

This document was generated by AI and is not an official meeting record. It may contain errors.

Transcript

February 11, 2026

□ **Dardy Chen** started transcription

P **Public Safety Cluster Transcription** 0:21

Everybody, we're gonna get started with today's public safety cluster meeting. We'll get our hidden, get started with introductions.

So we'll start with my office of First District, Annabelle Martinez.

And here's my colleague, Emily. Dozens, and I'll pass it up to the second district.

Hi. Good morning, Miss Sally Ramos, seniors, justice deputy. And I'll let my colleagues.

Dante Franklin, Supervisor Mitchell's Justice, Melody Estelle Mitchell, assistant justice.

And I'll pass it on to our third district.

Good morning, everyone.

Steven Edwards, senior Justice deputy supervisor for better.

Hi everyone.

Alexander Perez with experience and how are you just mentioned Tivity and I have my colleagues Chloe and Esther Online.

CC **Cheney-Rice, Chloe** 6:42

Good morning, Chloe, senior justice and Mental Health deputy Supervisor Han.

P **Public Safety Cluster Transcription** 6:43

No.

EL **Esthef Lim** 6:47

Good morning, Esther, senior policy advisor for Supervisor Han.

P **Public Safety Cluster Transcription** 6:52

And then we'll pass it off to the good district.

Good morning, Sandra.

Croxen to Stephe for supervisor Barger and I'll hand it over to my colleague. Hi everyone.

Leslie Gamino, assistant justice deputy.

So as you all can see from the agenda, we have two informational items, 2 motions, 3 presentations and three closed session items.

Since there are two motions on the agenda, this meeting will be recorded and we'll go ahead and get started with the first item, which is the probation, the information item, which is the probation department request.

To employ one retired county employee on a temporary basis, I'll open it up for the deputies for questions.

Any questions?

OK.

Thank you.

Then we'll move on to the next item, which is the from the public defender's Office, also requesting to employ one retired county employee with temporary basis.

And I'll open up questions from Ajit please.

Thank you.

And then we want to have a comment for the 2nd. Thank you.

All right.

Are there any public comments?

Please speak up or raise your hand on teams if you're in person, please raise your hand.

They are.

Thank you.

And as I mentioned earlier, we have two agendas on today's 2 motion on today's agenda. The what?

The both being from Supervisor Hunt's office and the second one the supervisor Hunts Office and supervisor Supervisor Mitchell.

So I'll pass it off for the first one to thanks.

Chloe's gonna be presenting.

 **Cheney-Rice, Chloe** 8:27

Thank you.

Good morning, everyone.

I'm really excited to present on these two motions.

They're both motions to support legislation that assembly member Brian is authoring.

And I'm excited because these are pretty special bills because they were born from direct interaction. The assembly member had with youth who are incarcerated at Los Padrinos.

When he visited them a couple months ago so.

The and and in fact the 1st.

That I'll discuss Assembly Bill 1647 was actually a version of a bill that youth who are incarcerated themselves drafted while they're incarcerated.

And as far as I know, this is kind of unique.

This is the first time I've heard of at least that youth themselves drafted Bill language that is going to be introduced into the legislature and potentially signed into law.

So we're very excited about this.

So AB 1647 has to do with the process.

Of the of transfer hearings where youth are potentially transferred from the juvenile system to the adult system.

So as some background, after Prop 57 passed in 2016, a change was made to that transfer process so that a judge instead of the prosecuting attorney is responsible for making the determination that youth is amenable for rehabilitation in juvenile or not.

And so this is a process that's used today.

And the judge has to consider whether a youth is amenable to rehabilitation using clear and convincing evidence as the burden of proof.

So this bill, if it's passed, will raise the burden of proof to the highest possible standard beyond a reasonable doubt, meaning that a judge has to believe with utmost confidence that a youth is not amenable to rehabilitation by staying in the juvenile system before they can transfer them.

To the adult system.

So I can pause there if my colleagues have any questions on that motion before I move on to the next one.

P

Public Safety Cluster Transcription 10:36

Then we'll take public comment on this motion first to Chloe. Any questions from deputies.

CC **Cheney-Rice, Chloe** 10:39

OK, perfect.

P **Public Safety Cluster Transcription** 10:43

Yes. Has this bill been analyzed by law?

CC **Cheney-Rice, Chloe** 10:48

You know, I don't think it has.

I'm happy to to ask them to to do an analysis.

It. Yeah, I I can't imagine it.

It will have any sort of impact that they're concerned about financial impact for the county, but let me let me get back to you on that one.

Do you have any specific questions, Sandra for.

Brilliant.

P **Public Safety Cluster Transcription** 11:12

No, no.

CC **Cheney-Rice, Chloe** 11:12

Just a general analysis.

P **Public Safety Cluster Transcription** 11:15

Yeah.

Starting with the public defenders, do you ever ask those questions?

Is there a question posed?

John Maggie's, on behalf of Public Defenders office.

I just wanted to let you know that across the state, all of the bills see.

Thank you.

So it's process.

CC **Cheney-Rice, Chloe** 11:37

Thanks John.

P **Public Safety Cluster Transcription** 11:39

Yes, and you?

Any other questions for the deputies before we move on?

OK.

All right, we're we want to the public comment section for this item item.

First motion. If you have a public comment. If you're online, please speak up or raise your hand on teams. I see one.

Can you, Tatiana, Tanya, if you want to mute, you'll throw you one minute when you start talking.

TI **Tania Ibanez** 12:10

Yeah, I'm not sure that beyond a reasonable doubt is a higher standing than clear and convincing evidence.

So that is my comment.

I'm concerned that this is not a higher standard to prove in court.

And so it's more of a comment slash question. Has anyone done any serious research on whether or not beyond the reasonable doubt is higher standard than clear and convincing evidence?

