PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

Correspondence Received

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

			The following individuals submitted comments on agenda item:	
Agenda #	**Relate To**	**Position**	**Name**	**Comments**
Public Comment		**Favor**	Alemnesh Tasfayee	Very very good
			Mairead A Mac Mullan	We are supporting the Las Virgenes Federation appeals
			Melissa Whiting	See attached
			Van Whiting	See attached letter
		Oppose	Kristen D Kalski	I am urging rejection of Item 7A by Supervisor Horvath and Item 7B by Supervisor Barger. An emergency declaration should only be based on actual emergency occurrences and not normal winter weather!
		Other	ALISON H FAIRCHILD	AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq. ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD 1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD. PASADENA, CA 91106 Mobile: 206.471.1344 Mobile: 626.755.6442 aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com OF THEIR OWN COUNSEL 3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted Spirit of Esquire COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES BOARD OF SUPERVISORS PUBLIC BOARD MEETING _____ _____ AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD, Public Commenters, v. hilda solis, lindsey patrice horvath, holly j. mitchell, kathryn barger leibrich, janice hahn, Supervisor(s), AROGANT HOLLYWOOD & ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD'S PUBLIC

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

COMMENT FOR NOVEMBER 25, 2025

Date of Hearing: November 25, 2025
Time: 10:00 AM

PUBLIC COMMENT includes herein Exhibits 1-42 (approximately 3,300 pages) that must be made part of the November 18, 2025 Statement of Proceedings/Minutes pursuant to the California Government Code § 54950

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD & ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD'S PUBLIC COMMENT FOR NOVEMBER 25, 2025!

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD & ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD'S PUBLIC COMMENT FOR NOVEMBER 25, 2025, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES BOARD OF SUPERVISORS' PUBLIC BOARD MEETING!

The County of Los Angeles must immediately dismiss the frivolous workplace violence petition restraining order 25STRO03574. Attached hereto is a true and correct electronic portable document file copy of Exhibits 1-42, all inclusive. Exhibits 1-42 prove by clear and convincing evidence that Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles Stanley Mosk Superior Court civil law case 25STRO03574 has no merit because AROGANT HOLLYWOOD [hereinafter “KING AROGANT”] has not made any contact whatsoever with RACIST & LYING County of Los Angeles Deputy District Attorney Brian MARK Rosenberg (SBN # 291501) since KING AROGANT sent a single email to RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg in early November 2023. The evidence in Exhibits 1-42 clearly proves by clear and convincing evidence that KING AROGANT has never contacted Brian MARK Rosenberg by any means of communication since early November 13, 2023.

The County of Los Angeles will be held legally liable for its judicial officer, Timothy R. Martella, granting both a frivolous temporary restraining order on November 14, 2023, and then shortly thereafter a permanent restraining order for LYING & RACIST Brian

MARK Rosenberg (SBN # 291501) in frivolous and RACIST Superior Court of

California, County of Los Angeles, Pasadena Superior Court case PDRO001713 on December 20, 2023. Exhibit 7 proves by clear and

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

convincing evidence that pursuant to California Civil Code of Procedure § 527.6, RACIST Rosenberg's petition showed no course of conduct, and also the illegal petition showed that RACIST Rosenberg was never a victim of a credible threat of unlawful violence or a victim of unlawful violence. During the year 2024, the County of Los Angeles paid its employee Timothy R. Martella a grand total of $ 244,129.00.

County of Los Angeles and RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg fabricated lies in their 25STRO03574 workplace violence petition legal documents by lying to the Superior Court that RACIST Rosenberg's frivolous PDRO01713 civil harassment petition prevented KING AROGANT from going to RACIST BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG'S workplace located at 150 West Commonwealth Avenue, Alhambra, CA 91801, First Floor. THIS IS NOT AT ALL TRUE! See now Exhibit 7 at page 86. The Superior Court order stated that KING AROGANT must stay 100 yards away from RACIST BRIAN MARK Rosenberg and his vehicle. Nowhere on the frivolous Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Pasadena Superior Court, December 20, 2023, permanent injunction court order does it order KING AROGANT to stay 150 yards away from the job or workplace of RACIST BRIAN MARK Rosenberg! Pursuant to United States Supreme Court case Monell v. Department of Social Services, 436 U.S. 658, 98 S.Ct 2018, 56 L.Ed.2d 611 (1978) County of Los Angeles will be held liable for the reckless, fraudulent, and unconstitutional actions of its employees Timothy R. Martella

(paid $ 244,129 by the County of Los Angeles in 2024) and RACIST Brian MARK
Rosenberg (SBN 291501) (paid $ 310,478.00 in total pay and benefits by the County of Los Angeles during the year 2024).

County of Los Angeles and its corrupt Sheriff Robert G. Luna did not have any jurisdiction or legal authority to willfully, recklessly, knowingly, fraudulently, wantonly, deliberately, carelessly, and callously lock out a disabled woman named Alison Helen Fairchild out of her home located at 2308 Felicia Avenue, Rowland Heights, CA 91748 using an invalid, phony, bogus, and illicit Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, West Covina Superior Court writ of possession that did not bear her name and was attached to an illegal Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, West Covina Superior Court civil law case number 24WCUD02475, in which Alison Helen Fairchild was dismissed without prejudice by Plaintiff Chen Property RH LLC. Alison Helen Fairchild was dismissed from illegal Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, West Covina Superior Court civil law case 24WCUD02475 in December 2024, which was the same exact case number printed on the illegal 5-day-notice-to-vacate left on her home's front door by County of Los Angeles peace officers on or about June 8, 2025. The reckless actions of County of Los Angeles employee Leslie Bouvier Gutierrez

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

	(SBN # 276241) in conspiring with federal district court Defendants Robert G Luna, County of Los Angeles, Songfong Tommy Wang (SBN # 272409), Fae Chen, Orange Chen's Property LLC, Chen Property RH LLC, and DOES 1-10 was one of the main reasons why Alison Helen Fairchild was wrongfully evicted on or about June 15, 2025. County of Los Angeles judicial officer employee Leslie Bouvier Guitterez allowed Alison Helen Fairchild to be wrongfully evicted and fraudulently signed off on her co-conspirators' invalid writ of possession, even after KING AROGANT had submitted documents and told her on the record that Plaintiff Chen Property RH LLC had voluntarily dismissed Fairchild from Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, West Covina Superior Court civil case 24WCUD02475. Accordingly, Pursuant to United States Supreme Court case Monell v. Department of Social Services, 436 U.S. 658, 98 S.Ct 2018, 56 L.Ed.2d 611 (1978) County of Los Angeles will be held liable for the reckless, fraudulent, and unconstitutional actions of its employee, Leslie Bouvier Gutierrez (paid approximately $ 270,000 by the County of Los Angeles in 2024) The County of Los Angeles and its employee, Nathan Joseph Hochman (SBN # 139137), need to immediately focus on overturning and dismissing the wrongful conviction of KING AROGANT in the RACIST BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG-led criminal case GA114055. The County of Los Angeles should prepare to be blindsided by newly discovered evidence created by the work of a nationwide court reporting and litigation agency and through the work of a nationwide digital forensics agency. YOU FOOLS! County of Los Angeles, its employee Nathan Joseph Hochman (SBN # 139137), its employee Robert G. Luna, and its agency County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department Fraud & Cyber Crime Bureau's Southern California High Tech Task Force (public comment will be submitted to County of Ventura as well) and its employee Nathan Joseph Hochman (SBN # 139137) need to immediately focus on turning over and disclosing a copy of phony and bogus LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL01586, GL010587, & GL10588 that County of Los Angeles employees Michael Villalobos, RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg, and dirty Billy S. Khounthavong willfully, recklessly, knowingly, careles
Ann Dorsey	I urge you to use your position to help me call on Congress to do everything they can to protect voting rights by supporting and passing legislation that protects those rights, such as the John Lewis Voting Rights Advancement

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

Correspondence Received

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

	Act and blocking legislation that will make it difficult to vote such as the SAVE Act. It is imperative that this be made a top priority because the U.S. Supreme Court is poised to rehear Louisiana v. Callais and could nullify Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act (VRA) — the last major safeguard against racially discriminatory political maps. A new analysis from Fair Fight Action and Black Voters Matter lays bare what's at stake: Gutting Section 2 could lock in 27 more safe Republican U.S. House seats, with at least 19 directly tied to the loss of its protections. Up to 30% of the Congressional Black Caucus and 11% of the Congressional Hispanic Caucus could lose their seats. The ripple effects would reach every level of government, from city councils to school boards, transforming local democracy into a partisan machine. If Section 2 falls, Republicans' long-term gerrymandering strategy will cement single-party control for a generation ending free and fair elections. Electoral contests would persist in form but not in substance; citizens could still vote, but their votes would no longer determine power. Thank you for taking action. Please keep fighting to protect our democracy.
AROGANT HOLLYWOOD	AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq. ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD 1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD. PASADENA, CA 91106 Mobile: 206.471.1344 Mobile: 626.755.6442 aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com OF THEIR OWN COUNSEL 3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted Spirit of Esquire COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES BOARD OF SUPERVISORS PUBLIC BOARD MEETING _____ _____ AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD, Public Commenters, v. hilda solis, lindsey patrice horvath,

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

holly j. mitchell,
kathryn barger leibrich,
janice hahn,

Supervisor(s),
AROGANT HOLLYWOOD & ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD'S PUBLIC COMMENT FOR NOVEMBER 25, 2025

Date of Hearing: November 25, 2025
Time: 10:00 AM

PUBLIC COMMENT includes herein Exhibits 1-42 (approximately 3,300 pages) that must be made part of the November 18, 2025 Statement of Proceedings/Minutes pursuant to the California Government Code § 54950

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD & ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD'S PUBLIC COMMENT FOR NOVEMBER 25, 2025!

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD & ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD'S PUBLIC COMMENT FOR NOVEMBER 25, 2025, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES BOARD OF SUPERVISORS' PUBLIC BOARD MEETING!

The County of Los Angeles must immediately dismiss the frivolous workplace violence petition restraining order 25STRO03574. Attached hereto is a true and correct electronic portable document file copy of Exhibits 1-42, all inclusive. Exhibits 1-42 prove by clear and convincing evidence that Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles Stanley Mosk Superior Court civil law case 25STRO03574 has no merit because AROGANT HOLLYWOOD [hereinafter "KING AROGANT"] has not made any contact whatsoever with RACIST & LYING County of Los Angeles Deputy District Attorney Brian MARK Rosenberg (SBN # 291501) since KING AROGANT sent a single email to RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg in early November 2023. The evidence in Exhibits 1-42 clearly proves by clear and convincing evidence that KING AROGANT has never contacted Brian MARK Rosenberg by any means of communication since early November 13, 2023.

The County of Los Angeles will be held legally liable for its judicial officer, Timothy R. Martella, granting both a frivolous temporary restraining order on November 14, 2023, and then shortly thereafter a permanent restraining order for LYING & RACIST Brian

MARK Rosenberg (SBN # 291501) in frivolous and RACIST Superior Court of

California, County of Los Angeles, Pasadena Superior Court case PDRO001713 on December 20, 2023. Exhibit 7 proves by clear and convincing evidence that pursuant to California Civil Code of Procedure § 527.6, RACIST Rosenberg's petition showed no course of conduct, and also the illegal petition showed that RACIST Rosenberg was never a victim of a credible threat of unlawful violence or a victim of unlawful violence. During the year 2024, the County of Los Angeles paid its employee Timothy R. Martella a grand total of $ 244,129.00.

County of Los Angeles and RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg fabricated lies in their 25STRO03574 workplace violence petition legal documents by lying to the Superior Court that RACIST Rosenberg's frivolous PDRO01713 civil harassment petition prevented KING AROGANT from going to RACIST BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG'S workplace located at 150 West Commonwealth Avenue, Alhambra, CA 91801, First Floor. THIS IS NOT AT ALL TRUE! See now Exhibit 7 at page 86. The Superior Court order stated that KING AROGANT must stay 100 yards away from RACIST BRIAN MARK Rosenberg and his vehicle. Nowhere on the frivolous Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Pasadena Superior Court, December 20, 2023, permanent injunction court order does it order KING AROGANT to stay 150 yards away from the job or workplace of RACIST BRIAN MARK Rosenberg! Pursuant to United States Supreme Court case Monell v. Department of Social Services, 436 U.S. 658, 98 S.Ct 2018, 56 L.Ed.2d 611 (1978) County of Los Angeles will be held liable for the reckless, fraudulent, and unconstitutional actions of its employees Timothy R. Martella

(paid $ 244,129 by the County of Los Angeles in 2024) and RACIST Brian MARK
Rosenberg (SBN 291501) (paid $ 310,478.00 in total pay and benefits by the County of Los Angeles during the year 2024).

County of Los Angeles and its corrupt Sheriff Robert G. Luna did not have any jurisdiction or legal authority to willfully, recklessly, knowingly, fraudulently, wantonly, deliberately, carelessly, and callously lock out a disabled woman named Alison Helen Fairchild out of her home located at 2308 Felicia Avenue, Rowland Heights, CA 91748 using an invalid, phony, bogus, and illicit Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, West Covina Superior Court writ of possession that did not bear her name and was attached to an illegal Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, West Covina Superior Court civil law case number 24WCUD02475, in which

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

Alison Helen Fairchild was dismissed without prejudice by Plaintiff Chen Property RH LLC. Alison Helen Fairchild was dismissed from illegal Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, West Covina Superior Court civil law case 24WCUD02475 in December 2024, which was the same exact case number printed on the illegal 5-day-notice-to-vacate left on her home's front door by County of Los Angeles peace officers on or about June 8, 2025. The reckless actions of County of Los Angeles employee Leslie Bouvier Gutierrez (SBN # 276241) in conspiring with federal district court Defendants Robert G Luna, County of Los Angeles, Songfong Tommy Wang (SBN # 272409), Fae Chen, Orange Chen's Property LLC, Chen Property RH LLC, and DOES 1-10 was one of the main reasons why Alison Helen Fairchild was wrongfully evicted on or about June 15, 2025. County of Los Angeles judicial officer employee Leslie Bouvier Guitterez allowed

Alison Helen Fairchild to be wrongfully evicted and fraudulently signed off on her co-conspirators' invalid writ of possession, even after KING AROGANT had submitted documents and told her on the record that Plaintiff Chen Property RH LLC had voluntarily dismissed Fairchild from Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, West Covina Superior Court civil case 24WCUD02475. Accordingly, Pursuant to United States Supreme Court case Monell v. Department of Social Services, 436 U.S. 658, 98 S.Ct 2018, 56 L.Ed.2d 611 (1978) County of Los Angeles will be held liable for the reckless, fraudulent, and unconstitutional actions of its employee, Leslie Bouvier Gutierrez (paid approximately $ 270,000 by the County of Los Angeles in 2024)

The County of Los Angeles and its employee, Nathan Joseph Hochman (SBN # 139137), need to immediately focus on overturning and dismissing the wrongful conviction of KING AROGANT in the RACIST BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG-led criminal case GA114055. The County of Los Angeles should prepare to be blindsided by newly discovered evidence created by the work of a nationwide court reporting and litigation agency and through the work of a nationwide digital forensics agency. YOU FOOLS!

County of Los Angeles, its employee Nathan Joseph Hochman (SBN # 139137), its employee Robert G. Luna, and its agency County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department Fraud & Cyber Crime Bureau's Southern California High Tech Task Force (public comment will be submitted to County of Ventura as well) and its employee Nathan Joseph Hochman (SBN # 139137) need to immediately focus on turning over and

disclosing a copy of phony and bogus LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL01586, GL010587, & GL10588 that County of Los Angeles employees

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

	Michael Villalobos, RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg, and dirty Billy S. Khounthavong willfully, recklessly, knowingly, careles
AROGANT HOLLYWOOD	AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq. ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD 1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD. PASADENA, CA 91106 Mobile: 206.471.1344 Mobile: 626.755.6442 aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com OF THEIR OWN COUNSEL 3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted Spirit of Esquire COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES BOARD OF SUPERVISORS PUBLIC BOARD MEETING _____ AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD, Public Commenters, v. hilda solis, lindsey patrice horvath, holly j. mitchell, kathryn barger leibrich, janice hahn, Supervisor(s), AROGANT HOLLYWOOD & ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD'S PUBLIC COMMENT FOR NOVEMBER 25, 2025 Date of Hearing: November 25, 2025 Time: 10:00 AM PUBLIC COMMENT includes herein Exhibits 1-42 (approximately 3,300 pages) that must be made part of the November 18, 2025 Statement of Proceedings/Minutes pursuant to the California Government Code § 54950

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

Correspondence Received

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD & ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD'S PUBLIC COMMENT FOR NOVEMBER 25, 2025!

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD & ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD'S PUBLIC COMMENT FOR NOVEMBER 25, 2025, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES BOARD OF SUPERVISORS' PUBLIC BOARD MEETING!

The County of Los Angeles must immediately dismiss the frivolous workplace violence petition restraining order 25STRO03574. Attached hereto is a true and correct electronic portable document file copy of Exhibits 1-42, all inclusive. Exhibits 1-42 prove by clear and convincing evidence that Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles Stanley Mosk Superior Court civil law case 25STRO03574 has no merit because AROGANT HOLLYWOOD [hereinafter “KING AROGANT”] has not made any contact whatsoever with RACIST & LYING County of Los Angeles Deputy District Attorney Brian MARK Rosenberg (SBN # 291501) since KING AROGANT sent a single email to RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg in early November 2023. The evidence in Exhibits 1-42 clearly proves by clear and convincing evidence that KING AROGANT has never contacted Brian MARK Rosenberg by any means of communication since early November 13, 2023.

The County of Los Angeles will be held legally liable for its judicial officer, Timothy R. Martella, granting both a frivolous temporary restraining order on November 14, 2023, and then shortly thereafter a permanent restraining order for LYING & RACIST Brian

MARK Rosenberg (SBN # 291501) in frivolous and RACIST Superior Court of

California, County of Los Angeles, Pasadena Superior Court case PDRO001713 on December 20, 2023. Exhibit 7 proves by clear and convincing evidence that pursuant to California Civil Code of Procedure § 527.6, RACIST Rosenberg’s petition showed no course of conduct, and also the illegal petition showed that RACIST Rosenberg was never a victim of a credible threat of unlawful violence or a victim of unlawful violence. During the year 2024, the County of Los Angeles paid its employee Timothy R. Martella a grand total of $ 244,129.00.

County of Los Angeles and RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg fabricated lies in their 25STRO03574 workplace violence petition legal documents by lying to the Superior Court that RACIST Rosenberg’s frivolous PDRO01713 civil harassment petition prevented KING AROGANT from going to RACIST BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG’S workplace located at 150 West

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

Commonwealth Avenue, Alhambra, CA 91801, First Floor. THIS IS NOT AT ALL TRUE! See now Exhibit 7 at page 86. The Superior Court order stated that KING AROGANT must stay 100 yards away from RACIST BRIAN MARK Rosenberg and his vehicle. Nowhere on the frivolous Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Pasadena Superior Court, December 20, 2023, permanent injunction court order does it order KING AROGANT to stay 150 yards away from the job or workplace of RACIST BRIAN MARK Rosenberg! Pursuant to United States Supreme Court case Monell v. Department of Social Services, 436 U.S. 658, 98 S.Ct 2018, 56 L.Ed.2d 611 (1978) County of Los Angeles will be held liable for the reckless, fraudulent, and unconstitutional actions of its employees Timothy R. Martella

(paid $ 244,129 by the County of Los Angeles in 2024) and RACIST Brian MARK
Rosenberg (SBN 291501) (paid $ 310,478.00 in total pay and benefits by the County of Los Angeles during the year 2024).

County of Los Angeles and its corrupt Sheriff Robert G. Luna did not have any jurisdiction or legal authority to willfully, recklessly, knowingly, fraudulently, wantonly, deliberately, carelessly, and callously lock out a disabled woman named Alison Helen Fairchild out of her home located at 2308 Felicia Avenue, Rowland Heights, CA 91748 using an invalid, phony, bogus, and illicit Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, West Covina Superior Court writ of possession that did not bear her name and was attached to an illegal Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, West Covina Superior Court civil law case number 24WCUD02475, in which Alison Helen Fairchild was dismissed without prejudice by Plaintiff Chen Property RH LLC. Alison Helen Fairchild was dismissed from illegal Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, West Covina Superior Court civil law case 24WCUD02475 in December 2024, which was the same exact case number printed on the illegal 5-day-notice-to-vacate left on her home's front door by County of Los Angeles peace officers on or about June 8, 2025. The reckless actions of County of Los Angeles employee Leslie Bouvier Gutierrez (SBN # 276241) in conspiring with federal district court Defendants Robert G Luna, County of Los Angeles, Songfong Tommy Wang (SBN # 272409), Fae Chen, Orange Chen's Property LLC, Chen Property RH LLC, and DOES 1-10 was one of the main reasons why Alison Helen Fairchild was wrongfully evicted on or about June 15, 2025. County of Los Angeles judicial officer employee Leslie Bouvier Guitterez allowed

Alison Helen Fairchild to be wrongfully evicted and fraudulently signed off on her co-conspirators' invalid writ of possession, even after KING AROGANT

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

Correspondence Received

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

	had submitted documents and told her on the record that Plaintiff Chen Property RH LLC had voluntarily dismissed Fairchild from Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, West Covina Superior Court civil case 24WCUD02475. Accordingly, Pursuant to United States Supreme Court case Monell v. Department of Social Services, 436 U.S. 658, 98 S.Ct 2018, 56 L.Ed.2d 611 (1978) County of Los Angeles will be held liable for the reckless, fraudulent, and unconstitutional actions of its employee, Leslie Bouvier Gutierrez (paid approximately $ 270,000 by the County of Los Angeles in 2024) The County of Los Angeles and its employee, Nathan Joseph Hochman (SBN # 139137), need to immediately focus on overturning and dismissing the wrongful conviction of KING AROGANT in the RACIST BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG-led criminal case GA114055. The County of Los Angeles should prepare to be blindsided by newly discovered evidence created by the work of a nationwide court reporting and litigation agency and through the work of a nationwide digital forensics agency. YOU FOOLS! County of Los Angeles, its employee Nathan Joseph Hochman (SBN # 139137), its employee Robert G. Luna, and its agency County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department Fraud & Cyber Crime Bureau's Southern California High Tech Task Force (public comment will be submitted to County of Ventura as well) and its employee Nathan Joseph Hochman (SBN # 139137) need to immediately focus on turning over and disclosing a copy of phony and bogus LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL01586, GL010587, & GL10588 that County of Los Angeles employees Michael Villalobos, RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg, and dirty Billy S. Khounthavong willfully, recklessly, knowingly, careles
AROGANT HOLLYWOOD	AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq. ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD 1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD. PASADENA, CA 91106 Mobile: 206.471.1344 Mobile: 626.755.6442 aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com OF THEIR OWN COUNSEL 3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted Spirit of Esquire COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

Correspondence Received

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS PUBLIC BOARD MEETING

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD,
ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD,
Public Commenters,
v.

hilda solis,
lindsey patrice horvath,
holly j. mitchell,
kathryn barger leibrich,
janice hahn,

Supervisor(s),
AROGANT HOLLYWOOD & ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD'S PUBLIC COMMENT FOR NOVEMBER 25, 2025

Date of Hearing: November 25, 2025
Time: 10:00 AM

PUBLIC COMMENT includes herein Exhibits 1-42 (approximately 3,300 pages) that must be made part of the November 18, 2025 Statement of Proceedings/Minutes pursuant to the California Government Code § 54950

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD & ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD'S PUBLIC COMMENT FOR NOVEMBER 25, 2025!

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD & ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD'S PUBLIC COMMENT FOR NOVEMBER 25, 2025, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES BOARD OF SUPERVISORS' PUBLIC BOARD MEETING!

The County of Los Angeles must immediately dismiss the frivolous workplace violence petition restraining order 25STRO03574. Attached hereto is a true and correct electronic portable document file copy of Exhibits 1-42, all inclusive. Exhibits 1-42 prove by clear and convincing evidence that Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles Stanley Mosk Superior Court civil law case 25STRO03574 has no merit because AROGANT HOLLYWOOD [hereinafter "KING AROGANT"] has not made any contact whatsoever with RACIST & LYING County of Los Angeles Deputy District Attorney Brian MARK Rosenberg (SBN # 291501) since KING AROGANT

sent a single email to RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg in early November 2023. The evidence in Exhibits 1-42 clearly proves by clear and convincing evidence that KING AROGANT has never contacted Brian MARK Rosenberg by any means of communication since early November 13, 2023.

The County of Los Angeles will be held legally liable for its judicial officer, Timothy R. Martella, granting both a frivolous temporary restraining order on November 14, 2023, and then shortly thereafter a permanent restraining order for LYING & RACIST Brian

MARK Rosenberg (SBN # 291501) in frivolous and RACIST Superior Court of

California, County of Los Angeles, Pasadena Superior Court case PDRO001713 on December 20, 2023. Exhibit 7 proves by clear and convincing evidence that pursuant to California Civil Code of Procedure § 527.6, RACIST Rosenberg's petition showed no course of conduct, and also the illegal petition showed that RACIST Rosenberg was never a victim of a credible threat of unlawful violence or a victim of unlawful violence. During the year 2024, the County of Los Angeles paid its employee Timothy R. Martella a grand total of $ 244,129.00.

County of Los Angeles and RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg fabricated lies in their 25STRO03574 workplace violence petition legal documents by lying to the Superior Court that RACIST Rosenberg's frivolous PDRO01713 civil harassment petition prevented KING AROGANT from going to RACIST BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG'S workplace located at 150 West Commonwealth Avenue, Alhambra, CA 91801, First Floor. THIS IS NOT AT ALL TRUE! See now Exhibit 7 at page 86. The Superior Court order stated that KING AROGANT must stay 100 yards away from RACIST BRIAN MARK Rosenberg and his vehicle. Nowhere on the frivolous Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Pasadena Superior Court, December 20, 2023, permanent injunction court order does it order KING AROGANT to stay 150 yards away from the job or workplace of RACIST BRIAN MARK Rosenberg! Pursuant to United States Supreme Court case Monell v. Department of Social Services, 436 U.S. 658, 98 S.Ct 2018, 56 L.Ed.2d 611 (1978) County of Los Angeles will be held liable for the reckless, fraudulent, and unconstitutional actions of its employees Timothy R. Martella

(paid $ 244,129 by the County of Los Angeles in 2024) and RACIST Brian MARK
Rosenberg (SBN 291501) (paid $ 310,478.00 in total pay and benefits by the

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

County of Los Angeles during the year 2024).

County of Los Angeles and its corrupt Sheriff Robert G. Luna did not have any jurisdiction or legal authority to willfully, recklessly, knowingly, fraudulently, wantonly, deliberately, carelessly, and callously lock out a disabled woman named Alison Helen Fairchild out of her home located at 2308 Felicia Avenue, Rowland Heights, CA 91748 using an invalid, phony, bogus, and illicit Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, West Covina Superior Court writ of possession that did not bear her name and was attached to an illegal Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, West Covina Superior Court civil law case number 24WCUD02475, in which Alison Helen Fairchild was dismissed without prejudice by Plaintiff Chen Property RH LLC. Alison Helen Fairchild was dismissed from illegal Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, West Covina Superior Court civil law case 24WCUD02475 in December 2024, which was the same exact case number printed on the illegal 5-day-notice-to-vacate left on her home's front door by County of Los Angeles peace officers on or about June 8, 2025. The reckless actions of County of Los Angeles employee Leslie Bouvier Gutierrez (SBN # 276241) in conspiring with federal district court Defendants Robert G Luna, County of Los Angeles, Songfong Tommy Wang (SBN # 272409), Fae Chen, Orange Chen's Property LLC, Chen Property RH LLC, and DOES 1-10 was one of the main reasons why Alison Helen Fairchild was wrongfully evicted on or about June 15, 2025. County of Los Angeles judicial officer employee Leslie Bouvier Guitterez allowed

Alison Helen Fairchild to be wrongfully evicted and fraudulently signed off on her co-conspirators' invalid writ of possession, even after KING AROGANT had submitted documents and told her on the record that Plaintiff Chen Property RH LLC had voluntarily dismissed Fairchild from Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, West Covina Superior Court civil case 24WCUD02475. Accordingly, Pursuant to United States Supreme Court case Monell v. Department of Social Services, 436 U.S. 658, 98 S.Ct 2018, 56 L.Ed.2d 611 (1978) County of Los Angeles will be held liable for the reckless, fraudulent, and unconstitutional actions of its employee, Leslie Bouvier Gutierrez (paid approximately $ 270,000 by the County of Los Angeles in 2024)

The County of Los Angeles and its employee, Nathan Joseph Hochman (SBN # 139137), need to immediately focus on overturning and dismissing the wrongful conviction of KING AROGANT in the RACIST BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG-led criminal case GA114055. The County of Los Angeles should prepare to be blindsided by newly discovered evidence created by the work of a nationwide court reporting and litigation agency and through the work of a nationwide digital forensics agency. YOU FOOLS!

County of Los Angeles, its employee Nathan Joseph Hochman (SBN #

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

Correspondence Received

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

	139137), its employee Robert G. Luna, and its agency County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department Fraud & Cyber Crime Bureau's Southern California High Tech Task Force (public comment will be submitted to County of Ventura as well) and its employee Nathan Joseph Hochman (SBN # 139137) need to immediately focus on turning over and disclosing a copy of phony and bogus LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL01586, GL010587, & GL10588 that County of Los Angeles employees Michael Villalobos, RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg, and dirty Billy S. Khounthavong willfully, recklessly, knowingly, careles
AROGANT HOLLYWOOD	AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq. ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD 1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD. PASADENA, CA 91106 Mobile: 206.471.1344 Mobile: 626.755.6442 aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com OF THEIR OWN COUNSEL 3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted Spirit of Esquire COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES BOARD OF SUPERVISORS PUBLIC BOARD MEETING _____ AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD, Public Commenters, v. hilda solis, lindsey patrice horvath, holly j. mitchell, kathryn barger leibrich, janice hahn, Supervisor(s), AROGANT HOLLYWOOD & ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD'S PUBLIC COMMENT FOR NOVEMBER 25, 2025

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

Correspondence Received

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Date of Hearing: November 25, 2025
Time: 10:00 AM

PUBLIC COMMENT includes herein Exhibits 1-42 (approximately 3,300 pages) that must be made part of the November 18, 2025 Statement of Proceedings/Minutes pursuant to the California Government Code § 54950

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD & ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD'S PUBLIC COMMENT FOR NOVEMBER 25, 2025!

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD & ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD'S PUBLIC COMMENT FOR NOVEMBER 25, 2025, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES BOARD OF SUPERVISORS' PUBLIC BOARD MEETING!

The County of Los Angeles must immediately dismiss the frivolous workplace violence petition restraining order 25STRO03574. Attached hereto is a true and correct electronic portable document file copy of Exhibits 1-42, all inclusive. Exhibits 1-42 prove by clear and convincing evidence that Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles Stanley Mosk Superior Court civil law case 25STRO03574 has no merit because AROGANT HOLLYWOOD [hereinafter “KING AROGANT”] has not made any contact whatsoever with RACIST & LYING County of Los Angeles Deputy District Attorney Brian MARK Rosenberg (SBN # 291501) since KING AROGANT sent a single email to RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg in early November 2023. The evidence in Exhibits 1-42 clearly proves by clear and convincing evidence that KING AROGANT has never contacted Brian MARK Rosenberg by any means of communication since early November 13, 2023.

The County of Los Angeles will be held legally liable for its judicial officer, Timothy R. Martella, granting both a frivolous temporary restraining order on November 14, 2023, and then shortly thereafter a permanent restraining order for LYING & RACIST Brian

MARK Rosenberg (SBN # 291501) in frivolous and RACIST Superior Court of

California, County of Los Angeles, Pasadena Superior Court case PDRO001713 on December 20, 2023. Exhibit 7 proves by clear and convincing evidence that pursuant to California Civil Code of Procedure §

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

527.6, RACIST Rosenberg's petition showed no course of conduct, and also the illegal petition showed that RACIST Rosenberg was never a victim of a credible threat of unlawful violence or a victim of unlawful violence. During the year 2024, the County of Los Angeles paid its employee Timothy R. Martella a grand total of $ 244,129.00.

County of Los Angeles and RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg fabricated lies in their 25STRO03574 workplace violence petition legal documents by lying to the Superior Court that RACIST Rosenberg's frivolous PDRO01713 civil harassment petition prevented KING AROGANT from going to RACIST BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG'S workplace located at 150 West Commonwealth Avenue, Alhambra, CA 91801, First Floor. THIS IS NOT AT ALL TRUE! See now Exhibit 7 at page 86. The Superior Court order stated that KING AROGANT must stay 100 yards away from RACIST BRIAN MARK Rosenberg and his vehicle. Nowhere on the frivolous Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Pasadena Superior Court, December 20, 2023, permanent injunction court order does it order KING AROGANT to stay 150 yards away from the job or workplace of RACIST BRIAN MARK Rosenberg! Pursuant to United States Supreme Court case Monell v. Department of Social Services, 436 U.S. 658, 98 S.Ct 2018, 56 L.Ed.2d 611 (1978) County of Los Angeles will be held liable for the reckless, fraudulent, and unconstitutional actions of its employees Timothy R. Martella

(paid $ 244,129 by the County of Los Angeles in 2024) and RACIST Brian MARK
Rosenberg (SBN 291501) (paid $ 310,478.00 in total pay and benefits by the County of Los Angeles during the year 2024).

County of Los Angeles and its corrupt Sheriff Robert G. Luna did not have any jurisdiction or legal authority to willfully, recklessly, knowingly, fraudulently, wantonly, deliberately, carelessly, and callously lock out a disabled woman named Alison Helen Fairchild out of her home located at 2308 Felicia Avenue, Rowland Heights, CA 91748 using an invalid, phony, bogus, and illicit Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, West Covina Superior Court writ of possession that did not bear her name and was attached to an illegal Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, West Covina Superior Court civil law case number 24WCUD02475, in which Alison Helen Fairchild was dismissed without prejudice by Plaintiff Chen Property RH LLC. Alison Helen Fairchild was dismissed from illegal Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, West Covina Superior Court civil law case 24WCUD02475 in December 2024, which was the same exact case number printed on the illegal 5-day-notice-to-vacate left on her home's front door by County of Los Angeles peace officers on or about June 8, 2025. The reckless actions of County of Los Angeles employee Leslie Bouvier Gutierrez (SBN # 276241) in conspiring with federal district court Defendants Robert G

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

	Luna, County of Los Angeles, Songfong Tommy Wang (SBN # 272409), Fae Chen, Orange Chen's Property LLC, Chen Property RH LLC, and DOES 1-10 was one of the main reasons why Alison Helen Fairchild was wrongfully evicted on or about June 15, 2025. County of Los Angeles judicial officer employee Leslie Bouvier Guitterez allowed Alison Helen Fairchild to be wrongfully evicted and fraudulently signed off on her co-conspirators' invalid writ of possession, even after KING AROGANT had submitted documents and told her on the record that Plaintiff Chen Property RH LLC had voluntarily dismissed Fairchild from Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, West Covina Superior Court civil case 24WCUD02475. Accordingly, Pursuant to United States Supreme Court case Monell v. Department of Social Services, 436 U.S. 658, 98 S.Ct 2018, 56 L.Ed.2d 611 (1978) County of Los Angeles will be held liable for the reckless, fraudulent, and unconstitutional actions of its employee, Leslie Bouvier Gutierrez (paid approximately $ 270,000 by the County of Los Angeles in 2024) The County of Los Angeles and its employee, Nathan Joseph Hochman (SBN # 139137), need to immediately focus on overturning and dismissing the wrongful conviction of KING AROGANT in the RACIST BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG-led criminal case GA114055. The County of Los Angeles should prepare to be blindsided by newly discovered evidence created by the work of a nationwide court reporting and litigation agency and through the work of a nationwide digital forensics agency. YOU FOOLS! County of Los Angeles, its employee Nathan Joseph Hochman (SBN # 139137), its employee Robert G. Luna, and its agency County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department Fraud & Cyber Crime Bureau's Southern California High Tech Task Force (public comment will be submitted to County of Ventura as well) and its employee Nathan Joseph Hochman (SBN # 139137) need to immediately focus on turning over and disclosing a copy of phony and bogus LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL01586, GL010587, & GL10588 that County of Los Angeles employees Michael Villalobos, RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg, and dirty Billy S. Khounthavong willfully, recklessly, knowingly, careles
AROGANT HOLLYWOOD	AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq. ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD 1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD. PASADENA, CA 91106 Mobile: 206.471.1344

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

Mobile: 626.755.6442
aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com
OF THEIR OWN COUNSEL
3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted
Spirit of Esquire

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS PUBLIC BOARD MEETING

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD,
ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD,
Public Commenters,
v.

hilda solis,
lindsey patrice horvath,
holly j. mitchell,
kathryn barger leibrich,
janice hahn,

Supervisor(s),

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD & ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD'S PUBLIC COMMENT FOR NOVEMBER 25, 2025

Date of Hearing: November 25, 2025
Time: 10:00 AM

PUBLIC COMMENT includes herein Exhibits 1-42 (approximately 3,300 pages) that must be made part of the November 18, 2025 Statement of Proceedings/Minutes pursuant to the California Government Code § 54950

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD & ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD'S PUBLIC COMMENT FOR NOVEMBER 25, 2025!

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD & ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD'S PUBLIC COMMENT FOR NOVEMBER 25, 2025, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS' PUBLIC BOARD MEETING!

The County of Los Angeles must immediately dismiss the frivolous workplace violence petition restraining order 25STRO03574. Attached hereto is a true and correct electronic portable document file copy of Exhibits 1-42, all inclusive. Exhibits 1-42 prove by clear and convincing evidence that Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles Stanley Mosk Superior Court civil law case 25STRO03574 has no merit because AROGANT HOLLYWOOD [hereinafter "KING AROGANT"] has not made any contact whatsoever with RACIST & LYING County of Los Angeles Deputy District Attorney Brian MARK Rosenberg (SBN # 291501) since KING AROGANT sent a single email to RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg in early November 2023. The evidence in Exhibits 1-42 clearly proves by clear and convincing evidence that KING AROGANT has never contacted Brian MARK Rosenberg by any means of communication since early November 13, 2023.

The County of Los Angeles will be held legally liable for its judicial officer, Timothy R. Martella, granting both a frivolous temporary restraining order on November 14, 2023, and then shortly thereafter a permanent restraining order for LYING & RACIST Brian

MARK Rosenberg (SBN # 291501) in frivolous and RACIST Superior Court of

California, County of Los Angeles, Pasadena Superior Court case PDRO001713 on December 20, 2023. Exhibit 7 proves by clear and convincing evidence that pursuant to California Civil Code of Procedure § 527.6, RACIST Rosenberg's petition showed no course of conduct, and also the illegal petition showed that RACIST Rosenberg was never a victim of a credible threat of unlawful violence or a victim of unlawful violence. During the year 2024, the County of Los Angeles paid its employee Timothy R. Martella a grand total of $ 244,129.00.

County of Los Angeles and RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg fabricated lies in their 25STRO03574 workplace violence petition legal documents by lying to the Superior Court that RACIST Rosenberg's frivolous PDRO01713 civil harassment petition prevented KING AROGANT from going to RACIST BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG'S workplace located at 150 West Commonwealth Avenue, Alhambra, CA 91801, First Floor. THIS IS NOT AT ALL TRUE! See now Exhibit 7 at page 86. The Superior Court order stated that KING AROGANT must stay 100 yards away from RACIST BRIAN MARK Rosenberg and his vehicle. Nowhere on the frivolous Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Pasadena Superior Court, December 20, 2023, permanent injunction court order does it order KING AROGANT to stay 150 yards away from the job or workplace of RACIST BRIAN MARK Rosenberg! Pursuant to United States Supreme Court case Monell v.

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

Department of Social Services, 436 U.S. 658, 98 S.Ct 2018, 56 L.Ed.2d 611 (1978) County of Los Angeles will be held liable for the reckless, fraudulent, and unconstitutional actions of its employees Timothy R. Martella

(paid $ 244,129 by the County of Los Angeles in 2024) and RACIST Brian MARK
Rosenberg (SBN 291501) (paid $ 310,478.00 in total pay and benefits by the County of Los Angeles during the year 2024).

County of Los Angeles and its corrupt Sheriff Robert G. Luna did not have any jurisdiction or legal authority to willfully, recklessly, knowingly, fraudulently, wantonly, deliberately, carelessly, and callously lock out a disabled woman named Alison Helen Fairchild out of her home located at 2308 Felicia Avenue, Rowland Heights, CA 91748 using an invalid, phony, bogus, and illicit Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, West Covina Superior Court writ of possession that did not bear her name and was attached to an illegal Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, West Covina Superior Court civil law case number 24WCUD02475, in which Alison Helen Fairchild was dismissed without prejudice by Plaintiff Chen Property RH LLC. Alison Helen Fairchild was dismissed from illegal Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, West Covina Superior Court civil law case 24WCUD02475 in December 2024, which was the same exact case number printed on the illegal 5-day-notice-to-vacate left on her home's front door by County of Los Angeles peace officers on or about June 8, 2025. The reckless actions of County of Los Angeles employee Leslie Bouvier Gutierrez (SBN # 276241) in conspiring with federal district court Defendants Robert G Luna, County of Los Angeles, Songfong Tommy Wang (SBN # 272409), Fae Chen, Orange Chen's Property LLC, Chen Property RH LLC, and DOES 1-10 was one of the main reasons why Alison Helen Fairchild was wrongfully evicted on or about June 15, 2025. County of Los Angeles judicial officer employee Leslie Bouvier Guitterez allowed

Alison Helen Fairchild to be wrongfully evicted and fraudulently signed off on her co-conspirators' invalid writ of possession, even after KING AROGANT had submitted documents and told her on the record that Plaintiff Chen Property RH LLC had voluntarily dismissed Fairchild from Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, West Covina Superior Court civil case 24WCUD02475. Accordingly, Pursuant to United States Supreme Court case Monell v. Department of Social Services, 436 U.S. 658, 98 S.Ct 2018, 56 L.Ed.2d 611 (1978) County of Los Angeles will be held liable for the reckless, fraudulent, and unconstitutional actions of its employee, Leslie Bouvier Gutierrez (paid approximately $ 270,000 by the County of Los Angeles in

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

Correspondence Received

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

				2024) The County of Los Angeles and its employee, Nathan Joseph Hochman (SBN # 139137), need to immediately focus on overturning and dismissing the wrongful conviction of KING AROGANT in the RACIST BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG-led criminal case GA114055. The County of Los Angeles should prepare to be blindsided by newly discovered evidence created by the work of a nationwide court reporting and litigation agency and through the work of a nationwide digital forensics agency. YOU FOOLS! County of Los Angeles, its employee Nathan Joseph Hochman (SBN # 139137), its employee Robert G. Luna, and its agency County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department Fraud & Cyber Crime Bureau's Southern California High Tech Task Force (public comment will be submitted to County of Ventura as well) and its employee Nathan Joseph Hochman (SBN # 139137) need to immediately focus on turning over and disclosing a copy of phony and bogus LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL01586, GL010587, & GL10588 that County of Los Angeles employees Michael Villalobos, RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg, and dirty Billy S. Khounthavong willfully, recklessly, knowingly, careles
			AROGANT HOLLYWOOD	AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq. ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD 1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD. PASADENA, CA 91106 Mobile: 206.471.1344 Mobile: 626.755.6442 aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com OF THEIR OWN COUNSEL 3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted Spirit of Esquire COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES BOARD OF SUPERVISORS PUBLIC BOARD MEETING _____ _____ AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD, Public Commenters, v.

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

Correspondence Received

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

hilda solis,
lindsey patrice horvath,
holly j. mitchell,
kathryn barger leibrich,
janice hahn,

Supervisor(s),
AROGANT HOLLYWOOD & ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD'S PUBLIC COMMENT FOR NOVEMBER 25, 2025

Date of Hearing: November 25, 2025
Time: 10:00 AM

PUBLIC COMMENT includes herein Exhibits 1-42 (approximately 3,300 pages) that must be made part of the November 18, 2025 Statement of Proceedings/Minutes pursuant to the California Government Code § 54950

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD & ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD'S PUBLIC COMMENT FOR NOVEMBER 25, 2025!

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD & ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD'S PUBLIC COMMENT FOR NOVEMBER 25, 2025, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES BOARD OF SUPERVISORS' PUBLIC BOARD MEETING!

The County of Los Angeles must immediately dismiss the frivolous workplace violence petition restraining order 25STRO03574. Attached hereto is a true and correct electronic portable document file copy of Exhibits 1-42, all inclusive. Exhibits 1-42 prove by clear and convincing evidence that Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles Stanley Mosk Superior Court civil law case 25STRO03574 has no merit because AROGANT HOLLYWOOD [hereinafter "KING AROGANT"] has not made any contact whatsoever with RACIST & LYING County of Los Angeles Deputy District Attorney Brian MARK Rosenberg (SBN # 291501) since KING AROGANT sent a single email to RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg in early November 2023. The evidence in Exhibits 1-42 clearly proves by clear and convincing evidence that KING AROGANT has never contacted Brian MARK Rosenberg by any means of communication since early November 13, 2023.

The County of Los Angeles will be held legally liable for its judicial officer, Timothy R. Martella, granting both a frivolous temporary restraining order on November 14, 2023, and then shortly thereafter a permanent restraining order

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

for LYING & RACIST Brian

MARK Rosenberg (SBN # 291501) in frivolous and RACIST Superior Court of

California, County of Los Angeles, Pasadena Superior Court case PDRO001713 on December 20, 2023. Exhibit 7 proves by clear and convincing evidence that pursuant to California Civil Code of Procedure § 527.6, RACIST Rosenberg's petition showed no course of conduct, and also the illegal petition showed that RACIST Rosenberg was never a victim of a credible threat of unlawful violence or a victim of unlawful violence. During the year 2024, the County of Los Angeles paid its employee Timothy R. Martella a grand total of $ 244,129.00.

County of Los Angeles and RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg fabricated lies in their 25STRO03574 workplace violence petition legal documents by lying to the Superior Court that RACIST Rosenberg's frivolous PDRO01713 civil harassment petition prevented KING AROGANT from going to RACIST BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG'S workplace located at 150 West Commonwealth Avenue, Alhambra, CA 91801, First Floor. THIS IS NOT AT ALL TRUE! See now Exhibit 7 at page 86. The Superior Court order stated that KING AROGANT must stay 100 yards away from RACIST BRIAN MARK Rosenberg and his vehicle. Nowhere on the frivolous Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Pasadena Superior Court, December 20, 2023, permanent injunction court order does it order KING AROGANT to stay 150 yards away from the job or workplace of RACIST BRIAN MARK Rosenberg! Pursuant to United States Supreme Court case Monell v. Department of Social Services, 436 U.S. 658, 98 S.Ct 2018, 56 L.Ed.2d 611 (1978) County of Los Angeles will be held liable for the reckless, fraudulent, and unconstitutional actions of its employees Timothy R. Martella

(paid $ 244,129 by the County of Los Angeles in 2024) and RACIST Brian MARK
Rosenberg (SBN 291501) (paid $ 310,478.00 in total pay and benefits by the County of Los Angeles during the year 2024).

County of Los Angeles and its corrupt Sheriff Robert G. Luna did not have any jurisdiction or legal authority to willfully, recklessly, knowingly, fraudulently, wantonly, deliberately, carelessly, and callously lock out a disabled woman named Alison Helen Fairchild out of her home located at 2308 Felicia Avenue, Rowland Heights, CA 91748 using an invalid, phony, bogus, and illicit Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, West

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

Covina Superior Court writ of possession that did not bear her name and was attached to an illegal Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, West Covina Superior Court civil law case number 24WCUD02475, in which Alison Helen Fairchild was dismissed without prejudice by Plaintiff Chen Property RH LLC. Alison Helen Fairchild was dismissed from illegal Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, West Covina Superior Court civil law case 24WCUD02475 in December 2024, which was the same exact case number printed on the illegal 5-day-notice-to-vacate left on her home's front door by County of Los Angeles peace officers on or about June 8, 2025. The reckless actions of County of Los Angeles employee Leslie Bouvier Gutierrez (SBN # 276241) in conspiring with federal district court Defendants Robert G Luna, County of Los Angeles, Songfong Tommy Wang (SBN # 272409), Fae Chen, Orange Chen's Property LLC, Chen Property RH LLC, and DOES 1-10 was one of the main reasons why Alison Helen Fairchild was wrongfully evicted on or about June 15, 2025. County of Los Angeles judicial officer employee Leslie Bouvier Guitterez allowed

Alison Helen Fairchild to be wrongfully evicted and fraudulently signed off on her co-conspirators' invalid writ of possession, even after KING AROGANT had submitted documents and told her on the record that Plaintiff Chen Property RH LLC had voluntarily dismissed Fairchild from Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, West Covina Superior Court civil case 24WCUD02475. Accordingly, Pursuant to United States Supreme Court case Monell v. Department of Social Services, 436 U.S. 658, 98 S.Ct 2018, 56 L.Ed.2d 611 (1978) County of Los Angeles will be held liable for the reckless, fraudulent, and unconstitutional actions of its employee, Leslie Bouvier Gutierrez (paid approximately $ 270,000 by the County of Los Angeles in 2024)

The County of Los Angeles and its employee, Nathan Joseph Hochman (SBN # 139137), need to immediately focus on overturning and dismissing the wrongful conviction of KING AROGANT in the RACIST BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG-led criminal case GA114055. The County of Los Angeles should prepare to be blindsided by newly discovered evidence created by the work of a nationwide court reporting and litigation agency and through the work of a nationwide digital forensics agency. YOU FOOLS!

County of Los Angeles, its employee Nathan Joseph Hochman (SBN # 139137), its employee Robert G. Luna, and its agency County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department Fraud & Cyber Crime Bureau's Southern California High Tech Task Force (public comment will be submitted to County of Ventura as well) and its employee Nathan Joseph Hochman (SBN # 139137) need to immediately focus on turning over and

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

Correspondence Received

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

	disclosing a copy of phony and bogus LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL01586, GL010587, & GL10588 that County of Los Angeles employees Michael Villalobos, RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg, and dirty Billy S. Khounthavong willfully, recklessly, knowingly, careles
AROGANT HOLLYWOOD	AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq. ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD 1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD. PASADENA, CA 91106 Mobile: 206.471.1344 Mobile: 626.755.6442 aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com OF THEIR OWN COUNSEL 3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted Spirit of Esquire COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES BOARD OF SUPERVISORS PUBLIC BOARD MEETING AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD, Public Commenters, v. hilda solis, lindsey patrice horvath, holly j. mitchell, kathryn barger leibrich, janice hahn, Supervisor(s), AROGANT HOLLYWOOD & ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD'S PUBLIC COMMENT FOR NOVEMBER 25, 2025 Date of Hearing: November 25, 2025 Time: 10:00 AM PUBLIC COMMENT includes herein Exhibits 1-42 (approximately 3,300

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

pages) that must be made part of the November 18, 2025 Statement of Proceedings/Minutes pursuant to the California Government Code § 54950

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD & ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD'S PUBLIC COMMENT FOR NOVEMBER 25, 2025!

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD & ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD'S PUBLIC COMMENT FOR NOVEMBER 25, 2025, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES BOARD OF SUPERVISORS' PUBLIC BOARD MEETING!

The County of Los Angeles must immediately dismiss the frivolous workplace violence petition restraining order 25STRO03574. Attached hereto is a true and correct electronic portable document file copy of Exhibits 1-42, all inclusive. Exhibits 1-42 prove by clear and convincing evidence that Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles Stanley Mosk Superior Court civil law case 25STRO03574 has no merit because AROGANT HOLLYWOOD [hereinafter “KING AROGANT”] has not made any contact whatsoever with RACIST & LYING County of Los Angeles Deputy District Attorney Brian MARK Rosenberg (SBN # 291501) since KING AROGANT sent a single email to RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg in early November 2023. The evidence in Exhibits 1-42 clearly proves by clear and convincing evidence that KING AROGANT has never contacted Brian MARK Rosenberg by any means of communication since early November 13, 2023.

The County of Los Angeles will be held legally liable for its judicial officer, Timothy R. Martella, granting both a frivolous temporary restraining order on November 14, 2023, and then shortly thereafter a permanent restraining order for LYING & RACIST Brian

MARK Rosenberg (SBN # 291501) in frivolous and RACIST Superior Court of

California, County of Los Angeles, Pasadena Superior Court case PDRO001713 on December 20, 2023. Exhibit 7 proves by clear and convincing evidence that pursuant to California Civil Code of Procedure § 527.6, RACIST Rosenberg’s petition showed no course of conduct, and also the illegal petition showed that RACIST Rosenberg was never a victim of a credible threat of unlawful violence or a victim of unlawful violence. During the year 2024, the County of Los Angeles paid its employee Timothy R. Martella a grand total of $ 244,129.00.

County of Los Angeles and RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg fabricated lies in their 25STRO03574 workplace violence petition legal documents by

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

lying to the Superior Court that RACIST Rosenberg's frivolous PDRO01713 civil harassment petition prevented KING AROGANT from going to RACIST BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG'S workplace located at 150 West Commonwealth Avenue, Alhambra, CA 91801, First Floor. THIS IS NOT AT ALL TRUE! See now Exhibit 7 at page 86. The Superior Court order stated that KING AROGANT must stay 100 yards away from RACIST BRIAN MARK Rosenberg and his vehicle. Nowhere on the frivolous Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Pasadena Superior Court, December 20, 2023, permanent injunction court order does it order KING AROGANT to stay 150 yards away from the job or workplace of RACIST BRIAN MARK Rosenberg! Pursuant to United States Supreme Court case Monell v. Department of Social Services, 436 U.S. 658, 98 S.Ct 2018, 56 L.Ed.2d 611 (1978) County of Los Angeles will be held liable for the reckless, fraudulent, and unconstitutional actions of its employees Timothy R. Martella

(paid $ 244,129 by the County of Los Angeles in 2024) and RACIST Brian MARK
Rosenberg (SBN 291501) (paid $ 310,478.00 in total pay and benefits by the County of Los Angeles during the year 2024).

County of Los Angeles and its corrupt Sheriff Robert G. Luna did not have any jurisdiction or legal authority to willfully, recklessly, knowingly, fraudulently, wantonly, deliberately, carelessly, and callously lock out a disabled woman named Alison Helen Fairchild out of her home located at 2308 Felicia Avenue, Rowland Heights, CA 91748 using an invalid, phony, bogus, and illicit Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, West Covina Superior Court writ of possession that did not bear her name and was attached to an illegal Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, West Covina Superior Court civil law case number 24WCUD02475, in which Alison Helen Fairchild was dismissed without prejudice by Plaintiff Chen Property RH LLC. Alison Helen Fairchild was dismissed from illegal Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, West Covina Superior Court civil law case 24WCUD02475 in December 2024, which was the same exact case number printed on the illegal 5-day-notice-to-vacate left on her home's front door by County of Los Angeles peace officers on or about June 8, 2025. The reckless actions of County of Los Angeles employee Leslie Bouvier Gutierrez (SBN # 276241) in conspiring with federal district court Defendants Robert G Luna, County of Los Angeles, Songfong Tommy Wang (SBN # 272409), Fae Chen, Orange Chen's Property LLC, Chen Property RH LLC, and DOES 1-10 was one of the main reasons why Alison Helen Fairchild was wrongfully evicted on or about June 15, 2025. County of Los Angeles judicial officer employee Leslie Bouvier Guitterez allowed

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

Correspondence Received

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

	Alison Helen Fairchild to be wrongfully evicted and fraudulently signed off on her co-conspirators' invalid writ of possession, even after KING AROGANT had submitted documents and told her on the record that Plaintiff Chen Property RH LLC had voluntarily dismissed Fairchild from Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, West Covina Superior Court civil case 24WCUD02475. Accordingly, Pursuant to United States Supreme Court case Monell v. Department of Social Services, 436 U.S. 658, 98 S.Ct 2018, 56 L.Ed.2d 611 (1978) County of Los Angeles will be held liable for the reckless, fraudulent, and unconstitutional actions of its employee, Leslie Bouvier Gutierrez (paid approximately $ 270,000 by the County of Los Angeles in 2024) The County of Los Angeles and its employee, Nathan Joseph Hochman (SBN # 139137), need to immediately focus on overturning and dismissing the wrongful conviction of KING AROGANT in the RACIST BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG-led criminal case GA114055. The County of Los Angeles should prepare to be blindsided by newly discovered evidence created by the work of a nationwide court reporting and litigation agency and through the work of a nationwide digital forensics agency. YOU FOOLS! County of Los Angeles, its employee Nathan Joseph Hochman (SBN # 139137), its employee Robert G. Luna, and its agency County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department Fraud & Cyber Crime Bureau's Southern California High Tech Task Force (public comment will be submitted to County of Ventura as well) and its employee Nathan Joseph Hochman (SBN # 139137) need to immediately focus on turning over and disclosing a copy of phony and bogus LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL01586, GL010587, & GL10588 that County of Los Angeles employees Michael Villalobos, RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg, and dirty Billy S. Khounthavong willfully, recklessly, knowingly, careles
AROGANT HOLLYWOOD	AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq. ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD 1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD. PASADENA, CA 91106 Mobile: 206.471.1344 Mobile: 626.755.6442 aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com OF THEIR OWN COUNSEL 3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted Spirit of Esquire

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

Correspondence Received

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS PUBLIC BOARD MEETING

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD,
ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD,
Public Commenters,
v.

hilda solis,
lindsey patrice horvath,
holly j. mitchell,
kathryn barger leibrich,
janice hahn,

Supervisor(s),
AROGANT HOLLYWOOD & ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD'S PUBLIC COMMENT FOR NOVEMBER 25, 2025

Date of Hearing: November 25, 2025
Time: 10:00 AM

PUBLIC COMMENT includes herein Exhibits 1-42 (approximately 3,300 pages) that must be made part of the November 18, 2025 Statement of Proceedings/Minutes pursuant to the California Government Code § 54950

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD & ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD'S PUBLIC COMMENT FOR NOVEMBER 25, 2025!

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD & ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD'S PUBLIC COMMENT FOR NOVEMBER 25, 2025, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES BOARD OF SUPERVISORS' PUBLIC BOARD MEETING!

The County of Los Angeles must immediately dismiss the frivolous workplace violence petition restraining order 25STRO03574. Attached hereto is a true and correct electronic portable document file copy of Exhibits 1-42, all inclusive. Exhibits 1-42 prove by clear and convincing evidence that Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles Stanley Mosk Superior Court civil law case 25STRO03574 has no merit because AROGANT

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

HOLLYWOOD [hereinafter “KING AROGANT”] has not made any contact whatsoever with RACIST & LYING County of Los Angeles Deputy District Attorney Brian MARK Rosenberg (SBN # 291501) since KING AROGANT sent a single email to RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg in early November 2023. The evidence in Exhibits 1-42 clearly proves by clear and convincing evidence that KING AROGANT has never contacted Brian MARK Rosenberg by any means of communication since early November 13, 2023.

The County of Los Angeles will be held legally liable for its judicial officer, Timothy R. Martella, granting both a frivolous temporary restraining order on November 14, 2023, and then shortly thereafter a permanent restraining order for LYING & RACIST Brian

MARK Rosenberg (SBN # 291501) in frivolous and RACIST Superior Court of

California, County of Los Angeles, Pasadena Superior Court case PDRO001713 on December 20, 2023. Exhibit 7 proves by clear and convincing evidence that pursuant to California Civil Code of Procedure § 527.6, RACIST Rosenberg’s petition showed no course of conduct, and also the illegal petition showed that RACIST Rosenberg was never a victim of a credible threat of unlawful violence or a victim of unlawful violence. During the year 2024, the County of Los Angeles paid its employee Timothy R. Martella a grand total of $ 244,129.00.

County of Los Angeles and RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg fabricated lies in their 25STRO03574 workplace violence petition legal documents by lying to the Superior Court that RACIST Rosenberg’s frivolous PDRO01713 civil harassment petition prevented KING AROGANT from going to RACIST BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG’S workplace located at 150 West Commonwealth Avenue, Alhambra, CA 91801, First Floor. THIS IS NOT AT ALL TRUE! See now Exhibit 7 at page 86. The Superior Court order stated that KING AROGANT must stay 100 yards away from RACIST BRIAN MARK Rosenberg and his vehicle. Nowhere on the frivolous Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Pasadena Superior Court, December 20, 2023, permanent injunction court order does it order KING AROGANT to stay 150 yards away from the job or workplace of RACIST BRIAN MARK Rosenberg! Pursuant to United States Supreme Court case Monell v. Department of Social Services, 436 U.S. 658, 98 S.Ct 2018, 56 L.Ed.2d 611 (1978) County of Los Angeles will be held liable for the reckless, fraudulent, and unconstitutional actions of its employees Timothy R. Martella

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

(paid $ 244,129 by the County of Los Angeles in 2024) and RACIST Brian MARK
Rosenberg (SBN 291501) (paid $ 310,478.00 in total pay and benefits by the County of Los Angeles during the year 2024).

County of Los Angeles and its corrupt Sheriff Robert G. Luna did not have any jurisdiction or legal authority to willfully, recklessly, knowingly, fraudulently, wantonly, deliberately, carelessly, and callously lock out a disabled woman named Alison Helen Fairchild out of her home located at 2308 Felicia Avenue, Rowland Heights, CA 91748 using an invalid, phony, bogus, and illicit Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, West Covina Superior Court writ of possession that did not bear her name and was attached to an illegal Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, West Covina Superior Court civil law case number 24WCUD02475, in which Alison Helen Fairchild was dismissed without prejudice by Plaintiff Chen Property RH LLC. Alison Helen Fairchild was dismissed from illegal Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, West Covina Superior Court civil law case 24WCUD02475 in December 2024, which was the same exact case number printed on the illegal 5-day-notice-to-vacate left on her home's front door by County of Los Angeles peace officers on or about June 8, 2025. The reckless actions of County of Los Angeles employee Leslie Bouvier Gutierrez (SBN # 276241) in conspiring with federal district court Defendants Robert G Luna, County of Los Angeles, Songfong Tommy Wang (SBN # 272409), Fae Chen, Orange Chen's Property LLC, Chen Property RH LLC, and DOES 1-10 was one of the main reasons why Alison Helen Fairchild was wrongfully evicted on or about June 15, 2025. County of Los Angeles judicial officer employee Leslie Bouvier Guitterez allowed

Alison Helen Fairchild to be wrongfully evicted and fraudulently signed off on her co-conspirators' invalid writ of possession, even after KING AROGANT had submitted documents and told her on the record that Plaintiff Chen Property RH LLC had voluntarily dismissed Fairchild from Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, West Covina Superior Court civil case 24WCUD02475. Accordingly, Pursuant to United States Supreme Court case Monell v. Department of Social Services, 436 U.S. 658, 98 S.Ct 2018, 56 L.Ed.2d 611 (1978) County of Los Angeles will be held liable for the reckless, fraudulent, and unconstitutional actions of its employee, Leslie Bouvier Gutierrez (paid approximately $ 270,000 by the County of Los Angeles in 2024)

The County of Los Angeles and its employee, Nathan Joseph Hochman (SBN # 139137), need to immediately focus on overturning and dismissing the wrongful conviction of KING AROGANT in the RACIST BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG-led criminal case GA114055. The County of Los Angeles should prepare to be blindsided by newly discovered evidence created by the work of a nationwide court reporting and litigation agency and through the

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

Correspondence Received

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

	work of a nationwide digital forensics agency. YOU FOOLS! County of Los Angeles, its employee Nathan Joseph Hochman (SBN # 139137), its employee Robert G. Luna, and its agency County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department Fraud & Cyber Crime Bureau's Southern California High Tech Task Force (public comment will be submitted to County of Ventura as well) and its employee Nathan Joseph Hochman (SBN # 139137) need to immediately focus on turning over and disclosing a copy of phony and bogus LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL01586, GL010587, & GL10588 that County of Los Angeles employees Michael Villalobos, RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg, and dirty Billy S. Khounthavong willfully, recklessly, knowingly, careles
AROGANT HOLLYWOOD	AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq. ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD 1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD. PASADENA, CA 91106 Mobile: 206.471.1344 Mobile: 626.755.6442 aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com OF THEIR OWN COUNSEL 3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted Spirit of Esquire COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES BOARD OF SUPERVISORS PUBLIC BOARD MEETING _____ _____ AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD, Public Commenters, v. hilda solis, lindsey patrice horvath, holly j. mitchell, kathryn barger leibrich, janice hahn,

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

Supervisor(s),
AROGANT HOLLYWOOD & ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD'S PUBLIC COMMENT FOR NOVEMBER 25, 2025

Date of Hearing: November 25, 2025
Time: 10:00 AM

PUBLIC COMMENT includes herein Exhibits 1-42 (approximately 3,300 pages) that must be made part of the November 18, 2025 Statement of Proceedings/Minutes pursuant to the California Government Code § 54950

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD & ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD'S PUBLIC COMMENT FOR NOVEMBER 25, 2025!

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD & ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD'S PUBLIC COMMENT FOR NOVEMBER 25, 2025, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES BOARD OF SUPERVISORS' PUBLIC BOARD MEETING!

The County of Los Angeles must immediately dismiss the frivolous workplace violence petition restraining order 25STRO03574. Attached hereto is a true and correct electronic portable document file copy of Exhibits 1-42, all inclusive. Exhibits 1-42 prove by clear and convincing evidence that Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles Stanley Mosk Superior Court civil law case 25STRO03574 has no merit because AROGANT HOLLYWOOD [hereinafter "KING AROGANT"] has not made any contact whatsoever with RACIST & LYING County of Los Angeles Deputy District Attorney Brian MARK Rosenberg (SBN # 291501) since KING AROGANT sent a single email to RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg in early November 2023. The evidence in Exhibits 1-42 clearly proves by clear and convincing evidence that KING AROGANT has never contacted Brian MARK Rosenberg by any means of communication since early November 13, 2023.

The County of Los Angeles will be held legally liable for its judicial officer, Timothy R. Martella, granting both a frivolous temporary restraining order on November 14, 2023, and then shortly thereafter a permanent restraining order for LYING & RACIST Brian

MARK Rosenberg (SBN # 291501) in frivolous and RACIST Superior Court of

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

California, County of Los Angeles, Pasadena Superior Court case PDRO001713 on December 20, 2023. Exhibit 7 proves by clear and convincing evidence that pursuant to California Civil Code of Procedure § 527.6, RACIST Rosenberg's petition showed no course of conduct, and also the illegal petition showed that RACIST Rosenberg was never a victim of a credible threat of unlawful violence or a victim of unlawful violence. During the year 2024, the County of Los Angeles paid its employee Timothy R. Martella a grand total of $ 244,129.00.

County of Los Angeles and RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg fabricated lies in their 25STRO03574 workplace violence petition legal documents by lying to the Superior Court that RACIST Rosenberg's frivolous PDRO01713 civil harassment petition prevented KING AROGANT from going to RACIST BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG'S workplace located at 150 West Commonwealth Avenue, Alhambra, CA 91801, First Floor. THIS IS NOT AT ALL TRUE! See now Exhibit 7 at page 86. The Superior Court order stated that KING AROGANT must stay 100 yards away from RACIST BRIAN MARK Rosenberg and his vehicle. Nowhere on the frivolous Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Pasadena Superior Court, December 20, 2023, permanent injunction court order does it order KING AROGANT to stay 150 yards away from the job or workplace of RACIST BRIAN MARK Rosenberg! Pursuant to United States Supreme Court case Monell v. Department of Social Services, 436 U.S. 658, 98 S.Ct 2018, 56 L.Ed.2d 611 (1978) County of Los Angeles will be held liable for the reckless, fraudulent, and unconstitutional actions of its employees Timothy R. Martella

(paid $ 244,129 by the County of Los Angeles in 2024) and RACIST Brian MARK
Rosenberg (SBN 291501) (paid $ 310,478.00 in total pay and benefits by the County of Los Angeles during the year 2024).

County of Los Angeles and its corrupt Sheriff Robert G. Luna did not have any jurisdiction or legal authority to willfully, recklessly, knowingly, fraudulently, wantonly, deliberately, carelessly, and callously lock out a disabled woman named Alison Helen Fairchild out of her home located at 2308 Felicia Avenue, Rowland Heights, CA 91748 using an invalid, phony, bogus, and illicit Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, West Covina Superior Court writ of possession that did not bear her name and was attached to an illegal Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, West Covina Superior Court civil law case number 24WCUD02475, in which Alison Helen Fairchild was dismissed without prejudice by Plaintiff Chen Property RH LLC. Alison Helen Fairchild was dismissed from illegal Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, West Covina Superior Court civil law case 24WCUD02475 in December 2024, which was the same exact case number printed on the illegal 5-day-notice-to-vacate left on her home's front

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

Correspondence Received

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

	door by County of Los Angeles peace officers on or about June 8, 2025. The reckless actions of County of Los Angeles employee Leslie Bouvier Gutierrez (SBN # 276241) in conspiring with federal district court Defendants Robert G Luna, County of Los Angeles, Songfong Tommy Wang (SBN # 272409), Fae Chen, Orange Chen's Property LLC, Chen Property RH LLC, and DOES 1-10 was one of the main reasons why Alison Helen Fairchild was wrongfully evicted on or about June 15, 2025. County of Los Angeles judicial officer employee Leslie Bouvier Guitterez allowed Alison Helen Fairchild to be wrongfully evicted and fraudulently signed off on her co-conspirators' invalid writ of possession, even after KING AROGANT had submitted documents and told her on the record that Plaintiff Chen Property RH LLC had voluntarily dismissed Fairchild from Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, West Covina Superior Court civil case 24WCUD02475. Accordingly, Pursuant to United States Supreme Court case Monell v. Department of Social Services, 436 U.S. 658, 98 S.Ct 2018, 56 L.Ed.2d 611 (1978) County of Los Angeles will be held liable for the reckless, fraudulent, and unconstitutional actions of its employee, Leslie Bouvier Gutierrez (paid approximately $ 270,000 by the County of Los Angeles in 2024) The County of Los Angeles and its employee, Nathan Joseph Hochman (SBN # 139137), need to immediately focus on overturning and dismissing the wrongful conviction of KING AROGANT in the RACIST BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG-led criminal case GA114055. The County of Los Angeles should prepare to be blindsided by newly discovered evidence created by the work of a nationwide court reporting and litigation agency and through the work of a nationwide digital forensics agency. YOU FOOLS! County of Los Angeles, its employee Nathan Joseph Hochman (SBN # 139137), its employee Robert G. Luna, and its agency County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department Fraud & Cyber Crime Bureau's Southern California High Tech Task Force (public comment will be submitted to County of Ventura as well) and its employee Nathan Joseph Hochman (SBN # 139137) need to immediately focus on turning over and disclosing a copy of phony and bogus LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL01586, GL010587, & GL10588 that County of Los Angeles employees Michael Villalobos, RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg, and dirty Billy S. Khounthavong willfully, recklessly, knowingly, careles
AROGANT	AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq. ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

HOLLYWOOD

1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD.
PASADENA, CA 91106
Mobile: 206.471.1344
Mobile: 626.755.6442
aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com
OF THEIR OWN COUNSEL
3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted
Spirit of Esquire

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS PUBLIC BOARD MEETING

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD,
ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD,
Public Commenters,
v.

hilda solis,
lindsey patrice horvath,
holly j. mitchell,
kathryn barger leibrich,
janice hahn,

Supervisor(s),
AROGANT HOLLYWOOD & ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD'S PUBLIC COMMENT FOR NOVEMBER 25, 2025

Date of Hearing: November 25, 2025
Time: 10:00 AM

PUBLIC COMMENT includes herein Exhibits 1-42 (approximately 3,300 pages) that must be made part of the November 18, 2025 Statement of Proceedings/Minutes pursuant to the California Government Code § 54950

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD & ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD'S PUBLIC COMMENT FOR NOVEMBER 25, 2025!

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD & ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD'S PUBLIC COMMENT FOR NOVEMBER 25, 2025, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES BOARD OF SUPERVISORS' PUBLIC BOARD MEETING!

The County of Los Angeles must immediately dismiss the frivolous workplace violence petition restraining order 25STRO03574. Attached hereto is a true and correct electronic portable document file copy of Exhibits 1-42, all inclusive. Exhibits 1-42 prove by clear and convincing evidence that Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles Stanley Mosk Superior Court civil law case 25STRO03574 has no merit because AROGANT HOLLYWOOD [hereinafter “KING AROGANT”] has not made any contact whatsoever with RACIST & LYING County of Los Angeles Deputy District Attorney Brian MARK Rosenberg (SBN # 291501) since KING AROGANT sent a single email to RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg in early November 2023. The evidence in Exhibits 1-42 clearly proves by clear and convincing evidence that KING AROGANT has never contacted Brian MARK Rosenberg by any means of communication since early November 13, 2023.

The County of Los Angeles will be held legally liable for its judicial officer, Timothy R. Martella, granting both a frivolous temporary restraining order on November 14, 2023, and then shortly thereafter a permanent restraining order for LYING & RACIST Brian

MARK Rosenberg (SBN # 291501) in frivolous and RACIST Superior Court of

California, County of Los Angeles, Pasadena Superior Court case PDRO001713 on December 20, 2023. Exhibit 7 proves by clear and convincing evidence that pursuant to California Civil Code of Procedure § 527.6, RACIST Rosenberg’s petition showed no course of conduct, and also the illegal petition showed that RACIST Rosenberg was never a victim of a credible threat of unlawful violence or a victim of unlawful violence. During the year 2024, the County of Los Angeles paid its employee Timothy R. Martella a grand total of $ 244,129.00.

County of Los Angeles and RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg fabricated lies in their 25STRO03574 workplace violence petition legal documents by lying to the Superior Court that RACIST Rosenberg’s frivolous PDRO01713 civil harassment petition prevented KING AROGANT from going to RACIST BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG’S workplace located at 150 West Commonwealth Avenue, Alhambra, CA 91801, First Floor. THIS IS NOT AT ALL TRUE! See now Exhibit 7 at page 86. The Superior Court order stated that KING AROGANT must stay 100 yards away from RACIST BRIAN MARK Rosenberg and his vehicle. Nowhere on the frivolous Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Pasadena Superior Court, December 20,

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

2023, permanent injunction court order does it order KING AROGANT to stay 150 yards away from the job or workplace of RACIST BRIAN MARK Rosenberg! Pursuant to United States Supreme Court case Monell v. Department of Social Services, 436 U.S. 658, 98 S.Ct 2018, 56 L.Ed.2d 611 (1978) County of Los Angeles will be held liable for the reckless, fraudulent, and unconstitutional actions of its employees Timothy R. Martella

(paid $ 244,129 by the County of Los Angeles in 2024) and RACIST Brian MARK
Rosenberg (SBN 291501) (paid $ 310,478.00 in total pay and benefits by the County of Los Angeles during the year 2024).

County of Los Angeles and its corrupt Sheriff Robert G. Luna did not have any jurisdiction or legal authority to willfully, recklessly, knowingly, fraudulently, wantonly, deliberately, carelessly, and callously lock out a disabled woman named Alison Helen Fairchild out of her home located at 2308 Felicia Avenue, Rowland Heights, CA 91748 using an invalid, phony, bogus, and illicit Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, West Covina Superior Court writ of possession that did not bear her name and was attached to an illegal Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, West Covina Superior Court civil law case number 24WCUD02475, in which Alison Helen Fairchild was dismissed without prejudice by Plaintiff Chen Property RH LLC. Alison Helen Fairchild was dismissed from illegal Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, West Covina Superior Court civil law case 24WCUD02475 in December 2024, which was the same exact case number printed on the illegal 5-day-notice-to-vacate left on her home's front door by County of Los Angeles peace officers on or about June 8, 2025. The reckless actions of County of Los Angeles employee Leslie Bouvier Gutierrez (SBN # 276241) in conspiring with federal district court Defendants Robert G Luna, County of Los Angeles, Songfong Tommy Wang (SBN # 272409), Fae Chen, Orange Chen's Property LLC, Chen Property RH LLC, and DOES 1-10 was one of the main reasons why Alison Helen Fairchild was wrongfully evicted on or about June 15, 2025. County of Los Angeles judicial officer employee Leslie Bouvier Guitterez allowed

Alison Helen Fairchild to be wrongfully evicted and fraudulently signed off on her co-conspirators' invalid writ of possession, even after KING AROGANT had submitted documents and told her on the record that Plaintiff Chen Property RH LLC had voluntarily dismissed Fairchild from Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, West Covina Superior Court civil case 24WCUD02475. Accordingly, Pursuant to United States Supreme Court case Monell v. Department of Social Services, 436 U.S. 658, 98 S.Ct 2018, 56

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

	L.Ed.2d 611 (1978) County of Los Angeles will be held liable for the reckless, fraudulent, and unconstitutional actions of its employee, Leslie Bouvier Gutierrez (paid approximately $ 270,000 by the County of Los Angeles in 2024) The County of Los Angeles and its employee, Nathan Joseph Hochman (SBN # 139137), need to immediately focus on overturning and dismissing the wrongful conviction of KING AROGANT in the RACIST BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG-led criminal case GA114055. The County of Los Angeles should prepare to be blindsided by newly discovered evidence created by the work of a nationwide court reporting and litigation agency and through the work of a nationwide digital forensics agency. YOU FOOLS! County of Los Angeles, its employee Nathan Joseph Hochman (SBN # 139137), its employee Robert G. Luna, and its agency County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department Fraud & Cyber Crime Bureau's Southern California High Tech Task Force (public comment will be submitted to County of Ventura as well) and its employee Nathan Joseph Hochman (SBN # 139137) need to immediately focus on turning over and disclosing a copy of phony and bogus LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL01586, GL010587, & GL10588 that County of Los Angeles employees Michael Villalobos, RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg, and dirty Billy S. Khounthavong willfully, recklessly, knowingly, careles
AROGANT HOLLYWOOD	AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq. ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD 1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD. PASADENA, CA 91106 Mobile: 206.471.1344 Mobile: 626.755.6442 aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com OF THEIR OWN COUNSEL 3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted Spirit of Esquire COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES BOARD OF SUPERVISORS PUBLIC BOARD MEETING _____ _____

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD,
ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD,
Public Commenters,
v.

hilda solis,
lindsey patrice horvath,
holly j. mitchell,
kathryn barger leibrich,
janice hahn,

Supervisor(s),
AROGANT HOLLYWOOD & ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD'S PUBLIC COMMENT FOR NOVEMBER 25, 2025

Date of Hearing: November 25, 2025
Time: 10:00 AM

PUBLIC COMMENT includes herein Exhibits 1-42 (approximately 3,300 pages) that must be made part of the November 18, 2025 Statement of Proceedings/Minutes pursuant to the California Government Code § 54950

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD & ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD'S PUBLIC COMMENT FOR NOVEMBER 25, 2025!

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD & ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD'S PUBLIC COMMENT FOR NOVEMBER 25, 2025, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES BOARD OF SUPERVISORS' PUBLIC BOARD MEETING!

The County of Los Angeles must immediately dismiss the frivolous workplace violence petition restraining order 25STRO03574. Attached hereto is a true and correct electronic portable document file copy of Exhibits 1-42, all inclusive. Exhibits 1-42 prove by clear and convincing evidence that Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles Stanley Mosk Superior Court civil law case 25STRO03574 has no merit because AROGANT HOLLYWOOD [hereinafter "KING AROGANT"] has not made any contact whatsoever with RACIST & LYING County of Los Angeles Deputy District Attorney Brian MARK Rosenberg (SBN # 291501) since KING AROGANT sent a single email to RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg in early November 2023. The evidence in Exhibits 1-42 clearly proves by clear and convincing evidence that KING AROGANT has never contacted Brian MARK Rosenberg by any means of communication since early November 13, 2023.

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

Correspondence Received

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

The County of Los Angeles will be held legally liable for its judicial officer, Timothy R. Martella, granting both a frivolous temporary restraining order on November 14, 2023, and then shortly thereafter a permanent restraining order for LYING & RACIST Brian

MARK Rosenberg (SBN # 291501) in frivolous and RACIST Superior Court of

California, County of Los Angeles, Pasadena Superior Court case PDRO001713 on December 20, 2023. Exhibit 7 proves by clear and convincing evidence that pursuant to California Civil Code of Procedure § 527.6, RACIST Rosenberg's petition showed no course of conduct, and also the illegal petition showed that RACIST Rosenberg was never a victim of a credible threat of unlawful violence or a victim of unlawful violence. During the year 2024, the County of Los Angeles paid its employee Timothy R. Martella a grand total of $ 244,129.00.

County of Los Angeles and RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg fabricated lies in their 25STRO03574 workplace violence petition legal documents by lying to the Superior Court that RACIST Rosenberg's frivolous PDRO01713 civil harassment petition prevented KING AROGANT from going to RACIST BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG'S workplace located at 150 West Commonwealth Avenue, Alhambra, CA 91801, First Floor. THIS IS NOT AT ALL TRUE! See now Exhibit 7 at page 86. The Superior Court order stated that KING AROGANT must stay 100 yards away from RACIST BRIAN MARK Rosenberg and his vehicle. Nowhere on the frivolous Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Pasadena Superior Court, December 20, 2023, permanent injunction court order does it order KING AROGANT to stay 150 yards away from the job or workplace of RACIST BRIAN MARK Rosenberg! Pursuant to United States Supreme Court case Monell v. Department of Social Services, 436 U.S. 658, 98 S.Ct 2018, 56 L.Ed.2d 611 (1978) County of Los Angeles will be held liable for the reckless, fraudulent, and unconstitutional actions of its employees Timothy R. Martella

(paid $ 244,129 by the County of Los Angeles in 2024) and RACIST Brian MARK
Rosenberg (SBN 291501) (paid $ 310,478.00 in total pay and benefits by the County of Los Angeles during the year 2024).

County of Los Angeles and its corrupt Sheriff Robert G. Luna did not have any jurisdiction or legal authority to willfully, recklessly, knowingly,

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

fraudulently, wantonly, deliberately, carelessly, and callously lock out a disabled woman named Alison Helen Fairchild out of her home located at 2308 Felicia Avenue, Rowland Heights, CA 91748 using an invalid, phony, bogus, and illicit Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, West Covina Superior Court writ of possession that did not bear her name and was attached to an illegal Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, West Covina Superior Court civil law case number 24WCUD02475, in which Alison Helen Fairchild was dismissed without prejudice by Plaintiff Chen Property RH LLC. Alison Helen Fairchild was dismissed from illegal Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, West Covina Superior Court civil law case 24WCUD02475 in December 2024, which was the same exact case number printed on the illegal 5-day-notice-to-vacate left on her home's front door by County of Los Angeles peace officers on or about June 8, 2025. The reckless actions of County of Los Angeles employee Leslie Bouvier Gutierrez (SBN # 276241) in conspiring with federal district court Defendants Robert G Luna, County of Los Angeles, Songfong Tommy Wang (SBN # 272409), Fae Chen, Orange Chen's Property LLC, Chen Property RH LLC, and DOES 1-10 was one of the main reasons why Alison Helen Fairchild was wrongfully evicted on or about June 15, 2025. County of Los Angeles judicial officer employee Leslie Bouvier Guitterez allowed

Alison Helen Fairchild to be wrongfully evicted and fraudulently signed off on her co-conspirators' invalid writ of possession, even after KING AROGANT had submitted documents and told her on the record that Plaintiff Chen Property RH LLC had voluntarily dismissed Fairchild from Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, West Covina Superior Court civil case 24WCUD02475. Accordingly, Pursuant to United States Supreme Court case Monell v. Department of Social Services, 436 U.S. 658, 98 S.Ct 2018, 56 L.Ed.2d 611 (1978) County of Los Angeles will be held liable for the reckless, fraudulent, and unconstitutional actions of its employee, Leslie Bouvier Gutierrez (paid approximately $ 270,000 by the County of Los Angeles in 2024)

The County of Los Angeles and its employee, Nathan Joseph Hochman (SBN # 139137), need to immediately focus on overturning and dismissing the wrongful conviction of KING AROGANT in the RACIST BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG-led criminal case GA114055. The County of Los Angeles should prepare to be blindsided by newly discovered evidence created by the work of a nationwide court reporting and litigation agency and through the work of a nationwide digital forensics agency. YOU FOOLS!

County of Los Angeles, its employee Nathan Joseph Hochman (SBN # 139137), its employee Robert G. Luna, and its agency County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department Fraud & Cyber Crime Bureau's Southern California High Tech Task Force (public comment will be submitted to County of Ventura as well) and its employee Nathan Joseph Hochman (SBN # 139137) need to

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

	immediately focus on turning over and disclosing a copy of phony and bogus LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL01586, GL010587, & GL10588 that County of Los Angeles employees Michael Villalobos, RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg, and dirty Billy S. Khounthavong willfully, recklessly, knowingly, careles
Esroruleh Mohammad	This comment is submitted solely to reaffirm that my October 7, 2025 ADA-Protected Provenance and Convergence Record, transmitted at the opening of the October 7 Board hearing, remains pending acknowledgment. A detailed follow-up will be submitted after completion of related ADA Title II / § 504 filings currently before the Department of Health Care Services Office for Civil Rights. This message is provided for continuity and record verification only. Respectfully, Dr. Esroruleh T. Mohammad
Jorge Cruz - CBHA	
Jorge Cruz - CBHA	
Luis E Ruiz Camarena	Experimental Project for Addiction Prevention and Support for Homeless People: Executive Summary for the Supervisory Board
Maria Barahona	In 2024 alone, more than 60,000 people turned to homelessness services for the first time. While the county is prioritizing the construction of new affordable housing, housing and shelter on their own are not enough. Prevention is essential if we want to stop creating or perpetuating cycles of generational poverty that rely on homeless services for survival. To truly address homelessness, we must empower our communities through prevention initiatives such as equitable access to living-wage employment, quality education, and timely emergency financial support. Time-Limited Subsidies (TLS) are among the most effective tools we have. Studies show that in Los Angeles, TLS programs reduce future homelessness by 25%. Yet this year, providers report they have been forced to turn away more than 750 families due to cuts to TLS. These reductions will inevitably increase encampments—an area that is also slated for budget cuts. The proposed cuts across the board are irresponsible and diminish the public's trust in its leaders to do the right thing, especially amid significant federal reductions to homeless services. The county must identify funding beyond Measure A and re-evaluate the $52.5 billion countywide budget to address this crisis responsibly and effectively. Strategic investments in prevention will reduce short-term, long-term, and chronic homelessness, ensuring that individuals and families remain stable—and that future generations are not forced into the same crisis.

		Item Total	**23**	
Grand Total			**23**	

As of: 11/25/2025 3:00:10 PM

Mairead Mac Mullan
24879 Mulholland Highway
Calabasas, CA 91302

November 19, 2025

For Attention Of: Regional Planning Commission and LA County Supervisors,
Re: In response to the revised plans Project # 2019-000010-(3) for Parcel Map 10857 in 1981
Hearing Date: November 25, 2025

Dear Regional Planning Commission and LA County Supervisors,
I am writing today in support of The Las Virgenes Homeowners Federation Appeal to amend the planning granted to the 4 home subdivision proposal at the site of 24937 Mulholland Hwy. We have been working together diligently as a community to have LA County uphold the spirit of the LCP and keep the Santa Monica Mountains a home where the safety of wild life, wild land, people and property are the priorities of LA County and not the maximization of economic profit for developers at the expense of these basic rights.

Brief Summary:
The proposed planning application was first made known to the local community on April 22nd 2024 - when 4 sets of story poles were put up in the proposed location for these 4 homes. There had been no communication to neighbors from the developer pre that. Neighbors immediately put together a reasoned powerpoint objecting to particular aspects of the planning proposal. Los Angeles County Planning then created the following recommendations for the developer:

After taking a closer look at the project, we are recommending that the residences proposed for Lot 3 and Lot 4 be redesigned with smaller structural footprints and building site areas (BSA). This would reduce the overall impact of fuel modification on H2 Habitat for both of these lots. Several policies of the Santa Monica Mountains LCP Land Use Plan, as well as the Local Implementation Program, emphasize the importance of preserving H1 and H2 Habitat in its natural state, even if that means requiring less than the maximum allowed BSA and/or structural area. Reducing the size of the residences on Lot 1 and Lot 2 would not accomplish this, as the lots are smaller and contain much less H2 Habitat.

The developer then did reduce the footprint of the home furtherest away from current residents (Lot 3) however did not make any changes to the Lot size of parcel 4 and actually moved it closer to the residents that are to the left of this lot and created no change to the dangerous proximity to the property line of the residents that are directly adjacent to this proposed lot. We are extremely confused by the fact that County did not insist on Lot 4 being reduced in size for the same reasons as Lot 3 - if anything Lot 4 makes way more sense to be reduced as it is right beside a flood plane which empties into the cold creek watershed and is jammed in against a fence line of a neighboring property - less than 60 feet from their home structure. Outside of the

fact that the proposed 4 home lots would never be granted with current Los Angeles County planning requirements, in lieu of the recent frequent and extremely destructive wild fires in the Los Angeles county area across all regions -planning permission from 1981 is no longer tenable. Here are the residents and local communities objections:

1. The revised plans are not in compliance with current planning ordinances and respecting current/future fire conditions, life safety, property safety and defensible space. There is no way that these grandfathered in laws from the early 1980's should be legally allowed to stand and be approved in 2025. Not only is the county not doing it's due diligence to protect current life and property, it is willfully creating a situation where further life and property from these future developments will be put at risk from future fire danger.

2. The County released its latest status on this project on January 8th, (the day after the beginning of the Palisades & Eaton fires) - it's recommendation that these plans should be approved literally during the worst wildfire in Los Angeles County. While that was happening all the current property owners in the adjacent properties and neighboring community were evacuated from their homes and without power thus giving them zero opportunity to submit a rebuttal to the County's just released plans.

3. The Community in proximity to this Development Permit appreciates that the County did allow a continuance of the hearing process, to allow time for residents to prepare their objections given recent life changing developments for All Angelenos:

a. These catastrophic fires have changed the game for the entire county.

b. To approve planning for 4 homes on this site, leaning on 1981 grandfathered zoning is tantamount to exposing all residents - both current and future - to willful endangerment.

It may be paper legal, but it is not morally legal. If 24/25 zoning were applied to this project, planning would rule for likely one dwelling, maybe two dwellings, and most certainly not three or recklessly four dwellings. Moreover, the applicant chooses to position one home (Lot 4) directly adjacent to two existing homes, dangerously adhering to the absolute minimum 10 ft from fence line, provided no practical defensible space while also stubbornly maintaining its footprint since inception.

c. This will be Los Angeles County Planning decision, you are responsible for its conclusion and carry with it the liability of your direction.

4. We approached the applicant as genuinely concerned neighbors and were told that economic considerations dictate quantity of units. Are we really going to be dictated solely by economic considerations here, when this very priority is what has led to Palisades in destruction, Alta Dena pummeled, Malibu fractured by 3 major fires in 6 years, 2 in 6 weeks. Economics or public safety?

5. Adding this development will kill insurance coverages for all residents both current and future.

6. In closing, the days of Angelenos acting in self-interest and neglecting the greater good of the community are over. They died in the destruction we all witnessed from the horrific fires and heartbreak for 1,000s of our fellow Angeleno citizens at the beginning of this year. We ask Los Angeles County supervisors to please uphold the spirit of the LCP, reduce the footprints of these homes, particularly LOT 4, for the safety of current and future residents. This is about public safety in all its attributes. Let this new precedent be set now for all future communities. You possess in equal measure the authority to act and the responsibility of your action's outcomes.

One final point, the hearings for the first appeal was scheduled for July 4th week and this current hearing/Appeal to LA County Supervisors is during Thanksgiving week -both weeks of national holidays and the largest weeks of travel in the US - As you can see we as a community feel extremely strongly about protecting our mountains and have forgone travel in order to be here as good citizens and advocate for the safety of our community which includes Mr. Zachary whether he realizes that or not. We as community members understand how much LA County supervisors have on their plate right now. It must be incredibly overwhelming dealing with so many of our fellow citizens and community members who have lost so much, some everything! That is why we are being so diligent in supporting this Las Virgenes Federations appeal because we as concerned citizens want to support LA County in making the right decision here. This is a precedent setting case in Zone 0 of the Santa Monica Mountains.

Sincerely,
Mairead Mac Mullan

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq.
ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD
1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD.
PASADENA, CA 91106
Mobile: 206.471.1344
Mobile: 626.755.6442
aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com
OF THEIR OWN COUNSEL
3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted
Spirit of Esquire

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS PUBLIC BOARD MEETING

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD, Public Commenters, v. hilda solis, lindsey patrice horvath, holly j. mitchell, kathryn barger leibrich, janice hahn, Supervisor(s),	**AROGANT HOLLYWOOD & ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD'S PUBLIC COMMENT FOR NOVEMBER 25, 2025** **Date of Hearing: November 25, 2025** **Time: 10:00 AM** **PUBLIC COMMENT includes herein Exhibits 1-42 (approximately 3,300 pages) that must be made part of the November 18, 2025 Statement of Proceedings/Minutes pursuant to the California Government Code § 54950**

Arogant Hollywood
Alison Helen Fairchild
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD & ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD'S PUBLIC COMMENT FOR NOVEMBER 25, 2025!

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD & ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD'S PUBLIC COMMENT FOR NOVEMBER 25, 2025, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES BOARD OF SUPERVISORS' PUBLIC BOARD MEETING!

The County of Los Angeles must immediately dismiss the frivolous workplace violence petition restraining order **25STRO03574**. Attached hereto is a true and correct electronic portable document file copy of Exhibits 1-42, all inclusive. Exhibits 1-42 prove by clear and convincing evidence that Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles Stanley Mosk Superior Court civil law case **25STRO03574** has no merit because AROGANT HOLLYWOOD **[hereinafter "KING AROGANT"]** has not made any contact whatsoever with RACIST & LYING County of Los Angeles Deputy District Attorney Brian MARK Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)** since KING AROGANT sent a single email to RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg in early November 2023. The evidence in Exhibits 1-42 clearly proves by clear and convincing evidence that KING AROGANT has never contacted Brian MARK Rosenberg by any means of communication since early November 13, 2023.

The County of Los Angeles will be held legally liable for its judicial officer, Timothy R. Martella, granting both a frivolous temporary restraining order on November 14, 2023, and then shortly thereafter a permanent restraining order for LYING & RACIST Brian

Arogant Hollywood
Alison Helen Fairchild
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Email: fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

MARK Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)** in frivolous and RACIST Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Pasadena Superior Court case PDRO001713 on December 20, 2023. Exhibit 7 proves by clear and convincing evidence that pursuant to California Civil Code of Procedure § 527.6, RACIST Rosenberg's petition showed no course of conduct, and also the illegal petition showed that RACIST Rosenberg was never a victim of a credible threat of unlawful violence or a victim of unlawful violence. During the year 2024, the County of Los Angeles paid its employee Timothy R. Martella a grand total of $ 244,129.00.

County of Los Angeles and RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg fabricated lies in their **25STRO03574** workplace violence petition legal documents by lying to the Superior Court that RACIST Rosenberg's frivolous **PDRO01713** civil harassment petition prevented KING AROGANT from going to RACIST BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG'S workplace located at 150 West Commonwealth Avenue, Alhambra, CA 91801, First Floor. THIS IS NOT AT ALL TRUE! See now Exhibit 7 at page 86. The Superior Court order stated that KING AROGANT must stay 100 yards away from RACIST BRIAN MARK Rosenberg and his vehicle. Nowhere on the frivolous Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Pasadena Superior Court, December 20, 2023, permanent injunction court order does it order KING AROGANT to stay 150 yards away from the job or workplace of RACIST BRIAN MARK Rosenberg! Pursuant to United States Supreme Court case *Monell v. Department of Social Services*, 436 U.S. 658, 98 S.Ct 2018, 56 L.Ed.2d 611 (1978) County of Los Angeles will be held liable for the reckless, fraudulent, and unconstitutional actions of its employees Timothy R. Martella

Arogant Hollywood
Alison Helen Fairchild
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Email: fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

(paid $ 244,129 by the County of Los Angeles in 2024) and RACIST Brian MARK **Rosenberg (SBN 291501) (paid $ 310,478.00 in total pay and benefits by the County of Los Angeles during the year 2024).**

County of Los Angeles and its corrupt Sheriff Robert G. Luna did not have any jurisdiction or legal authority to willfully, recklessly, knowingly, fraudulently, wantonly, deliberately, carelessly, and callously lock out a disabled woman named Alison Helen Fairchild out of her home located at 2308 Felicia Avenue, Rowland Heights, CA 91748 using an invalid, phony, bogus, and illicit Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, West Covina Superior Court writ of possession that did not bear her name and was attached to an illegal Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, West Covina Superior Court civil law case number **24WCUD02475**, in which Alison Helen Fairchild was dismissed without prejudice by Plaintiff Chen Property RH LLC. Alison Helen Fairchild was dismissed from illegal Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, West Covina Superior Court civil law case **24WCUD02475** in December 2024, which was the same exact case number printed on the illegal 5-day-notice-to-vacate left on her home's front door by County of Los Angeles peace officers on or about June 8, 2025. The reckless actions of County of Los Angeles employee Leslie Bouvier Gutierrez **(SBN # 276241) in** conspiring with federal district court Defendants Robert G Luna, County of Los Angeles, Songfong Tommy Wang **(SBN # 272409)**, Fae Chen, Orange Chen's Property LLC, Chen Property RH LLC, and DOES 1-10 was one of the main reasons why Alison Helen Fairchild was wrongfully evicted on or about June 15, 2025. County of Los Angeles judicial officer employee Leslie Bouvier Guitterez allowed

Arogant Hollywood
Alison Helen Fairchild
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogan thollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Email: fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Alison Helen Fairchild to be wrongfully evicted and fraudulently signed off on her co-conspirators' invalid writ of possession, even after KING AROGANT had submitted documents and told her on the record that Plaintiff Chen Property RH LLC had voluntarily dismissed Fairchild from Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, West Covina Superior Court civil case **24WCUD02475.** Accordingly, Pursuant to United States Supreme Court case *Monell v. Department of Social Services*, 436 U.S. 658, 98 S.Ct 2018, 56 L.Ed.2d 611 (1978) County of Los Angeles will be held liable for the reckless, fraudulent, and unconstitutional actions of its employee, Leslie Bouvier Gutierrez **(paid approximately $ 270,000 by the County of Los Angeles in 2024)**

The County of Los Angeles and its employee, Nathan Joseph Hochman **(SBN # 139137)**, need to immediately focus on overturning and dismissing the wrongful conviction of KING AROGANT in the RACIST BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG-led criminal case **GA114055**. The County of Los Angeles should prepare to be blindsided by newly discovered evidence created by the work of a nationwide court reporting and litigation agency and through the work of a nationwide digital forensics agency. YOU FOOLS!

County of Los Angeles, its employee Nathan Joseph Hochman **(SBN # 139137)**, its employee Robert G. Luna, and its agency County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department Fraud & Cyber Crime Bureau's Southern California High Tech Task Force (public comment will be submitted to County of Ventura as well) and its employee Nathan Joseph Hochman **(SBN # 139137)** need to immediately focus on turning over and

Arogant Hollywood
Alison Helen Fairchild
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Email: fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

disclosing a copy of phony and bogus LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL01586, GL010587, & GL10588 that County of Los Angeles employees Michael Villalobos, RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg, and dirty Billy S. Khounthavong willfully, recklessly, knowingly, carelessly, wantonly, deliberately, fraudulently, and callously played, presented, and admitted as false evidence inside a criminal Superior Court of California criminal courtroom on April 4, 2023, April 5, 2023, and February 2, 2024.

To Janice Hahn, Lindsey Patrice Horvath, Hilda Solis, Kathryn Barger, Holly J. Mitchell, Robert G. Luna, Nathan Joseph Hochman, and all of their under color of law co-conspirators! Each and every one of you knows the deal! Janice Hahn, Lindsey Patrice Horvath, Hilda Solis, Kathryn Barger, Holly J. Mitchell, Robert G. Luna, Nathan Joseph Hochman, and all their under color of law co-conspirators need to hurry up and overturn the frivolous and RACIST Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court case **GA114055**. Janice Hahn, Lindsey Patrice Horvath, Hilda Solis, Kathryn Barger, Holly J. Mitchell, Robert G. Luna, Nathan Joseph Hochman, and all their under color of law co-conspirators have all substantially contributed to taking away KING AROGANT'S liberty for nearly twenty-five non-consecutive months for a violent and serious crime they all knew and still know that KING AROGANT never committed. Janice Hahn, Lindsey Patrice Horvath, Hilda Solis, Kathryn Barger, Holly J. Mitchell, Robert G. Luna, Nathan Joseph Hochman, and all their under color of law co-conspirators also need to turn over and disclose phony and bogus LASD-created GoPro video recording GL010586 that falsely depicts and displays KING AROGANT shutting off his GoPro camera while wearing different clothing than he actually had on February

Arogant Hollywood
Alison Helen Fairchild
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Email: fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

21, 2023.

Exhibits 1-16 prove by clear and convincing evidence that County of Los Angeles employee **Latrice Antoinette George-Byrdsong (SBN # 247217)**, who was paid by the County of Los Angeles $ 208,773 during the year 2024, knew that based on Exhibits 1-16 that there was no basis for granting County of Los Angeles's frivolous workplace violence petition on August 19, 2025. Corrupt, partial, in collusion, and biased judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong knew that she was fabricating lies in open court, that she did not see RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg's perjurious city of Alhambra police department crime report attached as an Exhibit to the County of Los Angeles's frivolous workplace violence petition in illegal Superior Court of California case **25STRO03574**. Corrupt and lying County of Los Angeles employee Latrice Byrdsong knew that she was violating her judicial code of ethics, numerous California Evidence Codes, and KING AROGANT'S United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights by striking evidence of RACIST Rosenberg's police report and not allowing KING AROGANT to ask any further questions regarding a police report that was relevant evidence and was also filed by the County of Los Angeles in its frivolous workplace violence petition in June 2025. County of Los Angeles employee Latrice Byrdsong also violated KING AROGANT'S constitutional civil rights by limiting his cross-examination of RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg and Cynthina Nakao!

Arogant Hollywood
Alison Helen Fairchild
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Email: fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

County of Los Angeles employee Vanessa Ann Evangelista **(SBN # 238474)** knew that she was violating numerous State of California Professional Rules of Conduct when she made an objection to KING AROGANT, asking questions about a damn police report she attached as evidence to get the County of Los Angeles's frivolous temporary restraining order granted in June 2025. See now Exhibits 1-15!

Pursuant to United States Supreme Court case *Monell v. Department of Social Services*, 436 U.S. 658, 98 S.Ct 2018, 56 L.Ed.2d 611 (1978) County of Los Angeles will be held liable for the reckless, fraudulent, and unconstitutional actions of its employees Latrice Antoinette George-Byrdsong **(paid $ 244,129 by the County of Los Angeles in 2024)**, RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg **(SBN 291501) (paid $ 310,478.00 in total pay and benefits by the County of Los Angeles in 2024)**, Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510)** (paid $ 372,124.00 in total pay and benefits by County of Los Angeles in 2024), and Vanessa Ann Evangelista **(SBN # 238474) (paid $ 226,110 in total pay and benefits by the County of Los Angeles in 2024)**.

Several times during the years 2015, 2016, 2017, and 2018 County of Los Angeles has willfully, recklessly, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, wantonly, fraudulently, and callously allowed legal document process servers employed by County of Los Angeles's longstanding co-conspirators Rapid Legal, ABC Legal, One Legal, Pro Legal, United Legal, Countywide Process LLC, One Legal, and many other companies to impede, block, and obstruct Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Stanley Mosk Superior Court entrances, exits, outside of Stanley Mosk courthouse handicap ramps,

Arogant Hollywood
Alison Helen Fairchild
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Email: fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

inside court hallways, inside court hallways, and outside court handicap ramp leading to 2nd Floor court entrance.

During September 2025 and October 2025 County of Los Angeles and its employees, Deni K. Butler and David Wayne Slayton, have all willfully, recklessly, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, wantonly, fraudulently, and callously allowed legal document process servers employed by County of Los Angeles's longstanding co-conspirators Rapid Legal, ABC Legal, One Legal, Pro Legal, United Legal, Countywide Process LLC, One Legal, and many other companies to impede, block, and obstruct Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Stanley Mosk Superior Court entrances, exits, outside handicap ramps, inside court hallways, inside court hallways, and outside court handicap ramp leading to 2nd Floor court entrance, which also impeded, blocked, and obstructed disabled Alison Helen Fairchild's path and ability to maneuver her wheelchair safely to enter and exit the Superior Court of California courthouse while she was present there with KING AROGANT on September 5, 2025 and again a second and third time during the month of October 2025. County of Los Angeles, David Wayne Slayton and Deni K. Butler are hereby being forewarned that their reckless failure to immediately remove these loitering and soliciting individuals from being anywhere near these Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Stanley Mosk Superior Court entrances, exits, outside handicap ramps, inside court hallways, inside court hallways, and outside court handicap ramp leading to 2nd Floor court entrance will result in a civil rights being filed against them all, and each of them. County of Los Angeles employees will be held liable for Luna’s lazy peace officers

Arogant Hollywood
Alison Helen Fairchild
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Email: fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

failing to remove these ADA entrances and exits blocking soliciting and loitering individuals from the Stanley Mosk Courthouse's entrances, exits, hallways, and walkways. **THESE LOITERING, SOLICITING, & CONDUCTING BUSINESS ON COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES PUBLIC PROPERTY MUST BE REMOVED IMMEDIATELY!**


Over the course of October 2025, the County of Los Angeles and its employees have all willfully, recklessly, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, wantonly, fraudulently, and callously violated KING AROGANT and Alison Helen Fairchild's constitutional First and Fourteenth Amendment civil rights by recklessly failing to upload each and every page and attachment of their public comments. Accordingly, pursuant to **California Government Code Section 54950**, the County of Los Angeles and its elected Board of Supervisors employees Lindsey Patrice Horvath **(paid $ 389,248.00 by the County of Los Angeles in 2024 for her total benefits and pay), Holly J. Mitchell (paid $ 372,850.00 by the County of Los Angeles in 2024 for her total benefits and pay), Hilda Solis (paid $ 390,346 by the County of Los Angeles in 2024 for her total benefits and pay), Kathryn Barger-Leibrich (paid $ 394,630 by the County of Los Angeles in 2024 for her total benefits and pay) and Janice Hahn (paid $ 371,719 by the County of Los Angeles in 2024 for her total benefits and pay) had better make sure that all pages and attachments of October 14, 2025, October 21, and October 28, 2025 KING AROGANT and Alison Helen Fairchild County of Los Angeles Board of Supervisors' Public Board Comments become official records of County of Los Angeles and are also accessible to the public by way of County of Los Angeles's**

Arogant Hollywood
Alison Helen Fairchild
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Email: fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Statement of Proceedings/Minutes in a timely and reasonable manner.

On the early morning of November 17, 2025, KING AROGANT was present at the handicap ramp complained upon to this ridiculous board of supervisors panel. KING AROGANT took photographs and video recordings that proved by clear and convincing evidence that County of Los Angeles continues to willfully, recklessly, wantonly. maliciously, deliberately, knowingly, carelessly, negligently, and callously allow individuals to loiter, solicit, obstruct, block and solicit on the outside of the Stanley Superior Court's handicap ramp. See evidence below:

Arogant Hollywood
Alison Helen Fairchild
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Email: fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344



NOVEMBER 25, 2025, PUBLIC COMMENT

Arogant Hollywood
Alison Helen Fairchild
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Email: fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

The above image you see is the Stanley Mosk Courthouse handicap ramp complained upon to this deliberately indifferent County of Los Angeles Board of Supervisors. Due to the County of Los Angeles continuing to recklessly allow individuals working for its in collusion with legal process server companies' employees. On November 17, 2025, Alison Helen Fairchild declined coming to the Stanley Mosk Courthouse since she knew individuals would be impeding and blocking her path the the second floor of the Stanley Mosk Courthouse. COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES IS GETTING READY TO GET SUED! AND ITS FIVE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS ARE NOT ENTITLED TO ANY SHAPE, FORM, OR TYPE OF IMMUNITY!

Arogant Hollywood
Alison Helen Fairchild
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Email: fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws and Constitutions of California and the United States that every word, sentence, paragraph, page, video recording, audio recording, sound, and image of this CIVIL RIGHTS LEGAL WARNING & PUBLIC COMMENT FOR NOVEMBER 25, 2025, is true and correct.

DATE: NOVEMBER 24, 2025, 11:36 AM PACIFIC STANDARD TIME



ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106
Mobile: (626) 755-6442
Email: fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com

Spirit of Esquire


I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws and Constitutions of California and the United States that every word, sentence, paragraph, page, video recording, audio recording, sound, and image of this CIVIL RIGHTS LEGAL WARNING & PUBLIC COMMENT FOR NOVEMBER 25, 2025, is true and correct.

DATE: NOVEMBER 24, 2025, 11:36 AM PACIFIC STANDARD TIME

BY: Arogant Hollywood

Arogant Hollywood, *Esq.*
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106
Mobile: (206) 471-1344
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com

Spirit of Esquire



Arogant Hollywood
Alison Helen Fairchild
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Email: fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344


EXCELSIOR
FIRST LEGAL
UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq.
1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD.
PASADENA, CA 91106
Mobile: 206.471.1344
aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted
Spirit of Esquire

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
CENTRAL DISTRICT, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
STANLEY MOSK SUPERIOR COURTHOUSE

nathan joseph hochman (SBN # 139137) **david samuel hochman (SBN # 199404)** brian mark rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)** alexander michael karkanen **(SBN # 162377)** cynthia michiko nakao **(SBN # 137510)** victor Manuel rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)** county of los angeles, Petitioner(s), v. ***AROGANT HOLLYWOOD,*** ***WRONGFULLY PETITIONED*** Respondent,	**CASE NO. 25STRO03574** **Biased Latrice Antoinette George Byrdsong** **Ctrm: Department 25** **111 North Hill Street** **Los Angeles, CA 90011** **WRONGFULLY PETITIONED RESPONDENT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S VERIFIED PEREMPTORY CHALLENGE/ DISQUALIFICATION & OFFICIAL STATE OF CALIFORNIA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE COMPLAINT OF BIASED, UNETHICAL, & WANTON COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES EMPLOYEE AND AUNT JEMIMA SELL OUT LATRICE ANTOINETTE GEORGE BYRDSONG PURSUANT TO CAL CODE CIV PROC § 170.6; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES, DECLARATION OF AROGANT HOLLYWOOD** **Date of Hearing: November 17, 2025** **Time: 8:30 AM**

NOTICE OF 170.6 DISQUALIFICATION & AND OFFICIAL CALIFORNIA COMMISSION ~~ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE OF LATRESE~~ ANTIONETTE GEORGE BYRDSONG

Case No. 25STRO03574

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

TO: FAKE & PERJURIOUS PETITIONER COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

TO: FAKE VICTIM AND RACIST COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG (SBN # 291501)

PLEASE **TAKE NOTICE** that on **November 17, at 8:30 AM**, in Department 25 of the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Stanley Mosk Superior Court, located at 111 North Hill Street, Los Angeles, CA 91101.

Wrongfully petitioned AROGANT HOLLYWOOD **(hereinafter "KING AROGANT")** will move and does move this Superior Court for the immediate disqualification of biased, prejudiced, and unethical County of Los Angeles employee and Aunt Jemima Latrese Antoinette George Byrdsong pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 170.6.

KING AROGANT, acting in propria persona, in the above entitled case number, which has been assigned to the Prejudice & Biased Latrese Antoinette George Byrdsong, Judge of the Stanley Mosk Superior Court, Central District of the above entitled courtroom (Department 25), request that all future hearings and trial dates be reassigned from Latrese Antoinette George Byrdsong, and that all legal matters hereinafter arising in this frivolous civil law cause be heard by or reassigned to another judicial officer, on the grounds that County of Los Angeles employee Latrese Antoinette George Byrdsong has both demonstrated and acted with extreme prejudice, partiality, and biased against and towards KING AROGANT in a frivolous, groundless, meritless, ridiculous, prejudice,

hideous, scandalous, and racist workplace violence civil law action filed by fake petitioner County of Los Angeles, who defrauded this Superior Court by presenting false and fabricated testimony under the penalty of perjury in should have never been filed frivolous civil law action 25STRO03574.

This motion is based on the matters contained herein, and on the supporting declaration under the Penalty of Perjury of KING AROGANT, attached hereto and filed herewith.

This very long legal document is, in addition, an official California Commission on Judicial Performance complaint against Latrese Antionette George Byrdsong.

.

WHEREFORE, WRONGFULLY PETITIONED RESPONDENT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD prays that the relief herein requested be granted.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws and constitution of California and the United States that every word, sentence, paragraph, image, and page of this CIVIL LAW PLEADING of Wrongfully Petitioned AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S Verified 170.6 is true and correct.

Executed on November 16, 2025, in California, San Bernardino, County, city of Loma Linda, California

DATE: November 16, 2025

BY: _____

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, *Esq.*
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106
Mobile: (323) 200-4449
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com

Spirit of Esquire

PROOF OF SERVICE

I certify that on November 16, 2025, I served one copy of the foregoing.

WRONGFULLY PETITIONED RESPONDENT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S VERIFIED PEREMPTORY CHALLENGE/ DISQUALIFICATION & OFFICIAL STATE OF CALIFORNIA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE COMPLAINT OF BIASED, UNETHICAL, & WANTON COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES EMPLOYEE AND AUNT JEMIMA SELL OUT LATRICE ANTOINETTE GEORGE BYRDSONG PURSUANT TO CAL CODE CIV PROC § 170.6; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES, DECLARATION OF AROGANT HOLLYWOOD

,and any and all attachments, by electronic mail (.pdf format) and facsimile on the following:

Vanessa Ann Evangelista [SBN # 238474]

vevangelista@counsel.lacounty.gov

Nathan Joseph Hochman [SBN # 139137]

nhochman@da.lacounty.gov

David Samuel Hochman [SBN # 199404]

dhochman@glaserweil.com

Alexander Michael Karkanen [SBN # 162377]

akarkanen@da.lacounty.gov

Cynthia Michiko Nakao [SBN # 137510]

cnakao@da.lacounty.gov

County of Los Angeles Board of Supervisor Kathryn Barger

kathryn@bos.lacounty.gov

County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office Alhambra Field Office

626.281.4724

County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office

213.746.7556

Nathan Joseph Hochman [SBN # 182345], District Attorney

nathanhochman@da.lacounty.gov

nathan@da.lacounty.gov

nhochman@da.lacounty.gov

Steven Ian Katz [SBN # 145416], Chief Deputy District Attorney

skatz@da.lacounty.gov

James William Garrison [SBN # 157070], Line Operations, Assistant District Attorney

jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov

Ronald Millard Geltz [SBN # 263186], Former Line Operations Acting Assistant D.A.
rgeltz@da.lacounty.gov

James William Garrison [SBN # 157070], Former Ethics and Integrity Assistant DA
jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov

Fernando Sanchez [SBN # 312245], Special Assistant
fsanchez@da.lacounty.gov

Michael David Kapp [SBN # 2779277], Public Affairs Director
mkapp@da.lacounty.gov

Kathryn Bailey Albracht [SBN # 175822], Special Assistant
kalbrach@da.lacounty.gov

Arisa Mattson [SBN # 195578] Special Assistant
amattson@da.lacounty.gov

Tanishia Wright [non attorney], Former Director of Bureau of Victim Services
twright@da.lacounty.gov

Marc Cornelis Beaart [SBN # 173364], Director of Fraud and Corruption
mbeaart@da.lacounty.gov

Stephanie Pearl Mire [SBN # 158918], Former Prosecutions Support Operations Director
spmire@da.lacounty.gov

Laura Jane Kessner [SBN # 175337], Central Operations Director
ljkessner@da.lacounty.gov

Janis Eve Johnson [SBN # 175280], Head Deputy DA of Family Violence Division (74)
jjohnes@aol.com

Brittany Nicole Nickolas [RELATED TO EVE]
brittnick23@gmail.com
bnickolas@yahoo.com
zlzlbrittnick23@yahoo.com

Kathy Uyen Ta [SBN # 243716], Assistant Head Deputy DA of Family Violence Division
kta@da.lacounty.gov

Pak B. Kouch, [SBN # 204373], Assistant Head Deputy DA of Family Violence Division
pkouch@da.lacounty.gov

Mary Anne Murray [SBN # 185518], Assistant Head Deputy DA of Alhambra Field Office

mmurray@da.lacounty.gov

Victor Manuel Rodriguez [SBN # 156150], Former Head Deputy DA of Alhambra Field Office

vrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov

Cindy Juhyun Park [SBN # 271933], Deputy DA of Alhambra Field Office

cpark@da.lacounty.gov

Cynthia Nakao, Current Head Deputy in Charge of Alhambra District Attorney Field Office Deputy in Charge

cnakao@da.lacounty.gov

Robert Luna, SHERIFF OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT

rluna@lasd.org

Rogue Peace Officer Leo Ka Fong Lo [In possession of phony GOPRO video recordings]

lklo@lasd.org

Rogue Peace Officer Billy Khounthavong [Responsible for sending KING AROGANT's GOPRO camera to Southern California High Tech Task Force and Testing Falsely that Phony GOPRO video recordings GX010586 and GX010587 were downloaded from KING AROGANT's original GOPRO.

bskhount@lasd.org

Robert Bonta, California Attorney General

rbonta@doj.ca.gov

Nicole Amber Davis-Tinkham, Chief Deputy County Counsel of County of Los Angeles

rdavis-tinkham@counsel.lacounty.gov

nikki.davis1212@gmail.com

Judy Welch-Whitehurst, Chief Deputy County Counsel of County of Los Angeles

jwhitehurst@counsel.lacounty.gov

County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office Headquarters

info@da.lacounty.gov

RACIST AND LYING BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG
racistbrianmarkrosenberg@da.lacounty.gov

ROGUE AND RACIST PEACE OFFICER BENJAMIN YANG
byang@alhambrapd.org

AUNT JEMIMA SELL OUT LATRESE ANTIONETTE GEORGE BYRDSONG

lb@lacourt.org

UP NEXT CORRUPT JUDICIAL OFFICER MARK WINDHAM

mwindham@lacourt.org

and facsimile on the following:

626.281.4724 (COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE ALHAMBRA LOCATION

213.633.1956 (COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE HEADQUARTERS FAX NUMBER)

213.633.0960 (COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE FAMILY VIOLENCE DIVISION FAX NUMBER)

916.323.5341 (CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, OFFICE OF ROBERT BONTA, ATTORNEY GENERAL'S FACSIMILE NUMBER)

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws and Constitution of California, and under the laws, federal statutes, and Constitution of the United States of America that the foregoing declaration is true and correct.

Executed on November 16, 2025, in California, San Bernardino County, city of Loma Linda, California.

BY: Arogant Hollywood

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, *Esq.*
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106
Mobile: (323) 200-4449
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com

Spirit of Esquire

Arogant King

TABLE OF CONTENTS **Page(s)**

I. INTRODUCTION.....1

II. STATEMENT OF FACTS IN SUPPORT OF DISQUALIFICATION OF COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES EMPLOYEE LATRICE ANTOINETTE GEORGE BYRDSONG……...…….2

1. ENTER RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg's Frivolous Restraining Order……...1

2. KING AROGANT Was Ignored and Treated Like an Unwanted Guess When He Attempted to Serve a GoPro Video Recording, Evidence Audio Recording Evidence, and A Copy of His Nearly Five-Hundred-Page Civil Rights Complaint Against the State of California and the County of Los Angeles……...25

3. A Tradition of Corruption, Racial Bias, Racial Hostility, and Racial Animus…….42

4. State of California and County of Los Angeles' Recklessness Resulted In KING AROGANT'S False Arrest and Malicious Prosecution…….62

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

TABLE OF CONTENTS **Page(s)**

5. Cynthia Michiko Nakao (SBN # 137510) Willfully, Recklessly, Wantonly, Maliciously, Knowingly, Deliberately, and Callously Violated California Penal Code § 118, California Government Code Section 6200, California Business & Professions Code § 6068, State Bar of California Rules of Professional Conduct, Rules 3.1 and 3.3, By Fabricating Lies and Committing Perjury To Aid and Boast the County of Los Angeles's Conspiracy to Prevent KING AROGANT From Entering Alhambra Superior Courthouse.....83

6. ENTER RACIST, PERJURIOUS, AND FABRICATED COURT TESTIMONY OF COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES CONTRACTED EMPLOYEE THOMAS LEO-GUZMAN-SANCHEZ.....129

III. STATEMENT OF FACTS/ALLEGATIONS IN SUPPORT OF 170.6 & STATE OF CALIFORNIA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE OFFICIAL WRITTEN COMPLAINT FILED AGAINST BIASED, PARTIAL, UNFAIR, AND PREJUDICED COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES EMPLOYEE LATRESE ANTIONETTE GEORGE BYRDSONG.....141

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

TABLE OF CONTENTS **Page(s)**

IV. LEGAL ARGUMENT IN SUPPORT OF DISQUALIFICATION & CALIFORNIA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE COMPLAINT FILED AGAINST AUNT JEMIMA SELL-OUT LATRESE ANTIONETTE GEORGE BYRDSONG JUDGE.....192

A. DISQUALIFICATION & DISCIPLINARY ACTION OF AUNT JEMIMA SELL OUT LATRESE ANTIONETTE GEORGE BYRDSONG IS APPROPRIATE BASED ON HER BIAS, PREJUDICE, & RECKLESS VIOLATION CANONS……192

B. A SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE MAY BE DISQUALIFIED BASED ON IMPARTIALITY AND FIXED OPINIONS……193

C. Judge Latrese Byrdsong's Courtroom Behavior Shows a Pattern of Her Misconduct, and She Must Therefore Be Disqualified & Immediately Removed from Office By the California Commission on Judicial Performance……...195

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

TABLE OF CONTENTS **Page(s)**

V. CONCLUSION.....202

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases **Page(s)**

United States Supreme Court

Jenkins v. McKeithen, 395 U.S. 411, 89 S.Ct. 1843, 23 L.Ed 404 (1969)……..……....201

Goldberg v. Kelly, 397 U.S. 254, 90 S.Ct. 1011, 25 L.Ed.2d 287 (1970)…….……...201

Interstate Commerce Commission & United States v. Louisville & Nashville Railroad Company, 227 U.S. 88, 33 S.Ct 185, 57 L.Ed. 431 (1913)……..……202

Marshall v. Jerrico, Inc., 446 U.S. 238, 242-43, 100 S.Ct. 1610, 64 L.Ed.2d 182 (1980)…..194

Matthews v. George H. Eldridge, 424 U.S. 319, 96 S.Ct. 893, 47 L.Ed.2d 18 (1976) [64 L. Ed. 2d 182, 100 S. Ct. 1610] …….……202

William L. Greene v. McElroy, 360 U.S. 474, 79 S.Ct. 1400, 3 L.Ed.2d 1377 (1959)……202

Willner v. Committee on Character & Fitness, 373 U.S. 96, 83 S.Ct. 1175, 10L.Ed.2d 224, 2 A.L.R.3d 1254 (1963)……..……201, 202

California Supreme Court

Kloepfer v. Commission on Judicial Performance, 49 Cal.3d 826, 839-849 (1989)…..…..….196

Geiler v. Commission on Judicial Qualifications, 10, Cal.3d 270, 276 (1973)……..…….195

McCullough v. Commission On Judicial Performance, 49 Cal.3d 186 (1989)…….…...197

Noel Cannon v. Commission on Judicial Qualifications, 14 Cal.3d 678 (1975)…..……..….197

Rossco Holdings, Inc. v. Bank of America, 149 Cal.4th 1353 (2007)……...……193

Ryan v. Commission on Judicial Performance, 45 Cal.3d 518 (1988)……...….198

Spruance v. Commission on Judicial Qualifications, 13 Cal.3d 778 (1975)……..….197

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases **Pages**

California Appellate Case

Adoption of Richardson, 251 Cal.App.2d 222, 232 [59 Cal. Rptr. 323] (1967)……..……194

Church of Scientology of California v. Wollersheim, 10 Cal.App.4th 370, 6 Cal. Rptr.2d 532 (1992)……..……..……...…192

Ensher, Alexander & Barsoom v. Ensher, 225 Cal.App.2d 318, 323, 37 Cal.Rptr. 327 (1964)…….…….…….…193

Ng v. Superior Court, 52 Cal.App.4th 1010, 1023-1024 [61 Cal.Rptr.2d 49] (1997)…….……..…….……...….194

United Farm Workers of America v. Superior Court, 170 Cal.App.3d 97 [216 Cal.Rptr.3d 97] (1985)……...…….…....194

Urias v. Harris Frms, Inc., 234 Cal.App.3d 415 [285 Cal.Rptr. 659] (1991)……193

Statutes

Cal Code of Civ Proc. 170.1…….…..……193, 194, 195, 196

Cal Code of Civ Proc. 170.3……...……194, 195

Treatise(s)

27-317 California Forms of Pleading and Practice—Annotated § 317.195 (2015) ……...……..…….193

California Code of Judicial Ethics……..……10, 11, 19, 23, 24, 61, 149, 156, 158, 161, 165, 169, 173, 192, 198, 199, 200, 201

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS & AUTHORITIES

I. INTRODUCTION

The reckless actions of County of Los Angeles employee Latrice Antoinette George Byrdsong **(hereinafter "Aunt Jemima Sell Out or simply "Aunt Jemima")** violated her oath of office, the United States Constitution, and the Due Process Rights of wrongfully petitioned respondent AROGANT HOLLYWOOD **(hereinafter "KING AROGANT")**

II. STATEMENT OF FACTS IN SUPPORT OF DISQUALIFICATION OF COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES EMPLOYEE LATRICE ANTOINETTE GEORGE BYRDSONG

1. ENTER RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg's Frivolous Restraining Order

On November 17, 2023, Brian MARK Rosenberg filed a frivolous and perjurious petition for a civil harassment restraining order against KING AROGANT. Frivolous Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Pasadena, Family Law Division Case Number 23PDRO01713. In his civil harassment petition, County of Los Angeles employee Brian MARK Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501) filed the following perjurious and frivolous legal documents:**

Petition for Civil Harassment Restraining Order (Violence), Filed on November 17, 2023, 118 Pages

Superior Court of California Civil Case Cover Sheet, Filed on November 17, 2023, 7

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Pages Temporary Restraining Order (Form 110), Filed on November 17, 2023, 2023, 1 Page

Notice of Court Hearing (Form 109), Filed on November 17, 2023, 3 Pages
Superior Court of California, Minute Order, Filed by Pasadena Superior Court, Department S, On December 4, 2023. 1 Page


Request to Continue and Reissue TRO (Form 115), Filed by Pasadena Superior Court, Department S, On December 5, 2023, 2 Pages

While County of Los Angeles, Dawyn R. Harrison (SBN # 173855), Nicole Amber Davis-Tinkham (SBN # 229592), Brian MARK Rosenberg (SBN # 291501), Judy Welch Whitehurst (SBN # SBN # 182855, Vanessa Ann Evangelista (SBN # 238474), Cynthia Michiko Nakao (SBN # 137510), Nathan Joseph Hochman (SBN # 139137), Lindsey Patrice Horvath, Hilda L. Solis, Holly J. Mitchell, Janice Hahn, Kathryn Barger, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators all willfully, recklessly, maliciously, wantonly, carelessly, deliberately, carelessly, and callously filed just six pages of the one hundred and forty-two (142) pages Brian MARK Rosenberg filed in frivolous case **23PDRO01723**. **Proves by clear and convincing evidence that they all practice under color of law FRAUD, under color of law FRAUD UPON SUPERIOR COURT, under color of law DECEIT, and under color of law Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights violations of KING AROGANT;** County of Los Angeles, Dawyn R. Harrison (SBN # 173855), Nicole Amber Davis-Tinkham (SBN # 229592),

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Brian MARK Rosenberg (SBN # 291501), Judy Welch Whitehurst (SBN # 182855, Vanessa Ann Evangelista (SBN # 238474), Cynthia Michiko Nakao (SBN # 137510), Nathan Joseph Hochman (SBN # 139137), Lindsey Patrice Horvath, Hilda L. Solis, Holly J. Mitchell, Janice Hahn, Kathryn Barger, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators all knew that there was zero merit for Brian MARK Rosenberg's frivolous November 2023 Temporary Civil Harassment Restraining Order. See attached to KING AROGANT's Verified Appendix of Exhibits and below herein a true and correct copy of the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, docket records for the frivolous Superior Court of California case **23PDRO01713**

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344



See attached to KING AROGANT's Verified Appendix of Exhibits and below herein a true and correct copy of the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, of page one of the frivolous and illegal Civil Harassment Restraining Order After Hearing for the frivolous Superior Court of California case **23PDRO01713.**

IV. **THE**

CH-130 **Civil Harassment Restraining Order After Hearing**

Clerk stamps date here when form is filed.

FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles
DEC 20 2023
David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court
By: J. Guerrero, Deputy

Person in (1) must complete items (1), (2), and (3) only.

(1) **Protected Person**

a. Your Full Name: Brian Mark Rosenberg

Your Lawyer *(if you have one for this case)*
Name: _____ State Bar No.: _____
Firm Name: _____

b. Your Address *(If you have a lawyer, give your lawyer's information. If you do not have a lawyer and want to keep your home address private, you may give a different mailing address instead. You do not have to give telephone, fax, or email.)*
Address: 150 W Commonwealth Ave
City: Alhambra State: CA Zip: 91801
Telephone: 626 308 5437 Fax: _____
Email Address: brosenberg@da.lacounty.gov

Fill in court name and street address:
Superior Court of California, County of
LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT
NORTHEAST DISTRICT - PASADENA COURTHOUSE
300 EAST WALNUT STREET
PASADENA, CALIFORNIA 91101-1580

Court fills in case number when form is filed.
Case Number: 23PUR00173

(2) **Restrained Person**
(Give all the information you know. Information with a star (*) is required to add this order to the California police database. If age is unknown, give an estimate.)

*Full Name: Arogant Hollywood *Age: 44 Date of Birth: 12/9/78
*Race: Black Height: _____ Weight: _____ Hair Color: Blk Eye Color: Blk
*Gender: ☒ M ☐ F ☐ Nonbinary Home Address: Transient
City: _____ State: CA Zip: _____
Relationship to Protected Person: Defendant on case I Prosecute)

(3) ☐ **Additional Protected Persons**
In addition to the person named in (1), the following family or household members of that person are protected by the orders indicated below:

Full Name	Gender	Age	Lives with you?	How are they related to you?
_____	___	___	☐ Yes ☐ No	_____
_____	___	___	☐ Yes ☐ No	_____
_____	___	___	☐ Yes ☐ No	_____
_____	___	___	☐ Yes ☐ No	_____

☐ *Check here if there are additional persons. List them on an attached sheet of paper and write "Attachment 3—Additional Protected Persons" as a title. You may use form MC-025,* Attachment.

(4) **Expiration Date**
This Order, except for any award of lawyer's fees, expires at

Time: _____ ☐ a.m. ☐ p.m. ☒ midnight on *(date)*: 12/20/26

If no expiration date is written here, this Order expires three years from the date of issuance.

This is a Court Order.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Nevertheless, it does not matter because the nearly one hundred and forty-two pages of meritless garbage, Brian Mark Rosenberg ranted about did not support his claim that KING AROGANT had never engaged in any course of conduct with him. Moreover, his own words typed on his attachment page not only told the Superior Court the only things KING AROGANT had done to Brian MARK Rosenbeg, but Rosenberg's own attachment page told the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Pasadena Superior Court, Department S that KING AROGANT had only exercised his United States First Amendment constitutional civil rights, and very similar to frivolous case 25STRO03574, the allegations made by Brian MARK Rosenberg fall under California Code of Civil Procedure § 425.16.

On the attachment page of his frivolous Petition for Civil Harassment Restraining Order, Case 23PDRO01713, Brian MARK Rosenberg stated the following verbatim:

I received an email from Mr. Hollywood wherein he threatened to send me to federal prison and indicated that a "a series of emails arc coming soon! Complete with all the evidence that you broke federal law by abusing your power and authority as peace officers and public officers of the court! Get Ready!!!!!!". In addition to threatening this series of emails, Hollywood also told the detective on our case that "you had better run away as fast you can fool." This is the latest in a string of harassing communications that I believe are only aimed at intimidating and annoying me. This matter was reported to LASD. URN: 923-11730-0522-217.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

See attached to KING AROGANT's Verified Appendix of Exhibits and below herein a true and correct copy of the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, attachment page for frivolous and illegal Petition for Civil Harassment Restraining Order for the frivolous Superior Court of California case **23PDRO01713**

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

MC-025

SHORT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER:
Attachment 7a(3)-Describe Harassment	25PDR001713

ATTACHMENT *(Number):* _____

(This Attachment may be used with any Judicial Council form.)

I received an email from Mr. Hollywood wherein he threatened to send me to federal prison and indicated that a "a series of emails are coming soon! Complete with all the evidence that you broke federal law by abusing your power and authority as peace officers and public officers of the court! Get Ready!!!!!!". In addition to threatening this series of emails, Hollywood also told the detective on our case that "you had better run away as fast you can fool." This is the latest in a string of harassing communications that I believe are only aimed at intimidating and annoying me. This matter was reported to LASD. URN: 923-11730-0522-217.

(If the item that this Attachment concerns is made under penalty of perjury, all statements in this Attachment are made under penalty of perjury.)

Page _____ of _____

(Add pages as required)

Form Approved for Optional Use
Judicial Council of California
MC-025 [Rev. July 1, 2009]

ATTACHMENT
to Judicial Council Form

www.courtinfo.ca.gov

Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, judicial officer Timothy Richard Martella **(SBN # 97709)** should be disciplined for recklessly violating California Code of Judicial Ethics, Canon 1: A Judge Shall Uphold the Integrity and Independence of the Judiciary, Canon 2: A Judge Shall Avoid Impropriety and the Appearance of Impropriety in all of The Judge's Activities, and Canon 3: A Judge Shall Perform The Duties of Judicial Office Impartially, Competently, and Diligently over and over again. The Honorable Martella knew he was breaking the law when he granted a frivolous civil harassment restraining order based on zero allegations of credible threats of violence, zero allegations of civil harassment, and only allegations that fall under dismissal pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 425.16. Moreover, California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.6, subsection (b), paragraph (1) partly provides the following:

Constitutionally protected activity is not included within the meaning of "course of conduct".

See also California Penal Code § 646.9 (f) (same)
For the purposes of this section, "course of conduct" means two or more acts occurring over a period of time, however short, evidencing a continuity of purpose. Constitutionally protected activity is not included within the meaning of "course of conduct".

See also California Penal Code § 646.9 (g) (same), which partly provides:
The present incarceration of a person making the threat shall not be a bar to prosecution under this section. Constitutionally protected activity is not included within the meaning of "credible threat."

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Racially animus Superior Court of California judicial officer Timothy Richard Martella knew when he granted Brian MARK Rosenberg's restraining order that he was willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, carelessly, deliberately, knowingly, and callously violating California Code of Judicial Ethics, Canon 1: A Judge Shall Uphold the Integrity and Independence of the Judiciary, Canon 2: A Judge Shall Avoid Impropriety and the Appearance of Impropriety in all of The Judge's Activities, and Canon 3: A Judge Shall Perform The Duties of Judicial Office Impartially, Competently, and Diligently, California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.6, subsection (b), paragraph (1), numerous statutes of California Penal Code § 646.9, after reading Brian MARK Rosenberg's frivolous allegations of:

I received an email from Mr. Hollywood wherein he threatened to send me to federal prison and indicated that a "a series of emails arc coming soon! Complete with all the evidence that you broke federal law by abusing your power and authority as peace officers and public officers of the court! Get Ready!!!!!!". In addition to threatening this series of emails, Hollywood also told the detective on our case that "you had better run away as fast you can fool." This is the latest in a string of harassing communications that I believe are only aimed at intimidating and annoying me. This matter was reported to LASD. URN: 923-l l730-0522-217.

BREAKDOWN OF BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG'S FRIVOLOUS ALLEGATIONS AND LIABILITY TO HIS EMPLOYER, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES STATED HEREIN AND BELOW:

1. **I received an email from Mr. Hollywood wherein he threatened to send me to federal prison.**

Above frivolous civil harassment falls under the following California laws and statutes as protected speech and cannot be considered a course of conduct:

California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.6, subsection (b), paragraph (1)
California Penal Code § 646.9,
California Code of Civil Procedure § 425.16.
United States Constitution, First Amendment
***Virginia v. Black*, 538 U.S. 343, 123 S. Ct. 1536, 155 L.Ed.2d 535 (2003)**

> **KING AROGANT'S written email statements telling Brian MARK Rosenberg that he should go to federal prison were all constitutionally protected written statements and could not be used as a course of conduct incident. Which proves by clear and convincing evidence that 23PDRO01713 was a frivolous and meritless civil harassment Superior Court of California case!**

2. and indicated that a "a series of emails arc coming soon! Complete with all the evidence that you broke federal law by abusing your power and authority as peace officers and public officers of the court! Get Ready!!!!!!".

Above frivolous civil harassment falls under the following California laws and statutes as protected speech and cannot be considered a course of conduct:

California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.6, subsection (b), paragraph (1)
California Penal Code § 646.9,
California Code of Civil Procedure § 425.16.
United States Constitution, First Amendment
***Virginia v. Black*, 538 U.S. 343, 123 S. Ct. 1536, 155 L.Ed.2d 535 (2003)**

KING AROGANT'S written statements to Brian MARK Rosenberg that a series of emails were coming complete with Complete with all the evidence that you broke federal law by abusing your power and authority as peace officers and public officers of the court! Get Ready!!!!!!", all falls under constitutionally protected activity and speech. KING AROGANT had a constitutional right to confront public servant Rosenberg about falsifying evidence in frivolous and unconstitutional criminal case GA114055. Since all KING AROGANT'S statements were related to a court case all his statements fell under California Code of Civil Procedure § 425.16 as

well. Lastly, while Brian MARK Rosenberg has been very busy lying, fabricating declarations, and creating false evidence in frivolous cases 23PDRO01713 and 25STRO03574 that KING AROGANT had sent him multiple emails in the past. The truth, reality, and evidence will show that KING AROGANT has only sent Brian MARK Rosenberg one single email since November 2023. Thus, series of emails means nothing in regard to the meaning of California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.6, subsection (b), because KING AROGANT saw what a racially animus and lying indecent human being Brian MARK Rosenberg was, and intelligently avoided ever sending him a second email at any time in the future.

3. Hollywood also told the detective on our case that "you had better run away as fast you can fool." This is the latest in a string of harassing communications that I believe are only aimed at intimidating and annoying me. This matter was reported to LASD. URN: 923-l l 730-0.


Above frivolous civil harassment falls under the following California laws and statutes as protected speech and cannot be considered a course of conduct:

California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.6, subsection (b), paragraph (1)
California Penal Code § 646.9,
California Code of Civil Procedure § 425.16.
United States Constitution, First Amendment
***Virginia v. Black*, 538 U.S. 343, 123 S. Ct. 1536, 155 L.Ed.2d 535 (2003)**


AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

KING AROGANT'S written statements were not directed towards lying Brian MARK Rosenberg, but rather and instead these written statements were directed only towards rogue County of Los Angeles peace officer Billy S. Khounthavong. Thus, for this reason alone, KING AROGANT'S statements to Billy S. Khounthavong could not have been used against KING AROGANT in a civil harassment restraining order for racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg, since Khounthavong was not a party to the case, nor did he even write a declaration on behalf of lying Brian MARK Rosenberg. Moreover, KING AROGANT'S statements included no credible threats of violence, and statement run as fast as you can was typed immediately after KING AROGANT told Billy Khounthavong he was going to federal prison for falsifying evidence in his criminal case. Thus, KING AROGANT'S written statements were again protected by constitutionally protected activity with public employees. KING AROGANT'S email was actually sent to at the time United States Department of Justice, Director of the Office for Access to Justice, Rachel Rossi, by emailing her at rachel.rossi@usdoj.gov. See now attached to KING AROGANT'S Verified Appendix of Exhibits and herein below an image of KING AROGANT'S November 13, 2023, email sent to Brian MARK Rosenberg, Billy Khounthavong, Sheriff Robert G. Luna and Rachel Rossi.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344



See now attached to KING AROGANT'S Verified Appendix of Exhibits and herein below an image of KING AROGANT'S November 13, 2023, email sent to Brian MARK Rosenberg, Billy Khounthavong, Sheriff Robert G. Luna, and Rachel Rossi near the end when KING AROGANT tells rogue peace officer Billy S. Khounthavong that he had better run away as fast as you can.


https://mail.google.com/mail/u/1/#search/run+as+fast+as+you+can/FMfcgzGwHfqVCfdZsNBHptsMfSbpTgzq
Gmail
run as fast as you can
Compose
Inbox
Starred
Snoozed
Sent
Drafts
More
Labels
BRIEFING.COM
DATING.COM
LAW LIBRARY ORD...
MAIL UNDELIVE...
MAILER DAEMON...
SMM WORLD
SPECTRUM
TASTY TRADE
UBER
Establishing the intent behind a Constitutional violation requires proof beyond a reasonable doubt that the law enforcement officer knew what he/she was doing was wrong and against the law and decided to do it anyway. Therefore, even if the government can prove beyond a reasonable doubt that an individual's Constitutional right was violated, § 242 requires that the government prove that the law enforcement officer intended to engage in the unlawful conduct and that he/she did so knowing that it was wrong or unlawful. See Screws v. United States, 325 U.S. 91, 101-107 (1945). Mistake, fear, misperception, or even poor judgment does not constitute willful conduct prosecutable under the statute.
WHILE LYING BILLY AND RACIST MARK ROSENBERG'S ACTIONS ARE A MISDEMEANOR UNDER FEDERAL LAW. THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE MUST WORK WITH THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE TO CRIMINALLY CHARGE ROSENBERG, LEWIS, AND KHOUNTHAVONG PURSUANT TO VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 6200, WHICH IS A FELONY AND CARRY STATE PRISON TIME!
Manual of Policy and Procedures / Volume 3 - Policy and Ethics / Chapter 7 - Communications
3-07/220.20 - California Department of Justice Admonishment
3-07/220.00 - Prohibitions
3-07/230.00 - Data Communications Management
As an employee of the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department, you may have access to confidential criminal record and/or Department of Motor Vehicles record information which is controlled by statute. This would include the information in the Los Angeles County Regional Identification System (LACRIS), including the facial recognition tools within LACRIS. Misuse of such information may adversely affect the individual's civil rights and violates the law. Penal Code section 502 prescribes the penalties relating to computer crimes. Penal Code sections 11105 and 13300 identify who has access to criminal history information and under what circumstances it may be released. Penal Code sections 11140-11144 and 13301-13305 prescribe penalties for misuse of criminal history information. Government Code section 6200 prescribes the felony penalties for misuse of public records and CLETS information. Penal Code sections 11142 and 13303 state:
"Any person authorized by law to receive a record or information obtained from a record who knowingly furnishes the record or information to a person not authorized by law to receive the record or information is guilty of a misdemeanor."
California Vehicle Code section 1808.45 prescribes the penalties relating to misuse of Department of Motor Vehicles record information.
Any employee who is responsible for such misuse is subject to disciplinary action. Violations of this law may also result in criminal and/or civil actions.
BILLY! YOU HAD BETTER RUN AWAY AS FAST YOU CAN FOOL!
MUN - ARS
Video highlight
Search
1:24 PM
8/17/2025

KING AROGANT'S written statement of "you had better run away as fast as you can was not addressed to lying and racially animus, Brian MARK Rosenberg. Moreover, these same written statements addressed to rogue County of Los Angeles peace officer Billy S. Khounthavong did not fall under a course of conduct, or credible threats of violence because the statement was made immediately after KING AROGANT TYPED THE FOLLOWING:

> **WHILE LYING BILLY AND RACIST MARK ROSENBERG'S ACTIONS ARE A MISDEMEANOR UNDER FEDERAL LAW. THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE MUST WORK WITH THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE TO CRIMINALLY CHARGE ROSENBERG, LEWIS, AND KHOUNTHAVONG PURSUANT TO VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 6200, WHICH IS A FELONY AND CARRY STATE PRISON TIME!**

Not only did KING AROGANT'S written statements protect him from prosecution in civil harassment restraining order proceeding pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.6, subsection (b), paragraph (1) **California Penal Code § 646.9, California Code of Civil Procedure § 425.16, and United States Constitution, First Amendment. But in addition, pursuant to United States Supreme Court case *Virginia v. Black*, 538 U.S. 343, 123 S. Ct. 1536, 155 L.Ed.2d 535 (2003); KING AROGANT'S sent to Brian MARK Rosenberg, while directed toward rogue County of Los Angeles peace officer Billy S. Khounthavong, did not constitute a "true threat". Since KING AROGANT'S written statement did not constitute a true**

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

threat, it could not be used as an allegation of credible threats of violence, and thus, Superior Court of California civil court judicial officer Timothy Richard Martella knew when he granted Brian MARK Rosenberg's frivolous civil harassment restraining order that he was willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, carelessly, deliberately, knowingly, and callously violating California Code of Judicial Ethics, Canon 1: A Judge Shall Uphold the Integrity and Independence of the Judiciary, Canon 2: A Judge Shall Avoid Impropriety and the Appearance of Impropriety in all of The Judge's Activities, and Canon 3: A Judge Shall Perform The Duties of Judicial Office Impartially, Competently, and Diligently, California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.6, subsection (b), paragraph (1), numerous statutes of California Penal Code § 646.9 after reading Brian MARK Rosenberg's frivolous allegations of:

Hollywood also told the detective on our case that "you had better run away as fast you can fool." This is the latest in a string of harassing communications that I believe are only aimed at intimidating and annoying me. This matter was reported to LASD. URN: 923-l l 730-0

Numerous State of California Commission on Judicial Performance complaints will be filed against Timothy Richard Martella, Suzette Clover, and Michael Villalobos in the coming months of 2025.

If that were not enough, there is substantial case law and evidence to support the argument that Brian Mark Rosenberg's frivolous November 2023 civil harassment restraining order should never have been granted. Here is one more

food for thought. In criminal case People v. Felix, (2001), 92 Cal.App..4th 905, 112 Cal.Rptr.2d 311, the Court of Appeal recited People v. Teal (1998) 61 Cal.App.4th 277, 281 [71 Cal.Rptr.2d 644] both appellate panels agreed that KING AROGANT'S written statements to Billy Khounthavong and Brian MARK Rosenberg were not "true threats" and thus, did not constitute credible threats of violence within the meaning of California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.6 subsection (b), paragraph (1).

Felix contends that he did not intend his statements to Levinger to be threats, they were only statements made as part of his therapy. Section 422 was not enacted to punish emotional outbursts, it targets only those who try to instill fear in others. (In re Ricky T. (2001) 87 Cal. App.4th 1132, 1141 [105 Cal.Rptr.2d 165].) "one may, in private, curse one's enemies, pummel pillows, and shout revenge for real or imagined wrongs-safe from section 422 sanction. ***Id.*** at **People v. Felix, (2001), 92 Cal.App..4th 905, 112 Cal.Rptr.2d 311**

In People v. Teal (1998), 61 Cal.App.4th 277, the language was clearer.

We agree that section 422 is not violated by mere angry utterances or ranting soliloquies, however violent. One may, in private, curse one's enemies, pummel pillows, and shout revenge for real or imagined wrongs— safe from section 422 sanction.

***Id*. at page 281.**

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

But we disagree section 422 requires certainty by the threatener that his threat has been received by the threatened person. As with murder, if one shoots with the intent to kill, it is murder whether or not the shooter knows his bullet has hit its mark. So too, if one broadcasts a threat intending to induce sustained fear, section 422 is violated if the threat is received and induces sustained fear — whether or not the threatener knows his threat has hit its mark.

***Id*. at page 281.**

Pursuant to People v. Teal (1998), People v. Felix (2001), and Virginia v. Black, none of KING AROGANT'S written statements reported by Brian MARK Rosenberg on November 17, 2023, constituted a credible threat of violence within the meaning of California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.6 subsection (b).

None of KING AROGANT'S November 13, 2023, emails broadcast a threat intended to induce sustained fear in Brian MARK Rosenberg. KING AROGANT statement that "Rosenberg was going to federal prison was not a credible threat of violence, but rather a written statement of freedom of speech. KING AROGANT'S written statement of "a series of emails is coming was not a broadcast of a threat intended to induce sustained fear in Brian MARK Rosenberg, and moreover, as of August 17, 2025, KING AROGANT has never sent Brian MARK Rosenberg a single second email after he sent him his original and only email on November 13, 2023, at approximately 1:19 AM

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

KING AROGANT'S written statements to the rogue County of Los Angeles peace officer Billy Khounthavong to run away as fast as you can, were immediately typed in the November 17, 2023, email after KING AROGANT had typed the following:

> **WHILE LYING BILLY AND RACIST MARK ROSENBERG'S ACTIONS ARE A MISDEMEANOR UNDER FEDERAL LAW. THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE MUST WORK WITH THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE TO CRIMINALLY CHARGE ROSENBERG, LEWIS, AND KHOUNTHAVONG PURSUANT TO VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 6200, WHICH IS A FELONY AND CARRY STATE PRISON TIME!**

Moreover, KING AROGANT's written statement of "run away as fast as you can" was not broadcast to Brian MARK Rosenberg; he was not the intended recipient of the alleged threat, and the intended recipient of the alleged threat, Billy S. Khounthavong chose to take zero action, and Khounthavong chose not even to write a declaration on behalf of Brian MARK Rosenberg. Thus, Brian MARK Rosenberg could not use an alleged threat he knew was directed to another person, and he even admitted was directed to another County of Los Angeles employee, as an allegation to boost his chances of getting his frivolous petition granted. The fact that the Honorable Timothy Martella granted Brian MARK Rosenberg's civil harassment restraining order based on an allegation that was not at all directed to Rosenberg, and in which Billy Khounthavong was not listed as a protected person, let alone a witness, proves by clear and convincing

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

evidence that the Honorable Timothy Richard Martella willfully, recklessly, maliciously, wantonly, deliberately, carelessly, and callously violated California Code of Judicial Ethics, Canon 1: A Judge Shall Uphold the Integrity and Independence of the Judiciary, Canon 2: A Judge Shall Avoid Impropriety and the Appearance of Impropriety in all of The Judge's Activities, and Canon 3: A Judge Shall Perform The Duties of Judicial Office Impartially, Competently, and Diligently, California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.6, subsection (b), paragraph (1), numerous statutes of California Penal Code § 646.9, California Constitution, the United States Constitution, KING AROGANT'S United States Fourth Amendment Search and Seizure Clause constitutional civil rights, and KING AROGANT'S United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights many times over when he at first granted Brian MARK Rosenberg's original frivolous temporary civil harassment restraining order on November 17, 2025, and again we granted his permanent frivolous and RACIST three-year injunction against KING AROGANT on December 20, 2023.

The County of Los Angeles, DOES 1-10, and all their co-conspirators are liable to KING AROGANT for civil rights violations because if KING AROGANT had of really made a credible threat of violence towards Brian MARK Rosenberg or Billy Khounthavong he would have been charged for making a felony criminal threat back in November 2023 and sent to prison for a long time a long time ago. In his attachment page, lying and racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg boasts that he filed a police report based on a written statement that was never even remotely addressed or direct towards him. **THIS WAS THE FILING OF A FRIVOLOUS AND FALSIFIED POLICE REPORT**. Brian MARK Rosenberg is neither the supervisor nor the lover of

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Billy Khounthavong; therefore, he could not legally file a police report on behalf of Billy Khounthavong. Moreover, Brian MARK Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)** did not file a frivolous police report with the County of Los Angeles, LASD. URN: 923-l l730-0522-217 to help Billy Khounthavong. Brian MARK Rosenberg filed the bogus police report in hopes of boasting his own frivolous civil harassment restraining order injunction. The above clear and convincing evidence proves that Brian MARK Rosenberg's civil harassment restraining order should have never been granted in the first place.

Timothy Richard Martella is hereby being put on notice that a judicial ethics complaint will be filed against him.

Racially animus and lying Brian MARK Rosenberg and Billy Khounthavong are hereby being put on notice that an official United States Department of Justice complaint will be filed against them, and the USDOJ's failure to criminal charge them with violations of 18 U.S.C. §§ 241 & 242 will result in United States government being claimed on its official form.

Racially animus and lying Brian MARK Rosenberg and Billy Khounthavong are hereby being put on notice that an official California Department of Justice complaint will be filed against them, and CALDOJ's failure to criminal charge them with violations of California Penal Code §§ 148.5 and 118.1 will result in State of California government being claimed on its official forms.


AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344


2. KING AROGANT Was Ignored and Treated Like an Unwanted Guess When He Attempted to Serve a GoPro Video Recording, Evidence Audio Recording Evidence, and A Copy of His Nearly Five-Hundred-Page Civil Rights Complaint Against the State of California and the County of Los Angeles

On October 30, 2024, at approximately 2:20 AM, KING AROGANT electronically served an electronic copy of GoPro video recordings that were returned to him on October 17, 2023, inside the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court on October 17, 2023 after George Gascón, Brian MARK Rosenberg, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators had willfully, recklessly, maliciously, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, and callously prevented KING AROGANT from obtaining copies of his original GoPro video recordings stored on his original GoPro Hero 10 Black Action Camera's 256 gigabyte SanDisk micro-sd card between February 23, 2023 and October 17, 2023. The original GoPro video recordings GX010586, GX010587, GX010588, GX010589, and GX010590 **(which were all meticulously split up by County of Los Angeles peace officers from GX010586) were electronically** served upon County of Los Angeles and State of California employees Dawyn R. Harrison (SBN # 173855), Nicole Amber Davis-Tinkham (SBN # 229592), Judy Welch Whitehurst (SBN # 182855), Vanessa Ann Evangelista (SBN # 238474), George Gascón, Robert G. Luna, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl Mire, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., Jeffrey D. Macomber. Jason D. Johnson, Jennifer Barretto, Tammatha Foss, Christopher Chambers, Ronald Broomfield, Jennifer

Benavidez, Michael Villalobos, Suzette Clover, Lindsey Patrice Horvath, Hilda L. Solis, Holly J. Mitchell, Janice Hahn, Kathryn Barger, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators in a Dropbox internet website link that was downloaded on any Macintosh, Windows Personal Computer, Android Mobile Device, and iPhone Mobile Device. See below a true and correct copy of KING AROGANT's October 30, 2024 GoPro video recordings email sent to County of Los Angeles and State of California employees Dawyn R. Harrison (SBN # 173855), Nicole Amber Davis-Tinkham (SBN # 229592), Judy Welch Whitehurst (SBN # 182855), Vanessa Ann Evangelista (SBN # 238474), George Gascón, Robert G. Luna, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl Mire, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., Jeffrey D. Macomber. Jason D. Johnson, Jennifer Barretto, Tammatha Foss, Christopher Chambers, Ronald Broomfield, Jennifer Benavidez, Michael Villalobos, Suzette Clover, Lindsey Patrice Horvath, Hilda L. Solis, Holly J. Mitchell, Janice Hahn, Kathryn Barger, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators attached to KING AROGANT'S Verified Appendix of Exhibits and attached below herein.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344


New tab
Homeless Model Lo
New tab
Here's Who Inherite
Inbox (597) - teddyl
AROGANT HOLLYW
https://mail.google.com/mail/u/1/#search/george+gascon/KtbxLvHLrgqwqNTkcmNMkszrbMRvxjmKvB
Gmail
george gascon
Compose
Inbox 5,892
Starred
Snoozed
Sent
Drafts 92
More
Labels
BRIEFING.COM 630
DATING.COM 557
LAW LIBRARY ORD... 2
MAIL UNDELIVE... 1,689
MAILER DAEMO... 233
SMM WORLD 2
SPECTRUM 26
TASTY TRADE 131
UBER 56
64 of 98
AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. jeffrey macomber, et al [ORIGINAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS STORED ON SANDISK 256 GB MICRO SD CARD THAT WAS RECKLESSLY MANIPULATED AND TAINTED BY COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES PEACE OFFICERS] (DROPBOX DOWNLOAD LINK) (ONLY VIDEO RECORDINGS DATED FOR FEBRUARY 21, 2023)
Inbox ×
Arogant Hollywood <arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>
Oct 30, 2024, 2:20 AM
AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. jeffrey macomber, et al [ORIGINAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS STORED ON SANDISK 256 GB MICRO SD CARD THAT WAS RECKLESSLY MANIPULATED AND TAINTED BY COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES PEACE OFFICERS] (DROPBOX DOWNLOAD LINK) (ONLY VIDEO RECORDINGS DATED FOR FEBRUARY 21, 2023)
AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. jeffrey macomber, et al [ORIGINAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS STORED ON SANDISK 256 GB MICRO SD CARD THAT WAS RECKLESSLY MANIPULATED AND TAINTED BY COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES PEACE OFFICERS] (DROPBOX DOWNLOAD LINK) (ONLY VIDEO RECORDINGS DATED FOR FEBRUARY 21, 2023)
BEFORE THESE RACIST AND BIASED COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES PROSECUTORS AND GEORGE GASCON START ACCUSING ME OF MANIPULATING GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS. UNDER THIS. THE ENTIRE UPLOAD OF ORIGINAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS AND ALSO LASD-MANIPULATED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS WAS RECORDED ON MY COMPUTER SCREEN! OVER 8 HOURS OF PROCESS THUS FAR!
DROPBOX LINK TO DOWNLOAD 256 GB SANDISK MICRO SD CARD THAT CONTAINED ON IT ALL MANIPULATED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS EDITED BY COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT FRAUD AND CYBER CRIMES BUREAU'S SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA HIGH TECH TASK FORCE. THE BELOW DROPBOX LINK INCLUDES LASD-CREATED AND MANIPULATED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GL010586, GL010587, GL010588, GL010589, GL010590, GL010591, & GL010592, WHICH WERE MANIPULATED AND SPLIT UP FROM CONTINUOUS AND UNINTERRUPTED GL010586, WHICH COVERED OVER 18 MINUTES OF THE PHONY AND MAKE-BELIEVE CRIMINAL THREATS CONFRONTATION AND ALLEGED KNIFE INCIDENT!
63°F
Sunny
Search
7:33 AM
8/18/2025



Despite being personally served a copy of all GoPro video recordings that prove KING AROGANT'S factual innocence by clear and convincing evidence since October 30, 2024 continuing on until August 18, 2025, Dawyn R. Harrison **(SBN # 173855)**, Nicole Amber Davis-Tinkham **(SBN # 229592)**, Judy Welch Whitehurst **(SBN # 182855)**, Vanessa Ann Evangelista **(SBN # 238474)**, George Gascón, Robert G. Luna, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl

Mire, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., Jeffrey D. Macomber. Jason D. Johnson, Jennifer Barretto, Tammatha Foss, Christopher Chambers, Ronald Broomfield, Jennifer Benavidez, Michael Villalobos, Suzette Clover, Lindsey Patrice Horvath, Hilda L. Solis, Holly J. Mitchell, Janice Hahn, Kathryn Barger, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators have all willfully, recklessly, maliciously, carelessly, deliberately, knowingly, carelessly, deliberately, and callously failed to assist KING AROGANT with overturning his wrongful and unconstitutional felony conviction and racially animus California State Bar attorneys Dawyn R. Harrison (SBN # 173855), Nicole Amber Davis-Tinkham (SBN # 229592), Judy Welch Whitehurst (SBN # 182855), Vanessa Ann Evangelista (SBN # 238474), George Gascón, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl Mire, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., Michael Villalobos, Suzette Clover, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators have all willfully, recklessly, maliciously, carelessly, deliberately, knowingly, carelessly, deliberately, and callously failed to assist KING AROGANT with overturning his wrongful and unconstitutional felony conviction pursuant to their duty to do so under State Bar of California Rules of Professional Conduct Rule 3.8, California Business and Professions Code § 6068, and many other state and federal laws, they all recklessly violated the United States Constitution and KING AROGANT'S Fourth and Fourteenth Amendment constitutional civil rights.


AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344


On October 30, 2024, at approximately 3:55 AM, KING AROGANT electronically served an electronic copy of GoPro video recording GX010586 that was returned to him on October 17, 2023, inside the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court on October 17, 2023 after George Gascón, Brian MARK Rosenberg, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators had willfully, recklessly, maliciously, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, and callously prevented KING AROGANT from obtaining copies of his original GoPro video recordings stored on his original GoPro Hero 10 Black Action Camera's 256 gigabyte SanDisk micro-sd card between February 23, 2023 and October 17, 2023. The original GoPro video recordings GX010586, **(which were all meticulously split up by County of Los Angeles peace officers from GX010586,) was electronically** served upon County of Los Angeles and State of California employees Dawyn R. Harrison (SBN # 173855), Nicole Amber Davis-Tinkham (SBN # 229592), Judy Welch Whitehurst (SBN # 182855), Vanessa Ann Evangelista (SBN # 238474), George Gascón, Robert G. Luna, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl Mire, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., Jeffrey D. Macomber. Jason D. Johnson, Jennifer Barretto, Tammatha Foss, Christopher Chambers, Ronald Broomfield, Jennifer Benavidez, Michael Villalobos, Suzette Clover, Lindsey Patrice Horvath, Hilda L. Solis, Holly J. Mitchell, Janice Hahn, Kathryn Barger, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators in a YouTube internet website link that was embedded within the electronic mail message and could be played from on any Macintosh, Windows Personal Computer, Android Mobile Device, and iPhone Mobile Device directly from the email. See below a true and correct copy of KING AROGANT'S October 30, 2024, GoPro video recording GX010586 email sent to County

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

of Los Angeles and State of California employees Dawyn R. Harrison (SBN # 173855), Nicole Amber Davis-Tinkham (SBN # 229592), Judy Welch Whitehurst (SBN # 182855), Vanessa Ann Evangelista (SBN # 238474), George Gascón, Robert G. Luna, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl Mire, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., Jeffrey D. Macomber. Jason D. Johnson, Jennifer Barretto, Tammatha Foss, Christopher Chambers, Ronald Broomfield, Jennifer Benavidez, Michael Villalobos, Suzette Clover, Lindsey Patrice Horvath, Hilda L. Solis, Holly J. Mitchell, Janice Hahn, Kathryn Barger, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators attached to KING AROGANT'S Verified Appendix of Exhibits and attached below herein.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344


https://mail.google.com/mail/u/1/#search/george+gascon/QgrcJHsTmbdfCFffmDzBQMKbvTGMzVZpWmV
Gmail
george gascon
Compose
Inbox
Starred
Snoozed
Sent
Drafts
More
Labels
BRIEFING.COM
DATING.COM
LAW LIBRARY ORD...
MAIL UNDELIVE...
MAILER DAEMO...
SMM WORLD
SPECTRUM
TASTY TRADE
UBER
AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. jeffrey macomber, et al [YOUTUBE VIDEO RECORDING OF ORIGINAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING GL010586 THAT WAS RECKLESSLY SPLIT UP BY LASD
Arogant Hollywood
AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. jeffrey macomber, et al [YOUTUBE VIDEO RECORDING OF ORIGINAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING GL010586 THAT WAS RECKLESSLY SPLIT UP BY LASD
PIECE OF SHIT NOW SUED AIRBNB HOST JAMES HAN WILLFULLY, MALICIOUSLY, CARELESSLY, KNOWINGLY, WANTONLY, CARELESSLY, AND CALLOUSLY THREW AWAY MY 120-PAGE HANDWRITTEN HABEAS CORPUS THAT TOOK ME OVER A WEEK TO WRITE IN JAIL. JAMES HAN RECKLESSLY STOLE FIVE LAPTOPS FROM ME, OVER $ 2,000 OF SEPARATE MERCHANDISE I PURCHASED ON AMAZON.COM, MY GOPRO CAMERA USED TO FILM VIDEO RECORDING GL010586, AND TWO OF MY EXTERNAL HARD DRIVES, AMONG OTHER THINGS. YET, IT WAS OBVIOUSLY MEANT TO BE FOR ME TO OVERTURN DEFENDANT GASCON'S PHONY AND UNCONSTITUTIONAL CRIMINAL CASE. MIRACULOUSLY, PIECE OF SHIT JAMES HAN WHILE HE STOLE A $ 5,000 CASE FROM MY OFFICE DEPOT PENCIL BOX AND DIAMOND RING I BOUGHT MY FIRST LADY ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD; HE LEFT THE ORIGINAL SANDISK MICRO SD CARD INSIDE OF THE BOX AND PLACED IT IN A BAG WITH OTHER STUFF THAT WAS CLEARLY TRASH! I HAVE IT, AND NOW GASCON! YOU WILL PAY FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT IN NOVEMBER 2024, MY WORD!
SEE ATTACHED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING GL010586 YOUTUBE VIDEO LINK ATTACHED HERETO!
ENTIRE UPLOADING PROCESS OF ORIGINAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING GL010586 (WHICH WAS SPLIT UP INTO GL010587, GL010588,
63°F
Sunny
Search
8:08 AM
8/18/2025



AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Despite being personally served a copy of all GoPro video recordings that prove KING AROGANT'S factual innocence by clear and convincing evidence since October 30, 2024 continuing on until August 18, 2025, Dawyn R. Harrison (SBN # 173855), Nicole Amber Davis-Tinkham (SBN # 229592), Judy Welch Whitehurst (SBN # 182855), Vanessa Ann Evangelista (SBN # 238474), George Gascón, Robert G. Luna, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl Mire, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., Jeffrey D. Macomber. Jason D. Johnson, Jennifer Barretto, Tammatha Foss, Christopher Chambers, Ronald Broomfield, Jennifer Benavidez, Michael Villalobos, Suzette Clover, Lindsey Patrice Horvath, Hilda L. Solis, Holly J. Mitchell, Janice Hahn, Kathryn Barger, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators have all willfully, recklessly, maliciously, carelessly, deliberately, knowingly, carelessly, deliberately, and callously failed to assist KING AROGANT with overturning his wrongful and unconstitutional felony conviction and racially animus California State Bar attorneys Dawyn R. Harrison (SBN # 173855), Nicole Amber Davis-Tinkham (SBN # 229592), Judy Welch Whitehurst (SBN # 182855), Vanessa Ann Evangelista (SBN # 238474), George Gascón, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl Mire, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., Michael Villalobos, Suzette Clover, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators have all willfully, recklessly, maliciously, carelessly, deliberately, knowingly, carelessly, deliberately, and callously failed to assist KING AROGANT with overturning his wrongful and unconstitutional felony conviction pursuant to their duty to do so under State Bar of California Rules of Professional Conduct Rule 3.8, California Business and Professions Code § 6068, and many other state and federal laws, they all

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

recklessly violated the United States Constitution and KING AROGANT'S Fourth and Fourteenth Amendment constitutional civil rights.

On December 3, 2024, KING AROGANT filed a nearly five-hundred-page constitutional civil rights complaint against Ashley Albiento, Jennifer Barretto, Dennis L. Beck, Jenniffer Benavidez, Robert Andres Bonta, Ronald Broomfield, Elizabeth Byers, Christopher Chambers, Yingchun Chen, Tammatha Foss, George Gascón, Peter Dwight Halloran, Jason D. Johnson, Cheryl Lynn Kaylor, Leo Ka Fong Lo, Jeffrey A. Macomber, Brian McGee, Bryce Miller, Gavin Christopher Newsom and Leah Tamu Wilson in United States District Court for the Eastern District of California, **2:24-cv-03351-DAD-CSK.**

In late January 2024 KING AROGANT;s fiancée and lady since 2012 Alison Helen Fairchild personally served a copy of the following upon State of California employees Jennifer Barretto, Dennis L. Beck, Jenniffer Benavidez, Robert Andres Bonta, Ronald Broomfield, Christopher Chambers, Tammatha Foss, , Peter Dwight Halloran, Jason D. Johnson, Jeffrey A. Macomber, Gavin Christopher Newsom, and Leah Tamu Wilson **(SBN # 222790):**

1 United States District Court for the Eastern District of California Summons.

2. One color copy of KING AROGANT's four hundred and eighty-six page civil rights complaint.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

3. **One copy of SanDisk 256-gigabyte USB portable flash drive that contained on it copies of the original split up by County of Los Angeles peace officers GoPro video recordings GX010586, GX010587, GX010588, GX010589, and GX010590**

4. One copy of the original audio recording of the October 22, 2023, telephone conversation between KING AROGANT and racially animus state government witness and County of Los Angeles employee Thomas Guzman-Sanchez was also included on the same **SanDisk 256-gigabyte USB portable flash drive.**

All of the above legal documents and evidence was personally served upon State of California employees Jennifer Barretto, Dennis L. Beck, Jenniffer Benavidez, Robert Andres Bonta, Ronald Broomfield, Christopher Chambers, Tammatha Foss, , Peter Dwight Halloran, Jason D. Johnson, Jeffrey A. Macomber, Gavin Christopher Newsom, and Leah Tamu Wilson **(SBN # 222790) at their registered agent for service of:**

300 South Spring Street
Suite 1700
Los Angeles, CA 90013

All legal documents were not only served upon the above-stated State of California employees and federal district court Defendants, but they were fully inspected, accepted, processed, and stamped at the California Department of Justice's local Los Angeles office located at 300 South Spring Street, Suite 1700, Los Angeles, CA 90013.

As of August 17, 2025, personally served Jennifer Barretto, Dennis L. Beck, Jenniffer Benavidez, Robert Andres Bonta, Ronald Broomfield, Christopher Chambers,

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Tammatha Foss, Peter Dwight Halloran, Jason D. Johnson, Jeffrey A. Macomber, Gavin Christopher Newsom, and Leah Tamu Wilson (SBN # 222790) have all willfully, recklessly, maliciously, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, deliberately, and callously failed to including but not at limited to failed to launch an investigation, failed to take legal action against Billy S. Khounthavong and Brian MARK Rosenberg, failed to assist KING AROGANT with overturning his wrongful, unconstitutional, and racist felony conviction, and recklessly failed to terminate KING AROGANT'S illegal and unconstitutional felony parole supervision even after being served clear and convincing audio recording evidence that KING AROGANT was sent to prison based on false testimony of Thomas Guzman-Sanchez, and they all failed to take legal action against Thomas Guzman-Sanchez for deliberately and knowingly violating California Government Code § 6200. Thus, in short, Jennifer Barretto, Dennis L. Beck, Jenniffer Benavidez, Robert Andres Bonta, Ronald Broomfield, Christopher Chambers, Tammatha Foss, , Peter Dwight Halloran, Jason D. Johnson, Jeffrey A. Macomber, Gavin Christopher Newsom, and Leah Tamu Wilson **(SBN # 222790) will all be legally held liable and they were all legal liable for County of Los Angeles, Nathan Joseph Hochman, and Brian MARK Rosenberg, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators' deliberate violations of the United States Constitution, KING AROGANT's United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause and Fourth Amendment Search and Seizure Clause constitutional civil rights after they first conspired to and then actually falsely imprison, falsely detain, and maliciously prosecute KING AROGANT from April 17, 2025 until June 25, 2025, which resulted in additional substantial harm and injury to KING AROGANT. See now attached to KING**

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

AROGANT'S Verified Appendix of Exhibits and herein below a true and correct copy of United States District Court Summons issued against Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, and Gavin Christopher Newsom.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Arogant Hollywood ,

CASE NO: 2:24-CV-03351-DAD-CSK

VS.

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL CASE

Jeffrey A. Macomber , et al. ,

TO: **Ashley Albiento, Jennifer Barretto, Dennis L. Beck, Jr, Jennifer Benavidez, Robert Andres Bonta, Ronald Broomfield, Elizabeth Byers, Christo Chambers, Yingchun Chen, Tammatha Foss, George Gascon, Peter Dwight Halloran, Jason D. Johnson, Cheryl Lynn Kaylor, Leo Ka Fong Lo, Jeffrey A. Macomber, Brian McGee, Bryce Miller, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson**

Defendant's Address: Leah Tamu Wilson
300 South Spring Street
Suite 1708
Los Angeles, CA 90013

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to serve on:

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106

an answer to the complaint which is served on you with this summons, **within 21 days after service of this summons on you,** exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be taken against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. Any answer that you serve on the parties to this action must be filed with the Clerk of this Court within a reasonable period of time after service.

KEITH HOLLAND

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Arogant Hollywood ,

vs.

Jeffrey A. Macomber , et al. ,

CASE NO: 2:24-CV-03351-DAD-CSK

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL CASE

TO: **Ashley Albiento, Jennifer Barretto, Dennis L. Beck, Jr, Jennifer Benavidez, Robert Andres Bonta, Ronald Broomfield, Elizabeth Byers, Christo Chambers, Yingchun Chen, Tammatha Foss, George Gascon, Peter Dwight Halloran, Jason D. Johnson, Cheryl Lynn Kaylor, Leo Ka Fong Lo, Jeffrey A. Macomber, Brian McGee, Bryce Miller, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson**

Defendant's Address:

Gavin Christopher Newsom
300 South Spring Street
Suite 1700
Los Angeles, CA 90013

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to serve on:

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106

an answer to the complaint which is served on you with this summons, **within 21 days after service of this summons on you,** exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be taken against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. Any answer that you serve on the parties to this action must be filed with the Clerk of this Court within a reasonable period of time after service.

KEITH HOLLAND

Case 2:24-cv-03351-DAD-CSK Document 2 Filed 12/03/24 Page 1 of 3

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Arogant Hollywood ,

CASE NO: 2:24-CV-03351-DAD-CSK

VS.

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL CASE

Jeffrey A. Macomber , et al. ,

TO: **Ashley Albiento, Jennifer Barretto, Dennis L. Beck, Jr, Jennifer Benavidez, Robert Andres Bonta, Ronald Broomfield, Elizabeth Byers, Christo Chambers, Yingchun Chen, Tammatha Foss, George Gascon, Peter Dwight Halloran, Jason D. Johnson, Cheryl Lynn Kaylor, Leo Ka Fong Lo, Jeffrey A. Macomber, Brian McGee, Bryce Miller, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson**

Defendant's Address:

Robert Andres Bonta
300 South Spring Street
Suite 1700
Los Angeles, CA 90013

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to serve on:

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106

an answer to the complaint which is served on you with this summons, **within 21 days after service of this summons on you,** exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be taken against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. Any answer that you serve on the parties to this action must be filed with the Clerk of this Court within a reasonable period of time after service.

KEITH HOLLAND


3. A Tradition of Corruption, Racial Bias, Racial Hostility, and Racial Animus


The People, Cynthia Nakao. Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexsander Michael Karkanen, Nathan Joseph Hochman, Scott Douglas Dominguez, and several other DDA3, DD4, and DDA5 co-conspirators worked in collusion to recklessly violate AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S United States Constitutional First, Fourth, and Fourteenth Amendment constitutional civil rights by them all willfully, recklessly, maliciously, carelessly, knowingly, wantonly, deliberately, and callously filed a frivolous five-count misdemeanor complaint without having any probable cause and for Counts 3, 4, and 5 without a single piece of evidence that KING AROGANT had violated California Penal Code § 166, subsection (a) and 653m by recklessly sending four electronic mail messages to racially animus and racially hostile FAKE AND LYING VICTIM Brian MARK Rosenberg (SBN # 291501) on November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, on June 8, 2023 at 8:03 AM, and June 8, 2024 at 8:34 AM. Cynthia Nakao. Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexsander Michael Karkanen, Nathan Joseph Hochman, Scott Douglas Dominguez, and several other DDA3, DD4, and DDA5 co-conspirators' joint and in collusion efforts were solely based on KING AROGANT'S race and in retaliation for him suing former County of Los Angeles District Attorney George Gascón in federal district court case 2:24-cv-03351-CSK, which was filed in the Eastern District of California on December 2, 2024.


AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

On April 8, 2025, at approximately 8:46 AM, KING AROGANT electronically served an electronic copy of GoPro video recording GX010586 that was returned to him on October 17, 2023, inside the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court on October 17, 2023 after George Gascón, Brian MARK Rosenberg, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators had willfully, recklessly, maliciously, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, fraudulently, and callously prevented KING AROGANT from obtaining copies of his original GoPro video recordings stored on his original GoPro Hero 10 Black Action Camera's 256 gigabyte SanDisk micro-sd card between February 23, 2023 and October 17, 2023. The original GoPro video recordings GX010586, **(which were all meticulously split up by County of Los Angeles peace officers from GX010586,) was electronically** served upon County of Los Angeles and State of California employees Dawyn R. Harrison (SBN # 173855), Nicole Amber Davis-Tinkham (SBN # 229592), Judy Welch Whitehurst (SBN # 182855), Vanessa Ann Evangelista (SBN # 238474), George Gascón, Steven Ian Katz, Robert G. Luna, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl Mire, Nathan Joseph Hochman, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., Jeffrey D. Macomber. Jason D. Johnson, Jennifer Barretto, Tammatha Foss, Christopher Chambers, Ronald Broomfield, Jennifer Benavidez, Michael Villalobos, Suzette Clover, Lindsey Patrice Horvath, Hilda L. Solis, Holly J. Mitchell, Janice Hahn, Kathryn Barger, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators in a YouTube internet website link that was embedded within the electronic mail message and could be played from on any Macintosh, Windows Personal Computer, Android Mobile Device, and iPhone Mobile Device directly from the email. Attached to the end of KING AROGANT'S Gmail electronic mail message were two electronic MP4

Formatted GoPro video recordings GX010586 and GX010587. See below a true and correct copy of KING AROGANT'S April 8, 2025, GoPro video recordings GX010586 and GX010587 email sent to County of Los Angeles and State of California employees Dawyn R. Harrison (SBN # 173855), Nicole Amber Davis-Tinkham (SBN # 229592), Judy Welch Whitehurst (SBN # 182855), Vanessa Ann Evangelista (SBN # 238474), George Gascón, Robert G. Luna, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Steven Ian Katz, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl Mire, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., Jeffrey D. Macomber. Jason D. Johnson, Jennifer Barretto, Tammatha Foss, Christopher Chambers, Ronald Broomfield, Jennifer Benavidez, Michael Villalobos, Suzette Clover, Lindsey Patrice Horvath, Hilda L. Solis, Holly J. Mitchell, Janice Hahn, Kathryn Barger, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators attached to KING AROGANT'S Verified Appendix of Exhibits and attached below herein.


https://mail.google.com/mail/u/1/#sent/KtbxLwHLtGvDGHLLfFmfTRRKlGndWjBxvq
Gmail
in:sent
216 of 858
KING AROGANT v. nathan joseph hochman, et al [ANOTHER WARNING TO COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES EMPLOYEES, PEACE OFFICERS, EXECUTIVES, AND ATTORNEYS TO CEASE AND DESIST MALICIOUSLY PROSECUTING FRIVOLOUS CRIMINAL CASE GA114055, ATTACHED MOTION TO DEMAND DISCOVERY, 162 PAGES
Inbox ×
MAIL UNDELIVERY ×
Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>
Apr 8, 2025, 8:46 PM
to nhochman, Vaenille-rocha, dfelizzatto, ttokat, mhanisee, skatz, Jbrooke-castano, jcastano, wfrayeh, jfoster, jgarrison, jtillson, Mariaramirez65, mramirez, ilee, Cris.buckley, cbuckley, Sfrank6576, sfranl
KING AROGANT v. nathan joseph hochman, et al. [ANOTHER WARNING TO COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES EMPLOYEES, PEACE OFFICERS, EXECUTIVES, AND ATTORNEYS TO CEASE AND DESIST MALICIOUSLY PROSECUTING FRIVOLOUS CRIMINAL CASE GA114055, ATTACHED MOTION TO DEMAND DISCOVERY, 162 PAGES
THE NEXT TIME I GO TO 211 WEST TEMPLE STREET, LOS ANGELES COUNTY TO SERVE NATHAN JOSEPH HOCHMAN LEGAL PAPERS, VIDEO RECORDING EVIDENCE, AND AUDIO RECORDING EVIDENCE RELATED TO A CRIMINAL CASE DO NOT ALLOW YOUR COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES PEACE OFFICERS TO PREVENT ME FROM ENTERING THE BUILDING OR YOU WILL FACE LITIGATION FOR THEIR RECKLESS ACTIONS!
NATHAN JOSEPH HOCHMAN AND ALL OTHER HEREBY ELECTRONICALLY SERVED STATE OF CALIFORNIA AND COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES EMPLOYEES. YOU ARE HEREBY ORDERED TO CEASE AND DESIST FROM DOING THE FOLLOWING:
1. CONTINUE TO MALICIOUSLY PROSECUTE FRIVOLOUS CRIMINAL CASE GA114055
2. RECKLESSLY FAILING TO OVERTURN CONVICTION ON YOUR OWN MOTIONS PURSUANT TO CALIFORNIA STATE BAR RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT,
Rule 3.8 Special Responsibilities of a Prosecutor (Rule Approved by the Supreme Court, Effective June 1, 2020)
3. RECKLESSLY FAILING TO TERMINATE AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S FELONY PAROLE SUPERVISION AFTER BEING SERVED AN AUDIO RECORDING PROVING KING AROGANT WAS
Search
5:48 PM
8/22/2025


https://mail.google.com/mail/u/1/#sent/KtbxLwHLtGvDGHLLfFmfTRRKlGndWjBxvq
Gmail
in:sent
216 of 858
HOLLYWOOD'S UNCONSTITUTIONAL PAROLE SUPERVISION BE IMMEDIATELY TERMINATED
Date of Hearing: April 25, 2025
Department 1
Time:
THE ATTACHED CRIMINAL MOTION IS 162 PAGES AND 23,080 WORDS.
GX010586.MP4
GX010587.MP4
NATHAN JOSEPH HOCHMAN. KING AROGANT KNOWS THAT YOU HAVE A LOT OF EXPERIENCE IN FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT AS A PLAINTIFF. IF YOU FAIL TO OVERTURN AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S WRONFUL, RACIST, SCANDALOUS, ILLEGAL, FRAUDULENT, AND UNCONSTITUTIONAL FELONY CONVICTION AND DISMISS FRIVOLOUS CRIMINAL CASE GA114055 ON YOUR OWN MOTION NO LATER THAN APRIL 23, 2025 YOU AND YOUR TEAM OF ATTORNEYS ELECTRONICALLY SERVED HEREIN WILL BECOME DEFENDANTS IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA. YOU HAVE ALL BEEN FOREWARNED HEREIN.
Search
5:50 PM
8/22/2025


https://mail.google.com/mail/u/1/#sent/KtbxLwHLtGvDGHLLfFmfTRRKlGndWjBxvq
Gmail
in:sent
216 of 858
4 Attachments • Scanned by Gmail
PROOF OF RACIS...
KING AROGANT'S...
98
Mail Delivery Subsystem
Apr 8, 2025, 9:05 PM
Address not found Your message wasn't delivered to skuhn@counsel.county.gov because the domain counsel.county.gov couldn't be found. Check for typos or unnecess
Arogant Hollywood <arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>
Apr 11, 2025, 1:15 PM
Search
5:51 PM
8/22/2025

Despite being personally served a copy of all GoPro video recordings GX010586 and GX010587 that prove KING AROGANT'S factual innocence by clear and convincing evidence as of April 8, 2025, and since November 28, 2023, and continuing on until August 22, 2025, Dawyn R. Harrison (SBN # 173855), Nicole Amber Davis-Tinkham (SBN # 229592), Judy Welch Whitehurst (SBN # 182855), George Gascón (up until November 30, 2024), Robert G. Luna, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510) (since February 4, 2025)**, Nathan Joseph Hochman **(since February 4, 2025)**, Steven Ian Katz **(since February 4, 2025)**, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl Mire, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., Jeffrey D. Macomber, Jason D. Johnson, Jennifer Barretto, Tammatha Foss, Christopher Chambers, Ronald Broomfield, Jennifer Benavidez, Michael Villalobos, Suzette Clover, Lindsey Patrice Horvath, Hilda L. Solis, Holly J. Mitchell, Janice Hahn, Kathryn Barger, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators have all willfully, recklessly, maliciously, carelessly, deliberately, knowingly, carelessly, deliberately, fraudulently, and callously failed to assist KING AROGANT with overturning his wrongful and unconstitutional felony conviction and racially animus California State Bar attorneys Dawyn R. Harrison (SBN # 173855), Nicole Amber Davis-Tinkham (SBN # 229592), Judy Welch Whitehurst (SBN # 182855), George Gascón (up until November 30, 2024, Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510) (since February 4, 2025)**, Nathan Joseph Hochman **(since February 4, 2025)**, Steven Ian Katz **(since February 4, 2025)**, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl Mire, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., Michael Villalobos, Suzette Clover, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators have all willfully, recklessly, maliciously,

carelessly, deliberately, knowingly, carelessly, deliberately, and callously failed to assist KING AROGANT with overturning his wrongful and unconstitutional felony conviction pursuant to their duty to do so under State Bar of California Rules of Professional Conduct Rule 3.8, California Business and Professions Code § 6068, and many other state and federal laws, they all recklessly violated the United States Constitution and KING AROGANT'S United States Fourth and Fourteenth Amendment constitutional civil rights.

On April 21, 2025, rogue and racially animus County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office Alhambra Head DDA Cynthia Nakao (DDA 5) instructed her lower level DDA2 and DDA3's to file frivolous counts 3, 4, and 5 against KING AROGANT while Cynthia Nakao was fully aware that rogue and corrupt peace officer Benjamin Yang had lied in his police report that emails sent racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg by KING AROGANT were attached to Benjamin Yang's falsified and fabricated police report. Cynthia Nakao also recklessly instructed her DDA2's and DDA3's to charge KING AROGANT with violation of California Penal Code § 602, subsection (o) while she had full knowledge that the Alhambra Public Library was open to the public at the time of KING AROGANT'S false arrest, and Cynthia Nakao had full knowledge that the Alhambra police private person arrest form was invalid and illegal because it was signed by rogue and dishonest peace officer Benjamin Yang.

On April 21, 2025, Nathan Joseph Hochman ordered DDA 5 Cynthia Nakao to transfer People's frivolous five-count criminal misdemeanor complaint to his County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office's Elite Criminal Preliminary Hearing Division in furtherance of the conspiracy to continue to recklessly violate KING AROGANT'S

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

constitutional civil rights but also because trying the frivolous case in Alhambra Superior Court presented a serious conflict of interest due to racially animus and lying Brian MARK Rosenberg, working as a DDA from the Alhambra Superior Court.

On April 21, 2025, a retired and temporary Superior Court of California judicial officer set KING AROGANT'S bail for $ 35,000 and issued an illegal criminal protective order. This criminal judge set KING AROGANT'S next court date for April 25, 2025.

On April 25, 2025, at approximately 10:30 AM, the People's frivolous case against KING AROGANT resumed inside Clara Shortridge-Foltz Criminal Justice Center, Department 45, with the Honorable Mark Windham presiding. At this criminal court hearing the People served KING AROGANT with a redacted copy of its discovery. The People's discovery given to KING AROGANT did not include a copy of Benjamin Yang's alleged Probable Cause Declaration and four Brian MARK Rosenberg emails he alleged were attached to his fabricated April 17, 2025, crime report. At the hearing KING AROGANT orally argued his criminal demurrer and told the Superior Court and Judge Windham that the rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang lied in his police report that racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg's November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, June 8, 2024 at 8:03 AM, and June 8, 2024 at 8:34 AM electronic mail messages sent by KING AROGANT were attached to Yang's fabricated police report. See now a true and correct copy of city of Alhambra police department and the County of Los Angeles's falsified and fabricated police report attached hereto as KING AROGANT'S Verified Appendix of Exhibits. At the April 26, 2025, court hearing, Superior Court of California judicial officer Mark Windham overruled KING AROGANT'S criminal demurrer,

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

reduced his bail to $ 30,000 and set his next Superior Court date for May 1, 2025. At the May 1, 2025, court hearing County of Los Angeles and its unidentified male deputy district attorney willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, carelessly, wantonly, and callously fabricated DA in court statements that KING AROGANT'S bail must be set at $ 60,000 because Brian MARK Rosenberg stated in his city of Alhambra crime report that he was "afraid of Mr. Hollywood". Over the objection of this racially animus and lying County of Los Angeles deputy district attorney, judicial officer Mark Windham set

KING AROGANT'S bail at $ 30,000.

On May 1, 2025, at approximately 9:30 AM, the People's frivolous misdemeanor case against KING AROGANT resumed with the Honorable Mark Windham presiding. At the hearing, KING AROGANT filed a timely Motion to Dismiss and a timely Motion to Compel. At the hearing racially animus and racially hostile County of Los Angeles deputy district attorney Willow Leah Rosenthal **(SBN # 345751)** willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, carelessly, wantonly, and callously requested that KING AROGANT'S $ 30,000 bail be increased to $ 60,000 after racially animus Rosenthal (formerly known as "Willow Leah Karfiol) made oral in-court statements that KING AROGANT had contacted her Preliminary Hearing Division DA and stated that he would sue employees who refused to give him the names of deputy district attorneys working in her office. Superior Court of California judicial officer Mark Windham overruled racially hostile and racially animus Willow Leah Rosentha's request to increase KING AROGANT'S bail, but Judge Windham ordered KING AROGANT not to make contact with any County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors either by telephone or electronic

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

mail. Numerous biased, racially animus, and racially hostile Caucasian and Anglo deputy district attorneys in court had willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, carelessly, wantonly, and callously over the course of a shot two weeks fraudulently and falsely requested that KING AROGANT'S bail be set at $ 60,000 based upon racially animus and racially hostile Brian MARK Rosenberg fabricated lies that he was in fear of his personal safety after KING AROGANT had foolishly and recklessly sent lying Rosenberg four separate emails on November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, June 8, 2024 at 8:03 AM, and June 8, 2024 at 8:34 AM. The Second Verified Appendix of Exhibits KING AROGANT would file days later, proved by clear and convincing evidence that **The People, Cynthia Nakao. Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexsander Michael Karkanen, Nathan Joseph Hochman, Scott Douglas Dominguez, and several other DDA3, DD4, and DDA5 co-conspirators all** willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, carelessly, wantonly, deliberately, and callously committed reckless under color of law FRAUD, reckless under color of law DE EIT, and reckless under color of law FRAUD Upon Superior Court in direct violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and 18 U.S.C. § 242 by fabricating lies in Superior Court that based on racially animus and racially hostile Brian MARK Rosenberg alleged he was afraid of KING AROGANT his bail should be set at $ 60,000 while Nathan Joseph Hochman **(SBN # 139137)**, Willow Leah Rosenthal **(SBN # 345751)**, Scott Douglas Dominguez **(SBN # 228843)**, Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510)**, and their numerous other co-conspirator DDA's all knew that they had no evidence in their possession to prove that KING AROGANT had emailed racially animus and racially hostile County of Los Angeles deputy district attorney Brian MARK Rosenberg November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, June 8, 2024 at 8:03 AM, and June 8, 2024 at 8:34 AM. Nathan Joseph Hochman **(SBN # 139137)**,

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Willow Leah Rosenthal **(SBN # 345751)**, Scott Douglas Dominguez **(SBN # 228843)**, Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510)**, and their numerous other co-conspirator DDA's committed reckless under color of law FRAUD, reckless under color of law DECEIT, reckless under color of law FRAUD Upon Superior Court, and 18 U.S.C. §§ 241 and 242 by requesting that two different judicial officers on April 21, 2025, April 26, 2025, and May 1, 2025 recklessly violate the United States Constitution and KING AROGANT United States Eighth Amendment constitutional civil rights by them all willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, carelessly, wantonly, deliberately, callously, and fraudulently opposing any bail amount for KING AROGANT beyond his own recognizance.

> Merriam-Webster's Dictionary of Law's Definition of fraud on the court is: fraud involving conduct that undermines the integrity of the judicial process (as by improperly influencing a judge, jury, or other court personnel); also: EXTRINSIC FRAUD in this entry.

> Merriam-Webster's Dictionary of Law's Definition of extrinsic fraud is: Fraud (as that involved in making a false offer of compromise) that induces one not to present a case in a court of deprives one of the opportunity to be heard; also: fraud that is not involved in the actual issues presented to a court and that prevents a full and fair hearing.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Nathan Joseph Hochman **(SBN # 139137)**, Willow Leah Rosenthal **(SBN # 345751)**, Scott Douglas Dominguez **(SBN # 228843)**, Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510)**, and their numerous other co-conspirator DDA's committed reckless under color of law FRAUD, reckless under color of law DECEIT, reckless under color of law FRAUD Upon Superior Court, and 18 U.S.C. §§ 241 and 242 by them all willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, carelessly, wantonly, deliberately, fraudulently, and callously denied KING AROGANT a fair bail hearing by them all improperly influencing two judicial officers including Mark Windham to believe that KING AROGANT had criminally and recklessly placed racially animus and racially biased Brian MARK Rosenberg in sustained fear by sending him an electronic mail messages to County of Los Angeles DDA work email address of brosenberg@da.lacounty.gov on November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, June 8, 2024 at 8:03 AM, and June 8, 2024 at 8:34 AM, which was fraudulent information given to judicial officers Michael Danby and Mark Windham that improperly influenced them both to set KING AROGANT'S bail at between $ 30,000 and $ 35,000, requested during numerous criminal court proceedings that KING AROGANT'S bail be set at $ 60,000, instead of ordering that KING AROGANT be released on his own recognizance.

At the hearing on May 1, 2025, Superior Court of California judicial officer Mark Windham kept KING AROGANT'S bail set at $ 30,000 over the repeated and persistent courtroom interrupting objection of Willow Leah Rosenthal. Judge Windham set KING AROGANT'S filed Motion to Dismiss and Motion to Compel to be heard at his next court date on May 12, 2025.

On May 12. 2025 the People, County of Los Angeles, Nathan Joseph Hochman **(SBN # 139137)**, Willow Leah Rosenthal **(SBN # 345751)**, Scott Douglas Dominguez **(SBN # 228843)**, Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510)**, and their numerous other co-conspirator DDA's frivolous five-count criminal complaint case resumed inside Department 45 of the Clara Shortridge-Foltz Justice Center with the Honorable Mark Windham presiding over illegal case number **25CJCM02162-01**. Before the commencement of the court hearing, KING AROGANT filed a nearly 30-page Motion to Terminate People's frivolous criminal protective order. Prior to the commencement of the court hearing KING AROGANT filed a nearly one-hundred-and-sixty-page legal document titled "Second Verified Appendix of Exhibit, which included exculpatory physical evidence that proved by clear and convincing evidence the People, County of Los Angeles Nathan Joseph Hochman **(SBN # 139137)**, Willow Leah Rosenthal **(SBN # 345751)**, Scott Douglas Dominguez **(SBN # 228843)**, Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510)**, and their numerous other co-conspirator DDA's had all willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, carelessly, wantonly, deliberately, fraudulently, and callously presented false evidence and fabricated lies in a criminal Superior Court that KING AROGANT sent four Gmail electronic mail messages to lying and racially animus County of Los Angeles deputy district attorney Brian MARK Rosenberg on November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, June 8, 2024 at 8:03 AM, and June 8, 2024 at 8:34 AM. Prior to the commencement of KING AROGANT'S May 12, 2025, criminal court hearing, KING AROGANT electronically served and also served upon Nathan Joseph Hochman by FedEx overnight courier copies of November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, June 8, 2024 at 8:03 AM, and June 8, 2024 at 8:34 AM Gmail emails that were not sent to lying

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

and racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg's County of Los Angeles DA work email of brosenberg@dalacounty.gov.

Prior to the commencement of the court hearing KING AROGANT electronically served upon the People, County of Los Angeles Nathan Joseph Hochman **(SBN # 139137)**, Willow Leah Rosenthal **(SBN # 345751)**, Scott Douglas Dominguez **(SBN # 228843)**, Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510)**, and their numerous other co-conspirator DDA's a copy of a nearly twenty-minute-long video recording that proved by clear and convincing evidence that KING AROGANT never sent an electronic mail message to lying and racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg on November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, June 8, 2024 at 8:03 AM, and June 8, 2024 at 8:34 AM. Yet on May 12, 2025 the People, County of Los Angeles Nathan Joseph Hochman **(SBN # 139137)**, Willow Leah Rosenthal **(SBN # 345751)**, Scott Douglas Dominguez **(SBN # 228843)**, Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510)**, and their numerous other co-conspirator DDA's willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, carelessly, wantonly, deliberately, fraudulently, and callously failed to immediately file a motion or orally request that frivolous case 25CJCM02162-01 be immediately dismissed as to Counts 3, 4, and 5 (which pertained to lying Rosenberg only).

The RACIST, racially animus, racially hostile, and racially biased misconduct of numerous County of Los Angeles employees and criminal prosecutors in frivolous misdemeanor case 25CJCM02162 highlights eerily similar similarities of RACIST and frivolous felony case GA114055:

County of Los Angeles has a custom, policy, practice, tradition, and procedure of ignoring exculpatory evidence served upon it.

County of Los Angeles has a custom, policy, practice, tradition, and procedure of willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, wantonly, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously filing criminal charges based upon fabricated and falsified crime reports.

County of Los Angeles has a custom, policy, practice, tradition, and procedure of willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, wantonly, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously filing criminal charges without having a single piece of inculpatory evidence.

County of Los Angeles has a custom, policy, practice, tradition, and procedure of willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, wantonly, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously refusing to dismiss criminal charges after being served electronic or physical exculpatory evidence that no crime ever occurred.

County of Los Angeles has a custom, policy, practice, tradition, and procedure of willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, wantonly, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously filing false criminal charges that were wholly supported by a counterfeit, bogus, fabricated, forged, misleading, phony, shammed, unverified, unfiled, with Superior Court, and unauthorized certification of Probable Cause Determination (Declaration).

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

County of Los Angeles has a custom, policy, practice, tradition, and procedure of willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, wantonly, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously maliciously prosecuting frivolous criminal cases and charges that should have never been filed.

.

County of Los Angeles has a custom, policy, practice, tradition, and procedure of willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, wantonly, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously maliciously continuing to malicious prosecute frivolous criminal cases after being served exculpatory evidence that proved that no crime occurred.

County of Los Angeles has a custom, policy, practice, tradition, and procedure of willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, wantonly, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously condoning, tolerating, consenting to, approving, and allowing its deputy district attorneys to exhibit, show, and have EXTREME hostility and racial animus towards African-American/Black United States citizens.

Merriam-Webter's Dictionary of Law definition of animus is:
INTENT < discriminatory

Merriam-Webster's Dictionary of Law's definition of malicious prosecution: the tort of initiating a criminal prosecution or civil suit against another party with malice and without probable cause.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

The People, County of Los Angeles, Nathan Joseph Hochman **(SBN # 139137)**, Willow Leah Rosenthal **(SBN # 345751)**, Scott Douglas Dominguez **(SBN # 228843)**, Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510)**, and their numerous other co-conspiring deputy district attorneys have all shown an extreme amount of racial hostility and racial animus by them all willfully, recklessly, maliciously, carelessly, wantonly, deliberately, fraudulently, and callously continuing to maliciously prosecute Counts 3, 4, and 5 of the County of Los Angeles's frivolous, unconstitutional, illegal, illicit, and frivolous five-count criminal misdemeanor complaint after they were all served exculpatory evidence that KING AROGANT never emailed racially animus and racially hostile Brian MARK Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)** on November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, June 8, 2024 at 8:03 AM, and June 8, 2024 at 8:34 AM; which resulted in high bail, bogus conditions of release to not contact any County of Los Angeles District Attorney field office, bogus criminal protective orders that made it a crime for KING AROGANT to enter the premises of the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court between April 17, 2025 and June 25, 2025. The willful, reckless, malicious, careless, wanton, deliberate, fraudulent, and callous actions, misconduct, misdoings, misdeeds and transgressions done by The People, County of Los Angeles, Nathan Joseph Hochman **(SBN # 139137)**, Willow Leah Rosenthal **(SBN # 345751)**, Scott Douglas Dominguez **(SBN # 228843)**, Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510)**, and their numerous other co-conspiring deputy district attorneys between April 17, 2025 and June 25, 2025 caused KING AROGANT to lose his liberty for nearly sixty (60) non-consecutive days, to be falsely imprisoned in one of the worst County Jails in the United States, and by having his $ 30,000 bail excessively raised to $ 150,000 after The People, County of Los Angeles, Nathan Joseph Hochman **(SBN # 139137)**, Willow Leah

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Rosenthal **(SBN # 345751)**, Scott Douglas Dominguez **(SBN # 228843)**, Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510)**, and their numerous other co-conspiring deputy district attorneys willfully, recklessly, maliciously, carelessly, wantonly, deliberately, fraudulently, and callously failed to dismiss the frivolous Counts 3, 4, and 5 which would have resulted in KING AROGANT being released and kept on his current $ 30,000 bond.

At the court hearing on May 12, 2025 Superior Court of California criminal judicial officer Mark Windham on the Superior Court record admitted that he had received an electronic copy of KING AROGANT'S four Gmail electronic mail messages that proved by clear and convincing evidence that KING AROGANT never emailed racially animus and racially hostile Brian MARK Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)** on November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, June 8, 2024 at 8:03 AM, and June 8, 2024 at 8:34 AM; which resulted in high bail, bogus conditions of release to not contact any County of Los Angeles District Attorney field office, bogus criminal protective orders that made it a crime for KING AROGANT to enter the premises of the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court between April 17, 2025 and June 25, 2025. Mark Windham stated on the court record that KING AROGANT'S emails sent to him made him doubt KING AROGANT'S mental stability and ability to represent himself. Judge Mark Windham recklessly revoked KING AROGANT'S propria persona self-representation, recklessly and knowingly raised KING AROGANT'S bail from $ 30,000 to $ 150,000, and then ordered that KING AROGANT'S next court hearing be commenced at the Hollywood Superior Court on May 27, 2025. The Honorable Mark Windham willfully, recklessly, maliciously, carelessly, wantonly, deliberately, fraudulently, and callously violated his oath of office, and California Code of Judicial

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Ethics, Canon 1: A Judge Shall Uphold the Integrity and Independence of the Judiciary, Canon 2: A Judge Shall Avoid Impropriety and the Appearance of Impropriety in all of The Judge's Activities, and Canon 3: A Judge Shall Perform The Duties of Judicial Office Impartially, Competently, and Diligently by him recklessly failing to dismiss Counts 3, 4, and 5 of The People, County of Los Angeles, Nathan Joseph Hochman **(SBN # 139137)**, Willow Leah Rosenthal **(SBN # 345751)**, Scott Douglas Dominguez **(SBN # 228843)**, Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510)**, and their numerous other co-conspiring deputy district attorneys' frivolous five-count criminal misdemeanor complaint after Mark Windham was electronically served exculpatory evidence in the form of portable document file media that proved to him by clear and convincing evidence that KING AROGANT that KING AROGANT never emailed racially animus and racially hostile Brian MARK Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)** on November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, June 8, 2024 at 8:03 AM, and June 8, 2024 at 8:34 AM; which resulted in high bail, bogus conditions of release to not contact any County of Los Angeles District Attorney field office, bogus criminal protective orders that made it a crime for KING AROGANT to enter the premises of the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court between April 17, 2025 and June 25, 2025, and by criminal judicial officer Mark Windham recklessly stripping away KING AROGANT's liberty on May 12, 2025 after KING AROGANT violated a court order to not contact any deputy district attorneys by email that was based on fraud done recklessly by The People, County of Los Angeles, Nathan Joseph Hochman **(SBN # 139137)**, Willow Leah Rosenthal **(SBN # 345751)**, Scott Douglas Dominguez **(SBN # 228843)**, Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510)**, and their numerous other co-conspiring deputy district attorneys. The Superior Court order made by Mark Windham became moot after KING

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

AROGANT served upon The People, County of Los Angeles, Nathan Joseph Hochman **(SBN # 139137)**, Willow Leah Rosenthal **(SBN # 345751)**, Scott Douglas Dominguez **(SBN # 228843)**, Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510)**, their numerous other co-conspiring deputy district attorneys, and Superior Court of California judicial officer Mark Windham, exculpatory video recording and portable document file evidence that proved by clear and convincing evidence that KING AROGANT never emailed racially animus and racially hostile Brian MARK Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)** on November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, June 8, 2024 at 8:03 AM, and June 8, 2024 at 8:34 AM; which resulted in high bail, bogus conditions of release to not contact any County of Los Angeles District Attorney field office, bogus criminal protective orders that made it a crime for KING AROGANT to enter the premises of the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court between April 17, 2025 and June 25, 2025.

4. State of California and County of Los Angeles' Recklessness Resulted In KING AROGANT'S False Arrest and Malicious Prosecution

On April 17, 2025, at 3:20 PM, KING AROGANT and his fiancée, Alison Helen Fairchild, arrived at the Alhambra Superior Court to file a physical copy of KING AROGANT'S Motion to Demand Discovery and a partially completed 1473.6 motion. After KING AROGANT and Alison Helen Fairchild (hereinafter "Fairchild") had arrived, they immediately went upstairs to the second floor to file KING AROGANT'S criminal motions. From the time of KING AROGANT and Alison Helen Fairchild's arrival at the Superior Court of California, Alhambra Superior Court, County of Los

Angeles, overtime peace officer sergeant Christopher Barreras stood by the entire time and practically escorted KING AROGANT and Alison Helen Fairchild to the elevator. KING AROGANT and Alison Helen Fairchild never made any contact with the Alhambra DA office shortly after their time of arrival at the Alhambra Superior Court.

On April 17, 2025, at 3:50 PM, KING AROGANT and his fiancée, Alison Helen Fairchild finished filing KING AROGANT'S criminal motions with Alhambra Superior Court head administrator Lisa Jackson and then got back on the elevator to go to the first floor ot serve a conformed copy upon County of Los Angeles, District Attorney's Office, Alhambra Field Office. Upon arriving back downstairs on the first floor of the criminal courthouse city of Alhambra rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang **[hereinafter "Yang"]** was present along with two other peace officer detectives that had bullet proof vests that had the word POLICE in all caps emblazoned on them. Peace officer Yang told KING AROGANT he that DA office had been evacuated and that he was investigating possible restraining order violation. KING AROGANT immediately turned on his GoPro camera and begin recording rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang and his co-conspirator peace officers. Shortly thereafter, KING AROGANT slid copies of papers he had filed in the office of Lisa Jackson under the front door of County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office, Alhambra Field Office. After placing court papers under the front door of DA office KING AROGANT and Fairchild departed the Alhambra Superior Court criminal courthouse.

On April 17, 2025, at approximately 4:15 PM, KING AROGANT and his fiancée Alison Helen Fairchild arrived inside the Alhambra Public Library located at 101 S. 1st

Street, Alhambra, CA 91801. Soon after arriving KING AROGANT had a verbal altercation with a 30-something-year-old Hispanic patron. Who told KING AROGANT *"I was in the military, I will knock you out."* After KING AROGANT heard this criminal threat he followed this patron outside of the library. Both men squared but no actual fight occurred because the coward military man had his wife/girlfriend standing by outside the entire time. KING AROGANT walked back inside the library at this time and back on the third floor, where his woman, Fairchild, was waiting for him to return. Also, the rogue city of Alhambra peace officer Benjamin Yang was waiting for KING AROGANT to return. California peace officer Benjamin Yang gave KING AROGANT the opportunity to leave the library on his own free will. KING AROGANT protested that he had done nothing wrong in leaving the library, refused to leave, and was subsequently arrested for one count of misdemeanor trespass.

On April 17, 2025, at approximately 4:45 PM rogue city of Alhambra peace officer Benjamin Yang contacted dishonest, disgraceful, corrupt, and racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg on his personal mobile phone number. On the call, Brian MARK Rosenberg willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, and callously told rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang that KING AROGANT sent him electronic mail messages to brosenberg@da.lacounty.gov on November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, June 8, 2024 at 8:03 AM, and June 8, 2024 at 8:34 AM. At the time of making these false allegations, Brian MARK Rosenberg knew that he was willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, and callously violating California Penal Code § 148.5, 18 U.S.C. §§ 241, and 242, and California Government Code § 6200. For his part in the city of Alhambra, State of California, and County of Los

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Angeles's rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, and callously violated California Penal Code § 118.1, California Code of Regulations, Title 11, §§ 1013 and 1205, and 18 U.S.C. §§ 241, and 242 by outright lying, fabricating false evidence, and writing false statements that the four emails that KING AROGANT sent to Brian MARK Rosenberg brosenberg@da.lacounty.gov on November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, June 8, 2024 at 8:03 AM, and June 8, 2024 at 8:34 AM were attached to the end of his falsified and perjurious peace officer crime report. LIAR! No such emails were attached to rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang's falsified and fabricated police report. Further prove of this is the fact that Yang's entire peace officer crime report was a maximum of twelve (12) pages, while if emails were attached to the crime report, it would have been approximately one hundred pages.

On April 17, 2025, at approximately 6 PM, rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang arrested KING AROGANT for the following bogus charges

1. **Violation of felony parole supervision**
2. **Violation of California Penal Code § 602, subsection (o)**
3. **Violation of California Penal Code § 166 (turning on GoPro Camera inside (Alhambra Superior Court)**
4. **Violation of California Penal Code § 166 (Emailing Lying Brian Rosenberg)**

See now a true and correct copy of pages 6 and 7 of the city of Alhambra peace officer Benjamin Yang's fabricated, illicit, and illegal crime report attached to KING

AROGANT'S Verified Appendix of Exhibits and herein below:

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

V-Rosenburg was afraid for his safety and the safety of his family after seeing S-Hollywood at the courthouse even after being served the restraining order. Furthermore, S-Hollywood had already violated the restraining order on the following dates, times by sending e-mails to V-Rosenburg himself and his coworkers.

Email #1:
From: Arogant Hollywood <arogantmusicinc@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 13, 2023 1:19 AM
To: rulunn@lasd.org; atardy@lasd.org; bkhounthavong@lasd.org; rlewis@lasd.org; Brian Rosenberg <BRosenberg@da.lacounty.gov>; rakelrossi@gmail.com; rachel.rossi@usdoj.gov; AROGANTHOLLYWOODGENIUS@gmail.com
Subject: [External] DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, ROSSI, AND OTHER OFFICIALS WILL SEE TO IT THAT ROSENBERG AND KHOUNTHAVONG GO TO FEDERAL PRISION

Email #2:
From: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Date: Tue, 28 Nov 2023 12:02:40 -0800
Subject: AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. state of california, united states, county of los angeles, city of south pasadena, city of arcadia, et al [SECOND EMAIL] [REAL VIDEO THAT PROVES THAT I WAS FRAMED FOR VIOLATING CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE SECTION 422(a)
NOTE: the receiver list is too long to list. See copy of e-mail.

Email #3:
From: Cheryl Kaylor <sheriditrader@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 13, 2024 6:14 PM
Subject: [External] OFFICIAL COMPLAINT OF ALISON MILLER FAIRCHILD ON BEHALF OF AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. sherrif robert luna, et al
NOTE: the receiver list is too long to list. See copy of e-mail.

Email #4:
From: Arogant Hollywood <arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, June 8, 2024 8:41 AM
Subject: people v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA114055) & UPCOMING FIRST CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT TITLED ARGANT HOLLYWOOD v. judy whitehurst, et al [DEMAND PEOPLE AND LASD IMMEDIATELY TURN OVER PHONY LASD GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GL010586 & GL010587
NOTE: the receiver list is too long to list. See copy of e-mail.

Email #5:
From: Arogant Hollywood <causeofaction10@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, June 8, 2024 8:58 AM
Subject: people v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA114055) & UPCOMING FIRST CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT TITLED ARGANT HOLLYWOOD v. judy whitehurst, et al [DEMAND PEOPLE AND LASD IMMEDIATELY TURN OVER PHONY LASD GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GL010586 & GL010587 [I GOT A LOT OF DIFFER...
NOTE: the receiver list is too long to list. See copy of e-mail.

These e-mails were in direct violation of the restraining order served to S-Hollywood for the protective order stated the following verbatim "The restrained person must not contact the protected person(s) directly or indirectly, by any means including telephone, mail, email, or other electronic means - peaceful written contact through 3rd party for service of legal papers allowed."

CONCLUSION:
Based on the above, with the approval of Watch Commander Lt. Soriano, I placed

04-23W25

Copy Authorized Person

S-Hollywood, Arogant under arrest for the following:

166(a)(4) PC - Violating court order not to record within a courthouse pursuant to LA County Superior Court Local Rules 2.17(b) - Prohibiting any person(s) from recording or photographing in the courthouse or courtroom without express permission from the presiding judge.

166(a)(4) PC - Violating protective order by contacting V-Rosenberg directly and indirectly via email.

602(o)(2) PC Trespassing. Refusing to leave Alhambra Library upon the request of Library Director.

Along with an outstanding warrant 24PDCM0253401 for $1.00 for 7875(c)(7) municipal code.

After the booking process, I contacted LA County Parole whom granted the parole hold for violating parole, 3056 PC.

I authored a probable cause declaration which was granted by Honorable Judicial Officer S. Knight: 04-17-25; 1958 hours.

Follow-Up:
On Friday, 04-18-25, I called the Alhambra Courthouse and asked to speak to Building Administrator Lisa Jackson. She later returned my call with Director of Public Security Bryan Glass.

Lisa Jackson stated the following in summary: On Thursday, 04-17-25, sometime in the morning, S-Hollywood contacted the public line or administrative line and was transferred to Jackson. S-Hollywood called to meet with Jackson to file paperwork/motions to his case that V-Rosenburg was assigned to. Jackson spoke to Honorable Presiding Judge Villalobos who allowed Jackson to allow S-Hollywood to come and file motions to court. Jackson stated LASD should have acted as security for the DA Office.

This concluded the phone call. I later e-mail Jackson my contact information and the report number per her request. She never responded to my e-mail.

-End of Report-

Copy for Authorized Person

04/20/25

The above evidence will soon prove that KING AROGANT was falsely arrested and all previously accused State of California, and the County of Los Angeles employees are liable to him for damages!

On Monday, April 21, 2025, KING AROGANT was arraigned on the following frivolous and false charges:

Count 1: Violation of California Penal Code § 602, subsection (o)
Count 2: Violation of California Penal Code § 166, subsection (a)
Count 3: Violation of California Penal Code § 166, subsection (a)
Count 4: Violation of California Penal Code § 166, subsection (a)
Count 5: Violation of California Penal Code § 653m

See now a true and correct copy of pages 6 and 7 of the city of Alhambra peace officer Benjamin Yang's fabricated, illicit, and illegal crime report attached to KING AROGANT'S Verified Appendix of Exhibits and herein below:

See now a true and correct copy of pages 1, 2, and 3 of the city of Alhambra and County of Los Angeles's fabricated, illicit, and illegal misdemeanor criminal complaint attached to KING AROGANT'S Verified Appendix of Exhibits and herein below:

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff, v. **AROGANT HOLLYWOOD (DOB: 12/09/1978)** Defendant(s).	**CASE NO.** ***MISDEMEANOR COMPLAINT***

The undersigned is informed and believes that:

COUNT 1

On or about April 17, 2025, in the County of Los Angeles, the crime of TRESPASS AND REFUSING TO LEAVE PRIVATE PROPERTY, in violation of PENAL CODE SECTION 602(o), a Misdemeanor, was committed by AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, who did unlawfully commit a trespass by refusing and failing to leave land, real property, and structures belonging to and lawfully occupied by another and not open to the general public, upon being requested to leave by a peace officer and the owner, his/her agent, and the person in lawful possession thereof.

* * * * *

COUNT 2

On or about April 17, 2025, in the County of Los Angeles, the crime of DISOBEYING COURT ORDER, in violation of PENAL CODE SECTION 166(a)(4), a Misdemeanor, was committed by AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, who did unlawfully commit contempt of court by willful disobedience of a process and order lawfully issued by a court, to wit, California Rule of Court 2.17.

* * * * *

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

COUNT 3

On or about June 8, 2024, in the County of Los Angeles, the crime of DISOBEYING COURT ORDER. in violation of PENAL CODE SECTION 166(a)(4), a Misdemeanor, was committed by AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, who did unlawfully commit contempt of court by willful disobedience of a process and order lawfully issued by a court, to wit, Restraiining Order.

* * * * *

COUNT 4

On or about June 8, 2024, in the County of Los Angeles, the crime of DISOBEYING COURT ORDER, in violation of PENAL CODE SECTION 166(a)(4), a Misdemeanor, was committed by AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, who did unlawfully commit contempt of court by willful disobedience of a process and order lawfully issued by a court, to wit, Restraining Order.

* * * * *

COUNT 5

On or between June 8, 2024 and April 17, 2025, in the County of Los Angeles, the crime of ANNOYING TELEPHONE CALLS, in violation of PENAL CODE SECTION 653m(b), a Misdemeanor, was committed by AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, who did unlawfully, and with the intent to annoy and harass, make repeated telephone calls and repeated contact, and make any combination of calls and contact, to another person, to wit BRIAN ROSENBERG, ALHAMBRA PUBLIC LIBRARY, by means of an electronic communication device.

* * * * *

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

NOTICE: Conviction of this offense will require the defendant to provide DNA samples and print impressions pursuant to Penal Code sections 296 and 296.1 if the defendant has suffered a prior felony conviction. Willful refusal to provide the samples and impressions is a crime.

NOTICE: The People of the State of California intend to present evidence and seek jury findings regarding all applicable circumstances in aggravation, pursuant to Penal Code section 1170(b) and *Cunningham v. California* (2007) 549 U.S. 270.

NOTICE: A Suspected Child Abuse Report (SCAR) may have been generated within the meaning of Penal Code §§ 11166 and 11168 involving the charges alleged in this complaint. Dissemination of a SCAR is limited by Penal Code §§ 11167 and 11167.5 and a court order is required for full disclosure of the contents of a SCAR.

Further, attached hereto and incorporated herein are official reports and documents of a law enforcement agency which the undersigned believes establish probable cause for the arrest of defendant(s) AROGANT HOLLYWOOD for the above-listed crimes.

I DECLARE UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY THAT THE FOREGOING IS TRUE AND CORRECT AND THAT THIS COMPLAINT CONSISTS OF 5 COUNT(S).

Executed at LOS ANGELES, County of Los Angeles, on April 21, 2025.

BENJAMIN YANG
DECLARANT AND COMPLAINANT

NATHAN HOCHMAN, DISTRICT ATTORNEY ..... BY: ALLYSON KIMMEL, DEPUTY

AGENCY: ALHAMBRA PD I/O: BENJAMIN YANG ID NO.: 276 PHONE : [redacted]
DR NO.: 000000002514319 OPERATOR: RO

DEFENDANT	CII NO.	CITATION NO.	BOOKING NO.	BAIL RECOM'D	CUSTODY R'TN DATE
HOLLYWOOD, AROGANT	031858966				04/21/2025

Pursuant to Penal Code Section 1054.5(b), the People are hereby informally requesting that defense counsel provide discovery to the People as required by Penal Code Section 1054.3.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

At the rigged Superior Court hearing KING AROGANT was arraigned over his request to demurrer. KING AROGANT'S bail was set at $ 35,000 over the objection of the rogue DDA, who wanted KING AROGANT'S bail set at $ 65,000.

At KING AROGANT'S next court hearing on or about April 26, 2025, in frivolous criminal case 25CJCM02162-01, his case was transferred to the Honorable Mark Windham in Department 45. After this Superior Court hearing, KING AROGANT again argued for bail reduction, and Judge Curry lowered his bail to $ 30,000 from $ 35,000. At this Superior Court hearing, KING AROGANT requested that the People and Willow Leah Rosenthal turn over and disclose copies of emails that rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang had lied about being attached to his fabricated and perjurious crime report. KING AROGANT also requested a copy of Yang's Superior of California, County of Los Angeles, Probable Cause Determination (Declaration).


At KING AROGANT'S next court hearing on May 1, 2025, in a frivolous and racist misdemeanor criminal case 25CJCM02162-01, KING AROGANT filed a nearly twenty-page Motion to Dismiss detailing how there was no probable cause or evidence linking him to committing any of the five frivolous charges. Additionally, KING AROGANT filed a Motion to Compel Nathan Joseph Hochman, Scott Douglas Dominguez **(SBN # 228843), and Alexander Michael Karkanen (SBN # 162377) to turn over and disclose copies of** November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, June 8, 2024 at 8:03 AM, and June 8, 2024 at 8:34 AM rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang lied were attached to the end of his no more than twelve page crime report. KING AROGANT also requested in his timely filed Motion to Compel a copy of rogue peace officer Benjamin

Yang's alleged probable cause determination declaration. Just like in how frivolous criminal Superior Court of California case GA114055, former County of Los Angeles District Attorney George Gascón, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl Mire, Brian MARK Rosenberg, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, and callously failed to turn over exculpatory and impeaching audio recording, 911 recording, and video recording evidence for several months. New County of Los Angeles District Attorney Nathan Joseph Hochman and his deputy district attorneys Alexander Michael Karkanen **(SBN # 162377)**, Scott Douglas Dominguez **(SBN # 228843)**, Willow Leah Rosenthal **(SBN # 345751),** DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators were all willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, and callously failed to turn over exculpatory probable cause paper form evidence, and emails paper form, which proved by clear and convincing evidence that the County of Los Angeles has always had a practice, policy, procedure, custom, and tradition of failing to turn over any evidence that could be used to exonerate KING AROGANT. On May 1, 2025, the Honorable Mark Windham set KING AROGANT'S next court date for May 12, 2025, and also set his Motion to Dismiss and Motion to Compel to be heard on that same date.

Between May 7, 2025 and May 12, 2025 KING AROGANT sent a series of emails to New County of Los Angeles District Attorney Nathan Joseph Hochman and his deputy district attorneys Alexander Michael Karkanen **(SBN # 162377)**, Scott Douglas Dominguez **(SBN # 228843)**, Willow Leah Rosenthal **(SBN # 345751),** DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators that proved by clear and convincing evidence that he never emailed lying Brian MARK Rosenberg on November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, June 8, 2024

at 8:03 AM, and on June 8, 2024, at 8:34 AM. In addition to the numerous emails that were sent to Nathan Joseph Hochman and his deputy district attorneys, Alexander Michael Karkanen **(SBN # 162377)**, Scott Douglas Dominguez **(SBN # 228843)**, Willow Leah Rosenthal **(SBN # 345751),** DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators. KING AROGANT also created a video recording on his computer that would prove by clear and convincing evidence that Brian MARK Rosenberg and rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, and callously violated California Penal Code § 118.1, 148.5, and 118; 18 U.S.C. §§ 241 and 242, and California Government Code § 6200 by filing a false police report that KING AROGANT had sent emails to lying and racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg on November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, June 8, 2024, at 8:03 AM, and June 8, 2024, at 8:34 AM and that those emails were attached at the end of Benjamin Yang's falsified and fabricated crime report. A Gmail electronic message sent to Nathan Joseph Hochman and his deputy district attorneys Alexander Michael Karkanen **(SBN # 162377)**, Scott Douglas Dominguez **(SBN # 228843)**, Willow Leah Rosenthal **(SBN # 345751),** DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators included the email messages from November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, June 8, 2024, at 8:03 AM, and June 8, 2024, at 8:34 AM.


See now below a true and correct copy of KING AROGANT'S email sent to Nathan Joseph Hochman and his deputy district attorneys, Alexander Michael Karkanen **(SBN # 162377)**, Scott Douglas Dominguez **(SBN # 228843)**, Willow Leah Rosenthal **(SBN # 345751),** DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators on May 9, 2025, at 5:39 PM, attached to KING AROGANT'S Verified Appendix of Exhibits and herein below:


https://mail.google.com/mail/u/1/#search/hochman+/KtbxLxgKKHSNrBnxjslrwDgIqZkMzqvkTg
Arogant Hollywood
AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq.
1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD.
PASADENA, CA 91106
Mobile: 206.471.1344
aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
3-D On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted
Spirit of Esquire
BLACK TRADE KING
FUTURE KING OF ENTERTAINMENT
BLACK DERIVATIVES KING
AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, ESQ
King
Arogant
Heat watch
Just issued
Search
4:18 PM
8/18/2025


Attached hereto is a true and correct copy of the GoPro recording YouTube channel page of video recordings that shows in detail for nearly twenty-one minutes that no Gmail emails dated for November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, June 8, 2024, at 8:03 AM, and June 8, 2024, at 8:34 AM were ever sent to "Brian Rosenberg." Attached to KING AROGANT'S Verified Appendix of Exhibits and below herein:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SdPpaoe9QTo

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344



Above, you can clearly see KING AROGANT searches on his Adobe Acrobat Pro for Brian Rosenberg's email of brosenberg@da.lacounty.gov, and no matches are found for the February 13, 2024, email, he lied under penalty of perjury that KING AROGANT sent him. [Note: This GoPro video recording was also presented at KING AROGANT'S illegal and unconstitutional hearing on workplace violence petition hearing held on August 19, 2025, and was recklessly objected to by rogue and racially animus County of Los Angeles attorney Vanessa Ann Evanglista (SBN # 238474).



Above, you can clearly see KING AROGANT searches on his Adobe Acrobat Pro for Brian Rosenberg's email of brosenberg@da.lacounty.gov, and no matches are found for June 8, 2024, at 8:03 AM email, Brian MARK Rosenberg lied under penalty of perjury that KING AROGANT sent him.

[Note this GoPro video recording was also presented at KING AROGANT'S illegal and unconstitutional hearing on the workplace violence petition hearing held on August 21, 2025, and recklessly objected to by the rogue and racially animus County of Los Angeles attorney Vanessa Ann Evanglista (SBN # 238474).

Despite all this clear and convincing evidence being served upon them, Nathan Joseph Hochman and his deputy district attorneys, Alexander Michael Karkanen **(SBN # 162377)**, Scott Douglas Dominguez **(SBN # 228843)**, Willow Leah Rosenthal **(SBN # 345751),** DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators continued willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, and callously refused to dismiss frivolous misdemeanor case 25CJCM02162-01.


On May 12, 2025, KING AROGANT filed a nearly one-hundred and fifty-page Second Verified Appendix of Exhibits that not only included all four November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, June 8, 2024, at 8:03 AM, and June 8, 2024, at 8:34 AM emails he never received, but also included a YouTube website link to KING AROGANT'S nearly twenty-one-minute video recording that proved by clear and convincing evidence that KING AROGANT never emailed, lying and racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg on November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, June 8, 2024, at 8:03 AM, and June 8, 2024, at 8:34 AM. All this exculpatory paper form and link to electronic evidence was filed in the Superior Court of California, Clara Shortridge-Foltz Criminal Justice Center, located in downtown Los Angeles. For which the 17th floor of the courthouse serves as an exclusive executive branch operation of the County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office .

On May 12, 2025, May 27, 2025, and June 10, 2025, KING AROGANT'S racist criminal case and charges were continued by the Superior Court.

On June 25, 2025, the Superior Court had had enough of Nathan Joseph Hochman and his deputy district attorneys, Alexander Michael Karkanen (SBN # 162377), Scott Douglas Dominguez (SBN # 228843), Willow Leah Rosenthal (SBN # 345751), DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators failing to turn over discovery, and thus, granted KING AROGANT'S nearly twenty-page Motion to Dismiss filed on May 12, 2025, that had laid out detailed grounds as to why Hochman's five-count complaint was illegally filed without any probable cause or evidence whatsoever. Nathan Joseph Hochman and his deputy district attorneys, Alexander Michael Karkanen (SBN # 162377), Scott Douglas Dominguez (SBN # 228843), Willow Leah Rosenthal (SBN # 345751), DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators, involuntarily assisted KING AROGANT with easily winning his motion to dismiss by, after a month of KING AROGANT filing it on May 1, 2025, never bothered to file an opposition to it! While the County of Los Angeles continues to deceive this Superior Court with the filing of its frivolous five-count misdemeanor complaint. KING AROGANT'S clear and convincing evidence below shows by clear and convincing evidence that County of Los Angeles, Nathan Joseph Hochman and his deputy district attorneys, Alexander Michael Karkanen (SBN # 162377), Scott Douglas Dominguez (SBN # 228843), Willow Leah Rosenthal (SBN # 345751), DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators five-count frivolous misdemeanor complaint was dismissed, which is an actual fact County of Los Angeles and its dishonest attorney Vanessa Ann Evangelista (SBN # 238474) failed to disclose in open Superior Court hearings in this Superior Court on June 30, 2025, and July 15, 2025.

See attached to KING AROGANT's Verified Appendix of Exhibits and attached herein and below a true and correct copy of the County of Los Angeles's frivolous five-count criminal complaint that they have been boasting about Mindlessly in frivolous case **25STRO03574.**

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344



AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

5. Cynthia Michiko Nakao (SBN # 137510) Willfully, Recklessly, Wantonly, Maliciously, Knowingly, Deliberately, and Callously Violated California Penal Code § 118, California Government Code Section 6200, California Business & Professions Code § 6068, State Bar of California Rules of Professional Conduct, Rules 3.1 and 3.3, By Fabricating Lies and Committing Perjury To Aid and Boast the County of Los Angeles's Conspiracy to Prevent KING AROGANT From Entering Alhambra Superior Courthouse

2. PERJURIOUS AND LYING DECLARATION OF CYNTHIA NAKAO

In her perjurious declaration, Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510)** willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously violated California Penal Code § 118, California Government Code Section 6200, California Business & Professions Code § 6068, State Bar of California Rules of Professional Conduct, Rules 3.1 and 3.3, by fabricating lies and committing perjury to aid and boast the County of Los Angeles's conspiracy to prevent KING AROGANT from entering the Alhambra Superior Courthouse by telling Stanley Mosk Superior Court the following in her early June 2025 perjurious declaration:

> Despite the issuance of the Rosenberg Restraining Order, on February 7, 2025, Mr. Hollywood came to the Alhambra Office, where Mr. Hollywood is aware that Mr. Rosenberg works. Luckily, Mr. Rosenberg was not at the Alhambra Office at the time that Mr. Hollywood came in. Mr. Hollywood approached the front desk to file documents. The front desk advised Mr. Hollywood tha1 he cannot file documents at the Alhambra Office. **Mr.** Hollywood refused to listen and while armed with scissors, he became aggressive, belligerent and argumentative with the staff. He expressed his anger and frustration that the staff could not file his court documents. and he aggressively shoved paperwork through the mail slot of the reception window. During this Incident, Mr. Hollywood threatened to sue Mr. Rosenberg because "he took twelve months of my life." As a result of Mr. Hollywood's harassing and disturbing conduct, law enforcement was called.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

In a nearly seventeen-minute GoPro video recorded on February 4, 2025, KING AROGANT is never seen wielding scissors. Cynthia Nakao got the date wrong and also what happened on February 4, 2025, lying in her declaration that KING AROGANT was wielding scissors inside the Alhambra DA office on February 7, 2025. Interesting, so how did KING AROGANT get past County of Los Angeles court security and peace officers with scissors? Cynthia Nakao is a damn LIAR! See now a true and correct copy of KING AROGANT'S seventeen-minute GoPro video recording proving that Cynthia Nakao willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, deliberately, fraudulently, and callously lied in her declaration that KING AROGANT was wielding scissors in his hands during his February 4, 2025, County of Los Angeles Alhambra DA office visit attached herein below:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=l9DqwQWLgfU

The above YouTube video recording proves by clear and convincing evidence that KING AROGANT never engaged in any course of conduct with any County of Los Angeles employee on February 4, 2025, during his DA visit at 150 West Commonwealth Avenue, Alhambra, CA 91801, First Floor, County of Los Angeles Attorney's Office, Alhambra Field Office.

The above YouTube video recording proves by clear and convincing evidence that Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510) is not entitled to any shape, form, or type of prosecutorial or judicial immunity.**

See now attached to KING AROGANT'S Verified Appendix of Exhibits and below herein a true and correct copy of lying Cynthia Nakao's declaration, at page 1.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

DECLARATION OF CYNTHIA NAKAO

I, Cynthia Nakao, declare as follows:

1. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein, except as to those stated on information and belief and, as to those, I am informed and believe them to be true. If called as a witness, I could and would competently testify to the matters stated therein.

2. I am a Deputy District Attorney for the Los Angeles District Attorney's ("LADA") Office and am the Head Deputy for the Alhambra Office of the LADA's Office ("Alhambra Office").

3. On or about December 20, 2023, a court issued a Civil Harassment Restraining Order ("Rosenberg Restraining Order"), effective until December 2, 2026, against Arrogant Hollywood, which prevented him from harassing, intimidating, stalking, threatening, assaulting, or disturbing the peace of Deputy District Attorney Brian Rosenberg. Mr. Rosenberg continues to work at the Alhambra Office. The Civil Harassment Restraining Order also restricts Mr. Hollywood from directly or indirectly contacting Mr. Rosenberg, and orders him to stay at least 100 yards away from Mr. Rosenberg. A true and correct copy of the Rosenberg Restraining Order is attached hereto as **Exhibit 1.**

4. Despite the issuance of the Rosenberg Restraining Order, on February 7, 2025, Mr. Hollywood came to the Alhambra Office, where Mr. Hollywood is aware that Mr. Rosenberg works. Luckily, Mr. Rosenberg was not at the Alhambra Office at the time that Mr. Hollywood came in. Mr. Hollywood approached the front desk to file documents. The front desk advised Mr. Hollywood that he cannot file documents at the Alhambra Office. Mr. Hollywood refused to listen and while armed with scissors, he became aggressive, belligerent and argumentative with the staff. He expressed his anger and frustration that the staff could not file his court documents, and he aggressively shoved paperwork through the mail slot of the reception window. During this incident, Mr. Hollywood threatened to sue Mr. Rosenberg because "he took twelve months of my life." As a result of Mr. Hollywood's harassing and disturbing conduct, law enforcement was called.

HOA 104302722 1

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

In her perjurious declaration lying and racially animus, County of Los Angeles deputy district attorney Cynthia Nakao lied under oath by stating the following:

As a result of Mr. Hollywood's harassing and disturbing conduct, law enforcement was called. Id. at paragraph 4.

On August 21, 2025, at approximately 3 PM, KING AROGANT spoke personally, to the City of Alhambra police department Records Division, Traci Lopez by dialing (626) 570-5151. Ms. Traci Lopez told KING AROGANT that the city of Alhambra police department received no calls from 150 Commonwealth Avenue Alhambra, CA 91801 on the following dates:

February 4, 2025

February 7, 2025

March 20, 2025, or any other date in March 2025

In March 2022, former assistant head deputy in charge, Maria Elena Ramirez (SBN # 150010) and former head deputy in charge Victor Manuel Rodriguez (SBN # 156150) both filed a joint lawsuit against the former County of Los Angeles District Attorney George Gascôn. Thus, there is no way with Rodriguez suing Gascon that he would promote him to headquarters. Current County of Los Angeles District Attorney Nathan Joseph Hochman assumed office on December 3, 2024, and promoted former Alhambra head deputy district attorney Victor Manuel Rodriguez to the headquarters shortly after taking office. Assuming that even if Nathan Joseph Hochman promoted Victor Rodriguez, on his first day of office on December 3, 2024, this would mean that lying and racially animus, Cynthia Nakao would have assumed office no more than sixty days from when KING AROGANT arrived at her DA office on February 4, 2025,

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

to serve a copy of the video recording and audio recording evidence upon her office that could be used to exonerate him! Cynthia Nakao has never met KING AROGANT and does not know him, and she has never had a single confrontation with him. Yet, Cynthia Nakao has so much racial animus towards KING AROGANT that after less than sixty days at her new job assignment, she is recklessly violating California Penal Code § 118 by lying on declaration that on February 7, 2025 KING AROGANT was terrorizing her DA office armed with could be lethal scissors and because of KING AROGANT'S violent actions law enforcement was called while she knew it was a lie and that no one from Alhambra DA office called law enforcement called police on KING AROGANT on any day of February 2025 as proved by clear and convincing evidence by city of Alhambra police department records. LIARS! The shocking and unnecessary racially hostile and racially animus actions of the current County of Los Angeles DDA 5 and the deputy in charge Cynthia Nakao prove by clear and convincing evidence that pursuant to the California Racial Justice Act of 2020, codified at California Penal Code § 745 KING AROGANT'S wrongful felony conviction must be immediately vacated.

A certified copy of said police records proving that racially animus and racially hostile County of Los Angeles deputy district attorney Cynthia Michiko Nakao (SBN # 137510) willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, deliberately, fraudulently, and callously make intentionally false statements in her declaration that one KING AROGANT was armed with scissors and (2) that a natural person working at the County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office, Alhambra Field office on February 7, 2025, called law enforcement on KING AROGANT due to his disruptive and aggressive behavior, which was reckless under color of law, DECEIT, and

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

recklessly under color of law, FRAUD was committed by Nakao, tricking, fooling, manipulating and otherwise deceiving a Superior Court of California civil court to foolishly believe that law enforcement was called on February 7, 2025, because KING AROGANT was brandishing scissors, which, under color of law, FRAUD, and under color of law FRAUD UPON SUPERIOR COURT done by Nakao, Alexander Michael Karkanen **(SBN # 162377)**, Scott Douglas Dominguez **(SBN # 228843)**, Willow Leah Rosenthal **(SBN # 345751), James William Garrison (SBN # 157070), Steven Ian Katz (SBN # 145416), Mary Anne Murray (SBN # 185518),** DOES 1-10, Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280), Victor Manuel Rodriguez (SBN # 156150)** and their co-conspirators by them doing, by them manipulating, by them employing, and by them engaging in otherwise illusory illegal acts of deceit, deception, corruption, and concealment so that they could all gain an unfair, illegal, unlawful, and unconstitutional advantage over KING AROGANT by preventing him from entering the Alhambra Superior Court to file his criminal papers and serve upon the County of Los Angeles Alhambra DA office, Brian MARK Rosenberg, and Cynthia Nakao GoPro video recording evidence GX010586, GX010587, and GX010588 and audio recording evidence proving that County of Los Angeles deputy district attorneys Victor Rodriguez and Cindy Park willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, deliberately, fraudulently, and callously **allowed state government witness Thomas Guzman-Sanchez to testify falsely that KING AROGANT made a criminal threat to him on October 22, 2023, which resulted in KING AROGANT'S felony probation being wrongfully terminated and him sent to prison for a crime he was recklessly framed for by numerous County of Los Angeles employees.**

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Cynthia Nakao's perjurious declaration highlights the widespread corruption, racially animus, racially hostile, and racially biased, numerous County of Los Angeles' criminal prosecutors have shown they have towards KING AROGANT in extreme amounts of racism that have resulted in KING AROGANT being continuously denied due process, the presentation and admittance of false evidence in both civil and criminal Superior Courts, and on and on and on.

Shown on KING AROGANT'S GoPro video recording from February 4, 2025, is County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office employee Vrejouie Isaghoulian **(also known as "Simply Vee")** is Vee telling KING AROGANT the following as he personally serves criminal evidence in the form of SanDisk USB flash drive GoPro video recordings GX010586, GX010587, and GX010588 and racially animus Thomas Guzman-Sanchez's audio recording:

"We cant accept these at our office, you will have to take them downtown."

In fact, when County of Los Angeles peace officers went to Alhambra DA office in late August 2025 to serve Vrejouie Isaghoulian, who, other than County of Los Angeles deputy Augilar and office employee Helen were the only eyewitnesses to what occurred on February 4, 2025, someone at the Alhambra DA office told the County of Los Angeles peace officers to serve the subpoena in downtown Los Angeles. The County of Los Angeles knew that Cynthia Nakao and Brian MARK Rosenberg were not only lying about what occurred on various dates, but also that neither of them was present on February 4, 2025, March 20, 2025, or April 17, 2025. See below, now a true and correct

copy of Vrejouie Isaghoulian subpoena and the County of Los Angeles peace officer proof of service that details how, while Vrejouie Isaghoulian herself could have accepted her own subpoena, Cynthia Nakao instructed employees not to accept a Vrejouie Isaghoulian subpoena from the County of Los Angeles peace officers which was reckless under color of law DECEIT and recklessly under color of law FRAUD done by Nakao, tricking, fooling, manipulating, and otherwise deceiving a Superior Court of California civil court to foolishly believe that law enforcement was called on February 7, 2025, because KING AROGANT was brandishing scissors, which under color of law, FRAUD, and under color of law, FRAUD UPON SUPERIOR COURT done by Nakao, Alexander Michael Karkanen **(SBN # 162377)**, Scott Douglas Dominguez **(SBN # 228843)**, Willow Leah Rosenthal **(SBN # 345751), James William Garrison (SBN # 157070), Steven Ian Katz (SBN # 145416), Mary Anne Murray (SBN # 185518),** DOES 1-10, Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280), Victor Manuel Rodriguez (SBN # 156150)** and their co-conspirators by them doing, by them manipulating, by them employing, and by them engaging in otherwise illusory illegal acts of deceit, deception, corruption, and concealment so that they could all gain an unfair, illegal, unlawful, and unconstitutional advantage over KING AROGANT by preventing KING AROGANT from obtaining favorable testimony evidence that he had done nothing wrong on February 4, 2025, and never engaged in the outlandish and downright ridiculous conduct alleged by lying and racially animus County of Los Angeles employees Brian MARK Rosenberg, Cynthia Nakao, and Vanessa Ann Evangelista.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

HOLLYWOOD, AROGANT

1308 E COLORADO BL
PASADENA, CA 91106
(206)471-1344

~~L.A SUPERIOR COURT STANLEY MOSK 19100~~
111 N HILL ST. Branch: 33
LOS ANGELES, CA 90012

Case Number/25STR003574

Plaintiff: COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES NOT FOUND/NO SERVICE/CANCELED
Defendant: HOLLYWOOD, AROGANT

1. At the time of attempted service, I was at least 18 years of age and not a party to this action, and WAS UNABLE TO SERVE COPIES OF THE:
SUBPENA (CIVIL)

2. Party Attempted To Be Served:
ISAGHOULIAN, VREJOUHIE

Address Attempted:
150 W COMMONWEALTH AVE, 1ST FL
ALHAMBRA, CA 91801

Reason For Non-Service:

PER POLICY OF DISCTRICT ATTORNEY OFFICE SEND TO: 211 W. TEMPLE ST. ~~UNIT 1200 LOS ANGELES,~~ CA 90012 ~~ATTN: JANET QUINTERO~~

Small Claims Actions:
If Not Found Fees are charged, you should file this notice with the Court Clerk before any hearing is held to recover costs incurred.

Unpaid Sheriff's costs per fee waiver GC68511.3 Court Rule 985: 50.00

Deputy ID: E471783
Deputy ERNIE ESTRADA
SHERIFF'S OFFICE
11234 E. VALLEY BLVD ROOM 114
EL MONTE, CA 91731
(626)-575-4180

I am a California Sheriff. I certify that the foregoing is true & correct.

Date: 08/04/25
Br.: 33 33

Robert G. Luna, Sheriff

By: _____
E. ESTRADA, Deputy

HOLLYWOOD, AROGANT

1308 E COLORADO BL
PASADENA, CA 91106
(206)471-1344

L.A SUPERIOR COURT STANLEY MOSK 19100
111 N HILL ST. Branch: 33
LOS ANGELES, CA 90012

Case Number/25STRO03574

Plaintiff: COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES NOT FOUND/NO SERVICE/CANCELED
Defendant: HOLLYWOOD, AROGANT

Declaration of Due Diligence: The following attempts were made:

	Date	Time	Address
1	08/04/25	09:50 AM	150 W COMMONWEALTH AVE, 1ST FL ALHAMBRA, CA 91801

COMM: PER POLICY OF DISCTRICT ATTORNEY OFFICE SEND TO: 211 W. TEMPLE ST. UNIT 1 200 LOS ANGELES, CA 90012 ATTN: JANET QUINTERO

Deputy ID: E471783
Deputy ERNIE ESTRADA
SHERIFF'S OFFICE
11234 E. VALLEY BLVD ROOM 114
EL MONTE, CA 91731
(626)-575-4180

I am a California Sheriff. I certify that the foregoing is true & correct.

Robert G. Luna, Sheriff

Date: 08/04/25
Br.: 33 33

By: _____
E. ESTRADA, Deputy

SUBP-001

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address):	FOR COURT USE ONLY
AROGANT HOLLYWOOD 1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD. PASADENA,, CA 91106 arogantholllywoodgenius@gmail.com TELEPHONE NO.: 206.471.1344 FAX NO.: ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Respondent in Propria Persona	
NAME OF COURT: COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT STREET ADDRESS: 111 N. HILL STREET MAILING ADDRESS: CITY AND ZIP CODE: LOS ANGELES, 90012 BRANCH NAME: STANLEY MOSK SUPERIOR COURT	
PLAINTIFF/ PETITIONER: county of los angeles, et al DEFENDANT/ RESPONDENT: AROGANT HOLLYWOOD	
CIVIL SUBPOENA **For Personal Appearance at Trial or Hearing**	CASE NUMBER: 25STRO03574

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, TO *(name, address, and telephone number of witness, if known):*
VREJOUHIE ISAGHOULIAN
150 WEST COMMONWEALTH AVENUE
ALHAMBRA, CA 91801

1. **YOU ARE ORDERED TO APPEAR AS A WITNESS in this action at the date, time, and place shown in the box below UNLESS you make an agreement with the person named in item 2:**

a. Date: AUGUST 14, 2025 Time: 8:30 AM [x] Dept.: 25 [ ] Div.: [x] Room: 317
b. Address: 111 NORTH HILL STREET
LOS ANGELES, CA 90012

2. **IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS ABOUT THE TIME OR DATE FOR YOU TO APPEAR, OR IF YOU WANT TO BE CERTAIN THAT YOUR PRESENCE IS REQUIRED, CONTACT THE FOLLOWING PERSON BEFORE THE DATE ON WHICH YOU ARE TO APPEAR:**
a. Name of subpoenaing party or attorney: AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
b. Telephone number: 206.471.1344

3. **Witness Fees:** You are entitled to witness fees and mileage actually traveled both ways, as provided by law, if you request them at the time of service. You may request them before your scheduled appearance from the person named in item 2.

DISOBEDIENCE OF THIS SUBPOENA MAY BE PUNISHED AS CONTEMPT BY THIS COURT. YOU WILL ALSO BE LIABLE FOR THE SUM OF FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS AND ALL DAMAGES RESULTING FROM YOUR FAILURE TO OBEY.

Date issued: JUL 25 2025

P. Mata
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

P. Mata
(SIGNATURE OF PERSON ISSUING SUBPOENA)

CSA III
(TITLE)

David W. Slayton

Requests for Accommodations
Assistive listening systems, computer-assisted real-time captioning, or sign language interpreter services are available if you ask at least 5 days before the date on which you are to appear. Contact the clerk's office or go to *www.courtinfo.ca.gov/forms* for *Request for Accommodations by Persons With Disabilities and Order* (form MC-410). (Civil Code, § 54.8.)

(Proof of service on reverse)

Page 1 of 2

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use
Judicial Council of California
SUBP-001 [Rev. January 1, 2007]


CIVIL SUBPOENA FOR PERSONAL APPEARANCE AT TRIAL OR HEARING


Code of Civil Procedure, §§ 1985,1986,1987
www.courts.ca.gov

In


County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office employee with the name Janet A. Quintero is not an attorney, and Alhambra DA employees speaking with County of Los Angeles peace officer Ernie Estrada lied to him that such an employee had Instructed Cynthia Nakao's office not to accept a subpoena for an employee witness they all knew was present on February 4, 2025. Not only is Janet A. Quintero is nothing more than a legal assistant, she is also not an attorney! See now below, clear and convincing evidence that Janet A. Quintero did not have the authority to make DA office subpoena policies that instructed process servers to take the Alhambra DA employee subpoenas to downtown Los Angeles and serve attached to KING AROGANT'S Verified Appendix of Exhibits and below herein.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344


https://transparentcalifornia.com/salaries/2024/los-angeles-county/janet-a-quintero/
TRANSPARENT CALIFORNIA
California's largest public pay and pension database
Stay updated by joining our mailing list! | Ad free browsing | Login
Home / Counties / 2024 / Los Angeles County / Janet A Quintero
Janet A Quintero
Supvg Legal Office Support Asst. (2024)
Regular pay: $83,875.00
Overtime pay: $0.00
Other pay: $4,002.00
Total pay: $87,877.00
Benefits: $48,336.00
Total pay & benefits: $136,213.00
Share: Tweet
© 2025 Transparent California
About | Contact | Blog | Disclaimer | Privacy Policy | FAQ | Donate | Login
Search
1:55 PM
8/23/2025



AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344


https://www.linkedin.com/in/janet-quintero-69664490/
Search
Home
My Network
Jobs
Messaging
Notifications
Me
For Business
Learning
Janet Quintero
Legal Assistant at Los Angeles District Attorney's Office
Downey, California, United States · Contact info
12 connections
Connect
Message
More
Los Angeles District Attorney's Office
Activity
12 followers
Janet hasn't posted yet
Recent posts Janet shares will be displayed here.
Show all activity →
Experience
More profiles for you
If you don't want the extension to automatically roll over, just uncheck the box!
SignalHire
Auto open
Enable notifications
Pin on websites
Welcome to SignalHire!
SignalHire is a great way to find contact information in a couple of clicks
Sign in
OR
Create an account
Jacqueline Marquez · 3rd+
Bilingual Fraud Specialist at JP Morgan Chase ...
Connect
Korina Salas · 3rd+
Project Supervisor at HED
Connect
Mariam Zulfiqar · 3rd+
LinkedIn
Messaging
Search
2:13 PM
8/23/2025

What a JOKE! County of Los Angeles employee Janet Quintero is in the same identical employee position as Vrejouie Isaghoulian, working at a different County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office. Janet Quintero earns 100k a year, while DDA4 and DDA5 employees make $ 250,000 a year before benefits and overtime pay. Yet, County of Los Angeles peace officer Ernie Estrada was tricked, fooled, lied to, and manipulated into believing the low-level employee, Janet Quintero, was creating a subpoena policy for nearly thirty-four County of Los Angeles DA offices.

It is very disturbing for any federal or California state Superior Court to see how County of Los Angeles Alhambra DA office employees would lie, deceive, trick, fool, and manipulate a County of Los Angeles peace officer in the exact same manner numerous County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors and peace officers have all willfully, recklessly, maliciously, wantonly, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, deliberately, fraudulently, and callously refused to turn over exculpatory evidence, concealed, tainted, and manipulated exculpatory evidence, concealed impeaching phony GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 since February 22, 2023, and failed to turn over phony GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 to racially animus Thomas Guzman-Sanchez, created, fabricated, and false testimony regarding an imaginary knife incident, GoPro video recordings depicting and displaying KING AROGANT wearing different clothing than he had on February 21, 2023, and on and on.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

If County of Los Angeles employees would lie, deceive, trick, fool, and manipulate a peace officer to gain an unfair advantage over KING AROGANT in a civil Superior Court, surely, County of Los Angeles employees and peace officers would lie, deceive, trick, fool, and manipulate KING AROGANT, a criminal Superior Court, court-appointed attorneys, court-appointed private investigators, and court-appointed expert witnesses to gain an they could gain an unfair, illegal, unlawful, and unconstitutional advantage over KING AROGANT.

B. PERJURIOUS DECLARATION OF LYING BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG

On page one of his perjurious and fabricated May 27, 2025 written declaration that was recklessly filed in the Superior Court twice in June 2025 racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg writes intentionally false statements by typing the following:

Mr. Hollywood sent me an email on November 23, 2023, wherein he threatened to send me to prison for prosecuting him. This email communication was received almost immediately upon his release from custody.

See now a true and correct copy of lying Brian MARK Rosenberg's declaration at page one attached to KING AROGANT'S Verified Appendix of Exhibits and below herein.

DECLARATION OF BRIAN ROSENBERG

I, Brian Rosenberg, declare as follows:

1. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein, except as to those stated on information and belief and, as to those, I am informed and believe them to be true. If called as a witness, I could and would competently testify to the matters stated therein.

2. I am a Deputy District Attorney for the Los Angeles District Attorney's (LADA) Office and work at the Alhambra Branch Office ("Alhambra Office").

3. I was initially the handling prosecutor in the criminal case, *People v. Arrogant Hollywood* (Case No. GA114055). This criminal case was resolved on October 17, 2023 as Mr. Hollywood pleaded no contest to the charge of Penal Code section 422 and was placed on formal probation.

4. During the course of that prosecution, Arrogant Hollywood regularly harassed and threatened me. He threatened to sue me in open court numerous times, called me racist, and harassed me with numerous phone calls on my work line. In addition, he threatened to find my family and sue them in every state he could in order to make me pay for prosecuting him. It came to my attention that he made a similar threat to a previously assigned Deputy District Attorney and followed through by finding her elderly father and sending her that information.

5. Mr. Hollywood sent me an email on November 23, 2023, wherein he threatened to send me to prison for prosecuting him. This email communication was received almost immediately upon his release from custody.

6. Due to Mr. Hollywood's harassing behavior, a court issued a Civil Harassment Restraining Order (hereinafter "Rosenberg Restraining Order"), effective until December 2, 2026, against Arrogant Hollywood preventing him from harassing, intimidating, stalking, threatening, assaulting, or disturbing my peace. The Rosenberg Restraining Order also restricts Arrogant Hollywood from directly or indirectly contacting me, and orders him to stay at least 100 yards away from me. A true and correct copy of the Rosenberg Restraining Order is attached hereto as **Exhibit 1.**

Racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg knew he was lying in his declaration because on three separate occasions, he stated that KING AROGANT emailed him on October 13, 2023. Further, on two separate occasions, racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg submitted copies of the October 13, 2023, email to either law enforcement or the Superior Court. Lying Rosenberg prior to his perjurious May 2025 declaration never alleged that KING AROGANT had contacted him on any date of October 23, 2023.

See below, attached to KING AROGANT'S Verified Appendix of Exhibits, and below herein a true and correct copy of page 6 of 10 of rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang's falsified and fabricated crime report, lying Rosenberg's 23PDRO01713 Attachment page and images from lying Rosenberg's November 13, 2023 email. Which until this very day remains the only email KING AROGANT has ever sent lying Brian MARK Rosenberg.

V-Rosenburg was afraid for his safety and the safety of his family after seeing S-Hollywood at the courthouse even after being served the restraining order. Furthermore, S-Hollywood had already violated the restraining order on the following dates, times by sending e-mails to V-Rosenburg himself and his coworkers.

Email #1:
From: Arogant Hollywood <arogantmusicinc@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 13, 2023 1:19 AM
To: rulunn@lasd.org; atardy@lasd.org; bkhounthavong@lasd.org; rlewis@lasd.org; Brian Rosenberg <BRosenberg@da.lacounty.gov>; rakelrossi@gmail.com; rachel.rossi@usdoj.gov; AROGANTHOLLYWOODGENIUS@gmail.com
Subject: [External] DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, ROSSI, AND OTHER OFFICIALS WILL SEE TO IT THAT ROSENBERG AND KHOUNTHAVONG GO TO FEDERAL PRISION

Email #2:
From : arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Date : Tue, 28 Nov 2023 12:02:40 -0800
Subject : ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. state of california, united states, county of los angeles, city of south pasadena, city of arcadia, et al [SECOND EMAIL] [REAL VIDEO THAT PROVES THAT I WAS FRAMED FOR VIOLATING CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE SECTION 422(a)
NOTE: the receiver list is too long to list. See copy of e-mail.

Email #3:
From: Cheryl Kaylor <sheriditrader@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 13, 2024 6:14 PM
Subject: [External] OFFICIAL COMPLAINT OF ALISON MILLER FAIRCHILD ON BEHALF OF AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. sherrif robert luna, et al
NOTE: the receiver list is too long to list. See copy of e-mail.

Email #4:
From: Arogant Hollywood <arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, June 8, 2024 8:41 AM
Subject: people v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA114055) & UPCOMING FIRST CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT TITLED ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. judy whitehurst, et al [DEMAND PEOPLE AND LASD IMMEDIATELY TURN OVER PHONY LASD GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GL010586 & GL010587
NOTE: the receiver list is too long to list. See copy of e-mail.

Email #5:
From: Arogant Hollywood <causeofaction10@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, June 8, 2024 8:58 AM
Subject: people v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA114055) & UPCOMING FIRST CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT TITLED ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. judy whitehurst, et al [DEMAND PEOPLE AND LASD IMMEDIATELY TURN OVER PHONY LASD GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GL010586 & GL010587 [I GOT A LOT OF DIFFER...
NOTE: the receiver list is too long to list. See copy of e-mail.

These e-mails were in direct violation of the restraining order served to S-Hollywood for the protective order stated the following verbatim "The restrained person must not contact the protected person(s) directly or indirectly, by any means including telephone, mail, email, or other electronic means - peaceful written contact through 3rd party for service of legal papers allowed."

CONCLUSION:
Based on the above, with the approval of Watch Commander Lt. Soriano, I placed

04-23W25

Copy Authorized Person

MC-025

SHORT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER:
Attachment 7a(3)-Describe Harassment	25PD00171 3

ATTACHMENT *(Number):* _____


(This Attachment may be used with any Judicial Council form.)

I received an email from Mr. Hollywood wherein he threatened to send me to federal prison and indicated that a "a series of emails are coming soon! Complete with all the evidence that you broke federal law by abusing your power and authority as peace officers and public officers of the court! Get Ready!!!!!!". In addition to threatening this series of emails, Hollywood also told the detective on our case that "you had better run away as fast you can fool." This is the latest in a string of harassing communications that I believe are only aimed at intimidating and annoying me. This matter was reported to LASD. URN: 923-11730-0522-217.

(If the item that this Attachment concerns is made under penalty of perjury, all statements in this Attachment are made under penalty of perjury.)

Page _____ of _____

(Add pages as required)



The above clear and convincing evidence proves that racially animus and mentally ill Brian MARK Rosenberg knew he was intentionally making false statements in his declaration by stating that KING AROGANT sent him an email on October 23, 2023. No such email has ever existed.

As shown above, Brian MARK Rosenberg told rogue peace officer that KING AROGANT sent emails to him on November 13, 2023, November 28, 2023. February 13, 2024, and twice on June 8, 2024. In his perjurious November 17, 2023, attachment page filed in his first frivolous civil harassment petition 23PDRRO01713 racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg attached a copy of his November 13, 2023 email to his attachment page and petition and stated the following verbatim:

I received an email from Mr. Hollywood wherein he threatened to send me to federal prison and indicated that a "a series of cmails arc coming soon!

As seen above, the email in which KING AROGANT tells lying Rosenberg that a series of emails are coming was dated for November 13, 2023, not November 23. 2023.

In paragraph of his perjurious and fabricated declaration racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg makes the following intentionally false statements under the penalty of perjury verbatim:

7. Mr. Hollywood's harassing conduct and failure to abide by the Civil Restraining Order continues. On March 20, 2025, Arrogant Hollywood came to the front reception area of the Alhambra Office, where Mr. Hollywood knows I physically work. Luckily, I was not present at the Alhambra Office at the time that Mr. Hollywood came in. Mr. Hollywood was told that the Alhambra Office could not accept the documents he was providing them, which resulted in Mr. Hollywood acting aggressively and forcing documents through the glass window to the front desk. Mr. Hollywood caused a disturbance and was even heard threatening to sue me for taking 12 months of his Iife.

See now a true and correct copy of page 2 of lying and racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg's perjurious and fabricated declaration attached to KING AROGANT'S Verified Appendix of Exhibits and below herein.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

7. Mr. Hollywood's harassing conduct and failure to abide by the Civil Restraining Order continues. On March 20, 2025, Arrogant Hollywood came to the front reception area of the Alhambra Office, where Mr. Hollywood knows I physically work. Luckily, I was not present at the Alhambra Office at the time that Mr. Hollywood came in. Mr. Hollywood was told that the Alhambra Office could not accept the documents he was providing them, which resulted in Mr. Hollywood acting aggressively and forcing documents through the glass window to the front desk. Mr. Hollywood caused a disturbance and was even heard threatening to sue me for taking 12 months of his life.

8. On April 17, 2025, Mr. Hollywood entered the Alhambra Office again in another attempt to improperly drop off documents. I was present at the Alhambra Branch Office on that day, and I unexpectedly saw Mr. Hollywood outside the Office. Out of concern for my safety, I rushed back into the office to avoid any contact with him. Due to the March 20, 2025 disturbance, and Mr. Hollywood's aggressive and harassing past conduct with the LADA's Office, the Alhambra Office went on "lock down," locking all the doors and keeping all employees inside the office while Mr. Hollywood was in the building. Law enforcement subsequently escorted the employees to their vehicles.

9. I am aware of the multiple emails that Arrogant Hollywood sent to numerous deputy district attorneys in the past months, whereby Mr. Hollywood continues his harassing behavior by calling me racist and threatening litigation against me and my colleagues. In an email dated May 8, 2025, Mr. Hollywood addressed his comments directly to District Attorney Nathan Hochman and the judge assigned to his current criminal case and expressed his desire for Mr. Hochman and all of his deputy district attorneys to die a slow miserable death for prosecuting him. This is in addition to utilizing the same angry verbiage in describing the LADA's Office as racist and corrupt.

10. Arrogant Hollywood continues to avoid complying with the specific terms of the Rosenberg Restraining Order by claiming he is filing or serving documents in a criminal case. But there is no reason for him to be present at the LADA's Alhambra Branch Office because the criminal case has resolved, and there are other methods for him to submit any pleadings relating to

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Interestingly enough, lying and racially animus, Cynthia Nakao (who has never encountered KING AROGANT) wrote intentionally false statements in her declaration under oath that on February 7, 2025, KING AROGANT was present at her DA office, armed with scissors, acting aggressively, and law enforcement were called to respond to 150 West Commonwealth Street, Alhambra, CA 91801.

Interestingly enough, lying and racially animus, Brian MARK Rosenberg wrote intentionally false statements in his perjurious declaration under oath that KING AROGANT was present at the Alhambra DA office, creating a disturbance on March 20, 2025

County of Los Angeles employs such horrible liars that both Brian MARK Rosenberg and Cynthia Nakao declared that KING AROGANT was at two locations on the same day. The truth is KING AROGANT was in downtown Los Angeles on March 20, 2025, just as was stated in Nakao's perjurious declaration. See below herein:

7. Mr. Hollywood's harassing conduct and failure to abide by the Civil Restraining Order continues. On March 20, 2025, Arrogant Hollywood came to the front reception area of the Alhambra Office, where Mr. Hollywood knows I physically work. Luckily, I was not present at the Alhambra Office at the time that Mr. Hollywood came in. Mr. Hollywood was told that the Alhambra Office could not accept the documents he was providing them, which resulted in Mr. Hollywood acting aggressively and forcing documents through the glass window to the front desk. Mr. Hollywood caused a disturbance and was even heard threatening to sue me for taking 12 months of his life.

8. On April 17, 2025, Mr. Hollywood entered the Alhambra Office again in another attempt to improperly drop off documents. I was present at the Alhambra Branch Office on that day, and I unexpectedly saw Mr. Hollywood outside the Office. Out of concern for my safety, I rushed back into the office to avoid any contact with him. Due to the March 20, 2025 disturbance, and Mr. Hollywood's aggressive and harassing past conduct with the LADA's Office, the Alhambra Office went on "lock down," locking all the doors and keeping all employees inside the office while Mr. Hollywood was in the building. Law enforcement subsequently escorted the employees to their vehicles.

9. I am aware of the multiple emails that Arrogant Hollywood sent to numerous deputy district attorneys in the past months, whereby Mr. Hollywood continues his harassing behavior by calling me racist and threatening litigation against me and my colleagues. In an email dated May 8, 2025, Mr. Hollywood addressed his comments directly to District Attorney Nathan Hochman and the judge assigned to his current criminal case and expressed his desire for Mr. Hochman and all of his deputy district attorneys to die a slow miserable death for prosecuting him. This is in addition to utilizing the same angry verbiage in describing the LADA's Office as racist and corrupt.

10. Arrogant Hollywood continues to avoid complying with the specific terms of the Rosenberg Restraining Order by claiming he is filing or serving documents in a criminal case. But there is no reason for him to be present at the LADA's Alhambra Branch Office because the criminal case has resolved, and there are other methods for him to submit any pleadings relating to

Herein above, Brian MARK Rosenberg is proven to be a liar and an uncredible witness when he intentionally lied that KING AROGANT was present in the Alhambra DA office, while on the same day, Nakao declared that KING AROGANT was downtown Los Angeles at 211 West Temple Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012.

But the city of Alhambra police department records put icing on the cake that Nakao lied that law enforcement was called on February 7, 2025, and that mentally ill and racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg lied that KING AROGANT was present at the Alhambra DA office, creating a disturbance on March 20, 2025.

See now below a true and correct color copy of the city of Alhambra police department call log records attached to KING AROGANT'S Verified Appendix of Exhibits and below herein.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Record List - Total:14

CallID	When Reported	Typ	Nature	P	Location	Cty
21AL36868	07:40:09 09/27/21	I	415UN UNWANT SU	2	150 W COMMONWEALTH AVE; ALHAMBRA SUPERIOR COURT	ALH
21AL36962	17:41:41 09/27/21	I	BOA	3	150 W COMMONWEALTH AVE; ALHAMBRA SUPERIOR COURT	ALH
21AL37086	14:40:03 09/28/21	I	415UN UNWANT SU	2	150 W COMMONWEALTH AVE; ALHAMBRA SUPERIOR COURT	ALH
21AL37089	15:07:35 09/28/21	I	422R THREATS	3	150 W COMMONWEALTH AVE; ALHAMBRA SUPERIOR COURT	ALH
21AL37101	18:21:56 09/28/21	I	415 DISTURBANCE	2	150 W COMMONWEALTH AVE; ALHAMBRA SUPERIOR COURT	ALH
22AL22621	14:25:15 06/15/22	I	415UN UNWANT SU	2	150 W COMMONWEALTH AVE; ALHAMBRA SUPERIOR COURT	ALH
22AL22831	06:02:19 06/17/22	I	415UN UNWANT SU	2	150 W COMMONWEALTH AVE; ALHAMBRA SUPERIOR COURT	ALH
22AL38090	08:37:54 10/05/22	I	415UN UNWANT SU	2	150 W COMMONWEALTH AVE; ALHAMBRA SUPERIOR COURT	ALH
25AL05235	14:14:51 02/06/25	I	RESTRAINING ORD	2	150 W COMMONWEALTH AVE; ALHAMBRA SUPERIOR COURT	ALH
25AL05260	16:13:10 02/06/25	I	OFCR INITIATE	2	150 W COMMONWEALTH AVE; ALHAMBRA SUPERIOR COURT	ALH
25AL06560	17:18:23 02/15/25	I	OFCR INITIATE	2	150 W COMMONWEALTH AVE; ALHAMBRA SUPERIOR COURT	ALH
25AL15260	15:27:18 04/17/25	I	VIOL CRT ORDER	2	150 W COMMONWEALTH AVE	ALH
25AL15261	15:29:19 04/17/25	I	VIOL CRT ORDER	2	150 W COMMONWEALTH AVE; ALHAMBRA SUPERIOR COURT	ALH
25AL17241	09:38:49 05/02/25	I	BE ON LOOKOUT	2	150 W COMMONWEALTH AVE; ALHAMBRA SUPERIOR COURT	ALH

CERTIFIED COPY
ALHAMBRA POLICE DEPARTMENT
I hereby certify that the document to which this is affixed is a true copy of the original document on file at the Alhambra Police Dept.
File # — Date 07/01/25
Police Records Manager/Custodian of Records

7/1/2025 12:11:26 PM

Page 1 of 1

The above city of Alhambra police department certified call log records show a very racist and sickening pattern of County of Los Angeles deputy district attorneys willfully, knowingly, carelessly, wantonly, maliciously, deliberately, fraudulently, and callously calling law enforcement on KING AROGANT every single time he entered the building of the Alhambra Superior Court.

On September 27, 2021 County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office Alhambra field office called law enforcement on KING AROGANT two times. The following day County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office, Alhambra field office called city of Alhambra police department on KING AROGANT three times in one day. In June 2022 County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office Alhambra field office called law enforcement on KING AROGANT two times. In October 2022 County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office, Alhambra field office city of Alhambra police department on KING AROGANT twice. Interestingly enough, there was a three-year gap which no calls were made by the County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office, Alhambra field office employees and attorneys regarding KING AROGANT, between October 2022 and February 6, 2025. While KING AROGANT had not been present at the Alhambra Superior Court for nearly three consecutive years lying, mentally ill, and racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg has been fabricating lies in three different courts; first in November 2023 in frivolous civil harassment case 23PDRO01713, then in criminal Superior Court Clara Shortridge-Foltz Criminal Justice Center in racially animus criminal case 25CJCM02162-01, and lastly in the Superior Court of California, Stanley Mosk Courthouse frivolous case 25CJCM02162-01. In each of these Superior Courts

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

cases racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg has fabricated lies and committed perjury by falsely alleging that the following occurred:

1. **KING AROGANT harassed him by coming to the Alhambra Superior Court house.**
2. **KING AROGANT harassed him by sending him Gmail electronic mail messages on October 13, 2023, October 23, 2023, November 28, 2023, February 13, 2023, June 8, 2024, at 8:03 AM (Lying Rosenberg got the time wrong), and June 8, 2024, at 8:34 AM (Lying Rosenberg got the time wrong).**

Over the course of exactly thirty-two months (February 21, 2023, and August 22, 2025) Brian MARK Rosenberg has willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, wantonly, carelessly, deliberately, fraudulently, and callously violated numerous County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office Legal Discovery Policies, violated California Business & Professions Code § 6068, California Penal Code § 148.5, California Penal Code § 118, Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Local Criminal Rules 8-17 (Bringing into Superior Court phony and bogus probable cause and search warrant affidavits), State Bar of California, Rule 3.1, Meritorious Claims and Contentions, Rule 3.3, Candor Toward Tribunal, and Rule 3.8, Special Responsibilities of a Prosecutor, 42 U.S.C. §§ 1981, 1983, 1985, and 12132, California Government Code § 6200, and 18 U.S.C. §§ 241 and 242 by willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, wantonly, carelessly, deliberately, fraudulently, and callously testifying falsely in a criminal Superior Court on February 2, 2024, turning over phony and altered GoPro video recordings that were unplayable

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

to court-appointed expert witness Thomas Guzman-Sanchez, presenting and admitting phony and bogus LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 on April 4, 2023, April 5, 2023, and February 2, 2024, presenting fabricated and false 911 court testimony regarding Jennifer Hutton-Heger's first and second, 911 calls, committing perjury, and presenting false testimony in civil proceedings and actions in Pasadena Superior Court and Stanley Mosk Courthouse, committing perjury and presenting false evidence in Clara Shortridge-Foltz criminal Superior Court, allowing Jennifer Hutton-Heger to testify falsely that she told peace officers KING AROGANT had a knife, and there was a reenactment of knife incident with deputies on February 21, 2023, allowing Jennifer Hutton-Heger to testify falsely that she did not receive any text messages from KING AROGANT on February 21, 2023, filing a false police report on April 17, 2025, by fabricating lies and intentionally false statements that KING AROGANT sent lying Rosenberg via Gmail messages on October 13, 2023, November 28, 2023, and February 13, 2024, June 8, 2024, at 8:03 AM (Lying Rosenberg got the time wrong), and June 8, 2024, at 8:34 AM (Lying Rosenberg got the time wrong), and on and on and on.

KING AROGANT'S above evidence proves the truth that since October 13, 2023 KING AROGANT has only sent lying and racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg one single email and has never encountered him in person at Alhambra Superior Court, and KING AROGANT has only set foot inside of Alhambra Superior Court twice in the past three years, when he was not incarcerated.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Lying Criminal Brian MARK Rosenberg must be arrested and charged in Superior Court of California for willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, wantonly, carelessly, deliberately, fraudulently, and callously violating California Government Code § 6200, and California Penal Code § 148.5.

Lying Criminal Brian MARK Rosenberg must be arrested and charged in United States District Court for the Central District of California for willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, wantonly, carelessly, deliberately, fraudulently, and callously violating U.S.C. §§ 241 & 242.

Brian MARK Rosenberg's racially animus, racially biased, and racially hostile misconduct, misdoings, misdeeds, and transgressions of illegal acts done recklessly to KING AROGANT in frivolous cases 23PDRO01713, 25CJCM02162-01, and 25STRO03574 prove by clear and convincing evidence that he is a racist that deliberately falsified numerous pieces of evidence in the illegal Superior Court of California criminal felony case GA114055, and thus, KING AROGANT'S wrongful conviction must be overturned pursuant to California Penal Code § 745.

The evidence clearly shows that County of Los Angeles employees led by racially animus Cynthia Nakao willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, wantonly, carelessly, deliberately, fraudulently, and callously created a FAKE EVACUATION on April 17, 2025, conspired by them all to interfere with KING AROGANT'S constitutional civil rights

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

PERJURIOUS COURT TESTIMONY OF BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG AND CYNTHIA NAKAO ARE BOTH IN SUPPORT OF SUPERIOR COURT GRANTING THIS TIMELY FILED ANTI-SLAPP

At the rigged and unconstitutional Superior Court hearing on County of Los Angeles's frivolous workplace violence petition, neither racially animus criminal prosecutors Brian MARK Rosenberg nor Cynthia Nakao did not testify to any allegations that would give the Superior Court legal authority to find that KING AROGANT had engaged in any course of conduct or made any credible threats of violence. The rigged and unconstitutional hearing was the exact opposite. Only oral testimony that would support an ANTI-SLAPP.

During racially animus, Brian MARK Rosenberg's direct examination he stated that KING AROGANT had harassed him by sending Gmail messages to his co-workers stating that he should go to prison.

During racially animus, Brian MARK Rosenberg's direct examination he stated that KING AROGANT had harassed him and engaged in a course of conduct by sending Gmail messages to his co-workers, in which in those Gmail messages KING AROGANT called Brian MARK Rosenberg a racist, corrupt, and reiterated that he was going to prison

During racially animus, Brian MARK Rosenberg's direct examination he stated that KING AROGANT had come to the Alhambra DA office trying to serve papers on March 20, 2025, and was told that the Alhambra DA could not accept the papers KING AROGANT was trying to serve.

During KING AROGANT'S cross-examination of racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg KING AROGANT asked him if KING AROGANT had made a credible threat of violence to him in the only email KING AROGANT had sent to him on October 13, 2023, and Rosenberg replied that in his mind, calling him a racist was a credible threat of violence.

During KING AROGANT'S cross-examination of racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg KING AROGANT asked him if KING AROGANT had made a credible threat of violence to physically harm him in the email, and Rosenberg replied that in his mind, calling him a racist was a credible threat of violence. Clearly Brian MARK Rosenberg has an extreme amount of racial animus, racial hostility, and racial biased towards KING AROGANT. Moreover, lying Rosenberg's August 19, 2025, evasive and perjurious testimony proves by clear and convincing evidence that he is mentally ill.

Unfortunately, due to prejudiced and unfair Superior Court of California judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, knowingly, carelessly, deliberately, and callously limited KING AROGANT'S cross-examination of Brian MARK Rosenberg to fewer than ten questions and less than

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

thirty minutes, KING AROGANT was unable and handicapped from asking Brian MARK Rosenberg approximately one-hundred and fifty (150) or more questions regarding the following, but not at all limited to the following:

1. **His October 13, 2023, email he received from KING AROGANT.**
2. **His Fabricated Attachment Page filed on November 17, 2023, in frivolous petition 23PDRO01713.**
3. **Paragraph of his declaration regarding March 20, 2025, and April 17, 2025**
4. **Numerous Questions Regarding His Criminal Protective Order.**
5. **Numerous Questions Regarding His Fabricated and False Police Report.**
6. **Numerous Questions About the GoPro Video Recording Evidence He Falsified.**
7. **Numerous Questions About Why He Permitted the Lying State Government Jennifer Hutton-Heger to Testify Falsely Regarding a Knife Incident.**
8. **Numerous Questions About Why He Permitted the Lying State Government Witnesses Billy Khounthavong and Jennifer Hutton-Heger to testify falsely regarding time stamps of two 911 audio recording calls.**
9. **Numerous Questions About Why He Permitted the Lying State Government Jennifer Hutton-Heger to Testify Falsely regarding text messages KING AROGANT sent her on the early morning of February 21, 2023.**
10. **Numerous Questions About Why He Recklessly and Deliberately Failed to Provide Court-Appointed Witness Thomas Guzman-Sanchez with a Copy Of Phony and Bogus LASD GoPro Video Recordings GL010586 and GL010587.**

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

11. **Numerous Questions About Why He Recklessly and Deliberately Failed to Provide Court-Appointed Witness Thomas Guzman-Sanchez with a Copy Of Original GoPro Video Recordings, Even After Sanchez Requested Them.**
12. **Numerous Questions About Why He Recklessly and Deliberately Failed to Provide Court-Appointed Witness Neil Opdahl (SBN # 277596) with a Copy Of Phony and Bogus LASD GoPro Video Recordings GL010586 and GL010587.**
13. **Numerous Questions About Why He Recklessly and Deliberately Failed to Provide Court-Appointed Witness Neil Opdahl (SBN # 277596) with a Copy Of Original GoPro Video Recordings Even After Opdahl Requested Them**
14. **Numerous Questions About Why He Recklessly and Deliberately Failed to Provide Court-Appointed Witness Carlos Anthony Jackson (PI # 28424) with A Copy Of Phony and Bogus LASD GoPro Video Recordings GL010586 and GL010587.**
15. **Numerous Questions About Why He Recklessly and Deliberately Failed to Provide Court-Appointed Witness Carlos Anthony Jackson (PI # 277596) with a Copy Of Original GoPro Video Recordings Even After Jackson Requested Them**
16. **Numerous Questions About Why He Recklessly and Deliberately Failed to Provide Court-Appointed Witness Carlos Joel Simone Wyenn (PI # 10818) with A Copy of the Phony and Bogus LASD GoPro Video Recordings GL010586 and GL010587.**

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

17. Numerous Questions About Why He Recklessly and Deliberately Failed to Provide Court-Appointed Witness Joel S. Wyenn (PI # 10818) with a Copy Of Original GoPro Video Recordings Even After Wyenn Requested Them

The above-stated pages prove by clear and convincing evidence that not only was KING AROGANT engaged in constitutionally protected activity regarding a very public issue, but also that County of Los Angeles, Brian MARK Rosenberg, Cynthia Nakao, Nathan Joseph Hochman, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, wantonly, carelessly, deliberately, fraudulently and callously violated the Racial Justice Act of 2020 and California Penal Code § 745.

