

**This document was generated by AI and is not an official meeting record. It may contain errors.**

## **Transcript**

**March 18, 2026**

**P**

### **Public Safety Cluster - Transcript 14:44**

OK, good morning, everyone. Welcome to this morning's rendition of the Public Safety Cluster Agenda Review meeting. I am not Annabelle. She is still serving her civic duty today. So I am pinch hitting one of several SD1 folks who will be pinch hitting today. My name is Anthony.

And I will hand it over to Darnie to call the roll. Great. Thank you very much. So that was the first district. So we moved to the second district. Hi, good morning, everyone. Natalia Romeo, Mitchell, Speaking of Justice Deputy and I'll let you follow. And then Melody Ofili is our Assistant Justice Deputy and Chief.

Why isn't she pregnant and expecting on the 31st? So you guys give her well wishes. Well wishes. Well wishes given. All right. Good morning, everyone. Steven Edwards, Senior Justice Debby, Supervisor of Horvath. And listening is Ashley Servaros Hernandez, Justice Fellow.

And I believe Sophia is online.

**SS**

### **Soudani, Sophia 15:45**

Hi everybody. Sophia, Sudani, John with Supervisor Horvath's office.

**P**

### **Public Safety Cluster - Transcript 15:45**

OK.

Thank you very much. District. Hi, Esther Limbs, your policy advisor for Supervisor Janice Vaughn. Bori Chinuay, Senior Justice and Mental Health Deputy for Supervisor Vaughn. Alexandra Perez, Justice and Mental Health Deputy for Supervisor Vaughn. Thank you. And state district. Hi, everyone. My name is Las Megamino, assistant deputy with Supervisor Barker's office. Good morning. Emily Duros, interned for Supervisor Barker's office. Thank you very much, Mr. Omar. Sorry. Our health deputy, Victoria Gomez is also online. I apologize.

And I have one as well. Emily Desmond, fellow in office. Thank you very much. Just a

quick reminder, today's meeting is being transcribed due to the motion on the agenda. So back to the chair. OK, that was a call to order. We'll move to item number two, informational items.

This is a board letter, an agreement with Fire District Foundation of LA County. Are there any questions from my colleagues on this informational item? Yes. Oh, OK, we do have a question. Yes. Is there someone from the fire department here?

**MV** **Marcia Velasquez** 16:51

I'm online. Actually, I'm online. Marcia, yes.

**P** **Public Safety Cluster - Transcript** 16:52

What I'm actually here.

**TB** **Theresa Barrera** 16:54

Yes, Teresa and Marcia Velasquez.

**P** **Public Safety Cluster - Transcript** 16:55

I might. OK, OK. Did you introduce yourself?

Oh, can you introduce yourself again, please? I'm.

**TB** **Theresa Barrera** 17:01

Hi, Teresa Barrera.

**P** **Public Safety Cluster - Transcript** 17:04

Oh, hi, Theresa.

**TB** **Theresa Barrera** 17:04

And Marcia Velasquez. Hi.

**MV** **Marcia Velasquez** 17:05

And.

**P** **Public Safety Cluster - Transcript** 17:07

Hi, thank you. I was just wondering, can you explain what this foundation is? Is it and

I assume it's different than our fire fire foundation, but is this something that already exists or is it new?

**TB Theresa Barrera** 17:21

So that was a great question. So it is separate from the prior foundation. It is a new foundation that was just created by the FNA Federal Credit Union, who is the credit union for the fire and agricultural departments. So there they they created the new foundation and we're asking the Board for approval to move forward with this.

**P Public Safety Cluster - Transcript** 17:45

Great. And can you give us some examples of the types of like programs that the the funds would support, the fundraising dollars would support?

**TB Theresa Barrera** 17:54

Yes. So it would obviously support any kind of equipment, apparatus, other purchases that would, you know, help the fire department. There would also be some any approval, sorry, any kind of support for any of our programs like our Women's Fire Prep Academy.

Girls fire camps, lifeguard fire camps, junior lifeguard. So different, you know any of our pro existing programs, explorer program, they would be also receiving some donations on the behalf of the department and that can help support the department.

**P Public Safety Cluster - Transcript** 18:29

Great. OK. Thank you. My question is the the makeup of the foundation. I know usually there's like a board wanting to understand how many persons in the department are going to be involved. I know the last.

**TB Theresa Barrera** 18:31

You're welcome.

**P Public Safety Cluster - Transcript** 18:47

Kind of iteration of a foundation. There was a lot of personnel that was utilized for

the foundation work. So just wanted to understand what the what the board makeup would be and and what kind of a fuller foundation makeup would be.

**TB** **Theresa Barrera** 19:01

OK. So there are going to be 9 board members on the foundation board. Three of them will be coming from FNAS, actual existing board members that are retired, some of them that are retired from the fire department. One of the one of the things that we want to make sure is that there will not be any current.

Fire department employees on the board. So that's the first thing. So it'll be the three from the FNA board. It will be 3 that the fire department will have any, you know any of our people that have been supportive of the fire department. We're going to choose three people work with the with the.

Fire FNA board to come up with those three and then three from the community. So there will not be, like I said, any fire department employees. I will be serving as a liaison between the department and the and the new foundation, but I will not be on the board.

**P** **Public Safety Cluster - Transcript** 19:57

OK. And then will this, will this foundation have an executive director and how's that person going to select it if they have one?

**TB** **Theresa Barrera** 20:04

Yeah. So the executive director will be the current president of FNA, Tim Green.

**BC** **Byron | TransLatin@ Coalition** 20:05

OK.

**P** **Public Safety Cluster - Transcript** 20:18

And then will that, well, I guess Tim in this case, will, will they be funded by the, how do I say this, the donations that come in from the, like how is the, how will they be paid the effective charge?

**TB** **Theresa Barrera** 20:32

So Tim Green, as a an employee of F&A, will be paid by F&A. Any of the F&A staff

that help with the accounting will be paid by F&A, not not the foundation. So it'll all the board members will all be volunteers.

**P** **Public Safety Cluster - Transcript** 20:50

OK. Thank you. He's online question. Sophia will go ahead.

**TB** **Theresa Barrera** 20:51

You're welcome.

**SS** **Soudani, Sophia** 20:58

Thank you. Just a question. I know that we also have the LA County Emergency Preparedness Foundation that was I think kind of the go-between as this foundation was getting set up. Where are funds being the funds that were in that account, is that going to be transferred over to this new foundation? How is that going to be working right now as well?

**TB** **Theresa Barrera** 21:14

So yes, great question. So I've been reconciling with the Alley EPF to make sure we, you know, account for everything and what is left over from those funds. There are some restricted funds that we are going to be making some purchases hopefully in the next couple weeks, but once the new foundation is up, once the board approves it.