Because from my perspective it's just the opposite.

Thank you.

P **Public Safety Cluster Transcription** 12:47

Very much.

Desiree more if you want to unmute, we'll start with your time.

DM **Desiree Marrufo** 12:54

Yes, I just want to say that the JJJPPPA act is very important because it gives youth a real second chance.

I know first hand how easy it is for young people to get lost in the adult system where opportunities for growth, support and rehabilitation are extremely limited by raising the burden of proof to be on a reasonable doubt for transferring a youth to adult, for it gives them.

It gives them the opportunity not to be pushed into a system that doesn't give them room to learn from their mistakes.

It gives them a chance to stay in programs designed for their age to get the help they need and build a future where they can better make better choices rather than

being stuck in a system that often sees them as lost causes.

For youth, this is more than a policy. It's a real opportunity to turn their lives around.

I just urge for you guys to have a yes vote and we will be back on March 3rd to show our support as well.

P Public Safety Cluster Transcription 13:46

OK.

Thank you very much.

Next, we have Israel Dia.

My friend and we'll start with you.

IV Israel Villa (CAYCJ) 13:55

Good morning, Muenos Diaz supervisors.

My name is Israel Salazar Villa, with the California Alliance for Youth and Community Justice.

We are the largest youth justice alliance in this nation.

Largely responsible for much of the progress of the last decade here in California with youth justice.

Definitely want to support this motion and this great push. I want to acknowledge Supervisor, Hahn and most importantly the young folks. You know, it's one thing for us, formerly incarcerated folks to be, you know, in this movement, contributing to progress legislation.

Et cetera.

But I believe this is the first as as the sister mentioned earlier. And so I think this is something that's absolutely beautiful, strong, powerful and something that we stand wholeheartedly behind.

I strongly feel that all youth are sacred, deserving of deserving and worthy of love, dignity, support and real investment in their life.

Trajectory versus buried versus burying them in CDCR. The juvenile justice, the juvenile justice system is designed for rehabilitation, education and development, and all youth deserve and are far better off remaining in the juvenile justice system.

Thank you.

P Public Safety Cluster Transcription 14:57

Turn.

All right. Next we have.

CC **Cheney-Rice, Chloe** 15:13

Dartie did you mute the room or?

We can't hear you online if anyone's talking.

P **Public Safety Cluster Transcription** 15:25

Thank you.

Laura, do you know your your mic? I'm sorry about that.

LR **Laura Ridolfi** 15:29

Did you call Laura rudolphi?

I couldn't hear.

P **Public Safety Cluster Transcription** 15:32

Yes, Laura, go ahead.

LR **Laura Ridolfi** 15:34

Fantastic. Good morning.

My name is Laura Vadelphia.

I'm with the Burns Institute and I'm here in strong support of Supervisor Hans Motion, backing off 1647, the California Supreme Court has said that transfer to adult court is the worst punishment the juvenile system can be empowered to inflict.

Yet right now we use a lower standard of proof for this severe decision than we do for even minor crimes.

In our criminal legal system, we require proof beyond a reasonable doubt.

To convict someone of shoplifting. But when deciding whether to transfer a child to adult court, the most severe punishment available for children, we only require clear and convincing evidence transferred to adult court means that a young person loses access to education, treatment, age, appropriate rehabilitation, all things that.

The juvenile system is designed to provide, and the research is clear, youth prosecuted in adult court have a higher recidivism rate and worse outcomes.

The youth who wrote this bill understand what's at stake.

They call it protection of their potential.

They say it means hope, a second chance, fairness, a better future.
I urge you all to support this important bill.

P Public Safety Cluster Transcription 16:45

OK.

Next, we have Olivia Shields.

Olivia, your microphone will start your time.

Lydia.

OS Olivia Shields 16:52

Good morning, everyone.

Olivia Shields with Urban Peace institute.

I want to reiterate what my lovely colleagues have already shared about support for the juvenile Potential Protection Act and the Hug Act at the county level. I've had the pleasure of working with the young people who conceived of and have been developing these bills for the past two.

Years their young people who are incarcerated between us right now, facing facing transfer hearings.

Their young people who haven't hugged their parents in several years.

And their young people who absolutely have the potential to do the most amazing things when they are invested in and cared for, and I'm so proud to support them in making these bills a reality. And I hope that the county can offer the same support for them as.

Well, thank you.

P Public Safety Cluster Transcription 17:34

Thank you very much.

Any other public comment please speak up.

Raise your hand on teams, please.

OK.

All right. We have one last one. Joshua, if you want to mute yourself for a start your time.

JP Josue Pineda 17:48

Hosui, thank you.

P **Public Safety Cluster Transcription** 17:51
Assignment.

JP **Josue Pineda** 17:51

I was just calling in to urge the.

Board to also go forward with voting for these motions.

Both of them can help the rehabilitation efforts that are going on within LA County and these youths have been deprived of so much during the time that they're there that these two motions would just be part of the.

Restoration.

And healing efforts that are going on inside.

And such a simple effort as like hugging one's loved one during visitation can do so much for restoring not only the youth but also the family and the communities that they're coming from.

And I just simply urging the board to go forward with these motions. Thank you.

P **Public Safety Cluster Transcription** 18:43

OK.

Thank you very much, Ruth.

You're next.

I'll get your mic and we'll start your time.

RT **Ruth Tyson-Edmond** 18:51

As a supervisor within the probation department, I just want to say that it sounds great, right?

You want the judges to make the decision, but remember the facts are that by the time of youth is being held accountable and placed in adult system, he has probably put up a lot of staff out on medical leave staff that can't return to work hurt a lot.

Of people and there's a lot that goes into transferring these you.

Know it's not just one incident.

