEO began working at LAHSA, no one at LAHSA disclosed the conflict of interest to HUD, and no one at LAHSA ever asked HUD, as required, to grant an exception covering the conflict of interest. *See* 24 C.F.R. § 578.95(d)(2).

The same CEO, within weeks of moving to LAHSA from her role leading a different LAHSA CoC subrecipient, took official action on behalf of LAHSA in favor of the subrecipient. *See id.* The move was so recent that the CEO was still listed as one of the *subrecipient's* points of contact on the form she executed for LAHSA. *See* "LA's Top Homelessness Official Signed $2.1 Million Contract with Husband's Employer," LAist, February 11, 2025. This action possibly violated HUD's prohibition on organizational conflicts of interest and may have violated California prohibitions of conflicts of interest, which cover not only an individual's tenure, but the one-year period thereafter. *See id.*; *see also* 24 C.F.R. § 578.95(c).

After HUD learned of the conflict, it moved to immediately suspend and proposed the 5-year debarments of LAHSA's former CEO and her husband, who was a member of the subrecipient's senior staff. *See* Exhibit 33, Notice of Immediate Suspension and Proposed Debarment, Dr. Adams Kellum, dated September 22, 2025; Exhibit 34, Notice of Immediate Suspension and Proposed Debarment, Mr. Kellum, dated September 24, 2025.

HUD has reason to suspect that LAHSA's conflict of interest violations are not limited to the conflict related to its former CEO and her husband but are systemic. HUD is currently reviewing what appears to be a practice of LAHSA's Commission to allow individuals with potential conflicts of interest to recuse from specific decisions without disclosing relevant interests to HUD or seeking a required exception under HUD's regulations.

LAHSA's failure to comply with HUD's conflict-of-interest regulations has undermined the integrity of—and the public's trust in—the CoC and other HUD programs and put millions of dollars of HUD funds at increased risk of fraud and abuse.

False Certifications and Statements

As referenced above, LAHSA and its signatories make several mandatory certifications regarding compliance with HUD requirements. For example, in each application for CoC funds, LAHSA certified:

1. LAHSA has the institutional, managerial and financial capacity (including funds sufficient to pay the non-Federal share of project cost) to ensure proper planning, management and completion of the project described in the application;
2. LAHSA will establish a proper accounting system in accordance with generally accepted accounting standards or agency directives;
3. LAHSA will establish safeguards to prohibit employees from using their positions for a purpose that constitutes or presents the appearance of personal or organizational conflict of interest, or personal gain;

4. LAHSA will comply with all applicable requirements of all other Federal laws, executive orders, regulations,[18] and policies governing this program;
5. LAHSA has disclosed all interested parties for the particular grant;[19] and,
6. The statements in LAHSA's applications are true, complete, and accurate to the best knowledge of the signatory, acknowledging that any false, fictitious, or fraudulent statements or claims may subject me to criminal, civil, or administrative penalties.[20]

See, e.g., Exhibit 30, pp. 8, 11, 18-20. Each of these certifications is expressly incorporated into each of HUD's grant agreements with LAHSA along with the further agreement that the award will be governed by HUD's program regulations. *See, e.g.*, Exhibit 29 (incorporating 24 C.F.R. Part 578).

Based on the public information described above, there is adequate evidence to suspect LAHSA made false certifications and statements in its applications for HUD grant funds, possibly hundreds of them. These false certifications and statements erode HUD's trust in LAHSA as a steward of public funds and undermine HUD's ability to rely on LAHSA to responsibly manage those funds.

Conclusion

The issues described above and identified over several years of public audits, assessments, reports, and statements, including recent audits, provide more than adequate evidence and support a reasonable belief that LAHSA lacks the required financial management, internal controls, and safeguards against conflicts of interest to responsibly administer HUD grant funds, in violation of applicable regulations and of the terms of its many public agreements with HUD. LAHSA's repeated false statements, actions, and inaction, represent serious violations of multiple HUD regulations and programmatic requirements, and are highly irresponsible.

Immediate action is needed to protect the public interest. LAHSA's recent Single Audit and LA County's recent review—released on May 19, 2026, and May 21, 2026, respectively—both reveal that the issues previously identified persist. Moreover, in recent years LAHSA has cited lack of funds and lack of staff as explanations for its failings. Due to the County's transfer of funds away from LAHSA effective July 1, 2026, any additional transfer of funds away by the City, and LAHSA's announced significant reduction in staff will further exacerbate these problems in the coming months. Suspension is necessary to protect the integrity of HUD programs and the public interest while OIG investigates whether LAHSA has committed offenses that are actionable under federal law. It is not in the public interest to perpetuate the status quo, *i.e.*, to permit LAHSA to continue to receive additional millions of dollars of federal funds, as the lead agency in the largest

---

[18] Note that the program regulations include specific prohibitions on conflicts of interest. *See* 24 C.F.R. §§ 570.611 (CDBG), 576.404 (ESG), 578.95 (CoC); *see also* 2 C.F.R. § 200.112. Program regulations also incorporate the uniform administrative requirements of 2 C.F.R. Part 200. *See* 24 C.F.R. §§ 570.502 (CDBG), 576.407(c) (ESG), 578.99(e) (CoC). Those provisions require adequate financial management and internal controls systems. *See* 2 C.F.R. §§ 200.302, 200.303.
[19] *See* 2 C.F.R. § 200.113.
[20] *See* 18 U.S.C. § 1001.

HUD-funded CoC in the nation, when there is adequate evidence that it is not in compliance with HUD requirements and not presently responsible to participate in government programs.

B. Procedures to Contest Suspension

If LAHSA decides to contest this Notice of Suspension (Notice), it may do so by requesting a hearing. Pursuant to 2 C.F.R. § 180.730, the request for a hearing should identify: 1) specific facts that contradict the statements contained in this Notice (a general denial is insufficient to raise a genuine dispute over facts material to the suspension); 2) all existing, proposed, or prior exclusions against LAHSA under regulations implementing Executive Order 12549 and all similar actions taken by Federal, State, or local agencies, including administrative agreements that affect only those agencies; 3) all criminal and civil proceedings against LAHSA not included in this Notice that grew out of the facts relevant to the cause(s) stated in this Notice; and 4) all of LAHSA's affiliates as defined in the enclosed regulations at 2 C.F.R. § 180.905. If LAHSA provides false information, HUD may seek further criminal, civil, or administrative action as appropriate.

LAHSA's written hearing request must be submitted within 30 days of receipt of this Notice. *See* 2 C.F.R. § 180.725. LAHSA's response **MUST** be in the form of an e-mail directed to the Departmental Enforcement Center, U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development at the following email address: DEC-Docket@HUD.gov.

The final decision regarding this suspension will be based upon evidence/information or argument that both LAHSA and the Government may submit in this matter. If LAHSA fails to respond to this Notice within the 30-day period, this suspension will become final agency action.

Sincerely,

Andrew D. Hughes
Deputy Secretary

Enclosures

Exhibit 1 - Joint Exercise of Powers Agreement - County, City, LAHSA
Exhibit 2 - Los Angeles Continuum of Care, Governance Charter
Exhibit 3 - Fiscal Year 2024 Continuum of Care Competition Homeless Assistance Award Report
Exhibit 4 - HUD's 2024 Continuum of Care Program Funding Awards, CA-600
Exhibit 5 - LA City and County CA-600 CoC Awards 2013-24
Exhibit 6 - CoC Homeless Population 2024 - All States
Exhibit 7 - CoC Homeless Population 2024 – California
Exhibit 8 - CoC Homeless Population 2024 - Los Angeles
Exhibit 9 - LAHSA Single Audit FY2025
Exhibit 10 - LAHSA Single Audit FY2022
Exhibit 11 - HUD OIG Audit Report, dated June 8, 2007
Exhibit 12 - Los Angeles City Controller Report, dated August 28, 2019
Exhibit 13 - HUD OIG Audit Report, dated January 20, 2022
Exhibit 14 - City of LA CAO Correspondence, Homeless Emergency Account, dated July 28, 2023
Exhibit 15 - LA County Auditor-Controller Review Report, dated November 19, 2024
Exhibit 16 - LA County Auditor-Controller Review Report, dated April 10, 2018
Exhibit 17 - LA County Auditor-Controller Review Reports 2020-21
Exhibit 18 - LA City Controller Announcement, dated November 14, 2024
Exhibit 19 - Independent Assessment of City-Funded Homelessness Assistance Programs, dated May 14, 2025
Exhibit 20 - LAHSA Response to Second Amended Draft A&M Assessment, dated March 24, 2025
Exhibit 21 - Transcript of Hearing in LA Alliance v City and County of LA 20-CV-02291, dated November 12, 2025
Exhibit 22 - Order Appointing Monitor in LA Alliance v City and County of LA 20-CV-02291, dated June 24, 2025
Exhibit 23 - LA County Board of Supervisors Meeting Transcript, dated May 21, 2024
Exhibit 24 - LA County Board of Supervisors Meeting Statement of Proceedings, dated April 1, 2025
Exhibit 25 - City of LA Housing and Homelessness Committee Meeting Journal, April 15, 2026
Exhibit 26 - City of LA Staff Recommendations Adopted by Housing and Homelessness Committee on April 15, 2026
Exhibit 27 - LAHSA Announces Organizational Restructuring, dated April 20, 2026
Exhibit 28 - LA County Auditor-Controller Review, dated May 21, 2026
Exhibit 29 - LAHSA CoC Grant Agreement, dated January 8, 2025
Exhibit 30 - LAHSA CoC Application dated September 20, 2023
Exhibit 31 - LAHSA Commission Meeting, dated September 26, 2025
Exhibit 32 - LAHSA Special Commission Meeting, dated October 30, 2024
Exhibit 33 - Notice of Immediate Suspension and Proposed Debarment, Dr. Adams Kellum, dated September 22, 2025
Exhibit 34 - Notice of Immediate Suspension and Proposed Debarment, Mr. Kellum, dated September 24, 2025

EXHIBIT T

Prior HUD/OGIS follow-up regarding HUD FOIA / HMIS / CPD search scope

Source file: Gmail - Follow Up Request for OGIS Assistance HUD FOIA 26 FI RO9 01580 HMIS CPD Search Scope(3).PDF
Pages: 2

Relevance: Shows prior OGIS/HUD follow-up and preserved HUD FOIA search-scope issue.

The full source document follows this cover page.

Follow Up Request for OGIS Assistance HUD FOIA 26 FI RO9 01580 HMIS CPD Search Scope

3 messages

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Tue, Jun 9, 2026 at 10:47 AM
To: OGIS@nara.gov

To: OGIS@nara.gov

Dear OGIS Staff,

I am following up regarding my request for OGIS assistance with HUD FOIA Request No. 26 FI RO9 01580.

Relevant identifiers:

HUD FOIA Request No. 26 FI RO9 01580
HUD Region IX FOIA Office
HUD CPD Los Angeles
HMIS ID 8F8CD9D8B
LAHSA Ref. 2526KH A
CRCD Project Tipping Point ID 1233369910
CFCI PA3 056 2023
HUD FHEO Inquiry 860997

I am requesting OGIS assistance with HUD FOIA processing and search scope only. I am not asking OGIS to decide the merits of my HUD, HMIS, LAHSA, CRCD, housing, family law, law enforcement, CalVCB, or public funding concerns.

Please assist in obtaining written clarification from HUD regarding:

1. Whether HUD FOIA Request No. 26 FI RO9 01580 remains pending;
2. Whether HUD has issued or intends to issue an extension notice;
3. Whether HUD can provide an estimated completion date;
4. Whether HUD has associated my CPD and HMIS source record materials with FOIA Request No. 26 FI RO9 01580;
5. Which HUD office or component is responsible for searching responsive records involving HUD CPD, HUD Region IX, LAHSA, HMIS, CRCD, Project Tipping Point, and 1551 E 106th St Unit 3 4;
6. Whether HUD searched or will search records involving unresolved HMIS consent, release of information, source authorization, access log, disclosure log, correction request, and local HMIS governance issues;
7. Whether HUD FOIA has coordinated with HUD CPD Los Angeles or Region IX regarding the HMIS and source record materials.

I am attaching or will provide PDF copies of the HUD FOIA request, HUD acknowledgement, my status follow up, and the HUD OGIS assistance packet.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert David Haab
657 557 4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

OGIS_HUD_FOIA_Followup_26_FI_RO9_01580.pdf
3 KB

OGIS <OGIS+noreply@nara.gov> Tue, Jun 9, 2026 at 10:49 AM
To: kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

Thank you for contacting the Office of Government Information Services (OGIS).

This is an auto response, please DO NOT respond to this email as the response will not be received by the OGIS staff. Emails must be sent to ogis@nara.gov.

As the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) ombuds, OGIS assists FOIA requesters and federal agencies by helping them resolve their FOIA disputes, and by addressing their questions and concerns about the FOIA process. Please note, we receive many inquiries and, if appropriate, will respond to you as quickly as possible.

For more information about OGIS's services, please explore the information on our mediation and compliance functions at

archives.gov/ogis.

If you seek OGIS's assistance with the FOIA process and have not done so already, please email us again at ogis@nara.gov and provide us with the following:
- What assistance you seek from OGIS
- A copy of your FOIA request
- The agency's response to your request that includes the FOIA tracking number
- Your appeal letter
- The agency's response to your appeal

We encourage you to transmit documents as PDF attachments.

If you contacted OGIS in error, please email us at ogis@nara.gov and notify us that you no longer seek our assistance.

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Tue, Jun 9, 2026 at 11:27 AM
To: OGIS <OGIS+noreply@nara.gov>

Dear OGIS Staff,

Please associate the attached corrected HUD FOIA OGIS packet with my HUD FOIA assistance matter.

Relevant identifiers:

HUD FOIA Request No. 26-FI-RO9-01576
Former incorrect reference No. 26-FI-RO9-01580
HUD Region IX FOIA Office
HUD CPD Los Angeles
HMIS ID 8F8CD9D8B
LAHSA Ref. 2526KH-A
CRCD Project Tipping Point ID 1233369910
CFCI PA3 056 2023

This corrected packet is submitted because HUD Region IX stated that the controlling FOIA tracking number is 26-FI-RO9-01576, not 26-FI-RO9-01580. HUD stated that the April 14 acknowledgement had the correct number in the subject but the wrong number in the body, and that 26-FI-RO9-01576 should be used in all correspondence.

I am requesting OGIS assistance with FOIA process issues only, including HUD processing status, corrected tracking number association, search scope, estimated completion date, and whether HUD has associated my CPD, HMIS, LAHSA, CRCD, Project Tipping Point, and source authorization materials with the pending HUD FOIA request.

I am not asking OGIS to decide the merits of any HUD, HMIS, housing, family law, criminal, CalVCB, county fraud, or public funding issue.

Please confirm receipt and advise if any required document remains missing from the HUD OGIS file.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert David Haab
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
[Quoted text hidden]

OGIS_HUD_FOIA_Assistance_CORRECTED_26_FI_RO9_01576.pdf
1.1 MB

EXHIBIT U

HUD/LAHSA/CRCD whistleblower context message

Source file: Gmail - Whistleblower Evidence of CRCD Misconduct Affecting HUD Housing, LAHSA Oversight, and Cross-State Family Case.PDF
Pages: 1

Relevance: Shows HUD/LAHSA/CRCD cross-state preservation notice and federal housing search-scope relevance.

The full source document follows this cover page.

Whistleblower Evidence of CRCD Misconduct Affecting HUD Housing, LAHSA Oversight, and Cross-State Family Case

1 message

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Tue, Dec 9, 2025 at 11:31 AM
To: ngerda@laist.com

I am reaching out as a whistleblower with evidence of systemic misconduct involving CRCD, LAHSA oversight failures, and the collapse of a cross-state child-welfare case triggered by CRCD's actions.

Key points:

• My family was living in stable HUD-supported housing in Tennessee when CRCD (a Los Angeles nonprofit funded by public money) contacted us across state lines and induced us to relocate.

• After arrival, fraudulent documents were created in our name and rent was processed through systems that bypassed oversight.

• LAHSA's Deputy Director of Risk Management has already confirmed "fraud and theft indicators."

• Multiple agencies now claim no jurisdiction over our children, caused by procedural collapse between Tennessee and California.

• LAPD Commercial Crimes told me this could take "up to three years," while my family is facing eviction now.

• Another CRCD participant has already come forward — this is not an isolated incident.

I have an extensive record: emails, screenshots, court filings, HMIS records, agency confirmations, and documentation of illegal recruitment.

Every formal channel has stalled. No agency has taken responsibility.

If you are willing to review the full record, I can provide everything. This situation may represent a broader pattern affecting vulnerable families in Los Angeles.

— Kyle Haab

kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

(657) 5574459

EXHIBIT V

CRCD consolidated financial statements and subsidiaries

Source file: 1000004278.PDF
Pages: 61

Relevance: Shows CRCD structure, subsidiaries, Project Tipping Point, WorkSource, housing, CRCD Enterprises, and affiliate context for independent review/search scope.

The full source document follows this cover page.

Coalition for Responsible Community Development and Subsidiaries
(A California Nonprofit Corporation)

Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2023

(With Comparative Totals for 2022)

WINDES
AUDIT | TAX | ADVISORY

CONTENTS

Independent Auditors' Report 1-3

Consolidated Statement of Financial Position 4-5

Consolidated Statement of Activities 6

Consolidated Statement of Functional Expenses 7

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows 8-9

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements 10-39

Supplemental Information:

Consolidating Statement of Financial Position 40-41

Consolidating Statement of Activities and Changes in Net Assets 42

Consolidated Statement of Operating Expenses 43

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

To the Board of Directors of
Coalition for Responsible Community Development and Subsidiaries
(A California Nonprofit Corporation):

Report on the Audit of the Financial Statements

Opinion

We have audited the accompanying consolidated financial statements of Coalition for Responsible Community Development and Subsidiaries (a California nonprofit corporation) (the Corporation), which comprise the consolidated statement of financial position as of December 31, 2023, and the related consolidated statements of activities, functional expenses, and cash flows for the year then ended, and the related notes to the consolidated financial statements.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Corporation as of December 31, 2023, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Basis for Opinion

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the Auditors' Responsibilities for the Audit of the Consolidated Financial Statements section of our report. We are required to be independent of the Corporation and to meet our other ethical responsibilities in accordance with the relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Responsibilities of Management for the Consolidated Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of consolidated financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

In preparing the consolidated financial statements, management is required to evaluate whether there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about the Corporation's ability to continue as a going concern within one year after the date that the consolidated financial statements are available to be issued.

Auditors' Responsibilities for the Audit of the Consolidated Financial Statements

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes our opinion. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance, but is not absolute assurance and, therefore, is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and *Government Auditing Standards* will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Misstatements are considered material if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, they would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user based on the consolidated financial statements.

In performing an audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and *Government Auditing Standards*, we:

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.

- Identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the consolidated financial statements, whether due to fraud or error, and design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements.

- Obtain an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Corporation's internal control. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

- Evaluate the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluate the overall presentation of the consolidated financial statements.

- Conclude whether, in our judgment, there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about the Corporation's ability to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit, significant audit findings, and certain internal control-related matters that we identified during the audit.

Other Reporting Required by *Government Auditing Standards*

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated September 30, 2024 on our consideration of the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Report on Supplementary Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the consolidated financial statements as a whole. The supplementary information is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the consolidated financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the consolidated financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the consolidated financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the consolidated financial statements or to the consolidated financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the consolidated financial statements as a whole.

Report on Summarized Comparative Information

We have previously audited the Corporation's 2022 consolidated financial statements, and we expressed an unmodified audit opinion on those audited consolidated financial statements in the report dated January 4, 2023. In our opinion, the summarized comparative information presented herein as of and for the year ended December 31, 2022 is consistent, in all material respects, with the audited consolidated financial statements from which it has been derived.

Long Beach, California
September 30, 2024

COALITION FOR RESPONSIBLE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL POSITION
DECEMBER 31, 2023
(WITH COMPARATIVE TOTALS FOR 2022)

ASSETS

	2023	2022
CURRENT ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents	$ 5,963,333	$ 3,240,122
Security deposits	49,784	203,471
Reserve for replacements	51,370	84,713
Operating expense reserve	82,479	81,039
Operating expense subsidy reserve	1,470,938	1,572,934
Total cash and cash equivalents	7,617,904	5,182,279
Accounts receivable - residents, net	91,867	157,574
Accounts receivable - contracts, net	4,124,465	3,994,746
Accounts receivable - other	39,867	127,733
Grants receivable	6,711,451	5,880,482
Pledges receivable	1,350,460	1,442,960
Prepaid expenses	357,424	130,603
Total current assets	20,293,438	16,916,377
PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT		
Land and land improvements	10,502,553	9,965,782
Buildings	6,861,626	6,709,667
Vehicles	1,505,295	1,379,619
Furniture and equipment	931,898	702,218
Leasehold improvements	58,332	58,332
Construction in progress	4,048,096	3,358,791
	23,907,800	22,174,409
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	(2,976,130)	(2,471,364)
Total property and equipment	20,931,670	19,703,045
OTHER NONCURRENT ASSETS		
Due from related parties	5,514,275	2,696,417
Retention and long-term receivables	1,184,553	-
Pledges receivable, net of current portion	-	987,500
Notes receivable	603,498	544,375
Utility deposits	161,010	8,500
Operating lease right-of-use assets	2,059,038	1,878,065
Total other assets	9,522,374	6,114,857
TOTAL ASSETS	$ 50,747,482	$ 42,734,279

See Independent Auditors' Report

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

COALITION FOR RESPONSIBLE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL POSITION
DECEMBER 31, 2023
(WITH COMPARATIVE TOTALS FOR 2022)
(Continued)

LIABILITIES AND NET ASSETS

	2023	2022
CURRENT LIABILITIES		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	$ 1,920,661	$ 2,657,153
Accrued liabilities	2,058,984	668,780
Accrued interest payable, current portion	43,820	18,806
Deferred revenue	1,158,978	665,224
Lines of credit, current portion	-	1,081,748
Notes payable, current portion	4,211,663	15,148,011
Financing lease liabilities, current portion	129,705	132,321
Operating lease liabilities, current portion	836,580	477,323
Total current liabilities	10,360,391	20,849,366
NONCURRENT LIABILITIES		
Accrued liabilities - long-term	307,704	-
Accrued interest payable, net of current portion	2,088,263	1,885,637
Lines of credit, net of current portion	1,986,122	1,593,154
Notes payable, net of current portion	21,171,470	4,787,929
Deposit liabilities, security deposits	396,863	305,432
Financing lease liabilities, net of current portion	265,880	337,776
Operating lease liabilities, net of current portion	1,230,323	1,400,930
Total noncurrent liabilities	27,446,625	10,310,858
TOTAL LIABILITIES	37,807,016	31,160,224
NET ASSETS		
Without donor restrictions	8,948,592	4,013,640
With donor restrictions	3,991,874	7,560,415
Total net assets	12,940,466	11,574,055
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND NET ASSETS	$ 50,747,482	$ 42,734,279

See Independent Auditors' Report

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

COALITION FOR RESPONSIBLE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2023
(WITH COMPARATIVE TOTALS FOR 2022)

	2023			
	Without Donor Restrictions	With Donor Restrictions	Total	Total 2022
REVENUE AND SUPPORT				
Contracts and grants	$ 31,955,663	$ 2,595,500	$ 34,551,163	$ 28,357,766
Contributions	146,725	-	146,725	445,243
Rental income	751,052	-	751,052	814,834
Developer fees	260,250	-	260,250	560,101
In-kind contributions	258,294	-	258,294	334,442
Partnership management revenue	131,522	-	131,522	277,657
Interest income	85,026	-	85,026	19,549
Employee Retention Credit income	2,522,620	-	2,522,620	-
Other income	17,762	-	17,762	921,435
	36,128,914	2,595,500	38,724,414	31,731,027
NET ASSETS RELEASED FROM RESTRICTIONS				
Satisfaction of time and program restrictions	6,164,041	(6,164,041)	-	-
Total revenue and support	42,292,955	(3,568,541)	38,724,414	31,731,027
EXPENSES				
Program services	31,805,169	-	31,805,169	23,356,392
Management and general	4,922,374	-	4,922,374	3,329,355
Fundraising	630,460	-	630,460	532,331
Total Expenses	37,358,003	-	37,358,003	27,218,078
CHANGES IN NET ASSETS	4,934,952	(3,568,541)	1,366,411	4,512,949
NET ASSETS, BEGINNING OF YEAR	4,013,640	7,560,415	11,574,055	7,061,106
NET ASSETS, END OF YEAR	$ 8,948,592	$ 3,991,874	$ 12,940,466	$ 11,574,055

See Independent Auditors' Report
The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Bank charges	138	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	138	226	15,435	15,661	15,799	16,090
Computer and web design	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	42,611
Dues and subscriptions	-	3,026	34,586	-	-	-	1,324	-	-	-	-	38,936	7,934	5,000	12,934	51,870	56,381
Office expense	-	85,343	125,232	433	5,382	2,936	3,067	90,550	7,035	-	-	319,978	16,779	-	16,779	336,757	214,528
Management fee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	75,731	40,750	-	-	116,481	-	-	-	116,481	41,361
Other administrative expenses	15	1,045	7,838	-	1,101	8,267	-	113,167	5,472	-	-	136,905	49,871	89,124	138,995	275,900	184,497
Professional fees	47,398	109,124	55,579	224,382	4,394	101,485	102,007	249,043	13,995	-	5,341	912,748	1,182,165	72,019	1,254,184	2,166,932	1,298,455
Telephone and internet	-	11,427	18,249	301	19,823	3,537	3,628	23,641	-	-	-	80,606	57,799	156	57,955	138,561	146,616
Training and development	-	36,146	86,520	1,326	28,295	10,859	267	402,663	-	-	-	566,076	79,211	111,461	190,672	756,748	542,302
Utilities	-	-	19,965	-	9,249	4,632	-	28,457	65,527	-	24,151	151,981	7,032	-	7,032	159,013	150,335
Supplies	-	46,803	26,366	116	28,601	10,071	6,414	3,870,837	50,734	-	-	4,039,942	61,027	31,520	92,547	4,132,489	853,065
Contracted services	1,800	948	30,088	3,500	113,122	9,239	65	1,046,228	44,730	-	-	1,249,720	19,776	500	20,276	1,269,996	1,658,793
Other operating and maintenance	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	25,119	14,331	-	-	39,450	-	-	-	39,450	16,355
Insurance	214,007	-	-	-	-	-	-	332,593	24,541	-	729	571,870	128,694	-	128,694	700,564	234,348
Taxes	-	-	-	10,240	-	-	-	97,646	10,227	-	800	118,913	-	-	-	118,913	67,202
Financing expense	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4,368	-	33,894	38,262	-	-	-	38,262	18,684
Interest expense	-	-	-	195,981	-	-	-	179,333	211,491	-	-	586,805	22,142	7,413	29,555	616,360	417,147
Depreciation	-	-	-	4,324	8,738	-	-	161,583	71,779	-	128,726	375,150	125,803	3,812	129,615	504,765	512,379
Development and asset management services	-	-	-	177,900	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	177,900	-	-	-	177,900	360,040
Leasing, parking, and other occupancy expenses	196,948	532	27,776	-	223,713	3,155	25,176	337,675	-	-	-	814,975	238,814	73,595	312,409	1,127,384	715,284
TOTAL FUNCTIONAL EXPENSES	$ 460,306	$ 3,919,384	$ 4,740,402	$ 1,459,115	$ 2,702,515	$ 1,878,122	$ 668,227	$14,982,408	$ 801,049	$ -	$ 193,641	$31,805,169	$ 4,922,374	$ 630,460	$ 5,552,834	$37,358,003	$27,218,078

See Independent Auditors' Report

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

COALITION FOR RESPONSIBLE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2023
(WITH COMPARATIVE TOTALS FOR 2022)

	2023	2022
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Change in net assets	$ 1,366,411	$ 4,512,949
Adjustments to reconcile change in net assets to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	504,765	512,379
Amortization of debt issuance costs	1,291	1,291
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivables	(1,160,699)	(3,399,403)
Grants and pledges receivables	249,031	(2,260,180)
Prepaid expenses	(226,821)	(10,826)
Utility deposits	(152,510)	-
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	(736,492)	1,932,319
Accrued liabilities	1,697,908	(306,524)
Accrued interest payable	227,640	206,858
Deferred revenue	493,754	105,775
Deposit liabilities	91,431	77,798
Operating lease right-of-use assets and liabilities	7,677	188
Net Cash Provided By Operating Activities	2,363,386	1,372,624
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Purchase of property and equipment	(1,655,714)	(2,505,234)
Advances on notes receivable	(200,000)	-
Repayments on notes receivable	140,877	83,619
Net Cash Used In Investing Activities	(1,714,837)	(2,421,615)

See Independent Auditors' Report
The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

COALITION FOR RESPONSIBLE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2023
(WITH COMPARATIVE TOTALS FOR 2022)
(Continued)

	2023	2022
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Net change in lines of credit	(688,780)	1,202,648
Proceeds from notes payable, net	6,582,275	1,250,000
Repayments on notes payable	(1,136,373)	(392,589)
Repayments on financing liabilities	(152,188)	(142,409)
Advances to related parties	(2,817,858)	(1,395,979)
Net Cash Provided by Financing Activities	1,787,076	521,671
NET CHANGE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	2,435,625	(527,320)
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT BEGINNING OF YEAR	5,182,279	5,709,599
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT END OF YEAR	$ 7,617,904	$ 5,182,279
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION		
Interest paid	$ 388,720	$ 210,289

See Independent Auditors' Report
The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

COALITION FOR RESPONSIBLE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2023
(WITH COMPARATIVE TOTALS FOR 2022)

NOTE 1 – Organization

Coalition for Responsible Community Development (CRCD) and Subsidiaries (collectively, the Corporation) is a California nonprofit corporation whose mission is to better sustain, coordinate, and improve local planning, development, and community services that address the needs of low-income residents and small businesses in South Los Angeles (LA). The Corporation provides a variety of programs focused on helping to improve the quality of life for low and moderate-income residents living and working in deteriorating neighborhoods throughout the South LA area by engaging in community revitalization and leadership activities that create economic opportunities, safety and security in the neighborhoods, living wage jobs, affordable housing, and all the other important supportive services a community needs. These programs include the following:

Workforce Development for Youth:

Youth services have always been at the heart of CRCD's purpose. By providing a trauma-informed approach to youth development, the Corporation was awarded a full spectrum of nationally recognized programs that are now available in South LA. The Corporation's programs serve disconnected and transition-age youth ages 14–26 who face challenges that traditional institutions cannot accommodate. CRCD's approach to youth services prioritizes assessing the barriers that prevent youth from excelling in education and employment, addressing the longstanding neighborhood conditions where young people reside, and engaging in collaborations that improve the policies and systems impacting a young person's life.

South LA YouthBuild

CRCD serves as the lead agency for the South LA YouthBuild and AmeriCorps programs. Youth ages 17–24 earn a high school diploma at CRCD Academy and co-enroll at Los Angeles Trade-Technical College to study construction and earn college credits. Youth earn industry-recognized credentials from the Home Builders Institute, provide community service, and earn educational awards/scholarships as AmeriCorps members. CRCD provides intensive case management, support services, leadership, career placement services, and follow-up support services.

NOTE 1 – Organization (Continued)

VCN YouthSource Center

CRCD serves as the lead agency for the VCN YouthSource Center (the Center), the first of its kind, located in Vernon. The Center is a partnership of CRCD, Los Angeles Trade-Technical College, LA Conservation Corps, and All Peoples Community Center to connect young people to education, leadership and community service, career path training, and jobs. The Corporation provides intensive case management, support services, career placement services, and follow-up services. The Corporation has an LA Unified School District Pupil Services Advisor on-site and a computer lab.

Summer Youth Employment Program

The Summer Youth Employment Program operates year-round to provide youth ages 14-21 an opportunity to earn income while they learn. The goal of the program is to introduce young people to the workplace, gain valuable employment skills, and earn an income.

LA College Promise Works

LA College Promise Works serves first-time, full-time college students with a comprehensive strategy to support students in completing a higher education degree and/or a workforce certificate. Important aspects of the program are waived tuition for the first two years of college, priority enrollment, additional financial support, and a dedicated support team providing a wide array of academic and student support services.

CRCD Academy

CRCD Academy is an innovative high school site located on the campus of Los Angeles Trade-Technical College. CRCD Academy offers young people ages 16–24 an expedited path to earn an accredited high school diploma and requires dual enrollment in community college. CRCD Academy utilizes a project-based learning curriculum and incorporates community service, leadership, and career preparation. CRCD Academy is operated by a partnership of CRCD, Los Angeles Trade-Technical College, and YouthBuild Charter School of California.

NOTE 1 – Organization (Continued)

JLAG - Jobs for Los Angeles Graduates

JLAG is part of the national network of Jobs for America's Graduates (JAG) program designed to serve youth ages 16–24 who left the traditional school system and are interested in completing requirements for a high school diploma. The primary mission of JLAG is to provide a pathway to success and jobs for youth through an array of counseling, employability and technical skills development, professional association, career development, and job placement services that will result in either a quality job leading to a career after graduation and/or enrollment in a postsecondary education and certification training program.

Project Tipping Point

Project Tipping Point provides support for LA's current and former foster youth to successfully access college through the provision of a two-year, cohort-based academic program operated in partnership with Los Angeles Trade-Technical College. Participants earn postsecondary credentials and exit the program prepared to pursue higher education or embark on a sustaining career path.

CURE - Alternative Sentencing and Neighborhood Justice Program

CRCD operates CURE, an alternative sentencing program, in partnership with the LA City Attorney and LA County Public Defender. In place of incarceration, nonviolent offenders ages 18–25 engage in education and training with CRCD. This prevents the harmful impact of a criminal record, which is a barrier to obtaining employment, housing, and other services. CRCD is also a pilot site for the LA City Attorney's new Neighborhood Justice Program, which offers restorative justice opportunities in lieu of court.

LA:RISE Youth Academy Program

LA:RISE Youth Academy is focused on improving housing, education, and workforce outcomes for unhoused youth. The goal of this program is to provide services that will allow youth to earn their high school diploma or GED while also receiving services for employment barrier removal, housing, vocational training, work readiness, and case management.

NOTE 1 – Organization (Continued)

Workforce Development for Adults:

The Workforce Development Department connects dislocated workers, veterans, and the formerly incarcerated with wraparound employment, training, and financial coaching services. As the lead agency operating the Vernon-Central/LATTC WorkSource Center, the Corporation is a proud partner of the America's Job Center network. CRCD endeavors to identify employment pathways and provide individuals with the opportunities to succeed in a career with family-sustaining wages. CRCD team members form partnerships with community members through one-on-one career coaching that effectively pairs people with personalized industry training, workforce readiness, financial coaching, entrepreneur training, re-entry programs, and other support services.

Vernon-Central/LATTC WorkSource Center

The Vernon-Central/LATTC WorkSource Center operated by CRCD is designed to strengthen and improve the City of Los Angeles' public workforce system and help get Angelenos, including youth and those with significant barriers to employment, into high-quality jobs and careers as well as helping employers hire and retain skilled workers.

Financial Education Center

The Financial Education Center helps individuals reach their goals and secure financial sustainability. The Financial Education Center hosts a three-day workshop that covers credit, budgets, and money management. During one-on-one sessions with a financial coach, clients are given the opportunity to focus on the issues that are holding them back from achieving their financial goals.

Los Angeles Regional Initiative for Social Enterprise (LA:RISE)

LA:RISE creates an employer-driven pathway that integrates public, private, nonprofit, and educational systems to support individuals on their way to full-time employment. LA:RISE invests in social enterprises that earn revenue while providing a supportive work environment that offers on-the-job training and skill development for hard-to-serve populations, creating a win-win for the employers and job seekers.

NOTE 1 – Organization (Continued)

Prison to Employment (P2E)

Prison to Employment provides resources for direct services, supportive services, and earn-learn opportunities to the formerly incarcerated and justice-involved individuals. Through this program, CRCD provides training, education, and career opportunities to individuals who are or have been justice-involved.

Targeted Local Hire

The Targeted Local Hire program is in place to support under-served and under-employed populations in finding an alternative pathway to rewarding civil service careers with the City of Los Angeles. Candidates receive on-the-job training and complete an assessment period before transitioning to civil service employment.

LARCA 2.0 Gang Injunction (Los Angeles Reconnections Career Academy)

The LARCA 2.0 Gang Injunction program serves class members identified in the gang injunction curfew settlement in the case of "Rodriguez vs. the City of Los Angeles" by providing them with jobs, education programs, work-readiness, and employment services that prepare them to enter the workforce, apprenticeship programs, and vocational training opportunities leading to available employment in high-demand industries.

INVEST

The INVEST program creates employment opportunities for adult probationers in LA County. This program coordinates probation supervision programs with the Workforce Development, Aging and Community Services' Workforce Development Department system to provide training and support that will help individuals on probation enter into the workforce on a meaningful career path.

NOTE 1 – Organization (Continued)

Housing & Support Services:

The Housing & Support Services Department develops and operates permanent supportive housing for youth and young adults, families, seniors, individuals with special needs, and other low-income populations. CRCD staff provides on-site support services at nine of the permanent supportive housing developments in South LA, with a focus on helping the most vulnerable community members obtain safe and stable housing. Additionally, the Corporation provides voluntary services including independent living skills workshops and classes, money management counseling, and community-based resources such as job training, health care, education, and re-entry programs. To serve the needs of youth ages 18–24 who are experiencing homelessness specifically, the Corporation operates Ruth's Place, a drop-in center for those who would otherwise live on the streets.

Time Limited Subsidy for Youth

Time Limited Subsidy for Youth is a crisis response program focused on quickly resolving homelessness for eligible youth by assisting them with finding housing and paying move-in fees and their monthly rent for up to two years. The program's focus is to assist young people experiencing homelessness or at-risk of becoming homeless in LA County by providing linkage to available housing and services to prevent displacement. Many of the young people the program serves include youth who are couch surfing, exiting the foster care system, or on probation.

Homelessness Prevention for Youth

This program offers short-term financial assistance and landlord mediation so youth on the verge of eviction can maintain their housing.

Problem Solving

CRCD's Problem Solving program offers short-term assistance such as security deposits, utility payments, payments to family members to house participants temporarily, and relocation assistance.

NOTE 1 – Organization (Continued)

Community Services Block Grant

The Community Services Block Grant program offers three months of rental assistance to help eligible participants maintain their housing.

Small Business Services:

CRCD operates the Southeast LA BusinessSource Center and the Business Empowerment Center, which provide free cost-effective tools and support for resident entrepreneurs and small business owners in the South LA community. The program provides one-on-one consulting, business education courses, and programs such as the Entrepreneur Training Program, tax incentives, and small business loan financing.

Real Estate:

CRCD is committed to shining a spotlight on the need to build housing for homeless youth and implement programs and resources that improve the lives of young adults facing multiple barriers to stability in South LA. In 2007, CRCD began collaborating with partners to develop affordable and permanent supportive housing with on-site support services for transition-age youth, families, veterans experiencing homelessness, and other low-income residents. The Corporation currently owns and operates 10 developments with 464 units of affordable and permanent supportive housing with more than 1,000 in development. These units represent a combined investment of $717 million in South LA housing and real estate, with another $308 million in predevelopment. CRCD also operates a commercial space for its social enterprise and a drop-in center for homeless youth, Ruth's Place. As of 2023, CRCD has 530 units in pre-development with 305 of those set aside specifically for transition-age youth and families experiencing homelessness. In association with these projects, the Corporation maintains partnership interests in the following entities: 36th Street and Broadway Apartments, L.P.; Epworth Apartments, L.P.; PRW Residences, L.P.; Dunbar Village, L.P.; Vermont Park Plaza, L.P.; Residences on Main, L.P.; AMCAL Washington Fund, L.P.; CRCD 5th Street, L.P.; Marcella Gardens, L.P.; Ruth Teague Homes, L.P.; Casa del Mariachi, L.P, Ashley Willowbrook, L.P.; Historic Lincoln Theatre, L.P.; AMCAL La Prensa Libre 9%, L.P.; and CRCD Richard Hogan Manor, L.P.

NOTE 1 – Organization (Continued)

Many of CRCD's real estate projects also provide job skill and leadership development opportunities for young people in its youth programs. Some of the program graduates now live in affordable units that they helped to rehabilitate. Interweaving services, neighborhood-level economic development, and affordable housing with strong partnerships is how CRCD keeps "Changing Lives and Building Neighborhoods Responsibly."

CRCD's housing projects are collaborative efforts with multiple community partners who believe in high-quality, affordable housing for vulnerable populations. A few of the real estate partners include Little Tokyo Service Center, Clifford Beers Housing, and Thomas Safran & Associates. Three of CRCD's housing complexes are historic buildings listed on the National Register of Historic Places:

- Historic Dunbar Hotel, built in 1928 by John and Vada Somerville (now co-owned by CRCD and Thomas Safran & Associates), provides affordable housing to elders in the community. The Dunbar Hotel and surrounding Sommerville apartment buildings create the Dunbar Village, providing 83 units of affordable housing to seniors and families in the community. Commercial space was provided on the ground floor of Dunbar Hotel to attract community-serving businesses that are dedicated to the revitalization of South LA.

- Historic 28th Street YMCA, designed in 1926 by architect Paul R. Williams (now co-owned by CRCD and Clifford Beers Housing), is a mixed-use project providing 49 units of permanent supportive housing to transition-age youth. The transition-age youth helped to assist with the construction of the 28th Street Apartments and some live in the units. The ground floor of the Historic YMCA serves as the hub of CRCD youth programs and houses the VCN YouthSource Center.

- Historic Lincoln Theater, built in 1927 by architect John Paxton Perrine, was acquired by CRCD in 2020 with plans to restore the original theater for the South LA community and build 72 units of affordable housing and permanent supportive housing on the adjacent lot.

NOTE 1 – Organization (Continued)

Neighborhood Revitalization:

The South LA Beautification Team is responsible for graffiti removal, bulky trash pickup, and Central Avenue Street maintenance. The Corporation is responsible for removing graffiti from over 6 million square feet annually and collecting over 1,200 tons of items from streets and alleys; collecting and disposing of trash; and business corridor maintenance including high-tech sweeping, scrubbing, steam-cleaning, and pressure washing to remove grime from public sidewalks, cleaning up weeds and tree wells, and emptying public trash receptacles.

CRCD Enterprises

CRCD Enterprises began in 2010 as the city's lead provider of neighborhood and community beautification services for the South LA portion of LA City Council District 9. The professional crew trains and hires local youth and young adults to help beautify the neighborhood. Today, CRCD Enterprises is also a state-licensed general contractor and painting company. Services include graffiti abatement, bulky item pick-up, business district cleaning, construction, residential and commercial painting, urban infrastructure, and facilities maintenance.

CRCD Enterprises has invested in two separate wholly owned limited liability companies (CRCD Facilities Maintenance Services LLC and Sonny's Construction LLC), providing maintenance and general contracting services to property management and other companies located in the LA area.

CRCD Apartments, LLC

On August 13, 2013, the ownership of CRCD Apartments, LLC (CRCD Apartments) was transferred to the Corporation from Restore Neighborhoods LA, Inc. (RNLA) subject to the obligations disclosed in Note 11. CRCD Apartments is a real estate development of 43 apartment units for low-income families. The project is regulated under terms of a regulatory agreement with the California Housing Finance Agency (CalHFA).

NOTE 1 – Organization (Continued)

CRCD, LLC

In 2019, CRCD formed a limited liability company, CRCD, LLC, of which it is the sole member. CRCD, LLC was formed exclusively for charitable purposes as specified in Section 214 of the California Revenue and Taxation Code and to further the following specific charitable purposes of its members: (1) to provide housing for low-income persons, where no adequate housing exists for such groups; and (2) to serve as a general partner in limited partnerships that own and operate housing for the benefit of low-income persons who are in need of affordable, decent, safe, and sanitary housing and related services. CRCD, LLC owns a 0.0045% general partner interest in Ashley Willowbrook, L.P., and a 0.0001% general partner interest in AMCAL La Prensa Libra 9%, L.P.

Historic Lincoln Theatre, L.P.

On December 1, 2020, CRCD, through its wholly owned subsidiary, CRCD, LLC, entered into a partnership agreement with CRCD Partners, to form a limited partnership, Historic Lincoln Theatre, L.P. (Historic Lincoln Theatre). CRCD, LLC is the Limited Partner with a 99.99% interest and Managing General Partner with 0.001% interest. Historic Lincoln Theatre's specific purpose is to acquire, own, construct, rehabilitate, operate, mortgage, and invest in an affordable housing development project located at 2300 S. Central Avenue, Los Angeles.

NOTE 2 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Consolidation

In accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) ASC 958-810, the consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Corporation and its controlled subsidiary partnerships and companies, after elimination of all material intercompany accounts, transactions, and profits.

NOTE 2 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Basis of Preparation of the Consolidated Financial Statements

The consolidated financial statements are prepared on the accrual basis in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (U.S. GAAP), and are in conformity with the provisions required by ASC 958-205. This topic established standards for external financial reporting for nonprofit organizations.

The consolidated financial statements present two classes of net assets - without and with donor restrictions. Accordingly, assets of the Corporation and changes therein are classified and reported as follows:

Net Assets without donor restrictions - Assets that are not subject to donor-imposed stipulations. These are available to support the Corporation's activities and operations at the discretion of the Board of Directors.

Net Assets with donor restrictions - Assets subject to either temporary donor-imposed stipulations that will be met either by actions of the donor, the Corporation, and/or the passage of time or assets that are subject to permanent donor-imposed stipulations that the corpus must be maintained permanently by the Corporation. The donors of assets with donor restrictions may permit the Corporation to use all or part of the income or gains earned on the related investments for general or specific purposes.

Comparative Totals

The consolidated financial statements include certain prior year summarized comparative information. Such information does not include sufficient detail to constitute a presentation in conformity with U.S. GAAP. Accordingly, such information should be read in conjunction with the Corporation’s consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2022, from which the summarized information was derived.

NOTE 2 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Use of Estimates in the Preparation of Consolidated Financial Statements

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Investments in Operating Partnerships

The Corporation accounts for its investments in operating partnerships in accordance with FASB ASC 970-323, *Investments - Equity Method*, under which the investment is carried at cost and adjusted for the Corporation's share of the operating entity's net income or loss and by cash contributions or distributions received. The Corporation serves as a member in the entities listed in Note 5; however, the Corporation does not have control due to the lack of control over operating and financial policies.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

For the consolidated statement of cash flows, the Corporation considers all highly liquid unrestricted investments with original maturities of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

Receivables and Allowance Policy

Receivables consist of promises to give, asset and property management fees, rent charges due from residents, and other receivables.

Resident rent charges for the current month are due on the first of the month. Residents who are evicted or move out are charged with damages or cleaning fees, if applicable. Resident receivables consist of amounts due for rental income or the charges for damages and/or cleaning fees.

NOTE 2 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Receivables and Allowance Policy (Continued)

The Corporation does not accrue interest on the receivables balances. Certain contracts require a portion of revenue earned to be held as retention, until all contact obligations are fulfilled.

Receivables are reported net of an allowance for uncollectable receivables, which is based on historical experience, an assessment of economic conditions, and a review of subsequent collections. Receivables are written off when deemed uncollectable. At December 31, 2023, the allowance for doubtful accounts is $280,693.

The Corporation records promises to give whenever there is sufficient evidence in the form of verifiable documentation that an unconditional promise was made and received. Unconditional promises to give that are expected to be collected in future years are recorded at the present value of their estimated future cash flows when the discount is considered significant. No allowance for uncollectible grants or pledges has been recorded as of December 31, 2023 and all grants receivables, and pledges are expected to be collected within the next 12 months.

Notes Receivable

Notes receivable are carried at amounts contractually due, less an allowance for uncollectable receivables. The Corporation accrues interest on the notes receivable balances in accordance with the stated terms of the agreements.

Management periodically reviews notes receivables and uses an allowance methodology similar to its other receivables. There was no allowance for uncollectable notes receivables at December 31, 2023.

NOTE 2 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Property and Equipment

Land and land improvements, buildings, vehicles, furniture and equipment, and leasehold improvements are recorded at cost. Buildings and land improvements are depreciated under the straight-line method over an estimated useful life ranging from 20-40 years. Vehicles, furniture and equipment, and leasehold improvements are depreciated and amortized under the straight-line method over an estimated useful life ranging from 5-9 years. The Corporation capitalizes expenditures or betterments that materially increase asset lives and charges ordinary repairs and maintenance to operations as incurred. When assets are sold or otherwise disposed of, the costs and related accumulated depreciation and amortization are removed from the accounts, and any resulting gain or loss is included in operations.

Impairment of Long-lived Assets

Impairment losses to be recorded on long-lived assets are recognized when indicators of impairment are present and the undiscounted cash flows estimated to be generated by those assets (excluding interest) are less than the carrying amount of the assets. In such cases, the carrying value of assets to be held and used are adjusted to their estimated fair value and assets held for sale are adjusted to their estimated fair value, less selling expenses. There were no impairment losses recognized during the year ended December 31, 2023.

Debt Issuance Costs

The Corporation recognized unamortized debt issuance costs as a reduction of the outstanding debt and the amortization of debt issuance costs as a component of interest expense. The Corporation determined that amortization of debt issuance costs using the straight-line method is not materially different from the effective yield method. Amortization expense for the year ended December 31, 2023 was $1,291 and is included in interest on the consolidated statement of functional expenses.

NOTE 2 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Contracts, Grants, and Contributions

Contributions, including unconditional promises to give, are recorded as made. Contributions are recorded as with donor restriction or without donor restrictions, depending on the existence and/or nature of any donor restrictions. As the restrictions are satisfied, donor restricted net assets are reclassified to without donor restrictions and reported in the consolidated statement of activities as net assets released from restrictions. Conditional promises to give, that is, those with a measurable performance or other barrier, and a right of return, are not recognized until the conditions on which they depend are substantially met. There were no significant contributions for which the conditions were not yet met as of December 31, 2023.

A significant portion of the Corporation's revenue is derived from grants and contracts, which are generally conditioned upon certain performance obligations and/or the incurrence of allowable qualifying expenses. Amounts received are recognized as revenue when the Corporation has incurred expenditures or met performance requirements, in compliance with the specific contract or grant provisions. Amounts received prior to incurring qualifying expenditures or meeting performance requirements are reported as deferred revenue in the consolidated statement of financial position.

Certain grants are considered contracts with customers, where revenue is recognized based on performance obligations to be met by the Corporation. Under these contracts, revenue is recognized with the continuous transfer of control to the customer based on contract terms and the services provided, which typically aligns with the timing of costs incurred. Changes to these contracts that result in additional income or profit are recognized in the period in which the revisions are determined and realization is probable.

Development Fee Revenue

Developer fees are recognized as earned in accordance with the terms of the related agreements.

Real Estate Income

Real estate income is recognized as rents become due. Rental payments received in advance are deferred until earned. All leases between the Corporation and the residents are operating leases and will be for terms of no longer than one year.

NOTE 2 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

In-kind Contributions

In-kind contributions are recorded at their estimated fair market value at the time services are pledged or rendered or goods are received. Contributed services are recognized by the Corporation if the services (a) create or enhance long-lived assets or (b) require specialized skills, are provided by the individuals possessing those skills, and would typically need to be purchased if not provided by donation. The Corporation received various donations in the form of goods, free rent, and volunteer services. In addition, many individuals volunteer their time and perform a variety of tasks that assist the Corporation's fundraising events.

Advertising Costs

Advertising costs are expensed as incurred.

Leases

The Corporation determines if an arrangement contains a lease at inception based on whether the Corporation has the right to control the asset during the contract period and other facts and circumstances. The Corporation elected the package of practical expedients permitted under the transition guidance within the new standard, which among other things, allowed it to carry forward the historical lease classification.

The Corporation’s policy for determining its lease discount rate used for measuring lease liabilities is to use the rate implicit in the lease whenever that rate is readily determinable. If the rate implicit in the lease is not readily determinable, then the Corporation has elected to use its incremental borrowing rate.

The Corporation has elected a policy to account for short-term leases, defined as any lease with a term less than 12 months, by recognizing all components of the lease payment in the consolidated statement of activities in the period in which the obligation for the payments is incurred.

Property Taxes

The Corporation is exempt from real estate property taxes.

NOTE 2 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Concentration of Credit Risk

Financial instruments which potentially subject the Corporation to concentration of credit risk consist principally of cash and cash equivalents, receivables, and notes receivable. The Corporation maintains cash balances at several financial institutions located in the LA area. At times, cash and cash equivalents at certain banks and financial institutions may exceed insurable amounts. The Corporation has not experienced any losses in such accounts and believes it is not exposed to significant credit risk on these accounts. Concentrations of credit risk for notes receivable are limited as the Corporation lends funds to projects in development, which are expected to be repaid with proceeds from construction financing. Estimates related to construction are reviewed and approved by third parties to ensure the viability of the project. The Corporation has not experienced any losses related to the receivables. The Corporation generally does not require collateral or other security to support the notes receivable.

Functional Allocation of Expenses

The costs of providing various programs and other activities have been summarized on a functional basis in the consolidated statement of functional expenses. Accordingly, certain costs have been allocated among the programs and supporting services benefited. Expenses are allocated on the basis of estimates of time and effort.

Accounting for Income Taxes

The Corporation is exempt from federal income tax under Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code and state income tax and has been classified as an other than private foundation. Accordingly, no provision for federal and state taxes on revenue and income has been recognized in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. Even though the Corporation is recognized as tax-exempt, it still may be liable for tax on its unrelated business income (UBI). The Corporation evaluates tax positions through its review of the sources of income to identify UBI and certain other matters, including those which may affect its tax exempt status. The effect would be recorded if the outcome was considered probable and reasonably estimable. As of December 31, 2023, the Corporation had no uncertain tax positions requiring accrual.

NOTE 2 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Accounting for Income Taxes (Continued)

The Corporation is subject to potential income tax audits on open tax years by any taxing jurisdiction in which it operates. The statues of limitations for federal and state purposes is generally there and four years, respectively.

Recently Adopted Accounting Pronouncement

Beginning January 1, 2023, the Corporation adopted Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2016-13, *Financial Instruments – Credit Losses (Topic 326): Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments*. Among other things, the amendments in this ASU require the measurement of all expected credit losses for financial assets held at the reporting date based on historical experience, current conditions, and reasonable and supportable forecasts. Organizations will now use forward-looking information to better inform their credit loss estimates. The Corporation has elected the use of the modified-retrospective transition method. The transition method selected resulted in no adjustment to the opening net assets and had no significant financial statement impact.

Subsequent Events

Management performed an evaluation of the Corporation's activity through September 30, 2024, the audit report date, and has concluded that there are no significant subsequent events requiring disclosure.

NOTE 3 – Lines of Credit

The Corporation has a line of credit with EPF Growth Fund, LLC, with a capacity of $2,300,000. The line of credit bears interest at 5.0% per annum and matures in May 2026. During 2023, the Corporation paid down its line of credit with Pacific Western Bank to $0.

NOTE 4 – Notes Payable

At December 31, 2023, notes payable consisted of the following:

Entity / Lender	Original Loan Amount	Maturity Date	Interest Rate	Principal Balance at December 31, 2023	Accrued Interest at December 31, 2023
Coalition for Responsible Community Development					
Local Initiatives Support Corporation	1,093,326	12/28/2027	6.25%	$ 819,500	$ -
Nonprofit Finance Fund	750,000	5/1/2024	5.50%	750,000	8,030
Amity Foundation	250,000	12/31/2023	5.63%	250,000	-
Small Business Administration	150,000	5/20/2050	2.75%	150,000	9,375
Century Housing Corp	3,500,000	7/27/2024	SOFR + 3.5%	836,312	-
California Community Foundation	2,000,000	5/1/2030	2.00%	2,000,000	-
Conrad N. Hilton Foundation	2,000,000	12/31/2029	3.00%	2,000,000	-
City National Bank - PPP	1,204,520	6/16/2027	4.00%	102,313	-
			Subtotal	6,908,125	17,405
CRCD Enterprises					
Pacific Coast Reg. Small Bus. Dev. Corp.	1,070,000	8/1/2024	8.00%	1,063,072	-
			Subtotal	1,063,072	-
CRCD Apartments, LLC					
CalHFA	1,040,000	8/1/2068	3.00%	1,040,000	303,043
City of Los Angeles	3,146,000	8/1/2068	5.00%	3,146,000	1,552,134
City of Los Angeles - HOME	434,000	8/1/2068	5.00%	434,000	233,086
			Subtotal	4,620,000	2,088,263
Historic Lincoln Theatre, L.P.					
Mission Evangelica Juda, Inc.	1,100,000	12/23/2023	5.00%	1,100,000	-
City of Los Angeles	11,100,000	12/23/2025	0.00%	11,100,000	-
			Subtotal	12,200,000	-
CRCD, LLC					
Genesis LA - Pilot Note	646,000	9/30/2025	2.60%	646,000	-
Genesis LA - Pilot Note	24,652	10/1/2025	2.60%	24,963	-
			Subtotal	670,963	-
			Total	25,462,160	2,105,668
			Less current portion	(4,211,663)	(17,405)
				21,250,497	2,088,263
			Less unamortized debt issuance costs	(79,027)	-
			Long-term portion	$ 21,171,470	$ 2,088,263

NOTE 4 – Notes Payable (Continued)

The Corporation's loans that help fund construction projects are generally renewed annually, until the project is complete.

During 2023, the Corporation paid down its note payable with REDF Impact Investing Fund, which bore interest at 6.5% per annum. The balance of the note payable at December 31, 2022 was $214,742.

The Corporation's note payable with Century Housing Corp funds a portion of construction expense related to the development of an affordable housing unit project. The loan released an amount upon execution of the agreement, with the remainder of the capacity available upon the approval of supplemental financing for the project by third parties. The loan is expected to renew annually, throughout the term of the project.

The notes payable to CalHFA and the City of Los Angeles are payable out of residual receipts, as defined in the loan agreements. The loan to CalHFA is secured by the underlying value of the property and equipment related to the loan, and the loan to the City of Los Angeles, and is secured by the real estate and an assignment of rents on the properties related to the loan.

Estimated principal payments for the next five years and thereafter are as follows:

Year Ending December 31,	
2024	$ 4,211,663
2025	11,957,527
2026	180,284
2027	179,636
2028	182,579
Thereafter	8,750,160
	25,461,849
Unamortized debt issuance costs	(79,027)
	$25,382,822

NOTE 4 – Notes Payable (Continued)

The loan agreements include financial and nonfinancial covenants. Management believes it is compliant with all covenants, except for certain nonfinancial covenants related to the timing of the issuance of the audited consolidated financial statements. Those notes payable where nonfinancial covenants were not met are presented as short-term in nature in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

NOTE 5 – Investments in Affiliates

The investments in affiliates at December 31, 2023 are as follows:

Name of Partnership	Ownership	Financial Interest
36th and Broadway Apartments, L.P.	50%	-
Epworth Apartments, L.P.	0.033%	-
PRW Residences, L.P.	0.005%	-
Dunbar Village, L.P.	0.005%	-
Vermont Park Plaza, L.P.	0.0051%	-
AMCAL Washington Fund, L.P.	2%	-
AMCAL La Prensa Libre 9%, L.P.	0.001%	-
Residences on Main, L.P.	50%	-
CRCD 5th Street, L.P.	0.0015%	-
CRCD Partners, LLC	20%	-
Marcella Gardens, L.P.	0.00003%	-
Ruth Teague Homes, L.P.	0.0031%	-
Ashley Willowbrook, L.P.	0.0045%	-
Casa del Mariachi, L.P.	50%	-
CRCD Richard Hogan Manor, L.P.	0.001%	-

Investments in affiliates at December 31, 2023 totaled $0.

NOTE 6 – In-kind Contributions

During the year ended December 31, 2023, in-kind contributions consisted of the following:

		Valuation Techniques and Inputs
Professional services	$ 143,627	Current rates and values for similar services
Contributed facilities	$ 114,667	Market price, based on publicly available listings

The Corporation leases space from a related party for its Youth Source program and a community center for which the rental payments stated in the agreement are less than the amount that would be charged for similar space that is rented under similar terms.

All in-kind contributions were utilized by the Corporation for program purposes. There were no donor-imposed restrictions associated with the in-kind contributions.

NOTE 7 – Related-Party Activity

Developer Fees

The Corporation entered into development agreements with non-controlled entities. The Corporation earns a developer fee for services relating to the acquisition and development of properties. During the year ended December 31, 2023, developer fees of $260,250 were earned, and at December 31, 2023, developer fees of $133,852 remained receivable, which is included in due from related parties on the consolidated statement of financial position.

Notes Receivable

The Corporation loans funds to related parties to finance development costs throughout their respective construction periods. The loan does not bear interest and does not have a stated maturity date.

Due From Related Parties

The Corporation loaned funds to various related parties to finance operating and development costs. The advances do not bear interest and have no stated maturity dates. The Corporation also leases certain property with related parties (Note 10).

NOTE 8 – Liquidity and Availability of Financial Assets

The Corporation manages its liquidity by completing annual operating budgets that provide sufficient funds for general expenditures in meeting liabilities and other obligations as they become due and maintains cash and cash equivalents that may be drawn upon as needed during the year to manage cash flow and make necessary expenditures. The Corporation's cash and cash equivalents are available within one year of the consolidated statement of financial position date to meet cash needs for general expenditures. There are funds established by the governing board that may be drawn upon in the event of financial distress or an immediate liquidity need resulting from events outside the typical life cycle of converting financial assets to cash or settling financial liabilities.

The following reflects the Corporation's financial assets as of December 31, 2023, reduced by amounts not available for general use within one year of December 31, 2023. Amounts not available include amounts set aside as by the various mortgage agreements, donor-imposed restrictions, or other liabilities. Some of these amounts could be drawn upon if needed with approval from the Board of Directors.

Total cash and cash equivalents	$ 7,617,904
Accounts receivable - residents, net	91,867
Accounts receivable - contracts, net	4,124,465
Accounts receivable - other	39,867
Grants and pledges receivable	8,061,911
Total financial assets	19,936,014
Less amounts not available for general expenditure due to:	
Donor-imposed restrictions for time or purpose	(3,991,874)
Restricted cash accounts	(1,604,787)
Financial assets available to meet cash needs for general expenditures within one year	$ 14,339,353

Restricted cash includes cash restricted as operating expense reserves and reserve for replacements. Pursuant to terms of the regulatory agreement with CalHFA, the Corporation is required to make deposits to operating expense reserve accounts, which are under the control of CalHFA. Disbursements from such funds may only be made for qualified operating expenses and after receiving written consent from CalHFA.

NOTE 8 – Liquidity and Availability of Financial Assets (Continued)

Additionally, the Corporation is required to make certain annual deposits to a reserve for replacements fund where the disbursements of such funds may only be made for the purpose of replacing major structural elements and equipment of the related property.

NOTE 9 – Net Assets With Donor Restrictions

Net assets with donor restrictions were for the following purposes at December 31, 2023:

Youth Workforce Development	$ 1,575,830
Adult Workforce Development	888,951
Real estate and supportive housing	1,180,616
Other	346,477
	$ 3,991,874

NOTE 10 – Leases

Commercial Lease Revenue

The Corporation entered into a lease agreement with the Coalition for Humane Immigrant Rights to lease half of the space the Corporation leases from the City of Los Angeles. The lease requires annual lease payments of $1, and the Corporation and the Coalition for Humane Immigrant Rights share all costs incurred 50/50. The lease expires on July 1, 2026.

The Corporation entered into a lease agreement with T-Mobile to lease portions of the roof of some of its properties. The lease has the option to renew through May 31, 2032. The lease requires monthly payments of $1,700 increasing by 3% each year.

Historic Lincoln Theatre entered into a month-to-month lease agreement with Mission Evangelical Juda, Inc. to lease space at the property. The lease requires monthly payments of $10,500.

NOTE 10 – Leases (Continued)

Lease Liabilities

The Corporation has entered into various financing contracts with Sunrise Ford Inc., Cerritos Ford Inc., and Galpin Motors Inc. to finance motor vehicles to be used in the daily operations of the Corporation. The contracts provide, among other things, for:

A. Original contract amounts totaling approximately $900,000;
B. Interest rates ranging from 0.00% to 9.99%;
C. Maturity dates through 2028; and
D. Required collateral description.

The Corporation entered into a commercial lease with CRCD Partners, Inc., a related party, to provide a space for the Housing and Support Services Department. The lease expires on October 30, 2026. Monthly rent payments are $20,150, increasing 2% per year.

The Corporation has several commercial leases with Peoples Union, LLC for administrative office space and to carry out program activities for the Corporation, primarily hands-on job training activities. The leases expire at various times through August 2026. Certain of the leases also include options for five-year term renewals, with monthly rent to increase as defined in the agreements. The exercise of these renewal options is at the sole discretion of the Corporation, and only lease options that the Corporation believes are reasonably certain to exercise are included in the measurement of the lease assets and liabilities.

The Corporation leases several other office spaces and equipment under operating leases that expire at various times through 2026.

The lease agreements do not include any material variable rent terms, residual value guarantees, or restrictive covenants.

NOTE 10 – Leases (Continued)

The following summarizes the line items in the consolidated statement of financial position that include amounts for operating and financing lease assets as of December 31, 2023:

Financing lease right-of-use assets	$ 433,964
Operating lease right-of-use assets	$ 2,299,371

The components of operating and financing lease expenses that are included in the consolidated statement of activities were as follows for the year ended December 31, 2023:

Finance lease costs:	
Amortization of right-of-use assets	$ 143,226
Operating lease costs	843,735
	$ 986,961

Interest on the financing lease liabilities was determined to be insignificant for the year ended December 31, 2023 and not separately recognized.

The following table summarizes the supplemental cash flow information for the year ended December 31, 2023:

Cash paid for amounts included in the measurement of lease liabilities:		
Operating cash flows from operating leases	$	848,270
Right-of-use assets obtained in exchange for lease liabilities:		
Operating leases	$	246,527
Financing leases	$	77,676

NOTE 10 – Leases (Continued)

The weighted-average remaining lease terms and discount rates as of December 31, 2023 were as follows:

Weighted-average remaining lease term - financing leases	3.3 years
Weighted-average remaining lease term - operating leases	2.5 years
Weighted-average discount rate – financing leases	4.3%
Weighted-average discount rate - operating leases	5.0%

The maturities of financing and operating lease liabilities as of December 31, 2023 are as follows:

Year Ending December 31,	Financing Leases	Operating Leases
2024	$ 147,715	$ 929,810
2025	136,838	899,853
2026	95,106	321,537
2027	28,312	58,472
2028	15,536	4,464
Total minimum lease payments	423,507	2,214,136
Less amount representing interest	(27,922)	(147,233)
Present value of minimum lease payments	395,585	2,066,903
Less current portion	(129,705)	(836,580)
	$ 265,880	$ 1,230,323

NOTE 11 – Commitments and Contingencies

Legal Matters

As of the date of this report, the Corporation is involved in several pending or threatened litigation cases and assessments in the normal course of business. Such claims involve employment and/or negligence matters. Management is in the process of vigorously defending the claims against CRCD and its affiliates and believes favorable outcomes are likely. In the event of unfavorable outcomes, insurance shall cover any potential loss. The consolidated financial statements have not been adjusted as a result of these claims.

NOTE 11 – Commitments and Contingencies (Continued)

Contracts

The Corporation's grants and contracts are subject to inspection and audit by the appropriate governmental funding agencies. The purpose is to determine whether program funds were used in accordance with their respective guidelines and regulations. The potential exists for disallowance of previously funded program costs. The ultimate liability, if any, which may result from these governmental audits cannot be reasonably estimated and, accordingly, the Corporation has no provision for the possible disallowance of program costs on its consolidated financial statements.

CRCD Apartments

In accordance with the RNLA loan agreement, all 43 units in the property owned by CRCD Apartments shall be occupied by very low-income tenants. Very low-income tenants are occupants whose adjusted gross income is 50% or less of the median gross income for the area. CRCD Apartments is required to comply with restrictions set forth in the agreement for a period of 55 years. In addition, in accordance with the CalHFA regulatory agreement, 10 units should be occupied by a person who is homeless or at risk of homelessness and who has a mental illness.

Allocation of Profits, Losses, and Distributions

CRCD Apartments has a required distribution of surplus cash, as defined by the CalHFA regulatory agreement, after the close of each fiscal year related to its CalHFA property as follows:

1. 50% of surplus cash to the Member as allowable distribution; and
2. Thereafter, remaining excess surplus cash distributed as follows: 22.51% to CalHFA, and the remainder to City of Los Angeles

No amounts were distributed for the year ended December 31, 2023.

NOTE 12 – Employee Benefits Plan

CRCD offers a deferred annuity pension plan under Internal Revenue Code section 401(k) to provide retirement benefits for all of its full-time salaried employees. For the year ended December 31, 2023, the Corporation made matching contributions to the plan of $47,444. In accordance with the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code, the amounts contributed to the 401(k) plan are considered the assets of the employees; therefore, these assets are not reflected in the Corporation's consolidated financial statements.

NOTE 13 – Employee Retention Credits

The Employee Retention Credit (ERC) program was enacted in March 2020 by the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act (CARES Act) and amended in December 2020, by the Taxpayer Certainty and Disaster Tax Relief Act (TCDTR Act). The ERC is a refundable tax credit against certain employment taxes equal to 50% of the qualified wages an eligible employer paid to employees in calendar year 2020 (between March 2020 and before January 2021) and 70% of the qualified wages in calendar year 2021.

During the year ended December 31, 2023, the Corporation received and recognized $2,522,620 of refundable ERC claimed under provisions of the CARES Act and TCDTR Act on the accompanying consolidated statements of financial position and activities.

NOTE 14 – Subsequent Events

Effective January 1, 2024, the Corporation acquired a controlling interest in CRCD Partners. In March 2024, the Corporation acquired a controlling interest in another limited partnership. The Corporation will consolidate these entities going forward, whereby the assets, liabilities, and activities of each entity will be included in the consolidated financial statements of CRCD, and all related party transactions and amounts will be eliminated upon consolidation.

In April 2024, the Corporation amended its loan with the Amity Foundation, reducing the interest rate to 0% and extending the maturity date to December 2024.

NOTE 14 – Subsequent Events (Continued)

In May 2024, the Corporation entered into an agreement with Nonprofit Finance Fund to increase its pre-development loan to $1,250,000, replacing the loan of $750,000. The agreement also includes a line of credit up to $3,000,000, based on the available borrowing base. The loan matures 24 months from closing and the line of credit matures 12 months from closing, with the option of two 12-month extensions available. The loan bears interest at 7.05% and the pre-development line bears interest at 6% per annum.

In July 2024, the maturity date of the loan with Century Housing Corporation was extended to September 27, 2024. Through the date of issuance of these consolidated financial statements, the Corporation is in the process of amending and extending the maturity date of its loan with Century Housing Corporation.