Then that then the remaining balance that LAEPF has been holding for the fire department will get transferred over to the new foundation and we would obviously be terminating our temporary agreement with LAEPF as to work as our fiscal agent.

**SS** **Soudani, Sophia** 21:53

Got it. Thank you.

**P** **Public Safety Cluster - Transcript** 21:54

You're welcome.

Elise, any other questions?

Seeing none, let's do public comment. All right. Are there any public comments in person or online? If you're online, please speak up or raise your hand on teams.

I'd say no for a future. OK. Thank you very much. We'll then move on to item 3, board

motion. We have one motion that's from SD4, reducing harm for transgender, gender diverse and intersex TGI people who are incarcerated in the Los Angeles County Jails. SD4, I turn it over to you. Great. Thank you so much. Colleagues, this motion.

Let me give you some background around this. So during business by our oversight entities along with court wonders like the ACLU or other advocacy groups, they share concerns and complaints by people who are incarcerated and who are transgender, gender diverse and intersex or TTI for short.

Some of the complaints and concerns are derogatory of homophobic comments that are received by by folks, by staff, given oversized or undersized clothing and undergarments that are unable to be exchanged for a complete bit of clothing or ones that align with their gender identity.

Inconsistent access to razors, lack of specific programming for TGI, and just, you know, just as an FYI, nationally, TGI individuals are nine times more likely than cisgender individuals to experience sexual harassment or assault while they are incarcerated. And then lastly.

Sheriff's Department, we're glad that they do schedule gender identity review boards meetings. However, these are only done once a month and the approvals to transfer these individuals. So these are folks who feel a state based on their gender identity at housing that they are at.

And are requesting to be placed in a safer housing or one that's more aligned with their gender identity. And the group meetings are held to discuss factors and and make decisions on whether or not someone can be moved to a different, safer facility.

That align with our gender identity and because they're the meetings are only done once a month and the approvals are a little bit slow. We have folks who are in limbo and are from weeks to months at a facility that doesn't align, leaving them in at risk for safety or possible harm.

So this motion has directives that cover these issues and concerns and complaints made by not the people who are incarcerated in our TGI, but also our advocacy orgs and oversight such as.

And so the motion is basically asking the Sheriff's Department along with the OIG participants of GERB, the again Gender Identity Review Board, the LGBTQ plus Commission and other relevant stakeholders to look at access to razors for TGI individuals, appropriately sized undergarments, hygiene items.

And access to commissary items that just align with gender identity, exploring a feasibility plan to actually update the commissary catalog so that anybody can access it instead of having a catalog for one gender versus another, providing tailored and relevant programming, especially given that the vulnerability of TGI.

Individuals and polytraumas that they might come in with having programming that are tailored to them, I think it's incredibly important. So that's the the some of the directives in there and then holding department staff accountable for failure to treat TGI incarcerated individuals respectfully and with dignity.

We don't think that staff should be making, you know, derogatory, homophobic or transfer the comments. People should be treated with dignity and respect in their in the jails ensuring consistent identification of self-identified TGI incarcerated individuals.

Providing informational materials or even materials and intake through video on how to request K6G housing or even a GERB meeting. Increasing the frequency of GERB meetings, expediting transfers upon approval.

Creating mechanisms for regular follow-up, identifying and addressing interpersonal harm experience by TGI individuals and providing training and communicating to staff about any newly created policies or procedures. The directives have various timelines and then last the last directive is asking that are.

OIG and Civil Brand Commission who frequent the jails and who have shared some of these concerns and complaints with us to engage with incarcerated TGI folks every quarter about the complaints and concerns.

And utilizing their existing reports to provide updates to the board about some of the work that the department is doing or not doing.

And I'll just conclude with, you know, for whatever reason someone is incarcerated. As shared, we should be treating people with dignity and respect. There is a level of professionalism that needs to be met and allegations of staff making inappropriate, harmful statements and slurs and even met with 0 tolerance and accountability. And lastly, we know the importance of gender identity and this board has stood firm on this issue with LGBTQI folks.

And just yesterday, the board also supported the proclamation for March 31st to be for International Transition Day of Visibility.

And it impacts when services and resources are not consistently available or accessible, including the jails. And this isn't an area that I believe the department is doing work and I believe they can do better. And with that, we'll answer any

questions that my colleagues might have on this.

All right. Colleagues, any, I support. Thank you for the presentation. Colleagues, any questions on this motion?

OK, so just want to understand again for my clarity, like what's happening, what's either what's happening or what's not happening with relation to like commentarium programming that this motion seeks to solve.

So one of the issues is that we have trans women who are in there and some of them are able to access razors or are not. And with razors, they're able to shave the face right so that they better align with gender and if they're not able to access razors consistently.

There's also reports of people in specialized housing that don't get it at all. And so this motion with that directive hopes to make clear what the policies are so that when someone is requesting a razor, no matter what gender.

Right. They are able to get it. There are certain policies already in place for people who might be in mental health housing or insecure housing, and that needs to be applicable consistently, even if someone is TGI. Got it. And so I I know one one of the directives was related to the commissary catalog and I completely agree.

About having not gender specifically, I guess what does it look like right now? And I don't know if you have the answer right. So I believe that it's on one and what's on the other one, right?

Good morning. Good morning. So if if I could assist you in answering some of those questions and kind of going back and use, I know we knew who you are, but yeah, would you mind introducing yourself? Oh, I'm sorry, sorry.

I'm Chief Tanya Plunkett over Custody Services Division Specialized program. Mike, is it working? Am I speaking loud enough? OK, so address the issue to so address. So to address the issues concerning the razors not being.

Given to that transgender population, that was an issue that we had and it wasn't because of the transgender issue. It was because we currently have a module where there's a mix of general population transgender inmates as well.

as well as mental health inmates. And so we we identified the the problem when it was brought to our attention by our our partners, OIG and ACLU. And so we went in and we had conversations with our CHF partners

The decision was made that we could in fact give that population raiser. So as we speak today, the policies have been signed through through our executive staff and they've made their way through County Council. So it's just a matter of implementing

that policy right now with.

With deputies that are assigned to the custody facilities as well as training and to go on to the commissary items, that's another issue that was addressed. There were certain items that you know was mail or or specific.

**+12\*\*\*\*\*99** 31:19

Oh.

**P** **Public Safety Cluster - Transcript** 31:20

And so we remedied that by having the the the same program or the same commissary items being available to the entire population minus the the mental health population. So that has in fact been remedied too. Got it and then.