Typically it's tons and tons of incidents because they've given a lot of chances.

And you guys are really concerned about the staffing levels and you got to remember you got to make it S safe with other youth and say for other kids, for for the staff as well.

And so make sure you understand when you're voting on these things, if you're really concerned about the staffing levels, you got to make sure that the environment is safe for both youth and staff.

And right now, when a kid is sent to adult, believe me, he's done a tremendous amount of harm to other youth as well as to our staffing.

Thank you.

P **Public Safety Cluster Transcription** 19:48

Thank you very much, Ruth.

Next, Helen, we'll start your time when you start speaking.

HE **Helen Eigenberg** 19:54

Good morning, Helen eigenberg.

I just want to just ask everyone to please support both these bills.

I know there's a lot of concern, you know, and as the last speaker just spoke, but the bottom line is that these kids are in our care.

They are deprived of so much while they're in facility and we need to support them best we can. Allowing kids to hug their parents is a basic human need.

Let's do everything we can to take the best care of these kids so that when they return home and they will, they can come back into society and become the best version of themselves. Thank you.

P **Public Safety Cluster Transcription** 20:31

OK.

Thank you very much.

That concludes.

Please meet your wife and we'll start your time when we start speaking.

RP **Rosalino Pavia** 20:40

Thank you.

My name is rosalino.

I'm the program director, founder who's for justice, and I support both these motions.

I think it's very important that kids are allowed to hug their parents.

I myself was formerly incarcerated as a direct file.

Who did six years incarcerated and when I was deprived from hugging my family, especially my mom and dad, it really put me in this weird mood.

Due to the environment that I was in.

And the moment that I was able to hug my parents is gave me hope and it gave me more of motivation to do well because that's what I wanted to do is reunite with my family.

So even the simplicity of holding hands during a little prayer goes a long way.

And the fact that these kids can't do that, it's it's insane, and it's not right. And it's torture. So thank you.

P **Public Safety Cluster Transcription** 21:31

All right.

Thank you very much, Lambert.

If you have a mute, we'll start your time.

LH **Lambert Higuera** 21:36

Hi everybody.

My name is Lamerty Guerra and I serve as the director of development with Hoops for justice. Like my colleagues with Hoops for justice. I've also had the privilege of working with the young people who authored these bills.

It is clear to me that these young people are committed to reimagining and improving the juvenile justice system, whether they directly benefit from these changes or not, they are thinking about the next generation.

I fully support these motions because youth should have the right to hug their loved ones and they should be given a meaningful opportunity to make constructive changes in their lives while in the juvenile system. Thank you.

P **Public Safety Cluster Transcription** 22:09

Thank you very much.

All right.

Any other comments?

Thought we move to the second meeting chair.

Yeah. Can we move to the next motion?

The Assembly Bills 1646 Brian the hug at do you close for that?



Cheney-Rice, Chloe 22:26

Great. Yeah.

Thanks, Natalia, and thank you for.

You know, supporting what?

Supervisor Mitchell Supervisor Mitchell actually joined Assemblymember Brian during his visit, and so I'm really happy that she will be Co authoring this motion. So AB 1646, also authored by assembly member Brian the Hug Act, will make sure that youth in California juvenile facilities.

Are able to embrace their family members during visitation by making physical contact during visits. A legal right for young people who are incarcerated in California.

You know the motion in the preamble.

There's a lot of more explanation as to why this is important.

You know, I'll, I'll summarize, allowing you to hug the visitors is not only beneficial for their positive development for their mental health. Some of our public commenters spoke to to the value.

That being able to hug your family while you visit them places and and gives and gives young people while they're incarcerated. It's also not an unusual practice.

Other jurisdictions allow contact during visits.

For for juveniles and.

It's also just kind of being recognized as best practice, but the Probation Oversight Commission and the Office of Youth.

Sorry OYCR.

I'm sorry for getting the the full title.

Have made official recommendations to the probation department.

That include allowing embrace allowing you to embrace their visitors during visitation.

And so this bill will align with best practice for will.

Make sure that you have the right to hug their loved ones during visitation.

And so I I also.

Want the second piece of this motion is requesting that probation provider verbal presentation.

During the meeting that this will be heard at to provide an update to the board and the public on their efforts to move closer to best practice and I'm really grateful. I've

spoken to the executive leadership of probation about their efforts and they are working toward making sure.

That youth at all facilities do have the opportunity to hug their visitors not just at some facilities, which is currently the case.

So excited.

To hear that update from the department and to see them ensure that this practice becomes part of their official visiting procedures, even if this bill is not signed into law.

So I think I'll pause there.

Colleagues, if you have any questions, let me know and I before questions.

Actually I just want to say I really appreciate hoops for justice for bringing us in to meet with these young people. Supervisor Han has been wanting to see these young people be able to hug their visitors for a very long time now. Ever since we were invited in.

So I'm excited to finally see this coming to fruition.

So thank you, Natalia. Dante, I don't know if you wanna add anything before we take questions.

P **Public Safety Cluster Transcription** 25:44

I'll throw it over to Dante if he has anything and I'll open it up for questions for him.

Yeah. No, no.

Nothing further to add.

Thank you, Chloe. And I heard who's addressed this on the call as well.

So thank you so much for bringing that space for us to hear from young people.

Thank you.

Any questions from JD?

Seeing them look, open it up some public comment, all right.

Public concurrent Tanya, you have. Please unmute your microphone and we'll start your time.

TI **Tania Ibanez** 26:15

Hi, this is Tanya, Vanessa again.

I'm I'm in support of this bill.

I'm I'm kind of shocked that we were even having to discuss the benefits of a hug.

I'm and I'm surprised that youth are not able to hug their family members.

I mean, there's been so many medical studies that show that hugs reduces stress, that it's excellent for cardiovascular health, that it provides.