While KING AROGANT filed his original criminal Motion to Demand County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office immediately turn over and disclose phony and bogus LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 on April 17, 2025. While KING AROGANT'S court hearing was originally set for the end of May 2025. KING AROGANT was unable to attend the hearing on his motion due to lying and racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg, fabricating lies that KING AROGANT sent him emails on October 13, 2023, November 28, 2023, June 8, 2024, at 8:03 AM, and June 8, 2024, at 8:34 AM, which resulted in KING AROGANT'S false imprisonment and wrongful incarceration from April 17, 2025, until May 1, 2025 and then a second time from May 12, 2025, until June 25, 2025.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

While KING AROGANT filed his original criminal Motion to Vacate His Wrongful Conviction on April 17, 2025, and stated near the end of it that he would return to the Alhambra Superior Court in approximately two weeks to file a completed version of his 1473 motion. While KING AROGANT'S court hearing was originally set for the end of May 2025. KING AROGANT was unable to attend the hearing on his 1473 motion and return to Alhambra Superior Court to file the remainder of his motion due to lying and racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg, fabricating lies that KING AROGANT sent him emails on October 13, 2023, November 28, 2023, June 8, 2024, at 8:03 AM, and June 8, 2024, at 8:34 AM, which resulted in KING AROGANT'S false imprisonment and wrongful incarceration from April 17, 2025, until May 1, 2025 and then a second time from May 12, 2025, until June 25, 2025.

At the rigged, unconstitutional, and frivolous workplace violence hearing on August 19, 2025, lying and racially animus Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510) committed perjury by testifying on direct examination that KING AROGANT was inside the Alhambra Superior Court on February 7, 2025, armed with scissors creating a disturbance.**

At the rigged, unconstitutional, and frivolous workplace violence hearing on August 19, 2025, lying and racially animus, Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510) committed perjury by testifying on direct examination that Alhambra DA office had to be evacuated due to KING AROGANT. The evidence clearly shows that the Alhambra DA office was evacuated without KING AROGANT ever making any contact with the Alhambra DA office.**

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

At the rigged, unconstitutional, and frivolous workplace violence hearing on August 19, 2025, lying and racially animus, Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510) testified on direct examination that KING AROGANT sent emails to her and numerous other deputy district attorneys in which those emails he did the following:**

1. **Called Lying Brian MARK Rosenberg a racist.**
2. **Called Lying Brian MARK Rosenberg corrupt.**
3. **Reiterated that Rosenberg must go to prison.**
4. **Called Judicial Officer Mark Windham and DA Nathan Joseph Hochman WHITE DEVILS.**
5. **KING AROGANT Told Judicial Officer Mark Windham and DA Nathan Joseph Hochman that he hopes they all die of a slow and painful death from cancer**

Cynthia Nakao testified that none of these emails were sent to lying Brian MARK Rosenberg. None of the email recipients of the many emails Nakao testified to were listed as a protected person. Moreover, since the emails were never sent to Brian MARK Rosenberg, there was no basis for the Superior Court and rogue County of Los Angeles attorney Vanessa Ann Evangelista (SBN # 238474) trying to create evidence of a course of conduct between KING AROGANT and racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg because KING AROGANT never sent any of these emails testified to by Cynthia Nakao to lying Brian MARK Rosenberg. Lastly, other County of Los Angeles deputy district attorneys forwarding these emails to racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg more than confirms a meeting of minds and

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

conspiracy to violate KING AROGANT'S constitutional civil rights by filing and later, obtaining a frivolous petition for workplace violence restraining order based on zero evidence and no probable cause whatsoever after the County of Los Angeles's fabricated and frivolous Criminal Protective Order in illegal case 25CJCM02162-01 was murdered and eradicated by the dismissal of frivolous and perjurious 25CJCM02162-01 on the early morning of June 25, 2025.

In fact, the illegal County of Los Angeles emails' physical evidence it used to obtain its frivolous workplace violence restraining order is the first of its kind and offers a question of first impression. No Respondent in California Superior Court history has received a workplace violence injunction based on emails that were never sent to the alleged victim! 25STRO03574 is just as outlandish, outrageous, ridiculous, and shocking as frivolous court cases 23PDRO01713 and GA114055.

The County of Los Angeles's grotesque and deliberately indifferent widespread mistreatment of KING AROGANT and complete disregard for his constitutional civil rights, as displayed and proven in the frivolous Superior Court of California civil case 23PDRO01713 and frivolous dismissed criminal case 25CJCM02162-01 all proves by clear evidence that numerous County of Los Angeles employees and criminal prosecutors have demonstrated an extreme amount of racially biased, racially hostility, and racial animus in racist Superior Court of California case GA114055 that qualifies KING AROGANT to have his wrongful conviction overturned pursuant to the California Racial Justice Act of 2020 and California Penal Code § 745.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Moreover, the County of Los Angeles's Brian MARK Rosenberg, Cynthia Nakao, DOES 1-10, Nathan Joseph Hochman, and their co-conspirators' reckless actions in 25CJCM02162-01 and 23PDRO01713 more than prove their racial hostility, racial bias, and racial animus towards KING AROGANT. The County of Los Angeles's Brian MARK Rosenberg, Cynthia Nakao, DOES 1-10, Nathan Joseph Hochman and their co-conspirators' racially animus and reckless actions in 25CJCM02162-01 and 23PDRO01713 more than debunk any County of Los Angeles employee and specifically lying Brian MARK Rosenberg's false claim that "They are not racist! The County of Los Angeles's Brian MARK Rosenberg, Cynthia Nakao, DOES 1-10, Nathan Joseph Hochman, and their co-conspirators' racially animus and reckless actions in 25CJCM02162-01 and 23PDRO01713 more than negate any County of Los Angeles employee, and specifically, lying Brian MARK Rosenberg's false claim that "they are not racist!

Lastly, County of Los Angeles employees' racially bias, racially animus, and racially hostility proven by clear and convincing evidence in frivolous Superior of California cases 25CJCM02162-01 and 23PDRO01713 more than support KING AROGANT'S allegations since 2023 that numerous County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors and peace officers willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, wantonly, carelessly, deliberately, fraudulently, and callously have done the following in illegal criminal case between February 21, 2023, and August 25, 2025:

1. Allowed State Government Witnesses to Testify Falsely

2. Withholding Exculpatory 911 Audio Recording Evidence

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

3. **Creating New Criminal Cases to Delay KING AROGANT'S Progress With Overturning His Wrongful Conviction.**
4. **Allowing Racially Animus to Lie in Three Different Courts for The Sole Purpose of Preventing KING AROGANT From Asking Him to Turn Over Phony and Bogus LASD-created GoPro Video Recordings GL010586 And GL010587.**
5. **Allowing Racially Animus Brian MARK Rosenberg to Testify Falsely In Three Different Superior Courts: Alhambra, Pasadena, and Stanley Mosk Courthouse.**
6. **Allowing Racially Animus Brian MARK Rosenberg to Testify Falsely on February 2, 2024, in the Alhambra Superior Court that Phony and BogusLASD GoPro Video Recordings GL010586 and GL010587 Were Real And KING AROGANT'S Original Split Up by LASD GoPro Video Recording GX010586 Was Spliced By KING AROGANT Himself**
7. **For Nearly Three Consecutive Years, Withholding and Refusing to Turn Over Phony and Bogus LASD GoPro Video Recordings GL010586 and GL010587**
8. **For Nearly Nine Consecutive Months, Recklessly Refusing to Turn Over Copies of KING AROGANT'S Original GoPro Video Recordings**
9. **Recklessly Presenting and Admitting False Video Recording Evidence**
10. **Recklessly Presenting and Admitting False 911 Audio Recording Evidence.**
11. **Recklessly Failing to Turn Over Phony and Bogus LASD-Created GoPro Video Recordings GL010586 and GL010587 to Neil Opdahl, Carlos A. Jackson, Thomas Guzman-Sanchez, and Joel Wyenn**

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

On November 28, 2023, KING AROGANT emailed an MP4-formatted electronic copy of the uninterrupted and continuous 14-minute REAL GoPro video recording that proved his factual innocence by clear and convincing evidence to Ned Harlan (ned.harlan@doj.ca.gov, Nishdeep Singh (nishdeep.singh@doj.ca.gov nishdeep.singh@doj.ca.gov), Robert Bonta (robert.bonta@doj.ca.gov), OPSChiefsOffice@doj.ca.gov, Peter Halloran (peter.halloran@doj.ca.gov) , Alisha Carlile (alisha.carlile@doj.ca.gov), Vincent Bonotto (vincent.bonotto@doj.ca.gov), Glenn Prudent (glenn.prudent@doj.ca.gov), Daniel Olivas (daniel.olivas@doj.ca.gov), Giam Nguyen (giam.nguyen@doj.ca.gov), Chad Stegman (chad.stegman@doj.ca.gov) Stephen Lew (stephen.lew@doj.ca.gov), Christopher Findley (christopher.findley@doj.ca.gov), Darrell Warren Spence (darrell.spence@doj.ca.gov), and many other State of California-employed law enforcement attorneys. The real GoPro video recording was also electronically served upon George Gascon (ggascon@da.lacounty.gov), Sharon Lee Woo (swoo@da.lacounty.gov), James William Garrison (jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov), Stephanie Pearl Mire (smire@da.lacounty.gov), Victor Manuel Rodriguez (vrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov), Ronald Millard Geltz (rgeltz@da.lacounty.gov), Needra Jenkins (njenkins@counsel.lacounty.gov), Nicole Davis Tinkham (ndavis-tinkham@counsel.lacounty.gov), Judy Welch Whitehurst (jwhitehurst@counsel.lacounty.gov), and over seventy-five other County of Los Angeles-employed criminal prosecutors and members of the Los Angeles County Office of Counsel. The real 14-minute GoPro video recording was also emailed to RACIST and LYING State of California, Los Angeles Superior Court, expert witness Thomas Leo Guzman-Sanchez. (tgsvrimuzic@dancemaster.com, r@danmaster.com, and

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

godaddy@dancemaster.com. As of August 19, 2025, all the above emailed State of California and the County of Los Angeles criminal and civil attorneys have willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, deliberately, and callously with under color of law, callous indifference to the federally protected constitutional civil rights of KING AROGANT recklessly failed to intervene based on Brian MARK Rosenberg's actions and misconduct of framing KING AROGANT for a serious crime he did not commit by manipulating, altering and tampering with KING AROGANT'S entirely exculpatory GOPRO video recording evidence.

As of August 16, 2025, all the above emailed State of California and the County of Los Angeles' criminal and civil attorneys have willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, knowingly, carelessly, deliberately, and callously with under color of law, callous indifference to the federally protected constitutional civil rights of KING AROGANT, recklessly failed to respond to KING AROGANT'S email by electronic mail, facsimile, physical mail, or telephone, based on Brian MARK Rosenberg's actions and misconduct of framing KING AROGANT for a serious and violent crime he did not commit by manipulating, altering, and tampering with KING AROGANT'S entirely exculpatory GoPro video recording evidence, in furtherance of all emailed State of California employees (including but not limited to Gavin Newsom) conspiring with County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office and the County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department to violate KING AROGANT'S constitutional civil rights recklessly.

On November 28, 2023, in addition to KING AROGANT emailing the real GoPro video recording GX010586 to the above-stated criminal prosecutors and the State

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

of California attorneys; these same criminal prosecutors and state attorneys also received a web address link that redirected them to KING AROGANT'S Instagram account, where they could view the real video recording that was previously uploaded to his Instagram account.

SEE BELOW THE INSTAGRAM VIDEO LINKS TO THE ORIGINAL FEBRUARY 21, 2023, GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS ATTACHED HERETO.

https://www.instagram.com/reel/Czs0EpgOsSm/?utm_source=ig_web_copy_link&igshid=MzRlODBiNWFlZA==

https://www.instagram.com/reel/Cz0sb6auaos/?utm_source=ig_web_copy_link&igshid=MzRlODBiNWFlZA==

6. ENTER RACIST, PERJURIOUS, AND FABRICATED COURT TESTIMONY OF COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES CONTRACTED EMPLOYEE THOMAS LEO-GUZMAN-SANCHEZ

On December 28, 2023, KING AROGANT filed a motion to withdraw his no-contest guilty plea.

On January 12, 2024, KING AROGANT filed a second motion to withdraw his No Contest guilty plea, and his Motion to Withdraw his No Contest guilty plea motion was

filed on December 28, 2023, in the illegal and frivolous, violent, and serious felony case GA114055.

At the court hearing on January 12, 2024, lying and racially animus Superior of California, County of Los Angeles expert witness Thomas Guzman-Sanchez falsely testified and fabricated lies that during a telephone conversation with KING AROGANT on October 22, 2023, KING AROGANT made a criminal threat to him by telling him ***"He was going to fuck him up."*** See below a true and correct copy of pages of the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, court reporter Adriana Montanez's January 12, 2024, court transcript, pages 16, 17, and 18 attached to KING AROGANT'S Verified Appendix of Exhibits and herein below.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

THE CALL.

Q. AND WHAT DID MR. HOLLYWOOD SAY TO YOU DURING THAT CALL?

A. I FOUND IT INTERESTING BECAUSE INITIALLY HE SAID, "WAIT A MINUTE. THIS CALL'S BEING RECORDED," AND IT'S LIKE HE WAS HAVING TROUBLE WITH THE APP. AND THEN HE CALLED ME BACK AND THEN HE PROCEEDED TO HARASS ME AND THREATEN ME.

Q. AND WHEN YOU SAY HARASSED AND THREATEN YOU, WHAT DID HE SAY TO YOU EXACTLY?

A. WELL, HE HAS SOME TYPE OF IDEA THAT THERE'S SOME TYPE OF CONSPIRACY REGARDING THE GO PRO VIDEO, AND HE FELT THE NEED TO TELL ME ALL THIS INFORMATION. AND THEN HE WENT ON TO WHEN I ASKED HIM, "WHY ARE YOU TELLING ME THIS BECAUSE I'M NO LONGER WITH THE CASE?" "I'M FILING A LAWSUIT," HE SAID, AND THAT I VIOLATED HIS 14TH AMENDMENT, AND "I'M GOING TO FUCK YOU UP. SO WATCH OUT." WHEN HE SAID THAT, THAT'S A THREAT. AND I SAID THAT, "I'M REPORTING YOU."

NOW, MIND YOU, HE HAD TEXTED ME A FEW TIMES PRIOR, AND I WANTED TO GIVE HIM THE BENEFIT OF THE DOUBT, YOU KNOW, JUST SAY LOOK, YOU KNOW, YOU'RE UPSET I UNDERSTAND. YOU HAVE YOUR DELUSION, THAT'S FINE. BUT WHEN IT WENT TO THIS LEVEL, THIS IS WHEN I FELT IT WAS NECESSARY TO GET THE COURT INVOLVED.

Q. SO PRIOR TO THIS PHONE CALL CONTAINING THE THREATS OR THE PHRASES YOU INTERPRETED AS THREATS, HAD HE CONTACTED YOU IN WRITING SEVERAL TIMES PRIOR?

A. CORRECT.

Q. AND WHEN HE CONTACTED YOU IN WRITING, DID YOU

1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

PERCEIVE THAT AS HARASSMENT?

A. ABSOLUTELY.

Q. WHEN HE THREATENED TO FUCK YOU UP OVER THE PHONE, CAN YOU DESCRIBE THE TONE AND VOLUME OF HIS VOICE?

A. IT WAS AGGRESSIVE AND, YEAH, I WOULD SAY COMMANDING.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: THAT'S WHY HE NEVER FILED A POLICE REPORT.

Q. MS. PARK: WAS HE LOUD?

A. OH, DEFINITELY BOMBASTIC. BOMBASTIC WOULD BE A WORD.

Q. DID HE SOUND UPSET, ANGRY?

A. YES, EXTREMELY UPSET.

Q. HOW DID THAT MAKE YOU FEEL WHEN HE THREATENED YOU BY SAYING, "I'M GOING TO FUCK YOU UP, SO WATCH OUT," IN A VERY ANGRY AND AGGRESSIVE TONE?

A. THAT WOULD MAKE -- THAT MADE ME FEEL THREATENED, NERVOUS, IN FEAR FOR MY LIFE.

Q. ON OCTOBER 29TH, 2023, DID YOU ALSO RECEIVE MORE TEXT MESSAGES FROM MR. HOLLYWOOD?

A. YES, HE CONTINUED.

Q. AND IN THOSE TEXT MESSAGES, WHAT DID HE SAY?

A. WELL, ON THE 29TH, WHAT I FOUND HIM TO DO WAS COME FROM DIFFERENT PHONE NUMBERS AND TEXT ME. AND I AM THE TYPE OF PERSON, IF I DON'T KNOW WHERE A TEXT IS COMING FROM, I'LL SAY, "WHO IS THIS," OR WHATEVER. AND HIS RESPONSE WAS, "WHO DO YOU THINK, FOOL. ALSO LAWSUIT WILL BE FILED NEXT MONTH NAMING YOU DEFENDANT FOR VIOLATING MY 14TH AMENDMENT RIGHT." AND THEN HE WENT ON TO TALK ABOUT DETAILS WITH HIS -- WITH THE

GO PRO.

Q. ON NOVEMBER 21ST, 2023, DID YOU RECEIVE FURTHER TEXT MESSAGES FROM MR. HOLLYWOOD USING YET ANOTHER CELLPHONE NUMBER?

A. YES, I THOUGHT THAT WAS INTERESTING BECAUSE HE ACTUALLY SENT ME A OF PHOTO OF A TEXT MESSAGE I FOUND THIS VERY STRANGE. IT STATED, "YOU'RE MENTALLY ILL. ALL YOU HAD TO DO WAS TELL HIM TO PULL UP ON THE DRIVEWAY." MIND YOU THAT WAS A DETAIL THAT WAS IN THE GO PRO.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: I WANT IT NOTED HE'S PRESENTING NEWLY DISCOVERED EVIDENCE ON THE STAND RIGHT NOW. LET THE RECORD --

THE COURT: IS THAT AN OBJECTION?

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: IT'S NOT AN OBJECTION. I WANT THE RECORD TO REFLECT.

THE COURT: THEN BE QUIET.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: I'M JUST TELLING YOU THAT'S WHAT HE DID.

THE WITNESS: THAT WAS THE DETAIL THAT I RECALL THAT WAS WITHIN THE CONTENTS OF THIS EVIDENCE THAT I EXAMINED. SO I JUST -- I FOUND IT STRANGELY DELUSIONAL. AND MIND YOU, I DON'T RESPOND -- I DIDN'T NORMALLY RESPOND TO THESE TEXTS.

Q. MS. PARK: AND DID HE CONTINUE TO SEND YOU TEXT MESSAGES FROM THAT NEW PHONE NUMBER ON NOVEMBER 21ST, 2023?

A. APPARENTLY SO, YES.

Q. WHAT DID HE SAY?

A. "I'M THE VIDEO EXPERT NOW. YOU WILL BE SUED. LAWYER UP, FOOL."

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPARTMENT 1 HON. MICHAEL VILLALOBOS, JUDGE

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, PLAINTIFF, VS. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, DEFENDANT.	NO. GA114055-01 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, ADRIANA MONTANEZ, OFFICIAL REPORTER OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING PAGES, 1 THROUGH 49, INCLUSIVE, COMPRISE A FULL, TRUE AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF THE PROCEEDINGS HELD IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER ON JANUARY 12, 2024,

DATED THIS 15TH DAY OF JANUARY, 2024

adrianamontanez , CSR NO. 12767
ADRIANA MONTANEZ
OFFICIAL REPORTER

In his perjurious declaration filed in frivolous and RACIST Superior Court of California civil case 25STRO03574 in early June 2025. LYING, racially animus, racially hostile Thomas Guzman-Sanchez doubles down on his fabricated and false January 12, 2024, court testimony after the KING AROGANT audio recording of him surfaced by being served over seventy-five County of Los Angeles and State of California employees. Thomas Guzman-Sanchez made false accusations under the penalty of perjury that KING AROGANT had altered the recorded telephone conversation between him and KING AROGANT. Of course, lying Sanchez would allege this since he is heard throughout the entire telephone conversation telling KING AROGANT that he was going to report KING AROGANT because KING AROGANT told him straight up he would sue him! Thomas Guzman-Sanchez's January 12, 2024, court testimony was just as false and fabricated as the court testimony of Jennifer Hutton-Heger on April 4, 2023, and April 5, 2023, and Brian MARK Rosenberg on February 2, 2024.


In July 2025, KING AROGANT uploaded a screenshot video recording of him accessing the original recorded telephone conversation between him and racially animus Thomas Guzman-Sanchez in October 2023, from its original source of recording, an IPHONE telephone application called Call Recorder. KING AROGANT's original Call Recorder application recorded telephone audio recording recorded on October 22, 2023, at approximately 2:14 PM proves by clear and convincing evidence that LYING and racially animus Thomas Guzman-Sanchez willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, deliberately, knowingly, carelessly and callously violated California Government Code § 6200 by testifying falsely that KING AROGANT had made a criminal threat to him on October 22, 2023 by KING AROGANT telling him ***"He would fuck him up."***

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

See now a true and correct copy of KING AROGANT's YouTube website video link of him accessing the original audio recording and playing it from the application on his IPHONE 14 Pro Max, and a screenshot of the application itself showing the October 22, 2023, telephone attached to the Verified Appendix of Exhibits and below herein.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AeAieM4UJ8E&t=9s

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344



AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

The above evidence throughout all paragraphs written herein proves by clear and convincing evidence that the following occurred between February 21, 2023, and August 17, 2025:

1. The County of Los Angeles filed a frivolous workplace violence restraining order against KING AROGANT in June 2025, case 25STRO03574.
2. Racially Animus and Racially Hostile County of Los Angeles employees Brian MARK Rosenberg and Thomas Guzman-Sanchez testified falsely in a criminal Superior Court and filed numerous perjurious documents in numerous Superior Courts.
3. Billy Khounthavong, Thomas Guzman-Sanchez, and Brian MARK Rosenberg all willfully, recklessly, maliciously, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, deliberately, and callously violated California Government Code § 6200 by testifying falsely and by presenting false evidence in a Superior Court of California criminal courtroom.
4. Billy Khounthavong willfully, recklessly, maliciously, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, deliberately, and callously violated California Penal Code § 118.1 by filing a false police report in February 2023.
5. Government witnesses Thomas Guzman-Sanchez, Billy Khounthavong, Jennifer Hutton-Heger, and Brian MARK Rosenberg's misconduct throughout RACIST and unconstitutional felony criminal case are grounds for KING AROGANT's wrongful felony conviction being overturned pursuant to California Penal Code § 1473.6, subsection (a).

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

6. Thomas Guzman-Sanchez, Billy Khounthavong, and Brian MARK Rosenberg should all be charged by the United States District Court for the Central District of California for them all willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, and callously violated 18 U.S.C. § 242.

7. The clear and convincing evidence of Brian MARK Rosenberg, Thomas Guzman-Sanchez and Billy Khounthavong's false testimony, presentation of altered and false evidence, and concealment of exculpatory evidence, prove their racial hostility and racial animus towards KING AROGANT, and pursuant to California Penal Code § 745 KING AROGANT's wrongful conviction in RACIST Superior of California case GA114055 should have been overturned nearly two years ago.

ENTER CORRUPT PEACE OFFICER BENJAMIN YANG, RUBEN SORIANO, LYING AND RACIALLY ANIMUS CYNTHIA MICHIKO NAKAO (SBN # 137510), PART 2 OF RACIALLY ANIMUS BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG, THE CONTINUED ROLE OF FORMER COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY GEORGE GASCÒN, NEW ROLE OF CORRUPT AND RACIALLY ANIMUS COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY NATHAN JOSEPH HOCHMAN (SBN # 139137), DAWYN R. HARRISON (SBN # 173855), NICOLE AMBER DAVIS TINKHAM (SBN # 229592), JUDY WELCH WHITEHURST (SBN # 182855), WILLOW LEAH ROSENTHAL (SBN # 345751),

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

SCOTT DOUGLAS DOMINGUEZ (SBN # 228843), ALEXANDER MICHAEL KARKANEN (SBN # 162377), MARY ANNE MURRAY (SBN # 185518), VANESSA ANN EVANGELISTA (SBN 238474) LINDSEY PATRICE HORVATH, HILDA L. SOLIS, HOLLY J. MITCHELL, JANICE HAHN, KATHRYN BARGER, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, MICHAEL VILLALOBOS, MARK WINDHAM, TIMOTHY MARTELLA, DOES 1-10, AND THEIR CO-CONSPIRATORS CONTINUE TO VIOLATE KING AROGANT'S CONSTITUTIONAL CIVIL RIGHTS BY MOSTLY USING FRAUDULENT CIVIL HARASSMENT RESTRAINING ORDER CASES 23PDRO01713 AND 25STRO03574; AND NEW ILLEGAL AND PERJURIOUS CRIMINAL COMPLAINT IN CRIMINAL CASE 25CJCM02162-01.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

III. STATEMENT OF FACTS/ALLEGATIONS IN SUPPORT OF 170.6 & STATE OF CALIFORNIA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE OFFICIAL WRITTEN COMPLAINT FILED AGAINST BIASED, PARTIAL, UNFAIR, AND PREJUDICED COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES EMPLOYEE LATRESE ANTIONETTE GEORGE BYRDSONG

On August 19, 2025 KING AROGANT was prevented from having a fair and impartial court hearing due to **Aunt Jemima Sell Out** willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously twice striking and sustaining frivolous objections by rogue and unethical County of Los Angeles civil attorney Vanessa Ann Evangelista **(SBN # 238474)** regarding Brian MARK Rosenberg's fabricated, perjurious, and falsified April 17, 2025 city of Alhambra police report. In collusion with County of Los Angeles FAKE petitioner, County of Los Angeles employee Latrice Antionette George Byrdsong lied on the Superior Court record that she did not see RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg's police report attached as an Exhibit, while she had already reviewed all Exhibits prior to the hearing, and KING AROGANT told Aunt Jemima that lying Rosenberg's April 17, 2025 police report was attached to petition, between Exhibits 1-5. Pursuant to California Evidence Code § 352 judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong recklessly abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisdiction because County of Los Angeles had presented lying Brian MARK Rosenberg's police report as an Exhibit and attached it as documentary written evidence in support of their frivolous temporary workplace violence petition. RACIST Rosenberg's fabricated April 17, 2025, police report was also attached a second time to Exhibit 4 in County of Los Angeles's amended petition. County of Los Angeles lying government witnesses Brian MARK Rosenberg and Cynthia Nakao both referenced the April 17, 2025, police report

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

in their perjurious declaration, written statements that were filed in both the original frivolous petition and the even more frivolous amended petition. See now a true and correct copy of RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg's perjurious and fabricated April 17, 2025, crime report attached to KING AROGANT'S **Verified Appendix of Exhibits, Tab 1, Exhibit 1**, which is a true and correct certified copy of County of Los Angeles's frivolous 173-page workplace violence restraining order petition. Exhibit 1 proves by clear and convincing evidence that Aunt Jemima Sell Out knew very well that she was frivolously striking KING AROGANT'S relevant cross-examination questions while lying on the court record that she did not see plain as day Exhibits 1-5. Aunt Jemima Sell Out's reckless actions of striking KING AROGANT'S key and very important cross-examination questions regarding RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg's fabricated April 17, 2025, police report denied KING AROGANT of his United States Constitution Due Process Clause rights and changed the outcome of August 19, 2025's hearing outcome.

Biased County of Los Angeles employee Latrice Antionette George Byrdsong recklessly prevented KING AROGANT from having a fair and impartial workplace violence restraining order court hearing trial by her willfully, recklessly, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, fraudulently, and callously limiting the cross-examination of RACIST AND LYING Brian MARK Rosenberg, which resulted in KING AROGANT not having any opportunity to ask racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg questions about the following:

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

On August 19, 2025, due to the prejudiced, biased, partial, and unfair Superior Court of California judicial officer Aunt Jemima Sell Out willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, knowingly, carelessly, deliberately, fraudulently and callously limiting KING AROGANT'S cross-examination of lying and racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg to fewer than ten questions, and limiting the duration of KING AROGANT'S cross-examination to less than thirty minutes. KING AROGANT was unable and handicapped from asking Brian MARK Rosenberg approximately one hundred and fifty (150) or more questions regarding the following, but not at all limited to the following:

1. **His October 13, 2023, email he received from KING AROGANT.**

2. **His Fabricated Attachment Page filed on November 17, 2023, in frivolous petition 23PDRO01713.**

3. **Paragraph of his declaration regarding March 20, 2025, and April 17, 2025.**

4. **Numerous Questions Regarding His Criminal Protective Order.**

5. **Numerous Questions Regarding His Fabricated and False Police Report.**

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

6. **Numerous Questions About the GoPro Video Recording Evidence He Falsified in an Illegal Criminal Case, GA114055.**

7. **Numerous Questions About Why He Permitted the Lying State Government Jennifer Hutton-Heger to Testify Falsely Regarding a Knife Incident.**

8. **Numerous Questions About Why He Permitted the Lying State Government Witnesses Billy Khounthavong and Jennifer Hutton-Heger to testify falsely regarding the time stamps of two 911 audio recording calls.**

9. **Numerous Questions About Why He Permitted the Lying State Government Jennifer Hutton-Heger to Testify Falsely regarding text messages KING AROGANT sent her on the early morning of February 21, 2023.**

10. **Numerous Questions About Why He Recklessly and Deliberately Failed to Provide Court-Appointed Witness Thomas Guzman-Sanchez with a Copy Of Phony and Bogus LASD GoPro Video Recordings GL010586 and GL010587.**

11. **Numerous Questions About Why He Recklessly and Deliberately Failed to Provide Court-Appointed Witness Thomas Guzman-Sanchez with a Copy Of Original GoPro Video Recordings, Even After Sanchez Requested Them.**

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

12. **Numerous Questions About Why He Recklessly and Deliberately Failed to Provide Court-Appointed Witness Neil Opdahl (SBN # 277596) with a Copy Of Phony and Bogus LASD GoPro Video Recordings GL010586 and GL010587.**

13. **Numerous Questions About Why He Recklessly and Deliberately Failed to Provide Court-Appointed Witness Neil Opdahl (SBN # 277596) with a Copy Of Original GoPro Video Recordings, Even After Opdahl Requested Them**

14. **Numerous Questions About Why He Recklessly and Deliberately Failed to Provide Court-Appointed Witness Carlos Anthony Jackson (PI # 28424) with A Copy Of Phony and Bogus LASD GoPro Video Recordings GL010586 and GL010587.**

15. **Numerous Questions About Why He Recklessly and Deliberately Failed to Provide Court-Appointed Witness Carlos Anthony Jackson (PI # 277596) with a Copy Of Original GoPro Video Recordings Even After Jackson Requested Them.**

16. **Numerous Questions About Why He Recklessly and Deliberately Failed to Provide Court-Appointed Witness Carlos Joel Simone Wyenn (PI # 10818) with A Copy of the Phony and Bogus LASD GoPro Video Recordings GL010586 and GL010587.**

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

17. Numerous Questions About Why He Recklessly and Deliberately Failed to Provide Court-Appointed Witness Joel S. Wyenn (PI # 10818) with a Copy Of Original GoPro Video Recordings Even After Wyenn Requested Them.

18. Numerous questions about Brian MARK Rosenberg's continuous and ongoing racial animus, racial biased, and racial hostility towards KING AROGANT that was proven by clear and convincing evidence when lying, Rosenberg recklessly filed a false police report in which racially animus Rosenberg completed and requested the private person's arrest of KING AROGANT by falsely alleging that KING AROGANT had falsely sent him five separate emails while Rosenberg knew that KING AROGANT had only sent him one email (November 13, 2023) in the past nearly seventeen months (as of April 17, 2025).

On August 19, 2025, August Jemima Sell Out's reckless actions of limiting KING AROGANT'S testimony of RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg (SBN #291501) was ridiculous, fundamentally unfair, violated the United States Constitution, and violated KING AROGANT'S United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights because County of Los Angeles's petition was just over one-hundred seventy pages and included over one-hundred and fifty pages of Exhibits in support of its false, fabricated, and outlandish allegations made against KING AROGANT.

On August 19, 2025, biased and incompetent judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong did not have legal authorization to limit KING AROGANT'S cross-examination of lying and racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg. A judicial officer can only limit a respondent/defendant's cross-examination of a witness pursuant to California Evidence Code § 721 (Cross-examination of an Expert Witness). Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously violated California Evidence Code § 765 by recklessly blocking KING AROGANT from conducting and having an effective cross-examination of lying Rosenberg for the ascertainment of the truth. For example, Byrdsong recklessly stopped the cross-examination of lying Rosenberg before KING AROGANT could ask him any questions about November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, and June 8, 2024, emails Rosenberg lied he received, and KING AROGANT did not have an opportunity to ask racially animus and lying Rosenberg any questions about the filing of his perjurious, fabricated, and falsified April 17, 2025, city of Alhambra crime report. The city of Alhambra police report, and numerous filings in frivolous case **25CJCM02162-01**, including a frivolous Criminal Protective Order dated April 21, 2025, in the Now Dismissed illegal five-count criminal complaint for which were Exhibits in support of the County of Los Angeles's racist and illegal workplace violence original petition and subsequently filed frivolous June 9, 2025, amended petition. Yet, incompetent and partial Latrice Byrdsong prevented KING AROGANT from asking lying and racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg any questions about the above-stated County of Los Angeles falsified evidence Exhibits that were recklessly filed twice inside the Superior Court in support of their racist and unconstitutional workplace violence petition. Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately,

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

wantonly, and callously violated California Evidence Code § 769 by recklessly preventing KING AROGANT from asking lying Brian MARK Rosenberg questions about prior statements he made in frivolous case **23PDRO01713, in which lying criminal Brian MARK Rosenberg testified on direct examination and in his perjurious 2025 declaration that KING AROGANT sent him an email on November 23, 2023, while in 23PDRO01713, he attached an Exhibit of an email that was dated for November 13, 2023.** Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously violated California Evidence Code § 770 by recklessly excusing lying Rosenberg before KING AROGANT had an opportunity to cross-examine him on over one-hundred questions that would have resulted in the production of numerous pieces of evidence of our of court statements made by Rosenberg made that were very inconsistent with his perjurious August 19, 2025 trial court testimony. Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously violated California Evidence Code § 773 by recklessly limiting KING AROGANT'S cross-examination of lying and racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg to just thirty minutes, which only gave KING AROGANT an opportunity to ask lying Rosenberg approximately ten questions that were in the scope of County of Los Angeles's direct examination of racially animus Rosenberg by rogue and unethical County of Los Angeles civil attorney Vanessa Ann Evangelista **(SBN # 238474).** Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously violated KING AROGANT'S United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights by recklessly limiting KING AROGANT'S cross-examination of lying Rosenberg, and then recklessly violating his Due Process constitutional civil rights by recklessly

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

excusing racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg before KING AROGANT could ask him any relevant and adequate cross examination questions beyond, approximately the 8th to 10th question. Biased Superior Court of California Judicial Officer Latrese Byrdsong must be disciplined for recklessly, willfully, knowingly, carelessly, fraudulently, wantonly, deliberately, and callously violating **California Code of Judicial Ethics, Canon 1: A Judge Shall Uphold the Integrity and Independence of the Judiciary, Canon 2: A Judge Shall Avoid Impropriety and the Appearance of Impropriety in all of the Judge's Activities, and Canon 3: A Judge Shall Perform The Duties of Judicial Office Impartially, Competently, and Diligently** over and over again throughout the frivolous and unconstitutional hearing on County of Los Angeles's perjurious, falsified, unconstitutional, and fabricated workplace violence petition.

On August 19, 2025, Respondent KING AROGANT was prevented from having a fair trial due to the Superior Court and partial judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously limiting the cross-examination of lying Cynthia Michiko Nakao (SBN # 137510), which resulted in KING AROGANT not having any opportunity to ask racially animus Cynthia Nakao questions about the following:

1. MARK Rosenberg's October 13, 2023, email he received from KING AROGANT.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

2. **MARK Rosenberg's Fabricated Attachment Page filed on November 17, 2023, In frivolous petition 23PDRO01713, as Nakao mentioned, This Case in her Perjurious Declaration.**

3. **Paragraph of Cynthia Nakao's declaration regarding the dates of February 4, 2025 March 20, 2025, and April 17, 2025.**

4. **Numerous Questions Regarding Frivolous Criminal Protective Order, in Which Nakao Declared in Her Declaration.**

5. **Numerous Questions Regarding Rosenberg's Fabricated and False Police Report, Which Nakao Mentioned and Declared In Her Perjurious Declaration.**

6. **Numerous Questions About the GoPro Video Recording Evidence Rosenberg Falsified and Nakao's Alhambra DA Office Recklessly Refused to Turn Over.**

7. **Numerous Questions About Why He Permitted the Lying State Government Jennifer Hutton-Heger to Testify Falsely Regarding a Knife Incident.**

8. **Numerous Questions About Why He Permitted the Lying State Government Witnesses Billy Khounthavong and Jennifer Hutton-Heger to testify falsely regarding the time stamps of two 911 audio recording calls.**

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

9. Numerous Questions About Why the Alhambra DA Office Permitted the Lying State Government Jennifer Hutton-Heger to Testify Falsely regarding text messages KING AROGANT sent her on the early morning of February 21, 2023.

10. Numerous Questions About Why the Alhambra Field Office Recklessly and Deliberately Failed to Provide Court-Appointed Witness Thomas Guzman-Sanchez with a Copy of Phony and Bogus LASD GoPro Video Recordings GL010586 and GL010587, in Which Racist Sanchez Mentioned In His Declaration.

11. Numerous Questions About Why the Alhambra DA Office Recklessly and Deliberately Failed to Provide Court-Appointed Witness Thomas Guzman-Sanchez with a Copy of the Original GoPro Video Recordings, Even After Sanchez Requested Them, In Which Racist Sanchez Stated in His Declaration That Lying Rosenberg Recklessly Turned Over To Him Altered GoPro Video Recordings.

12. Numerous Questions About Why the Alhambra DA Office Recklessly and Deliberately Failed to Provide Court-Appointed Witness Neil Opdahl (SBN # 277596) with a Copy of Phony and Bogus LASD GoPro Video Recordings GL010586 and GL010587.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

13. **Numerous Questions About Why the Alhambra DA Office Recklessly and Deliberately Failed to Provide Court-Appointed Witness Neil Opdahl (SBN # 277596) with a Copy of the Original GoPro Video Recordings, Even After Opdahl Requested Them.**

14. **Numerous Questions About Why the Alhambra DA Office Recklessly and Deliberately Failed to Provide Court-Appointed Witness Carlos Anthony Jackson (PI # 28424) with A Copy of Phony and Bogus LASD GoPro Video Recordings GL010586 and GL010587.**

15. **Numerous Questions About Why the Alhambra DA Recklessly and Deliberately Failed to Provide Court-Appointed Witness Carlos Anthony Jackson (PI # 277596) with a Copy of the Original GoPro Video Recordings, Even After Jackson Requested Them.**

16. **Numerous Questions About Why the Alhambra DA Recklessly and Deliberately Failed to Provide Court-Appointed Witness Carlos Joel Simone Wyenn (PI # 10818) with A Copy of the Phony and Bogus LASD GoPro Video Recordings GL010586 and GL010587.**

17. **Numerous Questions About Why the Alhambra DA Office Recklessly and Deliberately Failed to Provide Court-Appointed Witness Joel S. Wyenn (PI # 10818) with a Copy of Original GoPro Video Recordings Even After Wyenn Requested Them.**

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

18. Numerous questions about Brian MARK Rosenberg's continuous and ongoing racial animus, racial biased, and racial hostility towards KING AROGANT that was proven by clear and convincing evidence when lying, Rosenberg recklessly filed a false police report in which lying Rosenberg completed and requested private person's arrest of KING AROGANT by falsely alleging that KING AROGANT had falsely sent him five separate emails while Rosenberg knew that KING AROGANT had only sent him one email (November 13, 2023) in the past nearly seventeen months (as of April 17, 2025), Relevant Evidence as County of Los Angeles foolishly attached a copy of lying Brian MARK Rosenberg's Falsified and Fabricated April 17, 2025, City of Alhambra police report (All pages) As an Exhibit to Both Frivolous Petition and Frivolous Amended Petition.

On August 19, 2025, due to the prejudiced, biased, unfair, and inequitable Superior Court of California Judicial Officer Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, knowingly, carelessly, deliberately, and callously limiting KING AROGANT'S cross-examination of lying and racially animus Cynthia Nakao, to fewer than ten questions, and in under twenty minutes. KING AROGANT was legally disabled and handicapped from asking racially animus County of Los Angeles deputy district attorney Cynthia Nakao approximately fifty (50) to seventy-five (75) or more questions regarding the previously stated above allegations against Aunt Jemima Sell Out, 1-18.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Biased and incompetent judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong did not have legal authorization to limit KING AROGANT'S cross-examination of lying and racially animus County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutor Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510)**. A judicial officer can only limit a respondent/defendant's cross-examination of a witness pursuant to California Evidence Code § 721 (Cross-examination of an Expert Witness). Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously violated California Evidence Code § 765 by recklessly blocking KING AROGANT from conducting and having an effective cross-examination of Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510)** for the ascertainment of the truth. For example, Byrdsong recklessly stopped the cross-examination of Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510)** before KING AROGANT could ask him any questions about the November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, and June 8, 2024, emails her co-worker Brian MARK Rosenberg lied he received, and KING AROGANT did not have an opportunity to ask lying Cynthia Nakao any questions about the filing of perjurious, fabricated, and falsified April 17, 2025, city of Alhambra crime report. City of Alhambra police report, and numerous filings in frivolous case 25CJCM02162-01, including a frivolous Criminal Protective Order erroneously issued in April 2025 in the Now Dismissed illegal five-count criminal complaint, in which the perjurious police report and illegally issued CPO were all Exhibits in support of the County of Los Angeles's racist and illegal workplace violence original petition and June 9, 2025, amended petition, yet incompetent and partial Latrice Byrdsong prevented KING AROGANT from asking lying and racially animus Cynthia Nakao any questions about the above-stated County of Los Angeles falsified evidence Exhibits that were recklessly filed twice inside of the Superior Court in support of their racist and unconstitutional workplace violence petition.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Sell Out Aunt Jemima Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously violated California Evidence Code § 769 by recklessly preventing KING AROGANT from asking lying Cynthia Nakao questions about prior statements she made in declaration regarding what occurred at Alhambra DA office on February 4, 2025, and April 17, 2025, recklessly preventing KING AROGANT from asking questions regarding false April 17, 2025 arrest, April 21, 2025 criminal charges Cynthia Nakao approved, orchestrated and then transferred frivolous case to Clara Foltz-Shortridge Criminal Justice Center, recklessly preventing KING AROGANT from asking lying Cynthia Nakao any questions about April 21, 2025 Criminal Protective Order she mentioned in her perjurious declaration, and so much more. Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously violated California Evidence Code § 770 by recklessly excusing lying Cynthia Nakao before KING AROGANT had an opportunity to cross-examine her on over one-hundred questions that would have resulted in the production of numerous pieces of evidence of court statements made by Nakao that were very inconsistent with her perjurious August 19, 2025 trial court testimony and her May 2025 declaration. Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously violated California Evidence Code § 773 by recklessly limiting KING AROGANT'S cross-examination of lying and racially animus Cynthia Nakao to just thirty minutes, which only gave KING AROGANT an opportunity to ask lying Cynthia Nakao approximately ten questions that were in the scope of the County of Los Angeles's direct examination of racially animus Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510) that was done** by rogue and unethical County of Los Angeles civil attorney Vanessa Ann Evangelista

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

(SBN # 238474). Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously violated KING AROGANT'S United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights by recklessly limiting KING AROGANT'S cross-examination of lying Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510)**, and then recklessly violating his Due Process constitutional civil rights by recklessly excusing racially animus Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510)** before KING AROGANT could ask him any relevant and adequate cross examination questions beyond, approximately the 8th to 10th question.
Biased Superior Court of California Judicial Officer Latrese Byrdsong must be disciplined for recklessly, willfully, knowingly, carelessly, fraudulently, wantonly, deliberately, and callously violating **California Code of Judicial Ethics, Canon 1: A Judge Shall Uphold the Integrity and Independence of the Judiciary, Canon 2: A Judge Shall Avoid Impropriety and the Appearance of Impropriety in all of the Judge's Activities, and Canon 3: A Judge Shall Perform The Duties of Judicial Office Impartially, Competently, and Diligently** over and over again throughout the frivolous and unconstitutional hearing on County of Los Angeles's perjurious, falsified, unconstitutional, and fabricated workplace violence petition.

On August 19, 2025 Aunt Jemima Sell Out Latrice Byrdsong abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisidction by the Superior Court, by recklessly preventing KING AROGANT from having a fair trial due to the Superior Court willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously limiting KING AROGANT'S presentation of his GoPro video recordings of February 4, 2025, and April 17, 2025. Pursuant to California Evidence Code §§ 352, 1400, and 1401,

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong recklessly abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisdiction because the County of Los Angeles had presented exhibits and oral testimony by two government witnesses about what occurred on both February 4, 2025, and April 17, 2025. It was fundamentally unfair for biased and partial judicial office Latrice Byrdsong to not allow KING AROGANT to present clear and convincing evidence that would negate and disprove the lying Brian MARK Rosenberg and Cynthia Nakao's trial court testimony and perjurious declarations that alleged that KING AROGANT was creating a disturbance at the Alhambra DA office on February 4, 2025, March 20, 2025, and April 17, 2025.

Due to the prejudiced, biased, partial, and unfair Superior Court of California Judicial Officer Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, knowingly, carelessly, deliberately, fraudulently, and callously limiting KING AROGANT'S presentation of his GoPro video recordings of February 4, 2025, and April 17, 2025. KING AROGANT was unable and legally handicapped from presenting evidence that he did not create a disturbance on February 4, 2025, March 20, 2025, and April 17, 2025, and was also legally handicapped from presenting evidence that he was exercising his constitutional United States civil rights on February 4, 2025, March 20, 2025, and April 17, 2025.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

On August 19, 2025, the biased and partial Superior Court of California judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously violated KING AROGANT'S United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights by **limiting KING AROGANT'S** presentation of his GoPro video recordings of February 4, 2025, and April 17, 2025.

Biased Superior Court of California Judicial Officer Latrese Byrdsong must be disciplined for on August 19, 2025 recklessly, willfully, knowingly, carelessly, fraudulently, wantonly, deliberately, and callously violating **California Code of Judicial Ethics, Canon 1: A Judge Shall Uphold the Integrity and Independence of the Judiciary, Canon 2: A Judge Shall Avoid Impropriety and the Appearance of Impropriety in all of the Judge's Activities, and Canon 3: A Judge Shall Perform The Duties of Judicial Office Impartially, Competently, and Diligently** over and over again throughout the frivolous and unconstitutional hearing on the County of Los Angeles's perjurious, falsified, unconstitutional, and fabricated workplace violence petition.

On August 19, 2025 Sell Out Aunt Jemima abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisdiction by preventing KING AROGANT from having a fair trial due to her willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously refusing to require County of Los Angeles witness Thomas Guzman-Sanchez to testify at the rigged and unconstitutional August 19, 2025, workplace violence hearing. Biased and partial Superior of California judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong willfully,

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously violated California Evidence Code §§ 352, 354, 400, 401, 402, and 411 by recklessly refusing to compel racially animus Thomas Guzman-Sanchez to testify. At the rigged and unconstitutional August 19, 2025, hearing, KING AROGANT made an offer of proof pursuant to California Evidence Code § 401 by telling the Superior Court that racially animus Thomas Guzman-Sanchez had submitted a declaration that was an Exhibit used by the County of Los Angeles to have both their original and amended frivolous workplace violence petitions granted. In that perjurious declaration, Thomas Guzman-Sanchez not only made false allegations that KING AROGANT made a criminal threat to him on October 22, 2023, but Sanchez also stated that KING AROGANT altered the audio recording of the incident. KING AROGANT had a constitutional right to ask Sanchez questions about alleged criminal threats, and he had a right to play the audio recording of the incident during Sanchez's testimony. Yet, biased and partial Superior Court of California judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong recklessly abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisdiction because she knew that the County of Los Angeles had presented exhibits and declarations signed by Thomas Guzman-Sanchez that were falsely and fraudulently used to show KING AROGANT engaged in a course of conduct of unlawful violence. Moreover, Sanchez's testimony should have been allowed because he could have testified to the bias and motivation of the County of Los Angeles's frivolous workplace violence petition, because Sanchez wrote in his declaration in both racist cases, **GA114055 and 25STRO03574**, that Brian Mark Rosenberg, who had a history of lying and harboring racially animus towards KING AROGANT, had recklessly failed to provide Sanchez with a copy of the original GoPro video recordings that he had requested, and instead turned over altered and unusable

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

GoPro video recordings to Sanchez. Latrice Byrdsong's refusal to allow trial court testimony of racially animus County of Los Angeles government witness Thomas Guzman-Sanchez, who was served correctly and subpoenaed. Thomas Guzman-Sanchez should have been compelled to testify pursuant to California Evidence Code § 776 because his trial court oral testimony would have proven by clear and convincing evidence that Cynthia Nakao, County of Los Angeles, and lying Brian MARK Rosenberg were biased and racially animus towards KING AROGANT. It was fundamentally unfair that partial judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong knew that the County of Los Angeles had filed a perjurious declaration of Thomas Guzman-Sanchez in support of both its frivolous original and amended temporary workplace violence petitions, but then she refused to allow KING AROGANT to have Sanchez testify so KING AROGANT could present video recording evidence pursuant to California Evidence Code § 550 that would have proven by clear and convincing evidence that lying Sanchez was never threatened by KING AROGANT on October 22, 2023. Pursuant to California Evidence Code § 550, California Law Revision Commission Comment (Recommendation January 1965), KING AROGANT'S video recording evidence that would have been shown to the Superior Court while racially animus Thomas Guzman-Sanchez was on the witness stand the introduction of video recording evidence of such overwhelming probative force that no person would reasonably disbelief it in the absence of the County of Los Angeles producing evidence that would disprove KING AROGANT'S clear and convincing evidence that he never made a criminal threat to lying and racially animus Thomas Guzman-Sanchez on October 22, 2023.

Unbeknownst to biased, incompetent, and partial judicial officer Latrice

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Byrdsong. During a court hearing on July 15, 2025, KING AROGANT told the Honorable Melanie Ochoa that numerous County of Los Angeles employees were writing sworn declarations against him. Superior Court of California judicial officer Melanie Ochoa told rogue and racially animus County of Los Angeles attorney Vanessa Ann Evangelista (SBN # 238474) to her face and by looking in the eye that the County of Los Angeles's witnesses writing declarations needed to be at the court hearing in person to testify. The Honorable Melanie Ochoa's court order was ignored by Evagelista, County of Los Angeles, City of Alhambra, partial Latrice Byrdsong, and so many more. Moreover, Melanie Ochoa's court ruling said nothing at all about the County of Los Angeles witnesses who wrote declarations appearing by Webex/Court Call.

Biased and partial Superior Court of California judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately wantonly and callously violated KING AROGANT'S United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights by recklessly refusing to allow trial court testimony of racially animus Thomas Guzman-Sanchez, pursuant to California Evidence Code § 776, that would have proven by clear and convincing evidence that Cynthia Nakao, County of Los Angeles, and lying Brian MARK Rosenberg were biased and racially animus towards and against KING AROGANT.

Biased Superior Court of California Judicial Officer Latrese Byrdsong must be disciplined for recklessly, willfully, knowingly, carelessly, fraudulently, wantonly, deliberately, and callously violating **California Code of Judicial Ethics, Canon 1: A Judge Shall Uphold the Integrity and Independence of the Judiciary, Canon 2: A**

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Judge Shall Avoid Impropriety and the Appearance of Impropriety in all of the Judge's Activities, and Canon 3: A Judge Shall Perform The Duties of Judicial Office Impartially, Competently, and Diligently over and over again throughout the frivolous and unconstitutional hearing on the County of Los Angeles's perjurious, falsified, unconstitutional, and fabricated workplace violence petition.

See now a true and correct copy of the video recording uploaded to KING AROGANT'S YouTube channel on July 15, 2023, that proves by clear and convincing evidence that KING AROGANT never made a criminal threat to lying government witness Thomas Guzman-Sanchez on October 22, 2023, attached below as a YouTube video recording website link.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AeAieM4UJ8E&feature=youtu.be

On August 19, 2025 Aunt Jemima Sell Out Latrese Byrdsong abuses her discretion and exceeded her by preventing KING AROGANT from having a fair trial due her willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously refusing to continue the rigged and unconstitutional workplace violence petition hearing after KING AROGANT told the Superior Court that properly subpoenaed and served County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office employee Vrejouhie Isaghoulian deliberately failed to show up to the August 19, 2025 court hearing to testify at the rigged and unconstitutional August 19, 2025, workplace violence hearing. Biased and partial Superior of California judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong willfully,

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously violated California Evidence Code §§ 352, 354, 400, 401, 402, and 411 by recklessly refusing to compel Alhambra DA Office employee Vrejouhie Isaghoulian to testify. This was against the law because Vrejouhie Isaghoulian was present at the Alhambra DA office during the alleged incident on February 4, 2025, and also during the staged and unnecessary evacuation of the Alhambra DA office on April 17, 2025. At the rigged and unconstitutional August 19, 2025, hearing, KING AROGANT made an offer of proof pursuant to California Evidence Code § 401 by telling the Superior Court that Vrejouhie Isaghoulian was present at the Alhambra DA office on February 4, 2025. Superior Court of California judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong recklessly abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisdiction because the County of Los Angeles had presented exhibits and declarations signed by lying Cynthia Nakao and Brian MARK Rosenberg that were used to falsely show KING AROGANT engaged in a course of conduct on February 4, 2025, and April 17, 2025. Moreover, the court hearing should have been continued, and Vrejouhie Isaghoulian's testimony should have been compelled because she could have testified to the bias and motivation of the County of Los Angeles's frivolous workplace violence petition, since she was present on February 4, 2025, and April 17, 2025. Latrice Byrdsong's refusal to allow trial court testimony of Vrejouhie Isaghoulian pursuant to California Evidence Code § 776, that would have proven by clear and convincing evidence that Cynthia Nakao, County of Los Angeles, and lying Brian MARK Rosenberg were biased and racially animus towards KING AROGANT, legally handicapped KING AROGANT. It was fundamentally unfair that partial judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong knew that the County of Los Angeles had filed perjurious declarations of lying Cynthia Nakao and Brian MARK Rosenberg in support

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

of both its frivolous original and amended temporary workplace violence petitions, but then refused to allow KING AROGANT to have Vrejouhie Isaghoulian testify so KING AROGANT could present video recording evidence pursuant to California Evidence Code § 550 that would have proven by clear and convincing evidence that KING AROGANT had done absolutely nothing wrong on February 4, 2025 and April 17, 2025. Pursuant to California Evidence Code § 550, California Law Revision Commission Comment (Recommendation January 1965) KING AROGANT'S video recording evidence that would have been shown to the Superior Court while Vrejouhie Isaghoulian was on the witness stand, the introduction of video recording evidence of such overwhelming probative force that no person would reasonably disbelief it in the absence of County of Los Angeles producing evidence that would disprove KING AROGANT'S clear and convincing evidence that he never engaged in any course of conduct with any County of Los Angeles employee on February 4, 2025, and April 17, 2025. Further, KING AROGANT's direct examination of Vrejouhie Isaghoulian would have produced further evidence that KING AROGANT had done nothing wrong on February 4, 2025, and April 17, 2025.

Biased and partial Superior Court of California judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously violated KING AROGANT'S United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights by recklessly refusing to allow the trial court testimony of Vrejouhie Isaghoulian pursuant to California Evidence Code 776, that would have proven by clear and convincing evidence that Cynthia Nakao, County of Los Angeles, and lying Brian MARK Rosenberg, were biased and racially

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

animus towards and against KING AROGANT.

Biased Superior Court of California Judicial Officer Latrese Byrdsong must be disciplined for recklessly, willfully, knowingly, carelessly, fraudulently, wantonly, deliberately, and callously violating **California Code of Judicial Ethics, Canon 1: A Judge Shall Uphold the Integrity and Independence of the Judiciary, Canon 2: A Judge Shall Avoid Impropriety and the Appearance of Impropriety in all of the Judge's Activities, and Canon 3: A Judge Shall Perform the Duties of Judicial Office Impartially, Competently, and Diligently** over and over again throughout the frivolous and unconstitutional hearing on the County of Los Angeles's perjurious, falsified, unconstitutional, and fabricated workplace violence petition.

See now a true and correct copy of the video recording uploaded to KING AROGANT'S YouTube channel on July 22, 2025, that proves by clear and convincing evidence that KING AROGANT never engaged in any course of conduct with any County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office employee on February 4, 2025, attached below.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=l9DqwQWLgfU

Aunt Jemima Sell Out Latrese Byrdsong abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisdiction by preventing KING AROGANT from having a fair trial due to her

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously refusing to require properly subpoenaed and served City of Alhambra peace officer Benjamin Yang to testify at the rigged and unconstitutional August 19, 2025, workplace violence hearing. Biased and partial Superior of California judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously violated California Evidence Code §§ 352, 354, 400, 401, 402, and 411 by recklessly refusing to compel racially animus and rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang to testify. At the rigged and unconstitutional August 19, 2025, hearing, KING AROGANT made an offer of proof pursuant to California Evidence Code § 401 by telling the Superior Court that racially animus and rogue city of Alhambra peace officer had submitted a crime report that was an Exhibit used by the County of Los Angeles to have both their original and amended frivolous workplace violence petitions granted. Yet, biased and partial Superior Court of California judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong recklessly abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisdiction because the County of Los Angeles had presented exhibits and declarations signed by Benjamin Yang that were used to falsely show KING AROGANT engaged in an unlawful course of conduct with lying and racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg on October 13, 2023, November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, and June 8, 2024 (two separate emails). Moreover, Benjamin Yang's testimony should have been allowed because he could have testified to the fact that he falsified a crime report on behalf of Brian MARK Rosenberg and the County of Los Angeles by lying that four emails that KING AROGANT never sent to lying Rosenberg were attached to the end of his police report (November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, and twice on June 8, 2024). KING AROGANT had a constitutional right to question racist Benjamin Yang regarding

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

the staged Alhambra DA office evacuation and what lying Brian MARK Rosenberg told him on April 17, 2025. For example, in his May 2025 declaration, lying Rosenberg stated he saw KING AROGANT outside of his Alhambra DA office, but in the police report, rogue peace officer Yang wrote that, racially animus, Brian MARK Rosenberg saw KING AROGANT outside of the Alhambra Superior Court on April 17, 2025. Latrice Byrdsong's refusal to allow trial court testimony of racially animus properly served and subpoenaed city of Alhambra peace officer Benjamin Yang pursuant to California Evidence Code § 776 that would have proven by clear and convincing evidence that Cynthia Nakao, County of Los Angeles, and lying Brian MARK Rosenberg were biased and racially animus towards KING AROGANT. It was fundamentally unfair that partial judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong knew that the County of Los Angeles had filed a copy of Benjamin Yang's fabricated, falsified and perjurious crime report in support of both its frivolous original and amended temporary workplace violence petitions, but then refused to allow KING AROGANT to have Benjamin Yang testify so KING AROGANT could present video recording evidence pursuant to California Evidence Code § 550 that would have proven by clear and convincing evidence that lying Benjamin Yang recklessly violated California Penal Code § 118.1, California Government Code § 6200, and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, §§ 1013 and 1205. Pursuant to California Evidence Code § 550, California Law Revision Commission Comment (Recommendation January 1965) KING AROGANT'S video recording evidence that would have been shown to the Superior Court while racially animus and rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang was on the witness stand, the introduction of video recording evidence of such overwhelming probative force that no person would reasonably disbelief it in the absence of County of Los Angeles producing

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

evidence that would disprove KING AROGANT'S clear and convincing evidence that KING AROGANT never emailed lying Brian MARK Rosenberg on November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, and June 8, 2024, and that further, rogue peace officer knew he was filing a false police report on April 17, 2025.

Both lying Brian Mark Rosenberg and Cynthia Nakao wrote in their perjurious declarations about the staged and unnecessary April 17, 2025, evacuation of the Alhambra DA office. In his crime report, rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang stated that he was not only a witness to the April 17, 2025, evacuation, but that he also assisted the Alhambra DA office employees with evacuating their workplace. KING AROGANT had a constitutional right to ask rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang about the Alhambra DA office, his falsified police report, and many more topics after Benjamin Yang's falsified crime report was submitted as an Exhibit in support of the County of Los Angeles's frivolous original petition and subsequent second amended petition.

Biased and partial Superior Court of California judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously violated KING AROGANT'S United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights, by recklessly refusing to allow the trial court testimony of rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang pursuant to California Evidence Code § 776, that would have proven by clear and convincing evidence that Cynthia Nakao, County of Los Angeles, and lying Brian MARK Rosenberg were biased and racially animus towards and against KING AROGANT.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Biased Superior Court of California Judicial Officer Latrese Byrdsong must be disciplined and disqualified for recklessly, willfully, knowingly, carelessly, fraudulently, wantonly, deliberately, and callously violating **California Code of Judicial Ethics, Canon 1: A Judge Shall Uphold the Integrity and Independence of the Judiciary, Canon 2: A Judge Shall Avoid Impropriety and the Appearance of Impropriety in all of the Judge's Activities, and Canon 3: A Judge Shall Perform the Duties of Judicial Office Impartially, Competently, and Diligently** over and over again throughout the frivolous and unconstitutional hearing on the County of Los Angeles's perjurious, falsified, unconstitutional, and fabricated workplace violence petition.

Unbeknownst to biased, incompetent, and partial judicial officer Latrice Brydsong. During a court hearing on July 15, 2025, KING AROGANT told the Honorable Melanie Ochoa that numerous County of Los Angeles employees were writing sworn declarations against him. Superior Court of California judicial officer Melanie Ochoa told rogue and racially animus County of Los Angeles attorney Vanessa Ann Evangelista **(SBN # 238474)** to her face and by looking in the eye that the County of Los Angeles's witnesses writing declarations needed to be at the court hearing in person to testify. The Honorable Melanie Ochoa's court order was ignored by Evagelista, County of Los Angeles, City of Alhambra, partial Latrice Byrdsong, and so many more. Moreover, Melanie Ochoa's court ruling said nothing at all about the County of Los Angeles witnesses who wrote declarations appearing by Webex/Court Call. Since Benjamin Yang wrote an affidavit against KING AROGANT, he was required to appear in person and testify to his falsified crime report on August 19, 2025.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

abuse of discretion by the Superior Court, by which Respondent KING AROGANT was prevented from having a fair trial due to the Superior Court willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously refusing to require properly subpoenaed and served County of Los Angeles peace officer sergeant Christopher Barreras to testify at the rigged and unconstitutional August 19, 2025, workplace violence hearing. Biased and partial Superior of California judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously violated California Evidence Code §§ 352, 354, 400, 401, 402, and 411 by recklessly refusing to compel County of Los Angeles peace officer Christopher Barreras to testify. At the rigged and unconstitutional August 19, 2025, hearing biased and partial Superior Court of California judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong left Sergeant Barreras outside of the courtroom the entire time and never called him inside to testify, not even when it was the defense's opportunity to present witnesses. As documented in rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang's crime report, he spoke to peace officer Christopher Barreras, and Barreras told Benjamin Yang that he was present the entire time KING AROGANT was on the first floor of the Alhambra Superior Court on April 17, 2025. Moreover, KING AROGANT subpoenaed Sergeant Barreras in the first place because he would have testified that (1) KING AROGANT never approached the Alhambra DA office prior to it being evacuated as was alleged in the perjurious declaration of lying Brian MARK Rosenberg, and (2) Lying Brian MARK Rosenberg was never present and never walked inside of the Alhambra DA office's front office on April 17, 2025 as he lied that he did so in his perjurious declaration. Yet, biased and partial Superior Court of California judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong recklessly abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisdiction because the County of Los Angeles had

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

presented exhibits and declarations signed by Benjamin Yang that were used to falsely show KING AROGANT engaged in an unlawful course of conduct with lying and racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg on April 17, 2025.

Moreover, Christopher Barreras's testimony should have been allowed because he could have testified to the fact that he did not observe KING AROGANT approach Alhambra DA office prior to it being evacuated and that he did not observe KING AROGANT doing anything wrong whatsoever. It was fundamentally unfair that partial judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong knew that the County of Los Angeles had filed a copy of Benjamin Yang's fabricated, falsified and perjurious crime report in support of both its frivolous original and amended temporary workplace violence petitions, but then refused to allow KING AROGANT to have County of Los Angeles peace officer Christopher Barreras testify so KING AROGANT could present oral testimony evidence pursuant to California Evidence Code § 550 that would have proven by clear and convincing evidence that lying Benjamin Yang recklessly violated California Penal Code § 118.1, California Government Code § 6200, and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, §§ 1013 and 1205, and lying Rosenberg recklessly violated California Penal Code § 148.5 on April 17, 2025 by telling numerous fabricated lies in his falsified April 17, 2025 crime report. Pursuant to California Evidence Code § 550, California Law Revision Commission Comment (Recommendation January 1965). County of Los Angeles peace officer Christopher Barreras would have been shown to the Superior Court while he was testifying on the witness stand the introduction of oral testimony evidence of such overwhelming probative force that no person would reasonably disbelief it in the absence of County of Los Angeles producing evidence that would disprove KING AROGANT'S

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

clear and convincing evidence that KING AROGANT never made any contact with lying Rosenberg and the Alhambra DA office on April 17, 2025, prior to it being evacuated, and that further, rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang knew he was filing a false police report on April 17, 2025, and lying Rosenberg knew he was filing a false police report on April 17, 2025.

Both lying Brian Mark Rosenberg and Cynthia Nakao wrote in their perjurious declarations about the staged and unnecessary April 17, 2025, evacuation of the Alhambra DA office. In his crime report, rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang stated that he was not only a witness to the April 17, 2025, evacuation, but that he also assisted the Alhambra DA office employees with evacuating their workplace. KING AROGANT had a constitutional right to ask County of Los Angeles peace officer Christopher Barreras questions about what he observed on April 17, 2025.

Biased and partial Superior Court of California judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously violated KING AROGANT'S United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights, by recklessly refusing to allow the trial court testimony of the County of Los Angeles peace officer Christopher Barreras, pursuant to California Evidence Code § 776, that would have been proven by clear and convincing evidence that Cynthia Nakao, County of Los Angeles, and lying Brian MARK Rosenberg were biased and racially animus towards and against KING AROGANT, and staged a FAKE and unnecessary Alhambra DA office evacuation while KING AROGANT had done nothing wrong to any of them on February 4, 2025, and

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

April 17, 2025.

Biased Superior Court of California Judicial Officer Latrese Byrdsong must be disciplined and disqualified for recklessly, willfully, knowingly, carelessly, fraudulently, wantonly, deliberately, and callously violating **California Code of Judicial Ethics, Canon 1: A Judge Shall Uphold the Integrity and Independence of the Judiciary, Canon 2: A Judge Shall Avoid Impropriety and the Appearance of Impropriety in all of the Judge's Activities, and Canon 3: A Judge Shall Perform the Duties of Judicial Office Impartially, Competently, and Diligently** over and over again throughout the frivolous and unconstitutional hearing on the County of Los Angeles's perjurious, falsified, unconstitutional, and fabricated workplace violence petition.

The reason why biased, partial, and incompetent judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong left Christopher Barreras outside the entire day of the rigged and unconstitutional workplace violence hearing was because she was not interested in hearing evidence that would prove KING AROGANT'S innocence, and further, she was not interested in having the Superior Court hear evidence that would prove by clear and convincing evidence that lying Brian MARK Rosenberg and Cynthia Nakao recklessly violated California Penal Code § 118 and California Government Code § 6200 by fabricating lies during their August 19, 2025 testimony and also in their perjurious declaration about what occurred at 150 West Commonwealth Avenue, Alhambra, CA 91801 on April 17, 2025.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

The reason why biased, partial, and incompetent judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong left Christopher Barreras outside the entire day of the rigged and unconstitutional workplace violence hearing was because she was not interested in hearing evidence that would prove KING AROGANT'S innocence, and further, she was not interested in having the Superior Court hear evidence that would prove by clear and convincing evidence that lying Brian MARK Rosenberg recklessly violated California Penal Code § 148.5 by filing a false police report by fabricating lies on what occurred at 150 West Commonwealth Avenue, Alhambra, CA 91801 on April 17, 2025.

On August 19, 2025 Aunt Jemima Latrese Byrdsong abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisdiction by preventing KING AROGANT from having a fair trial due to her deliberately failing to consider evidence that would have proved that lying and racially animus County of Los Angeles witnesses Brian MARK Rosenberg and Cynthia Nakao intentionally and deliberately violated California Penal Code § 118 throughout their perjurious trial court testimony and during many paragraphs of their perjurious declarations.

On August 19, 2025, Aunt Jemima Latrese Byrdsong abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisdiction by preventing KING AROGANT from having a fair trial due to her willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously refusing to order properly subpoenaed and served defense witnesses Benjamin Yang and Thomas Guzman-Sanchez to testify despite the fact that they were

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

both public servants that wrote sworn affidavits against KING AROGANT alleging that he had not only engaged in a course of conduct, but also committed acts of unlawful workplace violence.

On August 19, 2025 Aunt Jemima Latrese Byrdsong abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisdiction by preventing KING AROGANT from having a fair trial due to her willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously refused to continue the rigged August 19, 2025, workplace violence hearing, even though she knew that properly subpoenaed County of Los Angeles employee Vrejouhie Isaghoulian had deliberately failed to appear at the hearing.

On August 19, 2025 Aunt Jemima Latrese Byrdsong abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisdiction by preventing KING AROGANT from having a fair trial due to her willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously allowing, permitting, and tolerating racially animus and lying County of Los Angeles employees Brian MARK Rosenberg and Cynthia Nakao committed perjury while testifying at the rigged and unconstitutional workplace violence restraining order petition hearing.

On August 19, 2025 Aunt Jemima Latrese Byrdsong abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisdiction by preventing KING AROGANT from having a fair trial due to her willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously refused to allow Respondent KING AROGANT to cross-examine effectively and fairly the perjurious and lying County of Los Angeles witness Cynthia

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510).**

On August 19, 2025 Aunt Jemima Latrese Byrdsong abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisdiction by preventing KING AROGANT from having a fair trial due to her willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously refused to allow Respondent KING AROGANT to cross-examine effectively and fairly the perjurious and lying County of Los Angeles witness Brian MARK Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**

On August 19, 2025 Aunt Jemima Latrese Byrdsong abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisdiction by preventing KING AROGANT from having a fair trial due to her willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously allowed and caused the civil harassment restraining order hearing to conclude before KING AROGANT had an opportunity to present his defense in the frivolous workplace violence restraining order hearing.

On August 19, 2025 Aunt Jemima Latrese Byrdsong abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisdiction by preventing KING AROGANT from having a fair trial due to her willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously allowing and causing the civil harassment restraining order hearing to conclude before KING AROGANT had an opportunity to present his defense in the frivolous workplace violence case.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

On August 19, 2025 Aunt Jemima Latrese Byrdsong abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisdiction by preventing KING AROGANT from having a fair trial due to her willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously allowed improper and perjurious Petitioner the County of Los Angeles's frivolous civil workplace violence restraining order hearing to conclude before KING AROGANT had an opportunity to present his February 4, 2025, video recording that would have exonerated KING AROGANT after the court had viewed it and entered said video recording into evidence.

On August 19, 2025 Aunt Jemima Latrese Byrdsong abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisdiction by preventing KING AROGANT from having a fair trial due to her willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously refused to grant Respondent KING AROGANT a continuance after Respondent had produced evidence that he had subpoenaed rogue city of Alhambra peace officer Benjamin Yang to attend County of Los Angeles's rigged court hearing and testify on behalf of the defense, yet the prejudiced, biased, and incompetent Superior Court of California judicial officer allowed the hearing to proceed without KING AROGANT'S witnesses being present.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

On August 19, 2025 Aunt Jemima Latrese Byrdsong abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisdiction by preventing KING AROGANT from having a fair trial due to her willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously refused to grant Respondent KING AROGANT a continuance after Respondent had produced evidence that he had subpoenaed rogue County of Los Angeles witness Thomas Guzman-Sanchez to attend County of Los Angeles's rigged court hearing and testify on behalf of the defense, yet the prejudiced, biased, and incompetent Superior Court of California judicial officer allowed the hearing to proceed without KING AROGANT'S witnesses being present.

On August 19, 2025 Aunt Jemima Latrese Byrdsong abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisdiction by preventing KING AROGANT from having a fair trial due to her willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously refused to grant Respondent KING AROGANT a continuance after Respondent had produced evidence that he had subpoenaed County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office employee Vrejouhie Isaghoulian to attend the County of Los Angeles's court hearing and testify on behalf of the defense, yet the prejudiced, partial, biased, and incompetent, Superior Court of California judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong allowed the hearing to proceed without KING AROGANT'S witnesses present.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

On August 19, 2025 Aunt Jemima Latrese Byrdsong abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisdiction by preventing KING AROGANT from having a fair trial due to her willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously violating the United States Constitution, the California Constitution, and KING AROGANT'S United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights by constantly granting frivolous objections by rogue County of Los Angeles civil attorney Vanessa Ann Evangelista **(SBN # 238474)** that prevented Respondent KING AROGANT from being able to cross-examine perjurious County of Los Angeles witness Brian MARK Rosenberg (SBN # 291501) effectively.

On August 19, 2025 Aunt Jemima Latrese Byrdsong abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisdiction by preventing KING AROGANT from having a fair trial due to her willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously violated the United States Constitution, the California Constitution, and KING AROGANT'S United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights by constantly granting frivolous objections by rogue County of Los Angeles civil attorney Vanessa Ann Evangelista (SBN # 238474) that prevented Respondent KING AROGANT from being able to cross-examine perjurious County of Los Angeles witness Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510)** effectively.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

On August 19, 2025 Aunt Jemima Latrese Byrdsong abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisdiction by preventing KING AROGANT from having a fair trial due to her willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously failing to consider evidence that lying County of Los Angeles government witness Brian MARK Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)** had willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, wantonly, deliberately, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously violated California Penal Code §§ 118 and 148.5.

On August 19, 2025 Aunt Jemima Latrese Byrdsong abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisdiction by preventing KING AROGANT from having a fair trial due to her willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously failing to consider evidence that lying County of Los Angeles government witness Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510)** had willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, wantonly, deliberately, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously violated California Penal Code §§ 118 and 148.5

On August 19, 2025 Aunt Jemima Latrese Byrdsong abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisdiction by preventing KING AROGANT from having a fair trial due to her willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously violating the United States Constitution, the California Constitution, and KING AROGANT'S United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights by making a court ruling to grant County of Los Angeles's frivolous workplace violence petition while no evidence testified to that had proven a

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

course of conduct, and Latrese Byrdsong's ruling was against the law pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.6 and California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.8

On August 19, 2025 Aunt Jemima Latrese Byrdsong abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisdiction by preventing KING AROGANT from having a fair trial due to her willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously violated the United States Constitution, the California Constitution, and KING AROGANT'S United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights by granting County of Los Angeles's frivolous workplace violence petition while false allegations testified to by RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg and Cynthia Nakao at the hearing showed no evidence that any type of civil harassment course of conduct would occur or had occurred at or would occur at any time in the future. and Latrese Byrdsong's ruling was against the law pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.6 and California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.8

On August 19, 2025 Aunt Jemima Latrese Byrdsong abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisdiction by preventing KING AROGANT from having a fair trial due to her willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously violated the United States Constitution, the California Constitution, and KING AROGANT'S United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights because she recklessly granted County of Los Angeles's

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

frivolous workplace violence petition based on trial court testimony by lying and racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg stated that in his mind, KING AROGANT made a credible threat of violence to him by KING AROGANT, writing in a November 13, 2023, email that he should go to federal prison for falsifying evidence in an illicit criminal case titled GA114055. Pursuant to California Civil Code of Procedure § 425.16, the above-stated court testimony could not be legally used as evidence that KING AROGANT had engaged in an unlawful course of conduct with lying and racially animus County of Los Angeles employee Brian MARK Rosenberg. Brian MARK Rosenberg's falsified and perjurious testimony was erroneously used by the biased and incompetent County of Los Angeles employee Latrese Byrdsong to make law findings and conclusions that KING AROGANT engaged in an unlawful course of conduct.

On August 19, 2025 Aunt Jemima Latrese Byrdsong abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisdiction by preventing KING AROGANT from having a fair trial due to her willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously violated the United States Constitution, the California Constitution, and KING AROGANT'S United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights because she recklessly granted County of Los Angeles's frivolous petition based on the lying and racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501) falsely** testifying that KING AROGANT had harassed him by sending emails to his co-workers in which in those emails KING AROGANT called lying and racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg a racist, corrupt, and stated he would file complaints

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

against him. Pursuant to California Civil Code of Procedure § 425.16, the above-stated court testimony could not be legally used as evidence that KING AROGANT had engaged in an unlawful course of conduct with lying and racially animus County of Los Angeles employee Brian MARK Rosenberg. Brian MARK Rosenberg's falsified and perjurious testimony was erroneously used by the biased Latrese Byrdsong to make law findings and conclusions that KING AROGANT engaged in an unlawful course of conduct.

On August 19, 2025 Aunt Jemima Latrese Byrdsong abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisdiction by preventing KING AROGANT from having a fair trial due to her willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously violated the United States Constitution, the California Constitution, and KING AROGANT'S United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights because she recklessly granted County of Los Angeles's frivolous petition based on the lying and racially animus Cynthia Nakao **(SBN # 137510) falsely** testified that KING AROGANT had harassed Brian MARK Rosenberg by sending emails to his co-workers in which in those emails KING AROGANT called lying and racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg, a racist, corrupt, and stated that he would file complaints against him. Pursuant to California Civil Code of Procedure § 425.16, the above-stated court testimony could not be legally used as evidence that KING AROGANT had engaged in an unlawful course of conduct with lying and racially animus, County of Los Angeles employee Cynthia Michiko Nakao's falsified and

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

perjurious testimony was erroneously used by the incompetent and biased County of Los Angeles employee, Latrese Byrdsong to make law findings and conclusions that KING AROGANT engaged in an unlawful course of conduct.

California appellate case law states explicitly that KING AROGANT'S ongoing criticism of lying Brian MARK Rosenberg was in the exercise of his First Amendment Freedom of Speech constitutional civil rights.

> "Criticism of those responsible for government operations must be free, lest criticism of government itself be penalized." *(Rosenblatt v. Baer* (1966) 383 U.S. 75, 85.) "The right to speak on political matters is the quintessential subject of our constitutional protections of the right of free speech." *(Matson v. Dvorak* (1995) 40 Cal. App. 4th 539, 548.} "The right of criticism rests upon public policy and those who seek office should not be supersensitive or too thin-skinned concerning criticism of their qualifications." *(Yorty v. Chandler* (1970) 13 Cal. App. 3d 467,473 [internal quotation marks omitted]; *Issa v. Applegate* (2019) 31 Cal. App. 5th 689, 704 ["Harry Truman cautioned would-be solons with sage advice about the heat in the kitchen."].) "Public discussion about the qualifications of those who hold or who wish to hold positions of public trust presents the strongest possible case for applications of the safeguards afforded by the First Amendment." *(Aisenson v. Am. Broad. Co.* (1990) 220 Cal. App. 3d 146,154.) For example, criticism of a public official's "character and fitness for public office" are "public issue or an issue of public interest." *(Vogel v. Felice* (2005) 127 Cal. App.4th 1006, 1015 [collecting cases].)

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.8, subsection (b), paragraph (1), biased, prejudiced, incompetent, and partial Superior Court of California judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong's illicit court decision and court ruling granting the County of Los Angeles's frivolous and perjurious workplace petition on August 19, 2025 were against the law for the following reasons:

a. Lying and racially animus County of Los Angeles employee Brian MARK Rosenberg testified that he only received one email from KING AROGANT back in November 2023. Evidence of this one email was not enough for the Superior Court to make a legal finding and conclusion that KING AROGANT had engaged in a course of conduct with lying Brian MARK Rosenberg. See now California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.8.

b. Lying and racially animus County of Los Angeles employee Brian MARK Rosenberg testified that all subsequent emails sent after November 2023 were sent to his co-workers and not to him directly. CCP § 527.8 (b)(1) partly provides:

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

making telephone calls to an employee; or sending correspondence to an employee by any means, including, but not limited to, the use of the public or private mails, interoffice mail, facsimile, or computer email.

No testimony by lying and racially animus County of Los Angeles employee Brian MARK Rosenberg proved a course of conduct pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.8, subsection (b), paragraph (1)

Rosenberg did not allege in his perjurious August 19, 2025, court testimony that KING AROGANT had directly or indirectly contacted him through the use of computer email. Lying Rosenberg only testified that KING AROGANT sent emails to his co-workers and called him racist, corrupt, and stated he would file complaints against him.

c. Lying and racially animus County of Los Angeles employee Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510)** testified that all emails dated for the months of April 2025 and May 2025 were sent to lying Brian Mark Rosenberg's co-workers, not him directly. CCP § 527.8 (b)(1) partly provides:

making telephone calls to an employee; or sending correspondence to an employee by any means, including, but not limited to, the use of the public or private mails, interoffice mail, facsimile, or computer email.

No testimony by lying and racially animus County of Los Angeles employee Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510)** alleged that KING AROGANT had directly or indirectly contacted Rosenberg through the use of computer email. Lying County of Los Angeles, Cynthia Nakao only testified that KING AROGANT sent emails to his co-workers, calling Rosenberg a racist, corrupt, and stating he would file complaints against him. Fake Petitioner County of Los Angeles presented no evidence that emails sent to lying Rosenberg's co-workers were received by him as well.

Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.8, subsection (c) biased, prejudiced, incompetent, and partial Superior Court of California judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong's illicit court decision and court ruling granting the County of Los Angeles's frivolous and perjurious workplace petition were against the law for the following reasons:

a. Lying and racially animus County of Los Angeles employee Brian MARK Rosenberg testified that KING AROGANT harassed him by calling him a racist, calling him corrupt, stating he would file a complaint against Rosenberg, and by threatening to sue Rosenberg. The illegal petition order granted prohibits KING AROGANT from going to the Alhambra Superior Court, where he was engaged in constitutional protected activities on February 4, 2025, and April 17, 2025. See now California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.8 (c).

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

b. Lying and racially animus County of Los Angeles employee Cynthia Nakao testified that KING AROGANT harassed lying Rosenberg by calling him a racist, calling him corrupt, stating he would file a complaint against Rosenberg, and threatening to sue Rosenberg. The illegal petition order granted prohibits KING AROGANT from going to the Alhambra Superior Court, where he was engaged in constitutional protected activities on February 4, 2025, and April 17, 2025. See now California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.8 (c).

Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.8, subsection (c) biased, prejudiced, incompetent, and partial Superior Court of California judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong's illicit court decision and court ruling granting the County of Los Angeles's frivolous and perjurious temporary workplace violence were against the law for the following reasons:

a. Lying and racially animus County of Los Angeles employee Brian MARK Rosenberg stated in his declaration that KING AROGANT threatened to sue him in open court numerous times. ***Id***. at ¶ 4

b. Lying and racially animus County of Los Angeles employee Brian MARK Rosenberg stated in his declaration that KING AROGANT called him a racist. ***Id***. at ¶ 4

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

c. Lying and racially animus County of Los Angeles employee Brian MARK Rosenberg stated in his declaration that KING AROGANT called him a racist. ***Id***. at ¶ 4

d. Lying and racially animus County of Los Angeles employee Brian MARK Rosenberg stated in his declaration that KING AROGANT threatened to find his family and sue them in every state he could to make Rosenberg pay for prosecuting KING AROGANT. ***Id***. at ¶ 4

e. Lying and racially animus County of Los Angeles employee Brian MARK Rosenberg stated in his declaration that KING AROGANT sent him an email on November 23, 2023, wherein KING AROGANT threatened to send lying Rosenberg to prison for prosecuting him. ***Id***. at ¶ 5

f. Lying and racially animus County of Los Angeles employee Brian MARK Rosenberg stated in his declaration that on March 20, 2025, KING AROGANT caused a disturbance and was even heard threatening to sue Rosenberg for taking 12 months of his life. ***Id***. at ¶ 7 **(Incident actually occurred on February 4, 2025, and Rosenberg fabricated lies that KING AROGANT was at the Alhambra DA office).**

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

g. Lying and racially animus County of Los Angeles employee Brian MARK Rosenberg stated in his declaration that KING AROGANT continues his harassing behavior by calling Rosenberg a racist and threatening litigation against him and his colleagues. ***Id***. at ¶ 9

h. Lying and racially animus County of Los Angeles employee Brian MARK Rosenberg stated in his declaration that KING AROGANT told his colleagues in an email dated May 8, 2025, that in addition to utilizing the same angry verbiage in describing the LADA's Office as racist and corrupt. ***Id***. at ¶ 9

i. Lying and racially animus County of Los Angeles employee Brian MARK Rosenberg stated in his declaration that KING AROGANT continues to avoid complying with the specific terms of the Rosenberg Restraining Order by claiming he is filing or serving documents in a criminal case. ***Id***. at ¶ 10

Based on all the above-stated evidence provided in lying and racially animus, Brian MARK Rosenberg's May 27, 2025, declaration. Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.8, subsection (c) biased, prejudiced, incompetent, and partial Superior Court of California judicial officer Latrice G. Byrdsong's erroneous and illicit June 9, 2025, Superior Court ruling granting County of Los Angeles's frivolous and unconstitutional civil workplace violence petition was against the damn law and United States Constitution.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

On August 19, 2025 Aunt Jemima Latrese Byrdsong abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisdiction by preventing KING AROGANT from having a fair trial due to her willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously violating the United States Constitution, the California Constitution, and KING AROGANT'S United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights by deliberately and fraudulently leaving KING AROGANT'S defense witness County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department sergeant peace officer Christopher Barreras outside the Superior Court of California, Department 25, Stanley Mosk Courthouse courtroom and never calling him inside the courtroom to allow him to testify, even during KING AROGANT'S defense presentation. Sergeant Barreras was present in person on the first floor of the Alhambra Superior Court the entire time KING AROGANT was inside Alhambra Superior Court on April 17, 2025 and he could and would have testified the despite RACIST Rosenberg and Nakao's false allegations, KING AROGANT never made any contact with any human being from Alhambra DA office on April 17, 2025, and Barreras would have testified that KING AROGANT in no way, shape, or form contributed to the need of County of Los Angeles's District Attorney's Office Alhambra DA Field Office's FAKE and MOCK office evacuation. Latrese Byrdsong's actions and illegal acts in this regard resulted in a miscarriage of justice on August 19, 2025, and she must be immediately disciplined and disqualified.

On August 19, 2025 Aunt Jemima Sell Out Latrese Antionette George Byrdsong abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisdiction by preventing KING AROGANT from having a fair trial due to her willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously violating the United

States Constitution, the California Constitution, and KING AROGANT'S United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights**, and California Code of Judicial Ethics, Canon 1: A Judge Shall Uphold the Integrity and Independence of the Judiciary, Canon 2: A Judge Shall Avoid Impropriety and the Appearance of Impropriety in all of the Judge's Activities, and Canon 3: A Judge Shall Perform The Duties of Judicial Office Impartially, Competently, and Diligently by recklessly permitting, tolerating, and allowing County of Los Angeles witnesses RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg (SBN # 291501) and RACIST Cynthia Michiko Nakao (SBN # 137510) appear at the August 19, 2025 remotely. Byrdsong's reckless actions of allowing RACIST Rosenberg and RACIST Nakao violated KING AROGANT'S United States Constitution Sixth and Fourteenth Amendment civil rights. Additionally, there was no reason for Rosenberg and Nakao to appear remotely, and KING AROGANT had subpoenaed Nakao to appear in person. Byrdsong's actions of allowing RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg and RACIST Cynthia Nakao to appear and testify remotely at the August 19, 2025, court hearing made it very difficult for KING AROGANT to show these witnesses evidence and made it impossible for any judicial officer to read both lying witnesses' demeanors for the purpose of weighing their credibilities.**

IV. LEGAL ARGUMENT IN SUPPORT OF DISQUALIFICATION & CALIFORNIA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE COMPLAINT FILED AGAINST AUNT JEMIMA SELL-OUT LATRESE ANTIONETTE GEORGE BYRDSONG JUDGE

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

A. DISQUALIFICATION & DISCIPLINARY ACTION OF AUNT JEMIMA SELL OUT LATRESE ANTIONETTE GEORGE BYRDSONG IS APPROPRIATE BASED ON HER BIAS, PREJUDICE, & RECKLESS VIOLATION OF CANONS

Disqualification of a judge occurs when the facts creating disqualification arise, not when the disqualification is established. It is the fact of disqualification that controls, not subsequent judicial action on that disqualification. Rossco Holdings, Inc. v. Bank of America, 149 Cal.4th 1353 (2007).

The party seeking disqualification must properly raise the issue and allege facts that show the grounds for the disqualification [*Urias v. Harris Frms, Inc.*, 234 Cal.App.3d 415 [285 Cal.Rptr. 659] (1991); *Church of Scientology of California v. Wollersheim*, 10 Cal.App.4th 370, 6 Cal.Rptr.2d 532 (1992) Bias or prejudice towards a lawyer in the proceeding may be grounds for disqualification [Code Civ. Proc. § 170.1(a) (6) (B); for a form alleging this ground that can be included in a statement of disqualification.

Bias or prejudice under former Code Civ. Proc. § 170(5) (now see Code Civ. Proc. § 170.1(a)(6)) consists of a mental attitude or disposition of a judge toward a party to the litigation [Ensher, Alexander & Barsoom v. Ensher, 225 Cal.App. 2d 318, 323, 37 Cal.Rptr. 327 (1964)]. If it is sufficiently serious to impair a judge's impartiality so that it appears probable that a fair trial cannot be held, a judge will be disqualified [see *Ensher, Alexander & Barsoom v. Ensher (1964)* 225 Cal. App. 2d 318, 322–323, 37 Cal. Rptr. 327]. See 27-317 California Forms of Pleading and Practice--Annotated § 317.195 (2015)

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

B. A SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE MAY BE DISQUALIFIED BASED ON IMPARTIALITY AND FIXED OPINIONS

Cal Code Civ. Proc. § 170.1(a)(6)(A)(iii), providing for disqualification whenever a judge's impartiality might reasonably be questioned, establishes a fundamentally objective standard for disqualification, and the party seeking disqualification no longer need prove that the judge is actually biased. Rather, disqualification is mandated if a reasonable person would entertain doubts concerning the judge's impartiality [*United Farm Workers of America v. Superior Court*, 170 Cal.App.3d 97 [216 Cal.Rptr.3d 97] (1985); *Ng v. Superior Court*, 52 Cal.App.4th 1010, 1023-1024 [61 Cal.Rptr.2d 49] (1997) (for disqualification under Code Civ. Proc. § 170.1(a) (6) (C) court need not determine whether there is actual bias)].

A judge is disqualified under former Code Civ. Proc. § 170(5) (now see Code Civ. Proc. § 170.1(a) (6)) if he or she has a fixed opinion as to some characteristic of a party such that the judge is prevented from deciding the case according to the evidence.

.

Adoption of Richardson, 251 Cal.App.2d 222, 232 [59 Cal. Rptr. 323] (1967)

"The Due Process Clause entitles a person to an impartial and disinterested tribunal in both civil and criminal cases." (*Marshall v. Jerrico, Inc.*, 446 U.S. 238, 242-43, 100 S.Ct. 1610, 64 L.Ed.2d 182 (1980).

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

A judge shall be disqualified for cause if any of the grounds specified in section 170.1 is true, including if "[f]or any reason . . . a person aware of the facts might reasonably entertain a doubt that the judge would be able to be impartial" (§ 170.1, subd. [1064] (a)(6)(C)).

"If a judge who should disqualify himself or herself refuses or fails to do so, any party may file with the clerk a written verified statement objecting to the hearing or trial before the judge and setting forth the facts constituting the grounds for disqualification of the judge." (§ 170.3, subd. (c)(1).).

"[T]he judge may file a consent to disqualification . . . or the judge may file a written verified answer admitting or denying any or all of the allegations contained in the party's statement and setting forth any additional facts material or relevant to the question of disqualification." (§ 170.3, subd. (c)(3).).

If a judge refuses to recuse himself or herself, "the question of disqualification shall be heard and determined by another judge agreed upon by all the parties who have appeared or, in the event they are unable to agree within five days of notification of the judge's answer, by a judge selected by the chairperson of the Judicial Council . . .." (§ 170.3, subd. (c)(5).).

"The judge deciding the question of disqualification may decide the question on the basis of the statement of disqualification and answer and such written arguments as the judge requests, or the judge may set the matter for hearing as promptly as practicable. If a hearing is ordered, the judge shall permit the parties and the judge alleged to be

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

disqualified to argue the question of disqualification and shall for good cause shown hear evidence on any disputed issue of fact." (§ 170.3, subd. (c)(6).)

"Statutes governing disqualification for cause are intended to ensure public confidence in the judiciary and to protect the right of the litigants to a fair and impartial adjudicator ..." (*Curle v. Superior Court*, 24 Cal.4th 1057, 1070 [103 Cal.Rptr.2d 751, 16 (2001)).

Willful misconduct has been defined simply as "unjudicial conduct which a judge acting in his judicial capacity commits in bad faith . . . ." *Geiler v. Commission on Judicial Qualifications*, 10, Cal.3d 270, 276 [110 Rptr. 201, 515 P.2d 1] (1973)

C. Judge Latrese Byrdsong's Courtroom Behavior Shows a Pattern of Her Misconduct, and She Must Therefore Be Disqualified & Immediately Removed from Office By the California Commission on Judicial Performance

Aunt Jemima Sell Out Latrese Byrdsong continuously violated KING AROGANT'S constitutional civil rights by not allowing key defense witnesses to testify, sustaining frivolous objections, denying KING AROGANT'S meritorious objections, leaving his witness outside of the courtroom all day, and so on. All throughout August 19, 2025 rigged court hearing Latrese Byrdsong abused her discretion and has continued to show prejudice and bias towards KING AROGANT, see California Code of Civil Procedure § 170.1(a)(2)(B)(ii).

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

In past judicial disciplinary proceedings that have resulted in a judge's removal from office, the misconduct that we have determined justified this most severe of disciplinary sanctions generally has involved a pattern of arbitrary, irrational, and inappropriate conduct of the judge while acting on [913] the bench in dealings with litigants, attorneys, witnesses, and other persons, or while otherwise performing his or her judicial functions, and an abuse of his or her judicial powers and authority. In *Kloepfer v. Commission on Judicial Performance*, 49 Cal.3d 826, 839-849 (1989), for example, the judge had engaged in persistent rude, abusive, hostile, and irrational behavior in dealings with litigants, attorneys, witnesses, and court personnel, often humiliating or demeaning them in the courtroom setting, and regularly abrogated the rights of criminal defendants, accepting guilty pleas from and sentencing defendants in the absence of defense counsel, and arbitrarily adjudging criminal defendants in contempt. In *McCullough v. Commission On Judicial Performance, 49 Cal.3d 186 (1989)*, the judge previously had been censured publicly for failing to decide a case that had been pending before him for six years, and the judge thereafter engaged in misconduct by directing a jury to find a defendant guilty in a criminal proceeding, and conducting the trial of two other defendants in the absence of their attorneys, among other derelictions on the bench. In *Spruance v. Commission on Judicial Qualifications*, 13 Cal.3d 778 (1975), the judge was found to have conducted his court in a bizarre and unjudicial manner, engaging in a pervasive course of acting vindictively toward attorneys who sought to have the judge disqualified or who appealed from his decisions, and to have permitted his business relationships and social friendships improperly to influence his judicial rulings (e.g., according preferential treatment to his longtime friends and political supporters). Also, [*Noel Cannon v. Commission on Judicial Qualifications*, 14 Cal.3d 678 (1975) [the judge committed 21 acts of willful misconduct

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

and 8 other acts of prejudicial conduct, including an egregious abuse of the contempt power, often arbitrarily ordering the incarceration of public defenders and thereby depriving their clients of effective assistance of counsel. Also, *Ryan v. Commission on Judicial Performance*, 45 Cal.3d 518 (1988) [same].

According to Canon 1 of the California Code of Judicial Ethics, an independent, impartial, and honorable judiciary is indispensable to justice in our society. A judge should participate in establishing, maintaining, and enforcing high standards of conduct, and shall personally observe those standards so that the integrity and independence of the judiciary is preserved.

According to Advisory Committee Commentary, Canon 1. Deference to the judgments and rulings of courts depends upon public confidence in the integrity and independence of judges. The integrity and independence of judges depend in turn upon their acting without fear or favor. Although judges should be independent, they must comply with the law and the provisions of this code. Public confidence in the impartiality of the judiciary is maintained by the adherence of each judge to this responsibility. Conversely, violations of this code diminish public confidence in the judiciary and thereby do injury to the system of government under law.

The basic function of an independent, impartial, and honorable judiciary is to maintain the utmost integrity in decision-making and this code should be read and interpreted with that function in mind.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

According to Advisory Committee Commentary, Canons 2 and 2A: The test for the appearance of impropriety is whether a person aware of the facts might reasonably entertain a doubt that the judge would be able to act with integrity, impartiality, and competence.

According to Canon 3, of the California Code of Judicial Ethics: (2) A judge shall be faithful to the law regardless of partisan interests, public clamor, or fear of criticism, and shall maintain competence in the law.

According to Canon 3, of the California Code of Judicial Ethics: (4) A judge shall be patient, dignified, and courteous to litigants, jurors, witnesses, lawyers, and others with whom the judge deals in an official capacity, and shall require similar conduct of lawyers and of all staff and court personnel under the judge's direction and control.

According to Canon 3, of the California Code of Judicial Ethics: (5) A judge shall perform judicial duties without bias or prejudice. A judge shall not, in the performance of judicial duties, engage in speech, gestures, or other conduct that would reasonably be perceived as (a) bias or prejudice, including but not limited to bias based upon, race, sex, gender, religion, national origin, ethnicity, disability, age, sexual orientation, marital status, socioeconomic status, or political affiliation, or (b) sexual harassment.

According to Canon 3 of the California Code of Judicial Ethics: (7) A judge shall accord to every person who has a legal interest in a proceeding or that person's lawyer, the full right to be heard according to law.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

According to the California Code of Judicial Ethics: TERMINOLOGY: "Impartial," "impartiality," and impartially" mean the absence of bias or prejudice in favor of, or against, particular parties or classes of parties, as well as the maintenance of an open mind in considering issues that may come before a judge.

County of Los Angeles employee Latrese Byrdsong did not maintain the utmost integrity in his decision-making at KING AROGANT'S rigged court hearing on August 19, 2025. No evidence testified to by County of Los Angeles witnesses was evidence that KING AROGANT had engaged in any course of conduct. Therefore, Latrese Byrdsong's violation of Canon 1 diminished public confidence in the judiciary and thereby did cause injury to the system of government under law. The only reason Latrese Byrdsong granted County of Los Angeles's frivolous workplace violence petition is because she herself is an employee of the County of Los Angeles.

Judge Latrese Byrdsong deliberately and willfully violated the California Code of Judicial Ethics, Canon 3 by not being faithful to the law. Judge Latrese Byrdsong did not maintain competence in the law. Judge Aunt Jemima Latrese Byrdsong failed to be faithful to the law when she recklessly granted County of Los Angeles's frivolous workplace violence petition with no evidence whatsoever that KING AROGANT had made a credible threat of violence or engaged in an unlawful course of conduct. At the hearing there was no evidence that KING AROGANT had made any contact with FAKE victim Brian MARK Rosenberg since November 2023.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

. Any person aware of the facts of this case, or cases, would reasonably entertain doubt that Judge Latrese Byrdsong could act with integrity, impartiality, and competence when presiding over any matter where Respondent KING AROGANT is a party to the judicial proceedings. It is unethical for any judicial officer presiding in a civil courtroom to inappropriately sustain a frivolous objection to cross-examination of evidence that was filed by the petitioner, and then further mislead the trial court proceedings by lying that he or she did not see an Exhibit of a police report this judicial officer knew was attached to the frivolous petition.

Judge Latrese Byrdsong violated the California Code of Judicial Ethics by doing all the above-stated nearly twenty allegations, including but not limited to leaving KING AROGANT'S witness outside all day, sustaining frivolous objections, refusing to allow public employees to testify that had doctored police reports and declarations, limiting KING AROGANT'S cross-examination, and so on.

***In Goldberg v. Kelly*, 397 U.S. 254, 90 S.Ct. 1011, 25 L.Ed.2d 287 (1970)**. The United States Supreme Court ruled:

> In almost every setting where important decisions turn on questions of fact, due process requires an opportunity to confront and cross-examine adverse witnesses.

In *Jenkins v. McKeithen*, 395 U.S. 411, 89 S.Ct. 1843, 23 L.Ed 404 (1969) the United States Supreme ruled:

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

The right to confront and cross-examine witnesses is a fundamental aspect of procedural due process.

Willner v. Committee on Character & Fitness, 373 U.S. 96, 83 S.Ct. 1175, 10L.Ed.2d 224, 2 A.L.R.3d 1254 (1963)

In *William L. Greene v. McElroy*, 360 U.S. 474, 79 S.Ct. 1400, 3 L.Ed.2d 1377 (1959). The United States Supreme Court ruled:

"Where the evidence consists of the testimony of individuals whose memory might be faulty, or who, in fact, might be perjurers or persons motivated by malice, vindictiveness, intolerance, prejudice, or jealousy, the individual's right to show that it is untrue depends on the rights of confrontation and cross-examination."

Also; *Matthews v. George H. Eldridge*, 424 U.S. 319, 96 S.Ct. 893, 47 L.Ed.2d 18 (1976) [64 L. Ed. 2d 182, 100 S. Ct. 1610]

In almost every setting where important decision turn on questions of fact, due process requires an opportunity to confront and cross-examine adverse witnesses. *Interstate Commerce Commission & United States v. Louisville & Nashville Railroad Company*, 227 U.S. 88, 33 S.Ct 185, 57 L.Ed. 431 (1913); *Willner v. Committee on Character & Fitness*, 373 U.S. 96, 83 S.Ct. 1175, 10L.Ed.2d 224, 2 A.L.R.3d 1254 (1963).

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Aunt Jemima Sell Out Latrese Antionette George Byrdsong, on numerous occasions on August 19, 2025, abused her discretion, exceeded her jurisdiction, and recklessly denied KING AROGANT of his United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights by recklessly doing all the above aforementioned. Based on the above allegations, County of Los Angeles employee Latrese Brydsong must be immediately removed from office by this California Commission on Judicial Performance, and in frivolous civil law case 25STRO03574, she must be immediately disqualified.

V. CONCLUSION

Aunt Jemima Latrese Antionette George Byrdsong must be immediately removed from public office, immediately disqualified from frivolous civil law case 25STRO03574, and all her erroneous and biased court orders must be immediately vacated.

Respectfully Submitted,

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws and constitution of California and the United States that every word, sentence, paragraph, image, and page of this CIVIL LAW 170.6 PLEADING of Wrongfully Petitioned AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S Verified Civil Law Pleading is true and correct.

Executed on November 15, 2025, in California, San Bernardino County, city of Loma Linda, California

DATE: November 15, 2025

BY: Arogant Hollywood

Arogant Hollywood, ***Esq.***
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106
Mobile: (323) 200-4449
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com

Spirit of Esquire




SELF-HELP
RESOURC
CENTE
ROOM

VERIFICATION OF WRONGFULLY CONVICTED CRIMINAL DEFENDANT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD

United States of America

State of California

City of Loma Linda, California, within the County of San Bernardino

I, **AROGANT HOLLYWOOD**, being duly sworn, say:

I, AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, am a CRIMINAL DEFENDANT in the frivolous, perjurious, and unconstitutional case **GA114055.**

I have carefully and thoroughly read and examined the following:

1. **WRONGFULLY PETITIONED RESPONDENT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S VERIFIED PEREMPTORY CHALLENGE/ DISQUALIFICATION & OFFICIAL STATE OF CALIFORNIA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE COMPLAINT OF BIASED, UNETHICAL, & WANTON COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES EMPLOYEE AND AUNT JEMIMA SELL OUT LATRICE ANTOINETTE GEORGE BYRDSONG PURSUANT TO CAL CODE CIV PROC § 170.6; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES, DECLARATION OF AROGANT HOLLYWOOD**

and I know the contents thereof. The facts stated therein are true and within my personal knowledge, except as to those matters which are therein alleged on information and belief, and as to those matters, I believe them also to be true.

If I were called upon to testify, I could and would testify competently as to the matters stated herein and therein.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: **arogan thollywoodgenius@gmail.com**
Phone: 206.471.1344

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws and constitution of California and the United States that every word, sentence, paragraph, image, and page of this CIVIL LAW Verification of Wrongfully Petitioned AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S Verified Civil Law Pleading is true and correct.

DATE: November 15, 2025

BY: Arogant Hollywood

Arogant Hollywood, *Esq.*
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106
Mobile: (323) 200-4449
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com

Spirit of Esquire

PROOF OF SERVICE

I certify that on **November 15, 2025**, I served one copy of the foregoing.

WRONGFULLY PETITIONED RESPONDENT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S VERIFIED PEREMPTORY CHALLENGE/ DISQUALIFICATION & OFFICIAL STATE OF CALIFORNIA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE COMPLAINT OF BIASED, UNETHICAL, & WANTON COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES EMPLOYEE AND AUNT JEMIMA SELL OUT LATRICE ANTOINETTE GEORGE BYRDSONG PURSUANT TO CAL CODE CIV PROC § 170.6; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES, DECLARATION OF AROGANT HOLLYWOOD

, and any and all attachments, by electronic mail (.pdf format) and facsimile on the following:

Vanessa Ann Evangelista [SBN # 238474]

vevangelista@counsel.lacounty.gov

Nathan Joseph Hochman [SBN # 139137]

nhochman@da.lacounty.gov

Alexander Michael Karkanen [SBN # 162377]

akarkanen@da.lacounty.gov

Cynthia Michiko Nakao [SBN # 137510]

cnakao@da.lacounty.gov

County of Los Angeles Board of Supervisor Kathryn Barger

kathryn@bos.lacounty.gov

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: **arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com**
Phone: 206.471.1344

County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office Alhambra Field Office

626.281.4724

County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office

213.746.7556

Nathan Joseph Hochman [SBN # 182345], District Attorney

nathanhochman@da.lacounty.gov

nathan@da.lacounty.gov

nhochman@da.lacounty.gov

Steven Ian Katz [SBN # 145416], Chief Deputy District Attorney

skatz@da.lacounty.gov

James William Garrison [SBN # 157070], Line Operations, Assistant District Attorney

jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov

Ronald Millard Geltz [SBN # 263186], Former Line Operations Acting Assistant D.A.

rgeltz@da.lacounty.gov

James William Garrison [SBN # 157070], Former Ethics and Integrity Assistant DA
jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov

Fernando Sanchez [SBN # 312245], Special Assistant
fsanchez@da.lacounty.gov

Michael David Kapp [SBN # 2779277], Public Affairs Director
mkapp@da.lacounty.gov

Kathryn Bailey Albracht [SBN # 175822], Special Assistant
kalbrach@da.lacounty.gov

Arisa Mattson [SBN # 195578] Special Assistant
amattson@da.lacounty.gov

Tanishia Wright [non attorney], Former Director of Bureau of Victim Services
twright@da.lacounty.gov

Marc Cornelis Beaart [SBN # 173364], Director of Fraud and Corruption
mbeaart@da.lacounty.gov

Stephanie Pearl Mire [SBN # 158918], Former Prosecutions Support Operations Director
spmire@da.lacounty.gov

Laura Jane Kessner [SBN # 175337], Central Operations Director
ljkessner@da.lacounty.gov

Janis Eve Johnson [SBN # 175280], Head Deputy DA of Family Violence Division (74)
jjohnes@aol.com
Brittany Nicole Nickolas [RELATED TO EVE]
brittnick23@gmail.com
bnickolas@yahoo.com
zlzlbrittnick23@yahoo.com

Kathy Uyen Ta [SBN # 243716], Assistant Head Deputy DA of Family Violence Division
kta@da.lacounty.gov

Pak B. Kouch, [SBN # 204373], Assistant Head Deputy DA of Family Violence Division
pkouch@da.lacounty.gov

Mary Anne Murray [SBN # 185518], Assistant Head Deputy DA of Alhambra Field Office
mmurray@da.lacounty.gov

Victor Manuel Rodriguez [SBN # 156150], Former Head Deputy DA of Alhambra Field Office
vrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov

Cindy Juhyun Park [SBN # 271933], Deputy DA of Alhambra Field Office

cpark@da.lacounty.gov

Cynthia Nakao, Current Head Deputy in Charge of Alhambra District Attorney Field Office Deputy in Charge

cnakao@da.lacounty.gov

Robert Luna, SHERIFF OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT

rluna@lasd.org

Rogue Peace Officer Leo Ka Fong Lo [In possession of phony GOPRO video recordings]

lklo@lasd.org

Rogue Peace Officer Billy Khounthavong [Responsible for sending KING AROGANT'S GoPro camera to Southern California High Tech Task Force and Testifying Falsely that Phony LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 were downloaded from KING AROGANT'S original GoPro camera in February 2023.

bskhount@lasd.org

Robert Bonta, California Attorney General

rbonta@doj.ca.gov

Nicole Amber Davis-Tinkham, Chief Deputy County Counsel of the County of Los Angeles

rdavis-tinkham@counsel.lacounty.gov

nikki.davis1212@gmail.com

Judy Welch-Whitehurst, Chief Deputy County Counsel of the County of Los Angeles

jwhitehurst@counsel.lacounty.gov

County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office Headquarters

info@da.lacounty.gov

RACIALLY ANIMUS AND LYING BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG
racistbrianmarkrosenberg@da.lacounty.gov

ROGUE AND RACIST PEACE OFFICER BENJAMIN YANG
byang@alhambrapd.org

JOHN ROBERT MORRIS (SBN # 137293)
jmorris@da.lacounty.gov

Martin James Bean (SBN # 194677)
mbean@da.lacounty.gov

and facsimile on the following:

AUNT JEMIMA SELL OUT LATRESE ANTIONETTE GEORGE BYRDSONG

lb@lacourt.org

213.633.1956 (COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE HEADQUARTERS FAX NUMBER)

213.633.0960 (COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE FAMILY VIOLENCE DIVISION FAX NUMBER)

916.323.5341 (CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, OFFICE OF ROBERT BONTA, ATTORNEY GENERAL'S FACSIMILE NUMBER)

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws and Constitution of California, and under the laws, federal statutes, and Constitution of the United States of America that the foregoing declaration is true and correct.

Executed on November 16, 2025, in California, San Bernardino County, city of Loma Linda, California

DATE: November 16, 2025

BY: _____

Arogant Hollywood, ***Esq.***
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106
Mobile: (323) 200-4449
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com

Spirit of Esquire


EXCELSIOR
FIRST LEGAL
UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq.
ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD
1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD.
PASADENA, CA 91106
Mobile: 206.471.1344
Mobile: 626.755.6442
aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com
OF THEIR OWN COUNSEL
3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted
Spirit of Esquire

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS PUBLIC BOARD MEETING

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD, Public Commenters, v. hilda solis, lindsey patrice horvath, holly j. mitchell, kathryn barger leibrich, janice hahn, Supervisor(s),	**AROGANT HOLLYWOOD & ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD'S PUBLIC COMMENT FOR NOVEMBER 25, 2025** **Date of Hearing: November 25, 2025** **Time: 10:00 AM** **PUBLIC COMMENT includes herein Exhibits 1-42 (approximately 3,300 pages) that must be made part of the November 18, 2025 Statement of Proceedings/Minutes pursuant to the California Government Code § 54950**

Arogant Hollywood
Alison Helen Fairchild
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD & ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD'S PUBLIC COMMENT FOR NOVEMBER 25, 2025!

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD & ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD'S PUBLIC COMMENT FOR NOVEMBER 25, 2025, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES BOARD OF SUPERVISORS' PUBLIC BOARD MEETING!

The County of Los Angeles must immediately dismiss the frivolous workplace violence petition restraining order **25STRO03574**. Attached hereto is a true and correct electronic portable document file copy of Exhibits 1-42, all inclusive. Exhibits 1-42 prove by clear and convincing evidence that Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles Stanley Mosk Superior Court civil law case **25STRO03574** has no merit because AROGANT HOLLYWOOD **[hereinafter "KING AROGANT"]** has not made any contact whatsoever with RACIST & LYING County of Los Angeles Deputy District Attorney Brian MARK Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)** since KING AROGANT sent a single email to RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg in early November 2023. The evidence in Exhibits 1-42 clearly proves by clear and convincing evidence that KING AROGANT has never contacted Brian MARK Rosenberg by any means of communication since early November 13, 2023.

The County of Los Angeles will be held legally liable for its judicial officer, Timothy R. Martella, granting both a frivolous temporary restraining order on November 14, 2023, and then shortly thereafter a permanent restraining order for LYING & RACIST Brian

Arogant Hollywood
Alison Helen Fairchild
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Email: fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

MARK Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)** in frivolous and RACIST Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Pasadena Superior Court case PDRO001713 on December 20, 2023. Exhibit 7 proves by clear and convincing evidence that pursuant to California Civil Code of Procedure § 527.6, RACIST Rosenberg's petition showed no course of conduct, and also the illegal petition showed that RACIST Rosenberg was never a victim of a credible threat of unlawful violence or a victim of unlawful violence. During the year 2024, the County of Los Angeles paid its employee Timothy R. Martella a grand total of $ 244,129.00.

County of Los Angeles and RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg fabricated lies in their **25STRO03574** workplace violence petition legal documents by lying to the Superior Court that RACIST Rosenberg's frivolous **PDRO01713** civil harassment petition prevented KING AROGANT from going to RACIST BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG'S workplace located at 150 West Commonwealth Avenue, Alhambra, CA 91801, First Floor. THIS IS NOT AT ALL TRUE! See now Exhibit 7 at page 86. The Superior Court order stated that KING AROGANT must stay 100 yards away from RACIST BRIAN MARK Rosenberg and his vehicle. Nowhere on the frivolous Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Pasadena Superior Court, December 20, 2023, permanent injunction court order does it order KING AROGANT to stay 150 yards away from the job or workplace of RACIST BRIAN MARK Rosenberg! Pursuant to United States Supreme Court case *Monell v. Department of Social Services*, 436 U.S. 658, 98 S.Ct 2018, 56 L.Ed.2d 611 (1978) County of Los Angeles will be held liable for the reckless, fraudulent, and unconstitutional actions of its employees Timothy R. Martella

Arogant Hollywood
Alison Helen Fairchild
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Email: fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

(paid $ 244,129 by the County of Los Angeles in 2024) and RACIST Brian MARK **Rosenberg (SBN 291501) (paid $ 310,478.00 in total pay and benefits by the County of Los Angeles during the year 2024).**

County of Los Angeles and its corrupt Sheriff Robert G. Luna did not have any jurisdiction or legal authority to willfully, recklessly, knowingly, fraudulently, wantonly, deliberately, carelessly, and callously lock out a disabled woman named Alison Helen Fairchild out of her home located at 2308 Felicia Avenue, Rowland Heights, CA 91748 using an invalid, phony, bogus, and illicit Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, West Covina Superior Court writ of possession that did not bear her name and was attached to an illegal Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, West Covina Superior Court civil law case number **24WCUD02475**, in which Alison Helen Fairchild was dismissed without prejudice by Plaintiff Chen Property RH LLC. Alison Helen Fairchild was dismissed from illegal Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, West Covina Superior Court civil law case **24WCUD02475** in December 2024, which was the same exact case number printed on the illegal 5-day-notice-to-vacate left on her home's front door by County of Los Angeles peace officers on or about June 8, 2025. The reckless actions of County of Los Angeles employee Leslie Bouvier Gutierrez **(SBN # 276241) in** conspiring with federal district court Defendants Robert G Luna, County of Los Angeles, Songfong Tommy Wang **(SBN # 272409)**, Fae Chen, Orange Chen's Property LLC, Chen Property RH LLC, and DOES 1-10 was one of the main reasons why Alison Helen Fairchild was wrongfully evicted on or about June 15, 2025. County of Los Angeles judicial officer employee Leslie Bouvier Guitterez allowed

Arogant Hollywood
Alison Helen Fairchild
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogan thollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Email: fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Alison Helen Fairchild to be wrongfully evicted and fraudulently signed off on her co-conspirators' invalid writ of possession, even after KING AROGANT had submitted documents and told her on the record that Plaintiff Chen Property RH LLC had voluntarily dismissed Fairchild from Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, West Covina Superior Court civil case **24WCUD02475.** Accordingly, Pursuant to United States Supreme Court case *Monell v. Department of Social Services*, 436 U.S. 658, 98 S.Ct 2018, 56 L.Ed.2d 611 (1978) County of Los Angeles will be held liable for the reckless, fraudulent, and unconstitutional actions of its employee, Leslie Bouvier Gutierrez **(paid approximately $ 270,000 by the County of Los Angeles in 2024)**

The County of Los Angeles and its employee, Nathan Joseph Hochman **(SBN # 139137)**, need to immediately focus on overturning and dismissing the wrongful conviction of KING AROGANT in the RACIST BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG-led criminal case **GA114055**. The County of Los Angeles should prepare to be blindsided by newly discovered evidence created by the work of a nationwide court reporting and litigation agency and through the work of a nationwide digital forensics agency. YOU FOOLS!

County of Los Angeles, its employee Nathan Joseph Hochman **(SBN # 139137)**, its employee Robert G. Luna, and its agency County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department Fraud & Cyber Crime Bureau's Southern California High Tech Task Force (public comment will be submitted to County of Ventura as well) and its employee Nathan Joseph Hochman **(SBN # 139137)** need to immediately focus on turning over and

Arogant Hollywood
Alison Helen Fairchild
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Email: fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

disclosing a copy of phony and bogus LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL01586, GL010587, & GL10588 that County of Los Angeles employees Michael Villalobos, RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg, and dirty Billy S. Khounthavong willfully, recklessly, knowingly, carelessly, wantonly, deliberately, fraudulently, and callously played, presented, and admitted as false evidence inside a criminal Superior Court of California criminal courtroom on April 4, 2023, April 5, 2023, and February 2, 2024.

To Janice Hahn, Lindsey Patrice Horvath, Hilda Solis, Kathryn Barger, Holly J. Mitchell, Robert G. Luna, Nathan Joseph Hochman, and all of their under color of law co-conspirators! Each and every one of you knows the deal! Janice Hahn, Lindsey Patrice Horvath, Hilda Solis, Kathryn Barger, Holly J. Mitchell, Robert G. Luna, Nathan Joseph Hochman, and all their under color of law co-conspirators need to hurry up and overturn the frivolous and RACIST Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court case **GA114055**. Janice Hahn, Lindsey Patrice Horvath, Hilda Solis, Kathryn Barger, Holly J. Mitchell, Robert G. Luna, Nathan Joseph Hochman, and all their under color of law co-conspirators have all substantially contributed to taking away KING AROGANT'S liberty for nearly twenty-five non-consecutive months for a violent and serious crime they all knew and still know that KING AROGANT never committed. Janice Hahn, Lindsey Patrice Horvath, Hilda Solis, Kathryn Barger, Holly J. Mitchell, Robert G. Luna, Nathan Joseph Hochman, and all their under color of law co-conspirators also need to turn over and disclose phony and bogus LASD-created GoPro video recording GL010586 that falsely depicts and displays KING AROGANT shutting off his GoPro camera while wearing different clothing than he actually had on February

Arogant Hollywood
Alison Helen Fairchild
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Email: fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

21, 2023.

Exhibits 1-16 prove by clear and convincing evidence that County of Los Angeles employee **Latrice Antoinette George-Byrdsong (SBN # 247217)**, who was paid by the County of Los Angeles $ 208,773 during the year 2024, knew that based on Exhibits 1-16 that there was no basis for granting County of Los Angeles's frivolous workplace violence petition on August 19, 2025. Corrupt, partial, in collusion, and biased judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong knew that she was fabricating lies in open court, that she did not see RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg's perjurious city of Alhambra police department crime report attached as an Exhibit to the County of Los Angeles's frivolous workplace violence petition in illegal Superior Court of California case **25STRO03574**. Corrupt and lying County of Los Angeles employee Latrice Byrdsong knew that she was violating her judicial code of ethics, numerous California Evidence Codes, and KING AROGANT'S United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights by striking evidence of RACIST Rosenberg's police report and not allowing KING AROGANT to ask any further questions regarding a police report that was relevant evidence and was also filed by the County of Los Angeles in its frivolous workplace violence petition in June 2025. County of Los Angeles employee Latrice Byrdsong also violated KING AROGANT'S constitutional civil rights by limiting his cross-examination of RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg and Cynthina Nakao!

Arogant Hollywood
Alison Helen Fairchild
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Email: fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

County of Los Angeles employee Vanessa Ann Evangelista **(SBN # 238474)** knew that she was violating numerous State of California Professional Rules of Conduct when she made an objection to KING AROGANT, asking questions about a damn police report she attached as evidence to get the County of Los Angeles's frivolous temporary restraining order granted in June 2025. See now Exhibits 1-15!

Pursuant to United States Supreme Court case *Monell v. Department of Social Services*, 436 U.S. 658, 98 S.Ct 2018, 56 L.Ed.2d 611 (1978) County of Los Angeles will be held liable for the reckless, fraudulent, and unconstitutional actions of its employees Latrice Antoinette George-Byrdsong **(paid $ 244,129 by the County of Los Angeles in 2024)**, RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg **(SBN 291501) (paid $ 310,478.00 in total pay and benefits by the County of Los Angeles in 2024)**, Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510)** (paid $ 372,124.00 in total pay and benefits by County of Los Angeles in 2024), and Vanessa Ann Evangelista **(SBN # 238474) (paid $ 226,110 in total pay and benefits by the County of Los Angeles in 2024)**.

Several times during the years 2015, 2016, 2017, and 2018 County of Los Angeles has willfully, recklessly, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, wantonly, fraudulently, and callously allowed legal document process servers employed by County of Los Angeles's longstanding co-conspirators Rapid Legal, ABC Legal, One Legal, Pro Legal, United Legal, Countywide Process LLC, One Legal, and many other companies to impede, block, and obstruct Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Stanley Mosk Superior Court entrances, exits, outside of Stanley Mosk courthouse handicap ramps,

Arogant Hollywood
Alison Helen Fairchild
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Email: fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

inside court hallways, inside court hallways, and outside court handicap ramp leading to 2nd Floor court entrance.

During September 2025 and October 2025 County of Los Angeles and its employees, Deni K. Butler and David Wayne Slayton, have all willfully, recklessly, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, wantonly, fraudulently, and callously allowed legal document process servers employed by County of Los Angeles's longstanding co-conspirators Rapid Legal, ABC Legal, One Legal, Pro Legal, United Legal, Countywide Process LLC, One Legal, and many other companies to impede, block, and obstruct Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Stanley Mosk Superior Court entrances, exits, outside handicap ramps, inside court hallways, inside court hallways, and outside court handicap ramp leading to 2nd Floor court entrance, which also impeded, blocked, and obstructed disabled Alison Helen Fairchild's path and ability to maneuver her wheelchair safely to enter and exit the Superior Court of California courthouse while she was present there with KING AROGANT on September 5, 2025 and again a second and third time during the month of October 2025. County of Los Angeles, David Wayne Slayton and Deni K. Butler are hereby being forewarned that their reckless failure to immediately remove these loitering and soliciting individuals from being anywhere near these Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Stanley Mosk Superior Court entrances, exits, outside handicap ramps, inside court hallways, inside court hallways, and outside court handicap ramp leading to 2nd Floor court entrance will result in a civil rights being filed against them all, and each of them. County of Los Angeles employees will be held liable for Luna’s lazy peace officers

Arogant Hollywood
Alison Helen Fairchild
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Email: fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

failing to remove these ADA entrances and exits blocking soliciting and loitering individuals from the Stanley Mosk Courthouse's entrances, exits, hallways, and walkways. **THESE LOITERING, SOLICITING, & CONDUCTING BUSINESS ON COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES PUBLIC PROPERTY MUST BE REMOVED IMMEDIATELY!**


Over the course of October 2025, the County of Los Angeles and its employees have all willfully, recklessly, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, wantonly, fraudulently, and callously violated KING AROGANT and Alison Helen Fairchild's constitutional First and Fourteenth Amendment civil rights by recklessly failing to upload each and every page and attachment of their public comments. Accordingly, pursuant to **California Government Code Section 54950**, the County of Los Angeles and its elected Board of Supervisors employees Lindsey Patrice Horvath **(paid $ 389,248.00 by the County of Los Angeles in 2024 for her total benefits and pay), Holly J. Mitchell (paid $ 372,850.00 by the County of Los Angeles in 2024 for her total benefits and pay), Hilda Solis (paid $ 390,346 by the County of Los Angeles in 2024 for her total benefits and pay), Kathryn Barger-Leibrich (paid $ 394,630 by the County of Los Angeles in 2024 for her total benefits and pay) and Janice Hahn (paid $ 371,719 by the County of Los Angeles in 2024 for her total benefits and pay) had better make sure that all pages and attachments of October 14, 2025, October 21, and October 28, 2025 KING AROGANT and Alison Helen Fairchild County of Los Angeles Board of Supervisors' Public Board Comments become official records of County of Los Angeles and are also accessible to the public by way of County of Los Angeles's**

Arogant Hollywood
Alison Helen Fairchild
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Email: fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Statement of Proceedings/Minutes in a timely and reasonable manner.

On the early morning of November 17, 2025, KING AROGANT was present at the handicap ramp complained upon to this ridiculous board of supervisors panel. KING AROGANT took photographs and video recordings that proved by clear and convincing evidence that County of Los Angeles continues to willfully, recklessly, wantonly. maliciously, deliberately, knowingly, carelessly, negligently, and callously allow individuals to loiter, solicit, obstruct, block and solicit on the outside of the Stanley Superior Court's handicap ramp. See evidence below:

Arogant Hollywood
Alison Helen Fairchild
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Email: fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344



NOVEMBER 25, 2025, PUBLIC COMMENT

Arogant Hollywood
Alison Helen Fairchild
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Email: fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

The above image you see is the Stanley Mosk Courthouse handicap ramp complained upon to this deliberately indifferent County of Los Angeles Board of Supervisors. Due to the County of Los Angeles continuing to recklessly allow individuals working for its in collusion with legal process server companies' employees. On November 17, 2025, Alison Helen Fairchild declined coming to the Stanley Mosk Courthouse since she knew individuals would be impeding and blocking her path the the second floor of the Stanley Mosk Courthouse. COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES IS GETTING READY TO GET SUED! AND ITS FIVE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS ARE NOT ENTITLED TO ANY SHAPE, FORM, OR TYPE OF IMMUNITY!

Arogant Hollywood
Alison Helen Fairchild
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Email: fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws and Constitutions of California and the United States that every word, sentence, paragraph, page, video recording, audio recording, sound, and image of this CIVIL RIGHTS LEGAL WARNING & PUBLIC COMMENT FOR NOVEMBER 25, 2025, is true and correct.

DATE: NOVEMBER 24, 2025, 11:36 AM PACIFIC STANDARD TIME



ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106
Mobile: (626) 755-6442
Email: fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com

Spirit of Esquire


I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws and Constitutions of California and the United States that every word, sentence, paragraph, page, video recording, audio recording, sound, and image of this CIVIL RIGHTS LEGAL WARNING & PUBLIC COMMENT FOR NOVEMBER 25, 2025, is true and correct.

DATE: NOVEMBER 24, 2025, 11:36 AM PACIFIC STANDARD TIME

BY: Arogant Hollywood

Arogant Hollywood, *Esq.*
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106
Mobile: (206) 471-1344
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com

Spirit of Esquire



Arogant Hollywood
Alison Helen Fairchild
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Email: fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

PRESIDENT
Martine Singer
Children's Institute

VICE PRESIDENT
Henry "Harry" Bruell
PathPoint

IMMEDIATE PAST PRESIDENT
Tim Ryder
San Fernando Valley Community Mental Health Center, Inc

FINANCE CHAIR
Shawn Caracoza
Pacific Clinics

VICE PRESIDENT PUBLIC POLICY
Jonathan Porteus
WellSpace Health

SECRETARY
Josefina Alvarado-Mena
Safe Passages

MEMBERS AT LARGE

Jack Barbour
Southern California Health And Rehabilitation Programs

Lisa Brabo
Family Service Agency

Genelle Cazares
El Hogar Community Services, Inc

Kent Dunlap
Star View Children and Family Services

Al Gilbert
Felton Institute

Anthony Guzman
Native American Health Center

Kathy Icenhower
SHIELDS for Families

April Ludwig
Hope Cooperative

Amanda Nugent Divine
Kings View

Stacey Roth
Hillsides

Jose Rodriguez
El Concilio

Albert (Al) Senella
Tarzana Treatment Centers

Hugo Villa
The Village Family Services

Nina Yuen-Loc
Chinatown Service Center

Yolanda Whittington
SISTAHFRIENDS Women's Counseling and Eldercare Management

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
Le Ondra Clark Harvey, Ph.D.

Sent via electronic transmission
November 24th, 2025

The Honorable Kathryn Barger,
Chair
Los Angelese County Board of Supervisors
500 West Temple Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

RE: Comments on Recent Reports Concerning Jail Deaths and Behavioral Health Care

Dear Chair Barger and Members of the Board,

On behalf of the California Behavioral Health Association (CBHA) and the California Access Coalition (CAC), we respectfully want to express our concern with the findings presented in the recent reports on jail deaths and behavioral health care in Los Angeles County's custody system. The reports confirm what many providers and community partners have experienced firsthand, people in custody are facing serious delays in medical and behavioral health treatment, growing overdose risk, limited access to evidence-based care, and staffing shortages that affect everything from safety checks to emergency response.

CBHA is a statewide association of mental health and substance use disorder agencies and businesses which provide behavioral health services to over two million Californians across the lifespan. We are committed to supporting an adequately funded, comprehensive, and community-based behavioral health system. To do this we collaborate with partners across the state to pursue policies that remove barriers and ensure individuals receive the care they need when they need it.

For more than two decades, CAC has provided a forum for advocacy and discussion among leading behavioral health care organizations. Our membership includes nonprofit and for-profit providers, patient advocacy groups, state agency representatives, and pharmaceutical partners. CAC educates policymakers on the importance of maintaining safe, timely, and equitable access to behavioral health medications and treatment services.

We are extremely concerned about barriers to timely treatment for substance use disorders, and mental health conditions. When individuals cannot access needed medication, crisis care, or coordinated follow-up, the risks of preventable harm and death increase. Improving access to treatment, strengthening suicide prevention resources, modernizing medical request and communication systems, and ensuring reliable emergency response practices are essential steps to improving safety and care.

We appreciate the work County departments have already done and urge the Board to continue prioritizing reforms that expand access to behavioral health services, reduce treatment delays, and improve coordination between custody and community providers. These efforts are essential to saving lives and ensuring that individuals in custody receive care that meets standards reflected across the County's broader public health system.

For more information about CBHA or CAC and the providers we represent, please feel free to follow up with any questions to myself at lclarkharvey@calbha.org.

Respectfully,



Le Ondra Clark Harvey, Ph.D.
Chief Executive Officer, CBHA

CC: Supervisor Hilda L. Solis
Supervisor Holly J. Michell
Supervisor Lindsey P. Horvath
Supervisor Janice Hahn

Experimental Project for Addiction Prevention and Support for Homeless People: Executive Summary for the Supervisory Board

My name is Luis Eduardo Ruiz, and this is the third time I'm addressing you on behalf of the addicts of Los Angeles. I am a recovering addict with over 45 years of experience in recovery programs, therapies, and groups. Today, after two years of working with various artificial intelligence systems and AA and NA groups, I present to you an experimental project developed in conjunction with artificial intelligence to address two critical problems in the county: addiction and homelessness. The project integrates modern, practical, and spiritual tools that complement any existing program without modifying the 12 Steps or Traditions. The tools already developed include:

1. Modernized relapse prevention sheets.

2. Confrontational self-assessments based on the original AA ones.

3. A step-by-step guide for a homeless person to immediately begin their process of getting off the streets and accessing detoxification.

4. Family intervention sheets. PROJECT PHASES:

• Phase 1 – AI Tool Development: COMPLETED.

• Phase 2 – Fieldwork: Interviews and surveys over three weeks in Skid Row, Venice, and Santa Monica, including organizations in the Center that support homeless individuals. •

Phase 3 – AI Analysis: Generation of new official proposals based on real-world field data. I would return in 3 months to give you the report and show you the new tools.

REQUESTS: 1. Permission for flyer distribution. 2. Permission to set up a small information and data collection booth. 3. Support of $3,000 USD for printing and materials, website creation. 3 weeks interviewing people, 8 hours a day, and inputting the information into the artificial intelligence. This project is low-cost, high-impact, and has the potential to become a pioneering model for prevention and community support. The central purpose is to reduce human suffering and deaths from addiction, and to improve access to recovery for those who have nothing. I deeply appreciate your time and consideration.

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

PRESIDENT
Martine Singer
Children's Institute

VICE PRESIDENT
Henry "Harry" Bruell
PathPoint

IMMEDIATE PAST PRESIDENT
Tim Ryder
San Fernando Valley Community Mental Health Center, Inc

FINANCE CHAIR
Shawn Caracoza
Pacific Clinics

VICE PRESIDENT PUBLIC POLICY
Jonathan Porteus
WellSpace Health

SECRETARY
Josefina Alvarado-Mena
Safe Passages

MEMBERS AT LARGE

Jack Barbour
Southern California Health And Rehabilitation Programs

Lisa Brabo
Family Service Agency

Genelle Cazares
El Hogar Community Services, Inc

Kent Dunlap
Star View Children and Family Services

Al Gilbert
Felton Institute

Anthony Guzman
Native American Health Center

Kathy Icenhower
SHIELDS for Families

April Ludwig
Hope Cooperative

Amanda Nugent Divine
Kings View

Stacey Roth
Hillsides

Jose Rodriguez
El Concilio

Albert (Al) Senella
Tarzana Treatment Centers

Hugo Villa
The Village Family Services

Nina Yuen-Loc
Chinatown Service Center

Yolanda Whittington
SISTAHFRIENDS Women's Counseling and Eldercare Management

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
Le Ondra Clark Harvey, Ph.D.

Sent via electronic transmission
November 24th, 2025

The Honorable Kathryn Barger,
Chair
Los Angelese County Board of Supervisors
500 West Temple Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

RE: Comments on Recent Reports Concerning Jail Deaths and Behavioral Health Care

Dear Chair Barger and Members of the Board,

On behalf of the California Behavioral Health Association (CBHA) and the California Access Coalition (CAC), we respectfully want to express our concern with the findings presented in the recent reports on jail deaths and behavioral health care in Los Angeles County's custody system. The reports confirm what many providers and community partners have experienced firsthand, people in custody are facing serious delays in medical and behavioral health treatment, growing overdose risk, limited access to evidence-based care, and staffing shortages that affect everything from safety checks to emergency response.

CBHA is a statewide association of mental health and substance use disorder agencies and businesses which provide behavioral health services to over two million Californians across the lifespan. We are committed to supporting an adequately funded, comprehensive, and community-based behavioral health system. To do this we collaborate with partners across the state to pursue policies that remove barriers and ensure individuals receive the care they need when they need it.

For more than two decades, CAC has provided a forum for advocacy and discussion among leading behavioral health care organizations. Our membership includes nonprofit and for-profit providers, patient advocacy groups, state agency representatives, and pharmaceutical partners. CAC educates policymakers on the importance of maintaining safe, timely, and equitable access to behavioral health medications and treatment services.

We are extremely concerned about barriers to timely treatment for substance use disorders, and mental health conditions. When individuals cannot access needed medication, crisis care, or coordinated follow-up, the risks of preventable harm and death increase. Improving access to treatment, strengthening suicide prevention resources, modernizing medical request and communication systems, and ensuring reliable emergency response practices are essential steps to improving safety and care.

We appreciate the work County departments have already done and urge the Board to continue prioritizing reforms that expand access to behavioral health services, reduce treatment delays, and improve coordination between custody and community providers. These efforts are essential to saving lives and ensuring that individuals in custody receive care that meets standards reflected across the County's broader public health system.

For more information about CBHA or CAC and the providers we represent, please feel free to follow up with any questions to myself at lclarkharvey@calbha.org.

Respectfully,



Le Ondra Clark Harvey, Ph.D.
Chief Executive Officer, CBHA

CC: Supervisor Hilda L. Solis
Supervisor Holly J. Michell
Supervisor Lindsey P. Horvath
Supervisor Janice Hahn

CONFORMED COPY
ORIGINAL FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles

MAY 12 2025

David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq.
1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD.
PASADENA, CA 91106
Mobile: 206.471.1344
arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted
Spirit of Esquire

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
CENTRAL DISTRICT, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
CLARA SHORTRIDGE-FOLTZ COURTHOUSE

people of the state of california
nathan joseph hochman (SBN # 139137)
brian mark rosenberg (SBN # 291501)
scott douglas dominguez (SBN # 228843)
alexander michael karkanen

Prosecutors,

vs.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD,
WRONGFULLY CHARGED

Defendant,

CASE NO. 25CJCM02162-01
LASC: Honorable Mark Windham
Ctrm: Department 45
210 West Temple Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

DEFENDANT'S NOTICE OF MOTION & MOTION TO TERMINATE THE PEOPLE'S STATUTORILY UNAUTHORIZED APRIL 21, 2025, CRIMINAL PROTECTIVE ORDER; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS & AUTHORITIES, DECLARATION OF AROGANT HOLLYWOOD

Date of Hearing: May 12, 2025

Time of Hearing: 8:30 AM

NOTICE OF MOTION
TO TERMINATE
COVER PAGES

Case No. 25CJCM02162-01

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq.
1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD.
PASADENA, CA 91106
Mobile: 206.471.1344
aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted
Spirit of Esquire

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
CENTRAL DISTRICT, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
CLARA SHORTRIDGE-FOLTZ COURTHOUSE

---

people of the state of california
nathan joseph hochman (SBN # 139137)
brian mark rosenberg (SBN # 291501)
scott douglas dominguez (SBN # 228843)
alexander michael karkanen

Prosecutors,

vs.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD,
WRONGFULLY CHARGED

Defendant,

CASE NO. 25CJCM02162-01
LASC: Honorable Mark Windham
Ctrm: Department 45
210 West Temple Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

DEFENDANT'S NOTICE OF MOTION & MOTION TO TERMINATE THE PEOPLE'S STATUTORILY UNAUTHORIZED APRIL 21, 2025, CRIMINAL PROTECTIVE ORDER; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS & AUTHORITIES, DECLARATION OF AROGANT HOLLYWOOD

Date of Hearing: May 12, 2025

Time of Hearing: 8:30 AM

NOTICE OF MOTION
TO TERMINATE
COVER PAGES

Case No. 25CJCM02162-01

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

TO: RACIST, PREJUDICED, AND BIASED COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY NATHAN JOSEPH HOCHMAN (SBN # 139137)

TO: LYING AND RACIST FAKE VICTIM AND PERJURIOUS STATE GOVERNMENT WITNESS BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG (SBN # 291501)

brosenberg@da.lacounty.gov

TO RACIST AND BIASED WILLOW LEAH ROSENTHAL, (SBN # 345751). PRELIMINARY HEARING DIVISION DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY WORKING FROM THE PRELIMINARY HEARING DIVISION OF COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE.

wkarfiol@da.lacounty.gov

TO SCOTT DOUGLAS DOMINGUEZ, (SBN # 228843), ASSISTANT HEAD DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY IN CHARGE OF PRELIMINARY HEARING DIVISION OF COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE.

sdominguez@da.lacounty.gov

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

ALEXANDER MICHAEL KARKANEN, (SBN # 162377), HEAD DEPUTY IN CHARGE OF PRELIMINARY HEARING DIVISION OF COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

akarkanen@da.lacounty.gov

TAKE NOTICE that on _____ _____, 2025 at _____, in Department 45 of the Superior Court of California Clara Shortridge Foltz Courthouse located at 210 WEST TEMPLE STREET, LOS ANGELES, CA 90012.

INNOCENT AND WRONGFULLY CHARGED CRIMINAL COURT DEFENDANT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD [hereinafter "KING AROGANT"] will move this Court for an order terminating the People's unconstitutional, frivolous, bogus, and statutorily unauthorized **CRIMINAL PROTECTIVE ORDER** that was entered erroneously on April 21, 2025, on the following grounds:

(1.) KING AROGANT never prevented or dissuaded fake and perjurious victim Brian MARK Rosenberg from testifying against him or filing a new police report.

(2.) The Court's Criminal Protective Order Was Unauthorized Pursuant California Penal Code Section 136.2

(3.) The People provided no offer of proof that its frivolous Criminal Protective Order was authorized by any California statute.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

(4.) Superior Court Judicial Officer Danby exceeded his jurisdiction by issuing a criminal Protective Order after People offered no evidence that KING AROGANT had a domestic relationship with KING AROGANT, and People offered no proof that Brian MARK Rosenberg was a victim of any crime.

(5.) This Superior Court and People violated Hollywood's 14th Amendment rights by issuing a criminal protective order without KING AROGANT having a hearing, an opportunity to be heard, and allowed to submit and provide evidence that the protective order should not have been granted.

(6.) The Criminal Court could not have entered a protective order against KING AROGANT because false victim Brian MARK Rosenberg was not a victim of domestic violence.

(7.) No crime against a person occurred in this case, and Brian MARK Rosenberg was not a victim but rather a reporting party on behalf of County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office.

(8.) The Superior Court and Los Angeles District Attorney's Office violated Arogant Hollywood's 14th Amendment constitutional rights by issuing a criminal protective order when they knew that there was not a single piece of evidence that proved that KING AROGANT committed a crime against a person, and there was no evidence before the Court at the time of the criminal protective order that lying and RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg was a victim of a crime.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

This notice of motion will be based upon this notice of motion, on the memorandum of points and authorities filed herein on the Declaration of Arogant Hollywood, on any attached Exhibits, and on any other such evidence that may be presented at the hearing on this motion.

DATE: May 10, 2025

BY: _____

Arogant Hollywood, *Esq.*
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106
Mobile: (206) 471-1344
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com

Spirit of Esquire

PROOF OF SERVICE

I certify that on May 12, 2025, I served one copy of the foregoing.

DEFENDANT'S NOTICE OF MOTION & MOTION TO TERMINATE THE PEOPLE'S STATUTORILY UNAUTHORIZED APRIL 21, 2025, CRIMINAL PROTECTIVE ORDER; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS & AUTHORITIES, DECLARATION OF AROGANT HOLLYWOOD

, and any and all attachments (PHYSICAL PAPER COPY) SERVED IN PERSON ON THE DDA AT THE MAY 12, 2025, HEARING ON THE following:

(SBN #

210 WEST TEMPLE STREET
DEPARTMENT 45
LOS ANGELES, CA 90012

AND BY ELECTRONICALLY MAILING ON MAY 10, 2025, ON THE FOLLOWING:

WILLOW LEAH ROSENTHAL, SBN # 345751, PRELIMINARY HEARING DIVISION DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY WORKING FROM THE PRELIMINARY HEARING DIVISION OF COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
wkarfiol@da.lacounty.gov

SCOTT DOUGLAS DOMINGUEZ, SBN # 228843, ASSISTANT HEAD DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY IN CHARGE OF PRELIMINARY HEARING DIVISION OF COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE.
sdominguez@da.lacounty.gov

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

ALEXANDER MICHAEL KARKANEN, SBN # 162377, HEAD DEPUTY IN CHARGE OF PRELIMINARY HEARING DIVISION OF COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

akarkanen@da.lacounty.gov

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws and Constitution of California, and under the laws, federal statutes, and Constitution of the United States of America, that the foregoing declaration.

DATE: May 10, 2025

BY: _____

Arogant Hollywood, *Esq.*
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106
Mobile: (206) 471-1344
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com

Spirit of Esquire

TABLE OF CONTENTS **Page(s)**

I. INTRODUCTION……..1

II. PROCEDURAL HISTORY……..1

III. THE APPLICATION OF LEGAL STANDARDS, ARGUMENT & AUTHORITY……2

IV. LEGAL ARGUMENT IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO TERMINATE…..……..4

A. KING AROGANT Never Dissuaded or Prevented MARK Rosenberg From Testifying Or Filing Perjurious Police Reports Against Defendant…..…..4

B. The People Provided No Offer of Proof that Its Frivolous Criminal Protective Order Was Authorized by Any California Statute……..……..4

C. The Court and People Violated KING AROGANT'S 14th Amendment Rights by Issuing a Criminal Protective Order Without KING AROGANT Having a Hearing, an Opportunity to be Heard, and Provide Evidence that the Protective Order Should Not be Granted…….10

D. No Crime Against a Person Occurred in This Case, And Brian MARK Rosenberg Was Not a Victim but Rather a Reporting Party of Behalf of The County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office……..11

V. CONCLUSION……15

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases **Page(s)**

United States Supreme Court Cases

***Bitter v. United States*, 389 U.S. 15 (1967)**……..……9

United States Ninth Circuit Court of Appeal Cases

Garcia v. Garland, No. 19-72779 (9th Cir. Feb. 11, 2022)…….3

Wheeler v United States 640 F.2d 1116 (9th Cir. 1981) ……..……5, 6

Other United States Circuit Court Cases

United States v. Kirk, 534 F.2d 1262 (8th Cir. 1976)…….10

United States v. Wind, 527 F.2d 672 (6th Cir. 1975)……..10

California State Appellate Cases

People v. Beckemeyer (2015) 238 Cal.App.4th 461, 465[189 Cal.Rptr.3d 334]…….8

People v. Cook, 59 Cal.App.5th 586, 590 (2021)……..…….…….3

People v. Corrales (App. 2 Dist. 2020) 259 Cal.Rptr.3d 676……..10

People v. Delarosarauda (2014) 227 Cal.App.4th 205, 210[173 Cal.Rptr.3d 512]…8

People v. Galvez, 195 Cal.App.4th 1253, 1257-58 (2011)……..….……..5

People v. Lopez, 75 Cal.App.5th 227, 290 Cal.Rptr.3d 383 (Cal. Ct. App. 2022)…..8

People v. McElroy, 126 Cal.App.4th 874, 881 (2005)…….…….……..3

People v. Ponce, 173 Cal.App.4th 378, 92 Cal. Rptr. 3d 667

(Cal. Ct. App. 2009)……...…….5, 6

People v. Selga (2008) 162 Cal.App.4th 113, 121 [75 Cal.Rptr.3d 453]…….....9

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES (Continued)

Cases **Page(s)**

California State Appellate Cases

People v. Stone (2004) 123 Cal.App.4th 153, 159, 19 Cal.Rptr.3d 771.....3, 5, 6, 9

People v. Upsher, 155 Cal.App.4th 1311, 1320 (2007)……..…….……3

Statutes

California Penal Code § 136.1……...……..……2, 3

California Penal Code § 136.2……..……..……..……..3, 7, 8, 9, 10

Secondary Sources/Treatises

4 Commentaries on the Laws of England, chs. 15, 17 (1769)……13

Blackstone…….13, 14

Commentaries on the Laws of England (1769.)……..13

Model Penal Code……14

Substantive Criminal Law……...14

Summary of Criminal Law (1834)……..13

W. Auckland, Principles of Penal Law (2d ed. 1771)…….13

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES FOR OPPOSITION

I. INTRODUCTION

Fake, perjurious and fraudulent misdemeanor criminal victim and witness Brian MARK Rosenberg **[hereinafter "MARK ROSENBERG"]** filed a fabricated and false police report against Defendant AROGANT HOLLYWOOD **[hereinafter "KING AROGANT"]** falsely stating that KING AROGANT had harassed him and he feared for his safety after KING AROGANT sent him threatening electronic mail messages on November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, and June 8, 2025.

On April 21, 2025, Superior Court pro temporary judicial officer Danby erroneously entered a criminal protective order against KING AROGANT on behalf of make believe victims City of Alhambra Library and Brian MARK Rosenberg.

II. PROCEDURAL HISTORY

On April 21, 2025, Superior Court judicial officer Danby erroneously entered an unauthorized Criminal Protective Order against KING AROGANT.

On April 21, 2025, without a hearing the People requested that the Court issue a new Criminal Protective Order against KING AROGANT. The People's frivolous and illegal criminal protective order was achieved by the corrupt and bias deputy district attorney Willow Leah Rosenthal preparing a criminal protective order without having one single piece of evidence that it was needed.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

The District Attorney's office requested that a Superior Court enter criminal protective orders in cases **1AM01484, 1AM0155, 1AM0594, 1AM01556**, and two additional cases not listed above. Over the span of four years the Los Angeles District Attorney's Office has entered a total of eight to nine statutorily unauthorized criminal protective order against KING AROGANT in cases in which there was **NO CRIME AGAINST A PERSON, NOT DISSUADING OF A WITNESS DURING THE PENDENCY OF THE CRIMINAL ACTION, & NO DOMESTIC VIOLENCE VICTIM!**

In fact, the County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office is so bias, racist, and grossly negligent to the constitutional due process rights of KING AROGANT that in addition to filing a ridiculous amount of frivolous criminal protective orders in non-violent misdemeanor cases they also have criminal charged KING AROGANT in frivolous misdemeanor case 25CJCM02162-01 without having probable cause and without having a single piece of evidence.

The criminal protective order entered on April 21, 2025, violated KING AROGANT'S due process rights because it was issued without the Court holding a hearing on the criminal matter and without providing KING AROGANT an opportunity to oppose the issuance of a criminal protective order.

III. THE APPLICATION OF LEGAL STANDARDS, ARGUMENT & AUTHORITY IN SUPPORT OF HOLLYWOOD'S MOTION

("[T]o prove a violation of [CPC § 136.1(b)(1)], the prosecution must show (1) the defendant has attempted to prevent or dissuade a person (2) who is a victim or witness to a crime (3) from making any report of their victimization to any peace officer or other designated officials.") (internal quotation marks omitted). Moreover,

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: **arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com**
Phone: 206.471.1344

the California courts' decisions involving CPC § 136.1(b)(1) demonstrate that convictions under the statute do not require the existence of ongoing or pending proceedings or investigations. See *People v. Cook*, 59 Cal. App. 5th 586, 590 (2021) ((defendant attacked a family member at home, and while another family member was calling 911 to report the attack, defendant broke the phone); *People v. Galvez*, 195 Cal. App. 4th 1253, 1257-58 (2011) (while a witness to an assault outside of a restaurant was calling 911 on his cellphone, the defendant assaulted the witness causing the cell phone to fall to the ground); *People v. McElroy*, 126 Cal. App. 4th 874, 881 (2005) ("When [defendant's partner] dialed 911, she specifically told defendant she was calling the police. Defendant responded by taking the telephone away and hanging it up, thereby preventing her from contacting the police."). Indeed, California courts have characterized CPC § 136.1(b)(1) as designed to address conduct that occurs *prior to* the initiation of a proceeding or investigation**.**

The majority starts out by correctly noting that CPC § 136.1(b)(1) requires that "(1) the defendant has attempted to prevent or dissuade a person (2) who is a victim or witness to a crime (3) from making any report of his or her victimization to any peace officer or other designated officials." *Garcia v. Garland*, No. 19-72779 (9th Cir. Feb. 11, 2022) at p. 14 quoting *People v. Cook*, 59 Cal.App.5th 586, 273 Cal. Rptr. 3d 684 (Cal. Ct. App. 2021) at page 687. See also *People v. Upsher*, 155 Cal.App.4th 1311, 1320 (2007).

Under section 136.2 (fn.4, *ante*), during the pendency of a criminal proceeding when the court has a "good cause belief that harm to, or intimidation or dissuasion of, a victim or witness has occurred or is reasonably likely to occur," the court is authorized to issue a restraining order. However, section 136.2 is limited "to the pendency of [a] criminal action" because section 136.2 "is aimed at protecting only `victim[s] or witness[es].'" (*People v. Stone* (2004) 123 Cal.App.4th 153, 159, 19 Cal.Rptr.3d 771 (*Stone*).) *457

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: **arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com**
Phone: 206.471.1344

IV. LEGAL ARGUMENT IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO TERMINATE

A. KING AROGANT Never Dissuaded or Prevented MARK Rosenberg From Testifying Or Filing Perjurious Police Reports Against Defendant

There is no evidence before this Superior Court that KING AROGANT has ever dissuaded or prevented Brian MARK Rosenberg from testifying against him in a criminal court of law. Moreover, KING AROGANT'S Original Verified Appendix of Exhibits and Second Verified Appendix of Exhibits both prove by clear and convincing evidence that KING AROGANT is the true victim of a crime when MARK Rosenberg willfully, knowingly, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, carelessly, deliberately, and callously violated California Penal Code § 148.5 by fabricating lies and making intentionally false statements that KING AROGANT sent him four electronic mail messages on November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, and twice on June 8, 2024. Since KING AROGANT'S evidence before this Superior Court proves by clear and convincing evidence that MARK Rosenberg's fabricated lies that he was afraid of KING AROGANT were not true and he was never a victim of a crime committed by KING AROGANT, the People's frivolous criminal protective order is now unnecessary, irrelevant, and moot so it must be terminated. Accordingly, the Court must terminate the People's April 21, 2025, criminal protective order.

In regards to the criminal protective order being issued for city of Alhambra public library. There is no evidence before this Superior Court that it is needed. Criminal protective orders cannot apply to victims of property. Further, the People's criminal protective order as to city of Alhambra Library is invalid because its private person's arrest form was signed by rogue and RACIST peace officer Benjamin Yang and not the alleged property victim named Hilda LohGuan. Accordingly, the Court must terminate the People's April 21, 2025, criminal protective order.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: **arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com**
Phone: 206.471.1344

B. The People Provided No Offer of Proof that Its Frivolous Criminal Protective Order Was Authorized by Any California Statute

At KING AROGANT''S April 21, 2025, and April 25, 2025, criminal court hearings the People did not produce one single piece of evidence regarding its alleged victims that a criminal protective order was needed in this case. Criminal Appellate case law specifically states that there must be evidence for a Superior Court to issue a criminal protective order. See *People v. Ponce*, 173 Cal.App.4th 378, 92 Cal. Rptr. 3d 667 (Cal. Ct. App. 2009)

There was no evidence that after being charged appellant had threatened a witness or had tried to unlawfully interfere with the criminal proceedings. "[A] prosecutor's wish to have such an order, without more, is not an adequate showing sufficient to justify the trial court's action." (Id. at pp. 384-385.) Accordingly, we agree with the parties that the no-contact order is unauthorized and must be stricken. (***Id.*** at p. 385; *People v. Selga* (2008) 162 Cal.App.4th 113, 121 [75 Cal.Rptr.3d 453]; *People v. Stone, supra*, 123 Cal.App.4th at p. 161.)

The Court must immediately terminate the People's Criminal Protective Order on the grounds that the People made no showing of proof nor provided any evidence that a protective order was needed in the case.

But, even so, *Wheeler* does not assist the Attorney General. There a trial judge issued a no contact order against a defendant. The court found that during trial the defendant "was trying to force [a witness] to commit perjury." (*Wheeler*, at p. 1118, fn. 2.) The Ninth Circuit said that using its inherent power, "It is possible, in a particular situation, that a [federal] trial court would be warranted in issuing an order to protect a witness ... even though the trial was over." (*Id.* at p. 1124.) But the court stressed that "post-trial orders to protect witnesses are extraordinary in character *and to be issued only in rare instances.*" (*Id.* at p. 1124, fn. 15, italics added.) Even where a defendant has previously harassed a witness at trial, to issue a post-trial witness

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: **arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com**
Phone: 206.471.1344

protection order, the trial court must still determine "the necessity of protecting [the witness] under the facts as they presently exist." (*Id.* at p. 1126.) To obtain such an order, the prosecution must make a strong showing of a "`clear and present danger or a serious and imminent threat,'" and must demonstrate that there are no other available alternatives. (*Id.* at p. 1124.) But even using the *Wheeler* standard, the order in this case may not be sustained because here the prosecution made no showing at all. See *People v. Ponce*, 173 Cal.App.4th 378, 92 Cal. Rptr. 3d 667 (Cal. Ct. App. 2009)

In *People v. Stone, supra*, 123 Cal.App.4th at page 160, the Court of Appeal held that a protective order could not be sustained without a showing of "a threat, or likely threat *to criminal proceedings or participation in them.*" (Italics added.) The court said, "There was no evidence . . . that after being charged in this matter, [defendant], or anyone on his behalf . . ., made any efforts by threat or force to dissuade either [victim] from testifying against him or proceeding with the prosecution. The fact that [defendant] had assaulted both of them before there were any criminal proceedings, and without any intent to interfere with such proceedings, is insufficient to justify the restraining orders." (***Id.*** at pp. 160-161.)

While the Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Scott Douglas Dominguez, and Alexander Michael Karkanen's frivolous police report fabricated lies that KING AROGANT had sent four emails to lying and RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg. The Superior Court erred in issuing a Brian MARK Rosenberg criminal protective order because the People failed to show the Superior Court one of the four electronic mail messages that rogue and corrupt peace officer Benjamin Yang had lied were attached to the end of his police report. Without Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Scott Douglas Dominguez, and Alexander Michael Karkanen showing the Superior Court at least one of those four emails the Superior Court has zero evidence before it to issue a criminal protective order.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: **aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com**
Phone: 206.471.1344

In regard to city of Alhambra public library the Superior Court abused it discretion and exceeded its jurisdiction in issuing an illegal criminal protective order for city of Alhambra public library for three reasons:

1. A criminal protective order is not allowed and permitted in case of a crime against property.

2. A criminal protective order can only be issued on behalf of a natural person.

3. The People's bogus private person's arrest form is signed by rogue and dishonest Peace officer Benjamin Yang.

Accordingly, the Court must terminate the People's April 21, 2025, Criminal Protective Order on the grounds that the People did not show an offer of proof for the need for issuing it after the People failed to produce one single piece of evidence that would show that KING AROGANT was a threat to a natural person employee of Alhambra public library and a threat to natural person Brian MARK Rosenberg.

(1) Section 136.2[2] permits the trial court in a criminal case to protect a witness or a victim by issuing a protective order. (*People v. Selga* (2008) 162 Cal.App.4th 113, 118 [75 Cal.Rptr.3d 453].) "Under section 136.2 ..., during the pendency of a criminal proceeding when the court has a `good cause belief that harm to, or intimidation or dissuasion of, a victim or witness has occurred or is reasonably likely to occur,' the court is authorized to issue a restraining order." (*Ibid.*) But orders issued under this section are of a limited duration. The trial court has jurisdiction to issue one only during "`the pendency of [a] criminal action'" before it. (*Ibid.*)

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: **aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com**
Phone: 206.471.1344

Courts "have construed [Penal Code] section 136.2, subdivision (a) to authorize imposition of protective orders only during the pendency of the criminal action. [Citations.] Thus, once the defendant is found guilty and sentenced, the court's authority to issue a protective order under section 136.2, subdivision (a) generally ceases." (*People v. Beckemeyer* (2015) 238 Cal.App.4th 461, 465 [189 Cal.Rptr.3d 334] (*Beckemeyer*); accord, *People v. Delarosarauda* (2014) 227 Cal.App.4th 205, 210 [173 Cal.Rptr.3d 512] [former § 136.2, subd. (a)(6) now codified at subd. (a)(1)(F) "does not authorize postconviction protective orders"].)

However, in 2011, Penal Code section 136.2 was amended "creating an exception to the preconviction limitation of a section 136.2 restraining order for domestic violence cases. (Stats. 2011, ch. 155, § 1.) Effective January 1, 2012, the Legislature added section 136.2, subdivision (i) to the statutory *237 scheme so that a 10-year postconviction protective order would be permissible when a defendant was convicted of a domestic violence offense." (*Beckemeyer, supra,* 238 Cal.App.4th at p. 465, italics & fn. omitted.)

Subdivision (i)(1) of Penal Code section 136.2 now expressly provides for the imposition of postconviction protective orders at the time of sentencing. (*Ibid.* ["When a criminal defendant has been convicted of a crime involving domestic violence ..., the court, at the time of sentencing, shall consider issuing an order restraining the defendant from any contact with a victim of the crime."].) Such orders are limited to defendants convicted of crimes that qualify as "`domestic violence'" and where the protected person qualifies as a "`victim'" of said crime(s). (*Beckemeyer, supra,* 238 Cal.App.4th at p. 466. See *People v. Lopez,* 75 Cal.App.5th 227, 290 Cal. Rptr. 3d 383 (Cal. Ct. App. 2022)

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Consequently, the court concluded that the Legislature intended a "narrower scope" for section 136.2 orders so that they would be limited to "the proceedings before the criminal court." (*People v. Stone, supra*, 123 Cal.App.4th at p. 159.) It said that if the duration was "not so limited, restraining orders under section 136.2 would usurp the similar restraining orders obtainable under Code of Civil Procedure section 527.6, and undermine the numerous procedural protections for the restrainee afforded by that section." (*Id.* at pp. 159-160.)

Moreover, even where a court has inherent authority over an area where the Legislature has not acted, this does not authorize its issuing orders against defendants by fiat or without any valid showing to justify the need for the order. (*Bitter v. United States* (1967) 389 U.S. 15, 16 [19 L.Ed.2d 15, 88 S.Ct. 6].)

The courts have construed section 136.2, subdivision (a) to authorize imposition of protective orders only during the pendency of the criminal action. (*People v. Selga* (2008) 162 Cal.App.4th 113, 118 [75 Cal.Rptr.3d 453]; *People v. Stone* (2004) 123 Cal.App.4th 153, 159 [19 Cal.Rptr.3d 771].) Thus, once the defendant is found guilty and sentenced, the court's authority to issue a protective order under section 136.2, subdivision (a) generally ceases. (*Selga, supra*, at pp. 118-119; *Stone, supra*, at p. 160.)

The People's Criminal Protective Order issued on September 8, 2021, must be immediately terminated on the grounds that it was issued after Hollywood was sentenced and convicted for allegedly violating California Penal Code Section 602.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: **arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com**
Phone: 206.471.1344

"***Statute governing protective orders for victims and witnesses in criminal proceedings did not authorize trial court to issue order, as part of defendant's sentence following conviction for unlawful burning of the property of another based on his burning of a palm tree, requiring defendant to stay 100 yards away from the building adjacent to the tree; statute only allowed issuance of protective orders for victims and witnesses during pendency of criminal proceedings***." *People v. Corrales* (App. 2 Dist. 2020) 259 Cal.Rptr.3d 676

Accordingly, the trial court must terminate the People's September 8, 2021, Criminal Protective Order on the grounds that it was not authorized by California Penal Code § 136.2 because KING AROGANT did not pose any threat of harm to FAKE VICTIM Brian MARK Rosenberg and any city of Alhambra public library employee at the time of the frivolous criminal protective order's issuance on April 21, 2025.

C. The Court and People Violated KING AROGANT'S 14th Amendment Rights by Issuing a Criminal Protective Order Without KING AROGANT Having a Hearing, an Opportunity to be Heard, and Provide Evidence that the Protective Order Should Not be Granted.

On April 21, 2025, the trial court erroneously issued a Criminal Protective Order without KING AROGANT being afforded an opportunity to be heard. Thus, the People's April 21, 2025, Criminal Protective Order must be immediately terminated on the grounds that its issuance violated KING AROGANT'S 14th Amendment United States Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights.

In cases where the court has exercised its inherent power to protect witnesses, a hearing was required. *United States v. Kirk*, 534 F.2d 1262 (8th Cir. 1976) (hearing required before court could deny bail to defendant who had threatened a witness); *United States v. Wind*, 527 F.2d 672 (6th Cir. 1975) (same).

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: **aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com**
Phone: 206.471.1344

Accordingly, the People's April 21, 2025, Criminal Protective Order must be immediately terminated on the grounds that it was entered without KING AROGANT having a hearing and without the People providing an offer of proof that the protective order was necessary after the People failed to show the Superior Court one of the four electronic mail messages Brian MARK Rosenberg DA messages that rogue and dishonest peace officer Benjamin Yang lied were attached to the end of his crime report.

D. No Crime Against a Person Occurred in This Case, And Brian MARK Rosenberg Was Not a Victim but Rather a Reporting Party of Behalf of The County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office

No crime against a person occurred in this case. The police report states thatKING AROGANT sent four electronic mail messages to lying and RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg on November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024 and June 8, 2024. The People's fabricated and false police report further states that Brian MARK Rosenberg's DA emails are attached to the end of rogue and RACIST peace officer Benjamin Yang's crime report. Yet, not such emails were ever attached to the People's crime report for a very good reason; NO SUCH EMAILS EXIST!. Further, KING AROGANT'S Original and Second Verified Appendix of Exhibits prove by clear and convincing evidence that KING AROGANT is factually innocent and never sent one single email to Brian MARK Rosenberg on November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, and June 8, 2024. MARK Rosenberg is simply a reporting party in this case, nothing more and nothing less, except probably a LIAR.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: **arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com**
Phone: 206.471.1344

The term "crimes against the person refers to a broad array of criminal offenses which usually involve bodily harm, the threat of bodily harm, or other actions committed against the will of an individual. Those bodily harm (or the threat thereof) include assault, battery, and domestic violence. Additionally, offenses such as harassment, kidnapping, and stalking also are considered crimes against the person. TRESPASS NOT A CRIME AGAINST A PERSON, IT IS SIMPLY A CRIME AGAINST "PROPERTY". Thus, City of Alhambra public library cannot be the recipient of a criminal protective order.

As KING AROGANT'S exculpatory evidence in this frivolous criminal case clearly show MARK Rosenberg is a false and make-believe criminal victim, and the Los Angeles District Attorney's Office and Superior Court will answer in federal district court for issuing a statutorily unauthorized Criminal Protective Order on behalf of Brian MARK Rosenberg and city of Alhambra public library.

An offense against a person is often defined as a crime against the body of another human being." Black's Law Dictionary 1302 (11th ed. 2019) ("offense against the person"). The object of the offense is a person. Those offenses include, for example , assault, homicide, rape, and robbery.

An offense against property is often defined as a "crime against another's personal property." ***Ibid.*** ("offense against property"). The object of the offence is property. Those offenses include, for example, burglary, arson, extortion, fraud, and destruction of property. KING AROGANT contends that he is innocent in the above stated case. Assuming ***arguendo*** , KING AROGANT was guilty of the crime of trespass as charged; the city of Alhambra public library is not a natural person and cannot therefore be a victim of a crime against a person, which is a requirement of a Superior Court issuing a criminal protective order.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: **aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com**
Phone: 206.471.1344

The nomenclature has a long historical pedigree originating in the common law. Blackstone, for example, sets for various categories of "Public Wrongs," which include "Offences *against* the Persons of Individuals" and Offences *against* Private Property." 4 Commentaries on the Laws of England, chs. 15, 17 (1769) (emphasis in original); see eg. H. Stephen, Summary of Criminal Law (1834) (setting forth categories of criminal offenses including "Offences against the Person" and "Larceny and Other Offences against Property); W. Auckland, Principles of Penal Law (2d ed. 1771) (setting forth categories of criminal offenses including "[o] ther Crimes relative to the Persons of Individuals" and "Crimes relative to Property").

Those classifications remain prevalent today. Like Blackstone, most state criminal codes categorize criminal offenses and employ the terminology of offenses against the person and offenses against property. California's Penal Code Sections and Titles indisputably employed, followed, and adopted the criminal offense categorization created in Blackstone. California similar to Blackstone separates criminal offenses of crimes against persons and crimes against property.

Crimes against the person in California law are contained within Title 8 of Part 1 of the California Penal Code, with Title 8 carrying the title "OF CRIMES AGAINST THE PERSON." This title includes sections 187 through 248 inclusive of the California Penal Code.

Crimes against property in California are contained within Title 13 of Part 1 of California Penal Code, with Title 13 carrying the title "OF CRIMES AGAINST PROPERTY." This title includes sections 450 through 593 inclusive of the California Penal Code. NOT EVEN TRESPASS is a crime against property in the state of

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: **arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com**
Phone: 206.471.1344

California.

The Model Penal Code (MPC) is a model act designed to stimulate and assist U.S. state legislatures to update and standardize the penal law of the United States. The MPC was a project of the American Law Institute (ALI) and was published in 1962 after a ten-year drafting period.

The Mode Penal Code [which is used in the state of California, among 32 other U.S. states] identifies two broad categories of crimes as "Offenses Involving Danger to the Person" and "Offenses Against Property." ALI, Model Penal Code, pt. II (1980).

Leading treatises on criminal law similarly group most offenses into those two broad categories: "Offenses Against the Person" and "Offenses Against Property." 2-3 W. LaFave, Substantive Criminal Law, pts. III, IV (3d ed. 2018); 2 C. Torcia, Wharton's Criminal Law, pt. II (15th ed. 1994); 3 ***id.,*** pt. V (1995); see also 2 W. LaFave & A. Scott, Substantive Criminal Law, chs. 7, 8 (1986) ("Crimes Against the Person" and "Crimes Relating to Property"); 2 Torcia, Wharton's Criminal Law, pt. II (14th ed. 1979) ("Offenses Against the Person"); 3 ***id.***,pt. V (1980) (Offenses Against Property").

As the above criminal law doctrine examples show, the phrase "offenses against the person" may be worded in slightly different ways, but each variation serves to distinguish offenses, including offenses against property. Cf. Black's Law Dictionary, at 1302 (cross-referencing definition of "offense against the person" with "crimes against persons").

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: **arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com**
Phone: 206.471.1344

Other United States of America allies have adopted a similar form of the Blackstone, and Model Penal Code. In Canada under R.S.C., 1985 , c. C-46, last amended on June 23, 2022, Part VIII—**Offences Against the Person and Reputation**, criminal code sections 214 through 320 does not state that trespass is a crime against a person.

In Canada under R.S.C., 1985 , c. C-46, last amended on June 23, 2022, Part IX—**Offences Against Rights of Property**, criminal code sections 321 through 366 does not state that trespass is a crime against a person.

Based on all the legal grounds asserted in Ground D, in the United States trespass is not a crime against a person, nor a crime against property. Nor is trespass a crime against a person in many other parts of the world. Thus, city of Alhambra public library is not a victim in this case, and the People's intentionally and deliberately issued criminal protective order cannot stand any longer, and it must be vacated on the grounds that city of Alhambra public library is a victim of any CRIME whatsoever.

V. CONCLUSION

For all the forgoing legal grounds stated above and herein. This Superior Court must immediately terminate the People's erroneously issued and unauthorized Criminal Protective Order that was erroneously entered on April 21, 2025.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: **aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com**
Phone: 206.471.1344

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws and Constitution of California and the United States that every word, sentence, paragraph, and page of this Criminal Law Motion is true and correct.

DATE: May 10, 2025

BY: _____

Arogant Hollywood, *Esq.*
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106
Mobile: (206) 471-1344
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com

Spirit of Esquire

CH-109 Notice of Court Hearing

Clerk stamps date here when form is filed.

FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles

NOV 14 2023

David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court
By: C. Gonzalez, Deputy

(1) Person Seeking Protection

a. Your Full Name: Mark Brian Rosenberg

Your Lawyer (if you have one for this case):

Name: _____ State Bar No.: _____

Firm Name: _____

b. Your Address *(If you have a lawyer, give your lawyer's information. If you do not have a lawyer and want to keep your home address private, you may give a different mailing address instead. You do not have to give telephone, fax, or email.)*

Address: 150 W Commonwealth Ave

City: Alhambra State: CA Zip: 91801

Telephone: _____ Fax: _____

Email Address: _____

Fill in court name and street address:

Superior Court of California, County of

LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT
ALHAMBRA COURTHOUSE
150 W. COMMONWEALTH AVE.
ALHAMBRA, CA 91801

Court fills in case number when form is filed.

Case Number: 23PDR001713

(2) Person From Whom Protection Is Sought

Full Name: Arogant Hollywood

The court will complete the rest of this form.

(3) Notice of Hearing

A court hearing is scheduled on the request for restraining orders against the person in (2):

Hearing Date → Date: 12-04-2023 Time: 8:30

Dept.: S Room: _____

Name and address of court if different from above:

~~Los Angeles Superior Court~~
~~Pasadena Courthouse~~
~~300 East Walnut St.~~
~~Pasadena, CA 91101~~

(4) Temporary Restraining Orders *(Any orders granted are on form CH-110, served with this notice.)*

a. Temporary Restraining Orders for personal conduct and stay-away orders as requested in form CH-100, *Request for Civil Harassment Restraining Orders,* are *(check only one box below):*

(1) ☑ All **GRANTED** until the court hearing.

(2) ☐ All **DENIED** until the court hearing. *(Specify reasons for denial in b, below.)*

(3) ☐ Partly **GRANTED** and partly **DENIED** until the court hearing. *(Specify reasons for denial in b, below.)*

b. Reasons for denial of some or all of those personal conduct and stay-away orders as requested in form CH-100, *Request for Civil Harassment Restraining Orders*, are:

(1) ☐ The facts as stated in form CH-100 do not sufficiently show acts of violence, threats of violence, or a course of conduct that seriously alarmed, annoyed, or harassed the person in (1) and caused substantial emotional distress.

(2) ☐ Other *(specify)*: ☐ As stated on Attachment 4b.

(5) Confidential Information Regarding Minor

a. ☐ A *Request to Keep Minor's Information Confidential* (form CH-160) was made and **GRANTED**. (*See form CH-165*, Order on Request to Keep Minor's Information Confidential, *served with this form.)*

b. **If the request was granted, the information described in item (7) on the order (form CH-165) must be kept CONFIDENTIAL. The disclosure or misuse of the information is punishable as a sanction, with a fine of up to $1,000 or other court penalties.**

(6) Service of Documents for the Person in (1)

At least ☒ five ☐ _____ **days before the hearing,** someone age 18 or older—**not you or anyone to be protected**—must personally give (serve) a court's file-stamped copy of this form CH-109 to the person in (2) along with a copy of all the forms indicated below:

a. ☒ CH-100, *Request for Civil Harassment Restraining Orders* (file-stamped)

b. ☒ CH-110, *Temporary Restraining Order* (file-stamped) **IF GRANTED**

c. CH-120, *Response to Request for Civil Harassment Restraining Orders* (blank form)

d. CH-120-INFO, *How Can I Respond to a Request for Civil Harassment Restraining Orders?*

e. CH-250, *Proof of Service by Mail* (blank form)

f. ☐ CH-170, *Notice of Order Protecting Information of Minor* and CH-165, *Order on Request to Keep Minor's Information Confidential* (file-stamped) **IF GRANTED**

g. ☐ Other *(specify)*: _____

Date: 11/14/2023

ADAM Y. CHANG
Judicial Officer

Case Number: 23PDR001713

To the Person in ① :

- The court cannot make the restraining orders after the court hearing unless the person in ② has been personally given (served) a copy of your request and any temporary orders. To show that the person in ② has been served, the person who served the forms must fill out a proof of service form. Form CH-200, *Proof of Personal Service,* may be used.
- For information about service, read form CH-200-INFO, *What Is "Proof of Personal Service"?*
- If you are unable to serve the person in ② in time, you may ask for more time to serve the documents. Use form CH-115, *Request to Continue Court Hearing and to Reissue Temporary Restraining Order.*

To the Person in ② :

- If you want to respond to the request for orders in writing, file form CH-120, *Response to Request for Civil Harassment Restraining Orders,* and have someone age 18 or older—**not you or anyone to be protected**—mail it to the person in ①.
- The person who mailed the form must fill out a proof of service form. Form CH-250, *Proof of Service by Mail,* may be used. File the completed form with the court before the hearing and bring a copy with you to the court hearing.
- Whether or not you respond in writing, go to the hearing if you want the judge to hear from you before making an order. You may tell the judge why you agree or disagree with the orders requested.
- You may bring witnesses and other evidence.
- At the hearing, the judge may make restraining orders against you that could last up to five years and may order you to turn in to law enforcement, or sell to or store with a licensed gun dealer, any firearms (guns) and firearm parts that you own or possess. This includes firearm receivers and frames, and any item that may be used as or easily turned into a receiver or frame (see Penal Code section 16531).



Request for Accommodations

Assistive listening systems, computer-assisted real-time captioning, or sign language interpreter services are available if you ask at least five days before the hearing. Contact the clerk's office or go to www.courts.ca.gov/forms for *Disability Accommodation Request* (form MC-410). (Civ. Code, § 54.8.)

(Clerk will fill out this part.)

—Clerk's Certificate—

I certify that this *Notice of Court Hearing* is a true and correct copy of the original on file in the court.

Clerk's Certificate
[seal]



Date: SEP 08 2025

Clerk, by David W. Slayton, Deputy M. Diaz

CH-110 Temporary Restraining Order

Clerk stamps date here when form is filed.

FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles

NOV 14 2023

David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court
By: C. Gonzalez, Deputy

Person in (1) must complete items (1), (2), and (3) only.

(1) Protected Person

a. Your Full Name: Brian Mark Rosenberg

Your Lawyer *(if you have one for this case)*:
Name: _____ State Bar No.: _____
Firm Name: _____

b. Your Address *(If you have a lawyer, give your lawyer's information. If you do not have a lawyer and want to keep your home address private, you may give a different mailing address instead. You do not have to give telephone, fax, or email.)*:
Address: 150 W Commonwealth Ave
City: Alhambra State: CA Zip: 91801
Telephone: 626 308 5437 Fax: _____
Email Address: brosenberg@da.lacounty.gov

Fill in court name and street address:

Superior Court of California, County of
LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT
ALHAMBRA COURTHOUSE
150 W. COMMONWEALTH AVE.
ALHAMBRA, CA 91801

Court fills in case number when form is filed.

Case Number: 23PDR00173

(2) Restrained Person

(Give all the information you know. Information with a star (*) is required to add this order to the California police database. If age is unknown, give an estimate.)

*Full Name: Arogant Hollywood *Age: 44 Date of Birth: 12/9/78
*Race: Black Height: _____ Weight: _____ Hair Color: Blk Eye Color: BRO
*Gender: ☒ M ☐ F ☐ Nonbinary Home Address: Transient
City: _____ State: CA Zip: _____
Relationship to Protected Person: Defendant on case I prosecuted

(3) ☐ Additional Protected Persons

In addition to the person named in (1), the following family or household members of that person are protected by the temporary orders indicated below:

Full Name	Gender	Age	Household Member?	Relation to Protected Person
			☐ Yes ☐ No	
			☐ Yes ☐ No	
			☐ Yes ☐ No	
			☐ Yes ☐ No	

☐ *Check here if there are additional persons. List them on an attached sheet of paper and write "Attachment 3—Additional Protected Persons" as a title. You may use form MC-025, Attachment.*

The court will complete the rest of this form.

(4) Expiration Date

This Order expires at the end of the hearing scheduled for the date and time below:

Date: 12-04-2023 Time: 8:30 ☒ a.m. ☐ p.m.

This is a Court Order.

Case Number:
23PDR00171

To the Person in (2):

The court has granted the temporary orders checked as granted below. If you do not obey these orders, you can be arrested and charged with a crime. You may be sent to jail for up to one year, pay a fine of up to $1,000, or both.

(5) Personal Conduct Orders

☐ Not Requested ☐ Denied Until the Hearing ☒ Granted as Follows:

a. You must not do the following things to the person named in (1)
☐ and to the other protected persons listed in (3):

(1) ☒ Harass, intimidate, molest, attack, strike, stalk, threaten, assault (sexually or otherwise), hit, abuse, destroy personal property of, or disturb the peace of the person.

(2) ☒ Contact the person, either directly or indirectly, in any way, including, but not limited to, in person, by telephone, in writing, by public or private mail, by interoffice mail, by email, by text message, by fax, or by other electronic means.

(3) ☐ Take any action to obtain the person's address or location. If this item (3) is not checked, the court has found good cause not to make this order.

(4) ☐ Other *(specify):*
☐ Other personal conduct orders are attached at the end of this Order on Attachment 5a(4).

b. Peaceful written contact through a lawyer or a process server or other person for service of legal papers related to a court case is allowed and does not violate this order. However, you may have your papers served by mail on the person in (1).

(6) Stay-Away Order

☐ Not Requested ☐ Denied Until the Hearing ☒ Granted as Follows:

a. You must stay at least 100 yards away from *(check all that apply):*

(1) ☒ The person in (1)
(2) ☐ Each person in (3)
(3) ☐ The home of the person in (1)
(4) ☐ The job or workplace of the person in (1)
(5) ☐ The school of the person in (1)
(6) ☐ The school of the children of the person in (1)
(7) ☐ The place of child care of the children of the person in (1)
(8) ☐ The vehicle of the person in (1)
(9) ☐ Other *(specify):*

b. This stay-away order does not prevent you from going to or from your home or place of employment.

(7) No Firearms (Guns), Firearm Parts, or Ammunition

a. You cannot own, possess, have, buy or try to buy, receive or try to receive, or in any other way get any prohibited items listed in b on the next page.

This is a Court Order.

7 b. **Prohibited items are:**

(1) Firearms (guns);

(2) Firearm parts, meaning receivers, frames, or any item that may be used as or easily turned into a receiver or frame (see Penal Code section 16531); and

(3) Ammunition.

c. You must:

(1) Sell to or store with a licensed gun dealer, or turn in to a law enforcement agency, any firearms (guns) and firearm parts in your immediate possession or control. This must be done within 24 hours of being served with this Order.

(2) File a receipt with the court within 48 hours of receiving this Order that proves that your firearms (guns) and firearm parts have been turned in, sold, or stored. (You may use *Receipt for Firearms and Firearm Parts* (form CH-800) for the receipt.)

d. ☐ The court has received information that you own or possess a firearm (gun), firearm parts, or ammunition.

8 Possession and Protection of Animals

☒ **Not Requested** ☐ **Denied Until the Hearing** ☐ **Granted as Follows** *(specify):*

a. ☐ The person in 1 is given the sole possession, care, and control of the animals listed below, which are owned, possessed, leased, kept, or held by him or her, or reside in his or her household.
(Identify animals by, e.g., type, breed, name, color, sex.)

b. ☐ The person in 2 must stay at least _____ yards away from, and not take, sell, transfer, encumber, conceal, molest, attack, strike, threaten, harm, or otherwise dispose of, the animals listed above.

9 Other Orders

☒ **Not Requested** ☐ **Denied Until the Hearing** ☐ **Granted as Follows** *(specify):*

☐ Additional orders are attached at the end of this Order on Attachment 9.

To the Person in 1:

10 Mandatory Entry of Order Into CARPOS Through CLETS

This Order must be entered into the California Restraining and Protective Order System (CARPOS) through the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS). *(Check one):*

a. ☒ The clerk will enter this Order and its proof-of-service form into CARPOS.

b. ☐ The clerk will transmit this Order and its proof-of-service form to a law enforcement agency to be entered into CARPOS.

This Is a Court Order.

(10) c. ☐ By the close of business on the date that this Order is made, the person in (1) or his or her lawyer should deliver a copy of the Order and its proof-of-service form to the law enforcement agency listed below to enter into CARPOS:

Name of Law Enforcement Agency | Address *(City, State, Zip)*

☐ Additional law enforcement agencies are listed at the end of this Order on Attachment 10.

(11) **No Fee to Serve (Notify) Restrained Person** ☒ **Ordered** ☐ **Not Ordered**

The sheriff or marshal will serve this Order without charge because:

a. ☒ The Order is based on unlawful violence, a credible threat of violence, or stalking.

b. ☐ The person in (1) is entitled to a fee waiver.

(12) Number of pages attached to this Order, if any: _____

Date: 11/14/2023

ADAM Y. CHANG

Judicial Officer

Warnings and Notices to the Restrained Person in (2)

You Cannot Have Firearms (Guns), Firearm Parts, or Ammunition

You cannot own, have, possess, buy or try to buy, receive or try to receive, or otherwise get any prohibited items listed in item 7b on page 3 while this Order is in effect. If you do, you can go to jail and pay a $1,000 fine. You must sell to or store with a licensed gun dealer, or turn in to a law enforcement agency, any firearms (guns) and firearm parts that you have or control as stated in item (7) above. The court will require you to prove that you did so.

Notice Regarding Nonappearance at Hearing and Service of Order

If you have been personally served with this Temporary Restraining Order and form CH-109, *Notice of Court Hearing*, but you do not appear at the hearing either in person or by a lawyer, and a restraining order that is the same as this Temporary Restraining Order except for the expiration date is issued at the hearing, a copy of the order will be served on you by mail at the address in item (2).

If this address is not correct or you wish to verify that the Temporary Restraining Order was converted into a restraining order at the hearing without substantive change, or to find out the duration of the order, contact the clerk of the court.

After You Have Been Served With a Restraining Order

- Obey all the orders.
- Read form CH-120-INFO, *How Can I Respond to a Request for Civil Harassment Restraining Orders?*, to learn how to respond to this Order.
- If you want to respond, fill out form CH-120, *Response to Request for Civil Harassment Restraining Orders*, and file it with the court clerk. You do not have to pay any fee to file your response if the Request claims that you inflicted or threatened violence against or stalked the person in (1).

This Is a Court Order.

- You must have form CH-120 served by mail on the person in ① or that person's attorney. You cannot do this yourself. The person who does the mailing should complete and sign form CH-250, *Proof of Service by Mail*. File the completed proof of service with the court clerk before the hearing date or bring it with you to the hearing.
- In addition to the response, you may file and have declarations served, signed by you and other persons who have personal knowledge of the facts. You may use form MC-030, *Declaration*, for this purpose. It is available from the clerk's office at the court shown on page 1 of this form or at *www.courts.ca.gov/forms*. If you do not know how to prepare a declaration, you should see a lawyer.
- Whether or not you file a response, you should attend the hearing. If you have any witnesses, they must also go to the hearing.
- At the hearing, the judge can make restraining orders against you that last for up to five years. Tell the judge why you disagree with the orders requested.

Instructions for Law Enforcement

Enforcing the Restraining Order

This order is enforceable by any law enforcement agency that has received the order, is shown a copy of the order, or has verified its existence on the California Restraining and Protective Orders System (CARPOS). If the law enforcement agency has not received proof of service on the restrained person, the agency must advise the restrained person of the terms of the order and then must enforce it. Violations of this order are subject to criminal penalties.

Start Date and End Date of Orders

This order *starts* on the date next to the judge's signature on page 4. The order *ends* on the expiration date in item ④ on page 1.

Arrest Required if Order Is Violated

If an officer has probable cause to believe that the restrained person had notice of the order and has disobeyed the order, the officer must arrest the restrained person. (Pen. Code, §§ 836(c)(1), 13701(b).) A violation of the order may be a violation of Penal Code section 166 or 273.6. Agencies are encouraged to enter violation messages into CARPOS.

Notice/Proof of Service

The law enforcement agency must first determine if the restrained person had notice of the order. Consider the restrained person "served" (given notice) if (Pen. Code, § 836(c)(2)):

- The officer sees a copy of the Proof of Service or confirms that the Proof of Service is on file; or
- The restrained person was informed of the order by an officer.

An officer can obtain information about the contents of the order and proof of service in CARPOS. If proof of service on the restrained person cannot be verified, the agency must advise the restrained person of the terms of the order and then enforce it.

This Is a Court Order.

If the Protected Person Contacts the Restrained Person

Even if the protected person invites or consents to contact with the restrained person, this order remains in effect and must be enforced. The protected person cannot be arrested for inviting or consenting to contact with the restrained person. The order can be changed only by another court order. (Pen. Code, § 13710(b).)

Conflicting Orders—Priorities for Enforcement

If more than one restraining order has been issued protecting the protected person from the restrained person, the orders must be enforced in the following priority (see Pen. Code, § 136.2 and Fam. Code, §§ 6383(h)(2), 6405(b)):

1. *Emergency Protective Order (EPO):* If one of the orders is an *Emergency Protective Order* (form EPO-001), provisions (e.g., stay-away order) that are more restrictive than in the other restraining/protective orders must be enforced. Provisions of another order that do not conflict with the EPO must be enforced.
2. *No-Contact Order:* If a restraining/protective order includes a no-contact order, the no-contact order must be enforced. Item 5a(2) is an example of a no-contact order.
3. *Criminal Protective Order (CPO):* If none of the orders include an EPO or a no-contact order, the most recent CPO must be enforced. (Fam. Code, §§ 6383(h)(2) and 6405(b).) Additionally, a CPO issued in a criminal case involving charges of domestic violence, Penal Code sections 261, 261.5, or former 262, or charges requiring sex offender registration must be enforced over any civil court order. (Pen. Code, § 136.2(e)(2).) All provisions in the civil court order that do not conflict with the CPO must be enforced.
4. *Civil Restraining Orders:* If there is more than one civil restraining order (e.g., domestic violence, juvenile, elder abuse, civil harassment), then the order that was issued last must be enforced. Provisions that do not conflict with the most recent civil restraining order must be enforced.

(Clerk will fill out this part.)

Clerk's Certificate
[seal]

—Clerk's Certificate—

I certify that this *Temporary Restraining Order* is a true and correct copy of the original on file in the court.

Date: SEP 0 8 2025 Clerk, by David W. Slayton _____, Deputy M. Diaz

This is a Court Order.

CH-115 Request to Continue Court Hearing

Instructions: Use this form to ask the court to reschedule the court date listed on *Notice of Court Hearing* (form CH-109). Read, *How to Ask for a New Hearing Date* (form CH-115-INFO), for more information.

Clerk stamps date here when form is filed.

FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles

DEC 04 2023

David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court
By: W. Acuna, Deputy

Fill in court name and street address:

Superior Court of California, County of
Pasadena Courthouse
300 E. Walnut Street
Pasadena, CA 91101

Fill in case number:

Case Number:
23PDR001713

(1) My Information

a. My name is: Brian Mr Rosenbaum

b. I am the:

(1) ☑ **Protected party** *(skip to (2))*.

(2) ☐ **Restrained party** *(give your contact information below)*.

Address where I can receive mail:

This address will be used by the court and other party to notify you in this case. If you want to keep your home address private, you can use another address like a post office box or another person's address, if you have their permission. If you have a lawyer, give your lawyer's address and contact information.

Address: _____

City: _____ State: ___ Zip: _____

My contact information *(optional)*:

Telephone: _____ Fax: _____

Email Address: _____

Lawyer's information *(skip if you do not have one)*:

Name: _____ State Bar No.: _____

Firm Name: _____

(2) Information About My Case

a. The other party in this case is *(full name)*: Arogant Hollywood

b. I have a court date currently scheduled for *(date)*: 12/4/23

This is not a Court Order.

Case Number: 23PDR001713

(3) Is a Temporary Restraining Order in effect?

☑ Yes. Date the order was made, if known: 11/14/23
Please attach a copy of the order if you have one.

☐ No.

☐ I don't know.

Notice: If the court date is rescheduled, the *Temporary Restraining Order* (form CH-110) will remain in effect until the end of the new court date unless otherwise ordered by the court.

(4) Why does the court date need to be rescheduled?

a. ☑ I am the person asking for protection, and I need more time to have the restrained party personally served.

b. ☐ I am the restrained party, and this is my first request to reschedule the court date.

c. ☐ Other reason: _____

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the information above is true and correct.

Date: 12/4/23

Brian Mark Rosenberg
Type or print your name

▶ _____
Sign your name

Date: _____

_____
Lawyer's name, if you have one

▶ _____
Lawyer's signature

This is not a Court Order.

For your protection and privacy, please press the Clear This Form button after you have printed the form.

Print this form | Save this form | Clear this form

CH-116 Order on Request to Continue Hearing

Clerk stamps date here when form is filed.

FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles

DEC 04 2023

David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court
By: W. Acuna, Deputy

Complete items (1) and (2) only.

(1) **Protected Party:** Brian Mark Rosenberg

(2) **Restrained Party:** Arogant Hollywood

The court will complete the rest of this form

Fill in court name and street address:

Superior Court of California, County of
Pasadena Courthouse
300 E. Walnut Street
Pasadena, CA 91101

Fill in case number:

Case Number:
23PRO01713

(3) Next Court Date

a. ☐ The request to reschedule the court date is denied.

Your court date is: _____

(1) Any *Temporary Restraining Order* (form CH-110) already granted stays in full force and effect until the next court date.

(2) Your court date is not rescheduled because: _____

b. ☑ The request to reschedule the court date is **granted**. Your court date is rescheduled for the day and time listed below. See (4)–(8) for more information.

Name and address of court, if different from above:

New Court Date → Date: 12/19/2023 Time: 8:30 AM
Dept.: S Room: _____

(4) Temporary Restraining Order

a. ☐ **There is no *Temporary Restraining Order* (TRO) in this case until the next court date because:.**

(1) ☐ A TRO was not previously granted by the court.

(2) ☐ The court terminates (cancels) the previously granted TRO because: _____

b. ☑ **A *Temporary Restraining Order* (TRO) is still in full force and effect because:**

(1) ☐ The court extends the TRO previously granted on *(date)* 11/14/2023
It now expires on *(date)*: 12/19/2023
(If no date is listed, the TRO expires at the end of the court date listed in 3b.)

(2) ☐ The court changes the TRO previously granted and signs a new TRO (form CH-110).

Warning and Notice to the Restrained Party:
If (4)b is checked, a civil harassment restraining order has been issued against you. You must follow the orders until they expire.

c. ☐ Other *(specify)*: _____

This is a Court Order.

Case Number:

(7) No Fee to Serve (Notify) Restrained Person ☑ Ordered ☐ Not Ordered

The sheriff or marshal will serve this order for free because:

a. ☑ The order is based on unlawful violence, a credible threat of violence, or stalking.

b. ☐ The person in (1) is entitled to a fee waiver.

(8) ☐ Other Orders

Date: DEC 04 2023

Judicial Officer
ROBERT K. HOLMES
JUDGE PRO TEM

Request for Accommodations

Assistive listening systems, computer-assisted real-time captioning, or sign language interpreter services are available if you ask at least five days before the hearing. Contact the clerk's office or go to www.courts.ca.gov/forms.htm for *Disability Accommodation Request* (form MC-410). (Civ. Code, § 54.8.)

Instructions to Clerk

If the hearing is rescheduled and the court extended, modified, or terminated a temporary restraining order, then the court must enter this order into CLETS or send this order to law enforcement to enter into CLETS. This must be done within one business day from the day the order is made.

—Clerk's Certificate—

Clerk's Certificate

I certify that this *Order on Request to Continue Hearing (Temporary Restraining Order) (CLETS-TCH)* is a true and correct copy of the original on file in the court.

Date: SEP 08 2025 Clerk, by David W. Slayton, Deputy M. Diaz

This is a Court Order.

For your protection and privacy, please press the Clear This Form button after you have printed the form.

Print this form | Save this form | Clear this form

CH-130 Civil Harassment Restraining Order After Hearing

Person in (1) must complete items (1), (2), and (3) only.

Clerk stamps date here when form is filed.

FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles

DEC 20 2023

David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court
By: J. Guerrero, Deputy

Fill in court name and street address:

Superior Court of California, County of

LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT
NORTHEAST DISTRICT - PASADENA COURTHOUSE
300 EAST WALNUT STREET
PASADENA, CALIFORNIA 91101-1580

Court fills in case number when form is filed.

Case Number: 23PDR00174

(1) Protected Person

a. Your Full Name: Brian Matt Rosenberg

Your Lawyer *(if you have one for this case)*
Name: _____ State Bar No.: _____
Firm Name: _____

b. Your Address *(If you have a lawyer, give your lawyer's information. If you do not have a lawyer and want to keep your home address private, you may give a different mailing address instead. You do not have to give telephone, fax, or email.)*
Address: 150 W Commonwealth Ave
City: Alhambra State: CA Zip: 91801
Telephone: 626 308 5437 Fax: _____
Email Address: brosenberg@da.lacounty.gov

(2) Restrained Person

(Give all the information you know. Information with a star (*) is required to add this order to the California police database. If age is unknown, give an estimate.)

*Full Name: Arogant Hollywood *Age: 44 Date of Birth: 12/9/78
*Race: Black Height: _____ Weight: _____ Hair Color: BLK Eye Color: BRO
*Gender: ☑ M ☐ F ☐ Nonbinary Home Address: Transient
City: _____ State: CA Zip: _____
Relationship to Protected Person: Defendant on case I prosecute

(3) ☐ Additional Protected Persons

In addition to the person named in (1), the following family or household members of that person are protected by the orders indicated below:

Full Name	Gender	Age	Lives with you?	How are they related to you?
_____	____	____	☐ Yes ☐ No	_____
_____	____	____	☐ Yes ☐ No	_____
_____	____	____	☐ Yes ☐ No	_____
_____	____	____	☐ Yes ☐ No	_____

☐ *Check here if there are additional persons. List them on an attached sheet of paper and write "Attachment 3—Additional Protected Persons" as a title. You may use form MC-025,* Attachment.

(4) Expiration Date

This Order, except for any award of lawyer's fees, expires at

Time: _____ ☐ a.m. ☐ p.m. ☒ midnight on *(date)*: 12/20/26

If no expiration date is written here, this Order expires three years from the date of issuance.

This is a Court Order.

Case Number: 23PDRO01713

(5) Hearing

a. There was a hearing on *(date)*: 12/20/23 at *(time)*: 8:30am in Dept.: S Room: _____
(Name of judicial officer): TIMOTHY MARTELLA made the orders at the hearing.

b. These people were at the hearing:

(1) ☑ The person in (1). (3) ☐ The lawyer for the person in (1) *(name)*: _____

(2) ☐ The person in (2). (4) ☐ The lawyer for the person in (2) *(name)*: _____

☐ Additional persons present are listed at the end of this Order on Attachment 5.

c. ☐ The hearing is continued. The parties must return to court on *(date)*: _____ at *(time)*: _____.

To the Person in (2):

The court has granted the orders checked below. If you do not obey these orders, you can be arrested and charged with a crime. You may be sent to jail for up to one year, pay a fine of up to $1,000, or both.

(6) ☑ Personal Conduct Orders

a. You must **not** do the following things to the person named in (1)

☐ and to the other protected persons listed in (3):

(1) ☑ Harass, intimidate, molest, attack, strike, stalk, threaten, assault (sexually or otherwise), hit, abuse, destroy personal property of, or disturb the peace of the person.

(2) ☑ Contact the person, either directly or indirectly, in any way, including, but not limited to, in person, by telephone, in writing, by public or private mail, by interoffice mail, by email, by text message, by fax, or by other electronic means.

(3) ☑ Take any action to obtain the person's address or location. If this item (3) is not checked, the court has found good cause not to make this order.

(4) ☐ Other *(specify)*:

☐ Other personal conduct orders are attached at the end of this Order on Attachment 6a(4).

b. Peaceful written contact through a lawyer or process server or other person for service of legal papers related to a court case is allowed and does not violate this Order.

(7) ☑ Stay-Away Orders

a. You **must** stay at least 100 yards away from *(check all that apply)*:

(1) ☑ The person in (1).

(2) ☐ Each person in (3).

(3) ☑ The home of the person in (1).

(4) ☐ The job or workplace of the person in (1).

(5) ☐ The school of the person in (1).

(6) ☐ The school of the children of the person in (1).

(7) ☐ The place of child care of the children of the person in (1).

(8) ☑ The vehicle of the person in (1).

(9) ☐ Other *(specify)*:

b. This stay-away order does not prevent you from going to or from your home or place of employment.

This is a Court Order.

Case Number:
23PO00171

(8) No Firearms (Guns), Firearm Parts, or Ammunition

a. You cannot own, possess, have, buy or try to buy, receive or try to receive, or in any other way get any prohibited items listed below in b.

b. **Prohibited items are:**

(1) Firearms (guns);

(2) Firearm parts, meaning receivers and frames, or any item that may be used as or easily turned into a receiver or frame (see Penal Code section 16531); and

(3) Ammunition.

c. If you have not already done so, you must:

- Within 24 hours of being served with this Order, sell to or store with a licensed gun dealer, or turn in to a law enforcement agency, any firearms (guns) and firearm parts in your custody or control or that you possess or own.
- File a receipt with the court within 48 hours of receiving this Order that proves that your firearms (guns) and firearm parts have been turned in, sold, or stored. (You may use *Receipt for Firearms and Firearm Parts* (form CH-800) for the receipt.)

d. ☐ The court has received information that you own or possess a firearm (gun), firearm parts, or ammunition.

e. ☐ The court has made the necessary findings and applies the firearm relinquishment exemption under Code of Civil Procedure section 527.9(f). Under California law, the person in (2) is not required to relinquish this firearm *(specify make, model, and serial number of firearm(s))*: _____

_____

The firearm must be in his or her physical possession only during scheduled work hours and during travel to and from his or her place of employment. Even if exempt under California law, the person in (2) may be subject to federal prosecution for possessing or controlling a firearm.

(9) ☐ Lawyer's Fees and Costs

The person in ___ must pay to the person in ___ the following amounts for

☐ lawyer's fees ☐ costs:

Item	Amount	Item	Amount
_____	$ _____	_____	$ _____
_____	$ _____	_____	$ _____

☐ Additional items and amounts are attached at the end of this Order on Attachment 9.

(10) ☐ Possession and Protection of Animals

a. ☐ The person in (1) is given the sole possession, care, and control of the animals listed below, which are owned, possessed, leased, kept, or held by him or her, or reside in his or her household.
(Identify animals by, e.g., type, breed, name, color, sex.)

_____

_____

b. ☐ The person in (2) must stay at least _____ yards away from, and not take, sell, transfer, encumber, conceal, molest, attack, strike, threaten, harm, or otherwise dispose of, the animals listed above.

This is a Court Order.

Case Number: 23FDR001713

(11) ☐ **Other Orders** *(specify):*

☐ Additional orders are attached at the end of this Order on Attachment 11.

To the Person in (1):

(12) **Mandatory Entry of Order Into CARPOS Through CLETS**

This Order must be entered into the California Restraining and Protective Order System (CARPOS) through the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS). *(Check one):*

a. ☑ The clerk will enter this Order and its proof-of-service form into CARPOS.

b. ☐ The clerk will transmit this Order and its proof-of-service form to a law enforcement agency to be entered into CARPOS.

c. ☐ By the close of business on the date that this Order is made, the person in (1) or his or her lawyer should deliver a copy of the Order and its proof-of-service form to the law enforcement agency listed below to enter into CARPOS:

Name of Law Enforcement Agency	Address *(City, State, Zip)*

☐ Additional law enforcement agencies are listed at the end of this Order on Attachment 12.

(13) **Service of Order on Restrained Person**

a. ☐ The person in (2) personally attended the hearing. No other proof of service is needed.

b. ☒ The person in (2) did not attend the hearing.

(1) ☑ Proof of service of form CH-110, *Temporary Restraining Order,* was presented to the court. The judge's orders in this form are the same as in form CH-110 except for the expiration date. The person in (2) must be served with this Order. Service may be by mail.

(2) ☐ The judge's orders in this form are different from the temporary restraining orders in form CH-110. Someone—but not anyone in (1) or (3)—must personally serve a copy of this Order on the person in (2).

(14) ☒ **No Fee to Serve (Notify) Restrained Person**

The sheriff or marshal will serve this Order without charge because:

a. ☒ The Order is based on unlawful violence, a credible threat of violence, or stalking.

b. ☐ The person in (1) is entitled to a fee waiver.

(15) Number of pages attached to this Order, if any: _____

Date: DEC 20 2023

Judicial Officer
ANTONIETTA MARTELLA

This is a Court Order.

Warning and Notice to the Restrained Person in (2):

You Cannot Have Firearms (Guns), Firearm Parts, or Ammunition

Unless item 8e is checked, you cannot own, have, possess, buy or try to buy, receive or try to receive, or otherwise get any prohibited items listed in item 8b on page 3 while this Order is in effect. If you do, you can go to jail and pay a $1,000 fine. You must sell to or store with a licensed gun dealer, or turn in to a law enforcement agency, any firearms (guns) and firearm parts that you have or control as stated in item (8) above. The court will require you to prove that you did so.

Instructions for Law Enforcement

Enforcing the Restraining Order

This Order is enforceable by any law enforcement agency that has received the Order, is shown a copy of the Order, or has verified its existence on the California Restraining and Protective Order System (CARPOS). If the law enforcement agency has not received proof of service on the restrained person, and the restrained person was not present at the court hearing, the agency must advise the restrained person of the terms of the Order and then must enforce it. Violations of this Order are subject to criminal penalties.

Start Date and End Date of Orders

This Order *starts* on the date next to the judge's signature on page 4 and *ends* on the expiration date in item (4) on page 1.

Arrest Required If Order Is Violated

If an officer has probable cause to believe that the restrained person had notice of the order and has disobeyed it, the officer must arrest the restrained person. (Pen. Code, §§ 836(c)(1), 13701(b).) A violation of the order may be a violation of Penal Code section 166 or 273.6. Agencies are encouraged to enter violation messages into CARPOS.

Notice/Proof of Service

The law enforcement agency must first determine if the restrained person had notice of the order. Consider the restrained person "served" (given notice) if (Pen. Code, § 836(c)(2)):

- The officer sees a copy of the *Proof of Service* or confirms that the *Proof of Service* is on file; *or*
- The restrained person was at the restraining order hearing or was informed of the order by an officer.

An officer can obtain information about the contents of the order and proof of service in CARPOS. If proof of service on the restrained person cannot be verified and the restrained person was not present at the court hearing, the agency must advise the restrained person of the terms of the order and then enforce it.

If the Protected Person Contacts the Restrained Person

Even if the protected person invites or consents to contact with the restrained person, this Order remains in effect and must be enforced. The protected person cannot be arrested for inviting or consenting to contact with the restrained person. The orders can be changed only by another court order. (Pen. Code, § 13710(b).)

This is a Court Order.

Conflicting Orders—Priorities for Enforcement

If more than one restraining order has been issued protecting the protected person from the restrained person, the orders must be enforced in the following priority (see Pen. Code, § 136.2 and Fam. Code, §§ 6383(h)(2), 6405(b)):

1. *Emergency Protective Order (EPO):* If one of the orders is an *Emergency Protective Order* (form EPO-001), provisions (e.g., stay-away order) that are more restrictive than in the other restraining/protective orders must be enforced. Provisions of another order that do not conflict with the EPO must be enforced.
2. *No-Contact Order:* If a restraining/protective order includes a no-contact order, the no-contact order must be enforced. Item 6a(2) is an example of a no-contact order.
3. *Criminal Protective Order (CPO):* If none of the orders include an EPO or a no-contact order, the most recent CPO must be enforced. (Fam. Code, §§ 6383(h)(2) and 6405(b).) Additionally, a CPO issued in a criminal case involving charges of domestic violence, Penal Code sections 261, 261.5, or former 262, or charges requiring sex offender registration must be enforced over any civil court order. (Pen. Code, § 136.2(e)(2).) All provisions in the civil court order that do not conflict with the CPO must be enforced.
4. *Civil Restraining Orders:* If there is more than one civil restraining order (e.g., domestic violence, juvenile, elder abuse, civil harassment), then the order that was issued last must be enforced. Provisions that do not conflict with the most recent civil restraining order must be enforced.

Clerk's Certificate
[seal]

(Clerk will fill out this part.)

—Clerk's Certificate—

I certify that this *Civil Harassment Restraining Order After Hearing* is a true and correct copy of the original on file in the court.

Date: SEP 08 2025 Clerk, by David W. Slayton M. Diaz, Deputy

This is a Court Order.

CH-100 Request for Civil Harassment Restraining Orders

Clerk stamps date here when form is filed.

FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles
NOV 14 2023
David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court
By: K. Cruz, Deputy

Read *Can a Civil Harassment Restraining Order Help Me? (form CH-100-INFO)* before completing this form. Also fill out *Confidential CLETS Information (form CLETS-001)* with as much information as you know.

Fill in court name and street address:

Superior Court of California, County of
LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT
ALHAMBRA COURTHOUSE
150 W. COMMONWEALTH AVE.
ALHAMBRA, CA 91801

Court fills in case number when form is filed.

Case Number: 23PDR00173

1 Person Seeking Protection

a. Your Full Name:
Brian Mark Rosenberg Age: 36
Your Lawyer *(if you have one for this case)*
Name: _____ State Bar No.: _____
Firm Name: _____

b. Your Address *(If you have a lawyer, give your lawyer's information. If you do not have a lawyer and want to keep your home address private, you may give a different mailing address instead. You do not have to give telephone, fax, or email.)*
Address: 150 West Commonwealth Ave
City: Alhambra State: CA Zip: 91801
Telephone: _____ Fax: _____
Email Address: brosenberg@da.lacounty.gov

2 Person From Whom Protection Is Sought

Full Name: Arogant Hollywood Age: ~~32~~ 41
Address *(if known)*: Transient
City: _____ State: CA Zip: _____

3 Additional Protected Persons

a. Are you asking for protection for any other family or household members? ☐ Yes ☒ No *If yes, list them:*

Full Name	Gender	Age	Lives with you?	How are they related to you?
			☐ Yes ☐ No	
			☐ Yes ☐ No	
			☐ Yes ☐ No	
			☐ Yes ☐ No	

☐ *Check here if there are more persons. Attach a sheet of paper and write "Attachment 3a—Additional Protected Persons" for a title. You may use form MC-025,* Attachment.

b. Why do these people need protection? *(Explain below):*

☐ *Check here if there is not enough space for your answer. Put your complete answer on the attached sheet of paper or form MC-025 and write "Attachment 3b—Why Others Need Protection" for a title.*

This is not a Court Order.

Case Number: 23PDR001713

(4) Relationship of Parties

How do you know the person in (2)? *(Explain below)*:

☐ *Check here if there is not enough space for your answer. Put your complete answer on the attached sheet of paper or form MC-025 and write "Attachment 4—Relationship of Parties" for a title.*

Hollywood is a Defendant on a matter I prosecuted

(5) Venue

Why are you filing in this county? *(Check all that apply)*:

a. ☒ The person in (2) lives in this county.

b. ☒ I was harassed by the person in (2) in this county.

c. ☐ Other *(specify)*: _____

(6) Other Court Cases

a. Have you or any of the persons named in (3) been involved in another court case with the person in (2)?

☒ Yes ☐ No *(If yes, check each kind of case and indicate where and when each was filed.)*

	Kind of Case	Filed in *(County/State)*	Year Filed	Case Number *(if known)*
(1)	☐ Civil Harassment			
(2)	☐ Domestic Violence			
(3)	☐ Divorce, Nullity, Legal Separation			
(4)	☐ Paternity, Parentage, Child Custody			
(5)	☐ Elder or Dependent Adult Abuse			
(6)	☐ Eviction			
(7)	☐ Guardianship			
(8)	☐ Workplace Violence			
(9)	☐ Small Claims			
(10)	☒ Criminal	Los Angeles, CA	2023	GA114055
(11)	☐ Other *(specify)*:			

b. Are there now any protective or restraining orders in effect relating to you or any of the persons in (3) and the person in (2)? ☐ No ☐ Yes *(If yes, attach a copy if you have one.)*

(7) Description of Harassment

Harassment means violence or threats of violence against you, or a course of conduct that seriously alarmed, annoyed, or harassed you and caused you substantial emotional distress. A course of conduct is more than one act.

a. Tell the court about the last time the person in (2) harassed you.

(1) When did it happen? *(provide date or estimated date)*: 11/13/2023

(2) Who else was there?

~~Last act of harrasment was via email, but attached to said email were numerous members of the Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, including my assigned investigator Billy Khounthavong.~~

This is not a Court Order.

Case Number: 23HDR00171Z

(7) a. (3) How did the person in (2) harass you? *(Explain below):*

☒ *Check here if there is not enough space for your answer. Put your complete answer on the attached sheet of paper or form MC-025 and write "Attachment 7a(3)—Describe Harassment" for a title.*

(4) Did the person in (2) use or threaten to use a gun or any other weapon?

☐ Yes ☑ No *(If yes, explain below):*

☐ *Check here if there is not enough space for your answer. Put your complete answer on the attached sheet of paper or form MC-025 and write "Attachment 7a(4)—Use of Weapons" for a title.*

(5) Were you harmed or injured because of the harassment?

☐ Yes ☑ No *(If yes, explain below):*

☐ *Check here if there is not enough space for your answer. Put your complete answer on the attached sheet of paper or form MC-025 and write "Attachment 7a(5)—Harm or Injury" for a title.*

(6) Did the police come? ☐ Yes ☑ No

If yes, did they give you or the person in (2) an Emergency Protective Order? ☐ Yes ☑ No

If yes, the order protects *(check all that apply):*

☐ Me ☐ The person in (2) ☐ The persons in (3).

(Attach a copy of the order if you have one.)

b. Has the person in (2) harassed you at other times?

☒ Yes ☐ No *(If yes, describe prior incidents and provide dates of harassment below):*

☐ *Check here if there is not enough space for your answer. Put your complete answer on the attached sheet of paper or form MC-025 and write "Attachment 7b—Previous Harassment" for a title.*

This is not a Court Order.

Case Number: 25PDRO01713

Check the orders you want. ☑

(8) ☑ Personal Conduct Orders

I ask the court to order the person in (2) **not** to do any of the following things to me or to any person to be protected listed in (3):

a. ☒ Harass, intimidate, molest, attack, strike, stalk, threaten, assault (sexually or otherwise), hit, abuse, destroy personal property of, or disturb the peace of the person.

b. ☒ Contact the person, either directly or indirectly, in **any** way, including, but not limited to, in person, by telephone, in writing, by public or private mail, by interoffice mail, by email, by text message, by fax, or by other electronic means.

c. ☐ Other *(specify):*

☐ *Check here if there is not enough space for your answer. Put your complete answer on the attached sheet of paper or form MC-025 and write "Attachment 8c—Other Personal Conduct Orders," for a title.*

The person in (2) will be ordered not to take any action to get the addresses or locations of any protected person unless the court finds good cause not to make the order.

(9) ☑ Stay-Away Orders

a. I ask the court to order the person in (2) to stay at least 100 yards away from *(check all that apply):*

(1) ☒ Me.
(2) ☐ The other persons listed in (3).
(3) ☐ My home.
(4) ☐ My job or workplace.
(5) ☐ My school.
(6) ☐ My children's school.
(7) ☐ My children's place of child care.
(8) ☐ My vehicle.
(9) ☐ Other *(specify):*

b. *If* the court orders the person in (2) to stay away from all the places listed above, will he or she still be able to get to his or her home, school, or job? ☒ Yes ☐ No *(If no, explain below):*

☐ *Check here if there is not enough space for your answer. Put your complete answer on the attached sheet of paper or form MC-025 and write "Attachment 9b—Stay-Away Orders," for a title.*

(10) Firearms (Guns), Firearm Parts, and Ammunition

Does the person in (2) own or possess any firearms (guns), firearm parts, or ammunition? This includes firearm receivers and frames, and any item that may be used as or easily turned into a receiver or frame (see Penal Code section 16531). ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ I don't know

If the judge grants a protective order, the person in (2) will be prohibited from owning, possessing, purchasing, receiving, or attempting to purchase or receive firearms (guns), firearm parts, and ammunition while the protective order is in effect. The person in (2) will also be ordered to turn in to law enforcement, or sell to or store with a licensed gun dealer, any firearms (guns) and firearm parts within their immediate possession or control.

This is not a Court Order.

Case Number: 23PDR001713

(11) ☒ Temporary Restraining Order

I request that a Temporary Restraining Order (TRO) be issued against the person in (2) to last until the hearing. I am presenting form CH-110, *Temporary Restraining Order*, for the court's signature together with this *Request*.

Has the person in (2) been told that you were going to go to court to seek a TRO against him or her?

☐ Yes ☑ No *(If you answered no, explain why below):*

☐ *Check here if there is not enough space for your answer. Put your complete answer on the attached sheet of paper or form MC-025 and write "Attachment 11—Temporary Restraining Order" for a title.*

I am afraid that if I contacted him regarding this request that Mr. Hollywood would engage in even more intimidating and harassing conduct.

(12) ☐ Request to Give Less Than Five Days' Notice of Hearing

You must have your papers personally served on the person in (2) at least five days before the hearing, unless the court orders a shorter time for service. (Form CH-200-INFO explains What Is "Proof of Personal Service"? *Form CH-200,* Proof of Personal Service, *may be used to show the court that the papers have been served.)*

If you want there to be fewer than five days between service and the hearing, explain why below:

☐ *Check here if there is not enough space for your answer. Put your complete answer on the attached sheet of paper or form MC-025 and write "Attachment 12—Request to Give Less Than Five Days' Notice" for a title.*

(13) ☒ No Fee for Filing or Service

a. ☑ There should be no filing fee because the person in (2) has used or threatened to use violence against me, has stalked me, or has acted or spoken in some other way that makes me reasonably fear violence.

b. ☑ The sheriff or marshal should serve (notify) the person in (2) about the orders for free because my request for orders is based on unlawful violence, a credible threat of violence, or stalking.

c. ☐ There should be no filing fee and the sheriff or marshal should serve the person in (2) for free because I am entitled to a fee waiver. *(You must complete and file form FW-001,* Application for Waiver of Court Fees and Costs *.)*

(14) ☐ Lawyer's Fees and Costs

I ask the court to order payment of my ☐ lawyer's fees ☐ Court costs.

The amounts requested are:

Item	Amount	Item	Amount
	$		$
	$		$
	$		$

☐ *Check here if there are more items. Put the items and amounts on the attached sheet of paper or form MC-025 and write "Attachment 14—Lawyer's Fees and Costs" for a title.*

This is not a Court Order.

Case Number: PDRU01713

(15) ☐ Possession and Protection of Animals

I ask the court to order the following:

a. ☐ That I be given the sole possession, care, and control of the animals listed below, which I own, possess, lease, keep, or hold, or which reside in my household.
(Identify animals by, e.g., type, breed, name, color, sex.)

I request sole possession of the animals because *(specify good cause for granting order)*:

☐ *Check here if there is not enough space for your answer. Put your complete answer on the attached sheet of paper or form MC-025 and write "Attachment 15a—Possession of Animals" for a title.*

b. ☐ That the person in (2) must stay at least _____ yards away from, and not take, sell, transfer, encumber, conceal, molest, attack, strike, threaten, harm, or otherwise dispose of, the animals listed above.

(16) ☐ Additional Orders Requested

I ask the court to make the following additional orders *(specify)*:

☐ *Check here if there is not enough space for your answer. Put your complete answer on the attached sheet of paper or form MC-025 and write "Attachment 16—Additional Orders Requested," for a title.*

(17) Number of pages attached to this form, if any: 106

Date: _____

_____ ▶ _____
Lawyer's name (if any) *Lawyer's signature*

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the information above and on all attachments is true and correct.

Date: 11/14/23

Brian Rosenberg ▶ _____
Type or print your name *Sign your name*

This is not a Court Order.

SHORT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER:
Attachment 7a(3)-Describe Harassment	23FDR001713

ATTACHMENT *(Number):* _____

(This Attachment may be used with any Judicial Council form.)

I received an email from Mr. Hollywood wherein he threatened to send me to federal prison and indicated that a "a series of emails are coming soon! Complete with all the evidence that you broke federal law by abusing your power and authority as peace officers and public officers of the court! Get Ready!!!!!!". In addition to threatening this series of emails, Hollywood also told the detective on our case that "you had better run away as fast you can fool." This is the latest in a string of harassing communications that I believe are only aimed at intimidating and annoying me. This matter was reported to LASD. URN: 923-11730-0522-217.

(If the item that this Attachment concerns is made under penalty of perjury, all statements in this Attachment are made under penalty of perjury.)

SHORT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER:
Attachment 7b-Previous Harassment	23PDRU01713

ATTACHMENT *(Number):* _____

(This Attachment may be used with any Judicial Council form.)

I am the assigned prosecutor for the case of People v. Arogant Hollywood, GA114055. Mr. Hollywood was previously charged in People v. Arogant Hollywood, GA113236, wherein after the proceedings, he threatened to sue the named prosecutor and ultimately contacted her and attempted to contact her family members that had nothing to do with that case and threatened to sue them. Said prosecutor is a colleague of mine and was scared by this behavior. I was assigned GA114055 at arraignment on 2/24/23. From the beginning of the case, Mr. Hollywood threatened to sue me in open court numerous times. He called me racist and frequently stated that he wouldn't just sue me but he'd find my entire family and sue them in any court he could, in any state he could.. He also called my work phone numerous times and threatened to sue me as well. He made additional threats to file state bar complaints against me as well. Additionally, Mr. Hollywood filed a motion in court wherein he threatened to sue myself and the judge for $14 million dollars (said motion was admitted as People's 2 during Defendant's preliminary hearing). A preliminary hearing was conducted on this matter on April 4, 2023 and April 5, 2023. Mr. Hollywood testified during this hearing. In this hearing, Mr. Hollywood admitted to being a vexatious litigant and admitted to intimidating people "all the time" by threatening to sue them. He further admitted to sending an email to the prior DA and her family because he was upset about the case she prosecuted. He stated "If I sue her family, she'll care. Ms. Lee's family's getting sued. I got her home address too." Mr. Hollywood additionally admitted to threatening to sue me "a lot." Stating further "that's what I do." Given his conduct with the prior DA, along with his conduct on this case, I believe that Mr. Hollywood uses these statements as a means of harassing and intimidating. This case was ultimately resolved on 10/17/23, where Mr. Hollywood pled no contest to the charge of PC 422 and was placed on formal probation. On 11/13/23, I received an email from Mr. Hollywood, threatening to send me to federal prison, and threatening to send me a series of emails. I reported this to LASD. Incident number: 923-11730-0522-217. Due to all this, I am fearful of my safety, along with the safety of my family, and believe that a protective order is necessary to secure that safety.

(If the item that this Attachment concerns is made under penalty of perjury, all statements in this Attachment are made under penalty of perjury.)

Brian Rosenberg


From: Arogant Hollywood <arogantmusicinc@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 13, 2023 1:19 AM
To: ruluna@lasd.org; atardy@lasd.org; bkhounthavong@lasd.org; rlewis@lasd.org; Brian Rosenberg; rakelrossi@gmail.com; rachel.rossi@usdoj.gov; AROGANTHOLLYWOODGENIUS@gmail.com
Subject: [External]DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, ROSSI, AND OTHER OFFICIALS WILL SEE TO IT THAT ROSENBERG AND KHOUNTHAVONG GO TO FEDERAL PRISION

A SERIES OF EMAILS ARE COMING SOON! COMPLETE WITH ALL THE EVIDENCE THAT YOU BROKE FEDERAL LAW BY ABUSING YOUR POWER AND AUTHORITY AS PEACE OFFICERS AND PUBLIC OFFICERS OF THE COURT!

GET READY!!!!!!!!



BECAUSE ROSSI AND THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IS GOING TO SEE TO IT THAT BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG, RICHARD LEWIS, AND BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG BECOME FEDERAL PRISONERS! GUARANTEED!!!!!!!




ROSSI! AMERICA IS LESS SAFE WITH BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG ON THE STREETS. ITS TIME FOR THE U.S. GOVERNMENT TO LOCK THIS FOOL UP AND DECERTIFY HIM!

HE IS A CROOKED COP THAT HAS BEEN FEDERALLY CHARGED AND UNDER INVESTIGATION FOR CONDUCTING SIMILAR CRIMINAL BEHAVIOR AND CONDUCT HE HAS IN MY CASE. LOCK THIS FOOL UP!

Investigations and Prosecutions

The Department of Justice ("The Department") vigorously investigates and, where the evidence permits, prosecutes allegations of Constitutional violations by law enforcement officers. The Department's investigations most often involve alleged uses of excessive force, but also include sexual misconduct, theft, false arrest, and deliberate indifference to serious medical needs or a substantial risk of harm to a person in custody. These cases typically involve police officers, jailers, correctional

BECAUSE ROSSI AND THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IS GOING TO SEE TO IT THAT BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG, RICHARD LEWIS, AND BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG BECOME FEDERAL PRISONERS! GUARANTEED!!!!!!!



ROSSI! AMERICA IS LESS SAFE WITH BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG ON THE STREETS. ITS TIME FOR THE U.S. GOVERNMENT TO LOCK THIS FOOL UP AND DECERTIFY HIM!

HE IS A CROOKED COP THAT HAS BEEN FEDERALLY CHARGED AND UNDER INVESTIGATION FOR CONDUCTING SIMILAR CRIMINAL BEHAVIOR AND CONDUCT HE HAS IN MY CASE. LOCK THIS FOOL UP!

Investigations and Prosecutions

The Department of Justice ("The Department") vigorously investigates and, where the evidence permits, prosecutes allegations of Constitutional violations by law enforcement officers. The Department's investigations most often involve alleged uses of excessive force, but also include sexual misconduct, theft, false arrest, and deliberate indifference to serious medical needs or a substantial risk of harm to a person in custody. These cases typically involve police officers, jailers, correctional

officers, probation officers, prosecutors, judges, and other federal, state, or local law enforcement officials. The Department's authority extends to all law enforcement conduct, regardless of whether an officer is on or off duty, so long as he/she is acting, or claiming to act, in his/her official capacity.

In addition to Constitutional violations, the Department prosecutes law enforcement officers for related instances of obstruction of justice. This includes attempting to prevent a victim or witnesses from reporting the misconduct, lying to federal, state, or local officials during the course of an investigation into the potential misconduct, writing a false report to conceal misconduct, or fabricating evidence.

The principles of federal prosecution, set forth in the United States Attorneys' Manual ("USAM"), require federal prosecutors to meet two standards in order to seek an indictment.

First, the government must be convinced that the potential defendant committed a federal crime. Second, the government must also conclude that the government would be likely to prevail at trial, where the government must prove the charges beyond a reasonable doubt.

To prove a violation of § 242, the government must prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt: (1) that the defendant deprived a victim of a right protected by the Constitution or laws of the United States, (2) that the defendant acted willfully, and (3) that the defendant was acting under color of law. A violation of § 242 is a felony if one of the following conditions is met: the defendant used, attempted to use, or threatened to use a dangerous weapon, explosive or fire; the victim suffered bodily injury; the defendant's actions included attempted murder, kidnapping or attempted kidnapping, aggravated sexual abuse or attempted aggravated sexual abuse, or the crime resulted in death. Otherwise, the violation is a misdemeanor.

Establishing the intent behind a Constitutional violation requires proof beyond a reasonable doubt that the law enforcement officer knew what he/she was doing was wrong and against the law and decided to do it anyway. Therefore, even if the government can prove beyond a reasonable doubt that an individual's Constitutional right was violated, § 242 requires that the government prove that the law enforcement officer intended to engage in the unlawful conduct and that he/she did so knowing that it was wrong or unlawful. *See Screws v. United States*, 325 U.S. 91, 101-107 (1945). Mistake, fear, misperception, or even poor judgment does not constitute willful conduct prosecutable under the statute.

WHILE LYING BILLY AND RACIST MARK ROSENBERG'S ACTIONS ARE A MISDEMEANOR UNDER FEDERAL LAW. THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE MUST WORK WITH THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE TO CRIMINALLY CHARGE ROSENBERG, LEWIS, AND KHOUNTHAVONG

PURSUANT TO VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 6200, WHICH IS A FELONY AND CARRY STATE PRISON TIME!

3-07/220.20 - California Department of Justice Admonishment

3-07/220.00 - Prohibitions

3-07/230.00 - Data Communications Management

As an employee of the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department, you may have access to confidential criminal record and/or Department of Motor Vehicles record information which is controlled by statute. This would include the information in the Los Angeles County Regional Identification System (LACRIS), including the facial recognition tools within LACRIS. Misuse of such information may adversely affect the individual's civil rights and violates the law. Penal Code section 502 prescribes the penalties relating to computer crimes. Penal Code sections 11105 and 13300 identify who has access to criminal history information and under what circumstances it may be released. Penal Code sections 11140-11144 and 13301-13305 prescribe penalties for misuse of criminal history information. Government Code section 6200 prescribes the felony penalties for misuse of public records and CLETS information. Penal Code sections 11142 and 13303 state:

> "Any person authorized by law to receive a record or information obtained from a record who knowingly furnishes the record or information to a person not authorized by law to receive the record or information is guilty of a misdemeanor."

California Vehicle Code section 1808.45 prescribes the penalties relating to misuse of Department of Motor Vehicles record information.

Any employee who is responsible for such misuse is subject to disciplinary action. Violations of this law may also result in criminal and/or civil actions.

PURSUANT TO VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 6200, WHICH IS A FELONY AND CARRY STATE PRISON TIME!'

3-07/220.20 - California Department of Justice Admonishment

3-07/220.00 - Prohibitions

3-07/230.00 - Data Communications Management

As an employee of the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department, you may have access to confidential criminal record and/or Department of Motor Vehicles record information which is controlled by statute. This would include the information in the Los Angeles County Regional Identification System (LACRIS), including the facial recognition tools within LACRIS. Misuse of such information may adversely affect the individual's civil rights and violates the law. Penal Code section 502 prescribes the penalties relating to computer crimes. Penal Code sections 11105 and 13300 identify who has access to criminal history information and under what circumstances it may be released. Penal Code sections 11140-11144 and 13301-13305 prescribe penalties for misuse of criminal history information. Government Code section 6200 prescribes the felony penalties for misuse of public records and CLETS information. Penal Code sections 11142 and 13303 state:

> "Any person authorized by law to receive a record or information obtained from a record who knowingly furnishes the record or information to a person not authorized by law to receive the record or information is guilty of a misdemeanor."

California Vehicle Code section 1808.45 prescribes the penalties relating to misuse of Department of Motor Vehicles record information.

Any employee who is responsible for such misuse is subject to disciplinary action. Violations of this law may also result in criminal and/or civil actions.

BILLY! YOU HAD BETTER RUN AWAY AS FAST YOU CAN FOOL!



Arogant Hollywood, *Esq.*
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106

Arogant Hollywood

CAUTION: This email originated outside of the County (lacounty.gov domain). Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and expect the message.

CAUTION: This email originated outside of the County (lacounty.gov domain). Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and expect the message.

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPT. 1 HON. MICHAEL VILLALOBOS, JUDGE

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, PLAINTIFF, VS. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, DEFENDANT.	)	NO. GA114055

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

PRELIMINARY HEARING - DAY 2

APRIL 5, 2023

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE PEOPLE: GEORGE GASCON, DISTRICT ATTORNEY
BY: BRIAN ROSENBERG, DEPUTY
211 WEST TEMPLE, SUITE 200
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012

FOR THE DEFENDANT: IN PROPRIA PERSONA

HTA: APRIL 19, 2023
DEPARTMENT NO.: 1

ADRIANA MONTANEZ, CSR NO. 12767
OFFICIAL REPORTER



APR 12 2023

M A S T E R I N D E X

CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX OF WITNESSES

APRIL 5, 2023

PEOPLE'S WITNESSES:	PAGE
JENNIFER HEGER	
CROSS-EXAMINATION (RESUMED)	5
BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG	
DIRECT EXAMINATION	21
CROSS-EXAMINATION	30

DEFENSE WITNESSES:	PAGE
AROGANT HOLLYWOOD	
DIRECT EXAMINATION	43
CROSS-EXAMINATION	56
REDIRECT EXAMINATION	75

MASTER INDEX

EXHIBITS

APRIL 5, 2023

PEOPLE'S	FOR IDENTIFICATION PAGE	IN EVIDENCE PAGE
1 FLASH DRIVE	--	41
2 DOCUMENT	61	--

DEFENSE:	FOR IDENTIFICATION PAGE	IN EVIDENCE PAGE
A DOCUMENT	--	81
B TRANSCRIPT	--	81

CASE NUMBER: GA114055.
CASE NAME: PEOPLE VS. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA APRIL 5, 2023
DEPT. 1 HON. MICHAEL VILLALOBOS, JUDGE
REPORTER: ADRIANA MONTANEZ, CSR NO. 12767
TIME: P.M. SESSION
APPEARANCES:

DEFENDANT, AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, IN PROPRIA PERSONA; BRIAN ROSENBERG, DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY REPRESENTING THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

THE COURT: ON THE RECORD ON AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, CASE NUMBER ENDING 4055. THE DEFENDANT IS PRESENT, SELF-REPRESENTED AND THE PEOPLE ARE REPRESENTED BY MR. ROSENBERG. BEFORE WE BRING THE WITNESS IN, IS THERE ANYTHING YOU WISH TO ADDRESS, MR. ROSENBERG?

MR. ROSENBERG: JUST AS MR. HOLLYWOOD CAME OUT RIGHT NOW, HE BOTH MAD-DOGGED MYSELF AND THE DETECTIVE SHOUTING AT US THAT HE'S GOING TO TESTIFY AND SHOW US THE TRUTH. AGAIN, WE'RE AT THIS POINT WHERE HE'S MAKING SNIDE COMMENTS AT US, ACTING INAPPROPRIATELY FOR A PRO PER. SO I WANT TO PUT HIM ON NOTICE AGAIN BASED ON MY MOTION YESTERDAY AFTERNOON THAT IF THAT TYPE OF STUFF CONTINUES, I'M GOING TO RENEW THAT MOTION TO REVOKE HIS PRO PER STATUS.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: HE CAN KEEP TALKING. I TALKED TO GARRISON, HIS BOSS, AND I TOLD HIM THAT, BASED ON THE PERJURY STATEMENTS THAT SHE MADE ON THE RECORD, AND HE'S A WITNESS TO,

THAT SHE SHOULD BE CHARGED WITH FELONY PERJURY. THIS IS A CRIMINAL PROCEEDING. I'M FACING STATE TIME IN PRISON. MY FREEDOM HAS BEEN TAKEN AWAY FOR 40 DAYS. I ALSO WANT TO PUT YOU ON NOTICE UNDER 18 USC SECTION 371 WHICH IS WHAT THIS MAN WAS CHARGED FOR IN 2015 THAT JENNIFER HEGER COULD ALSO BE FACING A FEDERAL OFFENSE. SHE'S MADE NUMEROUS FALSE STATEMENTS.

SHE'S MADE FALSE STATEMENTS TO MR. ROSENBERG. AND I DON'T KNOW IF HE'S PREPARED TO PUT HIM ON THE STAND. BUT IF HE GOES ON THE STAND, I'M GOING TO CROSS. AND THEN THERE'S GOING TO BE FURTHER IMPEACHMENT OF HER. BUT I DON'T KNOW IF HE WANTS TO PUT -- I'M SO UPSET ABOUT THIS CASE THAT I'M TESTIFYING AND ALISON IS GOING TO TESTIFY. SO I DON'T THINK WE'RE GOING TO GET DONE TODAY.

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD. TWO THINGS --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: AND I --

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD, QUIET. FIRST OF ALL, THIS CASE IS NOT ABOUT ANYBODY ELSE BUT YOU, WHETHER YOU COMMITTED A CRIME ON THE DATE IN QUESTION; FEBRUARY 21ST, 2023. IT'S NOT ABOUT ANYBODY ELSE. THERE WILL BE NO OTHER -- THERE'S NOTHING GOING TO BE PRESENTED ABOUT WHAT THE WITNESS MAY OR MAY NOT HAVE SAID AS TO ALLEGATIONS OF PERJURY AND ALL THAT. THAT'S NUMBER 1.

NUMBER 2, I DO EXPECT YOU TO BEHAVE TODAY. SO YOU NEED TO MAKE SURE THAT YOU JUST ASK YOUR QUESTIONS, COMPLETE YOUR CROSS-EXAMINATION OF THE WITNESS, AND JUST ASK THE QUESTIONS, AND THAT'S IT. NO EDITORIALS. NO THREATS. NO SNIDE REMARKS. NO LOOKS OF WHATEVER. SO DO YOU UNDERSTAND?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: RIGHT. I DIDN'T KNOW IT WAS SUCH A -- HE'S TALKING ABOUT MAD-DOGGING. I DON'T KNOW WHAT HE'S TALKING ABOUT. I'M UPSET ABOUT THIS CASE. ALL I SAID TO HIM WAS I'M GOING TO TESTIFY. I DIDN'T SAY ANYTHING ELSE.

THE COURT: THAT'S FINE. YOU WANT TO BE MAD, UPSET THAT'S --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO.

THE COURT: WAIT A MINUTE. THAT'S YOUR PREROGATIVE. BUT IN A COURT OF LAW, YOU'RE EXPECTED TO FOLLOW THE RULES OF EVIDENCE, THE RULES OF PROCEDURE AND DECORUM, OKAY.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YEAH, YEAH. BUT I'M SAYING, I DON'T FEEL I DID ANYTHING WRONG BY TELLING HIM AND THIS GUY I'M GOING TO TESTIFY. MAYBE THEY'RE BEING -- I'M JUST PUTTING THEM ON NOTICE. ALISON'S GOING TO TESTIFY.

THE COURT: WELL, THAT'S UP TO YOU. YOU'RE FREE TO DO WHAT YOU -- ALTHOUGH BEFORE YOU CALL A WITNESS, OTHER THAN YOURSELF, I WANT TO THE HEAR WHAT IT'S ABOUT. BUT WE'LL CROSS THAT BRIDGE WHEN WE GET TO IT. WE'RE GOING TO RESUME THIS --

MR. ROSENBERG: AT THIS POINT, I HAVE TO MAKE A MOTION TO EXCLUDE. SHE'S BEEN SITTING IN THE COURT THIS WHOLE TIME IF SHE'S GOING TO BE A WITNESS.

THE COURT: YOU KNOW, I HAVEN'T EVEN HEARD WHAT SHE WOULD BE TESTIFYING TO. SO I DON'T KNOW WHETHER SHE'S EVEN GOING TO BE ALLOWED TO TESTIFY. I THINK IT'S A LITTLE LATE FOR THAT SO --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: IF I CAN ENLIGHTEN THE COURT WHAT SHE'S GOING TO TESTIFY TO IS NOTHING TO DO WHAT JENNIFER HEGER'S TESTIFIED TO. ALISON WAS GOING TO TESTIFY --

THE COURT: STOP RIGHT THERE. IT HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH THIS CASE?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: IT HAS SOMETHING TO DO WITH THIS CASE, BUT IT HAS TO DO WITH MY TESTIMONY MORE THAN HER'S. SO SHE WOULD TESTIFY AS TO WHERE SHE WAS SUPPOSED TO MEET ME ON THE DAY THAT I WAS ARRESTED. I HAD PLANS TO MEET HER. WE WERE SUPPOSED TO GO SERVE THE LAWSUITS AND THAT'S GOING TO BE PART OF MY TESTIMONY.

THE COURT: WHY IS THAT RELEVANT?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: IT'S RELEVANT BECAUSE IT SHOWS THAT I WAS TRYING TO GET OUT OF THE HOUSE TO GO SOMEWHERE. IT DOESN'T MAKE ANY SENSE.

THE COURT: I'M NOT SURE THAT YOUR PLANS TO GO SOMEWHERE IN THE FUTURE IS REALLY THAT RELEVANT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: OKAY.

THE COURT: BECAUSE WE'RE NOT TALKING ABOUT YOUR PLANS IN THE FUTURE. WE'RE TALKING ABOUT WHAT YOU ALLEGEDLY DID AT THE HOUSE THAT PARTICULAR DAY. WHETHER YOU WERE INTENDING TO LEAVE THAT DAY OR NOT OR GO SOMEWHERE ELSE IS NOT TOO RELEVANT TO THE CASE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: OKAY, WELL HE CAN OBJECT TO THAT. BUT SHE TESTIFIED TO THAT. SHE ALSO TESTIFIED ABOUT AT THE BEGINNING OF HOW WE MET; THAT WAS ALL FALSE. I WANT TO SET THE RECORD STRAIGHT. I NEED TO TESTIFY IN THIS CASE. IT'S NOT LIKE THE OTHER CASE.

THE COURT: WELL, YOU'LL BE ALLOWED TO TESTIFY.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THAT'S FINE. ALISON DOESN'T NEED TO TESTIFY. IF YOU'RE SAYING THAT, BASED ON WHAT I JUST SAID,

THERE'S NO REASON FOR HER TO TESTIFY BECAUSE HE'S PROBABLY GOING TO OBJECT, AND SAY IT'S NOT RELEVANT.

THE COURT: I THINK WE'RE READY.

MR. ROSENBERG: YES, YOUR HONOR.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: WE'LL LIMIT IT TO MYSELF. AS FAR AS CROSS-EXAMINATION, I GOT ABOUT 20 MORE QUESTIONS. I'M GOING TO BE QUICK. I'M NOT GOING TO BE BADGER THE WITNESS. I FEEL THAT I GOT ENOUGH YESTERDAY. SO I DON'T NEED --

THE COURT: OKAY, THAT'S GOOD.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: -- I DON'T NEED TO DO A WHOLE LOT MORE.

THE COURT: LET'S STAY FOCUSED ON THAT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YEAH. ONCE SHE'S DONE TESTIFYING, I'M GOING TO MAKE ARGUMENTS ON THE SECOND 911 TAPE.

THE COURT: HOLD ON, HOLD ON. ALL RIGHT, ONCE AGAIN WE'RE BACK ON THE PRELIMINARY HEARING MATTER. OUR WITNESS, JENNIFER, HAS RESUMED THE STAND. MS. JENNIFER, I REMIND YOU YOU ARE STILL UNDER OATH. AND, MR. HOLLYWOOD, YOU MAY RESUME YOUR CROSS-EXAMINATION.

JENNIFER HEGER,

HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY DULY SWORN, RESUMED THE STAND AND TESTIFIED FURTHER AS FOLLOWS:

CROSS-EXAMINATION (RESUMED)

Q. BY MR. HOLLYWOOD: YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT YOU FOLLOWED HOLLYWOOD INTO THE KITCHEN, CORRECT?

A. I'M SORRY. CAN YOU REPEAT THE QUESTION PLEASE? I

CAN BARELY HEAR YOU.

Q. YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT YOU FOLLOWED HOLLYWOOD INTO THE KITCHEN, CORRECT?

MR. ROSENBERG: VAGUE AS TO TIME OBJECTION.

THE COURT: I'M SORRY.

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, VAGUE AS TO TIME.

THE COURT: YEAH, TRY TO NARROW IT AS TO WHAT TIME PERIOD YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: WE'RE TALKING ABOUT SHE SAID SHE WENT -- SHE TESTIFIED THAT SHE FOLLOWED ME INTO THE KITCHEN. SO I'M ASKING HER THAT QUESTION ABOUT HER TESTIMONY EARLIER; THAT'S WHAT THE QUESTION IS.

THE COURT: FIRST OF ALL, IT ASSUMES A FACT THAT SHE FOLLOWED YOU. WHY DON'T YOU ASK HER THAT FIRST.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: OKAY, I DID. SO YOU WANT -- OKAY. DO YOU REMEMBER TESTIFYING THAT YOU FOLLOWED HOLLYWOOD INTO THE KITCHEN?

A. I DIDN'T FOLLOW YOU INTO THE KITCHEN.

Q. DO YOU REMEMBER TESTIFYING THAT YOU FOLLOWED HOLLYWOOD INTO THE KITCHEN? YES OR NO?

A. AGAIN, I DIDN'T FOLLOW YOU INTO THE KITCHEN AND THAT WAS NOT MY TESTIMONY.

Q. IT WASN'T YOUR TESTIMONY, OKAY. ALL RIGHT. YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT AFTER FOLLOWING HOLLYWOOD INTO THE KITCHEN YOU ASKED HIM, "WHAT THE FUCK ARE YOU STILL DOING IN MY KITCHEN STILL, YOU ASSHOLE?" DID YOU TESTIFY TO THAT?

A. AGAIN, I'M GOING TO REMIND YOU I DID NOT FOLLOW YOU INTO THE KITCHEN.

THE COURT: SO REPHRASE THE QUESTION. IF YOU'RE INTERESTED IN THE SECOND PART, JUST ASK THAT PART.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: ALL RIGHT, YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT YOU SAW HOLLYWOOD IN YOUR KITCHEN, AND YOU SAID, "WHAT THE FUCK ARE YOU STILL DOING IN MY KITCHEN STILL, YOU ASSHOLE"?

A. YES, I ABSOLUTELY 100 PERCENT SAID THAT TO YOU.

Q. YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT WHEN YOU ASKED AND --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: SO I JUST WANT TO SAY SOMETHING. I WAS GETTING BAD RAP. BUT YOU SEE WHAT SHE'S DOING TOO ON THE STAND SHE CONTINUES.

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, NO QUESTION PENDING.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: BUT SHE'S MAKING A GO TOO. SHE'S GETS ME UPSET.

Q. YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT WHEN YOU ASKED HOLLYWOOD WHILE YOU WERE STILL IN YOUR KITCHEN, SHE WAS HOLDING A STEAK KNIFE?

A. CORRECT, 100 PERCENT.

Q. YEAH, WHATEVER.

THE COURT: YOU NEED TO ANSWER YES OR NO.

THE WITNESS: SORRY.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER -- YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT, AFTER HOLLYWOOD MADE CRIMINAL THREATS TO YOU, YOU CALLED 911 A SECOND TIME AND SET OFF THE ALARM, CORRECT?

A. YES.

Q. ISN'T IT TRUE YOU TOLD 911 YOU SET OFF YOUR ALARM DURING YOUR FIRST 911 CALL, ISN'T THAT TRUE?

A. I DON'T RECALL.

Q. YOU DON'T RECALL. YOU RECALL TESTIFYING THAT ON YOUR SECOND CALL YOU SET OFF THE ALARM? YOU RECALL THAT, RIGHT, BUT YOU DON'T RECALL THE FIRST, RIGHT?

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, ARGUMENTATIVE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I DON'T CARE. I HAVE SOMETHING TO REFRESH YOUR MEMORY. HERE YOU GOO.

THE COURT: HOLD ON. WE DON'T -- WHY DON'T YOU ASK THE QUESTION. SO YOU ASKED A QUESTION ABOUT THE SECOND 911 CALL. ASK THAT AGAIN.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: YOU SAID YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT HOLLYWOOD MADE CRIMINAL THREATS TO YOU. YOU CALLED 911 ON THE SECOND CALL AND SET OFF THE ALARM, CORRECT?

A. CAN YOU REPEAT THE QUESTION?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'LL LET THE COURT REPEAT THE QUESTION, THE JUDGE. WANT ME TO TELL HER THE QUESTION?

THE COURT: YES, PLEASE REPEAT IT.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT AFTER HOLLYWOOD MADE CRIMINAL THREATS TO YOU, YOU CALLED 911 ON THE SECOND -- ON THE SECOND TIME AND SET OFF THE ALARM; THAT WAS YOUR TESTIMONY EARLIER, CORRECT?

A. CORRECT.

Q. RIGHT. SO ISN'T IT TRUE YOU TOLD 911 YOU SET OFF THE ALARM DURING THE FIRST 911 CALL?

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, ASKED AND ANSWERED.

THE WITNESS: I DON'T RECALL.

THE COURT: THAT WAS ASKED AND ANSWERED BY THE WAY.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: OKAY. I MEAN IT'S ASKED AND ANSWERED,

BUT, WELL, WHAT DO YOU WANT ME TO DO, REPHRASE THE QUESTION? I MEAN OKAY, ALL RIGHT.

Q. DO YOU -- DO YOU RECALL TESTIFYING 911, THAT YOU SET OFF THE ALARM DURING THE FIRST CALL?

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, ASKED AND ANSWERED.

THE COURT: THAT IS ASKED AND ANSWERED. SHE SAID SHE DOESN'T RECALL.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: OKAY, WELL, I HAVE SOMETHING TO REFRESH HER MEMORY.

THE COURT: AND WHAT PAGE IS THAT?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YOU KNOW IT'S NOT PAGINATED.

THE COURT: UP IN THE TOP-RIGHT CORNER.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO, IT'S THE 911.

THE COURT: OKAY.

MR. ROSENBERG: HOW ABOUT IF WE DON'T HAVE PAGES, WHY DOESN'T HE MARK THE ENTIRE TRANSCRIPT OF THE 911 CALL.

THE COURT: THAT WOULD BE HELPFUL.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'LL DO THAT RIGHT NOW. WHAT I HAVE IS LITERALLY THREE, FOUR PAGES, BUT I'LL DO THAT RIGHT NOW. I DON'T EVEN THINK I EVEN HAVE THE WHOLE THING. I DIDN'T BRING IT.

THE COURT: SO YOU HAVE A 911 CALL. HOW MANY PAGES IS IT?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THE ONE I HAVE -- ALL I HAVE IS, LIKE, WITH ME, I HAVE LIKE THREE PAGES OF IT. I DIDN'T BRING THE WHOLE THING. I DON'T THINK I HAVE THE WHOLE THING.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, YOU WANT TO MARK IT?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: BECAUSE I ONLY -- I DON'T WANT TO ADMIT

THE WHOLE THING. I JUST WANT TO ADMIT THIS ONE PAGE.

THE COURT: LET'S MARK THAT ONE PAGE. NOW, WE ALREADY HAVE ONE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I THINK WE DO.

THE CLERK: WE HAVE ONE. YES, WE HAVE DEFENSE B.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: CAN YOU TELL ME WHAT'S AT THE TOP OF THAT PAGE PLEASE?

THE COURT: DEFENSE B IT STARTS AT THE TOP, "DISPATCH JENNIFER HAS THERE BEEN ANY CHANGES?"

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YEAH.

THE COURT: IS THAT THE PAGE YOU WANT TO SHOW HER?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO, IT'S THE ONE ABOUT THE ALARM. IT'S THE ONE ABOUT THE ALARM ON THAT ONE.

THE COURT: OH, IN THE MIDDLE WHERE IT SAYS, "DISPATCH YOU SET OFF THE ALARM, RIGHT?"

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THERE YOU GO. SO YOU DO HAVE THE PAGE; THAT'S THE PAGE I DON'T HAVE. I HAVE ONE, TWO --

THE COURT: FOR THE RECORD, I'M SHOWING THE WITNESS. FOR PURPOSES OF FOUNDATION, DIDN'T WE SAY YESTERDAY THAT THIS IS THE FROM THE 911 CALL, THE TRANSCRIPT FROM THE FIRST 911 CALL?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: FIRST CALL, CORRECT.

MR. ROSENBERG: YES.

THE COURT: RIGHT, YES. OKAY. SO HERE'S FIRST 911 CALL THE LAST PAGE DEFENSE B. DONE?

THE WITNESS: YES.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, GO AHEAD AND ASK YOUR QUESTION.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'M NOT GOING TO ASK THE QUESTION. THIS IS FOR THE COURT. SHE JUST COMMITTED PERJURY AND THAT'S GOING

TO BE IN ARGUMENT. SO THAT'S IT. I WANT TO ADMIT THAT AS AN EXHIBIT. IT'S ALREADY ADMITTED AS AN EXHIBIT. IT SAYS ON THERE THAT SHE SET OFF THE ALARM DURING THE FIRST CALL. SHE COMMITTED PERJURY ON THE STAND.

THE COURT: YOU HAVEN'T ASKED HERE A QUESTION YET.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I DID. I ASKED HER A QUESTION EARLIER. I SAID, "YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT AFTER HOLLYWOOD MADE CRIMINAL THREATS TO YOU, YOU CALLED 911 THE SECOND TIME AND SET OFF THE ALARM." AND SHE SAID "YES." AND IT'S RIGHT THERE IT WAS THE FIRST CALL. SHE COMMITTED PERJURY. I ASKED HER ABOUT THE SECOND CALL.

THE COURT: WAIT A MINUTE. YOU HAVE SHOWN HER THIS EXHIBIT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: RIGHT.

THE COURT: OR I SHOWED HER THE EXHIBIT. THAT'S TO REFRESH HER MEMORY AS TO WHAT HER TESTIMONY IS FROM THE FIRST CALL. SO SHE'S REFRESHED HER MEMORY NOW, SO DO YOU WANT TO ASK THE QUESTION AGAIN?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO, BECAUSE SHE'S ALREADY COMMITTED PERJURY. I WANT TO MOVE ON.

THE COURT: OKAY, MOVE ON.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: SHE ALREADY COMMITTED PERJURY SO IT DOESN'T MATTER. NEXT QUESTION.

Q. YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT HOLLYWOOD MADE CRIMINAL THREATS TO YOU IN BETWEEN THE FIRST AND THE SECOND 911 CALL, CORRECT?

A. CORRECT.

Q. YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT HOLLYWOOD STATED, "TELL

THAT CHINK TO PULL HER CAR IN FRONT OF YOUR HOUSE," CORRECT?

A. CORRECT.

Q. YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT YOU RAN INTO THE HALLWAY ALMOST RIGHT AWAY AFTER SEEING HOLLYWOOD WITH A KNIFE, CORRECT?

A. COULD YOU REPEAT THE QUESTION?

Q. YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT YOU RAN TO THE HALLWAY ALMOST RIGHT AWAY AFTER SEEING HOLLYWOOD WITH A KNIFE?

A. YES.

Q. YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT A SHORT TIME LATER, YOU CAME BACK TO THE KITCHEN TO SEE IF HOLLYWOOD WAS STILL THERE, CORRECT?

A. NO.

Q. WHAT DID YOU TESTIFY TO?

A. I TESTIFIED -- COULD YOU REPEAT THE QUESTION?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO. SHE COMMITTED PERJURY. I'LL MOVE ON.

MR. ROSENBERG: YOUR HONOR, WOULD THE COURT PLEASE ADMONISH HIM TO STOP BADGERING THE WITNESS.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO. SHE COMMITTED PERJURY. I'M STATING IT ON THE RECORD. I'M NOT BADGERING HER. I'M TALKING TO THE COURT.

MR. ROSENBERG: I WASN'T TALKING TO YOU. I WAS TALKING TO THE COURT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: AND I'M TALKING TO THE COURT. SHE COMMITTED PERJURY. I'M MOVING TO THE NEXT QUESTION.

THE COURT: AGAIN, MR. HOLLYWOOD, WE DO NOT NEED THE EDITORIALS.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO, NO, BUT I WANT TO SAY FOR THE RECORD THAT SHE COMMITTED PERJURY.

THE COURT: YOU'LL HAVE YOUR OPPORTUNITY TO MAKE YOUR ARGUMENT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO, BUT I WANT TO MAKE IT RIGHT NOW. I WANT TO --

THE COURT: YOU DON'T NEED TO MAKE IT NOW. IT'S NOT ALLOWED.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'M NOT ALLOWED TO SAY SHE'S COMMITTING PERJURY.

THE COURT: NOT AT THIS STAGE. YOU'RE ASKING QUESTIONS.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'M ASKING QUESTIONS, BUT SHE COMMITTED PERJURY. IF I WAS ON THE STAND AND I COMMITTED --

THE COURT: KEEP IT TO YOURSELF THAT'S WHAT I'M SAYING. IT'S NOT THE APPROPRIATE TIME.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: ALL RIGHT.

Q. DO YOU RECALL MAKING ADDITIONAL STATEMENTS TO ROSENBERG YESTERDAY AFTERNOON REGARDING YOU POSSESSING THE KNIFE?

A. ADDITIONAL STATEMENTS?

Q. DO YOU RECALL MAKING ADDITIONAL STATEMENTS TO ROSENBERG DURING THE LUNCH BREAK YESTERDAY REGARDING YOU POSSESSING THE KNIFE?

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, VAGUE AS TO TIME.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: IT ALREADY HAS VALIDATION. ROSENBERG SAID ON THE RECORD --

THE COURT: HE'S NOT TALKING ABOUT THAT TIME.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'M TALKING ABOUT DURING THE BREAK.

MR. ROSENBERG: I'M SAYING YOU'RE CONFUSING HER.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'M NOT CONFUSING HER. SHE'S NOT TELLING THE TRUTH; THAT'S WHY SHE'S ACTING LIKE THAT.

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD, ONCE AGAIN.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO, NO. I'M NOT TALKING TO HER. I'M TALKING TO THE COURT.

THE COURT: NO, YOU'RE NOT TALKING TO ME BECAUSE I DON'T WANT TO HEAR THAT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: ALL RIGHT, ALL RIGHT.

THE COURT: OKAY, SO I BELIEVE THERE WAS A DISCUSSION YESTERDAY BETWEEN THE WITNESS AND MR. ROSENBERG REGARDING THE KNIFE, RIGHT?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YES.

THE COURT: OKAY, AND HE'S ASKING DO YOU REMEMBER THAT CONVERSATION?

THE WITNESS: YES, I DO.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: ALL RIGHT.

THE COURT: GO AHEAD.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: DID YOU TELL ROSENBERG THAT YOU GRABBED THE KNIFE FROM YOUR KITCHEN DURING YOUR CONFRONTATION WITH HOLLYWOOD?

THE COURT: DURING THE CONFRONTATION WITH HOLLYWOOD?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YEAH, HE SAID THAT SHE GRABBED THE KNIFE AND RAN OUTSIDE.

MR. ROSENBERG: AFTER.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I WAS IN THE KITCHEN.

THE COURT: REPHRASE YOUR QUESTION.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: ALL RIGHT.

Q. DID YOU TELL ROSENBERG YOU GRABBED THE KNIFE FROM THE KITCHEN -- FROM THE KITCHEN AROUND THE SAME TIME AS THE INCIDENT?

A. IT WAS AFTER YOU HAD BEEN ARRESTED AND HAD LEFT THE SCENE.

Q. SO IT WAS AFTER I HAD BEEN ARRESTED, REALLY? SO DIDN'T YOU TELL ROSENBERG THAT YOU APPROACHED THE FRONT DOOR WITH THE KNIFE IN YOUR HAND, THREW IT OUT IN FRONT OF THE DEPUTIES? YOU DID NOT TELL ROSENBERG THAT? YES OR NO?

A. THAT WAS NOT WHAT I TOLD MR. ROSENBERG, NO.

Q. WHAT DID YOU TELL MR. ROSENBERG?

A. I TOLD MR. ROSENBERG THAT THE TWO DEPUTIES THAT I HAD DONE THE REENACTMENT AND HAD BEEN INTERVIEWED BY, THAT I WALKED BACK IN THE HOUSE AND I SAW THE KNIFE ON THE FLOOR IN THE KITCHEN. AND THAT THAT IS WHEN I REMEMBERED THAT YOU HAD THE KNIFE IN YOUR HAND. IT WAS AN EXTREMELY TRAUMATIC EVENT AS THIS HAD NEVER HAPPENED TO ME BEFORE. AND I GRABBED THE KNIFE BECAUSE THE TWO DEPUTIES WERE EACH IN THEIR RESPECTIVE VEHICLES. THEY WERE GETTING READY TO LEAVE. THEY HAD ALREADY GOTTEN THE EMERGENCY PROTECTIVE ORDER FOR ME AGAINST YOU SO THAT YOU COULD NEVER COME NEAR ME AGAIN.

AND SO I PICKED UP THE KNIFE. IT'S AN OSTER KNIFE, A STEAK KNIFE, AND I WENT RUNNING OUTSIDE WITH IT BECAUSE IT WAS AN IMPORTANT KEY DETAIL. AND I REALIZED APPROACHING EITHER DEPUTY WITH A WEAPON IN MY HAND WAS NOT A GOOD IDEA BECAUSE EVERYTHING, YOU KNOW. AND SO I THREW IT ON THE GRASS IN FRONT OF MY HOME, AND I SAID THAT YOU HAD A KNIFE IN YOUR HAND.

AND I THREW IT ON THE GRASS BECAUSE I SAID THIS IS THE KNIFE HE HAD IN HIS HAND AND I THREW IT ON THE GRASS, AND I TOLD HIM WHY I DID. THEY THEN GOT OUT OF THEIR VEHICLES, CAME BACK INTO MY HOME, AND THEY QUESTIONED ME ABOUT IT. BOTH OF THEM DID.

Q. IF THAT'S TRUE, THEN HOW COME IT'S NOT IN THE POLICE STATEMENT?

A. YOU'D HAVE TO ASK THEM.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: SO I JUST WANT TO NOTE THAT WE NEED CONTRERAS TO TESTIFY IN THIS CASE. ALL RIGHT, NEXT QUESTION. I'M GOING TO MOVE ON FROM THESE QUESTIONS.

Q. WHEN YOU SHOWED THE KNIFE TO THE DEPUTIES, WHAT DID THEY SAY?

A. THEY SAID -- THEY GOT OUT OF THEIR VEHICLES, AND THEY SAID, "WE NEED TO ASK YOU MORE QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS." AND WE ALL WENT BACK IN TO MY HOME.

Q. AND THEY ASKED YOU WHAT QUESTIONS ABOUT THE KNIFE?

A. THEY WANTED ANOTHER REENACTMENT OF IT. I MEAN, I'M SORRY. THEY WANTED A REENACTMENT OF WHAT HAPPENED AS WE ALREADY DONE A FULL REENACTMENT OF WHAT HAPPENED THAT MORNING. SO THAT TOOK ABOUT ANOTHER 35 -- I WOULD SAY ANOTHER PROBABLY ANOTHER 20 TO 35 MINUTES. THEY WERE EXTREMELY PATIENT WITH ME, AND THEY WERE VERY, VERY THOROUGH.

Q. YOU'RE REFERRING TO DEPUTY CONTRERAS AND DETECTIVE ESQUEDA?

A. I'M SORRY. I CANNOT REMEMBER THEIR NAMES.

Q. YOU'RE REFERRING TO DEPUTY A. CONTRERAS AND DETECTIVE ESQUEDA THE ONES ON THE POLICE REPORT THAT

INTERVIEWED YOU?

A. I DO NOT REMEMBER THEIR NAMES. I DON'T KNOW WHO IT WAS. THERE WERE SO MANY DEPUTIES THAT WERE THERE THAT DAY.

Q. YOU DON'T REMEMBER WHO INTERVIEWED YOU?

A. NO, I DON'T. I HAVEN'T SEEN THEM SINCE THAT DAY.

Q. YOU DON'T HAVE A COPY OF THE POLICE REPORT?

A. I NEVER READ THE POLICE REPORT. MY DEALING --

THE COURT: NO QUESTION PENDING.

THE WITNESS: SORRY.

THE COURT: JUST WAIT FOR A QUESTION.

THE WITNESS: OKAY, SORRY, YOUR HONOR.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: WHAT WAS YOUR REASON FOR GOING INTO THE KITCHEN TO RETRIEVE A KNIFE? WHAT WAS THE REASON?

A. I WASN'T LOOKING FOR A KNIFE. I SAW IT ON THE FLOOR, AND THAT'S WHEN I REMEMBERED. I WASN'T LOOKING FOR ANYTHING. I WAS JUST WALKING BACK INTO MY KITCHEN AFTER THE DEPUTIES HAD HANDED ME AN EMERGENCY PROTECTIVE ORDER, AND THEY WERE GETTING READY -- AND THEY WERE IN THEIR CARS GETTING READY TO LEAVE.

Q. WHY WAS THE KNIFE ON THE FLOOR IN YOUR KITCHEN?

A. I'M ASSUMING YOU DROPPED IT.

Q. SO YOU DON'T KNOW WHY THE KNIFE WAS IN YOUR KITCHEN ON THE FLOOR?

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, ASKED AND ANSWERED. CALLS FOR SPECULATION.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: SHE SAID ASSUMING. I CAN ASK ANOTHER QUESTION.

THE COURT: YOU DON'T KNOW WHY, SUSTAINED.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'LL ASK A DIFFERENT QUESTION.

THE COURT: I HAVE A QUESTION. DID THE DEPUTY RECOVER THE KNIFE?

THE WITNESS: NO, THEY DIDN'T TAKE IT.

THE COURT: THEY DIDN'T TAKE IT?

THE WITNESS: THEY DIDN'T TAKE IT, NO. I STILL HAVE IT.

THE COURT: THAT'S OKAY.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'LL JUST WRITE THAT.

THE WITNESS: DON'T USE IT ANYMORE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I JUST GOT A FEW MORE QUESTIONS, BUT SHE'S NOT GOING TO BE EXCUSED.

Q. DID YOU SIGN A PRIVATE PERSON'S ARREST FORM ON FEBRUARY 21ST, 2023, STATING YOU WANTED TO PLACE HOLLYWOOD UNDER ARREST?

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, RELEVANCE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: IT IS RELEVANT. THEY GOT A BOGUS PROBABLE CAUSE, AND I THINK I TOLD THE COURT A LONG TIME AGO THERE WAS NO PRIVATE PERSON'S ARREST IN THIS COURT. IT IS RELEVANT. SHE CAN ANSWER. THEY'RE SUPPOSED TO HAVE A PRIVATE PERSON'S ARREST.

THE COURT: TWO THINGS HERE; NUMBER 1, IT'S REALLY NOT RELEVANT. BUT NUMBER 2, YOU WERE ARRESTED FOR A FELONY. SO ORDINARILY THERE'S NO REQUIREMENT THAT A PERSON, A CITIZEN, FILE OR SIGN ANYTHING TO HAVE YOU ARRESTED. IT'S ONLY FOR MISDEMEANORS.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO, THEY DO IT FOR FELONIES. AND IF THE FELONY WASN'T COMMITTED IN THE PRESENCE OF THE PEACE OFFICER AND THE PRIVATE CITIZEN WANTS TO PLACE THEM UNDER ARREST UNDER

VICTIMS OF CRIME, WHICH CRIMINALS THREATS IS, IT ACTUALLY IS REQUIRED.

THE COURT: THAT'S ONLY TRUE FOR MISDEMEANORS. SO I'M GOING TO SUSTAIN THE OBJECTION. ASK ANOTHER QUESTION.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT HOLLYWOOD THREATENED TO KILL YOU AFTER THE FIRST UBER DEPARTED, CORRECT?

A. YES.

Q. YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT HOLLYWOOD THREATENED TO KILL YOU BEFORE THE SECOND UBER ARRIVED, CORRECT?

A. YES.

Q. JENNIFER, DID YOU MAKE THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT TO 911 DURING YOUR FIRST EMERGENCY CALL?

THE COURT: DID YOU MAKE A WHAT STATEMENT?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'M ASKING HER IF SHE MADE THIS STATEMENT TO 911.

THE COURT: WHAT STATEMENT?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: STATEMENT FROM THE 911 CALL.

THE COURT: I'M SORRY.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'M ASKING IF THEY MADE THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT FROM THE 911 CALL.

THE COURT: OKAY, WHAT'S THE STATEMENT?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: CAN I ASK HER THE QUESTION? CAN I CONTINUE AND ASK HER THE QUESTION? IT'S MY FINAL QUESTION.

THE COURT: OKAY, GO AHEAD.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THANK YOU.

THE COURT: ASK THE QUESTION.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: JENNIFER, DID YOU MAKE THE FOLLOW STATEMENT TO 911 DURING YOUR FIRST EMERGENCY CALL, "HE'S JUST

REFUSING TO LEAVE NOW. AND I SAID YOU NEED TO LEAVE IN THE NEXT FIVE MINUTES," AND YOU SAID, "FUCK, I DON'T LIKE TO CUSS"?

A. IT SOUNDS FAMILIAR. YES, I DID, IF IT'S WHAT'S IN THE TRANSCRIPT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THAT'S ALL THE CROSS-EXAMINATION QUESTIONS I GOT.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: BUT SHE'S NOT EXCUSED, BECAUSE I'M GOING TO TESTIFY.

THE COURT: MR. ROSENBERG?

MR. ROSENBERG: NOTHING FURTHER.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, THANK YOU. YOU MAY STEP DOWN PLEASE.

THE WITNESS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

MR. ROSENBERG: AND, YOUR HONOR, SHE WAS UNDER MY SUBPOENA. I'M ASKING THE COURT TO EXCUSE THAT WITNESS.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'M ASKING THE COURT NOT TO EXCUSE HER, AND I'M GOING TO TESTIFY. AND AFTER I TESTIFY, SHE'S GOING BACK ON THE STAND.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, I DON'T BELIEVE THAT THAT'S GOING TO BE NECESSARY, BUT LET'S CALL YOUR NEXT WITNESS.

MR. ROSENBERG: PEOPLE CALL DETECTIVE BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG.

THE CLERK: PLEASE RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND TO BE SWORN. DO YOU SOLEMNLY STATE THAT THE TESTIMONY YOU MAY GIVE IN THE CAUSE NOW PENDING BEFORE THIS COURT SHALL BE THE TRUTH, THE WHOLE TRUTH, AND NOTHING BUT THE TRUTH; SO HELP YOU GOD?

THE WITNESS: I DO.

THE CLERK: THANK YOU. PLEASE BE SEATED AT THE WITNESS STAND. PLEASE STATE AND SPELL YOUR FIRST AND LAST NAME FOR THE RECORD.

THE WITNESS: FIRST NAME BILLY, B-I-L-L-Y, LAST NAME KHOUNTHAVONG, K-H-O-U-N-T-H-A-V, AS IN VICTOR, O-N-G.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, YOU MAY INQUIRE.

BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG,

CALLED BY THE PEOPLE AS A WITNESS, WAS SWORN AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

Q. BY MR. ROSENBERG: WHAT IS YOUR CURRENT OCCUPATION AND ASSIGNMENT?

A. I'M A DETECTIVE FOR THE L.A. COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT CURRENTLY ASSIGNED TO TEMPLE CITY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT.

Q. HOW LONG HAVE YOU BEEN A SWORN POLICE OFFICER?

A. A LITTLE OVER 18 YEARS.

Q. ARE YOU THE INVESTIGATING OFFICER FOR THIS CASE?

A. I AM.

Q. DURING THE COURSE OF YOUR INVESTIGATION ON THIS MATTER, DID YOU ACQUIRE AS TO WHEN THE 911 CALLS THAT WE'VE BEEN SPEAKING ABOUT WERE MADE?

A. I DID.

Q. AND WERE YOU ABLE TO DO SO USING LAW ENFORCEMENT RESOURCES?

A. I WAS.

Q. IS THAT A DATABASE THAT'S ONLY ACCESSIBLE TO LAW ENFORCEMENT?

A. IT IS.

Q. THE FIRST 911 CALL THAT WE WERE TALKING ABOUT, WHAT TIME WAS THAT?

A. APPROXIMATELY, 10:03 A.M.

Q. 10:03 A.M.?

A. YES.

Q. AND THIS IS FEBRUARY 21ST, 2023, CORRECT?

A. THAT'S CORRECT.

Q. WHAT ABOUT THE SECOND 911 CALL?

A. APPROXIMATELY, 10:09 TO 10:10 I BELIEVE A.M.

Q. THAT'S AT THE BEGINNING OF THE PHONE CALL, CORRECT?

A. THAT'S THE BEGINNING OF THE SECOND PHONE CALL, YES.

Q. SO IT ORIGINATED AT THAT TIME?

A. YES.

Q. NOW, IN THE COURSE OF YOUR INVESTIGATION, DID YOU ALSO OBTAIN VIDEOS FROM A GO PRO CAMERA?

A. I DID.

Q. EARLIER IN COURT ON PEOPLE'S 1, THE PEOPLE PLAYED NUMEROUS VIDEOS. WERE THOSE VIDEO FROM THAT GO PRO?

A. IT WAS.

Q. NOW, DIRECTING YOUR ATTENTION TO THE TELEVISION SCREEN, AND I'M NOT SURE YOU HAVE A SCREEN IN FRONT OF YOU. I'M NOT SURE IF IT SHOWS THERE. IT DOESN'T, ALL RIGHT. LOOKING AT THIS SCREEN WHERE IT SHOWS 16 VIDEOS NINE OF WHICH ARE UNIQUE. ARE THESE THE TOTALITY OF THE VIDEOS THAT YOU

RETRIEVED FROM THAT GO PRO?

A. YES, IT APPEARS TO BE.

Q. AND THE VIDEOS THAT YOU RETRIEVED, HOW DID YOU GET THOSE?

A. I SUBMITTED THE GO PRO TO OUR HIGH-TECH BUREAU AND DETECTIVES FROM THAT BUREAU DOWNLOADED THE DATA FROM THE GO PRO ITSELF, AND I RETRIEVED IT FROM THEM.

Q. DID YOU GIVE THEM A PARAMETER FOR WHAT TIMEFRAME TO RETRIEVE VIDEOS FROM?

A. I DID.

Q. WHAT WAS THAT PARAMETER?

A. THE LAST TWO DAYS OF RECORDINGS.

Q. DID THEY INDICATE TO YOU THAT THEY RETRIEVED ALL THE VIDEOS FROM THOSE TWO DAYS?

A. THEY DID.

Q. NOW, LOOKING HERE, DOES THIS GENERATE THE TIMES IN WHICH THOSE VIDEOS ARE CREATED?

A. YES.

Q. OKAY, SO STARTING FIRST WITH WHAT WAS PREVIOUSLY PLAYED. THIS IS GL010585.LRV. THIS VIDEO WAS IT CREATED ON FEBRUARY 21ST, 2023, AT 9:50 A.M.?

A. YES.

Q. NOW, DO THESE ALSO INDICATE HOW LONG THE VIDEOS ARE AND WHEN THEY STOP?

A. IT DOES, YES.

Q. IS THAT UNDER THE COLUMN WHERE IT SAYS, "LAST MODIFIED"?

A. YES.

Q. SO DOES THAT MEAN THAT THIS VIDEO, THIS VIDEO ENDING 585 OCCURRED FROM 9:50 A.M. TO 9:51 A.M.?

A. YES.

Q. THAT VIDEO ENDING IN 585 IS IT INCLUDED IN TWO DIFFERENT FORMATS; THE .LRV FORMAT AND THE .MP4?

A. YES.

Q. SO THOSE TWO ARE THE EXACT SAME VIDEOS, JUST RECORDED IN DIFFERENT FORMATS?

A. CORRECT.

Q. SO MOVING ONTO THE NEXT VIDEO. THIS IS VIDEO ENDING 586.LRV, DOES THAT VIDEO ORIGINATE AT 9:59 A.M.?

A. YES.

Q. AND DID THAT VIDEO END AT 10:06 A.M.?

A. YES.

THE COURT: I'M SORRY TEN-OH?

MR. ROSENBERG: 10:06.

THE COURT: SIX.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: SO WOULD IT BE FAIR TO SAY THEN SINCE THE PREVIOUS VIDEO ENDED AT 9:51, THAT THERE WAS NO VIDEO THAT TOOK PLACE BETWEEN 9:51 AND 9:59 A.M.?

A. YES.

Q. AND THE NEXT VIDEO AFTER THE ONE ENDING 586 IS VIDEO 587.LRV. DID THAT VIDEO ORIGINATE AT 10:13 A.M.?

A. YES.

Q. AND DID THAT VIDEO, DOES IT INDICATE WHEN THAT VIDEO ENDS?

A. YES.

Q. DID IT END AT 10:15 A.M.?

A. YES.

Q. AND THEN AFTER THAT ONE, THERE'S VIDEO 588. DID THAT VIDEO ORIGINATE AT 10:18 A.M.?

A. YES.

Q. AND DID THAT VIDEO END AT 10:23 A.M.?

A. YES.

Q. OKAY, SO WOULD IT BE FAIR TO SAY THAT BASED ON WHEN THESE VIDEOS START AND END, THAT NO VIDEO TOOK PLACE BETWEEN 10:06 AND 10:13 A.M.?

A. THAT'S CORRECT.

Q. AND THAT'S CONVENIENTLY BETWEEN THE FIRST AND SECOND 911 CALL FOR THE MOST PART, RIGHT?

A. YES.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THAT'S INCORRECT. BUT ALL RIGHT.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: SO LET'S -- IN FACT, LET'S LOOK AT THE VIDEO ENDING 586. THIS IS THE VIDEO THAT ENDS AT 10:06 A.M. SO SINCE THIS ENDS AT 10:06 A.M., THIS VIDEO WOULD END AT THE TIME THAT THAT FIRST 911 CALL WAS BEING MADE, RIGHT? OR PERHAPS AT THE END OF THAT FIRST 911 CALL?

A. YES.

Q. LET'S GO -- LET'S START AT SEVEN -- WE'LL GO TO THE LAST TEN SECONDS 7:10.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: WHICH VIDEO IS THIS?

MR. ROSENBERG: THIS IS VIDEO THAT ENDS AT 10:13 A.M. -- EXCUSE ME 10:06 A.M.

Q. AT THE END OF THIS VIDEO, ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH -- WELL, YOU'RE A DETECTIVE --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: CAN YOU SHOW THE WHOLE VIDEO?

MR. ROSENBERG: NO, I ALREADY DID.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO, YOU DIDN'T.

