



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

The following individuals submitted comments on agenda item:				
Agenda #	Relate To	Position	Name	Comments
Public Comment		Favor	Tamra King	On behalf of Harbor Community Health Center in San Pedro and Long Beach, we strongly support agenda item 26: "Preparing to Immediately Implement the Essential Services Restoration Act Upon Voter Approval" by Supervisors Holly J. Mitchell and Hilda L. Solis to direct the County to prepare for immediate implementation of the Essential Services Restoration Act should voters approve the June 2026 sales tax measure. We applaud the motion's emphasis on proactive program design in partnership with the Community Clinic Association of Los Angeles County (CCALAC). We thank Supervisors Mitchell and Solis for their leadership in prioritizing access to essential health services for low-income, uninsured residents by building on the proven success of programs like My Health LA.
		Oppose	CORNELIUS PETTUS	Funding the DYD will ELIMINATE Probation officers jobs. There is NO other way to frame this. Probation CLAIMS it has a staffing shortage - yet this motion will further EXACERBATE the situation. Which Fortune 500 company has been in an "EMERGENCY" for (5) years? STRIPPING Probation (and ONLY Probation) of Civil Service Protection under the GUISE of an EMERGENCY is absurd. FIRING probation officers will NOT increase officers needed to work in juvenile hall.
		Other	First Last	county government is profiting off homelessness this is complaint about your corrupt program - emergency rent relief program (errp) lacountyrentrelief.com/ 1. the program; a. hire people who don't speak english for their phone customer service b. when you ask to speak to their supervisor, they hang up the phone on you c. would you like to know the name of the employee who treated me this way? they don't give their names. they have the perfect job as they can hang up on applicants, not held accountable as they don't provide their name, and don't let us speak to their supervisors. d. have an email address for this corrupt program e. have no website contact form for this corrupt program f. have no local phone number for this corrupt program 2. your phone customer service ignores applicants; a. customer service (877-849-0770) won't let you stay on hold. after 30 minutes it will hang up on you. what a corrupt program, you won't even allow applicants to stay on hold. i tried called multiple times and the same thing happened.



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

b. this also proves that you don't have enough staff so you should've never had this program

c. one time i got through the phone lines and the employee with horrible english didn't know how to transfer the call to my case worker. you're hiring illiterate people who also don't speak english. county government is corrupt

3. you lied on your application and provided email addresses that don't work. the emails bounce back.

error;

Sorry, we were unable to deliver your message to the following address.
No mx record found for domain=lacountyrent-relief.com

4. i applied FOUR months ago and still haven't been told whether I'm approved or not. FOUR months is unacceptable. only an illiterate staff would take this long. illiterate government employees cannot prevent homelessness. why are you only hiring illiterate people? because you're corrupt. this proves that you are profiting off of homelessness.

5. your case workers have no phone numbers. you intentionally do this so we poor people cannot talk to our case workers.

6. appeal

a. who files the appeal - tenant or landlord

b. what the website to file the appeal

c. why wasn't this in your application guide? because it was written by illiterate people

7. taxpayers know that this county government program is corrupt for many reasons;

a. you started round 2 when NO round 1 funds were distributed

b. application started late

c. you've had almost a year to create a useful application guide and couldn't do that

d. your fee is more than the amount of funds distributed to poor people

e. in conclusion, you profit off homelessness increasing

8. is this the first you're hearing of all of these problems? no way public servant! its because there's so many issues and since you've let get out of homelessness out of control why would taxpayers waste their time reporting all of these problems? taxpayers know that the corrupt county government profits off of homelessness

9. your website and guide doesn't work. look at the right side of all pages - the words are cut off. you're absolutely illiterate. no wonder there is so much homelessness here, the illiterate people creating these programs don't know how to read and write.



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

			<p>lacountyrentrelief.com/wp-content/uploads/2025/12/Application_Landlord-Application_Preview.pdf</p> <p>10. LA County Equity Explorer - your website doesn't work - here's the error. it didn't work last month when i reported it to you and your corrupt department didn't lift a finger over 1 month ago to fix it. also why don't you provide directions on how to use it? because you're illiterate</p>
		<p>Kyle D Haab</p>	<p>Members of the Board of Supervisors: I request a written cross-department explanation regarding contradictory County-linked records in my case and how those contradictions have affected my family's housing stability and due-process position. Relevant references include Board of Supervisors ICMS No. 2025-137175; LA County Fraud / OCI Case No. 2025-23289; County Fraud review reference 2026_23965; LAPD references C259045687 and C269013241; Auditor-Controller references PRA25-028, CE25-512, and CE26-048; County Equity Oversight reference REF25-0005204; LAHSA reference 2526KH-A; LA City reference DIS0002201; California Civil Rights Department references 202509-31419626 and 202509-31419628; HUD ticket CAS-886647-F8H2X / CRM 0435430; federal case 2:26-cv-00802-JFW-AS; unlawful detainer 26CMUD00417; family-law matter 25CMFL00694, currently disputed in a pending vacate posture tied to serious due-process and counsel-status concerns arising from the January 15, 2025 order; Tennessee disciplinary file 101977-2026-3-TT-INV; and the DHCS Beneficiary Profile Report preserved through County Fraud reference 2026_23965. ? ? ? ? Master_Case_Index_v3-3.docx None Inquiry_Acknowledgment_Submission_Log-2.docx None Attorney_Facing_Review_Memo_PTP_CRCD.docx None 2026_23965_Cover_Memo_Beneficiary_Profile-8.docx None The first contradiction is the contact chain. County and contractor records attempt to rely on a narrow 2023 Ruth's Place entry, yet the operative housing and data-use sequence reflected in my records begins in March 2024 while I was still in Tennessee. Those are not the same thing, and they should not be treated as the same thing. ? ? Universal_Timeline_Cross_Impact_Chronology-2.docx None Contradiction_to_Exhibit_Map-1.docx None The second contradiction is outreach and consent. Later positions claim contact was based on prior opt-in or an established entry point, yet the operative record reflects March 18-19, 2024 outreach into Tennessee during a period when I was in stable housing. The County should identify what contact was authorized, by whom, and on what basis. ? ? ? Universal_Timeline_Cross_Impact_Chronology-2.docx None Contradiction_to_Exhibit_Map-1.docx None Timeline_H_Opposing_Positions_Policy_Legal_Posture-3.docx None The third contradiction is timing. I was described as still enrolled and eligible</p>



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

for housing assistance before the documented June 7, 2024 intake date, and California-linked paperwork appears on June 13, 2024 before the actual late July or early August 2024 move. The intake, paperwork, and relocation sequence is not internally consistent. ? ?