Solace and a sense of security. And that's what these kids need right now.

They need to be able to hug their families, and it's shocking that we're even having to discuss this.

They should have always been allowed to hug their families during visit hours. Thank you.

P **Public Safety Cluster Transcription** 26:57

Thank you very much Desiree who was on mute.

We'll start your time.

DM **Desiree Marrufo** 27:01

Yes, hello.

Again, Desiree, I believe it's just it's very important for youth and facilities to be able to help their parents during visitation because the physical contact reinforces love, safety and connection.

I've seen how a simple hook can calm anxiety. These feelings of isolation and remind young people that they are still loved and supported even when they're in a difficult situation. Maintaining that bond is essential to helping youth regulate their emotions, improve behavior, and stay motivated to engage in.

Rehabilitation.

Without that physical contact, some of our youth feel rejected and alone, and it makes them harder to manage their emotions and make positive choices.

But when?

If we can figure out a way to make visits structured and supervised safely, allowing brief hugs, I think the families relationships can be strengthened and support long term positive outcomes.

Thank you.

P **Public Safety Cluster Transcription** 27:52

All right.

Thank you, Cameron.

You and mute, we'll start time.

Cameron.

K **KamrynM** 27:58

Hello. I. Hello. How you doing?

My name is Cameron Cameron Mercer.

I'm an advocate for the youth and I just wanna say that the hug at your shoes, my voice was just giving me very emotional, but I was incarcerated that I had to go through all of this and the moments I did have the opportunity to even receive a. Hug, whether it was from family or, you know, people being able to support me, it just helped me with, you know.

Understanding that I am human right is a part of human nature is something that we should be able to do is, is, is something that makes you feel, you know, whole and when we're able to receive that type of energy, we'll be able to give that type of. Energy, right?

The positive energy, you know, feeling like a human, you know, being whole.

It just you know.

Being better, I feel like this act right here is very important.

I thought about this act before I even knew about this act.

P **Public Safety Cluster Transcription** 29:02

Punches, rob.

Thank you very much, Nick.

K **KamrynM** 29:03

Just being there.

P **Public Safety Cluster Transcription** 29:05

To Laura, he's a mutant.

We'll start your time.

LR **Laura Rodolfi** 29:09

Thank you again.

This is Laura Rodolfi with the Burns Institute.

I'm also a mom and I'm here in strong support of 1647. Knowing this would be discussed today. I will say I held my sons a little bit longer when I hugged them this morning as I do every day before sending them off to school and the.

Thought of not being able to do that absolutely breaks my heart. This bill addresses a brutal reality that right now in California, young people can be denied the fundamental human right to touch their own parents.

You've incarcerated at Los Padrinos go years. Sometimes their entire sentence, without being able to hug their moms without holding their father's hand, one young person said. To deny someone from physical touch makes us feel unhuman.

The youth who wrote this bill said it plainly it would make me feel loved again, like I mean something to someone. Like I'm not forgotten about.

Family Connection is the cornerstone of rehabilitation and the hug Act creates a clear statewide standard.

Treating appropriate physical contact as a right, not a privilege to be weaponized.

These youth who navigate, they deserve to hug their.

P **Public Safety Cluster Transcription** 30:13

Very much.

I'm here.

Your mic and we'll start your time.

CC **Cheney-Rice, Chloe** 30:30

Sorry, we didn't hear who you.

Who you called?

P **Public Safety Cluster Transcription** 30:33

I'm sorry. Montez. Alexandra.

Jose Ramos.

MS **Montes, Alexandra S.** 30:39

Hi everyone.

My name is Sophia Montes.

When I go well Alexander, but I just wanted to come on here and talk about my experience and.

A lot of these youth are still growing up, hormonal and everything and.

They're in their most vulnerable state. They need their help with their parents and to be able to feel.

It creates more.

Safety.

For them, they need the support of their parents.

They should be able to feel loved right now. They feel alone and they should be able to feel the touch of their parents.

They should be able to feel the warmth because it creates empathy, it creates love. It creates.

More compassion. It'll bring better outcomes.

Thank you.

P Public Safety Cluster Transcription 31:36

Thank you, Israel.

You want to start.

We'll start with you mute.

IV Israel Villa (CAYCJ) 31:41

Israel, we I would say YCJ in absolute support of this motion and critical legislation to allow young people to hug their loved ones that visit.

I'm a school to prison pipeline survivor.

Ultimately endured over 18 years of incarceration, including numerous days in the Monterey County Juvenile Hall where I clearly remember being able to hug my mom and dad.

I'm not sure when or why this changed, but this legislative bill is absolutely righteous and impaired that they will rectify this horrible practice. We currently have youth sitting in Syd for two to three years without being able to hug their mom or dads and loved ones.

The fact that probation is enforcing this horrible practice of not allowing any contact, they're not surprised me as they continue to show the world how hard they fight to maintain the status quo and undermine all efforts towards improving the living conditions and circumstances of our incarcerated children we.

We anticipate their full resources and efforts to oppose these legislations like they have opposed all of our victories. It is clear that probation.

It is clear that probation cares more about maintaining the status quo versus truly helping our youth.

I want to acknowledge and thank who for justice to see why CJ member for their leadership.

And again thanking the young people inside contributing towards progress.
See watching J behind you. Thank you.

P **Public Safety Cluster Transcription** 32:43

Well, that's what.
Every time we start speaking.
Billy, can you please?

MK **Mili Kakani** 32:52

Sorry, I didn't hear who you had called melee kakani with the California Youth Justice project.

Grateful that folks are having this discussion.

Also shocked and horrified that we are having this discussion in 2026 and the fact that the default is for young people to not be able to touch and hug and show.

Love to the adults that care for them is egregious.