THE COURT: MISTER --

MR. ROSENBERG: I'M PLAYING MY EVIDENCE.

THE COURT: MISTER -- HOLD ON. GO AHEAD.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH BODY-WORN VIDEOS?

A. I AM.

Q. ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH GO PROS?

A. SOMEWHAT.

Q. NOW, IS THERE A WAY TO TURN THEM ON AND OFF FOR RECORDING?

A. YES.

Q. WHAT DOES IT LOOK LIKE THE INDIVIDUAL THAT'S MAKING THIS RECORDING IS DOING AT THE VERY END OF THAT VIDEO? I'LL PLAY IT AGAIN.

(WHEREUPON PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT 1
IS PLAYED IN OPEN COURT.)

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: WE'RE STARTING AT 7:16.

A. I SEE A HAND AND IT APPEARS TO ME HE'S TURNING THE GO PRO OFF.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THAT IS A SHORT VIDEO; THAT'S ONLY -- ONLY TWO SECONDS. THE VIDEO OF THE UBER WAS CONTINUOUS AND --

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD, YOU'LL GET YOUR TURN.

///

///

(WHEREUPON PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT 1
IS PLAYED IN OPEN COURT.)

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THAT VIDEO RIGHT THERE; THAT'S ALL THAT MATTERS.

THE COURT: GO AHEAD.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: PLAY THAT VIDEO.

MR. ROSENBERG: I JUST DID. I PLAYED THE VERY END OF IT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO, PLAY THE WHOLE VIDEO.

MR. ROSENBERG: I ALREADY HAVE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: ALL RIGHT, WELL, WHAT WE SAW IS THE VIDEO --

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD, YOU'LL GET YOUR TURN. HOLD ON.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: ALL RIGHT.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: SO AT 10:06 A.M. THAT'S WHAT APPEARS TO BE MR. HOLLYWOOD TURNING OFF HIS GO PRO CAMERA; IS THAT CORRECT?

A. YES.

Q. AND THE FIRST PHONE CALL, IT ORIGINATED AT 10:03 A.M. CORRECT?

A. THAT'S CORRECT.

Q. APPROXIMATELY, HOW LONG, IF YOU REMEMBER, WAS THE VICTIM ON THAT 911 CALL; THAT FIRST 911 PHONE CALL? AND I COULD PLAY IT FOR YOU IF THAT WOULD HELP.

A. IT WOULD HELP.

///

///

(WHEREUPON PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT 1
IS PLAYED IN OPEN COURT.)

MR. ROSENBERG: AND AGAIN PLAYING THE FILE FROM PEOPLE'S 1 TEM-03. INSTEAD OF REPLAYING THE WHOLE THING, I CAN FAST-FORWARD TO APPROXIMATELY WHEN THIS ENDS. AND HAVING STARTED AT THE ZERO-SECOND MARK. WE'LL MOVE FORWARD TO THREE MINUTES.

(WHEREUPON PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT 1
IS PLAYED IN OPEN COURT.)

MR. ROSENBERG: THIS WAS AT 2 MINUTES 48 SECONDS.

(WHEREUPON PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT 1
IS PLAYED IN OPEN COURT.)

MR. ROSENBERG: STOPPING THE 4 MINUTES 34 SECONDS. SO WOULD IT BE FAIR TO SAY THAT HER FIRST 911 CALL IS ABOUT FOUR AND A HALF MINUTES?

A. THAT'S CORRECT.

Q. SO THAT MEANS IT WOULD END AROUND 10:07 A.M.?

A. YES.

Q. RELATIVELY SHORTLY AFTER HE DECIDED TO TURN HIS GO PRO OFF?

A. YES.

MR. ROSENBERG: NOTHING FURTHER.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: GOOD.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: OKAY I WANT TO NOTE THAT I DON'T KNOW WHEN SHE MADE THE FIRST 911 CALL, BUT I DO KNOW THAT, WHEN THE UBER CAME, SHE TESTIFIED SHE'S THREATENED AFTER THE UBER. WE SAW A VIDEO WHERE I WAS OUTSIDE WITH THE UBER, THE CAMERA NEVER WENT OFF. THAT IS THE VIDEO, THE ONLY VIDEO THAT MATTERS.

ALL THE STATEMENTS SHE MADE, EVERYTHING SHE SAID, SHE ALREADY TESTIFIED SHE WAS THREATENED AFTER THE FIRST UBER LEFT. SO WHAT HE'S PLAYING DOESN'T REALLY MATTER. IF SHE'S TALKING ABOUT WELL SHE MADE -- I DON'T KNOW IF SHE CALLED 911 BEFORE THE FIRST UBER CAME. WE DON'T KNOW THAT. NOBODY KNOWS.

MR. ROSENBERG: WELL, ACTUALLY WE DO BECAUSE --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO, WE DON'T.

MR. ROSENBERG: I'M GOING TO SAVE THIS FOR ARGUMENT. SO WHY DON'T WE STOP.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: WE'RE GOING TO SHOW THE WHOLE VIDEO RIGHT NOW WHEN IT'S MY TURN.

MR. ROSENBERG: WHY DON'T YOU TESTIFY, AND WE'LL FIND OUT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: FINE, PUT HER BACK ON THE STAND. SHE'S ALREADY COMMITTED PERJURY.

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD, THE WITNESS IS ON THE STAND. DO YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS FOR THE WITNESS?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I MEAN, I DON'T KNOW HOW MUCH INFORMATION. YEAH, I CAN CROSS HIM ABOUT CONTRERAS. I MEAN, IF YOU'RE GOING TO ALLOW THAT, IF HE KNOWS WHAT'S IN THE

POLICE REPORT WHICH HE IS THE DETECTIVE. I CAN CROSS HIM ABOUT THAT.

THE COURT: I'M NOT SURE. WHAT DO YOU MEAN?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I CAN CROSS HIM AND SAY, "WELL, YOU READ THE POLICE REPORT, RIGHT? DID YOU SEE ANY STATEMENTS IN THERE ABOUT HER SHOWING DEPUTIES A KNIFE?" CAN I ASK HIM THOSE QUESTIONS?

THE COURT: SURE. YOU CAN ASK HIM THAT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: LET'S DO IT. I GOT TO GO TO HER QUESTIONS.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

Q. BY MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'M JUST GOING TO CALL YOU DETECTIVE K. THAT NAME'S HARD TO PRONOUNCE. DETECTIVE K., YOU'RE FAMILIAR WITH THE POLICE REPORT IN THIS CASE?

A. YES.

Q. AND YOU READ IT THOROUGHLY, RIGHT?

A. YES.

Q. OKAY, AND WHEN YOU READ THAT POLICE REPORT, DID YOU HEAR -- DID YOU READ THAT DEPUTY CONTRERAS SAID THAT AFTER THE DOOR -- AFTER THE DOOR OR AFTER HEGER OR JENNIFER LOCKED THE DOOR OF THE GARAGE TO GIVE ACCESS TO THE RESIDENCE, THAT SHE TOLD HOLLYWOOD THROUGH THE LOCKED DOOR, THE UBER IS OUT THERE? DID YOU SEE THAT STATEMENT IN THE POLICE REPORT?

A. I DON'T RECALL.

Q. OH, YOU WANT TO PLAY.

THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE A COPY OF YOUR REPORT?

THE WITNESS: YOUR HONOR --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: DON'T WORRY. I DO.

THE COURT: WE'RE GOING TO GIVE HIM ONE SO THAT WAY YOU CAN KNOW WHAT TO ASK.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: MAKE IT MUCH EASIER.

THE COURT: SO IS THERE A PAGE AND A LINE NUMBER, PARAGRAPH?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: PAGE STATED.

THE COURT: UP ON THE TOP-RIGHT CORNER THERE'S A PAGE NUMBER.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YEAH, I'M TRYING TO FIND WHERE SHE MADE THAT STATEMENT. RIGHT HERE. SO PAGE 4.

THE COURT: WHAT PARAGRAPH?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: SIX, PARAGRAPH 6.

THE COURT: OKAY, DO YOU HAVE THAT?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I WANT ROSENBERG TO LISTEN TO THIS QUESTION BECAUSE HE'S THE ONLY ONE THAT MATTERS.

THE COURT: WHAT?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I WANT ROSENBERG TO LISTEN CLOSE TO THIS QUESTION. WE DON'T NEED THE VIDEO WITH THIS QUESTION.

Q. SO YOU GOT THE POLICE REPORT. SO DO YOU REMEMBER CONTRERAS OR IN THE POLICE REPORT CONTRERAS DOCUMENTING THAT HEGER STATED SHE SAID -- "HEGER STATED WHEN THE UBER XL ARRIVED AND BASED ON THE SUSPECT HOLLYWOOD'S AGGRESSIVE DEMEANOR TOWARDS HER, SHE LOCKED THE DOOR TO THE GARAGE TO GIVE ACCESS TO THE RESIDENCE FROM THE GARAGE AREA.

SHE TOLD HOLLYWOOD THROUGH THE LOCKED DOOR, 'THE UBER IS OUT THERE.' HEGER STATED THAT SHE TOLD HOLLYWOOD,

'THE UBER IS OUTSIDE.' HOLLYWOOD BEGAN TO YELL THROUGH THE DOOR?"

DO YOU REMEMBER THAT STATEMENT IN THE POLICE REPORT?

A. I SEE IT HERE, YES.

Q. THANK YOU. ALL RIGHT, DO YOU REMEMBER DEPUTY CONTRERAS STATING THAT HEGER TOLD HER THAT, "AFTER HOLLYWOOD HAD SAID, 'WHAT THE FUCK ARE YOU DOING,' SHE BECAME SO AFRAID THAT SHE RAN TO THE BEDROOM IN THE NORTHEAST CORNER AND LOCKED THAT DOOR ALSO." DO YOU REMEMBER DEPUTY CONTRERAS SAYING THAT?

THE COURT: I BELIEVE IT'S THE NEXT PARAGRAPH.

MR. ROSENBERG: WELL, IS HE ASKING IF DEPUTY CONTRERAS SAID THAT OR IF IT'S WRITTEN IN THE REPORT?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'M ASKING HIM IF HE REMEMBERS HIM SAYING THAT IN THE REPORT, WRITING IT. SAME THING.

THE WITNESS: IT APPEARS THAT WAY IN THE REPORT.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: SO THE ANSWER IS YES?

A. YES.

Q. OKAY, DO YOU REMEMBER DEPUTY CONTRERAS WRITING IN HIS POLICE REPORT THAT, "AFTER SHE LOCKED HOLLYWOOD OUT -- OR AFTER SHE LOCKED THE BEDROOM IN THE NORTHEAST CORNER, THAT HOLLYWOOD STARTED KICKING HER DOOR"?

THE COURT: WHAT PARAGRAPH IS THAT?

THE WITNESS: YES.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THE ONE -- HE SAW IT. THE ONE WITH THE DOOR STOPPER.

THE COURT: OKAY.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: AND THEN, DO YOU REMEMBER DEPUTY

CONTRERAS, AND THIS IS FOR THE FIRST 911 CALL BY THE WAY, DEPUTY CONTRERAS SAID THAT, "SHE TOLD HER AFTER SHE DIALED 911, SHE SET OFF THE RING CAMERA ALARM TO LET HOLLYWOOD KNOW HOW SERIOUS THE SITUATION WAS BECOMING"?

A. YES.

Q. AND DO YOU REMEMBER DEPUTY CONTRERAS SAYING IN THE POLICE REPORT THAT HE WAS TOLD BY HEGER THAT, AFTER SHE SET OFF THE RING ALARM -- THAT SHE SET OFF THE RING ALARM AND DIALED 911 PRIOR TO HOLLYWOOD MAKING CRIMINAL THREATS TO HER?

A. I DON'T REMEMBER THAT.

Q. IT'S IN THE POLICE REPORT. LET ME SEE. PAGE 4, SECOND TO LAST PARAGRAPH. HEGER STATED -- DO YOU REMEMBER THAT DEPUTY CONTRERAS SAID THAT? SHE TOLD DEPUTY CONTRERAS THAT, "SHE SET OFF THE RING ALARM AND DIALED 911 PRIOR TO HOLLYWOOD MAKING CRIMINAL THREATS TO HER," SECOND TO LAST PARAGRAPH?

A. IT MADE NO MENTION ABOUT JENNIFER MAKING STATEMENTS TO DEPUTY CONTRERAS ABOUT DIALING 911 PRIOR TO YOU MAKING ANY THREATS. DOES NOT MENTION ANYTHING ON THAT PARAGRAPH.

Q. YES, IT DOES. I'LL READ IT TO YOU BECAUSE YOU'RE PLAYING GAMES.

MR. ROSENBERG: YOUR HONOR, JUST LIKE WITH THE OTHER WITNESS, I'M GOING TO ASK HIM TO NOT BADGER OUR WITNESSES OR MAKE EDITORIAL COMMENTS.

THE COURT: JUST GO AHEAD AND READ IT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'M GOING TO SAY THIS RIGHT NOW, WHAT HE'S TESTIFYING TO RIGHT NOW, IT PROVES WHAT YOU'RE SAYING IS WRONG. WHAT WE SAW IN THE VIDEO, IN THE VIDEO THAT YOU'RE

SAYING IS NOT CONTINUOUS. SHE SAID THE THREATS WERE MADE WHEN SHE SET THE ALARM. YOU JUST WATCH THIS, "VICTIM HEGER STATED SUSPECT HOLLYWOOD STARTED BANGING ON THE DOOR. SHE WAS GLAD SHE PLACED THE DOOR STOPPER ON THE DOOR BECAUSE SUSPECT HOLLYWOOD STARTED KICKING THE DOOR, AND THAT'S WHEN SHE DIALED 911. SHE SET OFF THE RING CAMERA ALARM TO LET HOLLYWOOD KNOW HOW SERIOUS THE SITUATION IS BECOMING. VICTIM HEGER STATED" --

THE COURT: HOLD ON. ONE AT A TIME. ARE YOU -- WAIT, WAIT, ARE YOU ASKING THE WITNESS IF THAT'S WHAT MR. CONTRERAS WROTE IN THE HIS REPORT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'M ASKING IF HE REMEMBERS CONTRERAS WRITING IN THE REPORT THAT SHE TOLD HEGER -- TOLD CONTRERAS THAT SHE SET OFF THE ALARM AND DIALED 911 PRIOR TO HOLLYWOOD MAKING THE CRIMINAL THREATS?

THE WITNESS: YES, I DO.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THANK YOU. NOW, NEXT QUESTION.

Q. YOU WERE AT THE INTERVIEW ON MARCH 9TH, CORRECT?

A. SAY THAT AGAIN.

Q. YOU WERE AT THE INTERVIEW WITH ROSENBERG AND HEGER ON MARCH 9TH, CORRECT?

A. I DON'T REMEMBER THE EXACT DATE.

Q. THE DETECTIVE INTERVIEW WITH HER?

A. IF IT WAS IN THE D.A.'S OFFICE, YES, I WAS PRESENT.

Q. YOU WERE THERE AT THAT INTERVIEW, CORRECT?

A. YES.

Q. ON MARCH 9TH, 2023, DO YOU RECALL HEGER STATING THAT DURING THE ENCOUNTER WITH HOLLYWOOD, SHE CHARGED AT HIM WHILE

HE WAS STANDING IN THE KITCHEN?

A. YES.

Q. DO YOU RECALL DURING THAT INTERVIEW THAT SHE STATED THAT SHE TOLD HOLLYWOOD, "WHAT THE FUCK ARE YOU STILL DOING IN MY KITCHEN?"

A. I DON'T RECALL THAT SPECIFIC STATEMENT. I DO NOT.

Q. YOU DON'T, RIGHT. SO SHE PROBABLY MADE IT UP, RIGHT? OKAY, SO NO. DO YOU RECALL DURING THAT INTERVIEW -- DO YOU RECALL HER SAYING THAT, SHE CONFRONTED HOLLYWOOD IN THE KITCHEN AFTER SHE HAD ALREADY CALLED AN UBER FOR HOLLYWOOD TO LEAVE?

A. I DON'T REMEMBER HER -- I DON'T RECALL HER MAKING THOSE STATEMENTS AS FAR AS CONFRONTING YOU --

Q. OKAY.

A. -- AFTER CALLING THE UBER. BUT I DO REMEMBER THE FACT THAT SHE DID MENTION THAT SHE DID CONFRONT YOU IN THE KITCHEN.

Q. WE'LL GET TO THAT. DO YOU REMEMBER HER STATING THAT, "WHILE HOLLYWOOD WAS STANDING IN THE KITCHEN, THAT SHE CHARGED AT HOLLYWOOD"?

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, ASKED AND ANSWERED.

THE COURT: THAT'S ALREADY BEEN ASKED AND ANSWERED.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I DIDN'T ASK HIM. I ASKED JENNIFER. I'M ASKING HIM IF I WAS --

THE COURT: RIGHT, AND HE ALREADY TESTIFIED TO THAT THAT SHE STATED THAT IN THE INTERVIEW.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: OH, HE SAID THAT SHE SAID THAT SHE CHARGED AT ME?

THE COURT: YES.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: OKAY, DO YOU RECALL DURING THE INTERVIEW THAT HEGER STATED THAT, "WHILE SHE WAS IN THE KITCHEN AND SHE CHARGED AT HOLLYWOOD, THAT HE WAS HOLDING A KNIFE"?

A. YES.

Q. IS THAT STATEMENT MADE ANYWHERE IN CONTRERAS POLICE REPORT?

A. IT IS NOT.

Q. THANK YOU. SHOWS THAT'S NOT TRUE. IS THERE A STATEMENT MADE IN CONTRERAS POLICE REPORT THAT HOLLYWOOD HAD THREATENED TO KILL HEGER DURING THE CONFRONTATION IN THE KITCHEN? DO YOU SEE THAT STATEMENT IN THE POLICE REPORT?

A. I DO NOT.

Q. DURING THAT INTERVIEW, DO YOU RECALL HEGER STATING THAT HOLLYWOOD HAD TOLD HER THAT HE WAS GOING TO PUT HER IN THE GROUND?

A. SOMETHING ALONG THOSE LINES.

Q. LIKE, WHAT DID SHE SAY?

A. WHAT YOU JUST MENTIONED. IT WAS EITHER DURING THAT INTERVIEW --

Q. OKAY.

A. -- OR A SUBSEQUENT CONVERSATION WITH HER.

Q. OH, YOU HAD A CONVERSATION WITH HER, ALL RIGHT. WAS THAT STATEMENT GIVEN TO -- IS THAT STATEMENT IN THE POLICE REPORT ABOUT HEGER STATING THAT HOLLYWOOD SAID HE WAS GOING TO PUT HER IN THE GROUND? IS THAT STATEMENT IN THE POLICE REPORT?

A. I DO NOT SEE IT, NO. IF I MAY ADD, SOMETHING ALONG THOSE LINES IN THE REPORT ITSELF.

Q. WHAT DID YOU SAY?

A. IF I MAY ADD, SOMETHING ALONG THOSE LINES THAT YOU JUST STATED. SHE DID MENTION THE FACT THAT SHE WAS THREATENED; THAT SHE WOULD NEVER SEE TOMORROW.

Q. I KNOW, BUT I ASKED YOU ABOUT THAT SPECIFIC STATEMENT?

A. I HEARD IT.

Q. AND YOU SAID THAT DURING THE INTERVIEW THAT THAT WAS SAID. THIS IS A NEW STATEMENT. IT WAS NOT GIVEN TO CONTRERAS. THERE WAS NO STATEMENT ABOUT HOLLYWOOD SAID HE WAS GOING TO PUT HER IN THE GROUND. IT WAS ONLY THOSE OTHER STATEMENTS. YOU DIDN'T SEE IN THE POLICE REPORT. YOU SAID YOU HEARD IN THE INTERVIEW. SO I'M OKAY WITH THAT.

A. I DON'T KNOW IF THAT STATEMENT WAS GIVEN TO DEPUTY CONTRERAS. I CAN'T COMMENT ON THAT.

Q. YOU WANT TO SEE THE POLICE REPORT?

THE COURT: NO, HE KNOWS THAT. HE CAN'T COMMENT WHAT WAS TOLD BY CONTRERAS. ONLY CONTRERAS COULD TESTIFY TO THAT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: WE CAN WATCH THE INTERVIEW FOR THAT. WHY DON'T WE MOVE TO TODAY'S CROSS-EXAMINATION. ONE OF MY PAGES IS MISSING.

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD, ANYMORE QUESTIONS FOR THIS WITNESS?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YEAH, I GOT MORE QUESTIONS FOR HIM. I'M JUST LOOKING FOR ONE PIECE OF PAPER THAT'S MISSING FROM MY CROSS-EXAMINATION FOR TODAY THAT'S WHAT I'M LOOKING FOR.

THEN, YEAH, I GOT MORE QUESTIONS FOR HIM. NOT MANY MORE.

Q. DURING THE INTERVIEW, DO YOU REMEMBER HEGER SAYING THAT SHE FOLLOWED HOLLYWOOD INTO THE KITCHEN?

A. I DON'T RECALL.

Q. YOU DON'T RECALL?

A. NO.

Q. OH, HERE IT IS. IT WAS UPSIDE DOWN. DO YOU RECALL OR DO YOU REMEMBER DURING THAT INTERVIEW HEGER STATING THAT SHE RAN DOWN THE HALLWAY ALMOST IMMEDIATELY AFTER SEEING HOLLYWOOD WITH A KNIFE?

A. NO.

Q. DO YOU REMEMBER DURING THAT INTERVIEW HEGER TELLING YOU THAT SHE CAME BACK OUT INTO THE KITCHEN TO SEE IF HOLLYWOOD WAS STILL THERE?

A. I DON'T RECALL THAT.

Q. SO THAT'S NO?

A. I DON'T RECALL THAT.

Q. DO YOU RECALL DURING THAT INTERVIEW HEGER MAKING ANY STATEMENTS THAT SHE CHARGED AT HOLLYWOOD WHILE HE HAD A KNIFE IN HIS HAND?

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION ASKED AND ANSWERED.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THAT QUESTION WASN'T ASKED AND ANSWERED.

THE COURT: IT WAS ASKED AND ANSWERED, AND IT --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: CHARGED AT WITH A KNIFE WAS? CHARGED AT WITH -- IF SHE CHARGED AT HOLLYWOOD WHEN HE HAD A KNIFE THAT WAS ALREADY ASKED AND ANSWERED?

THE COURT: I BELIEVE HE ANSWERED YES. YES.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: OKAY.

Q. DO YOU RECALL DURING THAT INTERVIEW HEGER MAKING ANY STATEMENTS THAT, AS DEPUTIES WERE APPROACHING, SHE GRABBED THE KNIFE FROM THE KITCHEN AND THREW IT OUTSIDE IN FRONT OF THEM?

A. NO.

Q. DO YOU RECALL DEPUTY, CONTRERAS OR ANY DETECTIVE, ANY LAW ENFORCEMENT PEACE OFFICER RECOVERING A KNIFE AT THE LOCATION?

A. NO.

Q. DO YOU RECALL THEM SPEAKING TO HEGER ABOUT A KNIFE AT THE ORIGINAL -- DURING THE ORIGINAL FEBRUARY 21ST, INVESTIGATION WAS THERE ANY MENTION ABOUT A KNIFE AT ALL?

A. YOU REFERENCED THE FACT THAT YOU WERE IN THE KITCHEN THE NIGHT PRIOR AND MAKING A SANDWICH AND THERE WERE KNIVES PLURAL IN THE KITCHEN --

Q. YEAH, I REMEMBER THAT FROM INTERVIEW.

THE COURT: HOLD ON. LET HIM FINISH HIS ANSWER.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: OKAY, GO AHEAD.

THE WITNESS: AND THERE WERE KNIVES PLURAL IN THE KITCHEN AND THAT WAS A POSSIBLE WEAPON TO BE USED FROM THE KITCHEN ITSELF.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: BUT DO YOU REMEMBER ANYTHING IN THE POLICE REPORT ANY PEACE OFFICER TALKING ANYTHING ABOUT HOW HEGER HAD A KNIFE AND HAD AN INTERVIEW WITH HER ABOUT HER POSSESSING THE KNIFE?

A. NO.

Q. YOU LISTENED TO BOTH OF 911 TAPES, CORRECT?

A. I DID.

Q. DURING THE FIRST 911 TAPE, DID YOU HEAR ANY CRIMINAL

THREATS BEING REPORTED TO 911?

A. NO.

Q. DID YOU HEAR ANY CRIMINAL THREATS BEING REPORTED TO THE 911 DURING THE SECOND CALL?

A. I DON'T RECALL.

Q. YOU DON'T RECALL. SO YOU DIDN'T LISTEN TO THE SECOND --

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, ASKED AND ANSWERED ARGUMENTATIVE.

THE COURT: SO THE QUESTION IS DID HE HEAR ANY THREATS MADE OR REPORTED, RIGHT, ANY THREATS REPORTED ON THE SECOND 911 CALL?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YEAH.

MR. ROSENBERG: AND THE WITNESS JUST SAID HE DOESN'T RECALL.

THE COURT: AND HE DOESN'T RECALL.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: BUT I ASKED HIM IF HE HAD HEARD BOTH 911 TAPES. HE SAID, YEAH. HE'S BEEN IN COURT. SO HE OBVIOUSLY REFRESHED HIS MEMORY ON THOSE 911 TAPES.

THE COURT: OKAY, WELL, LET ME SEE. WE DON'T HAVE A TRANSCRIPT OF THE SECOND CALL.

MR. ROSENBERG: WE DON'T, BUT WE HAVE THE ACTUAL CALL.

THE COURT: WE HAVE THE ACTUAL CALL. HOW LONG IS IT?

MR. ROSENBERG: I WILL STIPULATE THAT SHE DOES NOT SAY ANY -- THAT CRIMINAL THREATS WERE MADE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YOU'RE NOT TESTIFYING.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, YOU ACCEPT THAT STIPULATION?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: WE CAN JUST PLAY IT. IT'S IN THE SECOND.

THE COURT: NO, THERE'S REASON TO PLAY IT IF HE'S WILLING TO STIPULATE THAT THERE'S NO REPORT OF A CRIMINAL THREAT ON THE SECOND 911 CALL. DO YOU ACCEPT THAT?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THE COURT ACCEPTS IT, YEAH, THAT'S FINE.

THE COURT: OKAY, THE COURT ACCEPTS IT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I DON'T HAVE ANY QUESTIONS.

THE COURT: YOU MAY STEP DOWN, DETECTIVE K. ANY FURTHER WITNESSES?

MR. ROSENBERG: NO.

THE COURT: OKAY. AND THE EXHIBITS?

MR. ROSENBERG: PEOPLE'S MOTION TO INTRODUCE PEOPLE'S 1 IN EVIDENCE.

THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: TO WHAT, THE VIDEO?

THE COURT: TO PEOPLE'S 1 WHICH IS THE VIDEO WHICH IS ALL THE EVIDENCE ON THAT VIDEO. SO IT'S THE 911 CALLS AND THE GO PRO EVIDENCE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: ALL I HAVE TO SAY I WANT TO USE THE GO PRO FOR MY DEFENSE.

THE COURT: OKAY, BUT HE'S MOVING IT INTO EVIDENCE. DO YOU HAVE AN OBJECTION?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, IT'S ADMITTED.

(PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT NUMBER1 1
IS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)

THE COURT: PEOPLE REST?

MR. ROSENBERG: YES.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, NOW, IT'S YOUR TURN YOU WANT TO TESTIFY?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YES, I DO.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, YOU WANT TO TESTIFY FROM THERE OR YOU WANT TO TESTIFY FROM UP THE WITNESS STAND.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'LL TESTIFY FROM THERE.

THE COURT: FROM THE WITNESS STAND.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I KNOW SHE WAS -- PEOPLE BEEN HAVING A HARD TIME HEARING ME SO.

THE CLERK: DO YOU SOLEMNLY STATE THAT THE TESTIMONY YOU MAY GIVE IN THE CAUSE NOW PENDING BEFORE THIS COURT SHALL BE THE TRUTH, THE WHOLE TRUTH, AND NOTHING BUT THE TRUTH; SO HELP YOU GOD?

THE WITNESS: SO HELP ME. I'M NOT RELIGION.

THE COURT: DO YOU AFFIRM OR SWEAR?

THE WITNESS: YEAH, YEAH. I AFFIRM SO HELP ME, BUT I'M NOT RELIGIOUS.

THE CLERK: PLEASE STATE AND SPELL YOUR FIRST AND LAST NAME FOR THE RECORD.

THE WITNESS: AROGANT HOLLYWOOD.

THE CLERK: SPELLING.

THE WITNESS: AROGANT HOLLYWOOD.

THE COURT: GO AHEAD AND SPELL YOUR FIRST AND LAST NAME.

THE DEFENDANT: OH, A; AS IN ALPHA, R; AS IN ROBERT, O; AS IN OCTOPUS, G; AS IN GIRAFFE, A; AS IN ALPHA, N; AS IN NANCY, T; AS IN TANGO. MY LAST NAME IS HOLLYWOOD. I GUESS THEY MISSPELLED IT ON THE REPORT WITH TWO R'S. IT'S ONE R.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, SO THE WAY THIS WORKS IS YOU JUST GO AHEAD AND MAKE A STATEMENT, TESTIFY AS TO WHAT YOU WANT TO TESTIFY TO.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: ALL RIGHT.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD,

A DEFENDANT, IN PROPRIA PERSONA, WAS AFFIRMED AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

Q. BY MR. HOLLYWOOD: SO I'M GOING TO START OUT ON FEBRUARY 21ST. SO FEBRUARY 21ST -- OR SORRY FEBRUARY 20TH, AT ABOUT 4:00 P.M., I LEFT THE AIRBNB FROM ROWLAND HEIGHTS. MY WOMAN STAYS IN HACIENDA HEIGHTS SO I WAS IN THE AREA SO I CAN SEE HER. I LEFT THE AIRBNB. THE AIRBNB DROPPED ME OFF AT THE SOUTH PASADENA POLICE DEPARTMENT. I'M HOMELESS; THAT'S THE FIRST STOP I GO TO IS THE SOUTH PASADENA POLICE DEPARTMENT. THEN FROM THERE, I MIGHT GO TO SAN MARINO, OTHER PLACES. BUT IT'S LIKE THE FIRST PLACE I GO WHEN I LEAVE THE AIRBNB.

I GOT THERE PROBABLY AROUND 4:00 P.M., 5:00 P.M. I WAS THERE BECAUSE I WAS WORKING ON SOME LAW STUFF. AND THE CO-COUNSEL IN THIS CASE HE'S HELPING ME SUE THE POLICE IN FEDERAL COURT BECAUSE I'M A VEXATIOUS LITIGANT. THE FEDERAL COURT IS NOT ALLOWING ME TO SUE THE POLICE, AND I'M KIND OF TIRED OF THEM FALSELY ARRESTING ME AND GETTING AWAY WITH IT. SO HE'S GOING TO BE MY LAWYER IN FEDERAL COURT.

SO I TALKED TO NEAL BY TEXT MESSAGE, AND I SAID, "HEY, YOU KNOW, ALISON IS GOING TO SERVE THIS ON THEM

TOMORROW." SO I PRINTED OUT THE LAWSUIT AROUND 6:00 OR 7:00 P.M. JUST SO YOU KNOW, I HAVE POWER GENERATORS OR POWER STATIONS, YOU KNOW, THOSE PORTABLE THINGS. THEY GAVE YOU POWER. SO THERE'S NO POWER OUTSIDE. I'M USING THOSE. THEY'RE FULLY CHARGED FROM THE AIRBNB. I WAS PRINTING DOCUMENTS. THEY WERE ALL LAID OUT.

I WOULD SAY AROUND 9:00 P.M., I WAS THERE. I WAS ON MY COMPUTER. JENNIFER APPROACHED ON THE OTHER SIDE OF THE POLICE DEPARTMENT. SO I'M NEAR CITY HALL. SHE APPROACHED NEAR THE COUNCIL -- I DON'T KNOW IF YOU'RE FAMILIAR WITH SOUTH PASADENA COUNCIL'S CHAMBERS ON THAT SIDE. AND SHE'S WALKING UP. SHE SMILES AND SHE WAVES AT ME. BUT SHE SAYS SOMETHING, BUT SHE'S FROM A DISTANCE AWAY, I COULDN'T HEAR WHAT SHE SAID. ABOUT -- I GO BACK ON MY COMPUTER.

ABOUT 20 MINUTES LATER, SHE'S INSIDE THE POLICE DEPARTMENT, AND SHE STARTS PICKING UP THE PHONE AND YELLING AND, LIKE, KIND OF BANGING THE RED PHONE AGAINST THE THING. SO I APPROACHED. I HEARD THE NOISE. I DIDN'T SEE HER DO IT, AND I SAY, "HEY, YOU SHOULD STOP DOING THAT OR THEY COULD ARREST YOU FOR INTERFERING WITH THE POLICE DEPARTMENT." SHE SAYS, "NO, NO, IT'S FINE. THEY'RE NOT GOING TO ARREST ME." I WENT BACK TO WHAT I WAS DOING.

ABOUT 15 MINUTES LATER, SHE CAME OUTSIDE, AND SHE WAS WITH THE WATCH COMMANDER WHO'S I THINK MATTHEW RODNEY, AND SHE'S TALKING TO HER ABOUT RECORDS OR SOMETHING. I'M MINDING MY BUSINESS. I DIDN'T HEAR WHAT THEY WERE SAYING. HE'S LIKE, "I'M GOING TO TRY TO GET YOU THE RECORDS. I'LL DO WHAT I CAN. I'M THE WATCH COMMANDER." I GUESS HE DIDN'T GIVE HER A PEN.

SO SHE CAME UP TO ME AND SHE ASKED ME IF I HAD AN INK PEN TO FILL OUT THE REQUEST FOR THE RECORDS. I SAID, "YEAH, I HAVE PEN." SO I GAVE HER A PEN.

AFTER THAT, I GUESS, RODNEY DIDN'T WANT TO MAKE COPIES FOR HER OR SOME CRAP. SO THEN I MADE COPIES OF THE DOCUMENTS SO SHE CAN HAVE A COPY OF THE DOCUMENT. BECAUSE MY PRINTER IS LIKE AN EPSON ET-4150 SOMETHING LIKE THAT. IT HAS A SCANNER, A PRINTER, A FAX, AND EVERYTHING ON IT. IT HAS A DOCUMENT FEEDER. IT'S LIKE THE ONES YOU SEE IN LAW OFFICE. SO I SCAN A COPY OF THE DOCUMENT, MADE SOME COPIES FOR HER. AND THEN I ASKED HER, "WHAT'S ALL THIS ABOUT?" AND SHE SAID LIKE, "WELL, I'M GOING THROUGH A DIVORCE AND THERE'S A POLICE REPORT, AND I NEED IT FOR COURT." I SAID "OKAY." SO THERE'S NOTHING ABOUT LIKE HOW HER LETTER SAYS INCONSISTENCIES. I DIDN'T TALK TO HER ANYTHING ABOUT THAT. I DIDN'T GET TOO IN DEPTH ABOUT IT.

SO FROM THERE SHE WAS LIKE, "OH, I WAS WAVING AT YOU EARLIER, YOU KNOW. YOU'RE REALLY ATTRACTIVE." AND I WAS LIKE, "WELL, WE CAN GO ON A DATE OR SOMETHING." I TOLD HER STRAIGHT UP I HAD A WOMAN. AND SHE'S LIKE, "THAT'S OKAY." I SAID, "WE'LL GO ON A DATE TO GET TO KNOW EACH OTHER." I TOLD HER I WAS HOMELESS, AND I WAS THERE, AND THE NEXT DAY I WAS GOING TO TAKE THIS LAWSUIT, AND I WAS GOING TO SERVE IT ON SAN MARINO OR MY WOMAN WAS. SHE SAYS, "THAT'S COOL. SO YOU KNOW A LOT ABOUT LAW."

SHE'S LIKE, "MAYBE YOU CAN HELP ME WITH MY DIVORCE STUFF." I TOLD HER STRAIGHT UP, "IF YOU WANT ME TO HELP YOU, YOU HAVE TO GIVE ME MONEY. I DON'T DO LAW STUFF FOR FREE."

AND SHE'S LIKE, "YEAH, YOU KNOW, THAT'S FINE, YOU KNOW." I WAS LIKE, "SO YOU'RE SAYING I CAN STAY AT YOUR HOUSE?" I MEAN, AND SHE WAS LIKE, "YEAH, YOU KNOW, YOU CAN LIVE WITH ME FOR AN INDEFINITE PERIOD OF TIME." I SAID, "OKAY, I'LL HELP YOU WITH THE LAW. YOU CAN PAY ME A LITTLE, AND THEN THE REST YOU PAY THROUGH THE RENT." SO WE GREED. SHE AGREED TO LET ME LIVE WITH HER.

SHE CALLED UBER XL BECAUSE I HAD A LOT OF STUFF, LOADED EVERYTHING IN THE UBER XL. WE WERE IN THE UBER XL AND DRIVING BACK TO HER PLACE. SHE JUST STARTS ACTING REAL SEXUAL LIKE SHE WANTS TO JUMP ON ME. I CAN'T WAIT TO HAVE SEX WITH YOU, AND I CAN'T WAIT, AND ALL THIS STUFF. AND I'M LIKE OKAY WHATEVER. SO THIS IS WHEN I START TO REALIZE SHE HAS MENTAL HEALTH ISSUES.

SHE'S LIKE WELL -- WHEN WE GET THERE SHE'S LIKE, "I HAVE A CART FOR YOU. IT'S A REALLY NICE CART. IT'S LIKE A BENTLEY-TYPE CART, LIKE A MERCEDES BENZ." I SAID, "OKAY, I'LL TAKE A CART. SHE BRINGS OUT A BABY STROLLER. I WAS LIKE, "OKAY."

SO I START LOADING MY STUFF IN THE BABY STROLLER. AND I TAKE THE OTHER STUFF OUT OF THE UBER, AND I PUT IT INSIDE THE HOUSE. EVERYTHING IS INSIDE THE HOUSE PROBABLY IN ABOUT 20, 30 MINUTES. THERE'S A LOT OF DOGS. DOGS ARE OKAY, BUT, YOU KNOW, THREE DOGS -- I'M JUST LIKE, "WOW, YOU HAVE A LOT OF DOGS." AND SHE MADE A COMMENT, "WELL, THE DOGS RULE THE HOUSE. IF YOU DON'T LIKE THE DOGS, YOU GOT TO GO." SO I DIDN'T SAY ANYTHING ELSE ABOUT THE DOGS.

THERE IS ONE PARTICULAR DOG NAMED COCO OR SOMETHING

SHE CALLED HER, AND SHE WAS VERY PROTECTIVE OVER HER. LIKE, EVERYWHERE WE WENT, SHE FOLLOWED. AND WHEN I WOULD STAND UP, EVERY TIME I STAND UP, SHE BARKED. SO I HAVE TO SIT DOWN. I THINK SHE WAS AFRAID THAT I MIGHT ATTACK JENNIFER. SO THERE COULD BE SOME TRUTH TO THIS, TO THE DV, BASED ON THE WAY THE DOG WAS ACTING. BECAUSE THE DOG WAS ACTING VERY, VERY PROTECTIVE WITH ME.

EVERYWHERE I WENT, IT WOULD FOLLOW. AND IT WOULD BARK AND GROWL AT ME UNTIL I SAT DOWN. THERE WAS ANOTHER DOG. IT LOOKED KIND OF LIKE LASSIE. IT WAS A QUIET DOG. THIS THIRD DOG HAD LIKE ALZHEIMER'S. SO IT WOULD RUN INTO THE WALL, YOU KNOW. DIDN'T KNOW WHERE IT WAS GOING; THAT TYPE OF THING. SO EVERYTHING WAS IN THE HOUSE, WENT TO THE BACK. WE HAD SEX. WE HAD SEX A SECOND TIME. AFTER WE HAD SEX, SHE WANTED ME TO, LIKE, STAY INSIDE HER FOR AWHILE. I WAS LIKE, OKAY WHATEVER. WE HAD UNPROTECTED SEX. WE HAD SEX AGAIN. I WENT TO SLEEP.

AFTER THAT, IN THE MIDDLE OF THE NIGHT, LIKE, 2:00 IN THE MORNING, I NOTICED THAT SHE LEFT THE BEDROOM. SO THEN IT WAS LIKE, I GO OUT AND I'M LIKE WHERE IS SHE? DID SHE LEAVE THE HOUSE, YOU KNOW? AND I GO CHECK. I SEE SHE'S IN ANOTHER BEDROOM AND I SAID, "WHY DID YOU LEAVE?" AND SHE SAYS, WELL, YOU WERE KIND OF SNORING, SO I COULDN'T SLEEP." I WAS LIKE, "ALL, RIGHT WHATEVER." SO I WENT BACK TO SLEEP.

THE NEXT MORNING, I TOLD HER HOW I FELT ABOUT LIKE LIVING THERE. I WAS LIKE, "YOU KNOW, I DON'T KNOW IF THIS IS GOING TO WORK OUT. YOU'RE, LIKE, COMPLAINING ABOUT ME SNORING AND STUFF." AND IT'S LIKE THE NEXT MORNING TOO SHE WAS BEING

DELUSIONAL. SO I SAY AROUND -- THIS IS WHERE THINGS WENT REALLY SOUTH. SO PROBABLY AROUND 9:00 A.M., SHE CLAIMS THAT HER HUSBAND TRIED TO BREAK INTO THE HOUSE. SHE SAID, "DID YOU SEE THE ALARM GO OFF?" SHE'S LIKE, "DID YOU SEE THE CAR LEAVE?" AND I WAS LIKE, "WHAT ARE YOU TALKING ABOUT? I DIDN'T SEE ANYBODY."

SO SHE CALLS THIS WOMAN NAMED JEANETTE MEYERS, A FRIEND OF HERS. AND JEANETTE MEYERS TELLS HER, "YEAH, THE ALARM WENT OFF." SO SHE'S LIKE, "SEE, JEANETTE MEYERS SAID THE ALARM WENT OFF. HE WAS HERE." I SAID, "LOOK, I DIDN'T SEE NO CAR LEAVE. I DIDN'T SEE NOBODY RUNNING DOWN THE DRIVEWAY." SHE SAYS, "HE OPENED THE DOOR." I SAY, "YOU OPENED THAT DOOR FROM LAST NIGHT WHEN YOU LET THE DOGS OUT." SHE SAYS, "NO, I CLOSED IT." I SAID, "NO, YOU LEFT IT UNLOCKED. YOUR HUSBAND DIDN'T TRY TO BREAK INTO THE HOUSE."

THEN SHE STARTS TELLING ME THAT I'M GOING TO HAVE TO LEAVE. I SAID, "WHY DO I GOT TO LEAVE?" SHE'S LIKE, "WELL, YOU'LL BE KILLED IF YOU STAY HERE. MY HUSBAND WILL KILL YOU BECAUSE YOU'RE BLACK." AND I WAS LIKE, I TOLD HER STRAIGHT UP, LIKE, "I'M NOT AFRAID OF YOUR HUSBAND. I MEAN, IF HE TRIES TO COME HERE OR ANYTHING, BUT I DON'T THINK HE WILL." AND SHE'S LIKE, "NO, YOU GOT TO LEAVE BECAUSE, YOU KNOW, HE'S GOING TO TRY TO KILL YOU." SO THEN I WAS LIKE, YOU KNOW WHAT -- THIS IS WHERE THE AUDIO COMES IN ON THE DAMN PHONE.

SO THE WHOLE MORNING FROM THAT POINT ON, I WAS RECORDING ON MY AUDIO. THE DAMN PHONE THAT THEY MISPLACED. SO FROM THAT POINT ON, I WAS RECORDING THE AUDIO ALL THE WAY EVEN AFTER THEY RETRIEVED IT, EVEN AFTER THEY RETRIEVED MY

PHONE. THE AUDIO STAYS CONTINUOUS ON MY PHONE UNTIL IT SHUTS OFF OR YOU STOP THE RECORDING. AND EVEN IF THE PHONE DIES, IT SAVES THE RECORDING KIND OF LIKE A GO PRO. SO FROM THAT POINT ON, I STARTED TURNING THE AUDIO ON MY PHONE.

I WAS LIKE, I DON'T REALLY TRUST THIS WOMAN ANYMORE. SHE'S BECOMING WEIRD. SHE'S TALKING ABOUT HER HUSBAND GOING TO KILL ME AT THE HOUSE, AND I NEED TO LEAVE. I WAS LIKE -- I STARTED THINKING ABOUT THINGS. I TOLD HER LIKE LOOK, "WE HAD AN AGREEMENT. YOU KNOW, YOU SAID I CAN LIVE HERE. I'M JUST GOING TO GET A FORCEFUL DETAINER. YOU SAID I CAN MOVE IN. SO, LIKE, I'M A ROOMMATE. CHARGE ME RENT, WHATEVER." BECAUSE IT WAS LIKE A FIVE-BEDROOM HOUSE. IT HAD A SWIMMING POOL. SHE'S LIKE, "NO, YOU GOT TO LEAVE." SHE'S LIKE, "I GOT TO GO TO COURT. YOU GOT GO." SHE'S KEPT SAYING THAT.

AND THEN, LIKE, I WAS KIND OF LIKE, "WELL, YOU KNOW WHAT. I'M GOING TO SUE YOU." AND THEN I WAS LIKE, "I'LL LEAVE, BUT I'M GOING TO COME BACK WITH A LAWSUIT." AND AT THAT POINT, I STARTED MAKING SURE EVERYTHING WAS PACKED UP. I WAS MAKING SURE I DIDN'T LEAVE ANYTHING IN THE BACK ROOM THAT I WAS IN THAT I HAD SEX WITH HER. I MADE SURE NOTHING WAS LEFT IN THE HALLWAY. EVERYTHING WAS PACKED UP.

AT THIS POINT, IT'S PROBABLY AROUND 8:30, 9:00 A.M. AT THAT TIME, I CALL SAN MARINO CITY HALL. I TALKED TO THE LADY CHRISTINA. SHE'S A CITY CLERK. AND I SAID, "YOU KNOW, I'M GOING TO BE THERE IN A LITTLE BIT, YOU KNOW, TO SERVE YOU SOME PAPERS." I GO SO CAUGHT UP LIKE WITH WHAT WAS GOING ON THAT MORNING THAT I FORGOT THAT I WAS SUPPOSED TO MEET ALISON AT THE SOUTH PASADENA POLICE DEPARTMENT. AND THEN WE WERE

SUPPOSED TO CATCH AN UBER TO SAN MARINO. SO I'M ON AUTOPILOT ABOUT GOING TO SAN MARINO.

AT THAT POINT EVERYTHING WAS READY AT THE DOOR. AND THEN YOU KNOW, I WAS LIKE -- SHE WAS LIKE, "ARE YOU GOING TO LEAVE?" I WAS LIKE, "YEAH, I'LL LEAVE, BUT LIKE I TOLD YOU I'M GOING TO COME BACK WITH A FORCEFUL DETAINER LET HER KNOW." AT THAT POINT SHE'S LIKE SHE'LL CALL ME AN UBER. I HAVE AN UBER ACCOUNT, AND I HAVE A LYFT ACCOUNT, BUT JUST BECAUSE HOW WEIRD SHE WAS ACTING, I THINK IT WAS BETTER FOR HER TO CALL THE UBER.

SHE CALLED THE UBER. SHE CALLED THE UBER. IT WAS AN UBER XL. SO THE UBER XL PULLED UP. WHEN THE UBER XL PULLED UP, RIGHT BEFORE IT PULLED UP, BASED ON THE TENSION WITH HER, I STARTED THE CAMERA. THAT WAS THE CONTINUOUS VIDEO THAT WE SAW.

I TURNED ON THE CAMERA, GO OUTSIDE. I TELL HER, "HEY, TELL THE UBER, YOU KNOW, TO PULL UP ON THE DRIVEWAY. YOU KNOW BECAUSE -- SO I CAN GET MY STUFF OUT QUICKER." AND SHE WAS LIKE, "I'M NOT GOING TO TELL HIM." I WAS LIKE TELLING SOMEONE LIKE, YOU KNOW, THE UBER DRIVER IS, YOU KNOW, NOT GOING TO LISTEN TO ME BECAUSE OF MY ACCOUNT. I WAS LIKE, "YOU NEED TO TELL HIM." AND SHE WAS LIKE, "YOU DON'T TALK TO ME LIKE THAT." SHE STORMED IN ON THE INSIDE OF THE HOUSE. BUT SHE WAS QUICKER. SHE BEAT ME INSIDE. SO WHEN I CAME INSIDE, THE DOOR WAS LOCKED.

I THINK THAT I WENT TO THE DOOR TO SEE IF IT WAS OPENED. AT THAT POINT, I STARTED MAKING STATEMENTS TO HER. I DON'T REMEMBER EXACTLY WHAT THE STATEMENTS WERE. WE SAW IT ON

THE VIDEO. WE KNOW THERE WEREN'T CRIMINAL THREATS. IT WAS LIKE, "NOW, I'M GOING TO SUE YOU. IF YOU HAVE ME ARRESTED, I'M GOING TO SUE YOU. LIKE, I DON'T CARE. CALL THE POLICE," THAT TYPE OF THING. AFTER I MADE THOSE STATEMENTS TO HER, I SAT DOWN JUST LIKE WE SAW ON THE VIDEO, I WAS ON MY PHONE. I DON'T HONESTLY REMEMBER WHAT I WAS DOING ON MY PHONE.

I KNOW WHILE I WILL SITTING DOWN, I SENT HER TWO TEXT MESSAGES. THE FIRST TEXT MESSAGE THAT I SENT TO HER -- BY THE WAY SHE MADE A COMMENT, SHE DIDN'T KNOW I GOT HER PHONE NUMBER. SHE GAVE ME HER PHONE NUMBER THAT NIGHT BECAUSE INITIALLY WE WERE GOING TO GO ON A DATE. SO I NEEDED A WAY TO REACH HER. SO SHE GAVE ME HER PHONE NUMBER THAT NIGHT. I CALLED HER. SHE ANSWERED. SHE SAVED MY INFORMATION IN HER PHONE; THAT'S HOW I GOT HER PHONE -- OR THAT'S HOW I GO HER PHONE NUMBER.

SO I SENT HER A TEXT MESSAGE, AND THE FIRST TEXT MESSAGE, I SAID SOMETHING LIKE, "WHY DID YOU LET THE UBER LEAVE? LIKE, WHAT ARE YOU DOING?" I SAID, "ARE YOU MENTALLY ILL." AND THE SECOND TEXT MESSAGE I SENT TO HER. SO I DIDN'T -- I SAT DOWN. AT THAT TIME I KNOW SHE WAS CALLING THE POLICE. I COULDN'T HEAR WHAT WAS GOING ON. I KNEW SHE WENT IN THE HOUSE, AND SHE LOCKED ME OUT THE MAIN RESIDENCE. THEN THIS WAS ALL THAT. I SAID MY STATEMENTS. I SAT DOWN.

AFTER THAT, I WANT TO SAY LIKE MAYBE FIVE MINUTES LATER, A SECOND UBER PULLED UP LIKE WE SAW IN THE VIDEO. THE UBER PULLED UP. IT WAS LIKE ONE OF THOSE, YOU KNOW, SMALL SUV'S. IT'S NOT LIKE A CADILLAC ESCALADE OR ANYTHING. IT WAS A SMALL SUV. I SAID TO THEM, "YOU KNOW, IT'S NOT BIG ENOUGH."

I KNEW ALL MY STUFF WASN'T GOING TO FIT IN THERE. AND THEN HE TOOK OFF. AND THEN AFTER THAT, I WENT BACK, AND I SAT DOWN. AND THEN AFTER THAT, I JUST SAT DOWN.

AND THEN I -- ABOUT THREE OR FOUR MINUTES LATER, THAT'S WHEN I HEARD SIRENS OR SOME TYPE OF NOISE. AND THEN I WENT OUT, AND THEN I LEFT MY PHONE BEHIND. AND THE REASON I LEFT MY PHONE BEHIND IS BECAUSE I'VE BEEN IN LIFE-OR-DEATH SITUATIONS WITH THE SHERIFF. PEOPLE CALL THE POLICE THEY SAID, "HE'S GOT A GUN," OR WHATEVER, "AND THEY DREW GUNS ON ME." I DON'T THINK IT'S A GOOD IDEA TO GO OUTSIDE WITH THIS PHONE WHICH THEY MAY TAKE FOR A GUN AND SHOOT ME BECAUSE I DON'T KNOW WHAT SHE SAID TO THEM. SO I LEFT THE PHONE BEHIND. I STEPPED OUT. AND THEN, YOU KNOW, I FELT IT'S EXCESSIVE FORCE TO BE PULLED THE GUNS ON ME WHEN I DIDN'T HAVE A WEAPON, BUT I DON'T KNOW THAT'S WHAT THEY DID.

AFTER THAT, THEY TOOK ME TO THE CAR. THERE WAS A SERGEANT THERE, AND HE'S LIKE, "OH, YEAH, HOLLYWOOD, I REMEMBER YOU." AND I WAS LIKE, "I DON'T GIVE A CRAP." HONESTLY, I'M PROBABLY THE MOST POPULAR PERSON THAT THE SHERIFF'S KNOW. EVERY COURT I GO TO, EVERY JAIL I GO TO, THERE'S ALWAYS A DEPUTY THERE, "OH, HOLLYWOOD." SO I DIDN'T THINK NOTHING OF IT. FOR ALL I KNOW, HE MIGHT HAVE KNEW ANOTHER DEPUTY THAT KNEW ME. I NEVER SEEN HIM BEFORE.

WHEN WE WENT TOWARDS THE CAR, I'M MAKING STATEMENTS TO HIM, LIKE, "I DON'T KNOW YOU. GET AWAY FROM ME." AND I GUESS BECAUSE HE'S CLOSE TO ME, I SPIT ON HIM. SO HE SAYS -- THEY TOLD ME TO -- LIKE WHEN IT GOT TOWARDS THE CAR, I'VE ALWAYS BEEN LIKE THIS EVEN BEFORE GEORGE FLOYD. I DON'T LIKE

SITTING ON THE CURB. I'D RATHER GO INSIDE THE CAR. I THINK IT'S SAFER FOR THE ARRESTEE TO BE INSIDE THE CAR.

SO THEY WERE TRYING TO GET ME TO SIT DOWN ON THE CURB. I WAS LIKE, "NO, I'M NOT SITTING DOWN. SO ARREST ME, DETAIN ME, WHATEVER. I'M GOING INSIDE THE VEHICLE." AND THEY SAID, "YEAH." I THINK THE SERGEANT THAT WAS THERE HE SAID, "YEAH, PUT HIM IN THE CAR. HE'S GOING TO JAIL." AND HE SAYS, "HE'S GOING TO JAIL FOR SPITTING ON ME, AND HE'S GOING TO JAIL FOR TRESPASS."

AND THEN I GUESS HE STARTED MAKING SOME STATEMENTS LIKE, "HE DOES THIS ALL THE TIME. HE'S ALWAYS LIKE, YOU KNOW, LIKE SQUATTING OR SOMETHING. HE LIKES MOTELS AND PEOPLE'S HOUSES." HE MADE SOME STATEMENTS LIKE THAT. AFTER THAT, I GOT DROVE TO THE POLICE DEPARTMENT. WHEN I GOT THERE, AND THEY, LIKE, TOOK EVERYTHING OUT OF MY POCKET, PUT ME IN A CELL. I THINK IT WAS CONTRERAS. I IMMEDIATELY TOLD HIM, I SAID, "LISTEN, I WANT MY PHONE." AND HE SAYS, "WE GOT YOUR PHONE."

I SAYS, "I WANT YOU TO TAKE MY PHONE AND PUT IT IN THE PROPERTY THAT I GO TO THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT OR WHEN I GO TO JAIL -- " I ASSUME I WAS GOING TO GO TO JAIL ON THIS -- "SO I HAVE MY PHONE, AND I CAN HAVE IT AS EVIDENCE WHEN I GO TO COURT." AND HE SAYS, "WE GOT YOUR PHONE," AND HE'S LIKE, "IT'S GOING TO GO IN YOUR BULK PROPERTY." AND I SAID, "NO, I WANT THE PHONE TO GO WITH ME." AND HE SAYS, "IT'S GOING TO GO IN YOUR BULK PROPERTY."

HOW I FEEL ABOUT THE SITUATION IS CONTRERAS DIDN'T WANT TO BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE PHONE. THEY HAD IT WITH MY

PROPERTY. HE DIDN'T WANT TO TAKE IT FROM THE BULK PROPERTY AND GET LOST OR SOMETHING. SO I'M IN THE HOLDING CELL I WOULD SAY FOR ABOUT 12:00 TO 4:00. I'M YELLING OUT ALL THE TIME, "I WANT TO TALK TO THE WATCH COMMANDER. LIKE YOU GUYS ARE VIOLATING MY RIGHTS, YOU KNOW. I'M INNOCENT."

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, RELEVANCE AT THIS POINT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: IT IS RELEVANT.

THE COURT: YEAH, WHERE ARE WE GOING AFTER THAT?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: WE'RE TALKING ABOUT -- WE'RE TALKING ABOUT THE EVIDENCE. WE'RE GETTING TO THE DETECTIVE -- DETECTIVE K. INTERVIEWED ME. I'M GETTING TO THAT NEXT.

THE COURT: SO YOUR INTERVIEW?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YEAH, SO I'M GETTING THERE, AND I'M GOING AS FAST AS I CAN.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, GO AHEAD.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: ALL RIGHT, SO I'M YELLING AT, YOU KNOW, DETECTIVES THAT, YOU KNOW, I GOT EVIDENCE ON MY GO PRO AND MY PHONE AND FOR THEM TO BRING IT TO ME. I BELIEVE IT WAS RICHARD LEWIS, BUT I AM NOT SURE, BUT I BELIEVE IT WAS HIM. AND HE SAID SOMETHING ALONG THE LINES TO ME, LIKE, "WE GOT YOUR PHONE. WE GOT YOUR GO PRO. IT'S SEIZED AS EVIDENCE TO BE USED TO CONVICT YOU," THAT'S ALL HE SAID. AND THEN I ASKED THE WATCH COMMANDER SANTANA. I SAID, "LOOK, MAN. CAN YOU GUYS BRING MY PHONE OR GO PRO? I CAN SHOW THE VIDEOS THAT I'M INNOCENT." THEY SAID, "NO, NO. WE'RE NOT GOING TO DO THAT."

THEY TOOK ME BACK TO -- AND THIS IS THE ONLY REASON I TALKED BECAUSE I WANTED TO KNOW WHAT THE HELL'S GOING ON WITH MY GO PRO AND MY PHONE. SO THEY TOOK ME BACK. THAT DAY,

BY THE WAY, I MADE CALLS TO THE D.A. OFFICE, AND I TOLD THEM I HAD EVIDENCE TOO. THEY TOOK ME BACK TO MY CELL. WHEN THEY TOOK ME BACK TO MY CELL, I THINK IT WAS LIKE 5:00 P.M. OR SOMETHING -- 6:00 P.M. I DIDN'T EAT ALL DAY. THEY DIDN'T GIVE ME ANY FOOD.

I BELIEVE DETECTIVE K. CAME. I THOUGHT IT WAS SHADY THAT HE HAD ALL THAT TIME TO INTERVIEW ME OUT THERE WHERE THERE WAS LIGHT AND CAMERAS AND HE WAITS UNTIL I'M IN A DARK CELL. SO HE CAME AND HE WAS LIKE, "YOU KNOW, YOU WANT TO SPEAK TO ME." AND I SAID, "HEY, I KNOW MY MIRANDA. AS LONG AS IT'S RECORDED, I DON'T SEE AN ISSUE WITH IT." AND HE HELD OUT A PHONE. LATER, I REALIZE AT THE END OF THE INTERVIEW THAT THE PHONE WASN'T RECORDING ANYTHING. HE PROBABLY WAS RECORDING ON HIS BODY CAM OR SO -- SO HE JUST SAID, "YOU AGREE TO SPEAK," HE DIDN'T REALLY MIRANDIZE ME OFFICIALLY, BUT HE WAS JUST KIND OF LIKE, "YOU AGREE TO ME SPEAK TO ME WITHOUT AN ATTORNEY?" I WAS LIKE, "YEAH."

HE ASKED ME WHAT HAPPENED. I SAID, "LOOK STRAIGHT UP. THERE'S EVIDENCE ON MY PHONE. THERE'S EVIDENCE ON MY GO PRO THAT I DIDN'T COMMIT A CRIME." AND HE SAYS THAT -- HE SAYS THAT, "WELL, I DON'T HAVE YOUR PHONE." I SAID DON'T, "DID YOU SEIZE MY GO PRO?" HE SAYS, "NO, WE DIDN'T SEIZE YOUR GO PRO." HE SAYS, "I DON'T HAVE YOUR PHONE." I SAYS WELL, "IF YOU GO GET MY GO PRO, I CAN SHOW VIDEOS OF WHAT HAPPENED." HE'S LIKE, "WELL, I'M HERE, AND I'LL JUST KIND OF HEAR YOUR STATEMENT." AND THAT'S IT.

THE COURT: OKAY, ALL RIGHT. MR. ROSENBERG.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YOU CAN CROSS-EXAMINE.

MR. ROSENBERG: ALL RIGHT.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

Q. BY MR. ROSENBERG: SO JENNIFER ACTUALLY TOLD YOU THAT YOU COULD STAY AT HER HOUSE, RIGHT?

A. YES, AT THE SOUTH PASADENA POLICE DEPARTMENT. WE HAD AN AGREEMENT THAT I'LL LIVE THERE INDEFINITELY THAT I'D BE HELPING HER WITH LAW AND THAT SHE WOULD ALSO PAY ME OUT OF POCKET.

Q. AND THEN THE VERY NEXT MORNING SHE RECANTED THAT OR SHE DECIDED THAT YOU COULDN'T LIVE THERE, RIGHT?

A. NO. SHE -- SHE MADE THE SPECIFIC STATEMENTS THAT I COULDN'T LIVE THERE ANYMORE BECAUSE SHE FEARED FOR MY SAFETY. AND THIS IS WHY I TRIED TO BRING IN THE 5150.

Q. ALL RIGHT, HOLD ON.

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, NONRESPONSIVE.

THE COURT: SUSTAINED.

THE WITNESS: OKAY, SORRY. I'LL GO BACK.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: NO, I'M GOING TO ASK YOU THE QUESTIONS.

A. OKAY.

Q. SO SHE TELLS YOU -- SHE TELLS YOU HAVE TO LEAVE, RIGHT? JUST YES OR NO?

A. YES.

Q. AND THEN THAT UPSET YOU; THAT UPSET YOU THAT THE NIGHT BEFORE SHE IS TELLING YOU THAT YOU CAN LIVE THERE, AND NOW THE VERY NEXT DAY SHE'S SAYING, "YOU GOT TO GO." THAT'S

UPSETTING, RIGHT?

A. I DIDN'T FEEL IT WAS UPSETTING. I FELT LIKE SHE'S A PERSON THAT, YOU KNOW, YOU COULDN'T -- YOU COULDN'T REALLY TRUST. LIKE, I MEAN WE HAD AN AGREEMENT, BUT I ALSO THOUGHT SHE WAS MENTALLY --

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, NONRESPONSIVE.

THE WITNESS: OKAY, SORRY. YEAH, I WASN'T UPSET ABOUT IT.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: OKAY, YOU'RE NOT UPSET ABOUT IT?

A. NO, I WASN'T ANGRY.

Q. EVEN ON YOUR GO PRO CAMERA --

A. RIGHT.

Q. -- YOU MAKE A THREAT TO HER SAYING, "I AM GOING TO SUE YOU REGARDING THIS."

A. YEAH.

Q. "YOU DO NOT KNOW WHO YOU'RE MESSING WITH," RIGHT? YOU SAY THOSE WORDS?

A. YEAH, I DID THE SAME THING TO THE COUNCIL MEMBER. IT'S WORSE THAN THAT. I CAN GET REAL ANGRY ABOUT SUING, AND I THINK WITH THE COUNSEL MEMBER --

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, NONRESPONSIVE.

THE WITNESS: OKAY, SORRY.

THE COURT: YOU'VE ANSWERED THE QUESTION.

THE WITNESS: YEAH. I DO GET ANGRY --

MR. ROSENBERG: HOLD ON.

THE COURT: YOU ALREADY ANSWERED.

MR. ROSENBERG: I WILL ASK THE QUESTIONS.

THE WITNESS: OKAY.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: YOU WERE SO UPSET THAT YOU WERE TELLING HER, "YOU DO NOT KNOW WHO YOU'RE MESSING WITH." YOU'RE THREATENING HER IN THAT MOMENT, RIGHT?

A. I THREATENED TO SUE HER WHEN I SAID --

Q. WELL, WHY DO YOU SAY, "YOU DO NOT KNOW WHO YOU'RE MESSING WITH"?

A. BECAUSE I DON'T THINK SHE REALIZED WHO I WAS IN FEDERAL COURT. IF ANYBODY LOOKS ON LEXUSNEXUS, I HAVE 40 ENTRIES. I'VE SUED PEOPLE. I'VE HAVE ACTIVE CASES AGAINST Q-SMART PENNSYLVANIA. I'VE SUED LIFE STORAGE LAST YEAR. I ALSO SUED IN CALIFORNIA.

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, NONRESPONSIVE.

THE WITNESS: OKAY, WELL, I'M TESTIFYING NOW.

MR. ROSENBERG: YOU'RE NOT TESTIFYING NOW. THIS IS CROSS-EXAMINATION NOW. I'M ASKING YOU QUESTIONS.

THE WITNESS: WELL, I'M TELLING YOU THAT'S WHAT I MEANT WHEN I SAY, "YOU DON'T KNOW WHO YOU'RE MESSING WITH."

MR. ROSENBERG: YOUR HONOR, WOULD THE COURT RULE PLEASE?

THE COURT: HOLD ON.

THE WITNESS: GO AHEAD.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'LL JUST GO BACK AND TESTIFY.

THE COURT: WAIT FOR THE QUESTION.

THE WITNESS: I'LL JUST SAY I'LL GO BACK TESTIFY TO THAT AFTER HE'S DONE.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: LET'S TALK ABOUT YOU SUING.

A. YES.

Q. YOU'RE A VEXATIOUS LITIGANT, AREN'T YOU?

A. YES, I AM, CORRECT.

Q. AS A VEXATIOUS LITIGANT, YOU ARE NOT ALLOWED TO SUE ANYBODY?

A. YES, I AM.

Q. AS A PERSON WHO CANNOT SUE, THE ONLY REASON YOU WOULD THREATEN TO SUE SOMEBODY IS BECAUSE YOU WERE TRYING TO INTIMIDATE THEM, ISN'T THAT CORRECT?

A. THAT'S NOT CORRECT. IF YOU LET ME TESTIFY, I'LL EXPLAIN.

Q. WELL, YOU ARE NOT TESTIFYING, YOU ARE ANSWERING MY QUESTIONS. YOU HAD YOUR CHANCE TO TESTIFY. NOW, YOU'RE TALKING TO ME.

A. OKAY, I CAN'T SUE IN FEDERAL COURT.

THE COURT: HOLD ON. YOU'VE ALREADY ANSWERED THE QUESTION. YOU'LL HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY IF YOU WANT TO CLARIFY SOMETHING.

THE WITNESS: ALL RIGHT, OKAY.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: SO LET'S TALK ABOUT BEYOND THIS CASE, YOU'VE TRIED TO INTIMIDATE OTHER PEOPLE BY THREATENING TO SUE THEM, ISN'T THAT CORRECT?

A. ALL THE TIME.

Q. ALL THE TIME. ME, RIGHT?

A. MS. LEE.

Q. MS. LEE?

A. I SENT AN E-MAIL TO HER FAMILY TOLD HER I WAS GOING TO SUE, AND I MEANT IT.

Q. SO YOU THREATENED THE D.A. BY E-MAILING SAYING YOU WERE GOING TO SUE HER FAMILY?

A. I E-MAILED HER FAMILY AND TOLD HER I WAS GOING TO

SUE THEM. I THINK THAT, IF VILLALOBOS REMEMBERS, I TOLD THEM IN COURT I'M GOING TO SUE HER FAMILY, AND I'M GOING TO SUE HER FAMILY.

Q. SO YOU THREATENED HER FAMILY THAT WEREN'T EVEN PART OF THIS CASE?

A. YEAH, THEY'RE GETTING SUED TOO BECAUSE I'M UPSET ABOUT THE FALSE ARREST AND MALICIOUS PROSECUTION FOR 95 DAYS. I REALIZED THAT, IF I SUE HER, SHE WON'T CARE. IF I SUE HER FAMILY, SHE'LL CARE. MS. LEE'S FAMILY'S GETTING SUED. I GOT HER HOME ADDRESS TOO.

Q. IN THIS CASE, HOW MANY TIMES HAVE YOU THREATENED TO SUE ME?

A. A LOT; THAT'S WHAT I DO. WELL, I DON'T FEEL I THREATEN TO SUE. I FEEL LIKE WHEN I SUE, IT'S A PROMISE BECAUSE A LOT OF TIMES, I FOLLOW THROUGH.

Q. OKAY --

A. IN THE LAST YEAR, I PROBABLY FOLLOWED THROUGH ABOUT 80 PERCENT.

Q. AS A VEXATIOUS LITIGANT, YOU'RE FOLLOWING THROUGH?

A. YEAH, IF YOU LET ME EXPLAIN, I CAN EXPLAIN HOW I'M SUING PEOPLE AND BE A VEXATIOUS LITIGANT.

Q. IN THIS CASE, DID YOU NOT THREATEN TO SUE NOT JUST MYSELF BUT THE JUDGE AS WELL?

A. I DON'T PLAN ON SUING THE JUDGE. I --

Q. DID YOU NOT JUST FILE A MOTION SUING HIM FOR 14 MILLION DOLLARS?

A. IF YOU LET ME EXPLAIN, IT'S NOT --

Q. LET'S READ IT.

A. IT'S NOT FILED IN THE COURT. I DON'T PLAN ON SUING VILLALOBOS. I JUST --

Q. HOLD ON.

A. OKAY, GO AHEAD.

MR. ROSENBERG: YOUR HONOR, I HAVE IN MY HAND A MOTION FILED BY THIS HERE DEFENDANT TITLED, "HOLLYWOOD'S APPENDIX OF EXHIBITS IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO DISMISS." MAY THAT BE MARKED COLLECTIVELY AS PEOPLE'S 2?

THE COURT: SO MARKED.

(PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT NUMBER 2
IS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

MR. ROSENBERG: ALSO SHOWS THAT IS WAS FILED MARCH 20TH, 2023. DEPUTY, MAY YOU PLEASE HAND THAT TO HIM?

THE WITNESS: IT'S A BAD COPY. I WAS HOPING FOR A BETTER COPY.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: DID YOU FILE THAT?

A. ABSOLUTELY.

Q. IN THAT FILING, DID YOU THREATEN TO SUE NOT JUST MYSELF BUT THE JUDGE AS WELL?

A. I DIDN'T THREATEN TO SUE THE JUDGE. I DON'T PLAN ON SUING THE JUDGE.

Q. MAYBE WE CAN REFRESH YOUR RECOLLECTION --

A. NO. I WROTE IN THERE THAT HE WAS A DEFENDANT, BUT I'M TELLING YOU NOW UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY THAT VILLALOBOS IS NOT GOING TO BE A DEFENDANT IN THAT CASE. I THINK HE'S A FAIR JUDGE.

Q. SO YOU DID WRITE IN HERE THAT YOU WERE SUING THE JUDGE?

A. BUT I'M NOT -- I'LL TELLING -- TESTIFYING NOW I HAVE NO PROBLEM WITH VILLALOBOS. I WANTED TO SEND A MESSAGE TO THE D.A.'S OFFICE THAT NO ONE IS UNTOUCHABLE ON THAT CASE -- ON WHEN IT COMES TO COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE VERSUS --

(WHEREUPON THE PARTIES BEGIN SPEAKING OVER EACH OTHER.)

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: BUT YOU --

A. -- BUT I DO NOT PLAN TO SUE VILLALOBOS.

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, NONRESPONSIVE?

THE COURT: LET'S MOVE ON TO, MR. ROSENBERG.

MR. ROSENBERG: I THINK IT IS IMPORTANT, THOUGH.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: SURE, GO AHEAD.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: SO OVER AND OVER AGAIN, YOU ARE USING THIS THREAT OF SUING PEOPLE AS FORM TO INTIMIDATE THEM, ISN'T THAT CORRECT?

A. NO.

Q. JUST LIKE YOU DID ON THAT VIDEO TO THIS VICTIM?

A. NO, IT'S NOT TRUE.

Q. IT'S NOT TRUE?

A. I --

Q. YOU THREATENED PEOPLE IN THE PAST, RIGHT?

A. I NEVER MADE CRIMINAL THREATS TO PEOPLE. I MADE THREATS TO SUE PEOPLE LIKE WE SAW WITH THE COUNCIL MEMBER.

BUT I DON'T MAKE THREATS TO SUE PEOPLE TO INTIMIDATE THEM. I MAKE THREATS -- I TELL PEOPLE I'M GOING TO SUE THEM BECAUSE A LAWSUIT IS COMING.

Q. YOU'VE NEVER CRIMINALLY THREATENED SOMEBODY?

A. NEVER.

Q. WHY WERE YOU CONVICTED OF IT TWICE?

A. IF YOU WANT TO GO TO 2012, THAT CASE I DIDN'T REPRESENT MYSELF. THE GUY TESTIFIED AT THE PRELIM THAT I SAID SOMETHING THAT MADE HIM FEEL UNSAFE. HE SAID TO THE POLICE THAT I THREATENED TO KILL HIM. HE TESTIFIED THAT I THREATENED TO KILL HIM TWICE. HE SAID THAT I CAME BACK TO THE WINCHELL'S, AND I THREATENED TO KILL HIM. AND THE POLICE OFFICER WAS THERE, AND HE TOLD THE POLICE, "HEY, THAT'S THE GUY THAT THREATENED TO KILL ME." AND THE POLICE SAID, "WE'LL CATCH HIM ANOTHER DAY." AND BASED ON THAT STATEMENT, THE JUDGE THREW OUT THE SECOND COUNT.

I LATER TRIED TO REPRESENT MYSELF. I BELIEVE IT WAS TERRY SCHWARTZ. THERE WAS A PSYCHIATRIST EVALUATION ON ME. THE PSYCHIATRIST SAID, "HE'S VERY INTELLIGENT. I THINK HE CAN REPRESENT HIMSELF." TERRY SCHWARTZ SAID SHE'S NOT GOING TO ALLOW IT. I TOOK A 90-DAY DEAL BECAUSE I WAS ALREADY SITTING IN JAIL. I AGREED TO PLEAD NO CONTEST, NOT GUILTY, REMAIN MY INNOCENCE. I AGREED TO PLEAD NO CONTEST, AND I WOULD BE ON PROBATION FOR THREE YEARS. IF I STAYED OUT OF TROUBLE, IT'D GET DROPPED TO A MISDEMEANOR.

Q. YOU WERE CONVICTED OF A FELONY 422 IN 2012, ISN'T THAT TRUE?

A. I WAS INNOCENT. I DID NOT THREATEN.

Q. YOU WERE CONVICTED OF THAT CHARGE?

A. YES. WHAT HAPPENED WITH JAIME HERNANDEZ, IF YOU WANT ME TO TESTIFY, I WAS AT THE WELLS FARGO BANK --

Q. I'M NOT ASKING FOR THE --

THE COURT: HOLD ON. JUST ANSWER THE QUESTION.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: YOU WERE CONVICTED IN 2021 OF THE EXACT SAME CHARGE OF 422, ISN'T THAT CORRECT? YES OR NO?

A. THAT IS CORRECT, BUT IT WAS LATER OVERTURNED BASED ON THE GO PRO VIDEO --

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, NO QUESTION PENDING --

THE WITNESS: BECAUSE OF THE GO VIDEO THAT WAS --

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, NONRESPONSIVE.

THE WITNESS: -- THAT SHOWED I DIDN'T COMMIT THE CRIME. I GOT TO TESTIFY. I'M NOT GOING TO LET YOU SAY -- THERE'S NO OTHER CRIMINAL -- THIS LADY ASSUMED THAT --

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, NONRESPONSIVE.

THE COURT: HOLD ON, MR. HOLLYWOOD. SUSTAINED.

THE WITNESS: I'LL REBUTTAL ON THIS.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: YOU'VE ACTUALLY PHYSICALLY HARMED PEOPLE IN THE PAST AS WELL, RIGHT?

A. SINCE I'VE BEEN IN CALIFORNIA, NO, NOT TRUE. I HAVEN'T PHYSICALLY HARMED ANYONE SINCE I'VE BEEN IN CALIFORNIA. SINCE 2016, I'VE BEEN A TRAINED BOXER. AND BASED ON MY TRAINING SLIGHTLY IN MARTIAL ARTS AND IN BOXING, I AVOID FIST FIGHTS WHENEVER I CAN. THE LAST TIME I GOT IN A FIST FIGHT WAS IN SAN BERNARDINO JAIL. WE WERE IN A HOLDING TANK. AN INMATE KEPT APPROACHING ME. THERE'S SEVERAL

WITNESSES. I SAID, "HEY MAN, YOU GOT TO STOP COMING AT ME LIKE YOU'RE GOING TO HIT ME." HE KEPT COMING AT ME. I HIT HIM ONCE. THERE WERE WITNESSES THAT SAID, "HE KEPT TELLING HIM TO STAY AWAY FROM HIM, AND HE HAD TO DEFEND HIMSELF." I DON'T GO AROUND HITTING. I'M A TRAINED FIGHTER.

Q. YOU WERE CONVICTED OF A BATTERY BACK IN 2021, ISN'T THAT CORRECT? YES OR NO?

A. I PLEAD NO CONTEST --

Q. YES OR NO?

A. I DIDN'T HIT HER, THOUGH. I THREW CANDY AND IT HIT HER FACE. IT DIDN'T HER.

Q. WERE YOU CONVICTED OF THAT CHARGE?

A. YES, BUT IT WAS CANDY.

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, NONRESPONSIVE.

THE COURT: SUSTAINED.

THE WITNESS: AND SHE LATER THREW CANDY AT ME.

THE COURT: HOLD ON, HOLD ON.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I DIDN'T HIT HER WITH MY HANDS. IT WAS CANDY. SO LET'S BE STRAIGHT. YOU LOOK AT THE POLICE REPORT.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: SO YOU PHYSICALLY HARMED HER WITH CANDY?

A. THERE WAS NO CRIMINAL INTENT. I THREW CANDY, AND IT HIT HER. IT WASN'T LIKE I TOOK THE CANDY AND JUST LIKE THREW IT AS HARD AS I COULD. I JUST KIND OF LIKE TOSSED CANDY, AND IT HIT HER FACE. SHE CALLED THE POLICE. IT'S ON VIDEO TOO.

Q. LET'S TALK ABOUT THIS CASE.

A. OKAY.

Q. YOU KEEP TALKING ABOUT THIS GO PRO CAMERA.

A. YES.

Q. AND THAT WAS CONTINUOUSLY RECORDING THE ENTIRE TIME, WASN'T IT?

A. NO, I STATED THAT AFTER THE -- PRIOR TO ME GOING OUTSIDE MEETING THE UBER DRIVER, I TURNED ON THE CAMERA. FROM THAT POINT, I NEVER TURNED THE CAMERA OFF.

Q. SO WHY DOES THE CAMERA SHOW YOU TURNING IT OFF?

A. IT DOESN'T. YOU KEPT PAUSING THE VIDEO, AND THAT'S WHY I ASK THE JUDGE. THE JUDGE DOESN'T WANT TO SEE THE VIDEO. HE BELIEVES ME; THAT'S WHY I SAID TO THE JUDGE, "WE CAN PLAY IT ALL THE WAY THROUGH.

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, NONRESPONSIVE. LET'S PLAY IT.

THE COURT: SUSTAINED.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: SO YOU MEAN TO SAY THAT, AS DETECTIVE KHOUNTHAVONG WAS TESTIFYING, THAT YOU DIDN'T SEE THAT OF YOU TURNING OFF THE GO PRO VIDEO?

A. AFTER I WENT OUTSIDE AND I MET WITH THE -- ON THE FIRST UBER DRIVER, I DID NOT TURN THE CAMERA OFF.

Q. ALL RIGHT.

A. I DON'T REMEMBER TURNING THE CAMERA OFF AFTER THAT. I THINK IT WAS CONTINUOUS BECAUSE AT THAT POINT --

Q. NOW, YOU THINK IT WAS CONTINUOUS?

A. IT MAKE -- UNLESS YOU EDITED THE VIDEO, BUT I DON'T REMEMBER SHUTTING THE GO PRO OFF.

Q. SO NOW I EDITED THE VIDEO?

A. YOU CAN USE GO PRO I THINK IT'S GO PRO STUDIO, SIR. AND YOU CAN MAKE VIDEOS DIFFERENT LENGTH IN GO PRO.

Q. YOU ARE SAYING I DID THAT?

A. NO. I'M SAYING THE DETECTIVES WITH THEIR LITTLE HIGH-TECH LAB DID THAT. THAT THE ORIGINAL VIDEO WAS CONTINUOUS. I DIDN'T TURN THE GO PRO OFF AFTER I WENT OUTSIDE. BUT YOU CAN USE GO PRO STUDIOS, AND YOU CAN CHANGE THE LENGTH, THE DURATION OF THE VIDEO. AND THAT'S POTENTIALLY WHAT YOU DID BECAUSE YOU ARE TRYING TO MAKE IT LOOK LIKE I TURNED OFF MY CAMERA WITH HER AND THERE WAS THESE ALLEGED CRIMINAL THREATS.

Q. WELL, IT SEEMS LIKE YOU NEED A BETTER REFRESHER ON THIS, LET'S WATCH --

A. LIKE I SAID --

MR. ROSENBERG: -- THE VIDEO.

THE COURT: FOR THE RECORD, YOU'RE PLAYING THE VIDEO.

MR. ROSENBERG: YES, FROM PEOPLE'S 1. THIS IS 586.LRV.

THE COURT: WHAT PORTION?

MR. ROSENBERG: WE'RE GOING TO START AT THE VERY BEGINNING. THIS IS THE VIDEO WITH THE TIME STAMPED 9:59 A.M.

(WHEREUPON PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT 1
IS PLAYED IN OPEN COURT.)

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: MR. HOLLYWOOD, I'M STOPPING AT FOUR SECONDS IN. THIS IS DEPICTING THE FIRST UBER VEHICLE THAT ARRIVED, ISN'T THAT CORRECT?

A. YEAH. HOW LONG IS THIS VIDEO?

Q. SEVEN MINUTES AND 19 SECONDS.

A. YEAH, THIS IS THE WHOLE INCIDENT. PLAY IT ALL THE WAY THROUGH.

(WHEREUPON PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT 1
IS PLAYED IN OPEN COURT.)

MR. ROSENBERG: STOPPING AT --

THE WITNESS: NO, NO, I ADJUSTED MY GO PRO.

MR. ROSENBERG: I'M GOING TO ASK THE QUESTIONS AS I WANT TO ASK THEM.

THE WITNESS: KEEP PLAYING THE VIDEO. WHY DO YOU KEEP STOPPING?

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD, HE WANTS TO ASK YOU A QUESTION.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: SO AT 37 SECONDS WE'RE NOT WATCHING YOU BEING UPSET RIGHT NOW?

A. YEAH, I'M UPSET.

Q. BUT YOU JUST SAID EARLIER YOU WEREN'T UPSET ABOUT ALL THIS?

A. NO, I TOLD YOU I WASN'T UPSET ABOUT HER ASKING ME TO LEAVE THAT I WAS GOING TO HAND HER A FORCEFUL DETAINER. I WASN'T ANGRY ABOUT THE UBER. I WAS IRRITATED BECAUSE I WANTED TO GET OUT OF THERE. SHE WAS DELAYING THE PROCESS. YOU SEE HOW LONG HER DRIVEWAY IS. IT'S GOING TO TAKE LONGER FOR ME TO TAKE ALL THE STUFF DOWN THERE.

Q. SO THIS ISN'T YOU BEING ANGRY?

A. I'M UPSET. SHE WOULDN'T TELL THE UBER DRIVER TO PULL ON THE DRIVEWAY SO I CAN GET MY STUFF OUT OF THE HOUSE.

Q. SO YOU ARE NOT UPSET SHE'S TELLING YOU TO LEAVE?

A. NO, I'M UPSET THAT SHE WON'T TELL THE UBER DRIVER TO PULL UP THE DRIVEWAY SO I CAN GET OUT. PLAY THE VIDEO.

Q. FOR THE LAST TIME, DO NOT TELL ME WHAT TO DO. I WILL ASK THE QUESTIONS AS I SEE FIT.

A. PLEASE PLAY THE VIDEO ALL THE WAY THROUGH SO WE CAN SEE IT'S CONTINUOUS; THAT THE DEPUTIES ARE GOING TO BE ARRESTED.

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD, IT'S HIS EXAMINATION.

THE WITNESS: OKAY, ALL RIGHT. ALL RIGHT.

THE COURT: GO AHEAD.

MR. ROSENBERG: FOR THE RECORD, I'M NOT GOING TO PLAY THE WHOLE VIDEO AGAIN BECAUSE WE'VE ALREADY DONE THIS. SO I'M GOING TO GO TO THE END OF THIS VIDEO.

THE WITNESS: I'LL PLAY THE VIDEO.

MR. ROSENBERG: YOU CAN PLAY WHATEVER YOU WANT. I'M GOING TO START AGAIN AT SIX MINUTES AND 50 SECONDS. THIS IS THE END OF THE VIDEO.

(WHEREUPON PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT 1
IS PLAYED IN OPEN COURT.)

THE WITNESS: THAT VIDEO IS EDITED. I WASN'T OUTSIDE. AM I INSIDE? YEAH, THAT VIDEO IS EDITED.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: SO AT THE VERY END OF THIS VIDEO, THAT'S NOT YOU TURNING IT OFF RIGHT THERE?

A. NO, THE VIDEO IS EDITED. I DIDN'T TURN IT OFF. SO IF YOU PLAY THE OTHER VIDEO, THE OTHER VIDEO THAT YOU PLAYED WHERE IT SHOWS THE POLICE ARRESTING ME, THAT VIDEO.

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, NONRESPONSIVE. NO QUESTION PENDING.

THE WITNESS: ALL RIGHT, THE VIDEO WAS TOGETHER. YOU SEPARATED IT.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: SO THIS ONE WHERE YOU'RE PUTTING YOUR HANDS ON THE ACTUAL GO PRO ITSELF TURNING IT OFF, IS NOT YOU TURNING IT OFF?

A. NO. WHY WOULD I TURN IT OFF? IT WAS CONTINUOUS; THAT'S NOT ME TURNING IT OFF. I ADJUSTED IT LIKE WE SAW OUTSIDE I WAS ADJUSTING. I DIDN'T TURN IT OFF.

Q. ISN'T IT REALLY CONVENIENT THAT YOU TURN IT OFF RIGHT WHERE SHE'S ENDING THAT FIRST PHONE CALL?

A. SIR.

Q. I THINK THAT'S REALLY CONVENIENT THAT YOU TURN IT OFF RIGHT AS SHE SAYS YOU MAKE THE CRIMINAL THREATS?

A. I DIDN'T NEVER TURN MY CAMERA OFF. YOU EDITED THAT VIDEO AND BRING IT UP ON SOME PROGRAM AND YOU SEPARATED THE VIDEO. IT WAS CONTINUOUS. IT WAS NEVER BROKEN UP; THAT'S WHY WHEN YOU LOOK AT THE OTHER VIDEO -- PLAY THE OTHER VIDEO. IT LOOKS LIKE IT PICKED UP RIGHT WHERE THIS ONE LEFT OFF.

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, ASKED AND ANSWERED.

THE WITNESS: THAT'S FINE. WE'LL PLAY THE VIDEO WHEN IT'S MY TURN, AND WE'LL SEE IT PICKS UP RIGHT WHERE THIS ONE LEFT OFF AT. PLAY THE OTHER VIDEO.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: WHY IS IT THAT THERE'S A FIVE-MINUTE GAP BETWEEN YOUR VIDEOS THAT JUST SO HAPPENS TO BE WHEN SHE SAYS THAT YOU MADE THOSE CRIMINAL THREATS.

A. THERE IS NO GAP. AND SHE'S NOT A CREDIBLE WITNESS. SHE'S TOLD TOO MANY LIES ON THE STAND. SO SHE DIDN'T TELL 911 I THREATENED HER. I NEVER THREATENED HER. I ALREADY

TESTIFIED TO THAT.

Q. NOW, EARLIER YOU WERE TALKING ABOUT YOUR INTERACTION WITH THE DEPUTIES.

A. WITH WHO?

Q. WITH THE SHERIFF'S DEPUTIES WHEN YOU GOT ARRESTED, RIGHT?

A. RIGHT.

Q. AND YOU WEREN'T CALLING THEM MOTHERFUCKERS? YOU WEREN'T YELLING AT THEM? YOU WEREN'T ANGRY AT THEM?

A. I DON'T LIKE POLICE. I MEAN, LIKE THE DEPUTIES HERE THEY TREAT ME RESPECTFULLY. BUT I TEND TO HAVE A HATRED TOWARDS LAW ENFORCEMENT BASED ON ALL THE TIMES I'VE BEEN ARRESTED.

Q. WERE YOU YELLING AT LAW ENFORCEMENT THAT DAY?

A. I HATE LAW ENFORCEMENT. WHY DO YOU THINK I DIDN'T CALL THE POLICE ON THIS CASE? I'M NOT A COP-CALLER.

Q. WERE YOU CALLING THEM MOTHERFUCKERS AND YELLING AT THEM?

A. I HATE LAW ENFORCEMENT, OF COURSE.

Q. JUST --

A. I HATE LAW ENFORCEMENT. I ALWAYS DO THAT EVERY TIME I'M ARRESTED.

Q. SO IS THAT A YES?

A. I HATE LAW ENFORCEMENT. I'M ALWAYS GOING TO CUSS THEM OUT. I'M GOING TO SAY I'M GOING TO SUE THEM. I DON'T LIKE LAW ENFORCEMENT.

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, NONRESPONSIVE.

THE COURT: WELL, I THINK HE ANSWERED THE QUESTION. I

THINK IT'S A YES.

THE WITNESS: I HATE LAW ENFORCEMENT, AND I THINK EVERYBODY KNOWS THAT BASED ON ALL MY ARRESTS.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: SO YOU AGREE THAT THIS WAS HER HOUSE THOUGH, RIGHT?

A. NO. SHE TOLD ME THAT SHE WAS GETTING AWAY FROM HER EX; THAT SHE OWNED A HOUSE IN SOUTH PAS OR SOMETHING, AND IT WAS, LIKE, A TEMPORARY HOUSE. SHE WAS RENTING IT. SHE DIDN'T TELL ME SHE OWNED THE HOUSE. SHE TOLD ME SHE WAS TRYING TO GET AWAY FROM HER EX. AND SHE DIDN'T LIKE, I GUESS, HOW HE KNEW WHERE SHE LIVED IN SOUTH PASADENA. SHE WAS KIND OF PARANOID ABOUT KNOWING WHERE SHE LIVES. SO SHE --

Q. WOULD YOU AGREE SHE WAS LIVING THERE?

A. WHAT?

Q. WOULD YOU AGREE THAT SHE WAS LIVING THERE?

A. WHEN I GOT THERE, IT LOOKED LIKE SHE JUST MOVED IN KIND OF. LIKE, THERE WAS, LIKE -- THE HOUSE WAS DISORGANIZED. IT LOOKED LIKE SHE LIVED THERE, BUT SHE HADN'T BEEN LIVING THERE THAT LONG.

Q. SHE ASKED TO YOU LEAVE THAT DAY, RIGHT?

A. NO, SHE DIDN'T ASK ME TO LEAVE. SHE TOLD ME THAT I HAD TO LEAVE BECAUSE SHE BELIEVED THAT HER HUSBAND WAS GOING TO KILL ME BECAUSE I WAS BLACK.

Q. AND YOU --

A. AND I TOLD HER THAT, "I'M NOT WORRIED ABOUT YOUR HUSBAND BREAKING IN. I DON'T THINK HE'S GOING TO COME HERE." AND SHE'S SAYS, "NO." SHE SAYS, "YOU GOT TO LEAVE. HE'S GOING TO COME HERE AND KILL YOU." AND THEN I WAS JUST LIKE,

"WHATEVER, I'M GETTING A FORCEFUL DETAINER."

I'D AGREE WITH LEAVING THAT I WASN'T AFRAID OF HER HUSBAND THAT WAS HER REASON FOR ASKING ME TO LEAVE.

Q. YOU DIDN'T LEAVE THAT DAY, RIGHT?

A. DID I LEAVE?

Q. WELL, YOU DIDN'T LEAVE UNTIL YOU WERE ARRESTED BY THE DEPUTIES?

A. YEAH, I LEFT WHEN I WAS ARRESTED, BUT I WAS TRYING MY HARDEST TO GET OUT OF THERE. I WANTED TO GO TO SAN MARINO AND SERVE THE LAWSUIT ON THEM, AND THAT WAS MY PLAN. I WAS GOING TO BE HOMELESS IN SAN MARINO. THE WHOLE POINT OF SERVING SAN MARINO THE LAWSUITS WAS SO THEY STOP ARRESTING ME OVER THERE.

Q. IF YOU WERE TRYING SO HARD TO LEAVE, WHY DIDN'T YOU GET IN THAT FIRST UBER?

A. BECAUSE SHE WOULDN'T TELL THE UBER TO PARK UP ON THE DRIVEWAY.

Q. DIDN'T THE SECOND UBER COME IN THE DRIVEWAY?

A. UBER DRIVERS DO NOT -- LISTEN, A SIX-FOOT-FIVE BLACK GUY WITH DREADLOCKS, A BIG BLACK GUY, BIG ARMS APPROACHING AN UBER DRIVER, WHO DIDN'T CALL THE UBER. YOU NEED TO WAKE UP A LITTLE BIT. UBERS ONLY DEAL WITH THE --

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, NONRESPONSIVE. NO QUESTION PENDING.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, SUSTAINED.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: PLAYING YOU THE NEXT VIDEO. THIS ONE ENDS 5:87. THIS IS TIMESTAMP OF 10:13 A.M.

A. YEAH, PLAY IT.

"WHATEVER, I'M GETTING A FORCEFUL DETAINER."

I'D AGREE WITH LEAVING THAT I WASN'T AFRAID OF HER HUSBAND THAT WAS HER REASON FOR ASKING ME TO LEAVE.

Q. YOU DIDN'T LEAVE THAT DAY, RIGHT?

A. DID I LEAVE?

Q. WELL, YOU DIDN'T LEAVE UNTIL YOU WERE ARRESTED BY THE DEPUTIES?

A. YEAH, I LEFT WHEN I WAS ARRESTED, BUT I WAS TRYING MY HARDEST TO GET OUT OF THERE. I WANTED TO GO TO SAN MARINO AND SERVE THE LAWSUIT ON THEM, AND THAT WAS MY PLAN. I WAS GOING TO BE HOMELESS IN SAN MARINO. THE WHOLE POINT OF SERVING SAN MARINO THE LAWSUITS WAS SO THEY STOP ARRESTING ME OVER THERE.

Q. IF YOU WERE TRYING SO HARD TO LEAVE, WHY DIDN'T YOU GET IN THAT FIRST UBER?

A. BECAUSE SHE WOULDN'T TELL THE UBER TO PARK UP ON THE DRIVEWAY.

Q. DIDN'T THE SECOND UBER COME IN THE DRIVEWAY?

A. UBER DRIVERS DO NOT -- LISTEN, A SIX-FOOT-FIVE BLACK GUY WITH DREADLOCKS, A BIG BLACK GUY, BIG ARMS APPROACHING AN UBER DRIVER, WHO DIDN'T CALL THE UBER. YOU NEED TO WAKE UP A LITTLE BIT. UBERS ONLY DEAL WITH THE --

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, NONRESPONSIVE. NO QUESTION PENDING.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, SUSTAINED.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: PLAYING YOU THE NEXT VIDEO. THIS ONE ENDS 5:87. THIS IS TIMESTAMP OF 10:13 A.M.

A. YEAH, PLAY IT.

(WHEREUPON PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT 1
IS PLAYED IN OPEN COURT.)

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: AT 10:13 A.M., THAT'S NOT A SECOND UBER THAT JUST PULLED INTO THE DRIVEWAY?

A. IT'S CLEAR YOU EDITED THE VIDEO BECAUSE WE WATCHED THIS VIDEO EARLIER AND THE JUDGE WILL CONFIRM THIS. WE SAW ME GOING OUTSIDE AND CONFRONTING THE UBER DRIVER IN A CONTINUOUS VIDEO --

Q. ARE YOU SAYING I EDITED THAT SUV INTO IT?

A. THAT'S A DIFFERENT VIDEO THAN WHAT WE SAW EARLIER, SIR. I'M NOT GOING TO KEEP ARGUING ABOUT THE VIDEO. YOU OBVIOUSLY -- THAT'S WHY YOU GUYS CONFISCATED MY GO PRO SO YOU CAN TWEAK IT AND EDIT IT. YOUR HONOR, WE SAW A VIDEO EARLIER. EVERYBODY SAW IT. I WAS NOT TOUCHING THE DOOR. I WAS ON MY PHONE FOR LIKE ABOUT A MINUTE, AND THEN I WALKED OUT, AND I APPROACHED THE SAME UBER DRIVER.

NOW, YOU'RE SHOWING A VIDEO THAT STARTS THERE. THESE VIDEOS HAVE BEEN MANIPULATED. PERIOD.

Q. WAS THERE A SECOND UBER AND DID YOU TELL THAT UBER TO LEAVE?

A. I TOLD THE UBER -- I DON'T KNOW IF I TOLD HIM TO LEAVE. I TOLD HIM THAT IT WASN'T BIG ENOUGH, AND IF YOU LOOK AT ALL THE STUFF THAT I HAD IN THERE, I NEEDED UBER XL. I NEEDED A MINIVAN.

Q. SO IF YOU WERE TRYING SO HARD TO LEAVE THAT DAY GOOD, WHY DIDN'T YOU GET INTO THE SECOND UBER EITHER?

A. IT WASN'T BIG ENOUGH. SO THE UBER THAT WE BROUGHT

THAT WE CAME IN WAS A TOYOTA SIENNA WHICH IS ONE OF THE BIGGEST MINI VANS THAT YOU AN FIND. I LOVE THEM. I TRAVEL A LOT IN THEM.

EVEN WHEN WE GOT IN THAT ONE, IT WAS LIKE JAM PACKED, LIKE, THERE WAS BARELY ANYWHERE FOR US TO SIT IN THE UBER. MY STUFF WAS IN THE BACK. IT IS ALL OVER THE UBER. SO NO WAY MY STUFF WOULD HAVE FIT IN THAT VIDEO, AND THAT VIDEO IS NOT THE SAME VIDEO.

Q. GOING BACK TO THREE SECONDS. SO THAT SUV, THAT JUST WASN'T GOOD ENOUGH FOR YOU?

A. IT'S NOT BIG ENOUGH, NO, NOT EVEN CLOSE. IT'S NOT A MINI VAN. I DON'T KNOW WHERE YOU'RE GETTING WITH THIS. YOU'RE SHOWING THIS VIDEO THAT'S A DIFFERENT VIDEO YOU SHOWED EARLIER.

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, NONRESPONSIVE. NO QUESTION PENDING.

THE COURT: SUSTAINED.

THE WITNESS: I'VE BEEN TRUTHFUL ABOUT EVERYTHING; THAT'S WHY I'M TESTIFYING.

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION. NO QUESTION PENDING.

THE WITNESS: OKAY.

THE COURT: SUSTAINED.

MR. ROSENBERG: NOTHING FURTHER.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. REDIRECT?

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. HOLLYWOOD: YEAH, IF THE COURT IS NOT SATISFIED

WITH THE VIDEO THAT DOESN'T SHOW ME MAKING A CRIMINAL THREAT, THEN THIS CASE CAN BE CONTINUED. THEY CAN BRING IN THE ORIGINAL GO PRO. AND WE CAN WATCH THE VIDEOS ON THE ORIGINAL GO PRO. AND THE COURT WILL SEE THAT IT DON'T LOOK LIKE THESE VIDEOS.

THESE VIDEOS HAVE BEEN EDITED. THEY HAVE BEEN BREAKEN UP WITH DURATIONS. AS WE SAW, THAT VIDEO RIGHT THERE STARTED WITH ME GOING OUTSIDE AND MEETING THE UBER. WE SAW A VIDEO EARLIER OF ME MAKING STATEMENTS THAT I WAS GOING TO SUE HER. I WAS SITTING DOWN. THERE WAS THREE TO FOUR MINUTES THAT GONE BY. AND THE SECOND UBER PULLS UP. SO WHEN I WENT OUTSIDE AND I MET WITH THE UBER, IT WAS LIKE THREE MINUTES IN THE VIDEO.

NOW, WE SEE A NEW VIDEO THAT STARTS RIGHT THERE. SO THERE'S EVIDENCE RIGHT THERE. I'M TOO SMART FOR YOU, ROSENBERG. THE NEXT THING IS ABOUT THE VEXATIOUS LITIGANT, HE'S INCORRECT. SO WHAT HAPPENED -- SO I JUST WANT TO ENLIGHTEN THE COURT TOO ABOUT VEXATIOUS LITIGANT. WHEN A VEXATIOUS LITIGANT BECOMES VEXATIOUS IN STATE COURT, HE HAS TO GET PERMISSION TO SUE ANYWHERE IN THE STATE.

SO EVEN IF HE MOVED TO SAN FRANCISCO, HE STILL GOT TO GET PERMISSION EVEN THOUGH HE BECAME A VEXATIOUS LITIGANT IN L.A. IF HE MOVES TO -- IF HE MOVES TO SAN DIEGO AND TRIES TO SUE PEOPLE THERE IN STATE COURT, HE'S STILL IN CALIFORNIA SUPERIOR COURT. HE HAS TO GET PERMISSION. AND IN FEDERAL COURT, THE VEXATIOUS LITIGANT ORDER ONLY STANDS FOR CENTRAL DISTRICT.

SO HOW I SUED ARCADIA AND PASADENA IS I FILED A

LAWSUIT I THINK IN SOUTHERN DISTRICT. ONCE IT WAS IN THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT, ARCADIA ATTORNIES MOVED FOR A MOTION TO TRANSFER VENUE SAYING IT WASN'T CONVENIENT FOR WITNESSES AND THAT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD WAS ONLY DOING THIS TO GO OVER, YOU KNOW, TO BYPASS THE VEXATIOUS LITIGANT THING.

SO THAT CASE WAS -- YOU CAN LOOK IT UP UNDER MY NAME. THAT CASE WAS REMOVED OR WAS TRANSFERRED FROM SOUTHERN TO CENTRAL. JUST SO YOU KNOW IT WAS DONE WITHOUT -- UNOPPOSED BECAUSE I WAS IN JAIL FOR 95 DAYS FOR A CRIME I DIDN'T COMMIT WITH THE COUNCIL MEMBER. I WASN'T ABLE TO FILE MY RESPONSE, AND THE COURT DIDN'T WAIT FOR ME.

IN REGARDS TO JENNIFER, WHEN I MADE THE STATEMENTS TO HER, "YOU DON'T KNOW WHO YOU'RE MESSING WITH," I MEANT LIKE YOU DON'T KNOW WHO YOU'RE MESSING WITH, LIKE, I'LL SUE YOU UNDER FORCEFUL DETAINER. THEN I'LL TAKE YOU UNLIMITED WITH NEAL, AND POTENTIALLY I'LL SUE YOU IN FEDERAL COURT. MAYBE I'LL FILE A LAWSUIT AGAINST YOU IN NORTHERN COURT AGAINST YOUR FAMILY MEMBERS.

THE LAWSUIT THAT I FILED AGAINST ARCADIA AND PASADENA, I SUED THE COUNCIL MEMBER. HIS NAME IS TYRONE HAMPTON. I SUED HIS WIFE. I THINK HIS WIFE IS TARA HAMPTON, AND ONE OF THE REASONS WHY THE COUNCIL MEMBER DID WHAT HE DID IS BECAUSE HE WAS AWARE THAT LAWSUIT AND THAT I WAS ACTIVELY GOING AFTER FAMILY MEMBERS.

SO THE VEXATIOUS LITIGANT FOR FEDERAL COURT ONLY EXTENDS TO CENTRAL DISTRICT, AND THAT'S WHY I HAVE NEAL HELPING ME WITH SUING PEOPLE IN CENTRAL DISTRICT. BUT IT DOESN'T STOP ME FROM SUING THE CENTRAL DISTRICT. IT DOESN'T

STOP ME FROM SUING IN THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OR ANY OTHER EASTERN DISTRICT, ANY OTHER DISTRICTS IN CALIFORNIA.

TOO SMART. I STARTED GETTING REAL SMART ABOUT MY LAWSUITS. I REALIZED I DON'T HAVE TO FILE MY LAWSUITS IN CALIFORNIA. I'LL JUST SUE THEM WHERE THEY'RE HEADQUARTERED.

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD, I THINK YOU COVERED THAT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: ALL RIGHT. LET ME COVER ONE MORE THING ABOUT THE CRIMINAL THREATS. SO IN 2021, I WAS HOMELESS AT THE SOUTH PASADENA LIBRARY. MY WOMAN WAS WITH ME. THERE WAS AN INCIDENT ACTUALLY WITH THE SAME WOMAN HE'S TALKING ABOUT WHERE I THREW THE CANDY AT HER. WELL, SHE ASSAULTED ME ANOTHER TIME, AND I ACTUALLY DID PRESS CHARGES ON HER FIRST FOR ASSAULTING ME. YOU KNOW, I THINK IT WAS AUGUST 15TH OR 16TH OR 17TH, 2021. THE RESPONDING OFFICERS WERE GRAMERO [PHONETIC] AND I BELIEVE ZAMORA.

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, RELEVANCE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: IT IS RELEVANT. WE'RE TALKING ABOUT THE CRIMINAL THREATS. I'M GOING TO GET TO THAT RIGHT IN A MINUTE. SO I HAD HER ARRESTED JUST SO YOU KNOW. I THINK SHE PUSHED MY ARM. THEY SAID, "DO YOU WANT TO PRESS CHARGES?" I HAVE A VIDEO. I WAS LIKE, "YEAH. JUST A MONTH AGO SHE HAD ME ARRESTED FOR THROWING CANDY AT HER, SURE."

SO THEY -- ZAMORA, WHO WAS THE LEAD OFFICER, HE SAID, "BASED ON WHAT HAPPENED, YOU AND ALISON GOT TO LEAVE THE LIBRARY. YOU CAN'T BE HERE." SO WE LEFT. WE WENT ACROSS THE STREET. HACIENDA HAS A HOUSE I THINK IT'S AT 1015 OR 1017 DIAMOND AVENUE.

THE COURT: OKAY, MR. HOLLYWOOD, GET TO THE POINT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'M GETTING TO THE CRIMINAL THREATS. I'M ALMOST THERE. SHE HAD ME ARRESTED OR SHE CALLED AND SAID THAT I WAS BLOCKING THE SIDEWALK. THEY ARRESTED ME. THEN AFTER I GOT OUT OF JAIL THE NEXT NIGHT, SHE WAS TAKING OUT HER TRASH OR SOMETHING. AND I SAW HER AND I SAID, "HEY, YOU GOT ME ARRESTED. I'M GOING TO SUE THE SHIT OUT OF YOU."

SO FOR FIVE MINUTES, I WENT OFF ON HER. I CALLED HER PECKER WOOD. I SAID I'M GOING TO SUE THE SHIT OUT OF YOU. I'M GOING TO SUE YOUR FAMILY -- NO, I DIDN'T TELL HER I'M GOING TO SUE YOUR FAMILY. I TOLD HER I'M GOING TO SUE YOUR LANDLORD. I KNOW YOU RENT THERE. SHE CALLED THE POLICE. SHE SAID THAT SHE MADE A STATEMENT THAT HE WAS GOING TO KILL MY MAMA. AND THEN SHE HAD A LADY THAT LIVED THERE. I THINK IT WAS HER LOVER WHO COLLABORATED HER STORY.

I WENT TO JAIL. I PLEAD NO CONTEST ON IT SO I CAN GET OUT. ONCE I GOT OUT OF JAIL, I GOT THE VIDEO. I THINK HIS NAME'S WILL ELSNOR [PHONETIC]. HE'S IN YOUR OFFICE. YOU'RE FAMILIAR WITH WILL ELSNOR. I GOT THE VIDEO TO WILL. HE GOT IT TO THE D.A. THERE WAS A HEARING ON APRIL 5TH, 2022, WHERE THEY BROUGHT ME IN FOR WARRANTS WITH BROUGHAM, AND BROUGHAM DISMISSED THE CASE.

AND SO THEY -- THE VIDEO IS ALSO ON MY INSTAGRAM CHANNEL. YOU CAN CHECK IT; FUTURE KING ENTERTAINMENT. THERE WAS TWO VIDEOS THEY DON'T SHOW ME THREATENING HER. SO NO, I DIDN'T MAKE CRIMINAL THREATS TO HER. SHE LIED.

THE COURT: OKAY. IS THAT IT?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YEAH, THAT'S ALL -- OH, WELL, JUST WANT TO KNOW IS THE COURT SATISFIED WITH THE VIDEOS BECAUSE, LIKE I

SAID, THIS VIDEO CLEARLY WAS EDITED.

THE COURT: LET'S BRING HIM DOWN. SO WHAT I INTERPRET WHAT YOU'RE SAYING, MR. HOLLYWOOD, IS THAT YOU WANT TO CONTINUE THE PRELIMINARY HEARING SO THAT YOU WOULD HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO OBTAIN THE GO PRO AND THEN MAYBE HAVE IT RE-EXAMINED TO DETERMINE --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: WELL, IF THEY DIDN'T TAKE THE VIDEOS OFF THE GO PRO IF THEY JUST COPIED THEM, THEY'RE STILL ON THERE, AND GO PRO CAN BE PLAYED IN COURT. BUT I MEAN EARLIER YOU SAID YOU DIDN'T HEAR ANY THREATS. YOU WERE SATISFIED. HE SEES THAT. HE'S TRYING TO TURN IT AROUND. IF THE COURT'S SATISFIED THAT THE VIDEOS DON'T SHOW ME COMMITTING A CRIME, WE CAN MOVE FORWARD. BUT IF THE COURT IS NOT SATISFIED, BASED ON WHAT --

THE COURT: WELL, HERE'S THE THING, I'M INCLINED TO SAY THAT FOR PURPOSES OF PRELIMINARY HEARING, I DON'T BELIEVE I NEED TO CONTINUE THE MATTER SO THAT YOU CAN HAVE THE GO PRO EXAMINED.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: OKAY.

THE COURT: IF THIS CASE GOES TO TRIAL, THAT IS SOMETHING YOU MAY WANT TO MAKE A MOTION TO HAVE THE GO PRO EXAMINED AND MAY BE TURNED OVER TO AN EXPERT WHO CAN EXAMINE AND DETERMINE WHETHER THE GO PRO, THE CONTENTS OF THE GO PRO, IS ANY DIFFERENT THAN WHAT WE WERE SHOWN HERE IN COURT AND WHAT MR. ROSENBERG HAS ON HIS FLASH DRIVE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: OKAY.

THE COURT: FOR PURPOSES OF PRELIMINARY HEARING, I DON'T FIND GOOD CAUSE TO CONTINUE THE MATTER.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: OKAY.

THE COURT: SO AT THIS POINT, YOU HAVE EXHIBITS.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YES.

THE COURT: TWO EXHIBITS; A AND B. OH, THE LETTER. DO YOU WANT TO MOVE THOSE INTO EVIDENCE?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YES, AND THE 911, AND THE POLICE REPORTS AND --

THE COURT: WELL, THE POLICE REPORT IS NOT EVIDENCE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: WHAT ABOUT THE D.A.'S LETTER?

THE COURT: THE D.A'S LETTER IS NOT EVIDENCE EITHER. IT'S JUST A AND B; THE PARTIAL TRANSCRIPT OF THE 911 CALL IS B AND THEN THE LETTER IS A.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: CAN I GET A COPY OF MY MOTION?

THE COURT: HOLD ON. HOLD ON. ANY OBJECTION TO A AND B?

MR. ROSENBERG: NO.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, A AND B ARE RECEIVED.

(DEFENSE'S EXHIBITS LETTER A AND B
ARE ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)

THE COURT: DO YOU REST, MR. HOLLYWOOD?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YEAH, JUST -- I WANT, ONE, TO GET A COPY OF THE MOTION TO DISMISS BECAUSE THAT'S GOING TO BE PART OF MY ARGUMENT.

THE COURT: OKAY. A MOTION TO DISMISS. WHICH ONE?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THE ONE I FILED LIKE A COUPLE DAYS AGO.

THE COURT: THE ONE THAT WAS TURNED OVER THIS MORNING?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO -- YEAH, I THINK IT'S IN THERE. YEAH,

BECAUSE THAT'S RIGHT YOU NEVER -- YOU NEVER GOT IT.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, SO LET'S SEE WHAT WE GOT IN HERE. I'M NOT SURE I SEE WHAT YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT. MAYBE IT'S IN THE EARLIER FILING.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: IT'S CALLED MOTION TO DISMISS. IT'S LIKE SIX PAGES.

THE COURT: WAS THAT FILED EARLIER?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO -- YEAH, YOU KNOW WHAT I THINK I DID GIVE IT TO THE COURT THE LAST HEARING.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. HERE IT IS. IS THIS IT RIGHT HERE. IT'S MULTIPLE PAGES.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: IT'S ABOUT SIX PAGES.

THE COURT: SIX PAGES.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO, THAT'S THE APPENDIX OF EXHIBITS. IT'S THE ACTUAL MOTION. IT'S LIKE SIX PAGES. IT WAS THE PAPERS.

THE COURT: OKAY, THE FIRST SIX PAGES.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO, IT WAS IN THE STUFF THAT I GAVE TO THE COURT THIS MORNING. IT WAS IN THERE.

THE COURT: WELL, YOU KNOW, I DON'T SEE IT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I THINK I MIGHT HAVE GAVE IT TO THE COURT THE PREVIOUS DAY.

THE COURT: WHY DON'T YOU ORALLY GIVE IT TO ME RIGHT NOW.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: IT'S HARD TO REMEMBER WHAT I SAID. I SAID SOMETHING ABOUT INCONSISTENT STATEMENTS.

THE COURT: YOU KNOW WHAT THE EVIDENCE IS. ARGUE THE EVIDENCE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'LL TRY. SO READY TO ARGUE?

THE COURT: YES, GO AHEAD.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: SO I'M GOING TO GO FIRST OFF ALL THE STATEMENTS THAT WERE MADE THAT TURNED OUT TO BE PERJURY. SO COULD YOU STILL HEAR CRIMINAL THREATS BEING MADE --

THE COURT: OH, YOU KNOW WHAT? HERE IT IS RIGHT HERE. HERE WE GO. HAND THIS TO HIM. WE'LL MAKE A COPY LATER, BUT GIVE IT TO HIM NOW SO HE CAN MAKE HIS ARGUMENT. AND SAVE IT THERE BECAUSE WE'LL MAKE A COPY AND KEEP IT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: OF COURSE, I'LL GIVE IT BACK. COULD YOU STILL HEAR CRIMINAL THREATS BEING MADE TO HER AFTER SHE DIALED 911; THAT WAS QUESTION SIX. SHE SAID YES; THAT WAS NOT TRUE. WE LISTENED TO TWO 911 TAPES, AND YOU COULD NOT HEAR ME IN THE BACKGROUND AT ALL YELLING. AND I'M SURE THE COURT WOULD AGREE THAT MY VOICE CARRIES. SHE SHOULD BE ABLE TO HEAR ME OR, IF I WAS YELLING AT HER, THEY WOULD BE ABLE TO HEAR ME IN 911.

THE NEXT ONE IS HOLLYWOOD TOLD YOU THAT HE WAS GOING TO COME BACK TO YOUR RESIDENCE AFTER HE GETS ARRESTED AND KILLS YOU; THAT WAS QUESTION 16. SHE SAID YES. DID YOU TELL DEPUTY CONTRERAS THAT YOU CONFRONTED HOLLYWOOD IN YOUR KITCHEN AND CHARGED AT HIM; THAT WAS QUESTION 33. SHE SAID YES; THAT WAS A LIE. EVEN DETECTIVE K. -- I'M GOING TO CALL JUST K. I CAN'T PRONOUNCE HIS NAME. EVEN DETECTIVE K. TESTIFIED THAT THAT WAS NOT IN THE POLICE REPORT.

DIDN'T YOU TELL DEPUTY CONTRERAS THAT, AFTER THE FIRST UBER ARRIVED, YOU LOCKED THE DOOR OF THE GARAGE TO GIVE ACCESS TO THE RESIDENCE, MADE NO PHYSICAL CONTACT WITH HOLLYWOOD AFTER BEING -- AFTER LOCKING HIS DOOR; THAT WAS QUESTION -- IN YOUR HOME; THAT WAS QUESTION 41. SHE SAYS NO.

AGAIN DETECTIVE K. TESTIFIED -- REMEMBER IT'S NOT ACCURATE -- THAT THAT IS WHAT SHE DID TELL CONTRERAS THAT AFTER WE SAW IN THE VIDEO WENT INSIDE, I WAS LOCKED OUT AFTER THAT. THAT WAS IT. THERE WAS NO COMING IN THE KITCHEN.

NEXT ONE, ON THE MORNING OF FEBRUARY 21ST, 2023, DID YOU RECEIVE TWO SEPARATE TEXT MESSAGES FROM HOLLYWOOD. SHE SAID NO. I TESTIFIED I DID TEXT HER; THAT WAS CONFIRMED BY THE VIDEO THAT WE SAW ME SENDING A TEXT MESSAGE. SHE LIED ABOUT THAT; THAT WAS QUESTION 61. TOLD DEPUTY CONTRERAS THAT HOLLYWOOD SAID HE WAS GOING TO PUT HER IN THE GROUND. SHE SAID YES. WHILE DETECTIVE K. WAS TRYING TO HELP HER, THERE'S NOTHING IN THE POLICE REPORT ABOUT PUT IN GROUND OR ANYTHING CLOSE TO THAT. THE STATEMENTS SPECIFIC NOT SEE TOMORROW. VERY SIMILAR TO THE LIGHT OF DAY. WE'LL GET TO THAT LATER. BUT IT'S NOT ANYWHERE NEAR THERE. THAT WAS ALSO PERJURY STATEMENTS SHE MADE.

TOLD ROSENBERG THAT HOLLYWOOD SAID THAT HE WAS GOING TO PUT HER IN THE GROUND. SHE SAID YES. SHE DIDN'T TELL ROSENBERG THAT. I WANT A SHOW DOWN. I'M GLAD YOU CALLED THE COPS. I'LL KILL THEM TOO. SHE SAID SHE TOLD THAT TO ROSENBERG. SHE SAID THAT SHE TOLD THAT TO CONTRERAS. SHE DIDN'T TELL THAT TO ROSENBERG. SHE DIDN'T TELL THAT TO CONTRERAS; THAT WAS ANOTHER PERJURE STATEMENT.

HOLLYWOOD MADE THREATS AFTER THE FIRST UBER DEPARTED. I DON'T KNOW WHY -- YEAH, I'M NOT SURE WHY THAT QUESTION WAS THERE. HOLLYWOOD WAS STANDING IN HER KITCHEN HOLDING A KNIFE. SHE CHARGED AT HOLLYWOOD WHILE HE IS HOLDING THE KNIFE, COME BACK IN THE KITCHEN TO SEE IF HOLLYWOOD'S

STILL THERE. HOLLYWOOD CALLED FEMALE UBER DRIVER A CHINK. WE SAW IN THE VIDEO; THAT WAS ALSO A PERJURE STATEMENT.

MAYBE ATTACK ON MY -- IT MIGHT BE DEFAMATION OF CHARACTER. SHE'S MAKING ME LOOK LIKE A RACIST. NO ONE SAW ME CALL THE UBER DRIVER DEROGATORY NAME. AND DETECTIVE K. TESTIFIED THAT THERE WAS NO MENTION ABOUT HER CHARGING AT ME WHEN I HAD A KNIFE. HE ALSO TESTIFIED THAT SHE NEVER CAME BACK OUT A SECOND TIME OUT. HE SAID HE DIDN'T RECALL THAT. THAT'S WHAT I GOT AS FAR AS PERJURY GOES.

ONTO THE 911 TAPES, THERE WAS TWO 911 TAPES.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, SUBMITTED.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: WHAT MY ARGUMENT, NO, NO. I'M STILL ARGUING.

THE COURT: OKAY.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: ONTO THE 911 TAPES, THERE WAS TWO 911 TAPES. THIS ONE I TRIED TO ADMIT AS EXHIBIT. THIS IS THE FIRST ONE. HE'S ALL TALKING ABOUT ALL THESE THREATS. THE FIRST ONE SHE SAYS, "HE JUST REFUSING TO LEAVE NOW. HE JUST -- HE'S JUST REFUSING TO LEAVE NOW." THIS IS WHAT SHE'S TELLING 911; THAT THE ONLY THING THIS MAN'S DOING WRONG IS REFUSING TO LEAVE THE HOUSE. THERE'S NO CRIMINAL THREATS.

"HE'S REFUSING TO LEAVE NOW, AND I SAID YOU NEED TO LEAVE IN THE NEXT FIVE MINUTES." AND HE SAID, "FUCK," AND SHE SAID, "I DON'T LIKE TO CUSS." LATER SHE SAYS, "HE'S NOT EVEN MOVING. HE'S NOT EVEN MOVING ANY OF HIS STUFF OUT." SHE'S HYSTERICAL THAT THIS MAN'S NOT MOVING HIS STUFF OUT. THERE'S NOTHING EVEN CLOSE ABOUT THREATS.

THEY SAY, "WELL, WHERE IS HE NOW? HE'S ON THE RIGHT

SIDE OF THE HOUSE. DID YOU LOCK YOURSELF IN THE ROOM." SHE SAYS, "YEAH, I USED THE DOOR STOP." AND THEN SHE SAYS -- THIS IS WHERE SHE SAYS HE WANTS ME TO CALL -- HE SEEMS LIKE HE WANTS A BIG THING, YOU KNOW, WITH THE POLICE. BIG THING WITH THE POLICE. DOESN'T SAY ANYTHING. I TESTIFIED I HATE THE POLICE. IT DOESN'T SAY ANYTHING REMOTELY ABOUT A THREAT.

NOW, UNFORTUNATELY SOMETHING HAPPENED WHERE, YOU KNOW, I DON'T HAVE THE SECOND 911, BUT WE LISTENED TO THE SECOND 911. AND AGAIN SHE'S LIKE, "WHERE ARE YOU GUYS AT? WHERE ARE YOU GUYS AT?" SHE'S EVEN DISRESPECTFUL TO THE DISPATCH, "OH, I WANT YOUR SERIAL NUMBER. WHAT ARE YOU DOING."

AND HE WAS WRONG, ROSENBERG, WAS WRONG TO COACH THE WITNESS ABOUT THAT. THE SHERIFF'S RESPOND TO A LOT OF EMERGENCY CALLS. THEY'VE GOT SOUTH EL MONTE. THEY GOT TEMPLE CITY, NOT SO MUCH NORTH SAN GABRIEL VALLEY. THEY DID THEIR BEST TO GO ON THERE. AND IF YOU LOOK AT THE TEXT FROM THE 911 CALL, I DON'T HAVE IT HERE. IT SAYS THEY UPGRADED TO 415; THAT BASED ON TWO CALLS, THEY ONLY LOOKED AT IT AS A 415.

IF ALL THESE STATEMENTS AND CRIMINAL THREATS WERE MADE TO HER. AT LEAST TWO CALLS, SHE WOULD HAVE SAID SOMETHING. WE SAW HOW SHE TESTIFIED. WE SAW HOW SHE FELT ABOUT ME. HOW SHE WAS OUT TO GET ME. IT WOULD BE STUPID FOR THE COURT TO BELIEVE THAT, IF CRIMINAL THREATS WERE MADE TO HER, SHE WOULDN'T HAVE TOLD 911. SHE WOULD HAVE TOLD 911, AND WE KNOW THAT. AND THAT'S WHY THEY'RE PLAYING ALL THESE GAMES ABOUT THE 911 CALL.

THE BOTTOM LINE IS SHE DIDN'T TELL 911 THAT I

THREATENED HER BECAUSE IT NEVER HAPPENED, AND I TESTIFIED TO THAT.

THE NEXT THING IS WITH HEGER, THERE WAS -- THERE'S A PATTERN OF PRIOR CONDUCT. SO I KNOW THE COURT WOULDN'T ALLOW THIS, BUT THE COURT IS AWARE ABOUT THE DIVORCE PROCEEDINGS. SHE HAS A CASE WITH HER. SHE MAKES HERSELF SEEM LIKE SHE'S -- BECAUSE SHE'S DELUSIONAL. HEGER IS DIVORCING HER. SHE'S THE RESPONDENT IN THE CASE.

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THIS IS ARGUMENT, SIR.

MR. ROSENBERG: THIS WAS NOT PART OF THE PRELIM.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THIS IS ARGUMENT.

THE COURT: OVERRULED, GO AHEAD.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: HEGER, JOEL HEGER, IS DIVORCING HER. SHE'S THE RESPONDENT, AND SHE KEEPS RESPONDING WITH ALL THIS STUFF IN THE CASE ABOUT HE'S PHYSICALLY ABUSING HER. HE'S TRYING TO KILL HER, AND ALL THIS STUFF, RIGHT. AND THEN, WITH HEGER SHE HAS A SAME PATTERN AS THIS CASE. THE COURT DIDN'T ALLOW IT, BUT THERE'S ANOTHER THING WITH BERELLO [PHONETIC].

SHE TOLD BERELLO SOMETHING LIKE THAT WE'RE TREATING THIS LIKE A BUSINESS. WE'RE STICKING TO THE FACTS. WHY WOULD A PERSON THAT'S REPORTING A CRIMINAL THREAT TALK LIKE THAT? AND BERELLO WAS LIKE, "I'M ASKING HER QUESTIONS, AND SHE'S TELLING ME TO SHUT THE FUCK UP." AND LATER WHEN BERELLO INTERVIEWS HEGER, HE SAYS, "DID YOU MAKE THESE STATEMENTS?" I HAVE NO IDEA WHAT SHE'S TALKING ABOUT. THE LIGHT OF DAY. HE SAYS, "YOU CAN'T SEE THROUGH THAT?" HE SAY, "I CAN GET IN THE HOUSE IF I WANTED TO." BUT THE LIGHT OF DAY, LIKE HEGER

DIDN'T UNDERSTAND WHY ON THE PHONE CALL ABOUT CHEATING ALLEGATIONS THAT SHE WOULD MAKE SOMETHING LIKE THAT UP. BUT IF YOU LOOK AT THAT STATEMENT, LIGHT OF DAY, IT'S VERY SIMILAR IN THIS CASE NEVER SEE TOMORROW. SO THERE'S A HISTORY OF SIMILAR CRIMINAL THREATS ALLEGATIONS WITH PRESENT HEGER.

THE D.A. LETTER. IN THE D.A. LETTER SHE SAYS THIS CASE MUST BE IRONCLAD. YOU SHOULD RECUSE YOURSELF. I'M GOING TO MAKE NOISE WITH COURT TRANSCRIPTS. YOU KNOW, JUST LIKE WHO DOES THAT? I MEAN WHEN HAS ANYONE SEEN A VICTIM THAT SUPPOSED TO BE IN SUSTAINED FEAR IN A CRIMINAL THREATS CASE DO A LETTER LIKE THAT. I MEAN, WE SAW ON THE STAND SHE'S CLEARLY NOT AFRAID OF ME. DO A LETTER LIKE THAT WHERE SHE'S TALKING ABOUT THE CASE NEEDS TO BE IRONCLAD, AND YOU KNOW THIS IS DISCOVERY. IT'S WEIRD. YOU KNOW, IT'S LIKE WHO DOES THAT?

ONTO THE LEGAL ARGUMENT FOR -- OH, LIKE I SAID, THE SECOND 911 TAPE I CAN'T ARGUE ABOUT TOO MUCH ABOUT THAT. I HEARD IT. I DIDN'T HEAR ANY THREATS. I REALLY FEEL THE REASON WHY IT WASN'T TRANSCRIBED IS BECAUSE IT WASN'T REALLY RELEVANT. IT DOESN'T HAVE PROBATIVE VALUE.

MOVING ONTO THE MOTION TO DISMISS. SO THE FIRST GROUND IS JENNIFER HEGER'S PRIOR CONDUCT MAKING PEOPLE'S CRIMINAL THREATS CHARGE INSUFFICIENT. SHE TESTIFIED JUST IN 2023 SHE ALLEGED JOEL HEGER THREATENED TO BREAK INTO HER HOME AND TOLD HER THAT SHE WOULD NEVER SEE THE LIGHT OF DAY. IN THE CASE HEGER TOLD CONTRERAS HOLLYWOOD THREATENED TO BREAK INTO HER HOUSE AND TOLD HER SHE WOULD NEVER SEE TOMORROW.

DOES HEGER'S PRIOR CONDUCT WITH JOEL HEGER'S CRIMINAL THREAT ALLEGATIONS IS ALMOST IDENTICAL TO HER CONDUCT

AND FALSE STATEMENTS IN THIS CASE. IT'S A PATTERN; HIDES IN THE BEDROOM, LOCKS THE DOOR, SOMEONE TRIES TO BREAK IN.

IN CASE OF BERELLO HERE SHE ALLEGED AFTER HOLLYWOOD BEGAN TRYING TO BREAK INTO HER MAIN RESIDENCE, SHE LOCKED HIM OUT. SHE CALLED 911, SET OFF HER RING ALARM. IN 2023, JENNIFER HEGER TOLD SOUTH PASADENA POLICE DEPARTMENT THAT JOEL HEGER CAME HOME TO GET HIS MAIL. JENNIFER SAID SHE LOCKED ALL THE DOORS AND MADE THE HOUSE APPEAR THAT NO ONE WAS HOME. JENNIFER SAID SHE HID IN THE BEDROOM WHILE DOING THIS. NEXT, JOEL TRIED TO OPEN THE BACK DOOR. SHE SET OFF THE AUDIBLE ALARM CAUSING HIM TO LEAVE. THERE'S INSUFFICIENCY OF THE EVIDENCE TO BOUND HOLLYWOOD OVER BASED ON JENNIFER HEGER'S PRIOR CONDUCT.

THE GROUND B, JENNIFER HEGER'S INCONSISTENCIES AND STATEMENTS TESTIFIED TO MAKE THE PEOPLE'S CRIMINAL THREATS CHARGED INSUFFICIENT. JENNIFER HEGER TESTIFIED THAT SHE TOLD CONTRERAS THAT HOLLYWOOD THREATENED TO KILL HER. HEGER TESTIFIED THAT SHE DID NOT TELL 911 THAT HOLLYWOOD THREATENED TO KILL HER. BY THE WAY, THIS WAS MADE BEFORE I GOT HERE, OR BEFORE SHE TESTIFIED. DESPITE HER MAKING TWO SEPARATE CALLS TO 911 EMERGENCY, HEGER TESTIFIED SHE TOLD ROSENBERG THAT SHE CHARGED AT HOLLYWOOD, CONFRONTED HIM IN HER KITCHEN, ASKED HIM, "WHAT THE FUCK ARE YOU STILL DOING IN MY KITCHEN?"

THEN HEGER TOLD BOTH 911, CONTRERAS THAT SHE WAS NEVER IN THE SAME ROOM AS HOLLYWOOD AFTER THE UBER DISAGREEMENT. IN FACT, LOCKED HOLLYWOOD OUT OF THE MAIN RESIDENCE AND THEN LOCKED HERSELF IN THE BEDROOM. HEGER NEVER TOLD 911 OR CONTRERAS THAT SHE CONFRONTED HOLLYWOOD IN PERSON

IN HER KITCHEN AND THEN CHARGED AT HIM. HEGER'S STATEMENT TO ROSENBERG WERE CONSISTENT WITH A PATHOLOGICAL LIAR.

LASTLY, HEGER'S STATEMENTS TO DEPUTY CONTRERAS ARE INCONSISTENT WITH HER ORIGINAL STATEMENTS MADE TO 911, THE TWO SEPARATE CALLS. WHILE HEGER TOLD CONTRERAS THAT HOLLYWOOD THREATENED TO KILL HER WHILE SHE WAS ON THE TELEPHONE WITH 911, IN HER SECOND 911 CALL, SHE TOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT THAT THE SITUATION WITH HOLLYWOOD HAD NOT ESCALATED BEYOND THE UBER PARKING DISAGREEMENT. BASED UPON JENNIFER HEGER'S NUMEROUS PRIOR STATEMENTS OF INCONSISTENCIES, THERE'S INSUFFICIENCY -- OR THERE IS INSUFFICIENT EVIDENCE FOR THE COURT TO FIND PROBABLE CAUSE TO BELIEVE THAT HOLLYWOOD VIOLATED CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE SECTION 422 ON FEBRUARY 21ST, 2021 [SIC].

GROUND C, BASED UPON THE EVIDENCE PRESENTED OF STATEMENTS JENNIFER HEGER MADE TO 911 EMERGENCY ON TWO SEPARATE 911 AUDIO CALLS, THE PEOPLE HAD INSUFFICIENT EVIDENCE TO CHARGE HOLLYWOOD IN THE FIRST PLACE. JENNIFER HEGER TESTIFIED THAT DURING TWO SEPARATE 911 EMERGENCY CALLS, SHE'S NEVER ALLEGED HOLLYWOOD THREATENED TO PHYSICAL HARM HER. AGAIN, THIS WAS PRE-MADE I KNEW WHAT SHE WAS ALREADY GOING TO SAY.

UNDER CROSS-EXAMINATION HEGER ADMITTED SHE TOLD 911 THAT HER CONFRONTATION WITH HOLLYWOOD NEVER ESCALATED BEYOND THEIR UBER DISAGREEMENT. HEGER TESTIFIED THAT DURING THE TWO 911 EMERGENCY CALLS SHE NEVER REPORTED THAT HOLLYWOOD DONE OR SAID ANYTHING WRONG BESIDES REFUSE TO LEAVE. HEGER ADMITTED THAT SHE DID NOT REPORT TO 911 THAT HOLLYWOOD HAD BEEN YELLING AND CURSING AT HER. WE SAW THAT ON THE STAND -- WELL, SHE

KIND OF GOT AROUND IT. SHE SAID THAT SHE TOLD 911. SO I WANT TO CORRECT BECAUSE I DIDN'T KNOW SHE WAS GOING TO LIE. BUT SHE TESTIFIED THAT SHE TOLD 911 I USED PROFANITY, BUT THAT'S NOT WHAT SHE TOLD 911 THAT WAS ALSO PERJURY. BUT I PUT ON HERE ASSUMING SHE WAS GOING TO TELL THE TRUTH ABOUT THAT, THAT YOU KNOW SHE SAID THAT.

THE OVERWHELMING EVIDENCE PRODUCED BY THE PEOPLE'S TWO 911 CALLS IS HOLLYWOOD WAS VERY CALM AND CIVIL DURING THE ENTIRE INCIDENT WITH HEGER, MAKES IT EXTREMELY HARD FOR THIS COURT TO BELIEVE IN THE SPAN OF FIVE MINUTES HOLLYWOOD ATTEMPTED TO BREAK DOWN HEGER'S DOOR, AND DON'T FORGET THAT SHE SAID I WAS BREAKING DOWN THE DOOR AND SAID HE WOULD KILL HER. WHILE HEGER'S STATEMENT TO ROSENBERG AND CONTRERAS, ARE CLEARLY LIES.

THE STATEMENTS SHE MADE TO 911 EMERGENCY ON TWO SEPARATE CALLS ARE THE TRUTH. IN HOLDING HEGER TO STICK WITH HER ORIGINAL ALLEGATIONS AND STATEMENTS TO 911 EMERGENCY, THERE IS INSUFFICIENT EVIDENCE FOR THE COURT TO FIND PROBABLE CAUSE TO BELIEVE THAT HOLLYWOOD VIOLATED CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE SECTION 422 ON FEBRUARY 21ST, 2023. BASED UPON -- I THINK THAT'S THE LAST GROUND BECAUSE THE COURT SAID THAT I COULDN'T USE THE -- WHATEVER IT IS, THE 72-HOUR THING.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, SUBMITTED?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YEAH.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, FIRST OF ALL, WE HAVE THE TESTIMONY OF THE WITNESS, MS. JENNIFER, WHO DID TESTIFY THAT SHE WAS THREATENED BY MR. HOLLYWOOD. HERE HER TESTIMONY IN COURT WAS THAT HE MADE A COUPLE STATEMENTS BASICALLY THAT,

"I'M GOING TO PUT YOU IN THE GROUND. YOU'LL NEVER SEE THE LIGHT OF DAY." AND THEN YOU ALSO MENTIONED THAT YOU WOULD KILL THE POLICE TOO IF THEY RESPONDED, I SUPPOSE, TO THE CALLS.

THE VIDEOTAPE THAT WE HAVE, AND I GOT TO TELL YOU THIS IS WHAT WE HAVE, AND THIS IS WHAT I'VE BEEN SHOWN, AND IT RECORDS THE VIDEO TIMES WHEN THE CALLS WERE REPORTED. AND THEN WE ALSO HAVE THE RECORDINGS OF THE 911 CALLS. AND WE HAVE THE 911 CALLS, THE FIRST CALL, ACCORDING TO THE TESTIMONY OF THE DETECTIVE, WAS MADE AT ABOUT 10:03 A.M. AND IT WENT ON FOR A COUPLE MINUTES.

THE PEOPLE'S EVIDENCE IS THAT -- OR THEIR THEORY OF THE CASE IS THAT THE THREAT THAT WAS ALLEGED TO HAVE BEEN MADE THROUGH THE DOOR BY THE DEFENDANT WITH THE VICTIM ON THE OTHER SIDE OF THE WALL WAS SOMETIME AFTER THE FIRST 911 CALL. AND THAT WOULD ACCOUNT FOR THE REASON WHY ON THE 911 CALL BASICALLY SHE'S JUST STATING THAT HE'S REFUSED TO LEAVE. THERE'S NO ALLEGATION OF ANY THREATS MADE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THANK YOU.

THE COURT: THEN WE HAVE THE EVIDENCE THAT THE SECOND 911 CALL WAS MADE AT 10:09 AND WE HAVE THE GO PRO SUPPOSEDLY WAS RECORDING FROM BETWEEN 9:59 A.M. AND 10:06 A.M. AND THAT AT 10:06 A.M. THE GO PRO WAS TURNED OFF, ACCORDING TO MR. ROSENBERG'S THEORY, AND IT WAS TURNED OFF AND WASN'T PUT ON AGAIN ABOUT 10:13 WHEN YOU'RE OUTSIDE, AND THAT'S WHEN WE SEE THAT OTHER RECORDING.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: RIGHT.

THE COURT: SO THE THEORY IS THAT THE THREATS WERE MADE

BETWEEN 10:06 AND 10:13 AND THAT THEY WEREN'T RECORDED ON THE GO PRO, AND WHEN JENNIFER REPORTED THE SECOND 911 CALL AT 10:09 --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YEAH.

THE COURT: -- THAT HAD ALREADY OCCURRED, THE THREAT HAD ALREADY OCCURRED. I DID HEAR THE TAPE AND SHE DOESN'T TALK ABOUT THE THREATS SO MUCH ON THE SECOND CALL.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THANK YOU.

THE COURT: IN THE FACT, SHE DOESN'T MENTION IT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: DIDN'T SAY ANYTHING.

THE COURT: THERE ARE SOME OTHER INCONSISTENCIES HERE TOO. AND THEN THERE IS THE ALLEGATION SHE'S MADE SHE WAS IN THE KITCHEN THAT YOU HAD A KNIFE. YOU WERE HOLDING A KNIFE --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YUP.

THE COURT: -- AND SHE CHARGED AT YOU WHICH IS A LITTLE STRANGE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: SIX-FOOT-FIVE BLACK MAN.

THE COURT: THERE'S ALSO THE TESTIMONY THAT THE DEPUTIES, WHEN THEY TOOK HER STATEMENT, THAT THEY ALSO TOOK A STATEMENT REGARDING BEFORE THEY WERE -- BEFORE THEY LEFT OR ABOUT TO LEAVE THEY CAME BACK, SHE SHOWED THEM THE KNIFE, AND THAT THEY TOOK A STATEMENT REGARDING THAT KNIFE. BUT THERE'S NOTHING IN THE POLICE REPORTS ABOUT THAT. SO THERE ARE INCONSISTENCIES THERE. THERE'S ONE OTHER INCONSISTENCY THERE I NOTICE THAT WHEN YOU PRESENTED EVIDENCE OF THIS INCIDENT WITH HER HUSBAND THERE'S SIMILAR LANGUAGE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THANK YOU, LIGHT OF DAY. NEVER TOMORROW.

THE COURT: ABOUT HOW YOU WOULDN'T SEE THE LIGHT OF DAY;

THAT'S KIND OF UNUSUAL THAT BOTH PARTIES WOULD SAY THAT. HOWEVER, THE BOTTOM LINE IS THAT IT COMES DOWN TO WHETHER YOU BELIEVE MS. HEGER FOR PURPOSE OF PRELIMINARY HEARING. AND I GOT TO TELL YOU, MR. HOLLYWOOD, WHEN SHE TESTIFIED REGARDING THE THREATS, HER FEAR --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: RIGHT.

THE COURT: -- AND WHAT HAD HAPPENED, IT SOUNDED CREDIBLE TO ME.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: RIGHT.

THE COURT: I'M JUST THE JUDGE, YOU KNOW. I'M JUST SAYING FOR PURPOSE OF PRELIMINARY HEARING --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: RIGHT.

THE COURT: -- I THINK SHE HAS SHOWN AND THE PEOPLE HAVE SHOWN THAT THERE'S SUFFICIENT EVIDENCE HERE TO SHOW THAT YOU, IN FACT, DID MAKE A CRIMINAL THREATS TO HER. SHE WAS TERRIFIED AT THE TIME. IN COURT SHE TESTIFIED OF HER FEAR OF YOU, AND I THINK THAT, BASED ON THAT EVIDENCE, FOR PURPOSES PRELIMINARY HEARING --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: RIGHT.

THE COURT: -- I THINK THERE'S SUFFICIENT EVIDENCE TO HOLD YOU TO ANSWER.

SO IT APPEARING TO ME FROM THE EVIDENCE PRESENTED THAT THE FOLLOWING OFFENSES HAVE BEEN COMMITTED, TO WIT --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: WHAT ABOUT THE GO PRO VIDEO?

THE COURT: HOLD ON. THAT THE DEFENDANT IS GUILTY THEREOF, TO WIT, IN COUNT 1; A VIOLATION OF PENAL CODE SECTION 422, A FELONY. I ORDER THAT THE DEFENDANT BE HELD TO ANSWER. BAIL SHALL REMAIN IN THE SUM OF $100,000. I'LL SET THE DATE

OF ARRAIGNMENT IN THIS COURT IN TWO WEEKS; THAT WILL BE ON APRIL THE 19TH, 2023, AND THAT WILL BE HERE IN DEPARTMENT 1.

AS TO THE GO PRO, WHAT I WOULD SUGGEST, AND I ALREADY TOLD YOU THIS IS THOUGHT --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YEAH.

THE COURT: -- IF YOU ARE ARGUING THE AUTHENTICITY OF THE EVIDENCE THAT WE SAW REGARDING THE GO PRO, I WOULD, IF I WERE YOU, I WOULD GET SOMEBODY APPOINTED, AN EXPERT, YOU WOULD FILE THAT REQUEST WITH THIS COURT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I DID IT THERE.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, IF I ALREADY HAVE IT, I'LL TAKE A LOOK AT THAT AND WE WILL --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: OKAY, SO REGARDING THE GO PRO, THEY SAID THEY WERE GOING TO RETURN IT. ONCE THEY RETURN IT, NEAL CAN COME IN, AND WE CAN HOLD THE EVIDENTIARY HEARING.

THE COURT: SO WHAT I'LL DO IS I'LL ORDER, ONCE YOU WE HAVE AN EXPERT APPOINTED, WE CAN HAVE THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT ORDERED TO TURN THAT OVER TO TURN THAT OVER TO THE EXPERT AND THE EXPERT WILL CONDUCT THE EVALUATION.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I DON'T NEED AN EXPERT. I WANT MY GO PRO BACK. NEAL CAN TAKE CARE OF THAT. THEY HAVE PLACES THAT CAN DO DATA RECOVERY AND RECOVER WHATEVER THEY DID. I DON'T KNOW DEPENDS IF THEY COPIED THE VIDEOS OR THEY REMOVED. SO IF THEY CUT THE VIDEOS THE ORIGINAL VIDEO ARE NOT ON THE GO PRO.

THE COURT: I WOULD SUGGEST THAT FOR PURPOSES OF CHAIN OF CUSTODY AND FOR PURPOSES OF -- YOU DON'T WANT TO GET AN ALLEGATION THAT YOU MAY HAVE MESSED WITH THE THING. SO IT WOULD BE BETTER IF IT'S TURNED OVER TO AN EXPERT DIRECTLY.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: SO MY GO PRO CAN'T BE RETURNED TO ME AND, IF IT CAN'T BE RETURNED TO ME, IT SHOULD BE BROUGHT TO COURT SO I CAN SEE IF THE ORIGINAL VIDEOS ARE ON THE GO PRO. SINCE THE COURT HOLDING ME TO ANSWER, I NEED SUBPOENAS WITH VERIZON. MY SUBPOENAS WITH GOOGLE. THERE'S A LOT OF SUBPOENAS IN THERE.

THE COURT: RIGHT NOW THERE'S NO TRIAL DATE SET. SO UNTIL WE HAVE A TRIAL DATE, THERE WILL BE NO SUBPOENA GOING OUT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: SO THE MOTION TO DROP THE CASE TO A MISDEMEANOR IS DENIED.

THE COURT: WHAT I WILL DO IS REVIEW YOUR MOTIONS. IF IT IS GOING TO BE NECESSARY FOR SOME TYPE OF HEARING BEFOREHAND, WHAT WE WILL DO IS WE'LL HANDLE THAT. MAYBE WE CAN DISCUSS THAT AT THE TIME OF THE ARRAIGNMENT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I MEAN, YEAH. I DON'T KNOW WHAT THE COURT'S DOING. YOU SAID YOU WERE GOING TO HEAR THAT NOW ABOUT THE MOTION TO DROP IT TO A MISDEMEANOR IS THERE ENOUGH?

THE COURT: YOU'RE MAKING A MOTION TO DROP TO A MISDEMEANOR?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YEAH, I FILED IT ALREADY.

THE COURT: OKAY, SO WITH RESPECT TO THAT, BASED ON THE FACT THAT THERE ARE TWO PRIOR CONVICTIONS EVEN THOUGH YOU TRIED TO EXPLAIN IT --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: RIGHT.

THE COURT: -- BASE ON THAT RECORD, I WOULD NOT BE REDUCING TO A MISDEMEANOR. SO THAT MOTION IS DENIED.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: EVEN THOUGH THE OTHER ONE IS OVERTURNED?

THE COURT: OKAY, I'M JUST SAYING THAT THE MOTION IS DENIED. SO WE'RE DONE. ON THE OTHER CASE, I'M GOING TO HOLD THE VIOLATION. I DO FIND HIM IN VIOLATION OF PROBATION AND WE'LL HOLD THAT UNTIL THIS THE NEXT APPEARANCE.

(PROCEEDINGS IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER WERE CONCLUDED.)

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPARTMENT 1 HON. MICHAEL VILLALOBOS, JUDGE

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, PLAINTIFF, VS. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, DEFENDANT.	NO. GA114055 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, ADRIANA MONTANEZ, OFFICIAL REPORTER OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING PAGES, 1 THROUGH 97, INCLUSIVE, COMPRISE A FULL, TRUE AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF THE PROCEEDINGS HELD IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER ON APRIL 5, 2023,

DATED THIS 12TH DAY OF APRIL, 2023

, CSR NO. 12767
ADRIANA MONTANEZ
OFFICIAL REPORTER



I certify that this is a true and correct copy of the original on file in or issued from this office, consisting of 11 pages.

DAVID W. SLAYTON, Executive Officer / Clerk of the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles.

Date: SEP 08 2023 By: M. Diaz, Deputy

CH-109 Notice of Court Hearing

Clerk stamps date here when form is filed.

FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles

NOV 14 2023

David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court
By: C. Gonzalez, Deputy

(1) Person Seeking Protection

a. Your Full Name: Mark Brian Rosenberg

Your Lawyer (if you have one for this case):

Name: _____ State Bar No.: _____

Firm Name: _____

b. Your Address *(If you have a lawyer, give your lawyer's information. If you do not have a lawyer and want to keep your home address private, you may give a different mailing address instead. You do not have to give telephone, fax, or email.)*

Address: 150 W Commonwealth Ave

City: Alhambra State: CA Zip: 91801

Telephone: _____ Fax: _____

Email Address: _____

Fill in court name and street address:

Superior Court of California, County of

LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT
ALHAMBRA COURTHOUSE
150 W. COMMONWEALTH AVE.
ALHAMBRA, CA 91801

Court fills in case number when form is filed.

Case Number: 23PDR001713

(2) Person From Whom Protection Is Sought

Full Name: Arogant Hollywood

The court will complete the rest of this form.

(3) Notice of Hearing

A court hearing is scheduled on the request for restraining orders against the person in (2):

Hearing Date → Date: 12-04-2023 Time: 8:30

Dept.: S Room: _____

Name and address of court if different from above:

~~Los Angeles Superior Court~~
~~Pasadena Courthouse~~
~~300 East Walnut St.~~
~~Pasadena, CA 91101~~

(4) Temporary Restraining Orders *(Any orders granted are on form CH-110, served with this notice.)*

a. Temporary Restraining Orders for personal conduct and stay-away orders as requested in form CH-100, *Request for Civil Harassment Restraining Orders,* are *(check only one box below):*

(1) ☑ All **GRANTED** until the court hearing.

(2) ☐ All **DENIED** until the court hearing. *(Specify reasons for denial in b, below.)*

(3) ☐ Partly **GRANTED** and partly **DENIED** until the court hearing. *(Specify reasons for denial in b, below.)*

b. Reasons for denial of some or all of those personal conduct and stay-away orders as requested in form CH-100, *Request for Civil Harassment Restraining Orders*, are:

(1) ☐ The facts as stated in form CH-100 do not sufficiently show acts of violence, threats of violence, or a course of conduct that seriously alarmed, annoyed, or harassed the person in (1) and caused substantial emotional distress.

(2) ☐ Other *(specify)*: ☐ As stated on Attachment 4b.

(5) Confidential Information Regarding Minor

a. ☐ A *Request to Keep Minor's Information Confidential* (form CH-160) was made and **GRANTED**. (*See form CH-165*, Order on Request to Keep Minor's Information Confidential, *served with this form.)*

b. **If the request was granted, the information described in item (7) on the order (form CH-165) must be kept CONFIDENTIAL. The disclosure or misuse of the information is punishable as a sanction, with a fine of up to $1,000 or other court penalties.**

(6) Service of Documents for the Person in (1)

At least ☒ five ☐ _____ **days before the hearing,** someone age 18 or older—**not you or anyone to be protected**—must personally give (serve) a court's file-stamped copy of this form CH-109 to the person in (2) along with a copy of all the forms indicated below:

a. ☒ CH-100, *Request for Civil Harassment Restraining Orders* (file-stamped)

b. ☒ CH-110, *Temporary Restraining Order* (file-stamped) **IF GRANTED**

c. CH-120, *Response to Request for Civil Harassment Restraining Orders* (blank form)

d. CH-120-INFO, *How Can I Respond to a Request for Civil Harassment Restraining Orders?*

e. CH-250, *Proof of Service by Mail* (blank form)

f. ☐ CH-170, *Notice of Order Protecting Information of Minor* and CH-165, *Order on Request to Keep Minor's Information Confidential* (file-stamped) **IF GRANTED**

g. ☐ Other *(specify)*: _____

Date: 11/14/2023

ADAM Y. CHANG
Judicial Officer

Case Number: 23PDR001713

To the Person in ① :

- The court cannot make the restraining orders after the court hearing unless the person in ② has been personally given (served) a copy of your request and any temporary orders. To show that the person in ② has been served, the person who served the forms must fill out a proof of service form. Form CH-200, *Proof of Personal Service,* may be used.
- For information about service, read form CH-200-INFO, *What Is "Proof of Personal Service"?*
- If you are unable to serve the person in ② in time, you may ask for more time to serve the documents. Use form CH-115, *Request to Continue Court Hearing and to Reissue Temporary Restraining Order.*

To the Person in ② :

- If you want to respond to the request for orders in writing, file form CH-120, *Response to Request for Civil Harassment Restraining Orders,* and have someone age 18 or older—**not you or anyone to be protected**—mail it to the person in ①.
- The person who mailed the form must fill out a proof of service form. Form CH-250, *Proof of Service by Mail,* may be used. File the completed form with the court before the hearing and bring a copy with you to the court hearing.
- Whether or not you respond in writing, go to the hearing if you want the judge to hear from you before making an order. You may tell the judge why you agree or disagree with the orders requested.
- You may bring witnesses and other evidence.
- At the hearing, the judge may make restraining orders against you that could last up to five years and may order you to turn in to law enforcement, or sell to or store with a licensed gun dealer, any firearms (guns) and firearm parts that you own or possess. This includes firearm receivers and frames, and any item that may be used as or easily turned into a receiver or frame (see Penal Code section 16531).



Request for Accommodations

Assistive listening systems, computer-assisted real-time captioning, or sign language interpreter services are available if you ask at least five days before the hearing. Contact the clerk's office or go to www.courts.ca.gov/forms for *Disability Accommodation Request* (form MC-410). (Civ. Code, § 54.8.)

(Clerk will fill out this part.)

—Clerk's Certificate—

I certify that this *Notice of Court Hearing* is a true and correct copy of the original on file in the court.

Clerk's Certificate
[seal]



Date: SEP 08 2025

Clerk, by David W. Slayton, Deputy M. Diaz

CH-110 Temporary Restraining Order

Clerk stamps date here when form is filed.

FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles

NOV 14 2023

David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court
By: C. Gonzalez, Deputy

Person in (1) must complete items (1), (2), and (3) only.

(1) Protected Person

a. Your Full Name: Brian Mark Rosenberg

Your Lawyer *(if you have one for this case)*:
Name: _____ State Bar No.: _____
Firm Name: _____

b. Your Address *(If you have a lawyer, give your lawyer's information. If you do not have a lawyer and want to keep your home address private, you may give a different mailing address instead. You do not have to give telephone, fax, or email.)*:
Address: 150 W Commonwealth Ave
City: Alhambra State: CA Zip: 91801
Telephone: 626 308 5437 Fax: _____
Email Address: brosenberg@da.lacounty.gov

Fill in court name and street address:

Superior Court of California, County of
LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT
ALHAMBRA COURTHOUSE
150 W. COMMONWEALTH AVE.
ALHAMBRA, CA 91801

Court fills in case number when form is filed.

Case Number: 23PDR00173

(2) Restrained Person

(Give all the information you know. Information with a star (*) is required to add this order to the California police database. If age is unknown, give an estimate.)

*Full Name: Arogant Hollywood *Age: 44 Date of Birth: 12/9/78
*Race: Black Height: _____ Weight: _____ Hair Color: Blk Eye Color: BRO
*Gender: ☒ M ☐ F ☐ Nonbinary Home Address: Transient
City: _____ State: CA Zip: _____
Relationship to Protected Person: Defendant on case I prosecuted

(3) ☐ Additional Protected Persons

In addition to the person named in (1), the following family or household members of that person are protected by the temporary orders indicated below:

Full Name	Gender	Age	Household Member?	Relation to Protected Person
			☐ Yes ☐ No	
			☐ Yes ☐ No	
			☐ Yes ☐ No	
			☐ Yes ☐ No	

☐ *Check here if there are additional persons. List them on an attached sheet of paper and write "Attachment 3—Additional Protected Persons" as a title. You may use form MC-025, Attachment.*

The court will complete the rest of this form.

(4) Expiration Date

This Order expires at the end of the hearing scheduled for the date and time below:

Date: 12-04-2023 Time: 8:30 ☒ a.m. ☐ p.m.

This is a Court Order.

Case Number:
23PDR00171

To the Person in (2):

The court has granted the temporary orders checked as granted below. If you do not obey these orders, you can be arrested and charged with a crime. You may be sent to jail for up to one year, pay a fine of up to $1,000, or both.

(5) Personal Conduct Orders

☐ Not Requested ☐ Denied Until the Hearing ☒ Granted as Follows:

a. You must not do the following things to the person named in (1)
☐ and to the other protected persons listed in (3):

(1) ☒ Harass, intimidate, molest, attack, strike, stalk, threaten, assault (sexually or otherwise), hit, abuse, destroy personal property of, or disturb the peace of the person.

(2) ☒ Contact the person, either directly or indirectly, in any way, including, but not limited to, in person, by telephone, in writing, by public or private mail, by interoffice mail, by email, by text message, by fax, or by other electronic means.

(3) ☐ Take any action to obtain the person's address or location. If this item (3) is not checked, the court has found good cause not to make this order.

(4) ☐ Other *(specify):*
☐ Other personal conduct orders are attached at the end of this Order on Attachment 5a(4).

b. Peaceful written contact through a lawyer or a process server or other person for service of legal papers related to a court case is allowed and does not violate this order. However, you may have your papers served by mail on the person in (1).

(6) Stay-Away Order

☐ Not Requested ☐ Denied Until the Hearing ☒ Granted as Follows:

a. You must stay at least 100 yards away from *(check all that apply):*

(1) ☒ The person in (1)
(2) ☐ Each person in (3)
(3) ☐ The home of the person in (1)
(4) ☐ The job or workplace of the person in (1)
(5) ☐ The school of the person in (1)
(6) ☐ The school of the children of the person in (1)
(7) ☐ The place of child care of the children of the person in (1)
(8) ☐ The vehicle of the person in (1)
(9) ☐ Other *(specify):*

b. This stay-away order does not prevent you from going to or from your home or place of employment.

(7) No Firearms (Guns), Firearm Parts, or Ammunition

a. You cannot own, possess, have, buy or try to buy, receive or try to receive, or in any other way get any prohibited items listed in b on the next page.

This is a Court Order.

7 b. **Prohibited items are:**

(1) Firearms (guns);

(2) Firearm parts, meaning receivers, frames, or any item that may be used as or easily turned into a receiver or frame (see Penal Code section 16531); and

(3) Ammunition.

c. You must:

(1) Sell to or store with a licensed gun dealer, or turn in to a law enforcement agency, any firearms (guns) and firearm parts in your immediate possession or control. This must be done within 24 hours of being served with this Order.

(2) File a receipt with the court within 48 hours of receiving this Order that proves that your firearms (guns) and firearm parts have been turned in, sold, or stored. (You may use *Receipt for Firearms and Firearm Parts* (form CH-800) for the receipt.)

d. ☐ The court has received information that you own or possess a firearm (gun), firearm parts, or ammunition.

8 Possession and Protection of Animals

☒ **Not Requested** ☐ **Denied Until the Hearing** ☐ **Granted as Follows** *(specify):*

a. ☐ The person in 1 is given the sole possession, care, and control of the animals listed below, which are owned, possessed, leased, kept, or held by him or her, or reside in his or her household.
(Identify animals by, e.g., type, breed, name, color, sex.)

b. ☐ The person in 2 must stay at least _____ yards away from, and not take, sell, transfer, encumber, conceal, molest, attack, strike, threaten, harm, or otherwise dispose of, the animals listed above.

9 Other Orders

☒ **Not Requested** ☐ **Denied Until the Hearing** ☐ **Granted as Follows** *(specify):*

☐ Additional orders are attached at the end of this Order on Attachment 9.

To the Person in 1:

10 Mandatory Entry of Order Into CARPOS Through CLETS

This Order must be entered into the California Restraining and Protective Order System (CARPOS) through the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS). *(Check one):*

a. ☒ The clerk will enter this Order and its proof-of-service form into CARPOS.

b. ☐ The clerk will transmit this Order and its proof-of-service form to a law enforcement agency to be entered into CARPOS.

This Is a Court Order.

(10) c. ☐ By the close of business on the date that this Order is made, the person in (1) or his or her lawyer should deliver a copy of the Order and its proof-of-service form to the law enforcement agency listed below to enter into CARPOS:

Name of Law Enforcement Agency | Address *(City, State, Zip)*

☐ Additional law enforcement agencies are listed at the end of this Order on Attachment 10.

(11) **No Fee to Serve (Notify) Restrained Person** ☒ **Ordered** ☐ **Not Ordered**

The sheriff or marshal will serve this Order without charge because:

a. ☒ The Order is based on unlawful violence, a credible threat of violence, or stalking.

b. ☐ The person in (1) is entitled to a fee waiver.

(12) Number of pages attached to this Order, if any: _____

Date: 11/14/2023

ADAM Y. CHANG

Judicial Officer

Warnings and Notices to the Restrained Person in (2)

You Cannot Have Firearms (Guns), Firearm Parts, or Ammunition

You cannot own, have, possess, buy or try to buy, receive or try to receive, or otherwise get any prohibited items listed in item 7b on page 3 while this Order is in effect. If you do, you can go to jail and pay a $1,000 fine. You must sell to or store with a licensed gun dealer, or turn in to a law enforcement agency, any firearms (guns) and firearm parts that you have or control as stated in item (7) above. The court will require you to prove that you did so.

Notice Regarding Nonappearance at Hearing and Service of Order

If you have been personally served with this Temporary Restraining Order and form CH-109, *Notice of Court Hearing*, but you do not appear at the hearing either in person or by a lawyer, and a restraining order that is the same as this Temporary Restraining Order except for the expiration date is issued at the hearing, a copy of the order will be served on you by mail at the address in item (2).

If this address is not correct or you wish to verify that the Temporary Restraining Order was converted into a restraining order at the hearing without substantive change, or to find out the duration of the order, contact the clerk of the court.

After You Have Been Served With a Restraining Order

- Obey all the orders.
- Read form CH-120-INFO, *How Can I Respond to a Request for Civil Harassment Restraining Orders?*, to learn how to respond to this Order.
- If you want to respond, fill out form CH-120, *Response to Request for Civil Harassment Restraining Orders*, and file it with the court clerk. You do not have to pay any fee to file your response if the Request claims that you inflicted or threatened violence against or stalked the person in (1).

This Is a Court Order.

- You must have form CH-120 served by mail on the person in ① or that person's attorney. You cannot do this yourself. The person who does the mailing should complete and sign form CH-250, *Proof of Service by Mail*. File the completed proof of service with the court clerk before the hearing date or bring it with you to the hearing.
- In addition to the response, you may file and have declarations served, signed by you and other persons who have personal knowledge of the facts. You may use form MC-030, *Declaration*, for this purpose. It is available from the clerk's office at the court shown on page 1 of this form or at *www.courts.ca.gov/forms*. If you do not know how to prepare a declaration, you should see a lawyer.
- Whether or not you file a response, you should attend the hearing. If you have any witnesses, they must also go to the hearing.
- At the hearing, the judge can make restraining orders against you that last for up to five years. Tell the judge why you disagree with the orders requested.

Instructions for Law Enforcement

Enforcing the Restraining Order

This order is enforceable by any law enforcement agency that has received the order, is shown a copy of the order, or has verified its existence on the California Restraining and Protective Orders System (CARPOS). If the law enforcement agency has not received proof of service on the restrained person, the agency must advise the restrained person of the terms of the order and then must enforce it. Violations of this order are subject to criminal penalties.

Start Date and End Date of Orders

This order *starts* on the date next to the judge's signature on page 4. The order *ends* on the expiration date in item ④ on page 1.

Arrest Required if Order Is Violated

If an officer has probable cause to believe that the restrained person had notice of the order and has disobeyed the order, the officer must arrest the restrained person. (Pen. Code, §§ 836(c)(1), 13701(b).) A violation of the order may be a violation of Penal Code section 166 or 273.6. Agencies are encouraged to enter violation messages into CARPOS.

Notice/Proof of Service

The law enforcement agency must first determine if the restrained person had notice of the order. Consider the restrained person "served" (given notice) if (Pen. Code, § 836(c)(2)):

- The officer sees a copy of the Proof of Service or confirms that the Proof of Service is on file; or
- The restrained person was informed of the order by an officer.

An officer can obtain information about the contents of the order and proof of service in CARPOS. If proof of service on the restrained person cannot be verified, the agency must advise the restrained person of the terms of the order and then enforce it.

This Is a Court Order.

If the Protected Person Contacts the Restrained Person

Even if the protected person invites or consents to contact with the restrained person, this order remains in effect and must be enforced. The protected person cannot be arrested for inviting or consenting to contact with the restrained person. The order can be changed only by another court order. (Pen. Code, § 13710(b).)

Conflicting Orders—Priorities for Enforcement

If more than one restraining order has been issued protecting the protected person from the restrained person, the orders must be enforced in the following priority (see Pen. Code, § 136.2 and Fam. Code, §§ 6383(h)(2), 6405(b)):

1. *Emergency Protective Order (EPO):* If one of the orders is an *Emergency Protective Order* (form EPO-001), provisions (e.g., stay-away order) that are more restrictive than in the other restraining/protective orders must be enforced. Provisions of another order that do not conflict with the EPO must be enforced.
2. *No-Contact Order:* If a restraining/protective order includes a no-contact order, the no-contact order must be enforced. Item 5a(2) is an example of a no-contact order.
3. *Criminal Protective Order (CPO):* If none of the orders include an EPO or a no-contact order, the most recent CPO must be enforced. (Fam. Code, §§ 6383(h)(2) and 6405(b).) Additionally, a CPO issued in a criminal case involving charges of domestic violence, Penal Code sections 261, 261.5, or former 262, or charges requiring sex offender registration must be enforced over any civil court order. (Pen. Code, § 136.2(e)(2).) All provisions in the civil court order that do not conflict with the CPO must be enforced.
4. *Civil Restraining Orders:* If there is more than one civil restraining order (e.g., domestic violence, juvenile, elder abuse, civil harassment), then the order that was issued last must be enforced. Provisions that do not conflict with the most recent civil restraining order must be enforced.

(Clerk will fill out this part.)

Clerk's Certificate
[seal]

—Clerk's Certificate—

I certify that this *Temporary Restraining Order* is a true and correct copy of the original on file in the court.

Date: SEP 0 8 2025 Clerk, by David W. Slayton _____, Deputy M. Diaz

This is a Court Order.

CH-115 Request to Continue Court Hearing

Instructions: Use this form to ask the court to reschedule the court date listed on *Notice of Court Hearing* (form CH-109). Read, *How to Ask for a New Hearing Date* (form CH-115-INFO), for more information.

Clerk stamps date here when form is filed.

FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles

DEC 04 2023

David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court
By: W. Acuna, Deputy

Fill in court name and street address:

Superior Court of California, County of
Pasadena Courthouse
300 E. Walnut Street
Pasadena, CA 91101

Fill in case number:

Case Number:
23PDR001713

(1) My Information

a. My name is: Brian Mr Rosenbaum

b. I am the:

(1) ☑ **Protected party** *(skip to (2))*.

(2) ☐ **Restrained party** *(give your contact information below)*.

Address where I can receive mail:

This address will be used by the court and other party to notify you in this case. If you want to keep your home address private, you can use another address like a post office box or another person's address, if you have their permission. If you have a lawyer, give your lawyer's address and contact information.

Address: _____

City: _____ State: ___ Zip: _____

My contact information *(optional)*:

Telephone: _____ Fax: _____

Email Address: _____

Lawyer's information *(skip if you do not have one)*:

Name: _____ State Bar No.: _____

Firm Name: _____

(2) Information About My Case

a. The other party in this case is *(full name)*: Arogant Hollywood

b. I have a court date currently scheduled for *(date)*: 12/4/23

This is not a Court Order.

Case Number: 23PDR001713

(3) Is a Temporary Restraining Order in effect?

☑ Yes. Date the order was made, if known: 11/14/23
Please attach a copy of the order if you have one.

☐ No.

☐ I don't know.

Notice: If the court date is rescheduled, the *Temporary Restraining Order* (form CH-110) will remain in effect until the end of the new court date unless otherwise ordered by the court.

(4) Why does the court date need to be rescheduled?

a. ☑ I am the person asking for protection, and I need more time to have the restrained party personally served.

b. ☐ I am the restrained party, and this is my first request to reschedule the court date.

c. ☐ Other reason: _____

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the information above is true and correct.

Date: 12/4/23

Brian Mark Rosenberg
Type or print your name

▶ _____
Sign your name

Date: _____

_____
Lawyer's name, if you have one

▶ _____
Lawyer's signature

This is not a Court Order.

For your protection and privacy, please press the Clear This Form button after you have printed the form.

Print this form | Save this form | Clear this form

CH-116 Order on Request to Continue Hearing

Clerk stamps date here when form is filed.

FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles

DEC 04 2023

David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court
By: W. Acuna, Deputy

Complete items (1) and (2) only.

(1) **Protected Party:** Brian Mark Rosenberg

(2) **Restrained Party:** Arogant Hollywood

The court will complete the rest of this form

Fill in court name and street address:

Superior Court of California, County of
Pasadena Courthouse
300 E. Walnut Street
Pasadena, CA 91101

Fill in case number:

Case Number:
23PRO01713

(3) Next Court Date

a. ☐ The request to reschedule the court date is denied.

Your court date is: _____

(1) Any *Temporary Restraining Order* (form CH-110) already granted stays in full force and effect until the next court date.

(2) Your court date is not rescheduled because: _____

b. ☑ The request to reschedule the court date is **granted**. Your court date is rescheduled for the day and time listed below. See (4)–(8) for more information.

Name and address of court, if different from above:

New Court Date → Date: 12/19/2023 Time: 8:30 AM
Dept.: S Room: _____

(4) Temporary Restraining Order

a. ☐ **There is no *Temporary Restraining Order* (TRO) in this case until the next court date because:.**

(1) ☐ A TRO was not previously granted by the court.

(2) ☐ The court terminates (cancels) the previously granted TRO because: _____

b. ☑ **A *Temporary Restraining Order* (TRO) is still in full force and effect because:**

(1) ☐ The court extends the TRO previously granted on *(date)* 11/14/2023
It now expires on *(date)*: 12/19/2023
(If no date is listed, the TRO expires at the end of the court date listed in 3b.)

(2) ☐ The court changes the TRO previously granted and signs a new TRO (form CH-110).

Warning and Notice to the Restrained Party:
If (4)b is checked, a civil harassment restraining order has been issued against you. You must follow the orders until they expire.

c. ☐ Other *(specify)*: _____

This is a Court Order.

Case Number:

(7) No Fee to Serve (Notify) Restrained Person ☑ Ordered ☐ Not Ordered

The sheriff or marshal will serve this order for free because:

a. ☑ The order is based on unlawful violence, a credible threat of violence, or stalking.

b. ☐ The person in (1) is entitled to a fee waiver.

(8) ☐ Other Orders

Date: DEC 04 2023

Judicial Officer
ROBERT K. HOLMES
JUDGE PRO TEM

Request for Accommodations

Assistive listening systems, computer-assisted real-time captioning, or sign language interpreter services are available if you ask at least five days before the hearing. Contact the clerk's office or go to www.courts.ca.gov/forms.htm for *Disability Accommodation Request* (form MC-410). (Civ. Code, § 54.8.)

Instructions to Clerk

If the hearing is rescheduled and the court extended, modified, or terminated a temporary restraining order, then the court must enter this order into CLETS or send this order to law enforcement to enter into CLETS. This must be done within one business day from the day the order is made.

—Clerk's Certificate—

Clerk's Certificate

I certify that this *Order on Request to Continue Hearing (Temporary Restraining Order) (CLETS-TCH)* is a true and correct copy of the original on file in the court.

Date: SEP 08 2025 Clerk, by David W. Slayton, Deputy M. Diaz

This is a Court Order.

For your protection and privacy, please press the Clear This Form button after you have printed the form.

Print this form | Save this form | Clear this form

CH-130 Civil Harassment Restraining Order After Hearing

Person in (1) must complete items (1), (2), and (3) only.

Clerk stamps date here when form is filed.

FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles

DEC 20 2023

David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court
By: J. Guerrero, Deputy

Fill in court name and street address:

Superior Court of California, County of

LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT
NORTHEAST DISTRICT - PASADENA COURTHOUSE
300 EAST WALNUT STREET
PASADENA, CALIFORNIA 91101-1580

Court fills in case number when form is filed.

Case Number: 23PDR00174

(1) Protected Person

a. Your Full Name: Brian Matt Rosenberg

Your Lawyer *(if you have one for this case)*
Name: _____ State Bar No.: _____
Firm Name: _____

b. Your Address *(If you have a lawyer, give your lawyer's information. If you do not have a lawyer and want to keep your home address private, you may give a different mailing address instead. You do not have to give telephone, fax, or email.)*
Address: 150 W Commonwealth Ave
City: Alhambra State: CA Zip: 91801
Telephone: 626 308 5437 Fax: _____
Email Address: brosenberg@da.lacounty.gov

(2) Restrained Person

(Give all the information you know. Information with a star (*) is required to add this order to the California police database. If age is unknown, give an estimate.)

*Full Name: Arogant Hollywood *Age: 44 Date of Birth: 12/9/78
*Race: Black Height: _____ Weight: _____ Hair Color: BLK Eye Color: BRO
*Gender: ☑ M ☐ F ☐ Nonbinary Home Address: Transient
City: _____ State: CA Zip: _____
Relationship to Protected Person: Defendant on case I prosecute

(3) ☐ Additional Protected Persons

In addition to the person named in (1), the following family or household members of that person are protected by the orders indicated below:

Full Name	Gender	Age	Lives with you?	How are they related to you?
_____	____	____	☐ Yes ☐ No	_____
_____	____	____	☐ Yes ☐ No	_____
_____	____	____	☐ Yes ☐ No	_____
_____	____	____	☐ Yes ☐ No	_____

☐ *Check here if there are additional persons. List them on an attached sheet of paper and write "Attachment 3—Additional Protected Persons" as a title. You may use form MC-025,* Attachment.

(4) Expiration Date

This Order, except for any award of lawyer's fees, expires at

Time: _____ ☐ a.m. ☐ p.m. ☒ midnight on *(date)*: 12/20/26

If no expiration date is written here, this Order expires three years from the date of issuance.

This is a Court Order.

Case Number: 23PDRO01713

(5) Hearing

a. There was a hearing on *(date)*: 12/20/23 at *(time)*: 8:30am in Dept.: S Room: _____
(Name of judicial officer): TIMOTHY MARTELLA made the orders at the hearing.

b. These people were at the hearing:

(1) ☑ The person in (1). (3) ☐ The lawyer for the person in (1) *(name)*: _____

(2) ☐ The person in (2). (4) ☐ The lawyer for the person in (2) *(name)*: _____

☐ Additional persons present are listed at the end of this Order on Attachment 5.

c. ☐ The hearing is continued. The parties must return to court on *(date)*: _____ at *(time)*: _____.

To the Person in (2):

The court has granted the orders checked below. If you do not obey these orders, you can be arrested and charged with a crime. You may be sent to jail for up to one year, pay a fine of up to $1,000, or both.

(6) ☑ Personal Conduct Orders

a. You must **not** do the following things to the person named in (1)

☐ and to the other protected persons listed in (3):

(1) ☑ Harass, intimidate, molest, attack, strike, stalk, threaten, assault (sexually or otherwise), hit, abuse, destroy personal property of, or disturb the peace of the person.

(2) ☑ Contact the person, either directly or indirectly, in any way, including, but not limited to, in person, by telephone, in writing, by public or private mail, by interoffice mail, by email, by text message, by fax, or by other electronic means.

(3) ☑ Take any action to obtain the person's address or location. If this item (3) is not checked, the court has found good cause not to make this order.

(4) ☐ Other *(specify)*:

☐ Other personal conduct orders are attached at the end of this Order on Attachment 6a(4).

b. Peaceful written contact through a lawyer or process server or other person for service of legal papers related to a court case is allowed and does not violate this Order.

(7) ☑ Stay-Away Orders

a. You **must** stay at least 100 yards away from *(check all that apply)*:

(1) ☑ The person in (1).

(2) ☐ Each person in (3).

(3) ☑ The home of the person in (1).

(4) ☐ The job or workplace of the person in (1).

(5) ☐ The school of the person in (1).

(6) ☐ The school of the children of the person in (1).

(7) ☐ The place of child care of the children of the person in (1).

(8) ☑ The vehicle of the person in (1).

(9) ☐ Other *(specify)*:

b. This stay-away order does not prevent you from going to or from your home or place of employment.

This is a Court Order.

Case Number:
23PO00171

(8) No Firearms (Guns), Firearm Parts, or Ammunition

a. You cannot own, possess, have, buy or try to buy, receive or try to receive, or in any other way get any prohibited items listed below in b.

b. **Prohibited items are:**

(1) Firearms (guns);

(2) Firearm parts, meaning receivers and frames, or any item that may be used as or easily turned into a receiver or frame (see Penal Code section 16531); and

(3) Ammunition.

c. If you have not already done so, you must:

- Within 24 hours of being served with this Order, sell to or store with a licensed gun dealer, or turn in to a law enforcement agency, any firearms (guns) and firearm parts in your custody or control or that you possess or own.
- File a receipt with the court within 48 hours of receiving this Order that proves that your firearms (guns) and firearm parts have been turned in, sold, or stored. (You may use *Receipt for Firearms and Firearm Parts* (form CH-800) for the receipt.)

d. ☐ The court has received information that you own or possess a firearm (gun), firearm parts, or ammunition.

e. ☐ The court has made the necessary findings and applies the firearm relinquishment exemption under Code of Civil Procedure section 527.9(f). Under California law, the person in (2) is not required to relinquish this firearm *(specify make, model, and serial number of firearm(s))*: _____

_____

The firearm must be in his or her physical possession only during scheduled work hours and during travel to and from his or her place of employment. Even if exempt under California law, the person in (2) may be subject to federal prosecution for possessing or controlling a firearm.

(9) ☐ Lawyer's Fees and Costs

The person in ___ must pay to the person in ___ the following amounts for

☐ lawyer's fees ☐ costs:

Item	Amount	Item	Amount
_____	$ _____	_____	$ _____
_____	$ _____	_____	$ _____

☐ Additional items and amounts are attached at the end of this Order on Attachment 9.

(10) ☐ Possession and Protection of Animals

a. ☐ The person in (1) is given the sole possession, care, and control of the animals listed below, which are owned, possessed, leased, kept, or held by him or her, or reside in his or her household.
(Identify animals by, e.g., type, breed, name, color, sex.)

_____

_____

b. ☐ The person in (2) must stay at least _____ yards away from, and not take, sell, transfer, encumber, conceal, molest, attack, strike, threaten, harm, or otherwise dispose of, the animals listed above.

This is a Court Order.

Case Number: 23FDR001713

(11) ☐ **Other Orders** *(specify):*

☐ Additional orders are attached at the end of this Order on Attachment 11.

To the Person in (1):

(12) **Mandatory Entry of Order Into CARPOS Through CLETS**

This Order must be entered into the California Restraining and Protective Order System (CARPOS) through the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS). *(Check one):*

a. ☑ The clerk will enter this Order and its proof-of-service form into CARPOS.

b. ☐ The clerk will transmit this Order and its proof-of-service form to a law enforcement agency to be entered into CARPOS.

c. ☐ By the close of business on the date that this Order is made, the person in (1) or his or her lawyer should deliver a copy of the Order and its proof-of-service form to the law enforcement agency listed below to enter into CARPOS:

Name of Law Enforcement Agency	Address *(City, State, Zip)*

☐ Additional law enforcement agencies are listed at the end of this Order on Attachment 12.

(13) **Service of Order on Restrained Person**

a. ☐ The person in (2) personally attended the hearing. No other proof of service is needed.

b. ☒ The person in (2) did not attend the hearing.

(1) ☑ Proof of service of form CH-110, *Temporary Restraining Order,* was presented to the court. The judge's orders in this form are the same as in form CH-110 except for the expiration date. The person in (2) must be served with this Order. Service may be by mail.

(2) ☐ The judge's orders in this form are different from the temporary restraining orders in form CH-110. Someone—but not anyone in (1) or (3)—must personally serve a copy of this Order on the person in (2).

(14) ☒ **No Fee to Serve (Notify) Restrained Person**

The sheriff or marshal will serve this Order without charge because:

a. ☒ The Order is based on unlawful violence, a credible threat of violence, or stalking.

b. ☐ The person in (1) is entitled to a fee waiver.

(15) Number of pages attached to this Order, if any: _____

Date: DEC 20 2023

Judicial Officer
ANTONIETTA MARTELLA

This is a Court Order.

Warning and Notice to the Restrained Person in (2):

You Cannot Have Firearms (Guns), Firearm Parts, or Ammunition

Unless item 8e is checked, you cannot own, have, possess, buy or try to buy, receive or try to receive, or otherwise get any prohibited items listed in item 8b on page 3 while this Order is in effect. If you do, you can go to jail and pay a $1,000 fine. You must sell to or store with a licensed gun dealer, or turn in to a law enforcement agency, any firearms (guns) and firearm parts that you have or control as stated in item (8) above. The court will require you to prove that you did so.

Instructions for Law Enforcement

Enforcing the Restraining Order

This Order is enforceable by any law enforcement agency that has received the Order, is shown a copy of the Order, or has verified its existence on the California Restraining and Protective Order System (CARPOS). If the law enforcement agency has not received proof of service on the restrained person, and the restrained person was not present at the court hearing, the agency must advise the restrained person of the terms of the Order and then must enforce it. Violations of this Order are subject to criminal penalties.

Start Date and End Date of Orders

This Order *starts* on the date next to the judge's signature on page 4 and *ends* on the expiration date in item (4) on page 1.

Arrest Required If Order Is Violated

If an officer has probable cause to believe that the restrained person had notice of the order and has disobeyed it, the officer must arrest the restrained person. (Pen. Code, §§ 836(c)(1), 13701(b).) A violation of the order may be a violation of Penal Code section 166 or 273.6. Agencies are encouraged to enter violation messages into CARPOS.

Notice/Proof of Service

The law enforcement agency must first determine if the restrained person had notice of the order. Consider the restrained person "served" (given notice) if (Pen. Code, § 836(c)(2)):

- The officer sees a copy of the *Proof of Service* or confirms that the *Proof of Service* is on file; *or*
- The restrained person was at the restraining order hearing or was informed of the order by an officer.

An officer can obtain information about the contents of the order and proof of service in CARPOS. If proof of service on the restrained person cannot be verified and the restrained person was not present at the court hearing, the agency must advise the restrained person of the terms of the order and then enforce it.

If the Protected Person Contacts the Restrained Person

Even if the protected person invites or consents to contact with the restrained person, this Order remains in effect and must be enforced. The protected person cannot be arrested for inviting or consenting to contact with the restrained person. The orders can be changed only by another court order. (Pen. Code, § 13710(b).)

This is a Court Order.

Conflicting Orders—Priorities for Enforcement

If more than one restraining order has been issued protecting the protected person from the restrained person, the orders must be enforced in the following priority (see Pen. Code, § 136.2 and Fam. Code, §§ 6383(h)(2), 6405(b)):

1. *Emergency Protective Order (EPO):* If one of the orders is an *Emergency Protective Order* (form EPO-001), provisions (e.g., stay-away order) that are more restrictive than in the other restraining/protective orders must be enforced. Provisions of another order that do not conflict with the EPO must be enforced.
2. *No-Contact Order:* If a restraining/protective order includes a no-contact order, the no-contact order must be enforced. Item 6a(2) is an example of a no-contact order.
3. *Criminal Protective Order (CPO):* If none of the orders include an EPO or a no-contact order, the most recent CPO must be enforced. (Fam. Code, §§ 6383(h)(2) and 6405(b).) Additionally, a CPO issued in a criminal case involving charges of domestic violence, Penal Code sections 261, 261.5, or former 262, or charges requiring sex offender registration must be enforced over any civil court order. (Pen. Code, § 136.2(e)(2).) All provisions in the civil court order that do not conflict with the CPO must be enforced.
4. *Civil Restraining Orders:* If there is more than one civil restraining order (e.g., domestic violence, juvenile, elder abuse, civil harassment), then the order that was issued last must be enforced. Provisions that do not conflict with the most recent civil restraining order must be enforced.

Clerk's Certificate
[seal]

(Clerk will fill out this part.)

—Clerk's Certificate—

I certify that this *Civil Harassment Restraining Order After Hearing* is a true and correct copy of the original on file in the court.

Date: SEP 08 2025 Clerk, by David W. Slayton M. Diaz, Deputy

This is a Court Order.

CH-100 Request for Civil Harassment Restraining Orders

Clerk stamps date here when form is filed.

FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles
NOV 14 2023
David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court
By: K. Cruz, Deputy

Read *Can a Civil Harassment Restraining Order Help Me? (form CH-100-INFO)* before completing this form. Also fill out *Confidential CLETS Information (form CLETS-001)* with as much information as you know.

Fill in court name and street address:

Superior Court of California, County of
LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT
ALHAMBRA COURTHOUSE
150 W. COMMONWEALTH AVE.
ALHAMBRA, CA 91801

Court fills in case number when form is filed.

Case Number: 23PDR00173

1 Person Seeking Protection

a. Your Full Name:
Brian Mark Rosenberg Age: 36
Your Lawyer *(if you have one for this case)*
Name: _____ State Bar No.: _____
Firm Name: _____

b. Your Address *(If you have a lawyer, give your lawyer's information. If you do not have a lawyer and want to keep your home address private, you may give a different mailing address instead. You do not have to give telephone, fax, or email.)*
Address: 150 West Commonwealth Ave
City: Alhambra State: CA Zip: 91801
Telephone: _____ Fax: _____
Email Address: brosenberg@da.lacounty.gov

2 Person From Whom Protection Is Sought

Full Name: Arogant Hollywood Age: ~~32~~ 41
Address *(if known)*: Transient
City: _____ State: CA Zip: _____

3 Additional Protected Persons

a. Are you asking for protection for any other family or household members? ☐ Yes ☒ No *If yes, list them:*

Full Name	Gender	Age	Lives with you?	How are they related to you?
			☐ Yes ☐ No	
			☐ Yes ☐ No	
			☐ Yes ☐ No	
			☐ Yes ☐ No	

☐ *Check here if there are more persons. Attach a sheet of paper and write "Attachment 3a—Additional Protected Persons" for a title. You may use form MC-025,* Attachment.

b. Why do these people need protection? *(Explain below):*

☐ *Check here if there is not enough space for your answer. Put your complete answer on the attached sheet of paper or form MC-025 and write "Attachment 3b—Why Others Need Protection" for a title.*

This is not a Court Order.

Case Number: 23PDR001713

(4) Relationship of Parties

How do you know the person in (2)? *(Explain below)*:

☐ *Check here if there is not enough space for your answer. Put your complete answer on the attached sheet of paper or form MC-025 and write "Attachment 4—Relationship of Parties" for a title.*

Hollywood is a Defendant on a matter I prosecuted

(5) Venue

Why are you filing in this county? *(Check all that apply)*:

a. ☒ The person in (2) lives in this county.

b. ☒ I was harassed by the person in (2) in this county.

c. ☐ Other *(specify)*: _____

(6) Other Court Cases

a. Have you or any of the persons named in (3) been involved in another court case with the person in (2)?

☒ Yes ☐ No *(If yes, check each kind of case and indicate where and when each was filed.)*

	Kind of Case	Filed in *(County/State)*	Year Filed	Case Number *(if known)*
(1)	☐ Civil Harassment			
(2)	☐ Domestic Violence			
(3)	☐ Divorce, Nullity, Legal Separation			
(4)	☐ Paternity, Parentage, Child Custody			
(5)	☐ Elder or Dependent Adult Abuse			
(6)	☐ Eviction			
(7)	☐ Guardianship			
(8)	☐ Workplace Violence			
(9)	☐ Small Claims			
(10)	☒ Criminal	Los Angeles, CA	2023	GA114055
(11)	☐ Other *(specify)*:			

b. Are there now any protective or restraining orders in effect relating to you or any of the persons in (3) and the person in (2)? ☐ No ☐ Yes *(If yes, attach a copy if you have one.)*

(7) Description of Harassment

Harassment means violence or threats of violence against you, or a course of conduct that seriously alarmed, annoyed, or harassed you and caused you substantial emotional distress. A course of conduct is more than one act.

a. Tell the court about the last time the person in (2) harassed you.

(1) When did it happen? *(provide date or estimated date)*: 11/13/2023

(2) Who else was there?

~~Last act of harrasment was via email, but attached to said email were numerous members of the Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, including my assigned investigator Billy Khounthavong.~~

This is not a Court Order.

Case Number: 23HDR00171Z

(7) a. (3) How did the person in (2) harass you? *(Explain below):*

☒ *Check here if there is not enough space for your answer. Put your complete answer on the attached sheet of paper or form MC-025 and write "Attachment 7a(3)—Describe Harassment" for a title.*

(4) Did the person in (2) use or threaten to use a gun or any other weapon?

☐ Yes ☑ No *(If yes, explain below):*

☐ *Check here if there is not enough space for your answer. Put your complete answer on the attached sheet of paper or form MC-025 and write "Attachment 7a(4)—Use of Weapons" for a title.*

(5) Were you harmed or injured because of the harassment?

☐ Yes ☑ No *(If yes, explain below):*

☐ *Check here if there is not enough space for your answer. Put your complete answer on the attached sheet of paper or form MC-025 and write "Attachment 7a(5)—Harm or Injury" for a title.*

(6) Did the police come? ☐ Yes ☑ No

If yes, did they give you or the person in (2) an Emergency Protective Order? ☐ Yes ☑ No

If yes, the order protects *(check all that apply):*

☐ Me ☐ The person in (2) ☐ The persons in (3).

(Attach a copy of the order if you have one.)

b. Has the person in (2) harassed you at other times?

☒ Yes ☐ No *(If yes, describe prior incidents and provide dates of harassment below):*

☐ *Check here if there is not enough space for your answer. Put your complete answer on the attached sheet of paper or form MC-025 and write "Attachment 7b—Previous Harassment" for a title.*

This is not a Court Order.

Case Number: 25PDRO01713

Check the orders you want. ☑

(8) ☑ Personal Conduct Orders

I ask the court to order the person in (2) **not** to do any of the following things to me or to any person to be protected listed in (3):

a. ☒ Harass, intimidate, molest, attack, strike, stalk, threaten, assault (sexually or otherwise), hit, abuse, destroy personal property of, or disturb the peace of the person.

b. ☒ Contact the person, either directly or indirectly, in **any** way, including, but not limited to, in person, by telephone, in writing, by public or private mail, by interoffice mail, by email, by text message, by fax, or by other electronic means.

c. ☐ Other *(specify):*

☐ *Check here if there is not enough space for your answer. Put your complete answer on the attached sheet of paper or form MC-025 and write "Attachment 8c—Other Personal Conduct Orders," for a title.*

The person in (2) will be ordered not to take any action to get the addresses or locations of any protected person unless the court finds good cause not to make the order.

(9) ☑ Stay-Away Orders

a. I ask the court to order the person in (2) to stay at least 100 yards away from *(check all that apply):*

(1) ☒ Me.
(2) ☐ The other persons listed in (3).
(3) ☐ My home.
(4) ☐ My job or workplace.
(5) ☐ My school.
(6) ☐ My children's school.
(7) ☐ My children's place of child care.
(8) ☐ My vehicle.
(9) ☐ Other *(specify):*

b. *If* the court orders the person in (2) to stay away from all the places listed above, will he or she still be able to get to his or her home, school, or job? ☒ Yes ☐ No *(If no, explain below):*

☐ *Check here if there is not enough space for your answer. Put your complete answer on the attached sheet of paper or form MC-025 and write "Attachment 9b—Stay-Away Orders," for a title.*

(10) Firearms (Guns), Firearm Parts, and Ammunition

Does the person in (2) own or possess any firearms (guns), firearm parts, or ammunition? This includes firearm receivers and frames, and any item that may be used as or easily turned into a receiver or frame (see Penal Code section 16531). ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ I don't know

If the judge grants a protective order, the person in (2) will be prohibited from owning, possessing, purchasing, receiving, or attempting to purchase or receive firearms (guns), firearm parts, and ammunition while the protective order is in effect. The person in (2) will also be ordered to turn in to law enforcement, or sell to or store with a licensed gun dealer, any firearms (guns) and firearm parts within their immediate possession or control.

This is not a Court Order.

Case Number: 23PDR001713

(11) ☒ Temporary Restraining Order

I request that a Temporary Restraining Order (TRO) be issued against the person in (2) to last until the hearing. I am presenting form CH-110, *Temporary Restraining Order*, for the court's signature together with this *Request*.

Has the person in (2) been told that you were going to go to court to seek a TRO against him or her?

☐ Yes ☑ No *(If you answered no, explain why below):*

☐ *Check here if there is not enough space for your answer. Put your complete answer on the attached sheet of paper or form MC-025 and write "Attachment 11—Temporary Restraining Order" for a title.*

I am afraid that if I contacted him regarding this request that Mr. Hollywood would engage in even more intimidating and harassing conduct.

(12) ☐ Request to Give Less Than Five Days' Notice of Hearing

You must have your papers personally served on the person in (2) at least five days before the hearing, unless the court orders a shorter time for service. (Form CH-200-INFO explains What Is "Proof of Personal Service"? *Form CH-200,* Proof of Personal Service, *may be used to show the court that the papers have been served.)*

If you want there to be fewer than five days between service and the hearing, explain why below:

☐ *Check here if there is not enough space for your answer. Put your complete answer on the attached sheet of paper or form MC-025 and write "Attachment 12—Request to Give Less Than Five Days' Notice" for a title.*

(13) ☒ No Fee for Filing or Service

a. ☑ There should be no filing fee because the person in (2) has used or threatened to use violence against me, has stalked me, or has acted or spoken in some other way that makes me reasonably fear violence.

b. ☑ The sheriff or marshal should serve (notify) the person in (2) about the orders for free because my request for orders is based on unlawful violence, a credible threat of violence, or stalking.

c. ☐ There should be no filing fee and the sheriff or marshal should serve the person in (2) for free because I am entitled to a fee waiver. *(You must complete and file form FW-001,* Application for Waiver of Court Fees and Costs *.)*

(14) ☐ Lawyer's Fees and Costs

I ask the court to order payment of my ☐ lawyer's fees ☐ Court costs.

The amounts requested are:

Item	Amount	Item	Amount
	$		$
	$		$
	$		$

☐ *Check here if there are more items. Put the items and amounts on the attached sheet of paper or form MC-025 and write "Attachment 14—Lawyer's Fees and Costs" for a title.*

This is not a Court Order.

Case Number: PDRU01713

(15) ☐ Possession and Protection of Animals

I ask the court to order the following:

a. ☐ That I be given the sole possession, care, and control of the animals listed below, which I own, possess, lease, keep, or hold, or which reside in my household.
(Identify animals by, e.g., type, breed, name, color, sex.)

I request sole possession of the animals because *(specify good cause for granting order)*:

☐ *Check here if there is not enough space for your answer. Put your complete answer on the attached sheet of paper or form MC-025 and write "Attachment 15a—Possession of Animals" for a title.*

b. ☐ That the person in (2) must stay at least _____ yards away from, and not take, sell, transfer, encumber, conceal, molest, attack, strike, threaten, harm, or otherwise dispose of, the animals listed above.

(16) ☐ Additional Orders Requested

I ask the court to make the following additional orders *(specify)*:

☐ *Check here if there is not enough space for your answer. Put your complete answer on the attached sheet of paper or form MC-025 and write "Attachment 16—Additional Orders Requested," for a title.*

(17) Number of pages attached to this form, if any: 106

Date: _____

_____ ▶ _____
Lawyer's name (if any) *Lawyer's signature*

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the information above and on all attachments is true and correct.

Date: 11/14/23

Brian Rosenberg ▶ _____
Type or print your name *Sign your name*

This is not a Court Order.

SHORT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER:
Attachment 7a(3)-Describe Harassment	23FDR001713

ATTACHMENT *(Number):* _____

(This Attachment may be used with any Judicial Council form.)

I received an email from Mr. Hollywood wherein he threatened to send me to federal prison and indicated that a "a series of emails are coming soon! Complete with all the evidence that you broke federal law by abusing your power and authority as peace officers and public officers of the court! Get Ready!!!!!!". In addition to threatening this series of emails, Hollywood also told the detective on our case that "you had better run away as fast you can fool." This is the latest in a string of harassing communications that I believe are only aimed at intimidating and annoying me. This matter was reported to LASD. URN: 923-11730-0522-217.

(If the item that this Attachment concerns is made under penalty of perjury, all statements in this Attachment are made under penalty of perjury.)

SHORT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER:
Attachment 7b-Previous Harassment	23PDRU01713

ATTACHMENT *(Number):* _____

(This Attachment may be used with any Judicial Council form.)

I am the assigned prosecutor for the case of People v. Arogant Hollywood, GA114055. Mr. Hollywood was previously charged in People v. Arogant Hollywood, GA113236, wherein after the proceedings, he threatened to sue the named prosecutor and ultimately contacted her and attempted to contact her family members that had nothing to do with that case and threatened to sue them. Said prosecutor is a colleague of mine and was scared by this behavior. I was assigned GA114055 at arraignment on 2/24/23. From the beginning of the case, Mr. Hollywood threatened to sue me in open court numerous times. He called me racist and frequently stated that he wouldn't just sue me but he'd find my entire family and sue them in any court he could, in any state he could.. He also called my work phone numerous times and threatened to sue me as well. He made additional threats to file state bar complaints against me as well. Additionally, Mr. Hollywood filed a motion in court wherein he threatened to sue myself and the judge for $14 million dollars (said motion was admitted as People's 2 during Defendant's preliminary hearing). A preliminary hearing was conducted on this matter on April 4, 2023 and April 5, 2023. Mr. Hollywood testified during this hearing. In this hearing, Mr. Hollywood admitted to being a vexatious litigant and admitted to intimidating people "all the time" by threatening to sue them. He further admitted to sending an email to the prior DA and her family because he was upset about the case she prosecuted. He stated "If I sue her family, she'll care. Ms. Lee's family's getting sued. I got her home address too." Mr. Hollywood additionally admitted to threatening to sue me "a lot." Stating further "that's what I do." Given his conduct with the prior DA, along with his conduct on this case, I believe that Mr. Hollywood uses these statements as a means of harassing and intimidating. This case was ultimately resolved on 10/17/23, where Mr. Hollywood pled no contest to the charge of PC 422 and was placed on formal probation. On 11/13/23, I received an email from Mr. Hollywood, threatening to send me to federal prison, and threatening to send me a series of emails. I reported this to LASD. Incident number: 923-11730-0522-217. Due to all this, I am fearful of my safety, along with the safety of my family, and believe that a protective order is necessary to secure that safety.

(If the item that this Attachment concerns is made under penalty of perjury, all statements in this Attachment are made under penalty of perjury.)

Brian Rosenberg


From: Arogant Hollywood <arogantmusicinc@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 13, 2023 1:19 AM
To: ruluna@lasd.org; atardy@lasd.org; bkhounthavong@lasd.org; rlewis@lasd.org; Brian Rosenberg; rakelrossi@gmail.com; rachel.rossi@usdoj.gov; AROGANTHOLLYWOODGENIUS@gmail.com
Subject: [External]DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, ROSSI, AND OTHER OFFICIALS WILL SEE TO IT THAT ROSENBERG AND KHOUNTHAVONG GO TO FEDERAL PRISION

A SERIES OF EMAILS ARE COMING SOON! COMPLETE WITH ALL THE EVIDENCE THAT YOU BROKE FEDERAL LAW BY ABUSING YOUR POWER AND AUTHORITY AS PEACE OFFICERS AND PUBLIC OFFICERS OF THE COURT!

GET READY!!!!!!!!



BECAUSE ROSSI AND THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IS GOING TO SEE TO IT THAT BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG, RICHARD LEWIS, AND BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG BECOME FEDERAL PRISONERS! GUARANTEED!!!!!!!




ROSSI! AMERICA IS LESS SAFE WITH BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG ON THE STREETS. ITS TIME FOR THE U.S. GOVERNMENT TO LOCK THIS FOOL UP AND DECERTIFY HIM!

HE IS A CROOKED COP THAT HAS BEEN FEDERALLY CHARGED AND UNDER INVESTIGATION FOR CONDUCTING SIMILAR CRIMINAL BEHAVIOR AND CONDUCT HE HAS IN MY CASE. LOCK THIS FOOL UP!

Investigations and Prosecutions

The Department of Justice ("The Department") vigorously investigates and, where the evidence permits, prosecutes allegations of Constitutional violations by law enforcement officers. The Department's investigations most often involve alleged uses of excessive force, but also include sexual misconduct, theft, false arrest, and deliberate indifference to serious medical needs or a substantial risk of harm to a person in custody. These cases typically involve police officers, jailers, correctional

BECAUSE ROSSI AND THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IS GOING TO SEE TO IT THAT BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG, RICHARD LEWIS, AND BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG BECOME FEDERAL PRISONERS! GUARANTEED!!!!!!!



ROSSI! AMERICA IS LESS SAFE WITH BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG ON THE STREETS. ITS TIME FOR THE U.S. GOVERNMENT TO LOCK THIS FOOL UP AND DECERTIFY HIM!

HE IS A CROOKED COP THAT HAS BEEN FEDERALLY CHARGED AND UNDER INVESTIGATION FOR CONDUCTING SIMILAR CRIMINAL BEHAVIOR AND CONDUCT HE HAS IN MY CASE. LOCK THIS FOOL UP!

Investigations and Prosecutions

The Department of Justice ("The Department") vigorously investigates and, where the evidence permits, prosecutes allegations of Constitutional violations by law enforcement officers. The Department's investigations most often involve alleged uses of excessive force, but also include sexual misconduct, theft, false arrest, and deliberate indifference to serious medical needs or a substantial risk of harm to a person in custody. These cases typically involve police officers, jailers, correctional

officers, probation officers, prosecutors, judges, and other federal, state, or local law enforcement officials. The Department's authority extends to all law enforcement conduct, regardless of whether an officer is on or off duty, so long as he/she is acting, or claiming to act, in his/her official capacity.

In addition to Constitutional violations, the Department prosecutes law enforcement officers for related instances of obstruction of justice. This includes attempting to prevent a victim or witnesses from reporting the misconduct, lying to federal, state, or local officials during the course of an investigation into the potential misconduct, writing a false report to conceal misconduct, or fabricating evidence.

The principles of federal prosecution, set forth in the United States Attorneys' Manual ("USAM"), require federal prosecutors to meet two standards in order to seek an indictment.

First, the government must be convinced that the potential defendant committed a federal crime. Second, the government must also conclude that the government would be likely to prevail at trial, where the government must prove the charges beyond a reasonable doubt.

To prove a violation of § 242, the government must prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt: (1) that the defendant deprived a victim of a right protected by the Constitution or laws of the United States, (2) that the defendant acted willfully, and (3) that the defendant was acting under color of law. A violation of § 242 is a felony if one of the following conditions is met: the defendant used, attempted to use, or threatened to use a dangerous weapon, explosive or fire; the victim suffered bodily injury; the defendant's actions included attempted murder, kidnapping or attempted kidnapping, aggravated sexual abuse or attempted aggravated sexual abuse, or the crime resulted in death. Otherwise, the violation is a misdemeanor.

Establishing the intent behind a Constitutional violation requires proof beyond a reasonable doubt that the law enforcement officer knew what he/she was doing was wrong and against the law and decided to do it anyway. Therefore, even if the government can prove beyond a reasonable doubt that an individual's Constitutional right was violated, § 242 requires that the government prove that the law enforcement officer intended to engage in the unlawful conduct and that he/she did so knowing that it was wrong or unlawful. *See Screws v. United States*, 325 U.S. 91, 101-107 (1945). Mistake, fear, misperception, or even poor judgment does not constitute willful conduct prosecutable under the statute.

WHILE LYING BILLY AND RACIST MARK ROSENBERG'S ACTIONS ARE A MISDEMEANOR UNDER FEDERAL LAW. THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE MUST WORK WITH THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE TO CRIMINALLY CHARGE ROSENBERG, LEWIS, AND KHOUNTHAVONG

PURSUANT TO VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 6200, WHICH IS A FELONY AND CARRY STATE PRISON TIME!

3-07/220.20 - California Department of Justice Admonishment

3-07/220.00 - Prohibitions

3-07/230.00 - Data Communications Management

As an employee of the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department, you may have access to confidential criminal record and/or Department of Motor Vehicles record information which is controlled by statute. This would include the information in the Los Angeles County Regional Identification System (LACRIS), including the facial recognition tools within LACRIS. Misuse of such information may adversely affect the individual's civil rights and violates the law. Penal Code section 502 prescribes the penalties relating to computer crimes. Penal Code sections 11105 and 13300 identify who has access to criminal history information and under what circumstances it may be released. Penal Code sections 11140-11144 and 13301-13305 prescribe penalties for misuse of criminal history information. Government Code section 6200 prescribes the felony penalties for misuse of public records and CLETS information. Penal Code sections 11142 and 13303 state:

> "Any person authorized by law to receive a record or information obtained from a record who knowingly furnishes the record or information to a person not authorized by law to receive the record or information is guilty of a misdemeanor."

California Vehicle Code section 1808.45 prescribes the penalties relating to misuse of Department of Motor Vehicles record information.

Any employee who is responsible for such misuse is subject to disciplinary action. Violations of this law may also result in criminal and/or civil actions.

PURSUANT TO VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 6200, WHICH IS A FELONY AND CARRY STATE PRISON TIME!'

3-07/220.20 - California Department of Justice Admonishment

3-07/220.00 - Prohibitions

3-07/230.00 - Data Communications Management

As an employee of the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department, you may have access to confidential criminal record and/or Department of Motor Vehicles record information which is controlled by statute. This would include the information in the Los Angeles County Regional Identification System (LACRIS), including the facial recognition tools within LACRIS. Misuse of such information may adversely affect the individual's civil rights and violates the law. Penal Code section 502 prescribes the penalties relating to computer crimes. Penal Code sections 11105 and 13300 identify who has access to criminal history information and under what circumstances it may be released. Penal Code sections 11140-11144 and 13301-13305 prescribe penalties for misuse of criminal history information. Government Code section 6200 prescribes the felony penalties for misuse of public records and CLETS information. Penal Code sections 11142 and 13303 state:

> "Any person authorized by law to receive a record or information obtained from a record who knowingly furnishes the record or information to a person not authorized by law to receive the record or information is guilty of a misdemeanor."

California Vehicle Code section 1808.45 prescribes the penalties relating to misuse of Department of Motor Vehicles record information.

Any employee who is responsible for such misuse is subject to disciplinary action. Violations of this law may also result in criminal and/or civil actions.

BILLY! YOU HAD BETTER RUN AWAY AS FAST YOU CAN FOOL!



Arogant Hollywood, *Esq.*
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106

Arogant Hollywood

CAUTION: This email originated outside of the County (lacounty.gov domain). Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and expect the message.

CAUTION: This email originated outside of the County (lacounty.gov domain). Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and expect the message.

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPT. 1 HON. MICHAEL VILLALOBOS, JUDGE

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, PLAINTIFF, VS. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, DEFENDANT.	)	NO. GA114055

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

PRELIMINARY HEARING - DAY 2

APRIL 5, 2023

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE PEOPLE: GEORGE GASCON, DISTRICT ATTORNEY
BY: BRIAN ROSENBERG, DEPUTY
211 WEST TEMPLE, SUITE 200
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012

FOR THE DEFENDANT: IN PROPRIA PERSONA

HTA: APRIL 19, 2023
DEPARTMENT NO.: 1

ADRIANA MONTANEZ, CSR NO. 12767
OFFICIAL REPORTER



APR 12 2023

M A S T E R I N D E X

CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX OF WITNESSES

APRIL 5, 2023

PEOPLE'S WITNESSES:	PAGE
JENNIFER HEGER	
CROSS-EXAMINATION (RESUMED)	5
BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG	
DIRECT EXAMINATION	21
CROSS-EXAMINATION	30

DEFENSE WITNESSES:	PAGE
AROGANT HOLLYWOOD	
DIRECT EXAMINATION	43
CROSS-EXAMINATION	56
REDIRECT EXAMINATION	75

MASTER INDEX

EXHIBITS

APRIL 5, 2023

PEOPLE'S	FOR IDENTIFICATION PAGE	IN EVIDENCE PAGE
1 FLASH DRIVE	--	41
2 DOCUMENT	61	--

DEFENSE:	FOR IDENTIFICATION PAGE	IN EVIDENCE PAGE
A DOCUMENT	--	81
B TRANSCRIPT	--	81

CASE NUMBER: GA114055.
CASE NAME: PEOPLE VS. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA APRIL 5, 2023
DEPT. 1 HON. MICHAEL VILLALOBOS, JUDGE
REPORTER: ADRIANA MONTANEZ, CSR NO. 12767
TIME: P.M. SESSION
APPEARANCES:

DEFENDANT, AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, IN PROPRIA PERSONA; BRIAN ROSENBERG, DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY REPRESENTING THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

THE COURT: ON THE RECORD ON AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, CASE NUMBER ENDING 4055. THE DEFENDANT IS PRESENT, SELF-REPRESENTED AND THE PEOPLE ARE REPRESENTED BY MR. ROSENBERG. BEFORE WE BRING THE WITNESS IN, IS THERE ANYTHING YOU WISH TO ADDRESS, MR. ROSENBERG?

MR. ROSENBERG: JUST AS MR. HOLLYWOOD CAME OUT RIGHT NOW, HE BOTH MAD-DOGGED MYSELF AND THE DETECTIVE SHOUTING AT US THAT HE'S GOING TO TESTIFY AND SHOW US THE TRUTH. AGAIN, WE'RE AT THIS POINT WHERE HE'S MAKING SNIDE COMMENTS AT US, ACTING INAPPROPRIATELY FOR A PRO PER. SO I WANT TO PUT HIM ON NOTICE AGAIN BASED ON MY MOTION YESTERDAY AFTERNOON THAT IF THAT TYPE OF STUFF CONTINUES, I'M GOING TO RENEW THAT MOTION TO REVOKE HIS PRO PER STATUS.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: HE CAN KEEP TALKING. I TALKED TO GARRISON, HIS BOSS, AND I TOLD HIM THAT, BASED ON THE PERJURY STATEMENTS THAT SHE MADE ON THE RECORD, AND HE'S A WITNESS TO,

THAT SHE SHOULD BE CHARGED WITH FELONY PERJURY. THIS IS A CRIMINAL PROCEEDING. I'M FACING STATE TIME IN PRISON. MY FREEDOM HAS BEEN TAKEN AWAY FOR 40 DAYS. I ALSO WANT TO PUT YOU ON NOTICE UNDER 18 USC SECTION 371 WHICH IS WHAT THIS MAN WAS CHARGED FOR IN 2015 THAT JENNIFER HEGER COULD ALSO BE FACING A FEDERAL OFFENSE. SHE'S MADE NUMEROUS FALSE STATEMENTS.

SHE'S MADE FALSE STATEMENTS TO MR. ROSENBERG. AND I DON'T KNOW IF HE'S PREPARED TO PUT HIM ON THE STAND. BUT IF HE GOES ON THE STAND, I'M GOING TO CROSS. AND THEN THERE'S GOING TO BE FURTHER IMPEACHMENT OF HER. BUT I DON'T KNOW IF HE WANTS TO PUT -- I'M SO UPSET ABOUT THIS CASE THAT I'M TESTIFYING AND ALISON IS GOING TO TESTIFY. SO I DON'T THINK WE'RE GOING TO GET DONE TODAY.

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD. TWO THINGS --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: AND I --

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD, QUIET. FIRST OF ALL, THIS CASE IS NOT ABOUT ANYBODY ELSE BUT YOU, WHETHER YOU COMMITTED A CRIME ON THE DATE IN QUESTION; FEBRUARY 21ST, 2023. IT'S NOT ABOUT ANYBODY ELSE. THERE WILL BE NO OTHER -- THERE'S NOTHING GOING TO BE PRESENTED ABOUT WHAT THE WITNESS MAY OR MAY NOT HAVE SAID AS TO ALLEGATIONS OF PERJURY AND ALL THAT. THAT'S NUMBER 1.

NUMBER 2, I DO EXPECT YOU TO BEHAVE TODAY. SO YOU NEED TO MAKE SURE THAT YOU JUST ASK YOUR QUESTIONS, COMPLETE YOUR CROSS-EXAMINATION OF THE WITNESS, AND JUST ASK THE QUESTIONS, AND THAT'S IT. NO EDITORIALS. NO THREATS. NO SNIDE REMARKS. NO LOOKS OF WHATEVER. SO DO YOU UNDERSTAND?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: RIGHT. I DIDN'T KNOW IT WAS SUCH A -- HE'S TALKING ABOUT MAD-DOGGING. I DON'T KNOW WHAT HE'S TALKING ABOUT. I'M UPSET ABOUT THIS CASE. ALL I SAID TO HIM WAS I'M GOING TO TESTIFY. I DIDN'T SAY ANYTHING ELSE.

THE COURT: THAT'S FINE. YOU WANT TO BE MAD, UPSET THAT'S --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO.

THE COURT: WAIT A MINUTE. THAT'S YOUR PREROGATIVE. BUT IN A COURT OF LAW, YOU'RE EXPECTED TO FOLLOW THE RULES OF EVIDENCE, THE RULES OF PROCEDURE AND DECORUM, OKAY.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YEAH, YEAH. BUT I'M SAYING, I DON'T FEEL I DID ANYTHING WRONG BY TELLING HIM AND THIS GUY I'M GOING TO TESTIFY. MAYBE THEY'RE BEING -- I'M JUST PUTTING THEM ON NOTICE. ALISON'S GOING TO TESTIFY.

THE COURT: WELL, THAT'S UP TO YOU. YOU'RE FREE TO DO WHAT YOU -- ALTHOUGH BEFORE YOU CALL A WITNESS, OTHER THAN YOURSELF, I WANT TO THE HEAR WHAT IT'S ABOUT. BUT WE'LL CROSS THAT BRIDGE WHEN WE GET TO IT. WE'RE GOING TO RESUME THIS --

MR. ROSENBERG: AT THIS POINT, I HAVE TO MAKE A MOTION TO EXCLUDE. SHE'S BEEN SITTING IN THE COURT THIS WHOLE TIME IF SHE'S GOING TO BE A WITNESS.

THE COURT: YOU KNOW, I HAVEN'T EVEN HEARD WHAT SHE WOULD BE TESTIFYING TO. SO I DON'T KNOW WHETHER SHE'S EVEN GOING TO BE ALLOWED TO TESTIFY. I THINK IT'S A LITTLE LATE FOR THAT SO --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: IF I CAN ENLIGHTEN THE COURT WHAT SHE'S GOING TO TESTIFY TO IS NOTHING TO DO WHAT JENNIFER HEGER'S TESTIFIED TO. ALISON WAS GOING TO TESTIFY --

THE COURT: STOP RIGHT THERE. IT HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH THIS CASE?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: IT HAS SOMETHING TO DO WITH THIS CASE, BUT IT HAS TO DO WITH MY TESTIMONY MORE THAN HER'S. SO SHE WOULD TESTIFY AS TO WHERE SHE WAS SUPPOSED TO MEET ME ON THE DAY THAT I WAS ARRESTED. I HAD PLANS TO MEET HER. WE WERE SUPPOSED TO GO SERVE THE LAWSUITS AND THAT'S GOING TO BE PART OF MY TESTIMONY.

THE COURT: WHY IS THAT RELEVANT?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: IT'S RELEVANT BECAUSE IT SHOWS THAT I WAS TRYING TO GET OUT OF THE HOUSE TO GO SOMEWHERE. IT DOESN'T MAKE ANY SENSE.

THE COURT: I'M NOT SURE THAT YOUR PLANS TO GO SOMEWHERE IN THE FUTURE IS REALLY THAT RELEVANT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: OKAY.

THE COURT: BECAUSE WE'RE NOT TALKING ABOUT YOUR PLANS IN THE FUTURE. WE'RE TALKING ABOUT WHAT YOU ALLEGEDLY DID AT THE HOUSE THAT PARTICULAR DAY. WHETHER YOU WERE INTENDING TO LEAVE THAT DAY OR NOT OR GO SOMEWHERE ELSE IS NOT TOO RELEVANT TO THE CASE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: OKAY, WELL HE CAN OBJECT TO THAT. BUT SHE TESTIFIED TO THAT. SHE ALSO TESTIFIED ABOUT AT THE BEGINNING OF HOW WE MET; THAT WAS ALL FALSE. I WANT TO SET THE RECORD STRAIGHT. I NEED TO TESTIFY IN THIS CASE. IT'S NOT LIKE THE OTHER CASE.

THE COURT: WELL, YOU'LL BE ALLOWED TO TESTIFY.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THAT'S FINE. ALISON DOESN'T NEED TO TESTIFY. IF YOU'RE SAYING THAT, BASED ON WHAT I JUST SAID,

THERE'S NO REASON FOR HER TO TESTIFY BECAUSE HE'S PROBABLY GOING TO OBJECT, AND SAY IT'S NOT RELEVANT.

THE COURT: I THINK WE'RE READY.

MR. ROSENBERG: YES, YOUR HONOR.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: WE'LL LIMIT IT TO MYSELF. AS FAR AS CROSS-EXAMINATION, I GOT ABOUT 20 MORE QUESTIONS. I'M GOING TO BE QUICK. I'M NOT GOING TO BE BADGER THE WITNESS. I FEEL THAT I GOT ENOUGH YESTERDAY. SO I DON'T NEED --

THE COURT: OKAY, THAT'S GOOD.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: -- I DON'T NEED TO DO A WHOLE LOT MORE.

THE COURT: LET'S STAY FOCUSED ON THAT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YEAH. ONCE SHE'S DONE TESTIFYING, I'M GOING TO MAKE ARGUMENTS ON THE SECOND 911 TAPE.

THE COURT: HOLD ON, HOLD ON. ALL RIGHT, ONCE AGAIN WE'RE BACK ON THE PRELIMINARY HEARING MATTER. OUR WITNESS, JENNIFER, HAS RESUMED THE STAND. MS. JENNIFER, I REMIND YOU YOU ARE STILL UNDER OATH. AND, MR. HOLLYWOOD, YOU MAY RESUME YOUR CROSS-EXAMINATION.

JENNIFER HEGER,

HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY DULY SWORN, RESUMED THE STAND AND TESTIFIED FURTHER AS FOLLOWS:

CROSS-EXAMINATION (RESUMED)

Q. BY MR. HOLLYWOOD: YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT YOU FOLLOWED HOLLYWOOD INTO THE KITCHEN, CORRECT?

A. I'M SORRY. CAN YOU REPEAT THE QUESTION PLEASE? I

CAN BARELY HEAR YOU.

Q. YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT YOU FOLLOWED HOLLYWOOD INTO THE KITCHEN, CORRECT?

MR. ROSENBERG: VAGUE AS TO TIME OBJECTION.

THE COURT: I'M SORRY.

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, VAGUE AS TO TIME.

THE COURT: YEAH, TRY TO NARROW IT AS TO WHAT TIME PERIOD YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: WE'RE TALKING ABOUT SHE SAID SHE WENT -- SHE TESTIFIED THAT SHE FOLLOWED ME INTO THE KITCHEN. SO I'M ASKING HER THAT QUESTION ABOUT HER TESTIMONY EARLIER; THAT'S WHAT THE QUESTION IS.

THE COURT: FIRST OF ALL, IT ASSUMES A FACT THAT SHE FOLLOWED YOU. WHY DON'T YOU ASK HER THAT FIRST.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: OKAY, I DID. SO YOU WANT -- OKAY. DO YOU REMEMBER TESTIFYING THAT YOU FOLLOWED HOLLYWOOD INTO THE KITCHEN?

A. I DIDN'T FOLLOW YOU INTO THE KITCHEN.

Q. DO YOU REMEMBER TESTIFYING THAT YOU FOLLOWED HOLLYWOOD INTO THE KITCHEN? YES OR NO?

A. AGAIN, I DIDN'T FOLLOW YOU INTO THE KITCHEN AND THAT WAS NOT MY TESTIMONY.

Q. IT WASN'T YOUR TESTIMONY, OKAY. ALL RIGHT. YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT AFTER FOLLOWING HOLLYWOOD INTO THE KITCHEN YOU ASKED HIM, "WHAT THE FUCK ARE YOU STILL DOING IN MY KITCHEN STILL, YOU ASSHOLE?" DID YOU TESTIFY TO THAT?

A. AGAIN, I'M GOING TO REMIND YOU I DID NOT FOLLOW YOU INTO THE KITCHEN.

THE COURT: SO REPHRASE THE QUESTION. IF YOU'RE INTERESTED IN THE SECOND PART, JUST ASK THAT PART.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: ALL RIGHT, YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT YOU SAW HOLLYWOOD IN YOUR KITCHEN, AND YOU SAID, "WHAT THE FUCK ARE YOU STILL DOING IN MY KITCHEN STILL, YOU ASSHOLE"?

A. YES, I ABSOLUTELY 100 PERCENT SAID THAT TO YOU.

Q. YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT WHEN YOU ASKED AND --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: SO I JUST WANT TO SAY SOMETHING. I WAS GETTING BAD RAP. BUT YOU SEE WHAT SHE'S DOING TOO ON THE STAND SHE CONTINUES.

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, NO QUESTION PENDING.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: BUT SHE'S MAKING A GO TOO. SHE'S GETS ME UPSET.

Q. YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT WHEN YOU ASKED HOLLYWOOD WHILE YOU WERE STILL IN YOUR KITCHEN, SHE WAS HOLDING A STEAK KNIFE?

A. CORRECT, 100 PERCENT.

Q. YEAH, WHATEVER.

THE COURT: YOU NEED TO ANSWER YES OR NO.

THE WITNESS: SORRY.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER -- YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT, AFTER HOLLYWOOD MADE CRIMINAL THREATS TO YOU, YOU CALLED 911 A SECOND TIME AND SET OFF THE ALARM, CORRECT?

A. YES.

Q. ISN'T IT TRUE YOU TOLD 911 YOU SET OFF YOUR ALARM DURING YOUR FIRST 911 CALL, ISN'T THAT TRUE?

A. I DON'T RECALL.

Q. YOU DON'T RECALL. YOU RECALL TESTIFYING THAT ON YOUR SECOND CALL YOU SET OFF THE ALARM? YOU RECALL THAT, RIGHT, BUT YOU DON'T RECALL THE FIRST, RIGHT?

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, ARGUMENTATIVE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I DON'T CARE. I HAVE SOMETHING TO REFRESH YOUR MEMORY. HERE YOU GOO.

THE COURT: HOLD ON. WE DON'T -- WHY DON'T YOU ASK THE QUESTION. SO YOU ASKED A QUESTION ABOUT THE SECOND 911 CALL. ASK THAT AGAIN.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: YOU SAID YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT HOLLYWOOD MADE CRIMINAL THREATS TO YOU. YOU CALLED 911 ON THE SECOND CALL AND SET OFF THE ALARM, CORRECT?

A. CAN YOU REPEAT THE QUESTION?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'LL LET THE COURT REPEAT THE QUESTION, THE JUDGE. WANT ME TO TELL HER THE QUESTION?

THE COURT: YES, PLEASE REPEAT IT.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT AFTER HOLLYWOOD MADE CRIMINAL THREATS TO YOU, YOU CALLED 911 ON THE SECOND -- ON THE SECOND TIME AND SET OFF THE ALARM; THAT WAS YOUR TESTIMONY EARLIER, CORRECT?

A. CORRECT.

Q. RIGHT. SO ISN'T IT TRUE YOU TOLD 911 YOU SET OFF THE ALARM DURING THE FIRST 911 CALL?

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, ASKED AND ANSWERED.

THE WITNESS: I DON'T RECALL.

THE COURT: THAT WAS ASKED AND ANSWERED BY THE WAY.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: OKAY. I MEAN IT'S ASKED AND ANSWERED,

BUT, WELL, WHAT DO YOU WANT ME TO DO, REPHRASE THE QUESTION? I MEAN OKAY, ALL RIGHT.

Q. DO YOU -- DO YOU RECALL TESTIFYING 911, THAT YOU SET OFF THE ALARM DURING THE FIRST CALL?

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, ASKED AND ANSWERED.

THE COURT: THAT IS ASKED AND ANSWERED. SHE SAID SHE DOESN'T RECALL.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: OKAY, WELL, I HAVE SOMETHING TO REFRESH HER MEMORY.

THE COURT: AND WHAT PAGE IS THAT?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YOU KNOW IT'S NOT PAGINATED.

THE COURT: UP IN THE TOP-RIGHT CORNER.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO, IT'S THE 911.

THE COURT: OKAY.

MR. ROSENBERG: HOW ABOUT IF WE DON'T HAVE PAGES, WHY DOESN'T HE MARK THE ENTIRE TRANSCRIPT OF THE 911 CALL.

THE COURT: THAT WOULD BE HELPFUL.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'LL DO THAT RIGHT NOW. WHAT I HAVE IS LITERALLY THREE, FOUR PAGES, BUT I'LL DO THAT RIGHT NOW. I DON'T EVEN THINK I EVEN HAVE THE WHOLE THING. I DIDN'T BRING IT.

THE COURT: SO YOU HAVE A 911 CALL. HOW MANY PAGES IS IT?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THE ONE I HAVE -- ALL I HAVE IS, LIKE, WITH ME, I HAVE LIKE THREE PAGES OF IT. I DIDN'T BRING THE WHOLE THING. I DON'T THINK I HAVE THE WHOLE THING.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, YOU WANT TO MARK IT?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: BECAUSE I ONLY -- I DON'T WANT TO ADMIT

THE WHOLE THING. I JUST WANT TO ADMIT THIS ONE PAGE.

THE COURT: LET'S MARK THAT ONE PAGE. NOW, WE ALREADY HAVE ONE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I THINK WE DO.

THE CLERK: WE HAVE ONE. YES, WE HAVE DEFENSE B.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: CAN YOU TELL ME WHAT'S AT THE TOP OF THAT PAGE PLEASE?

THE COURT: DEFENSE B IT STARTS AT THE TOP, "DISPATCH JENNIFER HAS THERE BEEN ANY CHANGES?"

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YEAH.

THE COURT: IS THAT THE PAGE YOU WANT TO SHOW HER?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO, IT'S THE ONE ABOUT THE ALARM. IT'S THE ONE ABOUT THE ALARM ON THAT ONE.

THE COURT: OH, IN THE MIDDLE WHERE IT SAYS, "DISPATCH YOU SET OFF THE ALARM, RIGHT?"

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THERE YOU GO. SO YOU DO HAVE THE PAGE; THAT'S THE PAGE I DON'T HAVE. I HAVE ONE, TWO --

THE COURT: FOR THE RECORD, I'M SHOWING THE WITNESS. FOR PURPOSES OF FOUNDATION, DIDN'T WE SAY YESTERDAY THAT THIS IS THE FROM THE 911 CALL, THE TRANSCRIPT FROM THE FIRST 911 CALL?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: FIRST CALL, CORRECT.

MR. ROSENBERG: YES.

THE COURT: RIGHT, YES. OKAY. SO HERE'S FIRST 911 CALL THE LAST PAGE DEFENSE B. DONE?

THE WITNESS: YES.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, GO AHEAD AND ASK YOUR QUESTION.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'M NOT GOING TO ASK THE QUESTION. THIS IS FOR THE COURT. SHE JUST COMMITTED PERJURY AND THAT'S GOING

TO BE IN ARGUMENT. SO THAT'S IT. I WANT TO ADMIT THAT AS AN EXHIBIT. IT'S ALREADY ADMITTED AS AN EXHIBIT. IT SAYS ON THERE THAT SHE SET OFF THE ALARM DURING THE FIRST CALL. SHE COMMITTED PERJURY ON THE STAND.

THE COURT: YOU HAVEN'T ASKED HERE A QUESTION YET.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I DID. I ASKED HER A QUESTION EARLIER. I SAID, "YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT AFTER HOLLYWOOD MADE CRIMINAL THREATS TO YOU, YOU CALLED 911 THE SECOND TIME AND SET OFF THE ALARM." AND SHE SAID "YES." AND IT'S RIGHT THERE IT WAS THE FIRST CALL. SHE COMMITTED PERJURY. I ASKED HER ABOUT THE SECOND CALL.

THE COURT: WAIT A MINUTE. YOU HAVE SHOWN HER THIS EXHIBIT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: RIGHT.

THE COURT: OR I SHOWED HER THE EXHIBIT. THAT'S TO REFRESH HER MEMORY AS TO WHAT HER TESTIMONY IS FROM THE FIRST CALL. SO SHE'S REFRESHED HER MEMORY NOW, SO DO YOU WANT TO ASK THE QUESTION AGAIN?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO, BECAUSE SHE'S ALREADY COMMITTED PERJURY. I WANT TO MOVE ON.

THE COURT: OKAY, MOVE ON.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: SHE ALREADY COMMITTED PERJURY SO IT DOESN'T MATTER. NEXT QUESTION.

Q. YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT HOLLYWOOD MADE CRIMINAL THREATS TO YOU IN BETWEEN THE FIRST AND THE SECOND 911 CALL, CORRECT?

A. CORRECT.

Q. YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT HOLLYWOOD STATED, "TELL

THAT CHINK TO PULL HER CAR IN FRONT OF YOUR HOUSE," CORRECT?

A. CORRECT.

Q. YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT YOU RAN INTO THE HALLWAY ALMOST RIGHT AWAY AFTER SEEING HOLLYWOOD WITH A KNIFE, CORRECT?

A. COULD YOU REPEAT THE QUESTION?

Q. YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT YOU RAN TO THE HALLWAY ALMOST RIGHT AWAY AFTER SEEING HOLLYWOOD WITH A KNIFE?

A. YES.

Q. YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT A SHORT TIME LATER, YOU CAME BACK TO THE KITCHEN TO SEE IF HOLLYWOOD WAS STILL THERE, CORRECT?

A. NO.

Q. WHAT DID YOU TESTIFY TO?

A. I TESTIFIED -- COULD YOU REPEAT THE QUESTION?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO. SHE COMMITTED PERJURY. I'LL MOVE ON.

MR. ROSENBERG: YOUR HONOR, WOULD THE COURT PLEASE ADMONISH HIM TO STOP BADGERING THE WITNESS.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO. SHE COMMITTED PERJURY. I'M STATING IT ON THE RECORD. I'M NOT BADGERING HER. I'M TALKING TO THE COURT.

MR. ROSENBERG: I WASN'T TALKING TO YOU. I WAS TALKING TO THE COURT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: AND I'M TALKING TO THE COURT. SHE COMMITTED PERJURY. I'M MOVING TO THE NEXT QUESTION.

THE COURT: AGAIN, MR. HOLLYWOOD, WE DO NOT NEED THE EDITORIALS.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO, NO, BUT I WANT TO SAY FOR THE RECORD THAT SHE COMMITTED PERJURY.

THE COURT: YOU'LL HAVE YOUR OPPORTUNITY TO MAKE YOUR ARGUMENT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO, BUT I WANT TO MAKE IT RIGHT NOW. I WANT TO --

THE COURT: YOU DON'T NEED TO MAKE IT NOW. IT'S NOT ALLOWED.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'M NOT ALLOWED TO SAY SHE'S COMMITTING PERJURY.

THE COURT: NOT AT THIS STAGE. YOU'RE ASKING QUESTIONS.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'M ASKING QUESTIONS, BUT SHE COMMITTED PERJURY. IF I WAS ON THE STAND AND I COMMITTED --

THE COURT: KEEP IT TO YOURSELF THAT'S WHAT I'M SAYING. IT'S NOT THE APPROPRIATE TIME.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: ALL RIGHT.

Q. DO YOU RECALL MAKING ADDITIONAL STATEMENTS TO ROSENBERG YESTERDAY AFTERNOON REGARDING YOU POSSESSING THE KNIFE?

A. ADDITIONAL STATEMENTS?

Q. DO YOU RECALL MAKING ADDITIONAL STATEMENTS TO ROSENBERG DURING THE LUNCH BREAK YESTERDAY REGARDING YOU POSSESSING THE KNIFE?

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, VAGUE AS TO TIME.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: IT ALREADY HAS VALIDATION. ROSENBERG SAID ON THE RECORD --

THE COURT: HE'S NOT TALKING ABOUT THAT TIME.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'M TALKING ABOUT DURING THE BREAK.

MR. ROSENBERG: I'M SAYING YOU'RE CONFUSING HER.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'M NOT CONFUSING HER. SHE'S NOT TELLING THE TRUTH; THAT'S WHY SHE'S ACTING LIKE THAT.

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD, ONCE AGAIN.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO, NO. I'M NOT TALKING TO HER. I'M TALKING TO THE COURT.

THE COURT: NO, YOU'RE NOT TALKING TO ME BECAUSE I DON'T WANT TO HEAR THAT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: ALL RIGHT, ALL RIGHT.

THE COURT: OKAY, SO I BELIEVE THERE WAS A DISCUSSION YESTERDAY BETWEEN THE WITNESS AND MR. ROSENBERG REGARDING THE KNIFE, RIGHT?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YES.

THE COURT: OKAY, AND HE'S ASKING DO YOU REMEMBER THAT CONVERSATION?

THE WITNESS: YES, I DO.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: ALL RIGHT.

THE COURT: GO AHEAD.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: DID YOU TELL ROSENBERG THAT YOU GRABBED THE KNIFE FROM YOUR KITCHEN DURING YOUR CONFRONTATION WITH HOLLYWOOD?

THE COURT: DURING THE CONFRONTATION WITH HOLLYWOOD?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YEAH, HE SAID THAT SHE GRABBED THE KNIFE AND RAN OUTSIDE.

MR. ROSENBERG: AFTER.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I WAS IN THE KITCHEN.

THE COURT: REPHRASE YOUR QUESTION.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: ALL RIGHT.

Q. DID YOU TELL ROSENBERG YOU GRABBED THE KNIFE FROM THE KITCHEN -- FROM THE KITCHEN AROUND THE SAME TIME AS THE INCIDENT?

A. IT WAS AFTER YOU HAD BEEN ARRESTED AND HAD LEFT THE SCENE.

Q. SO IT WAS AFTER I HAD BEEN ARRESTED, REALLY? SO DIDN'T YOU TELL ROSENBERG THAT YOU APPROACHED THE FRONT DOOR WITH THE KNIFE IN YOUR HAND, THREW IT OUT IN FRONT OF THE DEPUTIES? YOU DID NOT TELL ROSENBERG THAT? YES OR NO?

A. THAT WAS NOT WHAT I TOLD MR. ROSENBERG, NO.

Q. WHAT DID YOU TELL MR. ROSENBERG?

A. I TOLD MR. ROSENBERG THAT THE TWO DEPUTIES THAT I HAD DONE THE REENACTMENT AND HAD BEEN INTERVIEWED BY, THAT I WALKED BACK IN THE HOUSE AND I SAW THE KNIFE ON THE FLOOR IN THE KITCHEN. AND THAT THAT IS WHEN I REMEMBERED THAT YOU HAD THE KNIFE IN YOUR HAND. IT WAS AN EXTREMELY TRAUMATIC EVENT AS THIS HAD NEVER HAPPENED TO ME BEFORE. AND I GRABBED THE KNIFE BECAUSE THE TWO DEPUTIES WERE EACH IN THEIR RESPECTIVE VEHICLES. THEY WERE GETTING READY TO LEAVE. THEY HAD ALREADY GOTTEN THE EMERGENCY PROTECTIVE ORDER FOR ME AGAINST YOU SO THAT YOU COULD NEVER COME NEAR ME AGAIN.

AND SO I PICKED UP THE KNIFE. IT'S AN OSTER KNIFE, A STEAK KNIFE, AND I WENT RUNNING OUTSIDE WITH IT BECAUSE IT WAS AN IMPORTANT KEY DETAIL. AND I REALIZED APPROACHING EITHER DEPUTY WITH A WEAPON IN MY HAND WAS NOT A GOOD IDEA BECAUSE EVERYTHING, YOU KNOW. AND SO I THREW IT ON THE GRASS IN FRONT OF MY HOME, AND I SAID THAT YOU HAD A KNIFE IN YOUR HAND.

AND I THREW IT ON THE GRASS BECAUSE I SAID THIS IS THE KNIFE HE HAD IN HIS HAND AND I THREW IT ON THE GRASS, AND I TOLD HIM WHY I DID. THEY THEN GOT OUT OF THEIR VEHICLES, CAME BACK INTO MY HOME, AND THEY QUESTIONED ME ABOUT IT. BOTH OF THEM DID.

Q. IF THAT'S TRUE, THEN HOW COME IT'S NOT IN THE POLICE STATEMENT?

A. YOU'D HAVE TO ASK THEM.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: SO I JUST WANT TO NOTE THAT WE NEED CONTRERAS TO TESTIFY IN THIS CASE. ALL RIGHT, NEXT QUESTION. I'M GOING TO MOVE ON FROM THESE QUESTIONS.

Q. WHEN YOU SHOWED THE KNIFE TO THE DEPUTIES, WHAT DID THEY SAY?

A. THEY SAID -- THEY GOT OUT OF THEIR VEHICLES, AND THEY SAID, "WE NEED TO ASK YOU MORE QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS." AND WE ALL WENT BACK IN TO MY HOME.

Q. AND THEY ASKED YOU WHAT QUESTIONS ABOUT THE KNIFE?

A. THEY WANTED ANOTHER REENACTMENT OF IT. I MEAN, I'M SORRY. THEY WANTED A REENACTMENT OF WHAT HAPPENED AS WE ALREADY DONE A FULL REENACTMENT OF WHAT HAPPENED THAT MORNING. SO THAT TOOK ABOUT ANOTHER 35 -- I WOULD SAY ANOTHER PROBABLY ANOTHER 20 TO 35 MINUTES. THEY WERE EXTREMELY PATIENT WITH ME, AND THEY WERE VERY, VERY THOROUGH.

Q. YOU'RE REFERRING TO DEPUTY CONTRERAS AND DETECTIVE ESQUEDA?

A. I'M SORRY. I CANNOT REMEMBER THEIR NAMES.

Q. YOU'RE REFERRING TO DEPUTY A. CONTRERAS AND DETECTIVE ESQUEDA THE ONES ON THE POLICE REPORT THAT

INTERVIEWED YOU?

A. I DO NOT REMEMBER THEIR NAMES. I DON'T KNOW WHO IT WAS. THERE WERE SO MANY DEPUTIES THAT WERE THERE THAT DAY.

Q. YOU DON'T REMEMBER WHO INTERVIEWED YOU?

A. NO, I DON'T. I HAVEN'T SEEN THEM SINCE THAT DAY.

Q. YOU DON'T HAVE A COPY OF THE POLICE REPORT?

A. I NEVER READ THE POLICE REPORT. MY DEALING --

THE COURT: NO QUESTION PENDING.

THE WITNESS: SORRY.

THE COURT: JUST WAIT FOR A QUESTION.

THE WITNESS: OKAY, SORRY, YOUR HONOR.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: WHAT WAS YOUR REASON FOR GOING INTO THE KITCHEN TO RETRIEVE A KNIFE? WHAT WAS THE REASON?

A. I WASN'T LOOKING FOR A KNIFE. I SAW IT ON THE FLOOR, AND THAT'S WHEN I REMEMBERED. I WASN'T LOOKING FOR ANYTHING. I WAS JUST WALKING BACK INTO MY KITCHEN AFTER THE DEPUTIES HAD HANDED ME AN EMERGENCY PROTECTIVE ORDER, AND THEY WERE GETTING READY -- AND THEY WERE IN THEIR CARS GETTING READY TO LEAVE.

Q. WHY WAS THE KNIFE ON THE FLOOR IN YOUR KITCHEN?

A. I'M ASSUMING YOU DROPPED IT.

Q. SO YOU DON'T KNOW WHY THE KNIFE WAS IN YOUR KITCHEN ON THE FLOOR?

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, ASKED AND ANSWERED. CALLS FOR SPECULATION.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: SHE SAID ASSUMING. I CAN ASK ANOTHER QUESTION.

THE COURT: YOU DON'T KNOW WHY, SUSTAINED.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'LL ASK A DIFFERENT QUESTION.

THE COURT: I HAVE A QUESTION. DID THE DEPUTY RECOVER THE KNIFE?

THE WITNESS: NO, THEY DIDN'T TAKE IT.

THE COURT: THEY DIDN'T TAKE IT?

THE WITNESS: THEY DIDN'T TAKE IT, NO. I STILL HAVE IT.

THE COURT: THAT'S OKAY.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'LL JUST WRITE THAT.

THE WITNESS: DON'T USE IT ANYMORE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I JUST GOT A FEW MORE QUESTIONS, BUT SHE'S NOT GOING TO BE EXCUSED.

Q. DID YOU SIGN A PRIVATE PERSON'S ARREST FORM ON FEBRUARY 21ST, 2023, STATING YOU WANTED TO PLACE HOLLYWOOD UNDER ARREST?

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, RELEVANCE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: IT IS RELEVANT. THEY GOT A BOGUS PROBABLE CAUSE, AND I THINK I TOLD THE COURT A LONG TIME AGO THERE WAS NO PRIVATE PERSON'S ARREST IN THIS COURT. IT IS RELEVANT. SHE CAN ANSWER. THEY'RE SUPPOSED TO HAVE A PRIVATE PERSON'S ARREST.

THE COURT: TWO THINGS HERE; NUMBER 1, IT'S REALLY NOT RELEVANT. BUT NUMBER 2, YOU WERE ARRESTED FOR A FELONY. SO ORDINARILY THERE'S NO REQUIREMENT THAT A PERSON, A CITIZEN, FILE OR SIGN ANYTHING TO HAVE YOU ARRESTED. IT'S ONLY FOR MISDEMEANORS.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO, THEY DO IT FOR FELONIES. AND IF THE FELONY WASN'T COMMITTED IN THE PRESENCE OF THE PEACE OFFICER AND THE PRIVATE CITIZEN WANTS TO PLACE THEM UNDER ARREST UNDER

VICTIMS OF CRIME, WHICH CRIMINALS THREATS IS, IT ACTUALLY IS REQUIRED.

THE COURT: THAT'S ONLY TRUE FOR MISDEMEANORS. SO I'M GOING TO SUSTAIN THE OBJECTION. ASK ANOTHER QUESTION.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT HOLLYWOOD THREATENED TO KILL YOU AFTER THE FIRST UBER DEPARTED, CORRECT?

A. YES.

Q. YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT HOLLYWOOD THREATENED TO KILL YOU BEFORE THE SECOND UBER ARRIVED, CORRECT?

A. YES.

Q. JENNIFER, DID YOU MAKE THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT TO 911 DURING YOUR FIRST EMERGENCY CALL?

THE COURT: DID YOU MAKE A WHAT STATEMENT?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'M ASKING HER IF SHE MADE THIS STATEMENT TO 911.

THE COURT: WHAT STATEMENT?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: STATEMENT FROM THE 911 CALL.

THE COURT: I'M SORRY.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'M ASKING IF THEY MADE THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT FROM THE 911 CALL.

THE COURT: OKAY, WHAT'S THE STATEMENT?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: CAN I ASK HER THE QUESTION? CAN I CONTINUE AND ASK HER THE QUESTION? IT'S MY FINAL QUESTION.

THE COURT: OKAY, GO AHEAD.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THANK YOU.

THE COURT: ASK THE QUESTION.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: JENNIFER, DID YOU MAKE THE FOLLOW STATEMENT TO 911 DURING YOUR FIRST EMERGENCY CALL, "HE'S JUST

REFUSING TO LEAVE NOW. AND I SAID YOU NEED TO LEAVE IN THE NEXT FIVE MINUTES," AND YOU SAID, "FUCK, I DON'T LIKE TO CUSS"?

A. IT SOUNDS FAMILIAR. YES, I DID, IF IT'S WHAT'S IN THE TRANSCRIPT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THAT'S ALL THE CROSS-EXAMINATION QUESTIONS I GOT.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: BUT SHE'S NOT EXCUSED, BECAUSE I'M GOING TO TESTIFY.

THE COURT: MR. ROSENBERG?

MR. ROSENBERG: NOTHING FURTHER.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, THANK YOU. YOU MAY STEP DOWN PLEASE.

THE WITNESS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

MR. ROSENBERG: AND, YOUR HONOR, SHE WAS UNDER MY SUBPOENA. I'M ASKING THE COURT TO EXCUSE THAT WITNESS.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'M ASKING THE COURT NOT TO EXCUSE HER, AND I'M GOING TO TESTIFY. AND AFTER I TESTIFY, SHE'S GOING BACK ON THE STAND.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, I DON'T BELIEVE THAT THAT'S GOING TO BE NECESSARY, BUT LET'S CALL YOUR NEXT WITNESS.

MR. ROSENBERG: PEOPLE CALL DETECTIVE BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG.

THE CLERK: PLEASE RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND TO BE SWORN. DO YOU SOLEMNLY STATE THAT THE TESTIMONY YOU MAY GIVE IN THE CAUSE NOW PENDING BEFORE THIS COURT SHALL BE THE TRUTH, THE WHOLE TRUTH, AND NOTHING BUT THE TRUTH; SO HELP YOU GOD?

THE WITNESS: I DO.

THE CLERK: THANK YOU. PLEASE BE SEATED AT THE WITNESS STAND. PLEASE STATE AND SPELL YOUR FIRST AND LAST NAME FOR THE RECORD.

THE WITNESS: FIRST NAME BILLY, B-I-L-L-Y, LAST NAME KHOUNTHAVONG, K-H-O-U-N-T-H-A-V, AS IN VICTOR, O-N-G.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, YOU MAY INQUIRE.

BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG,

CALLED BY THE PEOPLE AS A WITNESS, WAS SWORN AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

Q. BY MR. ROSENBERG: WHAT IS YOUR CURRENT OCCUPATION AND ASSIGNMENT?

A. I'M A DETECTIVE FOR THE L.A. COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT CURRENTLY ASSIGNED TO TEMPLE CITY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT.

Q. HOW LONG HAVE YOU BEEN A SWORN POLICE OFFICER?

A. A LITTLE OVER 18 YEARS.

Q. ARE YOU THE INVESTIGATING OFFICER FOR THIS CASE?

A. I AM.

Q. DURING THE COURSE OF YOUR INVESTIGATION ON THIS MATTER, DID YOU ACQUIRE AS TO WHEN THE 911 CALLS THAT WE'VE BEEN SPEAKING ABOUT WERE MADE?

A. I DID.

Q. AND WERE YOU ABLE TO DO SO USING LAW ENFORCEMENT RESOURCES?

A. I WAS.

Q. IS THAT A DATABASE THAT'S ONLY ACCESSIBLE TO LAW ENFORCEMENT?

A. IT IS.

Q. THE FIRST 911 CALL THAT WE WERE TALKING ABOUT, WHAT TIME WAS THAT?

A. APPROXIMATELY, 10:03 A.M.

Q. 10:03 A.M.?

A. YES.

Q. AND THIS IS FEBRUARY 21ST, 2023, CORRECT?

A. THAT'S CORRECT.

Q. WHAT ABOUT THE SECOND 911 CALL?

A. APPROXIMATELY, 10:09 TO 10:10 I BELIEVE A.M.

Q. THAT'S AT THE BEGINNING OF THE PHONE CALL, CORRECT?

A. THAT'S THE BEGINNING OF THE SECOND PHONE CALL, YES.

Q. SO IT ORIGINATED AT THAT TIME?

A. YES.

Q. NOW, IN THE COURSE OF YOUR INVESTIGATION, DID YOU ALSO OBTAIN VIDEOS FROM A GO PRO CAMERA?

A. I DID.

Q. EARLIER IN COURT ON PEOPLE'S 1, THE PEOPLE PLAYED NUMEROUS VIDEOS. WERE THOSE VIDEO FROM THAT GO PRO?

A. IT WAS.

Q. NOW, DIRECTING YOUR ATTENTION TO THE TELEVISION SCREEN, AND I'M NOT SURE YOU HAVE A SCREEN IN FRONT OF YOU. I'M NOT SURE IF IT SHOWS THERE. IT DOESN'T, ALL RIGHT. LOOKING AT THIS SCREEN WHERE IT SHOWS 16 VIDEOS NINE OF WHICH ARE UNIQUE. ARE THESE THE TOTALITY OF THE VIDEOS THAT YOU

RETRIEVED FROM THAT GO PRO?

A. YES, IT APPEARS TO BE.

Q. AND THE VIDEOS THAT YOU RETRIEVED, HOW DID YOU GET THOSE?

A. I SUBMITTED THE GO PRO TO OUR HIGH-TECH BUREAU AND DETECTIVES FROM THAT BUREAU DOWNLOADED THE DATA FROM THE GO PRO ITSELF, AND I RETRIEVED IT FROM THEM.

Q. DID YOU GIVE THEM A PARAMETER FOR WHAT TIMEFRAME TO RETRIEVE VIDEOS FROM?

A. I DID.

Q. WHAT WAS THAT PARAMETER?

A. THE LAST TWO DAYS OF RECORDINGS.

Q. DID THEY INDICATE TO YOU THAT THEY RETRIEVED ALL THE VIDEOS FROM THOSE TWO DAYS?

A. THEY DID.

Q. NOW, LOOKING HERE, DOES THIS GENERATE THE TIMES IN WHICH THOSE VIDEOS ARE CREATED?

A. YES.

Q. OKAY, SO STARTING FIRST WITH WHAT WAS PREVIOUSLY PLAYED. THIS IS GL010585.LRV. THIS VIDEO WAS IT CREATED ON FEBRUARY 21ST, 2023, AT 9:50 A.M.?

A. YES.

Q. NOW, DO THESE ALSO INDICATE HOW LONG THE VIDEOS ARE AND WHEN THEY STOP?

A. IT DOES, YES.

Q. IS THAT UNDER THE COLUMN WHERE IT SAYS, "LAST MODIFIED"?

A. YES.

Q. SO DOES THAT MEAN THAT THIS VIDEO, THIS VIDEO ENDING 585 OCCURRED FROM 9:50 A.M. TO 9:51 A.M.?

A. YES.

Q. THAT VIDEO ENDING IN 585 IS IT INCLUDED IN TWO DIFFERENT FORMATS; THE .LRV FORMAT AND THE .MP4?

A. YES.

Q. SO THOSE TWO ARE THE EXACT SAME VIDEOS, JUST RECORDED IN DIFFERENT FORMATS?

A. CORRECT.

Q. SO MOVING ONTO THE NEXT VIDEO. THIS IS VIDEO ENDING 586.LRV, DOES THAT VIDEO ORIGINATE AT 9:59 A.M.?

A. YES.

Q. AND DID THAT VIDEO END AT 10:06 A.M.?

A. YES.

THE COURT: I'M SORRY TEN-OH?

MR. ROSENBERG: 10:06.

THE COURT: SIX.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: SO WOULD IT BE FAIR TO SAY THEN SINCE THE PREVIOUS VIDEO ENDED AT 9:51, THAT THERE WAS NO VIDEO THAT TOOK PLACE BETWEEN 9:51 AND 9:59 A.M.?

A. YES.

Q. AND THE NEXT VIDEO AFTER THE ONE ENDING 586 IS VIDEO 587.LRV. DID THAT VIDEO ORIGINATE AT 10:13 A.M.?

A. YES.

Q. AND DID THAT VIDEO, DOES IT INDICATE WHEN THAT VIDEO ENDS?

A. YES.

Q. DID IT END AT 10:15 A.M.?

A. YES.

Q. AND THEN AFTER THAT ONE, THERE'S VIDEO 588. DID THAT VIDEO ORIGINATE AT 10:18 A.M.?

A. YES.

Q. AND DID THAT VIDEO END AT 10:23 A.M.?

A. YES.

Q. OKAY, SO WOULD IT BE FAIR TO SAY THAT BASED ON WHEN THESE VIDEOS START AND END, THAT NO VIDEO TOOK PLACE BETWEEN 10:06 AND 10:13 A.M.?

A. THAT'S CORRECT.

Q. AND THAT'S CONVENIENTLY BETWEEN THE FIRST AND SECOND 911 CALL FOR THE MOST PART, RIGHT?

A. YES.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THAT'S INCORRECT. BUT ALL RIGHT.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: SO LET'S -- IN FACT, LET'S LOOK AT THE VIDEO ENDING 586. THIS IS THE VIDEO THAT ENDS AT 10:06 A.M. SO SINCE THIS ENDS AT 10:06 A.M., THIS VIDEO WOULD END AT THE TIME THAT THAT FIRST 911 CALL WAS BEING MADE, RIGHT? OR PERHAPS AT THE END OF THAT FIRST 911 CALL?

A. YES.

Q. LET'S GO -- LET'S START AT SEVEN -- WE'LL GO TO THE LAST TEN SECONDS 7:10.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: WHICH VIDEO IS THIS?

MR. ROSENBERG: THIS IS VIDEO THAT ENDS AT 10:13 A.M. -- EXCUSE ME 10:06 A.M.

Q. AT THE END OF THIS VIDEO, ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH -- WELL, YOU'RE A DETECTIVE --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: CAN YOU SHOW THE WHOLE VIDEO?

MR. ROSENBERG: NO, I ALREADY DID.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO, YOU DIDN'T.

THE COURT: MISTER --

MR. ROSENBERG: I'M PLAYING MY EVIDENCE.

THE COURT: MISTER -- HOLD ON. GO AHEAD.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH BODY-WORN VIDEOS?

A. I AM.

Q. ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH GO PROS?

A. SOMEWHAT.

Q. NOW, IS THERE A WAY TO TURN THEM ON AND OFF FOR RECORDING?

A. YES.

Q. WHAT DOES IT LOOK LIKE THE INDIVIDUAL THAT'S MAKING THIS RECORDING IS DOING AT THE VERY END OF THAT VIDEO? I'LL PLAY IT AGAIN.

(WHEREUPON PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT 1
IS PLAYED IN OPEN COURT.)

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: WE'RE STARTING AT 7:16.

A. I SEE A HAND AND IT APPEARS TO ME HE'S TURNING THE GO PRO OFF.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THAT IS A SHORT VIDEO; THAT'S ONLY -- ONLY TWO SECONDS. THE VIDEO OF THE UBER WAS CONTINUOUS AND --

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD, YOU'LL GET YOUR TURN.

///

///

(WHEREUPON PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT 1
IS PLAYED IN OPEN COURT.)

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THAT VIDEO RIGHT THERE; THAT'S ALL THAT MATTERS.

THE COURT: GO AHEAD.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: PLAY THAT VIDEO.

MR. ROSENBERG: I JUST DID. I PLAYED THE VERY END OF IT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO, PLAY THE WHOLE VIDEO.

MR. ROSENBERG: I ALREADY HAVE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: ALL RIGHT, WELL, WHAT WE SAW IS THE VIDEO --

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD, YOU'LL GET YOUR TURN. HOLD ON.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: ALL RIGHT.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: SO AT 10:06 A.M. THAT'S WHAT APPEARS TO BE MR. HOLLYWOOD TURNING OFF HIS GO PRO CAMERA; IS THAT CORRECT?

A. YES.

Q. AND THE FIRST PHONE CALL, IT ORIGINATED AT 10:03 A.M. CORRECT?

A. THAT'S CORRECT.

Q. APPROXIMATELY, HOW LONG, IF YOU REMEMBER, WAS THE VICTIM ON THAT 911 CALL; THAT FIRST 911 PHONE CALL? AND I COULD PLAY IT FOR YOU IF THAT WOULD HELP.

A. IT WOULD HELP.

///

///

(WHEREUPON PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT 1
IS PLAYED IN OPEN COURT.)

MR. ROSENBERG: AND AGAIN PLAYING THE FILE FROM PEOPLE'S 1 TEM-03. INSTEAD OF REPLAYING THE WHOLE THING, I CAN FAST-FORWARD TO APPROXIMATELY WHEN THIS ENDS. AND HAVING STARTED AT THE ZERO-SECOND MARK. WE'LL MOVE FORWARD TO THREE MINUTES.

(WHEREUPON PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT 1
IS PLAYED IN OPEN COURT.)

MR. ROSENBERG: THIS WAS AT 2 MINUTES 48 SECONDS.

(WHEREUPON PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT 1
IS PLAYED IN OPEN COURT.)

MR. ROSENBERG: STOPPING THE 4 MINUTES 34 SECONDS. SO WOULD IT BE FAIR TO SAY THAT HER FIRST 911 CALL IS ABOUT FOUR AND A HALF MINUTES?

A. THAT'S CORRECT.

Q. SO THAT MEANS IT WOULD END AROUND 10:07 A.M.?

A. YES.

Q. RELATIVELY SHORTLY AFTER HE DECIDED TO TURN HIS GO PRO OFF?

A. YES.

MR. ROSENBERG: NOTHING FURTHER.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: GOOD.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: OKAY I WANT TO NOTE THAT I DON'T KNOW WHEN SHE MADE THE FIRST 911 CALL, BUT I DO KNOW THAT, WHEN THE UBER CAME, SHE TESTIFIED SHE'S THREATENED AFTER THE UBER. WE SAW A VIDEO WHERE I WAS OUTSIDE WITH THE UBER, THE CAMERA NEVER WENT OFF. THAT IS THE VIDEO, THE ONLY VIDEO THAT MATTERS.

ALL THE STATEMENTS SHE MADE, EVERYTHING SHE SAID, SHE ALREADY TESTIFIED SHE WAS THREATENED AFTER THE FIRST UBER LEFT. SO WHAT HE'S PLAYING DOESN'T REALLY MATTER. IF SHE'S TALKING ABOUT WELL SHE MADE -- I DON'T KNOW IF SHE CALLED 911 BEFORE THE FIRST UBER CAME. WE DON'T KNOW THAT. NOBODY KNOWS.

MR. ROSENBERG: WELL, ACTUALLY WE DO BECAUSE --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO, WE DON'T.

MR. ROSENBERG: I'M GOING TO SAVE THIS FOR ARGUMENT. SO WHY DON'T WE STOP.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: WE'RE GOING TO SHOW THE WHOLE VIDEO RIGHT NOW WHEN IT'S MY TURN.

MR. ROSENBERG: WHY DON'T YOU TESTIFY, AND WE'LL FIND OUT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: FINE, PUT HER BACK ON THE STAND. SHE'S ALREADY COMMITTED PERJURY.

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD, THE WITNESS IS ON THE STAND. DO YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS FOR THE WITNESS?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I MEAN, I DON'T KNOW HOW MUCH INFORMATION. YEAH, I CAN CROSS HIM ABOUT CONTRERAS. I MEAN, IF YOU'RE GOING TO ALLOW THAT, IF HE KNOWS WHAT'S IN THE

POLICE REPORT WHICH HE IS THE DETECTIVE. I CAN CROSS HIM ABOUT THAT.

THE COURT: I'M NOT SURE. WHAT DO YOU MEAN?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I CAN CROSS HIM AND SAY, "WELL, YOU READ THE POLICE REPORT, RIGHT? DID YOU SEE ANY STATEMENTS IN THERE ABOUT HER SHOWING DEPUTIES A KNIFE?" CAN I ASK HIM THOSE QUESTIONS?

THE COURT: SURE. YOU CAN ASK HIM THAT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: LET'S DO IT. I GOT TO GO TO HER QUESTIONS.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

Q. BY MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'M JUST GOING TO CALL YOU DETECTIVE K. THAT NAME'S HARD TO PRONOUNCE. DETECTIVE K., YOU'RE FAMILIAR WITH THE POLICE REPORT IN THIS CASE?

A. YES.

Q. AND YOU READ IT THOROUGHLY, RIGHT?

A. YES.

Q. OKAY, AND WHEN YOU READ THAT POLICE REPORT, DID YOU HEAR -- DID YOU READ THAT DEPUTY CONTRERAS SAID THAT AFTER THE DOOR -- AFTER THE DOOR OR AFTER HEGER OR JENNIFER LOCKED THE DOOR OF THE GARAGE TO GIVE ACCESS TO THE RESIDENCE, THAT SHE TOLD HOLLYWOOD THROUGH THE LOCKED DOOR, THE UBER IS OUT THERE? DID YOU SEE THAT STATEMENT IN THE POLICE REPORT?

A. I DON'T RECALL.

Q. OH, YOU WANT TO PLAY.

THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE A COPY OF YOUR REPORT?

THE WITNESS: YOUR HONOR --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: DON'T WORRY. I DO.

THE COURT: WE'RE GOING TO GIVE HIM ONE SO THAT WAY YOU CAN KNOW WHAT TO ASK.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: MAKE IT MUCH EASIER.

THE COURT: SO IS THERE A PAGE AND A LINE NUMBER, PARAGRAPH?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: PAGE STATED.

THE COURT: UP ON THE TOP-RIGHT CORNER THERE'S A PAGE NUMBER.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YEAH, I'M TRYING TO FIND WHERE SHE MADE THAT STATEMENT. RIGHT HERE. SO PAGE 4.

THE COURT: WHAT PARAGRAPH?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: SIX, PARAGRAPH 6.

THE COURT: OKAY, DO YOU HAVE THAT?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I WANT ROSENBERG TO LISTEN TO THIS QUESTION BECAUSE HE'S THE ONLY ONE THAT MATTERS.

THE COURT: WHAT?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I WANT ROSENBERG TO LISTEN CLOSE TO THIS QUESTION. WE DON'T NEED THE VIDEO WITH THIS QUESTION.

Q. SO YOU GOT THE POLICE REPORT. SO DO YOU REMEMBER CONTRERAS OR IN THE POLICE REPORT CONTRERAS DOCUMENTING THAT HEGER STATED SHE SAID -- "HEGER STATED WHEN THE UBER XL ARRIVED AND BASED ON THE SUSPECT HOLLYWOOD'S AGGRESSIVE DEMEANOR TOWARDS HER, SHE LOCKED THE DOOR TO THE GARAGE TO GIVE ACCESS TO THE RESIDENCE FROM THE GARAGE AREA.

SHE TOLD HOLLYWOOD THROUGH THE LOCKED DOOR, 'THE UBER IS OUT THERE.' HEGER STATED THAT SHE TOLD HOLLYWOOD,

'THE UBER IS OUTSIDE.' HOLLYWOOD BEGAN TO YELL THROUGH THE DOOR?"

DO YOU REMEMBER THAT STATEMENT IN THE POLICE REPORT?

A. I SEE IT HERE, YES.

Q. THANK YOU. ALL RIGHT, DO YOU REMEMBER DEPUTY CONTRERAS STATING THAT HEGER TOLD HER THAT, "AFTER HOLLYWOOD HAD SAID, 'WHAT THE FUCK ARE YOU DOING,' SHE BECAME SO AFRAID THAT SHE RAN TO THE BEDROOM IN THE NORTHEAST CORNER AND LOCKED THAT DOOR ALSO." DO YOU REMEMBER DEPUTY CONTRERAS SAYING THAT?

THE COURT: I BELIEVE IT'S THE NEXT PARAGRAPH.

MR. ROSENBERG: WELL, IS HE ASKING IF DEPUTY CONTRERAS SAID THAT OR IF IT'S WRITTEN IN THE REPORT?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'M ASKING HIM IF HE REMEMBERS HIM SAYING THAT IN THE REPORT, WRITING IT. SAME THING.

THE WITNESS: IT APPEARS THAT WAY IN THE REPORT.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: SO THE ANSWER IS YES?

A. YES.

Q. OKAY, DO YOU REMEMBER DEPUTY CONTRERAS WRITING IN HIS POLICE REPORT THAT, "AFTER SHE LOCKED HOLLYWOOD OUT -- OR AFTER SHE LOCKED THE BEDROOM IN THE NORTHEAST CORNER, THAT HOLLYWOOD STARTED KICKING HER DOOR"?

THE COURT: WHAT PARAGRAPH IS THAT?

THE WITNESS: YES.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THE ONE -- HE SAW IT. THE ONE WITH THE DOOR STOPPER.

THE COURT: OKAY.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: AND THEN, DO YOU REMEMBER DEPUTY

CONTRERAS, AND THIS IS FOR THE FIRST 911 CALL BY THE WAY, DEPUTY CONTRERAS SAID THAT, "SHE TOLD HER AFTER SHE DIALED 911, SHE SET OFF THE RING CAMERA ALARM TO LET HOLLYWOOD KNOW HOW SERIOUS THE SITUATION WAS BECOMING"?

A. YES.

Q. AND DO YOU REMEMBER DEPUTY CONTRERAS SAYING IN THE POLICE REPORT THAT HE WAS TOLD BY HEGER THAT, AFTER SHE SET OFF THE RING ALARM -- THAT SHE SET OFF THE RING ALARM AND DIALED 911 PRIOR TO HOLLYWOOD MAKING CRIMINAL THREATS TO HER?

A. I DON'T REMEMBER THAT.

Q. IT'S IN THE POLICE REPORT. LET ME SEE. PAGE 4, SECOND TO LAST PARAGRAPH. HEGER STATED -- DO YOU REMEMBER THAT DEPUTY CONTRERAS SAID THAT? SHE TOLD DEPUTY CONTRERAS THAT, "SHE SET OFF THE RING ALARM AND DIALED 911 PRIOR TO HOLLYWOOD MAKING CRIMINAL THREATS TO HER," SECOND TO LAST PARAGRAPH?

A. IT MADE NO MENTION ABOUT JENNIFER MAKING STATEMENTS TO DEPUTY CONTRERAS ABOUT DIALING 911 PRIOR TO YOU MAKING ANY THREATS. DOES NOT MENTION ANYTHING ON THAT PARAGRAPH.

Q. YES, IT DOES. I'LL READ IT TO YOU BECAUSE YOU'RE PLAYING GAMES.

MR. ROSENBERG: YOUR HONOR, JUST LIKE WITH THE OTHER WITNESS, I'M GOING TO ASK HIM TO NOT BADGER OUR WITNESSES OR MAKE EDITORIAL COMMENTS.

THE COURT: JUST GO AHEAD AND READ IT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'M GOING TO SAY THIS RIGHT NOW, WHAT HE'S TESTIFYING TO RIGHT NOW, IT PROVES WHAT YOU'RE SAYING IS WRONG. WHAT WE SAW IN THE VIDEO, IN THE VIDEO THAT YOU'RE

SAYING IS NOT CONTINUOUS. SHE SAID THE THREATS WERE MADE WHEN SHE SET THE ALARM. YOU JUST WATCH THIS, "VICTIM HEGER STATED SUSPECT HOLLYWOOD STARTED BANGING ON THE DOOR. SHE WAS GLAD SHE PLACED THE DOOR STOPPER ON THE DOOR BECAUSE SUSPECT HOLLYWOOD STARTED KICKING THE DOOR, AND THAT'S WHEN SHE DIALED 911. SHE SET OFF THE RING CAMERA ALARM TO LET HOLLYWOOD KNOW HOW SERIOUS THE SITUATION IS BECOMING. VICTIM HEGER STATED" --

THE COURT: HOLD ON. ONE AT A TIME. ARE YOU -- WAIT, WAIT, ARE YOU ASKING THE WITNESS IF THAT'S WHAT MR. CONTRERAS WROTE IN THE HIS REPORT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'M ASKING IF HE REMEMBERS CONTRERAS WRITING IN THE REPORT THAT SHE TOLD HEGER -- TOLD CONTRERAS THAT SHE SET OFF THE ALARM AND DIALED 911 PRIOR TO HOLLYWOOD MAKING THE CRIMINAL THREATS?

THE WITNESS: YES, I DO.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THANK YOU. NOW, NEXT QUESTION.

Q. YOU WERE AT THE INTERVIEW ON MARCH 9TH, CORRECT?

A. SAY THAT AGAIN.

Q. YOU WERE AT THE INTERVIEW WITH ROSENBERG AND HEGER ON MARCH 9TH, CORRECT?

A. I DON'T REMEMBER THE EXACT DATE.

Q. THE DETECTIVE INTERVIEW WITH HER?

A. IF IT WAS IN THE D.A.'S OFFICE, YES, I WAS PRESENT.

Q. YOU WERE THERE AT THAT INTERVIEW, CORRECT?

A. YES.

Q. ON MARCH 9TH, 2023, DO YOU RECALL HEGER STATING THAT DURING THE ENCOUNTER WITH HOLLYWOOD, SHE CHARGED AT HIM WHILE

HE WAS STANDING IN THE KITCHEN?

A. YES.

Q. DO YOU RECALL DURING THAT INTERVIEW THAT SHE STATED THAT SHE TOLD HOLLYWOOD, "WHAT THE FUCK ARE YOU STILL DOING IN MY KITCHEN?"

A. I DON'T RECALL THAT SPECIFIC STATEMENT. I DO NOT.

Q. YOU DON'T, RIGHT. SO SHE PROBABLY MADE IT UP, RIGHT? OKAY, SO NO. DO YOU RECALL DURING THAT INTERVIEW -- DO YOU RECALL HER SAYING THAT, SHE CONFRONTED HOLLYWOOD IN THE KITCHEN AFTER SHE HAD ALREADY CALLED AN UBER FOR HOLLYWOOD TO LEAVE?

A. I DON'T REMEMBER HER -- I DON'T RECALL HER MAKING THOSE STATEMENTS AS FAR AS CONFRONTING YOU --

Q. OKAY.

A. -- AFTER CALLING THE UBER. BUT I DO REMEMBER THE FACT THAT SHE DID MENTION THAT SHE DID CONFRONT YOU IN THE KITCHEN.

Q. WE'LL GET TO THAT. DO YOU REMEMBER HER STATING THAT, "WHILE HOLLYWOOD WAS STANDING IN THE KITCHEN, THAT SHE CHARGED AT HOLLYWOOD"?

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, ASKED AND ANSWERED.

THE COURT: THAT'S ALREADY BEEN ASKED AND ANSWERED.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I DIDN'T ASK HIM. I ASKED JENNIFER. I'M ASKING HIM IF I WAS --

THE COURT: RIGHT, AND HE ALREADY TESTIFIED TO THAT THAT SHE STATED THAT IN THE INTERVIEW.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: OH, HE SAID THAT SHE SAID THAT SHE CHARGED AT ME?

THE COURT: YES.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: OKAY, DO YOU RECALL DURING THE INTERVIEW THAT HEGER STATED THAT, "WHILE SHE WAS IN THE KITCHEN AND SHE CHARGED AT HOLLYWOOD, THAT HE WAS HOLDING A KNIFE"?

A. YES.

Q. IS THAT STATEMENT MADE ANYWHERE IN CONTRERAS POLICE REPORT?

A. IT IS NOT.

Q. THANK YOU. SHOWS THAT'S NOT TRUE. IS THERE A STATEMENT MADE IN CONTRERAS POLICE REPORT THAT HOLLYWOOD HAD THREATENED TO KILL HEGER DURING THE CONFRONTATION IN THE KITCHEN? DO YOU SEE THAT STATEMENT IN THE POLICE REPORT?

A. I DO NOT.

Q. DURING THAT INTERVIEW, DO YOU RECALL HEGER STATING THAT HOLLYWOOD HAD TOLD HER THAT HE WAS GOING TO PUT HER IN THE GROUND?

A. SOMETHING ALONG THOSE LINES.

Q. LIKE, WHAT DID SHE SAY?

A. WHAT YOU JUST MENTIONED. IT WAS EITHER DURING THAT INTERVIEW --

Q. OKAY.

A. -- OR A SUBSEQUENT CONVERSATION WITH HER.

Q. OH, YOU HAD A CONVERSATION WITH HER, ALL RIGHT. WAS THAT STATEMENT GIVEN TO -- IS THAT STATEMENT IN THE POLICE REPORT ABOUT HEGER STATING THAT HOLLYWOOD SAID HE WAS GOING TO PUT HER IN THE GROUND? IS THAT STATEMENT IN THE POLICE REPORT?

A. I DO NOT SEE IT, NO. IF I MAY ADD, SOMETHING ALONG THOSE LINES IN THE REPORT ITSELF.

Q. WHAT DID YOU SAY?

A. IF I MAY ADD, SOMETHING ALONG THOSE LINES THAT YOU JUST STATED. SHE DID MENTION THE FACT THAT SHE WAS THREATENED; THAT SHE WOULD NEVER SEE TOMORROW.

Q. I KNOW, BUT I ASKED YOU ABOUT THAT SPECIFIC STATEMENT?

A. I HEARD IT.

Q. AND YOU SAID THAT DURING THE INTERVIEW THAT THAT WAS SAID. THIS IS A NEW STATEMENT. IT WAS NOT GIVEN TO CONTRERAS. THERE WAS NO STATEMENT ABOUT HOLLYWOOD SAID HE WAS GOING TO PUT HER IN THE GROUND. IT WAS ONLY THOSE OTHER STATEMENTS. YOU DIDN'T SEE IN THE POLICE REPORT. YOU SAID YOU HEARD IN THE INTERVIEW. SO I'M OKAY WITH THAT.

A. I DON'T KNOW IF THAT STATEMENT WAS GIVEN TO DEPUTY CONTRERAS. I CAN'T COMMENT ON THAT.

Q. YOU WANT TO SEE THE POLICE REPORT?

THE COURT: NO, HE KNOWS THAT. HE CAN'T COMMENT WHAT WAS TOLD BY CONTRERAS. ONLY CONTRERAS COULD TESTIFY TO THAT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: WE CAN WATCH THE INTERVIEW FOR THAT. WHY DON'T WE MOVE TO TODAY'S CROSS-EXAMINATION. ONE OF MY PAGES IS MISSING.

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD, ANYMORE QUESTIONS FOR THIS WITNESS?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YEAH, I GOT MORE QUESTIONS FOR HIM. I'M JUST LOOKING FOR ONE PIECE OF PAPER THAT'S MISSING FROM MY CROSS-EXAMINATION FOR TODAY THAT'S WHAT I'M LOOKING FOR.

THEN, YEAH, I GOT MORE QUESTIONS FOR HIM. NOT MANY MORE.

Q. DURING THE INTERVIEW, DO YOU REMEMBER HEGER SAYING THAT SHE FOLLOWED HOLLYWOOD INTO THE KITCHEN?

A. I DON'T RECALL.

Q. YOU DON'T RECALL?

A. NO.

Q. OH, HERE IT IS. IT WAS UPSIDE DOWN. DO YOU RECALL OR DO YOU REMEMBER DURING THAT INTERVIEW HEGER STATING THAT SHE RAN DOWN THE HALLWAY ALMOST IMMEDIATELY AFTER SEEING HOLLYWOOD WITH A KNIFE?

A. NO.

Q. DO YOU REMEMBER DURING THAT INTERVIEW HEGER TELLING YOU THAT SHE CAME BACK OUT INTO THE KITCHEN TO SEE IF HOLLYWOOD WAS STILL THERE?

A. I DON'T RECALL THAT.

Q. SO THAT'S NO?

A. I DON'T RECALL THAT.

Q. DO YOU RECALL DURING THAT INTERVIEW HEGER MAKING ANY STATEMENTS THAT SHE CHARGED AT HOLLYWOOD WHILE HE HAD A KNIFE IN HIS HAND?

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION ASKED AND ANSWERED.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THAT QUESTION WASN'T ASKED AND ANSWERED.

THE COURT: IT WAS ASKED AND ANSWERED, AND IT --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: CHARGED AT WITH A KNIFE WAS? CHARGED AT WITH -- IF SHE CHARGED AT HOLLYWOOD WHEN HE HAD A KNIFE THAT WAS ALREADY ASKED AND ANSWERED?

THE COURT: I BELIEVE HE ANSWERED YES. YES.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: OKAY.

Q. DO YOU RECALL DURING THAT INTERVIEW HEGER MAKING ANY STATEMENTS THAT, AS DEPUTIES WERE APPROACHING, SHE GRABBED THE KNIFE FROM THE KITCHEN AND THREW IT OUTSIDE IN FRONT OF THEM?

A. NO.

Q. DO YOU RECALL DEPUTY, CONTRERAS OR ANY DETECTIVE, ANY LAW ENFORCEMENT PEACE OFFICER RECOVERING A KNIFE AT THE LOCATION?

A. NO.

Q. DO YOU RECALL THEM SPEAKING TO HEGER ABOUT A KNIFE AT THE ORIGINAL -- DURING THE ORIGINAL FEBRUARY 21ST, INVESTIGATION WAS THERE ANY MENTION ABOUT A KNIFE AT ALL?

A. YOU REFERENCED THE FACT THAT YOU WERE IN THE KITCHEN THE NIGHT PRIOR AND MAKING A SANDWICH AND THERE WERE KNIVES PLURAL IN THE KITCHEN --

Q. YEAH, I REMEMBER THAT FROM INTERVIEW.

THE COURT: HOLD ON. LET HIM FINISH HIS ANSWER.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: OKAY, GO AHEAD.

THE WITNESS: AND THERE WERE KNIVES PLURAL IN THE KITCHEN AND THAT WAS A POSSIBLE WEAPON TO BE USED FROM THE KITCHEN ITSELF.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: BUT DO YOU REMEMBER ANYTHING IN THE POLICE REPORT ANY PEACE OFFICER TALKING ANYTHING ABOUT HOW HEGER HAD A KNIFE AND HAD AN INTERVIEW WITH HER ABOUT HER POSSESSING THE KNIFE?