Contradiction_to_Exhibit_Map-1.docx None

Universal_Timeline_Cross_Impact_Chronology-2.docx None

The fourth contradiction is funding source. Some records framed Project Tipping Point as supported through private foundations, while later County-linked records tied the same rental assistance to public CFCI funding under Program Area 3 / Youth Housing, through JCOD, Amity Foundation, and CRCD under contract CFCI-PA3-056-2023. Those positions do not reconcile. ?

Contradiction_to_Exhibit_Map-1.docx None

The fifth contradiction is amount and duration. JCOD stated that CFCI funds were used for rental assistance between August 2024 and June 2025, but also stated that CRCD used funds for only 9 months of rental assistance at \$1,000 per month. Those statements do not reconcile without a month-by-month accounting.

The sixth contradiction is oversight. LAHSA at one point framed the matter as fraud or theft involving a subrecipient and directed that it be taken to law enforcement, but later shifted to a no-jurisdiction position. County-linked records also moved between "not investigated," "unsubstantiated," and later referral or preservation posture. Those positions do not reconcile. ? ?

Contradiction_to_Exhibit_Map-1.docx None

Inquiry_Acknowledgment_Submission_Log-2.docx None

The seventh contradiction is role and responsibility. CRCD later took the position that Project Tipping Point was not a housing-placement program and that CRCD was not a party to the lease, yet other records show CRCD involved in sourcing the unit, negotiating the rent split, and processing lease, W-9, ACH, and payment-related paperwork tied to the placement. ?

Contradiction_to_Exhibit_Map-1.docx None

The eighth contradiction is the tenancy theory now being asserted. I am already in active litigation, including federal proceedings and unlawful detainer proceedings, yet the lease packet presented does not reflect one clear mutual agreement. The documents include inconsistent lease versions, a landlord verification form that expressly says it is not an agreement, and ACH/payment paperwork tied to third-party processing rather than tenant assent. That tenancy theory should be reconciled with the surrounding placement and funding records.

The ninth contradiction is the DHCS beneficiary record itself. The Beneficiary Profile Report preserved under 2026_23965 reflects an eligibility period beginning 03/18/2024, flags early entries with county "UNKNOWN," aid code "Invalid," and special eligibility code "X7," and includes a "Beneficiaries for the Same Case" report and disputed address associations. Those entries require a written explanation of the source system, responsible user, and basis for the data. ? ?

2026_23965_Cover_Memo_Beneficiary_Profile-8.docx None

report-C269013241-0.pdf None

These contradictions have had real impact on my family. They have affected

			housing stability, created uncertainty about who was responsible for decisions made in our name, and forced us to respond to overlapping agency, funding, housing, and court issues without one consistent record. They also overlap with interstate family-court consequences, disputed counsel status, and the pending vacate posture arising from the January 15, 2025 order. ? Attorney_Facing_Review_Memo_PTP_CRCD.docx None I am not asking for rhetoric or another referral loop. I request record preservation, cross-department review, and a written explanation identifying the operative contact basis, lawful funding source for each payment, exact payment history, actual oversight chain, the basis for the DHCS beneficiary entries, and why Tennessee- and California-linked records describe the same sequence of events in materially different ways. Kyle Haab
		Item Total	4
Grand Total			4

Universal Timeline — Cross-Impact Chronology

Merged chronology showing where subject-specific timelines materially intersect

Purpose. This document isolates only convergence events where two or more subject tracks materially cross each other so the reader can understand impact, causation, contradiction, escalation, and case posture across systems.

Convergence rule

- Include an event only if it materially affects at least two subject categories or changes case posture across systems.
- Supporting supplements, policy documents, opposing-party statements, and legal correspondence may appear here when they materially change interpretation or posture, but they do not override stronger source records unless source-level confirmation is obtained.

Category tag legend

Tag	Meaning
[HOU]	CRCD / Project Tipping Point / housing / funding
[DAT]	data / identity / consent / HMIS / beneficiary
[ENF]	property / code / habitability / landlord enforcement
[CNTY]	county / LAHSA / HMIS / OCI / jurisdiction
[LAW]	LAPD / identity-theft / criminal-reporting
[FED]	HUD / OIG / congressional / civil-rights / federal litigation
[FAM]	Tennessee / ICPC / due process / family integrity
[OPP]	opposing-party statement / policy / cease-and-desist / litigation posture

Chronology

04/27/2023–04/28/2023 — One-day CRCD Ruth’s Place entry appears in HMIS

Tags. [DAT] [CNTY]. **Event.** HMIS / Clarity reflects a one-day CRCD Ruth’s Place Day Shelter enrollment tied to unique ID 8F8CD9D8B. This matters because the narrow 2023 entry later becomes the basis for the 2023 opt-in / prior-contact theory while the broader operative chain begins in 2024.

Why it converges. Earliest shared anchor between the later HMIS dispute and the later contact-basis dispute.

03/18/2024 — Operative CRCD / PTP contact begins during active Tennessee family context

Tags. [HOU] [DAT] [FAM]. **Event.** The packet treats March 18, 2024 as the operative start date: CRCD / Project Tipping Point contact begins while the family remains in Tennessee and while reunification / permanency activity is active.

Why it converges. First major overlap node where housing inducement, identity / data movement, and

family-integrity consequences begin moving together.

04/30/2024 — Family relocation planning overlaps with California-support reliance

Tags. [HOU] [FAM]. **Event.** Interstate planning and California support expectations appear in the same period.

Why it converges. The housing track begins overlapping directly with the Tennessee family / ICPC / reunification track.

06/07/2024 — PTP intake / Formstack chain becomes concrete

Tags. [HOU] [DAT] [FAM]. **Event.** The packet preserves June 7, 2024 as the PTP application date under identifier 1233369910. The corrected family timeline ties this to Formstack intake, while the PTP locator addendum preserves the application date/time, Formstack URL, device/browser description, and requested technical logs.

Why it converges. Cleanest June 2024 intake node connecting program access, identity-transfer, education-linked onboarding, and family-context records.