It's horrific.

And it's so important to remember that these are young people from the ages of 10 to 25.

Their adolescent brain is growing and to not be able to coregulate to not be able to, like, receive the love and the like. Approval and the care of the adults who truly do love them.

Is so harmful for their long term development, too, and so grateful for hoops for justice.

Grateful that the board is considering.

Supporting this motion and I would be wildly disappointed if there wasn't unanimous support for this up in Sacramento. Thanks.

P **Public Safety Cluster Transcription** 33:58

Thank you.

Thank you very much.

All right, back to you chair. OK.

Thank you so much for everybody who showed up to provide public comment for both motions.

We're not going to be moving on to the presentations on today's agenda, starting off with the Sheriff's Department approval for the Supplemental Law Enforcement

Services.

Can the speakers please introduce themselves?

Good morning, captain. Andrew Cruise, contract law.

Good morning, Lieutenant. Eric Martinez, contract law enforcement Bureau.

This morning we are seeking approval of a boiler plate, supplemental law Enforcement Services agreement that will allow the Sheriff's Department to provide supplemental law enforcement services to local public agencies and societies for the prevention of cruelty to animals, also known as SPCA's. The services provided typically invol.

The provision of police protection.

And traffic controlled by the department members during special events such as parades, marathons, sporting events, along with, as needed, part-time services to municipalities that are not otherwise a party to the general municipal Law Enforcement Services Agreement under the sheriff's contract.

Cities program services may also include.

Aid in the enforcement of laws relating to or affecting animals.

Pursuant to the California Corporations Code, section 10405.

For fiscal year 2425, the aggregate revenue for the Supplemental Law Enforcement Services Agreement was approximately 6.2 million.

There was no net county costs impact as local public agencies and SPSPCAS reimburse the department for the required services and related costs.

This agreement supports the county's strategic goal of fostering vibrant and resilient communities and enhancing the safety of the public and communities by addressing risk, danger and harm.

Lastly, there is no anticipated impact on current law enforcement services as these services are provided on an as needed as available basis and I'm happy to answer any questions.

Any questions from Devin?

I have so just want to understand what what this looks like in practice. Is the city or SPCA?

SPCA.

Sorry, I want to make sure I said it right.

Are they calling you all?

And then you come in like, what does it look like?

Yeah. So some of our main clients are the city of Inglewood, local municipality.

Requiring staffing for their sporting events at the Sofi.

The Rose parade.

For the city of Pasadena city of Hermosa Beach will reach out to the department.

If they need services for their 4th of July fireworks show, so is that for this contract, specifically related to animal cruelty, like they're included the SPCA.

We have one client.

It's the SPCA of LA and their services are for more of our.

Lab services forensic in some of the more egregious crimes that occurred. The animals. OK, got it.

And then how does that pair with like?

DACC's like animal care, animal control offices.

Sorry out of that.

Like do they have?

Yeah. So they have their own enforcement, you know, for the registration of of their their dogs or animals. That is handled by animal control.

But it's more of the elevated.

Services the crimes of the laugh that the forensic lab services that we provide.

OK, I think those are my questions.

I'm still a little confused on the what this is.

It's an it's new.

So it is supplemental, right? So as Captain was saying, if a city or municipality reaches out to us in advance and they need supplemental services, that's when they'll contact us.

Will provide on overtime supplemental services.

Got it.

OK. And the animal control part of the purchase?

The animal cruelty part is the part that's throwing me off because my understanding is animal control officers are the law enforcement.

That's right.

The investigation, et cetera for animal cruelty or any type of animal cruelty.

So they will. They will reach out to us to help them with some of their to supplement some of their investigations not to.

Go and get the dog.

But you know, in criminal activity, they'll supplement to our resources, right?

And then this is for you all to get some funding back.

Yeah. So we we cover our costs. Yeah, OK.

Got it.

OK.

Thank you.

That's helpful.

Thank you.

I just have a question around staffing piece. I know we've heard from the department that you know due to lack of staffing, right, we've had to kind of like look at what kind of services we're providing having to scale back on maybe some like security stuff so.

Just wondering if we have staff needed for this agreement or if you just make any concerns around.

Issues helping these degrades and other stuff out.

And so it is supplemental.

It's pre it's advanced planning.

It's not, you know.

If if today some an incident were to occur, any agency across the county could reach out to us for emergent services and we would provide them on on overtime, these are pre planned events where we take into consideration our staffing concerns and provide what we can when we.

Can we're not necessarily providing them all the resources. Sometimes they'll reach out to other agencies as well to help augment their.

Patrol needs great. Thank you.

It's just real quick, if I'm understanding right. This is in addition to, so it's my understanding that Sheriff's Department has long provided.

A version of this for stuff like sporting events. This is sort of in addition to what we already do, or this is a continuation of the contracts we've had before.

Yeah, this is a a renewal, not a renewal, but a we're revising the term.

I went from a 2021 and is now set to expire.

Nothing has changed from the previous other than the term and then.

Awarding in the previous agreement, we referred to operational plan, that term has changed to event action plan.

Those are the only changes, so this is basically a continuation of what?

In all of previously, yes. OK, got it. Thank you.

Oh, that's true.

Oh, we have a question online, Esther.

LE **Lim, Esther** 41:09

Yeah. Thanks. And and thank you for the department of being here.

I think there's just some confusion.

I don't think it's a matter of no, we don't want to protect the animals.

I think the confusion, at least on my end, is that there that we're adding to the existing the piece around the prevention of cruelty to animals.

CD **Cammy Dupont** 41:27

Hello.

LE **Lim, Esther** 41:29

So was that not there before and now it it now it will be.

I think SD two also had this had this question about.

Well, is this?

Is this just a reimbursement of funds?

That is now gonna happen. That wasn't before.

