



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

The following individuals submitted comments on agenda item:				
Agenda #	Relate To	Position	Name	Comments
Public Comment		Favor	Brittany Clark	Per Proposition 50, I would like to hold a vote by the Supervisor Board to elect new supervisors for the extended district territories and/or possible realignment.
			Film The Police	<p>I want to register my opposition and deep concern with how AB 109—related matters continue to be presented as routine administrative updates rather than what they actually are: a structural failure that has merely shifted harm and liability from the state to counties without addressing the underlying causes of incarceration, recidivism, or public safety.</p> <p>AB 109 did not resolve overcrowding or systemic dysfunction—it displaced it. State prisons were relieved, while county jails, probation departments, courts, and communities absorbed the burden. The same populations cycle through the system, the same failures persist, and the same constitutional risks now sit squarely at the county level. That is not reform; it is shuffling responsibility.</p> <p>What is particularly troubling is the lack of transparency and accountability surrounding outcomes. These items are framed as compliance or implementation issues, yet there is little discussion of measurable impacts, civil rights consequences, or whether this approach has actually improved public safety or reduced harm.</p> <p>This issue cannot be separated from the role of the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department, an agency with a long and well-documented history of corruption, brutality, retaliation, and resistance to oversight. Under Sheriff Lee Baca, LASD became synonymous with systemic abuse, federal convictions, and a culture of impunity that continues to reverberate today. Successive and recent sheriffs have failed to meaningfully dismantle this culture, allowing deputy gangs, jail violence, secrecy, and intimidation of critics to persist. Expanding or normalizing LASD's authority without confronting this legacy invites further civil-rights violations and entrenches an institution that has repeatedly demonstrated contempt for accountability and the rule of law.</p> <p>This concern is not abstract. I am currently experiencing direct resistance from the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department in response to a lawful public records request seeking the department's sworn personnel roster. Despite the routine and unquestionably public nature of this information, LASD has imposed delays, shifting explanations, and obstructionist tactics that undermine the basic transparency obligations imposed by law. This pattern is not an administrative inconvenience—it is part of a broader institutional practice of resisting disclosure, frustrating oversight, and treating public accountability as optional. When an agency entrusted with extraordinary coercive power cannot or will not comply in good faith with fundamental public records requirements, it signals a deeper problem of culture, not capacity.</p>

As of: 1/27/2026 9:00:11 AM



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

The following individuals submitted comments on agenda item:				
Agenda #	Relate To	Position	Name	Comments
Public Comment		Favor	Julia Miller	<p>I am reaching out to share my urgent need for support as I face a challenging health crisis. Diagnosed with Thyroid Cancer, I am battling multiple tumors in my throat, stomach, and uterus, alongside other serious conditions like Glaucoma and Fibromyalgia. The pain and discomfort are overwhelming, and the medications I take come with side effects that make daily life difficult. Unfortunately, the healthcare system here has failed me, and I have endured mistreatment at numerous hospitals.</p> <p>I am seeking to relocate to Rome, Italy, where I can access the care I desperately need through the Roman Catholic Archdiocese. This move is crucial for my survival and well-being, but I need your help to make it happen. Funds will go towards housing, transportation, and essential living expenses as I start this new chapter. Your support means the world to me during this difficult time.</p> <p>Thank you for considering my plea.</p> <p>Best, Julia Miller</p> <p>gofund.me/9c24bd182</p>
			raneisha hubbert	<p>Submitted by: Raneisha Hubbert To: Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors Re: Systemic Failure, Civil Rights Violations, and Ongoing Harm to My Family Management Holding unit im approved for since January 15,2025 Move in date</p> <p>PURPOSE OF THIS STATEMENT</p> <p>I submit this written public comment to place the full truth on the public record, because for years my family has been harmed by systemic government failures, passed between agencies, denied reasonable accommodations, phycatrist letters underpaid critical benefits, obstructed from housing, and left in prolonged trauma with no real path to healing. This statement is long because the harm was long.and ongoing from ssi ssdi dpss calfresh calworks</p> <p>This statement is detailed because the failures were detailed. This statement exists so no agency can later claim they "did not know."</p> <p>II. BACKGROUND – YEARS OF COURT AND SYSTEM TRAUMA</p> <p>My family has endured years of involvement with the court system, including child welfare–related proceedings that caused deep emotional, psychological, and financial trauma. These years were marked by instability, stress, loss of control, and constant fear for my children’s safety and well-being. When court involvement finally ended, there was no coordinated transition plan. i created one No agency stepped in to stabilize housing. No trauma-informed services were put in place. No one ensured that my children and I could safely heal and rebuild.</p>



PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

Instead, we were released back into the community already traumatized, expected to navigate fragmented systems on our own.

III. FINDING A PATH TO HEALING – AND HAVING IT BLOCKED

Despite everything, I found a realistic and achievable path to healing for my family. That path was not complicated. It did not require special favors. It required systems to do what they are designed to do.

What my family needed:

- Permanent housing through HACLA Section 8
- Proper processing of CalWORKs Housing Support Program (HSP)
- Correct CalFresh food benefits, including back pay
- Stable Medi-Cal coverage for my children
- Release of child support funds already owed
- Independent housing so my adult daughter and my family could heal without forced overcrowding
- A stable school placement near our new home so my child could attend school

This path was clear.
The system blocked it.

IV. HOUSING IDENTIFIED – SUPPORT WITHHELD

I located housing for my family.
Monthly rent: \$3,600
Move-in cost: \$1,500

County agencies were aware of this. Documentation was provided. The property information was uploaded. The RFTA was completed. The property manager cooperated.

Yet instead of supporting the move:

- Housing assistance was delayed or denied saying rent to high based on ha
- Child support funds were withheld, even though they were needed to secure housing
- Agencies stalled while knowing housing had been identified
- Housing cannot happen when support is intentionally frozen.

V. CHILD SUPPORT WITHHELD DURING A KNOWN HOUSING OPPORTUNITY

While the County knew I had secured housing, child support funds were withheld, creating another barrier that kept my family unstable.
This was not neutral.
This was not harmless.
This directly prevented my family from moving forward.

VI. FOUR YEARS OF CALFRESH UNDERPAYMENT – ADMITTED BUT NOT CORRECTED

For over four years, my family has been underpaid CalFresh food benefits. Recently, the County issued a Notice of Action admitting that my benefits were wrong and increasing them from \$285 to \$746.
That is not a discretionary increase.
That is an admission of long-term underpayment.
Despite this admission:
No retroactive or back CalFresh benefits were issued
My family went without adequate food for years
The County now acts as if no back pay is owed



PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

This is not acceptable.
Admitting an error while refusing to correct the harm is not compliance—it is neglect.
VII. DCFS – BRINGING FAMILIES HOME PROGRAM FAILURE
I was contacted by Victorportillo from DCFS Bringing Families Home, a program that is supposed to support family stabilization and housing.
Victor told me:
He could not help me with reasonable accommodations or housing support because I do not have an open DCFS case
He instructed me to apply for the CalWORKs Housing Support Program (HSP) at DPSS
I followed his direction.
However, after telling me to apply for HSP:
DCFS Victor working for bring families home still refused to assist
I was told again that without an open DCFS case, they could not help
This is not coordination.
This is passing responsibility while denying support.
Victor portillo then asked for my daughter's name and date of birth, stating that he could help her if she were "in the system."
I want this clearly on the record:
My family should not be pressured to re-enter child welfare surveillance to receive housing or reasonable accommodations.
That is coercive and harmful.
VIII. MY DAUGHTER – DENIED DIGNITY AND STABILITY dcfs court order to help find place and pay.brandon and all failed
I explained that my daughter has found a two-bedroom apartment in the same complex so our family can heal while still maintaining appropriate independence.
My daughter is an adult.
She deserves her own place, not to be told to rent a room or share housing as a condition of "support."
Telling a grown woman to rent a room instead of secure stable housing is not support.
It undermines dignity, safety, and healing.
IX. DPSS AND HSP – OBSTRUCTION AND INTIMIDATION
After being directed by DCFS to apply for HSP, I went to DPSS.
What followed was obstruction:
I was stalled repeatedly
I was misdirected to the GAIN office multiple times
Workers stated they did not know what HSP was
I was given false and conflicting information
Instead of assistance, I encountered hostility
At one point, security was called and instructed to sit next to me while I was calmly requesting housing assistance.
I was not disruptive.
I was not aggressive.
This felt like intimidation, and it felt biased and discriminatory.
X. MEDI-CAL CUT ON MY CHILD'S SURGERY DAY
One of the most serious harms occurred when my 4-year-old son's Medi-Cal



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

was terminated on the exact day of his scheduled surgery.
Not weeks before.
Not after.
The day of surgery.
As a result:
His medical care was placed at risk
The procedure was jeopardized or delayed
A young child was subjected to unnecessary fear and trauma
No family should ever experience this due to administrative failures.
XI. DENIAL OF REASONABLE ACCOMMODATIONS AND RETALIATION
Because of trauma and disability-related needs, I formally requested written-only communication as a reasonable accommodation.
This request was ignored.
After I filed a civil rights complaint, DPSS began calling me repeatedly anyway—despite everything already being in writing and uploaded.
This felt retaliatory and violates disability accommodation requirements.
XII. POST-PUBLIC COMMENT RESPONSE – CONTACT WITHOUT HELP
After I gave public comment, my information was circulated.
I received calls from multiple departments asking:
“What do you need?”
Yet:
No one resolved housing
No one issued back food benefits
No one coordinated care
No one took responsibility
Calls without action are not help.
They are damage control.
XIII. SYSTEMIC FAILURE – NOT A SINGLE ERROR
What my family has experienced is not a single mistake. It is systemic failure:
Courts exited without a healing plan for families no help
DCFS refused help without reopening a case
DPSS obstructed housing and food assistance
Child support was withheld during a housing opportunity
Medi-Cal failures harmed my child
Reasonable accommodations were denied
All Agencies passed responsibility instead of solving problems
My family remains stuck in trauma instead of allowed to heal.
XIV. WHAT MY FAMILY IS ASKING FOR
We are not asking for favors.
We are asking for lawful, humane treatment.
My family needs:
Retroactive CalFresh back pay for years of underpayment
Proper processing of HSP and Section 8 coordination
Release of child support funds
Stable medical coverage
Written-only communicati

raneisha hubbert



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

SWORN ADDENDUM STATEMENT – POST–PUBLIC COMMENT
CONTACT WITHOUT ASSISTANCE

I, Raneisha Hubbert, declare as follows:

After I provided public comment detailing the systemic failures, civil rights violations, and harm my family has experienced, my contact information was circulated by the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors and/or their offices.