06/13/2024 — IDP / California-linked paperwork appears before physical relocation

Tags. [HOU] [DAT] [FAM]. **Event.** The corrected family timeline identifies June 13, 2024 as the IDP signature date, while the category timelines preserve the same date as the key pre-move California paperwork problem.

Why it converges. Strongest pre-move records-integrity point in the packet.

06/20/2024 and 06/25/2024 — Education records and Tennessee indicators remain in the file

Tags. [HOU] [DAT]. **Event.** June education-linked materials remain tied to assisted-access and out-of-state indicators during the same period as California-linked paperwork.

Why it converges. This sharpens the question whether program, education, and identity records were moving before lawful relocation.

07/29/2024–08/02/2024 — Housing placement becomes concrete

Tags. [HOU] [DAT] [ENF] [FAM]. **Event.** CRCD's housing track becomes concrete when Alex Saltzman sources the 1551 3/4 E. 106th unit, negotiates the split-payment structure, and then moves into lease / W-9 processing by August 2, 2024.

Why it converges. Main placement cluster where housing, family reliance, property records, and later payment / identity issues all lock together.

08/02/2024–08/22/2024 — Lease / W-9 / payment-paperwork chain intersects with family consequences

Tags. [HOU] [DAT] [ENF] [FAM]. **Event.** The property and housing timelines preserve the lease, W-9, and landlord-document chain, while the family timeline ties this same period to relocation and later placement consequences involving the children.

Why it converges. By this point the housing placement is affecting family location, property status, payment mechanics, and identity-use issues at the same time.

01/13/2025–01/15/2025 — Housing phase-out and disputed Tennessee agreement sit back-to-back

Tags. [HOU] [FAM]. **Event.** The packet places the January 13, 2025 PTP rental-assistance phase-out notice immediately before the January 15, 2025 Tennessee proceeding later described as reflecting

agreement through counsel.

Why it converges. Housing insecurity and family due-process issues are unfolding at the same time.

08/15/2025 — Property problem becomes formal city enforcement

Tags. [HOU] [ENF]. **Event.** LAHD identifies the unit as an unapproved unit and ties it to certificate-of-occupancy and tenant-protection consequences.

Why it converges. Converts the housing placement from a disputed support arrangement into a formal city-enforcement problem.

10/14/2025–10/24/2025 — LAHSA fraud-routing, HMIS forwarding, county-fraud overlap, and landlord contradictions merge

Tags. [DAT] [CNTY] [LAW] [HOU] [ENF]. **Event.** LAHSA notes frame the matter as fraud / theft involving a subrecipient and direct reporting to law enforcement; HMIS Support forwards the matter to Risk Management under ticket 260627; county-fraud handling becomes active; and the packet adds landlord and payment contradictions into the fraud track.

Why it converges. Single largest administrative overlap cluster in the record.

11/12/2025–11/15/2025 — Identity-theft reporting becomes formal

Tags. [LAW] [DAT] [CNTY]. **Event.** The law-enforcement timeline shows the formal LAPD identity-theft report C259045687 and its approval as an identity-theft investigation.

Why it converges. Strongest criminal-reporting anchor for the identity / records side of the case.

12/01/2025 — LAHSA no-jurisdiction position hardens the contradiction set

Tags. [CNTY] [FED] [DAT]. **Event.** LAHSA later says Project Tipping Point was not LAHSA-funded and that LAHSA lacks jurisdiction.

Why it converges. Changes the meaning of the earlier fraud-routing and becomes a central contradiction point once compared to federal and funding-source records.

02/02/2026 — Cease-and-desist / preservation event changes case posture

Tags. [OPP] [FED] [CNTY]. **Event.** CRCD's counsel sends a cease-and-desist letter accusing you of false and defamatory statements, demanding retraction, and demanding preservation of all documents and communications relating to CRCD, PTP, Amity, County funding, and governmental communications.

Why it converges. Legal letter and preservation event affecting communications, indexing, and litigation strategy.

03/13/2026 — Funding-source contradiction becomes explicit

Tags. [HOU] [CNTY] [FED]. **Event.** The packet treats this date as the point when JCOD's position explicitly ties rental assistance to CFCI Program Area 3 / Youth Housing and contract CFCI-PA3-056-2023.

Why it converges. Strongest funding-source contradiction in the record.

03/18/2026–03/24/2026 — Federal and county review tracks intensify at the same time

Tags. [FED] [CNTY] [HOU] [DAT]. **Event.** The packet preserves DOL OIG receipt / review, HUD / CPD / OIG routing, and finance- and records-only locator materials aimed at tracing funds, program records, ACH / Zelle activity, and unit-specific occupancy.

Why it converges. Point where the record becomes an integrated oversight package across county and federal channels.

03/25/2026 — Federal pleading consolidates the theory into one civil-rights case

Tags. [FED] [FAM] [DAT] [HOU] [OPP]. **Event.** The motion for leave and the proposed First Amended Complaint consolidate the chronology into one federal pleading centered on due process, family integrity, identity / records use, induced California path, law-enforcement referral, and continuing HMIS-related consequences.

Why it converges. Formal litigation point where separate tracks are combined into one legal theory.

03/26/2026 — Beneficiary-profile review becomes a preserved county-fraud issue

Tags. [DAT] [CNTY] [FED]. **Event.** The beneficiary-profile cover memo asks County Fraud to preserve and review the basis for the 03/18/2024 eligibility start, same-case beneficiary linkage, abnormal early entries, and source-system or user responsibility.

Why it converges. Turns a records-integrity problem into a preserved county-fraud submission with federal-overlap implications.

03/27/2026–03/28/2026 — Corrected police reporting ties back to the original identity-theft case

Tags. [LAW] [DAT] [CNTY]. **Event.** The packet preserves a later corrected police-report sequence tied back to the original LAPD identity-theft case.

Why it converges. Reinforces that the criminal-reporting track remained active and was still being expanded and corrected.

Main convergence nodes

- 03/18/2024 — first major overlap of family context, housing inducement, and data movement.
- 06/07/2024 — intake / Formstack / PTP ID 1233369910 becomes concrete; June technical chain begins.
- 06/13/2024 — strongest pre-move records-integrity point.
- 07/29/2024–08/22/2024 — housing placement, lease / W-9, and relocation consequences lock together.
- 10/14/2025–10/24/2025 — LAHSA / HMIS / county fraud / landlord contradiction cluster.
- 02/02/2026 — cease-and-desist changes legal posture and preservation obligations.
- 03/13/2026–03/26/2026 — funding contradiction, beneficiary-profile preservation, and federal oversight intensify together.
- 03/25/2026 — federal civil-rights pleading consolidates all major tracks.