P **Public Safety Cluster Transcription** 41:46

Yeah. So the SPCA piece of it was in the last agreement, it's just that.

It we're just being reimbursed for any cost that we provide to the SPCA.

LE **Lim, Esther** 42:00

OK.

So that's just a new part, the reimbursement part. So before they weren't reimbursing.

P **Public Safety Cluster Transcription** 42:06

It's it's always been.

Reimbursed. It's again, it's supplemental.

So if they reach out to us and ask that we provide them a forensic services, we would then reimburse those costs.

Or be reimbursed for those costs.

LE **Lim, Esther** 42:24

And that was.

And that wasn't being reimbursed before.

P **Public Safety Cluster Transcription** 42:28

It was.

LE **Lim, Esther** 42:30

So I guess I'm struggling with because 'cause I'm trying to figure out like what? What is new about this? If before they were already reimbursing and this is their reimbursing, I don't see the the change right?

Like why y'all are coming over here?

So what is? What is the new thing like 'cause? Everything I'm asking is like, Oh yeah, we did that before we did that before so.

I guess I'm asking like what is new?

P **Public Safety Cluster Transcription** 42:57

So it's the term.

It's a five year term previously and this is a new five year term, if you'd like us to go indefinitely, we would love to go indefinitely, but we kept it consistent with A5 year term.

So these agencies, whether it's the SPCA Englewood, they will, we will renew their supplemental agreement that we have because it is a boiler plate.

So we renew that agreement that we have with them to just update the terms of the new agreement.

Nothing has changed.

LE **Lim, Esther** 43:26

OK.

P **Public Safety Cluster Transcription** 43:26

With the exception of minor league.

LE **Lim, Esther** 43:27

So all it is is just OK, so nothing has changed.
It's just that what you're y'all are requesting A5 year.
Add on I guess to the to the, to the existing contract, the renewed OK all right.

P **Public Safety Cluster Transcription** 43:39
The renewal.

LE **Lim, Esther** 43:44
Thank you so much.

P **Public Safety Cluster Transcription** 43:51
Is it more not a question but a request?
Is it possible to get a list of the?
Supplemental Law Enforcement Services areas in SP, can you give us a list you could send it to target if you can just first. Thank you.
And then just the current agreement then expires later on this year, June 30th.
Yes, OK.
And so this one's another five years starting July 1st to June 30th, 2031.
2031, yes, OK.
Thank you.
Any other questions before we move on to the next item?
This is also from the Sheriff's Department and it's the approval of amendment #1 to school supplement to law enforcement services agreement for special.
Yeah. So we're requesting approval of amendment #1 to the school Supplemental Law Enforcement Services Agreement for special events.
The board originally approved the two year.
Supplemental Law Enforcement School supplemental Law Enforcement Services Agreement for special blends in July of 2024 with the option to extend.
One additional year, which is 26 to 27, subject to prior approval by the board.
Approval of amendment one extends the term of the special event agreement to allow the Sheriff's Department to provide school special events services.
For one year, this agreement will allow for the continued provision of, as needed, law enforcement services on or near school campuses. The department provides school supplemental law enforcement services for special events in the form of security presence on school campuses, for extracurricular activities such as sporting events.

Dan.

Graduation and so forth.

There are currently 31 school districts within the county.

That utilize this special event agreement on an as needed basis.

For fiscal year 2425, the aggregate aggregate revenue was approximately 495,000.

There is no net county cost impact to the department as school districts will be reimbursing the department for the requested services and related costs.

The districts pay the prevailing school district hourly overtime rate.

As determined by the auditor controller and the services provided by this agreement will have no impact on law enforcement services.

Again, this is consistent with the county strategic plan of fostering environment and resilient communities with a focus on enhancing public safety. And I'm happy to answer any questions.

Any questions?

Items for 31 school districts that you mentioned.

Were they all already participate in your normal SRD program or?

Are they kind of a mix?

Kind of a mix. There are a core of ones that have the SRD, but they are.

Do you happen to know?

Rudy, such as contract law. There are 31 contracts that contract for the supplemental school over time, football games and whatnot. I think that right now, the total school districts throughout the county, I I go the exact between 15 and 17 school districts contract for school resource DEP.

Some of those contained within this 31 here, yeah.

Any other questions anthe?

I just have a couple.

On the this letter, there's not a scope of work policies like we usually see on the contract that comes for the actual school resource FDS. Would that be added for those to the board?

So the statement of work was not included in this original agreement. In fact, when we first went before the board back in 2004.

2024 thank God it's a.

It was recommended that the two items be separated in terms of going to the board before the board. They were separated. The statement of work for the school resource deputy was included with the SRD agreement. The statement of work was

not included with Supplemental Services agreement there was.

A number of reasons, including staffing department staff being training the training curricula that goes into place with the statement of work.

And other staffing issues and union labor issues in terms of who can and cannot work over time.

And so the on the other part of the SRD contract where this policy is how depth these engages are those the same with how they would engage at these special events.

No, very different.

Yeah, so this SRD agreement, which will be before the board coming in April and May, that is where the SOW, the statement will work is incorporated as well as the training and other factors and then crowd control, imagine deputies would be supporting with would they support.

Let me ask that first.

Crowd control part of this, depending so like I think one of the biggest.

Uses for this agreement itself is for football games or dances where they have a large, you know, crowd on on, on site, on the campus in terms of like the football field and the stadium bleachers.

So it would depend on the size of the crowd that they had that evening. But and this in terms of crowd control, usually they, if you could correct me if I'm wrong, usually they would hire for two or four deputies.

Based on the need.

And the security operations plan that they would deem necessary.

And then the SRDS that or the deputies that are supporting this, is this like what is their role outside of the special events?

Like, where do they kind of come from?