In August 2024, the line of credit with Pacific Coast Regional Small Business Development Corporation matured, and the Company entered into a new line of credit with City National Bank with a capacity of $2,500,000 that matures August 2025.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

COALITION FOR RESPONSIBLE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL POSITION
DECEMBER 31, 2023

ASSETS

	CRCD	CRCD Enterprises	CRCD Apartments	CRCD, LLC	Historic Lincoln Theatre	Elimination Adjustments	Consolidated
CURRENT ASSETS							
Cash and cash equivalents	$ 5,083,676	$ 869,020	$ 4,051	$ -	$ 6,586	$ -	$ 5,963,333
Security deposits	-	-	49,784	-	-	-	49,784
Reserve for replacements	-	-	51,370	-	-	-	51,370
Operating expense reserve	-	-	82,479	-	-	-	82,479
Operating expense subsidy reserve	-	-	1,470,938	-	-	-	1,470,938
Total cash and cash equivalents	5,083,676	869,020	1,658,622	-	6,586	-	7,617,904
Accounts receivable - residents, net	-	-	86,492	-	5,375	-	91,867
Accounts receivable - contracts, net	156,636	3,967,829	-	-	-	-	4,124,465
Accounts receivable - other	39,867	-	-	-	-	-	39,867
Grants receivable	6,711,451	-	-	-	-	-	6,711,451
Pledges receivable	1,350,460	-	-	-	-	-	1,350,460
Other current assets	151,016	202,040	4,368	-	-	-	357,424
Total current assets	13,493,106	5,038,889	1,749,482	-	11,961	-	20,293,438
PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT							
Land and land improvements	455,855	-	1,949,927	536,771.00	7,560,000	-	10,502,553
Buildings	1,420,336	-	1,767,098	134,192.00	3,540,000	-	6,861,626
Vehicles	-	1,505,295	-	-	-	-	1,505,295
Furniture and equipment	629,876	15,743	286,279	-	-	-	931,898
Leasehold improvements	58,332	-	-	-	-	-	58,332
Construction in progress	2,516,913	33,070	-	-	1,498,113	-	4,048,096
	5,081,312	1,554,108	4,003,304	670,963	12,598,113	-	23,907,800
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	(720,237)	(1,073,810)	(795,901)	-	(386,182)	-	(2,976,130)
Total property and equipment	4,361,075	480,298	3,207,403	670,963	12,211,931	-	20,931,670
OTHER ASSETS							
Due from related parties	6,860,463	-	42,000	-	1,169,317	(2,557,505)	5,514,275
Retention and long-term receivables	719,713	464,840	-	-	-	-	1,184,553
Notes receivable	603,498	-	-	-	-	-	603,498
Utility deposits	153,692	-	3,500	-	3,818	-	161,010
Operating lease right-of-use assets	1,908,706	390,665	-	-	-	(240,333)	2,059,038
Total other assets	10,246,072	855,505	45,500	-	1,173,135	(2,797,838)	9,522,374
TOTAL ASSETS	$ 28,100,253	$ 6,374,692	$ 5,002,385	$ 670,963	$ 13,397,027	$ (2,797,838)	$ 50,747,482

COALITION FOR RESPONSIBLE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL POSITION
DECEMBER 31, 2023
(Continued)

LIABILITIES AND NET ASSETS

	CRCD	CRCD Enterprises	CRCD Apartments	CRCD, LLC	Historic Lincoln Theatre	Elimination Adjustments	Consolidated
CURRENT LIABILITIES							
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	$ 813,719	$ 1,012,433	$ 20,439	$ -	$ 74,070	$ -	$ 1,920,661
Accrued liabilities	1,756,283	299,246	3,455	-	-	-	2,058,984
Accrued interest payable, current portion	43,820	-	-	-	-	-	43,820
Deferred revenue	1,148,891	-	10,087	-	-	-	1,158,978
Lines of credit, current portion	-	-	-	-	787,364	(787,364)	-
Notes payable, current portion	2,031,154	1,063,072	-	17,437	1,100,000	-	4,211,663
Financing lease liabilities, current portion	-	129,705	-	-	-	-	129,705
Operating lease liabilities, current portion	752,188	201,561	-	-	-	(117,169)	836,580
Total current liabilities	6,546,055	2,706,017	33,981	17,437	1,961,434	(904,533)	10,360,391
NONCURRENT LIABILITIES							
Accrued liabilities - long-term	-	307,704	41,246	-	-	(41,246)	307,704
Accrued interest payable, net of current portio	-	-	2,088,263	-	-	-	2,088,263
Lines of credit, net of current portion	1,986,122	-	-		-	-	1,986,122
Notes payable, net of current portion	4,855,491	-	4,562,453	653,526	11,100,000	-	21,171,470
Financing lease liabilities, net of current portion	-	265,880	-	-	-	-	265,880
Deposit liabilities	318,448	-	60,915	-	17,500	-	396,863
Operating lease liabilities, net of current portion	1,156,707	196,780	-	-	-	(123,164)	1,230,323
Due to related parties	-	1,533,076	127,721	-	68,098	(1,728,895)	-
Total noncurrent liabilities	8,316,768	2,303,440	6,880,598	653,526	11,185,598	(1,893,305)	27,446,625
TOTAL LIABILITIES	14,862,823	5,009,457	6,914,579	670,963	13,147,032	(2,797,838)	37,807,016
NET ASSETS							
Without donor restrictions	9,245,556	1,365,235	(1,912,194)	-	249,995	-	8,948,592
With donor restrictions	3,991,874	-	-	-	-	-	3,991,874
Total net assets	13,237,430	1,365,235	(1,912,194)	-	249,995	-	12,940,466
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND NET ASSETS	$ 28,100,253	$ 6,374,692	$ 5,002,385	$ 670,963	$ 13,397,027	$ (2,797,838)	$ 50,747,482

Employee Retention Credit income	2,522,620	-	-	-	-	-	2,522,620
Other income	14,080	-	3,682	-	-	-	17,762
Total Revenue and Support	37,198,993	15,023,857	446,146	-	182,375	(14,126,957)	38,724,414
EXPENSES							
Program services	29,829,028	15,108,408	801,049	-	193,641	(14,126,957)	31,805,169
Management and general	4,922,374	-	-	-	-	-	4,922,374
Fundraising	630,460	-	-	-	-	-	630,460
Total Expenses	35,381,862	15,108,408	801,049	-	193,641	(14,126,957)	37,358,003
CHANGES IN NET ASSETS (DEFICIT)	$ 1,817,131	$ (84,551)	$ (354,903)	$ -	$ (11,266)	$ -	$ 1,366,411

See Independent Auditors' Report

COALITION FOR RESPONSIBLE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF OPERATING EXPENSES
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2023

	CRCD	CRCD Enterprises	CRCD Apartments	CRCD, LLC	Historic Lincoln Theatre	Elimination Adjustments	Consolidated
PERSONNEL EXPENSES							
Salaries	$ 7,791,941	$ 6,357,236	$ 96,812	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 14,245,989
Payroll taxes	624,327	522,187	6,752	-	-	-	1,153,266
Temporary contract	220,105	-	-	-	-	-	220,105
Payroll and HR fees	-	72,365	-	-	-	-	72,365
Employee benefits	882,601	947,140	8,476	-	-	-	1,838,217
Total personal expenses	9,518,974	7,898,928	112,040	-	-	-	17,529,942
OPERATIONAL EXPENSES							
Program services	2,701,758	-	-	-	-	-	2,701,758
Supportive services	1,866,681	-	-	-	-	(674,157)	1,192,524
Subcontract expenses	16,169,756	-	-	-	-	(13,326,800)	2,842,956
Advertising	88,257	5,542	-	-	-	-	93,799
Bad debt (recovery)	85,179	43,672	124,029	-	-	-	252,880
Bank charges	15,799	-	-	-	-	-	15,799
Computer and web design	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dues and subscriptions	51,870	-	-	-	-	-	51,870
Office expense	239,172	90,550	7,035	-	-	-	336,757
Management fee	-	75,731	40,750	-	-	-	116,481
Other administrative expenses	157,261	113,167	5,472	-	-	-	275,900
Professional fees	1,898,553	249,043	13,995	-	5,341	-	2,166,932
Telephone and internet	114,920	23,641	-	-	-	-	138,561
Training and development	354,085	402,663	-	-	-	-	756,748
Utilities	40,878	28,457	65,527	-	24,151	-	159,013
Supplies	210,918	3,870,837	50,734	-	-	-	4,132,489
Contracted services	179,038	1,046,228	44,730	-	-	-	1,269,996
Other operating and maintenance	-	25,119	14,331	-	-	-	39,450
Insurance	342,701	332,593	24,541	-	729	-	700,564
Taxes	10,240	97,646	10,227	-	800	-	118,913
Financing expense	-	-	4,368	-	33,894	-	38,262
Interest expense	225,536	179,333	211,491	-	-	-	616,360
Depreciation	142,677	161,583	71,779	-	128,726	-	504,765
Development and asset management services	177,900	-	-	-	-	-	177,900
Leasing, parking, and other occupancy expenses	789,709	463,675	-	-	-	(126,000)	1,127,384
TOTAL EXPENSES	$ 35,381,862	$ 15,108,408	$ 801,049	$ -	$ 193,641	$ (14,126,957)	$ 37,358,003

See Independent Auditors' Report

Coalition for Responsible Community Development and Subsidiaries
(A Nonprofit Organization)

Internal Control and Compliance Reports Including the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

December 31, 2023

WINDES
AUDIT | TAX | ADVISORY

CONTENTS

Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* 1-2

Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance for Each Major Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance Required by the Uniform Guidance 3-5

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards 6-7

Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards 8

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs 9-13

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH *GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS*

To the Board of Directors
Coalition for Responsible Community Development and Subsidiaries

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the consolidated financial statements of Coalition for Responsible Community Development and Subsidiaries (collectively, the Corporation), which comprise the consolidated statement of financial position as of December 31, 2023, and the related consolidated statements of activities, functional expenses, and cash flows for the year then ended, and the related notes to the consolidated financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated September 30, 2024.

Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the consolidated financial statements, we considered the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the consolidated financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Corporation's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Corporation's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and, therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. However, as described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses and significant deficiencies.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's consolidated financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. We consider the deficiency described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as item 2023-02 to be a material weakness.

A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance. We consider the deficiency described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as item 2023-01 to be a significant deficiency.

Report on Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Corporation's consolidated financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the consolidated financial statements. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

The Corporation's Response to Findings

Government Auditing Standards requires the auditor to perform limited procedures on the Corporation's response to the findings identified in our audit and described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. The Corporation's response was not subjected to the other auditing procedures applied in the audit of the consolidated financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

Purpose of This Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the Corporation's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the Corporation's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Long Beach, California
September 30, 2024

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE

To the Board of Directors
Coalition for Responsible Community Development and Subsidiaries

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

We have audited Coalition for Responsible Community Development and Subsidiaries' (collectively, the Corporation) compliance with the types of compliance requirements identified as subject to audit in the OMB Compliance Supplement that could have a direct and material effect on each of the Corporation's major federal programs for the year ended December 31, 2023. The Corporation's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditors' results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

In our opinion, the Corporation complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended December 31, 2023.

Basis for Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Our responsibilities under those standards and the Uniform Guidance are further described in the Auditors' Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance section of our report.

We are required to be independent of the Corporation and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the Corporation's compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above.

Responsibilities of Management for Compliance

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements referred to above and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, statutes, regulations, rules, and provisions of contracts or grant agreements applicable to the Corporation's federal programs.

Auditors' Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether material noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above occurred, whether due to fraud or error, and express an opinion on the Corporation's compliance based on our audit. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance, but is not absolute assurance and therefore, is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, *Government Auditing Standards*, and the Uniform Guidance will always detect material noncompliance when it exists. The risk of not detecting material noncompliance resulting from fraud is higher than for that resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above is considered material if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, it would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user of the report on compliance about the Corporation's compliance with the requirements of each major federal program as a whole.

In performing an audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, *Government Auditing Standards*, and the Uniform Guidance, we:

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material noncompliance, whether due to fraud or error, and design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the Corporation's compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.
- Obtain an understanding of the Corporation's internal control over compliance relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Corporation's internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and any significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in internal control over compliance that we identified during the audit.

Report on Internal Control over Compliance

A *deficiency in internal control over compliance* exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the Auditors' Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance section above and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance. Given these limitations, during our audit, we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance may exist that were not identified.

Our audit was not designed for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance

We have audited the consolidated financial statements of the Corporation as of and for the year ended December 31, 2023, and have issued our report thereon dated DATE, which contained an unmodified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming an opinion on the consolidated financial statements as a whole. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the Uniform Guidance and is not a required part of the consolidated financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the consolidated financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the consolidated financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the consolidated financial statements or to the consolidated financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the consolidated financial statements as a whole.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Long Beach, California
September 30, 2024

COALITION FOR RESPONSIBLE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
AND SUBSIDIARIES
(A Nonprofit Organization)

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2023

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through/Program Title	Contract Number	Federal Assistance Listing	Pass-Through Entity Identifying Number	Project Start Date	Project End Date	Federal Expenditures	Passed Through to Subrecipients
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT							
Passed through Enterprise Community Partners							
Capacity Building for Community Developme	22SG2263	14.252		5/11/2022	9/30/2023	37,996	28,450
Capacity Building for Community Developme	23SG2514	14.252		9/1/2022	8/31/2023	35,000	-
Capacity Building for Community Developme	23SG2841	14.252		6/21/2023	12/31/2024	14,145	11,407
					Subtotal for No. 14.252	87,141	39,857
Passed through City of Los Angeles Economic And Workforce Development Department							
Los Angeles Business Source Center	C-140952	14.218		7/1/2022	6/30/2023	220,872	-
Community Development Block Grant / Entitleme	C-139774	14.218		11/1/2021	12/31/2023	14,812	-
					Subtotal for No. 14.218	235,684	-
					Total for Department of Housing and Urban Development	**322,825**	**39,857**
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR							
Passed through City of Los Angeles Economic And Workforce Development Department							
WIOA Adult Program	C-143677	17.258		7/1/2023	6/30/2024	327,391	9,847
WIOA Adult Program	C-140731	17.258		6/1/2022	6/30/2023	424,395	24,920
WIOA Dislocated Workers Formula Grants	C-141221	17.258		7/1/2022	6/30/2024	97,134	-
WIOA Dislocated Workers Formula Grants	C-140731	17.278		6/1/2022	7/31/2023	209,023	5,612
WIOA Dislocated Workers Formula Grants	C-143677	17.278		7/1/2023	6/30/2024	188,982	6,572
WIOA Youth Activities	C-141141	17.259		7/1/2022	6/30/2023	383,605	31,250
WIOA Youth Activities	C-143717	17.259		7/1/2023	6/30/2024	473,489	26,602
Passed through State of California Employment Development Department							
Employment Social Enterprise	AA311068	17.258		6/1/2023	3/31/2025	123,521	-
					Subtotal for No. 17.258, 17.259 and 17.278, WIOA Cluster	2,227,540	104,803
Workforce Pathways for Youth Grant Program Programs	MI-36500-21-60-A-51-CRCDT	17.261		7/1/2021	6/30/2024	298,567	-
Workforce Pathways for Youth Grant Program Programs	MI-36500-21-60-A-51-CRCD1	17.261		6/1/2022	5/31/2023	25,750	-
					Subtotal for No. 17.261	324,317	-
Youth Build	YB-38202-22-60-A-6	17.274		5/1/2022	9/1/2025	547,750	-
					Subtotal for No. 17.274	547,750	-
Passed through City of Los Angeles Economic And Workforce Development Department							
COVID-19 NDWG	C-136403	17.277		7/1/2020	3/31/2023	5,547	-
					Subtotal for No. 17.277	5,547	-
Reentry Employment Opportunities	YF-39537-23-60-A-4	17.270		2/1/2023	1/31/2025	36,295	-
					Subtotal for No. 17.270	36,295	-
Work for South LA - Transitional Employment for Formerly Incarcerated Ad & Youth	23A60CP000006	17.289		3/1/2023	2/25/2025	133,139	-
					Subtotal for No. 17.289	133,139	-
					Total for Department of Labor	**3,274,588**	**104,803**

COALITION FOR RESPONSIBLE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
AND SUBSIDIARIES
(A Nonprofit Organization)

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2023
(Continued)

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through/Program Title	Contract Number	Federal Assistance Listing	Pass-Through Entity Identifying Number	Project Start Date	Project End Date	Federal Expenditures	Passed Through to Subrecipients
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES							
Office of Community Services-CSBG	90EE1327	93.570		9/30/2023	9/29/2026	741,890	674,157
					Subtotal for No. 93.570	741,890	674,157
		Total for Department of Health and Human Services				**741,890**	**674,157**
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY							
Emergency Food and Shelter Program	38-069500-416	97.024		1/1/2022	4/30/2023	10,341	-
					Subtotal for No. 97.024	10,341	-
		Total for Department of Homeland Security				**10,341**	**-**
SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION							
Passed through Corporation for National and Community Service							
AmeriCorps	22NDFMA0090052	94.006		8/15/2022	8/14/2023	54,393	-
AmeriCorps	23NDFMA0090052	94.006		8/15/2023	8/14/2024	1,690	-
AmeriCorps	19NDHMA00XXXX	94.006		8/15/2021	8/14/2023	22,419	-
					Subtotal for No. 94.006	78,502	-
		Total for Social Security Administration				**78,502**	**-**
		TOTAL FEDERAL EXPENDITURES				**$ 4,428,146**	**$ 818,817**

COALITION FOR RESPONSIBLE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
AND SUBSIDIARIES
(A Nonprofit Organization)

NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2023

NOTE 1 – Basis of Presentation

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards (SEFA) includes the federal grant activity of Coalition for Responsible Community Development and Subsidiaries (collectively, the Corporation), and is presented on the accrual basis of accounting. The information in the SEFA is presented in accordance with the requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Therefore, some amounts presented in the SEFA may differ from amounts presented in, or used in the preparation of, the consolidated financial statements.

NOTE 2 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The accompanying SEFA is presented using the accrual basis of accounting, which is described in the notes to the consolidated financial statements of the Corporation as of and for the year ended December 31, 2023.

The Corporation has elected not to use the 10% de minimis indirect cost rate as allowed under the Uniform Guidance.

COALITION FOR RESPONSIBLE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
AND SUBSIDIARIES
(A Nonprofit Organization)

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2023

SECTION I – SUMMARY OF AUDITORS' RESULTS

Consolidated Financial Statements

The independent auditors' report expresses an unmodified opinion on whether the consolidated financial statements of Coalition for Responsible Community Development and Subsidiaries were prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

Internal control over financial reporting

1. Material weakness(es) identified? – Yes
2. Significant deficiencies identified? – Yes
3. Noncompliance material to financial statements noted? – No

Federal Awards

Internal control over major programs

1. Material weakness(es) identified? – No
2. Significant deficiencies identified? – None reported
3. Type of auditors' report issued on compliance for major programs – Unmodified
4. Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with 2 CFR Section 200.516(a)? – No
5. Identification of major programs:

   WIOA cluster: #17.258, 17.259, #17.278
6. Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs was $750,000.
7. Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee? – No

COALITION FOR RESPONSIBLE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
AND SUBSIDIARIES
(A Nonprofit Organization)

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2023
(Continued)

SECTION II – FINDINGS FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AUDIT

The following item is considered to be a significant deficiency:

2023-01 Recognition of Revenue

Condition: The majority of the Corporation's grants are considered conditional and reimbursement-based, where revenue is earned as expenses are incurred. However, the Corporation also receives conditional grants with measurable performance or other barriers, and a right of return, which should be recognized as revenue when the conditions are substantially met. As part of the audit, there were several grants noted where revenue appeared to be recognized before performance conditions were met and adjustments were made as part of the audit, or deemed immaterial by management.

Criteria: Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining an effective system of internal control over financial reporting, which includes controls over existence and accuracy of revenue.

Cause: The Corporation receives many contracts and grants, which have complex and varying terms, making recognizing revenue properly across all contracts difficult.

Effect: Revenue may not be recognized accurately or in the proper period.

Recommendation: We recommend management implement more robust procedures and policies around tracking of grants, grant requirements, and revenue recognition to ensure revenue is recognized in the proper period and based on applicable accounting principles, in line with each grant's revenue-earning criteria.

Management's Response: The Corporation faced turnover of key accounting personnel during the year and difficulty in recruiting experienced accounting staff due to shortages in the nonprofit labor market, which led to a delay in implementing improvements in its internal control processes and some controls not operating as effectively as designed.

COALITION FOR RESPONSIBLE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
AND SUBSIDIARIES
(A Nonprofit Organization)

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2023
(Continued)

SECTION II – FINDINGS FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AUDIT (CONTINUED)

2023-01 Recognition of Revenue (Continued)

Management's Response (Continued): Beginning in 2024, the Corporation hired a professional accounting firm to assist with closing and reporting of CRCD Enterprises, which helps remedy the scarcity in the labor market. Additionally, the Corporation hired a construction accountant to assist with closing and reconciliation of real estate projects and hired a director of finance to further enhance its oversight over financial reporting. The Corporation will continue to review its processes in place to ensure revenue is recognized appropriately.

The following item is considered to be a material weakness:

2023-02 Year-End Close Process

Condition: There were several adjustments identified as a result of audit procedures, which may indicate certain month-end close processes are not working as designed. These adjustments related to a) consolidation of certain activity from one of the wholly-owned entities; b) intercompany balances and transactions and related eliminating entries; c) accounts receivable and accrued receivables; d) capitalization of property and equipment in the correct period; and e) additional expenditures to the schedule of expenditures of federal awards.

Criteria: Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining an effective system of internal control over financial reporting.

Cause: The accounting for the Corporation's multiple subsidiaries and related parties is generally not segregated to separate accounting teams, which makes independent preparation and review difficult.

Effect: Financial reporting may not be accurate.

SECTION II – FINDINGS FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AUDIT (CONTINUED)

2023-02 Year-End Close Process (Continued)

Recommendation: We recommend the Corporation implement additional closing procedures to review final financial reports for each entity on a stand-alone basis, to ensure all transactions are included and complete, along with a thorough review of the consolidating entities for completeness and accuracy of inter-entity transactions, eliminations, and activity. We also recommend that the Corporation review all grants to ensure federally-sourced funds are properly included in the schedule of expenditures of federal awards.

Management's Response: The Corporation faced turnover of key accounting personnel during the year and difficulty in recruiting experienced accounting staff due to shortages in the nonprofit labor market, which led a to delay in implementing improvements in its internal control processes and some controls not operating as effectively as designed. Beginning in 2024, the Corporation hired a professional accounting firm to assist with closing and reporting of CRCD Enterprises, which helps remedy the scarcity in the labor market. Additionally, the Corporation hired a construction accountant to assist with closing and reconciliation of real estate projects and hired a director of finance to further enhance its oversight over financial reporting.

COALITION FOR RESPONSIBLE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
AND SUBSIDIARIES
(A Nonprofit Organization)

SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR YEAR AUDIT FINDINGS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2023

FINDINGS FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AUDIT

2022-01 Recognition of Revenue

Condition: The majority of the Corporation's grants are considered conditional and reimbursement-based, where revenue is earned as expenses are incurred. However, the Corporation also receives conditional grants with measurable performance or other barriers, and a right of return, which should be recognized as revenue when the conditions are substantially met. As part of the audit, there were several grants noted where revenue appeared to be recognized before performance conditions were met. Management determined that the significance of the cumulative adjustment was not material to the revenue in total for the year ended December 31, 2022.

Criteria: Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining an effective system of internal control over financial reporting, which includes controls over existence and accuracy of revenue.

Status: Improvements to revenue recognition processes were noted in the 2023 audit and there were fewer adjustments of a lesser value. This is still considered a repeat finding for 2023 as a significant deficiency.

2022-02 Year-End Close Process

Condition: There were several adjustments identified as a result of audit procedures, which may indicate certain month-end close processes are not working as designed. These adjustments related to a) capitalization of property and equipment in the correct period; b) intercompany balances and transactions and related eliminating entries; c) accounts receivable and accrued receivables. Additionally, it was noted that certain general ledger accounts and bank accounts include activity for related, but not consolidated, entities.

Criteria: Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining an effective system of internal control over financial reporting.

Status: This was a repeat finding in 2023.

Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs - None

EXHIBIT W

CRCD 2023 Form 990

Source file: Coalition For Responsible Community Development - Full Filing - Nonprofit Explorer - ProPublica.PDF
Pages: 36

Relevance: Shows nonprofit governance, revenue, assets, program service descriptions, and organizational context for public-funds/records-custody issues.

The full source document follows this cover page.

efile Public Visual Render | Objectid: 202413199349316581 - Submission: 2024-11-14 | TIN: 20-2445113

Form **990**

Return of Organization Exempt From Income Tax

Under section 501(c), 527, or 4947(a)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code (except private foundations)

Do not enter social security numbers on this form as it may be made public.

Go to www.irs.gov/Form990 for instructions and the latest information.

OMB No. 1545-0047

2023

Open to Public Inspection

Department of the Treasury
Internal Revenue Service

A For the 2023 calendar year, or tax year beginning 01-01-2023 , and ending 12-31-2023

B Check if applicable: Address change; Name change; Initial return; Final return/terminated; Amended return; Application pending

C Name of organization: COALITION FOR RESPONSIBLE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

Doing business as:

Number and street (or P.O. box if mail is not delivered to street address): 3101 SOUTH GRAND AVENUE

Room/suite:

City or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code: LOS ANGELES, CA 90007

D Employer identification number: 20-2445113

E Telephone number: (213) 743-6193

G Gross receipts $ 37,286,045

F Name and address of principal officer: MARK WILSON, 3101 SOUTH GRAND AVENUE, LOS ANGELES, CA 90007

H(a) Is this a group return for subordinates? Yes ☑ No

H(b) Are all subordinates included? Yes ☐ No

If "No," attach a list. See instructions.

H(c) Group exemption number

I Tax-exempt status: ☑ 501(c)(3) ☐ 501(c) ( ) (insert no.) ☐ 4947(a)(1) or ☐ 527

J Website: WWW.COALITIONRCD.ORG

K Form of organization: ☑ Corporation ☐ Trust ☐ Association ☐ Other

L Year of formation: 2006

M State of legal domicile: CA

Part I Summary

1 Briefly describe the organization's mission or most significant activities:
TO BETTER SUSTAIN, COORDINATE, AND IMPROVE LOCAL PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT, AND COMMUNITY SERVICES THAT ADDRESS THE NEEDS OF LOW-INCOME RESIDENTS AND SMALL BUSINESS IN SOUTH LOS ANGELES.

2 Check this box. ☐

3	Number of voting members of the governing body (**Part VI**, line 1a)	8
4	Number of independent voting members of the governing body (**Part VI**, line 1b)	7
5	Total number of individuals employed in calendar year 2023 (**Part V**, line 2a)	752
6	Total number of volunteers (estimate if necessary)	7
7a	Total unrelated business revenue from **Part VIII**, column (C), line 12	14,844
b	Net unrelated business taxable income from Form 990-T, Part I, line 11	0

		Prior Year	Current Year
8	Contributions and grants (**Part VIII**, line 1h)	29,033,077	36,197,608
9	Program service revenue (**Part VIII**, line 2g)	2,136,208	1,086,449
10	Investment income (**Part VIII**, column (A), lines 3, 4, and 7d)	19,549	85,026
11	Other revenue (**Part VIII**, column (A), lines 5, 6d, 8c, 9c, 10c, and 11e)	56,745	17,762
12	Total revenue—add lines 8 through 11 (must equal **Part VIII**, column (A), line 12)	31,295,579	37,386,845
13	Grants and similar amounts paid (**Part IX**, column (A), lines 1–3)	0	0
14	Benefits paid to or for members (**Part IX**, column (A), line 4)	0	0
15	Salaries, other compensation, employee benefits (**Part IX**, column (A), lines 5–10)	12,621,726	9,631,014
16a	Professional fundraising fees (**Part IX**, column (A), line 11e)	0	0
b	Total fundraising expenses (**Part IX**, column (D), line 25) 458,460		
17	Other expenses (**Part IX**, column (A), lines 11a–11d, 11f–24e)	14,176,730	26,293,603
18	Total expenses. Add lines 13–17 (must equal **Part IX**, column (A), line 25)	26,798,456	35,924,617
19	Revenue less expenses. Subtract line 18 from line 12	4,507,123	1,462,228

		Beginning of Current Year	End of Year
20	Total assets (**Part X**, line 16)	29,474,509	33,487,465
21	Total liabilities (**Part X**, line 26)	18,161,715	22,162,229
22	Net assets or fund balances. Subtract line 21 from line 20	11,312,794	11,325,236

Part II Signature Block

Under penalties of perjury, I declare that I have examined this return, including accompanying schedules and statements, and to the best of my knowledge and belief, it is true, correct, and complete. Declaration of preparer (other than officer) is based on all information of which preparer has any knowledge.

Sign Here

Signature of officer: MARK WILSON PRESIDENT & CEO — Date: 2024-11-14

Type or print name and title

Paid Preparer Use Only

Print/Type preparer's name	Preparer's signature	Date	Check ☐ if self-employed	PTIN
		2024-11-14		P00229461

Firm's name: WINDES INC — Firm's EIN: 95-3001179

Firm's address: 2050 MAIN ST STE 1300, IRVINE, CA 92614 — Phone no. (949) 852-0433

May the IRS discuss this return with the preparer shown above? See instructions. ☑ Yes ☐ No

For Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the separate instructions. Cat. No. 11282Y Form **990** (2023)

Part III Statement of Program Service Accomplishments

Check if Schedule O contains a response or note to any line in this **Part III** ☑

1 Briefly describe the organization's mission:

COALITION FOR RESPONSIBLE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT, ("CRCD"), AND SUBSIDIARIES ("THE ORGANIZATION") IS A CALIFORNIA NONPROFIT CORPORATION WHOSE MISSION IS TO BETTER SUSTAIN, COORDINATE, AND IMPROVE LOCAL PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT, AND COMMUNITY SERVICES THAT ADDRESS THE NEEDS OF LOW-INCOME RESIDENTS AND SMALL BUSINESSES IN SOUTH LOS ANGELES. THE ORGANIZATION PROVIDES A VARIETY OF PROGRAMS FOCUSED ON HELPING TO IMPROVE THE QUALITY OF LIFE FOR LOW AND MODERATE-INCOME RESIDENTS LIVING AND WORKING IN DETERIORATING NEIGHBORHOODS THROUGHOUT SOUTH LOS ANGELES BY ENGAGING IN COMMUNITY REVITALIZATION AND LEADERSHIP ACTIVITIES THAT CREATE ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITIES, PROMOTING SAFETY AND SECURITY IN THE NEIGHBORHOODS, LIVING WAGE JOBS, AFFORDABLE HOUSING, AND ALL THE OTHER IMPORTANT SUPPORTIVE SERVICES A COMMUNITY NEEDS TO THRIVE.

2 Did the organization undertake any significant program services during the year which were not listed on the prior Form 990 or 990-EZ? Yes ☑ No

If "Yes," describe these new services on Schedule O.

3 Did the organization cease conducting, or make significant changes in how it conducts, any program services? Yes ☑ No

If "Yes," describe these changes on Schedule O.

4 Describe the organization's program service accomplishments for each of its three largest program services, as measured by expenses. Section 501(c)(3) and 501(c)(4) organizations are required to report the amount of grants and allocations to others, the total expenses, and revenue, if any, for each program service reported.

4a (Code) (Expenses $ 30,371,783 including grants of $) (Revenue $ 1,086,449)

SEE SCHEDULE O COMMUNITY BEAUTIFICATION: THROUGH ITS WHOLLY OWNED SUBSIDIARY, CRCD CLEAN STREETS TEAM REMOVED 6.4 MILLION SQUARE FEET OF GRAFFITI, REDUCING TENSION AND VIOLENCE IN THE COMMUNITY; COLLECTED 139,046 BAGS OF TRASH AND BULKY ITEMS. THE SOCIAL ENTERPRISE EMPLOYS INDIVIDUALS THROUGH THE LA RIDE PROGRAM WITH THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES, WHICH IS DESIGNED TO HELP FARE AND WOMEN WITH HIGH BARRIERS TO EMPLOYMENT GET JOBS AND STAY EMPLOYED. THE PROGRAM OFFERS SERVICES, SUCH AS CASE MANAGEMENT, TRAINING, AND JOB PLACEMENT ASSISTANCE. IN 2023, THE PROGRAM SERVED 1,000 INDIVIDUALS. STAFFING ALLOCATES FOOD STAMP BENEFITS, CASE MANAGEMENT, SELF-SUFFICIENCY AND OVERALL PROMOTE HEALTH AND PERSONAL WELL-BEING. IN 2023, THE PROGRAM SERVED 951 PEOPLE, OF WHICH 357 WERE HOUSED. THE PROGRAM ALSO OPERATES A DROP-IN CENTER FOR HOMELESS YOUTH WHERE 184 MEALS WERE PROVIDED. CRCD SERVED AS THE REGIONAL COORDINATOR FOR THE SOUTH LOS ANGELES YOUTH COORDINATED ENTRY SYSTEM AND PROVIDED HOUSING NAVIGATION SERVICES TO TRANSITION AGE YOUTH. WORKSOURCE CENTER: THE WORKSOURCE CENTER IS THE ONE-STOP CAREER CENTER FOR SOUTH LOS ANGELES, OFFERING A WIDE RANGE OF SERVICES TO JOB SEEKERS AND WORKERS. WORKSOURCE CENTRAL CAPITAL HOUSE SOLUTIONS OF TARGETED TO CONNECT LA RESIDENTS, VETERANS, AND EIGHTH POPULATION TO FREE MANUFACTURING JOB PLACEMENT TRAINING, FINANCIAL COACHING SERVICES, AND SUPPORT SERVICES TO REMOVE BARRIERS TO EMPLOYMENT. WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT ADULT: IN 2023, THE PROGRAM SERVED 1,104 INDIVIDUALS, 409 RE-ENTERED FROM INCARCERATION, 414 SKILLS TRAINING COURSES WERE ALSO CONDUCTED. 137 EMPLOYERS OUTREACHED, 73 ENGAGED.REAL ESTATE: THE CORPORATION CURRENTLY OWNS AND OPERATES 10 DEVELOPMENTS WITH 846 UNITS OF AFFORDABLE AND PERMANENT SUPPORTIVE HOUSING WITH MORE THAN 1,000 IN THE PIPELINE. THE DEVELOPMENTS ARE LOCATED IN SOUTH LOS ANGELES AND THE GREATER LOS ANGELES AREA. THE CORPORATION HAS ALSO COMPLETED PREDEVELOPMENT. THE DEVELOPMENT PIPELINE WILL SERVE TAY, VETERANS, HOMELESS ADULTS, FAMILIES AND SENIORS IN SOUTH LOS ANGELES AND THE GREATER LOS ANGELES AREA. YOUTH DEVELOPMENT: THIS PROGRAM PROVIDES ACCESS TO HIGH SCHOOL EDUCATION AT CRCD-ACADEMY WITH CO-ENROLLMENT AND CAREER-PATH TRAINING WITH OUR PANTHER LA TRADE-TECHNICAL COLLEGE. TO HELP YOUNG PEOPLE AGES 16-24 REDUCE BARRIERS TO EMPLOYMENT AND BUILD CAREERS. THE CRCD YOUTH DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT OFFERS AN ARRAY OF PROGRAMS THAT ENSURE YOUTH AND YOUNG ADULTS ARE PREPARED TO ENTER THE COMMUNITY AS EDUCATED, SKILLED, AND READY TO WORK CITIZENS. THE PROGRAMS ARE DESIGNED TO ENGAGE YOUTH AND YOUNG ADULTS IN THEIR EDUCATION AND CAREER PATHWAYS. CRCD-ACADEMY: CRCD-ACADEMY OFFERS EARNED EDUCATION. THE HIGH SCHOOL PROGRAM ENROLLS NEW STUDENTS AGED 16-24 EVERY 3-4 WEEKS. THE HIGH SCHOOL IS LOCATED ON THE CAMPUS OF LOS ANGELES TRADE-TECHNICAL COLLEGE. ALL INCOME STUDENTS CO-ENROLL AT THE COLLEGE. SO STUDENTS HAVE ACCESS TO COLLEGE COURSES PLUS THE COLLEGE LIBRARY, COMPUTER LAB, AND MANY OTHER RESOURCES. CRCD ACADEMY FOCUSES ON PROJECT BASED LEARNING AND OFFERS AN EXPEDITED PATH TO EARN AN ACCREDITED HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMA WITH THE SOUTHBUILD CHARTER SCHOOL OF CA. STUDENTS GET INVOLVED IN COMMUNITY SERVICE, LEADERSHIP, AND CAREER PREPARATION.

4b (Code) (Expenses $ including grants of $) (Revenue $)

4c (Code) (Expenses $ including grants of $) (Revenue $)

4d Other program services (Describe in Schedule O.)
(Expenses $ including grants of $) (Revenue $)

4e **Total program service expenses** 30,371,783

Form **990** (2023)

Part IV Checklist of Required Schedules

			Yes	No
1	Is the organization described in section 501(c)(3) or 4947(a)(1) (other than a private foundation)? If "Yes," complete Schedule A	1	Yes	
2	Is the organization required to complete Schedule B, Schedule of Contributors? See instructions.	2	Yes	
3	Did the organization engage in direct or indirect political campaign activities on behalf of or in opposition to candidates for public office? If "Yes," complete Schedule C, Part I	3		No
4	**Section 501(c)(3) organizations.** Did the organization engage in lobbying activities, or have a section 501(h) election in effect during the tax year? If "Yes," complete Schedule C, Part II	4		No
5	Is the organization a section 501(c)(4), 501(c)(5), or 501(c)(6) organization that receives membership dues, assessments, or similar amounts as defined in Rev. Proc. 98-19? If "Yes," complete Schedule C, Part III	5		No
6	Did the organization maintain any donor advised funds or any similar funds or accounts for which donors have the right to provide advice on the distribution or investment of amounts in such funds or accounts? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part I	6		No
7	Did the organization receive or hold a conservation easement, including easements to preserve open space, the environment, historic land areas, or historic structures? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part II	7		No
8	Did the organization maintain collections of works of art, historical treasures, or other similar assets? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part III	8		No
9	Did the organization report an amount in **Part X**, line 21 for escrow or custodial account liability; serve as a custodian for amounts not listed in **Part X**; or provide credit counseling, debt management, credit repair, or debt negotiation services? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part IV	9	Yes	
10	Did the organization, directly or through a related organization, hold assets in temporarily restricted endowments, permanent endowments, or quasi-endowments? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part V	10		No
11	If the organization's answer to any of the following questions is "Yes," then complete Schedule D, Parts VI, VII, VIII, IX, or X, as applicable.			
a	Did the organization report an amount for land, buildings, and equipment in **Part X**, line 10? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part VI	11a	Yes	
b	Did the organization report an amount for investments—other securities in **Part X**, line 12 that is 5% or more of its total assets reported in **Part X**, line 16? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part VII	11b		No
c	Did the organization report an amount for investments—program related in **Part X**, line 13 that is 5% or more of its total assets reported in **Part X**, line 16? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part VIII	11c		No
d	Did the organization report an amount for other assets in **Part X**, line 15 that is 5% or more of its total assets reported in **Part X**, line 16? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part IX	11d	Yes	
e	Did the organization report an amount for other liabilities in **Part X**, line 25? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part X	11e	Yes	
f	Did the organization's separate or consolidated financial statements for the tax year include a footnote that addresses the organization's liability for uncertain tax positions under FIN 48 (ASC 740)? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part X	11f	Yes	
12a	Did the organization obtain separate, independent audited financial statements for the tax year? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Parts XI and XII	12a		No
b	Was the organization included in consolidated, independent audited financial statements for the tax year? If "Yes," and if the organization answered "No" to line 12a, then completing Schedule D, Parts XI and XII is optional	12b	Yes	
13	Is the organization a school described in section 170(b)(1)(A)(ii)? If "Yes," complete Schedule E	13		No
14a	Did the organization maintain an office, employees, or agents outside of the United States?	14a		No
b	Did the organization have aggregate revenues or expenses of more than $10,000 from grantmaking, fundraising, business, investment, and program service activities outside the United States, or aggregate foreign investments valued at $100,000 or more? If "Yes," complete Schedule F, Parts I and IV	14b		No
15	Did the organization report on **Part IX**, column (A), line 3, more than $5,000 of grants or other assistance to or for any foreign organization? If "Yes," complete Schedule F, Parts II and IV	15		No
16	Did the organization report on **Part IX**, column (A), line 3, more than $5,000 of aggregate grants or other assistance to or for foreign individuals? If "Yes," complete Schedule F, Parts III and IV	16		No
17	Did the organization report a total of more than $15,000 of expenses for professional fundraising services on **Part IX**, column (A), lines 6 and 11e? If "Yes," complete Schedule G, Part I. See instructions.	17		No
18	Did the organization report more than $15,000 total of fundraising event gross income and contributions on **Part VIII**, lines 1c and 8a? If "Yes," complete Schedule G, Part II	18		No
19	Did the organization report more than $15,000 of gross income from gaming activities on **Part VIII**, line 9a? If "Yes," complete Schedule G, Part III	19		No
20a	Did the organization operate one or more hospital facilities? If "Yes," complete Schedule H	20a		No
b	If "Yes" to line 20a, did the organization attach a copy of its audited financial statements to this return?	20b		
21	Did the organization report more than $5,000 of grants or other assistance to any domestic organization or domestic government on **Part IX**, column (A), line 1? If "Yes," complete Schedule I, Parts I and II	21		No

Form **990** (2023)

Part IV Checklist of Required Schedules (continued)

			Yes	No
22	Did the organization report more than $5,000 of grants or other assistance to or for domestic individuals on **Part IX**, column (A), line 2? If	22		No

	If "Yes," complete Schedule C, Part III			
23	Did the organization answer "Yes" to **Part VII,** Section A, line 3, 4, or 5, about compensation of the organization's current and former officers, directors, trustees, key employees, and highest compensated employees? If "Yes," complete *Schedule J*	23	Yes	
24a	Did the organization have a tax-exempt bond issue with an outstanding principal amount of more than $100,000 as of the last day of the year, that was issued after December 31, 2002? If "Yes," answer lines 24b through 24d and complete Schedule K. If "No," go to line 25a	24a		No
b	Did the organization invest any proceeds of tax-exempt bonds beyond a temporary period exception?	24b		
c	Did the organization maintain an escrow account other than a refunding escrow at any time during the year to defease any tax-exempt bonds?	24c		
d	Did the organization act as an "on behalf of" issuer for bonds outstanding at any time during the year?	24d		
25a	**Section 501(c)(3), 501(c)(4), and 501(c)(29) organizations.** Did the organization engage in an excess benefit transaction with a disqualified person during the year? If "Yes," complete Schedule L, *Part I*	25a		No
b	Is the organization aware that it engaged in an excess benefit transaction with a disqualified person in a prior year, and that the transaction has not been reported on any of the organization's prior Forms 990 or 990-EZ? If "Yes," complete Schedule L, **Part I**	25b		No
26	Did the organization report any amount on Part X, line 5 or 22 for receivables from or payables to any current or former officer, director, trustee, key employee, creator or founder, substantial contributor, or 35% controlled entity or family member of any of these persons? If "Yes," complete *Schedule L, Part II*	26	Yes	
27	Did the organization provide a grant or other assistance to any current or former officer, director, trustee, key employee, creator or founder, substantial contributor, or employee thereof, a grant selection committee member, or to a 35% controlled entity (including an employee thereof) or family member of any of these persons? If "Yes," complete *Schedule L*, Part III	27		No
28	Was the organization a party to a business transaction with one of the following parties (see the Schedule L, **Part IV** instructions for applicable filing thresholds, conditions, and exceptions):			
a	A current or former officer, director, trustee, key employee, creator or founder, or substantial contributor? If "Yes," complete *Schedule L, Part IV*	28a		No
b	A family member of any individual described in line 28a? If "Yes," complete *Schedule L, Part IV*	28b		No
c	A 35% controlled entity of one or more individuals and/or organizations described in line 28a or 28b? If "Yes," complete Schedule L, *Part IV*	28c	Yes	
29	Did the organization receive more than $25,000 in non-cash contributions? If "Yes," complete *Schedule M*	29		No
30	Did the organization receive contributions of art, historical treasures, or other similar assets, or qualified conservation contributions? If "Yes," complete *Schedule M*	30		No
31	Did the organization liquidate, terminate, or dissolve and cease operations? If "Yes," complete Schedule N, *Part I*	31		No
32	Did the organization sell, exchange, dispose of, or transfer more than 25% of its net assets? If "Yes," complete *Schedule N, Part II*	32		No
33	Did the organization own 100% of an entity disregarded as separate from the organization under Regulations sections 301.7701-2 and 301.7701-3? If "Yes," complete *Schedule R, Part I*	33	Yes	
34	Was the organization related to any tax-exempt or taxable entity? If "Yes," complete Schedule R, *Part II, III, or IV,* and *Part V, line 1*	34	Yes	
35a	Did the organization have a controlled entity within the meaning of section 512(b)(13)?	35a		No
b	If "Yes" to line 35a, did the organization receive any payment from or engage in any transaction with a controlled entity within the meaning of section 512(b)(13)? If "Yes," complete *Schedule R, Part V,* line 2	35b		
36	**Section 501(c)(3) organizations.** Did the organization make any transfers to an exempt non-charitable related organization? If "Yes," complete *Schedule R, Part V,* line 2	36		No
37	Did the organization conduct more than 5% of its activities through an entity that is not a related organization and that is treated as a partnership for federal income tax purposes? If "Yes," complete Schedule R, *Part VI*	37		No
38	Did the organization complete Schedule O and provide explanations on Schedule O for **Part VI,** lines 11b and 19? **Note.** All Form 990 filers are required to complete Schedule O.	38	Yes	

Part V Statements Regarding Other IRS Filings and Tax Compliance

Check if Schedule O contains a response or note to any line in this **Part V**

				Yes	No
1a	Enter the number reported in box 3 of Form 1096. Enter -0- if not applicable	1a	469		
b	Enter the number of Forms W-2G included on line 1a. Enter -0- if not applicable	1b	0		
c	Did the organization comply with backup withholding rules for reportable payments to vendors and reportable gaming (gambling) winnings to prize winners?	1c		Yes	

Part V Statements Regarding Other IRS Filings and Tax Compliance (continued)

2a	Enter the number of employees reported on Form W-3, Transmittal of Wage and Tax Statements, filed for the calendar year ending with or within the year covered by this return	2a	782		
b	If at least one is reported on line 2a, did the organization file all required federal employment tax returns?	2b		Yes	
3a	Did the organization have unrelated business gross income of $1,000 or more during the year?	3a		Yes	
b	If "Yes," has it filed a Form 990-T for this year? If "No" to line 3b, provide an explanation in Schedule O	3b		Yes	
4a	At any time during the calendar year, did the organization have an interest in, or a signature or other authority over, a financial account in a foreign country (such as a bank account, securities account, or other financial account)?	4a			No
b	If "Yes," enter the name of the foreign country: See instructions for filing requirements for FinCEN Form 114, Report of Foreign Bank and Financial Accounts (FBAR).				
5a	Was the organization a party to a prohibited tax shelter transaction at any time during the tax year?	5a			No
b	Did any taxable party notify the organization that it was or is a party to a prohibited tax shelter transaction?	5b			No
c	If "Yes," to line 5a or 5b, did the organization file Form 8886-T?	5c			
6a	Does the organization have annual gross receipts that are normally greater than $100,000, and did the organization solicit any contributions that were not tax deductible as charitable contributions?	6a			No
b	If "Yes," did the organization include with every solicitation an express statement that such contributions or gifts were not tax deductible?	6b			
7	**Organizations that may receive deductible contributions under section 170(c).**				
a	Did the organization receive a payment in excess of $75 made partly as a contribution and partly for goods and services provided to the payor?	7a		Yes	
b	If "Yes," did the organization notify the donor of the value of the goods or services provided?	7b		Yes	
c	Did the organization sell, exchange, or otherwise dispose of tangible personal property for which it was required to file Form 8282?	7c			No
d	If "Yes," indicate the number of Forms 8282 filed during the year	7d			
e	Did the organization receive any funds, directly or indirectly, to pay premiums on a personal benefit contract?	7e			No
f	Did the organization, during the year, pay premiums, directly or indirectly, on a personal benefit contract?	7f			No
g	If the organization received a contribution of qualified intellectual property, did the organization file Form 8899 as required?	7g			
h	If the organization received a contribution of cars, boats, airplanes, or other vehicles, did the organization file a Form 1098-C?	7h			
8	**Sponsoring organizations maintaining donor advised funds.** Did a donor advised fund maintained by the sponsoring organization have excess business holdings at any time during the year?	8			
9	**Sponsoring organizations maintaining donor advised funds.**				
a	Did the sponsoring organization make any taxable distributions under section 4966?	9a			
b	Did the sponsoring organization make a distribution to a donor, donor advisor, or related person?	9b			
10	**Section 501(c)(7) organizations.** Enter:				
a	Initiation fees and capital contributions included on **Part VIII,** line 12	10a			
b	Gross receipts, included on Form 990, **Part VIII,** line 12, for public use of club facilities	10b			
11	**Section 501(c)(12) organizations.** Enter:				
a	Gross income from members or shareholders	11a			
b	Gross income from other sources. (Do not net amounts due or paid to other sources against amounts due or received from them.)	11b			
12a	**Section 4947(a)(1) non-exempt charitable trusts.** Is the organization filing Form 990 in lieu of Form 1041?	12a			
b	If "Yes," enter the amount of tax-exempt interest received or accrued during the year	12b			
13	**Section 501(c)(29) qualified nonprofit health insurance issuers.**				
a	Is the organization licensed to issue qualified health plans in more than one state? **Note.** See the instructions for additional information the organization must report on Schedule O.	13a			
b	Enter the amount of reserves the organization is required to maintain by the states in which the organization is licensed to issue qualified health plans	13b			
c	Enter the amount of reserves on hand	13c			
14a	Did the organization receive any payments for indoor tanning services during the tax year?	14a			No
b	If "Yes," has it filed a Form 720 to report these payments? If "No," provide an explanation in *Schedule O*	14b			
15	Is the organization subject to the section 4960 tax on payment(s) of more than $1,000,000 in remuneration or excess parachute payment(s) during the year? If "Yes," see the instructions and file Form 4720, Schedule N.	15			No
16	Is the organization an educational institution subject to the section 4968 excise tax on net investment income? If "Yes," complete Form 4720, Schedule O.	16			No
17	**Section 501(c)(21) organizations.** Did the trust, or any disqualified or other person engage in any activities that would result in the imposition of an excise tax under section 4951, 4952, or 4953? If "Yes," complete Form 6069	17			

Part VI Governance, Management, and Disclosure. *For each "Yes" response to lines 2 through 7b below, and for a "No" response to lines 8a, 8b, or 10b below, describe the circumstances, processes, or changes in Schedule O. See instructions.*

Check if Schedule O contains a response or note to any line in this **Part VI** ☑

Section A. Governing Body and Management

				Yes	No
1a	Enter the number of voting members of the governing body at the end of the tax year. If there are material differences in voting rights among members of the governing body, or if the governing body delegated broad authority to an executive committee or similar committee, explain in Schedule O.	1a	8		
b	Enter the number of voting members included in line 1a, above, who are independent	1b	7		
2	Did any officer, director, trustee, or key employee have a family relationship or a business relationship with any other officer, director, trustee, or key employee?	2		Yes	
3	Did the organization delegate control over management duties customarily performed by or under the direct supervision of officers, directors or trustees, or key employees to a management company or other person?	3			No
4	Did the organization make any significant changes to its governing documents since the prior Form 990 was filed?	4			No
5	Did the organization become aware during the year of a significant diversion of the organization's assets?	5			No
6	Did the organization have members or stockholders?	6			No
7a	Did the organization have members, stockholders, or other persons who had the power to elect or appoint one or more members of the governing body?	7a			No
b	Are any governance decisions of the organization reserved to (or subject to approval by) members, stockholders, or persons other than the governing body?	7b			No
8	Did the organization contemporaneously document the meetings held or written actions undertaken during the year by the following:				
a	The governing body?	8a		Yes	
b	Each committee with authority to act on behalf of the governing body?	8b		Yes	
9	Is there any officer, director, trustee, or key employee listed in **Part VII,** Section A, who cannot be reached at the organization's mailing address? If "Yes," provide the names and addresses in Schedule O	9			No

Section B. Policies (*This Section B requests information about policies not required by the Internal Revenue Code.*)

			Yes	No
10a	Did the organization have local chapters, branches, or affiliates?	10a		No
b	If "Yes," did the organization have written policies and procedures governing the activities of such chapters, affiliates, and branches to ensure their operations are consistent with the organization's exempt purposes?	10b		
11a	Has the organization provided a complete copy of this Form 990 to all members of its governing body before filing the form?	11a	Yes	
b	Describe on Schedule O the process, if any, used by the organization to review this Form 990.			
12a	Did the organization have a written conflict of interest policy? If "No," go to line 13	12a	Yes	
b	Were officers, directors, or trustees, and key employees required to disclose annually interests that could give rise to conflicts?	12b	Yes	
c	Did the organization regularly and consistently monitor and enforce compliance with the policy? If "Yes," describe on *Schedule O* how this was done	12c	Yes	
13	Did the organization have a written whistleblower policy?	13	Yes	
14	Did the organization have a written document retention and destruction policy?	14	Yes	
15	Did the process for determining compensation of the following persons include a review and approval by independent persons, comparability data, and contemporaneous substantiation of the deliberation and decision?			
a	The organization's CEO, Executive Director, or top management official	15a	Yes	
b	Other officers or key employees of the organization. If "Yes" to line 15a or 15b, describe the process on Schedule O. See instructions.	15b	Yes	
16a	Did the organization invest in, contribute assets to, or participate in a joint venture or similar arrangement with a taxable entity during the year?	16a	Yes	
b	If "Yes," did the organization follow a written policy or procedure requiring the organization to evaluate its participation in joint venture arrangements under applicable federal tax law, and take steps to safeguard the organization's exempt status with respect to such arrangements?	16b	Yes	

Section C. Disclosure

17 List the states with which a copy of this Form 990 is required to be filed CA

18 Section 6104 requires an organization to make its Form 1023 (1024 or 1024-A, if applicable), 990, and 990-T (section 501(c)(3)s only) available for public inspection. Indicate how you made these available. Check all that apply.

☐ Own website ☐ Another's website ☑ Upon request ☐ Other (explain in Schedule O)

19 Describe in Schedule O whether (and if so, how) the organization made its governing documents, conflict of interest policy, and financial statements available to the public during the tax year.

20 State the name, address, and telephone number of the person who possesses the organization's books and records: SELEBN NTA4TAGABRA 3101 SOUTH GRAND AVENUE LOS ANGELES, CA 90007 (213) 740-6193

Part VII Compensation of Officers, Directors, Trustees, Key Employees, Highest Compensated Employees, and Independent Contractors

Check if Schedule O contains a response or note to any line in this **Part VII** ☐

Section A. Officers, Directors, Trustees, Key Employees, and Highest Compensated Employees

1a Complete this table for all persons required to be listed. Report compensation for the calendar year ending with or within the organization's tax year.

☑ List all of the organization's **current** officers, directors, trustees (whether individuals or organizations), regardless of amount of compensation. Enter -0- in columns (D), (E), and (F) if no compensation was paid.

☑ List all of the organization's **current** key employees, if any. See the instructions for definition of "key employee."

☑ List the organization's five **current** highest compensated employees (other than an officer, director, trustee or key employee) who received reportable compensation (box 5 of Form W-2, box 6 of Form 1099-MISC, and/or box 1 of Form 1099-NEC) of more than $100,000 from the organization and any related organizations.

☑ List all of the organization's **former** officers, key employees, or highest compensated employees who received more than $100,000 of reportable compensation from the organization and any related organizations.

☑ List all of the organization's **former directors or trustees** that received, in the capacity as a former director or trustee of the organization, more than $10,000 of reportable compensation from the organization and any related organizations.

See the instructions for the order in which to list the persons above.

☐ Check this box if neither the organization nor any related organization compensated any current officer, director, or trustee.

(A) Name and title	(B) Average hours per week (list any hours for related organizations below dotted line)	(C) Position (do not check more than one box, unless person is both an officer and a director/trustee)	(D) Reportable compensation from the organization (W-2/1099-MISC/1099-NEC)	(E) Reportable compensation from related organizations (W-2/1099-MISC/1099-NEC)	(F) Estimated amount of other compensation from the organization and related organizations
(1) MARK WILSON PRESIDENT & CEO	50.00	X X	174,979	126,234	13,652
(2) ANTONIO MANNING CHAIRMAN	10.00	X X	0	0	0
(3) BRYAN WILSON TREASURER/SECRETARY	5.00	X X	0	0	0
(4) DAVID HERKFORD DIRECTOR	1.00	X	0	0	0
(5) RON MITNICK DIRECTOR	1.00	X	0	0	0
(6) BRUCE SAITO DIRECTOR	1.00	X	0	0	0
(7) BEATRIZ P. FLORES DIRECTOR	1.00	X	0	0	0
(8) MARCIA WILSON DIRECTOR	1.00	X	0	0	0
(9) SELERIN NTAHITAGARRA CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER	40.00	X	162,800	0	19,091
(10) JARRELL THOMAS CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER	40.00	X	135,579	0	12,321
(11) RICARDO MENDOZA CHIEF BUS. DEVELOPMENT OFFICER	40.00	X	167,079	0	11,901

Part VII **Section A. Officers, Directors, Trustees, Key Employees, and Highest Compensated Employees** (continued)

(A) Name and title	(B) Average hours per week (list any hours for related organizations below dotted line)	(C) Position (do not check more than one box, unless person is both an officer and a director/trustee)	(D) Reportable compensation from the organization (W-2/1099-MISC/1099-NEC)	(E) Reportable compensation from related organizations (W-2/1099-MISC/1099-NEC)	(F) Estimated amount of other compensation from the organization and related organizations

1b **Sub-Total**			
c **Total from continuation sheets to Part VII, Section A**			
d **Total (add lines 1b and 1c)**	840,963	126,234	56,965

2 Total number of individuals (including but not limited to those listed above) who received more than $100,000 of reportable compensation from the organization 4

		Yes	No
3 Did the organization list any **former** officer, director or trustee, key employee, or highest compensated employee on line 1a? *If "Yes," complete Schedule J for such individual*	3		No
4 For any individual listed on line 1a, is the sum of reportable compensation and other compensation from the organization and related organizations greater than $150,000? *If "Yes," complete Schedule J for such individual*	4	Yes	
5 Did any person listed on line 1a receive or accrue compensation from any unrelated organization or individual for services rendered to the organization? *If "Yes," complete Schedule J for such person*	5		No

Section B. Independent Contractors

1 Complete this table for your five highest compensated independent contractors that received more than $100,000 of compensation from the organization. Report compensation for the calendar year ending with or within the organization's tax year.

(A) Name and business address	(B) Description of services	(C) Compensation
CRCD ENTERPRISES 3101 SOUTH GRAND AVENUE LOS ANGELES, CA 90007	CONSTRUCTION SERVICES	9,926,751
PEOPLES UNION PO BOX 48322 LOS ANGELES, CA 90048	PROPERTY MANAGEMENT /RENT	407,937
HARRIS & ASSOCIATES A LAW FIRM 845 SOUTH FIGUEROA ST SUITE 2750 LOS ANGELES, CA 90017	LEGAL FEES	317,449
JOBBIT LLC PO BOX 31001-0434 PASADENA, CA 91110	EMPLOYMENT AGENCY	136,190

2 Total number of independent contractors (including but not limited to those listed above) who received more than $100,000 of compensation from the organization 3

Part VIII **Statement of Revenue**

Check if Schedule O contains a response or note to any line in this Part VIII ☐

			(A) Total revenue	(B) Related or exempt function revenue	(C) Unrelated business revenue	(D) Revenue excluded from tax under sections 512 - 514
Contributions, Gifts, Grants and Other Similar Amounts	1a Federated campaigns	1a				
	b Membership dues	1b				
	c Fundraising events	1c				
	d Related organizations	1d				
	e Government grants (contributions)	1e 23,119,972				
	f All other contributions, gifts, grants, and similar amounts not included above	1f 13,081,636				
	g Noncash contributions included in lines 1a - 1f	1g				
	h **Total.** Add lines 1a-1f	36,197,608				
Program Service Revenue		Business Code				
	2a RENTAL INCOME	531310	894,677	894,677		
	b DEVELOPER FEES	531310	360,350	360,350		
	c PROPERTY MANAGEMENT	531310	131,522	131,522		
	d					
	e					
	f All other program service revenue.					
	g **Total.** Add lines 2a–2f	1,386,549				
	3 Investment income (including dividends, interest, and other similar amounts)		85,000		14,844	99,870
	4 Income from investment of tax-exempt bond proceeds					
	5 Royalties					

		(i) Real	(ii) Personal				
	6a Gross rents	6a					
	b Less: rental expenses	6b					
	c Rental income or (loss)	6c					
	d Net rental income or (loss)						
		(i) Securities	(ii) Other				
	7a Gross amount from sales of assets other than inventory	7a					
	b Less: cost or other basis and sales expenses	7b					
	c Gain or (loss)	7c					
	d Net gain or (loss)						
	8a Gross income from fundraising events (not including $ of contributions reported on line 1c). See **Part IV**, line 18	8a					
	b Less: direct expenses	8b					
	c Net income or (loss) from fundraising events						
	9a Gross income from gaming activities. See **Part IV**, line 19	9a					
	b Less: direct expenses	9b					
	c Net income or (loss) from gaming activities						
	10a Gross sales of inventory, less returns and allowances	10a	4,450				
	b Less: cost of goods sold	10b	11				
	c Net income or (loss) from sales of inventory			4,439	4,439		
		Business Code					
	11a DISCOUNTS & REBATES	900099	9,421	9,421			
	b LAUNDRY & VENDING	900099	9,088	9,088			
Other Revenue	c MISCELLANEOUS FEES	900099	284	284			
	d All other revenue		369	369			
	e **Total.** Add lines 11a–11d		19,132				
	12 **Total revenue.** See instructions		37,686,844	1,104,211	14,844	99,870	

Page 10

Part IX **Statement of Functional Expenses**

Section 501(c)(3) and 501(c)(4) organizations must complete all columns. All other organizations must complete column (A).

Check if Schedule O contains a response or note to any line in this Part IX . . . ☐

Do not include amounts reported on lines 6b, 7b, 8b, 9b, and 10b of Part VIII.	(A) Total expenses	(B) Program service expenses	(C) Management and general expenses	(D) Fundraising expenses
1 Grants and other assistance to domestic organizations and domestic governments. See Part IV, line 21				
2 Grants and other assistance to domestic individuals. See Part IV, line 22				
3 Grants and other assistance to foreign organizations, foreign governments, and foreign individuals. See Part IV, lines 15 and 16				
4 Benefits paid to or for members				
5 Compensation of current officers, directors, trustees, and key employees	370,048	264,471	105,273	804
6 Compensation not included above, to disqualified persons (as defined under section 4958(f)(1)) and persons described in section 4958(c)(3)(B)				
7 Other salaries and wages	7,771,093	5,455,945	2,300,857	16,271
8 Pension plan accruals and contributions (include section 401(k) and 403(b) employer contributions)	39,852	26,888	13,948	97
9 Other employee benefits	818,681	550,074	268,607	1,960
10 Payroll taxes	681,079	499,471	179,746	1,862
11 Fees for services (non-employees):				
a Management	40,760	40,760		
b Legal	230,359	8,298	222,061	
c Accounting	13,995		13,995	
d Lobbying				
e Professional fundraising services. See Part IV, line 17				
f Investment management fees				
g Other (If line 11g amount exceeds 10% of line 25, column (A) amount, list line 11g expenses on Schedule O)	1,777,304	738,891	965,894	72,519
12 Advertising and promotion	88,257	51,697	21,140	15,420
13 Office expenses	680,448	494,834	183,503	52,111
14 Information technology				
15 Royalties				
16 Occupancy	910,643	591,884	265,896	78,995
17 Travel				
18 Payments of travel or entertainment expenses for any federal, state, or local public officials				
19 Conferences, conventions, and meetings				
20 Interest	437,027	407,472	22,142	7,413
21 Payments to affiliates				
22 Depreciation, depletion, and amortization	214,456	84,841	125,805	3,810
23 Insurance	367,242	238,586	128,656	
24 Other expenses. Itemize expenses not covered above (List miscellaneous expenses in line 24e. If line 24e amount exceeds 10% of line 25, column (A) amount, list line 24e expenses on Schedule O.)				
a SUBCONTRACT EXPENSES	16,843,913	16,656,904	3,750	183,259
b PROGRAM SERVICES	2,555,131	2,514,699	40,432	
c SUPPORTIVE SERVICES	1,192,924	1,192,805		119
d TRAINING AND DEVELOPMEN	334,081	169,413	79,211	111,457
e All other expenses	574,676	430,227	51,499	93,150
25 **Total functional expenses.** Add lines 1 through 24e	35,924,617	30,271,782	4,912,274	640,661
26 **Joint costs.** Complete this line only if the organization reported in column (B) joint costs from a combined educational campaign and fundraising solicitation. Check here ☐ if following SOP 98-2 (ASC 958-720)				

Page 11

Part X **Balance Sheet**

Check if Schedule O contains a response or note to any line in this Part IX . . . ☐

			(A) Beginning of year		(B) End of year
1	Cash—non-interest-bearing		341,857	1	6,101,989
2	Savings and temporary cash investments		2,648,128	2	642,309
3	Pledges and grants receivable, net		8,310,942	3	8,061,917
4	Accounts receivable, net		4,269,558	4	282,995
5	Loans and other receivables from any current or former officer, director, trustee, key employee, creator or founder, substantial contributor, or 35% controlled entity or family member of any of these persons		544,375	5	448,498
6	Loans and other receivables from other disqualified persons (as defined under section 4958(f)(1)), and persons described in section 4958(c)(3)(B)			6	
7	Notes and loans receivable, net			7	155,000
8	Inventories for sale or use			8	
9	Prepaid expenses and deferred charges		130,603	9	153,384
10a	Land, buildings, and equipment: cost or other basis. Complete Part VI of Schedule D	10a 9,755,579			
b	Less: accumulated depreciation	10b 1,516,138	7,625,755	10c	8,239,441
11	Investments—publicly traded securities			11	
12	Investments—other securities. See Part IV, line 11			12	
13	Investments—program-related. See Part IV, line 11			13	
14	Intangible assets			14	
15	Other assets. See Part IV, line 11		5,603,296	15	9,401,938
16	**Total assets.** Add lines 1 through 15 (must equal line 33)		29,474,509	16	33,487,465
17	Accounts payable and accrued expenses		4,961,609	17	4,725,979
18	Grants payable			18	
19	Deferred revenue		665,224	19	1,158,078
20	Tax-exempt bond liabilities			20	
21	Escrow or custodial account liability. Complete Part IV of Schedule D			21	
22	Loans and other payables to any current or former officer, director, trustee, key employee, creator or founder, substantial contributor, or 35% controlled entity or family member of any of these persons			22	
23	Secured mortgages and notes payable to unrelated third parties		7,735,940	23	12,120,061
24	Unsecured notes and loans payable to unrelated third parties			24	
25	Other liabilities (including federal income tax, payables to related third parties, and other liabilities not included on lines 17–24). Complete Part X of Schedule D		4,798,942	25	4,157,211
26	**Total liabilities.** Add lines 17 through 25		18,161,715	26	22,162,229
	Organizations that follow FASB ASC 958, check here ☑ **and complete lines 27, 28, 32, and 33.**				
27	Net assets without donor restrictions		3,752,379	27	7,333,362
28	Net assets with donor restrictions		7,560,415	28	3,991,874
	Organizations that do not follow FASB ASC 958, check here ☐ **and complete lines 29 through 33.**				
29	Capital stock or trust principal, or current funds			29	
30	Paid-in or capital surplus, or land, building or equipment fund			30	
31	Retained earnings, endowment, accumulated income, or other funds			31	
32	Total net assets or fund balances		11,312,794	32	11,325,236
33	Total liabilities and net assets/fund balances		29,474,509	33	33,487,465

Page 12

Part XI **Reconciliation of Net Assets**

Check if Schedule O contains a response or note to any line in this Part XI . . . ☑

1	Total revenue (must equal Part VIII, column (A), line 12)	1	37,386,845
2	Total expenses (must equal Part IX, column (A), line 25)	2	35,924,617
3	Revenue less expenses. Subtract line 2 from line 1	3	1,462,228
4	Net assets or fund balances at beginning of year (must equal Part X, line 32, column (A))	4	11,312,794
5	Net unrealized gains (losses) on investments	5	
6	Donated services and use of facilities	6	
7	Investment expenses	7	
8	Prior period adjustments	8	
9	Other changes in net assets or fund balances (explain in Schedule O)	9	-1,449,786
10	Net assets or fund balances at end of year. Combine lines 3 through 9 (must equal Part X, line 32, column (B))	10	11,325,236

Part XII **Financial Statements and Reporting**

Check if Schedule O contains a response or note to any line in this Part XII . . . ☐

			Yes	No
1	Accounting method used to prepare the Form 990: ☐ Cash ☑ Accrual ☐ Other If the organization changed its method of accounting from a prior year or checked "Other," explain on Schedule O.			
2a	Were the organization's financial statements compiled or reviewed by an independent accountant?	2a		No
	If "Yes," check a box below to indicate whether the financial statements for the year were compiled or reviewed on a separate basis, consolidated basis, or both: ☐ Separate basis ☐ Consolidated basis ☐ Both consolidated and separate basis			
b	Were the organization's financial statements audited by an independent accountant?	2b	Yes	
	If "Yes," check a box below to indicate whether the financial statements for the year were audited on a separate basis, consolidated basis, or both: ☐ Separate basis ☑ Consolidated basis ☐ Both consolidated and separate basis			
c	If "Yes," to line 2a or 2b, does the organization have a committee that assumes responsibility for oversight of the audit, review, or compilation of its financial statements and selection of an independent accountant? If the organization changed either its oversight process or selection process during the tax year, explain in Schedule O.	2c	Yes	
3a	As a result of a federal award, was the organization required to undergo an audit or audits as set forth in the Uniform Guidance, 2 C.F.R. Part 200, Subpart F?	3a	Yes	
b	If "Yes," did the organization undergo the required audit or audits? If the organization did not undergo the required audit or audits, explain why in Schedule O and describe any steps taken to undergo such audits.	3b	Yes	

Form 990 (2023)

Additional Data Return to Form

Software ID:

Software Version:

Form 990, Special Condition Description:

Special Condition Description

efile Public Visual Render | Objectid: 202413199349316581 - Submission: 2024-11-14 | TIN: 20-2445113

SCHEDULE A (Form 990)

Department of the Treasury
Internal Revenue Service

Public Charity Status and Public Support

Complete if the organization is a section 501(c)(3) organization or a section 4947(a)(1) nonexempt charitable trust.

☐ **Attach to Form 990 or Form 990-EZ.**

☐ **Go to www.irs.gov/Form990 for instructions and the latest information.**

OMB No. 1545-0047

2023

Open to Public Inspection

Name of the organization
COALITION FOR RESPONSIBLE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

Employer identification number
20-2445113

Part I Reason for Public Charity Status (All organizations must complete this part.) See instructions.

The organization is not a private foundation because it is: (For lines 1 through 12, check only one box.)

1 ☐ A church, convention of churches, or association of churches described in **section 170(b)(1)(A)(i).**

2 ☐ A school described in **section 170(b)(1)(A)(ii).** (Attach Schedule E (Form 990).)

3 ☐ A hospital or a cooperative hospital service organization described in **section 170(b)(1)(A)(iii).**

4 ☐ A medical research organization operated in conjunction with a hospital described in **section 170(b)(1)(A)(iii).** Enter the hospital's name, city, and state:

5 ☐ An organization operated for the benefit of a college or university owned or operated by a governmental unit described in **section 170(b)(1)(A)(iv).** (Complete Part II.)

6 ☐ A federal, state, or local government or governmental unit described in **section 170(b)(1)(A)(v).**

7 ☑ An organization that normally receives a substantial part of its support from a governmental unit or from the general public described in **section 170(b)(1)(A)(vi).** (Complete Part II.)

8 ☐ A community trust described in **section 170(b)(1)(A)(vi).** (Complete Part II.)

9 ☐ An agricultural research organization described in **170(b)(1)(A)(ix)** operated in conjunction with a land-grant college or university or a non-land grant college of agriculture. See instructions. Enter the name, city, and state of the college or university:

10 ☐ An organization that normally receives: (1) more than 33⅓% of its support from contributions, membership fees, and gross receipts from activities related to its exempt functions—subject to certain exceptions, and (2) no more than 33⅓% of its support from gross investment income and unrelated business taxable income (less section 511 tax) from businesses acquired by the organization after June 30, 1975. See **section 509(a)(2).** (Complete Part III.)

11 ☐ An organization organized and operated exclusively to test for public safety. See **section 509(a)(4).**

12 ☐ An organization organized and operated exclusively for the benefit of, to perform the functions of, or to carry out the purposes of one or more publicly supported organizations described in **section 509(a)(1)** or **section 509(a)(2).** See **section 509(a)(3).** Check the box on lines 12a through 12d that describes the type of supporting organization and complete lines 12e, 12f, and 12g.

a ☐ **Type I.** A supporting organization operated, supervised, or controlled by its supported organization(s), typically by giving the supported organization(s) the power to regularly appoint or elect a majority of the directors or trustees of the supporting organization. **You must complete Part IV, Sections A and B.**

b ☐ **Type II.** A supporting organization supervised or controlled in connection with its supported organization(s), by having control or management of the supporting organization vested in the same persons that control or manage the supported organization(s). **You must complete Part IV, Sections A and C.**

c ☐ **Type III functionally integrated.** A supporting organization operated in connection with, and functionally integrated with, its supported organization(s) (see instructions). **You must complete Part IV, Sections A, D, and E.**

d ☐ **Type III non-functionally integrated.** A supporting organization operated in connection with its supported organization(s) that is not functionally integrated. The organization generally must satisfy a distribution requirement and an attentiveness requirement (see instructions). **You must complete Part IV, Sections A and D, and Part V.**

e ☐ Check this box if the organization received a written determination from the IRS that it is a Type I, Type II, Type III functionally integrated, or Type III non-functionally integrated supporting organization.

f Enter the number of supported organizations . . . . . .

g Provide the following information about the supported organization(s).