What this Universal Timeline shows, stripped down

- The case does not begin as a landlord dispute. It begins where family integrity, data movement, and program outreach start overlapping.
- The strongest pre-move integrity issue remains the June 2024 cluster, especially June 7 and June 13.
- The housing placement becomes concrete in late July / early August 2024 and later becomes a property-enforcement and payment-record problem.
- The biggest administrative cross-over occurs in October 2025, when LAHSA, HMIS, county fraud, law enforcement, and landlord / payment contradictions all collide.
- By early 2026, the matter has shifted into formal opposing-party posture, preservation demands, county-fraud preservation, federal oversight, and federal civil-rights litigation.

Contradiction-to-Exhibit Map

Exhibit pairing sheet for the contradiction summary

Purpose. For each contradiction pair, lead with the two strongest category exhibits, then use the master identifier index, support exhibit, or opposing-position exhibit as the third anchor. If you later add a raw email, CPRA response, or agency letter as a standalone exhibit, swap it in ahead of the summary exhibit.

1) Private foundations vs. public CFCI funding

Record A — CRCD / private-funding position

- Ex. A-5 — Master Contradiction Summary states that CRCD communications framed Project Tipping Point as supported through private foundations.
- Ex. A-2 — Timeline A states CRCD later framed the funding as private while also describing supportive services and coursework-linked eligibility.

Record B — JCOD / public-funding position

- Ex. A-2 — Timeline A says JCOD later confirmed rental assistance was funded through CFCI Program Area 3 / Youth Housing with Amity as third-party administrator.
- Ex. A-6 — Master Identifier Index lists CFCI-PA3-056-2023 as the key public-funding anchor and separately lists “Private foundations” as the claimed CRCD source.

Use in: Timeline A, Timeline F, Universal Timeline.

2) LAHSA fraud / subrecipient framing vs. later no-jurisdiction position

Record A — LAHSA initially frames matter as fraud / theft involving a subrecipient

- Ex. A-3 — Timeline B says LAHSA notes on 10/14/2025–10/15/2025 reflected leadership advice to take the matter to law enforcement as fraud / theft involving a LAHSA subrecipient.
- Ex. A-5 — Master Contradiction Summary uses this as one of the six core contradiction pairs.

Record B — LAHSA later says no jurisdiction

- Ex. A-5 — Master Contradiction Summary says Christopher Redd later stated Project Tipping Point was not LAHSA-funded and LAHSA had no jurisdiction.
- Ex. A-6 — Master Identifier Index routing section preserves the 12/01/2025 no-jurisdiction position.

Use in: Timeline D, Timeline B, Universal Timeline.

3) HUD subrecipient statement vs. LAHSA “not LAHSA-funded” narrative

Record A — HUD / federal position

- Ex. A-5 — Master Contradiction Summary says HUD told Senator Schiff’s office that CRCD was a current HUD CoC and CDBG subrecipient.
- Ex. A-6 — Master Identifier Index routing section preserves the 02/04/2026 HUD forwarding and subrecipient statement.

Record B — LAHSA / local narrowing position

- Ex. A-5 — Master Contradiction Summary pairs the HUD subrecipient statement with LAHSA’s later

no-jurisdiction position.

- Ex. A-3 — Timeline B preserves the broader LAHSA / HMIS routing conflict leading into the later no-jurisdiction position.

Use in: Timeline F, Timeline D, Universal Timeline.

4) “Still enrolled” / inducement timing vs. documented June intake sequence

Record A — early “still enrolled” / inducement position

- Ex. A-5 — Master Contradiction Summary says your evidence set reflects that “Program Lady” told Crystal on 06/04/2024 that both of you were still enrolled and could receive housing assistance.

Record B — later documented intake sequence

- Ex. A-1 — Corrected family timeline says 06/07/2024 was the Formstack intake date and 06/13/2024 was the IDP date.
- Ex. A-9 — PTP locator addendum preserves the application date / time as June 7, 2024 – 2:25 PM under PTP participant ID 1233369910.
- Ex. A-2 — Timeline A places June 2024 as the intake / pre-move paperwork conflict window.

Use in: Timeline A, Timeline B, Universal Timeline.

5) 06/13/2024 California paperwork vs. actual late July / early August 2024 move

Record A — pre-move California-linked paperwork

- Ex. A-1 — Corrected family timeline anchors 06/13/2024 as the IDP date.
- Ex. A-3 — Timeline B treats 06/13/2024 as the core red-flag date where California address / signature-linked paperwork appeared before physical relocation.

Record B — actual relocation window later in summer 2024

- Ex. A-2 — Timeline A places the actual relocation window in late July / early August 2024.
- Ex. A-1 — Corrected family timeline places relocation planning and California move consequences later, not on June 13.

Use in: Timeline A, Timeline B, Timeline G, Universal Timeline.

6) One-day 2023 Ruth’s Place entry vs. 03/18/2024 operative contact chain

Record A — narrow 2023 contact history

- Ex. A-3 — Timeline B says HMIS / Clarity shows only a one-day CRCD Ruth’s Place Day Shelter enrollment under 8F8CD9D8B.
- Ex. A-6 — Master Identifier Index preserves 8F8CD9D8B as the profile tied to the one-day Ruth’s Place entry.

Record B — real operative chain begins in March 2024

- Ex. A-2 — Timeline A says the real housing chain starts on 03/18/2024, not in 2023.
- Ex. A-5 — Master Contradiction Summary uses this as one of the core contradiction pairs.
- Ex. A-1 — Corrected family timeline supports March 2024 as the beginning of the operative contact period.

Use in: Timeline A, Timeline B, Universal Timeline.

7) CRCD “no unsolicited outreach / 2023 opt-in basis” vs. March 18–19, 2024 Tennessee outreach theory

Record A — CRCD’s formal opposing position

- Ex. A-11 — CRCD partner statement says CRCD only initiates communication with individuals who voluntarily opted in, enrolled, or connected through an established entry point, and says it does not conduct unsolicited outreach. It also says the 2023 sign-up provided the basis for later communication.