So generally they are assigned to the patrol stations from within that school district coverage area.

That's where they come from and it's, you know, as on an as needed basis, sometimes the school districts will contact the station within days of the event, citing the need for additional security or or for security, at which time the station will assign or hire deputies to provide.

The service on over time.

OK.

And then for the events from the schools.

Do you have those like already pre? How did that work?

Send some like a question on the last item somewhere, but like what does that look in practice?

Do you know about the events ahead of time?

Does it kind of like that request or so the school districts will sign this or have already signed onto this agreement, right?

And so this is just removing it for one more year.

So the school districts who have signed on and should another outside school district contact us asking for our security services, we would have them sign this agreement in order for us to provide that service.

And so yes, the the the schools will contact us in advance of the event.

Sometimes it could be rather short notice, sometimes it could be, you know, several weeks notice and we'll assign or deploy it accordingly.

Thank you.

Does that answer what that is?

Yeah, that that answer 'cause. I was thinking about like a school football team going to the playoffs.

They wouldn't know that ahead of time, but then.

But then they could.

Yeah, there was a large game where two competing schools came together.

Then they might request additional officers or more officers, or just some officers.

And just follow up on the officers that are assigned here are just regular patrol deputies.

On overtime, this is the way I'm understanding it, yes.

OK.

So they're they don't have any special use specific or school specific training.

Other than the training that they do receive in the Academy, a lot of our field patrol deputies are are relatively new to the department and have received a lot of that.

Youth training that the Academy now provides. They haven't received the full 40 hour course that are specific to our SRD program, but yes, they do have some youth and school training. Yep, got it.

OK.

So then we'll go with Esther and then sorry.



Yeah. Thanks for the presentation.

So I my question is also aligned with or in line with what?

SD3 is asking, so I understand that there are special events that are prearranged, right?

Like the graduations and the football games and so forth.

So for the ad hoc ones, things that they don't prepare for. For example, if there is a large school walkout, how how is the additional?

Law enforcement support deployed.

Is that something that the school calls the department and then and then this particular kind of amendment is engaged or this particular piece of MMM is engaged?

Can you just walk me through the deployment for ad hoc events?

Things are just like not planned.

P **Public Safety Cluster Transcription** 52:57

That would.

These would be these would be considered non planned events and so the department would provide resources as needed depending on the scale of the event, the activity of the of those involved, and a number of other security factors it wouldn't.

It wouldn't.

I mean, depending on the size it would it may warrant a deployment of patrol deputies.

It would not be considered.

Supplemental, over time, it would not be considered within the scope of this supplemental agreement.

Would be considered general patrol law enforcement services.

LE **Lim, Esther** 53:31

OK. And thank you for that distinction.

Those are my questions. Thanks.

P **Public Safety Cluster Transcription** 53:38

One question and it's to what?

My colleague was asking about saving a work I didn't see for this specific agreement

and what goes to the schools.

Is there a statement of work or School of work?

I'm sorry that you all have for the schools. There is a statement of work that is it's that is coupled with this SRD. The school Resource deputy agreement. This is not. This was not the statement of work was not included in the supplement services agreement.

So again, for this, the staffing issues who we can and cannot hire on over time, the the Union arbitration and just the number of staffing factors that go into play with that, yeah, I guess for the SRD, but for like any of our contracts that we're having with.

Like a school or something, there needs.

I'm assuming there's something that tells what we're providing what they're signing on for our Sheriff's Department to provide the services is that.

Only the language that is included in the.

This the agreement here here today is what's provided.

Yes, that's it. OK.

Alright, thank you.

Any other questions before we move on to the next item?

Thank you so much for coming. Thank you.

Then our last meeting from Public Works and it's on the medical examiner service docking and administration.

Yes, good morning.

My name is Vincent Yu.

I'm the deputy director, public works.

What's before you is a board letter that will allow public works to do seismic strengthening of the Medical Examiner Administration investigation building and also the medical Examiner Service building.

Specifically the bolt letter.

Probably works as requesting authority to contract with a contractor.

After the perform pre construction services using what we call construction manager at risk contract.

Under the construction manager at risk contract, the contractor will perform work as a consultant to public works in our architectural engineer to allow us to work out the logistics, the planning, the cost.

And also finally would arrive at guarantee maximum price.

To perform the work, at which time public works will return back to the board to seek authority, to move to construction.

The reason for the construction manager at risk delivery method is so that we work out all the different options that we may have in order not to interrupt operations of the medical examiner. For example, I will be looking at performing all.

If not before most, if not all, of the work outside.

We have to do work after hours on weekends vibrating the contractor early on board.

Will allow us to work all those details out so.

This concludes my presentation. However, I need to before I leave. I need to point out there was a typo in the board letter in the draft board for you.

On the on on page five, first paragraph, the INT, the last sentences use a total combined cause 1.8 million that should not happen yet.

Because the combined cause actually 950, so in the boiler that will file will make sure that's get deleted from the boiler because the combined cost is 950,000.

Correct.

Any questions? I like that that revision was down, yeah.

Questions from the deputies.

Esther.

LE **Lim, Esther** 57:46

Yeah. Thank you so much.

And is there someone from medical examiner also there was told that.

P **Public Safety Cluster Transcription** 57:51

Yeah, Doctor Shaw is here.

LE **Lim, Esther** 57:53

OK. All right.

So the cost is I think you said 950 and the total project cost, there's a 15 mil and then there's a 10 mil. So it's about a 25 mil.

What, from beginning to end is that accurate for the? For the seismic retrofit?

P **Public Safety Cluster Transcription** 58:14

That's a total project cost estimate, yes.

LE **Lim, Esther** 58:17

OK.

And then what is the?

What is the timeline?