A. NO.

Q. YOU LISTENED TO BOTH OF 911 TAPES, CORRECT?

A. I DID.

Q. DURING THE FIRST 911 TAPE, DID YOU HEAR ANY CRIMINAL

THREATS BEING REPORTED TO 911?

A. NO.

Q. DID YOU HEAR ANY CRIMINAL THREATS BEING REPORTED TO THE 911 DURING THE SECOND CALL?

A. I DON'T RECALL.

Q. YOU DON'T RECALL. SO YOU DIDN'T LISTEN TO THE SECOND --

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, ASKED AND ANSWERED ARGUMENTATIVE.

THE COURT: SO THE QUESTION IS DID HE HEAR ANY THREATS MADE OR REPORTED, RIGHT, ANY THREATS REPORTED ON THE SECOND 911 CALL?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YEAH.

MR. ROSENBERG: AND THE WITNESS JUST SAID HE DOESN'T RECALL.

THE COURT: AND HE DOESN'T RECALL.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: BUT I ASKED HIM IF HE HAD HEARD BOTH 911 TAPES. HE SAID, YEAH. HE'S BEEN IN COURT. SO HE OBVIOUSLY REFRESHED HIS MEMORY ON THOSE 911 TAPES.

THE COURT: OKAY, WELL, LET ME SEE. WE DON'T HAVE A TRANSCRIPT OF THE SECOND CALL.

MR. ROSENBERG: WE DON'T, BUT WE HAVE THE ACTUAL CALL.

THE COURT: WE HAVE THE ACTUAL CALL. HOW LONG IS IT?

MR. ROSENBERG: I WILL STIPULATE THAT SHE DOES NOT SAY ANY -- THAT CRIMINAL THREATS WERE MADE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YOU'RE NOT TESTIFYING.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, YOU ACCEPT THAT STIPULATION?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: WE CAN JUST PLAY IT. IT'S IN THE SECOND.

THE COURT: NO, THERE'S REASON TO PLAY IT IF HE'S WILLING TO STIPULATE THAT THERE'S NO REPORT OF A CRIMINAL THREAT ON THE SECOND 911 CALL. DO YOU ACCEPT THAT?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THE COURT ACCEPTS IT, YEAH, THAT'S FINE.

THE COURT: OKAY, THE COURT ACCEPTS IT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I DON'T HAVE ANY QUESTIONS.

THE COURT: YOU MAY STEP DOWN, DETECTIVE K. ANY FURTHER WITNESSES?

MR. ROSENBERG: NO.

THE COURT: OKAY. AND THE EXHIBITS?

MR. ROSENBERG: PEOPLE'S MOTION TO INTRODUCE PEOPLE'S 1 IN EVIDENCE.

THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: TO WHAT, THE VIDEO?

THE COURT: TO PEOPLE'S 1 WHICH IS THE VIDEO WHICH IS ALL THE EVIDENCE ON THAT VIDEO. SO IT'S THE 911 CALLS AND THE GO PRO EVIDENCE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: ALL I HAVE TO SAY I WANT TO USE THE GO PRO FOR MY DEFENSE.

THE COURT: OKAY, BUT HE'S MOVING IT INTO EVIDENCE. DO YOU HAVE AN OBJECTION?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, IT'S ADMITTED.

(PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT NUMBER1 1
IS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)

THE COURT: PEOPLE REST?

MR. ROSENBERG: YES.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, NOW, IT'S YOUR TURN YOU WANT TO TESTIFY?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YES, I DO.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, YOU WANT TO TESTIFY FROM THERE OR YOU WANT TO TESTIFY FROM UP THE WITNESS STAND.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'LL TESTIFY FROM THERE.

THE COURT: FROM THE WITNESS STAND.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I KNOW SHE WAS -- PEOPLE BEEN HAVING A HARD TIME HEARING ME SO.

THE CLERK: DO YOU SOLEMNLY STATE THAT THE TESTIMONY YOU MAY GIVE IN THE CAUSE NOW PENDING BEFORE THIS COURT SHALL BE THE TRUTH, THE WHOLE TRUTH, AND NOTHING BUT THE TRUTH; SO HELP YOU GOD?

THE WITNESS: SO HELP ME. I'M NOT RELIGION.

THE COURT: DO YOU AFFIRM OR SWEAR?

THE WITNESS: YEAH, YEAH. I AFFIRM SO HELP ME, BUT I'M NOT RELIGIOUS.

THE CLERK: PLEASE STATE AND SPELL YOUR FIRST AND LAST NAME FOR THE RECORD.

THE WITNESS: AROGANT HOLLYWOOD.

THE CLERK: SPELLING.

THE WITNESS: AROGANT HOLLYWOOD.

THE COURT: GO AHEAD AND SPELL YOUR FIRST AND LAST NAME.

THE DEFENDANT: OH, A; AS IN ALPHA, R; AS IN ROBERT, O; AS IN OCTOPUS, G; AS IN GIRAFFE, A; AS IN ALPHA, N; AS IN NANCY, T; AS IN TANGO. MY LAST NAME IS HOLLYWOOD. I GUESS THEY MISSPELLED IT ON THE REPORT WITH TWO R'S. IT'S ONE R.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, SO THE WAY THIS WORKS IS YOU JUST GO AHEAD AND MAKE A STATEMENT, TESTIFY AS TO WHAT YOU WANT TO TESTIFY TO.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: ALL RIGHT.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD,

A DEFENDANT, IN PROPRIA PERSONA, WAS AFFIRMED AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

Q. BY MR. HOLLYWOOD: SO I'M GOING TO START OUT ON FEBRUARY 21ST. SO FEBRUARY 21ST -- OR SORRY FEBRUARY 20TH, AT ABOUT 4:00 P.M., I LEFT THE AIRBNB FROM ROWLAND HEIGHTS. MY WOMAN STAYS IN HACIENDA HEIGHTS SO I WAS IN THE AREA SO I CAN SEE HER. I LEFT THE AIRBNB. THE AIRBNB DROPPED ME OFF AT THE SOUTH PASADENA POLICE DEPARTMENT. I'M HOMELESS; THAT'S THE FIRST STOP I GO TO IS THE SOUTH PASADENA POLICE DEPARTMENT. THEN FROM THERE, I MIGHT GO TO SAN MARINO, OTHER PLACES. BUT IT'S LIKE THE FIRST PLACE I GO WHEN I LEAVE THE AIRBNB.

I GOT THERE PROBABLY AROUND 4:00 P.M., 5:00 P.M. I WAS THERE BECAUSE I WAS WORKING ON SOME LAW STUFF. AND THE CO-COUNSEL IN THIS CASE HE'S HELPING ME SUE THE POLICE IN FEDERAL COURT BECAUSE I'M A VEXATIOUS LITIGANT. THE FEDERAL COURT IS NOT ALLOWING ME TO SUE THE POLICE, AND I'M KIND OF TIRED OF THEM FALSELY ARRESTING ME AND GETTING AWAY WITH IT. SO HE'S GOING TO BE MY LAWYER IN FEDERAL COURT.

SO I TALKED TO NEAL BY TEXT MESSAGE, AND I SAID, "HEY, YOU KNOW, ALISON IS GOING TO SERVE THIS ON THEM

TOMORROW." SO I PRINTED OUT THE LAWSUIT AROUND 6:00 OR 7:00 P.M. JUST SO YOU KNOW, I HAVE POWER GENERATORS OR POWER STATIONS, YOU KNOW, THOSE PORTABLE THINGS. THEY GAVE YOU POWER. SO THERE'S NO POWER OUTSIDE. I'M USING THOSE. THEY'RE FULLY CHARGED FROM THE AIRBNB. I WAS PRINTING DOCUMENTS. THEY WERE ALL LAID OUT.

I WOULD SAY AROUND 9:00 P.M., I WAS THERE. I WAS ON MY COMPUTER. JENNIFER APPROACHED ON THE OTHER SIDE OF THE POLICE DEPARTMENT. SO I'M NEAR CITY HALL. SHE APPROACHED NEAR THE COUNCIL -- I DON'T KNOW IF YOU'RE FAMILIAR WITH SOUTH PASADENA COUNCIL'S CHAMBERS ON THAT SIDE. AND SHE'S WALKING UP. SHE SMILES AND SHE WAVES AT ME. BUT SHE SAYS SOMETHING, BUT SHE'S FROM A DISTANCE AWAY, I COULDN'T HEAR WHAT SHE SAID. ABOUT -- I GO BACK ON MY COMPUTER.

ABOUT 20 MINUTES LATER, SHE'S INSIDE THE POLICE DEPARTMENT, AND SHE STARTS PICKING UP THE PHONE AND YELLING AND, LIKE, KIND OF BANGING THE RED PHONE AGAINST THE THING. SO I APPROACHED. I HEARD THE NOISE. I DIDN'T SEE HER DO IT, AND I SAY, "HEY, YOU SHOULD STOP DOING THAT OR THEY COULD ARREST YOU FOR INTERFERING WITH THE POLICE DEPARTMENT." SHE SAYS, "NO, NO, IT'S FINE. THEY'RE NOT GOING TO ARREST ME." I WENT BACK TO WHAT I WAS DOING.

ABOUT 15 MINUTES LATER, SHE CAME OUTSIDE, AND SHE WAS WITH THE WATCH COMMANDER WHO'S I THINK MATTHEW RODNEY, AND SHE'S TALKING TO HER ABOUT RECORDS OR SOMETHING. I'M MINDING MY BUSINESS. I DIDN'T HEAR WHAT THEY WERE SAYING. HE'S LIKE, "I'M GOING TO TRY TO GET YOU THE RECORDS. I'LL DO WHAT I CAN. I'M THE WATCH COMMANDER." I GUESS HE DIDN'T GIVE HER A PEN.

SO SHE CAME UP TO ME AND SHE ASKED ME IF I HAD AN INK PEN TO FILL OUT THE REQUEST FOR THE RECORDS. I SAID, "YEAH, I HAVE PEN." SO I GAVE HER A PEN.

AFTER THAT, I GUESS, RODNEY DIDN'T WANT TO MAKE COPIES FOR HER OR SOME CRAP. SO THEN I MADE COPIES OF THE DOCUMENTS SO SHE CAN HAVE A COPY OF THE DOCUMENT. BECAUSE MY PRINTER IS LIKE AN EPSON ET-4150 SOMETHING LIKE THAT. IT HAS A SCANNER, A PRINTER, A FAX, AND EVERYTHING ON IT. IT HAS A DOCUMENT FEEDER. IT'S LIKE THE ONES YOU SEE IN LAW OFFICE. SO I SCAN A COPY OF THE DOCUMENT, MADE SOME COPIES FOR HER. AND THEN I ASKED HER, "WHAT'S ALL THIS ABOUT?" AND SHE SAID LIKE, "WELL, I'M GOING THROUGH A DIVORCE AND THERE'S A POLICE REPORT, AND I NEED IT FOR COURT." I SAID "OKAY." SO THERE'S NOTHING ABOUT LIKE HOW HER LETTER SAYS INCONSISTENCIES. I DIDN'T TALK TO HER ANYTHING ABOUT THAT. I DIDN'T GET TOO IN DEPTH ABOUT IT.

SO FROM THERE SHE WAS LIKE, "OH, I WAS WAVING AT YOU EARLIER, YOU KNOW. YOU'RE REALLY ATTRACTIVE." AND I WAS LIKE, "WELL, WE CAN GO ON A DATE OR SOMETHING." I TOLD HER STRAIGHT UP I HAD A WOMAN. AND SHE'S LIKE, "THAT'S OKAY." I SAID, "WE'LL GO ON A DATE TO GET TO KNOW EACH OTHER." I TOLD HER I WAS HOMELESS, AND I WAS THERE, AND THE NEXT DAY I WAS GOING TO TAKE THIS LAWSUIT, AND I WAS GOING TO SERVE IT ON SAN MARINO OR MY WOMAN WAS. SHE SAYS, "THAT'S COOL. SO YOU KNOW A LOT ABOUT LAW."

SHE'S LIKE, "MAYBE YOU CAN HELP ME WITH MY DIVORCE STUFF." I TOLD HER STRAIGHT UP, "IF YOU WANT ME TO HELP YOU, YOU HAVE TO GIVE ME MONEY. I DON'T DO LAW STUFF FOR FREE."

AND SHE'S LIKE, "YEAH, YOU KNOW, THAT'S FINE, YOU KNOW." I WAS LIKE, "SO YOU'RE SAYING I CAN STAY AT YOUR HOUSE?" I MEAN, AND SHE WAS LIKE, "YEAH, YOU KNOW, YOU CAN LIVE WITH ME FOR AN INDEFINITE PERIOD OF TIME." I SAID, "OKAY, I'LL HELP YOU WITH THE LAW. YOU CAN PAY ME A LITTLE, AND THEN THE REST YOU PAY THROUGH THE RENT." SO WE GREED. SHE AGREED TO LET ME LIVE WITH HER.

SHE CALLED UBER XL BECAUSE I HAD A LOT OF STUFF, LOADED EVERYTHING IN THE UBER XL. WE WERE IN THE UBER XL AND DRIVING BACK TO HER PLACE. SHE JUST STARTS ACTING REAL SEXUAL LIKE SHE WANTS TO JUMP ON ME. I CAN'T WAIT TO HAVE SEX WITH YOU, AND I CAN'T WAIT, AND ALL THIS STUFF. AND I'M LIKE OKAY WHATEVER. SO THIS IS WHEN I START TO REALIZE SHE HAS MENTAL HEALTH ISSUES.

SHE'S LIKE WELL -- WHEN WE GET THERE SHE'S LIKE, "I HAVE A CART FOR YOU. IT'S A REALLY NICE CART. IT'S LIKE A BENTLEY-TYPE CART, LIKE A MERCEDES BENZ." I SAID, "OKAY, I'LL TAKE A CART. SHE BRINGS OUT A BABY STROLLER. I WAS LIKE, "OKAY."

SO I START LOADING MY STUFF IN THE BABY STROLLER. AND I TAKE THE OTHER STUFF OUT OF THE UBER, AND I PUT IT INSIDE THE HOUSE. EVERYTHING IS INSIDE THE HOUSE PROBABLY IN ABOUT 20, 30 MINUTES. THERE'S A LOT OF DOGS. DOGS ARE OKAY, BUT, YOU KNOW, THREE DOGS -- I'M JUST LIKE, "WOW, YOU HAVE A LOT OF DOGS." AND SHE MADE A COMMENT, "WELL, THE DOGS RULE THE HOUSE. IF YOU DON'T LIKE THE DOGS, YOU GOT TO GO." SO I DIDN'T SAY ANYTHING ELSE ABOUT THE DOGS.

THERE IS ONE PARTICULAR DOG NAMED COCO OR SOMETHING

SHE CALLED HER, AND SHE WAS VERY PROTECTIVE OVER HER. LIKE, EVERYWHERE WE WENT, SHE FOLLOWED. AND WHEN I WOULD STAND UP, EVERY TIME I STAND UP, SHE BARKED. SO I HAVE TO SIT DOWN. I THINK SHE WAS AFRAID THAT I MIGHT ATTACK JENNIFER. SO THERE COULD BE SOME TRUTH TO THIS, TO THE DV, BASED ON THE WAY THE DOG WAS ACTING. BECAUSE THE DOG WAS ACTING VERY, VERY PROTECTIVE WITH ME.

EVERYWHERE I WENT, IT WOULD FOLLOW. AND IT WOULD BARK AND GROWL AT ME UNTIL I SAT DOWN. THERE WAS ANOTHER DOG. IT LOOKED KIND OF LIKE LASSIE. IT WAS A QUIET DOG. THIS THIRD DOG HAD LIKE ALZHEIMER'S. SO IT WOULD RUN INTO THE WALL, YOU KNOW. DIDN'T KNOW WHERE IT WAS GOING; THAT TYPE OF THING. SO EVERYTHING WAS IN THE HOUSE, WENT TO THE BACK. WE HAD SEX. WE HAD SEX A SECOND TIME. AFTER WE HAD SEX, SHE WANTED ME TO, LIKE, STAY INSIDE HER FOR AWHILE. I WAS LIKE, OKAY WHATEVER. WE HAD UNPROTECTED SEX. WE HAD SEX AGAIN. I WENT TO SLEEP.

AFTER THAT, IN THE MIDDLE OF THE NIGHT, LIKE, 2:00 IN THE MORNING, I NOTICED THAT SHE LEFT THE BEDROOM. SO THEN IT WAS LIKE, I GO OUT AND I'M LIKE WHERE IS SHE? DID SHE LEAVE THE HOUSE, YOU KNOW? AND I GO CHECK. I SEE SHE'S IN ANOTHER BEDROOM AND I SAID, "WHY DID YOU LEAVE?" AND SHE SAYS, WELL, YOU WERE KIND OF SNORING, SO I COULDN'T SLEEP." I WAS LIKE, "ALL, RIGHT WHATEVER." SO I WENT BACK TO SLEEP.

THE NEXT MORNING, I TOLD HER HOW I FELT ABOUT LIKE LIVING THERE. I WAS LIKE, "YOU KNOW, I DON'T KNOW IF THIS IS GOING TO WORK OUT. YOU'RE, LIKE, COMPLAINING ABOUT ME SNORING AND STUFF." AND IT'S LIKE THE NEXT MORNING TOO SHE WAS BEING

DELUSIONAL. SO I SAY AROUND -- THIS IS WHERE THINGS WENT REALLY SOUTH. SO PROBABLY AROUND 9:00 A.M., SHE CLAIMS THAT HER HUSBAND TRIED TO BREAK INTO THE HOUSE. SHE SAID, "DID YOU SEE THE ALARM GO OFF?" SHE'S LIKE, "DID YOU SEE THE CAR LEAVE?" AND I WAS LIKE, "WHAT ARE YOU TALKING ABOUT? I DIDN'T SEE ANYBODY."

SO SHE CALLS THIS WOMAN NAMED JEANETTE MEYERS, A FRIEND OF HERS. AND JEANETTE MEYERS TELLS HER, "YEAH, THE ALARM WENT OFF." SO SHE'S LIKE, "SEE, JEANETTE MEYERS SAID THE ALARM WENT OFF. HE WAS HERE." I SAID, "LOOK, I DIDN'T SEE NO CAR LEAVE. I DIDN'T SEE NOBODY RUNNING DOWN THE DRIVEWAY." SHE SAYS, "HE OPENED THE DOOR." I SAY, "YOU OPENED THAT DOOR FROM LAST NIGHT WHEN YOU LET THE DOGS OUT." SHE SAYS, "NO, I CLOSED IT." I SAID, "NO, YOU LEFT IT UNLOCKED. YOUR HUSBAND DIDN'T TRY TO BREAK INTO THE HOUSE."

THEN SHE STARTS TELLING ME THAT I'M GOING TO HAVE TO LEAVE. I SAID, "WHY DO I GOT TO LEAVE?" SHE'S LIKE, "WELL, YOU'LL BE KILLED IF YOU STAY HERE. MY HUSBAND WILL KILL YOU BECAUSE YOU'RE BLACK." AND I WAS LIKE, I TOLD HER STRAIGHT UP, LIKE, "I'M NOT AFRAID OF YOUR HUSBAND. I MEAN, IF HE TRIES TO COME HERE OR ANYTHING, BUT I DON'T THINK HE WILL." AND SHE'S LIKE, "NO, YOU GOT TO LEAVE BECAUSE, YOU KNOW, HE'S GOING TO TRY TO KILL YOU." SO THEN I WAS LIKE, YOU KNOW WHAT -- THIS IS WHERE THE AUDIO COMES IN ON THE DAMN PHONE.

SO THE WHOLE MORNING FROM THAT POINT ON, I WAS RECORDING ON MY AUDIO. THE DAMN PHONE THAT THEY MISPLACED. SO FROM THAT POINT ON, I WAS RECORDING THE AUDIO ALL THE WAY EVEN AFTER THEY RETRIEVED IT, EVEN AFTER THEY RETRIEVED MY

PHONE. THE AUDIO STAYS CONTINUOUS ON MY PHONE UNTIL IT SHUTS OFF OR YOU STOP THE RECORDING. AND EVEN IF THE PHONE DIES, IT SAVES THE RECORDING KIND OF LIKE A GO PRO. SO FROM THAT POINT ON, I STARTED TURNING THE AUDIO ON MY PHONE.

I WAS LIKE, I DON'T REALLY TRUST THIS WOMAN ANYMORE. SHE'S BECOMING WEIRD. SHE'S TALKING ABOUT HER HUSBAND GOING TO KILL ME AT THE HOUSE, AND I NEED TO LEAVE. I WAS LIKE -- I STARTED THINKING ABOUT THINGS. I TOLD HER LIKE LOOK, "WE HAD AN AGREEMENT. YOU KNOW, YOU SAID I CAN LIVE HERE. I'M JUST GOING TO GET A FORCEFUL DETAINER. YOU SAID I CAN MOVE IN. SO, LIKE, I'M A ROOMMATE. CHARGE ME RENT, WHATEVER." BECAUSE IT WAS LIKE A FIVE-BEDROOM HOUSE. IT HAD A SWIMMING POOL. SHE'S LIKE, "NO, YOU GOT TO LEAVE." SHE'S LIKE, "I GOT TO GO TO COURT. YOU GOT GO." SHE'S KEPT SAYING THAT.

AND THEN, LIKE, I WAS KIND OF LIKE, "WELL, YOU KNOW WHAT. I'M GOING TO SUE YOU." AND THEN I WAS LIKE, "I'LL LEAVE, BUT I'M GOING TO COME BACK WITH A LAWSUIT." AND AT THAT POINT, I STARTED MAKING SURE EVERYTHING WAS PACKED UP. I WAS MAKING SURE I DIDN'T LEAVE ANYTHING IN THE BACK ROOM THAT I WAS IN THAT I HAD SEX WITH HER. I MADE SURE NOTHING WAS LEFT IN THE HALLWAY. EVERYTHING WAS PACKED UP.

AT THIS POINT, IT'S PROBABLY AROUND 8:30, 9:00 A.M. AT THAT TIME, I CALL SAN MARINO CITY HALL. I TALKED TO THE LADY CHRISTINA. SHE'S A CITY CLERK. AND I SAID, "YOU KNOW, I'M GOING TO BE THERE IN A LITTLE BIT, YOU KNOW, TO SERVE YOU SOME PAPERS." I GO SO CAUGHT UP LIKE WITH WHAT WAS GOING ON THAT MORNING THAT I FORGOT THAT I WAS SUPPOSED TO MEET ALISON AT THE SOUTH PASADENA POLICE DEPARTMENT. AND THEN WE WERE

SUPPOSED TO CATCH AN UBER TO SAN MARINO. SO I'M ON AUTOPILOT ABOUT GOING TO SAN MARINO.

AT THAT POINT EVERYTHING WAS READY AT THE DOOR. AND THEN YOU KNOW, I WAS LIKE -- SHE WAS LIKE, "ARE YOU GOING TO LEAVE?" I WAS LIKE, "YEAH, I'LL LEAVE, BUT LIKE I TOLD YOU I'M GOING TO COME BACK WITH A FORCEFUL DETAINER LET HER KNOW." AT THAT POINT SHE'S LIKE SHE'LL CALL ME AN UBER. I HAVE AN UBER ACCOUNT, AND I HAVE A LYFT ACCOUNT, BUT JUST BECAUSE HOW WEIRD SHE WAS ACTING, I THINK IT WAS BETTER FOR HER TO CALL THE UBER.

SHE CALLED THE UBER. SHE CALLED THE UBER. IT WAS AN UBER XL. SO THE UBER XL PULLED UP. WHEN THE UBER XL PULLED UP, RIGHT BEFORE IT PULLED UP, BASED ON THE TENSION WITH HER, I STARTED THE CAMERA. THAT WAS THE CONTINUOUS VIDEO THAT WE SAW.

I TURNED ON THE CAMERA, GO OUTSIDE. I TELL HER, "HEY, TELL THE UBER, YOU KNOW, TO PULL UP ON THE DRIVEWAY. YOU KNOW BECAUSE -- SO I CAN GET MY STUFF OUT QUICKER." AND SHE WAS LIKE, "I'M NOT GOING TO TELL HIM." I WAS LIKE TELLING SOMEONE LIKE, YOU KNOW, THE UBER DRIVER IS, YOU KNOW, NOT GOING TO LISTEN TO ME BECAUSE OF MY ACCOUNT. I WAS LIKE, "YOU NEED TO TELL HIM." AND SHE WAS LIKE, "YOU DON'T TALK TO ME LIKE THAT." SHE STORMED IN ON THE INSIDE OF THE HOUSE. BUT SHE WAS QUICKER. SHE BEAT ME INSIDE. SO WHEN I CAME INSIDE, THE DOOR WAS LOCKED.

I THINK THAT I WENT TO THE DOOR TO SEE IF IT WAS OPENED. AT THAT POINT, I STARTED MAKING STATEMENTS TO HER. I DON'T REMEMBER EXACTLY WHAT THE STATEMENTS WERE. WE SAW IT ON

THE VIDEO. WE KNOW THERE WEREN'T CRIMINAL THREATS. IT WAS LIKE, "NOW, I'M GOING TO SUE YOU. IF YOU HAVE ME ARRESTED, I'M GOING TO SUE YOU. LIKE, I DON'T CARE. CALL THE POLICE," THAT TYPE OF THING. AFTER I MADE THOSE STATEMENTS TO HER, I SAT DOWN JUST LIKE WE SAW ON THE VIDEO, I WAS ON MY PHONE. I DON'T HONESTLY REMEMBER WHAT I WAS DOING ON MY PHONE.

I KNOW WHILE I WILL SITTING DOWN, I SENT HER TWO TEXT MESSAGES. THE FIRST TEXT MESSAGE THAT I SENT TO HER -- BY THE WAY SHE MADE A COMMENT, SHE DIDN'T KNOW I GOT HER PHONE NUMBER. SHE GAVE ME HER PHONE NUMBER THAT NIGHT BECAUSE INITIALLY WE WERE GOING TO GO ON A DATE. SO I NEEDED A WAY TO REACH HER. SO SHE GAVE ME HER PHONE NUMBER THAT NIGHT. I CALLED HER. SHE ANSWERED. SHE SAVED MY INFORMATION IN HER PHONE; THAT'S HOW I GOT HER PHONE -- OR THAT'S HOW I GO HER PHONE NUMBER.

SO I SENT HER A TEXT MESSAGE, AND THE FIRST TEXT MESSAGE, I SAID SOMETHING LIKE, "WHY DID YOU LET THE UBER LEAVE? LIKE, WHAT ARE YOU DOING?" I SAID, "ARE YOU MENTALLY ILL." AND THE SECOND TEXT MESSAGE I SENT TO HER. SO I DIDN'T -- I SAT DOWN. AT THAT TIME I KNOW SHE WAS CALLING THE POLICE. I COULDN'T HEAR WHAT WAS GOING ON. I KNEW SHE WENT IN THE HOUSE, AND SHE LOCKED ME OUT THE MAIN RESIDENCE. THEN THIS WAS ALL THAT. I SAID MY STATEMENTS. I SAT DOWN.

AFTER THAT, I WANT TO SAY LIKE MAYBE FIVE MINUTES LATER, A SECOND UBER PULLED UP LIKE WE SAW IN THE VIDEO. THE UBER PULLED UP. IT WAS LIKE ONE OF THOSE, YOU KNOW, SMALL SUV'S. IT'S NOT LIKE A CADILLAC ESCALADE OR ANYTHING. IT WAS A SMALL SUV. I SAID TO THEM, "YOU KNOW, IT'S NOT BIG ENOUGH."

I KNEW ALL MY STUFF WASN'T GOING TO FIT IN THERE. AND THEN HE TOOK OFF. AND THEN AFTER THAT, I WENT BACK, AND I SAT DOWN. AND THEN AFTER THAT, I JUST SAT DOWN.

AND THEN I -- ABOUT THREE OR FOUR MINUTES LATER, THAT'S WHEN I HEARD SIRENS OR SOME TYPE OF NOISE. AND THEN I WENT OUT, AND THEN I LEFT MY PHONE BEHIND. AND THE REASON I LEFT MY PHONE BEHIND IS BECAUSE I'VE BEEN IN LIFE-OR-DEATH SITUATIONS WITH THE SHERIFF. PEOPLE CALL THE POLICE THEY SAID, "HE'S GOT A GUN," OR WHATEVER, "AND THEY DREW GUNS ON ME." I DON'T THINK IT'S A GOOD IDEA TO GO OUTSIDE WITH THIS PHONE WHICH THEY MAY TAKE FOR A GUN AND SHOOT ME BECAUSE I DON'T KNOW WHAT SHE SAID TO THEM. SO I LEFT THE PHONE BEHIND. I STEPPED OUT. AND THEN, YOU KNOW, I FELT IT'S EXCESSIVE FORCE TO BE PULLED THE GUNS ON ME WHEN I DIDN'T HAVE A WEAPON, BUT I DON'T KNOW THAT'S WHAT THEY DID.

AFTER THAT, THEY TOOK ME TO THE CAR. THERE WAS A SERGEANT THERE, AND HE'S LIKE, "OH, YEAH, HOLLYWOOD, I REMEMBER YOU." AND I WAS LIKE, "I DON'T GIVE A CRAP." HONESTLY, I'M PROBABLY THE MOST POPULAR PERSON THAT THE SHERIFF'S KNOW. EVERY COURT I GO TO, EVERY JAIL I GO TO, THERE'S ALWAYS A DEPUTY THERE, "OH, HOLLYWOOD." SO I DIDN'T THINK NOTHING OF IT. FOR ALL I KNOW, HE MIGHT HAVE KNEW ANOTHER DEPUTY THAT KNEW ME. I NEVER SEEN HIM BEFORE.

WHEN WE WENT TOWARDS THE CAR, I'M MAKING STATEMENTS TO HIM, LIKE, "I DON'T KNOW YOU. GET AWAY FROM ME." AND I GUESS BECAUSE HE'S CLOSE TO ME, I SPIT ON HIM. SO HE SAYS -- THEY TOLD ME TO -- LIKE WHEN IT GOT TOWARDS THE CAR, I'VE ALWAYS BEEN LIKE THIS EVEN BEFORE GEORGE FLOYD. I DON'T LIKE

SITTING ON THE CURB. I'D RATHER GO INSIDE THE CAR. I THINK IT'S SAFER FOR THE ARRESTEE TO BE INSIDE THE CAR.

SO THEY WERE TRYING TO GET ME TO SIT DOWN ON THE CURB. I WAS LIKE, "NO, I'M NOT SITTING DOWN. SO ARREST ME, DETAIN ME, WHATEVER. I'M GOING INSIDE THE VEHICLE." AND THEY SAID, "YEAH." I THINK THE SERGEANT THAT WAS THERE HE SAID, "YEAH, PUT HIM IN THE CAR. HE'S GOING TO JAIL." AND HE SAYS, "HE'S GOING TO JAIL FOR SPITTING ON ME, AND HE'S GOING TO JAIL FOR TRESPASS."

AND THEN I GUESS HE STARTED MAKING SOME STATEMENTS LIKE, "HE DOES THIS ALL THE TIME. HE'S ALWAYS LIKE, YOU KNOW, LIKE SQUATTING OR SOMETHING. HE LIKES MOTELS AND PEOPLE'S HOUSES." HE MADE SOME STATEMENTS LIKE THAT. AFTER THAT, I GOT DROVE TO THE POLICE DEPARTMENT. WHEN I GOT THERE, AND THEY, LIKE, TOOK EVERYTHING OUT OF MY POCKET, PUT ME IN A CELL. I THINK IT WAS CONTRERAS. I IMMEDIATELY TOLD HIM, I SAID, "LISTEN, I WANT MY PHONE." AND HE SAYS, "WE GOT YOUR PHONE."

I SAYS, "I WANT YOU TO TAKE MY PHONE AND PUT IT IN THE PROPERTY THAT I GO TO THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT OR WHEN I GO TO JAIL -- " I ASSUME I WAS GOING TO GO TO JAIL ON THIS -- "SO I HAVE MY PHONE, AND I CAN HAVE IT AS EVIDENCE WHEN I GO TO COURT." AND HE SAYS, "WE GOT YOUR PHONE," AND HE'S LIKE, "IT'S GOING TO GO IN YOUR BULK PROPERTY." AND I SAID, "NO, I WANT THE PHONE TO GO WITH ME." AND HE SAYS, "IT'S GOING TO GO IN YOUR BULK PROPERTY."

HOW I FEEL ABOUT THE SITUATION IS CONTRERAS DIDN'T WANT TO BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE PHONE. THEY HAD IT WITH MY

PROPERTY. HE DIDN'T WANT TO TAKE IT FROM THE BULK PROPERTY AND GET LOST OR SOMETHING. SO I'M IN THE HOLDING CELL I WOULD SAY FOR ABOUT 12:00 TO 4:00. I'M YELLING OUT ALL THE TIME, "I WANT TO TALK TO THE WATCH COMMANDER. LIKE YOU GUYS ARE VIOLATING MY RIGHTS, YOU KNOW. I'M INNOCENT."

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, RELEVANCE AT THIS POINT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: IT IS RELEVANT.

THE COURT: YEAH, WHERE ARE WE GOING AFTER THAT?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: WE'RE TALKING ABOUT -- WE'RE TALKING ABOUT THE EVIDENCE. WE'RE GETTING TO THE DETECTIVE -- DETECTIVE K. INTERVIEWED ME. I'M GETTING TO THAT NEXT.

THE COURT: SO YOUR INTERVIEW?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YEAH, SO I'M GETTING THERE, AND I'M GOING AS FAST AS I CAN.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, GO AHEAD.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: ALL RIGHT, SO I'M YELLING AT, YOU KNOW, DETECTIVES THAT, YOU KNOW, I GOT EVIDENCE ON MY GO PRO AND MY PHONE AND FOR THEM TO BRING IT TO ME. I BELIEVE IT WAS RICHARD LEWIS, BUT I AM NOT SURE, BUT I BELIEVE IT WAS HIM. AND HE SAID SOMETHING ALONG THE LINES TO ME, LIKE, "WE GOT YOUR PHONE. WE GOT YOUR GO PRO. IT'S SEIZED AS EVIDENCE TO BE USED TO CONVICT YOU," THAT'S ALL HE SAID. AND THEN I ASKED THE WATCH COMMANDER SANTANA. I SAID, "LOOK, MAN. CAN YOU GUYS BRING MY PHONE OR GO PRO? I CAN SHOW THE VIDEOS THAT I'M INNOCENT." THEY SAID, "NO, NO. WE'RE NOT GOING TO DO THAT."

THEY TOOK ME BACK TO -- AND THIS IS THE ONLY REASON I TALKED BECAUSE I WANTED TO KNOW WHAT THE HELL'S GOING ON WITH MY GO PRO AND MY PHONE. SO THEY TOOK ME BACK. THAT DAY,

BY THE WAY, I MADE CALLS TO THE D.A. OFFICE, AND I TOLD THEM I HAD EVIDENCE TOO. THEY TOOK ME BACK TO MY CELL. WHEN THEY TOOK ME BACK TO MY CELL, I THINK IT WAS LIKE 5:00 P.M. OR SOMETHING -- 6:00 P.M. I DIDN'T EAT ALL DAY. THEY DIDN'T GIVE ME ANY FOOD.

I BELIEVE DETECTIVE K. CAME. I THOUGHT IT WAS SHADY THAT HE HAD ALL THAT TIME TO INTERVIEW ME OUT THERE WHERE THERE WAS LIGHT AND CAMERAS AND HE WAITS UNTIL I'M IN A DARK CELL. SO HE CAME AND HE WAS LIKE, "YOU KNOW, YOU WANT TO SPEAK TO ME." AND I SAID, "HEY, I KNOW MY MIRANDA. AS LONG AS IT'S RECORDED, I DON'T SEE AN ISSUE WITH IT." AND HE HELD OUT A PHONE. LATER, I REALIZE AT THE END OF THE INTERVIEW THAT THE PHONE WASN'T RECORDING ANYTHING. HE PROBABLY WAS RECORDING ON HIS BODY CAM OR SO -- SO HE JUST SAID, "YOU AGREE TO SPEAK," HE DIDN'T REALLY MIRANDIZE ME OFFICIALLY, BUT HE WAS JUST KIND OF LIKE, "YOU AGREE TO ME SPEAK TO ME WITHOUT AN ATTORNEY?" I WAS LIKE, "YEAH."

HE ASKED ME WHAT HAPPENED. I SAID, "LOOK STRAIGHT UP. THERE'S EVIDENCE ON MY PHONE. THERE'S EVIDENCE ON MY GO PRO THAT I DIDN'T COMMIT A CRIME." AND HE SAYS THAT -- HE SAYS THAT, "WELL, I DON'T HAVE YOUR PHONE." I SAID DON'T, "DID YOU SEIZE MY GO PRO?" HE SAYS, "NO, WE DIDN'T SEIZE YOUR GO PRO." HE SAYS, "I DON'T HAVE YOUR PHONE." I SAYS WELL, "IF YOU GO GET MY GO PRO, I CAN SHOW VIDEOS OF WHAT HAPPENED." HE'S LIKE, "WELL, I'M HERE, AND I'LL JUST KIND OF HEAR YOUR STATEMENT." AND THAT'S IT.

THE COURT: OKAY, ALL RIGHT. MR. ROSENBERG.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YOU CAN CROSS-EXAMINE.

MR. ROSENBERG: ALL RIGHT.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

Q. BY MR. ROSENBERG: SO JENNIFER ACTUALLY TOLD YOU THAT YOU COULD STAY AT HER HOUSE, RIGHT?

A. YES, AT THE SOUTH PASADENA POLICE DEPARTMENT. WE HAD AN AGREEMENT THAT I'LL LIVE THERE INDEFINITELY THAT I'D BE HELPING HER WITH LAW AND THAT SHE WOULD ALSO PAY ME OUT OF POCKET.

Q. AND THEN THE VERY NEXT MORNING SHE RECANTED THAT OR SHE DECIDED THAT YOU COULDN'T LIVE THERE, RIGHT?

A. NO. SHE -- SHE MADE THE SPECIFIC STATEMENTS THAT I COULDN'T LIVE THERE ANYMORE BECAUSE SHE FEARED FOR MY SAFETY. AND THIS IS WHY I TRIED TO BRING IN THE 5150.

Q. ALL RIGHT, HOLD ON.

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, NONRESPONSIVE.

THE COURT: SUSTAINED.

THE WITNESS: OKAY, SORRY. I'LL GO BACK.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: NO, I'M GOING TO ASK YOU THE QUESTIONS.

A. OKAY.

Q. SO SHE TELLS YOU -- SHE TELLS YOU HAVE TO LEAVE, RIGHT? JUST YES OR NO?

A. YES.

Q. AND THEN THAT UPSET YOU; THAT UPSET YOU THAT THE NIGHT BEFORE SHE IS TELLING YOU THAT YOU CAN LIVE THERE, AND NOW THE VERY NEXT DAY SHE'S SAYING, "YOU GOT TO GO." THAT'S

UPSETTING, RIGHT?

A. I DIDN'T FEEL IT WAS UPSETTING. I FELT LIKE SHE'S A PERSON THAT, YOU KNOW, YOU COULDN'T -- YOU COULDN'T REALLY TRUST. LIKE, I MEAN WE HAD AN AGREEMENT, BUT I ALSO THOUGHT SHE WAS MENTALLY --

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, NONRESPONSIVE.

THE WITNESS: OKAY, SORRY. YEAH, I WASN'T UPSET ABOUT IT.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: OKAY, YOU'RE NOT UPSET ABOUT IT?

A. NO, I WASN'T ANGRY.

Q. EVEN ON YOUR GO PRO CAMERA --

A. RIGHT.

Q. -- YOU MAKE A THREAT TO HER SAYING, "I AM GOING TO SUE YOU REGARDING THIS."

A. YEAH.

Q. "YOU DO NOT KNOW WHO YOU'RE MESSING WITH," RIGHT? YOU SAY THOSE WORDS?

A. YEAH, I DID THE SAME THING TO THE COUNCIL MEMBER. IT'S WORSE THAN THAT. I CAN GET REAL ANGRY ABOUT SUING, AND I THINK WITH THE COUNSEL MEMBER --

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, NONRESPONSIVE.

THE WITNESS: OKAY, SORRY.

THE COURT: YOU'VE ANSWERED THE QUESTION.

THE WITNESS: YEAH. I DO GET ANGRY --

MR. ROSENBERG: HOLD ON.

THE COURT: YOU ALREADY ANSWERED.

MR. ROSENBERG: I WILL ASK THE QUESTIONS.

THE WITNESS: OKAY.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: YOU WERE SO UPSET THAT YOU WERE TELLING HER, "YOU DO NOT KNOW WHO YOU'RE MESSING WITH." YOU'RE THREATENING HER IN THAT MOMENT, RIGHT?

A. I THREATENED TO SUE HER WHEN I SAID --

Q. WELL, WHY DO YOU SAY, "YOU DO NOT KNOW WHO YOU'RE MESSING WITH"?

A. BECAUSE I DON'T THINK SHE REALIZED WHO I WAS IN FEDERAL COURT. IF ANYBODY LOOKS ON LEXUSNEXUS, I HAVE 40 ENTRIES. I'VE SUED PEOPLE. I'VE HAVE ACTIVE CASES AGAINST Q-SMART PENNSYLVANIA. I'VE SUED LIFE STORAGE LAST YEAR. I ALSO SUED IN CALIFORNIA.

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, NONRESPONSIVE.

THE WITNESS: OKAY, WELL, I'M TESTIFYING NOW.

MR. ROSENBERG: YOU'RE NOT TESTIFYING NOW. THIS IS CROSS-EXAMINATION NOW. I'M ASKING YOU QUESTIONS.

THE WITNESS: WELL, I'M TELLING YOU THAT'S WHAT I MEANT WHEN I SAY, "YOU DON'T KNOW WHO YOU'RE MESSING WITH."

MR. ROSENBERG: YOUR HONOR, WOULD THE COURT RULE PLEASE?

THE COURT: HOLD ON.

THE WITNESS: GO AHEAD.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'LL JUST GO BACK AND TESTIFY.

THE COURT: WAIT FOR THE QUESTION.

THE WITNESS: I'LL JUST SAY I'LL GO BACK TESTIFY TO THAT AFTER HE'S DONE.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: LET'S TALK ABOUT YOU SUING.

A. YES.

Q. YOU'RE A VEXATIOUS LITIGANT, AREN'T YOU?

A. YES, I AM, CORRECT.

Q. AS A VEXATIOUS LITIGANT, YOU ARE NOT ALLOWED TO SUE ANYBODY?

A. YES, I AM.

Q. AS A PERSON WHO CANNOT SUE, THE ONLY REASON YOU WOULD THREATEN TO SUE SOMEBODY IS BECAUSE YOU WERE TRYING TO INTIMIDATE THEM, ISN'T THAT CORRECT?

A. THAT'S NOT CORRECT. IF YOU LET ME TESTIFY, I'LL EXPLAIN.

Q. WELL, YOU ARE NOT TESTIFYING, YOU ARE ANSWERING MY QUESTIONS. YOU HAD YOUR CHANCE TO TESTIFY. NOW, YOU'RE TALKING TO ME.

A. OKAY, I CAN'T SUE IN FEDERAL COURT.

THE COURT: HOLD ON. YOU'VE ALREADY ANSWERED THE QUESTION. YOU'LL HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY IF YOU WANT TO CLARIFY SOMETHING.

THE WITNESS: ALL RIGHT, OKAY.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: SO LET'S TALK ABOUT BEYOND THIS CASE, YOU'VE TRIED TO INTIMIDATE OTHER PEOPLE BY THREATENING TO SUE THEM, ISN'T THAT CORRECT?

A. ALL THE TIME.

Q. ALL THE TIME. ME, RIGHT?

A. MS. LEE.

Q. MS. LEE?

A. I SENT AN E-MAIL TO HER FAMILY TOLD HER I WAS GOING TO SUE, AND I MEANT IT.

Q. SO YOU THREATENED THE D.A. BY E-MAILING SAYING YOU WERE GOING TO SUE HER FAMILY?

A. I E-MAILED HER FAMILY AND TOLD HER I WAS GOING TO

SUE THEM. I THINK THAT, IF VILLALOBOS REMEMBERS, I TOLD THEM IN COURT I'M GOING TO SUE HER FAMILY, AND I'M GOING TO SUE HER FAMILY.

Q. SO YOU THREATENED HER FAMILY THAT WEREN'T EVEN PART OF THIS CASE?

A. YEAH, THEY'RE GETTING SUED TOO BECAUSE I'M UPSET ABOUT THE FALSE ARREST AND MALICIOUS PROSECUTION FOR 95 DAYS. I REALIZED THAT, IF I SUE HER, SHE WON'T CARE. IF I SUE HER FAMILY, SHE'LL CARE. MS. LEE'S FAMILY'S GETTING SUED. I GOT HER HOME ADDRESS TOO.

Q. IN THIS CASE, HOW MANY TIMES HAVE YOU THREATENED TO SUE ME?

A. A LOT; THAT'S WHAT I DO. WELL, I DON'T FEEL I THREATEN TO SUE. I FEEL LIKE WHEN I SUE, IT'S A PROMISE BECAUSE A LOT OF TIMES, I FOLLOW THROUGH.

Q. OKAY --

A. IN THE LAST YEAR, I PROBABLY FOLLOWED THROUGH ABOUT 80 PERCENT.

Q. AS A VEXATIOUS LITIGANT, YOU'RE FOLLOWING THROUGH?

A. YEAH, IF YOU LET ME EXPLAIN, I CAN EXPLAIN HOW I'M SUING PEOPLE AND BE A VEXATIOUS LITIGANT.

Q. IN THIS CASE, DID YOU NOT THREATEN TO SUE NOT JUST MYSELF BUT THE JUDGE AS WELL?

A. I DON'T PLAN ON SUING THE JUDGE. I --

Q. DID YOU NOT JUST FILE A MOTION SUING HIM FOR 14 MILLION DOLLARS?

A. IF YOU LET ME EXPLAIN, IT'S NOT --

Q. LET'S READ IT.

A. IT'S NOT FILED IN THE COURT. I DON'T PLAN ON SUING VILLALOBOS. I JUST --

Q. HOLD ON.

A. OKAY, GO AHEAD.

MR. ROSENBERG: YOUR HONOR, I HAVE IN MY HAND A MOTION FILED BY THIS HERE DEFENDANT TITLED, "HOLLYWOOD'S APPENDIX OF EXHIBITS IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO DISMISS." MAY THAT BE MARKED COLLECTIVELY AS PEOPLE'S 2?

THE COURT: SO MARKED.

(PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT NUMBER 2
IS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

MR. ROSENBERG: ALSO SHOWS THAT IS WAS FILED MARCH 20TH, 2023. DEPUTY, MAY YOU PLEASE HAND THAT TO HIM?

THE WITNESS: IT'S A BAD COPY. I WAS HOPING FOR A BETTER COPY.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: DID YOU FILE THAT?

A. ABSOLUTELY.

Q. IN THAT FILING, DID YOU THREATEN TO SUE NOT JUST MYSELF BUT THE JUDGE AS WELL?

A. I DIDN'T THREATEN TO SUE THE JUDGE. I DON'T PLAN ON SUING THE JUDGE.

Q. MAYBE WE CAN REFRESH YOUR RECOLLECTION --

A. NO. I WROTE IN THERE THAT HE WAS A DEFENDANT, BUT I'M TELLING YOU NOW UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY THAT VILLALOBOS IS NOT GOING TO BE A DEFENDANT IN THAT CASE. I THINK HE'S A FAIR JUDGE.

Q. SO YOU DID WRITE IN HERE THAT YOU WERE SUING THE JUDGE?

A. BUT I'M NOT -- I'LL TELLING -- TESTIFYING NOW I HAVE NO PROBLEM WITH VILLALOBOS. I WANTED TO SEND A MESSAGE TO THE D.A.'S OFFICE THAT NO ONE IS UNTOUCHABLE ON THAT CASE -- ON WHEN IT COMES TO COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE VERSUS --

(WHEREUPON THE PARTIES BEGIN SPEAKING OVER EACH OTHER.)

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: BUT YOU --

A. -- BUT I DO NOT PLAN TO SUE VILLALOBOS.

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, NONRESPONSIVE?

THE COURT: LET'S MOVE ON TO, MR. ROSENBERG.

MR. ROSENBERG: I THINK IT IS IMPORTANT, THOUGH.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: SURE, GO AHEAD.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: SO OVER AND OVER AGAIN, YOU ARE USING THIS THREAT OF SUING PEOPLE AS FORM TO INTIMIDATE THEM, ISN'T THAT CORRECT?

A. NO.

Q. JUST LIKE YOU DID ON THAT VIDEO TO THIS VICTIM?

A. NO, IT'S NOT TRUE.

Q. IT'S NOT TRUE?

A. I --

Q. YOU THREATENED PEOPLE IN THE PAST, RIGHT?

A. I NEVER MADE CRIMINAL THREATS TO PEOPLE. I MADE THREATS TO SUE PEOPLE LIKE WE SAW WITH THE COUNCIL MEMBER.

BUT I DON'T MAKE THREATS TO SUE PEOPLE TO INTIMIDATE THEM. I MAKE THREATS -- I TELL PEOPLE I'M GOING TO SUE THEM BECAUSE A LAWSUIT IS COMING.

Q. YOU'VE NEVER CRIMINALLY THREATENED SOMEBODY?

A. NEVER.

Q. WHY WERE YOU CONVICTED OF IT TWICE?

A. IF YOU WANT TO GO TO 2012, THAT CASE I DIDN'T REPRESENT MYSELF. THE GUY TESTIFIED AT THE PRELIM THAT I SAID SOMETHING THAT MADE HIM FEEL UNSAFE. HE SAID TO THE POLICE THAT I THREATENED TO KILL HIM. HE TESTIFIED THAT I THREATENED TO KILL HIM TWICE. HE SAID THAT I CAME BACK TO THE WINCHELL'S, AND I THREATENED TO KILL HIM. AND THE POLICE OFFICER WAS THERE, AND HE TOLD THE POLICE, "HEY, THAT'S THE GUY THAT THREATENED TO KILL ME." AND THE POLICE SAID, "WE'LL CATCH HIM ANOTHER DAY." AND BASED ON THAT STATEMENT, THE JUDGE THREW OUT THE SECOND COUNT.

I LATER TRIED TO REPRESENT MYSELF. I BELIEVE IT WAS TERRY SCHWARTZ. THERE WAS A PSYCHIATRIST EVALUATION ON ME. THE PSYCHIATRIST SAID, "HE'S VERY INTELLIGENT. I THINK HE CAN REPRESENT HIMSELF." TERRY SCHWARTZ SAID SHE'S NOT GOING TO ALLOW IT. I TOOK A 90-DAY DEAL BECAUSE I WAS ALREADY SITTING IN JAIL. I AGREED TO PLEAD NO CONTEST, NOT GUILTY, REMAIN MY INNOCENCE. I AGREED TO PLEAD NO CONTEST, AND I WOULD BE ON PROBATION FOR THREE YEARS. IF I STAYED OUT OF TROUBLE, IT'D GET DROPPED TO A MISDEMEANOR.

Q. YOU WERE CONVICTED OF A FELONY 422 IN 2012, ISN'T THAT TRUE?

A. I WAS INNOCENT. I DID NOT THREATEN.

Q. YOU WERE CONVICTED OF THAT CHARGE?

A. YES. WHAT HAPPENED WITH JAIME HERNANDEZ, IF YOU WANT ME TO TESTIFY, I WAS AT THE WELLS FARGO BANK --

Q. I'M NOT ASKING FOR THE --

THE COURT: HOLD ON. JUST ANSWER THE QUESTION.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: YOU WERE CONVICTED IN 2021 OF THE EXACT SAME CHARGE OF 422, ISN'T THAT CORRECT? YES OR NO?

A. THAT IS CORRECT, BUT IT WAS LATER OVERTURNED BASED ON THE GO PRO VIDEO --

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, NO QUESTION PENDING --

THE WITNESS: BECAUSE OF THE GO VIDEO THAT WAS --

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, NONRESPONSIVE.

THE WITNESS: -- THAT SHOWED I DIDN'T COMMIT THE CRIME. I GOT TO TESTIFY. I'M NOT GOING TO LET YOU SAY -- THERE'S NO OTHER CRIMINAL -- THIS LADY ASSUMED THAT --

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, NONRESPONSIVE.

THE COURT: HOLD ON, MR. HOLLYWOOD. SUSTAINED.

THE WITNESS: I'LL REBUTTAL ON THIS.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: YOU'VE ACTUALLY PHYSICALLY HARMED PEOPLE IN THE PAST AS WELL, RIGHT?

A. SINCE I'VE BEEN IN CALIFORNIA, NO, NOT TRUE. I HAVEN'T PHYSICALLY HARMED ANYONE SINCE I'VE BEEN IN CALIFORNIA. SINCE 2016, I'VE BEEN A TRAINED BOXER. AND BASED ON MY TRAINING SLIGHTLY IN MARTIAL ARTS AND IN BOXING, I AVOID FIST FIGHTS WHENEVER I CAN. THE LAST TIME I GOT IN A FIST FIGHT WAS IN SAN BERNARDINO JAIL. WE WERE IN A HOLDING TANK. AN INMATE KEPT APPROACHING ME. THERE'S SEVERAL

WITNESSES. I SAID, "HEY MAN, YOU GOT TO STOP COMING AT ME LIKE YOU'RE GOING TO HIT ME." HE KEPT COMING AT ME. I HIT HIM ONCE. THERE WERE WITNESSES THAT SAID, "HE KEPT TELLING HIM TO STAY AWAY FROM HIM, AND HE HAD TO DEFEND HIMSELF." I DON'T GO AROUND HITTING. I'M A TRAINED FIGHTER.

Q. YOU WERE CONVICTED OF A BATTERY BACK IN 2021, ISN'T THAT CORRECT? YES OR NO?

A. I PLEAD NO CONTEST --

Q. YES OR NO?

A. I DIDN'T HIT HER, THOUGH. I THREW CANDY AND IT HIT HER FACE. IT DIDN'T HER.

Q. WERE YOU CONVICTED OF THAT CHARGE?

A. YES, BUT IT WAS CANDY.

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, NONRESPONSIVE.

THE COURT: SUSTAINED.

THE WITNESS: AND SHE LATER THREW CANDY AT ME.

THE COURT: HOLD ON, HOLD ON.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I DIDN'T HIT HER WITH MY HANDS. IT WAS CANDY. SO LET'S BE STRAIGHT. YOU LOOK AT THE POLICE REPORT.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: SO YOU PHYSICALLY HARMED HER WITH CANDY?

A. THERE WAS NO CRIMINAL INTENT. I THREW CANDY, AND IT HIT HER. IT WASN'T LIKE I TOOK THE CANDY AND JUST LIKE THREW IT AS HARD AS I COULD. I JUST KIND OF LIKE TOSSED CANDY, AND IT HIT HER FACE. SHE CALLED THE POLICE. IT'S ON VIDEO TOO.

Q. LET'S TALK ABOUT THIS CASE.

A. OKAY.

Q. YOU KEEP TALKING ABOUT THIS GO PRO CAMERA.

A. YES.

Q. AND THAT WAS CONTINUOUSLY RECORDING THE ENTIRE TIME, WASN'T IT?

A. NO, I STATED THAT AFTER THE -- PRIOR TO ME GOING OUTSIDE MEETING THE UBER DRIVER, I TURNED ON THE CAMERA. FROM THAT POINT, I NEVER TURNED THE CAMERA OFF.

Q. SO WHY DOES THE CAMERA SHOW YOU TURNING IT OFF?

A. IT DOESN'T. YOU KEPT PAUSING THE VIDEO, AND THAT'S WHY I ASK THE JUDGE. THE JUDGE DOESN'T WANT TO SEE THE VIDEO. HE BELIEVES ME; THAT'S WHY I SAID TO THE JUDGE, "WE CAN PLAY IT ALL THE WAY THROUGH.

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, NONRESPONSIVE. LET'S PLAY IT.

THE COURT: SUSTAINED.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: SO YOU MEAN TO SAY THAT, AS DETECTIVE KHOUNTHAVONG WAS TESTIFYING, THAT YOU DIDN'T SEE THAT OF YOU TURNING OFF THE GO PRO VIDEO?

A. AFTER I WENT OUTSIDE AND I MET WITH THE -- ON THE FIRST UBER DRIVER, I DID NOT TURN THE CAMERA OFF.

Q. ALL RIGHT.

A. I DON'T REMEMBER TURNING THE CAMERA OFF AFTER THAT. I THINK IT WAS CONTINUOUS BECAUSE AT THAT POINT --

Q. NOW, YOU THINK IT WAS CONTINUOUS?

A. IT MAKE -- UNLESS YOU EDITED THE VIDEO, BUT I DON'T REMEMBER SHUTTING THE GO PRO OFF.

Q. SO NOW I EDITED THE VIDEO?

A. YOU CAN USE GO PRO I THINK IT'S GO PRO STUDIO, SIR. AND YOU CAN MAKE VIDEOS DIFFERENT LENGTH IN GO PRO.

Q. YOU ARE SAYING I DID THAT?

A. NO. I'M SAYING THE DETECTIVES WITH THEIR LITTLE HIGH-TECH LAB DID THAT. THAT THE ORIGINAL VIDEO WAS CONTINUOUS. I DIDN'T TURN THE GO PRO OFF AFTER I WENT OUTSIDE. BUT YOU CAN USE GO PRO STUDIOS, AND YOU CAN CHANGE THE LENGTH, THE DURATION OF THE VIDEO. AND THAT'S POTENTIALLY WHAT YOU DID BECAUSE YOU ARE TRYING TO MAKE IT LOOK LIKE I TURNED OFF MY CAMERA WITH HER AND THERE WAS THESE ALLEGED CRIMINAL THREATS.

Q. WELL, IT SEEMS LIKE YOU NEED A BETTER REFRESHER ON THIS, LET'S WATCH --

A. LIKE I SAID --

MR. ROSENBERG: -- THE VIDEO.

THE COURT: FOR THE RECORD, YOU'RE PLAYING THE VIDEO.

MR. ROSENBERG: YES, FROM PEOPLE'S 1. THIS IS 586.LRV.

THE COURT: WHAT PORTION?

MR. ROSENBERG: WE'RE GOING TO START AT THE VERY BEGINNING. THIS IS THE VIDEO WITH THE TIME STAMPED 9:59 A.M.

(WHEREUPON PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT 1
IS PLAYED IN OPEN COURT.)

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: MR. HOLLYWOOD, I'M STOPPING AT FOUR SECONDS IN. THIS IS DEPICTING THE FIRST UBER VEHICLE THAT ARRIVED, ISN'T THAT CORRECT?

A. YEAH. HOW LONG IS THIS VIDEO?

Q. SEVEN MINUTES AND 19 SECONDS.

A. YEAH, THIS IS THE WHOLE INCIDENT. PLAY IT ALL THE WAY THROUGH.

(WHEREUPON PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT 1
IS PLAYED IN OPEN COURT.)

MR. ROSENBERG: STOPPING AT --

THE WITNESS: NO, NO, I ADJUSTED MY GO PRO.

MR. ROSENBERG: I'M GOING TO ASK THE QUESTIONS AS I WANT TO ASK THEM.

THE WITNESS: KEEP PLAYING THE VIDEO. WHY DO YOU KEEP STOPPING?

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD, HE WANTS TO ASK YOU A QUESTION.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: SO AT 37 SECONDS WE'RE NOT WATCHING YOU BEING UPSET RIGHT NOW?

A. YEAH, I'M UPSET.

Q. BUT YOU JUST SAID EARLIER YOU WEREN'T UPSET ABOUT ALL THIS?

A. NO, I TOLD YOU I WASN'T UPSET ABOUT HER ASKING ME TO LEAVE THAT I WAS GOING TO HAND HER A FORCEFUL DETAINER. I WASN'T ANGRY ABOUT THE UBER. I WAS IRRITATED BECAUSE I WANTED TO GET OUT OF THERE. SHE WAS DELAYING THE PROCESS. YOU SEE HOW LONG HER DRIVEWAY IS. IT'S GOING TO TAKE LONGER FOR ME TO TAKE ALL THE STUFF DOWN THERE.

Q. SO THIS ISN'T YOU BEING ANGRY?

A. I'M UPSET. SHE WOULDN'T TELL THE UBER DRIVER TO PULL ON THE DRIVEWAY SO I CAN GET MY STUFF OUT OF THE HOUSE.

Q. SO YOU ARE NOT UPSET SHE'S TELLING YOU TO LEAVE?

A. NO, I'M UPSET THAT SHE WON'T TELL THE UBER DRIVER TO PULL UP THE DRIVEWAY SO I CAN GET OUT. PLAY THE VIDEO.

Q. FOR THE LAST TIME, DO NOT TELL ME WHAT TO DO. I WILL ASK THE QUESTIONS AS I SEE FIT.

A. PLEASE PLAY THE VIDEO ALL THE WAY THROUGH SO WE CAN SEE IT'S CONTINUOUS; THAT THE DEPUTIES ARE GOING TO BE ARRESTED.

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD, IT'S HIS EXAMINATION.

THE WITNESS: OKAY, ALL RIGHT. ALL RIGHT.

THE COURT: GO AHEAD.

MR. ROSENBERG: FOR THE RECORD, I'M NOT GOING TO PLAY THE WHOLE VIDEO AGAIN BECAUSE WE'VE ALREADY DONE THIS. SO I'M GOING TO GO TO THE END OF THIS VIDEO.

THE WITNESS: I'LL PLAY THE VIDEO.

MR. ROSENBERG: YOU CAN PLAY WHATEVER YOU WANT. I'M GOING TO START AGAIN AT SIX MINUTES AND 50 SECONDS. THIS IS THE END OF THE VIDEO.

(WHEREUPON PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT 1
IS PLAYED IN OPEN COURT.)

THE WITNESS: THAT VIDEO IS EDITED. I WASN'T OUTSIDE. AM I INSIDE? YEAH, THAT VIDEO IS EDITED.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: SO AT THE VERY END OF THIS VIDEO, THAT'S NOT YOU TURNING IT OFF RIGHT THERE?

A. NO, THE VIDEO IS EDITED. I DIDN'T TURN IT OFF. SO IF YOU PLAY THE OTHER VIDEO, THE OTHER VIDEO THAT YOU PLAYED WHERE IT SHOWS THE POLICE ARRESTING ME, THAT VIDEO.

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, NONRESPONSIVE. NO QUESTION PENDING.

THE WITNESS: ALL RIGHT, THE VIDEO WAS TOGETHER. YOU SEPARATED IT.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: SO THIS ONE WHERE YOU'RE PUTTING YOUR HANDS ON THE ACTUAL GO PRO ITSELF TURNING IT OFF, IS NOT YOU TURNING IT OFF?

A. NO. WHY WOULD I TURN IT OFF? IT WAS CONTINUOUS; THAT'S NOT ME TURNING IT OFF. I ADJUSTED IT LIKE WE SAW OUTSIDE I WAS ADJUSTING. I DIDN'T TURN IT OFF.

Q. ISN'T IT REALLY CONVENIENT THAT YOU TURN IT OFF RIGHT WHERE SHE'S ENDING THAT FIRST PHONE CALL?

A. SIR.

Q. I THINK THAT'S REALLY CONVENIENT THAT YOU TURN IT OFF RIGHT AS SHE SAYS YOU MAKE THE CRIMINAL THREATS?

A. I DIDN'T NEVER TURN MY CAMERA OFF. YOU EDITED THAT VIDEO AND BRING IT UP ON SOME PROGRAM AND YOU SEPARATED THE VIDEO. IT WAS CONTINUOUS. IT WAS NEVER BROKEN UP; THAT'S WHY WHEN YOU LOOK AT THE OTHER VIDEO -- PLAY THE OTHER VIDEO. IT LOOKS LIKE IT PICKED UP RIGHT WHERE THIS ONE LEFT OFF.

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, ASKED AND ANSWERED.

THE WITNESS: THAT'S FINE. WE'LL PLAY THE VIDEO WHEN IT'S MY TURN, AND WE'LL SEE IT PICKS UP RIGHT WHERE THIS ONE LEFT OFF AT. PLAY THE OTHER VIDEO.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: WHY IS IT THAT THERE'S A FIVE-MINUTE GAP BETWEEN YOUR VIDEOS THAT JUST SO HAPPENS TO BE WHEN SHE SAYS THAT YOU MADE THOSE CRIMINAL THREATS.

A. THERE IS NO GAP. AND SHE'S NOT A CREDIBLE WITNESS. SHE'S TOLD TOO MANY LIES ON THE STAND. SO SHE DIDN'T TELL 911 I THREATENED HER. I NEVER THREATENED HER. I ALREADY

TESTIFIED TO THAT.

Q. NOW, EARLIER YOU WERE TALKING ABOUT YOUR INTERACTION WITH THE DEPUTIES.

A. WITH WHO?

Q. WITH THE SHERIFF'S DEPUTIES WHEN YOU GOT ARRESTED, RIGHT?

A. RIGHT.

Q. AND YOU WEREN'T CALLING THEM MOTHERFUCKERS? YOU WEREN'T YELLING AT THEM? YOU WEREN'T ANGRY AT THEM?

A. I DON'T LIKE POLICE. I MEAN, LIKE THE DEPUTIES HERE THEY TREAT ME RESPECTFULLY. BUT I TEND TO HAVE A HATRED TOWARDS LAW ENFORCEMENT BASED ON ALL THE TIMES I'VE BEEN ARRESTED.

Q. WERE YOU YELLING AT LAW ENFORCEMENT THAT DAY?

A. I HATE LAW ENFORCEMENT. WHY DO YOU THINK I DIDN'T CALL THE POLICE ON THIS CASE? I'M NOT A COP-CALLER.

Q. WERE YOU CALLING THEM MOTHERFUCKERS AND YELLING AT THEM?

A. I HATE LAW ENFORCEMENT, OF COURSE.

Q. JUST --

A. I HATE LAW ENFORCEMENT. I ALWAYS DO THAT EVERY TIME I'M ARRESTED.

Q. SO IS THAT A YES?

A. I HATE LAW ENFORCEMENT. I'M ALWAYS GOING TO CUSS THEM OUT. I'M GOING TO SAY I'M GOING TO SUE THEM. I DON'T LIKE LAW ENFORCEMENT.

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, NONRESPONSIVE.

THE COURT: WELL, I THINK HE ANSWERED THE QUESTION. I

THINK IT'S A YES.

THE WITNESS: I HATE LAW ENFORCEMENT, AND I THINK EVERYBODY KNOWS THAT BASED ON ALL MY ARRESTS.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: SO YOU AGREE THAT THIS WAS HER HOUSE THOUGH, RIGHT?

A. NO. SHE TOLD ME THAT SHE WAS GETTING AWAY FROM HER EX; THAT SHE OWNED A HOUSE IN SOUTH PAS OR SOMETHING, AND IT WAS, LIKE, A TEMPORARY HOUSE. SHE WAS RENTING IT. SHE DIDN'T TELL ME SHE OWNED THE HOUSE. SHE TOLD ME SHE WAS TRYING TO GET AWAY FROM HER EX. AND SHE DIDN'T LIKE, I GUESS, HOW HE KNEW WHERE SHE LIVED IN SOUTH PASADENA. SHE WAS KIND OF PARANOID ABOUT KNOWING WHERE SHE LIVES. SO SHE --

Q. WOULD YOU AGREE SHE WAS LIVING THERE?

A. WHAT?

Q. WOULD YOU AGREE THAT SHE WAS LIVING THERE?

A. WHEN I GOT THERE, IT LOOKED LIKE SHE JUST MOVED IN KIND OF. LIKE, THERE WAS, LIKE -- THE HOUSE WAS DISORGANIZED. IT LOOKED LIKE SHE LIVED THERE, BUT SHE HADN'T BEEN LIVING THERE THAT LONG.

Q. SHE ASKED TO YOU LEAVE THAT DAY, RIGHT?

A. NO, SHE DIDN'T ASK ME TO LEAVE. SHE TOLD ME THAT I HAD TO LEAVE BECAUSE SHE BELIEVED THAT HER HUSBAND WAS GOING TO KILL ME BECAUSE I WAS BLACK.

Q. AND YOU --

A. AND I TOLD HER THAT, "I'M NOT WORRIED ABOUT YOUR HUSBAND BREAKING IN. I DON'T THINK HE'S GOING TO COME HERE." AND SHE'S SAYS, "NO." SHE SAYS, "YOU GOT TO LEAVE. HE'S GOING TO COME HERE AND KILL YOU." AND THEN I WAS JUST LIKE,

"WHATEVER, I'M GETTING A FORCEFUL DETAINER."

I'D AGREE WITH LEAVING THAT I WASN'T AFRAID OF HER HUSBAND THAT WAS HER REASON FOR ASKING ME TO LEAVE.

Q. YOU DIDN'T LEAVE THAT DAY, RIGHT?

A. DID I LEAVE?

Q. WELL, YOU DIDN'T LEAVE UNTIL YOU WERE ARRESTED BY THE DEPUTIES?

A. YEAH, I LEFT WHEN I WAS ARRESTED, BUT I WAS TRYING MY HARDEST TO GET OUT OF THERE. I WANTED TO GO TO SAN MARINO AND SERVE THE LAWSUIT ON THEM, AND THAT WAS MY PLAN. I WAS GOING TO BE HOMELESS IN SAN MARINO. THE WHOLE POINT OF SERVING SAN MARINO THE LAWSUITS WAS SO THEY STOP ARRESTING ME OVER THERE.

Q. IF YOU WERE TRYING SO HARD TO LEAVE, WHY DIDN'T YOU GET IN THAT FIRST UBER?

A. BECAUSE SHE WOULDN'T TELL THE UBER TO PARK UP ON THE DRIVEWAY.

Q. DIDN'T THE SECOND UBER COME IN THE DRIVEWAY?

A. UBER DRIVERS DO NOT -- LISTEN, A SIX-FOOT-FIVE BLACK GUY WITH DREADLOCKS, A BIG BLACK GUY, BIG ARMS APPROACHING AN UBER DRIVER, WHO DIDN'T CALL THE UBER. YOU NEED TO WAKE UP A LITTLE BIT. UBERS ONLY DEAL WITH THE --

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, NONRESPONSIVE. NO QUESTION PENDING.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, SUSTAINED.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: PLAYING YOU THE NEXT VIDEO. THIS ONE ENDS 5:87. THIS IS TIMESTAMP OF 10:13 A.M.

A. YEAH, PLAY IT.

"WHATEVER, I'M GETTING A FORCEFUL DETAINER."

I'D AGREE WITH LEAVING THAT I WASN'T AFRAID OF HER HUSBAND THAT WAS HER REASON FOR ASKING ME TO LEAVE.

Q. YOU DIDN'T LEAVE THAT DAY, RIGHT?

A. DID I LEAVE?

Q. WELL, YOU DIDN'T LEAVE UNTIL YOU WERE ARRESTED BY THE DEPUTIES?

A. YEAH, I LEFT WHEN I WAS ARRESTED, BUT I WAS TRYING MY HARDEST TO GET OUT OF THERE. I WANTED TO GO TO SAN MARINO AND SERVE THE LAWSUIT ON THEM, AND THAT WAS MY PLAN. I WAS GOING TO BE HOMELESS IN SAN MARINO. THE WHOLE POINT OF SERVING SAN MARINO THE LAWSUITS WAS SO THEY STOP ARRESTING ME OVER THERE.

Q. IF YOU WERE TRYING SO HARD TO LEAVE, WHY DIDN'T YOU GET IN THAT FIRST UBER?

A. BECAUSE SHE WOULDN'T TELL THE UBER TO PARK UP ON THE DRIVEWAY.

Q. DIDN'T THE SECOND UBER COME IN THE DRIVEWAY?

A. UBER DRIVERS DO NOT -- LISTEN, A SIX-FOOT-FIVE BLACK GUY WITH DREADLOCKS, A BIG BLACK GUY, BIG ARMS APPROACHING AN UBER DRIVER, WHO DIDN'T CALL THE UBER. YOU NEED TO WAKE UP A LITTLE BIT. UBERS ONLY DEAL WITH THE --

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, NONRESPONSIVE. NO QUESTION PENDING.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, SUSTAINED.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: PLAYING YOU THE NEXT VIDEO. THIS ONE ENDS 5:87. THIS IS TIMESTAMP OF 10:13 A.M.

A. YEAH, PLAY IT.

(WHEREUPON PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT 1
IS PLAYED IN OPEN COURT.)

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: AT 10:13 A.M., THAT'S NOT A SECOND UBER THAT JUST PULLED INTO THE DRIVEWAY?

A. IT'S CLEAR YOU EDITED THE VIDEO BECAUSE WE WATCHED THIS VIDEO EARLIER AND THE JUDGE WILL CONFIRM THIS. WE SAW ME GOING OUTSIDE AND CONFRONTING THE UBER DRIVER IN A CONTINUOUS VIDEO --

Q. ARE YOU SAYING I EDITED THAT SUV INTO IT?

A. THAT'S A DIFFERENT VIDEO THAN WHAT WE SAW EARLIER, SIR. I'M NOT GOING TO KEEP ARGUING ABOUT THE VIDEO. YOU OBVIOUSLY -- THAT'S WHY YOU GUYS CONFISCATED MY GO PRO SO YOU CAN TWEAK IT AND EDIT IT. YOUR HONOR, WE SAW A VIDEO EARLIER. EVERYBODY SAW IT. I WAS NOT TOUCHING THE DOOR. I WAS ON MY PHONE FOR LIKE ABOUT A MINUTE, AND THEN I WALKED OUT, AND I APPROACHED THE SAME UBER DRIVER.

NOW, YOU'RE SHOWING A VIDEO THAT STARTS THERE. THESE VIDEOS HAVE BEEN MANIPULATED. PERIOD.

Q. WAS THERE A SECOND UBER AND DID YOU TELL THAT UBER TO LEAVE?

A. I TOLD THE UBER -- I DON'T KNOW IF I TOLD HIM TO LEAVE. I TOLD HIM THAT IT WASN'T BIG ENOUGH, AND IF YOU LOOK AT ALL THE STUFF THAT I HAD IN THERE, I NEEDED UBER XL. I NEEDED A MINIVAN.

Q. SO IF YOU WERE TRYING SO HARD TO LEAVE THAT DAY GOOD, WHY DIDN'T YOU GET INTO THE SECOND UBER EITHER?

A. IT WASN'T BIG ENOUGH. SO THE UBER THAT WE BROUGHT

THAT WE CAME IN WAS A TOYOTA SIENNA WHICH IS ONE OF THE BIGGEST MINI VANS THAT YOU AN FIND. I LOVE THEM. I TRAVEL A LOT IN THEM.

EVEN WHEN WE GOT IN THAT ONE, IT WAS LIKE JAM PACKED, LIKE, THERE WAS BARELY ANYWHERE FOR US TO SIT IN THE UBER. MY STUFF WAS IN THE BACK. IT IS ALL OVER THE UBER. SO NO WAY MY STUFF WOULD HAVE FIT IN THAT VIDEO, AND THAT VIDEO IS NOT THE SAME VIDEO.

Q. GOING BACK TO THREE SECONDS. SO THAT SUV, THAT JUST WASN'T GOOD ENOUGH FOR YOU?

A. IT'S NOT BIG ENOUGH, NO, NOT EVEN CLOSE. IT'S NOT A MINI VAN. I DON'T KNOW WHERE YOU'RE GETTING WITH THIS. YOU'RE SHOWING THIS VIDEO THAT'S A DIFFERENT VIDEO YOU SHOWED EARLIER.

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, NONRESPONSIVE. NO QUESTION PENDING.

THE COURT: SUSTAINED.

THE WITNESS: I'VE BEEN TRUTHFUL ABOUT EVERYTHING; THAT'S WHY I'M TESTIFYING.

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION. NO QUESTION PENDING.

THE WITNESS: OKAY.

THE COURT: SUSTAINED.

MR. ROSENBERG: NOTHING FURTHER.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. REDIRECT?

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. HOLLYWOOD: YEAH, IF THE COURT IS NOT SATISFIED

WITH THE VIDEO THAT DOESN'T SHOW ME MAKING A CRIMINAL THREAT, THEN THIS CASE CAN BE CONTINUED. THEY CAN BRING IN THE ORIGINAL GO PRO. AND WE CAN WATCH THE VIDEOS ON THE ORIGINAL GO PRO. AND THE COURT WILL SEE THAT IT DON'T LOOK LIKE THESE VIDEOS.

THESE VIDEOS HAVE BEEN EDITED. THEY HAVE BEEN BREAKEN UP WITH DURATIONS. AS WE SAW, THAT VIDEO RIGHT THERE STARTED WITH ME GOING OUTSIDE AND MEETING THE UBER. WE SAW A VIDEO EARLIER OF ME MAKING STATEMENTS THAT I WAS GOING TO SUE HER. I WAS SITTING DOWN. THERE WAS THREE TO FOUR MINUTES THAT GONE BY. AND THE SECOND UBER PULLS UP. SO WHEN I WENT OUTSIDE AND I MET WITH THE UBER, IT WAS LIKE THREE MINUTES IN THE VIDEO.

NOW, WE SEE A NEW VIDEO THAT STARTS RIGHT THERE. SO THERE'S EVIDENCE RIGHT THERE. I'M TOO SMART FOR YOU, ROSENBERG. THE NEXT THING IS ABOUT THE VEXATIOUS LITIGANT, HE'S INCORRECT. SO WHAT HAPPENED -- SO I JUST WANT TO ENLIGHTEN THE COURT TOO ABOUT VEXATIOUS LITIGANT. WHEN A VEXATIOUS LITIGANT BECOMES VEXATIOUS IN STATE COURT, HE HAS TO GET PERMISSION TO SUE ANYWHERE IN THE STATE.

SO EVEN IF HE MOVED TO SAN FRANCISCO, HE STILL GOT TO GET PERMISSION EVEN THOUGH HE BECAME A VEXATIOUS LITIGANT IN L.A. IF HE MOVES TO -- IF HE MOVES TO SAN DIEGO AND TRIES TO SUE PEOPLE THERE IN STATE COURT, HE'S STILL IN CALIFORNIA SUPERIOR COURT. HE HAS TO GET PERMISSION. AND IN FEDERAL COURT, THE VEXATIOUS LITIGANT ORDER ONLY STANDS FOR CENTRAL DISTRICT.

SO HOW I SUED ARCADIA AND PASADENA IS I FILED A

LAWSUIT I THINK IN SOUTHERN DISTRICT. ONCE IT WAS IN THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT, ARCADIA ATTORNIES MOVED FOR A MOTION TO TRANSFER VENUE SAYING IT WASN'T CONVENIENT FOR WITNESSES AND THAT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD WAS ONLY DOING THIS TO GO OVER, YOU KNOW, TO BYPASS THE VEXATIOUS LITIGANT THING.

SO THAT CASE WAS -- YOU CAN LOOK IT UP UNDER MY NAME. THAT CASE WAS REMOVED OR WAS TRANSFERRED FROM SOUTHERN TO CENTRAL. JUST SO YOU KNOW IT WAS DONE WITHOUT -- UNOPPOSED BECAUSE I WAS IN JAIL FOR 95 DAYS FOR A CRIME I DIDN'T COMMIT WITH THE COUNCIL MEMBER. I WASN'T ABLE TO FILE MY RESPONSE, AND THE COURT DIDN'T WAIT FOR ME.

IN REGARDS TO JENNIFER, WHEN I MADE THE STATEMENTS TO HER, "YOU DON'T KNOW WHO YOU'RE MESSING WITH," I MEANT LIKE YOU DON'T KNOW WHO YOU'RE MESSING WITH, LIKE, I'LL SUE YOU UNDER FORCEFUL DETAINER. THEN I'LL TAKE YOU UNLIMITED WITH NEAL, AND POTENTIALLY I'LL SUE YOU IN FEDERAL COURT. MAYBE I'LL FILE A LAWSUIT AGAINST YOU IN NORTHERN COURT AGAINST YOUR FAMILY MEMBERS.

THE LAWSUIT THAT I FILED AGAINST ARCADIA AND PASADENA, I SUED THE COUNCIL MEMBER. HIS NAME IS TYRONE HAMPTON. I SUED HIS WIFE. I THINK HIS WIFE IS TARA HAMPTON, AND ONE OF THE REASONS WHY THE COUNCIL MEMBER DID WHAT HE DID IS BECAUSE HE WAS AWARE THAT LAWSUIT AND THAT I WAS ACTIVELY GOING AFTER FAMILY MEMBERS.

SO THE VEXATIOUS LITIGANT FOR FEDERAL COURT ONLY EXTENDS TO CENTRAL DISTRICT, AND THAT'S WHY I HAVE NEAL HELPING ME WITH SUING PEOPLE IN CENTRAL DISTRICT. BUT IT DOESN'T STOP ME FROM SUING THE CENTRAL DISTRICT. IT DOESN'T

STOP ME FROM SUING IN THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OR ANY OTHER EASTERN DISTRICT, ANY OTHER DISTRICTS IN CALIFORNIA.

TOO SMART. I STARTED GETTING REAL SMART ABOUT MY LAWSUITS. I REALIZED I DON'T HAVE TO FILE MY LAWSUITS IN CALIFORNIA. I'LL JUST SUE THEM WHERE THEY'RE HEADQUARTERED.

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD, I THINK YOU COVERED THAT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: ALL RIGHT. LET ME COVER ONE MORE THING ABOUT THE CRIMINAL THREATS. SO IN 2021, I WAS HOMELESS AT THE SOUTH PASADENA LIBRARY. MY WOMAN WAS WITH ME. THERE WAS AN INCIDENT ACTUALLY WITH THE SAME WOMAN HE'S TALKING ABOUT WHERE I THREW THE CANDY AT HER. WELL, SHE ASSAULTED ME ANOTHER TIME, AND I ACTUALLY DID PRESS CHARGES ON HER FIRST FOR ASSAULTING ME. YOU KNOW, I THINK IT WAS AUGUST 15TH OR 16TH OR 17TH, 2021. THE RESPONDING OFFICERS WERE GRAMERO [PHONETIC] AND I BELIEVE ZAMORA.

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, RELEVANCE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: IT IS RELEVANT. WE'RE TALKING ABOUT THE CRIMINAL THREATS. I'M GOING TO GET TO THAT RIGHT IN A MINUTE. SO I HAD HER ARRESTED JUST SO YOU KNOW. I THINK SHE PUSHED MY ARM. THEY SAID, "DO YOU WANT TO PRESS CHARGES?" I HAVE A VIDEO. I WAS LIKE, "YEAH. JUST A MONTH AGO SHE HAD ME ARRESTED FOR THROWING CANDY AT HER, SURE."

SO THEY -- ZAMORA, WHO WAS THE LEAD OFFICER, HE SAID, "BASED ON WHAT HAPPENED, YOU AND ALISON GOT TO LEAVE THE LIBRARY. YOU CAN'T BE HERE." SO WE LEFT. WE WENT ACROSS THE STREET. HACIENDA HAS A HOUSE I THINK IT'S AT 1015 OR 1017 DIAMOND AVENUE.

THE COURT: OKAY, MR. HOLLYWOOD, GET TO THE POINT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'M GETTING TO THE CRIMINAL THREATS. I'M ALMOST THERE. SHE HAD ME ARRESTED OR SHE CALLED AND SAID THAT I WAS BLOCKING THE SIDEWALK. THEY ARRESTED ME. THEN AFTER I GOT OUT OF JAIL THE NEXT NIGHT, SHE WAS TAKING OUT HER TRASH OR SOMETHING. AND I SAW HER AND I SAID, "HEY, YOU GOT ME ARRESTED. I'M GOING TO SUE THE SHIT OUT OF YOU."

SO FOR FIVE MINUTES, I WENT OFF ON HER. I CALLED HER PECKER WOOD. I SAID I'M GOING TO SUE THE SHIT OUT OF YOU. I'M GOING TO SUE YOUR FAMILY -- NO, I DIDN'T TELL HER I'M GOING TO SUE YOUR FAMILY. I TOLD HER I'M GOING TO SUE YOUR LANDLORD. I KNOW YOU RENT THERE. SHE CALLED THE POLICE. SHE SAID THAT SHE MADE A STATEMENT THAT HE WAS GOING TO KILL MY MAMA. AND THEN SHE HAD A LADY THAT LIVED THERE. I THINK IT WAS HER LOVER WHO COLLABORATED HER STORY.

I WENT TO JAIL. I PLEAD NO CONTEST ON IT SO I CAN GET OUT. ONCE I GOT OUT OF JAIL, I GOT THE VIDEO. I THINK HIS NAME'S WILL ELSNOR [PHONETIC]. HE'S IN YOUR OFFICE. YOU'RE FAMILIAR WITH WILL ELSNOR. I GOT THE VIDEO TO WILL. HE GOT IT TO THE D.A. THERE WAS A HEARING ON APRIL 5TH, 2022, WHERE THEY BROUGHT ME IN FOR WARRANTS WITH BROUGHAM, AND BROUGHAM DISMISSED THE CASE.

AND SO THEY -- THE VIDEO IS ALSO ON MY INSTAGRAM CHANNEL. YOU CAN CHECK IT; FUTURE KING ENTERTAINMENT. THERE WAS TWO VIDEOS THEY DON'T SHOW ME THREATENING HER. SO NO, I DIDN'T MAKE CRIMINAL THREATS TO HER. SHE LIED.

THE COURT: OKAY. IS THAT IT?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YEAH, THAT'S ALL -- OH, WELL, JUST WANT TO KNOW IS THE COURT SATISFIED WITH THE VIDEOS BECAUSE, LIKE I

SAID, THIS VIDEO CLEARLY WAS EDITED.

THE COURT: LET'S BRING HIM DOWN. SO WHAT I INTERPRET WHAT YOU'RE SAYING, MR. HOLLYWOOD, IS THAT YOU WANT TO CONTINUE THE PRELIMINARY HEARING SO THAT YOU WOULD HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO OBTAIN THE GO PRO AND THEN MAYBE HAVE IT RE-EXAMINED TO DETERMINE --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: WELL, IF THEY DIDN'T TAKE THE VIDEOS OFF THE GO PRO IF THEY JUST COPIED THEM, THEY'RE STILL ON THERE, AND GO PRO CAN BE PLAYED IN COURT. BUT I MEAN EARLIER YOU SAID YOU DIDN'T HEAR ANY THREATS. YOU WERE SATISFIED. HE SEES THAT. HE'S TRYING TO TURN IT AROUND. IF THE COURT'S SATISFIED THAT THE VIDEOS DON'T SHOW ME COMMITTING A CRIME, WE CAN MOVE FORWARD. BUT IF THE COURT IS NOT SATISFIED, BASED ON WHAT --

THE COURT: WELL, HERE'S THE THING, I'M INCLINED TO SAY THAT FOR PURPOSES OF PRELIMINARY HEARING, I DON'T BELIEVE I NEED TO CONTINUE THE MATTER SO THAT YOU CAN HAVE THE GO PRO EXAMINED.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: OKAY.

THE COURT: IF THIS CASE GOES TO TRIAL, THAT IS SOMETHING YOU MAY WANT TO MAKE A MOTION TO HAVE THE GO PRO EXAMINED AND MAY BE TURNED OVER TO AN EXPERT WHO CAN EXAMINE AND DETERMINE WHETHER THE GO PRO, THE CONTENTS OF THE GO PRO, IS ANY DIFFERENT THAN WHAT WE WERE SHOWN HERE IN COURT AND WHAT MR. ROSENBERG HAS ON HIS FLASH DRIVE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: OKAY.

THE COURT: FOR PURPOSES OF PRELIMINARY HEARING, I DON'T FIND GOOD CAUSE TO CONTINUE THE MATTER.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: OKAY.

THE COURT: SO AT THIS POINT, YOU HAVE EXHIBITS.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YES.

THE COURT: TWO EXHIBITS; A AND B. OH, THE LETTER. DO YOU WANT TO MOVE THOSE INTO EVIDENCE?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YES, AND THE 911, AND THE POLICE REPORTS AND --

THE COURT: WELL, THE POLICE REPORT IS NOT EVIDENCE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: WHAT ABOUT THE D.A.'S LETTER?

THE COURT: THE D.A'S LETTER IS NOT EVIDENCE EITHER. IT'S JUST A AND B; THE PARTIAL TRANSCRIPT OF THE 911 CALL IS B AND THEN THE LETTER IS A.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: CAN I GET A COPY OF MY MOTION?

THE COURT: HOLD ON. HOLD ON. ANY OBJECTION TO A AND B?

MR. ROSENBERG: NO.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, A AND B ARE RECEIVED.

(DEFENSE'S EXHIBITS LETTER A AND B
ARE ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)

THE COURT: DO YOU REST, MR. HOLLYWOOD?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YEAH, JUST -- I WANT, ONE, TO GET A COPY OF THE MOTION TO DISMISS BECAUSE THAT'S GOING TO BE PART OF MY ARGUMENT.

THE COURT: OKAY. A MOTION TO DISMISS. WHICH ONE?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THE ONE I FILED LIKE A COUPLE DAYS AGO.

THE COURT: THE ONE THAT WAS TURNED OVER THIS MORNING?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO -- YEAH, I THINK IT'S IN THERE. YEAH,

BECAUSE THAT'S RIGHT YOU NEVER -- YOU NEVER GOT IT.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, SO LET'S SEE WHAT WE GOT IN HERE. I'M NOT SURE I SEE WHAT YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT. MAYBE IT'S IN THE EARLIER FILING.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: IT'S CALLED MOTION TO DISMISS. IT'S LIKE SIX PAGES.

THE COURT: WAS THAT FILED EARLIER?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO -- YEAH, YOU KNOW WHAT I THINK I DID GIVE IT TO THE COURT THE LAST HEARING.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. HERE IT IS. IS THIS IT RIGHT HERE. IT'S MULTIPLE PAGES.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: IT'S ABOUT SIX PAGES.

THE COURT: SIX PAGES.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO, THAT'S THE APPENDIX OF EXHIBITS. IT'S THE ACTUAL MOTION. IT'S LIKE SIX PAGES. IT WAS THE PAPERS.

THE COURT: OKAY, THE FIRST SIX PAGES.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO, IT WAS IN THE STUFF THAT I GAVE TO THE COURT THIS MORNING. IT WAS IN THERE.

THE COURT: WELL, YOU KNOW, I DON'T SEE IT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I THINK I MIGHT HAVE GAVE IT TO THE COURT THE PREVIOUS DAY.

THE COURT: WHY DON'T YOU ORALLY GIVE IT TO ME RIGHT NOW.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: IT'S HARD TO REMEMBER WHAT I SAID. I SAID SOMETHING ABOUT INCONSISTENT STATEMENTS.

THE COURT: YOU KNOW WHAT THE EVIDENCE IS. ARGUE THE EVIDENCE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'LL TRY. SO READY TO ARGUE?

THE COURT: YES, GO AHEAD.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: SO I'M GOING TO GO FIRST OFF ALL THE STATEMENTS THAT WERE MADE THAT TURNED OUT TO BE PERJURY. SO COULD YOU STILL HEAR CRIMINAL THREATS BEING MADE --

THE COURT: OH, YOU KNOW WHAT? HERE IT IS RIGHT HERE. HERE WE GO. HAND THIS TO HIM. WE'LL MAKE A COPY LATER, BUT GIVE IT TO HIM NOW SO HE CAN MAKE HIS ARGUMENT. AND SAVE IT THERE BECAUSE WE'LL MAKE A COPY AND KEEP IT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: OF COURSE, I'LL GIVE IT BACK. COULD YOU STILL HEAR CRIMINAL THREATS BEING MADE TO HER AFTER SHE DIALED 911; THAT WAS QUESTION SIX. SHE SAID YES; THAT WAS NOT TRUE. WE LISTENED TO TWO 911 TAPES, AND YOU COULD NOT HEAR ME IN THE BACKGROUND AT ALL YELLING. AND I'M SURE THE COURT WOULD AGREE THAT MY VOICE CARRIES. SHE SHOULD BE ABLE TO HEAR ME OR, IF I WAS YELLING AT HER, THEY WOULD BE ABLE TO HEAR ME IN 911.

THE NEXT ONE IS HOLLYWOOD TOLD YOU THAT HE WAS GOING TO COME BACK TO YOUR RESIDENCE AFTER HE GETS ARRESTED AND KILLS YOU; THAT WAS QUESTION 16. SHE SAID YES. DID YOU TELL DEPUTY CONTRERAS THAT YOU CONFRONTED HOLLYWOOD IN YOUR KITCHEN AND CHARGED AT HIM; THAT WAS QUESTION 33. SHE SAID YES; THAT WAS A LIE. EVEN DETECTIVE K. -- I'M GOING TO CALL JUST K. I CAN'T PRONOUNCE HIS NAME. EVEN DETECTIVE K. TESTIFIED THAT THAT WAS NOT IN THE POLICE REPORT.

DIDN'T YOU TELL DEPUTY CONTRERAS THAT, AFTER THE FIRST UBER ARRIVED, YOU LOCKED THE DOOR OF THE GARAGE TO GIVE ACCESS TO THE RESIDENCE, MADE NO PHYSICAL CONTACT WITH HOLLYWOOD AFTER BEING -- AFTER LOCKING HIS DOOR; THAT WAS QUESTION -- IN YOUR HOME; THAT WAS QUESTION 41. SHE SAYS NO.

AGAIN DETECTIVE K. TESTIFIED -- REMEMBER IT'S NOT ACCURATE -- THAT THAT IS WHAT SHE DID TELL CONTRERAS THAT AFTER WE SAW IN THE VIDEO WENT INSIDE, I WAS LOCKED OUT AFTER THAT. THAT WAS IT. THERE WAS NO COMING IN THE KITCHEN.

NEXT ONE, ON THE MORNING OF FEBRUARY 21ST, 2023, DID YOU RECEIVE TWO SEPARATE TEXT MESSAGES FROM HOLLYWOOD. SHE SAID NO. I TESTIFIED I DID TEXT HER; THAT WAS CONFIRMED BY THE VIDEO THAT WE SAW ME SENDING A TEXT MESSAGE. SHE LIED ABOUT THAT; THAT WAS QUESTION 61. TOLD DEPUTY CONTRERAS THAT HOLLYWOOD SAID HE WAS GOING TO PUT HER IN THE GROUND. SHE SAID YES. WHILE DETECTIVE K. WAS TRYING TO HELP HER, THERE'S NOTHING IN THE POLICE REPORT ABOUT PUT IN GROUND OR ANYTHING CLOSE TO THAT. THE STATEMENTS SPECIFIC NOT SEE TOMORROW. VERY SIMILAR TO THE LIGHT OF DAY. WE'LL GET TO THAT LATER. BUT IT'S NOT ANYWHERE NEAR THERE. THAT WAS ALSO PERJURY STATEMENTS SHE MADE.

TOLD ROSENBERG THAT HOLLYWOOD SAID THAT HE WAS GOING TO PUT HER IN THE GROUND. SHE SAID YES. SHE DIDN'T TELL ROSENBERG THAT. I WANT A SHOW DOWN. I'M GLAD YOU CALLED THE COPS. I'LL KILL THEM TOO. SHE SAID SHE TOLD THAT TO ROSENBERG. SHE SAID THAT SHE TOLD THAT TO CONTRERAS. SHE DIDN'T TELL THAT TO ROSENBERG. SHE DIDN'T TELL THAT TO CONTRERAS; THAT WAS ANOTHER PERJURE STATEMENT.

HOLLYWOOD MADE THREATS AFTER THE FIRST UBER DEPARTED. I DON'T KNOW WHY -- YEAH, I'M NOT SURE WHY THAT QUESTION WAS THERE. HOLLYWOOD WAS STANDING IN HER KITCHEN HOLDING A KNIFE. SHE CHARGED AT HOLLYWOOD WHILE HE IS HOLDING THE KNIFE, COME BACK IN THE KITCHEN TO SEE IF HOLLYWOOD'S

STILL THERE. HOLLYWOOD CALLED FEMALE UBER DRIVER A CHINK. WE SAW IN THE VIDEO; THAT WAS ALSO A PERJURE STATEMENT.

MAYBE ATTACK ON MY -- IT MIGHT BE DEFAMATION OF CHARACTER. SHE'S MAKING ME LOOK LIKE A RACIST. NO ONE SAW ME CALL THE UBER DRIVER DEROGATORY NAME. AND DETECTIVE K. TESTIFIED THAT THERE WAS NO MENTION ABOUT HER CHARGING AT ME WHEN I HAD A KNIFE. HE ALSO TESTIFIED THAT SHE NEVER CAME BACK OUT A SECOND TIME OUT. HE SAID HE DIDN'T RECALL THAT. THAT'S WHAT I GOT AS FAR AS PERJURY GOES.

ONTO THE 911 TAPES, THERE WAS TWO 911 TAPES.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, SUBMITTED.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: WHAT MY ARGUMENT, NO, NO. I'M STILL ARGUING.

THE COURT: OKAY.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: ONTO THE 911 TAPES, THERE WAS TWO 911 TAPES. THIS ONE I TRIED TO ADMIT AS EXHIBIT. THIS IS THE FIRST ONE. HE'S ALL TALKING ABOUT ALL THESE THREATS. THE FIRST ONE SHE SAYS, "HE JUST REFUSING TO LEAVE NOW. HE JUST -- HE'S JUST REFUSING TO LEAVE NOW." THIS IS WHAT SHE'S TELLING 911; THAT THE ONLY THING THIS MAN'S DOING WRONG IS REFUSING TO LEAVE THE HOUSE. THERE'S NO CRIMINAL THREATS.

"HE'S REFUSING TO LEAVE NOW, AND I SAID YOU NEED TO LEAVE IN THE NEXT FIVE MINUTES." AND HE SAID, "FUCK," AND SHE SAID, "I DON'T LIKE TO CUSS." LATER SHE SAYS, "HE'S NOT EVEN MOVING. HE'S NOT EVEN MOVING ANY OF HIS STUFF OUT." SHE'S HYSTERICAL THAT THIS MAN'S NOT MOVING HIS STUFF OUT. THERE'S NOTHING EVEN CLOSE ABOUT THREATS.

THEY SAY, "WELL, WHERE IS HE NOW? HE'S ON THE RIGHT

SIDE OF THE HOUSE. DID YOU LOCK YOURSELF IN THE ROOM." SHE SAYS, "YEAH, I USED THE DOOR STOP." AND THEN SHE SAYS -- THIS IS WHERE SHE SAYS HE WANTS ME TO CALL -- HE SEEMS LIKE HE WANTS A BIG THING, YOU KNOW, WITH THE POLICE. BIG THING WITH THE POLICE. DOESN'T SAY ANYTHING. I TESTIFIED I HATE THE POLICE. IT DOESN'T SAY ANYTHING REMOTELY ABOUT A THREAT.

NOW, UNFORTUNATELY SOMETHING HAPPENED WHERE, YOU KNOW, I DON'T HAVE THE SECOND 911, BUT WE LISTENED TO THE SECOND 911. AND AGAIN SHE'S LIKE, "WHERE ARE YOU GUYS AT? WHERE ARE YOU GUYS AT?" SHE'S EVEN DISRESPECTFUL TO THE DISPATCH, "OH, I WANT YOUR SERIAL NUMBER. WHAT ARE YOU DOING."

AND HE WAS WRONG, ROSENBERG, WAS WRONG TO COACH THE WITNESS ABOUT THAT. THE SHERIFF'S RESPOND TO A LOT OF EMERGENCY CALLS. THEY'VE GOT SOUTH EL MONTE. THEY GOT TEMPLE CITY, NOT SO MUCH NORTH SAN GABRIEL VALLEY. THEY DID THEIR BEST TO GO ON THERE. AND IF YOU LOOK AT THE TEXT FROM THE 911 CALL, I DON'T HAVE IT HERE. IT SAYS THEY UPGRADED TO 415; THAT BASED ON TWO CALLS, THEY ONLY LOOKED AT IT AS A 415.

IF ALL THESE STATEMENTS AND CRIMINAL THREATS WERE MADE TO HER. AT LEAST TWO CALLS, SHE WOULD HAVE SAID SOMETHING. WE SAW HOW SHE TESTIFIED. WE SAW HOW SHE FELT ABOUT ME. HOW SHE WAS OUT TO GET ME. IT WOULD BE STUPID FOR THE COURT TO BELIEVE THAT, IF CRIMINAL THREATS WERE MADE TO HER, SHE WOULDN'T HAVE TOLD 911. SHE WOULD HAVE TOLD 911, AND WE KNOW THAT. AND THAT'S WHY THEY'RE PLAYING ALL THESE GAMES ABOUT THE 911 CALL.

THE BOTTOM LINE IS SHE DIDN'T TELL 911 THAT I

THREATENED HER BECAUSE IT NEVER HAPPENED, AND I TESTIFIED TO THAT.

THE NEXT THING IS WITH HEGER, THERE WAS -- THERE'S A PATTERN OF PRIOR CONDUCT. SO I KNOW THE COURT WOULDN'T ALLOW THIS, BUT THE COURT IS AWARE ABOUT THE DIVORCE PROCEEDINGS. SHE HAS A CASE WITH HER. SHE MAKES HERSELF SEEM LIKE SHE'S -- BECAUSE SHE'S DELUSIONAL. HEGER IS DIVORCING HER. SHE'S THE RESPONDENT IN THE CASE.

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THIS IS ARGUMENT, SIR.

MR. ROSENBERG: THIS WAS NOT PART OF THE PRELIM.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THIS IS ARGUMENT.

THE COURT: OVERRULED, GO AHEAD.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: HEGER, JOEL HEGER, IS DIVORCING HER. SHE'S THE RESPONDENT, AND SHE KEEPS RESPONDING WITH ALL THIS STUFF IN THE CASE ABOUT HE'S PHYSICALLY ABUSING HER. HE'S TRYING TO KILL HER, AND ALL THIS STUFF, RIGHT. AND THEN, WITH HEGER SHE HAS A SAME PATTERN AS THIS CASE. THE COURT DIDN'T ALLOW IT, BUT THERE'S ANOTHER THING WITH BERELLO [PHONETIC].

SHE TOLD BERELLO SOMETHING LIKE THAT WE'RE TREATING THIS LIKE A BUSINESS. WE'RE STICKING TO THE FACTS. WHY WOULD A PERSON THAT'S REPORTING A CRIMINAL THREAT TALK LIKE THAT? AND BERELLO WAS LIKE, "I'M ASKING HER QUESTIONS, AND SHE'S TELLING ME TO SHUT THE FUCK UP." AND LATER WHEN BERELLO INTERVIEWS HEGER, HE SAYS, "DID YOU MAKE THESE STATEMENTS?" I HAVE NO IDEA WHAT SHE'S TALKING ABOUT. THE LIGHT OF DAY. HE SAYS, "YOU CAN'T SEE THROUGH THAT?" HE SAY, "I CAN GET IN THE HOUSE IF I WANTED TO." BUT THE LIGHT OF DAY, LIKE HEGER

DIDN'T UNDERSTAND WHY ON THE PHONE CALL ABOUT CHEATING ALLEGATIONS THAT SHE WOULD MAKE SOMETHING LIKE THAT UP. BUT IF YOU LOOK AT THAT STATEMENT, LIGHT OF DAY, IT'S VERY SIMILAR IN THIS CASE NEVER SEE TOMORROW. SO THERE'S A HISTORY OF SIMILAR CRIMINAL THREATS ALLEGATIONS WITH PRESENT HEGER.

THE D.A. LETTER. IN THE D.A. LETTER SHE SAYS THIS CASE MUST BE IRONCLAD. YOU SHOULD RECUSE YOURSELF. I'M GOING TO MAKE NOISE WITH COURT TRANSCRIPTS. YOU KNOW, JUST LIKE WHO DOES THAT? I MEAN WHEN HAS ANYONE SEEN A VICTIM THAT SUPPOSED TO BE IN SUSTAINED FEAR IN A CRIMINAL THREATS CASE DO A LETTER LIKE THAT. I MEAN, WE SAW ON THE STAND SHE'S CLEARLY NOT AFRAID OF ME. DO A LETTER LIKE THAT WHERE SHE'S TALKING ABOUT THE CASE NEEDS TO BE IRONCLAD, AND YOU KNOW THIS IS DISCOVERY. IT'S WEIRD. YOU KNOW, IT'S LIKE WHO DOES THAT?

ONTO THE LEGAL ARGUMENT FOR -- OH, LIKE I SAID, THE SECOND 911 TAPE I CAN'T ARGUE ABOUT TOO MUCH ABOUT THAT. I HEARD IT. I DIDN'T HEAR ANY THREATS. I REALLY FEEL THE REASON WHY IT WASN'T TRANSCRIBED IS BECAUSE IT WASN'T REALLY RELEVANT. IT DOESN'T HAVE PROBATIVE VALUE.

MOVING ONTO THE MOTION TO DISMISS. SO THE FIRST GROUND IS JENNIFER HEGER'S PRIOR CONDUCT MAKING PEOPLE'S CRIMINAL THREATS CHARGE INSUFFICIENT. SHE TESTIFIED JUST IN 2023 SHE ALLEGED JOEL HEGER THREATENED TO BREAK INTO HER HOME AND TOLD HER THAT SHE WOULD NEVER SEE THE LIGHT OF DAY. IN THE CASE HEGER TOLD CONTRERAS HOLLYWOOD THREATENED TO BREAK INTO HER HOUSE AND TOLD HER SHE WOULD NEVER SEE TOMORROW.

DOES HEGER'S PRIOR CONDUCT WITH JOEL HEGER'S CRIMINAL THREAT ALLEGATIONS IS ALMOST IDENTICAL TO HER CONDUCT

AND FALSE STATEMENTS IN THIS CASE. IT'S A PATTERN; HIDES IN THE BEDROOM, LOCKS THE DOOR, SOMEONE TRIES TO BREAK IN.

IN CASE OF BERELLO HERE SHE ALLEGED AFTER HOLLYWOOD BEGAN TRYING TO BREAK INTO HER MAIN RESIDENCE, SHE LOCKED HIM OUT. SHE CALLED 911, SET OFF HER RING ALARM. IN 2023, JENNIFER HEGER TOLD SOUTH PASADENA POLICE DEPARTMENT THAT JOEL HEGER CAME HOME TO GET HIS MAIL. JENNIFER SAID SHE LOCKED ALL THE DOORS AND MADE THE HOUSE APPEAR THAT NO ONE WAS HOME. JENNIFER SAID SHE HID IN THE BEDROOM WHILE DOING THIS. NEXT, JOEL TRIED TO OPEN THE BACK DOOR. SHE SET OFF THE AUDIBLE ALARM CAUSING HIM TO LEAVE. THERE'S INSUFFICIENCY OF THE EVIDENCE TO BOUND HOLLYWOOD OVER BASED ON JENNIFER HEGER'S PRIOR CONDUCT.

THE GROUND B, JENNIFER HEGER'S INCONSISTENCIES AND STATEMENTS TESTIFIED TO MAKE THE PEOPLE'S CRIMINAL THREATS CHARGED INSUFFICIENT. JENNIFER HEGER TESTIFIED THAT SHE TOLD CONTRERAS THAT HOLLYWOOD THREATENED TO KILL HER. HEGER TESTIFIED THAT SHE DID NOT TELL 911 THAT HOLLYWOOD THREATENED TO KILL HER. BY THE WAY, THIS WAS MADE BEFORE I GOT HERE, OR BEFORE SHE TESTIFIED. DESPITE HER MAKING TWO SEPARATE CALLS TO 911 EMERGENCY, HEGER TESTIFIED SHE TOLD ROSENBERG THAT SHE CHARGED AT HOLLYWOOD, CONFRONTED HIM IN HER KITCHEN, ASKED HIM, "WHAT THE FUCK ARE YOU STILL DOING IN MY KITCHEN?"

THEN HEGER TOLD BOTH 911, CONTRERAS THAT SHE WAS NEVER IN THE SAME ROOM AS HOLLYWOOD AFTER THE UBER DISAGREEMENT. IN FACT, LOCKED HOLLYWOOD OUT OF THE MAIN RESIDENCE AND THEN LOCKED HERSELF IN THE BEDROOM. HEGER NEVER TOLD 911 OR CONTRERAS THAT SHE CONFRONTED HOLLYWOOD IN PERSON

IN HER KITCHEN AND THEN CHARGED AT HIM. HEGER'S STATEMENT TO ROSENBERG WERE CONSISTENT WITH A PATHOLOGICAL LIAR.

LASTLY, HEGER'S STATEMENTS TO DEPUTY CONTRERAS ARE INCONSISTENT WITH HER ORIGINAL STATEMENTS MADE TO 911, THE TWO SEPARATE CALLS. WHILE HEGER TOLD CONTRERAS THAT HOLLYWOOD THREATENED TO KILL HER WHILE SHE WAS ON THE TELEPHONE WITH 911, IN HER SECOND 911 CALL, SHE TOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT THAT THE SITUATION WITH HOLLYWOOD HAD NOT ESCALATED BEYOND THE UBER PARKING DISAGREEMENT. BASED UPON JENNIFER HEGER'S NUMEROUS PRIOR STATEMENTS OF INCONSISTENCIES, THERE'S INSUFFICIENCY -- OR THERE IS INSUFFICIENT EVIDENCE FOR THE COURT TO FIND PROBABLE CAUSE TO BELIEVE THAT HOLLYWOOD VIOLATED CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE SECTION 422 ON FEBRUARY 21ST, 2021 [SIC].

GROUND C, BASED UPON THE EVIDENCE PRESENTED OF STATEMENTS JENNIFER HEGER MADE TO 911 EMERGENCY ON TWO SEPARATE 911 AUDIO CALLS, THE PEOPLE HAD INSUFFICIENT EVIDENCE TO CHARGE HOLLYWOOD IN THE FIRST PLACE. JENNIFER HEGER TESTIFIED THAT DURING TWO SEPARATE 911 EMERGENCY CALLS, SHE'S NEVER ALLEGED HOLLYWOOD THREATENED TO PHYSICAL HARM HER. AGAIN, THIS WAS PRE-MADE I KNEW WHAT SHE WAS ALREADY GOING TO SAY.

UNDER CROSS-EXAMINATION HEGER ADMITTED SHE TOLD 911 THAT HER CONFRONTATION WITH HOLLYWOOD NEVER ESCALATED BEYOND THEIR UBER DISAGREEMENT. HEGER TESTIFIED THAT DURING THE TWO 911 EMERGENCY CALLS SHE NEVER REPORTED THAT HOLLYWOOD DONE OR SAID ANYTHING WRONG BESIDES REFUSE TO LEAVE. HEGER ADMITTED THAT SHE DID NOT REPORT TO 911 THAT HOLLYWOOD HAD BEEN YELLING AND CURSING AT HER. WE SAW THAT ON THE STAND -- WELL, SHE

KIND OF GOT AROUND IT. SHE SAID THAT SHE TOLD 911. SO I WANT TO CORRECT BECAUSE I DIDN'T KNOW SHE WAS GOING TO LIE. BUT SHE TESTIFIED THAT SHE TOLD 911 I USED PROFANITY, BUT THAT'S NOT WHAT SHE TOLD 911 THAT WAS ALSO PERJURY. BUT I PUT ON HERE ASSUMING SHE WAS GOING TO TELL THE TRUTH ABOUT THAT, THAT YOU KNOW SHE SAID THAT.

THE OVERWHELMING EVIDENCE PRODUCED BY THE PEOPLE'S TWO 911 CALLS IS HOLLYWOOD WAS VERY CALM AND CIVIL DURING THE ENTIRE INCIDENT WITH HEGER, MAKES IT EXTREMELY HARD FOR THIS COURT TO BELIEVE IN THE SPAN OF FIVE MINUTES HOLLYWOOD ATTEMPTED TO BREAK DOWN HEGER'S DOOR, AND DON'T FORGET THAT SHE SAID I WAS BREAKING DOWN THE DOOR AND SAID HE WOULD KILL HER. WHILE HEGER'S STATEMENT TO ROSENBERG AND CONTRERAS, ARE CLEARLY LIES.

THE STATEMENTS SHE MADE TO 911 EMERGENCY ON TWO SEPARATE CALLS ARE THE TRUTH. IN HOLDING HEGER TO STICK WITH HER ORIGINAL ALLEGATIONS AND STATEMENTS TO 911 EMERGENCY, THERE IS INSUFFICIENT EVIDENCE FOR THE COURT TO FIND PROBABLE CAUSE TO BELIEVE THAT HOLLYWOOD VIOLATED CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE SECTION 422 ON FEBRUARY 21ST, 2023. BASED UPON -- I THINK THAT'S THE LAST GROUND BECAUSE THE COURT SAID THAT I COULDN'T USE THE -- WHATEVER IT IS, THE 72-HOUR THING.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, SUBMITTED?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YEAH.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, FIRST OF ALL, WE HAVE THE TESTIMONY OF THE WITNESS, MS. JENNIFER, WHO DID TESTIFY THAT SHE WAS THREATENED BY MR. HOLLYWOOD. HERE HER TESTIMONY IN COURT WAS THAT HE MADE A COUPLE STATEMENTS BASICALLY THAT,

"I'M GOING TO PUT YOU IN THE GROUND. YOU'LL NEVER SEE THE LIGHT OF DAY." AND THEN YOU ALSO MENTIONED THAT YOU WOULD KILL THE POLICE TOO IF THEY RESPONDED, I SUPPOSE, TO THE CALLS.

THE VIDEOTAPE THAT WE HAVE, AND I GOT TO TELL YOU THIS IS WHAT WE HAVE, AND THIS IS WHAT I'VE BEEN SHOWN, AND IT RECORDS THE VIDEO TIMES WHEN THE CALLS WERE REPORTED. AND THEN WE ALSO HAVE THE RECORDINGS OF THE 911 CALLS. AND WE HAVE THE 911 CALLS, THE FIRST CALL, ACCORDING TO THE TESTIMONY OF THE DETECTIVE, WAS MADE AT ABOUT 10:03 A.M. AND IT WENT ON FOR A COUPLE MINUTES.

THE PEOPLE'S EVIDENCE IS THAT -- OR THEIR THEORY OF THE CASE IS THAT THE THREAT THAT WAS ALLEGED TO HAVE BEEN MADE THROUGH THE DOOR BY THE DEFENDANT WITH THE VICTIM ON THE OTHER SIDE OF THE WALL WAS SOMETIME AFTER THE FIRST 911 CALL. AND THAT WOULD ACCOUNT FOR THE REASON WHY ON THE 911 CALL BASICALLY SHE'S JUST STATING THAT HE'S REFUSED TO LEAVE. THERE'S NO ALLEGATION OF ANY THREATS MADE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THANK YOU.

THE COURT: THEN WE HAVE THE EVIDENCE THAT THE SECOND 911 CALL WAS MADE AT 10:09 AND WE HAVE THE GO PRO SUPPOSEDLY WAS RECORDING FROM BETWEEN 9:59 A.M. AND 10:06 A.M. AND THAT AT 10:06 A.M. THE GO PRO WAS TURNED OFF, ACCORDING TO MR. ROSENBERG'S THEORY, AND IT WAS TURNED OFF AND WASN'T PUT ON AGAIN ABOUT 10:13 WHEN YOU'RE OUTSIDE, AND THAT'S WHEN WE SEE THAT OTHER RECORDING.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: RIGHT.

THE COURT: SO THE THEORY IS THAT THE THREATS WERE MADE

BETWEEN 10:06 AND 10:13 AND THAT THEY WEREN'T RECORDED ON THE GO PRO, AND WHEN JENNIFER REPORTED THE SECOND 911 CALL AT 10:09 --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YEAH.

THE COURT: -- THAT HAD ALREADY OCCURRED, THE THREAT HAD ALREADY OCCURRED. I DID HEAR THE TAPE AND SHE DOESN'T TALK ABOUT THE THREATS SO MUCH ON THE SECOND CALL.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THANK YOU.

THE COURT: IN THE FACT, SHE DOESN'T MENTION IT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: DIDN'T SAY ANYTHING.

THE COURT: THERE ARE SOME OTHER INCONSISTENCIES HERE TOO. AND THEN THERE IS THE ALLEGATION SHE'S MADE SHE WAS IN THE KITCHEN THAT YOU HAD A KNIFE. YOU WERE HOLDING A KNIFE --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YUP.

THE COURT: -- AND SHE CHARGED AT YOU WHICH IS A LITTLE STRANGE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: SIX-FOOT-FIVE BLACK MAN.

THE COURT: THERE'S ALSO THE TESTIMONY THAT THE DEPUTIES, WHEN THEY TOOK HER STATEMENT, THAT THEY ALSO TOOK A STATEMENT REGARDING BEFORE THEY WERE -- BEFORE THEY LEFT OR ABOUT TO LEAVE THEY CAME BACK, SHE SHOWED THEM THE KNIFE, AND THAT THEY TOOK A STATEMENT REGARDING THAT KNIFE. BUT THERE'S NOTHING IN THE POLICE REPORTS ABOUT THAT. SO THERE ARE INCONSISTENCIES THERE. THERE'S ONE OTHER INCONSISTENCY THERE I NOTICE THAT WHEN YOU PRESENTED EVIDENCE OF THIS INCIDENT WITH HER HUSBAND THERE'S SIMILAR LANGUAGE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THANK YOU, LIGHT OF DAY. NEVER TOMORROW.

THE COURT: ABOUT HOW YOU WOULDN'T SEE THE LIGHT OF DAY;

THAT'S KIND OF UNUSUAL THAT BOTH PARTIES WOULD SAY THAT. HOWEVER, THE BOTTOM LINE IS THAT IT COMES DOWN TO WHETHER YOU BELIEVE MS. HEGER FOR PURPOSE OF PRELIMINARY HEARING. AND I GOT TO TELL YOU, MR. HOLLYWOOD, WHEN SHE TESTIFIED REGARDING THE THREATS, HER FEAR --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: RIGHT.

THE COURT: -- AND WHAT HAD HAPPENED, IT SOUNDED CREDIBLE TO ME.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: RIGHT.

THE COURT: I'M JUST THE JUDGE, YOU KNOW. I'M JUST SAYING FOR PURPOSE OF PRELIMINARY HEARING --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: RIGHT.

THE COURT: -- I THINK SHE HAS SHOWN AND THE PEOPLE HAVE SHOWN THAT THERE'S SUFFICIENT EVIDENCE HERE TO SHOW THAT YOU, IN FACT, DID MAKE A CRIMINAL THREATS TO HER. SHE WAS TERRIFIED AT THE TIME. IN COURT SHE TESTIFIED OF HER FEAR OF YOU, AND I THINK THAT, BASED ON THAT EVIDENCE, FOR PURPOSES PRELIMINARY HEARING --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: RIGHT.

THE COURT: -- I THINK THERE'S SUFFICIENT EVIDENCE TO HOLD YOU TO ANSWER.

SO IT APPEARING TO ME FROM THE EVIDENCE PRESENTED THAT THE FOLLOWING OFFENSES HAVE BEEN COMMITTED, TO WIT --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: WHAT ABOUT THE GO PRO VIDEO?

THE COURT: HOLD ON. THAT THE DEFENDANT IS GUILTY THEREOF, TO WIT, IN COUNT 1; A VIOLATION OF PENAL CODE SECTION 422, A FELONY. I ORDER THAT THE DEFENDANT BE HELD TO ANSWER. BAIL SHALL REMAIN IN THE SUM OF $100,000. I'LL SET THE DATE

OF ARRAIGNMENT IN THIS COURT IN TWO WEEKS; THAT WILL BE ON APRIL THE 19TH, 2023, AND THAT WILL BE HERE IN DEPARTMENT 1.

AS TO THE GO PRO, WHAT I WOULD SUGGEST, AND I ALREADY TOLD YOU THIS IS THOUGHT --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YEAH.

THE COURT: -- IF YOU ARE ARGUING THE AUTHENTICITY OF THE EVIDENCE THAT WE SAW REGARDING THE GO PRO, I WOULD, IF I WERE YOU, I WOULD GET SOMEBODY APPOINTED, AN EXPERT, YOU WOULD FILE THAT REQUEST WITH THIS COURT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I DID IT THERE.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, IF I ALREADY HAVE IT, I'LL TAKE A LOOK AT THAT AND WE WILL --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: OKAY, SO REGARDING THE GO PRO, THEY SAID THEY WERE GOING TO RETURN IT. ONCE THEY RETURN IT, NEAL CAN COME IN, AND WE CAN HOLD THE EVIDENTIARY HEARING.

THE COURT: SO WHAT I'LL DO IS I'LL ORDER, ONCE YOU WE HAVE AN EXPERT APPOINTED, WE CAN HAVE THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT ORDERED TO TURN THAT OVER TO TURN THAT OVER TO THE EXPERT AND THE EXPERT WILL CONDUCT THE EVALUATION.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I DON'T NEED AN EXPERT. I WANT MY GO PRO BACK. NEAL CAN TAKE CARE OF THAT. THEY HAVE PLACES THAT CAN DO DATA RECOVERY AND RECOVER WHATEVER THEY DID. I DON'T KNOW DEPENDS IF THEY COPIED THE VIDEOS OR THEY REMOVED. SO IF THEY CUT THE VIDEOS THE ORIGINAL VIDEO ARE NOT ON THE GO PRO.

THE COURT: I WOULD SUGGEST THAT FOR PURPOSES OF CHAIN OF CUSTODY AND FOR PURPOSES OF -- YOU DON'T WANT TO GET AN ALLEGATION THAT YOU MAY HAVE MESSED WITH THE THING. SO IT WOULD BE BETTER IF IT'S TURNED OVER TO AN EXPERT DIRECTLY.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: SO MY GO PRO CAN'T BE RETURNED TO ME AND, IF IT CAN'T BE RETURNED TO ME, IT SHOULD BE BROUGHT TO COURT SO I CAN SEE IF THE ORIGINAL VIDEOS ARE ON THE GO PRO. SINCE THE COURT HOLDING ME TO ANSWER, I NEED SUBPOENAS WITH VERIZON. MY SUBPOENAS WITH GOOGLE. THERE'S A LOT OF SUBPOENAS IN THERE.

THE COURT: RIGHT NOW THERE'S NO TRIAL DATE SET. SO UNTIL WE HAVE A TRIAL DATE, THERE WILL BE NO SUBPOENA GOING OUT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: SO THE MOTION TO DROP THE CASE TO A MISDEMEANOR IS DENIED.

THE COURT: WHAT I WILL DO IS REVIEW YOUR MOTIONS. IF IT IS GOING TO BE NECESSARY FOR SOME TYPE OF HEARING BEFOREHAND, WHAT WE WILL DO IS WE'LL HANDLE THAT. MAYBE WE CAN DISCUSS THAT AT THE TIME OF THE ARRAIGNMENT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I MEAN, YEAH. I DON'T KNOW WHAT THE COURT'S DOING. YOU SAID YOU WERE GOING TO HEAR THAT NOW ABOUT THE MOTION TO DROP IT TO A MISDEMEANOR IS THERE ENOUGH?

THE COURT: YOU'RE MAKING A MOTION TO DROP TO A MISDEMEANOR?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YEAH, I FILED IT ALREADY.

THE COURT: OKAY, SO WITH RESPECT TO THAT, BASED ON THE FACT THAT THERE ARE TWO PRIOR CONVICTIONS EVEN THOUGH YOU TRIED TO EXPLAIN IT --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: RIGHT.

THE COURT: -- BASE ON THAT RECORD, I WOULD NOT BE REDUCING TO A MISDEMEANOR. SO THAT MOTION IS DENIED.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: EVEN THOUGH THE OTHER ONE IS OVERTURNED?

THE COURT: OKAY, I'M JUST SAYING THAT THE MOTION IS DENIED. SO WE'RE DONE. ON THE OTHER CASE, I'M GOING TO HOLD THE VIOLATION. I DO FIND HIM IN VIOLATION OF PROBATION AND WE'LL HOLD THAT UNTIL THIS THE NEXT APPEARANCE.

(PROCEEDINGS IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER WERE CONCLUDED.)

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPARTMENT 1 HON. MICHAEL VILLALOBOS, JUDGE

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, PLAINTIFF, VS. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, DEFENDANT.	NO. GA114055 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, ADRIANA MONTANEZ, OFFICIAL REPORTER OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING PAGES, 1 THROUGH 97, INCLUSIVE, COMPRISE A FULL, TRUE AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF THE PROCEEDINGS HELD IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER ON APRIL 5, 2023,

DATED THIS 12TH DAY OF APRIL, 2023

, CSR NO. 12767
ADRIANA MONTANEZ
OFFICIAL REPORTER



I certify that this is a true and correct copy of the original on file in or issued from this office, consisting of 11 pages.

DAVID W. SLAYTON, Executive Officer / Clerk of the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles.

Date: SEP 08 2023 By: M. Diaz, Deputy

ALHAMBRA POLICE DEPARTMENT

Police Report for Incident 25-14319

Nature: 415UN UNWANT SU

Address: 101 S FIRST ST; ALHAMBRA PUBLIC LIBRARY ALHAMBRA CA 91801

Location: DIS6

Offense Codes: 90J, 90Z, 90Z, 90Z, WAR
Received By: ALVAREZ J 711 **How Received:** T **Agency:** ALH
Responding Officers: ROMERO J 104, SANCHEZ J 156, RODRIGUEZ J 101, SORIANO R 230, YANG B 276, CHEN E 114, MARTINEZ E 295
Responsible Officer: YANG B 276 **Disposition:** ACT 04/17/25
When Reported: 16:50:26 04/17/25 **Occurred Between:** 16:50:14 04/17/25 and 16:50:26 04/17/25

Complainant:

Last: **First:** **Mid:**
DOB: **/**/** **Dr Lic:** **Address:**
Race: **Sex:** **Phone:** **City:**

Offense Codes

Reported: **Observed:**
Additional Offense: 90J TRESPASS OF REAL PROPERTY
Additional Offense: 90Z ALL OTHER OFFENSES
Additional Offense: 90Z ALL OTHER OFFENSES
Additional Offense: 90Z ALL OTHER OFFENSES
Additional Offense: WAR OUTSIDE WARRANTS

Circumstances

8DSUC De-Escalation Successful

Responding Officers:	Unit :
ROMERO J 104	2S
SANCHEZ J 156	201
RODRIGUEZ J 101	202
SORIANO R 230	2L
YANG B 276	4D
CHEN E 114	7Z
MARTINEZ E 295	

Responsible Officer: YANG B 276 **Agency:** ALH

Alhambra Police Dept Authorized Person Copy Verification 4/20/2025 2:03:29 PM

Received By:	ALVAREZ J 711	**Last Radio Log:**	18:23:10 04/17/25 1098
How Received:	T Telephone	**Clearance:**	BKG BOOKING
When Reported:	16:50:26 04/17/25	**Disposition:**	ACT **Date:** 04/17/25
Judicial Status:		**Occurred between:**	16:50:14 04/17/25
Misc Entry:		**and:**	16:50:26 04/17/25

Modus Operandi:	**Description :**	**Method :**
Demeanor	Personal Demeanor	Profane

Involvements

Date	Type	Description	Relationship
04/20/25	Name	ROSENBERG, BRIAN MARK	Victim
04/20/25	Name	LOHGUAN, HILDA HIU	Other
04/20/25	Name	ALHAMBRA LIBRARY.	Victim
04/17/25	Name	HOLLYWOOD, AROGANT	Suspect
04/17/25	Offense	Offense#: 21359 - M - 1 count	Charged With
04/17/25	Offense	Offense#: 21415 - M - 2 counts	Charged With
04/17/25	Offense	Offense#: 21416 - F - 1 count	Charged With
04/17/25	Offense	Offense#: 21417 - M - 1 count	Charged With
04/17/25	Cad Call	16:50:26 04/17/25 415UN UNWANT SU	Initiating Call

Copy for Authorized Perso
Alhambra Police Dept
MPajon 4/20/2025 2:59:09 PM

Supplement

25-14319

On 04-18-2025 (approx. 1530 hours), I (Det. Yang #276) was contacted by Head Deputy District Attorney C. Nakao and (Victim- Deputy District Attorney Brian Rosenburg) via phone, who advised a known restrained person (Suspect- Hollywood, Arogant) had made entry into the courthouse. On 02-05-2025, Los Angeles District Attorney's Office Bureau of Investigation released a Safety Information bulletin on S-Hollywood and warned of his abrasive and threatening behavior towards personnel of the Los Angeles District Attorney's Office.

S-Hollywood's History:

S-Hollywood is a known person most Alhambra PD officers based on the number of contacts and contacts resulting in S-Hollywood's arrest. Just from September 2021 to August 2022, Alhambra PD documented 23 encounters and of those 23 encounters, S-Hollywood was arrested seven times. Majority of the calls for services were regarding him being in violation of court order, an unwanted subject, or a subject causing a disturbance.

Prison Term On 2023, S-Hollywood was convicted of 422 PC - Threats to life and was placed on felony probation. After his conviction from his case, S-Hollywood began to continuously harass Victim DDA Rosenburg who was assigned his case. Due to S-Hollywood's nonstop harassing behavior towards V-Rosenburg, V-Rosenburg obtained a restraining order against S-Hollywood. The restraining order (23PDR001713) was granted/issued on 12-20-2023 with the expiration date of 12-20-2026 and served to S-Hollywood on 12-28-2023 at 1033 hours. Nevertheless, S-Hollywood continued his behavior and was admitted to state prison on 03-01-2024 and released on 04-17-2024 and placed on parole for 422(a) PC which expires 10-06-2025.

After S-Hollywood was released from state prison, the LA County District Attorney's Office personnel distributed a bulletin advising to keep a look out and to contact security for assistance if needed if confronted by S-Hollywood. This bulletin was also distributed to the Alhambra Police Department. Reference LADA-BOI 2024-W-0985.

Investigation:

I asked Head Deputy DA Nakao and V-Rosenburg how S-Hollywood was able to gain entry, despite non-sworn security personnel and Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department (LASD) deputies knowing he was restrained. It was explained S-Hollywood had made an appointment with Building Administrator (BA) Lisa Jackson who granted S-Hollywood permission to enter and notified LASD deputies to allow him in. However, BA Lisa Jackson nor LASD personnel contacted V-Rosenburg or the District Attorney's Office.

Det. Lew #285 and I responded to the Alhambra Superior Courthouse (150 W. Commonwealth Ave., Alhambra), both wearing exterior vests with "POLICE" patches displayed. Upon arrival, we were told by LASD S-Hollywood was on the second floor attempting to file paperwork in the clerk's office with his girlfriend. At the time, he was not causing a disturbance or being violent.

Due to S-Hollywood being on the second floor, Det. Lew and I returned to the DA's Office on the first floor to speak to V-Rosenburg. V-Rosenburg stated he, nor the Head Deputy of the DA Office was notified S-Hollywood would be coming. Earlier, V-Rosenburg was walking to his vehicle parked in the court's parking

COPY Alhambra Police Department Authorized Personnel Only 4/28/2025

lot when he saw S-Hollywood walking towards him from Second St. V-Rosenburg was unsure if S-Hollywood saw him, but in fear for his safety, he quickly ran back into the DA office and notified Head Deputy Nakao. At the time, I believed it would be an "interest of justice" to arrest S-Hollywood for violating the court order when another Manager from the courthouse allowed him entry. In fear for his safety and the safety of his family if S-Hollywood were to follow him and/or obtain his vehicle's description and/or license plate, V-Rosenburg asked Det. Lew and I to assist with escorting him out of the building. Det. Lew and I escorted V-Rosenburg out of the building quickly and returned back to the DA Office. Based on S-Hollywood's history of disruptive and harassing behavior, the DA Office requested Det. Lew and I provide security while all staff members gather their belongings, enter their personal vehicles, and leave the court's parking lot. Shortly after, all personnel were escorted out quickly while S-Hollywood was still at the Clerk's Office.
As Det. Lew and I were returning to the DA Office to meet with Head Deputy Nakao, we were notified by non-sworn security S-Hollywood was on the first floor and attempting to go to the DA Office. Det. Lew and I located S-Hollywood on the first floor (north end) and sitting on a x-ray machine and talking to LASD Sgt. C. Barreras whom had placed himself between the DA Office door and S-Hollywood and
was attempting to reason with him and explain that the DA Office had closed for the day.

Det. Lew and I contacted S-Hollywood and explained to S-Hollywood based on his presence along with the active restraining order listing him as a restrained person from V-Rosenburg, the DA Office personnel had left in fear of him. S-Hollywood became extremely agitated and began to yell and exclaim he had paperwork to serve the DA Office, which was direct violation of the restraining order. I attempted to reason with S-Hollywood by explaining to him again all personnel had left. During the contact (16:21:21 hours), agitated, S-Hollywood rose off of the x-ray machine he was sitting on and activated his GoPro camera he wore on his chest; VIOLATION 166(a)(4), PC - LA County Superior Court Local Rules 2.17(b) - Prohibiting any person(s) from recording or photographing in the courthouse or courtroom without express permission from the presiding judge. I watched S-Hollywood press a button on the camera which responded by making clear and loud "beeping" sounds and shortly after, its screen activated.

At 16:22:18 hours, S-Hollywood indicated that he had our interaction recorded by stating, "I got it on camera what you said" to me.

At 16:24:43 hours, S-Hollywood stated the following despite being told he was not to go to the DA Office and no one was there to accept any paperwork, "I don't give a fuck. I'm still going to that office." "I don't give a fuck if they left. I'm still gonna go to that office, knock on the door, see if anybody's there, do my due diligence for my camera and my papers and if they're not there, I'm gonna drop em' through that fucking door. Ok. That's how I'm gonna handle it."

At this time, I spoke to LASD Sgt. C. Barreras and notified him S-Hollywood had violated a court order by turning on his GoPro camera but in attempt to de-escalate the situation and not to have to use force to effect an arrest, we would walk away and Sgt. C. Barreras agreed. Alhambra officers left the courthouse and Det. Lew and I returned to the DA Office to meet with Head Deputy District Attorney Nakao and waited until S-Hollywood had left.

25-14319

Shortly after leaving the Alhambra courthouse, Det. Lew and I were informed by APD Dispatch S-Hollywood was now at Alhambra Library (101 S. First St., Alhambra) and causing a disturbance and cursing at library staff. Moments after the initial call for service, the librarian re-contacted APD Dispatch and advised S-Hollywood was on the first floor fighting with another library goer. Patrol units were dispatched and Det. Lew and I arrived shortly after. Upon officers' arrival, the altercation had already dispersed. Library goers (minors) advised us (officers) S-Hollywood had returned to the second floor. APD officers and I located S-Hollywood standing next to the second-floor librarian desk on his cellphone. Det. Lew and I contacted S-Hollywood and asked S-Hollywood to leave the library, but argued and refused stating the librarian was picking on him and was "a racist dog". At this time, I requested to speak with Library Director Louhuan, Hilda Hiu Kwan whom stated she was desirous of 602(o)(1) PC - Trespassing if S-Hollywood continued to refuse to leave.

I returned to S-Hollywood and informed him if he would not leave, he would be arrested for trespassing. From the time officers arrived on the second floor and contacted S-Hollywood (1657 hours) to the time he was physically detained by me (1710 hours), Ofc. J. Rodriguez, Det. Lew, and I all attempted to reason with S-Hollywood and asked him to leave peacefully but S-Hollywood continuously refused and stated in summary we would have to arrest him. S-Hollywood then began to give his belongings to his girlfriend and explained to her how to use their home electronic keys to enter their residence. Once he finished explaining things to his girlfriend, I gave him clear verbal commands to turn around and place his hands behind his back and he followed the instructions. S-Hollywood was detained without incident and handcuffed (double-locked). I then searched him incident to arrest for weapons and/or contrabands and was met with negative results.

Sgt. Romero, Det. Lew, and I then escorted him from the library to APD Jail without incident and assisted with booking.

See Library Director Lohguan's Statement of Private Person Arrest form collected by Ofc. Sanchez #156. The following is Library Director's Lohguan's verbatim handwritten statement:

"Patron Arogant Hollywood came into the library. He was loud and agitated. When staff asked him to quiet down, he got even louder. Another library patron also asked him to be quiet as we are on the quiet floor of the library. He threatened to fight him. He was asked to leave the building multiple times but resisted leaving peacefully."

INTERVIEW - VICTIM: Deputy District Attorney B. Rosenburg

During the initial response to the Alhambra Courthouse/DA Office, the priority was to insure the safety of protected person DDA Rosenburg, DA/courthouse staff members, along with any other patrons. Once it was determined S-Hollywood was on the second floor, LA DA Office staff members were evacuated immediately to protect them and their personal vehicles (all with affixed license plates) from being seen by S-Hollywood to avoid harassment and possibly stalking. Due to the above, I was unable to interview V-Rosenberg in person and was attempting to do so once I returned to the Alhambra Police Department. However, I was unable to call V-Rosenburg after leaving the courthouse due to having to respond to the Alhambra Library.

Once S-Hollywood was booked in Alhambra Jail without incident, I contacted V-Rosenburg by phone and he stated the following in summary:

Copy for Author Review
Alhambra Police Department 4/20/2025 2:59:07 PM PDT

V-Rosenburg was afraid for his safety and the safety of his family after seeing S-Hollywood at the courthouse even after being served the restraining order. Furthermore, S-Hollywood had already violated the restraining order on the following dates/times by sending e-mails to V-Rosenburg himself and his coworkers.

Email #1:
From: Arogant Hollywood <arogantmusicinc@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 13, 2023 1:19 AM
To: rluna@lasd.org; atardy@lasd.org; bkhounthavong@lasd.org; rlewis@lasd.org; Brian Rosenberg <BRosenberg@da.lacounty.gov>; rakelrossi@gmail.com; rachel.rossi@usdoj.gov; AROGANTHOLLYWOODGENIUS@gmail.com
Subject: [External]DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, ROSSI, AND OTHER OFFICIALS WILL SEE TO IT THAT ROSENBERG AND KHOUNTHAVONG GO TO FEDERAL PRISION

Email #2:
From : arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Date : Tue,28 Nov 2023 12:02:40 -0800
Subject : AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. state of california, united states, county of los angeles, city of south pasadena, city of arcadia, et al [SECOND EMAIL] [REAL VIDEO THAT PROVES THAT I WAS FRAMED FOR VIOLATING CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE SECTION 422(a)
NOTE: the receiver list is too long to list. See copy of e-mail.

Email #3:
From: Cheryl Kaylor <cheridtitrader@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 13, 2024 6:14 PM
Subject: [External]OFFICIAL COMPLAINT OF ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD ON BEHALF OF AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. sherrif robert luna, et al.
NOTE: the receiver list is too long to list. See copy of e-mail.

Email #4:
From: Arogant Hollywood <arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, June 8, 2024 8:41 AM
Subject: people v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA114055) & UPCOMING FIRST CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT TITLED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. judy whitehurst, et al [DEMAND PEOPLE AND LASD IMMEDIATELY TURN OVER PHONY LASD GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GLO10586 & GLO10587
NOTE: the receiver list is too long to list. See copy of e-mail.

Email #5:
From: Arogant Hollywood <causeofaction40@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, June 8, 2024 8:58 AM
Subject: people v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA114055) & UPCOMING FIRST CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT TITLED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. judy whitehurst, et al [DEMAND PEOPLE AND LASD IMMEDIATELY TURN OVER PHONY LASD GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GLO10586 & GLO10587 [I GOT A LOT OF DIFFER...
NOTE: the receiver list is too long to list. See copy of e-mail.

These e-mails were in direct violation of the restraining order served to S-Hollywood for the protective order stated the following verbatim: "The restrained person must not contact the protected person(s) directly or indirectly, by any means including telephone, mail, email, or other electronic means - peaceful written contact through 3rd party for service of legal papers allowed."

CONCLUSION:
Based on the above, with the approval of Watch Commander Lt. Soriano, I placed

Copy Not Authorized Person
Alhambra Police Dept
Image 4/30/2025 2:58:30 PM

S-Hollywood, Arogant under arrest for the following:

166(a)(4) PC - Violating court order not to record within a courthouse pursuant to LA County Superior Court Local Rules 2.17(b) - Prohibiting any person(s) from recording or photographing in the courthouse or courtroom without express permission from the presiding judge.

166(a)(4) PC - Violating protective order by contacting V-Rosenburg directly and indirectly via email.

602(O)(2) PC Trespassing. Refusing to leave Alhambra Library upon the request of Library Director.

Along with an outstanding warrant 24PDCM0253401 for $1.00 for 7875(c)(7) municipal code.

After the booking process, I contacted LA County Parole whom granted the parole hold for violating parole, 3056 PC.

I authored a probable cause declaration which was granted by Honorable Judicial Officer S. Knight: 04-17-25; 1958 hours.

Follow-Up:
On Friday, 04-18-25, I called the Alhambra Courthouse and asked to speak to Building Administrator Lisa Jackson. She later returned my call with Director of Public Security Bryan Glass.

Lisa Jackson stated the following in summary: On Thursday, 04-17-25, sometime in the morning, S-Hollywood contacted the public line or administrative line and was transferred to Jackson. S-Hollywood called to meet with Jackson to file paperwork/motions to his case that V-Rosenburg was assigned to. Jackson Spoke to Honorable Presiding Judge Villalobos who allowed Jackson to allow S-Hollywood to come and file motions to court. Jackson stated LASD should have acted as security for the DA Office.

This concluded the phone call. I later e-mail Jackson my contact information and the report number per her request. She never responded to my e-mail.

-End of Report-

Copy for Authorized Person
Alhambra Police Dept
on 4/28/25 at 8:30 PM

Sentryx Booking Information:

Sentryx Booking Number: 11693 **Name Number:** 18266

Name: HOLLYWOOD, AROGANT **Address:** 2308 FELICIA AVE
Phone: (206)471-1344 HGHTS, CA 91748
DOB: 12/09 78 **Dr Lic:** F2776523
Assigned Bed: " **Current Location:** "
Booking Date: 04/17 25

Sentryx Arrest # 25-0596

Time/Date: 16:50:26 04/17/25 **Agency:** ALH
Age at Arrest: 46 **Location:** 101 S FIRST ST; ALHAMBRA PUBLIC LIBRARY **Officer:** YANG B 276
Arrest Type: BOOK **Area:** DIS6 **Reference:**
Disposition: MIS

Sentryx Offense # 21359

Statute: 602(M) PC M (32100) **NCIC:**
Offense: 90J **Crime Class:** M
Offense Reference: **Offense Type:** S **Offense Area:** DIS6
Related Incident: 25-14319 **Law Jurisdiction:** CAS
Entry Code: **Offense Location:**
Court Code: **Offense Time/Date:** 16:50:26 04/17/25
Offense Disposition: **Disposition Date:** **/**/**

Sentryx Offense # 21415

Statute: 166(A)(4) PC M (50106) **NCIC:**
Offense: 90Z **Crime Class:** M
Offense Reference: **Offense Type:** S **Offense Area:** DIS6
Related Incident: 25-14319 **Law Jurisdiction:** CAS
Entry Code: **Offense Location:**
Court Code: **Offense Time/Date:** 17:15:00 04/17/25
Offense Disposition: **Disposition Date:** **/**/**

Sentryx Offense # 21416

Statute: 3056 PC F (50075) **NCIC:**
Offense: 90Z **Crime Class:** F
Offense Reference: **Offense Type:** S **Offense Area:** DIS6
Related Incident: 25-14319 **Law Jurisdiction:** CAS
Entry Code: **Offense Location:**
Court Code: **Offense Time/Date:** 17:15:00 04/17/25
Offense Disposition: **Disposition Date:** **/**/**

Sentryx Offense # 21417

Alhambra Police Dept
Authorized Person
MPalon 4/20/25
COPY NOT

Statute:	O/W-MISD (66143)	**NCIC:**	
Offense:	WAR	**Crime Class:**	M
Offense Reference:	**Offense Type:** S	**Offense Area:**	DIS6
Related Incident:	25-14319	**Law Jurisdiction:**	CAS
Entry Code:		**Offense Location:**	
Court Code:	PSC	**Offense Time/Date:**	17:15:00 04/17/25
Offense Disposition:		**Disposition Date:**	**/**/**

Copy for Authorized Person
Alhambra Police Dept
MPajon 4/20/2025 2:59:31 PM

Name Involvements:

Victim : 31418
Last: ROSENBERG **First:** BRIAN **Mid:** MARK
DOB: 03/24/87 **Dr Lic:** **Address:** 150 W COMMONWEALTH AVE; ALHAMBRA SUPERIOR COURT
Race: W **Sex:** M **Phone:** (626)308-5437 **City:** ALHAMBRA, CA 91801

Victim : 70701
Last: ALHAMBRA LIBRARY **First:** **Mid:**
DOB: **/**/** **Dr Lic:** **Address:** 101 S FIRST ST; ALHAMBRA PUBLIC LIBRARY
Race: **Sex:** **Phone:** **City:** ALHAMBRA, CA 91801

Suspect : 18266
Last: HOLLYWOOD **First:** AROGANT **Mid:**
DOB: 12/09/78 **Dr Lic:** F2776523 **Address:** 2308 FELICIA AVE
Race: B **Sex:** M **Phone:** (206)471-1344 **City:** HGHTS, CA 91748

Other : 68804
Last: LOHGUAN **First:** HILDA **Mid:** HIU
DOB: [redacted] **Dr Lic:** [redacted] **Address:** [redacted]
Race: A **Sex:** F **Phone:** [redacted] **City:**

Copy for Authorized Person
Alhambra Police Dept
MP3jon 4/20/2025 2:59:31 PM

ALHAMBRA POLICE DEPARTMENT

Police Report for Incident 25-14319

Nature: 415UN UNWANT SU

Address: 101 S FIRST ST; ALHAMBRA PUBLIC LIBRARY ALHAMBRA CA 91801

Location: DIS6

Offense Codes: 90J, 90Z, 90Z, 90Z, WAR
Received By: ALVAREZ J 711 **How Received:** T **Agency:** ALH
Responding Officers: ROMERO J 104, SANCHEZ J 156, RODRIGUEZ J 101, SORIANO R 230, YANG B 276, CHEN E 114, MARTINEZ E 295
Responsible Officer: YANG B 276 **Disposition:** ACT 04/17/25
When Reported: 16:50:26 04/17/25 **Occurred Between:** 16:50:14 04/17/25 and 16:50:26 04/17/25

Complainant:

Last: **First:** **Mid:**
DOB: **/**/** **Dr Lic:** **Address:**
Race: **Sex:** **Phone:** **City:**

Offense Codes

Reported: **Observed:**
Additional Offense: 90J TRESPASS OF REAL PROPERTY
Additional Offense: 90Z ALL OTHER OFFENSES
Additional Offense: 90Z ALL OTHER OFFENSES
Additional Offense: 90Z ALL OTHER OFFENSES
Additional Offense: WAR OUTSIDE WARRANTS

Circumstances

8DSUC De-Escalation Successful

Responding Officers:	Unit :
ROMERO J 104	2S
SANCHEZ J 156	201
RODRIGUEZ J 101	202
SORIANO R 230	2L
YANG B 276	4D
CHEN E 114	7Z
MARTINEZ E 295	

Responsible Officer: YANG B 276 **Agency:** ALH

Alhambra Police Dept Authorized Person Copy Verification 4/20/2025 2:03:29 PM

Received By:	ALVAREZ J 711	**Last Radio Log:**	18:23:10 04/17/25 1098
How Received:	T Telephone	**Clearance:**	BKG BOOKING
When Reported:	16:50:26 04/17/25	**Disposition:**	ACT **Date:** 04/17/25
Judicial Status:		**Occurred between:**	16:50:14 04/17/25
Misc Entry:		**and:**	16:50:26 04/17/25

Modus Operandi:	**Description :**	**Method :**
Demeanor	Personal Demeanor	Profane

Involvements

Date	Type	Description	Relationship
04/20/25	Name	ROSENBERG, BRIAN MARK	Victim
04/20/25	Name	LOHGUAN, HILDA HIU	Other
04/20/25	Name	ALHAMBRA LIBRARY.	Victim
04/17/25	Name	HOLLYWOOD, AROGANT	Suspect
04/17/25	Offense	Offense#: 21359 - M - 1 count	Charged With
04/17/25	Offense	Offense#: 21415 - M - 2 counts	Charged With
04/17/25	Offense	Offense#: 21416 - F - 1 count	Charged With
04/17/25	Offense	Offense#: 21417 - M - 1 count	Charged With
04/17/25	Cad Call	16:50:26 04/17/25 415UN UNWANT SU	Initiating Call

Copy for Authorized Perso
Alhambra Police Dept
MPajon 4/20/2025 2:59:09 PM

Supplement

25-14319

On 04-18-2025 (approx. 1530 hours), I (Det. Yang #276) was contacted by Head Deputy District Attorney C. Nakao and (Victim- Deputy District Attorney Brian Rosenburg) via phone, who advised a known restrained person (Suspect- Hollywood, Arogant) had made entry into the courthouse. On 02-05-2025, Los Angeles District Attorney's Office Bureau of Investigation released a Safety Information bulletin on S-Hollywood and warned of his abrasive and threatening behavior towards personnel of the Los Angeles District Attorney's Office.

S-Hollywood's History:

S-Hollywood is a known person most Alhambra PD officers based on the number of contacts and contacts resulting in S-Hollywood's arrest. Just from September 2021 to August 2022, Alhambra PD documented 23 encounters and of those 23 encounters, S-Hollywood was arrested seven times. Majority of the calls for services were regarding him being in violation of court order, an unwanted subject, or a subject causing a disturbance.

Prison Term On 2023, S-Hollywood was convicted of 422 PC - Threats to life and was placed on felony probation. After his conviction from his case, S-Hollywood began to continuously harass Victim DDA Rosenburg who was assigned his case. Due to S-Hollywood's nonstop harassing behavior towards V-Rosenburg, V-Rosenburg obtained a restraining order against S-Hollywood. The restraining order (23PDR001713) was granted/issued on 12-20-2023 with the expiration date of 12-20-2026 and served to S-Hollywood on 12-28-2023 at 1033 hours. Nevertheless, S-Hollywood continued his behavior and was admitted to state prison on 03-01-2024 and released on 04-17-2024 and placed on parole for 422(a) PC which expires 10-06-2025.

After S-Hollywood was released from state prison, the LA County District Attorney's Office personnel distributed a bulletin advising to keep a look out and to contact security for assistance if needed if confronted by S-Hollywood. This bulletin was also distributed to the Alhambra Police Department. Reference LADA-BOI 2024-W-0985.

Investigation:

I asked Head Deputy DA Nakao and V-Rosenburg how S-Hollywood was able to gain entry, despite non-sworn security personnel and Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department (LASD) deputies knowing he was restrained. It was explained S-Hollywood had made an appointment with Building Administrator (BA) Lisa Jackson who granted S-Hollywood permission to enter and notified LASD deputies to allow him in. However, BA Lisa Jackson nor LASD personnel contacted V-Rosenburg or the District Attorney's Office.

Det. Lew #285 and I responded to the Alhambra Superior Courthouse (150 W. Commonwealth Ave., Alhambra), both wearing exterior vests with "POLICE" patches displayed. Upon arrival, we were told by LASD S-Hollywood was on the second floor attempting to file paperwork in the clerk's office with his girlfriend. At the time, he was not causing a disturbance or being violent.

Due to S-Hollywood being on the second floor, Det. Lew and I returned to the DA's Office on the first floor to speak to V-Rosenburg. V-Rosenburg stated he, nor the Head Deputy of the DA Office was notified S-Hollywood would be coming. Earlier, V-Rosenburg was walking to his vehicle parked in the court's parking

COPY Alhambra Police Department Authorized Personnel Only 4/28/2025

lot when he saw S-Hollywood walking towards him from Second St. V-Rosenburg was unsure if S-Hollywood saw him, but in fear for his safety, he quickly ran back into the DA office and notified Head Deputy Nakao. At the time, I believed it would be an "interest of justice" to arrest S-Hollywood for violating the court order when another Manager from the courthouse allowed him entry. In fear for his safety and the safety of his family if S-Hollywood were to follow him and/or obtain his vehicle's description and/or license plate, V-Rosenburg asked Det. Lew and I to assist with escorting him out of the building. Det. Lew and I escorted V-Rosenburg out of the building quickly and returned back to the DA Office. Based on S-Hollywood's history of disruptive and harassing behavior, the DA Office requested Det. Lew and I provide security while all staff members gather their belongings, enter their personal vehicles, and leave the court's parking lot. Shortly after, all personnel were escorted out quickly while S-Hollywood was still at the Clerk's Office.
As Det. Lew and I were returning to the DA Office to meet with Head Deputy Nakao, we were notified by non-sworn security S-Hollywood was on the first floor and attempting to go to the DA Office. Det. Lew and I located S-Hollywood on the first floor (north end) and sitting on a x-ray machine and talking to LASD Sgt. C. Barreras whom had placed himself between the DA Office door and S-Hollywood and
was attempting to reason with him and explain that the DA Office had closed for the day.

Det. Lew and I contacted S-Hollywood and explained to S-Hollywood based on his presence along with the active restraining order listing him as a restrained person from V-Rosenburg, the DA Office personnel had left in fear of him. S-Hollywood became extremely agitated and began to yell and exclaim he had paperwork to serve the DA Office, which was direct violation of the restraining order. I attempted to reason with S-Hollywood by explaining to him again all personnel had left. During the contact (16:21:21 hours), agitated, S-Hollywood rose off of the x-ray machine he was sitting on and activated his GoPro camera he wore on his chest; VIOLATION 166(a)(4), PC - LA County Superior Court Local Rules 2.17(b) - Prohibiting any person(s) from recording or photographing in the courthouse or courtroom without express permission from the presiding judge. I watched S-Hollywood press a button on the camera which responded by making clear and loud "beeping" sounds and shortly after, its screen activated.

At 16:22:18 hours, S-Hollywood indicated that he had our interaction recorded by stating, "I got it on camera what you said" to me.

At 16:24:43 hours, S-Hollywood stated the following despite being told he was not to go to the DA Office and no one was there to accept any paperwork, "I don't give a fuck. I'm still going to that office." "I don't give a fuck if they left. I'm still gonna go to that office, knock on the door, see if anybody's there, do my due diligence for my camera and my papers and if they're not there, I'm gonna drop em' through that fucking door. Ok. That's how I'm gonna handle it."

At this time, I spoke to LASD Sgt. C. Barreras and notified him S-Hollywood had violated a court order by turning on his GoPro camera but in attempt to de-escalate the situation and not to have to use force to effect an arrest, we would walk away and Sgt. C. Barreras agreed. Alhambra officers left the courthouse and Det. Lew and I returned to the DA Office to meet with Head Deputy District Attorney Nakao and waited until S-Hollywood had left.

25-14319

Shortly after leaving the Alhambra courthouse, Det. Lew and I were informed by APD Dispatch S-Hollywood was now at Alhambra Library (101 S. First St., Alhambra) and causing a disturbance and cursing at library staff. Moments after the initial call for service, the librarian re-contacted APD Dispatch and advised S-Hollywood was on the first floor fighting with another library goer. Patrol units were dispatched and Det. Lew and I arrived shortly after. Upon officers' arrival, the altercation had already dispersed. Library goers (minors) advised us (officers) S-Hollywood had returned to the second floor. APD officers and I located S-Hollywood standing next to the second-floor librarian desk on his cellphone. Det. Lew and I contacted S-Hollywood and asked S-Hollywood to leave the library, but argued and refused stating the librarian was picking on him and was "a racist dog". At this time, I requested to speak with Library Director Louhuan, Hilda Hiu Kwan whom stated she was desirous of 602(o)(1) PC - Trespassing if S-Hollywood continued to refuse to leave.

I returned to S-Hollywood and informed him if he would not leave, he would be arrested for trespassing. From the time officers arrived on the second floor and contacted S-Hollywood (1657 hours) to the time he was physically detained by me (1710 hours), Ofc. J. Rodriguez, Det. Lew, and I all attempted to reason with S-Hollywood and asked him to leave peacefully but S-Hollywood continuously refused and stated in summary we would have to arrest him. S-Hollywood then began to give his belongings to his girlfriend and explained to her how to use their home electronic keys to enter their residence. Once he finished explaining things to his girlfriend, I gave him clear verbal commands to turn around and place his hands behind his back and he followed the instructions. S-Hollywood was detained without incident and handcuffed (double-locked). I then searched him incident to arrest for weapons and/or contrabands and was met with negative results.

Sgt. Romero, Det. Lew, and I then escorted him from the library to APD Jail without incident and assisted with booking.

See Library Director Lohguan's Statement of Private Person Arrest form collected by Ofc. Sanchez #156. The following is Library Director's Lohguan's verbatim handwritten statement:

"Patron Arogant Hollywood came into the library. He was loud and agitated. When staff asked him to quiet down, he got even louder. Another library patron also asked him to be quiet as we are on the quiet floor of the library. He threatened to fight him. He was asked to leave the building multiple times but resisted leaving peacefully."

INTERVIEW - VICTIM: Deputy District Attorney B. Rosenburg

During the initial response to the Alhambra Courthouse/DA Office, the priority was to insure the safety of protected person DDA Rosenburg, DA/courthouse staff members, along with any other patrons. Once it was determined S-Hollywood was on the second floor, LA DA Office staff members were evacuated immediately to protect them and their personal vehicles (all with affixed license plates) from being seen by S-Hollywood to avoid harassment and possibly stalking. Due to the above, I was unable to interview V-Rosenberg in person and was attempting to do so once I returned to the Alhambra Police Department. However, I was unable to call V-Rosenburg after leaving the courthouse due to having to respond to the Alhambra Library.

Once S-Hollywood was booked in Alhambra Jail without incident, I contacted V-Rosenburg by phone and he stated the following in summary:

Copy for Author Review
Alhambra Police Department 4/20/2025 2:59:07 PM PDT

V-Rosenburg was afraid for his safety and the safety of his family after seeing S-Hollywood at the courthouse even after being served the restraining order. Furthermore, S-Hollywood had already violated the restraining order on the following dates/times by sending e-mails to V-Rosenburg himself and his coworkers.

Email #1:
From: Arogant Hollywood <arogantmusicinc@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 13, 2023 1:19 AM
To: rluna@lasd.org; atardy@lasd.org; bkhounthavong@lasd.org; rlewis@lasd.org; Brian Rosenberg <BRosenberg@da.lacounty.gov>; rakelrossi@gmail.com; rachel.rossi@usdoj.gov; AROGANTHOLLYWOODGENIUS@gmail.com
Subject: [External]DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, ROSSI, AND OTHER OFFICIALS WILL SEE TO IT THAT ROSENBERG AND KHOUNTHAVONG GO TO FEDERAL PRISION

Email #2:
From : arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Date : Tue,28 Nov 2023 12:02:40 -0800
Subject : AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. state of california, united states, county of los angeles, city of south pasadena, city of arcadia, et al [SECOND EMAIL] [REAL VIDEO THAT PROVES THAT I WAS FRAMED FOR VIOLATING CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE SECTION 422(a)
NOTE: the receiver list is too long to list. See copy of e-mail.

Email #3:
From: Cheryl Kaylor <cheridtitrader@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 13, 2024 6:14 PM
Subject: [External]OFFICIAL COMPLAINT OF ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD ON BEHALF OF AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. sherrif robert luna, et al.
NOTE: the receiver list is too long to list. See copy of e-mail.

Email #4:
From: Arogant Hollywood <arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, June 8, 2024 8:41 AM
Subject: people v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA114055) & UPCOMING FIRST CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT TITLED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. judy whitehurst, et al [DEMAND PEOPLE AND LASD IMMEDIATELY TURN OVER PHONY LASD GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GLO10586 & GLO10587
NOTE: the receiver list is too long to list. See copy of e-mail.

Email #5:
From: Arogant Hollywood <causeofaction40@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, June 8, 2024 8:58 AM
Subject: people v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA114055) & UPCOMING FIRST CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT TITLED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. judy whitehurst, et al [DEMAND PEOPLE AND LASD IMMEDIATELY TURN OVER PHONY LASD GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GLO10586 & GLO10587 [I GOT A LOT OF DIFFER...
NOTE: the receiver list is too long to list. See copy of e-mail.

These e-mails were in direct violation of the restraining order served to S-Hollywood for the protective order stated the following verbatim: "The restrained person must not contact the protected person(s) directly or indirectly, by any means including telephone, mail, email, or other electronic means - peaceful written contact through 3rd party for service of legal papers allowed."

CONCLUSION:
Based on the above, with the approval of Watch Commander Lt. Soriano, I placed

Copy Not Authorized Person
Alhambra Police Dept
Image 4/30/2025 2:58:30 PM

S-Hollywood, Arogant under arrest for the following:

166(a)(4) PC - Violating court order not to record within a courthouse pursuant to LA County Superior Court Local Rules 2.17(b) - Prohibiting any person(s) from recording or photographing in the courthouse or courtroom without express permission from the presiding judge.

166(a)(4) PC - Violating protective order by contacting V-Rosenburg directly and indirectly via email.

602(O)(2) PC Trespassing. Refusing to leave Alhambra Library upon the request of Library Director.

Along with an outstanding warrant 24PDCM0253401 for $1.00 for 7875(c)(7) municipal code.

After the booking process, I contacted LA County Parole whom granted the parole hold for violating parole, 3056 PC.

I authored a probable cause declaration which was granted by Honorable Judicial Officer S. Knight: 04-17-25; 1958 hours.

Follow-Up:
On Friday, 04-18-25, I called the Alhambra Courthouse and asked to speak to Building Administrator Lisa Jackson. She later returned my call with Director of Public Security Bryan Glass.

Lisa Jackson stated the following in summary: On Thursday, 04-17-25, sometime in the morning, S-Hollywood contacted the public line or administrative line and was transferred to Jackson. S-Hollywood called to meet with Jackson to file paperwork/motions to his case that V-Rosenburg was assigned to. Jackson Spoke to Honorable Presiding Judge Villalobos who allowed Jackson to allow S-Hollywood to come and file motions to court. Jackson stated LASD should have acted as security for the DA Office.

This concluded the phone call. I later e-mail Jackson my contact information and the report number per her request. She never responded to my e-mail.

-End of Report-

Copy for Authorized Person
Alhambra Police Dept
on 4/28/25 at 8:30 PM

Sentryx Booking Information:

Sentryx Booking Number: 11693 **Name Number:** 18266

Name: HOLLYWOOD, AROGANT **Address:** 2308 FELICIA AVE
Phone: (206)471-1344 HGHTS, CA 91748
DOB: 12/09 78 **Dr Lic:** F2776523
Assigned Bed: " **Current Location:** "
Booking Date: 04/17 25

Sentryx Arrest # 25-0596

Time/Date: 16:50:26 04/17/25 **Agency:** ALH
Age at Arrest: 46 **Location:** 101 S FIRST ST; ALHAMBRA PUBLIC LIBRARY **Officer:** YANG B 276
Arrest Type: BOOK **Area:** DIS6 **Reference:**
Disposition: MIS

Sentryx Offense # 21359

Statute: 602(M) PC M (32100) **NCIC:**
Offense: 90J **Crime Class:** M
Offense Reference: **Offense Type:** S **Offense Area:** DIS6
Related Incident: 25-14319 **Law Jurisdiction:** CAS
Entry Code: **Offense Location:**
Court Code: **Offense Time/Date:** 16:50:26 04/17/25
Offense Disposition: **Disposition Date:** **/**/**

Sentryx Offense # 21415

Statute: 166(A)(4) PC M (50106) **NCIC:**
Offense: 90Z **Crime Class:** M
Offense Reference: **Offense Type:** S **Offense Area:** DIS6
Related Incident: 25-14319 **Law Jurisdiction:** CAS
Entry Code: **Offense Location:**
Court Code: **Offense Time/Date:** 17:15:00 04/17/25
Offense Disposition: **Disposition Date:** **/**/**

Sentryx Offense # 21416

Statute: 3056 PC F (50075) **NCIC:**
Offense: 90Z **Crime Class:** F
Offense Reference: **Offense Type:** S **Offense Area:** DIS6
Related Incident: 25-14319 **Law Jurisdiction:** CAS
Entry Code: **Offense Location:**
Court Code: **Offense Time/Date:** 17:15:00 04/17/25
Offense Disposition: **Disposition Date:** **/**/**

Sentryx Offense # 21417

Alhambra Police Dept
Authorized Person
MPalon 4/20/25
COPY NOT

Statute:	O/W-MISD (66143)	**NCIC:**	
Offense:	WAR	**Crime Class:**	M
Offense Reference:	**Offense Type:** S	**Offense Area:**	DIS6
Related Incident:	25-14319	**Law Jurisdiction:**	CAS
Entry Code:		**Offense Location:**	
Court Code:	PSC	**Offense Time/Date:**	17:15:00 04/17/25
Offense Disposition:		**Disposition Date:**	**/**/**

Copy for Authorized Person
Alhambra Police Dept
MPajon 4/20/2025 2:59:31 PM

Name Involvements:

Victim : 31418
Last: ROSENBERG **First:** BRIAN **Mid:** MARK
DOB: 03/24/87 **Dr Lic:** **Address:** 150 W COMMONWEALTH AVE; ALHAMBRA SUPERIOR COURT
Race: W **Sex:** M **Phone:** (626)308-5437 **City:** ALHAMBRA, CA 91801

Victim : 70701
Last: ALHAMBRA LIBRARY **First:** **Mid:**
DOB: **/**/** **Dr Lic:** **Address:** 101 S FIRST ST; ALHAMBRA PUBLIC LIBRARY
Race: **Sex:** **Phone:** **City:** ALHAMBRA, CA 91801

Suspect : 18266
Last: HOLLYWOOD **First:** AROGANT **Mid:**
DOB: 12/09/78 **Dr Lic:** F2776523 **Address:** 2308 FELICIA AVE
Race: B **Sex:** M **Phone:** (206)471-1344 **City:** HGHTS, CA 91748

Other : 68804
Last: LOHGUAN **First:** HILDA **Mid:** HIU
DOB: [redacted] **Dr Lic:** [redacted] **Address:** [redacted]
Race: A **Sex:** F **Phone:** [redacted] **City:**

Copy for Authorized Person
Alhambra Police Dept
MP3jon 4/20/2025 2:59:31 PM

LOS ANGELES COUNTY
BOOKING AND PROPERTY RECORD

BOOKING No	LOCAL AGENCY No	FBI No	ARN No	DL LIC No / STATE
6999999	25-0596			F2776523/CA
MAIN No. 33178878	SID / CII No. 31858966			

SUSPECT PHOTO



ARRESTEE'S NAME (LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE)	HOME PHONE	DNA STATUS
HOLLYWOOD, AROGANT	(206) 471-1344	

ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP	DNA COLLECTED BY
2308 FELICIA AVE ROWLAND HEIGHTS CA 91748	

SEX	DESCENT	HAIR	EYES	HEIGHT	WEIGHT	BIRTHDATE	AGE	DATE DNA COLLECTED
M	B	BLK	BRO	605	245	12-09-1978	46	

VEH LIC No. ST	RPT DIST	AKA/NICKNAME
	1901	WALTON, JOHN CLYDE

BIRTHPLACE	CITIZENSHIP	ARR. AGY. / DETAIL	MONIKER	AD CHG	LIVESCAN OPERATOR(S)
WA	US	1901	MON/KING AROGANT	YES	

FILE No	DATE & TIME ARRESTED	TIME BKD
2514319	04-17-2025 1715	1719

LOCATION OF ARREST	TOTAL BAIL
101 S FIRST ST; ALHAMBRA PUBLI	0

CHARGE	WARR /COMM No
602(o)(2) PC /M/ 001	

JAIL LOC	ARRAIGNMENT DATE	TIME	COURT	PRISONER'S SIGNATURE WHEN BOOKED
1901	04-21-2025	0830	ALH	X

SOC SEC No	OBSERVABLE PHYSICAL ODDITIES	OCCUPATION
553-92-2022		UNEMPLOYED

EMPLOYER (FIRM OR PERSON'S NAME, CITY & PHONE No.)	SPECIAL MEDICAL PROBLEMS
NONE	NONE

CLOTHING WORN	LOCATION OR DISPOSITION OF VEHICLE
YLLW/BRN JACKET BLK SHOE	

IN CASE OF EMERGENCY NOTIFY (NAME, RELATIONSHIP, ADDRESS, CITY & PHONE)

NONE

ARRESTING OFFICER	BOOKING EMPLOYEE	SEARCHING OFFICER	TRANSPORTING OFFICER
YANG 276	SERRATO 5834	YANG 276	YANG 276

CASH RETAINED	PROPERTY
$0.00	CLOTHING WORN

PRISONER'S SIGNATURE FOR RECEIPT OF FOREGOING CASH & PROPERTY

CASH DEPOSITED	PROPERTY
0.00	MISC PAPER BLK LACES

PRISONER'S SIGNATURE FOR RECEIPT OF REMAINING CASH & PROPERTY

20250417 20:38:47

Alhambra Police Dept
Copy for Arrestee
CAndrade 7/1/2025 12:09:21 PM

CERTIFIED COPY
ALHAMBRA POLICE DEPARTMENT
I hereby certify that the document to which this is affixed is a true copy of the original document on file at the Alhambra Police Dept.
File # 25-14319 Date 07/01/25
M. Ung 630
Police Records Manager/Custodian of Records

RIGHT FOUR IN	RIGHT THUMB IN	RIGHT THUMB OUT	RIGHT FOUR OUT



CII # A31858966
FBI # 224797FB8
MAIN # 33178878
D/L # F2776523
JAIL #

ADDITIONAL CHARGE	WARRANT NUMBER	ARRIGN DATE	TIME	COURT
166(A)(4)PC / M / 001 CONTEMPT OF COURT - DISOBEY COURT ORDER				
166(A)(4) PC / M / 001 CONTEMPT OF COURT - DISOBEY COURT ORDER				
3056 PC 7875 (c)(1) / AM	24PDCM025340l			Pasadena

TELEPHONE CALLS

INTERVIEWS – Code: A = ATTY, B = BONDSMAN, D = DOCTOR, E = EMPLOYER, R = RELATIVE, W = WAIVED, O = OTHER

NAME	CODE	PHONE# OR INTERVIEWER	DATE & TIME MADE	OFFICER HANDLING SERIAL#	PRISONER'S INITIALS
BAIL DEVIATION (800) 773-5151	O	(213) 351-0311	04/17/25 @ 2052	5834	UNABLE TO SIGN
	W				
	W				
	W				

REMARKS

X COMPLETED

Misdemeanor hold:

____ NO IDENTIFICATION
____ WARRANT
____ ON GOING PROBLEM
____ NON-CITABLE MISDEMEANOR
____ OTHER: _____

APPROVED BY
WATCH COMMANDER

REASON FOR RELEASE ___ EXPIRATION ___ FINE ____CITATION ____BAIL ____OTHER

RECEIPT #	DATE AND TIME
RELEASED BY	DOCUMENT ANALYST

RELEASED TO (NAME, AGENCY & DETAIL)

Alhambra Police Dept
Copy for Arrestee
CAndrade 7/1/2025 12:09:25 PM

RECORD OF PROPERTY TRANSACTIONS

CODE: A = ADD W = WITHDRAW I = INSPECT E = REMOVE FOR EVIDENCE

NAME OF PERSON ADDING, WITHDRAWING OR INSPECTING	OFFICER HANDLING SERIAL #	PRISONER'S SIGNATURE AUTHORIZING WITHDRAW	CODE	DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY	DATE AND TIME

ALHAMBRA ~~POLICE DEPARTMENT~~ JAIL
LIVESCAN ENTRY QUALITY CONTROL

ENTERED BY: _____ DATE: _____

VERIFIED BY: 196 DATE: 041725

OFFICER MAKING FINAL RELEASE	DATE AND TIME	I DO HEREBY ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF ALL MY REMAINING PROPERTY SIGNATURE

CA DMV IMAGES

BOOKING IMAGES



COPY

Record List - Total:14

CallID	When Reported	Typ	Nature	P	Location	Cty
21AL36868	07:40:09 09/27/21	I	415UN UNWANT SU	2	150 W COMMONWEALTH AVE; ALHAMBRA SUPERIOR COURT	ALH
21AL36962	17:41:41 09/27/21	I	BOA	3	150 W COMMONWEALTH AVE; ALHAMBRA SUPERIOR COURT	ALH
21AL37086	14:40:03 09/28/21	I	415UN UNWANT SU	2	150 W COMMONWEALTH AVE; ALHAMBRA SUPERIOR COURT	ALH
21AL37089	15:07:35 09/28/21	I	422R THREATS	3	150 W COMMONWEALTH AVE; ALHAMBRA SUPERIOR COURT	ALH
21AL37101	18:21:56 09/28/21	I	415 DISTURBANCE	2	150 W COMMONWEALTH AVE; ALHAMBRA SUPERIOR COURT	ALH
22AL22621	14:25:15 06/15/22	I	415UN UNWANT SU	2	150 W COMMONWEALTH AVE; ALHAMBRA SUPERIOR COURT	ALH
22AL22831	06:02:19 06/17/22	I	415UN UNWANT SU	2	150 W COMMONWEALTH AVE; ALHAMBRA SUPERIOR COURT	ALH
22AL38090	08:37:54 10/05/22	I	415UN UNWANT SU	2	150 W COMMONWEALTH AVE; ALHAMBRA SUPERIOR COURT	ALH
25AL05235	14:14:51 02/06/25	I	RESTRAINING ORD	2	150 W COMMONWEALTH AVE; ALHAMBRA SUPERIOR COURT	ALH
25AL05260	16:13:10 02/06/25	I	OFCR INITIATE	2	150 W COMMONWEALTH AVE; ALHAMBRA SUPERIOR COURT	ALH
25AL06560	17:18:23 02/15/25	I	OFCR INITIATE	2	150 W COMMONWEALTH AVE; ALHAMBRA SUPERIOR COURT	ALH
25AL15260	15:27:18 04/17/25	I	VIOL CRT ORDER	2	150 W COMMONWEALTH AVE	ALH
25AL15261	15:29:19 04/17/25	I	VIOL CRT ORDER	2	150 W COMMONWEALTH AVE; ALHAMBRA SUPERIOR COURT	ALH
25AL17241	09:38:49 05/02/25	I	BE ON LOOKOUT	2	150 W COMMONWEALTH AVE; ALHAMBRA SUPERIOR COURT	ALH

CERTIFIED COPY
ALHAMBRA POLICE DEPARTMENT
I hereby certify that the document to which this is affixed is a true copy of the original document on file at the Alhambra Police Dept.
File # — Date 07/01/25
M. Ung 630
Police Records Manager/Custodian of Records

FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles

JUN 23 2025

David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court
By: C. Fuentes, Deputy

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
BOOKING NUMBER 7023062

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
CENTRAL DISTRICT, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
STANLEY MOSK SUPERIOR COURTHOUSE

County of Los Angeles brian mark Rosenberg Respondent vs. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD ***WRONGFULLY INCARCERATED*** Petitioner(s)	**Case No.: Number 25STRO03574** **PROOF OF SERVICE BY AROGANT HOLLYWOOD** **HEARING: JUNE 30TH, 2025** **TIME: 8:30AM** **DEPARTMENT: 25**

I DECLARE THAT ON MAY 22, 2025, I SERVED ONE COPY OF THE 2ND VERIFIED APPENDIX EXHIBITS WHICH IS IDENTICAL TO THE 1ST APPENDIX OF EXHIBITS FILED IN 25CJCM06162-01 UPON: COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES EXECUTIVE OFFICE - NATHAN JOSEPH HOCHMAN AT 211 W. TEMPLE ST. SUITE 1200 LOS ANGELES, CA 90012.

I DECLARE THAT ON JUNE 18, 2025, I SERVED THE FOLLOWING: 1. RESPONDENT'S MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE 2. RESPONDENT'S EXHIBIT EMAIL PUBLIC COMMENT UPON: COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES EXECUTIVE OFFICE AT 500 W. TEMPLE STREET #383, LOS ANGELES, CA 90012.

I DECLARE UNDER THE PENALTY OF PERJURY, UNDER THE LAWS AND CONSTITUTION OF CALIFORNIA AND THE UNITED STATES THAT THE FOREGOING IS TRUE.

Arogant Hollywood 06/18/2025

PROOF OF SERVICE BY AROGANT HOLLYWOODHEARING: JUNE 30TH, 2025TIME: 8:30AMDEPARTMENT: 25 - 1
CASE NO.: NUMBER 25CJCM02162-01

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

RECEIVED
JUN 2 1 2025
FAMILY LAW

CONFORMED COPY
ORIGINAL FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles

MAY 12 2025

David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq.
1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD.
PASADENA, CA 91106
Mobile: 206.471.1344
arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted
Spirit of Esquire

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
CENTRAL DISTRICT, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
CLARA SHORTRIDGE-FOLTZ COURTHOUSE

people of the state of california
nathan joseph hochman (SBN # 139137)
brian mark rosenberg (SBN # 291501)

Prosecutors,

vs.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD,
WRONGFULLY CHARGED

Defendant,

CASE NO. 25CJCM02162-01
LASC: Honorable Mark Windham
Ctrm: Department 45
210 West Temple Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

WRONGFULLY CHARGED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S SECOND VERIFIED APPENDIX OF EXHIBITS IN SUPPORT OF HIS RESPONSE TO JUDICIAL OFFICER MARK WINDHAM'S BAIL REVOCATION, IN SUPPORT OF HIS MOTION TO DISMISS, IN SUPPORT OF CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE § 745 MOTION, IN SUPPORT OF HIS MOTION TO COMPEL, AND IN SUPPORT OF HIS UPCOMING FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT CIVIL RIGHTS LAWSUITS, EXHIBITS 1-10
Date of Hearing: May 12, 2025

VERIFICATION OF APPENDIX OF EXHIBITS

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Case No. 25CJCM02162-01

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq.
1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD.
PASADENA, CA 91106
Mobile: 206.471.1344
aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted
Spirit of Esquire

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
CENTRAL DISTRICT, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
CLARA SHORTRIDGE-FOLTZ COURTHOUSE

---

people of the state of california
nathan joseph hochman (SBN # 139137)
brian mark rosenberg (SBN # 291501)

Prosecutors,

vs.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD,
WRONGFULLY CHARGED

Defendant,

CASE NO. 25CJCM02162-01
LASC: Honorable Mark Windham
Ctrm: Department 45
210 West Temple Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

WRONGFULLY CHARGED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S SECOND VERIFIED APPENDIX OF EXHIBITS IN SUPPORT OF HIS RESPONSE TO JUDICIAL OFFICER MARK WINDHAM'S BAIL REVOCATION, IN SUPPORT OF HIS MOTION TO DISMISS, IN SUPPORT OF CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE § 745 MOTION, IN SUPPORT OF HIS MOTION TO COMPEL, AND IN SUPPORT OF HIS UPCOMING FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT CIVIL RIGHTS LAWSUITS, EXHIBITS 1-10
Date of Hearing: May 12, 2025

VERIFICATION OF APPENDIX OF EXHIBITS

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Case No. 25CJCM02162-01

TO: RACIST, PREJUDICED, AND BIASED COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY NATHAN JOSEPH HOCHMAN (SBN # 139137)

TO: LYING AND RACIST FAKE VICTIM AND PERJURIOUS STATE GOVERNMENT WITNESS BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG (SBN # 291501)

TO RACIST AND BIASED WILLOW LEAH ROSENTHAL, (SBN # 345751). PRELIMINARY HEARING DIVISION DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY WORKING FROM THE PRELIMINARY HEARING DIVISION OF COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE.
wkarfiol@da.lacounty.gov

TO SCOTT DOUGLAS DOMINGUEZ, (SBN # 228843), ASSISTANT HEAD DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY IN CHARGE OF PRELIMINARY HEARING DIVISION OF COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE.
sdominguez@da.lacounty.gov

ALEXANDER MICHAEL KARKANEN, (SBN # 162377), HEAD DEPUTY IN CHARGE OF PRELIMINARY HEARING DIVISION OF COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
akarkanen@da.lacounty.gov

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

WRONGFULLY CHARGED CRIMINAL DEFENDANT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD HEREBY SUBMITS HIS VERIFICATION OF HIS SECOND APPENDIX OF EXHIBITS 1-10.

WRONGFULLY CHARGED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S SECOND VERIFIED APPENDIX OF EXHIBITS IN SUPPORT OF HIS RESPONSE TO JUDICIAL OFFICER MARK WINDHAM'S BAIL REVOCATION, IN SUPPORT OF HIS MOTION TO DISMISS, IN SUPPORT OF CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE § 745 MOTION, IN SUPPORT OF HIS MOTION TO COMPEL, AND IN SUPPORT OF HIS UPCOMING FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT CIVIL RIGHTS LAWSUITS

and I know the contents thereof. The facts stated therein are true and within my personal knowledge, except as to those matters which are therein alleged on information and belief, and as to those matters, I believe them also to be true.

If I were called upon to testify, I could and would testify competently as to the matters stated herein and therein.

Pursuant to **California Evidence Code § 250** are all writings that were created by AROGANT HOLLYWOOD between November 28, 2023, and May 9, 2025. Except for Exhibit 2 was created by AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S former friend Cheryl Lynn Kaylor on February 13, 2024.

Pursuant to **California Evidence Code § 1401** AROGANT HOLLYWOOD hereby authenticates Exhibits 1-10 as he was the person that either created or instructed a natural person to create each electronic mail message attached hereto between November 28, 2023, and May 9, 2025. Each Exhibit with the exception of Exhibit 2 was solely created by AROGANT HOLLYWOOD by him composing an electronic mail message and transmitting from his Alphabet Inc. Gmail account

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

to the world-wide internet, and AROGANT HOLLYWOOD therefore has personal knowledge as to the manner in which each writing was originally recorded as state herein below.

Pursuant to **California Evidence Code § 410** Exhibits 1-10 are all direct evidence that cannot be countered by any evidence of the People because Exhibits 1-10 prove the factual innocence of AROGANT HOLLYWOOD regarding frivolous and fabricated Counts 3, 4, and 5 without the need for any inference or presumption.


Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws and Constitution of California and the United States that every word, sentence, paragraph, and page of this Criminal Law Verification of Defendant's Second Verified Appendix of Exhibits is true and correct.

DATE: May 10, 2025

BY: Arogant Hollywood

Arogant Hollywood, *Esq.*
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106
Mobile: (206) 471-1344
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Spirit of Esquire



PROOF OF SERVICE

I certify that on May 12, 2025, I served one copy of the foregoing.

WRONGFULLY CHARGED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S VERIFIED APPENDIX OF EXHIBITS IN SUPPORT OF HIS RESPONSE TO JUDICIAL OFFICER MARK WINDHAM'S BAIL REVOCATION, IN SUPPORT OF HIS MOTION TO DISMISS, IN SUPPORT OF CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE § 745 MOTION, IN SUPPORT OF HIS MOTION TO COMPEL, AND IN SUPPORT OF HIS UPCOMING FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT CIVIL RIGHTS LAWSUITS

, and any and all attachments (PHYSICAL PAPER COPY OF EXHIBITS 1-), SERVED IN PERSON ON THE DDA AT THE MAY 12, 2025 HEARING ON THE following:

(SBN #

210 WEST TEMPLE STREET
DEPARTMENT 45
LOS ANGELES, CA 90012

AND BY ELECTRONICALLY MAILING ON MAY 10, 2025, ON THE FOLLOWING:

WILLOW LEAH ROSENTHAL, SBN # 345751, PRELIMINARY HEARING DIVISION DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY WORKING FROM THE PRELIMINARY HEARING DIVISION OF COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
wkarfiol@da.lacounty.gov

SCOTT DOUGLAS DOMINGUEZ, SBN # 228843, ASSISTANT HEAD DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY IN CHARGE OF PRELIMINARY HEARING DIVISION OF COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE.
sdominguez@da.lacounty.gov

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

ALEXANDER MICHAEL KARKANEN, SBN # 162377, HEAD DEPUTY IN CHARGE OF PRELIMINARY HEARING DIVISION OF COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

akarkanen@da.lacounty.gov

A copy of FedEx tracking for Nathan Joseph Hochman for Original Verified Appendix of Exhibits attached hereto

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws and Constitution of California, and under the laws, federal statutes, and Constitution of the United States of America, that the foregoing declaration.

DATE: May 10, 2025

BY: Arogant Hollywood

Arogant Hollywood, ***Esq.***

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq.
1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD.
PASADENA, CA 91106
Mobile: 206.471.1344
aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted
Spirit of Esquire

people of the state of california, et al v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD

Case No. . 25CJCM02162-01

Exhibit 1 is a true and correct copy of AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S electronic mail Gmail message he sent to numerous County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutors, California Department of Justice attorneys, and County of Los Angles Office County Counsel attorneys on November 28, 2023.

Exhibit 1 proves by clear and convincing evidence that Brian MARK Rosenberg recklessly violated California Penal Code § 148.5, California Government Code §

EXHIBIT 1

6200, and 18 United States Code § 242 by fabricating lies and making intentionally false statements to rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang that AROGANT HOLLYWOOD sent him an electronic mail message on November 28, 2023.

Exhibit 1 proves by clear and convincing evidence that rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, carelessly, deliberately, knowingly, and callously created and authored a false police report that fabricated lies and made intentionally false statements that a copy of Brian MARK Rosenberg's brosenberg@da.lacounty.gov November 28, 2023 electronic mail message was attached to the end of his city of Alhambra crime report.

Exhibit 1 proves by clear and convincing evidence that RACIST and BIASED County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutors willfully, recklessly, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, deliberately, maliciously, and callously filed criminal prosecutors filed frivolous counts 1, 2, and 3 without a single piece of evidence and entirely without probable cause.

Exhibit 1 proves by clear and convincing evidence that RACIST and BIASED County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutors willfully, recklessly, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, deliberately, maliciously, and callously violated State Bar of California Rules of Professional Conduct Rules 3.1, 3.3, and 3.4; California Business and Professions Code § 6068, and American Bar Association Models Rules of Professional Conduct Rules 3.1, 3.3., and 3.4 by filing frivolous counts 1, 2, and 3 without a single piece of evidence and entirely without probable cause.

EXHIBIT 1

I declare, under penalty of perjury, under the laws and Constitution of California and the United States, that every word, sentence, paragraph, and page of the foregoing is true and accurate.

DATE: May 10, 2025

BY: _____

Arogant Hollywood, *Esq.*
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106
Mobile: (206) 471-1344
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
Spirit of Esquire

EXHIBIT 1

Gmail

Alison Fairchild <alisonhfairchild@gmail.com>

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. state of california, united states, county of los angeles, city of south pasadena, city of arcadia, et al [SECOND EMAIL] [REAL VIDEO THAT PROVES THAT I WAS FRAMED FOR VIOLATING CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE SECTION 422(a)

103 messages

Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com> Tue, Nov 28, 2023 at 4:02 AM
To: attorney@neilopdahl.com, Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>, arogantmusicinc@gmail.com, fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com, causeofaction43@gmail.com, blacklawgenius@gmail.com, alisonhfairchild@gmail.com, "blacklawcrusader@gmail.com" <blacklawcrusader@gmail.com>, rluna@lasd.org, rluna@lacounty.gov, atardy@lacounty.org, jtorres@lasd.org, saloma@lacounty.org, llecrivain@lasd.org, bchase@lacounty.gov, rlewis@lacounty.gov, llecrivain@lacounty.org, jmeza@lasd.org, ned.harlan@doj.ca.gov, nishdeep.singh@doj.ca.gov, robert.bonta@doj.ca.gov, OPSChiefsOffice@doj.ca.gov, peter.halloran@doj.ca.gov, amyhhalloran@yahoo.com, peterhalloran@sbcglobal.net, alisha.carlile@doj.ca.gov, snpdragn@aol.com, acarlile@alum.wellesley.edu, concertina9@yahoo.com, alishastephen@yahoo.com.au, alehr17@u.washington.edu, girlygirl8384@aol.com, akeened@yahoo.com, lish34@hotmail.com, piccbodin@hotmail.com, lachapell_a@yahoo.com, alishaoku@yahoo.com, alisha.mai@gmail.com, alisha.marks@rogers.com, vincent.bonotto@doj.ca.gov, vbonotto@hotmail.com, glenn.pruden@doj.ca.gov, eyeless77@hotmail.com, daniel.olivas@doj.ca.gov, giam.nguyen@doj.ca.gov, chad.stegeman@doj.ca.gov, stephen.lew@doj.ca.gov, christopher.findley@doj.ca.gov, dennis.beck@doj.ca.gov, darrell.spence@doj.ca.gov, maggy.krell@doj.ca.gov, Elaine.tong@doj.ca.gov, hannah.calacsan@doj.ca.gov, mo.park@doj.ca.gov, dbuthler@lasuperiorcourt.org, dslayton@lasuperiorcourt.org, sclover@lasuperiorcourt.org, jmoses@lasuperiorcourt.org, ppenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, tpenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, chase.penrose@gmail.com, mackenzienicole@gmail.com, trishpenrose@att.net, TCPJAC_CEAC@jud.ca.gov, afisher@lasuperiorcourt.org, jonathan.sibayan@jud.ca.gov, mary.carter@jud.ca.gov, john.williams@jud.ca.gov, amber.barnett@jud.ca.go, robert.oyung@jud.ca.gov, john.yee@jud.ca.gov, heather.pettit@jud.ca.gov, leah.rose-goodwin@jud.ca.gov, mark.dusman@jud.ca.gov, cory.jasperson@jud.ca.gov, jenniejasperson@gmail.com, kelton.jasperson@gmail.com, cjasperson2@mindspring.com, judy.jasperson@att.net, randie.chance@doj.ca.gov, randie.chance@jud.ca.gov, Bobby.brow@jud.ca.gov, stephen.nash@jud.ca.gov, debbie.silcox@jud.ca.gov, laura.speed@jud.ca.gov, andrea.evans@jud.ca.gov, nicole.claro@jud.ca.gov, gavin.newsom@gov.ca.gov, robert.rivas@asm.ca.gov, isaac.bryan@asm.ca.gov, cecilia.aguiar-curry@asm.ca.gov, stephanie.nguyen@asm.ca.gov, lori.wilson@asm.ca.gov, matt.haney@asm.ca.gov, heath.flora@asm.ca.gov, laurie.davies@asm.ca.gov, turfritecr@yahoo.com, turfrite@aol.com, turfrite@yahoo.com, jami.davis@epix.net, vhuston@indy.rr.com, james.gallagher@asm.ca.gov, lisa.calderon@asm.ca.gov, john.ackler@sen.ca.gov, alisa.buckley@asm.ca.gov, benjamin.allen@sen.ca.gov, marie.alvarado-gil@sen.ca.gov, bob.archuleta@sen.ca.gov, angelique.ashby@sen.ca.gov, toni.atkins@sen.ca.gov, josh.becker@sen.ca.gov, catherine.blakespear@sen.ca.gov, steven.bradford@sen.ca.gov, anna.caballero@sen.ca.gov, dave.cortese@sen.ca.gov, brian.dahle@sen.ca.gov, steven.glazer@sen.ca.gov, lena.gonzalez@sen.ca.gov, shannon.grove@sen.ca.gov, melissa.hurtado@sen.ca.gov, brian.jones@sen.ca.gov, john.laird@sen.ca.gov, monique.limon@sen.ca.gov, mike.mcguire@sen.ca.gov, caroline.menjivar@sen.ca.gov, dave.min@sen.ca.gov, josh.newman@sen.ca.gov, janet.nguyen@sen.ca.gov, roger.niello@sen.ca.gov, rosilicie.ochoa-bogh@sen.ca.gov, steve.padilla@sen.ca.gov, anthony.portantino@sen.ca.gov, richard.roth@sen.ca.gov, susan.rubio@sen.ca.gov, kelly.seyarto@sen.ca.gov, nancy.skinner@sen.ca.gov, lola.smallwood-cuevas@sen.ca.gov, henry.stern@sen.ca.gov, thomas.umberg@sen.ca.gov, aisha.wahab@sen.ca.gov, scott.wiener@sen.ca.gov, scott.wilk@sen.ca.gov, brendan.murphy@sen.ca.gov, steve.veres@sen.ca.gov, nancy.pelosi@mail.house.gov, kevin.mccarthy@mail.house.gov, maxine.waters@mail.house.gov, kathleen.porter@mail.house.gov, katie.porter@mail.house.gov, kevin.kiley@mail.house.gov, mike.thompson@mail.house.gov, tom.mcclintock@mail.house.gov, ami.bera@mail.house.gov, doris.matsue@mail.house.gov, Rep Garamendi <john.garamendi@mail.house.gov>, josh.harder@mail.house.gov, Mark.desauinier@mail.house.gov, josh.duarte@mail.house.gov, eric.swalwell@mail.house.gov, kevin.mullin@mail.house.gov, anna.eshoo@mail.house.gov, ro.khanna@mail.house.gov, zoe.lofgren@mail.house.gov, Jimmy.panetta@mail.house.gov, kevinmccarty@mail.house.gov, jim.costa@mail.house.gov, Jay.obernolte@mail.house.gov, salud.carbajal@mail.house.gov, Raul.ruiz@mail.house.gov, julie.brownley@mail.house.gov, mike.garcia@mail.house.gov, judy.chu@mail.house.gov, tony.cardena@mail.house.gov, adam.schiff@mail.house.gov, brad.sherman@mail.house.gov, pete.aguilar@mail.house.gov, jimmy.gomez@mail.house.gov, Sydney.kamlager-dove@mail.house.gov, linda.sanchez@mail.house.gov, mark.takano@mail.house.gov, young.kin@mail.house.gov, ken.calvert@mail.house.gov, robert.garcia@mail.house.gov, nanette.barragan@mail.house.gov, michelle.steel@mail.house.gov, lou.correa@mail.house.gov,

atie.porter@mail.house.gov, darrell.issa@mail.house.gov, mike.levin@mail.house.gov, scott.peters@mail.house.gov, sara.jacobs@mail.house.gov, juan.vargas@mail.house.gov, scheduling_padilla@padilla.senate.gov, casework@padilla.senate.gov, david_montes@padilla.senate.gov, joshua_esquivel@padilla.senate.gov, david_grannis@feinstein.senate.gov, justin_schardin@feinstein.senate.gov, rachel_bombach@feinstein.senate.gov, president@whitehouse.gov, Kamala.harris@whitehouse.gov, leaht.wilson@calbar.ca.gov, leah.wilson50@yahoo.com, Elizabeth.hom@calbar.ca.gov, gina.crawford@calbar.ca.gov, rita.himes@calbar.ca.gov, esteinbach@aclusocal.org, manuel.alvarez@post.ca.gov, jim.grottkau@post.ca.gov, pgrottkau@yahoo.com, jamesgrottkau@aol.com, janie.grottkau@yahoo.com, annemarie.mugnaio@post.ca.gov, annemariedelmugnaio@gmail.com, chaseindixon@aol.com, obugrik@aol.com, sonya.baland@post.ca.gov, sonyar@comcast.net, cheryl.smith@post.ca.gov, colin.okeefe@post.ca.gov, cokeefe@post.ca.gov, dulce_cakes4u@yahoo.com, ulce_cakes4u@aol.com, gspinger5@yahoo.com, cokeefe@ci.davis.ca.us, colin.o'keefe530@verizon.net, co'keefe1514@bellsouth.net, sarah.wallace@post.ca.gov, william.darden@post.ca.gov, william.darden@doj.ca.gov, rpthjrcy@uojsdp.edu, williamtdarden@gmail.com, William.darden@urs.com, carrie.hollar@post.ca.gov, carrie.berg82@gmail.com, cberg@solanocounty.com, carrie.hollar@aol.com, erik.apperson@post.ca.gov, Ifey8808@gmail.com, wifey8808@gmail.com, erikandannaapperson@hotmail.com, onecubsfan@hotmail.com, egrundman@hotmail.com, todders@hotmail.com, erikapperson@hotmail.com, erik.apperson@yahoo.com, sb2@post.ca.gov, christine.ford@post.ca.gov, michelle.weiler@post.ca.gov, wildweilers@msn.com, cateweiler@msn.com, meagan.poulos@post.ca.gov, rosanne.richeal@post.ca.gov, thomas.chalk@post.ca.gov, katie.strickland@post.ca.gov, kirk.bunch@post.ca.gov, brad.newmyer@post.ca.gov, mike.radford@post.ca.gov, brian.south@post.ca.gov, goodwin.liu@jud.ca.gov, tani.cantil-sakauye@jud.ca.gov, joshua.groban@jud.ca.gov, martin.jenkins@jud.ca.gov, patricia.guerrero@jud.ca.gov, carol.corrigan@jud.ca.gov, leondra.kruger@jud.ca.gov, kellli.evans@jud.ca.gov, firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, cchen@bos.lacounty.gov, secondistrict@bos.lawcounty.gov, holly4assembly@gmail.com, hmitchell@yahoo.com, karnbass@cs.com, hjmitchel@aol.com, tumberg@mofo.com, holly@hollyjmitchell.com, rfleming-peters@counsel.lacounty.gov, mcarter@counsel.lacounty.gov, njenkins@lacounty.gov, njenkins@counsel.lacounty.gov, jgonzalez@counsel.lacounty.gov, lowt@metro.net, Tlow77@gmail.com, ryanthurlow@yahoo.com, tlow@lacounty.gov, tlow@counsel.lacounty.gov, tina@jmoilco.com, tlow7@yahoo.com, econard@lacounty.gov, econard@counsel.lacounty.gov, pepemendoza18@gmail.com, chelsaconrad@gmail.com, rmconard@gmail.com, kcluvsbarney@aol.com, susanconard@aol.com, s.conard@conardphoto.com, susan@conardphoto.com, sconard@conardphoto.com, sales@conardphoto.com, support@conardphoto.com, scollins@cleat.org, admin@conardphoto.com, conard@conardphoto.com, fawino@counsel.lacounty.gov, cbarnett@counsel.lacounty.gov, donnghiem@gmail.com, brucenguyen@gmail.com, donnghiem@hotmail.com, don@lacounty.gov, dnghiem@counsel.lacounty.gov, schoi@counsel.lacounty.gov, ltaing@counsel.lacounty.gov, cesar@lacounty.gov, cdelperal@counsel.lacounty.gov, cdelperal@aol.com, cesar_del_peral@yahoo.com, cdelperal@yahoo.com, cesardelperal1@yahoo.com, theflyingtrex02@gmail.com, jquindel79@yahoo.com, atkins.sarah28@gmail.com, rfstacy@gmail.com, Ineversleep55.mh@gmail.com, rourke@lacounty.gov, rstacy@counsel.lacounty.gov, rstacy-padilla@counsel.lacounty.gov, vowens@counsel.lacounty.gov, mearls@counsel.lacounty.gov, dcarlos@county.lacounty.gov, dcarlos@counsel.lacounty.gov, victoriacarlos@gmail.com, dcarlos31@gmail.com, debbie.carlos818@verizon.net, victoria.carlos@gmail.com, humbert@ptminc.com, info@ptm-inc.com, psncarits@aol.com, jcarlos@msmc.la.edu, yngidea@gmail.com, richard.padilla@disney.com, andria.seo@disabilityrightsca.org, andiseo@gmail.com, wishinwaiting@hotmail.com, echu@counsel.lacounty.gov, sgipson@counsel.lacounty.gov, sladyesq@gmail.com, sladyesq@aol.com, Dhrubajyoti Deb <djdesign@gmail.com>, michaelstreetman@gmail.com, merugori@aol.com, rolaidz@yahoo.com, dtwister22@yahoo.com, dtwister@yahoo.com, sshon@counsel.lacounty.gov, rigesq@yahoo.com, grigesq@msn.com, gproducer@hotmail.com, mrsmithgcs@aol.com, azuiderweg@counsel.lacounty.gov, mruben@counsel.lacounty.gov, ctaylor@counsel.lacounty.gov, schan@counsel.lacounty.gov, kmuir@counsel.lacounty.gov, eggerse@metro.net, eggers@counsel.lacounty.gov, mrolon@counsel.lacounty.gov, mirder@hotmail.com, doug@homealert.com, doug.richardson@homealert.com, pghaderi@counsel.lacounty.gov, rsaldana@counsel.lacounty.gov, ndavis-tinkham@counsel.lacounty.gov, Nicole Davis <nikki.davis1212@gmail.com>, tink4d1@gmail.com, nadtinkham@gmail.com, brind0313@gmail.com, darrellltinkham@yahoo.com, jwhitehurst@counsel.lacounty.gov, ltolani@counsel.lacounty.gov, lester.tolnai@yahoo.com, rclester.tolnai71@yahoo.com, pmarshall@counsel.lacounty.gov, abyers@counsel.lacounty.gov, rcartwright@counsel.lacounty.gov, rwong@counsel.lacounty.gov, tfaughnan@counsel.lacounty.gov, tomfaughnan66@gmail.com, jlehman@counsel.lacounty.gov, kmiles@counsel.lacounty.gov, rbaeza@counsel.lacounty.gov, skuhn@counsel.county.gov, rchastang@counsel.lacounty.gov, lcampo@counsel.lacounty.gov, msimon@counsel.lacounty.gov, emiller@counsel.lacounty.gov, elizabeth_dumais@yahoo.com, kaigrant19@yahoo.com, pmomon@yahoo.com, pbollinger@counsel.lacounty.gov, kbowser@counsel.lacounty.gov, rebrahim@da.lacounty.gov, kkoyano@da.lacounty.gov, dteran@da.lacounty.gov, tsereno@lacounty.gov, tsereno@da.lacounty.gov, mbeaart@da.lacounty.gov, ron.geltz@lacounty.gov, rgeltz@da.lacounty.gov, Manik Bhoi <manik.bhoi@gmail.com>, withani@verizon.net, eric.castano@charter.net, jcastano@da.lacounty.gov, eric.castano@juno.com, ericcastano@gmail.com, JIniguez@da.lacounty.gov, fsanchez@da.lacounty.gov, rarcos@da.lacounty.gov, jrodriguez@dalacounty.gov, swoo@da.lacounty.gov, pagrawal@da.lacounty.gov, cnakao@da.lacounty.gov, vgadams@msn.com, jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov, ljkessner@da.lacounty.gov, ldroeger@da.lacounty.gov, jdsilva@ceo.lacounty.gov, jsilva@da.lacounty.gov,

hborden@da.lacounty.gov, amattson@da.lacounty.gov, eratino@da.lacounty.gov, shaungipson@gmail.com, sgipson@da.lacounty.gov, twright@da.lacounty.gov, mcarrillo@da.lacounty.gov, gdameron@da.lacounty.gov, ayochelson@da.lacounty.gov, spresby@da.lacounty.gov, shassett@da.lacounty.gov, bjdodd@da.lacounty.gov, lorquiola@da.lacounty.gov, mramirez@da.lacounty.gov, ralarcon@da.lacounty.gov, shulefeld@da.lacounty.gov, smire@da.lacounty.gov, mhaidar@da.lacounty.gov, pkouch@da.lacounty.gov, vrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov, dpurvis@da.lacounty.gov, rarias@lacounty.gov, ezneimer@socal.rr.com, evelyn.zneimer@yahoo.com, samsterz78@hotmail.com, zneimer@yahoo.com, samuel.zneimer@yahoo.com, daphotrain@hotmail.com, daphne.zneimer@gmail.com, dzneimer@yahoo.com, InspectorGeneral@oig.lacounty.gov, doug.mcmanus@usdoj.gov, melinda.rogers@usdoj.gov, stacie.bass@usdoj.gov, courtney.spivey-urschel@usdoj.gov, AskDOJ@usdoj.gov, merrick.garland@whitehouse.gov, SupremeCtBriefs@usdoj.gov, kerry.monaco@usdoj.gov, vanita.gupta@whitehouse.gov, kristen.clarke@usdoj.gov, Christopher.wray@whitehouse.gov, christopher@fbi.gov, paul.abbate@ic.fbi.gov, paul.abbate@whitehouse.gov, paulabbate@yahoo.com, pa8160@hotmail.com, fdsanchez@bellgardens.org, "bgbarcenam@gmail.com" <bgbarcenam@gmail.com>, "jchavez@bellgardens.org" <jchavez@bellgardens.org>, ggomez@bellgardens.org, mpulido@bellgardens.org, MOKelly@bellgardens.org, sfairfield@bellgardens.org, Esteban Perez <EPerez@bgpd.org>, sfairfield@bgpd.org, tguzmansanchez@hotmail.com, tguzmansanchez@aol.com, thomasguzmansanchez@hotmail.com, guzmansanchez@aol.com, ceo@gsmediagroup.com, tgsvrlmuzic@dancemaster.com, r@dancemaster.com, godaddy@dancemaster.com

On February 21, 2023 I was falsely arrested by LASD Temple City peace officers for making criminal threats.

On February 21, 2023 shortly after arriving at Temple City adult detention center I told detective peace officer Billy Khounthavong and his superior sergeant Richard Lewis that if they brought me my mobile phone and GOPRO camera I could present to them audio and video evidence that would prove I did not make any threat.

On February 22, 2023 rogue and corrupt LASD peace officer Billy Khounthavong and his superior Richard Lewis recklessly conspired to violate my civil rights by disposing of Samsung Galaxy S21 Ultra mobile phone which I told them contained on it audio recordings and text messages that would exonerate me.

On February 22, 2023 Billy Khounthavong filed a bogus police report alleging that a search warrant for my GOPRO was issued by the dishonest, racist, and bias judicial officer Suzette Clover. The search warrant was so bogus that I never received a certified copy of it despite requesting it on numerous occasions. The bogus warrant was alleged to have been electronically filed yet it did not have the words duplicate original written on it, and it did not have the execution date and time written on it. I was never served and did not receive a copy of it until March 2023.

On February 21, 2023 County of Los Angeles rogue peace officer A. Contreras created a fraudulent and counterfeit probable cause determination declaration in which he stated that the Honorable Jana Seng approved his bogus PCD at approximately 1 p.m., a time she was on her lunch break. But it gets worse, while LASD has its own system for entering PCD and sending them to the court. There are no records of Contreras' bogus PCD. Also, the fact that Contreras alleged that his PCD was approved and probable cause was found non telephonically was a bald face lie. Probable cause is usually found at a preliminary hearing when it's non telephonic. Additionally, a court reporter was required to transcribe Contreras' non telephonic probable cause determination declaration. See now California Penal Code Section 817, subdivision (g). Additionally, LASD and rogue peace officer A. Contreras will and recklessly failed to file a certificate of service with Jana Seng's court after the alleged arrest warrant was approved by her. See now California Penal Code Section 817(i). LASD and A. Contreras never filed a certificate of service because they knew that their probable cause determination declaration was shamed, bogus, counterfeit, false, forged, and fraudulent.

On or about February 23, 2023 rogue and dishonest peace officer detective lying Billy Khounthavong wrongfully and unnecessarily sent my GOPRO HERO 10 action camera to LASD and Ventura Sheriff's Office's joint Fraud and Cyber Crimes' Southern California High Tech Task Force so that they could illegally manipulate my GOPRO videos to make me look guilt of a crime they knew that I did not commit.

At the preliminary hearing on April 4, 2023 and April 5, 2023 dishonest deputy district attorney Brian Mark Rosenberg willfully, wantonly, and recklessly violated California Evidence Code Section 1522, subdivision (b) by presenting to the court as evidence unoriginal, tainted, tampered with, edited, modified, and altered GOPRO video recordings..

At the preliminary hearing on April 5, 2023 Brian Mark Rosenberg assisted Billy Khoungthavong in testifying falsely that I shut off my GOPRO for seven minutes during the make believe knife and criminal threats separate incidents. While Billy Khounthavong was on the stand racist, biased and dishonest criminal prosecutor Brian Mark Rosenberg played an edited and altered GOPRO video recording that depicted and showed me shutting off my GOPRO camera

just prior to reentering the now deceased fake victim Jennifer Hutton-Heger. This particular altered video was never turned over to myself, my co-counsel Neil Opdahl-Lopez, or any of my private investigators Carlos Jackson and then Joel Wynn at any time. In general Brian Mark Rosenberg, James Garrison, Sharon Lee Woo, George Gascon, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, and Ronald Geltz all recklessly violated my Due Process Clause Fourteenth Amendment rights by recklessly failing to turn over a copy of original GOPRO video recordings to me, and turn also turn over a copy of altered GOPRO video recording that falsely shows me shutting off my GOPRO camera.

At the preliminary hearing LASD, Brian Mark Rosenberg, and rogue peace officer Billy Khounthavong further framed me by lying about the length of Jennifer Hutton's first 911 call length, and also lying that her first call ended at 10:07 a.m. and her second call commenced between 10:09 a.m. and 10:10 a.m. LIARS! The People's own CAD report shows that Hutton's second 911 call commenced at 10:08 a.m. and even the judge did not dispute near my conviction of this case that her first call ended at approximately 10:07 a.m. and 50 seconds. Thus, the time gap between the end of Hutton's first 911 call and the beginning of her second 911 call was approximately 30 seconds.

At the preliminary hearing on April 4, 2023 and April 5, 2023 in furtherance of the County of Los Angeles' conspiracy to frame me for a violent crime that I never committed. Brian Mark Rosenberg and LASD Temple City presented to the court broken up videos GL010586, GLO10587, GL010588, and GL010589. LASD's Southern California High Tech Task Force illegally did this and according to their job duties and descriptions. NONE OF THEM HAD NO BUSINESS TOUCHING AND ALTERING MY ENTIRELY EXCULPATORY GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS!

I TOLD THE WORTHLESS VIDEO AND AUDIO EXPERT I GOT THE COURT TO AWARD OVER 60 TOTAL HOURS AT A HOURLY RATE OF $ 200.00. THAT I NEEDED HIM TO COMBINE THE FOUR SEPARATED VIDEOS AND WRITE A REPORT BASED ON THE TWO 911 CALLS. I ALSO INSTRUCTED THOMAS GUZMAN-SANCHEZ TO ZOOM IN ON THE TEXT MESSAGES I WAS SENDING TO FAKE VICTIM JENNIFER HUTTON-HEGER IN MY ORIGINAL GOPRO VIDEO.

Of course, Guzman-Sanchez did nothing! However, after I was released from custody I got to work. I obtained a new 2023 gaming laptop that would allow me to play and combine all four broken up GOPRO video recordings and combine them.

IRONICALLY! THIS ONE CONTINUOUS ORIGINAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING DOES NOT SHOW ME EVER BRANDISHING A KNIFE, MAKING A CRIMINAL THREAT OR TOUCHING ANYTHING IN JENNIFER HUTTON-HEGER'S HOME EXCEPT MY OWN PROPERTY!

I WILL MOVE TO WITHDRAW MY PLEA AND DISMISS THE PEOPLE'S CASE NEXT MONTH JUST BEFORE THE DEADLINE OF ME FILING MY CRIMINAL APPEAL. BASED ON THE BELOW ATTACHED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING. THE LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE SHOULD NO OPPOSE MY MOTIONS.

PLEASE SEE BELOW INSTAGRAM VIDEO LINK TO ORIGINAL FEBRUARY 21, 2023 GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING.

https://www.instagram.com/reel/Czs0EpgOsSm/?utm_source=ig_web_copy_link&igshid=MzRlODBiNWFlZA==

https://www.instagram.com/reel/Cz0sb6auaos/?utm_source=ig_web_copy_link&igshid=MzRlODBiNWFlZA==

AT THE BOTTOM OF THIS EMAIL IS AN ATTACHMENT FOR THE ORIGINAL MP4 VIDEO FILE AND IT CAN BE EASILY DOWNLOADED TO ANYONE

EMAILED HEREIN!

I WILL SEND A SEPARATE EMAIL CONTAINING GOPRO VIDEOS SHOWING ME SENDING FAKE VICTIM JENNIFER HUTTON-HEGER EXCULPATORY TEXT MESSAGES UPCLOSE!

TO RACIST AND BIAS CITY OF BELL GARDENS PIG PEACE OFFICER ESTEBAN A. PEREZ. YOU RACIST BIAS PIECE OF SHIT! DON'T YOU EVER TREAT ME LIKE A CRIMINAL AGAIN FOOL! I AM 100% INNOCENT!

Arogant Hollywood, ***Esq.***
1308 E. Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106
(323) 200-4449

Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com





REAL VIDEO FOR 2-21-2023.mp4

Alison Fairchild <alisonhfairchild@gmail.com> Thu, Jan 4, 2024 at 4:19 PM
To: swoo@da.lacounty.gov, cpark@dalacounty.gov, attorney@neilopdahl.com, vrodiguez@dalacounty.gov, smire@dalacounty.gov, gascon@dalacounty.gov, ljackson@lasuperior.org

[Quoted text hidden]

Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com> Thu, Jan 4, 2024 at 4:20 PM
To: alisonhfairchild@gmail.com

Address not found

Your message wasn't delivered to **cpark@dalacounty.gov** because the domain dalacounty.gov couldn't be found. Check for typos or unnecessary spaces and try again.

LEARN MORE

The response was:

```
DNS Error: DNS type 'mx' lookup of dalacounty.gov responded with code NXDOMAIN Domain name not found: dalacounty.gov Learn more at https://support.google.com/mail/?p=BadRcptDomain
```

Final-Recipient: rfc822; cpark@dalacounty.gov
Action: failed
Status: 5.1.2
Diagnostic-Code: smtp; DNS Error: DNS type 'mx' lookup of dalacounty.gov responded with code NXDOMAIN Domain name not found: dalacounty.gov Learn more at https://support.google.com/mail/?p=BadRcptDomain
Last-Attempt-Date: Thu, 04 Jan 2024 16:20:07 -0800 (PST)

---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: Alison Fairchild <alisonhfairchild@gmail.com>
To: swoo@da.lacounty.gov, cpark@dalacounty.gov, attorney@neilopdahl.com, vrodiguez@dalacounty.gov, smire@dalacounty.gov, gascon@dalacounty.gov, ljackson@lasuperior.org
Cc:
Bcc:
Date: Thu, 4 Jan 2024 18:19:56 -0600
Subject: Fwd: AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. state of california, united states, county of los angeles, city of south pasadena, city of arcadia, et al [SECOND EMAIL] [REAL VIDEO THAT PROVES THAT I WAS FRAMED FOR VIOLATING CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE SECTION 422(a)
----- Message truncated -----

Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com> Thu, Jan 4, 2024 at 4:20 PM
To: alisonhfairchild@gmail.com



Address not found

Your message wasn't delivered to **smire@dalacounty.gov** because the domain dalacounty.gov couldn't be found. Check for typos or unnecessary spaces and try again.

LEARN MORE

The response was:

```
DNS Error: DNS type 'mx' lookup of dalacounty.gov responded with code NXDOMAIN Domain name not found: dalacounty.gov Learn more at https://support.google.com/mail/?p=BadRcptDomain
```

Final-Recipient: rfc822; smire@dalacounty.gov
Action: failed
Status: 5.1.2
Diagnostic-Code: smtp; DNS Error: DNS type 'mx' lookup of dalacounty.gov responded with code NXDOMAIN Domain name not found: dalacounty.gov Learn more at https://support.google.com/mail/?p=BadRcptDomain
Last-Attempt-Date: Thu, 04 Jan 2024 16:20:07 -0800 (PST)

---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: Alison Fairchild <alisonhfairchild@gmail.com>
To: swoo@da.lacounty.gov, cpark@dalacounty.gov, attorney@neilopdahl.com, vrodiguez@dalacounty.gov, smire@dalacounty.gov, gascon@dalacounty.gov, ljackson@lasuperior.org
Cc:
Bcc:
Date: Thu, 4 Jan 2024 18:19:56 -0600
Subject: Fwd: AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. state of california, united states, county of los angeles, city of south pasadena, city of arcadia, et al [SECOND EMAIL] [REAL VIDEO THAT PROVES THAT I WAS FRAMED FOR VIOLATING CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE SECTION 422(a)
----- Message truncated -----

Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com> Thu, Jan 4, 2024 at 4:20 PM
To: alisonhfairchild@gmail.com



Address not found

Your message wasn't delivered to **vrodiguez@dalacounty.gov** because the domain dalacounty.gov couldn't be found. Check

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq.
1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD.
PASADENA, CA 91106
Mobile: 206.471.1344
aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted
Spirit of Esquire

people of the state of california, et al v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD

Case No. . 25CJCM02162-01

Exhibit 2 is a true and correct copy of AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S electronic mail Gmail message he sent to numerous County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutors, California Department of Justice attorneys, and County of Los Angles Office County Counsel attorneys on February 13, 2024, at 6:14 PM.

Exhibit 2 proves by clear and convincing evidence that Brian MARK Rosenberg recklessly violated California Penal Code § 148.5, California Government Code §

EXHIBIT 2

6200, and 18 United States Code § 242 by fabricating lies and making intentionally false statements to rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang that AROGANT HOLLYWOOD sent him an electronic mail message on February 13, 2024.

Exhibit 2 proves by clear and convincing evidence that rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, carelessly, deliberately, knowingly, and callously created and authored a false police report that fabricated lies and made intentionally false statements that a copy of Brian MARK Rosenberg's brosenberg@da.lacounty.gov February 13, 2024 electronic mail message was attached to the end of his city of Alhambra crime report.

Exhibit 2 proves by clear and convincing evidence that RACIST and BIASED County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutors willfully, recklessly, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, deliberately, maliciously, and callously filed criminal prosecutors filed frivolous counts 1, 2, and 3 without a single piece of evidence and entirely without probable cause.

Exhibit 1 proves by clear and convincing evidence that RACIST and BIASED County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutors willfully, recklessly, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, deliberately, maliciously, and callously violated State Bar of California Rules of Professional Conduct Rules 3.1, 3.3, and 3.4; California Business and Professions Code § 6068, and American Bar Association Models Rules of Professional Conduct Rules 3.1, 3.3., and 3.4 by filing frivolous counts 1, 2, and 3 without a single piece of evidence and entirely without probable cause.

EXHIBIT 2

I declare, under penalty of perjury, under the laws and Constitution of California and the United States, that every word, sentence, paragraph, and page of the foregoing is true and accurate.

DATE: May 10, 2025

BY: _____

Arogant Hollywood, *Esq.*
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106
Mobile: (206) 471-1344
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
Spirit of Esquire

EXHIBIT 2

Gmail

Alison Fairchild <alisonhfairchild@gmail.com>

OFFICIAL COMPLAINT OF ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD ON BEHALF OF AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. sherrif robert luna, et al.

1 message

Cheryl Kaylor <cheridtitrader@gmail.com> Tue, Feb 13, 2024 at 6:14 PM
To: cparker@da.lacounty.gov, vrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov, swoo@da.lacounty.gov, tcheunglaw@gmail.com, rchew@apd.lacounty.gov, jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov, smire@da.lacounty.gov, mhaider@da.lacounty.gov, Ron Geltz <rgeltz@da.lacounty.gov>, gascon@da.lacounty.gov, Neil Opdahl-Lopez <attorney@neilopdahl.com>, rgarcia@pubdef.lacounty.gov, jesack@pubdef.lacounty.gov, rmarquez@pubdef.lacounty.gov, mrawi@pubdef.lacounty.gov, ljackson@lasuperior.org, ljackson@lasuperiorcourt.org, eanzoategui@apd.lacounmty.gov, Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>, Alison Fairchild <alisonhfairchild@gmail.com>, lacklawcrusader@gmail.com, "Cheryl (Cheri) Kaylor" <cheri@yourdocumentdoctor.com>, causeofaction43@gmail.com, Arogant Hollywood <arogantmusicinc@gmail.com>, fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com, villalobos@lasuperior.org, villalobos@lasuperiorcourt.org, mvillalobos@lasuperior.org, mvillalobos@lasuperiorcourt.org, sclover@lasuperior.org, sclover@lasuperiorcourt.org, robert.bonta@doj.ca.gov, pghaderi@counsel.lacounty.gov, abwers@counsel.lacounty.gov, msimon@counsel.lacounty.gov, amattson@da.lacounty.gov, getem626@gmail.com, bhernandez@pubdef.lacounty.gov, jtrochez@pubdef.lacounty.gov, leaht.wilson@calbar.ca.gov, leah.wilson50@yahoo.com, firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, cchen@bos.lacounty.gov, seconddistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, Third District <thirddistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>, secondistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, thirdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, fourthdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, hmitchell@yahoo.com, elizabeth.hom@calbar.ca.gov, jinacrawford@calbar.ca.gov, rjenkins@counsel.lacounty.gov, cbarnett@counsel.lacounty.gov, rluna@lasd.org, cdelperal@counsel.lacounty.gov, ndavis-tinkham@counsel.lacounty.gov, nadtinkham@gmail.com, jwhitehurst@counsel.lacounty.gov, pmarshall@counsel.lacounty.gov, rcartwright@counsel.lacounty.gov, jgonzolez@counsel.lacounty.gov, rwong@counsel.lacounty.gov, tfaughnan@counsel.lacounty.gov, tomfaughnan@gmail.com, jlehaman@counsel.lacounty.gov, kmiles@counsel.lacounty.gov, rbaeza@counsel.lacounty.gov, rchastang@counsel.lacounty.gov, lchampo@counsel.lacounty.gov, emiller@counsel.lacounty.gov, pbollinger@counsel.lacounty.gov, kbowser@counsel.lacounty.gov, rebrahim@da.lacounty.gov, kkoyano@da.lacounty.gov, dteran@da.lacounty.gov, tsereno@da.lacounty.gov, mbeaart@da.lacounty.gov, giniguez@da.lacounty.gov, fsanchez@da.lacounty.gov, rarcos@da.lacounty.gov, jrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov, pagrawai@da.lacounty.gov, cnakao@da.lacounty.gov, vaenlle-rochoa@da.lacounty.gov, vgadams@msn.com, ljkessner@da.lacounty.gov, Larry Droeger <ldroeger@da.lacounty.gov>, jsilva@da.lacounty.gov, hborden@da.lacounty.gov, sgipson@da.lacounty.gov, twright@da.lacounty.gov, mcarrillo@da.lacounty.gov, Alan Yochelson <ayochelson@da.lacounty.gov>, spresby@da.lacounty.gov, shassett@da.lacounty.gov, bjdodd@da.lacounty.gov, lorquida@da.lacounty.gov, lorquido@da.lacounty.gov, mramirez@da.lacounty.gov, ralarcon@da.lacounty.gov, shulefeld@da.lacounty.gov, Mario Haidar <mhaidar@da.lacounty.gov>, pkouch@da.lacounty.gov, dpurvis@da.lacounty.gov, cpark@da.lacounty.gov, rarias@da.lacounty.gov, courtney-spivey-urschel@us.doj.gov, courtney.spivey@us.doj.gov, doug.mcmanus@us.doj.gov, malinda.rogers@us.doj.gov, robert.mccarty@us.doj.gov, askdoj@us.doj.gov, supremectbriefs@us.doj.gov, kerrymonaco@us.doj.gov, kerrymonoco@us.doj.gov, elizabeth.prelogar@us.doj.gov, anitavanita.gupta@whitehouse.gov, kristen.clarke@us.doj.gov, criminal.division@us.doj.gov, nmacella@gmail.com, nmacella@us.doj.gov, brian.boynton@us.doj.gov, david.weiss@us.doj.gov, jacksmith@us.doj.gov, rakelrossi@gmail.com

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD

533-92-3047

12/09/1978

Booking: 6715489

LASD, LASD Medical Services, LA County CHS, LA County Mental Health, Sheriff Luna, County of Los Angeles and Jane and John DOES 1 through 20 are all recklessly, wantonly, maliciously and willfully violating 42 USC Sections 1981, 1983, 1985, 1986, 12131, 12132, Title 15, California Penal Code Section 6030 (Minimum Standards in local correctional facilities), my fourth, sixth, eighth and fourteenth amendment constitutional rights by recklessly and wantonly doing the following:

1. Recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, and willfully serving Hollywood approximately 75 packets of 2-slice non-wheat bread, approximately 43 half-pint GROSS 1% regular COW MILK cartons, approximately 42 half-pint GROSS 1% regular CHOCOLATE COW MILK, approximately 43 packets of UNHEALTHY Lucky brand peanut butter, and approximately 9 slices of GROSS processed COW CHEESE slices.

2. Recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, and willfully failing to place Hollywood on his doctor-prescribed SPECIAL DIET of high protein, no lactose, no peanut butter.

3. Recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, and willfully failing to conduct daily sick call on LASD TTCF 242 and 251.

4. In frivolous criminal case GA114055 recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, and willfully failing to dismiss the case and request that Hollywood be permitted to withdraw his plea after being served electronic video evidence on November 28, 2023, December 11, 2023, January 4, 2024, January 9, 2024, and January 16,2024 that proved the factual innocence of Arogant Hollywood by clear and convincing evidence showing that Hollywood never committed a crime on Feb 21, 2023.

5. Recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, and willfully failing to answer over 200 LASD Inmate Grievance Forms filed between March 1, 2023 and October 2023.

6. Recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, and willfully failing to immediately deliver LEGAL MAIL sent from ALHAMBRA SUPERIOR COURT.

7. Recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, and willfully failing to immediately deliver USPS PRIORITY MAIL CERTIFIED WITH RETURN RECEIPT LEGAL package that contained law books, pencils, writing paper, and erasers, which was delivered way back on Monday, January 22, 2024.

8. Recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, and willfully failing to fix the broken and dangerous GTL TELEPHONE in LASD TTCF 251, D-pod that is frequently used by Arogant Hollywood.

9. Recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, and willfully failing to fix the large refrigerator in LASD Tower Two, 251 resulting in DISABLED MENTAL HEALTH INMATE AROGANT HOLLYWOOD being served warm breakfasts and lunches that should have been kept refrigerated for hours prior to being served.

10. Recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, and willfully allowing and condoning 251 deputy peace officers, led by DANA, to leave on LOUD TELEVISION on January 23, 2024 and January 24, 2024 from 10 pm to 3 am.

11. Recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, and willfully failing to serve Hollywood 2 hot meals per day in direct violation of Title 15 and California Penal Code Section 6030.

12. Recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, and willfully failing to respond to emergency inmate medical grievances and medical request forms within 15 days, in direct violation of Title 15 and California Penal Code Section 6030.

13. Recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, and willfully engaging in a County of Los Angeles custom, tradition, practice, policy and procedure of denying mental health inmates access to regular library and law library in direct violation of Title 15 and California Penal Code Section 6030.

14. Recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, and willfully engaging in a County of Los Angeles custom, tradition, practice, policy and procedure of providing and feeding mental health inmates with protein-deficient lunches and dinners.

15. Recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, and willfully continuing to serve and have served Arogant Hollywood approximately 43 luke-warm lunches that contained cancer-causing sodium nitrate lunch meat, in direct violation of Title 15's mandatory two-hot meals be served a day by correctional facilities STATUTE.

16. Willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, and callously violating 15CCR Section 1066, 1067, and 1068, Los Angeles County Superior Court Local rule 8.42, and 8th and 14th amendments of the US Constitution. Recklessly and callously allowing LASD Lt. Chow to place Hollywood on disciplinary for requesting that Lt. Chow facilitate a processor server being allowed to pick up legal papers and give them to Alison Fairchild to

take to Alhambra Superior
Court.

17. Willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, and callously violating 15CCR Section 1066, 1067, and 1068, Los Angeles County Superior Court Local rule 8.42, and 8th and 14th amendments of the US Constitution. Recklessly and callously allowing numerous TTCF Watch Commanders on Feb 13, 2024 to recklessly and callously refuse to allow a licensed California Process Server to pick up papers from Arogant Hollywood and deliver them to Alison Fairchild to take to Alhambra Superior Court.

18. Willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, and callously violating 15CCR Section 1066, 1067, and 1068, Los Angeles County Superior Court Local rule 8.42, and 8th and 14th amendments of the US Constitution. Recklessly and callously refusing to allow Arogant Hollywood's criminal defense attorney Neil Opdahl Lopez to pick up papers from Arogant Hollywood so he can file in Alhambra Superior Court.

Sincerely,
Alison Helen Fairchild
1308 E. Colorado Blvd, Pasadena, CA 91106
fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com
(626) 755-6442

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq.
1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD.
PASADENA, CA 91106
Mobile: 206.471.1344
arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted
Spirit of Esquire

people of the state of california, et al v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD

Case No. . 25CJCM02162-01

Exhibit 3 is a true and correct copy of AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S electronic mail Gmail message he sent to numerous County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutors, California Department of Justice attorneys, and County of Los Angles Office County Counsel attorneys on June 8, 2024, at 8:03 AM.

Exhibit 3 proves by clear and convincing evidence that Brian MARK Rosenberg recklessly violated California Penal Code § 148.5, California Government Code § 6200, and 18 United States Code § 242 by fabricating lies and making intentionally

---

EXHIBIT 3

false statements to rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang that AROGANT HOLLYWOOD sent him an electronic mail message on June 8, 2024, at 8:03 AM.

Exhibit 3 proves by clear and convincing evidence that rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, carelessly, deliberately, knowingly, and callously created and authored a false police report that fabricated lies and made intentionally false statements that a copy of Brian MARK Rosenberg's brosenberg@da.lacounty.gov June 8, 2024 at 8:03 AM. electronic mail message was attached to the end of his city of Alhambra crime report.

Exhibit 3 proves by clear and convincing evidence that RACIST and BIASED County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutors willfully, recklessly, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, deliberately, maliciously, and callously filed criminal prosecutors filed frivolous counts 1, 2, and 3 without a single piece of evidence and entirely without probable cause.

Exhibit 3 proves by clear and convincing evidence that RACIST and BIASED County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutors willfully, recklessly, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, deliberately, maliciously, and callously violated State Bar of California Rules of Professional Conduct Rules 3.1, 3.3, and 3.4; California Business and Professions Code § 6068, and American Bar Association Models Rules of Professional Conduct Rules 3.1, 3.3., and 3.4 by filing frivolous counts 1, 2, and 3 without a single piece of evidence and entirely without probable cause.

EXHIBIT 3

I declare, under penalty of perjury, under the laws and Constitution of California and the United States, that every word, sentence, paragraph, and page of the foregoing is true and accurate.

DATE: May 10, 2025

BY: _____

Arogant Hollywood, *Esq.*
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106
Mobile: (206) 471-1344
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
Spirit of Esquire

EXHIBIT 3

Gmail

Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>

people v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA114055) & UPCOMING FIRST CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT TITLED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. judy whitehurst, et al [DEMAND PEOPLE AND LASD IMMEDIATELY TURN OVER PHONY LASD GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GLO10586 & GLO10587

100 messages

Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com> Sat, Jun 8, 2024 at 8:03 AM
To: secondistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, secondistrict@bos.la.county.gov, "Holly4Assembly@gmail.com" <Holly4Assembly@gmail.com>, hjmitchel@aol.com, jmerchan@wdacs.lacounty.gov, info@wdacs.lacounty.gov, arogantmusicinc@gmail.com, fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com, causeofaction43@gmail.com, blacklawgenius@gmail.com, alisonhfairchild@gmail.com, rluna@lasd.org, rluna@lacounty.gov, atardy@lacounty.org, jtorres@lasd.org, saloma@lacounty.org, llecrivain@lasd.org, bchase@lacounty.gov, rlewis@lacounty.gov, llecrivain@lacounty.org, jmeza@lasd.org, ned.harlan@doj.ca.gov, nishdeep.singh@doj.ca.gov, robert.bonta@doj.ca.gov, OPSChiefsOffice@doj.ca.gov, peter.halloran@doj.ca.gov, amyhhalloran@yahoo.com, peterhalloran@sbcglobal.net, alisha.carlile@doj.ca.gov, snpdragn@aol.com, acarlile@alum.wellesley.edu, concertina9@yahoo.com, alishastephen@yahoo.com.au, alehr17@u.washington.edu, girlygirl8384@aol.com, akeened@yahoo.com, lish34@hotmail.com, piccbodin@hotmail.com, lachapell_a@yahoo.com, alishaoku@yahoo.com, alisha.mai@gmail.com, alisha.marks@rogers.com, vincent.bonotto@doj.ca.gov, vbonotto@hotmail.com, glenn.pruden@doj.ca.gov, eyeless77@hotmail.com, daniel.olivas@doj.ca.gov, giam.nguyen@doj.ca.gov, chad.stegeman@doj.ca.gov, stephen.lew@doj.ca.gov, christopher.findley@doj.ca.gov, dennis.beck@doj.ca.gov, darrell.spence@doj.ca.gov, maggy.krell@doj.ca.gov, Elaine.tong@doj.ca.gov, hannah.calacsan@doj.ca.gov, mo.park@doj.ca.gov, dbuthler@lasuperiorcourt.org, dslayton@lasuperiorcourt.org, sclover@lasuperiorcourt.org, jmoses@lasuperiorcourt.org, ppenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, tpenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, chase.penrose@gmail.com, mackenzienicole@gmail.com, trishpenrose@att.net, TCPJAC_CEAC@jud.ca.gov, afisher@lasuperiorcourt.org, jonathan.sibayan@jud.ca.gov, mary.carter@jud.ca.gov, john.williams@jud.ca.gov, amber.barnett@jud.ca.go, robert.oyung@jud.ca.gov, john.yee@jud.ca.gov, heather.pettit@jud.ca.gov, leah.rose-goodwin@jud.ca.gov, mark.dusman@jud.ca.gov, cory.jasperson@jud.ca.gov, jenniejasperson@gmail.com, kelton.jasperson@gmail.com, cjasperson2@mindspring.com, judy.jasperson@att.net, randie.chance@doj.ca.gov, randie.chance@jud.ca.gov, Bobby.brow@jud.ca.gov, stephen.nash@jud.ca.gov, debbie.silcox@jud.ca.gov, laura.speed@jud.ca.gov, andrea.evans@jud.ca.gov, nicole.claro@jud.ca.gov, gavin.newsom@gov.ca.gov, cecilia.aguiar-curry@asm.ca.gov, stephanie.nguyen@asm.ca.gov, lori.wilson@asm.ca.gov, matt.haney@asm.ca.gov, heath.flora@asm.ca.gov, laurie.davies@asm.ca.gov, turfritecr@yahoo.com, turfrite@aol.com, president@whitehouse.gov, Kamala.harris@whitehouse.gov, leaht.wilson@calbar.ca.gov, leah.wilson50@yahoo.com, Elizabeth.hom@calbar.ca.gov, gina.crawford@calbar.ca.gov, rita.himes@calbar.ca.gov, esteinbach@aclusocal.org, manuel.alvarez@post.ca.gov, jim.grottkau@post.ca.gov, pgrottkau@yahoo.com, jamesgrottkau@aol.com, janie.grottkau@yahoo.com, annemarie.mugnaio@post.ca.gov, annemariedelmugnaio@gmail.com, chaseindixon@aol.com, obugrik@aol.com, sonya.baland@post.ca.gov, sonyar@comcast.net, cheryl.smith@post.ca.gov, colin.okeefe@post.ca.gov, cokeefe@post.ca.gov, dulce_cakes4u@yahoo.com, ulce_cakes4u@aol.com, gspinger5@yahoo.com, cokeefe@ci.davis.ca.us, colin.o'keefe530@verizon.net, co'keefe1514@bellsouth.net, sarah.wallace@post.ca.gov, william.darden@post.ca.gov, william.darden@doj.ca.gov, rpthjrcy@uojsdp.edu, williamtdarden@gmail.com, William.darden@urs.com, carrie.hollar@post.ca.gov, carrie.berg82@gmail.com, cberg@solanocounty.com, carrie.hollar@aol.com, erik.apperson@post.ca.gov, lfey8808@gmail.com, wifey8808@gmail.com, erikandannaapperson@hotmail.com, onecubsfan@hotmail.com, egrundman@hotmail.com, todders@hotmail.com, erikapperson@hotmail.com, erik.apperson@yahoo.com, sb2@post.ca.gov, christine.ford@post.ca.gov, michelle.weiler@post.ca.gov, wildweilers@msn.com, cateweiler@msn.com, meagan.poulos@post.ca.gov, rosanne.richeal@post.ca.gov, thomas.chalk@post.ca.gov, katie.strickland@post.ca.gov, kirk.bunch@post.ca.gov, brad.newmyer@post.ca.gov, mike.radford@post.ca.gov, brian.south@post.ca.gov, goodwin.liu@jud.ca.gov, tani.cantil-sakauye@jud.ca.gov, joshua.groban@jud.ca.gov, martin.jenkins@jud.ca.gov, patricia.guerrero@jud.ca.gov, carol.corrigan@jud.ca.gov, leondra.kruger@jud.ca.gov, kellli.evans@jud.ca.gov, firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, cchen@bos.lacounty.gov, secondistrict@bos.lawcounty.gov, hmitchell@yahoo.com, karnbass@cs.com, tumberg@mofo.com, holly@hollyjmitchell.com, rfleming-peters@counsel.lacounty.gov, mcarter@counsel.lacounty.gov, njenkins@lacounty.gov, njenkins@counsel.lacounty.gov, jgonzalez@counsel.lacounty.gov, lowt@metro.net, Tlow77@gmail.com, ryanthurlow@yahoo.com, tlow@lacounty.gov, tlow@counsel.lacounty.gov, tina@jmoilco.com, tlow7@yahoo.com, econard@lacounty.gov, econard@counsel.lacounty.gov, pepemendoza18@gmail.com, chelsaconrad@gmail.com, rmconard@gmail.com, kcluvsbarney@aol.com, susanconard@aol.com, s.conard@conardphoto.com,

susan@conardphoto.com, sconard@conardphoto.com, sales@conardphoto.com, support@conardphoto.com, scollins@cleat.org, admin@conardphoto.com, conard@conardphoto.com, fawino@counsel.lacounty.gov, cbarnett@counsel.lacounty.gov, donnghiem@gmail.com, brucenguyen@gmail.com, donnghiem@hotmail.com, don@lacounty.gov, dnghiem@counsel.lacounty.gov, schoi@counsel.lacounty.gov, ltaing@counsel.lacounty.gov, cesar@lacounty.gov, cdelperal@counsel.lacounty.gov, cdelperal@aol.com, cesar_del_peral@yahoo.com, cdelperal@yahoo.com, cesardelperal1@yahoo.com, theflyingtrex02@gmail.com, jquindel79@yahoo.com, atkins.sarah28@gmail.com, rfstacy@gmail.com, Ineversleep55.mh@gmail.com, rourke@lacounty.gov, rstacy@counsel.lacounty.gov, rstacy-padilla@counsel.lacounty.gov, vowens@counsel.lacounty.gov, mearls@counsel.lacounty.gov, dcarlos@county.lacounty.gov, dcarlos@counsel.lacounty.gov, victoriacarlos@gmail.com, dcarlos31@gmail.com, debbie.carlos818@verizon.net, victoria.carlos@gmail.com, humbert@ptminc.com, info@ptm-inc.com, psncarits@aol.com, jcarlos@msmc.la.edu, yngidea@gmail.com, richard.padilla@disney.com, andria.seo@disabilityrightsca.org, andiseo@gmail.com, wishinwaiting@hotmail.com, echu@counsel.lacounty.gov, sgipson@counsel.lacounty.gov, sladyesq@gmail.com, sladyesq@aol.com, Dhrubajyoti Deb <djdesign@gmail.com>, michaelstreetman@gmail.com, merugori@aol.com, rolaidz@yahoo.com, dtwister22@yahoo.com, dtwister@yahoo.com, sshon@counsel.lacounty.gov, rigesq@yahoo.com, grigesq@msn.com, gproducer@hotmail.com, mrsmithgcs@aol.com, azuiderweg@counsel.lacounty.gov, mruben@counsel.lacounty.gov, ctaylor@counsel.lacounty.gov, schan@counsel.lacounty.gov, kmuir@counsel.lacounty.gov, eggerse@metro.net, eggers@counsel.lacounty.gov, mrolon@counsel.lacounty.gov, mirder@hotmail.com, doug@homealert.com, doug.richardson@homealert.com, pghaderi@counsel.lacounty.gov, rsaldana@counsel.lacounty.gov, ndavis-tinkham@counsel.lacounty.gov, Nicole Davis <nikki.davis1212@gmail.com>, tink4d1@gmail.com, nadtinkham@gmail.com, brind0313@gmail.com, darrelltinkham@yahoo.com, jwhitehurst@counsel.lacounty.gov, ltolani@counsel.lacounty.gov, lester.tolnai@yahoo.com, rclester.tolnai71@yahoo.com, pmarshall@counsel.lacounty.gov, abyers@counsel.lacounty.gov, rcartwright@counsel.lacounty.gov, rwong@counsel.lacounty.gov, tfaughnan@counsel.lacounty.gov, tomfaughnan66@gmail.com, jlehman@counsel.lacounty.gov, kmiles@counsel.lacounty.gov, rbaeza@counsel.lacounty.gov, skuhn@counsel.county.gov, rchastang@counsel.lacounty.gov, lcampo@counsel.lacounty.gov, msimon@counsel.lacounty.gov, emiller@counsel.lacounty.gov, elizabeth_dumais@yahoo.com, kaigrant19@yahoo.com, pmomon@yahoo.com, pbollinger@counsel.lacounty.gov, kbowser@counsel.lacounty.gov, rebrahim@da.lacounty.gov, kkoyano@da.lacounty.gov, dteran@da.lacounty.gov, tsereno@lacounty.gov, tsereno@da.lacounty.gov, mbeaart@da.lacounty.gov, ron.geltz@lacounty.gov, rgeltz@da.lacounty.gov, Manik Bhoi <manik.bhoi@gmail.com>, withani@verizon.net, eric.castano@charter.net, jcastano@da.lacounty.gov, eric.castano@juno.com, ericcastano@gmail.com, JIniguez@da.lacounty.gov, fsanchez@da.lacounty.gov, rarcos@da.lacounty.gov, jrodriguez@dalacounty.gov, swoo@da.lacounty.gov, pagrawal@da.lacounty.gov, cnakao@da.lacounty.gov, vgadams@msn.com, jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov, ljkessner@da.lacounty.gov, ldroeger@da.lacounty.gov, jdsilva@ceo.lacounty.gov, jsilva@da.lacounty.gov, hborden@da.lacounty.gov, amattson@da.lacounty.gov, eratino@da.lacounty.gov, shaungipson@gmail.com, sgipson@da.lacounty.gov, twright@da.lacounty.gov, mcarrillo@da.lacounty.gov, gdameron@da.lacounty.gov, ayochelson@da.lacounty.gov, spresby@da.lacounty.gov, shassett@da.lacounty.gov, bjdodd@da.lacounty.gov, lorquiola@da.lacounty.gov, mramirez@da.lacounty.gov, ralarcon@da.lacounty.gov, shulefeld@da.lacounty.gov, smire@da.lacounty.gov, mhaidar@da.lacounty.gov, pkouch@da.lacounty.gov, vrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov, dpurvis@da.lacounty.gov, rarias@lacounty.gov, ezneimer@socal.rr.com, evelyn.zneimer@yahoo.com, samsterz78@hotmail.com, zneimer@yahoo.com, kristen.clarke@usdoj.gov, Christopher.wray@whitehouse.gov, christopher@fbi.gov, paul.abbate@ic.fbi.gov, paul.abbate@whitehouse.gov, paulabbate@yahoo.com, pa8160@hotmail.com, tguzmansanchez@hotmail.com, tguzmansanchez@aol.com, thomasguzmansanchez@hotmail.com, guzmansanchez@aol.com, ceo@gsmediagroup.com, tgsvrlmuzic@dancemaster.com, r@dancemaster.com, godaddy@dancemaster.com, tblacknell@da.lacounty.gov, mkapp@da.lacounty.gov, kalbrach@da.lacounty.gov, jjohnson@lacounty.gov, mmurray@da.lacounty.gov, kta@da.lacounty.gov, lklo@lasd.org, bskhount@lasd.org, CDCRCACLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CCRCMFLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCCILitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CCDCRCENLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCTFLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCMCLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCORLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCIMLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, FCORTEZ@cdcr.gov, Jeff.macomber@cdcr.ca.gov, Jennifer.barretto@cdcr.ca.gov, Tammy.foss@cdcr.ca.ov, Diana.toche@cdcr.ca.gov, albert.lundeen@cdcr.ca.gov, Jennifer.neil@cdcr.ca.gov, Sarah.larson@cdcr.ca.gov, Ron.broomfield@cdcr.ca.gov, Amy.casias@cdcr.ca.gov, Jason.johnson@cdcr.ca.gov, Chris.chambers@cdcr.ca.gov, Stacy.lopez@cdcr.ca.gov, Niki.dhillon@cdcr.ca.gov, Jennifer.benavidez@cdcr.ca.gov, heather.bowlds@cdcr.ca.gov, Jared.lozano@cdcr.ca.gov, Derrick.marion@cdcr.ca.gov, David.chriss@cdcr.ca.gov, Sarina.calderon@cdcr.ca.gov, Ying.sun@cdcr.ca.gov, Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>, aroganthollywoodgenius@yahoo.com, CDCRASPLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, fernando.cortez@cdcr.ca.gov, info@da.lacounty.gov, 12136331956@faxage.com, 16262814724@faxage.com, 12136330960@faxage.com, julie.kaplan@cdcr.ca.gov, sabrina.williams@cdcr.ca.gov, cory.alvarez@cdcr.ca.gov, jamal.rowe@cdcr.ca.gov, mark.cruise@cdcr.ca.gov, linda.larabee@cdcr.ca.gov, asvi.phuong@cdcr.ca.gov, jamiee.lacey@cdcr.ca.gov, dominique.hughes@cdcr.ca.gov, karen.reed@cdcr.ca.gov, manuel.banuelos@cdcr.ca.gov, "ecolor.pot@gmail.com" <ecolor.pot@gmail.com>,

"TCBecerra2016@gmail.com" <TCBecerra2016@gmail.com>, tarchuleetta@crowell.com, "tlopez@crowell.com" <tlopez@crowell.com>, tarchuletta@bellsouth.net, "theresa_c_lopez@hotmail.com" <theresa_c_lopez@hotmail.com>, "tarchuletta@gmail.com" <tarchuletta@gmail.com>, "cali80513@gmail.com" <cali80513@gmail.com>, theresa.chuletta@aug.com, tarchuletta@aol.com

people v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA114055) & UPCOMING FIRST CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT TITLED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. judy whitehurst, et al [DEMAND PEOPLE AND LASD IMMEDIATELY TURN OVER PHONY LASD GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GLO10586 & GLO10587.

BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG! WE MEET AGAIN AT LAST. I AM GOING TO MAKE SURE YOU GO TO STATE PRISON, YOU FU@@@@@ CROOKED AND CORRUPT PEACE OFFICER. YOU ARE A CRIMINAL!

On February 22, 2023, you created a phony search warrant so you could send my GoPro camera to your LASD Fraud and Cyber Crimes Bureau's Southern California High Tech Task Force (one of four high-tech task forces of California regulated by California DOJ). Regardless if corrupt judicial officer Susan Clover lied and covered for you. Your search warrant is uncertified, unoriginal, unattested, unverified, and unauthenticated. Further, proof that Lying Billy's warrant is phony is the fact that the Pasadena Superior Court has no records of ever receiving your warrant. Both you and DA failed to complete the LASD mandatory COUNTYWIDE WARRANT SYSTEM INITIAL CASE FILING FORM (LASC CRIM 126, REVISED ON JANUARY 20, 2024). IT WAS NEVER FILED BECAUSE YOU NEVER SUBMITTED YOUR SEARCH WARRANT TO THE SUPERIOR COURT, YOU ARE A CRIMINAL!


On April 4, 2023, you lied under oath that phony LASD-CREATED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GL010586 & GL010587 WERE DOWNLOADED FROM MY GOPRO CAMERA! FURTHER, YOU PLAYED THESE TWO VIDEOS IN THE SUPERIOR COURT ON APRIL 4, 2023, APRIL 5, 2023, & FEBRUARY 2, 2024 THAT DEPICTED AN AI POWERED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD WALKING AROUND FAKE VICTIM JENNIFER HUTTON HEGER'S HOUSE MECHANICALLY TOUCHING HIS GOPRO CAMERA BEFORE FINALLY SHUTTING IT OFF AS HE WALKED THROUGH A DARK HOUSE AND THEN OUTSIDE TO A DARK SKY! THIS VIDEO IS CLEARLY FAKE, AND HERE IS WHY YOU ARE CAUGHT NOW, FOOL!

THE REAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS ONLY SHOW AROGANT HOLLYWOOD INSIDE OF HUTTON-HERGER'S HOME FROM A POINT OF VIEW RECORDING VIEW. IN YOUR PHONY NEVER TURNED OVER TO THE DEFENSE LASD CREATED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS AI POWERED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD IS SEEN CENTERED IN YOUR GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING WITH HIS ENTIRE BACK AND BODY SHOWN ON THIS PHONY VIDEO RECORDING. IT WOULD HAVE BEEN IMPOSSIBLE FOR AROGANT TO RECORD THE BACKSIDE OF HIS BODY IF HIS GOPRO CAMERA WAS MOUNTED ON HIS CHEST. BUT THAT IS NOT ENOUGH TO CONVINCE THE SUPERIOR COURT TO OVERTURN THIS NEVER SHOULD HAVE BEEN FILED FRIVOLOUS CRIMINAL CASE.

BUT WHAT IS:

IN YOUR PHONY GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING GL010586 (WHICH WAS ORIGINALLY ONE CONTINUOUS 14-MINUTE VIDEO RECORDING) AI POWERED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD IS SEEN WALKING AROUND JENNIFER HUTTON-HEGER'S HOME MOMENTS BEFORE SHUTTING ON HIS GOPRO CAMERA WHILE WEARING DARK-COLORED SHORTS AND A FREAKIN TANK TOP!

THIS IS INCREDIBLY INTERESTING CRIMINALS BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG AND LEO KA FONG LO BECAUSE IN THE LASD POLICE VIDEO RECORDINGS AROGANT HOLLYWOOD IS SEEN WEARING A LONG SLEEVE COAT. IN THE REAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING, AROGANT HOLLYWOOD IS SEEN WEARING A LONG SLEEVE COAT. TAKE A LOOK AT THE IMAGES CREATED FROM VIDEO FRAME SEQUENCES OF THE REAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS! MOREOVER, AROGANT IS SEEN WEARING BAGGY PANTS, NOT THE SHORTS HE IS WEARING IN YOUR AI POWERED VIDEO RECORDINGS THAT WERE CLEARLY NOT RECORDED ON FEBRUARY 21, 2023.



AT 6 MINUTES AND 59 SECONDS INTO THE REAL VIDEO RECORDING AROGANT HOLLYWOOD IS DEPICTED WEARING A LONG COAT NOT A TANK TOP!



AT EIGHT MINUTES AND 33 SECONDS INTO THE REAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING, AROGANT HOLLYWOOD IS DEPICTED AND DISPLAYED ON VIDEO, STANDING IN A MIRROR WEARING A LONG-SLEEVED COAT. IN YOUR PHONY GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING GLO10587 AROGANT IS SEEN WALKING BY THE DOOR LIKE HE IS GOING OUTSIDE BUT HE IS NEVER SEEN STANDING IN THE MIRROR, BECAUSE YOUR VIDEO RECORDING WAS ALTERED AND DOCTORED BY LASD FRAUD AND CYBER CRIMES BUREAU SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA HIGH TECH TASK FORCE DISHONEST AND ROGUE PEACE OFFICERS.



AT 10 MINUTES AND 18 SECONDS AROGANT HOLLYWOOD IS AGAIN SEEN IN THE REAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING STANDING IN THE MIRROR INSIDE OF JENNIFER HUTTON-HEGER'S HOME. THE REAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING NOT ONLY PROVES YOU, MARK ROSENBERG, LO, AND LASD FALSIFIED EVIDENCE TO FRAME ME, BUT IT ALSO PROVES THAT, AS I TOLD THE SUPERIOR COURT, MY GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING GLO10586 WAS ONE CONTINUOUS GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING THAT LASD AND THE PEOPLE SPLIT UP SEVERAL TIMES TO FRAME ME!

WHEN 911 ASKED HUTTON-HEGER DURING HER FIRST 911 CALL WHAT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD WAS WEARING SHE TOLD 911 THAT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD WAS WEARING BAGGY PANTS AND A LONG SLEEVE SHIRT. HUTTON'S STATEMENTS CONTRADICT YOUR PHONY LASD-CREATED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS THAT MISLED THE CRIMINAL SUPERIOR COURT TO BELIEVE THAT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD WAS WEARING A TANK TOP AND SHORTS ON FEBRUARY 21, 2023 BETWEEN 9:59 AM AND 10:20 AM



AT 13 MINUTES AND 57 SECONDS INTO THE REAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S BODY IS SHOWN ON VIDEO REFLECTING OFF LASD PIG'S MARKED VEHICLE. AND WHAT IS HE STILL WEARING CRIMINALS LO AND LYING BILLY? HUH? HUH? A LONG SLEEVE COAT! SO THEN HOW DID AROGANT HOLLYWOOD CHANGE HIS CLOTHES IN SECONDS AFTER SHUTTING OFF HIS GOPRO CAMERA INTO A TANK TOP AND SHORTS, AND THEN SECONDS LATER CHANGE BACK INTO A COAT AND BAGGY PANTS, AND THEN YET, SECONDS LATER

CHANGE BACK INTO DARK-COLORED SHORTS AND TANK TOP AND THEN BACK INTO A LONG SLEEVE COAT AND BAGGY PANTS JUST BEFORE WALKING OUTSIDE TO GET FALSELY ARRESTED? ALL OF THIS CHANGING OCCURRING ACCORDING TO YOUR FALSE CASE WHILE JENNIFER HUTTON-HEGER IS LUNGING AT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD IN HER KITCHEN, AROGANT IS BRANDISHING A KNIFE, AROGANT HOLLYWOOD IS SPEAKING TO AN UBER DRIVER, AND IS CONSTANTLY KICKING AND PUNCHING ON JENNIFER HUTTON-HEGER'S FRONT DOOR ACCORDING TO HER FALSE TESTIMONY. THE REFLECTION OF AROGANT HOLLYWOOD SEEN STANDING IN HUTTON HEGER'S HOME WEARING A LONG SLEEVED COAT KILLS YOUR FINALLY DEAD FRIVOLOUS CONVICTION AND CASE!

PURSUANT TO CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE SECTION 1054.1, *Brady v. Maryland*, 373

U.S. 83, 83 S.Ct. 1194, 10 LEd.2d 215 (1963) & *United States v. Bagley*, 473 667, 682, 105 S.Ct. 3375, 87 L.Ed.2d 481 (1985) AROGANT HOLLYWOOD HEREBY DEMANDS THAT ROGUE PEACE OFFICERS BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG, LEO KA FONG LO, SHERIFF ROBERT LUNA, LASD, VENTURA SHERIFF'S OFFICE & SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA HIGH TECH TASK FORCE IMMEDIATELY DISCLOSE A COPY OF PHONY GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GL010586 AND GL010587 THAT FALSELY DEPICT AND DISPLAY AROGANT HOLLYWOOD SHUTTING OFF HIS GOPRO CAMERA AND WALKING NEAR HUTTON'S FRONT DOOR WITHOUT BEING SEEN STANDING IN HER MIRROR BY MAILING A USB FLASH DRIVE CONTAINING MP4 VERSIONS OF BOTH IMPEACHING VIDEO RECORDINGS TO:

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD

1308

EAST COLORADO BLVD.

PASADENA, CA 91106

PURSUANT TO CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE SECTION 1054.1, *Brady v. Maryland*, 373 U.S. 83, 83 S.Ct. 1194, 10 LEd.2d 215 (1963) *& United States v. Bagley*, 473 667, 682, 105 S.Ct. 3375, 87 L.Ed.2d 481 (1985), County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office DISCOVERY COMPLIANCE SYSTEM MANUAL & COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE LEGAL POLICIES MANUAL, CHAPTER 14: DISCLOSURE OF EXCULPATORY AND IMPEACHING INFORMATION AROGANT HOLLYWOOD HEREBY DEMANDS THAT RACIST, CORRUPT, BIASED, UNFAIR, AND PREJUDICED COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES CRIMINAL PROSECUTORS AND CRIMINAL OFFICE WORKING ATTORNEYS GEORGE GASCON, JOSEPH FRANK INIGUEZ, TIFFINY TOWNED BLACKNELL, RONALD MILLIARD GELTZ, JAMES WILLIAM

GARRISON, FERNANDO SANCHEZ, MICHAEL KAPP, KATHRYN ALBRACHT, ARISA MATTSON, TANISHA WRIGHT, MARC CORNELIS BEAART, STEPHANIE PEARL MIRE, LAURA JANE KESSNER, JANIS EVE JOHNSON, MARY ANNE MURRAY, KATHY UYEN TA, PAK B KOUCH, & ALL OTHER EMAILED COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES OFFICE OF COUNSEL MEMBERS IMMEDIATELY DISCLOSE A COPY OF PHONY GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GL010586 AND GL010587 THAT FALSELY DEPICT AND DISPLAY AROGANT HOLLYWOOD SHUTTING OFF HIS GOPRO CAMERA AND WALKING NEAR HUTTON'S FRONT DOOR WITHOUT BEING SEEN STANDING IN HER MIRROR BY MAILING A USB FLASH DRIVE CONTAINING MP4 VERSIONS OF BOTH IMPEACHING VIDEO RECORDINGS TO:

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD

1308

EAST COLORADO BLVD.

PASADENA, CA 91106

BASED ON THE OVERWHELMING EVIDENCE PRESENTED IN THIS EMAIL REGARDING MY INNOCENCE AND ALSO PROOF THAT THE PEOPLE AND LASD FRAMED ME FOR A SERIOUS FELONY CRIME I NEVER COMMITTED. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD HEREBY RESPECTFULLY REQUESTS THAT THE SECRETARY OF THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION IMMEDIATELY TERMINATE MY UNLAWFUL AND CLEARLY ILLEGAL PAROLE SUPERVISION.

Arogant Hollywood

Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com> Sat, Jun 8, 2024 at 8:03 AM
To: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com



Address not found

Your message wasn't delivered to **secondistrict@bos.lawcounty.gov** because the domain bos.lawcounty.gov couldn't be found. Check for typos or unnecessary spaces and try again.

LEARN MORE

The response was:

DNS Error: DNS type 'mx' lookup of bos.lawcounty.gov responded with code NXDOMAIN Domain name not found: bos.lawcounty.gov For more information, go to https://support.google.com/mail/?p=BadRcptDomain

Final-Recipient: rfc822; secondistrict@bos.lawcounty.gov
Action: failed
Status: 5.1.2
Diagnostic-Code: smtp; DNS Error: DNS type 'mx' lookup of bos.lawcounty.gov responded with code NXDOMAIN Domain name not found: bos.lawcounty.gov For more information, go to https://support.google.com/mail/?p=BadRcptDomain
Last-Attempt-Date: Sat, 08 Jun 2024 08:03:40 -0700 (PDT)

---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>
To: secondistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, secondistrict@bos.la.county.gov, "Holly4Assembly@gmail.com" <Holly4Assembly@gmail.com>, hjmitchel@aol.com, jmerchan@wdacs.lacounty.gov, info@wdacs.lacounty.gov, arogantmusicinc@gmail.com, fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com, causeofaction43@gmail.com, blacklawgenius@gmail.com, alisonhfairchild@gmail.com, rluna@lasd.org, rluna@lacounty.gov, atardy@lacounty.org, jtorres@lasd.org, saloma@lacounty.org, llecrivain@lasd.org, bchase@lacounty.gov, rlewis@lacounty.gov, llecrivain@lacounty.org, jmeza@lasd.org, ned.harlan@doj.ca.gov, nishdeep.singh@doj.ca.gov, robert.bonta@doj.ca.gov, OPSChiefsOffice@doj.ca.gov, peter.halloran@doj.ca.gov, amyhhalloran@yahoo.com, peterhalloran@sbcglobal.net, alisha.carlile@doj.ca.gov, snpdragn@aol.com, acarlile@alum.wellesley.edu, concertina9@yahoo.com, alishastephen@yahoo.com.au, alehr17@u.washington.edu, girlygirl8384@aol.com, akeened@yahoo.com, lish34@hotmail.com, piccbodin@hotmail.com, lachapell_a@yahoo.com, alishaoku@yahoo.com, alisha.mai@gmail.com, alisha.marks@rogers.com, vincent.bonotto@doj.ca.gov, vbonotto@hotmail.com, glenn.pruden@doj.ca.gov, eyeless77@hotmail.com, daniel.olivas@doj.ca.gov, giam.nguyen@doj.ca.gov, chad.stegeman@doj.ca.gov, stephen.lew@doj.ca.gov, christopher.findley@doj.ca.gov, dennis.beck@doj.ca.gov, darrell.spence@doj.ca.gov, maggy.krell@doj.ca.gov, Elaine.tong@doj.ca.gov, hannah.calacsan@doj.ca.gov, mo.park@doj.ca.gov, dbuthler@lasuperiorcourt.org, dslayton@lasuperiorcourt.org, sclover@lasuperiorcourt.org, jmoses@lasuperiorcourt.org, ppenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, tpenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, chase.penrose@gmail.com, mackenzienicole@gmail.com, trishpenrose@att.net, TCPJAC_CEAC@jud.ca.gov, afisher@lasuperiorcourt.org, jonathan.sibayan@jud.ca.gov, mary.carter@jud.ca.gov,

john.williams@jud.ca.gov, amber.barnett@jud.ca.go, robert.oyung@jud.ca.gov, john.yee@jud.ca.gov, heather.pettit@jud.ca.gov, leah.rose-goodwin@jud.ca.gov, mark.dusman@jud.ca.gov, cory.jasperson@jud.ca.gov, jenniejasperson@gmail.com, kelton.jasperson@gmail.com, cjasperson2@mindspring.com, judy.jasperson@att.net, randie.chance@doj.ca.gov, randie.chance@jud.ca.gov, Bobby.brow@jud.ca.gov, stephen.nash@jud.ca.gov, debbie.silcox@jud.ca.gov, laura.speed@jud.ca.gov, andrea.evans@jud.ca.gov, nicole.claro@jud.ca.gov, gavin.newsom@gov.ca.gov, cecilia.aguiar-curry@asm.ca.gov, stephanie.nguyen@asm.ca.gov, lori.wilson@asm.ca.gov, matt.haney@asm.ca.gov, heath.flora@asm.ca.gov, laurie.davies@asm.ca.gov, turfritecr@yahoo.com, turfrite@aol.com, president@whitehouse.gov, Kamala.harris@whitehouse.gov, leaht.wilson@calbar.ca.gov, leah.wilson50@yahoo.com, Elizabeth.hom@calbar.ca.gov, gina.crawford@calbar.ca.gov, rita.himes@calbar.ca.gov, esteinbach@aclusocal.org, manuel.alvarez@post.ca.gov, jim.grottkau@post.ca.gov, pgrottkau@yahoo.com, jamesgrottkau@aol.com, janie.grottkau@yahoo.com, annemarie.mugnaio@post.ca.gov, annemariedelmugnaio@gmail.com, chaseindixon@aol.com, obugrik@aol.com, sonya.baland@post.ca.gov, sonyar@comcast.net, cheryl.smith@post.ca.gov, colin.okeefe@post.ca.gov, cokeefe@post.ca.gov, dulce_cakes4u@yahoo.com, ulce_cakes4u@aol.com, gspinger5@yahoo.com, cokeefe@ci.davis.ca.us, colin.o'keefe530@verizon.net, co'keefe1514@bellsouth.net, sarah.wallace@post.ca.gov, william.darden@post.ca.gov, william.darden@doj.ca.gov, rpthjrcy@uojsdp.edu, williamtdarden@gmail.com, William.darden@urs.com, carrie.hollar@post.ca.gov, carrie.berg82@gmail.com, cberg@solanocounty.com, carrie.hollar@aol.com, erik.apperson@post.ca.gov, Ifey8808@gmail.com, wifey8808@gmail.com, erikandannaapperson@hotmail.com, onecubsfan@hotmail.com, egrundman@hotmail.com, todders@hotmail.com, erikapperson@hotmail.com, erik.apperson@yahoo.com, sb2@post.ca.gov, christine.ford@post.ca.gov, michelle.weiler@post.ca.gov, wildweilers@msn.com, cateweiler@msn.com, meagan.poulos@post.ca.gov, rosanne.richeal@post.ca.gov, thomas.chalk@post.ca.gov, katie.strickland@post.ca.gov, kirk.bunch@post.ca.gov, brad.newmyer@post.ca.gov, mike.radford@post.ca.gov, brian.south@post.ca.gov, goodwin.liu@jud.ca.gov, tani.cantil-sakauye@jud.ca.gov, joshua.groban@jud.ca.gov, martin.jenkins@jud.ca.gov, patricia.guerrero@jud.ca.gov, carol.corrigan@jud.ca.gov, leondra.kruger@jud.ca.gov, kellli.evans@jud.ca.gov, firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, cchen@bos.lacounty.gov, secondistrict@bos.lawcounty.gov, hmitchell@yahoo.com, karnbass@cs.com, tumberg@mofo.com, holly@hollyjmitchell.com, rfleming-peters@counsel.lacounty.gov, mcarter@counsel.lacounty.gov, njenkins@lacounty.gov, njenkins@counsel.lacounty.gov, jgonzalez@counsel.lacounty.gov, lowt@metro.net, Tlow77@gmail.com, ryanthurlow@yahoo.com, tlow@lacounty.gov, tlow@counsel.lacounty.gov, tina@jmoilco.com, tlow7@yahoo.com, econard@lacounty.gov, econard@counsel.lacounty.gov, pepemendoza18@gmail.com, chelsaconrad@gmail.com, rmconard@gmail.com, kcluvsbarney@aol.com, susanconard@aol.com, s.conard@conardphoto.com, susan@conardphoto.com, sconard@conardphoto.com, sales@conardphoto.com, support@conardphoto.com, scollins@cleat.org, admin@conardphoto.com, conard@conardphoto.com, fawino@counsel.lacounty.gov, cbarnett@counsel.lacounty.gov, donnghiem@gmail.com, brucenguyen@gmail.com, donnghiem@hotmail.com, don@lacounty.gov, dnghiem@counsel.lacounty.gov, schoi@counsel.lacounty.gov, ltaing@counsel.lacounty.gov, cesar@lacounty.gov, cdelperal@counsel.lacounty.gov, cdelperal@aol.com, cesar_del_peral@yahoo.com, cdelperal@yahoo.com, cesardelperal1@yahoo.com, theflyingtrex02@gmail.com, jquindel79@yahoo.com, atkins.sarah28@gmail.com, rfstacy@gmail.com, Ineversleep55.mh@gmail.com, rourke@lacounty.gov, rstacy@counsel.lacounty.gov, rstacy-padilla@counsel.lacounty.gov, vowens@counsel.lacounty.gov, mearls@counsel.lacounty.gov, dcarlos@county.lacounty.gov, dcarlos@counsel.lacounty.gov, victoriacarlos@gmail.com, dcarlos31@gmail.com, debbie.carlos818@verizon.net, victoria.carlos@gmail.com, humbert@ptminc.com, info@ptm-inc.com, psncarits@aol.com, jcarlos@msmc.la.edu, yngidea@gmail.com, richard.padilla@disney.com, andria.seo@disabilityrightsca.org, andiseo@gmail.com, wishinwaiting@hotmail.com, echu@counsel.lacounty.gov, sgipson@counsel.lacounty.gov, sladyesq@gmail.com, sladyesq@aol.com, Dhrubajyoti Deb <djdesign@gmail.com>, michaelstreetman@gmail.com, merugori@aol.com, rolaidz@yahoo.com, dtwister22@yahoo.com, dtwister@yahoo.com, sshon@counsel.lacounty.gov, rigesq@yahoo.com, grigesq@msn.com, gproducer@hotmail.com, mrsmithgcs@aol.com, azuiderweg@counsel.lacounty.gov, mruben@counsel.lacounty.gov, ctaylor@counsel.lacounty.gov, schan@counsel.lacounty.gov, kmuir@counsel.lacounty.gov, eggerse@metro.net, eggers@counsel.lacounty.gov, mrolon@counsel.lacounty.gov, mirder@hotmail.com, doug@homealert.com, doug.richardson@homealert.com, pghaderi@counsel.lacounty.gov, rsaldana@counsel.lacounty.gov, ndavis-tinkham@counsel.lacounty.gov, Nicole Davis <nikki.davis1212@gmail.com>, tink4d1@gmail.com, nadtinkham@gmail.com, brind0313@gmail.com, darrelllltinkham@yahoo.com, jwhitehurst@counsel.lacounty.gov, ltolani@counsel.lacounty.gov, lester.tolnai@yahoo.com, rclester.tolnai71@yahoo.com, pmarshall@counsel.lacounty.gov, abyers@counsel.lacounty.gov, rcartwright@counsel.lacounty.gov, rwong@counsel.lacounty.gov, tfaughnan@counsel.lacounty.gov, tomfaughnan66@gmail.com, jlehman@counsel.lacounty.gov, kmiles@counsel.lacounty.gov, rbaeza@counsel.lacounty.gov, skuhn@counsel.county.gov, rchastang@counsel.lacounty.gov, lcampo@counsel.lacounty.gov, msimon@counsel.lacounty.gov, emiller@counsel.lacounty.gov, elizabeth_dumais@yahoo.com, kaigrant19@yahoo.com, pmomon@yahoo.com, pbollinger@counsel.lacounty.gov, kbowser@counsel.lacounty.gov, rebrahim@da.lacounty.gov, kkoyano@da.lacounty.gov, dteran@da.lacounty.gov, tsereno@lacounty.gov, tsereno@da.lacounty.gov, mbeaart@da.lacounty.gov, ron.geltz@lacounty.gov, rgeltz@da.lacounty.gov, Manik Bhoi <manik.bhoi@gmail.com>,

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq.
1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD.
PASADENA, CA 91106
Mobile: 206.471.1344
arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted
Spirit of Esquire

people of the state of california, et al v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD

Case No. . 25CJCM02162-01

Exhibit 4 is a true and correct copy of AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S electronic mail Gmail message he sent to numerous County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutors, California Department of Justice attorneys, and County of Los Angles Office County Counsel attorneys on June 8, 2024, at 8:34 AM.

Exhibit 4 proves by clear and convincing evidence that Brian MARK Rosenberg recklessly violated California Penal Code § 148.5, California Government Code § 6200, and 18 United States Code § 242 by fabricating lies and making intentionally

---

EXHIBIT 4

false statements to rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang that AROGANT HOLLYWOOD sent him an electronic mail message on June 8, 2024, at 8:34 AM.

Exhibit 4 proves by clear and convincing evidence that rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, carelessly, deliberately, knowingly, and callously created and authored a false police report that fabricated lies and made intentionally false statements that a copy of Brian MARK Rosenberg's brosenberg@da.lacounty.gov June 8, 2024 at 8:34 AM. electronic mail message was attached to the end of his city of Alhambra crime report.

Exhibit 4 proves by clear and convincing evidence that RACIST and BIASED County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutors willfully, recklessly, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, deliberately, maliciously, and callously filed criminal prosecutors filed frivolous counts 1, 2, and 3 without a single piece of evidence and entirely without probable cause.

Exhibit 4 proves by clear and convincing evidence that RACIST and BIASED County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutors willfully, recklessly, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, deliberately, maliciously, and callously violated State Bar of California Rules of Professional Conduct Rules 3.1, 3.3, and 3.4; California Business and Professions Code § 6068, and American Bar Association Models Rules of Professional Conduct Rules 3.1, 3.3., and 3.4 by filing frivolous counts 1, 2, and 3 without a single piece of evidence and entirely without probable cause.

EXHIBIT 4

I declare, under penalty of perjury, under the laws and Constitution of California and the United States, that every word, sentence, paragraph, and page of the foregoing is true and accurate.