Following my public comment:

I began receiving multiple phone calls and contacts from various departments and representatives

Each caller asked “what do you need?” or “how can we help?”the board sent a

Despite these inquiries, no department provided actual assistance, resolution, or corrective action

These calls did not result in:

Housing placement

HSP approval

Retroactive CalFresh issuance owed underpayment for 4years

Restoration or safeguarding of Medi-Cal

Reasonable accommodations being honored

A coordinated plan to help my family heal and move forward

Instead, my situation was fragmented and passed around again, with each agency deflecting responsibility to another department.

This pattern reflects a systemic practice of surface-level response without substantive action. My family was contacted for appearances and documentation purposes, but no agency took ownership of resolving the harm already documented in their own records.

Being repeatedly contacted and asked to re-explain my situation—after public comment, sworn statements, Notices of Action, and uploaded



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

		<p>documentation—has caused additional emotional distress, retraumatization, and exhaustion.</p> <p>This response reinforces that:</p> <p>Public comment triggered damage control, not assistance</p> <p>Agencies sought to appear responsive without fixing the underlying issues</p> <p>My family remains without the food, housing stability, and healing support we need</p> <p>I am not confused about what I need. I have been clear, consistent, and documented.</p> <p>What my family needs is:</p> <p>Corrected and retroactive CalFresh benefits</p> <p>Proper processing of Housing Support Program and Section 8 coordination case coded correctly we are disabled due to all ya actions not born disabled</p> <p>Honoring of reasonable accommodations</p> <p>Agency accountability instead of deflection</p> <p>I submit this addendum to make clear that contact without action is not help, and repeated calls without resolution constitute ongoing administrative harm.proof is their</p> <p>I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.</p> <p>Executed on this __24__ day of , 2026, at Raneisha Hubbert Declaration</p>
	Oppose	<p>Kisha Mays</p> <p>In a very divided climate in this country, there are completely unacceptable patterns of discriminatory and retaliatory actions and behaviors within the LA County Library System that are being ignored. It has been brought to the attention of Skye Patrick and Holly Mitchell & their response is to simply conduct a one sided "investigation" that only speaks with their staff and fails to gather all evidence and information. Then they proceed to ignore all follow ups. There is a pattern of these issues at the Manhattan Beach Library location, where employees have had multiple complaints & nothing is done but these employees retaliate in other ways. Amy Crow actively works to cover for her staff (Cassandra Sargent, Lauren Wubbels, and Corrine Masucci) rather than do a fair and honest investigation of complaints/issues.</p>



PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

			When escalated beyond the LA County Library System, the Board of Supervisors (in this case Holly Mitchell) have the county library self investigate where they only talk to each other and fail to gather all evidence and speak with all parties involved. There needs to be better protocols and policies in place where the accused party is not investigating themselves which will most certainly guarantee a favorable outcome for the county. This is still ongoing but I will keep commenting for public records purposes should litigation be pursued by anyone with a similar experience.
			<p>Other</p> <p>Ann Dorsey</p> <p>Thank you for the actions you have taken to create ICE free zones. Please do more.</p> <p>I urge you to create ICE free zones for schools, hospitals, places of worship and other sensitive locations.</p> <p>Also, prohibit immigration agents from entering homes without a warrant. Action must be taken to curtail immigration activities because they are devastating our communities and putting everyone at risk.</p> <p>Thank you</p>
			<p>Kris Miranda</p> <p>Hi, my name is Kris Miranda and I am a constituent in zip code 90027.</p> <p>It is clear that the federal government is now willing to kill anyone who resists their unconstitutional actions, and then lie about it.</p> <p>We are watching a humanitarian disaster in Minneapolis. Citizens like Alex Pretti and Renee Good have been executed, and agents have turned schools and hospitals into danger zones. We feel abandoned by our government, and we know Los Angeles is a primary target.</p> <p>The Board of Supervisors must immediately declare a Local State of Emergency to shield us from this violence. You must use the full extent of your emergency powers to:</p> <p>1. Mandate Remote Schooling: Agents are targeting families on school grounds. You must transition schools to remote learning immediately to keep the city's children safe behind locked doors.</p> <p>2. Enact a Total Eviction Moratorium and Rent Freeze: Residents are terrified to leave their homes to work, or are out in the streets protesting for their lives. Just as you did when the COVID pandemic began, you must freeze the economy so no Angeleno loses their home during this federal siege.</p> <p>If the Board cannot take the measures necessary to keep the city safe and its people alive, its members should not expect the support of the activist community. Protect us now, or we will elect others to replace you.</p>
			<p>Peter Virant</p> <p>I am one of the survivors of sexual abuse at MacLaren Hall.</p> <p>During settlement discussions we were promised advance notice before</p>



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

	<p>MacLaren Hall was demolished; on February 3, 2025, Supervisor Solis' office made that promise to me personally, and County Counsel Tom Gutierrez later said demolition was postponed for lack of funds and we would be notified if plans changed.</p> <p>They did change.</p> <p>MacLaren Hall was demolished without notice.</p> <p>For survivors, notice mattered. Some of us wanted to be present to witness the demolition, believing it might offer a measure of closure. Instead, we learned after the fact.</p> <p>I asked for an explanation and an apology from Supervisor Solis. Months later, I'm still waiting.</p> <p>I recently learned the park planned for this site may be named after Supervisor Solis. This is deeply troubling.</p> <p>The issue is not about a building or a park.</p> <p>It's about justice and whether this County means what it says when it apologizes to its victims.</p>
William Flores-Lemus	<p>Date January 26, 2026</p> <p>Dear Board of Supervisors Executive Office.</p> <p>Chief Director Edward Yen. Deputy Executive Officer Steven Hernandez. Board of Supervisor Hilda Solis Board of Supervisor Hollie J. Mitchell</p> <p>Compliant Towards Los Angeles County Public Defender's Office.</p> <p>Ricardo Daniel Garcia #178111 Justine Marie Esack #183784 Haydeh Behbehani Takasugi #173084 Monnica Thelen #180661</p> <p>Follow Up Executive Office Human Resources Department Complaint Towards. The Board of Supervisor's Office Chief of Staff Sonia Lopez.</p>



PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

Refer to emails sent to Sonia Lopez and The Public Defender's Office sent in order by dates.

11/6/2025, 11/10/2025, 11/12/2025, 11/13/2025, 11/26/2025 (From Haydah Takasugi) 11/28/2025, 12/2/2025, 12/3/2025, 12/8/ 2025, 12/ 11/2025, 12/12/2025, 12/ 17, 2025, 1/6/2026, 1/9/2026

(I) I William Flores-Lemus am submitting this declaration to be truthful. To the best of my knowledge in events. In a complaint towards the mentioned.

I claim The Los Angeles Public Defender's Office. Is fully aware of my complaint and legal requests.

In addition, not representing me to my best interests. As I exhausted all means requesting written accountability directly from them.

In good faith I completed my part in providing documentation for a Certificate of Rehabilitation/Pardon.

As I properly notified
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisor Hollie J. Mitchell's Office.

In claims of complaints and legal requests. That have not been contested and repeatedly denied by The Los Angeles Public Defender's Office.

I assert The Public Defender's Office has purposely delayed and obstructed. The process for the petition to continue under California State Law.

In denying me due process in The Public Defender's Office. Through their actions do not want. The petition of a Certificate of Rehabilitation/ Pardon to be successful.

To deprive me full State and Federal rights as a resident of California. I intend to address this. To the proper OCR State and Federal agencies for an official review.

I assert that The Public Defender's Office are acting as rouge
Los Angeles County Department.

Using their institutional legal knowledge and expertise.

To not account for their mistakes in not wanting. A official Human Resource Department compliant filed against individuals in their office.

Follow Up Executive Office Human Resources Department Complaint
Towards.

The Board of Supervisor's Office
Chief of Staff Sonia Lopez.



PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

I assert that Sonia Lopez's actions created a bias in The Public Defender's Office. In them denying the process of the petition of Certificate of Rehabilitation/ Pardon.

Refer to sections (VII) & (VIII).

In her email sent on Jan 6, 2025 accusations towards me. Using her political position of privileged power. In retaliation several hours after I emailed her addressing her lack of concern of my claims as a constituent.

Forwarding the email to The Public Defender's Office. Which created harm in portraying me in a negative manner to them.

(II) On May 13, 2025 I submitted a letter Referenced Additional Letters of Character. That mentioned _____ and spouse, _____, Mr. _____.

Refer to email sent by
Haydah Takasugi on
December 12, 2025

The purpose in submitting a petition of The Certificate of Rehabilitation/Pardon. Is to restore all my State and Federal rights as a resident of California.

I stated to the paralegal Valera Baker in several communications with her. After submitting a second expanded letter of character from Lee KY.

I explained my intent was not to create an adversarial environment with The Public Defender's Office or The District Attorney.

I claim that Valera Baker asked me if I was a _____ Veteran to use in court. My response was that was not relevant.

I explained to her that my past two honorable military service was used against me in court by The District Attorney.

Requesting to submit additional relevant documents. To strengthen my petition in a Certificate of Rehabilitation/ Pardon. That request was denied directly by The Public Defender.

I claim after Valera Baker asked me in reference to _____ letter. If I could ask his spouse to write an additional letter. To substitute Mr. _____ letter that was rejected by The Pubic Defenders Office.

I responded to her that I did not want to impose towards him or his wife.

After I directly handed the letter to the paralegal Valera Baker. As she opened the letter and read it in front of me in May 2025.



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

I claim that Haydah Takasugi or Ria Snoek did not professionally account for that letter. In a proper chain of custody.

I claim The Public Defender's Office took out or lost the letter and refuses to take responsibility for their mistakes.

(III) On November 6, 2025 I was informed prior to court by The Public Defender. That the letters of character were not verified.

I claim The District Attorney used Political Bias Non Legal Language. In the term "_____".

The court CASE _____ reflects the record that two _____ were registered with The State of California.

In addition, The Record reflects those items on that the list The District Attorney verbally stated.

Where not on the warrant issued those items were illegally taken never returned. By Law Enforcement and used in court against me by The District Attorney.

I claim the intent by The District Attorney was to purposely bias the judge.

The public defender on November 6, 2025 during court. Did not contest that Political Bias Non Legal Language.

In addition, he knew that there was no investigation to verify the authenticity of the letters of character.

I claim that the language used by The District Attorney created an immediate bias in judge in her physical facial reaction.

As she immediately denied my request to submit documents strengthening the petition of Certificate of Rehabilitation/Pardon.

I went to The Public Defender's office and spoke to Sean K. McDonald. Briefly speaking with him by his reaction to my questions. I believed he knew no investigation was conducted to contact _____ and _____.

I stated to him my objection that the exact same in tone and words. By The District Attorney was used ten years prior in court.

I stated to The Public Defender that if I confirmed to Valera Baker. I was _____ Veteran and the Political Bias None Legal Language. In the term "_____" would be on the permanent transcript record.