Record B — packet theory of operative outreach in March 2024

- Ex. A-2 — Timeline A says the real housing chain starts on 03/18/2024 while you were still in Tennessee.
- Ex. A-3 — Timeline B says the real disputed data chain begins on 03/18/2024, not in 2023.
- Ex. A-1 — Corrected family timeline preserves the same corrected chronology.

Use in: Timeline H, Timeline A, Timeline B, Universal Timeline.

8) CRCD “not a housing-placement program / not a party to the lease” vs. July 29–August 2, 2024 housing sourcing and paperwork chain

Record A — CRCD’s formal opposing position on program scope / lease role

- Ex. A-11 — CRCD partner statement says Project Tipping Point is not long-term or subsidized housing and that housing agreements remain strictly between participants and landlords, with CRCD not a party to the lease.

Record B — category timelines show active placement / paperwork role

- Ex. A-2 — Timeline A says CRCD directly sourced the unit, negotiated the rent split, and processed the 1551 E. 106th St. placement.
- Ex. A-4 — Timeline C preserves the July 29, 2024 landlord outreach, rent negotiation, and August 2 lease / W-9 processing chain.
- Ex. A-8 — HUD CPD HUD OIG detailed record summary preserves the lease dated 08/02/2024, absent tenant signature, ACH authorization issue, and routed funds / W-9 / Zelle trail.

Use in: Timeline H, Timeline A, Timeline C, Universal Timeline.

9) CRCD privacy / referral permission language vs. third-party intake / sensitive-info handling theory

Record A — CRCD policy language

- Ex. A-12 — Privacy Policy says a referral may only be submitted if the referrer has permission to provide the referral’s contact information, and warns that email is insecure and special care should be taken with information sent by email or text.

Record B — packet theory of sensitive-information handling and third-party routing

- Ex. A-3 — Timeline B says the first significant contact went through your fiancée and frames this as a third-party consent / case-management problem.
- Ex. A-1 — Corrected family timeline preserves March 2024 contact through Crystal during the Tennessee period.

Use in: Timeline H, Timeline B, Universal Timeline.

10) County “not investigated / unsubstantiated” statuses vs. later fraud-referral posture

Record A — County minimizes or closes status

- Ex. A-6 — Master Identifier Index routing section preserves 02/04/2026 language saying allegations were marked “not investigated” and 02/05/2026 language saying allegations were “unsubstantiated.”

Record B — later review / referral posture remains active

- Ex. A-6 — The same routing section also preserves 03/24/2026 County Counsel language saying documentation warranted referral to OCI.
- Ex. A-7 — Beneficiary-profile cover memo asks County Fraud to preserve and review beneficiary-report issues under 2026_23965, showing active later submission posture.

Use in: Timeline D, Log, Universal Timeline.

Master Case Index v3

Control sheet for identifiers, timelines, contacts, acknowledgments, submissions, and supporting supplements

Purpose. This index is the front-end control document for the packet. It keeps dedicated timelines subject-specific, reserves the Universal Timeline for true convergence events, and tracks supporting supplements, policy documents, opposing-position materials, and legal correspondence without letting them override stronger source records.

Control rules

- Dedicated timelines remain subject-specific and should not be overloaded with unrelated theories.
- The Universal Timeline includes only events that affect two or more categories or materially change case posture.
- Supporting supplements, locator papers, policy documents, opposing-party statements, and legal correspondence are support layers for search, preservation, contradiction-mapping, and agency targeting. They do not replace stronger underlying emails, logs, enforcement letters, intake records, or agency acknowledgments unless source-level confirmation is obtained.
- Pemberton name variants are treated as one apparent person pending source confirmation.
- The June 7 PTP submission IP remains flagged for source confirmation because working documents conflict.
- Any prior June 11, 2024 Chidumebi entry is removed; first verified Chidumebi contact is June 11, 2025.

Top-priority identifiers

Track	Identifier	Working note
Federal civil case	2:26-cv-00802-JFW-AS	Current federal civil-rights action
County Fraud / OCI	2025-23289	Main county fraud matter
County Fraud / OCI	2026_23965	Beneficiary-profile / later county fraud matter
LAPD	C259045687	Identity-theft investigative report
LAPD	C269013241	Corrected online police report
LAHSA / HMIS	2526KH-A	Main LAHSA reference
HMIS / Clarity	8F8CD9D8B	One-day CRCD Ruth's Place entry
Project Tipping Point	1233369910	PTP intake identifier
Funding anchor	CFCI-PA3-056-2023	Key public-funding anchor
Housing / enforcement	SO311576	LAHD unapproved-unit case
Housing / enforcement	CO0480486	LACDPH complaint
Housing / enforcement	A-6604739	LADBS order to comply
Family-law	25CMFL00694	Los Angeles Superior Court matter
Tennessee BPR	101977-2026-3-TT-INV	Active investigative file

Timeline map

Document	Subject category	Use
Timeline A	CRCD / Project Tipping Point / Housing / Funding	Outreach, intake, housing placement, phase-out, funding-source contradiction
Timeline B	Data / Identity / Consent / HMIS / Beneficiary	HMIS entry, June 2024 record movement, LAHSA routing, beneficiary-profile issues
Timeline C	Housing Enforcement / Property / Landlord	Landlord sourcing, lease/W-9 chain, LAHD, LADBS, LACDPH, retaliation
Timeline D	County Fraud / LAHSA / HMIS / OCI / Jurisdiction	Routing, Risk Management, OCI status conflicts, no-jurisdiction reversal
Timeline E	LAPD / Law Enforcement / Identity-Theft	Incident ref 43848, LAPD identity-theft reports, corrected report
Timeline F	HUD / Federal / Congressional / OIG / Civil-Rights	HUD, OIG, Schiff, DOL OIG, federal litigation posture
Timeline G	Tennessee / Family / ICPC / Due Process / TBPR	Reunification baseline, California path, disputed consent, disciplinary track
Timeline H	Opposing Positions / Policy / Legal Posture	CRCD partner statement, privacy policy, cease-and-desist, federal filings
Universal	Cross-Impact Chronology	Only true convergence events affecting two or more categories

Identifier index

Law enforcement / criminal

- C259045687 — identity-theft investigative report
- C269013241 — corrected online police report
- 260327900363 — LAPD / CORS tracking number
- 43848 — initial police incident reference
- 43486 — preserved officer number