For the programming part, is there any, I guess currently is there any TGI specific programming and trauma-informed specific programming? So we we we admit that we were lacking in that area, but it was a matter of space. I'm sure we've had conversation with Mr. Edwards.

Probably your office too that we want to bring in program providers for specific populations and to do certain things, but we're all competing against the same space and times. So we we did some or we made some modifications to some of our programs and as a result of that.

Um.

Movement, we were able to establish dedicated and I have a schedule here, dedicated services or programming for that population which began last week. OK.

All right. Thank you. So then you said you had the list of those or you had a schedule of programming.

If you're able to share that, that would be that would be correct. That's good. Can we? Yeah. If you could give it to Ben, you know, I'll get it. So I'm sure we're interested. Thank you.

Any other questions, colleagues?

**GV** **Gomez, Victoria** 32:54

I have a question online, Victoria Gomez.

**P** **Public Safety Cluster - Transcript** 32:54

Hey, sorry.

**GV Gomez, Victoria** 32:58

Can you hear me? Hey, Esther?

I had a question in terms of who makes up the Gender Identity Review Board? Is that Sheriff's Department? What is the makeup of that?

**P Public Safety Cluster - Transcript** 33:13

Yeah. So it's the and then I think it's Sheriff OIG, ACLU and CHS that are in the part of the group, correct?

**GV Gomez, Victoria** 33:25

Thank you.

**P Public Safety Cluster - Transcript** 33:28

You have any other questions from board colleagues?

Seeing and hearing none, right, you got any public comment in person or online?

Online. I do see Barn. Barn, you have one minute. I'll start your time to start speaking.

Please unmute.

**BC Byron | TransLatin@ Coalition** 33:47

Good morning, Byron Jose with the Trans Latina Coalition. The last directive is the only one that kind of makes sense for this motion, even though although if we're giving more work to civil brand, we should be making sure that the EU is working with our Commission services to make sure that the Civil Brand Commission gets the adequate funding that they need a 60.

A six month, 100 day reports is just going to move down the goalposts from when we're going to close messenger jail and we don't need to make K6G. We don't need to build policy and continue to build this mechanisms of our I believe future partners will have to undo and more importantly we need to shift into funding to making sure that the.

Now Jason Max again, they're funding their message A and CFCI dollars are actually going to be populating and diverting these vulnerable populations, specifically black trans women and Latina immigrant trans women who we continue to again share the need to make sure we're providing services for our communities. Thank you.

P

**Public Safety Cluster - Transcript** 34:49

All right. Are there any other comments?

Seeing none. Yeah. Any comments? Yes. OK. We'll start you in one minute when you start speaking. Sure. Thank you. OK, perfect. Thank you. So I'm already Christine Parker with ACLU of Southern California. I'd like to thank Supervisor Han for this motion.

While we at ACLU SoCal Farm, we believe that decarceration is really the only true solution here. We do recognize that alleviating some of the harms caused by current jail conditions is necessary in the interim through ACLU SoCal's role in monitoring the LA County jails. I have seen up close the need for these types of harm reduction measures.

I have recently visited a trans woman who was in need of a number of different items. She didn't have access to a razor or makeup or other gender affirming items. Her early programming was spending a couple of hours a day chained to a table. There were a number of issues, but one of the most poignant ones was she was in need of clean, appropriately sized undergarments.

When I had informed deputies of this on my way out, they've pointed me to a box of bras and panties on the ground and have me sift through them to fish out the right size and pass them back to her through her tray slot. So stories like this are not unique. We're glad to hear that there is work on the policy side, but implementation needs a lot more work to ensure that folks are able to actually access these harms. Reduction measures. Thank you very much. Any other comments?

Thank you. Thank you, SD Four. We'll now move to item four of the agenda presentation discussion items. Starting with a board letter, approve the sole source acquisition of Mission equipment systems and final outfitting for two Sikorsky S701 Firehawk helicopters.

And an appropriation of speakers from Fire would come introduce themselves. That'd be fantastic. Thank you so much. I'll be just by myself today. Brian Martin, Deputy Chief for the North Region of the Operations Bureau and Air and Wildlands Division under my command.

So here today to seek the approval and answering questions you might have about the sole source purchase of equipment and the outfitting of the two new S701 Firehawks. As some of you may recall, I was here several months ago. We recently purchased 2.

New S70I helicopters, they come to the department in a very basic stripped down version and So what this board letter is doing is allowing us to outfit those for the mission equipment that we do for the water dropping.

The EMS component and then also the rescue. So the equipment specifically is radio package and water tanks and then the voice rescue. So all those companies are sole source purchase and then the company that we are going to be doing the outfitting with.

They own all the types of types certificating, which would be similar like to the patent to allow them to install all these components. So they're the only company that we can take it to. So we do have the money identified in the budget also in the board letter.

Seeking approval to transfer another \$6,000,000 into the ACO fund to the helicopter ACO fund to fund this not to exceed 19.5 million.

All right. Thank you for that presentation. Colleagues, any questions on this item? I don't see any person, but I think seeing Sophia online.

**SS** **Soudani, Sophia** 38:24

Hi, good morning. Thank you, Chief Martin. Just a couple questions. Are these helicopters going to be based at the Van Nuys Airport?

**P** **Public Safety Cluster - Transcript** 38:32

They'll be based at our main facility at Whiteman Airport in UH Barton Heliport, so the county facility.

**SS** **Soudani, Sophia** 38:36

OK.

Got it. OK. And then the other question I had, oh, sorry, Chief Martin, was there something else?

**P** **Public Safety Cluster - Transcript** 38:42

Happy.

I was just gonna say we'll continue to have our contract aircraft at Van Nuys for right.

**SS** **Soudani, Sophia** 38:50

Got it. OK. And then just on a time frame scale here, if this were to get approved and

you'd go through all these different outfitting, which you said it was for radio water tank and I think there was another one that you mentioned, when would these helicopters be ready to be deployed? Are we thinking before the fire season this year?

**P** **Public Safety Cluster - Transcript** 39:06

No, it's it's probably gonna be about a six to nine month process. So it's gonna be probably by next year at least.

**SS** **Soudani, Sophia** 39:12

OK.

Got it.

**P** **Public Safety Cluster - Transcript** 39:19

And there's a long lead time on a lot of these parts. That's one of the reasons why we also went this route to purchase the tanks and everything on our own because some of the lead times of the the in the aviation industry, there's a backup on parts right now up to 600 days on some parts. So we're trying to streamline and get them out there as fast as we can.

**SS** **Soudani, Sophia** 39:38

Got it. Did you say and what was the, I think there was a third aspect that you mentioned that needs to be outfitted. Is there all, is it just one company that does that can outfit for the radio, the water tank and the third element that you mentioned?