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

In that transcript would be presented to The Governor in creating a potential bias towards my petition.

(IV) On Nov 6 2025 6:21 PM

I emailed Haydah Takasugi and requested of a new investigator be appointed. To contact me prior and coordinate.

With the authors of the letters of characters. To inform them to expect a call. In avoiding any future problems of communication.

I requested that the previous investigator respond and provide the times and dates. That the authors of the letter of character were contacted. That request has been denied by
The Public Defender's Office.

I claim Haydah Takasugi and The Public Defender's Office having full knowledge did not call or . From May 2025 to November 26, 2025. To verify the authenticity of the letters of character.

Upon request text messages will be provided that two authors of the letter of character were not contact.

Refer to emails dated.

On November 10, 2025 6:07 PM

November 12, 2025 1:39 PM

November 13, 2025 6:44 PM

November 28, 2025 11:06 AM

I notified The Board of Supervisor's Office and Haydah Takasugi of my requests and compliant.

(V) Prior to meeting with

Haydah Takasugi I sent a email on

November 12, 2025. Requesting a in person meeting with her and to have Kyle Lee. From The Board of Supervisor Holly J. Mitchell's Office be allowed to be on the speaker phone for the meeting.

The purposes to encourage integrity and be a none bias observer in what is said in my arguments in a pattern bias. As to all matters discussed for the official record.

This request was denied as I met with Ha

William Flores-Lemus

Date January 26, 2026

Dear Board of Supervisors Executive Office.

Chief Director Edward Yen.

Deputy Executive Officer

Steven Hernandez.



PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

Board of Supervisor Hilda Solis
Board of Supervisor Hollie J. Mitchell

Compliant Towards
Los Angeles County Public Defender's Office.

Ricardo Daniel Garcia #178111
Justine Marie Esack #183784
Haydeh Behbehani Takasugi #173084
Monnica Thelen
#180661

Follow Up Executive Office Human Resources Department Complaint
Towards.
The Board of Supervisor's Office
Chief of Staff Sonia Lopez.

Refer to emails sent to Sonia Lopez and The Public Defender's Office sent in
order by dates.

11/6/2025, 11/10/2025, 11/12/2025, 11/13/2025, 11/26/2025 (From Haydah
Takasugi) 11/28/2025, 12/2/2025, 12/3/2025, 12/8/ 2025, 12/ 11/2025,
12/12/2025, 12/ 17, 2025, 1/6/2026, 1/9/2026

(I) I William Flores-Lemus am submitting this declaration to be truthful. To the
best of my knowledge in events. In a complaint towards the mentioned.

I claim The Los Angeles Public Defender's Office. Is fully aware of my
complaint and legal requests.

In addition, not representing me to my best interests. As I exhausted all
means requesting written accountability directly from them.

In good faith I completed my part in providing documentation for a Certificate
of Rehabilitation/Pardon.

As I properly notified
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisor Hollie J. Mitchell's Office.

In claims of complaints and legal requests. That have not been contested and
repeatedly denied by The Los Angeles Public Defender's Office.

I assert The Public Defender's Office has purposely delayed and obstructed.
The process for the petition to continue under California State Law.

In denying me due process in The Public Defender's Office. Through their
actions do not want. The petition of a Certificate of Rehabilitation/ Pardon to
be successful.



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

To deprive me full State and Federal rights as a resident of California. I intend to address this. To the proper OCR State and Federal agencies for an official review.

I assert that The Public Defender's Office are acting as rouge Los Angeles County Department.

Using their institutional legal knowledge and expertise.

To not account for their mistakes in not wanting. A official Human Resource Department compliant filed against individuals in their office.

Follow Up Executive Office Human Resources Department Complaint Towards.

The Board of Supervisor's Office
Chief of Staff Sonia Lopez.

I assert that Sonia Lopez's actions created a bias in The Public Defender's Office. In them denying the process of the petition of Certificate of Rehabilitation/ Pardon.

Refer to sections (VII) & (VIII).

In her email sent on Jan 6, 2025 accusations towards me. Using her political position of privileged power. In retaliation several hours after I emailed her addressing her lack of concern of my claims as a constituent.

Forwarding the email to The Public Defender's Office. Which created harm in portraying me in a negative manner to them.

(II) On May 13, 2025 I submitted a letter Referenced Additional Letters of Character. That mentioned ____and spouse, _____,Mr _____.

Refer to email sent by
Haydah Takasugi on
December 12, 2025

The purpose in submitting a petition of The Certificate of Rehabilitation/Pardon. Is to restore all my State and Federal rights as a resident of California.

I stated to the paralegal Valera Baker in several communications with her. After submitting a second expanded letter of character from Lee KY.

I explained my intent was not to create an adversarial environment with The Public Defender's Office or The District Attorney.

I claim that Valera Baker asked me if I was a _____Veteran to use in court.



PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

My response was that was not relevant.

I explained to her that my past two honorable military service was used against me in court by The District Attorney.

Requesting to submit additional relevant documents. To strengthen my petition in a Certificate of Rehabilitation/ Pardon. That request was denied directly by The Public Defender.

I claim after Valera Baker asked me in reference to _____ letter. If I could ask his spouse to write an additional letter. To substitute Mr. _____ letter that was rejected by The Pubic Defenders Office.

I responded to her that I did not want to impose towards him or his wife.

After I directly handed the letter to the paralegal Valera Baker. As she opened the letter and read it in front of me in May 2025.

I claim that Haydah Takasugi or Ria Snoek did not professionally account for that letter. In a proper chain of custody.

I claim The Public Defender's Office took out or lost the letter and refuses to take responsibility for their mistakes.

(III) On November 6, 2025 I was informed prior to court by The Public Defender. That the letters of character were not verified.

I claim The District Attorney used Political Bias Non Legal Language. In the term "_____".

The court CASE _____ reflects the record that two _____ were registered with The State of California.

In addition, The Record reflects those items on that the list The District Attorney verbally stated.

Where not on the warrant issued those items were illegally taken never returned. By Law Enforcement and used in court against me by The District Attorney.

I claim the intent by The District Attorney was to purposely bias the judge.

The public defender on November 6, 2025 during court. Did not contest that Political Bias Non Legal Language.

In addition, he knew that there was no investigation to verify the authenticity of the letters of character.



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

I claim that the language used by The District Attorney created an immediate bias in judge in her physical facial reaction.

As she immediately denied my request to submit documents strengthening the petition of Certificate of Rehabilitation/Pardon.

I went to The Public Defender's office and spoke to Sean K. McDonald. Briefly speaking with him by his reaction to my questions. I believed he knew no investigation was conducted to contact _____ and _____.

I stated to him my objection that the exact same in tone and words. By The District Attorney was used ten years prior in court.

I stated to The Public Defender that if I confirmed to Valera Baker. I was _____ Veteran and the Political Bias None Legal Language. In the term " _____ " would be on the permanent transcript record.

In that transcript would be presented to The Governor in creating a potential bias towards my petition.

(IV) On Nov 6 2025 6:21 PM

I emailed Haydah Takasugi and requested of a new investigator be appointed. To contact me prior and coordinate.

With the authors of the letters of characters. To inform them to expect a call. In avoiding any future problems of communication.

I requested that the previous investigator respond and provide the times and dates. That the authors of the letter of character were contacted. That request has been denied by The Public Defender's Office.

I claim Haydah Takasugi and The Public Defender's Office having full knowledge did not call _____ or _____. From May 2025 to November 26, 2025. To verify the authenticity of the letters of character.

Upon request text messages will be provided that two authors of the letter of character were not contact.

Refer to emails dated.

On November 10, 2025 6:07 PM

November 12, 2025 1:39 PM

November 13, 2025 6:44 PM

November 28, 2025 11:06 AM

I notified The Board of Supervisor's Office and Haydah Takasugi of my requests and compliant.

(V) Prior to meeting with

				Haydah Takasugi I sent a email on November 12, 2025. Requesting a in person meeting with her and to have Kyle Lee. From The Board of Supervisor Holly J. Mitchell's Office be allowed to be on the speaker phone for the meeting. The purposes to encourage integrity and be a none bias observer in what is said in my arguments in a pattern bias. As to all matters discussed for the official record. This request was denied as I met with Ha
		Item Total	11	
Grand Total			11	

Public Comment Submitted to the LA County Board of Supervisors Regarding MacLaren Hall

I am one of the survivors of sexual abuse at MacLaren Hall.

During settlement discussions we were promised advance notice before MacLaren Hall was demolished; on February 3, 2025, Supervisor Solis' office made that promise to me personally, and County Counsel Tom Guttierrez later said demolition was postponed for lack of funds and we would be notified if plans changed.

They did change.

MacLaren Hall was demolished without notice.

For survivors, notice mattered. Some of us wanted to be present to witness the demolition, believing it might offer a measure of closure. Instead, we learned after the fact.

I asked for an explanation and an apology from Supervisor Solis. Months later, I'm still waiting.

I recently learned the park planned for this site may be named after Supervisor Solis. This is deeply troubling.

The issue is not about a building or a park.

It's about justice and whether this County means what it says when it apologizes to its victims.

From: [Sara Johnson](#)
To: [DPHPlanning](#)
Cc: [Barbara Ferrer](#); [PublicComments](#); [First District](#); [Noel Barakat](#); [Bobrowsky, Joshua](#)
Subject: Re: Written Public Comment: Long COVID Priorities (Jan 23 CPPH Task Force)
Date: Friday, January 23, 2026 11:15:59 AM

Dear Planning Unit and Task Force Co-Chairs,

Thank you for confirming receipt of my written comment. I want to clarify one point in your response. My submission does not ask the Task Force to implement policy. It asks the Task Force to use its advisory and planning role as defined in its Charter. Public comment from the Long COVID community reflects serious, evidence-based warnings and implementation needs, not abstract concerns. I am asking whether the Task Force recognizes this input as planning-relevant and will take it up accordingly.

I ask the Task Force to take the following actions, all of which sit squarely within its authority:

- Place Long COVID on the Task Force workplace
- Direct a briefing that leads to concrete recommendations and deliverables
- Issue priority recommendations to the Board of Supervisors and DPH
- Advise on the creation of a permanent Office of Long COVID
- Add a standing lived-experience Long COVID seat to the Task Force

These actions align directly with the Task Force's responsibilities to advise the Board and DPH on public health priorities, guide community health planning, develop workplans and briefings, and set and revise CHA and CHIP priorities.

I raised Long COVID with this Task Force in May 2025, and I have not yet seen a workplan item, briefing, or recommendation. Please provide clarity on next steps:

- Will the Task Force place Long COVID on its workplan as a standing priority?
- Will the Task Force schedule a briefing on Long COVID to inform recommendations to the Board, DPH, and the Center for Health Equity?
- Will the Task Force evaluate Long COVID for inclusion or revision within CHA and CHIP planning, and on what timeline?
- Will the Task Force identify how the Long COVID community can support this work in a way that is useful to the Task Force?