(i) Name of supported organization	(ii) EIN	(iii) Type of organization (described on lines 1-10 above (see instructions))	(iv) Is the organization listed in your governing document? Yes	(iv) No	(v) Amount of monetary support (see instructions)	(vi) Amount of other support (see instructions)
Total						

Page 2

Part II Support Schedule for Organizations Described in Sections 170(b)(1)(A)(iv) and 170(b)(1)(A)(vi)

(Complete only if you checked the box on line 5, 7, or 8 of Part I or if the organization failed to qualify under Part III. If the organization failed to qualify under the tests listed below, please complete Part III.)

Section A. Public Support

Calendar year (or fiscal year beginning in)	(a) 2019	(b) 2020	(c) 2021	(d) 2022	(e) 2023	(f) Total
1 Gifts, grants, contributions, and membership fees received. (Do not include any "unusual grants".)	8,356,765	11,820,180	20,956,655	29,053,077	34,197,609	104,364,286
2 Tax revenues levied for the organization's benefit and either paid to or expended on its behalf						
3 The value of services or facilities furnished by a governmental unit to the organization without charge						
4 **Total.** Add lines 1 through 3	8,356,765	11,820,180	20,956,655	29,053,077	34,197,609	104,364,286
5 The portion of total contributions by each person (other than a governmental unit or publicly supported organization) included on line 1 that exceeds 2% of the amount shown on line 11, column (f)						963,635
6 **Public support.** Subtract line 5 from line 4.						103,400,651

Section B. Total Support

Calendar year (or fiscal year beginning in)	(a) 2019	(b) 2020	(c) 2021	(d) 2022	(e) 2023	(f) Total
7 Amounts from line 4	8,356,765	11,820,180	20,956,655	29,053,077	34,197,609	104,364,286
8 Gross income from interest, dividends, payments received on securities loans, rents, royalties and income from similar sources	412,198	421,439	9,894	19,849	85,026	947,203
9 Net income from unrelated business activities, whether or not the business is regularly carried on						
10 Other income. Do not include gain or loss from the sale of capital assets (Explain in Part VI.)				56,745		56,745
11 **Total support.** Add lines 7 through 10						105,368,234
12 Gross receipts from related activities, etc. (see instructions)					12	7,159,478

13 **First 5 years.** If the Form 990 is for the organization's first, second, third, fourth, or fifth tax year as a section 501(c)(3) organization, check this box and **stop here** . . . . . ☐

Section C. Computation of Public Support Percentage

14 Public support percentage for 2023 (line 6, column (f) divided by line 11, column (f))	14	98.13%
15 Public support percentage for 2022 Schedule A, Part II, line 14	15	95.280%

16a **33⅓% support test—2023.** If the organization did not check the box on line 13, and line 14 is 33⅓% or more, check this box and **stop here.** The organization qualifies as a publicly supported organization . . . . . ☑

b **33⅓% support test—2022.** If the organization did not check a box on line 13 or 16a, and line 15 is 33⅓% or more, check this box and **stop here.** The organization qualifies as a publicly supported organization . . . . . ☐

17a **10%-facts-and-circumstances test—2023.** If the organization did not check a box on line 13, 16a, or 16b, and line 14 is 10% or more, and if the organization meets the "facts-and-circumstances" test, check this box and **stop here.** Explain in Part VI how the organization meets the "facts-and-circumstances" test. The organization qualifies as a publicly supported organization . . . . . ☐

b **10%-facts-and-circumstances test—2022.** If the organization did not check a box on line 13, 16a, 16b, or 17a, and line 15 is 10% or more, and if the organization meets the "facts-and-circumstances" test, check this box and **stop here.** Explain in Part VI how the organization meets the "facts-and-circumstances" test. The organization qualifies as a publicly supported organization . . . . . ☐

18 **Private foundation.** If the organization did not check a box on line 13, 16a, 16b, 17a, or 17b, check this box and see instructions . . . . . ☐

Page 3

Part III Support Schedule for Organizations Described in Section 509(a)(2)

(Complete only if you checked the box on line 10 of Part I or if the organization failed to qualify under Part II. If the organization fails to qualify under the tests listed below, please complete Part II.)

Section A. Public Support

Calendar year (or fiscal year beginning in)	(a) 2019	(b) 2020	(c) 2021	(d) 2022	(e) 2023	(f) Total
1 Gifts, grants, contributions, and membership fees received. (Do not include any "unusual grants.")						
2 Gross receipts from admissions, merchandise sold or services performed, or facilities furnished in any activity that is related to the organization's tax-exempt purpose						
3 Gross receipts from activities that are not an unrelated trade or business under section 513						
4 Tax revenues levied for the organization's benefit and either paid to or expended on its behalf						
5 The value of services or facilities furnished by a governmental unit to the organization without charge						
6 **Total.** Add lines 1 through 5						
7a Amounts included on lines 1, 2, and 3 received from disqualified persons						
b Amounts included on lines 2 and 3 received from other than disqualified persons that exceed the greater of $5,000 or 1% of the amount on line 13 for the year						
c Add lines 7a and 7b						
8 **Public support.** (Subtract line 7c from line 6.)						

Section B. Total Support

Calendar year (or fiscal year beginning in)	(a) 2019	(b) 2020	(c) 2021	(d) 2022	(e) 2023	(f) Total
9 Amounts from line 6						
10a Gross income from interest, dividends, payments received on securities loans, rents, royalties and income from similar sources						
b Unrelated business taxable income (less section 511 taxes) from businesses acquired after June 30, 1975						
c Add lines 10a and 10b						
11 Net income from unrelated business activities not included on line 10b, whether or not the business is regularly carried on						
12 Other income. Do not include gain or loss from the sale of capital assets (Explain in Part VI.)						
13 **Total support.** (Add lines 9, 10c, 11, and 12.)						
14 **First 5 years.** If the Form 990 is for the organization's first, second, third, fourth, or fifth tax year as a section 501(c)(3) organization, check this box and **stop here** . . . . . ☐						

Section C. Computation of Public Support Percentage

15 Public support percentage for 2023 (line 8, column (f) divided by line 13, column (f))	15	
16 Public support percentage from 2022 Schedule A, Part III, line 15	16	

Section D. Computation of Investment Income Percentage

17 Investment income percentage for **2023** (line 10c, column (f) divided by line 13, column (f))	17	
18 Investment income percentage from **2022** Schedule A, Part III, line 17	18	

19a **33⅓% support tests—2023.** If the organization did not check the box on line 14, and line 15 is more than 33⅓%, and line 17 is not more than 33⅓%, check this box and **stop here.** The organization qualifies as a publicly supported organization . . . . . ☐

b **33⅓% support tests—2022.** If the organization did not check a box on line 14 or line 19a, and line 16 is more than 33⅓% and line 18 is not more than 33⅓%, check this box and **stop here.** The organization qualifies as a publicly supported organization . . . . . ☐

20 **Private foundation.** If the organization did not check a box on line 14, 19a, or 19b, check this box and see instructions . . . . . ☐

Page 4

Part IV Supporting Organizations

(Complete only if you checked a box on line 12 of Part I. If you checked box 12a of Part I, complete Sections A and B. If you checked box 12b of Part I, complete Sections A and C. If you checked box 12c of Part I, complete Sections A, D, and E. If you checked box 12d of Part I, complete Sections A and D, and complete Part V.)

Section A. All Supporting Organizations

		Yes	No
1	Are all of the organization's supported organizations listed by name in the organization's governing documents? If "No," describe in **Part VI** how the supported organizations are designated. If designated by *class or purpose*, describe the designation. If historic and continuing relationship, explain.	1	
2	Did the organization have any supported organization that does not have an IRS determination of status under section 509(a)(1) or (2)? If "Yes," explain in **Part VI** how the organization determined that the supported organization was described in section 509(a)(1) or (2).	2	
3a	Did the organization have a supported organization described in section 501(c)(4), (5), or (6)? If "Yes," answer lines 3b and 3c below.	3a	
b	Did the organization confirm that each supported organization qualified under section 501(c)(4), (5), or (6) and satisfied the public support tests under section 509(a)(2)? If "Yes," describe in **Part VI** when and how the organization made the determination.	3b	
c	Did the organization ensure that all support to such organizations was used exclusively for section 170(c)(2)(B) purposes? If "Yes," explain in **Part VI** what controls the organization put in place to ensure such use.	3c	
4a	Was any supported organization not organized in the United States ("foreign supported organization")? If "Yes" and if you checked box 12a or 12b in Part I, answer lines 4b and 4c below.	4a	
b	Did the organization have ultimate control and discretion in deciding whether to make grants to the foreign supported organization? If "Yes," describe in **Part VI** how the organization had such control and discretion despite being controlled or supervised by or in connection with its supported organizations.	4b	
c	Did the organization support any foreign supported organization that does not have an IRS determination under sections 501(c)(3) and 509(a)(1) or (2)? If "Yes," explain in **Part VI** what controls the organization used to ensure that all support to the foreign supported organization was used exclusively for section 170(c)(2)(B) purposes.	4c	
5a	Did the organization add, substitute, or remove any supported organizations during the tax year? If "Yes," answer lines 5b and 5c below (if applicable). Also, provide detail in **Part VI**, including (i) the names and EIN numbers of the supported organizations added, substituted, or removed; (ii) the reasons for each such action; (iii) the authority under the organization's organizing document authorizing such action; and (iv) how the action was accomplished (such as by amendment to the organizing document).	5a	
b	**Type I or Type II only.** Was any added or substituted supported organization part of a class already designated in the organization's organizing document?	5b	
c	**Substitutions only.** Was the substitution the result of an event beyond the organization's control?	5c	
6	Did the organization provide support (whether in the form of grants or the provision of services or facilities) to anyone other than (i) its supported organizations, (ii) individuals that are part of the charitable class benefited by one or more of its supported organizations, or (iii) other supporting organizations that also support or benefit one or more of the filing organization's supported organizations? If "Yes," provide detail in **Part VI.**	6	
7	Did the organization provide a grant, loan, compensation, or other similar payment to a substantial contributor (defined in section 4958(c)(3)(C)), a family member of a substantial contributor, or a 35% controlled entity with regard to a substantial contributor? If "Yes," complete Part I of Schedule L (Form 990).	7	
8	Did the organization make a loan to a disqualified person (as defined in section 4958) not described on line 7? If "Yes," complete Part I of Schedule L (Form 990).	8	
9a	Was the organization controlled directly or indirectly at any time during the tax year by one or more disqualified persons, as defined in section 4946 (other than foundation managers and organizations described in section 509(a)(1) or (2))? If "Yes," provide detail in **Part VI.**	9a	
b	Did one or more disqualified persons (as defined on line 9a) hold a controlling interest in any entity in which the supporting organization had an interest? If "Yes," provide detail in **Part VI.**	9b	
c	Did a disqualified person (as defined on line 9a) have an ownership interest in, or derive any personal benefit from, assets in which the		

supporting organization also had an interest? If "Yes," *provide detail in* **Part VI.** | 9c | | |

10a Was the organization subject to the excess business holdings rules of section 4943 because of section 4943(f) (regarding certain Type II supporting organizations, and all Type III non-functionally integrated supporting organizations)? If "Yes," *answer line 10b below.* | 10a | | |

b Did the organization have any excess business holdings in the tax year? (*Use Schedule C, Form 4720, to determine whether the organization had excess business holdings.*) | 10b | | |

Part IV **Supporting Organizations** (continued)

		Yes	No
11	Has the organization accepted a gift or contribution from any of the following persons?		
a	A person who directly or indirectly controls, either alone or together with persons described on lines 11b and 11c below, the governing body of a supported organization?	11a		
b	A family member of a person described on 11a above?	11b		
c	A 35% controlled entity of a person described on line 11a or 11b above? If "Yes" to 11a, 11b, or 11c, *provide detail in* **Part VI.**	11c		

Section B. Type I Supporting Organizations

		Yes	No
1	Did the officers, directors, trustees, or membership of one or more supported organizations have the power to regularly appoint or elect at least a majority of the organization's directors or trustees at all times during the tax year? If "No," *describe in* **Part VI** *how the supported organization(s) effectively operated, supervised, or controlled the organization's activities. If the organization had more than one supported organization, describe how the powers to appoint and/or remove directors or trustees were allocated among the supported organizations and what conditions or restrictions, if any, applied to such powers during the tax year.*	1		
2	Did the organization operate for the benefit of any supported organization other than the supported organization(s) that operated, supervised, or controlled the supporting organization? If "Yes," *explain in* **Part VI** *how providing such benefit carried out the purposes of the supported organization(s) that operated, supervised or controlled the supporting organization.*	2		

Section C. Type II Supporting Organizations

		Yes	No
1	Were a majority of the organization's directors or trustees during the tax year also a majority of the directors or trustees of each of the organization's supported organization(s)? If "No," *describe in* **Part VI** *how control or management of the supporting organization was vested in the same persons that controlled or managed the supported organization(s).*	1		

Section D. All Type III Supporting Organizations

		Yes	No
1	Did the organization provide to each of its supported organizations, by the last day of the fifth month of the organization's tax year, (i) a written notice describing the type and amount of support provided during the prior tax year, (ii) a copy of the Form 990 that was most recently filed as of the date of notification, and (iii) copies of the organization's governing documents in effect on the date of notification, to the extent not previously provided?	1		
2	Were any of the organization's officers, directors, or trustees either (i) appointed or elected by the supported organization(s) or (ii) serving on the governing body of a supported organization? If "No," *explain in* **Part VI** *how the organization maintained a close and continuous working relationship with the supported organization(s).*	2		
3	By reason of the relationship described in line 2 above, did the organization's supported organizations have a significant voice in the organization's investment policies and in directing the use of the organization's income or assets at all times during the tax year? If "Yes," *describe in* **Part VI** *the role the organization's supported organizations played in this regard.*	3		

Section E. Type III Functionally-Integrated Supporting Organizations

1 Check the box next to the method that the organization used to satisfy the Integral Part Test during the year (**see instructions**).

a ☐ The organization satisfied the Activities Test. Complete **line 2** below.

b ☐ The organization is the parent of each of its supported organizations. Complete **line 3** below.

c ☐ The organization supported a governmental entity. Describe in **Part VI** how you supported a government entity (see instructions)

2 Activities Test. **Answer lines 2a and 2b below.**

		Yes	No
a	Did substantially all of the organization's activities during the tax year directly further the exempt purposes of the supported organization(s) to which the organization was responsive? If "Yes," then in **Part VI identify those supported organizations and explain** how these activities directly furthered their exempt purposes, how the organization was responsive to those supported organizations, and how the organization determined that these activities constituted substantially all of its activities.	2a		
b	Did the activities described on line 2a, above constitute activities that, but for the organization's involvement, one or more of the organization's supported organization(s) would have been engaged in? If "Yes," *explain in* **Part VI** *the reasons for the organization's position that its supported organization(s) would have engaged in these activities but for the organization's involvement.*	2b		

3 Parent of Supported Organizations. **Answer lines 3a and 3b below.**

a	Did the organization have the power to regularly appoint or elect a majority of the officers, directors, or trustees of each of the supported organizations? If "Yes" or "No," *provide details in* **Part VI.**	3a		
b	Did the organization exercise a substantial degree of direction over the policies, programs and activities of each of its supported organizations? If "Yes," *describe in* **Part VI,** *the role played by the organization in this regard.*	3b		

Part V **Type III Non-Functionally Integrated 509(a)(3) Supporting Organizations**

1 ☐ Check here if the organization satisfied the Integral Part Test as a qualifying trust on Nov. 20, 1970 (*explain in* **Part VI**). **See instructions.** All other Type III non-functionally integrated supporting organizations must complete Sections A through E.

Section A - Adjusted Net Income		(A) Prior Year	(B) Current Year (optional)
1	Net short-term capital gain	1		
2	Recoveries of prior-year distributions	2		
3	Other gross income (see instructions)	3		
4	Add lines 1 through 3	4		
5	Depreciation and depletion	5		
6	Portion of operating expenses paid or incurred for production or collection of gross income or for management, conservation, or maintenance of property held for production of income (see instructions)	6		
7	Other expenses (see instructions)	7		
8	**Adjusted Net Income** (subtract lines 5, 6 and 7 from line 4)	8		

Section B - Minimum Asset Amount		(A) Prior Year	(B) Current Year (optional)
1	Aggregate fair market value of all non-exempt-use assets (see instructions for short tax year or assets held for part of year):	1		
a	Average monthly value of securities	1a		
b	Average monthly cash balances	1b		
c	Fair market value of other non-exempt-use assets	1c		
d	**Total** (add lines 1a, 1b, and 1c)	1d		
e	**Discount** claimed for blockage or other factors (*explain in detail in* **Part VI**):			
2	Acquisition indebtedness applicable to non-exempt use assets	2		
3	Subtract line 2 from line 1d	3		
4	Cash deemed held for exempt use. Enter 0.015 of line 3 (for greater amount, see instructions).	4		
5	Net value of non-exempt-use assets (subtract line 4 from line 3)	5		
6	Multiply line 5 by 0.035	6		
7	Recoveries of prior-year distributions	7		
8	**Minimum Asset Amount** (add line 7 to line 6)	8		

Section C - Distributable Amount			Current Year
1	Adjusted net income for prior year (from Section A, line 8, Column A)	1		
2	Enter 85% of line 1	2		
3	Minimum asset amount for prior year (from Section B, line 8, Column A)	3		
4	Enter greater of line 2 or line 3	4		
5	Income tax imposed in prior year	5		
6	**Distributable Amount.** Subtract line 5 from line 4, unless subject to emergency temporary reduction (see instructions)	6		

7 ☐ Check here if the current year is the organization's first as a non-functionally-integrated Type III supporting organization (see instructions)

Part V **Type III Non-Functionally Integrated 509(a)(3) Supporting Organizations** (continued)

Section D - Distributions			Current Year
1	Amounts paid to supported organizations to accomplish exempt purposes	1	
2	Amounts paid to perform activity that directly furthers exempt purposes of supported organizations, in excess of income from activity	2	
3	Administrative expenses paid to accomplish exempt purposes of supported organizations	3	
4	Amounts paid to acquire exempt-use assets	4	
5	Qualified set-aside amounts (prior IRS approval required - *provide details in* **Part VI**)	5	
6	Other distributions (*describe in* **Part VI**). See instructions	6	
7	**Total annual distributions.** Add lines 1 through 6.	7	
8	Distributions to attentive supported organizations to which the organization is responsive (*provide details in* **Part VI**). See instructions	8	
9	Distributable amount for 2023 from Section C, line 6	9	
10	Line 8 amount divided by Line 9 amount	10	

Section E - Distribution Allocations (see instructions)	(i) Excess Distributions	(ii) Underdistributions Pre-2023	(iii) Distributable Amount for 2023
1 Distributable amount for 2023 from Section C, line 6			
2 Underdistributions, if any, for years prior to 2023 (reasonable cause required - *explain in* **Part VI**). See instructions.			
3 Excess distributions carryover, if any, to 2023:			
a From 2018.			
b From 2019.			
c From 2020.			
d From 2021.			
e From 2022.			
f **Total** of lines 3a through e			
g Applied to underdistributions of prior years			
h Applied to 2023 distributable amount			
i Carryover from 2018 not applied (see instructions)			
j Remainder. Subtract lines 3g, 3h, and 3i from line 3f.			
4 Distributions for 2023 from Section D, line 7: $			
a Applied to underdistributions of prior years			
b Applied to 2023 distributable amount			
c Remainder. Subtract lines 4a and 4b from line 4.			
5 Remaining underdistributions for years prior to 2023, if any. Subtract lines 3g and 4a from line 2. If the amount is greater than zero, explain in **Part VI**. See instructions.			
6 Remaining underdistributions for 2023. Subtract lines 3h and 4b from line 1. If the amount is greater than zero, explain in **Part VI**. See instructions.			
7 **Excess distributions carryover to 2024.** Add lines 3j and 4c.			
8 Breakdown of line 7:			
a Excess from 2019.			
b Excess from 2020.			
c Excess from 2021.			
d Excess from 2022.			
e Excess from 2023.			

Part VI **Supplemental Information.** Provide the explanations required by Part II, line 10; Part II, line 17a or 17b; Part III, line 12; Part IV, Section A, lines 1, 2, 3b, 3c, 4b, 4c, 5a, 6, 9a, 9b, 9c, 11a, 11b, and 11c; Part IV, Section B, lines 1 and 2; Part IV, Section C, line 1; Part IV, Section D, lines 2 and 3; Part IV, Section E, lines 1c, 2a, 2b, 3a and 3b; Part V, line 1; Part V, Section B, line 1e; Part V Section D, lines 5, 6, and 8; and Part V, Section E, lines 2, 5, and 6. Also complete this part for any additional information. (See instructions).

Facts And Circumstances Test

Return Reference	Explanation
SCHEDULE A, PART II, LINE 10, EXPLANATION OF OTHER INCOME:	DISCOUNTS REBATES - 2022 AMOUNT: $ 51,095. MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE - 2022 AMOUNT: $ 5,650.

Additional Data Return to Form

Software ID:
Software Version:

efile Public Visual Render | Objectid: 202413199349316581 - Submission: 2024-11-14 | TIN: 20-2445113

Schedule B (Form 990)

Department of the Treasury
Internal Revenue Service

Schedule of Contributors

Attach to Form 990, 990-EZ, or 990-PF.
Go to www.irs.gov/Form990 for the latest information.

OMB No. 1545-0047

2023

Name of the organization
COALITION FOR RESPONSIBLE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

Employer identification number
20-2445113

Organization type (check one):

Filers of:	Section:
Form 990 or 990-EZ	☐ 501(c)( ) (enter number) organization
	☐ 4947(a)(1) nonexempt charitable trust **not** treated as a private foundation
	☐ 527 political organization
Form 990-PF	☐ 501(c)(3) exempt private foundation
	☐ 4947(a)(1) nonexempt charitable trust treated as a private foundation
	☐ 501(c)(3) taxable private foundation

Check if your organization is covered by the **General Rule** or a **Special Rule.**
Note: Only a section 501(c)(7), (8), or (10) organization can check boxes for both the General Rule and a Special Rule. See instructions.

General Rule

☐ For an organization filing Form 990, 990-EZ, or 990-PF that received, during the year, contributions totaling $5,000 or more (in money or other property) from any one contributor. Complete Parts I and II. See instructions for determining a contributor's total contributions.

Special Rules

☐ For an organization described in section 501(c)(3) filing Form 990 or 990-EZ that met the 33⅓% support test of the regulations under sections 509(a)(1) and 170(b)(1)(A)(vi), that checked Schedule A (Form 990 or 990-EZ), Part II, line 13, 16a, or 16b, and that received from any one contributor, during the year, total contributions of the greater of **(1)** $5,000 or **(2)** 2% of the amount on (i) Form 990, Part VIII, line 1h, or (ii) Form 990-EZ, line 1. Complete Parts I and II.

☐ For an organization described in section 501(c)(7), (8), or (10) filing Form 990 or 990-EZ that received from any one contributor, during the year, total contributions of more than $1,000 exclusively for religious, charitable, scientific, literary, or educational purposes, or for the prevention of cruelty to children or animals. Complete Parts I, II, and III.

☐ For an organization described in section 501(c)(7), (8), or (10) filing Form 990 or 990-EZ that received from any one contributor, during the year, contributions exclusively for religious, charitable, etc., purposes, but no such contributions totaled more than $1,000. If this box is checked, enter here the total contributions that were received during the year for an *exclusively* religious, charitable, etc., purpose. Don't complete any of the parts unless the **General Rule** applies to this organization because it received nonexclusively religious, charitable, etc., contributions totaling $5,000 or more during the year . . . . . ☐ $ _____

Caution: An organization that isn't covered by the General Rule and/or the Special Rules doesn't file Schedule B (Form 990, 990-EZ, or 990-PF), but it **must** answer "No" on Part IV, line 2, of its Form 990; or check the box on line H of its Form 990-EZ or on its Form 990-PF, Part I, line 2, to certify that it doesn't meet the filing requirements of Schedule B (Form 990, 990-EZ, or 990-PF).

Page 2

Name of organization
COALITION FOR RESPONSIBLE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

Employer identification number
20-2445113

Part I **Contributors** (see instructions). Use duplicate copies of Part I if additional space is needed.

(a) No.	(b) Name, address, and ZIP + 4	(c) Total contributions	(d) Type of contribution
RESTRICTED		$ RESTRICTED	☐ Person ☐ Payroll ☐ Noncash (Complete Part II for noncash contributions.)
		$	☐ Person ☐ Payroll ☐ Noncash (Complete Part II for noncash contributions.)
		$	☐ Person ☐ Payroll ☐ Noncash (Complete Part II for noncash contributions.)
		$	☐ Person ☐ Payroll ☐ Noncash (Complete Part II for noncash contributions.)
		$	☐ Person ☐ Payroll ☐ Noncash (Complete Part II for noncash contributions.)
		$	☐ Person ☐ Payroll ☐ Noncash (Complete Part II for noncash contributions.)

Page 3

Name of organization
COALITION FOR RESPONSIBLE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

Employer identification number
20-2445113

Part II **Noncash Property** (see instructions). Use duplicate copies of Part II if additional space is needed.

(a) No. from Part I	(b) Description of noncash property given	(c) FMV (or estimate) (See instructions)	(d) Date received
		$	
		$	
		$	
		$	
		$	

Page 4

Name of organization
COALITION FOR RESPONSIBLE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

Employer identification number
20-2445113

Part III **Exclusively religious, charitable, etc., contributions to organizations described in section 501(c)(7), (8), or (10) that total more than $1,000 for the year from any one contributor. Complete columns (a) through (e) and the following line entry. For organizations completing Part III, enter the total of exclusively religious, charitable, etc., contributions of $1,000 or less for the year. (Enter this information once. See instructions.)** ☐ $ _____
Use duplicate copies of Part III if additional space is needed.

(a) No. from Part I	(b) Purpose of gift	(c) Use of gift	(d) Description of how gift is held

(e) Transfer of gift

Transferee's name, address, and ZIP 4	Relationship of transferor to transferee

(a) No. from Part I	(b) Purpose of gift	(c) Use of gift	(d) Description of how gift is held

(e) Transfer of gift

Transferee's name, address, and ZIP 4	Relationship of transferor to transferee

(a) No. from Part I	(b) Purpose of gift	(c) Use of gift	(d) Description of how gift is held

(e) Transfer of gift

Transferee's name, address, and ZIP 4	Relationship of transferor to transferee

(a) No. from Part I	(b) Purpose of gift	(c) Use of gift	(d) Description of how gift is held

	(e) Transfer of gift		
	Transferee's name, address, and ZIP 4		Relationship of transferor to transferee

Additional Data

Return to Form

Software ID:

Software Version:

efile Public Visual Render | Objectid: 202413199349316581 - Submission: 2024-11-14 | TIN: 20-2445113

SCHEDULE D (Form 990)

Department of the Treasury
Internal Revenue Service

Supplemental Financial Statements

☐ Complete if the organization answered "Yes," on Form 990, Part IV, line 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11a, 11b, 11c, 11d, 11e, 11f, 12a, or 12b.
☐ Attach to Form 990.
☐ Go to www.irs.gov/Form990 for instructions and the latest information.

OMB No. 1545-0047

2022

Open to Public Inspection

Name of the organization
COALITION FOR RESPONSIBLE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

Employer identification number
20-2445113

Part I Organizations Maintaining Donor Advised Funds or Other Similar Funds or Accounts.

Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 6.

		(a) Donor advised funds	(b) Funds and other accounts
1	Total number at end of year		
2	Aggregate value of contributions to (during year)		
3	Aggregate value of grants from (during year)		
4	Aggregate value at end of year		

5 Did the organization inform all donors and donor advisors in writing that the assets held in donor advised funds are the organization's property, subject to the organization's exclusive legal control? ☐ Yes ☐ No

6 Did the organization inform all grantees, donors, and donor advisors in writing that grant funds can be used only for charitable purposes and not for the benefit of the donor or donor advisor, or for any other purpose conferring impermissible private benefit? ☐ Yes ☐ No

Part II Conservation Easements.

Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 7.

1 Purpose(s) of conservation easements held by the organization (check all that apply).
- ☐ Preservation of land for public use (e.g., recreation or education)
- ☐ Preservation of an historically important land area
- ☐ Protection of natural habitat
- ☐ Preservation of a certified historic structure
- ☐ Preservation of open space

2 Complete lines 2a through 2d if the organization held a qualified conservation contribution in the form of a conservation easement on the last day of the tax year.

		Held at the End of the Year
a	Total number of conservation easements	2a
b	Total acreage restricted by conservation easements	2b
c	Number of conservation easements on a certified historic structure included in (a)	2c
d	Number of conservation easements included in (c) acquired after July 25, 2006, and not on a historic structure listed in the National Register	2d

3 Number of conservation easements modified, transferred, released, extinguished, or terminated by the organization during the tax year

4 Number of states where property subject to conservation easement is located

5 Does the organization have a written policy regarding the periodic monitoring, inspection, handling of violations, and enforcement of the conservation easements it holds? ☐ Yes ☐ No

6 Staff and volunteer hours devoted to monitoring, inspecting, handling of violations, and enforcing conservation easements during the year

7 Amount of expenses incurred in monitoring, inspecting, handling of violations, and enforcing conservation easements during the year
$

8 Does each conservation easement reported on line 2(d) above satisfy the requirements of section 170(h)(4)(B)(i) and section 170(h)(4)(B)(ii)? ☐ Yes ☐ No

9 In Part XIII, describe how the organization reports conservation easements in its revenue and expense statement, and balance sheet, and include, if applicable, the text of the footnote to the organization's financial statements that describes the organization's accounting for conservation easements.

Part III Organizations Maintaining Collections of Art, Historical Treasures, or Other Similar Assets.

Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 8.

1a If the organization elected, as permitted under FASB ASC 958, not to report in its revenue statement and balance sheet works of art, historical treasures, or other similar assets held for public exhibition, education, or research in furtherance of public service, provide, in Part XIII, the text of the footnote to its financial statements that describes these items.

b If the organization elected, as permitted under FASB ASC 958, to report in its revenue statement and balance sheet works of art, historical treasures, or other similar assets held for public exhibition, education, or research in furtherance of public service, provide the following amounts relating to these items:

(i) Revenue included on Form 990, Part VIII, line 1 $

(ii) Assets included in Form 990, Part X $

2 If the organization received or held works of art, historical treasures, or other similar assets for financial gain, provide the following amounts required to be reported under FASB ASC 958 relating to these items:

a Revenue included on Form 990, Part VIII, line 1 $

b Assets included in Form 990, Part X $

Part III Organizations Maintaining Collections of Art, Historical Treasures, or Other Similar Assets (continued)

3 Using the organization's acquisition, accession, and other records, check any of the following that are a significant use of its collection items (check all that apply):
- a ☐ Public exhibition
- b ☐ Scholarly research
- c ☐ Preservation for future generations
- d ☐ Loan or exchange programs
- e ☐ Other

4 Provide a description of the organization's collections and explain how they further the organization's exempt purpose in Part XIII.

5 During the year, did the organization solicit or receive donations of art, historical treasures or other similar assets to be sold to raise funds rather than to be maintained as part of the organization's collection? ☐ Yes ☐ No

Part IV Escrow and Custodial Arrangements.

Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 9, or reported an amount on Form 990, Part X, line 21.

1a Is the organization an agent, trustee, custodian or other intermediary for contributions or other assets not included on Form 990, Part X? ☐ Yes ☑ No

b If "Yes," explain the arrangement in Part XIII and complete the following table:

		Amount
c	Beginning balance	1c
d	Additions during the year	1d
e	Distributions during the year	1e
f	Ending balance	1f

2a Did the organization include an amount on Form 990, Part X, line 21, for escrow or custodial account liability? ☑ Yes ☐ No

b If "Yes," explain the arrangement in Part XIII. Check here if the explanation has been provided in Part XIII ☑

Part V Endowment Funds.

Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 10.

		(a) Current year	(b) Prior year	(c) Two years back	(d) Three years back	(e) Four years back
1a	Beginning of year balance					
b	Contributions					
c	Net investment earnings, gains, and losses					
d	Grants or scholarships					
e	Other expenditures for facilities and programs					
f	Administrative expenses					
g	End of year balance					

2 Provide the estimated percentage of the current year end balance (line 1g, column (a)) held as:
- a Board designated or quasi-endowment
- b Permanent endowment
- c Term endowment

The percentages on lines 2a, 2b, and 2c should equal 100%.

3a Are there endowment funds not in the possession of the organization that are held and administered for the organization by:

	Yes	No
3a(i)		
3a(ii)		
3b		

(i) Unrelated organizations
(ii) Related organizations
b If "Yes" on 3a(ii), are the related organizations listed as required on Schedule R?

4 Describe in Part XIII the intended uses of the organization's endowment funds.

Part VI Land, Buildings, and Equipment.

Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 11a. See Form 990, Part X, line 10.

Description of property	(a) Cost or other basis (investment)	(b) Cost or other basis (other)	(c) Accumulated depreciation	(d) Book value
1a Land		3,943,693		3,943,809
b Buildings		3,321,626	1,026,122	2,295,504
c Leasehold improvements		99,593	46,580	9,795
d Equipment		910,135	431,476	478,659
e Other		2,516,913		2,516,913

Total. Add lines 1a through 1e. *(Column (d) must equal Form 990, Part X, column (B), line 10(c).)* 9,228,681

Part VII Investments - Other Securities.

Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 11b. See Form 990, Part X, line 12.

(a) Description of security or category (including name of security)	(b) Book value	(c) Method of valuation: Cost or end-of-year market value
(1) Financial derivatives		
(2) Closely-held equity interests		
(3) Other		
(A)		
(B)		
(C)		
(D)		
(E)		
(F)		
(G)		
(H)		
Total. *(Column (b) must equal Form 990, Part X, col. (B) line 12.)*		

Part VIII Investments - Program Related.

Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 11c. See Form 990, Part X, line 13.

(a) Description of investment	(b) Book value	(c) Method of valuation: Cost or end-of-year market value
(1)		
(2)		
(3)		
(4)		
(5)		
(6)		
(7)		
(8)		
(9)		
Total. *(Column (b) must equal Form 990, Part X, col. (B) line 13.)*		

Part IX Other Assets.

Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 11d. See Form 990, Part X, line 15.

(a) Description	(b) Book value
(1) DUE FROM RELATED PARTIES	6,733,499
(2) OPERATING LEASE RIGHT-OF-USE ASSETS	1,791,527
(3) UTILITY DEPOSIT	137,193
(4) RETENTION RECEIVABLE	719,719
(5)	
(6)	
(7)	
(8)	
(9)	
Total. *(Column (b) must equal Form 990, Part X, col (B) line 15.)*	9,401,938

Part X Other Liabilities.

Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 11e or 11f. See Form 990, Part X, line 25.

(a) Description of liability	(b) Book value
(1) Federal income taxes	
OPERATING LEASE LIABILITY	1,791,726
LINE OF CREDIT	1,996,122
DEPOSIT LIABILITY	379,363
Total. *(Column (b) must equal Form 990, Part X, col (B) line 25.)*	4,167,211

2. Liability for uncertain tax positions. In Part XIII, provide the text of the footnote to the organization's financial statements that reports the organization's liability for uncertain tax positions under FIN 48 (ASC 740). Check here if the text of the footnote has been provided in Part XIII ☑

Part XI Reconciliation of Revenue per Audited Financial Statements With Revenue per Return.

Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 12a.

1	Total revenue, gains, and other support per audited financial statements	1	37,645,139

2	Amounts included on line 1 but not on Form 990, Part VIII, line 12:				
a	Net unrealized gains (losses) on investments	2a			
b	Donated services and use of facilities	2b	258,294		
c	Recoveries of prior year grants	2c			
d	Other (Describe in Part XIII.)	2d			
e	Add lines 2a through 2d			2e	258,294
3	Subtract line 2e from line 1			3	37,386,845
4	Amounts included on Form 990, Part VIII, line 12, but not on line 1:				
a	Investment expenses not included on Form 990, Part VIII, line 7b	4a			
b	Other (Describe in Part XIII.)	4b			
c	Add lines 4a and 4b			4c	0
5	Total revenue. Add lines 3 and 4c. (This must equal Form 990, Part I, line 12.)			5	37,386,845

Part XII Reconciliation of Expenses per Audited Financial Statements With Expenses per Return.
Complete if the organization answered 'Yes' on Form 990, Part IV, line 12a.

1	Total expenses and losses per audited financial statements			1	36,182,911
2	Amounts included on line 1 but not on Form 990, Part IX, line 25:				
a	Donated services and use of facilities	2a	258,294		
b	Prior year adjustments	2b			
c	Other losses	2c			
d	Other (Describe in Part XIII.)	2d			
e	Add lines 2a through 2d			2e	258,294
3	Subtract line 2e from line 1			3	35,924,617
4	Amounts included on Form 990, Part IX, line 25, but not on line 1:				
a	Investment expenses not included on Form 990, Part VIII, line 7b	4a			
b	Other (Describe in Part XIII.)	4b			
c	Add lines 4a and 4b			4c	0
5	Total expenses. Add lines 3 and 4c. (This must equal Form 990, Part I, line 18.)			5	35,924,617

Part XIII Supplemental Information

Provide the descriptions required for Part II, lines 3, 5, and 9; Part III, lines 1a and 4; Part IV, lines 1b and 2b; Part V, line 4; Part X, line 2; Part XI, lines 2d and 4b; and Part XII, lines 2d and 4b. Also complete this part to provide any additional information.

Return Reference	Explanation
PART IV, LINE 2B:	CUSTODIAL ACCOUNT IS USED FOR UNCLAIMED PARTICIPANT RELATED PAYMENTS TO BE REISSUED AT A FUTURE DATE.
PART X, LINE 2:	THE CORPORATION IS EXEMPT FROM FEDERAL INCOME TAX UNDER SECTION 501(C)(3) OF THE INTERNAL REVENUE CODE AND STATE INCOME TAX AND HAS BEEN CLASSIFIED AS AN OTHER THAN PRIVATE FOUNDATION. ACCORDINGLY, NO PROVISION FOR FEDERAL AND STATE TAXES ON REVENUE AND INCOME HAS BEEN RECOGNIZED IN THE ACCOMPANYING CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS. EVEN THOUGH THE CORPORATION IS RECOGNIZED AS TAX-EXEMPT, IT STILL MAY BE LIABLE FOR TAX ON ITS UNRELATED BUSINESS INCOME (UBI). THE CORPORATION EVALUATES TAX POSITIONS THROUGH ITS REVIEW OF THE SOURCES OF INCOME TO IDENTIFY UBI AND CERTAIN OTHER MATTERS, INCLUDING THOSE WHICH MAY AFFECT ITS TAX EXEMPT STATUS. THE EFFECT WOULD BE RECORDED IF THE OUTCOME WAS CONSIDERED PROBABLE AND REASONABLY ESTIMABLE. AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2023, THE CORPORATION HAD NO UNCERTAIN TAX POSITIONS REQUIRING ACCRUAL. THE CORPORATION IS SUBJECT TO POTENTIAL INCOME TAX AUDITS ON OPEN TAX YEARS BY ANY TAXING JURISDICTION IN WHICH IT OPERATES. THE STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS FOR FEDERAL AND STATE PURPOSES IS GENERALLY THREE AND FOUR YEARS, RESPECTIVELY.

Additional Data

Return to Form

Software ID:

Software Version:

Schedule J (Form 990)

Department of the Treasury
Internal Revenue Service

Compensation Information

For certain Officers, Directors, Trustees, Key Employees, and Highest Compensated Employees

☐ **Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 23.**

☐ **Go to www.irs.gov/Form990 for instructions and the latest information.**

OMB No. 1545-0047

2023

Open to Public Inspection

Name of the organization
COALITION FOR SUSTAINABLE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

Employer identification number
20-2440113

Part I Questions Regarding Compensation

		Yes	No
1a	Check the appropriate box(es) if the organization provided any of the following to or for a person listed on Form 990, Part VII, Section A, line 1a. Complete Part III to provide any relevant information regarding these items. ☐ First-class or charter travel ☐ Housing allowance or residence for personal use ☐ Travel for companions ☐ Payments for business use of personal residence ☐ Tax indemnification and gross-up payments ☐ Health or social club dues or initiation fees ☐ Discretionary spending account ☐ Personal services (e.g., maid, chauffeur, chef)		
b	If any of the boxes on Line 1a are checked, did the organization follow a written policy regarding payment or reimbursement or provision of all of the expenses described above? If "No," complete Part III to explain	1b	
2	Did the organization require substantiation prior to reimbursing or allowing expenses incurred by all directors, trustees, and officers, including the CEO/Executive Director, regarding the items checked on Line 1a?	2	
3	Indicate which, if any, of the following the filing organization used to establish the compensation of the organization's CEO/Executive Director. Check all that apply. Do not check any boxes for methods used by a related organization to establish compensation of the CEO/Executive Director, but explain in Part III. ☐ Compensation committee ☐ Written employment contract ☐ Independent compensation consultant ☑ Compensation survey or study ☑ Form 990 of other organizations ☑ Approval by the board or compensation committee		
4	During the year, did any person listed on Form 990, Part VII, Section A, line 1a, with respect to the filing organization or a related organization:		
a	Receive a severance payment or change-of-control payment?	4a	No
b	Participate in, or receive payment from, a supplemental nonqualified retirement plan?	4b	No
c	Participate in, or receive payment from, an equity-based compensation arrangement?	4c	No
	If "Yes" to any of lines 4a-c, list the persons and provide the applicable amounts for each item in Part III.		
	Only 501(c)(3), 501(c)(4), and 501(c)(29) organizations must complete lines 5-9.		
5	For persons listed on Form 990, Part VII, Section A, line 1a, did the organization pay or accrue any compensation contingent on the revenues of:		
a	The organization?	5a	No
b	Any related organization?	5b	No
	If "Yes," on line 5a or 5b, describe in Part III.		
6	For persons listed on Form 990, Part VII, Section A, line 1a, did the organization pay or accrue any compensation contingent on the net earnings of:		
a	The organization?	6a	No
b	Any related organization?	6b	No
	If "Yes," on line 6a or 6b, describe in Part III.		
7	For persons listed on Form 990, Part VII, Section A, line 1a, did the organization provide any nonfixed payments not described in lines 5 and 6? If "Yes," describe in Part III	7	No
8	Were any amounts reported on Form 990, Part VII, paid or accrued pursuant to a contract that was subject to the initial contract exception described in Regulations section 53.4958-4(a)(3)? If "Yes," describe in Part III	8	No
9	If "Yes" on line 8, did the organization also follow the rebuttable presumption procedure described in Regulations section 53.4958-6(c)?		

For Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the Instructions for Form 990. Cat. No. 30613T **Schedule J (Form 990) 2023**

Part II Officers, Directors, Trustees, Key Employees, and Highest Compensated Employees. Use duplicate copies if additional space is needed.

For each individual whose compensation must be reported on Schedule J, report compensation from the organization on row (i) and from related organizations, described in the instructions, on row (ii). Do not list any individuals that are not listed on Form 990, Part VII.

Note. The sum of columns (B)(i)-(iii) for each listed individual must equal the total amount of Form 990, Part VII, Section A, line 1a, applicable columns (D) and (E) amounts for that individual.

(A) Name and Title		(B) Breakdown of W-2 and/or 1099-MISC compensation, and/or 1099-NEC compensation			(C) Retirement and other deferred compensation	(D) Nontaxable benefits	(E) Total of columns (B)(i)-(D)	(F) Compensation reported as deferred on prior Form 990
		(i) Base compensation	(ii) Bonus & incentive compensation	(iii) Other reportable compensation				
1 TOM WILSON PRESIDENT & CEO	(i)	174975	0	0	5250	6402	186627	0
	(ii)	156298	0	0	0	0	156298	0
2 HELEN NORTHGUARD CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER	(i)	167977	0	0	5250	13560	186787	0
	(ii)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3 RICARDO MENDOZA CHIEF RISK DEVELOPMENT OFFICER	(i)	161379	0	0	5250	9271	175900	0
	(ii)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Schedule J (Form 990) 2023

Part III Supplemental Information

Provide the information, explanation, or descriptions required for Part I, lines 1a, 1b, 3, 4a, 4b, 4c, 5a, 5b, 6a, 6b, 7, and 8, and for Part II. Also complete this part for any additional information.

Return Reference	Explanation

Schedule J (Form 990) 2023

Additional Data

Return to Form

Software ID:
Software Version:

efile Public Visual Render | Objectid: 202413199349316581 - Submission: 2024-11-14 | TIN: 20-2445113

Schedule L (Form 990)

Department of the Treasury

Transactions with Interested Persons

Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, lines 25a, 25b, 26, 27, 28a, 28b, or 28c, or Form 990-EZ, Part V, line 38a or 40b.
Attach to Form 990 or Form 990-EZ.
Go to www.irs.gov/Form990 for instructions and the latest information.

OMB No. 1545-0047

2023

Open to Public Inspection

Name of the organization
COALITION FOR RESPONSIBLE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

Employer identification number
20-2445113

Part I Excess Benefit Transactions (section 501(c)(3), section 501(c)(4), and section 501(c)(29) organizations only).
Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 25a or 25b, or Form 990-EZ, Part V, line 40b.

1	(a) Name of disqualified person	(b) Relationship between disqualified person and organization	(c) Description of transaction	(d) Corrected? Yes	No

2 Enter the amount of tax incurred by the organization managers or disqualified persons during the year under section 4958. . . . . $

3 Enter the amount of tax, if any, on line 2, above, reimbursed by the organization . . . . . $

Part II Loans to and/or From Interested Persons.
Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990-EZ, Part V, line 38a, or Form 990, Part IV, line 26; or if the organization reported an amount on Form 990, Part X, line 5, 6, or 22.

(a) Name of interested person	(b) Relationship with organization	(c) Purpose of loan	(d) Loan to or from the organization? To	From	(e) Original principal amount	(f) Balance due	(g) In default? Yes	No	(h) Approved by board or committee? Yes	No	(i) Written agreement? Yes	No
(1) CRCD PARTNERS LLC	MARK WILSON, PRESIDENT & CEO, IS A 40% PARTNER	START UP CAPITAL INVESTMENT		X	350,000	0		No	Yes		Yes	
(2) CRCD PARTNERS LLC	MARK WILSON, PRESIDENT & CEO, IS A 40% PARTNER	START UP CAPITAL INVESTMENT		X	400,000	400,000		No	Yes		Yes	
(3) CRCD PARTNERS LLC	MARK WILSON, PRESIDENT & CEO, IS A 40% PARTNER	WORKING CAPITAL		X	49,000	49,000		No	Yes		Yes	
Total			0			449,499						

Part III Grants or Assistance Benefiting Interested Persons.
Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 27.

(a) Name of interested person	(b) Relationship between interested person and the organization	(c) Amount of assistance	(d) Type of assistance	(e) Purpose of assistance

Part IV Business Transactions Involving Interested Persons.
Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 28a, 28b, or 28c.

(a) Name of interested person	(b) Relationship between interested person and the organization	(c) Amount of transaction	(d) Description of transaction	(e) Sharing of organization's revenues? Yes	No
(1) CRCD PARTNERS LLC	MARK WILSON, PRESIDENT & CEO, IS A 40% PARTNER	248,320	RENTS		No

Part V Supplemental Information
Provide additional information for responses to questions on Schedule L (see instructions).

Return Reference	Explanation

Additional Data Return to Form

Software ID:
Software Version:

efile Public Visual Render | Objectid: 202413199349316581 - Submission: 2024-11-14 | TIN: 20-2445113

SCHEDULE O (Form 990)

Department of the Treasury
Internal Revenue Service

Supplemental Information to Form 990 or 990-EZ

Complete to provide information for responses to specific questions on Form 990 or 990-EZ or to provide any additional information.
Attach to Form 990 or 990-EZ.
Go to www.irs.gov/Form990 for the latest information.

OMB No. 1545-0047

2023

Open to Public Inspection

Name of the organization
COALITION FOR RESPONSIBLE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

Employer identification number
20-2445113

Return Reference	Explanation
FORM 990, PART VI, SECTION A, LINE 2	MR. MARK WILSON, PRESIDENT/CEO, AND MR. BRYAN WILSON, TREASURER/SECRETARY, ARE RELATED.
FORM 990, PART VI, SECTION B, LINE 11B	REVIEWED BY BOARD PRIOR TO ISSUANCE.
FORM 990, PART VI, SECTION B, LINE 12C	THE ORGANIZATION REGULARLY AND CONSISTENTLY MONITORS AND ENFORCES COMPLIANCE WITH THE CONFLICT OF INTEREST POLICY BY REQUIRING THE BOARD AND OFFICERS TO REVIEW AND SIGN THE CONFLICT OF INTEREST POLICY ANNUALLY.
FORM 990, PART VI, SECTION B, LINE 15	THE COMPENSATION FOR THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTORS & CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER IS REVIEWED AND APPROVED BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND COMPENSATION COMMITTEE. THE ORGANIZATION ALSO PERFORMS A SURVEY OF THE COMPENSATION ON THE SIMILAR ORGANIZATIONS AND PRESENTS IT TO THE BOARD FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL.
FORM 990, PART VI, SECTION C, LINE 19	AVAILABLE UPON REQUEST.
FORM 990, PART XI, LINE 9	REMOVE S CORP FROM 990 FILING -1,449,786.

Additional Data

Return to Form

Software ID:
Software Version:

SCHEDULE R (Form 990)

Department of the Treasury
Internal Revenue Service

Name of the organization
CALIFORNIA COMMUNITY HOUSING AGENCY (CCHA)

Related Organizations and Unrelated Partnerships

Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 33, 34, 35b, 36, or 37.
Attach to Form 990.
Go to www.irs.gov/Form990 for instructions and the latest information.

OMB No. 1545-0047

2023

Open to Public Inspection

Employer identification number
33-2445113

Part I **Identification of Disregarded Entities.** Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 33.

(a) Name, address, and EIN (if applicable) of disregarded entity	(b) Primary activity	(c) Legal domicile (state or foreign country)	(d) Total income	(e) End-of-year assets	(f) Direct controlling entity
1 HCHDC APARTMENT LLC 3131 SOUTH GRAND AVENUE LOS ANGELES, CA 90007	LOW-INCOME RESIDENTIAL RENTAL	CA	448,138	6,000,000	COALITION FOR RESPONSIBLE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
2 HCHDC LLC 3131 SOUTH GRAND AVENUE LOS ANGELES, CA 90007	LOW-INCOME RESIDENTIAL RENTAL	CA	0	674,062	COALITION FOR RESPONSIBLE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
3 CHANDLER GARDENS LLC 3131 SOUTH GRAND AVENUE LOS ANGELES, CA 90007	PROVIDES LOW-INCOME HOUSING UNITS TO VETERANS HOUSING UNITS	CA	0	0	COALITION FOR RESPONSIBLE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
4 SOUTH TAYLOR LOFTS LLC 3131 SOUTH GRAND AVENUE LOS ANGELES, CA 90007	PROVIDES LOW-INCOME HOUSING UNITS TO VETERANS HOUSING UNITS	CA	0	0	COALITION FOR RESPONSIBLE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
5 HCHDC ESTATES LLC 3131 SOUTH GRAND AVENUE LOS ANGELES, CA 90007	AFFORDABLE RENTAL HOUSING DEVELOPMENT FOR LOW INCOME PERSONS	CA	0	2,948,000	COALITION FOR RESPONSIBLE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

Part II **Identification of Related Tax-Exempt Organizations.** Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 34 because it had one or more related tax-exempt organizations during the tax year.

(a) Name, address, and EIN of related organization	(b) Primary activity	(c) Legal domicile (state or foreign country)	(d) Exempt Code section	(e) Public charity status (if section 501(c)(3))	(f) Direct controlling entity	(g) Section 512(b)(13) controlled entity? Yes	No

For Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the Instructions for Form 990. Cat. No. 50135Y **Schedule R (Form 990) 2023**

Part III **Identification of Related Organizations Taxable as a Partnership.** Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 34, because it had one or more related organizations treated as a partnership during the tax year.

(a) Name, address, and EIN of related organization	(b) Primary activity	(c) Legal domicile (state or foreign country)	(d) Direct controlling entity	(e) Predominant income(related, unrelated, excluded from tax under sections 512-514)	(f) Share of total income	(g) Share of end-of-year assets	(h) Disproportionate allocations? Yes	No	(i) Code V-UBI amount in box 20 of Schedule K-1 (Form 1065)	(j) Preferred return? Yes	No	(k) Percentage ownership
1 HISTORIC LINCOLN THEATRE LP 3131 SOUTH GRAND AVENUE LOS ANGELES, CA 90007	ACQUIRE, DEVELOP, CONSTRUCT, REHAB, OPERATE, MORTGAGE AND INVEST IN HOUSING	CA	HCHDC	RELATED	140,875	13,247,067		No		Yes		100.000 %
2 CHANDLER GARDENS LP 3131 SOUTH GRAND AVENUE LOS ANGELES, CA 90007	ACQUIRE, DEVELOP, CONSTRUCT, REHAB, OPERATE, MORTGAGE AND INVEST IN HOUSING	CA		RELATED				No		Yes		
3 PWA REDONDO LP 3131 SOUTH GRAND AVENUE LOS ANGELES, CA 90007	ACQUIRE, DEVELOP, CONSTRUCT, REHAB, OPERATE, MORTGAGE AND INVEST IN HOUSING	CA		RELATED				No		Yes		
4 COMBEE VILLAGE LP 3131 SOUTH GRAND AVENUE LOS ANGELES, CA 90007	ACQUIRE, DEVELOP, CONSTRUCT, REHAB, OPERATE, MORTGAGE AND INVEST IN HOUSING	CA		RELATED				No		Yes		
5 VERMONT PARK PLAZA LP 3131 SOUTH GRAND AVENUE LOS ANGELES, CA 90007	ACQUIRE, DEVELOP, CONSTRUCT, REHAB, OPERATE, MORTGAGE AND INVEST IN HOUSING	CA		RELATED				No		Yes		
6 SOUTH TAYLOR HOMES LP 3131 SOUTH GRAND AVENUE LOS ANGELES, CA 90007	ACQUIRE, DEVELOP, CONSTRUCT, REHAB, OPERATE, MORTGAGE AND INVEST IN HOUSING	CA		RELATED				No		Yes		
7 HCHDC ESTATES DEVELOPER LP 3131 SOUTH GRAND AVENUE LOS ANGELES, CA 90007	ACQUIRE, DEVELOP, CONSTRUCT, REHAB, OPERATE, MORTGAGE AND INVEST IN HOUSING	CA		RELATED				No		Yes		
8 4TH STREET AND BROADWAY APARTMENTS LP 3131 SOUTH GRAND AVENUE LOS ANGELES, CA 90007	ACQUIRE, DEVELOP, CONSTRUCT, REHAB, OPERATE, MORTGAGE AND INVEST IN HOUSING	CA	HCHDC	RELATED				No		Yes		99.000 %
9 ESTHER'S APARTMENTS LP 3131 SOUTH GRAND AVENUE LOS ANGELES, CA 90007	ACQUIRE, DEVELOP, CONSTRUCT, REHAB, OPERATE, MORTGAGE AND INVEST IN HOUSING	CA		RELATED				No		Yes		0.000 %
10 CALMECAC LA PIETRA LIBRE LLC 3131 SOUTH GRAND AVENUE LOS ANGELES, CA 90007	ACQUIRE, DEVELOP, CONSTRUCT, REHAB, OPERATE, MORTGAGE AND INVEST IN HOUSING	CA		RELATED				No		Yes		
11 CARTER V MILLENNIUM LP 3131 SOUTH GRAND AVENUE LOS ANGELES, CA 90007	ACQUIRE, DEVELOP, CONSTRUCT, REHAB, OPERATE, MORTGAGE AND INVEST IN HOUSING	CA		RELATED				No		Yes		
12 CCHA SFR, MANAGER LP 3131 SOUTH GRAND AVENUE LOS ANGELES, CA 90007	ACQUIRE, DEVELOP, CONSTRUCT, REHAB, OPERATE, MORTGAGE AND INVEST IN HOUSING	CA	HCHDC	RELATED				No		Yes		99.900 %
13 CCHA HOUSING, MANAGER LP 3131 SOUTH GRAND AVENUE LOS ANGELES, CA 90007	ACQUIRE, DEVELOP, CONSTRUCT, REHAB, OPERATE, MORTGAGE AND INVEST IN HOUSING	CA	HCHDC LLC	RELATED				No		Yes		0.000 %

Part IV **Identification of Related Organizations Taxable as a Corporation or Trust.** Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 34 because it had one or more related organizations treated as a corporation or trust during the tax year.

(a) Name, address, and EIN of related organization	(b) Primary activity	(c) Legal domicile (state or foreign country)	(d) Direct controlling entity	(e) Type of entity (C corp, S corp, or trust)	(f) Share of total income	(g) Share of end-of-year assets	(h) Percentage ownership	(i) Section 512(b)(13) controlled entity? Yes	No
1 HCHDC ENTERPRISES INC 3131 SOUTH GRAND AVENUE LOS ANGELES, CA 90007 33-0775825	OWNERSHIP DEVELOPMENT	CA	CCHA FOR FOR RESPONSIBLE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT	C	-14,864	9,274,870	100.000 %	Yes	

Schedule R (Form 990) 2023

Part V **Transactions With Related Organizations.** Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 34, 35b, or 36.

Note. Complete line 1 if any entity is listed in Parts II, III, or IV of this schedule.

		Yes	No
1	During the tax year, did the organization engage in any of the following transactions with one or more related organizations listed in Parts II-IV?		
a	Receipt of (i) interest, (ii) annuities, (iii) royalties, or (iv) rent from a controlled entity	1a		No
b	Gift, grant, or capital contribution to related organization(s)	1b		No
c	Gift, grant, or capital contribution from related organization(s)	1c		No
d	Loans or loan guarantees to or for related organization(s)	1d	Yes	
e	Loans or loan guarantees by related organization(s)	1e		No
f	Dividends from related organization(s)	1f		No
g	Sale of assets to related organization(s)	1g	Yes	
h	Purchase of assets from related organization(s)	1h		No
i	Exchange of assets with related organization(s)	1i		No
j	Lease of facilities, equipment, or other assets to related organization(s)	1j	Yes	
k	Lease of facilities, equipment, or other assets from related organization(s)	1k		No
l	Performance of services or membership or fundraising solicitations for related organization(s)	1l		No
m	Performance of services or membership or fundraising solicitations by related organization(s)	1m	Yes	
n	Sharing of facilities, equipment, mailing lists, or other assets with related organization(s)	1n	Yes	
o	Sharing of paid employees with related organization(s)	1o		No
p	Reimbursement paid to related organization(s) for expenses	1p	Yes	
q	Reimbursement paid by related organization(s) for expenses	1q	Yes	
r	Other transfer of cash or property to related organization(s)	1r		No
s	Other transfer of cash or property from related organization(s)	1s		No

2 If the answer to any of the above is "Yes," see the instructions for information on who must complete this line, including covered relationships and transaction thresholds.

(a) Name of related organization	(b) Transaction type (a-s)	(c) Amount involved	(d) Method of determining amount involved
1 HCHDC ENTERPRISES	P	37,000	FMV
2 HCHDC ENTERPRISES	C	50,000	FMV
3 HCHDC ENTERPRISES	D	1,083,000	FMV
4 HCHDC ENTERPRISES	D	314,178	FMV

Schedule R (Form 990) 2023

Part VI **Unrelated Organizations Taxable as a Partnership.** Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 37.

Provide the following information for each entity taxed as a partnership through which the organization conducted more than five percent of its activities (measured by total assets or gross revenue) that was not a related organization. See instructions regarding exclusion for certain investment partnerships.

(a) Name, address, and EIN of entity	(b) Primary activity	(c) Legal domicile (state or foreign country)	(d) Predominant income (related, unrelated, excluded from tax under sections 512-514)	(e) Are all partners section 501(c)(3) organizations? Yes	No	(f) Share of total income	(g) Share of end-of-year assets	(h) Disproportionate allocations? Yes	No	(i) Code V-UBI amount in box 20 of Schedule K-1 (Form 1065)	(j) Preferred return? Yes	No	(k) Percentage ownership

EXHIBIT X

September 2025 / records transparency and grievance request reference

Source file: Gmail - Subject_ Formal Request for Records, Enrollment Status, and Grievance Procedures.PDF
Pages: 1

Relevance: Shows records-transparency, enrollment-status, and grievance-procedure request history.

The full source document follows this cover page.

Subject: Formal Request for Records, Enrollment Status, and Grievance Procedures

1 message

Polet Lopez <palopez@coalitionrcd.org> Mon, Sep 29, 2025 at 10:37 AM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Good morning, Kyle,

Please see below the responses to the email you sent on 9/16/25.

1. Confirmation of my enrollment status — Am I currently considered an active participant in CRCD or its partner programs?

- Yes, you are currently enrolled in Project Tipping Point. As you are aware, the purpose of Project Tipping Point is to support academic success for current and former foster youth at the post-secondary level. It is a program requirement and expectation that participants are enrolled in at least 6-9 units per semester in order to be considered an active participant and in good standing with the program. Due to the fact that you are not currently enrolled in academic course work at LATTC for the Fall 2025 Semester, your status in Project Tipping Point is considered inactive. In addition, your academic progress has not met programmatic expectations as outlined below:
  - Summer 2024: No credit bearing courses attempted, no credits earned
  - Fall 2024: 7 units attempted, 2 earned
  - Spring 2025: No credit bearing courses attempted, no credits earned
  - Summer 2025: No credit bearing courses attempted, no credits earned

If you would like to discuss your continued participation in Project Tipping Point, as an active participant, please schedule a time to meet with myself or your College Navigator.

2. A list of supportive services I am currently enrolled in or entitled to, along with documentation of how these services have been or will be provided.

- Below is the list of supportive services that have been provided to you since enrolling into PTP on June 13, 2024:
  - Furniture assistance: $1,191.82
  - Rental assistance:
    - Security Deposit $2000
    - August 2024 rent $1500
    - Sept 2024- June 2025 @ $2000 = $20K
    - July and Aug @ $1000 = $2000
  - Supportive Services:
    - Student Fees: $120
    - School supplies: $124.61
    - Gift cards (food insecurities/ business attire): $805
  - Totaling: $15,741.43
- Project Tipping Point participants must be considered active and in enrolled in credit-bearing college courses in order to be eligible for supportive services.

3. Copies of any grievance procedures available to participants, as required by state, county, or federal funding guidelines.

Our team has been in consistent communication regarding the expectations of the program and addressing your needs and concerns. If you have additional needs or concerns, please schedule a time to meet with myself or your College Navigator and we will include additional Leadership staff from CRCD.

4. Identification of the funding sources (city, county, state, or federal grants) that support my enrollment and services.

- The Project Tipping Point is supported through private foundations.

5. Any communications, case notes, or agreements that document my referral, intake, or program eligibility.

- The requested documents have been provided.

Thank you and please let me know if you have any questions.

_____

Polet Lopez | **Youth & Education Manager**

Workforce Development
213.743.6193 | **M:** 323.507.3054 | **F:** 323.521.1928 | **TTY:** 711
palopez@coalitionrcd.org | www.coalitionrcd.org

EXHIBIT Y

Public web-capture text appendix from uploaded MHT exhibits

Source file: OGIS_Public_Context_Web_Capture_Text_Appendix_June13_2026.pdf
Pages: 31

Relevance: Text-rendered public-context web captures supplied by requester. Included as context only, not as proof of underlying allegations.

The full source document follows this cover page.

SUPPLEMENTAL PUBLIC-CONTEXT WEB CAPTURE TEXT APPENDIX

These pages are text-rendered extracts from uploaded MHT web captures. They are included only as public-context/search-scope material. The attached OGIS request does not ask OGIS to adjudicate the public-policy, political, local-agency, or merits issues reflected in these web pages.

Web Capture 1: CLBC Members | Legislative Black Caucus

Source file: CLBC Members _ Legislative Black Caucus.mht
Snapshot URL: https://blackcaucus.legislature.ca.gov/members
Snapshot date: Fri, 12 Jun 2026 16:16:01 -0700

CLBC Members | Legislative Black Caucus
Skip to main content
Main navigation
Home
About
CLBC History
California Legislative Black Caucus Bylaws
Our Members
Current Members
Past Members
Media & Events
Caucus News
Upcoming Events
Past Events
Photos
Video
Contact
Subscribe

Congratulatory Letter Form
Event Request
Gubernatorial Appointment Support Request
Meeting Request
Translate this page
CLBC Members
Dr. Akilah Weber Pierson, M.D.
Visit website
Chair
Isaac Bryan
Visit website
Vice Chair
Mia Bonta
Visit website
Treasurer
Dr. Corey Jackson
Visit website
Secretary

Sade Elhawary
Visit website
Member
Mike Gipson
Visit website
Member
Tina McKinnor
Visit website
Member
Rhodesia Ransom
Visit website
Member
Laura Richardson
Visit website
Member
Dr. LaShae Sharp-Collins
Visit website
Member

Lola Smallwood-Cuevas
Visit website
Member
Lori D. Wilson
Visit website
Shirley Weber
Website

Ex Officio Member
Malia Cohen
Website
Ex Officio Member
Tony Thurmond
Website
Ex Officio Member
California Legislative Black Caucus, All Rights Reserved. © 2026

Web Capture 2: Criminal Justice | City Attorney

Source file: Criminal Justice _ City Attorney.mht
Snapshot URL: https://cityattorney.lacity.gov/criminal-justice
Snapshot date: Fri, 12 Jun 2026 14:16:53 -0700

Criminal Justice | City Attorney
An Official Website of
the City of
L.A.
Los Angeles
Services
City Services
Directory
City Directory
Skip to main content
Home
Expand
About
Meet Hydee
The Office
Equity and Inclusion Division
Resources
Expand

News
Media
Careers
Translate
Accessibility
Search
Home
About
»
Meet Hydee
The Office
Equity and Inclusion Division
Resources
News
»
Media
Careers
Translate

Accessibility
Search
Criminal Justice
The Office of Los Angeles City Attorney Hydee Feldstein Soto has a number of programs offering alternatives to traditional prosecution for appropriate, low-level misdemeanor crimes. These neighborhood-focused, alternative sentencing and diversionary programs emphasize repairing the harm caused by criminal behavior and holding the offender accountable. These programs seek to address root causes of criminal behavior and achieve incarceration reduction, and are centered on the four pillars of restorative justice, which are Inclusion, Encounter, Amends and Reintegration.
I
nclusion
: City Attorney prosecutors are authorized to facilitate alternative approaches by including the stakeholders most impacted by the criminal behavior – the victims, offenders and community – to participate meaningfully in a transformative process to address the crime and its impact on everyone involved.
Encounter
: How the offender encounters the criminal justice system is also key to this work, with City Attorney prosecutors creating safe spaces outside of traditional venues of justice to resolve the impact of crime in a more intimate and profound way.
Amends
: Unlike the traditional way in which crimes have been adjudicated (courts, prosecutors, police, schools, etc.), offenders are given the opportunity to make amends and express remorse.
Reintegration
: Each offender is given a unique engagement plan tailored to their particular needs and circumstances in order to support a successful reintegration into their communities following participation. The goal is that they have no future contact with the criminal justice system.
Outreach Programs
Programs that are an integral part of the problem-solving and crime prevention strategies which are essential for crime reduction and public safety.
Dispute Resolution Program (DRP)
For over 30 years, DRP has been providing mediation at no cost to residents, businesses and entities in the City of Los Angeles. Mediation is a form of dispute resolution in which a neutral third party called a mediator helps the people involved resolve conflict and find common ground. The process is confidential and voluntary and the mediator does not have a stake in the outcome. Types of disputes for which DRP can be beneficial include landlord/tenant, neighbor/neighbor, business/customer, and family/domestic, among others. An additional mediation offered is the community/police mediation, which provides the opportunity for community members to address complaints of police discourtesy and bias, and build better understanding between police officers and the people they serve. DRP offers its Basic Mediation Training at no cost to community members interested in becoming volunteer mediators.

If you have a conflict you wish to resolve, or would like to learn about becoming a volunteer Community Mediator,

go to the
DRP webpage
.

Diversion, Outreach & Opportunities for Recovery (LA DOOR)
LA DOOR is a comprehensive, health-focused, preventative approach to addiction that proactively engages individuals at elevated risk of returning to the Los Angeles City Attorney's Office on a new misdemeanor offense related to substance use, mental illness, or homelessness. Over 90% of LA DOOR participants are homeless. Rather than waiting to charge new arrests, LA DOOR delivers peer-led, multidisciplinary social services to five "hotspot" locations - one for each day of the week - to proactively engage participants in substance use treatment, mental health support, medical treatment, and case management – all without asking law enforcement to be the first point of contact. Though most participants are engaged through outreach, LA DOOR also offers diversion on eligible non-violent arrests. Participants who go through LA DOOR diversion can take advantage of LA DOOR services instead of having their arrest processed for charging.
To implement LA DOOR, the Office of the City Attorney partners with SSG Project 180 for its outreach and intensive outpatient case management services, West Angeles Community Development Corporation for LA DOOR transitional housing, and the Public Defender's Office for addressing participants' legal barriers. Since 2017, LA DOOR has served over 1,500 individuals in South and Central LA, with over 60% completing two months of case management services, over 350 clients receiving field-based substance use treatment, with more than 100 clients linked to detox or residential drug treatment, over 300 receiving mental health care including over 70 people enrolled in intensive outpatient treatment, and over 200 placed into transitional housing including LA DOOR grant-funded housing. LA DOOR is funded by grants from Proposition 47, the Safe Neighborhoods and Schools Act. The LA DOOR project is one of several projects created by the City Attorney's Recidivism Reduction and Drug Diversion Unit. For more information, email
Arthur Camegla
, Program Director.

Victim Assistance Program (VAP)
Crime victims commonly face hardship, emotional trauma, and may need assistance. The Los Angeles City Attorney's Office Victim Assistance Program was created to protect the rights of victims while providing services that meet the unique needs of those impacted by crime. Funded by several grants, VAP assists victims and family members recover from trauma suffered due to crime, while increasing the victim/witness' active participation in the adjudication of an offense.
Program services include: referrals to victim service agencies, assistance in filing for the state Victim of Crime compensation for out-of-pocket medical, wage loss, relocation, and funeral and burial expenses. VAP services new and continuing victims of crime including: domestic violence; sexual assault, child & elder abuse; robbery/assaults, hate crime, human trafficking, rape, arson, driving under the influence, hit and run, and homicides. For more information
email Derek Tennell
, VAP Program Director, or go to the
VAP website
.

Children Exposed to Violence (CEV / REACH Program)
Children Exposed to Violence (CEV) began in 2018 in the Watts neighborhood of Southeast Los Angeles with the premise that if children hear gunfire or see its aftermath, they are victims of the incident whether they verbally express it or not. Since the inception of this program, CEV has expanded to all of Southeast Los Angeles.
CEV's goal is to reach children (minors under 18) within the first 24 hours of being exposed to violence. The project team includes the Children's Institute, Inc. (CII), the Los Angeles Police Department, the Housing Authority, Los Angeles Unified School District, the Watts Gang Task Force and several intervention workers, community leaders, and clergy. The CEV team serves as educators and trainers to parents, schools and community groups. CII is also the therapeutic partner at the scene. They connect children and families to various services addressing gun violence and other traumas that they may be enduring as a result of ongoing community and in-home violence. For more information

email Lara Drino
, Program Director, or go the
CEV webpage
.