**P** **Public Safety Cluster - Transcript** 39:52

Yes, it's as the hoist rescue if for like in the Cliff wilderness areas there, that's how they voice people out.

**SS** **Soudani, Sophia** 40:01

Got it. And so there's there's only one company that can that can do that outfitting.

**P** **Public Safety Cluster - Transcript** 40:07

Yes, correct.

**SS** **Soudani, Sophia** 40:08

OK.

OK. That's it for me. Thank you.

**P** **Public Safety Cluster - Transcript** 40:15

Thank you. Any other questions from colleagues here?

Seeing none, Daria, you do it again. OK, great. So we'll now move on to the next board's letters. Probation Approval of the Youth Delinquency and Gang Prevention Program policy to modernize probation's discretionary funds. If the various presenters could step forward and introduce themselves, that would be very much appreciated.

We have a demonstrated seats. We need to follow up some chairs over here. OK. Good morning, deputies. My name is Stephanie Zarella. I'm from CEO and I will let my colleagues introduce themselves before we start the presentation. Good morning. Here is all from the Chief Executive Office. Good morning. Duan Belton from Department of Development. Tasha Harold with Probation.

So before you is a board letter in response to directive two of the motion that was adopted by the board on September 30th, 2025 to modernize probation's discretionary funds. So based on the feedback that we received from each board office after our discussion at the last cluster meeting on January 28th.

So drafted the board letter that's before you to request approval of the board policy. Designate DYD as the administrator of the YD GPP program effective July 1st.

Delegate authority to the Acting Chief Executive Officer and Auditor Controller to effectuate the transfer of the community-based contracts budget unit from.

Probation to DYD effective July 1st and to change the name of the budget unit from youth to from community based contracts to youth development community based contracts. Also delegate the contracting authority to DYD related to the contracts.

And consistently the transfer of the budget unit to extend the delegated authorities that probation currently has for a special contract with American Program Inc to the Director of DYD. The delegated authority requested for contracting is to ensure continuity of contracting for the new program.

And also adding youth develop youth development in front of the budget unit is to ensure that the budget aligns in the budget book with DYD to keep it consistent. The changes from the policy that was presented in January on January 28th is the policy

now is on a standard board policy template.

Under the reference section, DYD is now added as a designated administrator. Under Section 1 funding, the footnote was updated to reflect DYD's cost of 260,000, which is an increase of 129,000.

And just to mention, there may be some non-substantial changes that the Executive Office may need to make in order to make sure the format aligns because this board policy will be added into the board policy menu.

And our plans to submit this board letter before the board April 14th.

Open up for open it up for any questions. Great colleagues, any questions? We do have some and thank you all very much. I know this was a motion that we need or this stem from a motion that we submitted some time ago.

And I think Ashley from ours has some questions and I'll I'll follow up. Yeah, I just had a quick question about the increase that is discussed in the letter. So I was wondering why there was an increase in services because the contract is being moved over. If you could explain that a bit. So in our discussion with DOAD and I may let DOAD elaborate a little bit more.

But in order for DYD to take on the administrator role, they based on that assessment, they needed up to 260,000 and I'll let Duan explain. Yeah. So as you are aware, DYD is a department that currently contracts all of its services out and. We have over 120 contracts and essentially what that means is we're built on a monthly basis. And So what the need was, was to add a additional accountant to be able to handle that level of invoices because the current level of invoicing, the current level of accounting staff was stretched in.

So that's where the increase change. Thank you. No problem.

Yeah. For CEO, what did you all explore to identify ways to offset those costs? So there is a special contract. There's about a, I believe it was 192. I think it should be exact amount.

It's 192,000 of ongoing funds that's for a contractor that is no longer a business. So that's unspent funding and the additional cost that DYD would need could be covered by that by reallocating funds. That way there's no impact to each board office's allocations and they'd be using that.

Walk me through that again. So there's an unspent money in the bucket right now that can be used to cover the cost of this salary person for that's for one year, I assume for one year. It's ongoing. So yeah, it's ongoing funding that was allocated for a contract with.

Sugary Um.

It's Sugar Ray Robinson Youth Foundation and my understanding is that they're no longer in that they went out of business. So that ongoing funding is still there and it hasn't been spent. So that funding could be used to offset that additional cost and that way and that doesn't come out of each board office's individual application.

OK.

Might have other questions I'll ask. I think that's it for for this one. Thank you.

I just, I have one question and you may or may not have the answer right now. It's OK and we can follow up. But for the future programs, especially for summer programming, once transferred to DYD, what should the process be to designate that funding for those programs as we move closer to that?

Yeah, and that's a great question. That's that's something that we have the details for right right now this minute, but we'll be happy to share as a as part of the follow up.

Yeah, I was curious. I believe last time this came to the to cluster, we discussed how there'd be a few different, a few potential differences between what the process was for probation versus DYD. And one thing that I was kind of interested in hearing more about was.

The kind of background track process for potential contracted agencies, I was wondering if you all have talked about that, if there's going to be the same kind of level of background track or if there's been a little bit more flexibility and we have a specific agency you've been trying to contract with that we've been having issues with getting them a contract because they're formerly incarcerated.

and there have been limitations and restrictions on their background. So wondering if DYD is planning on kind of loosening some of the background check restrictions. I wouldn't necessarily say that the department is looking to to loosen some of the background check. I know that the background checks is something that we're looking into right now and I'll give you a quick example.

So right now we're we're going through a situation where we have some contractors that work in our probation facilities and those contractors are usually clear background, clear their backgrounds are usually clear as part of working in a probation facility. However, there are other contractors that that do not that provide services on behalf of the department and it's it's language.

And our contracts that require them to to have a background check. One of the challenges that we're starting to run into is not every or some of the contractors don't have the ability to receive a custodial records. So that poses some some level

of challenge that we're trying to work through right now and when.

When that happens, that means that they can't clear their personnel. So to answer your question, one of the things that we're looking at is how can we ensure that folks are being background properly, but at the same time make it less burdensome on the on the on the.

Uh, contractor side.

Yeah, when when this transfers over, I might kind of reach out one-on-one and we can talk about this one provider. And so it might be a case-by-case basis to answer your question. In some situations we may have to figure out workarounds for certain contractors, but for others it may be more of a standard format, but until we can start looking at each individual contractor situation.

It would be hard to say, you know what the process will be holistically, right. OK.

Thank you.

Any other questions?

Are you online?

OK. Thank you very much for that presentation. We'll now move to the next board letter. We'll now move to the next board letter from Public Works, Pitches Detention Center, Laundry Refurbishments.