Thank you for your time and engagement.

Sincerely,
Sara Johnson
First District, Los Angeles County

On Jan 22, 2026, at 4:16 PM, DPHPlanning <DPHPlanning@ph.lacounty.gov> wrote:

Hi Sara,

Thank you for reaching out and for your interest in providing public comment. We appreciate you taking the time to share your concerns, and your feedback is important to us.

Your message has been shared with the Co-Chairs of the Task Force for their consideration. If you plan to attend tomorrow's Task Force meeting, you are also welcome to share your comments during the public comment period. Please note that public comments are limited to one minute per person, and members will not be able to respond directly, as they may only discuss items included on the publicly posted agenda. The Task Force serves in an advisory capacity, offering recommendations and guidance to inform broader decision-making processes rather than developing or implementing policy directly.

Thank you again for engaging with this process and contributing your perspective.

Planning Unit

Office of Planning, Integration, and Engagement (PIE)

Los Angeles County Department of Public Health

313 North Figueroa Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012

Email: dphplanning@ph.lacounty.gov

From: Sara Johnson <sarajohnsonpm@gmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, January 22, 2026 10:24 AM

To: DPHPlanning <DPHPlanning@ph.lacounty.gov>

Cc: Barbara Ferrer <BFerrer@ph.lacounty.gov>; PublicComments@bos.lacounty.gov; firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov

Subject: Written Public Comment: Long COVID Priorities (Jan 23 CPPH Task Force)

Importance: High

CAUTION: External Email. Proceed Responsibly.

Dear Members of the Community Prevention & Population Health Task Force,

I am submitting this written public comment because **Long COVID fits squarely inside this Task Force's prevention and population health mandate**, including upstream interventions, health equity, and

community well-being. I **previously raised Long COVID to this same Task Force in May 2025** through in-person public comment and written materials. As of today, **there has been no visible follow-up**, briefing, workplan item, or deliverable in response. I am submitting this again for the public record because the gap between acknowledgment and implementation has become the defining pattern across LA County bodies.

Long COVID is an ongoing mass disabling event with structural consequences for community well-being. It cannot be addressed through clinic referrals, informational materials, or time-limited pilots. It requires a coordinated, institutional response within County governance. The Long COVID community has expanded not through County leadership, but because preventable infections have continued to create new patients in the absence of effective prevention and coordinated response.

Almost three years ago, LACDPH stated that three out of four people who reported symptoms lasting four weeks or more also reported that Long COVID symptoms had limited daily activities, such as going to work or school, socializing with loved ones, or taking care of personal needs. Of those, 25% reported significant limitations. **Dr. Barbara Ferrer said:** “There is temptation to say the pandemic is ending, and for some this experience is very real. For others, they continue to feel the impact daily, whether it is living with the loss of a loved one, the economic toll of the pandemic, or the effects of long COVID. **At Public Health, we have made a commitment to not leave these people behind.**” **There’s been no evidence of any such commitment.** [[Lacounty.gov](https://www.lacounty.gov/newsroom/press-releases/2023/02/24/long-covid-impacts-los-angeles-county-residents-three-in-four-experience-limitations-in-daily-activities)., Press Release, “[Long COVID Impacts Los Angeles County Residents: Three in Four Experience Limitations in Daily Activities](https://www.lacounty.gov/newsroom/press-releases/2023/02/24/long-covid-impacts-los-angeles-county-residents-three-in-four-experience-limitations-in-daily-activities),” February 24, 2023]

The County has already publicly recognized the core facts that make Long COVID a prevention and population health responsibility: it is a serious public health issue that can limit daily activities, and preventing infection is the most effective way to prevent Long COVID. Yet County residents still lack a clear, reliable, County-owned pathway for prevention, navigation, and coordinated response. **Since the County already recognizes Long COVID as widespread, disabling, and preventable, it is a question of initiating commensurate infrastructure, planning, and accountability.**

Since at least 2023, a large, visible, and engaged core group of residents, people living with Long COVID, and disability and community stakeholders have **repeatedly brought forward specific, implementable actions within County authority through multiple forums**, including the Department of Public Health Commission, County-hosted public events, written correspondence, and public comment. **The County response has been minimal** acknowledgment followed by directing individuals to their own provider, without any County-owned

implementation pathway, timelines, or accountable departments. **That pattern is exactly what this Task Force exists to interrupt.**

This Task Force has practical levers that map directly onto what is missing. You advise the Board of Supervisors, shape community health planning, and oversee prevention and equity strategies that are operational, cross-departmental, and measurable. **Long COVID is now a sustained driver of disability, economic instability, workforce disruption, school absenteeism, caregiver strain, housing precarity, and unequal access to care.** When Long COVID is treated as an individual clinical issue, the County perpetuates exclusion of uninsured and underinsured residents, delays support until disability deepens, and places the burden of navigation and advocacy on people who are already sick and disabled. **When it is treated as prevention and population health, the County can reduce new disability and build navigable, equitable pathways for people already affected.**

A permanent Office of Long COVID is the minimum structure that matches the problem and matches County governance. It must be treated as public infrastructure since the required work is multifaceted: prevention strategy; clean-air and infection mitigation coordination; needs assessment and surveillance; disability integration across County systems; coordinated service navigation; and accountable interdepartmental planning. These are squarely within the County's public health capacity and responsibilities. **They also align with your duties of community health improvement planning and equity implementation.**

Additionally, adding a standing lived-experience Long COVID membership seat to this Task Force is a direct, reasonable remedy. It creates continuity, prevents the "one-off comment then silence" cycle, and strengthens this Task Force's ability to translate prevention and equity principles into implementation.

Requested actions from this Task Force:

1. **Put Long COVID on the Task Force workplan as a standing priority** and direct a Long COVID briefing that produces deliverables, not narrative: an implementation proposal, a timeline, accountable departments, and measurable outputs.
2. **Issue a priority recommendation to the Board of Supervisors and the Department of Public Health to establish a permanent Office of Long COVID within Los Angeles County.** The Office must be treated as public infrastructure, not a clinic program. Its scope must cover the three pillars that map to County action: awareness, prevention, and treatment, implemented through coordinated County strategy, clean-air and infection mitigation, needs assessment, disability integration, and cross-department

planning.

3. **Add a Long COVID membership seat within this Task Force**, consistent with the Charter's provision for creation of additional leadership positions. That seat should be held by a person with lived experience of Long COVID and demonstrated expertise specific to Long COVID, so the Task Force does not repeat the pattern of acknowledgment without implementation.
4. **Ensure that all Long Covid initiatives** (workplan item, any proposed Office of Long COVID, and the Task Force's Long COVID membership seat) are developed with and include individuals living with Long COVID, credibly engaged members of the Long Covid community, and other subject-matter experts with demonstrated expertise specific to Long COVID, grounded in science, epidemiology, disability impact, and lived experience.

Thank you for accepting this comment into the public record and for taking action that is concrete, measurable, and durable.