Prosecutor Led Programs
Programs offered at the point of law enforcement contact or at the time criminal charges are filed.
Neighborhood Justice Program (NJP)
NJP is a restorative justice program centered on peace-keeping through community empowerment. The ultimate measure of its success lies in its 5% recidivism rate for the thousands who have completed the program. NJP began as a pre-filing diversion but expanded to accept post-filing diversion cases and individuals with minimal criminal history. Every week, trained community volunteers called "panelists" meet throughout the City, or virtually, for an NJP Panel. This is where they directly engage with the participants to discuss the crime, the reasons it was committed, and the harm it caused. The victims are also invited to join the dialogue. The panelists work with the participants to discuss what restorative obligations ("amends") are appropriate to right the wrong, given the crime and the harm caused. Obligations may include community service, letters of apology, restitution, and relevant classes. If the participant completes these obligations in eight weeks, there will be no further criminal prosecution. If the participant fails to complete the obligations, the case is referred back to the regular course of prosecution in court. All misdemeanor charges are eligible with the exception of certain crimes. For more information
email Saminh Greenberg
, Supervising Attorney, or go to the
NJP webpage
.

City Attorney Hearings
A City Attorney hearing is an informal proceeding that is conducted as an alternative to a misdemeanor criminal prosecution. The purpose is to develop alternative resolution in cases where a crime has been committed but prosecution may be inappropriate. This may include advising respondents of the nature of their offenses and the potential serious criminal consequences should the behavior be repeated. Hearing officers also work to achieve compliance with various code requirements in cases referred by City agencies after previous attempts at obtaining compliance have been ineffective. Hearing officers also provide appropriate information and referrals to the parties. For more information
email Saminh Greenberg
, Supervising Attorney.

Mental and Behavioral Health Unit and Rapid Diversion
Understanding that some individuals are better served by mental health services and treatment instead of incarceration, pre-plea diversion under California Assembly Bill 1810 is an innovative approach to dealing with offenders who suffer from mental illness and - in many instances - are experiencing homelessness. The Rapid Diversion Program (RDP) expedites evaluation for this diversion and connection to treatment. Funded with a grant from the MacArthur Foundation, led by the Los Angeles County Alternatives to Incarceration (ATI) Initiative, and in partnership with many local agencies, RDP is a collaborative effort to efficiently and effectively address the root causes of behavior. In RDP, an LA County Department of Mental Health expert is able to recommend the defendant for pre-plea diversion under this approach. A defendant who complies with the conditions of diversion will have their case dismissed. This approach can yield significant benefits: reducing pretrial incarceration rates for those suffering from mental illness; decreasing costs related to the incarceration of those suffering from mental illness; increasing service linkage rates for justice-involved individuals suffering from mental illness; and ultimately, lowering recidivism rates for those suffering from mental illness.

Transition Age Youth Diversion
In collaboration with the LA County Public Defender and Alternate Public Defender, prosecutors offer self-empowerment focused diversion for persons between the ages of 18-25. The Coalition for Responsible Community Development (CRCD) is the City Attorney's lead non-profit agency partner providing case management and social services to address the needs of this vulnerable and underserved population. Transitional age youth may be selected for one of two City Attorney programs based on the following eligibility criteria:
Community United for Resolution & Empowerment (CURE): Young adults who commit gang-related misdemeanor offenses and who are otherwise eligible for a sentence of probation for certain offenses are identified and provided the opportunity to participate in leadership development, career planning, and education programming at CRCD as an alternative to sentencing and jail time.
Transition Age Youth Diversion Pilot (TAYDP): CRCD works with prosecutors to select candidates from arraignment court who are in need of special services given their situation as developing young adults coming from underserved communities. The selected candidate is required to waive time for arraignment and is placed on informal diversion for six months. They must enter into a contract to check in with their CRCD case manager regularly, enroll in an academic program or obtain employment, complete 20 hours of civic engagement, and complete other restorative terms.
For more information
email Erika Sandoval
, Supervising Attorney.
Record Clearing Programs
Programs that help justice-involved individuals get back "on track" after they have gone through the criminal process.
Clean Slate
The Clean Slate program is aimed at addressing a key issue faced by unhoused defendants, namely that they can be denied housing or a job because they have an unresolved misdemeanor case or warrant. Through Clean Slate, social service providers identify unhoused clients with unresolved misdemeanor cases that are in the jurisdiction of the City of Los Angeles. If there are no ongoing public safety issues, a member of the City Attorney's Homeless Engagement and Response Team (HEART) works with defense counsel to resolve the cases. Resolutions are designed to encourage the client to continue their work with the service provider to move out of homelessness. After the client completes this work, the misdemeanor cases are often dismissed which allows the unhoused client to move into housing or employment with a clean slate.
For more information,
email HEART
, go to the
HEART website
, or call 213-978-1937.
Homeless Engagement and Response Team (HEART)
HEART runs L.A. Homeless Court, a criminal record clearing program for people who are either experiencing homelessness or are at risk of experiencing homelessness. HEART helps participants resolve L.A. County infraction tickets for minor violations, such as jaywalking, having an open container, or having expired car registration tags (but not parking citations). These tickets are wiped clean in exchange for service engagement with resources or service providers that offer housing, public benefits enrollment, drug treatment, medical care, or job training. By eliminating the collateral consequences of the unpaid fines and fees from these infraction tickets, HEART helps remove barriers to housing, transportation and employment faced by program participants.
HEART is funded with a Measure H grant from the LA County Board of Supervisors and enrolls approximately 1,000 participants each year - oftentimes resolving multiple infraction tickets for each participant. HEART meets its participants at several in-person community events each month. Also, service providers can sign-up participants by

email
, phone - 213-978-1937, or by going to the
HEART website
.
For people experiencing homelessness needing help with L.A. City parking citations, visit LADOT's
Community Assistance Parking Program
.
Office of the City Attorney
200 North Main Street #800
Los Angeles, CA 90012
213-978-8100
In July 2024, the Los Angeles City Attorney's Office received the State Bar of California's Gold Seal for excellence in advancing diversity, equity, and inclusion in the legal profession.
Important Links
Contact the LA City Attorney's Office
MyLA311 - City Services and Information
City of LA Directory
City of LA Neighborhood Info
Connect With Us

Twitter
Facebook
Instagram

LinkedIn
BlueSky
YouTube
© Copyright 2026 City of Los Angeles. All rights reserved.
Footer
Disclaimer
Privacy Policy
Accessibility Statement
Google Translate
Select Language
Abkhaz
Acehnese
Acholi
Afar
Afrikaans

Albanian
Alur
Amharic
Arabic
Armenian
Assamese
Avar
Awadhi
Aymara
Azerbaijani
Balinese
Baluchi
Bambara
Baoulé
Bashkir
Basque
Batak Karo
Batak Simalungun

Batak Toba
Belarusian
Bemba
Bengali
Betawi
Bhojpuri
Bikol
Bosnian
Breton
Bulgarian
Buryat
Cantonese
Catalan
Cebuano
Chamorro
Chechen
Chichewa
Chinese (Simplified)

Chinese (Traditional)
Chuukese
Chuvash
Corsican
Crimean Tatar (Cyrillic)
Crimean Tatar (Latin)
Croatian
Czech
Danish
Dari
Dhivehi
Dinka
Dogri
Dombe
Dutch
Dyula
Dzongkha
Esperanto

Estonian
Ewe
Faroese

Fijian
Filipino
Finnish
Fon
French
French (Canada)
Frisian
Friulian
Fulani
Ga
Galician
Georgian
German
Greek
Guarani

Gujarati
Haitian Creole
Hakha Chin
Hausa
Hawaiian
Hebrew
Hiligaynon
Hindi
Hmong
Hungarian
Hunsrik
Iban
Icelandic
Igbo
Ilocano
Indonesian
Inuktut (Latin)
Inuktut (Syllabics)

Irish
Italian
Jamaican Patois
Japanese
Javanese
Jingpo
Kalaallisut
Kannada
Kanuri
Kapampangan
Kazakh
Khasi
Khmer
Kiga
Kikongo
Kinyarwanda
Kituba
Kokborok

Komi
Konkani
Korean
Krio
Kurdish (Kurmanji)
Kurdish (Sorani)
Kyrgyz
Lao
Latgalian
Latin
Latvian
Ligurian
Limburgish
Lingala
Lithuanian
Lombard
Luganda
Luo

Luxembourgish
Macedonian
Madurese

Maithili
Makassar
Malagasy
Malay
Malay (Jawi)
Malayalam
Maltese
Mam
Manx
Maori
Marathi
Marshallese
Marwadi
Mauritian Creole
Meadow Mari

Meiteilon (Manipuri)
Minang
Mizo
Mongolian
Myanmar (Burmese)
Nahuatl (Eastern Huasteca)
Ndau
Ndebele (South)
Nepalbhasa (Newari)
Nepali
NKo
Norwegian
Nuer
Occitan
Odia (Oriya)
Oromo
Ossetian
Pangasinan

Papiamento
Pashto
Persian
Polish
Portuguese (Brazil)
Portuguese (Portugal)
Punjabi (Gurmukhi)
Punjabi (Shahmukhi)
Quechua
Q’eqchi’
Romani
Romanian
Rundi
Russian
Sami (North)
Samoan
Sango
Sanskrit

Santali (Latin)
Santali (Ol Chiki)
Scots Gaelic
Sepedi
Serbian
Sesotho
Seychellois Creole
Shan
Shona
Sicilian
Silesian
Sindhi
Sinhala
Slovak
Slovenian
Somali
Spanish
Sundanese

Susu
Swahili
Swati

Swedish
Tahitian
Tajik
Tamazight
Tamazight (Tifinagh)
Tamil
Tatar
Telugu
Tetum
Thai
Tibetan
Tigrinya
Tiv
Tok Pisin
Tongan

Tshiluba
Tsonga
Tswana
Tulu
Tumbuka
Turkish
Turkmen
Tuvan
Twi
Udmurt
Ukrainian
Urdu
Uyghur
Uzbek
Venda
Venetian
Vietnamese
Waray

Welsh
Wolof
Xhosa
Yakut
Yiddish
Yoruba
Yucatec Maya
Zapotec
Zulu
Powered by
Translate
Original text
Rate this translation
Your feedback will be used to help improve Google Translate

Web Capture 3: Endorsements – Sade Elhawary

Source file: Endorsements – Sade Elhawary.mht
Snapshot URL: https://sadeforassembly.com/endorsements/
Snapshot date: Fri, 12 Jun 2026 16:17:27 -0700

Endorsements – Sade Elhawary
About Sade
Priorities
Media
In the News
Media Gallery
About AD 57
Endorsements
Volunteer
Donate
En español
About Sade
Priorities
Media
In the News
Media Gallery
About AD 57

Endorsements
Volunteer
Donate
En español
Endorsements
The Los Angeles Times has endorsed Sade!
Learn More
I'm proud to endorse Sade Elhawary to represent us in the State Assembly. Sade is a young, homegrown leader who we can trust to always fight to advance social and economic justice for all Angelenos. She has a track record of organizing residents to make positive change in their community that I've seen firsthand. She is the one we need representing the 57th Assembly District, and I look forward to being strong partners in getting folks housed, healthy, and safe.
Karen Bass
Los Angeles City Mayor
I proudly endorse Sade Elhawary to represent California's 57th Assembly District. As an organizer at the forefront of the next generation of leadership, her work is focused on improving outcomes for our youth through education and eliminating inequities in our communities. Sade is committed to putting her innovative, resourceful ideas to work for the greater good of every resident of the state.
Holly J. Mitchell
Los Angeles County Supervisor
Sade Elhawary has emerged as a powerful voice of the new generation of young progressive leaders. She's laser-focused on uplifting working families, uniting communities, and achieving lasting Black and Brown solidarity. As an organizer on the ground in the community, working with our youth, Sade has proven herself highly qualified and ready to do the heavy lifting that sparks transformative change. I'm proud to endorse her for the California State Assembly.
Hilda Solis
Los Angeles County Supervisor
Like Sade, I know that real change comes from uplifting the people who are most impacted by policy failures. Sade Elhawary will bring her lived experience as an educator, college counselor, foster parent, and daughter of immigrants to the State Assembly. And I look forward to working side-by-side with her as part of the next generation of South L.A. public servants.
Isaac Bryan

Assemblymember
FEDERAL
U.S. Senator Laphonza Butler
Congresswoman Judy Chu
Congresswoman Sydney Kamlager-Dove
Statewide
Attorney General Rob Bonta
Controller Malia Cohen
Insurance Commissioner Ricardo Lara
Treasurer Fiona Ma
Superintendent Tony Thurmond
Legislative Caucuses
CA Democratic Legislative Women's Caucus
California Legislative Black Caucus
California Legislative LGBTQ Caucus
California Legislative Progressive Caucus
State Senate
Senator Steven Bradford

Senator Lena Gonzalez
Senator Nancy Skinner
Senator Lola Smallwood-Cuevas
Senator Susan Talamantes Eggman

Senator Scott Wiener
Senator Isadore Hall (fmr)
Senator Kevin Murray (fmr)
State Assembly
Assemblymember Joaquin Arambula
Assemblymember Rebecca Bauer-Kahan
Assemblymember Steve Bennett
Assemblymember Marc Berman
Assemblymember Tasha Boerner
Assemblymember Mia Bonta
Assemblymember Isaac Bryan
Assemblymember Sabrina Cervantes
Assemblymember Damon Connolly
Assemblymember Mike Fong

Assemblymember Laura Friedman
Assemblymember Matt Haney
Assemblymember Gregg Hart
Assemblymember Chris Holden
Assemblymember Corey Jackson
Assemblymember Reggie Jones-Sawyer
Assemblymember Ash Kalra
Assemblymember Alex Lee
Assemblymember Josh Lowenthal
Assemblymember Tina McKinnor
Assemblymember Liz Ortega
Assemblymember Gail Pellerin
Assembly Speaker John Pérez (fmr)
Assemblymember Sharon Quirk-Silva
Assemblymember Eloise Reyes
Assemblymember Luz Rivas
Assemblymember Pilar Schiavo
Assemblymember Phil Ting

Assemblymember Avelino Valencia
Assemblymember Chris Ward
Assemblymember Akilah Weber
Assemblymember Lori Wilson
Assemblymember Rick Chavez Zbur
LOS ANGELES COUNTY
Supervisor Lindsey Horvath
Supervisor Holly J. Mitchell
Supervisor Hilda Solis
Municipal
Mayor Karen Bass
L.A. City Council President Marqueece Harris-Dawson
Los Angeles City Councilmember Eunisses Hernandez
Los Angeles City Councilmember Hugo Soto-Martinez
Los Angeles City Councilmember Katy Yaroslavsky
Los Angeles City Councilmember Mike Bonin (fmr)
Los Angeles City Council President Herb Wesson (fmr)
Los Angeles Unified School Board Member Rocio Rivas

LACCD Board President Nichelle Henderson
LACCD Board Trustee Sara Hernandez
LACCD Board Trustee Kelsey Iino
Carson Mayor Pro Tem Jawane Hilton
Compton Mayor Emma Sharif
Compton Unified School Board Trustee Denzell Jordan-Perry
Culver City Mayor Yasmine-Imani McMorrin
Culver City Unified School Board Member Triston Ezidore
Glendale School Board Member Ingrid Gunnell
Inglewood City Councilmember Dionne Faulk
Inglewood Unified
School Board Trustee Ernesto Castillo
Long Beach Mayor Rex Richardson
Long Beach City Councilmember Al Austin
Pasadena School Board Member Patrice Marshall Mckenzie
Riverside County Board of Ed Trustee Jamie Azpeitia-Sachs
Stockton Mayor Michael Tubbs
community leaders

Greg Akili
Danny Bakewell, Jr., Los Angeles Sentinel*
Charisse Bremond-Weaver, Brotherhood Crusade*
Maria Brenes, InnerCity Struggle*

Sylvia Castillo, Community Coalition*
Dr. Charity Chandler-Cole, CASA/LA*
Mike de la Rocha, Revolve Impact*
Ingrid Estrada-Darley, Black Girl, Brown Girl Books*
Jeimee Estrada, E4E*
Juliana Garcia
Dolores Huerta, Cofounder United Farm Workers
Harini Krishnan, South Asians for America*
Dr. Manuel Pastor, USC*
Henry Perez, InnerCity Struggle*
Alberto Retana, Community Coalition*
Rene Romero
Adrianne Shropshire, BlackPAC*
Cathy Unger, Planned Parenthood Los Angeles*

Dulce Vasquez
Luis Vasquez, Rainbow Labs*
*Organizations for identification only
Labor
AFSCME California PEOPLE
AFSCME District Council 36
AFSCME District Council 57
AFSCME Local 2620
AFSCME Local 2712
AFSCME Local 3090
AFSCME Local 3299
AFSCME Local 3634
AFSCME Local 3930/UDW
AFT Local 1521
Association of California State Supervisors
CAL FIRE Local 2881
California Assoc. of Psychiatric Technicians
California Assoc. of Professional Scientists

California Faculty Association
California Federation of Teachers
California Labor Federation
California Nurses Association
California Professional Firefighters
California School Employees Association
California Teachers Association
Faculty Assoc. of CA Community Colleges
IBEW Local 11
IBEW Local 40
Int. Union of Painters & Allied Trades DC 36
Ironworkers Local 416
L.A. County Public Defenders Local 148
Los Angeles County Federation of Labor
National Union of Healthcare Workers
Professional Engineers in CA Government
Roofers Local 36
SEIU California

SMART Sheet Metal Workers Local 105
Teamsters Joint Council 42
UFCW
UNITE HERE!
United Auto Workers Western States
United Farm Workers
United Firefighters of Los Angeles City
United Nurses Associations of California
United Teachers Los Angeles
UPTE-CWA 9119
Western States Reg. Council of Carpenters
Organizations
Abundant Housing
ACCE Action
American College of Obstetricians
Asian Democrats of Los Angeles County
Black Los Angeles Young Democrats
Black Women for Wellness Action Project

Black Women’s Democratic Club
Black Women Organized for Political Action
Bruin Democrats
California Applicants’ Attorneys Association

California Bicycle Coalition
California Democratic Renters Council
CA Environmental Justice Alliance Action
California Environmental Voters
California High School Democrats
California Medical Association
California Optometric Association
California State Retirees
California Women's List
California YIMBY
California Young Democrats
Center for Biological Diversity Action Fund
Citizens for Accountable Leadership
Climate Action California

Collective PAC
Consumer Attorneys of California
Consumer Federation of California
Courage California
CPCA Advocates
Culver City Democratic Club
Dolores Huerta Action Fund
Equality California
Evolve California
Fund Her
Health Care for All – California
Heart of LA Democratic Club
HONOR PAC
Initiate Justice Action
Jane Fonda Climate PAC
LAAAWPAC
La Defensa
Latinas Lead California

Latino Coalition of Los Angeles
Lead Locally
LGBTQ+ Victory Fund
Los Angeles County Young Democrats
Los Angeles Sentinel
LPAC
Matador Democrats
Moms Demand Action
New Frontier Democratic Club
Our Revolution
Planned Parenthood Advocacy Project
PowerCA Action
Progressive Era PAC
Project Super Bloom
Reproductive Freedom for All California
Run for Something
Sierra Club
Sister Warriors Action Fund

Smart Justice California
Southern California Armenian Democrats
Stonewall Democratic Club
Stonewall Young Democrats
Trojan Democrats
Voices for Progress
Voters of Tomorrow
Women's Political Committee
Working Families Party
PAID FOR BY SADE ELHAWARY FOR ASSEMBLY 2024. FPPC ID #1458935. 777 S. FIGUEROA ST., SUITE 4050, LOS ANGELES, CA 90017, 323-920-4416

Web Capture 4: LA City Officer Alleges Housing Millions Vanished For Karen Bass’s Political Survival

Source file: LA City Officer Alleges Housing Millions Vanished For Karen Bass’s Political Survival.mht
Snapshot URL: https://thekenyatimes.com/americas/la-city-officer-alleges-housing-millions-vanished-for-karen-basss-political-survival/
Snapshot date: Thu, 16 Apr 2026 23:26:19 -0700

LA City Officer Alleges Housing Millions Vanished For Karen Bass’s Political Survival
Wednesday, April 15, 2026
News
Latest News
Breaking News
Fact Check
Investigations
Explainers
Politics
Global Politics
Elections
Government & Policy
Diplomacy
Conflicts & Security
Political Analysis
Business
Global Economy
Markets

Technology
Startups
Energy
Finance
World News
Americas
Asia
Asia Pacific
Africa
Europe
Middle East
Africa
Central Africa
East Africa
West Africa
Southern Africa
Health
Global Health

Public Health
Health Policy
Medical Research
Diseases & Conditions
Mental Health
Nutrition
Climate & Health
Health Explainers
Sports
Athletics
Basketball
Boxing
Cricket
Football
Formula 1
Golf
Rugby
Weather

Climate Business
Climate Change
Climate Solutions
Living Green
Culture
Arts
Film & TV
Food
Music
Lifestyle
Travel
Opinion

Editorials
Letters
Behind The Brand
REGISTER
LOGIN
No Result

View All Result
News
Latest News
Breaking News
Fact Check
Investigations
Explainers
Politics
Global Politics
Elections
Government & Policy
Diplomacy
Conflicts & Security
Political Analysis
Business
Global Economy
Markets
Technology

Startups
Energy
Finance
World News
Americas
Asia
Asia Pacific
Africa
Europe
Middle East
Africa
Central Africa
East Africa
West Africa
Southern Africa
Health
Global Health
Public Health

Health Policy
Medical Research
Diseases & Conditions
Mental Health
Nutrition
Climate & Health
Health Explainers
Sports
Athletics
Basketball
Boxing
Cricket
Football
Formula 1
Golf
Rugby
Weather
Climate Business

Climate Change
Climate Solutions
Living Green
Culture
Arts
Film & TV
Food
Music
Lifestyle
Travel
Opinion
Editorials

Letters
Behind The Brand
News
Latest News
Breaking News
Fact Check

Investigations
Explainers
Politics
Global Politics
Elections
Government & Policy
Diplomacy
Conflicts & Security
Political Analysis
Business
Global Economy
Markets
Technology
Startups
Energy
Finance
World News
Americas

Asia
Asia Pacific
Africa
Europe
Middle East
Africa
Central Africa
East Africa
West Africa
Southern Africa
Health
Global Health
Public Health
Health Policy
Medical Research
Diseases & Conditions
Mental Health
Nutrition

Climate & Health
Health Explainers
Sports
Athletics
Basketball
Boxing
Cricket
Football
Formula 1
Golf
Rugby
Weather
Climate Business
Climate Change
Climate Solutions
Living Green
Culture
Arts

Film & TV
Food
Music
Lifestyle
Travel
Opinion
Editorials
Letters
Behind The Brand
No Result
View All Result
No Result

View All Result
ADVERTISEMENT
City Officer Claims Housing Millions Disappeared for Mayor’s Political Survival
by
Wiltord Otieno
April 15, 2026

Reading Time: 4 mins read
Photo collage of LA housing project and Donald Byers. PHOTO/O'Keefe
Facebook
Twitter
LinkedIn
Reddit
WhatsApp
Advertisement
Los Angeles housing finance officer Donald Byers has alleged that millions of dollars meant for homeless housing disappeared while senior city officials failed to act to protect Mayor Karen Bass’s political survival.
Byers made the claims in undercover video footage released on April 14, 2026, by the O’Keefe Media Group and published by The Gateway Pundit.
In the recording seen by The Kenya Times, Byers is identified as a Finance Development Officer involved in Los Angeles city housing programs and in oversight of funding for low-income housing projects.
According to the footage, Byers claimed that between $10 million and $20 million (Ksh1.29 billion to Ksh 2.59 billion) in public housing funds had gone missing inside the city’s housing and homelessness programs.
He alleged that money allocated to housing developments was being diverted into private pockets rather than used for construction and services intended to address homelessness.
Claims of Misused Housing Funds in LA
According to the undercover footage
, Byers states that funds allocated to housing developments intended to address homelessness are instead being siphoned off by developers.
ADVERTISEMENT
He alleges that city officials responsible for oversight have failed to take corrective action despite internal warnings and irregularities in project financing.

Also Read:
Trump Publicly Rebukes European PM After Refusing US Demands
Byers says he reported the suspected misconduct through internal channels, but no meaningful action followed.
In the video, he is heard saying that projects continue to receive funding even after experiencing years-long delays and even when departments cannot clearly document where the money has gone.
He characterizes the situation as one in which accountability mechanisms exist in name but not in practice.
One project cited in the footage is the Marcella Gardens development, linked to a developer identified as CRCD.
ADVERTISEMENT
Byers claims the city could not determine how funds tied to that project were spent, despite continued disbursements.
He describes developers as engaging in what he calls “sketchy” financial behavior while facing minimal scrutiny from city authorities
Political Motive Claims and Mayor Karen Bass
In the recordings, Byers alleges that political considerations influenced the lack of enforcement.
Also Read:
U.S. Senator Vows to Block Aid to Israel Hours After Italy Suspends Defense Pact
He claims that developers with access to Mayor Karen Bass’s office were not challenged because doing so could threaten political fundraising and reelection-related interests.
Byers is quoted as saying that calling out certain developers could affect the mayor’s ability to secure the political support needed.
The allegations also extend to broader homelessness funding structures.
Byers criticizes the Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority (LAHSA), saying it receives large allocations of public funding but produces few visible results.
He claims that despite billions spent on homelessness initiatives, large portions of the funding funnel into private pockets rather than into completed housing or services.

Requests for clarification were sent to Mayor Karen Bass’s office, the Los Angeles Housing Department, and the Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority.
No responses had been received at the time of publication.
LA Housing Program
The Los Angeles housing program is run mainly by the Los Angeles Housing Department (LAHD) in coordination with the Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority (LAHSA).
The system expanded after voters approved Measure HHH in 2016, authorizing USD 1.2 billion (Ksh 154.8 billion) in bonds for permanent supportive housing (PSH).
Projects typically combine Measure HHH funds with state money, federal Low-Income Housing Tax Credits (LIHTC), and grants from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD).
Oversight and funding approvals are split across multiple city agencies and departments.
Photo of LA Mayor Karen Bass during a past event. PHOTO/LAHDA
Tags:
Karen Bass
LA Housing
Wiltord Otieno
Wiltord Otieno is a passionate journalist at The Kenya Times with a keen eye for impactful stories. He specializes in sports writing, digital content, and storytelling that informs and inspires. Wiltord is driven by curiosity, delivering clear, engaging narratives that inform, challenge, and inspire audiences, and always ready to grow in this fast-evolving media landscape. He holds a Bachelor of Arts in Journalism and Mass Communication from The Technical University of Kenya. He can be reached at wiltord.otieno@thekenyatimes.com
Related

Posts
Is Trump Signaling Possible NATO Exit? Debate Over Alliance Role Deepens
April 15, 2026
Vance Tells Young Voters What They Should Do to Take Country Back if They Don’t Like Trump’s Policy on Iran-US War

April 15, 2026
US Forces Strike Foreign Vessel in Pacific, Kill Four in Anti-Drug Operation
April 15, 2026
War Costs Mount as U.S. Confirms Loss of $240 Million Drone Near Iran
April 15, 2026
Leave a Reply
Cancel reply
Your email address will not be published.
Required fields are marked
*
Comment
*
Name
*
Email
*
Website
Save my name, email, and website in this browser for the next time I comment.

ADVERTISEMENT
The Kenya Times Facebook
LATEST ARTICLES
Select Kenyans to Receive Ksh25,000 Each in Second Batch of Government Project
Ruto Announces VAT Reduction for All Kenyans
6 Most Marketable Courses in Kenya in 2026
KUCCPS Cut-Off Points for Bachelor of Arts Used in 2026 Placement
Siaya Assembly Summons Executive as Stalled Projects Spark Public Outrage
Ndindi Nyoro Outlines 5 Urgent Measures to Bring Fuel Prices Down to KSh 179
Judge Urges Court to Prioritize Women and Children in Dispute Resolution
Moses Wekesa: The Newly Appointed Managing Director CEO, Kenya Airport Authority
EABL 10-Year Legal Battle Tests Kenya’s Justice vs Investor Balance
Boost for Zelensky as UK Sends 120,000 Drones to Counter Russia
Ruto Defends G-to-G Fuel Deal After Outrage Over Increased Fuel Prices
Norway Backs Ukraine Against Putin, Supports Zelensky With €9 Billion Defense Aid Package
How Customers Change Banks in Kenya Without an Automated System
How SMEs Can Grow with SBM Bank’s Jijenge Biashara Savings and Loan Account
Diploma Mills: How to Identify Fake Certificates in Kenya

ADVERTISEMENT
Company
About Us
Our Authors
Our Experts
Social Media
Policies
Privacy Policy
House Rules
Standards and Policies
Terms and Conditions
Subscription
My Account
Contact Us
Join Our Team
Advertise With Us
© Copyright 2026 | The Kenya Times | All Rights Reserved
Welcome Back!

Sign In with Facebook
Sign In with Google
Sign In with Linked In
OR
Login to your account below
Remember Me
Forgotten Password?
Sign Up
Create New Account!
Sign Up with Facebook
Sign Up with Google
Sign Up with Linked In
OR
Fill the forms below to register

All fields are required.
Log In
Retrieve your password
Please enter your username or email address to reset your password.

Log In
Your Privacy and Cookies
We use cookies on our website to give you the most relevant experience by remembering your preferences and repeat visits. By clicking “Accept”, you consent to the use of ALL the cookies.
Do not sell my personal information
.
Cookie settings
ACCEPT
Privacy & Cookies Policy
Close
Privacy Overview
This website uses cookies to improve your experience while you navigate through the website. Out of these cookies, the cookies that are categorized as necessary are stored on your browser as they are essential for the working of basic functionalities...
Necessary
Always Enabled
Necessary cookies are absolutely essential for the website to function properly. This category only includes cookies that ensures basic functionalities and security features of the website. These cookies do not store any personal information.
Functional
Functional cookies help to perform certain functionalities like sharing the content of the website on social media platforms, collect feedbacks, and other third-party features.
Performance
Performance cookies are used to understand and analyze the key performance indexes of the website which helps in delivering a better user experience for the visitors.

Analytics
Analytical cookies are used to understand how visitors interact with the website. These cookies help provide information on metrics the number of visitors, bounce rate, traffic source, etc.
Advertisement
Advertisement cookies are used to provide visitors with relevant ads and marketing campaigns. These cookies track visitors across websites and collect information to provide customized ads.
Others
Other uncategorized cookies are those that are being analyzed and have not been classified into a category as yet.
SAVE & ACCEPT
LOGIN |
REGISTER
Login
Sign Up
Cart
No Result
View All Result
News
Latest News
Breaking News
Investigations

Explainers
Fact Check
Politics
Global Politics
Conflicts & Security
Elections
Diplomacy
Government & Policy
Political Analysis
Business
Global Economy
Markets
Technology
Startups
Energy
Finance
World News
Americas

Asia
Asia Pacific
Africa
Europe
Middle East
Africa
East Africa

West Africa
Southern Africa
Central Africa
Health
Global Health
Public Health
Health Policy
Medical Research
Diseases & Conditions
Mental Health
Nutrition

Climate & Health
Health Explainers
Sports
Athletics
Basketball
Boxing
Cricket
Football
Formula 1
Golf
Rugby
Weather
Climate Business
Climate Change
Climate Solutions
Living Green
Culture
Arts

Film & TV
Food
Lifestyle
Music
Travel
Opinion
Editorials
Letters
Behind The Brand
Contact Us
Are you sure want to unlock this post?
Unlock left : 0
Yes
No
Are you sure want to cancel subscription?
Yes
No
Do Not Sell or Share My Personal Information

Opt out of the sale or sharing of personal information
We won't sell or share your personal information to inform the ads you see. You may still see interest-based ads if your information is sold or shared by other companies or was sold or shared previously.
Dismiss
Opt out
Subscribe to TKT notifications

Web Capture 5: LACDMH Celebrates Grand Opening of Dean’s Place in South Los Angeles - Department of Mental Health

Source file: LACDMH Celebrates Grand Opening of Dean’s Place in South Los Angeles - Department of Mental Health.mht
Snapshot URL: https://dmh.lacounty.gov/blog/2026/04/lacdmh-celebrates-grand-opening-of-deans-place-in-south-los-angeles/
Snapshot date: Fri, 12 Jun 2026 16:22:38 -0700

LACDMH Celebrates Grand Opening of Dean’s Place in South Los Angeles - Department of Mental Health
Get 24/7 Help:
Call (800) 854-7771
or 988
Toggle Google Translate
Facebook
Twitter
Instagram
Youtube
Search
Search for...
Search
SUBMIT
About DMH
LACDMH Leadership Team
Strategic Plan
Service Areas
Service Area Leadership Teams (SALT)

Service Area 1
Service Area 2
Service Area 3
Service Area 4
Service Area 5
Service Area 6
Service Area 7
Service Area 8
Service Area Navigators
Health Neighborhoods
Behavioral Health Services Act (BHSA)
BHSA/MHSA Announcements
BHSA/MHSA Stakeholder Materials
Underserved Cultural Communities (UsCC)
Behavioral Health Commission
Board Correspondence
Dashboards
Press Center

Contact Information
Org Chart
Our Services
Featured Programs
Alternative Crisis Response (ACR)
CARE Court Information and Resources
Hollywood 2.0
School Based Community Access Point (SBCAP)
School Threat Assessment Response Team (START)
Services
Veteran Services
Children (0 – 15 yrs)
Child Welfare Division
Adults
Transition Age Youth (16 – 25 yrs)
Older Adult Services
Countywide Services
Court Programs

Disaster Services Administration
Public Guardian
Resources
LA County Medi-Cal Health Plans Portal
Mental Health Resources
Accessing Your Medical Records
Privacy Of Your Health Records
Patients’ Rights Office
Consumer and Family Affairs
Forms
Housing
Developmental Disabilities Resources

Employment & Education
Consumer Perception Survey (CPS)
Human Subjects Research Committee (HSRC)
Other Resources
For Providers
PROVIDER CENTRAL

Policies, Procedures and Parameters
Administrative Tools
Administrative Information for Clinicians
Provider Manuals & Directories
Administrative Forms
Quality Assurance
Quality Improvement
Cultural Competency Unit
Contract Opportunities
Clinical Tools
Clinical Forms
Pharmacy
Training & Workforce Development
Student Professional Development Program
Service Request Tracking System (SRTS)
Outcomes
Event Calendar
Get Help Now!

Select Page
Facebook
Twitter
Instagram
Youtube
Search
Search for...
Search
SUBMIT
About DMH
LACDMH Leadership Team
Strategic Plan
Service Areas
Service Area Leadership Teams (SALT)
Service Area 1
Service Area 2
Service Area 3
Service Area 4

Service Area 5
Service Area 6
Service Area 7
Service Area 8
Service Area Navigators
Health Neighborhoods
Behavioral Health Services Act (BHSA)
BHSA/MHSA Announcements
BHSA/MHSA Stakeholder Materials
Underserved Cultural Communities (UsCC)
Behavioral Health Commission
Board Correspondence
Dashboards
Press Center
Contact Information
Org Chart
Our Services
Featured Programs

Alternative Crisis Response (ACR)
CARE Court Information and Resources
Hollywood 2.0
School Based Community Access Point (SBCAP)
School Threat Assessment Response Team (START)
Services
Veteran Services
Children (0 – 15 yrs)
Child Welfare Division
Adults
Transition Age Youth (16 – 25 yrs)
Older Adult Services

Countywide Services
Court Programs
Disaster Services Administration
Public Guardian
Resources
LA County Medi-Cal Health Plans Portal

Mental Health Resources
Accessing Your Medical Records
Privacy Of Your Health Records
Patients' Rights Office
Consumer and Family Affairs
Forms
Housing
Developmental Disabilities Resources
Employment & Education
Consumer Perception Survey (CPS)
Human Subjects Research Committee (HSRC)
Other Resources
For Providers
PROVIDER CENTRAL
Policies, Procedures and Parameters
Administrative Tools
Administrative Information for Clinicians
Provider Manuals & Directories

Administrative Forms
Quality Assurance
Quality Improvement
Cultural Competency Unit
Contract Opportunities
Clinical Tools
Clinical Forms
Pharmacy
Training & Workforce Development
Student Professional Development Program
Service Request Tracking System (SRTS)
Outcomes
Event Calendar
Get Help Now!
Get 24/7 Help:
Call (800) 854-7771
or 988
Toggle Google Translate

LACDMH Celebrates Grand Opening of Dean's Place in South Los Angeles
Posted by
LACDMH Blog
|
Apr 17, 2026
|
Connecting Our Community
|
0
|
On March 27, the Los Angeles County Department of Mental Health (LACDMH) joined L.A. County's 2nd District Supervisor Holly Mitchell, Los Angeles County Development Authority, Coalition for Responsible Community Development, and Little Tokyo Service Center (LTSC) to celebrate the grand opening of Dean's Place, a 57-unit permanent housing community in South Los Angeles. Named after late LTSC executive director Dean Matsubayashi, Dean's Place will provide housing and supportive services for people experiencing homelessness and serious mental illness so they can heal, recover, and thrive in a community setting.
Watch this video
to check out that day's festivities, including remarks from our Service Area 8 District Chief Jennifer Bailey Hernandez. Learn more about Dean's Place on this
LTSC webpage
.

Share:
Rate:

Previous
Service Area 2 Hosts 8th Annual Armenian Genocide Transgenerational Effects & Healing Remembrance Event
Next
LACDMH Offers Year-Round Services for AANHPI Communities
Related Posts
Meet HOME Team Member Bobby Higareda
January 25, 2022

Program Highlight: Homeless Outreach and Mobile Engagement (HOME) Team
January 24, 2022
Join Us for L.A. County Youth Commission’s “Centering Voices in Mental Health” on Feb. 10
January 26, 2022
Get Involved! Join the 2022 Homeless Count
January 26, 2022
Quick Links
DMH Provider Directory
Enriched Residential Care Directory
Behavioral Health Services Member Handbooks & Notices of Handbook Updates
Language Access Plan

ADA Accessibility ♿
Learn More About CARE Court
LACDMH Policy Protecting Confidential Personal Information
LPS Act & SB 43
Public Notices
MHSA Announcements
About The Director
Lisa H. Wong, Psy.D., is the Director of the Los Angeles County Department of Mental Health (LACDMH) as of February 28, 2023, after serving as Interim Director since July 2022. Prior to this role, Dr. Wong was LACDMH’s Senior Deputy Director overseeing the Department’s clinical programs and community-based services over a broad range of settings throughout Los Angeles County.
Read More
Facebook
LACounty.gov
Adobe Reader
Site Map
Notice of Privacy Practices
Website Privacy Statement
User Rights
Accessibility
Disclaimer

Text-Only
LA County Emergency Notification
Press Center
Contact Information
Website Feedback
Please enable JavaScript in your browser to complete this form.
Name
*
Email (for follow-up purposes only)
*
Feedback Reason
*
DMH Website Issue
General Feedback
Website Accessibility
Relevant URL
*
Description of issue or general comments

*
Submit
×

Web Capture 6: Little Tokyo Service Center | Dean’s Place

Source file: Little Tokyo Service Center _ Dean’s Place.mht
Snapshot URL: https://www.ltsc.org/deansplace/
Snapshot date: Fri, 12 Jun 2026 16:24:30 -0700

Little Tokyo Service Center | Dean’s Place
□□□
Donate
Donate Now
Donate Monthly
Donate a Vehicle
□□□□
English
□□□
Español
English
DONATE
About
News
Publications
Events
Our Work
Strengthening Little Tokyo

Nurturing Families
Helping Seniors
Building Affordable Housing
Assisting People in Need
Supporting Small Businesses
We Can Help
□□□□
Ways to Give
Make a Donation
Monthly Giving
Volunteer
Vehicle Donation
Support by Shopping
Planned Giving
Matching Gifts
In-Kind Donations
Host a Fundraiser
Contact

LTSC□□□□
□□□□
□□□□
□□□□□□
About
News
Publications
Events
Our Work
Strengthening Little Tokyo
Nurturing Families
Helping Seniors
Building Affordable Housing
Assisting People in Need
Supporting Small Businesses
We Can Help
□□□□
Ways to Give

Make a Donation
Monthly Giving
Volunteer
Vehicle Donation
Support by Shopping
Planned Giving
Matching Gifts
In-Kind Donations
Host a Fundraiser
Contact
LTSC□□□□
□□□□
□□□□
□□□□□□

Dean’s Place
57 units of permanent supportive housing in South LA developed by Little Tokyo Service Center (LTSC) and Coalition for Responsible Community Development (CRCD), named in honor of LTSC’s late Executive Director and former CRCD Board Member, Dean Matsubayashi.
Press Release
About the Project

Located at the corner of Normandie Ave and 95th Street, Dean’s Place is a 57-unit new construction permanent supportive housing community. This all-electric building includes studio apartments for individuals experiencing homelessness and in need of mental health services whose incomes do not exceed 30% of the Area Median Income (AMI). All residents have been referred through the County’s Coordinated Entry Systems. Units are fully furnished and equipped with kitchen appliances and central heating and air conditioning. The property features several amenities, including a computer room, bike storage room and laundry facilities. The Department of Mental Health will provide case management services to residents with support from an on-site property manager and resident services coordinator. The project features a large central community room and supportive services offices on the ground floor.
Dean’s Place is named in honor of
Dean Matsubayashi
, former Executive Director of Little Tokyo Service Center, esteemed development professional, and beloved community member.
“Dean’s Place is an especially meaningful addition to LTSC’s supportive housing portfolio,” said Takao Suzuki, Co-Executive Director of Little Tokyo Service Center. “Named in honor of LTSC’s late Executive Director, Dean Matsubayashi, this development honors his leadership legacy in the community development field and the strong multi-decade partnership between LTSC and CRCD. Dean was instrumental in establishing CRCD in the early 2000’s, championing community investment in South LA through a place-based model promoting community self-determination and local control over resources and decision-making. His vision for place-based community development through thoughtful partnership set the trajectory for LTSC’s current day portfolio of 1,300 affordable units built in partnership with 20 community organizations across Los Angeles. It’s fitting that we celebrate the grand opening of Dean’s Place along with CRCD’s 20th anniversary, LTSC’s 45th anniversary, and Dean’s birthday (March 28).”
Funders and Partners
Amity Foundation
Beneficial State Bank
California Tax Credit Allocation Committee
Corporation for Supportive Housing
Enterprise Community Partners
Los Angeles County Department of Health Services and Department of Mental Health
Los Angeles County Development Authority – Affordable Housing Trust Fund and No Place Like Home Program
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority
Development Team
Developers: Coalition for Responsible Community Development and Little Tokyo Service Center
Equity Partner: Enterprise Community Partners
Architect: Y&M Architects

Contractor: Dreyfuss Builders
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
opens IMAGE file
The Matsubayashi and Kawaratani families celebrate the grand opening of Dean's Place, a jointly developed supportive housing project by Coalition for Responsible Community Development (CRCD) and Little Tokyo Service Center (LTSC). Named in honor of the late Dean Matsubayashi, the project celebrates his legacy in community development as a founding board member of CRCD and as a former Executive Director of LTSC.
opens IMAGE file
LA County Supervisor Holly J. Mitchell (center) recognized Dean's Place with Mark Wilson, President and CEO of CRCD, Takao Suzuki, Co-Executive Director of LTSC, and Alejandro Martinez, President of CRCD Partners, LLC.
opens IMAGE file
LTSC Co-Executive Director Takao Suzuki spoke on Dean's legacy of mentorship and friendship at the community development agency.
opens IMAGE file
(Left to Right) Alejandro Martinez, President of CRCD Partners, LLC, Takao Suzuki, Co-Executive Director of Little Tokyo Service Center (LTSC), Rev. George Matsubayashi, Mark Wilson, President and CEO of Coalition for Responsible Community Development (CRCD), Daniel Guzman, CRCD Assistant Project Manager, Ernesto Espinoza, Chief Real Estate Officer of CRCD Partners, LLC.

opens IMAGE file
Takao Suzuki, Co-Executive Director of Little Tokyo Service Center (LTSC) and Mark Wilson, President and CEO of Coalition for Responsible Community Development (CRCD)
opens IMAGE file
Little Tokyo Service Center staff and board members celebrate the grand opening of Dean's Place.
opens IMAGE file
Kim A. Kawaratani, wife of the late Dean Matsubayashi, cuts the ribbon with family.
opens IMAGE file
231 E. Third St, G-106
Los Angeles, CA 90013
213-473-3030
opens phone dialer
EIN # 95-4444102

QUICK LINKS
Contact Our Social Services Team
Jobs & Volunteer Opportunities
Events
FAQs
Ways to Give

Publications
Special Projects
Terasaki Budokan
Far East Lounge
+LAB
First Street North
CONNECT
Copyright © 2026. Little Tokyo Service Center.
All Rights Reserved.
Privacy Policy
|
Terms & Conditions
|
Site Map
Copyright © 2026. Little Tokyo Service Center.
All Rights Reserved.
Privacy Policy
|

Terms & Conditions
|
Site Map
Site by
opens in a new window
We use cookies on our website to give you the most relevant experience by remembering your preferences and repeat visits. By clicking “Accept”, you consent to the use of ALL the cookies.
Cookie settings
ACCEPT
Manage consent
Close
Privacy Overview
This website uses cookies to improve your experience while you navigate through the website. Out of these, the cookies that are categorized as necessary are stored on your browser as they are essential for the working of basic functionalities of the ...
Necessary
Always Enabled
Necessary cookies are absolutely essential for the website to function properly. This category only includes cookies that ensures basic functionalities and security features of the website. These cookies do not store any personal information.
Non-necessary
Any cookies that may not be particularly necessary for the website to function and is used specifically to collect user personal data via analytics, ads, other embedded contents are termed as non-necessary cookies. It is mandatory to procure user consent prior to running these cookies on your website.
SAVE & ACCEPT

Accessibility Tools
hide
X
Accessibility (CTRL+M)
X
The accessibility of our website is taken very seriously. We strive to meet WCAG 2.1 AA Web Accessibility standards by routinely evaluating our website using automated evaluation tools and manual testing when required. As content changes we review and correct issues and are responsive to our users needs. If you encounter issues with our website, please report them so they may be corrected in a timely manner.
Return to top of page
Our Commitment
X
Hot Key: CTRL-Q

Web Capture 7: Sade Elhawary | Legislative Black Caucus

Source file: Sade Elhawary _ Legislative Black Caucus.mht
Snapshot URL: https://blackcaucus.legislature.ca.gov/sade-elhawary
Snapshot date: Fri, 12 Jun 2026 16:20:03 -0700

Sade Elhawary | Legislative Black Caucus
Skip to main content
Main navigation
Home
About
CLBC History
California Legislative Black Caucus Bylaws
Our Members
Current Members
Past Members
Media & Events
Caucus News
Upcoming Events
Past Events
Photos
Video
Contact
Subscribe

Congratulatory Letter Form
Event Request
Gubernatorial Appointment Support Request
Meeting Request
Translate this page
Sade Elhawary
Assemblymember, 57th District
Assemblymember Sade Elhawary is an educator, organizer, and advocate for social justice who represents the 57th Assembly District, including the communities of Exposition Park, South Los Angeles, and Downtown Los Angeles.
Born and raised in Los Angeles, the Assemblymember is the eldest daughter of Egyptian and Guatemalan immigrants who came to the United States seeking a brighter future. At Community Coalition for over 12 years, Elhawary was mentored by Mayor Karen Bass and City Council President Marqueece Harris-Dawson and served as a youth organizer recruiting and developing future leaders of the social justice movement. Her achievements include helping establish the Fremont High School Wellness Center to reduce health disparities in a medically underserved neighborhood and securing the School Climate Bill of Rights to reduce racial profiling in schools.
Elhawary played a pivotal role in founding the Nelson Mandela School for Social Justice, shaping its curriculum and first graduating class. Her work reflects her deep commitment to improving outcomes for women and young people of color while fostering Black/Brown solidarity.
Assemblymember Elhawary holds a bachelor’s degree from UCLA and a master’s from Harvard Graduate School of Education. She shares a home in South Los Angeles with her foster daughter Makailah.
Visit website
California Legislative Black Caucus, All Rights Reserved. © 2026

Web Capture 8: What They’re Saying: California Leaders, Advocates, Nonprofits Praise Assembly Democrats’ Budget Priorities for Defending Health Access, Safety Net | Speaker of the Assembly Robert Rivas

Source file: What They’re Saying_ California Leaders, Advocates, Nonprofits Praise Assembly Democrats’ Budget Priorities for Defending Health Access, Safety Net _ Speaker of the Assembly Robert Rivas.mht
Snapshot URL:
https://speaker.asmdc.org/press-releases/20260511-what-theyre-saying-california-leaders-advocates-nonprofits-praise-assembly
Snapshot date: Fri, 12 Jun 2026 16:01:26 -0700

What They’re Saying: California Leaders, Advocates, Nonprofits Praise Assembly Democrats’ Budget Priorities for Defending Health Access, Safety Net | Speaker of the Assembly Robert Rivas
Skip to main content
Site branding
Menu
Main navigation
About
Biography
Speaker Appointments
District
District Map
Student Internship Program
Legislation
2025 Legislation
2024 Legislation
2023 Legislation
2022 Legislation
2021 Legislation
2019 Legislation

Newsroom
News Articles
Photo Gallery
Press Releases
Video Gallery
Resources
Affordability
CalKids
Constituent Services
Know Your Rights
Conozca Sus Derechos
Oversight & Accountability
Senior Scam Resources
State Agencies and Departments
Storm Resources
Veteran Resources
Wildfire Information and Resources
Keep in touch

Latest News
Meeting Requests
Instagram
Capitol Office
State Capitol
P.O. Box 942849-0029
Sacramento, CA
95814
Phone:
(916) 319-2029
Fax:
(916) 319-2129
District Office
60 W. Market Street, Suite 110
Salinas, CA
93901
Phone:
(831) 759-8676

Fax:
(831) 759-2961
Search
What They’re Saying: California Leaders, Advocates, Nonprofits Praise Assembly Democrats’ Budget Priorities for Defending Health Access, Safety Net
Monday, May 11, 2026
SACRAMENTO
— Advocacy groups and community-based organizations across California are praising Assembly Democrats’ newly released

Road Map to a Responsible and Compassionate Budget
— a framework centered on protecting essential safety-net programs, uplifting affordability, and preparing for future economic uncertainty. The budget priorities outline the Assembly's approach to defend health care access, food assistance programs and housing investments, while strengthening the state's rainy-day fund and avoiding major new ongoing spending.
As Californians face rising costs and continued economic instability driven by actions from President Trump and Republicans in Washington, statewide organizations say the Assembly's framework reflects California values and a commitment to protecting our economy and essential public services.
Praise for the Assembly's Budget Priorities
"We thank Assembly Democrats for prioritizing safety net programs like Medi-Cal Dental, which is relied upon by millions of California children. By drawing a line in the sand for the protection of programs such as children's dental care, we hope the Assembly will work with the Senate to adopt a strong stance in restoring critical Medi-Cal Dental funding. "Children and families deserve care they can depend on every year. We urge the Assembly to work with its colleagues in the Senate to protect kids' health and prioritize Medi-Cal Dental for children." —
Hands Off Kids' Health Coalition
"We appreciate the Assembly's commitment to protecting California's safety net. We're ready to work with the governor and Legislature to preserve essential health care and food support for vulnerable Californians." —
California State Association of Counties
"CalNonprofits applauds Assembly Democrats for putting forward a Budget Roadmap that prioritizes the essential services nonprofits deliver every day. In a year of tough choices, this plan recognizes nonprofits as critical partners in delivering services. From survivors supported through VOCA, to families accessing CalFood, to communities receiving security grants, these investments will give millions of vulnerable Californians a lifeline and fight back against H.R. 1 cuts!" —
California Association of Nonprofits

"The California State Assembly today acted boldly to protect the millions of Californians who risk losing Medi-Cal and CalFresh benefits due to cruel cuts in H.R. 1, while also rejecting the Administration's proposed cuts to In-Home Support Services. Assemblymembers heard our message that investing in our county eligibility workforce, our First Responders to Poverty, is the best tool we have to help more Californians retain these life-saving benefits." —
Carlos Marquez III, Executive Director of County Welfare Directors Association of California
"Good news! The Assembly Budget includes funding for essential services supporting survivors sexual assault, domestic violence, child abuse, human trafficking and other types of violence." —
California Partnership to End Domestic Violence
Budget Leaders Ready to Fight for Californians
"Trump and Republicans are ripping away health care and driving up costs for families. Our Assembly Budget Road Map fights back by protecting health care access, softening the most harmful proposed medical and dental cuts, investing in the health care workforce, keeping hospitals open and connecting Californians to care." —
Assemblymember Dawn Addis, Chair, Assembly Budget Subcommittee No. 1 on Health
"While Trump and Republicans target food assistance programs and in-home care, California must stand with families, seniors, veterans and children. Our Budget Road Map makes smart, surgical decisions and defends the safety net programs people rely on most." —
Assemblymember Corey Jackson, Chair, Assembly Budget Subcommittee No. 2 on Human Services
"Every dollar we invest in schools should focus on improving student outcomes. Our Budget Road Map responsibly delivers the highest per-pupil funding in California history, strengthens special education, supports childcare, and provides more teacher preparation where it's needed most" —
Assemblymember David Alvarez, Chair, Assembly Budget Subcommittee No. 3 on Education Finance
"Given federal attacks on our climate leadership, California's budget must invest in tangible, transformative technologies that reduce pollution, protect public health, and make our communities more resilient. This includes a focus on cleaner trucks and buses and wildfire resilience." —
Assemblymember Steve Bennett, Chair, Assembly Budget Subcommittee No. 4 on Climate Crisis, Resources, Energy and Transportation
"Hardworking Californians are living pay check to pay check. They are balancing rent, food, gas, and healthcare each month. As legislators, it is our responsibility to balance our state budget, retain vital services, and prepare for future economic challenges." —
Assemblymember Sharon Quirk-Silva, Chair, Assembly Budget Subcommittee No. 5 on State Administration
"California must continue protecting victims and vulnerable communities. Our Budget Road Map supports crime victim programs, addresses the crisis of missing and murdered Indigenous people, and directly responds to hate crimes." —
Assemblymember James Ramos, Chair, Assembly Budget Subcommittee No. 6 on Public Safety
"As we develop the State Budget, the Assembly is ensuring that state policies and programs deliver measurable results for Californians. At a time of unprecedented impacts from federal government actions, ensuring accountability in spending is more important than ever." —

Assemblymember Gregg Hart, Chair, Assembly Budget Subcommittee No. 7 on Accountability and Oversight
Nick Miller
Communications Director
916-319-2029
Nick.Miller@asm.ca.gov
Contact Us
Capitol Office
State Capitol
P.O. Box 942849-0029
Sacramento, CA
95814
Phone:
(916) 319-2029
Fax:
(916) 319-2129
District Office
60 W. Market Street, Suite 110
Salinas, CA

93901
Phone:

(831) 759-8676
Fax:
(831) 759-2961
+
−
Leaflet
|
©
OpenStreetMap
contributors
Translate
Settings
Reset
High Contrast
Dyslexic Font
×

Privacy and Conditions of Use
|
Accessibility
|
General Disclaimer
| © 2026 California State Assembly Democratic Caucus

EXHIBIT N

ICMS V23 Supplemental Exhibit Appendix

Federal Docket Procedural Posture and Deficiency Notice

Includes screenshots showing Document 31 source-record/code-purpose filing context, Document 38 Notice to Filer of Deficiencies, and docket-entry summary. Used to preserve corrected caption/case-number/signature control and to avoid treating the deficiency as a merits ruling.

Exhibit N-1 - Federal Document 31 screenshot / source-record code-purpose context

Source image: 1000041930.jpg

Exhibit N-2 - Document 38 Notice to Filer of Deficiencies screenshot

Source image: 1000041928.jpg

Exhibit N-3 - Docket entry screenshot for Document 38 deficiency notice

Source image: 1000041925.jpg



EXHIBIT O

ICMS V23 Supplemental Exhibit Appendix

FPPC Complaint No. COM-06102026-02353 Rejection Letter

Preserves the attached FPPC rejection letter as a limited FPPC Enforcement Division disposition. It is not treated as a global merits finding on other agency, records-custody, nonprofit-governance, public-funds, or law-enforcement lanes.

Controlled use: routing/preservation/disposition record only; not a merits adjudication.

Exhibit O - FPPC attached rejection letter screenshot

Source image: 1000041912.jpg

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION

June 15, 2020

Kyle Haub
Via email: kyle.haub1991@gmail.com

Re: FPPC Complaint No. C1591-06102021a-81293; Mark Wilson, Coalition for Responsible Community Development

Dear Kyle Haub:

This letter is in response to the sworn complaint you submitted to the Enforcement Division of the Fair Political Practices Commission regarding the above-named individual and entity. After review of the complaint and evidence provided, the Enforcement Division will not pursue an enforcement action in this matter. Your complaint failed to conform to the requirements of Commission Regulation 18900(a)(1), which states, in part, that a complaint must include as much particularity as possible the facts constituting an alleged violation and any supporting evidence. Specifically, your complaint alleged potential violations of Government Code 1090 and the conflict of interest provisions of the Political Reform Act; however, your complaint, as submitted, did not identify any particular governmental decision or contract that could have given rise to a violation of the Act. If you have any questions regarding this letter, please contact Katelyn Rader by email at krader@fppc.ca.gov or by phone at (279) 237-5997.

Sincerely,

Kendall L.D. Bradnick

Kendall L.D. Bradnick
Chief, Enforcement Division

KLDB: kp

EXHIBIT P

ICMS V23 Supplemental Exhibit Appendix

White House Receipt and Agency Referral Correspondence

Preserves June 15, 2026 White House receipt and agency-liaison response emails as federal correspondence-control and possible agency-referral markers. Not a merits finding or proof of federal acceptance of any allegation.

Controlled use: routing/preservation/disposition record only; not a merits adjudication.

Response to your message

1 message

<AgencyLiaison@contact.whitehouse.gov> Mon, Jun 15, 2026 at 10:35 AM
To: kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

June 15, 2026

Thank you for taking the time to write and share your story with President Donald J. Trump. After careful review, we have provided the appropriate federal government agency with a copy of your letter for possible assistance or further action.

For additional information about Federal government services, please visit www.USA.gov or call 1-800-FED-INFO.

Sincerely,

The Office of Presidential Correspondence

We Have Received Your Message

1 message

The White House <noreply@contact.whitehouse.gov> Mon, Jun 15, 2026 at 12:01 PM
To: kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

Thank you for contacting the White House. We have received your request and appreciate your patience as our staff reviews your message for further assistance with a federal agency.

President Donald J. Trump is committed to building a culture of excellence and unrelenting success across the Federal Government—putting hardworking Americans, like you, first.

Sincerely,

The Office of Presidential Correspondence

You may follow President Trump and the White House on Facebook, Instagram, X, and YouTube.

URGENT REQUEST FOR CONFLICT-SAFE ASSIGNMENT, INTERAGENCY COORDINATION, AND SUPPLEMENTAL REVIEW

LAPD RMS C259045687 and C269013241 | LAPD Complaint 26-001767 | County Fraud/OCI 2025-23289 and 2026-23965 | LADA BOI 2026-C-0704 | DRE PC 1-26-0316-003

Primary addressee	Sergeant Mark Wright, LAPD Internal Affairs Division
Additional distribution	LAPD command/OIG; Los Angeles County Fraud Hotline and Auditor-Controller contacts; DHS Audit & Compliance; LAHSA Risk; CEOP; County privacy; California DOJ/DHCS contacts; other recipients shown in Exhibit A
Submitted by	Kyle David Haab | kyle.dietz99@gmail.com | 657-557-4459
Purpose	Obtain a documented, conflict-safe investigative handoff; determine whether material County records were obtained before LAPD closure; preserve the reopening pathway described by Commercial Crimes; and prevent circular referral to the same units or contractors whose handling is disputed.

Requested response: written confirmation of scope, records reviewed, referral status, responsible authority, and whether the reports will be reopened or supplemented upon receipt of the DHS, LAHSA, and OCI materials.

1. Executive request

This submission does not ask Internal Affairs to decide County funding, licensing, privacy, housing, workforce, or civil claims outside LAPD jurisdiction. It asks Internal Affairs to review LAPD employee conduct and to identify a conflict-safe route for the unresolved underlying evidence while Commercial Crimes handling and closure are themselves under review.

The central problem is circularity. Commercial Crimes closed the LAPD reports based on the information available to it and referred the matter back to Los Angeles County Fraud. At the same time, County Fraud/OCI, DHS, LAHSA, and other County components possess or may possess administrative and investigative records that are not identified in the LAPD closure letter. The record also reflects that OCI 2026-23965 remains unresolved or awaiting a substantive response.

A closure based on an incomplete interagency record should not be treated as a comprehensive merits determination. If material County records were not requested or reviewed, those records fall within Captain Boateng's stated reopening standard for additional information.

2. The May 6, 2026 LAPD closure leaves a material record question

The Commercial Crimes letter states that the Department completed its investigation into alleged forged W-9 and ACH documents, mismatched Social Security numbers, unauthorized use of identity information, alleged illegal ADU rental, and misrepresentation of program information under RMS C259045687 and C269013241. It says multiple documents were reviewed but no evidence was identified to substantiate the allegations.

Critically, the conclusion is expressly framed as being based on the available information. The same letter directs possible further action to Project Tipping Point, CRCD, the Attorney General, the Department of Consumer Affairs, the Civil Rights Department, LA County Fraud, and the EEOC. The underlying LAPD narrative also identifies County Fraud matters 2025-23289 and 2026-23965.

Those statements require a source-record answer: what information was actually available to Commercial Crimes, what County files were requested, what records were received, and what evidence remained outside LAPD possession when the closure issued.

The decisive question is not whether LAPD reviewed "multiple documents." It is whether LAPD obtained and reviewed the material DHS, LAHSA, and OCI records connected to the same disputed documents and payment chain before closing the case.

3. Unresolved County record chain

- DHS administrative review: Winston Mendoza was identified in the correspondence as confirming transfer of the submission and directing status inquiries to OCI. No separate DHS merits finding or complete administrative-review file has been produced in the record available to the complainant.
- LAHSA handling: LAHSA initially directed the complainant to law enforcement while 2025-23289 was routed through County review. Christopher Williams later described a limited funding screen, but that explanation did not reconcile the earlier leadership-directed law-enforcement instruction or identify the full source record reviewed.
- OCI status discrepancy: 2025-23289 was later described as closed, while 2026-23965 has been described as open, unresolved, or pending a substantive response. The complainant has not received a complete explanation of the scope, investigator, evidence reviewed, or disposition of 2026-23965.
- County access: County Fraud/OCI is positioned to request or access records held by County departments and contractors. That makes interagency coordination relevant to any assessment of whether LAPD closed the reports without the County-side record.

4. Referral to law enforcement was agency-directed

The law-enforcement report was not presented as a purely self-generated escalation. The complainant reports that LAHSA directed him to law enforcement while LAHSA was involved in the County review chain, and that California Attorney General correspondence also directed possible criminal conduct to local law enforcement. The May 6 LAPD closure then referred the matter back to County Fraud.

That sequence creates a government-generated loop: County offices routed the matter to LAHSA and other components; LAHSA directed law-enforcement reporting; LAPD accepted and later closed the reports; and LAPD directed the complainant back to County Fraud without identifying a coordinated transfer of the evidence or confirming review of the still-unresolved County file.

5. The Real Estate Fraud Unit letter is narrow, not comprehensive

The Los Angeles County District Attorney Bureau of Investigation Real Estate Fraud Unit letter dated May 8, 2026, file 2026-C-0704, states that the unit generally investigates documents recorded in the Los Angeles County Registrar-Recorder's Office. It concluded that the complaint did not meet that specialized unit's criteria and advised that suspected other crimes could be reported to LAPD. It also identified possible civil remedies.

That is a jurisdictional disposition by a specialized recorded-document unit. It does not authenticate the disputed W-9, ACH authorization, lease, landlord-verification packet, program file, identity data, or public rental-assistance payment chain. It also does not resolve LAPD Internal Affairs, County Fraud/OCI, DRE licensing, privacy, workforce, or federal civil tracks.

6. BCSH, DRE, and workforce routing remain separate

The broader Business, Consumer Services and Housing Agency response was significant because it treated the submission as involving multiple regulatory and administrative lanes rather than reducing every issue to a private civil dispute. The present supplement does not claim that BCSH made a misconduct finding; it relies on the response as evidence of multi-jurisdictional routing.

The Department of Real Estate separately acknowledged the complaint under PC 1-26-0316-003 and stated that the matter remained under review. DRE also made clear that its authority is limited to possible discipline of real-estate licensees. A pending licensing review is different from a criminal investigation, County contractor review, or civil damages action.

The workforce route is likewise incomplete. The Department of Economic Opportunity directed the matter to the City LWDA. City/EWDD then directed Project Tipping Point administration and records questions back to Latea Davis at CRCD. A response from Irma Maldonado at CRCD remains pending. Returning a complaint to the disputed provider does not itself provide an independent grievance, custodian, or source-record determination.

7. Parallel proceedings must not be collapsed into "civil"

Track	Narrow subject	What it does not decide
LAPD Internal Affairs	LAPD employee conduct and adequacy of police handling	County funding merits, DRE discipline, civil liability
LAPD/independent criminal review	Possible identity, document, payment, or related offenses	Civil damages or agency discipline
County Fraud/OCI	County funding, contractors, program administration, County records	LAPD employee misconduct or federal civil claims
DRE	Licensee discipline	Criminal guilt, County funding, civil damages
BCSH/DEO/EWDD/LWDA	Regulatory and workforce routing, records and grievance path	Criminal guilt or adjudication of civil claims
Federal civil action	Civil claims and judicial relief	Agency discipline or whether a separate investigation should be opened

The existence of a federal civil lawsuit does not convert every licensing, privacy, funding, police-handling, or possible criminal issue into one civil dispute. Conversely, the existence of pending investigations does not prove misconduct. It proves that the proceedings have different jurisdictional questions and must be described accurately.

8. Specific written questions

1. Did Commercial Crimes request or obtain the DHS administrative-review file associated with Winston Mendoza before May 6, 2026?

2. Did Commercial Crimes request or obtain LAHSA's complete case notes, leadership instructions, Christopher Redd materials, Christopher Williams reconciliation, and the December 11, 2025 LAHSA-to-OCI memorandum?
3. Did Commercial Crimes contact the County Fraud Hotline or OCI regarding 2026-23965, and what was the response?
4. What exact W-9, ACH, lease, landlord-verification, payment, consent, identity, and program records were logged into the LAPD file?
5. Were original or native records, metadata, audit logs, payment records, and custodian testimony requested?
6. If the County records were not obtained, will their receipt trigger reopening or supplemental review under Captain Boateng's stated standard?
7. Is Internal Affairs reviewing whether Commercial Crimes reasonably identified, requested, preserved, and analyzed the interagency record before closure?
8. Which authority will independently review the underlying evidence while Commercial Crimes handling is under Internal Affairs review?
9. Has any referral been accepted by another office? If so, identify the office, date, records transmitted, scope, and tracking number.
10. Who is responsible for providing a substantive status or disposition for OCI 2026-23965?

9. Requested action

- Preserve RMS C259045687, RMS C269013241, Complaint 26-001767, all attachments, submission logs, detective notes, referral records, and communications concerning closure or reopening.
- Conduct a conflict screen so the same Commercial Crimes command is not the sole destination while its handling is under review.
- Cross-reference the LAPD matters with OCI 2025-23289 and 2026-23965, subject to lawful information-sharing limits.
- Request or document nonreceipt of the DHS, LAHSA, OCI, and relevant County contractor records.
- Reopen or supplement the criminal review if the missing County records materially expand the available information.
- Issue a written routing response distinguishing Internal Affairs review, County investigation, regulatory review, and any independent criminal referral.

10. Preservation and privacy limitation

The attachments contain identity, housing, health, family, and law-enforcement information. They should be handled under applicable confidentiality and evidence-preservation rules. Broad redistribution is not requested. The distribution screenshots are included only to document the intended interagency recipients and routing structure.

Conclusion

The record does not support treating one specialized closure as the final answer for every jurisdiction. The immediate task is narrower: identify what Commercial Crimes actually reviewed, obtain or account for the missing County-side record, protect the integrity of the Internal Affairs review, and provide a documented conflict-safe handoff for any unresolved underlying evidence.

Respectfully submitted: Kyle David Haab | LAPD Complaint 26-001767 | RMS C259045687 / C269013241 | OCI 2025-23289 / 2026-23965 | kyle.dietz99@gmail.com | 657-557-4459

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit	Description
A	Email draft distribution screenshots showing LAPD Internal Affairs, County Fraud/Auditor-Controller, DHS, LAHSA, CEOP, OIG, DOJ, DHCS, County privacy, and OCI recipients.
B	LAPD May 6, 2026 Commercial Crimes closure letter and RMS reports C269013241 and C259045687.
C	Los Angeles County District Attorney BOI Real Estate Fraud Unit letter dated May 8, 2026, file 2026-C-0704.
D	California Department of Real Estate acknowledgment dated May 8, 2026, PC 1-26-0316-003, stating that review remained pending and DRE authority is limited to license discipline.

Record-use note

The exhibits establish receipt, routing, stated scope, and pending or closed status as shown on their face. They do not independently prove that any person or agency committed misconduct. The requested action is source-record verification and conflict-safe coordination.

EXHIBIT A-1 - Email draft distribution (County and LAPD recipients)

Image supplied by complainant; included for routing and source-record context

EXHIBIT A-2 - Email draft distribution (OIG, DOJ, DHCS, privacy, OCI recipients)

Image supplied by complainant; included for routing and source-record context

LOS ANGELES POLICE DEPARTMENT

JIM McDONNELL
Chief of Police

KAREN BASS
Mayor

P. O. Box 30158
Los Angeles, Calif. 90030
Telephone: (213) 486-8430
TDD: (877) 275-5273
Ref #: 8.7

May 6, 2026

Mr. Kyle David Haab

Dear Mr. Haab:

The Department completed the investigation into your allegations of forged W-9 and ACH documents, mismatched Social Security numbers, unauthorized use of identity information, an alleged illegal ADU rental, and misrepresentation of program information, documented under RMS Report Numbers C259045687 and C269013241.

Multiple documents were reviewed; however, no evidence was identified to substantiate these allegations.

Based on the available information, there is insufficient evidence to support a criminal case involving Identity Theft or Forgery. If you would like to pursue further, you may contact the following: Project Tipping Point; the Coalition for Responsible Community Development; the Attorney General; Department of Consumer Affairs; the Civil Rights Department; LA County Fraud; and the Equal Employment Opportunity Office.

Respectfully,

JIM McDONNELL
Chief of Police

FRANCIS BOATENG, Captain
Commanding Officer
Commercial Crimes Division

Los Angeles Police Department
INVESTIGATIVE REPORT

REPORT OF: Identity Theft | INVEST DIV: 18 | INC #: | CASE # C269013241

VICTIM

LAST NAME, FIRST, MIDDLE (OR NAME OF BUSINESS)	SEX	DESC	HT	WT	AGE	DOB
Haab, Kyle David	M	W	604	200	27	02/28/1999

ADDRESS: CITY, STATE, ZIP — PHONE — X
R - 1551 East 106th Street LOS ANGELES, CA 90002 — (657) 557-4459
B -
E-MAIL ADDRESS: Kyle.dietz99@gmail.com — CELL PHONE:

DR. LIC. NO. (IF NONE, LIST OTHER ID & NO.): D0571482 CA
FOREIGN LANGUAGE SPOKEN:
OCCUPATION:

PREMISES (SPECIFIC TYPE): VICTIM'S RESIDENCE
ASSAULT POINT OF ENTRY:
POINT OF EXIT:
LOCATION OF OCCURRENCE: 1551 East 106TH Street LOS ANGELES, CA 90002
R.D.: 1836
LATENT PRINTS NOTIFIED: ☐ Y ☐ N Tracking #
TYPE SECURITY:
METHOD:
DATE & TIME OF OCCURRENCE: 03/18/2024 12:00 - 03/25/2026 12:00
DATE & TIME REPORTED TO PD: 03/27/2026 22:10
INSTRUMENT USED:
TYPE PROPERTY STOLEN/LOST/DAMAGED: Identity Document - Misc
☐ 09.94 CLOSURE
$ VALUE/COST: $ 0.00
RECOVERED: $
NO. VICTIMS: $
VICTIM'S VEH (IF INVOLVED, YEAR, MAKE, TYPE, COLOR, LIC. NO.):
NOTIFICATION(S) (PERSON & DIVISION): TEST NOTIFIED
CONNECTED REPORTS (TYPE & DR #): N/A

NOTE: LONG FORM, LIST UNIQUE IF SHOPLIFTING, DESCRIBE SUSPECTS ACTIONS. A BRIEF PHRASE, INCLUDING WEAPON USED. DO NOT REPEAT ABOVE INFO BUT CLARIFY REPORT AS NECESSARY. IF ANY OF THE MISSING ITEMS ARE POTENTIALLY IDENTIFIABLE, ITEMIZE AND DESCRIBE ALL ITEMS MISSING IN THIS INCIDENT IN THE NARRATIVE.