DATE: May 10, 2025

BY: _____

Arogant Hollywood, *Esq.*
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106
Mobile: (206) 471-1344
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
Spirit of Esquire

EXHIBIT 4

Gmail **Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>**

people v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA114055) & UPCOMING FIRST CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT TITLED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. judy whitehurst, et al [DEMAND PEOPLE AND LASD IMMEDIATELY TURN OVER PHONY LASD GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GLO10586 & GLO10587

1 message

Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com> Sat, Jun 8, 2024 at 8:34 AM
To: secondistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, secondistrict@bos.la.county.gov, "Holly4Assembly@gmail.com" <Holly4Assembly@gmail.com>, hjmitchel@aol.com, jmerchan@wdacs.lacounty.gov, info@wdacs.lacounty.gov, arogantmusicinc@gmail.com, fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com, causeofaction43@gmail.com, blacklawgenius@gmail.com, alisonhfairchild@gmail.com, rluna@lasd.org, rluna@lacounty.gov, atardy@lacounty.org, jtorres@lasd.org, saloma@lacounty.org, llecrivain@lasd.org, bchase@lacounty.gov, rlewis@lacounty.gov, llecrivain@lacounty.org, jmeza@lasd.org, ned.harlan@doj.ca.gov, nishdeep.singh@doj.ca.gov, robert.bonta@doj.ca.gov, OPSChiefsOffice@doj.ca.gov, peter.halloran@doj.ca.gov, amyhhalloran@yahoo.com, peterhalloran@sbcglobal.net, alisha.carlile@doj.ca.gov, snpdragn@aol.com, acarlile@alum.wellesley.edu, concertina9@yahoo.com, alishastephen@yahoo.com.au, alehr17@u.washington.edu, girlygirl8384@aol.com, akeened@yahoo.com, lish34@hotmail.com, piccbodin@hotmail.com, lachapell_a@yahoo.com, alishaoku@yahoo.com, alisha.mai@gmail.com, alisha.marks@rogers.com, vincent.bonotto@doj.ca.gov, vbonotto@hotmail.com, glenn.pruden@doj.ca.gov, eyeless77@hotmail.com, daniel.olivas@doj.ca.gov, giam.nguyen@doj.ca.gov, chad.stegeman@doj.ca.gov, stephen.lew@doj.ca.gov, christopher.findley@doj.ca.gov, dennis.beck@doj.ca.gov, darrell.spence@doj.ca.gov, maggy.krell@doj.ca.gov, Elaine.tong@doj.ca.gov, hannah.calacsan@doj.ca.gov, mo.park@doj.ca.gov, dbuthler@lasuperiorcourt.org, dslayton@lasuperiorcourt.org, sclover@lasuperiorcourt.org, jmoses@lasuperiorcourt.org, ppenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, tpenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, chase.penrose@gmail.com, mackenzienicole@gmail.com, trishpenrose@att.net, TCPJAC_CEAC@jud.ca.gov, afisher@lasuperiorcourt.org, jonathan.sibayan@jud.ca.gov, mary.carter@jud.ca.gov, john.williams@jud.ca.gov, amber.barnett@jud.ca.go, robert.oyung@jud.ca.gov, john.yee@jud.ca.gov, heather.pettit@jud.ca.gov, leah.rose-goodwin@jud.ca.gov, mark.dusman@jud.ca.gov, cory.jasperson@jud.ca.gov, jenniejasperson@gmail.com, kelton.jasperson@gmail.com, cjasperson2@mindspring.com, judy.jasperson@att.net, randie.chance@doj.ca.gov, randie.chance@jud.ca.gov, Bobby.brow@jud.ca.gov, stephen.nash@jud.ca.gov, debbie.silcox@jud.ca.gov, laura.speed@jud.ca.gov, andrea.evans@jud.ca.gov, nicole.claro@jud.ca.gov, gavin.newsom@gov.ca.gov, cecilia.aguiar-curry@asm.ca.gov, stephanie.nguyen@asm.ca.gov, lori.wilson@asm.ca.gov, matt.haney@asm.ca.gov, heath.flora@asm.ca.gov, laurie.davies@asm.ca.gov, turfritecr@yahoo.com, turfrite@aol.com, president@whitehouse.gov, Kamala.harris@whitehouse.gov, leaht.wilson@calbar.ca.gov, leah.wilson50@yahoo.com, Elizabeth.hom@calbar.ca.gov, gina.crawford@calbar.ca.gov, rita.himes@calbar.ca.gov, esteinbach@aclusocal.org, manuel.alvarez@post.ca.gov, jim.grottkau@post.ca.gov, pgrottkau@yahoo.com, jamesgrottkau@aol.com, janie.grottkau@yahoo.com, annemarie.mugnaio@post.ca.gov, annemariedelmugnaio@gmail.com, chaseindixon@aol.com, obugrik@aol.com, sonya.baland@post.ca.gov, sonyar@comcast.net, cheryl.smith@post.ca.gov, colin.okeefe@post.ca.gov, cokeefe@post.ca.gov, dulce_cakes4u@yahoo.com, ulce_cakes4u@aol.com, gspinger5@yahoo.com, cokeefe@ci.davis.ca.us, colin.o'keefe530@verizon.net, co'keefe1514@bellsouth.net, sarah.wallace@post.ca.gov, william.darden@post.ca.gov, william.darden@doj.ca.gov, rpthjrcy@uojsdp.edu, williamtdarden@gmail.com, William.darden@urs.com, carrie.hollar@post.ca.gov, carrie.berg82@gmail.com, cberg@solanocounty.com, carrie.hollar@aol.com, erik.apperson@post.ca.gov, lfey8808@gmail.com, wifey8808@gmail.com, erikandannaapperson@hotmail.com, onecubsfan@hotmail.com, egrundman@hotmail.com, todders@hotmail.com, erikapperson@hotmail.com, erik.apperson@yahoo.com, sb2@post.ca.gov, christine.ford@post.ca.gov, michelle.weiler@post.ca.gov, wildweilers@msn.com, cateweiler@msn.com, meagan.poulos@post.ca.gov, rosanne.richeal@post.ca.gov, thomas.chalk@post.ca.gov, katie.strickland@post.ca.gov, kirk.bunch@post.ca.gov, brad.newmyer@post.ca.gov, mike.radford@post.ca.gov, brian.south@post.ca.gov, goodwin.liu@jud.ca.gov, tani.cantil-sakauye@jud.ca.gov, joshua.groban@jud.ca.gov, martin.jenkins@jud.ca.gov, patricia.guerrero@jud.ca.gov, carol.corrigan@jud.ca.gov, leondra.kruger@jud.ca.gov, kellli.evans@jud.ca.gov, firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, cchen@bos.lacounty.gov, secondistrict@bos.lawcounty.gov, hmitchell@yahoo.com, karnbass@cs.com, tumberg@mofo.com, holly@hollyjmitchell.com, rfleming-peters@counsel.lacounty.gov, mcarter@counsel.lacounty.gov, njenkins@lacounty.gov, njenkins@counsel.lacounty.gov, jgonzalez@counsel.lacounty.gov, lowt@metro.net, Tlow77@gmail.com, ryanthurlow@yahoo.com, tlow@lacounty.gov, tlow@counsel.lacounty.gov, tina@jmoilco.com, tlow7@yahoo.com, econard@lacounty.gov, econard@counsel.lacounty.gov, pepemendoza18@gmail.com, chelsaconrad@gmail.com, rmconard@gmail.com, kcluvsbarney@aol.com, susanconard@aol.com, s.conard@conardphoto.com,

susan@conardphoto.com, sconard@conardphoto.com, sales@conardphoto.com, support@conardphoto.com, scollins@cleat.org, admin@conardphoto.com, conard@conardphoto.com, fawino@counsel.lacounty.gov, cbarnett@counsel.lacounty.gov, donnghiem@gmail.com, brucenguyen@gmail.com, donnghiem@hotmail.com, don@lacounty.gov, dnghiem@counsel.lacounty.gov, schoi@counsel.lacounty.gov, ltaing@counsel.lacounty.gov, cesar@lacounty.gov, cdelperal@counsel.lacounty.gov, cdelperal@aol.com, cesar_del_peral@yahoo.com, cdelperal@yahoo.com, cesardelperal1@yahoo.com, theflyingtrex02@gmail.com, jquindel79@yahoo.com, atkins.sarah28@gmail.com, rfstacy@gmail.com, Ineversleep55.mh@gmail.com, rourke@lacounty.gov, rstacy@counsel.lacounty.gov, rstacy-padilla@counsel.lacounty.gov, vowens@counsel.lacounty.gov, mearls@counsel.lacounty.gov, dcarlos@county.lacounty.gov, dcarlos@counsel.lacounty.gov, victoriacarlos@gmail.com, dcarlos31@gmail.com, debbie.carlos818@verizon.net, victoria.carlos@gmail.com, humbert@ptminc.com, info@ptm-inc.com, psncarits@aol.com, jcarlos@msmc.la.edu, yngidea@gmail.com, richard.padilla@disney.com, andria.seo@disabilityrightsca.org, andiseo@gmail.com, wishinwaiting@hotmail.com, echu@counsel.lacounty.gov, sgipson@counsel.lacounty.gov, sladyesq@gmail.com, sladyesq@aol.com, Dhrubajyoti Deb <djdesign@gmail.com>, michaelstreetman@gmail.com, merugori@aol.com, rolaidz@yahoo.com, dtwister22@yahoo.com, dtwister@yahoo.com, sshon@counsel.lacounty.gov, rigesq@yahoo.com, grigesq@msn.com, gproducer@hotmail.com, mrsmithgcs@aol.com, azuiderweg@counsel.lacounty.gov, mruben@counsel.lacounty.gov, ctaylor@counsel.lacounty.gov, schan@counsel.lacounty.gov, kmuir@counsel.lacounty.gov, eggerse@metro.net, eggers@counsel.lacounty.gov, mrolon@counsel.lacounty.gov, mirder@hotmail.com, doug@homealert.com, doug.richardson@homealert.com, pghaderi@counsel.lacounty.gov, rsaldana@counsel.lacounty.gov, ndavis-tinkham@counsel.lacounty.gov, Nicole Davis <nikki.davis1212@gmail.com>, tink4d1@gmail.com, nadtinkham@gmail.com, brind0313@gmail.com, darrelltinkham@yahoo.com, jwhitehurst@counsel.lacounty.gov, ltolani@counsel.lacounty.gov, lester.tolnai@yahoo.com, rclester.tolnai71@yahoo.com, pmarshall@counsel.lacounty.gov, abyers@counsel.lacounty.gov, rcartwright@counsel.lacounty.gov, rwong@counsel.lacounty.gov, tfaughnan@counsel.lacounty.gov, tomfaughnan66@gmail.com, jlehman@counsel.lacounty.gov, kmiles@counsel.lacounty.gov, rbaeza@counsel.lacounty.gov, skuhn@counsel.county.gov, rchastang@counsel.lacounty.gov, lcampo@counsel.lacounty.gov, msimon@counsel.lacounty.gov, emiller@counsel.lacounty.gov, elizabeth_dumais@yahoo.com, kaigrant19@yahoo.com, pmomon@yahoo.com, pbollinger@counsel.lacounty.gov, kbowser@counsel.lacounty.gov, rebrahim@da.lacounty.gov, kkoyano@da.lacounty.gov, dteran@da.lacounty.gov, tsereno@lacounty.gov, tsereno@da.lacounty.gov, mbeaart@da.lacounty.gov, ron.geltz@lacounty.gov, rgeltz@da.lacounty.gov, Manik Bhoi <manik.bhoi@gmail.com>, withani@verizon.net, eric.castano@charter.net, jcastano@da.lacounty.gov, eric.castano@juno.com, ericcastano@gmail.com, JIniguez@da.lacounty.gov, fsanchez@da.lacounty.gov, rarcos@da.lacounty.gov, jrodriguez@dalacounty.gov, swoo@da.lacounty.gov, pagrawal@da.lacounty.gov, cnakao@da.lacounty.gov, vgadams@msn.com, jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov, ljkessner@da.lacounty.gov, ldroeger@da.lacounty.gov, jdsilva@ceo.lacounty.gov, jsilva@da.lacounty.gov, hborden@da.lacounty.gov, amattson@da.lacounty.gov, eratino@da.lacounty.gov, shaungipson@gmail.com, sgipson@da.lacounty.gov, twright@da.lacounty.gov, mcarrillo@da.lacounty.gov, gdameron@da.lacounty.gov, ayochelson@da.lacounty.gov, spresby@da.lacounty.gov, shassett@da.lacounty.gov, bjdodd@da.lacounty.gov, lorquiola@da.lacounty.gov, mramirez@da.lacounty.gov, ralarcon@da.lacounty.gov, shulefeld@da.lacounty.gov, smire@da.lacounty.gov, mhaidar@da.lacounty.gov, pkouch@da.lacounty.gov, vrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov, dpurvis@da.lacounty.gov, rarias@lacounty.gov, ezneimer@socal.rr.com, evelyn.zneimer@yahoo.com, samsterz78@hotmail.com, zneimer@yahoo.com, kristen.clarke@usdoj.gov, Christopher.wray@whitehouse.gov, christopher@fbi.gov, paul.abbate@ic.fbi.gov, paul.abbate@whitehouse.gov, paulabbate@yahoo.com, pa8160@hotmail.com, tguzmansanchez@hotmail.com, tguzmansanchez@aol.com, thomasguzmansanchez@hotmail.com, guzmansanchez@aol.com, ceo@gsmediagroup.com, tgsvrlmuzic@dancemaster.com, r@dancemaster.com, godaddy@dancemaster.com, tblacknell@da.lacounty.gov, mkapp@da.lacounty.gov, kalbrach@da.lacounty.gov, jjohnson@lacounty.gov, mmurray@da.lacounty.gov, kta@da.lacounty.gov, lklo@lasd.org, bskhount@lasd.org, CDCRCACLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CCRCMFLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCCILitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CCDCRCENLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCTFLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCMCLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCORLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCIMLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, FCORTEZ@cdcr.gov, Jeff.macomber@cdcr.ca.gov, Jennifer.barretto@cdcr.ca.gov, Tammy.foss@cdcr.ca.ov, Diana.toche@cdcr.ca.gov, albert.lundeen@cdcr.ca.gov, Jennifer.neil@cdcr.ca.gov, Sarah.larson@cdcr.ca.gov, Ron.broomfield@cdcr.ca.gov, Amy.casias@cdcr.ca.gov, Jason.johnson@cdcr.ca.gov, Chris.chambers@cdcr.ca.gov, Stacy.lopez@cdcr.ca.gov, Niki.dhillon@cdcr.ca.gov, Jennifer.benavidez@cdcr.ca.gov, heather.bowlds@cdcr.ca.gov, Jared.lozano@cdcr.ca.gov, Derrick.marion@cdcr.ca.gov, David.chriss@cdcr.ca.gov, Sarina.calderon@cdcr.ca.gov, Ying.sun@cdcr.ca.gov, Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>, aroganthollywoodgenius@yahoo.com, CDCRASPLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, fernando.cortez@cdcr.ca.gov, info@da.lacounty.gov, 12136331956@faxage.com, 16262814724@faxage.com, 12136330960@faxage.com, julie.kaplan@cdcr.ca.gov, sabrina.williams@cdcr.ca.gov, cory.alvarez@cdcr.ca.gov, jamal.rowe@cdcr.ca.gov, mark.cruise@cdcr.ca.gov, linda.larabee@cdcr.ca.gov, asvi.phuong@cdcr.ca.gov, jamiee.lacey@cdcr.ca.gov, dominique.hughes@cdcr.ca.gov, karen.reed@cdcr.ca.gov, manuel.banuelos@cdcr.ca.gov, "ecolor.pot@gmail.com" <ecolor.pot@gmail.com>,

"TCBecerra2016@gmail.com" <TCBecerra2016@gmail.com>, tarchuleetta@crowell.com, "tlopez@crowell.com" <tlopez@crowell.com>, tarchuletta@bellsouth.net, "theresa_c_lopez@hotmail.com" <theresa_c_lopez@hotmail.com>, "tarchuletta@gmail.com" <tarchuletta@gmail.com>, "cali80513@gmail.com" <cali80513@gmail.com>, theresa.chuletta@aug.com, tarchuletta@aol.com, hollyjmitchell@bos.lacounty.gov

people v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA114055) & UPCOMING FIRST CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT TITLED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. judy whitehurst, et al [DEMAND PEOPLE AND LASD IMMEDIATELY TURN OVER PHONY LASD GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GLO10586 & GLO10587

BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG! WE MEET AGAIN AT LAST. I AM GOING TO MAKE SURE YOU GO TO STATE PRISON, YOU FU@@@@@ CROOKED AND CORRUPT PEACE OFFICER. YOU ARE A CRIMINAL!

On February 22, 2023, you created a phony search warrant so you could send my GoPro camera to your LASD Fraud and Cyber Crimes Bureau's Southern California High Tech Task Force (one of four high-tech task forces of California regulated by California DOJ). Regardless if corrupt judicial officer Susan Clover lied and covered for you. Your search warrant is uncertified, unoriginal, unattested, unverified, and unauthenticated. Further, proof that Lying Billy's warrant is phony is the fact that the Pasadena Superior Court has no records of ever receiving your warrant. Both you and DA failed to complete the LASD mandatory COUNTYWIDE WARRANT SYSTEM INITIAL CASE FILING FORM (LASC CRIM 126, REVISED ON JANUARY 20, 2024). IT WAS NEVER FILED BECAUSE YOU NEVER SUBMITTED YOUR SEARCH WARRANT TO THE SUPERIOR COURT, YOU ARE A CRIMINAL!

On April 4, 2023, you lied under oath that phony LASD-CREATED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GL010586 & GL010587 WERE DOWNLOADED FROM MY GOPRO CAMERA! FURTHER, YOU PLAYED THESE TWO VIDEOS IN THE SUPERIOR COURT ON APRIL 4, 2023, APRIL 5, 2023, & FEBRUARY 2, 2024 THAT DEPICTED AN AI POWERED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD WALKING AROUND FAKE VICTIM JENNIFER HUTTON HEGER'S HOUSE MECHANICALLY TOUCHING HIS GOPRO CAMERA BEFORE FINALLY SHUTTING IT OFF AS HE WALKED THROUGH A DARK HOUSE AND THEN OUTSIDE TO A DARK SKY! THIS VIDEO IS CLEARLY FAKE, AND HERE IS WHY YOU ARE CAUGHT NOW, FOOL!


THE REAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS ONLY SHOW AROGANT HOLLYWOOD INSIDE OF HUTTON-HERGER'S HOME FROM A POINT OF VIEW RECORDING VIEW. IN YOUR PHONY NEVER TURNED OVER TO THE DEFENSE LASD CREATED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS AI POWERED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD IS SEEN CENTERED IN YOUR GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING WITH HIS ENTIRE BACK AND BODY SHOWN ON THIS PHONY VIDEO RECORDING. IT WOULD HAVE BEEN IMPOSSIBLE FOR AROGANT TO RECORD THE BACKSIDE OF HIS BODY IF HIS GOPRO CAMERA WAS MOUNTED ON HIS CHEST. BUT THAT IS NOT ENOUGH TO CONVINCE THE SUPERIOR COURT TO OVERTURN THIS NEVER SHOULD HAVE BEEN FILED FRIVOLOUS CRIMINAL CASE.

BUT WHAT IS:

IN YOUR PHONY GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING GL010586 (WHICH WAS ORIGINALLY ONE CONTINUOUS 14-MINUTE VIDEO RECORDING) AI POWERED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD IS SEEN WALKING AROUND JENNIFER HUTTON-HEGER'S HOME MOMENTS BEFORE SHUTTING ON HIS GOPRO CAMERA WHILE WEARING DARK-COLORED SHORTS AND A FREAKIN TANK TOP!

THIS IS INCREDIBLY INTERESTING CRIMINALS BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG AND LEO KA FONG LO BECAUSE IN THE LASD POLICE VIDEO RECORDINGS AROGANT HOLLYWOOD IS SEEN WEARING A LONG SLEEVE COAT. IN THE REAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING, AROGANT HOLLYWOOD IS SEEN WEARING A LONG SLEEVE COAT. TAKE A LOOK AT THE IMAGES CREATED FROM VIDEO FRAME SEQUENCES OF THE REAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS! MOREOVER, AROGANT IS SEEN WEARING BAGGY PANTS, NOT THE SHORTS HE IS WEARING IN YOUR AI POWERED VIDEO RECORDINGS THAT WERE CLEARLY NOT RECORDED ON

FEBRUARY 21, 2023.



AT 6 MINUTES AND 59 SECONDS INTO THE REAL VIDEO RECORDING AROGANT HOLLYWOOD IS DEPICTED WEARING A LONG COAT NOT A TANK TOP!



AT EIGHT MINUTES AND 33 SECONDS INTO THE REAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING, AROGANT HOLLYWOOD IS DEPICTED AND DISPLAYED ON VIDEO, STANDING IN A MIRROR WEARING A LONG-SLEEVED COAT. IN YOUR PHONY GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING GLO10587 AROGANT IS SEEN WALKING BY THE DOOR LIKE HE IS GOING OUTSIDE BUT HE IS NEVER SEEN STANDING IN THE MIRROR, BECAUSE YOUR VIDEO RECORDING WAS ALTERED AND DOCTORED BY LASD FRAUD AND CYBER CRIMES BUREAU

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA HIGH TECH TASK FORCE DISHONEST AND ROGUE PEACE OFFICERS.



AT 10 MINUTES AND 18 SECONDS AROGANT HOLLYWOOD IS AGAIN SEEN IN THE REAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING STANDING IN THE MIRROR INSIDE OF JENNIFER HUTTON-HEGER'S HOME. THE REAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING NOT ONLY PROVES YOU, MARK ROSENBERG, LO, AND LASD FALSIFIED EVIDENCE TO FRAME ME, BUT IT ALSO PROVES THAT, AS I TOLD THE SUPERIOR COURT, MY GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING GLO10586 WAS ONE CONTINUOUS GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING THAT LASD AND THE PEOPLE SPLIT UP SEVERAL TIMES TO FRAME ME!

WHEN 911 ASKED HUTTON-HEGER DURING HER FIRST 911 CALL WHAT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD WAS WEARING SHE TOLD 911 THAT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD WAS WEARING BAGGY PANTS AND A LONG SLEEVE SHIRT. HUTTON'S STATEMENTS CONTRADICT YOUR PHONY LASD-CREATED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS THAT MISLED THE CRIMINAL SUPERIOR COURT TO BELIEVE THAT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD WAS WEARING A TANK TOP AND SHORTS ON FEBRUARY 21, 2023 BETWEEN 9:59 AM AND 10:20 AM



AT 13 MINUTES AND 57 SECONDS INTO THE REAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S BODY IS SHOWN ON VIDEO REFLECTING OFF LASD PIG'S MARKED VEHICLE. AND WHAT IS HE STILL WEARING CRIMINALS LO AND LYING BILLY? HUH? HUH? A LONG SLEEVE COAT! SO THEN HOW DID AROGANT HOLLYWOOD CHANGE HIS CLOTHES IN SECONDS AFTER SHUTTING OFF HIS GOPRO CAMERA INTO A TANK TOP AND SHORTS, AND THEN SECONDS LATER CHANGE BACK INTO A COAT AND BAGGY PANTS, AND THEN YET, SECONDS LATER

CHANGE BACK INTO DARK-COLORED SHORTS AND TANK TOP AND THEN BACK INTO A LONG SLEEVE COAT AND BAGGY PANTS JUST BEFORE WALKING OUTSIDE TO GET FALSELY ARRESTED? ALL OF THIS CHANGING OCCURRING ACCORDING TO YOUR FALSE CASE WHILE JENNIFER HUTTON-HEGER IS LUNGING AT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD IN HER KITCHEN, AROGANT IS BRANDISHING A KNIFE, AROGANT HOLLYWOOD IS SPEAKING TO AN UBER DRIVER, AND IS CONSTANTLY KICKING AND PUNCHING ON JENNIFER HUTTON-HEGER'S FRONT DOOR ACCORDING TO HER FALSE TESTIMONY. THE REFLECTION OF AROGANT HOLLYWOOD SEEN STANDING IN HUTTON HEGER'S HOME WEARING A LONG SLEEVED COAT KILLS YOUR FINALLY DEAD FRIVOLOUS CONVICTION AND CASE!

PURSUANT TO CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE SECTION 1054.1, *Brady v. Maryland*, 373

U.S. 83, 83 S.Ct. 1194, 10 LEd.2d 215 (1963) & *United States v. Bagley*, 473 667, 682, 105 S.Ct. 3375, 87 L.Ed.2d 481 (1985) AROGANT HOLLYWOOD HEREBY DEMANDS THAT ROGUE PEACE OFFICERS BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG, LEO KA FONG LO, SHERIFF ROBERT LUNA, LASD, VENTURA SHERIFF'S OFFICE & SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA HIGH TECH TASK FORCE IMMEDIATELY DISCLOSE A COPY OF PHONY GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GL010586 AND GL010587 THAT FALSELY DEPICT AND DISPLAY AROGANT HOLLYWOOD SHUTTING OFF HIS GOPRO CAMERA AND WALKING NEAR HUTTON'S FRONT DOOR WITHOUT BEING SEEN STANDING IN HER MIRROR BY MAILING A USB FLASH DRIVE CONTAINING MP4 VERSIONS OF BOTH IMPEACHING VIDEO RECORDINGS TO:

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD

1308

EAST COLORADO BLVD.

PASADENA, CA 91106

PURSUANT TO CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE SECTION 1054.1, *Brady v. Maryland*, 373 U.S. 83, 83 S.Ct. 1194, 10 LEd.2d 215 (1963) *& United States v. Bagley*, 473 667, 682, 105 S.Ct. 3375, 87 L.Ed.2d 481 (1985), County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office DISCOVERY COMPLIANCE SYSTEM MANUAL & COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE LEGAL POLICIES MANUAL, CHAPTER 14: DISCLOSURE OF EXCULPATORY AND IMPEACHING INFORMATION AROGANT HOLLYWOOD HEREBY DEMANDS THAT RACIST, CORRUPT, BIASED, UNFAIR, AND PREJUDICED COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES CRIMINAL PROSECUTORS AND CRIMINAL OFFICE WORKING ATTORNEYS GEORGE GASCON, JOSEPH FRANK INIGUEZ, TIFFINY TOWNED BLACKNELL, RONALD MILLIARD GELTZ, JAMES WILLIAM

GARRISON, FERNANDO SANCHEZ, MICHAEL KAPP, KATHRYN ALBRACHT, ARISA MATTSON, TANISHA WRIGHT, MARC CORNELIS BEAART, STEPHANIE PEARL MIRE, LAURA JANE KESSNER, JANIS EVE JOHNSON, MARY ANNE MURRAY, KATHY UYEN TA, PAK B KOUCH, & ALL OTHER EMAILED COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES OFFICE OF COUNSEL MEMBERS IMMEDIATELY DISCLOSE A COPY OF PHONY GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GL010586 AND GL010587 THAT FALSELY DEPICT AND DISPLAY AROGANT HOLLYWOOD SHUTTING OFF HIS GOPRO CAMERA AND WALKING NEAR HUTTON'S FRONT DOOR WITHOUT BEING SEEN STANDING IN HER MIRROR BY MAILING A USB FLASH DRIVE CONTAINING MP4 VERSIONS OF BOTH IMPEACHING VIDEO RECORDINGS TO:

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD

1308

EAST COLORADO BLVD.

PASADENA, CA 91106

BASED ON THE OVERWHELMING EVIDENCE PRESENTED IN THIS EMAIL REGARDING MY INNOCENCE AND ALSO PROOF THAT THE PEOPLE AND LASD FRAMED ME FOR A SERIOUS FELONY CRIME I NEVER COMMITTED. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD HEREBY RESPECTFULLY REQUESTS THAT THE SECRETARY OF THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION IMMEDIATELY TERMINATE MY UNLAWFUL AND CLEARLY ILLEGAL PAROLE SUPERVISION.

LOS ANGELES COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE IS HEREBY SERVED THEIR DISCOVERY COMPLIANCE SYSTEM MANUAL AND LEGAL POLICIES MANUAL, CHAPTER 14.

A COURT HEARING WILL BE HELD REGARDING PEOPLE'S RECKLESS, WILLFUL, WANTON, MALICIOUS, ANC CALLOUS UNDER COLOR LAW DELIBERATE INDIFFERENCE BY NOT TURNING OVER PHONE GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GLO10586 & GL010587 AFTER AROGANT HOLLYWOOD HAS MADE COUNTLESS REQUESTS FOR THE PEOPLE TO DO SO BY CONTACTING DA'S OFFICE BY TELEPHONE, FAX, AND ELECTRONIC MAIL.

Arogant Hollywood

14 attachments



CONTRERAS PHONY PROBABLE CAUSE, EXHIBIT 4.jpg
814K




Image_20240606_0001 (2).png
599K



GOPRO IMAGE SHOWING AROGANT STANDING IN MIRROR 10 minutes, EXHIBIT 3.PNG
4887K



GOPRO IMAGE SHOWING AROGANT STANDING IN MIRROR, EXHIBIT 2.PNG
5153K



GOPRO IMAGE SHOWING AROGANT WEARING A LONG SLEEVED SHIRT, EXHIBIT 1.PNG
6178K



GOPRO IMAGE SHOWING AROGANT'S REFLECTION ON LASD PIG CAR, EXHIBIT 4.PNG
3994K



REAL PROBABLE CAUSE FOR LASD .jpg
244K

CRIMINAL SUBPOENA FOR LASD FRAUD AND CYBER CRIMES BUREAU.pdf
121K

Brady-Chapter14-2020, L.A. COUNTY DA OFFICE LEGAL POLICIES, CHAPTER 14.pdf
206K

CARLOS A. JACKSON SUBPOENA.pdf
102K

CRIMINAL SUBPOENA FOR RACIST EXPERT WITNESS THOMAS GUZMAN SANCHEZ.pdf
122K

CRIMINAL SUBPOENA FOR RACIST INVESTIGATOR JOEL SIMEON WYENN.pdf
121K

DCS-072122, DISCOVERY COMPLIANCE SYSTEM MANUAL.pdf
610K

MANDATORY COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES SEARCH FORM NEVER DONE BY LASD AND DA.pdf
115K

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq.
1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD.
PASADENA, CA 91106
Mobile: 206.471.1344
aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted
Spirit of Esquire

people of the state of california, et al v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD

Case No. . 25CJCM02162-01

Exhibit 5 is a true and correct copy of AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S electronic mail Gmail message he sent to numerous County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutors, California Department of Justice attorneys, and County of Los Angles Office County Counsel attorneys on May 7, 2024, at 12:39 AM.

Exhibit 5 proves by clear and convincing evidence that Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators all knew with 100% certainty and were fully aware that County of Los

---

EXHIBIT 5

Angeles District Attorney's Office deputy district attorney Brian MARK Rosenberg willfully, recklessly, maliciously, carelessly, wantonly, deliberately, knowingly, and callously violated California Penal Code § 148.5, California Government Code § 6200, and 18 United States Code § 242 by fabricating lies and making intentionally false statements to rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang that AROGANT HOLLYWOOD sent him electronic mail messages on November 28, 2023, February 13. 2024, and June 8, 2024.

Exhibit 5 proves by clear and convincing evidence that Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators all knew with 100% certainty and were fully aware that rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, carelessly, deliberately, knowingly, and callously created and authored a false police report that fabricated lies and made intentionally false statements that a copy of Brian MARK Rosenberg's brosenberg@da.lacounty.gov June 8, 2024 at 8:34 AM. electronic mail message was attached to the end of his city of Alhambra crime report.

Exhibit 5 proves by clear and convincing evidence that Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators all knew with 100% certainty and were fully aware that RACIST and BIASED County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutors willfully, recklessly, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, deliberately, maliciously, and callously filed criminal prosecutors filed frivolous counts 1, 2, and 3 without a single piece of evidence and entirely without probable cause.

Exhibit 5 proves by clear and convincing evidence that Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators all knew with 100% certainty and were fully aware that RACIST and BIASED County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutors willfully, recklessly, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, deliberately, maliciously, and callously violated State Bar of California Rules of Professional Conduct Rules 3.1, 3.3, and 3.4; California Business and Professions Code § 6068, and American Bar Association Models Rules of Professional Conduct

---

EXHIBIT 5

Rules 3.1, 3.3., and 3.4 by filing frivolous counts 1, 2, and 3 without a single piece of evidence and entirely without probable cause.

Exhibit 5 proves by clear and convincing evidence that there was no probable cause or leave basis for *Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators to utilize their under color of law abuse of judicial process to request that judicial officer MARK WINDHAM find AROGANT HOLLYWOOD in violation of his conditions of release after Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators were all electronically served clear and convincing evidence that AROGANT HOLLYWOOD was factually innocent of Counts 3, 4, and 5 of their frivolous and RACIST misdemeanor criminal complaint 25CJCM02162-01. And instead of following the law under State Bar of California Rules of Professional Conduct Rules 3.1, 3.3, and 3.4; California Business and Professions Code § 6068, and American Bar Association Models Rules of Professional Conduct Rules 3.1, 3.3., and 3.4 by dismissing counts 3, 4, and 5 on their own criminal motion but they all instead chose to commit reckless under color of law deceit by willfully, recklessly, maliciously, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, deliberately, and callously failing to inform, failing to disclose, and concealing from, and hiding from a Superior Court of California judicial officer that they were all in possession of entirely exculpatory evidence that proved AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S factual innocence by clear and convincing evidence.*

I declare, under penalty of perjury, under the laws and Constitution of California and the United States, that every word, sentence, paragraph, and page of the foregoing is true and accurate.

EXHIBIT 5

DATE: May 10, 2025

BY: Arogant Hollywood
_____

Arogant Hollywood, *Esq.*
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106
Mobile: (206) 471-1344
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
Spirit of Esquire

King Arogant

EXHIBIT 5

Gmail

Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>

FRIVOLOUS CRIMINAL CASE 25CJCM02162-01, FIRST LEGAL WARNING TO COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, ITS DDAS, CITY OF ALHAMBRA AND ITS CONSPIRING ATTORNEYS TO RETURN ALL PROPERTY, DISMISSED ALL FRIVOLOUS FIVE COUNTS, CRIMINALLY CHARGE RACIST CRIMINAL PROSECUTOR BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG, REPORT MARK ROSENBERG TO THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA, CREATE SUPPLEMENTAL POLICE REPORT THAT HIGHLIGHTS AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S FACTUAL INNOCENCE, AND TURN OVER ALL CRIMINAL CASE DISCOVERY; INCLUDING PHONY PROBABLE CAUSE DETERMINATION

96 messages

Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com> Wed, May 7, 2025 at 12:39 AM

To: gkennedy@alhambrapd.org, jargusa@alhambrafire.org, jbinnquist@cityofalhambra.org, tseki@alhambrapd.org, Area1@alhambrapd.org, gsepncer@alhambrapd.org, area2@alhambrapd.org, rsoriano@alhambrapd.org, area3@alhambrapd.org, rcastillo@alhambrapd.org, area4@alhambrapd.org, klaing@alhambrapd.org, dfuentes@alhambrapd.org, lgarcia@alhambraca.gov, gdiaz@alhambrapd.org, rrongavilla@alhambrapd.org, dtran@slhsmbrapd.org, mlee@alhambrapd.org, hreyes@alhambrapd.org, bchung@alhambrapd.org, luwan@cityofalhambra.org, klee@alhambraca.gov, rmaza@cityofalhambra.org, jmaloney@cityofalhambra.org, maloney@smmc.ca.gov, nwang@cityofalhambra.org, aandradestadler@gmail.com, adele.stadler@gmail.com, joestadler@ladwp.com, andrade@eaterhlink.net, aandradestadler@yahoo.com, jmontes@bwslaw.com, CABBOTT@bwslaw.com, baffrunti@bwslaw.com, bguerrero@bwslaw.com, gaker@bwslaw.com, danneet@bwslaw.com, maustin@bwslaw.com, rbalchum@bwslaw.com, mtran@bwslaw.com, mbarrett@bwslaw.com, Jbalchum@bwslaw.com, dbazzano@bwslaw.com, kberger@bwslaw.com, mbernacchi@bwslaw.com, jlewin@dalacounty.gov, mmcilvain@da.lacounty.gov, dakemon@da.lacounty.gov, cthorpe@da.lacounty.gov, rebrahim@da.laounty.gov, ejerez@da.lacounty.gov, dbrosky@da.lacounty.gov, blunsford@da.lacounty.gov, pkouch@da.lacounty.gov, jtaksar@da.lacounty.gov, ron.goodreau@yahoo.com, ronaldgoodreau@aol.com, estreet@da.lacounty.gov, mlee@da.lacounty.gov, rdematti@da.lacounty.gov, jonchung@da.lacounty.gov, alin@da.lacounty.gov, mmichelena@da.lacounty.gov, srebelis@da.lacounty.gov, jlink@da.lacounty.gov, mvellakk@da.lacounty.gov, jmccomb@smcgov.org, dmccloskey@smcgov.org, bmccartt@da.lacounty.gov, gdameron@da.lacounty.gov, hharpham@da.lacounty.gov, duckett.justice@gmail.com, kduckett@da.lacounty.gov, jfrost@smcgov.org, jgarden@da.lacounty.gov, nhearon@da.lacounty.gov, tpovah@smcgov.org, hborden@da.lacounty.gov, gmarin@da.lacounty.gov, ibaly@da.lacounty.gov, rarias@da.lacounty.gov, Vaenlle-rocha@da.lacounty.gov, mmurray@da.lacounty.gov, jcastano@da.lacounty.gov, kmeyer@da.lacounty.gov, mmclaughlin@smcgov.org, apellman@da.lacounty.gov, tpham@da.lacounty.gov, inightengale@da.lacounty.gov, jniedermann@da.lacounty.gov, dhoffman@da.lacounty.gov, ewakabay@da.lacounty, grai@da.lacounty.gov, bobchen@da.lacounty.gov, bchen@da.lacounty.gov, fdunnick@da.lacounty.gov, Lisette.suder@edcda.us, calbanese@da.lacounty.gov, jcolello@da.lacounty.gov, eratinof@da.lacounty.gov, eratinoff@da.lacounty.gov, jmcgrath@da.lacounty.gov, lavila@da.lacounty.gov, kenvonh@msn.com, kvonhelmolt@da.lacounty.gov, echon@da.lacounty.gov, Jwilson@smcgov.org, jchung@da.lacounty.gov, cfredgren@da.lacounty.gov, kta@da.lacounty.gov, sungchung@da.lacounty.gov, schung@da.lacounty.gov, mbean@da.lacounty.gov, twhitney@da.lacounty.gov, hyun@da.lacounty.gov, kabourezk@da.lacounty.gov, ialvarez@da.lacounty.gov, Michael.pizzuti@edcda.us, nhahn@smcgov.org, Cmeaher-walker@da.lacounty.gov, cwalker@da.lacounty.gov, cmeagher@da.lacounty.gov, fyoung@da.lacounty.gov, afitzgerald@smcgov.org, gapt@da.lacounty.gov, Christine.vonhelmolt@csulb.edu, cvonhelmolt@da.lacounty.gov, knishita@da.lacounty.gov, snavas@da.lacounty.gov, fnagle@kernda.org, carolyn.palumbo@yolocounty.org, jmarcano@kernda.org, gpearl@kernda.org, dmckillop@kernda.org, jallen@kernda.org, nilackie@kern.org, nlackie@kernda.org, kmarshall@kernda.org, bstallings@kernda.org, deanna.hays@yolocounty.org, csherman@kernda.org, lgarver@kernda.org, rchoi@kernda.org, frits.vanderhoek@yolocounty.org, btaconi@kernda.org, gkohler@kernda.org, lfields@kernda.org, cmcnutt@kernda.org, czimmer@kernda.org, gsatriano@da.lacounty.gov, skatz@da.laocunty.gov, mariaramirez@hotmail.com, mramirez@da.lacounty.gov, mdaniels@da.lacounty.gov, kchun@da.lacounty.gov, jmorris@da.lacounty.gov, pcagney@da.lacounty.gov, sfrank6576@aol.com, sfrankland@da.lacounty.gov, bschirn@da.lacounty.gov, rsherwood@da.lacounty.gov, spresby@da.lacounty.gov, mbaxter@da.lacounty.gov, lwong@da.lacounty.gov, ayochelson@da.lacounty.gov, tgomez@da.lacounty.gov, cbuckley@da.lacounty.gov, vcole@da.lacounty.gov, srandolph@da.lacounty.gov, ldery@da.lacounty.gov, ymassry@da.lacounty.gov, gjennings@da.lacounty.gov, hchun@da.lacounty.gov, langer@da.lacounty.gov, shassett@da.lacounty.gov, smire@da.lacounty.gov, gwright@da.lacounty.gov, ljkessner@da.lacounty.gov, Jcallahan@da.lacounty.gov, chum@da.lacounty.gov, mchung@da.lacounty.gov, jmejia@da.lacounty.gov, mgarcia@da.lacounty.gov, jharlan@da.lacounty.gov, mpiantanida@da.lacounty.gov, gsantiso@da.lacounty.gov, pmusso@da.lacounty.gov, nhochman@da.lacounty.gov, Vaenille-rocha@da.lacounty.gov, dfelizzatto@da.lacounty.gov, ttokat@da.cacounty.gov, mhanisee@da.lacounty.gov, skatz@da.lacounty.gov, Jbrooke-castano@da.lacounty.gov, wfrayeh@da.lacounty.gov, jfoster@da.lacounty.gov, jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov, jtillson@da.lacounty.gov, Mariaramirez65@hotmail.com, ilee@da.lacounty.gov, Cris.buckley@uci.edu, jwalker@da.lacounty.gov, cfrey@da.lacounty.gov, vrodriguez@dalacounty.gov, mckinney@da.lacounty.gov, jmckinney@da.lacounty.gov, kcady@da.lacounty.gov, jdsilva@da.lacounty.gov, amattson@da.lacounty.gov, akarkanen@fa.lacounty.gov, chrisbaker@da.lacounty.gov, cnakao@da.lacounty.gov, jjohnson@da.lacounty.gov, kuyen@da.lacounty.gov, pkouch@da.alacounty.gov, shulefeld@da.lacounty.gov, mbeaart@da.lacounty.gov, bjdodd@dalacounty.gov, mcarrillo@da.lacounty.gov, aaguilar@da.lacounty.gov, awise@da.lacounty.gov, lorquiola@da.lacounty.gov, Kevin.s@lacounty.gov, kswartz@da.lacounty.gov, akozma@da.lacounty.gov, aadams@da.lacounty.gov, trichardson@da.lacounty.gov, timothy@lacounty.gov, sradmore@dal.lacounty.gov, wrosenthal@da.lacounty.gov, wkarfiol@lacounty.gov, cnajera@lacourt.org, mwindham@lacourt.org, dilacqua@da.lacounty.gov, jonathan@lacounty.gov, jarmour@dalacounty.gov, felicia@lacounty.gov, forman@da.laounty.gov, ryann.jorban@stfrancislaw.com, rgerber@dalcounty.gov, jcohn@da.lacounty.gov, julia@lacounty.gov, hjackson@da.lacounty.gov, sunita.popal@pepperdine.edu, spopal@da.lacounty.gov, dzygielbaum@da.lacounty.gov, mlewis@da.lacounty.gov, philglaviano@hotmail.com, pglaviano@da.lacounty.gov, thomaskrag@proton.me, tkrag@da.lacounty.gov, klynch@da.lacounty.gov, smrakich@da.lacounty.gov, cgaines@da.lacounty.gov, menomoto@da.lacounty.gov, cchaiyar@da.lacounty.gov, rdooley@da.lacounty.gov, glewin@da.lacounty.gov, ddelimon@gmail.com, mhaidar@da.lacounty.gov, thooks@da.lacounty.gov, wllanos@da.lacounty.gov, scarney@da.lacounty.gov, dwright@da.lacounty.gov, lholtz@da.lacounty.gov, dmartinez@da.lacounty.gov, dwooden@da.lacounty.gov, jgonzalez@da.lacounty.gov, dsyn@da.lacounty.gov, rchang@da.lacounty.gov, ncooper@da.lacounty.gov, akarkanen@da.lacounty.gov, khalligan@da.lacounty.gov, ralarcon@da.lacounty.gov, sstodel@da.lacounty.gov, dbarkhurst@da.lacounty.gov, tdecastro@da.lacounty.gov, dchau@da.lacounty.gov, vlittle@da.lacounty.gov, nflood@da.lacounty.gov, rrabbani@da.lacounty.gov, tmokayef@da.clacounty.gov, rrose@da.lacounty.gov, chenry@da.lacounty.gov, fsantoro@da.lacounty.gov, kstpierre@da.lacounty.gov, johnlwn@gmail.com, brian.jones@sen.ca.gov, erikandannaapperson@hotmail.com, dbengstib@da.lacounty.gov, Ying.sun@cdcr.ca.gov, pghaderi@counsel.lacounty.gov, rsaldana@counsel.lacounty.gov, ndavis-tinkham@counsel.lacounty.gov, tink4d1@gmail.com, brind0313@gmail.com, darrelltinkham@yahoo.com, ltolani@counsel.lacounty.gov, lester.tolnai@yahoo.com, rclester.tolnai71@yahoo.com, pmarshall@counsel.lacounty.gov, Adrienne Byers <abyers@counsel.lacounty.gov>, rcartwright@counsel.lacounty.gov, rwong@counsel.lacounty.gov, tfaughnan@counsel.lacounty.gov, tomfaughnan66@gmail.com, Jennifer <JLehman@counsel.lacounty.gov>, kmiles@counsel.lacounty.gov, rbaeza@counsel.lacounty.gov, rchastang@counsel.lacounty.gov, lcampo@counsel.lacounty.gov, msimon@counsel.lacounty.gov, emiller@counsel.lacounty.gov, elizabeth_dumais@yahoo.com, kaigrant19@yahoo.com, pmomon@yahoo.com, pbollinger@counsel.lacounty.gov, First District <firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>, seconddistrict@bos.la.county.gov, "holly4assembly@gmail.com" <holly4assembly@gmail.com>, hmitchell@yahoo.com, hjmitchel@aol.com, Cindy <cchen@bos.lacounty.gov>, nadtinkham@gmail.com, holly@hollyjmitchell.com, rfleming-peters@counsel.lacounty.gov, Margaret Carter <mcarter@counsel.lacounty.gov>, njenkins@lacounty.gov, njenkins@counsel.lacounty.gov, jgonzalez@counsel.lacounty.gov, lowt@metro.net, Tlow77@gmail.com, ryanthurlow@yahoo.com, tlow@counsel.lacounty.gov, tina@jmoilco.com, tlow7@yahoo.com, econard@lacounty.gov, econard@counsel.lacounty.gov, andria.seo@disabilityrightsca.org, schan@counsel.lacounty.gov, eggerse@metro.net, eggers@counsel.lacounty.gov, mrolon@counsel.lacounty.gov, mirder@hotmail.com, doug@homealert.com, Alexandra Zuiderweg <AZuiderweg@counsel.lacounty.gov>, mruben@counsel.lacounty.gov, Caitlin Taylor <ctaylor@counsel.lacounty.gov>, "Holly J. Mitchell" <HollyJMitchell@bos.lacounty.gov>, Lindsey.P.Horvath@gmail.com, kmuir@counsel.lacounty.gov, CDCRCACLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CCRCMFLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCCILitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CCDCRCENLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCTFLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCMCLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCORLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCIMLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, FCORTEZ@cdcr.gov, Jeff.macomber@cdcr.ca.gov, Jennifer.barretto@cdcr.ca.gov, Tammy.foss@cdcr.ca.gov, Diana.toche@cdcr.ca.gov, albert.lundeen@cdcr.ca.gov, Jennifer.neil@cdcr.ca.gov, Sarah.larson@cdcr.ca.gov, Ron.broomfield@cdcr.ca.gov, Amy.casias@cdcr.ca.gov, Jason.johnson@cdcr.ca.gov, Chris.chambers@cdcr.ca.gov, Stacy.lopez@cdcr.ca.gov, Niki.dhillon@cdcr.ca.gov, Jennifer.benavidez@cdcr.ca.gov, heather.bowlds@cdcr.ca.gov, Jared.lozano@cdcr.ca.gov, Derrick.marion@cdcr.ca.gov, David.chriss@cdcr.ca.gov, Sarina.calderon@cdcr.ca.gov, "elizabeth.hom@calbar.ca.gov" <elizabeth.hom@calbar.ca.gov>, johnna.sack@calbar.ca.gov, rocio.avalos@calbar.ca.gov, kristina.ramos@calbar.ca.gov, collin.grant@calbar.ca.gov, louisa.ayrapetyan@calbar.ca.gov, jennifer.stalvey@calbar.ca.gov, ken.nourse@calbar.ca.gov, summer.shelton@calbar.ca.gov, kirsten.galler@calbar.ca.gov, doan.nguyen@calbar.ca.gov, audrey.ching@calbar.ca.gov, laurie.gondreau@calbar.ca.gov, yun.xiang@calbar.ca.gov, mark@fresnocriminallawyer.com, abrahim.bagheri@calbar.ca.gov, carol.kiyotoki@calbar.ca.gov, andrew.vasicek@calbar.ca.gov, mayte.diaz@calbar.ca.gov, duncan.carling@calbar.ca.gov, chelsey.smith@calbar.ca.gov, suzanne.grandt@calbar.ca.gov, gerri.smith@calbar.ca.gov,

peter.klivans@calbar.ca.gov, cindy.chan@calbar.ca.gov, teresa.ruano@calbar.ca.gov, patrice.vallier-glass@calbar.ca.gov, naji.khatib@calbar.ca.gov, awndrea.yeboah@calbar.ca.gov, christina.doell@calbar.ca.gov, enrique.zuniga@calbar.ca.gov, kimberly.anderson@calbar.ca.gov, brandon.stallings@calbar.ca.gov, Jose.cisneros@gmail.com, Jose.cisneros@sf.gov, pbarahona@yli.org, Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>, jmerchan@wdacs.lacounty.gov, info@wdacs.lacounty.gov, Arogant Hollywood <arogantmusicinc@gmail.com>, Alison Fairchild <fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com>, Arogant Hollywood <causeofaction43@gmail.com>, blacklawgenius@gmail.com, Alison Fairchild <alisonhfairchild@gmail.com>, Robert Luna <rluna@lasd.org>, rluna@lacounty.gov, atardy@lacounty.org, jtorres@lasd.org, saloma@lacounty.org, llecrivain@lasd.org, bchase@lacounty.gov, rlewis@lacounty.gov, llecrivain@lacounty.org, jmeza@lasd.org, ned.harlan@doj.ca.gov, nishdeep.singh@doj.ca.gov, robert.bonta@doj.ca.gov, OPSChiefsOffice@doj.ca.gov, peter.halloran@doj.ca.gov, amyhhalloran@yahoo.com, peterhalloran@sbcglobal.net, alisha.carlile@doj.ca.gov, snpdragn@aol.com, acarlile@alum.wellesley.edu, concertina9@yahoo.com, alishastephen@yahoo.com.au, alehr17@u.washington.edu, girlygirl8384@aol.com, akeened@yahoo.com, lish34@hotmail.com, piccbodin@hotmail.com, lachapell_a@yahoo.com, alishaoku@yahoo.com, alisha.mai@gmail.com, alisha.marks@rogers.com, vincent.bonotto@doj.ca.gov, vbonotto@hotmail.com, glenn.pruden@doj.ca.gov, eyeless77@hotmail.com, daniel.olivas@doj.ca.gov, giam.nguyen@doj.ca.gov, chad.stegeman@doj.ca.gov, stephen.lew@doj.ca.gov, christopher.findley@doj.ca.gov, dennis.beck@doj.ca.gov, darrell.spence@doj.ca.gov, maggy.krell@doj.ca.gov, Elaine.tong@doj.ca.gov, hannah.calacsan@doj.ca.gov, mo.park@doj.ca.gov, dbuthler@lasuperiorcourt.org, dslayton@lasuperiorcourt.org, sclover@lasuperiorcourt.org, jmoses@lasuperiorcourt.org, ppenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, tpenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, chase.penrose@gmail.com, mackenzienicole@gmail.com, trishpenrose@att.net, amber.barnett@jud.ca.go, john.yee@jud.ca.gov, jenniejasperson@gmail.com, kelton.jasperson@gmail.com, cjasperson2@mindspring.com, judy.jasperson@att.net, randie.chance@doj.ca.gov, gavin.newsom@gov.ca.gov

FRIVOLOUS CRIMINAL CASE 25CJCM02162-01, FIRST LEGAL WARNING TO COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, ITS DDAS, CITY OF ALHAMBRA AND ITS CONSPIRING ATTORNEYS TO RETURN ALL PROPERTY, DISMISSED ALL FRIVOLOUS FIVE COUNTS, CRIMINALLY CHARGE RACIST CRIMINAL PROSECUTOR BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG, REPORT MARK ROSENBERG TO THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA, CREATE SUPPLEMENTAL POLICE REPORT THAT HIGHLIGHTS AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S FACTUAL INNOCENCE, AND TURN OVER ALL CRIMINAL CASE DISCOVERY; INCLUDING PHONY PROBABLE CAUSE DETERMINATION.


ALL NATURAL PERSONS ELECTRONICALLY WARNED HEREIN CAN AND WILL BE HELD LIABLE.

I. INTRODUCTION

Rogue, RACIST, prejudiced, biased, corrupt, unethical, poorly trained, and extremely dishonest California peace officer Benjamin Yang (hereinafter "Yang") and his chief of police Garret Kennedy will soon find out the hard way in federal district court that they cannot arrest United States citizens whenever they want and wherever they see fit.

II. STATEMENT OF FACTS

On November 13, 2023 AROGANT HOLLYWOOD [hereinafter "KING AROGANT] sent an electronic mail message to RACIST, unethical, biased, delusional, mentally ill, and corrupt County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutor Brian MARK Rosenberg accusing him of falsifying evidence in his frivolous felony criminal case GA114055. See email below attached herein:




A SERIES OF EMAILS ARE COMING SOON! COMPLETE WITH ALL THE EVIDENCE THAT YOU BROKE FEDERAL LAW BY ABUSING YOUR POWER AND AUTHORITY AS PEACE OFFICERS AND PUBLIC OFFICERS OF THE COURT!

GET READY!!!!!!!!



BECAUSE ROSSI AND THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IS GOING TO SEE TO IT THAT BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG, RICHARD LEWIS, AND BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG BECOME FEDERAL PRISONERS! GUARANTEED!!!!!!!



ROSSI! AMERICA IS LESS SAFE WITH BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG ON THE STREETS. ITS TIME FOR THE U.S. GOVERNMENT TO LOCK THIS FOOL UP AND DECERTIFY HIM!

HE IS A CROOKED COP THAT HAS BEEN FEDERALLY CHARGED AND UNDER INVESTIGATION FOR CONDUCTING SIMILAR CRIMINAL BEHAVIOR AND CONDUCT HE HAS IN MY CASE. LOCK THIS FOOL UP!

Investigations and Prosecutions

The Department of Justice ("The Department") vigorously investigates and, where the evidence permits, prosecutes allegations of Constitutional violations by law enforcement officers. The Department's investigations most often involve alleged uses of excessive force, but also include sexual misconduct, theft, false arrest, and deliberate indifference to serious medical needs or a substantial risk of harm to a person in custody. These cases typically involve police officers, jailers, correctional officers, probation officers, prosecutors, judges, and other federal, state, or local law enforcement officials. The Department's authority extends to all law enforcement conduct, regardless of whether an officer is on or off duty, so long as he/she is acting, or claiming to act, in his/her official capacity.

In addition to Constitutional violations, the Department prosecutes law enforcement officers for related instances of obstruction of justice. This includes attempting to prevent a victim or witnesses from reporting the misconduct, lying to federal, state, or local officials during the course of an investigation into the potential misconduct, writing a false report to conceal misconduct, or fabricating evidence.

The principles of federal prosecution, set forth in the United States Attorneys' Manual ("USAM"), require federal prosecutors to meet two standards in order to seek an indictment.

First, the government must be convinced that the potential defendant committed a federal crime. Second, the government must also conclude that the government would be likely to prevail at trial, where the government must prove the charges beyond a reasonable doubt.

To prove a violation of § 242, the government must prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt: (1) that the defendant deprived a victim of a right protected by the Constitution or laws of the United States, (2) that the defendant acted willfully, and (3) that the defendant was acting under color of law. A violation of § 242 is a felony if one of the following conditions is met: the defendant used, attempted to use, or threatened to use a dangerous weapon, explosive or fire; the victim suffered bodily injury; the defendant's actions included attempted murder, kidnapping or attempted kidnapping, aggravated sexual abuse or attempted aggravated sexual abuse, or the crime resulted in death. Otherwise, the violation is a misdemeanor.

Establishing the intent behind a Constitutional violation requires proof beyond a reasonable doubt that the law enforcement officer knew what he/she was doing was wrong and against the law and decided to do it anyway. Therefore, even if the government can prove beyond a reasonable doubt that an individual's Constitutional right was violated, § 242 requires that the government prove that the law enforcement officer intended to engage in the unlawful conduct and that he/she did so knowing that it was wrong or unlawful. *See Screws v. United States*, 325 U.S. 91, 101-107 (1945). Mistake, fear, misperception, or even poor judgment does not constitute willful conduct prosecutable under the statute.

WHILE LYING BILLY AND RACIST MARK ROSENBERG'S ACTIONS ARE A MISDEMEANOR UNDER FEDERAL LAW. THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE MUST WORK WITH THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE TO CRIMINALLY CHARGE ROSENBERG, LEWIS, AND KHOUNTHAVONG PURSUANT TO VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 6200, WHICH IS A FELONY AND CARRY STATE PRISON TIME!

3-07/220.20 - California Department of Justice Admonishment

3-07/220.00 - Prohibitions

3-07/230.00 - Data Communications Management

As an employee of the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department, you may have access to confidential criminal record and/or Department of Motor Vehicles record information which is controlled by statute. This would include the information in the Los Angeles County Regional Identification System (LACRIS), including the facial recognition tools within LACRIS. Misuse of such information may adversely affect the individual's civil rights and violates the law. Penal Code section 502 prescribes the penalties relating to computer crimes. Penal Code sections 11105 and 13300 identify who has access to criminal history information and under what circumstances it may be released. Penal

Code sections 11140-11144 and 13301-13305 prescribe penalties for misuse of criminal history information. Government Code section 6200 prescribes the felony penalties for misuse of public records and CLETS information. Penal Code sections 11142 and 13303 state:


> "Any person authorized by law to receive a record or information obtained from a record who knowingly furnishes the record or information to a person not authorized by law to receive the record or information is guilty of a misdemeanor."

California Vehicle Code section 1808.45 prescribes the penalties relating to misuse of Department of Motor Vehicles record information.

Any employee who is responsible for such misuse is subject to disciplinary action. Violations of this law may also result in criminal and/or civil actions.

BILLY! YOU HAD BETTER RUN AWAY AS FAST YOU CAN FOOL!

MARK Rosenberg used the above electronic mail message sent to him on November 28, 2023 to not only apply for a frivolous civil harassment restraining order but to also request a temporary restraining order by fabricating lies that he believed that KING AROGANT posed a threat to him and his family by stating that he should to to prison for violating California Government Code Section 6200. See MARK Rosenberg's Superior Court of California civil harassment restraining order attachment pages below herein:



MC-025

SHORT TITLE: Attachment 7b-Previous Harassment

CASE NUMBER: 23PDRO01713

ATTACHMENT (Number): _____

(This Attachment may be used with any Judicial Council form.)

I am the assigned prosecutor for the case of People v. Arogant Hollywood, GA114055. Mr. Hollywood was previously charged in People v. Arogant Hollywood, GA113236, wherein after the proceedings, he threatened to sue the named prosecutor and ultimately contacted her and attempted to contact her family members that had nothing to do with that case and threatened to sue them. Said prosecutor is a colleague of mine and was scared by this behavior. I was assigned GA114055 at arraignment on 2/24/23. From the beginning of the case, Mr. Hollywood threatened to sue me in open court numerous times. He called me racist and frequently stated that he wouldn't just sue me but he'd find my entire family and sue them in any court he could, in any state he could.. He also called my work phone numerous times and threatened to sue me as well. He made additional threats to file state bar complaints against me as well. Additionally, Mr. Hollywood filed a motion in court wherein he threatened to sue myself and the judge for $14 million dollars (said motion was admitted as People's 2 during Defendant's preliminary hearing). A preliminary hearing was conducted on this matter on April 4, 2023 and April 5, 2023. Mr. Hollywood testified during this hearing. In this hearing, Mr. Hollywood admitted to being a vexatious litigant and admitted to intimidating people "all the time" by threatening to sue them. He further admitted to sending an email to the prior DA and her family because he was upset about the case she prosecuted. He stated "If I sue her family, she'll care. Ms. Lee's family's getting sued. I got her home address too." Mr. Hollywood additionally admitted to threatening to sue me "a lot." Stating further "that's what I do." Given his conduct with the prior DA, along with his conduct on this case, I believe that Mr. Hollywood uses these statements as a means of harassing and intimidating. This case was ultimately resolved on 10/17/23, where Mr. Hollywood pled no contest to the charge of PC 422 and was placed on formal probation. On 11/13/23, I received an email from Mr. Hollywood, threatening to send me to federal prison, and threatening to send me a series of emails. I reported this to LASD. Incident number: 923-11730-0522-217. Due to all this, I am fearful of my safety, along with the safety of my family, and believe that a protective order is necessary to secure their safety.

(If the item that this Attachment concerns is made under penalty of perjury, all statements in this Attachment are made under penalty of perjury.)

Page ____ of ____ (Add pages as required)

ATTACHMENT to Judicial Council Form



MC-025

SHORT TITLE: Attachment 7a(3)-Describe Harassment

CASE NUMBER: 25PDR001713

ATTACHMENT (Number): _____

(This Attachment may be used with any Judicial Council form.)

I received an email from Mr. Hollywood wherein he threatened to send me to federal prison and indicated that a "a series of emails are coming soon! Complete with all the evidence that you broke federal law by abusing your power and authority as peace officers and public officers of the court! Get Ready!!!!!!". In addition to threatening this series of emails, Hollywood also told the detective on our case that "you had better run away as fast you can fool." This is the latest in a string of harassing communications that I believe are only aimed at intimidating and annoying me. This matter was reported to LASD. URN: 923-11730-0522-217.

(If the item that this Attachment concerns is made under penalty of perjury, all statements in this Attachment are made under penalty of perjury.)

Page _____ of _____ (Add pages as required)

Form Approved for Optional Use Judicial Council of California MC-025 [Rev. July 1, 2009]

ATTACHMENT to Judicial Council Form

Harassment, for the purposes of Cal Code Civ Proc § 527.6, is unlawful violence, a credible threat of violence [Code Civ. Proc. § 527.6(b)(2) ("credible threat of violence" is knowing and willful statement or course of conduct that would place a reasonable person in fear for his or her safety or safety of his or her immediately family, and that serves no legitimate purpose)], *Harris v. Stampolis (2016) 248 Cal.App. 4th 484, 495-496, 204 Cal. Rptr. 3d 1*.

A credible threat of violence is a knowing and willful course of conduct directed at a specific person that seriously alarms, annoys, or harasses this person, and that serves no legitimate purpose.

The course of conduct must be such as would cause a reasonable person to suffer substantial emotional distress, and it must actually be caused by substantial emotional distress to the petitioner filing for a civil harassment restraining order. See Cal Civ Code Proc § 527.6(b)(3); *Huntington Life Sciences, Inc. v. Stop Huntington Animal Cruelty USA, Inc. (2005) 129 Cal. App. 4th 1228, 1257-1258, 29 Cal. Rptr. 3d 521* (liability is based on defendant's credible threats of violence and defendant's lack of intent to actually carry out threats is immaterial)]. Cal Code Civ Proc § 527.6(b)(2) does not define "substantial emotional distress," but it may be properly compared to "severe emotional distress" in the tort context of intentional infliction of emotional distress. In that context, "severe emotional distress" means highly unpleasant mental suffering or anguish from socially unacceptable conduct, which entails such intense, enduring, and nontrivial emotional distress that no reasonable person in a civilized society should be expected to endure it. Therefore, not all actions that give rise to some emotional distress will constitute conduct that "cause[s] a

reasonable person to suffer substantial emotional distress" within the meaning of Cal Code Civ Proc § 527.6, particularly if the distress is but transitory in nature. See *Schild v. Rubin (1991) 232 Cal. App. 3d 755, 762-763, 283 Cal. Rptr. 533*.

Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com> Wed, May 7, 2025 at 12:39 AM
To: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com

Address not found

Your message wasn't delivered to **ewakabay@da.lacounty** because the domain da.lacounty couldn't be found. Check for typos or unnecessary spaces and try again.

LEARN MORE

The response was:

```
DNS Error: DNS type 'mx' lookup of da.lacounty responded with code NXDOMAIN Domain name not found: da.lacounty For more information, go to https://support.google.com/mail/?p=BadRcptDomain
```

Final-Recipient: rfc822; ewakabay@da.lacounty
Action: failed
Status: 5.1.2
Diagnostic-Code: smtp; DNS Error: DNS type 'mx' lookup of da.lacounty responded with code NXDOMAIN
Domain name not found: da.lacounty For more information, go to https://support.google.com/mail/?p=BadRcptDomain
Last-Attempt-Date: Wed, 07 May 2025 00:39:38 -0700 (PDT)

noname
17K

Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com> Wed, May 7, 2025 at 12:39 AM
To: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com

Address not found

Your message wasn't delivered to **bjdodd@dalacounty.gov** because the domain dalacounty.gov couldn't be found. Check for typos or unnecessary spaces and try again.

LEARN MORE

The response was:

```
DNS Error: DNS type 'mx' lookup of dalacounty.gov responded with code NXDOMAIN Domain name not found: dalacounty.gov For more information, go to https://support.google.com/mail/?p=BadRcptDomain
```

Final-Recipient: rfc822; bjdodd@dalacounty.gov
Action: failed
Status: 5.1.2
Diagnostic-Code: smtp; DNS Error: DNS type 'mx' lookup of dalacounty.gov responded with code NXDOMAIN
Domain name not found: dalacounty.gov For more information, go to https://support.google.com/mail/?p=BadRcptDomain
Last-Attempt-Date: Wed, 07 May 2025 00:39:38 -0700 (PDT)

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq.
1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD.
PASADENA, CA 91106
Mobile: 206.471.1344
aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted
Spirit of Esquire

people of the state of california, et al v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD

Case No. . 25CJCM02162-01

Exhibit 6 is a true and correct copy of AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S electronic mail Gmail message he sent to numerous County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutors, California Department of Justice attorneys, and County of Los Angles Office County Counsel attorneys on May 7, 2024, at 600 AM.

Exhibit 6 proves by clear and convincing evidence that Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators all knew with 100% certainty and were fully aware that County of Los

---

EXHIBIT 6

Angeles District Attorney's Office deputy district attorney Brian MARK Rosenberg willfully, recklessly, maliciously, carelessly, wantonly, deliberately, knowingly, and callously violated California Penal Code § 148.5, California Government Code § 6200, and 18 United States Code § 242 by fabricating lies and making intentionally false statements to rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang that AROGANT HOLLYWOOD sent him electronic mail messages on November 28, 2023, February 13. 2024, and June 8, 2024.

Exhibit 6 proves by clear and convincing evidence that Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators all knew with 100% certainty and were fully aware that rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, carelessly, deliberately, knowingly, and callously created and authored a false police report that fabricated lies and made intentionally false statements that a copy of Brian MARK Rosenberg's brosenberg@da.lacounty.gov June 8, 2024 at 8:34 AM. electronic mail message was attached to the end of his city of Alhambra crime report.

Exhibit 6 proves by clear and convincing evidence that Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators all knew with 100% certainty and were fully aware that RACIST and BIASED County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutors willfully, recklessly, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, deliberately, maliciously, and callously filed criminal prosecutors filed frivolous counts 1, 2, and 3 without a single piece of evidence and entirely without probable cause.

Exhibit 6 proves by clear and convincing evidence that Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators all knew with 100% certainty and were fully aware that RACIST and BIASED County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutors willfully, recklessly, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, deliberately, maliciously, and callously violated State Bar of California Rules of Professional Conduct Rules 3.1, 3.3, and 3.4; California Business and Professions Code § 6068, and American Bar Association Models Rules of Professional Conduct

---

EXHIBIT 6

Rules 3.1, 3.3., and 3.4 by filing frivolous counts 1, 2, and 3 without a single piece of evidence and entirely without probable cause.

Exhibit 6 proves by clear and convincing evidence that there was no probable cause or leave basis for *Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators to utilize their under color of law abuse of judicial process to request that judicial officer MARK WINDHAM find AROGANT HOLLYWOOD in violation of his conditions of release after Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators were all electronically served clear and convincing evidence that AROGANT HOLLYWOOD was factually innocent of Counts 3, 4, and 5 of their frivolous and RACIST misdemeanor criminal complaint 25CJCM02162-01. And instead of following the law under State Bar of California Rules of Professional Conduct Rules 3.1, 3.3, and 3.4; California Business and Professions Code § 6068, and American Bar Association Models Rules of Professional Conduct Rules 3.1, 3.3., and 3.4 by dismissing counts 3, 4, and 5 on their own criminal motion but they all instead chose to commit reckless under color of law deceit by willfully, recklessly, maliciously, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, deliberately, and callously failing to inform, failing to disclose, and concealing from, and hiding from a Superior Court of California judicial officer that they were all in possession of entirely exculpatory evidence that proved AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S factual innocence by clear and convincing evidence.*

I declare, under penalty of perjury, under the laws and Constitution of California and the United States, that every word, sentence, paragraph, and page of the foregoing is true and accurate.

EXHIBIT 6

DATE: May 10, 2025

BY: _____

Arogant Hollywood, *Esq.*
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106
Mobile: (206) 471-1344
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
Spirit of Esquire

EXHIBIT 6

Gmail **Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>**

FRIVOLOUS CRIMINAL CASE 25CJCM02162-01, FIRST LEGAL WARNING TO COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, ITS DDAS, CITY OF ALHAMBRA AND ITS CONSPIRING ATTORNEYS TO RETURN ALL PROPERTY, DISMISSED ALL FRIVOLOUS FIVE COUNTS, CRIMINALLY CHARGE RACIST CRIMINAL PROSECUTOR BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG, REPORT MARK ROSENBERG TO THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA, CREATE SUPPLEMENTAL POLICE REPORT THAT HIGHLIGHTS AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S FACTUAL INNOCENCE, AND TURN OVER ALL CRIMINAL CASE DISCOVERY; INCLUDING PHONY PROBABLE CAUSE DETERMINATION(EMAIL, PART 1

96 messages

Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com> Wed, May 7, 2025 at 6:00 AM
To: jargusa@alhambrafire.org, jbinnquist@cityofalhambra.org, tseki@alhambrapd.org, Area1@alhambrapd.org, gsepncer@alhambrapd.org, area2@alhambrapd.org, rsoriano@alhambrapd.org, area3@alhambrapd.org, rcastillo@alhambrapd.org, area4@alhambrapd.org, klaing@alhambrapd.org, dfuentes@alhambrapd.org, lgarcia@alhambraca.gov, gdiaz@alhambrapd.org, rrongavilla@alhambrapd.org, dtran@slhsmbrapd.org, mlee@alhambrapd.org, hreyes@alhambrapd.org, bchung@alhambrapd.org, luwan@cityofalhambra.org, klee@alhambraca.gov, rmaza@cityofalhambra.org, jmaloney@cityofalhambra.org, maloney@smmc.ca.gov, nwang@cityofalhambra.org, aandradestadler@gmail.com, adele.stadler@gmail.com, joestadler@ladwp.com, andrade@eaterhlink.net, aandradestadler@yahoo.com, jmontes@bwslaw.com, CABBOTT@bwslaw.com, baffrunti@bwslaw.com, bguerrero@bwslaw.com, gaker@bwslaw.com, danneet@bwslaw.com, maustin@bwslaw.com, rbalchum@bwslaw.com, mtran@bwslaw.com, mbarrett@bwslaw.com, Jbalchum@bwslaw.com, dbazzano@bwslaw.com, kberger@bwslaw.com, mbernacchi@bwslaw.com, dakemon@da.lacounty.gov, cthorpe@da.lacounty.gov, ejerez@da.lacounty.gov, dbrosky@da.lacounty.gov, blunsford@da.lacounty.gov, pkouch@da.lacounty.gov, jtaksar@da.lacounty.gov, ron.goodreau@yahoo.com, ronaldgoodreau@aol.com, estreet@da.lacounty.gov, mlee@da.lacounty.gov, rdematti@da.lacounty.gov, jonchung@da.lacounty.gov, alin@da.lacounty.gov, mmichelena@da.lacounty.gov, srebelis@da.lacounty.gov, jlink@da.lacounty.gov, mvellakk@da.lacounty.gov, jmccomb@smcgov.org, dmccloskey@smcgov.org, bmccartt@da.lacounty.gov, gdameron@da.lacounty.gov, hharpham@da.lacounty.gov, duckett.justice@gmail.com, kduckett@da.lacounty.gov, jfrost@smcgov.org, jgarden@da.lacounty.gov, nhearon@da.lacounty.gov, tpovah@smcgov.org, hborden@da.lacounty.gov, gmarin@da.lacounty.gov, ibaly@da.lacounty.gov, rarias@da.lacounty.gov, Vaenlle-rocha@da.lacounty.gov, mmurray@da.lacounty.gov, jcastano@da.lacounty.gov, kmeyer@da.lacounty.gov, mmclaughlin@smcgov.org, apellman@da.lacounty.gov, tpham@da.lacounty.gov, inightengale@da.lacounty.gov, jniedermann@da.lacounty.gov, dhoffman@da.lacounty.gov, ewakabay@da.lacounty, grai@da.lacounty.gov, bobchen@da.lacounty.gov, bchen@da.lacounty.gov, fdunnick@da.lacounty.gov, Lisette.suder@edcda.us, calbanese@da.lacounty.gov, jcolello@da.lacounty.gov, eratinof@da.lacounty.gov, eratinoff@da.lacounty.gov, jmcgrath@da.lacounty.gov, lavila@da.lacounty.gov, kenvonh@msn.com, kvonhelmolt@da.lacounty.gov, echon@da.lacounty.gov, Jwilson@smcgov.org, jchung@da.lacounty.gov, cfredgren@da.lacounty.gov, kta@da.lacounty.gov, sungchung@da.lacounty.gov, schung@da.lacounty.gov, mbean@da.lacounty.gov, twhitney@da.lacounty.gov, hyun@da.lacounty.gov, kabourezk@da.lacounty.gov, ialvarez@da.lacounty.gov, Michael.pizzuti@edcda.us, nhahn@smcgov.org, Cmeaher-walker@da.lacounty.gov, cwalker@da.lacounty.gov, cmeagher@da.lacounty.gov, fyoung@da.lacounty.gov, afitzgerald@smcgov.org, gapt@da.lacounty.gov, Christine.vonhelmolt@csulb.edu, cvonhelmolt@da.lacounty.gov, knishita@da.lacounty.gov, snavas@da.lacounty.gov, fnagle@kernda.org, carolyn.palumbo@yolocounty.org, jmarcano@kernda.org, gpearl@kernda.org, dmckillop@kernda.org, jallen@kernda.org, nilackie@kern.org, nlackie@kernda.org, kmarshall@kernda.org, bstallings@kernda.org, deanna.hays@yolocounty.org, csherman@kernda.org, lgarver@kernda.org, rchoi@kernda.org, frits.vanderhoek@yolocounty.org, btaconi@kernda.org, gkohler@kernda.org, lfields@kernda.org, cmcnutt@kernda.org, czimmer@kernda.org, gsatriano@da.lacounty.gov, mariaramirez@hotmail.com, mramirez@da.lacounty.gov, mdaniels@da.lacounty.gov, kchun@da.lacounty.gov, jmorris@da.lacounty.gov, pcagney@da.lacounty.gov, sfrank6576@aol.com, sfrankland@da.lacounty.gov, bschirn@da.lacounty.gov, rsherwood@da.lacounty.gov, spresby@da.lacounty.gov, mbaxter@da.lacounty.gov, lwong@da.lacounty.gov, ayochelson@da.lacounty.gov, tgomez@da.lacounty.gov, cbuckley@da.lacounty.gov, vcole@da.lacounty.gov, srandolph@da.lacounty.gov, ldery@da.lacounty.gov, ymassry@da.lacounty.gov, gjennings@da.lacounty.gov, hchun@da.lacounty.gov, langer@da.lacounty.gov, shassett@da.lacounty.gov, smire@da.lacounty.gov, gwright@da.lacounty.gov, ljkessner@da.lacounty.gov, Jcallahan@da.lacounty.gov,

chum@da.lacounty.gov, mchung@da.lacounty.gov, jmejia@da.lacounty.gov, mgarcia@da.lacounty.gov, jharlan@da.lacounty.gov, mpiantanida@da.lacounty.gov, gsantiso@da.lacounty.gov, pmusso@da.lacounty.gov, nhochman@da.lacounty.gov, Vaenille-rocha@da.lacounty.gov, dfelizzatto@da.lacounty.gov, mhanisee@da.lacounty.gov, skatz@da.lacounty.gov, Jbrooke-castano@da.lacounty.gov, wfrayeh@da.lacounty.gov, jfoster@da.lacounty.gov, jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov, jtillson@da.lacounty.gov, Mariaramirez65@hotmail.com, ilee@da.lacounty.gov, Cris.buckley@uci.edu, jwalker@da.lacounty.gov, cfrey@da.lacounty.gov, vrodriguez@dalacounty.gov, mckinney@da.lacounty.gov, jmckinney@da.lacounty.gov, kcady@da.lacounty.gov, jdsilva@da.lacounty.gov, amattson@da.lacounty.gov, chrisbaker@da.lacounty.gov, cnakao@da.lacounty.gov, jjohnson@da.lacounty.gov, kuyen@da.lacounty.gov, pkouch@da.alacounty.gov, shulefeld@da.lacounty.gov, mbeaart@da.lacounty.gov, bjdodd@dalacounty.gov, mcarrillo@da.lacounty.gov, aaguilar@da.lacounty.gov, awise@da.lacounty.gov, lorquiola@da.lacounty.gov, Kevin.s@lacounty.gov, kswartz@da.lacounty.gov, akozma@da.lacounty.gov, aadams@da.lacounty.gov, trichardson@da.lacounty.gov, timothy@lacounty.gov, sradmore@dal.lacounty.gov, wrosenthal@da.lacounty.gov, wkarfiol@lacounty.gov, cnajera@lacourt.org, mwindham@lacourt.org, dilacqua@da.lacounty.gov, jonathan@lacounty.gov, jarmour@dalacounty.gov, felicia@lacounty.gov, forman@da.laounty.gov, ryann.jorban@stfrancislaw.com, rgerber@dalcounty.gov, jcohn@da.lacounty.gov, julia@lacounty.gov, hjackson@da.lacounty.gov, sunita.popal@pepperdine.edu, spopal@da.lacounty.gov, dzygielbaum@da.lacounty.gov, mlewis@da.lacounty.gov, philglaviano@hotmail.com, pglaviano@da.lacounty.gov, thomaskrag@proton.me, tkrag@da.lacounty.gov, klynch@da.lacounty.gov, smrakich@da.lacounty.gov, cgaines@da.lacounty.gov, menomoto@da.lacounty.gov, cchaiyar@da.lacounty.gov, rdooley@da.lacounty.gov, glewin@da.lacounty.gov, ddelimon@gmail.com, mhaidar@da.lacounty.gov, thooks@da.lacounty.gov, wllanos@da.lacounty.gov, scarney@da.lacounty.gov, dwright@da.lacounty.gov, lholtz@da.lacounty.gov, dmartinez@da.lacounty.gov, dwooden@da.lacounty.gov, jgonzalez@da.lacounty.gov, dsyn@da.lacounty.gov, rchang@da.lacounty.gov, ncooper@da.lacounty.gov, akarkanen@da.lacounty.gov, khalligan@da.lacounty.gov, ralarcon@da.lacounty.gov, sstodel@da.lacounty.gov, dbarkhurst@da.lacounty.gov, tdecastro@da.lacounty.gov, dchau@da.lacounty.gov, vlittle@da.lacounty.gov, nflood@da.lacounty.gov, rrabbani@da.lacounty.gov, tmokayef@da.clacounty.gov, rrose@da.lacounty.gov, chenry@da.lacounty.gov, fsantoro@da.lacounty.gov, kstpierre@da.lacounty.gov, johnlwn@gmail.com, brian.jones@sen.ca.gov, erikandannaapperson@hotmail.com, dbengstib@da.lacounty.gov, Ying.sun@cdcr.ca.gov, pghaderi@counsel.lacounty.gov, rsaldana@counsel.lacounty.gov, ndavis-tinkham@counsel.lacounty.gov, tink4d1@gmail.com, brind0313@gmail.com, darrellltinkham@yahoo.com, ltolani@counsel.lacounty.gov, lester.tolnai@yahoo.com, rclester.tolnai71@yahoo.com, pmarshall@counsel.lacounty.gov, Adrienne Byers <abyers@counsel.lacounty.gov>, rcartwright@counsel.lacounty.gov, rwong@counsel.lacounty.gov, tfaughnan@counsel.lacounty.gov, tomfaughnan66@gmail.com, Jennifer <JLehman@counsel.lacounty.gov>, kmiles@counsel.lacounty.gov, rbaeza@counsel.lacounty.gov, rchastang@counsel.lacounty.gov, lcampo@counsel.lacounty.gov, msimon@counsel.lacounty.gov, emiller@counsel.lacounty.gov, elizabeth_dumais@yahoo.com, kaigrant19@yahoo.com, pmomon@yahoo.com, pbollinger@counsel.lacounty.gov, First District <firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>, secondistrict@bos.la.county.gov, "holly4assembly@gmail.com" <holly4assembly@gmail.com>, hmitchell@yahoo.com, hjmitchel@aol.com, Cindy <cchen@bos.lacounty.gov>, nadtinkham@gmail.com, holly@hollyjmitchell.com, rfleming-peters@counsel.lacounty.gov, Margaret Carter <mcarter@counsel.lacounty.gov>, njenkins@lacounty.gov, njenkins@counsel.lacounty.gov, jgonzalez@counsel.lacounty.gov, lowt@metro.net, Tlow77@gmail.com, ryanthurlow@yahoo.com, tlow@counsel.lacounty.gov, tina@jmoilco.com, tlow7@yahoo.com, econard@lacounty.gov, econard@counsel.lacounty.gov, andria.seo@disabilityrightsca.org, schan@counsel.lacounty.gov, eggerse@metro.net, eggers@counsel.lacounty.gov, mrolon@counsel.lacounty.gov, mirder@hotmail.com, doug@homealert.com, Alexandra Zuiderweg <AZuiderweg@counsel.lacounty.gov>, mruben@counsel.lacounty.gov, Caitlin Taylor <ctaylor@counsel.lacounty.gov>, "Holly J. Mitchell" <HollyJMitchell@bos.lacounty.gov>, Lindsey.P.Horvath@gmail.com, kmuir@counsel.lacounty.gov, CCRCMFLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCCILitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCTFLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCMCLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCORLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCIMLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, FCORTEZ@cdcr.gov, Jeff.macomber@cdcr.ca.gov, Jennifer.barretto@cdcr.ca.gov, Tammy.foss@cdcr.ca.gov, Diana.toche@cdcr.ca.gov, albert.lundeen@cdcr.ca.gov, Jennifer.neil@cdcr.ca.gov, Sarah.larson@cdcr.ca.gov, Ron.broomfield@cdcr.ca.gov, Amy.casias@cdcr.ca.gov, Jason.johnson@cdcr.ca.gov, Chris.chambers@cdcr.ca.gov, Stacy.lopez@cdcr.ca.gov, Niki.dhillon@cdcr.ca.gov, Jennifer.benavidez@cdcr.ca.gov, heather.bowlds@cdcr.ca.gov, Jared.lozano@cdcr.ca.gov, Derrick.marion@cdcr.ca.gov, David.chriss@cdcr.ca.gov, Sarina.calderon@cdcr.ca.gov, "elizabeth.hom@calbar.ca.gov" <elizabeth.hom@calbar.ca.gov>, johnna.sack@calbar.ca.gov, rocio.avalos@calbar.ca.gov, kristina.ramos@calbar.ca.gov, collin.grant@calbar.ca.gov, louisa.ayrapetyan@calbar.ca.gov, jennifer.stalvey@calbar.ca.gov, ken.nourse@calbar.ca.gov, summer.shelton@calbar.ca.gov, kirsten.galler@calbar.ca.gov, doan.nguyen@calbar.ca.gov, audrey.ching@calbar.ca.gov, laurie.gondreau@calbar.ca.gov, yun.xiang@calbar.ca.gov, mark@fresnocriminallawyer.com, abrahim.bagheri@calbar.ca.gov, carol.kiyotoki@calbar.ca.gov, andrew.vasicek@calbar.ca.gov, mayte.diaz@calbar.ca.gov, duncan.carling@calbar.ca.gov, chelsey.smith@calbar.ca.gov, suzanne.grandt@calbar.ca.gov, gerri.smith@calbar.ca.gov, peter.klivans@calbar.ca.gov, cindy.chan@calbar.ca.gov, teresa.ruano@calbar.ca.gov, patrice.vallier-glass@calbar.ca.gov, naji.khatib@calbar.ca.gov, awndrea.yeboah@calbar.ca.gov, christina.doell@calbar.ca.gov, enrique.zuniga@calbar.ca.gov, kimberly.anderson@calbar.ca.gov, brandon.stallings@calbar.ca.gov, Jose.cisneros@gmail.com, Jose.cisneros@sf.gov,

pbarahona@yli.org, Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>, jmerchan@wdacs.lacounty.gov, info@wdacs.lacounty.gov, Arogant Hollywood <arogantmusicinc@gmail.com>, Alison Fairchild <fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com>, Arogant Hollywood <causeofaction43@gmail.com>, blacklawgenius@gmail.com, Alison Fairchild <alisonhfairchild@gmail.com>, Robert Luna <rluna@lasd.org>, rluna@lacounty.gov, atardy@lacounty.org, jtorres@lasd.org, saloma@lacounty.org, llecrivain@lasd.org, bchase@lacounty.gov, rlewis@lacounty.gov, llecrivain@lacounty.org, jmeza@lasd.org, ned.harlan@doj.ca.gov, nishdeep.singh@doj.ca.gov, robert.bonta@doj.ca.gov, OPSChiefsOffice@doj.ca.gov, peter.halloran@doj.ca.gov, amyhhalloran@yahoo.com, peterhalloran@sbcglobal.net, alisha.carlile@doj.ca.gov, snpdragn@aol.com, acarlile@alum.wellesley.edu, concertina9@yahoo.com, alishastephen@yahoo.com.au, alehr17@u.washington.edu, girlygirl8384@aol.com, akeened@yahoo.com, lish34@hotmail.com, piccbodin@hotmail.com, lachapell_a@yahoo.com, alishaoku@yahoo.com, alisha.mai@gmail.com, alisha.marks@rogers.com, vincent.bonotto@doj.ca.gov, vbonotto@hotmail.com, glenn.pruden@doj.ca.gov, eyeless77@hotmail.com, daniel.olivas@doj.ca.gov, giam.nguyen@doj.ca.gov, chad.stegeman@doj.ca.gov, stephen.lew@doj.ca.gov, christopher.findley@doj.ca.gov, dennis.beck@doj.ca.gov, darrell.spence@doj.ca.gov, maggy.krell@doj.ca.gov, Elaine.tong@doj.ca.gov, hannah.calacsan@doj.ca.gov, mo.park@doj.ca.gov, dbuthler@lasuperiorcourt.org, dslayton@lasuperiorcourt.org, sclover@lasuperiorcourt.org, jmoses@lasuperiorcourt.org, ppenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, tpenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, chase.penrose@gmail.com, mackenzienicole@gmail.com, trishpenrose@att.net, amber.barnett@jud.ca.go, john.yee@jud.ca.gov, jenniejasperson@gmail.com, kelton.jasperson@gmail.com, cjasperson2@mindspring.com, judy.jasperson@att.net, randie.chance@doj.ca.gov, gavin.newsom@gov.ca.gov, gkennedy@alhambrapd.org, EWakabayashi@da.lacounty.gov, bdodd@da.lacounty.gov, hforman@da.lacounty.gov, ttokat@da.lacounty.gov, tmokayf@da.lacounty.gov, sradmore@da.lacounty.gov, andrade@earthlink.net, rgerber@da.lacounty.gov, vrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov, jlewin@da.lacounty.gov, atardy@lasd.org, dtran@alhambrapd.org, secondistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, dbengston@da.lacounty.gov, jragusa@alhambrafire.org, rembrahim@da.lacounty.gov, "rishnidanos516@gmail.com" <rishnidanos516@gmail.com>, prentice.hill@cdcr.ca.gov

FRIVOLOUS CRIMINAL CASE 25CJCM02162-01, FIRST LEGAL WARNING TO COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, ITS DDAS, CITY OF ALHAMBRA AND ITS CONSPIRING ATTORNEYS TO RETURN ALL PROPERTY, DISMISSED ALL FRIVOLOUS FIVE COUNTS, CRIMINALLY CHARGE RACIST CRIMINAL PROSECUTOR BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG, REPORT MARK ROSENBERG TO THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA, CREATE SUPPLEMENTAL POLICE REPORT THAT HIGHLIGHTS AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S FACTUAL INNOCENCE, AND TURN OVER ALL CRIMINAL CASE DISCOVERY; INCLUDING PHONY PROBABLE CAUSE DETERMINATION

FRIVOLOUS CRIMINAL CASE 25CJCM02162-01, FIRST LEGAL WARNING TO COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, ITS DDAS, CITY OF ALHAMBRA AND ITS CONSPIRING ATTORNEYS TO RETURN ALL PROPERTY, DISMISSED ALL FRIVOLOUS FIVE COUNTS, CRIMINALLY CHARGE RACIST CRIMINAL PROSECUTOR BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG, REPORT MARK ROSENBERG TO THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA, CREATE SUPPLEMENTAL POLICE REPORT THAT HIGHLIGHTS AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S FACTUAL INNOCENCE, AND TURN OVER ALL CRIMINAL CASE DISCOVERY; INCLUDING PHONY PROBABLE CAUSE DETERMINATION.


ALL NATURAL PERSONS ELECTRONICALLY WARNED HEREIN CAN AND WILL BE HELD LIABLE.

I. INTRODUCTION

Rogue, RACIST, prejudiced, biased, corrupt, unethical, poorly trained, and extremely dishonest California peace officer Benjamin Yang (hereinafter "Yang") and his chief of police Garret Kennedy will soon find out the hard way in federal district court that they cannot arrest United States citizens whenever they want and wherever they see fit.

II. STATEMENT OF FACTS

On November 13, 2023 AROGANT HOLLYWOOD [hereinafter "KING AROGANT] sent an electronic mail message to RACIST, unethical, biased, delusional, mentally ill, and corrupt County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutor Brian MARK Rosenberg accusing him of falsifying evidence in his frivolous felony criminal case GA114055. See email below attached herein:



A SERIES OF EMAILS ARE COMING SOON! COMPLETE WITH ALL THE EVIDENCE THAT YOU BROKE FEDERAL LAW BY ABUSING YOUR POWER AND AUTHORITY AS PEACE OFFICERS AND PUBLIC OFFICERS OF THE COURT!

GET READY!!!!!!!!

BECAUSE ROSSI AND THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IS GOING TO SEE TO IT THAT BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG, RICHARD LEWIS, AND BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG BECOME FEDERAL PRISONERS! GUARANTEED!!!!!!!

FRIVOLOUS CRIMINAL CASE 25CJCM02162-01, FIRST LEGAL WARNING TO COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, ITS DDAS, CITY OF ALHAMBRA AND ITS CONSPIRING ATTORNEYS TO RETURN ALL PROPERTY, DISMISSED ALL FRIVOLOUS FIVE COUNTS, CRIMINALLY CHARGE RACIST CRIMINAL PROSECUTOR BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG, REPORT MARK ROSENBERG TO THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA, CREATE SUPPLEMENTAL POLICE REPORT THAT HIGHLIGHTS AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S FACTUAL INNOCENCE, AND TURN OVER ALL CRIMINAL CASE DISCOVERY; INCLUDING PHONY PROBABLE CAUSE DETERMINATION.


ALL NATURAL PERSONS ELECTRONICALLY WARNED HEREIN CAN AND WILL BE HELD LIABLE.

I. INTRODUCTION

Rogue, RACIST, prejudiced, biased, corrupt, unethical, poorly trained, and extremely dishonest California peace officer Benjamin Yang (hereinafter "Yang") and his chief of police Garret Kennedy will soon find out the hard way in federal district court that they cannot arrest United States citizens whenever they want and wherever they see fit.

II. STATEMENT OF FACTS

On November 13, 2023 AROGANT HOLLYWOOD [hereinafter "KING AROGANT] sent an electronic mail message to RACIST, unethical, biased, delusional, mentally ill, and corrupt County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutor Brian MARK Rosenberg accusing him of falsifying evidence in his frivolous felony criminal case GA114055. See email below attached herein:




A SERIES OF EMAILS ARE COMING SOON! COMPLETE WITH ALL THE EVIDENCE THAT YOU BROKE FEDERAL LAW BY ABUSING YOUR POWER AND AUTHORITY AS PEACE OFFICERS AND PUBLIC OFFICERS OF THE COURT!

GET READY!!!!!!!!



BECAUSE ROSSI AND THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IS GOING TO SEE TO IT THAT BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG, RICHARD LEWIS, AND BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG BECOME FEDERAL PRISONERS! GUARANTEED!!!!!!!



ROSSI! AMERICA IS LESS SAFE WITH BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG ON THE STREETS. ITS TIME FOR THE U.S. GOVERNMENT TO LOCK THIS FOOL UP AND DECERTIFY HIM!

HE IS A CROOKED COP THAT HAS BEEN FEDERALLY CHARGED AND UNDER INVESTIGATION FOR CONDUCTING SIMILAR CRIMINAL BEHAVIOR AND CONDUCT HE HAS IN MY CASE. LOCK THIS FOOL UP!

Investigations and Prosecutions

The Department of Justice ("The Department") vigorously investigates and, where the evidence permits, prosecutes allegations of Constitutional violations by law enforcement officers. The Department's investigations most often involve alleged uses of excessive force, but also include sexual misconduct, theft, false arrest, and deliberate indifference to serious medical needs or a substantial risk of harm to a person in custody. These cases typically involve police officers, jailers, correctional officers, probation officers, prosecutors, judges, and other federal, state, or local law enforcement officials. The Department's authority extends to all law enforcement conduct, regardless of whether an officer is on or off duty, so long as he/she is acting, or claiming to act, in his/her official capacity.

In addition to Constitutional violations, the Department prosecutes law enforcement officers for related instances of obstruction of justice. This includes attempting to prevent a victim or witnesses from reporting the misconduct, lying to federal, state, or local officials during the

course of an investigation into the potential misconduct, writing a false report to conceal misconduct, or fabricating evidence.

The principles of federal prosecution, set forth in the United States Attorneys' Manual ("USAM"), require federal prosecutors to meet two standards in order to seek an indictment.


First, the government must be convinced that the potential defendant committed a federal crime. Second, the government must also conclude that the government would be likely to prevail at trial, where the government must prove the charges beyond a reasonable doubt.

To prove a violation of § 242, the government must prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt: (1) that the defendant deprived a victim of a right protected by the Constitution or laws of the United States, (2) that the defendant acted willfully, and (3) that the defendant was acting under color of law. A violation of § 242 is a felony if one of the following conditions is met: the defendant used, attempted to use, or threatened to use a dangerous weapon, explosive or fire; the victim suffered bodily injury; the defendant's actions included attempted murder, kidnapping or attempted kidnapping, aggravated sexual abuse or attempted aggravated sexual abuse, or the crime resulted in death. Otherwise, the violation is a misdemeanor.

Establishing the intent behind a Constitutional violation requires proof beyond a reasonable doubt that the law enforcement officer knew what he/she was doing was wrong and against the law and decided to do it anyway. Therefore, even if the government can prove beyond a reasonable doubt that an individual's Constitutional right was violated, § 242 requires that the government prove that the law enforcement officer intended to engage in the unlawful conduct and that he/she did so knowing that it was wrong or unlawful. *See Screws v. United States*, 325 U.S. 91, 101-107 (1945). Mistake, fear, misperception, or even poor judgment does not constitute willful conduct prosecutable under the statute.

WHILE LYING BILLY AND RACIST MARK ROSENBERG'S ACTIONS ARE A MISDEMEANOR UNDER FEDERAL LAW. THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE MUST WORK WITH THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE TO CRIMINALLY CHARGE ROSENBERG, LEWIS, AND KHOUNTHAVONG PURSUANT TO VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 6200, WHICH IS A FELONY AND CARRY STATE PRISON TIME!

MARK Rosenberg used the above electronic mail message sent to him on November 28, 2023 to not only apply for a frivolous civil harassment restraining order but to also request a temporary restraining order by fabricating lies that he believed that KING AROGANT posed a threat to him and his family by stating that he should to to prison for violating California Government Code Section 6200. See MARK Rosenberg's Superior Court of California civil harassment restraining order attachment pages below herein:



MC-025

SHORT TITLE: Attachment 7b-Previous Harassment

CASE NUMBER: 25FDRO01713

ATTACHMENT (Number): _____

(This Attachment may be used with any Judicial Council form.)

I am the assigned prosecutor for the case of People v. Arogant Hollywood, GA114055. Mr. Hollywood was previously charged in People v. Arogant Hollywood, GA113236, wherein after the proceedings, he threatened to sue the named prosecutor and ultimately contacted her and attempted to contact her family members that had nothing to do with that case and threatened to sue them. Said prosecutor is a colleague of mine and was scared by this behavior. I was assigned GA114055 at arraignment on 2/24/23. From the beginning of the case, Mr. Hollywood threatened to sue me in open court numerous times. He called me racist and frequently stated that he wouldn't just sue me but he'd find my entire family and sue them in any court he could, in any state he could.. He also called my work phone numerous times and threatened to sue me as well. He made additional threats to file state bar complaints against me as well. Additionally, Mr. Hollywood filed a motion in court wherein he threatened to sue myself and the judge for $14 million dollars (said motion was admitted as People's 2 during Defendant's preliminary hearing). A preliminary hearing was conducted on this matter on April 4, 2023 and April 5, 2023. Mr. Hollywood testified during this hearing. In this hearing, Mr. Hollywood admitted to being a vexatious litigant and admitted to intimidating people "all the time" by threatening to sue them. He further admitted to sending an email to the prior DA and her family because he was upset about the case she prosecuted. He stated "If I sue her family, she'll care. Ms. Lee's family's getting sued. I got her home address too." Mr. Hollywood additionally admitted to threatening to sue me "a lot." Stating further "that's what I do." Given his conduct with the prior DA, along with his conduct on this case, I believe that Mr. Hollywood uses these statements as a means of harassing and intimidating. This case was ultimately resolved on 10/17/23, where Mr. Hollywood pled no contest to the charge of PC 422 and was placed on formal probation. On 11/13/23, I received an email from Mr. Hollywood, threatening to send me to federal prison, and threatening to send me a series of emails. I reported this to LASD. Incident number: 923-11730-0522-217. Due to all this, I am fearful of my safety, along with the safety of my family, and believe that a protective order is necessary to secure that safety.

(If the item that this Attachment concerns is made under penalty of perjury, all statements in this Attachment are made under penalty of perjury.)

Page ____ of ____

(Add pages as required)

Form Approved for Optional Use
Judicial Council of California
MC-025 [Rev. July 1, 2016]

ATTACHMENT
to Judicial Council Form

www.courtinfo.ca.gov



MC-025

SHORT TITLE:
Attachment 7a(3)-Describe Harassment

CASE NUMBER:
25PDR001713

ATTACHMENT (Number): _____
(This Attachment may be used with any Judicial Council form.)

I received an email from Mr. Hollywood wherein he threatened to send me to federal prison and indicated that a "a series of emails are coming soon! Complete with all the evidence that you broke federal law by abusing your power and authority as peace officers and public officers of the court! Get Ready!!!!!!". In addition to threatening this series of emails, Hollywood also told the detective on our case that "you had better run away as fast you can fool." This is the latest in a string of harassing communications that I believe are only aimed at intimidating and annoying me. This matter was reported to LASD. URN: 923-11730-0522-217.

(If the item that this Attachment concerns is made under penalty of perjury, all statements in this Attachment are made under penalty of perjury.)

Page _____ of _____
(Add pages as required)

Form Approved for Optional Use
Judicial Council of California
MC-025 [Rev. July 1, 2009]

ATTACHMENT
to Judicial Council Form

www.courtinfo.ca.gov

Harassment, for the purposes of Cal Code Civ Proc § 527.6, is unlawful violence, a credible threat of violence [Code Civ. Proc. § 527.6(b)(2) ("credible threat of violence" is knowing and willful statement or course of conduct that would place a reasonable person in fear for his or her safety or safety of his or her immediately family, and that serves no legitimate purpose)], *Harris v. Stampolis (2016) 248 Cal.App. 4th 484, 495-496, 204 Cal. Rptr. 3d 1.*

A credible threat of violence is a knowing and willful course of conduct directed at a specific person that seriously alarms, annoys, or harasses this person, and that serves no legitimate purpose.

The course of conduct must be such as would cause a reasonable person to suffer substantial emotional distress, and it must actually be caused by substantial emotional distress to the petitioner filing for a civil harassment restraining order. See Cal Civ Code Proc § 527.6(b)(3); *Huntington Life Sciences, Inc. v. Stop Huntington Animal Cruelty USA, Inc. (2005) 129 Cal. App. 4th 1228, 1257-1258, 29 Cal. Rptr. 3d 521* (liability is based on defendant's credible threats of violence and defendant's lack of intent to actually carry out threats is immaterial)]. Cal Code Civ Proc § 527.6(b)

(2) does not define "substantial emotional distress," but it may be properly compared to "severe emotional distress" in the tort context of intentional infliction of emotional distress. In that context, "severe emotional distress" means highly unpleasant mental suffering or anguish from socially unacceptable conduct, which entails such intense, enduring, and nontrivial emotional distress that no reasonable person in a civilized society should be expected to endure it. Therefore, not all actions that give rise to some emotional distress will constitute conduct that "cause[s] a reasonable person to suffer substantial emotional distress" within the meaning of Cal Code Civ Proc § 527.6, particularly if the distress is but transitory in nature. See *Schild v. Rubin (1991) 232 Cal. App. 3d 755, 762-763, 283 Cal. Rptr. 533*.

MARK Rosenberg's above attachment page allegations were insufficient evidence for the unfair and corrupt Superior Court of California judicial officer to grant either a temporary restraining order or a permanent restraining order.

MARK Rosenberg's fabricated statements that KING AROGANT stated that a serious of emails were coming proved to be irrelevant. As KING AROGANT never followed up to MARK Rosenberg's by sending him a second email.

Thus, in the seventeen months MARK Rosenberg had only received one single email from KING AROGANT. A single incident was not for MARK Rosenberg to even file a second restraining order. See caselaw below:


A single incident of harassment is not a course of conduct, see *Leydon v. Alexander (1989) 212 Cal. App. 3d 1, 4 260 Cal. Rptr. 253*. Moreover, constitutionally protected activity, such as the right to petition administrative, executive, or judicial agencies, is not included within the meaning of a course of conduct. See Cal Code Civ Proc § 527, *Leydon v. Alexander (1989) 212 Cal App 3d 1, 5, 260 Cal. Rptr. 253; Smith v. Silvey (1983) 149 Cal. App. 3d 406-407, 197 Cal. Rptr. 15*.

Additionally, pursuant to California Penal Code Section 646.6, subsection (f) MARK Rosenberg's allegations that KING AROGANT threatened and harassed him by sending him an email stating that he should go to prison did not rise to the level of unlawful violence and harassment because KING AROGANT'S written electronic mail statements were in exercise of his First Amendment Freedom of Speech Clause constitutional civil rights. See below:

(f) For the purposes of this section, "course of conduct" means two or more acts occurring over a period of time, however short, evidencing a continuity of purpose. Constitutionally protected activity is not included within the meaning of "course of conduct."

MARK Rosenberg's frivolous and bogus Superior Court of California civil harassment restraining order filed originally in November 2023 (23PDRO01713) recklessly infringed upon KING AROGANT'S 1st amendment and Fourteenth amendment constitutional civil rights and make it extremely difficult for KING AROGANT to request MARK Rosenberg turn over and disclose numerous pieces of evidence in frivolous felony criminal case GA114055.

On November 28, 2023 KING AROGANT sent an email to numerous County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors detailing how rogue peace officer and RACIST criminal prosecutor Brian MARK Rosenberg had falsified evidence in illegal case GA114055. Brian MARK Rosenberg was not included on the nearly 500 persons email list. See evidence below attached hereto and marked as "Exhibit 1".



Gmail - AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. state of california, united states,... https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0?ik=8c828f0960&view=pt&search=a...

Gmail Alison Fairchild <alisonhfairchild@gmail.com>

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. state of california, united states, county of los angeles, city of south pasadena, city of arcadia, et al [SECOND EMAIL] [REAL VIDEO THAT PROVES THAT I WAS FRAMED FOR VIOLATING CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE SECTION 422(a)

103 messages

Arogant Hollywood <arogantHollywoodgenius@gmail.com> Tue, Nov 28, 2023 at 4:02 AM


To: attorney@reliopdahl.com, Arogant Hollywood <arogantHollywoodgenius@gmail.com>, arogantmusicone@gmail.com, fairchildtaylor.leader@gmail.com, causeofaction43@gmail.com, blacklawgenius@gmail.com, alisonhfairchild@gmail.com, "blacklawcrusador@gmail.com" <blacklawcrusador@gmail.com>, rluna@lasd.org, rluna@lacounty.gov, atardy@lacounty.org, jflores@lasd.org, salema@lacounty.org, leonvain@lasd.org, behase@lacounty.gov, rlewis@lacounty.gov, lechiveh@lacounty.org, jmeza@lasd.org, ned.hallan@doj.ca.gov, rishdeep.singh@doj.ca.gov, robert.bonta@doj.ca.gov, CPSChiefsOffice@doj.ca.gov, peter.halloran@doj.ca.gov, amyhhalloran@yahoo.com, peterhalloran@sbcglobal.net, alisha.carlile@doj.ca.gov, angdrsgm@aol.com, acarlile@alum.wellesley.edu, concartina5@yahoo.com, alishastephen@yahoo.com.au, alahr17@u.washington.edu, girlygirl8384@aol.com, akeened@yahoo.com, lish34@hotmail.com, pocbodin@hotmail.com, lashapell_a@yahoo.com, alishaoku@yahoo.com, alisha.ma@gmail.com, alisha.marks@rogers.com, vincent.bonetto@doj.ca.gov, vbonetto@hotmail.com, glenn.pruden@doj.ca.gov, eyeless77@hotmail.com, daniel.olivas@doj.ca.gov, glam.nguyen@doj.ca.gov, chad.stegeman@doj.ca.gov, stephen.lew@doj.ca.gov, christopher.findley@doj.ca.gov, dennis.beck@doj.ca.gov, darrell.spence@doj.ca.gov, maggy.krell@doj.ca.gov, Elaine.tong@doj.ca.gov, hannah.calacsan@doj.ca.gov, mo.park@doj.ca.gov, dbuthler@lasuperiorcourt.org, dclayton@lasuperiorcourt.org, sdover@lasuperiorcourt.org, jmoses@lasuperiorcourt.org, ppenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, tpenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, chase.penrose@gmail.com, mackenzienicole@gmail.com, trishpenrose@att.net, TCPJWC_CEACC@jud.ca.gov, afisher@lasuperiorcourt.org, jorethen.sibayan@jud.ca.gov, mary.carter@jud.ca.gov, john.williams@jud.ca.gov, amber.barnett@jud.ca.go, robert.oyung@jud.ca.gov, john.yee@jud.ca.gov, heather.pelbit@jud.ca.gov, leah.rose-goodwin@jud.ca.gov, mark.dusman@jud.ca.gov, cory.jasperson@jud.ca.gov, jennie.jasperson@gmail.com, kelton.jasperson@gmail.com, cjasperson2@mindspring.com, judy.jasperson@att.net, randie.chance@doj.ca.gov, randie.chance@jud.ca.gov, Bobby.brow@jud.ca.gov, stephen.nash@jud.ca.gov, debbie.silbox@jud.ca.gov, laura.speed@jud.ca.gov, andrea.evans@jud.ca.gov, nicole.claro@jud.ca.gov, gavin.newsom@gov.ca.gov, robert.rivas@asm.ca.gov, isaac.bryan@asm.ca.gov, cecilia.aguiar-curry@asm.ca.gov, stephanie.nguyen@asm.ca.gov, lori.wilson@asm.ca.gov, matt.haney@asm.ca.gov, heath.flora@asm.ca.gov, laurie.davies@asm.ca.gov, turfriteer@yahoo.com, turfrite@aol.com, turfrite@yahoo.com, jami.davis@yepix.net, vhuston@indy.rr.com, james.gallagher@asm.ca.gov, lisa.calderon@asm.ca.gov, john.ackler@sen.ca.gov, alisa.buckley@asm.ca.gov, benjamin.allen@sen.ca.gov, marie.alvarado-gil@sen.ca.gov, bob.archuleta@sen.ca.gov, angelique.ashby@sen.ca.gov, toni.atkins@sen.ca.gov, josh.becker@sen.ca.gov, catherine.blakespear@sen.ca.gov, steven.bradford@sen.ca.gov, anna.caballero@sen.ca.gov, dave.cortese@sen.ca.gov, brian.dahle@sen.ca.gov, steven.glazer@sen.ca.gov, lena.gonzalez@sen.ca.gov, shannon.grove@sen.ca.gov, melissa.hurtado@sen.ca.gov, brian.jones@sen.ca.gov, john.laird@sen.ca.gov, monique.limon@sen.ca.gov, mike.mcguire@sen.ca.gov, carolina.menjivar@sen.ca.gov, dave.min@sen.ca.gov, josh.newman@sen.ca.gov, janet.nguyen@sen.ca.gov, roger.niello@sen.ca.gov, rosilicie.ochoa-bogh@sen.ca.gov, steve.padilla@sen.ca.gov, anthony.portantino@sen.ca.gov, richard.roth@sen.ca.gov, susan.rubio@sen.ca.gov, kelly.seyarto@sen.ca.gov, nancy.skinner@sen.ca.gov, lola.smallwood-cuevas@sen.ca.gov, henry.stern@sen.ca.gov, thomas.umberg@sen.ca.gov, aisha.wahab@sen.ca.gov, scott.wiener@sen.ca.gov, scott.wilk@sen.ca.gov, brendan.murphy@sen.ca.gov, steve.vones@sen.ca.gov, nancy.pelosi@mail.house.gov, kevin.mccarthy@mail.house.gov, maxine.waters@mail.house.gov, kathleen.porter@mail.house.gov, katie.porter@mail.house.gov, kevin.kiley@mail.house.gov, mike.thompson@mail.house.gov, tom.mcclintock@mail.house.gov, ami.bera@mail.house.gov, doris.matsui@mail.house.gov, Rep Garamendi <john.garamendi@mail.house.gov>, josh.harder@mail.house.gov, Mark.desaulnier@mail.house.gov, josh.duarte@mail.house.gov, eric.swalwell@mail.house.gov, kevin.mullin@mail.house.gov, anna.eshoo@mail.house.gov, ro.khanna@mail.house.gov, zoe.lofgren@mail.house.gov, Jimmy.panetta@mail.house.gov, kevinmccarthy@mail.house.gov, jim.costa@mail.house.gov, Jay.obernolte@mail.house.gov, salud.carbajal@mail.house.gov, Raul.ruiz@mail.house.gov, julie.brownley@mail.house.gov, mike.garcia@mail.house.gov, judy.chu@mail.house.gov, tony.cardenas@mail.house.gov, adam.schiff@mail.house.gov, brad.sherman@mail.house.gov, pete.aguilar@mail.house.gov, jimmy.gomez@mail.house.gov, Sydney.kamlager-dove@mail.house.gov, linda.sanchez@mail.house.gov, mark.takano@mail.house.gov, young.kim@mail.house.gov, ken.calvert@mail.house.gov, robert.garcia@mail.house.gov, nanette.barragan@mail.house.gov, michelle.steel@mail.house.gov, lou.correa@mail.house.gov,

1 of 242 5/2/2023, 2:43 PM



abe.porter@mail.house.gov, darrell.issa@mail.house.gov, mike.levin@mail.house.gov, scott.peters@mail.house.gov, sara.jacobs@mail.house.gov, juan.vargas@mail.house.gov, scheduling_padilla@padilla.senate.gov, casework@padilla.senate.gov, david_montes@padilla.senate.gov, joshua_esquivel@padilla.senate.gov, david_grannis@feinstein.senate.gov, justin_schardin@feinstein.senate.gov, rachel_bombach@feinstein.senate.gov, president@whitehouse.gov, Kamala.harris@whitehouse.gov, leaht.wilson@calbar.ca.gov, leah.wilson80@yahoo.com, Elizabeth.horn@calbar.ca.gov, gina.crawford@calbar.ca.gov, rita.himes@calbar.ca.gov, eslembach@adlusocal.org, manuel.alvarez@post.ca.gov, jim.grottkau@post.ca.gov, ajgrottkau@yahoo.com, jamesgrottkau@aol.com, jamie.grottkau@yahoo.com, annemarie.mugnaio@post.ca.gov, annemariedelmugnaio@gmail.com, chaseindixon@aol.com, obugnk@aol.com, sonya.baland@post.ca.gov, sonyar@comcast.net, cheryl.smith@post.ca.gov, colin.okeefe@post.ca.gov, cokeefe@post.ca.gov, dulce_cakes4u@yahoo.com, dice_cakes4u@aol.com, gspringer5@yahoo.com, cokeefe@ci.davis.ca.us, colin.o'keefe530@verizon.net, co'keefe1514@bellsouth.net, sarah.wallace@post.ca.gov, william.darden@post.ca.gov, william.darden@doj.ca.gov, rpthjncy@uojsdp.edu, williamdarden@gmail.com, William.darden@urs.com, carrie.holler@post.ca.gov, carrie.berg82@gmail.com, cberg@solanocounty.com, carrie.holler@aol.com, erik.apperson@post.ca.gov, iley6808@gmail.com, wiley6808@gmail.com, erikandannaapperson@hotmail.com, oneoutsider@hotmail.com, egrundman@hotmail.com, todders@hotmail.com, erikapperson@hotmail.com, erik.apperson@yahoo.com, sb2@post.ca.gov, christine.ford@post.ca.gov, michelle.weiler@post.ca.gov, wildweilers@msn.com, cateweiler@msn.com, meagan.poulos@post.ca.gov, rosanne.richeal@post.ca.gov, thomas.chalk@post.ca.gov, katie.strickland@post.ca.gov, kirk.bunch@post.ca.gov, brad.newmyer@post.ca.gov, mike.radford@post.ca.gov, brian.south@post.ca.gov, goodwin.liu@jud.ca.gov, tani.cantil-sakauye@jud.ca.gov, joshua.groban@jud.ca.gov, martin.jenkins@jud.ca.gov, patricia.guerrero@jud.ca.gov, carol.corrigan@jud.ca.gov, leondra.kruger@jud.ca.gov, kelli.evans@jud.ca.gov, firstdistrict@sbcglobal.net, firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, schen@bos.lacounty.gov, seconddistrict@scbs.lawcounty.gov, holly4assembly@gmail.com, hmitchell@yahoo.com, karnbass@cs.com, hjmitchell@aol.com, tumberg@mofo.com, holly@hollyjmitchell.com, rfleming-peters@counsel.lacounty.gov, mcarter@counsel.lacounty.gov, rjenkins@lacounty.gov, rjenkins@counsel.lacounty.gov, jgonzalez@counsel.lacounty.gov, lowt@metro.net, Tlow77@gmail.com, ryanthurlow@yahoo.com, tlow@lacounty.gov, tlow@counsel.lacounty.gov, tina@molloo.com, tlow7@yahoo.com, econard@lacounty.gov, econard@counsel.lacounty.gov, pepemendoza188@gmail.com, chelseaconrad@gmail.com, mleonard@gmail.com, kcluvebarney@aol.com, susanconard@aol.com, s.conard@conardphoto.com, susan@conardphoto.com, sconard@conardphoto.com, sales@conardphoto.com, support@conardphoto.com, scollins@cleat.org, admin@conardphoto.com, conard@conardphoto.com, fawino@counsel.lacounty.gov, cbarnett@counsel.lacounty.gov, donnghiem@gmail.com, brucenguyen@gmail.com, donnghiem@hotmail.com, don@lacounty.gov, dnghiem@counsel.lacounty.gov, schoi@counsel.lacounty.gov, ltsing@counsel.lacounty.gov, cesar@lacounty.gov, cdelperal@counsel.lacounty.gov, cdelperal@aol.com, cesar_del_peral@yahoo.com, cdelperal@yahoo.com, cesardelperal1@yahoo.com, theflyingtrex02@gmail.com, jguindel79@yahoo.com, atkins.sarah28@gmail.com, rlstacy@gmail.com, lneversleep55.mh@gmail.com, rourke@lacounty.gov, rstacy@counsel.lacounty.gov, rstacy-padilla@counsel.lacounty.gov, vowens@counsel.lacounty.gov, mearls@counsel.lacounty.gov, dcarlos@county.lacounty.gov, dcarlos@counsel.lacounty.gov, victoriacarlos@gmail.com, dcarlos31@gmail.com, debbie.carlos818@verizon.net, victoria.carlos@gmail.com, humbert@ptminc.com, info@ptm-inc.com, pincents@aol.com, jcarlos@msmc.la.edu, yngidea@gmail.com, richard.padilla@disney.com, andria.seo@disabilityrightsca.org, andiseo@gmail.com, wishinwaiting@hotmail.com, ednu@counsel.lacounty.gov, sgipson@counsel.lacounty.gov, sladyeso@gmail.com, sladyeso@aol.com, Dhrubajyoti Deb <ddesign@gmail.com>, michaelstreetman@gmail.com, merugon@aol.com, rolaid2@yahoo.com, dlwester22@yahoo.com, dlwester@yahoo.com, sshon@counsel.lacounty.gov, rgeso@yahoo.com, grgeso@msn.com, gproduce@hotmail.com, mrsmithgs@aol.com, azurdarveg@counsel.lacounty.gov, mruben@counsel.lacounty.gov, clayton@counsel.lacounty.gov, schan@counsel.lacounty.gov, kmun@counsel.lacounty.gov, eggerse@metro.net, eggers@counsel.lacounty.gov, mrolon@counsel.lacounty.gov, mrider@hotmail.com, doug@homealert.com, doug.richardsun@homealert.com, pgnaden@counsel.lacounty.gov, rsaldana@counsel.lacounty.gov, rdavis-tinkham@counsel.lacounty.gov, Nicole Davis <nikki.davis1212@gmail.com>, tink4d1@gmail.com, radtinkham@gmail.com, brind0313@gmail.com, darrelltink.ham@yahoo.com, jwhitehurst@counsel.lacounty.gov, tolenn@counsel.lacounty.gov, lester.tolnai@yahoo.com, rdiester.tolnai71@yahoo.com, pmarshall@counsel.lacounty.gov, abyers@counsel.lacounty.gov, rcartwright@counsel.lacounty.gov, rwong@counsel.lacounty.gov, tlaughnan@counsel.lacounty.gov, tomlaughnan66@gmail.com, jlehman@counsel.lacounty.gov, leniles@counsel.lacounty.gov, rbauza@counsel.lacounty.gov, skuhn@counsel.county.gov, rchastang@counsel.lacounty.gov, lcampo@counsel.lacounty.gov, msimon@counsel.lacounty.gov, emiller@counsel.lacounty.gov, elizabeth_dumais@yahoo.com, kagrant19@yahoo.com, pmomon@yahoo.com, pbollinger@counsel.lacounty.gov, kbowser@counsel.lacounty.gov, rebrahim@da.lacounty.gov, kkoyano@da.lacounty.gov, dteran@da.lacounty.gov, lsereno@lacounty.gov, lserenc@da.lacounty.gov, mbeaari@da.lacounty.gov, ron.geltz@lacounty.gov, rgeltz@da.lacounty.gov, Manik Bhoi <manik.bhoi@gmail.com>, wilham@verizon.net, eric.castano@charter.net, jcastano@da.lacounty.gov, eric.castano@juno.com, ericcastano@gmail.com, Jlnguez@da.lacounty.gov, fsanchez@da.lacounty.gov, raroos@da.lacounty.gov, jrodriguez@dalacounty.gov, swoo@da.lacounty.gov, pagrawal@da.lacounty.gov, cnakao@da.lacounty.gov, vgadams@msn.com, jgamson@da.lacounty.gov, ljkessner@da.lacounty.gov, ldroeger@da.lacounty.gov, jdsilva@ceo.lacounty.gov, jsilva@da.lacounty.gov,

2 of 212 3/2/2025, 2:47 PM



Gmail - ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. state of california, united states.... https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0/?ik=8c828f0f69&view=pt&search=a...

hborden@da.lacounty.gov, amattson@da.lacounty.gov, eratino@da.lacounty.gov, shaungipson@gmail.com, sgipson@da.lacounty.gov, twright@da.lacounty.gov, mcamillo@da.lacounty.gov, gdameron@da.lacounty.gov, ayochelsen@da.lacounty.gov, spressby@da.lacounty.gov, shassed@da.lacounty.gov, bjdodd@da.lacounty.gov, lorquiola@da.lacounty.gov, mramirez@da.lacounty.gov, ralarcon@da.lacounty.gov, shulefelo@da.lacounty.gov, smire@da.lacounty.gov, mhadar@da.lacounty.gov, pkouch@da.lacounty.gov, vrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov, dpurvis@da.lacounty.gov, ranias@lacounty.gov, ezneimer@socal.rr.com, evelyn.zneimer@yahoo.com, samslerz78@hotmail.com, zneimer@yahoo.com, samuel.zneimer@yahoo.com, daphnetrain@hotmail.com, daphne.zneimer@gmail.com, dzneimer@yahoo.com, InspectorGeneral@oig.lacounty.gov, doug.momanus@usdoj.gov, melinda.rogers@usdoj.gov, stacie.bass@usdoj.gov, courtney.spivey-urschel@usdoj.gov, AskDOJ@usdoj.gov, merrick.garland@whitehouse.gov, SupremeCtBriefs@usdoj.gov, kerry.monaco@usdoj.gov, vamita.gupta@whitehouse.gov, kristen.clarke@usdoj.gov, Christopher.wray@whitehouse.gov, christopher@fbi.gov, paul.abbate@ic.fbi.gov, paul.abbate@whitehouse.gov, paulabbate@yahoo.com, pa8160@hotmail.com, fdsanchez@belgardens.org, "bgbarcenam@gmail.com" <bgbarcenam@gmail.com>, "jchavez@belgardens.org" <jchavez@belgardens.org>, ggomez@belgardens.org, mpulido@belgardens.org, MOKelly@belgardens.org, sfairfield@belgardens.org, Esteban Perez <EPerez@bgpd.org>, sfairfield@bgpd.org, tguzmansanchez@hotmail.com, tguzmansanchez@aol.com, thomasguzmansanchez@hotmail.com, guzmansanchez@aol.com, ceo@gsmediagroup.com, tgsvrmuzic@dancemaster.com, r@dancemaster.com, godaddy@dancemaster.com

On February 21, 2023 I was falsely arrested by LASD Temple City peace officers for making criminal threats.

On February 21, 2023 shortly after arriving at Temple City adult detention center I told detective peace officer Billy Khounthavong and his superior sergeant Richard Lewis that if they brought me my mobile phone and GOPRO camera I could present to them audio and video evidence that would prove I did not make any threat.

On February 22, 2023 rogue and corrupt LASD peace officer Billy Khounthavong and his superior Richard Lewis recklessly conspired to violate my civil rights by disposing of Samsung Galaxy S21 Ultra mobile phone which I told them contained on it audio recordings and text messages that would exonerate me.

On February 22, 2023 Billy Khounthavong filed a bogus police report alleging that a search warrant for my GOPRO was issued by the dishonest, racist, and bias judicial officer Suzette Clover. The search warrant was so bogus that I never received a certified copy of it despite requesting it on numerous occasions. The bogus warrant was alleged to have been electronically filed yet it did not have the words duplicate original written on it, and it did not have the execution date and time written on it. I was never served and did not receive a copy of it until March 2023.

On February 21, 2023 County of Los Angeles rogue peace officer A. Contreras created a fraudulent and counterfeit probable cause determination declaration in which he stated that the Honorable Jana Seng approved his bogus PCD at approximately 1 p.m., a time she was on her lunch break. But it gets worse, while LASD has its own system for entering PCD and sending them to the court. There are no records of Contreras' bogus PCD. Also, the fact that Contreras alleged that his PCD was approved and probable cause was found non telephonically was a bald face lie. Probable cause is usually found at a preliminary hearing when it's non telephonic. Additionally, a court reporter was required to transcribe Contreras' non telephonic probable cause determination declaration. See now California Penal Code Section 817, subdivision (g). Additionally, LASD and rogue peace officer A. Contreras will and recklessly failed to file a certificate of service with Jana Seng's court after the alleged arrest warrant was approved by her. See now California Penal Code Section 817(i). LASD and A. Contreras never filed a certificate of service because they knew that their probable cause determination declaration was shamed, bogus, counterfeit, false, forged, and fraudulent.

On or about February 23, 2023 rogue and dishonest peace officer detective lying Billy Khounthavong wrongfully and unnecessarily sent my GOPRO HERO 10 action camera to LASD and Ventura Sheriff's Office's joint Fraud and Cyber Crimes' Southern California High Tech Task Force so that they could illegally manipulate my GOPRO videos to make me look guilt of a crime they knew that I did not commit.

At the preliminary hearing on April 4, 2023 and April 5, 2023 dishonest deputy district attorney Brian Mark Rosenberg willfully, wantonly, and recklessly violated California Evidence Code Section 1522, subdivision (b) by presenting to the court as evidence unoriginal, tainted, tampered with, edited, modified, and altered GOPRO video recordings.


At the preliminary hearing on April 5, 2023 Brian Mark Rosenberg assisted Billy Khoungthavong in testifying falsely that I shut off my GOPRO for seven minutes during the make believe knife and criminal threats separate incidents. While Billy Khounthavong was on the stand racist, biased and dishonest criminal prosecutor Brian Mark Rosenberg played an edited and altered GOPRO video recording that depicted and showed me shutting off my GOPRO camera.

1 of 212 5/2/2023, 2:41 PM

Exhibit 1, pages 1, and 3 of KING AROGANT'S electronic mail messages sent to DDA's and Sheriff Robert Luna proves that Brian MARK Rosenberg was not sent an electronic mail message from KING AROGANT on November 28, 2023.

On December 20, 2023 prejudiced, biased, and RACIST Superior Court of California judicial officer Timothy Martella willfully, recklessly, maliciously, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, deliberately, and callously granted MARK Rosenberg's frivolous request for a three year injunction.

On February 13, 2024 KING AROGANT sent an email to numerous County of Los Angeles attorneys and LASD Sheriff Robert Luna detailing how he was being mistreated while in the custody of County of Los Angeles. Brian MARK Rosenberg was not included on the nearly 20 persons email list. See evidence below attached hereto and marked as "Exhibit 2".



Gmail Alison Fairchild <alisonhfairchild@gmail.com>

OFFICIAL COMPLAINT OF ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD ON BEHALF OF AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. sherrif robert luna, et al.

1 message

Cheryl Kaylor <sheriditreader@gmail.com> Tue, Feb 13, 2024 at 6:14 PM

To: cparker@da.lacounty.gov, vrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov, swoo@da.lacounty.gov, tcheunglaw@gmail.com, rchew@apd.lacounty.gov, jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov, smire@da.lacounty.gov, mhaider@da.lacounty.gov, Ron Goltz <rgoltz@da.lacounty.gov>, gascon@da.lacounty.gov, Neil Opdahl-Lopez <attorney@neilopdahl.com>, rgarcia@pubdef.lacounty.gov, jessick@pubdef.lacounty.gov, rmarquez@pubdef.lacounty.gov, mrawi@pubdef.lacounty.gov, ljackson@lasuperior.org, ljackson@lasuperiorcourt.org, eanzoategui@apd.lacounty.gov, Arogant Hollywood <arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>, Alison Fairchild <alisonhfairchild@gmail.com>, lacklawcrusader@gmail.com, "Cheryl (Cheri) Kaylor" <cheri@yourdocumentdoctor.com>, causeofaction43@gmail.com, Arogant Hollywood <arogantmusicinc@gmail.com>, fairchildadamsader@gmail.com, villalobos@lasuperior.org, villalobos@lasuperiorcourt.org, mvillalobos@lasuperior.org, mvillalobos@lasuperiorcourt.org, sclover@lasuperior.org, sclover@lasuperiorcourt.org, robert.bonta@doj.ca.gov, pgharden@counsel.lacounty.gov, atowers@counsel.lacounty.gov, msimon@counsel.lacounty.gov, amattson@da.lacounty.gov, getem626@gmail.com, bhernandez@pubdef.lacounty.gov, jfrochez@pubdef.lacounty.gov, leaht.wilson@calbar.ca.gov, leah.wilson30@yahoo.com, firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, cchen@bos.lacounty.gov, seconddistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, Third District <thirddistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>, seconddistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, thirddistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, fourthdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, hmitchell@yahoo.com, elizabeth.horn@calbar.ca.gov, jmacrawford@calbar.ca.gov, rjenkins@counsel.lacounty.gov, dbarnett@counsel.lacounty.gov, fluna@lasd.org, edelperal@counsel.lacounty.gov, rdavis-tinkham@counsel.lacounty.gov, radtinkham@gmail.com, jwhitehurst@counsel.lacounty.gov, pmarshall@counsel.lacounty.gov, rcartwright@counsel.lacounty.gov, jgonzalez@counsel.lacounty.gov, rwong@counsel.lacounty.gov, tlaughnan@counsel.lacounty.gov, tomlaughnan@gmail.com, jleharman@counsel.lacounty.gov, kmiles@counsel.lacounty.gov, rbaeza@counsel.lacounty.gov, rchastang@counsel.lacounty.gov, lchampix@counsel.lacounty.gov, emiller@counsel.lacounty.gov, pbollinger@counsel.lacounty.gov, kbowser@counsel.lacounty.gov, nebrahim@da.lacounty.gov, kkoyano@da.lacounty.gov, cheran@da.lacounty.gov, tsereno@da.lacounty.gov, mbedart@da.lacounty.gov, gmiguez@da.lacounty.gov, fsanchez@da.lacounty.gov, rarcos@da.lacounty.gov, jrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov, pagrawal@da.lacounty.gov, cnakao@da.lacounty.gov, vsenlis-rochoa@da.lacounty.gov, vgadams@msn.com, tjkessner@da.lacounty.gov, Larry Droeger <ldroeger@da.lacounty.gov>, jsilva@da.lacounty.gov, hborden@da.lacounty.gov, sgipson@da.lacounty.gov, bwright@da.lacounty.gov, mcarrillo@da.lacounty.gov, Alan Yochelson <ayochelson@da.lacounty.gov>, spresby@da.lacounty.gov, shasseth@da.lacounty.gov, bjdodd@da.lacounty.gov, lorquida@da.lacounty.gov, lorquido@da.lacounty.gov, mramirez@da.lacounty.gov, ralarcon@da.lacounty.gov, shulefeld@da.lacounty.gov, Mario Haidar <mhaidar@da.lacounty.gov>, pkouch@da.lacounty.gov, dpurvis@da.lacounty.gov, cpark@da.lacounty.gov, rarias@da.lacounty.gov, courtney-spivey-urschel@us.doj.gov, courtney.spivey@us.doj.gov, doug.mcmanus@us.doj.gov, malinda.rogers@us.doj.gov, robert.tmccarty@us.doj.gov, asidor@us.doj.gov, supremecourtbriefs@us.doj.gov, kenymonaco@us.doj.gov, kenymonoco@us.doj.gov, elizabeth.prelogar@us.doj.gov, anitavarma.gupta@whitehouse.gov, kristen.clarke@us.doj.gov, criminal.division@us.doj.gov, nmacella@gmail.com, nmacella@us.doj.gov, brian.boynton@us.doj.gov, david.weiss@us.doj.gov, jacksmith@us.doj.gov, rakalross@gmail.com

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD

533-92-3047

12/09/1978


Booking: 6715489

LASD, LASD Medical Services, LA County CHS, LA County Mental Health, Sheriff Luna, County of Los Angeles and Jane and John DOES 1 through 20 are all recklessly, wantonly, maliciously and willfully violating 42 USC Sections 1981, 1983, 1985, 1986, 12131, 12132, Title 15, California Penal Code Section 6030 (Minimum Standards in local correctional facilities), my fourth, sixth, eighth and fourteenth amendment constitutional rights by recklessly and wantonly doing the following:

1 of 3 5/2/2025, 1:03 PM

Exhibit 2, page 1 of KING AROGANT'S electronic mail messages sent to DDA's and Sheriff Robert Luna proves by clear and convincing evidence that Brian MARK Rosenberg was not sent an electronic mail message from KING AROGANT on February 13, 2024.

On June 8, 2024 KING AROGANT sent two emails to over fifty County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors and attorneys including Victor Manuel Rodriguez, James William Garrison, Janis Eve Johnson, Stephanie Pear Mire, former District Attorney Geroge Gascon, and numerous County of Los Angeles Office of County Counsel attorneys. KING AROGANT'S two June 8, 2024 electronic mail messages were also sent to numerous CDCR executives and peace officers including CDCR director Jeffrey Macomber, CDCR Adult Parole Supervision director Jason Johnson, CDCR peace officer Jesse Ochoa, and KING AROGANT'S former CDCR parole officer Fernando Cortez. Brian MARK Rosenberg was not included on the nearly 100 persons email list. See first June 8, 2024 email sent at 8:03 AM evidence below attached hereto and marked as "Exhibit 3".



Gmail - people v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA114055) & UPC... https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0/?ik=8184d5d7b5&view=pt&search=a...

Gmail Arogant Hollywood <arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>

people v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA114055) & UPCOMING FIRST CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT TITLED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. judy whitehurst, et al [DEMAND PEOPLE AND LASD IMMEDIATELY TURN OVER PHONY LASD GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GLO10586 & GLO10587

100 messages

Arogant Hollywood <arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com> Sat, Jun 8, 2024 at 8:03 AM

To: seconddistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, seconddistrict@bos.la.county.gov, "Holly4Assembly@gmail.com" <Holly4Assembly@gmail.com>, hjmitchell@aol.com, jmerchan@wdacs.lacounty.gov, info@wdacs.lacounty.gov, aroganmusicinc@gmail.com, fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com, causeofaction43@gmail.com, blacklawgenius@gmail.com, alisonhfairchild@gmail.com, rluna@lasd.org, rluna@lacounty.gov, alardy@lacounty.org, jtorres@lasd.org, saloma@lacounty.org, llecrivain@lasd.org, bchase@lacounty.gov, rlewis@lacounty.gov, llecrivain@lacounty.org, jmeza@lasd.org, ned.harlan@doj.ca.gov, nishdeep.singh@doj.ca.gov, robert.bonta@doj.ca.gov, OPSChiefsOffice@doj.ca.gov, peter.halloran@doj.ca.gov, amyhhalloran@yahoo.com, peterhalloran@sbcglobal.net, alisha.carlile@doj.ca.gov, snpdragn@aol.com, acarlile@alum.wellesley.edu, concertina8@yahoo.com, alishastephen@yahoo.com.au, alehr17@u.washington.edu, girlygirl6384@aol.com, skeened@yahoo.com, lish34@hotmail.com, pootsodin@hotmail.com, lachapell_a@yahoo.com, alishaoku@yahoo.com, alisha.ma@gmail.com, alisha.marks@rogers.com, vincent.bonotto@doj.ca.gov, vbonotto@hotmail.com, glenn.pruden@doj.ca.gov, eyelese77@hotmail.com, daniel.olivas@doj.ca.gov, giam.nguyen@doj.ca.gov, chad.stegeman@doj.ca.gov, stephen.lew@doj.ca.gov, christopher.findley@doj.ca.gov, dennis.beck@doj.ca.gov, darrell.spence@doj.ca.gov, maggy.krell@doj.ca.gov, Elaine.teng@doj.ca.gov, hannah.celaesan@doj.ca.gov, mo.park@doj.ca.gov, dbuthler@lasuperiorcourt.org, dslayton@lasuperiorcourt.org, sclover@lasuperiorcourt.org, jmoses@lasuperiorcourt.org, ppenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, tpenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, chase.penrose@gmail.com, mackenzienicole@gmail.com, trishpenrose@att.net, TCPJAC_CEAC@jud.ca.gov, alishar@lasuperiorcourt.org, jonathan.sibayan@jud.ca.gov, mary.carter@jud.ca.gov, john.williams@jud.ca.gov, amber.bamatti@jud.ca.go, robert.oyung@jud.ca.gov, john.yee@jud.ca.gov, heather.pettit@jud.ca.gov, leah.rose-goodwin@jud.ca.gov, mark.dusman@jud.ca.gov, cory.jasperson@jud.ca.gov, jennie.jasperson@gmail.com, kelton.jasperson@gmail.com, cjasperson2@mindspring.com, judy.jasperson@att.net, randie.chance@doj.ca.gov, randie.chance@jud.ca.gov, Bobby.brow@jud.ca.gov, stephen.nash@jud.ca.gov, debbie.silcox@jud.ca.gov, laura.speed@jud.ca.gov, andrea.evans@jud.ca.gov, nicole.claro@jud.ca.gov, gavin.newsom@gov.ca.gov, cecilia.aguiar-curry@asm.ca.gov, stephanie.nguyen@asm.ca.gov, lori.wilson@asm.ca.gov, matt.haney@asm.ca.gov, heath.flora@asm.ca.gov, laurie.davies@asm.ca.gov, turfriteo@yahoo.com, turfrite@aol.com, president@whitehouse.gov, Kamala.Harris@whitehouse.gov, leaht.wilson@calbar.ca.gov, leah.wilson60@yahoo.com, Elizabeth.horn@calbar.ca.gov, gina.crawford@calbar.ca.gov, rita.himes@calbar.ca.gov, esternbach@aclusocal.org, manuel.alvarez@post.ca.gov, jim.grottkau@post.ca.gov, pgrottkau@yahoo.com, jamesgrottkau@aol.com, jamie.grottkau@yahoo.com, annemarie.magnaio@post.ca.gov, annemariedelmagnaio@gmail.com, chasemdixon@aol.com, obugirk@aol.com, sonya.baland@post.ca.gov, sonyar@comcast.net, cheryl.smith@post.ca.gov, colin.okeefe@post.ca.gov, cokeefe@post.ca.gov, dulce_cakes4u@yahoo.com, ulce_cakes4u@aol.com, gspringer6@yahoo.com, cokeefe@pc.davis.ca.us, colin.o'keefe530@verizon.net, co'keefe1514@bellsouth.net, sarah.wallace@post.ca.gov, william.darden@post.ca.gov, william.darden@doj.ca.gov, rpthroy@uojsdp.edu, williamtdarden@gmail.com, William.darden@urs.com, carne.hollar@post.ca.gov, carrie.berg82@gmail.com, cberg@scianocounty.com, carne.holler@aol.com, erik.apperson@post.ca.gov, lley8808@gmail.com, wifey8808@gmail.com, erikandannaapperson@hotmail.com, onecubsfan@hotmail.com, egrundman@hotmail.com, todders@hotmail.com, erikapperson@hotmail.com, erik.apperson@yahoo.com, sb2@post.ca.gov, christine.ford@post.ca.gov, michelle.weiler@post.ca.gov, wildweilers@msn.com, caleweiler@msn.com, meegan.poulos@post.ca.gov, rosanne.richeal@post.ca.gov, thomas.chalk@post.ca.gov, katie.strickland@post.ca.gov, kirk.bunch@post.ca.gov, brad.newmyer@post.ca.gov, mike.radford@post.ca.gov, brian.south@post.ca.gov, goodwin.liu@jud.ca.gov, lani.cantil-sakauye@jud.ca.gov, joshua.groban@jud.ca.gov, martin.jenkins@jud.ca.gov, patricia.guerrero@jud.ca.gov, carol.corrigan@jud.ca.gov, leondra.kruger@jud.ca.gov, kelli.evans@jud.ca.gov, firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, cohen@bos.lacounty.gov, seconddistrict@bos.lawcounty.gov, hmitchell@yahoo.com, kambess@cs.com, tumberg@mofo.com, holly@hollymitchell.com, rfleming-peters@counsel.lacounty.gov, mcarter@counsel.lacounty.gov, njenkins@lacounty.gov, njenkins@counsel.lacounty.gov, jgonzalez@counsel.lacounty.gov, lowi@metro.net, Tlow77@gmail.com, ryanthurlow@yahoo.com, tlow@lacounty.gov, tlow@counsel.lacounty.gov, tina@jmolloo.com, tlow7@yahoo.com, econard@lacounty.gov, econard@counsel.lacounty.gov, pepemendoza18@gmail.com, chelseaconrad@gmail.com, rmconard@gmail.com, kclovsbarney@aol.com, susanconerd@aol.com, s.conerd@conerdphoto.com,

1 of 203 5/2/2025, 11:29 AM

susan@conardphoto.com, sconard@conardphoto.com, sales@conardphoto.com, support@conardphoto.com, scollins@cleat.org, admin@conardphoto.com, conard@conardphoto.com, fowino@counsel.lacounty.gov, cbarnett@counsel.lacounty.gov, donnghiem@gmail.com, brubenguyen@gmail.com, donnghiem@hotmail.com, don@lacounty.gov, dnghiem@counsel.lacounty.gov, schix@counsel.lacounty.gov, tlaing@counsel.lacounty.gov, cesar@lacounty.gov, cdelperal@counsel.lacounty.gov, cdelperal@aol.com, cesar_del_peral@yahoo.com, cdelperal@yahoo.com, ossarde1peral1@yahoo.com, theflyingfrax02@gmail.com, jquinde79@yahoo.com, atkins.sarah28@gmail.com, rfstacy@gmail.com, lneversleep55.mh@gmail.com, rourke@lacounty.gov, rstacy@counsel.lacounty.gov, rstacy-padilla@counsel.lacounty.gov, vowens@counsel.lacounty.gov, mearls@counsel.lacounty.gov, dcarlos@county.lacounty.gov, dcarlos@counsel.lacounty.gov, victoriacarlos@gmail.com, dcarlos31@gmail.com, debbie.carlos818@verizon.net, victoria.carlos@gmail.com, humbert@pmiinc.com, info@ptm-inc.com, pamcarls@aol.com, jcarlos@msmc.la.edu, yngidea@gmail.com, richard.padilla@disney.com, andria seo@disabilityrights ca.org, andiseo@gmail.com, wishmwalling@hotmail.com, echu@counsel.lacounty.gov, sgipson@counsel.lacounty.gov, sladyesa@gmail.com, sladyesa@aol.com, Dmubayoti Deb <ddesign@gmail.com>, michaelstraetman@gmail.com, merugon@aol.com, rolaidz@yahoo.com, dtwister22@yahoo.com, dtwister@yahoo.com, sshon@counsel.lacounty.gov, rgeso@yahoo.com, gngesq@msn.com, gproducer@hotmail.com, mrsmithgcs@aol.com, azurdarvega@counsel.lacounty.gov, mruben@counsel.lacounty.gov, clayton@counsel.lacounty.gov, schan@counsel.lacounty.gov, kmuir@counsel.lacounty.gov, eggerse@mastro.net, eggers@counsel.lacounty.gov, mrolon@counsel.lacounty.gov, mirder@hotmail.com, doug@homealert.com, doug richardson@homealert.com, pgheden@counsel.lacounty.gov, rsaldana@counsel.lacounty.gov, ndavis-brixham@counsel.lacounty.gov, Nicole Davis <nikki.davis1212@gmail.com>, tink4d1@gmail.com, nadinkham@gmail.com, brind0313@gmail.com, darrellbrixham@yahoo.com, jwhitehurst@counsel.lacounty.gov, lbolam@counsel.lacounty.gov, lester.tolnai@yahoo.com, rdlester.tolnai71@yahoo.com, pmarshall@counsel.lacounty.gov, abyers@counsel.lacounty.gov, rcartwright@counsel.lacounty.gov, rwong@counsel.lacounty.gov, tfaughnan@counsel.lacounty.gov, tomfaughnan66@gmail.com, jfehman@counsel.lacounty.gov, kmiles@counsel.lacounty.gov, rbaeza@counsel.lacounty.gov, skuhn@counsel.county.gov, rchastang@counsel.lacounty.gov, kcampo@counsel.lacounty.gov, msimon@counsel.lacounty.gov, emiller@counsel.lacounty.gov, elizabeth_dumais@yahoo.com, kagrant19@yahoo.com, pmamon@yahoo.com, pbollinger@counsel.lacounty.gov, kbowser@counsel.lacounty.gov, rebrahim@da.lacounty.gov, kkoyano@da.lacounty.gov, dteran@da.lacounty.gov, tsereno@lacounty.gov, tsereno@da.lacounty.gov, mbesari@da.lacounty.gov, ron.geltz@lacounty.gov, rgeltz@da.lacounty.gov, Manik Bhoi <manik.bhoi@gmail.com>, wthan@verizon.net, eric.castano@charter.net, jcastano@da.lacounty.gov, eric castano@uno.com, ericcastano@gmail.com, Jlriguez@da.lacounty.gov, tsanchez@da.lacounty.gov, rarcos@da.lacounty.gov, jrodriguez@dalacounty.gov, swoo@da.lacounty.gov, pagrawal@da.lacounty.gov, cnakao@da.lacounty.gov, vgadams@msn.com, jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov, lkessner@da.lacounty.gov, ldroeger@da.lacounty.gov, jdsilva@oco.lacounty.gov, jsilva@da.lacounty.gov, hborden@da.lacounty.gov, amattson@da.lacounty.gov, evatinc@da.lacounty.gov, shaungipson@gmail.com, sgipson@da.lacounty.gov, fwright@da.lacounty.gov, mcamillo@da.lacounty.gov, gdameron@da.lacounty.gov, ayodhelson@da.lacounty.gov, apresby@da.lacounty.gov, shassett@da.lacounty.gov, bjdodd@da.lacounty.gov, lorquiola@da.lacounty.gov, mramirez@da.lacounty.gov, ralarcon@da.lacounty.gov, shufefeld@da.lacounty.gov, smira@da.lacounty.gov, mhaidar@da.lacounty.gov, pkouch@da.lacounty.gov, vrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov, dpurvis@da.lacounty.gov, rarias@lacounty.gov, eznelmer@socal.rr.com, evelyn.znelmer@yahoo.com, samsterz78@hotmail.com, znelmer@yahoo.com, kristen.clarke@usdoj.gov, Christopher.wray@whitehouse.gov, christopher@fbi.gov, paul.abbate@ic.fbi.gov, paul.abbate@whitehouse.gov, paulabbate@yahoo.com, pa8168@hotmail.com, fguzmansanchez@hotmail.com, fguzmansanchez@aol.com, thomasguzmansanchez@hotmail.com, guzmansanchez@aol.com, ceo@gsmediagroup.com, tgsvrbmuzc@dancemaster.com, r@dancemaster.com, godaddy@dancemaster.com, tolacknell@da.lacounty.gov, mkapp@da.lacounty.gov, kalbrach@da.lacounty.gov, jjohnson@lacounty.gov, mmurray@da.lacounty.gov, kta@da.lacounty.gov, lldo@lasd.org, tskhoun@lasd.org, CDCRCACLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CCRCMFLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CCDCRCCILitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CCDCRCENLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CCDCRCTFLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCMCLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCDRLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCIMLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, FCORTEZ@cdcr.gov, Jeff macomber@cdcr.ca.gov, Jennifer.borrello@cdcr.ca.gov, Tammy.foss@cdcr.ca.ov, Diana.toche@cdcr.ca.gov, albert.lundeen@cdcr.ca.gov, Jennifer.neil@cdcr.ca.gov, Sarah.larson@cdcr.ca.gov, Ron.broomfield@cdcr.ca.gov, Amy.casias@cdcr.ca.gov, Jason.johnson@cdcr.ca.gov, Chris.chamberlain@cdcr.ca.gov, Stacy.lopez@cdcr.ca.gov, Niki.dhillon@cdcr.ca.gov, Jennifer.benavidez@cdcr.ca.gov, heather.bowles@cdcr.ca.gov, Jared.lozano@cdcr.ca.gov, Derrick.marion@cdcr.ca.gov, David.chriss@cdcr.ca.gov, Samra.calderon@cdcr.ca.gov, Ying.sun@cdcr.ca.gov, Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>, aroganthollywoodgenius@yahoo.com, CDCRASPLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, fernando.cortez@cdcr.ca.gov, info@da.lacounty.gov, 12136331956@faxage.com, 16262814724@faxage.com, 12136300960@faxage.com, julie.kaplan@cdcr.ca.gov, sabrina.williams@cdcr.ca.gov, cory.alvarez@cdcr.ca.gov, jamal.rowe@cdcr.ca.gov, mark.cruise@cdcr.ca.gov, linda.larabee@cdcr.ca.gov, asvi.phuong@cdcr.ca.gov, jamiee.lacey@cdcr.ca.gov, dominique.hughes@cdcr.ca.gov, karen.reed@cdcr.ca.gov, manuel.banuelos@cdcr.ca.gov, "ecolor.pot@gmail.com" <ecolor.pot@gmail.com>,

"TCBecerra2016@gmail.com" <TCBecerra2016@gmail.com>, tarchuletta@crowell.com, "tlopez@crowell.com" <tlopez@crowell.com>, tarchuletta@bellsouth.net, "theresa_c_lopez@hotmail.com" <theresa_c_lopez@hotmail.com>, "tarchuletta@gmail.com" <tarchuletta@gmail.com>, "cal80513@gmail.com" <cal80513@gmail.com>, ttheresa.chuletta@aug.com, tarchuletta@aol.com

people v. ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA114055) & UPCOMING FIRST CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT TITLED ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. judy whitehurst, et al (DEMAND PEOPLE AND LASD IMMEDIATELY TURN OVER PHONY LASD GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GL010586 & GL010587.

BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG! WE MEET AGAIN AT LAST. I AM GOING TO MAKE SURE YOU GO TO STATE PRISON, YOU FU@@@@@ CROOKED AND CORRUPT PEACE OFFICER. YOU ARE A CRIMINAL!

On February 22, 2023, you created a phony search warrant so you could send my GoPro camera to your LASD Fraud and Cyber Crimes Bureau's Southern California High Tech Task Force (one of four high-tech task forces of California regulated by California DOJ). Regardless if corrupt judicial officer Susan Glover lied and covered for you. Your search warrant is uncertified, unoriginal, unattested, unverified, and unauthenticated. Further, proof that Lying Billy's warrant is phony is the fact that the Pasadena Superior Court has no records of ever receiving your warrant. Both you and DA failed to complete the LASD mandatory COUNTYWIDE WARRANT SYSTEM INITIAL CASE FILING FORM (LASC CRIM 126, REVISED ON JANUARY 20, 2024). IT WAS NEVER FILED BECAUSE YOU NEVER SUBMITTED YOUR SEARCH WARRANT TO THE SUPERIOR COURT. YOU ARE A CRIMINAL!

On April 4, 2023, you lied under oath that phony LASD-CREATED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GL010586 & GL010587 WERE DOWNLOADED FROM MY GOPRO CAMERA! FURTHER, YOU PLAYED THESE TWO VIDEOS IN THE SUPERIOR COURT ON APRIL 4, 2023, APRIL 5, 2023, & FEBRUARY 2, 2024 THAT DEPICTED AN AI POWERED ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD WALKING AROUND FAKE VICTIM JENNIFER HUTTON HEGER'S HOUSE MECHANICALLY TOUCHING HIS GOPRO CAMERA BEFORE FINALLY SHUTTING IT OFF AS HE WALKED THROUGH A DARK HOUSE AND THEN OUTSIDE TO A DARK SKY! THIS VIDEO IS CLEARLY FAKE, AND HERE IS WHY YOU ARE CAUGHT NOW, FOOL!

THE REAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS ONLY SHOW ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD INSIDE OF HUTTON-HERGER'S HOME FROM A POINT OF VIEW RECORDING VIEW. IN YOUR PHONY NEVER TURNED OVER TO THE DEFENSE LASD CREATED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS AI POWERED ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD IS SEEN CENTERED IN YOUR GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING WITH HIS ENTIRE BACK AND BODY SHOWN ON THIS PHONY VIDEO RECORDING. IT WOULD HAVE BEEN IMPOSSIBLE FOR ARROGANT TO RECORD THE BACKSIDE OF HIS BODY IF HIS GOPRO CAMERA WAS MOUNTED ON HIS CHEST. BUT THAT IS NOT ENOUGH TO CONVINCE THE SUPERIOR COURT TO OVERTURN THIS NEVER SHOULD HAVE BEEN FILED FRIVOLOUS CRIMINAL CASE.


BUT WHAT IS:

IN YOUR PHONY GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING GL010586 (WHICH WAS ORIGINALLY ONE CONTINUOUS 14-MINUTE VIDEO RECORDING) AI POWERED ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD IS SEEN WALKING AROUND JENNIFER HUTTON-HEGER'S HOME MOMENTS BEFORE SHUTTING ON HIS GOPRO CAMERA WHILE WEARING DARK-COLORED SHORTS AND A FREAKIN TANK TOP!

THIS IS INCREDIBLY INTERESTING CRIMINALS BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG AND LEO KA FONG LO BECAUSE IN THE LASD POLICE VIDEO RECORDINGS ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD IS SEEN WEARING A LONG SLEEVE COAT. IN THE REAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING, ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD IS SEEN WEARING A LONG SLEEVE COAT. TAKE A LOOK AT THE IMAGES CREATED FROM VIDEO FRAME SEQUENCES OF THE REAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS! MOREOVER, ARROGANT IS SEEN WEARING BAGGY PANTS, NOT THE SHORTS HE IS WEARING IN YOUR AI POWERED VIDEO RECORDINGS THAT WERE CLEARLY NOT RECORDED ON FEBRUARY 21, 2023.

Exhibit 3, pages 1, 2, and 3 of KING AROGANT'S electronic mail messages sent to DDA's and Sheriff Robert Luna proves by clear and convincing evidence that Brian MARK Rosenberg was not sent an electronic mail message from KING AROGANT on June 8, 2024, at 8:03 AM

On June 8, 2024, KING AROGANT sent two emails to over fifty County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors and attorneys, including Victor Manuel Rodriguez, James William Garrison, Janis Eve Johnson, Stephanie Pear Mire, former District Attorney George Gascon, and numerous County of Los Angeles Office of County Counsel attorneys. KING AROGANT'S two June 8, 2024, electronic mail messages were also sent to numerous CDCR executives and peace officers, including CDCR director Jeffrey Macomber, CDCR Adult Parole Supervision director Jason Johnson, CDCR peace officer Jesse Ochoa, and KING AROGANT'S former CDCR parole officer Fernando Cortez. Brian MARK Rosenberg was not included on the nearly 100-person email list. See second June 8, 2024 email sent at 8:34 AM evidence below attached hereto and marked as "Exhibit 4".



Gmail - people v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA114055) & UPC... https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0/?ik=8184d5d7b5&view=pt&search=a...

Gmail Arogant Hollywood <arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>

people v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA114055) & UPCOMING FIRST CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT TITLED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. judy whitehurst, et al [DEMAND PEOPLE AND LASD IMMEDIATELY TURN OVER PHONY LASD GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GLO10586 & GLO10587

1 message

Arogant Hollywood <arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com> Sat, Jun 8, 2024 at 8:34 AM


To: seconddistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, seconddistrict@bos.la.county.gov, "Holly4Assembly@gmail.com" <Holly4Assembly@gmail.com>, hymitchel@aol.com, jmerchan@wdacs.lacounty.gov, info@wdacs.lacounty.gov, arogantmusicinc@gmail.com, fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com, causeofaction43@gmail.com, blacklawgenius@gmail.com, alisonhfairchild@gmail.com, rluna@lasd.org, rluna@lacounty.gov, atardy@lacounty.org, jtorres@lasd.org, seloma@lacounty.org, lleonvein@lasd.org, bchese@lacounty.gov, rlewis@lacounty.gov, lleonvain@lacounty.org, jmeza@lasd.org, ned.harlan@doj.ca.gov, nishdeep.singh@doj.ca.gov, robert.bonta@doj.ca.gov, OPSChiefsOffice@doj.ca.gov, peter.hallcran@doj.ca.gov, amyhhallcran@yahoo.com, peterhallcran@sbcglobal.net, alisha.carlile@doj.ca.gov, snpdragn@aol.com; acarlile@alum.wellesley.edu; concertinail@yahoo.com, alishastephen@yahoo.com.au, alehr17@u.washington.edu, girlygirl8384@aol.com, alkeened@yahoo.com, lish34@hotmail.com, piccbodin@hotmail.com, lachapell_a@yahoo.com, alishaoku@yahoo.com, alisha.mai@gmail.com, alisha.marks@rogers.com, vincent.bonotto@doj.ca.gov, vbonotto@hotmail.com, glenn.pruden@doj.ca.gov, eyeless77@hotmail.com, denies.olivas@doj.ca.gov, glam.nguyen@doj.ca.gov, chad.stegeman@doj.ca.gov, stephen.lew@doj.ca.gov, christopher.findley@doj.ca.gov, dennis.beck@doj.ca.gov, darrell.spence@doj.ca.gov, maggy.krell@doj.ca.gov, Elaine.tong@doj.ca.gov, hannah.calacsan@doj.ca.gov, mo.park@doj.ca.gov, dbuhler@lasuperiorcourt.org, dslayton@lasuperiorcourt.org, sclover@lasuperiorcourt.org, jmoses@lasuperiorcourt.org, ppenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, tpenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, chase.penrose@gmail.com, mackenzienicole@gmail.com, trishpenrose@att.net, TCPJAC_CEAC@jud.ca.gov, afisher@lasuperiorcourt.org, jonathan.sibayan@jud.ca.gov, mary.carter@jud.ca.gov, john.williams@jud.ca.gov, amber.barnett@jud.ca.go, robert.oyung@jud.ca.gov, john.yoo@jud.ca.gov, heather.pettit@jud.ca.gov, leah.rose-goodwin@jud.ca.gov, mark.dusman@jud.ca.gov, cory.jasperson@jud.ca.gov, jennifer.jasperson@gmail.com, kelton.jasperson@gmail.com, cjasperson2@mindspring.com, judy.jasperson@att.net, randie.chance@doj.ca.gov, randie.chance@jud.ca.gov, Bobby.brow@jud.ca.gov, stephen.nash@jud.ca.gov, debbie.silcox@jud.ca.gov, laura.speed@jud.ca.gov, andrea.evans@jud.ca.gov, nicole.claro@jud.ca.gov, gavin.newsom@gov.ca.gov, cecilia.aguiar-curry@asm.ca.gov, stephanie.nguyen@asm.ca.gov, lori.wilson@asm.ca.gov, matt.haney@asm.ca.gov, heath.flora@asm.ca.gov, laurie.davies@asm.ca.gov, turfriteer@yahoo.com, turfrite@aol.com, president@whitehouse.gov, Kamala.harris@whitehouse.gov, leahf.wilson@calbar.ca.gov, leah.wilson50@yahoo.com, Elizabeth.horn@calbar.ca.gov, gina.crawford@calbar.ca.gov, rita.himes@calbar.ca.gov, esteinbach@aclusocal.org, manuel.alvarez@post.ca.gov, jim.grottkau@post.ca.gov, pgrottkau@yahoo.com, jamesgrottkau@aol.com, jamie.grottkau@yahoo.com, annemarie.mugnaio@post.ca.gov, annemariedelmugnaio@gmail.com, shasendixon@aol.com, obugrik@aol.com, sonya.bland@post.ca.gov, sonyan@comcast.net, cheryl.smith@post.ca.gov, colin.okeefe@post.ca.gov, cokeefe@post.ca.gov, dulce_cakes4u@yahoo.com, ulca_cakes4u@aol.com, gspinger5@yahoo.com, cokeefe@ci.davis.ca.us, colin.okeefe530@verizon.net, cokeefe1514@bellsouth.net, sarah.wallace@post.ca.gov, william.darden@post.ca.gov, william.darden@doj.ca.gov, rpthrcv@uoisdp.edu, williamtderden@gmail.com, William.darden@urs.com, carrie.hollar@post.ca.gov, carrie.berg82@gmail.com, cberg@solanocounty.com, carrie.hollar@aol.com, erik.apperson@post.ca.gov, lfey8808@gmail.com, wifey8808@gmail.com, erikandannapperson@hotmail.com, onecubsfan@hotmail.com, egrundman@hotmail.com, fodders@hotmail.com, erikapperson@hotmail.com, erik.apperson@yahoo.com, sb2@post.ca.gov, christine.ford@post.ca.gov, michelle.weiler@post.ca.gov, wildweilers@msn.com, cateweiler@msn.com, meegan.poulos@post.ca.gov, rosanne.richeal@post.ca.gov, thomas.chalk@post.ca.gov, katie.strickland@post.ca.gov, kirk.bunch@post.ca.gov, brad.newmyer@post.ca.gov, mike.radford@post.ca.gov, brian.south@post.ca.gov, goodwin_liu@jud.ca.gov, tani.cantil-sakauye@jud.ca.gov, joshua.groban@jud.ca.gov, martin.jenkins@jud.ca.gov, patricia.guerrero@jud.ca.gov, carol.corrigan@jud.ca.gov, leondra.kruger@jud.ca.gov, kelli.evans@jud.ca.gov, firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, ochen@bos.lacounty.gov, seconddistrict@bos.lawcounty.gov, hmitchell@yahoo.com, kambass@ca.com, tumberg@motlo.com, holly@hollymitchell.com, rfleming-peters@counsel.lacounty.gov, mcarter@counsel.lacounty.gov, mjenkins@lacounty.gov, mjenkins@counsel.lacounty.gov, jgonzalez@counsel.lacounty.gov, tlow@metro.net, Tlow77@gmail.com, ryanthurlow@yahoo.com, tlow@lacounty.gov, tlow@counsel.lacounty.gov, tina@molino.com, tlow7@yahoo.com, econard@lacounty.gov, econard@counsel.lacounty.gov, pepemendoza18@gmail.com, chelseconrad@gmail.com, rmconard@gmail.com, kcluvsbarney@aol.com, susanconard@aol.com, s.conard@conardphoto.com,

1 of 13 5/2/2025, 11:33 AM


Gmail - people v. ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA114055) & UPC... https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0/?ik=8184d5d7b5&view=pt&search=a...

susan@conardphoto.com, sconard@conardphoto.com, sales@conardphoto.com, support@conardphoto.com, scollins@cleat.org, admin@conardphoto.com, conard@conardphoto.com, fawino@counsel.lacounty.gov, cbarnett@counsel.lacounty.gov, donnghiem@gmail.com, brucenguyen@gmail.com, donnghiem@hotmail.com, don@lacounty.gov, dnghiem@counsel.lacounty.gov, schol@counsel.lacounty.gov, ltsing@counsel.lacounty.gov, cesar@lacounty.gov, cdelperal@counsel.lacounty.gov, cdelperal@aol.com, cesar_del_peral@yahoo.com, cdelperal@yahoo.com, cesardelperal1@yahoo.com, theflyingtrex02@gmail.com, jquinde79@yahoo.com, atkins.sarah28@gmail.com, rlstacy@gmail.com, lneversleep55 mh@gmail.com, rourke@lacounty.gov, rstacy@counsel.lacounty.gov, rstacy-padilla@counsel.lacounty.gov, vowens@counsel.lacounty.gov, mearls@counsel.lacounty.gov, dcarlos@county.lacounty.gov, dcarlos@counsel.lacounty.gov, victoriacarlos@gmail.com, dcarlos31@gmail.com, debbie.carlos818@verizon.net, victoria.carlos@gmail.com, humbert@ptminc.com, info@ptm-inc.com, pancants@aol.com, jcarlos@msmc.la.edu, yngidea@gmail.com, richard.padilla@disney.com, andria.seo@disabilityrightsca.org, andseo@gmail.com, wishinweting@hotmail.com, echu@counsel.lacounty.gov, sgipson@counsel.lacounty.gov, sladyesq@gmail.com, sladyesq@aol.com, Dhrubajyoti Deb <djdesign@gmail.com>, michaelsfreelman@gmail.com, menugon@aol.com, rolandz@yahoo.com, dtwister22@yahoo.com, dtwister@yahoo.com, sshon@counsel.lacounty.gov, rigeso@yahoo.com, grigesq@msn.com, gproducer@hotmail.com, mrsmithpcs@aol.com, azuiderweg@counsel.lacounty.gov, mruben@counsel.lacounty.gov, ctaylor@counsel.lacounty.gov, schan@counsel.lacounty.gov, kmurr@counsel.lacounty.gov, eggerse@metro.net, eggers@counsel.lacounty.gov, mrolon@counsel.lacounty.gov, mirder@hotmail.com, doug@homealert.com, doug.richardson@homealert.com, pghaden@counsel.lacounty.gov, rsaldana@counsel.lacounty.gov, ndavis-tinkham@counsel.lacounty.gov, Nicole Davis <nikki.daves1212@gmail.com>, tink4d1@gmail.com, nadtinkham@gmail.com, brind0313@gmail.com, darrelltinkham@yahoo.com, jwhitehurst@counsel.lacounty.gov, ltolani@counsel.lacounty.gov, lester.tolna@yahoo.com, rdiester.tolna71@yahoo.com, pmarshall@counsel.lacounty.gov, abyers@counsel.lacounty.gov, rearlwright@counsel.lacounty.gov, rwong@counsel.lacounty.gov, tlaughnan@counsel.lacounty.gov, tomlaughnan66@gmail.com, jkilman@counsel.lacounty.gov, kmiles@counsel.lacounty.gov, rbaeza@counsel.lacounty.gov, sauhn@counsel.county.gov, rchastang@counsel.lacounty.gov, lcampo@counsel.lacounty.gov, msimon@counsel.lacounty.gov, emiller@counsel.lacounty.gov, elizabeth_dumais@yahoo.com, kaugrant19@yahoo.com, pmomon@yahoo.com, pbollinger@counsel.lacounty.gov, kbowser@counsel.lacounty.gov, rebrahim@da.lacounty.gov, kkoyano@da.lacounty.gov, dteran@da.lacounty.gov, tsereno@lacounty.gov, tsereno@da.lacounty.gov, mbeasley@da.lacounty.gov, ron.geitz@lacounty.gov, rgeitz@da.lacounty.gov, Manik Bhoi <manik.bhoi@gmail.com>, wilhani@verizon.net, eric.castano@charter.net, jcastano@da.lacounty.gov, eric.castano@juno.com, ericcastano@gmail.com, JTriguez@da.lacounty.gov, fsanchez@da.lacounty.gov, rarcos@da.lacounty.gov, jrodriguez@dalacounty.gov, swoo@da.lacounty.gov, pagrawal@da.lacounty.gov, cnakao@da.lacounty.gov, vgadams@msn.com, jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov, ljkessner@da.lacounty.gov, tdroeger@da.lacounty.gov, jdsilva@ceo.lacounty.gov, jsilva@da.lacounty.gov, hborden@da.lacounty.gov, amattson@da.lacounty.gov, erafino@da.lacounty.gov, shaungipson@gmail.com, sgipson@da.lacounty.gov, twright@da.lacounty.gov, mcarrillo@da.lacounty.gov, gdameron@da.lacounty.gov, aychelson@da.lacounty.gov, spresby@da.lacounty.gov, shassett@da.lacounty.gov, bjdodd@da.lacounty.gov, lorquiola@da.lacounty.gov, mramirez@da.lacounty.gov, ralarcon@da.lacounty.gov, shulefeld@da.lacounty.gov, smire@da.lacounty.gov, mhardar@da.lacounty.gov, pkouch@da.lacounty.gov, vrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov, dpurvis@da.lacounty.gov, rnries@lacounty.gov, ezneimer@socal.rr.com, evelyn.zneimer@yahoo.com, samstarz78@hotmail.com, zneimer@yahoo.com, kristen.clarke@usdoj.gov, Christopher.wray@whitehouse.gov, christopher@fbi.gov, paul.abbate@ic.fbi.gov, paul.abbate@whitehouse.gov, paulabbate@yahoo.com, pa5160@hotmail.com, tguzmansanchez@hotmail.com, tguzmansanchez@aol.com, thomasguzmansanchez@hotmail.com, guzmansanchez@aol.com, ceo@gsmediagroup.com, tgsorlmuzic@dancemaster.com, r@dancemaster.com, godaddy@dancemaster.com, tblackwell@da.lacounty.gov, mkapp@da.lacounty.gov, kalbrach@da.lacounty.gov, johnson@lacounty.gov, mmurray@da.lacounty.gov, kta@da.lacounty.gov, ilko@lasd.org, bskhount@lasd.org, CDCRGACLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CCRCMFLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCCILitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CCDCRCENLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCTFLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCMCLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCORLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCIMLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, FCORTEZ@cdcr.gov, Jeff.macomber@cdcr.ca.gov, Jennifer.barretto@cdcr.ca.gov, Tammy.foss@cdcr.ca.ov, Diana.foche@cdcr.ca.gov, albert.lundeen@cdcr.ca.gov, Jennifer.nai@cdcr.ca.gov, Sarah.larson@cdcr.ca.gov, Ron.broomfield@cdcr.ca.gov, Amy.casias@cdcr.ca.gov, Jason.johnson@cdcr.ca.gov, Chris.chambers@cdcr.ca.gov, Stacy.lopez@cdcr.ca.gov, Niki.dhillon@cdcr.ca.gov, Jennifer.benavidez@cdcr.ca.gov, heather.bowlds@cdcr.ca.gov, Jared.lozano@cdcr.ca.gov, Derrick.marion@cdcr.ca.gov, David.chries@cdcr.ca.gov, Sarina.calderon@cdcr.ca.gov, Ying.sun@cdcr.ca.gov, Arogant Hollywood <arogantholllywoodgenius@gmail.com>, arogantholllywoodgenius@yahoo.com, CDCRASPLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, fernando.cortez@cdcr.ca.gov, info@da.lacounty.gov, 12136331956@faxage.com, 18262814724@faxage.com, 12136330960@faxage.com, julie.kaplan@cdcr.ca.gov, sabrina.williams@cdcr.ca.gov, cory.alvarez@cdcr.ca.gov, jamal.rowe@cdcr.ca.gov, mark.cruse@cdcr.ca.gov, linda.larabee@cdcr.ca.gov, asvi.phuong@cdcr.ca.gov, jamiee.lacey@cdcr.ca.gov, dominique.hughes@cdcr.ca.gov, karen.reed@cdcr.ca.gov, manuel.banuelos@cdcr.ca.gov, "ecolor.pot@gmail.com" <ecolor.pot@gmail.com>,

2 of 13 5/2/2025, 11:55 AM



Gmail - people v. ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA114055) & UPC... https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0/?ik=8184d5d7b5&view=pt&search=a...

"TCBecerra2016@gmail.com" <TCBecerra2016@gmail.com>, tarchuletta@crowell.com, "tlopez@crowell.com" <tlopez@crowell.com>, tarchuletta@bellsouth.net, "theresa_c_lopez@hotmail.com" <theresa_c_lopez@hotmail.com>, "tarchuletta@gmail.com" <tarchuletta@gmail.com>, "call80513@gmail.com" <call80513@gmail.com>, theresa.chuletta@aug.com, tarchuletta@aol.com, hollyjmitchell@bos.lacounty.gov

people v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA114055) & UPCOMING FIRST CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT TITLED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. judy whitehurst, et al [DEMAND PEOPLE AND LASD IMMEDIATELY TURN OVER PHONY LASD GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GLO10586 & GLO10587

BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG! WE MEET AGAIN AT LAST. I AM GOING TO MAKE SURE YOU GO TO STATE PRISON. YOU FU@@@@@ CROOKED AND CORRUPT PEACE OFFICER. YOU ARE A CRIMINAL!

On February 22, 2023, you created a phony search warrant so you could send my GoPro camera to your LASD Fraud and Cyber Crimes Bureau's Southern California High Tech Task Force (one of four high-tech task forces of California regulated by California DOJ). Regardless if corrupt judicial officer Susan Clover lied and covered for you. Your search warrant is unidentified, unoriginal, unattested, unverified, and unauthenticated. Further, proof that Lying Billy's warrant is phony is the fact that the Pasadena Superior Court has no records of ever receiving your warrant. Both you and DA failed to complete the LASD mandatory COUNTYWIDE WARRANT SYSTEM INITIAL CASE FILING FORM (LASC CRIM 126, REVISED ON JANUARY 20, 2024). IT WAS NEVER FILED BECAUSE YOU NEVER SUBMITTED YOUR SEARCH WARRANT TO THE SUPERIOR COURT. YOU ARE A CRIMINAL!

On April 4, 2023, you lied under oath that phony LASD-CREATED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GLO10586 & GLO10587 WERE DOWNLOADED FROM MY GOPRO CAMERA! FURTHER, YOU PLAYED THESE TWO VIDEOS IN THE SUPERIOR COURT ON APRIL 4, 2023, APRIL 5, 2023, & FEBRUARY 2, 2024 THAT DEPICTED AN AI POWERED ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD WALKING AROUND FAKE VICTIM JENNIFER HUTTON HEGER'S HOUSE MECHANICALLY TOUCHING HIS GOPRO CAMERA BEFORE FINALLY SHUTTING IT OFF AS HE WALKED THROUGH A DARK HOUSE AND THEN OUTSIDE TO A DARK SKY! THIS VIDEO IS CLEARLY FAKE, AND HERE IS WHY YOU ARE CAUGHT NOW, FOOL!

THE REAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS ONLY SHOW ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD INSIDE OF HUTTON-HERGER'S HOME FROM A POINT OF VIEW RECORDING VIEW. IN YOUR PHONY NEVER TURNED OVER TO THE DEFENSE LASD CREATED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS AI POWERED ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD IS SEEN CENTERED IN YOUR GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING WITH HIS ENTIRE BACK AND BODY SHOWN ON THIS PHONY VIDEO RECORDING. IT WOULD HAVE BEEN IMPOSSIBLE FOR ARROGANT TO RECORD THE BACKSIDE OF HIS BODY IF HIS GOPRO CAMERA WAS MOUNTED ON HIS CHEST. BUT THAT IS NOT ENOUGH TO CONVINCE THE SUPERIOR COURT TO OVERTURN THIS NEVER SHOULD HAVE BEEN FILED FRIVOLOUS CRIMINAL CASE.

BUT WHAT IS:

IN YOUR PHONY GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING GLO10586 (WHICH WAS ORIGINALLY ONE CONTINUOUS 14-MINUTE VIDEO RECORDING) AI POWERED ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD IS SEEN WALKING AROUND JENNIFER HUTTON-HEGER'S HOME MOMENTS BEFORE SHUTTING ON HIS GOPRO CAMERA WHILE WEARING DARK-COLORED SHORTS AND A FREAKIN TANK TOP!

THIS IS INCREDIBLY INTERESTING CRIMINALS BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG AND LEO KA FONG LO BECAUSE IN THE LASD POLICE VIDEO RECORDINGS ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD IS SEEN WEARING A LONG SLEEVE COAT. IN THE REAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING, ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD IS SEEN WEARING A LONG SLEEVE COAT. TAKE A LOOK AT THE IMAGES CREATED FROM VIDEO FRAME SEQUENCES OF THE REAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS! MOREOVER, ARROGANT IS SEEN WEARING BAGGY PANTS, NOT THE SHORTS HE IS WEARING IN YOUR AI POWERED VIDEO RECORDINGS THAT WERE CLEARLY NOT RECORDED ON


3 of 13 5/2/2025, 11:55 AM

Exhibit 4, pages 1, 2, and 3 of KING AROGANT'S electronic mail messages sent to DDA's and Sheriff Robert Luna proves by clear and convincing evidence that Brian MARK Rosenberg was not sent an electronic mail message from KING AROGANT on June 8, 2024, at 8:34 AM

On April 17, 2025 RACIST, biased, and prejudiced County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutor Brian MARK Rosenberg (SBN # 291501) willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, knowingly, carelessly, deliberately, and callously violated State Bar Rules of Professional Conduct, Rules 3.1, 3.2, and 3.3, California Government Code Section 6200 and California Business and Professions Code Section 6068 by fabricating lies and giving intentionally false statements to rogue and dishonest city of Alhambra peace officer detective Benjamin Yang that KING AROGANT sent to him electronic mail messages on November 13, 2023, November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, and twice on June 8, 2024 (8:03 AM and 8:34 A, separate emails). Lying and RACIST DDA MARK Rosenberg never showed rogue and RACIST peace officer Benjamin Yang electronic mail messages that showed that KING AROGANT had emailed him at any time after November 13, 2023 because MARK Rosenberg had not proof that KING AROGANT sent him an email because he knew with 100% certainty that he never received any electronic mail messages from KING AROGANT on November 28, 2024, February 13, 2024, and June 8, 2024. In fact, the only reason RACIST MARK Rosenberg had any information about the contents and subject line of KING AROGANT'S never sent to him electronic mail messages on November 28, 2022, February 13, 2024, and June 8, 2024 was because dishonest and unethical County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors Victor Manuel Rodriguez and James William Garrison (who did receive all emails) recklessly forwarded KING AROGANT'S November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, and June 8, 2024 electronic mail messages to Brian MARK Rosenberg (brosenberg@da.lacounty.gov) in furtherance of County of Los Angeles District Attorney's office to recklessly violate KING AROGANT'S constitutional civil rights. Brian MARK Rosenberg never received an email from KING AROGANT on November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, and June 8, 2024, as he falsely alleged on April 17, 2025, that KING AROGANT sent him these make-believe and imaginary electronic mail messages.

On April 17, 2025, at approximately 5 PM, RACIST, rogue, and biased city of Alhambra peace officer Benjamin Yang willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, knowingly, carelessly, deliberately, and callously arrested KING

AROGANT at the Alhambra Public Library for violation of California Penal Code Section 602. KING AROGANT'S false arrest was so unbelievably bogus that Yang charged KING AROGANT for a California Penal Code section, which has elements of crime that would have required KING AROGANT to have been arrested on private land, not open to the public. KING AROGANT was falsely arrested at the Alhambra Public library, not private property, and the Alhambra public library was open for another three hours after KING AROGANT had been brought into custody. Moreover, KING AROGANT'S false arrest at the Alhambra Public Library was invalidated after rogue and stupid peace officer Benjamin Yang foolishly signed the private person's arrest form. See it now below attached herein and marked as Exhibit 5.



ALHAMBRA POLICE DEPARTMENT
STATEMENT OF PRIVATE PERSON'S ARREST

DR Number: 25-14319
Page Number: 01

CRIME
Code Section: 602(o)(2) PC
Crime: TRESPASSING
Description: AT LOCAL LIBRARY
Location of arrest: 101 S. FIRST ST, ALHAMBRA, CA

PERSON MAKING ARREST
Name (Last, First, Middle): [redacted], HILDA
Sex: F
Race: ☐ White ☒ Chinese ☐ Black ☐ Hisp ☐ Other
DOB: [redacted]
Age: 46
Occupation (Current or last known): DIRECTOR OF LIBRARY
☐ Dri. ☐ ID Number
State:
Driver Phone Number: —
Cellular Number: [redacted]
Residence Address-City-State-Zip (Current or last known): [redacted]
Res. Phone: —
Business or School Address-City-State-Zip (Current or last known): —
Bus. Phone: [redacted]

ARRESTEE
Code: S
Name (Last, First, Middle - if known): HOLLYWOOD, AROGANT
Sex: M
Race: ☐ White ☐ Chinese ☒ Black ☐ Hisp ☐ Other
Age (or approximation): 46
Description (If the name is not known):

As a private person I, HILDA [redacted] (Print name of person making arrest)
have arrested AROGANT, HOLLYWOOD (Print name of subject arrested) for PC 602 (Code section number / violation),
a public offense committed in my presence, at 101 S. FIRST ST, ALHAMBRA, CA, (Location)
On (date) 04/17/25 at (time) 1715 AM / (PM) I demand that the following sworn peace officer(s) SANCHEZ #156 take into custody the listed subject.

California Penal Code 837 – Arrest by Private Persons
A private person may arrest another:
1. For a public offense committed or attempted in his / her presence.
2. When a person arrested has committed a felony, although not in his / her presence.
3. When a felony has in fact been committed and he / she has reasonable cause to believe the person arrested to have committed it.

California Penal Code 148.5 – False Report of Criminal Offense
It shall be unlawful to willfully make a false, fraudulent, misleading, or unfounded report to any police officer.

I, HILDA [redacted] (Print name of person making arrest) do hereby certify the above statements made by me surrounding this Private Person's Arrest are true to the best of my knowledge and belief. Furthermore, I agree to cooperate in all stages of prosecution and will sign a complaint against the above named arrested / cited person if issued.


X [redacted] Signature of person making arrest
Date: 04/17/25 Time: 1715 HOURS

X _____ Signature of Witness (Not the officer)
Name: _____ (Print name of witness) Phone: _____

APD 04/07 *** IN YOUR OWN HANDWRITING, PLEASE PROVIDE THE DETAILS SURROUNDING THE ARREST ON THE BACK OF THIS FORM ***

A rogue and dishonest peace officer signing a private citizen's arrest form gives a person reading this an idea of just how evil, fraudulent, and scandalous this criminal investigation was.

On April 17, 2025, in furtherance of the City of Alhambra conspiring to violate KING AROGANT'S Fourth and Fourteenth Amendment constitutional civil rights together with County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang add charged KING AROGANT by falsely arresting KING AROGANT with violation of California Penal Code Section 166, subsection (a) without a single piece of evidence. In furtherance of the County of Los Angeles and city of Alhamrbra's meeting of minds and plan to illegally keep KING AROGANT in custody. Rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, knowingly, carelessly, deliberately, and callously fabricated lies and made intentionally false statements in bogus police report that a copy of Brian MARK Rosenberg's make-believe, delusional, and imaginary brosenberg@da.lacounty.gov electronic mail messages sent to him from KING AROGANT on November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024 and June 8, 2024 were attached to the end of his crime report. See now a true and correct copy of rogue and dishonest peace officer Benjamin Yang's fabricated and illegal police report attached below and marked as "Exhibit 6."



Police Report for Incident 25-14319 Page 6 of 10

V-Rosenburg was afraid for his safety and the safety of his family after seeing S-Hollywood at the courthouse even after being served the restraining order. Furthermore, S-Hollywood had already violated the restraining order on the following dates/times by sending e-mails to V-Rosenburg himself and his coworkers.

Email #1:
From: Arogant Hollywood <arogantmusicinc@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 13, 2023 1:19 AM
To: tulunas@lasd.org; atardy@lasd.org; bkhount;havong@lasd.org; rlewis@lasd.org; Brian Rosenberg <BRosenberg@da.lacounty.gov>; takelrossi@gmail.com; rachel.rossi@usdoj.gov; AROGANTHOLLYWOODGENIUS@gmail.com
Subject: [External]DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, ROSSI, AND OTHER OFFICIALS WILL SEE TO IT THAT ROSENBERG AND KHOUNTHAVONG GO TO FEDERAL PRISION

Email #2:
From : arogantholywoodgenius@gmail.com
Date : Tue,28 Nov 2023 12:02:40 -0800
Subject : AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. state of california, united states, county of los angeles, city of south pasadena, city of arcadia, et al (SECOND EMAIL) [REAL VIDEO THAT PROVES THAT I WAS FRAMED FOR VIOLATING CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE SECTION 422(a)
NOTE: the receiver list is too long to list. See copy of e-mail.

Email #3:
From: Cheryl R[redacted] <[redacted]@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 13, 2024 6:14 PM
Subject: [External]OFFICIAL COMPLAINT OF ALARCON [redacted] ON BEHALF OF AROGANT HOLLYWOOD V. sherrif robert luna, et al
NOTE: the receiver list is too long to list. See copy of e-mail.

Email #4:
From: Arogant Hollywood <arogantholywoodgenius@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, June 8, 2024 6:41 AM
Subject: people v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA114055) & UPCOMING FIRST CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT TITLED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD V. judy whitehurst, et al [DEMAND PEOPLE AND LASD IMMEDIATELY TURN OVER PHONY LASD GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GLO10586 & GLO10587
NOTE: the receiver list is too long to list. See copy of e-mail.

Email #5:
From: Arogant Hollywood <[redacted]@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, June 8, 2024 8:58 AM
Subject: people v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA114055) & UPCOMING FIRST CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT TITLED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD V. judy whitehurst, et al [DEMAND PEOPLE AND LASD IMMEDIATELY TURN OVER PHONY LASD GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GLO10586 & GLO10587 [I GOT A LOT OF DIFFER...
NOTE: the receiver list is too long to list. See copy of e-mail.

These e-mails were in direct violation of the restraining order served to S-Hollywood for the protective order stated the following verbatim:
"The restrained person must not contact the protected person(s) directly or indirectly, by any means including telephone, mail, email, or other electronic means - peaceful written contact through 3rd party for service of legal papers allowed."

CONCLUSION:
Based on the above, with the approval of Watch Commander Lt. Scriano, I placed

04/20/25

Copy of Pinal County Court-DAS Office
Attorney Service Copy
Printed on 4/1/2025 at 8:52 AM

Brian MARK Rosenberg willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, knowingly, carelessly, deliberately, and callously violated California Penal Code Section 148.5 18 U.S.C. Section 242, and California Government Code Section 6200 by deliberately filing a knowingly false police report based on fabricated lies that MARK Rosenberg knew were not true and for which resulted in KING AROGANT being falsely imprisoned and wrongfully charged. It is time for MARK Rosenberg to be charged and convicted accordingly and sent to prison where that CRIMINAL BELONGS!

Rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, knowingly, carelessly, deliberately, and callously violated California Penal Code Section 148.5 and California Government Code Section 6200 and 18 U.S.C. Section 242, and he must be charged accordingly and sent to prison where that CRIMINAL BELONGS!

18 U.S.C. Section 242 criminalizes the deprivation of rights under color of law. It makes it a federal crime for anyone acting under the authority of any law (federal, state, or local) to willfully deprive another person of a right, privilege, or immunity protected by the Constitution or laws of the United States. This also includes subjecting a person to different punishments based on their race or alien status.

ALL THOSE THAT RECEIVE THIS EMAIL AND STAY SILENT WILL BE SUED ACCORDINGLY!

THIS PART 1 OF A LONG EMAIL SERIES

EMAIL, PART 2 WILL CONTINUE EXACTLY WHERE PART 1 ENDED!

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq.

1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD.
PASADENA, CA 91106
Mobile: 206.471.1344
aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted
Spirit of Esquire
BLACK TRADE KING
FUTURE KING OF ENTERTAINMENT
BLACK DERIVATIVES KING





Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com> Wed, May 7, 2025 at 6:01 AM
To: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com



Address not found

Your message wasn't delivered to **FCORTEZ@cdcr.gov** because the domain cdcr.gov couldn't be found. Check for typos or unnecessary spaces and try again.

LEARN MORE

The response was:

```
DNS Error: DNS type 'mx' lookup of cdcr.gov responded with code NXDOMAIN Domain name not
found: cdcr.gov For more information, go to https://support.google.com/mail/?p=BadRcptDomain
```

Final-Recipient: rfc822; FCORTEZ@cdcr.gov
Action: failed
Status: 5.1.2
Diagnostic-Code: smtp; DNS Error: DNS type 'mx' lookup of cdcr.gov responded with code NXDOMAIN
Domain name not found: cdcr.gov For more information, go to https://support.google.com/mail/?p=BadRcptDomain
Last-Attempt-Date: Wed, 07 May 2025 06:01:07 -0700 (PDT)

noname
17K

Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com> Wed, May 7, 2025 at 6:01 AM
To: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq.
1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD.
PASADENA, CA 91106
Mobile: 206.471.1344
aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted
Spirit of Esquire

people of the state of california, et al v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD

Case No. . 25CJCM02162-01

Exhibit 7 is a true and correct copy of AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S electronic mail Gmail message he sent to numerous County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutors, California Department of Justice attorneys, and County of Los Angles Office County Counsel attorneys on May 7, 2025, at 6:18 AM.

Exhibit 7 proves by clear and convincing evidence that Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators all knew with 100% certainty and were fully aware that County of Los

---

EXHIBIT 7

Angeles District Attorney's Office deputy district attorney Brian MARK Rosenberg willfully, recklessly, maliciously, carelessly, wantonly, deliberately, knowingly, and callously violated California Penal Code § 148.5, California Government Code § 6200, and 18 United States Code § 242 by fabricating lies and making intentionally false statements to rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang that AROGANT HOLLYWOOD sent him electronic mail messages on November 28, 2023, February 13. 2024, and June 8, 2024.

Exhibit 7 proves by clear and convincing evidence that Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators all knew with 100% certainty and were fully aware that rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, carelessly, deliberately, knowingly, and callously created and authored a false police report that fabricated lies and made intentionally false statements that a copy of Brian MARK Rosenberg's brosenberg@da.lacounty.gov June 8, 2024 at 8:34 AM. electronic mail message was attached to the end of his city of Alhambra crime report.

Exhibit 7 proves by clear and convincing evidence that Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators all knew with 100% certainty and were fully aware that RACIST and BIASED County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutors willfully, recklessly, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, deliberately, maliciously, and callously filed criminal prosecutors filed frivolous counts 1, 2, and 3 without a single piece of evidence and entirely without probable cause.

Exhibit 7 proves by clear and convincing evidence that Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators all knew with 100% certainty and were fully aware that RACIST and BIASED County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutors willfully, recklessly, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, deliberately, maliciously, and callously violated State Bar of California Rules of Professional Conduct Rules 3.1, 3.3, and 3.4; California Business and Professions Code § 6068, and American Bar Association Models Rules of Professional Conduct

---

EXHIBIT 7

Rules 3.1, 3.3., and 3.4 by filing frivolous counts 1, 2, and 3 without a single piece of evidence and entirely without probable cause.

Exhibit 7 proves by clear and convincing evidence that there was no probable cause or leave basis for *Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators to utilize their under color of law abuse of judicial process to request that judicial officer MARK WINDHAM find AROGANT HOLLYWOOD in violation of his conditions of release after Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators were all electronically served clear and convincing evidence that AROGANT HOLLYWOOD was factually innocent of Counts 3, 4, and 5 of their frivolous and RACIST misdemeanor criminal complaint 25CJCM02162-01. And instead of following the law under State Bar of California Rules of Professional Conduct Rules 3.1, 3.3, and 3.4; California Business and Professions Code § 6068, and American Bar Association Models Rules of Professional Conduct Rules 3.1, 3.3., and 3.4 by dismissing counts 3, 4, and 5 on their own criminal motion but they all instead chose to commit reckless under color of law deceit by willfully, recklessly, maliciously, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, deliberately, and callously failing to inform, failing to disclose, and concealing from, and hiding from a Superior Court of California judicial officer that they were all in possession of entirely exculpatory evidence that proved AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S factual innocence by clear and convincing evidence.*

I declare, under penalty of perjury, under the laws and Constitution of California and the United States, that every word, sentence, paragraph, and page of the foregoing is true and accurate.

EXHIBIT 7

DATE: May 10, 2025

BY: Arogant Hollywood

Arogant Hollywood, *Esq.*
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106
Mobile: (206) 471-1344
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
Spirit of Esquire

King Arogant

EXHIBIT 7

Gmail

Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>

FRIVOLOUS CRIMINAL CASE 25CJCM02162-01, FIRST LEGAL WARNING TO COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, ITS DDAS, CITY OF ALHAMBRA AND ITS CONSPIRING ATTORNEYS TO RETURN ALL PROPERTY, DISMISSED ALL FRIVOLOUS FIVE COUNTS, CRIMINALLY CHARGE RACIST CRIMINAL PROSECUTOR BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG, REPORT MARK ROSENBERG TO THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA, CREATE SUPPLEMENTAL POLICE REPORT THAT HIGHLIGHTS AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S FACTUAL INNOCENCE, AND TURN OVER ALL CRIMINAL CASE DISCOVERY; INCLUDING PHONY PROBABLE CAUSE DETERMINATION(FEBRUARY 13, 2024 EMAIL ATTACHED HERETO PROVING THAT BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG IS A CRIMINAL)

93 messages

Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com> Wed, May 7, 2025 at 6:18 AM

To: jbinnquist@cityofalhambra.org, tseki@alhambrapd.org, Area1@alhambrapd.org, gsepncer@alhambrapd.org, area2@alhambrapd.org, rsoriano@alhambrapd.org, area3@alhambrapd.org, rcastillo@alhambrapd.org, area4@alhambrapd.org, klaing@alhambrapd.org, dfuentes@alhambrapd.org, lgarcia@alhambraca.gov, gdiaz@alhambrapd.org, rrongavilla@alhambrapd.org, dtran@slhsmbrapd.org, mlee@alhambrapd.org, hreyes@alhambrapd.org, bchung@alhambrapd.org, luwan@cityofalhambra.org, klee@alhambraca.gov, rmaza@cityofalhambra.org, jmaloney@cityofalhambra.org, maloney@smmc.ca.gov, nwang@cityofalhambra.org, aandradestadler@gmail.com, adele.stadler@gmail.com, joestadler@ladwp.com, andrade@eaterhlink.net, aandradestadler@yahoo.com, jmontes@bwslaw.com, CABBOTT@bwslaw.com, baffrunti@bwslaw.com, bguerrero@bwslaw.com, gaker@bwslaw.com, danneet@bwslaw.com, maustin@bwslaw.com, rbalchum@bwslaw.com, mtran@bwslaw.com, mbarrett@bwslaw.com, Jbalchum@bwslaw.com, dbazzano@bwslaw.com, kberger@bwslaw.com, mbernacchi@bwslaw.com, dakemon@da.lacounty.gov, cthorpe@da.lacounty.gov, ejerez@da.lacounty.gov, dbrosky@da.lacounty.gov, blunsford@da.lacounty.gov, pkouch@da.lacounty.gov, jtaksar@da.lacounty.gov, ron.goodreau@yahoo.com, ronaldgoodreau@aol.com, estreet@da.lacounty.gov, mlee@da.lacounty.gov, rdematti@da.lacounty.gov, jonchung@da.lacounty.gov, alin@da.lacounty.gov, mmichelena@da.lacounty.gov, srebelis@da.lacounty.gov, jlink@da.lacounty.gov, mvellakk@da.lacounty.gov, jmccomb@smcgov.org, dmccloskey@smcgov.org, bmccartt@da.lacounty.gov, gdameron@da.lacounty.gov, hharpham@da.lacounty.gov, duckett.justice@gmail.com, kduckett@da.lacounty.gov, jfrost@smcgov.org, jgarden@da.lacounty.gov, nhearon@da.lacounty.gov, tpovah@smcgov.org, hborden@da.lacounty.gov, gmarin@da.lacounty.gov, ibaly@da.lacounty.gov, rarias@da.lacounty.gov, Vaenlle-rocha@da.lacounty.gov, mmurray@da.lacounty.gov, jcastano@da.lacounty.gov, kmeyer@da.lacounty.gov, mmclaughlin@smcgov.org, apellman@da.lacounty.gov, tpham@da.lacounty.gov, inightengale@da.lacounty.gov, jniedermann@da.lacounty.gov, dhoffman@da.lacounty.gov, ewakabay@da.lacounty, grai@da.lacounty.gov, bobchen@da.lacounty.gov, bchen@da.lacounty.gov, fdunnick@da.lacounty.gov, Lisette.suder@edcda.us, calbanese@da.lacounty.gov, jcolello@da.lacounty.gov, eratinof@da.lacounty.gov, eratinoff@da.lacounty.gov, jmcgrath@da.lacounty.gov, lavila@da.lacounty.gov, kenvonh@msn.com, kvonhelmolt@da.lacounty.gov, echon@da.lacounty.gov, Jwilson@smcgov.org, jchung@da.lacounty.gov, cfredgren@da.lacounty.gov, kta@da.lacounty.gov, sungchung@da.lacounty.gov, schung@da.lacounty.gov, mbean@da.lacounty.gov, twhitney@da.lacounty.gov, hyun@da.lacounty.gov, kabourezk@da.lacounty.gov, ialvarez@da.lacounty.gov, Michael.pizzuti@edcda.us, nhahn@smcgov.org, Cmeaher-walker@da.lacounty.gov, cwalker@da.lacounty.gov, cmeagher@da.lacounty.gov, fyoung@da.lacounty.gov, afitzgerald@smcgov.org, gapt@da.lacounty.gov, Christine.vonhelmolt@csulb.edu, cvonhelmolt@da.lacounty.gov, knishita@da.lacounty.gov, snavas@da.lacounty.gov, fnagle@kernda.org, carolyn.palumbo@yolocounty.org, jmarcano@kernda.org, gpearl@kernda.org, dmckillop@kernda.org, jallen@kernda.org, nilackie@kern.org, nlackie@kernda.org, kmarshall@kernda.org, bstallings@kernda.org, deanna.hays@yolocounty.org, csherman@kernda.org, lgarver@kernda.org, rchoi@kernda.org, frits.vanderhoek@yolocounty.org, btaconi@kernda.org, gkohler@kernda.org, lfields@kernda.org, cmcnutt@kernda.org, czimmer@kernda.org, gsatriano@da.lacounty.gov, mariaramirez@hotmail.com, mramirez@da.lacounty.gov, mdaniels@da.lacounty.gov, kchun@da.lacounty.gov, jmorris@da.lacounty.gov, pcagney@da.lacounty.gov, sfrank6576@aol.com, sfrankland@da.lacounty.gov, bschirn@da.lacounty.gov, rsherwood@da.lacounty.gov, spresby@da.lacounty.gov, mbaxter@da.lacounty.gov, lwong@da.lacounty.gov, ayochelson@da.lacounty.gov, tgomez@da.lacounty.gov, cbuckley@da.lacounty.gov, vcole@da.lacounty.gov, srandolph@da.lacounty.gov, ldery@da.lacounty.gov, ymassry@da.lacounty.gov,

gjennings@da.lacounty.gov, hchun@da.lacounty.gov, langer@da.lacounty.gov, shassett@da.lacounty.gov, smire@da.lacounty.gov, gwright@da.lacounty.gov, ljkessner@da.lacounty.gov, Jcallahan@da.lacounty.gov, chum@da.lacounty.gov, mchung@da.lacounty.gov, jmejia@da.lacounty.gov, mgarcia@da.lacounty.gov, jharlan@da.lacounty.gov, mpiantanida@da.lacounty.gov, gsantiso@da.lacounty.gov, pmusso@da.lacounty.gov, nhochman@da.lacounty.gov, Vaenille-rocha@da.lacounty.gov, dfelizzatto@da.lacounty.gov, mhanisee@da.lacounty.gov, skatz@da.lacounty.gov, Jbrooke-castano@da.lacounty.gov, wfrayeh@da.lacounty.gov, jfoster@da.lacounty.gov, jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov, jtillson@da.lacounty.gov, Mariaramirez65@hotmail.com, ilee@da.lacounty.gov, Cris.buckley@uci.edu, jwalker@da.lacounty.gov, cfrey@da.lacounty.gov, vrodriguez@dalacounty.gov, mckinney@da.lacounty.gov, jmckinney@da.lacounty.gov, kcady@da.lacounty.gov, jdsilva@da.lacounty.gov, amattson@da.lacounty.gov, chrisbaker@da.lacounty.gov, cnakao@da.lacounty.gov, jjohnson@da.lacounty.gov, kuyen@da.lacounty.gov, pkouch@da.alacounty.gov, shulefeld@da.lacounty.gov, mbeaart@da.lacounty.gov, bjdodd@dalacounty.gov, mcarrillo@da.lacounty.gov, aaguilar@da.lacounty.gov, awise@da.lacounty.gov, lorquiola@da.lacounty.gov, Kevin.s@lacounty.gov, kswartz@da.lacounty.gov, akozma@da.lacounty.gov, aadams@da.lacounty.gov, trichardson@da.lacounty.gov, timothy@lacounty.gov, sradmore@dal.lacounty.gov, wrosenthal@da.lacounty.gov, wkarfiol@lacounty.gov, cnajera@lacourt.org, mwindham@lacourt.org, dilacqua@da.lacounty.gov, jonathan@lacounty.gov, jarmour@dalacounty.gov, felicia@lacounty.gov, forman@da.laounty.gov, ryann.jorban@stfrancislaw.com, rgerber@dalcounty.gov, jcohn@da.lacounty.gov, julia@lacounty.gov, hjackson@da.lacounty.gov, sunita.popal@pepperdine.edu, spopal@da.lacounty.gov, dzygielbaum@da.lacounty.gov, mlewis@da.lacounty.gov, philglaviano@hotmail.com, pglaviano@da.lacounty.gov, thomaskrag@proton.me, tkrag@da.lacounty.gov, klynch@da.lacounty.gov, smrakich@da.lacounty.gov, cgaines@da.lacounty.gov, menomoto@da.lacounty.gov, cchaiyar@da.lacounty.gov, rdooley@da.lacounty.gov, glewin@da.lacounty.gov, ddelimon@gmail.com, mhaidar@da.lacounty.gov, thooks@da.lacounty.gov, wllanos@da.lacounty.gov, scarney@da.lacounty.gov, dwright@da.lacounty.gov, lholtz@da.lacounty.gov, dmartinez@da.lacounty.gov, dwooden@da.lacounty.gov, jgonzalez@da.lacounty.gov, dsyn@da.lacounty.gov, rchang@da.lacounty.gov, ncooper@da.lacounty.gov, akarkanen@da.lacounty.gov, khalligan@da.lacounty.gov, ralarcon@da.lacounty.gov, sstodel@da.lacounty.gov, dbarkhurst@da.lacounty.gov, tdecastro@da.lacounty.gov, dchau@da.lacounty.gov, vlittle@da.lacounty.gov, nflood@da.lacounty.gov, rrabbani@da.lacounty.gov, tmokayef@da.clacounty.gov, rrose@da.lacounty.gov, chenry@da.lacounty.gov, fsantoro@da.lacounty.gov, kstpierre@da.lacounty.gov, johnlwn@gmail.com, brian.jones@sen.ca.gov, erikandannaapperson@hotmail.com, dbengstib@da.lacounty.gov, Ying.sun@cdcr.ca.gov, pghaderi@counsel.lacounty.gov, rsaldana@counsel.lacounty.gov, ndavis-tinkham@counsel.lacounty.gov, tink4d1@gmail.com, brind0313@gmail.com, darrellltinkham@yahoo.com, ltolani@counsel.lacounty.gov, lester.tolnai@yahoo.com, rclester.tolnai71@yahoo.com, pmarshall@counsel.lacounty.gov, Adrienne Byers <abyers@counsel.lacounty.gov>, rcartwright@counsel.lacounty.gov, rwong@counsel.lacounty.gov, tfaughnan@counsel.lacounty.gov, tomfaughnan66@gmail.com, Jennifer <JLehman@counsel.lacounty.gov>, kmiles@counsel.lacounty.gov, rbaeza@counsel.lacounty.gov, rchastang@counsel.lacounty.gov, lcampo@counsel.lacounty.gov, msimon@counsel.lacounty.gov, emiller@counsel.lacounty.gov, elizabeth_dumais@yahoo.com, kaigrant19@yahoo.com, pmomon@yahoo.com, pbollinger@counsel.lacounty.gov, First District <firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>, secondistrict@bos.la.county.gov, "holly4assembly@gmail.com" <holly4assembly@gmail.com>, hmitchell@yahoo.com, hjmitchel@aol.com, Cindy <cchen@bos.lacounty.gov>, nadtinkham@gmail.com, holly@hollyjmitchell.com, rfleming-peters@counsel.lacounty.gov, Margaret Carter <mcarter@counsel.lacounty.gov>, njenkins@lacounty.gov, njenkins@counsel.lacounty.gov, jgonzalez@counsel.lacounty.gov, lowt@metro.net, Tlow77@gmail.com, ryanthurlow@yahoo.com, tlow@counsel.lacounty.gov, tina@jmoilco.com, tlow7@yahoo.com, econard@lacounty.gov, econard@counsel.lacounty.gov, andria.seo@disabilityrightsca.org, schan@counsel.lacounty.gov, eggerse@metro.net, eggers@counsel.lacounty.gov, mrolon@counsel.lacounty.gov, mirder@hotmail.com, doug@homealert.com, Alexandra Zuiderweg <AZuiderweg@counsel.lacounty.gov>, mruben@counsel.lacounty.gov, Caitlin Taylor <ctaylor@counsel.lacounty.gov>, "Holly J. Mitchell" <HollyJMitchell@bos.lacounty.gov>, Lindsey.P.Horvath@gmail.com, kmuir@counsel.lacounty.gov, CCRCMFLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCCILitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCTFLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCMCLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCORLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCIMLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, FCORTEZ@cdcr.gov, Jeff.macomber@cdcr.ca.gov, Jennifer.barretto@cdcr.ca.gov, Tammy.foss@cdcr.ca.gov, Diana.toche@cdcr.ca.gov, albert.lundeen@cdcr.ca.gov, Jennifer.neil@cdcr.ca.gov, Sarah.larson@cdcr.ca.gov, Ron.broomfield@cdcr.ca.gov, Amy.casias@cdcr.ca.gov, Jason.johnson@cdcr.ca.gov, Chris.chambers@cdcr.ca.gov, Stacy.lopez@cdcr.ca.gov, Niki.dhillon@cdcr.ca.gov, Jennifer.benavidez@cdcr.ca.gov, heather.bowlds@cdcr.ca.gov, Jared.lozano@cdcr.ca.gov, Derrick.marion@cdcr.ca.gov, David.chriss@cdcr.ca.gov, Sarina.calderon@cdcr.ca.gov, "elizabeth.hom@calbar.ca.gov" <elizabeth.hom@calbar.ca.gov>, johnna.sack@calbar.ca.gov, rocio.avalos@calbar.ca.gov, kristina.ramos@calbar.ca.gov, collin.grant@calbar.ca.gov, louisa.ayrapetyan@calbar.ca.gov, jennifer.stalvey@calbar.ca.gov, ken.nourse@calbar.ca.gov, summer.shelton@calbar.ca.gov, kirsten.galler@calbar.ca.gov, doan.nguyen@calbar.ca.gov, audrey.ching@calbar.ca.gov, laurie.gondreau@calbar.ca.gov, yun.xiang@calbar.ca.gov, mark@fresnocriminallawyer.com, abrahim.bagheri@calbar.ca.gov, carol.kiyotoki@calbar.ca.gov, andrew.vasicek@calbar.ca.gov, mayte.diaz@calbar.ca.gov, duncan.carling@calbar.ca.gov, chelsey.smith@calbar.ca.gov, suzanne.grandt@calbar.ca.gov, gerri.smith@calbar.ca.gov, peter.klivans@calbar.ca.gov, cindy.chan@calbar.ca.gov, teresa.ruano@calbar.ca.gov, patrice.vallier-glass@calbar.ca.gov,

naji.khatib@calbar.ca.gov, awndrea.yeboah@calbar.ca.gov, christina.doell@calbar.ca.gov, enrique.zuniga@calbar.ca.gov, kimberly.anderson@calbar.ca.gov, brandon.stallings@calbar.ca.gov, Jose.cisneros@gmail.com, Jose.cisneros@sf.gov, pbarahona@yli.org, Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>, jmerchan@wdacs.lacounty.gov, info@wdacs.lacounty.gov, Arogant Hollywood <arogantmusicinc@gmail.com>, Alison Fairchild <fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com>, Arogant Hollywood <causeofaction43@gmail.com>, blacklawgenius@gmail.com, Alison Fairchild <alisonhfairchild@gmail.com>, Robert Luna <rluna@lasd.org>, rluna@lacounty.gov, atardy@lacounty.org, jtorres@lasd.org, saloma@lacounty.org, llecrivain@lasd.org, bchase@lacounty.gov, rlewis@lacounty.gov, llecrivain@lacounty.org, jmeza@lasd.org, ned.harlan@doj.ca.gov, nishdeep.singh@doj.ca.gov, robert.bonta@doj.ca.gov, OPSChiefsOffice@doj.ca.gov, peter.halloran@doj.ca.gov, amyhhalloran@yahoo.com, peterhalloran@sbcglobal.net, alisha.carlile@doj.ca.gov, snpdragn@aol.com, acarlile@alum.wellesley.edu, concertina9@yahoo.com, alishastephen@yahoo.com.au, alehr17@u.washington.edu, girlygirl8384@aol.com, akeened@yahoo.com, lish34@hotmail.com, piccbodin@hotmail.com, lachapell_a@yahoo.com, alishaoku@yahoo.com, alisha.mai@gmail.com, alisha.marks@rogers.com, vincent.bonotto@doj.ca.gov, vbonotto@hotmail.com, glenn.pruden@doj.ca.gov, eyeless77@hotmail.com, daniel.olivas@doj.ca.gov, giam.nguyen@doj.ca.gov, chad.stegeman@doj.ca.gov, stephen.lew@doj.ca.gov, christopher.findley@doj.ca.gov, dennis.beck@doj.ca.gov, darrell.spence@doj.ca.gov, maggy.krell@doj.ca.gov, Elaine.tong@doj.ca.gov, hannah.calacsan@doj.ca.gov, mo.park@doj.ca.gov, dbuthler@lasuperiorcourt.org, dslayton@lasuperiorcourt.org, sclover@lasuperiorcourt.org, jmoses@lasuperiorcourt.org, ppenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, tpenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, chase.penrose@gmail.com, mackenzienicole@gmail.com, trishpenrose@att.net, amber.barnett@jud.ca.go, john.yee@jud.ca.gov, jenniejasperson@gmail.com, kelton.jasperson@gmail.com, cjasperson2@mindspring.com, judy.jasperson@att.net, randie.chance@doj.ca.gov, gavin.newsom@gov.ca.gov, gkennedy@alhambrapd.org, EWakabayashi@da.lacounty.gov, bdodd@da.lacounty.gov, hforman@da.lacounty.gov, ttokat@da.lacounty.gov, tmokayf@da.lacounty.gov, sradmore@da.lacounty.gov, andrade@earthlink.net, rgerber@da.lacounty.gov, vrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov, jlewin@da.lacounty.gov, atardy@lasd.org, dtran@alhambrapd.org, secondistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, dbengston@da.lacounty.gov, jragusa@alhambrafire.org, rembrahim@da.lacounty.gov, "rishnidanos516@gmail.com" <rishnidanos516@gmail.com>, prentice.hill@cdcr.ca.gov, 12136871147@faxage.com, 12139741484@faxage.com, 13103288010@faxage.com, 15629297626@faxage.com, 12132290642@faxage.com

FRIVOLOUS CRIMINAL CASE 25CJCM02162-01, FIRST LEGAL WARNING TO COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, ITS DDAS, CITY OF ALHAMBRA AND ITS CONSPIRING ATTORNEYS TO RETURN ALL PROPERTY, DISMISSED ALL FRIVOLOUS FIVE COUNTS, CRIMINALLY CHARGE RACIST CRIMINAL PROSECUTOR BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG, REPORT MARK ROSENBERG TO THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA, CREATE SUPPLEMENTAL POLICE REPORT THAT HIGHLIGHTS AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S FACTUAL INNOCENCE, AND TURN OVER ALL CRIMINAL CASE DISCOVERY; INCLUDING PHONY PROBABLE CAUSE DETERMINATION(FEBRUARY 13, 2024 EMAIL ATTACHED HERETO PROVING THAT BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG IS A CRIMINAL)



AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq.
1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD.
PASADENA, CA 91106
Mobile: 206.471.1344


aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted
Spirit of Esquire
BLACK TRADE KING
FUTURE KING OF ENTERTAINMENT
BLACK DERIVATIVES KING





Gmail - OFFICIAL COMPLAINT OF ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD ON BEHALF OF AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. sherrif robert luna, et al (FEBRUARY 13, 2024 AT 614 PM).pdf
126K

Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com> Wed, May 7, 2025 at 6:19 AM
To: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com




The response was:

```
DNS Error: DNS type 'mx' lookup of dalacounty.gov responded with code NXDOMAIN Domain name not found: dalacounty.gov For more information, go to https://support.google.com/mail/?p=BadRcptDomain
```

Final-Recipient: rfc822; bjdodd@dalacounty.gov
Action: failed
Status: 5.1.2
Diagnostic-Code: smtp; DNS Error: DNS type 'mx' lookup of dalacounty.gov responded with code NXDOMAIN Domain name not found: dalacounty.gov For more information, go to https://support.google.com/mail/?p=BadRcptDomain
Last-Attempt-Date: Wed, 07 May 2025 06:19:01 -0700 (PDT)

noname
17K

Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com> Wed, May 7, 2025 at 6:19 AM
To: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com



Your message wasn't delivered to **sradmore@dal.lacounty.gov** because the domain dal.lacounty.gov couldn't be found. Check for typos or unnecessary spaces and try again.

LEARN MORE

The response was:

```
DNS Error: DNS type 'mx' lookup of dal.lacounty.gov responded with code NXDOMAIN Domain name not found: dal.lacounty.gov For more information, go to https://support.google.com/mail/?p=BadRcptDomain
```

Final-Recipient: rfc822; sradmore@dal.lacounty.gov
Action: failed
Status: 5.1.2
Diagnostic-Code: smtp; DNS Error: DNS type 'mx' lookup of dal.lacounty.gov responded with code NXDOMAIN Domain name not found: dal.lacounty.gov For more information, go to https://support.google.com/mail/?p=BadRcptDomain
Last-Attempt-Date: Wed, 07 May 2025 06:19:01 -0700 (PDT)

noname
17K

Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com> Wed, May 7, 2025 at 6:19 AM
To: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com

Address not found

Your message wasn't delivered to **pkouch@da.alacounty.gov** because the domain da.alacounty.gov couldn't be found. Check for typos or unnecessary spaces and try again.

LEARN MORE

The response was:

```
DNS Error: DNS type 'mx' lookup of da.alacounty.gov responded with code NXDOMAIN Domain name
```

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq.
1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD.
PASADENA, CA 91106
Mobile: 206.471.1344
arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted
Spirit of Esquire

people of the state of california, et al v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD

Case No. . 25CJCM02162-01

Exhibit 8 is a true and correct copy of AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S electronic mail Gmail message he sent to numerous County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutors, California Department of Justice attorneys, and County of Los Angles Office County Counsel attorneys on May 8, 2025, at 8:09 PM.

Exhibit 8 proves by clear and convincing evidence that Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators all knew with 100% certainty and were fully aware that County of Los

---

EXHIBIT 8

Angeles District Attorney's Office deputy district attorney Brian MARK Rosenberg willfully, recklessly, maliciously, carelessly, wantonly, deliberately, knowingly, and callously violated California Penal Code § 148.5, California Government Code § 6200, and 18 United States Code § 242 by fabricating lies and making intentionally false statements to rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang that AROGANT HOLLYWOOD sent him electronic mail messages on November 28, 2023, February 13. 2024, and June 8, 2024.

Exhibit 8 proves by clear and convincing evidence that Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators all knew with 100% certainty and were fully aware that rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, carelessly, deliberately, knowingly, and callously created and authored a false police report that fabricated lies and made intentionally false statements that a copy of Brian MARK Rosenberg's brosenberg@da.lacounty.gov June 8, 2024 at 8:34 AM. electronic mail message was attached to the end of his city of Alhambra crime report.

Exhibit 8 proves by clear and convincing evidence that Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators all knew with 100% certainty and were fully aware that RACIST and BIASED County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutors willfully, recklessly, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, deliberately, maliciously, and callously filed criminal prosecutors filed frivolous counts 1, 2, and 3 without a single piece of evidence and entirely without probable cause.

Exhibit 8 proves by clear and convincing evidence that Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators all knew with 100% certainty and were fully aware that RACIST and BIASED County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutors willfully, recklessly, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, deliberately, maliciously, and callously violated State Bar of California Rules of Professional Conduct Rules 3.1, 3.3, and 3.4; California Business and Professions Code § 6068, and American Bar Association Models Rules of Professional Conduct

---

EXHIBIT 8

Rules 3.1, 3.3., and 3.4 by filing frivolous counts 1, 2, and 3 without a single piece of evidence and entirely without probable cause.

Exhibit 8 proves by clear and convincing evidence that there was no probable cause or leave basis for *Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators to utilize their under color of law abuse of judicial process to request that judicial officer MARK WINDHAM find AROGANT HOLLYWOOD in violation of his conditions of release after Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators were all electronically served clear and convincing evidence that AROGANT HOLLYWOOD was factually innocent of Counts 3, 4, and 5 of their frivolous and RACIST misdemeanor criminal complaint 25CJCM02162-01. And instead of following the law under State Bar of California Rules of Professional Conduct Rules 3.1, 3.3, and 3.4; California Business and Professions Code § 6068, and American Bar Association Models Rules of Professional Conduct Rules 3.1, 3.3., and 3.4 by dismissing counts 3, 4, and 5 on their own criminal motion but they all instead chose to commit reckless under color of law deceit by willfully, recklessly, maliciously, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, deliberately, and callously failing to inform, failing to disclose, and concealing from, and hiding from a Superior Court of California judicial officer that they were all in possession of entirely exculpatory evidence that proved AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S factual innocence by clear and convincing evidence.*

I declare, under penalty of perjury, under the laws and Constitution of California and the United States, that every word, sentence, paragraph, and page of the foregoing is true and accurate.

EXHIBIT 8

DATE: May 10, 2025

BY: Arogant Hollywood

Arogant Hollywood, *Esq.*
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106
Mobile: (206) 471-1344
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
Spirit of Esquire

King Arogant

EXHIBIT 8

Gmail **Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>**

KING AROGANT v. racist white devil nathan joseph hochman, et al [ANOTHER LEGAL WARNING! YOU RACIST WHITE AND LATIN DEVILS ARE GOING TO ALL GET SUED!) SEE NOW ATTACHED YOUTUBE VIDEO RECORDING THAT PROVES BY CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE THAT RACIALLY BIASED AND RACIALLY ANIMUS DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEYS RECKLESSLY CHARGED KING AROGANT FOR THREE CRIMES WITHOUT A SINGLE PIECE OF EVIDENCE!

100 messages

Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com> Thu, May 8, 2025 at 8:09 PM
To: jbinnquist@cityofalhambra.org, tseki@alhambrapd.org, Area1@alhambrapd.org, gsepncer@alhambrapd.org, area2@alhambrapd.org, rsoriano@alhambrapd.org, area3@alhambrapd.org, rcastillo@alhambrapd.org, area4@alhambrapd.org, klaing@alhambrapd.org, dfuentes@alhambrapd.org, lgarcia@alhambraca.gov, gdiaz@alhambrapd.org, rrongavilla@alhambrapd.org, dtran@slhsmbrapd.org, mlee@alhambrapd.org, hreyes@alhambrapd.org, bchung@alhambrapd.org, luwan@cityofalhambra.org, klee@alhambraca.gov, rmaza@cityofalhambra.org, jmaloney@cityofalhambra.org, maloney@smmc.ca.gov, nwang@cityofalhambra.org, aandradestadler@gmail.com, adele.stadler@gmail.com, joestadler@ladwp.com, andrade@eaterhlink.net, aandradestadler@yahoo.com, jmontes@bwslaw.com, CABBOTT@bwslaw.com, baffrunti@bwslaw.com, bguerrero@bwslaw.com, gaker@bwslaw.com, danneet@bwslaw.com, maustin@bwslaw.com, rbalchum@bwslaw.com, mtran@bwslaw.com, mbarrett@bwslaw.com, Jbalchum@bwslaw.com, dbazzano@bwslaw.com, kberger@bwslaw.com, mbernacchi@bwslaw.com, dakemon@da.lacounty.gov, cthorpe@da.lacounty.gov, ejerez@da.lacounty.gov, dbrosky@da.lacounty.gov, blunsford@da.lacounty.gov, pkouch@da.lacounty.gov, jtaksar@da.lacounty.gov, ron.goodreau@yahoo.com, ronaldgoodreau@aol.com, estreet@da.lacounty.gov, mlee@da.lacounty.gov, rdematti@da.lacounty.gov, jonchung@da.lacounty.gov, alin@da.lacounty.gov, mmichelena@da.lacounty.gov, srebelis@da.lacounty.gov, jlink@da.lacounty.gov, mvellakk@da.lacounty.gov, jmccomb@smcgov.org, dmccloskey@smcgov.org, bmccartt@da.lacounty.gov, gdameron@da.lacounty.gov, hharpham@da.lacounty.gov, duckett.justice@gmail.com, kduckett@da.lacounty.gov, jfrost@smcgov.org, jgarden@da.lacounty.gov, nhearon@da.lacounty.gov, tpovah@smcgov.org, hborden@da.lacounty.gov, gmarin@da.lacounty.gov, ibaly@da.lacounty.gov, rarias@da.lacounty.gov, Vaenlle-rocha@da.lacounty.gov, mmurray@da.lacounty.gov, jcastano@da.lacounty.gov, kmeyer@da.lacounty.gov, mmclaughlin@smcgov.org, apellman@da.lacounty.gov, tpham@da.lacounty.gov, inightengale@da.lacounty.gov, jniedermann@da.lacounty.gov, dhoffman@da.lacounty.gov, ewakabay@da.lacounty, grai@da.lacounty.gov, bobchen@da.lacounty.gov, bchen@da.lacounty.gov, fdunnick@da.lacounty.gov, Lisette.suder@edcda.us, calbanese@da.lacounty.gov, jcolello@da.lacounty.gov, eratinof@da.lacounty.gov, eratinoff@da.lacounty.gov, jmcgrath@da.lacounty.gov, lavila@da.lacounty.gov, kenvonh@msn.com, kvonhelmolt@da.lacounty.gov, echon@da.lacounty.gov, Jwilson@smcgov.org, jchung@da.lacounty.gov, cfredgren@da.lacounty.gov, kta@da.lacounty.gov, sungchung@da.lacounty.gov, schung@da.lacounty.gov, mbean@da.lacounty.gov, twhitney@da.lacounty.gov, hyun@da.lacounty.gov, kabourezk@da.lacounty.gov, ialvarez@da.lacounty.gov, Michael.pizzuti@edcda.us, nhahn@smcgov.org, Cmeaher-walker@da.lacounty.gov, cwalker@da.lacounty.gov, cmeagher@da.lacounty.gov, fyoung@da.lacounty.gov, afitzgerald@smcgov.org, gapt@da.lacounty.gov, Christine.vonhelmolt@csulb.edu, cvonhelmolt@da.lacounty.gov, knishita@da.lacounty.gov, snavas@da.lacounty.gov, fnagle@kernda.org, carolyn.palumbo@yolocounty.org, jmarcano@kernda.org, gpearl@kernda.org, dmckillop@kernda.org, jallen@kernda.org, nilackie@kern.org, nlackie@kernda.org, kmarshall@kernda.org, bstallings@kernda.org, deanna.hays@yolocounty.org, csherman@kernda.org, lgarver@kernda.org, rchoi@kernda.org, frits.vanderhoek@yolocounty.org, btaconi@kernda.org, gkohler@kernda.org, lfields@kernda.org, cmcnutt@kernda.org, czimmer@kernda.org, gsatriano@da.lacounty.gov, mariaramirez@hotmail.com, mramirez@da.lacounty.gov, mdaniels@da.lacounty.gov, kchun@da.lacounty.gov, jmorris@da.lacounty.gov, pcagney@da.lacounty.gov, sfrank6576@aol.com, sfrankland@da.lacounty.gov, bschirn@da.lacounty.gov, rsherwood@da.lacounty.gov, spresby@da.lacounty.gov, mbaxter@da.lacounty.gov, lwong@da.lacounty.gov, ayochelson@da.lacounty.gov, tgomez@da.lacounty.gov, cbuckley@da.lacounty.gov, vcole@da.lacounty.gov, srandolph@da.lacounty.gov, ldery@da.lacounty.gov, ymassry@da.lacounty.gov, gjennings@da.lacounty.gov, hchun@da.lacounty.gov, langer@da.lacounty.gov, shassett@da.lacounty.gov, smire@da.lacounty.gov, gwright@da.lacounty.gov, ljkessner@da.lacounty.gov, Jcallahan@da.lacounty.gov, chum@da.lacounty.gov, mchung@da.lacounty.gov, jmejia@da.lacounty.gov, mgarcia@da.lacounty.gov, jharlan@da.lacounty.gov, mpiantanida@da.lacounty.gov, gsantiso@da.lacounty.gov, pmusso@da.lacounty.gov, nhochman@da.lacounty.gov, Vaenille-rocha@da.lacounty.gov, dfelizzatto@da.lacounty.gov, mhanisee@da.lacounty.gov, skatz@da.lacounty.gov, Jbrooke-castano@da.lacounty.gov, wfrayeh@da.lacounty.gov, jfoster@da.lacounty.gov,


jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov, jtillson@da.lacounty.gov, Mariaramirez65@hotmail.com, ilee@da.lacounty.gov, Cris.buckley@uci.edu, jwalker@da.lacounty.gov, cfrey@da.lacounty.gov, vrodriguez@dalacounty.gov, mckinney@da.lacounty.gov, jmckinney@da.lacounty.gov, kcady@da.lacounty.gov, jdsilva@da.lacounty.gov, amattson@da.lacounty.gov, chrisbaker@da.lacounty.gov, cnakao@da.lacounty.gov, jjohnson@da.lacounty.gov, kuyen@da.lacounty.gov, pkouch@da.alacounty.gov, shulefeld@da.lacounty.gov, mbeaart@da.lacounty.gov, bjdodd@dalacounty.gov, mcarrillo@da.lacounty.gov, aaguilar@da.lacounty.gov, awise@da.lacounty.gov, lorquiola@da.lacounty.gov, Kevin.s@lacounty.gov, kswartz@da.lacounty.gov, akozma@da.lacounty.gov, aadams@da.lacounty.gov, trichardson@da.lacounty.gov, timothy@lacounty.gov, sradmore@dal.lacounty.gov, wrosenthal@da.lacounty.gov, wkarfiol@lacounty.gov, cnajera@lacourt.org, mwindham@lacourt.org, dilacqua@da.lacounty.gov, jonathan@lacounty.gov, jarmour@dalacounty.gov, felicia@lacounty.gov, forman@da.laounty.gov, ryann.jorban@stfrancislaw.com, rgerber@dalcounty.gov, jcohn@da.lacounty.gov, julia@lacounty.gov, hjackson@da.lacounty.gov, sunita.popal@pepperdine.edu, spopal@da.lacounty.gov, dzygielbaum@da.lacounty.gov, mlewis@da.lacounty.gov, philglaviano@hotmail.com, pglaviano@da.lacounty.gov, thomaskrag@proton.me, tkrag@da.lacounty.gov, klynch@da.lacounty.gov, smrakich@da.lacounty.gov, cgaines@da.lacounty.gov, menomoto@da.lacounty.gov, cchaiyar@da.lacounty.gov, rdooley@da.lacounty.gov, glewin@da.lacounty.gov, ddelimon@gmail.com, mhaidar@da.lacounty.gov, thooks@da.lacounty.gov, wllanos@da.lacounty.gov, scarney@da.lacounty.gov, dwright@da.lacounty.gov, lholtz@da.lacounty.gov, dmartinez@da.lacounty.gov, dwooden@da.lacounty.gov, jgonzalez@da.lacounty.gov, dsyn@da.lacounty.gov, rchang@da.lacounty.gov, ncooper@da.lacounty.gov, akarkanen@da.lacounty.gov, khalligan@da.lacounty.gov, ralarcon@da.lacounty.gov, sstodel@da.lacounty.gov, dbarkhurst@da.lacounty.gov, tdecastro@da.lacounty.gov, dchau@da.lacounty.gov, vlittle@da.lacounty.gov, nflood@da.lacounty.gov, rrabbani@da.lacounty.gov, tmokayef@da.clacounty.gov, rrose@da.lacounty.gov, chenry@da.lacounty.gov, fsantoro@da.lacounty.gov, kstpierre@da.lacounty.gov, johnlwn@gmail.com, brian.jones@sen.ca.gov, erikandannaapperson@hotmail.com, dbengstib@da.lacounty.gov, Ying.sun@cdcr.ca.gov, pghaderi@counsel.lacounty.gov, rsaldana@counsel.lacounty.gov, ndavis-tinkham@counsel.lacounty.gov, tink4d1@gmail.com, brind0313@gmail.com, darrellltinkham@yahoo.com, ltolani@counsel.lacounty.gov, lester.tolnai@yahoo.com, rclester.tolnai71@yahoo.com, pmarshall@counsel.lacounty.gov, Adrienne Byers <abyers@counsel.lacounty.gov>, rcartwright@counsel.lacounty.gov, rwong@counsel.lacounty.gov, tfaughnan@counsel.lacounty.gov, tomfaughnan66@gmail.com, Jennifer <JLehman@counsel.lacounty.gov>, kmiles@counsel.lacounty.gov, rbaeza@counsel.lacounty.gov, rchastang@counsel.lacounty.gov, lcampo@counsel.lacounty.gov, msimon@counsel.lacounty.gov, emiller@counsel.lacounty.gov, elizabeth_dumais@yahoo.com, kaigrant19@yahoo.com, pmomon@yahoo.com, pbollinger@counsel.lacounty.gov, First District <firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>, secondistrict@bos.la.county.gov, "holly4assembly@gmail.com" <holly4assembly@gmail.com>, hmitchell@yahoo.com, hjmitchel@aol.com, Cindy <cchen@bos.lacounty.gov>, nadtinkham@gmail.com, holly@hollyjmitchell.com, rfleming-peters@counsel.lacounty.gov, Margaret Carter <mcarter@counsel.lacounty.gov>, njenkins@lacounty.gov, njenkins@counsel.lacounty.gov, jgonzalez@counsel.lacounty.gov, lowt@metro.net, Tlow77@gmail.com, ryanthurlow@yahoo.com, tlow@counsel.lacounty.gov, tina@jmoilco.com, tlow7@yahoo.com, econard@lacounty.gov, econard@counsel.lacounty.gov, andria.seo@disabilityrightsca.org, schan@counsel.lacounty.gov, eggerse@metro.net, eggers@counsel.lacounty.gov, mrolon@counsel.lacounty.gov, mirder@hotmail.com, doug@homealert.com, Alexandra Zuiderweg <AZuiderweg@counsel.lacounty.gov>, mruben@counsel.lacounty.gov, Caitlin Taylor <ctaylor@counsel.lacounty.gov>, "Holly J. Mitchell" <HollyJMitchell@bos.lacounty.gov>, Lindsey.P.Horvath@gmail.com, kmuir@counsel.lacounty.gov, CCRCMFLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCCILitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCTFLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCMCLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCORLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCIMLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, FCORTEZ@cdcr.gov, Jeff.macomber@cdcr.ca.gov, Jennifer.barretto@cdcr.ca.gov, Tammy.foss@cdcr.ca.gov, Diana.toche@cdcr.ca.gov, albert.lundeen@cdcr.ca.gov, Jennifer.neil@cdcr.ca.gov, Sarah.larson@cdcr.ca.gov, Ron.broomfield@cdcr.ca.gov, Amy.casias@cdcr.ca.gov, Jason.johnson@cdcr.ca.gov, Chris.chambers@cdcr.ca.gov, Stacy.lopez@cdcr.ca.gov, Niki.dhillon@cdcr.ca.gov, Jennifer.benavidez@cdcr.ca.gov, heather.bowlds@cdcr.ca.gov, Jared.lozano@cdcr.ca.gov, Derrick.marion@cdcr.ca.gov, David.chriss@cdcr.ca.gov, Sarina.calderon@cdcr.ca.gov, "elizabeth.hom@calbar.ca.gov" <elizabeth.hom@calbar.ca.gov>, johnna.sack@calbar.ca.gov, rocio.avalos@calbar.ca.gov, kristina.ramos@calbar.ca.gov, collin.grant@calbar.ca.gov, louisa.ayrapetyan@calbar.ca.gov, jennifer.stalvey@calbar.ca.gov, ken.nourse@calbar.ca.gov, summer.shelton@calbar.ca.gov, kirsten.galler@calbar.ca.gov, doan.nguyen@calbar.ca.gov, audrey.ching@calbar.ca.gov, laurie.gondreau@calbar.ca.gov, yun.xiang@calbar.ca.gov, mark@fresnocriminallawyer.com, abrahim.bagheri@calbar.ca.gov, carol.kiyotoki@calbar.ca.gov, andrew.vasicek@calbar.ca.gov, mayte.diaz@calbar.ca.gov, duncan.carling@calbar.ca.gov, chelsey.smith@calbar.ca.gov, suzanne.grandt@calbar.ca.gov, gerri.smith@calbar.ca.gov, peter.klivans@calbar.ca.gov, cindy.chan@calbar.ca.gov, teresa.ruano@calbar.ca.gov, patrice.vallier-glass@calbar.ca.gov, naji.khatib@calbar.ca.gov, awndrea.yeboah@calbar.ca.gov, christina.doell@calbar.ca.gov, enrique.zuniga@calbar.ca.gov, kimberly.anderson@calbar.ca.gov, brandon.stallings@calbar.ca.gov, Jose.cisneros@gmail.com, Jose.cisneros@sf.gov, pbarahona@yli.org, Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>, jmerchan@wdacs.lacounty.gov, info@wdacs.lacounty.gov, Arogant Hollywood <arogantmusicinc@gmail.com>, Alison Fairchild <fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com>, Arogant Hollywood <causeofaction43@gmail.com>, blacklawgenius@gmail.com, Alison Fairchild <alisonhfairchild@gmail.com>, Robert Luna <rluna@lasd.org>, rluna@lacounty.gov, atardy@lacounty.org,

jtorres@lasd.org, saloma@lacounty.org, llecrivain@lasd.org, bchase@lacounty.gov, rlewis@lacounty.gov, llecrivain@lacounty.org, jmeza@lasd.org, ned.harlan@doj.ca.gov, nishdeep.singh@doj.ca.gov, robert.bonta@doj.ca.gov, OPSChiefsOffice@doj.ca.gov, peter.halloran@doj.ca.gov, amyhhalloran@yahoo.com, peterhalloran@sbcglobal.net, alisha.carlile@doj.ca.gov, snpdragn@aol.com, acarlile@alum.wellesley.edu, concertina9@yahoo.com, alishastephen@yahoo.com.au, alehr17@u.washington.edu, girlygirl8384@aol.com, akeened@yahoo.com, lish34@hotmail.com, piccbodin@hotmail.com, lachapell_a@yahoo.com, alishaoku@yahoo.com, alisha.mai@gmail.com, alisha.marks@rogers.com, vincent.bonotto@doj.ca.gov, vbonotto@hotmail.com, glenn.pruden@doj.ca.gov, eyeless77@hotmail.com, daniel.olivas@doj.ca.gov, giam.nguyen@doj.ca.gov, chad.stegeman@doj.ca.gov, stephen.lew@doj.ca.gov, christopher.findley@doj.ca.gov, dennis.beck@doj.ca.gov, darrell.spence@doj.ca.gov, maggy.krell@doj.ca.gov, Elaine.tong@doj.ca.gov, hannah.calacsan@doj.ca.gov, mo.park@doj.ca.gov, dbuthler@lasuperiorcourt.org, dslayton@lasuperiorcourt.org, sclover@lasuperiorcourt.org, jmoses@lasuperiorcourt.org, ppenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, tpenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, chase.penrose@gmail.com, mackenzienicole@gmail.com, trishpenrose@att.net, amber.barnett@jud.ca.go, john.yee@jud.ca.gov, jenniejasperson@gmail.com, kelton.jasperson@gmail.com, cjasperson2@mindspring.com, judy.jasperson@att.net, randie.chance@doj.ca.gov, gavin.newsom@gov.ca.gov, gkennedy@alhambrapd.org, EWakabayashi@da.lacounty.gov, bdodd@da.lacounty.gov, hforman@da.lacounty.gov, ttokat@da.lacounty.gov, tmokayf@da.lacounty.gov, sradmore@da.lacounty.gov, andrade@earthlink.net, rgerber@da.lacounty.gov, vrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov, jlewin@da.lacounty.gov, atardy@lasd.org, dtran@alhambrapd.org, secondistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, dbengston@da.lacounty.gov, jragusa@alhambrafire.org, rembrahim@da.lacounty.gov, "rishnidanos516@gmail.com" <rishnidanos516@gmail.com>, prentice.hill@cdcr.ca.gov, jargusa@alhambrafire.org

KING AROGANT v. racist white devil nathan joseph hochman, et al [ANOTHER LEGAL WARNING! YOU RACIST WHITE AND LATIN DEVILS ARE GOING TO ALL GET SUED!) SEE NOW ATTACHED YOUTUBE VIDEO RECORDING THAT PROVES BY CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE THAT RACIALLY BIASED AND RACIALLY ANIMUS DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEYS RECKLESSLY CHARGED KING AROGANT FOR THREE CRIMES WITHOUT A SINGLE PIECE OF EVIDENCE!

STRAIGHT UP NATHAN JOSEPH! I HATE EVERY LAST ONE OF YOU RACIST, LAZY, LYING, AND LOSER CRIMINAL PROSECUTORS AND MAKE EVERY SINGLE ONE OF YOU, INCLUDING MARK WINDHAM, HAVE A SLOW AND PAINFUL DEATH FROM CANCER!

THIS WILL SOON BE YOU, NATHAN JOSEPH HOCHMAN, YOU WHITE DEVIL!



Case 2:24-cv-03351-DAD-CSK Document 2 Filed 12/03/24 Page 1 of 3

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Arogant Hollywood ,

CASE NO: 2:24-CV-03351-DAD-CSK

VS.

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL CASE

Jeffrey A. Macomber , et al. ,

TO: **Ashley Albiento, Jennifer Barretto, Dennis L. Beck, Jr, Jennifer Benavidez, Robert Andres Bonta, Ronald Broomfield, Elizabeth Byers, Christo Chambers, Yingchun Chen, Tammatha Foss, George Gascon, Peter Dwight Halloran, Jason D. Johnson, Cheryl Lyan Kaylor, Leo Ka Fong Lo, Jeffrey A. Macomber, Brian McGee, Bryce Miller, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson**

Defendant's Address: Racist WHITE DEVIL
Nathan Joseph Hochman
211 West Temple Street
Los Angeles , CA 90012

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to serve on:


Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106

an answer to the complaint which is served on you with this summons, **within 21 days after service of this summons on you**, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be taken against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. Any answer that you serve on the parties to this action must be filed with the Clerk of this Court within a reasonable period of time after service.

KEITH HOLLAND

F@#$ YOU AND THAT STUPID JUDICIAL OFFICER MARK WINDHAM. YOU CAN LOCK ME UP ALL YOU WANT, BUT YOU WILL ALL GET SUED AND YOU **WILL LOSE YOUR CASE, STUPID! LIKE YOU ALWAYS LOSE YOUR CASES, STUPID LOSERS! YOU DO REALIZE YOU HAVE LOST OVER 90% OF THE CASES YOU FILED AGAINST ME SINCE 2021! FOOLS! THUS, YOUR STUPID AND INCOMPETENT CRIMINAL PROSECUTORS HAVE ABOUT A TEN PERCENT CHANCE OF CONVICTING. YOU HAVE TO CHEAT TO WIN GA114055!**

OH, BY THE WAY, WHITE DEVIL NATHAN JOSEPH HOCHMAN AND ALL YOUR SOON-TO-BE SUED DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEYS. AROGANT PLAYBOY HOLLYWOOD'S MUSIC HAS BEEN DOING WELL SINCE HERELEASED A COVER SONG ON MARCH 28, 2025. THUS, YOU WILL BE SUED ADDITIONALLY FOR DERAILING AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S MUSIC CAREER AND DEFAMING HIS SOON-TO-BE FAMOUS NAME FOOLS! WHEN KING AROGANT BECOMES FAMOUS HE IS GOING TO EXPOSE YOUR ENTIRE DA OFFICE AND MAKE SURE HIS KRAZY AND PISSED OFF KING AROGANT FANS KNOW EXACTLY WHERE YOU ALL LIVE AND WORK! KING AROGANT WILL MAKE DOCUMENTARIES, TELEVISION SHOWS, AND FILMS TO EXPOSE YOU FOOLS, TARNISH YOUR DA LEGACY, AND EMBARRASS YOUR FAMILIES! HIS WORD!



SEE BELOW YOUTUBE VIDEO RECORDING PROVING BY CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE RACIALLY BIAS AND RACIALLY ANIMUS DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEYS FILED BOGUS CRIMINAL CHARGES AGAINST KING AROGANT WITHOUT A SINGLE PIECE OF EVIDENCE!

https://youtu.be/SdPpaoe9QTo?si=nyjjY9HyFQvCwF2k

ALL BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG ELECTRONIC MAIL EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE ATTACHED HERETO BELOW AS A GOOGLE DRIVE ATTACHMENT FILE!



AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq.
1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD.
PASADENA, CA 91106
Mobile: 206.471.1344
aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted
Spirit of Esquire
BLACK TRADE KING
FUTURE KING OF ENTERTAINMENT
BLACK DERIVATIVES KING



- Gmail - OFFICIAL COMPLAINT OF ALISON HEL…
- Gmail - people v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA1…
- Gmail - people v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA1…
- NOVEMBER 28, 2023 EMAIL THAT WAS NEVE…
- SHORT VERSION Gmail - people v. AROGANT …
- SHORT VERSION NOVEMBER 28, 2023 EMAIL …



Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com> Thu, May 8, 2025 at 8:10 PM
To: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com



Address not found

Your message wasn't delivered to **forman@da.laounty.gov** because the domain da.laounty.gov couldn't be found. Check for typos or unnecessary spaces and try again.

LEARN MORE

The response was:

```
DNS Error: DNS type 'mx' lookup of da.laounty.gov responded with code NXDOMAIN Domain name not found: da.laounty.gov For more information, go to https://support.google.com/mail/?p=BadRcptDomain
```

Final-Recipient: rfc822; forman@da.laounty.gov
Action: failed
Status: 5.1.2
Diagnostic-Code: smtp; DNS Error: DNS type 'mx' lookup of da.laounty.gov responded with code NXDOMAIN Domain name not found: da.laounty.gov For more information, go to https://support.google.com/mail/?p=BadRcptDomain
Last-Attempt-Date: Thu, 08 May 2025 20:10:06 -0700 (PDT)

noname
17K

Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com> Thu, May 8, 2025 at 8:10 PM
To: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com



Address not found

Your message wasn't delivered to **dtran@slhsmbrapd.org** because the domain slhsmbrapd.org couldn't be found. Check for typos or unnecessary spaces and try again.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq.
1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD.
PASADENA, CA 91106
Mobile: 206.471.1344
arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted
Spirit of Esquire

people of the state of california, et al v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD

Case No. . 25CJCM02162-01

Exhibit 9 is a true and correct copy of AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S electronic mail Gmail message he sent to numerous County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutors, California Department of Justice attorneys, and County of Los Angles Office County Counsel attorneys on May 9, 2025, at 5:39 PM.

Exhibit 9 proves by clear and convincing evidence that Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators all knew with 100% certainty and were fully aware that County of Los

---

EXHIBIT 9

Angeles District Attorney's Office deputy district attorney Brian MARK Rosenberg willfully, recklessly, maliciously, carelessly, wantonly, deliberately, knowingly, and callously violated California Penal Code § 148.5, California Government Code § 6200, and 18 United States Code § 242 by fabricating lies and making intentionally false statements to rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang that AROGANT HOLLYWOOD sent him electronic mail messages on November 28, 2023, February 13. 2024, and June 8, 2024.

Exhibit 9 proves by clear and convincing evidence that Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators all knew with 100% certainty and were fully aware that rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, carelessly, deliberately, knowingly, and callously created and authored a false police report that fabricated lies and made intentionally false statements that a copy of Brian MARK Rosenberg's brosenberg@da.lacounty.gov June 8, 2024 at 8:34 AM. electronic mail message was attached to the end of his city of Alhambra crime report.

Exhibit 9 proves by clear and convincing evidence that Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators all knew with 100% certainty and were fully aware that RACIST and BIASED County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutors willfully, recklessly, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, deliberately, maliciously, and callously filed criminal prosecutors filed frivolous counts 1, 2, and 3 without a single piece of evidence and entirely without probable cause.

Exhibit 9 proves by clear and convincing evidence that Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators all knew with 100% certainty and were fully aware that RACIST and BIASED County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutors willfully, recklessly, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, deliberately, maliciously, and callously violated State Bar of California Rules of Professional Conduct Rules 3.1, 3.3, and 3.4; California Business and Professions Code § 6068, and American Bar Association Models Rules of Professional Conduct

---

EXHIBIT 9

Rules 3.1, 3.3., and 3.4 by filing frivolous counts 1, 2, and 3 without a single piece of evidence and entirely without probable cause.

Exhibit 9 proves by clear and convincing evidence that there was no probable cause or leave basis for *Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators to utilize their under color of law abuse of judicial process to request that judicial officer MARK WINDHAM find AROGANT HOLLYWOOD in violation of his conditions of release after Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators were all electronically served clear and convincing evidence that AROGANT HOLLYWOOD was factually innocent of Counts 3, 4, and 5 of their frivolous and RACIST misdemeanor criminal complaint 25CJCM02162-01. And instead of following the law under State Bar of California Rules of Professional Conduct Rules 3.1, 3.3, and 3.4; California Business and Professions Code § 6068, and American Bar Association Models Rules of Professional Conduct Rules 3.1, 3.3., and 3.4 by dismissing counts 3, 4, and 5 on their own criminal motion but they all instead chose to commit reckless under color of law deceit by willfully, recklessly, maliciously, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, deliberately, and callously failing to inform, failing to disclose, and concealing from, and hiding from a Superior Court of California judicial officer that they were all in possession of entirely exculpatory evidence that proved AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S factual innocence by clear and convincing evidence.*

I declare, under penalty of perjury, under the laws and Constitution of California and the United States, that every word, sentence, paragraph, and page of the foregoing is true and accurate.

EXHIBIT 9

DATE: May 10, 2025

BY: Arogant Hollywood

Arogant Hollywood, *Esq.*
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106
Mobile: (206) 471-1344
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
Spirit of Esquire

King Arogant

EXHIBIT 9

Gmail

Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. WHITE DEVIL nathan joseph hochman, et al (SO WHAT I LOST MY BAIL NATHAN JOSEPH, KING AROGANT HAS CRACKED YOUR CASE, SEE YOU IN FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT SOON FOOLS!


98 messages


Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com> Fri, May 9, 2025 at 5:39 PM
To: tseki@alhambrapd.org, Area1@alhambrapd.org, gsepncer@alhambrapd.org, area2@alhambrapd.org, rsoriano@alhambrapd.org, area3@alhambrapd.org, rcastillo@alhambrapd.org, area4@alhambrapd.org, klaing@alhambrapd.org, dfuentes@alhambrapd.org, lgarcia@alhambraca.gov, gdiaz@alhambrapd.org, rrongavilla@alhambrapd.org, dtran@slhsmbrapd.org, mlee@alhambrapd.org, hreyes@alhambrapd.org, bchung@alhambrapd.org, luwan@cityofalhambra.org, klee@alhambraca.gov, rmaza@cityofalhambra.org, jmaloney@cityofalhambra.org, maloney@smmc.ca.gov, nwang@cityofalhambra.org, aandradestadler@gmail.com, adele.stadler@gmail.com, joestadler@ladwp.com, andrade@eaterhlink.net, aandradestadler@yahoo.com, jmontes@bwslaw.com, CABBOTT@bwslaw.com, baffrunti@bwslaw.com, bguerrero@bwslaw.com, gaker@bwslaw.com, danneet@bwslaw.com, maustin@bwslaw.com, rbalchum@bwslaw.com, mtran@bwslaw.com, mbarrett@bwslaw.com, Jbalchum@bwslaw.com, dbazzano@bwslaw.com, kberger@bwslaw.com, mbernacchi@bwslaw.com, dakemon@da.lacounty.gov, cthorpe@da.lacounty.gov, ejerez@da.lacounty.gov, dbrosky@da.lacounty.gov, blunsford@da.lacounty.gov, pkouch@da.lacounty.gov, jtaksar@da.lacounty.gov, ron.goodreau@yahoo.com, ronaldgoodreau@aol.com, estreet@da.lacounty.gov, mlee@da.lacounty.gov, rdematti@da.lacounty.gov, jonchung@da.lacounty.gov, alin@da.lacounty.gov, mmichelena@da.lacounty.gov, srebelis@da.lacounty.gov, jlink@da.lacounty.gov, mvellakk@da.lacounty.gov, jmccomb@smcgov.org, dmccloskey@smcgov.org, bmccartt@da.lacounty.gov, gdameron@da.lacounty.gov, hharpham@da.lacounty.gov, duckett.justice@gmail.com, kduckett@da.lacounty.gov, jfrost@smcgov.org, jgarden@da.lacounty.gov, nhearon@da.lacounty.gov, tpovah@smcgov.org, hborden@da.lacounty.gov, gmarin@da.lacounty.gov, ibaly@da.lacounty.gov, rarias@da.lacounty.gov, Vaenlle-rocha@da.lacounty.gov, mmurray@da.lacounty.gov, jcastano@da.lacounty.gov, kmeyer@da.lacounty.gov, mmclaughlin@smcgov.org, apellman@da.lacounty.gov, tpham@da.lacounty.gov, inightengale@da.lacounty.gov, jniedermann@da.lacounty.gov, dhoffman@da.lacounty.gov, ewakabay@da.lacounty, grai@da.lacounty.gov, bobchen@da.lacounty.gov, bchen@da.lacounty.gov, fdunnick@da.lacounty.gov, Lisette.suder@edcda.us, calbanese@da.lacounty.gov, jcolello@da.lacounty.gov, eratinof@da.lacounty.gov, eratinoff@da.lacounty.gov, jmcgrath@da.lacounty.gov, lavila@da.lacounty.gov, kenvonh@msn.com, kvonhelmolt@da.lacounty.gov, echon@da.lacounty.gov, Jwilson@smcgov.org, jchung@da.lacounty.gov, cfredgren@da.lacounty.gov, kta@da.lacounty.gov, sungchung@da.lacounty.gov, schung@da.lacounty.gov, mbean@da.lacounty.gov, twhitney@da.lacounty.gov, hyun@da.lacounty.gov, kabourezk@da.lacounty.gov, ialvarez@da.lacounty.gov, Michael.pizzuti@edcda.us, nhahn@smcgov.org, Cmeaher-walker@da.lacounty.gov, cwalker@da.lacounty.gov, cmeagher@da.lacounty.gov, fyoung@da.lacounty.gov, afitzgerald@smcgov.org, gapt@da.lacounty.gov, Christine.vonhelmolt@csulb.edu, cvonhelmolt@da.lacounty.gov, knishita@da.lacounty.gov, snavas@da.lacounty.gov, fnagle@kernda.org, carolyn.palumbo@yolocounty.org, jmarcano@kernda.org, gpearl@kernda.org, dmckillop@kernda.org, jallen@kernda.org, nilackie@kern.org, nlackie@kernda.org, kmarshall@kernda.org, bstallings@kernda.org, deanna.hays@yolocounty.org, csherman@kernda.org, lgarver@kernda.org, rchoi@kernda.org, frits.vanderhoek@yolocounty.org, btaconi@kernda.org, gkohler@kernda.org, lfields@kernda.org, cmcnutt@kernda.org, czimmer@kernda.org, gsatriano@da.lacounty.gov, mariaramirez@hotmail.com, mramirez@da.lacounty.gov, mdaniels@da.lacounty.gov, kchun@da.lacounty.gov, jmorris@da.lacounty.gov, pcagney@da.lacounty.gov, sfrank6576@aol.com, sfrankland@da.lacounty.gov, bschirn@da.lacounty.gov, rsherwood@da.lacounty.gov, spresby@da.lacounty.gov, mbaxter@da.lacounty.gov, lwong@da.lacounty.gov, ayochelson@da.lacounty.gov, tgomez@da.lacounty.gov, cbuckley@da.lacounty.gov, vcole@da.lacounty.gov, srandolph@da.lacounty.gov, ldery@da.lacounty.gov, ymassry@da.lacounty.gov, gjennings@da.lacounty.gov, hchun@da.lacounty.gov, langer@da.lacounty.gov, shassett@da.lacounty.gov, smire@da.lacounty.gov, gwright@da.lacounty.gov, ljkessner@da.lacounty.gov, Jcallahan@da.lacounty.gov, chum@da.lacounty.gov, mchung@da.lacounty.gov, jmejia@da.lacounty.gov, mgarcia@da.lacounty.gov, jharlan@da.lacounty.gov, mpiantanida@da.lacounty.gov, gsantiso@da.lacounty.gov, pmusso@da.lacounty.gov, nhochman@da.lacounty.gov, Vaenille-rocha@da.lacounty.gov, dfelizzatto@da.lacounty.gov, mhanisee@da.lacounty.gov, skatz@da.lacounty.gov, Jbrooke-castano@da.lacounty.gov, wfrayeh@da.lacounty.gov, jfoster@da.lacounty.gov, jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov, jtillson@da.lacounty.gov, Mariaramirez65@hotmail.com, ilee@da.lacounty.gov, Cris.buckley@uci.edu, jwalker@da.lacounty.gov, cfrey@da.lacounty.gov, vrodriguez@dalacounty.gov, mckinney@da.lacounty.gov, jmckinney@da.lacounty.gov, kcady@da.lacounty.gov, jdsilva@da.lacounty.gov, amattson@da.lacounty.gov, chrisbaker@da.lacounty.gov, cnakao@da.lacounty.gov, jjohnson@da.lacounty.gov, kuyen@da.lacounty.gov,

pkouch@da.alacounty.gov, shulefeld@da.lacounty.gov, mbeaart@da.lacounty.gov, bjdodd@dalacounty.gov, mcarrillo@da.lacounty.gov, aaguilar@da.lacounty.gov, awise@da.lacounty.gov, lorquiola@da.lacounty.gov, Kevin.s@lacounty.gov, kswartz@da.lacounty.gov, akozma@da.lacounty.gov, aadams@da.lacounty.gov, trichardson@da.lacounty.gov, timothy@lacounty.gov, sradmore@dal.lacounty.gov, wrosenthal@da.lacounty.gov, wkarfiol@lacounty.gov, cnajera@lacourt.org, mwindham@lacourt.org, dilacqua@da.lacounty.gov, jonathan@lacounty.gov, jarmour@dalacounty.gov, felicia@lacounty.gov, forman@da.laounty.gov, ryann.jorban@stfrancislaw.com, rgerber@dalcounty.gov, jcohn@da.lacounty.gov, julia@lacounty.gov, hjackson@da.lacounty.gov, sunita.popal@pepperdine.edu, spopal@da.lacounty.gov, dzygielbaum@da.lacounty.gov, mlewis@da.lacounty.gov, philglaviano@hotmail.com, pglaviano@da.lacounty.gov, thomaskrag@proton.me, tkrag@da.lacounty.gov, klynch@da.lacounty.gov, smrakich@da.lacounty.gov, cgaines@da.lacounty.gov, menomoto@da.lacounty.gov, cchaiyar@da.lacounty.gov, rdooley@da.lacounty.gov, glewin@da.lacounty.gov, ddelimon@gmail.com, mhaidar@da.lacounty.gov, thooks@da.lacounty.gov, wllanos@da.lacounty.gov, scarney@da.lacounty.gov, dwright@da.lacounty.gov, lholtz@da.lacounty.gov, dmartinez@da.lacounty.gov, dwooden@da.lacounty.gov, jgonzalez@da.lacounty.gov, dsyn@da.lacounty.gov, rchang@da.lacounty.gov, ncooper@da.lacounty.gov, akarkanen@da.lacounty.gov, khalligan@da.lacounty.gov, ralarcon@da.lacounty.gov, sstodel@da.lacounty.gov, dbarkhurst@da.lacounty.gov, tdecastro@da.lacounty.gov, dchau@da.lacounty.gov, vlittle@da.lacounty.gov, nflood@da.lacounty.gov, rrabbani@da.lacounty.gov, tmokayef@da.clacounty.gov, rrose@da.lacounty.gov, chenry@da.lacounty.gov, fsantoro@da.lacounty.gov, kstpierre@da.lacounty.gov, johnlwn@gmail.com, brian.jones@sen.ca.gov, erikandannaapperson@hotmail.com, dbengstib@da.lacounty.gov, Ying.sun@cdcr.ca.gov, pghaderi@counsel.lacounty.gov, rsaldana@counsel.lacounty.gov, ndavis-tinkham@counsel.lacounty.gov, tink4d1@gmail.com, brind0313@gmail.com, darrelltinkham@yahoo.com, ltolani@counsel.lacounty.gov, lester.tolnai@yahoo.com, rclester.tolnai71@yahoo.com, pmarshall@counsel.lacounty.gov, Adrienne Byers <abyers@counsel.lacounty.gov>, rcartwright@counsel.lacounty.gov, rwong@counsel.lacounty.gov, tfaughnan@counsel.lacounty.gov, tomfaughnan66@gmail.com, Jennifer <JLehman@counsel.lacounty.gov>, kmiles@counsel.lacounty.gov, rbaeza@counsel.lacounty.gov, rchastang@counsel.lacounty.gov, lcampo@counsel.lacounty.gov, msimon@counsel.lacounty.gov, emiller@counsel.lacounty.gov, elizabeth_dumais@yahoo.com, kaigrant19@yahoo.com, pmomon@yahoo.com, pbollinger@counsel.lacounty.gov, First District <firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>, secondistrict@bos.la.county.gov, "holly4assembly@gmail.com" <holly4assembly@gmail.com>, hmitchell@yahoo.com, hjmitchel@aol.com, Cindy <cchen@bos.lacounty.gov>, nadtinkham@gmail.com, holly@hollyjmitchell.com, rfleming-peters@counsel.lacounty.gov, Margaret Carter <mcarter@counsel.lacounty.gov>, njenkins@lacounty.gov, njenkins@counsel.lacounty.gov, jgonzalez@counsel.lacounty.gov, lowt@metro.net, Tlow77@gmail.com, ryanthurlow@yahoo.com, tlow@counsel.lacounty.gov, tina@jmoilco.com, tlow7@yahoo.com, econard@lacounty.gov, econard@counsel.lacounty.gov, andria.seo@disabilityrightsca.org, schan@counsel.lacounty.gov, eggerse@metro.net, eggers@counsel.lacounty.gov, mrolon@counsel.lacounty.gov, mirder@hotmail.com, doug@homealert.com, Alexandra Zuiderweg <AZuiderweg@counsel.lacounty.gov>, mruben@counsel.lacounty.gov, Caitlin Taylor <ctaylor@counsel.lacounty.gov>, "Holly J. Mitchell" <HollyJMitchell@bos.lacounty.gov>, Lindsey.P.Horvath@gmail.com, kmuir@counsel.lacounty.gov, CCRCMFLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCCILitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCTFLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCMCLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCORLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCIMLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, FCORTEZ@cdcr.gov, Jeff.macomber@cdcr.ca.gov, Jennifer.barretto@cdcr.ca.gov, Tammy.foss@cdcr.ca.gov, Diana.toche@cdcr.ca.gov, albert.lundeen@cdcr.ca.gov, Jennifer.neil@cdcr.ca.gov, Sarah.larson@cdcr.ca.gov, Ron.broomfield@cdcr.ca.gov, Amy.casias@cdcr.ca.gov, Jason.johnson@cdcr.ca.gov, Chris.chambers@cdcr.ca.gov, Stacy.lopez@cdcr.ca.gov, Niki.dhillon@cdcr.ca.gov, Jennifer.benavidez@cdcr.ca.gov, heather.bowlds@cdcr.ca.gov, Jared.lozano@cdcr.ca.gov, Derrick.marion@cdcr.ca.gov, David.chriss@cdcr.ca.gov, Sarina.calderon@cdcr.ca.gov, "elizabeth.hom@calbar.ca.gov" <elizabeth.hom@calbar.ca.gov>, johnna.sack@calbar.ca.gov, rocio.avalos@calbar.ca.gov, kristina.ramos@calbar.ca.gov, collin.grant@calbar.ca.gov, louisa.ayrapetyan@calbar.ca.gov, jennifer.stalvey@calbar.ca.gov, ken.nourse@calbar.ca.gov, summer.shelton@calbar.ca.gov, kirsten.galler@calbar.ca.gov, doan.nguyen@calbar.ca.gov, audrey.ching@calbar.ca.gov, laurie.gondreau@calbar.ca.gov, yun.xiang@calbar.ca.gov, mark@fresnocriminallawyer.com, abrahim.bagheri@calbar.ca.gov, carol.kiyotoki@calbar.ca.gov, andrew.vasicek@calbar.ca.gov, mayte.diaz@calbar.ca.gov, duncan.carling@calbar.ca.gov, chelsey.smith@calbar.ca.gov, suzanne.grandt@calbar.ca.gov, gerri.smith@calbar.ca.gov, peter.klivans@calbar.ca.gov, cindy.chan@calbar.ca.gov, teresa.ruano@calbar.ca.gov, patrice.vallier-glass@calbar.ca.gov, naji.khatib@calbar.ca.gov, awndrea.yeboah@calbar.ca.gov, christina.doell@calbar.ca.gov, enrique.zuniga@calbar.ca.gov, kimberly.anderson@calbar.ca.gov, brandon.stallings@calbar.ca.gov, Jose.cisneros@gmail.com, Jose.cisneros@sf.gov, pbarahona@yli.org, Arogant Hollywood <arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>, jmerchan@wdacs.lacounty.gov, info@wdacs.lacounty.gov, Arogant Hollywood <arogantmusicinc@gmail.com>, Alison Fairchild <fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com>, Arogant Hollywood <causeofaction43@gmail.com>, blacklawgenius@gmail.com, Alison Fairchild <alisonhfairchild@gmail.com>, Robert Luna <rluna@lasd.org>, rluna@lacounty.gov, atardy@lacounty.org, jtorres@lasd.org, saloma@lacounty.org, llecrivain@lasd.org, bchase@lacounty.gov, rlewis@lacounty.gov, llecrivain@lacounty.org, jmeza@lasd.org, ned.harlan@doj.ca.gov, nishdeep.singh@doj.ca.gov, robert.bonta@doj.ca.gov, OPSChiefsOffice@doj.ca.gov, peter.halloran@doj.ca.gov, amyhhalloran@yahoo.com, peterhalloran@sbcglobal.net, alisha.carlile@doj.ca.gov, snpdragn@aol.com, acarlile@alum.wellesley.edu, concertina9@yahoo.com,

alishastephen@yahoo.com.au, alehr17@u.washington.edu, girlygirl8384@aol.com, akeened@yahoo.com, lish34@hotmail.com, piccbodin@hotmail.com, lachapell_a@yahoo.com, alishaoku@yahoo.com, alisha.mai@gmail.com, alisha.marks@rogers.com, vincent.bonotto@doj.ca.gov, vbonotto@hotmail.com, glenn.pruden@doj.ca.gov, eyeless77@hotmail.com, daniel.olivas@doj.ca.gov, giam.nguyen@doj.ca.gov, chad.stegeman@doj.ca.gov, stephen.lew@doj.ca.gov, christopher.findley@doj.ca.gov, dennis.beck@doj.ca.gov, darrell.spence@doj.ca.gov, maggy.krell@doj.ca.gov, Elaine.tong@doj.ca.gov, hannah.calacsan@doj.ca.gov, mo.park@doj.ca.gov, dbuthler@lasuperiorcourt.org, dslayton@lasuperiorcourt.org, sclover@lasuperiorcourt.org, jmoses@lasuperiorcourt.org, ppenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, tpenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, chase.penrose@gmail.com, mackenzienicole@gmail.com, trishpenrose@att.net, amber.barnett@jud.ca.go, john.yee@jud.ca.gov, jenniejasperson@gmail.com, kelton.jasperson@gmail.com, cjasperson2@mindspring.com, judy.jasperson@att.net, randie.chance@doj.ca.gov, gavin.newsom@gov.ca.gov, gkennedy@alhambrapd.org, EWakabayashi@da.lacounty.gov, bdodd@da.lacounty.gov, hforman@da.lacounty.gov, ttokat@da.lacounty.gov, tmokayf@da.lacounty.gov, sradmore@da.lacounty.gov, andrade@earthlink.net, rgerber@da.lacounty.gov, vrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov, jlewin@da.lacounty.gov, atardy@lasd.org, dtran@alhambrapd.org, secondistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, dbengston@da.lacounty.gov, jragusa@alhambrafire.org, rembrahim@da.lacounty.gov, "rishnidanos516@gmail.com" <rishnidanos516@gmail.com>, prentice.hill@cdcr.ca.gov, jargusa@alhambrafire.org, jbinnquist@cityofalhambra.org, wkarfiol@da.lacounty.gov, "sdominguez@da.lacounty.gov" <sdominguez@da.lacounty.gov>, akarkanen@da.lacounty

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. WHITE DEVIL nathan joseph hochman, et al (SO WHAT I LOST MY BAIL NATHAN JOSEPH, KING AROGANT HAS CRACKED YOUR CASE, SEE YOU IN FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT SOON FOOLS!





Criminal Case Access

To ensure Criminal Case Access remains available to all court users throughout the business day, the Court is implementing reCAPTCHA verification to Criminal Case Access from 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. daily. This reCAPTCHA verification validates access for individual requests. reCAPTCHA verification will be disabled after hours during periods of low website traffic.

Case Information for 25CJCM02162-01

Print | New Search

Case Number	Defendant ID	Filing Date
25CJCM02162-01	01	4/21/2025
Arrest Date	**Filing Courthouse**	**Case Type**
4/17/2025	Clara Shortridge Foltz Criminal Justice Center	Misdemeanor
		Case Title
		The People of the State of California vs. Hollywood, Arogant


Charges | **Parties** | Proceedings | Bail | Sentencing | Events | Register of Actions

4 record(s). Type a keyword to filter.

Name	Party Type
The People of the State of California	Plaintiff
Deputy District Attorney	• Attorney for The People of the State of California • Deputy District Attorney
Willow Leah Rosenthal	• Attorney for The People of the State of California • Deputy District Attorney
Arogant Hollywood	Defendant

Privacy Statement | Disclaimer | Employment | ADA | Holidays | Comment on our Website

Copyright © 2025 Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles



ONLINE SERVICES

Criminal Case Access

To ensure Criminal Case Access remains available to all court users throughout the business day, the Court is implementing reCAPTCHA verification to Criminal Case Access from 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. daily. This reCAPTCHA verification validates access for individual requests. reCAPTCHA verification will be disabled after hours during periods of low website traffic.

Case Information for 25CJCM02162-01 Print New Search

Case Number 25CJCM02162-01	**Defendant ID** 01	**Filing Date** 4/21/2025
Arrest Date 4/17/2025	**Filing Courthouse** Clara Shortridge Foltz Criminal Justice Center	**Case Type** Misdemeanor
		Case Title The People of the State of California vs Hollywood, Arogant

Charges Parties Proceedings **Bail** Sentencing Events Register of Actions


Custody Status


Date	Type	Group Type
5/7/2025	Warrant Bail Set	By Warrant
5/1/2025	Remains Remanded	By Case
4/25/2025	Remains Remanded	By Case
4/25/2025	Remanded to Custody	By Case
4/21/2025	In Custody	By Case
4/21/2025	Remanded to Custody	By Case

Bonds Information

Bond Number	Type	Amount	Court Date	Company	Status
SV505465252	Surety Bond	$30,000.00	5/16/2025	Aladdin Bail Bonds	5/7/2025: Bond Forfeited

Privacy Statement | Disclaimer | Employment | ADA | Holidays | Comment on our Website Copyright © 2025 Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles


THE SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
Home
Online Services
Forms, Filings & Files
Self-Help
Divisions
Jury
General Info
ONLINE SERVICES
Criminal Case Access
To ensure Criminal Case Access remains available to all court users throughout the business day, the Court is implementing reCAPTCHA verification to Criminal Case Access from 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. daily. This reCAPTCHA verification validates access for individual requests. reCAPTCHA verification will be disabled after hours during periods of low website traffic.
Case Information for 25CJCM02162-01
Print
New Search
Case Number
25CJCM02162-01
Defendant ID
01
Filing Date
4/21/2025
Arrest Date
4/17/2025
Filing Courthouse
Clara Shortridge Foltz Criminal Justice Center
Case Type
Misdemeanor
Case Title
The People of the State of California vs. Hollywood, Arrogant
Charges
Parties
Proceedings
Bail
Sentencing
Events
Register of Actions
Sentencing
No Sentencing Information
Privacy Statement
Disclaimer
Employment
ADA
Holidays
Comment on our Website
Copyright © 2025 Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles



Criminal Case Access

To ensure Criminal Case Access remains available to all court users throughout the business day, the Court is implementing reCAPTCHA verification to Criminal Case Access from 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. daily. This reCAPTCHA verification validates access for individual requests; reCAPTCHA verification will be disabled after hours during periods of low website traffic.

Case Information for 25CJCM02162-01

Print | New Search

Case Number	Defendant ID	Filing Date
25CJCM02162-01	01	4/21/2025
Arrest Date	**Filing Courthouse**	**Case Type**
4/17/2025	Clara Shortridge Foltz Criminal Justice Center	Misdemeanor
		Case Title
		The People of the State of California vs. Hollywood, Arogant


Charges | Parties | Proceedings | Bail | Sentencing | **Events** | Register of Actions

The Events tab only displays search warrants and non guilty pleas. (See the Charges tab for guilty pleas)

1 record(s). Type a keyword to filter

Date	Event Type	Additional Information
4/21/2025	Plea of Not Guilty to Complaint	• Charge 001: PC602(o)-M Trespass: Refuse to Leave Property • Charge 002: PC166(a)(4)-M Criminal Contempt-Disobeying Court Order • Charge 003: PC166(a)(4)-M Criminal Contempt-Disobeying Court Order • Charge 004: PC166(a)(4)-M Criminal Contempt-Disobeying Court Order • Charge 005: PC653m(b)-M Annoying Repeated Calls

Privacy Statement | Disclaimer | Employment | ADA | Holidays | Comment on our Website Copyright © 2025 Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles



Criminal Case Access

To ensure Criminal Case Access remains available to all court users throughout the business day, the Court is implementing reCAPTCHA verification to Criminal Case Access from 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. daily. This reCAPTCHA verification validates access for individual requests. reCAPTCHA verification will be disabled after hours during periods of low website traffic.

Case Information for 25CJCM02162-01

Print | New Search

Case Number: 25CJCM02162-01
Defendant ID: 01
Filing Date: 4/21/2025
Arrest Date: 4/17/2025
Filing Courthouse: Clara Shortridge Foltz Criminal Justice Center
Case Type: Misdemeanor
Case Title: The People of the State of California vs. Hollywood, Arogant

Charges | Parties | Proceedings | Bail | Sentencing | Bonds | Register of Actions

The Register of Actions tab only contains past proceedings, non guilty pleas, and search warrants.

8 record(s). Type a keyword to filter.


Date	Description	Additional Information
5/7/2025	Further Proceedings - Held - Proceedings	(Clara Shortridge Foltz Criminal Justice Center Dept. - 45)
5/1/2025	Pretrial Hearing - Held - Proceedings	(Clara Shortridge Foltz Criminal Justice Center Dept. - 46)
4/25/2025	Pretrial Hearing - Held - Continued by Court	(Clara Shortridge Foltz Criminal Justice Center Dept. - 46) Day 4 of 30. BKG 8999999
4/25/2025	Pretrial Hearing - Held - Proceedings	(Clara Shortridge Foltz Criminal Justice Center Dept. - 48)
4/21/2025	Arraignment and Plea - Held - Proceedings	(Clara Shortridge Foltz Criminal Justice Center Dept. - 48) • Charge 001: PC602(o)-M Trespass: Refuse to Leave Property • Charge 002: PC166(a)(4)-M

Privacy Statement | Disclaimer | Employment | ADA | Holidays | Comment on our Website | Copyright © 2025 Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles

IN FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT NATHAN JOSEPH HOCHMAN DO NOT TRY TO SAY THAT YOU DID NOT KNOW WHAT WAS GOING ON! JUST LOOK AT THE IMAGES ATTACHED ABOVE IN YOUR FRIVOLOUS WITHOUT ANY JURISDICTION CITY OF LOS ANGELES CASE NUMBER 25CJCM02162-01. I DO NOT CARE IF YOUR ATTORNEYS YOU PUT ON ME NATHAN JOSEPH HAVE BEEN ATTORNEYS FOR 40 YEARS. KING AROGANT IS A LAW LEGEND

AND THE BEST THERE EVER WAS! OH, AND KING AROGANT IS MORE INTELLIGENT THAN ALL YOUR ATTORNEYS. ROSENTHAL/KARIFIOL. THE LAW SCHOOL WILLOW ATTENDED IS NOT EVEN IN THE TOP 50 IN THE NATION, THUS HER JD IS WORTHLESS! IF KING AROGANT WENT TO LAW SCHOOL, HE WOULD ATTEND YALE, STANFORD, UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO, OR DUKE (ALL TOP FIVE LAW SCHOOLS IN THE UNITED STATES!)

I SENT YOU A GIFT, MR. WHITE DEVIL NATHAN JOSEPH HOCHMAN!



INSIDE IS A PAPER COPY OF ALL FOUR EMAILS BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG LIED HE RECEIVED FROM KING AROGANT ON NOVEMBER 28, 2023, FEBRUARY 13, 2024, AND JUNE 8, 2024! INSIDE IS A SANDISK 256 GB USB FLASH DRIVE THAT CONTAINS ON IT THE FOLLOWING:

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq.
1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD.
PASADENA, CA 91106
Mobile: 206.471.1344
aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted
Spirit of Esquire

people of the state of california, et al v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD

Case No. . 25CJCM02162-01

Exhibit 10 is a true and correct copy of AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S electronic mail Gmail message he sent to numerous County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutors, California Department of Justice attorneys, and County of Los Angles Office County Counsel attorneys on May 9, 2025, at 6:28 PM.

Exhibit 10 proves by clear and convincing evidence that Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators all knew with 100% certainty and were fully aware that County of Los

---

EXHIBIT 10

Angeles District Attorney's Office deputy district attorney Brian MARK Rosenberg willfully, recklessly, maliciously, carelessly, wantonly, deliberately, knowingly, and callously violated California Penal Code § 148.5, California Government Code § 6200, and 18 United States Code § 242 by fabricating lies and making intentionally false statements to rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang that AROGANT HOLLYWOOD sent him electronic mail messages on November 28, 2023, February 13. 2024, and June 8, 2024.

Exhibit 10 proves by clear and convincing evidence that Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators all knew with 100% certainty and were fully aware that rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, carelessly, deliberately, knowingly, and callously created and authored a false police report that fabricated lies and made intentionally false statements that a copy of Brian MARK Rosenberg's brosenberg@da.lacounty.gov June 8, 2024 at 8:34 AM. electronic mail message was attached to the end of his city of Alhambra crime report.

Exhibit 10 proves by clear and convincing evidence that Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators all knew with 100% certainty and were fully aware that RACIST and BIASED County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutors willfully, recklessly, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, deliberately, maliciously, and callously filed criminal prosecutors filed frivolous counts 1, 2, and 3 without a single piece of evidence and entirely without probable cause.

Exhibit 10 proves by clear and convincing evidence that Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators all knew with 100% certainty and were fully aware that RACIST and BIASED County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutors willfully, recklessly, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, deliberately, maliciously, and callously violated State Bar of California Rules of Professional Conduct Rules 3.1, 3.3, and 3.4; California Business and Professions Code § 6068, and American Bar Association Models Rules of Professional Conduct

---

EXHIBIT 10

Rules 3.1, 3.3., and 3.4 by filing frivolous counts 1, 2, and 3 without a single piece of evidence and entirely without probable cause.

Exhibit 10 proves by clear and convincing evidence that there was no probable cause or leave basis for *Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators to utilize their under color of law abuse of judicial process to request that judicial officer MARK WINDHAM find AROGANT HOLLYWOOD in violation of his conditions of release after Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators were all electronically served clear and convincing evidence that AROGANT HOLLYWOOD was factually innocent of Counts 3, 4, and 5 of their frivolous and RACIST misdemeanor criminal complaint 25CJCM02162-01. And instead of following the law under State Bar of California Rules of Professional Conduct Rules 3.1, 3.3, and 3.4; California Business and Professions Code § 6068, and American Bar Association Models Rules of Professional Conduct Rules 3.1, 3.3., and 3.4 by dismissing counts 3, 4, and 5 on their own criminal motion but they all instead chose to commit reckless under color of law deceit by willfully, recklessly, maliciously, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, deliberately, and callously failing to inform, failing to disclose, and concealing from, and hiding from a Superior Court of California judicial officer that they were all in possession of entirely exculpatory evidence that proved AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S factual innocence by clear and convincing evidence.*

I declare, under penalty of perjury, under the laws and Constitution of California and the United States, that every word, sentence, paragraph, and page of the foregoing is true and accurate.

EXHIBIT 10

DATE: May 10, 2025

BY: Arogant Hollywood

Arogant Hollywood, *Esq.*
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106
Mobile: (206) 471-1344
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
Spirit of Esquire

King Arogant

EXHIBIT 10


Gmail **Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>**

EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE SERVED UPON YOU ALL HEREIN (FRIVOLOUS CITY OF LOS ANGELES NAMED COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DA CASE NUMBER: 25CJCM02162-01


1 message

Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com> Fri, May 9, 2025 at 6:28 PM
To: wkarfiol@da.lacounty.gov, "sdominguez@da.lacounty.gov" <sdominguez@da.lacounty.gov>, akarkanen@da.lacounty.gov, nhochman@da.lacounty.gov, Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>, mmurray@da.lacounty.gov, jjohnson@da.lacounty.gov, cnakao@da.lacounty.gov, prentice.hill@cdcr.ca.gov, gkennedy@alhambrapd.org, cdonato@alhambrapd.org, Alison Fairchild <fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com>, Alison Fairchild <alisonhfairchild@gmail.com>

EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE SERVED UPON YOU ALL HEREIN (FRIVOLOUS CITY OF LOS ANGELES NAMED COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DA CASE NUMBER: 25CJCM02162-01



THIS WILL SOON BE YOU! YOU STUPID AND DUMB RACIST WHITE DEVIL WILLOW! SEE YOU ON MAY 12, 2025, WHEN YOUR FRIVOLOUS COUNTS 3, 4, AND 5 WILL BE DISMISSED!



Case 2:24-cv-03351-DAD-CSK Document 2 Filed 12/03/24 Page 1 of 3

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Arogant Hollywood , **CASE NO: 2:24-CV-03351-DAD-CSK**

vs. **SUMMONS IN A CIVIL CASE**

Jeffrey A. Macomber , et al. ,

TO: **Ashley Albiento, Jennifer Barretto, Dennis L. Beck, Jr, Jennifer Benavidez, Robert Andres Bonta, Ronald Broomfield, Elizabeth Byers, Christo Chambers, Yingchun Chen, Tammatha Foss, George Gascon, Peter Dwight Halloran, Jason D. Johnson, Cheryl Lynn Kaylor, Leo Ka Fong Lo, Jeffrey A. Macomber, Brian McGee, Bryce Miller, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson**

RACIST WHITE DEVIL

Defendant's Address: Willow Leah Rosenthal

210 West Temple Street

Suite 17th Floor

Los Angeles, CA 90012

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to serve on:

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106

an answer to the complaint which is served on you with this summons, **within 21 days after service of this summons on you**, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be taken against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. Any answer that you serve on the parties to this action must be filed with the Clerk of this Court within a reasonable period of time after service.

KEITH HOLLAND

SEE BELOW ATTACHED YOUTUBE VIDEO RECORDING PROVING BY CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE THAT RACIST AND BIASED COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES CRIMINAL PROSECUTOR BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG LIED THAT HE RECEIVED EMAILS FROM KING AROGANT ON NOVEMBER 28, 2023, AND FEBRUARY 13. 2024 AND JUNE 8, 2024!

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SdPpaoe9QTo&t=698s

COUNTS 3, 4, AND 5 ARE GOING TO BE DISMISSED STUPID! I WILL SEE YOU FOOLS BACK IN SUPERIOR COURT IN ALHAMBRA SUPERIOR COURT!

- Gmail - OFFICIAL COMPLAINT OF ALISON HELEN …
- Gmail - people v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA1140…
- Gmail - people v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA1140…
- NOVEMBER 28, 2023 EMAIL THAT WAS NEVER EM…
- SHORT VERSION Gmail - people v. AROGANT HOLL…
- SHORT VERSION NOVEMBER 28, 2023 EMAIL THA…



AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq.
1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD.
PASADENA, CA 91106
Mobile: 206.471.1344
arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted
Spirit of Esquire
BLACK TRADE KING
FUTURE KING OF ENTERTAINMENT
BLACK DERIVATIVES KING



King
Arogant

Case Information for 25CJCM02162-01

Print | New Search

Case Number
25CJCM02162-01

Defendant ID
01

Filing Date
4/21/2025

Arrest Date
4/17/2025

Filing Courthouse
Clara Shortridge Foltz Criminal Justice Center

Case Type
Misdemeanor

Case Title
The People of the State of California vs. Hollywood, Arogant

Charges

Parties

Proceedings

Bail

Sentencing

Events

Register of Actions

The Charges tab does not display non guilty pleas. Please check the Events tab for non guilty pleas.

5 record(s). Type a keyword to filter.

Count	Charge Statute	Plea	Last Disposition	Notes
003	PC166(a)(4)-M Criminal Contempt-Disobeying Court Order		6/25/2025 - Dismissed 1385 PC	**Offense Date**: 6/8/2024
004	PC166(a)(4)-M Criminal Contempt-Disobeying Court Order		6/25/2025 - Dismissed 1385 PC	**Offense Date**: 6/8/2024
005	PC653m(b)-M Annoying Repeated Calls		6/25/2025 - Dismissed 1385 PC	**Offense Date**: 6/8/2024
001	PC602(o)-M Trespass: Refuse		6/25/2025 - Dismissed 1385	**Offense Date**:

7 record(s). Type a keyword to filter.