County fraud / auditor / OCI

- 2025-23289 — main County fraud matter
- 2026_23965 — later County fraud matter tied to beneficiary-profile package
- CE26-048 — Auditor-Controller executive-office reference
- PRA25-028 / CE25-512 / 2025-137175 / REF25-0005204 — preserved county reference points

LAHSA / HMIS / homeless-system

- 2526KH-A — main LAHSA reference
- 8F8CD9D8B — HMIS / Clarity unique ID
- 1233369910 — PTP intake identifier
- 259260 — HMIS / Freshservice ticket
- 260627 — LAHSA Risk Management forwarding ticket
- 267528 — HMIS correction / subrecipient-compliance ticket

HUD / federal / congressional / civil-rights

- 886647-F8H2X — HUD case reference
- 0435430 — HUD CRM reference
- 860997 — HUD FHEO / CPD inquiry
- 2026-9DMA-FLD-00015 — Schiff constituent inquiry
- 651319 — HHS OCR complaint
- 679521-CMLR — DOJ Civil Rights reference
- CV26-802-UA and 2:26-cv-00802-JFW-AS — federal litigation references preserved in the packet

Housing / property / code / tenant enforcement

- SO311576 — LAHD unapproved-unit case
- 953784 / 56646 — associated LAHD file references
- CO0480486 — LACDPH complaint / inspection number
- A-6604739 — LADBS order to comply
- 1551 E. 106th St., Unit 3/4 — main property anchor

Family-law / interstate / support

- 25CMFL00694 — family-law matter
- 25CWCS10420 — governmental parentage / support track
- 200000002778638 — child-support reference
- L56B285 — California DCFS ID
- 117604 / 117605 — ICPC numbers
- 310909 / 310910 / 310995 / 310996 — Tennessee petition numbers

Tennessee attorney-discipline

- 2026-10967-COMP — initial complaint number
- 101977-2026-3-TT-INV — active investigative file
- #031415 — preserved bar / attorney number

Funding / contract / program

- CFCI-PA3-056-2023 — key public-funding anchor
- Program Area 3 / Youth Housing — JCOD program classification
- 06/13/2024 — enrollment-start anchor used in later records
- Private foundations — claimed CRCOD funding source conflicting with later public-funding records

Contact directory

Name	Role	Email	Phone
Roger Passero	U.S. Department of Labor OIG	Passero.Roger@oig.dol.gov	(954) 520-1486
Christopher Williams	LAHSA Deputy Chief Risk Officer	cwilliams@lahsa.org	213-523-0938
Christopher Redd	LAHSA Investigation	credd@lahsa.org	213-220-5377

	Coordinator		
Diana Frias	LAHSA HMIS Analyst	dfrias@lahsa.org	—
Polet Lopez	CRCD Youth & Education Manager	palopez@coalitionrcd.org	213.743.6193 / 323.507.3054
Tiffany D. Tant-Shafer	Tennessee disciplinary counsel	ttantshafer@tbpr.org	(615) 695-0939
Kelly Heflin	TBPR filing / service correspondence	kheflin@tbpr.org	—
Joseph Plascencia	Senior Housing Investigator I, LAHD	lahd.declarations@lacity.org	(818) 756-1490

Names preserved in the record but not fully confirmed as direct contacts

- Chin Woo Choi, Neha Khosla, Winston Mendoza, Thomas Wood, Carlos Ibarra, Maria Santana, Steven Lee
- Amanda Gail Morrison, Latea / Latoya Davis, Alexander Saltzman, Pemberton name variants, Amadi
- Jahrell / Jahrell Thomas, Christopher Cervantes, Jani Cervantes, Avi Bernard, Margarita Gutierrez

Supporting supplements / locator papers / policy / opposing materials

Supplemental chronology / summary papers

- Draft consolidated master timeline — secondary merged summary for gap-checking and attachment planning
- Comprehensive verified family timeline — secondary family summary; narrative supplement rather than primary source
- Corrected family timeline — control correction document for June 7, 2024 Formstack intake, June 13, 2024 IDP, and removal of inaccurate June 11, 2024 Chidumebi entry

Financial / records locator supplements

- HUD CPD HUD OIG detailed record summary — finance- and records-only support for transactions, lease, ACH, W-9, Zelle, HMIS, and education-record verification
- Final Record Locator & Financial Paper Trail Summary — broad locator paper for agencies, funding records, HMIS history, oversight correspondence, and search targets
- Record Locator Addendum – Project Tipping Point — technical and funding-trace supplement preserving Formstack URL, device/browser info, audit-log requests, Zelle identifiers, custodian names, and award-trace requests

Opposing-party statements

- CRCD Partner and Stakeholder Statement — formal opposing narrative on program scope, housing role, ADA framing, and 2023 opt-in theory

Policy documents

- CRCD Privacy Policy 2026 — referral-permission, fraud-prevention / disclosure, and insecure email/text transmission language

Legal correspondence / preservation events

- February 2, 2026 cease-and-desist letter — main legal-posture and preservation event

Correction notes / control flags

- Chidumebi correction — remove any June 11, 2024 Chidumebi entry; first verified Chidumebi contact is June 11, 2025.
- Pemberton naming variance — Nia-Reen / Nagera / Nigera / Nagerea appear across the packet; treat as one apparent person pending source-level confirmation.
- PTP submission IP discrepancy — June 7 Formstack IP appears inconsistently across working records and must be confirmed from original source export.
- 2025-23289 classification note — continue to classify under County Fraud / OCI even if a secondary locator paper labels it differently.

Contradiction cross-reference headings

- Private foundations vs. public CFCI funding
- LAHSA fraud / subrecipient framing vs. later no-jurisdiction position
- HUD subrecipient statement vs. LAHSA “not LAHSA-funded” narrative
- “Still enrolled” / inducement timing vs. documented June intake sequence
- 06/13/2024 California paperwork vs. actual late July / early August move
- One-day 2023 Ruth’s Place entry vs. 03/18/2024 operative contact chain
- CRCD “no unsolicited outreach / 2023 opt-in basis” vs. March 18–19, 2024 Tennessee outreach theory
- CRCD “not a housing-placement program / not a party to the lease” vs. July 29–August 2, 2024 sourcing, rent split, lease, and W-9 chain

Use instruction

Use this index as the front-end control document for the full packet. Dedicated timelines remain subject-specific. The Universal Timeline includes only cross-over events. The contradiction summary maps direct record conflicts. Supporting supplements, policy documents, opposing-party statements, and legal correspondence remain indexed as support layers, not substitutes for stronger underlying records.