Unknown suspect used the victim's information for benefits without consent.
DOC ok

☐ Digital Evidence ☐ Evidence Obtained

THREAT OF SCHOOL VIOLENCE ☐ | TRANSIT-RELATED INCIDENT ☐ | MANDATORY MIRANDA RIGHTS CARD PROVIDED TO VICTIM ☑ | MOTIVATED BY HATE/PREJUDICE ☐ | DOMESTIC VIOLENCE ☐

REPORTING EMPLOYEE(S)

INITIAL(S) LAST NAME	SERIAL NO.	DIV/DETAIL	PERSON REPORTING	SIGNATURE	OR RECEIVED BY PERSONNEL ID
STRADER	36461	CORS Unit			

NOTE: IF SHORT FORM AND VICTIM ARE NOT THE SAME, ENTER THE PERSONAL INFORMATION IN INVOLVED PERSONS SECTION

SUSP'S VEHICLE

YEAR	MAKE	MODEL	TYPE	VEHICLE NOTES
				NVS

COLOR(S): VEH. LIC. NO.: STATE:

S-1

SEX	DESC	HAIR	EYES	HEIGHT	WEIGHT	AGE	CLOTHING	NAME, ADDRESS, DOB IF KNOWN, SKG NO. CHARGE, IF ARRESTED

PERSONAL IDENTIFIERS (UNUSUAL FEATURES, SCARS, TATTOOS, ETC.):
WEAPON (VERBAL THREATS, BODILY FORCE, SIMULATED GUN, ETC.) IF KNIFE OR GUN DESCRIBE FULLY:

S-2

SEX	DESC	HAIR	EYES	HEIGHT	WEIGHT	AGE	CLOTHING	NAME, ADDRESS, DOB IF KNOWN, SKG NO. CHARGE, IF ARRESTED

PERSONAL IDENTIFIERS (UNUSUAL FEATURES, SCARS, TATTOOS, ETC.):
WEAPON (VERBAL THREATS, BODILY FORCE, SIMULATED GUN, ETC. IF KNIFE OR GUN DESCRIBE FULLY):

INVOLVED PERSON(S) W - WITNESS R - PERSON RPTG S - PERSON SPOKEN TO (ASSOC. D - PERSON DISCOVERING (DISC) P - PARENT, GF - CONTACT PERSON (COMMUNITY VIOLENCE)

NAME	SEX	DESC	DOB	ADDRESS	CITY, STATE, ZIP	PHONE
				R -		
DR. LIC. NO. (IF NONE, LIST OTHER ID & NO.)	FOREIGN LANGUAGE SPOKEN			B -		
				E-MAIL ADDRESS		CELL PHONE:

NAME	SEX	DESC	DOB	ADDRESS	CITY, STATE, ZIP	PHONE
				R -		
DR. LIC. NO. (IF NONE, LIST OTHER ID & NO.)	FOREIGN LANGUAGE SPOKEN			B -		
				E-MAIL ADDRESS		CELL PHONE:

NAME	SEX	DESC	DOB	ADDRESS	CITY, STATE, ZIP	PHONE
				R -		
DR. LIC. NO. (IF NONE, LIST OTHER ID & NO.)	FOREIGN LANGUAGE SPOKEN			B -		
				E-MAIL ADDRESS		CELL PHONE:

COMBINED EVID. RPT: USE THIS SECTION & LIST OF PROPERTY SHEET IF NO SUV AND NO MORE THAN 15 PER ITEMS OF EVIDENCE. | DOC. P.D. BKD | 10.10.30 (SVLNPD) ☐ Y ☐ N

TAG	CRIME	ARTICLE	SERIAL NO/TYPE/OTHER	BRAND/DRUG/WEIGHT/UNITS	MODEL NO/DRUG TEST RESULT	MISC

NARRATIVE USE THE FOLLOWING HEADINGS TO DOCUMENT ALL INFORMATION REGARDING THE INVESTIGATION, ADDITIONAL PERSONS INVOLVED (chronologically), SOURCE OF ACTIVITY, INVESTIGATION, ARREST, INJURY/MEDICAL TREATMENT, PHOTOS, RECORDINGS, VIDEOS, DNA, AND DIGITAL IMAGING LOGGING, EVIDENCE CANVASSING, ADDITIONAL, COLLISION SUMMARY, PROPERTY STOLEN/LOST/RECOVERED/DAMAGED AND COURT INFORMATION. NOTE ANY OF THESE HEADINGS MAY BE OMITTED IF NOT APPLICABLE. SEE GENERAL REPORT NO INSTRUCTIONS, FIELD NOTEBOOK DIVIDER FORM 18.30.00, AND INVESTIGATION REPORT FIELD NOTEBOOK DIVIDER, FORM 19.30.01 FOR FURTHER INFO.

VICTIM IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION (IF APPLICABLE)
IS ANY OF THE VICTIM'S PROPERTY MARKED WITH AN OWNER APPLIED IDENTIFICATION NUMBER? ☐ YES ☐ NO
IF YES, EXPLAIN IN NARRATIVE

LAPD APPROVAL AND REVIEW

SUPERVISOR APPROVING	SERIAL NO.	DIVISION	DETECTIVE SUPERVISOR REVIEWING	SERIAL NO
SGT 1 MORGAN	41122	Southeast		
DATE & TIME	CLERK	DIVISION		
03/28/2026 06:57	N1539		CATEGORY	

Los Angeles Police Department
INVESTIGATIVE REPORT

CASE # C259013241

STOLEN PROPERTY

ITEM NO	QUAN.	ARTICLE	SERIAL NO	BRAND	MODEL NO	MISC DESCRIPTION (COLOR, SIZE, INSCRIPTIONS, CALIBER, REVOLVER ETC.)	DOLLAR VALUE
1	1	Identity Document - Misc	N/A	Multiple	Personal identifying	One set of personal identifying information and related records belongi One set of personal identifying information and related records belongi 0.0000000000	$0
						TOTAL STOLEN PROPERTY	$0.00

OFFENSES

Chrg No	Offense
1	530.5(A) - PC - F - Identity Theft - 26F

NARRATIVE

This narrative corrects and expands my prior online submission, tracking no. 260327900363, and relates to prior LAPD identity theft case C259045687. Unknown person(s) appear to have used my personal identifying information without my permission to obtain or attempt to obtain services and program funds and to create, complete, or link records in my name. I am not currently aware of a retail purchase, new line of credit, or unauthorized charge to a credit card in my possession. The apparent misuse instead involves my name, date of birth, Social Security number, phone number, address, and related identifying or financial information in workforce/employment enrollment, FAFSA or student-aid support, rental or housing application materials, county-funded rental assistance, and DHCS or health-benefit records.Use my home address, 1551 E 106th St Unit 3/4, Los Angeles, CA 90002, as the incident location. Other addresses or locations appearing in disputed records include E 106th St and Compton Ave, 9188 Glenoaks Blvd, Sun Valley, CA 91352, and 6003 Warwood Rd, Lakewood, CA 90713.On 03/18/2024, CRCD/Project Tipping Point staff contacted my fiancee seeking information about me for referral into services. I later reviewed records suggesting I had opted into communications in 2023, which I dispute. During FAFSA and college-support activity, Nagera Pemberton had screen access during Zoom assistance. I also reviewed Amity Foundation paperwork dated 06/13/2024 using my California address and what appears to be my signature, even though I was not in California at that time. I dispute that paperwork as unauthorized or inaccurate.On 03/26/2026, I reviewed a DHCS Beneficiary Profile Report showing disputed address associations, same-case beneficiary linkage, and provider activity, including Los Angeles County Department of Mental Health. That report links my information to multiple addresses and to Isaac James Meza as a same-case beneficiary, which I dispute. The most recent activity I reviewed reflected use through 03/25/2026.Related background reporting includes LA County Fraud refs. 2025-23289 and 2026_23965, both assigned to an investigator. I am also preserving a CRCD partner statement in which CRCD claims I signed up to be contacted in 2023 and denies wrongdoing; I dispute those assertions. I am attaching supporting emails and records. - INTERNAL USE ONLY: RD = [1837]

- Amount Lost? = [0]

- Type of identity Theft = [Other <> School Loan Document (0927) <> Bank Account Information (0929) <> Fictitious Identification <> Application for Employment (0917) <> Real Estate Documents (0931) <> Misuse of Social Security Number]

- Documentation Provided (Check all that apply) = [Other]

- If Other, please advise type of attachment = [DHCS beneficiary profile report]

- If Other, please advise type of ID Theft = [Unauthorized DHCS linkage]

- If Yes, please list Agency, Date, and Report # = [LAPD / 11/12/2025 / DR C259045687]

- Have you previously reported this incident to law enforcement? = [Yes]

- Date Discovered = [03/26/2026]

Los Angeles Police Department
INVESTIGATIVE REPORT

REPORT OF: **Identity Theft** | INVEST DIV: **16** | INC #: | CASE # **C259045687**

VICTIM

LAST NAME, FIRST, MIDDLE (OR NAME OF BUSINESS)	SEX	DESC	HT	WT	AGE	DOB
Haab, Kyle David	M	W	604	230	26	02/28/1999

ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP: R - 1551 East 106th Street Watts, CA 90002 | PHONE: (657) 557-4459
B -
E-MAIL ADDRESS: Kyle.dietz99@gmail.com | CELL PHONE:
DR. LIC NO. (IF NONE, OTHER ID & NO.): U0571482 CA | FOREIGN LANGUAGE SPOKEN: | OCCUPATION:

PREMISES (SPECIFIC TYPE): OTHER RESIDENCE | 459/BURGLARY POINT OF ENTRY: | POINT OF EXIT:
LOCATION OF OCCURRENCE: 1551 East 106th Street LOS ANGELES, CA 90002 | R.D.: 1836 | LATENT PRINTS NOTIFIED: ☐ Y ☐ N Tracking #:
TYPE SECURITY: | METHOD:
DATE & TIME OF OCCURRENCE: 06/07/2024 13:59 - 10/30/2025 14:09 | DATE & TIME REPORTED TO PD: 11/12/2025 15:08
INSTRUMENT USED:
TYPE PROPERTY STOLEN/LOST/DAMAGED: Identity Document - Misc | C.S.A.N.S. GIVEN: | STOLEN/LOST: $ 0.00 | RECOVERED: $ | DOMESTIC VIOLENCE: $

VICTIM'S VEH. (IF INVOLVED, YEAR, MAKE, TYPE, COLOR, LIC NO.):
NOTIFICATION(S) (PERSON & DIVISION): TEST NOTIFIED
CONNECTED REPORT(S) (TYPE & DR #): N/A

MO (IF LONG NARRATIVE, LIST UNIQUE, IF SHORT FORM, DESCRIBE SUSPECT'S ACTIONS IN DETAIL PHRASES, INCLUDING WEAPON USED. DO NOT REPEAT ABOVE INFO BUT CLARIFY REPORT AS NECESSARY. IF ANY OF THE MISSING TYPES ARE POTENTIALLY PRESENT, ITEMIZE AND DESCRIBE ALL ITEMS MISSING IN THIS INCIDENT IN THE NARRATIVE.)
Unknown suspect obtained access to victim's personal information and made unauthorized transaction[s] without consent. DOC OK

THREAT OF SCHOOL VIOLENCE: ☐ | TRANSIT-RELATED INCIDENT: ☐ | MANDATORY MARSY'S RIGHTS CARD PROVIDED TO THE VICTIM: ☑ | MOTIVATED BY HATE/PREJUDICE: ☐ | DOM. VIOL. VIOLENCE: ☐

REPORTING EMPLOYEE(S)

INITIAL'S LAST NAME	SERIAL NO.	DIV./DET./AIL	PERSON REPORTING	SIGNATURE	OR RECEIVED BY PHONE ☐
OLIVARES	40498	CORS Unit			

NOTE: IF SHORT FORM AND VICTIM ARE NOT THE SAME, ENTER VICTIM INFORMATION IN INVOLVED PERSONS SECTION.

SUSP'S VEHICLE | YEAR | MAKE | MODEL | TYPE | VEHICLE NOTES
COLOR(S) | VEH. LIC. NO. | STATE

	SEX	DESC	HAIR	EYES	HEIGHT	WEIGHT	AGE	CLOTHING	NAME, ADDRESS, DOB IF KNOWN, PKG NO. CHARGE, IF ARRESTED
S-1									

PERSONAL IDENTIFYING/UNUSUAL FEATURES, SCARS, TATTOOS, ETC.: | WEAPON/VERBAL THREATS, BODILY FORCE, SIMULATED GUN, ETC. IF KNIFE OR GUN DESCRIBE FULLY:

	SEX	DESC	HAIR	EYES	HEIGHT	WEIGHT	AGE	CLOTHING	NAME, ADDRESS, DOB IF KNOWN, PKG NO. CHARGE, IF ARRESTED
S-2									

PERSONAL IDENTIFYING/UNUSUAL FEATURES, SCARS, TATTOOS, ETC.: | WEAPON/VERBAL THREATS, BODILY FORCE, SIMULATED GUN, ETC. IF KNIFE OR GUN DESCRIBE FULLY:

INVOLVED PERSON(S) W - WITNESS R - PERSON REPORTING S - PERSON SECURING (459) D - PERSON DISCOVERING (459) P - PARENT OF - CONTACT PERSON (DOMESTIC VIOLENCE)

NAME	SEX	DESC	DOB	ADDRESS	CITY, STATE, ZIP	PHONE
				R -		
DR. LIC. NO. (IF NONE, LIST OTHER ID & NO.)	FOREIGN LANGUAGE SPOKEN			B -		
				E-MAIL ADDRESS	CELL PHONE:	
NAME	SEX	DESC	DOB	ADDRESS	CITY, STATE, ZIP	PHONE
				R -		
DR. LIC. NO. (IF NONE, LIST OTHER ID & NO.)	FOREIGN LANGUAGE SPOKEN			B -		
				E-MAIL ADDRESS	CELL PHONE:	
NAME	SEX	DESC	DOB	ADDRESS	CITY, STATE, ZIP	PHONE
				R -		
DR. LIC. NO. (IF NONE, LIST OTHER ID & NO.)	FOREIGN LANGUAGE SPOKEN			B -		
				E-MAIL ADDRESS	CELL PHONE:	

COMBINED EVID. INFO USE THIS SECTION IN LIEU OF PROPERTY SHEET IF NO GUN AND NO MORE THAN THREE ITEMS OF EVIDENCE | LOG, EVID. BKD | 10.10.30 DIVISION OF ORIGIN

ITEM	QUAN	ARTICLE	SERIAL NO./METER READ OF CAMERA	GRAM/DRUGS WEIGHT UNITS	MODEL NO./DRUG TEST RESULT	MISC

NARRATIVE USE THE FOLLOWING HEADINGS TO DOCUMENT ALL INFORMATION REGARDING THE INVESTIGATION, ADDITIONAL PERSONS INVOLVED (generate by type): SOURCE OF ACTIVITY, INVESTIGATION, ARREST, INJURY/MEDICAL TREATMENT, PHOTOS, RECORDINGS, VICAP, CCTV, BWV, AND DIGITAL IMAGING, BOOKING, EVIDENCE, CANVASING, ADDITIONAL, CONCLUSION SUMMARY, PROPERTY, STOLEN, LOST/RECOVERED/DAMAGED, AND COURT INFORMATION. NOTE: ANY OF THESE HEADINGS MAY BE OMITTED IF NOT APPLICABLE. SEE GENERAL REPORTING INSTRUCTIONS, FIELD NOTEBOOK DIVIDER, FORM 18.00.00, AND INVESTIGATION REPORT FIELD NOTEBOOK DIVIDER, FORM 18.00.01 FOR FURTHER INFO.

VICTIM IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION (IF APPLICABLE) | IS ANY OF THE VICTIM'S PROPERTY MARKED WITH AN OWNER APPLIED IDENTIFICATION NUMBER? ☐ YES ☐ NO — IF YES, EXPLAIN IN NARRATIVE

LAPD APPROVAL AND REVIEW

SUPERVISOR APPROVING	SERIAL NO.	DIVISION	DETECTIVE SUPERVISOR APPROVING	SERIAL NO.
LT 1 HERNANDEZ	34779	Southeast		
DATE & TIME	CLERK	DIVISION		CATEGORY
11/15/2025 03:07	V9091			

☐ Digital Evidence ☐ Evidence Obtained

Los Angeles Police Department
INVESTIGATIVE REPORT

CASE # **C259045687**

STOLEN PROPERTY

ITEM NO	QUAN.	ARTICLE	SERIAL NO	BRAND	MODEL NO	MISC. DESCRIPTION (COLOR, SIZE, HIGH PERF, CALIBER, REVOLVER ETC.)	DOLLAR VALUE
1	1	Identity Document - Misc	N/A	N/A	N/A	Personal identifying documents, including SSN, ID, and financial informatio Personal identifying documents, including SSN, ID, and financial informatio 0.0000000000	$0
						TOTAL STOLEN PROPERTY	$0.00

OFFENSES

Chrg No	Offense
1	530.5(A) - PC - F - Identity Theft - 26F

NARRATIVE

On June 7, 2024, I provided my ID, SSN, diploma, and documents to the Coalition for Responsible Community Development (CRCD) for enrollment in "Project Tipping Point." My personal information was later used without my consent to create a lease and payment arrangement involving a third party, Christopher Cervantes, who received funds through CRCD using my identity.Documents include an ACH authorization, W-9, landlord verification, and residential lease signed by Cervantes but not by me. These forms list my name and address (1551 E 106th St, Los Angeles, CA 90002) and were used to apply for county rental assistance and other program funds. The W-9 lists Cervantes's SSN, and the ACH authorization directs payments from CRCD to his Wells Fargo account. I never met this person, never signed any payment authorization, and never received the reported funds.Amity Foundation forms dated June 13 2024 list me as enrolled in a sanitation course at LATTC using CRCD staff contact info, though my official LATTC acceptance letter was issued a week later (June 20 2024). This shows my data was used for program and funding reporting prior to eligibility.LAHSA confirmed a related fraud case (#2025-23289) and instructed me on October 15 2025 to report to LAPD. Officer Galindo #43486, Southeast Division, documented my initial attempt on October 23 2025. I discovered the fraudulent use on September 19 2025. Estimated loss is 19,000 in county-funded assistance disbursed under my name.Supporting evidence includes Amity and CRCD intake forms, LATTC acceptance letter, lease and payment forms, and LAHD records showing the property was not approved for rental assistance. - INTERNAL USE ONLY: RD = [1837]

- Amount Lost? = [19000]

- Type of identity Theft = [Other <> Credit/ Debit card or Number (0930) <> School Loan Document (0927) <> Bank Account Information (0929) <> Fictitious Identification <> Application for Employment (0917) <> Real Estate Documents (0931) <> Misuse of Social Security Number]

- Documentation Provided (Check all that apply) = [Grant Deed <> Unreported Income Letter]

- If Other, please advise type of ID Theft = [ACH]

- If Yes, please list Agency, Date, and Report # = [Lapd Southeast Division-officer galindo#43486filed 10/23/25]

- Have you previously reported this incident to law enforcement? = [Yes]

- Date Discovered = [09/19/2025that's the date of the email from Polet Lopez confirming no grievance procedures]

EXHIBIT C-1 - LADA BOI Real Estate Fraud Unit letter, page 1



LOS ANGELES COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION • REAL ESTATE FRAUD UNIT

TONY LEE • Chief
WILLIAM HAVEN • Assistant Chief

May 8, 2026

IN RESPONSE, PLEASE REFER TO OUR FILE NO. 2026-C-0704
Real Estate Fraud Unit

Kyle Haab
1111 E 59th St., Unit 1/4
Los Angeles, CA 90002

Dear Kyle Haab:

We are in receipt of your complaint and appreciate your effort in alerting this office to the problems you have with Ian Carruthers and Ian Realty & Investments, LLC. This response provides information to help you deal with your concern.

The Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office Bureau of Investigation (BOI) Real Estate Fraud Unit evaluates all information prior to opening a real estate fraud investigation. This office is not legally permitted to represent individuals in civil matters, take action in order to obtain money owed a consumer, help one in any debt due on a contract that was signed, resolve or mediate individual contract complaints, or obtain any other personal relief. These functions are performed by a number of government agencies established for that purpose.

The Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office BOI Real Estate Fraud Unit only investigates cases involving documents recorded in the Los Angeles County Registrar-Recorder's Office. When we receive a complaint outside our division's jurisdiction, we do our best to refer you to any agency appropriate to handle the type of matter involved. Many disputes are not appropriate for government action but are allegations proper for private legal action. It is generally a good idea to consult with private counsel to explore private legal remedies that might be available. In small matters, local small claims court should also be considered.

Members of the BOI Real Estate Fraud Unit reviewed this matter and concluded that your complaint does not meet the criteria to open a real estate fraud investigation. After review, our unit has concluded that there is insufficient evidence of a real estate fraud crime. If any other crime is suspected regarding the listed subject properties, you may wish to file a report with the respective LAPD Divisions: LAPD Southeast Station at 145 W. 108th Street, Los Angeles, CA 90061, LAPD 77th Station at 7600 S. Broadway, Los Angeles, CA 90003, and LAPD Foothill Station at 12760 Osborne Street, Pacoima, CA 91331. You may also try contacting LAPD Real Estate Fraud Section at 100 W. 1st St. Room #302, Los Angeles, CA 90012.

Further, you retain a civil remedies, you may wish to seek all civil remedies available to you.

Hall of Justice
211 West Temple Street, Suite 300
Los Angeles, CA 90013
(562) 906-5470

Image supplied by complainant; included for routing and source-record context

EXHIBIT C-2 - LADA BOI Real Estate Fraud Unit letter, page 2

Image supplied by complainant; included for routing and source-record context

EXHIBIT D - California Department of Real Estate pending-review acknowledgment


DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

May 08, 2026

KYLE DAVID HAAB
1551 EAST 108TH STREET #314
LOS ANGELES CA 90002

RE # 1-26-0316-003
Christopher Noe Galvanian

Dear Kyle David Haab:

This will acknowledge receipt of your correspondence received on 05/12/2026. Your correspondence is currently under review.

When we have completed our review, you will be notified of our final disposition. Should you have reason to contact us, please do so in writing to the above-shown address and to the attention of our "Complaint Intake Unit," making reference to the above-specified DRE file number.

Please be advised that the Department of Real Estate's authority is limited to disciplinary action against real estate licensees. You can find more information about the Department of Real Estate's authority, complaint process, and disciplinary actions at www.dre.ca.gov.

Thank you for contacting the Department of Real Estate.

Sincerely,

Graciela F. Macias
Program Technician II
Pre-Complaint Desk

GFM

Image supplied by complainant; included for routing and source-record context

LOS ANGELES COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

PUBLIC COMMENT SUPPORTING RECORD

ATTACHMENT 5

HUD / LAHSA-HMIS / DOL-OIG / ETA WORKFORCE / REFERRAL-CHAIN RECORD

Corrected HUD CPD escalation, HMIS consent-ripple record, DOL OIG FOIA appeal, ETA workforce-housing-family nexus, and DFPI referral closure.

Purpose

Document federal and local routing, source-custody, consent, workforce, housing, FOIA, preservation, and referral-status issues without asking any agency to decide unrelated custody, eviction, or criminal merits.

Evidence and proof boundary

This packet documents notice, chronology, source-record locations, preservation requests, agency routing, and unresolved record questions. It does not convert allegations, referrals, complaint numbers, closures, or analytical summaries into findings of misconduct, liability, criminal intent, or agency agreement. Native records, certified records, audit logs, payment traces, approved plans, and authenticated communications control.

Source documents incorporated

No.	Source document	Pages	SHA-256 prefix
1	HUD_CPD_Escalation_Packet_CORRECTED_LAHSA_Enforcement_Consent_Ripple_May15_2026 (1).pdf	38	73582acfd388
2	DOL_OIG_FOIA_2026067_FULL_IMPACT_SOURCE_CONTROLLED_PACKET_July6_2026 (1).pdf	50	28ddb2b8924f
3	DOL_ETA_WORKFORCE_HOUSING_UNLAWFUL_DETAINER_CHILDREN_NEXUS_PACKET (1).pdf	20	a02b0b0f37e6
4	CSO – Referral Closure Let 60 (17).pdf	1	b1b665c60d9c

Requested Board handling

• Identify the correct HUD/CPD/CoC/HMIS escalation and records-custody path after local HMIS routing.

• Confirm DOL FOIA appeal/referral status, component ownership, segregability review, and preservation of routing and consultation records.

• Treat the DFPI closure as a jurisdictional referral to the California Attorney General, not as a merits determination.

Public filing warning

Review every page before uploading. The record may contain personal addresses, signatures, housing and family information, health or HMIS information, taxpayer or banking data, child-related information, confidential communications, or records subject to sealing or restricted disclosure. Redact protected information before public submission.

Prepared July 18, 2026

HUD CPD / HUD Los Angeles Field Office Escalation Packet

CORRECTED VERSION - Consent Ripple Effect / LAHSA Enforcement Routing / HMIS Source-Record Escalation / HUD FOIA Association

Prepared by	Kyle Robert Haab
Date	May 15, 2026
Property	1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4, Los Angeles, CA 90002
Primary HUD lane requested	CPD routing, preservation, records custody, HMIS escalation procedure, FOIA association
HUD AAQ	Question ID 260629
HUD FHEO Inquiry	860997
HUD FOIA	26-FI-RO9-01580 / FOIA submitted April 3, 2026
PTP participant ID	1233369910
HMIS ID	8F8CD9D8B
LAHSA Ref. / HMIS tickets	2526KH-A / 259260, 260627, 267528
Enforcement references	County Fraud / OCI 2025-23289 and 2026-23965; LAPD RMS C259045687 and C269013241
Related cases	25CMFL00694 / 26CMUD00417 / SHN-105293108

Purpose of corrected packet

This corrected packet is prepared for HUD CPD / HUD Los Angeles Field Office routing. It requests written clarification of the next escalation step after HUD Exchange directed the HMIS issue back to LAHSA / local HMIS channels and stated that LAHSA's written response can then be taken to the local HUD CPD office.

This corrected version emphasizes that consent is the threshold issue; that disputed or missing consent creates a ripple effect across HMIS, CRCD / Project Tipping Point, housing placement, landlord-payment records, family-status classification, and downstream proceedings; and that LAHSA's routing toward enforcement / fraud channels makes preservation and source-record custody critical to the integrity of OCI and LAPD IAD / PSB review.

Sensitive records notice

This packet includes housing, family-status, administrative, and program-record identifiers. It should be routed only to offices with a legitimate program, records, oversight, FOIA, enforcement-routing, or intake role.

Corrected Framing for HUD CPD

HUD / LAHSA / HMIS alignment

HUD does not directly house the HMIS database. LAHSA administers the local HMIS. However, HUD Exchange directed me to LAHSA as the local HMIS administrator and advised that LAHSA's written response can then be taken to the local HUD CPD office. HUD's HMIS Data and Technical Standards, CPD / CoC framework, and prior CPD routing make this a HUD-aligned escalation issue once the local HMIS Lead fails to provide a written source-record determination, consent-scope disposition, access-log / disclosure process, correction procedure, or escalation path.

Consent is the threshold issue

Without the source authorization record, none of the later CRCD / Project Tipping Point housing, HMIS, landlord-payment, family-status, or reunification-related records can be treated as clean or undisputed. The question is what CRCD, Ruth's Place, LAHSA / HMIS, or Project Tipping Point treated as authorization for later 2024-2026 records use.

Consent ripple effect

If the original consent, ROI, HMIS authorization, privacy notice, or participant agreement is missing, limited, expired, program-specific, or did not authorize later Project Tipping Point activity, then every downstream record needs review: CRCD / PTP contact, HMIS reliance, family-status classification, child-related information, landlord communications, lease records, W-9 / ACH records, rental-assistance records, unit-suitability records, housing placement, and later agency routing.

LAHSA enforcement routing / OCI / LAPD IAD integrity issue

LAHSA did not simply leave this as a service-navigation issue. LAHSA's handling directed the matter toward enforcement, fraud, and law-enforcement channels while still not producing a written HMIS source-record determination, consent-scope disposition, access log, disclosure history, correction pathway, or escalation route. That matters because the same unresolved consent / HMIS / CRCD / PTP records chain is now connected to County Fraud / OCI 2025-23289 and 2026-23965, LAPD RMS C259045687 and C269013241, LAPD / OIG / IAD / PSB routing, LAHSA Ref. 2526KH-A, and HMIS tickets 259260, 260627, and 267528.

Narrow HUD CPD request

I am not asking HUD CPD to direct OCI, LAPD, IAD, PSB, or any enforcement agency. I am asking HUD CPD to identify the correct HUD / CPD / CoC / HMIS escalation path so the local HMIS source-record issue is not treated as resolved merely because it was routed to enforcement. The requested action is preservation and routing: identify who is responsible for preserving and producing LAHSA / HMIS / CRCD / PTP records used, disclosed, referenced, or relied upon before the matter entered OCI, LAPD, IAD / PSB, or other enforcement lanes.

Ripple chain

limited or disputed 2023 HMIS / Ruth's Place / CRCD consent -> later 2024-2026 Project Tipping Point contact and records use -> housing placement and rental-assistance processing -> landlord / lease / W-9 / ACH / payment records -> unit legality and habitability issues -> unlawful-detainer exposure -> loss of housing stability -> reduced ability to participate in court, maintain visitation, and pursue reunification -> agencies treating the issue as ordinary service navigation instead of a source-record and family-stability problem.

Copy-Ready Email

To: ChinWoo.Choi@hud.gov; Ester.F.Dela@hud.gov; Adriane.J.Clarke@hud.gov; Cpdgdas@hud.gov; Rebecca.Blanco@hud.gov; Sonja.Cossio@hud.gov; Katherine.vasilopoulos@hud.gov; HUDLACustomerService@hud.gov

Cc: R9IntakeInbox6@hud.gov; FHEOCorrespondenceUnit@hud.gov; AllAboutFHIP@hud.gov

Subject: Corrected Submission of HUD CPD Escalation Packet - CRCD / LAHSA / HMIS / Project Tipping Point / HUD FOIA 26-FI-RO9-01580

Dear HUD CPD / HUD Los Angeles Field Office,

Please see the attached corrected HUD CPD escalation packet regarding the CRCD / Project Tipping Point / LAHSA / HMIS records issue involving 1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4, Los Angeles, CA 90002.

This corrected packet emphasizes the threshold consent issue, the ripple effect from disputed or missing source authorization records, and the fact that LAHSA's handling directed the matter toward enforcement / fraud channels while the underlying HMIS source-record issue remains unresolved.

I am submitting this packet to preserve the routing record and request written clarification of the correct HUD CPD escalation, records-custody, FOIA association, preservation, HMIS escalation, and program-oversight procedure.

I am copying HUD FHEO / Region IX only for routing history because FHEO Inquiry 860997 was administratively closed for lack of FHEO jurisdiction and redirected toward HUD CPD / related housing-program channels. I am not asking FHEO to reopen the inquiry through this email unless FHEO identifies a proper basis or referral route.

I am not asking HUD CPD to decide my family-law case, unlawful-detainer case, attorney-discipline matter, DRE matter, state-hearing merits, County Fraud / OCI matter, LAPD IAD / PSB matter, or any criminal allegation. I am requesting procedure, routing, preservation, and records-custody clarification only.

Relevant identifiers:

Name: Kyle Robert Haab
Property: 1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4, Los Angeles, CA 90002
CRCD / Project Tipping Point participant ID: 1233369910
HMIS ID: 8F8CD9D8B
LAHSA Ref.: 2526KH-A
HMIS tickets: 259260, 260627, 267528
CFCI reference: CFCI-PA3-056-2023
County Fraud / OCI: 2025-23289 and 2026-23965
LAPD RMS: C259045687 and C269013241
LAPD / OIG / IAD / PSB routing: procedural control-number and preservation request pending
LADBS Order: A-6604739 / Case 1053480
LAHD Case: SO311576
DRE matter: PC 1-26-0316-003
CDSS State Hearing: SHN-105293108
Unlawful-detainer case: 26CMUD00417
California family-law case: 25CMFL00694
Federal case / preservation docket: CV26-802-UA / 2:26-cv-00802-JFW-AS
DOL OIG FOIA: 2026067
HUD FHEO Inquiry: 860997
HUD AAQ Question ID: 260629
HUD FOIA / FOIA.gov: HUD FOIA 26-FI-RO9-01580; FOIA request submitted April 3, 2026

The attached packet includes:

1. Corrected front matter and copy-ready email;
2. HUD Exchange AAQ Question ID 260629 response;
3. HUD CPD April 27, 2026 response;
4. HUD CPD / Senator Schiff routing response;
5. HUD FHEO administrative closure for Inquiry 860997;
6. CRCD / Latea Davis records-custody request;
7. FCA attorney declination / program-compliance red-flags screenshot;
8. DRE / LAHD / LADBS unit-status excerpts.

HUD / LAHSA / HMIS alignment:

I understand that HUD does not maintain a national HMIS database and that LAHSA administers the local HMIS. However, HUD Exchange directed me to LAHSA as the local HMIS administrator and advised that LAHSA's written response can then be taken to the local HUD CPD office. HUD's HMIS Data and Technical Standards, CPD / CoC framework, and prior CPD routing make this a HUD-aligned escalation issue once the local HMIS Lead fails to provide a written source-record determination, consent-scope disposition, access-log / disclosure process, correction procedure, or escalation path.

The question is therefore not whether HUD personally holds my HMIS record. The question is what HUD CPD escalation path applies when the local HMIS Lead / CoC administrator does not provide a written response after HUD Exchange and HUD CPD have both routed the HMIS privacy / security issue back to local HMIS channels.

Consent as the threshold issue:

The consent issue is the threshold issue. Without the source authorization record, none of the later CRCD / Project Tipping Point housing, HMIS, landlord-payment, family-status, or reunification-related records can be treated as clean or undisputed.

The entire records chain appears to depend on what CRCD, Ruth's Place, LAHSA / HMIS, or Project Tipping Point treated as authorization. If the only source record is a limited 2023 Ruth's Place / CRCD / HMIS-tracked contact or one-day enrollment, then HUD CPD should identify what procedure applies to determine whether that record could support later 2024-2026 activity involving a different program, different purpose, different funding path, different housing transaction, different state, landlord / payment records, education / workforce coordination, and child / family-status information.

The missing consent source record affects whether CRCD / Project Tipping Point had authority to contact me or my household, collect or use family-status and child-related information, rely on LAHSA / HMIS records outside the limited HMIS project context, process landlord / lease / W-9 / ACH / rental-assistance records, or treat downstream housing instability as voluntary parental instability rather than a consequence of unresolved source-record problems.

Consent ripple effect:

The consent issue created a ripple effect. If the original consent, ROI, HMIS authorization, privacy notice, or participant agreement is missing, limited, expired, program-specific, or did not authorize later Project Tipping Point activity, then every downstream record needs review.

The ripple effect is:

limited or disputed 2023 HMIS / Ruth's Place / CRCD consent -> later 2024-2026 Project Tipping Point contact and records use -> housing placement and rental-assistance processing -> landlord / lease / W-9 / ACH / payment records -> unit legality and habitability issues -> unlawful-detainer exposure -> loss of housing stability -> reduced ability to participate in court, maintain visitation, and pursue reunification -> agencies treating the issue as ordinary service navigation instead of a source-record and family-stability problem.

LAHSA enforcement routing / OCI / LAPD IAD integrity issue:

I also need HUD CPD to understand that LAHSA did not simply leave this as a service-navigation issue. LAHSA's handling directed the matter toward enforcement, fraud, and law-enforcement channels while still not producing a written HMIS source-record determination, consent-scope disposition, access log, disclosure history, correction pathway, or escalation route.

That matters because the same unresolved consent / HMIS / CRCD / Project Tipping Point records chain is now connected to County Fraud / OCI matters 2025-23289 and 2026-23965; LAPD RMS reports C259045687 and C269013241; LAPD / OIG / IAD / PSB routing and preservation issues; LAHSA Ref. 2526KH-A; HMIS tickets 259260, 260627, and 267528; DOL OIG FOIA / federal records routing; CRCD / Project Tipping Point participant ID 1233369910; and HMIS ID 8F8CD9D8B.

My concern is the integrity of the investigative and administrative record. If LAHSA directed me toward enforcement or fraud-reporting channels, then LAHSA / HMIS / CRCD source records, consent records, access logs, disclosure histories, ticket histories, internal routing notes, and referral records should be preserved and identifiable. Otherwise, enforcement agencies may review downstream reports without the original source-record foundation.

I am not asking HUD CPD to direct OCI, LAPD, IAD, PSB, or any enforcement agency. I am asking HUD CPD to identify the correct HUD / CPD / CoC / HMIS escalation path so the local HMIS source-record issue is not treated as resolved merely because it was routed to enforcement.

Housing is not separate from consent:

The unit at 1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4 was connected to CRCD / Project Tipping Point rental assistance, landlord communications, lease records, W-9 / ACH / payment records, and later unlawful-detainer exposure. City records identify unit-status concerns, including unapproved-unit and Certificate of Occupancy issues. Those issues affect housing stability, court participation, family reunification, and parent-child contact.

HUD FOIA association:

I am also requesting that HUD CPD associate this submission with my HUD FOIA / FOIA.gov records request. HUD CPD's April 27, 2026 response states that I submitted a FOIA request on April 3, 2026 and that CPD provided responsive records to HUD's FOIA office for review and processing. That FOIA lane is relevant because the records produced or withheld may identify the routing history, CPD review notes, LAHSA / City communications, CRCD funding determinations, HUD OIG referral, and the basis for redirecting HMIS personal-information concerns back to LAHSA / local HMIS channels.

Program-compliance framing:

I also want to clarify why I am requesting administrative routing and records preservation rather than asking CPD to make a fraud finding. I previously submitted materials to an attorney for possible False Claims Act review. The attorney declined representation

because the case would likely not warrant the substantial attorney time and litigation expense required, and because proving scienter / intent to defraud would be difficult. However, the attorney also stated that the evidence raises red flags about program compliance. I am therefore not asking HUD CPD to conclude fraud. I am asking CPD to identify the correct oversight lane, records custodian, preservation process, LAHSA / HMIS escalation path, and any applicable referral route for program-compliance concerns.

Please confirm the following:

1. Whether HUD CPD will accept LAHSA's written HMIS response, once obtained, for local CPD review as HUD Exchange advised.

2. If LAHSA does not provide a written HMIS source-record response, what escalation path applies.

3. Whether escalation should proceed through LAHSA HMIS governance, the Los Angeles CoC board, HUD CPD Los Angeles Field Office, HUD Region IX, HUD privacy / security channels, HUD OIG, or another HUD-designated process.

4. Whether CPD can identify the correct records custodian for LAHSA / HMIS / CoC records involving HMIS ID 8F8CD9D8B, CRCD / Ruth's Place 2023 enrollment records, disclosure history, access logs, provider-sharing history, audit trail, correction history, and ROI / consent records.

5. Whether CPD can identify the correct grantee / subrecipient contact for CRCD-related records, given the prior CPD statement that CRCD is a subrecipient of CoC and CDBG funds through LAHSA and the City.

6. Whether CPD can clarify the boundary between Project Tipping Point funding jurisdiction, CRCD's status as a HUD-funded subrecipient through other programs, HMIS data / privacy procedures administered locally, HUD OIG referral for fraud / waste / abuse / program-compliance concerns, and HUD FOIA / FOIA.gov records processing.

7. Whether CPD can confirm what type of LAHSA written response is sufficient to bring back to CPD for review.

8. Whether CPD has a preferred submission method for a narrow supplemental packet after LAHSA responds or fails to respond.

9. Whether the prior HUD OIG referral has any reference number, tracking number, or office contact.

10. Whether this HUD CPD escalation packet can be associated with HUD FOIA 26-FI-RO9-01580 or any related FOIA.gov tracking number.

11. If CPD cannot associate this packet with the FOIA file, please identify the correct HUD FOIA contact for submitting it as a supplemental preservation / cross-reference record.

12. If CPD considers the matter fully closed, please identify the exact office or process for unresolved local HMIS privacy / security escalation when the local HMIS Lead does not issue a written source-record determination.

13. Whether CPD can identify the correct routing for records involving CRCD / Project Tipping Point, CFCI-PA3-056-2023, LAHSA / HMIS, City of Los Angeles, County of Los Angeles, Amity / JCOD, County Fraud / OCI, LAPD IAD / PSB preservation issues, and related housing-support records.

14. Whether CPD recommends that I submit the LAHSA non-response or unresolved HMIS tickets to HUD OIG, HUD CPD, HUD Region IX, the Los Angeles CoC board, LAHSA governance, HUD FOIA, or another specific office.

15. Who is responsible for preserving and producing the LAHSA / HMIS / CRCD / Project Tipping Point records that were used, disclosed, referenced, or relied upon before the matter entered OCI, LAPD, IAD / PSB, or other enforcement lanes.

I am requesting procedure and routing only. I am not asking CPD to adjudicate every related issue. I need a written answer identifying the next correct step after HUD Exchange directed me back to LAHSA and then to local CPD with LAHSA's written response, and after LAHSA's handling directed the issue toward enforcement without first producing the source-record determination.

Please confirm receipt of the attached corrected packet and identify the correct CPD contact, records custodian, grantee / subrecipient contact, FOIA contact, HMIS escalation path, or HUD referral route.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert Haab
1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4
Los Angeles, CA 90002
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

Corrected Exhibit Index

Exhibit	Document	Purpose
A	HUD Exchange AAQ Question ID 260629 response	Shows HUD does not maintain a national HMIS database, identifies LAHSA/local HMIS lane, and states LAHSA written response can be taken to local HUD CPD.
B	HUD CPD April 27, 2026 response	Shows CPD final jurisdiction position, local HMIS redirection, HUD LA customer service contact, and FOIA processing statement.
C	HUD CPD / Senator Schiff routing response	Shows CPD statement that CRCD was a CoC/CDBG subrecipient through LAHSA/City and that allegations were forwarded/referrals made.
D	HUD FHEO administrative closure for Inquiry 860997	Shows FHEO non-merits closure and redirection toward CPD / related housing-program channels.
E	CRCD / Latea Davis records-custody request	Shows request for PTP records, consent/authorization, family-status, housing placement, unit legality, and BFH State Hearing association.
F	FCA attorney declination / program-compliance red-flags screenshot	Shows administrative program-compliance red flags while clarifying that this is not a request for CPD fraud finding.
G	DRE / LAHD / LADBS unit-status excerpts	Shows unit legality, Certificate of Occupancy, and unapproved-unit context relevant to housing stability and family reunification impact.

Source attachment control

Original PDFs, emails, agency letters, screenshots, FOIA records, HMIS records, and agency responses remain the controlling exhibits if separately requested or produced. This corrected packet is a routing, preservation, and escalation-control packet.

Exhibit A

HUD Exchange AAQ Question ID 260629 Response

Local HMIS / LAHSA written response and local CPD escalation instruction.

Exhibit A - HUD Exchange AAQ Question ID 260629 Response - Source page 1

Question Response for General Public Question ID 260629 - HUD Exchange Ask A Question

1 message

<aaq@hudexchange.info> Fri, May 15, 2026 at 7:52 AM
To: kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

Question Status: Answered

Thank you for submitting a question via the HUD Exchange. The response to your question is listed below.

Requestor Name: Kyle Haab

Requestor Email: kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

Question Related To: General Public

Question ID: 260629

Question Subject:

Final HMIS Lead Request for Written Procedure, Source-Record Custodian, Consent Scope, and Escalation – HMIS ID 8F8CD9D8B

Question Text:

Dear Ms. Kuhn / LAHSA HMIS Lead:

I am requesting a written HMIS Lead response regarding an unresolved HMIS privacy, consent, records-use, program-seperation, and escalation issue involving CRCD / Ruth's Place / Project Tipping Point / LAHSA HMIS.

HUD AAQ previously directed my HMIS privacy/security questions to the local CoC or HMIS Lead and identified LAHSA / Bevin Kuhn as the Los Angeles County CoC HMIS Lead. HUD CPD also directed my HMIS personal-information concerns back to LAHSA / local HMIS channels. That local routing has not resolved the issue.

The control issue is consent scope and program separation.

CRCD / Project Tipping Point appears to be relying on a one-day 2023 Ruth's Place / CRCD HMIS-tracked project enrollment to justify ongoing communication and later records use involving Project Tipping Point, which appears to be a separate non-HMIS-tracked program. No consent, ROI, HMIS authorization, privacy notice, participant agreement, disclosure history, or program-specific authorization has been produced showing that the limited Ruth's Place / HMIS contact authorized later Project Tipping Point activity.

A one-day HMIS-tracked project enrollment should not be treated as open-ended authorization for a different year, different program, different purpose, different funding stream, different housing transaction, different state, landlord/payment records, education/workforce coordination, or child/family-status information.

This matters because CRCD had or should have had knowledge that I was in Tennessee, that I had two children, that I had stable housing, and that my family was involved in an active reunification / permanency context. Later records use and communications affected housing stability, agency classification, family-support access, legal-service access, and downstream referrals.

Relevant identifiers include:

Exhibit A - HUD Exchange AAQ Question ID 260629 Response - Source page 2

HUD AAQ Question ID 259772

HUD FHEO Inquiry 860997

HUD CAS 886647-F8H2X

HUD CRM 0435430

HUD FOIA 26-FI-RO9-01580

HMIS ID 8F8CD9D8B

LAHSA Ref. 2526KH-A

HMIS tickets 259260, 260627, 267528

CRCD / Ruth's Place HMIS project entry dated approximately April 27–28, 2023

CRCD / Project Tipping Point Intake ID 1233369910

County Fraud / OCI 2025-23289 and 2026-23965

DOL OIG FOIA.gov Submission ID 2838146

CMS FOIA.gov Submission ID 2838271 / CMS Document #040320267102 / PIN QTBG

HHS / ACF FOIA.gov Submission ID 2838106 / HHS tracking 2026-HHS-FOIA-002440

Department of Education FOIA.gov Submission ID 2838226

CFCI-PA3-056-2023

LAPD RMS C259045687 and C269013241

Los Angeles Superior Court family-law case 25CMFL00694

Unlawful-detainer case 26CMUD00417

TBPR investigative contact ttantshafer@tbor.org

Please provide a written response identifying:

1. The records custodian for the original 2023 Ruth's Place / CRCD HMIS source record.

2. Whether the April 27–28, 2023 Ruth's Place / CRCD HMIS enrollment was accessed, used, disclosed, shared, referenced, or relied upon by CRCD / Project Tipping Point after that limited enrollment.

3. Whether Project Tipping Point was HMIS-tracked or non-HMIS-tracked.

4. What LAHSA / HMIS / CoC policy permits or prohibits a non-HMIS program from relying on a prior HMIS-tracked project enrollment as consent for later activity.

5. The consent, ROI, HMIS authorization, privacy notice, disclosure permission, participant agreement, or other source record allegedly relied upon for later CRCD / Project Tipping Point activity.

6. The procedure to obtain my HMIS access log, provider-sharing history, disclosure accounting, audit trail, edit history, correction history, referral history, enrollment record, and exit record.

Exhibit A - HUD Exchange AAQ Question ID 260629 Response - Source page 3

7. Whether HMIS tickets 259260, 260627, and 267528 were administrative closures only, substantive determinations, referrals, unresolved tickets, or corrections.

8. The correction, restriction, amendment, dispute-notation, disclosure-accounting, and preservation procedure if no valid consent or ROI exists.

9. Whether LAHSA / HMIS Risk Management, privacy, compliance, or CoC governance reviewed this issue.

10. The escalation path if LAHSA / HMIS Lead does not provide a written source-record determination or disposition, including whether the matter goes to LAHSA HMIS governance, the Los Angeles CoC board, HUD CPD Field Office, HUD Region IX, HUD privacy/security channels, or another office.

I am not asking LAHSA to decide every collateral issue. I am asking LAHSA, as the local HMIS Lead / HMIS administrator, to identify the required HMIS procedure, source-record custody, consent-scope determination process, audit/disclosure process, correction pathway, preservation procedure, and escalation route.

Please do not treat prior ticket closures or referrals as substantive determinations unless LAHSA can identify the source record reviewed, the custodian, the policy applied, the disclosure/access history reviewed, and the written basis for the disposition.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert Haab

1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4

Los Angeles, CA 90002

657-557-4459

kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

Additional Information:

Added by Requestor on 05-15-2026 04.59 AM (ET)

I am adding this information to clarify why this is an unresolved HMIS escalation issue, not a new general complaint.

HUD has already routed this matter through multiple lanes. HUD FHEO administratively closed Inquiry 860997 for lack of jurisdiction, but stated that the closure was not a judgment on the merits. HUD CPD later stated that CRCD is a current subrecipient of HUD CoC and CDBG funds through LAHSA and the City of Los Angeles, forwarded my complaint to LAHSA and the City, and referred fraud allegations to HUD OIG. HUD CPD later concluded that Project Tipping Point and the unit at 1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4 were not supported by HUD CPD funding, and redirected HMIS personal-information concerns back to LAHSA / local HMIS channels. HUD AAQ also directed HMIS privacy/security questions to the local CoC or HMIS Lead.

That is the procedural problem: every route leads back to LAHSA / HMIS Lead, but LAHSA has not provided a written process, custodian, disposition, correction path, or escalation route.

The issue is consent scope and program separation. CRCD / Ruth's Place appears to have a one-day 2023 HMIS-tracked project entry, approximately April 27–28, 2023. CRCD / Project Tipping Point then appears to have treated that limited contact as continuing authority for later 2024–2026 communication and records use. Project Tipping Point appears to be a separate non-HMIS-tracked program. No consent, ROI, HMIS authorization, privacy notice, participant agreement, disclosure accounting, access log, provider sharing history, or program-specific authorization has been produced showing that the one-day HMIS contact authorized later Project Tipping Point activity.

A one-day HMIS-tracked enrollment should not be treated as open-ended consent for a different year, different program, different purpose, different funding path, different state, landlord/payment records, rental-assistance processing, education/workforce coordination, or child/family-status information.

Exhibit A - HUD Exchange AAQ Question ID 260629 Response - Source page 4

This matters because CRCD had or should have had knowledge that I was in Tennessee, had two children, had stable housing, and was involved in an active reunification / permanency context. Later records use affected housing stability, public program classification, family-service access, legal-service access, and downstream agency referrals. This is not only about money. It is about whether personal, household, housing, education, rental-assistance, and family-status information was used without a produced source authorization.

LAHSA's involvement has made the matter harder to resolve because the issue was routed through HMIS tickets and Risk Management, I was directed toward law-enforcement / fraud reporting, later told the matter was outside LAHSA jurisdiction, and still have not received a written HMIS source record process. Ticket closures should not be treated as substantive determinations where no consent source, access log, disclosure accounting, audit trail, custodian, or correction procedure has been identified.

The unresolved records issue now affects multiple related proceedings and agency lanes, including County Fraud / DCI 2025-23289 and 2026-23965, LAPD RMS C259045687 and C269013241, DOL OIG FOIA records, CMS / DHCS beneficiary-record questions, HHS / ACF Children's Bureau routing, Department of Education / FERPA records, family-law case 25CMFL00694, unlawful-detainer case 26CMUD00417, and the Tennessee counsel / consent issue involving ttantshafer@tbpr.org. I am not asking HUD Exchange to decide those matters. I am explaining why unresolved HMIS consent and records-use questions are causing downstream harm.

My procedural question is narrow: when HUD directs an HMIS privacy/security issue to the local CoC / HMIS Lead, but LAHSA does not provide a written process or disposition and is itself part of the disputed routing history, what escalation path applies?

Please identify whether escalation should proceed through LAHSA HMIS governance, the Los Angeles CoC board, HUD CPD Field Office, HUD Region IX, HUD privacy/security channels, HUD OIG, or another HUD-designated process. Please also identify the correct office, contact point, and submission method for requesting consent/ROI source records, HMIS access logs, provider-sharing history, disclosure accounting, audit trail, correction/amendment, dispute notation, restriction, and preservation.

Added by Requestor on 05-15-2026 04:59 AM (ET)

I am adding this information to clarify why this is an unresolved HMIS escalation issue, not a new general complaint.

HUD has already routed this matter through multiple lanes. HUD FHEO administratively closed Inquiry 860997 for lack of jurisdiction, but stated that the closure was not a judgment on the merits. HUD CPD later stated that CRCD is a current subrecipient of HUD CoC and CDBG funds through LAHSA and the City of Los Angeles, forwarded my complaint to LAHSA and the City, and referred fraud allegations to HUD OIG. HUD CPD later concluded that Project Tipping Point and the unit at 1551 E. 108th St., Unit 3/4 were not supported by HUD CPD funding, and redirected HMIS personal information concerns back to LAHSA / local HMIS channels. HUD AAQ also directed HMIS privacy/security questions to the local CoC or HMIS Lead.

That is the procedural problem: every route leads back to LAHSA / HMIS Lead, but LAHSA has not provided a written process, custodian, disposition, correction path, or escalation route.

The issue is consent scope and program separation. CRCD / Ruth's Place appears to have a one-day 2023 HMIS-tracked project entry, approximately April 27–28, 2023. CRCD / Project Tipping Point then appears to have treated that limited contact as continuing authority for later 2024–2026 communication and records use. Project Tipping Point appears to be a separate non-HMIS-tracked program. No consent, ROI, HMIS authorization, privacy notice, participant agreement, disclosure accounting, access log, provider-sharing history, or program-specific authorization has been produced showing that the one-day HMIS contact authorized later Project Tipping Point activity.

A one-day HMIS-tracked enrollment should not be treated as open-ended consent for a different year, different program, different purpose, different funding path, different state, landlord/payment records, rental-assistance processing, education/workforce coordination, or child/family-status information.

This matters because CRCD had or should have had knowledge that I was in Tennessee, had two children, had stable housing, and was involved in an active reunification / permanency context. Later records use affected housing stability, public-program classification, family-service access, legal-service access, and downstream agency referrals. This is not only about money. It is about whether personal, household, housing, education, rental-assistance, and family-status information was used without a produced source authorization.

LAHSA's involvement has made the matter harder to resolve because the issue was routed through HMIS tickets and Risk Management, I was directed toward law-enforcement / fraud reporting, later told the matter was outside LAHSA jurisdiction, and still have not received a written HMIS source-record process. Ticket closures should not be treated as substantive determinations where no consent source, access log, disclosure accounting, audit trail, custodian, or correction procedure has been identified.

The unresolved records issue now affects multiple related proceedings and agency lanes, including County Fraud / DCI 2025-23289 and 2026-23965, LAPD RMS C259045687 and C269013241, DOL OIG FOIA records, CMS / DHCS beneficiary-record questions, HHS / ACF Children's Bureau routing, Department of Education / FERPA records, family-law case 25CMFL00694, unlawful-detainer case 26CMUD00417, and the Tennessee counsel / consent issue involving ttantshafer@tbpr.org. I am not asking HUD Exchange to decide those matters. I am explaining why unresolved HMIS consent and records-use questions are causing downstream harm.

My procedural question is narrow: when HUD directs an HMIS privacy/security issue to the local CoC / HMIS Lead, but LAHSA does not provide a written process or disposition and is itself part of the disputed routing history, what escalation path applies?

Please identify whether escalation should proceed through LAHSA HMIS governance, the Los Angeles CoC board, HUD CPD Field Office, HUD Region IX, HUD privacy/security channels, HUD OIG, or another HUD-designated process. Please also identify the correct

office, contact point, and submission method for requesting consent/ROI source records, HMIS access logs, provider-sharing history, disclosure accounting, audit trail, correction/amendment, dispute notation, restriction, and preservation.

Response:

Hello Kyle, and thank you for contacting us.

To begin, HUD does not have a national HMIS database, so we are not able to respond to your specific questions. But we do have some information for you.

HUD has a set of HMIS Data and Technical Standards. These are used by your local HMIS.

You can review the HMIS Data and Technical Standards here:

- Overview of HMIS Data and Technical Standards
  https://www.hudexchange.info/programs/hmis/hmis-data-and-technical-standards/
- FY 2026 HMIS Data and Technical Standards - List of Publications
  https://www.hudexchange.info/resources/3824/hmis-data-dictionary/

Should you have specific questions, please contact LAHSA. Just ask them to show you their policies and procedures and how they use the HMIS Data and Technical Standards. You may want to get a written response so that everyone is on the same page. You can then take LAHSA's written response to the local HUD CPD office.

For other needs, please **call 2-1-1**, which is a hotline for things like housing, food, shelter, etc. Just call 2-1-1 from any phone and tell the operator what is going on.

We do hope this information is helpful and thank you again for contacting us. Take care.

Please note: the response provided in this email is specific to the question you submitted and may not apply to similar questions. Therefore, please use discretion in providing the response to others, as the answer may not apply to their particular situation.

Please click on the [View Question] button below to perform the following actions:

- **View your question,** answer, and any applicable attachments
- **Ask a new, unrelated question** using the same requestor information
- **Reopen this question** if you need more assistance with the same question

View Question

This email account (aaq@hudexchange.info) does not have the ability to reply to emails. Please DO NOT REPLY to this email address, as all messages sent to this address will not be responded to. If you are inquiring about the status of your question, need to add additional information, need to cancel this question, or have a follow up question/want to reopen this question, please use the "View Question" link above. For any other needs please contact the HUD Exchange website team. Please keep this email for your records.

Exhibit B

HUD CPD Final Response - April 27, 2026

CPD funding-jurisdiction conclusion and LAHSA/local HMIS redirection.

Exhibit B - HUD CPD Final Response - April 27, 2026 - Source page 1

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
Office of Community Planning and Development
Los Angeles Field Office, Region IX
300 N. Los Angeles Street, Suite 4054
Los Angeles, CA 90012

April 27, 2026

Dear Mr. Haab,

The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), Office of Community Planning and Development (CPD), is following up on multiple pieces of correspondence received regarding your complaint concerning the Coalition for Responsible Community Development (CRCD).

HUD's Office of Field Policy and Management initially received an inquiry from Senator Schiff's office on December 18, 2025, and referred it to CPD for review. The matter was assigned to CPD because CRCD is both a direct recipient of a Community Project Funding (CPF) grant and a subrecipient of Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds administered by the City of Los Angeles, as well as Continuum of Care (CoC) funds administered by the Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority (LAHSA). The CPF grant supports the rehabilitation of an extended-stay hotel into permanent supportive housing, which is currently under development.

According to the Congressional Casework Authorization Form you signed on December 2, 2025, you indicated that you received relocation, education, and rental assistance through CRCD's Project Tipping Point and referenced a housing unit located at 1551 E. 106th Street, Los Angeles, CA 90002. In response, CPD conducted outreach to the appropriate administering agencies to determine whether HUD CPD funding was associated with the housing unit or services referenced.

The City of Los Angeles confirmed on January 8, 2026, that neither the client services nor the housing unit in question were funded with CDBG resources. On January 13, 2026, the City further confirmed that the unit was not supported by HOME Investment Partnerships Program (HOME) funds. On January 21, 2026, LAHSA confirmed that the unit was not funded through the Continuum of Care (CoC) program.

Following CPD's February 4, 2026 correspondence, additional verification was conducted regarding the specific program identified in your complaint. On February 12, 2026, the City confirmed that no CDBG funds were used to support CRCD's Project Tipping Point. On February 18, 2026, LAHSA confirmed that while CRCD receives funding through LAHSA, those funds are not used for Project Tipping Point.

Based on these findings, CPD determined that neither the program referenced in your complaint (Project Tipping Point) nor the housing unit you identified is supported by HUD CPD funding. As such, this matter does not fall within HUD CPD program jurisdiction. CPD previously communicated this determination to you via email on March 31, 2026. While CRCD may receive funding from other sources, those sources are outside the scope of HUD CPD oversight.

For concerns related to rental assistance or services associated with Project Tipping Point, you may wish to contact CRCD directly or the appropriate funding entity.

HUD also understands that you submitted a Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request on April 3, 2026, seeking documentation related to this matter. CPD has provided responsive records to HUD's FOIA office for review and processing.

Additionally, you raised concerns regarding the use and sharing of personal information within the Los Angeles City and County Continuum of Care's Homeless Management Information System (HMIS). As HMIS is administered at the local level, HUD recommends that you contact the LAHSA Grievance Coordinator at (213) 683-3333 or submit an inquiry via https://www.lahsa.org/support/contact-us.

To further assist, CPD has provided you with a list of federal, state, and local housing and support resources, including information on homeless assistance, housing programs, legal aid, and avenues for reporting housing-related concerns below.

This concludes CPD's review of this matter. HUD has provided all relevant information available and does not have additional information to share. If you need to contact our office regarding other matters, you may reach the Los Angeles Field Office at (213) 894-8000 or HUDLACustomerService@hud.gov.

Sincerely,

Adriane Clarke

Adriane Clarke, Acting Director
Office of Community Planning and Development
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

Enclosure

Homeless Resources

- Homeless assistance Home | 211LA, or dial 2-1-1.
- Find a Shelter findhelp.org by findhelp - Search and Connect to Social Care.
- Housing for Veterans U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development-VA Supportive Housing (HUD-VASH) Program - VA Homeless Programs

Discrimination Complaints

- Report Discrimination at Report Housing Discrimination | HUD.gov / U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), or call the Office of Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity hotline at 1-800-669-9777. For additional services, you can also contact the Fair Housing | Housing Rights Center | Los Angeles & Ventura County.

Housing Assistance

- Find affordable housing AffordableHousing.com - Affordable Houses & Apartments For Rent.
- HUD Resource Locator HUD Resource Locator
- Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) assistance Housing Choice Voucher Tenants | HUD.gov / U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD).
- State and local government resources State and local governments | USAGov.

Legal Assistance

- Free, confidential assistance from trained specialists who can connect you with eviction assistance, legal aid, housing, food, transportation, and mental health/healthcare at Your Local 211 | United Way 211
- Find a lawyer for affordable legal aid at Find a lawyer for affordable legal aid | USAGov
- Legal Aid Foundation of Los Angeles LAFLA: Legal Aid Foundation of Los Angeles | Justice • Equity • Hope, or call 1-800-399-4529.
- Domestic Violence Services available at Find Domestic Violence and Abuse Help, Information and Stats.

Reporting Other Housing Issues

- Landlord-Tenant Issues (State of Calif.) https://oag.ca.gov/tenants
- Los Angeles County tenant information and services https://www.stayhousedla.org/
- Tenants and residents should first contact their property site staff or management company and ask if a grievance procedure is available to address their concerns.
- Crime: Call 9-1-1 or speak with your local police department.
- Report Waste/Fraud/Abuse at Hotline | Office of Inspector General, Department of Housing and Urban Development.

Request Information

- Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) https://www.foia.gov/
- HUD Press Room https://www.hud.gov/news

Assistance for Persons with Disabilities

- HUD welcomes and is prepared to receive calls from individuals who are deaf or hard of hearing, as well as individuals with speech or communication disabilities.
- To learn more about how to make an accessible telephone call, please visit Telecommunications Relay Service - TRS | Federal Communications Commission.

Exhibit C

HUD CPD Response to Senator Schiff - February 4, 2026

Prior CPD routing: CRCD as CoC/CDBG subrecipient through LAHSA/City and HUD OIG referral for fraud allegations.

Exhibit C - HUD CPD Response to Senator Schiff - February 4, 2026 - Source page 1

Sent: Wednesday, February 4, 2026 7:39 PM
To: Gutierrez, Margarita (Schiff) <Margarita_Gutierrez@schiff.senate.gov>
Subject: Response to inquiry from Sen. Schiff obo Kyle D. Haab | 2026-9DMA-FLD-00015

Dear Senator Schiff,

Thank you for your inquiry dated December 18, 2025, submitted to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) on behalf of your constituent, Mr. Kyle D. Haab, regarding his complaint against the Coalition for Responsible Community Development (CRCD).

CRCD is a current subrecipient of HUD Continuum of Care (CoC) and Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds administered by the Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority (LAHSA) and the City of Los Angeles, respectively. HUD has forwarded Mr. Haab's complaint to the appropriate officials at LAHSA and the City for their initial review and for any further action deemed necessary, including referral to their respective fraud, waste, and abuse divisions. The points of contact are as follows:

Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority:
Christopher Williams, Deputy Chief Risk Officer
cwilliams@lahsa.org

City of Los Angeles:
Rebecca Ronquillo, Chief Management Analyst
rebecca.ronquillo@lacity.org

In addition, because the complaint includes allegations of fraudulent practices, HUD has referred the matter to the HUD Office of Inspector General for review.

I hope this information is helpful.

Sincerely,

Office of Community Planning and Development
Community Planning and Development | HUD.gov / U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)
California | HUD.gov / U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)

Exhibit D

HUD FHEO Administrative Closure - Inquiry 860997

FHEO non-merits closure and redirection toward CPD/program channels.

Exhibit D - HUD FHEO Administrative Closure - Inquiry 860997 - Source page 1

HUD Housing Discrimination Inquiry No. 860997

R9IntakeInbox6 <R9IntakeInbox6@hud.gov>
To: Kyle <kyle.diez95@gmail.com>, lizarraz36@gmail.com <lizarraz36@gmail.com>

Wed, Jan 21, 2026 at 2:52 PM

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
Office of Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity – Region IX
San Francisco, CA [illegible]
Voice (800) 347-3739; (415) 489-6400
TTY (415) [illegible]
www.hud.gov

January 21, 2026

Kyle Haab and Crystal Izarraraz
[illegible]
[illegible]

Dear Complainants,

The above-referenced housing discrimination inquiry was received by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development pursuant to federal fair housing laws. This claim has been administratively closed for lack of jurisdiction because federal fair housing laws do not cover the subject matter and/or bases of the alleged discrimination.

Since your problem is regarding the subject program, possibly financed with HUD CPD CDBG funding, you are advised to contact the following representative in the **HUD Office of Community Planning and Development**: **Chin Woo Choi – (213) 534-2571 – Los Angeles CPD Program Manager** - ChinWoo.Choi@hud.gov.

Since your problem may also be about a legal matter, you are also advised to contact **Housing Rights Center (HRC) at:** 3255 Wilshire Blvd #1130, Los Angeles, CA 90010, **at (800)** 477-5977 or at: www.hrc-la.org.

You may also contact **HUD Housing Counseling Agencies at 1-888-995-4673 or at** www.financialstability.gov for low-income rentals and other housing assistance.

This administrative closure does not represent a judgment upon the merits of the allegations contained in the claim. The Fair Housing Act provides that, notwithstanding this action by the Department, a complainant may file a civil action in an appropriate United States District Court, or a State Court, no later than two (2) years after the occurrence or the termination of the alleged discriminatory housing practice(s).

If, in the future, you or someone you know believes they have been discriminated against in their housing, a new inquiry may be submitted online at https://www.hud.gov/contactus/file-complaint.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Stolze

Jennifer Stolze
Chief, Intake Branch
Office of Fair Housing and
Equal Opportunity

image001.wmz
6 KB

Exhibit E

CRCD / Latea Davis Records-Custody Request - SHN-105293108

Records-custody and preservation request covering PTP ID 1233369910, HMIS ID 8F8CD9D8B, consent/ROI, family status, housing placement, rental assistance, and unit legality.

Exhibit E - CRCD / Latea Davis Records-Custody Request - SHN-105293108 - Source page 1

CRCD / Project Tipping Point Ready-to-Send Email Packet

Formal records-custody, preservation, and state-hearing association request

Prepared for	Coalition for Responsible Community Development / Project Tipping Point - Latea Davis; copied to CDSS State Hearings and CDSS Public Inquiry / state oversight
Prepared by	Kyle Haab
Date	April 30, 2026
Primary recipient	ldavis@coalitionrcd.org
CC recipients	palopez@coalitionrcd.org; SHDCentralSupport@dss.ca.gov; SHDCSSU@dss.ca.gov; plan@dss.ca.gov
Email subject	Formal CRCD Records-Custody and Preservation Request - Project Tipping Point / BFH State Hearing SHN-105293108
Primary issue	CRCD records custody, preservation, production, consent/authorization scope, family-related records, and BFH State Hearing association
State Hearing	SHN-105293108 - Bringing Families Home eligibility
PTP identifier	Project Tipping Point participant ID 1233969910
HMIS identifier	HMIS ID 8F8CD9D8B
Property	1551 E. 108th Street, Unit 3/4, Los Angeles, CA 90002
Funding/admin reference	CFCI-PA3-056-2023 / Amity / 3COD / CFCI pathway disputed

Copy-Ready Email

To: ldavis@coalitionrcd.org
CC: palopez@coalitionrcd.org; SHDCentralSupport@dss.ca.gov; SHDCSSU@dss.ca.gov; plan@dss.ca.gov
Subject: Formal CRCD Records-Custody and Preservation Request - Project Tipping Point / BFH State Hearing SHN-105293108

Dear Ms. Davis,

I am submitting this formal records-custody, preservation, and production request regarding Coalition for Responsible Community Development / Project Tipping Point records involving me, my fiancée, my children, my household composition, my relocation from Tennessee to California, my housing placement, and any family-status or reunification-related records.

I am copying CDSS State Hearings and CDSS Public Inquiry / state oversight because CDSS State Hearing SHN-105293108 is active and concerns Bringing Families Home eligibility. The requested CRCD / Project Tipping Point records are material to whether I was treated as individual-based rather than family-based based on incomplete, disputed, or improperly sourced family status, custody, reunification, HMIS, dependency, ICPC, UCCJEA, housing, and Project Tipping Point records.

Agency / Organization: Coalition for Responsible Community Development
Program: Project Tipping Point
CRCD contact: Latea Davis
Requester: Kyle Haab
PTP participant ID: 1233969910
CDSS State Hearing: SHN-105293108
HMIS ID: 8F8CD9D8B
Property: 1551 E. 108th Street, Unit 3/4, Los Angeles, CA 90002
Related funding / administration reference: CFCI PA3 056 2023

NARROW ISSUE PRESENTED

The issue is not whether CRCD should decide every related family-law, ICPC, DHCS, HMIS, or housing-enforcement issue. The narrow issue is whether CRCD can identify, preserve, and produce records in its custody or control showing:

CRCD / Project Tipping Point Records-Custody Packet - SHN-105293108

1. what Project Tipping Point records exist for me;

2. what consent, release, authorization, HMIS ROI, FERPA authorization, HIPAA authorization where applicable, privacy notice, participant authorization, or disclosure records CRCD relied on;

3. what records show CRCD knew about my children before I relocated from Tennessee to California;

4. what records show how CRCD classified my household, family status, custody status, reunification status, housing need, or participant category;

5. what housing, landlord, lease, W-9, ACH, payment, rental assistance, Amity / JCOD / CFCI, and unit suitability records exist;

6. whether any CRCD / PTP records were shared with, received from, or relied upon by LAHSA/HMIS, EWDD, Amity Foundation, JCOD, LATTC/LACCD, DPSS, DHCS, DMH, DCFS, Tennessee DCS, law enforcement, or any oversight agency.

WHY THIS IS URGENT

This request is urgent because CDSS State Hearing SHN-105293108 concerns Bringing Families Home eligibility. The BFH issue turns on whether I was treated as individual-based rather than family-based because my children were not physically in my custody, while the underlying custody, reunification, dependency, ICPC, UCCJEA, CRCD / Project Tipping Point, HMIS, and housing records remain disputed.

CRCD / Project Tipping Point records are material because CRCD had pre-move notice that I had children, and CRCD's records may have affected later family-status classification, housing eligibility, reunification barriers, and the downstream determination that I should pursue individual-based rather than family-based housing assistance.

REQUESTED CRCD ACTION

Please preserve and produce records sufficient to show the following:

1. The complete Project Tipping Point file for participant ID 1233369910.

2. All intake, enrollment, eligibility, referral, assessment, case notes, progress notes, staff notes, supervisor notes, internal notes, closure notes, service plans, and status-change records.

3. All records showing the date, basis, and authority for any Project Tipping Point enrollment, including any record using June 7, 2024 or June 13, 2024 as an intake, enrollment, assessment, or authorization date.

4. All records identifying my children, household composition, family status, custody status, reunification status, dependency status, ICPC status, UCCJEA status, or grandparent placement.

5. All records showing whether CRCD verified whether my children were in my physical custody, in Tennessee DCS custody, placed with a grandparent, subject to ICPC, or part of an active reunification plan.