Name	Party Type
The People of the State of California	Plaintiff
Deputy District Attorney	• Attorney for The People of the State of California • Deputy District Attorney
Willow Leah Rosenthal	• Attorney for The People of the State of California • Deputy District Attorney
Aviance L Logan	• Attorney for The People of the State of California • Deputy District Attorney
Arogant Hollywood	Defendant
Julianne Prescop	• Attorney for Arogant Hollywood • Deputy Public Defender

Future Proceedings

There are no future events for this case

Past Proceedings

Date	Time	Type	Result	Location	Department
06/25/2025	08:30AM	Placement Hearing	Held - Proceedings	Hollywood Courthouse	Department 213
06/10/2025	08:30AM	1368 PC Competency Hearing	Held - Proceedings	Hollywood Courthouse	Department 213
05/27/2025	08:30AM	1368 PC Competency Hearing	Held - Proceedings	Hollywood Courthouse	Department 213
05/27/2025	08:30AM	1368 PC Competency Hearing	Held - Proceedings	Hollywood Courthouse	Department 213
05/12/2025	08:30AM	Nunc Pro Tunc Order Hearing	Not Held - Other Proceedings	Clara Shortridge Foltz Criminal Justice Center	Dept. - 46
05/12/2025	08:30AM	Bench Warrant Hearing	Held - Proceedings	Clara Shortridge Foltz Criminal Justice Center	Dept. - 45
05/07/2025	08:30AM	Further Proceedings	Held - Proceedings	Clara Shortridge Foltz Criminal Justice Center	Dept. - 45
05/01/2025	08:30AM	Pretrial Hearing	Held - Proceedings	Clara Shortridge Foltz Criminal Justice Center	Dept. - 46
04/25/2025	08:30AM	Pretrial Hearing	Held - Continued by Court	Clara Shortridge Foltz Criminal Justice Center	Dept. - 46
04/25/2025	08:30AM	Pretrial Hearing	Held - Proceedings	Clara Shortridge Foltz Criminal Justice Center	Dept. - 48
				Clara	

04/21/2025	08:30AM	Arraignment and Plea	Held - Proceedings	Shortridge Foltz Criminal Justice Center	Dept. - 48

Custody Status

Date	Type	Group Type
6/25/2025	Released	By Case
5/27/2025	Remains Remanded	By Case
5/12/2025	Remanded to Custody	By Case
5/7/2025	Warrant Bail Set	By Warrant
5/1/2025	Remains Remanded	By Case
4/25/2025	Remains Remanded	By Case
4/25/2025	Remanded to Custody	By Case
4/21/2025	In Custody	By Case
4/21/2025	Remanded to Custody	By Case

Bonds Information

Bond Number	Type	Amount	Court Date	Company	Status
SV505465252	Surety	$30,000.00	5/16/2025	Aladdin Bail	5/12/2025: Bond Forfeited Vacated/

Sentencing

No Sentencing Information.

The Events tab only displays search warrants and non guilty pleas. (See the Charges tab for guilty pleas)

1 record(s). Type a keyword to filter.

Date	Event Type	Additional Information
4/21/2025	Plea of Not Guilty to Complaint	• Charge 001: PC602(o)-M Trespass: Refuse to Leave Property • Charge 002: PC166(a)(4)-M Criminal Contempt-Disobeying Court Order • Charge 003: PC166(a)(4)-M Criminal Contempt-Disobeying Court Order

The Register of Actions tab only contains past proceedings, non guilty pleas, and search warrants.

12 record(s). Type a keyword to filter.

Date	Description	Additional Information
6/25/2025	Placement Hearing - Held - Proceedings	(Hollywood Courthouse Department 213) CHS Report re: GD assessment
6/10/2025	1368 PC Competency Hearing - Held - Proceedings	(Hollywood Courthouse Department 213)
5/27/2025	1368 PC Competency Hearing - Held - Proceedings	(Hollywood Courthouse Department 213)
5/27/2025	1368 PC Competency Hearing - Held - Proceedings	(Hollywood Courthouse Department 213)
5/12/2025	Nunc Pro Tunc Order Hearing - Not Held - Other Proceedings	(Clara Shortridge Foltz Criminal Justice Center Dept. - 46)
5/12/2025	Bench Warrant Hearing - Held - Proceedings	(Clara Shortridge Foltz Criminal Justice Center Dept. - 45)
	Further Proceedings - Held -	(Clara Shortridge Foltz Criminal

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

Menu

Family Law Case Access

You can get a summary of your family law case. All you need is your case number.

Case Information | Register Of Actions | FUTURE HEARINGS | PARTY INFORMATION | Documents Filed | Past Proceedings

CASE INFORMATION: 23PDRO01713

Case Title: ROSENBERG, BRIAN MARK VS HOLLYWOOD, AROGANT

Filing Courthouse: Pasadena Courthouse

Filing Date: 11/14/2023

Case Type: Civil Harassment Prevention (General Jurisdiction)

Status: Statistical Disposition on 12/20/2023

Click here to access document images for this case.

If this link fails, you may go to the Case Document Images site and search using the case number displayed on this page

Print New Search

FUTURE HEARINGS

Case Information | Register Of Actions | **FUTURE HEARINGS** | PARTY INFORMATION | Documents Filed | Past Proceedings

PARTY INFORMATION

Case Information | Register Of Actions | FUTURE HEARINGS | **PARTY INFORMATION** | Documents Filed | Past Proceedings

HOLLYWOOD AROGANT Respondent

ROSENBERG BRIAN MARK Petitioner

DOCUMENTS FILED

Case Information | Register Of Actions | FUTURE HEARINGS | PARTY INFORMATION | **Documents Filed** | Past Proceedings

12/20/2023	Minute Order	Filed by
12/20/2023	Restraining Order After Hearing (Form 130)	Filed by Petitioner
12/12/2023	Proof of Service	Filed by Petitioner
12/4/2023	Minute Order	Filed by
12/4/2023	Notice - Hearing & Order on Reissuance TRO (Form 116)	Filed by Petitioner
12/4/2023	Request to Continue and Reissue TRO (Form 115)	Filed by Petitioner
11/14/2023	Civil Case Cover Sheet	Filed by
11/14/2023	Notice - Court Hearing (Form 109)	Filed by Petitioner
11/14/2023	Petition - Civil Harassment (violence)	Filed by Petitioner
11/14/2023	Temporary Restraining Order (Form 110)	Filed by Petitioner

PROCEEDINGS HELD

Copyright © 2025 Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles

November 27, 2023

DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF VERBAL THREAT AND HARRASMENT

I, THOMAS GUZMAN-SANCHEZ, declare as follows:

I am a member of the Los Angeles Superior Court Expert Witness Panel.

On July 21, 2023 I was appointed to conduct video forensic lab services for the defense (Arrogant Hollywood Case #GA114055). This was for lab services needed for Meta data analysis and video clarification. The appointment approved twenty (20) hours. I requested a bit-to-bit copy of the original video footage from the DDA Mr. Rosenberg on a phone call on August 29 2023. I received a thumb drive prepared by the DDA with a converted version of the video files I requested. This was unusable due to the fact that these video files had been altered. I again requested the copy of the original files. I did extensive analysis of the 911 phone calls, victim declaration phone call and bodycam files that were provided on the DDA thumb drive. I still needed the original video files, which I never received to complete the appointment for defense. I was in constant communication with Mr. Joel Wyenn, P.I. for the defendant. On October 17, 2023 I received call from Mr. Wyenn notifying me that the Arrogant Hollywood case was concluded. I was told he had pled guilty and was sentenced.

On October 19, 2023 at 12:17 AM I received Text 1 from Mr. Hollywood (626-755-6442).

> You're a joke expert. I will do my own video analysis and prove DA framed me in days!

On October 22, 2023 at 2:13 PM I received two calls back to back from Mr. Hollywood at (626-704-4625). The first he said, "Wait a minute." and I ended the call. He then called back and I put it on speakerphone so my associate could hear the call. We could hear a phone call recorder being turned on. A recorded voice said,

> RECORDER VOICE: This call is being recorded.

We listen silently and he said responded.

> HOLLYWOOD: Are you there? Are you there?

GS MEDIA LAB
19210 DEARBORN ST. NORTHRIDGE, CA 91324 USA
VOICE: 818.727.1979 CELL/TEXT: 818.399.1255
e mail: vfi@gsmedialab.com

TGS: I am listening.

We listened as he continued on a delusional rant.

HOLLYWOOD: I figured out that the DA erased the GoPro video files and framed me. I knew it right when I put it in my computer.

TGS: Why are you telling me this?

HOLLYWOOD: I'm filing a lawsuit against for violating my fourteenth amendment rights. I'm going to fuck you up. And I'm recording this call. I'm going to fuck you up so watch out!

TGS: This is a threat and I am reporting you.

Then I ended the call immediately.

On October 29, 2023 at 12:34 PM I received a Text 2 from Mr. Hollywood (323-200-4449).

Write a detailed declaration or I will subpoena you to go to court.

I texted back,

Who is this?

At 12:36 PM Mr. Hollywood texted the following,

Who do you think fool. Also a lawsuit will be filed next month naming you as a defendant for violating my fourteenth amendment rights. Original videos were deleted prior to me getting my micro SD card back.

TGS: I am listening.

We listened as he continued on a delusional rant.

HOLLYWOOD: I figured out that the DA erased the GoPro video files and framed me. I knew it right when I put it in my computer.

TGS: Why are you telling me this?

HOLLYWOOD: I'm filing a lawsuit against for violating my fourteenth amendment rights. I'm going to fuck you up. And I'm recording this call. I'm going to fuck you up so watch out!

TGS: This is a threat and I am reporting you.

Then I ended the call immediately.

On October 29, 2023 at 12:34 PM I received a Text 2 from Mr. Hollywood (323-200-4449).

Write a detailed declaration or I will subpoena you to go to court.


I texted back,

Who is this?

At 12:36 PM Mr. Hollywood texted the following,

Who do you think fool. Also a lawsuit will be filed next month naming you as a defendant for violating my fourteenth amendment rights. Original videos were deleted prior to me getting my micro SD card back.

On November 21, 2023 at 4:26 AM I received the following image sent twice from Mr. Hollywood (669-888-0996),



This image of a text shows it is from (626) 318-5595 at 10:04 AM

At 4:26 following the two images the following text was sent from Mr. Hollywood (669-888-0996):

> I am the video expert now. You will be sued. LAWYER UP FOOL


I am now formally reporting that Mr. Hollywood has both continued to harass and has physically threatened me with bodily harm. I have notated the times and dates of the events. I have retained the actual texts and have a witness to the phone threat that was recorded by Mr. Hollywood.

I have prepared the actual phone texts that were sent, which is attached hereto as **EXHIBIT A.**

I am not associated or obligated to work with Mr. Hollywood and I believe I am in danger due to his aggressive verbal threats and persistent delusional behavior. I am requesting the Court take this information into consideration and act accordingly.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the facts stated in the foregoing are true and correct.


Executed on this 27th day of Novemeber 2023, in Los Angeles, California.

By 


THOMAS GUZMAN-SANCHEZ
AVF – Expert
GS Media Lab

Exhibit A - Details of Services:

Text 1




Text 2



1:44
85%
+13232004449
Sunday, October 29
I am headed back to court soon. You need to either write a detailed declaration or I will subpoena you to go to court
12:34 PM
12:34 PM
Who is this?
Who do you think fool. Also a lawsuit will be filed next month naming you as a defendant for violating my fourteenth amendment rights. Original videos were deleted prior to me getting my micro SD card back.
12:36 PM

Text 3

2:20 ↑ 80%

+16698880996





I am the video expert now. You will be sued. LAWYER UP FOOL

4:26 AM

GS MEDIA LAB

April 18, 2025

DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF TEXT THREAT AND HARRASMENT

I, THOMAS GUZMAN-SANCHEZ, declare as follows:

I am a member of the Los Angeles Superior Court Expert Witness Panel.

On December 28, 2023 I was subpoenaed to appear in court at the Probation Violation Hearing for People vs. Arrogant Hollywood GA114055, in Dept 1 of the Alhambra Superior Court. The judge at that time found Mr. Hollywood guilty and stated he was required to not contact or harass me in any way. To do so would be a clear violation of his probation.

On April 12, 2025 at 15:39PM I received a series of text messages, images and voice recordings from Mr. Hollywood (206-471-1344).

Voice Message: 5:39PM "media_0.wav"

This appears to be an edited version of a phone call threat that was originally made on October 22, 2023 at 2:13 PM. I received two calls back to back from Mr. Hollywood (626-704-4625). In that call the first he said, "Wait a minute." and I ended the call. He then called back and I put it on speakerphone so my associate could hear the call. We could hear a phone call recorder being turned on.

RECORDER VOICE: This call is being recorded.

We then listen silently as he began to speak.

This new recording was clearly edited to omit this first section and starts with the following:

HOLLYWOOD: Can you hear me? Hello. Why… Can you hear me? Ahh… Just to show you I'm a video expert. So I, I got the original videos and they're falsified. They have dates of eleven o'clock, and twelve o'clock and you know, you couldn't figure this out, but as soon as I got my go pro… my computer out I was able to crack the case in about five seconds. The videos are falsified. How was I able to make videos at eleven o'clock when I was in handcuffs at what… ten fifteen? Right? We all know this incident was over way before eleven o'clock.

GS MEDIA LAB
19210 DEARBORN ST. NORTHRIDGE, CA 91324 USA
VOICE: 818.727.1979 CELL/TEXT: 818.399 1255
e mail: vh@gsmedialab.com

GUZMAN-SANCHEZ: Why are you explaining this to me?

HOLLYWOOD: Because.

GUZMAN-SANCHEZ: This is your case.

HOLLYWOOD: It is, but you didn't help me. I got you a lot of hours and you really spit in my face and I'm gonna sue you. Well I'm just letting you know. A law suit is gonna come a lot sooner then you think so I would lawyer up…


GUZMAN-SANCHEZ: Listen. So understand. Understand that that is a threat.

HOLLYWOOD: Listen I'm well known for suing people. You didn't help me get out of jail.

GUZMAN-SANCHEZ: Listen. That is a threat and now I'm gonna report you.

HOLLYWOOD: It's not THREAT! It's a PROMISE MOTHERFUCKER and you're on a recorded line!

The recording then ends abruptly due to his editing of the original recording. He then began a series of texts.



+12064711344

NO YOU ARE 5:46 PM

FAILED MUSICIAN

FAILED BUSINESSMAN

GOING ON 65 AND BARELY GOT A PENNY 5:47 PM

FUCK YOU AND PAROLE FOOL CASE WILL BE OVERTURNED ON MAY 30, 2025

I AM SUING YOUR UGLY ASS WIFE TOO OLD MAN 5:48 PM

I WILL BE FORWARDING

+12064711344

EVIDENCE TO CAL DOJ AND TELL THEM TO LAUNCH A CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION AGAINST YOU FOR LYING UNDER OATH 5:49 PM

You are the clown. A lying one at that.

We will see who the crown is when you get SUED in federal court FOOL 5:50 PM

Take that corny ass studio music off your LINKEDIN PAGE. IT IS FUCKING GARBAGE JUST LIKE YOUR WIFE'S UGLY FACE

+12064711344



5:53 PM

MY HOME STUDIO FOOL

FAILED MUSICIAN 5:54 PM

MAKE SURE YOU BRING THOSE PHONY ASS GOPRO VIDEOS TO COURT ON MAY 30, 2025 YOU PIECE OF SHIT 5:56 PM

You can call me

+12064711344

You can call me Hollywood all you want FOOL. HOLLYWOOD IS NO LONGER MY NAME FOOL. IT IS KING ARROGANT

I WILL KEEP YOU ON THE STAND FOR AT LEAST TWO DAYS AND YIU WILL NOT GET PAID A FUCKING PENNY 5:57 PM

I WILL KEEP YOU ON THE STAND FOR AT LEAST TWO DAYS AND YOU WILL NOT GET PAID A FUCKING PENNY 5:58 PM

+12064711344

Tell prejudiced judicial officer Michael Villalobos to treat you as an adverse witness pursuant to California Evidence CODE Section 766! LOOK THAT UP FOOL!

6:19 PM

media_0.wav
01:09

6:20 PM



+12064711344



6:21 PM

SEE MY $ 10,000 DAY TRADING SET UP IN MY LIVING ROOM! I AM NOT A BROKE AND HONELESS BUM NO MORE YOU RACIST IDIOT

SEE MY $ 10,000 DAY TRADING SET UP IN MY LIVING ROOM! I AM NOT A BROKE AND HOMELESS BUM NO MORE YOU RACIST IDIOT

6:22 PM



+12064711344



6:28 PM

+12064711344

TEXT HIM! MY PAROLE OFFICER TOO KNOWS WHAT A LYING PIECE OF SHIT YOU ARE!

6:29 PM

I have all the evidence FOOL

6:39 PM

Even what MARK Rosenberg gave you and Carlos Jackson!


6:40 PM




7:35 PM

On April 16, 2025 at 8:51PM I received another series of text messages and images from Mr. Hollywood (561-462-7612). This is a different phone number.





Following the last text message I blocked the 561-462-7612 phone number.



+12064711344

I got the text message 6:07 PM

FOOL


In phony video I am wearing a tank top FOOL

BUT IN REAL VIDEO I HAVE ON A LONG SLEEVED COAT

SO WHO IS THE CLOWN? 6:08 PM

YOU FOOLS ARE A BUNCH OF LIARS AND CHEATERS

media_0.wav 01:09 6:09 PM




+12064711344

YOU ARE GOING TO PRISON FOR VIOLATING CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 6200

YOU ARE MARK ROSENBERG COULD BE CELLMATES! 6:10 PM

YOU AND MARK ROSENBERG COULD BE CELLMATES! 6:11 PM


YEAH PUT IT ALL TOGETHER. AND ADD THE FACT THAT YOU RECKLESSLY COMMITTED FRAUD ON THE SUPERIIR COURT FOR NOT



+12064711344

COURT FOR NOT ONLU TESTIFYING FALSELY BUT LYING TO THE COUR THAT YOU NEEDED AN ADDITIONAL 40 HOURS WHEN YOU DID NOTHING!

YEAH PUT IT ALL TOGETHER. AND ADD THE FACT THAT YOU RECKLESSLY COMMITTED FRAUD ON THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR NOT ONLY TESTIFYING FALSELY BUT LYING TO THE COUR THAT YOU NEEDED AN ADDITIONAL 40 HOURS WHEN YOU DID NOTHING! 6:13 PM




+12064711344

I am going to make a FOOL out of you beginning on May 30, 2025. Force you to admit the truth when I play audio recording evidence and video recording evidence for the Superior Court YOU FUCKING RACIST AND LYING PIECE OF SHIT 6:17 PM

I am going to send LASD peace officers to serve you at your HOUSE AND FAILED GS MEDIA 6:18 PM

Tell prejudiced judicial officer



Following this last text message I blocked the 206-471-1344 phone number.

I am now formally reporting that Mr. Hollywood has both continued to harass and has threaten me, my wife and now my son with harm. I have notated the times and dates of the events. I have included the actual texts from Mr. Hollywood.

I have also included the original texts and details that were sent on April 18, 2024, which are attached hereto as **EXHIBIT A.**

I believe my family and I are in danger due to his aggressive threats. I am requesting the Court take this information into consideration and act accordingly.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the facts stated in the foregoing are true and correct.

Executed on this 18th day of April 2025, in Los Angeles, California.

By


THOMAS GUZMAN-SANCHEZ
AVF – Expert
GS Media Lab

Exhibit A:


Text 1




Text 2



2nd text image



Detailed Image Information



SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPT. 1 HON. MICHAEL VILLALOBOS, JUDGE

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, PLAINTIFF,	)	
VS.	)	NO. GA114055-01
AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, DEFENDANT.	)	

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

JANUARY 12, 2024

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE PEOPLE: GEORGE GASCON, DISTRICT ATTORNEY
BY: CINDY PARK, DEPUTY
211 WEST TEMPLE, SUITE 200
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012

FOR THE DEFENDANT: IN PROPRIA PERSONA

ADRIANA MONTANEZ, CSR NO. 12767
OFFICIAL REPORTER

M A S T E R I N D E X

CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX OF WITNESSES

	PAGE
THOMAS GUZMAN-SANCHEZ (CALLED BY THE PEOPLE)	
DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. PARK	13
CROSS-EXAMINATION BY DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD	20
AROGANT HOLLYWOOD (CALLED BY THE DEFENSE)	
DIRECT EXAMINATION BY DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD	31

M A S T E R I N D E X

EXHIBITS

PEOPLE'S EXHIBITS	DESCRIPTION	ID	EVD	REFUSED
1	DOCUMENT	40	40	

DEFENSE EXHIBITS	DESCRIPTION	ID	EVD	REFUSED
(NONE)				

CASE NUMBER: GA114055-01
CASE NAME: PEOPLE VS. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA JANUARY 12, 2024
DEPT. 1 HON. MICHAEL VILLALOBOS, JUDGE
REPORTER: ADRIANA MONTANEZ, CSR NO. 12767
TIME: A.M. SESSION
APPEARANCES:
DEFENDANT, AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, IN PROPRIA PERSONA;
CINDY PARK, DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY, REPRESENTING THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

-OOO-

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, ON THE RECORD ON AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, CASE NUMBER ENDING 4055. THE DEFENDANT IS PRESENT AND IS SELF-REPRESENTED. THE PEOPLE ARE REPRESENTED BY MS. PARK. BEFORE WE BEGIN, I JUST WANT TO REMIND YOU, MR. HOLLYWOOD, THAT YOU ARE IN A COURTROOM; THAT I WANT YOU TO RECOGNIZE THAT YOU'RE IN A COURTROOM, AND THAT YOU WILL ACT ACCORDINGLY.

ONE OF THE THINGS THAT BOTHERS ME IS THAT FREQUENTLY, WHEN COUNSEL FOR THE PEOPLE SPEAK AND IF THEY SAY SOMETHING THAT YOU DON'T AGREE WITH, YOU CHALLENGE THEM OR YOU SPEAK TO THEM, AND THAT'S NOT ALLOWED. SO WHAT YOU NEED TO DO IS UNDERSTAND THAT YOU HAVE TO WAIT UNTIL IT'S YOUR TURN TO SPEAK. AND NUMBER 2, THAT YOU SPEAK TO ME. YOU DO NOT SPEAK

TO COUNSEL. YOU SPEAK TO THE COURT THAT IS THE RULE WHEN YOU'RE IN A COURTROOM THAT EVERYTHING IS DONE WITH THE JUDGE AND YOU SPEAK TO THE JUDGE ONLY. DO YOU UNDERSTAND?

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: YEAH.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, SO MR. RODRIGUEZ, YOU WANTED TO SAY SOMETHING.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: YES, YOUR HONOR, VICTOR RODRIGUEZ FOR THE PEOPLE. I'M MS. PARK'S SUPERVISOR. I WILL ALSO BE INVOLVED IN THE CASE. AT THE CONCLUSION OF THE LAST HEARING, MR. HOLLYWOOD USED SOME VILE, RACIST, ANTI-ASIAN HATE LANGUAGE AGAINST MS. PARK. IF HE WERE AN ATTORNEY, IT WOULD HAVE BEEN A CLEAR VIOLATION OF THE RULE OF CIVILITY. I THINK BECAUSE HE'S NOT AN ATTORNEY, HE SHOULD NOT HAVE THAT PRIVILEGE TO BE ABLE TO ASSAULT AND USE HATE LANGUAGE AGAINST OTHER ATTORNEYS.

I WILL ASK THE COURT TO ADMONISH HIM TO NOT DO THAT. AND IF HE WERE TO DO SOMETHING LIKE THAT AGAIN, THAT HE BE FOUND IN CONTEMPT AND SANCTIONED ACCORDINGLY.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. SO, MR. HOLLYWOOD, DO YOU UNDERSTAND YOU ARE NOT TO SAY ANY REMARKS, ESPECIALLY ANY RACIST OR ANY DEROGATORY REMARKS TO ANYONE ESPECIALLY IN A COURTROOM. AND THAT CONDUCT WILL NOT BE TOLERATED. AND I WOULD ADVISE YOU NOT TO PARTAKE IN THAT TYPE OF DEMONSTRATION OR LANGUAGE AGAIN. DO YOU UNDERSTAND?

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: YEAH. CAN I SPEAK?

THE COURT: HOLD ON. LET ME, FIRST OF ALL, LET ME JUST MAKE SURE THAT WE UNDERSTAND WHY WE'RE HERE. MR. RODRIGUEZ.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: YOUR HONOR, ONE OTHER THING. MR. HOLLYWOOD HAS BEEN CALLING OUR OFFICE APPARENTLY TALKING

TO MY SUPPORT STAFF. HE HAS A HISTORY OF THREATENING AND TRYING TO INTIMIDATE MY STAFF AND HARASSING MY STAFF. WE'RE NOT GOING TO TALK TO HIM IN THE OFFICE. WE'LL TALK TO HIM ON THE RECORD. WE'LL TALK TO HIS INVESTIGATOR. IF HE HAS ONE, WE'LL TALK TO HIS STAND-BY COUNSEL, BUT WE'RE NOT GOING TO TAKE HIS CALLS.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: HE'S ALREADY EVEN THREATENED AND HARASSED THE FAMILY MEMBERS OF D.A.'S. SO WE'RE NOT GOING TO GO DOWN THAT ROAD. HE'S ALREADY SHOWN, BY HIS CONDUCT AT THE LAST COURT APPEARANCE, THAT HE CANNOT CONTROL HIMSELF. SO WE'RE NOT GOING TO TALK TO HIM IN MY OFFICE.

SO IF HE CAN BE ORDERED NOT TO CONTACT US. WE'LL GLADLY TALK TO HIM ON THE RECORD, AND WE'LL TALK TO HIM THROUGH HIS INVESTIGATOR AND HIS STAND-BY COUNSEL.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: CAN I SPEAK NOW? OKAY, SO FIRST OFF, I DON'T KNOW WHAT LIES HE'S TALKING ABOUT. MR. ROSENBERG GET'S THE RESTRAINING ORDER. HE WASN'T ON THE E-MAIL LIST. AND I'M GOING TO ASK TO PUT THIS OVER BECAUSE I AM TIRED OF THIS, AND IT NEEDS TO STOP. AND AS FAR AS ROSENBERG'S RESTRAINING ORDER GOES, I'M GOING TO GO STRAIGHT TO APPEAL.

ROSENBERG WASN'T EVEN LISTED ON THE E-MAIL LIST. I HAVE THE E-MAILS, AND THE E-MAIL TRIGGERED MR. SANCHEZ'S DECLARATION. IN FACT, THE E-MAIL HAS ALL THE NAMES OF ALL THE FAMILY. THERE IS NO THREATS ON NO FAMILY MEMBERS. HE'S FULL OF CRAP. AS A MATTER OF FACT, THE E-MAILS THAT WERE SENT ABOUT ROSENBERG NEEDING TO BE ARRESTED, DIDN'T EVEN MENTION ANY FAMILY MEMBER. THERE WAS ONE E-MAIL THAT LET THEM KNOW I

HAVE ALL THEIR INFORMATION; THAT IS NOT A CRIME TO LOOK SOMEBODY UP.

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD, YOU'RE GETTING INTO THE --

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: NO, I'M JUST SAYING. NO, I'M ADDRESSING THIS. AND HE'S LYING ABOUT ME CALLING THE OFFICE. I WANT TO REQUEST THE COURT TO SUBPOENA THE GTL RECORDS. I'M SICK OF THIS LYING DUDE. HE ALWAYS LIES. I CALL THE OFFICE AND I ASKED TO BE TRANSFERRED TO CINDY PARK, AND I LEFT HER A VOICEMAIL. I ALSO LEFT A VOICEMAIL FOR MR. RODRIGUEZ. AND I TOLD THEM THAT THEY KNOW THAT THEY HAVE THE VIDEO. THEY NEED TO STOP LYING TO THIS COURT.

AND JUST SO THEY KNOW, NEIL OPHDAHL-LOPEZ DID A DECLARATION, OKAY, AND THE VIDEO IS GOING TO GET SERVED ON THEM. I WANT TO PUT THIS OVER SO THE VIDEO CAN GET SERVED ON THEM AND NEIL'S DECLARATION. I'M TIRED OF THIS CASE. I'VE BEEN IN JAIL FOR 30 DAYS AND YOU HAVE NOTHING, OKAY. YOU DON'T HAVE A POLICE REPORT. YOU DON'T HAVE, YOU KNOW, AN ARREST REPORT. YOU HAVE NO NEW CHARGES. THE ALLEGATIONS ARE BOGUS. AND LIKE I SAID, THE E-MAIL ITSELF PROVES THAT SANCHEZ, YOU KNOW, HE'S MAKING STUFF UP BASED ON THE E-MAIL.

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD, OKAY, FIRST OF ALL, THE PEOPLE ARE REQUESTING THAT YOU NOT CONTACT THEIR OFFICE THAT INCLUDES MS. PARK. SO I'M GOING TO ORDER THAT YOU NOT DO THAT, AND THEY'RE RIGHT WITH PRO PERS, THEY NORMALLY DO NOT WANT TO SPEAK TO PRO PERS REGARDLESS. IT'S NOT JUST YOU, BUT MOSTLY ANY PRO PER, THEY DON'T WANT TO SPEAK TO PRO PER'S OFF THE RECORD. THEY WANT TO CONDUCT ALL THEIR BUSINESS ON THE RECORD SO THAT THERE'S NO QUESTION AS TO ANYTHING THAT WAS ALLEGEDLY

SAID OR DONE, OKAY.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: BUT YOU DON'T CARE IF I'M INNOCENT. HAVE YOU EVER ASKED THEM ONE TIME IF THEY HAVE THAT VIDEO? HAVE YOU ASKED THEM ONE TIME? WHY DON'T YOU ASK THEM ABOUT THE VIDEO? I MEAN.

THE COURT: WELL --

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: YOU'RE MORE CONCERNED ABOUT SENDING ME TO PRISON FOR A BOGUS VIOLATION THAN YOU CARE ABOUT OVERTURNING THIS CASE. SO WHAT I'M GOING TO DO IS THIS, I'M GOING TO MOVE TO ASK FOR MY OWN CONTINUANCE. I HAVE MY MOTION TO WITHDRAW MY PLEA. I'M GOING TO FILE MY MOTION TO WITHDRAW MY PLEA.

I'M GOING TO DEAL WITH THIS 8TH AMENDMENT VIOLATION REAL QUICK, THOUGH. SO I ASKED YOU TO GET ME A DOCTOR'S ORDER, YOU DIDN'T. SO YOU SEE ALL THIS MILK RIGHT HERE? THERE'S 15 -- 24 PACKAGES OF BREAD THAT I SHOULDN'T -- THAT I DON'T WANT TO GET, 15 HALF-PINTS OF GROSS REGULAR MILK RIGHT THERE. YOU SEE IT. 14 HALF-PINTS GROSS ONE PERCENT CHOCOLATE MILK. YOU SEE IT RIGHT THERE, AND 14 PACKS OF PEANUT BUTTER. YOU CAN THROW IT AWAY AFTERWARDS. OH, AND ALSO CHEESE. SO YOU SEE THE PEANUT BUTTER. YOU SEE THE CHEESE.

I HAVEN'T BEEN EATING IT BECAUSE I'M NOT ON MY SPECIAL DIET BECAUSE THE JAIL DOESN'T CARE, AND IT'S YOUR FAULT TOO BECAUSE YOU FAILED TO SIGN THE ORDER THAT I REQUESTED.

ALSO NOTICE OF A CRIMINAL APPEAL, I HAVE MY NOTICE OF CRIMINAL APPEAL. I HAVE MY DECLARATION, AND I ALSO HAVE A MOTION TO WITHDRAW THE OLD MOTION TO WITHDRAW MY PLEA. I

DON'T THINK IT'S IN PROPER ORDER FOR THE APPELLATE COURT. AND THEN I'M GOING TO MOVE TO WITHDRAW THAT, AND I'M GOING TO -- I HAVE A PROPOSED ORDER FOR THE MOTION WITHDRAW MY PLEAD. I HAVE A 54 PAGE -- I HAVE PROOF OF SERVICE. WELL, ALISON IS NOT HERE, BUT SHE'S GOING TO SERVE THAT ON THE D.A.

THE COURT: BEFORE WE GET INTO THAT --

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: I HAVE A REQUEST FOR EVIDENTIARY HEARING.

THE COURT: THE FIRST THING I WANTED TO ADDRESS IS THE MOTION TO WITHDRAW THE PLEA. YOU DID FILE THE MOTION BACK ON DECEMBER 28TH. DO YOU WANT ME TO HEAR THAT NOW?

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: NO, I WANT TO WITHDRAW IT AND FILE MY 54-PAGE MOTION TO WITHDRAW MY PLEA. I HAVE IT RIGHT HERE. WE CAN FILE IT ON THE RECORD. HERE IT IS.

THE COURT: SO WAIT A MINUTE. YOU WANT TO WITHDRAW THIS DOCUMENT?

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: WITHDRAW. YEAH, I DON'T THINK IT'S PROPER ORDER. REMEMBER I TOLD YOU -- SO FIRST OFF, YOU KNOW YOU DIDN'T GET ALL THE PAGES OF IT BECAUSE IT WAS MY FAULT BUT I TOLD HER TO PRINT ONLY SOME SIDE OF IT. SO YOU DON'T EVEN HAVE THE WHOLE PART OF THE OLD ONE. BUT I REDID IT AND, YEAH, I'M MOVING TO WITHDRAW THAT ONE AND I'M GOING TO FILE MY 54-PAGE MOTION TO WITHDRAW MY PLEA WHICH IS IN PROPER ORDER.

I'M DOING EVERYTHING RIGHT ON THIS MOTION INCLUDING HAVING MY WOMAN'S -- OR I HAVE THE PROCESSOR SERVE THIS ON THE D.A.

THE COURT: SO YOU HAVE A NEW FILING, A NEW SUPPLEMENTAL MOTION --

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: THAT'S OVER.

THE COURT: -- TO WITHDRAW THE PLEA. YOU DON'T HAVE TO WITHDRAW THE OLD ONE. ALL YOU HAVE TO DO IS SUPPLEMENTAL THE NEW --

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: NO. THIS HAS ALL THE STUFF. I'M WITHDRAWING THE OLD ONE ON THE RECORD THAT ONE IS NO MORE. WE'RE DELETING IT FROM THE RECORD, AND WE'RE GOING TO REPLACE IT WITH A 54-PAGE ONE. THIS ONE IS ON POINT. JUST IN CASE YOU DENY IT FOR APPELLATE PURPOSES, IT HAS EVERYTHING, IT HAS A TABLE OF CONTENTS.

THE COURT: DO YOU WANT THAT HEARD NOW?

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: NO, NO, NO. NO, I WANT THE D.A. TO HAVE TIME TO REVIEW IT. I'M GOING TO PUT THIS MATTER OVER. I'M TELLING YOU. NEIL'S DONE A DECLARATION AND IN HIS DECLARATION, HE DIDN'T SEE A CRIME HAPPEN. NEIL'S DECLARATION IS GOING TO MAKE YOU OVERTURN IT BECAUSE HE'S AN ATTORNEY. I MIGHT NOT BE AN ATTORNEY, BUT NEIL'S AN ATTORNEY. ALSO, THEY'RE PLAYING GAMES WITH THE VIDEO. MY PROCESS SERVER IS GOING TO SERVE THE VIDEO ON THE D.A. THEY'VE BEEN PUTTING STUFF OVER. I'M PUTTING STUFF OVER TODAY. I'M NOT PREPARED TO GO HOME TODAY.

AND THEN I ALSO HAVE A REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE PLEASE. SO ON THE REQUEST FOR -- SORRY, REQUEST FOR EVIDENTIARY HEARING. SO THE REQUEST FOR EVIDENTIARY HEARING BASICALLY THE GROUNDS OF THAT IS THAT, OF COURSE, THEY HAVE THE VIDEO, AND THEY HAVEN'T TOLD YOU THEY HAVE THE VIDEO.

THE GO PRO VIDEO RECORDING EVIDENCE SERVED UPON THE PEOPLE ON NOVEMBER 25TH -- WELL, THAT NEEDS TO BE CHANGED --

THEY'RE WILLFULLY FAILING TO INFORM THE SUPERIOR COURT THE PRESENTATION OF GO PRO VIDEO EVIDENCE WILL PROVE BY DIRECT EVIDENCE THAT HOLLYWOOD DID NOT MAKE A CRIMINAL THREAT TO JENNIFER HUTTON HEGER AND THERE'S OTHER GROUNDS TOO. BUT I'M NOT GOING TO GO OVER ALL THE GROUNDS BECAUSE, I KNOW YOU DON'T WANT TO HEAR EVERYTHING. WE HAVE A REQUEST FOR AN EVIDENTIARY HEARING.

SO WHAT I WOULD ASK TO DO IS THAT AT THE MOTION TO WITHDRAW MY PLEA, I'M ASKING FOR EVIDENTIARY HEARING TO BE RAN CONCURRENTLY. MY WOMAN HAS THE VIDEO SO WE DON'T HAVE TO PLAY ALL THESE GAMES. MY WOMAN CAN PRESENT HER PHONE TO THE BAILIFF, AND I CAN SHOW THE D.A. THE VIDEO AND WE CAN SHOW IT TO THE COURT.

THE COURT: OKAY, SO LET ME JUST TRY TO MAKE YOU UNDERSTAND A COUPLE THINGS. NUMBER 1, ANYTHING TO DO WITH THE VIDEO IS ALREADY OVER WITH BECAUSE WHEN YOU ENTERED YOUR PLEA, YOU ADMITTED ALL OF THE ELEMENTS OF THE OFFENSE, AND YOU ARE THEREBY CONVICTED. REGARDLESS OF WHAT THE VIDEO SHOWS OR DOESN'T SHOW, IS NO LONGER A QUESTION BEFORE THE COURT. NOW, YOU CAN FILE, PERHAPS, A HABEAS PETITION.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: RIGHT.

THE COURT: AND YOU CAN MAYBE TRY TO GET THE COURT TO LOOK AT THE ALLEGED EVIDENCE THAT YOU BELIEVE SHOWS THAT YOU'RE FACTUALLY INNOCENT OF THE CHARGE.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: RIGHT.

THE COURT: THAT'S SOMETHING FOR A FUTURE DATE, BUT THAT IS NOT SOMETHING THAT HERE'S TODAY, OKAY. SO ANYTHING THAT HAS TO DO WITH THE VIDEO IS REALLY IRRELEVANT.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: IT ACTUALLY IS BECAUSE THERE'S GROUNDS THAT AN APPEAL THAT A CONVICTION CAN BE OVERTURNED ON NEWLY DISCOVERED EVIDENCE. I KNOW YOU'RE GOING TO DENY MY MOTION TO WITHDRAW MY PLEA. BUT THE GROUNDS ON MY MOTION TO WITHDRAW MY PLEA ARE NEWLY DISCOVERED EVIDENCE. THERE'S NOT JUST A VIDEO, SIR. THERE'S TEXT MESSAGES AS WELL. SO I DISAGREE WITH YOU. YOU KNOW, WE CAN HEAR IT IN TWO WEEKS, OKAY.

AND THEN I GUARANTEE YOU THAT WITH NEAL'S DECLARATION, THAT MR. MOSES IS GOING TO OVERTURN YOU. I DON'T THINK IT'S GOING TO MAKE IT TO THE APPELLATE COURT. MOSES WILL OVERTURN YOU. IF MOSES DOESN'T OVERTURN YOU, THE COURT OF APPEAL WILL.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, HOLD ON.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: REMEMBER NEIL'S DECLARATION IS ALSO NEWLY DISCOVERED EVIDENCE.

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD, MY TURN.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: OKAY.

THE COURT: SO AS FAR AS YOUR MOTION TO WITHDRAW YOUR PLEA, I WILL GRANT YOUR REQUEST AND CONTINUE THAT MOTION.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: OKAY.

THE COURT: NOW, ARE YOU READY ON THE PROBATION VIOLATION?

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: THEY GOT THEIR WITNESSES HERE?

THE COURT: YES.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: OKAY, ON THE PROBATION VIOLATION, NOT YET. I WANT TO ALSO FILE MY UNTIMELY NOTICE OF FELONY APPEAL, AND I ALSO HAVE A DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF THAT. SO

I NEED TO FILE THAT BECAUSE MY APPEAL IS ALREADY LATE. AND I TRIED TO FILE IT LAST WEEK, BUT I WASN'T ABLE TO FILE IT.

THE COURT: SO YOU HAVEN'T FILED A MOTION TO APPEAL?

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: NO, IF THE WITNESSES ARE HERE, I'M MISSING SOME OF MY DISCOVERY. BECAUSE IF MR. GUZMAN-SANCHEZ IS GOING TO TAKE THE STAND, I NEED TO CROSS-EXAMINE HIM ABOUT THE VIDEO OR ABOUT THE E-MAIL.

THE COURT: AGAIN, THE VIDEO IS NOT --

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: -- NOT THE VIDEO. THE E-MAIL, THE E-MAIL IS WHY I'M IN JAIL, AND I HAVE THE E-MAIL IN LOCKUP. SO I DON'T KNOW IF THE SHERIFF CAN GO GET IT, BUT IT WAS LEFT THERE, AND I NEED THE E-MAIL.

THE COURT: SO ARE YOU SAYING YOU'RE NOT READY ON THE PROBATION VIOLATION?

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: I MEAN, I'LL BE READY AS SOON AS I GET THE STUFF THAT ALISON BROUGHT ME. I HAVE THE E-MAIL.

THE COURT: WELL, I THINK WE HAVE A COPY OF THE E-MAIL IF THAT'S WHAT YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: YEAH, THE ONE FROM NOVEMBER 27TH WITH ALL THE NAMES. IF YOU HAVE A COPY OF THAT, WE DON'T NEED TO -- YEAH.

THE COURT: WHO IS THE E-MAIL FROM?

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: THE E-MAIL IT WENT TO A LOT OF PEOPLE. IT WENT TO FAMILY MEMBERS OF THE COUNTY BASICALLY, AND NO MATTER WHAT HAPPENS WITH THE CASE, THE FAMILY MEMBERS ARE GOING TO GET SUED.

THE COURT: WHAT IS THE RELEVANCE OF THIS E-MAIL?

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: THE RELEVANCE OF THE E-MAIL IS THE

E-MAIL TRIGGERED MR. GUZMAN-SANCHEZ CALLING OR SENDING HIS DECLARATION TO THE D.A. ON SOME FREAKING ALLEGATIONS THAT, YOU KNOW, HE'S TALKING ABOUT A MONTH AGO. SO IN THE DECLARATION IT'S NOVEMBER 27TH, BUT THE DATE HE'S SAYING HE WAS THREATENED WAS LIKE THE 22ND. WHAT'S REALLY ODD ABOUT HIS ALLEGED THREAT IS HE SAYS THERE WAS A CALL RECORDED.

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD, YOU SHOULD WAIT UNTIL WE ADDRESS IT, OKAY.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: LISTEN, LISTEN. I DON'T CARE. I'M GOING TO TELL YOU SOMETHING. I DON'T CARE IF YOU SEND ME TO PRISON, HERE'S WHY. YOU AND ALL OF THEM ARE GOING TO GET SUED AND YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE MORE DAMAGES. SO SEND ME TO PRISON. DO WHATEVER YOU WANT. HERE'S THE THING, I CAN PROVE TODAY THAT GUZMAN-SANCHEZ IS A LIAR.

I HAVE THE RECORDED CALL. IT'S ON MY PHONE, BUT MY PHONE IS IN LOCKUP AND MY PHONE IS IN LOCKUP BECAUSE, WHEN I CAME TO JAIL AND I ASKED YOU IF I CAN GET STUFF OFF MY PHONE LIKE MR. GUZMAN-SANCHEZ'S DISCOVERY, YOU DENIED THAT REQUEST. YOU LET THEM PUT MY PHONE IN LOCKUP. I WANT THAT ON THE RECORD FOR APPELLATE. YOU VIOLATED MY 14TH AMENDMENT RIGHTS. AND YOU GUYS DID THE SAME THING WITH MY PHONE ON THIS CASE BY FRAMING ME FOR A CRIME I DIDN'T COMMIT.

IT WASN'T JUST THE VIDEO. THERE WAS AUDIO AND TEXT MESSAGES ON MY PHONE TO JENNIFER AND IT RECORDED THAT INCIDENT, AND THEY DID SOME WEIRD STUFF ON MY PHONE. ON THE LAST CASE I ASKED FOR MY PHONE --

THE COURT: HOLD ON, MR. HOLLYWOOD.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: THERE'S A PATTERN WITH THE PHONE.

THE COURT: OKAY --

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: SO LIKE I SAID, I CAN PROVE I'M INNOCENT WITH MY PHONE.

THE COURT: THE PEOPLE ARE READY IS MY UNDERSTANDING ON THE VIOLATION. WE ARE GOING TO PROCEED ON THE VIOLATION OF PROBATION.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: WHATEVER.

THE COURT: IF I FIND YOU IN VIOLATION OF PROBATION, I WILL NOT IMPOSE IMMEDIATE SENTENCING BECAUSE YOU STILL HAVE A MOTION TO WITHDRAW THE PLEA THAT'S PENDING. BUT LET'S PROCEED ON THE MOTION, AND, PEOPLE, YOU MAY CALL YOUR FIRST WITNESS.

MS. PARK: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR, THE PEOPLE CALL THOMAS GUZMAN-SANCHEZ.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

THE CLERK: PLEASE RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND TO BE SWORN. DO YOU SOLEMNLY STATE THAT THE TESTIMONY YOU MAY GIVE IN THE CAUSE NOW PENDING BEFORE THIS COURT SHALL BE THE TRUTH, THE WHOLE TRUTH, AND NOTHING BUT THE TRUTH; SO HELP YOU GOD?

THE WITNESS: SO HELP ME GOD.

THE CLERK: THANK YOU. PLEASE BE SEATED AT THE WITNESS STAND. PLEASE STATE AND SPELL YOUR FIRST AND LAST NAME FOR THE RECORD.

THE WITNESS: MY NAME IS THOMAS GUZMAN-SANCHEZ, T-H-O-M-A-S, G-U-Z-M-A-N, DASH, S-A-N-C-H-E-Z.

THE CLERK: THANK YOU.

THE COURT: YOU MAY INQUIRE.

MS. PARK: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

///

THOMAS GUZMAN-SANCHEZ,

CALLED BY THE PEOPLE AS A WITNESS, WAS SWORN AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

Q. BY MS. PARK: MR. GUZMAN-SANCHEZ, CAN YOU TELL THE COURT WHAT YOU DO FOR A LIVING?

A. I HAVE AN AUDIO/VIDEO FORENSIC PRACTICE FOR THE PAST 12 YEARS.

Q. AND DURING THE COURSE OF YOUR EMPLOYMENT, WERE YOU APPOINTED TO ASSIST A PRO PER DEFENDANT BY THE NAME OF AROGANT HOLLYWOOD IN CASE --

A. YES.

Q. -- IN CASE NUMBER GA114055?

A. YES, I WAS.

Q. AND AS PART OF YOUR APPOINTED JOB DUTIES, DID YOU COMMUNICATE WITH MR. HOLLYWOOD REGARDING HIS CASE?

A. YES. INITIALLY IT WAS THROUGH A P.I. NAMED CARLOS JACKSON WHICH IS WHY I ACCEPTED --

THE COURT: YOU NEED TO BE QUIET.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: I NEED THE E-MAIL FOR CROSS-EXAMINATION.

THE COURT: YOU NEED TO BE QUITE RIGHT NOW WHILE WE'RE LISTING TO TESTIMONY.

THE WITNESS: I NORMALLY WOULD HAVE LIMITED INVOLVEMENT WITH PRO PER AND CARLOS JACKSON IS SOMEONE WHO I RESPECT VERY MUCH WHICH IS WHY I WAS ABLE TO TAKE THE CASE.

Q. MS. PARK: AND WHAT DID YOU DO FOR MR. HOLLYWOOD WHILE THE CASE WAS STILL PENDING?

A. I WAS BROUGHT IN TO DO VERIFICATION OF FILES, IN PARTICULAR, A GO PRO FILE. AND WE REQUESTED MULTIPLE TIMES TO THE COURT TO HAVE THE ORIGINAL FILE MADE AVAILABLE TO ME.

Q. AND THE INVESTIGATOR THAT YOU HAD CONTACT WITH THAT WAS ASSISTING MR. HOLLYWOOD ON THE CASE WAS THAT JOEL WYENN, W-Y-E-N-N?

A. NO, ORIGINALLY IT WAS CARLOS JACKSON AND THEN CARLOS JACKSON WAS CHANGED TO MR. JOEL WYENN.

Q. WYENN UNDERSTOOD. ON OCTOBER 17TH, 2023, DID YOU RECEIVE A CALL FROM MR. WYENN?

A. IT'S POSSIBLE. I DON'T RECALL THE ACTUAL DATE.

Q. DID HE CALL YOU AND NOTIFY YOU THAT THE CASE OF AROGANT HOLLYWOOD HAD CONCLUDED BECAUSE HE PLEAD GUILTY AND WAS SENTENCED?

A. OH, THAT WAS THE -- YES, THIS IS WHAT I UNDERSTOOD. AND HE SAID THAT I NO LONGER HAD ANY OBLIGATION TO THE CASE.

Q. SO THAT CALL WAS RECEIVED OCTOBER 17TH, 2023, CORRECT?

A. IT APPEARS SO, YES.

Q. TWO DAYS LATER ON OCTOBER 19TH, 2023, DID YOU RECEIVE ANY COMMUNICATION FROM MR. HOLLYWOOD?

A. ACTUALLY THE NEXT MORNING JUST AFTER 12:00, I RECEIVED A HARASSING TEXT FROM MR. HOLLYWOOD.

Q. AND WHEN YOU SAY HARASSING TEXT, WHAT DID YOU RECEIVE?

A. I DON'T REMEMBER THE EXACT WORDS. I KNOW I WROTE IT

IN MY REPORT, BUT IT HAD TO DO WITH -- THAT I WASN'T VALID, YOU KNOW, AS A FORENSICS ANALYST.

Q. WOULD IT REFRESH YOUR RECOLLECTION IF YOU REVIEWED YOUR REPORT?

A. OH, ABSOLUTELY.

MS. PARK: YOUR HONOR, MAY I APPROACH?

THE COURT: YES.

Q. MS. PARK: I'M GOING TO ASK YOU TO REVIEW THE CONTENTS OF YOUR REPORT.

A. ON OCTOBER 19TH?

Q. JUST THE ENTIRE REPORT. WHEN YOU CAN, LET US KNOW WHEN YOU'RE FINISHED.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: THAT'S BECAUSE HE'S LYING SO HE CAN'T REMEMBER.

THE WITNESS: YES, I'M AWARE NOW.

Q. MS. PARK: SO OCTOBER 19TH, 2023, YOU INDICATED YOU RECEIVED A TEXT MESSAGE, IS THAT CORRECT?

A. YES.

Q. AND WHAT DID THE TEXT MESSAGE SAY?

A. IT REFERRED TO -- IT SAID BASICALLY THAT I WAS A JOKE.

Q. AND AFTER THAT TEXT MESSAGE WAS RECEIVED ON OCTOBER 22ND, 2023, DID YOU RECEIVE MORE COMMUNICATION FROM MR. HOLLYWOOD?

A. YES.

Q. AND IN WHAT WAY DID HE TRY TO CONTACT YOU?

A. I HAVE NOTATED ON THE 22ND. AND THEN ON THE 22ND HE HAD CALLED ME DIRECTLY AND MYSELF AND MY SON WERE LISTENING TO

THE CALL.

Q. AND WHAT DID MR. HOLLYWOOD SAY TO YOU DURING THAT CALL?

A. I FOUND IT INTERESTING BECAUSE INITIALLY HE SAID, "WAIT A MINUTE. THIS CALL'S BEING RECORDED," AND IT'S LIKE HE WAS HAVING TROUBLE WITH THE APP. AND THEN HE CALLED ME BACK AND THEN HE PROCEEDED TO HARASS ME AND THREATEN ME.

Q. AND WHEN YOU SAY HARASSED AND THREATEN YOU, WHAT DID HE SAY TO YOU EXACTLY?

A. WELL, HE HAS SOME TYPE OF IDEA THAT THERE'S SOME TYPE OF CONSPIRACY REGARDING THE GO PRO VIDEO, AND HE FELT THE NEED TO TELL ME ALL THIS INFORMATION. AND THEN HE WENT ON TO WHEN I ASKED HIM, "WHY ARE YOU TELLING ME THIS BECAUSE I'M NO LONGER WITH THE CASE?" "I'M FILING A LAWSUIT," HE SAID, AND THAT I VIOLATED HIS 14TH AMENDMENT, AND "I'M GOING TO FUCK YOU UP. SO WATCH OUT." WHEN HE SAID THAT, THAT'S A THREAT. AND I SAID THAT, "I'M REPORTING YOU."

NOW, MIND YOU, HE HAD TEXTED ME A FEW TIMES PRIOR, AND I WANTED TO GIVE HIM THE BENEFIT OF THE DOUBT, YOU KNOW, JUST SAY LOOK, YOU KNOW, YOU'RE UPSET I UNDERSTAND. YOU HAVE YOUR DELUSION, THAT'S FINE. BUT WHEN IT WENT TO THIS LEVEL, THIS IS WHEN I FELT IT WAS NECESSARY TO GET THE COURT INVOLVED.

Q. SO PRIOR TO THIS PHONE CALL CONTAINING THE THREATS OR THE PHRASES YOU INTERPRETED AS THREATS, HAD HE CONTACTED YOU IN WRITING SEVERAL TIMES PRIOR?

A. CORRECT.

Q. AND WHEN HE CONTACTED YOU IN WRITING, DID YOU

PERCEIVE THAT AS HARASSMENT?

A. ABSOLUTELY.

Q. WHEN HE THREATENED TO FUCK YOU UP OVER THE PHONE, CAN YOU DESCRIBE THE TONE AND VOLUME OF HIS VOICE?

A. IT WAS AGGRESSIVE AND, YEAH, I WOULD SAY COMMANDING.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: THAT'S WHY HE NEVER FILED A POLICE REPORT.

Q. MS. PARK: WAS HE LOUD?

A. OH, DEFINITELY BOMBASTIC. BOMBASTIC WOULD BE A WORD.

Q. DID HE SOUND UPSET, ANGRY?

A. YES, EXTREMELY UPSET.

Q. HOW DID THAT MAKE YOU FEEL WHEN HE THREATENED YOU BY SAYING, "I'M GOING TO FUCK YOU UP, SO WATCH OUT," IN A VERY ANGRY AND AGGRESSIVE TONE?

A. THAT WOULD MAKE -- THAT MADE ME FEEL THREATENED, NERVOUS, IN FEAR FOR MY LIFE.

Q. ON OCTOBER 29TH, 2023, DID YOU ALSO RECEIVE MORE TEXT MESSAGES FROM MR. HOLLYWOOD?

A. YES, HE CONTINUED.

Q. AND IN THOSE TEXT MESSAGES, WHAT DID HE SAY?

A. WELL, ON THE 29TH, WHAT I FOUND HIM TO DO WAS COME FROM DIFFERENT PHONE NUMBERS AND TEXT ME. AND I AM THE TYPE OF PERSON, IF I DON'T KNOW WHERE A TEXT IS COMING FROM, I'LL SAY, "WHO IS THIS," OR WHATEVER. AND HIS RESPONSE WAS, "WHO DO YOU THINK, FOOL. ALSO LAWSUIT WILL BE FILED NEXT MONTH NAMING YOU DEFENDANT FOR VIOLATING MY 14TH AMENDMENT RIGHT." AND THEN HE WENT ON TO TALK ABOUT DETAILS WITH HIS -- WITH THE

GO PRO.

Q. ON NOVEMBER 21ST, 2023, DID YOU RECEIVE FURTHER TEXT MESSAGES FROM MR. HOLLYWOOD USING YET ANOTHER CELLPHONE NUMBER?

A. YES, I THOUGHT THAT WAS INTERESTING BECAUSE HE ACTUALLY SENT ME A OF PHOTO OF A TEXT MESSAGE I FOUND THIS VERY STRANGE. IT STATED, "YOU'RE MENTALLY ILL. ALL YOU HAD TO DO WAS TELL HIM TO PULL UP ON THE DRIVEWAY." MIND YOU THAT WAS A DETAIL THAT WAS IN THE GO PRO.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: I WANT IT NOTED HE'S PRESENTING NEWLY DISCOVERED EVIDENCE ON THE STAND RIGHT NOW. LET THE RECORD --

THE COURT: IS THAT AN OBJECTION?

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: IT'S NOT AN OBJECTION. I WANT THE RECORD TO REFLECT.

THE COURT: THEN BE QUIET.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: I'M JUST TELLING YOU THAT'S WHAT HE DID.

THE WITNESS: THAT WAS THE DETAIL THAT I RECALL THAT WAS WITHIN THE CONTENTS OF THIS EVIDENCE THAT I EXAMINED. SO I JUST -- I FOUND IT STRANGELY DELUSIONAL. AND MIND YOU, I DON'T RESPOND -- I DIDN'T NORMALLY RESPOND TO THESE TEXTS.

Q. MS. PARK: AND DID HE CONTINUE TO SEND YOU TEXT MESSAGES FROM THAT NEW PHONE NUMBER ON NOVEMBER 21ST, 2023?

A. APPARENTLY SO, YES.

Q. WHAT DID HE SAY?

A. "I'M THE VIDEO EXPERT NOW. YOU WILL BE SUED. LAWYER UP, FOOL."

Q. DID YOU PERCEIVE THAT TEXT MESSAGE AS A THREAT?

A. ABSOLUTELY.

Q. AND WAS THAT IN THE CONTEXT OF PRIOR COMMUNICATIONS HE HAD WITH YOU WHERE HE THREATENED TO FUCK YOU UP?

A. THAT WAS FOLLOWING, THAT WAS FOLLOWING, YES.

Q. SO DURING THE NUMEROUS CONTACTS HE'S HAD WITH YOU OVER SEVERAL DAYS AFTER HE WAS CONVICTED ON THIS CASE, DID HE CONTACT YOU FROM FOUR DIFFERENT CELLPHONE NUMBERS?

A. YEAH, APPARENTLY SO, YES.

Q. AND WOULD YOU HAVE RESPONDED TO HIM IF YOU KNEW IT WAS HIM?

A. NO, I HAD OBLIGATION.

MS. PARK: NO FURTHER QUESTIONS, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD, DO YOU WISH TO ASK THE WITNESS SOME QUESTIONS?

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: YEAH, I DO. CAN I GET A COPY OF THE E-MAIL, THOUGH, FROM THE 27TH?

THE COURT: YOU MEAN THE COPY THAT'S THE BASIS OF THE VIOLATION?

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: YEAH, THE ONE -- I DON'T KNOW. IT HAS ALL THE NAMES ON IT. I HAVE IT IN MY CELL. IT HAS ALL THE NAMES AND PHONE NUMBERS. IF NOT, THEN I NEED TO GO GET IT FROM THE LOCKUP. BUT I HAVE THE E-MAIL THAT TRIGGERED HIM CONTACTING THE D.A. IT WAS ON THE SAME DAY TOO. HE SENT HIS DECLARATION ON THE 27TH.

THE COURT: WHY DON'T YOU JUST ASK HIM ABOUT THE E-MAIL?

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: THEN LATER, AM I GOING TO BE ALLOWED TO PRESENT THE EVIDENCE OF THE E-MAIL?

THE COURT: SURE, WHY THE DON'T YOU ASK HIM ABOUT THAT?

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: WELL, LET ME ASK HIM QUESTIONS FIRST. SO I'M GOING TO START OFF WITH -- SOME OF THE QUESTIONS ARE MISSING. I ONLY SEE STARTING WITH EIGHT. SO I'M MISSING A COUPLE PAGES OF QUESTIONS HERE. AT LEAST LOOKS LIKE ONE OR TWO. SO I DON'T KNOW. I'M GOING TO TRY TO REMEMBER WHAT THOSE QUESTIONS WERE. OKAY.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

Q. BY DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: YOU SAID IN YOUR DECLARATION THAT, YOU KNOW, THAT YOU RECEIVED ALTERED VIDEOS FROM MR. ROSENBERG, CORRECT? LIKE THE VIDEOS THAT YOU RECEIVED WERE NOT ORIGINAL GO PRO VIDEOS, RIGHT?

THE WITNESS: HE'S REFERRING TO THE CASE?

THE COURT: YES.

THE WITNESS: I WAS GOING TO ASK, YOUR HONOR, WHAT HE'S TALKING ABOUT --

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: YOU CAN'T TALK RIGHT NOW.

THE COURT: HOLD ON.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: BECAUSE YOU'RE LYING, BRO.

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD, QUIET. GO AHEAD.

THE WITNESS: IT HAS TO DO WITH TACTIC AND DEFENSE FOR HIS CASE. AM I -- IS IT OKAY WITH THE COURT THAT I SPEAK OF THAT?

THE COURT: YES, I BELIEVE HE'S WAIVED HIS --

THE WITNESS: I JUST WANTED TO MAKE SURE.

THE COURT: -- PRIVILEGE THAT HE MAY HAVE HAD WITH YOU,

YES.

THE WITNESS: OKAY. I WAS SUPPLIED WITH THE DIGITAL MEDIA EVIDENCE. AND IN IT HE WANTED ME TO DO A VERIFICATION ANALYSIS OF THE INTEGRITY OF A GO PRO VIDEO. I NEVER GOT THE GO PRO VIDEO. I FILED -- I SUBMITTED A DECLARATION FOR THAT AT LEAST ONCE, AND I NEVER RECEIVED IT.

I WOULD RECEIVE -- I RECEIVED AN EVIDENCE SOFTWARE THAT SHOWS IT, BUT WHAT IT DOES IS IT ALTERS IT BECAUSE IT BREAKS IT UP. WHERE CERTAIN INFORMATION IS THERE, THE QUALITY IS LOWER RESOLUTION. AND THE THING THAT HE WAS ASKING ME TO LOOK AT IT WOULDN'T HAVE BEEN POSSIBLE TO DO IT PROPERLY FROM AN EVIDENCE OF SOFTWARE.

Q. DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: YES, IT WOULD. I'M GOING TO ASK MY QUESTION AGAIN. DID YOU SAY IN YOUR DECLARATION THAT YOU RECEIVED ALTERED VIDEOS? YES OR NO? DID YOU SAY IN YOUR DECLARATION?

A. YES, I JUST SAID WHY IT WAS ALTERED.

Q. SO THE ANSWER IS YES?

A. YES.

Q. SO YOU SAID THAT THERE'S NO WAY FOR YOU TO GET THOSE -- TO BASICALLY GET THE TEXT MESSAGE THAT I REQUESTED, CORRECT?

A. I'M NOT SURE WHAT YOU'RE REFERRING.

Q. THE TEXT MESSAGE. I ASKED YOU TO ZOOM IN. WE HAD A CONVERSATION ON THE PHONE. I ASKED YOU TO ZOOM IN ON THE TEXT MESSAGE THE ONE THAT YOU RECEIVED THAT YOU JUST TESTIFIED TO, "THE MENTALLY ILL," DID I NOT ASK YOU TO ZOOM IN AND GET THAT TEXT MESSAGE? YES OR NO?

A. NO, YOU DIDN'T.

Q. YES, I DID. I PUT IT IN MY DECLARATION.

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD, YOU'LL GET AN OPPORTUNITY IF YOU WANT TO TESTIFY.

Q. DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: OKAY, SO YOU'RE LYING ON THE STAND RIGHT NOW. YOU'RE SAYING I DIDN'T ASK YOU TO DO A TEXT MESSAGE OVER THE PHONE ON THE RECORDED CALL IN JAIL, SIR. I DID NOT ASK YOU IF YOU CAN ZOOM IN AND GET THE TEXT MESSAGE, AND DID YOU NOT TELL ME THAT YOU CANNOT ZOOM IN AND GET TEXT MESSAGE BECAUSE THE VIDEOS WERE ALTERED? YES OR NO?

A. THAT IS WHAT I -- THAT WAS MY RESPONSE WHEN YOU LATER ASKED. I WAS APPOINTED TO VERIFY THE INTEGRITY, BUT WHEN YOU ASKED ME THAT IN PARTICULAR, THE OF VIDEO WAS NOT HIGH ENOUGH RESOLUTION TO BE ABLE TO DO THE TYPE OF ZOOMING THAT YOU WERE TALKING ABOUT. AND ALSO I STILL NEVER RECEIVED THE ORIGINAL. IF I WOULD HAVE RECEIVED THE ORIGINAL, I EASILY COULD HAVE FULFILLED THAT WHICH IS WHY I SUPPLIED YOU WITH A DECLARATION.

Q. YEAH, BUT YOU'RE TALKING TO A TECH-SAVVY PERSON. SO COULD YOU NOT, THAT LITTLE PICTURE YOU COULD SEE IN THE VIDEO, COULD YOU NOT RECORDED THAT LITTLE VIDEO WITH YOUR PHONE AND THEN AFTER RECORDED ON YOUR PHONE TRANSFER IT TO YOUR COMPUTER AND THEN BLEW IT UP? COULD YOU HAVE NOT DOWNLOADED A SOFTWARE THAT RECORDS A SCREEN ON THE COMPUTER AND THEN TAKE THAT SCREEN AND ZOOM IN ON THE SCREEN RECORDED AND RECORD IT? YOU'RE TELLING ME THAT'S NOT POSSIBLE? YES OR NO?

A. IT'S POSSIBLE.

Q. IF IT'S POSSIBLE, THAT MEANS YOU COULD HAVE ZOOMED

IN AND GOT THE TEXT MESSAGE, BUT YOU DIDN'T BECAUSE YOU WEREN'T TRYING TO HELP ME GET OUT, CORRECT?

A. THAT'S IMPROPER.

Q. ISN'T IT ALSO TRUE THAT YOU GOT 44 HOURS TO PRETTY MUCH DO NOTHING? YOU DID SOME ANALYSIS WITH DECLARATION AND REVIEWED A COUPLE OF 911 TAPES, BUT YOU DIDN'T DO THE ORIGINAL VIDEO BECAUSE YOU KNEW IT'D EXONERATE ME, CORRECT?

A. NO.

Q. ISN'T TRUE THAT I ASKED YOU TO WRITE IN YOUR DECLARATION THAT YOU SAW ME WALK THROUGH THE FRONT DOOR, AND THEN YOU TOLD ME ON THE RECORDED LINE IN JAIL THAT YOU WERE NOT MY ATTORNEY, AND YOU WERE NOT GOING TO DO THAT? IS THAT CORRECT?

A. CORRECT.

Q. YEAH, EXACTLY. SO YOU WEREN'T ON MY SIDE TO BEGIN WITH. NOW, MOVING ONTO THESE THIS -- THIS BS CRIMINAL THREATS. SO DID YOU NOT GET AN E-MAIL ON NOVEMBER 27TH, 2023, THAT HAD LISTED A WHOLE BUNCH OF D.A.'S, DIFFERENT PEOPLE'S HOME ADDRESSES? WAS YOUR HOME ADDRESS ON THAT LIST ON THE E-MAIL?

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD, I'M NOT SURE WHY THAT WOULD BE RELEVANT.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: OH, IT IS RELEVANT. YOU LET ME TALK BECAUSE I'M GETTING TO THE BIAS OF WHY HE DID THIS.

Q. DID YOU RECEIVE AN E-MAIL THAT -- I DON'T KNOW WHY YOU'RE LAUGHING -- DID YOU RECEIVE AN E-MAIL THAT HAD YOUR FULL NAME AND YOUR FULL HOME ADDRESS, NOT YOUR BUSINESS ADDRESS, YOUR FULL ADDRESS ON THE E-MAIL?

THE COURT: ON WHAT DATE?

Q. DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: ON NOVEMBER 27TH, 2023, DID YOU RECEIVE THAT E-MAIL?

A. I RECEIVED AN E-MAIL. I FORWARDED IT TO THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE.

Q. WAS YOUR NAME ON THAT E-MAIL? YOUR FULL NAME AND ADDRESS?

A. I DON'T -- I DIDN'T LOOK AT IT IN DEPTH.

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD --

Q. DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: ISN'T IT TRUE THAT YOUR WIFE'S NAME WAS ON THAT E-MAIL AND YOUR WIFE'S NAME BEING ON THAT E-MAIL IS WHAT TRIGGERED YOUR DECLARATION TO THE D.A. WITHIN HOURS? YES OR NO?

MS. PARK: YOUR HONOR, I WOULD OBJECT AS TO RELEVANCE.

THE COURT: IT IS IRRELEVANT. MR. HOLLYWOOD, ARE YOU TALKING ABOUT E-MAILS THAT OCCURRED IN NOVEMBER OF 2023?

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: NO -- YES.

THE COURT: YES.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: IT IS RELEVANT BECAUSE IT SHOWS BIAS THAT WHEN HE RECEIVED THIS E-MAIL THAT HIS WIFE'S INFORMATION AND HIS INFORMATION --

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD, IT IS NOT RELEVANT BECAUSE THE ALLEGED THREAT WAS MADE IN OCTOBER OF '23 WHICH WOULD HAVE BEEN BEFORE THAT E-MAIL.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: NO, BECAUSE HE DIDN'T REPORT ANYTHING TO THE D.A. UNTIL AFTER THE E-MAIL. HE REPORTED ON THE 27TH. YOU LOOK AT HIS DECLARATION IT SAYS NOVEMBER 27TH, THE SAME DAY RECEIVED THE DECLARATION. AND AFTER YOU LET ME

GET DONE ASKING THIS, I'LL GET TO THE BIAS OF THAT BECAUSE THAT'S SOME BS TOO. BUT RIGHT NOW, THE EVIDENCE CLEARLY SHOWS THAT THAT E-MAIL TRIGGERED HIS DECLARATION. THAT E-MAIL NOT ONLY HAD HIS INFORMATION ON IT, IT HAD HIS WIFE'S INFORMATION AND THAT'S WHY I SAID WE NEED THE E-MAIL. IT HAD HIS FULL NAME.

MS. PARK: YOUR HONOR, I'M GOING TO OBJECT.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: HOLD UP. IT HAD HIS WIFE'S FULL NAME. IT HAD HIS WIFE'S E-MAIL ON IT; THAT'S WHAT TRIGGERED THE DECLARATION. NOT ANYTHING TO DO WITH HIM.

MS. PARK: YOUR HONOR, HE'S TESTIFYING AT THIS POINT.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: I'M NOT TESTIFYING. I'M SAYING WHAT'S ON THE E-MAIL. ISN'T IT NOT TRUE --

THE COURT: DO YOU UNDERSTAND THE QUESTION?

Q. DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: YES OR NO, WAS YOUR WIFE'S INFORMATION ON THE E-MAIL? YES OR NO?

A. I DON'T KNOW. I DIDN'T READ IT.

MS. PARK: OBJECTION, RELEVANCE.

Q. DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: YOU DIDN'T READ IT. NOW YOU'RE LYING.

A. I KNOW THAT IT WAS FROM YOU BECAUSE YOUR NAME WAS SIGNED. AND THIS WAS SOMETHING THAT I DISCOVERED LATER. I DIDN'T SEE IT. IT WENT INTO MY TRASH. SO WHEN I PULLED IT UP, AND I FOUND IT, I SENT IT THAT DAY TO THE OFFICE. SO WHATEVER THAT DAY IS THIS IS WHEN I DISCOVERED IT.

Q. OKAY.

MS. PARK: I WOULD OBJECT AS IRRELEVANT AND THIS IS --

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: THANK YOU. IT WASN'T SIGNED.

YEAH, YOU'RE OBJECTING BECAUSE YOU GOT NO CASE.

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: SORRY.

THE COURT: YOU HAVE TO TALK TO ME.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: SHE'S OBJECTING BECAUSE THEY GOT NO CASE. I'M ABOUT TO GET TO THE BIAS AND I DON'T CARE WHAT YOU GUYS DO WITH THIS.

THE COURT: WHAT'S YOUR NEXT QUESTION?

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: I GOT PLENTY OF MORE QUESTIONS.

Q. YOU SAID ON YOUR DECLARATION, YOU SAID ON THAT DECLARATION, THAT WHEN MR. HOLLYWOOD CALLED YOU THAT THERE WAS A CALL RECORDED NOT HOLLYWOOD, A CALL RECORDER, INDICATING THE PHONE WAS RECORDING YOUR CONVERSATION CORRECT? YES OR NO?

A. THIS IS WHAT I RECALL.

Q. EXACTLY. SO DO YOU REALLY THINK THAT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD IS DUMB ENOUGH TO MAKE A CRIMINAL THREAT WITH YOU OVER THE PHONE WHEN A CALL IS BEING RECORDED? YES OR NO?

A. YES.

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD, THAT'S ARGUMENTATIVE.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: WHATEVER. IT'S A QUESTION.

Q. ANOTHER QUESTION IS DIDN'T YOU SAY IN YOUR DECLARATION THAT YOU WERE GOING TO REPORT THESE THREATS THAT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD MADE? YES OR NO? DID YOU SAY IN YOUR DECLARATION THAT, WHEN YOU WERE ON THE PHONE WITH AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, THAT YOU TOLD HIM YOU WERE GOING TO REPORT THE THREATS?

A. YES.

Q. YET YOU WAITED OVER A MONTH TO REPORT THOSE THREATS?
A. NO, THAT DAY.
Q. WHERE IS YOUR -- DID YOU CALL THE POLICE?
A. THAT DAY.
Q. WHERE IS THE POLICE REPORT?
A. NO.
Q. YOU'RE NOT TELLING THE TRUTH. THEY DON'T HAVE A POLICE REPORT.
A. NO, NO, NO, NO. I WROTE MY REPORT AND THAT DAY THE DAY I SENT IT BY FAX.

(WHEREUPON THE DEFENDANT AND THE WITNESS WERE SPEAKING OVER EACH OTHER.)

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD, LET HIM ANSWER THE QUESTION.
THE WITNESS: I FAXED IT BY COURT. I FAXED THE REPORT THAT DAY BY COURT, AND I DID NOT FILE A POLICE REPORT. I BROUGHT IT TO THE ATTENTION OF THE COURT.
Q. DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: NO, NO. YOU'RE NOT TELLING THE TRUTH. THIS COURT RECEIVED NOTHING FROM YOU IN OCTOBER. IN OCTOBER 22ND OR WHATEVER DATE YOU SAID IN YOUR DECLARATION THAT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD MADE THESE THREATS, YOU TOLD AROGANT HOLLYWOOD THAT YOU WERE GOING TO REPORT HIM, BUT ISN'T IT TRUE YOU NEVER CALLED L.A.P.D.? YES OR NO?
A. NOVEMBER 27TH --
Q. ISN'T IT TRUE YOU NEVER CALLED L.A.P.D.?
A. THIS IS NOVEMBER 22ND.
Q. NO WE'RE TALKING ABOUT OCTOBER. IN YOUR DECLARATION

YOU SAID AROGANT HOLLYWOOD THREATENED YOU OVER THE PHONE IN OCTOBER. YOU SAID ON THAT PHONE CALL THAT YOU WERE GOING TO REPORT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S THREATS. LET ME ASK YOU A QUESTION. DO YOU LIVE IN THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES?

MS. PARK: YOUR HONOR, I WOULD OBJECT AS TO RELEVANCE.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: NO, IT'S RELEVANT.

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD, YOU NEED TO SETTLE DOWN, OKAY.

Q. DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: DO YOU LIVE IN THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES?

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD, I THINK YOU'VE MADE YOUR POINT.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: NO, NO. I WANT TO ASK MY QUESTIONS.

Q. DO YOU LIVE IN THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES?

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD.

THE WITNESS: NO.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: IT'S A QUESTION. HE LIVES IN THE CITY OF --

THE COURT: MOVE ON.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: ALL RIGHT.

Q. DO YOU LIVE IN THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES?

A. NO.

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD, HE DOESN'T HAVE TO ANSWER THAT.

MS. PARK: OBJECTION.

THE COURT: MOVE ON.

Q. DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: SO NORTHRIDGE, OKAY. SO LET ME ASK YOU A QUESTION, WHO IS THE LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY IN

THE CITY YOU LIVE IN?

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD, YOU'VE ALREADY ESTABLISHED THERE WAS NO POLICE REPORT FILED. HE'S ALREADY TESTIFIED TO THAT.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: IT DOESN'T MATTER.

THE COURT: SO MOVE ON.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: HE SAID IN HIS DECLARATION THAT HE WAS GOING TO REPORT THOSE THREATS. HE'S ALREADY LIED ON THE STAND THAT SAID HE FAXED IT TO THE COURT. THIS COURT RECEIVED NO DECLARATION. THIS COURT RECEIVED NO DECLARATION. DID YOU -- LET ME ASK A QUESTION.

Q. DID YOU CALL 911?

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD, AGAIN, WE'VE ALREADY ESTABLISHED THAT, OKAY. SO MOVE ON.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: WHAT DO YOU WANT ME TO ASK? I'M ASKING ABOUT THE 911.

THE COURT: THERE IS NO 911.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: OKAY. WELL, HE ALREADY SAID ON THE STAND THAT HE WAS AFRAID. HE'S LYING, AND HE DIDN'T -- HE -- ALL HE DID WAS A MONTH LATER WHEN HE GOT THAT E-MAIL ABOUT HIS WIFE --

THE COURT: THIS IS NOT THE TIME FOR ARGUMENT. DO YOU HAVE ANYMORE QUESTIONS OTHER THAN WHAT YOU'VE JUST BEEN ASKING?

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: NO.

THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE ANYTHING?

MS. PARK: NO, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: YOU MAY STEP DOWN. THANK YOU.

THE WITNESS: THANK YOU.

THE COURT: MS. PARK, ARE THERE ANY OTHER WITNESSES OR EVIDENCE?

MS. PARK: NO.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: OF COURSE THERE AIN'T. SHE LIED AT THE LAST HEARING AND TOLD THE COURT THAT THERE WAS OTHER VICTIMS.

THE COURT: THE PEOPLE HAVE RESTED?

MS. PARK: YES.

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD, DO YOU WISH TO --

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: YEAH, I WANT TO TAKE THE STAND.

THE COURT: YOU WANT TO TAKE THE STAND. WHY DON'T YOU DO IT FROM RIGHT THERE.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: WHY CAN I TAKE THE STAND?

THE COURT: NO, YOU CAN DO IT FROM RIGHT THERE. RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND.

THE CLERK: DO YOU SOLEMNLY STATE THAT THE TESTIMONY YOU MAY GIVE IN THE CAUSE NOW PENDING BEFORE THIS COURT SHALL BE THE TRUTH, THE WHOLE TRUTH, AND NOTHING BUT THE TRUTH; SO HELP YOU GOD?

THE WITNESS: YES.

THE CLERK: PLEASE STATE AND SPELL YOUR FIRST AND LAST NAME FOR THE RECORD.

THE WITNESS: AROGANT HOLLYWOOD. FIRST NAME A-R-O-G-A-N-T, ONE R THEY MESSED IT UP WITH TWO R'S ON THE PAPERS AND MY LAST NAME IS HOLLYWOOD.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, GO AHEAD.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: I DON'T -- YOU SAID "SO HELP YOU

GOD," I DON'T TESTIFY UNDER GOT BECAUSE I'M ATHEIST.

THE COURT: YOU AFFIRM, IS THAT CORRECT?

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: YEAH, I AFFIRM.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD,

CALLED BY THE PEOPLE AS A WITNESS, WAS SWORN AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:

EXAMINATION OF AROGANT HOLLYWOOD

BY DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: SO FIRST OFF, YEAH GUZMAN-SANCHEZ IS CORRECT, CARLOS A. JACKSON WAS THE ORIGINAL PERSONAL. I THOUGHT THAT GUZMAN-SANCHEZ WAS OUT FOR MY BEST INTERESTS. BUT LATER ON AS TIME WENT BY AND HE DIDN'T REALLY DO MUCH, I REALIZED THAT HE WASN'T REALLY ON MY SIDE. I DON'T KNOW WHY I GOT HIM 40 HOURS. I GOT HIM MORE HOURS BECAUSE AFTER HIS 20-HOUR ANALYSIS, HE SAID HE NEEDED MORE HOURS TO DO MORE ANALYSIS WITH THE VIDEOS.

FROM THE EXPERTISE THAT I HAVE ON VIDEO, IT IS -- HE'S NOT TELLING THE TRUTH. IT IS CAPABLE OF EVEN A VIDEO THAT'S A MINIATURE SIZE EVEN ON A PSP CAN BE RECORDED OFF ONE DEVICE AND COULD BE -- ONCE THAT DEVICE IS RECORDED, IT CAN BE BLOWN UP AND TRANSFERRED. I DID TELL HIM TO GET THE TEXT MESSAGE.

IT'S CLEAR -- IT SHOULD BE CLEAR TO THE COURT THAT I TOLD HIM ABOUT THE TEXT MESSAGE BECAUSE -- OR TO GET THE TEXT MESSAGE BECAUSE IN HIS OWN DECLARATION HE RECEIVED JENNIFER HUTTON'S TEXT THAT I ZOOMED IN ON THE VIDEO ABOUT,

"YOU'RE MENTALLY ILL," THERE WAS A SECOND TEXT MESSAGE THAT I SENT TO JENNIFER HUTTON. BUT I DIDN'T HAVE TIME TO ZOOM IN ON IT. I WAS GETTING TO THAT. NOW, REGARDING --

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD, YOU NEED TO SLOW DOWN, OKAY. GO AHEAD.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: REGARDING THESE ALLEGED THREATS, I NEVER THREATEN THEM. SAME WAY I NEVER THREATENED JENNIFER HUTTON. ON THE PHONE CALL, WHICH WAS RECORDED, AND JUST SO YOU KNOW I DON'T TALK TO ANYBODY ON THE PHONE WITHOUT RECORDING. SO EVEN IF I CALL LISA JACKSON, THAT CALL IS BEING RECORDED. I KNOW LISA IS NOT -- BUT I JUST HAVE A HABIT OF RECORDING. AND WHEN I CALLED HIM, THE CALL WAS RECORDED.

THE FIRST TIME I CALLED HIM IT WAS RECORDED ON THE GO PRO BECAUSE I WAS UNABLE TO GET THE CALL RECORDED ON MY PHONE TO WORK. WHEN I CALLED HIM BACK THE SECOND TIME, THE CALL RECORDED. I BELIEVE IT WAS ACTIVATED. HE EVEN SAID IN HIS DECLARATION. IT SAID HE HEARD A CALL RECORDER. I TOLD HIM ON THAT PHONE CALL EXACTLY WHAT HE SAID EXCEPT I DID NOT SAY ANYTHING ABOUT I WAS GOING TO F HIM UP.

I DID SAY I WAS GOING TO SUE HIM. I DID SAY I DIDN'T LIKE WHAT HE DID. HE VIOLATED MY RIGHTS, BUT I DID NOT USE THE LANGUAGE OF, "I'LL FUCK YOU UP." I DON'T EVEN TALK LIKE THAT. WHY WOULD I SAY SOMETHING SO SILLY LIKE, YOU KNOW, THAT I GOT THE VIDEO, AND HE DIDN'T DO HIS JOB? YOU KNOW, I DIDN'T SAY ANYTHING. AND THAT'S WHY, AFTER THAT PHONE CALL WITH HIM THAT WAS EVEN ON THE CALL RECORDER, I COULD -- I TEXT MESSAGED HIM AFTER THAT. I REALIZE THAT, OH, HE MIGHT MAKE SOMETHING UP, SO I TEXT MESSAGED.

AND THE REASON WHY HE NEVER CALLED AND NORTHRIDGE IS IN THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES WHERE HE LIVES. AND THE REASON WHY HE NEVER CALLED L.A.P.D. IS BECAUSE IT'S SILLY IF HE WAS TELLING L.A.P.D. WELL, YOU KNOW THIS GUY SAYS HE HAS CALL -- OR THERE WAS A CALL RECORDER, THEN I COULD HAVE SIMPLY GOT THE EVIDENCE AND GAVE IT TO L.A.P.D.

I'M TELLING YOU NOW UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY THAT I HAVE THAT EVIDENCE ON MY PHONE. I MEAN, I DON'T KNOW WHAT THE COURT'S GOING TO DO. I'M GOING TO SEE IF NEIL CAN PICK UP MY PROPERTY, BUT THE CALL RECORDING IS ON MY PHONE. I DID NOT THREATEN HIM. AND LIKE I SAID, HE DIDN'T FILE A POLICE REPORT BECAUSE HE'S NOT TELLING THE TRUTH. YOU KNOW, YOU CAN FILL A POLICE REPORT NOWADAYS ONLINE. YOU CAN CALL 911, THEY CAN RESPOND TO YOUR HOUSE. I MEAN, HE CAN GO TO THE STATION.

AND THE E-MAILS THAT I SENT ON THE 27TH, THAT'S WHAT TRIGGERED HIS DECLARATION BECAUSE WITHIN HOURS OF SENDING THAT DECLARATION, YOU KNOW, HE SENT THIS TO, YOU KNOW, TO MR. RODRIGUEZ. I THINK IT MIGHT HAVE BEEN ROSENBERG. I DON'T KNOW WHO HE SENT IT TO. AND IN THAT E-MAIL, AND I'LL -- YOU SAID I CAN LEAVE IT AS EVIDENCE FOR THE COURT -- IN THAT E-MAIL, HIS NAME IS IN THAT E-MAIL. I DON'T KNOW WHICH PAGE BECAUSE IT'S LONG. THERE'S A BUNCH OF THE NAMES OF FAMILY MEMBERS' ADDRESSES OKAY. HE'S LYING. IT WASN'T SIGNED. THERE WAS NO THREATS NOT EVEN A SUE. IT WAS JUST A LIST OF PEOPLE'S NAMES AND INFORMATION.

AND IT HAS NOT ONLY HIS FIRST AND LAST NAME, IT HAS NOT THAT ADDRESS HE HAS IT. IT HAD HIS HOME ADDRESS AND IT ALSO HAS HIS WIFE'S FULL NAME AND HIS WIFE'S E-MAIL; THAT'S

WHAT TRIGGERED IT.

THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE A COPY OF THAT E-MAIL?

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: YEAH, IT'S IN THE LOCKUP. I HAVE IT. ALISON WAS NICE ENOUGH TO PRINT ALL THE E-MAILS BECAUSE I TOLD HER I WOULD NEED IT. I ANTICIPATED THEM COMING TO COURT. SO YEAH, I HAVE THE E-MAIL.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, WHAT I'M GOING TO DO IS TAKE A TEN-MINUTE RECESS, AND I WOULD ASK THE DEPUTY MAYBE YOU CAN ASSIST HIM IN LOCATING THIS E-MAIL, AND WE'LL RESUME IN ABOUT TEN MINUTES.

(RECESS TAKEN.)

THE COURT: BACK ON THE RECORD ON OUR PROBATION VIOLATION. THIS IS ON AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, CASE NUMBER 4055. MR. HOLLYWOOD IS TESTIFYING, AND WE'VE BEEN DISCUSSING AN E-MAIL. AND YOU SAID THE E-MAIL WAS SENT ON NOVEMBER THE 27TH, RIGHT?

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: YES, ABSOLUTELY, YUP.

THE COURT: 27TH, AND IT WAS ON THE SAME DATE THAT ALLEGEDLY THE WITNESS, I GUESS, MAYBE SENT A COMPLAINT TO EITHER THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY OR THE COURT IS THAT CORRECT?

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: YES.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, AND THE E-MAIL WAS ADDRESSED TO WHO?

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: IT WAS ADDRESSED TO ABOUT 400 DIFFERENT NAMES. IT WAS A LOT OF THE COUNTY COUNCIL MEMBERS JUST LIKE I TOLD YOU BEFORE IN COURT. I THINK WE SHOULD DO

THIS WHILE I'M LOOKING AT IT. I CAN SHOW YOU THIS SO YOU CAN SEE ALL THE NAMES.

THE COURT: AND YOU'RE THE ONE THAT SENT THE E-MAIL, IS THAT CORRECT?

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: YEAH, I SENT THAT E-MAIL.

THE COURT: YOU'RE SAYING THAT ON THAT E-MAIL IT HAD THE WITNESS'S ADDRESS AND ALSO THE NAME OF HIS WIFE, IS THAT CORRECT?

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: YES.

THE COURT: OKAY. GO AHEAD. YOU WANT TO PROVIDE ANYMORE TESTIMONY?

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: YEAH, IF I GET A LITTLE LONGER TO FIND THE E-MAIL. I THINK THE FIRST TIME -- THE SECOND TIME I DID IT. I MIGHT HAVE MISSED IT SO I'M LOOKING A LITTLE MORE CLOSELY. I KNOW HE WAS -- THE INFORMATION WAS ON THAT. I DON'T KNOW IF THERE'S A SECOND E-MAIL THAT I SENT. I'M PRETTY SURE IT'S JUST THIS ONE E-MAIL THAT HAD A BUNCH OF NAMES, BUT, YOU KNOW, SO I'M STILL LOOKING FOR IT.

THE COURT: OKAY. SO I WILL TAKE YOUR WORD, AND THE WITNESS HAS ACKNOWLEDGED THAT THERE WAS AN E-MAIL. HE MAY NOT HAVE LOOKED AT IT. IT MAY HAVE GONE IN THE TRASH.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: I JUST NEED A LITTLE MORE TIME.

THE COURT: I'M NOT SURE THAT THAT'S GOING TO BE A CRITICAL ISSUE IN THIS CASE BECAUSE --

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: OKAY.

THE COURT: -- BECAUSE YOU COULD REALLY INTERPRET THAT IN TWO WAYS. ONE, IT MAY, BECAUSE OF THE E-MAIL SEEING AN ADDRESS THERE ESPECIALLY WITH HIS WIFE MENTIONED, THAT WOULD

MEAN THAT IT WOULD MAKE EVEN MORE THREATENING THE RELATIONSHIP WITH YOU. BUT I SEE WHAT YOU'RE SAYING. YOU'RE SAYING THAT IT WAS ALSO RETALIATORY; THAT'S YOUR ARGUMENT.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: IT HAPPENED THE SAME DAY.

THE COURT: THE REASON WHY HE REPORTED THE VIOLATION WAS BECAUSE IT WAS IN RETALIATION FOR THAT, IS THAT CORRECT?

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: YEAH, THE SAME DAY.

THE COURT: OKAY.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: THE SAME DAY THAT HE RECEIVED THAT E-MAIL.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, I WILL TAKE THAT INTO CONSIDERATION. WHY DON'T YOU TESTIFY AS TO WHAT ELSE YOU WANT TO TESTIFY TO?

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: THAT WAS REALLY ALL I'M SAYING. LIKE I SAID, I MEAN AFTER THE CONVERSATION I HAD WITH HIM THAT WAS ON THE RECORDED CALL, EVERYTHING AFTER THAT WAS TEXT MESSAGE, AND THEN THAT E-MAIL THAT I SENT TO HIM. I BELIEVE HE WAS ALSO ON THE OTHER E-MAIL WHERE THE D.A. RECEIVED THE VIDEO, AND I MEAN THAT'S ABOUT IT.

I DON'T HAVE HIM REALLY AFTER -- AFTER I SENT THAT E-MAIL THAT WAS SENT ON THE 27TH, I DIDN'T CONTACT HIM, YOU KNOW, UP UNTIL THE DAY I WAS ARRESTED -- OR I TURNED MYSELF IN, I DIDN'T CONTACT HIM. I DIDN'T E-MAIL HIM. I DIDN'T, YOU KNOW.

THE COURT: DOES THAT COMPLETE YOUR TESTIMONY?

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: YEAH, I DON'T REALLY KNOW WHAT ELSE TO ADD. BUT LIKE I SAID, I MEAN, HE PUT IN HIS DECLARATIONS THE VIDEOS WERE ALTERED. I FEEL LIKE, I MEAN-- I DON'T KNOW

IF I'M A VIDEO EXPERT LIKE HIM, BUT I KNOW A LOT ABOUT VIDEOS TOO. I WAS ABLE TO ZOOM IN ON THE TEXT MESSAGE MYSELF. AND I FIGURED, LIKE I SAID, THAT EVEN IF IT'S LITTLE SMALL SCREEN -- I MEAN WE SAW ROSENBERG MAKE PRETTY BIG IN HERE, BUT EVEN IF IT WAS A REALLY SMALL SCREEN, HE COULD STILL RECORDED THAT SMALL SCREEN AND BLEW IT UP AND ZOOMED IN ON THE TEXT MESSAGE.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, LET ME ASK THE PEOPLE. MS. PARK, DO YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS FOR MR. HOLLYWOOD?

MS. PARK: NO, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: NO. ALL RIGHT, MR. HOLLYWOOD DO YOU WISH TO BE HEARD -- OR WELL, ACTUALLY, I SHOULD ASK THE PEOPLE. PEOPLE, DO YOU WISH TO BE HEARD FIRST?

MS. PARK: YES. YOUR HONOR, WE HAVE A WITNESS IN COURT WHO, AFTER BEING APPOINTED BY THIS COURT, ASSISTED MR. HOLLYWOOD WITH HIS CASE. MR. HOLLYWOOD, BY HIS ON ADMISSION, WAS UNSATISFIED WITH THE WORK THAT MR. GUZMAN-SANCHEZ DID ON THIS CASE. AND THAT MANIFESTED AS ANGER, OUTRAGE, AND HE ENGAGED IN A PATTERN OF HARASSMENT WHERE HE CONTACTED THE WITNESS NUMEROUS TIMES WITHIN DAYS OF HIS CONVICTION. WHILE ON PROBATION TO THIS COURT FOR FELONY CRIMINAL THREATS, HE ENGAGED IN A SERIES OF HARASSMENT AND HARASSING BEHAVIOR IN THE FORM OF TEXT MESSAGES, DAYS LATER VIE PHONE CALLS.

IN THESE PHONE CALLS, THE HARASSMENT EXCALATED TO THE VERBAL THREATS WHERE HE THREATENED TO FUCK UP THE WITNESS AND TOLD HIM TO WATCH OUT. THAT FURTHER BECAME ESCALATED WHEN HE SENT THAT E-MAIL, BY HIS OWN ADMISSION, WHICH HE BELIEVED CONTAINED THE HOME ADDRESS OF THE WITNESS AS WELL AS FAMILY,

THE NAME OF THE WITNESS'S WIFE. THAT INDICATED TO THE WITNESS THAT MR. HOLLYWOOD HAD RESEARCHED THEM, LOOKED INTO IT, AND MADE EFFORTS TO OBTAIN THEIR HOME ADDRESS. HE HAD RESEARCHED AND LOOKED INTO THE NAMES OF CLOSE FAMILY MEMBERS, AND IT INDICATED TO HIM THAT HE WAS SERIOUS ABOUT ACTING ON HIS THREATS. IT INDICATED TO HIM AN INTENT TO CONTINUE TO HARASS AND INTIMIDATE AND THREATEN THE WITNESS AND HIS FAMILY AND TO ESCALATE HIS HARASSMENT TO POTENTIALLY VIOLENCE. SO THAT PROVIDED MORE CONTEXT TO THE WITNESS WHICH MOTIVATED HIM TO NOTIFY THIS COURT ABOUT THE HARASSING BEHAVIOR.

AND WE WOULD BE ASKING THE COURT TO TAKE JUDICIAL NOTICE THAT MR. GUZMAN-SANCHEZ DID REPORT THIS BEHAVIOR DIRECTLY TO THE COURT IN THE FORM OF A DECLARATION THAT HE SUBMITTED.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: SO WHAT, ON THE 27TH.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, SO YOU WANT TO ADMIT THE DECLARATION THAT WAS ATTACHED TO THE VIOLATION?

MS. PARK: YES.

THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION, MR. HOLLYWOOD?

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: YEAH, I OBJECT BECAUSE THE DECLARATION WASN'T WHAT HE TESTIFIED TO. HE TESTIFIED THAT --

THE COURT: HOLD ON. HOLD ON, THAT OBJECTION IS OVERRULED.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: OKAY.

THE COURT: THE DECLARATION WOULD BE ADMITTED. GO AHEAD.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: FIRST OFF, IF YOU NOTICED, HE TESTIFIED THAT IT WAS HIS SON. BUT IN HIS DECLARATION HE SAID ASSISTANT. HIS ASSISTANT'S HIS SON. HE NEVER FILED ANY

POLICE REPORT. HE NEVER CALLED ANY POLICE BECAUSE HE KNEW THAT CALL WAS RECORDED. REGARDLESS OF WHAT THEY SAID ABOUT NOVEMBER 27TH, THAT'S A MONTH LATER. AND THE ELEMENTS OF CRIMINAL THREAT IS IMMEDIATE. EVEN IN THIS CASE WITH JENNIFER HUTTON, WHICH WE KNOW SHE'S LYING NOW BECAUSE THE VIDEO. THEY HAVE THE VIDEO. YOU KNOW, SHE CALLED THE POLICE IMMEDIATELY FIVE, TEN MINUTES LATER.

THIS GUY CONTACTING THE D.A. A MONTH LATER TALKING ABOUT SOME THREATS THAT HAPPENED ON THE 22ND. HE'S CLEARLY NOT TELLING THE TRUTH. HE DIDN'T EVEN REMEMBER HIS LIES OR HIS DECLARATION. HE HAD TO BE REFRESHED.

BUT HE'S AN INTELLIGENT GUY, AND HE DOES VIDEOGRAPHY. HE'S VERY FAMILIAR WITH THIS CASE, AND HE DID A VERY DETAILED DECLARATION. SO IT WOULD BE HARD TO BELIEVE THAT, IF HE WAS TELLING THE TRUTH, THAT HE WOULD NEED TO REFRESH HIS MEMORY ABOUT SOMETHING THAT JUST HAPPENED VERY RECENTLY. HE'S NOT TELLING TRUTH. THERE WAS NO THREATS EVER MADE. AND HE KNOWS THERE'S NO THREATS EVER MADE; THAT'S WHY HE NEVER CALLED 911, HE NEVER FILED A POLICE REPORT, THAT'S WHY THEY HAVE NO NEW CHARGES FILED. THERE'S NOTHING.

AND AS FAR AS, LIKE IN HIS PHONE CALL OR HIS DECLARATION, HE SAYS, "I'M GOING TO REPORT YOU." I DON'T KNOW HIM SAYING THAT. BUT HE'S SAYING THAT HE SAID, "I'M GOING TO REPORT YOU." I MEAN, THAT RIGHT THERE MEANS THAT OKAY, WHEN I GET OFF THE PHONE, I'M GOING TO CALL 911. HE TESTIFIED THAT HE WAS IN FEAR. HE WAS AFRAID; THAT'S NOT WHAT HE PUT IN HIS DECLARATION, BUT THAT'S WHAT HE TESTIFIED TO.

AND BASED ON WHAT HE TESTIFIED TO, ONE WOULD THINK

THAT HE CONTACTED 911 OR HE WOULD FILE A POLICE REPORT WITHIN AT LEAST A WEEK. AND THEN YET, WHEN HE GETS THIS E-MAIL ON NOVEMBER 27TH AND HE ALSO GOT THE E-MAIL ABOUT THE VIDEO, HE, YOU KNOW, HE FELT INSULTED. HE HADN'T DONE HIS JOB, AND HE DIDN'T LIKE THE FACT THAT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD WAS GOING TO SUE HIS WIFE. AND THAT'S WHY HE -- THAT'S WHY HE DID THE DECLARATION.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: IT WAS THE SAME DAY.

THE COURT: FOR THE RECORD, THE DECLARATION WOULD BE MARKED AS EXHIBIT PEOPLE'S 1 AT THIS PROBATION VIOLATION HEARING, AND THE DECLARATION IS ADMITTED.

(PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT NUMBER 1 IS MARKED FOR. IDENTIFICATION AND ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)

THE COURT: SUBMITTED?

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: YES.

MS. PARK: YES.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, FIRST OF ALL, YOU KNOW, MR. HOLLYWOOD, WHEN I GRANTED YOU PROBATION, I WAS HOPING THAT YOU WOULD NOT VIOLATE PROBATION SO SOON, THAT YOU WOULD LEARN YOUR LESSON THAT YOU CAN'T BE THREATENING PEOPLE, HARASSING PEOPLE, AND THREATENING BODILY HARM. AND SO YOU JUST SEEM TO NOT BE ABLE TO FUNCTION OUT THERE IN A MANNER IN WHICH YOU ARE NOT THREATENING.

NOW, EVEN IF YOU'RE ANGRY AT SOMETHING, YOU CAN'T BE HARASSING SOMEBODY WHO YOU BELIEVE HAS DONE YOU WRONG; THAT'S

NOT ACCEPTABLE IN SOCIETY, AND YOU HAVE TO UNDERSTAND THAT. AND YOU CAN DO WHAT YOU WANT. YOU CAN BE AN ADVOCATE. YOU CAN SUE PEOPLE THAT'S, YOU KNOW, UNDERSTOOD IF THAT'S WHAT YOU WISH. BUT YOU CAN'T BE HARASSING PEOPLE AND THREATENING PEOPLE, AND THAT'S WHAT YOU, UNFORTUNATELY, HAVE DONE AGAIN.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: I DIDN'T THREATEN NOBODY. YOU CAN DO WHATEVER YOU WANT. I'LL HAVE NEIL GET -- I'LL HAVE NEIL GET --

THE COURT: I FOUND THE WITNESS'S TESTIMONY CREDIBLE.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: OKAY, GOOD.

THE COURT: THAT YOU TOLD HIM, "I'M GOING TO FUCK YOU UP."

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: I DID NOT TELL HIM THAT, THAT'S A LIE.

THE COURT: WELL, SORRY, BUT I THOUGHT THAT TESTIMONY WAS --

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: I DON'T CARE. YOUR CASE IS GOING TO GET OVERTURNED.

THE COURT: HOLD ON. I'M TALKING. YOU PUT INTO CONTEXT OF EVERYTHING ELSE THAT YOU'VE DONE, THAT IT'S NOT --

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: REALLY.

THE COURT: -- IT'S SOMETHING THAT'S NOT ACCEPTABLE AND NOT ACCEPTABLE WHILE YOU'RE ON PROBATION.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: ALL RIGHT.

THE COURT: AS FAR AS THE REPORT, YOU MADE A BIG DEAL ABOUT HIM NOT GOING TO THE POLICE. I THINK MAYBE BECAUSE HE'S FAMILIAR WITH THE COURT SYSTEM, HE UNDERSTANDS THAT YOU BEING ON PROBATION THAT HE COULD REPORT THE VIOLATION AND REPORT IT

DIRECTLY TO THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, AND THAT'S WHAT WAS DONE IN THIS CASE. AND THE COURT DOES NOT NEED A POLICE REPORT TO VIOLATE PROBATION.

I GET REPORTS FROM PROBATION OFFICERS. I GET LETTERS. I GET ALL KINDS OF THINGS THAT CAN SERVE AS THE BASIS FOR A VIOLATION OF PROBATION. AND ONCE I REVOKE PROBATION, WE CONDUCT A HEARING. YOU'VE HAD YOUR OPPORTUNITY --

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: RIGHT.

THE COURT: -- TO BE HEARD AT THIS HEARING. AND WE'VE HEARD THE TESTIMONY FROM THE WITNESS DIRECTLY ON THE STAND.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: YEAH.

THE COURT: AND SO THAT IS THE WAY THESE VIOLATIONS GO.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: AND LET HE RECORD BE NOTED THAT YOU PREVENTED ME FROM HAVING MY PHONE. ONCE NEIL GETS MY PHONE, I WILL GET THE ORIGINAL CONVERSATION THAT PROVES THAT HE LIED ON THE STAND AND THERE WAS NO THREAT. I HAVE THAT RECORDED, BUT HONESTLY, I REALLY DON'T CARE BECAUSE I HAVE THE VIDEO. AND NEIL'S DONE HIS DECLARATION AND IT'S GOING TO GET SERVED ON THE D.A.

SO ANYTHING ELSE, GO AHEAD. SENTENCE ME FIVE YEARS, TEN YEARS. I DON'T GIVE A DAMN. I REALLY DON'T CARE WHAT YOU DO. BECAUSE NO MATER WHAT ON THIS CASE, YOU'VE BEEN THE MOST UNFAIR JUDGE INCLUDING THE FACT THAT YOU DIDN'T LET MY CO-COUNSEL ORDER ME OUT. SO, YOU KNOW, DO WHATEVER YOU WANT. I DON'T CARE. WE HAVE THE VIDEO. WE'RE GOING TO OVERTURN THE CONVICTION ON HABEAS CORPUS; THAT'S FINE. IT WILL BE GET OVERTURNED.

THE COURT: SO BASED ON THE EVIDENCE PRESENTED, I DO FIND THE DEFENDANT IN VIOLATION OF PROBATION. I'M GOING TO PUT OVER SENTENCING ON THE VIOLATION OF PROBATION. AND YOU FILED A MOTION TO WITHDRAW THE PLEA, SO WE'LL HEAR THAT. I'LL SET IT IN TWO WEEKS, AND WE'LL MAKE THAT -- DO YOU LIKE FRIDAYS OR YOU DON'T LIKE FRIDAYS?

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: WELL, THEY GOT ME HERE EARLY TODAY. IT MIGHT HAVE SOMETHING TO DO WITH K10. I PREFER THURSDAYS BECAUSE --

THE COURT: THURSDAYS.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: WEDNESDAYS AND THURSDAYS ARE EASIER TO GET HERE, YEAH.

THE COURT: HOW ABOUT FEBRUARY 1ST?

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: OKAY, SO AT THAT FEBRUARY 1ST HEARING, I'LL REQUEST FOR -- IS THE COURT GOING TO -- SO YOU SAID SOMETHING ABOUT THE VIDEO, BUT FOR THE PURPOSE OF MY MOTION TO WITHDRAW MY PLEA AND APPEAL, IS THE COURT GOING TO LET ME PRESENT MY EVIDENCE AT THE EVIDENTIARY HEARING? CAN I HAVE MY EVIDENTIARY HEARING?

THE COURT: FIRST OF ALL, THERE'S NO EVIDENTIARY HEARING.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: OKAY, THAT'S NOTED FOR THE APPEAL. YOU CAN'T DO THAT, SIR. THERE'S NEWLY DISCOVERED EVIDENCE AND THERE'S IF THERE'S NEWLY DISCOVERED EVIDENCE THE COURT SHOULD HEAR IT. WHY DON'T YOU WANT TO HEAR THE TRUTH?

YOU'RE SAYING THAT I THREATENED HIM. YOU TELL ME I THREATENED JENNIFER. NEIL DID HIS DECLARATION. YOU REALIZE THAT NEIL IS AN ATTORNEY. HE HAS A BAR, SIR, THAT YOU CAN BE DISBARRED FOR NOT -- IF YOU DENIED THAT MOTION TO WITHDRAW THE

PLEA BASED ON NEIL'S DECLARATION, I CAN GET YOU DISBARRED. SO I DARE YOU. I BET YOU YOU GRANT IT, AND THIS CASE WILL END. AND THE SO PROBATION VIOLATION DOESN'T MATTER; THAT'S THE REASON WHY YOU'RE PUTTING IT OVER.

THE COURT: I'M NOT GETTING DISBARRED. I'M A JUDGE. LET ME REPHRASE IT. YOU CAN MAKE YOUR MOTION TO WITHDRAW YOUR PLEA AND, YES, IT CAN BE BASED ON NEWLY DISCOVERED EVIDENCE.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: THANK YOU.

THE COURT: SO IF THAT IS THE BASIS OF YOUR MOTION, THE COURT WILL HEAR IT, AND YOU CAN PRESENT EVIDENCE. BUT YOU NEED TO BE READY TO PRESENT THAT EVIDENCE --

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: I WILL.

THE COURT: -- ON THE DATE THAT WE SET --

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: YUP.

THE COURT: -- WHICH IS NOW FEBRUARY 1ST.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: I WOULD LIKE TO REQUEST, IF THE COURT DOESN'T MIND, TO SET THIS THREE WEEKS OUT SO NEIL CAN BE HERE. SO NEIL NEEDS ABOUT THREE WEEKS NOTICE, AND THEN NEIL CAN BRING THE VIDEO HIMSELF. CAN WE SET IT THREE WEEKS OUT?

THE COURT: IT IS THREE WEEKS OUT. IT'S FEBRUARY THE 1ST.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: OH, IT IS THREE WEEKS OUT, OKAY. ALL RIGHT, SO THAT WAY NEIL HAS TIME TO GET HERE.

THE COURT: PEOPLE, DO YOU HAVE A COPY OF THIS MOTION?

MS. PARK: I DO NOT, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: YOU DO NOT.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: NO, I JUST -- SO IF COURT, FOR THE PURPOSE OF PROOF OF SERVICE, IF THE COURT CAN GIVE ME MY COPY,

I DON'T KNOW IF I SHOULD HAVE THE PROCESSOR SERVICE GOING TO SERVE THE DECLARATION.

THE COURT: THAT MIGHT BE A PROBLEM BECAUSE YOU GOT WHAT, OVER 100 PAGES HERE TODAY?

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: NO, IT'S NOT 100. IT'S LIKE 50-SOME, I THOUGHT.

THE COURT: ONLY 50. I SEE IT'S ONE SIDED, SO THAT'S GOOD. SO MAYBE THAT WILL BE FASTER.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: I'M TELLING YOU IT'S A LOT BETTER.

THE COURT: WE'RE GOING TO MAKE TWO COPIES. ONE FOR YOU AND ONE FOR THE D.A., AND WE'LL TRY TO GET IT TO YOU BEFORE YOU LEAVE.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: YEAH, SO WHAT I'M SAYING IS I PREFER -- WELL, LET ME ASK YOU THIS, CAN I PLEASE GET MY ORDER FOR THE MEDICAL SO I CAN GET MY SPECIAL DIET?

THE COURT: YES, WELL, YOU WANT ME TO SIGN IT?

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: YEAH, THE MEDICAL. I NEED IT TO SEE THE DOCTOR TO GET THE SPECIAL DIET.

THE COURT: YOU NEED TO WRITE DOWN WHAT YOU WANT ON IT.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: IT'S JUST MEDICAL, NO PSYCH.

THE COURT: THAT'S ALL?

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: SPECIAL DIET.

THE COURT: SPECIAL DIET?

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: YES.

THE COURT: OKAY. I'LL SIGN A SPECIAL DIET.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: SINCE I'M COMING BACK IN THREE WEEK, I WOULD ASK THE COURT NOW PLEASE, IF I COULD GET ORDERED OUT --

THE COURT: NO.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: -- NEXT WEEK.

THE COURT: NO. WE DON'T DO THAT.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: OKAY.

THE COURT: I CAN'T DO THAT. SO YOU DON'T WANT A MEDICAL EXAM?

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: YEAH, MEDICAL EXAM, BUT PUT ON THERE PLEASE SPECIAL DIET BECAUSE THAT'S THE ISSUE THE DOCTOR IS NOT -- I HAVEN'T NOT ABLE TO SEE THE DOCTOR.

THE COURT: I'LL SAY THE NEEDS SPECIAL DIET. DO YOU HAVE A NAME FOR THIS SPECIAL DIET?

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: YEAH, IT'S IT SUPPOSED TO BE NO PEANUT BUTTER AND NO MILK, AND I THINK HIGH PROTEIN.

THE COURT: NO MILK.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: NO PEANUT BUTTER.

THE COURT: NO PEANUT BUTTER.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: AND HIGH PROTEIN SO THEY CAN GIVE ME MEAT INSTEAD OF ALL THAT BREAD. I THINK THAT WAS WHAT WAS ON THE LABEL THAT I USED TO BRING TO COURT.

THE COURT: HIGH PROTEIN LIKE BEEF AND FISH?

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: YES, AND I WOULD ALSO ASK THE COURT IF RIGHT NOW, OF COURSE, I CAN'T WRITE IT OUT. IF NEIL IS ABLE TO GET MY PHONE, I WOULD ASK THE COURT TO ALLOW ME TO PRESENT THE EVIDENTIARY HEARING WITH THE PHONE THE CONVERSATION WITH GUZMAN-SANCHEZ.

THE COURT: NOW, I DON'T WHO HAS YOUR PHONE. WHO HAS YOUR PHONE?

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: THE SHERIFFS HAVE IT. I'M GOING TO

SEE IF I CAN RELEASE MY PROPERTY TO NEIL, AND HE'LL TAKE IT TO ALISON, BUT THE RECORDING WITH GUZMAN-SANCHEZ, I'M 100 PERCENT SURE IS ON THE PHONE. SO IF WE CAN DO THAT AT THE SAME TIME IF I HAVE THE PHONE. WOULD THE COURT ALLOW THAT?

THE COURT: REMIND ME WHAT WE DID WITH THE PHONE LAST TIME WE'RE HERE.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: SO I WAS HERE HONESTLY --

THE COURT: NO, I'M ASKING DEPUTY HIGGS.

THE BAILIFF: WHEN HE WAS REMANDED, THEY TOOK IT INTO PROPERTY.

THE COURT: THEY TOOK IT INTO PROPERTY. SO IT'S STILL WITH HIS PROPERTY.

THE BAILIFF: I THINK IT'S AT IRC.

THE COURT: DOES IT COME WITH HIM WHEN HE COMES OUT OR BACK AT THE JAIL.

THE BAILIFF: I THINK IF HE WANTS HIS ATTORNEY TO GET A RELEASE PAPER, HE CAN SUBMIT IT TO IRC.

THE COURT: HE CAN SUBMIT IT?

THE BAILIFF: I THINK THE ATTORNEY CAN SUBMIT IT.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: I GOT AN IDEA. CAN THE COURT SIGN AN ORDER BECAUSE THEY PROBABLY WON'T WANT TO RELEASE ALL MY PROPERTY, CAN THE COURT SIGN AN ORDER JUST TO RELEASE MY PHONE TO EITHER ALISON FAIRCHILD OR NEIL OPHDAHL-LOPEZ, AND THEY CAN TAKE THAT ORDER DOWN THERE ALONG WITH THE PROPERTY RELEASE AND THEY'LL RELEASE JUST THE PHONE.

THE COURT: THE COURT IS ORDERING THAT THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT RELEASE THE PHONE.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: IPHONE.

THE COURT: I PHONE, IS IT IPHONE 7.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: NO IPHONE, I THINK IT'S 14 PRO MAX.

THE BAILIFF: I THINK IT'S ALL HIS PROPERTY.

THE COURT: ALL THE PROPERTY.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: NO, NO, JUST FOR THE PHONE. BECAUSE ALISON CAN'T CARRY THAT. I TAKE MY CHANCES WITH JUST THE PHONE NEIL'S SO BUSY ALISON CAN GO GET IT.

THE COURT: I'M SORRY SERGEANT.

THE SERGEANT: YOUR HONOR, HAVING WORKED AT IRC, THEY WON'T RELEASE HIS PHONE. IT HAS TO BE ALL OF HIS PROPERTY.

THE COURT: ALL OF HIS PROPERTY.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: YEAH, THEY WILL. IF THERE'S AN ORDER THEY WILL. THEY'RE INCORRECT. IF THERE'S AN ORDER, AND ALISON HAS PROPERTY RELEASE, BECAUSE YOU REMEMBER CHANG HAD SIGNED AN ORDER TO RELEASE THE PHONE, BUT, I GUESS, THEY WOULDN'T ACCEPT IT WITHOUT THE PROPERTY RELEASE. SO THEY TOLD ALISON ON THE LAST CASE THEY NEED THE PROPERTY RELEASE AND ORDER TO RELEASE INDIVIDUAL ITEMS.

SO I WOULD ASK THE COURT TO ORDER THAT BECAUSE YOU KNOW MY WOMAN'S DISABLED. SHE'S IN A WHEELCHAIR. SHE'S NOT GOING TO BE ABLE CARRY ALL THAT. SHE CAN TAKE THE PHONE. AND IF NEIL CAN GET DOWN THERE, I'LL HAVE HIM GET EVERYTHING, BUT CAN WE PUT IT IN THE MINUTE ORDER.

THE COURT: WHY DOESN'T NEIL PICK IT UP.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: NEIL'S SO BUSY. THAT'S WHY I ASKED FOR THREE WEEKS OUT SO HE CAN BE HERE. HE DOES MISDEMEANORS. HE DOES EVICTIONS. HE DOES FELONY CASES, AND, YOU KNOW HE'S AN AWESOME FRIEND, HE'S HANDLING MY CASE PRO BONO, YOU KNOW,

WHAT I MEAN. BUT HE CAN'T BE HERE AT SHORT NOTICE AND SOMETIMES HE MIGHT NOT BE ABLE TO GET TO THE JAIL. CAN WE PUT IN THE MINUTE ORDER FOR ALISON FAIRCHILD.

THE COURT: I'LL PUT IN THE ORDER THAT THE PHONE BE RELEASED AND THAT THE SHERIFF WILL TRY AND HOPEFULLY WILL ACCOMMODATE THE ORDER AND JUST RELEASE THE PHONE.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: CAN YOU PUT IN ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD AND NEIL OPHDAHL-LOPEZ.

THE COURT: SURE TO EITHER ONE. ALL RIGHT, I THINK WE'RE DONE.

(PROCEEDINGS IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER WERE CONCLUDED.)

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPARTMENT 1 HON. MICHAEL VILLALOBOS, JUDGE

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, PLAINTIFF, VS. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, DEFENDANT.	NO. GA114055-01 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, ADRIANA MONTANEZ, OFFICIAL REPORTER OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING PAGES, 1 THROUGH 49, INCLUSIVE, COMPRISE A FULL, TRUE AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF THE PROCEEDINGS HELD IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER ON JANUARY 12, 2024,

DATED THIS 15TH DAY OF JANUARY, 2024

adrianamontanez , CSR NO. 12767

ADRIANA MONTANEZ
OFFICIAL REPORTER

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPT. 1 HON. MICHAEL VILLALOBOS, JUDGE

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, PLAINTIFF, VS. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, DEFENDANT.	SUPERIOR COURT CASE NO. GA114055 PRELIMINARY HEARING ***ORIGINAL COPY***

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

APRIL 4, 2024 - A.M. SESSION ONLY

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE PEOPLE: OFFICES OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY
BY: BRIAN ROSENBERG, DEPUTY
211 WEST TEMPLE, SUITE 200
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012

FOR THE DEFENDANT: IN PROPRIA PERSONA

ADRIANA MONTANEZ, CSR NO. 12767
OFFICIAL REPORTER

M A S T E R I N D E X

SESSIONS

	PAGE
APRIL 4, 2023	
A.M. SESSION	5

M A S T E R I N D E X

CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX OF WITNESSES

	PAGE
JENNIFER HEGAR (CALLED BY THE PEOPLE)	
DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSENBERG	15
CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. HOLLYWOOD	43

M A S T E R I N D E X

EXHIBITS

PEOPLE'S EXHIBITS	DESCRIPTION	ID	EVD	REFUSED
1	FLASH DRIVE	1	23	

DEFENSE EXHIBITS	DESCRIPTION	ID	EVD	REFUSED

(NONE OFFERED)

CASE NUMBER: GA114055
CASE NAME: PEOPLE VS. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA APRIL 4, 2023
DEPT. 1 HON. MICHAEL VILLALOBOS, JUDGE
REPORTER: ADRIANA MONTANEZ, CSR NO. 12767
TIME: A.M. SESSION
APPEARANCES:

DEFENDANT, AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, IN PROPRIA PERSONA; BRIAN ROSENBERG, DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY, REPRESENTING THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

THE COURT: ON THE RECORD ON AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, CASE NUMBER ENDING 4055. THE DEFENDANT IS PRESENT AND IS SELF-REPRESENTED, AND PEOPLE ARE REPRESENTED BY MR. ROSENBERG. THE MATTER IS HERE FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING. WE ALSO HAVE A PROBATION MATTER, CASE NUMBER 0588. WE WILL BE DOING, IT'S JUST A MISDEMEANOR, WE'LL BE DOING THE VIOLATION CONCURRENT WITH THE PRELIMINARY HEARING. MR. HOLLYWOOD, ARE YOU READY TO PROCEED?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I WANT TO MAKE MY RECORD. I ALSO WANT TO KNOW WHERE MY GO PRO IS. I HAVE ALSO PREPARED A MOTION THAT, AFTER THE PEOPLE REST FOR THE CASE, IF THE COURT IS NOT CONVINCED THE CROSS-EXAMINATION LIKE THE LAST CASE, I WOULD MOVE FOR A CONTINUANCE UNTIL THE DEFENSE CAN PRESENT THEIR EVIDENCE. WHERE IS MY GO PRO? I FILED AT 1538.5 ON IT. THEY DO THIS CRAP WHERE THEY TAKE THE VIDEOS OFF THE GO PRO, AND DON'T RETURN THE GO PRO. THERE'S BEEN NO EVIDENCE THAT THE GO

PRO SHOWS ME COMMITTING A CRIME. DO THEY HAVE MY GO PRO; THAT'S THE FIRST THING?

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. SO, MR. ROSENBERG, I UNDERSTAND YOU WERE ABLE TO OBTAIN THE GO PRO.

MR. ROSENBERG: THE VIDEOS THAT ARE ON THE GO PRO, YES.

THE COURT: OKAY.

MR. ROSENBERG: AND WE CAN PLAY THEM DURING THE PRELIM.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: WHAT ABOUT THE GO PRO? WHY ARE THEY NOT RETURNING MY GO PRO? BECAUSE THERE'S NO EVIDENCE ON THE GO PRO. THEY LIED. THEY GOT A SEARCH WARRANT. IT SAYS THE GO PRO SHOWS ME COMMITTING A CRIME. THE GO PRO DOESN'T SHOW ME COMMITTING A CRIME. I FILED A 1538.5 ON THAT MOTION. THEY NEED TO TURN OVER MY PROPERTY. IT'S ILLEGALLY SEIZED.

THE COURT: OKAY, WELL, WHERE IS IT PHYSICALLY NOW?

MR. ROSENBERG: I BELIEVE IN SHERIFF'S EVIDENCE, AND ACTUALLY DETECTIVE KHOUNTHAVONG IS SITTING HERE TO MY LEFT. HE CAN ADDRESS THAT.

DETECTIVE KHOUNTHAVONG: YES, IT'S CURRENTLY AT THE STATION IN EVIDENCE. IT'S UP FOR RELEASE AT ANYTIME.

THE COURT: BUT AS FAR AS THE CONTENTS OF THE GO PRO, IT WAS TAKEN ON A FLASH DRIVE?

MR. ROSENBERG: YES. I'LL PLAY THEM HERE AT THIS PRELIM.

THE COURT: SO IT'S GOING TO BE PLAYED AT PRELIM.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: OKAY. WELL, YEAH, BUT THEY'RE SAYING THE GO PRO. I DON'T KNOW WHY THEY DIDN'T BRING THE GO PRO HERE BECAUSE THE VIDEOS CAN BE PLAYED FROM THE VIDEO. I KNOW CO-COUNSEL HE GAVE SOMETHING TO HIM. HE TRIED TO PLAY IT. HE COULDN'T PLAY THE VIDEO. I MEAN, IF THEY HAVE THE VIDEOS, I

DON'T KNOW WHY WE'RE AT PRELIM BECAUSE THOSE VIDEOS DON'T SHOW ME COMMITTING A CRIME.

THE COURT: WELL, WE'LL SEE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: LET'S MOVE FORWARD WITH THE MOTIONS. SO I GOT A LOT OF MOTIONS. AS YOU KNOW, I WAS SUPPOSED TO GO TO COURT ON THURSDAY, BUT THEY DIDN'T BLOCK ME FROM --

THE COURT: THE MAIN THING IS ARE YOU READY FOR PRELIM?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: CAN I PROCEED WITH MY MOTIONS?

THE COURT: WHAT ARE THE MOTIONS ABOUT?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THE MOTIONS ARE RELATED TO PRELIM. LOOK, I SAID I HAVE A MOTION. THERE'S A LOT OF DISCOVERY THAT'S MISSING. AND I HAVE A MOTION FOR -- LIKE I SAID, I THINK THE COURT WOULD BE CONVINCED ON CROSS-EXAMINATION JUST LIKE THE LAST CASE. I DON'T CARE WHAT THEY TESTIFY -- WHAT SHE TESTIFIES TO.

THE COURT: WHY DON'T WE HEAR THE EVIDENCE, AND THEN YOU CAN, IF YOU ARE NOT SATISFIED, THEN YOU CAN MAKE WHATEVER MOTIONS.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO. I'M SAYING IF THE COURT WANTS TO HEAR FROM THE DEFENSE, THEN I MOVE FOR A CONTINUANCE SO I CAN GET --

THE COURT: I WILL MAKE THAT CALL AT THE TIME WHEN YOU ARE ABOUT TO PRESENT YOUR DEFENSE. IF YOU WANT TO CONTINUE IT AT THAT TIME, AND WE GET THAT FAR, THEN WE WILL -- I WILL RULE ON THAT MOTION.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YEAH, AND I DO HAVE -- I PREPARED A MOTION TO DISMISS RELATED TO THE INSUFFICIENCY OF THE EVIDENCE. THE MAIN GROUNDS ARE PRIOR CONDUCT, INCONSISTENT

STATEMENTS, AND OF COURSE THE 911 TAPE.

OH, BY THE WAY, ALLISON HAS SUPPLIES FOR ME. THE 911 -- IF THE BAILIFF CAN HAVE HER GIVE THAT TO ME BECAUSE I RAN OUT OF PAPER, AND SHE HAS THE 911 TRANSCRIBED.

THE COURT: WE CAN ADDRESS THAT LATER.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO, I NEED THE 911 FOR CROSS-EXAMINATION.

MR. ROSENBERG: I'M GOING TO PLAY THE 911 DURING --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO, NO, I WANT THE 911 FOR HER CROSS-EXAMINATION. IT'S PART OF MY CROSS-EXAMINATION.

THE COURT: OKAY, MR. HOLLYWOOD.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: CO-COUNSEL TRANSCRIBED IT.

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD, IT WILL BE PLAYED. THE PEOPLE WANT TO PLAY IT FIRST THAT'S --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I DON'T CARE WHAT THE PEOPLE DO. BUT I NEED IT FOR THE CROSS-EXAMINATION.

THE COURT: WE WILL GET THERE. IF YOU NEED IT, WE CAN PLAY IT AGAIN.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I WANT THE TRANSCRIPT. I HAVE A RIGHT TO HAVE THAT.

THE COURT: OKAY, WE CAN PASS THAT OUT AT THE TIME WHEN IT'S APPROPRIATE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: WHY CAN'T I HAVE IT NOW? I WANT TO BE STUDYING WHILE SHE'S ON THE STAND. IF YOU GOT SOME PAPER -- ALL RIGHT. SO MY MOTION TO DISMISS IS PREPARED FOR THE PRELIM. LIKE I SAID, THE MAIN GROUNDS ARE JUST REAL QUICK ON THE RECORD THE MAIN GROUNDS ARE --

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD, DON'T WE HAVE TO HEAR THE EVIDENCE?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO, NO. I'M JUST TALKING ABOUT THE MOTION JUST REAL QUICK. THE MAIN ARGUMENT IS GOING TO BE PRIOR CONDUCT, INCONSISTENT STATEMENTS, AND THE 911. THOSE ARE THE MAIN ARGUMENTS. SO I HAVE PREPARED -- GIVE A COPY TO THE D.A., AND THEN, YEAH. AND THEN I MAKE MY RECORD. AND THEN, AFTER I MAKE MY RECORD, THEY CAN BRING THEIR WITNESS OUT.

I'M JUST GOING TO CHECK OVER THE 911. AND IT HAS HIS NAME AT THE TOP. JUST SO YOU KNOW, IT WAS VERY NICE OF HIM TO TRANSCRIBE -- THE PARALEGAL TO DO THAT FOR ME. DO YOU NEED A COPY OR ARE YOU OKAY? WANT A COPY?

MR. ROSENBERG: I WANT TO SEE IF THIS WAS TRANSCRIBED?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YEAH, IT WAS TRANSCRIBED PROFESSIONALLY. WE CAN MAKE HIM A COPY. I DON'T CARE. IT DOESN'T MATTER TO ME.

MR. ROSENBERG: I JUST GOT ONE.

THE COURT: YOU HAVE AN EXTRA?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO, NO, THEY'RE NOT EXTRAS.

MR. ROSENBERG: YOU WANT ME TO LOOK THROUGH IT, GIVE IT BACK TO YOU?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THEY'RE NOT EXTRAS. THESE ARE ALL ONE DOCUMENT. I GUESS HE TRANSCRIBED -- SOMEBODY BESIDES THE 911 I DON'T KNOW. THE COURT CAN MAKE A COPY IF YOU WANT A COPY.

MR. ROSENBERG: THESE LOOK ACCURATE TO ME.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THEY ARE.

THE COURT: ARE WE READY TO GO?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I GUESS THERE'S TWO COPIES OF THE SAME ONE THAT APPEARS TO ME. LET ME JUST CHECK THIS OVER. I GOT

TO MAKE MY RECORD. SO MY RECORD IS SO THE COURT'S ALLOWED THE PEOPLE TO MALICIOUSLY PROSECUTE THIS CASE BASED UPON THE FRAUDULENT AND FORGED CERTIFICATE OF PROBABLE CAUSE IN DIRECT VIOLATION OF MY 4TH AMENDMENT RIGHTS. BECAUSE L.A.S.D. AND THE PEOPLE DO NOT HAVE PROBABLE CAUSE DETERMINATION WITHIN 48 HOURS OF MY WARRANTLESS ARREST, I HAVE NOW BEEN ILLEGALLY SEIZED AND DETAINED SINCE FEBRUARY 23RD, 2023, IN VIOLATION OF MY 4TH AMENDMENT; SEE GERSTEIN VERSUS PUGH, G-E-R-S-T-E-I-N, AND THEN P-U-G-H. AND COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE VERSUS -- DO YOU WANT ME TO SPELL MCLAUGHLIN? YOU GOT THAT.

THIS COURT HAS ALLOWED THE PEOPLE TO FILE FALSE FELONY CRIMINAL THREATS CHARGED AGAINST ME AFTER THE EXPIRATION OF 48 HOURS IN DIRECT VIOLATION OF 4TH AMENDMENT BE FREE FROM LEGAL SEIZURE.

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD, I DON'T WANT TO THE INTERRUPT YOU --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I WANT TO MAKE MY RECORD.

THE COURT: WHY DON'T YOU GIVE ME THAT, AND IF THAT'S A MOTION TO DISMISS --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: IT'S NOT A MOTION. I'M JUST MAKING MY RECORD FOR THE PRELIM. IT'S SHORT. IT'S ONLY A COUPLE PAGES. IT GETS INTO THE PRELIM AND HER. I'M ALMOST DONE.

SO THIS COURT ALLOWED L.A.S.D. AND THE PEOPLE TO WITHHOLD MY EXCULPATORY GO PRO BASED ON THE FALSIFIED POLICE REPORT THAT STATES THAT HOLLYWOOD GO PRO WAS SENT TO THE HIGH-TECH -- THE IMAGINARY HIGH-TECH LAB. THE PEOPLE HAVE PROVIDED HOLLYWOOD NO RECEIPT OF PROOF THAT GO PRO EVER LEFT THE L.A.S.D. TEMPLE CITY SHERIFFS. THE GO PRO ITSELF WAS

ILLEGALLY CEASED AFTER DETECTIVE BILLY K. FALSIFIED A SEARCH WARRANT STATEMENT OF PROBABLE CAUSE BY LYING TO A JUDICIAL OFFICER THAT MY GO PRO WOULD SHOW ME MAKING A CRIMINAL THREAT. ADDITIONALLY, THE L.A.S.D. AND THE PEOPLE HAVE --

THE COURT: SLOW DOWN.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: OH, I'M GOING TO FAST. ADDITIONALLY, L.A.S.D. AND THE PEOPLE HAVE MISLEAD THE COURT IN BELIEVING THAT MY PHONE WAS NEVER IN THE POSSESSION OF L.A.S.D. TEMPLE CITY PEACE OFFICERS. HOLLYWOOD TOLD BILLY K., THIS GUY RIGHT HERE, SERGEANT DETECTIVE RICHARD LEWIS THAT HIS PHONE CONTAINED EXCULPATORY AUDIO RECORDINGS AND TEXT MESSAGES ON IT.

THIS IS WHY L.A.S.D. ILLEGALLY SEIZED MY PHONE OR ILLEGALLY DISPOSED OF IT. I'M 100 PERCENT CONFIDENT THAT THE GOOGLE GPS TRACKING DATA WILL SHOW THAT MY PHONE WAS LOCATED AT TEMPLE CITY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT ON THE 21ST, 22ND, AND 23RD.

I BELIEVE THAT ORIGINALLY CONTRERAS PLACED MY PHONE WITH OTHER BULK PROPERTY, BUT AFTER I MADE STATEMENTS THAT MY PHONE HAD EXCULPATORY AUDIO RECORDINGS AND TEXT MESSAGES, L.A.S.D. DETECTIVES THEN REMOVED MY PHONE FROM THE BULK PROPERTY.

WHILE THE 1538.5 MOTION WAS FILED MARCH 3RD, THE COURT HAS YET TO HEAR ARGUMENT. 1054 NOTICE REQUESTS THE PEOPLE TURN OVER THE GO PRO VIDEOS. THE MOTION TO COMPEL WAS DENIED WITHOUT, YOU KNOW, BEING READ. ROSENBERG SAW HOW THE COURT VIOLATED MY 14TH AMENDMENT RIGHTS BY BLOCKING A SUBPOENA FOR BOTH THE GO PRO AND THE SAMSUNG PHONE, AND FURTHER SLAPPED

HOLLYWOOD IN THE FACE BY, INSTEAD OF RETURNING HOLLYWOOD'S GO PRO VIDEO, HE PUT THE GO PRO VIDEOS ON A FORMAT THAT CO-COUNSEL CANNOT EVEN OPEN.

ROSENBERG IS A RACIST LIAR AND HE HAS TOLD THIS COURT AND HOLLYWOOD ON NUMEROUS OCCASIONS THAT HE WOULD DISMISS THE CASE AFTER GATHERING EVIDENCE THAT HOLLYWOOD WAS INNOCENT. THE PEOPLE; JAMES WILLIAM GARRISON, RONALD GELTZ, SHARON LEE WOO, VICTOR MANUEL RODRIGUEZ, AND ROSENBERG HAVE ALL HAD A MEETING ON THIS CASE, WATCHED EXCULPATORY GO PRO VIDEOS, LISTENED TO THE TWO 911 AUDIO RECORDING, AND THEN DECIDED TO CONTINUE TO MALICIOUSLY PROSECUTE THIS BOGUS CASE WITHOUT PROBABLE CAUSE.

THE PEOPLE; WOO, GARRISON, GELTZ, RODRIGUEZ, AND ROSENBERG HAVE HAD IN THEIR POSSESSION NOT ONE, BUT TWO, EXCULPATORY AUDIO RECORDING IN WHICH JENNIFER TOLD 911 THAT HER CONFRONTATION WITH HOLLYWOOD NEVER EXCALATED BEYOND AN UBER DISAGREEMENT. EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE THAT JENNIFER HUDSON WILL BE FORCED TO ADMIT TO AS ALISON FAIRCHILD HAS A COPY OF THE 911 AUDIO.

IN ADDITION TO THE 911 AUDIOS AND THE GO PRO VIDEOS AND AUDIO EVIDENCE ON MY PHONE BEING WITHHELD BY L.A.S.D., THE NEW AND THIRD STORY THAT WAS TOLD TO ROSENBERG ABOUT JENNIFER CHARGING AT HOLLYWOOD IN HER KITCHEN IS ENTIRELY DIFFERENT THAN THE REAL STORY TOLD TO 911 AND THE SECONDS MAKE-BELIEVE STORY TOLD TO CONTRERAS.

JENNIFER HEGER'S PRIOR CONDUCT BY MAKING FALSE CRIMINAL THREATS ALLEGATION AGAINST JOEL HEGER AND FALSE STATEMENTS MADE AGAINST JOEL HEGER IN THEIR 2023 COURT

PROCEEDING WAS ENOUGH TO DISMISS THIS CASE BASED ON THE PRIOR CONDUCT. JENNIFER HUDSON'S RECENT 5150 IN WHICH SHE ATTEMPTED TO BREAK INTO THE SOUTH PASADENA POLICE DEPARTMENT SHOWS SHE'S MENTALLY ILL AND NOT A CREDIBLE CRIMINAL WITNESS.

JUST LIKE THE RECENT PRIMUTH CASE, HOLLYWOOD DOESN'T NEED THE GO PRO OR PHONE TO WIN THIS CASE AT HIS PRELIMINARY HEARING. THIS FRIVOLOUS, NO-PROBABLE-CAUSE CASE CAN AND WILL BE WON ON JENNIFER HUDSON'S CROSS-EXAMINATION ALONE WHICH IS WHERE SHE'LL BE FORCED TO ADMIT THE TRUTH AND WILL BE FORCED TO ADMIT HER LIES AND FALSE STATEMENTS.

LIKE PRIMUTH, ANYTIME JENNIFER DOESN'T REMEMBER ANYTHING, SHE WILL BE COUNTERED WITH A LEGAL DOCUMENT, AND AFTER SHE ADMITTED TO IT, IT WILL BE ADMITTED AS AN EXHIBIT.

I WANT TO MAKE A PRELIMINARY HEARING RECORD. JENNIFER HAS SO FAR TOLD 911 --

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD, MR. HOLLYWOOD --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: WHAT?

THE COURT: AGAIN, YOU GOT THE CART BEFORE THE HORSE. WHY DON'T WE HEAR THE EVIDENCE, THEN YOU CAN MAKE YOUR ARGUMENT, OKAY.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO, THIS IS ABOUT THE STORY. I JUST WANT THE COURT TO BE AWARE THAT SHE'S ALREADY TOLD THREE STORIES. I'M ALMOST DONE. TWO MORE PAGES.

THE COURT: NONE OF WHAT YOU'RE SAYING IS EVIDENCE, OKAY. IT'S ONLY EVIDENCE WHEN IT COMES FROM THE WITNESS STAND; THAT IS THE EVIDENCE THAT THE COURT WILL CONSIDER.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO, IT'S GOING TO BE HEARD; THAT'S WHAT I'M TALKING ABOUT.

THE COURT: THEN WE HAVE TO HEAR IT FIRST.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I WANT THE COURT TO BE --

THE COURT: YOU'LL HAVE PLENTY OF TIME TO MAKE YOUR ARGUMENT, BUT WE HAVE TO HEAR THE EVIDENCE FIRST.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: OKAY.

THE COURT: SO I'M GOING TO STOP YOU RIGHT THERE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: OKAY.

THE COURT: PEOPLE, YOU MAY CALL YOUR FIRST WITNESS.

MR. ROSENBERG: YES, YOUR HONOR, PEOPLE CALL JENNIFER H.

THE CLERK: IF YOU CAN PLEASE STAND RIGHT THERE, MA'AM AND RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND TO BE SWORN. DO YOU SOLEMNLY STATE THAT THE TESTIMONY YOU MAY GIVE IN THE CAUSE NOW PENDING BEFORE THIS COURT SHALL BE THE TRUTH, THE WHOLE TRUTH, AND NOTHING BUT THE TRUTH; SO HELP YOU GOD?

THE WITNESS: YES.

THE CLERK: THANK YOU. PLEASE BE SEATED AT THE WITNESS STAND. PLEASE STATE AND SPELL YOUR FIRST AND LAST NAME FOR THE RECORD.

THE WITNESS: HEGER, H-E --

THE COURT: MA'AM, YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE TO LEAN A LITTLE CLOSER TO THE MICROPHONE. GO AHEAD.

THE WITNESS: H-E-G-E-R.

THE CLERK: AND YOUR FIRST NAME.

THE WITNESS: OH, I'M SORRY, JENNIFER, J-E-N-N-I-F-E-R.

THE CLERK: THANK YOU.

THE WITNESS: THANK YOU.

THE COURT: YOU MAY PROCEED.

///

JENNIFER HEGER,

CALLED BY THE PEOPLE AS A WITNESS, WAS SWORN AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

Q. BY MR. ROSENBERG: GOOD MORNING, MA'AM.

A. GOOD MORNING.

Q. DO YOU KNOW SOMEBODY BY THE NAME OF AROGANT HOLLYWOOD?

A. YES.

Q. DO YOU SEE THAT INDIVIDUAL HERE IN COURT TODAY?

A. YES.

Q. WOULD YOU PLEASE POINT OUT WHERE THAT INDIVIDUAL IS SEATED AND DESCRIBE AN ARTICLE OF CLOTHING THAT INDIVIDUAL IS WEARING?

A. HE'S SEATED TO THE RIGHT. HE'S WEARING A YELLOW LOS ANGELES COUNTY INMATE SHIRT.

THE COURT: FOR THE RECORD, THE WITNESS HAS IDENTIFIED THE DEFENDANT.

MR. ROSENBERG: YOUR HONOR, I'D ASK THE COURT TO ADMONISH; THAT'S THE THIRD TIME HE STARTED LAUGHING, MAKING SNIDE COMMENTS.

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD, PLEASE REFRAIN FROM, FIRST OF ALL, ANY REMARKS OBVIOUSLY.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I DIDN'T MAKE REMARKS. I LAUGHED; FIRST AMENDMENT.

THE COURT: PLEASE REFRAIN FROM ANY GESTURES OR

EXPRESSIONS OR ANYTHING LIKE THAT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'LL TRY, BUT I THINK THE WITNESS IS A JOKE. SHE SAID SHE'S GOING TO MAKE NOISE WITH COURT TRANSCRIPTS. WE'LL SEE ABOUT THIS. SHE DOESN'T KNOW WHO SHE'S GOING UP AGAINST.

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD, YOU'VE BEEN ADMONISHED.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: WHAT'S THAT MEAN?

MR. ROSENBERG: I WOULD ALSO SAY IF MR. HOLLYWOOD CONTINUES TO DISRESPECT THE COURT LIKE THAT AND NOT SHOW PROPER DECORUM, I WILL ASK FOR HIS PRO PER PRIVILEGES TO BE REVOKED BECAUSE HE DOES HAVE TO EXERCISE HIMSELF IN A WAY --

THE COURT: THAT WILL BE TAKEN UNDER SUBMISSION. YOU MAY PROCEED.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: HOW DO YOU KNOW MR. HOLLYWOOD?

A. I MET HIM AT THE SOUTH PASADENA POLICE DEPARTMENT IN COURTYARD IN FEBRUARY.

Q. AND WHEN YOU SAY FEBRUARY, ARE YOU SAYING FEBRUARY OF THIS YEAR?

A. YES, FEBRUARY. I WANT TO SAY LATE FEBRUARY 2023.

Q. OKAY, AND WHAT TIME WAS IT WHEN YOU MET HIM? LIKE WAS IT EVENING? WAS IN NIGHT? DAYTIME? WHAT TIME OF DAY?

A. IT WAS EVENING PROBABLY AROUND 7:00 O'CLOCK AT NIGHT BETWEEN 7:00 AND 8:00 P.M. I BELIEVE. IT WAS DEFINITELY AFTER 6:00 P.M. IT WAS DARK.

Q. WHAT WAS THE CONTEXT OF YOU MEETING HIM?

A. I HAD GONE TO SOUTH PASADENA POLICE DEPARTMENT TO PICK UP SOME POLICE REPORTS, AND I NEEDED SOME COPIES. AND HE HAD -- HE WAS THERE, AND HE HAD A COPY MACHINE. AND HE MADE

SOME COPIES FOR ME.

Q. NOW, ARE YOU ALSO FAMILIAR WITH THE ADDRESS OF 9077 FAIRVIEW AVENUE IN THE CITY OF SAN GABRIEL, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES?

A. YES, IT'S ACTUALLY 9077 EAST FAIRVIEW AVENUE; THAT'S MY RESIDENCE.

Q. OKAY, AND AFTER YOU MET MR. HOLLYWOOD IN SOUTH PASADENA, DID YOU HAVE OCCASION TO GO BACK TO YOUR RESIDENCE WITH HIM?

A. YES, WE TOOK AN UBER THAT I HAD RUNNING AT THE SOUTH PASADENA POLICE DEPARTMENT, AND WE GOT INTO THE SAME UBER THAT I HAD COME TO THE POLICE DEPARTMENT IN.

Q. OKAY, WHAT TIME WAS IT THAT YOU WENT BACK TO YOUR RESIDENCE?

A. I BELIEVE THE UBER HAD BEEN RUNNING FOR ABOUT 20 MINUTES. SO IT WAS 20 MINUTES AFTER I HAD ARRIVED AT THE SOUTH PASADENA POLICE DEPARTMENT. SO IT WAS ABOUT 8:30, 9:00 O'CLOCK AT NIGHT.

Q. OKAY, AND WHAT HAPPENED WHEN YOU GOT TO YOUR RESIDENCE?

A. HE UNLOADED ALL OF HIS BELONGINGS. WE TALKED FOR AWHILE, AND WE WERE PHYSICALLY INTIMATE.

Q. DURING THAT NIGHT, DID YOU GUYS DRINK? DID YOU INGEST ANY DRUGS? ANYTHING LIKE THAT?

A. NO.

Q. DID YOU SEE HIM DO ANY OF THAT ON HIS OWN?

A. NO.

Q. AND AT SOME POINT, DID YOU FALL ASLEEP THAT NIGHT?

A. YES.

Q. AROUND WHAT TIME DID YOU FALL ASLEEP?

A. I WOULD SAY AROUND PROBABLY 11:00 O'CLOCK AT NIGHT.

Q. AND YOU INDICATED THAT YOU GUYS WERE PHYSICALLY INTIMATE?

A. UH-HUH.

Q. DID YOU GUYS FALL ASLEEP IN THE SAME BED?

A. NO.

Q. DID HE SLEEP IN A DIFFERENT ROOM?

A. YES.

Q. AND WHEN YOU WOKE UP THE NEXT MORNING, WHAT TIME DID YOU WAKE UP THE NEXT MORNING?

A. IT WAS VERY EARLY IN THE MORNING. I'D LIKE TO SAY 7:30, 8:00 O'CLOCK IN THE MORNING.

Q. WHEN YOU WOKE UP, WAS HE STILL THERE?

A. YES.

Q. THAT MORNING, DID YOU HAVE OCCASION TO CALL 911?

A. YES, I DID.

Q. AND WHAT HAPPENED THAT LEAD YOU TO CALL 911?

A. HE'D BEEN -- I'VE BEEN TRYING TO GET HIM TO LEAVE MY HOME ALL MORNING. AND HE REFUSED TO LEAVE, AND HE -- THIS IS A LONG ANSWER. WHY DID I CALL 911? HE THREATENED MY LIFE.

Q. OKAY.

A. HE ALSO THREATENED THE LIFE OF ANY RESPONDING OFFICERS, AND I HAD SET OFF MY RING ALARM.

Q. SO LET'S KIND OF LET'S GO BACK A LITTLE BIT. YOU SAID THAT HE WAS REFUSING TO LEAVE?

A. UH-HUH, YES.

Q. WHEN DID THAT START HAPPENING?

A. IT STARTED HAPPENING EARLY IN THE MORNING. IT PROBABLY STARTED AROUND 9:00 O'CLOCK IN THE MORNING AS SOON AS I WOKE UP.

Q. DID YOU SAY SOMETHING TO HIM LIKE, YOU NEED TO LEAVE THE HOUSE, OR HOW DID THAT HAPPEN?

A. I COULD TELL HE WAS ANGRY AND AGITATED IN THE MORNING. I NEEDED TO GO TO COURT IN A SEPARATE MATTER INVOLVING MY EX-HUSBAND. AND I WAS REVIEWING THE POLICE REPORTS I HAD PICKED UP THE PREVIOUS NIGHT INVOLVING A DIVORCE I'M GOING THROUGH. AND HE WAS REVIEWING THEM WITH ME. HE'S VERY KNOWLEDGEABLE ABOUT THE LAW, AND I TOLD HIM I NEEDED TO GO TO COURT, FAMILY COURT IN DOWNTOWN LOS ANGELES.

AND HE WAS GETTING VERY AGITATED AS I WAS SAYING I NEEDED TO LEAVE. HE GOT INTO THE SHOWER. AND I DIDN'T -- I DIDN'T SAY HE COULD DO THAT. I COULD -- SO I WAS TRYING TO GET HIM TO LEAVE WITHOUT HAVING AN ALTERCATION.

Q. WELL, DID YOU -- WAS THERE ANYTHING THAT YOU DID TO ASSIST HIM IN LEAVING?

A. YES.

Q. WHAT DID YOU DO?

A. I GOT HIM AN UBER. HE SAID HE NEEDED AN UBER, AND THAT IT HAD TO BE AN UBER XL. SO I SUMMONED AN UBER XL FOR HIM.

Q. AND DID THAT UBER XL ACTUALLY ARRIVE?

A. YES, IT DID.

Q. AND JUST TO GET A LITTLE BIT OF A TIMELINE, AT THE TIME THE UBER XL ARRIVED, EARLIER YOU SAID, AND WE'LL GO MUCH

MORE IN DEPTH, BUT RIGHT NOW YOU INDICATED THAT AT SOME POINT HE THREATENED YOUR LIFE?

A. YES.

Q. AT THE TIME THAT THE UBER XL HAD ARRIVED, HAD HE THREATENED YOUR LIFE YET?

A. NO.

Q. SO THE UBER XL GETS THERE. WHAT HAPPENS WHEN THE UBER XL ARRIVES?

A. I COULD TELL BY MR. HOLLYWOOD'S ACTIONS -- AND HE HAD A LOT OF BELONGINGS WITH HIM. I COULD TELL THAT HE WAS NOT GOING TO WANT TO LEAVE WITHOUT IT BEING A LOT OF DRAMA. AND SO I WENT OUT THE FRONT DOOR OF MY HOME THAT I JUST MOVED INTO. AND I TOLD THE UBER XL DRIVING TO KEEP IT RUNNING. I SAID ESSENTIALLY, YOU KNOW, "START THE FARE RIGHT NOW."

Q. WHERE DID THAT UBER XL PARK?

A. IN FRONT OF MY HOME.

Q. DOES YOUR HOME HAVE A DRIVEWAY?

A. YES, IT'S A CIRCULAR DRIVEWAY.

Q. DID THE UBER XL GO INTO THE DRIVEWAY?

A. NO.

Q. WAS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT THE UBER XL GOING INTO THE -- NOT GOING INTO THE DRIVEWAY THAT CAUSED A PROBLEM?

A. YES.

Q. WOULD YOU PLEASE DESCRIBE THAT?

A. YES. MR. HOLLYWOOD CAME OUT OF ANOTHER DOOR THAT I HAVE AT THE PROPERTY. I CAME OUT THE FRONT DOOR TRYING TO STAY AWAY FROM HIM. HE WAS IN THE KITCHEN AREA WHICH IS ALMOST A SEPARATE AREA ENTIRELY OF THE HOME. I CAME OUT OF

THE FRONT DOOR AND SAID TO HIM -- HE CAME OUT -- I'M SORRY -- THE SIDE DOOR. AND SAID -- I HATE USING RACIAL EPITHETS AND HE SAID --

Q. SAY IN COURT SAY EXACTLY WHAT HE SAID.

A. OKAY. HE SAW THE DRIVER WITH THE UBER XL, AND HE DEMANDED BY THE WAY THAT IT BE AN UBER XL FOR ALL OF HIS BELONGINGS. AND HE SAID, "TELL THAT CHINK TO PULL HER CAR INTO THE FRONT OF THE HOUSE." AND I SAID, "YOU TELL HER YOURSELF." AND THAT IS WHEN I WENT BACK INTO THE HOUSE THROUGH THE FRONT DOOR.

Q. WHAT HAPPENED WHEN YOU WENT BACK INTO THE HOUSE?

A. THIS IS WHEN THINGS GOT VERY HEATED.

Q. OKAY, SO DESCRIBE WHAT HAPPENED AT THAT POINT?

A. SO I THOUGHT HE'D BEGUN TO MOVE HIS BELONGINGS OUT, AND I WENT DOWN -- IT'S VERY LONG HALLWAY IN MY HOME, AND I WENT INTO THE -- I STARTED INTO THE KITCHEN AREA, AND I SAID WHEN I SAW HIM STILL STANDING THERE, HE HAD HIS BACK TO ME WITH THE KITCHEN SINK. I'M ALLOWED TO SWEAR HERE IN COURT?

Q. SAY EXACTLY WHAT HAPPENED?

A. BECAUSE I DON'T WANT TO --

Q. YEAH.

A. I SAID, "WHAT THE FUCK ARE YOU STILL DOING IN MY KITCHEN, YOU ASSHOLE?"

Q. OKAY, AND WHAT HAPPENED AT THAT POINT?

A. HE WAS STANDING AT THE KITCHEN SINK, AND I DON'T KNOW IF HE WAS -- OKAY, I NEED TO -- THERE WAS A LOT OF DISHES IN THE SINK. THERE WAS A -- HE TURNED AROUND. HE HAD A KNIFE IN HIS HAND, AND I QUICKLY LOOKED AT THE ROOM. IT'S A BIG

ROOM, AND I SAW MY DOGS WEREN'T IN THERE. MY DOGS ARE MY LIFE. I HAVE THREE DOGS.

AND I SAW THAT I COULD LIVE. I COULD MAKE MY WAY OUT OF THIS, AND I RETREATED QUICKLY. THERE'S A DOOR BETWEEN THE KITCHEN AND THE HALLWAY THAT LOCKS. AND SO I IMMEDIATELY CAME BACKWARDS. I THINK I -- I DON'T THINK I -- I DIDN'T WANT TO LEAVE MY BACK TO HIM. SO I RETREATED QUICKLY FORWARD -- YOU KNOW. I KEPT MY FACE TO HIM AND I -- I THINK I MIGHT HAVE GONE SIDEWAYS.

I KNEW NOT TO TURN MY BACK TO HIM. AND I QUICKLY LOCKED THAT DOOR, AND I RAN BACK TO -- I CALL IT THE SUITE AREA WHERE MY MASTER BEDROOM AND OFFICE ARE. I GOT A, LIKE, A DOOR STOPPER THAT I HAVE FOR SECURITY, AND I PULLED IT. AND HE STARTED GETTING EXTREMELY AGITATED BECAUSE HE HAD SEEN THAT THE NIGHT BEFORE.

Q. SO LET'S STOP.

A. OKAY, OKAY.

Q. SO WE'LL PICK UP THERE IN A SECOND.

A. OKAY.

Q. THIS WHOLE SEQUENCE OF EVENTS THAT YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT THIS IS AFTER THE UBER XL ARRIVED?

A. YES, YES.

Q. HOW MANY UBERS DID YOU END UP CALLING?

A. IT FELT LIKE IT WAS A LOT, BUT I WANT TO SAY IT WAS AT LEAST -- IT WAS ONE, BUT I WANT TO SAY IT COULD HAVE BEEN TWO.

Q. HOW MANY UBERS ENDED UP AT THE HOUSE?

A. I THINK IT WAS JUST ONE, BUT IT MIGHT HAVE BEEN TWO.

Q. OKAY, SO THE UBER -- SO THIS HAPPENS DIRECTLY AFTER THAT UBER ARRIVES?

A. YES, YES.

Q. SO NOW, SO WE'LL JUMP FORWARD. YOU LOCK THE DOOR -- ACTUALLY --

MR. ROSENBERG: YOUR HONOR, I HAVE IN MY HAND THIS IS A BLUE USB FLASH DRIVE. IT CONTAINS THREE FILES. THE FIRST ONE FILE GO PRO, THE SECOND WITH A FILE NAME 1003-HOLLYWOOD AND A THIRD TEMWD-HOLLYWOOD. I WOULD ASK FOR THIS USB FLASH DRIVE TO BE MARKED AS PEOPLE'S 1.

THE COURT: YES, SO MARKED.

(PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT NUMBER 1
IS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

MR. ROSENBERG: I'M PLAYING FROM THE FLASH DRIVE. THIS IS THE GO PRO VIDEO, PORTABLE CASE. WE OPEN THE CASE UNDER APPLICATION GOING INTO THE GO PRO, AND CLICKING THAT OPEN. PLAYING A FILE WITH THE TIMESTAMP 9:50 A.M. 2-21, 2023.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: MA'AM, DO YOU RECOGNIZE THIS LOCATION?

A. YES.

Q. WHAT IS THIS LOCATION?

A. SO IT'S THE --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: CAN I SEE THE VIDEO? OH, OKAY.

THE WITNESS: IT'S THE KITCHEN/FAMILY ROOM AT MY RESIDENCE.

///

(WHEREUPON PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT 1
IS PLAYED IN OPEN COURT.)

MR. ROSENBERG: STOPPING AT 35 SECONDS INTO THIS VIDEO.

Q. DO YOU RECOGNIZE THE INDIVIDUAL THAT'S TAKING THIS VIDEO AT THIS POINT?

A. WELL, I SEE THE -- HIS DREADLOCKS SO I'M ASSUMING IT'S HIM. AND I ALSO SAW HIM IN THE BACKYARD FROM MY OFFICE AS I WAS CALLING 911.

Q. AND ASSUMING BY SAYING IT'S HIM, ARE YOU REFERRING TO THE DEFENDANT?

A. YES, I AM.

(WHEREUPON PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT 1
IS PLAYED IN OPEN COURT.)

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'M NOT DOING ANYTHING WRONG. I DON'T KNOW WHAT THE POINT IS.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: SO THAT VIDEO THAT WE JUST PLAYED THE ONE WITH THE TIMESTAMP OF 9:50 A.M., THAT'S BEFORE THE UBER ARRIVED BASED ON THE CONTEXT OF YOUR CONVERSATION WITH HIM RIGHT THERE?

A. MY RECOLLECTION, YOU KNOW, WITH THE TIMESTAMP, I DON'T KNOW.

Q. OKAY.

A. I HAD LOCKED MYSELF IN MY -- THAT'S THE MASTER BEDROOM/OFFICE. THERE'S TWO ROOMS THERE.

MR. ROSENBERG: WE'RE GOING TO PLAY A SECOND VIDEO. THIS

VIDEO HAS A TIMESTAMP OF 9:59. THIS IS ALSO HAS A HEADING OF GL010856.

THE COURT: IS THIS ALSO FROM THE GO PRO?

MR. ROSENBERG: YES, THIS IS EVERYTHING IS GOING TO BE FROM THE SAME GO PRO.

(WHEREUPON PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT 1
IS PLAYED IN OPEN COURT.)

MR. ROSENBERG: SO LET ME STOP THERE AT 14 SECONDS.

Q. IS THIS DEPICTING THAT UBER THAT YOU JUST INDICATED ARRIVED AND PARKED THE ON THE STREET?

A. YES, AND AS YOU CAN SEE, I'M GOING BACK IN THE FRONT DOOR, AND MR. HOLLYWOOD IS AT THE SIDE DOOR.

Q. OKAY, AND SO AFTER THIS IS WHEN HE PULLS THE KNIFE ON YOU?

A. YES.

(WHEREUPON PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT 1
IS PLAYED IN OPEN COURT.)

MR. ROSENBERG: NOW, AT THIS POINT LET'S GO BACK JUST A COUPLE SECONDS, OKAY. TIMESTAMP 2:08 I PUT THE LITTLE HEADER TO THE BOTTOM LEFT CORNER.

Q. ARE YOU BEHIND THAT DOOR AT THIS POINT?

A. YES, I AM.

///

///

(WHEREUPON PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT 1
IS PLAYED IN OPEN COURT.)

MR. HOLLYWOOD: SHE LIED TO YOU, BRO. THERE'S MY PHONE YOU SAID THAT YOU DON'T HAVE.

THE COURT: QUIET, MR. HOLLYWOOD.

(WHEREUPON PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT 1
IS PLAYED IN OPEN COURT.)

MR. ROSENBERG: STOPPING AT THE END OF THE VIDEO 7 MINUTES, 19 SECONDS.

Q. JENNIFER, YOU CALLED THE POLICE THAT DAY, RIGHT?

A. YES, I DID YES. I DID.

Q. DID THE KNIFE INCIDENT HAPPENED BEFORE OR AFTER YOU CONTACTED THE POLICE?

A. BEFORE.

Q. IT HAPPENED BEFORE?

A. NO, I'M SORRY. IT HAPPENED BETWEEN -- IT HAPPENED BEFORE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YOUR HONOR, SHE ALREADY TESTIFIED --

THE COURT: HOLD ON.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: DID YOU CONTACT THE POLICE MORE THAN ONCE?

A. YES, I DID.

Q. HOW MANY TIMES DID YOU CONTACT THEM?

A. TWICE.

Q. LET'S START WITH THE FIRST PHONE CALL.

A. OKAY.

Q. DID THE KNIFE INCIDENT HAPPEN BEFORE OR AFTER THE FIRST PHONE CALL?

A. EVERYTHING HAPPENED SO FAST. IT HAPPENED BEFORE.

Q. BEFORE THE FIRST PHONE CALL?

A. YES.

MR. ROSENBERG: YOUR HONOR, MAY I HAVE A MOMENT WITH THE DETECTIVE?

THE COURT: SURE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: IS THAT FOR CROSS-EXAMINATION?

THE COURT: I'M SORRY?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: IS THAT FOR CROSS-EXAMINATION? THAT SHE ALREADY TESTIFIED.

THE COURT: NO, IT'S NOT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THE THREAT HAPPENED AFTER UBER. SHE ALREADY TESTIFIED.

THE COURT: IT'S NOT YET. YOU'LL GET YOUR TURN, OKAY.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: SO LET'S WAS ACTUALLY PLAY THAT 911 CALL.

THE COURT: MAY IT BE STIPULATED THAT THE COURT REPORTER NEED NOT RECORD THE PLAYING OF THE 911 CALL?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YEAH, I UNDERSTAND THAT. I HAVE IT TRANSCRIBED. WHICH ONE?

MR. ROSENBERG: YES. THIS IS THE FIRST ONE.

(WHEREUPON PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT 1
IS PLAYED IN OPEN COURT.)

///

MR. ROSENBERG: STOPPING AT 45 SECONDS INTO THE 911. DO YOU RECOGNIZE WHAT THIS IS?

A. YES, YES.

Q. IS THIS THE FIRST 911 CALL YOU MADE TO THE POLICE?

A. YES.

(WHEREUPON PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT 1
IS PLAYED IN OPEN COURT.)

MR. ROSENBERG: STOPPING AT 4 MINUTES, 24 SECONDS.

Q. JENNIFER, WAS THAT YOUR FIRST PHONE CALL WITH 911?

A. YES, IT WAS.

Q. AND PRIOR TO THAT PHONE CALL, THAT'S WHEN HE HAD PULLED A KNIFE OUT ON YOU?

A. YES.

Q. ALL RIGHT, SO LET'S GO A LITTLE BIT FURTHER INTO THE DETAIL ABOUT WHAT HAPPENED WITH THE KNIFE. WHAT TYPE OF KNIFE WAS IT?

A. IT WAS A STEAK KNIFE.

Q. AND WHEN YOU SAID THAT HE HAD THE KNIFE IN HIS HAND, HOW WAS IT POSITIONED? HOW DID HE USE IT?

A. HE TURNED AROUND FROM THE KITCHEN SINK, AND IT JUST -- CAN I DEMONSTRATE?

Q. SURE.

A. HE JUST WHIPPED IT AROUND.

MR. ROSENBERG: YOUR HONOR, FOR THE RECORD, THE WITNESS HAS TAKEN HER RIGHT HAND FROM HER LEFT SHOULDER -- FROM RIGHT SHOULDER TO LEFT SHOULDER.

THE COURT: YES.

MR. ROSENBERG: IN A SEMI-CIRCULAR MOTION.

Q. DID HE SAY ANYTHING WHEN HE HAD THE KNIFE IN HIS HAND?

A. NO.

Q. OKAY.

A. NOT AT THAT TIME.

Q. WHEN YOU SAY HE THREATENED YOU, THAT WAS AT A DIFFERENT TIME?

A. YES.

Q. WHEN WAS THAT?

A. THAT WAS BETWEEN THE 911 CALLS.

Q. SO AFTER THE PHONE CALL WE JUST LISTENED TO?

A. YES.

Q. OKAY, NOW, DURING THIS 911 CALL THAT WE JUST PLAYED, YOU DIDN'T MENTION THE KNIFE, RIGHT?

A. NO, I DIDN'T. EVERYTHING HAD HAPPENED SO FAST.

Q. OKAY, NOW, ALSO THE 911 -- WE WATCHED HIS GO PRO VIDEO?

A. UH-HUH.

Q. THERE WAS NOWHERE IN THERE THAT IT SHOWED HIM PICKING UP A KNIFE, RIGHT?

A. THAT'S CORRECT.

Q. AND THAT GO PRO VIDEO HAPPENED -- THAT SHOWS HIM FROM THE POINT IN WHICH THAT UBER GETS THERE, AND IT'S A SEVEN-MINUTE-19-SECOND VIDEO, RIGHT?

A. THAT'S CORRECT.

Q. WHY DOESN'T IT SHOW THE KNIFE?

A. YOU WOULD HAVE TO ASK HIM THAT.

Q. WELL, IF IT WAS SUPPOSED TO HAVE HAPPENED RIGHT AFTER THE UBER GOT THERE, HOW DO WE ACCOUNT FOR THE FACT IT DOESN'T SHOW THE KNIFE?

A. IT COULD HAVE HAPPENED BETWEEN THE FIRST AND SECOND 911 CALL. LIKE I SAID, EVERYTHING HAPPENED SO FAST. I WAS JUST TRYING TO GET THIS MAN, THIS DERANGED LUNATIC, OUT OF MY HOME.

Q. OKAY.

A. AND I WAS TRYING TO CALL AND GET ANOTHER UBER. I WAS TRYING TO AVOID A CONFRONTATION. I JUST MOVED INTO THIS HOUSE. I WAS JUST TRYING TO KEEP MYSELF SAFE WITH MY DOGS, YOU KNOW.

Q. SO POTENTIALLY THE TIMELINE THAT WE JUST TALKED ABOUT, IT COULD HAVE HAPPENED AFTER THE FIRST PHONE CALL?

A. IT ABSOLUTELY COULD HAVE HAPPENED AFTER THE FIRST PHONE CALL.

Q. OKAY.

A. I WAS TERRIFIED FOR MY LIFE.

Q. AND FOR SURE THE THREAT, WHICH WE'LL GET INTO A SECOND, THAT DID HAPPEN AFTER THE FIRST PHONE CALL?

A. ABSOLUTELY, 100 PERCENT HAPPENED AFTER THE FIRST PHONE CALL.

Q. OKAY. SO LET'S TALK ABOUT AFTER YOU GET OFF THIS FIRST PHONE CALL, WHAT HAPPENS?

A. SO I GOT OFF THE FIRST PHONE CALL, AND I WAS REALLY UPSET BECAUSE THE FIRST PHONE CALL WITH 911 LASTED ABOUT 13 MINUTES. HOW DO I KNOW THAT? BECAUSE I LOOKED AT MY PHONE.

I WENT DOWN THE HALLWAY, AND I HAD SET OFF MY RING ALARM. AND I TOLD HIM THROUGH THE DOOR THAT I HAD CALLED 911 AND THAT THEY WERE ON THEIR WAY. AND THAT I HAD -- AND THE ALARM WAS SOUNDING, AND IT WAS REALLY, REALLY LOUD. AND I WAS THINKING IF THIS IS ISN'T GOING TO GET HIM OUT OF MY HOUSE, NOTHING IS.

AND IT WAS ABOUT, I WANT TO SAY MAYBE A MINUTE OR TWO. I HAD TIME TO RUN DOWN THE HALLWAY, AND THAT IS WHEN HE TOLD ME -- AND THAT IS WHEN HE TOLD ME, I'M GOING TO PUT YOU IN THE GROUND. ALONG THE LINES OR YOU'RE NEVER GOING TO SEE THE LIGHT OF DAY AGAIN. AND THIS IS WHEN I GOT REALLY SCARED OBVIOUSLY. AND THEN HE SAID HE WANTED TO HAVE A SHOWDOWN. HE'S LIKE, "GO AHEAD" -- HE HAD ALREADY SAID IT EARLIER. HE SAID, "GO AHEAD. I'M GLAD YOU CALLED THE COPS BECAUSE I'LL KILL THEM TOO."

Q. OKAY. AND YOU SAID THAT IT MADE YOU AFRAID?

A. I WAS TERRIFIED.

Q. WHY WERE YOU TERRIFIED?

A. I WAS TERRIFIED FOR MY LIFE. I WAS TERRIFIED BECAUSE HE TOLD ME THAT HE HAD BEEN IN A DOMESTIC VIOLENCE INCIDENT THE NIGHT BEFORE WITH HIS THE -- I BELIEVE IT WAS THE GRANDFATHER OF HIS CHILDREN IN CHICAGO. AND THAT STARTED TO RESONATE IN MY HEAD, AND HE HAD LOST CUSTODY OF HIS, I BELIEVE, IT WAS HIS TWO CHILDREN.

AND NOW EVERYTHING WAS STARTING TO COME TOGETHER. I NEVER HAD THIS KIND OF ONE-NIGHTSTAND BEFORE IN MY LIFE. AND I HAD MADE REALLY BAD DECISIONS, AND THAT'S ONE OF THE REASONS WHY I SLEPT IN THE SECOND BEDROOM. IN FACT, YOU SEE IT ON THE VIDEO; THAT'S THE SECOND BEDROOM. IT'S NOT THE MASTER

BEDROOM, AND I SLEPT WITH THE DOOR LOCKED.

Q. NOW, THE THREATS, WERE YOU WORRIED THAT HE WOULD CARRY THAT OUT?

A. ABSOLUTELY.

Q. WERE YOU CONCERNED THAT HE COULD FOLLOW THROUGH AND KILL YOU?

A. ABSOLUTELY.

Q. NOW, THE DOOR, YOU'RE STILL ON THE OTHER SIDE OF THE DOOR, RIGHT?

A. CORRECT.

Q. IS HE STILL IN THAT PORTION OF THE HOUSE THAT HAS THE KITCHEN IN IT?

A. YES.

Q. AND THEN HOW LONG AFTER HE MAKES THOSE THREATS DO YOU CONTACT THE POLICE A SECOND TIME?

A. ALMOST IMMEDIATELY, AND THEN I SAW HIM WALKING AROUND IN THE BACKYARD, AND I SAID THAT, I BELIEVE, TO THE 911 DISPATCHER. AND I GOT EVEN MORE CONCERNED BECAUSE MY POOL MAN WAS BACK THERE. AND I THINK HE WAS TRYING TO FIND A WAY OUT OF THE BACKYARD OR INTO MY -- THERE'S A BACK DOOR THAT HE HAD SEEN THAT COMES DIRECTLY INTO THE MASTER BEDROOM/OFFICE.

Q. HOW LONG AFTER YOU SAW HIM WITH THE KNIFE DID THIS -- DID THESE THREATS HAPPEN?

A. ALMOST RIGHT AWAY.

Q. SO IT'S SOON THEREAFTER?

A. YES.

Q. OKAY, SO THEN AT SOME POINT AFTER YOU ENTERED THE PORTION OF THE HOUSE BEHIND THE DOOR THAT WE HAVE BEEN

REFERRING TO, THE AREA SEPARATE FROM THE KITCHEN --

A. UH-HUH.

Q. -- DID YOU COME OUT OF THAT AREA?

A. YES.

Q. HOW MANY TIMES DID YOU COME OUT OF THAT AREA?

A. JUST ONCE.

Q. OKAY, AND THAT -- DURING THAT ONE TIME, THAT'S WHEN YOU SAW HIM WITH THE KNIFE?

A. THAT'S CORRECT BECAUSE I WANTED TO SEE IF HE WAS STILL THERE. HE GOT QUIET FOR A LITTLE BIT, AND I WANTED TO SEE IF HE WAS STILL THERE. BECAUSE DURING THAT TIME, I BELIEVE, I HAD SUMMONED ANOTHER UBER AND I COULDN'T -- THERE'S NO PEEPHOLE IN MY FRONT DOOR AT MY NEW HOUSE. IT'S AN ODD QUIRKY HOUSE. AND I SUMMONED -- I BELIEVE, I SUMMONED ANOTHER UBER, AND I WANTED TO SEE IF HE WAS GOING OUTSIDE AND GETTING IN THAT UBER.

Q. SO YOU GO OUT THROUGH THAT DOOR, AND THEN WHAT HAPPENED AFTER THAT POINT?

A. THAT'S WHEN HE TURNED -- ARE YOU TALKING ABOUT THE FRONT DOOR?

Q. LIKE, YOU GO FROM THE DOOR AND SEE HIM IN THE KITCHEN?

A. CORRECT.

Q. RIGHT?

A. YES.

Q. DO YOU DO ANYTHING AT THAT POINT? LIKE, DO YOU GO TOWARDS HIM? WHAT HAPPENED?

A. I LUNGED AT HIM -- NOT LUNGED AT HIM, BUT KIND OF

WENT TOWARDS HIM BECAUSE IT'S A MOMENT OF FIGHT OR FLIGHT. AND I KIND OF WENT TOWARDS HIM AT FIRST BECAUSE I'M A DOMESTIC VIOLENCE VICTIM IN MY MARRIAGE WITH MY SOON TO BE EX-HUSBAND. AND THAT'S WHEN I LOOKED AROUND THE ROOM, AND I REALIZED MY DOGS WERE NOT IN THERE. THEY WERE WITH ME ACTUALLY, BUT LIKE I SAID, EVERYTHING WAS HAPPENING SO FAST. MY HEART IS RACING LIKE IT IS RIGHT NOW.

AND I REALIZED, LIKE I SAID, I COULD LIVE. AND SO I WENT KIND OF SIDEWAYS LIKE THIS. YOU KNOW, WHEN YOU'RE LIVING UNDER THIS CONSTANT FEAR -- I THINK HE FROZE; THAT'S WHY HE FROZE. YOU KNOW, HE MIGHT HAVE BEEN MAKING SOMETHING TO EAT. I DON'T KNOW. AND SO I LOOKED AROUND THE ROOM, AND THAT'S WHEN I WENT BACK INTO THE OTHER PART OF THE HOUSE.

Q. HOW CLOSE DID YOU GET TO HIM WHEN YOU LUNGED?

A. IT WAS FAR. I MEAN IT WAS BETWEEN THE DOOR AND HE WAS AT THE KITCHEN SINK. I MAYBE MADE IT TEN FEET, AND I REALIZED THIS WAS NOT A GOOD MOVE.

Q. WAS IT CLOSER THAN YOU AND ARE TALKING RIGHT NOW?

A. NO.

Q. IT WAS FARTHER THAN THAT?

A. IT WAS -- IT WASN'T QUITE THAT FAR. IT WAS PROBABLY BETWEEN YOU AND THE PODIUM. IT MIGHT BE A LITTLE BIT BEHIND IT. MAYBE LIKE RIGHT THERE.

Q. SO TO THE FRONT OF THE --

THE COURT: SO YOU'RE SAYING FROM WHERE YOU ARE TO WHERE THE BAR, THE DOOR, IS RIGHT THERE?

THE WITNESS: YEAH, IT'S A BIG ROOM. IT'S A VERY BIG ROOM.

THE COURT: IS IT BEYOND THAT DOOR, THE FIRST ONE?

THE WITNESS: NO.

THE COURT: CLOSER?

THE WITNESS: MAYBE JUST -- I'D SAY RIGHT BEHIND THE PODIUM, DIRECTLY BEHIND THE PODIUM MAYBE A FEW FEET. 100 FEET. I DON'T KNOW. I'M NOT QUITE GOOD.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: IN THE VIDEO IT LOOKED LIKE, YOUR HONOR, THE DISTANCE IS ABOUT TEN FEET FROM WHAT WE SAW. THE KITCHEN IS SMALL.

THE COURT: SO WE'RE LOOKING AT ABOUT 23 FEET THERE.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: ALL RIGHT, SO HE DOES NOT ACTUALLY POINT THE KNIFE OR DO ANYTHING LIKE THAT, RIGHT?

A. NO. HE'S JUST HOLDING IT. BUT GIVEN EVERYTHING THAT HAD BEEN GOING ON THAT MORNING, I FEARED FOR MY LIFE.

Q. OKAY, SO YOU DO THE LUNGE AND YOU DECIDE THIS ISN'T A GOOD IDEA. DO YOU GO BACK TO THE OTHER PORTION OF THE HOUSE AT THAT POINT?

A. YES, I DO.

Q. DO YOU SHUT THE DOOR BEHIND YOU?

A. YES, AND I LOCKED IT.

Q. EARLIER YOU DESCRIBED THAT ARM BAR --

A. YEAH, THE DOOR STOPPER.

Q. DID YOU USE THAT AS WELL?

A. YES, I DID.

Q. AND THEN IT'S QUICKLY AFTER THAT THAT'S WHEN HE MAKES THE THREAT TO YOU?

A. YES, AND THE POLICE.

Q. WERE THERE ANY OTHER THREATS THAT HE MADE TO YOU

THAT WEREN'T PHYSICAL IN NATURE?

A. OH, YEAH. HE SAID HE WAS GOING TO SUE ME. HE WAS RANTING AND RAVING. HE ALSO SAID THINGS LIKE EARLIER THAT THE MORNING, I TOLD HIM I WAS ON MEDICATION FOR VARIOUS THINGS, HEALTH ISSUES. AND HE SAID THAT HE DIDN'T AGREE WITH THAT, AND HE THOUGHT THINGS LIKE THAT WERE BAD FOR PEOPLE.

AND SO ALL OF THAT WAS COMING INTO, YOU KNOW, IT WAS JUST LIKE ALL OF THESE THINGS JUST HIT ALL AT ONCE WHEN THE FIRST UBER DRIVER CAME. I WAS TRYING TO BE VERY -- I WAS TRYING TO DEESCALATE HIM HONESTLY ALL MORNING UNTIL EVERYTHING CAME TO A HEAD.

Q. SO SOON THEREAFTER, AFTER HE MAKES THE PHYSICAL THREATS TO YOU, THAT'S WHEN YOU CONTACT THE POLICE A SECOND TIME?

A. YES, AND THAT'S WHEN I HIT THE ALARM THE SECOND TIME. I THINK YOU'RE GOING TO HEAR THE ALARM GOING OFF I THINK DURING THE SECOND CALL.

MR. ROSENBERG: YOUR HONOR, FROM LOOKING AT PEOPLE'S 1 PLAYING FILE TEMWD-HOLLYWOOD.

(WHEREUPON PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT 1
IS PLAYED IN OPEN COURT.)

MR. ROSENBERG: OKAY, STOPPING AT TEN SECONDS INTO THIS.

Q. DO YOU RECOGNIZE THIS PHONE CALL?

A. YES, I DO.

Q. IS THAT THE SECOND PHONE CALL THAT YOU MADE TO 911?

A. YES, IT IS.

Q. AND LISTENING TO THOSE FIRST TEN SECONDS, THERE DOES APPEAR TO BE AN ALARM IN THE BACKGROUND. IS THAT THE ALARM YOU'RE REFERRING TO?

A. YES.

Q. AND AT THIS POINT, YOU'RE SAYING THAT HE'S TRYING TO BANG DOWN THE DOOR?

A. YES, HE WAS BANGING ON THE DOOR TRYING TO GET MY ATTENTION, AND HE WAS HITTING IT. IT SOUNDED TO ME LIKE HE WAS KICKING IT, BUT THE ALARM WAS SO LOUD, YOU KNOW, I THINK HE WAS HITTING IT, BUT IT'S A VERY, VERY SOLID DOOR.

Q. OKAY. PICKING UP AGAIN AT TEN SECONDS.

(WHEREUPON PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT 1
IS PLAYED IN OPEN COURT.)

MR. ROSENBERG: STOPPING THE AUDIO AT THE END.

Q. MA'AM, IS THAT YOUR SECOND PHONE CALL TO 911?

A. YES, IT WAS.

Q. AND DID THE POLICE EVENTUALLY ARRIVE?

A. YES, THEY DID. IT TOOK A VERY LONG TIME THOUGH.

Q. HOW LONG DID IT TAKE THEM TO GET THERE?

A. I WANT TO SAY IT TOOK ABOUT 13 MINUTES.

Q. AND --

A. IT WAS ABOUT 23, 24 MINUTES IN TOTAL THOUGH.

Q. WHAT DO YOU MEAN BY THAT?

A. I MEAN FROM THE FIRST 911 CALL TO THE SECOND ONE, I MEAN IN TOTAL, I MEAN IT SEEMED LIKE IT WAS A HALF AN HOUR.

Q. SO FROM THE MOMENT YOU MADE THE FIRST 911 CALL TO

THE POINT AT WHICH OFFICERS ARRIVED, FELT TO YOU LIKE IT WAS A HALF HOUR?

A. I THINK IT WAS 24 MINUTES TOTAL.

Q. DURING THAT 911 CALL, THERE'S A POINT THERE WHERE YOU APPEAR TO BE ANGRY WITH THE DISPATCHER?

A. RIGHT, AND I THINK IT WAS JUSTIFIED.

Q. WHY WERE YOU GETTING ANGRY WITH THEM?

A. THE POLICE WEREN'T THERE. WHEN THE DISPATCHER TOLD ME THAT THE POLICE WERE THERE, AND I REMOVED MYSELF FROM THE INTERIOR SUITE OF THE HOUSE WHERE I WAS THE SAFEST, AND I WALKED OUT, THERE WAS NO POLICE THERE. AND SO I USED FOUL LANGUAGE AFTER THAT BECAUSE THEY KEPT SAYING, "THEY'RE ON THEIR WAY. THEY'RE ON THEIR WAY." I LIVE VERY CLOSE.

Q. NOW, DURING THIS CALL, YOU MADE REFERENCES TO HIM BANGING ON THE DOOR?

A. UH-HUH, YES, I DID.

Q. THERE WAS ONE POINT NEAR THE END OF THE PHONE CALL WHERE YOU SAID, "HE'S BANGING ON IT RIGHT NOW"?

A. YES.

Q. WAS HE BANGING ON IT AT THAT MOMENT?

A. YES, IT SOUNDED LIKE IT. I MEAN THE ALARM WAS GOING OFF AND I COULD HEAR, YOU KNOW.

Q. OKAY.

THE COURT: FOR THE RECORD, THE WITNESS POUNDED ON THE WOOD PORTION OF THE BOX.

MR. ROSENBERG: THANK YOU.

THE WITNESS: AND IT'S A SOLID WOOD DOOR. I MEAN IT'S NOT A HOLLOW DOOR. IT IS A SOLID WOOD DOOR. I COULD NOT HAVE

MOVED INTO A HOUSE THAT WAS MORE SECURE IF I TRIED. AND I DIDN'T EVEN PLAN IT THAT WAY. IT WAS JUST GOOD KARMA I GUESS.

MR. ROSENBERG: YOUR HONOR, GOING BACK TO THE FILE GO PRO IN THE APPLICATION OF GO PRO FILE GL010587.LRV TIMESTAMPED 10:13:43, PLAYING NOW.

(WHEREUPON PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT 1
IS PLAYED IN OPEN COURT.)

MR. ROSENBERG: STOPPING HERE --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: WHY DON'T YOU KEEP PLAYING IT BECAUSE I WAS NOT BANGING ON THE DOOR? WHY DO YOU KEEP STOPPING IT?

THE COURT: HOLD ON. GO AHEAD.

MR. ROSENBERG: STOPPING HERE AT 16 SECONDS.

Q. THERE IS AN ALARM SOUND IN THE BACKGROUND. IS THAT THE ALARM THAT YOU SET?

A. YES.

Q. NOW, WE'LL GET INTO IT.

(WHEREUPON PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT 1
IS PLAYED IN OPEN COURT.)

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I DIDN'T TOUCH NO DOOR. YOUR HONOR, IF I MIGHT POINT SOMETHING OUT. THEY'VE DONE SOMETHING TO THE VIDEOS, AND THEY MADE THEM SHORT. THAT VIDEO WAS CONTINUOUS. SO FROM THE MOMENT I WENT OUTSIDE, IT WAS CONTINUOUS. SO I DON'T KNOW HOW YOU'RE ABLE TO CHOP AND EDIT MY VIDEOS, BUT I NEVER TURNED MY CAMERA OFF.

MR. ROSENBERG: HE'S SAYING IT'S CONTINUOUS? IT SHOWS HIM TURNING IT OFF RIGHT THERE. YOU KNOW WHAT, I'M GOING TO PLAY IT AGAIN. I'M TIRED OF THIS.

THE COURT: YOU DON'T HAVE TO DO THAT. MR. HOLLYWOOD, YOU'LL GET YOUR CHANCE -- HOLD ON.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: SORRY.

THE COURT: WAIT. WHEN YOU WANT TO CHALLENGE THE, I GUESS, THE AUTHENTICITY OF THE TAPE OR IF YOU WANT TO PLAY IT --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'M NOT CHALLENGING THAT. I DON'T REMEMBER TURNING IT OFF. I'M SAYING, WHEN I WENT OUTSIDE AND CAME BACK IN, I DON'T REMEMBER TURNING THE CAMERA OFF; THAT'S ALL I'M SAYING.

THE COURT: IT IS WHAT IT IS. SO JUST BE QUIET. LET HIM FINISH HIS EXAMINATION.

MR. ROSENBERG: PLAYING THE NEXT VIDEO IN ORDER GL01588.LRV TIMESTAMPED 10:18:38.

THE COURT: IS THIS FROM THE GO PRO AS WELL?

MR. ROSENBERG: THIS IS THE FROM THE GO PRO AS WELL.

(WHEREUPON PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT 1
IS PLAYED IN OPEN COURT.)

MR. HOLLYWOOD: SO I JUST WANT TO POINT --

THE COURT: IT'S NOT YOUR TURN, QUIET.

MR. ROSENBERG: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

Q. MA'AM, DOES THAT LAST VIDEO DEPICT THE OFFICERS AS THEY ARRIVED?

A. YES, IT DOES, AND THEY WERE INCREDIBLE.

Q. OKAY, AND SO WAS THAT THE LAST TIME YOU SAW HOLLYWOOD BEFORE TODAY?

A. YES, IT WAS.

Q. AND YOU INDICATED THAT YOU MET HIM THE NIGHT BEFORE?

A. YES.

Q. DID YOU HAVE ANY OTHER INTERACTIONS BEYOND WHAT WE'VE JUST GONE OVER?

A. NONE WHATSOEVER.

MR. ROSENBERG: NOTHING FURTHER.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, MR. HOLLYWOOD, BEFORE YOU BEGIN, I WANT TO TAKE A RECESS. I'M GOING TO TAKE A TEN-MINUTE RECESS. ALSO I NEED TO CALL A COUPLE OTHER MATTERS. YOU HAVE A RIGHT TO A CONTINUOUS PRELIMINARY HEARING. DO YOU AGREE THAT THE COURT MAY CALL A FEW OTHER MATTERS IN BETWEEN AND RECESS? IS THAT OKAY?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YES, I WANT TO SAY ONE THING ABOUT THE VIDEO.

THE COURT: YOU'LL GET YOUR TURN, ALL RIGHT. JUST WAIT. WE'RE IN RECESS TEN MINUTES.

(RECESS TAKEN.)

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, BACK ON THE RECORD ON OUR PRELIMINARY HEARING MATTER. THIS IS AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, CASE NUMBER ENDING 4055. THE DEFENDANT IS PRESENT AND IS SELF-REPRESENTED ONCE AGAIN. PEOPLE ARE REPRESENTED BY MR. ROSENBERG. THE WITNESS, MS. JENNIFER, HAS RESUMED THE

STAND. MS. JENNIFER, I JUST WANT TO REMIND YOU YOU'RE STILL UNDER OATH. AND, MR. HOLLYWOOD, YOU MAY CROSS-EXAMINE MS. JENNIFER.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: SO I'M GOING TO DO A LITTLE THINGS DIFFERENT FROM THE LAST CROSS-EXAMINATION. I LEARNED THINGS FROM THAT ONE. SO THE QUESTIONS ARE SHORTER AND NOT AS COMPOUND AS THE LAST ONE I DID WITH THE COUNCIL MEMBER. I ALSO HAVE A COPY FOR THE COURT.

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, NO QUESTION PENDING.

THE COURT: WHAT?

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, NO QUESTION PENDING.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: SO ANY WAYS, I HAVE --

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR, NO QUESTION PENDING.

THE COURT: OKAY, WELL HE'S MAKING A STATEMENT. I KNOW THAT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: SO I HAVE PREPARED A COPY OF THE SECOND COPY FOR THE COURT TO FOLLOW ALONG, AND I DON'T KNOW IF HE WANTS A COPY. HE CAN HAVE A COPY. BUT THIS IS --

THE COURT: ARE THESE A COPY OF WHAT?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: OF THE CROSS-EXAMINATION, THE FIRST FIVE PAGES. I HAVE UP TO 13, BUT I DON'T KNOW HOW FAR WE'RE GOING TO GET.

THE COURT: QUESTIONS.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: SO YOU CAN FOLLOW ALONG.

THE COURT: I WILL HEAR IT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: IT WOULD BE EASY FOR YOU TO FOLLOW ALONG. AND DO YOU WANT A COPY OF THAT?

MR. ROSENBERG: SURE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: WE'LL MAKE HIM A COPY AND OKAY. THERE ARE GOING TO BE QUESTIONS THAT I'M GOING TO ASK THAT ARE NOT ON THE PAPER BECAUSE SHE'S CHANGED IT.

MR. ROSENBERG: THEN WHY DON'T WE PROCEED?

THE COURT: LET'S PROCEED.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: ALL RIGHT. THAT'S LATER, BUT THE QUESTIONS I'M ASKING ARE ON THE PAPER.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

Q. BY MR. HOLLYWOOD: FIRST QUESTION, DID YOU TELL 911 THAT HOLLYWOOD HAD THREATENED TO FIRST HARM YOU DURING YOUR FIRST CALL?

A. NO, I DID NOT.

Q. DID YOU TELL 911 THAT HOLLYWOOD WAS IN YOUR GARAGE REFUSING TO LEAVE DURING YOUR FIRST CALL?

A. I DIDN'T KNOW THAT YOU WERE IN MY GARAGE.

Q. WHAT DID YOU TELL THEM THAT -- YOU SAID HE WAS REFUSING TO LEAVE. SO WAS HE REFUSING TO LEAVE YOUR GARAGE? WHERE DID YOU TELL 911 WHERE HE WAS REFUSING TO LEAVE?

A. I'M SORRY. COULD YOU SAY THAT AGAIN? THERE ARE MULTIPLE QUESTIONS.

Q. WHERE DID YOU TELL 911 HE WAS IN YOUR HOUSE REFUSING TO LEAVE? WHAT WAS THE LOCATION?

A. YOU WERE IN MY KITCHEN. I DIDN'T KNOW YOU WERE IN MY GARAGE.

Q. OKAY.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'M GOING TO LET THE WITNESS SEE THIS.
THE COURT: YOU WANT THE WITNESS TO SEE THIS?
MR. HOLLYWOOD: YES.
THE COURT: WHAT IS IT?
MR. HOLLYWOOD: IT'S THE POLICE REPORT WHERE SHE SAYS THAT -- SHE SAYS I WAS REFUSING TO LEAVE THE GARAGE.
THE COURT: SO YOU NEED TO SHOW HER THE PORTION OF THE -- MAYBE IF YOU HAND IT TO ME.
MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'LL HIGHLIGHT IT.
THE COURT: DO YOU KNOW WHAT PARAGRAPH IT'S IN?
MR. HOLLYWOOD: YEAH, I THINK THE FIRST PARAGRAPH.
THE COURT: FIRST PARAGRAPH.
MR. HOLLYWOOD: FIRST OR SECOND ON THAT PAGE.
THE COURT: OKAY, SO JUST READ THE FIRST PARAGRAPH.
THE WITNESS: THE ENTIRE PARAGRAPH?
THE COURT: NOT OUT LOUD. JUST READ TO YOUR YOURSELF. OKAY, LET ME HAVE THAT BACK.
THE WITNESS: OKAY.
THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, NOW, MR. HOLLYWOOD, ASK YOUR QUESTION.
Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: SO DID YOU TELL 911 THAT HOLLYWOOD WAS IN YOUR GARAGE REFUSING TO LEAVE DURING YOUR FIRST CALL?
A. I DID NOT SAY THAT ON THE 911 CALL.
Q. IT'S ON THE PAPER. IT SAYS THAT THAT'S WHAT YOU SAID?
A. THAT WAS FROM THE POLICE REPORT, AND I BELIEVE THAT THAT OFFICER GOT IT WRONG BECAUSE I DIDN'T KNOW THAT YOU WERE IN MY GARAGE.

Q. SO YOU'RE SAYING THE POLICE REPORT IS INCORRECT?

A. I DIDN'T SAY THAT. I SAID THAT THE OFFICER GOT THAT DETAIL WRONG.

Q. SO IS THAT PORTION OF THE POLICE REPORT INCORRECT?

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, ASKED AND ANSWERED.

THE COURT: OVERRULED, YOU MAY ANSWER.

THE WITNESS: I BELIEVE IF I SAID THAT, IT MAY HAVE BEEN INACCURATE. BUT I BELIEVE THAT THAT DETAIL OF THE POLICE REPORT IS WRONG.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, MR. HOLLYWOOD, DO YOU NEED THIS BACK?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YEAH, IT MIGHT COME UP.

THE COURT: NEXT QUESTION.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: DID YOU TELL 911 THAT, AFTER HOLLYWOOD REFUSED TO LEAVE YOUR HOME, YOU LOCKED YOURSELF INSIDE YOUR RESTROOM?

A. INSIDE MY BATHROOM.

Q. YEAH, RESTROOM. BATHROOM/RESTROOM SAME THING?

A. WELL, YOU WERE IN MY HOME. THERE IS A BATHROOM, THERE IS A BEDROOM, AND THERE IS A OFFICE. I VERY WELL COULD HAVE. IT'S A LARGE SUITE.

Q. WE GOT THE POLICE REPORT TO REFRESH YOUR MEMORY OF WHAT YOU SAID, SAME PARAGRAPH.

THE COURT: WHERE IS THAT?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: IT'S IN THAT SAME PARAGRAPH ABOUT THE GARAGE, I BELIEVE, OR IT MIGHT BE THE NEXT PARAGRAPH ONE OF THE FIRST TWO.

THE COURT: YOU SEE THAT IN THE FIRST PARAGRAPH, OKAY.

THE WITNESS: UH-HUH.

THE COURT: ASK YOUR QUESTION.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: AGAIN, DID YOU TELL 911 THAT AFTER HOLLYWOOD REFUSED TO LEAVE YOUR HOME, YOU LOCKED YOURSELF IN YOUR RESTROOM?

A. I DID NOT SAY ON THE 911 CALL THAT I LOCKED MYSELF IN THE RESTROOM.

Q. WHERE DID YOU SAY YOU LOCKED YOURSELF?

A. I SAID I LOCKED MYSELF IN THE INTERIOR SUITE OF THE HOUSE WHICH COULD BE INTERPRETED AS THE BATHROOM AS WELL.

Q. DID YOU TELL -- DID YOU TELL 911 THAT HOLLYWOOD WAS KICKING YOUR DOOR INSIDE YOUR RESIDENCE DURING THE FIRST CALL? KICKING NOT BANGING?

A. KICKING. THERE WAS A LOT OF NOISE AT THAT DOOR. SO IT COULD HAVE BEEN. I TOLD THE 911 DISPATCHER THAT YOU WERE HITTING THE DOOR, BANGING ON THE DOOR, AND I BELIEVE THAT I ALSO TOLD OFFICERS AT A LATER TIME THAT YOU WERE KICKING THE DOOR. IT SOUNDED LIKE YOU WERE KICKING THE DOOR. THERE WAS A LOT OF NOISE ON THE HOUSE THAT DAY.

Q. WE WATCHED THE VIDEO. WE DIDN'T SEE NO KICKING.

A. THAT VIDEO WASN'T RUNNING CONTINUOUSLY, WAS IT?

THE COURT: DON'T. YOU ANSWERED THE QUESTION.

THE WITNESS: SORRY.

THE COURT: THAT'S ALL YOU NEED TO DO.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: SHE'S SAYING YEAH.

THE WITNESS: THAT'S NOT WHAT I SAID.

THE COURT: PLEASE, MA'AM.

THE WITNESS: SORRY.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: SO YOU'RE NOT SAYING YES. YOU'RE NOT SAYING YES. YOU TOLD 911 I WAS KICKING THE DOOR OR BANGING ON THE DOOR. I'M ASKING WHAT YOU TOLD 911 NOT WHAT YOU TOLD OFFICERS. WE'LL GET THAT TO LATER.

A. THERE WAS A LOT OF NOISE AT THAT DOOR. I THINK IT'S FAIR TO SAY.

Q. SO YOU'RE SAYING YOU DON'T KNOW WHAT YOU TOLD 911?

A. I TOLD 911 DISPATCHER THAT YOU WERE BANGING ON THE DOOR. BANGING COULD BE THE SAME AS KICKING, COULDN'T IT?

Q. OKAY. SO IS IT YES OR NO THAT YOU TOLD THEM I WAS KICKING THE DOOR?

A. I CAN'T ANSWER THAT YES OR NO. YOU'LL HAVE TO TAKE IT AS YOU WANT TO, AND SO WILL THIS COURT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: SO SHE'S REFUSING TO ANSWER THE QUESTION AND LET THE COURT KNOW.

THE COURT: NEXT QUESTION.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: AFTER YOU DIALED 911, COULD YOU STILL HEAR HOLLYWOOD KICKING THE DOOR IN YOUR RESIDENCE DURING YOUR FIRST CALL?

A. I CAN'T RECALL AT WHAT TIME THAT I SET OFF THE ALARM.

Q. I DIDN'T ASK YOU ABOUT THE ALARM. I ASKED YOU, AFTER YOU DIALED 911, COULD YOU STILL HEAR WITH YOUR OWN EARS HOLLYWOOD KICKING A DOOR IN YOUR RESIDENCE DURING YOUR FIRST CALL? YES OR NO?

A. AGAIN, I CAN'T BE 100 PERCENT IN TELLING THE TRUTH ON THAT CALL.

Q. WHAT'S YOUR ANSWER? YES OR NO?

A. I'M TRYING TO -- IF YOU WOULD STOP INTERRUPTING ME, I WOULD APPRECIATE IT.

Q. IT'S A YES OR NO?

A. SOME THINGS AREN'T BLACK AND WHITE, ARE THEY? SO I NEED TO BE GIVEN THE OPPORTUNITY TO ANSWER THE QUESTION.

Q. YOU'RE LYING.

THE COURT: ONE AT A TIME. SO YOU CAN ANSWER THE QUESTION. IF YOU'RE NOT SURE, YOU CAN TELL HIM YOU'RE NOT SURE. WHATEVER YOU WANT.

THE WITNESS: AM I ALLOWED TO GIVE CONTEXT AS TO WHY I'M NOT SURE? AM I ALLOWED TO GIVE CONTEXT AS TO WHY I'M NOT SURE, YOUR HONOR?

THE COURT: WELL, ACTUALLY, YOU SHOULD JUST TRY TO ANSWER THE QUESTION.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YES OR NO?

THE WITNESS: SO --

THE COURT: GO AHEAD.

THE WITNESS: I'M NOT CERTAIN BECAUSE I HAD SET OFF THE ALARM AND THE ALARM IS QUITE LOUD.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: SO SHE'S SAID SHE'S NOT CERTAIN. I'M GOING TO PUT NOT CERTAIN.

Q. AFTER YOU DIALED 911, COULD YOU STILL HEAR HOLLYWOOD MAKING CRIMINAL THREATS TOWARDS YOU DURING YOUR FIRST CALL, FIRST CALL?

A. YES.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: LET THE COURT NOTE THAT THE FIRST SIX QUESTIONS REPRESENT STORY ONE THAT JENNIFER TOLD 911.

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, COUNSEL IS TESTIFYING.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'M JUST LETTING THE COURT KNOW STORY ONE. MOVING ON TO STORY TWO.

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD, THIS IS CROSS-EXAMINATION, OKAY. SO WE JUST NEED YOU TO ASK THE QUESTIONS.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: AND I'M JUST LETTING THE COURT KNOW THAT THOSE FIRST SIX QUESTIONS REPRESENT STORY NUMBER ONE. IT'S PART OF MY CROSS-EXAMINATION. THE STORY SHE'S TELLING.

Q. SO NEXT QUESTION, YOU TOLD DEPUTY CONTRERAS AFTER UBER XL ARRIVED THAT, BASED ON HOLLYWOOD'S AGGRESSIVE DEMEANOR TOWARD YOU, YOU LOCKED THE DOOR OF THE GARAGE THAT GAVE ACCESS TO THE RESIDENCE FROM THE GARAGE AREA, CORRECT?

A. THE GARAGE AREA, NO.

Q. IT'S EXACTLY WHAT YOU TOLD THEM. IT'S ON THE POLICE REPORT.

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, COUNSEL IS TESTIFYING.

THE COURT: HOLD ON, MISTER --

THE WITNESS: I HAD NO ACCESS TO THE GARAGE AREA.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: OKAY. I'LL JUST READ WHAT SHE TOLD CONTRERAS SO WE CAN HAVE IT ON THE RECORD.

THE WITNESS: THERE'S A FAMILY ROOM DOOR.

THE COURT: HOLD ON. JUST ANSWER THE QUESTION. WAIT FOR THE QUESTION.

THE WITNESS: SORRY.

THE COURT: OKAY.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: SO I'LL READ IT, AND THEN WE'LL ASK THE QUESTION AGAIN. "HEGER STATED WHEN THE UBER XL ARRIVED" --

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD, SO YOU CAN ASK THE QUESTION,

DID YOU TELL THE POLICE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: HOW ABOUT I DO THIS? HOW ABOUT I READ THIS AND ASK HER IF THAT WAS HER STATEMENT? CAN I DO THAT?

THE COURT: YES, YOU CAN DO THAT.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: YES. "HEGER STATED WHEN THE UBER XL ARRIVED AND BASED ON SUSPECT HOLLYWOOD'S AGGRESSIVE DEMEANOR TOWARDS HER, SHE LOCKED THE DOOR OF THE GARAGE TO GET ACCESS TO THE RESIDENCE FROM THE GARAGE AREA. SHE TOLD SUSPECT HOLLYWOOD THROUGH THE LOCKED DOOR THAT UBER IS OUT THERE. VICTIM HEGER STATED AFTER SHE TOLD SUSPECT HOLLYWOOD THE UBER WAS OUTSIDE, SUSPECT HOLLYWOOD BEGAN TO YELL AT HER THROUGH THE DOOR." IS THIS YOUR STATEMENT TO THE POLICE?

A. YES, BUT I THINK THAT THE DEPUTY HAS THE DOOR AREA IN THE LOCATION --

Q. THAT'S ALL I NEED TO HEAR?

A. -- IN THE GARAGE IS WRONG --

Q. YEAH, WHATEVER.

A. -- IT'S THE FAMILY ROOM DOOR.

Q. EVERYTHING IS WRONG. YOU SAID YES; THAT'S GOOD ENOUGH.

MR. ROSENBERG: YOUR HONOR, WOULD THE COURT ADMONISH THE DEFENDANT TO STOP MAKING COMMENTS?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'M NOT MAKING COMMENTS.

MR. ROSENBERG: HOW IS THAT NOT A COMMENT?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: WELL, SHE'S CLEARLY LYING. WE'RE READING THE POLICE REPORTS.

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: OKAY, SORRY.

THE COURT: -- PLEASE REFRAIN FROM MAKING COMMENTS.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I JUST WANT TO KNOW WHAT COMMENT I MADE. WHAT DID I SAY?

THE COURT: WHEN SHE ANSWERS THE QUESTIONS, UNLESS YOU HAVE ANOTHER QUESTION, YOU SHOULDN'T BE STATING ANYTHING.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: OKAY. SHE'S A LITTLE DIFFICULT WITNESS. SHE DOESN'T ADMIT TO HER OWN POLICE REPORT.

MR. ROSENBERG: AGAIN, COUNSEL IS MAKING COMMENTS.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'M TALKING TO THE JUDGE. I'M SAYING SHE'S A EVASIVE WITNESS.

MR. ROSENBERG: ARE YOU ASKING A QUESTION OF THE WITNESS?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'M TALKING TO THE JUDGE. SHE'S A EVASIVE WITNESS. I'M READING THE POLICE REPORT.

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD, JUST ASK THE QUESTIONS, ALL RIGHT. NEXT QUESTION.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: YOU TOLD DEPUTY CONTRERAS THAT AFTER YOU LOCKED THE DOOR OF THE GARAGE THAT GAVE ACCESS TO THE RESIDENCE, YOU TOLD HOLLYWOOD, THROUGH THE LOCKED DOOR, THAT UBER IS OUT THERE, CORRECT?

A. YES, I DID.

Q. AFTER YOU TOLD HOLLYWOOD THE UBER WAS OUTSIDE, HOLLYWOOD BEGAN TO YELL AT YOU THROUGH THE DOOR, CORRECT?

A. I'M SORRY. COULD YOU REPEAT THE QUESTION?

Q. GEEZE. AFTER YOU TOLD HOLLYWOOD THE UBER WAS OUTSIDE, HOLLYWOOD BEGIN TO YELL THROUGH THE DOOR, CORRECT?

A. AT THAT POINT THE -- IT -- NO. WE HAD THE ALTERCATION, THE VERBAL ALTERCATION OUTSIDE.

Q. SO LET ME GET THIS STRAIGHT. YOU'RE SAYING THAT

AFTER YOU TOLD HOLLYWOOD THAT UBER WAS OUTSIDE, HOLLYWOOD DID NOT BEGIN TO YELL AT YOU THROUGH THE DOOR? YOU'RE SAYING NO TO THAT?

A. NOT YET.

Q. SO WE'LL READ YOUR STATEMENT. SO YOU TOLD THE POLICE THAT?

THE COURT: AGAIN, MR. HOLLYWOOD.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: ALL RIGHT. I'M GOING TO ASK HER IF THIS WAS HER STATEMENT. "SHE TOLD SUSPECT HOLLYWOOD THROUGH THE LOCKED DOOR THE UBER IS OUT THERE. VICTIM HEGER STATED THAT AFTER SHE TOLD SUSPECT HOLLYWOOD THE UBER IS OUTSIDE, SUSPECT HOLLYWOOD BEGAN TO YELL AT HER THROUGH THE DOOR." IS THIS YOUR STATEMENT TO THE POLICE?

A. THAT'S OUT OF CONTEXT.

Q. IS THIS YOUR STATEMENT TO THE POLICE? YES OR NO? DO YOU WANT TO SEE IT TO REFRESH YOUR MEMORY?

A. YES, I DO.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THIS ONE IS PARAGRAPH 6 -- FIVE OR SIX.

THE COURT: PARAGRAPH 5.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: FIVE OR SIX.

THE COURT: FIVE OR SIX.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I TRIED TO COUNT IT. IT'S FIVE OR SIX TOWARD THE MIDDLE.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, SO IT'S THIS PARAGRAPH HERE. THE 5TH PARAGRAPH AND IT'S THE LINE WHERE IT SAYS, "HEGER STATED WHEN THE UBER XL." OKAY.

THE WITNESS: UH-HUH.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, YOU MAY ASK YOUR QUESTION. ALL

RIGHT. YOU CAN ASK YOUR QUESTIONS.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I JUST NEED A COPY BACK.

THE COURT: PAGE 4 PARAGRAPH 5.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: OH, YEAH, PARAGRAPH 5; THAT'S RIGHT.

Q. OKAY, SO SHE TOLD SUSPECT HOLLYWOOD --

THE COURT: THE QUESTION. ASK THAT AS A QUESTION.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: OH, OKAY. I CAN SAY IT AND ASK IF THIS IS HER STATEMENT?

THE COURT: WELL, IT'S JUST BETTER TO SAY, DID YOU TELL THE POLICE; THAT'S ALL YOU HAVE TO SAY.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YEAH, I THINK IT'S BETTER TO ASK IF THIS IS HER STATEMENT BECAUSE SHE'S EVASIVE.

THE COURT: GO AHEAD.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: "VICTIM HEGER STATED AFTER SHE TOLD SUSPECT HOLLYWOOD THE UBER WAS OUTSIDE, SUSPECT HOLLYWOOD BEGAN TO YELL AT HER THROUGH THE DOOR." IS THIS YOUR STATEMENT TO CONTRERAS?

A. COULD YOU REPEAT IT AGAIN PLEASE?

Q. OKAY. "VICTIM HEGER STATED AFTER SHE TOLD SUSPECT HOLLYWOOD THE UBER WAS OUTSIDE, SUSPECT HOLLYWOOD BEGAN TO YELL AT HER THROUGH THE DOOR." IS THIS YOUR STATEMENT TO DETECTIVE OR DEPUTY CONTRERAS?

A. YES.

Q. YOU TOLD DEPUTY CONTRERAS THAT AFTER YOU PLACED THE DOOR STOPPER AGAINST THE DOOR, HOLLYWOOD SAID, "WHAT THE FUCK ARE YOU DOING," CORRECT?

A. CORRECT.

Q. YOU TOLD DEPUTY CONTRERAS, AFTER HOLLYWOOD SAID,

"WHAT THE FUCK ARE YOU DOING," YOU BECAME SO AFRAID THAT YOU RAN INTO THE BEDROOM IN THE NORTHEAST CORNER AND LOCKED THAT DOOR ALSO, CORRECT?

A. CORRECT.

Q. YOU TOLD DEPUTY CONTRERAS THAT, AFTER YOU LOCKED THE BEDROOM IN THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF YOUR HOME, THAT HOLLYWOOD STARTED KICKING YOUR DOOR, CORRECT?

A. YES.

Q. YOU TOLD DEPUTY CONTRERAS THAT AFTER HOLLYWOOD STARTED KICKING THE DOOR, YOU DIALED 911, CORRECT?

A. CORRECT.

Q. AFTER YOU DIALED 911, YOU SET OFF YOUR RING CAMERA ALARM TO LET HOLLYWOOD KNOW HOW SERIOUS THE SITUATION WAS BECOMING, CORRECT?

A. CORRECT.

Q. AFTER HE DIALED 911, SET OFF THE RING ALARM, HOLLYWOOD TOLD YOU THAT HE WAS GOING TO COME INSIDE OF YOUR RESIDENCE AND KILL YOU, AND YOU WERE NOT GOING TO SEE TOMORROW, CORRECT?

A. CORRECT.

Q. AFTER YOU DIALED 911, HOLLYWOOD TOLD YOU THAT HE WAS GOING TO COME BACK TO YOUR RESIDENCE AFTER HE GETS ARRESTED AND KILL YOU, CORRECT?

A. CORRECT.

Q. YOU TOLD DEPUTY CONTRERAS THAT YOU BELIEVED THAT HOLLYWOOD WAS GOING TO BREAK DOWN THE DOOR TO COME INSIDE AND KILL YOU, CORRECT?

A. ABSOLUTELY, 100 PERCENT CORRECT.

Q. YOU TOLD L.A.S.D. DEPUTY -- OH, NO DISREGARD THAT QUESTION.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: LET THE COURT KNOW THAT PAST TEN QUESTIONS REPRESENTS STORY TWO THAT SHE TOLD DETECTIVE ESQUEDA AND CONTRERAS.

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: SUSTAINED. JUST ASK YOUR QUESTION.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: 19, THE BEDROOM IN THE NORTHEAST CORNER IN YOUR HOME IS VERY CLOSE TO THE PROXIMITY TO THE DOOR YOU LOCKED HOLLYWOOD OUT OF THE MAIN RESIDENCE; CORRECT?

A. IT'S -- NO, IT'S ACTUALLY THE FARTHEST PART AWAY. IT'S THE FARTHEST DOOR AWAY FROM THE DOOR TO THE KITCHEN.

Q. IT'S FAR AWAY?

A. IT'S THE FARTHEST AWAY. YOU SAW IT.

Q. WOULDN'T YOU SAY THAT THE KITCHEN IS ON THE NORTH SIDE OF THE HOUSE? THE KITCHEN IS ON THE NORTH SIDE OF THE HOUSE?

A. IT'S ON THE WEST SIDE OF THE HOUSE. THE BEDROOM IS ON THE EAST SIDE OF THE HOUSE. THEY ARE POLAR OPPOSITES OF EACH OTHER IN THE HOUSE.

Q. ARE YOU SAYING THAT WHERE THE GARAGE IS, THAT'S SOUTHWEST?

A. MY DIRECTION IS REALLY BAD. SO I'M JUST GOING TO USE MY RIGHT AND LEFT HAND. THE KITCHEN IS AT THE FARTHEST RIGHT-HAND SIDE OF THE HOUSE IF YOU'RE DOING IT THAT WAY. AND MY BEDROOM IS AT THE FURTHEST LEFT-HAND SIDE. SO THEY'RE LIKE THIS FARTHEST APART SO IF YOU'RE USING THIS PLEXIGLASS HERE.

Q. ISN'T THERE A BEDROOM ON THE OPPOSITE SIDE OF THE

DOOR?

A. NO, IT'S NOT.

Q. OH, THERE ISN'T NO BEDROOM, OKAY?

A. NO, THERE IS A BEDROOM THERE THAT I SLEPT IN THAT NIGHT, BUT THE MASTER BEDROOM SUITE WHERE YOU SLEPT IS ON THE FURTHEST LEFT SIDE.

Q. WE AIN'T TALKING ABOUT THAT. SO YOU SAID IT'S NOT CLOSE?

A. NO, NOT AT ALL.

Q. WAS HOLLYWOOD CLOSE ENOUGH IN PROXIMITY THAT, WHEN YOU WERE -- WHEN YOU WERE ON THE PHONE WITH 911, THAT YOU COULD HEAR HIM BANGING ON THE DOOR?

A. YES, IT WAS SO LOUD.

Q. IT WAS VERY LOUD, RIGHT, EVEN ON THE 911?

A. IT WAS REALLY, REALLY LOUD.

Q. LOUD BANGING, RIGHT?

A. LOUD BANGING.

Q. WAS THAT THE FIRST CALL OR SECOND CALL WAS THERE LOUD BANGING ON THE FIRST CALL? WE ARE ONLY TALKING ABOUT THE FIRST CALL RIGHT NOW.

A. IT WAS JUST CONTINUOUS. I DON'T WANT TO -- YOU KNOW, I WASN'T KEEPING, YOU KNOW, TIME. IT WAS JUST CONTINUOUS LOUD BANGING.

Q. YOU TOLD DEPUTY CONTRERAS THAT YOU SET OFF THE RING ALARM AND DIALED 911, PRIOR TO HOLLYWOOD MAKING CRIMINAL THREATS TO YOU, CORRECT?

A. IF IT'S IN THE POLICE REPORT, THEN YES, I DID.

Q. SO THAT'S YES?

A. YES, I WAS TRYING TO SCARE YOU OFF.

Q. SO BASICALLY THREATS HAPPENED WHEN YOU WERE ON THE PHONE WITH 911. WE'LL GET TO THAT.

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, ARGUMENTATIVE.

THE COURT: SUSTAINED.

MR. ROSENBERG: MOTION TO STRIKE.

THE COURT: STRICKEN.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: SINCE HOLLYWOOD WAS MAKING CRIMINAL THREATS AGAINST YOU WHILE YOU WERE ON THE PHONE WITH 911, WHY DIDN'T YOU TELL --

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, ASSUMES FACTS NOT IN EVIDENCE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO, WE TALKED ABOUT THE 911. WE HEARD IT. IT IS EVIDENCE.

THE COURT: OVERRULED, FINISH THE QUESTION.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: ALL RIGHT, THANK YOU.

Q. SINCE HOLLYWOOD WAS MAKING CRIMINAL THREATS AGAINST YOU WHILE YOU WERE ON THE PHONE WITH 911 --

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: HE JUST SAID IT WAS OKAY.

MR. ROSENBERG: ASSUMES FACTS NOT IN EVIDENCE. MISSTATES THE WITNESS'S TESTIMONY.

THE COURT: OVERRULED, FINISH THE QUESTION.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: WHY DIDN'T YOU TELL THE 911 OPERATOR THAT HOLLYWOOD WAS THREATENING TO KILL YOU IN REALTIME.

A. I WAS TRYING TO GET THE POLICE TO RESPOND. THE RESPOND TIME WAS EXTREMELY CONCERNING TO ME. I JUST WANTED THE POLICE TO SHOW UP TO GET YOU OUT OF MY HOUSE.

Q. AND THAT'S WHY YOU DIDN'T TELL THEM THAT YOU WERE

BEING THREATENED IN REALTIME?

A. AGAIN, I HEARD YOUR THREATS IN THE BACKGROUND. I JUST WANTED TO GET THE POLICE THERE, AND THAT'S WHY I WAS GETTING SO UPSET AND USING FOUL LANGUAGE WITH THE DISPATCHERS. I DON'T NORMALLY CONDUCT MYSELF LIKE THAT.

Q. SINCE HOLLYWOOD WAS MAKING CRIMINAL THREATS -- NO, THAT WAS YOUR FIRST CALL. WE ALREADY TALKED ABOUT. YOU SAID HOLLYWOOD WAS BANGING ON YOUR DOOR WHILE YOU WERE ON THE PHONE WITH 911. HOW COME HOLLYWOOD'S BANGING ON THE DOOR CANNOT BE HEARD ON THE YOUR FIRST 911 AUDIO RECORDING?

A. AGAIN, THE ALARM WAS GOING OFF, AND FROM WHERE, YOU KNOW, I'M ON THE PHONE. I'M ON MY CELLPHONE, AND I'M IN THE INTERIOR PART OF THE HOUSE. I CAN HEAR IT. BUT I WAS AGAIN TALKING LOUD AS YOU CAN TELL. I THINK YOU HEARD MY CONVERSATION BECAUSE OBVIOUSLY YOU SAID, "GO AHEAD AND GET THE POLICE HERE. I DON'T GIVE A FUCK." I'M SORRY TO USE FOUL LANGUAGE. YOU SAID, "GET THEM HERE. I DON'T CARE."

Q. THAT'S NOT A QUESTION I ASKED YOU. I ASKED YOU HOW COME IT CAN'T BE HEARD ON THE 911. YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER IT WAS LOUD BANG. IT WAS REALLY LOUD. HOW COME IT CAN'T BE HEARD ON THE 911 AUDIO?

A. I JUST GAVE YOU THE ANSWER.

Q. ISN'T IT TRUE THE REASON WHY IT CAN'T BE HEARD ON THE 911 AUDIO IS BECAUSE IT DIDN'T HAPPEN?

A. THAT'S FALSE.

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, ARGUMENTATIVE.

THE COURT: OVERRULED.

THE WITNESS: I JUST GAVE YOU THE ANSWER; THAT'S FALSE.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: YOU SAID THAT QUESTION IS FALSE, OKAY. I'M SKIPPING TO QUESTION 25 BECAUSE I THINK SHE SAID SOMETHING ABOUT BANGING THE DOOR TO THE 911. I REMEMBER FIRST CALL OR SECOND CALL. ON MARCH 9TH, 2023, YOU TOLD ROSENBERG THAT DURING YOUR ENCOUNTER WITH HOLLYWOOD, YOU CHARGED AT HIM WHILE HE WAS STANDING IN THE KITCHEN, CORRECT?

A. YES, I DID.

Q. YOU TOLD ROSENBERG THAT AT THE TIME YOU SAID -- AT THAT TIME YOU SAID TO HOLLYWOOD, "WHAT THE FUCK ARE YOU STILL DOING IN MY KITCHEN," CORRECT?

A. YES, I DID.

Q. YOU TOLD ROSENBERG THAT, AT THE TIME YOU CONFRONTED HOLLYWOOD IN YOUR KITCHEN, YOU HAD ALREADY CALLED UBER FOR HOLLYWOOD TO LEAVE, CORRECT?

A. CORRECT.

Q. YOU TOLD ROSENBERG THAT YOU DID NOT GO AT HOLLYWOOD DIRECTLY BUT WENT TOWARDS HIM, CORRECT?

A. CORRECT.

Q. YOU TOLD ROSENBERG THAT HOLLYWOOD WAS FROZEN AT THE TIME, CORRECT?

A. CORRECT.

Q. YOU TOLD ROSENBERG THAT YOU DID NOT ACTUALLY MAKE CONTACT WITH HOLLYWOOD BUT RETREATED QUICKLY AND WENT TO THE HALLWAY WHERE YOU LOCKED THE DOOR, CORRECT?

A. CORRECT.

Q. THIS IS A NEW QUESTION BASED ON WHAT SHE TESTIFIED TO. DID YOU TELL ROSENBERG THAT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD HAD A KNIFE?

A. I CAN'T RECALL IF I DID.

Q. YES OR NO? DID YOU TELL --

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, ASKED AND ANSWERED.

THE COURT: IT WAS ASKED AND ANSWERED.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: OKAY.

Q. DID YOU, DURING YOUR INTERVIEW WITH ROSENBERG, DID YOU MENTION THAT HOLLYWOOD EVER BRANDISHED A KNIFE?

A. I DO NOT RECALL, SIR. OH, IF I EVER? YES, I DID.

Q. SO YOU TOLD ROSENBERG THAT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD HAD A KNIFE?

A. YES, I DID.

THE COURT: THE QUESTION IS BEFORE TODAY.

THE WITNESS: OH, YES, I DID BEFORE TODAY.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: DURING YOUR INTERVIEW ON THE 9TH, YOU TOLD ROSENBERG THAT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD WAS BRANDISHING THE KNIFE?

A. I DON'T REMEMBER THE EXACT DATE.

Q. YOU DON'T REMEMBER ANYTHING. YES OR NO?

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: ROSENBERG CAN TESTIFY TO THIS.

THE COURT: PLEASE LET HER FINISH HER ANSWER, OKAY. GO AHEAD.

THE WITNESS: I'VE BEEN INTERVIEWED ABOUT THIS INCIDENT MULTIPLE TIMES, AND I DON'T WANT TO MISLEAD THE COURT. AND SO FORGIVE ME IF I CAN'T RECALL SPECIFIC DATE. BUT I DID DISCUSS IT WITH HIM. I JUST DON'T REMEMBER CLEARLY IF IT WAS ON MARCH 9TH OR NOT.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: SO WHAT YOU'RE SAYING -- YOU'RE

TELLING THE COURT THAT YOU TOLD ROSENBERG THAT HOLLYWOOD WAS BRANDISHING THE KNIFE, CORRECT?

A. CORRECT.

Q. WHEN YOU TOLD ROSENBERG THAT HOLLYWOOD WAS BRANDISHING A KNIFE, WHAT DID ROSENBERG SAY?

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, RELEVANCE.

THE COURT: YEAH, WHAT THAT'S --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I MEAN, I THINK IT'S RELEVANT BECAUSE HE'S -- THIS IS A NEW STORY. THERE'S SOMETHING ABOUT CHARGED AT. I'M JUST TRYING TO GET TO THE TRUTH THAT SHE DIDN'T TELL ROSENBERG ANYTHING ABOUT A KNIFE. THERE'S NOTHING IN THE STATEMENT THAT ROSENBERG GAVE ME, AND, I GUESS, WE CAN GIVE THIS TO HER SO SHE CAN SEE THAT SAYS ANYTHING ABOUT A KNIFE.

MR. ROSENBERG: DID YOU NOT WATCH THE BODY-WORN VIDEO OF MY SECOND VIDEO WITH HER THAT I GAVE TO YOUR ATTORNEY?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THAT'S RIGHT THERE, SIR. DO YOU HAVE A COPY OF THAT?

MR. ROSENBERG: THAT'S THE FIRST ONE. THERE'S A SECOND ONE THAT WE DID IN PERSON WITH THE BODY-WORN VIDEO THAT WAS TURNED OVER TO YOUR CO-COUNSEL THAT DOES INCLUDE HER SAYING THE KNIFE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: WELL, IT DOESN'T MATTER. WE WATCHED THE VIDEO ANY WAY. IF SHE'S SAYING THAT -- IF SHE'S SAYING THAT, I'LL SEE -- I'LL ACCEPT THAT ANSWER AS YES. BUT IT WASN'T ON THAT PAPER.

MR. ROSENBERG: BECAUSE IT DIDN'T HAPPEN THAT TIME.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: IT DOESN'T MATTER. YOU'RE GOING TO LOSE REGARDLESS. OKAY, NEXT QUESTION.

Q. SO, JENNIFER, WHICH STORY IS THE TRUTH? STORY ONE; YOU TOLD 911 OPERATOR. STORY TWO; YOU TOLD DEPUTY CONTRERAS, OR STORY THREE; YOU TOLD ROSENBERG?

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION. VAGUE.

THE COURT: THAT'S ARGUMENTATIVE AND SUSTAINED.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: IT'S ARGUMENTATIVE. I CAN'T ASK HER WHICH? OH, OKAY, I'LL MOVE ON. WE'LL SAVE IT FOR ARGUMENT; THAT'S FINE. WE ALL KNOW WHAT THE TRUTH IS.

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, DEFENDANT IS TESTIFYING ONCE AGAIN, COMMENTING, ARGUMENTATIVE.

THE COURT: SUSTAINED, STRICKEN.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: DID YOU TELL 911 DURING THE FIRST CALL THAT YOU HAD CONFRONTED HOLLYWOOD IN YOUR KITCHEN AND CHARGED AT HIM DURING THE FIRST CALL?

A. NO, I DID NOT.

Q. DID YOU TELL DEPUTY CONTRERAS THAT AFTER YOU CONFRONTED HOLLYWOOD IN YOUR KITCHEN -- OR DID YOU TELL DEPUTY CONTRERAS THAT YOU CONFRONTED HOLLYWOOD IN YOUR KITCHEN AND CHARGED AT HIM?

A. I TOLD ONE OF THE DEPUTIES THAT DAY. IT WAS ONE OR TWO AND WE DID A REENACTMENT OF IT.

Q. NO. I'M TALKING ABOUT ON FEBRUARY 21ST, 2021, [SIC] DID YOU TELL DEPUTY CONTRERAS OR THE OTHER GUY THAT INTERVIEWED YOU DETECTIVE ESQUEDA, WHATEVER, DID YOU TELL EITHER ONE OF THE PEACE OFFICERS THAT INTERVIEWED YOU ON THE 21ST THAT HOLLYWOOD CONFRONTED YOU IN THE KITCHEN OR YOU CONFRONT HOLLYWOOD IN YOUR KITCHEN AND CHARGED AT HIM? YES OR NO?

A. COULD YOU SAY THAT AGAIN? COULD YOU REPEAT IT?

Q. ON FEBRUARY 21ST, 2023, DID YOU TELL EITHER THE PEACE OFFICERS THAT WERE THERE INTERVIEWING YOU, DEPUTY CONTRERAS OR DETECTIVE ESQUEDA, THAT HOLLYWOOD -- THAT YOU HAD CHARGED -- CONFRONTED HOLLYWOOD IN YOUR KITCHEN AND CHARGED AT HIM? DID YOU TELL HIM THAT? YES OR NO?

A. I TOLD THEM THAT YOU HAD A KNIFE AND THAT -- I TOLD THEM THAT YOU HAD A KNIFE, AND I -- I TOLD THEM YOU HAD A KNIFE.

Q. YOU TOLD DEPUTY CONTRERAS I HAD A KNIFE?

A. I DON'T REMEMBER WHAT.

Q. YOU JUST SAID YOU DID; THAT'S YOUR STATEMENT, RIGHT? YOU TOLD DEPUTY CONTRERAS THAT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD HAD A KNIFE, CORRECT?

A. CAN I FINISH ANSWERING THE QUESTION BEFORE YOU INTERRUPT ME?

THE COURT: GO AHEAD.

THE WITNESS: I CAN'T REMEMBER DEPUTY CONTRERAS OR THE OTHER DEPUTY'S NAME WAS THAT I SPOKE TO. BUT IF IT'S THE TWO DEPUTIES THAT I SPOKE TO, I JUST WANT TO BE ACCURATE HERE. I DID TELL THEM THAT YOU DID HAVE A KNIFE. AND I DON'T RECALL IF I TOLD EITHER ONE OF THEM THAT I CHARGED AT YOU. I DON'T RECALL.

Q. BUT YOU'RE ABSOLUTELY 100 PERCENT SURE THAT YOU TOLD HIM I HAD A KNIFE, CORRECT?

A. 100 PERCENT SURE.

THE COURT: OKAY, HOLD ON. HOLD ON. WHAT PAGES ARE YOU REFERRING TO?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THE POLICE REPORT THE STATEMENTS THAT JUST THE ENTIRE --

THE COURT: NO, I HAVE A COPY. SO WHAT PAGE?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: SO THE ROSENBERG THING RIGHT HERE IS WHERE SHE TALKS ABOUT CHARGING. THE KNIFE THING IS SOMETHING THAT, I GUESS, CAME UP THAT I HEARD ON THE STAND.

THE COURT: WAIT A MINUTE. YOU JUST ASKED HER A QUESTION WHAT SHE TOLD THE POLICE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: RIGHT.

THE COURT: DO YOU WANT ME TO SHOW HER A REPORT FROM THE POLICE?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YES.

THE COURT: WHAT PAGE IS IT ON?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: PAGE WHERE SHE SAYS THE STATEMENTS IS ON -- ABOUT BEING KILLED AND YELLED THROUGH THE DOOR IS PAGE 4.

THE COURT: PAGE 4, WHAT PARAGRAPHS?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: PARAGRAPH I THINK 5, 6, 7 AND 8 AND 9. THOSE ARE ALL EVERYTHING SHE TOLD DETECTIVE ESQUEDA, HOWEVER YOU SAY HIS NAME AND CONTRERAS.

THE COURT: BUT YOUR QUESTION IS WHETHER SHE TOLD THE OFFICERS THAT STATEMENT ABOUT THE KNIFE; IS THAT CORRECT?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: RIGHT. AND THERE'S NOTHING IN THE POLICE REPORTS THAT SHE TOLD THEM ANYTHING ABOUT THE KNIFE OR BEING CHARGED AT OR ANYTHING IN THE KITCHEN. SO ESSENTIALLY SHE'S COMMITTING PERJURY.

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, ARGUMENTATIVE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: IT'S NOT ARGUMENTATIVE. SHE'S BREAKING

THE LAW RIGHT NOW, SIR.

MR. ROSENBERG: ARGUMENTATIVE. COUNSEL'S TESTIFYING --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'M TALKING TO THE JUDGE. SHE'S COMMITTING PERJURY ON THE STAND. EVERYONE KNOWS IT'S NOT IN THE POLICE REPORT. SHE DIDN'T TELL DEPUTY CONTRERAS THAT.

THE COURT: THIS IS A LITTLE DIFFICULT BECAUSE WHAT HE WANTS YOU TO DO IS TO READ THE REPORT AND ANSWER THE QUESTION AS TO WHETHER YOU TOLD THE POLICE ABOUT THE KNIFE, OKAY.

THE WITNESS: OKAY, SO THE WHOLE PAGE?

THE COURT: YOU CAN START AROUND THE FOURTH PARAGRAPH I THINK HE SAID FOURTH OR FIVE PARAGRAPH.

THE WITNESS: YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: OKAY, SO GO AHEAD AND ASK YOUR QUESTION.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: SO AGAIN, DID YOU TELL DEPUTY CONTRERAS OR DETECTIVE ESQUEDA THAT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD HAD CHARGED AT YOU IN THE KITCHEN?

A. I'M SORRY. CAN YOU REPEAT THAT BECAUSE YOU JUST SAID THAT YOU HAD CHARGED.

Q. DID YOU TELL DEPUTY CONTRERAS OR DETECTIVE ESQUEDA, HOWEVER YOU SAY HIS NAME, THAT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD CHARGED -- OR YOU CHARGED AT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD IN THE KITCHEN?

A. YES, I EVEN SHOWED THEM THE KNIFE.

Q. YOU SHOWED CONTRERAS THE KNIFE?

A. BOTH OF THEM ON THE FRONT YARD OF MY PROPERTY.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I WANT TO READ HER STATEMENT.

THE COURT: OKAY, WHAT DID YOU WANT NOW?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: SHE JUST SAID THAT SHE TOLD DEPUTY CONTRERAS THAT I HAD A KNIFE AND SHE SAID SHE TOLD BOTH OF

THEM. I'M JUST TRYING TO FOLLOW ALONG. THIS IS JUST YOU KNOW --

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: SO YOU SAID THAT -- AND YOU ALSO TOLD DEPUTY CONTRERAS AND DETECTIVE ESQUEDA THAT YOU CONFRONTED HOLLYWOOD IN THE KITCHEN AND CHARGED AT HIM, CORRECT? DID YOU TELL HIM THAT TOO?

A. YES. WHOEVER THE -- I JUST WANT TO BE CLEAR HERE. WHOEVER THE TWO DEPUTIES WERE THAT I DID THE REENACTMENT WITH THAT DAY, THAT IS WHO I SHOWED THE ACTUAL THE --

Q. YEAH --

A. -- THE ACTUAL KNIFE TO. WOULD YOU PLEASE LET ME ANSWER THE QUESTION IN FULL?

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. I THINK YOU ANSWERED. SO GO AHEAD.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: SO I'M GOING TO READ THIS STATEMENT AND I'M ASKING TO ASK YOU IF THIS IS --

THE COURT: WHAT ARE YOU GOING TO READ?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: JUST THE LAST TWO PARAGRAPHS. I'M GOING TO ASK IF THIS IS HER STATEMENT, THAT'S IT.

THE COURT: ON PAGE 4?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YES.

THE COURT: OKAY, READ ONE AT A TIME BECAUSE THERE'S A LOT OF INFORMATION HERE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YEAH, I KNOW. EVERYONE IS CONFUSED. "VICTIM HEGER STATED SUSPECT HOLLYWOOD STARTED BANGING ON THE DOOR. SHE WAS GLAD SHE PLACED THE DOOR STOPPER ON THE DOOR BECAUSE SUSPECT HOLLYWOOD STARTED KICKING THE DOOR, AND THAT'S WHEN SHE DIALED 911. SHE SET OF ON HER RING CAMERA ALARM TO

LET HOLLYWOOD KNOW HOW SERIOUS THE SITUATION WAS BECOMING." IS THAT YOUR STATEMENT ON FEBRUARY 21ST, 2023?

A. YES, OBVIOUSLY IT IS.

Q. "VICTIM HEGER STATED SUSPECT HOLLYWOOD TOLD HER HE WAS GOING TO COME INSIDE OF HER RESIDENCE AND KILL HER, AND SHE WAS NEVER GOING TO SEE TOMORROW. VICTIM HEGER STATED HOLLYWOOD TOLD HER HE WAS GOING TO COME BACK TO HER RESIDENCE AFTER HE GETS ARRESTED AND KILL HER." IS THAT YOUR STATEMENT?

A. ABSOLUTELY 100 PERCENT, YES.

Q. DETECTIVE ESQUEDA -- I'M SORRY PAGE 5 NOW. "DETECTIVE ESQUEDA ASKED VICTIM HEGER IF SHE REASONABLY BELIEVED SUSPECT HOLLYWOOD WAS GOING TO BREAK DOWN THE DOOR, COME INSIDE, AND KILL HER. AND SHE SAID YES. DETECTIVE ESQUEDA ASKED VICTIM HEGER IF THERE WAS ANYTHING INSIDE OF THE GARAGE HE COULD HAVE USED AS A WEAPON, AND SHE SAID 'YEAH, THAT'S KITCHEN KNIVES.'"

THE COURT: SO THE QUESTION IS, DID YOU SAY THAT TO THE POLICE.

THE WITNESS: YES, I DID.

THE COURT: OKAY.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: SO YOU TOLD ESQUEDA THAT HOLLYWOOD COULD HAVE USED KITCHEN KNIVES, CORRECT?

A. YES.

Q. SO WHY DID YOU JUST LIE TO THE COURT AND SAY THAT YOU TOLD -- YOU TOLD CONTRERAS AND ESQUEDA THAT HOLLYWOOD HAD A KNIFE?

THE COURT: OKAY, THERE'S AN OBJECTION TO THE FORM OF THE QUESTION. IT'S ARGUMENTATIVE, BUT YOU CAN ASK THAT SAME

QUESTION ANOTHER WAY.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THIS IS A HARD ONE TO DO.

Q. WHY DID YOU TESTIFY EARLIER THAT YOU TOLD CONTRERAS OR ESQUEDA, HOWEVER YOU SAY HIS NAME, THAT YOU SAW HOLLYWOOD WITH A KNIFE?

A. UH-HUH.

Q. WHEN YOUR STATEMENT WAS THERE, WERE KNIVES IN THE KITCHEN?

A. UH-HUH, THEY ASK --

Q. WHY DID YOU DO THAT?

THE COURT: HOLD ON. LET HER ANSWER THE QUESTION.

THE WITNESS: THEY ASKED ME WHAT WEAPONS YOU HAD ACCESS TO, AND I TOLD THEM KNIVES.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: THAT'S NOT A QUESTION. YOU TESTIFIED THAT YOU TOLD CONTRERAS AND ESQUEDA THAT YOU SAW ME WITH A KNIFE. IN YOUR OWN STATEMENT IT DOES NOT SAY THAT IT SAYS THAT THEY ASKED YOU IF THERE WAS ANYTHING INSIDE THE GARAGE THAT COULD BE USED AS A WEAPON, AND YOU SAID THERE WAS KITCHEN KNIVES, CORRECT?

A. WELL --

THE COURT: GO AHEAD.

THE WITNESS: IT'S JUST DIFFICULT WHEN I KEEP GETTING INTERRUPTED. HE HAS -- THE REPORT HAS THAT WRONG BECAUSE YOU WEREN'T IN THE GARAGE. YOU WERE IN THE KITCHEN SO THAT DETAIL OF THE REPORT IS WRONG, AND YOU KNOW THAT. SO I WAS BEING ASKED WHAT WEAPONS YOU HAD ACCESS TO, AND I SAID KITCHEN KNIVES. YOU WEREN'T IN THE GARAGE WHEN THIS THING WAS GOING DOWN. SO THAT'S WHAT I WAS ASKED AND SO I'M -- CAN YOU REPEAT

THE QUESTION THEN?

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO, YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER --

THE COURT: I THINK YOU ANSWERED QUESTION. NEXT QUESTION.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: ALL RIGHT, WELL --

THE COURT: ACTUALLY, HOLD ON. IT'S 12:00. WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO TAKE OUR RECESS. SO, MS. JENNIFER, YOU'RE ORDERED TO RETURN TO COURT AT 1:30. JUST BE OUT IN THE HALLWAY, AND WE'LL CALL YOU IN AS SOON AS WE'RE READY.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I WOULD LIKE TO SAY SOMETHING WHILE ROSENBERG IS STILL HERE. IF THE COURT IS NOT SATISFIED WITH THIS CASE AFTER CROSS-EXAMINATION, CONTRERAS WILL HAVE TO TESTIFY. SO THAT'S ALL I'M GOING TO SAY. ON THE DEFENSE, WE WILL MOVE TO BRING HIM HERE SO HE CAN TESTIFY.

THE COURT: WE'LL TAKE THAT UP THIS AFTERNOON. IS HE ON-CALL?

MR. ROSENBERG: CONTRERAS.

THE COURT: NO, OKAY.

(WHEREUPON AT 12:00 P.M. A LUNCH RECESS WAS TAKEN UNTIL 1:30 P.M. OF THE SAME DAY.)

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA?

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPARTMENT 1 HON. MICHAEL VILLALOBOS, JUDGE

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, PLAINTIFF, VS. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, DEFENDANT.	NO. GA114055 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, ADRIANA MONTANEZ, OFFICIAL REPORTER OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING PAGES, 1 THROUGH 69, INCLUSIVE, COMPRISE A FULL, TRUE AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF THE PROCEEDINGS HELD IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER ON APRIL 4, 2023,

DATED THIS 10TH DAY OF APRIL, 2023

_____, CSR NO. 12767
ADRIANA MONTANEZ
OFFICIAL REPORTER

THOMAS W KIELTY (SB No. 164186)
18436 Hawthorne Blvd., Suite 205
Torrance, CA 90504-4562
Telephone (310) 393-0515
Cell phone (310) 621-7928
Facsimile (310) 626-8521
tomkielty@twk-law.com

Attorney for
Thomas Guzman-Sanchez

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

County of Los Angeles, et al. Plaintiff, v. Arogant Hollywood Defendant.	CASE NO. 25STRO03574 *(Assigned to Honorable Latrice A.G. Byrdsong, Stanley Mosk, Dept. 25)* **NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO QUASH DEFENDANT, AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S SUBPOENA TO THOMAS GUZMAN-SANCHEZ; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES; DECLARATION OF THOMAS W. KIELTY**

THOMAS W. KIELTY, ATTORNEY AT LAW
18436 HAWTHORNE BLVD., SUITE 205
TORRANCE, CA 90504-4562
OFFICE (310) 393-0515

THOMAS W. KIELTY, ATTORNEY AT LAW
18436 HAWTHORNE BLVD., SUITE 205
TORRANCE, CA 90504-4562
OFFICE (310) 393-0515

MOTION TO QUASH SUBPOENA

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on August 19, 2025 at 8:30 a.m., or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard in Dept. 25 of the above-entitled court located at 111 N. Hill Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012. Thomas Sanchez-Guzman will, and hereby does, move this Court for an order quashing the subpoena served upon him by Defendant Arogant Hollywood.

This motion is brought pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure §§ 1987.1 and 1987.2, on the grounds that:

1. The subpoena is oppressive, unreasonable, and poses a credible threat to the personal safety of the declarant; and
2. The information sought is not relevant to the issues in the underlying case and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

This motion is based on this notice, the attached memorandum of points and authorities, the supporting declaration of Thomas W. Kielty, attached exhibits, and any evidence or argument presented at the hearing.

Respectfully submitted by,

Dated: 19 August 2025

Thomas W Kielty, Attorney for
Thomas Guzman-Sanchez

THOMAS W. KIELTY, ATTORNEY AT LAW
18436 HAWTHORNE BLVD., SUITE 205
TORRANCE, CA 90504-4562
OFFICE (310) 393-0515

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I. INTRODUCTION

This Motion to Quash seeks to protect a non-party witness, Thomas Sanchez- Guzman from complying with a subpoena issued by Defendant Arogant Hollywood in a manner that is both legally improper and personally threatening.

The subpoena should be quashed for two independent reasons:

1. The request for testimony is not relevant to the current action and lacks any discernible connection to any claim or defense; and
2. The issuing party has made repeated, documented threats against the witness, creating a clear and ongoing risk to their safety if compelled to appear in person or engage further with the litigant.

II. LEGAL STANDARD

Under Code of Civil Procedure § 1987.1, the Court may quash a subpoena if it is unreasonable, oppressive, or made in bad faith. Furthermore, non-parties may not be compelled to provide testimony unless the information sought is directly relevant and material to the claims at issue. (*Calcor Space Facility, Inc. v. Superior Court* (1997) 53 Cal.App.4th 216, 223.)

Courts have broad discretion to protect non-parties from harassment or harm, especially when a subpoena is being misused for retaliatory or coercive purposes. (*People ex rel. Dept. of Transp. v. Superior Court* (2003) 105 Cal.App.4th 39, 46.)

III. ARGUMENT

A. The Subpoena Lacks Relevance to the Underlying Action

The declarant is not a party to this case and has no relevant, non-privileged knowledge material to any claim or defense. The subpoena appears to be

retaliatory in nature and intended to harass rather than obtain proper evidence. No showing has been made by the issuing party as to why Thomas Guzman-Sanchez's testimony is necessary or even related to the present case.

Per CCP § 2017.010, only discovery "relevant to the subject matter involved in the pending action" is permitted. That standard is not satisfied here.

B. The Subpoena Threatens the Personal Safety of the Declarant

More troublingly, the subpoena was issued following a series of harassing and threatening communications from the issuing party, Mr. Hollywood.

These communications included:

- Profane and hostile text messages
- Threats of physical violence
- Threats to include the declarant in lawsuits
- Recorded phone calls in which the issuing party stated: "I'm going to f* you up ... and I'm recording this call."**

These communications are attached as **Exhibit A** to the Declaration of Thomas Guzman- Sanchez.

This pattern of harassment has created a well-founded fear of personal harm. The declarant has no relationship with the issuing party and is not a material witness in the case. Forcing compliance with this subpoena would place the declarant at unnecessary personal risk and would serve no legitimate purpose.

IV. CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth above, Thomas Sanchez-Guzman respectfully requests that the Court:

1. Quash the subpoena issued by Arogant Hollywood; and
2. Grant such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

THOMAS W. KIELTY, ATTORNEY AT LAW
18436 HAWTHORNE BLVD., SUITE 205
TORRANCE, CA 90504-4562
OFFICE (310) 393-0515

THOMAS W. KIELTY, ATTORNEY AT LAW
18436 HAWTHORNE BLVD., SUITE 205
TORRANCE, CA 90504-4562
OFFICE (310) 393-0515

DECLARATION OF COUNSEL

I, Thomas W. Kielty, declare under penalty of perjury, the following:

1. I am an attorney at law and licensed by the State of California to practice law before this court and in that capacity make the following declaration.
2. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated in this Declaration, except where stated on information and belief, and as to such matters, I am informed and believe that they are true.
3. I represent Mr. Sanchez-Guzman in the above referenced matter.
4. Mr. Sanchez-Guzman has been subjected to harassing, threatening, and intimidating communications from Arogant Hollywood as outlined in **Exhibit A** attached hereto.
5. Mr. Sanchez- Guzman does not feel safe attending any proceeding in which Arogant Hollywood is present.
6. The declarant is not a party to this case and has no relevant, non-privileged knowledge material to any claim or defense.
7. No showing has been made by the issuing party as to why Thomas Guzman-Sanchez's testimony is necessary or even related to the present case.
8. The subpoena was issued as a means of retaliation and intimidation, rather than in pursuit of valid testimony.

The foregoing statements are true and correct to the best of my knowledge. I declare the foregoing to be true under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California. I executed this document in Torrance, California.

Respectfully submitted



Dated: 18 August 2025

Thomas W Kielty, Attorney for
Thomas Guzman-Sanchez

THOMAS W. KIELTY, ATTORNEY AT LAW
18436 HAWTHORNE BLVD., SUITE 205
TORRANCE, CA 90504-4562
OFFICE (310) 393-0515

PROOF OF SERVICE

This pleading was personally served in Dept 25 of the Stanley Mosk Courthouse to the County Counsel present in the courtroom and the Defendant Arogant Hollywood on the date listed below.

I declare the foregoing to be true under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California. This document was executed at Torrance, California.

Dated: 19 August 2025

Thomas W. Kielty, Attorney for
Thomas Sanchez-Guzman

THOMAS W. KIELTY, ATTORNEY AT LAW
18436 HAWTHORNE BLVD., SUITE 205
TORRANCE, CA 90504-4562
OFFICE (310) 393-0515

Exhibit A

GS MEDIA LAB

November 27, 2023

DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF VERBAL THREAT AND HARRASMENT

I, THOMAS GUZMAN-SANCHEZ, declare as follows:

I am a member of the Los Angeles Superior Court Expert Witness Panel.

On July 21, 2023 I was appointed to conduct video forensic lab services for the defense (Arrogant Hollywood Case #GA114055). This was for lab services needed for Meta data analysis and video clarification. The appointment approved twenty (20) hours. I requested a bit-to-bit copy of the original video footage from the DDA Mr. Rosenberg on a phone call on August 29 2023. I received a thumb drive prepared by the DDA with a converted version of the video files I requested. This was unusable due to the fact that these video files had been altered. I again requested the copy of the original files. I did extensive analysis of the 911 phone calls, victim declaration phone call and bodycam files that were provided on the DDA thumb drive. I still needed the original video files, which I never received to complete the appointment for defense. I was in constant communication with Mr. Joel Wyenn, P.I. for the defendant. On October 17, 2023 I received call from Mr. Wyenn notifying me that the Arrogant Hollywood case was concluded. I was told he had pled guilty and was sentenced.

On October 19, 2023 at 12:17 AM I received Text 1 from Mr. Hollywood (626-755-6442).

> You're a joke expert. I will do my own video analysis and prove DA framed me in days!

On October 22, 2023 at 2:13 PM I received two calls back to back from Mr. Hollywood at (626-704-4625). The first he said, "Wait a minute." and I ended the call. He then called back and I put it on speakerphone so my associate could hear the call. We could hear a phone call recorder being turned on. A recorded voice said,

> RECORDER VOICE: This call is being recorded.

We listen silently and he said responded.

> HOLLYWOOD: Are you there? Are you there?

GS MEDIA LAB
19210 DEARBORN ST. NORTHRIDGE, CA 91324 USA
VOICE: 818.727.1979 CELL/TEXT: 818.399.1255
e mail: vfi@gsmedialab.com

TGS: I am listening.

We listened as he continued on a delusional rant.

HOLLYWOOD: I figured out that the DA erased the GoPro video files and framed me. I knew it right when I put it in my computer.

TGS: Why are you telling me this?

HOLLYWOOD: I'm filing a lawsuit against for violating my fourteenth amendment rights. I'm going to fuck you up. And I'm recording this call. I'm going to fuck you up so watch out!

TGS: This is a threat and I am reporting you.

Then I ended the call immediately.

On October 29, 2023 at 12:34 PM I received a Text 2 from Mr. Hollywood (323-200-4449).

Write a detailed declaration or I will subpoena you to go to court.


I texted back,

Who is this?

At 12:36 PM Mr. Hollywood texted the following,

Who do you think fool. Also a lawsuit will be filed next month naming you as a defendant for violating my fourteenth amendment rights. Original videos were deleted prior to me getting my micro SD card back.

On November 21, 2023 at 4:26 AM I received the following image sent twice from Mr. Hollywood (669-888-0996),



This image of a text shows it is from (626) 318-5595 at 10:04 AM

At 4:26 following the two images the following text was sent from Mr. Hollywood (669-888-0996):

> I am the video expert now. You will be sued. LAWYER UP FOOL

I am now formally reporting that Mr. Hollywood has both continued to harass and has physically threatened me with bodily harm. I have notated the times and dates of the events. I have retained the actual texts and have a witness to the phone threat that was recorded by Mr. Hollywood.

I have prepared the actual phone texts that were sent, which is attached hereto as **EXHIBIT A.**

I am not associated or obligated to work with Mr. Hollywood and I believe I am in danger due to his aggressive verbal threats and persistent delusional behavior. I am requesting the Court take this information into consideration and act accordingly.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the facts stated in the foregoing are true and correct.


Executed on this 27th day of Novemeber 2023, in Los Angeles, California.

By


THOMAS GUZMAN-SANCHEZ
AVF – Expert
GS Media Lab

Exhibit A - Details of Services:

Text 1



Text 2


1:44
85%
+13232004449
Sunday, October 29
I am headed back to court soon. You need to either write a detailed declaration or I will subpoena you to go to court
12:34 PM
12:34 PM
Who is this?
Who do you think fool. Also a lawsuit will be filed next month naming you as a defendant for violating my fourteenth amendment rights. Original videos were deleted prior to me getting my micro SD card back.
12:36 PM

Text 3

2:20 ↑ 80%

+16698880996





I am the video expert now. You will be sued. LAWYER UP FOOL 4:26 AM

Gmail **Arogant Hollywood <blacklawgenius@gmail.com>**

POST-CONVICTION DEMAND FOR THE PEOPLE AND LASD TO TURN OVER AND DISCLOSE PHONY LASD-CREATED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GL010586 AND GL010587 (AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, et al v. ricardo garcia, et al)

34 messages

Arogant Hollywood <blacklawgenius@gmail.com> Wed, Feb 28, 2024 at 4:52 PM
To: cparker@da.lacounty.gov, vrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov, swoo@da.lacounty.gov, tcheunglaw@gmail.com, rchew@apd.lacounty.gov, jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov, smire@da.lacounty.gov, mhaider@da.lacounty.gov, Ron Geltz <rgeltz@da.lacounty.gov>, gascon@da.lacounty.gov, Neil Opdahl-Lopez <attorney@neilopdahl.com>, rgarcia@pubdef.lacounty.gov, jesack@pubdef.lacounty.gov, rmarquez@pubdef.lacounty.gov, mrawi@pubdef.lacounty.gov, ljackson@lasuperior.org, ljackson@lasuperiorcourt.org, eanzoategui@apd.lacounmty.gov, Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>, lacklawcrusader@gmail.com, causeofaction43@gmail.com, Arogant Hollywood <arogantmusicinc@gmail.com>, fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com, villalobos@lasuperior.org, villalobos@lasuperiorcourt.org, mvillalobos@lasuperior.org, mvillalobos@lasuperiorcourt.org, sclover@lasuperior.org, sclover@lasuperiorcourt.org, robert.bonta@doj.ca.gov, pghaderi@counsel.lacounty.gov, abwers@counsel.lacounty.gov, msimon@counsel.lacounty.gov, amattson@da.lacounty.gov, getem626@gmail.com, bhernandez@pubdef.lacounty.gov, jtrochez@pubdef.lacounty.gov, leaht.wilson@calbar.ca.gov, leah.wilson50@yahoo.com, firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, cchen@bos.lacounty.gov, seconddistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, Third District <thirddistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>, secondistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, thirdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, fourthdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, hmitchell@yahoo.com, elizabeth.hom@calbar.ca.gov, jinacrawford@calbar.ca.gov, rjenkins@counsel.lacounty.gov, cbarnett@counsel.lacounty.gov, rluna@lasd.org, cdelperal@counsel.lacounty.gov, ndavis-tinkham@counsel.lacounty.gov, nadtinkham@gmail.com, jwhitehurst@counsel.lacounty.gov, pmarshall@counsel.lacounty.gov, rcartwright@counsel.lacounty.gov, jgonzolez@counsel.lacounty.gov, rwong@counsel.lacounty.gov, tfaughnan@counsel.lacounty.gov, tomfaughnan@gmail.com, jlehaman@counsel.lacounty.gov, kmiles@counsel.lacounty.gov, rbaeza@counsel.lacounty.gov, rchastang@counsel.lacounty.gov, lchampo@counsel.lacounty.gov, emiller@counsel.lacounty.gov, pbollinger@counsel.lacounty.gov, kbowser@counsel.lacounty.gov, rebrahim@da.lacounty.gov, kkoyano@da.lacounty.gov, dteran@da.lacounty.gov, tsereno@da.lacounty.gov, Marc Beaart <mbeaart@da.lacounty.gov>, giniguez@da.lacounty.gov, fsanchez@da.lacounty.gov, rarcos@da.lacounty.gov, jrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov, pagrawai@da.lacounty.gov, cnakao@da.lacounty.gov, vaenlle-rochoa@da.lacounty.gov, vgadams@msn.com, ljkessner@da.lacounty.gov, Larry Droeger <ldroeger@da.lacounty.gov>, jsilva@da.lacounty.gov, hborden@da.lacounty.gov, sgipson@da.lacounty.gov, twright@da.lacounty.gov, mcarrillo@da.lacounty.gov, Alan Yochelson <ayochelson@da.lacounty.gov>, spresby@da.lacounty.gov, shassett@da.lacounty.gov, bjdodd@da.lacounty.gov, lorquida@da.lacounty.gov, lorquido@da.lacounty.gov, mramirez@da.lacounty.gov, ralarcon@da.lacounty.gov, shulefeld@da.lacounty.gov, Mario Haidar <mhaidar@da.lacounty.gov>, pkouch@da.lacounty.gov, dpurvis@da.lacounty.gov, cpark@da.lacounty.gov, rarias@da.lacounty.gov, inspectorgeneral@oig.lacounty.gov, courtney-spivey-urschel@usdoj.gov, courtney.spivey@usdoj.gov, doug.mcmanus@usdoj.gov, malinda.rogers@usdoj.gov, robert.mccarty@usdoj.gov, askdoj@usdoj.gov, supremectbriefs@usdoj.gov, kerrymonaco@usdoj.gov, kerrymonoco@usdoj.gov, elizabeth.prelogar@usdoj.gov, anitavanita.gupta@whitehouse.gov, kristen.clarke@usdoj.gov, criminal.division@usdoj.gov, nmacella@gmail.com, nmacella@usdoj.gov, brian.boynton@usdoj.gov, david.weiss@usdoj.gov, jacksmith@usdoj.gov, rakelrossi@gmail.com, rakelrossi@yahoo.com, ned.harlan@doj.ca.gov, robertbonta@doj.ca.gov, opschiefsoffice@doj.ca.gov, peter.halloran@doj.ca.gov, amyhhalloran@doj.ca.gov, peterhalloran@sbcglobal.net, alisha.carlie@doj.ca.gov, alishastephen@yahoo.com, vincent.bonotto@doj.ca.gov, vbonotto@hotmail.com, glenn.pruden@doj.ca.gov, iless77@hotmail.com, daniel.olivas@doj.ca.gov, giam.nguyen@doj.ca.gov, chad.stegaman@doj.ca.gov, stephen.law@doj.ca.gov, christopher.findley@doj.ca.gov, dennis.beck@doj.ca.gov, darrell.spence@doj.ca.gov, maggy.krell@doj.ca.gov, elaine.tong@doj.ca.gov, hannah.calascan@doj.ca.gov, mo.park@doj.ca.gov, lisa.freund@doj.ca.gov, dbuthler@lasuperiorcourt.org, dbuthler@lacourt.org, mbillalobos@lacourt.org, dslayton@lasuperiorcourt.org, dslayton@lacourt.org, jmoses@lasuperiorcourt.org, jmoses@lacourt.org, ppenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, ppenrose@lacourt.org, chase.penrose@gmail.com, robert.oyang@jud.ca.gov, john.yee@jud.ca.gov, heather.pettit@jud.ca.gov, leah.rose-goodwin@jud.ca.gov, mark.gusman@jud.ca.gov, corry.jasperson@jud.ca.gov, jennie.jasperson@jud.ca.gov, randie.chance@doj.ca.gov, bobby.brow@jud.ca.gov, stephen.nash@jud.ca.gov, debbie.silkox@jud.ca.gov, laura.speed@jud.ca.gov, andrea.evans@jud.ca.gov, nicole.claro@jud.ca.gov, gavin.newsom@gov.ca, gavin.newsom@ca.gov, nishdeep.singh@doj.ca.gov, blacklawgenius@gmai.com

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, *Esq*
Greatest Self-Represented Litigant in
Civil and Criminal Law History
3-0 on Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted
Spirit of Esquire

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
NORTHEAST DISTRICT, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
ALHAMBRA SUPERIOR COURT

people of the state of california, Prosecutor, v AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Defendant, ***Railroaded and Wrongfully Convicted***	**Case No. GA114055** **Arogant Hollywood's Emailed Request for Discovery for FELONY AND BOGUS LASD-CREATED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDS GL010586 AND GL010587** **Date of Hearing: February tbd, 2024** **Department: TBD** **Honorable Jared D. Moses TBD**

I. INTRODUCTION

The People just couldn't help itself after Arogant Hollywood (hereinafter "Hollywood") emailed REAL GOPRO video recording proving his factual innocence by clear and convincing evidence. The People responded by presenting the same phony GOPRO video recordings on February 2, 2024 that were also presented and admitted as false evidence into a criminal Superior Court on April 4, 2023 and April 5, 2023.

II. STATEMENT OF FACTS

On February 21, 2023, Arogant Hollywood (hereinafter "Hollywood") was falsely arrested by LASD Temple City Peace Officers for making criminal threats.

On February 21, 2023 shortly after arriving at Temple City adult detention center Hollywood told detective peace officer Billy Khounthavong and his superior sergeant Richard Lewis that if they brought him his mobile phone and GOPRO camera he could present to them audio and video evidence that would prove he did not make any criminal threat.

On February 22, 2023 rogue and corrupt LASD peace officer Billy Khounthavong and his superior Richard Lewis recklessly conspired to violate his civil rights by disposing of Samsung Galaxy S21 Ultra mobile phone which Hollywood told them contained on it audio recordings and text messages that would exonerate him.

On February 22, 2023 Billy Khounthavong filed a bogus police report alleging that a search warrant for his GOPRO was issued by the dishonest, racist, and bias judicial officer Suzette Clover. The search warrant was so bogus that HOLLYWOODnever received a certified copy of it despite requesting it on numerous occasions. The bogus warrant was alleged to have been electronically filed yet it did not have the words duplicate original written on it, and it did not have the execution date and time written on it. HOLLYWOOD was never served and did not receive a copy of it until March 2023.

On February 21, 2023 County of Los Angeles rogue peace officer A. Contreras created a fraudulent and counterfeit probable cause determination declaration in which he stated that the Honorable Jana Seng approved his bogus PCD at approximately 1 p.m., a time she was on her lunch break. But it gets worse, while LASD has its own system for entering PCD and sending them to the court. There are no records of Contreras' bogus PCD. Also, the fact that Contreras alleged that his PCD was approved and probable cause was found non telephonically was a bald face lie. Probable cause is usually found at a preliminary hearing when it's non telephonic. Additionally, a court reporter was required to transcribe Contreras' non telephonic probable cause determination declaration. See now

California Penal Code Section 817, subdivision (g). Additionally, LASD and rogue peace officer A. Contreras will and recklessly failed to file a certificate of service with Jana Seng's court after the alleged arrest warrant was approved by her. See now California Penal Code Section 817(i). LASD and A. Contreras never filed a certificate of service because they knew that their probable cause determination declaration was shamed, bogus, counterfeit, false, forged, and fraudulent.

On or about February 23, 2023 rogue and dishonest peace officer detective lying Billy Khounthavong wrongfully and unnecessarily sent his GOPRO HERO 10 action camera to LASD and Ventura Sheriff's Office's joint Fraud and Cyber Crimes' Southern California High Tech Task Force so that they could illegally manipulate his GOPRO videos to make him look guilt of a crime they knew that HOLLYWOODdid not commit.

At the preliminary hearing on April 4, 2023 and April 5, 2023 dishonest deputy district attorney Brian Mark Rosenberg willfully, wantonly, and recklessly violated California Evidence Code Section 1522, subdivision (b) by presenting to the court as evidence unoriginal, tainted, tampered with, edited, modified, and altered GOPRO video recordings..

At the preliminary hearing on April 5, 2023 Brian Mark Rosenberg assisted Billy Khoungthavong in testifying falsely that HOLLYWOODshut off his GOPRO for seven minutes during the make believe knife and criminal threats separate incidents. While Billy Khounthavong was on the stand racist, biased and dishonest criminal prosecutor Brian Mark Rosenberg played an edited and altered GOPRO video recording that depicted and showed him shutting off his GOPRO camera just prior to reentering the now deceased fake victim Jennifer Hutton-Heger. This particular altered video was never turned over to him, his co-counsel Neil Opdahl-Lopez, or any of his private investigators Carlos Jackson and then Joel Wynn at any time. In general Brian Mark Rosenberg, James Garrison, Sharon Lee Woo, George Gascon, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, and Ronald Geltz all recklessly violated his Due Process Clause Fourteenth Amendment rights by recklessly failing to turn over a copy of original GOPRO video recordings to him, and turn also turn over a copy of altered GOPRO video recording that falsely shows him shutting off his GOPRO camera.

At the preliminary hearing LASD, Brian Mark Rosenberg, and rogue peace officer Billy Khounthavong further framed him by lying about the length of Jennifer Hutton's first 911 call length, and also lying that her first call ended at 10:07 a.m. and her second call commenced between 10:09 a.m. and 10:10 a.m. LIARS! The People's own CAD report shows that Hutton's second 911 call commenced at 10:08 a.m. and even the judge did not dispute near his conviction of this case that her first call ended at approximately 10:07 a.m. and 50 seconds. Thus, the time gap between the end of Hutton's first 911 call and the beginning of her second 911 call was approximately 30 seconds.

At the preliminary hearing on April 4, 2023 and April 5, 2023 in furtherance of the

County of Los Angeles' conspiracy to frame him for a violent crime that HOLLYWOODnever committed. Brian Mark Rosenberg and LASD Temple City presented to the court broken up videos GL010586, GLO10587, GL010588, and GL010589. LASD's Southern California High Tech Task Force illegally did this and according to their job duties and descriptions. NONE OF THEM HAD NO BUSINESS TOUCHING AND ALTERING HIS ENTIRELY EXCULPATORY GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS!

On October 17, 2023, Hollywood filed a Motion to Compel the people and Brian Mark Rosenberg (hereinafter "Mark Rosenberg") to turn over and disclose an electronic copy of the phony and bogus GOPRO video recording GL010586 portraying Hollywood shutting off his GOPRO.

In October 2023, Hollywood filed a motion directly with Suzette Clover requesting that she provide him with a certified copy of Billy Khounthavong's phony and FORGED search warrant pursuant to California Evidence Code section 1530. Prejudiced, biased and RACIST State of California judicial officer Suzette Clover recklessly, wantonly, willfully, maliciously and callously violated Hollywood's Fourteenth Amendment Constitutional rights by denying his motion, recklessly failing to provide Hollywood with a certified copy of rogue Peace Officer's Billy Khounthavong's alleged electronically filed February 22, 2023 search warrant, and RACIST Judicial Officer Suzette Clover LIED that she issued Khounthavong's phony search warrant, and she lied that it was done electronically.

Suzette's Clover October, 2023 ruling that she issued Khounthavong's phony search warrant can be proven in the fact that the FORGED SEARCH WARRANT was missing the following PASADENA SUPERIOR COURT electronically stamped information that would PROVE it was REAL:

Electronically FILED by
Superior Court of California,
County of Los Angeles
02/22/2023_____PM
David W. Slayton,
Executive Officer/Clerk of Court
CLERK NAME HERE, Deputy Clerk

Additionally, pursuant to the Trial Court Records Manual Revised 2020, Judicial Council of California, at page 115 of 143, page 132 of 143, and 21 of 143, the rogue Peace Officer Billy Khounthavong and Suzette Clover's search warrant is clearly proven to be counterfeit and was never issued since the Pasadena Superior Court has no record of it existing while it was required pursuant to California Government Code Section 68152 to retain a copy of it at the Pasadena Superior Court until 2033. Further, page 132 of 143 in the Trial Court Records Manual Revised 2020, Judicial Council of California states that

pursuant to California Penal Code Section 1534, the Pasadena Superior Court was required to make Billy Khounthavong and Suzette Clover's counterfeit and make-believe search warrant open to the public. Thus, Pasadena Superior Court has no electronic and / or physical records of rouge Billy Khounthavong and RACIST Suzette Clover's PHONY search warrant because it was never filed in the Pasadena Superior Court and it does not exist.

On November 28, 2023 Hollywood emailed an electronic copy of the real GOPRO video that proved his factual innocence by clear and convincing evidence. The real GOPRO video was electronically served upon George Gascom, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl Mire, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Ronald Millard Geltz and over 75 other County of Los Angeles employed criminal prosecutors and members of the Los Angeles County Office of Council.

In addition to Hollywood emailing the real GOPRO video to the above stated criminal prosecutors, these same criminal prosecutors also received a web address link that redirected them to Hollywood's Instagram account where they could view the real video that was previously uploaded to his Instagram account.

PLEASE SEE BELOW INSTAGRAM VIDEO LINKS TO ORIGINAL FEBRUARY 21, 2023 GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS.

https://www.instagram.com/reel/Czs0EpgOsSm/?utm_source=ig_web_copy_link&igshid=MzRlODBiNWFlZA==

https://www.instagram.com/reel/Cz0sb6auaos/?utm_source=ig_web_copy_link&igshid=MzRlODBiNWFlZA==

On December 28, 2023, Hollywood filed a motion to withdraw his No Contest guilty plea.

On January 12, 2024, Hollywood filed a second motion to withdraw his No Contest guilty plea and he withdrew his No Contest guilty plea motion filed on December 28, 2023 in the illegal and frivolous, violent and serious felony case GA114055.

On February 2, 2024, the People and RACIST Deputy District Attorney Brian Mark

Rosenberg admitted and presented a GOPRO video recording that depicted and portrayed Arogant Hollywood shutting off his GOPRO camera using a bare and naked right arm. In the People's LASD Peace Officers' body camera video recordings, Hollywood is seen wearing a long-sleeved shirt. During Jennifer Hutton-Heger's first 911 call, she described Arogant Hollywood's clothing as baggy pants and long-sleeved shirt. Thus, People's phony GOPRO video recording GL010586 which depicts and displays Hollywood wearing a tank-top and also different pants proves by clear and convincing evidence that the People's GOPRO video recording is phony and was NOT created by Arogant Hollywood on February 21, 2023. In original GOPRO video recording, there is sound. In People's Artificial Intelligence-powered video recording, there is no sound.

On February 2, 2024, the Superior Court held an evidentiary hearing on Hollywood's motion to withdraw his No Contest plea.

On February 2, 2024, Deputy District Attorney MARK Rosenberg both testified and presented the manipulated, altered, bogus and phony GOPRO video recording GL010586 created by the Los Angeles County Sherriff's Department (hereinafter "LASD").

The bogus video displayed and depicted Hollywood shutting off his GOPRO camera. The bogus video featured an artificial intelligence-powered Arogant Hollywood repeatedly touching his GOPRO camera multiple times before finally stopping the recording.

The evidence clearly shows that the GOPRO video GL010586 is a phony for the following reasons:

1. In People's phony LASD-created GL010586, Hollywood is seen shutting off his GOPRO camera while his entire right arm can be seen to be bare and naked, without clothing. In People's phony GL010586, Hollywood is clearly seen wearing a dark-colored tank top.

2. In the original GL010586 video recording, Hollywood is never seen shutting off his GOPRO camera. In the original GL010586 video recording, Hollywood is seen to be wearing a long-sleeved shirt.

On or about February 5, 2024, Arogant Hollywood left a voicemail requesting that Alhambra Deputy In Charge Victor Manuel Rodriguez provide to co-counsel Neil Opdahl-Lopez a copy of People's phony GOPRO video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 that was played, presented and admitted at the evidentiary hearing of February 2, 2024.

On or about February 6, 2024, Arogant Hollywood left a voicemail requesting that Alhambra Deputy District Attorney Cindi Park provide to co-counsel Neil Opdahl-Lopez a copy of People's phony GOPRO video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 that were

played, presented and admitted at the evidentiary hearing of February 2, 2024.

On or about February 7, 2024, co-counsel Neil Opdahl-Lopez emailed Deputy District Attorney Brian MARK Rosenberg requesting that he provide him with a copy of LASD-created GOPRO video recording GL010586 showing Hollywood shutting off his GOPRO camera and was admitted as false evidence on February 2, 2024. MARK Rosenberg replied back that he was no longer handling the case. This is true, but it is also true that Brian MARK Rosenberg is the only Deputy District Attorney in the County of Los Angeles that has phony LASD-created GOPRO video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 electronically stored on his lap-top computer. Not even Alhambra Deputy In Charge Victor Manuel Rodriguez has access to the phony GOPRO video recordings GL010586 and GL010587.

On February 16, 2024, Arogant Hollywood contacted the Office of new Los Angeles County District Attorney Office Family Violence Division Deputy in Charge Janice Johnson by dialing 213-257-2185 using a 3-way conference call made by Alison Fairchild. Hollywood left a message with Ms. Johnson's legal secretary Estra Malda and requested that Ms. Johnson contact co-counsel Neil Opdahl-Lopez at 626-429-6578 about providing him with a copy of altered GOPRO video recordings played by People on February 2, 2024.

On or about February 16, 2024, Arogant Hollywood called Alhambra Deputy in Charge Victor Manuel Rodriguez by dialing 626-308-5302 and requesting to be transferred to his voicemail. Hollywood left a voicemail for Victory Rodriguez requesting he provide co-counsel Neil Opdahl-Lopez with a copy of altered LASD-created GOPRO video recording GL010586 that shows Hollywood shutting off his GOPRO camera and was played at the court evidentiary hearing on February 2, 2024.

Between February 5, 2024 and February 23, 2024 both Hollywood and co counsel/ Neil Opdahl- Lopez requested and demanded by both email and telephone that people turn over copies of phony GOPRO recordings GL01586 and GL01587 that was shown, presented, and admitted into the superior court on April 4th, 2023, April 5th, 2023, February 2nd, 2024. Yet, the people have still willfully, recklessly, wantingly, maliciously, and callously failed to do so.

The people of Brian MARK Rosenberg willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously and callously failed to provide Arogant Hollywood, co-counsel Neil Opdahl-Lopez, Private Investigators Joel Wyenn and Carlos A. Jackson and RACIST and lying court-appointed expert witness Thomas Juzman-Sanchez, disclose and turn over an electronic copy of phony LASD-created GOPRO video recordings GL010586 and GL010587. None of the previously-named Hollywood criminal defense members should have ever had to request phony GOPRO video recording evidence from MARK Rosenberg because pursuant to Los Angeles County District Attorney Offices' Policies, Practices and

Procedures any and all audio and video evidence is required to be uploaded and made immediately available to the criminal defense members to download on evidence.com.

III. LEGAL ARGUMENT

Under 1054.1, the Prosecuting Attorney shall disclose to the Defendant or his or her attorney all evidence in his possession or in the possession of its law enforcement agencies working to prosecute Hollywood. See *In re Littlefield*, 5 Cal.4th 122 129 (1993).

The People and Brian MARK Rosenberg had a *Brady* duty to disclose and turn over phony LASD-created GOPRO video recordings GL010586 and GL0101587 because these videos could and would have been used to impeach false testimony of LYING Billy Khounthavong that phony GOPRO video recordings GL010586 and GL0101587 were retrieved and downloaded from Arrogant Hollywood's GOPRO camera. See *People v. Little*, 59 Cal.4th 426, 433 (1997).

Throughout this case the people of Mark Rosenberg have been in full control. Hollywood has never had an opportunity to present his evidence and he has never had an opportunity to examine people's phony GOPRO video recordings GL017586 in the superior court.

The People and MARK Rosenberg's Constitutional duty that required them to disclose impeaching evidence to Hollywood was independent from their statutory duty to provide discover under Section 1054.1. See *Izazaga v. Superior Court*, 54 Cal.3d 356 at p. 378 (1991).

Evidence that is material under *Brady* must be disclosed to the Defense, notwithstanding any failure of the Defense to enforce its statutory right to discovery. See *People v. Jordan*, 108 Cal.App.4th 349, 359 (2003).

Brady imposed the duty on MARK Rosenberg and the People to disclose phony GOPRO video recordings voluntarily without Hollywood requesting videos and these phony LASD-created video recordings should have been uploaded to evidence.com back in March 2023.

On page 9 of Hollywood's Motion to Compel filed on October 17, 2023, he requested that the Superior Court order the following:

2. All 16 GOPRO video recordings admitted at the preliminary hearing including GOPRO video of Hollywood shutting off his GOPRO camera provided to Defendant in playable MP4 format.

Numerous United States Supreme Court full courts have ruled that the People and MARK Rosenberg must turn over and disclose GOPRO video recording of Hollywood shutting his GOPRO camera while wearing a tank-top that exposes bare right arm which was played, presented and admitted as false evidence against Hollywood on April 4, 2023, April 5, 2023, and February 2, 2024.

We hold that suppression by the Prosecution of evidence favorable to an Accused upon request violated due process where the evidence is material to either guilt or innocence irrespective of the good faith or bad faith of the Prosecution.

Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83, 83 S.Ct. 1194, 10, L.Ed.2d 275 (1965).

The most important question in this unconstitutional and frivolous case now is if Hollywood did "it" as MARK Rosenberg told the Superior Court on July 7, 2023 then why are Rosenberg, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Ronald Millard Geltz, Cindy Park, George Gascom, Stephanie Pearl Mire, Janice Johnson, Darci Purvis, LASD, Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office and County of Los Angeles working tirelessly to frame Hollywood by presenting and admitting into criminal Superior Court a phony GOPRO video recording showing camera angles that were impossible for Hollywood to have recorded while his GOPRO camera was mounted on his chest and while he was also wearing different clothing than he had on February 21, 2023; as heard and seen on People's 911 audio recording and police body cam videos.

The People's phony GOPRO video recording GL010586 (which was never turned over to the Defense) could have been used to impeach false testimony by Billy Khounthavong that this phony GOPRO video recording was never recorded by Hollywood and he testified to falsely on April 5, 2023. See

The People in Rosenberg had a bravely duty to disclose and turn over phony GOPRO video recordings LASD created GL010586 and GL01587. Those videos could and would can be used to impeach false testimony that phony GOPRO video recording were retrieved from Hollywood's GOPRO camera. *People v. Little, supra*, 59 Cal.App.4 426, 433 (1997).

After its *Brady* decision, United States Supreme Court full courts have ruled that the People and Mark Rosenberg must turn over and disclose GOPRO video recordings of Hollywood shutting off his GOPRO camera while wearing a tank top that exposes his bare and naked right arm which was played, presented, and admitted as false evidence against Hollywood on February 4, 2023, April 5, 2023 and February 22, 2024.

If evidence highly probable is in his file, it should be presumed to recognize to its significance if he overlooked it.

United States v. Agurs, 427 U.S. 97, 112, 96 S.Ct. 2392, 49 L.Ed.2d 342 (1976).

Nearly a decade after its decision in ruling in *Agurs*, the Supreme Court took the *Brady* doctrine further by ruling that criminal prosecutors had an obligation to disclose impeaching evidence to a criminal defendant even if the defendant never requested the favorable evidence.

The Strickland formulation of the Agurs test for materiality sufficiently flexible to cover the "no request", "general request", and "specific request" case of prosecutorial failure to disclose the evidence favorable to the Accused. The evidence is material only if there is a reasonable probability that, had the evidence been disclosed to the Defense, the result of the proceeding would have been different.

United States v. Bagley, 473 U.S. 667, 682, 105, S.Ct. 3375, 77 L.Ed.2d. 481 (1985).

Bagley was very similar to the frivolous and unconstitutional case at bar because the government's reckless failure to disclose material and favorable evidence that could have been used to impeach testimony of government witnesses resulted in a miscarriage of justice.

The obligation of MARK Rosenberg and the People to disclose favorable, impeaching evidence under *Brady* includes their phony GOPRO video recordings evidence that should have been used to impeach the false testimony of Billy Khounthavong that phony GOPRO video recording GL010586 showing Hollywood shutting off his GOPRO camera while wearing different clothing was retrieved and downloaded from Hollywood's original GOPRO camera. See now, *Strickler v. Greene*, 527 U.S. 263, 280-281, 119 S.Ct. 1936, 144 L.Ed.2d 286 (1999); *United States v. Bagly, supra*, 473 U.S. 667, 105 S.Ct. 3375, at 682.

MARK Rosenberg: and the videos that you retrieved, how did you get those?

Billy Khounthavong: I submitted the GOPRO to our hi-tech bureau and detectives from that bureau down-loaded the data from the GOPRO itself, and I retrieved it from them.