Thank you,
Sara Johnson

First District, Los Angeles County

From:[Arogant Hollywood](#)**To:**

to: to: to: Alison Fairchild; Alison Fairchild; Arogant Hollywood; XECUTIVEOFFICE@bos.lacounty.gov; PublicComments; Arogant Hollywood; Arogant Hollywood; Arogant Hollywood; Arogant Hollywood; Arogant Hollywood; Neil Opdahl-Lopez; dbutler@lasuperiorcourt.org; dws4ttu@gmail.com; gavin.newsom@gov.ca.gov; christina@amatrixinvestigations.com; keith@keithrosenthal.com; First District; Holly J. Mitchell; holly@hollyjtmitchell.com; Third District; lindsey.p.horvath@gmail.com; Supervisor Janice Hahn (Fourth District); kbarger@gmail.com; kathryn.barger@gmail.com; ekleibrich@sbcglobal.com; kabarger@earthlink.net; kathryn@bos.lacounty.gov; Dawyn Harrison; pghaderi@counsel.lacounty.gov; rsaldana@counsel.lacounty.gov; ndavis-tinkham@counsel.lacounty.gov; brind0313@gmail.com; nad tinkham@gmail.com; pmarshall@counsel.lacounty.gov; rcartwright@counsel.lacounty.gov; rwong@counsel.lacounty.gov; Thomas Faughnan; tomfaughnan66@gmail.com; kmiles@counsel.lacounty.gov; rchastang@counsel.lacounty.gov; lcampo@counsel.lacounty.gov; msimon@counsel.lacounty.gov; elizabeth_dumais@yahoo.com; pbollinger@counsel.lacounty.gov; rfleming-peters@counsel.lacounty.gov; njenkins@counsel.lacounty.gov; njenkins@counsel.lacounty.gov; rbaeza@counsel.lacounty.gov; lowt@metro.net; Tlow77@gmail.com; tlow@counsel.lacounty.gov; econard@lacounty.gov; econard@counsel.lacounty.gov; jgonzalez@counsel.lacounty.gov; schan@counsel.lacounty.gov; eggerse@metro.net; eeggers@counsel.lacounty.gov; mrolon@counsel.lacounty.gov; mirder@hotmail.com; mruben@counsel.lacounty.gov; Judy Whitehurst; iwelch-whitehurst@counsel.lacounty.gov; Margaret Carter; Alexandra Zuiderweg; Caitlin Taylor; Lehman, Jennifer; ychang@counsel.lacounty.gov; kmuir@counsel.lacounty.gov; skuhn@counsel.lacounty.gov; dslayton@lasuperiorcourt.org; tkral@counsel.lacounty.gov; rluna@lacounty.gov; aprilrady@yahoo.com; gpinedo@lasd.org; gpinedo@csudh.edu; gpinedo@dhs.lacounty.gov; specialvictimsbureau@lasd.org; cocnotify; jskeen@lasd.org; Laura Lecrivain; skehoe@lasd.org; info@lasd.org; info@lacounty.gov; yfigueroa@lacounty.gov; jtorres@lasd.org; saloma@lasd.org; ajimparato@gmail.com; andy.imparato@disabilityrightscsca.org; eric.harris@disabilityrightscsca.org; kim.blanc@disabilityrightscsca.org; monica.gilbert@disabilityrightscsca.org; carolina@disabilityrightscsca.org; lynn.martinez@disabilityrightscsca.org; karen.keene@disabilityrightscsca.org; kvak5@comcast.net; jesse.magano@disabilityrightscsca.org; jessejmagano@gmail.com; jung.pham@disabilityrightscsca.org; vicki.bass@disabilityrightscsca.org; hannah.peskin@disabilityrightscsca.org; hannahpeskin@gmail.com; sam.mickens@disabilityrightscsca.org; dara.schur@disabilityrightscsca.org; daraschur@earthlink.net; daniel.wagoner@disabilityrightscsca.org; vdalton@rapidlegal.com; dlomeli@rapidlegal.com; tperez@rapidlegal.com; pmartinez@rapidlegal.com; efuentes@rapidlegal.com; jpanaligan@rapidlegal.com; jude.panaligan@yahoo.com; flong@rapidlegal.com; apasillas@rapidlegal.com; rtolley@rapidlegal.com; mtolley@go.com; clindsey@rapidlegal.com; kbarrios@rapidlegal.com; dsu@rapidlegal.com; cjmjinill@gmail.com; acastanar@rapidlegal.com; alcarlocastanar@gmail.com; jellis@rapidlegal.com; jellisconsulting@gmail.com; twilliams@rapidlegal.com; sthompson@counselpress.com; mpiperis@counselpress.com; jthompson@counselpress.com; bdenes@counselpress.com; btdenes@gmail.com; bvandegrift@counselpress.com; npompa@counselpress.com; pompar@gmail.com; tbrooks@onelegal.com; takiyah.brooks@onelegal.com; takiyahb@onelegal.com; taylah.allen@onelegal.com; dcameron@onelegal.com; jason.steffens@onelegal.com; gary.masellis@onelegal.com; kelsey.griffis@infotrack.com; pkan.kender@infotrack.com; o_kender@hotmail.com; richard.heinrich@infotrack.com; richard.heinrich@gmail.com; laurie.pace@infotrack.com; laurie.anciaux@gmail.com; laurence.geist@infotrack.com; matthew.mcgivern@infotrack.com; stephanie.jimenez@onelegal.com; christine.kovar@infotrack.com; jjacobs@onelegal.com; thepoweroffj@gmail.com; mark.antonio@onelegal.com; thebrodeal@gmail.com; andrew.tucker@onelegal.com; atucker@onelegal.com; pfox@onelegal.com; paulfoxsf@gmail.com; diane.andreoli@onelegal.com; kai.wu@onelegal.com; kwu15@sbcglobal.net; latashia.smith@onelegal.com; latashia_smith1@hotmail.com; bgreene@onelegal.com; ajohnston@onelegal.com; ed@productjava.com; ed.valdez@onelegal.com; mdodd@onelegal.com; marelano@onelegal.com; kristal.haglund@onelegal.com; khaglund@aol.com; kpanameno@onelegal.com; sbrodriguez@onelegal.com; dennisbeninato@gmail.com; dbeninato@onelegal.org.uk; agokan@gmail.com; karen.skelly@att.net; jiskelly@comcast.net; courtney.vanschoyck@infotrack.com; anirudh.rekulapalli@infotrack.com; ed.watts@infotrack.com; kristofer.gaines@infotrack.com; krisgaines@gmail.com; karolina.sikorska@infotrack.com; mindy.naumer@infotrack.com; mindynaumer@gmail.com; josh.somma@infotrack.com; marcus.niro@infotrack.com; amy.allen@infotrack.com; michael.montalto@infotrack.com; miketriaad@gmail.com; brendan.smart@infotrack.com; brendansmart@gmail.com; george@greenfiling.com; georgeknecht@gmail.com; dan.lear@infotrack.com; bec.connell@infotrack.com; lindsey.dean@infotrack.com; crimsonphoenix81@gmail.com; stephen.wood@infotrack.com.au; wood_stephen@hotmail.com; tony.ghiazza@infotrack.com.au; christianbeck@gmail.com; mfletcher@helfen.com.au; marcusfletcher@bigpond.com; pippa.downes@gmail.com; josh.bayliss@virgin.com; joshbayliss@gmail.com; holly.branson@gmail.com; holly.branson@virgin.com; ian.g.woods@icloud.com; ian.woods@virgin.com; ian.woods@hotmail.co.uk; peter.norris@virgin.co.uk; peter.norris@virgin.com; peter.norris@virginactive.co.za; peternorris@gmail.com; ash.shah@virgin.com; nikki.humphrey@virgin.com; nikkihumphrey21@gmail.com; sam.kelly@virgin.com; sam.kelly@gmail.com; j.margison@virgin.com; press@virginhotels.com; luigi.brambilla@virgin.com; brambilla.luigi@gmail.com; lbrambilla@hotmail.com; luigi.brambilla@virgingalactic.com; luigi.brambilla@virginvoyages.com; luigibrambilla@virgin.com; luigi_brambilla@virgin.com; craig@brentnails-sa.com.au; craigfarrow@gmail.com; Arogant Hollywood; blacklawgenius@gmail.com; alisonhfairchild@gmail.com1; jjones@rapidlegal.com; patty@nationwidelegal.com; katewilger@me.com; mharo@nationwidelegal.com; amorley@nationwidelegal.com; alicia.morley@yahoo.com; spropper@nationwideasap.com; spropper@nationwidelegal.com; icelandicyogini@yahoo.com.sg; lbazon@nationwidelegal.com; dsaunder@nationwidelegal.com; imarin@nationwidelegal.com; mlazcano@nationwidelegal.com; mtaraban@nationwidelegal.com; iflores@nationwidelegal.com; pmontes@nationwidelegal.com; jcaamal@nationwidelegal.com; jcaamal@nationwideasap.com; mbukovskis@nationwidelegal.com; maryb@nationwidelegal.com; bukovsgirl@msn.com; jcaviedes@nationwidelegal.com; mrharleyvnut@msn.com; joberlink@nationwidelegal.com; emann@nationwidelegal.com; emann@legalsolutionasap.com; ezra.a.mann@gmail.com; fvelarde@nationwidelegal.com; bhart@nationwidelegal.com; budhartsal@hotmail.com; rprieto@nationwidelegal.com; psorrentino@nationwidelegal.com; hvelazquez@nationwidelegal.com; jscarlett@nationwidelegal.com; rsciaky@nationwidelegal.com; ncoffin@nationwidelegal.com; hooman@nationwidelegal.com; hooman@legalsolutionasap.com; hooman@nationwideasap.com; hdavoodi@nationwidelegal.com; hdavoodi@nationwideasap.com; scrawford@nationwidelegal.com; nbrusilovskiy@nationwidelegal.com; legal@nationwidelegal.com; amberlogan@lmhfirm.com; rinamathevosian@lmhfirm.com; elisehur@lmhfirm.com

Subject:

AMBER LOGAN IS A LIAR, CHEATER, AND EVIL WOMAN!

Date:

Saturday, January 24, 2026 7:58:10 AM

Attachments:

[image.png](#)
[image.png](#)
[image.png](#)
[image.png](#)
[image.png](#)

[image.png](#)
[image.png](#)
[image.png](#)
[image.png](#)
[image.png](#)
[\(24\) Shawn Kehoe - LinkedIn.pdf](#)
[GENERAL ORDER PROHIBITING ACTIONS OF NEGLIGENT COUNTY PIGZ AND LAZY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.pdf](#)

GEMINI FOOLS!

Los Angeles County Superior Court, IS IT UNDER THE COUNTY OF STATE? CITE
AUTHORITY

The **Los Angeles County Superior Court** is a **State** entity, not a County entity. While it is located within and serves Los Angeles County, it is part of the judicial branch of the State of California.

Historically, trial courts were funded and managed by counties. However, a series of legislative reforms in the late 1990s and early 2000s officially shifted their status, funding, and employment to the State.

1. Constitutional Authority

The primary authority for the existence and status of the court is the **California Constitution**.

- **Article VI, Section 1:** Vests the "judicial power of this State" in the Supreme Court, Courts of Appeal, and **Superior Courts**.
- **Article VI, Section 4:** Expressly mandates that "In each county there is a superior court of one or more judges." This establishes them as the state's trial courts of general jurisdiction.

2. Statutory Authority (Funding and Employment)

The transition from county-led to state-led administration is codified in two major acts:

- **The Lockyer-Isenberg Trial Court Funding Act of 1997 (AB 233):** This law transferred the responsibility for funding trial court operations from the counties to the State of California. It declared that the judiciary is a "separate and independent branch of government" and that funding its operations is a state function.
- **Trial Court Employment Protection and Governance Act (2000):** This act officially transitioned court employees from being county employees to **trial court employees** (governed by the state judicial branch).

Summary Table: State vs. County Roles

Feature	Jurisdiction / Responsibility	Authority
Funding	State (General Fund)	Gov. Code § 77200
Judges	State (Salaries paid by the State)	Cal. Const. Art. VI
Employees	Trial Court (Not County)	Gov. Code § 71600
Facilities	State (Ownership transferred from Counties)	Trial Court Facilities Act of 2002
Local Rules	Court-Specific (Set by the LA Superior Court)	Gov. Code § 68070

Note on "The Sheriff": You may see Los Angeles County Sheriffs in the courtroom. While the court is a state entity, it often **contracts** with the County (specifically the Sheriff's Department) to provide security and bailiff services. This does not change the court's status as a state entity.

Would you like me to find the specific **Local Rules** for the Los Angeles Superior Court or information on how to file a case there?

WOULD THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES BE REQUIRED TO ENFORCE A GENERAL ORDER OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, STANLEY MOSK SUPERIOR COURT

Yes, the **County of Los Angeles** (specifically through the **Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department**) is legally required to enforce and obey the lawful orders of the Superior Court.

While the Superior Court is a **state** entity, California law explicitly mandates that the County Sheriff—who is a county official—acts as the enforcement arm of the court within that county's boundaries.

1. Statutory Authority to Obey Orders

The primary legal authority for this requirement is the **California Government Code**.

- **Government Code § 26603:** This is the most direct authority. It states:

"The sheriff shall attend all superior courts held within his county and **obey all lawful orders and directions** of all courts held within his county."
- **Government Code § 26608:** Mandates that the sheriff "shall serve all process and notices in the manner prescribed by law."
- **Government Code § 26611:** Requires the sheriff to act as the "crier" of the court, calling witnesses and parties as directed by the judge.

2. General Orders of the Stanley Mosk Courthouse

The **Stanley Mosk Courthouse** is the central civil hub of the Los Angeles Superior Court. A "General Order" issued by the Presiding Judge or a Supervising Judge of that court carries the force of law for those within its jurisdiction.

Under **California Rules of Court, Rule 10.603**, the Presiding Judge has the authority to:

- Apportion the business of the court.
- Supervise the administration of the court.
- Issue orders necessary to ensure the orderly conduct of court business.

Because the Sheriff is statutorily required to "obey all lawful orders" of the court, a **General Order** regarding courtroom security, the service of process, or the conduct of county employees within the courthouse is binding on the County.