6. All records showing whether CRCD classified me as an individual participant, couple, household with children, family household, former foster youth parent, reunification parent, or any other family/housing category.

7. All consent, release, authorization, privacy notice, participant agreement, HMIS ROI, FERPA authorization, HIPAA authorization where applicable, disclosure accounting, and data sharing records.

8. Any alleged 2023 Ruth's Place / CRCD / HMIS / Clarity consent record that CRCD contends authorized later 2024-2026 Project Tipping Point activity.

9. If CRCD contends that any 2023 Ruth's Place, HMIS, referral, intake, opt-in, or service authorization supplied consent for later Project Tipping Point activity, please identify the exact document, date, program covered, scope, duration, data categories covered, agencies covered, and revocation process.

10. All HMIS / Clarity records tied to HMIS ID 8F8CD8D8B, including any April 2023 Ruth's Place enrollment, exit, privacy notice, ROI, sharing authorization, referral, service note, case note, restriction, correction, or disclosure-history record.

11. All Formstack submissions, intake forms, timestamps, IP address records, metadata, staff edits, system logs, upload logs, attachment logs, and records showing who entered, reviewed, modified, approved, or transmitted my information.

12. All LATTC / LACCD / College Navigator records, including records involving FAFSA, ward-of-court documentation, school records, transcripts, enrollment, active coursework, six-credit-unit requirement, student eligibility, or education-record access.

13. All records involving CRCD staff or representatives including Latea Davis, Point Lopez, Nigora / Nagora Pemberton, Alexander Saltzman, Chidumebi Ezenwoke, Jessica Rodriguez, Amanis Rurita, Kenia Estrada Darley, Jahrell / Jahrel Thomas, or any other CRCD staff member involved in my file.

14. All housing-support records concerning 1551 E. 109th Street, Unit 3/4, including landlord communications, lease, rental application, W-9, ACH, landlord verification, rent ledger, payment approval, payment request, payment confirmation, denial, delay, cutoff, phasing-out, and supportive services records.

15. All records showing whether CRCD sourced, referred, approved, inspected, recommended, negotiated, processed, or funded the housing placement.

16. All records showing whether CRCD verified the unit's legality, certificate of occupancy, registration status, code status, habitability, or suitability for a family / reunification household.

17. All records identifying the funding source for rental assistance, supportive services, housing support, or related payments, including Amity Foundation, JCOD, CFCI, Program Area 3 / Youth Housing, private foundations, County funding, City funding, or mixed funding sources.

18. All records involving CFCI-PA3-056-2023 or any Amity / JCOD / CFCI pathway connected to rental assistance or Project Tipping Point.

Prepared for submission - Kyle Haab - April 30, 2026

19. All communications with Amity Foundation, JCOD, EWDD, LAHSA, HMIS/Clarity, City of Los Angeles, County of Los Angeles, DHCS, DPSS, DMH, DCFS, Tennessee DCS, law enforcement, or oversight agencies involving me, my fiancée, my children, Project Tipping Point, the property, rental assistance, or family status.

20. All grievance, complaint, ADA/accommodation, participant rights, service termination, active coursework, six credit unit, inactive status, adverse action, or program status records involving me or my household.

21. All records concerning LAPD reports C26045887 and C26013241, County Fraud / DCI 2025-23289 and 2026-23965, LAHSA Ref. 2526KH-A, HMIS tickets 259260, 260527, 267529, LAHD Case 90311576, LADBS Order A-6604738, or LACDPH Complaint C0080486, to the extent CRCD has records referencing those matters.

REQUESTED WRITTEN CLARIFICATION

Please confirm in writing:

1. whether CRCD accepts custody, control, or possession of responsive Project Tipping Point records;
2. whether you are the correct complaint and records contact for this request;
3. whether any responsive records are held by another CRCD department, contractor, subrecipient, partner, vendor, fiscal agent, funder, or third-party administrator;
4. whether any records have been deleted, archived, transferred, withheld, redacted, or marked confidential;
5. whether CRCD contends any records exist but refuses to produce them;
6. the legal or policy basis for any refusal, redaction, withholding, delay, or referral;
7. the date CRCD will provide production or a written explanation.

STATE OVERSIGHT / STATE HEARING ASSOCIATION REQUEST

For CDSS State Hearings and CDSS Public Inquiry / state oversight: I am not asking CDSS to adjudicate CRCD's records obligations through this email. I am asking CDSS to associate this request and the attached packet with State Hearing SHN-105293108 because CRCD's response, non-response, or delay may affect my ability to inspect, challenge, and rebut the records used in the Bringing Families Home eligibility decision.

ATTACHMENTS

Attached are OGIS-style records-control packets and supporting crosswalks for CRCD / Project Tipping Point, HMIS / LAHSA, and CDSS State Hearing / BFH review. Original PDFs, emails, agency letters, screenshots, HMIS records, State Hearing records, and official responses remain the controlling exhibits if separately requested or produced.

Please confirm receipt, preservation, the correct CRCD records custodian, and the expected production date.

Respectfully,

Kyle Haab
1551 E. 108th St., Unit 3/4
Los Angeles, CA 90002
657-557-4450
kyle.diez899@gmail.com

Issue Summary

Question presented: Can CRCD / Project Tipping Point identify, preserve, and produce the records in its custody or control that were created, received, used, shared, transmitted, or relied upon concerning Kyle Haab, Crystal Izarraraz, their children, family status, custody/reunification status, housing placement, rental assistance, consent/authorization, HMIS, education-record access, Amity/JCOD/CFCI funding, and BFH-related downstream classification?

Narrow dispute: This submission does not ask CRCD or CDSS to decide all related family-law, ICPC, DHCS, HMIS, law-enforcement, or housing-enforcement matters. It asks CRCD to answer for its own records and asks CDSS State Hearings / state oversight to associate CRCD's response, delay, or non-response with the

BFH State Hearing file because the records may affect hearing preparation, case-file review, Statement of Position review, and rebuttal of eligibility records.

Requested Outcome

- CRCD confirms receipt and immediate preservation.
- CRCD identifies the correct records custodian and complaint handler.
- CRCD produces or explains the status of the Project Tipping Point file for participant ID 1233369910.
- CRCD produces or identifies all consent, authorization, HMIS ROI, FERPA authorization, HIPAA authorization where applicable, disclosure-history, and data-sharing records.
- CRCD confirms whether any 2023 Ruth's Place / HMIS / Clarity contact is being asserted as consent for later Project Tipping Point activity, and if so produces the exact document and scope.
- CDSS State Hearings associates the request and any CRCD response/non-response with SHN-105293108 for BFH eligibility hearing preparation.

Attachment / Exhibit Index

Exhibit	Document	Date	Purpose
A	CRCD Project Tipping Point Family Records Attachment Packet	April 30, 2026	Primary CRCD packet for PTP participant ID 1233369910, family records, consent/authorization, housing, landlord, education, Amity/DCD/CRCD, and BFH relevance.
B	CARIBA / HMIS Consent Privacy Records Attachment Packet	April 30, 2026	HMIS / Clarity packet for HMIS ID 1BTCD81001, Ruth's Place 2023 consent/ROI, disclosure history, HMIS correction, and downstream family-status use.
C	CDSS State Hearing / BFH Records Attachment Packet	April 30, 2026	State Hearing packet for SHN-105293108; asks CDSS to associate the CRCD/HMIS records issue with BFH eligibility, case file, Statement of Position, and hearing preparation.
D	Combined CRCD / HMIS / State Hearing Attachment Packet	April 30, 2026	Crosswalk combining CRCD, HMIS, and BFH State Hearing issues in one records-routing document.
E	CDSS / NARA Assistance Packet - ED FOIA 25-00081F-FIA / Appeal 26-00021-A	April 30, 2026	Formatting model for this packet: proposed e-mail, narrow issue, requested action, exhibit index, and original exhibit control statement.

Source Attachment Control

This packet is designed to be attached to an email or portal message. Original PDFs, emails, agency letters, screenshots, encrypted records, State Hearing notices, HMIS materials, and agency responses remain the controlling exhibits if transmitted separately. This document is a records-routing and production-control packet.

Sensitive child/family, health, disability, housing, law-enforcement, HMIS, and educational records should be transmitted only to recipients with a legitimate records or oversight role. Use the narrowest exhibit set necessary for each recipient.

Recommended Attachment Set for Email

1. Primary CRCD-only family records packet - PTP participant ID 1233369910

Exhibit E - CRCD / Latea Davis Records-Custody Request - SHN-105293108 - Source page 5

2. LAHSA / HMIS consent and privacy records packet - HMIS ID 8F8CD9D8B
3. CDSS State Hearing / BFH packet - SHN-105293108
4. Combined CRCD / HMIS / State Hearing crosswalk packet

Prepared for submission - Kyle Haab - April 30, 2026

Exhibit F1

FCA Attorney Intake / Upload Link

Shows materials were submitted for potential FCA review before the declination.

Exhibit F1 - FCA Attorney Intake / Upload Link - Source page 1

HUD submission

3 messages

Kyle McGee <kmcgee@gelaw.com> Fri, Oct 10, 2025 at 9:55 AM
To: kyle.dietz99@gmail.com <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Kyle,

I received your web submission (apologies but it wasn't immediately forwarded to me). I'd be happy to take a look at the supporting materials you describe and let you know whether we think there is a viable claim worth pursuing under the FCA.

Feel free to email me the materials at this address. If you need an upload link let me know and I can arrange that.

Kyle

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Fri, Oct 10, 2025 at 10:15 AM
To: Kyle McGee <kmcgee@gelaw.com>

Hello Mr. McGee,
Thank you for your message. Please send me the secure upload link you mentioned so I can submit my complete evidence set (HUD_FCA_Evidence_Summary.pdf and supporting exhibits).
Feel free
[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle McGee <kmcgee@gelaw.com> Fri, Oct 10, 2025 at 12:28 PM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Kyle,

The documents can be uploaded to ShareFile here: https://gelaw.sharefile.com/r-rfcef5c2678024abb809c819ddf87c992

Thanks.

[Quoted text hidden]

Exhibit F2

FCA Attorney Declination / Program-Compliance Red Flags Screenshot

Supports administrative-diligence framing: no fraud finding requested from CPD, but program-compliance red flags warrant routing and preservation.

Exhibit F2 - FCA attorney declination / program-compliance red flags screenshot

Exhibit G

DRE Rental Placement / Unit-Status Packet Excerpts

Unit legality, certificate-of-occupancy, unapproved-unit, and rental-placement context tied to housing stability and records-routing issue.

Exhibit G - DRE Rental Placement / Unit-Status Packet Excerpts - Source page 1

DRE Supplemental Rental Placement / Unit Status Packet

Prepared for Kelly Anderson, Special Investigator, Complaint Intake Unit - California Department of Real Estate
DRE Complaint Intake Matter: PC 1-26-0316-003
Prepared by Kyle Robert Haab - May 2026

Field	Detail
Primary addressee	Kelly Anderson, Special Investigator, Complaint Intake Unit, Department of Real Estate, 320 W. 4th Street, Ste. 350, Los Angeles, CA 90013; Kelly.Anderson@dre.ca.gov
DRE complaint number	PC 1-26-0316-003
Subject/property	Christopher N. Cervantes / 1551 3/4 E. 106th St., Los Angeles, CA 90002 / related 1551 E. 106th St. property records
Narrow issue	Whether the rental placement, unit-status representations, lease/payee records, rent-assistance processing, landlord communications, and any real-estate activity connected to the unit were accurate, lawful, and within DRE jurisdiction.
Requested DRE action	Associate this packet with PC 1-26-0316-003; preserve and review the records; determine whether licensed or unlicensed real estate activity, property management, leasing, referral, solicitation, payee, or rental-document concerns fall within DRE jurisdiction; identify any additional documents needed.
Boundary statement	This packet does not ask DRE to decide CRCD program compliance, LAHD/LADBS code enforcement, police reports, CDSS/ICPC issues, or family-law matters. Those records are included only where they show rental-placement context, unit status, or downstream harm.

Packet note: This is an organizing packet. The original emails, LAHD/LADBS records, rental agreement pages, and ownership records remain controlling exhibits if separately requested by DRE.

Key Dates Table

Date	Event	Why it matters
June 13, 2024	CRCD later identifies this as Project Tipping Point enrollment date.	Establishes program context before rental placement.
July 29, 2024	CRCD Rental Assistance Supervisor connects Kyle with landlord Christopher N. Cervantes and discusses rent/payment terms.	Shows rental-placement and negotiation chain.
August 2024 - June 2025	CRCD later identifies deposit/rent support as supportive services.	Shows program-funded tenancy and payment context.
August 18, 2025	LAHD sends letter regarding unapproved unit / RSO procedure after LADBS order.	Shows later official unit-status issue.
August 25, 2025	LADBS Order to Comply identifies use/occupancy and certificate-of-occupancy violations.	Shows property/code status requiring DRE contextual review.
September 29, 2025	CRCD responds to records/status request; states active/inactive PTP status and lists rental assistance.	Confirms program records and supportive-services accounting.
April 28, 2026	Kelly Anderson provides DRE submission instructions for PC 1-26-0316-003.	Confirms DRE intake and document-submission path.
April 30, 2026	Kelly Anderson confirms receipt of complaint documents and states she has not yet reviewed the complaint.	Confirms file association; supports supplemental organization.

Specific DRE Review Questions

1. Does DRE have jurisdiction over any licensed or unlicensed real-estate activity connected to the rental placement, lease, referral, property management, solicitation, or payment handling?

2. Was any person or entity acting as a broker, salesperson, property manager, leasing agent, rental-placement intermediary, or compensated referral source?

3. Were unit-status representations accurate when the tenant was connected to the landlord and rental terms were discussed?

4. Did the rental agreement, owner information, payee information, and property records align?

5. Was the unit lawfully represented as rentable at the time of the referral and lease/payment process?

6. If the unit was later found unapproved or lacking a valid Certificate of Occupancy, should DRE review the lease-placement representations or refer to LAHD/LADBS only?

7. Does the record suggest a need to request additional documents from the landlord, CRCD, any property manager, or public agency records custodian?

8. Does DRE need a signed declaration, chronological timeline, or clarification of which attachments correspond to each issue?

9. If DRE lacks jurisdiction over part of the complaint, what agency or office should receive that portion?

10. What additional information does Kelly Anderson need from Kyle Haab at this stage?

Records Preservation Request

Please preserve and associate with PC 1-26-0316-003 all rental-placement communications, referral emails, rental agreement pages, payee/ownership records, CRCD rental-assistance records, LAHD/LADBS records, public-record responses, and any communications between landlord, CRCD staff, tenant, property owner, property manager, or third-party rental-assistance personnel concerning 1551 3/4 E. 106th St. or related property records.

Exhibit D-01 - LAHD Case SO311576 letter, page 1

City of Los Angeles

Tiena Johnson Hall, General Manager
Tricia Keene, Executive Officer

Anna E. Ortega, Assistant General Manager
Luz C. Santiago, Assistant General Manager
Craig Arceneaux, Acting Assistant General Manager

Karen Bass, Mayor

LOS ANGELES HOUSING DEPARTMENT
1910 Sunset Blvd, Ste 300
Los Angeles, CA 90026
Tel: 213.808.8808

housing.lacity.gov

August 15, 2025

Kyle Haab
1551 ½ E. 106th St.
Los Angeles CA 90002

RE: LAHD Case Number: SO311576

The enclosed is a courtesy copy of a letter sent to your landlord(s):

Please retain for your records.

Thank you.

An Equal Opportunity Employer

DRE Supplemental Packet - FC 1-26-0316-003 - Kyle Haab - Page 14

Exhibit D-02 - LAHD Case SO311576 letter, page 2

City of Los Angeles

Tiena Johnson Hall, General Manager
Tricia Keene, Executive Officer

Anna E. Ortega, Assistant General Manager
Luz C. Santiago, Assistant General Manager
Craig Arceneaux, Acting Assistant General Manager

Karen Bass, Mayor

LOS ANGELES HOUSING DEPARTMENT
1910 Sunset Blvd, Ste 300
Los Angeles, CA 90026
Tel: 213.808.8808

housing.lacity.gov

August 15, 2025

Christopher N Cervantes
Post Office Box 1111
Downey, CA 90240-0111

RE: LAHD Case Number: SO311576
Tenant Name(s): Kyle Haab
Address: 1551 ½ E. 106th St., Los Angeles CA 90002

To Whom It May Concern

Our records indicate that you are the owner of the above property that is subject to Rent Stabilization Ordinance (RSO). The Investigation and Enforcement Section of the Los Angeles Housing Department (LAHD), has reviewed a complaint filed with LAHD.

UNAPPROVED UNIT

The Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety (LADBS), issued the landlord an Order to Comply, with an effective date of August 8, 2025, regarding the following relevant code violation(s):

- Building or structure is being used, occupied, or it's existing occupancy classification has changed without the issuance of a valid Certificate of Occupancy.

The RSO as codified in the Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC), requires that a notice to evict state one of the legal reasons for eviction. Section 151.09 of the RSO specifies the legal reasons for eviction and Paragraph C of that section states, "the landlord shall serve on the tenant a written notice setting forth the reasons for the termination." Compliance with a government agency's order that necessitates the vacating of the unit is one of the reasons for eviction under the RSO. There is a specific procedure the RSO requires a landlord to follow for evictions based on compliance with a governmental order. The procedure a landlord must follow to evict a tenant to comply with a government order involves the following:

1. The landlord must file with LAHD a Declaration of Intent to Evict in Order to Comply with a Government Agency's Order.
2. The landlord must serve the tenant a 30 or 60 day written notice of eviction attached to a copy of the filed Declaration of Intent to Evict.
3. The landlord must either pay relocation directly to the tenant or deposit it in an escrow account with escrow instructions to the tenant within 15 days of the date on the notice of eviction. Depending on tenancy, the relocation assistance amount can range from $10,650.00 - $26,550.00, effective from July 1, 2025 through June 30, 2026.

Please contact the Landlord Declaration Section of LAHD for further details on how to comply with a Landlord Declaration at (213) 808-8537. Inquiries can be emailed to this general email: lahd.declarationsection@lacity.org

An Equal Opportunity Employer

Exhibit E-01 - LADBS Order to Comply, page 1

BOARD OF BUILDING AND SAFETY COMMISSIONERS

JACOB STEVENS, PRESIDENT
E. NANCY YAP, VICE PRESIDENT
CORISSA HERNANDEZ
JAVIER NUNEZ
MORRIS ROBALIS

CITY OF LOS ANGELES
CALIFORNIA

KAREN BASS
MAYOR

DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING AND SAFETY
201 N. FIGUEROA ST., LOS ANGELES, CA 90012

OSAMA YOUNAN, P.E.
GENERAL MANAGER
SUPERINTENDENT OF BUILDING

JOHN WEIGHT
EXECUTIVE OFFICER

ORDER TO COMPLY AND NOTICE OF FEE

CERVANTES, CHRISTOPHER N
PO BOX 1111
DOWNEY, CA 90240

CASE #: 1053480
ORDER #: A-6687278
EFFECTIVE DATE: August 25
COMPLIANCE DATE: September

OWNER OF
SITE ADDRESS: **1551 E 146TH ST**
ASSESSORS PARCEL NO.: 6065-016-004
ZONE: R2 Two Family Zone

An inspection has revealed that the property (Site Address) listed above is in violation of the Los Angeles Municipal Code (L.A.M.C.) sections listed below. You are hereby ordered to correct the violation(s) and contact the inspector listed in the signature block at the end of this document for a compliance inspection by the compliance date listed above.

FURTHER, THE CODE VIOLATION INSPECTION FEE (C.V.I.F.) OF **$ 196.16** (53% fee plus a six percent Systems Development Surcharge of $20.16) **WILL BE BILLED TO THE PROPERTY OWNER**. The invoice/notice will be sent to the owner as it appears on the last equalized assessment roll. Section 98.0421 L.A.M.C.

NOTE: FAILURE TO PAY THE C.V.I.F. WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE INVOICE DATE OF THE BILL NOTED ABOVE WILL RESULT IN A LATE CHARGE OF TWO (2) TIMES THE C.V.I.F. PLUS A 30 PERCENT COLLECTION FEE FOR A TOTAL OF **$1,170.88**.
Any person who fails to pay the fee, late charge and collection fee, shall also pay interest. Interest shall be calculated at the rate of one percent per month.

The inspection has revealed that the property is in violation of the Los Angeles Municipal Code as follows:

VIOLATION(S):

1. **Building or structure is being used, occupied, or its existing occupancy classification has changed without the issuance of a valid Certificate of Occupancy.**

   You are therefore ordered to: Discontinue the unapproved use of the building or structure until all violations of this code have been corrected and a valid Certificate of Occupancy has been issued.

   Code Section(s) in Violation: 91.104.2.5, 91.105.3, 12.21.A.1.(a) of the L.A.M.C.

   Location: The first and second floors of the residence.

   Comments: The 2-story single-family dwelling is being used as a duplex without the required plans, permits, inspections, or approvals.

2. **The approximate 10' x 10' remodel of the 2nd floor foyer to a kitchen was constructed without the required permits and approvals.**

   You are therefore ordered to: 1) Demolish and remove all construction work performed without the required permit(s), AND 2) Restore the existing structure(s) to its originally approved condition, if altered in

As a covered entity under Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act, the City of Los Angeles does not discriminate on the basis of disability and, upon request, will provide reasonable accommodation to ensure equal access to its programs, services and activities.

CODE ENFORCEMENT BUREAU
For routine City business and non-emergency services: Call 3-1-1
www.ladbs.org

Page 1 of 1

Exhibit E-02 - LADBS Order to Comply, page 2

anyway, OR 3) *Submit plans, obtain* the required permits and expose the work for proper inspection.

Code Section(s) in Violation: 91.8105, 91.106.1.1, 91.106.1.2, 91.108.4, 91.106.3.2, 91.103.1, 12.21A.1.(a) of the L.A.M.C.

Location: 2nd floor of the single-family residence.

Comments: The 2nd floor of the single-family dwelling has been converted to an Unpermitted Dwelling Unit and does not conform to the *certificate of occupancy of record*.

3. **Hazardous Mechanical Equipment (heating, air conditioning, refrigeration or ventilation) in the water heater installation.**

You are therefore ordered to: Remove all mechanical equipment, including vents that does not conform with all applicable laws in effect at the time of installation and which has not been maintained in good condition and is being used in an unsafe manner.

Code Section(s) in Violation: 91.8902.6, 91.8902, 91.103.1, 12.21A.1.(a) of the L.A.M.C.

Location: Rear yard.

Comments: The water heater vent is installed in a manner not consistent with the code.

4. **Electrical work has been done without the required permits and approvals.**

You are therefore ordered to: Obtain all required electrical permits and approvals.

Code Section(s) in Violation: 93.0211, 93.0104, 91.105.1, 12.21A.1.(a) of the L.A.M.C.

Location: The 2nd floor for unapproved breezeway enclosure.

Comments: There is an unapproved kitchen on the 2nd floor and an unpermitted breezeway enclosure serving as an unapproved laundry facility connecting the main house and the approved garage to ADU conversion.

5. **Plumbing work has been done without the required permits and approvals.**

You are therefore ordered to: Obtain all required plumbing permits and approvals.

Code Section(s) in Violation: 94.103.1.1, 91.105.1, 12.21A.1.(a) of the L.A.M.C.

Location: The 2nd floor kitchen and for unapproved breezeway enclosure.

Comments: There is an unapproved kitchen on the 2nd floor and an unpermitted breezeway enclosure serving as an unapproved laundry facility connecting the main house and the approved garage to ADU conversion.

As a covered entity under Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act, the City of Los Angeles does not discriminate on the basis of disability and, upon request, will provide reasonable accommodation to ensure equal access to its programs, services and activities.

CODE ENFORCEMENT BUREAU
For routine City business and non-emergency services: Call 3-1-1
www.ladbs.org

Page 2 of 3

FULL-IMPACT SUPPLEMENTAL FOIA APPEAL

Material-Status, Referral-Chain, Search-Scope, Segregability, and Record-Preservation Notice

U.S. Department of Labor Office of Inspector General

DOL OIG FOIA	2026067
FOIA Portal Request ID	2834736
Portal Confirmation ID	2838146
Administrative Appeal	Submitted May 22, 2026
OGIS Assistance Matter	C-0007476635
Prepared	July 6, 2026

Prepared by Kyle Robert David Haab

657-557-4459 | kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

CONTROLLED PURPOSE AND PROOF BOUNDARY

This submission requests FOIA process clarification, appeal docketing, record-category review, segregability, preservation, and accurate referral-chain identification. It does not ask DOL OIG to reveal investigative targets, evidence, witnesses, strategy, or other legitimately protected information. It does not treat any complaint number, referral, or open matter as proof of guilt, liability, fraud, or misconduct. Original native records and agency files control over summaries.

WRITTEN RESPONSE REQUESTED AS A DISABILITY ACCOMMODATION

TRANSMITTAL EMAIL - READY TO SEND

Field	Entry
To	FOIA.PrivacyAct@oig.dol.gov; foiaappeals@dol.gov
Cc	Coen.Michael@oig.dol.gov; Passero.Roger@oig.dol.gov; OGIS@nara.gov
Optional notice copies	30274@lapd.online; cwilliams@lahsa.org; richard.cheng@lacity.org; luis.preciado@lacity.org; ldavis@coalitionrcd.org
Subject	Full-Impact Material Status and Referral-Chain Supplement - DOL OIG FOIA 2026067 / Appeal Filed May 22, 2026

Dear DOL OIG FOIA/Privacy Act Office and DOL FOIA Appeals Office:

Attached is my full-impact supplemental appeal and preservation notice concerning DOL OIG FOIA No. 2026067. This is not a new request or a duplicate appeal. It consolidates the material status changes, the LAHSA-to-LAPD origin record, the distinction between closed LAPD criminal reports and a separate LAPD personnel complaint, the OCI 2025-23289 / 2026-23965 status split, and the City/State workforce-routing chain involving County DEO, EWDD, CRCD, CWDB, and EDD.

Please confirm the May 22, 2026 appeal docket or control number, the office responsible for the appeal, whether the Exemption 7(A) proceeding is separate from the closed LAPD reports, whether the responsible component has recently confirmed that the relied-upon proceeding remains pending or prospective, and whether first-party, administrative, and reasonably segregable records can be released.

Please preserve the complete FOIA, appeal, search, routing, consultation, referral, cross-reference, and segregability record. Please respond in writing by email as a disability accommodation and avoid transmitting full Social Security numbers, taxpayer identifiers, bank information, full dates of birth, child-identifying information, or other sensitive data in an unsecured response.

Respectfully,
Kyle Robert David Haab
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

EXECUTIVE ACTION REQUEST

1. Confirm whether the May 22, 2026 administrative appeal was received and docketed, provide the appeal control number, and identify the office presently responsible.
2. Confirm at minimum whether the enforcement proceeding supporting Exemption 7(A) is separate from LAPD RMS reports C259045687 and C269013241, which the submitted record describes as closed.
3. Conduct a current, record-category-specific review under 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(7)(A), including foreseeable-harm and segregability review under 5 U.S.C. 552(a)(8).
4. Identify any DOL component referrals or consultations, including DOL ETA or other workforce/WIOA routing, and whether the submission was associated with OCI, EWDD, CWDB, EDD, LAHSA, or LAPD identifiers.
5. Release copies of my own submissions and any nonexempt intake, acknowledgment, attachment-inventory, routing, assignment, tracking, referral, transfer, consultation, and administrative-disposition records.
6. Provide an estimated determination date and a written production, partial-production, or status plan.

WHY A CONSOLIDATED RESPONSE IS NECESSARY

The same source records have been routed through LAHSA, LAPD, LAPD Internal Affairs, County OCI, County DEO, City EWDD/City LWDA, CRCD, CWDB, EDD, JCOD/Amity, HUD, and DOL. Limited closures and referrals have addressed different jurisdictional slices, but no office has issued a complete source-custody, cross-reference, appeal-status, or segregability determination. The full DOL withholding prevents the requester from identifying what DOL received, how it was routed, what was cross-referenced, and which office owns the remaining federal process.

1. CONTROLLED FACTUAL AND PROCEDURAL SUMMARY

The original April 3, 2026 FOIA request sought records concerning the January 1, 2026 DOL OIG hotline submission and subsequent communications with Special Agent Roger Passero. It specifically requested administrative records sufficient to identify receipt, logging, routing, review, assignment, reassignment, referral, transfer, disposition, complaint or case numbers, attachment inventories, and copies of the requester's own submissions. It also requested records showing whether DOL OIG associated the submission with LAPD C259045687, LAPD C269013241, OCI 2025-23289, and OCI 2026-23965. [SR-1]

On May 1, 2026, DOL OIG stated that it had an open law-enforcement investigation involving the requested records and withheld the requested information in full under Exemption 7(A). The response did not identify the proceeding or state which requested categories could be segregated. [SR-2]

A protective administrative appeal was submitted on May 22, 2026. Follow-ups on May 26, June 9, June 13, June 15, and June 28 sought confirmation of appeal docketing, an appeal control number, the responsible office, estimated completion date, segregability review, and OGIS assistance. [SR-3; SR-4]

Date	Event	Controlled significance
10/15/2025	LAHSA referral-origin record	A contemporaneous communication retained by the requester states that LAHSA staff, after leadership direction, instructed law-enforcement reporting and used the wording "indeed fraud and a form of theft." Native source and metadata should control.
12/11/2025	LAHSA to OCI	LAHSA later stated that its limited review was referred back to OCI and that a closure memorandum was submitted for OCI 2025-23289.
01/01/2026	DOL OIG hotline	Requester submitted the underlying DOL OIG hotline matter.
03/24/2026	County Counsel to OCI	County Counsel stated that the complaint it reviewed was referred to OCI to conduct a fraud investigation, later associated with 2026-23965.
04/03/2026	FOIA request	Portal Request 2834736 / Confirmation 2838146 submitted.
05/01/2026	DOL OIG response	Full withholding under 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(7)(A).
05/22/2026	Administrative appeal	Protective appeal submitted to DOL.
06/09/2026	OCI status split	County Fraud Hotline reported OCI 2025-23289 closed and OCI 2026-23965 open.
06/12/2026	CWDB response	CWDB stated participant/operator records are local, identified WSD18-05, and directed state-level independent review to EDD Compliance Review Office.
06/28/2026	Renewed OGIS request	Requested appeal docketing and FOIA-process assistance under C-0007476635.
06/30/2026	LAPD OIG / IAD chain	Police Commission OIG forwarded the submission to its Complaint Section and directed status inquiries to Internal Affairs.
07/01-07/02/2026	Court filings accepted	Los Angeles Superior Court accepted source-record, preservation, and evidentiary filings in 26CMUD00417.
07/06/2026	Family-law filing	Family docket reflects a memorandum and declaration requesting ex parte relief in 25CMFL00694.

2. CROSS-AGENCY ROUTING AND STATUS MAP

Lane	Known record position	Unresolved DOL/FOIA question
LAHSA / Christopher Redd / Christopher Williams	LAHSA later described its review as limited to funding jurisdiction and stated that a closure memorandum for 2025-23289 was sent to OCI.	Reconcile the contemporaneous October 15 language and leadership direction with the later "apparent allegation" characterization; preserve the native source, notes, and closure memorandum.
LAPD Commercial Crimes	Submitted record states C259045687 and C269013241 were reviewed separately and closed; no prosecutorial submission was made. Reopening was described as possible if later agency evidence warranted review.	Do not equate a closed criminal-report lane with an active underlying criminal investigation unless separately confirmed.

LAPD Internal Affairs / CF 26-001767	Separate personnel-misconduct review concerning LAPD handling. According to the requester, Sergeant Mark Wright explained that the IAD process may take about one year and addresses employee misconduct, not the underlying Commercial Crimes allegations.	Distinguish IAD misconduct review from the underlying criminal reports.
County OCI	2025-23289 reported closed; 2026-23965 reported open. County Counsel stated it referred a complaint to OCI for fraud investigation.	Clarify whether DOL relies on 2026-23965, whether the two OCI matters are cross-referenced, and what records were transferred.
County DEO	Requester states County DEO directed the CRCD/Vernon-Central-LATTC workforce lane to City LWDA/EWDD.	Preserve jurisdiction-routing records and identify any federal workforce consultation.
City EWDD / City LWDA	Richard Cheng forwarded the ADA/WIOA complaint to CRCD leadership and asked where PTP complaints should be routed; Luis Preciado was copied.	Identify the independent City grievance, EO/ADA, monitoring, and records path.
CRCD / Latea Davis	CRCD advised that PTP complaints could be referred to Latea Davis, returning the complaint to the disputed provider.	Clarify whether this was only provider-level intake or the final City disposition.
CWDB / EDD	CWDB found no participant-level records, identified local custody and WSD18-05, and identified EDD Compliance Review Office for state-level review.	Identify whether DOL ETA/OIG received, referred, or consulted on this workforce lane.
DOL OIG / DOL ETA / OGIS	DOL OIG withheld records under 7(A); appeal docketing remains unconfirmed in the submitted record; OGIS assistance was renewed.	Confirm appeal control, component routing, current proceeding, search scope, segregation, and estimated completion.

3. MATERIAL CHANGE REQUIRING A CURRENT EXEMPTION 7(A) REVIEW

DOL OIG's May 1 response stated only that an open law-enforcement investigation involved the requested records. Because the original request expressly named two LAPD reports and two OCI matters, the present record requires clarification of whether the proceeding relied upon is one of those matters or a separate proceeding.

The submitted record now distinguishes at least four different lanes:

- LAPD RMS C259045687 and C269013241 - underlying criminal reports described in the submitted record as closed;
- LAPD Complaint Form 26-001767 - a separate personnel-misconduct investigation concerning LAPD handling;
- County OCI 2025-23289 - reported closed;
- County OCI 2026-23965 - reported open; and
- any independent DOL OIG, DOL ETA, or other federal/state/local proceeding not identified in the May 1 letter.

Closure of the LAPD reports does not prove that no other proceeding exists. It does require DOL to avoid relying on an outdated or conflated status and to determine whether the proceeding supporting continued withholding is separate, current, pending or prospective, and connected to the requested record categories.

MINIMUM NONPREJUDICIAL CLARIFICATION REQUESTED

If DOL cannot identify the proceeding, please confirm at minimum whether the proceeding supporting Exemption 7(A) is separate from LAPD C259045687 and C269013241, whether the responsible investigative component has recently confirmed that the proceeding remains pending or prospective, and whether the status was rechecked during the appeal review.

4. SEGREGABILITY AND FIRST-PARTY ADMINISTRATIVE RECORDS

The FOIA statute requires an agency to consider partial disclosure when full disclosure is not possible and to take reasonable steps to segregate and release nonexempt information. The original request specifically sought administrative and first-party categories that may be distinguishable from substantive evidence. The requester does not seek investigative strategy, confidential-source information, witness details, target information, or protected evidence.

Record category	Examples	Requested treatment
Original request and appeal administration	Portal intake, acknowledgment, docketing, appeal control, assigned office, correspondence control, estimated date.	Release or provide status without disclosing investigative substance.
Requester-owned submissions	Copies of emails, attachments, and documents supplied by the requester; attachment inventory and receipt dates.	Review separately from third-party investigative material.

Hotline intake and routing	Complaint/reference number, intake log, routing sheet, component assignment, referral or transfer date.	Provide nonexempt administrative portions.
Cross-reference records	Whether C259045687, C269013241, 2025-23289, and 2026-23965 were associated with the DOL file.	Provide existence/status information to the extent noninterfering.
Search and consultation records	Components, custodians, mailboxes, systems, date ranges, and consultations or referrals.	Provide a processing description or Vaughn-type category explanation if records are withheld.
Administrative disposition	Open/closed/referred/transferred/pending status at a non-substantive level.	Release if it does not expose protected facts.
Segregable portions	Headers, dates, senders/recipients, subject lines, record types, page counts, nonexempt text.	Produce partial records with redactions where appropriate.

5. LAHSA REFERRAL-ORIGIN DISCREPANCY

The origin of the LAPD reports is material to DOL's intake, routing, referral, and cross-reference records. The requester maintains a contemporaneous October 15, 2025 LAHSA communication reflecting leadership direction to report the matter to law enforcement and stating that it was "indeed fraud and a form of theft." The requester does not present that wording as a conviction, probable-cause finding, or final agency adjudication.

Christopher Williams later framed the recommendation as based on the requester's "apparent allegation" of identity theft and falsification by a CRCD staff member. Those formulations are not identical. The contemporaneous record suggests an agency-directed referral and affirmative wording; the later formulation places the origin primarily on the requester's allegation.

The requested FOIA review should therefore preserve and, where responsive, identify:

- the native October 15, 2025 message, headers, metadata, recipients, forwarded content, and attachments;
- records identifying the LAHSA leadership direction referenced in that message;
- Christopher Redd's notes, emails, review records, recommendations, and closure memorandum;
- records transmitted to OCI for 2025-23289;
- communications with LAPD, OCI, DOL OIG, HUD, CRCD, or workforce agencies concerning the referral; and
- records explaining the basis for the later Williams characterization.

6. INTERTWINED WORKFORCE, WIOA, AND CUSTODIAN ROUTING

The law-enforcement and FOIA lanes are intertwined with a workforce-development records and grievance lane. The relevant provider activity is associated with CRCD / Project Tipping Point, the Vernon-Central/LATTC WorkSource operation, and CRCD's 400 W. Washington Boulevard location.

The submitted routing history shows:

7. County DEO directed the relevant CRCD/WorkSource issue to City of Los Angeles LWDA/EWDD rather than the County LWDA;
8. EWDD Equal Opportunity Compliance Officer Richard Cheng forwarded the ADA/WIOA complaint to CRCD leadership and asked where Project Tipping Point complaints should be routed;
9. Luis Preciado was copied on the City/EWDD routing chain;
10. CRCD Senior Director Jose Guadron stated that Project Tipping Point complaints could be referred to Latea Davis, Director of Workforce Development;
11. Richard Cheng acknowledged that response, leaving the complaint routed back to the disputed provider;
12. CWDB stated that participant-level, consent, grievance, rental-assistance, and operational records are held locally by the LWDA and its operators;
13. CWDB identified WSD18-05 as the relevant grievance policy and identified EDD Compliance Review Office as the state-level contact for appeals or independent review; and
14. an ETA Region 6 routing inquiry was attempted concerning the responsible federal/local WIOA records and grievance route.

CONFLICT-SCREENING ISSUE

Returning the complaint only to CRCD does not establish that an independent EWDD/City LWDA, EDD, or federal workforce review occurred. The request does not claim that provider-level referral is automatically unlawful. It asks DOL to identify whether the matter was referred, consulted, transferred, or cross-referenced through DOL ETA or another workforce component, and whether an independent route exists when the provider itself is disputed.

7. CONCRETE DUE-PROCESS, HOUSING, FAMILY, AND DISABILITY IMPACT

Impact lane	Why the requested FOIA process matters

Family stability	Family case 25CMFL00694 includes custody/visitation proceedings and a July 6, 2026 ex parte filing. Housing stability affects the practical ability to participate in visitation and reunification processes. DOL is not asked to decide family-law merits.
Disability access	The requester seeks written communication as an accommodation and requests secure handling of medical, financial, and child-related information.
Records correction and authentication	A blanket withholding prevents identification of what DOL received, what was associated, which office owns the file, and whether administrative records can be corrected or authenticated.
Cross-agency fragmentation	Different agencies have closed, referred, or retained different slices of the matter. Without a written DOL component and referral record, the federal lane cannot be reconciled with the local and state lanes.
Public-funds and workforce integrity	The records involve a publicly connected workforce, youth, housing-support, and rental-assistance chain. The request seeks process transparency and custodial accountability, not a predetermined merits finding.

8. REQUESTED WRITTEN DETERMINATIONS

15. Was the May 22, 2026 appeal received and docketed? Provide the appeal control number.
16. Which DOL office and official are responsible for the appeal?
17. Were the May 26, June 9, June 13, June 15, June 28, and later submissions associated with the appeal?
18. Does the appeal file include Portal Request 2834736 and Confirmation 2838146?
19. Is the enforcement proceeding supporting Exemption 7(A) separate from LAPD C259045687 and C269013241?
20. Has the responsible investigative component recently confirmed that the proceeding remains pending or prospective?
21. Does DOL rely on an independent DOL OIG matter, OCI 2026-23965, LAPD IAD 26-001767, a DOL ETA/WIOA matter, another agency proceeding, or a prospective proceeding?
22. Did closure of the LAPD reports or OCI 2025-23289 change the applicability of 7(A) to any category?
23. Which DOL components, custodians, systems, mailboxes, and date ranges were searched?
24. Was any portion referred or sent for consultation to DOL ETA, another DOL component, EDD, CWDB, EWDD, OCI, LAHSA, LAPD, HUD, or another agency?
25. Can copies of the requester's own submissions and nonexempt administrative records be released?
26. Has DOL completed a current foreseeable-harm and segregability review?
27. Will DOL provide interim, partial, or rolling production?
28. What is the current estimated date for the appeal determination?
29. Does DOL require any additional identity verification or clarification?

9. RESPONSE MATRIX FOR DOL

Requested field	DOL response
Appeal control number	
Appeal office / responsible component	
Appeal received date	
Current status	Pending / assigned / consultation / determination in review / other
Estimated determination date	
7(A) proceeding separate from closed LAPD reports?	Yes / No / unable to confirm publicly
Current status rechecked with investigative component?	Yes / No / date
DOL components searched	
External referrals or consultations	
First-party records releasable?	Yes / No / partial
Administrative records releasable?	Yes / No / partial
Interim or rolling production	
Additional information required	

10. PRESERVATION NOTICE

Please preserve the complete FOIA, appeal, hotline, referral, consultation, search, cross-reference, and status record, including:

- the original April 3, 2026 request, portal metadata, confirmation, and attachment;
- the May 1 response, exemption analysis, foreseeable-harm analysis, and segregability analysis;
- the May 22 appeal and all later docketing/status follow-ups;
- intake logs, correspondence-control entries, assignment records, routing sheets, complaint numbers, attachment inventories, and case-opening records;
- search instructions, search terms, custodians, repositories, mailboxes, date ranges, search results, and no-record determinations;
- intercomponent and interagency referrals or consultations, including DOL ETA or other workforce components;
- communications concerning LAHSA, OCI, LAPD, EWDD, City LWDA, CWDB, EDD, CRCD, Project Tipping Point, Amity, JCOD, HUD, or the identifiers listed below;
- records showing whether the DOL file was associated with C259045687, C269013241, 2025-23289, 2026-23965, or 26-001767;
- records showing the current status of any proceeding relied upon under 7(A); and
- communications with OGIS and the DOL FOIA Public Liaison.

11. IDENTIFIER CONTROL SCHEDULE

Lane	Identifier
DOL OIG FOIA	2026067
Portal request / confirmation	2834736 / 2838146
OGIS	C-0007476635
LAPD criminal reports	C259045687 / C269013241
LAPD personnel complaint	26-001767
County OCI	2025-23289 / 2026-23965
CRCD / PTP participant	1233369910
County CFCI / Amity / CRCD	CFCI-PA3-056-2023
City workforce	City LWDA / EWDD / Vernon-Central-LATTC WorkSource
Education/workforce	LATTC 900855235 / CalJOBS 22707388 / Case Note 33836577
Housing court	26CMUD00417
Family court	25CMFL00694
Property	1551 E. 106th Street, Unit 3/4, Los Angeles, CA 90002

12. SOURCE-RECORD KEY AND EXHIBIT INDEX

Reference	Source	Limited purpose
SR-1 / Exhibit A	April 3, 2026 DOL OIG FOIA Request 2834736 / Confirmation 2838146	Original scope, search identifiers, administrative-record categories, expedited-processing explanation.
SR-2 / Exhibit B	May 1, 2026 DOL OIG response	States open law-enforcement investigation involving requested records; invokes 7(A).
SR-3 / Exhibit C	May 21 and May 26 routing/docketing chain	Shows use of designated FOIA/PA mailbox and request for appeal docket number.
SR-4 / Exhibit C	June 28, 2026 renewed OGIS request	Documents appeal history and absence of confirmed docket/status.
SR-5 / Exhibit D	LAHSA / Christopher Williams / OCI / EWDD source pages	Shows LAHSA custody and limited funding closure; referral to OCI; later apparent-allegation framing; EWDD-to-CRCD routing; OCI status.

SR-6 / Exhibit E	June 30 Police Commission OIG acknowledgment and submission	Preserves closed-report / IAD distinction and request for evidence linkage.
SR-7 / Exhibit F	CWDB response and ETA Region 6 routing inquiry	Local record custody, WSD18-05, EDD Compliance Review Office, and federal workforce routing question.
SR-8 / Exhibit G	Court filing confirmations	Shows July 1 and July 2 source-record and preservation filings accepted in 26CMUD00417.
SR-9 / Exhibit H	Los Angeles Superior Court docket extracts	Shows pending eviction and family proceedings as of the captured docket dates.

SOURCE-CONTROL RULE

If any summary, OCR text, caption, or chronology conflicts with an original source page, native email, attachment, agency file, or court record, the original source controls. Statements attributed to third parties are included to document the requester's record and routing history, not as independent agency findings unless the source expressly states otherwise.

13. CLOSING REQUEST

I am not asking DOL OIG or the FOIA Appeals Office to determine criminal guilt, civil liability, WIOA liability, housing liability, family-law merits, or the merits of every local complaint. I am requesting a documented federal FOIA process, a confirmed appeal docket, an accurate account of component and interagency routing, preservation of the administrative record, a current distinction between closed and active proceedings, and release of first-party, administrative, and reasonably segregable information that can be disclosed without interference.

Please provide the response in writing by email as a disability accommodation. Please avoid transmitting full Social Security numbers, taxpayer-identification numbers, bank-account information, full dates of birth, child-identifying information, medical details, or other sensitive personal information in an unsecured response.

Respectfully submitted,

Kyle Robert David Haab
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
July 6, 2026

EXHIBIT A

Original DOL OIG FOIA Request

Portal Request ID 2834736 / Confirmation ID 2838146 - submitted April 3, 2026.

Limited purpose: Establishes the exact requested record categories, search identifiers, first-party records, administrative handling records, fee-waiver request, and expedited-processing basis.

Source-control rule: original native records and agency/court files control over summaries, OCR text, and captions.

FOIA Request 2834736

The following list contains the entire submission submitted April 03, 2026 04:10:37pm ET, and is formatted for ease of viewing and printing.

Contact information

First name	Kyle
Last name	Haab
Mailing Address	1551 E 106TH St Unit 3/4
City	LOS ANGELES
State/Province	CA
Postal Code	90002
Country	United States
Phone	6575574459
Email	Kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

Request

Request ID	2834736
Confirmation ID	2838146

Request description	I request records from the U.S. Department of Labor Office of Inspector General concerning my OIG hotline submission made on January 1, 2026, and subsequent communications with Special Agent Roger Passero. This request seeks records from January 1, 2026 to present. Specifically, I request: records sufficient to identify any DOL OIG complaint number, case number, reference number, tracking number, or internal identifier associated with my January 1, 2026 submission; records sufficient to show the date my submission was received, opened, logged, routed, reviewed, assigned, or reassigned; records sufficient to identify the office, unit, or personnel to whom my submission was assigned or referred; records sufficient to show whether the matter remains open, was closed, was referred, or was transferred, and if referred or transferred, to what office or agency; records sufficient to identify the emails and attachments DOL OIG received from me, including subject lines, receipt dates, and attachment counts; copies of my own submissions, emails, attachments, and correspondence provided by me to DOL OIG that are maintained in the file; records sufficient to identify communications between me and DOL OIG personnel, including Roger Passero, concerning receipt of documents, requests for additional information, and acknowledgment of submissions; any intake log, routing sheet, case opening sheet, attachment inventory, exhibit list, or similar administrative record reflecting materials submitted by me; and records sufficient to show whether DOL OIG logged, cross-referenced, reviewed, or associated my submission with the following related identifiers: C269013241, 2026-23965, C259045687, and 2025-23289. Search identifiers: Kyle Haab; Kyle David Haab; Kyle D. Haab; kyle.dietz99@gmail.com; Roger Passero; Passero.Roger@oig.dol.gov; January 1, 2026; OIG Complaint; CRCD; Coalition for Responsible Community Development; Project Tipping Point; DHCS Incident Reporting; C259045687; 2025-23289; C269013241; 2026-23965.

Supporting documentation

Additional Information	84733edc-5f89-4deb-bac6-42b71d5f691d (1).jpg

Fees

Request category ID	other

Fee waiver	yes
Explanation	I request a waiver or reduction of fees because disclosure of the requested records is in the public interest and is not primarily in my commercial interest. This request seeks Department of Labor OIG records showing how my January 1, 2026 hotline submission was received, logged, routed, assigned, cross-referenced, and handled, including records sufficient to identify any complaint number, case number, referral, transfer, or administrative disposition. Disclosure of these records would contribute significantly to public understanding of government operations and activities, specifically how DOL OIG processes, tracks, and handles hotline submissions involving alleged misuse of publicly connected workforce, youth-program, participant-record, and related funding matters. I have no commercial interest in the requested records. The records are sought to understand and evaluate government intake, routing, and oversight activity, not for profit or commercial advantage. Why this is the right legal framing: DOL's FOIA guidance says fee-waiver requests must be fully justified in writing and asks whether the records concern government operations, whether disclosure will contribute to public understanding, and whether that contribution will be significant.
Willing to pay	25

Expedited processing

Expedited Processing	yes

Explanation

I request expedited processing because delay in obtaining these Department of Labor OIG records could reasonably be expected to result in a threatened loss of substantial due process rights. I have an active federal civil rights action in the United States District Court for the Central District of California: Kyle David Haab v. Coalition for Responsible Community Development, Case No. 2:26-cv-00802-JFW-AS. On March 24, 2026, the Court entered a screening-related order, and on April 1, 2026, the Court granted leave to file and construed my First Amended Complaint as effective April 1, 2026. The requested records concern my January 1, 2026 DOL OIG hotline submission and DOL OIG's handling of that submission, including intake, logging, routing, assignment, cross-referencing, referral, and administrative disposition. I need these records promptly to identify the proper responsible office or entity, preserve the administrative trail, determine whether my submission was associated with related identifiers and materials, and avoid prejudice to my ability to present accurate evidence and seek timely relief. Delay would materially impair my ability to pursue corrective action in matters affecting family integrity, housing stability, privacy, and related rights. This request is limited to first-party and administrative records concerning my own submission and related DOL OIG handling. Related identifiers that may appear in cross-referenced materials include C269013241, 2026-23965, C259045687, and 2025-23289.

EXHIBIT B

DOL OIG May 1, 2026 FOIA Determination

FOIA No. 2026067 - full withholding under 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(7)(A).

Limited purpose: Shows that DOL OIG stated an open law-enforcement investigation involved the requested records. It does not identify the proceeding, target, offense, evidence, or outcome.

Source-control rule: original native records and agency/court files control over summaries, OCR text, and captions.

U.S. Department of Labor

Office of Inspector General
Washington D.C. 20210

May 1, 2026

Kyle Haab
1551 E. 106th Street, Unit 3/4
Los Angeles, CA 90002

Re: Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), 5 U.S.C. §552
Request No. 2026067

Dear Mr. Haab:

This letter is in response to your April 3, 2026, Freedom of Information request, a copy of which is enclosed for your convenience. Your request was received in this office on April 3, 2026, and assigned FOIA request number 2026067. We ask that you use this number when referring to your request.

The policy of the Inspector General is to make, to the extent possible, full disclosure of our identifiable records in accordance with the provisions of the Freedom of Information Act. I am responding on behalf of the Office of Inspector General (OIG).

Currently, the DOL OIG has an open law enforcement investigation involving the records you have requested. Therefore, the information you request is exempt from disclosure in its entirety under 5 U.S.C. 552 (b)(7)(A). Exemption 7A of the FOIA covers the withholding of records, the disclosure of which would interfere with law enforcement proceedings or pending investigations.

Should you wish to discuss this response to your request, feel free to contact this office at FOIA.PrivacyAct@oig.dol.gov or the DOL FOIA Public Liaison at dolpublicliaison@dol.gov. Additionally, you may contact the Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) at the National Archives and Records Administration to inquire about the FOIA mediation services they offer. The contact information for OGIS is as follows: Office of Government Information Services, National Archives and Records Administration, 8601 Adelphi Road-OGIS, College Park, Maryland 20740-6001; e-mail at ogis@nara.gov; telephone 202-741-5770; toll free at 1-877-684-6448; or facsimile at 202-741-5769.

If you are not satisfied with the response to this request, you have the right to administratively appeal this decision within 90 days from the date of this letter. Should you decide to do this, your appeal must state, in writing, the grounds for appeal, together with any statement or arguments. Such an appeal should be addressed and directed to the Solicitor of Labor, citing OIG FOIA No. 2026067, Room N-2428, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210 or emailed to foiaappeals@dol.gov. Please refer to the Department of Labor regulations at 29 CFR 70.22 for further details on your appeal rights.

For your information, Congress excluded three discrete categories of law enforcement and national security records from the requirements of the FOIA. *See* 5 U.S.C. 552(c). This response is limited to those records that are subject to the requirements of the FOIA. This is a standard notification that is given to all our requesters and should not be taken as an indication that excluded records do, or do not, exist.

If you have any questions concerning this letter, feel free to contact this office at FOIA.PrivacyAct@oig.dol.gov. Please refer to FOIA request number 2026067, on future correspondence. We look forward to assisting you.

Sincerely,

Michael Coen

Michael Coen
FOIA Officer

EXHIBIT C

Administrative-Appeal Docketing and OGIS Follow-Up

May 21 / May 26 routing and docketing inquiry; June 28 renewed OGIS assistance request.

Limited purpose: Documents use of DOL OIG's designated FOIA/Privacy Act mailbox, the May 22 appeal, requests for an appeal control number, and the absence of a substantive docket/status response in the attached record.

Source-control rule: original native records and agency/court files control over summaries, OCR text, and captions.

Automatic reply: [External] C259045687 / C269013241 / OCI 2025-23289 & 2026-23965 / DOJ PIU #1508015 / DOL FOIA 2026067/PC 1-26-0316-003

3 messages

Coen, Michael - OIG <Coen.Michael@oig.dol.gov> Sun, May 17, 2026 at 2:15 AM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Thank you for your e-mail. I am currently out of the office and will return Thursday, May 21, 2026. Please submit all FOIA/PA related inquiries to FOIA.PrivacyAct@oig.dol.gov and I will respond upon my return.

v/r

Michael Coen

Government Information Specialist

Office of Inspector General

U.S. Department of Labor

Telephone: 202-285-9260

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Thu, May 21, 2026 at 12:45 PM
To: Coen, Michael - OIG <Coen.Michael@oig.dol.gov>, FOIA.PrivacyAct@oig.dol.gov

To DOL OIG FOIA / Privacy Act Staff,

I am following up because Michael Coen's automatic reply directed FOIA/Privacy Act inquiries to FOIA.PrivacyAct@oig.dol.gov.

Please confirm whether my supplemental routing notice can be associated with DOL OIG / FOIA reference 2026067.

This request is for FOIA/Privacy Act routing, preservation, and cross-reference only. I am not asking DOL OIG to decide the full local housing, family-law, police, county-fraud, or state-agency dispute through this email.

Relevant identifiers for cross-reference:

DOL OIG / FOIA Reference: 2026067
LAPD RMS: C259045687 and C269013241
County Fraud / OCI: 2025-23289 and 2026-23965
DOJ PIU: 1508015
HUD FOIA: 26-FI-RO9-01580
HHS FOIA: 2026-HHS-FOIA-002440
DHCS HIPAA / GovQA: L109105-040626
DHCS Incident: NEW-2026-01-18-8837
DRE: PC 1-26-0316-003
CFCI / JCOD / Amity Reference: CFCI-PA3-056-2023
Court of Appeal Case: B354753
Family-law case: 25CMFL00694
Unlawful-detainer case: 26CMUD00417
Property: 1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4, Los Angeles, CA 90002

Please confirm whether this supplemental notice is associated with reference 2026067, or identify the correct DOL OIG FOIA/Privacy Act contact or process.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert Haab
1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4
Los Angeles, CA 90002
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Tue, May 26, 2026 at 5:43 PM
To: Coen, Michael - OIG <Coen.Michael@oig.dol.gov>, FOIA.PrivacyAct@oig.dol.gov

To the DOL FOIA Appeals Office,

I am following up to confirm docketing of my May 22, 2026 protective FOIA administrative appeal regarding DOL OIG FOIA No. 2026067.

The appeal challenges the May 1, 2026 full withholding under 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(7)(A) and requests release of all reasonably segregable non-exempt records, including non-exempt intake, routing, referral, acknowledgment, tracking, or administrative-processing records.

Please confirm whether the appeal has been docketed, and if so, provide the appeal tracking number. If the appeal has not been docketed, please advise whether any additional information is needed.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert Haab
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
[Quoted text hidden]

Renewed OGIS Assistance Request — DOL OIG FOIA 2026067 / Appeal Status / OGIS C-0007476635

2 messages

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Sun, Jun 28, 2026 at 11:10 AM
To: OGIS <OGIS@nara.gov>, Coen, Michael - OIG <Coen.Michael@oig.dol.gov>, FOIA.PrivacyAct@oig.dol.gov

Dear OGIS Staff:

I am requesting renewed OGIS assistance concerning DOL OIG FOIA No. 2026067 and OGIS Case No. C-0007476635.

In OGIS's May 22, 2026 response, OGIS advised me to complete the Department of Labor's administrative-appeal process before seeking further assistance. I followed that direction.

Relevant procedural history:

1. DOL OIG issued its initial response on May 1, 2026, withholding the responsive records in full under 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(7)(A).

2. I submitted a protective administrative appeal to foiaappeals@dol.gov on May 22, 2026.

3. I followed up on May 26, 2026, requesting confirmation that the appeal had been docketed and requesting the appeal tracking number.

4. I submitted an additional OGIS follow-up on June 9, 2026.

5. On June 13 and June 15, 2026, I again routed the matter to DOL OIG's designated FOIA/Privacy Act address.

As of this email, I have not received a substantive acknowledgment confirming that the appeal was docketed, an appeal tracking number, an estimated determination date, or a final appeal determination.

I am not asking OGIS to investigate the underlying allegations or require disclosure of information that would interfere with an active law-enforcement proceeding. I am requesting FOIA process assistance regarding the status and handling of the administrative appeal.

Please assist by contacting the appropriate DOL FOIA office and requesting written clarification of:

1. Whether my May 22, 2026 administrative appeal was received and docketed;

2. The appeal tracking or control number;

3. Whether my May 26, June 9, June 13, and June 15 follow-ups were associated with the appeal;

4. The office presently responsible for the appeal;

5. The current processing status and estimated determination date;

6. Whether DOL will review reasonably segregable, non-exempt portions of the responsive records;

7. Whether DOL will separately review non-exempt administrative records, including intake, routing, referral, acknowledgment, tracking, search, and case-management records; and

8. Whether DOL requires any additional information from me to complete the administrative review.

I recognize that OGIS cannot compel disclosure or decide the appeal. I am requesting OGIS's assistance as the federal FOIA Ombuds to resolve the absence of a confirmed appeal docket, appeal number, and processing status.

Please associate this submission with OGIS Case No. C-0007476635 and confirm receipt.

I can provide the following records upon request:

- DOL OIG's May 1, 2026 response;
- my May 22, 2026 administrative appeal;
- my May 26, 2026 docketing inquiry;
- my June 9, 2026 OGIS follow-up;
- my June 13, 2026 supplemental submission; and

- my June 15, 2026 transmission to the DOL OIG FOIA/Privacy Act Office.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert David Haab
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

OGIS_DOL_FOIA_2026067_CONSOLIDATED_ACTUAL_EXHIBITS_REV2_June28_2026.pdf
1.6 MB

OGIS <OGIS+noreply@nara.gov> Sun, Jun 28, 2026 at 11:11 AM
To: kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

Thank you for contacting the Office of Government Information Services (OGIS).

This is an auto response, please DO NOT respond to this email as the response will not be received by the OGIS staff. Emails must be sent to ogis@nara.gov.

As the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) ombuds, OGIS assists FOIA requesters and federal agencies by helping them resolve their FOIA disputes, and by addressing their questions and concerns about the FOIA process. Please note, we receive many inquiries and, if appropriate, will respond to you as quickly as possible.

For more information about OGIS's services, please explore the information on our mediation and compliance functions at archives.gov/ogis.

If you seek OGIS's assistance with the FOIA process and have not done so already, please email us again at ogis@nara.gov and provide us with the following:
- What assistance you seek from OGIS
- A copy of your FOIA request
- The agency's response to your request that includes the FOIA tracking number
- Your appeal letter
- The agency's response to your appeal

We encourage you to transmit documents as PDF attachments.

If you contacted OGIS in error, please email us at ogis@nara.gov and notify us that you no longer seek our assistance.

EXHIBIT D

LAHSA, EWDD, OCI, and DOL Status Source Pages

Selected pages from the public-redacted consolidated source packet.

Limited purpose: Shows LAHSA record custody and limited funding closure, the later Christopher Williams characterization, referral to OCI 2025-23289, EWDD-to-CRCD complaint routing, County Counsel referral, OCI status split, and DOL OIG's May 1 statement. Original native emails and agency files control.

Source-control rule: original native records and agency/court files control over summaries, OCR text, and captions.

Please preserve and align this message with my prior HUD FOIA / CPD escalation packet and identify the correct office or records custodian for the unresolved consent-source, HMIS authorization, data-sharing, and disclosure-record issues.
Respectfully,
Kyle Robert Haab
1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4
Los Angeles, CA 90002
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
On Sun, May 17, 2026, 5:08 PM Christopher Williams <cwilliams@lahsa.org> wrote:

> Dear Mr. Haab,
>
> Please find responses inserted in red below.
>
>
>
> **Christopher Williams (he/him)**
> Deputy Chief Risk Officer
> Cell: 213-523-0938
> Email: cwilliams@lahsa.org
> www.lahsa.org
>
> *To drive the collaborative strategic vision to create solutions for the crisis of homelessness grounded in hope, compassion, and community.*
>
> *This transmission is intended only for the use of the addressee. If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. Be aware that most communications with LAHSA are subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act. If you received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the sender by replying to this communication or by telephone at **213-523-0938**. Thank you.*
>
> ---
>
> **From:** Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
> **Sent:** Thursday, April 23, 2026 3:04 PM
> **To:** Christopher Williams <cwilliams@lahsa.org>
> **Cc:** LA County Fraud Hotline <fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov>
> **Subject:** Re: LAHSA investigator Christopher Redd
>
> Dear Mr. Williams,
>
> Thank you for confirming that Christopher Redd was the LAHSA investigator who worked on my case and that he is no longer available to discuss LAHSA-related matters.
>
> Because Mr. Redd worked on this matter, I am requesting written clarification regarding the current status, record custody, and outcome of his review.
>
> Please confirm:
>
> 1. who now has custody of Mr. Redd's case file, notes, emails, findings, referrals, closure notes, or related records;
> LAHSA has custody of his case files, etc. The Deputy Chief Risk Officer has access to them. (That is me.)
>
> 2. whether the matter was closed, transferred, referred, or left pending after Mr. Redd left LAHSA;
> The matter was referred back to the Office of County Investigations (OCI) on December 11, 2025.
>
> 3. who, if anyone, is now assigned to respond to questions regarding Mr. Redd's review;
> There are no outstanding questions regarding Mr. Redd's review. If there were to be any, I would be the person to respond.
>
> 4. whether LAHSA issued any findings, determinations, referrals, internal notes, or closure explanation concerning my complaints;
> As you have been informed, LAHSA concluded that Project Tipping Point, the project you referred to that was being implemented by the Coalition for Responsible Community Development (CRCD), did not receive any funding

from LAHSA, and therefore LAHSA would not be investigating it.

5. whether any records from Mr. Redd's review were provided to, discussed with, or referred to the Los Angeles County Auditor-Controller Office of County Investigations, including the Fraud Hotline.
A summary of Mr. Redd's findings were provided to OCI as a closure memorandum for LAHSA's involvement in case 2025_23289 due to Project Tipping Point not being a LAHSA-funded program. His findings were limited to concluding that the program was not funded by LAHSA, which is a requirement for LAHSA investigations.

The consent / authorization issue remains unanswered. Please confirm whether LAHSA, Mr. Redd, or any LAHSA-related system reviewed or obtained records sufficient to show what consent, Release of Information, participant authorization, HMIS authorization, data-sharing authorization, or other written permission allowed CRCD, LAHSA, Amity Foundation, AJCC / WorkSource partners, or related providers to collect, access, disclose, transmit, or rely on my personal information, household information, housing information, landlord information, lease materials, W-9 / ACH materials, case notes, referral records, supportive-services records, or program eligibility records.

Please specifically confirm whether LAHSA has records showing:

1. the date any consent or authorization was signed;

2. the scope of the consent or authorization;

3. the programs, agencies, providers, landlords, or partners covered by the consent;

4. whether the consent covered CRCD, Project Tipping Point, LAHSA, Amity Foundation, Vernon-Central / LATTC WorkSource, AJCC-related activity, housing assistance, landlord contact, or data-sharing activity;

5. whether consent existed before the March 18, 2024 referral/contact activity;

6. whether Mr. Redd reviewed the consent issue before leaving LAHSA;

7. whether any missing, incomplete, expired, or disputed consent issue was identified, referred, or preserved.

Mr. Haab, you have already been provided all HMIS materials pertaining to you. You can review these materials yourself.

I am also requesting clarification regarding LAHSA's prior instruction that I report the matter to law enforcement based on concerns involving fraud and theft. Please confirm:

1. who made that instruction or recommendation;
As I understand it, Mr. Redd recommended that you report the matter to law enforcement based on your apparent allegation of identify theft and falsification of records by a CRCD staff member.

2. whether it was based on LAHSA's review of records, my allegations, CRCD records, landlord-related records, payment records, consent records, or other information;
As I understand it, Mr. Redd recommended that you report the matter to law enforcement based on your apparent allegation of identify theft and falsification of records by a CRCD staff member.

3. whether LAHSA documented the basis for advising law-enforcement reporting;
As I understand it, Mr. Redd recommended that you report the matter to law enforcement based on your apparent allegation of identify theft and falsification of records by a CRCD staff member.

4. whether LAHSA itself made or considered making any referral to law enforcement, the County Auditor-Controller, OCI, County Counsel, LAHD, HUD, or another oversight body;
LAHSA referred the matter back to OCI because it does not involve a LAHSA-funded project. LAHSA did not make any further referrals, as LAHSA had already been informed by you that you had reported it to LAPD.

5. whether LAHSA determined the issue was outside LAHSA's administrative jurisdiction because it involved possible fraud, theft, misuse of funds, or unauthorized handling of records;
LAHSA referred the matter back to OCI because it does not involve a LAHSA-funded project, not based on the specific nature of the allegations.

6. whether any such fraud/theft-related concern remains open, was closed, or was referred elsewhere.
LAHSA referred the matter back to OCI because it does not involve a LAHSA-funded project, not based on the specific nature of the allegations. That referral closed LAHSA's involvement with the matter.

I am also requesting clarification regarding any recording, photographing, audio-recording, video-recording, screenshotting, documenting, retaining, transmitting, or sharing of images, audio, video, or identifying information involving my minor children by CRCD, CRCD staff, Project Tipping Point, housing-support staff, or any related partner.

Please confirm whether LAHSA, Mr. Redd, or any LAHSA-related review identified, received, reviewed, preserved, or was informed of any records involving my minor children, including:

1. photos, videos, audio recordings, screenshots, intake records, household records, case notes, text messages, emails, or attachments involving my children;

2. the date, purpose, program, staff member, and system connected to any such recording or documentation;

3. what consent, parental authorization, guardian authorization, court authorization, media release, participant release, HMIS authorization, or other written permission was relied upon;

4. whether any images, audio, video, or identifying information involving my children were shared internally, externally, or with partner agencies;

5. whether LAHSA or Mr. Redd reviewed this minor-child consent issue before Mr. Redd left LAHSA.

As far as I know, LAHSA did not identify, receive, review, preserve, or get informed of any records involving your minor children. The investigation was limited to the process of determining that Project Tipping Point was not funded by LAHSA, and thus was not an issue for LAHSA to investigate, so LAHSA referred it back to OCI.

My main question is this:

What did LAHSA determine, document, refer, or preserve in connection with Mr. Redd's review of my matter, including the unresolved consent / authorization issue, LAHSA's instruction that I report concerns involving fraud and theft to law enforcement, and any records involving my minor children?

The investigation by Mr. Redd was limited to the process of determining that Project Tipping Point was not funded by LAHSA, and thus was not an issue for LAHSA to investigate, so LAHSA referred it back to OCI.

Please also preserve all records connected to Mr. Redd's handling of my matter, including emails, notes, case summaries, consent records, Release of Information records, HMIS-related records, communications with CRCD, communications with County departments, referral records, closure notes, records involving my minor children, and communications involving the Auditor-Controller / OCI.

LAHSA retains all records for seven years.

Since the LA County Fraud Hotline is copied on this thread, I am also requesting that LAHSA clarify whether it considers this matter open, closed, referred, or outside LAHSA's jurisdiction, and whether OCI has been provided any records or summary related to Mr. Redd's prior involvement.

LAHSA considers its role in this matter closed as of December 11, 2025, when its memorandum for case 2025_23289 was submitted to OCI.

Thank you,

Kyle David Haab
1551 E. 106th St Unit 3/4
Los Angeles, CA 90002
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

On Thu, Apr 23, 2026, 2:50 PM Christopher Williams <cwilliams@lahsa.org> wrote:

> Dear Mr. Haab,
>
> I understand that you have asked the Los Angeles County Department of Auditor-Controller's Office of County Investigations to have the LAHSA investigator who worked on your case, Christopher Redd, to call you.
>
> Mr. Redd moved to another position in another organization last month, and is no longer available to discuss LAHSA-related matters.
>
> Is there a question that I may be able to help you with?
>
> Thank you,
>
> Chris

Subject: Re: “ADA and WIOA Compliance Complaint – CRCD / Project Tipping Point”
From: Richard Cheng <richard.cheng@lacity.org>
Date: 11/14/2025, 3:06 PM
To: Jose Guadron <jguadron@coalitionrcd.org>

Thanks Jose, appreciate the info, have a great weekend!

On Fri, Nov 14, 2025 at 2:56 PM Jose Guadron <jguadron@coalitionrcd.org> wrote:

> Hello Richard and Luis,
>
> We have been working with Mr. Kyle Haab for some time and provided services under the Project Tipping Point Program. Complaints for Project Tipping Point can be referred to Latea Davis, Director of Workforce Development, who is copied on this email.
>
> Please let us know if you any other questions.
>
> _____
>
> **Jose Guadron | Senior Director of Workforce Development**
>
> Workforce Development
> **CRCD:** 213.743.6193 | **M:** 323.897.9384 | **F:** 323.521.1928 | **TTY:** 711
> jguadron@coalitionrcd.org | www.coalitionrcd.org
>
> **View CRCD's 2024 Annual Report**
>
> *Confidentiality Notice: This message (including any attachments) contains confidential information intended for a specific individual and purpose, and is protected by law. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by email and delete the original message and any attachments. Any unauthorized disclosure, copying, or distribution of this message, or the taking of any action based on it, is strictly prohibited.*
>
> ---
>
> **From:** Richard Cheng <richard.cheng@lacity.org>
> **Sent:** Wednesday, November 12, 2025 11:45 AM
> **To:** Ro'Lesha Coleman <rcoleman@coalitionrcd.org>; Jose Guadron <jguadron@coalitionrcd.org>
> **Cc:** Luis Preciado <luis.preciado@lacity.org>
> **Subject:** Fwd: “ADA and WIOA Compliance Complaint – CRCD / Project Tipping Point”
>
> **CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is SAFE.
>
> Hi Ro'Lesha & Jose,
> Please see below email & advise who the complaints for Project Tipping Point should be routed to? Is there a lead on your end or at the County? Thanks!
>
> ---------- Forwarded message ---------
> From: **Kyle** <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
> Date: Tue, Nov 11, 2025 at 9:33 AM
> Subject: “ADA and WIOA Compliance Complaint – CRCD / Project Tipping Point”
> To: <richard.cheng@lacity.org>, <ceop@bos.lacounty.gov>, <ciaran.mcevoy@usdoj.gov>, <contact.lapdonline@gmail.com>, LA County Fraud Hotline <fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov>, TitleIX@gmail.com <TitleIX@gmail.com>, Christopher Redd <credd@lahsa.org>, Dr. Holly Henderson <hhenderson@lahsa.org>, Polet Lopez <palopez@coalitionrcd.org>, Sanford, Ahliyah <asanford@bos.lacounty.gov>, <smyers@lafla.org>, <dph-phiadmin@ph.lacounty.gov>, <halvarado@da.lacounty.gov>, <David_Carter@cacd.uscourts.gov>, <info@da.lacounty.gov>, Miguel Victorino <Miguel.Victorino@doj.ca.gov>, <Joseph.Zimring@doj.ca.gov>, <kclark@lahsa.org>, <kdixon@lahsa.org>, LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>, <lahd.rso.south@lacity.org>
>
> Dear Mr. Cheng,
>
> I am filing a formal ADA and Equal Opportunity complaint regarding the Coalition for Responsible Community Development’s “Project Tipping Point” program. I was enrolled under this program but denied required grievance procedures, ADA accommodations, and full disclosure of eligibility requirements, despite my disability status.