See now People's Preliminary Transcript of Proceedings, Day 2, April 5, 2023 at page 23.

It is highly unlikely that lying Billy Khounthavong retrieved a phony video of Hollywood shutting off his GOPRO camera while he was wearing clothing different from that shown in the original GOPRO video.

Hollywood's allegation that LASD hi-tech bureau copied and pasted video frame sequences from his GOPRO video recordings recorded before February 21, 2023 and then pasted those previously recorded video recordings video frame sequences to the very end of GL010586 was confirmed after the People and MARK Rosenberg presented and admitted a phony LASD-created GL010586 video recording that displayed and showed Hollywood wearing different clothing than he had on on February 21, 2023.

Over a decade later after its ruling in Bagly, the United States Supreme Court continued ruling that the government must disclose evidence favorable to Defendant.

> **A reasonable probability of a different result is one in which the suppressed evidence undermines confidence of the trial.**
>
> *Kyles v. Whitley,* 514 U.S. 419, 434, 115, S.Ct. 1555, 131, L.Ed.2d 490 (1995).

The People and MARK Rosenberg's willful, malicious, wanton, reckless and callous failure to disclose and turn over electronic copies of phony of GOPRO recordings GL010586 and GL010587 that were presented and admitted into the Superior Court on April 4, 2023, April 5, 2023, April 2, 2024 violated Hollywood's due process clause United States' Fourteenth Amendment constitutional rights and the suppression of this impeaching evidence undermined the confidence of the bench trial and preliminary hearing in the frivolous and unconstitutional case GA114055.

On April 4, 2023, April 5, 2023, and February 2, 2024, biased Judicial Officer Michael Villalobos watched and observed a GOPRO video that depicted Arogant Hollywood shutting off his GOPRO camera inside Jennifer Hutton-Heger's home using a bare and naked right arm.

Merriam-Webster's Dictionary of Law definition of impeachment evidence is: .

> evidence that may be used to impeach a witness because it tends to harm the witness' credibility.

The reason why the People's phony LASD-created GOPRO video recording GL010586 shows Hollywood wearing different clothing than he was wearing on February 21, 2023 is because rouge and RACIST Southern California Hi-Tech Task Force Peace Officers cannot find any GOPRO video recordings of Hollywood shutting his GOPRO

camera off on February 21, 2023. Thus, the cat is now out of the BAG.

Hollywood could have impeached Billy Khounthavong by simply playing the phony video recording while Khounthavong was under cross-examination by asking him why was Hollywood seen in phony LASD-created GOPRO video recording wearing different clothing than he had on on February 21, 2023. MARK Rosenberg thought about this as well and it is the reason why he violated his oath of office as a Court Officer by never turning over phony LASD-created GOPRO video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 to any member of Hollywood's defense team, including co-counsel Neil Opdahl-Lopez, court-appointed private investigators Carlos A. Jackson and Joel Wyenn, LYING and RACIST expert witness Thomas Guzman-Sanchez between March 2023 and February 2, 2024, nearly a year.

> **A Brady claim has three elements: " [1.] The evidence at issue must be favorable to the Accused either because it is exculpatory or because it is impeaching. [2.] That evidence must have been suppressed by the State, either willfully or inadvertently, and [3.] Prejudice must have ensued."**
>
> *Banks v. Driefke,* 540 U.S. 668, 691, 124 S.Ct. 1256, 157, L.Ed.2d 1166 (2004).

In the frivolous case at bar, the three elements of *Brady* have been met. The People's phony GOPRO video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 are favorable to Hollywood because they are impeaching. The People and MARK Rosenberg have willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, and callously suppressed phony GOPRO video recordings GL010586 and GL010587, and Hollywood has suffered prejudice by being held to answer and convicted because of the People's willful, reckless, malicious, and callous suppression of this favorable, impeaching evidence.

> **Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83, 83 S.Ct. 1194, 10, L.Ed.2d. 275 (1965) this court held that the government violated the Constitution's Due Process Clause "if it withholds evidence favorable to the Defense and material to the Defendant's guilt or punishment."**
>
> *Smith v. Cain,* 565 U.S. 73, 132 S.Ct. 627 (2012)

In the unconstitutional and frivolous case GA114055, the People and MARK Rosenberg have recklessly, willfully, wantonly, maliciously and callously violated

Hollywood's Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause United States constitutional rights by withholding material impeaching evidence that is and was extremely favorable to Hollywood in the form of phony LASD-created GOPRO video recordings that display and show Hollywood filmed in camera angles that were impossible for him to have recorded while his GOPRO camera was mounted on his chest, and in the form of phony LASD-created GOPRO video recordings showing and displaying Hollywood inside Jennifer Hutton-Heger's home when wearing different clothing that he had on on February 21, 2023.

Brady imposed a duty on MARK Rosenberg and the People to disclose phony LASD-created GOPRO video recordings voluntarily without Hollywood requesting phony video recordings, and these impeaching evidence video recordings should have been uploaded to evidence.com by Brian MARK Rosenberg, LASD, and the People back in March, 2023. Biased Judicial Officer Michael Villalobos and the Superior Court abused their discretion and exceeded their jurisdiction by working in concerted collusion to RAILROAD Hollywood by recklessly, willfully, wantonly, maliciously and callously allowing the People and MARK Rosenberg to callously and recklessly present and admit into a criminal Superior Court phony LASD-created GOPRO video recordings that depict and display Hollywood shutting off his GOPRO camera while being filmed and recorded in impossible camera angles while wearing different clothing than what People's own evidence proved and showed Hollywood had on February 21, 2023. It was extremely unfair for biased Judicial Officer Michael Villalobos to willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously and callously allow the People to present and admit a phony LASD-created GOPRO video recording on April 4, 2023, April 5, 2023, and February 2, 2024, to allow a Peace Officer to testify that this phony LASD-created video recording was retrieved and downloaded from Hollywood's GOPRO camera, while recklessly and callously never ORDERING or requesting People to turn over and disclose a copy of impeaching evidence so Hollywood could have examined phony video recording and used the impeaching video recording evidence to impeach and discredit false testimony by Billy Khounthavong that phony LASD-created video recording GL010586 was retrieved and downloaded from Hollywood's GOPRO camera.

This Court must grant immediate release to the railroaded and prejudiced Hollywood by ORDERING that phony LASD-created video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 which were both presented and admitted as false evidence on April 4, 2023, April 5, 2023, and February 2, 2024 be turned over and disclosed to innocent and wrongfully incarcerated Arogant Hollywood immediately.

Pursuant to *Brady v. Maryland*, 373 U.S. 83, 83 S.Ct. 1194, 10, L.Ed.2d 275 (1965); *United States v. Agurs*, 427 U.S. 97, 112, 96 S.Ct. 2392, 49 L.Ed.2d 342 (1976); *United States v. Bagley*, 473 U.S. 667, 682, 105, S.Ct. 3375, 77 L.Ed.2d. 481 (1985); *People v. Little*, 59 Cal.4th 426, 433 (1997); *Izazaga v. Superior Court*, 54 Cal.3d 356 at p. 378 (1991); *People v. Jordan*, 108 Cal.App.4th 349, 359 (2003); and California Penal Code

Section 1054 *et seq*, the Superior Court and biased Judicial Officer Michael Villalobos were required to, had a judicial duty to, and an obligation under the Fourteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution to ORDER and COMPEL the People and MARK Rosenberg to immediately turn over and disclose an electronic copy of People's LASD-created video recordings that could and would have been used to impeach the false testimony of State Government witness Billy Khounthavong that the phony GOPRO video recording GL010586 that depicted and displayed Hollywood shutting off his GOPRO camera wearing different clothing was retrieved and downloaded from Hollywood's GOPRO camera.

The People and MARK Rosenberg

The California Penal Code and Brady v. Maryland imposes a statutory duty for the People to turn over and disclose impeaching evidence to Hollywood independent from their statutory duty to provide discovery under California Penal Code Section 1054.1. See *Izazaga v. Superior Court*, *Supra*, 54 Cal.3 d 356 (1991) at p. 378.

This Court must order the People to provide Hollywood and co-counsel Neil Opdahl-Lopez with a copy of LASD-created GOPRO recordings GL010586 and GL010587 that were presented and admitted by the People on April 4, 2023, April 5, 2023, and February 2, 2024 as false evidence. This Court must order the People to disclose and turn over the above-cited IMPEACHING video recordings pursuant to *Brady v. Maryland*, *supra*, 373 U.S. 83, 83 S.Ct. 1194, 10, L.Ed.2d 275 (1965) and *United States v. Bagley*, 473 U.S. 667, 682, 105, S.Ct. 3375, 77 L.Ed.2d. 481 (1985).

As a result of an act in furtherance of a conspiracy prohibited under any part of section 1985, including clause 1 of section 1985. See 42 U.S.C. section1985 (clause iii).

On January 9, 2024 and January 16, 2024, numerous deputy executive PUBLIC PRETENDERS were emailed a REAL 14-minute GOPRO video recording and yet they all failed to do anything to assist Hollywood by reporting Los Angeles District Attorney Office's willful, reckless, wanton, malicious, and callous injustice of Arogant Hollywood to Alhambra Superior Court. Accordingly, all emailed deputy PUBLIC PRETENDERS and the PUBLIC PRETENDER will be sued in Federal District Court.

IV. END OF EMAIL DEMANDING DISCOVERY

INNOCENT and WRONGFULLY-CONVICTED CRIMINAL DEFENDANT

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD HEREBY DEMANDS that County of Los Angeles and Los Angeles Sherriff's Department, Fraud and Cyber Crimes Bureau Southern California Hi-Tech Taskforce (a joint law enforcement agency with Ventura County Sherriff's Office) turn over and fully disclose an electronic copy of phony LASD-created GOPRO video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 in fully-playable MP4 format:

1. All GOPRO video recordings given to LASD Peace Officer Billy Khounthavong on March 20, 2023.

The LASD Fraud and Cyber Crimes Bureau is located at[MOU1]

LASD Fraud and Cyber Crimes Bureau
11515 Colima Rd., Building A
Whittier, CA 90604

Additionally, the People and all emailed County of Los Angeles-employed criminal prosecutors have a statutory and constitutional duty and obligation to immediately turn over and disclose electronic copies of phony LASD-created GOPRO video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 which depict and display inside Jennifer Hutton-Heger's home with different clothing than he had on on February 21, 2023 by doing the following:

1. By mailing a USB thumb flash drive containing impeaching GOPRO video recording evidence to:

Neil Opdahl-Lopez, Esq.
Major, USMCR
(SBN: 277596)
473 Carnegie Drive, #200
San Bernardino, CA 92408

2. By uploading LASD-created GOPRO video recordings GL0108586 and GL010587 onto evidence.com and then emailing criminal defense attorney access to download impeaching criminal law evidence on evidence.com

Co-counsel Neil Opdahl-Lopez can be reached by text, mobile phone at:

626-429-6578
attorney@neilopdahl.com

Over the past 10 months, INNOCENT criminal defendant AROGANT HOLLYWOOD has requested by emailing and telephoning business offices of James William Garrison (jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov, (213) 257-3198); Sharon Lee Woo (swoo@da.lacounty.gov, (213) 257-2928); Victor Manuel Rodriguez

(vrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov, (626) 308-5400); Ronald Millard Galtz (rgaltz@da.lacounty.gov, (213) 257-2185); and George Gascon (ggascon@da.lacounty.gov, (213) 974-3512)) requesting that these County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors turn over and disclose original GOPRO video recordings (now in the physical possession of AROGANT HOLLYWOOD) and impeaching GOPRO video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 (never disclosed by the People and MARK Rosenberg, yet requested in April, 2023 and October, 2023 criminal court pleadings), yet these previously-named criminal prosecutors have all willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, and callously failed to turn over and disclose original GOPRO video recordings and phony LASD-created GOPRO video recordings GL010586 and GL010587. Accordingly, this electronically-served DEMAND for discovery will be formatted on pleading paper and filed with Alhambra Superior Court.


YOU HAVE ALL BEEN HEREBY FOREWARNED.

RACIST and BIASED Deputy District Attorney MARK Rosenberg willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, and callously failed and refused to turn over an electronic copy of manipulated and phony LASD-created GOPRO video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 between March 28, 2023 and February 2, 2024. Accordingly, pursuant to Los Angeles District Attorney Office's policies, practices and procedures regarding disclosure to the Defense regarding video and audio evidence, the People have a constitutional and statutory duty to immediately upload an electronic copy of phony LASD-created GOPRO video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 (currently being electronically stored on the hard drive of Brian MARK Rosenberg's laptop computer) to evidence.com.

The People and emailed criminal prosecutors have until April 4, 2024 to comply with this emailed discovery demand upon being served by FAX, electronic mail, and by personal service of a California Process Server.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws and Constitution of California and United States that every word, sentence, paragraph, and page of this Criminal Law legal document is true and correct.

Date: February 28, 2024




Arogant Hollywood,

Esq

Defendant-In-Propria-Persona

[MOU1]Continue

 **image.png**
28K

Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com> Wed, Feb 28, 2024 at 6:30 PM
To: blacklawgenius@gmail.com

Address not found

Your message wasn't delivered to **eanzoategui@apd.lacounmty.gov** because the domain apd.lacounmty.gov couldn't be found. Check for typos or unnecessary spaces and try again.

LEARN MORE

The response was:

```
DNS Error: DNS type 'mx' lookup of apd.lacounmty.gov responded with code NXDOMAIN Domain name not found: apd.lacounmty.gov For more information, go to https://support.google.com/mail/?p=BadRcptDomain
```

Final-Recipient: rfc822; eanzoategui@apd.lacounmty.gov
Action: failed
Status: 5.1.2
Diagnostic-Code: smtp; DNS Error: DNS type 'mx' lookup of apd.lacounmty.gov responded with code NXDOMAIN
Domain name not found: apd.lacounmty.gov For more information, go to https://support.google.com/mail/?p=BadRcptDomain
Last-Attempt-Date: Wed, 28 Feb 2024 18:30:08 -0800 (PST)

---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: Arogant Hollywood <blacklawgenius@gmail.com>
To: cparker@da.lacounty.gov, vrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov, swoo@da.lacounty.gov, tcheunglaw@gmail.com, rchew@apd.lacounty.gov, jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov, smire@da.lacounty.gov, mhaider@da.lacounty.gov, Ron Geltz <rgeltz@da.lacounty.gov>, gascon@da.lacounty.gov, Neil Opdahl-Lopez <attorney@neilopdahl.com>, rgarcia@pubdef.lacounty.gov, jesack@pubdef.lacounty.gov, rmarquez@pubdef.lacounty.gov, mrawi@pubdef.lacounty.gov, ljackson@lasuperior.org, ljackson@lasuperiorcourt.org, eanzoategui@apd.lacounmty.gov, Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>, lacklawcrusader@gmail.com, causeofaction43@gmail.com, Arogant Hollywood <arogantmusicinc@gmail.com>, fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com, villalobos@lasuperior.org, villalobos@lasuperiorcourt.org, mvillalobos@lasuperior.org, mvillalobos@lasuperiorcourt.org, sclover@lasuperior.org, sclover@lasuperiorcourt.org, robert.bonta@doj.ca.gov, pghaderi@counsel.lacounty.gov, abwers@counsel.lacounty.gov, msimon@counsel.lacounty.gov, amattson@da.lacounty.gov, getem626@gmail.com, bhernandez@pubdef.lacounty.gov, jtrochez@pubdef.lacounty.gov, leaht.wilson@calbar.ca.gov, leah.wilson50@yahoo.com, firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, cchen@bos.lacounty.gov, seconddistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, Third District <thirddistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>, secondistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, thirdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, fourthdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, hmitchell@yahoo.com, elizabeth.hom@calbar.ca.gov, jinacrawford@calbar.ca.gov, rjenkins@counsel.lacounty.gov, cbarnett@counsel.lacounty.gov, rluna@lasd.org, cdelperal@counsel.lacounty.gov, ndavis-tinkham@counsel.lacounty.gov, nadtinkham@gmail.com, jwhitehurst@counsel.lacounty.gov, pmarshall@counsel.lacounty.gov, rcartwright@counsel.lacounty.gov, jgonzolez@counsel.lacounty.gov, rwong@counsel.lacounty.gov, tfaughnan@counsel.lacounty.gov, tomfaughnan@gmail.com, jlehaman@counsel.lacounty.gov, kmiles@counsel.lacounty.gov, rbaeza@counsel.lacounty.gov, rchastang@counsel.lacounty.gov, lchampo@counsel.lacounty.gov, emiller@counsel.lacounty.gov, pbollinger@counsel.lacounty.gov, kbowser@counsel.lacounty.gov, rebrahim@da.lacounty.gov, kkoyano@da.lacounty.gov, dteran@da.lacounty.gov, tsereno@da.lacounty.gov, Marc Beaart <mbeaart@da.lacounty.gov>, giniguez@da.lacounty.gov, fsanchez@da.lacounty.gov, rarcos@da.lacounty.gov, jrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov, pagrawai@da.lacounty.gov, cnakao@da.lacounty.gov, vaenlle-rochoa@da.lacounty.gov, vgadams@msn.com, ljkessner@da.lacounty.gov, Larry Droeger <ldroeger@da.lacounty.gov>, jsilva@da.lacounty.gov, hborden@da.lacounty.gov, sgipson@da.lacounty.gov, twright@da.lacounty.gov, mcarrillo@da.lacounty.gov, Alan Yochelson <ayochelson@da.lacounty.gov>, spresby@da.lacounty.gov, shassett@da.lacounty.gov, bjdodd@da.lacounty.gov, lorquida@da.lacounty.gov, lorquido@da.lacounty.gov, mramirez@da.lacounty.gov, ralarcon@da.lacounty.gov, shulefeld@da.lacounty.gov, Mario Haidar <mhaidar@da.lacounty.gov>, pkouch@da.lacounty.gov, dpurvis@da.lacounty.gov, cpark@da.lacounty.gov, rarias@da.lacounty.gov, inspectorgeneral@oig.lacounty.gov, courtney-spivey-urschel@usdoj.gov, courtney.spivey@usdoj.gov, doug.mcmanus@usdoj.gov, malinda.rogers@usdoj.gov, robert.mccarty@usdoj.gov, askdoj@usdoj.gov, supremectbriefs@usdoj.gov, kerrymonaco@usdoj.gov, kerrymonoco@usdoj.gov, elizabeth.prelogar@usdoj.gov, anitavanita.gupta@whitehouse.gov, kristen.clarke@usdoj.gov, criminal.division@usdoj.gov, nmacella@gmail.com, nmacella@usdoj.gov, brian.boynton@usdoj.gov, david.weiss@usdoj.gov, jacksmith@usdoj.gov, rakelrossi@gmail.com, rakelrossi@yahoo.com, ned.harlan@doj.ca.gov, robertbonta@doj.ca.gov, opschiefsoffice@doj.ca.gov, peter.halloran@doj.ca.gov, amyhhalloran@doj.ca.gov, peterhalloran@sbcglobal.net, alisha.carlie@doj.ca.gov, alishastephen@yahoo.com, vincent.bonotto@doj.ca.gov, vbonotto@hotmail.com, glenn.pruden@doj.ca.gov, iless77@hotmail.com, daniel.olivas@doj.ca.gov, giam.nguyen@doj.ca.gov, chad.stegaman@doj.ca.gov, stephen.law@doj.ca.gov, christopher.findley@doj.ca.gov, dennis.beck@doj.ca.gov, darrell.spence@doj.ca.gov, maggy.krell@doj.ca.gov, elaine.tong@doj.ca.gov, hannah.calascan@doj.ca.gov, mo.park@doj.ca.gov, lisa.freund@doj.ca.gov, dbuthler@lasuperiorcourt.org, dbuthler@lacourt.org, mbillalobos@lacourt.org, dslayton@lasuperiorcourt.org, dslayton@lacourt.org, jmoses@lasuperiorcourt.org, jmoses@lacourt.org, ppenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, ppenrose@lacourt.org, chase.penrose@gmail.com, robert.oyang@jud.ca.gov, john.yee@jud.ca.gov, heather.pettit@jud.ca.gov, leah.rose-goodwin@jud.ca.gov, mark.gusman@jud.ca.gov, corry.jasperson@jud.ca.gov, jennie.jasperson@jud.ca.gov, randie.chance@doj.ca.gov, bobby.brow@jud.ca.gov, stephen.nash@jud.ca.gov, debbie.silkox@jud.ca.gov, laura.speed@jud.ca.gov, andrea.evans@jud.ca.gov, nicole.claro@jud.ca.gov, gavin.newsom@gov.ca, gavin.newsom@ca.gov, nishdeep.singh@doj.ca.gov, blacklawgenius@gmai.com
Cc:

Bcc:
Date: Wed, 28 Feb 2024 16:52:38 -0800
Subject: POST-CONVICTION DEMAND FOR THE PEOPLE AND LASD TO TURN OVER AND DISCLOSE PHONY LASD-CREATED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GL010586 AND GL010587 (AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, et al v. ricardo garcia, et al)
----- Message truncated -----

Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com> Wed, Feb 28, 2024 at 6:32 PM
To: blacklawgenius@gmail.com



Address not found

Your message wasn't delivered to **gavin.newsom@gov.ca** because the domain gov.ca couldn't be found. Check for typos or unnecessary spaces and try again.

LEARN MORE

The response was:

```
DNS Error: DNS type 'mx' lookup of gov.ca responded with code NXDOMAIN Domain name not found: gov.ca For more information, go to https://support.google.com/mail/?p=BadRcptDomain
```

Final-Recipient: rfc822; gavin.newsom@gov.ca
Action: failed
Status: 5.1.2
Diagnostic-Code: smtp; DNS Error: DNS type 'mx' lookup of gov.ca responded with code NXDOMAIN Domain name not found: gov.ca For more information, go to https://support.google.com/mail/?p=BadRcptDomain
Last-Attempt-Date: Wed, 28 Feb 2024 18:32:19 -0800 (PST)

---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: Arogant Hollywood <blacklawgenius@gmail.com>
To: cparker@da.lacounty.gov, vrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov, swoo@da.lacounty.gov, tcheunglaw@gmail.com, rchew@apd.lacounty.gov, jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov, smire@da.lacounty.gov, mhaider@da.lacounty.gov, Ron Geltz <rgeltz@da.lacounty.gov>, gascon@da.lacounty.gov, Neil Opdahl-Lopez <attorney@neilopdahl.com>, rgarcia@pubdef.lacounty.gov, jesack@pubdef.lacounty.gov, rmarquez@pubdef.lacounty.gov, mrawi@pubdef.lacounty.gov, ljackson@lasuperior.org, ljackson@lasuperiorcourt.org, eanzoategui@apd.lacounmty.gov, Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>, lacklawcrusader@gmail.com, causeofaction43@gmail.com, Arogant Hollywood <arogantmusicinc@gmail.com>, fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com, villalobos@lasuperior.org, villalobos@lasuperiorcourt.org, mvillalobos@lasuperior.org, mvillalobos@lasuperiorcourt.org, sclover@lasuperior.org, sclover@lasuperiorcourt.org, robert.bonta@doj.ca.gov, pghaderi@counsel.lacounty.gov, abwers@counsel.lacounty.gov, msimon@counsel.lacounty.gov, amattson@da.lacounty.gov, getem626@gmail.com, bhernandez@pubdef.lacounty.gov, jtrochez@pubdef.lacounty.gov, leaht.wilson@calbar.ca.gov, leah.wilson50@yahoo.com, firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, cchen@bos.lacounty.gov,

seconddistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, Third District <thirddistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>, secondistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, thirdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, fourthdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, hmitchell@yahoo.com, elizabeth.hom@calbar.ca.gov, jinacrawford@calbar.ca.gov, rjenkins@counsel.lacounty.gov, cbarnett@counsel.lacounty.gov, rluna@lasd.org, cdelperal@counsel.lacounty.gov, ndavis-tinkham@counsel.lacounty.gov, nadtinkham@gmail.com, jwhitehurst@counsel.lacounty.gov, pmarshall@counsel.lacounty.gov, rcartwright@counsel.lacounty.gov, jgonzolez@counsel.lacounty.gov, rwong@counsel.lacounty.gov, tfaughnan@counsel.lacounty.gov, tomfaughnan@gmail.com, jlehaman@counsel.lacounty.gov, kmiles@counsel.lacounty.gov, rbaeza@counsel.lacounty.gov, rchastang@counsel.lacounty.gov, lchampo@counsel.lacounty.gov, emiller@counsel.lacounty.gov, pbollinger@counsel.lacounty.gov, kbowser@counsel.lacounty.gov, rebrahim@da.lacounty.gov, kkoyano@da.lacounty.gov, dteran@da.lacounty.gov, tsereno@da.lacounty.gov, Marc Beaart <mbeaart@da.lacounty.gov>, giniguez@da.lacounty.gov, fsanchez@da.lacounty.gov, rarcos@da.lacounty.gov, jrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov, pagrawai@da.lacounty.gov, cnakao@da.lacounty.gov, vaenlle-rochoa@da.lacounty.gov, vgadams@msn.com, ljkessner@da.lacounty.gov, Larry Droeger <ldroeger@da.lacounty.gov>, jsilva@da.lacounty.gov, hborden@da.lacounty.gov, sgipson@da.lacounty.gov, twright@da.lacounty.gov, mcarrillo@da.lacounty.gov, Alan Yochelson <ayochelson@da.lacounty.gov>, spresby@da.lacounty.gov, shassett@da.lacounty.gov, bjdodd@da.lacounty.gov, lorquida@da.lacounty.gov, lorquido@da.lacounty.gov, mramirez@da.lacounty.gov, ralarcon@da.lacounty.gov, shulefeld@da.lacounty.gov, Mario Haidar <mhaidar@da.lacounty.gov>, pkouch@da.lacounty.gov, dpurvis@da.lacounty.gov, cpark@da.lacounty.gov, rarias@da.lacounty.gov, inspectorgeneral@oig.lacounty.gov, courtney-spivey-urschel@usdoj.gov, courtney.spivey@usdoj.gov, doug.mcmanus@usdoj.gov, malinda.rogers@usdoj.gov, robert.mccarty@usdoj.gov, askdoj@usdoj.gov, supremectbriefs@usdoj.gov, kerrymonaco@usdoj.gov, kerrymonoco@usdoj.gov, elizabeth.prelogar@usdoj.gov, anitavanita.gupta@whitehouse.gov, kristen.clarke@usdoj.gov, criminal.division@usdoj.gov, nmacella@gmail.com, nmacella@usdoj.gov, brian.boynton@usdoj.gov, david.weiss@usdoj.gov, jacksmith@usdoj.gov, rakelrossi@gmail.com, rakelrossi@yahoo.com, ned.harlan@doj.ca.gov, robertbonta@doj.ca.gov, opschiefsoffice@doj.ca.gov, peter.halloran@doj.ca.gov, amyhhalloran@doj.ca.gov, peterhalloran@sbcglobal.net, alisha.carlie@doj.ca.gov, alishastephen@yahoo.com, vincent.bonotto@doj.ca.gov, vbonotto@hotmail.com, glenn.pruden@doj.ca.gov, iless77@hotmail.com, daniel.olivas@doj.ca.gov, giam.nguyen@doj.ca.gov, chad.stegaman@doj.ca.gov, stephen.law@doj.ca.gov, christopher.findley@doj.ca.gov, dennis.beck@doj.ca.gov, darrell.spence@doj.ca.gov, maggy.krell@doj.ca.gov, elaine.tong@doj.ca.gov, hannah.calascan@doj.ca.gov, mo.park@doj.ca.gov, lisa.freund@doj.ca.gov, dbuthler@lasuperiorcourt.org, dbuthler@lacourt.org, mbillalobos@lacourt.org, dslayton@lasuperiorcourt.org, dslayton@lacourt.org, jmoses@lasuperiorcourt.org, jmoses@lacourt.org, ppenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, ppenrose@lacourt.org, chase.penrose@gmail.com, robert.oyang@jud.ca.gov, john.yee@jud.ca.gov, heather.pettit@jud.ca.gov, leah.rose-goodwin@jud.ca.gov, mark.gusman@jud.ca.gov, corry.jasperson@jud.ca.gov, jennie.jasperson@jud.ca.gov, randie.chance@doj.ca.gov, bobby.brow@jud.ca.gov, stephen.nash@jud.ca.gov, debbie.silkox@jud.ca.gov, laura.speed@jud.ca.gov, andrea.evans@jud.ca.gov, nicole.claro@jud.ca.gov, gavin.newsom@gov.ca, gavin.newsom@ca.gov, nishdeep.singh@doj.ca.gov, blacklawgenius@gmai.com
Cc:
Bcc:
Date: Wed, 28 Feb 2024 16:52:38 -0800
Subject: POST-CONVICTION DEMAND FOR THE PEOPLE AND LASD TO TURN OVER AND DISCLOSE PHONY LASD-CREATED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GL010586 AND GL010587 (AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, et al v. ricardo garcia, et al)
----- Message truncated -----

MAILER-DAEMON@yahoo.com <MAILER-DAEMON@yahoo.com> Wed, Feb 28, 2024 at 6:50 PM
To: blacklawgenius@gmail.com

I'm afraid I wasn't able to deliver the following message.
This is a permanent error; I've given up. Sorry it didn't work out.

Subject: POST-CONVICTION DEMAND FOR THE PEOPLE AND LASD TO TURN OVER AND DISCLOSE PHONY LASD-CREATED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GL010586 AND GL010587 (AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, et al v. ricardo garcia, et al)
To: hmitchell@yahoo.com

--- Below this line is a copy of the message.

---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: Arogant Hollywood <blacklawgenius@gmail.com>

To: cparker@da.lacounty.gov, vrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov, swoo@da.lacounty.gov, tcheunglaw@gmail.com, rchew@apd.lacounty.gov, jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov, smire@da.lacounty.gov, mhaider@da.lacounty.gov, Ron Geltz <rgeltz@da.lacounty.gov>, gascon@da.lacounty.gov, Neil Opdahl-Lopez <attorney@neilopdahl.com>, rgarcia@pubdef.lacounty.gov, jesack@pubdef.lacounty.gov, rmarquez@pubdef.lacounty.gov, mrawi@pubdef.lacounty.gov, ljackson@lasuperior.org, ljackson@lasuperiorcourt.org, eanzoategui@apd.lacounmty.gov, Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>, lacklawcrusader@gmail.com, causeofaction43@gmail.com, Arogant Hollywood <arogantmusicinc@gmail.com>, fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com, villalobos@lasuperior.org, villalobos@lasuperiorcourt.org, mvillalobos@lasuperior.org, mvillalobos@lasuperiorcourt.org, sclover@lasuperior.org, sclover@lasuperiorcourt.org, robert.bonta@doj.ca.gov, pghaderi@counsel.lacounty.gov, abwers@counsel.lacounty.gov, msimon@counsel.lacounty.gov, amattson@da.lacounty.gov, getem626@gmail.com, bhernandez@pubdef.lacounty.gov, jtrochez@pubdef.lacounty.gov, leaht.wilson@calbar.ca.gov, leah.wilson50@yahoo.com, firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, cchen@bos.lacounty.gov, seconddistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, Third District <thirddistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>, secondistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, thirdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, fourthdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, hmitchell@yahoo.com, elizabeth.hom@calbar.ca.gov, jinacrawford@calbar.ca.gov, rjenkins@counsel.lacounty.gov, cbarnett@counsel.lacounty.gov, rluna@lasd.org, cdelperal@counsel.lacounty.gov, ndavis-tinkham@counsel.lacounty.gov, nadtinkham@gmail.com, jwhitehurst@counsel.lacounty.gov, pmarshall@counsel.lacounty.gov, rcartwright@counsel.lacounty.gov, jgonzolez@counsel.lacounty.gov, rwong@counsel.lacounty.gov, tfaughnan@counsel.lacounty.gov, tomfaughnan@gmail.com, jlehaman@counsel.lacounty.gov, kmiles@counsel.lacounty.gov, rbaeza@counsel.lacounty.gov, rchastang@counsel.lacounty.gov, lchampo@counsel.lacounty.gov, emiller@counsel.lacounty.gov, pbollinger@counsel.lacounty.gov, kbowser@counsel.lacounty.gov, rebrahim@da.lacounty.gov, kkoyano@da.lacounty.gov, dteran@da.lacounty.gov, tsereno@da.lacounty.gov, Marc Beaart <mbeaart@da.lacounty.gov>, giniguez@da.lacounty.gov, fsanchez@da.lacounty.gov, rarcos@da.lacounty.gov, jrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov, pagrawai@da.lacounty.gov, cnakao@da.lacounty.gov, vaenlle-rochoa@da.lacounty.gov, vgadams@msn.com, ljkessner@da.lacounty.gov, Larry Droeger <ldroeger@da.lacounty.gov>, jsilva@da.lacounty.gov, hborden@da.lacounty.gov, sgipson@da.lacounty.gov, twright@da.lacounty.gov, mcarrillo@da.lacounty.gov, Alan Yochelson <ayochelson@da.lacounty.gov>, spresby@da.lacounty.gov, shassett@da.lacounty.gov, bjdodd@da.lacounty.gov, lorquida@da.lacounty.gov, lorquido@da.lacounty.gov, mramirez@da.lacounty.gov, ralarcon@da.lacounty.gov, shulefeld@da.lacounty.gov, Mario Haidar <mhaidar@da.lacounty.gov>, pkouch@da.lacounty.gov, dpurvis@da.lacounty.gov, cpark@da.lacounty.gov, rarias@da.lacounty.gov, inspectorgeneral@oig.lacounty.gov, courtney-spivey-urschel@usdoj.gov, courtney.spivey@usdoj.gov, doug.mcmanus@usdoj.gov, malinda.rogers@usdoj.gov, robert.mccarty@usdoj.gov, askdoj@usdoj.gov, supremectbriefs@usdoj.gov, kerrymonaco@usdoj.gov, kerrymonoco@usdoj.gov, elizabeth.prelogar@usdoj.gov, anitavanita.gupta@whitehouse.gov, kristen.clarke@usdoj.gov, criminal.division@usdoj.gov, nmacella@gmail.com, nmacella@usdoj.gov, brian.boynton@usdoj.gov, david.weiss@usdoj.gov, jacksmith@usdoj.gov, rakelrossi@gmail.com, rakelrossi@yahoo.com, ned.harlan@doj.ca.gov, robertbonta@doj.ca.gov, opschiefsoffice@doj.ca.gov, peter.halloran@doj.ca.gov, amyhhalloran@doj.ca.gov, peterhalloran@sbcglobal.net, alisha.carlie@doj.ca.gov, alishastephen@yahoo.com, vincent.bonotto@doj.ca.gov, vbonotto@hotmail.com, glenn.pruden@doj.ca.gov, iless77@hotmail.com, daniel.olivas@doj.ca.gov, giam.nguyen@doj.ca.gov, chad.stegaman@doj.ca.gov, stephen.law@doj.ca.gov, christopher.findley@doj.ca.gov, dennis.beck@doj.ca.gov, darrell.spence@doj.ca.gov, maggy.krell@doj.ca.gov, elaine.tong@doj.ca.gov, hannah.calascan@doj.ca.gov, mo.park@doj.ca.gov, lisa.freund@doj.ca.gov, dbuthler@lasuperiorcourt.org, dbuthler@lacourt.org, mbillalobos@lacourt.org, dslayton@lasuperiorcourt.org, dslayton@lacourt.org, jmoses@lasuperiorcourt.org, jmoses@lacourt.org, ppenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, ppenrose@lacourt.org, chase.penrose@gmail.com, robert.oyang@jud.ca.gov, john.yee@jud.ca.gov, heather.pettit@jud.ca.gov, leah.rose-goodwin@jud.ca.gov, mark.gusman@jud.ca.gov, corry.jasperson@jud.ca.gov, jennie.jasperson@jud.ca.gov, randie.chance@doj.ca.gov, bobby.brow@jud.ca.gov, stephen.nash@jud.ca.gov, debbie.silkox@jud.ca.gov, laura.speed@jud.ca.gov, andrea.evans@jud.ca.gov, nicole.claro@jud.ca.gov, gavin.newsom@gov.ca, gavin.newsom@ca.gov, nishdeep.singh@doj.ca.gov, blacklawgenius@gmai.com
Cc:
Bcc:
Date: Wed, 28 Feb 2024 16:52:38 -0800
Subject: POST-CONVICTION DEMAND FOR THE PEOPLE AND LASD TO TURN OVER AND DISCLOSE PHONY LASD-CREATED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GL010586 AND GL010587 (AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, et al v. ricardo garcia, et al)
AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, *Esq*

Greatest Self-Represented Litigant in

Civil and Criminal Law History

3-0 on Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted

Spirit of Esquire

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

NORTHEAST DISTRICT, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

ALHAMBRA SUPERIOR COURT

---

people of the state of california,

Prosecutor,

v

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD,

Defendant,

Railroaded and Wrongfully Convicted

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

Case No. GA114055

Arogant Hollywood's Emailed Request for Discovery for FELONY AND BOGUS LASD-CREATED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDS GL010586 AND GL010587

*Date of Hearing: February tbd, 2024 *

Department: TBD

Honorable Jared D. Moses TBD

)

I. INTRODUCTION

The People just couldn't help itself after Arogant Hollywood (hereinafter "Hollywood") emailed REAL GOPRO video recording proving his factual innocence by clear and convincing evidence. The People responded by presenting the same phony GOPRO video recordings on February 2, 2024 that were also presented and admitted as false evidence into a criminal Superior Court on April 4, 2023 and April 5, 2023.

II. STATEMENT OF FACTS

On February 21, 2023, Arogant Hollywood (hereinafter "Hollywood") was falsely arrested by LASD Temple City Peace Officers for making criminal threats.

On February 21, 2023 shortly after arriving at Temple City adult detention center Hollywood told detective peace officer Billy Khounthavong and his superior sergeant Richard Lewis that if they brought him his mobile phone and GOPRO camera he could present to them audio and video evidence that would prove he did not make any criminal threat.

On February 22, 2023 rogue and corrupt LASD peace officer Billy Khounthavong and his superior Richard Lewis recklessly conspired to violate his civil rights by disposing of Samsung Galaxy S21 Ultra mobile phone which Hollywood told them contained on it audio recordings and text messages that would exonerate him.

On February 22, 2023 Billy Khounthavong filed a bogus police report alleging that a search warrant for his GOPRO was issued by the dishonest, racist, and bias judicial officer Suzette Clover. The search warrant was so bogus that HOLLYWOODnever received a certified copy of it despite requesting it on numerous occasions. The bogus warrant was alleged to have been electronically filed yet it did not have the words duplicate original written on it, and it did not have the execution date and time written on it. HOLLYWOOD was never served and did not receive a copy of it until March 2023.

On February 21, 2023 County of Los Angeles rogue peace officer A. Contreras created a fraudulent and counterfeit probable cause determination declaration in which he stated that the Honorable Jana Seng approved his bogus PCD at approximately 1 p.m., a time she was on her lunch break. But it gets worse, while LASD has its own system for entering PCD and sending them to the court. There are no records of Contreras' bogus PCD. Also, the fact that Contreras alleged that his PCD was approved and probable cause was found non telephonically was a bald face lie. Probable cause is usually found at a preliminary hearing when it's non telephonic. Additionally, a court reporter was required to transcribe Contreras' non telephonic probable cause determination declaration. See now California Penal Code Section 817, subdivision (g). Additionally, LASD and rogue peace officer A. Contreras will and recklessly failed to file a certificate of service with Jana Seng's court after the alleged arrest warrant was approved by her. See

now California Penal Code Section 817(i). LASD and A. Contreras never filed a certificate of service because they knew that their probable cause determination declaration was shamed, bogus, counterfeit, false, forged, and fraudulent.

On or about February 23, 2023 rogue and dishonest peace officer detective lying Billy Khounthavong wrongfully and unnecessarily sent his GOPRO HERO 10 action camera to LASD and Ventura Sheriff's Office's joint Fraud and Cyber Crimes' Southern California High Tech Task Force so that they could illegally manipulate his GOPRO videos to make him look guilt of a crime they knew that HOLLYWOODdid not commit.

At the preliminary hearing on April 4, 2023 and April 5, 2023 dishonest deputy district attorney Brian Mark Rosenberg willfully, wantonly, and recklessly violated California Evidence Code Section 1522, subdivision (b) by presenting to the court as evidence unoriginal, tainted, tampered with, edited, modified, and altered GOPRO video recordings..

At the preliminary hearing on April 5, 2023 Brian Mark Rosenberg assisted Billy Khoungthavong in testifying falsely that HOLLYWOODshut off his GOPRO for seven minutes during the make believe knife and criminal threats separate incidents. While Billy Khounthavong was on the stand racist, biased and dishonest criminal prosecutor Brian Mark Rosenberg played an edited and altered GOPRO video recording that depicted and showed him shutting off his GOPRO camera just prior to reentering the now deceased fake victim Jennifer Hutton-Heger. This particular altered video was never turned over to him, his co-counsel Neil Opdahl-Lopez, or any of his private investigators Carlos Jackson and then Joel Wynn at any time. In general Brian Mark Rosenberg, James Garrison, Sharon Lee Woo, George Gascon, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, and Ronald Geltz all recklessly violated his Due Process Clause Fourteenth Amendment rights by recklessly failing to turn over a copy of original GOPRO video recordings to him, and turn also turn over a copy of altered GOPRO video recording that falsely shows him shutting off his GOPRO camera.

At the preliminary hearing LASD, Brian Mark Rosenberg, and rogue peace officer Billy Khounthavong further framed him by lying about the length of Jennifer Hutton's first 911 call length, and also lying that her first call ended at 10:07 a.m. and her second call commenced between 10:09 a.m. and 10:10 a.m. LIARS! The People's own CAD report shows that Hutton's second 911 call commenced at 10:08 a.m. and even the judge did not dispute near his conviction of this case that her first call ended at approximately 10:07 a.m. and 50 seconds. Thus, the time gap between the end of Hutton's first 911 call and the beginning of her second 911 call was approximately 30 seconds.

At the preliminary hearing on April 4, 2023 and April 5, 2023 in furtherance of the County of Los Angeles' conspiracy to frame him for a violent crime that HOLLYWOODnever committed. Brian Mark Rosenberg and LASD Temple City presented to the court broken up videos GL010586, GLO10587, GL010588, and GL010589. LASD's Southern California High Tech Task Force illegally did this and according to their job duties and descriptions. NONE

OF THEM HAD NO BUSINESS TOUCHING AND ALTERING HIS ENTIRELY EXCULPATORY GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS!

On October 17, 2023, Hollywood filed a Motion to Compel the people and Brian Mark Rosenberg (hereinafter “Mark Rosenberg”) to turn over and disclose an electronic copy of the phony and bogus GOPRO video recording GL010586 portraying Hollywood shutting off his GOPRO.

In October 2023, Hollywood filed a motion directly with Suzette Clover requesting that she provide him with a certified copy of Billy Khounthavong’s phony and FORGED search warrant pursuant to California Evidence Code section 1530. Prejudiced, biased and RACIST State of California judicial officer Suzette Clover recklessly, wantonly, willfully, maliciously and callously violated Hollywood’s Fourteenth Amendment Constitutional rights by denying his motion, recklessly failing to provide Hollywood with a certified copy of rogue Peace Officer’s Billy Khounthavong’s alleged electronically filed February 22, 2023 search warrant, and RACIST Judicial Officer Suzette Clover LIED that she issued Khounthavong’s phony search warrant, and she lied that it was done electronically.

Suzette’s Clover October, 2023 ruling that she issued Khounthavong’s phony search warrant can be proven in the fact that the FORGED SEARCH WARRANT was missing the following PASADENA SUPERIOR COURT electronically stamped information that would PROVE it was REAL:

* Electronically FILED by*
* Superior Court of California,*
* County of Los Angeles*
* 02/22/2023_____PM*
* David W. Slayton,*
* Executive Officer/Clerk of Court*
* CLERK NAME HERE, Deputy Clerk*

Additionally, pursuant to the Trial Court Records Manual Revised 2020, Judicial Council of California, at page 115 of 143, page 132 of 143, and 21 of 143, the rogue Peace Officer Billy Khounthavong and Suzette Clover’s search warrant is clearly proven to be counterfeit and was never issued since the Pasadena Superior Court has no record of it existing while it was required pursuant to California Government Code Section 68152 to retain a copy of it at the Pasadena Superior Court until 2033. Further, page 132 of 143 in the Trial Court Records Manual Revised 2020, Judicial Council of California states that pursuant to California Penal Code Section 1534, the Pasadena Superior Court was required to make Billy Khounthavong and Suzette Clover’s counterfeit and make-believe search warrant open to the public. Thus, Pasadena Superior Court has no electronic and / or

physical records of rouge Billy Khounthavong and RACIST Suzette Clover's PHONY search warrant because it was never filed in the Pasadena Superior Court and it does not exist.

On November 28, 2023 Hollywood emailed an electronic copy of the real GOPRO video that proved his factual innocence by clear and convincing evidence. The real GOPRO video was electronically served upon George Gascom, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl Mire, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Ronald Millard Geltz and over 75 other County of Los Angeles employed criminal prosecutors and members of the Los Angeles County Office of Council.

In addition to Hollywood emailing the real GOPRO video to the above stated criminal prosecutors, these same criminal prosecutors also received a web address link that redirected them to Hollywood's Instagram account where they could view the real video that was previously uploaded to his Instagram account.

PLEASE SEE BELOW INSTAGRAM VIDEO LINKS TO ORIGINAL FEBRUARY 21, 2023 GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS.

https://www.instagram.com/reel/Czs0EpgOsSm/?utm_source=ig_web_copy_link&igshid=MzRlODBiNWFlZA==

https://www.instagram.com/reel/Cz0sb6auaos/?utm_source=ig_web_copy_link&igshid=MzRlODBiNWFlZA==

On December 28, 2023, Hollywood filed a motion to withdraw his No Contest guilty plea.

On January 12, 2024, Hollywood filed a second motion to withdraw his No Contest guilty plea and he withdrew his No Contest guilty plea motion filed on December 28, 2023 in the illegal and frivolous, violent and serious felony case GA114055.

On February 2, 2024, the People and RACIST Deputy District Attorney Brian Mark Rosenberg admitted and presented a GOPRO video recording that depicted and portrayed Arogant Hollywood shutting off his GOPRO camera using a bare and naked right arm. In the People's LASD Peace Officers' body camera video recordings, Hollywood is seen wearing a long-sleeved shirt. During Jennifer Hutton-Heger's first 911 call, she described Arogant Hollywood's clothing as baggy pants and long-sleeved

shirt. Thus, People's phony GOPRO video recording GL010586 which depicts and displays Hollywood wearing a tank-top and also different pants proves by clear and convincing evidence that the People's GOPRO video recording is phony and was NOT created by Arogant Hollywood on February 21, 2023. In original GOPRO video recording, there is sound. In People's Artificial Intelligence-powered video recording, there is no sound.

On February 2, 2024, the Superior Court held an evidentiary hearing on Hollywood's motion to withdraw his No Contest plea.

On February 2, 2024, Deputy District Attorney MARK Rosenberg both testified and presented the manipulated, altered, bogus and phony GOPRO video recording GL010586 created by the Los Angeles County Sherriff's Department (hereinafter "LASD").

The bogus video displayed and depicted Hollywood shutting off his GOPRO camera. The bogus video featured an artificial intelligence-powered Arogant Hollywood repeatedly touching his GOPRO camera multiple times before finally stopping the recording.

The evidence clearly shows that the GOPRO video GL010586 is a phony for the following reasons:

1. In People's phony LASD-created GL010586, Hollywood is seen shutting off his GOPRO camera while his entire right arm can be seen to be bare and naked, without clothing. In People's phony GL010586, Hollywood is clearly seen wearing a dark-colored tank top.

2. In the original GL010586 video recording, Hollywood is never seen shutting off his GOPRO camera. In the original GL010586 video recording, Hollywood is seen to be wearing a long-sleeved shirt.

On or about February 5, 2024, Arogant Hollywood left a voicemail requesting that Alhambra Deputy In Charge Victor Manuel Rodriguez provide to co-counsel Neil Opdahl-Lopez a copy of People's phony GOPRO video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 that was played, presented and admitted at the evidentiary hearing of February 2, 2024.

On or about February 6, 2024, Arogant Hollywood left a voicemail requesting that Alhambra Deputy District Attorney Cindi Park provide to co-counsel Neil Opdahl-Lopez a copy of People's phony GOPRO video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 that were played, presented and admitted at the evidentiary hearing of February 2, 2024.

On or about February 7, 2024, co-counsel Neil Opdahl-Lopez emailed Deputy District Attorney Brian MARK Rosenberg requesting that he provide him with a copy of LASD-created GOPRO video recording GL010586 showing Hollywood shutting off his GOPRO camera and was admitted as false evidence on February 2, 2024. MARK Rosenberg replied back that he was no longer handling the case. This is true, but it is also true that Brian MARK Rosenberg is the only Deputy District Attorney in the County of Los Angeles that has phony LASD-created GOPRO video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 electronically stored on his lap-top computer. Not even Alhambra Deputy In Charge Victor Manuel Rodriguez has access to the phony GOPRO video recordings GL010586 and GL010587.

On February 16, 2024, Arogant Hollywood contacted the Office of new Los Angeles County District Attorney Office Family Violence Division Deputy in Charge Janice Johnson by dialing 213-257-2185 using a 3-way conference call made by Alison Fairchild. Hollywood left a message with Ms. Johnson's legal secretary Estra Malda and requested that Ms. Johnson contact co-counsel Neil Opdahl-Lopez at 626-429-6578 about providing him with a copy of altered GOPRO video recordings played by People on February 2, 2024.

On or about February 16, 2024, Arogant Hollywood called Alhambra Deputy in Charge Victor Manuel Rodriguez by dialing 626-308-5302 and requesting to be transferred to his voicemail. Hollywood left a voicemail for Victory Rodriguez requesting he provide co-counsel Neil Opdahl-Lopez with a copy of altered LASD-created GOPRO video recording GL010586 that shows Hollywood shutting off his GOPRO camera and was played at the court evidentiary hearing on February 2, 2024.

Between February 5, 2024 and February 23, 2024 both Hollywood and co counsel/ Neil Opdahl- Lopez requested and demanded by both email and telephone that people turn over copies of phony GOPRO recordings GL01586 and GL01587 that was shown, presented, and admitted into the superior court on April 4th, 2023, April 5th, 2023, February 2nd, 2024. Yet, the people have still willfully, recklessly, wantingly, maliciously, and callously failed to do so.

The people of Brian MARK Rosenberg willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously and callously failed to provide Arogant Hollywood, co-counsel Neil Opdahl-Lopez, Private Investigators Joel Wyenn and Carlos A. Jackson and RACIST and lying court-appointed expert witness Thomas Juzman-Sanchez, disclose and turn over an electronic copy of phony LASD-created GOPRO video recordings GL010586 and GL010587. None of the previously-named Hollywood criminal defense members should have ever had to request phony GOPRO video recording evidence from MARK Rosenberg because pursuant to Los Angeles County District Attorney Offices' Policies, Practices and Procedures any and all audio and video evidence is required to be uploaded and made immediately available to the criminal defense members to download on evidence.com.

III. LEGAL ARGUMENT

Under 1054.1, the Prosecuting Attorney shall disclose to the Defendant or his or her attorney all evidence in his possession or in the possession of its law enforcement agencies working to prosecute Hollywood. See *In re Littlefield*, 5 Cal.4th 122 129 (1993).

The People and Brian MARK Rosenberg had a *Brady* duty to disclose and turn over phony LASD-created GOPRO video recordings GL010586 and GL0101587 because these videos could and would have been used to impeach false testimony of LYING Billy Khounthavong that phony GOPRO video recordings GL010586 and GL0101587 were retrieved and downloaded from Arrogant Hollywood's GOPRO camera. See *People v. Little*, 59 Cal.4th 426, 433 (1997).

Throughout this case the people of Mark Rosenberg have been in full control. Hollywood has never had an opportunity to present his evidence and he has never had an opportunity to examine people's phony GOPRO video recordings GL017586 in the superior court.

The People and MARK Rosenberg's Constitutional duty that required them to disclose impeaching evidence to Hollywood was independent from their statutory duty to provide discover under Section 1054.1. See *Izazaga v. Superior Court*, 54 Cal.3d 356 at p. 378 (1991).

Evidence that is material under *Brady* must be disclosed to the Defense, notwithstanding any failure of the Defense to enforce its statutory right to discovery. See *People v. Jordan*, 108 Cal.App.4th 349, 359 (2003).

Brady imposed the duty on MARK Rosenberg and the People to disclose phony GOPRO video
[remainder of message body omitted; too large]

MAILER-DAEMON@yahoo.com <MAILER-DAEMON@yahoo.com> Wed, Feb 28, 2024 at 6:50 PM
To: blacklawgenius@gmail.com

I'm afraid I wasn't able to deliver the following message.
This is a permanent error; I've given up. Sorry it didn't work out.

Subject: POST-CONVICTION DEMAND FOR THE PEOPLE AND LASD TO TURN OVER AND DISCLOSE PHONY LASD-CREATED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GL010586 AND GL010587 (AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, et al v. ricardo garcia, et al)
To: alishastephen@yahoo.com
[Quoted text hidden]

---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: Arogant Hollywood <blacklawgenius@gmail.com>
To: cparker@da.lacounty.gov, vrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov, swoo@da.lacounty.gov, tcheunglaw@gmail.com, rchew@apd.lacounty.gov, jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov, smire@da.lacounty.gov, mhaider@da.lacounty.gov, Ron Geltz <rgeltz@da.lacounty.gov>, gascon@da.lacounty.gov, Neil Opdahl-Lopez <attorney@neilopdahl.com>, rgarcia@pubdef.lacounty.gov, jesack@pubdef.lacounty.gov, rmarquez@pubdef.lacounty.gov, mrawi@pubdef.lacounty.gov, ljackson@lasuperior.org, ljackson@lasuperiorcourt.org, eanzoategui@apd.lacounmty.gov, Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>, lacklawcrusader@gmail.com, causeofaction43@gmail.com, Arogant Hollywood <arogantmusicinc@gmail.com>, fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com, villalobos@lasuperior.org, villalobos@lasuperiorcourt.org, mvillalobos@lasuperior.org, mvillalobos@lasuperiorcourt.org, sclover@lasuperior.org, sclover@lasuperiorcourt.org, robert.bonta@doj.ca.gov, pghaderi@counsel.lacounty.gov, abwers@counsel.lacounty.gov, msimon@counsel.lacounty.gov, amattson@da.lacounty.gov, getem626@gmail.com, bhernandez@pubdef.lacounty.gov, jtrochez@pubdef.lacounty.gov, leaht.wilson@calbar.ca.gov, leah.wilson50@yahoo.com, firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, cchen@bos.lacounty.gov, seconddistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, Third District <thirddistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>, secondistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, thirdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, fourthdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, hmitchell@yahoo.com, elizabeth.hom@calbar.ca.gov, jinacrawford@calbar.ca.gov, rjenkins@counsel.lacounty.gov, cbarnett@counsel.lacounty.gov, rluna@lasd.org, cdelperal@counsel.lacounty.gov, ndavis-tinkham@counsel.lacounty.gov, nadtinkham@gmail.com, jwhitehurst@counsel.lacounty.gov, pmarshall@counsel.lacounty.gov, rcartwright@counsel.lacounty.gov, jgonzolez@counsel.lacounty.gov, rwong@counsel.lacounty.gov, tfaughnan@counsel.lacounty.gov, tomfaughnan@gmail.com, jlehaman@counsel.lacounty.gov, kmiles@counsel.lacounty.gov, rbaeza@counsel.lacounty.gov, rchastang@counsel.lacounty.gov, lchampo@counsel.lacounty.gov, emiller@counsel.lacounty.gov, pbollinger@counsel.lacounty.gov, kbowser@counsel.lacounty.gov, rebrahim@da.lacounty.gov, kkoyano@da.lacounty.gov, dteran@da.lacounty.gov, tsereno@da.lacounty.gov, Marc Beaart <mbeaart@da.lacounty.gov>, giniguez@da.lacounty.gov, fsanchez@da.lacounty.gov, rarcos@da.lacounty.gov, jrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov, pagrawai@da.lacounty.gov, cnakao@da.lacounty.gov, vaenlle-rochoa@da.lacounty.gov, vgadams@msn.com, ljkessner@da.lacounty.gov, Larry Droeger <ldroeger@da.lacounty.gov>, jsilva@da.lacounty.gov, hborden@da.lacounty.gov, sgipson@da.lacounty.gov, twright@da.lacounty.gov, mcarrillo@da.lacounty.gov, Alan Yochelson <ayochelson@da.lacounty.gov>, spresby@da.lacounty.gov, shassett@da.lacounty.gov, bjdodd@da.lacounty.gov, lorquida@da.lacounty.gov, lorquido@da.lacounty.gov, mramirez@da.lacounty.gov, ralarcon@da.lacounty.gov, shulefeld@da.lacounty.gov, Mario Haidar <mhaidar@da.lacounty.gov>, pkouch@da.lacounty.gov, dpurvis@da.lacounty.gov, cpark@da.lacounty.gov, rarias@da.lacounty.gov, inspectorgeneral@oig.lacounty.gov, courtney-spivey-urschel@usdoj.gov, courtney.spivey@usdoj.gov, doug.mcmanus@usdoj.gov, malinda.rogers@usdoj.gov, robert.mccarty@usdoj.gov, askdoj@usdoj.gov, supremectbriefs@usdoj.gov, kerrymonaco@usdoj.gov, kerrymonoco@usdoj.gov, elizabeth.prelogar@usdoj.gov, anitavanita.gupta@whitehouse.gov, kristen.clarke@usdoj.gov, criminal.division@usdoj.gov, nmacella@gmail.com, nmacella@usdoj.gov, brian.boynton@usdoj.gov, david.weiss@usdoj.gov, jacksmith@usdoj.gov, rakelrossi@gmail.com, rakelrossi@yahoo.com, ned.harlan@doj.ca.gov, robertbonta@doj.ca.gov, opschiefsoffice@doj.ca.gov, peter.halloran@doj.ca.gov, amyhhalloran@doj.ca.gov, peterhalloran@sbcglobal.net, alisha.carlie@doj.ca.gov, alishastephen@yahoo.com, vincent.bonotto@doj.ca.gov, vbonotto@hotmail.com, glenn.pruden@doj.ca.gov, iless77@hotmail.com, daniel.olivas@doj.ca.gov, giam.nguyen@doj.ca.gov, chad.stegaman@doj.ca.gov, stephen.law@doj.ca.gov, christopher.findley@doj.ca.gov, dennis.beck@doj.ca.gov, darrell.spence@doj.ca.gov, maggy.krell@doj.ca.gov, elaine.tong@doj.ca.gov, hannah.calascan@doj.ca.gov, mo.park@doj.ca.gov, lisa.freund@doj.ca.gov, dbuthler@lasuperiorcourt.org, dbuthler@lacourt.org, mbillalobos@lacourt.org, dslayton@lasuperiorcourt.org, dslayton@lacourt.org, jmoses@lasuperiorcourt.org, jmoses@lacourt.org, ppenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, ppenrose@lacourt.org, chase.penrose@gmail.com, robert.oyang@jud.ca.gov, john.yee@jud.ca.gov, heather.pettit@jud.ca.gov, leah.rose-goodwin@jud.ca.gov, mark.gusman@jud.ca.gov, corry.jasperson@jud.ca.gov, jennie.jasperson@jud.ca.gov, randie.chance@doj.ca.gov, bobby.brow@jud.ca.gov, stephen.nash@jud.ca.gov, debbie.silkox@jud.ca.gov, laura.speed@jud.ca.gov, andrea.evans@jud.ca.gov, nicole.claro@jud.ca.gov, gavin.newsom@gov.ca, gavin.newsom@ca.gov, nishdeep.singh@doj.ca.gov, blacklawgenius@gmai.com
Cc:
Bcc:
Date: Wed, 28 Feb 2024 16:52:38 -0800
Subject: POST-CONVICTION DEMAND FOR THE PEOPLE AND LASD TO TURN OVER AND DISCLOSE PHONY LASD-CREATED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GL010586 AND GL010587 (AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, et al v. ricardo garcia, et al)
AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, *Esq*

Greatest Self-Represented Litigant in

Civil and Criminal Law History

3-0 on Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted

Spirit of Esquire

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

NORTHEAST DISTRICT, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

ALHAMBRA SUPERIOR COURT

---

people of the state of california,

Prosecutor,

v

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD,

Defendant,

Railroaded and Wrongfully Convicted

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

Case No. GA114055

Arogant Hollywood's Emailed Request for Discovery for FELONY AND BOGUS LASD-CREATED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDS GL010586 AND GL010587

*Date of Hearing: February tbd, 2024 *

Department: TBD

Honorable Jared D. Moses TBD

)

I. INTRODUCTION

The People just couldn't help itself after Arogant Hollywood (hereinafter "Hollywood") emailed REAL GOPRO video recording proving his factual innocence by clear and convincing evidence. The People responded by presenting the same phony GOPRO video recordings on February 2, 2024 that were also presented and admitted as false evidence into a criminal Superior Court on April 4, 2023 and April 5, 2023.

II. STATEMENT OF FACTS

On February 21, 2023, Arogant Hollywood (hereinafter "Hollywood") was falsely arrested by LASD Temple City Peace Officers for making criminal threats.

On February 21, 2023 shortly after arriving at Temple City adult detention center Hollywood told detective peace officer Billy Khounthavong and his superior sergeant Richard Lewis that if they brought him his mobile phone and GOPRO camera he could present to them audio and video evidence that would prove he did not make any criminal threat.

On February 22, 2023 rogue and corrupt LASD peace officer Billy Khounthavong and his superior Richard Lewis recklessly conspired to violate his civil rights by disposing of Samsung Galaxy S21 Ultra mobile phone which Hollywood told them contained on it audio recordings and text messages that would exonerate him.

On February 22, 2023 Billy Khounthavong filed a bogus police report alleging that a search warrant for his GOPRO was issued by the dishonest, racist, and bias judicial officer Suzette Clover. The search warrant was so bogus that HOLLYWOODnever received a certified copy of it despite requesting it on numerous occasions. The bogus warrant was alleged to have been electronically filed yet it did not have the words duplicate original written on it, and it did not have the execution date and time written on it. HOLLYWOOD was never served and did not receive a copy of it until March 2023.

On February 21, 2023 County of Los Angeles rogue peace officer A. Contreras created a fraudulent and counterfeit probable cause determination declaration in which he stated that the Honorable Jana Seng approved his bogus PCD at approximately 1 p.m., a time she was on her lunch break. But it gets worse, while LASD has its own system for entering PCD and sending them to the court. There are no records of Contreras' bogus PCD. Also, the fact that Contreras alleged that his PCD was approved and probable cause was found non telephonically was a bald face lie. Probable cause is usually found at a preliminary hearing when it's non telephonic. Additionally, a court reporter was required to transcribe Contreras' non telephonic probable cause determination declaration. See now California Penal Code

Section 817, subdivision (g). Additionally, LASD and rogue peace officer A. Contreras will and recklessly failed to file a certificate of service with Jana Seng's court after the alleged arrest warrant was approved by her. See now California Penal Code Section 817(i). LASD and A. Contreras never filed a certificate of service because they knew that their probable cause determination declaration was shamed, bogus, counterfeit, false, forged, and fraudulent.

On or about February 23, 2023 rogue and dishonest peace officer detective lying Billy Khounthavong wrongfully and unnecessarily sent his GOPRO HERO 10 action camera to LASD and Ventura Sheriff's Office's joint Fraud and Cyber Crimes' Southern California High Tech Task Force so that they could illegally manipulate his GOPRO videos to make him look guilt of a crime they knew that HOLLYWOODdid not commit.

At the preliminary hearing on April 4, 2023 and April 5, 2023 dishonest deputy district attorney Brian Mark Rosenberg willfully, wantonly, and recklessly violated California Evidence Code Section 1522, subdivision (b) by presenting to the court as evidence unoriginal, tainted, tampered with, edited, modified, and altered GOPRO video recordings..

At the preliminary hearing on April 5, 2023 Brian Mark Rosenberg assisted Billy Khoungthavong in testifying falsely that HOLLYWOODshut off his GOPRO for seven minutes during the make believe knife and criminal threats separate incidents. While Billy Khounthavong was on the stand racist, biased and dishonest criminal prosecutor Brian Mark Rosenberg played an edited and altered GOPRO video recording that depicted and showed him shutting off his GOPRO camera just prior to reentering the now deceased fake victim Jennifer Hutton-Heger. This particular altered video was never turned over to him, his co-counsel Neil Opdahl-Lopez, or any of his private investigators Carlos Jackson and then Joel Wynn at any time. In general Brian Mark Rosenberg, James Garrison, Sharon Lee Woo, George Gascon, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, and Ronald Geltz all recklessly violated his Due Process Clause Fourteenth Amendment rights by recklessly failing to turn over a copy of original GOPRO video recordings to him, and turn also turn over a copy of altered GOPRO video recording that falsely shows him shutting off his GOPRO camera.

At the preliminary hearing LASD, Brian Mark Rosenberg, and rogue peace officer Billy Khounthavong further framed him by lying about the length of Jennifer Hutton's first 911 call length, and also lying that her first call ended at 10:07 a.m. and her second call commenced between 10:09 a.m. and 10:10 a.m. LIARS! The People's own CAD report shows that Hutton's second 911 call commenced at 10:08 a.m. and even the judge did not dispute near his conviction of this case that her first call ended at approximately 10:07 a.m. and 50 seconds. Thus, the time gap between the end of Hutton's first 911 call and the beginning of her second 911 call was approximately 30 seconds.

At the preliminary hearing on April 4, 2023 and April 5, 2023 in furtherance of the County of Los Angeles' conspiracy to frame him for a violent crime that HOLLYWOODnever committed. Brian Mark Rosenberg and LASD

Temple City presented to the court broken up videos GL010586, GLO10587, GL010588, and GL010589. LASD's Southern California High Tech Task Force illegally did this and according to their job duties and descriptions. NONE OF THEM HAD NO BUSINESS TOUCHING AND ALTERING HIS ENTIRELY EXCULPATORY GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS!

On October 17, 2023, Hollywood filed a Motion to Compel the people and Brian Mark Rosenberg (hereinafter “Mark Rosenberg”) to turn over and disclose an electronic copy of the phony and bogus GOPRO video recording GL010586 portraying Hollywood shutting off his GOPRO.

In October 2023, Hollywood filed a motion directly with Suzette Clover requesting that she provide him with a certified copy of Billy Khounthavong’s phony and FORGED search warrant pursuant to California Evidence Code section 1530. Prejudiced, biased and RACIST State of California judicial officer Suzette Clover recklessly, wantonly, willfully, maliciously and callously violated Hollywood’s Fourteenth Amendment Constitutional rights by denying his motion, recklessly failing to provide Hollywood with a certified copy of rogue Peace Officer’s Billy Khounthavong’s alleged electronically filed February 22, 2023 search warrant, and RACIST Judicial Officer Suzette Clover LIED that she issued Khounthavong’s phony search warrant, and she lied that it was done electronically.

Suzette’s Clover October, 2023 ruling that she issued Khounthavong’s phony search warrant can be proven in the fact that the FORGED SEARCH WARRANT was missing the following PASADENA SUPERIOR COURT electronically stamped information that would PROVE it was REAL:

* Electronically FILED by*
* Superior Court of California,*
* County of Los Angeles*
* 02/22/2023_____PM*
* David W. Slayton,*
* Executive Officer/Clerk of Court*
* CLERK NAME HERE, Deputy Clerk*

Additionally, pursuant to the Trial Court Records Manual Revised 2020, Judicial Council of California, at page 115 of 143, page 132 of 143, and 21 of 143, the rogue Peace Officer Billy Khounthavong and Suzette Clover’s search warrant is clearly proven to be counterfeit and was never issued since the Pasadena Superior Court has no record of it existing while it was required pursuant to California Government Code Section 68152 to retain a copy of it at the Pasadena Superior Court until 2033. Further, page 132 of 143 in the Trial Court Records Manual Revised 2020, Judicial Council of California states that pursuant to California Penal Code Section

1534, the Pasadena Superior Court was required to make Billy Khounthavong and Suzette Clover's counterfeit and make-believe search warrant open to the public. Thus, Pasadena Superior Court has no electronic and / or physical records of rouge Billy Khounthavong and RACIST Suzette Clover's PHONY search warrant because it was never filed in the Pasadena Superior Court and it does not exist.

On November 28, 2023 Hollywood emailed an electronic copy of the real GOPRO video that proved his factual innocence by clear and convincing evidence. The real GOPRO video was electronically served upon George Gascom, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl Mire, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Ronald Millard Geltz and over 75 other County of Los Angeles employed criminal prosecutors and members of the Los Angeles County Office of Council.

In addition to Hollywood emailing the real GOPRO video to the above stated criminal prosecutors, these same criminal prosecutors also received a web address link that redirected them to Hollywood's Instagram account where they could view the real video that was previously uploaded to his Instagram account.

PLEASE SEE BELOW INSTAGRAM VIDEO LINKS TO ORIGINAL FEBRUARY 21, 2023 GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS.

https://www.instagram.com/reel/Czs0EpgOsSm/?utm_source=ig_web_copy_link&igshid=MzRlODBiNWFlZA==

https://www.instagram.com/reel/Cz0sb6auaos/?utm_source=ig_web_copy_link&igshid=MzRlODBiNWFlZA==

On December 28, 2023, Hollywood filed a motion to withdraw his No Contest guilty plea.

On January 12, 2024, Hollywood filed a second motion to withdraw his No Contest guilty plea and he withdrew his No Contest guilty plea motion filed on December 28, 2023 in the illegal and frivolous, violent and serious felony case GA114055.

On February 2, 2024, the People and RACIST Deputy District Attorney Brian Mark Rosenberg admitted and presented a GOPRO video recording that depicted and portrayed Arogant Hollywood shutting off his GOPRO camera using a bare and naked right arm. In the People's LASD Peace

Officers' body camera video recordings, Hollywood is seen wearing a long-sleeved shirt. During Jennifer Hutton-Heger's first 911 call, she described Arogant Hollywood's clothing as baggy pants and long-sleeved shirt. Thus, People's phony GOPRO video recording GL010586 which depicts and displays Hollywood wearing a tank-top and also different pants proves by clear and convincing evidence that the People's GOPRO video recording is phony and was NOT created by Arogant Hollywood on February 21, 2023. In original GOPRO video recording, there is sound. In People's Artificial Intelligence-powered video recording, there is no sound.

On February 2, 2024, the Superior Court held an evidentiary hearing on Hollywood's motion to withdraw his No Contest plea.

On February 2, 2024, Deputy District Attorney MARK Rosenberg both testified and presented the manipulated, altered, bogus and phony GOPRO video recording GL010586 created by the Los Angeles County Sherriff's Department (hereinafter "LASD").

The bogus video displayed and depicted Hollywood shutting off his GOPRO camera. The bogus video featured an artificial intelligence-powered Arogant Hollywood repeatedly touching his GOPRO camera multiple times before finally stopping the recording.

The evidence clearly shows that the GOPRO video GL010586 is a phony for the following reasons:

1. In People's phony LASD-created GL010586, Hollywood is seen shutting off his GOPRO camera while his entire right arm can be seen to be bare and naked, without clothing. In People's phony GL010586, Hollywood is clearly seen wearing a dark-colored tank top.

2. In the original GL010586 video recording, Hollywood is never seen shutting off his GOPRO camera. In the original GL010586 video recording, Hollywood is seen to be wearing a long-sleeved shirt.

On or about February 5, 2024, Arogant Hollywood left a voicemail requesting that Alhambra Deputy In Charge Victor Manuel Rodriguez provide to co-counsel Neil Opdahl-Lopez a copy of People's phony GOPRO video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 that was played, presented and admitted at the evidentiary hearing of February 2, 2024.

On or about February 6, 2024, Arogant Hollywood left a voicemail requesting that Alhambra Deputy District Attorney Cindi Park provide to co-counsel Neil Opdahl-Lopez a copy of People's phony GOPRO video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 that were played, presented and admitted at the evidentiary hearing of February 2, 2024.

On or about February 7, 2024, co-counsel Neil Opdahl-Lopez emailed Deputy District Attorney Brian MARK Rosenberg requesting that he provide him with a copy of LASD-created GOPRO video recording GL010586 showing Hollywood shutting off his GOPRO camera and was admitted as false evidence on February 2, 2024. MARK Rosenberg replied back that he was no longer handling the case. This is true, but it is also true that Brian MARK Rosenberg is the only Deputy District Attorney in the County of Los Angeles that has phony LASD-created GOPRO video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 electronically stored on his lap-top computer. Not even Alhambra Deputy In Charge Victor Manuel Rodriguez has access to the phony GOPRO video recordings GL010586 and GL010587.

On February 16, 2024, Arogant Hollywood contacted the Office of new Los Angeles County District Attorney Office Family Violence Division Deputy in Charge Janice Johnson by dialing 213-257-2185 using a 3-way conference call made by Alison Fairchild. Hollywood left a message with Ms. Johnson's legal secretary Estra Malda and requested that Ms. Johnson contact co-counsel Neil Opdahl-Lopez at 626-429-6578 about providing him with a copy of altered GOPRO video recordings played by People on February 2, 2024.

On or about February 16, 2024, Arogant Hollywood called Alhambra Deputy in Charge Victor Manuel Rodriguez by dialing 626-308-5302 and requesting to be transferred to his voicemail. Hollywood left a voicemail for Victory Rodriguez requesting he provide co-counsel Neil Opdahl-Lopez with a copy of altered LASD-created GOPRO video recording GL010586 that shows Hollywood shutting off his GOPRO camera and was played at the court evidentiary hearing on February 2, 2024.

Between February 5, 2024 and February 23, 2024 both Hollywood and co counsel/ Neil Opdahl- Lopez requested and demanded by both email and telephone that people turn over copies of phony GOPRO recordings GL01586 and GL01587 that was shown, presented, and admitted into the superior court on April 4th, 2023, April 5th, 2023, February 2nd, 2024. Yet, the people have still willfully, recklessly, wantingly, maliciously, and callously failed to do so.

The people of Brian MARK Rosenberg willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously and callously failed to provide Arogant Hollywood, co-counsel Neil Opdahl-Lopez, Private Investigators Joel Wyenn and Carlos A. Jackson and RACIST and lying court-appointed expert witness Thomas Juzman-Sanchez, disclose and turn over an electronic copy of phony LASD-created GOPRO video recordings GL010586 and GL010587. None of the previously-named Hollywood criminal defense members should have ever had to request phony GOPRO video recording evidence from MARK Rosenberg because pursuant to Los Angeles County District Attorney Offices' Policies, Practices and Procedures any and all audio and video evidence is required to be uploaded and made immediately available to the criminal defense members to download on evidence.com.

III. LEGAL ARGUMENT

Under 1054.1, the Prosecuting Attorney shall disclose to the Defendant or his or her attorney all evidence in his possession or in the possession of its law enforcement agencies working to prosecute Hollywood. See *In re Littlefield*, 5 Cal.4th 122 129 (1993).

The People and Brian MARK Rosenberg had a *Brady* duty to disclose and turn over phony LASD-created GOPRO video recordings GL010586 and GL0101587 because these videos could and would have been used to impeach false testimony of LYING Billy Khounthavong that phony GOPRO video recordings GL010586 and GL0101587 were retrieved and downloaded from Arrogant Hollywood's GOPRO camera. See *People v. Little*, 59 Cal.4th 426, 433 (1997).

Throughout this case the people of Mark Rosenberg have been in full control. Hollywood has never had an opportunity to present his evidence and he has never had an opportunity to examine people's phony GOPRO video recordings GL017586 in the superior court.

The People and MARK Rosenberg's Constitutional duty that required them to disclose impeaching evidence to Hollywood was independent from their statutory duty to provide discover under Section 1054.1. See *Izazaga v. Superior Court*, 54 Cal.3d 356 at p. 378 (1991).

Evidence that is material under *Brady* must be disclosed to the Defense, notwithstanding any failure of the Defense to enforce its statutory right to discovery. See *People v. Jordan*, 108 Cal.App.4th 349, 359 (2003).

Brady imposed the duty on MARK Rosenberg and the People to disclose p
[remainder of message body omitted; too large]

MAILER-DAEMON@yahoo.com <MAILER-DAEMON@yahoo.com> Wed, Feb 28, 2024 at 6:50 PM
To: blacklawgenius@gmail.com

I'm afraid I wasn't able to deliver the following message.
This is a permanent error; I've given up. Sorry it didn't work out.

Subject: POST-CONVICTION DEMAND FOR THE PEOPLE AND LASD TO TURN OVER AND DISCLOSE PHONY LASD-CREATED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GL010586 AND GL010587 (AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, et al v. ricardo garcia, et al)

To: leah.wilson50@yahoo.com
[Quoted text hidden]

---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: Arogant Hollywood <blacklawgenius@gmail.com>
To: cparker@da.lacounty.gov, vrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov, swoo@da.lacounty.gov, tcheunglaw@gmail.com, rchew@apd.lacounty.gov, jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov, smire@da.lacounty.gov, mhaider@da.lacounty.gov, Ron Geltz <rgeltz@da.lacounty.gov>, gascon@da.lacounty.gov, Neil Opdahl-Lopez <attorney@neilopdahl.com>, rgarcia@pubdef.lacounty.gov, jesack@pubdef.lacounty.gov, rmarquez@pubdef.lacounty.gov, mrawi@pubdef.lacounty.gov, ljackson@lasuperior.org, ljackson@lasuperiorcourt.org, eanzoategui@apd.lacounmty.gov, Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>, lacklawcrusader@gmail.com, causeofaction43@gmail.com, Arogant Hollywood <arogantmusicinc@gmail.com>, fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com, villalobos@lasuperior.org, villalobos@lasuperiorcourt.org, mvillalobos@lasuperior.org, mvillalobos@lasuperiorcourt.org, sclover@lasuperior.org, sclover@lasuperiorcourt.org, robert.bonta@doj.ca.gov, pghaderi@counsel.lacounty.gov, abwers@counsel.lacounty.gov, msimon@counsel.lacounty.gov, amattson@da.lacounty.gov, getem626@gmail.com, bhernandez@pubdef.lacounty.gov, jtrochez@pubdef.lacounty.gov, leaht.wilson@calbar.ca.gov, leah.wilson50@yahoo.com, firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, cchen@bos.lacounty.gov, seconddistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, Third District <thirddistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>, secondistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, thirdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, fourthdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, hmitchell@yahoo.com, elizabeth.hom@calbar.ca.gov, jinacrawford@calbar.ca.gov, rjenkins@counsel.lacounty.gov, cbarnett@counsel.lacounty.gov, rluna@lasd.org, cdelperal@counsel.lacounty.gov, ndavis-tinkham@counsel.lacounty.gov, nadtinkham@gmail.com, jwhitehurst@counsel.lacounty.gov, pmarshall@counsel.lacounty.gov, rcartwright@counsel.lacounty.gov, jgonzolez@counsel.lacounty.gov, rwong@counsel.lacounty.gov, tfaughnan@counsel.lacounty.gov, tomfaughnan@gmail.com, jlehaman@counsel.lacounty.gov, kmiles@counsel.lacounty.gov, rbaeza@counsel.lacounty.gov, rchastang@counsel.lacounty.gov, lchampo@counsel.lacounty.gov, emiller@counsel.lacounty.gov, pbollinger@counsel.lacounty.gov, kbowser@counsel.lacounty.gov, rebrahim@da.lacounty.gov, kkoyano@da.lacounty.gov, dteran@da.lacounty.gov, tsereno@da.lacounty.gov, Marc Beaart <mbeaart@da.lacounty.gov>, giniguez@da.lacounty.gov, fsanchez@da.lacounty.gov, rarcos@da.lacounty.gov, jrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov, pagrawai@da.lacounty.gov, cnakao@da.lacounty.gov, vaenlle-rochoa@da.lacounty.gov, vgadams@msn.com, ljkessner@da.lacounty.gov, Larry Droeger <ldroeger@da.lacounty.gov>, jsilva@da.lacounty.gov, hborden@da.lacounty.gov, sgipson@da.lacounty.gov, twright@da.lacounty.gov, mcarrillo@da.lacounty.gov, Alan Yochelson <ayochelson@da.lacounty.gov>, spresby@da.lacounty.gov, shassett@da.lacounty.gov, bjdodd@da.lacounty.gov, lorquida@da.lacounty.gov, lorquido@da.lacounty.gov, mramirez@da.lacounty.gov, ralarcon@da.lacounty.gov, shulefeld@da.lacounty.gov, Mario Haidar <mhaidar@da.lacounty.gov>, pkouch@da.lacounty.gov, dpurvis@da.lacounty.gov, cpark@da.lacounty.gov, rarias@da.lacounty.gov, inspectorgeneral@oig.lacounty.gov, courtney-spivey-urschel@usdoj.gov, courtney.spivey@usdoj.gov, doug.mcmanus@usdoj.gov, malinda.rogers@usdoj.gov, robert.mccarty@usdoj.gov, askdoj@usdoj.gov, supremectbriefs@usdoj.gov, kerrymonaco@usdoj.gov, kerrymonoco@usdoj.gov, elizabeth.prelogar@usdoj.gov, anitavanita.gupta@whitehouse.gov, kristen.clarke@usdoj.gov, criminal.division@usdoj.gov, nmacella@gmail.com, nmacella@usdoj.gov, brian.boynton@usdoj.gov, david.weiss@usdoj.gov, jacksmith@usdoj.gov, rakelrossi@gmail.com, rakelrossi@yahoo.com, ned.harlan@doj.ca.gov, robertbonta@doj.ca.gov, opschiefsoffice@doj.ca.gov, peter.halloran@doj.ca.gov, amyhhalloran@doj.ca.gov, peterhalloran@sbcglobal.net, alisha.carlie@doj.ca.gov, alishastephen@yahoo.com, vincent.bonotto@doj.ca.gov, vbonotto@hotmail.com, glenn.pruden@doj.ca.gov, iless77@hotmail.com, daniel.olivas@doj.ca.gov, giam.nguyen@doj.ca.gov, chad.stegaman@doj.ca.gov, stephen.law@doj.ca.gov, christopher.findley@doj.ca.gov, dennis.beck@doj.ca.gov, darrell.spence@doj.ca.gov, maggy.krell@doj.ca.gov, elaine.tong@doj.ca.gov, hannah.calascan@doj.ca.gov, mo.park@doj.ca.gov, lisa.freund@doj.ca.gov, dbuthler@lasuperiorcourt.org, dbuthler@lacourt.org, mbillalobos@lacourt.org, dslayton@lasuperiorcourt.org, dslayton@lacourt.org, jmoses@lasuperiorcourt.org, jmoses@lacourt.org, ppenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, ppenrose@lacourt.org, chase.penrose@gmail.com, robert.oyang@jud.ca.gov, john.yee@jud.ca.gov, heather.pettit@jud.ca.gov, leah.rose-goodwin@jud.ca.gov, mark.gusman@jud.ca.gov, corry.jasperson@jud.ca.gov, jennie.jasperson@jud.ca.gov, randie.chance@doj.ca.gov, bobby.brow@jud.ca.gov, stephen.nash@jud.ca.gov, debbie.silkox@jud.ca.gov, laura.speed@jud.ca.gov, andrea.evans@jud.ca.gov, nicole.claro@jud.ca.gov, gavin.newsom@gov.ca, gavin.newsom@ca.gov, nishdeep.singh@doj.ca.gov, blacklawgenius@gmai.com
Cc:
Bcc:
Date: Wed, 28 Feb 2024 16:52:38 -0800
Subject: POST-CONVICTION DEMAND FOR THE PEOPLE AND LASD TO TURN OVER AND DISCLOSE PHONY LASD-CREATED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GL010586 AND GL010587 (AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, et al v. ricardo garcia, et al)

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, *Esq*

Greatest Self-Represented Litigant in

Civil and Criminal Law History

3-0 on Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted

Spirit of Esquire

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

NORTHEAST DISTRICT, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

ALHAMBRA SUPERIOR COURT

---

people of the state of california,

Prosecutor,

v

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD,

Defendant,

Railroaded and Wrongfully Convicted

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

Case No. GA114055

Arogant Hollywood's Emailed Request for Discovery for FELONY AND BOGUS LASD-CREATED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDS GL010586 AND GL010587

*Date of Hearing: February tbd, 2024 *

Department: TBD

Honorable Jared D. Moses TBD

)

I. INTRODUCTION

The People just couldn't help itself after Arogant Hollywood (hereinafter "Hollywood") emailed REAL GOPRO video recording proving his factual innocence by clear and convincing evidence. The People responded by presenting the same phony GOPRO video recordings on February 2, 2024 that were also presented and admitted as false evidence into a criminal Superior Court on April 4, 2023 and April 5, 2023.

II. STATEMENT OF FACTS

On February 21, 2023, Arogant Hollywood (hereinafter "Hollywood") was falsely arrested by LASD Temple City Peace Officers for making criminal threats.

On February 21, 2023 shortly after arriving at Temple City adult detention center Hollywood told detective peace officer Billy Khounthavong and his superior sergeant Richard Lewis that if they brought him his mobile phone and GOPRO camera he could present to them audio and video evidence that would prove he did not make any criminal threat.

On February 22, 2023 rogue and corrupt LASD peace officer Billy Khounthavong and his superior Richard Lewis recklessly conspired to violate his civil rights by disposing of Samsung Galaxy S21 Ultra mobile phone which Hollywood told them contained on it audio recordings and text messages that would exonerate him.

On February 22, 2023 Billy Khounthavong filed a bogus police report alleging that a search warrant for his GOPRO was issued by the dishonest, racist, and bias judicial officer Suzette Clover. The search warrant was so bogus that HOLLYWOODnever received a certified copy of it despite requesting it on numerous occasions. The bogus warrant was alleged to have been electronically filed yet it did not have the words duplicate original written on it, and it did not have the execution date and time written on it. HOLLYWOOD was never served and did not receive a copy of it until March 2023.

On February 21, 2023 County of Los Angeles rogue peace officer A. Contreras created a fraudulent and counterfeit probable cause determination declaration in which he stated that the Honorable Jana Seng approved his bogus PCD at approximately 1 p.m., a time she was on her lunch break. But it gets worse, while LASD has its own system for entering PCD and sending them to the court. There are no records of Contreras' bogus PCD. Also, the fact that Contreras alleged that his PCD was approved and probable cause was found non telephonically was a bald face lie. Probable cause is usually

found at a preliminary hearing when it's non telephonic. Additionally, a court reporter was required to transcribe Contreras' non telephonic probable cause determination declaration. See now California Penal Code Section 817, subdivision (g). Additionally, LASD and rogue peace officer A. Contreras will and recklessly failed to file a certificate of service with Jana Seng's court after the alleged arrest warrant was approved by her. See now California Penal Code Section 817(i). LASD and A. Contreras never filed a certificate of service because they knew that their probable cause determination declaration was shamed, bogus, counterfeit, false, forged, and fraudulent.

On or about February 23, 2023 rogue and dishonest peace officer detective lying Billy Khounthavong wrongfully and unnecessarily sent his GOPRO HERO 10 action camera to LASD and Ventura Sheriff's Office's joint Fraud and Cyber Crimes' Southern California High Tech Task Force so that they could illegally manipulate his GOPRO videos to make him look guilt of a crime they knew that HOLLYWOODdid not commit.

At the preliminary hearing on April 4, 2023 and April 5, 2023 dishonest deputy district attorney Brian Mark Rosenberg willfully, wantonly, and recklessly violated California Evidence Code Section 1522, subdivision (b) by presenting to the court as evidence unoriginal, tainted, tampered with, edited, modified, and altered GOPRO video recordings..

At the preliminary hearing on April 5, 2023 Brian Mark Rosenberg assisted Billy Khoungthavong in testifying falsely that HOLLYWOODshut off his GOPRO for seven minutes during the make believe knife and criminal threats separate incidents. While Billy Khounthavong was on the stand racist, biased and dishonest criminal prosecutor Brian Mark Rosenberg played an edited and altered GOPRO video recording that depicted and showed him shutting off his GOPRO camera just prior to reentering the now deceased fake victim Jennifer Hutton-Heger. This particular altered video was never turned over to him, his co-counsel Neil Opdahl-Lopez, or any of his private investigators Carlos Jackson and then Joel Wynn at any time. In general Brian Mark Rosenberg, James Garrison, Sharon Lee Woo, George Gascon, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, and Ronald Geltz all recklessly violated his Due Process Clause Fourteenth Amendment rights by recklessly failing to turn over a copy of original GOPRO video recordings to him, and turn also turn over a copy of altered GOPRO video recording that falsely shows him shutting off his GOPRO camera.

At the preliminary hearing LASD, Brian Mark Rosenberg, and rogue peace officer Billy Khounthavong further framed him by lying about the length of Jennifer Hutton's first 911 call length, and also lying that her first call ended at 10:07 a.m. and her second call commenced between 10:09 a.m. and 10:10 a.m. LIARS! The People's own CAD report shows that Hutton's second 911 call commenced at 10:08 a.m. and even the judge did not dispute near his conviction of this case that her first call ended at approximately 10:07 a.m. and 50 seconds. Thus, the time gap between the end of Hutton's first 911 call and the beginning of her second 911 call was approximately 30 seconds.

At the preliminary hearing on April 4, 2023 and April 5, 2023 in furtherance of the County of Los Angeles' conspiracy to frame him for a violent crime that HOLLYWOODnever committed. Brian Mark Rosenberg and LASD Temple City presented to the court broken up videos GL010586, GLO10587, GL010588, and GL010589. LASD's Southern California High Tech Task Force illegally did this and according to their job duties and descriptions. NONE OF THEM HAD NO BUSINESS TOUCHING AND ALTERING HIS ENTIRELY EXCULPATORY GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS!

On October 17, 2023, Hollywood filed a Motion to Compel the people and Brian Mark Rosenberg (hereinafter “Mark Rosenberg”) to turn over and disclose an electronic copy of the phony and bogus GOPRO video recording GL010586 portraying Hollywood shutting off his GOPRO.

In October 2023, Hollywood filed a motion directly with Suzette Clover requesting that she provide him with a certified copy of Billy Khounthavong’s phony and FORGED search warrant pursuant to California Evidence Code section 1530. Prejudiced, biased and RACIST State of California judicial officer Suzette Clover recklessly, wantonly, willfully, maliciously and callously violated Hollywood’s Fourteenth Amendment Constitutional rights by denying his motion, recklessly failing to provide Hollywood with a certified copy of rogue Peace Officer’s Billy Khounthavong’s alleged electronically filed February 22, 2023 search warrant, and RACIST Judicial Officer Suzette Clover LIED that she issued Khounthavong’s phony search warrant, and she lied that it was done electronically.

Suzette’s Clover October, 2023 ruling that she issued Khounthavong’s phony search warrant can be proven in the fact that the FORGED SEARCH WARRANT was missing the following PASADENA SUPERIOR COURT electronically stamped information that would PROVE it was REAL:

* Electronically FILED by*
* Superior Court of California,*
* County of Los Angeles*
* 02/22/2023_____PM*
* David W. Slayton,*
* Executive Officer/Clerk of Court*
* CLERK NAME HERE, Deputy Clerk*

Additionally, pursuant to the Trial Court Records Manual Revised 2020, Judicial Council of California, at page 115 of 143, page 132 of 143, and 21 of 143, the rogue Peace Officer Billy Khounthavong and Suzette Clover’s search warrant is clearly proven to be counterfeit and was never issued since the Pasadena Superior Court has no record of it existing while it was required pursuant to California Government Code Section 68152 to

retain a copy of it at the Pasadena Superior Court until 2033. Further, page 132 of 143 in the Trial Court Records Manual Revised 2020, Judicial Council of California states that pursuant to California Penal Code Section 1534, the Pasadena Superior Court was required to make Billy Khounthavong and Suzette Clover's counterfeit and make-believe search warrant open to the public. Thus, Pasadena Superior Court has no electronic and / or physical records of rouge Billy Khounthavong and RACIST Suzette Clover's PHONY search warrant because it was never filed in the Pasadena Superior Court and it does not exist.

On November 28, 2023 Hollywood emailed an electronic copy of the real GOPRO video that proved his factual innocence by clear and convincing evidence. The real GOPRO video was electronically served upon George Gascom, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl Mire, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Ronald Millard Geltz and over 75 other County of Los Angeles employed criminal prosecutors and members of the Los Angeles County Office of Council.

In addition to Hollywood emailing the real GOPRO video to the above stated criminal prosecutors, these same criminal prosecutors also received a web address link that redirected them to Hollywood's Instagram account where they could view the real video that was previously uploaded to his Instagram account.

PLEASE SEE BELOW INSTAGRAM VIDEO LINKS TO ORIGINAL FEBRUARY 21, 2023 GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS.

https://www.instagram.com/reel/Czs0EpgOsSm/?utm_source=ig_web_copy_link&igshid=MzRlODBiNWFlZA==

https://www.instagram.com/reel/Cz0sb6auaos/?utm_source=ig_web_copy_link&igshid=MzRlODBiNWFlZA==

On December 28, 2023, Hollywood filed a motion to withdraw his No Contest guilty plea.

On January 12, 2024, Hollywood filed a second motion to withdraw his No Contest guilty plea and he withdrew his No Contest guilty plea motion filed on December 28, 2023 in the illegal and frivolous, violent and serious felony case GA114055.

On February 2, 2024, the People and RACIST Deputy District

Attorney Brian Mark Rosenberg admitted and presented a GOPRO video recording that depicted and portrayed Arogant Hollywood shutting off his GOPRO camera using a bare and naked right arm. In the People's LASD Peace Officers' body camera video recordings, Hollywood is seen wearing a long-sleeved shirt. During Jennifer Hutton-Heger's first 911 call, she described Arogant Hollywood's clothing as baggy pants and long-sleeved shirt. Thus, People's phony GOPRO video recording GL010586 which depicts and displays Hollywood wearing a tank-top and also different pants proves by clear and convincing evidence that the People's GOPRO video recording is phony and was NOT created by Arogant Hollywood on February 21, 2023. In original GOPRO video recording, there is sound. In People's Artificial Intelligence-powered video recording, there is no sound.

On February 2, 2024, the Superior Court held an evidentiary hearing on Hollywood's motion to withdraw his No Contest plea.

On February 2, 2024, Deputy District Attorney MARK Rosenberg both testified and presented the manipulated, altered, bogus and phony GOPRO video recording GL010586 created by the Los Angeles County Sherriff's Department (hereinafter "LASD").

The bogus video displayed and depicted Hollywood shutting off his GOPRO camera. The bogus video featured an artificial intelligence-powered Arogant Hollywood repeatedly touching his GOPRO camera multiple times before finally stopping the recording.

The evidence clearly shows that the GOPRO video GL010586 is a phony for the following reasons:

1. In People's phony LASD-created GL010586, Hollywood is seen shutting off his GOPRO camera while his entire right arm can be seen to be bare and naked, without clothing. In People's phony GL010586, Hollywood is clearly seen wearing a dark-colored tank top.

2. In the original GL010586 video recording, Hollywood is never seen shutting off his GOPRO camera. In the original GL010586 video recording, Hollywood is seen to be wearing a long-sleeved shirt.

On or about February 5, 2024, Arogant Hollywood left a voicemail requesting that Alhambra Deputy In Charge Victor Manuel Rodriguez provide to co-counsel Neil Opdahl-Lopez a copy of People's phony GOPRO video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 that was played, presented and admitted at the evidentiary hearing of February 2, 2024.

On or about February 6, 2024, Arogant Hollywood left a voicemail requesting that Alhambra Deputy District Attorney Cindi Park provide to

co-counsel Neil Opdahl-Lopez a copy of People's phony GOPRO video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 that were played, presented and admitted at the evidentiary hearing of February 2, 2024.

On or about February 7, 2024, co-counsel Neil Opdahl-Lopez emailed Deputy District Attorney Brian MARK Rosenberg requesting that he provide him with a copy of LASD-created GOPRO video recording GL010586 showing Hollywood shutting off his GOPRO camera and was admitted as false evidence on February 2, 2024. MARK Rosenberg replied back that he was no longer handling the case. This is true, but it is also true that Brian MARK Rosenberg is the only Deputy District Attorney in the County of Los Angeles that has phony LASD-created GOPRO video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 electronically stored on his lap-top computer. Not even Alhambra Deputy In Charge Victor Manuel Rodriguez has access to the phony GOPRO video recordings GL010586 and GL010587.

On February 16, 2024, Arogant Hollywood contacted the Office of new Los Angeles County District Attorney Office Family Violence Division Deputy in Charge Janice Johnson by dialing 213-257-2185 using a 3-way conference call made by Alison Fairchild. Hollywood left a message with Ms. Johnson's legal secretary Estra Malda and requested that Ms. Johnson contact co-counsel Neil Opdahl-Lopez at 626-429-6578 about providing him with a copy of altered GOPRO video recordings played by People on February 2, 2024.

On or about February 16, 2024, Arogant Hollywood called Alhambra Deputy in Charge Victor Manuel Rodriguez by dialing 626-308-5302 and requesting to be transferred to his voicemail. Hollywood left a voicemail for Victory Rodriguez requesting he provide co-counsel Neil Opdahl-Lopez with a copy of altered LASD-created GOPRO video recording GL010586 that shows Hollywood shutting off his GOPRO camera and was played at the court evidentiary hearing on February 2, 2024.

Between February 5, 2024 and February 23, 2024 both Hollywood and co counsel/ Neil Opdahl- Lopez requested and demanded by both email and telephone that people turn over copies of phony GOPRO recordings GL01586 and GL01587 that was shown, presented, and admitted into the superior court on April 4th, 2023, April 5th, 2023, February 2nd, 2024. Yet, the people have still willfully, recklessly, wantingly, maliciously, and callously failed to do so.

The people of Brian MARK Rosenberg willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously and callously failed to provide Arogant Hollywood, co-counsel Neil Opdahl-Lopez, Private Investigators Joel Wyenn and Carlos A. Jackson and RACIST and lying court-appointed expert witness Thomas Juzman-Sanchez, disclose and turn over an electronic copy of phony LASD-created GOPRO video recordings GL010586 and GL010587. None of the previously-named Hollywood criminal defense members should have ever had to request phony GOPRO video recording evidence from MARK Rosenberg because pursuant to Los Angeles County District Attorney Offices' Policies, Practices and Procedures any and all audio and video evidence is required to be uploaded and made immediately available to the criminal defense

members to download on evidence.com.

III. LEGAL ARGUMENT

Under 1054.1, the Prosecuting Attorney shall disclose to the Defendant or his or her attorney all evidence in his possession or in the possession of its law enforcement agencies working to prosecute Hollywood. See *In re Littlefield*, 5 Cal.4th 122 129 (1993).

The People and Brian MARK Rosenberg had a *Brady* duty to disclose and turn over phony LASD-created GOPRO video recordings GL010586 and GL0101587 because these videos could and would have been used to impeach false testimony of LYING Billy Khounthavong that phony GOPRO video recordings GL010586 and GL0101587 were retrieved and downloaded from Arrogant Hollywood's GOPRO camera. See *People v. Little*, 59 Cal.4th 426, 433 (1997).

Throughout this case the people of Mark Rosenberg have been in full control. Hollywood has never had an opportunity to present his evidence and he has never had an opportunity to examine people's phony GOPRO video recordings GL017586 in the superior court.

The People and MARK Rosenberg's Constitutional duty that required them to disclose impeaching evidence to Hollywood was independent from their statutory duty to provide discover under Section 1054.1. See *Izazaga v. Superior Court*, 54 Cal.3d 356 at p. 378 (1991).

Evidence that is material under *Brady* must be disclosed to the Defense, notwithstanding any failure of the Defense to enforce its statutory right to discovery. See *People v. Jordan*, 108 Cal.App.4th 349, 359 (2003).

Brady imposed the duty on MARK Rosenberg and the People to disclose p
[remainder of message body omitted; too large]

Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com> Wed, Feb 28, 2024 at 6:51 PM
To: blacklawgenius@gmail.com



Address not found

Your message wasn't delivered to **courtney.spivey@usdoj.gov** because the address couldn't be found, or is unable to receive mail.

The response from the remote server was:

```
550 5.1.1 ... User Unknown
```

Final-Recipient: rfc822; courtney.spivey@usdoj.gov
Action: failed
Status: 5.1.1
Remote-MTA: dns; mx-jdcw.usdoj.gov. (149.101.25.25, the server for the domain usdoj.gov.)
Diagnostic-Code: smtp; 550 5.1.1 <courtney.spivey@usdoj.gov>... User Unknown
Last-Attempt-Date: Wed, 28 Feb 2024 18:51:01 -0800 (PST)

noname
8K

Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com> Wed, Feb 28, 2024 at 6:51 PM
To: blacklawgenius@gmail.com



Address not found

Your message wasn't delivered to **brian.boynton@usdoj.gov** because the address couldn't be found, or is unable to receive mail.

The response from the remote server was:

```
550 5.1.1 ... User Unknown
```

Final-Recipient: rfc822; brian.boynton@usdoj.gov
Action: failed

Status: 5.1.1
Remote-MTA: dns; mx-jdcw.usdoj.gov. (149.101.25.25, the server for the domain usdoj.gov.)
Diagnostic-Code: smtp; 550 5.1.1 <brian.boynton@usdoj.gov>... User Unknown
Last-Attempt-Date: Wed, 28 Feb 2024 18:51:01 -0800 (PST)

noname
8K

Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com> Wed, Feb 28, 2024 at 6:51 PM
To: blacklawgenius@gmail.com

Address not found

Your message wasn't delivered to **kerrymonaco@usdoj.gov** because the address couldn't be found, or is unable to receive mail.

The response from the remote server was:

```
550 5.1.1 ... User Unknown
```

Final-Recipient: rfc822; kerrymonaco@usdoj.gov
Action: failed
Status: 5.1.1
Remote-MTA: dns; mx-jdcw.usdoj.gov. (149.101.25.25, the server for the domain usdoj.gov.)
Diagnostic-Code: smtp; 550 5.1.1 <kerrymonaco@usdoj.gov>... User Unknown
Last-Attempt-Date: Wed, 28 Feb 2024 18:51:01 -0800 (PST)

noname
8K

Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com> Wed, Feb 28, 2024 at 6:51 PM
To: blacklawgenius@gmail.com

Address not found

Your message wasn't delivered to **kerrymonoco@usdoj.gov** because the address couldn't be found, or is unable to receive mail.

The response from the remote server was:

```
550 5.1.1 ... User Unknown
```

Final-Recipient: rfc822; kerrymonoco@usdoj.gov
Action: failed
Status: 5.1.1
Remote-MTA: dns; mx-jdcw.usdoj.gov. (149.101.25.25, the server for the domain usdoj.gov.)
Diagnostic-Code: smtp; 550 5.1.1 <kerrymonoco@usdoj.gov>... User Unknown
Last-Attempt-Date: Wed, 28 Feb 2024 18:51:01 -0800 (PST)

noname
8K

Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com> Wed, Feb 28, 2024 at 6:51 PM
To: blacklawgenius@gmail.com

Address not found

Your message wasn't delivered to **robert.mccarty@usdoj.gov** because the address couldn't be found, or is unable to receive mail.

The response from the remote server was:

```
550 5.1.1 ... User Unknown
```

Final-Recipient: rfc822; robert.mccarty@usdoj.gov
Action: failed
Status: 5.1.1
Remote-MTA: dns; mx-jdcw.usdoj.gov. (149.101.25.25, the server for the domain usdoj.gov.)

Diagnostic-Code: smtp; 550 5.1.1 <robert.mccarty@usdoj.gov>... User Unknown
Last-Attempt-Date: Wed, 28 Feb 2024 18:51:01 -0800 (PST)

noname
8K

Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com> Wed, Feb 28, 2024 at 6:51 PM
To: blacklawgenius@gmail.com

Address not found

Your message wasn't delivered to **elizabeth.prelogar@usdoj.gov** because the address couldn't be found, or is unable to receive mail.

The response from the remote server was:

```
550 5.1.1 ... User Unknown
```

Final-Recipient: rfc822; elizabeth.prelogar@usdoj.gov
Action: failed
Status: 5.1.1
Remote-MTA: dns; mx-jdcw.usdoj.gov. (149.101.25.25, the server for the domain usdoj.gov.)
Diagnostic-Code: smtp; 550 5.1.1 <elizabeth.prelogar@usdoj.gov>... User Unknown
Last-Attempt-Date: Wed, 28 Feb 2024 18:51:01 -0800 (PST)

noname
8K

Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com> Wed, Feb 28, 2024 at 6:51 PM
To: blacklawgenius@gmail.com

Address not found

Your message wasn't delivered to **nmacella@usdoj.gov** because the address couldn't be found, or is unable to receive mail.

The response from the remote server was:

```
550 5.1.1 ... User Unknown
```

Final-Recipient: rfc822; nmacella@usdoj.gov
Action: failed
Status: 5.1.1
Remote-MTA: dns; mx-jdcw.usdoj.gov. (149.101.25.25, the server for the domain usdoj.gov.)
Diagnostic-Code: smtp; 550 5.1.1 <nmacella@usdoj.gov>... User Unknown
Last-Attempt-Date: Wed, 28 Feb 2024 18:51:01 -0800 (PST)

noname
8K

Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com> Wed, Feb 28, 2024 at 6:51 PM
To: blacklawgenius@gmail.com

Address not found

Your message wasn't delivered to **malinda.rogers@usdoj.gov** because the address couldn't be found, or is unable to receive mail.

The response from the remote server was:

```
550 5.1.1 ... User Unknown
```

Final-Recipient: rfc822; malinda.rogers@usdoj.gov
Action: failed
Status: 5.1.1

Remote-MTA: dns; mx-jdcw.usdoj.gov. (149.101.25.25, the server for the domain usdoj.gov.)
Diagnostic-Code: smtp; 550 5.1.1 <malinda.rogers@usdoj.gov>... User Unknown
Last-Attempt-Date: Wed, 28 Feb 2024 18:51:01 -0800 (PST)

noname
8K

Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com> Wed, Feb 28, 2024 at 6:51 PM
To: blacklawgenius@gmail.com

Address not found

Your message wasn't delivered to **courtney-spivey-urschel@usdoj.gov** because the address couldn't be found, or is unable to receive mail.

The response from the remote server was:

```
550 5.1.1 ... User Unknown
```

Final-Recipient: rfc822; courtney-spivey-urschel@usdoj.gov
Action: failed
Status: 5.1.1
Remote-MTA: dns; mx-jdcw.usdoj.gov. (149.101.25.25, the server for the domain usdoj.gov.)
Diagnostic-Code: smtp; 550 5.1.1 <courtney-spivey-urschel@usdoj.gov>... User Unknown
Last-Attempt-Date: Wed, 28 Feb 2024 18:51:00 -0800 (PST)

noname
8K

Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com> Wed, Feb 28, 2024 at 6:51 PM
To: blacklawgenius@gmail.com

Address not found

Your message wasn't delivered to **jacksmith@usdoj.gov** because the address couldn't be found, or is unable to receive

mail.

The response from the remote server was:

```
550 5.1.1 ... User Unknown
```

Final-Recipient: rfc822; jacksmith@usdoj.gov
Action: failed
Status: 5.1.1
Remote-MTA: dns; mx-jdcw.usdoj.gov. (149.101.25.25, the server for the domain usdoj.gov.)
Diagnostic-Code: smtp; 550 5.1.1 <jacksmith@usdoj.gov>... User Unknown
Last-Attempt-Date: Wed, 28 Feb 2024 18:51:01 -0800 (PST)

noname
8K

Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com> Wed, Feb 28, 2024 at 6:52 PM
To: blacklawgenius@gmail.com



Message blocked

Your message to **smire@da.lacounty.gov** has been blocked.
See technical details below for more information.

The response from the remote server was:

```
550 5.4.1 Recipient address rejected: Access denied. [SA2PEPF00002252.namprd09.
prod.outlook.com 2024-02-29T02:52:35.831Z 08DC33959E9757B5]
```

Final-Recipient: rfc822; smire@da.lacounty.gov
Action: failed
Status: 5.4.1
Remote-MTA: dns; da-lacounty-gov.mail.protection.outlook.com. (52.101.11.12,
the server for the domain da.lacounty.gov.)
Diagnostic-Code: smtp; 550 5.4.1 Recipient address rejected: Access denied. [SA2PEPF00002252.namprd09.
prod.outlook.com 2024-02-29T02:52:35.831Z 08DC33959E9757B5]
Last-Attempt-Date: Wed, 28 Feb 2024 18:52:36 -0800 (PST)

noname
9K

Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com> Wed, Feb 28, 2024 at 6:52 PM
To: blacklawgenius@gmail.com



Message blocked

Your message to **pagrawai@da.lacounty.gov** has been blocked. See technical details below for more information.

The response from the remote server was:

```
550 5.4.1 Recipient address rejected: Access denied. [SA2PEPF00002252.namprd09.
prod.outlook.com 2024-02-29T02:52:36.034Z 08DC33959E9757B5]
```

Final-Recipient: rfc822; pagrawai@da.lacounty.gov
Action: failed
Status: 5.4.1
Remote-MTA: dns; da-lacounty-gov.mail.protection.outlook.com. (52.101.11.12,
the server for the domain da.lacounty.gov.)
Diagnostic-Code: smtp; 550 5.4.1 Recipient address rejected: Access denied. [SA2PEPF00002252.namprd09.
prod.outlook.com 2024-02-29T02:52:36.034Z 08DC33959E9757B5]
Last-Attempt-Date: Wed, 28 Feb 2024 18:52:37 -0800 (PST)

noname
9K

Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com> Wed, Feb 28, 2024 at 6:52 PM
To: blacklawgenius@gmail.com



Message blocked

Your message to **jrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov** has been blocked. See technical details below for more information.

The response from the remote server was:

```
550 5.4.1 Recipient address rejected: Access denied. [SA2PEPF00002252.namprd09.
prod.outlook.com 2024-02-29T02:52:36.003Z 08DC33959E9757B5]
```

Final-Recipient: rfc822; jrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov
Action: failed
Status: 5.4.1
Remote-MTA: dns; da-lacounty-gov.mail.protection.outlook.com. (52.101.11.12,
the server for the domain da.lacounty.gov.)
Diagnostic-Code: smtp; 550 5.4.1 Recipient address rejected: Access denied. [SA2PEPF00002252.namprd09.
prod.outlook.com 2024-02-29T02:52:36.003Z 08DC33959E9757B5]
Last-Attempt-Date: Wed, 28 Feb 2024 18:52:37 -0800 (PST)

noname
9K

Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com> Wed, Feb 28, 2024 at 6:52 PM
To: blacklawgenius@gmail.com



Message blocked

Your message to **mhaider@da.lacounty.gov** has been blocked. See technical details below for more information.

Gmail

Arogant Hollywood <blacklawgenius@gmail.com>

POST-CONVICTION DEMAND FOR THE PEOPLE AND LASD TO TURN OVER AND DISCLOSE PHONY LASD-CREATED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GL010586 AND GL010587 (AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, et al v. ricardo garcia, et al) (electronic PDF copy of DEMAND FOR DISCOVERY HEREBY SERVED)


33 messages

Arogant Hollywood <blacklawgenius@gmail.com> Wed, Feb 28, 2024 at 5:45 PM
To: cparker@da.lacounty.gov, vrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov, swoo@da.lacounty.gov, tcheunglaw@gmail.com, rchew@apd.lacounty.gov, jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov, smire@da.lacounty.gov, mhaider@da.lacounty.gov, Ron Geltz <rgeltz@da.lacounty.gov>, gascon@da.lacounty.gov, Neil Opdahl-Lopez <attorney@neilopdahl.com>, rgarcia@pubdef.lacounty.gov, jesack@pubdef.lacounty.gov, rmarquez@pubdef.lacounty.gov, mrawi@pubdef.lacounty.gov, ljackson@lasuperior.org, ljackson@lasuperiorcourt.org, eanzoategui@apd.lacounmty.gov, Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>, lacklawcrusader@gmail.com, causeofaction43@gmail.com, Arogant Hollywood <arogantmusicinc@gmail.com>, fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com, villalobos@lasuperior.org, villalobos@lasuperiorcourt.org, mvillalobos@lasuperior.org, mvillalobos@lasuperiorcourt.org, sclover@lasuperior.org, sclover@lasuperiorcourt.org, robert.bonta@doj.ca.gov, pghaderi@counsel.lacounty.gov, abwers@counsel.lacounty.gov, msimon@counsel.lacounty.gov, amattson@da.lacounty.gov, getem626@gmail.com, bhernandez@pubdef.lacounty.gov, jtrochez@pubdef.lacounty.gov, leaht.wilson@calbar.ca.gov, leah.wilson50@yahoo.com, firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, cchen@bos.lacounty.gov, seconddistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, Third District <thirddistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>, secondistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, thirdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, fourthdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, hmitchell@yahoo.com, elizabeth.hom@calbar.ca.gov, jinacrawford@calbar.ca.gov, rjenkins@counsel.lacounty.gov, cbarnett@counsel.lacounty.gov, rluna@lasd.org, cdelperal@counsel.lacounty.gov, ndavis-tinkham@counsel.lacounty.gov, nadtinkham@gmail.com, jwhitehurst@counsel.lacounty.gov, pmarshall@counsel.lacounty.gov, rcartwright@counsel.lacounty.gov, jgonzolez@counsel.lacounty.gov, rwong@counsel.lacounty.gov, tfaughnan@counsel.lacounty.gov, tomfaughnan@gmail.com, jlehaman@counsel.lacounty.gov, kmiles@counsel.lacounty.gov, rbaeza@counsel.lacounty.gov, rchastang@counsel.lacounty.gov, lchampo@counsel.lacounty.gov, emiller@counsel.lacounty.gov, pbollinger@counsel.lacounty.gov, kbowser@counsel.lacounty.gov, rebrahim@da.lacounty.gov, kkoyano@da.lacounty.gov, dteran@da.lacounty.gov, tsereno@da.lacounty.gov, Marc Beaart <mbeaart@da.lacounty.gov>, giniguez@da.lacounty.gov, fsanchez@da.lacounty.gov, rarcos@da.lacounty.gov, jrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov, pagrawai@da.lacounty.gov, cnakao@da.lacounty.gov, vaenlle-rochoa@da.lacounty.gov, vgadams@msn.com, ljkessner@da.lacounty.gov, Larry Droeger <ldroeger@da.lacounty.gov>, jsilva@da.lacounty.gov, hborden@da.lacounty.gov, sgipson@da.lacounty.gov, twright@da.lacounty.gov, mcarrillo@da.lacounty.gov, Alan Yochelson <ayochelson@da.lacounty.gov>, spresby@da.lacounty.gov, shassett@da.lacounty.gov, bjdodd@da.lacounty.gov, lorquida@da.lacounty.gov, lorquido@da.lacounty.gov, mramirez@da.lacounty.gov, ralarcon@da.lacounty.gov, shulefeld@da.lacounty.gov, Mario Haidar <mhaidar@da.lacounty.gov>, pkouch@da.lacounty.gov, dpurvis@da.lacounty.gov, cpark@da.lacounty.gov, rarias@da.lacounty.gov, inspectorgeneral@oig.lacounty.gov, courtney-spivey-urschel@usdoj.gov, courtney.spivey@usdoj.gov, doug.mcmanus@usdoj.gov, malinda.rogers@usdoj.gov, robert.mccarty@usdoj.gov, askdoj@usdoj.gov, supremectbriefs@usdoj.gov, kerrymonaco@usdoj.gov, kerrymonoco@usdoj.gov, elizabeth.prelogar@usdoj.gov, anitavanita.gupta@whitehouse.gov, kristen.clarke@usdoj.gov, criminal.division@usdoj.gov, nmacella@gmail.com, nmacella@usdoj.gov, brian.boynton@usdoj.gov, david.weiss@usdoj.gov, jacksmith@usdoj.gov, rakelrossi@gmail.com, rakelrossi@yahoo.com, ned.harlan@doj.ca.gov, nishdeep.singh@doj.ca.gov, robertbonta@doj.ca.gov, opschiefsoffice@doj.ca.gov, peter.halloran@doj.ca.gov, amyhhalloran@doj.ca.gov, peterhalloran@sbcglobal.net, alisha.carlie@doj.ca.gov, alishastephen@yahoo.com, vincent.bonotto@doj.ca.gov, vbonotto@hotmail.com, glenn.pruden@doj.ca.gov, iless77@hotmail.com, daniel.olivas@doj.ca.gov, giam.nguyen@doj.ca.gov, chad.stegaman@doj.ca.gov, stephen.law@doj.ca.gov, christopher.findley@doj.ca.gov, dennis.beck@doj.ca.gov, darrell.spence@doj.ca.gov, maggy.krell@doj.ca.gov, elaine.tong@doj.ca.gov, hannah.calascan@doj.ca.gov, mo.park@doj.ca.gov, lisa.freund@doj.ca.gov, dbuthler@lasuperiorcourt.org, dbuthler@lacourt.org, mbillalobos@lacourt.org, dslayton@lasuperiorcourt.org, dslayton@lacourt.org, jmoses@lasuperiorcourt.org, jmoses@lacourt.org, ppenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, ppenrose@lacourt.org, chase.penrose@gmail.com, robert.oyang@jud.ca.gov, john.yee@jud.ca.gov, heather.pettit@jud.ca.gov, leah.rose-goodwin@jud.ca.gov, mark.gusman@jud.ca.gov, corry.jasperson@jud.ca.gov, jennie.jasperson@jud.ca.gov, randie.chance@doj.ca.gov, bobby.brow@jud.ca.gov, stephen.nash@jud.ca.gov, debbie.silkox@jud.ca.gov, laura.speed@jud.ca.gov, andrea.evans@jud.ca.gov, nicole.claro@jud.ca.gov, gavin.newsom@gov.ca, gavin.newsom@ca.gov, Arogant Hollywood <blacklawgenius@gmail.com>, 16262814724@faxage.com,

15627530473@faxage.com, contactrisk@ceo.lacounty.gov, 12136331956@faxage.com

A PDF COPY OF THE DEMAND FOR DISCOVERY IS HEREBY ATTACHED.

Date: February 28, 2024



_____

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Defendant in Lawsuit

Email Demand for Discovery.pdf
373K

Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com> Wed, Feb 28, 2024 at 5:46 PM
To: blacklawgenius@gmail.com

Address not found

Your message wasn't delivered to **gavin.newsom@gov.ca** because the domain gov.ca couldn't be found. Check for typos or unnecessary spaces and try again.

LEARN MORE

The response was:

```
DNS Error: DNS type 'mx' lookup of gov.ca responded with code NXDOMAIN Domain name not found:
gov.ca For more information, go to https://support.google.com/mail/?p=BadRcptDomain
```

Final-Recipient: rfc822; gavin.newsom@gov.ca
Action: failed

Status: 5.1.2
Diagnostic-Code: smtp; DNS Error: DNS type 'mx' lookup of gov.ca responded with code NXDOMAIN
Domain name not found: gov.ca For more information, go to https://support.google.com/mail/?p=BadRcptDomain
Last-Attempt-Date: Wed, 28 Feb 2024 17:46:12 -0800 (PST)

---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: Arogant Hollywood <blacklawgenius@gmail.com>
To: cparker@da.lacounty.gov, vrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov, swoo@da.lacounty.gov, tcheunglaw@gmail.com, rchew@apd.lacounty.gov, jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov, smire@da.lacounty.gov, mhaider@da.lacounty.gov, Ron Geltz <rgeltz@da.lacounty.gov>, gascon@da.lacounty.gov, Neil Opdahl-Lopez <attorney@neilopdahl.com>, rgarcia@pubdef.lacounty.gov, jesack@pubdef.lacounty.gov, rmarquez@pubdef.lacounty.gov, mrawi@pubdef.lacounty.gov, ljackson@lasuperior.org, ljackson@lasuperiorcourt.org, eanzoategui@apd.lacounmty.gov, Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>, lacklawcrusader@gmail.com, causeofaction43@gmail.com, Arogant Hollywood <arogantmusicinc@gmail.com>, fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com, villalobos@lasuperior.org, villalobos@lasuperiorcourt.org, mvillalobos@lasuperior.org, mvillalobos@lasuperiorcourt.org, sclover@lasuperior.org, sclover@lasuperiorcourt.org, robert.bonta@doj.ca.gov, pghaderi@counsel.lacounty.gov, abwers@counsel.lacounty.gov, msimon@counsel.lacounty.gov, amattson@da.lacounty.gov, getem626@gmail.com, bhernandez@pubdef.lacounty.gov, jtrochez@pubdef.lacounty.gov, leaht.wilson@calbar.ca.gov, leah.wilson50@yahoo.com, firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, cchen@bos.lacounty.gov, seconddistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, Third District <thirddistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>, secondistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, thirdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, fourthdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, hmitchell@yahoo.com, elizabeth.hom@calbar.ca.gov, jinacrawford@calbar.ca.gov, rjenkins@counsel.lacounty.gov, cbarnett@counsel.lacounty.gov, rluna@lasd.org, cdelperal@counsel.lacounty.gov, ndavis-tinkham@counsel.lacounty.gov, nadtinkham@gmail.com, jwhitehurst@counsel.lacounty.gov, pmarshall@counsel.lacounty.gov, rcartwright@counsel.lacounty.gov, jgonzolez@counsel.lacounty.gov, rwong@counsel.lacounty.gov, tfaughnan@counsel.lacounty.gov, tomfaughnan@gmail.com, jlehaman@counsel.lacounty.gov, kmiles@counsel.lacounty.gov, rbaeza@counsel.lacounty.gov, rchastang@counsel.lacounty.gov, lchampo@counsel.lacounty.gov, emiller@counsel.lacounty.gov, pbollinger@counsel.lacounty.gov, kbowser@counsel.lacounty.gov, rebrahim@da.lacounty.gov, kkoyano@da.lacounty.gov, dteran@da.lacounty.gov, tsereno@da.lacounty.gov, Marc Beaart <mbeaart@da.lacounty.gov>, giniguez@da.lacounty.gov, fsanchez@da.lacounty.gov, rarcos@da.lacounty.gov, jrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov, pagrawai@da.lacounty.gov, cnakao@da.lacounty.gov, vaenlle-rochoa@da.lacounty.gov, vgadams@msn.com, ljkessner@da.lacounty.gov, Larry Droeger <ldroeger@da.lacounty.gov>, jsilva@da.lacounty.gov, hborden@da.lacounty.gov, sgipson@da.lacounty.gov, twright@da.lacounty.gov, mcarrillo@da.lacounty.gov, Alan Yochelson <ayochelson@da.lacounty.gov>, spresby@da.lacounty.gov, shassett@da.lacounty.gov, bjdodd@da.lacounty.gov, lorquida@da.lacounty.gov, lorquido@da.lacounty.gov, mramirez@da.lacounty.gov, ralarcon@da.lacounty.gov, shulefeld@da.lacounty.gov, Mario Haidar <mhaidar@da.lacounty.gov>, pkouch@da.lacounty.gov, dpurvis@da.lacounty.gov, cpark@da.lacounty.gov, rarias@da.lacounty.gov, inspectorgeneral@oig.lacounty.gov, courtney-spivey-urschel@usdoj.gov, courtney.spivey@usdoj.gov, doug.mcmanus@usdoj.gov, malinda.rogers@usdoj.gov, robert.mccarty@usdoj.gov, askdoj@usdoj.gov, supremectbriefs@usdoj.gov, kerrymonaco@usdoj.gov, kerrymonoco@usdoj.gov, elizabeth.prelogar@usdoj.gov, anitavanita.gupta@whitehouse.gov, kristen.clarke@usdoj.gov, criminal.division@usdoj.gov, nmacella@gmail.com, nmacella@usdoj.gov, brian.boynton@usdoj.gov, david.weiss@usdoj.gov, jacksmith@usdoj.gov, rakelrossi@gmail.com, rakelrossi@yahoo.com, ned.harlan@doj.ca.gov, nishdeep.singh@doj.ca.gov, robertbonta@doj.ca.gov, opschiefsoffice@doj.ca.gov, peter.halloran@doj.ca.gov, amyhhalloran@doj.ca.gov, peterhalloran@sbcglobal.net, alisha.carlie@doj.ca.gov, alishastephen@yahoo.com, vincent.bonotto@doj.ca.gov, vbonotto@hotmail.com, glenn.pruden@doj.ca.gov, iless77@hotmail.com, daniel.olivas@doj.ca.gov, giam.nguyen@doj.ca.gov, chad.stegaman@doj.ca.gov, stephen.law@doj.ca.gov, christopher.findley@doj.ca.gov, dennis.beck@doj.ca.gov, darrell.spence@doj.ca.gov, maggy.krell@doj.ca.gov, elaine.tong@doj.ca.gov, hannah.calascan@doj.ca.gov, mo.park@doj.ca.gov, lisa.freund@doj.ca.gov, dbuthler@lasuperiorcourt.org, dbuthler@lacourt.org, mbillalobos@lacourt.org, dslayton@lasuperiorcourt.org, dslayton@lacourt.org, jmoses@lasuperiorcourt.org, jmoses@lacourt.org, ppenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, ppenrose@lacourt.org, chase.penrose@gmail.com, robert.oyang@jud.ca.gov, john.yee@jud.ca.gov, heather.pettit@jud.ca.gov, leah.rose-goodwin@jud.ca.gov, mark.gusman@jud.ca.gov, corry.jasperson@jud.ca.gov, jennie.jasperson@jud.ca.gov, randie.chance@doj.ca.gov, bobby.brow@jud.ca.gov, stephen.nash@jud.ca.gov, debbie.silkox@jud.ca.gov, laura.speed@jud.ca.gov, andrea.evans@jud.ca.gov, nicole.claro@jud.ca.gov, gavin.newsom@gov.ca, gavin.newsom@ca.gov, Arogant Hollywood <blacklawgenius@gmail.com>, 16262814724@faxage.com, 15627530473@faxage.com, contactrisk@ceo.lacounty.gov, 12136331956@faxage.com
Cc:
Bcc:
Date: Wed, 28 Feb 2024 17:45:55 -0800

Subject: POST-CONVICTION DEMAND FOR THE PEOPLE AND LASD TO TURN OVER AND DISCLOSE PHONY LASD-CREATED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GL010586 AND GL010587 (AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, et al v. ricardo garcia, et al) (electronic PDF copy of DEMAND FOR DISCOVERY HEREBY SERVED)
----- Message truncated -----

Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com> Wed, Feb 28, 2024 at 5:46 PM
To: blacklawgenius@gmail.com



Address not found

Your message wasn't delivered to **eanzoategui@apd.lacounmty.gov** because the domain apd.lacounmty.gov couldn't be found. Check for typos or unnecessary spaces and try again.

LEARN MORE

The response was:

DNS Error: DNS type 'mx' lookup of apd.lacounmty.gov responded with code NXDOMAIN Domain name not found: apd.lacounmty.gov For more information, go to https://support.google.com/mail/?p=BadRcptDomain

Final-Recipient: rfc822; eanzoategui@apd.lacounmty.gov
Action: failed
Status: 5.1.2
Diagnostic-Code: smtp; DNS Error: DNS type 'mx' lookup of apd.lacounmty.gov responded with code NXDOMAIN Domain name not found: apd.lacounmty.gov For more information, go to https://support.google.com/mail/?p=BadRcptDomain
Last-Attempt-Date: Wed, 28 Feb 2024 17:46:12 -0800 (PST)

---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: Arogant Hollywood <blacklawgenius@gmail.com>
To: cparker@da.lacounty.gov, vrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov, swoo@da.lacounty.gov, tcheunglaw@gmail.com, rchew@apd.lacounty.gov, jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov, smire@da.lacounty.gov, mhaider@da.lacounty.gov, Ron Geltz <rgeltz@da.lacounty.gov>, gascon@da.lacounty.gov, Neil Opdahl-Lopez <attorney@neilopdahl.com>, rgarcia@pubdef.lacounty.gov, jesack@pubdef.lacounty.gov, rmarquez@pubdef.lacounty.gov, mrawi@pubdef.lacounty.gov, ljackson@lasuperior.org, ljackson@lasuperiorcourt.org, eanzoategui@apd.lacounmty.gov, Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>, lacklawcrusader@gmail.com, causeofaction43@gmail.com, Arogant Hollywood <arogantmusicinc@gmail.com>, fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com, villalobos@lasuperior.org, villalobos@lasuperiorcourt.org, mvillalobos@lasuperior.org, mvillalobos@lasuperiorcourt.org, sclover@lasuperior.org, sclover@lasuperiorcourt.org, robert.bonta@doj.ca.gov, pghaderi@counsel.lacounty.gov, abwers@counsel.lacounty.gov, msimon@counsel.lacounty.gov, amattson@da.lacounty.gov, getem626@gmail.com, bhernandez@pubdef.lacounty.gov, jtrochez@pubdef.lacounty.gov, leaht.wilson@calbar.ca.gov,

leah.wilson50@yahoo.com, firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, cchen@bos.lacounty.gov, seconddistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, Third District <thirddistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>, secondistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, thirdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, fourthdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, hmitchell@yahoo.com, elizabeth.hom@calbar.ca.gov, jinacrawford@calbar.ca.gov, rjenkins@counsel.lacounty.gov, cbarnett@counsel.lacounty.gov, rluna@lasd.org, cdelperal@counsel.lacounty.gov, ndavis-tinkham@counsel.lacounty.gov, nadtinkham@gmail.com, jwhitehurst@counsel.lacounty.gov, pmarshall@counsel.lacounty.gov, rcartwright@counsel.lacounty.gov, jgonzolez@counsel.lacounty.gov, rwong@counsel.lacounty.gov, tfaughnan@counsel.lacounty.gov, tomfaughnan@gmail.com, jlehaman@counsel.lacounty.gov, kmiles@counsel.lacounty.gov, rbaeza@counsel.lacounty.gov, rchastang@counsel.lacounty.gov, lchampo@counsel.lacounty.gov, emiller@counsel.lacounty.gov, pbollinger@counsel.lacounty.gov, kbowser@counsel.lacounty.gov, rebrahim@da.lacounty.gov, kkoyano@da.lacounty.gov, dteran@da.lacounty.gov, tsereno@da.lacounty.gov, Marc Beaart <mbeaart@da.lacounty.gov>, giniguez@da.lacounty.gov, fsanchez@da.lacounty.gov, rarcos@da.lacounty.gov, jrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov, pagrawai@da.lacounty.gov, cnakao@da.lacounty.gov, vaenlle-rochoa@da.lacounty.gov, vgadams@msn.com, ljkessner@da.lacounty.gov, Larry Droeger <ldroeger@da.lacounty.gov>, jsilva@da.lacounty.gov, hborden@da.lacounty.gov, sgipson@da.lacounty.gov, twright@da.lacounty.gov, mcarrillo@da.lacounty.gov, Alan Yochelson <ayochelson@da.lacounty.gov>, spresby@da.lacounty.gov, shassett@da.lacounty.gov, bjdodd@da.lacounty.gov, lorquida@da.lacounty.gov, lorquido@da.lacounty.gov, mramirez@da.lacounty.gov, ralarcon@da.lacounty.gov, shulefeld@da.lacounty.gov, Mario Haidar <mhaidar@da.lacounty.gov>, pkouch@da.lacounty.gov, dpurvis@da.lacounty.gov, cpark@da.lacounty.gov, rarias@da.lacounty.gov, inspectorgeneral@oig.lacounty.gov, courtney-spivey-urschel@usdoj.gov, courtney.spivey@usdoj.gov, doug.mcmanus@usdoj.gov, malinda.rogers@usdoj.gov, robert.mccarty@usdoj.gov, askdoj@usdoj.gov, supremectbriefs@usdoj.gov, kerrymonaco@usdoj.gov, kerrymonoco@usdoj.gov, elizabeth.prelogar@usdoj.gov, anitavanita.gupta@whitehouse.gov, kristen.clarke@usdoj.gov, criminal.division@usdoj.gov, nmacella@gmail.com, nmacella@usdoj.gov, brian.boynton@usdoj.gov, david.weiss@usdoj.gov, jacksmith@usdoj.gov, rakelrossi@gmail.com, rakelrossi@yahoo.com, ned.harlan@doj.ca.gov, nishdeep.singh@doj.ca.gov, robertbonta@doj.ca.gov, opschiefsoffice@doj.ca.gov, peter.halloran@doj.ca.gov, amyhhalloran@doj.ca.gov, peterhalloran@sbcglobal.net, alisha.carlie@doj.ca.gov, alishastephen@yahoo.com, vincent.bonotto@doj.ca.gov, vbonotto@hotmail.com, glenn.pruden@doj.ca.gov, iless77@hotmail.com, daniel.olivas@doj.ca.gov, giam.nguyen@doj.ca.gov, chad.stegaman@doj.ca.gov, stephen.law@doj.ca.gov, christopher.findley@doj.ca.gov, dennis.beck@doj.ca.gov, darrell.spence@doj.ca.gov, maggy.krell@doj.ca.gov, elaine.tong@doj.ca.gov, hannah.calascan@doj.ca.gov, mo.park@doj.ca.gov, lisa.freund@doj.ca.gov, dbuthler@lasuperiorcourt.org, dbuthler@lacourt.org, mbillalobos@lacourt.org, dslayton@lasuperiorcourt.org, dslayton@lacourt.org, jmoses@lasuperiorcourt.org, jmoses@lacourt.org, ppenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, ppenrose@lacourt.org, chase.penrose@gmail.com, robert.oyang@jud.ca.gov, john.yee@jud.ca.gov, heather.pettit@jud.ca.gov, leah.rose-goodwin@jud.ca.gov, mark.gusman@jud.ca.gov, corry.jasperson@jud.ca.gov, jennie.jasperson@jud.ca.gov, randie.chance@doj.ca.gov, bobby.brow@jud.ca.gov, stephen.nash@jud.ca.gov, debbie.silkox@jud.ca.gov, laura.speed@jud.ca.gov, andrea.evans@jud.ca.gov, nicole.claro@jud.ca.gov, gavin.newsom@gov.ca, gavin.newsom@ca.gov, Arogant Hollywood <blacklawgenius@gmail.com>, 16262814724@faxage.com, 15627530473@faxage.com, contactrisk@ceo.lacounty.gov, 12136331956@faxage.com
Cc:
Bcc:
Date: Wed, 28 Feb 2024 17:45:55 -0800
Subject: POST-CONVICTION DEMAND FOR THE PEOPLE AND LASD TO TURN OVER AND DISCLOSE PHONY LASD-CREATED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GL010586 AND GL010587 (AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, et al v. ricardo garcia, et al) (electronic PDF copy of DEMAND FOR DISCOVERY HEREBY SERVED)
----- Message truncated -----

Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com> Wed, Feb 28, 2024 at 5:46 PM
To: blacklawgenius@gmail.com

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPT. 1 HON. MICHAEL VILLALOBOS, JUDGE

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, PLAINTIFF,	)	
VS.	)	NO. GA114055
AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, DEFENDANT.	)	COPY

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

PRELIMINARY HEARING - DAY 2

APRIL 5, 2023

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE PEOPLE: GEORGE GASCON, DISTRICT ATTORNEY
BY: BRIAN ROSENBERG, DEPUTY
211 WEST TEMPLE, SUITE 200
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012

FOR THE DEFENDANT: IN PROPRIA PERSONA

HTA: APRIL 19, 2023
DEPARTMENT NO.: 1

ADRIANA MONTANEZ, CSR NO. 12767
OFFICIAL REPORTER

M A S T E R I N D E X

CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX OF WITNESSES

APRIL 5, 2023

PEOPLE'S WITNESSES:	PAGE
JENNIFER HEGER	
CROSS-EXAMINATION (RESUMED)	5
BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG	
DIRECT EXAMINATION	21
CROSS-EXAMINATION	30

DEFENSE WITNESSES:	PAGE
AROGANT HOLLYWOOD	
DIRECT EXAMINATION	43
CROSS-EXAMINATION	56
REDIRECT EXAMINATION	75

M A S T E R I N D E X

EXHIBITS

APRIL 5, 2023

PEOPLE'S	FOR IDENTIFICATION PAGE	IN EVIDENCE PAGE
1 FLASH DRIVE	--	41
2 DOCUMENT	61	--

DEFENSE:	FOR IDENTIFICATION PAGE	IN EVIDENCE PAGE
A DOCUMENT	--	81
B TRANSCRIPT	--	81

CASE NUMBER: GA114055.
CASE NAME: PEOPLE VS. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA APRIL 5, 2023
DEPT. 1 HON. MICHAEL VILLALOBOS, JUDGE
REPORTER: ADRIANA MONTANEZ, CSR NO. 12767
TIME: P.M. SESSION
APPEARANCES:

DEFENDANT, AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, IN PROPRIA PERSONA; BRIAN ROSENBERG, DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY REPRESENTING THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

THE COURT: ON THE RECORD ON AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, CASE NUMBER ENDING 4055. THE DEFENDANT IS PRESENT, SELF-REPRESENTED AND THE PEOPLE ARE REPRESENTED BY MR. ROSENBERG. BEFORE WE BRING THE WITNESS IN, IS THERE ANYTHING YOU WISH TO ADDRESS, MR. ROSENBERG?

MR. ROSENBERG: JUST AS MR. HOLLYWOOD CAME OUT RIGHT NOW, HE BOTH MAD-DOGGED MYSELF AND THE DETECTIVE SHOUTING AT US THAT HE'S GOING TO TESTIFY AND SHOW US THE TRUTH. AGAIN, WE'RE AT THIS POINT WHERE HE'S MAKING SNIDE COMMENTS AT US, ACTING INAPPROPRIATELY FOR A PRO PER. SO I WANT TO PUT HIM ON NOTICE AGAIN BASED ON MY MOTION YESTERDAY AFTERNOON THAT IF THAT TYPE OF STUFF CONTINUES, I'M GOING TO RENEW THAT MOTION TO REVOKE HIS PRO PER STATUS.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: HE CAN KEEP TALKING. I TALKED TO GARRISON, HIS BOSS, AND I TOLD HIM THAT, BASED ON THE PERJURY STATEMENTS THAT SHE MADE ON THE RECORD, AND HE'S A WITNESS TO,

THAT SHE SHOULD BE CHARGED WITH FELONY PERJURY. THIS IS A CRIMINAL PROCEEDING. I'M FACING STATE TIME IN PRISON. MY FREEDOM HAS BEEN TAKEN AWAY FOR 40 DAYS. I ALSO WANT TO PUT YOU ON NOTICE UNDER 18 USC SECTION 371 WHICH IS WHAT THIS MAN WAS CHARGED FOR IN 2015 THAT JENNIFER HEGER COULD ALSO BE FACING A FEDERAL OFFENSE. SHE'S MADE NUMEROUS FALSE STATEMENTS.

SHE'S MADE FALSE STATEMENTS TO MR. ROSENBERG. AND I DON'T KNOW IF HE'S PREPARED TO PUT HIM ON THE STAND. BUT IF HE GOES ON THE STAND, I'M GOING TO CROSS. AND THEN THERE'S GOING TO BE FURTHER IMPEACHMENT OF HER. BUT I DON'T KNOW IF HE WANTS TO PUT -- I'M SO UPSET ABOUT THIS CASE THAT I'M TESTIFYING AND ALISON IS GOING TO TESTIFY. SO I DON'T THINK WE'RE GOING TO GET DONE TODAY.

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD. TWO THINGS --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: AND I --

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD, QUIET. FIRST OF ALL, THIS CASE IS NOT ABOUT ANYBODY ELSE BUT YOU, WHETHER YOU COMMITTED A CRIME ON THE DATE IN QUESTION; FEBRUARY 21ST, 2023. IT'S NOT ABOUT ANYBODY ELSE. THERE WILL BE NO OTHER -- THERE'S NOTHING GOING TO BE PRESENTED ABOUT WHAT THE WITNESS MAY OR MAY NOT HAVE SAID AS TO ALLEGATIONS OF PERJURY AND ALL THAT. THAT'S NUMBER 1.

NUMBER 2, I DO EXPECT YOU TO BEHAVE TODAY. SO YOU NEED TO MAKE SURE THAT YOU JUST ASK YOUR QUESTIONS, COMPLETE YOUR CROSS-EXAMINATION OF THE WITNESS, AND JUST ASK THE QUESTIONS, AND THAT'S IT. NO EDITORIALS. NO THREATS. NO SNIDE REMARKS. NO LOOKS OF WHATEVER. SO DO YOU UNDERSTAND?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: RIGHT. I DIDN'T KNOW IT WAS SUCH A -- HE'S TALKING ABOUT MAD-DOGGING. I DON'T KNOW WHAT HE'S TALKING ABOUT. I'M UPSET ABOUT THIS CASE. ALL I SAID TO HIM WAS I'M GOING TO TESTIFY. I DIDN'T SAY ANYTHING ELSE.

THE COURT: THAT'S FINE. YOU WANT TO BE MAD, UPSET THAT'S --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO.

THE COURT: WAIT A MINUTE. THAT'S YOUR PREROGATIVE. BUT IN A COURT OF LAW, YOU'RE EXPECTED TO FOLLOW THE RULES OF EVIDENCE, THE RULES OF PROCEDURE AND DECORUM, OKAY.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YEAH, YEAH. BUT I'M SAYING, I DON'T FEEL I DID ANYTHING WRONG BY TELLING HIM AND THIS GUY I'M GOING TO TESTIFY. MAYBE THEY'RE BEING -- I'M JUST PUTTING THEM ON NOTICE. ALISON'S GOING TO TESTIFY.

THE COURT: WELL, THAT'S UP TO YOU. YOU'RE FREE TO DO WHAT YOU -- ALTHOUGH BEFORE YOU CALL A WITNESS, OTHER THAN YOURSELF, I WANT TO THE HEAR WHAT IT'S ABOUT. BUT WE'LL CROSS THAT BRIDGE WHEN WE GET TO IT. WE'RE GOING TO RESUME THIS --

MR. ROSENBERG: AT THIS POINT, I HAVE TO MAKE A MOTION TO EXCLUDE. SHE'S BEEN SITTING IN THE COURT THIS WHOLE TIME IF SHE'S GOING TO BE A WITNESS.

THE COURT: YOU KNOW, I HAVEN'T EVEN HEARD WHAT SHE WOULD BE TESTIFYING TO. SO I DON'T KNOW WHETHER SHE'S EVEN GOING TO BE ALLOWED TO TESTIFY. I THINK IT'S A LITTLE LATE FOR THAT SO --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: IF I CAN ENLIGHTEN THE COURT WHAT SHE'S GOING TO TESTIFY TO IS NOTHING TO DO WHAT JENNIFER HEGER'S TESTIFIED TO. ALISON WAS GOING TO TESTIFY --

THE COURT: STOP RIGHT THERE. IT HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH THIS CASE?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: IT HAS SOMETHING TO DO WITH THIS CASE, BUT IT HAS TO DO WITH MY TESTIMONY MORE THAN HER'S. SO SHE WOULD TESTIFY AS TO WHERE SHE WAS SUPPOSED TO MEET ME ON THE DAY THAT I WAS ARRESTED. I HAD PLANS TO MEET HER. WE WERE SUPPOSED TO GO SERVE THE LAWSUITS AND THAT'S GOING TO BE PART OF MY TESTIMONY.

THE COURT: WHY IS THAT RELEVANT?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: IT'S RELEVANT BECAUSE IT SHOWS THAT I WAS TRYING TO GET OUT OF THE HOUSE TO GO SOMEWHERE. IT DOESN'T MAKE ANY SENSE.

THE COURT: I'M NOT SURE THAT YOUR PLANS TO GO SOMEWHERE IN THE FUTURE IS REALLY THAT RELEVANT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: OKAY.

THE COURT: BECAUSE WE'RE NOT TALKING ABOUT YOUR PLANS IN THE FUTURE. WE'RE TALKING ABOUT WHAT YOU ALLEGEDLY DID AT THE HOUSE THAT PARTICULAR DAY. WHETHER YOU WERE INTENDING TO LEAVE THAT DAY OR NOT OR GO SOMEWHERE ELSE IS NOT TOO RELEVANT TO THE CASE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: OKAY, WELL HE CAN OBJECT TO THAT. BUT SHE TESTIFIED TO THAT. SHE ALSO TESTIFIED ABOUT AT THE BEGINNING OF HOW WE MET; THAT WAS ALL FALSE. I WANT TO SET THE RECORD STRAIGHT. I NEED TO TESTIFY IN THIS CASE. IT'S NOT LIKE THE OTHER CASE.

THE COURT: WELL, YOU'LL BE ALLOWED TO TESTIFY.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THAT'S FINE. ALISON DOESN'T NEED TO TESTIFY. IF YOU'RE SAYING THAT, BASED ON WHAT I JUST SAID,

THERE'S NO REASON FOR HER TO TESTIFY BECAUSE HE'S PROBABLY GOING TO OBJECT, AND SAY IT'S NOT RELEVANT.

THE COURT: I THINK WE'RE READY.

MR. ROSENBERG: YES, YOUR HONOR.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: WE'LL LIMIT IT TO MYSELF. AS FAR AS CROSS-EXAMINATION, I GOT ABOUT 20 MORE QUESTIONS. I'M GOING TO BE QUICK. I'M NOT GOING TO BE BADGER THE WITNESS. I FEEL THAT I GOT ENOUGH YESTERDAY. SO I DON'T NEED --

THE COURT: OKAY, THAT'S GOOD.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: -- I DON'T NEED TO DO A WHOLE LOT MORE.

THE COURT: LET'S STAY FOCUSED ON THAT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YEAH. ONCE SHE'S DONE TESTIFYING, I'M GOING TO MAKE ARGUMENTS ON THE SECOND 911 TAPE.

THE COURT: HOLD ON, HOLD ON. ALL RIGHT, ONCE AGAIN WE'RE BACK ON THE PRELIMINARY HEARING MATTER. OUR WITNESS, JENNIFER, HAS RESUMED THE STAND. MS. JENNIFER, I REMIND YOU YOU ARE STILL UNDER OATH. AND, MR. HOLLYWOOD, YOU MAY RESUME YOUR CROSS-EXAMINATION.

JENNIFER HEGER,

HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY DULY SWORN, RESUMED THE STAND AND TESTIFIED FURTHER AS FOLLOWS:

CROSS-EXAMINATION (RESUMED)

Q. BY MR. HOLLYWOOD: YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT YOU FOLLOWED HOLLYWOOD INTO THE KITCHEN, CORRECT?

A. I'M SORRY. CAN YOU REPEAT THE QUESTION PLEASE? I

CAN BARELY HEAR YOU.

Q. YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT YOU FOLLOWED HOLLYWOOD INTO THE KITCHEN, CORRECT?

MR. ROSENBERG: VAGUE AS TO TIME OBJECTION.

THE COURT: I'M SORRY.

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, VAGUE AS TO TIME.

THE COURT: YEAH, TRY TO NARROW IT AS TO WHAT TIME PERIOD YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: WE'RE TALKING ABOUT SHE SAID SHE WENT -- SHE TESTIFIED THAT SHE FOLLOWED ME INTO THE KITCHEN. SO I'M ASKING HER THAT QUESTION ABOUT HER TESTIMONY EARLIER; THAT'S WHAT THE QUESTION IS.

THE COURT: FIRST OF ALL, IT ASSUMES A FACT THAT SHE FOLLOWED YOU. WHY DON'T YOU ASK HER THAT FIRST.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: OKAY, I DID. SO YOU WANT -- OKAY. DO YOU REMEMBER TESTIFYING THAT YOU FOLLOWED HOLLYWOOD INTO THE KITCHEN?

A. I DIDN'T FOLLOW YOU INTO THE KITCHEN.

Q. DO YOU REMEMBER TESTIFYING THAT YOU FOLLOWED HOLLYWOOD INTO THE KITCHEN? YES OR NO?

A. AGAIN, I DIDN'T FOLLOW YOU INTO THE KITCHEN AND THAT WAS NOT MY TESTIMONY.

Q. IT WASN'T YOUR TESTIMONY, OKAY. ALL RIGHT. YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT AFTER FOLLOWING HOLLYWOOD INTO THE KITCHEN YOU ASKED HIM, "WHAT THE FUCK ARE YOU STILL DOING IN MY KITCHEN STILL, YOU ASSHOLE?" DID YOU TESTIFY TO THAT?

A. AGAIN, I'M GOING TO REMIND YOU I DID NOT FOLLOW YOU INTO THE KITCHEN.

THE COURT: SO REPHRASE THE QUESTION. IF YOU'RE INTERESTED IN THE SECOND PART, JUST ASK THAT PART.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: ALL RIGHT, YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT YOU SAW HOLLYWOOD IN YOUR KITCHEN, AND YOU SAID, "WHAT THE FUCK ARE YOU STILL DOING IN MY KITCHEN STILL, YOU ASSHOLE"?

A. YES, I ABSOLUTELY 100 PERCENT SAID THAT TO YOU.

Q. YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT WHEN YOU ASKED AND --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: SO I JUST WANT TO SAY SOMETHING. I WAS GETTING BAD RAP. BUT YOU SEE WHAT SHE'S DOING TOO ON THE STAND SHE CONTINUES.

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, NO QUESTION PENDING.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: BUT SHE'S MAKING A GO TOO. SHE'S GETS ME UPSET.

Q. YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT WHEN YOU ASKED HOLLYWOOD WHILE YOU WERE STILL IN YOUR KITCHEN, SHE WAS HOLDING A STEAK KNIFE?

A. CORRECT, 100 PERCENT.

Q. YEAH, WHATEVER.

THE COURT: YOU NEED TO ANSWER YES OR NO.

THE WITNESS: SORRY.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER -- YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT, AFTER HOLLYWOOD MADE CRIMINAL THREATS TO YOU, YOU CALLED 911 A SECOND TIME AND SET OFF THE ALARM, CORRECT?

A. YES.

Q. ISN'T IT TRUE YOU TOLD 911 YOU SET OFF YOUR ALARM DURING YOUR FIRST 911 CALL, ISN'T THAT TRUE?

A. I DON'T RECALL.

Q. YOU DON'T RECALL. YOU RECALL TESTIFYING THAT ON YOUR SECOND CALL YOU SET OFF THE ALARM? YOU RECALL THAT, RIGHT, BUT YOU DON'T RECALL THE FIRST, RIGHT?

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, ARGUMENTATIVE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I DON'T CARE. I HAVE SOMETHING TO REFRESH YOUR MEMORY. HERE YOU GOO.

THE COURT: HOLD ON. WE DON'T -- WHY DON'T YOU ASK THE QUESTION. SO YOU ASKED A QUESTION ABOUT THE SECOND 911 CALL. ASK THAT AGAIN.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: YOU SAID YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT HOLLYWOOD MADE CRIMINAL THREATS TO YOU. YOU CALLED 911 ON THE SECOND CALL AND SET OFF THE ALARM, CORRECT?

A. CAN YOU REPEAT THE QUESTION?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'LL LET THE COURT REPEAT THE QUESTION, THE JUDGE. WANT ME TO TELL HER THE QUESTION?

THE COURT: YES, PLEASE REPEAT IT.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT AFTER HOLLYWOOD MADE CRIMINAL THREATS TO YOU, YOU CALLED 911 ON THE SECOND -- ON THE SECOND TIME AND SET OFF THE ALARM; THAT WAS YOUR TESTIMONY EARLIER, CORRECT?

A. CORRECT.

Q. RIGHT. SO ISN'T IT TRUE YOU TOLD 911 YOU SET OFF THE ALARM DURING THE FIRST 911 CALL?

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, ASKED AND ANSWERED.

THE WITNESS: I DON'T RECALL.

THE COURT: THAT WAS ASKED AND ANSWERED BY THE WAY.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: OKAY. I MEAN IT'S ASKED AND ANSWERED,

BUT, WELL, WHAT DO YOU WANT ME TO DO, REPHRASE THE QUESTION? I MEAN OKAY, ALL RIGHT.

Q. DO YOU -- DO YOU RECALL TESTIFYING 911, THAT YOU SET OFF THE ALARM DURING THE FIRST CALL?

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, ASKED AND ANSWERED.

THE COURT: THAT IS ASKED AND ANSWERED. SHE SAID SHE DOESN'T RECALL.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: OKAY, WELL, I HAVE SOMETHING TO REFRESH HER MEMORY.

THE COURT: AND WHAT PAGE IS THAT?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YOU KNOW IT'S NOT PAGINATED.

THE COURT: UP IN THE TOP-RIGHT CORNER.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO, IT'S THE 911.

THE COURT: OKAY.

MR. ROSENBERG: HOW ABOUT IF WE DON'T HAVE PAGES, WHY DOESN'T HE MARK THE ENTIRE TRANSCRIPT OF THE 911 CALL.

THE COURT: THAT WOULD BE HELPFUL.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'LL DO THAT RIGHT NOW. WHAT I HAVE IS LITERALLY THREE, FOUR PAGES, BUT I'LL DO THAT RIGHT NOW. I DON'T EVEN THINK I EVEN HAVE THE WHOLE THING. I DIDN'T BRING IT.

THE COURT: SO YOU HAVE A 911 CALL. HOW MANY PAGES IS IT?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THE ONE I HAVE -- ALL I HAVE IS, LIKE, WITH ME, I HAVE LIKE THREE PAGES OF IT. I DIDN'T BRING THE WHOLE THING. I DON'T THINK I HAVE THE WHOLE THING.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, YOU WANT TO MARK IT?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: BECAUSE I ONLY -- I DON'T WANT TO ADMIT

THE WHOLE THING. I JUST WANT TO ADMIT THIS ONE PAGE.

THE COURT: LET'S MARK THAT ONE PAGE. NOW, WE ALREADY HAVE ONE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I THINK WE DO.

THE CLERK: WE HAVE ONE. YES, WE HAVE DEFENSE B.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: CAN YOU TELL ME WHAT'S AT THE TOP OF THAT PAGE PLEASE?

THE COURT: DEFENSE B IT STARTS AT THE TOP, "DISPATCH JENNIFER HAS THERE BEEN ANY CHANGES?"

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YEAH.

THE COURT: IS THAT THE PAGE YOU WANT TO SHOW HER?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO, IT'S THE ONE ABOUT THE ALARM. IT'S THE ONE ABOUT THE ALARM ON THAT ONE.

THE COURT: OH, IN THE MIDDLE WHERE IT SAYS, "DISPATCH YOU SET OFF THE ALARM, RIGHT?"

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THERE YOU GO. SO YOU DO HAVE THE PAGE; THAT'S THE PAGE I DON'T HAVE. I HAVE ONE, TWO --

THE COURT: FOR THE RECORD, I'M SHOWING THE WITNESS. FOR PURPOSES OF FOUNDATION, DIDN'T WE SAY YESTERDAY THAT THIS IS THE FROM THE 911 CALL, THE TRANSCRIPT FROM THE FIRST 911 CALL?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: FIRST CALL, CORRECT.

MR. ROSENBERG: YES.

THE COURT: RIGHT, YES. OKAY. SO HERE'S FIRST 911 CALL THE LAST PAGE DEFENSE B. DONE?

THE WITNESS: YES.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, GO AHEAD AND ASK YOUR QUESTION.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'M NOT GOING TO ASK THE QUESTION. THIS IS FOR THE COURT. SHE JUST COMMITTED PERJURY AND THAT'S GOING

TO BE IN ARGUMENT. SO THAT'S IT. I WANT TO ADMIT THAT AS AN EXHIBIT. IT'S ALREADY ADMITTED AS AN EXHIBIT. IT SAYS ON THERE THAT SHE SET OFF THE ALARM DURING THE FIRST CALL. SHE COMMITTED PERJURY ON THE STAND.

THE COURT: YOU HAVEN'T ASKED HERE A QUESTION YET.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I DID. I ASKED HER A QUESTION EARLIER. I SAID, "YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT AFTER HOLLYWOOD MADE CRIMINAL THREATS TO YOU, YOU CALLED 911 THE SECOND TIME AND SET OFF THE ALARM." AND SHE SAID "YES." AND IT'S RIGHT THERE IT WAS THE FIRST CALL. SHE COMMITTED PERJURY. I ASKED HER ABOUT THE SECOND CALL.

THE COURT: WAIT A MINUTE. YOU HAVE SHOWN HER THIS EXHIBIT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: RIGHT.

THE COURT: OR I SHOWED HER THE EXHIBIT. THAT'S TO REFRESH HER MEMORY AS TO WHAT HER TESTIMONY IS FROM THE FIRST CALL. SO SHE'S REFRESHED HER MEMORY NOW, SO DO YOU WANT TO ASK THE QUESTION AGAIN?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO, BECAUSE SHE'S ALREADY COMMITTED PERJURY. I WANT TO MOVE ON.

THE COURT: OKAY, MOVE ON.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: SHE ALREADY COMMITTED PERJURY SO IT DOESN'T MATTER. NEXT QUESTION.

Q. YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT HOLLYWOOD MADE CRIMINAL THREATS TO YOU IN BETWEEN THE FIRST AND THE SECOND 911 CALL, CORRECT?

A. CORRECT.

Q. YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT HOLLYWOOD STATED, "TELL

THAT CHINK TO PULL HER CAR IN FRONT OF YOUR HOUSE," CORRECT?

A. CORRECT.

Q. YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT YOU RAN INTO THE HALLWAY ALMOST RIGHT AWAY AFTER SEEING HOLLYWOOD WITH A KNIFE, CORRECT?

A. COULD YOU REPEAT THE QUESTION?

Q. YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT YOU RAN TO THE HALLWAY ALMOST RIGHT AWAY AFTER SEEING HOLLYWOOD WITH A KNIFE?

A. YES.

Q. YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT A SHORT TIME LATER, YOU CAME BACK TO THE KITCHEN TO SEE IF HOLLYWOOD WAS STILL THERE, CORRECT?

A. NO.

Q. WHAT DID YOU TESTIFY TO?

A. I TESTIFIED -- COULD YOU REPEAT THE QUESTION?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO. SHE COMMITTED PERJURY. I'LL MOVE ON.

MR. ROSENBERG: YOUR HONOR, WOULD THE COURT PLEASE ADMONISH HIM TO STOP BADGERING THE WITNESS.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO. SHE COMMITTED PERJURY. I'M STATING IT ON THE RECORD. I'M NOT BADGERING HER. I'M TALKING TO THE COURT.

MR. ROSENBERG: I WASN'T TALKING TO YOU. I WAS TALKING TO THE COURT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: AND I'M TALKING TO THE COURT. SHE COMMITTED PERJURY. I'M MOVING TO THE NEXT QUESTION.

THE COURT: AGAIN, MR. HOLLYWOOD, WE DO NOT NEED THE EDITORIALS.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO, NO, BUT I WANT TO SAY FOR THE RECORD THAT SHE COMMITTED PERJURY.

THE COURT: YOU'LL HAVE YOUR OPPORTUNITY TO MAKE YOUR ARGUMENT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO, BUT I WANT TO MAKE IT RIGHT NOW. I WANT TO --

THE COURT: YOU DON'T NEED TO MAKE IT NOW. IT'S NOT ALLOWED.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'M NOT ALLOWED TO SAY SHE'S COMMITTING PERJURY.

THE COURT: NOT AT THIS STAGE. YOU'RE ASKING QUESTIONS.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'M ASKING QUESTIONS, BUT SHE COMMITTED PERJURY. IF I WAS ON THE STAND AND I COMMITTED --

THE COURT: KEEP IT TO YOURSELF THAT'S WHAT I'M SAYING. IT'S NOT THE APPROPRIATE TIME.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: ALL RIGHT.

Q. DO YOU RECALL MAKING ADDITIONAL STATEMENTS TO ROSENBERG YESTERDAY AFTERNOON REGARDING YOU POSSESSING THE KNIFE?

A. ADDITIONAL STATEMENTS?

Q. DO YOU RECALL MAKING ADDITIONAL STATEMENTS TO ROSENBERG DURING THE LUNCH BREAK YESTERDAY REGARDING YOU POSSESSING THE KNIFE?

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, VAGUE AS TO TIME.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: IT ALREADY HAS VALIDATION. ROSENBERG SAID ON THE RECORD --

THE COURT: HE'S NOT TALKING ABOUT THAT TIME.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'M TALKING ABOUT DURING THE BREAK.

MR. ROSENBERG: I'M SAYING YOU'RE CONFUSING HER.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'M NOT CONFUSING HER. SHE'S NOT TELLING THE TRUTH; THAT'S WHY SHE'S ACTING LIKE THAT.

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD, ONCE AGAIN.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO, NO. I'M NOT TALKING TO HER. I'M TALKING TO THE COURT.

THE COURT: NO, YOU'RE NOT TALKING TO ME BECAUSE I DON'T WANT TO HEAR THAT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: ALL RIGHT, ALL RIGHT.

THE COURT: OKAY, SO I BELIEVE THERE WAS A DISCUSSION YESTERDAY BETWEEN THE WITNESS AND MR. ROSENBERG REGARDING THE KNIFE, RIGHT?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YES.

THE COURT: OKAY, AND HE'S ASKING DO YOU REMEMBER THAT CONVERSATION?

THE WITNESS: YES, I DO.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: ALL RIGHT.

THE COURT: GO AHEAD.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: DID YOU TELL ROSENBERG THAT YOU GRABBED THE KNIFE FROM YOUR KITCHEN DURING YOUR CONFRONTATION WITH HOLLYWOOD?

THE COURT: DURING THE CONFRONTATION WITH HOLLYWOOD?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YEAH, HE SAID THAT SHE GRABBED THE KNIFE AND RAN OUTSIDE.

MR. ROSENBERG: AFTER.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I WAS IN THE KITCHEN.

THE COURT: REPHRASE YOUR QUESTION.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: ALL RIGHT.

Q. DID YOU TELL ROSENBERG YOU GRABBED THE KNIFE FROM THE KITCHEN -- FROM THE KITCHEN AROUND THE SAME TIME AS THE INCIDENT?

A. IT WAS AFTER YOU HAD BEEN ARRESTED AND HAD LEFT THE SCENE.

Q. SO IT WAS AFTER I HAD BEEN ARRESTED, REALLY? SO DIDN'T YOU TELL ROSENBERG THAT YOU APPROACHED THE FRONT DOOR WITH THE KNIFE IN YOUR HAND, THREW IT OUT IN FRONT OF THE DEPUTIES? YOU DID NOT TELL ROSENBERG THAT? YES OR NO?

A. THAT WAS NOT WHAT I TOLD MR. ROSENBERG, NO.

Q. WHAT DID YOU TELL MR. ROSENBERG?

A. I TOLD MR. ROSENBERG THAT THE TWO DEPUTIES THAT I HAD DONE THE REENACTMENT AND HAD BEEN INTERVIEWED BY, THAT I WALKED BACK IN THE HOUSE AND I SAW THE KNIFE ON THE FLOOR IN THE KITCHEN. AND THAT THAT IS WHEN I REMEMBERED THAT YOU HAD THE KNIFE IN YOUR HAND. IT WAS AN EXTREMELY TRAUMATIC EVENT AS THIS HAD NEVER HAPPENED TO ME BEFORE. AND I GRABBED THE KNIFE BECAUSE THE TWO DEPUTIES WERE EACH IN THEIR RESPECTIVE VEHICLES. THEY WERE GETTING READY TO LEAVE. THEY HAD ALREADY GOTTEN THE EMERGENCY PROTECTIVE ORDER FOR ME AGAINST YOU SO THAT YOU COULD NEVER COME NEAR ME AGAIN.

AND SO I PICKED UP THE KNIFE. IT'S AN OSTER KNIFE, A STEAK KNIFE, AND I WENT RUNNING OUTSIDE WITH IT BECAUSE IT WAS AN IMPORTANT KEY DETAIL. AND I REALIZED APPROACHING EITHER DEPUTY WITH A WEAPON IN MY HAND WAS NOT A GOOD IDEA BECAUSE EVERYTHING, YOU KNOW. AND SO I THREW IT ON THE GRASS IN FRONT OF MY HOME, AND I SAID THAT YOU HAD A KNIFE IN YOUR HAND.

AND I THREW IT ON THE GRASS BECAUSE I SAID THIS IS THE KNIFE HE HAD IN HIS HAND AND I THREW IT ON THE GRASS, AND I TOLD HIM WHY I DID. THEY THEN GOT OUT OF THEIR VEHICLES, CAME BACK INTO MY HOME, AND THEY QUESTIONED ME ABOUT IT. BOTH OF THEM DID.

Q. IF THAT'S TRUE, THEN HOW COME IT'S NOT IN THE POLICE STATEMENT?

A. YOU'D HAVE TO ASK THEM.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: SO I JUST WANT TO NOTE THAT WE NEED CONTRERAS TO TESTIFY IN THIS CASE. ALL RIGHT, NEXT QUESTION. I'M GOING TO MOVE ON FROM THESE QUESTIONS.

Q. WHEN YOU SHOWED THE KNIFE TO THE DEPUTIES, WHAT DID THEY SAY?

A. THEY SAID -- THEY GOT OUT OF THEIR VEHICLES, AND THEY SAID, "WE NEED TO ASK YOU MORE QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS." AND WE ALL WENT BACK IN TO MY HOME.

Q. AND THEY ASKED YOU WHAT QUESTIONS ABOUT THE KNIFE?

A. THEY WANTED ANOTHER REENACTMENT OF IT. I MEAN, I'M SORRY. THEY WANTED A REENACTMENT OF WHAT HAPPENED AS WE ALREADY DONE A FULL REENACTMENT OF WHAT HAPPENED THAT MORNING. SO THAT TOOK ABOUT ANOTHER 35 -- I WOULD SAY ANOTHER PROBABLY ANOTHER 20 TO 35 MINUTES. THEY WERE EXTREMELY PATIENT WITH ME, AND THEY WERE VERY, VERY THOROUGH.

Q. YOU'RE REFERRING TO DEPUTY CONTRERAS AND DETECTIVE ESQUEDA?

A. I'M SORRY. I CANNOT REMEMBER THEIR NAMES.

Q. YOU'RE REFERRING TO DEPUTY A. CONTRERAS AND DETECTIVE ESQUEDA THE ONES ON THE POLICE REPORT THAT

INTERVIEWED YOU?

A. I DO NOT REMEMBER THEIR NAMES. I DON'T KNOW WHO IT WAS. THERE WERE SO MANY DEPUTIES THAT WERE THERE THAT DAY.

Q. YOU DON'T REMEMBER WHO INTERVIEWED YOU?

A. NO, I DON'T. I HAVEN'T SEEN THEM SINCE THAT DAY.

Q. YOU DON'T HAVE A COPY OF THE POLICE REPORT?

A. I NEVER READ THE POLICE REPORT. MY DEALING --

THE COURT: NO QUESTION PENDING.

THE WITNESS: SORRY.

THE COURT: JUST WAIT FOR A QUESTION.

THE WITNESS: OKAY, SORRY, YOUR HONOR.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: WHAT WAS YOUR REASON FOR GOING INTO THE KITCHEN TO RETRIEVE A KNIFE? WHAT WAS THE REASON?

A. I WASN'T LOOKING FOR A KNIFE. I SAW IT ON THE FLOOR, AND THAT'S WHEN I REMEMBERED. I WASN'T LOOKING FOR ANYTHING. I WAS JUST WALKING BACK INTO MY KITCHEN AFTER THE DEPUTIES HAD HANDED ME AN EMERGENCY PROTECTIVE ORDER, AND THEY WERE GETTING READY -- AND THEY WERE IN THEIR CARS GETTING READY TO LEAVE.

Q. WHY WAS THE KNIFE ON THE FLOOR IN YOUR KITCHEN?

A. I'M ASSUMING YOU DROPPED IT.

Q. SO YOU DON'T KNOW WHY THE KNIFE WAS IN YOUR KITCHEN ON THE FLOOR?

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, ASKED AND ANSWERED. CALLS FOR SPECULATION.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: SHE SAID ASSUMING. I CAN ASK ANOTHER QUESTION.

THE COURT: YOU DON'T KNOW WHY, SUSTAINED.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'LL ASK A DIFFERENT QUESTION.

THE COURT: I HAVE A QUESTION. DID THE DEPUTY RECOVER THE KNIFE?

THE WITNESS: NO, THEY DIDN'T TAKE IT.

THE COURT: THEY DIDN'T TAKE IT?

THE WITNESS: THEY DIDN'T TAKE IT, NO. I STILL HAVE IT.

THE COURT: THAT'S OKAY.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'LL JUST WRITE THAT.

THE WITNESS: DON'T USE IT ANYMORE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I JUST GOT A FEW MORE QUESTIONS, BUT SHE'S NOT GOING TO BE EXCUSED.

Q. DID YOU SIGN A PRIVATE PERSON'S ARREST FORM ON FEBRUARY 21ST, 2023, STATING YOU WANTED TO PLACE HOLLYWOOD UNDER ARREST?

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, RELEVANCE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: IT IS RELEVANT. THEY GOT A BOGUS PROBABLE CAUSE, AND I THINK I TOLD THE COURT A LONG TIME AGO THERE WAS NO PRIVATE PERSON'S ARREST IN THIS COURT. IT IS RELEVANT. SHE CAN ANSWER. THEY'RE SUPPOSED TO HAVE A PRIVATE PERSON'S ARREST.

THE COURT: TWO THINGS HERE; NUMBER 1, IT'S REALLY NOT RELEVANT. BUT NUMBER 2, YOU WERE ARRESTED FOR A FELONY. SO ORDINARILY THERE'S NO REQUIREMENT THAT A PERSON, A CITIZEN, FILE OR SIGN ANYTHING TO HAVE YOU ARRESTED. IT'S ONLY FOR MISDEMEANORS.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO, THEY DO IT FOR FELONIES. AND IF THE FELONY WASN'T COMMITTED IN THE PRESENCE OF THE PEACE OFFICER AND THE PRIVATE CITIZEN WANTS TO PLACE THEM UNDER ARREST UNDER

VICTIMS OF CRIME, WHICH CRIMINALS THREATS IS, IT ACTUALLY IS REQUIRED.

THE COURT: THAT'S ONLY TRUE FOR MISDEMEANORS. SO I'M GOING TO SUSTAIN THE OBJECTION. ASK ANOTHER QUESTION.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT HOLLYWOOD THREATENED TO KILL YOU AFTER THE FIRST UBER DEPARTED, CORRECT?

A. YES.

Q. YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT HOLLYWOOD THREATENED TO KILL YOU BEFORE THE SECOND UBER ARRIVED, CORRECT?

A. YES.

Q. JENNIFER, DID YOU MAKE THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT TO 911 DURING YOUR FIRST EMERGENCY CALL?

THE COURT: DID YOU MAKE A WHAT STATEMENT?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'M ASKING HER IF SHE MADE THIS STATEMENT TO 911.

THE COURT: WHAT STATEMENT?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: STATEMENT FROM THE 911 CALL.

THE COURT: I'M SORRY.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'M ASKING IF THEY MADE THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT FROM THE 911 CALL.

THE COURT: OKAY, WHAT'S THE STATEMENT?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: CAN I ASK HER THE QUESTION? CAN I CONTINUE AND ASK HER THE QUESTION? IT'S MY FINAL QUESTION.

THE COURT: OKAY, GO AHEAD.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THANK YOU.

THE COURT: ASK THE QUESTION.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: JENNIFER, DID YOU MAKE THE FOLLOW STATEMENT TO 911 DURING YOUR FIRST EMERGENCY CALL, "HE'S JUST

REFUSING TO LEAVE NOW. AND I SAID YOU NEED TO LEAVE IN THE NEXT FIVE MINUTES," AND YOU SAID, "FUCK, I DON'T LIKE TO CUSS"?

A. IT SOUNDS FAMILIAR. YES, I DID, IF IT'S WHAT'S IN THE TRANSCRIPT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THAT'S ALL THE CROSS-EXAMINATION QUESTIONS I GOT.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: BUT SHE'S NOT EXCUSED, BECAUSE I'M GOING TO TESTIFY.

THE COURT: MR. ROSENBERG?

MR. ROSENBERG: NOTHING FURTHER.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, THANK YOU. YOU MAY STEP DOWN PLEASE.

THE WITNESS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

MR. ROSENBERG: AND, YOUR HONOR, SHE WAS UNDER MY SUBPOENA. I'M ASKING THE COURT TO EXCUSE THAT WITNESS.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'M ASKING THE COURT NOT TO EXCUSE HER, AND I'M GOING TO TESTIFY. AND AFTER I TESTIFY, SHE'S GOING BACK ON THE STAND.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, I DON'T BELIEVE THAT THAT'S GOING TO BE NECESSARY, BUT LET'S CALL YOUR NEXT WITNESS.

MR. ROSENBERG: PEOPLE CALL DETECTIVE BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG.

THE CLERK: PLEASE RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND TO BE SWORN. DO YOU SOLEMNLY STATE THAT THE TESTIMONY YOU MAY GIVE IN THE CAUSE NOW PENDING BEFORE THIS COURT SHALL BE THE TRUTH, THE WHOLE TRUTH, AND NOTHING BUT THE TRUTH; SO HELP YOU GOD?

THE WITNESS: I DO.

THE CLERK: THANK YOU. PLEASE BE SEATED AT THE WITNESS STAND. PLEASE STATE AND SPELL YOUR FIRST AND LAST NAME FOR THE RECORD.

THE WITNESS: FIRST NAME BILLY, B-I-L-L-Y, LAST NAME KHOUNTHAVONG, K-H-O-U-N-T-H-A-V, AS IN VICTOR, O-N-G.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, YOU MAY INQUIRE.

BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG,

CALLED BY THE PEOPLE AS A WITNESS, WAS SWORN AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

Q. BY MR. ROSENBERG: WHAT IS YOUR CURRENT OCCUPATION AND ASSIGNMENT?

A. I'M A DETECTIVE FOR THE L.A. COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT CURRENTLY ASSIGNED TO TEMPLE CITY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT.

Q. HOW LONG HAVE YOU BEEN A SWORN POLICE OFFICER?

A. A LITTLE OVER 18 YEARS.

Q. ARE YOU THE INVESTIGATING OFFICER FOR THIS CASE?

A. I AM.

Q. DURING THE COURSE OF YOUR INVESTIGATION ON THIS MATTER, DID YOU ACQUIRE AS TO WHEN THE 911 CALLS THAT WE'VE BEEN SPEAKING ABOUT WERE MADE?

A. I DID.

Q. AND WERE YOU ABLE TO DO SO USING LAW ENFORCEMENT RESOURCES?

A. I WAS.

Q. IS THAT A DATABASE THAT'S ONLY ACCESSIBLE TO LAW ENFORCEMENT?

A. IT IS.

Q. THE FIRST 911 CALL THAT WE WERE TALKING ABOUT, WHAT TIME WAS THAT?

A. APPROXIMATELY, 10:03 A.M.

Q. 10:03 A.M.?

A. YES.

Q. AND THIS IS FEBRUARY 21ST, 2023, CORRECT?

A. THAT'S CORRECT.

Q. WHAT ABOUT THE SECOND 911 CALL?

A. APPROXIMATELY, 10:09 TO 10:10 I BELIEVE A.M.

Q. THAT'S AT THE BEGINNING OF THE PHONE CALL, CORRECT?

A. THAT'S THE BEGINNING OF THE SECOND PHONE CALL, YES.

Q. SO IT ORIGINATED AT THAT TIME?

A. YES.

Q. NOW, IN THE COURSE OF YOUR INVESTIGATION, DID YOU ALSO OBTAIN VIDEOS FROM A GO PRO CAMERA?

A. I DID.

Q. EARLIER IN COURT ON PEOPLE'S 1, THE PEOPLE PLAYED NUMEROUS VIDEOS. WERE THOSE VIDEO FROM THAT GO PRO?

A. IT WAS.

Q. NOW, DIRECTING YOUR ATTENTION TO THE TELEVISION SCREEN, AND I'M NOT SURE YOU HAVE A SCREEN IN FRONT OF YOU. I'M NOT SURE IF IT SHOWS THERE. IT DOESN'T, ALL RIGHT. LOOKING AT THIS SCREEN WHERE IT SHOWS 16 VIDEOS NINE OF WHICH ARE UNIQUE. ARE THESE THE TOTALITY OF THE VIDEOS THAT YOU

RETRIEVED FROM THAT GO PRO?

A. YES, IT APPEARS TO BE.

Q. AND THE VIDEOS THAT YOU RETRIEVED, HOW DID YOU GET THOSE?

A. I SUBMITTED THE GO PRO TO OUR HIGH-TECH BUREAU AND DETECTIVES FROM THAT BUREAU DOWNLOADED THE DATA FROM THE GO PRO ITSELF, AND I RETRIEVED IT FROM THEM.

Q. DID YOU GIVE THEM A PARAMETER FOR WHAT TIMEFRAME TO RETRIEVE VIDEOS FROM?

A. I DID.

Q. WHAT WAS THAT PARAMETER?

A. THE LAST TWO DAYS OF RECORDINGS.

Q. DID THEY INDICATE TO YOU THAT THEY RETRIEVED ALL THE VIDEOS FROM THOSE TWO DAYS?

A. THEY DID.

Q. NOW, LOOKING HERE, DOES THIS GENERATE THE TIMES IN WHICH THOSE VIDEOS ARE CREATED?

A. YES.

Q. OKAY, SO STARTING FIRST WITH WHAT WAS PREVIOUSLY PLAYED. THIS IS GL010585.LRV. THIS VIDEO WAS IT CREATED ON FEBRUARY 21ST, 2023, AT 9:50 A.M.?

A. YES.

Q. NOW, DO THESE ALSO INDICATE HOW LONG THE VIDEOS ARE AND WHEN THEY STOP?

A. IT DOES, YES.

Q. IS THAT UNDER THE COLUMN WHERE IT SAYS, "LAST MODIFIED"?

A. YES.

Q. SO DOES THAT MEAN THAT THIS VIDEO, THIS VIDEO ENDING 585 OCCURRED FROM 9:50 A.M. TO 9:51 A.M.?

A. YES.

Q. THAT VIDEO ENDING IN 585 IS IT INCLUDED IN TWO DIFFERENT FORMATS; THE .LRV FORMAT AND THE .MP4?

A. YES.

Q. SO THOSE TWO ARE THE EXACT SAME VIDEOS, JUST RECORDED IN DIFFERENT FORMATS?

A. CORRECT.

Q. SO MOVING ONTO THE NEXT VIDEO. THIS IS VIDEO ENDING 586.LRV, DOES THAT VIDEO ORIGINATE AT 9:59 A.M.?

A. YES.

Q. AND DID THAT VIDEO END AT 10:06 A.M.?

A. YES.

THE COURT: I'M SORRY TEN-OH?

MR. ROSENBERG: 10:06.

THE COURT: SIX.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: SO WOULD IT BE FAIR TO SAY THEN SINCE THE PREVIOUS VIDEO ENDED AT 9:51, THAT THERE WAS NO VIDEO THAT TOOK PLACE BETWEEN 9:51 AND 9:59 A.M.?

A. YES.

Q. AND THE NEXT VIDEO AFTER THE ONE ENDING 586 IS VIDEO 587.LRV. DID THAT VIDEO ORIGINATE AT 10:13 A.M.?

A. YES.

Q. AND DID THAT VIDEO, DOES IT INDICATE WHEN THAT VIDEO ENDS?

A. YES.

Q. DID IT END AT 10:15 A.M.?

A. YES.

Q. AND THEN AFTER THAT ONE, THERE'S VIDEO 588. DID THAT VIDEO ORIGINATE AT 10:18 A.M.?

A. YES.

Q. AND DID THAT VIDEO END AT 10:23 A.M.?

A. YES.

Q. OKAY, SO WOULD IT BE FAIR TO SAY THAT BASED ON WHEN THESE VIDEOS START AND END, THAT NO VIDEO TOOK PLACE BETWEEN 10:06 AND 10:13 A.M.?

A. THAT'S CORRECT.

Q. AND THAT'S CONVENIENTLY BETWEEN THE FIRST AND SECOND 911 CALL FOR THE MOST PART, RIGHT?

A. YES.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THAT'S INCORRECT. BUT ALL RIGHT.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: SO LET'S -- IN FACT, LET'S LOOK AT THE VIDEO ENDING 586. THIS IS THE VIDEO THAT ENDS AT 10:06 A.M. SO SINCE THIS ENDS AT 10:06 A.M., THIS VIDEO WOULD END AT THE TIME THAT THAT FIRST 911 CALL WAS BEING MADE, RIGHT? OR PERHAPS AT THE END OF THAT FIRST 911 CALL?

A. YES.

Q. LET'S GO -- LET'S START AT SEVEN -- WE'LL GO TO THE LAST TEN SECONDS 7:10.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: WHICH VIDEO IS THIS?

MR. ROSENBERG: THIS IS VIDEO THAT ENDS AT 10:13 A.M. -- EXCUSE ME 10:06 A.M.

Q. AT THE END OF THIS VIDEO, ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH -- WELL, YOU'RE A DETECTIVE --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: CAN YOU SHOW THE WHOLE VIDEO?

MR. ROSENBERG: NO, I ALREADY DID.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO, YOU DIDN'T.

THE COURT: MISTER --

MR. ROSENBERG: I'M PLAYING MY EVIDENCE.

THE COURT: MISTER -- HOLD ON. GO AHEAD.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH BODY-WORN VIDEOS?

A. I AM.

Q. ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH GO PROS?

A. SOMEWHAT.

Q. NOW, IS THERE A WAY TO TURN THEM ON AND OFF FOR RECORDING?

A. YES.

Q. WHAT DOES IT LOOK LIKE THE INDIVIDUAL THAT'S MAKING THIS RECORDING IS DOING AT THE VERY END OF THAT VIDEO? I'LL PLAY IT AGAIN.

(WHEREUPON PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT 1
IS PLAYED IN OPEN COURT.)

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: WE'RE STARTING AT 7:16.

A. I SEE A HAND AND IT APPEARS TO ME HE'S TURNING THE GO PRO OFF.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THAT IS A SHORT VIDEO; THAT'S ONLY -- ONLY TWO SECONDS. THE VIDEO OF THE UBER WAS CONTINUOUS AND --

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD, YOU'LL GET YOUR TURN.

///

///

(WHEREUPON PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT 1
IS PLAYED IN OPEN COURT.)

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THAT VIDEO RIGHT THERE; THAT'S ALL THAT MATTERS.

THE COURT: GO AHEAD.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: PLAY THAT VIDEO.

MR. ROSENBERG: I JUST DID. I PLAYED THE VERY END OF IT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO, PLAY THE WHOLE VIDEO.

MR. ROSENBERG: I ALREADY HAVE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: ALL RIGHT, WELL, WHAT WE SAW IS THE VIDEO --

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD, YOU'LL GET YOUR TURN. HOLD ON.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: ALL RIGHT.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: SO AT 10:06 A.M. THAT'S WHAT APPEARS TO BE MR. HOLLYWOOD TURNING OFF HIS GO PRO CAMERA; IS THAT CORRECT?

A. YES.

Q. AND THE FIRST PHONE CALL, IT ORIGINATED AT 10:03 A.M. CORRECT?

A. THAT'S CORRECT.

Q. APPROXIMATELY, HOW LONG, IF YOU REMEMBER, WAS THE VICTIM ON THAT 911 CALL; THAT FIRST 911 PHONE CALL? AND I COULD PLAY IT FOR YOU IF THAT WOULD HELP.

A. IT WOULD HELP.

///

///

(WHEREUPON PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT 1
IS PLAYED IN OPEN COURT.)

MR. ROSENBERG: AND AGAIN PLAYING THE FILE FROM PEOPLE'S 1 TEM-03. INSTEAD OF REPLAYING THE WHOLE THING, I CAN FAST-FORWARD TO APPROXIMATELY WHEN THIS ENDS. AND HAVING STARTED AT THE ZERO-SECOND MARK. WE'LL MOVE FORWARD TO THREE MINUTES.

(WHEREUPON PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT 1
IS PLAYED IN OPEN COURT.)

MR. ROSENBERG: THIS WAS AT 2 MINUTES 48 SECONDS.

(WHEREUPON PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT 1
IS PLAYED IN OPEN COURT.)

MR. ROSENBERG: STOPPING THE 4 MINUTES 34 SECONDS. SO WOULD IT BE FAIR TO SAY THAT HER FIRST 911 CALL IS ABOUT FOUR AND A HALF MINUTES?

A. THAT'S CORRECT.

Q. SO THAT MEANS IT WOULD END AROUND 10:07 A.M.?

A. YES.

Q. RELATIVELY SHORTLY AFTER HE DECIDED TO TURN HIS GO PRO OFF?

A. YES.

MR. ROSENBERG: NOTHING FURTHER.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: GOOD.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: OKAY I WANT TO NOTE THAT I DON'T KNOW WHEN SHE MADE THE FIRST 911 CALL, BUT I DO KNOW THAT, WHEN THE UBER CAME, SHE TESTIFIED SHE'S THREATENED AFTER THE UBER. WE SAW A VIDEO WHERE I WAS OUTSIDE WITH THE UBER, THE CAMERA NEVER WENT OFF. THAT IS THE VIDEO, THE ONLY VIDEO THAT MATTERS.

ALL THE STATEMENTS SHE MADE, EVERYTHING SHE SAID, SHE ALREADY TESTIFIED SHE WAS THREATENED AFTER THE FIRST UBER LEFT. SO WHAT HE'S PLAYING DOESN'T REALLY MATTER. IF SHE'S TALKING ABOUT WELL SHE MADE -- I DON'T KNOW IF SHE CALLED 911 BEFORE THE FIRST UBER CAME. WE DON'T KNOW THAT. NOBODY KNOWS.

MR. ROSENBERG: WELL, ACTUALLY WE DO BECAUSE --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO, WE DON'T.

MR. ROSENBERG: I'M GOING TO SAVE THIS FOR ARGUMENT. SO WHY DON'T WE STOP.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: WE'RE GOING TO SHOW THE WHOLE VIDEO RIGHT NOW WHEN IT'S MY TURN.

MR. ROSENBERG: WHY DON'T YOU TESTIFY, AND WE'LL FIND OUT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: FINE, PUT HER BACK ON THE STAND. SHE'S ALREADY COMMITTED PERJURY.

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD, THE WITNESS IS ON THE STAND. DO YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS FOR THE WITNESS?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I MEAN, I DON'T KNOW HOW MUCH INFORMATION. YEAH, I CAN CROSS HIM ABOUT CONTRERAS. I MEAN, IF YOU'RE GOING TO ALLOW THAT, IF HE KNOWS WHAT'S IN THE

POLICE REPORT WHICH HE IS THE DETECTIVE. I CAN CROSS HIM ABOUT THAT.

THE COURT: I'M NOT SURE. WHAT DO YOU MEAN?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I CAN CROSS HIM AND SAY, "WELL, YOU READ THE POLICE REPORT, RIGHT? DID YOU SEE ANY STATEMENTS IN THERE ABOUT HER SHOWING DEPUTIES A KNIFE?" CAN I ASK HIM THOSE QUESTIONS?

THE COURT: SURE. YOU CAN ASK HIM THAT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: LET'S DO IT. I GOT TO GO TO HER QUESTIONS.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

Q. BY MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'M JUST GOING TO CALL YOU DETECTIVE K. THAT NAME'S HARD TO PRONOUNCE. DETECTIVE K., YOU'RE FAMILIAR WITH THE POLICE REPORT IN THIS CASE?

A. YES.

Q. AND YOU READ IT THOROUGHLY, RIGHT?

A. YES.

Q. OKAY, AND WHEN YOU READ THAT POLICE REPORT, DID YOU HEAR -- DID YOU READ THAT DEPUTY CONTRERAS SAID THAT AFTER THE DOOR -- AFTER THE DOOR OR AFTER HEGER OR JENNIFER LOCKED THE DOOR OF THE GARAGE TO GIVE ACCESS TO THE RESIDENCE, THAT SHE TOLD HOLLYWOOD THROUGH THE LOCKED DOOR, THE UBER IS OUT THERE? DID YOU SEE THAT STATEMENT IN THE POLICE REPORT?

A. I DON'T RECALL.

Q. OH, YOU WANT TO PLAY.

THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE A COPY OF YOUR REPORT?

THE WITNESS: YOUR HONOR --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: DON'T WORRY. I DO.

THE COURT: WE'RE GOING TO GIVE HIM ONE SO THAT WAY YOU CAN KNOW WHAT TO ASK.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: MAKE IT MUCH EASIER.

THE COURT: SO IS THERE A PAGE AND A LINE NUMBER, PARAGRAPH?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: PAGE STATED.

THE COURT: UP ON THE TOP-RIGHT CORNER THERE'S A PAGE NUMBER.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YEAH, I'M TRYING TO FIND WHERE SHE MADE THAT STATEMENT. RIGHT HERE. SO PAGE 4.

THE COURT: WHAT PARAGRAPH?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: SIX, PARAGRAPH 6.

THE COURT: OKAY, DO YOU HAVE THAT?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I WANT ROSENBERG TO LISTEN TO THIS QUESTION BECAUSE HE'S THE ONLY ONE THAT MATTERS.

THE COURT: WHAT?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I WANT ROSENBERG TO LISTEN CLOSE TO THIS QUESTION. WE DON'T NEED THE VIDEO WITH THIS QUESTION.

Q. SO YOU GOT THE POLICE REPORT. SO DO YOU REMEMBER CONTRERAS OR IN THE POLICE REPORT CONTRERAS DOCUMENTING THAT HEGER STATED SHE SAID -- "HEGER STATED WHEN THE UBER XL ARRIVED AND BASED ON THE SUSPECT HOLLYWOOD'S AGGRESSIVE DEMEANOR TOWARDS HER, SHE LOCKED THE DOOR TO THE GARAGE TO GIVE ACCESS TO THE RESIDENCE FROM THE GARAGE AREA.

SHE TOLD HOLLYWOOD THROUGH THE LOCKED DOOR, 'THE UBER IS OUT THERE.' HEGER STATED THAT SHE TOLD HOLLYWOOD,

'THE UBER IS OUTSIDE.' HOLLYWOOD BEGAN TO YELL THROUGH THE DOOR?"

DO YOU REMEMBER THAT STATEMENT IN THE POLICE REPORT?

A. I SEE IT HERE, YES.

Q. THANK YOU. ALL RIGHT, DO YOU REMEMBER DEPUTY CONTRERAS STATING THAT HEGER TOLD HER THAT, "AFTER HOLLYWOOD HAD SAID, 'WHAT THE FUCK ARE YOU DOING,' SHE BECAME SO AFRAID THAT SHE RAN TO THE BEDROOM IN THE NORTHEAST CORNER AND LOCKED THAT DOOR ALSO." DO YOU REMEMBER DEPUTY CONTRERAS SAYING THAT?

THE COURT: I BELIEVE IT'S THE NEXT PARAGRAPH.

MR. ROSENBERG: WELL, IS HE ASKING IF DEPUTY CONTRERAS SAID THAT OR IF IT'S WRITTEN IN THE REPORT?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'M ASKING HIM IF HE REMEMBERS HIM SAYING THAT IN THE REPORT, WRITING IT. SAME THING.

THE WITNESS: IT APPEARS THAT WAY IN THE REPORT.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: SO THE ANSWER IS YES?

A. YES.

Q. OKAY, DO YOU REMEMBER DEPUTY CONTRERAS WRITING IN HIS POLICE REPORT THAT, "AFTER SHE LOCKED HOLLYWOOD OUT -- OR AFTER SHE LOCKED THE BEDROOM IN THE NORTHEAST CORNER, THAT HOLLYWOOD STARTED KICKING HER DOOR"?

THE COURT: WHAT PARAGRAPH IS THAT?

THE WITNESS: YES.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THE ONE -- HE SAW IT. THE ONE WITH THE DOOR STOPPER.

THE COURT: OKAY.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: AND THEN, DO YOU REMEMBER DEPUTY

CONTRERAS, AND THIS IS FOR THE FIRST 911 CALL BY THE WAY, DEPUTY CONTRERAS SAID THAT, "SHE TOLD HER AFTER SHE DIALED 911, SHE SET OFF THE RING CAMERA ALARM TO LET HOLLYWOOD KNOW HOW SERIOUS THE SITUATION WAS BECOMING"?

A. YES.

Q. AND DO YOU REMEMBER DEPUTY CONTRERAS SAYING IN THE POLICE REPORT THAT HE WAS TOLD BY HEGER THAT, AFTER SHE SET OFF THE RING ALARM -- THAT SHE SET OFF THE RING ALARM AND DIALED 911 PRIOR TO HOLLYWOOD MAKING CRIMINAL THREATS TO HER?

A. I DON'T REMEMBER THAT.

Q. IT'S IN THE POLICE REPORT. LET ME SEE. PAGE 4, SECOND TO LAST PARAGRAPH. HEGER STATED -- DO YOU REMEMBER THAT DEPUTY CONTRERAS SAID THAT? SHE TOLD DEPUTY CONTRERAS THAT, "SHE SET OFF THE RING ALARM AND DIALED 911 PRIOR TO HOLLYWOOD MAKING CRIMINAL THREATS TO HER," SECOND TO LAST PARAGRAPH?

A. IT MADE NO MENTION ABOUT JENNIFER MAKING STATEMENTS TO DEPUTY CONTRERAS ABOUT DIALING 911 PRIOR TO YOU MAKING ANY THREATS. DOES NOT MENTION ANYTHING ON THAT PARAGRAPH.

Q. YES, IT DOES. I'LL READ IT TO YOU BECAUSE YOU'RE PLAYING GAMES.

MR. ROSENBERG: YOUR HONOR, JUST LIKE WITH THE OTHER WITNESS, I'M GOING TO ASK HIM TO NOT BADGER OUR WITNESSES OR MAKE EDITORIAL COMMENTS.

THE COURT: JUST GO AHEAD AND READ IT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'M GOING TO SAY THIS RIGHT NOW, WHAT HE'S TESTIFYING TO RIGHT NOW, IT PROVES WHAT YOU'RE SAYING IS WRONG. WHAT WE SAW IN THE VIDEO, IN THE VIDEO THAT YOU'RE

SAYING IS NOT CONTINUOUS. SHE SAID THE THREATS WERE MADE WHEN SHE SET THE ALARM. YOU JUST WATCH THIS, "VICTIM HEGER STATED SUSPECT HOLLYWOOD STARTED BANGING ON THE DOOR. SHE WAS GLAD SHE PLACED THE DOOR STOPPER ON THE DOOR BECAUSE SUSPECT HOLLYWOOD STARTED KICKING THE DOOR, AND THAT'S WHEN SHE DIALED 911. SHE SET OFF THE RING CAMERA ALARM TO LET HOLLYWOOD KNOW HOW SERIOUS THE SITUATION IS BECOMING. VICTIM HEGER STATED" --

THE COURT: HOLD ON. ONE AT A TIME. ARE YOU -- WAIT, WAIT, ARE YOU ASKING THE WITNESS IF THAT'S WHAT MR. CONTRERAS WROTE IN THE HIS REPORT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'M ASKING IF HE REMEMBERS CONTRERAS WRITING IN THE REPORT THAT SHE TOLD HEGER -- TOLD CONTRERAS THAT SHE SET OFF THE ALARM AND DIALED 911 PRIOR TO HOLLYWOOD MAKING THE CRIMINAL THREATS?

THE WITNESS: YES, I DO.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THANK YOU. NOW, NEXT QUESTION.

Q. YOU WERE AT THE INTERVIEW ON MARCH 9TH, CORRECT?

A. SAY THAT AGAIN.

Q. YOU WERE AT THE INTERVIEW WITH ROSENBERG AND HEGER ON MARCH 9TH, CORRECT?

A. I DON'T REMEMBER THE EXACT DATE.

Q. THE DETECTIVE INTERVIEW WITH HER?

A. IF IT WAS IN THE D.A.'S OFFICE, YES, I WAS PRESENT.

Q. YOU WERE THERE AT THAT INTERVIEW, CORRECT?

A. YES.

Q. ON MARCH 9TH, 2023, DO YOU RECALL HEGER STATING THAT DURING THE ENCOUNTER WITH HOLLYWOOD, SHE CHARGED AT HIM WHILE

HE WAS STANDING IN THE KITCHEN?

A. YES.

Q. DO YOU RECALL DURING THAT INTERVIEW THAT SHE STATED THAT SHE TOLD HOLLYWOOD, "WHAT THE FUCK ARE YOU STILL DOING IN MY KITCHEN?"

A. I DON'T RECALL THAT SPECIFIC STATEMENT. I DO NOT.

Q. YOU DON'T, RIGHT. SO SHE PROBABLY MADE IT UP, RIGHT? OKAY, SO NO. DO YOU RECALL DURING THAT INTERVIEW -- DO YOU RECALL HER SAYING THAT, SHE CONFRONTED HOLLYWOOD IN THE KITCHEN AFTER SHE HAD ALREADY CALLED AN UBER FOR HOLLYWOOD TO LEAVE?

A. I DON'T REMEMBER HER -- I DON'T RECALL HER MAKING THOSE STATEMENTS AS FAR AS CONFRONTING YOU --

Q. OKAY.

A. -- AFTER CALLING THE UBER. BUT I DO REMEMBER THE FACT THAT SHE DID MENTION THAT SHE DID CONFRONT YOU IN THE KITCHEN.

Q. WE'LL GET TO THAT. DO YOU REMEMBER HER STATING THAT, "WHILE HOLLYWOOD WAS STANDING IN THE KITCHEN, THAT SHE CHARGED AT HOLLYWOOD"?

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, ASKED AND ANSWERED.

THE COURT: THAT'S ALREADY BEEN ASKED AND ANSWERED.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I DIDN'T ASK HIM. I ASKED JENNIFER. I'M ASKING HIM IF I WAS --

THE COURT: RIGHT, AND HE ALREADY TESTIFIED TO THAT THAT SHE STATED THAT IN THE INTERVIEW.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: OH, HE SAID THAT SHE SAID THAT SHE CHARGED AT ME?

THE COURT: YES.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: OKAY, DO YOU RECALL DURING THE INTERVIEW THAT HEGER STATED THAT, "WHILE SHE WAS IN THE KITCHEN AND SHE CHARGED AT HOLLYWOOD, THAT HE WAS HOLDING A KNIFE"?

A. YES.

Q. IS THAT STATEMENT MADE ANYWHERE IN CONTRERAS POLICE REPORT?

A. IT IS NOT.

Q. THANK YOU. SHOWS THAT'S NOT TRUE. IS THERE A STATEMENT MADE IN CONTRERAS POLICE REPORT THAT HOLLYWOOD HAD THREATENED TO KILL HEGER DURING THE CONFRONTATION IN THE KITCHEN? DO YOU SEE THAT STATEMENT IN THE POLICE REPORT?

A. I DO NOT.

Q. DURING THAT INTERVIEW, DO YOU RECALL HEGER STATING THAT HOLLYWOOD HAD TOLD HER THAT HE WAS GOING TO PUT HER IN THE GROUND?

A. SOMETHING ALONG THOSE LINES.

Q. LIKE, WHAT DID SHE SAY?

A. WHAT YOU JUST MENTIONED. IT WAS EITHER DURING THAT INTERVIEW --

Q. OKAY.

A. -- OR A SUBSEQUENT CONVERSATION WITH HER.

Q. OH, YOU HAD A CONVERSATION WITH HER, ALL RIGHT. WAS THAT STATEMENT GIVEN TO -- IS THAT STATEMENT IN THE POLICE REPORT ABOUT HEGER STATING THAT HOLLYWOOD SAID HE WAS GOING TO PUT HER IN THE GROUND? IS THAT STATEMENT IN THE POLICE REPORT?

A. I DO NOT SEE IT, NO. IF I MAY ADD, SOMETHING ALONG THOSE LINES IN THE REPORT ITSELF.

Q. WHAT DID YOU SAY?

A. IF I MAY ADD, SOMETHING ALONG THOSE LINES THAT YOU JUST STATED. SHE DID MENTION THE FACT THAT SHE WAS THREATENED; THAT SHE WOULD NEVER SEE TOMORROW.

Q. I KNOW, BUT I ASKED YOU ABOUT THAT SPECIFIC STATEMENT?

A. I HEARD IT.

Q. AND YOU SAID THAT DURING THE INTERVIEW THAT THAT WAS SAID. THIS IS A NEW STATEMENT. IT WAS NOT GIVEN TO CONTRERAS. THERE WAS NO STATEMENT ABOUT HOLLYWOOD SAID HE WAS GOING TO PUT HER IN THE GROUND. IT WAS ONLY THOSE OTHER STATEMENTS. YOU DIDN'T SEE IN THE POLICE REPORT. YOU SAID YOU HEARD IN THE INTERVIEW. SO I'M OKAY WITH THAT.

A. I DON'T KNOW IF THAT STATEMENT WAS GIVEN TO DEPUTY CONTRERAS. I CAN'T COMMENT ON THAT.

Q. YOU WANT TO SEE THE POLICE REPORT?

THE COURT: NO, HE KNOWS THAT. HE CAN'T COMMENT WHAT WAS TOLD BY CONTRERAS. ONLY CONTRERAS COULD TESTIFY TO THAT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: WE CAN WATCH THE INTERVIEW FOR THAT. WHY DON'T WE MOVE TO TODAY'S CROSS-EXAMINATION. ONE OF MY PAGES IS MISSING.

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD, ANYMORE QUESTIONS FOR THIS WITNESS?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YEAH, I GOT MORE QUESTIONS FOR HIM. I'M JUST LOOKING FOR ONE PIECE OF PAPER THAT'S MISSING FROM MY CROSS-EXAMINATION FOR TODAY THAT'S WHAT I'M LOOKING FOR.

THEN, YEAH, I GOT MORE QUESTIONS FOR HIM. NOT MANY MORE.

Q. DURING THE INTERVIEW, DO YOU REMEMBER HEGER SAYING THAT SHE FOLLOWED HOLLYWOOD INTO THE KITCHEN?

A. I DON'T RECALL.

Q. YOU DON'T RECALL?

A. NO.

Q. OH, HERE IT IS. IT WAS UPSIDE DOWN. DO YOU RECALL OR DO YOU REMEMBER DURING THAT INTERVIEW HEGER STATING THAT SHE RAN DOWN THE HALLWAY ALMOST IMMEDIATELY AFTER SEEING HOLLYWOOD WITH A KNIFE?

A. NO.

Q. DO YOU REMEMBER DURING THAT INTERVIEW HEGER TELLING YOU THAT SHE CAME BACK OUT INTO THE KITCHEN TO SEE IF HOLLYWOOD WAS STILL THERE?

A. I DON'T RECALL THAT.

Q. SO THAT'S NO?

A. I DON'T RECALL THAT.

Q. DO YOU RECALL DURING THAT INTERVIEW HEGER MAKING ANY STATEMENTS THAT SHE CHARGED AT HOLLYWOOD WHILE HE HAD A KNIFE IN HIS HAND?

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION ASKED AND ANSWERED.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THAT QUESTION WASN'T ASKED AND ANSWERED.

THE COURT: IT WAS ASKED AND ANSWERED, AND IT --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: CHARGED AT WITH A KNIFE WAS? CHARGED AT WITH -- IF SHE CHARGED AT HOLLYWOOD WHEN HE HAD A KNIFE THAT WAS ALREADY ASKED AND ANSWERED?

THE COURT: I BELIEVE HE ANSWERED YES. YES.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: OKAY.

Q. DO YOU RECALL DURING THAT INTERVIEW HEGER MAKING ANY STATEMENTS THAT, AS DEPUTIES WERE APPROACHING, SHE GRABBED THE KNIFE FROM THE KITCHEN AND THREW IT OUTSIDE IN FRONT OF THEM?

A. NO.

Q. DO YOU RECALL DEPUTY, CONTRERAS OR ANY DETECTIVE, ANY LAW ENFORCEMENT PEACE OFFICER RECOVERING A KNIFE AT THE LOCATION?

A. NO.

Q. DO YOU RECALL THEM SPEAKING TO HEGER ABOUT A KNIFE AT THE ORIGINAL -- DURING THE ORIGINAL FEBRUARY 21ST, INVESTIGATION WAS THERE ANY MENTION ABOUT A KNIFE AT ALL?

A. YOU REFERENCED THE FACT THAT YOU WERE IN THE KITCHEN THE NIGHT PRIOR AND MAKING A SANDWICH AND THERE WERE KNIVES PLURAL IN THE KITCHEN --

Q. YEAH, I REMEMBER THAT FROM INTERVIEW.

THE COURT: HOLD ON. LET HIM FINISH HIS ANSWER.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: OKAY, GO AHEAD.

THE WITNESS: AND THERE WERE KNIVES PLURAL IN THE KITCHEN AND THAT WAS A POSSIBLE WEAPON TO BE USED FROM THE KITCHEN ITSELF.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: BUT DO YOU REMEMBER ANYTHING IN THE POLICE REPORT ANY PEACE OFFICER TALKING ANYTHING ABOUT HOW HEGER HAD A KNIFE AND HAD AN INTERVIEW WITH HER ABOUT HER POSSESSING THE KNIFE?

A. NO.

Q. YOU LISTENED TO BOTH OF 911 TAPES, CORRECT?

A. I DID.

Q. DURING THE FIRST 911 TAPE, DID YOU HEAR ANY CRIMINAL

THREATS BEING REPORTED TO 911?

A. NO.

Q. DID YOU HEAR ANY CRIMINAL THREATS BEING REPORTED TO THE 911 DURING THE SECOND CALL?

A. I DON'T RECALL.

Q. YOU DON'T RECALL. SO YOU DIDN'T LISTEN TO THE SECOND --

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, ASKED AND ANSWERED ARGUMENTATIVE.

THE COURT: SO THE QUESTION IS DID HE HEAR ANY THREATS MADE OR REPORTED, RIGHT, ANY THREATS REPORTED ON THE SECOND 911 CALL?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YEAH.

MR. ROSENBERG: AND THE WITNESS JUST SAID HE DOESN'T RECALL.

THE COURT: AND HE DOESN'T RECALL.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: BUT I ASKED HIM IF HE HAD HEARD BOTH 911 TAPES. HE SAID, YEAH. HE'S BEEN IN COURT. SO HE OBVIOUSLY REFRESHED HIS MEMORY ON THOSE 911 TAPES.

THE COURT: OKAY, WELL, LET ME SEE. WE DON'T HAVE A TRANSCRIPT OF THE SECOND CALL.

MR. ROSENBERG: WE DON'T, BUT WE HAVE THE ACTUAL CALL.

THE COURT: WE HAVE THE ACTUAL CALL. HOW LONG IS IT?

MR. ROSENBERG: I WILL STIPULATE THAT SHE DOES NOT SAY ANY -- THAT CRIMINAL THREATS WERE MADE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YOU'RE NOT TESTIFYING.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, YOU ACCEPT THAT STIPULATION?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: WE CAN JUST PLAY IT. IT'S IN THE SECOND.

THE COURT: NO, THERE'S REASON TO PLAY IT IF HE'S WILLING TO STIPULATE THAT THERE'S NO REPORT OF A CRIMINAL THREAT ON THE SECOND 911 CALL. DO YOU ACCEPT THAT?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THE COURT ACCEPTS IT, YEAH, THAT'S FINE.

THE COURT: OKAY, THE COURT ACCEPTS IT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I DON'T HAVE ANY QUESTIONS.

THE COURT: YOU MAY STEP DOWN, DETECTIVE K. ANY FURTHER WITNESSES?

MR. ROSENBERG: NO.

THE COURT: OKAY. AND THE EXHIBITS?

MR. ROSENBERG: PEOPLE'S MOTION TO INTRODUCE PEOPLE'S 1 IN EVIDENCE.

THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: TO WHAT, THE VIDEO?

THE COURT: TO PEOPLE'S 1 WHICH IS THE VIDEO WHICH IS ALL THE EVIDENCE ON THAT VIDEO. SO IT'S THE 911 CALLS AND THE GO PRO EVIDENCE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: ALL I HAVE TO SAY I WANT TO USE THE GO PRO FOR MY DEFENSE.

THE COURT: OKAY, BUT HE'S MOVING IT INTO EVIDENCE. DO YOU HAVE AN OBJECTION?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, IT'S ADMITTED.

(PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT NUMBER1 1
IS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)

THE COURT: PEOPLE REST?

MR. ROSENBERG: YES.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, NOW, IT'S YOUR TURN YOU WANT TO TESTIFY?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YES, I DO.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, YOU WANT TO TESTIFY FROM THERE OR YOU WANT TO TESTIFY FROM UP THE WITNESS STAND.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'LL TESTIFY FROM THERE.

THE COURT: FROM THE WITNESS STAND.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I KNOW SHE WAS -- PEOPLE BEEN HAVING A HARD TIME HEARING ME SO.

THE CLERK: DO YOU SOLEMNLY STATE THAT THE TESTIMONY YOU MAY GIVE IN THE CAUSE NOW PENDING BEFORE THIS COURT SHALL BE THE TRUTH, THE WHOLE TRUTH, AND NOTHING BUT THE TRUTH; SO HELP YOU GOD?

THE WITNESS: SO HELP ME. I'M NOT RELIGION.

THE COURT: DO YOU AFFIRM OR SWEAR?

THE WITNESS: YEAH, YEAH. I AFFIRM SO HELP ME, BUT I'M NOT RELIGIOUS.

THE CLERK: PLEASE STATE AND SPELL YOUR FIRST AND LAST NAME FOR THE RECORD.

THE WITNESS: AROGANT HOLLYWOOD.

THE CLERK: SPELLING.

THE WITNESS: AROGANT HOLLYWOOD.

THE COURT: GO AHEAD AND SPELL YOUR FIRST AND LAST NAME.

THE DEFENDANT: OH, A; AS IN ALPHA, R; AS IN ROBERT, O; AS IN OCTOPUS, G; AS IN GIRAFFE, A; AS IN ALPHA, N; AS IN NANCY, T; AS IN TANGO. MY LAST NAME IS HOLLYWOOD. I GUESS THEY MISSPELLED IT ON THE REPORT WITH TWO R'S. IT'S ONE R.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, SO THE WAY THIS WORKS IS YOU JUST GO AHEAD AND MAKE A STATEMENT, TESTIFY AS TO WHAT YOU WANT TO TESTIFY TO.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: ALL RIGHT.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD,

A DEFENDANT, IN PROPRIA PERSONA, WAS AFFIRMED AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

Q. BY MR. HOLLYWOOD: SO I'M GOING TO START OUT ON FEBRUARY 21ST. SO FEBRUARY 21ST -- OR SORRY FEBRUARY 20TH, AT ABOUT 4:00 P.M., I LEFT THE AIRBNB FROM ROWLAND HEIGHTS. MY WOMAN STAYS IN HACIENDA HEIGHTS SO I WAS IN THE AREA SO I CAN SEE HER. I LEFT THE AIRBNB. THE AIRBNB DROPPED ME OFF AT THE SOUTH PASADENA POLICE DEPARTMENT. I'M HOMELESS; THAT'S THE FIRST STOP I GO TO IS THE SOUTH PASADENA POLICE DEPARTMENT. THEN FROM THERE, I MIGHT GO TO SAN MARINO, OTHER PLACES. BUT IT'S LIKE THE FIRST PLACE I GO WHEN I LEAVE THE AIRBNB.

I GOT THERE PROBABLY AROUND 4:00 P.M., 5:00 P.M. I WAS THERE BECAUSE I WAS WORKING ON SOME LAW STUFF. AND THE CO-COUNSEL IN THIS CASE HE'S HELPING ME SUE THE POLICE IN FEDERAL COURT BECAUSE I'M A VEXATIOUS LITIGANT. THE FEDERAL COURT IS NOT ALLOWING ME TO SUE THE POLICE, AND I'M KIND OF TIRED OF THEM FALSELY ARRESTING ME AND GETTING AWAY WITH IT. SO HE'S GOING TO BE MY LAWYER IN FEDERAL COURT.

SO I TALKED TO NEAL BY TEXT MESSAGE, AND I SAID, "HEY, YOU KNOW, ALISON IS GOING TO SERVE THIS ON THEM

TOMORROW." SO I PRINTED OUT THE LAWSUIT AROUND 6:00 OR 7:00 P.M. JUST SO YOU KNOW, I HAVE POWER GENERATORS OR POWER STATIONS, YOU KNOW, THOSE PORTABLE THINGS. THEY GAVE YOU POWER. SO THERE'S NO POWER OUTSIDE. I'M USING THOSE. THEY'RE FULLY CHARGED FROM THE AIRBNB. I WAS PRINTING DOCUMENTS. THEY WERE ALL LAID OUT.

I WOULD SAY AROUND 9:00 P.M., I WAS THERE. I WAS ON MY COMPUTER. JENNIFER APPROACHED ON THE OTHER SIDE OF THE POLICE DEPARTMENT. SO I'M NEAR CITY HALL. SHE APPROACHED NEAR THE COUNCIL -- I DON'T KNOW IF YOU'RE FAMILIAR WITH SOUTH PASADENA COUNCIL'S CHAMBERS ON THAT SIDE. AND SHE'S WALKING UP. SHE SMILES AND SHE WAVES AT ME. BUT SHE SAYS SOMETHING, BUT SHE'S FROM A DISTANCE AWAY, I COULDN'T HEAR WHAT SHE SAID. ABOUT -- I GO BACK ON MY COMPUTER.

ABOUT 20 MINUTES LATER, SHE'S INSIDE THE POLICE DEPARTMENT, AND SHE STARTS PICKING UP THE PHONE AND YELLING AND, LIKE, KIND OF BANGING THE RED PHONE AGAINST THE THING. SO I APPROACHED. I HEARD THE NOISE. I DIDN'T SEE HER DO IT, AND I SAY, "HEY, YOU SHOULD STOP DOING THAT OR THEY COULD ARREST YOU FOR INTERFERING WITH THE POLICE DEPARTMENT." SHE SAYS, "NO, NO, IT'S FINE. THEY'RE NOT GOING TO ARREST ME." I WENT BACK TO WHAT I WAS DOING.

ABOUT 15 MINUTES LATER, SHE CAME OUTSIDE, AND SHE WAS WITH THE WATCH COMMANDER WHO'S I THINK MATTHEW RODNEY, AND SHE'S TALKING TO HER ABOUT RECORDS OR SOMETHING. I'M MINDING MY BUSINESS. I DIDN'T HEAR WHAT THEY WERE SAYING. HE'S LIKE, "I'M GOING TO TRY TO GET YOU THE RECORDS. I'LL DO WHAT I CAN. I'M THE WATCH COMMANDER." I GUESS HE DIDN'T GIVE HER A PEN.

SO SHE CAME UP TO ME AND SHE ASKED ME IF I HAD AN INK PEN TO FILL OUT THE REQUEST FOR THE RECORDS. I SAID, "YEAH, I HAVE PEN." SO I GAVE HER A PEN.

AFTER THAT, I GUESS, RODNEY DIDN'T WANT TO MAKE COPIES FOR HER OR SOME CRAP. SO THEN I MADE COPIES OF THE DOCUMENTS SO SHE CAN HAVE A COPY OF THE DOCUMENT. BECAUSE MY PRINTER IS LIKE AN EPSON ET-4150 SOMETHING LIKE THAT. IT HAS A SCANNER, A PRINTER, A FAX, AND EVERYTHING ON IT. IT HAS A DOCUMENT FEEDER. IT'S LIKE THE ONES YOU SEE IN LAW OFFICE. SO I SCAN A COPY OF THE DOCUMENT, MADE SOME COPIES FOR HER. AND THEN I ASKED HER, "WHAT'S ALL THIS ABOUT?" AND SHE SAID LIKE, "WELL, I'M GOING THROUGH A DIVORCE AND THERE'S A POLICE REPORT, AND I NEED IT FOR COURT." I SAID "OKAY." SO THERE'S NOTHING ABOUT LIKE HOW HER LETTER SAYS INCONSISTENCIES. I DIDN'T TALK TO HER ANYTHING ABOUT THAT. I DIDN'T GET TOO IN DEPTH ABOUT IT.

SO FROM THERE SHE WAS LIKE, "OH, I WAS WAVING AT YOU EARLIER, YOU KNOW. YOU'RE REALLY ATTRACTIVE." AND I WAS LIKE, "WELL, WE CAN GO ON A DATE OR SOMETHING." I TOLD HER STRAIGHT UP I HAD A WOMAN. AND SHE'S LIKE, "THAT'S OKAY." I SAID, "WE'LL GO ON A DATE TO GET TO KNOW EACH OTHER." I TOLD HER I WAS HOMELESS, AND I WAS THERE, AND THE NEXT DAY I WAS GOING TO TAKE THIS LAWSUIT, AND I WAS GOING TO SERVE IT ON SAN MARINO OR MY WOMAN WAS. SHE SAYS, "THAT'S COOL. SO YOU KNOW A LOT ABOUT LAW."

SHE'S LIKE, "MAYBE YOU CAN HELP ME WITH MY DIVORCE STUFF." I TOLD HER STRAIGHT UP, "IF YOU WANT ME TO HELP YOU, YOU HAVE TO GIVE ME MONEY. I DON'T DO LAW STUFF FOR FREE."

AND SHE'S LIKE, "YEAH, YOU KNOW, THAT'S FINE, YOU KNOW." I WAS LIKE, "SO YOU'RE SAYING I CAN STAY AT YOUR HOUSE?" I MEAN, AND SHE WAS LIKE, "YEAH, YOU KNOW, YOU CAN LIVE WITH ME FOR AN INDEFINITE PERIOD OF TIME." I SAID, "OKAY, I'LL HELP YOU WITH THE LAW. YOU CAN PAY ME A LITTLE, AND THEN THE REST YOU PAY THROUGH THE RENT." SO WE GREED. SHE AGREED TO LET ME LIVE WITH HER.

SHE CALLED UBER XL BECAUSE I HAD A LOT OF STUFF, LOADED EVERYTHING IN THE UBER XL. WE WERE IN THE UBER XL AND DRIVING BACK TO HER PLACE. SHE JUST STARTS ACTING REAL SEXUAL LIKE SHE WANTS TO JUMP ON ME. I CAN'T WAIT TO HAVE SEX WITH YOU, AND I CAN'T WAIT, AND ALL THIS STUFF. AND I'M LIKE OKAY WHATEVER. SO THIS IS WHEN I START TO REALIZE SHE HAS MENTAL HEALTH ISSUES.

SHE'S LIKE WELL -- WHEN WE GET THERE SHE'S LIKE, "I HAVE A CART FOR YOU. IT'S A REALLY NICE CART. IT'S LIKE A BENTLEY-TYPE CART, LIKE A MERCEDES BENZ." I SAID, "OKAY, I'LL TAKE A CART. SHE BRINGS OUT A BABY STROLLER. I WAS LIKE, "OKAY."

SO I START LOADING MY STUFF IN THE BABY STROLLER. AND I TAKE THE OTHER STUFF OUT OF THE UBER, AND I PUT IT INSIDE THE HOUSE. EVERYTHING IS INSIDE THE HOUSE PROBABLY IN ABOUT 20, 30 MINUTES. THERE'S A LOT OF DOGS. DOGS ARE OKAY, BUT, YOU KNOW, THREE DOGS -- I'M JUST LIKE, "WOW, YOU HAVE A LOT OF DOGS." AND SHE MADE A COMMENT, "WELL, THE DOGS RULE THE HOUSE. IF YOU DON'T LIKE THE DOGS, YOU GOT TO GO." SO I DIDN'T SAY ANYTHING ELSE ABOUT THE DOGS.

THERE IS ONE PARTICULAR DOG NAMED COCO OR SOMETHING

SHE CALLED HER, AND SHE WAS VERY PROTECTIVE OVER HER. LIKE, EVERYWHERE WE WENT, SHE FOLLOWED. AND WHEN I WOULD STAND UP, EVERY TIME I STAND UP, SHE BARKED. SO I HAVE TO SIT DOWN. I THINK SHE WAS AFRAID THAT I MIGHT ATTACK JENNIFER. SO THERE COULD BE SOME TRUTH TO THIS, TO THE DV, BASED ON THE WAY THE DOG WAS ACTING. BECAUSE THE DOG WAS ACTING VERY, VERY PROTECTIVE WITH ME.

EVERYWHERE I WENT, IT WOULD FOLLOW. AND IT WOULD BARK AND GROWL AT ME UNTIL I SAT DOWN. THERE WAS ANOTHER DOG. IT LOOKED KIND OF LIKE LASSIE. IT WAS A QUIET DOG. THIS THIRD DOG HAD LIKE ALZHEIMER'S. SO IT WOULD RUN INTO THE WALL, YOU KNOW. DIDN'T KNOW WHERE IT WAS GOING; THAT TYPE OF THING. SO EVERYTHING WAS IN THE HOUSE, WENT TO THE BACK. WE HAD SEX. WE HAD SEX A SECOND TIME. AFTER WE HAD SEX, SHE WANTED ME TO, LIKE, STAY INSIDE HER FOR AWHILE. I WAS LIKE, OKAY WHATEVER. WE HAD UNPROTECTED SEX. WE HAD SEX AGAIN. I WENT TO SLEEP.

AFTER THAT, IN THE MIDDLE OF THE NIGHT, LIKE, 2:00 IN THE MORNING, I NOTICED THAT SHE LEFT THE BEDROOM. SO THEN IT WAS LIKE, I GO OUT AND I'M LIKE WHERE IS SHE? DID SHE LEAVE THE HOUSE, YOU KNOW? AND I GO CHECK. I SEE SHE'S IN ANOTHER BEDROOM AND I SAID, "WHY DID YOU LEAVE?" AND SHE SAYS, WELL, YOU WERE KIND OF SNORING, SO I COULDN'T SLEEP." I WAS LIKE, "ALL, RIGHT WHATEVER." SO I WENT BACK TO SLEEP.

THE NEXT MORNING, I TOLD HER HOW I FELT ABOUT LIKE LIVING THERE. I WAS LIKE, "YOU KNOW, I DON'T KNOW IF THIS IS GOING TO WORK OUT. YOU'RE, LIKE, COMPLAINING ABOUT ME SNORING AND STUFF." AND IT'S LIKE THE NEXT MORNING TOO SHE WAS BEING

DELUSIONAL. SO I SAY AROUND -- THIS IS WHERE THINGS WENT REALLY SOUTH. SO PROBABLY AROUND 9:00 A.M., SHE CLAIMS THAT HER HUSBAND TRIED TO BREAK INTO THE HOUSE. SHE SAID, "DID YOU SEE THE ALARM GO OFF?" SHE'S LIKE, "DID YOU SEE THE CAR LEAVE?" AND I WAS LIKE, "WHAT ARE YOU TALKING ABOUT? I DIDN'T SEE ANYBODY."

SO SHE CALLS THIS WOMAN NAMED JEANETTE MEYERS, A FRIEND OF HERS. AND JEANETTE MEYERS TELLS HER, "YEAH, THE ALARM WENT OFF." SO SHE'S LIKE, "SEE, JEANETTE MEYERS SAID THE ALARM WENT OFF. HE WAS HERE." I SAID, "LOOK, I DIDN'T SEE NO CAR LEAVE. I DIDN'T SEE NOBODY RUNNING DOWN THE DRIVEWAY." SHE SAYS, "HE OPENED THE DOOR." I SAY, "YOU OPENED THAT DOOR FROM LAST NIGHT WHEN YOU LET THE DOGS OUT." SHE SAYS, "NO, I CLOSED IT." I SAID, "NO, YOU LEFT IT UNLOCKED. YOUR HUSBAND DIDN'T TRY TO BREAK INTO THE HOUSE."

THEN SHE STARTS TELLING ME THAT I'M GOING TO HAVE TO LEAVE. I SAID, "WHY DO I GOT TO LEAVE?" SHE'S LIKE, "WELL, YOU'LL BE KILLED IF YOU STAY HERE. MY HUSBAND WILL KILL YOU BECAUSE YOU'RE BLACK." AND I WAS LIKE, I TOLD HER STRAIGHT UP, LIKE, "I'M NOT AFRAID OF YOUR HUSBAND. I MEAN, IF HE TRIES TO COME HERE OR ANYTHING, BUT I DON'T THINK HE WILL." AND SHE'S LIKE, "NO, YOU GOT TO LEAVE BECAUSE, YOU KNOW, HE'S GOING TO TRY TO KILL YOU." SO THEN I WAS LIKE, YOU KNOW WHAT -- THIS IS WHERE THE AUDIO COMES IN ON THE DAMN PHONE.

SO THE WHOLE MORNING FROM THAT POINT ON, I WAS RECORDING ON MY AUDIO. THE DAMN PHONE THAT THEY MISPLACED. SO FROM THAT POINT ON, I WAS RECORDING THE AUDIO ALL THE WAY EVEN AFTER THEY RETRIEVED IT, EVEN AFTER THEY RETRIEVED MY

PHONE. THE AUDIO STAYS CONTINUOUS ON MY PHONE UNTIL IT SHUTS OFF OR YOU STOP THE RECORDING. AND EVEN IF THE PHONE DIES, IT SAVES THE RECORDING KIND OF LIKE A GO PRO. SO FROM THAT POINT ON, I STARTED TURNING THE AUDIO ON MY PHONE.

I WAS LIKE, I DON'T REALLY TRUST THIS WOMAN ANYMORE. SHE'S BECOMING WEIRD. SHE'S TALKING ABOUT HER HUSBAND GOING TO KILL ME AT THE HOUSE, AND I NEED TO LEAVE. I WAS LIKE -- I STARTED THINKING ABOUT THINGS. I TOLD HER LIKE LOOK, "WE HAD AN AGREEMENT. YOU KNOW, YOU SAID I CAN LIVE HERE. I'M JUST GOING TO GET A FORCEFUL DETAINER. YOU SAID I CAN MOVE IN. SO, LIKE, I'M A ROOMMATE. CHARGE ME RENT, WHATEVER." BECAUSE IT WAS LIKE A FIVE-BEDROOM HOUSE. IT HAD A SWIMMING POOL. SHE'S LIKE, "NO, YOU GOT TO LEAVE." SHE'S LIKE, "I GOT TO GO TO COURT. YOU GOT GO." SHE'S KEPT SAYING THAT.

AND THEN, LIKE, I WAS KIND OF LIKE, "WELL, YOU KNOW WHAT. I'M GOING TO SUE YOU." AND THEN I WAS LIKE, "I'LL LEAVE, BUT I'M GOING TO COME BACK WITH A LAWSUIT." AND AT THAT POINT, I STARTED MAKING SURE EVERYTHING WAS PACKED UP. I WAS MAKING SURE I DIDN'T LEAVE ANYTHING IN THE BACK ROOM THAT I WAS IN THAT I HAD SEX WITH HER. I MADE SURE NOTHING WAS LEFT IN THE HALLWAY. EVERYTHING WAS PACKED UP.

AT THIS POINT, IT'S PROBABLY AROUND 8:30, 9:00 A.M. AT THAT TIME, I CALL SAN MARINO CITY HALL. I TALKED TO THE LADY CHRISTINA. SHE'S A CITY CLERK. AND I SAID, "YOU KNOW, I'M GOING TO BE THERE IN A LITTLE BIT, YOU KNOW, TO SERVE YOU SOME PAPERS." I GO SO CAUGHT UP LIKE WITH WHAT WAS GOING ON THAT MORNING THAT I FORGOT THAT I WAS SUPPOSED TO MEET ALISON AT THE SOUTH PASADENA POLICE DEPARTMENT. AND THEN WE WERE

SUPPOSED TO CATCH AN UBER TO SAN MARINO. SO I'M ON AUTOPILOT ABOUT GOING TO SAN MARINO.

AT THAT POINT EVERYTHING WAS READY AT THE DOOR. AND THEN YOU KNOW, I WAS LIKE -- SHE WAS LIKE, "ARE YOU GOING TO LEAVE?" I WAS LIKE, "YEAH, I'LL LEAVE, BUT LIKE I TOLD YOU I'M GOING TO COME BACK WITH A FORCEFUL DETAINER LET HER KNOW." AT THAT POINT SHE'S LIKE SHE'LL CALL ME AN UBER. I HAVE AN UBER ACCOUNT, AND I HAVE A LYFT ACCOUNT, BUT JUST BECAUSE HOW WEIRD SHE WAS ACTING, I THINK IT WAS BETTER FOR HER TO CALL THE UBER.

SHE CALLED THE UBER. SHE CALLED THE UBER. IT WAS AN UBER XL. SO THE UBER XL PULLED UP. WHEN THE UBER XL PULLED UP, RIGHT BEFORE IT PULLED UP, BASED ON THE TENSION WITH HER, I STARTED THE CAMERA. THAT WAS THE CONTINUOUS VIDEO THAT WE SAW.

I TURNED ON THE CAMERA, GO OUTSIDE. I TELL HER, "HEY, TELL THE UBER, YOU KNOW, TO PULL UP ON THE DRIVEWAY. YOU KNOW BECAUSE -- SO I CAN GET MY STUFF OUT QUICKER." AND SHE WAS LIKE, "I'M NOT GOING TO TELL HIM." I WAS LIKE TELLING SOMEONE LIKE, YOU KNOW, THE UBER DRIVER IS, YOU KNOW, NOT GOING TO LISTEN TO ME BECAUSE OF MY ACCOUNT. I WAS LIKE, "YOU NEED TO TELL HIM." AND SHE WAS LIKE, "YOU DON'T TALK TO ME LIKE THAT." SHE STORMED IN ON THE INSIDE OF THE HOUSE. BUT SHE WAS QUICKER. SHE BEAT ME INSIDE. SO WHEN I CAME INSIDE, THE DOOR WAS LOCKED.

I THINK THAT I WENT TO THE DOOR TO SEE IF IT WAS OPENED. AT THAT POINT, I STARTED MAKING STATEMENTS TO HER. I DON'T REMEMBER EXACTLY WHAT THE STATEMENTS WERE. WE SAW IT ON

THE VIDEO. WE KNOW THERE WEREN'T CRIMINAL THREATS. IT WAS LIKE, "NOW, I'M GOING TO SUE YOU. IF YOU HAVE ME ARRESTED, I'M GOING TO SUE YOU. LIKE, I DON'T CARE. CALL THE POLICE," THAT TYPE OF THING. AFTER I MADE THOSE STATEMENTS TO HER, I SAT DOWN JUST LIKE WE SAW ON THE VIDEO, I WAS ON MY PHONE. I DON'T HONESTLY REMEMBER WHAT I WAS DOING ON MY PHONE.

I KNOW WHILE I WILL SITTING DOWN, I SENT HER TWO TEXT MESSAGES. THE FIRST TEXT MESSAGE THAT I SENT TO HER -- BY THE WAY SHE MADE A COMMENT, SHE DIDN'T KNOW I GOT HER PHONE NUMBER. SHE GAVE ME HER PHONE NUMBER THAT NIGHT BECAUSE INITIALLY WE WERE GOING TO GO ON A DATE. SO I NEEDED A WAY TO REACH HER. SO SHE GAVE ME HER PHONE NUMBER THAT NIGHT. I CALLED HER. SHE ANSWERED. SHE SAVED MY INFORMATION IN HER PHONE; THAT'S HOW I GOT HER PHONE -- OR THAT'S HOW I GO HER PHONE NUMBER.

SO I SENT HER A TEXT MESSAGE, AND THE FIRST TEXT MESSAGE, I SAID SOMETHING LIKE, "WHY DID YOU LET THE UBER LEAVE? LIKE, WHAT ARE YOU DOING?" I SAID, "ARE YOU MENTALLY ILL." AND THE SECOND TEXT MESSAGE I SENT TO HER. SO I DIDN'T -- I SAT DOWN. AT THAT TIME I KNOW SHE WAS CALLING THE POLICE. I COULDN'T HEAR WHAT WAS GOING ON. I KNEW SHE WENT IN THE HOUSE, AND SHE LOCKED ME OUT THE MAIN RESIDENCE. THEN THIS WAS ALL THAT. I SAID MY STATEMENTS. I SAT DOWN.

AFTER THAT, I WANT TO SAY LIKE MAYBE FIVE MINUTES LATER, A SECOND UBER PULLED UP LIKE WE SAW IN THE VIDEO. THE UBER PULLED UP. IT WAS LIKE ONE OF THOSE, YOU KNOW, SMALL SUV'S. IT'S NOT LIKE A CADILLAC ESCALADE OR ANYTHING. IT WAS A SMALL SUV. I SAID TO THEM, "YOU KNOW, IT'S NOT BIG ENOUGH."

I KNEW ALL MY STUFF WASN'T GOING TO FIT IN THERE. AND THEN HE TOOK OFF. AND THEN AFTER THAT, I WENT BACK, AND I SAT DOWN. AND THEN AFTER THAT, I JUST SAT DOWN.

AND THEN I -- ABOUT THREE OR FOUR MINUTES LATER, THAT'S WHEN I HEARD SIRENS OR SOME TYPE OF NOISE. AND THEN I WENT OUT, AND THEN I LEFT MY PHONE BEHIND. AND THE REASON I LEFT MY PHONE BEHIND IS BECAUSE I'VE BEEN IN LIFE-OR-DEATH SITUATIONS WITH THE SHERIFF. PEOPLE CALL THE POLICE THEY SAID, "HE'S GOT A GUN," OR WHATEVER, "AND THEY DREW GUNS ON ME." I DON'T THINK IT'S A GOOD IDEA TO GO OUTSIDE WITH THIS PHONE WHICH THEY MAY TAKE FOR A GUN AND SHOOT ME BECAUSE I DON'T KNOW WHAT SHE SAID TO THEM. SO I LEFT THE PHONE BEHIND. I STEPPED OUT. AND THEN, YOU KNOW, I FELT IT'S EXCESSIVE FORCE TO BE PULLED THE GUNS ON ME WHEN I DIDN'T HAVE A WEAPON, BUT I DON'T KNOW THAT'S WHAT THEY DID.

AFTER THAT, THEY TOOK ME TO THE CAR. THERE WAS A SERGEANT THERE, AND HE'S LIKE, "OH, YEAH, HOLLYWOOD, I REMEMBER YOU." AND I WAS LIKE, "I DON'T GIVE A CRAP." HONESTLY, I'M PROBABLY THE MOST POPULAR PERSON THAT THE SHERIFF'S KNOW. EVERY COURT I GO TO, EVERY JAIL I GO TO, THERE'S ALWAYS A DEPUTY THERE, "OH, HOLLYWOOD." SO I DIDN'T THINK NOTHING OF IT. FOR ALL I KNOW, HE MIGHT HAVE KNEW ANOTHER DEPUTY THAT KNEW ME. I NEVER SEEN HIM BEFORE.

WHEN WE WENT TOWARDS THE CAR, I'M MAKING STATEMENTS TO HIM, LIKE, "I DON'T KNOW YOU. GET AWAY FROM ME." AND I GUESS BECAUSE HE'S CLOSE TO ME, I SPIT ON HIM. SO HE SAYS -- THEY TOLD ME TO -- LIKE WHEN IT GOT TOWARDS THE CAR, I'VE ALWAYS BEEN LIKE THIS EVEN BEFORE GEORGE FLOYD. I DON'T LIKE

SITTING ON THE CURB. I'D RATHER GO INSIDE THE CAR. I THINK IT'S SAFER FOR THE ARRESTEE TO BE INSIDE THE CAR.

SO THEY WERE TRYING TO GET ME TO SIT DOWN ON THE CURB. I WAS LIKE, "NO, I'M NOT SITTING DOWN. SO ARREST ME, DETAIN ME, WHATEVER. I'M GOING INSIDE THE VEHICLE." AND THEY SAID, "YEAH." I THINK THE SERGEANT THAT WAS THERE HE SAID, "YEAH, PUT HIM IN THE CAR. HE'S GOING TO JAIL." AND HE SAYS, "HE'S GOING TO JAIL FOR SPITTING ON ME, AND HE'S GOING TO JAIL FOR TRESPASS."

AND THEN I GUESS HE STARTED MAKING SOME STATEMENTS LIKE, "HE DOES THIS ALL THE TIME. HE'S ALWAYS LIKE, YOU KNOW, LIKE SQUATTING OR SOMETHING. HE LIKES MOTELS AND PEOPLE'S HOUSES." HE MADE SOME STATEMENTS LIKE THAT. AFTER THAT, I GOT DROVE TO THE POLICE DEPARTMENT. WHEN I GOT THERE, AND THEY, LIKE, TOOK EVERYTHING OUT OF MY POCKET, PUT ME IN A CELL. I THINK IT WAS CONTRERAS. I IMMEDIATELY TOLD HIM, I SAID, "LISTEN, I WANT MY PHONE." AND HE SAYS, "WE GOT YOUR PHONE."

I SAYS, "I WANT YOU TO TAKE MY PHONE AND PUT IT IN THE PROPERTY THAT I GO TO THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT OR WHEN I GO TO JAIL -- " I ASSUME I WAS GOING TO GO TO JAIL ON THIS -- "SO I HAVE MY PHONE, AND I CAN HAVE IT AS EVIDENCE WHEN I GO TO COURT." AND HE SAYS, "WE GOT YOUR PHONE," AND HE'S LIKE, "IT'S GOING TO GO IN YOUR BULK PROPERTY." AND I SAID, "NO, I WANT THE PHONE TO GO WITH ME." AND HE SAYS, "IT'S GOING TO GO IN YOUR BULK PROPERTY."

HOW I FEEL ABOUT THE SITUATION IS CONTRERAS DIDN'T WANT TO BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE PHONE. THEY HAD IT WITH MY

PROPERTY. HE DIDN'T WANT TO TAKE IT FROM THE BULK PROPERTY AND GET LOST OR SOMETHING. SO I'M IN THE HOLDING CELL I WOULD SAY FOR ABOUT 12:00 TO 4:00. I'M YELLING OUT ALL THE TIME, "I WANT TO TALK TO THE WATCH COMMANDER. LIKE YOU GUYS ARE VIOLATING MY RIGHTS, YOU KNOW. I'M INNOCENT."

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, RELEVANCE AT THIS POINT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: IT IS RELEVANT.

THE COURT: YEAH, WHERE ARE WE GOING AFTER THAT?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: WE'RE TALKING ABOUT -- WE'RE TALKING ABOUT THE EVIDENCE. WE'RE GETTING TO THE DETECTIVE -- DETECTIVE K. INTERVIEWED ME. I'M GETTING TO THAT NEXT.

THE COURT: SO YOUR INTERVIEW?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YEAH, SO I'M GETTING THERE, AND I'M GOING AS FAST AS I CAN.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, GO AHEAD.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: ALL RIGHT, SO I'M YELLING AT, YOU KNOW, DETECTIVES THAT, YOU KNOW, I GOT EVIDENCE ON MY GO PRO AND MY PHONE AND FOR THEM TO BRING IT TO ME. I BELIEVE IT WAS RICHARD LEWIS, BUT I AM NOT SURE, BUT I BELIEVE IT WAS HIM. AND HE SAID SOMETHING ALONG THE LINES TO ME, LIKE, "WE GOT YOUR PHONE. WE GOT YOUR GO PRO. IT'S SEIZED AS EVIDENCE TO BE USED TO CONVICT YOU," THAT'S ALL HE SAID. AND THEN I ASKED THE WATCH COMMANDER SANTANA. I SAID, "LOOK, MAN. CAN YOU GUYS BRING MY PHONE OR GO PRO? I CAN SHOW THE VIDEOS THAT I'M INNOCENT." THEY SAID, "NO, NO. WE'RE NOT GOING TO DO THAT."

THEY TOOK ME BACK TO -- AND THIS IS THE ONLY REASON I TALKED BECAUSE I WANTED TO KNOW WHAT THE HELL'S GOING ON WITH MY GO PRO AND MY PHONE. SO THEY TOOK ME BACK. THAT DAY,

BY THE WAY, I MADE CALLS TO THE D.A. OFFICE, AND I TOLD THEM I HAD EVIDENCE TOO. THEY TOOK ME BACK TO MY CELL. WHEN THEY TOOK ME BACK TO MY CELL, I THINK IT WAS LIKE 5:00 P.M. OR SOMETHING -- 6:00 P.M. I DIDN'T EAT ALL DAY. THEY DIDN'T GIVE ME ANY FOOD.

I BELIEVE DETECTIVE K. CAME. I THOUGHT IT WAS SHADY THAT HE HAD ALL THAT TIME TO INTERVIEW ME OUT THERE WHERE THERE WAS LIGHT AND CAMERAS AND HE WAITS UNTIL I'M IN A DARK CELL. SO HE CAME AND HE WAS LIKE, "YOU KNOW, YOU WANT TO SPEAK TO ME." AND I SAID, "HEY, I KNOW MY MIRANDA. AS LONG AS IT'S RECORDED, I DON'T SEE AN ISSUE WITH IT." AND HE HELD OUT A PHONE. LATER, I REALIZE AT THE END OF THE INTERVIEW THAT THE PHONE WASN'T RECORDING ANYTHING. HE PROBABLY WAS RECORDING ON HIS BODY CAM OR SO -- SO HE JUST SAID, "YOU AGREE TO SPEAK," HE DIDN'T REALLY MIRANDIZE ME OFFICIALLY, BUT HE WAS JUST KIND OF LIKE, "YOU AGREE TO ME SPEAK TO ME WITHOUT AN ATTORNEY?" I WAS LIKE, "YEAH."

HE ASKED ME WHAT HAPPENED. I SAID, "LOOK STRAIGHT UP. THERE'S EVIDENCE ON MY PHONE. THERE'S EVIDENCE ON MY GO PRO THAT I DIDN'T COMMIT A CRIME." AND HE SAYS THAT -- HE SAYS THAT, "WELL, I DON'T HAVE YOUR PHONE." I SAID DON'T, "DID YOU SEIZE MY GO PRO?" HE SAYS, "NO, WE DIDN'T SEIZE YOUR GO PRO." HE SAYS, "I DON'T HAVE YOUR PHONE." I SAYS WELL, "IF YOU GO GET MY GO PRO, I CAN SHOW VIDEOS OF WHAT HAPPENED." HE'S LIKE, "WELL, I'M HERE, AND I'LL JUST KIND OF HEAR YOUR STATEMENT." AND THAT'S IT.

THE COURT: OKAY, ALL RIGHT. MR. ROSENBERG.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YOU CAN CROSS-EXAMINE.

MR. ROSENBERG: ALL RIGHT.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

Q. BY MR. ROSENBERG: SO JENNIFER ACTUALLY TOLD YOU THAT YOU COULD STAY AT HER HOUSE, RIGHT?

A. YES, AT THE SOUTH PASADENA POLICE DEPARTMENT. WE HAD AN AGREEMENT THAT I'LL LIVE THERE INDEFINITELY THAT I'D BE HELPING HER WITH LAW AND THAT SHE WOULD ALSO PAY ME OUT OF POCKET.

Q. AND THEN THE VERY NEXT MORNING SHE RECANTED THAT OR SHE DECIDED THAT YOU COULDN'T LIVE THERE, RIGHT?

A. NO. SHE -- SHE MADE THE SPECIFIC STATEMENTS THAT I COULDN'T LIVE THERE ANYMORE BECAUSE SHE FEARED FOR MY SAFETY. AND THIS IS WHY I TRIED TO BRING IN THE 5150.

Q. ALL RIGHT, HOLD ON.

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, NONRESPONSIVE.

THE COURT: SUSTAINED.

THE WITNESS: OKAY, SORRY. I'LL GO BACK.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: NO, I'M GOING TO ASK YOU THE QUESTIONS.

A. OKAY.

Q. SO SHE TELLS YOU -- SHE TELLS YOU HAVE TO LEAVE, RIGHT? JUST YES OR NO?

A. YES.

Q. AND THEN THAT UPSET YOU; THAT UPSET YOU THAT THE NIGHT BEFORE SHE IS TELLING YOU THAT YOU CAN LIVE THERE, AND NOW THE VERY NEXT DAY SHE'S SAYING, "YOU GOT TO GO." THAT'S

UPSETTING, RIGHT?

A. I DIDN'T FEEL IT WAS UPSETTING. I FELT LIKE SHE'S A PERSON THAT, YOU KNOW, YOU COULDN'T -- YOU COULDN'T REALLY TRUST. LIKE, I MEAN WE HAD AN AGREEMENT, BUT I ALSO THOUGHT SHE WAS MENTALLY --

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, NONRESPONSIVE.

THE WITNESS: OKAY, SORRY. YEAH, I WASN'T UPSET ABOUT IT.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: OKAY, YOU'RE NOT UPSET ABOUT IT?

A. NO, I WASN'T ANGRY.

Q. EVEN ON YOUR GO PRO CAMERA --

A. RIGHT.

Q. -- YOU MAKE A THREAT TO HER SAYING, "I AM GOING TO SUE YOU REGARDING THIS."

A. YEAH.

Q. "YOU DO NOT KNOW WHO YOU'RE MESSING WITH," RIGHT? YOU SAY THOSE WORDS?

A. YEAH, I DID THE SAME THING TO THE COUNCIL MEMBER. IT'S WORSE THAN THAT. I CAN GET REAL ANGRY ABOUT SUING, AND I THINK WITH THE COUNSEL MEMBER --

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, NONRESPONSIVE.

THE WITNESS: OKAY, SORRY.

THE COURT: YOU'VE ANSWERED THE QUESTION.

THE WITNESS: YEAH. I DO GET ANGRY --

MR. ROSENBERG: HOLD ON.

THE COURT: YOU ALREADY ANSWERED.

MR. ROSENBERG: I WILL ASK THE QUESTIONS.

THE WITNESS: OKAY.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: YOU WERE SO UPSET THAT YOU WERE TELLING HER, "YOU DO NOT KNOW WHO YOU'RE MESSING WITH." YOU'RE THREATENING HER IN THAT MOMENT, RIGHT?

A. I THREATENED TO SUE HER WHEN I SAID --

Q. WELL, WHY DO YOU SAY, "YOU DO NOT KNOW WHO YOU'RE MESSING WITH"?

A. BECAUSE I DON'T THINK SHE REALIZED WHO I WAS IN FEDERAL COURT. IF ANYBODY LOOKS ON LEXUSNEXUS, I HAVE 40 ENTRIES. I'VE SUED PEOPLE. I'VE HAVE ACTIVE CASES AGAINST Q-SMART PENNSYLVANIA. I'VE SUED LIFE STORAGE LAST YEAR. I ALSO SUED IN CALIFORNIA.

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, NONRESPONSIVE.

THE WITNESS: OKAY, WELL, I'M TESTIFYING NOW.

MR. ROSENBERG: YOU'RE NOT TESTIFYING NOW. THIS IS CROSS-EXAMINATION NOW. I'M ASKING YOU QUESTIONS.

THE WITNESS: WELL, I'M TELLING YOU THAT'S WHAT I MEANT WHEN I SAY, "YOU DON'T KNOW WHO YOU'RE MESSING WITH."

MR. ROSENBERG: YOUR HONOR, WOULD THE COURT RULE PLEASE?

THE COURT: HOLD ON.

THE WITNESS: GO AHEAD.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'LL JUST GO BACK AND TESTIFY.

THE COURT: WAIT FOR THE QUESTION.

THE WITNESS: I'LL JUST SAY I'LL GO BACK TESTIFY TO THAT AFTER HE'S DONE.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: LET'S TALK ABOUT YOU SUING.

A. YES.

Q. YOU'RE A VEXATIOUS LITIGANT, AREN'T YOU?

A. YES, I AM, CORRECT.

Q. AS A VEXATIOUS LITIGANT, YOU ARE NOT ALLOWED TO SUE ANYBODY?

A. YES, I AM.

Q. AS A PERSON WHO CANNOT SUE, THE ONLY REASON YOU WOULD THREATEN TO SUE SOMEBODY IS BECAUSE YOU WERE TRYING TO INTIMIDATE THEM, ISN'T THAT CORRECT?

A. THAT'S NOT CORRECT. IF YOU LET ME TESTIFY, I'LL EXPLAIN.

Q. WELL, YOU ARE NOT TESTIFYING, YOU ARE ANSWERING MY QUESTIONS. YOU HAD YOUR CHANCE TO TESTIFY. NOW, YOU'RE TALKING TO ME.

A. OKAY, I CAN'T SUE IN FEDERAL COURT.

THE COURT: HOLD ON. YOU'VE ALREADY ANSWERED THE QUESTION. YOU'LL HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY IF YOU WANT TO CLARIFY SOMETHING.

THE WITNESS: ALL RIGHT, OKAY.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: SO LET'S TALK ABOUT BEYOND THIS CASE, YOU'VE TRIED TO INTIMIDATE OTHER PEOPLE BY THREATENING TO SUE THEM, ISN'T THAT CORRECT?

A. ALL THE TIME.

Q. ALL THE TIME. ME, RIGHT?

A. MS. LEE.

Q. MS. LEE?

A. I SENT AN E-MAIL TO HER FAMILY TOLD HER I WAS GOING TO SUE, AND I MEANT IT.

Q. SO YOU THREATENED THE D.A. BY E-MAILING SAYING YOU WERE GOING TO SUE HER FAMILY?

A. I E-MAILED HER FAMILY AND TOLD HER I WAS GOING TO

SUE THEM. I THINK THAT, IF VILLALOBOS REMEMBERS, I TOLD THEM IN COURT I'M GOING TO SUE HER FAMILY, AND I'M GOING TO SUE HER FAMILY.

Q. SO YOU THREATENED HER FAMILY THAT WEREN'T EVEN PART OF THIS CASE?

A. YEAH, THEY'RE GETTING SUED TOO BECAUSE I'M UPSET ABOUT THE FALSE ARREST AND MALICIOUS PROSECUTION FOR 95 DAYS. I REALIZED THAT, IF I SUE HER, SHE WON'T CARE. IF I SUE HER FAMILY, SHE'LL CARE. MS. LEE'S FAMILY'S GETTING SUED. I GOT HER HOME ADDRESS TOO.

Q. IN THIS CASE, HOW MANY TIMES HAVE YOU THREATENED TO SUE ME?

A. A LOT; THAT'S WHAT I DO. WELL, I DON'T FEEL I THREATEN TO SUE. I FEEL LIKE WHEN I SUE, IT'S A PROMISE BECAUSE A LOT OF TIMES, I FOLLOW THROUGH.

Q. OKAY --

A. IN THE LAST YEAR, I PROBABLY FOLLOWED THROUGH ABOUT 80 PERCENT.

Q. AS A VEXATIOUS LITIGANT, YOU'RE FOLLOWING THROUGH?

A. YEAH, IF YOU LET ME EXPLAIN, I CAN EXPLAIN HOW I'M SUING PEOPLE AND BE A VEXATIOUS LITIGANT.

Q. IN THIS CASE, DID YOU NOT THREATEN TO SUE NOT JUST MYSELF BUT THE JUDGE AS WELL?

A. I DON'T PLAN ON SUING THE JUDGE. I --

Q. DID YOU NOT JUST FILE A MOTION SUING HIM FOR 14 MILLION DOLLARS?

A. IF YOU LET ME EXPLAIN, IT'S NOT --

Q. LET'S READ IT.

A. IT'S NOT FILED IN THE COURT. I DON'T PLAN ON SUING VILLALOBOS. I JUST --

Q. HOLD ON.

A. OKAY, GO AHEAD.

MR. ROSENBERG: YOUR HONOR, I HAVE IN MY HAND A MOTION FILED BY THIS HERE DEFENDANT TITLED, "HOLLYWOOD'S APPENDIX OF EXHIBITS IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO DISMISS." MAY THAT BE MARKED COLLECTIVELY AS PEOPLE'S 2?

THE COURT: SO MARKED.

(PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT NUMBER 2
IS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

MR. ROSENBERG: ALSO SHOWS THAT IS WAS FILED MARCH 20TH, 2023. DEPUTY, MAY YOU PLEASE HAND THAT TO HIM?

THE WITNESS: IT'S A BAD COPY. I WAS HOPING FOR A BETTER COPY.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: DID YOU FILE THAT?

A. ABSOLUTELY.

Q. IN THAT FILING, DID YOU THREATEN TO SUE NOT JUST MYSELF BUT THE JUDGE AS WELL?

A. I DIDN'T THREATEN TO SUE THE JUDGE. I DON'T PLAN ON SUING THE JUDGE.

Q. MAYBE WE CAN REFRESH YOUR RECOLLECTION --

A. NO. I WROTE IN THERE THAT HE WAS A DEFENDANT, BUT I'M TELLING YOU NOW UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY THAT VILLALOBOS IS NOT GOING TO BE A DEFENDANT IN THAT CASE. I THINK HE'S A FAIR JUDGE.

Q. SO YOU DID WRITE IN HERE THAT YOU WERE SUING THE JUDGE?

A. BUT I'M NOT -- I'LL TELLING -- TESTIFYING NOW I HAVE NO PROBLEM WITH VILLALOBOS. I WANTED TO SEND A MESSAGE TO THE D.A.'S OFFICE THAT NO ONE IS UNTOUCHABLE ON THAT CASE -- ON WHEN IT COMES TO COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE VERSUS --

(WHEREUPON THE PARTIES BEGIN SPEAKING OVER EACH OTHER.)

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: BUT YOU --

A. -- BUT I DO NOT PLAN TO SUE VILLALOBOS.

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, NONRESPONSIVE?

THE COURT: LET'S MOVE ON TO, MR. ROSENBERG.

MR. ROSENBERG: I THINK IT IS IMPORTANT, THOUGH.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: SURE, GO AHEAD.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: SO OVER AND OVER AGAIN, YOU ARE USING THIS THREAT OF SUING PEOPLE AS FORM TO INTIMIDATE THEM, ISN'T THAT CORRECT?

A. NO.

Q. JUST LIKE YOU DID ON THAT VIDEO TO THIS VICTIM?

A. NO, IT'S NOT TRUE.

Q. IT'S NOT TRUE?

A. I --

Q. YOU THREATENED PEOPLE IN THE PAST, RIGHT?

A. I NEVER MADE CRIMINAL THREATS TO PEOPLE. I MADE THREATS TO SUE PEOPLE LIKE WE SAW WITH THE COUNCIL MEMBER.

BUT I DON'T MAKE THREATS TO SUE PEOPLE TO INTIMIDATE THEM. I MAKE THREATS -- I TELL PEOPLE I'M GOING TO SUE THEM BECAUSE A LAWSUIT IS COMING.

Q. YOU'VE NEVER CRIMINALLY THREATENED SOMEBODY?

A. NEVER.

Q. WHY WERE YOU CONVICTED OF IT TWICE?

A. IF YOU WANT TO GO TO 2012, THAT CASE I DIDN'T REPRESENT MYSELF. THE GUY TESTIFIED AT THE PRELIM THAT I SAID SOMETHING THAT MADE HIM FEEL UNSAFE. HE SAID TO THE POLICE THAT I THREATENED TO KILL HIM. HE TESTIFIED THAT I THREATENED TO KILL HIM TWICE. HE SAID THAT I CAME BACK TO THE WINCHELL'S, AND I THREATENED TO KILL HIM. AND THE POLICE OFFICER WAS THERE, AND HE TOLD THE POLICE, "HEY, THAT'S THE GUY THAT THREATENED TO KILL ME." AND THE POLICE SAID, "WE'LL CATCH HIM ANOTHER DAY." AND BASED ON THAT STATEMENT, THE JUDGE THREW OUT THE SECOND COUNT.

I LATER TRIED TO REPRESENT MYSELF. I BELIEVE IT WAS TERRY SCHWARTZ. THERE WAS A PSYCHIATRIST EVALUATION ON ME. THE PSYCHIATRIST SAID, "HE'S VERY INTELLIGENT. I THINK HE CAN REPRESENT HIMSELF." TERRY SCHWARTZ SAID SHE'S NOT GOING TO ALLOW IT. I TOOK A 90-DAY DEAL BECAUSE I WAS ALREADY SITTING IN JAIL. I AGREED TO PLEAD NO CONTEST, NOT GUILTY, REMAIN MY INNOCENCE. I AGREED TO PLEAD NO CONTEST, AND I WOULD BE ON PROBATION FOR THREE YEARS. IF I STAYED OUT OF TROUBLE, IT'D GET DROPPED TO A MISDEMEANOR.

Q. YOU WERE CONVICTED OF A FELONY 422 IN 2012, ISN'T THAT TRUE?

A. I WAS INNOCENT. I DID NOT THREATEN.

Q. YOU WERE CONVICTED OF THAT CHARGE?

A. YES. WHAT HAPPENED WITH JAIME HERNANDEZ, IF YOU WANT ME TO TESTIFY, I WAS AT THE WELLS FARGO BANK --

Q. I'M NOT ASKING FOR THE --

THE COURT: HOLD ON. JUST ANSWER THE QUESTION.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: YOU WERE CONVICTED IN 2021 OF THE EXACT SAME CHARGE OF 422, ISN'T THAT CORRECT? YES OR NO?

A. THAT IS CORRECT, BUT IT WAS LATER OVERTURNED BASED ON THE GO PRO VIDEO --

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, NO QUESTION PENDING --

THE WITNESS: BECAUSE OF THE GO VIDEO THAT WAS --

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, NONRESPONSIVE.

THE WITNESS: -- THAT SHOWED I DIDN'T COMMIT THE CRIME. I GOT TO TESTIFY. I'M NOT GOING TO LET YOU SAY -- THERE'S NO OTHER CRIMINAL -- THIS LADY ASSUMED THAT --

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, NONRESPONSIVE.

THE COURT: HOLD ON, MR. HOLLYWOOD. SUSTAINED.

THE WITNESS: I'LL REBUTTAL ON THIS.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: YOU'VE ACTUALLY PHYSICALLY HARMED PEOPLE IN THE PAST AS WELL, RIGHT?

A. SINCE I'VE BEEN IN CALIFORNIA, NO, NOT TRUE. I HAVEN'T PHYSICALLY HARMED ANYONE SINCE I'VE BEEN IN CALIFORNIA. SINCE 2016, I'VE BEEN A TRAINED BOXER. AND BASED ON MY TRAINING SLIGHTLY IN MARTIAL ARTS AND IN BOXING, I AVOID FIST FIGHTS WHENEVER I CAN. THE LAST TIME I GOT IN A FIST FIGHT WAS IN SAN BERNARDINO JAIL. WE WERE IN A HOLDING TANK.

AN INMATE KEPT APPROACHING ME. THERE'S SEVERAL

WITNESSES. I SAID, "HEY MAN, YOU GOT TO STOP COMING AT ME LIKE YOU'RE GOING TO HIT ME." HE KEPT COMING AT ME. I HIT HIM ONCE. THERE WERE WITNESSES THAT SAID, "HE KEPT TELLING HIM TO STAY AWAY FROM HIM, AND HE HAD TO DEFEND HIMSELF." I DON'T GO AROUND HITTING. I'M A TRAINED FIGHTER.

Q. YOU WERE CONVICTED OF A BATTERY BACK IN 2021, ISN'T THAT CORRECT? YES OR NO?

A. I PLEAD NO CONTEST --

Q. YES OR NO?

A. I DIDN'T HIT HER, THOUGH. I THREW CANDY AND IT HIT HER FACE. IT DIDN'T HER.

Q. WERE YOU CONVICTED OF THAT CHARGE?

A. YES, BUT IT WAS CANDY.

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, NONRESPONSIVE.

THE COURT: SUSTAINED.

THE WITNESS: AND SHE LATER THREW CANDY AT ME.

THE COURT: HOLD ON, HOLD ON.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I DIDN'T HIT HER WITH MY HANDS. IT WAS CANDY. SO LET'S BE STRAIGHT. YOU LOOK AT THE POLICE REPORT.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: SO YOU PHYSICALLY HARMED HER WITH CANDY?

A. THERE WAS NO CRIMINAL INTENT. I THREW CANDY, AND IT HIT HER. IT WASN'T LIKE I TOOK THE CANDY AND JUST LIKE THREW IT AS HARD AS I COULD. I JUST KIND OF LIKE TOSSED CANDY, AND IT HIT HER FACE. SHE CALLED THE POLICE. IT'S ON VIDEO TOO.

Q. LET'S TALK ABOUT THIS CASE.

A. OKAY.

Q. YOU KEEP TALKING ABOUT THIS GO PRO CAMERA.

A. YES.

Q. AND THAT WAS CONTINUOUSLY RECORDING THE ENTIRE TIME, WASN'T IT?

A. NO, I STATED THAT AFTER THE -- PRIOR TO ME GOING OUTSIDE MEETING THE UBER DRIVER, I TURNED ON THE CAMERA. FROM THAT POINT, I NEVER TURNED THE CAMERA OFF.

Q. SO WHY DOES THE CAMERA SHOW YOU TURNING IT OFF?

A. IT DOESN'T. YOU KEPT PAUSING THE VIDEO, AND THAT'S WHY I ASK THE JUDGE. THE JUDGE DOESN'T WANT TO SEE THE VIDEO. HE BELIEVES ME; THAT'S WHY I SAID TO THE JUDGE, "WE CAN PLAY IT ALL THE WAY THROUGH.

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, NONRESPONSIVE. LET'S PLAY IT.

THE COURT: SUSTAINED.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: SO YOU MEAN TO SAY THAT, AS DETECTIVE KHOUNTHAVONG WAS TESTIFYING, THAT YOU DIDN'T SEE THAT OF YOU TURNING OFF THE GO PRO VIDEO?

A. AFTER I WENT OUTSIDE AND I MET WITH THE -- ON THE FIRST UBER DRIVER, I DID NOT TURN THE CAMERA OFF.

Q. ALL RIGHT.

A. I DON'T REMEMBER TURNING THE CAMERA OFF AFTER THAT. I THINK IT WAS CONTINUOUS BECAUSE AT THAT POINT --

Q. NOW, YOU THINK IT WAS CONTINUOUS?

A. IT MAKE -- UNLESS YOU EDITED THE VIDEO, BUT I DON'T REMEMBER SHUTTING THE GO PRO OFF.

Q. SO NOW I EDITED THE VIDEO?

A. YOU CAN USE GO PRO I THINK IT'S GO PRO STUDIO, SIR. AND YOU CAN MAKE VIDEOS DIFFERENT LENGTH IN GO PRO.

Q. YOU ARE SAYING I DID THAT?

A. NO. I'M SAYING THE DETECTIVES WITH THEIR LITTLE HIGH-TECH LAB DID THAT. THAT THE ORIGINAL VIDEO WAS CONTINUOUS. I DIDN'T TURN THE GO PRO OFF AFTER I WENT OUTSIDE. BUT YOU CAN USE GO PRO STUDIOS, AND YOU CAN CHANGE THE LENGTH, THE DURATION OF THE VIDEO. AND THAT'S POTENTIALLY WHAT YOU DID BECAUSE YOU ARE TRYING TO MAKE IT LOOK LIKE I TURNED OFF MY CAMERA WITH HER AND THERE WAS THESE ALLEGED CRIMINAL THREATS.

Q. WELL, IT SEEMS LIKE YOU NEED A BETTER REFRESHER ON THIS, LET'S WATCH --

A. LIKE I SAID --

MR. ROSENBERG: -- THE VIDEO.

THE COURT: FOR THE RECORD, YOU'RE PLAYING THE VIDEO.

MR. ROSENBERG: YES, FROM PEOPLE'S 1. THIS IS 586.LRV.

THE COURT: WHAT PORTION?

MR. ROSENBERG: WE'RE GOING TO START AT THE VERY BEGINNING. THIS IS THE VIDEO WITH THE TIME STAMPED 9:59 A.M.

(WHEREUPON PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT 1
IS PLAYED IN OPEN COURT.)

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: MR. HOLLYWOOD, I'M STOPPING AT FOUR SECONDS IN. THIS IS DEPICTING THE FIRST UBER VEHICLE THAT ARRIVED, ISN'T THAT CORRECT?

A. YEAH. HOW LONG IS THIS VIDEO?

Q. SEVEN MINUTES AND 19 SECONDS.

A. YEAH, THIS IS THE WHOLE INCIDENT. PLAY IT ALL THE WAY THROUGH.

(WHEREUPON PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT 1
IS PLAYED IN OPEN COURT.)

MR. ROSENBERG: STOPPING AT --

THE WITNESS: NO, NO, I ADJUSTED MY GO PRO.

MR. ROSENBERG: I'M GOING TO ASK THE QUESTIONS AS I WANT TO ASK THEM.

THE WITNESS: KEEP PLAYING THE VIDEO. WHY DO YOU KEEP STOPPING?

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD, HE WANTS TO ASK YOU A QUESTION.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: SO AT 37 SECONDS WE'RE NOT WATCHING YOU BEING UPSET RIGHT NOW?

A. YEAH, I'M UPSET.

Q. BUT YOU JUST SAID EARLIER YOU WEREN'T UPSET ABOUT ALL THIS?

A. NO, I TOLD YOU I WASN'T UPSET ABOUT HER ASKING ME TO LEAVE THAT I WAS GOING TO HAND HER A FORCEFUL DETAINER. I WASN'T ANGRY ABOUT THE UBER. I WAS IRRITATED BECAUSE I WANTED TO GET OUT OF THERE. SHE WAS DELAYING THE PROCESS. YOU SEE HOW LONG HER DRIVEWAY IS. IT'S GOING TO TAKE LONGER FOR ME TO TAKE ALL THE STUFF DOWN THERE.

Q. SO THIS ISN'T YOU BEING ANGRY?

A. I'M UPSET. SHE WOULDN'T TELL THE UBER DRIVER TO PULL ON THE DRIVEWAY SO I CAN GET MY STUFF OUT OF THE HOUSE.

Q. SO YOU ARE NOT UPSET SHE'S TELLING YOU TO LEAVE?

A. NO, I'M UPSET THAT SHE WON'T TELL THE UBER DRIVER TO PULL UP THE DRIVEWAY SO I CAN GET OUT. PLAY THE VIDEO.

Q. FOR THE LAST TIME, DO NOT TELL ME WHAT TO DO. I WILL ASK THE QUESTIONS AS I SEE FIT.

A. PLEASE PLAY THE VIDEO ALL THE WAY THROUGH SO WE CAN SEE IT'S CONTINUOUS; THAT THE DEPUTIES ARE GOING TO BE ARRESTED.

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD, IT'S HIS EXAMINATION.

THE WITNESS: OKAY, ALL RIGHT. ALL RIGHT.

THE COURT: GO AHEAD.

MR. ROSENBERG: FOR THE RECORD, I'M NOT GOING TO PLAY THE WHOLE VIDEO AGAIN BECAUSE WE'VE ALREADY DONE THIS. SO I'M GOING TO GO TO THE END OF THIS VIDEO.

THE WITNESS: I'LL PLAY THE VIDEO.

MR. ROSENBERG: YOU CAN PLAY WHATEVER YOU WANT. I'M GOING TO START AGAIN AT SIX MINUTES AND 50 SECONDS. THIS IS THE END OF THE VIDEO.

(WHEREUPON PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT 1
IS PLAYED IN OPEN COURT.)

THE WITNESS: THAT VIDEO IS EDITED. I WASN'T OUTSIDE. AM I INSIDE? YEAH, THAT VIDEO IS EDITED.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: SO AT THE VERY END OF THIS VIDEO, THAT'S NOT YOU TURNING IT OFF RIGHT THERE?

A. NO, THE VIDEO IS EDITED. I DIDN'T TURN IT OFF. SO IF YOU PLAY THE OTHER VIDEO, THE OTHER VIDEO THAT YOU PLAYED WHERE IT SHOWS THE POLICE ARRESTING ME, THAT VIDEO.

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, NONRESPONSIVE. NO QUESTION PENDING.

THE WITNESS: ALL RIGHT, THE VIDEO WAS TOGETHER. YOU SEPARATED IT.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: SO THIS ONE WHERE YOU'RE PUTTING YOUR HANDS ON THE ACTUAL GO PRO ITSELF TURNING IT OFF, IS NOT YOU TURNING IT OFF?

A. NO. WHY WOULD I TURN IT OFF? IT WAS CONTINUOUS; THAT'S NOT ME TURNING IT OFF. I ADJUSTED IT LIKE WE SAW OUTSIDE I WAS ADJUSTING. I DIDN'T TURN IT OFF.

Q. ISN'T IT REALLY CONVENIENT THAT YOU TURN IT OFF RIGHT WHERE SHE'S ENDING THAT FIRST PHONE CALL?

A. SIR.

Q. I THINK THAT'S REALLY CONVENIENT THAT YOU TURN IT OFF RIGHT AS SHE SAYS YOU MAKE THE CRIMINAL THREATS?

A. I DIDN'T NEVER TURN MY CAMERA OFF. YOU EDITED THAT VIDEO AND BRING IT UP ON SOME PROGRAM AND YOU SEPARATED THE VIDEO. IT WAS CONTINUOUS. IT WAS NEVER BROKEN UP; THAT'S WHY WHEN YOU LOOK AT THE OTHER VIDEO -- PLAY THE OTHER VIDEO. IT LOOKS LIKE IT PICKED UP RIGHT WHERE THIS ONE LEFT OFF.

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, ASKED AND ANSWERED.

THE WITNESS: THAT'S FINE. WE'LL PLAY THE VIDEO WHEN IT'S MY TURN, AND WE'LL SEE IT PICKS UP RIGHT WHERE THIS ONE LEFT OFF AT. PLAY THE OTHER VIDEO.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: WHY IS IT THAT THERE'S A FIVE-MINUTE GAP BETWEEN YOUR VIDEOS THAT JUST SO HAPPENS TO BE WHEN SHE SAYS THAT YOU MADE THOSE CRIMINAL THREATS.

A. THERE IS NO GAP. AND SHE'S NOT A CREDIBLE WITNESS. SHE'S TOLD TOO MANY LIES ON THE STAND. SO SHE DIDN'T TELL 911 I THREATENED HER. I NEVER THREATENED HER. I ALREADY

TESTIFIED TO THAT.

Q. NOW, EARLIER YOU WERE TALKING ABOUT YOUR INTERACTION WITH THE DEPUTIES.

A. WITH WHO?

Q. WITH THE SHERIFF'S DEPUTIES WHEN YOU GOT ARRESTED, RIGHT?

A. RIGHT.

Q. AND YOU WEREN'T CALLING THEM MOTHERFUCKERS? YOU WEREN'T YELLING AT THEM? YOU WEREN'T ANGRY AT THEM?

A. I DON'T LIKE POLICE. I MEAN, LIKE THE DEPUTIES HERE THEY TREAT ME RESPECTFULLY. BUT I TEND TO HAVE A HATRED TOWARDS LAW ENFORCEMENT BASED ON ALL THE TIMES I'VE BEEN ARRESTED.

Q. WERE YOU YELLING AT LAW ENFORCEMENT THAT DAY?

A. I HATE LAW ENFORCEMENT. WHY DO YOU THINK I DIDN'T CALL THE POLICE ON THIS CASE? I'M NOT A COP-CALLER.

Q. WERE YOU CALLING THEM MOTHERFUCKERS AND YELLING AT THEM?

A. I HATE LAW ENFORCEMENT, OF COURSE.

Q. JUST --

A. I HATE LAW ENFORCEMENT. I ALWAYS DO THAT EVERY TIME I'M ARRESTED.

Q. SO IS THAT A YES?

A. I HATE LAW ENFORCEMENT. I'M ALWAYS GOING TO CUSS THEM OUT. I'M GOING TO SAY I'M GOING TO SUE THEM. I DON'T LIKE LAW ENFORCEMENT.

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, NONRESPONSIVE.

THE COURT: WELL, I THINK HE ANSWERED THE QUESTION. I

THINK IT'S A YES.

THE WITNESS: I HATE LAW ENFORCEMENT, AND I THINK EVERYBODY KNOWS THAT BASED ON ALL MY ARRESTS.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: SO YOU AGREE THAT THIS WAS HER HOUSE THOUGH, RIGHT?

A. NO. SHE TOLD ME THAT SHE WAS GETTING AWAY FROM HER EX; THAT SHE OWNED A HOUSE IN SOUTH PAS OR SOMETHING, AND IT WAS, LIKE, A TEMPORARY HOUSE. SHE WAS RENTING IT. SHE DIDN'T TELL ME SHE OWNED THE HOUSE. SHE TOLD ME SHE WAS TRYING TO GET AWAY FROM HER EX. AND SHE DIDN'T LIKE, I GUESS, HOW HE KNEW WHERE SHE LIVED IN SOUTH PASADENA. SHE WAS KIND OF PARANOID ABOUT KNOWING WHERE SHE LIVES. SO SHE --

Q. WOULD YOU AGREE SHE WAS LIVING THERE?

A. WHAT?

Q. WOULD YOU AGREE THAT SHE WAS LIVING THERE?

A. WHEN I GOT THERE, IT LOOKED LIKE SHE JUST MOVED IN KIND OF. LIKE, THERE WAS, LIKE -- THE HOUSE WAS DISORGANIZED. IT LOOKED LIKE SHE LIVED THERE, BUT SHE HADN'T BEEN LIVING THERE THAT LONG.

Q. SHE ASKED TO YOU LEAVE THAT DAY, RIGHT?

A. NO, SHE DIDN'T ASK ME TO LEAVE. SHE TOLD ME THAT I HAD TO LEAVE BECAUSE SHE BELIEVED THAT HER HUSBAND WAS GOING TO KILL ME BECAUSE I WAS BLACK.

Q. AND YOU --

A. AND I TOLD HER THAT, "I'M NOT WORRIED ABOUT YOUR HUSBAND BREAKING IN. I DON'T THINK HE'S GOING TO COME HERE." AND SHE'S SAYS, "NO." SHE SAYS, "YOU GOT TO LEAVE. HE'S GOING TO COME HERE AND KILL YOU." AND THEN I WAS JUST LIKE,

"WHATEVER, I'M GETTING A FORCEFUL DETAINER."

I'D AGREE WITH LEAVING THAT I WASN'T AFRAID OF HER HUSBAND THAT WAS HER REASON FOR ASKING ME TO LEAVE.

Q. YOU DIDN'T LEAVE THAT DAY, RIGHT?

A. DID I LEAVE?

Q. WELL, YOU DIDN'T LEAVE UNTIL YOU WERE ARRESTED BY THE DEPUTIES?

A. YEAH, I LEFT WHEN I WAS ARRESTED, BUT I WAS TRYING MY HARDEST TO GET OUT OF THERE. I WANTED TO GO TO SAN MARINO AND SERVE THE LAWSUIT ON THEM, AND THAT WAS MY PLAN. I WAS GOING TO BE HOMELESS IN SAN MARINO. THE WHOLE POINT OF SERVING SAN MARINO THE LAWSUITS WAS SO THEY STOP ARRESTING ME OVER THERE.

Q. IF YOU WERE TRYING SO HARD TO LEAVE, WHY DIDN'T YOU GET IN THAT FIRST UBER?

A. BECAUSE SHE WOULDN'T TELL THE UBER TO PARK UP ON THE DRIVEWAY.

Q. DIDN'T THE SECOND UBER COME IN THE DRIVEWAY?

A. UBER DRIVERS DO NOT -- LISTEN, A SIX-FOOT-FIVE BLACK GUY WITH DREADLOCKS, A BIG BLACK GUY, BIG ARMS APPROACHING AN UBER DRIVER, WHO DIDN'T CALL THE UBER. YOU NEED TO WAKE UP A LITTLE BIT. UBERS ONLY DEAL WITH THE --

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, NONRESPONSIVE. NO QUESTION PENDING.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, SUSTAINED.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: PLAYING YOU THE NEXT VIDEO. THIS ONE ENDS 5:87. THIS IS TIMESTAMP OF 10:13 A.M.

A. YEAH, PLAY IT.

(WHEREUPON PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT 1
IS PLAYED IN OPEN COURT.)

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: AT 10:13 A.M., THAT'S NOT A SECOND UBER THAT JUST PULLED INTO THE DRIVEWAY?

A. IT'S CLEAR YOU EDITED THE VIDEO BECAUSE WE WATCHED THIS VIDEO EARLIER AND THE JUDGE WILL CONFIRM THIS. WE SAW ME GOING OUTSIDE AND CONFRONTING THE UBER DRIVER IN A CONTINUOUS VIDEO --

Q. ARE YOU SAYING I EDITED THAT SUV INTO IT?

A. THAT'S A DIFFERENT VIDEO THAN WHAT WE SAW EARLIER, SIR. I'M NOT GOING TO KEEP ARGUING ABOUT THE VIDEO. YOU OBVIOUSLY -- THAT'S WHY YOU GUYS CONFISCATED MY GO PRO SO YOU CAN TWEAK IT AND EDIT IT. YOUR HONOR, WE SAW A VIDEO EARLIER. EVERYBODY SAW IT. I WAS NOT TOUCHING THE DOOR. I WAS ON MY PHONE FOR LIKE ABOUT A MINUTE, AND THEN I WALKED OUT, AND I APPROACHED THE SAME UBER DRIVER.

NOW, YOU'RE SHOWING A VIDEO THAT STARTS THERE. THESE VIDEOS HAVE BEEN MANIPULATED. PERIOD.

Q. WAS THERE A SECOND UBER AND DID YOU TELL THAT UBER TO LEAVE?

A. I TOLD THE UBER -- I DON'T KNOW IF I TOLD HIM TO LEAVE. I TOLD HIM THAT IT WASN'T BIG ENOUGH, AND IF YOU LOOK AT ALL THE STUFF THAT I HAD IN THERE, I NEEDED UBER XL. I NEEDED A MINIVAN.

Q. SO IF YOU WERE TRYING SO HARD TO LEAVE THAT DAY GOOD, WHY DIDN'T YOU GET INTO THE SECOND UBER EITHER?

A. IT WASN'T BIG ENOUGH. SO THE UBER THAT WE BROUGHT

THAT WE CAME IN WAS A TOYOTA SIENNA WHICH IS ONE OF THE BIGGEST MINI VANS THAT YOU AN FIND. I LOVE THEM. I TRAVEL A LOT IN THEM.