3. Contractual and Mandated Security

Under the **Superior Court Security Act of 2012 (Government Code § 69920 et seq.)**, the Sheriff is the specific officer designated to provide court security. The County and the Court enter into a **Memorandum of Understanding (MOU)** to outline these duties, but the underlying obligation to follow the court's direction is a matter of state law, not just a local agreement.

Summary Table

Authority	Legal Citation	Requirement
Duty to Obey	Gov. Code § 26603	Sheriff must obey all lawful court orders/directions.
Service of Process	Gov. Code § 26608	Sheriff must serve all court notices and processes.
Court Security	Gov. Code § 69922	Sheriff is responsible for court security and attendance.
Administrative Power	Cal. Rules of Court 10.603	Presiding Judge has authority to issue administrative orders.

Would you like me to look up a specific General Order from the Stanley Mosk Courthouse or help you find the current Sheriff's Department Court Services Manual?

https://www.lacourt.org/newsmedia/uploads/142025130115739GeneralOrderreExpressiveActivity.pdf?utm_source=chatgpt.com

Yes, based on the text of the **General Order (2025-GEN-004-00)** you provided and California law, the **County of Los Angeles** (specifically the Sheriff) is not only required to enforce this order but is explicitly directed to do so by the Court.

The authority for this enforcement is found in both the **General Order** itself and the **California Government Code**.

1. Specific Mandate in the General Order (Section III)

In the document you cited, the Court explicitly commands the County Sheriff to take action. **Section III (Posting and Service of Order)** states:

*"The **Sheriff of Los Angeles County** and his or her deputies and their agents are **directed to serve a copy of this Order personally on any person who appears to be in violation thereof**, to advise such person of the apparent violation, and... to immediately notify the Court's Office of Public Safety..."*

The order further states in **Section II**:

*"While on or in the premises of any courthouse, all persons are ordered to comply with the lawful requests, directions and orders of **any law enforcement officers**... in the performance of their duties."*

2. Statutory Authority (Why the Sheriff must follow this order)

The County Sheriff is a county official, but under state law, they are the designated "arm of the court." The following statutes require the County to enforce the General Order:

- **Government Code § 26603:** This law states that the Sheriff "shall attend all superior courts held within his county and **obey all lawful orders and directions** of all courts held within his county."
- **Government Code § 69922:** Mandates that the Sheriff must provide and maintain court security.
- **Code of Civil Procedure § 128 & § 1209:** These codes, cited in the "Penalties" section of your PDF, grant the court the power to control the conduct of persons in the courthouse. The Sheriff is the mechanism by which the court exercises this control.

3. Does this apply to the County as a whole?

While the General Order primarily targets individuals engaging in "Expressive Activity" (protests, leafleting, etc.), the County of Los Angeles as an entity must respect it because:

1. **Sheriff's Role:** The Sheriff's Department (a County agency) is the primary enforcer.
2. **Property Management:** If the County owns the land the courthouse sits on, they are still subject to the "Curtilage" rules defined in Section I(A)(3) of the order, which limits where activity can happen near the doors and walkways.
3. **Exclusions:** Section I(C)(1) explicitly excludes "law enforcement officers in the performance of their official duties," meaning the County's officers can enter prohibited zones *only* to perform their jobs (like enforcement), not to engage in their own expressive activity.


Summary

If the Presiding Judge of the Los Angeles Superior Court issues this General Order, the **Los Angeles County Sheriff has a mandatory legal duty** under Government Code § 26603 to enforce its

provisions. Failure to do so would be a violation of state law and the court's inherent authority to manage its facilities.

SO YOU SEE, STUPID AMBER LOGAN. COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DEFENDANTS ARE LIABLE. AND SINCE THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS WAS WARNED OF LASD'S RECKLESSNESS AND DID NOTHING TO STOP THE FOOLS, THEY ARE NOT ENTITLED TO ANY IMMUNITY. KING AROGANT AGREES WITH THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, THE COMPLAINT IS TOO LONG!


STOP LYING AMBER. SHAWN KEHOE HAS IT POSTED ON HIS OWN DAMN LINKEDIN PAGE THAT HE IS NOT ONLY IN CHARGE OF ALL 36 COURTHOUSES BUT HE IS ALSO RESPONSIBLE FOR THE VERY SAME DUTIES GEMINI SAID HE MUST ENFORCE!



THIS WAS SAID FROM THE HORSE'S OWN MOUTH:

Shawn has served the residents of Los Angeles County for more than three decades. He is currently a commander of the Court Services Division. This Division is responsible for over 2000 personnel who provide public safety, bailiff, lockup, and transportation services for 36 Courthouses. Additionally Court Services is responsible for overseeing the Civil Management Bureau and countywide parking enforcement. The Operating Budget for the Division is \$420,000,000.

AND BEFORE YOU START LYING TO THE FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT THAT "NEIL OPDAHL-LOPEZ IS SENDING ME FILINGS FROM THIS MERITORIOUS CASE!
UNDERSTAND THIS FOOL! KING AROGANT HAS HIS OWN PACER ACCOUNT!



YOU ARE MAKING FAIRCHILD STRONGER, NOT WEAKER! WAIT UNTIL YOU SEE FAC BY NEIL OPDAHL LOPEZ IS THE COMING WEEKS! YOU TELLING COURT THAT KING AROGANT DRAFTING COMPLAINTS? CHECK DOCKET IN HORVATH. TELL ME WHAT PLEADINGS FILED IN THAT CASE, BESIDES THE COMPLAINT. LOOK LIKE KING AROGANT DID IT?

AND WATCH DOCKET FOR FAIRCHILD V. ERICA CRUSE AND TELL ME HOW IT WILL RESEMBLE WHAT KING AROGANT HAS DONE IN THE PAST, IT WONT, AND NOR WILL FAIRCHILD'S FAC FOOL! MY WORD!

BY THE WAY THANKS FOR INFORMATION. JUDICIAL COMMISSION WILL BE SENT A CEASE AND DESIST LETTER AND TOLD TO GET THOSE FOOLS OFF THE RAMP TOO, AND THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA WILL BE THE DEFENDANT IN UPCOMING FAIRCHILD V. BARGER! GOOD LOOKING OUT!

Summary

If the Presiding Judge of the Los Angeles Superior Court issues this General Order, the Los Angeles County Sheriff has a mandatory legal duty under Government Code § 26603 to enforce its provisions. Failure to do so would be a violation of state law and the court's inherent authority to manage its facilities.



**SO I AM JUST THINKING AMBER! STATE
OF CALIFORNIA WILL RID THEM FOOLS
FROM THE ADA RAMP A LOT FASTER
THAN THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES!**

GET THE FU\$% OUT THE SPOT!

**AND STAY THE FU#\$ OFF THE RAMP.
THEN NONE OF YOU WILL HAVE TO
WORRY ABOUT LITIGATION IDIOTS.
SMART PEOPLE AVOID LITIGATION,**

**WHILE DUMB COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
AND ITS UNINTELLIGENT EMPLOYEES
(DEPUTIES POSSESS IQ'S OF 60 TO 80)
RUN TO IT!**

**SEE YOU SOON, LOGAN, ON *FAIRCHILD V.
BARGER* AND THEN
*AROGANT HOLLYWOOD V. ROBERT G.
LUNA*! YOU ' LL BE DEFENDING WHITE
DEVIL NATHAN JOSEPH HOCHMAN ON
THAT CASE!**

**NEIL OPDAHL-LOPEZ IS OUR ATTORNEY,
AND HE IS DRAFTING AND FILING ALL
LEGAL DOCUMENTS. SHOW ME PROOF
OTHERWISE, LOGAN, ESPECIALLY ON
THE NEXT FIVE LAWSUITS FILED
AGAINST THE COUNTY OF LOS
ANGELES! MS. LOGAN, YOU NEED TO
RELAX, STOP SNITCHING, AND STOP
LYING! I GIVE YOU MY WORD WHITE**

**DEVIL LOGAN, NO DOCUMENTS FILED
BY NEIL OPDAHL-LOPEZ WILL EVER
RESEMBLE ANY PREVIOUS FILING OF
KING AROGANT AND ALISON HELEN
FAIRCHILD, SO YOU CAN WHINE TO THE
JUDGE ALL YOU WANT ANN! YOU LOOK
LIKE A DAMN FOOL!**

FILEDSuperior Court of California
County of Los Angeles**JANUARY 2, 2025**David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court
By: R. Mina, Deputy**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

EXPRESSIVE ACTIVITY

GENERAL ORDER
(SUPERSEDING 2023-GEN-005-00)

It is the purpose and intent of the Court in issuing this General Order to ensure the safe and orderly use of court facilities and to minimize activities that unreasonably disrupt, interrupt, or interfere with the orderly and peaceable conduct of court business in a neutral forum free of actual or perceived partiality, bias, prejudice, or favoritism; to provide for the fair and orderly conduct of hearings and trials; to promote the free flow of pedestrian and vehicular traffic on sidewalks and streets around court facilities, and to maintain proper judicial decorum.

The Court further issues this General Order to facilitate safe, peaceful, and orderly public access to courthouses unhindered by threats, confrontations, interference, noise pollution, or harassment that may be directed at court users, including but not limited to those court users waiting in line outside a courthouse.

The Court intends this General Order to be enforced in a content-neutral fashion. The Order regulates only conduct occurring in and around court facilities without regard to the content of any message, idea, or form of speech. The Court does not intend to ban all expressive activities from the environs surrounding court facilities. This Order is designed to provide ample alternative channels for communicating information near but not within court facilities nor on courthouse grounds. (*See Comfort v. MacLaughlin* (C.D. Cal. 2006) 473 F.Supp.2d 1026).

1 The Court hereby orders:

2 I. **Demonstrations, Distributions, Solicitation, and Other Expressive Activity**

3 A. The following definitions apply to this Section.

- 4 1. "Prohibited Activity" shall mean the acts of demonstrating, picketing, parading,
5 distributing literature or other materials to the general public, soliciting sales or donations,
6 engaging in commercial activity unless otherwise authorized by this Order or the Court,
7 or engaging in oral or demonstrative protest, education or counseling.
- 8 2. "Walkway" shall mean (a) the area of any corridor or sidewalk, or other paths of
9 pedestrian movement, directly from the edge of the public sidewalk nearest an entrance
10 to any building containing a courtroom to that entrance; (b) the area of any corridor or
11 sidewalk leading directly from any parking lot within a curtilage to an entrance to any
12 building containing a courtroom; or (c) a corridor or passageway within a multi-purpose,
13 commercial, or private building that leads directly to the part of the building containing
14 a courtroom.
- 15 3. "Curtilage" shall mean the area between any building containing a courtroom and the
16 nearest edge of the public sidewalk surrounding the building. It shall not include the area
17 adjacent to that portion of a multi-purpose, commercial, or private building that does not
18 contain a courtroom.
- 19 4. "Courthouse" shall mean any building or structure containing at least one courtroom. It
20 shall also include that portion of a multi-purpose, commercial, or private building or
21 structure that contains at least one courtroom.