My fiancée, also a participant, received different enrollment materials that included grievance procedures and supportive-service information. I did not. I believe this constitutes unequal access and non-compliance with WIOA §188 and 29 CFR 38.

Attached are supporting documents including intake forms, program emails, and correspondence verifying that the program is operated under CRCD’s Vernon-Central/LATTC WorkSource Center, which falls under EWDD jurisdiction.

Please confirm receipt and advise next steps in filing this complaint formally.

Sincerely,
Kyle Haab
657-557-4459
Kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
1233369910 unique ID ptp

--
Best Regards,
Richard Cheng
Economic & Workforce Development Department
Equal Opportunity Compliance Officer
Richard.Cheng@lacity.org

--
Best Regards,
Richard Cheng
Economic & Workforce Development Department
Equal Opportunity Compliance Officer
Richard.Cheng@lacity.org

SOURCE-CONTROLLED REPRODUCTION OF NATIVE PDF ATTACHMENT - NATIVE FILE CONTROLS

ROB BONTA
Attorney General

State of California
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

1300 I STREET
P.O. BOX 903447
SACRAMENTO, CA 94203-4470
Complaints@doj.ca.gov

June 19, 2026

KYLE HAAB
Kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

RE: COALITION FOR RESPONSIBLE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

Hello,

This will acknowledge receipt of your complaint regarding the COALITION FOR RESPONSIBLE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT organization.

The Charitable Trusts Section of the Attorney General's Office conducts investigations and audits of alleged charitable abuses, and may file lawsuits to correct such abuses. Complaints alleging criminal activity should be referred to local law enforcement or the district attorney in the county in which the activity occurred.

The Attorney General does not review matters involving internal labor disputes, contested elections, disagreements between directors and members over policy and procedures, and most legal actions between charities and third parties regarding contracts or torts. Also, under Corporations Code section 9230, the Attorney General has limited authority to investigate religious corporations. Persons with complaints in any of the foregoing categories may choose to consult a private attorney to review legal rights and remedies.

Further information regarding the Attorney General's oversight of charitable trusts is available on the Attorney General's website.

Unfortunately, we lack the staffing to enable us to investigate all of the thousands of complaints we receive, and must limit ourselves to those few which we believe to be the most significant. We do, however, review all complaints submitted to us. As a matter of law and policy, the Attorney General's Office does not provide information regarding its pending investigations and audits.

Thank you for bringing this matter to our attention.

Sincerely,
Registry of Charities and Fundraisers
For Rob Bonta
Attorney General

Transcribed from the native one-page PDF attachment received from the California Department of Justice. The native attachment controls if any discrepancy exists.

Thank you.

From: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>
Date: Monday, March 23, 2026 at 8:47 PM
To: DHCSPrivacyOfficer@dhcs.ca.gov <DHCSPrivacyOfficer@dhcs.ca.gov>, ocrmail@hhs.gov <ocrmail@hhs.gov>
Cc: DHCS Incident Reporting <incidents@dhcs.ca.gov>, DMH PCG <PCG@dmh.lacounty.gov>, COCO_HIPAA <HIPAA@counsel.lacounty.gov>
Subject: Request for Written Privacy/Jurisdiction Determination — NEW-2026-01-18-8837 / OCR 651319

CAUTION: External Email. Proceed Responsibly. [Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Tue, Mar 24, 2026 at 2:16 PM
To: COCO_HIPAA <HIPAA@counsel.lacounty.gov>, LA County Fraud Hotline <fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov>, AC-CONSTITUENT <constituent@auditor.lacounty.gov>, slee2@auditor.lacounty.gov, rcampbell@auditor.lacounty.gov, Wood, Thomas <TWood@auditor.lacounty.gov>

am requesting written clarification regarding Case No. 2026_23965.
The case status page states that this case is approved and assigned to an investigator. I do not recall signing any new consent or authorization specifically for Case No. 2026_23965. Please clarify in writing:
what complaint, submission, referral, or report initiated Case No. 2026_23965;
whether this case was opened from my own submission, an internal referral, a prior complaint, or a third-party complaint made on my behalf;
if the County is relying on my consent or authorization, the exact date and document reflecting that consent;
whether Case No. 2026_23965 is a new case, a continuation, reassignment, reopening, or relabeling of any prior case;
what prior case numbers are linked to this case, including but not limited to 2025-23289 and 2025-23345, if applicable; and
the name, unit, and contact information of the assigned investigator.
I have previously communicated with the Fraud Hotline / OCI regarding related matters since September 2025. Please clarify whether Case No. 2026_23965 was created from prior submissions already in your possession.
If Case No. 2026_23965 is separate from prior case numbers, please confirm whether the records, evidence, emails, attachments, and communications previously submitted under related case numbers will be preserved and associated for review in this matter. If not, please state what I must do to ensure all prior submissions are linked, preserved, and considered.
Please do not destroy, delete, separate, or fail to associate prior submissions, attachments, communications, and evidence relevant to these related matters while clarification is pending.
This request does not waive any rights. I am requesting written clarification of the source, scope, authority, and evidence preservation status for this case.
[Quoted text hidden]

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Tue, Mar 24, 2026 at 2:20 PM
To: Passero, Roger - OIG <Passero.Roger@oig.dol.gov>, cpdgdas@hud.gov, Choi, Chin Woo <ChinWoo.Choi@hud.gov>
Cc: AC-CONSTITUENT <constituent@auditor.lacounty.gov>, Gutierrez, Margarita (Schiff) <Margarita_Gutierrez@schiff.senate.gov>

Im sending this acknowledge OCI Case number 2026_23965 please confirm recipient as matter is new discoverd to my knowledge
[Quoted text hidden]

COCO_HIPAA <HIPAA@counsel.lacounty.gov> Tue, Mar 24, 2026 at 2:54 PM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>, LA County Fraud Hotline <fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov>, AC-CONSTITUENT <CONSTITUENT@auditor.lacounty.gov>, Lee, Steven <SLee2@auditor.lacounty.gov>, Campbell, Robert <rcampbell@auditor.lacounty.gov>, Wood, Thomas <TWood@auditor.lacounty.gov>

Good afternoon,

Based on the complaint you filed with the County of Los Angeles Office of the County Counsel in January of this year, a fraud investigation complaint was submitted to the Office of County Investigations. County Counsel does not conduct investigations; however, upon reviewing the documentation you submitted and the allegations contained therein, we determined that a referral should be made to the Office of County Investigations to conduct a fraud investigation.

For details on the progress of the investigation, including who is assigned to it, please contact the Office of County Investigations directly at fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov.
[Quoted text hidden]

Today, June 8, 2026, I called the Auditor-Controller / County Fraud contact line regarding the above references. I was transferred toward the County Fraud / OCI route, but the transfer disconnected before completion. Shortly afterward, I received a private-number call that immediately hung up. I then called the Auditor-Controller number back and the call again disconnected/hung up.

I am not alleging misconduct from the call event itself. I am documenting that I attempted to obtain routing/status information by phone, but no substantive status, closure, referral, or written routing determination was provided.

This follow-up concerns the same records-preservation and routing issue involving:

- County Fraud / OCI: 2025-23289 and 2026_23965
- CRCD / Project Tipping Point ID: 1233369910
- JCOD / CFCI / Amity: CFCI-PA3-056-2023
- CalVCB Application / Claim No.: A26-10253806
- LAPD Report No.: C259045687
- Corrected / Related LAPD Report No.: C269013241
- LAHSA Ref.: 2526KH-A
- HMIS ID: 8F8CD9D8B
- DOL OIG FOIA No.: 2026067
- Related unlawful-detainer matter: 26CMUD00417
- Related family-law matter: 25CMFL00694
- Property: 1551 3/4 E. 106th St., Los Angeles, CA 90002

Please confirm in writing:

1. Whether OCI references 2025-23289 and 2026_23965 remain open, closed, referred, transferred, or pending;

2. Whether either reference has been assigned to a specific investigator, unit, or routing contact;

3. Whether Thomas Woods / OCI is the correct investigator or contact for follow-up;

4. Whether the proper written contact remains fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov, mhuddleston@auditor.lacounty.gov, jeho@auditor.lacounty.gov, or another Auditor-Controller / OCI address;

5. Whether any closure letter, referral letter, no-jurisdiction letter, status note, or routing determination exists;

6. Whether County Auditor / OCI has preserved complaint notes, referral records, attachments, emails, call notes, routing notes, and closure/routing determinations connected to these references.

I am requesting routing/status clarification only. I am not asking the Auditor-Controller, County Fraud, or OCI to decide a court case, CalVCB eligibility, CRCD liability, landlord liability, HUD/HMIS merits, JCOD/CFCI merits, or family-law issues through this email.

Please do not include Social Security numbers, full bank-account numbers, taxpayer-identification numbers, full dates of birth, or other sensitive identifiers in an unsecured email response.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert David Haab
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
[Quoted text hidden]

LA County Fraud Hotline <fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov> Tue, Jun 9, 2026 at 7:56 AM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Greetings,

Thank you for contacting the Los Angeles County Fraud Hotline. Case 2025-23289 is closed. Case 2026-23965 is open. You may check the status of your reported cases at https://fraud.lacounty.gov/. Case investigators will contact you if they deem necessary. Reports and all other records related to Fraud Hotline investigations are confidential. You should contact the County Fraud Hotline at fraud@auditor.lacounty.gov for any future inquiries.

Thank you.

EXHIBIT E

LAPD OIG / Internal Affairs Evidence-Linkage Record

June 30, 2026 Police Commission OIG acknowledgment and submitted complaint summary.

Limited purpose: Preserves the distinction between closed LAPD criminal reports and the separate Internal Affairs personnel complaint, and documents the request for report linkage, evidence preservation, reopening review, and written disposition.

Source-control rule: original native records and agency/court files control over summaries, OCR text, and captions.

Fwd: Contact got a new submission

1 message

Community Relations OIG <communityrelationsoig@lacity.org> Tue, Jun 30, 2026 at 3:38 PM
To: Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Thank you for the additional information, Mr. Haab. Your message has been forwarded to staff in our Complaint Section. They will advise if there is any additional information we are able to share publicly.

For updates regarding your investigation, please continue to contact LAPD's Internal Affairs Division at 1-800-339-6868.

Thank you for contacting the Office of the Inspector General.

---------- Forwarded message ---------
From: **OIG** <notifications@wix-forms.com>
Date: Tue, Jun 30, 2026 at 3:18 PM
Subject: Contact got a new submission
To: The Voice <communityrelationsoig@lacity.org>

Can't see this message? View in browser

A site visitor just submitted your form Contact on oig-lapd

Submission summary:

Name:
Kyle Haab

Email:
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

Subject:
CF 26-001767 — Urgent Evidence Receipt, Report Linkage, and Reopening Review

Message:

Dear Office of the Inspector General:

Please associate this submission with LAPD Complaint Form No. 26-001767 and RMS Reports C259045687 and C269013241.

On June 30, 2026, Sergeant II Mark Wright of the Internal Affairs Division, Complaint Investigation Section–Central, sent me the official complaint receipt and complainant letter for CF 26-001767. His email confirms direct Internal Affairs handling of the complaint correspondence, although it does not state whether he is the assigned investigator or whether the complaint is formally linked to both RMS reports.

The nexus to OIG review is direct. LAHSA staff, after receiving leadership direction, instructed me to report the matter to law enforcement and recorded that it was "indeed fraud and a form of theft." I personally made the LAPD reports. The California Attorney General later stated that complaints alleging criminal activity should be referred to local law enforcement or the district attorney.

Captain Francis Boateng confirmed that both RMS reports were closed, reviewed separately, and were not submitted to the City Attorney or District Attorney. He also stated that Commercial Crimes Division could reopen the matter if later information from LAHSA, County, or City agencies warranted further criminal review.

I subsequently submitted additional LAHSA, County Counsel, OCI, JCOD/CFCI, City, CRCD, landlord-verification, lease, W-9, ACH, payment, consent, and source-record materials. I have not received written confirmation identifying which materials were received, opened successfully, preserved, associated with both reports, or evaluated under Captain Boateng's stated reopening condition.

The urgency arises because the responsibility and evidence chain is becoming fragmented among LAPD, LAHSA, County OCI, the Auditor-Controller, the Attorney General, and other agencies. OCI Case 2025-23289 was closed while OCI 2026-23965 remains open, and several offices have redirected the matter without confirming who reviewed the complete record.

Please confirm:

Whether CF 26-001767 remains open.

Whether Sergeant Wright is the assigned investigator.

Whether the complaint is formally linked to both RMS reports.

Which supplemental records were received and preserved.

Whether any attachments were inaccessible or not retained.

Whether Captain Boateng's reopening condition was applied.

Whether either RMS report was reopened, supplemented, or remains closed.

The secure method for submitting my indexed exhibit packet.
That I will receive a written disposition.
I am not requesting a predetermined criminal or disciplinary finding. I am requesting preservation and review of the evidence-intake, report-linkage, reopening, supervisory-review, and prosecutorial-routing record.
Respectfully,
Kyle Robert David Haab
(657) 557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

View Submissions

If you think this submission is suspicious, report it as spam.

This email was sent as a notification from this site.

--

Community Relations & Strategic Initiatives
Office of the Inspector General
Los Angeles Police Commission
350 S. Figueroa Street, Suite 1002
Los Angeles, CA 90071
(213) 893-6400
communityrelationsoig@lacity.org
www.oig.lacity.org

EXHIBIT F

CWDB and ETA Workforce-Routing Record

CWDB response to May 22 and June 10 requests; ETA Region 6 routing inquiry.

Limited purpose: Shows CWDB's no-record position for participant-level records, local LWDA/operator custody, WSD18-05 grievance guidance, EDD Compliance Review Office as the state-level route, and the unresolved federal ETA/WIOA routing question.

CWDB Response to Public Record Requests Received on 5/22/26 & 6/10/26

1 message

CWDB Legal <Legal@cwdb.ca.gov> Fri, Jun 12, 2026 at 10:38 AM
To: kyle.dietz99@gmail.com <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Kyle Robert Haab

kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

Hi Kyle,

On May 22, 2026, the California Workforce Development Board ("CWDB") received the following public record request:

"Dear California Workforce Development Board Public Records / Legal Unit,

I am submitting this California Public Records Act request and custodian-clarification request regarding Coalition for Responsible Community Development (CRCD), Project Tipping Point (PTP), Vernon-Central/LATTC WorkSource Center, City of Los Angeles LWDA / EWDD routing, and related workforce-development complaint records.

Date range requested: January 1, 2023 to present.

County DEO confirmed in writing that CRCD / Vernon-Central-LATTC WorkSource is not under Los Angeles County LWDA jurisdiction and falls under the City of Los Angeles LWDA. I later requested written routing clarification because City/EWDD-related responses have directed me back to CRCD / Latea Davis, while CRCD is the disputed provider whose records, consent authority, grievance process, and Project Tipping Point conduct are at issue. County DEO did not provide a specific independent City referral number, custodian determination, or grievance determination. It directed me to CRCD contact information and general EWDD Equal Opportunity Compliance Officer information.

DOL OIG FOIA No. 2026067 is also relevant. On May 1, 2026, DOL OIG stated in writing that it has "an open law enforcement investigation involving the records" I requested and withheld the records in full under FOIA Exemption 7(A), which applies where disclosure could interfere with law-enforcement proceedings or pending investigations. I am not asking CWDB to interfere with DOL OIG. I am asking CWDB to preserve and identify the City LWDA / EWDD / CRCD / WorkSource records lane while overlapping federal law-enforcement processing remains open.

I am also attaching a limited source-record packet showing why the workforce records lane matters. That packet requests the missing 2023 consent / ROI / authorization source record and includes selected exhibits showing: CRCD's assertion that a 2023 CRCD program sign-up supplied the basis for later communication; HMIS/Ruth's Place records; housing-assistance workflow forms; downstream ACH, W-9, landlord-verification, and lease records; a CRCD landlord email referencing a "couple with a young kid"; and PTP application material reflecting child/family-status information. This is provided only to explain the record-custody issue, not to ask CWDB to decide family-law, criminal, housing-code, HMIS, or civil-liability merits.

I am not asking CWDB to decide housing, family-law, criminal, HMIS, CFCI, or civil-liability issues. I am asking CWDB to search for records and identify the correct state-supervised workforce-development route when County DEO says "City LWDA," City/EWDD redirects to CRCD, and CRCD is the provider being complained about.

Relevant identifiers:

- Coalition for Responsible Community Development / CRCD

- Project Tipping Point / PTP

- Vernon-Central/LATTC WorkSource Center

- City of Los Angeles LWDA / EWDD

- Richard Cheng / richard.cheng@lacity.org

- Latea Davis / CRCD

- PTP participant ID: 1233369910

- CFCI-PA3-056-2023

- Amity Foundation

- LACCD / LATTC Student ID: 900855235

- County Fraud / OCI: 2025-23289 and 2026-23965

- HMIS ID: 8F8CD9D8B

- LAHSA Ref.: 2526KH-A

- DOL OIG FOIA No. 2026067

- Federal case: CV26-802-UA / 2:26-cv-00802-JFW-AS

Please produce records sufficient to show:

1. Whether CWDB has records identifying CRCD as a workforce-development provider, subrecipient, contractor, AJCC partner, WorkSource partner, or City LWDA-related entity;

2. Whether CWDB has records involving CRCD / Project Tipping Point, Vernon-Central/LATTC WorkSource, education/workforce services, student navigation, college persistence, supportive services, or rental assistance tied to education/workforce participation;

3. Whether CWDB has records identifying the proper City LWDA / EWDD custodian for complaints involving CRCD / Project Tipping Point when the complaint is against CRCD itself;

4. Whether a City LWDA / EWDD contact may redirect a participant-records, grievance, EO/ADA, WIOA/AJCC, or records-access issue back to CRCD without providing an independent grievance route, custodian determination, or written records path;

5. Whether CWDB has policies, guidance, monitoring records, corrective-action records, complaint procedures, referral procedures, or oversight records governing participant records, consent, privacy, supportive services, education/workforce documentation, and subrecipient/vendor records for Local Workforce Development Areas;

6. Whether CWDB has records involving CRCD, Project Tipping Point, LACCD/LATTC, Amity Foundation, CFCI-PA3-056-2023, or education/workforce-related rental assistance.

If CWDB does not maintain responsive records, please identify the proper custodian, including whether the request should be directed to City of Los Angeles LWDA, EWDD, CRCD, LACCD/LATTC, DOL, or another workforce-development entity.

Please preserve this as a routing and records-custody issue because County DEO confirmed City LWDA jurisdiction, but the City/EWDD/CRCD route has not produced an independent written custodian, grievance, EO/ADA, WIOA/AJCC, or records-access determination.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert Haab

1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4

Los Angeles, CA 90002

657-557-4459

kyle.dietz99@gmail.com"

On June 10, 2026, CWDB received the following supplemental request:

"Dear CWDB Records / Legal Unit,

I am submitting this supplemental routing follow-up regarding my pending May 22, 2026 CPRA request concerning CRCD / Project Tipping Point, Vernon-Central / LATTC WorkSource, City LWDA / EWDD routing, WIOA/AJCC/One-Stop records, supportive services, rental assistance, participant-record access, consent/ROI, grievance, EO/ADA, and records-custody issues.

CWDB Legal previously acknowledged receipt of my request and invoked a statutory extension, stating that CWDB would respond on or before June 15, 2026.

I am supplementing the record because CareerOneStop has now responded that each state has its own workforce system and that, in California, the relevant state system is LWDA. I am therefore asking CWDB/LWDA to preserve and clarify the proper California workforce-system routing path rather than redirecting the matter back to CRCD, because CRCD is the disputed provider whose records, consent authority, grievance process, and Project Tipping Point conduct are at issue.

This supplemental follow-up concerns the same identifiers previously provided:

- CRCD / Coalition for Responsible Community Development

- Project Tipping Point / PTP 1233369910

- Vernon-Central / LATTC WorkSource Center

- City of Los Angeles LWDA / EWDD

- WIOA / AJCC / One-Stop / WorkSource records

- LACCD / LATTC

- Amity Foundation

- CFCI-PA3-056-2023

- HMIS / Clarity ID 8F8CD9D8B

- LAHSA Ref. 2526KH-A

- County Fraud / OCI 2025-23289 and 2026-23965

- DOL OIG FOIA No. 2026067

- Related education, supportive-service, rental-assistance, consent, ROI, grievance, ADA/EO, and records-access issues

I am not asking CWDB to interfere with DOL OIG, to decide any criminal issue, or to decide housing, family-law, HMIS, or civil-liability merits. I am asking CWDB to identify and preserve the proper state-supervised workforce-development records lane when CareerOneStop has pointed the matter to California LWDA, County DEO previously pointed to City LWDA, City/EWDD-related routing has directed me back to CRCD, and CRCD is the provider whose records and grievance process are disputed.

Please confirm:

1. Whether CWDB/LWDA is the correct state route for this WIOA/AJCC/WorkSource/EWDD/CRCD/PTP records-custody issue;

2. Whether CWDB will include the CareerOneStop LWDA routing response in its pending response to my May 22, 2026 CPRA request;

3. Whether the proper custodian is CWDB, LWDA, City of Los Angeles EWDD / City LWDA, CRCD, LACCD/LATTC, or another workforce-system entity;

4. Whether a participant-records, grievance, EO/ADA, WIOA/AJCC, or records-access issue may be routed back only to CRCD when CRCD is the disputed provider;

5. What independent grievance, appeal, ADA/EO, participant-record, and records-request process applies to CRCD / Project Tipping Point / Vernon-Central-LATTC WorkSource records;

6. Whether CWDB/LWDA will preserve all routing notes, interagency consultation records, referral records, emails, and custodian-determination records connected to this request.

Please preserve this email as a supplement to the pending CPRA request and include it in any final response or custodian-routing determination.

Respectfully,

Kyle Robert David Haab

1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4

Los Angeles, CA 90002

657-557-4459

kyle.dietz99@gmail.com"

Thank you for your public records requests received by the California Workforce Development Board on May 22, 2026 and June 10, 2026, and for your patience while we completed our review. This letter responds to both submissions.

Scope of This Response

The California Public Records Act requires CWDB to search for and produce existing records that are responsive to a request that reasonably describes identifiable documents in CWDB's possession. The Act does not require CWDB to answer questions, make jurisdictional or legal determinations, or produce records held by other agencies or organizations. Several aspects of your requests, including questions about which agency is the correct custodian, whether a participant may be redirected to a disputed provider, and what independent grievance routes apply to specific providers, ask CWDB to make determinations of that kind rather than to produce records. CWDB is not able to respond to those aspects of your requests under the Act, but we have done our best below to provide practical assistance where we can.

Search Conducted

Upon receipt of your requests, CWDB conducted a diligent search of its records systems, files, and staff most likely to possess records responsive to the subjects you identified. That search encompassed records related to the Coalition for Responsible Community Development (CRCD), Project Tipping Point (PTP), the Vernon-Central/LATTC WorkSource Center, the City of Los Angeles Local Workforce Development Area (LWDA), the Employment and Economic Development Department (EWDD), and the other entities, individuals, and case identifiers listed in your requests.

Requests 1, 2, 3, 4, and 6 — Entity-Specific and Participant-Level Records

CWDB's search found no records in its possession responsive to your requests for records identifying or involving CRCD, Project Tipping Point, the Vernon-Central/LATTC WorkSource Center, LACCD/LATTC, Amity Foundation, CFCI-PA3-056-2023, or any of the other specific entities, individuals, or case identifiers listed in your requests, including PTP participant ID 1233369910, HMIS ID 8F8CD9D8B, LAHSA Ref. 2526KH-A, and County OCI case numbers 2025-23289 and 2026-23965.

CWDB does not possess or maintain participant-level records, consent or authorization records, grievance files, rental assistance records, or operational records for Local Workforce Development Areas, WorkSource Centers, or their subrecipients and contractors. Records of that nature are held at the local level by the relevant LWDA and its operators, not by CWDB.

Request 5 — CWDB Policies, Guidance, and Oversight Records

CWDB's search identified responsive records in this category. CWDB co-develops and issues policy guidance documents called Workforce Services Directives, which convey legal expectations and procedural requirements to Local Workforce Development Boards governing the administration of programs under the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA). These directives constitute CWDB's primary policy and guidance records governing Local Workforce Development Areas and their subrecipients and partners.

The directive most directly relevant to the concerns you have described is Workforce Services Directive WSD18-05, WIOA Grievance and Complaint Resolution Procedures (September 4, 2018), a copy of which is attached to this letter as a PDF. WSD18-05 establishes the procedures that all WIOA Title I recipients and subrecipients, including local area operators and their subrecipients such as WorkSource Center operators, must follow in receiving, hearing, and resolving grievances and complaints from participants and other interested parties alleging violations of WIOA Title I requirements. Among other things, it sets out participant rights, local-level hearing requirements, timelines for decisions, and the process for appealing a local-level decision to the state.

The complete library of publicly available Workforce Services Directives, which includes additional policy and guidance documents governing a range of WIOA program areas, is available at the following link: Workforce Services Directives. Those directives represent the full body of CWDB's publicly available policy and guidance records responsive to Request 5.

Please note that compliance monitoring of Local Workforce Development Boards, including oversight of their subrecipients, is administered by the California Employment Development Department (EDD), not by CWDB. Records related to monitoring, corrective action, or compliance determinations involving specific Local Boards or their operators would be held by EDD, not CWDB, and CWDB's search did not locate any such records in its own possession.

Custodian Identification and Practical Assistance

While CWDB is not in a position to make a binding jurisdictional determination on your behalf, we can offer the following practical guidance to assist you in identifying the appropriate records path.

As described in the attached WSD18-05, complaints and grievances by WIOA Title I participants alleging violations by a local area operator or its subrecipients are to be filed at the local level in the first instance. If a participant is dissatisfied with the outcome of the local-level process, or if an appeal or independent state-level review is sought, appeals and requests for state review are submitted to EDD's Compliance Review Office at the following address:

Chief, Compliance Review Office, MIC 22-M

Employment Development Department

P.O. Box 826880

Sacramento, CA 94280-0001

The Compliance Review Office is the appropriate state-level contact for grievance and complaint matters of the type you have described, including situations where a participant has a concern about a WorkSource Center operator and is seeking an independent review outside of that operator's own process.

For records held at the City of Los Angeles LWDA level, the appropriate contact is the City of Los Angeles Economic and Workforce Development Department (EWDD), which administers the City LWDA.

Supplemental Request — June 10, 2026

CWDB has treated your June 10, 2026 supplemental communication as part of the same records request, and this response addresses both submissions together. The search described above encompassed the subjects identified in your supplemental request. To the extent the supplemental request asks CWDB to confirm routing determinations made by CareerOneStop or other agencies, or to make independent jurisdictional findings, those requests fall outside the scope of what the Act requires CWDB to provide, as noted above. CWDB has no additional records responsive to the supplemental request beyond what is addressed in this letter.

Record Preservation

CWDB will retain its own records in accordance with applicable California law and state records retention requirements. CWDB notes that you have referenced an open federal law enforcement investigation (DOL OIG FOIA No. 2026067) and a pending federal civil matter (2:26-cv-00802-JFW-AS), and CWDB will take appropriate steps consistent with its legal obligations with respect to any records in its possession potentially relevant to those matters.

If you have any questions about this response, you can contact CWDB Legal at Legal@cwdb.ca.gov.

---

wsd18-05.pdf
258 KB

SOURCE EXHIBIT APPENDIX

Appendix 3: ETA Region 6 routing clarification

Source: Gmail - ETA Region 6 Routing Clarification — WIOA_AJCC, CRCD_PTP, City LWDA_EWDD, DOL OIG 2026067(1).PDF

Purpose: Preserves DOL/ETA/WIOA routing question.

The original document pages follow. If any source page is unclear, request the original native/source record from the custodian.

ETA Region 6 Routing Clarification — WIOA/AJCC, CRCD/PTP, City LWDA/EWDD, DOL OIG 2026067

2 messages

Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com> Sat, Jun 6, 2026 at 10:16 AM
To: RO6-RA-SF@dol.gov, Coen, Michael - OIG <Coen.Michael@oig.dol.gov>, Passero, Roger - OIG <Passero.Roger@oig.dol.gov>, mason.kia@dol.gov, legal@cwdb.ca.gov, Contract Compliance <compliance@opportunity.lacounty.gov>

Dear ETA Region 6,

I am requesting routing clarification only regarding WIOA/AJCC and City LWDA/EWDD records connected to CRCD / Project Tipping Point, the Vernon-Central/LATTC WorkSource Center AJCC, CalJOBS, and related workforce-supportive-service records.

Relevant identifiers include:

CRCD / Project Tipping Point ID: 1233369910
CFCI-PA3-056-2023
City CPRA Request: 25-18989
CalJOBS Application ID: 22707388
CalJOBS Case Note ID: 33836577
DOL OIG FOIA: 2026067
County Fraud/OCI: 2025-23289 and 2026_23965
LATTC / OpenCCC Confirmation: NC-31050281
SPPO / FERPA: 26-0253
ED OIG: C26IOU06179

The issue is not a request for ETA Region 6 to decide housing, family-law, SSA, HUD, DHCS, criminal, or landlord merits. I am asking which ETA/WIOA/AJCC/LWDA office is responsible for records custody, source authorization, correction routing, grievance routing, and preservation for the workforce-development portion.

The records appear to involve multiple workforce lanes: City of Los Angeles LWDA/EWDD, CRCD / Vernon-Central-LATTC WorkSource Center, CRCD / Project Tipping Point, LATTC-related education/workforce records, CalJOBS, and later WIOA/AJCC service records. I need clarification whether this should be handled by ETA Region 6, City LWDA/EWDD, California Workforce Development Board, DOL OIG, the local AJCC operator, or another designated WIOA records/grievance office.

Please confirm the correct office, contact, and process for submitting a narrow records-custody/source-authorization inquiry regarding WIOA/AJCC records and any related participant-record correction or grievance route.

Respectfully,
Kyle Robert Haab
657-557-4459
kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

DOL_OIG_Supplemental_Preservation_Routing_Packet_Haab_June6_2026.pdf
21.7 MB

Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com> Sat, Jun 6, 2026 at 10:16 AM
To: kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

Message not delivered

There was a problem delivering your message to **legal@cwdb.ca.gov**. See the technical details below, or try resending in a few minutes.

The response from the remote server was:

```
554 mx0b-004f0801.pphosted.com ESMTP not accepting messages
```

EXHIBIT G

Los Angeles Superior Court E-Filing Confirmations

July 1 and July 2, 2026 accepted filings in Case No. 26CMUD00417.

Limited purpose: Shows acceptance of the Fifth Supplemental Declaration concerning JCOD/Amity/CRCD source records and the Consolidated Supplemental Trial Brief requesting evidentiary, privacy, and preservation protections.

Source-control rule: original native records and agency/court files control over summaries, OCR text, and captions.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES **Branch Name:** Compton Courthouse **Mailing Address:** 200 West Compton Blvd, Rm 902 **City, State and Zip Code:** Compton CA 90220	
SHORT TITLE: CHRISTOPHER CERVANTES vs KYLE ROBERT DAVID HAAB, et al. **NOTICE OF CONFIRMATION OF ELECTRONIC FILING**	**CASE NUMBER:** 26CMUD00417

The Electronic Filing described by the below summary data was reviewed and accepted by the Superior Court of California, County of LOS ANGELES. In order to process the filing, the fee shown was assessed.

Electronic Filing Summary Data

Electronically Submitted By: Green Filing
Reference Number: 14768713_1
Submission Number: 26LA01412519
Court Received Date: 07/01/2026
Court Received Time: 2:36 pm
Case Number: 26CMUD00417
Case Title: CHRISTOPHER CERVANTES vs KYLE ROBERT DAVID HAAB, et al.
Location: Compton Courthouse
Case Type: Civil Limited
Case Category: Unlawful Detainer/Residential (not drugs or wrongful eviction)
Jurisdictional Amount: Over $12,500 and up to $35,000
Notice Generated Date: 07/06/2026
Notice Generated Time: 4:02 pm

Documents Electronically Filed/Received	**Status**
Supplemental Declaration (name extension)	ACCEPTED

Comments

Submitter's Comments: Self-represented Defendant files Fifth Supplemental Declaration re pending JCOD PRA Request No. 3 and material rental-assistance, payment, consent, Amity, and CFCI source records, with Exhibits AS-AU.

Clerk's Comments:

Electronic Filing Service Provider Information

Service Provider: Green Filing
Contact: Green Filing
Phone: (801) 448-7268

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES **Branch Name:** Compton Courthouse **Mailing Address:** 200 West Compton Blvd, Rm 902 **City, State and Zip Code:** Compton CA 90220	
SHORT TITLE: CHRISTOPHER CERVANTES vs KYLE ROBERT DAVID HAAB, et al. **NOTICE OF CONFIRMATION OF ELECTRONIC FILING**	**CASE NUMBER:** 26CMUD00417

The Electronic Filing described by the below summary data was reviewed and accepted by the Superior Court of California, County of LOS ANGELES. In order to process the filing, the fee shown was assessed.

Electronic Filing Summary Data

Electronically Submitted By: Green Filing
Reference Number: 14780747_1
Submission Number: 26LA01427698
Court Received Date: 07/02/2026
Court Received Time: 5:33 pm
Case Number: 26CMUD00417
Case Title: CHRISTOPHER CERVANTES vs KYLE ROBERT DAVID HAAB, et al.
Location: Compton Courthouse
Case Type: Civil Limited
Case Category: Unlawful Detainer/Residential (not drugs or wrongful eviction)
Jurisdictional Amount: Over $12,500 and up to $35,000
Notice Generated Date: 07/06/2026
Notice Generated Time: 4:02 pm

Documents Electronically Filed/Received	**Status**
Declaration (name extension)	ACCEPTED

Comments

Submitter's Comments: Filed by self-represented Defendant Kyle Robert David Haab. Public-redacted Consolidated Supplemental Trial Brief, Declaration, Request for Limited Evidentiary, Privacy, and Preservation Protections, Source-Record Roadmap, and Exhibits A-K.

Clerk's Comments:

Electronic Filing Service Provider Information

Service Provider: Green Filing
Contact: Green Filing
Phone: (801) 448-7268

EXHIBIT H

Current Court-Docket Impact Extracts

Eviction and family-law case-access pages captured July 2026.

Limited purpose: Shows the pending unlawful-detainer jury-trial setting and the family-law custody/visitation hearing and July 6 ex parte filing. These docket extracts provide urgency and impact context only; they do not ask DOL to adjudicate either court matter.

Source-control rule: original native records and agency/court files control over summaries, OCR text, and captions.

Language Access
English

Case Information | Register Of Actions | FUTURE HEARINGS | PARTY INFORMATION | Documents Filed | Past Proceedings | Document Images

CASE INFORMATION:	26CMUD00417
Case Title:	CHRISTOPHER CERVANTES VS KYLE ROBERT DAVID HAAB, ET AL.
Filing Courthouse:	Compton Courthouse
Filing Date:	3/25/2026
Case Type:	Unlawful Detainer/Residential (not drugs or wrongful eviction) (General Jurisdiction)
Status:	Pending

Print | New Search

FUTURE HEARINGS

Case Information | Register Of Actions | FUTURE HEARINGS | PARTY INFORMATION | Documents Filed | Past Proceedings | Document Images

7/7/2026 0830 Department B 200 West Compton Blvd., Compton, CA 90220 Jury Trial

PARTY INFORMATION

Case Information | Register Of Actions | FUTURE HEARINGS | PARTY INFORMATION | Documents Filed | Past Proceedings | Document Images

CERVANTES CHRISTOPHER	Plaintiff
HAAB KYLE ROBERT DAVID	Defendant
IZARRARAZ CRYSTAL LYNN	Defendant
MOSSAZADEH ARIEL H.	Attorney for Plaintiff

DOCUMENTS FILED

Case Information | Register Of Actions | FUTURE HEARINGS | PARTY INFORMATION | Documents Filed | Past Proceedings | Document Images

7/1/2026	Certificate of Mailing for (Hearing on Motion for Summary Judgment) of 07/01/2026	Filed by Clerk
7/1/2026	Minute Order (Hearing on Motion for Summary Judgment)	Filed by Clerk
7/1/2026	Trial Brief	Filed by KYLE ROBERT DAVID HAAB (Defendant)
7/1/2026	Trial Brief	Filed by KYLE ROBERT DAVID HAAB (Defendant)

Language Access
English

Case Information | Register Of Actions | FUTURE HEARINGS | PARTY INFORMATION | Documents Filed | Past Proceedings

CASE INFORMATION:	25CMFL00694
Case Title:	HAAB, KYLE ROBERT VS LOPEZ, PATTY
Filing Courthouse:	Compton Courthouse
Filing Date:	12/31/2025
Case Type:	Other Family Law (General Jurisdiction)
Status:	Statistical Disposition on 12/31/2025

Click here to access document images for this case.

If this link fails, you may go to the Case Document Images site and search using the case number displayed on this page

Print | New Search

FUTURE HEARINGS

Case Information | Register Of Actions | FUTURE HEARINGS | PARTY INFORMATION | Documents Filed | Past Proceedings

7/29/2026	8:30	Department N	200 West Compton Blvd., Compton, CA 90220	Motion Hearing
7/29/2026	8:30	Department N	200 West Compton Blvd., Compton, CA 90220	RFOMOD - Custody, Visitation

PARTY INFORMATION

Case Information | Register Of Actions | FUTURE HEARINGS | PARTY INFORMATION | Documents Filed | Past Proceedings

COURT OF APPEAL	Other
HAAB KYLE ROBERT	Petitioner
IZARRARAZ CRYSTAL LYNN	Claimant
LOPEZ PATTY	Respondent
WALLER SAMANTHA KATHLEEN	Minor's Counsel for Child

DOCUMENTS FILED

Case Information | Register Of Actions | FUTURE HEARINGS | PARTY INFORMATION | Documents Filed | Past Proceedings

7/6/2026	Memorandum - Points and Authorities Declaration - Request for (Ex Parte) Orders FL-303	Filed by Petitioner

DOL / ETA WORKFORCE - HOUSING - UNLAWFUL DETAINER - CHILDREN NEXUS

DOL OIG Complaint C26170000583 | FOIA 2026067 | CRCD / Project Tipping Point | WIOA / AJCC | CWDB - EDD - EWDD - LWDA | LAPD C259045687 | Unlawful-Detainer Matter 26CMUD00417 | Family Matter 25CMFL00694 | Federal Case 2:26-cv-00802-FMO-AS

Purpose. This supplement explains why the alleged workforce-program representations and participant-record activity were not isolated administrative issues. The same CRCD / Project Tipping Point relationship allegedly included housing representations, participant documentation, rent-assistance activity, and service coordination. The resulting housing instability and unlawful-detainer consequences are alleged to have affected the parents' ability to maintain stable housing and pursue reunification with their children. This packet does not ask ETA to decide the eviction or custody matters. It asks ETA to preserve and review the workforce-program records that may show what was represented, recorded, authorized, funded, referred, and relied upon.

I. Controlled nexus

Lane	Record-based connection to ETA / workforce review
Workforce enrollment and identity records	The OIG complaint alleges that identity, education, financial, and participant documents supplied for Project Tipping Point were later used in program, payment, housing, and reporting records without valid authorization.
Housing representations	Project Tipping Point was presented as including housing or rental-assistance support. The requested records include participant intake, eligibility, case notes, referrals, supportive-service approvals, vendor or landlord records, and payment authorizations.
Unlawful-detainer consequences	The later unlawful-detainer matter is relevant as alleged downstream harm from the failure or termination of the represented housing pathway. ETA is not asked to alter the judgment; it is asked to identify whether workforce-program records document the housing representations, approvals, payments, denials, grievances, or referrals.
Children / reunification consequences	The parents allege that California housing and service representations affected interstate-placement and reunification decisions during an active Return-to-Parent plan. Stable housing later became material to family stability and contact with the children.
Causation limitation	The workforce records may establish representations, timing, participant status, referral chains, and reliance context. They do not by themselves prove that a particular eviction or custody outcome was legally caused by DOL, ETA, or any workforce agency.
Preservation need	Native intake records, case notes, referral records, grant files, participant records, supportive-service requests, landlord/vendor records, payment records, grievance records, and audit logs may be distributed across ETA, CWDB, EDD, EWDD, the LWDA, AJCC operators, CRCD, and partner agencies.

II. Records requested for the housing and children nexus

1. All CRCD / Project Tipping Point intake, enrollment, eligibility, assessment, case-management, referral, supportive-service, housing-navigation, rental-assistance, landlord, vendor, and payment records associated with participant ID 1233369910 or Kyle Haab.

2. All WIOA/AJCC, grant, subaward, contract, monitoring, corrective-action, grievance, complaint, and participant-data records showing whether housing or rental assistance was an allowable, approved, denied, referred, or reported service.

3. All records identifying the source, amount, authorization, payee, approval chain, and reporting treatment of any rent, deposit, landlord, ACH, W-9, or housing-support transaction associated with the participant file.

4. All communications among ETA, CWDB, EDD, EWDD, the applicable LWDA, AJCC operators, CRCD, Amity, JCOD, LAHSA, housing providers, landlords, and other partners concerning the participant's housing or supportive services.

5. All records reflecting notice that the participant had children, reunification obligations, interstate-placement issues, family-court requirements, or a need for housing stability connected to family reunification.

6. All records concerning complaints, grievances, corrections, disputed consent, identity misuse, participant-record accuracy, or requests to preserve records after the housing arrangement failed or the unlawful-detainer case arose.

7. All records showing whether the matter was referred to legal aid, housing agencies, child-welfare agencies, law enforcement, OCI, HUD, or another oversight body.

III. Why the unlawful-detainer record is relevant but limited

The unlawful-detainer matter is relevant as evidence of the alleged housing consequence and the timing of harm. It may help identify whether promised or reported supportive services failed, ended, were misclassified, or were never authorized. However, ETA is not being asked to review the merits of the state-court eviction judgment, provide appellate relief, or determine possession. The requested federal workforce review is limited to program representations, participant records, grant administration, referrals, payments, monitoring, grievance procedures, and preservation.

IV. Why the children / reunification record is relevant but limited

The family-record nexus is relevant because the parents allege that California housing and service representations were material to their support for an interstate placement and relocation while Return to Parent remained the operative goal. The later loss of housing allegedly affected stability, visitation, transportation, and reunification conditions. ETA is not being asked to decide custody, modify a permanency plan, or review attorney conduct. The requested review is limited to whether workforce-program records document representations, known family needs, service plans, referrals, consent, and housing-related actions that were relied upon.

V. Exhibit map

Exhibit	Document	Purpose
A	DOL-ETA-CWDB-EDD-EWDD-LWDA Nexus Packet	Shows the OIG-to-ETA referral, FOIA 2026067, 202 located pages, 196-page ETA referral, complaint C26170000583, and workforce-system identifiers.
B	ICPC-CRCD Reliance and Causation Nexus Addendum	Shows the Return-to-Parent timeline, California ICPC sequence, CRCD/PTP outreach, housing-reliance theory, Bayberry baseline, and the limited distinction between reunification-oriented consent and permanent custody consent.
C	Unlawful-detainer and family-case identifiers	Identifies 26CMUD00417 and 25CMFL00694 for cross-reference and preservation; the underlying court records remain controlling and should be supplied separately if requested.

Source-control notice: Original DOL, ETA, state and local workforce, housing, unlawful-detainer, family-court, ICPC, agency, and native electronic records control over this synthesis.

DOL - ETA - CWDB - EDD - EWDD - LWDA NEXUS PACKET

DOL OIG Complaint C26170000583 | DOL OIG FOIA 2026067 | FOIA Request ID 2834736 | Confirmation ID 2838146 | CRCD / Project Tipping Point | WIOA / AJCC | LAPD C259045687 | OCI 2025-23289 / 2026-23965 | Federal Case 2:26-cv-00802-FMO-AS

Purpose. This packet documents the referral and record-custody nexus connecting the U.S. Department of Labor Office of Inspector General, the Employment and Training Administration, and the federal-state-local workforce system involving CWDB, EDD, the City of Los Angeles EWDD, the applicable LWDA, and AJCC infrastructure. It is submitted for routing, preservation, status confirmation, and identification of the office responsible for the 196 pages referred by DOL OIG to ETA.

I. Core documented nexus

Record	Documented significance
DOL OIG Complaint C26170000583	DOL OIG received the complaint, reviewed it through its Western Region, determined OIG action was not warranted at that time, and referred the matter to ETA for evaluation and any appropriate action.
DOL OIG FOIA 2026067	The FOIA request was reopened on July 10, 2026. DOL OIG located 202 responsive pages and referred 196 pages to ETA because those records did not originate with OIG and were outside OIG's release authority.
FOIA Request ID 2834736 / Confirmation ID 2838146	Portal identifiers for the April 3, 2026 request seeking intake, routing, assignment, referral, cross-reference, and disposition records.
Workforce-system nexus	The complaint concerns alleged misuse of participant identity and records in connection with CRCD, Project Tipping Point, WIOA/AJCC infrastructure, workforce enrollment, program reporting, and related grant administration.
State and local chain	The relevant administrative chain may include ETA, CWDB, EDD, City of Los Angeles EWDD, the applicable LWDA, and the Vernon-Central/LATTC WorkSource Center AJCC.
Cross-agency identifiers	The complaint and FOIA request cross-reference LAPD C259045687, OCI 2025-23289, OCI 2026-23965, and related identity, payment, participant, and program records.

II. Requested action

1. Confirm receipt of the 196 pages referred by DOL OIG to ETA and identify the date ETA received them.

2. Identify the ETA office, division, grant-management unit, regional office, FOIA component, or staff member presently responsible for review and direct response.

3. Confirm whether Complaint C26170000583 remains pending, was closed, was routed to another office, or was referred to CWDB, EDD, EWDD, the applicable LWDA, an AJCC operator, or another recipient.

4. Identify any grant, subaward, contract, WIOA/AJCC, participant, monitoring, audit, grievance, eligibility, or program-reporting records concerning CRCD or Project Tipping Point.

5. Preserve all native emails, referral memoranda, attachments, participant records, grant files, monitoring records, audit materials, case notes, routing logs, metadata, and communications with state or local workforce entities.

6. Confirm whether ETA or another workforce entity cross-referenced LAPD C259045687, OCI 2025-23289, OCI 2026-23965, or other related identifiers.

7. Provide the current status, remaining review steps, and anticipated response date for both the complaint referral and the 196-page FOIA referral.

III. Jurisdictional distinction

The request does not assume that every entity in the workforce chain is responsible for the underlying conduct. It asks each entity to identify its actual role, funding relationship, grant or subaward custody, participant-record custody, monitoring authority, referral history, and preservation obligations. If ETA is not the final custodian, the matter should be routed to the proper federal, state, or local workforce office with written notice to the requester.

IV. Exhibit index

Exhibit	Document	Purpose
A	2026067-F_Haab Final Response 2.pdf	Shows FOIA 2026067 was reopened; 202 pages were found; 196 pages were referred to ETA for direct response.

Exhibit	Document	Purpose
B	2026067-F_Haab Release Records.pdf	Shows Complaint C26170000583, the OIG-to-ETA referral memorandum, the complaint allegations, and LAPD report C259045687.
C	2026067-F_Haab FOIA Request (2).pdf	Shows Request ID 2834736, Confirmation ID 2838146, requested routing records, and cross-reference identifiers.

Source-control notice: The original DOL OIG, ETA, FOIA, LAPD, state workforce, local workforce, and program records control over this synthesis.

U.S. Department of Labor

Office of Inspector General
Washington D.C. 20210

July 10, 2026

Kyle Haab
1551 E 106th Street Unit ¾
Los Angeles, CA 90002

Re: Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), 5 U.S.C. §552
Request No. 2026067

Dear Mr. Haab:

This is in further response to your April 3, 2026, Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request, a copy of which is enclosed for your convenience. Your request was received in this office on April 3, 2026, and closed May 1, 2026. Your request was reopened on July 10, 2026, and assigned its original FOIA case number, 2026067. We ask that you use this number when referencing your request.

The policy of the Inspector General is to make, to the extent possible, full disclosure of our identifiable records in accordance with the provisions of the Freedom of Information Act. I am responding on behalf of the Office of Inspector General (OIG).

A thorough search was conducted for records responsive to your request and 202 pages were located. Portions of information in the enclosed pages have been redacted for the reasons set forth below:

Exemption (b)(6) authorizes the withholding of names and details of personal information in personnel, medical and similar files, which, if disclosed to the public, would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

Exemption (b)(7)(C) of the FOIA authorizes the withholding of identities of names of complainants, witnesses, law enforcement personnel and other individuals whose names appear in investigative files, which, if disclosed to the public, could reasonably be expected to constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

Upon further review, it has been determined that 196 pages were not originated with the Department of Labor Office of Inspector General (DOL OIG) and therefore do not fall under DOL OIG's release authority.

These pages have been referred to the Department of Labor Employment and Training Administration (DOL ETA) for review and direct response to you. The following is contact information for DOL ETA:

Office of the Solicitor
Division of Management and Administrative Legal Services
200 Constitution Ave NW
Room N-2420
Washington, DC 20210
foiarequests@dol.gov

Please note, we have considered the foreseeable harm standard when reviewing records and applying exemptions under the FOIA in the processing of this request.

Should you wish to discuss this response to your request, feel free to contact this office at FOIA.PrivacyAct@oig.dol.gov or the DOL FOIA Public Liaison at dolpublicliaison@dol.gov. Additionally, you may contact the Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) at the National Archives and Records Administration to inquire about the FOIA mediation services they offer. The contact information for OGIS is as follows: Office of Government Information Services, National Archives and Records Administration, 8601 Adelphi Road-OGIS, College Park, Maryland 20740-6001; e-mail at ogis@nara.gov; telephone 202-741-5770; toll free at 1-877-684-6448; or facsimile at 202-741-5769.

If you are not satisfied with the response to this request, you have the right to administratively appeal this decision within 90 days from the date of this letter. Should you decide to do this, your appeal must state, in writing, the grounds for appeal, together with any statement or arguments. Such an appeal should be addressed and directed to the Solicitor of Labor, citing OIG FOIA No. 2026067, Room N-2428, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210 or emailed to foiaappeals@dol.gov. Please refer to the Department of Labor regulations at 29 CFR 70.22 for further details on your appeal rights.

For your information, Congress excluded three discrete categories of law enforcement and national security records from the requirements of the FOIA. *See* 5 U.S.C. 552(c). This response is limited to those records that are subject to the requirements of the FOIA. This is a standard notification that is given to all our requesters and should not be taken as an indication that excluded records do, or do not, exist.

Finally, this office appreciates your patience in this matter. If you have any questions concerning this letter, feel free to contact this office at FOIA.PrivacyAct@oig.dol.gov. Please refer to FOIA request number 2026067, on future correspondence. We look forward to assisting you.

Sincerely,

MICHAEL COEN
Digitally signed by MICHAEL COEN
Date: 2026.07.10 12:09:42 -04'00'

Michael Coen
FOIA Officer

Los Angeles Police Department
INVESTIGATIVE REPORT

CASE # C259045687

STOLEN PROPERTY

1	1	Identity Document - Misc	N/A	N/A	N/A	Personal identifying documents, including SSN, ID, and financial informatio Personal identifying documents, including SSN, ID, and financial informatio 0.0000000000	$0
						TOTAL STOLEN PROPERTY	$0.00

OFFENSES

1	530.5(A) - PC - F - Identity Theft - 26F

NARRATIVE

On June 7, 2024, I provided my ID, SSN, diploma, and documents to the Coalition for Responsible Community Development (CRCD) for enrollment in "Project Tipping Point." My personal information was later used without my consent to create a lease and payment arrangement involving a third party, [redacted] who received funds through CRCD using my identity.Documents include an ACH authorization, W-9, landlord verification, and residential lease signed by [redacted] but not by me. These forms list my name and address (1551 E 106th St, Los Angeles, CA 90002) and were used to apply for county rental assistance and other program funds. The W-9 lists [redacted] SSN, and the ACH authorization directs payments from CRCD to his Wells Fargo account. I never met this person, never signed any payment authorization, and never received the reported funds.Amity Foundation forms dated June 13 2024 list me as enrolled in a sanitation course at LATTC using CRCD staff contact info, though my official LATTC acceptance letter was issued a week later (June 20 2024). This shows my data was used for program and funding reporting prior to eligibility.LAHSA confirmed a related fraud case (#2025-23289) and instructed me on October 15 2025 to report to LAPD. Officer [redacted] Southeast Division, documented my initial attempt on October 23 2025. I discovered the fraudulent use on September 19 2025. Estimated loss is 19,000 in county-funded assistance disbursed under my name.Supporting evidence includes Amity and CRCD intake forms, LATTC acceptance letter, lease and payment forms, and LAHD records showing the property was not approved for rental assistance.

INTERNAL USE ONLY: RD = [1837]

- Amount Lost? = [19000]

- Type of Identity Theft = [Other <> Credit/ Debit card or Number (0930) <> School Loan Document (0927) <> Bank Account Information (0929) <> Fictitious Identification <> Application for Employment (0917) <> Real Estate Documents (0931) <> Misuse of Social Security Number]

- Documentation Provided (Check all that apply) = [Grant Deed <> Unreported Income Letter]

- If Other, please advise type of ID Theft = [ACH]

- If Yes, please list Agency, Date, and Report # = [Lapd Southeast Division officer [redacted] filed 10/23/25]

- Have you previously reported this incident to law enforcement? = [Yes]

- Date Discovered = [09/19/2025that's the date of the email from [redacted] confirming no grievance procedures]

Los Angeles Police Department
INVESTIGATIVE REPORT

REPORT OF: Identity Theft | INVEST DIV: TB | DR #: | CRIME #: C259046667

VICTIM

LAST NAME, FIRST, MIDDLE (OR NAME OF BUSINESS)	SEX	DESC	DV	HT	AGE	DOB
Haab, Kyle David	M	W	604	230	26	02/28/1999

ADDRESS: CITY, STATE, ZIP
R: 1551 East 106th Street Watts, CA 90002
B:
E-MAIL ADDRESS: Kyle.tietz99@gmail.com
DR LIC NO. (IF NONE, OTHER ID & NO.): U6571462 CA
FOREIGN LANGUAGE SPOKEN:
PHONE: 657-557-4459
BUS. PHONE:
OCCUPATION:

PREMISES (SPECIFIC TYPE): OTHER RESIDENCE

ENTRY POINT OF ENTRY | POINT OF EXIT | LOCATION OF OCCURRENCE: 1551 East 106th Street LOS ANGELES, CA 90002 | BEAT: 1856 | LATENT PRINTS NOTIFIED: ☐ Y ☐ N Tracking #:

THIS SECURITY | METHOD | DATE & TIME OF OCCURRENCE: 06/07/2024 13:59 - 10/30/2025 14:00 | DATE & TIME REPORTED TO LAPD: 11/13/2025 15:08

EQUIPMENT USED | TYPE PROPERTY STOLEN/LOST/DAMAGED | TOTAL EST. VALUE | $ TOTAL DOL. LOST: $ 0.00 | RECOVERED: $ | INSURED: $

VICTIM VIEW (e.g. knowledge, relationship, motive, cause, etc.) | CONFIRMATION BY PERSON & DIVISION: TEST NOTIFIED | DATE OF CRIME REPORTED BY PERSON: N/A

NO IF LONG FORM, LIST UNIQUE. IF SHORT FORM, DESCRIBE SUSPECT'S ACTIONS IN BRIEF PHRASES, DRILLING WEAPONS USED, DON'T REPORT ANYTHING NOT NECESSARY. IF ANY OTHER MISSING ITEMS ARE POTENTIALLY IDENTIFIABLE, ITEMIZE AND DESCRIBE ALL ITEMS MISSING IN THIS INCIDENT IN THE NARRATIVE.
Unknown suspect obtained access to victim's personal information and made unauthorized transaction(s) without consent. DOC OK

THREAT OF SCHOOL VIOLENCE ☐ | TRANSIT-RELATED INCIDENT ☐ | MANDATORY MIRANDA RIGHTS CARD PROVIDED TO THE VICTIM ☑ | MOTIVATED BY HATE/BIAS ☐ | DOMESTIC VIOLENCE ☐

REPORTING EMPLOYEE(S)

INITIALS, LAST NAME	SERIAL NO.	DIV./DETAIL	PERSON REPORTING	SIGNATURE	DR RECEIVED BY TELEPHONE
(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)	ID(b)(6); ID(b)(7)(C)	CORs Unit			

CORRECT NAME/ADDRESS/PHONE ARE NOT THE SAME, ENTER THE PERSON FORMATTED IN INVOLVED PERSONS SECTION

BURPS VEHICLE	YEAR	MAKE	MODEL	TYPE	REPORT DATE/TIME
					NVS

COLOR(S)	VEH. LIC. NO.	STATE

S-1

SEX	DESC	HAIR	EYES	HEIGHT	WEIGHT	AGE	CLOTHING	NAME, ADDRESS, DOB, IF KNOWN, ETC. NO CHARGE IF ARRESTED
								NSS

PERSONAL ODDITIES/UNUSUAL FEATURES, SCARS, TATTOOS, ETC.: | WEAPON (VERBAL THREAT, BODILY FORCE, SIMULATED GUN, ETC.) (IF KNIFE OR GUN DESCRIBE FULLY):

S-2

INVOLVED PERSON(S) W - WITNESS; R - PERSON RPTG; S - PERSON SECURING REPT; P - PERSON PROCURING REPT; E - PARENT; CP - CONTACT PERSON, DOMESTIC VIOLENCE

NAME | SEX | DESC | DOB | ADDRESS | CITY, STATE, ZIP | PHONE
DR. LIC. NO. (IF NONE, LIST OTHER ID & NO.) | FOREIGN LANGUAGE SPOKEN | E-MAIL ADDRESS | CELL PHONE

NAME | SEX | DESC | DOB | ADDRESS | CITY, STATE, ZIP | PHONE
DR. LIC. NO. (IF NONE, LIST OTHER ID & NO.) | FOREIGN LANGUAGE SPOKEN | E-MAIL ADDRESS | CELL PHONE

NAME | SEX | DESC | DOB | ADDRESS | CITY, STATE, ZIP | PHONE
DR. LIC. NO. (IF NONE, LIST OTHER ID & NO.) | FOREIGN LANGUAGE SPOKEN | E-MAIL ADDRESS | CELL PHONE

COMBINED EVID. RPT: USE THIS SECTION IN LIEU OF PROPERTY BOOKS IF NO GUN AND NO MORE THAN THREE ITEMS OF EVIDENCE.

ITEM	QUAN.	ARTICLE	SERIAL NO./TYPE TEST OF DRUG	STAMP/BUDGET WEIGHT/UNITS	VOUCHER NO. OR LAST NAME OF BOOKER	DATE

NARRATIVE: USE THE FOLLOWING HEADINGS TO DOCUMENT ALL INFORMATION REGARDING THE INVESTIGATION: ADDITIONAL PERSONS INVOLVED (suspects or victims); SOURCE OF ACTIVITY; INVESTIGATION; ARREST; INJURY/MEDICAL TREATMENT; PHOTOS, RECORDINGS, VIDEOS, DCV, DVV, AND DIGITAL IMAGING; BOOKING; EVIDENCE; CAR MASKING; NOTIFICATIONS; COLLISION SUMMARY; PROPERTY STOLEN/LOST/RECOVERED/DAMAGED; AND COURT INFORMATION. NOTE: ANY OF THESE HEADINGS MAY BE OMITTED IF NOT APPLICABLE. SEE GENERAL REPORTING INSTRUCTIONS, FIELD NOTEBOOK DIVIDER, FORM 18.30.00, AND INVESTIGATION REPORT FIELD NOTEBOOK DIVIDER, FORM 18.30.01 FOR FURTHER INFO.

IS ANY OF THE VICTIM'S PROPERTY MARKED WITH AN OWNER APPLIED IDENTIFICATION NUMBER? IF YES, EXPLAIN IN NARRATIVE ☐ YES ☐ NO

VICTIM IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION (IF APPLICABLE)

LAPD APPROVAL AND REVIEW

SUPERVISOR APPROVING	SERIAL NO.	DIVISION
(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)	ID(b)(6);	1 Southeast
DATE & TIME: 11/15/2025 02:07	CLERK: (b)(6);	DIVISION

DETECTIVE SUPERVISOR REVIEWING | SERIAL NO. | CATEGORY

From: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) OIG
To: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) ETA
Cc: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) ETA; (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) ETA; (OIG); (OI/)(C) ETA
Subject: Referral of OIG Complaint C26170000583
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2026 2:03:00 PM
Attachments: C26170000583 Referral Memo to ETA-OGM_2026 0603.pdf

---

CONTROLLED

Good afternoon,

Attached is OIG Complaint #C26170000583. We are referring this matter to ETA for review and any action deemed appropriate.

Thanks,

(OIG); (OI/)(C)

(OIG); (OI/)(C)
Program Analyst
Office of Investigations, Branch of Complaint Intake & Analysis
U.S. Department of Labor, Office of Inspector General
(OIG); (OI/)(C)

INSPECTOR GENERAL CONTROLLED UNCLASSIFIED INFORMATION
This email including any attachments is intended only for authorized recipients. Recipients may not further disseminate this information without the express permission of the sender or other U.S. Department of Labor Office of Inspector General personnel. This email may contain Inspector General sensitive information that is confidential, sensitive, work product, attorney-client privileged, or protected by Federal law, including protection from public disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), 5 U.S.C. ?552. Accordingly, the use, dissemination, distribution or reproduction of this information to or by unauthorized or unintended recipients may be unlawful. If you have received this email in error, please notify us immediately by return email, and destroy all copies of the email received in error.

U.S. Department of Labor **Office of Inspector General**
Washington D.C. 20210

June 3, 2026

MEMORANDUM FOR: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)
Administrator for the Office of Grants Management
Employment and Training Administration

FROM: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)
Hotline Office

SUBJECT: Complaint #C26170000583

The Office of Inspector General (OIG) received the attached complaint from Kyle Haab. The OIG's Office of Investigations, Western Region reviewed this complaint and determined it does not warrant any action by the OIG. We believe the Employment and Training Administration may be able to address the concerns reported. Accordingly, the attached information is being forwarded for your evaluation, any action deemed appropriate, and a response back to the complainant unless your office deems this to be not necessary.

Please note we are not requesting that a response be provided to the OIG, with respect to this referral, at this time. However, we may contact you in the future to inquire about the status of this matter and request information regarding any action your office has taken, if OIG management determines it is necessary for us to obtain this information.

Please contact me if your office has any questions regarding this referral, or if you believe there is in fact evidence of criminal acts or other misconduct the OIG should address. We appreciate your cooperation regarding this matter.

Attachment

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR – OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL
STATEMENT OF COMPLAINT C26170000583

Allegation:
On January 1, 2026, the DOL-OIG Hotline received a complaint via our online portal that alleges the following:

> I am submitting this complaint regarding the Coalition for Responsible Community Development (CRCD), a federally funded workforce service provider and operator of a DOL-funded America's Job Center of California (AJCC) at 400 W Washington Blvd, Los Angeles, CA 90015.
>
> This complaint involves documented identity theft and misuse of my personal and financial information in connection with federally funded workforce and supportive service programs. On June 7, 2024, I provided my ID, SSN, diploma, and personal documents to CRCD for enrollment in Project Tipping Point. My personal information was later used without my consent to create lease, ACH payment authorization, W-9, landlord verification, and funding documents involving a third party landlord (b)(6), (b)(7)(C). I did not sign these documents, did not authorize payments, and did not receive the funds issued under my name.
>
> These actions have been formally documented by the Los Angeles Police Department in an Identity Theft Investigative Report (Case #C259045687), which I have attached. The report documents misuse of my SSN, ACH authorization, real estate documents, and program applications, with an estimated $19,000 in county-funded assistance disbursed under my identity. CRCD staff coordinated these actions while operating through WIOA/AJCC infrastructure, including workforce enrollment, education coordination with LATTC, and partner communications. Records show my data was used for program and funding reporting prior to eligibility and without valid authorization.
>
> I am alleging:
> - Identity theft involving participant data connected to DOL-funded workforce systems
> - Improper use of WIOA/AJCC authority and infrastructure to support non-authorized financial and housing transactions
> - False or misleading participant records and reporting
> - Failure of internal controls, disclosures, and grievance procedures required of federal workforce programs
> - Material harm resulting from misuse of my identity and public funds
>
> I request a DOL OIG investigation into CRCD's handling of participant identity data, use of WIOA-funded resources, inter-program coordination, and compliance with federal workforce program requirements.

Complainant:
Kyle David Haab
1551 E 106TH ST UNIT 3/4
LOS ANGELES, CA 90002
Kyle.dietz99@gmail.com
(657) 557-4459

Subject:
Coalition for Responsible Community Development (CRCD)
400 W Washington Blvd
LOS ANGELES, CA 90015
wdd-adult@coalitionrcd.org
(213) 763-5951

FOIA Request 2834736

The following list contains the entire submission submitted April 03, 2026 04:10:37pm ET, and is formatted for ease of viewing and printing.

Contact information

First name	Kyle
Last name	Haab
Mailing Address	1551 E 106TH St Unit 3/4
City	LOS ANGELES
State/Province	CA
Postal Code	90002
Country	United States
Phone	6575574459
Email	Kyle.dietz99@gmail.com

Request

Request ID	2834736
Confirmation ID	2838146

Request description	I request records from the U.S. Department of Labor Office of Inspector General concerning my OIG hotline submission made on January 1, 2026, and subsequent communications with Special Agent Roger Passero. This request seeks records from January 1, 2026 to present. Specifically, I request: records sufficient to identify any DOL OIG complaint number, case number, reference number, tracking number, or internal identifier associated with my January 1, 2026 submission; records sufficient to show the date my submission was received, opened, logged, routed, reviewed, assigned, or reassigned; records sufficient to identify the office, unit, or personnel to whom my submission was assigned or referred; records sufficient to show whether the matter remains open, was closed, was referred, or was transferred, and if referred or transferred, to what office or agency; records sufficient to identify the emails and attachments DOL OIG received from me, including subject lines, receipt dates, and attachment counts; copies of my own submissions, emails, attachments, and correspondence provided by me to DOL OIG that are maintained in the file; records sufficient to identify communications between me and DOL OIG personnel, including Roger Passero, concerning receipt of documents, requests for additional information, and acknowledgment of submissions; any intake log, routing sheet, case opening sheet, attachment inventory, exhibit list, or similar administrative record reflecting materials submitted by me; and records sufficient to show whether DOL OIG logged, cross-referenced, reviewed, or associated my submission with the following related identifiers: C269013241, 2026-23965, C259045687, and 2025-23289. Search identifiers: Kyle Haab; Kyle David Haab; Kyle D. Haab; kyle.dietz99@gmail.com; Roger Passero; Passero.Roger@oig.dol.gov; January 1, 2026; OIG Complaint; CRCD; Coalition for Responsible Community Development; Project Tipping Point; DHCS Incident Reporting; C259045687; 2025-23289; C269013241; 2026-23965.

Supporting documentation

Additional Information	84733edc-5f89-4deb-bac6-42b71d5f691d (1).jpg

Fees

Request category ID	other

Fee waiver	yes
Explanation	I request a waiver or reduction of fees because disclosure of the requested records is in the public interest and is not primarily in my commercial interest. This request seeks Department of Labor OIG records showing how my January 1, 2026 hotline submission was received, logged, routed, assigned, cross-referenced, and handled, including records sufficient to identify any complaint number, case number, referral, transfer, or administrative disposition. Disclosure of these records would contribute significantly to public understanding of government operations and activities, specifically how DOL OIG processes, tracks, and handles hotline submissions involving alleged misuse of publicly connected workforce, youth-program, participant-record, and related funding matters. I have no commercial interest in the requested records. The records are sought to understand and evaluate government intake, routing, and oversight activity, not for profit or commercial advantage. Why this is the right legal framing: DOL's FOIA guidance says fee-waiver requests must be fully justified in writing and asks whether the records concern government operations, whether disclosure will contribute to public understanding, and whether that contribution will be significant.
Willing to pay	25

Expedited processing

Expedited Processing	yes

Explanation

I request expedited processing because delay in obtaining these Department of Labor OIG records could reasonably be expected to result in a threatened loss of substantial due process rights. I have an active federal civil rights action in the United States District Court for the Central District of California: Kyle David Haab v. Coalition for Responsible Community Development, Case No. 2:26-cv-00802-JFW-AS. On March 24, 2026, the Court entered a screening-related order, and on April 1, 2026, the Court granted leave to file and construed my First Amended Complaint as effective April 1, 2026. The requested records concern my January 1, 2026 DOL OIG hotline submission and DOL OIG's handling of that submission, including intake, logging, routing, assignment, cross-referencing, referral, and administrative disposition. I need these records promptly to identify the proper responsible office or entity, preserve the administrative trail, determine whether my submission was associated with related identifiers and materials, and avoid prejudice to my ability to present accurate evidence and seek timely relief. Delay would materially impair my ability to pursue corrective action in matters affecting family integrity, housing stability, privacy, and related rights. This request is limited to first-party and administrative records concerning my own submission and related DOL OIG handling. Related identifiers that may appear in cross-referenced materials include C269013241, 2026-23965, C259045687, and 2025-23289.

ICPC - CRCD/PTP RELIANCE AND CAUSATION NEXUS ADDENDUM

Tennessee Return-to-Parent Plan | California ICPC Placement | CRCD/PTP Housing Representations | Bayberry Housing Baseline | Federal Case 2:26-cv-00802-FMO-AS

Purpose. This addendum organizes the existing source record into a narrow, non-merits chronology. It explains why the California housing representations were material to the parents' support for an interstate placement during an active reunification plan, and why that limited reunification position should not be treated as consent to permanent relative custody, termination of further Tennessee hearings, or unrestricted cross-agency use of family-status information.

1. Source-controlled chronology

Date / period	Documented event	Material nexus
02/20/2024	Tennessee permanency plan identified Return to Parent (Custody) as the operative plan.	Establishes the operative objective, not merely a target date. 08/20/2024 was the operative
03/18-19/2024	While the family remained in Tennessee, a contact saved as Project CRCD/PTP requested identifying information and a telephone intake.	Places CRCD/PTP-related identity information and intake before the April ICPC
04/24/2024	Hamilton County entered an expedited ICPC Regulation 7 order.	The interstate process followed California housing representations during the
06/13/2024	CRCD later stated that enrollment in Project Tipping Point began on this date.	Confirms the later-recorded PTP service and supportive-services relationship.
08/11/2024	Later Tennessee permanency records state that the children were placed with the paternal grandmother through ICPC.	Shows the interstate placement was completed only before the formal 08/2
08/20/2024	The written permanency plan's Return-to-Parent target date.	Direct evidence of the scheduled reunification trajectory. It is not, standing alone, a
10/07/2024	A later plan retained Return to Parent but added Exit Custody With Relative as a permanency objective.	Shows the relative-custody objective arose after the ICPC placement; it was not th
01/15/2025	The Tennessee disposition reportedly relied in part on counsel's statement that the father was also in agreement, divested position, and related fact	Creates the dispute the father's limited consent to temporary, related fact

2. Amanda Gail Morrison / TBPR consent issue

The preserved record identifies Tennessee attorney Amanda Gail Morrison, TBPR complaint 2026-10967-COMP, investigative file 101977-2026-3-TT-INV, and attorney number 031415. The record characterizes her response as relying on the parents' stated wishes or California reunification objective as support for the interstate placement. The same record does not identify a signed authorization, direct recorded statement, transcript, email, or other source document in which Kyle Haab personally agreed to permanent custody divestment, no further Tennessee hearings, counsel being relieved, or jurisdiction being relinquished.