Members here in public works.

All right. My name is Misha McHale. I'm a Senior Project Manager at Public Works. I'm here to be presenting on the Pitches Detention Center Laundry Repurbishment project. We also have representatives from the Sheriff's Department who can answer any operations questions. That includes custody operations, laundry operations, and facility planning.

We also have members of CEO who can answer any budget-related questions. PDC Laundry is located in Castaic and serves the entire Sheriff Department's jail system. In 2016 to 2018, a selection of washers and dryers were replaced due to existing machinery being and end of its service life.

This project is the final phase of the deferred maintenance plan and replace the remaining laundry machinery at the facility. We are seeking a board to approve a project budget of 10,500,000 to construct the project using a board approved job and to have ISD procure the associated laundry machinery.

ISC is to sole source the procurement of the major laundry machinery due to compatibility with the existing system. Approval of the recommended action will have no impact to county office county services. The laundry machine will be

installed in phases and during non peak periods.

To minimize disruption to operations, the construction of this project is expected to start Q 3/20/26 and substantial completion expected Q 1/20/28. Thank you for your time.

Thank you, colleagues. Any questions? I have two clarifying ones very quickly. So the 10.5 is the actual renovate. Is that the overall project budget, not including the acquisition or including includes, includes the acquisition and the acquisition cost itself is the 5.5, correct?

Anyone else?

OK. Thank you for that presentation. Thank you. We'll now move, moving right along in this agenda here. We'll now move to the board briefing by the Civilian Oversight Commission, COC and Office of Inspector General OIG monthly status and custody briefing.

Yes, please.

Thank you.

Something can be close. I know somewhere else. I know, actually. I need to do that. That's. But does that mean you want printer?

I'm sorry, we're getting on track. OK, if our presenters would mind introducing themselves so we can get back on track after all these non germanes.

Please go ahead. Good morning. My name is Charmaine Mosley, and I'm the Executive Director for the Sheriff's Bone Obsite Commission. Good morning, Eric Bates, Interim Inspector General. I'm going to start off my update with a few of the Commission activities. They have their meeting tomorrow.

And also, we have a full agenda. As always, I'm going to highlight a few items from the agenda. First Sheriff Woolman is going to provide his regular update. At the last Commission meeting, the Commission has requested that the Sheriff provide an update.

In writing so that they are better prepared and we received a response from the Office of the Constitution of Police and that they are unable to fulfill that request because the sheriff's updates are in real time. So so that that request is not going to be fulfilled.

And then following that, Chair Johnson will provide updates on several items. All are important to the work of the Commission, but I wanted to highlight that we are still waiting for feedback from County Council on proposed divisions 3.79 and also the proposed confidentiality procedures that we submitted a few months ago.

We are also narrowing the end of the meet and confer process for Measure R that passed in 2020, so we're all excited about that. Hopefully by the end of this year we will have that will be reach a completion and then also with the enactment of ABA 47 in January 2026.

The Commission still does not have access to personnel information from LASD. The county believes that a meeting confer is required, while the Commission believes that since AB847 is state law, no meeting confer is necessary.

Also last week, me and our my Deputy Executive Director, Bikila Ochoa, who's in the audience, we met with the Executive Director from San Diego County's Law Enforcement Review Board, known as CLERB. CLERB has oversight of probation.

**DV** **Danielle Vappie** 54:59

Fun was, yeah, I was emailing us at the time.

**P** **Public Safety Cluster - Transcript** 55:06

They kind of moved everything along kind of fast. Sorry, can I? Yes, I'm sorry for interrupting, but sorry real quick. I think we have someone unmuted on the line there. OK, thank you. Go ahead. OK. So clerk also has independent outside counsel, so that's what probably also speed up their process.

**DV** **Danielle Vappie** 55:09

OK, if you have a question, then I'll.

**P** **Public Safety Cluster - Transcript** 55:26

County Council for San Diego County is not involved. We also have one educational presentation on the automated license plate reader policy, the deployment and also data practices. This presentation relates to the September 16, 2025 board motion. Directing the Commission to host an annual community forum on ALPR. Then we have two action items on the agenda. Also following presentations on LDSD's budget and ALPR, the Commission will also consider approving a staff report. On the budget and approval of assigning the ALPR topic to the Technology Ad Hoc Committee, we will also hear updates from Civil Brand and also the Inspector General tomorrow's meeting. As far as Commission vacancies go, we don't have any. Commission vacancy. So I'm so happy about that. Since our last meeting, we onboarded onboarded new Commissioner London McBride. We welcome him to the

Commission as he brings a wealth of experience in law enforcement, professional development, and he's also the director of the art department over in the museum. Of tolerance. I also provided an orientation to Commissioner McBride, which included a review of governance documents for the Commission, as well as an overview of NACOLE and the history of oversight. And we still have a few training components left.

For him to complete and as far as internal operations go, currently we are working with the Eels staff to seek approval for an emergency hire to fill the Commission's senior staff analyst position. This is a difficult position to fill due to the requirements of the position and also.

The research and writing needs of the Commission. Since the county has a hiring and spending freeze in place, justification and approval will also be needed and then also due to our already small and limited staff.

I'm always looking for ways to improve our operations. We know there is overlap with our oversight bodies, so there is also a need for us to be able to look at the oversight bodies and identify ways for us to collaborate and have shared resources, especially now with the hiring freeze. I'm working also with Commander.

Custody Commander Montoya, the schedule standing committees for SBCCOC and OIG to meet collaboratively and together with the sheriff and his team. This would improve information sharing and also the use of our staff resources.

I have also submitted a proposal to the Governor's ad hoc committee to improve how the Commission process board motions that include directives to the Commission under the proposed approach. When a motion is passed by the Board, it will first be placed on the Commission agenda.

As an educational item, we would invite subject matter experts to be to brief to brief the full Commission and then refer the item to an ad hoc committee for further review and follow up. Currently, when there is a motion, the motion automatically is assigned to an ad hoc committee.

Which consists of less than a quorum of commissioners and I would like to see our current process restructured to promote more transparency, shared understanding and learning and also full of Commission engagement. So we are also strengthening our operations.

We will also be able to work collaboratively with the Inspector General at an earlier stage. The Governor's ad hoc committee agrees with the proposal, so hopefully it will be added to our agenda for next month.

In terms of trainings, commissioners now have access to the county e-mail accounts. We are continuing discussions with the Executive Office about obtaining laptops for commissioners who need reliable remote access because some commissioners still have to wait until they get home to access the county emails.

That's a huge inconvenience, especially when we have time sensitive documents. Finally also our our offices temporarily relocating to to this building right here in the basement until the building on the Vermont.

