



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

The following individuals submitted comments on agenda item:				
Agenda #	Relate To	Position	Name	Comments
36.		Oppose	Perry Goldberg	See attached letter.
		Item Total	1	
Grand Total			1	

Comment re Agenda Item 36, Board Meeting on May 12, 2026

Subject: Formal Objection to \$700,000 Settlement in *Alison Oley v. County of Los Angeles* (Case No. 22STCV29986) – Demand for Full Disclosure

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors:

I object to County Counsel's recommendation to authorize a \$700,000 settlement in the *Oley* matter. I urge the Board to reject this settlement and demand the same level of transparency that is standard for litigation involving other departments. This request represents an unacceptable "asymmetry of transparency" for the following reasons:

1. Deliberate Omission of Public Disclosure Documents

In other settlement recommendations on today's agenda, such as the *Camacho* and *Huber* matters, County Counsel provided a detailed "Case Summary" and a "Summary Corrective Action Plan" (SCAP) for public review. In the *Oley* matter, the County has inexplicably omitted these documents, providing the public with only two sentences of information. The public has a right to know the facts of a \$700,000 claim involving the Sheriff's Department.

2. Lack of Personnel Accountability

Without an SCAP, there is zero public record of whether the offender in this sexual harassment case has been terminated or disciplined, or whether instead the claim has any hallmarks of fraud. As a matter of public policy, the County should not be using taxpayer funds to quietly settle misconduct claims without providing proof of accountability. If the County refuses to take these cases to trial—where offenders can be publicly identified and held accountable and fraudulent claims laid to rest—it must, at a minimum, disclose the disciplinary actions taken.

3. Failure to Deter Future Misconduct

By burying the facts of this case, the County is failing to create a deterrent for future offenders or for potential fraudulent claims. Public trials serve a vital function in ensuring that legitimate victims receive justice and that illegitimate claims are exposed. Quietly paying \$700,000 from the Sheriff's Department budget without disclosing a plan for reform does nothing to stop harassment or deter claims that may be fraudulent.

I request that the Board pull this item from the consent calendar and refuse to authorize any payment until the information above is made available for Board and public scrutiny.

Respectfully submitted,
Perry Goldberg (District 5)