SUPPLEMENTAL EXHIBIT PACKAGE

Beneficiary Profile Report – Labeled Review Copy and Index

LA COUNTY FRAUD
CASE NO. 2026_23965

Submitted by	Kyle Haab kyle.dietz99@gmail.com 657-557-4459
Date	For immediate submission with Exhibit A materials
Purpose	To assist review of record-integrity, eligibility-trigger, same-case linkage, and source-data issues reflected in the attached Beneficiary Profile Report.
Attachments Included	1. Cover Memo 2. Exhibit A Index 3. Exhibit A Original PDF 4. Exhibit A Labeled Review Copy

Primary Review Points

- Eligibility period beginning 03/18/2024.
- Report reference to “Beneficiaries for the Same Case.”
- Early entries showing county “UNKNOWN,” aid code “Invalid,” and special eligibility code “X7.”
- Timeline of plan / capitation development across 2024–2026.

Key Pages for Immediate Review

Exhibit	PDF Page	Reason for Review
Ex. A-1	p. 1	03/18/2024 eligibility start; report references “Beneficiaries for the Same Case.”
Ex. A-6	p. 6	Eligibility summary by plan type and plan.
Ex. A-14	p. 14	Abnormal early entries including county “UNKNOWN,” aid code “Invalid,” and special code “X7.”
Ex. A-17–A-20	pp. 17–20	Capitation and payment-detail timeline.

Requested Action

Please preserve this submission with LA County Fraud Case No. 2026_23965 and review the attached exhibits for:

- the basis of the 03/18/2024 eligibility start;
- any same-case beneficiary linkage;
- the cause of abnormal early entries; and
- the source system or user responsible for the disputed data.

This cover memo is intended to accompany the original Beneficiary Profile Report, a labeled review copy, and an

exhibit index.



FW: PRA Request response Draft

4 messages

JCOD PIO <PIO@jcod.lacounty.gov>
To: kyle.dietz99@gmail.com <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Fri, Mar 13, 2026 at 5:10 PM

Dear Mr. Haab,

JCOD staff have broken down your inquiry into questions, which have been answered below in purple. I have also attached all pertinent documents, including your PRA Request response letter.

Relationship between LA County Board of Supervisors, CRCD, Amity Foundation, JCOD and CFCI:

The LA County Board of Supervisors approves funding allocations for CFCI to County departments and community organizations through a third-party administrator. LA County JCOD administers CFCI. Grant funds through CFCI are administered by JCOD via a third-party administrator, Amity Foundation. Amity Foundation has a grant agreement with CRCD using CFCI funds. Terms of the contract are March 1, 2023-June 30, 2027.

1. Were Care First Community Investment (CFCI) funds approved by the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors were used for rental assistance issued on my behalf between August 2024 and June 2025?

1. CRCD representatives have provided differing statements regarding the source of these funds, including references to:

- i. Project Tipping Point being supported through private foundations
- ii. Amity Foundation serving as a third-party administrator of CFCI funds funded by the Board of Supervisors
- iii. CRCD directly paying 100% of rent

CFCI funds were used for rental assistance between August 2024 and June 2025.

2. Were any CFCI funds were allocated to CRCD or Amity Foundation for rental assistance during the relevant period?

CRCD's grant agreement with Amity Foundation includes rental assistance. CRCD used funds for 9 months of rental assistance at \$1,000 per month consistent with the approved grant.

3. Was Kyle Dietz's rental assistance categorized under CFCI Housing Stability or any other CFCI allocation?

Kyle Dietz's rental assistance through CRCD was categorized under Program Area 3, Youth Housing. This was part of the CFCI Year 1 Spending Plan.

4. Was JCOD (administering department) or Amity (third-party administrator) responsible for the oversight of those rental assistance funds?

Amity Foundation oversaw the administration of rental assistance funds through CRCD.

5. The associated grant, contract, or allocation reference number, if applicable.

1. Please request the grant, contract, or allocation reference number, if applicable, from Amity

Amity Foundation's contract with CRCD
Contract Number: CFCI - PA3 - 056 - 2023

Best,

Avi Bernard







Public Information Officer

**Justice Care and
Opportunities Department**
abernard@jcod.lacounty.gov

jcod.lacounty.gov



6 attachments

-  **1A2 - SOW - Coalition for Responsible Community Development.pdf**
65 KB
-  **Agreement FE- CFCI - PA3 - 056 - 2023 - CRCD (1).pdf**
1 MB
-  **Coalition for Responsible Community Development (1).pdf**
65 KB
-  **CoalitionforResponsibleCommunityDevelopment_PA3_Y3Q4 (1).xlsx**
44 KB
-  **CRCD Partner and Stakeholder Statement.pdf**
92 KB
-  **PRA Request Response - Kyle Haab_3-13-26.pdf**
192 KB








Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Fri, Mar 13, 2026 at 6:24 PM

To: Gutierrez, Margarita (Schiff) <Margarita_Gutierrez@schiff.senate.gov>, Choi, Chin Woo <ChinWoo.Choi@hud.gov>, Clarke, Adriane J <Adriane.J.Clarke@hud.gov>, cpdgdas@hud.gov, AC-CONSTITUENT <constituent@auditor.lacounty.gov>
Cc: Sanford, Ahliyah <asanford@bos.lacounty.gov>, Escobedo, Marina <MEscobedo@bos.lacounty.gov>, Noyola, Claudia <CNoyola@bos.lacounty.gov>, Hills, LaJuannah <lhills@bos.lacounty.gov>, complaints@doj.ca.gov, Caitlin.Noble@doj.ca.gov, Joseph.Zimring@doj.ca.gov, HollyJMitchell@bos.lacounty.gov, ceop@bos.lacounty.gov, Alyssa Skolnick <askolnick@counsel.lacounty.gov>, RSeeley@amityfdn.org, Joann Sanchez <jsanchez@amityfdn.org>, Christopher Williams <cwilliams@lahsa.org>

[Quoted text hidden]