Controlling distinction: parental support for a temporary California placement as part of a Return-to-Parent strategy is not equivalent to informed consent to a final custody disposition. The scope, timing, and object of any consent must be established from the direct hearing record, participation log, attorney-authorization record, ICPC forms, emails, audio, Zoom logs, and court-to-court communications.

3. Bayberry housing baseline and reliance

The Bayberry ledger is preserved in the broader record chain. It documents the Tennessee housing account, a reported $350 balance, transmission of the ledger to CRCD staff at palopez@coalitionrcd.org, and a later National Credit Systems status reflecting $0.00 / paid in full. This is material because it connects the pre-California Tennessee housing baseline to CRCD's later records and payment chain.

The preserved ledger supports housing baseline, notice, and record-custody propositions. It does not by itself establish the exact date the family surrendered the unit, the source of the final payment, or that the move was caused solely by CRCD. Those points require the native Bayberry lease, move-out/surrender notice, HUD or housing-authority assistance records, payment authorization, ACH/check record, CRCD case notes, and contemporaneous communications.

4. Causation formulation supported by the record

Supported formulation: At the time CRCD/PTP-related California outreach began, the operative Tennessee plan was Return to Parent, with a written target date of August 20, 2024. The parents allege that California housing and support representations supplied the practical reason to support a California ICPC placement and to leave or surrender their Tennessee housing, because California stability was understood as a reunification route. The April 24 ICPC order, the August 11 placement, the later addition of a concurrent relative-custody objective, and the January 15 disposition form a chronological downstream chain. The parents' limited reunification purpose should not be enlarged into blanket consent to permanent custody divestment or unrelated information sharing.

Counterfactual limitation: The records directly prove that August 20, 2024 was the formal Return-to-Parent target. They do not, without the complete hearing and DCS record, prove that physical custody was guaranteed to transfer on that date. The defensible allegation is that the established reunification trajectory and opportunity were materially altered after reliance on the California pathway.

5. But-for and proximate-cause chain

Link	Record-supported proposition	Proof still needed
1. Baseline	Stable Tennessee housing and an active Return-to-Parent plan existed before CRCD/PTP outreach.	Native Bayberry lease, tenant ledger, Tennessee housing assistance status; DCS home asses
2. Representation	CRCD/PTP-related outreach occurred March 18-19, before formal intake, referral, or ICPC record.	Native call logs, texts, CRCD record, identity of 'Program Lady,' and exac
3. Reliance	Parents supported a California path during reunification; Morrison's TBPR reported parents' statements to DCS.	Full TBPR response, attachments, native notes, communications to parents, hea
4. Interstate action	Regulation 7 order entered April 24; ICPC placement occurred August 14.	Complete 100A/100B/101, CS-0959, transmittals, approval, supervision, a
5. Housing change	Bayberry ledger entered CRCD's record chain; family later relocated to California.	Move-out date, termination/surrender record, payment source, travel and
6. Permanency change	October plan kept Return to Parent but added relative custody; January disposition imposed final relative placement.	Direct hearing recordings and transcripts, counsel agreements.
7. Harm	Loss of Tennessee housing baseline, altered reunification path, later permanency consequences, and loss of state records.	Expert/agency causation evidence and complete out-of-state records.

6. Records-preservation and production demands

• Tennessee permanency plans dated February 20 and October 7, 2024, including all versions, signatures, reviews, and attachments.

• April 24, 2024 ICPC Regulation 7 order and the complete ICPC 100A, 100B, 101, CS-0959, transmittal, approval, supervision, and closure files for identifiers 117604 and 117605.

• All hearing audio, Zoom logs, attendance records, transcripts, counsel notes, emails, and signed writings concerning the parents' wishes, consent, or agreement.

• Amanda Gail Morrison's complete TBPR response and every attachment or source record relied upon.

• CRCD/PTP March 18-19 outreach records, call logs, referral source, identity of the caller, intake records, housing representations, and participant file.

• Bayberry lease, tenant ledger, move-out/surrender records, landlord communications, NCS records, payment authorization, check/ACH records, and metadata.

• HUD or Tennessee housing-authority records showing assistance status, termination, transfer, or surrender connected to the Bayberry unit.

• California receiving-state and Los Angeles County ICPC/DCFS records, including any 'permanent placement closed ICPC' classification and L56B285 records.

7. Proposed allegation for controlled use

But for the California housing and reunification-support representations, Plaintiff alleges that he would not have supported the California ICPC placement in the same manner, would not have relinquished his Tennessee housing baseline, and would have continued pursuing the existing Tennessee Return-to-Parent plan. The operative written goal at the time was Return to Parent, with a target date of August 20, 2024. Any parental support for interstate placement was limited to that reunification purpose and was not informed consent to permanent relative custody, termination of further Tennessee hearings, counsel withdrawal, jurisdictional relinquishment, or unrestricted use of family-status records. The later concurrent permanency objective and January 15, 2025 disposition are alleged as downstream reliance events, subject to authentication through the complete DCS, ICPC, hearing, attorney-authorization, housing, and court records.

Source-control notice: This addendum summarizes preserved project records. Native court files, agency records, emails, audio, metadata, and original exhibits control. It does not request a federal court or administrative agency to reverse a custody order or decide attorney discipline.

July 2, 2026

Kyle Haab
1551 East 106 Street Unit 3/4
Los Angeles, California 90002

RE: Complaint Against: Coalition for Responsible Community Development
Complaint Number: CN0041188

Dear Kyle Haab,

Thank you for submitting a complaint about Coalition for Responsible Community Development to the California Department of Financial Protection and Innovation (DFPI). After review, we determined your complaint may be more appropriately addressed by the CA Attorney General's Office, and we have closed your complaint with DFPI and will take no further action on it.

To ensure your concerns are reviewed, we encourage you to contact the CA Attorney General's Office and submit your complaint directly. Their contact information is provided below:

Office of the Attorney General
Public Inquiry Unit
P.O. Box 944255
Sacramento CA 94244-2550
www.oag.ca.gov
(800) 952-5225

Thank you for contacting the DFPI.

Sincerely,

Consumer Services Office
California Department of Financial Protection and Innovation

From: ExecutiveOffice
To: PublicComments
Subject: FW: Please keep and modernize Whiteman Airport
Date: Thursday, July 16, 2026 5:13:06 PM

Hi,

The below is being forwarded to you for further review.

Thank you,

Executive Office of the Board of Supervisors
Phone: (213) 974-1411 | Email: executiveoffice@bos.lacounty.gov
Find us on Facebook, Instagram, LinkedIn, Twitter @ Youtube @LACountyBOS

-----Original Message-----
From: Edward Diaz <diazedward747@icloud.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 16, 2026 1:43 PM
To: ExecutiveOffice <ExecutiveOffice@bos.lacounty.gov>; First District <firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>; Holly J. Mitchell <HollyJMitchell@bos.lacounty.gov>; Third District <ThirdDistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>; Supervisor Janice Hahn (Fourth District) <fourthdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>; Barger, Kathryn <Kathryn@bos.lacounty.gov>; mpestrella@pw.lacounty.gov; adermenj@pw.lacounty.gov; ksalama@pw.lacounty.gov; agmoody@pw.lacounty.gov; llucero@pw.lacounty.gov; mesposito@pw.lacounty.gov; acrockom@pw.lacounty.gov; reortega@pw.lacounty.gov; mareyes@pw.lacounty.gov; ekunitake@pw.lacounty.gov; lbradley@pw.lacounty.gov; jmorgan@pw.lacounty.gov; councilmember.padilla@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; whitemanairportcoalition@gmail.com
Subject: Please keep and modernize Whiteman Airport

Dear local leaders and team,

I am writing as a resident of Pacoima to express my strong support for keeping Whiteman Airport open with a focus on modernization and safety improvements instead of closure.

Whiteman Airport has been part of the San Fernando Valley community for more than 80 years. It supports local jobs, flight training, emergency response operations, wildfire support, public safety activities, and small businesses. Its economic impact is massive, creating hundreds of jobs and bringing in more than $100 million in economic activity for the region.

Closing the airport would not eliminate aviation activity. It would simply shift more traffic and congestion to nearby airports and create new regional problems.

I believe the better path is to improve the airport while continuing to address community concerns. Local leaders should move forward with practical solutions that benefit both residents and airport users, including:

• Upgrading aging infrastructure and improving safety systems • Using available FAA funding for modernization projects • Expanding noise mitigation efforts and improving operational practices • Supporting cleaner and quieter aviation technology, including electric aircraft • Improving communication and transparency with surrounding neighborhoods • Continuing meaningful community engagement with Valley residents

Multiple neighborhood councils, including Pacoima, have voted overwhelmingly in support of keeping Whiteman Airport open, and I hope those voices will be fully considered as the County evaluates the airport's future.

Please prioritize modernization, safety improvements, and responsible planning before considering any irreversible decision to close Whiteman Airport.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,
Edward Diaz
Resident, Pacoima

From: ExecutiveOffice
To: PublicComments
Subject: FW: Please keep and modernize Whiteman Airport
Date: Friday, July 17, 2026 9:53:53 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Hello,

The following correspondence is being forwarded to you for your review and appropriate response. Please confirm receipt and update the ExecutiveOffice@bos.lacounty.gov on the status and/or resolution.

In addition, if available kindly provide the point of contact or the best method for the constituent to follow up.

Thank you,

Executive Office of the Board of Supervisors
Phone: (213) 974-1411 | Email: executiveoffice@bos.lacounty.gov
Find us on Facebook, Instagram, LinkedIn, Twitter @ Youtube @LACountyBOS

Thank you,

From: Jose Ramirez <jrramirez1994@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 16, 2026 7:47 PM
To: ExecutiveOffice <ExecutiveOffice@bos.lacounty.gov>; First District <firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>; Holly J. Mitchell <HollyJMitchell@bos.lacounty.gov>; Third District <ThirdDistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>; Supervisor Janice Hahn (Fourth District) <fourthdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>; Barger, Kathryn <Kathryn@bos.lacounty.gov>; mpestrella@pw.lacounty.gov; adermenj@pw.lacounty.gov; ksalama@pw.lacounty.gov; agmoody@pw.lacounty.gov; llucero@pw.lacounty.gov; mesposito@pw.lacounty.gov; acrockom@pw.lacounty.gov; reortega@pw.lacounty.gov; mareyes@pw.lacounty.gov; ekunitake@pw.lacounty.gov; lbradley@pw.lacounty.gov; jmorgan@pw.lacounty.gov; councilmember.padilla@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; whitemanairportcoalition@gmail.com
Subject: Please keep and modernize Whiteman Airport

Dear local leaders and team,

As a pilot and resident of Altadena, I am writing to express my strong support for keeping Whiteman Airport open with a focus on modernization and safety improvements instead of closure.

Whiteman Airport has been part of the San Fernando Valley community for more than 80 years. It supports local jobs, flight training, emergency response operations, wildfire support, public safety activities, and small businesses. Its economic impact is massive, creating hundreds of jobs and bringing in more than $100 million in economic activity for the region.

Closing the airport would not eliminate aviation activity. It would simply shift more traffic and congestion to nearby airports and create new regional problems.

I believe the better path is to improve the airport while continuing to address community concerns. Local leaders should move forward with practical solutions that benefit both residents and airport users, including:

- Upgrading aging infrastructure and improving safety systems
- Using available FAA funding for modernization projects
- Expanding noise mitigation efforts and improving operational practices
- Supporting cleaner and quieter aviation technology, including electric aircraft
- Improving communication and transparency with surrounding neighborhoods
- Continuing meaningful community engagement with Valley residents

Multiple neighborhood councils, including Pacoima, have voted overwhelmingly in support of keeping Whiteman Airport open, and I hope those voices will be fully considered as the County evaluates the airport's future.

Please prioritize modernization, safety improvements, and responsible planning before considering any irreversible decision to close Whiteman Airport.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,
Jose Roberto Ramirez
Pilot/resident, Altadena

From: ExecutiveOffice
To: PublicComments
Subject: FW: Whiteman Airport
Date: Friday, July 17, 2026 9:56:21 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Good morning

The following correspondence is being forwarded for your attention and review.

Thank you,

Executive Office of the Board of Supervisors
Phone: (213) 974-1411 | Email: executiveoffice@bos.lacounty.gov
Find us on Facebook, Instagram, LinkedIn, Twitter @ Youtube @LACountyBOS

EXECUTIVE OFFICE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

From: Trebor Saldivar <terremotocicleta@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 16, 2026 9:29 PM
To: ExecutiveOffice <ExecutiveOffice@bos.lacounty.gov>; First District <firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>; Holly J. Mitchell <HollyJMitchell@bos.lacounty.gov>; Third District <ThirdDistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>; Supervisor Janice Hahn (Fourth District) <fourthdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>; Barger, Kathryn <Kathryn@bos.lacounty.gov>; mpestrella@pw.lacounty.gov; adermenj@pw.lacounty.gov; ksalama@pw.lacounty.gov; agmoody@pw.lacounty.gov; llucero@pw.lacounty.gov; mesposito@pw.lacounty.gov; acrockom@pw.lacounty.gov; reortega@pw.lacounty.gov; mareyes@pw.lacounty.gov; ekunitake@pw.lacounty.gov; lbradley@pw.lacounty.gov; jmorgan@pw.lacounty.gov; councilmember.padilla@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org
Cc: whitemanairportcoalition@gmail.com
Subject: Whiteman Airport

Keave the airport alone. Pacoima has so little that is considered a positive outside of Pacoima.

From: ExecutiveOffice
To: PublicComments
Subject: FW: Please keep and modernize Whiteman Airport
Date: Friday, July 17, 2026 9:59:17 AM

Good morning

The following correspondence is being forwarded for your attention and review.

Thank you,

Tyler

-----Original Message-----
From: Arvin Azizi <arvin.azizi@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 17, 2026 6:32 AM
To: ExecutiveOffice <ExecutiveOffice@bos.lacounty.gov>; First District <firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>; Holly J. Mitchell <HollyJMitchell@bos.lacounty.gov>; Third District <ThirdDistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>; Supervisor Janice Hahn (Fourth District) <fourthdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>; Barger, Kathryn <Kathryn@bos.lacounty.gov>; mpestrella@pw.lacounty.gov; adermenj@pw.lacounty.gov; ksalama@pw.lacounty.gov; agmoody@pw.lacounty.gov; llucero@pw.lacounty.gov; mesposito@pw.lacounty.gov; acrockom@pw.lacounty.gov; reortega@pw.lacounty.gov; mareyes@pw.lacounty.gov; ekunitake@pw.lacounty.gov; lbradley@pw.lacounty.gov; jmorgan@pw.lacounty.gov; councilmember.padilla@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; whitemanairportcoalition@gmail.com
Subject: Please keep and modernize Whiteman Airport

Dear local leaders and team,

As a student and resident of Calabsas, I am writing to express my strong support for keeping Whiteman Airport open with a focus on modernization and safety improvements instead of closure.

Whiteman Airport has been part of the San Fernando Valley community for more than 80 years. It supports local jobs, flight training, emergency response operations, wildfire support, public safety activities, and small businesses. Its economic impact is massive, creating hundreds of jobs and bringing in more than $100 million in economic activity for the region.

Closing the airport would not eliminate aviation activity. It would simply shift more traffic and congestion to nearby airports and create new regional problems.

I believe the better path is to improve the airport while continuing to address community concerns. Local leaders should move forward with practical solutions that benefit both residents and airport users, including:

• Upgrading aging infrastructure and improving safety systems • Using available FAA funding for modernization projects • Expanding noise mitigation efforts and improving operational practices • Supporting cleaner and quieter aviation technology, including electric aircraft • Improving communication and transparency with surrounding neighborhoods • Continuing meaningful community engagement with Valley residents

Multiple neighborhood councils, including Pacoima, have voted overwhelmingly in support of keeping Whiteman Airport open, and I hope those voices will be fully considered as the County evaluates the airport's future.

Please prioritize modernization, safety improvements, and responsible planning before considering any irreversible decision to close Whiteman Airport.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,
Arvin Azizi
Resident/student, Calabsas

From: ExecutiveOffice
To: PublicComments
Subject: FW: Street sweeping
Date: Friday, July 17, 2026 11:44:21 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Hello,

The Executive Office has received the following correspondence, and it is being forwarded for your attention and review.

Thank you,

Executive Office of the Board of Supervisors
Phone: (213) 974-1411 | Email: executiveoffice@bos.lacounty.gov
Find us on Facebook, Instagram, LinkedIn, Twitter @ Youtube @LACountyBOS

EXECUTIVE OFFICE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

From: Brenda <brenda.lomeli11923@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 17, 2026 11:40 AM
To: ExecutiveOffice <ExecutiveOffice@bos.lacounty.gov>
Subject: Street sweeping

I am writing to respectfully request that Los Angeles County consider creating an exemption from street-sweeping parking citations for vehicles displaying valid disabled person placards or disabled veteran license plates.

I believe this change would provide greater fairness and accessibility for disabled residents who may have difficulty moving their vehicles during scheduled street-sweeping hours. The reason why this is an ongoing struggle is because my neighbors have 10+ cars across the street that they do not move. Fridays the sweeper passes on my side, they actively watch parking enforcement to pass by, as soon as it passes by they move their cars over before the sweeper even passes and leave then here all week till next friday all over again and i never get parking. I have contacted parking enforcement many times and it is still an issue since they move the cars before hand and their actively watching larking enforcement. Receiving a street-sweeping citation despite having a valid disability placard can feel unfair when the person may already face physical limitations that make moving a vehicle challenging.

I respectfully ask that your office consider bringing this issue forward for review by the Board of Supervisors and explore whether Los Angeles County can adopt a policy similar to other jurisdictions that provide this accommodation.

Thank you for your time and consideration. I appreciate your work on behalf of Los Angeles County residents and hope this issue can receive attention.

From: ExecutiveOffice
To: PublicComments
Subject: FW: Please keep and modernize Whiteman Airport
Date: Friday, July 17, 2026 11:58:47 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Hello,

The Executive Office has received the following correspondence, and it is being forwarded for your attention and review.

Thank you,

Executive Office of the Board of Supervisors
Phone: (213) 974-1411 | Email: executiveoffice@bos.lacounty.gov
Find us on Facebook, Instagram, LinkedIn, Twitter @ Youtube @LACountyBOS

From: ericswenston <ericswenston@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, July 17, 2026 11:57 AM
To: ExecutiveOffice <ExecutiveOffice@bos.lacounty.gov>; First District <firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>; Holly J. Mitchell <HollyJMitchell@bos.lacounty.gov>; Third District <ThirdDistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>; Supervisor Janice Hahn (Fourth District) <fourthdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>; Barger, Kathryn <Kathryn@bos.lacounty.gov>; mpestrella@pw.lacounty.gov; adermenj@pw.lacounty.gov; ksalama@pw.lacounty.gov; agmoody@pw.lacounty.gov; llucero@pw.lacounty.gov; mesposito@pw.lacounty.gov; acrockom@pw.lacounty.gov; reortega@pw.lacounty.gov; mareyes@pw.lacounty.gov; ekunitake@pw.lacounty.gov; lbradley@pw.lacounty.gov; jmorgan@pw.lacounty.gov; councilmember.padilla@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org
Cc: whitemanairportcoalition@gmail.com
Subject: Please keep and modernize Whiteman Airport

Dear local leaders and team,

As a business owner and resident of Los Angeles, I am writing to express my strong support for keeping Whiteman Airport open with a focus on modernization and safety improvements instead of closure.

Whiteman Airport has been part of the San Fernando Valley community for more than 80 years. It supports local jobs, flight training, emergency response operations, wildfire support, public safety activities, and small businesses. Its economic impact is massive, creating hundreds of jobs and bringing in more than $100 million in economic activity for the region.

Closing the airport would not eliminate aviation activity. It would simply shift more traffic and congestion to nearby airports and create new regional problems.

I believe the better path is to improve the airport while continuing to address community concerns. Local leaders should move forward with practical solutions that benefit both residents and airport users, including:

- Upgrading aging infrastructure and improving safety systems
- Using available FAA funding for modernization projects
- Expanding noise mitigation efforts and improving operational practices
- Supporting cleaner and quieter aviation technology, including electric aircraft
- Improving communication and transparency with surrounding neighborhoods
- Continuing meaningful community engagement with Valley residents

Multiple neighborhood councils, including Pacoima, have voted overwhelmingly in support of keeping Whiteman Airport open, and I hope those voices will be fully considered as the County evaluates the airport's future.

Please prioritize modernization, safety improvements, and responsible planning before considering any irreversible decision to close Whiteman Airport.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,
Eric Swenston
Resident/business owner, Los Angeles

From: ExecutiveOffice
To: PublicComments
Subject: FW: Please keep and modernize Whiteman Airport
Date: Friday, July 17, 2026 2:10:44 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Hello,

The Executive Office has received the following correspondence, and it is being forwarded for your attention and review.

Thank you,

Executive Office of the Board of Supervisors
Phone: (213) 974-1411 | Email: executiveoffice@bos.lacounty.gov
Find us on Facebook, Instagram, LinkedIn, Twitter @ Youtube @LACountyBOS

From: usafwilkerson <usafwilkerson@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 17, 2026 1:01 PM
To: ExecutiveOffice <ExecutiveOffice@bos.lacounty.gov>; First District <firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>; Holly J. Mitchell <HollyJMitchell@bos.lacounty.gov>; Third District <ThirdDistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>; Supervisor Janice Hahn (Fourth District) <fourthdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>; Barger, Kathryn <Kathryn@bos.lacounty.gov>; mpestrella@pw.lacounty.gov; adermenj@pw.lacounty.gov; ksalama@pw.lacounty.gov; agmoody@pw.lacounty.gov; llucero@pw.lacounty.gov; mesposito@pw.lacounty.gov; acrockom@pw.lacounty.gov; reortega@pw.lacounty.gov; mareyes@pw.lacounty.gov; ekunitake@pw.lacounty.gov; lbradley@pw.lacounty.gov; jmorgan@pw.lacounty.gov; councilmember.padilla@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; whitemanairportcoalition@gmail.com
Subject: Please keep and modernize Whiteman Airport

Dear local leaders and team,

I am writing as a resident of Glendale and military veteran to express my strong support for keeping Whiteman Airport open with a focus on modernization and safety improvements instead of closure.

Whiteman Airport has been part of the San Fernando Valley community for more than 80

years. It supports local jobs, flight training, emergency response operations, wildfire support, public safety activities, and small businesses. Its economic impact is massive, creating hundreds of jobs and bringing in more than $100 million in economic activity for the region.

Closing the airport would not eliminate aviation activity. It would simply shift more traffic and congestion to nearby airports and create new regional problems. I'm a student pilot at Glenda community college using the GI Bill. This is the only school that the GI Bill covers 100% of training. Without Whiteman Airport, I cannot afford flight training or my housing costs.

I believe the better path is to improve the airport while continuing to address community concerns. Local leaders should move forward with practical solutions that benefit both residents and airport users, including:

• Upgrading aging infrastructure and improving safety systems
• Using available FAA funding for modernization projects
• Expanding noise mitigation efforts and improving operational practices
• Supporting cleaner and quieter aviation technology, including electric aircraft
• Improving communication and transparency with surrounding neighborhoods
• Continuing meaningful community engagement with Valley residents

Multiple neighborhood councils, including Pacoima, have voted overwhelmingly in support of keeping Whiteman Airport open, and I hope those voices will be fully considered as the County evaluates the airport's future.

Please prioritize modernization, safety improvements, and responsible planning before considering any irreversible decision to close Whiteman Airport.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,
Brandon Wilkerson (Air Force and army veteran, and student pilot at Glendale community college)
Resident, Glendale

From: ExecutiveOffice
To: PublicComments
Subject: FW:
Date: Monday, July 20, 2026 10:35:36 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Hello,

The Executive Office has received the following correspondence, and it is being forwarded for your attention and review.

Thank you,

Executive Office of the Board of Supervisors
Phone: (213) 974-1411 | Email: executiveoffice@bos.lacounty.gov
Find us on Facebook, Instagram, LinkedIn, Twitter @ Youtube @LACountyBOS

From: Carlos Ayala Rivas <carlosayala.111@hotmail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 17, 2026 6:28 PM
To: ExecutiveOffice <ExecutiveOffice@bos.lacounty.gov>; First District <firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>; Holly J. Mitchell <HollyJMitchell@bos.lacounty.gov>; Third District <ThirdDistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>; Supervisor Janice Hahn (Fourth District) <fourthdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>; Barger, Kathryn <Kathryn@bos.lacounty.gov>; mpestrella@pw.lacounty.gov; adermenj@pw.lacounty.gov; ksalama@pw.lacounty.gov; agmoody@pw.lacounty.gov; llucero@pw.lacounty.gov; mesposito@pw.lacounty.gov; acrockom@pw.lacounty.gov; reortega@pw.lacounty.gov; mareyes@pw.lacounty.gov; ekunitake@pw.lacounty.gov; lbradley@pw.lacounty.gov; jmorgan@pw.lacounty.gov; councilmember.padilla@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.lee@lacity.org
Cc: whitemanairportcoalition@gmail.com
Subject:

Dear local leaders and team,

As a pilot, student and resident of Van Nuys, I am writing to express my strong support for keeping Whiteman Airport open with a focus on modernization and safety improvements instead of closure.

Whiteman Airport has been part of the San Fernando Valley community for more than 80

years. It supports local jobs, flight training, emergency response operations, wildfire support, public safety activities, and small businesses. Its economic impact is massive, creating hundreds of jobs and bringing in more than $100 million in economic activity for the region.

Closing the airport would not eliminate aviation activity. It would simply shift more traffic and congestion to nearby airports and create new regional problems.

I believe the better path is to improve the airport while continuing to address community concerns. Local leaders should move forward with practical solutions that benefit both residents and airport users, including:

• Upgrading aging infrastructure and improving safety systems
• Using available FAA funding for modernization projects
• Expanding noise mitigation efforts and improving operational practices
• Supporting cleaner and quieter aviation technology, including electric aircraft
• Improving communication and transparency with surrounding neighborhoods
• Continuing meaningful community engagement with Valley residents

Multiple neighborhood councils, including Pacoima, have voted overwhelmingly in support of keeping Whiteman Airport open, and I hope those voices will be fully considered as the County evaluates the airport's future.

Please prioritize modernization, safety improvements, and responsible planning before considering any irreversible decision to close Whiteman Airport.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,
Carlos Rivas
Pilot/resident/student, Van Nuys

Get Outlook for Android

From: ExecutiveOffice
To: PublicComments
Subject: FW: Please keep and modernize Whiteman Airport
Date: Monday, July 20, 2026 10:36:17 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Hello,

The Executive Office has received the following correspondence, and it is being forwarded for your attention and review.

Thank you,

Executive Office of the Board of Supervisors
Phone: (213) 974-1411 | Email: executiveoffice@bos.lacounty.gov
Find us on Facebook, Instagram, LinkedIn, Twitter @ Youtube @LACountyBOS

EXECUTIVE OFFICE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

From: Allen Biglarian <allenbiglarian@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 17, 2026 9:05 PM
To: ExecutiveOffice <ExecutiveOffice@bos.lacounty.gov>; First District <firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>; Holly J. Mitchell <HollyJMitchell@bos.lacounty.gov>; Third District <ThirdDistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>; Supervisor Janice Hahn (Fourth District) <fourthdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>; Barger, Kathryn <Kathryn@bos.lacounty.gov>; councilmember.padilla@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org
Cc: whitemanairportcoalition@gmail.com
Subject: Please keep and modernize Whiteman Airport

Dear local leaders and team,

I am writing as a resident of Tujunga to express my strong support for keeping Whiteman Airport open with a focus on modernization and safety improvements instead of closure.

Whiteman Airport has been part of the San Fernando Valley community for more than 80 years. It supports local jobs, flight training, emergency response operations, wildfire support, public safety activities, and small businesses. Its economic impact is massive, creating hundreds of jobs and bringing in more than $100 million in economic activity for the region.

Closing the airport would not eliminate aviation activity. It would simply shift more traffic and congestion to nearby airports and create new regional problems.

I believe the better path is to improve the airport while continuing to address community concerns. Local leaders should move forward with practical solutions that benefit both residents and airport users, including:

- Upgrading aging infrastructure and improving safety systems
- Using available FAA funding for modernization projects
- Expanding noise mitigation efforts and improving operational practices
- Supporting cleaner and quieter aviation technology, including electric aircraft
- Improving communication and transparency with surrounding neighborhoods
- Continuing meaningful community engagement with Valley residents

Multiple neighborhood councils, including Pacoima, have voted overwhelmingly in support of keeping Whiteman Airport open, and I hope those voices will be fully considered as the County evaluates the airport's future.

Please prioritize modernization, safety improvements, and responsible planning before considering any irreversible decision to close Whiteman Airport.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,
Allen Biglarian
Resident, Tujunga

From: ExecutiveOffice
To: PublicComments
Subject: FW:
Date: Monday, July 20, 2026 10:36:56 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Hello,

The Executive Office has received the following correspondence, and it is being forwarded for your attention and review.

Thank you,

Executive Office of the Board of Supervisors
Phone: (213) 974-1411 | Email: executiveoffice@bos.lacounty.gov
Find us on Facebook, Instagram, LinkedIn, Twitter @ Youtube @LACountyBOS

EXECUTIVE OFFICE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

From: LOUIS AGUILAR <laeagle76@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 17, 2026 9:59 PM
To: ExecutiveOffice <ExecutiveOffice@bos.lacounty.gov>; First District <firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>; Holly J. Mitchell <HollyJMitchell@bos.lacounty.gov>; Third District <ThirdDistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>; Supervisor Janice Hahn (Fourth District) <fourthdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>; Barger, Kathryn <Kathryn@bos.lacounty.gov>; mpestrella@pw.lacounty.gov; adermenj@pw.lacounty.gov; ksalama@pw.lacounty.gov; agmoody@pw.lacounty.gov; llucero@pw.lacounty.gov; mesposito@pw.lacounty.gov; acrockom@pw.lacounty.gov; reortega@pw.lacounty.gov; mareyes@pw.lacounty.gov; ekunitake@pw.lacounty.gov; lbradley@pw.lacounty.gov; jmorgan@pw.lacounty.gov; councilmember.padilla@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org
Cc: whitemanairportcoalition@gmail.com
Subject:

Dear local leaders and team,

I am writing as a resident of Los Angeles to express my strong support for keeping Whiteman Airport open with a focus on modernization and safety improvements instead of closure.

Whiteman Airport has been part of the San Fernando Valley community for more than 80 years. It supports local jobs, flight training, emergency response operations, wildfire support, public safety activities, and small businesses. Its economic impact is massive, creating hundreds of jobs and bringing in more than $100 million in economic activity for the region.

Closing the airport would not eliminate aviation activity. It would simply shift more traffic and congestion to nearby airports and create new regional problems.

I believe the better path is to improve the airport while continuing to address community concerns. Local leaders should move forward with practical solutions that benefit both residents and airport users, including:

- Upgrading aging infrastructure and improving safety systems
- Using available FAA funding for modernization projects
- Expanding noise mitigation efforts and improving operational practices
- Supporting cleaner and quieter aviation technology, including electric aircraft
- Improving communication and transparency with surrounding neighborhoods
- Continuing meaningful community engagement with Valley residents

Multiple neighborhood councils, including Pacoima, have voted overwhelmingly in support of keeping Whiteman Airport open, and I hope those voices will be fully considered as the County evaluates the airport's future.

Please prioritize modernization, safety improvements, and responsible planning before considering any irreversible decision to close Whiteman Airport.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,
Louis Aguilar
Resident, Los Angeles

From: ExecutiveOffice
To: PublicComments
Subject: FW: Please keep and modernize Whiteman Airport
Date: Monday, July 20, 2026 10:37:40 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Hello,

The Executive Office has received the following correspondence, and it is being forwarded for your attention and review.

Thank you,

Executive Office of the Board of Supervisors
Phone: (213) 974-1411 | Email: executiveoffice@bos.lacounty.gov
Find us on Facebook, Instagram, LinkedIn, Twitter @ Youtube @LACountyBOS

From: Mary Buhl <mbuhl@cca.edu>
Sent: Saturday, July 18, 2026 9:11 AM
To: ExecutiveOffice <ExecutiveOffice@bos.lacounty.gov>; First District <firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>; Holly J. Mitchell <HollyJMitchell@bos.lacounty.gov>; Third District <ThirdDistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>; Supervisor Janice Hahn (Fourth District) <fourthdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>; Barger, Kathryn <Kathryn@bos.lacounty.gov>; mpestrella@pw.lacounty.gov; adermenj@pw.lacounty.gov; ksalama@pw.lacounty.gov; agmoody@pw.lacounty.gov; llucero@pw.lacounty.gov; mesposito@pw.lacounty.gov; acrockom@pw.lacounty.gov; reortega@pw.lacounty.gov; mareyes@pw.lacounty.gov; ekunitake@pw.lacounty.gov; lbradley@pw.lacounty.gov; jmorgan@pw.lacounty.gov; councilmember.padilla@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; whitemanairportcoalition@gmail.com
Subject: Please keep and modernize Whiteman Airport

Dear local leaders and team,

I am writing as a resident of Los Angeles to express my strong support for keeping Whiteman Airport open with a focus on modernization and safety improvements instead of closure.

Whiteman Airport has been part of the San Fernando Valley community for more than 80

years. It supports local jobs, flight training, emergency response operations, wildfire support, public safety activities, and small businesses. Its economic impact is massive, creating hundreds of jobs and bringing in more than $100 million in economic activity for the region.

Closing the airport would not eliminate aviation activity. It would simply shift more traffic and congestion to nearby airports and create new regional problems.

I believe the better path is to improve the airport while continuing to address community concerns. Local leaders should move forward with practical solutions that benefit both residents and airport users, including:

- Upgrading aging infrastructure and improving safety systems
- Using available FAA funding for modernization projects
- Expanding noise mitigation efforts and improving operational practices
- Supporting cleaner and quieter aviation technology, including electric aircraft
- Improving communication and transparency with surrounding neighborhoods
- Continuing meaningful community engagement with Valley residents

Multiple neighborhood councils, including Pacoima, have voted overwhelmingly in support of keeping Whiteman Airport open, and I hope those voices will be fully considered as the County evaluates the airport's future.

Please prioritize modernization, safety improvements, and responsible planning before considering any irreversible decision to close Whiteman Airport.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,
Mary Buhl
Resident, Los Angeles

From: ExecutiveOffice
To: PublicComments
Subject: FW: Whiteman Airport Support
Date: Monday, July 20, 2026 10:39:41 AM

Hello,

The Executive Office has received the following correspondence, and it is being forwarded for your attention and review.

Thank you,

Executive Office of the Board of Supervisors
Phone:
(213) 974-1411 | Email:
executiveoffice@bos.lacounty.gov
Find us on
Facebook,
Instagram,
LinkedIn,
Twitter
@ Youtube
@LACountyBOS

-----Original Message-----
From: Christopher Lord <cblord5@yahoo.com>
Sent: Saturday, July 18, 2026 7:35 PM
To: ExecutiveOffice <ExecutiveOffice@bos.lacounty.gov>; First District <firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>; Holly J. Mitchell <HollyJMitchell@bos.lacounty.gov>; Third District <ThirdDistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>; Supervisor Janice Hahn (Fourth District) <fourthdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>; Barger, Kathryn <Kathryn@bos.lacounty.gov>; mpestrella@pw.lacounty.gov; adermenj@pw.lacounty.gov; ksalama@pw.lacounty.gov; agmoody@pw.lacounty.gov; llucero@pw.lacounty.gov; mesposito@pw.lacounty.gov; acrockom@pw.lacounty.gov; reortega@pw.lacounty.gov; mareyes@pw.lacounty.gov; ekunitake@pw.lacounty.gov; lbradley@pw.lacounty.gov; jmorgan@pw.lacounty.gov; councilmember.padilla@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org
Cc: whitemanairportcoalition@gmail.com
Subject: Whiteman Airport Support

Dear local leaders and team,

I am writing as a resident of Whittier to express my strong support for keeping Whiteman Airport open with a focus on modernization and safety improvements instead of closure.

Whiteman Airport has been part of the San Fernando Valley community for more than 80 years. It supports local jobs, flight training, emergency response operations, wildfire support, public safety activities, and small businesses. Its economic impact is massive, creating hundreds of jobs and bringing in more than $100 million in economic activity for the region.

Closing the airport would not eliminate aviation activity. It would simply shift more traffic and congestion to nearby airports and create new regional problems.

I believe the better path is to improve the airport while continuing to address community concerns. Local leaders

should move forward with practical solutions that benefit both residents and airport users, including:

• Upgrading aging infrastructure and improving safety systems • Using available FAA funding for modernization projects • Expanding noise mitigation efforts and improving operational practices • Supporting cleaner and quieter aviation technology, including electric aircraft • Improving communication and transparency with surrounding neighborhoods • Continuing meaningful community engagement with Valley residents

Multiple neighborhood councils, including Pacoima, have voted overwhelmingly in support of keeping Whiteman Airport open, and I hope those voices will be fully considered as the County evaluates the airport's future.

Please prioritize modernization, safety improvements, and responsible planning before considering any irreversible decision to close Whiteman Airport.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,
Christopher Lord
Resident, Whittier

Sent from my iPad

From: ExecutiveOffice
To: PublicComments
Subject: FW: Please keep and modernize Whiteman Airport
Date: Monday, July 20, 2026 10:40:32 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Hello,

The Executive Office has received the following correspondence, and it is being forwarded for your attention and review.

Thank you,

Executive Office of the Board of Supervisors
Phone: (213) 974-1411 | Email: executiveoffice@bos.lacounty.gov
Find us on Facebook, Instagram, LinkedIn, Twitter @ Youtube @LACountyBOS

From: S M <socorromacias7116@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, July 18, 2026 10:29 PM
To: ExecutiveOffice <ExecutiveOffice@bos.lacounty.gov>; First District <firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>; Holly J. Mitchell <HollyJMitchell@bos.lacounty.gov>; Third District <ThirdDistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>; Supervisor Janice Hahn (Fourth District) <fourthdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>; Barger, Kathryn <Kathryn@bos.lacounty.gov>; mpestrella@pw.lacounty.gov; adermenj@pw.lacounty.gov; ksalama@pw.lacounty.gov; agmoody@pw.lacounty.gov; llucero@pw.lacounty.gov; mesposito@pw.lacounty.gov; acrockom@pw.lacounty.gov; reortega@pw.lacounty.gov; mareyes@pw.lacounty.gov; ekunitake@pw.lacounty.gov; lbradley@pw.lacounty.gov; jmorgan@pw.lacounty.gov; councilmember.padilla@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org
Cc: whitemanairportcoalition@gmail.com
Subject: Please keep and modernize Whiteman Airport

Dear local leaders and team,

I am writing as a resident of sylmar to express my strong support for keeping Whiteman Airport open with a focus on modernization and safety improvements instead of closure.

Whiteman Airport has been part of the San Fernando Valley community for more than 80 years. It supports local jobs, flight training, emergency response operations, wildfire support, public safety activities, and small businesses. Its economic impact is massive, creating hundreds of jobs and bringing in more than $100 million in economic activity for the region.

Closing the airport would not eliminate aviation activity. It would simply shift more traffic and congestion to nearby airports and create new regional problems.

I believe the better path is to improve the airport while continuing to address community concerns. Local leaders should move forward with practical solutions that benefit both residents and airport users, including:

• Upgrading aging infrastructure and improving safety systems
• Using available FAA funding for modernization projects
• Expanding noise mitigation efforts and improving operational practices
• Supporting cleaner and quieter aviation technology, including electric aircraft
• Improving communication and transparency with surrounding neighborhoods
• Continuing meaningful community engagement with Valley residents

Multiple neighborhood councils, including Pacoima, have voted overwhelmingly in support of keeping Whiteman Airport open, and I hope those voices will be fully considered as the County evaluates the airport's future.

Please prioritize modernization, safety improvements, and responsible planning before considering any irreversible decision to close Whiteman Airport.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,
socorro macias
Resident, sylmar

From:	ExecutiveOffice
To:	PublicComments
Subject:	FW: [PUBLIC COMMENT ALL AGENCIES] BCHD Update: The Health Damages to the Nearby Community According to Peer-Reviewed Research
Date:	Monday, July 20, 2026 1:17:26 PM
Attachments:	(BCHD) Transmittal_Memo_Noise_Studies.pdf (BCHD) Health Damages Studies of Construction Noise FTA Noise Level Exceedance.pdf (BCHD) Health Damages Studies of Any Source Caused FTA Noise Level Exceedance.pdf image001.png

Hello,

The Executive Office has received the following correspondence, and it is being forwarded for your attention and review.

Thank you,

Executive Office of the Board of Supervisors
Phone: (213) 974-1411 | Email: executiveoffice@bos.lacounty.gov
Find us on Facebook, Instagram, LinkedIn, Twitter @ Youtube @LACountyBOS

EXECUTIVE OFFICE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

From: Stop BCHD <stop.bchd@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, July 20, 2026 9:11 AM
To: Eleanor Manzano <cityclerk@redondo.org>; cityclerk@hermosabeach.gov; cityclerk@manhattanbeach.gov; CityCouncil@torranceca.gov
Cc: City Council <citycouncil@hermosabeach.gov>; citycouncil@manhattanbeach.gov; ExecutiveOffice <ExecutiveOffice@bos.lacounty.gov>; Clerk.Media@lacity.org; Ben.Allen@sen.ca.gov; Al.Muratsuchi@asm.ca.gov; brad.waller@redondo.org; scott.behrendt@redondo.org; Zein Obagi <zein.obagi@redondo.org>; chadwick.castle@redondo.org; Paige Kaluderovic <paige.kaluderovic@redondo.org>; info@rightofsay.com; info@allcove.org; info <info@lalafco.org>; info@achd.org
Subject: [PUBLIC COMMENT ALL AGENCIES] BCHD Update: The Health Damages to the Nearby Community According to Peer-Reviewed Research

As BCHD continues its march to damage surrounding neighborhood health and value for the benefit of a 100% private development -- we are continuing to document the health damages that BCHD will cause.

The damages are documented in the attached, peer-reviewed studies of the excessive noise conditions that BCHD's Board CERTIFIED will occur. The damages are very real.

MEMORANDUM

To: Beach Cities Health District and its Developers and Contractors; Local Agencies; Surrounding Construction-Impacted Residents

From: StopBCHD

Date: July 20, 2026

Re: Transmittal of Noise-Health Research Relevant to FTA Threshold Exceedances of Proposed Commercial Real Estate Development on Public-owned Prospect Avenue Site

Beach Cities Health District (BCHD) routinely relies on research developed in other places and populations when that research supports its public-health programs and institutional objectives. That practice necessarily rests on the accepted scientific principle that valid findings may be transferable beyond the precise location or project studied. During the Healthy Living Campus EIR process, however, BCHD repeatedly discounted unfavorable health evidence because a study was not conducted in Redondo Beach, did not concern this exact project, did not involve temporary construction noise, or did not match the precise exposure pathway or symptom asserted. **BCHD cannot reasonably invoke transferability when research supports its programs and reject transferability when research identifies potential harm from its own project.** Selective reliance of that kind amounts to cherry-picking. We therefore intend to present BCHD's prior EIR responses, viewed as a whole, to one or more judicial officers for independent review at a future time.

Enclosed are two research compilations addressing the health consequences of environmental noise at levels relevant to Federal Transit Administration criteria.

The first addresses health damage associated with noise exceeding or approaching FTA thresholds regardless of source. It summarizes peer-reviewed research concerning roadway, aircraft, rail, and multi-source environmental noise and identifies cardiovascular disease, stroke, myocardial infarction mortality, hospital admissions, childhood cognitive impairment, behavioral effects, depression, diabetes, and other non-auditory outcomes. The central point is straightforward: the human body responds to acoustic intensity, duration, nighttime disturbance, unpredictability, and repeated peak events—not to the administrative label assigned to the source. The compilation also notes that FTA construction criteria permit exposure up to 80 dBA Leq during the day and 70 dBA Leq at night, while epidemiological studies report adverse associations at lower environmental noise levels.

The second compilation is limited more specifically to construction noise and associated vibration. It identifies research involving active worksites, heavy machinery, hauling, pile driving, low-frequency noise, groundborne vibration, sleep disruption, cardiovascular symptoms, cognitive interference, and autonomic nervous-system responses. It also explains why averaged metrics such as Leq and Ldn may understate the effects of erratic, impulsive, high-kurtosis construction noise. The studies summarized include findings of cardiovascular-related symptoms among residents exposed to construction noise, loss of healthy life years driven principally by sleep disruption, high annoyance from construction vibration, concentration and reading interference, and measurable acute stress responses during machinery exposure.

Heart function, nervous system, fatigue, chronic stress, and child development damages to name only a few.

Thanks to volunteers, we have two detailed studies that are fully documented and entered into the public records to be publicly available to inform local agencies as well as for group, individual, or small claims court litigation support or any other use a public comment in the record of various agencies can be used for.

No action is required from you at this time. Our only intent is to demonstrate to you that your friends and neighbors care deeply about your health and will work to support keeping you healthy, no matter what BCHD and its private developers plan to do for their $1.25M/year, 99-year lease project on our publicly-owned and purchased land.

Be safe and healthy.

Your friends and neighbors at StopBCHD

--

StopBCHD.com (StopBCHD@gmail.com) is a Neighborhood Quality-of-Life Community concerned about the quality-of-life, health, and economic damages that BCHDs financially-centered private development to support a runaway Executive spending will cause based on peer-reviewed, transferable studies.

Our neighborhoods have been burdened since 1960 by the failed South Bay Hospital project and have not received the benefit of the voter-approved acute care public hospital since 1984.Yet we still suffer 100% of the damages and we will suffer 100% of the damages of BCHDs proposal.

These two compilations are complementary. The first demonstrates that sufficiently intense environmental noise may produce physiological and developmental harm regardless of whether the source is road traffic, aircraft, rail, industrial activity, or construction.

The second demonstrates that construction noise presents additional source-specific concerns because of its impulsive character, unpredictability, vibration component, low-frequency content, interference with sleep, and limited potential for habituation. Together, they directly answer the recurring suggestion in BCHD's EIR responses that available health literature was either too general or insufficiently specific to construction activity. **They refute BCHD's recurring pattern of cherry-picking only supportive research and ignoring research that demonstrates BCHD's construction damages profile to surrounding residents. They establish a clear nexus of transferability of noise damages to health.**

BCHD's prior responses should not be examined one at a time as isolated drafting choices. The pattern was to narrow the required factual match until virtually no published study could qualify: not local enough, not project-specific enough, not temporary enough, not construction-specific enough, or not tied to the exact receptor and symptom. Applied consistently, that standard would make preventive epidemiology functionally useless unless the project were first built and the surrounding population studied after exposure. That is not a defensible public-health standard. It substitutes technical distinctions for a meaningful evaluation of whether known noise-related health mechanisms are relevant to the exposures BCHD itself predicts.

BCHD is a public health district. It should evaluate health evidence consistently and with the same willingness to apply transferable research that it uses in its own programs. These materials are transmitted so that BCHD, reviewing agencies, and any court examining the record have an organized presentation of both the general noise-health evidence and the construction-specific evidence.

Enclosures

1. Health Damages Studies of Any-Source-Caused FTA Noise Level Exceedance
2. Health Damages Studies of Construction Noise and FTA Noise Level Exceedance

Health Damage Studies of Any-Source Caused FTA Noise Level Exceedances

July 15, 2026

To evaluate the environmental health consequences of prolonged infrastructure development, it is necessary to examine how the human body processes high-decibel acoustic profiles and groundborne vibrations that exceed the regulatory thresholds established by the Federal Transit Administration (FTA).

The FTA *Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment Manual* establishes specific construction limits for residential zones, setting an 8-hour daytime ceiling of 80 dBA Leq (Equivalent Continuous Sound Level), an 8-hour nighttime ceiling of 70 dBA Leq, and a 30-day cumulative average of 75 dBA Ldn (Day-Night Average Sound Level).

Crucially, these federal thresholds rely heavily on the **Equal Energy Hypothesis**, which assumes that the total biological damage of noise is determined strictly by the time-averaged acoustic energy accumulated over a given window. However, public health and environmental engineering research indicates that time-integrated metrics significantly underestimate the health hazards unique to construction noise. Because construction sites generate highly erratic, high-kurtosis sound signatures (sudden, near-instantaneous sound pressure peaks) paired with low-frequency ground vibrations, they trigger distinct, severe non-auditory pathological pathways in surrounding residential populations.

1. Epidemiological Identification of Construction-Specific Health Outcomes

Large-scale epidemiological tracking reveals that the irregular, high-amplitude clatter of an active construction site acts as a significantly more severe physiological stressor than standard, predictable transportation noise sources.

A landmark environmental health study isolated the precise health burdens of distinct urban noise profiles on residents inside their homes. The research team tracked multi-source environmental noise exposure—including road traffic, rail lines, civil aviation, general neighborhood sounds, and active construction sites—and cross-referenced them with clinically validated subjective symptoms of cardiovascular disease (CVD).

Out of all urban environmental stressors analyzed, **residential construction noise demonstrated the strongest statistical association with cardiovascular-related symptoms**, surpassing the measured impacts of both aviation and rail traffic. The study found that exposure to construction noise dramatically elevated adjusted Odds Ratios (OR) within a 95% confidence interval across multiple acute vascular and neurological risk indicators:

- **Disturbances in Pulse (Cardiac Arrhythmia Indicators):** OR = 1.12 (1.06 to 1.19)
- **Foot Pain or Numbness While Walking (Peripheral Vascular Restriction):** OR = 1.19 (1.12 to 1.28)
- **Acute Impaired Tongue Movement (Neurological/Stroke Indicators):** OR = 1.21 (1.08 to 1.35)
- **Limb Paralysis (Acute Ischemic Indicators):** OR = 1.25 (1.15 to 1.36)

To quantify the broader community toll of these exposures, public health researchers developed a structural health risk model based on Disability-Adjusted Life Years (DALYs)—a metric utilized by the World Health Organization to measure the years of healthy life lost to environmental illnesses.

Evaluating a residential area adjacent to heavy infrastructure development, the model demonstrated that extended construction noise exposure resulted in a documented loss of 34.51 DALYs across the neighboring receptor community. The analysis identified middle-aged adults (specifically the 45 to 54 age demographic) as the most vulnerable population cohort, with the absolute primary driver of healthy life loss stemming directly from **severe construction-induced sleep architecture fragmentation**.

2. Low-Frequency Hum and Groundborne Vibration Dynamics

A primary reason construction noise penetrates residential envelopes so aggressively is its low-frequency component, which is frequently paired with groundborne structural vibration. Comprehensive field studies analyzing human responses to vibration in residential environments have derived distinct exposure-response curves specifically for construction activity. The field data demonstrated that 37.9% of residents exposed to construction-induced groundborne vibration reported being "highly annoyed," a rate vastly outstripping the community reaction to permanent rail infrastructure. The tracking proved that identical construction vibration velocities elicited significantly stronger autonomic and negative emotional stress responses during the evening and night than during daytime hours.

Furthermore, a resident's immediate concern over property and structural settlement damage heavily amplifies the biological severity of their noise and vibration annoyance.
When these forces interact with multi-story residential structures, the propagation behavior creates unique internal exposure zones. Structural mapping inside a 31-story residential tower adjacent to a heavy light-rail construction project revealed that airborne construction noise concentrates heavily on lower residential levels before attenuating upward. Concurrently, groundborne vibrations travel directly up the structural columns, creating secondary acoustic resonances and floor-slab vibrations that decay sequentially by floor. Because construction machinery operates with no physical shielding and constantly shifts positions, its noise and vibration profiles are highly sudden and discontinuous. This structural randomness prevents

the human body from anticipating the stressor, making it uniquely disruptive compared to predictable underground transit systems.

3. Physical Attributes, Annoyance Levels, and Sleep Disturbance

The specific machinery arrays deployed on site—including diesel generators, metal-cutting tools, welding stations, and heavy transport vehicles—create an oppressive localized soundscape.

Ambient sound tracking at the exterior facades of homes flanking urban worksites revealed a mean exposure level of 74.57 dBA, directly breaching acceptable municipal and residential baselines. Cross-sectional community surveys mapped to these decibel loads documented intense community distress, with 87.1% of vicinity residents reporting "very high" noise annoyance. The most prevalent non-auditory clinical issues identified among these adjacent neighbors were persistent indoor cognitive distraction, severe sleep onset delay, and immediate reading and concentration difficulties.

> Steady Traffic Noise (Low Kurtosis) --> Brain Habituation Underway --> Lower Acute Stress Response
> Construction Impact (High Kurtosis) --> Reflex Acoustic Shock --> Sympathetic Nervous System Dominance

To map the exact biological timeline of how these acoustic shocks break down human homeostatic function, researchers conducted real-time physiological tracking of subjects exposed to stepped construction machinery loads scaling up to the peak FTA allowance of 80 dBA. Tracking Electrodermal Activity (EDA) and Heart Rate Variability (HRV), the experimental data revealed that construction noise significantly alters the body's nervous system balance within the first 30 seconds of exposure. The rapid, unpredictable shifts inherent to both tonal machinery hums and impulsive impact pile driving cause sharp drops in the standard deviation of normal beat intervals (SDNN) and high-frequency (HF) cardiac power bands. This shifts the human body completely out of its parasympathetic "rest-and-digest" state and locks it into acute **sympathetic nervous system dominance**, driving immediate blood pressure spikes, elevated systemic cortisol, and arterial constriction.

The Pathological Mechanism: The core hazard of construction noise lies in its high kurtosis and structural randomness. Because the human brain cannot establish a predictable pattern amid the erratic clatter of heavy equipment, it continually processes the soundscape as an immediate environmental threat. This permanent engagement of the stress-response loop prevents nocturnal cardiovascular recovery, accelerating arterial stiffening and long-term endothelial damage over weeks of exposure.

Summary of Quantified Residential Health Indicators

Peer-Reviewed Study	Measured Vector	Primary Residential Receptors	Documented Health Outcomes
Azuma & Uchiyama (2017)	Heavy site machinery noise vs. transit noise	Elderly individuals inside primary homes	Strongest correlation with CVD symptoms; up to a **1.25 Odds Ratio** for acute ischemic indicators.
Xiao, Li, & Zhang (2016)	Earthwork and concrete phase noise	Bordering residential neighborhoods	Documented community loss of **34.51 DALYs** driven by severe sleep architecture fragmentation.
Waddington et al. (2014)	Groundborne construction vibration	Occupants inside their own homes	Confirmed **37.9% high annoyance**; evening/night vibration forces acute autonomic stress reactions.
Zou, Zhu, & Chen (2020)	Combined structural noise and vibration	Multi-story (31 floors) residential tower	Mapped floor-by-floor transmission; sudden, discontinuous profiles cause **maximum psychological disruption**.
Golmohammadi et	Diesel generators	140 immediate	Ambient levels

al. (2013)	and heavy hauling	neighborhood residents	averaged 74.57 dBA; **87.1% of residents** suffered extreme sleep and cognitive interference.
Lee, Hwang, & Lee (2024)	Impulsive pile driving and tonal machinery	Human biological testing at FTA limits	Documented immediate **sympathetic nervous system dominance** via HRV/EDA; failure of brain habituation.

Comprehensive Sources and References

- **Azuma, K., & Uchiyama, I. (2017).** Association between environmental noise and subjective symptoms related to cardiovascular diseases among elderly individuals in Japan. *PLOS ONE*, *12*(11), e0188236. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0188236
- **Golmohammadi, R., Abbaspour, M., Nassiri, P., & Mahjub, H. (2013).** Noise Annoyance Due to Construction Worksites. *Journal of Research in Health Sciences*, *13*(2), 201–207. (Archived via ResearchGate & CABI Digital Library).
- **Lee, S., Hwang, S., Lee, M., & Lee, S. (2024).** The impact of different types and levels of construction noise on physiological responses: Focusing on standardization and habituation. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, *112*, 105644. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2024.105644
- **Waddington, D. C., Wood, C. C., Smith, M. G., et al. (2014).** Human response to vibration in residential environments. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, *135*(1), 182–193. https://doi.org/10.1121/1.4836017
- **Xiao, J., Li, X., & Zhang, Z. (2016).** DALY-Based Health Risk Assessment of Construction Noise in Beijing, China. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *13*(11), 1045. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph13111045
- **Zou, C., Zhu, R., Chen, Y., et al. (2020).** Evaluation of Building Construction-Induced Noise and Vibration Impact on Residents. *Sustainability*, *12*(4), 1579. https://doi.org/10.3390/su12041579

Health Damage Studies of Any-Source Caused FTA Noise Level Exceedances

July 15, 2026

Federal frameworks, such as the U.S. Federal Transit Administration (FTA) manual, typically baseline environmental noise impact criteria against variables like **speech interference** and **community annoyance**. For instance, permanent transit criteria flag "Moderate to Severe" impacts when noise ranges from 55 to 65 dBA Ldn, while transient construction thresholds permit short-term limits up to 70 dBA Leq at night and 80 dBA Leq during the day. However, broad public health, medical, and developmental epidemiological data demonstrate that when ambient noise environments regularly exceed these regulatory boundaries, the impact transitions from a subjective neighborhood nuisance into systematic, non-auditory biological damage.

For non-workers—specifically permanent residents, vulnerable elderly populations, and developing children—prolonged exposure to noise levels above these thresholds triggers significant pathways of physiological and psychological impairment. The following ten peer-reviewed studies document the cross-disciplinary health damages caused by high-intensity environmental noise fields.

1. Cardiovascular Pathologies, Hospitalization Risks, and All-Cause Mortality

When ambient noise levels breach the 55–60 dBA Ldn envelope and advance toward peak thresholds, the primary medical damage occurs within the human vascular tree. The autonomic nervous system processes these intense sound fields as environmental stressors, resulting in persistent vasoconstriction and arterial wear.

Study 1: The Danish Diet, Cancer, and Health Cohort (Stroke Incidence)

- **Source:** Sørensen et al. (2011)
- **Parameters & Receptors:** Prospective cohort tracking 51,485 urban residents aged 50–64 over a mean follow-up window of 9.6 years, mapping modeled residential facade exposure ranging up to 82 dBA.
- **Documented Damage:** The study identified a clear linear dose-response relationship between residential noise exposure and stroke risk. For every 10 dB increment of additional noise, the overall risk of sustaining a stroke increased by 14%. For vulnerable residents aged 65 and older, the vulnerability scaled exponentially, demonstrating a **27% risk increase per 10 dB** of elevated noise, with a sharp pathological acceleration observed above a threshold limit of 60 dBA. The authors estimated that up to 19% of

stroke cases in older populations could be directly attributed to high-intensity traffic noise fields.

Study 2: The Swiss National Cohort (Myocardial Infarction Mortality)

- **Source:** Huss et al. (2010)
- **Parameters & Receptors:** Longitudinal evaluation of 4.6 million adult residents older than 30 years across Switzerland, tracking geocoded residential locations relative to transport corridors.
- **Documented Damage:** The study isolated 15,532 distinct fatalities stemming directly from myocardial infarction (acute heart attacks). After controlling for localized particulate matter air pollution (PM10), socioeconomic index, and education, researchers discovered that heart attack mortality increased progressively with both the decibel level and the duration of high-intensity exposure. Residents exposed to noise levels equal to or exceeding 60 dBA exhibited an adjusted **hazard ratio of 1.3** compared to those in baseline environments below 45 dBA. For long-term non-workers and residents who remained at the same address for 15 years or more, the hazard ratio escalated to **1.5**, indicating a 50% increase in fatal heart attack risk driven by sustained environmental acoustics.

Study 3: The US Multi-Airport Retrospective Cohort (Cardiovascular Hospitalizations)

- **Source:** Correia et al. (2013)
- **Parameters & Receptors:** Massive retrospective multi-airport study tracking a population of over 6 million older adults (Medicare beneficiaries aged 65 and older) residing near 89 distinct U.S. airports.
- **Documented Damage:** Utilizing high-resolution noise contours and controlling for individual zip-code level demographics and socioeconomic status, the study evaluated the direct relationship between aircraft noise fields and acute hospital admissions. The analysis established that a zip code characterized by a 10 dB higher noise exposure experienced a **3.5% higher cardiovascular hospital admission rate** on average. The correlation remained pronounced in environments where noise metrics advanced into the 90th percentile, confirming that high-decibel overhead noise spikes cause rapid cardiovascular decompensation in vulnerable residential demographics.

Study 4: The London M25 Urban Population Study (All-Cause Mortality and Morbidity)

- **Source:** Halonen et al. (2015)
- **Parameters & Receptors:** Small-area level public health analysis covering 8.6 million inhabitants across the entirety of London, partitioning daytime (7:00–22:59) and nighttime (23:00–06:59) noise fields.
- **Documented Damage:** The study cross-referenced all-cause mortality and cardiovascular hospital admissions against residential postcodes. Residents living in high-noise zones exceeding 60 dBA during the day demonstrated a **4% increase in all-cause mortality** compared to residents exposed to quieter baselines below 55 dBA. Furthermore, daytime noise levels above 60 dBA drove a **5% increase in hospital admissions for stroke** among adults, which expanded to a **9% increased risk among elderly residents**. Nighttime noise spikes between 55–60 dBA independently forced a 5% increase in stroke risks for the elderly cohort.

2. Child Development, Neurodevelopmental Impairments, and Education Deficits

Developing children represent a uniquely high-risk non-worker population. Because their central nervous systems are still maturing and they lack the mature cognitive coping strategies needed to actively manage environmental stressors, high-amplitude ambient sound fields directly interfere with core learning windows and behavioral baselines.



Study 5: The RANCH Trans-European Epidemiological Study (Cognitive Impairment)

- **Source:** Stansfeld et al. (2005)
- **Parameters & Receptors:** Cross-sectional field study evaluating 2,279 primary school children aged 9–10 across 89 schools surrounding major European aviation hubs (London Heathrow, Amsterdam Schiphol, and Madrid Barajas).
- **Documented Damage:** The study established a clear, linear, exposure-response relationship between chronic high-decibel noise fields and cognitive deficits. Even after rigorously adjusting for parental socioeconomic status, home crowding, and localized classroom acoustics, **elevated noise exposure linearly impaired both reading comprehension and recognition memory**. The data proved that every 5 dB increase in

chronic daytime environmental noise shifted reading development metrics backward, creating long-term educational delays at a critical stage of childhood development.

Study 6: The Munich Airport Longitudinal Switchover Study (Causal Memory Loss)

- **Source:** Hygge, Evans, & Bullinger (2002)
- **Parameters & Receptors:** A prospective, longitudinal natural experiment tracking 326 primary schoolchildren (mean age of 10 years) evaluated across three separate measurement waves before and after the sudden decommissioning of the old Munich airport and the simultaneous opening of the new international airport.
- **Documented Damage:** This study provided definitive evidence of a causal relationship between high noise levels and cognitive impairment. Children living near the newly opened airport site—who went from a quiet baseline to an environment characterized by frequent high-decibel overflights—suffered **significant drops in long-term declarative memory recall, speech perception accuracy, and reading capabilities** within two years of operation. Conversely, children residing near the closed airport showed substantial cognitive recovery once the noise fields were removed, demonstrating that chronic environmental noise acts as a continuous neurocognitive depressant.

3. Psychiatric Metrics, Affective Disorders, and Behavioral Pathology

Exceeding standard acoustic limits does not merely damage physical tissue; it alters the fundamental neurochemistry of the brain. Chronic exposure to unpredictable, high-amplitude acoustic events maintains the central nervous system in a state of hyper-vigilance, leading to a higher prevalence of psychiatric conditions.

Study 7: The NORAH Rhine-Main Case-Control Project (Clinical Depression)

- **Source:** Seidler et al. (2016)
- **Parameters & Receptors:** Massive secondary healthcare data analysis evaluating approximately 1 million insured residents older than 40 years living within the acoustic impact zone of the Frankfurt Airport.
- **Documented Damage:** The epidemiological team mapped insurance-coded medical diagnoses of unipolar depression and anxiety disorders against modeled residential noise exposure. For individuals exposed to long-term continuous road traffic noise levels equal to or exceeding 70 dBA, the study documented a significant linear exposure-risk profile, yielding an **Odds Ratio (OR) of 1.17** for clinical depression. Most notably, when residents faced combined, multi-source environmental noise vectors that crossed the

50 dB threshold, the psychological strain compounded, producing a peak **depression Odds Ratio of 1.42**.

Study 8: The Danish National Birth Cohort (Childhood Hyperactivity and Inattention)

- **Source:** Hjortebjerg et al. (2016)
- **Parameters & Receptors:** Epidemiological cohort tracking 46,940 children from birth up to age 7, utilizing the parent-reported Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) to monitor behavioral baselines.
- **Documented Damage:** The study calculated time-weighted residential noise averages across each child's complete developmental address history. A 10 dB increase in continuous residential road traffic noise from birth to age 7 was associated with a **7% increase in abnormal total behavioral difficulty scores**. Crucially, the subscale analysis demonstrated a **9% risk increase for clinically significant hyperactivity and inattention symptoms** per 10 dB increment. Nighttime facade noise exposure similarly drove elevated rates of emotional symptoms and sleep latency delays (OR = 1.79), confirming that high noise levels disrupt childhood psychological development.

4. Endocrinology, Metabolic Deregulation, and Allostatic Burden

Chronic ambient noise stimulates the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis, causing a sustained, low-grade release of glucocorticoids—specifically cortisol. Elevated baseline cortisol levels inhibit insulin secretion and reduce the sensitivity of hepatic and muscular tissue to insulin, driving downstream metabolic disorders.

Study 9: The Danish Diet, Cancer, and Health Follow-Up (Type 2 Diabetes Incidence)

- **Source:** Sørensen et al. (2013)
- **Parameters & Receptors:** Population-based cohort tracking 57,053 individuals aged 50–64 at enrollment, identifying 3,869 verified cases of incident diabetes over a 9.6-year tracking window.
- **Documented Damage:** Using multi-year historical address mapping via SoundPLAN modeling, the research team isolated noise fields from background air pollution. A 10 dB higher average residential noise level during the 5 years preceding diagnosis was associated with a statistically significant **11% increased risk of developing incident diabetes**. When the researchers applied a stricter clinical definition of diabetes (excluding self-reported cases to capture only medically managed patients), the risk

profile escalated to an **Incidence Rate Ratio (IRR) of 1.14 per 10 dB increase**.

Study 10: The Stockholm County NordSOUND Collaborative Project (Central Obesity)

- **Source:** Pyko et al. (2015)
- **Parameters & Receptors:** Cross-sectional metabolic evaluation of 5,075 urban and suburban residents across Stockholm County, incorporating comprehensive clinical physical exams and body composition metrics.
- **Documented Damage:** The study investigated the relationship between residential traffic noise and markers of obesity. Long-term road traffic noise exposure demonstrated a direct relationship with increases in physical waist circumference, tracking a **0.21 cm increase per 5 dB rise** in noise. For non-workers and residents exposed to sustained environmental noise levels equal to or exceeding 45 dBA, the **Odds Ratio for developing central obesity was 1.18**. When residents were subjected to multi-source noise environments (overlapping road, rail, and aviation noise fields), the risk multiplied, yielding an **obesity Odds Ratio of 1.95**. This confirms that high noise levels alter core endocrine homeostatic mechanisms, driving systemic fat deposition and visceral adiposity.

The Methodological Flaw of Regulatory Energy Averages: Standard municipal and federal guidelines like the FTA framework rely heavily on time-integrated metrics (L_{eq} or L_{dn}), which mathematically smooth out intense, short-duration acoustic peaks over extended 8-hour or 24-hour windows. Public health data demonstrates that the human autonomic nervous system does not respond to energy averages; it responds to peak mechanical and neurological shocks. A high-kurtosis environment that meets a regulatory 80 dBA daytime average can cause significantly more vascular, cognitive, and endocrine damage than a steady, predictable baseline drone of the exact same decibel value.

Cross-Disciplinary Summary of Non-Worker Noise Damages

Peer-Reviewed Study	Primary Field	Target Receptor Cohort	Key Quantified Health Metric / Outcome
Sørensen et al. (2011)	Medicine / Vascular	51,485 Urban Adults	**27% increase in stroke risk** per 10 dB for residents over 65 years old.

Huss et al. (2010)	Medicine / Cardiology	4.6 Million Swiss Adults	**Hazard Ratio of 1.5** for fatal myocardial infarction in long-term residents.
Correia et al. (2013)	Public Health / Geriatrics	6 Million U.S. Elderly	**3.5% elevation in cardiovascular hospital admissions** per 10 dB increase.
Halonen et al. (2015)	Epidemiology	8.6 Million London Citizens	**4% increase in all-cause mortality**; 9% increase in elderly stroke hospitalizations.
Stansfeld et al. (2005)	Education / Pediatrics	2,279 Developing Children	Linear exposure-response correlation with **reading comprehension and memory deficits**.
Hygge et al. (2002)	Child Development	326 Munich Schoolchildren	Proven causal relationship for **long-term memory loss and speech perception defects**.
Seidler et al. (2016)	Psychiatry / Mental Health	1 Million Frankfurt Residents	**Odds Ratio of 1.42** for clinical depression under multi-source

			exposure fields.
Hjortebjerg et al. (2016)	Behavioral Science	46,940 Danish Children	**9% risk increase for clinical hyperactivity and inattention** per 10 dB increment.
Sørensen et al. (2013)	Endocrinology / Metabolic	57,053 Adult Subjects	**14% increase in Type 2 Diabetes incidence** per 10 dB historical exposure.
Pyko et al. (2015)	Endocrinology / Metabolic	5,075 Stockholm Residents	**Odds Ratio of 1.95 for central obesity** under combined multi-source noise loads.

Comprehensive Source Directory

- **Azuma, K., & Uchiyama, I. (2017).** Association between environmental noise and subjective symptoms related to cardiovascular diseases among elderly individuals in Japan. *PLOS ONE*, *12*(11), e0188236. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0188236
- **Correia, A. W., Peters, J. L., Levy, J. I., Melly, S., & Dominici, F. (2013).** Residential exposure to aircraft noise and hospital admissions for cardiovascular diseases: multi-airport retrospective study. *British Medical Journal (BMJ)*, *347*, f5438. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.f5438
- **Halonen, J. I., Hansell, A. L., Gulliver, J., et al. (2015).** Road traffic noise is associated with increased cardiovascular morbidity and mortality and all-cause mortality in London. *European Heart Journal*, *36*(39), 2653–2661. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehv216
- **Hjortebjerg, D., Andersen, A. M., Christensen, J. S., et al. (2016).** Exposure to road traffic noise and behavioral problems in 7-year-old children: a cohort study. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, *124*(2), 228–234. https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1409430
- **Huss, A., Spoerri, A., Egger, M., & Röösli, M. (2010).** Aircraft noise, air pollution, and mortality from myocardial infarction. *Epidemiology*, *21*(6), 829–836.

https://doi.org/10.1097/EDE.0b013e3181f4e634

- **Hygge, S., Evans, G. W., & Bullinger, M. (2002).** A prospective study of some effects of aircraft noise on cognitive performance in schoolchildren. *Psychological Science*, *13*(5), 469–474. https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9280.00483
- **Jarup, L., Babisch, W., Houthuijs, D., et al. (2008).** Hypertension and exposure to noise near airports: the HYENA study. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, *116*(3), 329–333. https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.10775
- **Pyko, A., Eriksson, C., Oftedal, B., et al. (2015).** Exposure to traffic noise and markers of obesity. *Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, *72*(8), 594–601. https://doi.org/10.1136/oemed-2014-102516
- **Seidler, A., Wagner, M., Schubert, M., et al. (2016).** Association between aircraft, road and railway traffic noise and depression in a large case-control study based on secondary data. *Deutsches Ärzteblatt International*, *113*(24), 407–414. https://doi.org/10.3238/arztebl.2016.0407
- **Sørensen, M., Andersen, Z. J., Nordsborg, R. B., et al. (2011).** Road traffic noise and stroke: a prospective cohort study. *European Heart Journal*, *32*(6), 737–744. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehq466
- **Sørensen, M., Andersen, Z. J., Nordsborg, R. B., et al. (2013).** Long-term exposure to road traffic noise and incident diabetes: a cohort study. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, *121*(2), 217–222. https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1205503
- **Stansfeld, S. A., Berglund, B., Clark, C., et al. (2005).** Aircraft and road traffic noise and children's cognition and health (RANCH study): a cross-national study. *The Lancet*, *365*(9475), 1942–1949. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(05)66660-3