Street is also completed, so we're going to be relocating from the World Trade Center into this building as well. And my last update is on Commission events and activities. Recent events, you know, we've been out in the community, we have attended CAC meetings.

Lancaster Beverly Towson in East LA. We've also attended several Black History Month events and then also for this month some women celebrations for this month. Staff also attended a de-escalation and pre-force.

Tactics training up in Antelope Valley as well as a special joint committee meeting on racial profiling. We've also held collaboration meetings with Civil Grand Commission and OIG, several meetings with community groups and also at in custody depth review listening session.

And most importantly, we attended a few of the post Commission meetings that were that were held earlier this month in San Diego and.

We have a few upcoming events which include tabling in the second district.

Planning is also underway, underway for our town hall on the license plate readers and the date is going to be to be decided and also immigration town hall. We also have to work on scheduling the date for that.

And there is going to be a in custody death forum on April 9th and that's going to be held in person from 5:30 to 7:30 at the East LA Senior Community Center. And we are also planning on our 2026 annual conference.

This is the 10th year anniversary of the Commission, so that's going to be huge and that will be scheduled for July 25th, Obek Dollahide. And that completes my report.

Thank you.

Thank you. Do we want to do questions for COC or do OIG first?

Let's do ID first. Good morning again. And mine will be very short because there's really nothing new to report since last week. We will report on a quarterly report, but we will be at the CLC tomorrow to present the quarterly reporting information that we provided here.

Included in that will be reporting on the automated license plate reader that Charmaine said it was on their agenda and also she mentioned collaboration in areas that overlap with OIG and we look forward to.

Working with the COC and and in dealing with areas that do overlap to make better use of our resources in both offices and look forward to that. That's really all I have. Great.

All right, colleagues, opening up the questions. Yeah, well, I just have one clerk. Yes, really interesting. I'm glad that CSC was able to do that. Actually, you said the Kila also, if you want to quickly, do you mind? Because I would actually like to.

Get more insight in what you all learned as I know that this has been a a struggle and aside from Clerb retaining independent counsel.

What were some of the other kind of best practices that they are are doing that we in the county can can adopt or explore or or look at? Or was it literally just having independent counsel that?

really good things, Paul. Um. So it's a bit of background. We

We scheduled that meeting with Clerk because Charmaine and I also attended the BSCCS in custody death review division. They have a series of town halls, so they had a higher town hall in San Diego.

And it was at that meeting that Brett Kalina, who's the Ed of clerk in San Diego, he mentioned that they're getting access to confidential records. So we just met with him and just asked him, how did you work this magic in this miracle?

Um, uh.

And so we had a discussion about outside counsel, also how they actually share information with their commissioners. And so they use a technology called box.com to share with their commissioners.

There are reports that include confidential records. However, the actual source documents, the actual confidential records, those are actually not shared with their commissioners, any commissioner who has an interest.

And reviewing the source material has to go to the ED to access that information. So that was insight sort of news news to me. They also sent us their their framework for. For confidential records and I believe also their framework for closed session. And so I haven't had a chance to review that yet. I'll review that and sort of share my comments with Charmaine and you all, but it was an interesting conversation.

And I think it's sort of to see a county, you know that close by that large, you know go through their meet and confer so quickly I think was eye opening to Charmaine

and I and so.

Um.

Hopefully it's a it was the beginning of an ongoing conversation, but it was just our first later one time meeting with with Brett at this point. So I I don't know more than that. Yeah. Did you have any thoughts on that meeting? Yes. Cliff has been around for, oh gosh, since the 90s. Yeah, no, I'm familiar with that with their work. So like, yeah, Cliff.

And they really also struggled with having access to confidential information, you know, the old angle subpoena power in order to get information. And I know the new sheriff also, they had like concerns that she wasn't being as transparent with sharing information too. So with the passage of AB847, I mean, I was shocked.

When we were in that meeting to hear them say that, you know, to hear Brett say that now they have like, you know, this access and how they're getting it. You know, it's really not being shared with the commissioners because sometimes commissioners want to see those case files, but it is a lot in those case files and.

I know I have Commissioner Harris back there, but it's a lot of information that, you know, eventually commissioners will get burned out, you know, trying to review that information. So clerk relies on the staff, which is investigators to investigate those those case files also. Now I think they do that.

Yeah. So they have authority to do independent investigations, independent of the department, which is an authority that we, I don't think so we have at the COC, but their, their, their authorities, their structure.

Is different from what we currently have here in LA County. I mean, it sounds like a model that I would love for LA County to have when we come, when we talk about law enforcement and accountability. So kind of curious what next steps are. I know you had that.

One meeting with Clerb is Co C's plan to then continue to engage. I don't know, just like a report back or something to say like how how else are you gonna communicate? They went to Clerb. These are some of the things.

You know, maybe LA County should consider like what are some of the proposed next steps that CSC has on this? I mean, I'll go ahead. So we have our meeting scheduled tomorrow and I plan on reporting out on, you know, that meeting, but the proposal visions is still up in the town council being viewed.

I don't know at this point. Yeah, I mean the the the purpose of the meeting again was to focus on a BA47 and meet and confer. We hadn't we thought about sort of you

know reconsidering or reevaluating LA's oversight model. I think you all should know that their Board of Supervisors.

Is considering standing up there an OIG as well. So currently there is no OIG in San Diego County, but the board is considering an OIG as well. So I think that'd be something sort of worth this county sort of following.

But that that's all we know now but then but then also they were I think recently given access to being able to have oversight over the medical records also. So that's additional and that was under at the.

You know, at the push from Supervisor Montgomery's staff. So you know that's important when you think about in custody zaps and you know that information that is within, you know, CHS and also LSD to have some type of oversight and to find out what exactly.

Is in those reports. So yeah, I think it's, you know, one appreciate COC proactively looking at other models. It sounds like Clerb is leading kind of on the ideal.

And so, you know, we'd like to just, you know, continue at least for our office to get a little bit more information on some of the things that COC is offers exploring on this on this issue. So thank you. Thank you.

I yeah, I had some related, but not exactly the same kinds of questions because it sounds like the model that Claire passes is quite different, like they don't have an IG, but they have this other access pattern and then they seem to have staff that incorporates sort of kind of some of what the OG staff currently does.

So it sounds like there's a mix of things happening there. One of the things that was interesting to me though, and I'm curious about it, is you all have an ad hoc community.

One legal something or another that is exploring something that I thought stemmed in part from this disagreement about whether it had to be me to confer.

And has that group been presented this information from clerk that they actually did do the meeting confer, got access, no staffing way, got access to that stuff after the after meeting confer. So because it sounds like the ad hoc committee was really starting from a position of County Council says we have to prefer we think.