7 attachments

-  **img-f693338b-a77f-429b-84cc-b58de03f480f**
33 KB
-  **1A2 - SOW - Coalition for Responsible Community Development.pdf**
65 KB
-  **Agreement FE- CFCI - PA3 - 056 - 2023 - CRCD (1).pdf**
1 MB
-  **Coalition for Responsible Community Development (1).pdf**
65 KB
-  **CoalitionforResponsibleCommunityDevelopment_PA3_Y3Q4 (1).xlsx**
44 KB
-  **CRCD Partner and Stakeholder Statement.pdf**
92 KB
-  **PRA Request Response - Kyle Haab_3-13-26.pdf**
192 KB








Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Fri, Mar 13, 2026 at 6:29 PM

To: PRA LAHSA <pra@lahsa.org>, HHS_FOIA_Public_Liaison@hhs.gov

[Quoted text hidden]

7 attachments

-  **img-f693338b-a77f-429b-84cc-b58de03f480f**
33 KB
-  **1A2 - SOW - Coalition for Responsible Community Development.pdf**
65 KB
-  **Agreement FE- CFCI - PA3 - 056 - 2023 - CRCD (1).pdf**
1 MB
-  **Coalition for Responsible Community Development (1).pdf**
65 KB
-  **CoalitionforResponsibleCommunityDevelopment_PA3_Y3Q4 (1).xlsx**
44 KB
-  **CRCD Partner and Stakeholder Statement.pdf**
92 KB
-  **PRA Request Response - Kyle Haab_3-13-26.pdf**
192 KB








Kyle <kyle.dietz99@gmail.com>

Mon, Mar 16, 2026 at 9:50 AM

To: Anna Guy <annag@openarmscommunityhealth.com>

[Quoted text hidden]

7 attachments

-  **img-f693338b-a77f-429b-84cc-b58de03f480f**
33 KB
-  **1A2 - SOW - Coalition for Responsible Community Development.pdf**
65 KB
-  **Agreement FE- CFCI - PA3 - 056 - 2023 - CRCD (1).pdf**
1 MB
-  **Coalition for Responsible Community Development (1).pdf**
65 KB
-  **CoalitionforResponsibleCommunityDevelopment_PA3_Y3Q4 (1).xlsx**
44 KB
-  **CRCD Partner and Stakeholder Statement.pdf**
92 KB
-  **PRA Request Response - Kyle Haab_3-13-26.pdf**
192 KB

county government is profiting off homelessness

this is complaint about your corrupt program - emergency rent relief program (errp)
<https://www.lacountyrentrelief.com/>

1. the program;

- a. hire people who don't speak english for their phone customer service
- b. when you ask to speak to their supervisor, they hang up the phone on you
- c. would you like to know the name of the employee who treated me this way? they don't give their names. they have the perfect job as they can hang up on applicants, not held accountable as they don't provide their name, and don't let us speak to their supervisors.
- d. have an email address for this corrupt program
- e. have no website contact form for this corrupt program
- f. have no local phone number for this corrupt program

2. your phone customer service ignores applicants;

a. customer service (877-849-0770) won't let you stay on hold. after 30 minutes it will hang up on you. what a corrupt program, you won't even allow applicants to stay on hold. i tried called multiple times and the same thing happened.

b. this also proves that you don't have enough staff so you should've never had this program

c. one time i got through the phone lines and the employee with horrible english didn't know how to transfer the call to my case worker. you're hiring illiterate people who also don't speak english. county government is corrupt

3. you lied on your application and provided email addresses that don't work. the emails bounce back. error;

Sorry, we were unable to deliver your message to the following address.

No mx record found for domain=lacountyrent-relief.com

4. i applied FOUR months ago and still haven't been told whether I'm approved or not. FOUR months is unacceptable. only an illiterate staff would take this long. illiterate government employees cannot prevent homelessness. why are you only hiring illiterate people? because you're corrupt. this proves that you are profiting off of homelessness.

5. your case workers have no phone numbers. you intentionally do this so we poor people cannot talk to our case workers.

6. appeal

- a. who files the appeal - tenant or landlord
- b. what the website to file the appeal
- c. why wasn't this in your application guide? because it was written by illiterate people

7. taxpayers know that this county government program is corrupt for many reasons;

- a. you started round 2 when NO round 1 funds were distributed
- b. application started late
- c. you've had almost a year to create a useful application guide and couldn't do that

- d. your fee is more than the amount of funds distributed to poor people
- e. in conclusion, you profit off homelessness increasing

8. is this the first you're hearing of all of these problems? no way public servant! its because there's so many issues and since you've let get out of homelessness out of control why would taxpayers waste their time reporting all of these problems? taxpayers know that the corrupt county government profits off of homelessness

9. your website and guide doesn't work. look at the right side of all pages - the words are cut off. you're absolutely illiterate. no wonder there is so much homelessness here, the illiterate people creating these programs don't know how to read and write.

https://lacountyrentrelief.com/wp-content/uploads/2025/12/Application_Landlord-Application_Preview.pdf

10. LA County Equity Explorer - your website doesn't work - here's the error. it didn't work last month when i reported it to you and your corrupt department didn't lift a finger over 1 month ago to fix it. also why don't you provide directions on how to use it? because you're illiterate

The screenshot shows a web browser window with the URL <https://experience.arcgis.com/experience/9d7a43397ea84ab98a>. The page header includes the County of Los Angeles logo and the text "County of Los Angeles Equity". There are two orange buttons: "American Rescue Plan Proje..." and "Download Data". Below the header is a navigation bar with "Legend", "Map Layers", "Filters", "Import Data", and "Print".

The main content area is divided into two columns. The left column contains six dark blue buttons with white text: "Total Population SUM({tot...", "Median Household Income AVERAGE...", "Poverty Below 200%...", "Unemployment AVERAGE...", "Foreign Born Population...", and "Renter Households...". The right column is titled "Map Layer List" and contains a "Select a Data Category" dropdown menu. The "Social Equity" category is selected and highlighted in blue. Below the dropdown is a list of categories: "Boundaries", "Indexes", "Social Equity", "Economic Opportunity", "Housing", "Health Equity", "Safety and Justice", "Built Environment", "Language Communities", "Child Welfare", and "Disaster Recovery".

In the center of the main content area, there is a message: "Creating map failed." Below this message, at the bottom of the page, is a link: "Have questions? Contact us at race-equity@ceo.lacounty.gov".