22 B. Prohibitions

- 23 1. No person shall engage in any prohibited activity within a courthouse.
- 24 2. No person shall engage in any prohibited activity within the curtilage of a courthouse.
- 25 3. No person shall engage in any prohibited activity within 25 feet from either side of, or in
26 front of, the intersection of a walkway and the public sidewalk or within 25 feet from
27 either side of, or in front of, a doorway to a courthouse.
- 28

**ORDER IN THE COURT AMBER ANN
LOGAN! I SAID ORDER IN THE COURT!
OVERRULED!**

WHILE INCOMPETENT AMBER LOGAN
CITING OUTDATED STATUTES THAT WERE
CREATED AROGANT THE TIME SHE
PASSED HER CAL STATE BAR EXAM
(JORDAN HAD YET TO WIN THREE
CHAMPIONSHIPS) GENERAL ORDER IS
FROM DAMN JANUARY 2, 2025 FOOLS!

**42 ENTRIES ON NEXIS LEXIS AND 35 ON
WEST LAW, AND DONT YOU FOOLS
FORGET IT!**

**COUNSEL HAS ALREADY BEEN ADVISED
TO DRAFT GENERAL ORDER
ALLEGATIONS IN FAC!**

**SHAWN KEHOE IS SUPPOSED TO
ENFORCE GENERAL ORDER AS HE
ADMITS ON HIS OWN LINKEDIN PAGE:**

Shawn has served the residents of Los Angeles County for more than three decades. He is currently a commander of the Court Services Division. This Division is responsible for over 2000 personnel who provide public safety, bailiff, lockup, and transportation services for 36 Courthouses. Additionally Court Services is responsible for overseeing the Civil Management Bureau and countywide parking enforcement. The Operating Budget for the Division is \$420,000,000.

SHAWN KEHOE IS A COMMANDER AND THIS STUPID AMBER REFERS TO HIM AS A DEPUTY!

LASD RANK ORDER:

1. DEPUTY

2. DEPUTY SENIOR

3. SERGEANT

4. LIEUTENANT

5. CAPTAIN

6. COMMANDER

7. CHIEF

8. UNDERSHERIFF

**LET GIVE YOU AN IDEA HOW HIGH UP
KEHOE IS FOOLS! LASD EMPLOYS
CAPTAINS TO OVERSEE SHERIFF POLICE**

**STATIONS AND THOSE PEACE OFFICERS
REPORT TO COMMANDERS! KEHOE
REPORTS TO CHIEF YOLANDA
FIGEOURA, WHO WILL BE SUED IN
FAIRCHILD V. BARGER! THIS MEANS
THAT SHAWN KEHOE IS OVER ALL
DEPUTIES, SENIOR DEPUTIES,
SERGEANTS (WHO ARE WATCH
COMMANDERS OF INDIVIDUAL
COURTHOUSES), LIEUTENANTS, AND
CAPTAINS AT THE STANLEY MOSK
SUPERIOR COURT. SO HE HAS MORE
POWER THAN YOUR LYING EVICTION
CLAIMS!**



**ALL EMAILED NATURAL PERSONS
HEREBY WARNED AGAIN FOR THEM
AND THE EMPLOYEES TO GET OFF AND
STAY OFF THE OUTSIDE HANDICAP
RAMP LOCATED AT 111 NORTH HILL
STREET, LOS ANGELES, CA 90012.**

**JUST LIKE AMBER, LASD AND CITY OF
ALHAMBRA ENFORCED RECORDING IN
COURTHOUSE GENERAL ORDER (A
CRIMINAL CASE DA LOST OF COURSE)
ON KING AROGANT ON APRIL 17, 2025.
LASD NEEDS TO ENFORCE GENERAL
ORDER PROHIBITING ANY INDIVIDUALS
FROM BLOCKING ALISON HELEN
FAIRCHILD'S PATH ENTERING AND
LEAVING THE STANLEY MOSK
SUPERIOR COURT LOCATED AT 111
NORTH HILL STREET, LOS ANGELES, CA
90012! BY THE WAY ANN, YOU ARE STILL**

IN DEFAULT FOOLS!

**KEEP PLAYING WITH THE HANDICAP RAMP FOOLS AND WATCH AND SEE
WHAT HAPPENS TO YOU ALL NEXT!**

**I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws and Constitutions of
Arizona, California, Colorado, Delaware, Illinois, Massachusetts, New York,
Pennsylvania, Tennessee, Washington State, Australia, the England-United
Kingdom, the United States that every word, sentence, paragraph, page,
video recording, audio recording, sound, and image of this CIVIL RIGHTS
LEGAL WARNING LEGAL WARNING AND CEASE AND DESIST LETTER
ELECTRONICALLY SERVED UPON COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES,
NATIONWIDE LEGAL, RAPID LEGAL AND PROCEED (DOING BUSINESS AS
COUNSEL PRESS INC.) is true and correct.**

**DATE: JANUARY 24, 2026, AT 7:55 PM PACIFIC
STANDARD TIME**

**ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106
Mobile: (626) 755-6442
Email: fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com**

Spirit of Esquire

Alison Haden Fainchild

I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws and Constitutions of Arizona, California, Colorado, Delaware, Illinois, Massachusetts, New York, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, Washington State, Australia, the England-United Kingdom, the United States that every word, sentence, paragraph, page, video recording, audio recording, sound, and image of this CIVIL RIGHTS LEGAL WARNING LEGAL WARNING AND CEASE AND DESIST LETTER ELECTRONICALLY SERVED UPON COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, NATIONWIDE LEGAL, RAPID LEGAL AND PROCEED (DOING BUSINESS AS COUNSEL PRESS INC.) is true and correct.

**DATE: JANUARY 24, 2026, AT 7:55 PM PACIFIC
STANDARD TIME**

Arogant Hollywood

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq.

1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD.

PASADENA, CA 91106

Mobile: 206.471.1344

aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com

OF HIS OWN COUNSEL

3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted

Spirit of Esquire

BLACK TRADE KING

FUTURE KING OF ENTERTAINMENT

BLACK DERIVATIVES KING





King
Arrogant



Home



My Network



Jobs



6

Messaging



18

Notifications



Me ▼

For I



Shawn Kehoe

Commander, Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department



- Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department



- University of Southern California

Los Angeles, California, United States · [Contact info](#)

500+ connections

Connect

[Message](#)

[More](#)

About

Shawn has served the residents of Los Angeles County for more than three decades. He is currently a commander of the Court Services Division. This Division is responsible for over 2000 personnel who provide public safety, bailiff, lockup, and transportation services for 36 Courthouses. Additionally Court Services is responsible

for overseeing the Civil Management Bureau and countywide parking enforcement. The Operating Budget for the Division is \$420,000,000.

Shawn started his public service working for the City of Lakewood in the recreation department. He then began his law enforcement career as a Custody Assistant assigned to the Inmate Reception Center. After promoting to Deputy Sheriff and completing the Sheriff's Academy, Shawn served at the Inmate Reception Center, Custody Support Services, Industry Station, Lakewood Station, and eventually Lomita Station as a Field Training Officer.

Shawn promoted to sergeant in 2008, where he served at Men's Central Jail, Transit Services Bureau, and Contract Law Enforcement Bureau. He promoted to Lieutenant in 2013, where he served at the Inmate Reception Center, Norwalk Sheriff Station, Data Systems Bureau, Contract Law Enforcement Bureau, and Fraud & Cyber Crimes Bureau until his promotion to Captain in 2021. As Captain, Shawn oversaw the Transit Services Bureau, which provides public safety to Metro. Shawn was promoted to Commander in 2025.

In addition to being the recipient of the City of Lakewood Award of Valor, Shawn has also received the Dorothy Harris Academic Achievement Award from the California POST Command College Class 63, California Highway Patrol 10851 Award, along with the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department Distinguished Service, Exemplary Service, and three Meritorious Service Awards.

Shawn holds a Master of Public Administration from the University of Southern California (USC) and a Bachelor of Science in Business Administration from Walden University. A strong believer in lifelong learning, he holds a variety of graduate certificates from Wharton Business School, Cornell University School of Management, Harvard University, Carnegie Mellon University, and the University of California at Los Angeles, Irvine, and Berkeley.

Shawn also serves the residents of Los Angeles County as an elected Board member to the Board of Retirement and the Board of Investments for the Los Angeles County Employee's Retirement Association. In this role he oversees a fund of 86 billion in assets, a 160-million-dollar annual budget, and over 550 staff.



Top skills



Management • Project Management • Financial Analysis • Executive Leadership • Criminal Investigations

Activity

937 followers

Posts

Comments

Images

Shawn Kehoe  • Following



Commander, Los Angeles County Sheriff's...

4mo • 

Just want to express my deepest appreciation to everyone who made calls, sent texts, and voted. I was reelected to the Board of Investment and ...more



Results

Race	Candidate/Choice	Votes
Fourth Member of the Board of Investments	2. Shawn R. Kehoe	1,189
	1. Jason E. Green	915
Race	Candidate/Choice	Votes
Seventh Member and Alternate Safety Member of the Board of Retirement	2. Shawn R. Kehoe	999
	1. Jason E. Green	999

   34 • 8 comments • 1 repost



Shawn Kehoe

Commander, Los Angeles County Sheriff's...

4mo • 

Urgent: This week is the LACERA safety election. C...
voted - 15%. That is 10%



 10 • 3 comments • 1 repost



Show all posts →

Experience



Commander

Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department · Full-time
Shawn serves as Commander overseeing the Court Services Division, which
Apr 1998 - Present · 27 yrs 10 mos
consists of 2000 personnel covering 36 courthouses and an operating...
Los Angeles Metropolitan Area · On-site

Executive Leadership and Management



Chairman of the Board

LACERA · Full-time
Shawn holds a publicly elected position as a Trustee on the Board of
Jan 2011 - Present · 15 yrs 1 mo
Retirement and Board of Investments for the Los Angeles County Employe...
Pasadena, CA

Executive Leadership and Management



Faculty

University of Phoenix
Taught CJA 333 Policing Theory and Practice & CJA 214 Introduction to
Mar 2011 - Jun 2015 · 4 yrs 4 mos
Policing Theory and Practice
College of Criminal Justice and Security

Treasurer, Board of Directors

Cops 4 Causes Non-Profit
<http://www.cops4causes.org>
Jan 2009 - Jan 2012 · 3 yrs 1 mo

Education



University of Southern California

Master, Public Administration
Grade: Magna Cum Laude
2008 - 2010

Executive Leadership and Management



Walden University

Bachelor of Science, Business Administration with a speciality in Finance
Grade: Summa Cum Laude
2006 - 2008

Executive Leadership and Management

Show all 3 educations →

Licenses & certifications





Executive Development

CA Commission on POST
Issued Dec 2021



Command College

CA Commission on POST
Issued Jul 2018
Credential ID Class 63

[Show all 7 licenses & certifications →](#)

Skills

Executive Leadership



2 experiences across Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department and 1 other company



2 educational experiences at University of Southern California and 1 other school

Police



Endorsed by Geisler Philip and 3 others who are highly skilled at this



Endorsed by 27 colleagues at Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department



55 endorsements

[Show all 33 skills →](#)

Recommendations

Received

Given

Simon Russin

vice chair at LACERA

Shawn was a fair judge in determining disability retirements and an advocate for the sheriffs that he represented. Shawn paid a lot of time on details and asked many good questions on procedures. He attended all of the investment board meetings and attended all of the courses to make him a good fiduciary and won the election to the Boards of Investments and primary position as the safety member board of retirement. Congratulations LACERA is fortunate to have him as a member.