So if this board letter gets approved to do the initial kind of, I guess the investigations work, what is the, what is the timeline from from when this board letter is approved to the completion of the retrofit project?

P **Public Safety Cluster Transcription** 58:35

The design phase of reconstruction phase, if I if I may, is about 18 months and then the construction is roughly 14 to 18 months.

So All in all, we're looking at the end of 2028 to complete the construction work.

LE **Lim, Esther** 58:52

OK, for it to be completed and that's if everything you know, the timeline, no issues, no funding issues and all of that, right.

P **Public Safety Cluster Transcription** 59:01

That's correct.

So we'll be probably coming back to early part 27 to see what's approval to move ahead with construction.

LE **Lim, Esther** 59:03

OK.

OK.

P **Public Safety Cluster Transcription** 59:12

And that and it can sometimes be something at the end of 28.

LE **Lim, Esther** 59:17

And then OK, thank you.

So I also know that the medical examiner has.

I seek either requester or maybe received funds to do a feasibility study.

On a new building because I know the medical examiner has kind of, you know,

outgrown their current facility.

And do we know if that is that happening?

Concurrently, while the seismic retrofit thing happens.

P **Public Safety Cluster Transcription** 59:52

Yes, that's currently underway.

We're working with our contractor right now on that.

LE **Lim, Esther** 59:54

OK.

And do we have a timeline on the completion of that feasibility report?

P **Public Safety Cluster Transcription** 1:00:03

No, I don't.

Not right now. I know that we've we're in the process of securing.

A.

A agency to execute the feasibility study and work with us on that.

But no, I can get you a time on line on that once we secure that vendor.

LE **Lim, Esther** 1:00:19

That that would be great because it sounds like, yeah, that there's just an RFP at this point that we that the study hasn't been done, the department right still needs to identify a contractor to do the feasibility study.

The reason why I'm asking is, you know we are set to, you know, drop potentially 25 mil on the seismo retrofit, while we also have concurrently this feasibility study on whether or not the DME is going to be moving to.

A.

You know, a new a new facility.

And so I think I I don't have a solution to this.

I think it's more of a comment and just a flag right that you know, yes, absolutely.

Safety of employees you know in in a county facility that that you know is in a state that has a lot of earthquakes.

But I just.

I'm curious just about the timing of it, right?

You know we have feasibility.

That's probably gonna say Yep, you've outgrown your facility.

Need a new one?

And here we are investing.

You know right, like 20, potentially 25 mil in the seismic retrofit.

That won't be done until 2028, maybe 2029.

So just I don't. Yeah.

P **Public Safety Cluster Transcription** 1:01:33

And that's our and that's our dilemma.

Yes, we, we.

LE **Lim, Esther** 1:01:36

Right. OK.

P **Public Safety Cluster Transcription** 1:01:36

I say that it that I attend. What do I call it?

I say we're putting lipstick on a pig. So I mean it's it.

LE **Lim, Esther** 1:01:41

Right.

P **Public Safety Cluster Transcription** 1:01:42

I watch money.

We're investing in a building that we've outgrown.

So what do we do?

LE **Lim, Esther** 1:01:46

Right, right.

And so, you know it's it's when I'm seeing this board letter, I'm like, well, I thought we were gonna look into a new thing and I, you know, I'm seeing the sticker shock of 25 mil. And I'm thinking 25 mil. That could go into a new.

Fancy bigger, you know, facility right. And so that's kind of where I'm at, but right to the other side of the coin is that.

Size of the retrofit extremely.

Important and we have to do as much as we can right to prepare for, you know, the

big one.

So you're right, Doctor, there is a there is this dilemma and and.

Again, I don't have a solution.

I'm just bringing up the dilemma, which I'm sure is not helpful, but thank you.

P **Public Safety Cluster Transcription** 1:02:31

I'm glad to see we're on the same page. Thank you.

LE **Lim, Esther** 1:02:33

OK.

Wonderful. Thanks no further.

P **Public Safety Cluster Transcription** 1:02:38

Is there anybody else any other questions from the board?

Anybody. Thank you. OK.

Thanks so much.

Thank you.

You can now go to our last presentation.

We'll move on to the general public com all right.

Are there any public comment for items 4A4B or 4C?

Please speak up.

Raise your hand on teams.

OK, seeing none, I saw that. Derek. Derek, you had your hand up earlier, did you?

Did you have a comment?

WD **Williams, Dara** 1:03:07

Well, they left.

I mean I I mean, I guess I can make the comment for everybody and I'll just make it a public comment, which is that.

For special events at schools, if there is a school resource deputy working that event, it would seem to me that the statement of work should still apply to that school resource deputy, right?

Because it's it's a contract with the department to provide school resource deputies and the limitations.

Or, you know, whatever you want to call them, the directives in the statement of

work.

Are for school resource deputies.

So if a school resource deputy is working a special event, then those deputies do have the training.

So it would seem to me, at least in in my opinion, that that statement of work applies to people who are score resource deputies. Obviously for special events they there may not be a sufficient number of school resource deputies available to work those special events and so.

That's.

When other people other deputies come in to work those events, so that's what I see is the distinction. Just like if you called the police 911 to an emergency at the school, those other deputies who rolled to the school are not bound by the same direct.

As the school resource deputies. So that's in.

That's my opinion.

I I I think I'm right, but.

I just wanted to point out that I I do think.

That having a statement of work, at least associated with these special events.

To clarify that the statement of work would still apply to score resource deputies working the special events, I think that would be something that the board should consider.

P **Public Safety Cluster Transcription** 1:05:04

Thank you very much with that.

We move back to.

That will conclude today's public safety cluster meeting.

Thank you everybody for being here and we move on to closed session.

Thank you very much.

Give me a few minutes here.

□ **Dardy Chen** stopped transcription