Not actually going down the road of how would we change our meeting per process to actually get it across the finishing line so there would be less resistance at the end of the day. Yeah, so I do a weekly update for commissioners and I did include that information.

That update, I don't know if all commissioners have yet read it, but technically they're

on notice about about the fact that San Diego County did engage in meeting for over 847 and that was quickly concluded. So they now have access to information. So our commissioners should technically be aware of that, but there hasn't been any meeting of the ad hoc since they were informed and no discussion as far as I know about that book and then the and I just might this is my constant clarifying point on this and you know not meaning to beat you up about it, but.

The position that there doesn't have to be a meeting confer conflates and staying and saying it that way conflates two things. One is whether we have to meet and confer on putting a policy in place that's required by state law. And that's the thing that many people would say we're not required to do that. The second piece is whether we want an obligation to meet and.

Confer about the effects of that policy and that's where there has been some disagreement is my understanding and I I think it's important to actually say that because it it it would be, I mean I suspect that's what San Diego met and confer about. I don't know, but I have a suspicion that that's what they were meeting and confer about what are the effects.

Well, like what is the law, right? Cause the law is the law. So I I think that's important and I I just, I'm saying it here just because I think making sure that folks understand it that way will help narrow the conflict so that we actually get this done sooner rather than later and I.

I.

Feel that it has been described more broadly in a way that's not helpful. So I'm just putting it out there so that folks kind of keep in mind that like if we can narrow this down the consolidates center and actually get across the finish line, that would be my suggestion. I think, I think here this Commission has been in place for 10 years, right, but.

As far as being able to access to, you know, confidential information and personnel records, the question is what happens, right? And Vakila mentioned the framework that Clerk uses. Clerk has policies in place. They have a MOU already that's been in place for years.

So you know, they also have the independent counsel. So being able to come to the table and and have that discussion, everything is already laid out, but for CLC everything is not already laid out, right? So there are questions that will arise as to. What is going to happen when the Commission goes into closed session? What is going, what are they going to do with these, you know, files? So all of those

questions, I mean, they're good questions, but that's because the process was not built previously. So that's a that's something that we have to put in place as to.

What the Commission is going to do once they receive that information and also to your point, Steven, I believe it was in January I presented on the Commission's 2526 strategic plan and I did express and share with the community.

You know, basically the point you just made, that meeting converters over the effects of the legislation. And so I've made that point on record, it's on video, it's public, the Commission's aware, but I think it's important, you know.

Reasonable minds to sort of disagree on the process and yada yada yada. But I think it's important to remind folks that you know some commissioners have deep and long-standing frustrations over the process in LA County.

We still, you know, measure our past back in 2020 and that's still technically not in effect in LA County because of me confirmed and so.

I think the Commission sort of frustration is genuine, it's it's legitimate, and it's important to remind folks as sort of why this frustration exists. It cannot be the case. That every time a state law or state reform passes impacting oversight of whether it's probation of the sheriff, these laws take years to go into effect while people in the community sort of still suffer and bear the the consequences of those laws not being in effect. And so I just want to remind folks that.

You know, this is not a group of, you know, people sort of out in left field making things up. This is born of deep and long-standing and reasonable frustration as to the way meet and confer around these issues operates in LA County.

So I think that makes sense and I did not accuse anyone making out of that deal. If anything, I'm often out there with folks. But I think what I do, I I want to make clear that we're in my view, we should be targeting ways to make progress and by framing something as in like we think County Council is wrong.

So, like by by generalizing the conflict, we've kind of actually made it harder to get to what the actual issues are to actually resolve them, right? If you told me we're going to explore a way to evaluate how San Diego did their meet and converse so quickly so that we can look at best practices to try and bring those to LA County.

I think you'd have a lot of people raising their hands and say, hey, bring me into that. If we're going to frame it as county council's wrong and we're going to study why, I don't. That's not the same thing. Yeah. And that's that wasn't the spirit in which Charmaine and I meant. No, I'm not saying that's what you all are saying. I understand because you did make that it it when you described it, you did describe it

as something.

This is about the effects.

That is not necessarily the language that everyone on commissions and that I think that's.

A theme, right? Because it's not like you said it. And then folks were like, OK, got it. We'll focus on this more narrow thing. It was like we heard you and we're still going to frame it as in like we don't think we have to meet, which is not there's there's a it's not a disconnect, it's a choice.

And it's one that I don't know. We could all make choices that help us get further along. So that's what I'm interested in and would be happy to work with you all on it. So understood. So I don't understand the legal ad hoc committee there, they feel very strongly that commissioners should not be involved with the meeting conferred process.

And also staff for me and Vakila, you know, as county employees, we are in the meeting conferred, right, to be able to answer those questions because it's like a series of questions that you know the representatives have. And I think that if we weren't in that, that that room or that environment, it will take longer.

You know, there'll be nobody in there from the Commission, you know, to be able to respond to those questions. So I'm glad that we are a part of it. Hopefully some of our commissioners will at least one will be able to join and to be able to like, make sure that you know those questions are being answered correctly.

They just asked, I forgot the clerk plus process. You said they were able to go go through meeting fairly quickly. What was the timeline? Because for us it takes years. What was clerk timeline? That's a good question. We didn't get details of that. So yeah, we can go.

It only takes, you know, 3-4 years sometimes and Claire was able to do it in one year, right? Like, that's great. I just wanted to know what duration. Yeah, I mean, the law passed back in in October, but but it could have been the case that he started me to confer prior to the law. That's also being passed, right, to say, hey, this is trending towards being passed.

Let's start our meeting. So I don't know the exact date that meeting confer started, but I would assume it's less than a year. If y'all can find out like that would be great to your point, right? Did they start meeting and conferring kind of before like, hey, this is kind of blah blah because then maybe that helps with timeline.

And whatever else, because I agree, I I think that it's such a disservice when bills are

passed and they are laws and we are still meet and conferring. It makes me not want to follow the law because I want to meet and confer with myself on whether I need a because I want to use myself when I drive and I want to meet and confer for.

Three to four years to decide on whether or not I should follow the law. Like that's what it feels like. And so, yes, I mean, I I agree. I I think that there could be some possibility of like, you know, conflict of interest with, you know, with Coco. And so really curious about this independent council kind of, you know, line of thought.

But yeah, I I agree. I think there needs we there needs to be some sort of shortened process because I do think that meet and confer is important. I just I'm concerned with the length of time it takes when we're talking about.

Law and law enforcement than taking such a long time to kind of like figure stuff out.