Publications

The Digital Alleyway: Why the Dark Web Cannot Be Ignored

International Association of Chiefs of Police "Police Chief Magazine" · Jun 12, 2018

Show publication ↗

The world is an interconnected society, with information traveling at the speed of electrons. Over 40 percent of the world population is connected to the Internet—...

The World Will Be Better Now That You're Here

Amazon · Jan 31, 2017

Show publication ↗

Few things in life are as special as the birth of a child. This book reminds us to enjoy every moment together. This touching children's book takes the reader through the...

Honors & awards

Dorthy Harris Command College Academic Achievement Award

 ed by California POST · Jul 2018
Associated with Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department

Awarded by faculty for highest academic achievement, Command College Class 63

Board Leadership Fellow

 ed by National Association of Corporate Directors (NACD) · Jan 2018
Associated with LACERA

Recognized by the National Association of Corporate Directors (NACD) as a Board Leadership Fellow, Shawn has demonstrated his commitment to the highest...

Interests

Companies

Groups

Newsletters

Schools



University of Southern California

703,577 followers

+ Follow

HITACHI

Promoted ...

Hitachi


Hitachi

Hitachi is driving what's next in the U.S.

Get updates on digital solutions that power a better tomorrow.

Follow

More profiles for you



Edward Ramirez · 3rd+

Commander at Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department

 Connect



Alex Kim  · 3rd+


Lieutenant with the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department, Special Enforcement Bureau

 Connect



Lucas Darland  · 3rd+


Lieutenant @ Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department | Law Enforcement; Training Bureau; Advanced Officer Training-Professional Development Units

 Connect



Robert Furman  · 3rd+

Lieutenant, Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department

 Connect



Jason P. Wolak  · 3rd+

Chief at Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department at Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department



Connect


Show all

Explore Premium profiles



Jake Martin  · 3rd+

Product and AI Communications @ Hims & Hers | Ex-Aurora



Connect



Aaron Escamilla  · 3rd+



Connect



Peyton Egan  · 3rd+

Student at O'Dea High School



Connect



Lisa Sherry, CMP  · 3rd+

Global Meetings & Special Events at PepsiCo




Connect

People you may know




Brenda Andjelic

REMOTE-ONLY Paralegal (Bilingual): Labor & Employment, Estate Planning, Litigation, Corporate, P.I. and Probate



Darice E. Goodwin 

Exuberant and Passionate Event Visionary | Strategically Orchestrating Flawless Experiences



Artur Damaso Lara Vasquez

Counselor, Professor. Providing holistic counseling, instruction, therapeutic services and activities. 2022-2023 ASCCC Veterans Services Advisory...



Kai Williamson, M. Ed. 

OCPA VP of Administration, Sr. Paralegal and Director of Global Corporate Governance, Notary License, and Paralegal Educator



Ellie Mhey Azucena 

Notary Public



Show all

+ Follow

Show all companies →

Armed Forces
+ Follow
263,224 followers



Naval Criminal Investigative Service (NCIS)

Law Enforcement
+ Follow
32,799 followers

Show all

FILEDSuperior Court of California
County of Los Angeles**JANUARY 2, 2025**

David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court

By: R. Mina, Deputy

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

EXPRESSIVE ACTIVITY

GENERAL ORDER
(SUPERSEDING 2023-GEN-005-00)

It is the purpose and intent of the Court in issuing this General Order to ensure the safe and orderly use of court facilities and to minimize activities that unreasonably disrupt, interrupt, or interfere with the orderly and peaceable conduct of court business in a neutral forum free of actual or perceived partiality, bias, prejudice, or favoritism; to provide for the fair and orderly conduct of hearings and trials; to promote the free flow of pedestrian and vehicular traffic on sidewalks and streets around court facilities, and to maintain proper judicial decorum.

The Court further issues this General Order to facilitate safe, peaceful, and orderly public access to courthouses unhindered by threats, confrontations, interference, noise pollution, or harassment that may be directed at court users, including but not limited to those court users waiting in line outside a courthouse.

The Court intends this General Order to be enforced in a content-neutral fashion. The Order regulates only conduct occurring in and around court facilities without regard to the content of any message, idea, or form of speech. The Court does not intend to ban all expressive activities from the environs surrounding court facilities. This Order is designed to provide ample alternative channels for communicating information near but not within court facilities nor on courthouse grounds. (*See Comfort v. MacLaughlin* (C.D. Cal. 2006) 473 F.Supp.2d 1026).

1 The Court hereby orders:

2 **I. Demonstrations, Distributions, Solicitation, and Other Expressive Activity**

3 A. The following definitions apply to this Section.

- 4 1. “Prohibited Activity” shall mean the acts of demonstrating, picketing, parading,
5 distributing literature or other materials to the general public, soliciting sales or donations,
6 engaging in commercial activity unless otherwise authorized by this Order or the Court,
7 or engaging in oral or demonstrative protest, education or counseling.
- 8 2. “Walkway” shall mean (a) the area of any corridor or sidewalk, or other paths of
9 pedestrian movement, directly from the edge of the public sidewalk nearest an entrance
10 to any building containing a courtroom to that entrance; (b) the area of any corridor or
11 sidewalk leading directly from any parking lot within a curtilage to an entrance to any
12 building containing a courtroom; or (c) a corridor or passageway within a multi-purpose,
13 commercial, or private building that leads directly to the part of the building containing
14 a courtroom.
- 15 3. “Curtilage” shall mean the area between any building containing a courtroom and the
16 nearest edge of the public sidewalk surrounding the building. It shall not include the area
17 adjacent to that portion of a multi-purpose, commercial, or private building that does not
18 contain a courtroom.
- 19 4. “Courthouse” shall mean any building or structure containing at least one courtroom. It
20 shall also include that portion of a multi-purpose, commercial, or private building or
21 structure that contains at least one courtroom.

22 **B. Prohibitions**

- 23 1. No person shall engage in any prohibited activity within a courthouse.
- 24 2. No person shall engage in any prohibited activity within the curtilage of a courthouse.
- 25 3. No person shall engage in any prohibited activity within 25 feet from either side of, or in
26 front of, the intersection of a walkway and the public sidewalk or within 25 feet from
27 either side of, or in front of, a doorway to a courthouse.
- 28

4. No person shall obstruct, harass, impede, or interfere with persons entering or leaving a courthouse or with persons waiting in line to enter a courthouse.
5. No person shall approach within 8 feet of another person waiting in line to enter a courthouse, unless such other person consents, for the purpose of engaging in any prohibited activity.
6. No person shall engage in any prohibited activity in or near a courthouse with the intent to interfere with, obstruct, or impede the administration of justice or with the intent to influence any judge, juror, witness, or officer of the Court in the discharge of his or her duty.
7. No person shall use amplification equipment to engage in prohibited activity in a manner that harasses or interferes with persons inside a courthouse, with persons entering or leaving a courthouse, or with persons waiting in line to enter a courthouse.

C. Exclusions

1. This Order shall not apply to authorized court personnel or law enforcement officers in the performance of their official duties.
2. Sections I(B)(1), (2), and (3) shall not apply to persons engaged in the stationary solicitation of sales as part of any commercial, primarily non-expressive activity (including but not limited to the sale of newspapers, reading materials, sundries or food) expressly authorized by a written use permit, license, or agreement from the County or the Court or the Judicial Council or other owner of a building containing a courtroom authorizing that activity in a specific space not dedicated to court functions.

D. Severability Clause

If any provision of this Order or the application thereof to any person or circumstances is held invalid, the validity of the remainder of the Order and the application of such provision to other persons and circumstances shall not be affected thereby.

/ / /

/ / /

1 E. Delegation

2 To the extent the terms of this Order do not accomplish its stated purpose at a courthouse,
3 on delegation by the Presiding Judge, the Supervising Judge responsible for that courthouse
4 is hereby authorized to issue an order to accomplish the stated purpose of this Order.

5 II. **Compliance with Law Enforcement.** While on or in the premises of any courthouse, all persons
6 are ordered to comply with the lawful requests, directions and orders of any law enforcement
7 officers and their agents in the performance of their duties.

8 III. **Posting and Service of Order.** This Order shall be posted at each public entrance to a
9 courthouse and at such other places that will reasonably provide notice of this Order to persons
10 entering such courthouse. The Sheriff of Los Angeles County and his or her deputies and their
11 agents are directed to serve a copy of this Order personally on any person who appears to be in
12 violation thereof, to advise such person of the apparent violation, and, if the apparent violation
13 continues after such notice, to immediately notify the Court's Office of Public Safety, Court
14 Counsel, and/or Site or Supervising Judge responsible for that courthouse, so that the Court can
15 determine whether proceedings should be initiated to ensure compliance with this Order. This
16 Order shall not preclude any law enforcement officer from taking appropriate steps to ensure the
17 orderly and peaceable conduct of court business at a courthouse.

18 IV. **Penalties.** Violation of this Order may result in the imposition of sanctions in the amount of up
19 to \$1,500 per violation pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 177.5, and/or a finding of
20 contempt pursuant to sections 128 and 1209 of the Code of Civil Procedure, and/or prosecution
21 for criminal violations.

22 Effective immediately, this General Order is to remain in effect until otherwise ordered by the
23 Presiding Judge.

24 GOOD CAUSE APPEARING THEREFOR, IT IS SO ORDERED.

25
26
27 DATED: January 2, 2025



28

SERGIO C. TAPIA II
Presiding Judge

From: Jessica Anderson <janderson22@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, January 23, 2026 3:37 PM
To: ExecutiveOffice <ExecutiveOffice@bos.lacounty.gov>
Subject: Hold ICE accountable

I emlore you to make sure all law enforcement does their duties under Title 18, section 241 & 242 as well as the 4th amendment. We will remember your actions at the ballot box.

Thank you.