Any other questions, comments from colleagues? I do. I have one last question just for everyone here. What would be success for this? Because I I feel like with particularly 3.79 we've been back and forth in this room multiple times about it and just want to like be very clear about what is what would be success for that like.

How do we get not, how do we get there, but like what would be that so that we know kind of what steps we need to.

I think the the sticky items that were in the proposal vision 3.79 that's that's like access to confidential information that's maybe 847 and the ability to go into closed session. Everything else is like clarification for the previous the original ordinance so. You know, as far as success goes, looking at our oversight bodies, you know, looking at how we are all operating and making sure that there is no overlap. You know, talking about success with with trying to think about what is what makes our oversight models effective.

Right. Not just being able to hold special hearings. Those special hearings are good. But when investigations are done, what does those investigations uncover or what don't they like, you know, if there's issues in the investigation?

You know, the Commissioner should have, you know, whether it's staff or the Commission eyes on those investigations to make sure that everything's done here on the thorough. So I think that once we have the proposal visions and we have like our framework and all of those other things built out, I think it would.

We have a great opportunity to make sure that we have effective oversizing your own content.

So it sounds to me like, I'm sorry, I thought I moved. So it sounds to me like, of course, I think overall we want effective oversight, which is a big thing, which

includes ensuring that all the oversight bodies are not overlapping each other.

They're kind of doing the things that they need to do.

Functionally, and then particularly for CLC sounds like having access to confidential documents so that you're able to effectively provide oversight.

For all the Sheriff's Department and that is that kind of the gist of, yes, because we have people that come to our meetings also and you know, call complaints, they have issues with, you know, complaints and COC right now we don't.

There's no oversight over those complaints. Even with the OIG's office, there's no oversight over those individual complaints. You know, there was that one incident that I keep talking about that, you know, CD deputy beat up.

Brock, Emmitt Brock And if there were eyes on that investigation before it even reached like a federal level, I think that an oversight body will be able to identify, you know, issues with the reports, issues with.

The entire investigation be able to put that back to the department in a timely fashion, but that's not what's happening here. So yeah, it seems to me like there's not like clear touch points for oversight and throughout of like the after the life of a investigation or something that's happening or something.

That has occurred, right, wanting and desiring to have that because I think even in the public like they make complaints and I and I understand that it's like their their whole thing is like investigating it right and like where does it go and having that independent I'll say like independent investigation of.

What's happened to them and not the, I'll say perpetrating department to now investigate what has occurred to them and having those having you all or OIG in those spaces to be able to.

Kind of track that investigation along the way. Is that fair? Yes. All right. Thank you.

Thank you. That is fair. And I just want to add to that. I've mentioned before that that's one of my long term.

Goals, if I remain in the position to have more tracking of those critical time periods from complaint to the end goes to civil service. So there's actually eyes to make sure that it's.

Operating and and I think that's what the community, I can't speak for the community in school, but I think from what I've heard is it's really that desire to have someone kind of advocating for them through that process because something happens to them, whether it's.

You know, a complaint about deputies following them or something like that. And

they make the complaint, they do the right thing. They come to you all, they come to the COC, then they come to ORG, they make the complaint there and then they're told, hey, if you want this investigation, you got to go to the Sheriff's Department. They do that, which kind of puts them in a place of like, well, you like.

You may do something to me and making sure that that's being tracked throughout, not stopped at that point like hey, we've done what we what we can do and now they have to handle that. So that's that's what I think ideally what someone would want and desire from the community in relation.

Complaints or issues related to the Sheriff's department. And then even if someone asks, you know, COC to look at, let's say a complaint that was submitted and we asked the OIG, there was still an issue because once OIG does their investigations on memorandums again.

Create a memorandum and it has to go through a process with OIG has to reach out to the County Council to get permission or to like have some type of review and then sometimes COC still doesn't have access and can't get access to the information that OIG has.

On the complaint. So I don't know if I'm making sense, but it seems like if somebody like a member of the public comes, gives us their complaint, OIG probably conducted some type of inquiry and just call it an inquiry.

And you know, they draft a report. The report can't be shared with COC even though COC requested the information.

It has to go to County Council and then sometimes county councils like that information can be shared with.

If you're but to turn back the conversation a few minutes ago, yes, in looking at the way Verb does things and looking at how you all are updating whatever the future would look like, presumably there's a way to capture just like with.

ABD 47 like more accessible but still appropriate ways of either staff having access to information or commissioners where appropriate and the access points so that people, whether it's an online system or whatever, so that people are able to kind of whoever it is, it's appropriate like it makes sense to have that in a vision.

Right. Of like this is what the COC of the future should be able to do and this is how we should be able to do it and here's ways to structure that. So I I think that's a that would be very good for all of us to see. I think it's like especially to the extent that's OIG participates in that process and sort of agrees on what have the overlap you're talking about like there's a way in which that would actually be very good for all of us

to.

To get eyes on.

Yeah, no, that's and that's kind of where my question was getting. I appreciate you, Steven, for kind of letting it out that way. But it like being able to provide like what, what does effective oversight look like? What do you, not just like an ideal, what is it for like tangibly, what does that look like to have effective oversight and for?

Each of the oversight bodies not to overlap each other. Like, hey, we would in an ideal world, given what we know and what's being done in other places or whatever, this is how this should be. This is how we should go. I think that'd be, at least for us, that'd be helpful for us to see.

What that could look like and and then helps us advocate for that process, whatever that may be, if we if we so choose to.

Thank you. Any other questions from our folks?

Seeing none. Thank you so much for that presentation. Thank you. Thank you.

Dargherty, I move to you for public comment. Thank you. Items under item 4, public comment for item 4A EC or G either in person online. If you don't mind, please speak up and raise your hand on me.

OK, seeing that we move to general public. Oh, sorry, Byron. Jose, you want to unmute and I'll start your minute when you start thinking.

**BJ** **Byron Jose** 1:29:02

Thank you. Just uplifting definitely the need for the COC or the YG and the board itself working along with County Council to truly follow this accountability mechanisms. We can't just continue to follow down this track, this line and again as we look into truly bringing, reducing the harm.

In the liability cost, if people don't care about the harm and the lives of our people under the share of our communities, under the share department, at least the liability and the constant toll it takes on our budget. Thank you.

**P** **Public Safety Cluster - Transcript** 1:29:34

All right. Thank you very much. Anybody else?

OK, seeing none, we move to general public comment. Are there any general public comments?

I see them back to you chair for adjournment. OK, I will. Unless there's any final comments, I'll look to adjourn today's meeting. Thank you all for participation, for

tolerating my oversight facilitation. That's the word I was looking for.  
Thank you.

- **Dardy Chen** stopped transcription