EVEN WHEN WE GOT IN THAT ONE, IT WAS LIKE JAM PACKED, LIKE, THERE WAS BARELY ANYWHERE FOR US TO SIT IN THE UBER. MY STUFF WAS IN THE BACK. IT IS ALL OVER THE UBER. SO NO WAY MY STUFF WOULD HAVE FIT IN THAT VIDEO, AND THAT VIDEO IS NOT THE SAME VIDEO.

Q. GOING BACK TO THREE SECONDS. SO THAT SUV, THAT JUST WASN'T GOOD ENOUGH FOR YOU?

A. IT'S NOT BIG ENOUGH, NO, NOT EVEN CLOSE. IT'S NOT A MINI VAN. I DON'T KNOW WHERE YOU'RE GETTING WITH THIS. YOU'RE SHOWING THIS VIDEO THAT'S A DIFFERENT VIDEO YOU SHOWED EARLIER.

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, NONRESPONSIVE. NO QUESTION PENDING.

THE COURT: SUSTAINED.

THE WITNESS: I'VE BEEN TRUTHFUL ABOUT EVERYTHING; THAT'S WHY I'M TESTIFYING.

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION. NO QUESTION PENDING.

THE WITNESS: OKAY.

THE COURT: SUSTAINED.

MR. ROSENBERG: NOTHING FURTHER.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. REDIRECT?

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. HOLLYWOOD: YEAH, IF THE COURT IS NOT SATISFIED

WITH THE VIDEO THAT DOESN'T SHOW ME MAKING A CRIMINAL THREAT, THEN THIS CASE CAN BE CONTINUED. THEY CAN BRING IN THE ORIGINAL GO PRO. AND WE CAN WATCH THE VIDEOS ON THE ORIGINAL GO PRO. AND THE COURT WILL SEE THAT IT DON'T LOOK LIKE THESE VIDEOS.

THESE VIDEOS HAVE BEEN EDITED. THEY HAVE BEEN BREAKEN UP WITH DURATIONS. AS WE SAW, THAT VIDEO RIGHT THERE STARTED WITH ME GOING OUTSIDE AND MEETING THE UBER. WE SAW A VIDEO EARLIER OF ME MAKING STATEMENTS THAT I WAS GOING TO SUE HER. I WAS SITTING DOWN. THERE WAS THREE TO FOUR MINUTES THAT GONE BY. AND THE SECOND UBER PULLS UP. SO WHEN I WENT OUTSIDE AND I MET WITH THE UBER, IT WAS LIKE THREE MINUTES IN THE VIDEO.

NOW, WE SEE A NEW VIDEO THAT STARTS RIGHT THERE. SO THERE'S EVIDENCE RIGHT THERE. I'M TOO SMART FOR YOU, ROSENBERG. THE NEXT THING IS ABOUT THE VEXATIOUS LITIGANT, HE'S INCORRECT. SO WHAT HAPPENED -- SO I JUST WANT TO ENLIGHTEN THE COURT TOO ABOUT VEXATIOUS LITIGANT. WHEN A VEXATIOUS LITIGANT BECOMES VEXATIOUS IN STATE COURT, HE HAS TO GET PERMISSION TO SUE ANYWHERE IN THE STATE.

SO EVEN IF HE MOVED TO SAN FRANCISCO, HE STILL GOT TO GET PERMISSION EVEN THOUGH HE BECAME A VEXATIOUS LITIGANT IN L.A. IF HE MOVES TO -- IF HE MOVES TO SAN DIEGO AND TRIES TO SUE PEOPLE THERE IN STATE COURT, HE'S STILL IN CALIFORNIA SUPERIOR COURT. HE HAS TO GET PERMISSION. AND IN FEDERAL COURT, THE VEXATIOUS LITIGANT ORDER ONLY STANDS FOR CENTRAL DISTRICT.

SO HOW I SUED ARCADIA AND PASADENA IS I FILED A

LAWSUIT I THINK IN SOUTHERN DISTRICT. ONCE IT WAS IN THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT, ARCADIA ATTORNIES MOVED FOR A MOTION TO TRANSFER VENUE SAYING IT WASN'T CONVENIENT FOR WITNESSES AND THAT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD WAS ONLY DOING THIS TO GO OVER, YOU KNOW, TO BYPASS THE VEXATIOUS LITIGANT THING.

SO THAT CASE WAS -- YOU CAN LOOK IT UP UNDER MY NAME. THAT CASE WAS REMOVED OR WAS TRANSFERRED FROM SOUTHERN TO CENTRAL. JUST SO YOU KNOW IT WAS DONE WITHOUT -- UNOPPOSED BECAUSE I WAS IN JAIL FOR 95 DAYS FOR A CRIME I DIDN'T COMMIT WITH THE COUNCIL MEMBER. I WASN'T ABLE TO FILE MY RESPONSE, AND THE COURT DIDN'T WAIT FOR ME.

IN REGARDS TO JENNIFER, WHEN I MADE THE STATEMENTS TO HER, "YOU DON'T KNOW WHO YOU'RE MESSING WITH," I MEANT LIKE YOU DON'T KNOW WHO YOU'RE MESSING WITH, LIKE, I'LL SUE YOU UNDER FORCEFUL DETAINER. THEN I'LL TAKE YOU UNLIMITED WITH NEAL, AND POTENTIALLY I'LL SUE YOU IN FEDERAL COURT. MAYBE I'LL FILE A LAWSUIT AGAINST YOU IN NORTHERN COURT AGAINST YOUR FAMILY MEMBERS.

THE LAWSUIT THAT I FILED AGAINST ARCADIA AND PASADENA, I SUED THE COUNCIL MEMBER. HIS NAME IS TYRONE HAMPTON. I SUED HIS WIFE. I THINK HIS WIFE IS TARA HAMPTON, AND ONE OF THE REASONS WHY THE COUNCIL MEMBER DID WHAT HE DID IS BECAUSE HE WAS AWARE THAT LAWSUIT AND THAT I WAS ACTIVELY GOING AFTER FAMILY MEMBERS.

SO THE VEXATIOUS LITIGANT FOR FEDERAL COURT ONLY EXTENDS TO CENTRAL DISTRICT, AND THAT'S WHY I HAVE NEAL HELPING ME WITH SUING PEOPLE IN CENTRAL DISTRICT. BUT IT DOESN'T STOP ME FROM SUING THE CENTRAL DISTRICT. IT DOESN'T

STOP ME FROM SUING IN THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OR ANY OTHER EASTERN DISTRICT, ANY OTHER DISTRICTS IN CALIFORNIA.

TOO SMART. I STARTED GETTING REAL SMART ABOUT MY LAWSUITS. I REALIZED I DON'T HAVE TO FILE MY LAWSUITS IN CALIFORNIA. I'LL JUST SUE THEM WHERE THEY'RE HEADQUARTERED.

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD, I THINK YOU COVERED THAT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: ALL RIGHT. LET ME COVER ONE MORE THING ABOUT THE CRIMINAL THREATS. SO IN 2021, I WAS HOMELESS AT THE SOUTH PASADENA LIBRARY. MY WOMAN WAS WITH ME. THERE WAS AN INCIDENT ACTUALLY WITH THE SAME WOMAN HE'S TALKING ABOUT WHERE I THREW THE CANDY AT HER. WELL, SHE ASSAULTED ME ANOTHER TIME, AND I ACTUALLY DID PRESS CHARGES ON HER FIRST FOR ASSAULTING ME. YOU KNOW, I THINK IT WAS AUGUST 15TH OR 16TH OR 17TH, 2021. THE RESPONDING OFFICERS WERE GRAMERO [PHONETIC] AND I BELIEVE ZAMORA.

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, RELEVANCE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: IT IS RELEVANT. WE'RE TALKING ABOUT THE CRIMINAL THREATS. I'M GOING TO GET TO THAT RIGHT IN A MINUTE. SO I HAD HER ARRESTED JUST SO YOU KNOW. I THINK SHE PUSHED MY ARM. THEY SAID, "DO YOU WANT TO PRESS CHARGES?" I HAVE A VIDEO. I WAS LIKE, "YEAH. JUST A MONTH AGO SHE HAD ME ARRESTED FOR THROWING CANDY AT HER, SURE."

SO THEY -- ZAMORA, WHO WAS THE LEAD OFFICER, HE SAID, "BASED ON WHAT HAPPENED, YOU AND ALISON GOT TO LEAVE THE LIBRARY. YOU CAN'T BE HERE." SO WE LEFT. WE WENT ACROSS THE STREET. HACIENDA HAS A HOUSE I THINK IT'S AT 1015 OR 1017 DIAMOND AVENUE.

THE COURT: OKAY, MR. HOLLYWOOD, GET TO THE POINT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'M GETTING TO THE CRIMINAL THREATS. I'M ALMOST THERE. SHE HAD ME ARRESTED OR SHE CALLED AND SAID THAT I WAS BLOCKING THE SIDEWALK. THEY ARRESTED ME. THEN AFTER I GOT OUT OF JAIL THE NEXT NIGHT, SHE WAS TAKING OUT HER TRASH OR SOMETHING. AND I SAW HER AND I SAID, "HEY, YOU GOT ME ARRESTED. I'M GOING TO SUE THE SHIT OUT OF YOU."

SO FOR FIVE MINUTES, I WENT OFF ON HER. I CALLED HER PECKER WOOD. I SAID I'M GOING TO SUE THE SHIT OUT OF YOU. I'M GOING TO SUE YOUR FAMILY -- NO, I DIDN'T TELL HER I'M GOING TO SUE YOUR FAMILY. I TOLD HER I'M GOING TO SUE YOUR LANDLORD. I KNOW YOU RENT THERE. SHE CALLED THE POLICE. SHE SAID THAT SHE MADE A STATEMENT THAT HE WAS GOING TO KILL MY MAMA. AND THEN SHE HAD A LADY THAT LIVED THERE. I THINK IT WAS HER LOVER WHO COLLABORATED HER STORY.

I WENT TO JAIL. I PLEAD NO CONTEST ON IT SO I CAN GET OUT. ONCE I GOT OUT OF JAIL, I GOT THE VIDEO. I THINK HIS NAME'S WILL ELSNOR [PHONETIC]. HE'S IN YOUR OFFICE. YOU'RE FAMILIAR WITH WILL ELSNOR. I GOT THE VIDEO TO WILL. HE GOT IT TO THE D.A. THERE WAS A HEARING ON APRIL 5TH, 2022, WHERE THEY BROUGHT ME IN FOR WARRANTS WITH BROUGHAM, AND BROUGHAM DISMISSED THE CASE.

AND SO THEY -- THE VIDEO IS ALSO ON MY INSTAGRAM CHANNEL. YOU CAN CHECK IT; FUTURE KING ENTERTAINMENT. THERE WAS TWO VIDEOS THEY DON'T SHOW ME THREATENING HER. SO NO, I DIDN'T MAKE CRIMINAL THREATS TO HER. SHE LIED.

THE COURT: OKAY. IS THAT IT?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YEAH, THAT'S ALL -- OH, WELL, JUST WANT TO KNOW IS THE COURT SATISFIED WITH THE VIDEOS BECAUSE, LIKE I

SAID, THIS VIDEO CLEARLY WAS EDITED.

THE COURT: LET'S BRING HIM DOWN. SO WHAT I INTERPRET WHAT YOU'RE SAYING, MR. HOLLYWOOD, IS THAT YOU WANT TO CONTINUE THE PRELIMINARY HEARING SO THAT YOU WOULD HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO OBTAIN THE GO PRO AND THEN MAYBE HAVE IT RE-EXAMINED TO DETERMINE --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: WELL, IF THEY DIDN'T TAKE THE VIDEOS OFF THE GO PRO IF THEY JUST COPIED THEM, THEY'RE STILL ON THERE, AND GO PRO CAN BE PLAYED IN COURT. BUT I MEAN EARLIER YOU SAID YOU DIDN'T HEAR ANY THREATS. YOU WERE SATISFIED. HE SEES THAT. HE'S TRYING TO TURN IT AROUND. IF THE COURT'S SATISFIED THAT THE VIDEOS DON'T SHOW ME COMMITTING A CRIME, WE CAN MOVE FORWARD. BUT IF THE COURT IS NOT SATISFIED, BASED ON WHAT --

THE COURT: WELL, HERE'S THE THING, I'M INCLINED TO SAY THAT FOR PURPOSES OF PRELIMINARY HEARING, I DON'T BELIEVE I NEED TO CONTINUE THE MATTER SO THAT YOU CAN HAVE THE GO PRO EXAMINED.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: OKAY.

THE COURT: IF THIS CASE GOES TO TRIAL, THAT IS SOMETHING YOU MAY WANT TO MAKE A MOTION TO HAVE THE GO PRO EXAMINED AND MAY BE TURNED OVER TO AN EXPERT WHO CAN EXAMINE AND DETERMINE WHETHER THE GO PRO, THE CONTENTS OF THE GO PRO, IS ANY DIFFERENT THAN WHAT WE WERE SHOWN HERE IN COURT AND WHAT MR. ROSENBERG HAS ON HIS FLASH DRIVE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: OKAY.

THE COURT: FOR PURPOSES OF PRELIMINARY HEARING, I DON'T FIND GOOD CAUSE TO CONTINUE THE MATTER.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: OKAY.

THE COURT: SO AT THIS POINT, YOU HAVE EXHIBITS.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YES.

THE COURT: TWO EXHIBITS; A AND B. OH, THE LETTER. DO YOU WANT TO MOVE THOSE INTO EVIDENCE?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YES, AND THE 911, AND THE POLICE REPORTS AND --

THE COURT: WELL, THE POLICE REPORT IS NOT EVIDENCE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: WHAT ABOUT THE D.A.'S LETTER?

THE COURT: THE D.A'S LETTER IS NOT EVIDENCE EITHER. IT'S JUST A AND B; THE PARTIAL TRANSCRIPT OF THE 911 CALL IS B AND THEN THE LETTER IS A.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: CAN I GET A COPY OF MY MOTION?

THE COURT: HOLD ON. HOLD ON. ANY OBJECTION TO A AND B?

MR. ROSENBERG: NO.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, A AND B ARE RECEIVED.

(DEFENSE'S EXHIBITS LETTER A AND B
ARE ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)

THE COURT: DO YOU REST, MR. HOLLYWOOD?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YEAH, JUST -- I WANT, ONE, TO GET A COPY OF THE MOTION TO DISMISS BECAUSE THAT'S GOING TO BE PART OF MY ARGUMENT.

THE COURT: OKAY. A MOTION TO DISMISS. WHICH ONE?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THE ONE I FILED LIKE A COUPLE DAYS AGO.

THE COURT: THE ONE THAT WAS TURNED OVER THIS MORNING?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO -- YEAH, I THINK IT'S IN THERE. YEAH,

BECAUSE THAT'S RIGHT YOU NEVER -- YOU NEVER GOT IT.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, SO LET'S SEE WHAT WE GOT IN HERE. I'M NOT SURE I SEE WHAT YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT. MAYBE IT'S IN THE EARLIER FILING.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: IT'S CALLED MOTION TO DISMISS. IT'S LIKE SIX PAGES.

THE COURT: WAS THAT FILED EARLIER?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO -- YEAH, YOU KNOW WHAT I THINK I DID GIVE IT TO THE COURT THE LAST HEARING.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. HERE IT IS. IS THIS IT RIGHT HERE. IT'S MULTIPLE PAGES.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: IT'S ABOUT SIX PAGES.

THE COURT: SIX PAGES.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO, THAT'S THE APPENDIX OF EXHIBITS. IT'S THE ACTUAL MOTION. IT'S LIKE SIX PAGES. IT WAS THE PAPERS.

THE COURT: OKAY, THE FIRST SIX PAGES.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO, IT WAS IN THE STUFF THAT I GAVE TO THE COURT THIS MORNING. IT WAS IN THERE.

THE COURT: WELL, YOU KNOW, I DON'T SEE IT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I THINK I MIGHT HAVE GAVE IT TO THE COURT THE PREVIOUS DAY.

THE COURT: WHY DON'T YOU ORALLY GIVE IT TO ME RIGHT NOW.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: IT'S HARD TO REMEMBER WHAT I SAID. I SAID SOMETHING ABOUT INCONSISTENT STATEMENTS.

THE COURT: YOU KNOW WHAT THE EVIDENCE IS. ARGUE THE EVIDENCE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'LL TRY. SO READY TO ARGUE?

THE COURT: YES, GO AHEAD.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: SO I'M GOING TO GO FIRST OFF ALL THE STATEMENTS THAT WERE MADE THAT TURNED OUT TO BE PERJURY. SO COULD YOU STILL HEAR CRIMINAL THREATS BEING MADE --

THE COURT: OH, YOU KNOW WHAT? HERE IT IS RIGHT HERE. HERE WE GO. HAND THIS TO HIM. WE'LL MAKE A COPY LATER, BUT GIVE IT TO HIM NOW SO HE CAN MAKE HIS ARGUMENT. AND SAVE IT THERE BECAUSE WE'LL MAKE A COPY AND KEEP IT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: OF COURSE, I'LL GIVE IT BACK. COULD YOU STILL HEAR CRIMINAL THREATS BEING MADE TO HER AFTER SHE DIALED 911; THAT WAS QUESTION SIX. SHE SAID YES; THAT WAS NOT TRUE. WE LISTENED TO TWO 911 TAPES, AND YOU COULD NOT HEAR ME IN THE BACKGROUND AT ALL YELLING. AND I'M SURE THE COURT WOULD AGREE THAT MY VOICE CARRIES. SHE SHOULD BE ABLE TO HEAR ME OR, IF I WAS YELLING AT HER, THEY WOULD BE ABLE TO HEAR ME IN 911.

THE NEXT ONE IS HOLLYWOOD TOLD YOU THAT HE WAS GOING TO COME BACK TO YOUR RESIDENCE AFTER HE GETS ARRESTED AND KILLS YOU; THAT WAS QUESTION 16. SHE SAID YES. DID YOU TELL DEPUTY CONTRERAS THAT YOU CONFRONTED HOLLYWOOD IN YOUR KITCHEN AND CHARGED AT HIM; THAT WAS QUESTION 33. SHE SAID YES; THAT WAS A LIE. EVEN DETECTIVE K. -- I'M GOING TO CALL JUST K. I CAN'T PRONOUNCE HIS NAME. EVEN DETECTIVE K. TESTIFIED THAT THAT WAS NOT IN THE POLICE REPORT.

DIDN'T YOU TELL DEPUTY CONTRERAS THAT, AFTER THE FIRST UBER ARRIVED, YOU LOCKED THE DOOR OF THE GARAGE TO GIVE ACCESS TO THE RESIDENCE, MADE NO PHYSICAL CONTACT WITH HOLLYWOOD AFTER BEING -- AFTER LOCKING HIS DOOR; THAT WAS QUESTION -- IN YOUR HOME; THAT WAS QUESTION 41. SHE SAYS NO.

AGAIN DETECTIVE K. TESTIFIED -- REMEMBER IT'S NOT ACCURATE -- THAT THAT IS WHAT SHE DID TELL CONTRERAS THAT AFTER WE SAW IN THE VIDEO WENT INSIDE, I WAS LOCKED OUT AFTER THAT. THAT WAS IT. THERE WAS NO COMING IN THE KITCHEN.

NEXT ONE, ON THE MORNING OF FEBRUARY 21ST, 2023, DID YOU RECEIVE TWO SEPARATE TEXT MESSAGES FROM HOLLYWOOD. SHE SAID NO. I TESTIFIED I DID TEXT HER; THAT WAS CONFIRMED BY THE VIDEO THAT WE SAW ME SENDING A TEXT MESSAGE. SHE LIED ABOUT THAT; THAT WAS QUESTION 61. TOLD DEPUTY CONTRERAS THAT HOLLYWOOD SAID HE WAS GOING TO PUT HER IN THE GROUND. SHE SAID YES. WHILE DETECTIVE K. WAS TRYING TO HELP HER, THERE'S NOTHING IN THE POLICE REPORT ABOUT PUT IN GROUND OR ANYTHING CLOSE TO THAT. THE STATEMENTS SPECIFIC NOT SEE TOMORROW. VERY SIMILAR TO THE LIGHT OF DAY. WE'LL GET TO THAT LATER. BUT IT'S NOT ANYWHERE NEAR THERE. THAT WAS ALSO PERJURY STATEMENTS SHE MADE.

TOLD ROSENBERG THAT HOLLYWOOD SAID THAT HE WAS GOING TO PUT HER IN THE GROUND. SHE SAID YES. SHE DIDN'T TELL ROSENBERG THAT. I WANT A SHOW DOWN. I'M GLAD YOU CALLED THE COPS. I'LL KILL THEM TOO. SHE SAID SHE TOLD THAT TO ROSENBERG. SHE SAID THAT SHE TOLD THAT TO CONTRERAS. SHE DIDN'T TELL THAT TO ROSENBERG. SHE DIDN'T TELL THAT TO CONTRERAS; THAT WAS ANOTHER PERJURE STATEMENT.

HOLLYWOOD MADE THREATS AFTER THE FIRST UBER DEPARTED. I DON'T KNOW WHY -- YEAH, I'M NOT SURE WHY THAT QUESTION WAS THERE. HOLLYWOOD WAS STANDING IN HER KITCHEN HOLDING A KNIFE. SHE CHARGED AT HOLLYWOOD WHILE HE IS HOLDING THE KNIFE, COME BACK IN THE KITCHEN TO SEE IF HOLLYWOOD'S

STILL THERE. HOLLYWOOD CALLED FEMALE UBER DRIVER A CHINK. WE SAW IN THE VIDEO; THAT WAS ALSO A PERJURE STATEMENT.

MAYBE ATTACK ON MY -- IT MIGHT BE DEFAMATION OF CHARACTER. SHE'S MAKING ME LOOK LIKE A RACIST. NO ONE SAW ME CALL THE UBER DRIVER DEROGATORY NAME. AND DETECTIVE K. TESTIFIED THAT THERE WAS NO MENTION ABOUT HER CHARGING AT ME WHEN I HAD A KNIFE. HE ALSO TESTIFIED THAT SHE NEVER CAME BACK OUT A SECOND TIME OUT. HE SAID HE DIDN'T RECALL THAT. THAT'S WHAT I GOT AS FAR AS PERJURY GOES.

ONTO THE 911 TAPES, THERE WAS TWO 911 TAPES.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, SUBMITTED.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: WHAT MY ARGUMENT, NO, NO. I'M STILL ARGUING.

THE COURT: OKAY.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: ONTO THE 911 TAPES, THERE WAS TWO 911 TAPES. THIS ONE I TRIED TO ADMIT AS EXHIBIT. THIS IS THE FIRST ONE. HE'S ALL TALKING ABOUT ALL THESE THREATS. THE FIRST ONE SHE SAYS, "HE JUST REFUSING TO LEAVE NOW. HE JUST -- HE'S JUST REFUSING TO LEAVE NOW." THIS IS WHAT SHE'S TELLING 911; THAT THE ONLY THING THIS MAN'S DOING WRONG IS REFUSING TO LEAVE THE HOUSE. THERE'S NO CRIMINAL THREATS.

"HE'S REFUSING TO LEAVE NOW, AND I SAID YOU NEED TO LEAVE IN THE NEXT FIVE MINUTES." AND HE SAID, "FUCK," AND SHE SAID, "I DON'T LIKE TO CUSS." LATER SHE SAYS, "HE'S NOT EVEN MOVING. HE'S NOT EVEN MOVING ANY OF HIS STUFF OUT." SHE'S HYSTERICAL THAT THIS MAN'S NOT MOVING HIS STUFF OUT. THERE'S NOTHING EVEN CLOSE ABOUT THREATS.

THEY SAY, "WELL, WHERE IS HE NOW? HE'S ON THE RIGHT

SIDE OF THE HOUSE. DID YOU LOCK YOURSELF IN THE ROOM." SHE SAYS, "YEAH, I USED THE DOOR STOP." AND THEN SHE SAYS -- THIS IS WHERE SHE SAYS HE WANTS ME TO CALL -- HE SEEMS LIKE HE WANTS A BIG THING, YOU KNOW, WITH THE POLICE. BIG THING WITH THE POLICE. DOESN'T SAY ANYTHING. I TESTIFIED I HATE THE POLICE. IT DOESN'T SAY ANYTHING REMOTELY ABOUT A THREAT.

NOW, UNFORTUNATELY SOMETHING HAPPENED WHERE, YOU KNOW, I DON'T HAVE THE SECOND 911, BUT WE LISTENED TO THE SECOND 911. AND AGAIN SHE'S LIKE, "WHERE ARE YOU GUYS AT? WHERE ARE YOU GUYS AT?" SHE'S EVEN DISRESPECTFUL TO THE DISPATCH, "OH, I WANT YOUR SERIAL NUMBER. WHAT ARE YOU DOING."

AND HE WAS WRONG, ROSENBERG, WAS WRONG TO COACH THE WITNESS ABOUT THAT. THE SHERIFF'S RESPOND TO A LOT OF EMERGENCY CALLS. THEY'VE GOT SOUTH EL MONTE. THEY GOT TEMPLE CITY, NOT SO MUCH NORTH SAN GABRIEL VALLEY. THEY DID THEIR BEST TO GO ON THERE. AND IF YOU LOOK AT THE TEXT FROM THE 911 CALL, I DON'T HAVE IT HERE. IT SAYS THEY UPGRADED TO 415; THAT BASED ON TWO CALLS, THEY ONLY LOOKED AT IT AS A 415.

IF ALL THESE STATEMENTS AND CRIMINAL THREATS WERE MADE TO HER. AT LEAST TWO CALLS, SHE WOULD HAVE SAID SOMETHING. WE SAW HOW SHE TESTIFIED. WE SAW HOW SHE FELT ABOUT ME. HOW SHE WAS OUT TO GET ME. IT WOULD BE STUPID FOR THE COURT TO BELIEVE THAT, IF CRIMINAL THREATS WERE MADE TO HER, SHE WOULDN'T HAVE TOLD 911. SHE WOULD HAVE TOLD 911, AND WE KNOW THAT. AND THAT'S WHY THEY'RE PLAYING ALL THESE GAMES ABOUT THE 911 CALL.

THE BOTTOM LINE IS SHE DIDN'T TELL 911 THAT I

THREATENED HER BECAUSE IT NEVER HAPPENED, AND I TESTIFIED TO THAT.

THE NEXT THING IS WITH HEGER, THERE WAS -- THERE'S A PATTERN OF PRIOR CONDUCT. SO I KNOW THE COURT WOULDN'T ALLOW THIS, BUT THE COURT IS AWARE ABOUT THE DIVORCE PROCEEDINGS. SHE HAS A CASE WITH HER. SHE MAKES HERSELF SEEM LIKE SHE'S -- BECAUSE SHE'S DELUSIONAL. HEGER IS DIVORCING HER. SHE'S THE RESPONDENT IN THE CASE.

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THIS IS ARGUMENT, SIR.

MR. ROSENBERG: THIS WAS NOT PART OF THE PRELIM.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THIS IS ARGUMENT.

THE COURT: OVERRULED, GO AHEAD.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: HEGER, JOEL HEGER, IS DIVORCING HER. SHE'S THE RESPONDENT, AND SHE KEEPS RESPONDING WITH ALL THIS STUFF IN THE CASE ABOUT HE'S PHYSICALLY ABUSING HER. HE'S TRYING TO KILL HER, AND ALL THIS STUFF, RIGHT. AND THEN, WITH HEGER SHE HAS A SAME PATTERN AS THIS CASE. THE COURT DIDN'T ALLOW IT, BUT THERE'S ANOTHER THING WITH BERELLO [PHONETIC].

SHE TOLD BERELLO SOMETHING LIKE THAT WE'RE TREATING THIS LIKE A BUSINESS. WE'RE STICKING TO THE FACTS. WHY WOULD A PERSON THAT'S REPORTING A CRIMINAL THREAT TALK LIKE THAT? AND BERELLO WAS LIKE, "I'M ASKING HER QUESTIONS, AND SHE'S TELLING ME TO SHUT THE FUCK UP." AND LATER WHEN BERELLO INTERVIEWS HEGER, HE SAYS, "DID YOU MAKE THESE STATEMENTS?" I HAVE NO IDEA WHAT SHE'S TALKING ABOUT. THE LIGHT OF DAY. HE SAYS, "YOU CAN'T SEE THROUGH THAT?" HE SAY, "I CAN GET IN THE HOUSE IF I WANTED TO." BUT THE LIGHT OF DAY, LIKE HEGER

DIDN'T UNDERSTAND WHY ON THE PHONE CALL ABOUT CHEATING ALLEGATIONS THAT SHE WOULD MAKE SOMETHING LIKE THAT UP. BUT IF YOU LOOK AT THAT STATEMENT, LIGHT OF DAY, IT'S VERY SIMILAR IN THIS CASE NEVER SEE TOMORROW. SO THERE'S A HISTORY OF SIMILAR CRIMINAL THREATS ALLEGATIONS WITH PRESENT HEGER.

THE D.A. LETTER. IN THE D.A. LETTER SHE SAYS THIS CASE MUST BE IRONCLAD. YOU SHOULD RECUSE YOURSELF. I'M GOING TO MAKE NOISE WITH COURT TRANSCRIPTS. YOU KNOW, JUST LIKE WHO DOES THAT? I MEAN WHEN HAS ANYONE SEEN A VICTIM THAT SUPPOSED TO BE IN SUSTAINED FEAR IN A CRIMINAL THREATS CASE DO A LETTER LIKE THAT. I MEAN, WE SAW ON THE STAND SHE'S CLEARLY NOT AFRAID OF ME. DO A LETTER LIKE THAT WHERE SHE'S TALKING ABOUT THE CASE NEEDS TO BE IRONCLAD, AND YOU KNOW THIS IS DISCOVERY. IT'S WEIRD. YOU KNOW, IT'S LIKE WHO DOES THAT?

ONTO THE LEGAL ARGUMENT FOR -- OH, LIKE I SAID, THE SECOND 911 TAPE I CAN'T ARGUE ABOUT TOO MUCH ABOUT THAT. I HEARD IT. I DIDN'T HEAR ANY THREATS. I REALLY FEEL THE REASON WHY IT WASN'T TRANSCRIBED IS BECAUSE IT WASN'T REALLY RELEVANT. IT DOESN'T HAVE PROBATIVE VALUE.

MOVING ONTO THE MOTION TO DISMISS. SO THE FIRST GROUND IS JENNIFER HEGER'S PRIOR CONDUCT MAKING PEOPLE'S CRIMINAL THREATS CHARGE INSUFFICIENT. SHE TESTIFIED JUST IN 2023 SHE ALLEGED JOEL HEGER THREATENED TO BREAK INTO HER HOME AND TOLD HER THAT SHE WOULD NEVER SEE THE LIGHT OF DAY. IN THE CASE HEGER TOLD CONTRERAS HOLLYWOOD THREATENED TO BREAK INTO HER HOUSE AND TOLD HER SHE WOULD NEVER SEE TOMORROW.

DOES HEGER'S PRIOR CONDUCT WITH JOEL HEGER'S CRIMINAL THREAT ALLEGATIONS IS ALMOST IDENTICAL TO HER CONDUCT

AND FALSE STATEMENTS IN THIS CASE. IT'S A PATTERN; HIDES IN THE BEDROOM, LOCKS THE DOOR, SOMEONE TRIES TO BREAK IN.

IN CASE OF BERELLO HERE SHE ALLEGED AFTER HOLLYWOOD BEGAN TRYING TO BREAK INTO HER MAIN RESIDENCE, SHE LOCKED HIM OUT. SHE CALLED 911, SET OFF HER RING ALARM. IN 2023, JENNIFER HEGER TOLD SOUTH PASADENA POLICE DEPARTMENT THAT JOEL HEGER CAME HOME TO GET HIS MAIL. JENNIFER SAID SHE LOCKED ALL THE DOORS AND MADE THE HOUSE APPEAR THAT NO ONE WAS HOME. JENNIFER SAID SHE HID IN THE BEDROOM WHILE DOING THIS. NEXT, JOEL TRIED TO OPEN THE BACK DOOR. SHE SET OFF THE AUDIBLE ALARM CAUSING HIM TO LEAVE. THERE'S INSUFFICIENCY OF THE EVIDENCE TO BOUND HOLLYWOOD OVER BASED ON JENNIFER HEGER'S PRIOR CONDUCT.

THE GROUND B, JENNIFER HEGER'S INCONSISTENCIES AND STATEMENTS TESTIFIED TO MAKE THE PEOPLE'S CRIMINAL THREATS CHARGED INSUFFICIENT. JENNIFER HEGER TESTIFIED THAT SHE TOLD CONTRERAS THAT HOLLYWOOD THREATENED TO KILL HER. HEGER TESTIFIED THAT SHE DID NOT TELL 911 THAT HOLLYWOOD THREATENED TO KILL HER. BY THE WAY, THIS WAS MADE BEFORE I GOT HERE, OR BEFORE SHE TESTIFIED. DESPITE HER MAKING TWO SEPARATE CALLS TO 911 EMERGENCY, HEGER TESTIFIED SHE TOLD ROSENBERG THAT SHE CHARGED AT HOLLYWOOD, CONFRONTED HIM IN HER KITCHEN, ASKED HIM, "WHAT THE FUCK ARE YOU STILL DOING IN MY KITCHEN?"

THEN HEGER TOLD BOTH 911, CONTRERAS THAT SHE WAS NEVER IN THE SAME ROOM AS HOLLYWOOD AFTER THE UBER DISAGREEMENT. IN FACT, LOCKED HOLLYWOOD OUT OF THE MAIN RESIDENCE AND THEN LOCKED HERSELF IN THE BEDROOM. HEGER NEVER TOLD 911 OR CONTRERAS THAT SHE CONFRONTED HOLLYWOOD IN PERSON

IN HER KITCHEN AND THEN CHARGED AT HIM. HEGER'S STATEMENT TO ROSENBERG WERE CONSISTENT WITH A PATHOLOGICAL LIAR.

LASTLY, HEGER'S STATEMENTS TO DEPUTY CONTRERAS ARE INCONSISTENT WITH HER ORIGINAL STATEMENTS MADE TO 911, THE TWO SEPARATE CALLS. WHILE HEGER TOLD CONTRERAS THAT HOLLYWOOD THREATENED TO KILL HER WHILE SHE WAS ON THE TELEPHONE WITH 911, IN HER SECOND 911 CALL, SHE TOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT THAT THE SITUATION WITH HOLLYWOOD HAD NOT ESCALATED BEYOND THE UBER PARKING DISAGREEMENT. BASED UPON JENNIFER HEGER'S NUMEROUS PRIOR STATEMENTS OF INCONSISTENCIES, THERE'S INSUFFICIENCY -- OR THERE IS INSUFFICIENT EVIDENCE FOR THE COURT TO FIND PROBABLE CAUSE TO BELIEVE THAT HOLLYWOOD VIOLATED CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE SECTION 422 ON FEBRUARY 21ST, 2021 [SIC].

GROUND C, BASED UPON THE EVIDENCE PRESENTED OF STATEMENTS JENNIFER HEGER MADE TO 911 EMERGENCY ON TWO SEPARATE 911 AUDIO CALLS, THE PEOPLE HAD INSUFFICIENT EVIDENCE TO CHARGE HOLLYWOOD IN THE FIRST PLACE. JENNIFER HEGER TESTIFIED THAT DURING TWO SEPARATE 911 EMERGENCY CALLS, SHE'S NEVER ALLEGED HOLLYWOOD THREATENED TO PHYSICAL HARM HER. AGAIN, THIS WAS PRE-MADE I KNEW WHAT SHE WAS ALREADY GOING TO SAY.

UNDER CROSS-EXAMINATION HEGER ADMITTED SHE TOLD 911 THAT HER CONFRONTATION WITH HOLLYWOOD NEVER ESCALATED BEYOND THEIR UBER DISAGREEMENT. HEGER TESTIFIED THAT DURING THE TWO 911 EMERGENCY CALLS SHE NEVER REPORTED THAT HOLLYWOOD DONE OR SAID ANYTHING WRONG BESIDES REFUSE TO LEAVE. HEGER ADMITTED THAT SHE DID NOT REPORT TO 911 THAT HOLLYWOOD HAD BEEN YELLING AND CURSING AT HER. WE SAW THAT ON THE STAND -- WELL, SHE

KIND OF GOT AROUND IT. SHE SAID THAT SHE TOLD 911. SO I WANT TO CORRECT BECAUSE I DIDN'T KNOW SHE WAS GOING TO LIE. BUT SHE TESTIFIED THAT SHE TOLD 911 I USED PROFANITY, BUT THAT'S NOT WHAT SHE TOLD 911 THAT WAS ALSO PERJURY. BUT I PUT ON HERE ASSUMING SHE WAS GOING TO TELL THE TRUTH ABOUT THAT, THAT YOU KNOW SHE SAID THAT.

THE OVERWHELMING EVIDENCE PRODUCED BY THE PEOPLE'S TWO 911 CALLS IS HOLLYWOOD WAS VERY CALM AND CIVIL DURING THE ENTIRE INCIDENT WITH HEGER, MAKES IT EXTREMELY HARD FOR THIS COURT TO BELIEVE IN THE SPAN OF FIVE MINUTES HOLLYWOOD ATTEMPTED TO BREAK DOWN HEGER'S DOOR, AND DON'T FORGET THAT SHE SAID I WAS BREAKING DOWN THE DOOR AND SAID HE WOULD KILL HER. WHILE HEGER'S STATEMENT TO ROSENBERG AND CONTRERAS, ARE CLEARLY LIES.

THE STATEMENTS SHE MADE TO 911 EMERGENCY ON TWO SEPARATE CALLS ARE THE TRUTH. IN HOLDING HEGER TO STICK WITH HER ORIGINAL ALLEGATIONS AND STATEMENTS TO 911 EMERGENCY, THERE IS INSUFFICIENT EVIDENCE FOR THE COURT TO FIND PROBABLE CAUSE TO BELIEVE THAT HOLLYWOOD VIOLATED CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE SECTION 422 ON FEBRUARY 21ST, 2023. BASED UPON -- I THINK THAT'S THE LAST GROUND BECAUSE THE COURT SAID THAT I COULDN'T USE THE -- WHATEVER IT IS, THE 72-HOUR THING.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, SUBMITTED?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YEAH.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, FIRST OF ALL, WE HAVE THE TESTIMONY OF THE WITNESS, MS. JENNIFER, WHO DID TESTIFY THAT SHE WAS THREATENED BY MR. HOLLYWOOD. HERE HER TESTIMONY IN COURT WAS THAT HE MADE A COUPLE STATEMENTS BASICALLY THAT,

"I'M GOING TO PUT YOU IN THE GROUND. YOU'LL NEVER SEE THE LIGHT OF DAY." AND THEN YOU ALSO MENTIONED THAT YOU WOULD KILL THE POLICE TOO IF THEY RESPONDED, I SUPPOSE, TO THE CALLS.

THE VIDEOTAPE THAT WE HAVE, AND I GOT TO TELL YOU THIS IS WHAT WE HAVE, AND THIS IS WHAT I'VE BEEN SHOWN, AND IT RECORDS THE VIDEO TIMES WHEN THE CALLS WERE REPORTED. AND THEN WE ALSO HAVE THE RECORDINGS OF THE 911 CALLS. AND WE HAVE THE 911 CALLS, THE FIRST CALL, ACCORDING TO THE TESTIMONY OF THE DETECTIVE, WAS MADE AT ABOUT 10:03 A.M. AND IT WENT ON FOR A COUPLE MINUTES.

THE PEOPLE'S EVIDENCE IS THAT -- OR THEIR THEORY OF THE CASE IS THAT THE THREAT THAT WAS ALLEGED TO HAVE BEEN MADE THROUGH THE DOOR BY THE DEFENDANT WITH THE VICTIM ON THE OTHER SIDE OF THE WALL WAS SOMETIME AFTER THE FIRST 911 CALL. AND THAT WOULD ACCOUNT FOR THE REASON WHY ON THE 911 CALL BASICALLY SHE'S JUST STATING THAT HE'S REFUSED TO LEAVE. THERE'S NO ALLEGATION OF ANY THREATS MADE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THANK YOU.

THE COURT: THEN WE HAVE THE EVIDENCE THAT THE SECOND 911 CALL WAS MADE AT 10:09 AND WE HAVE THE GO PRO SUPPOSEDLY WAS RECORDING FROM BETWEEN 9:59 A.M. AND 10:06 A.M. AND THAT AT 10:06 A.M. THE GO PRO WAS TURNED OFF, ACCORDING TO MR. ROSENBERG'S THEORY, AND IT WAS TURNED OFF AND WASN'T PUT ON AGAIN ABOUT 10:13 WHEN YOU'RE OUTSIDE, AND THAT'S WHEN WE SEE THAT OTHER RECORDING.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: RIGHT.

THE COURT: SO THE THEORY IS THAT THE THREATS WERE MADE

BETWEEN 10:06 AND 10:13 AND THAT THEY WEREN'T RECORDED ON THE GO PRO, AND WHEN JENNIFER REPORTED THE SECOND 911 CALL AT 10:09 --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YEAH.

THE COURT: -- THAT HAD ALREADY OCCURRED, THE THREAT HAD ALREADY OCCURRED. I DID HEAR THE TAPE AND SHE DOESN'T TALK ABOUT THE THREATS SO MUCH ON THE SECOND CALL.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THANK YOU.

THE COURT: IN THE FACT, SHE DOESN'T MENTION IT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: DIDN'T SAY ANYTHING.

THE COURT: THERE ARE SOME OTHER INCONSISTENCIES HERE TOO. AND THEN THERE IS THE ALLEGATION SHE'S MADE SHE WAS IN THE KITCHEN THAT YOU HAD A KNIFE. YOU WERE HOLDING A KNIFE --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YUP.

THE COURT: -- AND SHE CHARGED AT YOU WHICH IS A LITTLE STRANGE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: SIX-FOOT-FIVE BLACK MAN.

THE COURT: THERE'S ALSO THE TESTIMONY THAT THE DEPUTIES, WHEN THEY TOOK HER STATEMENT, THAT THEY ALSO TOOK A STATEMENT REGARDING BEFORE THEY WERE -- BEFORE THEY LEFT OR ABOUT TO LEAVE THEY CAME BACK, SHE SHOWED THEM THE KNIFE, AND THAT THEY TOOK A STATEMENT REGARDING THAT KNIFE. BUT THERE'S NOTHING IN THE POLICE REPORTS ABOUT THAT. SO THERE ARE INCONSISTENCIES THERE. THERE'S ONE OTHER INCONSISTENCY THERE I NOTICE THAT WHEN YOU PRESENTED EVIDENCE OF THIS INCIDENT WITH HER HUSBAND THERE'S SIMILAR LANGUAGE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THANK YOU, LIGHT OF DAY. NEVER TOMORROW.

THE COURT: ABOUT HOW YOU WOULDN'T SEE THE LIGHT OF DAY;

THAT'S KIND OF UNUSUAL THAT BOTH PARTIES WOULD SAY THAT. HOWEVER, THE BOTTOM LINE IS THAT IT COMES DOWN TO WHETHER YOU BELIEVE MS. HEGER FOR PURPOSE OF PRELIMINARY HEARING. AND I GOT TO TELL YOU, MR. HOLLYWOOD, WHEN SHE TESTIFIED REGARDING THE THREATS, HER FEAR --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: RIGHT.

THE COURT: -- AND WHAT HAD HAPPENED, IT SOUNDED CREDIBLE TO ME.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: RIGHT.

THE COURT: I'M JUST THE JUDGE, YOU KNOW. I'M JUST SAYING FOR PURPOSE OF PRELIMINARY HEARING --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: RIGHT.

THE COURT: -- I THINK SHE HAS SHOWN AND THE PEOPLE HAVE SHOWN THAT THERE'S SUFFICIENT EVIDENCE HERE TO SHOW THAT YOU, IN FACT, DID MAKE A CRIMINAL THREATS TO HER. SHE WAS TERRIFIED AT THE TIME. IN COURT SHE TESTIFIED OF HER FEAR OF YOU, AND I THINK THAT, BASED ON THAT EVIDENCE, FOR PURPOSES PRELIMINARY HEARING --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: RIGHT.

THE COURT: -- I THINK THERE'S SUFFICIENT EVIDENCE TO HOLD YOU TO ANSWER.

SO IT APPEARING TO ME FROM THE EVIDENCE PRESENTED THAT THE FOLLOWING OFFENSES HAVE BEEN COMMITTED, TO WIT --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: WHAT ABOUT THE GO PRO VIDEO?

THE COURT: HOLD ON. THAT THE DEFENDANT IS GUILTY THEREOF, TO WIT, IN COUNT 1; A VIOLATION OF PENAL CODE SECTION 422, A FELONY. I ORDER THAT THE DEFENDANT BE HELD TO ANSWER. BAIL SHALL REMAIN IN THE SUM OF $100,000. I'LL SET THE DATE

OF ARRAIGNMENT IN THIS COURT IN TWO WEEKS; THAT WILL BE ON APRIL THE 19TH, 2023, AND THAT WILL BE HERE IN DEPARTMENT 1.

AS TO THE GO PRO, WHAT I WOULD SUGGEST, AND I ALREADY TOLD YOU THIS IS THOUGHT --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YEAH.

THE COURT: -- IF YOU ARE ARGUING THE AUTHENTICITY OF THE EVIDENCE THAT WE SAW REGARDING THE GO PRO, I WOULD, IF I WERE YOU, I WOULD GET SOMEBODY APPOINTED, AN EXPERT, YOU WOULD FILE THAT REQUEST WITH THIS COURT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I DID IT THERE.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, IF I ALREADY HAVE IT, I'LL TAKE A LOOK AT THAT AND WE WILL --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: OKAY, SO REGARDING THE GO PRO, THEY SAID THEY WERE GOING TO RETURN IT. ONCE THEY RETURN IT, NEAL CAN COME IN, AND WE CAN HOLD THE EVIDENTIARY HEARING.

THE COURT: SO WHAT I'LL DO IS I'LL ORDER, ONCE YOU WE HAVE AN EXPERT APPOINTED, WE CAN HAVE THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT ORDERED TO TURN THAT OVER TO TURN THAT OVER TO THE EXPERT AND THE EXPERT WILL CONDUCT THE EVALUATION.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I DON'T NEED AN EXPERT. I WANT MY GO PRO BACK. NEAL CAN TAKE CARE OF THAT. THEY HAVE PLACES THAT CAN DO DATA RECOVERY AND RECOVER WHATEVER THEY DID. I DON'T KNOW DEPENDS IF THEY COPIED THE VIDEOS OR THEY REMOVED. SO IF THEY CUT THE VIDEOS THE ORIGINAL VIDEO ARE NOT ON THE GO PRO.

THE COURT: I WOULD SUGGEST THAT FOR PURPOSES OF CHAIN OF CUSTODY AND FOR PURPOSES OF -- YOU DON'T WANT TO GET AN ALLEGATION THAT YOU MAY HAVE MESSED WITH THE THING. SO IT WOULD BE BETTER IF IT'S TURNED OVER TO AN EXPERT DIRECTLY.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: SO MY GO PRO CAN'T BE RETURNED TO ME AND, IF IT CAN'T BE RETURNED TO ME, IT SHOULD BE BROUGHT TO COURT SO I CAN SEE IF THE ORIGINAL VIDEOS ARE ON THE GO PRO. SINCE THE COURT HOLDING ME TO ANSWER, I NEED SUBPOENAS WITH VERIZON. MY SUBPOENAS WITH GOOGLE. THERE'S A LOT OF SUBPOENAS IN THERE.

THE COURT: RIGHT NOW THERE'S NO TRIAL DATE SET. SO UNTIL WE HAVE A TRIAL DATE, THERE WILL BE NO SUBPOENA GOING OUT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: SO THE MOTION TO DROP THE CASE TO A MISDEMEANOR IS DENIED.

THE COURT: WHAT I WILL DO IS REVIEW YOUR MOTIONS. IF IT IS GOING TO BE NECESSARY FOR SOME TYPE OF HEARING BEFOREHAND, WHAT WE WILL DO IS WE'LL HANDLE THAT. MAYBE WE CAN DISCUSS THAT AT THE TIME OF THE ARRAIGNMENT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I MEAN, YEAH. I DON'T KNOW WHAT THE COURT'S DOING. YOU SAID YOU WERE GOING TO HEAR THAT NOW ABOUT THE MOTION TO DROP IT TO A MISDEMEANOR IS THERE ENOUGH?

THE COURT: YOU'RE MAKING A MOTION TO DROP TO A MISDEMEANOR?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YEAH, I FILED IT ALREADY.

THE COURT: OKAY, SO WITH RESPECT TO THAT, BASED ON THE FACT THAT THERE ARE TWO PRIOR CONVICTIONS EVEN THOUGH YOU TRIED TO EXPLAIN IT --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: RIGHT.

THE COURT: -- BASE ON THAT RECORD, I WOULD NOT BE REDUCING TO A MISDEMEANOR. SO THAT MOTION IS DENIED.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: EVEN THOUGH THE OTHER ONE IS OVERTURNED?

THE COURT: OKAY, I'M JUST SAYING THAT THE MOTION IS DENIED. SO WE'RE DONE. ON THE OTHER CASE, I'M GOING TO HOLD THE VIOLATION. I DO FIND HIM IN VIOLATION OF PROBATION AND WE'LL HOLD THAT UNTIL THIS THE NEXT APPEARANCE.

(PROCEEDINGS IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER WERE CONCLUDED.)

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPARTMENT 1 HON. MICHAEL VILLALOBOS, JUDGE

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, PLAINTIFF, VS. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, DEFENDANT.	NO. GA114055 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, ADRIANA MONTANEZ, OFFICIAL REPORTER OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING PAGES, 1 THROUGH 97, INCLUSIVE, COMPRISE A FULL, TRUE AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF THE PROCEEDINGS HELD IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER ON APRIL 5, 2023,

DATED THIS 12TH DAY OF APRIL, 2023

adriana montanez, CSR NO. 12767
ADRIANA MONTANEZ
OFFICIAL REPORTER

Neil Opdahl, SBN: 277596
Major, USMCR
473 East Carnegie Drive, #200
San Bernardino CA 92408
Telephone 626.429.6578
Facsimile: 888.298.7284
Email: attorney@neilopdahl.com

Co-Counsel for Arogant Hollywood

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff, vs. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD Defendant.	CASE NO. GA114055 DECLARATION OF NEIL OPDAHL-LOPEZ IN SUPPORT OF EVIDENTIARY HEARING

I, Neil Opdahl-Lopez, declare as follows:

1. I am the attorney of record on this case, as co-counsel/standby counsel, along with Mr. Arogant Hollywood in Pro Per.

2. After viewing, the uninterrupted and unbroken GoPro video footage, of 21 February 2023, as worn on the chest of Arogant Hollywood, it is clear that from the beginning of the video, through the arrival of law enforcement, that there is little to no interactions between the alleged victim, and the defendant in question.

DECLARATION OF NEIL OPDAHL

3. Mr. Hollywood never shut off the GoPro, once he began recording, in the front yard of the victim. The MP4 video footage continues as one linear piece of footage without intervention, from the alleged victim interacting with the Uber drive in the front yard, until law enforcement arrives.
4. Mr. Hollywood never actually physically confronts the alleged victim in the living room.
5. The alleged victim is never seen in any of the footage once inside the home.
6. The alleged victim is only ever seen in the front yard, at the initial video, and only calls 911 after telling Mr. Hollywood that she would be doing so.
7. Mr. Hollywood *never* brandishes a knife.
8. Mr. Hollywood *never* kicks, punches, or bangs on any doors, or other portions of the home once he walks back inside to safeguard his personal property.
9. Moreover, Mr. Hollywood never verbalizes any criminal threat to the alleged victim.
10. Near the end of the 14:43 minute video, an unknown officer shuts off the GoPro camera, as Mr. Hollywood stood handcuffed.

By: 
Neil Opdahl-Lopez

Date: 12 January 2024

CR-161

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	FOR COURT USE ONLY
STREET ADDRESS 210 WEST TEMPLE STREET MAILING ADDRESS CITY AND ZIP CODE LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012 BRANCH NAME CLARA SHORTRIDGE FOLTZ CRIMINAL JUSTICE CENTER	CONFORMED COPY ORIGINAL FILED Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles APR 21 2025 David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court
PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA v DEFENDANT: AROGANT HOLLYWOOD	
CRIMINAL PROTECTIVE ORDER—OTHER THAN DOMESTIC VIOLENCE (CLETS-CPO) (Pen. Code, §§ 136.2, 136.2(i), 136.2(*l*) and 646.9(k)) ORDER PENDING TRIAL: [x] Pen. Code, § 136.2 [ ] MODIFICATION ORDER UPON CONVICTION: [ ] Pen. Code, § 136.2(*l*) [ ] Pen. Code, § 646.9(k) [ ] Pen. Code, § 368(*l*)	CASE NUMBER 25CJCM02162

1. **Restrained person**
*Name: AROGANT HOLLYWOOD *Gender: [x] M [ ] F [ ] Nonbinary *Race: BLK
*Date of birth: 12/09/1978 Height: 6'5" Weight: 245 Hair color: BLK Eye color: BRO

2 **Protected person**
*Name: BRIAN ROSENBERG *Gender: [x] M [ ] F [ ] Nonbinary Age: 38

3 **Additional protected persons**

*Name	*Gender	*Relationship to person in item 2*

a. [ ] The court finds that the protected person's family members have been targeted or harmed by the defendant (finding required for postconviction orders issued under Penal Code section 136.2(i)).

b. [ ] The court finds by clear and convincing evidence that the above named percipient witnesses have been harassed by the defendant (finding required for postconviction orders issued under Penal Code section 136.2(i)(2)).

(For items 1, 2, and 3: Information that has a star (*) next to it is required to add this order into the California Restraining and Protective Order System. Please provide all known information.)

4. **Expiration date**
a. For pretrial orders, this order remains in effect until further court order. To terminate, courts must use *Notice of Termination of Protective Order in Criminal Proceeding* (form CR-165).
b. For postconviction orders, this order expires on *(date)* _____. (Postconviction orders under Penal Code sections 136.2(i) and 646.9(k) may be valid for up to 10 years.)

5. **Hearing**
This proceeding was heard on *(date)*: April 21, 2025 at *(time)*: 2:00 PM in Dept.: 48
by *(judicial officer)* THE HONORABLE JUDGE DABNEY

6. **Personal service**
[x] Defendant was personally served with a copy of this order at the court hearing, and no additional proof of service of this order is required.

7. The court finds good cause to grant a protective order. See items 8–15.

To the defendant
- If you do not obey these orders, you can be charged with a crime, go to jail or prison, and/or pay a fine.

8. **No firearms (guns), firearm parts, or ammunition**
a. The defendant must not own, possess, buy or try to buy, receive or try to receive, or in any other way get any firearms (guns), firearm parts (meaning receivers, frames, or any item that may be used as or easily turned into a receiver or frame; see Penal Code section 16531), or ammunition. Possession of firearms, firearms parts, or ammunition while this order is in effect may subject the defendant to state or federal prosecution and may include jail or prison time and/or a fine.

DEFENDANT: AROGANT HOLLYWOOD	CASE NUMBER 25CJCM02162

8. b. Within 24 hours of receiving this order the defendant must turn in to local law enforcement, or sell to or store with a licensed gun dealer, any firearms or firearm parts owned by the defendant or within the defendant's immediate possession or control.

   c. Within 48 hours of receiving this order the defendant must file a receipt with the court showing that all firearms and firearm parts have been turned in, sold, or stored.

   d. [ ] The court finds good cause to believe that the defendant has a firearm and firearm parts within their immediate possession or control and sets a review hearing for *(date):* *(time):* *(dept.)* to ascertain whether the defendant has complied with the firearm and firearm parts relinquishment requirements of Code of Civil Procedure section 527.9 (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 4.700)

   e. [ ] Limited exemption: The court has made the necessary findings to grant an exemption under Code of Civil Procedure section 527.9(f). Under California law, the defendant is not required to relinquish this firearm *(specify make, model, and serial number of firearm):* but must only have it during scheduled work hours and while traveling to and from their place of work. Even if exempt under California law, the defendant may be subject to federal prosecution for possessing or controlling a firearm.

9. [X] **No dissuading victim or witness (for pretrial orders issued under Penal Code section 136.2(a)(1))** The defendant must not attempt to or actually prevent or dissuade any victim or witness from attending a hearing, testifying, or making a report to any law enforcement agency or person.

10. **No obtaining addresses (for orders issued under Penal Code section 136.2)**
   a. The defendant must take no action to obtain the addresses or locations of protected persons or their family members, caretakers, or guardians unless good cause exists otherwise.
   b. [ ] The court finds good cause not to make this order.

11. [X] **Order to not abuse**
Defendant must not harass, strike, threaten, assault (sexually or otherwise), hit, follow, stalk, molest, destroy or damage personal or real property, disturb the peace of, keep under surveillance, annoy by phone or other electronic means (including repeatedly contact), impersonate (on the internet, electronically, or otherwise), or block movements of the protected persons named in items 2 and 3.

12. [X] **No-contact order**
Defendant must not contact the protected persons named in items 2 and 3, directly or indirectly, by any means, including by telephone, mail, email or other electronic means, or through a third party. Contact through an attorney under reasonable restrictions set by the court does not violate this order.

13. [X] **Stay-away order**
Defendant must stay at least 100 yards away from the protected person and their
   a. [X] home b. [X] job or workplace c. [X] vehicle d. [ ] other protected person in item 3
   e. [X] other locations: 150 W. Commonwealth Avenue, Alhambra, CA 91801

14. [ ] **Exceptions**
Defendant may have peaceful contact with the protected persons named in items 2 and 3, as an exception to the no-contact and stay-away orders in items 12 and 13 of this order, only for the safe exchange of children and court-ordered visitation as stated in
   a. [ ] the family, juvenile, or probate court order in *(case number):* issued on *(date):*
   b. [ ] any family, juvenile, or probate court order issued *after* the date this order is signed.

The restrained and protected persons should always carry a certified copy of the most recent order issued by the [illegible] Court, juvenile, or probate court.

15. [ ] **Electronic monitoring**
Defendant must be placed on electronic monitoring for *(specify length of time).*
(Not to exceed 1 year from the date of this order. Pen. Code, § 136.2(a)(1)(G)(iv), (i)(3).)

16. [X] **Other orders**
Stay 100 yards away from the Alhambra Pub
101 S. First St, Alhambra, CA 91801

Executed on *(date):* April 21, 2025

JUDICIAL OFFICER

DEFENDANT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD	CASE NUMBER: 25CJCM02162

Instructions for Law Enforcement

1 **Start Date and End Date of Order**

This order starts on the date it was issued by a judicial officer.
This order ends as ordered in item 4 on page 1 of this order.

- Orders under Penal Code section 136.2(a) are valid as long as the court has jurisdiction over the case. They are not valid after imposition of a county jail or state prison commitment. (*People v. Stone* (2004) 123 Cal.App.4th 153.)
- Orders issued under Penal Code sections 136.2(i)(1) and 646.9(k) are valid for up to 10 years and may be issued by the court whether the defendant is sentenced to state prison, county jail, or subject to mandatory supervision or if imposition of sentence is suspended and the defendant is placed on probation.
- To terminate this protective order, courts should use form CR-165, *Notice of Termination of Protective Order in Criminal Proceeding (CLETS-CANCEL)*.

2 **Enforcing This Order in California**

- This order must be enforced in California by any law enforcement agency that has received the order, or is shown a copy of the order, or has verified its existence on the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS).

3. **Conflicting Orders—Priority of Enforcement**

If more than one restraining order has been issued protecting the protected person from the restrained person, the orders must be enforced in the following priority (see Penal Code section 136.2 and Family Code sections 6383(h)(2), 6405(b)):

- **Emergency Protective Order (EPO):** If one of the orders is an *Emergency Protective Order* (form EPO-001), provisions (e.g., stay-away order) that are more restrictive than in the other restraining/protective orders must be enforced. Provisions of another order that do not conflict with the EPO must be enforced.
- **No-Contact Order:** If a restraining/protective order includes a no-contact order, the no-contact order must be enforced. Item 12 is an example of a no-contact order.
- **Criminal Protective Order (CPO):** If none of the orders includes an EPO or a no-contact order, the most recent CPO must be enforced. (Family Code sections 6383(h)(2), 6405(b).) Additionally, a CPO issued in a criminal case involving charges of domestic violence, Penal Code sections 261, 261.5, or former 262, or charges requiring sex offender registration must be enforced over any civil court order. (Penal Code section 136.2(e)(2).) All provisions in the civil court order that do not conflict with the CPO must be enforced.
- **Civil Restraining Orders:** If there is more than one civil restraining order (e.g., domestic violence, juvenile, elder abuse, civil harassment) then the order that was issued last must be enforced. Provisions that do not conflict with the most recent civil restraining order must be enforced.

Peace Officer Firearm Prohibition Exemption

If a peace officer's employment and personal safety depend on the ability to carry a firearm, a court may grant an exemption that allows the officer to carry a firearm on or off duty, but only if the court finds, after a mandatory psychological examination of the peace officer, that the officer does not pose a threat of harm. (Code Civ. Proc., § 527.9(f).)

Declaration of Cynthia Nakao

County of Los Angele vs. Hollywood, A.

DECLARATION OF CYNTHIA NAKAO

I, Cynthia Nakao, declare as follows:

1. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein, except as to those stated on information and belief and, as to those, I am informed and believe them to be true. If called as a witness, I could and would competently testify to the matters stated therein.

2. I am a Deputy District Attorney for the Los Angeles District Attorney's ("LADA") Office and am the Head Deputy for the Alhambra Office of the LADA's Office ("Alhambra Office").

3. On or about December 20, 2023, a court issued a Civil Harassment Restraining Order ("Rosenberg Restraining Order"), effective until December 2, 2026, against Arrogant Hollywood, which prevented him from harassing, intimidating, stalking, threatening, assaulting, or disturbing the peace of Deputy District Attorney Brian Rosenberg. Mr. Rosenberg continues to work at the Alhambra Office. The Civil Harassment Restraining Order also restricts Mr. Hollywood from directly or indirectly contacting Mr. Rosenberg, and orders him to stay at least 100 yards away from Mr. Rosenberg. A true and correct copy of the Rosenberg Restraining Order is attached hereto as **Exhibit 1.**

4. Despite the issuance of the Rosenberg Restraining Order, on February 7, 2025, Mr. Hollywood came to the Alhambra Office, where Mr. Hollywood is aware that Mr. Rosenberg works. Luckily, Mr. Rosenberg was not at the Alhambra Office at the time that Mr. Hollywood came in. Mr. Hollywood approached the front desk to file documents. The front desk advised Mr. Hollywood that he cannot file documents at the Alhambra Office. Mr. Hollywood refused to listen and while armed with scissors, he became aggressive, belligerent and argumentative with the staff. He expressed his anger and frustration that the staff could not file his court documents, and he aggressively shoved paperwork through the mail slot of the reception window. During this incident, Mr. Hollywood threatened to sue Mr. Rosenberg because "he took twelve months of my life." As a result of Mr. Hollywood's harassing and disturbing conduct, law enforcement was called.

5. On March 20, 2025, Mr. Hollywood presented at the Hall of Justice, in an attempt to serve District Attorney Nathan Hochman. Mr. Hollywood caused such a disturbance that security at the Hall of Justice prevented him entry into the building and was forced to lock the public entrance into the Hall of Justice in an effort to further protect the safety of the individuals inside the building.

6. On April 8, 2025, Arrogant Hollywood sent two emails to me and multiple other LADA employees. In one of the emails, he calls the prosecutors "Scumbags," calls Mr. Rosenberg a racist and threatens filing a lawsuit. A true and correct copy of the April 9, 2025 emails is attached hereto as **Exhibit 2.**

7. On April 17, 2025, Mr. Hollywood entered the LADA's Alhambra Branch Office again. Mr. Rosenberg saw Mr. Hollywood outside the Alhambra Branch Office and hurried into the office to avoid an altercation with Mr. Hollywood. Due to concern for Mr. Rosenberg and other employees' safety, the Alhambra Police Department was notified, and I instructed all employees to remain in the office with the door locked. Mr. Hollywood attempted to enter the office, but the door was locked. Despite the Rosenberg Restraining Order, Mr. Hollywood still pushed legal documents under the door. I requested law enforcement to escort the employees to their vehicles and sent them home.

8. In addition to harassing Mr. Rosenberg, Mr. Hollywood harassed Mr. Guzman Sanchez, who was an expert witness that testified in Mr. Hollywood's prior criminal case. On April 19, 2025, Mr. Guzman Sanchez emailed me a declaration, which contained harassing comments by Arrogant Hollywood against Mr. Guzman Sanchez. A true and correct copy of the Declaration emailed to me is attached hereto as **Exhibit 3.**

9. On April 17, 2025, the Alhambra Police Department arrested Mr. Hollywood. The Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office filed a Misdemeanor Complaint against Arrogant Hollywood for Trespass, Refusing to Leave Private Property and for Violation of a Court Order. The Misdemeanor Complaint was filed in Downtown Los Angeles, thereby requiring Mr. Hollywood to appear in court in Downtown Los Angeles and not Alhambra. A true and correct copy of the Complaint and the Alhambra Police Report is attached hereto as **Exhibit 4.**

10. On April 21, 2025, the Court issued a Criminal Protective Order against Mr. Hollywood. The Criminal Protective Order specifically provides that Arrogant Hollywood must not "harass. . . threaten.. . stalk . . . disturb the peace of" . . . Mr. Rosenberg. It also provides that Mr. Hollywood is to stay at least 100 yards away from Mr. Rosenberg and his workplace," which is the Alhambra Office. A true and correct copy of the Criminal Protective Order and the Transcript from the hearing is attached hereto as **Exhibit 5.**

11. On May 7, 2025, Arrogant Hollywood sent me and multiple other deputy district attorneys two emails whereby he identified Mr. Rosenberg as an "unethical, biased, delusional, mentally ill and corrupt" prosecutor and accused him of falsifying evidence. He also threatened Mr. Rosenberg with a lawsuit and a State Bar report. A true and correct copy of the May 7 emails is attached hereto as **Exhibit 6.**

12. On May 7, 2025, a Court ruled that Mr. Hollywood violated its Criminal Protective Order revoked bail, and issued a bench warrant. A true and correct copy of the Minute Order is attached hereto as **Exhibit 7.**

13. On May 8, 2025, Mr. Hollywood sent me and multiple other LADA employees another email whereby he threatens to sue prosecutors, which would include Mr. Rosenberg, and wishes them a slow and painful death from cancer. His email threatens to disclose where each of them and their families live and work. A true and correct copy of the May 8 email is attached hereto as **Exhibit 8.**

14. On May 10, 2025, Mr. Hollywood sent me and multiple other LADA employees three additional emails with additional threats against the handling prosecutor on his misdemeanor complaint. A true and correct copy of the May 10 emails is attached hereto as **Exhibit 9.**

15. On May 12, 2025, Mr. Hollywood sent another email to me and multiple other LADA employees. In this email, Mr. Hollywood again threatens prosecutors with litigation and finding out where they all live. A true and correct copy of the May 12 email is attached hereto as **Exhibit 10.**

16. There is no valid reason for Mr. Hollywood to be present at the LADA's Alhambra Branch Office because the criminal case in Alhambra has resolved. If Mr. Hollywood insists on


filing or serving additional pleadings in the Alhambra case, he can use a third party to file and/or serve his papers. In addition, he can appear to any hearing via Webex. There are currently no hearings scheduled in the Alhambra case.

17. On May 12, 2025, a Court held a Bench Warrant Hearing and found Mr. Hollywood to be in violation of his own recognizance conditions. Furthermore, Mr. Hollywood's pro per status was revoked, and the Court appointed him a public defender. A true and correct copy of the May 12 Minute Order is attached hereto as **Exhibit 11.**

18. As evidenced by his disruptive visits to the Alhambra Office and the harassing emails about Mr. Rosenberg to other deputy district attorneys, Mr. Hollywood's harassing conduct against Mr. Rosenberg continues. With the filing of the new misdemeanor Complaint, I am concerned that his harassing and threatening conduct against Mr. Rosenberg may escalate and therefore support an additional restraining order on behalf of Mr. Rosenberg that restricts Mr. Hollywood from entering the Alhambra Branch Office and for communicating with anyone at the LADA's Office with threats about Mr. Rosenberg.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on June 3, 2025, at Alhambra, California.



Cynthia Nakao
Head Deputy District Attorney

Declaration of Brian Rosenberg

County of Los Angeles vs. Hollywood, A.

DECLARATION OF BRIAN ROSENBERG

I, Brian Rosenberg, declare as follows:

1. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein, except as to those stated on information and belief and, as to those, I am informed and believe them to be true. If called as a witness, I could and would competently testify to the matters stated therein.

2. I am a Deputy District Attorney for the Los Angeles District Attorney's (LADA) Office and work at the Alhambra Branch Office ("Alhambra Office").

3. I was initially the handling prosecutor in the criminal case, *People v. Arrogant Hollywood* (Case No. GA114055). This criminal case was resolved on October 17, 2023 as Mr. Hollywood pleaded no contest to the charge of Penal Code section 422 and was placed on formal probation.

4. During the course of that prosecution, Arrogant Hollywood regularly harassed and threatened me. He threatened to sue me in open court numerous times, called me racist, and harassed me with numerous phone calls on my work line. In addition, he threatened to find my family and sue them in every state he could in order to make me pay for prosecuting him. It came to my attention that he made a similar threat to a previously assigned Deputy District Attorney and followed through by finding her elderly father and sending her that information.

5. Mr. Hollywood sent me an email on November 23, 2023, wherein he threatened to send me to prison for prosecuting him. This email communication was received almost immediately upon his release from custody.

6. Due to Mr. Hollywood's harassing behavior, a court issued a Civil Harassment Restraining Order (hereinafter "Rosenberg Restraining Order"), effective until December 2, 2026, against Arrogant Hollywood preventing him from harassing, intimidating, stalking, threatening, assaulting, or disturbing my peace. The Rosenberg Restraining Order also restricts Arrogant Hollywood from directly or indirectly contacting me, and orders him to stay at least 100 yards away from me. A true and correct copy of the Rosenberg Restraining Order is attached hereto as **Exhibit 1.**

7. Mr. Hollywood's harassing conduct and failure to abide by the Civil Restraining Order continues. On March 20, 2025, Arrogant Hollywood came to the front reception area of the Alhambra Office, where Mr. Hollywood knows I physically work. Luckily, I was not present at the Alhambra Office at the time that Mr. Hollywood came in. Mr. Hollywood was told that the Alhambra Office could not accept the documents he was providing them, which resulted in Mr. Hollywood acting aggressively and forcing documents through the glass window to the front desk. Mr. Hollywood caused a disturbance and was even heard threatening to sue me for taking 12 months of his life.

8. On April 17, 2025, Mr. Hollywood entered the Alhambra Office again in another attempt to improperly drop off documents. I was present at the Alhambra Branch Office on that day, and I unexpectedly saw Mr. Hollywood outside the Office. Out of concern for my safety, I rushed back into the office to avoid any contact with him. Due to the March 20, 2025 disturbance, and Mr. Hollywood's aggressive and harassing past conduct with the LADA's Office, the Alhambra Office went on "lock down," locking all the doors and keeping all employees inside the office while Mr. Hollywood was in the building. Law enforcement subsequently escorted the employees to their vehicles.

9. I am aware of the multiple emails that Arrogant Hollywood sent to numerous deputy district attorneys in the past months, whereby Mr. Hollywood continues his harassing behavior by calling me racist and threatening litigation against me and my colleagues. In an email dated May 8, 2025, Mr. Hollywood addressed his comments directly to District Attorney Nathan Hochman and the judge assigned to his current criminal case and expressed his desire for Mr. Hochman and all of his deputy district attorneys to die a slow miserable death for prosecuting him. This is in addition to utilizing the same angry verbiage in describing the LADA's Office as racist and corrupt.

10. Arrogant Hollywood continues to avoid complying with the specific terms of the Rosenberg Restraining Order by claiming he is filing or serving documents in a criminal case. But there is no reason for him to be present at the LADA's Alhambra Branch Office because the criminal case has resolved, and there are other methods for him to submit any pleadings relating to

his criminal case. I am greatly concerned for my safety and the escalation of Mr. Hollywood's harassing behavior, especially considering the filing of a new Misdemeanor Complaint. I believe that another restraining order that specifically restricts Mr. Hollywood from entering the Alhambra Office and from communicating with anyone at the LADA's office with threats against me is necessary to prevent further escalation of the situation.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on May 27, 2025, at Alhambra, California.

BRIAN ROSENBERG

Exhibit 4

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff, v. **AROGANT HOLLYWOOD (DOB: 12/09/1978)** Defendant(s).	**CASE NO.** ***MISDEMEANOR COMPLAINT***

The undersigned is informed and believes that:

COUNT 1

On or about April 17, 2025, in the County of Los Angeles, the crime of TRESPASS AND REFUSING TO LEAVE PRIVATE PROPERTY, in violation of PENAL CODE SECTION 602(o), a Misdemeanor, was committed by AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, who did unlawfully commit a trespass by refusing and failing to leave land, real property, and structures belonging to and lawfully occupied by another and not open to the general public, upon being requested to leave by a peace officer and the owner, his/her agent, and the person in lawful possession thereof.

* * * * *

COUNT 2

On or about April 17, 2025, in the County of Los Angeles, the crime of DISOBEYING COURT ORDER, in violation of PENAL CODE SECTION 166(a)(4), a Misdemeanor, was committed by AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, who did unlawfully commit contempt of court by willful disobedience of a process and order lawfully issued by a court, to wit, California Rule of Court 2.17.

* * * * *

COUNT 3

On or about June 8, 2024, in the County of Los Angeles, the crime of DISOBEYING COURT ORDER, in violation of PENAL CODE SECTION 166(a)(4), a Misdemeanor, was committed by AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, who did unlawfully commit contempt of court by willful disobedience of a process and order lawfully issued by a court, to wit, Restraiining Order.

* * * * *

COUNT 4

On or about June 8, 2024, in the County of Los Angeles, the crime of DISOBEYING COURT ORDER, in violation of PENAL CODE SECTION 166(a)(4), a Misdemeanor, was committed by AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, who did unlawfully commit contempt of court by willful disobedience of a process and order lawfully issued by a court, to wit, Restraining Order.

* * * * *

COUNT 5

On or between June 8, 2024 and April 17, 2025, in the County of Los Angeles, the crime of ANNOYING TELEPHONE CALLS, in violation of PENAL CODE SECTION 653m(b), a Misdemeanor, was committed by AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, who did unlawfully, and with the intent to annoy and harass, make repeated telephone calls and repeated contact, and make any combination of calls and contact, to another person, to wit BRIAN ROSENBERG, ALHAMBRA PUBLIC LIBRARY, by means of an electronic communication device.

* * * * *

NOTICE: Conviction of this offense will require the defendant to provide DNA samples and print impressions pursuant to Penal Code sections 296 and 296.1 if the defendant has suffered a prior felony conviction. Willful refusal to provide the samples and impressions is a crime.

NOTICE: The People of the State of California intend to present evidence and seek jury findings regarding all applicable circumstances in aggravation, pursuant to Penal Code section 1170(b) and *Cunningham v. California* (2007) 549 U.S. 270.

NOTICE: A Suspected Child Abuse Report (SCAR) may have been generated within the meaning of Penal Code §§ 11166 and 11168 involving the charges alleged in this complaint. Dissemination of a SCAR is limited by Penal Code §§ 11167 and 11167.5 and a court order is required for full disclosure of the contents of a SCAR.

Further, attached hereto and incorporated herein are official reports and documents of a law enforcement agency which the undersigned believes establish probable cause for the arrest of defendant(s) AROGANT HOLLYWOOD for the above-listed crimes.

I DECLARE UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY THAT THE FOREGOING IS TRUE AND CORRECT AND THAT THIS COMPLAINT CONSISTS OF 5 COUNT(S).

Executed at LOS ANGELES, County of Los Angeles, on April 21, 2025.

BENJAMIN YANG
DECLARANT AND COMPLAINANT

NATHAN HOCHMAN, DISTRICT ATTORNEY ..... BY: ALLYSON KIMMEL, DEPUTY

AGENCY: ALHAMBRA PD I/O: BENJAMIN YANG ID NO.: 276 PHONE : [redacted]
DR NO.: 000000002514319 OPERATOR: RO

DEFENDANT	CII NO.	CITATION NO.	BOOKING NO.	BAIL RECOM'D	CUSTODY R'TN DATE
HOLLYWOOD, AROGANT	031858966				04/21/2025

Pursuant to Penal Code Section 1054.5(b), the People are hereby informally requesting that defense counsel provide discovery to the People as required by Penal Code Section 1054.3.

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

Correspondence Received

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

			The following individuals submitted comments on agenda item:	
Agenda #	**Relate To**	**Position**	**Name**	**Comments**
Public Comment		**Oppose**	CORNELIUS PETTUS	Probation Administration credibility is BANKRUPT! Probation LIED about staffing. Chief Probation Officer NOT at Board of Supervisor's Meeting. Probation LIED about programming. Probation LIED and said NO NEGATIVE IMPACT when field officers were deployed. Probation Officers were sent home WITHOUT PAY who CAN perform their assigned positions. Probation LIED about assault on officers. Lisa Southwell from BSCC, Commissioner Kalani & Chair Mundo from POC, LACOE, CBO's have ALL stated that Los Padrinos juvenile hall is a TOXIC and HOSTILE environment. I say this out of LOVE - I would rather see my fellow officers transferred than DEAD! Los Padrinos should be closed FORTHWITH. Whoever thought of REIMAGINE LA should be housing the minors/adults in our care. Los Angeles County Probation has STOLEN $549,170.04 from minors/adults in their care. Probation LIED and said probation officers are working to return the money. The TRUTH is that probation officers are in the halls to increase the number of officers to PASS the upcoming BSCC inspection. It is DIFFICULT to believe that Robert Smythe, a USC professor, Adminstrative Manager for Probation and former employee in the Auditor-Controller's office REFUSES to return the money to it's rightful owner. There is a directive to take the money from the minor/adult when they enter juvenile hall. However, there is NO directive to return the money when the minor/adult is released. It would be EASY to make returning the money through the IDC release process. When said person is released the money should be returned.
			CORNELIUS A PETTUS	CLOSE LOS PADRINOS DUE TO TOXIC AND HOSTILE ENVIRONMENT
			Gabriel Quiroz Jr	
			Jordan Pena	I oppose this project in our community. We already have great public schools. Creating yet another charter school continues to privatize education which removes vital resources that can be used for our local education. Furthermore, This will cause traffic, noise, pollution and lack of parking, in our quiet residential ELA community!! No more charter schools in ELA! Please vote NO on Item 7.

As of: 10/30/2024 7:00:08 AM

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

			The following individuals submitted comments on agenda item:	
Agenda #	**Relate To**	**Position**	**Name**	**Comments**
Public Comment		**Oppose**	Larry Reed	"HALT the development plans for 220 residential units on the corner of Marine and Crenshaw in El Camino Village (unincorporated LA County) until further studies have been conducted to assess the impacts the development will have on public health and safety, traffic congestion, street parking, local education, and single home property values. epicla.lacounty.gov/energov_prod/SelfService/#/plan/0fbc9028-d629-45b4-8c3a-c05e3755df02?tab=attachments - Permit # RPPL2024002390 - Project # PRJ2024-001599 - ADMINISTRATIVE HOUSING PERMIT # RPPL2024002389"
			Lloyd E Carder II	
			Luna I Rose	I oppose the potential construction of a new Charter School due to the negative impacts it'll have on our environment and local community specifically in regards to pollution that will be emitted by the demolition of the old church and the pollution by the pouring of cement that will pollute the ground dirt that will render it unusable.

As of: 10/30/2024 7:00:08 AM

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

			The following individuals submitted comments on agenda item:	
Agenda #	**Relate To**	**Position**	**Name**	**Comments**
Public Comment		**Other**	ALISON H FAIRCHILD	The Board of Supervisors needs to command its County of Los Angeles Treasurer and Tax Collector agency to immediately launch investigations and swiftly inspect and shut down the following residential properties located in the unincorporated areas of the County of Los Angeles, for which all properties are willfully, knowingly, carelessly, and recklessly violating this County of Los Angeles Board of Supervisors passed ordinances for STR listings: 1. 2308 Felicia Avenue, Rowlands Heights, CA 91748. This property is owned by Chen Property RH LLC and Jiaxin Xin Chen. The Airbnb host, Zou Yao Qiong, is a renter at the property. Airbnb platform listing information for the property proves by clear and convincing evidence that this property is out of compliance with the County of Los Angeles STR ordinance that prohibits homeowners and renters from listing their home on STR websites for longer than 90 days in a calendar year. Property owners of 2308 Felicia Avenue have now rented out two separate rooms in the home on Airbnb and booked rooms on Airbnb for a minimum of 250 days out of the calendar year 2024. Additionally, property owners at 2308 Felicia Avenue have recklessly failed to immediately pay the $ 914.00 registration fee that was due on October 7, 2024. 2. 9624 East Naomi Avenue, Arcadia, CA 91007. This property is owned by Zhang Yuhang. The Airbnb host, Felicia Chan, is a renter at the property. Airbnb platform listing information for the property proves by clear and convincing evidence that this property is out of compliance with the County of Los Angeles STR ordinance that prohibits homeowners and renters from listing their home on STR websites for longer than 90 days in a calendar year. The property owner of 9624 Naomi Avenue has now rented out two separate rooms in the home on Airbnb and booked rooms on Airbnb for a minimum of 250 days out of the calendar year 2024. Additionally, property owners at 9624 East Naomi Avenue have recklessly failed to immediately pay the $ 914.00 registration fee that was due on October 7, 2024. THE ABOVE-STATED ILLEGAL STR PROPERTIES MUST BE IMMEDIATELY SHUT DOWN!
			AROGANT HOLLYWOOD	YOU RESENTENCING STONE-COLD MURDERS MENRDEZ BROTHERS. YOU NEED TO FOCUS ON OVERTURNING THE WRONGFUL CONVICTING OF AROGANT HOLLYWOOD AND TURNING OVER PHONY LASD-CREATED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS.
			Carly Morris	

As of: 10/30/2024 7:00:08 AM

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

			The following individuals submitted comments on agenda item:	
Agenda #	**Relate To**	**Position**	**Name**	**Comments**
Public Comment		**Other**	Jane Doe	Chief Viera Rosa should be relieved of his duties. Our department needs new leadership urgently. The chief has destroyed our department. There are hundreds of Deputy Probation Officers sitting at home, as they have been forced to take a leave of absence from thier field positions because the department refuses to offer them simple work accommodations, thus violating ADA. These officers are perfectly capable of doing the jobs they were doing before the chief decided to stop accommodating them and sent them home. At the same time there are also hundreds of field officers being deployed to juvenile hall and working out of class due to staff shortages. So who is left in our Probation offices? No one, just the supervisors and clerical staff. The offices are practically empty during the day and being vandalized at night. The community is not safe, no one is being supervised, home visits are not being conducted and house arrest clients are not be monitored. Juveniles are not being supervised in the community including your vulnerable CSEC population. Adults including sex offenders, AB 109, domestic violence offenders and more are also not being supervised. Court reports are late and no one is in the office to see our clients when they need it most. It's only a matter of time before the community has to suffer from the poor choices that some of our clients make because their support system is no longer in the office as they have been sent home on a forced leave of absence or to work at LP now. Los Padrinos is out of control control. There are no consequences for the minors actions and staff are getting injured left and right. Once they are injured, the workers compensation insurance company (Sedgwick) delays your claim or takes 3+ months to approve it. Even when there is video footage of the incident, they still deny claims or take forever to get you approved for medical services such as therapy or MRI's. This is all happening under Vierra Rosa's leadership due to the decisions that he has made. Morale is very low, the lowest I've ever seen, it is a toxic and hostile work environment. HR is not hiring fast enough to replace all of the people that are transfering, quiting or retiring. More people are retiring than ever before and you are losing a wealth of knowledge and experience, due to the terrible treatment of staff by your chief. This is not leadership, it's dictatorship. Our department would greatly benefit from a transformational leader that believes in servant leadership instead of the current leadership style of Viera Rosa.

As of: 10/30/2024 7:00:08 AM

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

			The following individuals submitted comments on agenda item:	
Agenda #	**Relate To**	**Position**	**Name**	**Comments**
Public Comment		**Other**	LaTonya Roebuck Townsend	Good morning, I am a Deputy Probation Officer II Field, employed with probation for 33 years. Currently working within the Youth Development Services. This Bureau administrators Independent Living Program (ILP) benefits to foster youth who have existed the "system". Also, supervises Non-Minor Dependents who volunteer Re-Entry in Extended Foster Care under AB12 for transitional housing benefits. I am emailing to bring awareness to the BOS that our foster youth clients within this Bureau are struggling to receive services from their assigned DPO IIs due to mandatory deployment,and mandatory leave of absence "home accommodations". Many DPOIIs have medical restrictions and have been instructed to remain home (using their own time) until given a "modified assignment (MA)". This MA assignment is a 90day rotation. On 90 off 90 at home. In addition, there is a lot of confusing because of what and how the department (HR/cheif) is attempting to put PC 830.5 into place. I hope that the BOS is aware of the chiefs plans to make all deputies "full duty" with disregard of the Penal Code some were hired under. I really would like some clarity on this as it relates to PC 832 module I and II vs PC 830.5. Many of us have POST certificate that indicate successful completion of PC 832 not PC 830.5. I would like to know where/when it shows the change occurred for us to be forced into thi situation. I feel that the new "full duty" under PC 830.5 should not be forced onto those DPOIIs that are currently able to do their field assignments (with or without restrictions). I understand the shortage of staff in juvenile halls and the struggle the department is experiencing in keeping new hirees. However, this recent move to send field DPO IIs home, using our time, has/is causing a crisis for our clients in the community. Not to forget a financial hardship on us (DPO IIs Field) because our time accumulated will not last and people will/are at home with no pay. I appreciate your time and look forward to hearing back from you and getting clarity and your support for DPO IIs in the field that unfortunately have medication restrictions.
		Item Total	**12**	

As of: 10/30/2024 7:00:08 AM

Grand Total			**12**	

As of: 10/30/2024 7:00:08 AM

As a Los Angeles County Probation Officer, I use Evidence Based Practices.

The Board of Supervisors have EVIDENCE that Probation is broken due to NO CONSEQUENCES for the minors/adults in our care. We reward BAD behavior.

The BSCC has EVIDENCE that Probation can NOT provide the BARE MINIMUM services to the minors/adults in our care. Los Padrinos has been out of compliance 71% of the time.

The Probation Oversight Commission has EVIDENCE that Probation LIED about programming and services. Probation claimed programming and services were rendered but video and Commission Kalani reported a different story.

The BOS, BSCC , POC, LACOE and Probation have EVIDENCE that SAFETY has NOT been addressed. Officers and teachers are working in a TOXIC and HOSTILE environment. It's an accident waiting to happen. How many officers, staff and minors have to endure this NIGHTMARE?

Los Padrinos is ONLY open because everyone is concerned about LOSING THE MONEY the minors/adults provide. There is BLATANT disregard for the safety of the officers! Who wants to go to work to be ASSAULTED?

I hereby recommend that Los Padrinos be CLOSED! I say this out of LOVE. I would rather my co-worker be transferred than DEAD.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq.
1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD.
PASADENA, CA 91106
Mobile: 626.755.6442
aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted
Spirit of Esquire

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
ROBERT T. MATSUI COURTHOUSE

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Plaintiff, vs. jeffrey a macomber, jason d. johnson, jennifer barretto, tammatha foss, christopher chambers, ronald broomfield, jennifer benavidez, gavin christopher newsom, robert andres bonta, peter dwight halloran, dennis l. beck jr., leah tamu wilson, sai srinvasan, ashley albiento, bryce miller, elizabeth byers, leo ka fong lo, george gascon, cheryl lynn kaylor, ying chun chen & DOES 1-10 Defendant(s),	**Case No.** **VERIFIED ORIGINAL CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT**

Verified Original Civil Rights Complaint for Injunctive Relief, Declaratory Relief, General Damages, Compensatory Damages, Punitive Damages, & Special Damages for Violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1983 [UNDER COLOR OF LAW FRAUD], Violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1983 [UNDER COLOR OF LAW DECEIT], 42 U.S.C. § 1983 [UNDER COLOR LAW FRAUD UPON COURT], 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983, 1985, & 1986, U.S.C.A. CONST. AMEND. XIV, CALIFORNIA TORTS FRAUD, NEGLIGENCE, NEGLIGENCE PER SE, GENERAL NEGLIGENCE, IIED, & NEGLIGENT IIED

VERIFIED ORIGINAL CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, DECLARATORY RELIEF, GENERAL DAMAGES, COMPENSATORY DAMAGES, SPECIAL DAMAGES & PUNITIVE DAMAGES FOR VIOLATION OF 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983 & 1985, U.S.C.A. CONST. AMEND. XIV, FRAUD, NEGLIGENCE PER SE, GENERAL NEGLIGENCE, IIED, &NEGLIGENT IIED.

Plaintiff AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, for his original civil rights complaint, through himself, by himself, on behalf of himself, and acting in federal pro-se litigation, upon information and belief, respectfully alleges as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION

1. No man in this country is so high that he is above the law. No officer of the law may set that law at defiance with impunity. All of the officers of the government, from the highest to the lowest, are creatures of the law and are bound to obey it. It is the only supreme power in our system of government, and every man who by accepting office participates in its functions is only the more strongly bound to submit to the supremacy and to observe the limitations which it imposes upon the exercise of the authority which it gives. ***United States v. Lee,* 106 U.S. 196, 1 S. Ct. 240, 27 L.Ed. 171 (1882) at 220**

2. Defendants violated state and federal laws by committing reckless ***under color of law fraud, reckless under color of law deceit, reckless under color of law fraud upon the court, and reckless under color of law neglect to their duty to assist and aid Plaintiff Arogant Hollywood with and to overturn his wrongful felony conviction*** even after they were all timely and previously served a cease-and-desist letter on numerous occasions, sent numerous emails, facsimiles, received notification and fair notice by United Postal Service first class mail, and were all given **FAIR NOTICE** through Plaintiff Arogant Hollywood's numerous telephone

calls to Defendants. Defendant Cheryl Lynn Kaylor was personally served a cease-and-desist letter not once but a total of three times on three separate occasions. Prior to Defendant Ying Chun Chen willfully, recklessly, knowingly, carelessly, wantonly, maliciously, and callously conspired with CDCR peace officers, and CDCR Defendants sued herein to violate Plaintiff Arogant Hollywood's constitutional civil rights recklessly. As the evidence of this original civil rights complaint will show through its statement of facts, Defendant George Gascon and his corrupt deputy district attorneys knew nearly from the very beginning of unconstitutional criminal case GA114055 that Plaintiff AROGANT HOLLYWOOD was innocent and had never made any criminal threats to lying and mentally disabled California state government witness Jennifer Hutton-Heger. For example, the facts and allegations will state that Arogant Hollywood made telephone calls to both the County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office-Alhambra Field Office and the County of Los Angeles Office of Inspector General on February 21, 2023 (just three hours after his false arrest) stating that the had evidence on his GoPro camera and Samsung Galaxy S21 Ultra that he was innocent, and complaining that arresting peace officers and peace officers in command at the County of Los Angeles Temple City substation were refusing to give him access to his GoPro camera and Samsung Galaxy S21 mobile phone so he could show these peace officers evidence that he did not make a criminal threat and be released. Plaintiff Arogant Hollywood's February 21, 2023, GTL phone records to these County of Los Angeles public entities will be subpoenaed in this original civil rights complaint lawsuit. Instead of Defendant George Gascon using the information stated in Arogant Hollywood's February 21, 2024 GTL powered inmate telephone calls to exonerate Arogant. George Gascon and his co-conspirators recklessly used the information and statements of Arogant Hollywood to frame him by manipulating his GoPro video recordings, allow a corrupt and rogue County of Los Angeles peace officer to fabricate lies regarding two very important 911 audio recordings, and disposing of Arogant Hollywood's Samsung Galaxy S21 Ultra smart mobile phone which contained on it entirely exculpatory audio and text message evidence that was created on

February 21, 2023.

3. This is an original constitutional civil rights 42 U.S.C. § 1983 civil rights complaint that seeks to establish the reckless, wanton, willful, deliberate, malicious, and callous actions of Defendants Jeffrey D. Macomber. Jason D. Johnson, Jennifer Barretto, Tammatha Foss, Christopher Chambers, Ronald Broomfield, Jennifer Benavidez, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., Leah Tamu Wilson, Sai Srinivasan, Ashley Albiento, Bryce Miller, Elizabeth Byers, Leo Ka Fong Lo, George Gascon, Cheryl Lynn Kaylor, Ying Chun Chen, & DOES 1-10 recklessly violated Plaintiff Arogant Hollywood's United States constitutional civil rights. Plaintiff Arogant Hollywood's original civil rights complaint seeks to effect change through punitive damages by punishing Defendants Jeffrey D. Macomber. Jason D. Johnson, Jennifer Barretto, Tammatha Foss, Christopher Chambers, Ronald Broomfield, Jennifer Benavidez, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., Leah Tamu Wilson, Sai Srinivasan, Ashley Albiento, Bryce Miller, Elizabeth Byers, Leo Ka Fong Lo, George Gascon, Cheryl Lynn Kaylor, Ying Chun Chen, & DOES 1-10 for their egregious conduct with the hope that the punishment is significant enough to prevent Defendants from violating federal and state laws in the future that were so extreme that it resulted in a Plaintiff Arogant Hollywood being falsely arrested, maliciously prosecuted for nearly two years without any probable cause, being sent to prison based on fabricated and local state government created evidence, and being wrongfully incarcerated for twenty of the past twenty-five months (February 21, 2023 until October 17, 2023, December 12, 2023 until April 17, 2024, July 11, 2024 until August 30, 2024, September 5, 2024 until September 7, 2024, & September 12, 2024 until September 18, 2024) that was wholly aided and abetted by reckless under color of law fraud, deceit, wrongfully incarceration, and under color of law malicious prosecution without probable cause. The reckless under color of law fraud and the reckless under color of law deceit actions and illegal acts done by Defendants Cheryl Lynn

Kaylor and Ying Chun Chen resulted in Plaintiff Arogant Hollywood being deprived of real property without due process of law, in direct violation of the Fourteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution.

4. All other named and unnamed Defendants acted under the color of law by working very closely with the County of Los Angeles local government and State of California elected officials, directors, and peace officers to recklessly violate the United States constitutional civil rights of Plaintiff Arogant Hollywood.

II. STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS

5. Plaintiff Arogant Hollywood brought this original civil rights complaint before this Eastern District United States Court before the expiration of Arogant Hollywood's statute of limitations expiration of April 17, 2026. Plaintiff Arogant Hollywood will allege numerous factual allegations that occurred between February 21, 2023, and October 16, 2024.

III. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

6. This is an original constitutional civil rights action in which Plaintiff **AROGANT HOLLYWOOD [hereinafter "KING AROGANT" or Plaintiff"]** seeks damages to redress the under color of law deprivation of constitutional civil rights secured to Plaintiff under the Fourth & Fourteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution, 42 U.S.C. § 1983, 42 U.S.C. § 1985, and 42 U.S.C. § 1986.

7. The prosecutorial misconduct associated with the "*Arogant Hollywood*" matter was not comprised of mistakes on the periphery. It was not the consequence of episodic errors of

judgment. Instead, it was systematic, pervasive, and purposeful, with each illegal act aimed at affecting the administration of justice through the use of a thoroughly reckless, willful, malicious, careless, wanton, callous and corrupt felony criminal investigation designed to frame KING AROGANT through the use of peace officer wholly fabricated testimony, disposal of KING AROGANT'S Samsung Galaxy S21 smart mobile phone, the presentation of false and misleading LASD-created GoPro video recordings, lying judicial officers, a phony and forged Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles search warrant, a phony and forged Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles probable cause determination (declaration), altered police 911 audio recordings, and the list goes on and on. As the Defendants' reckless misconduct marched towards its payoff, both County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department peace officers and investigators and County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors were more than willing to carry and place their deceptions deep within the machinery of the Superior Court of California judicial system's legal processes. The Defendants' numerous deceptions were not minor. They began at the very heart of the frivolous Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles criminal case GA114055 that was recklessly filed against KING AROGANT, and then moved outward from there, rippling into a tainted pond ultimately touching every aspect of frivolous and unconstitutional Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles case GA114055. Entrenched with their greed for deception, deceit, and corruption. Defendants Jeffrey D. Macomber, Jason D. Johnson, Jennifer Barretto, Tammatha Foss, Christopher Chambers, Ronald Broomfield, Jennifer Benavidez, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., Leah Tamu Wilson, Sai Srinivasan, Ashley Albiento, Bryce Miller, Elizabeth Byers, Leo Ka Fong Lo, George Gascon, Cheryl Lynn Kaylor, Ying Chun Chen, & DOES 1-10 were not satisfied that they had successfully framed KING AROGANT for a violent and serious crime he did not commit. Defendants were not satisfied that they recklessly sent an innocent 45 years-old black man to one of the most violent and dangerous state prison systems in the United States **(# 8**

Pelican Bay State Prison, # 5 San Quentin Prison, & # 4 Folson State Prison, respectably ranked in the top ten of United States' most dangerous and violent prisons). After KING AROGANTsent clear and convincing evidence directly to Defendants Jeffrey D. Macomber. Jason D. Johnson, Jennifer Barretto, Tammatha Foss, Christopher Chambers, Ronald Broomfield, Jennifer Benavidez, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., Leah Tamu Wilson, George Gascon, and DOES 1-10 that he was wrongfully sentenced to California state prison based on the recklessly false and fabricated court testimony of lying and racist State of California government witness Thomas Leo Guzman-Sanchez. Defendants ignored the evidence that was electronically served upon them and continued to wrongfully and recklessly keep an innocent man on California state felony parole as if he never served them clear and convincing evidence that he did nothing wrong to be sent to state prison on February 2, 2024.

IV. TIMELY SERVED CEASE-AND-DESIST-LETTER

8. Defendants Jeffrey D. Macomber. Jason D. Johnson, Jennifer Barretto, Tammatha Foss, Christopher Chambers, Ronald Broomfield, Jennifer Benavidez, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., Leah Tamu Wilson, George Gascon, and DOES 1-10 all received FAIR NOTICE by way of a timely served Cease-and-Desist letter that was served upon them in June 2024, August 2024, and finally in October 2024. See now **Appendix of Exhibits**, **Exhibit 1**. Even after being served Plaintiff's Cease-and-Desist letter, Defendants continued to willfully, recklessly, maliciously, wantonly, and callously violate Arogant Hollywood's constitutional civil rights while they were all mostly acting under the color of law. The Plaintiff's Cease-and-Desist letter was served upon Defendant George Gascon by the United States Postal Service Certified Mail Receipt. Defendant Cheryl Lynn Kaylor was

personally served a cease-and-desist letter on multiple occasions by not only Arogant Hollywood but also by way of a California-licensed process server. Defendant Ying Chun Chen was personally served a cease-and-desist letter on July 10, 024, just one day prior to her illegal and unconstitutional behavior. Defendants Jeffrey D. Macomber. Jason D. Johnson, Jennifer Barretto, Tammatha Foss, Christopher Chambers, Ronald Broomfield, Jennifer Benavidez, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., Leah Tamu Wilson, Sai Srinivasan, Ashley Albiento, Bryce Miller, Elizabeth Byers, Leo Ka Fong Lo, George Gascon, and DOES 1-10 were all commanded by electronic mail to cease-and-desist recklessly violating KING AROGANT's constitutional civil rights. See the declaration of AROGANT HOLLYWOOD in support of the timely cease-and-desist letter served upon all named Defendants during various times and different times.

V. DEFENDANTS RECEIVED FAIR NOTICE

9. Pursuant to served Cease-and-Desist Letter. All named Defendants and DOES 1-10 received **FAIR NOTICE** by electronic mail or in person that they were willfully, recklessly, Knowingly, maliciously, carelessly, wantonly, and callously violating Plaintiff KING AROGANT's constitutional civil rights.

VI. JURISDICTION & VENUE

10. This original civil rights action is brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983, 1985, & 1986 to redress the under color of law deprivation of Plaintiff KING AROGANT's constitutional civil rights as secured by the United States Constitution. This United States District Court for the Eastern District of California has intradistrict assignment privileges over Defendants sixteen of

the twenty named federal district court Defendants. Plaintiff KING AROGANT's federal claims and allegations are based on violations committed by numerous Defendants who reside and are gainfully employed in Sacramento County, and nearly all of those Defendants transacted business by being paid handsomely by the State of California regarding and relating to these claims and allegations made against them while they were employed by State of California public agencies headquartered in Sacramento County of were employed by GoPro and Axon publicly traded companies while they were transacting business and gainfully employed from and in Sacramento County, with the obvious exceptions being Los Angeles County Defendants George Gascon, Cheryl Lynn Kaylor, Leo Ka Fong Lo, and Ying Chun Chen who all in turned conspired with State of California employed Defendants residing and working in Sacramento County to willfully, knowingly, recklessly, maliciously, wantonly, and callously deprive Arogant Hollywood of his constitutional civil rights while they were all recklessly bathed, clothed, draped, and covered in and under the color of law.

11. This Court has original jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343.

12. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).

13. Declaratory and injunctive relief are authorized by 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201, 2202, and 1343.

14. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1) because Defendants Jeffrey D. Macomber. Jason D. Johnson, Jennifer Barretto, Tammatha Foss, Christopher Chambers, Ronald Broomfield, Jennifer Benavidez, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., Sai Srinivasan, Ashley Albiento, Bryce Miller, Elizabeth Byers all reside in this United States Eastern District of California judicial district, and the remaining Defendants George Gascon, Cheryl Lynn Kaylor, Leo Ka Fong Lo, and Ying Chun Chen

are all residents of the state of California.

15. Personal jurisdiction is proper against all Defendants because they are either domiciled in California or have regularly transacted business in the state or are gainfully employed in California.

16. This is an original constitutional civil rights action for general damages, compensatory damages, punitive damages, special damages, injunctive relief, and declaratory relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 based upon under color of law willful, knowing, reckless, wanton, malicious, careless, and callous violations of the Search and Seizure Clause of the Fourth Amendment of the United States Constitution, and the Dure Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution.

17. This is an original constitutional civil rights action for general damages, compensatory damages, punitive damages, special damages, injunctive relief, and declaratory relief under 42 U.S.C. §§ 1985 & 1986 based upon under color of law willful, knowing, reckless, wanton, malicious, careless, and callous violations of the Search and Seizure Clause of the Fourth Amendment of the United States Constitution, and the Dure Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution.

VII. JURY TRIAL DEMAND AND CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT OF AROGANT HOLLYWOOD

18. **PLAINTIFF AROGANT HOLLYWOOD** individually brings this original constitutional civil rights action against Defendants Jeffrey D. Macomber. Jason D. Johnson, Jennifer Barretto, Tammatha Foss, Christopher Chambers, Ronald Broomfield, Jennifer

Benavidez, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., Leah Tamu Wilson, Sai Srinivasan, Ashley Albiento, Bryce Miller, Elizabeth Byers, Leo Ka Fong Lo, George Gascon, Cheryl Lynn Kaylor, Ying Chun Chen, & DOES 1-10. Plaintiff KING AROGANT HEREBY ALLEGES AS SET FORTH BELOW:

VIII. SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION & ARTICLE III STANDING TO BRING FORTH THIS LAWSUIT

19. To establish standings to maintain an action in federal district court, a plaintiff must allege: (1) injury in fact, (2) causation----"a fairly traceable connection between the plaintiff's harm and the complained of conduct of the defendant", and----------(3) redressability ------- "a likelihood that the requested relief will redress the alleged injury." See *Steel Co. v. Citizens for a Better Environment*, 523 U.S. 83, 118 S.Ct. 1003, 140 L.Ed.2d 210 (1998)*; Lujan v. Defenders of Wildlife*, 504 U.S. 555, 112 S.Ct. 2130, 119 L.Ed.2d 351 (1992)

20. The Supreme Court has explained that "the irreducible constitutional minimum' of standing consists of three elements." See *Spokeo Inc. v. Robins*, 578 U.S. 330, 136 S.Ct. 1540, 194 L.Ed.2d 635 (2016) (*quoting Lujan*, 504 U.S. at 560). A plaintiff "must have (1) suffered an injury in fact, (2) that is fairly traceable to the challenged conduct of a defendant, and (3) that is likely to be redressed by a favorable judicial decision.

21. Plaintiff Arogant Hollywood has alleged that Defendants willfully, knowingly, recklessly, wantonly, carelessly, maliciously, and callously violated his constitutional civil rights under color law. Plaintiff Arogant Hollywood has alleged that all Defendants' willful, knowing, reckless, wanton, careless, malicious, and callous illegal actions of violating his

constitutional civil rights under color law resulted in KING AROGANT suffering from including but not limited to damages for without limitation, embarrassment, humiliation, anxiety, wrongful incarceration, wrongful conviction, depression, a complete disruption of life, post-traumatic stress disorder, physical pain and suffering and emotional pain and suffering, insomnia, chest pain, inconvenience, heart palpitations, migraines, stomaches, frustration, mental anguish, emotional distress, loss of enjoyment of life, loss of constitutional civil rights, loss of real property, deprivation and loss of liberty and deprivation of constitutional rights, and other pain and suffering. Plaintiff KING AROGANT has filed this original constitutional civil rights complaint on his behalf to redress civil rights violations by all Defendants.

22. Plaintiff KING AROGANT alleges that Defendants, some of Defendants' employees, and some of Defendants' management willfully, knowingly, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, carelessly, and callously violated Plaintiff KING AROGANT's IV & XIV United States constitutional civil rights. Plaintiff Fairchild asserts that Defendants' willful, reckless, knowing, malicious, wanton, and callous violations of U.S.C. §§ 1983, 1985, & 1986 resulted in Defendants reckless disrespect and disregard for KING AROGANT's constitutional civil rights, were a reckless violation of the United States Constitution and a violation of federal law.

23. Plaintiff KING AROGANT alleges that the non-peace officer and the state of California employed Defendants have conspired to interfere with KING AROGANT's United States constitutional 4th and 14th Amendment constitutional civil rights by conspiring with the State of California Defendants to harm and cause injury to KING AROGANT recklessly.

24. Plaintiff hereby alleges that Defendants violated KING AROGANT's constitutional 4th & 14th Amendment rights by willfully, knowingly, wantonly, recklessly, maliciously, and callously committing under color of law fraud, under color of law fraud upon the court, and

under color of law deceit, and Plaintiff KING AROGANT has alleged numerous other federal and state violations including but not limited to 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983, 1985, & 1986.

IX. PARTIES

25. Plaintiff **AROGANT HOLLYWOOD (hereinafter " KING AROGANT" or "Plaintiff")** is currently a homeless and transient resident of California and Los Angeles County. At the time of the filing of this original constitutional civil rights complaint, Plaintiff KING AROGANT was homeless in or around the cities of San Marino, Pasadena, South Pasadena, and Arcadia. At all relevant times stated throughout this original federal civil rights complaint, Plaintiff KING AROGANT was a part owner of real property located at 13732 Runnymede Street, Van Nuys, CA 91405, and only became homeless after being forced to leave based on the under the color of law fraud and under color of law deceit done to him willfully, knowingly, wantonly, recklessly, maliciously, and callously by Defendant Cheryl Lynn Kaylor. At all relevant times stated throughout this original federal civil rights complaint, Plaintiff KING AROGANT was an established residential tenant of real property located at 541 Bruin Drive, Riverside, CA 92507, and only became homeless after being forced to leave based on the under color of law fraud, and under color law deceit done to him willfully, knowingly, wantonly, recklessly, maliciously, and callously by Defendant Ying Chun Chen.

26. At all times relevant hereto and stated throughout this original constitutional civil rights complaint, Plaintiff **KING HOLLYWOOD** was an individual over 18 years old, a resident of the state of California, and a citizen of the United States of America.

27. Plaintiff **KING AROGANT** is a part owner of real property located at 13732 Runnymede Street, Van Nuys, CA 91405 that was in peaceful possession of said real property

until Defendant Cheryl Lynn Kaylor's willful, knowing, reckless, careless, wanton, malicious, and callus under color of fraud and under color of law deceit resulted in **KING AROGANT** being forced to leave a home that he was peacefully in possession of prior to April 17, 2024. Defendant Cheryl Lynn Kaylor used the assistance of CDCR peace officers to remove KING AROGANT from his home recklessly.

28. Plaintiff **KING AROGANT** was an established residential tenant of real property located at 541 Bruin Drive, Riverside, CA 92507, and he was in peaceful possession of said real property until Defendant Ying Chun Chen's willful, knowing, reckless, careless, wanton, malicious, and callus under color of fraud and under color of law deceit resulted in **KING AROGANT** being forced to leave a home that he was peacefully in possession of prior to April 17, 2024. Defendant Ying Chun Chen used the assistance of CDCR peace officers to remove KING AROGANT from his home recklessly.

29. Plaintiff **KING AROGANT** has an interest in this original constitutional civil rights action through his residential tenancy of Defendant Ying Chun Chen's property owned at 541 Bruin Drive, Riverside, CA 92507, his ownership of real property located at 13732 Runnymede Street, Van Nuys, CA 91405, and through and by the reckless illegal acts and actions done to KING AROGANT by all Defendants that was in direct violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1983, 42 U.S.C. § 1985, 42 U.S.C. § 1986, U.S.C.A. CONST. AMEND IV & XIV.

30. Plaintiff **KING AROGANT** is a proper and appropriate party to this original constitutional civil rights action through his residential tenancy at 541 Bruin Drive, Riverside, CA 92507, through his real property ownership of a house located at 13732 Runnymede Street, Van Nuys, CA 91405, and by and through all Defendants' reckless, wanton, malicious, callous, willful, and deliberate actions alleged herein and throughout this civil rights complaint that

interfered, invaded, infringed upon, and deprived **KING AROGANT** of his United States constitutional civil rights pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, 42 U.S.C. § 1985, 42 U.S.C. § 1986, the Search and Seizure Clause of the Fourth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution, and the analogous provisions of California constitutional and statutory law. Plaintiff KING AROGANT seeks compensatory damages, general damages, special damages, and punitive damages for the individual Plaintiff KING AROGANT on his behalf and for himself only.

31. Defendant **JEFFREY A. MACOMBER (hereinafter "Macomber")** is the governor-appointed Secretary of the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation **(hereinafter "CDCR")** and all its many entities and subsidiaries. Defendant Jeffrey A. Macomber engaged in the reckless and wanton conduct complained upon herein while working in the course and scope of his employment with the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. Defendant Macomber is at this moment sued in his official capacity as the governor-appointed Secretary and in his personal capacity as a citizen of the United States. Defendant Macomber acted under the color of law willfully, recklessly, maliciously, callously, carelessly, and deliberately with callous indifference to KING AROGANT's federally protected civil rights. Defendant Macomber intentionally and recklessly violated KING AROGANT's Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights, his Fourth Amendment Search and Seizure Clause constitutional civil rights, and his California Constitution Article I, § 7 and California Constitution Article I, § 26 civil rights. Defendant Macomber resides in Sacramento County.

32. Defendant **JASON D. JOHNSON (hereinafter "Johnson" OR "Uncle Tom")** is the governor-appointed Director of the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation's Division of Adult Parole Operations **(hereinafter after "DAPO")** and all its many entities and subsidiaries. Defendant Uncle Tom engaged in the reckless and wanton conduct complained

upon herein while working in the course and scope of his employment with the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. Defendant Uncle Tom is at this moment sued in his official capacity as the governor-appointed Director of the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation's Division of Adult Parole Operations and in his personal capacity as a citizen of the United States. Defendant Johnson acted under the color of law willfully, recklessly, maliciously, callously, carelessly, and deliberately with callous indifference to KING AROGANT's federally protected civil rights. Defendant Uncle Tom intentionally and recklessly violated KING AROGANT's Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights, his Fourth Amendment Search and Seizure Clause constitutional civil rights, and his California Constitution Article I, § 7 and California Constitution Article I, § 26 civil rights. Defendant Uncle Tom resides in Sacramento County.

33. Defendant **JENNIFER L. BARRETTO (hereinafter "Barretto")** is the governor-appointed Undersecretary of Administration of the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation and all its many entities and subsidiaries. Defendant Barretto engaged in the reckless and wanton conduct complained upon herein while working in the course and scope of her employment with the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. Defendant Barretto is at this moment sued in her official capacity as the governor-appointed Undersecretary of Administration of the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation and in her personal capacity as a citizen of the United States. Defendant Barretto acted under the color of law willfully, recklessly, maliciously, callously, carelessly, and deliberately with callous indifference to KING AROGANT's federally protected civil rights. Defendant Barretto intentionally and recklessly violated KING AROGANT's Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights, his Fourth Amendment Search and Seizure Clause constitutional civil rights, and his California Constitution Article I, § 7 and California Constitution Article I, § 26 civil rights. Defendant Barretto resides in Sacramento

County.

34. Defendant **TAMMATHA FOSS (hereinafter "Foss")** is the governor-appointed Undersecretary of Operations of the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation and all its many entities and subsidiaries. Defendant Foss engaged in the reckless and wanton conduct complained upon herein while working in the course and scope of her employment with the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. Defendant Foss is at this moment sued in her official capacity as the governor-appointed Undersecretary of Operations of the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation and in her personal capacity as a citizen of the United States. Defendant Foss acted under the color of law willfully, recklessly, maliciously, callously, carelessly, and deliberately with callous indifference to KING AROGANT's federally protected civil rights. Defendant Foss intentionally and recklessly violated KING AROGANT's Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights, his Fourth Amendment Search and Seizure Clause constitutional civil rights, and his California Constitution Article I, § 7 and California Constitution Article I, § 26 civil rights. Defendant Foss resides in Sacramento County.

35. Defendant **CHRISTOPHER CHAMBERS (hereinafter "Chambers")** is the governor-appointed Director of Correctional Policy Research and Internal Oversight of the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation and all its many entities and subsidiaries. Defendant Chambers engaged in the reckless and wanton conduct complained upon herein while working in the course and scope of his employment with the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. Defendant Chambers is at this moment sued in his official capacity as the governor-appointed Director of Correctional Policy Research and Internal Oversight of the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation and in his personal capacity as a citizen of the United States. Defendant Chambers acted under the color of law

willfully, recklessly, maliciously, callously, carelessly, and deliberately with callous indifference to KING AROGANT's federally protected civil rights. Defendant Chambers intentionally and recklessly violated KING AROGANT's Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights, his Fourth Amendment Search and Seizure Clause constitutional civil rights, and his California Constitution Article I, § 7 and California Constitution Article I, § 26 civil rights. Defendant Chambers resides in Sacramento County.

36. Defendant **RONALD BROOMFIELD (hereinafter "Broomfield")** is the governor-appointed Director of Adult Institutions of the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation and all its many entities and subsidiaries. Defendant Broomfield engaged in the reckless and wanton conduct complained upon herein while working in the course and scope of his employment with the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. Defendant Broomfield is at this moment sued in his official capacity as the governor-appointed Director of Adult Institutions of the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation and in his personal capacity as a citizen of the United States. Defendant Broomfield acted under the color of law willfully, recklessly, maliciously, callously, carelessly, and deliberately with callous indifference to KING AROGANT's federally protected civil rights. Defendant Broomfield intentionally and recklessly violated KING AROGANT's Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights, his Fourth Amendment Search and Seizure Clause constitutional civil rights, and his California Constitution Article I, § 7 and California Constitution Article I, § 26 civil rights. Defendant Broomfield resides in Sacramento County.

37. Defendant **JENNIFER BENAVIDEZ (hereinafter "Benevidez")** is the governor-appointed Deputy Director of Facility Operation of the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation's Division of Adult Institutions and all its many entities and subsidiaries. Defendant Benevidez engaged in the reckless and wanton conduct complained upon herein

while working in the course and scope of her employment with the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. Defendant Benevidez is at this moment sued in her official capacity as the governor-appointed Deputy Director of Facility Operation of the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation's Division of Adult Institutions and in her personal capacity as a citizen of the United States. Defendant Benevidez acted under the color of law willfully, recklessly, maliciously, callously, carelessly, and deliberately with callous indifference to KING AROGANT's federally protected civil rights. Defendant Benevidez intentionally and recklessly violated KING AROGANT's Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights, his Fourth Amendment Search and Seizure Clause constitutional civil rights, and his California Constitution Article I, § 7 and California Constitution Article I, § 26 civil rights. Defendant Benevidez resides in Sacramento County.

38. Defendant **GAVIN CHRISTOPHER NEWSOM (hereinafter "Newsom")** is an American politician, and businessman serving since 2019 as the 40th governor of the state of California all its many entities, agencies, and subsidiaries (CDCR, DAPO, State Bar of California, California Department of Justice, and California Office of Attorney General. Defendant Newsom engaged in the reckless and wanton conduct complained upon herein while working in the course and scope of his employment with the state of California and as the 40th governor of California. Defendant Newsom is at this moment sued in his official capacity as the governor of the state of California and all its many entities, agencies, and subsidiaries (CDCR, DAPO, State Bar of California, California Department of Justice, and California Office of Attorney General. and in his personal capacity as a citizen of the United States. Defendant Newsom acted under the color of law willfully, recklessly, maliciously, callously, carelessly, and deliberately with callous indifference to KING AROGANT's federally protected civil rights. Defendant Newsom intentionally and recklessly violated KING AROGANT's Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights, his Fourth Amendment Search and

Seizure Clause constitutional civil rights, and his California Constitution Article I, § 7 and California Constitution Article I, § 26 civil rights. Defendant Newsom resides in Sacramento County.

39. Defendant **ROBERT ANDRES BONTA (hereinafter "Bonta") (SBN # 202668)** is an American lawyer and, politician serving since 2021 as the attorney general of the state of California and as the chief attorney overseeing the California Department of Justice and all its many entities, agencies, and subsidiaries (California Department of Justice, and California Office of Attorney General). Defendant Bonta engaged in the reckless and wanton conduct complained upon herein while working in the course and scope of his employment with the state of California and as the attorney general of California. Defendant Bonta is at this moment sued in his official capacity as as the attorney general of the state of California and as the chief attorney overseeing the California Department of Justice and all its many entities, agencies, and subsidiaries (California Department of Justice and California Office of Attorney General), and in his personal capacity as a citizen of the United States. Defendant Bonta acted under the color of law willfully, recklessly, maliciously, callously, carelessly, and deliberately with callous indifference to KING AROGANT's federally protected civil rights. Defendant Bonta intentionally and recklessly violated KING AROGANT's Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights, his Fourth Amendment Search and Seizure Clause constitutional civil rights, and his California Constitution Article I, § 7 and California Constitution Article I, § 26 civil rights. Defendant Bonta resides in Sacramento County.

40. Defendant **PETER DWIGHT HALLORAN (hereinafter "Halloran") (SBN # 184025)** is a Supervising Deputy Attorney General working from the California Department of Justice and employed by the State of California. Defendant Halloran engaged in the reckless and wanton conduct complained upon herein while working in the course and scope of his

employment with the state of California. Defendant Halloran is at this moment sued in his official capacity as a Supervising Deputy Attorney General working from the California Department of Justice and employed by the State of California, and in his personal capacity as a citizen of the United States. Defendant Halloran acted under the color of law willfully, recklessly, maliciously, callously, carelessly, and deliberately with callous indifference to KING AROGANT's federally protected civil rights. Defendant Bonta intentionally and recklessly violated KING AROGANT's Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights, his Fourth Amendment Search and Seizure Clause constitutional civil rights, and his California Constitution Article I, § 7 and California Constitution Article I, § 26 civil rights. Defendant Halloran resides in Sacramento County.

41. Defendant **DENNIS L. BECK (hereinafter "Beck") (SBN # 179492)** is a Supervising Deputy Attorney General working from the California Department of Justice and employed by the State of California. Defendant Beck engaged in the reckless and wanton conduct complained upon herein while working in the course and scope of his employment with the state of California. Defendant Beck is at this moment sued in his official capacity as a Supervising Deputy Attorney General working from the California Department of Justice and employed by the State of California, and in his personal capacity as a citizen of the United States. Defendant Beck acted under the color of law willfully, recklessly, maliciously, callously, carelessly, and deliberately with callous indifference to KING AROGANT's federally protected civil rights. Defendant Bonta intentionally and recklessly violated KING AROGANT's Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights, his Fourth Amendment Search and Seizure Clause constitutional civil rights, and his California Constitution Article I, § 7 and California Constitution Article I, § 26 civil rights. Defendant Beck resides in Sacramento County.

42. Defendant **LEAH TAMU WILSON (hereinafter "Wilson") (SBN # 222790)** is an American lawyer serving since 2021 as the State Bar Board of Trustees appointed Executive Director of the State Bar of California and all its many entities, agencies, and subsidiaries. Defendant Wilson engaged in the reckless and wanton conduct complained upon herein while working in the course and scope of his employment with the state of California and as the State Bar Board of Trustees appointed Executive Director of the State Bar of California and all its many entities, agencies, and subsidiaries. . Defendant Wilson is at this moment sued in her official capacity as the State Bar Board of Trustees appointed Executive Director of the State Bar of California and all its many entities, agencies, and subsidiaries and in her personal capacity as a citizen of the United States. Defendant Wilson acted under the color of law willfully, recklessly, maliciously, callously, carelessly, and deliberately with callous indifference to KING AROGANT's federally protected civil rights. Defendant Bonta intentionally and recklessly violated KING AROGANT's Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights, his Fourth Amendment Search and Seizure Clause constitutional civil rights, and his California Constitution Article I, § 7 and California Constitution Article I, § 26 civil rights. Defendant Wilson resides in Sacramento County.

43. Defendant **SAI SRINIVASAN (hereinafter "Srinivasan")**. Defendant Srinivasan is a forty-something-year-old senior software engineer (back in) employed by the publicly traded San Mateo, California-based GoPro Inc. (NASDAQ: GPRO). Defendant Srinivasan engaged in the reckless and wanton conduct complained upon herein while working in the course and scope of his employment with the publicly traded San Mateo, California-based GoPro Inc. (NASDAQ: GPRO) and all its many entities, agencies, and subsidiaries. Defendant Srinivasan is at this moment sued in his official capacity as a senior software engineer (back in) employed by the publicly traded San Mateo, California-based GoPro Inc. (NASDAQ: GPRO) and in his personal capacity as a citizen of the United States. Defendant Srinivasan acted under the color of law

willfully, recklessly, maliciously, callously, carelessly, and deliberately with callous indifference to KING AROGANT's federally protected civil rights. Defendant Srinivasan intentionally and recklessly violated KING AROGANT's Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights, his Fourth Amendment Search and Seizure Clause constitutional civil rights, and his California Constitution Article I, § 7 and California Constitution Article I, § 26 civil rights. Defendant Srinivasan resides in Sacramento County.

44. Defendant **ASHLEY ALBIENTO (hereinafter "Albiento")**. Defendant Albiento is a forty-something-year-old Senior Manager of Business Operations & Strategy employed at the publicly traded San Mateo, California-based GoPro Inc. (NASDAQ: GPRO). Defendant Albiento engaged in the reckless and wanton conduct complained upon herein while working in the course and scope of his employment with the publicly traded San Mateo, California-based GoPro Inc. (NASDAQ: GPRO) and all its many entities, agencies, and subsidiaries. Defendant Albiento is at this moment sued in his official capacity as a Senior Manager of Business Operations & Strategy employed at the publicly traded San Mateo, California-based GoPro Inc. (NASDAQ: GPRO), and in her personal capacity as a citizen of the United States. Defendant Albiento acted under the color of law willfully, recklessly, maliciously, callously, carelessly, and deliberately with callous indifference to KING AROGANT's federally protected civil rights. Defendant Albiento intentionally and recklessly violated KING AROGANT's Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights, his Fourth Amendment Search and Seizure Clause constitutional civil rights, and his California Constitution Article I, § 7 and California Constitution Article I, § 26 civil rights. Defendant Albiento resides in Sacramento County.

45. Defendant **BRYCE MILLER (hereinafter "Miller")**. Defendant Miller is a thirty-something-year-old Professional Account Manager employed by the publicly traded Scottsdale,

Arizona-based Axon Enterprises, Inc. (NASDAQ: AXON). Defendant Miller engaged in the reckless and wanton conduct complained upon herein while working in the course and scope of his employment with the publicly traded Scottsdale, Arizona-based Axon Enterprises, Inc. (NASDAQ: AXON) and all its many entities, agencies, and subsidiaries. Defendant Miller is at this moment sued in his official capacity as a Professional Account Manager employed by the publicly traded Scottsdale, Arizona-based Axon Enterprises, Inc. (NASDAQ: AXON) and in his personal capacity as a citizen of the United States. Defendant Miller acted under the color of law willfully, recklessly, maliciously, callously, carelessly, and deliberately with callous indifference to KING AROGANT's federally protected civil rights. Defendant Miller intentionally and recklessly violated KING AROGANT's Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights, his Fourth Amendment Search and Seizure Clause constitutional civil rights, and his California Constitution Article I, § 7 and California Constitution Article I, § 26 civil rights. Defendant Miller resides in Sacramento County.

46. Defendant **ELIZABETH BYERS (hereinafter "Byers")**. Defendant Byers is a forty-something-year-old Professional Pubic Safety Software Account Executive employed by the publicly traded Scottsdale, Arizona-based Axon Enterprises, Inc. (NASDAQ: AXON). Defendant Byers engaged in the reckless and wanton conduct complained upon herein while working in the course and scope of her employment with the publicly traded Scottsdale, Arizona-based Axon Enterprises, Inc. (NASDAQ: AXON) and all its many entities, agencies, and subsidiaries. Defendant Byers is at this moment sued in her official capacity as a Professional Pubic Safety Software Account Executive employed by the publicly traded Scottsdale, Arizona-based Axon Enterprises, Inc. (NASDAQ: AXON), and in her personal capacity as a citizen of the United States. Defendant Byers acted under the color of law willfully, recklessly, maliciously, callously, carelessly, and deliberately with callous indifference to KING AROGANT's federally protected civil rights. Defendant Miller intentionally and

recklessly violated KING AROGANT's Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights, his Fourth Amendment Search and Seizure Clause constitutional civil rights, and his California Constitution Article I, § 7 and California Constitution Article I, § 26 civil rights. Defendant Byers resides in Sacramento County.

47. Defendant **LEO KA FONG LO (hereinafter "GoPro Manipulator")** is an Asian-American peace officer working from the California Department of Justice regulated and the State of California funded County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department's Fraud and Cyber Crimes Bureau's Southern California High Tech Task Force and employed by the County of Los Angeles as a sworn-in California state public safety officer/peace officer. Defendant GoPro Manipulator engaged in the reckless and wanton conduct complained upon herein while working in the course and scope of his employment with the County of Los Angeles. Defendant GoPro Manipulator is at this moment sued in his official capacity as a peace officer working from the California Department of Justice regulated and the State of California funded County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department's Fraud and Cyber Crimes Bureau's Southern California High Tech Task Force and employed by the County of Los Angeles as a sworn-in California state public safety officer/peace officer and in his personal capacity as a citizen of the United States. Defendant GoPro Manipulator acted under the color of law willfully, recklessly, maliciously, callously, carelessly, and deliberately with callous indifference to KING AROGANT's federally protected civil rights. Defendant GoPro Manipulator intentionally and recklessly violated KING AROGANT's Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights, his Fourth Amendment Search and Seizure Clause constitutional civil rights, and his California Constitution Article I, § 7 and California Constitution Article I, § 26 civil rights. Defendant GoPro Manipulator resides in Los Angeles County.

48. Defendant **GEORGE GASCÓN (hereinafter "Gasón") (SBN # 182345)** is an American attorney and former police officer serving since December 7, 2020, as the 43rd district attorney of the County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office and all its many entities, agencies, and subsidiaries (Alhambra Field Office, Cyber Crime Division, & Family Violence Division). Defendant Gascón engaged in the reckless and wanton conduct complained upon herein while working in the course and scope of his employment with the County of Los Angeles and as its 43rd district attorney. Defendant Gascón is at this moment sued in his official capacity as the 43rd district attorney of the County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office and all its many entities, agencies, and subsidiaries (Alhambra Field Office, Cyber Crime Division, & Family Violence Division). and in his personal capacity as a citizen of the United States. Defendant Gascón acted under the color of law willfully, recklessly, maliciously, callously, carelessly, and deliberately with callous indifference to KING AROGANT's federally protected civil rights. Defendant Gascón intentionally and recklessly violated KING AROGANT's Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights, his Fourth Amendment Search and Seizure Clause constitutional civil rights, and his California Constitution Article I, § 7 and California Constitution Article I, § 26 civil rights. Defendant Gascón resides in Los Angeles County.

49. Defendant **CHERYL LYNN KAYLOR (hereinafter "Kaylor")**. Defendant Kaylor is a seventy-eight-year-old severely disabled and elderly Caucasian woman. Defendant Kaylor engaged in the reckless and wanton conduct complained upon herein by conspiring with numerous State of California Defendants to violate KING AROGANT's constitutional civil rights. Defendant Kaylor is, at this moment, sued in her personal capacity as a citizen of the United States. Defendant Kaylor acted under the color of law willfully, recklessly, maliciously, callously, carelessly, and deliberately with callous indifference to KING AROGANT's federally protected civil rights. Defendant Kaylor intentionally and recklessly violated KING

AROGANT's Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights, his Fourth Amendment Search and Seizure Clause constitutional civil rights, and his California Constitution Article I, § 7 and California Constitution Article I, § 26 civil rights. Defendant Kaylor resides in Los Angeles County.

50. Defendant **YING CHUN CHEN (hereinafter "Chen")**. Defendant Chen is a fifty-something-year-old Asian-American woman. Defendant Chen engaged in the reckless and wanton conduct complained upon herein by conspiring with numerous State of California Defendants to violate KING AROGANT's constitutional civil rights. Defendant Chen is, at this moment, sued in her personal capacity as a citizen of the United States. Defendant Chen acted under the color of law willfully, recklessly, maliciously, callously, carelessly, and deliberately with callous indifference to KING AROGANT's federally protected civil rights. Defendant Chen intentionally and recklessly violated KING AROGANT's Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights, his Fourth Amendment Search and Seizure Clause constitutional civil rights, and his California Constitution Article I, § 7 and California Constitution Article I, § 26 civil rights. Defendant Chen resides in Riverside County.

51. Plaintiff **KING AROGANT** is unaware of the true and proper names of Defendants listed as DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, and therefore sues them by the fictitious preceding names. The plaintiff will amend his original constitutional civil rights complaint by inserting the proper names instead of said fictitious names, together with apt and proper charging words when said actual names are ascertained. Plaintiff KING AROGANT is informed and believes and thereon alleges that each of the Defendants designated herein as a DOE is responsible and liable to Plaintiff in some manner for the events, happenings, and contention referred to in this original constitutional civil rights complaint. All references herein to "Defendant: or "Defendants" shall be deemed to include all DOE Defendants.

52. Plaintiff **KING AROGANT** is informed and believes and thereon alleges that each Defendant, including DOES 1 through 10, was and is the agent, employee, servant, subsidiary, partner, member, associate, co-conspirator, acquaintance, friend, ally, supporter, accessory, amigo, comrade, backer, abettor, instigator sympathizer, confidant or representative of each other Defendant, and that all of the things alleged to have been done in the course and scope of said agency, employment, service, subsidiary, partnership, membership, association, private citizen to local government relationship, or representative relationship and with the knowledge and consent of their respective principals, employers, masters, parent corporations, partners, members, associates, or representatives. Each Defendant has authorized, ratified, acknowledged, consented, authorized, accepted, acquiesced, permitted, allowed, condoned, excused, overlooked, pardoned, tolerated, and approved of all illegal acts, actions, conduct, misconduct, misdeeds, transgressions, and omissions by each other Defendant. Plaintiff KING AROGANT is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that each of the fictitiously named Defendants is responsible in some manner for the occurrences alleged in this original civil rights complaint and that Plaintiff KING AROGANT's compensatory damages,, punitive damages, general damages, and special damages as alleged in this original constitutional civil rights complaint, were proximately caused by those Defendants.

53. The allegations of this original constitutional civil rights complaint, based on information and belief, will likely have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation and discovery. Such include issuing federal district court subpoenas duces tecum, the taking of oral depositions, and Requests for Admission and Special Interrogatories, all of which Plaintiff KING AROGANT intends to conduct after the Rule 26(f) conference.

X. CONTINUING VIOLATIONS

54. The wrongful acts and omissions giving rise to the Defendants' liability in this original constitutional civil rights action commenced on February 21, 2023, and have been and are "continuing" in nature as of the date of filing of this constitutional civil rights complaint on October 30, 2024. Plaintiff KING ARIGANT, therefore, HEREBY reserves his right to amend this original constitutional civil rights complaint (Into his FAC) as new and additional facts and claims arise or become known to Plaintiff KING AROGANT.

XI. FACTS

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS OF COMPLAINT IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF KING AROGANT'S CLAIMS FOR RELIEF, REQUESTED RELIEF & DAMAGES

55. On February 21, 2023, between 11 AM and 3:30 PM, KING AROGANT made numerous telephone calls to the County of Los Angeles Office of Inspector General by dialing (213) 974-6100, County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department's Risk Management Bureau by dialing (323) 890-5400, and the County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office-Alhambra Field Office by dialing (626) 308-5302. When calling all the above-stated County of Los Angeles public agencies, KING AROGANT complained and told numerous County of Los Angeles employees and peace officers that he was innocent. KING AROGANT complained and told numerous County of Angeles employees and peace officers that he was falsely accused and falsely arrested. KING AROGANT complained and told numerous County of Angeles employees and peace officers that he had audio recording evidence on his mobile phone that would prove that

he did not make a criminal threat to Jennifer Hutton-Heger **(hereinafter "Hutton").**

56. KING AROGANT complained and told numerous County of Angeles employees and peace officers that he had video recording evidence on his GoPro camera that would prove that he never made a criminal threat to Hutton on February 21, 2023. The above-stated facts prove that the County of Los Angeles employees and peace officers had secretly planned to FRAME KING AROGANT for a violent and serious felony crime they knew he did not commit long before the commencement of AROGANT's rigged felony preliminary hearing that commenced on April 4, 2023. After the filing of this original constitutional civil rights complaint, KING AROGANT will request that the United States Eastern District Court civil clerk's office issue a subpoena duces tecum that would command Reston, Virginia Global Tel Link to turn over all audio recorded telephone conversations and calls that KING AROGANT made while he was falsely incarcerated at the County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department's Temple City police station on February 21, 2023.

57. On February 21, 2023, between 1 PM and 4 PM, KING AROGANT yelled out over and over again and even spoke to a male County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department peace officer lieutenant acting as the Temple City police station's watch commander. KING AROGANT requested that this peace officer lieutenant bring KING AROGANT his mobile phone and GoPro camera so he could provide law enforcement exculpatory evidence that would prove that he never threatened to harm Jennifer Hutton-Heger on February 21, 2023, physically, and could then be released after showing County of Los Angeles peace officers his exculpatory evidence. At approximately 4 PM, apparently tired and frustrated with hearing KING AROGANT beg to receive his exculpatory evidence on his mobile phone and GoPro camera. Rogue and RACIST County of Los Angeles peace officer detective Sergeant Richard Lewis (acting peace officer supervisor of Dirty cop Billy S. Khounthavong) snapped at KING AROGANT and told him

the following:

> ***"Your phone and GoPro camera have been seized as evidence to be used against you."***

58. On February 21, 2023, at approximately 6 PM, rogue and dishonest County of Los Angeles peace officer detective Billy S. Khounthavong **(hereinafter "Lying Billy")** arrived at KING AROGANT's County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department (hereinafter "LASD") Temple City police station detention holding cell to speak with KING AROGANT. KING AROGANT then knowingly and intelligently waived his Miranda rights. When Lying Billy asked KING AROGANT about what had occurred, KING AROGANT told Lying Billy that the verbal argument and confrontation started over a UBER XL parking dispute outside of Hutton's house. KING AROGANT further told Lying Billy that he had exculpatory video recording and audio evidence on his mobile phone and GoPro camera that Jennifer Hutton Heger was lying and falsely accusing KING AROGANT of making a criminal threat to her on February 21, 2023. When KING AROGANT requested and asked Lying Billy if he could bring him his mobile phone and GoPro camera, Lying Billy willfully, knowingly, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, carelessly, and callously lied to KING AROGANT by telling him that he could not retrieve KING AROGANT's mobile phone and GoPro camera. When KING AROGANT asked Lying Billy if he had seized his mobile phone and GoPro camera, Lying Billy willfully, knowingly, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, carelessly, and callously lied to KING AROGANT by telling him that he had not seized KING AROGANT's mobile phone and GoPro camera. Towards the end of KING AROGANT's police interview conducted and orchestrated by Lying Billy. Lying, Billy asked KING AROGANT if there were any knives around where he was standing in the kitchen, and AROGANT told Lying Billy that he did not remember seeing any knives inside of Jennifer Hutton Heger's kitchen. Lying Billy's questions to KING AROGANT, asking him if he had seen any knives in Hutton's kitchen, and asking KING

AROGANT if he had any access to knives while he was standing in Jennifer Hutton Heger's kitchen on February 21, 2023, proves by clear and convincing evidence that LASD, Brian MARK Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**, George Gascón **(SBN # 182345)**, and the office of George Gascón willfully, knowingly, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, carelessly, and callously made-up and created their preliminary hearing case-in-chief that KING AROGANT was standing inside of Jennifer Hutton Heger's kitchen and then turned around facing her while KING AROGANT was brandishing a kitchen knife. This fact can be further proven true by the fabricated lies and statements of both Jennifer Hutton Heger's original video recorded February 21, 2023 police interview and the police statements documented by County of Los Angeles rogue peace officers Alex Contreras and Daniel Esqueda. In fact, Jennifer Hutton-Heger did not accuse KING AROGANT of brandishing a knife in her kitchen until she had her body camera recorded County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office police interview with racist deputy district attorney Brian MARK Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)** and his co-conspirator Billy S. Khounthavong on or about March 9, 2023. This proved yet again that the County of Los Angeles and Defendant George Gascón willfully, knowingly, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, carelessly, and callously conspired to violate KING AROGANT's constitutional civil rights by framing him prior to the commencement of KING AROGANT's preliminary hearing commencing on April 4, 2023.

59. On February 21, 2023, between 6:30 PM and 9:30 PM, rogue peace officer Billy S. Khounthavong spent time illegally accessing, illegally copying, illegally downloading, and illegally reviewing GoPro video recordings stored on KING AROGANT's GoPro camera that were recorded on February 20, 2023, that were recorded prior to February 21, 2023, and that were created on February 21, 2023. After reviewing several video recordings from February 21, 2023, Lying Billy realized that KING AROGANT had the entire verbal confrontation with Jennifer Hutton-Heger recorded continuously. This is when rogue and charged in a criminal

federal district court dirty cop Billy S. Khounthavong came up with the idea to author and create a bogus search warrant and then send KING AROGANT's continuous nearly fifteen-minute GoPro video recording to the California Department of Justice regulated and funded County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Office's Fraud and Cyber Crimes Bureau's Southern California High Tech Task Force so corrupt and rogue peace officer detectives there could willfully, knowingly, recklessly, carelessly, maliciously, wantonly, and callous alter, manipulate, edit, and taint KING AROGANT's February 21, 2023, entirely exculpatory so they could all FRAME KING AROGANT at his preliminary hearing for a very serious and violent felony they and Defendant George Gascón all knew that KING AROGANT had never committed. Since February 21, 2023, KING AROGANT stated to County of Los Angeles peace officers both over the telephone and in person that he had exculpatory audio recording and text message evidence on his Samsung Galaxy S21 Ultra mobile phone. Lying Billy either stole KING AROGANT's Samsung Galaxy S21 Ultra mobile phone or disposed of his mobile phone. Billy Khounthavong recklessly violated AROGANT's Fourth Amendment and Fourteenth Amendment constitutional civil rights by deliberately disposing of KING AROGANT's mobile phone, which they all knew contained an entirely exculpatory audio recording and text message evidence that KING AROGANT could have used while acting in propria persona to exonerate himself. While KING AROGANT's original February 21, 2023, GL010586 GoPro video recordings clearly depict and display him with his mobile phone the entire time, and then placing it with the rest of his personal property located inside of Jennifer Hutton Heger's home just prior to being recklessly apprehended by rogue and dishonest County of Los Angeles peace officers Alex Contreras and Daniel Esqueda, KING AROGANT never saw his Samsung Galaxy S21 Ultra mobile phone again after February 21, 2023 at approximately 10:30 AM. Several weeks later, after KING AROGANT was arrested, Lying Billy and other rogue County of Los Angeles peace officers created a fabricated, false, phony, bogus, misleading, and fraudulent police report that stated that they had searched diligently and thoroughly through all KING AROGANT's personal property

but did not locate or find his KING AROGANT's Samsung mobile phone. LIARS. All through KING AROGANT'S original GoPro video recordings, he is seen with his mobile phone in his hand! All through Defendant George Gascon, Defendant Lo, and LASD's manipulated GoPro video recordings, KING AROGANT, is seen with his mobile phone in his hand! KING AROGANT Samsung Galaxy S21 Ultra mobile phone was never lost or not transported to the LASD Temple City police station on February 21, 2023. Lying Billy disposed of it because the KING AROGANT's original GoPro video recordings watched by Lying Billy on February 21, 2023 alerted him to the facts that KING AROGANT's mobile phone contained on it exculpatory audio recording and text message evidence that could have been used to exonerate KING AROGANT. For example, in KING AROGANT's original, uninterrupted, and continuous nearly seventeen-minute GoPro video recordings, KING AROGANT is visibly seen in this video recordings checking the audio recording application on his recklessly disposed of by Lying Bill Samsung S21 to make sure that it was recording the entire confrontation with Jennifer Hutton-Heger on the morning of February 21, 2023 In KING AROGANT's original, uninterrupted, and continuous nearly seventeen-minute GoPro video recordings KING AROGANT is visibly seen in this video recording sending two text messages to Jennifer Hutton Heger on his recklessly disposed of by Lying Billy Samsung S21 that proved his state of mind and his innocence. Lying Billy willfully, knowingly, recklessly, carelessly, maliciously, wantonly, and callously made KING AROGANT's Samsung S21 Ultra mobile phone disappear because it contained exculpatory evidence that would have exonerated KING AROGANT easily. Given Billy S. Khounthavong's criminal indictment in the United States District Court Central District Court of California for case number **13-3105M**. No one can believe anything he says or does because Lying Billy is and was a very crooked and corrupt State of California peace officer employed by the County of Los Angeles peace officer. In December 2013, Billy Khounthavong and his brothers Johnny Khounthavong and Benny Khounthavong (both brothers are peace officers) were all charged in the federal district court for the Central District Court of

California for recklessly falsifying federal mortgage loan documents. If Lying Billy Khounthavong would willfully, knowingly, and recklessly falsify a federal loan document. Surely Lying Billy would willfully, knowingly, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, carelessly, and callously falsify a police report and Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles search warrant affidavit, which is exactly what Lying Billy did in frivolous and unconstituitional felony criminal case GA114055 on February 22, 2023.

60. At the rigged and unconstitutional preliminary hearing held on April 4, 2023, and April 5, 2023, rogue and corrupt peace officer Billy S. Khounthavong testified that he requested Southern California High Tech Task Force peace officers download and retrieve GoPro video recordings from KING AROGANT's GoPro camera that were recorded on February 20, 2023, and February 21, 2023. Lying Billy's testimony proved that he illegally accessed and retrieved KING AROGANT's GoPro video recordings prior to obtaining a search warrant, and Lying Billy lied on his bogus supplemental police report that he had legally obtained a search warrant from the Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles, Pasadena Superior Court corrupt and racist criminal judicial officer Suzette Clover on February 22, 2023. Further, Lying Billy's testimony proved the foulness and extreme prejudice of GA114055. Lying Billy's fabricated testimony proves the foulness and extreme prejudice of the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court case GA114055. Lying Billy's phony and forged search warrant did not request to search KING AROGANT's GoPro camera's video recordings that were recorded prior to February 21, 2023. No Superior Court of California would have issued a search warrant allowing peace officers to search a GoPro camera's video recordings recorded on February 20, 2023, when the criminal threat was alleged to have occurred on February 21, 2023. KING AROGANT's GoPro video recordings recorded on February 20, 2023, were entirely unrelated to both KING AROGANT's arrest and false allegations and accusations recklessly and knowingly made by State of California FAKE VICTIM government

witness Jennifer Hutton-Heger. Even if Billy S. Khounthavong's search warrant was valid (and it was not valid) it did not give him and other LASD peace officers involved with the illegal criminal investigation legal authorization and legal permission to review, access, copy, and otherwise seize KING AROGANT's GoPro video recordings recorded on February 20, 2023 that were entirely unrelated to the make-believe February 21, 2023 criminal threats arrest and incident that resulted in the false arrest of KING AROGANT. Lying Billy, Defendant Lo, the County of Los Angeles Fraud and Cyber Crimes Bureau's California Department of Justice funded and regulated Southern California High Tech Task Force peace officers' illegal access, illegal search, illegal seizure, and illegal copy of KING AROGANT's GoPro video recordings recorded on February 20, 2023, was far more of a serious and scandalous United States Constitution Fourth Amendment Search and Seizure Clause constitutional civil rights violation than Lying Billy's phony and bogus search warrant. The only reason Lying Billy and his co-conspirator peace officers copied and searched KING AROGANT's February 20, 2023, video recordings was because they needed to find a GoPro video recording of KING AROGANT shutting off his GoPro camera. Since Billy S. Khounthavong and Southern California High Tech Task Force peace officers had reviewed KING AROGANT's continuous GoPro video recording GL010586, they knew that there were no GoPro video recordings of KING AROGANT shutting off his GoPro camera on February 21, 2023. A true AH HA moment for Defendants George Gascon and Leo Ka Fong Lo. This is why in real GoPro video recordings GL010586, GL010587, GL010588, and GL010589 (all of which were split up from original and continuous GoPro video recordings GL010586) and also in police body camera video recordings depicting the February 21, 2023 incident KING AROGANT is only seen on video wearing a long-sleeved coat and black pants, but in Defendants George Gascon and Leo Ka Fong Lo's phony LASD-created GoPro video recording GL010586 KING AROGANT is seen only on video wearing a tank top, shorts, and then he is seen shutting off his GoPro camera with a bare right arm, proving further that KING AROGANT had on a tank top in Defendants' phony and bogus LASD-

created GoPro video recording GL010586. In Defendants' phony and bogus LASD-created GoPro video recording, GLO101587 is not seen standing in Jennifer Hutton Heger's mirror.



This particular video recording frame sequence was cut out and removed deliberately by LASD Southern California High Tech Task Force peace officers who were employed to frame KING AROGANT in furtherance of Defendant Lo, Defendant Gascon, LASD, and County of Los Angeles' conspiracy to recklessly violate KIONG AROGANT's Fourth and Fourteenth Amendment constitutional civil rights. In KING AROGANT's original, uninterrupted, and continuous GoPro REAL video recording GL010586, KING AROGANT is seen standing in Jennifer Hutton Heger's mirror with his reflection in the original GoPro video recording depicting and displaying KING AROGANT wearing a long-sleeved coat, GoPro chest harness with his GoPro camera attached, baggy pants, and KING AROGANT is depicted and displayed having long dreadlocks hanging from his face and shoulders. This is why Defendant Geoge

Gascón's County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors Brian MARK Rosenberg and Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Billy S. Khounthavong, and Defendant Lo have done everything in their power to keep phony LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 out of the hands of KING AROGANT, out of the hands of his two private investigators Carlos Anthony Jackson, out of the hands of his private investigator Joel Wyenn, out of the hands of lying and RACIST Superior Court of California audio recording and video recording expert witness Thomas Leo Guzman-Sanchez, and out of the hands of criminal defense attorney Neil Opdahl **(SBN # 277596) [**who was the court-appointed co-counsel in frivolous and unconstitutional Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court criminal case GA114055]. Disclosure would immediately end Defendant Gascón's false case because, in phony LASD-created GoPro video recordings, GL010586 and GL010587, KING AROGANT is not even wearing the same clothing he had on February 21, 2023. The reckless actions of the above-stated Defendants to willfully, knowingly, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, carelessly, and callously present to the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court phony and bogus GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 for the unlawful purpose of tricking, lying to, fooling, manipulating, and otherwise deceiving a criminal Superior Court that these phony LASD-created GoPro video recordings were recorded by KING AROGANT on February 21, 2023, was reckless under color of law fraud. The reckless actions of the above-stated Defendants to willfully, knowingly, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, carelessly, and callously present to the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court phony and bogus GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 for the unlawful purpose of failing to tell, failing to inform, concealing from, and otherwise deceiving a criminal Superior Court that these Defendants ant their co-conspirators were in possession of KING AROGANT's REAL GoPro video recordings and knew that pursuant to California Evidence Code § 1522 they were committing under color

of law fraud by presenting phony LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 to the Alhambra Superior Court while the entire time KING AROGANT's original GoPro video recordings were in the possession of Defendants Lo, Gascón, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Billy S. Khounthavong, Brian MARK Rosenberg, and many others, and they then recklessly failed to present the original GoPro video recordings in the Superior willfully, knowingly, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, carelessly, and callously Court in support of their false and fabricated case-in-chief. Further, Defendants Lo and Gascón willfully, knowingly, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, carelessly, and callously violated KING AROGANT's Fourth Search and Seizure Amendment constitutional civil rights, and his Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights by recklessly presenting to the Superior Court phony LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 while the original were in Defendants' physical possession and while Defendants had no valid search warrant to had seized KING AROGANT's original GoPro Heero 10 action camera in the first place.

61. Leo Ka Fong Lo, George Gascón, Billy S,. Khounthavong, Brian MARK Rosenberg, and Victor Manuel Rodriguez were able to willfully, knowingly, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, carelessly, and callously

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq.
1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD.
PASADENA, CA 91106
Mobile: 626.755.6442
aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted
Spirit of Esquire

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
NORTHEAST DISTRICT, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
ALHAMBRA SUPERIOR COURT

people of the state of california, Prosecutor, V AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Defendant, ***Railroaded and Wrongfully Convicted***	**Case No. GA114055** **Arogant Hollywood's VERIFIED NOTICE OF AND MOTION THAT SUPRIOR COURT ORDER PEOPLE IMMEDIATELY DISCLOSE AND TURN OVER BOGUS LASD-CREATED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GL010586 AND GL010587 Pursuant to California Penal Code §§ 745, 1473.6, & 1473.7; *Brady v. Maryland*, 373 U.S. 83, 83 S.Ct. 1194, 10 L.Ed.2d 215 (1963); United States v. Bagley, 473 U.S. 667, 682, 105 S.Ct. 3375, 87 L.Ed.2d 481 (1985); County of Los Angeles District Attorney Discovery Compliance System Manual, County of Los Angeles District Attorney Legal Policies Manual, Chapter 14, & United States Department of Justice Manual, Title 9, § 9-5.000 & REQUEST THAT SUPERIOR COURT ORDER THAT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S UNCONSTITUTIONAL PAROLE SUPERVISION BE IMMEDIATELY TERMINATED** **Date of Hearing: June 21, 2024** **Department _____** **Time:**

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantholllywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 626.755.6442

TO THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY GEORGE GASCON.

TO THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES CHIEF DISTRICT ATTORNEY JOSEPH FRANK INIGUEZ **(SBN # 285260).**

TO THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE CHIEF OF STAFF TIFFINY TOWEND BLACKNELL **(SBN # 223529)**

TO THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE LINE OPERATIONS ACTING ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY RONALD MILLARD GELTZ **(SBN # 126699).**

TO RACIST AND BIASED COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE ETHICS AND INTEGRITY ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY JAMES WILLIAM GARRISON **(SBN # 157070)**.

TO THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE SPECIAL ASSISTANT FERNANDO SANCHEZ **(SBN # 312245)**.

TO THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE PUBLIC AFFAIRS DIRECTOR MICHAEL DAVID KAPP **(SBN # 2779277)**.

TO THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE SPECIAL ASSISTANT KATHRYN BAILEY ALBRACHT **(SBN # 175822)**.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 626.755.6442

TO THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE BRANCH AND OPERATIONS REGION II DIRECTOR ARISA MATTSON **(SBN # 195578).**

TO THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE DIRECTOR OF BUREAU OF VICTIM SERVICES TANISHIA G. WRIGHT (NON-ATTORNEY).

TO THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE FRAUD AND CORRUPTIONS PROSECUTING DIRECTOR MARC CORNELIS BEAART **(SBN # 1773364).**

TO THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE PROSECUTION SUPPORT OPERATIONS DIRECTOR STEPHANIE PEARL MIRE **(SBN # 158918).**

TO THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE CENTRAL OPERATIONS DIRECTOR LAURA JANE KESSNER **(SBN # 175337).**

TO RACIST, BIASED, AND PREJUDICED COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE FAMILY LAW DIVISION HEAD DEPUTY IN CHARGE JANIS EVE JOHNSON **(SBN # 175280).**

TO THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE FAMILY LAW DIVISION ASSISTANT DEPUTY IN CHARGE KATHY UYEN TA **(SBN # 243716).**

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 626.755.6442

TO RACIST AND BIAS COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE FAMILY LAW DIVISION ASSISTANT DEPUTY IN CHARGE PAK B. KOUCH **(SBN # 204373).**

TO THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE ALHAMBRA AREA OFFICE ASSISTANT DEPUTY IN CHARGE MARY ANNE MURRAY **(SBN # 185518).**

TO RACIST AND BIASED COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE ALHAMBRA AREA OFFICE DEPUTY IN CHARGE VICTOR MANUEL RODRIGUEZ **(SBN # 156150).**

TO RACIST AND BIASED COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE ALHAMBRA AREA OFFICE DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG **(SBN # 291501).**

TO RACIST AND BIASED COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE ALHAMBRA AREA OFFICE DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY CINDY JUHYUN PARK **(SBN # 271933)**.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on June 24, 2024, at 8:30 a.m. in Department _____ of the Pasadena Superior Courthouse located 300 East Walnut Street, Pasadena, CA 91101. **RAILROADED AND WRONGFULLY CONVICTED INNOCENT**

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 626.755.6442

CRIMINAL DEFENDANT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD will move this Court for a **COURT ORDER DEMANDING** that the People turn over and disclose a playable electronic copy of phony LASD-CREATED GOPRO video recordings impeaching evidence GL010586 and GLO10587 that was recklessly, willfully, wantonly, maliciously, and callously played before and presented to State of California criminal Superior Court judicial district NORTHEAST on April 4, 2023, April 5, 2023, and February 2, 2024 and that the Superior Court ORDER that AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S parole be immediately terminated based upon the following grounds:

1. The People Have A Statutory Obligation to Disclose Impeaching Video Recording Evidence Pursuant to California Penal Code § 1054.1.
2. The People Have A Statutory Obligation to Disclose Impeaching Video Recording Evidence Pursuant to Arogant Hollywood's Rights to Present Such Evidence During His Appeal.
3. The People Have A Statutory Obligation to Disclose Impeaching Video Recording Evidence Pursuant to California Penal Code § 745, subdivision (d).
4. The People Have A Statutory Obligation to Disclose Impeaching Video Recording Evidence Pursuant to California Penal Code § 1473.6 & 1473.7.
5. The People Have A Constitutional Obligation to Disclose Impeaching Video Recording Evidence Pursuant to *Brady v. Maryland* & *United States v. Bagley*.
6. County of Los Angeles District Attorney George Gascon Has An Obligation To Disclose Impeaching Video Recording Evidence Pursuant to His DA's Office Policies Pursuant to Discovery Compliance System Manual.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 626.755.6442

7. County of Los Angeles District Attorney George Gascon Has An Obligation To Disclose Impeaching Vide Recording Evidence Pursuant to His DA's Office Policies Pursuant to Legal Policies Manual, Chapter 14.

8. California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation Adult Parole Director Jason D. Johnson, and Secretary Jeffrey Macomber Have Recklessly Failed to Terminate AROGANT'S Unconstitutional Parole Supervision Even After Being Emailed and Faxed Numerous Pieces of Evidence that Proved That Arogant Is Innocent and Proved AROGANT Had His Probation Terminated and Was Sentenced to Prison Based On Reckless and Intentionally False Court Testimony By Racist and Biased Los Angeles County Superior Court Expert Witness Thomas Leo Guzman-Sanchez

This verified motion will be based upon this notice of motion and motion, on the memorandum of points and authorities, on the declaration of co-counsel Neil Opdahl-Lopez **(SBN # 277596)**, on the sworn under oath declarations of Arogant Hollywood and Alison Helen Fairchild, on the original GoPro video recordings presented and admitted at the evidentiary hearing in support of the motion, on any such oral testimony permitted, on the attached Exhibits, and on any other such evidence that may be presented at the hearing on the motion.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantholllywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 626.755.6442

DATE: June 8, 2024

BY: _____

Arogant Hollywood, ***Esq.***
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106
Mobile: (323) 240-0643
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com

Spirit of Esquire

PROOF OF SERVICE

I certify that on June 16, 2024, I served one **copy** of the foregoing.

Arogant Hollywood's VERIFIED NOTICE OF AND MOTION THAT SUPRIOR COURT ORDER PEOPLE IMMEDIATELY DISCLOSE AND TURN OVER BOGUS LASD-CREATED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GL010586 AND GL010587 Pursuant to California Penal Code §§ 745, 1473.6, & 1473.7; *Brady v. Maryland*, 373 U.S. 83, 83 S.Ct. 1194, 10 L.Ed.2d 215 (1963); United States v. Bagley, 473 U.S. 667, 682, 105 S.Ct. 3375, 87 L.Ed.2d 481 (1985); County of Los Angeles District Attorney Discovery Compliance System Manual, County of Los Angeles District Attorney Legal Policies Manual, Chapter 14, & United States Department of Justice Manual, Title 9, § 9-5.000 & REQUEST THAT SUPERIOR COURT ORDER THAT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S UNCONSTITUTIONAL PAROLE SUPERVISION BE IMMEDIATELY TERMINATED

,and any and all attachments, by electronic mail (.pdf format) on following:

Geroge Gascon [SBN # 182345], District Attorney

georgegascon@da.lacounty.gov

Joseph Frank Iniguez [SBN # 285260], Chief Deputy District Attorney

jiniguez@da.lacounty.gov

Tiffiny Townend Blacknell [SBN # 223529], Chief of Staff

tiffinytownend@hottmail.com

Ronald Millard Geltz [SBN # 263186], Line Operations Acting Assistant D.A.

rgeltz@da.lacounty.gov

James William Garrison [SBN # 157070], Ethics and Integrity Assistant District Attorney

jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 626.755.6442

Case No. GA114055

Fernando Sanchez **[SBN # 312245], Special Assistant**
fsanchez@da.lacounty.gov

Michael David Kapp **[SBN # 2779277], Public Affairs Director**
mkapp@da.lacounty.gov

Kathryn Bailey Albracht **[SBN # 175822], Special Assistant**
kalbrach@da.lacounty.gov

Arisa Mattson **[SBN # 195578], Branch and Operations Region II Director**
amattson@da.lacounty.gov

Tanishia Wright **[non attorney], Director of Bureau of Victim Services**
twright@da.lacounty.gov

Marc Cornelis Beaart **[SBN # 173364], Director of Fraud and Corruption**
mbeaart@da.lacounty.gov

Stephanie Pearl Mire **[SBN # 158918], Prosecutions Support Operations Director**
spmire@da.lacounty.gov

Laura Jane Kessner **[SBN # 175337], Central Operations Director**
ljkessner@da.lacounty.gov

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogant hollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 626.755.6442

[SBN # 173364], Director of Fraud and Corruption
mbeaart@da.lacounty.gov

Stephanie Pearl Mire [SBN # 158918], Prosecutions Support Operations Director
spmire@da.lacounty.gov

Laura Jane Kessner [SBN # 175337], Central Operations Director
ljkessner@da.lacounty.gov

Janis Eve Johnson [SBN # 175280], Head Deputy DA of Family Violence Division (74)
jjohnes@aol.com

Brittany Nicole Nickolas [RELATED TO EVE]
brittnick23@gmail.com
bnickolas@yahoo.com
zlzlbrittnick23@yahoo.com

Kathy Uyen Ta [SBN # 243716], Assistant Head Deputy DA of Family Violence Division
kta@da.lacounty.gov

Pak B. Kouch, [SBN # 204373], Assistant Head Deputy DA of Family Violence Division
pkouch@da.lacounty.gov

Mary Anne Murray [SBN # 185518], Assistant Head Deputy DA of Alhambra Field Office
mmurray@da.lacounty.gov

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogan thollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 626.755.6442

Victor Manuel Rodriguez [SBN # 156150], Head Deputy DA of Alhambra Field Office

vrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov

Cindy Juhyun Park [SBN # 271933], Deputy DA of Alhambra Field Office

cpark@da.lacounty.gov

Robert Luna, SHERIFF OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT

rluna@lasd.org

Rogue Peace Officer Leo Ka Fong Lo [In possession of phony GOPRO video recordings]

lklo@lasd.org

Rogue Peace Officer Billy Khounthavong [Responsible for sending AROGANT's GOPRO camera to Southern California High Tech Task Force and Testing Falsely that Phony GOPRO video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 were downloaded from AROGANT's original GOPRO.

bskhount@lasd.org

Robert Bonta, California Attorney General

rbonta@doj.ca.gov

Nicole Amber Davis-Tinkham, Chief Deputy County Counsel of County of Los Angeles

rdavis-tinkham@counsel.lacounty.gov

nikki.davis1212@gmail.com

Judy Welch-Whitehurst, Chief Deputy County Counsel of County of Los Angeles

jwhitehurst@counsel.lacounty.gov

County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office Headquarters

info@da.lacounty.gov

and facsimile on the following:

626.281.4724 (COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE ALHAMBRA LOCATION

213.633.1956 (COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE HEADQUARTERS FAX NUMBER)

213.633.0960 (COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE FAMILY VIOLENCE DIVISION FAX NUMBER)

916.323.5341 (CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, OFFICE OF ROBERT BONTA, ATTORNEY GENERAL'S FACSIMILE NUMBER)

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws and Constitution of California, and under the laws, federal statutes, and Constitution of the United States of America that the foregoing declaration is true and correct.

Executed on June 10, 2024, in California, Los Angeles County, city of Los Angeles, California

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 626.755.6442

DATE: June 10, 2024

BY: Arogant Hollywood

Arogant Hollywood, ***Esq.***
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106
Mobile: (323) 200-4449
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com

Spirit of Esquire

TABLE OF CONTENTS **Page(s)**

I. INTRODUCTION…….....1

II. PROCEDURAL HISTORY…….……2

III. STATEMENT OF FACTS……...4

IV. THE APPLICATION OF LEGAL STANDARDS, ARGUMENT & AUTHORITY……25

V. LEGAL ARGUMENT…….…….15

1.

A.
30

B.
30

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogant hollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 626.755.6442

TABLE OF CONTENTS **Page(s)**

C.

VI. CONCLUSION……..43

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 626.755.6442

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases **Page(s)**

United States Supreme Court Cases

California Supreme Court Cases

California State Appellate Cases

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 626.755.6442

I. INTRODUCTION

The People just couldn't help themselves after Arogant Hollywood **(hereinafter "AROGANT")** emailed his REAL GOPRO video recording proving his factual innocence with clear and convincing evidence. The People responded by presenting the same phony GOPRO video recordings on February 2, 2024, that were also presented and admitted as false evidence in a criminal Superior Court on April 4, 2023, and April 5, 2023. Numerous emailed California DOJ criminal and civil attorneys ignored AROGANT'S numerous emails that documents and proved his factual innocence.

II. PROCEDURAL HISTORY

In April 2023, AROGANT filed a motion with the Superior Court requesting that the People and Brian Mark Rosenberg **(from now on "MARK")** turn over and disclose an electronic copy of phony LASD-created GOPRO video recordings that depicted AROGANT shutting off his GOPRO camera on the early morning of February 21, 2023. Biased and prejudiced judicial officer Michael Villalobos never held a hearing on AROGANT's motion, nor did he request that MARK and the People provide the defense with a copy of such impeaching video recording evidence.

At the preliminary hearing held on April 4, 2023, the People and MARK willfully, recklessly, maliciously, wantonly, and callously presented to the Superior Court phony GoPro video recordings that (1) showed AROGANT mechanically shutting off his GoPro camera and (2) falsely showed AROGANT getting up from his seat to meet a UBER driver and also walking and standing in front of Jennifer Hutton Heger's **(hereinafter "Hutton")** front door without ever standing in Hutton's mirror as AROGANT is seen

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogan thollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 626.755.6442

doing in the REAL video recordings. MARK also told the Superior Court that he saw AROGANT shutting off his GoPro camera himself.

MARK Rosenberg: He saying it's continuous? It shows him turning it off right there. You know what, I'm going to play it again. I'm tired of this.

Prejudice Villalobos: You don't have to do that. Mr. Hollywood, you'll get your chance. Hold on.

See Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings, April 4, 2023, AM Session, Day 1, on Page 36, Official Reporter Andriana Montanez.

At the preliminary hearing held on April 5, 2023, the rogue and corrupt County of Los Angeles peace officer Billy S. Khounthavong testified falsely that phony GOPRO video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 were downloaded and retrieved from AROGANT's GoPro camera by Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department Fraud and Cyber Crimes Bureau Southern California High Tech Task Force peace officers.

MARK Rosenberg: And the videos that you retrieved, how did you get those?

Billy Khounthavong: I submitted the GOPRO to our high-tech bureau and detectives from that bureau downloaded the data from the GoPro itself, and I retrieved data from them.

See Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings, Preliminary Hearing, Day 2, April 5, 2023, on Page 23, Official Reporter Andriana Montanez.

In late May 2024 prejudiced State of California judicial officer Michael Villalobos appointed Carlos Anthony Jackson as AROGANT'S private investigator and awarded him forty work hours to investigate frivolous criminal case GA114055 for the defense.

From May 25, 2023, until July 6, 2023, State of California Alhambra Superior Courthouse Department 1 was dark and AROGANT had no court appearances.

On July 7, 2023, AROGANT was again in Alhambra Superior Court, Department 1, with the biased, racist, and prejudiced Michael Villalobos presiding. At this Superior Court hearing, AROGANT filed another California Penal Code § 1054.1 motion requesting the People turn over and disclose a copy of phony LASD-created GOPRO video recordings that depicted and displayed AROGANT shutting off his GOPRO camera. Once again Villalobos failed to hold a hearing on AROGANT's motion, nor did he order the People and MARK to turn over phony GOPRO video recordings that depicted and displayed AROGANT shutting off his GOPRO camera.

On July 7, 2023 prejudice State of California judicial officer Michael Villalobos appointed racist expert witness Thomas Leo Guzman-Sanchez

On or about September 6, 2023, AROGANT requested that Villalobos sign a drafted ORDER created by AROGANT that would ORDER the People and MARK to immediately provide AROGANT a copy of all sixteen GOPRO video recordings that were willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, and callously played, presented,

testified to, and displayed at the preliminary hearing on April 4, 2023, and April 5, 2023, specifically the phony GOPRO video recordings showing AROGANT meeting the UBER driver and shutting off his GoPro camera. Prejudice Michael Villalobos outright refused to sign AROGANT's nearly fourteen-page ORDER that would compel the People to turn over all sixteen GOPRO video recordings that were testified to, shown to the Superior Court and admitted into evidence at the rigged preliminary hearing on April 4, 2023, and April 5, 2023, specifically phony LASD-created GOPRO video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 which depicted and displayed AROGANT shutting off his GoPro camera and also showed fake video frame sequences of exactly when he got up out of his seat to stand at the door outside and meet the UBER driver. Instead of granting the COURT ORDER that would have compelled the People and MARK to turn over phony GoPro video recordings depicting and displaying AROGANT shutting off his GoPro camera. Sneakingly without AROGANT present in Superior Court. Prejudiced and biased Michael Villalobos working in collusion with MARK, made a court order for the People to turn over a copy of original GoPro video recordings on a USB flash drive to AROGANT's private investigator Joel Simeone Wyenn. Yet, Michael Villalobos's court order intentionally did not order the People to turn over phony LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 because he knew that those video recordings proved that the state government and County of Los Angeles recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, callously, and willfully falsified video recording evidence in frivolous criminal case GA114055. Michael Villalobos's actions and misconduct recklessly violated AROGANT's Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional rights and AROGANT's Article I, Section 7 California constitutional rights. Michael Villalobos's reckless failure to order the People and MARK to turn over exceedingly impeaching video recording evidence before September 6, 2023, and also after September 6, 2023 is the sole reason why the People and MARK were able to recklessly,

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 626.755.6442

maliciously, wantonly, callously, and willfully present to a criminal State of California Superior Court phony LASD-created GOPRO video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 that falsely depicted AROGANT shutting off his GOPRO camera, meeting with an UBER driver, and walking to the front door of Hutton's home and looking outside, false and manipulated video recording evidence that was used for the sole purpose of incarcerating AROGANT beyond February 2, 2024 without legal justification and probable cause, to the Superior Court on February 2, 2024.

On or about October 5, 2023, AROGANT filed a nearly thirty-page verified motion to compel requesting that the Superior Court order the People and MARK to turn over and disclose a copy of phony GOPRO video recordings that depicted AROGANT getting up out of his seat to meet UBER driver and that showed AROGANT shutting off his GOPRO camera while being inside of Jennifer Hutton-Heger's **(hereinafter " Hutton")** home. The Superior Court and Michael Villalobos recklessly, willfully, wantonly, maliciously, and callously violated AROGANT's United States Fourteenth Amendment constitutional rights and California constitutional rights by denying AROGANT's motion to compel without holding a hearing at all, and by refusing to ORDER that People turn over and disclose a copy of phony GOPRO video recordings showing AROGANT meeting UBER driver and that depicted and displayed AROGANT shutting off his GoPro camera.

On or about December 11, 2023, AROGANT appeared in Alhambra Superior Court requesting that the Court review his REAL GOPRO video recordings and his request was denied.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 626.755.6442

On January 12, 2024, AROGANT filed a motion to withdraw his guilty plea and request for evidentiary hearing so that his original GOPRO video recordings could be played before the Superior Court in hopes of exonerating himself.

On February 2, 2024, rogue, racist, biased, dishonest, prejudiced, and corrupt County of Los Angeles deputy district attorney Brian MARK Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)** testified before the Alhambra Superior Court while working in collusion with racist deputy district attorney Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)** who then simultaneously showed the Superior Court phony GOPRO video recordings GL010586 and GL010587, both of which since the date of frivolous criminal case GA114055 being initiated on February 23, 2023. have recklessly, willfully, wantonly, maliciously, and callously never been turned over to co-counsel Neil Opdahl-Lopez, private investigator Carlos Anthony Jackson, private investigator Joel Simeone Wyenn, and/or racist expert witness Thomas Leo Guzman-Sanchez. At the hearing, MARK told the Superior Court while sworn under oath that phony LASD-created GOPRO video recording GL010586 showed AROGANT shutting off his GOPRO camera. At the hearing MARK asked AROGANT a question on cross-examination: "How did you get to that door right there?"; during the playing of phony GOPRO video recording GL010587 which falsely shows AROGANT walking to the front door of Hutton's home and standing in front of her front door while it was open. The real reason for MARK's testimony is so that he and the People could extinguish the declaration of Neil Opdahl-Lopez **(SBN # 277596)** that real GOPRO video recordings reviewed by him did not show AROGANT committing any crime or shutting off his GOPRO camera at any time. Thus, pursuant to California State Bar Rules of Professional Conduct Rule 3.8–Special Responsibilities of a Prosecutor, George Gascon, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, and even Robert Bonta all had a duty and responsibility to remedy AROGANT'S criminal conviction and overturn it

themselves. All emailed County of Los Angeles and State of California criminal attorneys' reckless failure to abide by Rule 3.8 proves by clear and convincing evidence that each of the Defendants sued in the Eastern District of California and the Northern District of California, were correctly and rightfully sued.

At the hearing on February 2, 2024, the People and MARK were able to convince prejudiced judicial officer Michael Villalobos that the People's LASD GOPRO video recordings were authentic and AROGANT's real GOPRO video recordings were spliced and manipulated by AROGANT himself after his GOPRO camera was returned to him in Superior Court on October 17, 2023.

III. STATEMENT OF FACTS

On December 2, 2002, California State Assembly introduced bill AB-49, an act to amend Section 13848.6 of the Penal Code, relating to crime whose LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST provided:

AB 49, as introduced, Simitian. Crimes.

Existing law establishes the High Technology Theft Apprehension and Prosecution Program Trust Fund, and specifies the purposes for which the moneys in the fund may be used. Funding is contingent upon appropriation by the Legislature, as provided. Existing law establishes the High Technology Crime Advisory Committee, composed of members representing various governmental agencies and professional organizations, appointed by the Executive Director of the Office of Criminal Justice Planning to create a written strategy for addressing high technology crime and advising on the appropriate disbursement of funds from the High Technology Theft Apprehension and Prosecution Program Trust Fund to regional task forces.

This bill would, expand the goals for law enforcement attention as identified by the committee to include the apprehension and prosecution of individuals and groups engaged in unlawful access, disruption, or destruction of public utilities or other state infrastructure. This bill would also create the California Cyber Crimes Task Force which would be comprised of each regional task force funded by the High Technology Theft Apprehension and Prosecution Program. In addition, this bill would provide that the committee would annually review the effectiveness of the California Cyber Crimes Task Force.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes. State-mandated local program: no.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA DO ENACT AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. Section 13848.6 of the Penal Code is amended to read:

13848.6. (a) The High Technology Crime Advisory Committee is hereby established for the purpose of formulating a comprehensive written strategy for addressing high technology crime throughout the state and to advise the Office of Criminal Justice Planning on the appropriate disbursement of funds to regional task forces.

(b) This strategy shall be designed to be implemented through regional task forces. In formulating that strategy, the committee shall identify various priorities for law enforcement attention, including the following goals:

(1) To apprehend and prosecute criminal organizations, networks, and groups of individuals engaged in the following activities:

(A) Theft of computer components and other high technology products.

(B) Violations of Penal Code Sections 211, 350, 351a, 459, 496, 537e, 593d, and 593e.

(C) Theft of telecommunications services and other violations of Penal Code Sections 502.7 and 502.8.

(D) Counterfeiting of negotiable instruments and other valuable items through the use of computer technology.

(E) Creation and distribution of counterfeit software and other digital information, including the use of counterfeit trademarks to misrepresent the origin of that software or digital information.

(2) To apprehend and prosecute individuals and groups engaged in the unlawful access, destruction, or unauthorized entry into and use of private, corporate, or government computers and networks, including wireless and wireline communications networks and law enforcement dispatch systems, and the theft, interception, manipulation, destruction, and unauthorized disclosure of data stored within those computers.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogan thollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 626.755.6442

(3) To apprehend and prosecute individuals and groups engaged in the theft of trade secrets.

(4) To investigate and prosecute high technology crime cases requiring coordination and cooperation between regional task forces and local, state, federal, and international law enforcement agencies.

(5) To apprehend and prosecute individuals and groups engaged in the unlawful access, disruption, or destruction of public utilities or other state infrastructure.

(c) The Executive Director of the Office of Criminal Justice Planning shall appoint the following members to the committee:

(1) A designee of the California District Attorneys Association.
(2) A designee of the California State Sheriffs Association.
(3) A designee of the California Police Chiefs Association.
(4) A designee of the Attorney General.
(5) A designee of the California Highway Patrol.
(6) A designee of the High-Tech Criminal Investigators Association.
(7) A designee of the Office of Criminal Justice Planning.
(8) A designee of the American Electronic Association to represent California computer system manufacturers.
(9) A designee of the American Electronic Association to represent California computer software producers.
(10) A designee of the California Cellular Carriers Association.
(11) A representative of the California Internet industry.
(12) A designee of the Semiconductor Equipment and Materials International.
(13) A designee of the California Cable Television Association.
(14) A designee of the Motion Picture Association of America.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogan thollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 626.755.6442

(15) A designee of either the California Telephone Association or the California Association of Long Distance Companies. This position shall rotate every other year between designees of the two associations.

(16) ~~A designee of the Science and Technology Agency, if Senate Bill 1136 is enacted, and, as enacted, creates the Science and Technology Agency, otherwise, a designee of the Department of Information Technology.~~

~~(17)~~ A representative of the California banking industry.

(d) The Executive Director of the Office of Criminal Justice Planning shall designate the Chair of the High Technology Crime Advisory Committee from the appointed members.

(e) The advisory committee shall not be required to meet more than 12 times per year. The advisory committee may create subcommittees of its own membership, and each subcommittee shall meet as often as the subcommittee members find necessary. It is the intent of the Legislature that all advisory committee members shall actively participate in all advisory committee deliberations required by this chapter.

Any member who, without advance notice to the executive director and without designating an alternative representative, misses three scheduled meetings in any calendar year for any reason other than severe temporary illness or injury (as determined by the Executive Director of the Office of Criminal Justice Planning) shall

automatically be removed from the advisory committee. If a member wishes to send an alternative representative in his or her place, advance written notification of this substitution shall be presented to the executive director. This notification shall be required for each meeting the appointed member elects not to attend.

Members of the advisory committee shall receive no compensation for their services, but shall be reimbursed for travel and per diem expenses incurred as a result of attending meetings sponsored by the Office of Criminal Justice Planning under this chapter.

(f) The executive director, in consultation with the High Technology Crime Advisory Committee, shall develop specific guidelines and administrative procedures for the selection of projects to be funded by the High Technology Theft Apprehension and Prosecution Program, which guidelines shall include the following selection criteria:

(1) Each regional task force that seeks funds shall submit a written application to the committee setting forth in detail the proposed use of the funds.

(2) In order to qualify for the receipt of funds, each proposed regional task force submitting an application shall provide written evidence that the agency meets either of the following conditions:

(A) The regional task force devoted to the investigation and prosecution of high technology-related crimes is comprised of local law enforcement and prosecutors, and has been in existence for at

least one year prior to the application date.

(B) At least one member of the task force has at least three years of experience in investigating or prosecuting cases of suspected high technology crime.

(3) *Each regional task force shall be identified by a name that is appropriate to the area that it serves.* In order to qualify for funds, a regional task force shall be comprised of local

law enforcement and prosecutors from at least two counties. At the time of funding, the proposed task force shall also have at least one investigator assigned to it from a state law enforcement agency.
Each task force shall be directed by a local steering committee composed of representatives of participating agencies and members of the local high technology industry.

(4) The California Cyber Crimes Task Force shall be comprised of each regional task force developed pursuant to this subdivision.

(5) Additional criteria that shall be considered by the advisory committee in awarding grant funds shall include, but not be limited to, the following:

(A) The number of high technology crime cases filed in the prior year.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogan thollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 626.755.6442

(B) The number of high technology crime cases investigated in the prior year.

(C) The number of victims involved in the cases filed.

(D) The total aggregate monetary loss suffered by the victims, including individuals, associations, institutions, or corporations, as a result of the high technology crime cases filed, and those under active investigation by that task force.

~~(5)~~

(6) Each regional task force that has been awarded funds authorized under the High Technology Theft Apprehension and Prosecution Program during the previous grant-funding cycle, upon reapplication for funds to the committee in each successive year, shall be required to submit a detailed accounting of funds received and expended in the prior year in addition to any information required by this section. The accounting shall include all of the following information:

(A) The amount of funds received and expended.

(B) The use to which those funds were put, including payment of salaries and expenses, purchase of equipment and supplies, and other expenditures by type.

(C) The number of filed complaints, investigations, arrests, and convictions that resulted from the expenditure of the funds.

(g) The committee shall annually review the effectiveness of the ~~regional task forces created~~ *the California Cyber Crimes Task Force* in deterring, investigating, and prosecuting high technology crimes and provide its findings in a report to the Legislature and the Governor. This report shall be based on information provided by the regional task forces in an annual report to the committee which shall detail the following:

(1) Facts based upon, but not limited to, the following:

(A) The number of high technology crime cases filed in the prior year.

(B) The number of high technology crime cases investigated in the prior year.

(C) The number of victims involved in the cases filed.

(D) The number of convictions obtained in the prior year.

(E) The total aggregate monetary loss suffered by the victims, including individuals, associations, institutions, corporations, and other relevant public entities, according to the number of cases filed, investigations, prosecutions, and convictions obtained.

(2) An accounting of funds received and expended in the prior year, which shall include all of the following:

(A) The amount of funds received and expended.

(B) The uses to which those funds were put, including payment of salaries and expenses, purchase of supplies, and other expenditures of funds.

(C) Any other relevant information requested.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogan thollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 626.755.6442

See AB-49 (2002) below.
http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/pub/03-04/bill/asm/ab_0001-0050/ab_49_bill_20021202_introduced.html

See AB-49 2002 California Senate Bill attached to **Verified Appendix of Exhibits, Exhibit 1.**


On August 19, 2011, the County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department Headquarters responded to Civil Grand Jury Report recommendations by stating the following:

RESPONSE: The Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department agrees with this recommendation. Currently, LASD operates a regional high tech task force (Southern California High Tech Task Force-SCHTTF) that investigates computer related crimes and also provides forensic examinations of technical equipment and software related to cyber crimes. SCHTTF is currently funded by a state grant through this current fiscal year.

See now
https://file.lacounty.gov/SDSInter/bos/bc/164642_CivilGrandJuryFinalReportResponse2010-11.pdf

See **Verified Appendix of Exhibits, Exhibit 2**. See also the first page of the County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department Headquarters Response to the Final Report of the 2010-11

Los Angeles County Civil Grand Jury, signed by former County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department peace officer Sheriff Leroy D. Baca.



On February 21, 2023, Arogant Hollywood (hereinafter "AROGANT") was falsely arrested by LASD Temple City Peace Officers for making criminal threats.

On February 21, 2023, shortly after arriving at Temple City adult detention center, Hollywood told rogue detective peace officer Billy Khounthavong and his superior sergeant Richard Lewis that if they brought him his mobile phone and GoPro camera he could present to them audio and video evidence that would prove he did not make any criminal threats.

On February 22, 2023, rogue and corrupt LASD peace officer Billy Khounthavong and his superior Richard Lewis recklessly conspired to violate his civil rights by disposing of his Samsung Galaxy S21 Ultra mobile phone, which Hollywood told them contained audio recordings and text messages that would exonerate him.

On February 22, 2023, rogue peace officer Billy S. Khounthavong filed a bogus police report alleging that a search warrant for AROGANT's GoPro camera was issued by the dishonest, racist, and biased judicial officer Suzette Clover. The search warrant was so bogus that AROGANT never received a certified copy of it despite requesting it on numerous occasions before the Superior Court and prejudiced judicial officer Michael Villalobos. The bogus warrant was alleged to have been electronically filed, yet it did not have the words duplicate original written on it, and it did not have the execution date and time written on it. AROGANT was never served, and he did not receive a copy of Billy

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 626.755.6442

Khounthavong's bogus search warrant until March 2023. There were a few obvious signs that proved that Billy S. Khounthavong's
search warrant was a phony. (1) The phony search warrant had no search warrant number on it. (2) The search warrant failed to show a Superior Court electronic stamp in the following format:

Electronically FILED by
Superior Court of California,
County of Los Angeles
02/22/2023_____PM
David W. Slayton,
Executive Officer/Clerk of Court
CLERK NAME HERE, Deputy Clerk

(3) No search warrant return was ever filed with the Superior Court and attached to the phony search warrant that was given to AROGANT in Superior Court by MARK in late March 2023. See now rogue peace officer Billy S. Khounthavong's phony search warrant attached to Verified Appendix of Exhibits, Exhibit, and attached herein to this legal brief below.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantholl ywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 626.755.6442

LASD File #: SW NO.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
County of Los Angeles

SEARCH WARRANT

Detective Billy Khounthavong #502180 swears under oath that the facts expressed by him in the attached and incorporated **Statement of Probable Cause** are true and that based thereon he has probable cause to believe and does believe that the articles, property, electronic communications, and data described below are lawfully seizable pursuant to Penal Code Section 1524 et seq., as indicated below, and are now located at the location(s) set forth below. Wherefore, Affiant requests that this Search Warrant be issued.

(Signature of Affiant)

SEALING ORDER REQUESTED: ___ YES x NO
NIGHT SEARCH REQUESTED: ___ YES x NO

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA TO ANY PEACE OFFICER IN THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES: proof by affidavit, having been this day made before me by **Detective Billy Khounthavong**, finds that there is probable cause to believe that the property and/or person described herein may be found at the locations set forth herein and is lawfully seizable pursuant to Penal Code Section 1524 et seq., as indicated below by X (s) in that:

____ When the property was stolen or embezzled [§1524(a)(1) Penal Code];

__ When the property or things were used as the means of committing a felony [§1524(a)(2) Penal Code];

X When the property or things to be seized consist of an item or constitute evidence that tends to shrw that a felony has been committed, or tends to show that a particular person has committed a felony [§1524(a)(4) Penal Code];

____ When the property or things are in the possession of any person with the intent to use them as a means for committing a public offense, or in the possession of another to whom he or she may have delivered them for the purpose of concealing them or preventing their discovery [§1524(a)(3) Penal Code];

____ There is a warrant to arrest a person [§1524(a)(6) Penal Code];

____ When a provider of electronic communication service or remote computing service has records or evidence, as specified in Section 1524.3, showing that property was stolen or embezzled constituting a misdemeanor, or that property or things are in the possession of any person with the intent to use them as a means of committing a misdemeanor public offense, or in the possession of another to whom he or she may have delivered them for the purpose of concealing them or preventing their discovery.

X Will assist in locating an individual who has committed or is committing a felony [§1524(a)(12) Penal Code];

YOU ARE THEREFORE COMMANDED TO SEARCH

(1) Digital Video Recorder, GoPro, black in color, belonging to Arrogant Hollywood, evidence booked as property located at Temple Sheriff's Station 8838 E. Las Tunas Dr. Temple City, CA 91780

FOR THE FOLLOWING PROPERTY:

All data and/or content that constitutes evidence and instrumentalities of criminal threats, **February 21, 2023.**

Rev. 04-11-16 *92 Page 1 of 6

(4) Billy S. Khounthavong and MARK's phony search warrant was presented to the Superior Court while no mandatory LASC COUNTYWIDE WARRANT SYSTEM INITIAL CASE FILING FORM (LASC CRIM 126, Revised in January 2020. Without mandatory form, LASC 126 never being filed, the People and Billy Khounthavong's case should have never been filed, and they also illegally seized, copied, altered, and searched AROGANT's GoPro camera and AROGANT's GoPro camera video recordings stored on his camera's Micro SD card. See Verified Appendix of Exhibits, Exhibit. Also, see LASC mandatory judicial form LASC CRIM 126.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 626.755.6442

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

Reserved for Clerk's File Stamp

CASE NUMBER

COUNTYWIDE WARRANT SYSTEM
INITIAL CASE FILING FORM

MUST PRINT OR TYPE
FIELDS IN BOLD ARE REQUIRED

If a search warrant was executed that contributed to filing of this case, please provide the search warrant number on the line below.

Search Warrant Number _____

(Filing Name) First _____ **Mid** _____ **Last** _____ **Sfx** _____

Address _____ **City** _____

Zip _____ **State** _____

DOB _____ **Sex** _____ **Hair** _____ **Eyes** _____ **Hgt** _____ **Wgt** _____ **Race** _____

ID #S OLN _____ ST _____ LPD _____

MAIN _____ VLN _____ ST _____

CII _____ BKG _____

OTHER #S FBI _____ CIT _____

OCA _____

AKA First _____ **Mid** _____ **Last** _____ **Sfx** _____

LEA _____ **Vio City** _____

Inv Name/Unit _____ **Phone** (_____) _____

Filing Charge(s) _____

Arrest Charge(s) _____

Date of Arrest _____

(Arrest Name) First _____ Mid _____ Last _____ Sfx _____

(over)

LASC CRIM 126 Rev. 01/20
For Mandatory Use

Of course, falsified legal documents are not a new venture for rogue and dishonest peace officer Billy S. Khounthavong. On December 5, 2013, the United States District Attorney's office for the Central District of California charged him and his brother Benny Khounthavong with making false statements and reports to Flagstar Bank and Bank of America for the purpose of influencing these banks. If Billy Khounthavong would be willing to falsify a federal loan document, surely he would be willing to falsify a Superior Court of California criminal search warrant in which he knew he would have favor by the prejudiced and biased judicial officers of the Northern District of County of Los Angeles. See Verified Appendix of Exhibits, Exhibit. Also attached here below is a true and correct copy of page one of Billy Khounthavong's federal district court complaint in case 13-3105M.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 626.755.6442

AO 91 (Rev. 11/82)

CRIMINAL COMPLAINT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA v. BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG, BENNY KHOUNTHAVONG, and JOHNNY KHOUNTHAVONG	DOCKET NO. 13-3105M MAGISTRATE'S CASE NO. ~~M 13-2394-RZ~~

FILED
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
DEC - 5 2013
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
BY DEPUTY

Complaint for violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371

NAME OF MAGISTRATE JUDGE	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	LOCATION
HONORABLE RALPH ZAREFSKY		Los Angeles, California

DATE OF OFFENSE	PLACE OF OFFENSE	ADDRESS OF ACCUSED (IF KNOWN)
June 2011 to October 2011	Los Angeles County and elsewhere	14595 Meadowbrook Lane, Corona CA

COMPLAINANT'S STATEMENT OF FACTS CONSTITUTING THE OFFENSE OR VIOLATION:

[18 U.S.C. § 371]

Beginning no later than in or about June 2011, and continuing to at least in or about October 2011, in Los Angeles County, within the Central District of California, and elsewhere, BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG, BENNY KHOUNTHAVONG, and JOHNNY KHOUNTHAVONG conspired to commit an offense against the United States, namely 18 U.S.C. § 1014, by making false statements and reports to Flagstar Bank and Bank of America, N.A., the accounts of which were then insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, for the purpose of influencing Flagstar Bank and Bank of America, N.A.

BASIS OF COMPLAINANT'S CHARGE AGAINST THE ACCUSED:

(See attached affidavit which is incorporated as part of this Complaint)

MATERIAL WITNESSES IN RELATION TO THIS CHARGE: N/A

Being duly sworn, I declare that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.	SIGNATURE OF COMPLAINANT: JASON DALTON /s/ OFFICIAL TITLE: Special Agent – FBI

Sworn to before me and subscribed in my presence,

SIGNATURE OF MAGISTRATE JUDGE[1]: Jay C. Gandhi	DATE: December 5, 2013

[1] See Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure 3 and 54

AUSA Margaret L. Carter MLC REC: $100,000 Secured Bond

(1)

On February 21, 2023, County of Los Angeles rogue peace officer Alex Contreras created a fraudulent and counterfeit probable cause determination declaration in which he stated that the Honorable Jana Seng approved his bogus PCD at approximately 1 p.m., a time she was on her lunch break. But it gets worse. While LASD has its own system for entering PCDs and sending them to the court. There are no records of Contreras' bogus PCD. Also, the fact that Contreras alleged that his PCD was approved, and that probable cause was found non-telephonically was a bald-faced lie. Probable cause is usually found at a preliminary hearing when it's non-telephonic. Additionally, a court reporter was required to transcribe Contreras' non-telephonic probable cause determination declaration. See California Penal Code Section 817, subdivision (g). Additionally, LASD and rogue peace officer A. Contreras willfully and recklessly failed to file a certificate of service with Jana Seng's court after the alleged arrest warrant was approved by her as was mandatory by the California criminal statutes. See California Penal Code Section 817, subdivision (i). LASD and A. Contreras never filed a certificate of service because they knew that their probable cause determination declaration was shammed, bogus, counterfeit, false, forged, and fraudulent. See rogue peace officer Contreras's phony probable cause attached hereto below and marked in Verified Appendix of Exhibits, Exhibit. See also a copy of phony Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles probable cause determination below.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogant hollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 626.755.6442

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

PROBABLE CAUSE DETERMINATION (DECLARATION)

Location Booked: TEM	Booking No.: 6555970	Arrest File No.: 923-02068-0588-339
Arrestee/Suspect (Last, First, Middle): HOLLYWOOD, ARROGANT		DOB: 12/09/1978
Arrestee/Suspect's Residential Address: 825 E ORANGE BL PASADENA, CA 91104	Location of Occurrence: 9077 FAIRVIEW AVENUE, SAN GABRIEL, CA 91775	
Booking Charge(s): 422 PC	Misdemeanor ☐ Felony ☒	Supplemental Holds/Warrants Charges:
Date/Time of Arrest: 02/21/2023 1030 hrs	48 Hour Expiration Date and Time: 02/23/2023 1030 hrs	
Arresting Agency/Division: 0501 - LASD - TEMPLE PATROL	Arresting Officer(s): CONTRERAS, A.	Employee No.: 636424
Supervisor(s) Approving: Santana Jr., W. Perez, D.	Employee No.: 484514 499035	Date/Time: 02/21/2023 1253 hrs 02/21/2023 1256 hrs	Contact Phone No.: 626-285-7171 626-285-7171

Facts establishing elements of offense(s)/violation(s). Information identifying/connecting arrestee/suspect by name with the violation(s) listed:

I contacted the Victim, who stated she locked the garage door to her residence because she was in fear for her life and safety. The Victim stated the Suspect became verbally aggressive when she asked him to leave her residence. The Suspect was attempting to break down the door she locked while stating he was going to kill her. In sustained fear for her life, believing the Suspect would grab a weapon from the garage to carry out the threat, the Victim called 9-1-1.

The Victim positively identified the Suspect as the one that threatened to kill her.

Based on the above, I arrested the Suspect for the indicated charge.

☐ **See attached reports incorporated herein by reference.**

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Executed on 02/21/2023 (date) 1249 hrs (time) in the County of Los Angeles, California.

e-signed (Signature) Contreras, A. (Print Name) 636424 (Employee No.)

Telephonic Determination ONLY

On _____, I was placed under oath and read the contents of the foregoing, including the contents of any attached reports or declaration, verbatim to the Honorable _____. The Judicial Officer advised me that there

( ) **IS** ( ) **IS NOT** probable cause to believe this arrestee has committed a crime.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles County, California, on

(Signature) (Employee No.)

Probable Cause Determination

On the basis of either reports reviewed and/or officer's declaration I hereby determine that there

(×) **IS** ( ) **IS NOT** probable cause to believe this arrestee has committed a crime.

Seng, J. (e-signed) (Judicial Officer's Signature) 02/21/2023 1300 hrs

(Block Stamp)

CRIM 064 Revised: 102108

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogan thollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 626.755.6442

In addition, rogue peace officer Alex Contreras's County of Los Angeles probable cause determination was absolutely 100% a phony because (1) He recklessly failed to complete the mandatory LASC CRIM 064, which was revised in October 2022 (long before February 21, 2023, false arrest). The reason for the new mandatory judicial form is that it prevents rogue peace officers like Alex Contreras from lying to a Superior Court that they obtained a probable cause determination in a frivolous and unconstitutional felony criminal case that should have never been filed in the first place. In red lettering, the following is written on the LASC mandatory judicial form CRIM 064:

Submit via email ONLY upon activation of the ePCD Contingency Plan

Further, the mandatory LASC CRIM 064 form states immediately below the submit red lettering the following: Emailed to: email4PCD@lacourt.org

See Verified Appendix of Exhibits, Exhibit, and also attached herein below a true and correct copy of mandatory LASC CRIM 064 mandatory judicial form for all County of Los Angeles probable cause determinations.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

PROBABLE CAUSE DETERMINATION (DECLARATION)

Location Booked:	Booking No.:	Arrest File No.:
Arrestee/Suspect (Last, First, Middle):		DOB:
Arrestee's/Suspect's Residential Address:	Location of Occurrence:	
Booking Charge(s):	Misdemeanor ☐ Felony ☐	Supplemental Holds/Warrants Charges:
Date/Time of Arrest:	48 Hour Expiration Date and Time:	
Arresting Agency/Division:	Arresting Officer(s):	Employee No(s).:
Supervisor(s) Approving: Employee No.:	Date/Time:	Contact Phone No.:

Facts establishing elements of offense(s)/violation(s). Information identifying/connecting arrestee/suspect by name with the violation(s) listed:

☐ **See attached reports incorporated herein by reference.**

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Executed on _____ (Date) _____ (Time) in the County of Los Angeles, California.

_____ (Signature) _____ (Print Name) _____ (Employee No.)

Telephonic Determination ONLY

On _____, I was placed under oath and read the contents of the foregoing, including the contents of any attached reports or declaration, verbatim to the Honorable

_____. The Judicial Officer advised me that there (☐) **IS** (☐) **IS NOT** probable cause to believe this arrestee has committed a crime.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed in Los Angeles County, California, on

_____ (Date)

_____ (Signature) _____ (Employee No.)

Probable Cause Determination

On the basis of either reports reviewed and/or officer's declaration I hereby determine that there (☐) **IS** (☐) **IS NOT** probable cause to believe this arrestee has committed a crime.

_____ (Judicial Officer's Signature) _____ (Date)


_____ (Block Stamp) _____ (Time)

LASC CRIM 064 Rev. 10/22
For Mandatory Use

Submit via email ONLY upon activation of the ePCD Contingency Plan
☑ Emailed to: email4PCD@lacourt.org

(2) Ales Contreras and the County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, in addition to violating AROGANT's Fourteenth Amendment Due Process constitutional rights and his Article I, Section 13 constitutional rights, County of Los Angeles peace officers involved with AROGANT's initial criminal investigation recklessly, willfully, maliciously, wantonly, and callously violated Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department electronic probable cause declaration system by not completing the mandatory LASC CRIM 064 mandatory form and emailing phony probable cause to: email4PCD@lacourt.org

See the Verified Appendix of Exhibit, Exhibit , and also the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department's electronic probable cause declaration system webpage below.



Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 626.755.6442

Alex Contreras's misconduct, actions, transgressions, misdoings, and misdeeds created a phony probable cause that was against the County of Los Angeles judicial and police policies, proving by clear and convincing evidence that GA114055 was a foul-played criminal case from the very beginning.

On or about February 23, 2023, rogue and dishonest peace officer detective lying Billy Khounthavong wrongfully and unnecessarily sent AROGANT'S GOPRO HERO 10 action camera to County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department and Ventura County Sheriff's Office's joint Fraud and Cyber Crimes' Southern California High Tech Task Force so they could illegally manipulate his GOPRO video recordings to make him look guilt of a crime they knew that AROGANT did not commit.

California Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training Basic Course The Workbook Series proves that Billy Khounthavong's Search Warrant is and was bogus. It also proves that no LASD peace officer had any probable cause whatsoever to confiscate AROGANT's GoPro Camera and Request a Search Warrant to search it. Thus, Billy Khounthavong, Brian Mark Rosenberg, and Richard Lewis must be immediately charged with willfully, recklessly, and wantonly violating California Government Code § 6200.

The California Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training's Basic Course Workbook Series proves that the County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department had no probable cause to request a search warrant. The legal document proves Billy Khounthavong, Brian Mark Rosenberg, and Richard Lewis recklessly violated the California Government Code § 6200 by bringing into a State of California criminal Superior Court a bogus and counterfeit forged search warrant that they all knew was

never issued by any Pasadena Superior Court judicial officer. The legal document proves that based on Billy Khounthavong and Richard Lewis' peace officer standards training on search warrant policies and procedures, they knew that they were willfully violating numerous California penal code statutes and Arogant Hollywood's Fourth and Fourteenth Amendment constitutional rights by creating a phony search warrant and then committing a federal crime by lying under oath in their police report that it was issued by Pasadena Superior Court while of course, Pasadena Superior Court has no records of ever receiving it!

The California Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training's Basic Course Workbook Series provides:

Introduction to Warrant Searches, Continued
2-4 LD 16: Chapter 2 – Warrant Searches and Seizures
Benefits of obtaining a search warrant.

As a general rule, the courts have found searches and seizures to be reasonable and therefore lawful when authorized by a valid warrant. The burden is on the defendant to prove the illegality of any search executed with a search warrant. Statutory grounds for a search warrant Penal Code Section 1524 presents the statutory grounds for issuance of a search warrant. When the property or thing... Penal Code Section was stolen or embezzled. 1524(a)(1) was used as the means of committing a felony. 1524(a)(2) is in the possession of any person with the intent to use it as a means of committing a public offense, or in the possession of another to whom the item may have been delivered for the purpose of concealing it or preventing its being discovered. 1524(a)(3) constitutes evidence that tends to show a felony has been committed, or tends to show that a particular person has committed a felony. 1524(a)(4) consists of evidence that tends to

show that sexual exploitation of a child (Penal Code 311.1), or the possession of matter depicting sexual conduct of a person under the age of 18 years (Penal Code 311.11), has occurred or is occurring.

1524(a)(5)

See California Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training, Basic Course Workbook Series, Student Materials, Learning Domain 16, Search and Seizure, Version 4.8, Revised in June 2017, Foreword by current post EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, MANUEL ALVAREZ, JR. at 2-4, LD 16: Chapter 2-Warrant Searches and Seizures, found on page 35 of 176

Pursuant to POST peace officer manual on page 35 of 176. Billy Khounthavong and Richard Lewis knew that they did not have probable cause to confiscate Hollywood's entirely exculpatory GOPRO camera and request that a judge issue a search warrant.

The California Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training's Basic Course Workbook Series provides:

Probable Cause to Search

LD 16: Chapter 2 – Warrant Searches and Seizures 2-7 Introduction Before they can obtain a search warrant, peace officers must be able to provide a judge with specific facts that meet the Fourth Amendment's requirement of probable cause.

Constitutional requirement of probable cause

The Fourth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution clearly states:

> The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, and no warrants shall issue, but upon probable cause, supported by oath or affirmation, and particularly describing the place to be searched and the

persons or things to be seized.

Probable cause to search

In the search warrant context, probable cause to search means enough credible information to provide a fair probability that the object or person the peace officers seek will be found at the place they want to search. Officer training and experience it is possible for an activity which might otherwise appear innocent to the general public to amount to probable cause to a peace officer. A peace officer's training and experience may enter the equation for determining probable cause. Facts must be seen and weighed as understood by a reasonable officer. Collective knowledge Probable cause may be based on the collective knowledge of all the officers involved in an investigation, and all the inferences which may reasonably be drawn from this information, with that particular officer's training and experience.

Id **at LD 16: Chapter 2-Warrant Searches and Seizures, found on page 38 of 176**

On page 4 of his bogus search warrant Billy Khounthavong states the following:

After the suspect was arrested and detained at the Temple City Sheriff's station jail. I conducted an interview with him. While speaking with him, the suspect continuously referenced he had the argument between him and the victim, recorded on his "GOPRO". He also referenced the GoPro" was recording intermittently up until the arrest. The digital video recorder was on his person (s) while the recording took place.

See now Billy Khounthavong's bogus search warrant at page 4 of 6.

Based on the above statement there was zero probable cause for the issuance of any search warrant. Just because AROGANT told Khounthavong that he had recorded the confrontation with Jennifer Hutton-Heger did not mean that he was admitting that he had done anything wrong, specifically making a criminal threat. Further, the original

GOPRO video of February 21, 2023, that LASD manipulated and tainted proves that Billy Khounthavong and Richard Lewis knew that they did not have probable cause to request any search warrant be issued to search AROGANT's GoPro camera. See the REAL GOPRO VIDEO here:

https://youtu.be/LjJa3ZezGdk?si=mBBISuPA0qiQircp

On page 5 of 6 of Billy Khounthavong's bogus search warrant, he stated the following:

It should be noted from prior law enforcement contacts with the suspect, the suspect was well known to have the "GoPro" in his possession and made it a habit to have the digital recorder recording.

Oh really? What Khounthavong meant was that the County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department was well aware that AROGANT was recording because people constantly accused him of committing crimes.

See now June 21, 2021, video of Hollywood being arrested by corrupt and dirty LASD Temple City here:

https://www.instagram.com/p/CQK0dL7H_aB/

See now a GoPro video recording based on a false criminal threats allegation made by the white devil AROGANT was arguing within the video. The false arrest was made by dirty and corrupt LASD Temple City peace officers yet again:

https://www.instagram.com/p/CLS-Xx1HoAv/

Here is yet another video of LASD Temple City PIGZ either harassing or arresting AROGANT:

https://www.instagram.com/p/CQKLE6RndAV/

Thus, based on Billy Khounthavong's knowledge of AROGANT recording himself not committing crimes, there was no probable cause for Billy Khounthavong's bogus search warrant based on him telling the Court that AROGANT made it a habit to record on his GoPro camera. Further, those statements alone did not constitute probable cause for the issuance of a search warrant for AROGANT's GoPro camera.

On page 6 of Billy Khounthavong's bogus search warrant, he committed perjury, violated state and federal criminal statutes, and violated AROGANT's civil rights by typing the following false information and statements:

"Your affiant believes the recording equipment was used to communicate information pertaining to this case. Your affiant is further aware that recording devices are often used to communicate /share with other parties and to store information. Your affiant believes the digital video recorder will contain the information which could identify the suspect committing the criminal threats."

LIAR

Based on Billy Khounthavong's illegal review of AROGANT's GoPro camera video recordings before he authored his bogus search warrant he had already reviewed all the videos recorded on February 21, 2023, on AROGANT's GoPro camera. Therefore, Billy Khounthavong knew that AROGANT's GoPro camera's video recordings would not show AROGANT making a criminal threat. Based on the actions of Billy Khounthavong, based on his false statements made in his bogus search warrant affidavit, and based on the evidence shown in the original nearly fifteen-minute video recording recorded on the early morning of February 21, 2023, Billy knew that LASD HAD zero probable cause to search AROGANT's GoPro camera.

Therefore, Billy Khounthavong knew that AROGANT's GoPro camera's video recordings would not show AROGANT making a criminal threat. Based on the actions of Billy Khounthavong, based on his false statements made in his bogus search warrant affidavit, and based on the evidence shown in the original nearly fifteen-minute video recording recorded on the early morning of February 21, 2023, Billy knew that LASD had zero probable cause to believe that AROGANT had made a criminal threat on February 21, 2023, and, after Khounthavong and Richard Lewis should have released AROGANT, returned his Samsung Galaxy S21 mobile phone, and returned AROGANT's GoPro camera. Instead, the biased and racist LASD Temple City peace officers illegally confiscated AROGANT'S GoPro camera, and recklessly, wantonly, willfully, callously, and willfully sent it to the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department Southern California High Tech Task Force so peace officers there could manipulate AROGANT's GoPro camera, and Billy S. Khounthavong and Richard Lewis recklessly disposed of

AROGANT's mobile phone because they knew it contained on it exculpatory audio recordings and text messages that would have exonerated Hollywood, in furtherance of Los Angeles County's conspiracy to frame Hollywood for a violent and serious crime it knew he did not commit.

The California Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training's Basic Course Workbook Series provides:

Probable cause to search vs. probable cause to arrest

Probable cause to search differs in content, but not in degree of certainty, from probable cause to arrest.

Search Warrants Arrest Warrants

Peace officers must articulate probable cause that: a crime has been committed, and evidence concerning the crime or the identity of the perpetrator is located at the place to be searched. Peace officers must articulate probable cause that: a crime has been committed, and the individual to be arrested committed that crime.

Id. **at LD 16: Chapter 2-Warrant Searches and Seizures, found on page 39 of 176**

The point of the Fourth Amendment, which often is not grasped by zealous officers, is not that it denies law enforcement the support of usual inferences which reasonable men draw inferences which reasonable men draw from evidence. Its protection consists in requiring that these inferences be drawn by a neutral and detached magistrate instead of being judged by the officer engaged in the often competitive enterprise of ferreting out crime.

Johnson v. United States, 333 U.S. 68 S.Ct. 367, 92 L.Ed.2d 436 (1947) at 13-14

> That philosophy later reflected in the Fourth Amendment. And as the early American decisions, both before and immediately after its adoption show, common rumor or report, suspicion, or even "strong reason to suspect" was not adequate to support a warrant for arrest.

Henry v. United States, 361 U.S. 98, 80 S.Ct. 168, 4 L.Ed.2d 134 (1959)

See also *Dunaway v. New York*, 442 U.S. 200, 99 S.Ct. 2248, 60 L.Ed.2d 824 (1979) at 212 quoting *Henry v. United States*, *supra*, at 101

Lying Billy could not articulate probable cause that AROGANT'S GoPro video recording would show him committing a felony. The statements made by AROGANT to Billy Khounthavong pointed to AROGANT'S innocence, not guilt. Thus, pursuant to United States Supreme Court cases, California penal codes statutes, and the California Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training manual in Chapter 2-Warrant Searches and Seizures, found on page 39 of 176. Billy Khounthavong did not have probable cause to confiscate AROGANT'S GoPro camera.

Thus, pursuant to United States Supreme Court cases, California penal codes statutes, and the California Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training manual in Chapter 2-Warrant Searches and Seizures, found on page 39 of 176. Billy Khounthavong did not have probable cause to articulate and believe that AROGANT'S GoPro camera would show him committing a felony.

Thus, pursuant to United States Supreme Court cases, California penal codes statutes, and the California Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training Manual

in Chapter 2-Warrant Searches and Seizures, found on page 39 of 176.

Billy Khounthavong did not have probable cause to articulate and believe that AROGANT'S GoPro camera would show him committing a felony based on the false and perjured statements he typed on his bogus search warrant.

Thus, pursuant to United States Supreme Court cases, California penal codes statutes, and the California Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training manual in Chapter 2-Warrant Searches and Seizures, found on page 39 of 176. Billy Khounthavong did not have probable cause to articulate and believe that AROGANT'S GoPro camera would show him committing a felony.

Thus pursuant to United States Supreme Court cases, California penal codes statutes, and the California Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training manual in Chapter 2-Warrant Searches and Seizures, found on page 39 of 176. Billy Khounthavong's bogus search warrant affidavit and illegal confiscation of AROGANT'S GoPro camera were based upon nothing more than common rumor or report, and suspicion

The California Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training's Basic Course Workbook Series provides:

Elements of probable cause to search

To establish probable cause, peace officers must directly or circumstantially show that certain required elements exist. The following table identifies the three required elements of probable cause to search. To establish probable cause to search, there must be a fair probability that...
Rationale Examples

a crime occurred. There must be at least a fair probability that a crime has occurred or, in some cases, will occur. Person sold drugs to an undercover officer.

· A person purchased a large amount of chemicals that could be used for a clandestine lab

Id. **at 2-10 LD 16: Chapter 2-Warrant Searches and Seizures, found on page 39 of 176.**

Billy Khounthavong and Richard Lewis wantonly and recklessly violated their oath of office pursuant to 11 CCR § 1013, Code of Ethics by keeping AROGANT in jail and then authoring a bogus and fabricated search warrant affidavit while they both knew that AROGANT'S GoPro camera did not show him committing a felony, and they knew after the time of writing false statements in their illegal and unconstitutional police report that they had zero probable cause to believe that there was any inculpatory evidence, direct evidence, or circumstantial evidence to support their false statements that Hollywood's GoPro camera would show him committing a felony. For upon which no reasonable inferences could have been drawn that AROGANT'S GoPro camera would show him making a criminal threat.

Based upon Billy Khounthavong and Richard Lewis' POST TRAINING OF

2-10 LD 16: Chapter 2-Warrant Searches and Seizures, found on page 39 of 176. They both knew that their actions violated their oath of office and Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department search warrant procedures and policies.

The California Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training's Basic Course Workbook Series provides:

Probable Cause to Search, Continued

Elements of probable cause to search

(continued)

To establish probable cause to search, there must be a fair probability that...

Rationale Examples

evidence pertaining to the crime exists, and Officers must establish that evidence of a crime exists. This can be accomplished by direct evidence, circumstantial evidence, or by reasonable inference. Information from a victim that a gun was displayed during a robbery. Stolen property. Existence of items commonly used to commit or facilitate a crime (e.g., drug paraphernalia). the evidence is located at the place to be searched. Officers must establish that the evidence was taken to, or produced at,
the place to be searched. This can be accomplished by direct evidence, circumstantial evidence, or by reasonable inference. A reliable source saw the evidence at the location. The person goes directly to a location after a crime has been committed.

· The location is one where a criminal might likely hide incriminating evidence.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 626.755.6442

Id. at LD 16: Chapter 2-Warrant Searches and Seizures, found on page 40 of 176

Pursuant to L16 Chapter 2, page 40 of 176, *supra*, Billy Khounthavong, and Richard Lewis knew shortly after AROGANT was arrested that there was no direct or circumstantial evidence that AROGANT's GoPro camera would show him committing evidence. Khounthavong and Lewis believed the opposite, that AROGANT's GoPro camera would prove that he did not commit a crime. Proof of this is the fact that while LASD Fraud and Cyber Crimes Bureau's Southern California High-Tech Task Force's job description, job assignments, and job duties given to them by the State of California Department of Justice does not and did not at all authorize them under California state law to analyze, copy, review, extract, and manipulate video recordings from a GoPro video camera that was confiscated during a bogus criminal threats investigation. They illegally, unlawfully, and unconstitutionally did so on behalf of corrupt and rogue peace officers Billy Khounthavong, Richard Lewis, and the longstanding tainted and corrupt LASD Temple City police station. See below:

https://oag.ca.gov/ecrime/httap

- Home
- About
- Media
- Careers
- Regulations
- Resources
- Programs
- Appointments
- Contact

High Technology Theft Apprehension and Prosecution (HTTAP) Program

1. Home
2. eCrime
3. High Technology Theft Apprehension and Prosecution (HTTAP) P…

The California High Technology Crimes Task Force strategy was created in 1998 through Senate Bill 1734, to help combat computer-related crimes such as network intrusions, computer hacking, counterfeiting and piracy, theft of trade secrets, theft of high-tech related equipment, and telecommunications fraud.

This legislation established the High Technology Theft Apprehension and Prosecution (HTTAP) Program which is now managed through the California Emergency Management Agency (Cal EMA). The HTTAP Program includes five regional Task Forces covering 29 counties and encompassing a population of over 31 million. The program was expanded in 2001 to include an identity theft component.

The five regional Task Forces

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogant hollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 626.755.6442



1. Northern California Computer Crimes Task Force (NC3TF)
2. Sacramento Valley Hi-Tech Crimes Task Force (SVHTCTF)
3. Rapid Enforcement Allied Computer Team (REACT)
4. Southern California High Tech Task Force (SCHTTF)
5. Computer and Technology Crime High-Tech Response Team (CATCH)

Other Regional Task Forces

1. Los Angeles Electronic Crimes Task Force (LAECTF)

2. San Francisco Electronic Crimes Task Force

HTTAP Program Mission

The mission of the HTTAP Program is the investigation, apprehension, and prosecution of high technology crimes and to combat identity theft. High technology crimes (or cybercrimes) are generally defined as any type of illegal activity that makes use of the internet, a private or public network, or an in-house computer system.

The directed groups of attack are the following three categories: personal, property, or government. Following are the components of cybercrime:

- Malware and malicious code
- Extortion
- Denial-of-service attacks
- Counterfeiting and piracy
- Computer viruses
- Email extortion
- Cyber stalking
- Auction fraud
- Theft of intellectual property
- Reshipping
- Identity theft
- Phishing, pharming, spearing, and whaling
- Network intrusions (hacking)
- Cyber terrorism

The HTTAP Task Forces partner with the private industry to help companies prevent, detect, and respond to computer-related crimes. The Task Force's personnel are highly trained professionals who also draw upon the expertise of private industry, acad

INNOCENT DEFENDANT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD WILL REPEAT THAT AGAIN!

The mission of the HTTAP Program is the investigation, apprehension, and prosecution of high technology crimes and to combat identity theft. High technology crimes (or cybercrimes) are generally defined as any type of illegal activity that makes use of the internet, a private or public network, or an in-house computer system.

The mission of the High Technology Theft Apprehension and Prosecution (HTTAP) Program is not to copy, search, manipulate, and copy GOPRO video recordings related to a criminal threats investigation. Further, the evidence shown on AROGANT'S Instagram profile and YouTube account proves that Billy Khounthavong and Richard Lewis' only purpose in sending AROGANT'S GOPRO camera to the Southern California High Tech Task Force Response Team [SCHTTF] was so that they and LASD could manipulate AROGANT'S GOPRO video recordings to frame AROGANT by making him appear guilty. Just as Billy Khounthavong, Richard Lewis, County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department Temple City police station, and Brian Mark Rosenberg did at the preliminary hearing by misleading the court by showing it an altered and edited GOPRO video recording frame sequence of AROGANT shutting off his GoPro camera as he reentered Jennifer Hutton-Heger's home. Lying Billy testified that he saw AROGANT shutting off his GoPro camera, which resulted in a miscarriage of justice as AROGANT was held to answer for a violent and serious felony offense that he never committed. Billy Khounthavong and Richard Lewis did not have the video expertise and knowledge to manipulate, separate, and edit AROGANT'S GoPro video recordings. Still, the Southern California High Tech Task Force [SCHTTF] did. Peace officers working for SCHTTF also willfully, recklessly, and wantonly violated California Government Code **§ 6200.** And AROGANT will pursue efforts to decertify and criminally charge them all.

The California Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training's Basic Course Workbook Series provides:

Probable Cause to Search, Continued
2-10 LD 16: Chapter 2 – Warrant Searches and Seizures

Related terms

To better understand probable cause as it relates to searches and seizures, peace officers need to understand the following terms.

Reasonable inference is the act of drawing a conclusion from a fact; it is similar to making a presumption (e.g., seeing smoke and inferring there is a fire).

Direct evidence is evidence that proves a fact directly, without an inference or presumption (e.g., the sale of a controlled substance to an undercover officer). Circumstantial evidence is evidence that proves a fact indirectly, that is, personal knowledge or observations from which deductions must be drawn by the jury or court (e.g., partial six-pack of beer found on the car seat supports inference that someone in the car has been drinking).

NOTE: Whether evidence is direct or circumstantial depends on the fact to be proven.

Id. **at LD 16: Chapter 2-Warrant Searches and Seizures, found on page 41 of 176**

Billy Khounthavong and Richard Lewis knew they had zero reasonable inferences for which they could have drawn conclusions from facts that AROGANT'S GoPro camera would show him committing a felony. Thus, their actions were unlawful and willfully, recklessly, and wantonly infringed upon AROGANT'S Fourth Amendment Search and Seizure Clause constitutional rights and infringed upon AROGANT'S Fourteenth

Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional rights. *Supra, Henry v. United States* at 101

Billy Khounthavong and Richard Lewis' fabricated search affidavit not only recklessly, wantonly, and willfully violated AROGANT'S civil rights, but their actions also recklessly and wantonly violated LASD search warrant policies and procedures and based on their training in California Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training, Basic Course Workbook Series Chapters 1 and 2, they knew that what they were doing in Hollywood's criminal threats investigation was wrong and illegal.

The California Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training's Basic Course Workbook Series provides:

Execution of a Search Warrant

Introduction Even if sufficient probable cause has been established and a search warrant has been issued, evidence can still be excluded if the warrant itself is not executed within the law.

Securing an area pending issuance of a search warrant

Under very limited circumstances peace officers may secure a residence while in the process of obtaining a search warrant. In addition to probable cause to search, they also need exigencies, that is, a belief, based on the surrounding circumstances or information at hand, that the evidence will likely be destroyed or removed before a search warrant can be obtained. An area may be secured pending issuance of a search warrant if the

suspect has been arrested inside the location. An area may be secured pending issuance of a search warrant if companions of the suspect may destroy items sought upon learning of the arrest.

NOTE: Refusal of consent to enter, by itself, does not provide justification to secure the premises pending issuance of a search warrant.

Id. **at LD 16: Chapter 2-Warrant Searches and Seizures 2-12, found on page 43 of 176**

Billy Khounthavong and Richard Lewis' bogus search warrant was not executed according to California penal code law because they both knew there was zero evidence to support any probable cause that AROGANT'S GoPro camera would show him committing a felony. Further, based upon their training in the POST manual and the real GOPRO video recording witnessed by over one hundred or more County of Los Angeles peace officers and attorneys receiving the video download on November 28, 2023, the bogus search warrant was not supported by any probable cause whatsoever.

The California Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training's Basic Course Workbook Series provides:

Execution of a Search Warrant, Continued

LD 16: Chapter 2 – Warrant Searches and Seizures 2-13

Examples Undercover officers arranged to purchase a kilo of cocaine. The seller, after showing a sample and seeing the money, drove to his supplier's residence a few miles away, obtained the cocaine, returned to the officers, made the sale, and was arrested. Other officers, who followed the seller and kept the supplier's residence under surveillance, entered and secured the residence pending procurement of a search warrant. A male suspect was working with a female suspect selling drugs from the woman's residence. A few blocks from the woman's house, in public and in front of onlookers, police stopped the male suspect and arrested him with drugs he had admittedly obtained from his female partner. The officers had reason to believe that the female partner might learn of the arrest or become suspicious when the male suspect did not return as scheduled. The circumstances were sufficient to justify entering and securing the residence while waiting for a search warrant.

***Id.* at LD 16: Chapter 2-Warrant Searches and Seizures 2-13, found on page 44 of 176**

Based on the above POST manual examples, it should be clear that Billy Khounthavong and Richard Lewis' false statements that AROGANT's GoPro camera would show him committing a felony did not constitute grounds for the execution of a search on AROGANT'S GoPro camera because based on the original GoPro video recording uploaded to AROGANT'S Instagram channel they both had already reviewed the GoPro without a search warrant prior to even saying in their fabricated police report that they had obtained a search warrant. Based on this illegal search, before requesting a search warrant, they both determined that AROGANT'S original GoPro video recording would exonerate him, and this is when they decided to send AROGANT'S exculpatory

original video recording to County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department Fraud and Cyber Crimes Bureau's Southern California High Tech Task Force [Which is jointly operated with Ventura County Sheriff's Office] to manipulate the videos and frame AROGANT by recklessly creating a video of AROGANT shutting off his GoPro camera by finding a previously recorded video frame sequence of AROGANT shutting off his GoPro camera before February 21, 2023, and then pasting this same previously recorded video frame sequence at the end of separated and altered GoPro video GL010586, which before LASD Southern California High Tech Task Force's reckless alteration, was one continuous GOPRO video recording. This evidence of reckless, willful, malicious, wanton, and callous fabrication and tampering of evidence is proven by clear and convincing evidence easily by two facts:

(1) The People, Janis Eve Johnson, Brian MARK Rosenberg, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, and numerous other County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors have recklessly violated AROGANT'S *Brady rights*, U.S.C.A. Const. Amend XIV Due Process constitutional rights, California constitutional rights County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office Discovery Compliance System Manual, County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office Legal Policies Manual: Chapter 14: Disclosure of Exculpatory and Impeachment Information, California Constitution, Article I, § 7, and California Penal Code § 1054 by intentionally and recklessly failing to turn over impeaching phony GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 between February 2023 and June 2024 (sixteen consecutive months), outright refusing to turn it over despite numerous requests in writing, in court motions, during telephone conversations, during facsimile transmissions, through electronic mailing, and recklessly showing and admitting phony impeaching LASD-created GOPRO video

recordings GL010586 and GL010587 into a State of California Criminal Superior C on April 4, 2023, April 5, 2023, and February 2, 2023 without first providing AROGANT or his investigators with a copy before presenting phony impeaching video recordings to the State of California Alhambra Superior Court, while the original GoPro video recordings were in their possession and presented to a State of California Superior Court without any evidence that these phony GoPro videos recordings GL010586 and GL010587 were ever copied from AROGANT's original GoPro video recordings kept in the possession of both Billy S. Kohunthavong and Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department Southern California High Tech Task Force, a direct violation of California Evidence Code § 1521, THE PEOPLE NEVER TURNED OVER PLAYABLE COPIES OF ORIGINAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS NOT EVEN TO LYING AND RACIST EXPERT WITNESS THOMAS LEO GUZMAN-SANCHEZ, who was hired to compare real GoPro video recordings to phony impeaching GOPRO video recordings played and presented to the Superior Court on April 4, 2023, April 5, 2023, and February 2, 2023.

(2) The still images taken from AROGANT'S real GOPRO video recordings depicting him wearing a long sleeve coat and his GoPro camera and chest harness prove by clear and convincing evidence that the County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office and Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office recklessly, willfully, maliciously, wantonly, and callously violated California Government Code § 6200 by tampering with evidence and presenting false evidence into a State of California Superior Court by showing it phony LASD-created GOPRO video recordings that depicted AROGANT shutting on his GOPRO camera while wearing dark-colored shorts and a tank top, and depicted AROGANT walking to Jennifer Hutton Heger's front door yet never been seen standing in her mirror.

See here again:

https://www.instagram.com/reel/Cz0sb6auaos/?utm_source=ig_web_copy_link&igshid=MzRlODBiNWFlZA==

The California Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training's Basic Course Workbook Series provides:

Execution of a Search Warrant, Continued

LD 16: Chapter 2 – Warrant Searches and Seizures 2-15

Time limit for service Penal Code Section 1534 states that the search warrant shall be executed and returned within 10 days from issuance. The 10-day time limit means that peace officers have 10 days within which to execute the warrant, beginning with the day after the warrant is issued and running until midnight of the 10th day, with no exceptions for weekends or holidays.

NOTE: It is a felony for a peace officer to willfully disclose the existence of a search warrant, prior to its execution, for the purpose of preventing the search or seizure. (Penal Code Section 168) Failure to make a timely execution.

If the 10-day period has expired, peace officers must either:

obtain a new warrant resubmit the expired warrant so it may be reissued and revalidated

Failure to make a timely return

The return of the warrant means returning the warrant and a written inventory

of the property taken to the magistrate (PC 1537). The rule for return of the warrant is slightly different than for execution. If the 10th day falls on a weekend or holiday, then peace officers are entitled to postpone returning the warrant until the next business day. A late return will not normally invalidate the warrant or result in suppression, particularly if it happens unintentionally, unless the defendant can show prejudice.

Time of service
Normally, a search warrant may be served only between the hours of 7:00 a.m. and 10:00 p.m.

***Id.* at LD 16: Chapter 2-Warrant Searches and Seizures 2-15, found on page 46 of 176**

Billy Khounthavong never documented in his original fabricated supplemental police report that he served a copy of his bogus search warrant upon AROGANT. Nor in his numerous supplemental and follow-up police reports does he mention that he served a copy of his bogus police report upon AROGANT. Yet on or about September 9, 2023, he alleged on a forged search warrant return that he served a copy of his bogus search warrant upon AROGANT on February 23, 2023. LIAR. AROGANT was being transported from LASD Temple City to Alhambra Superior Court at 7:30 a.m. Pacific Standard Time. AROGANT was not even in the building to be served, as Billy Khounthavong falsely alleged. AROGANT was never served a copy of his bogus search warrant. The fact that Billy S. Khounthavong attempted to file a search warrant return seven months after the fact proves that it was never issued. More in-depth information will be provided in a complaint about Billy Khounthavong regarding the search warrant return in a separate email.

AROGANT was prejudiced because he was never served a copy of the search warrant, and this made it much harder for him to defend against the search warrant. Also, since the search warrant was never served upon AROGANT when it could have been. The execution of the alleged mysterious search warrant was void and invalidated. Once again, Billy Khounthavong and Richard Lewis willfully, recklessly, and wantonly violated their California Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training search warrant policies and procedures they learned while in training with POST. AROGANT suffered prejudice because Billy Khounthavong and Richard Lewis forged a search warrant return seven months later and intentional non-service of their bogus search warrant recklessly and wantonly violated AROGANT'S Fourth Amendment Search and Seizure Clause and Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional rights.

The California Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training's Basic Course Workbook Series provides:

Execution of a Search Warrant, Continued
2-20 LD 16: Chapter 2 – Warrant Searches and Seizures

Scope and specificity of a search warrant

During a search authorized by a search warrant, officers are limited by the information specified in the search warrant. (This is known as the scope of the search.)

Search warrants must include specific:
· statutory grounds for issuance
· identification of the area(s) or person(s) that may be searched
· identification of the item(s) to be seized
If an area is searched or an item is seized that is beyond the scope of the warrant, the evidence may be excluded later at trial.

Id. at LD 16: Chapter 2-Warrant Searches and Seizures 2-20, found on page 51 of 176

On page 1 of 6 of Billy Khounthavong and Richard Lewis' bogus search warrant the following is stated:

SEALING ORDER REQUESTED: _____YES X ____NO

THUS, IT IS EXTREMELY ODD THAT WHILE BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG AND RICHARD LEWIS'S BOGUS SEARCH WARRANT WAS NEVER SEALED BY THE PASADENA SUPERIOR COURT. THE PASADENA SUPERIOR COURT CAN NOT FIND AND HAS NO PHYSICAL AND/OR ELECTRONIC COURT RECORDS OF IT EVER BEING ISSUED AND APPROVED BY ANY PASADENA SUPERIOR COURT JUDICIAL OFFICER.

Near the end of page 1 of 6 of Billy Khounthavong and Richard Lewis' bogus search warrant the following is stated to be ordered by the Court:

FOR THE FOLLOWING PROPERTY:

All data and/or content that constitutes evidence and instrumentalities of criminal threats, February 21, 2023. ***Id*** at page 1 of 6

At the rigged and unconstitutional preliminary hearing that commenced on April 4, 2023, and concluded on April 5, 2023, Billy Khounthavong violated POST training and policies, LASD policies and procedures, and of course California Government Code **§ 6200** by testifying falsely that AROGANT shut off his GoPro camera for seven minutes on February 21, 2023, after he had presented to the court tainted and manipulated GoPro video recordings based upon a bogus never issued search warrant.

On April 5, 2023, Billy Khounthavong testified that he instructed the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department Southern California High Tech Task Force to download GoPro video recordings for the past two days. Further, disgraced and dishonest Brian Mark Rosenberg willfully, recklessly, maliciously, and wantonly violated California Business and Professions Code § 6068, California Government Code § 6200, California State Bar Rule 3.3, and California State Bar Rule 4.1 by allowing Billy Khounthavong to testify falsely that AROGANT shut his GoPro camera off for seven minutes on February 21, 2023, while the original GoPro video recording of AROGANT doing nothing wrong was in the physical possession of Brian Mark Rosenberg, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, James Garrison, Ronald Geltz, Stephanie Pearl Mire and other emailed County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors the entire time!

At the preliminary hearing on April 5, 2023, Billy Khounthavong testified almost verbatim to the following:

"I sent the GOPRO to our High Tech bureau. Detectives there downloaded the data from the GOPRO itself, and I received the data from them."

At the preliminary hearing County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department. Richard Lewis and Billy Khounthavong admitted that they framed AROGANT by sending his GoPro camera to a law enforcement bureau whose job duties did not include reviewing video footage obtained in a criminal threats investigation.

At the preliminary hearing, LASD. Richard Lewis and Billy Khounthavong admitted that they framed AROGANT by instructing LASD Fraud and Cyber Crimes' Southern California High Tech Task Force rogue detectives to illegally search, access, and copy AROGANT's GoPro camera video recordings recorded before February 21, 2023, while SCHTT was a law enforcement bureau whose job duties did not include reviewing video

footage obtained in a criminal threats investigation. Billy Khounthavong and Richard Lewis knew that their bogus search warrant did not authorize them to obtain access to AROGANT'S GoPro video recordings recorded on February 20, 2023. Thus, Billy Khounthavong, Richard Lewis, and LASD Fraud and Cyber Crimes Bureau's actions and conduct of accessing, searching, copying, editing, and altering AROGANT'S GOPRO video recordings recorded prior to February 21, 2023 willfully, recklessly, and wantonly violated California Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training procedures and policies, AROGANT'S Fourth Amendment Search and Seizure constitutional rights, and his Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional rights. Since Billy Khounthavong, Richard Lewis, and LASD Fraud and Cyber Crimes Bureaus created a GoPro video recording showing AROGANT shutting off his GoPro camera just before he reentered Jennifer Hutton-Heger's home on the fateful and unfortunate morning of February 21, 2023, and because their bogus video recording was knowingly false and presented to a Superior Court, they all recklessly, maliciously, and wantonly violated California Government Code § 6200 because their actions were knowingly false and intentional, and the access of AROGANT'S GOPRO video recordings recorded prior to February 21, 2023 was outside of the scope of Billy Khounthavong's bogus search warrant. ***Id.*** at page 1

The California Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training's Basic Course Workbook Series provides:

Execution of a Search Warrant, Continued

2-22 LD 16: Chapter 2 – Warrant Searches and Seizures

Nexus rule

Under the nexus rule, officers may seize items not listed in the warrant when:

· the items are discovered while the officers are conducting a lawful search for the listed evidence, and
· they have probable cause to believe the item is contraband, evidence of criminal behavior, or would otherwise aid in the apprehension or conviction of the criminal

Nexus means a reasonable connection or link between two or more items.

***Id.* at LD 16: Chapter 2-Warrant Searches and Seizures 2-22, found on page 53 of 176**

Execution of a Search Warrant, Continued

LD 16: Chapter 2 – Warrant Searches and Seizures 2-23

Examples During a warrant search for narcotics, officers found a sawed-off shotgun in the trunk of the suspect's car. Although the weapon was not named in the search warrant, it was seized by the officers as an illegal weapon.

While searching a suspect's residence on a murder case, officers seized a pair of shoes with a "waffle-like" pattern on the soles even though the shoes were not described in the search warrant. The seizure of the shoes was legal because one of the officers had personal knowledge that waffle-like shoeprints were left at the scene of the crime by the suspect.

Id. at **LD 16: Chapter 2-Warrant Searches and Seizures 2-23, found on page 54 of 176**

Billy Khounthavong, Richard Lewis, and the County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department Fraud and Cyber Crimes Bureau willfully, recklessly, maliciously, wantonly, and callously violated California Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training policies and procedures and County of Angeles Sheriff's Department Policy Archival and Retrieval System, Manual of Policy and Procedures, Volume 2—Organization and Functions, Chapter 5 because they all had no probable cause to believe that AROGANT'S GOPRO video recordings recorded prior to February 21, 2023, contained on them evidence of criminal behavior.

Billy Khounthavong, Richard Lewis, and LASD Fraud and Cyber Crimes Bureau willfully violated California Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training policies and procedures because they all had no probable cause to believe that AROGANT's GoPro video recordings recorded prior to February 21, 2023, contained on it evidence of criminal conduct that would have aided them with convicting AROGANT.

Chapter Synopsis

Learning need To search for and seize evidence legally, peace officers must know the rules and requirements for obtaining and executing a search warrant.
Probable cause and search warrants

[16.02.2]

Peace officers must establish probable cause that:

· a crime has been committed

· evidence of the crime exists

· the evidence sought is located at the place to be searched

Securing an area

[16.02.7]

Under very limited circumstances peace officers may secure a residence while in the process of obtaining a search warrant. In addition to probable cause to search, they also need exigencies, that is, a belief, based on the surrounding circumstances or information at hand, that the evidence will likely be destroyed or removed before a search warrant can be obtained.

Time limitations

[16.02.8]

Penal Code Section 1534 states that the search warrant shall be executed and returned within 10 days from issuance, beginning with the day after the warrant is issued and running until midnight of the 10th day, with no exceptions for weekends or holidays. A search warrant may be served only between the hours of 7:00 a.m. and 10:00 p.m., unless designated for nighttime service by the magistrate issuing the warrant.

Billy Khounthavong and Richard Lewis knew that they had no probable cause to continue to imprison AROGANT. After watching the original GoPro video recording, they should have done the right thing and released AROGANT immediately. Instead, the evil-minded and corrupt white and brown devils framed AROGANT by sending his exculpatory GoPro videos to LASD Fraud and Cyber Crimes Bureau to be manipulated and altered so they could convince the Alhambra Superior Court that AROGANT made a criminal threat on February 21, 2023.

LASD Fraud and Cyber Crimes Burea detectives had a duty to report to the Los Angeles County District Attorney's office that AROGANT's original GoPro video recordings did not show him committing a felony after they had of course, reviewed AROGANT's original GL010586 GOPRO video recorded on February 21, 2023, beginning at the exact approximately 9:59 a.m. and ending at approximately 10:14 a.m. Instead LASD Fraud and Cyber Crimes Bureau detective peace officers intentionally framed AROGANT by splitting up one original GoPro video recording into three other video recordings, and then creating a GoPro video recording that depicted a false video frame sequence of AROGANT shutting of his GoPro camera as he stood inside the house of the now deceased Jeniifer Hutton-Heger. All LASD Fraud and Cyber Crimes Bureau detectives that were associated with this shady and corrupt criminal threats investigation were guilty of willfully, maliciously, recklessly, and wantonly violating California Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training policies and procedures and California Government Code § 6200.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 626.755.6442

Warrantless Searches in General, Continued

LD 16: Chapter 3 – Warrantless Searches and Seizures 3-10

Establishing the basis for a warrantless search or seizure

In deciding whether a warrantless search or seizure was legal, courts will always consider the totality of the circumstances. However, peace officers must always have specific facts to demonstrate the search or seizure fell within one of the exceptions to the warrant requirement.

***Id.* at LD 16: Chapter 3-Warrantless Searches and Seizures 3-10, found on page 70 of 176**

BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG TESTIFIED AFTER THE PRELIMINARY HEARING THAT HE INSTRUCTED PEACE OFFICERS FROM LASD'S FRAUD AND CYBER CRIME BUREAU'S SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA HIGH TASK FORCE [A PARTNERSHIP TASK FORCE OPERATED WITH VENTURA SHERIFF'S OFFICE] TO DOWNLOAD GOPRO VIDEOS FOR FEBRUARY 20, 2023 TO FEBRUARY 21, 2023.

THREE THINGS STAND OUT HERE:

1. HOW DID BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG KNOW THAT GOPRO CAMERA CONTAINED VIDEOS PRIOR TO FEBRUARY 21, 2023? THE ONLY WAY THE CROOKED AND DISGRACED PEACE OFFICER BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG COULD HAVE KNOWN THOSE DETAILS IS IF HE

SEARCHED THE GOPRO CAMERA'S VIDEO RECORDINGS PRIOR TO SENDING AROGANT'S GOPRO CAMERA TO LASD'S SOTHERN CALIFORNIA HIGH TECH TASK FORCE.

2. THE WARRANTLESS SEARCH OF AROGANT'S GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS PREVIOUSLY RECORDED ON FEBRUARY 20, 2023 WAS ILLEGAL AND WAS NOT AUTHORIZED IN THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT MAKE-BELIEVE NO ELECTRONIC COURT RECORDS EXISTING BOGUS AND COUNTERFEIT SEARCH WARRANT.

3. THE ORIGINAL GOPRO VIDEO SENT TO ALL WHO ARE ON AROGANT'S EMAIL LIST PROVES THAT THE ONLY REASON WHY BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG AND LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT ILLEGALLY SEARCHED, ACCESSED, AND COPIED AROGANT'S GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS THAT WERE RECORDED PRIOR TO FEBRUARY 21, 2023 WAS SO THAT THEY COULD FIND A GOPRO VIDEO OF AROGANT SHUTTING OFF HIS GOPRO CAMERA SO AND THEN USE THOSE SAME VIDEO RECORDINGS' VIDEO FRAME IMAGE SEQUENCES TO COPY AND PASTE INTO A VIDEO FRAME SEQUENCE OF AROGANT REENTERING THE HOME OF LYING DECEASED CUNT JENNIFER HUTTON-HEGER, FOR THE SOLE PURPOSE OF BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG AND BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG FRAMING AROGANT AT THE PRELIMINARY HEARING BY MISLEADING THE SUPERIOR COURT BY SHOWING IT A GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING OF AROGANT SHUTTING OFF HIS GOPRO CAMERA. IN THE FURTHERANCE OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY'S CONSPIRACY TO

FRAME HOLLYWOOD FOR A SERIOUS AND VIOLENT CRIME IT KNEW THAT HOLLYWOOD DID NOT COMMIT.

BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG RECKLESSLY AND WANTONLY VIOLATED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S FOURTH AMENDMENT SEARCH AND SEIZURE CLAUSE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS.

BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG RECKLESSLY AND WANTONLY VIOLATED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT DUE PROCESS CLAUSE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS.

BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG RECKLESSLY AND WANTONLY VIOLATED CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 6200 AND HE MUST BE CRIMINALLY CHARGED!

BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG WILLFULLY, MALICIOUSLY, RECKLESSLY, AND WANTONLY VIOLATED CALIFORNIA BUSINESS & PROFESSIONS CODE § 6068 by knowingly and intentionally presenting to the Court false evidence in the form of a manipulated and altered GOPRO video recordings GL010586 and GL010587.

BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG WILLFULLY, MALICIOUSLY, RECKLESSLY, AND WANTONLY VIOLATED STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA RULE 3.3, CANDOR TOWARD TRIBUNAL BY DOING MANY THINGS IN THIS FRIVOLOUS CASE, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO knowingly and intentionally presenting to the Alhambra Superior Court false evidence in the form of a manipulated and altered GOPRO video recording.

BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG WILLFULLY, MALICIOUSLY, RECKLESSLY, AND WANTONLY VIOLATED STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA RULE 4.1, TRUTHFULNESS IN STATEMENTS TO OTHERS BY DOING MANY THINGS IN THIS FRIVOLOUS CASE, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO knowingly and intentionally turning over false electronic, physical, and audio criminal discovery to case GA114055 court-appointed co-counsel NEIL E. OPDAHL LOPEZ [STATE BAR # 277596].

BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG RECKLESSLY AND WANTONLY VIOLATED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S FOURTH AMENDMENT SEARCH AND SEIZURE CLAUSE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS.

BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG RECKLESSLY AND WANTONLY VIOLATED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT DUE PROCESS CLAUSE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS.

BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG RECKLESSLY AND WANTONLY VIOLATED CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 6200 AND HE MUST BE CRIMINALLY CHARGED!

RICHARD LEWIS RECKLESSLY AND WANTONLY VIOLATED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S FOURTH AMENDMENT SEARCH AND SEIZURE CLAUSE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS.

RICHARD LEWIS RECKLESSLY AND WANTONLY VIOLATED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT DUE PROCESS CLAUSE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS.

RICHARD LEWIS RECKLESSLY AND WANTONLY VIOLATED CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 6200 AND HE MUST BE CRIMINALLY CHARGED!

LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT FRAUD AND CYBER CRIMES BUREAU'S SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA HIGH TECH TASK FORCE PEACE OFFICERS RECKLESSLY AND WANTONLY VIOLATED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S FOURTH AMENDMENT SEARCH AND SEIZURE CLAUSE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS.

LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT FRAUD AND CYBER CRIMES BUREAU'S SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA HIGH TECH TASK FORCE PEACE OFFICERS RECKLESSLY AND WANTONLY VIOLATED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT DUE PROCESS CLAUSE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS.

LASD FRAUD AND CYBER CRIMES BUREAU'S SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA HIGH TECH TASK FORCE PEACE OFFICERS RECKLESSLY AND WANTONLY VIOLATED CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 6200, AND THEY MUST BE CRIMINALLY CHARGED!

On or about March 28, 2023, rogue and dishonest County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutor Brian Mark Rosenberg willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, and callously violated California Business amd Professions Code § 6068, State Bar of California, California Rules of Professional Conduct Rules (Revised 2023), Rules 1.2.1 Advising or Assisting the Violation of Law, Rule 3.1 Meritorious Claims and Contentions, Rule 3.3 Candor Toward the Tribunal, Rule 3.4 Fairness to Opposing Party and Counsel, and Rule 3.8 Special Responsibilities of a Prosecutor by recklessly failing to turn over impeaching phony GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587

At the preliminary hearing on April 4, 2023, and April 5, 2023, dishonest deputy district attorney Brian Mark Rosenberg willfully, wantonly, and recklessly violated California Evidence Code Section 1522, subdivision (b) by presenting to the court as evidence unoriginal, tainted, tampered with, edited, modified, and altered GOPRO video recordings.

At the preliminary hearing on April 5, 2023, Brian Mark Rosenberg assisted Billy Khounthavong in testifying falsely that AROGANT shut off his GOPRO for seven minutes during the make-believe knife and criminal threats separate incidents. While Billy Khounthavong was on the stand, racist, biased, and dishonest criminal prosecutor Brian Mark Rosenberg played an edited and altered GOPRO video recording that depicted and showed AROGANT shutting off his GoPro camera while he was inside the home of now-deceased fake victim Jennifer Hutton-Heger. This particular altered video

recording (GL010586) was never turned over to AROGANT. This particular altered video recording (GL010586) was never turned over to AROGANT'S co-counsel Neil Opdahl-Lopez. This particular altered video recording (GL010586) was never turned over to AROGANT'S private investigators, Carlos Jackson and then Joel Wynn, at any time. In general, Brian Mark Rosenberg, James Garrison, Sharon Lee Woo, George Gascon, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, and Ronald Geltz all recklessly violated AROGANT'S United States Due Process Clause Fourteenth Amendment constitutional rights by recklessly failing to turn over a copy of the original GoPro video recordings to AROGANT and also turn over a copy of altered GOPRO video recordings that falsely showed and displayed AROGANT shutting off his GOPRO camera (GL010586), and a separate GOPRO video recording (GL010587) that falsely showed AROGANT walking to Hutton's front door while never being shown standing in front of her mirror as AROGANT was seen doing so numerous times throughout the real GOPRO video recordings.

At the preliminary hearing, the County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office Brian Mark Rosenberg, and rogue peace officer Billy Khounthavong further framed him AROGANT by lying about the length of Jennifer Hutton's first 911 call length, and also lying that her first call ended at 10:07 a.m. and her second call commenced between 10:09 a.m. and 10:10 a.m. LIARS! The People's own CAD report shows that Hutton's second 911 call commenced at 10:08 a.m., and even the prejudiced judicial officer Michael Villalobos did not dispute during trial with AROGANT'S argument and presentation of exculpatory evidence that showed that Hutton's first call ended at approximately 10:07 a.m. and 50 seconds. Thus, the time gap between the end of Hutton's first 911 call and the beginning of her second 911 call was approximately 30 seconds.

At the preliminary hearing on April 4, 2023, and April 5, 2023, in furtherance of the County of Los Angeles' conspiracy to frame AROGAN T for a violent crime that he never committed. Brian Mark Rosenberg, County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office, County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, and Billy Khounthavong presented to the State of California Alhambra Superior Court reckless broken up and altered GOPRO video recordings GL010586, GLO10587, GL010588, and GL010589. The county of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department's Southern California High Tech Task Force illegally did this according to their job duties and descriptions. NONE OF THEM HAD ANY BUSINESS TOUCHING AND ALTERING AROGANT'S ENTIRELY EXCULPATORY GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS!

On or about April 17, 2023 during his felony information arraignment

On October 17, 2023, AROGANT filed a Motion to Compel the County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office and Brian Mark Rosenberg (hereinafter "MARK or MARK Rosenberg") to turn over and disclose an electronic copy of the phony and bogus GOPRO video recording GL010586 that falsely portrayed AROGANT shutting off his GOPRO. Similar to many motions filed by AROGANT in the Alhambra Superior Court regarding the County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office turning over both exculpatory original GOPRO video recordings and impeaching phony County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department phony LASD-created GOPRO video recordings GLO010586 and GL010587 prejudice the State of California judicial officer Michael Villalobos either never heard AROGANT'S motion to appeal by holding a hearing or (b) he recklessly denied it on the Superior Court record while refusing to hold a hearing on the motion to compel.

In early October 2023, AROGANT filed a motion directly with State of California Pasadena Superior Court prejudiced judicial officer Suzette Clover requesting that she provide him with a certified copy of Billy Khounthavong's phony and FORGED search warrant pursuant to California Evidence Code section 1530. Prejudiced, biased and RACIST State of California judicial officer Suzette Clover recklessly, wantonly, willfully, maliciously, and callously violated AROGANT's United States Fourteenth Amendment constitutional rights and California Constitution Article I, § 7 constitutional rights by denying AROGANT's motion by recklessly failing to provide AROGANT with a certified copy of rogue Peace Officer's Billy Khounthavong's alleged electronically filed February 22, 2023 search warrant, and RACIST Judicial Officer Suzette Clover LIED that she issued Billy Khounthavong's phony search warrant, and she lied that it was done electronically.

Suzette's Clover October 2023 ruling that she issued rogue peace officer Billy Khounthavong's phony search warrant can be proven false based on the fact that the **FORGED SEARCH WARRANT** was missing the following PASADENA SUPERIOR COURT electronically stamped information that would PROVE it was REAL:

> **Electronically FILED by**
> **Superior Court of California,**
> **County of Los Angeles**
> **02/22/2023_____PM**
> **David W. Slayton,**
> **Executive Officer/Clerk of Court**
> **CLERK NAME HERE, Deputy Clerk**

Additionally, pursuant to the Trial Court Records Manual Revised 2020, Judicial Council of California, on page 115 of 143, page 132 of 143, and 21 of 143, the rogue

Peace Officer Billy Khounthavong and Suzette Clover's search warrant is clearly proven to be counterfeit and was never issued since the Pasadena Superior Court has no record of it existing while State of California Pasadena Superior Court was required pursuant to California Government Code Section 68152 to retain a copy of it at the Pasadena Superior Court until 2033. Further, on page 132 of 143 in the Trial Court Records Manual Revised 2020, the Judicial Council of California states that pursuant to California Penal Code Section 1534, the Pasadena Superior Court was required to make Billy Khounthavong and Suzette Clover's counterfeit and make-believe search warrant open to the public. Pasadena Superior Court has no electronic or physical paper copy records of rouge Billy Khounthavong and RACIST Suzette Clover's PHONY search warrant because it was never filed in the Pasadena Superior Court and it does not exist.

On November 28, 2023 AROGANT emailed an MP4 formatted electronic copy of the uninterrupted and continuous 14-minute REAL GoPro video recording that proved his factual innocence by clear and convincing evidence to Ned Harlan (ned.harlan@doj.ca.gov, Nishdeep Singh (nishdeep.singh@doj.ca.gov nishdeep.singh@doj.ca.gov), Robert Bonta (robert.bonta@doj.ca.gov), OPSChiefsOffice@doj.ca.gov, Peter Halloran (peter.halloran@doj.ca.gov) , Alisha Carlile (alisha.carlile@doj.ca.gov), Vincent Bonotto (vincent.bonotto@doj.ca.gov), Glenn Prudent (glenn.prudent@doj.ca.gov), Daniel Olivas (daniel.olivas@doj.ca.gov), Giam Nguyen (giam.nguyen@doj.ca.gov), Chad Stegman (chad stegman@doj.ca.gov), Stephen Lew (stephen.lew@doj.ca.gov), Christopher Findley (christopher.findley@doj.ca.gov), Darrell Warren Spence (darrell.spence@doj.ca.gov), and many other State of California employed law enforcement attorneys. The real GOPRO video recording was also electronically served upon George Gascon (ggascon@da.lacounty.gov), Sharon Lee Woo (swoo@da.lacounty.gov), James William

Garrison (jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov), Stephanie Pearl Mire (smire@da.lacounty.gov), Victor Manuel Rodriguez (vrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov), Ronald Millard Geltz (rgeltz@da.lacounty.gov), Needra Jenkins (njenkins@counsel.lacounty.gov), Nicole Davis Tinkham (ndavis-tinkham@counsel.lacounty.gov), Judy Welch Whitehurst (jwhitehurst@counsel.lacounty.gov) and over seventy-five other County of Los Angeles employed criminal prosecutors and members of the Los Angeles County Office of Counsel. The real 14-minute GoPro video recording was also emailed to RACIST and LYING State of California Los Angeles Superior Court expert witness Thomas Leo Guzman-Sanchez. (tgsvrimuzic@dancemaster.com, r@danmaster.com, and godaddy@dancemaster.com. As of June 14, 2024, all above emailed State of California and County of Los Angeles criminal and civil attorneys have willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, and callously with under color of law callous indifference to the federally protected constitutional civil rights of AROGANT HOLLYWOOD recklessly failed to intervene based on Brian Mark Rosenberg's actions, and misconduct of framing AROGANT for a serious crime he did not commit by manipulating, altering and tampering with AROGANT'S entirely exculpatory GOPRO video recording evidence.

As of June 14, 2024, all above emailed State of California and County of Los Angeles criminal and civil attorneys have willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, and callously with under color of law callous indifference to the federally protected constitutional civil rights of AROGANT HOLLYWOOD recklessly respond to AROGANT'S email by electronic mail, facsimile, physical mail, or telephone based on Brian Mark Rosenberg's actions, and misconduct of framing AROGANT for a serious crime he did not commit by manipulating, altering and tampering with AROGANT'S entirely exculpatory GOPRO video recording evidence, in furtherance of all emailed Defendants conspiring with County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office and

County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department to recklessly violate AROGANT'S constitutional civil rights.

On November 28, 2023, in addition to AROGANT emailing the real GOPRO video recording to the above-stated criminal prosecutors and state of California attorneys, these same criminal prosecutors and state attorneys also received a web address link that redirected them to AROGANT's Instagram account, where they could view the real video recording that was previously uploaded to his Instagram account.

SEE BELOW THE INSTAGRAM VIDEO LINKS TO THE ORIGINAL FEBRUARY 21, 2023, GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS ATTACHED HERETO.

https://www.instagram.com/reel/Czs0EpgOsSm/?utm_source=ig_web_copy_link&igshid=MzRlODBiNWFlZA==


https://www.instagram.com/reel/Cz0sb6auaos/?utm_source=ig_web_copy_link&igshid=MzRlODBiNWFlZA==

On December 28, 2023, Hollywood filed a motion to withdraw his No Contest guilty plea.

On January 12, 2024, Hollywood filed a second motion to withdraw his No Contest guilty plea and he withdrew his No Contest guilty plea motion filed on December 28, 2023 in the illegal and frivolous, violent and serious felony case GA114055.

On February 2, 2024, the People and RACIST Deputy District Attorney Brian Mark Rosenberg admitted and presented a GOPRO video recording that depicted and portrayed Arogant Hollywood shutting off his GOPRO camera using a bare and naked

right arm. In the People's LASD Peace Officers' body camera video recordings, Hollywood is seen wearing a long-sleeved jacket. During Jennifer Hutton-Heger's first 911 call, she described Arogant Hollywood's clothing as baggy pants and long-sleeved shirt. Thus, People's phony GOPRO video recording GL010586 which depicts and displays Hollywood wearing a tank-top and also different pants proves by clear and convincing evidence that the People's GOPRO video recording is phony and was NOT created by Arogant Hollywood on February 21, 2023. In original GOPRO video recording, there is sound. In People's Artificial Intelligence-powered video recording, there is no sound. See now Verified Appendix of Exhibits, Exhibit 1, and also shown here:



Images taken from AROGANT's real video recorded on February 23, 2023 show him wearing a long sleeved coat not a tank top as is shown in People and LASD'S phony

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 626.755.6442

video recordings manipulated to frame AROGANT for a serious felony that all emailed County of Los Angeles peace officers and attorneys knew that AROGANT never committed.

On February 2, 2024, the Superior Court held an evidentiary hearing on Hollywood's motion to withdraw his No Contest plea.

On February 2, 2024, Deputy District Attorney MARK Rosenberg both testified and presented the manipulated, altered, bogus and phony GOPRO video recording GL010586 created by the Los Angeles County Sherriff's Department (hereinafter "LASD").

The bogus video displayed and depicted Hollywood shutting off his GOPRO camera. The bogus video featured an artificial intelligence-powered Arogant Hollywood repeatedly touching his GOPRO camera multiple times before finally stopping the recording.

The evidence clearly shows that the GOPRO video GL010586 is a phony for the following reasons:

1. In People's phony LASD-created GL010586, Hollywood is seen shutting off his GOPRO camera while his entire right arm can be seen to be bare and naked, without clothing. In People's phony GL010586, Hollywood is clearly seen wearing a dark-colored tank top.

2. In the original GL010586 video recording, Hollywood is never seen shutting off his GOPRO camera. In the original GL010586 video recording, Hollywood is seen to be wearing a long-sleeved shirt.

On February 2, 2024 rogue, racist, biased, and prejudiced presented false testimony while showing an altered GOPRO video recording and asking AROGANT:

How did you get over to that door on the other side?

GREAT QUESTION MARK! THE ANSWER IS YOU SHOULD BE IN JAIL!

Because while the phony GOPRO video recording that MARK showed the Superior Court on February 2, 2024 showed Arogant Hollywood walking near the front door of Jennifer Hutton-Herger. What it did not show was AROGANT standing in Hutton's mirror wearing a long sleeve coat and his GOPRO camera. PROVING THAT PEOPLE'S DOCTORED AND SPLICED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS presented falsely to the Superior Court on April 4, 2023, April 5, 2023, and February 2, 2024 ARE PHONIES! In People's LASD created phony GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS AROGANT is seen walking around a dark house wearing a tank top. In the real GOPRO video recording AROGANT is seen standing in Hutton's mirror wearing his GOPRO camera and a long sleeved shirt, and the background shows plenty of natural like unlike People's phony GOPRO video recordings. This occurs at 8 minutes and 33 seconds into AROGANT's real GOPRO video recording GLO10586.



At ten minutes into GOPRO video recording GLO10587 AROGANT can again be seen standing in front of Hutton's mirror. The GOPRO video recording again shows AROGANT wearing a long sleeved coat and his GOPRO camera, proving that his video recording was one continuous video broken up and manipulated by County of Los Angeles peace officers. AROGANT is seen again standing in front of Hutton's mirror at 10:18 second into the video recording. See now Verified Appendix of Exhibits, Exhibit 2.



On February 2, 2024 AROGANT showed the Superior Court his original GOPRO video recording that showed an a reflection of AROGANT again wearing a long sleeve coat. It would have been impossible for AROGANT to had been wearing a long sleeved coat and tank top on the same date and same time! See now Verified Appendix of Exhibits, Exhibit 3, attached herein:



On or about February 5, 2024, Arogant Hollywood left a voicemail requesting that Alhambra Deputy In Charge Victor Manuel Rodriguez provide to co-counsel Neil Opdahl-Lopez a copy of People's phony GOPRO video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 that was played, presented and admitted at the evidentiary hearing of February 2, 2024.

On or about February 6, 2024, Arogant Hollywood left a voicemail requesting that Alhambra Deputy District Attorney Cindi Park provide to co-counsel Neil Opdahl-Lopez a copy of People's phony GOPRO video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 that were played, presented and admitted at the evidentiary hearing of February 2, 2024.

On or about February 7, 2024, co-counsel Neil Opdahl-Lopez emailed Deputy District Attorney Brian MARK Rosenberg requesting that he provide him with a copy of LASD-created GOPRO video recording GL010586 showing Hollywood shutting off his GOPRO camera and was admitted as false evidence on February 2, 2024. MARK Rosenberg replied back that he was no longer handling the case. This is true, but it is

also true that Brian MARK Rosenberg is the only Deputy District Attorney in the County of Los Angeles that has phony LASD-created GOPRO video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 electronically stored on his lap-top computer. Not even Alhambra Deputy In Charge Victor Manuel Rodriguez has access to the phony GOPRO video recordings GL010586 and GL010587.

On February 16, 2024, Arogant Hollywood contacted the Office of new Los Angeles County District Attorney Office Family Violence Division Deputy in Charge Janice Johnson by dialing 213-257-2185 using a 3-way conference call made by Alison Fairchild. Hollywood left a message with Ms. Johnson's legal secretary Estra Malda and requested that Ms. Johnson contact co-counsel Neil Opdahl-Lopez at 626-429-6578 about providing him with a copy of altered GOPRO video recordings played by People on February 2, 2024.

On or about February 16, 2024, Arogant Hollywood called Alhambra Deputy in Charge Victor Manuel Rodriguez by dialing 626-308-5302 and requesting to be transferred to his voicemail. Hollywood left a voicemail for Victory Rodriguez requesting he provide co-counsel Neil Opdahl-Lopez with a copy of altered LASD-created GOPRO video recording GL010586 that shows Hollywood shutting off his GOPRO camera and was played at the court evidentiary hearing on February 2, 2024.

Between February 5, 2024 and February 23, 2024 both Hollywood and co counsel/ Neil Opdahl- Lopez requested and demanded by both email and telephone that people turn over copies of phony GOPRO recordings GL01586 and GL01587 that was shown, presented, and admitted into the superior court on April 4th, 2023, April 5th, 2023, February 2nd, 2024. Yet, the people have still willfully, recklessly, wantingly, maliciously, and callously failed to do so.

The people of Brian MARK Rosenberg willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously and callously failed to provide Arogant Hollywood, co-counsel Neil Opdahl-Lopez, Private Investigators Joel Wyenn and Carlos A. Jackson and RACIST and lying court-appointed expert witness Thomas Juzman-Sanchez, disclose and turn over an electronic copy of phony LASD-created GOPRO video recordings GL010586 and GL010587. None of the previously-named Hollywood criminal defense members should have ever had to request phony GOPRO video recording evidence from MARK Rosenberg because pursuant to Los Angeles County District Attorney Offices' Policies, Practices and

Procedures any and all audio and video evidence is required to be uploaded and made immediately available to the criminal defense members to download on evidence.com.

On January 30, 2024, the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department released its Police Archival and Retrieval System Manual, better known as the "PARS Public"). Manual of Policy and Procedures (MPP), Volume 2-Organization and Functions, Chapter 5-Detective Division, policy 2-05/030.00-Fraud & Cyber Crimes Bureau provides:

> This Bureau is comprised of specialized details responsible for investigating complex cases involving the criminal exploitation of commerce, finance and/or technology by means of false representation or intentional deception for unlawful monetary gain.

On January 30, 2024, the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department released its Police Archival and Retrieval System Manual, better known as the "PARS Public"). Manual of Policy and Procedures (MPP), Volume 2-Organization and Functions, Chapter 5-Detective Division, policy 2-05/030.10-Fraud Detail provides:

This detail investigates complex cases that involve a deliberate deception or deceitful pretenses practiced to secure unlawful monetary gain. These cases generally involve the manipulation of records or documents, a high-dollar loss, and/or multiple victims. Cases investigated by this detail include the following:

- buncos;
- consumer frauds;
- grand theft embezzlements;
- theft of real property (real estate);
- theft by false pretense;
- theft by trick or device;
- planned iInsolvency (bust-out);
- elder financial abuse or fraud;
- theft of trade secrets; and


- pyramid or ponzi schemes.

Responsibilities of this detail include the following:

- conduct in-service training in all aspects of fraud;
- provide expertise on fraud related crimes to other Units, Bureaus or outside law enforcement agencies;
- respond to request from the community for information regarding the prevention of fraud and victim information;
- review, analyze and track new legislation pertaining to fraud, fraud-related offenses, financial elder abuse, real estate, and notaries, and recommend Department position to the Executive Planning Council staff;
- participate in Fraud Task Forces;
- participate in Real Estate Task Forces; and
- participate in various Elder Abuse Multi-Disciplinary Teams.

See now Verified Appendix of Exhibits, Exhibit. See also Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department PARS Public Manual of Policy and Procedures (MPP), Volume 2-Organization and Functions, Chapter 5-Detective Division, policy 2-05/030.10-Fraud Detail herein below:

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 626.755.6442



III. LEGAL ARGUMENT

Under 1054.1, the Prosecuting Attorney shall disclose to the Defendant or his or her attorney all evidence in his possession or in the possession of its law enforcement agencies working to prosecute Hollywood. See *In re Littlefield*, 5 Cal.4th 122 129 (1993).

The People and Brian MARK Rosenberg had a *Brady* duty to disclose and turn over phony LASD-created GOPRO video recordings GL010586 and GL0101587 because these videos could and would have been used to impeach false testimony of LYING Billy Khounthavong that phony GOPRO video recordings GL010586 and GL0101587 were retrieved and downloaded from Arrogant Hollywood's GOPRO camera. See *People v. Little*, 59 Cal.4th 426, 433 (1997).

Throughout this case the people of Mark Rosenberg have been in full control. Hollywood has never had an opportunity to present his evidence and he has never had an

opportunity to examine people's phony GOPRO video recordings GL017586 in the superior court.

The People and MARK Rosenberg's Constitutional duty that required them to disclose impeaching evidence to Hollywood was independent from their statutory duty to provide discover under Section 1054.1. See *Izazaga v. Superior Court*, 54 Cal.3d 356 at p. 378 (1991).

Evidence that is material under *Brady* must be disclosed to the Defense, notwithstanding any failure of the Defense to enforce its statutory right to discovery. See *People v. Jordan*, 108 Cal.App.4th 349, 359 (2003).

Brady imposed the duty on MARK Rosenberg and the People to disclose phony GOPRO video recordings voluntarily without Hollywood requesting videos and these phony LASD-created video recordings should have been uploaded to evidence.com back in March 2023.

On page 9 of Hollywood's Motion to Compel filed on October 17, 2023, he requested that the Superior Court order the following:

2. All 16 GOPRO video recordings admitted at the preliminary hearing including GOPRO video of Hollywood shutting off his GOPRO camera provided to Defendant in playable MP4 format.

Numerous United States Supreme Court full courts have ruled that the People and MARK Rosenberg must turn over and disclose GOPRO video recording of Hollywood shutting his GOPRO camera while wearing a tank-top that exposes bare right arm which was played, presented and admitted as false evidence against Hollywood on April 4, 2023, April 5, 2023, and February 2, 2024.

We hold that suppression by the Prosecution of evidence favorable to an Accused upon request violated due process where the evidence is material to either guilt or innocence irrespective of the good faith or bad faith of the Prosecution.

Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83, 83 S.Ct. 1194, 10, L.Ed.2d 275 (1965).

The most important question in this unconstitutional and frivolous case now is if Hollywood did "it" as MARK Rosenberg told the Superior Court on July 7, 2023 then why are Rosenberg, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Ronald Millard Geltz, Cindy Park, George Gascom, Stephanie Pearl Mire, Janice Johnson, Darci Purvis, LASD, Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office and County of Los Angeles working tirelessly to frame Hollywood by presenting and admitting into criminal Superior Court a phony GOPRO video recording showing camera angles that were impossible for Hollywood to have recorded while his GOPRO camera was mounted on his chest and while he was also wearing different clothing than he had on February 21, 2023; as heard and seen on People's 911 audio recording and police body cam videos.

The People's phony GOPRO video recording GL010586 (which was never turned over to the Defense) could have been used to impeach false testimony by Billy Khounthavong that this phony GOPRO video recording was never recorded by Hollywood and he testified to falsely on April 5, 2023. See

The People in Rosenberg had a bravely duty to disclose and turn over phony GOPRO video recordings LASD created GL010586 and GL01587. Those videos could and would can be used to impeach false testimony that phony GOPRO video recording were retrieved from Hollywood's GOPRO camera. *People v. Little*, *supra*, 59 Cal.App.4 426, 433 (1997).

After its *Brady* decision, United States Supreme Court full courts have ruled that the People and Mark Rosenberg must turn over and disclose GOPRO video recordings of Hollywood shutting off his GOPRO camera while wearing a tank top that exposes his bare and naked right arm which was played, presented, and admitted as false evidence against Hollywood on February 4, 2023, April 5, 2023 and February 22, 2024.

> **If evidence highly probable is in his file, it should be presumed to recognize to its significance if he overlooked it.**
>
> *United States v. Agurs*, 427 U.S. 97, 112, 96 S.Ct. 2392, 49 L.Ed.2d 342 (1976).

Nearly a decade after its decision in ruling in *Agurs*, the Supreme Court took the *Brady* doctrine further by ruling that criminal prosecutors had an obligation to disclose

impeaching evidence to a criminal defendant even if the defendant never requested the favorable evidence.

> **The Strickland formulation of the Agurs test for materiality sufficiently flexible to cover the "no request", "general request", and "specific request" case of prosecutorial failure to disclose the evidence favorable to the Accused. The evidence is material only if there is a reasonable probability that, had the evidence been disclosed to the Defense, the result of the proceeding would have been different.**
>
> *United States v. Bagley*, 473 U.S. 667, 682, 105, S.Ct. 3375, 77 L.Ed.2d. 481 (1985).

Bagley was very similar to the frivolous and unconstitutional case at bar because the government's reckless failure to disclose material and favorable evidence that could have been used to impeach testimony of government witnesses resulted in a miscarriage of justice.

The obligation of MARK Rosenberg and the People to disclose favorable, impeaching evidence under *Brady* includes their phony GOPRO video recordings evidence that should have been used to impeach the false testimony of Billy Khounthavong that phony GOPRO video recording GL010586 showing Hollywood shutting off his GOPRO camera while wearing different clothing was retrieved and downloaded from Hollywood's original GOPRO camera. See now, *Strickler v. Greene*, 527 U.S. 263, 280-281, 119 S.Ct. 1936, 144 L.Ed.2d 286 (1999); *United States v. Bagly*, *supra*, 473 U.S. 667, 105 S.Ct. 3375, at 682.

> MARK Rosenberg: and the videos that you retrieved, how did you get those?
>
> Billy Khounthavong: I submitted the GOPRO to our hi-tech bureau and detectives from that bureau down-loaded the data from the GOPRO itself, and I retrieved it from them.

See now People's Preliminary Transcript of Proceedings, Day 2, April 5, 2023 at page 23.

It is highly unlikely that lying Billy Khounthavong retrieved a phony video of Hollywood shutting off his GOPRO camera while he was wearing clothing different from that shown in the original GOPRO video.

Hollywood's allegation that LASD hi-tech bureau copied and pasted video frame sequences from his GOPRO video recordings recorded before February 21, 2023 and then pasted those previously recorded video recordings video frame sequences to the very end of GL010586 was confirmed after the People and MARK Rosenberg presented and admitted a phony LASD-created GL010586 video recording that displayed and showed Hollywood wearing different clothing than he had on on February 21, 2023.

Over a decade later after its ruling in Bagly, the United States Supreme Court continued ruling that the government must disclose evidence favorable to Defendant.

> **A reasonable probability of a different result is one in which the suppressed evidence undermines confidence of the trial.**
>
> *Kyles v. Whitley*, 514 U.S. 419, 434, 115, S.Ct. 1555, 131, L.Ed.2d 490 (1995).

The People and MARK Rosenberg's willful, malicious, wanton, reckless and callous failure to disclose and turn over electronic copies of phony of GOPRO recordings GL010586 and GL010587 that were presented and admitted into the Superior Court on April 4, 2023, April 5, 2023, April 2, 2024 violated Hollywood's due process clause United States' Fourteenth Amendment constitutional rights and the suppression of this impeaching evidence undermined the confidence of the bench trial and preliminary hearing in the frivolous and unconstitutional case GA114055.

On April 4, 2023, April 5, 2023, and February 2, 2024, biased Judicial Officer Michael Villalobos watched and observed a GOPRO video that depicted Arogant Hollywood shutting off his GOPRO camera inside Jennifer Hutton-Heger's home using a bare and naked right arm.

Merriam-Webster's Dictionary of Law definition of impeachment evidence is: .

> evidence that may be used to impeach a witness because it tends to harm the witness' credibility.

The reason why the People's phony LASD-created GOPRO video recording GL010586 shows Hollywood wearing different clothing than he was wearing on February 21, 2023 is because rouge and RACIST Southern California Hi-Tech Task Force Peace Officers cannot find any GOPRO video recordings of Hollywood shutting his GOPRO camera off on February 21, 2023. Thus, the cat is now out of the BAG.

Hollywood could have impeached Billy Khounthavong by simply playing the phony video recording while Khounthavong was under cross-examination by asking him why was Hollywood seen in phony LASD-created GOPRO video recording wearing different clothing than he had on on February 21, 2023. MARK Rosenberg thought about this as well and it is the reason why he violated his oath of office as a Court Officer by never turning over phony LASD-created GOPRO video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 to any member of Hollywood's defense team, including co-counsel Neil Opdahl-Lopez, court-appointed private investigators Carlos A. Jackson and Joel Wyenn, LYING and RACIST expert witness Thomas Guzman-Sanchez between March 2023 and February 2, 2024, nearly a year.

> **A Brady claim has three elements: " [1.] The evidence at issue must be favorable to the Accused either because it is exculpatory or because it is impeaching. [2.] That evidence must have been suppressed by the State, either willfully or inadvertently, and [3.] Prejudice must have ensued."**
>
> *Banks v. Driefke*, 540 U.S. 668, 691, 124 S.Ct. 1256, 157, L.Ed.2d 1166 (2004).

In the frivolous case at bar, the three elements of *Brady* have been met. The People's phony GOPRO video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 are favorable to Hollywood because they are impeaching. The People and MARK Rosenberg have willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, and callously suppressed phony GOPRO video recordings GL010586 and GL010587, and Hollywood has suffered prejudice by

being held to answer and convicted because of the People's willful, reckless, malicious, and callous suppression of this favorable, impeaching evidence.

> **Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83, 83 S.Ct. 1194, 10, L.Ed.2d. 275 (1965) this court held that the government violated the Constitution's Due Process Clause "if it withholds evidence favorable to the Defense and material to the Defendant's guilt or punishment."**
>
> *Smith v. Cain,* 565 U.S. 73, 132 S.Ct. 627 (2012)

In the unconstitutional and frivolous case GA114055, the People and MARK Rosenberg have recklessly, willfully, wantonly, maliciously and callously violated Hollywood's Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause United States constitutional rights by withholding material impeaching evidence that is and was extremely favorable to Hollywood in the form of phony LASD-created GOPRO video recordings that display and show Hollywood filmed in camera angles that were impossible for him to have recorded while his GOPRO camera was mounted on his chest, and in the form of phony LASD-created GOPRO video recordings showing and displaying Hollywood inside Jennifer Hutton-Heger's home when wearing different clothing that he had on on February 21, 2023.

Brady imposed a duty on MARK Rosenberg and the People to disclose phony LASD-created GOPRO video recordings voluntarily without Hollywood requesting phony video recordings, and these impeaching evidence video recordings should have been uploaded to evidence.com by Brian MARK Rosenberg, LASD, and the People back in March, 2023. Biased Judicial Officer Michael Villalobos and the Superior Court abused their discretion and exceeded their jurisdiction by working in concerted collusion to RAILROAD Hollywood by recklessly, willfully, wantonly, maliciously and callously allowing the People and MARK Rosenberg to callously and recklessly present and admit into a criminal Superior Court phony LASD-created GOPRO video recordings that depict and display Hollywood shutting off his GOPRO camera while being filmed and recorded in impossible camera angles while wearing different clothing than what People's own evidence proved and showed Hollywood had on February 21, 2023. It was extremely

unfair for biased Judicial Officer Michael Villalobos to willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously and callously allow the People to present and admit a phony LASD-created GOPRO video recording on April 4, 2023, April 5, 2023, and February 2, 2024, to allow a Peace Officer to testify that this phony LASD-created video recording was retrieved and downloaded from Hollywood's GOPRO camera, while recklessly and callously never ORDERING or requesting People to turn over and disclose a copy of impeaching evidence so Hollywood could have examined phony video recording and used the impeaching video recording evidence to impeach and discredit false testimony by Billy Khounthavong that phony LASD-created video recording GL010586 was retrieved and downloaded from Hollywood's GOPRO camera.

This Court must grant immediate release to the railroaded and prejudiced Hollywood by ORDERING that phony LASD-created video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 which were both presented and admitted as false evidence on April 4, 2023, April 5, 2023, and February 2, 2024 be turned over and disclosed to innocent and wrongfully incarcerated Arogant Hollywood immediately.

Pursuant to *Brady v. Maryland*, 373 U.S. 83, 83 S.Ct. 1194, 10, L.Ed.2d 275 (1965); *United States v. Agurs*, 427 U.S. 97, 112, 96 S.Ct. 2392, 49 L.Ed.2d 342 (1976); *United States v. Bagley*, 473 U.S. 667, 682, 105, S.Ct. 3375, 77 L.Ed.2d. 481 (1985); *People v. Little*, 59 Cal.4th 426, 433 (1997); *Izazaga v. Superior Court*, 54 Cal.3d 356 at p. 378 (1991); *People v. Jordan*, 108 Cal.App.4th 349, 359 (2003); and California Penal Code Section 1054 *et seq*, the Superior Court and biased Judicial Officer Michael Villalobos were required to, had a judicial duty to, and an obligation under the Fourteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution to ORDER and COMPEL the People and MARK Rosenberg to immediately turn over and disclose an electronic copy of People's LASD-created video recordings that could and would have been used to impeach the false testimony of State Government witness Billy Khounthavong that the phony GOPRO video recording GL010586 that depicted and displayed Hollywood shutting off his GOPRO camera wearing different clothing was retrieved and downloaded from Hollywood's GOPRO camera.

The People and MARK Rosenberg

The California Penal Code and Brady v. Maryland imposes a statutory duty for the People to turn over and disclose impeaching evidence to Hollywood independent from

their statutory duty to provide discovery under California Penal Code Section 1054.1. See *Izazaga v. Superior Court, Supra*, 54 Cal.3 d 356 (1991) at p. 378.

This Court must order the People to provide Hollywood and co-counsel Neil Opdahl-Lopez with a copy of LASD-created GOPRO recordings GL010586 and GL010587 that were presented and admitted by the People on April 4, 2023, April 5, 2023, and February 2, 2024 as false evidence. This Court must order the People to disclose and turn over the above-cited IMPEACHING video recordings pursuant to *Brady v. Maryland*, *supra*, 373 U.S. 83, 83 S.Ct. 1194, 10, L.Ed.2d 275 (1965) and *United States v. Bagley*, 473 U.S. 667, 682, 105, S.Ct. 3375, 77 L.Ed.2d. 481 (1985).

As a result of an act in furtherance of a conspiracy prohibited under any part of section 1985, including clause 1 of section 1985. See 42 U.S.C. section1985 (clause iii).

On January 9, 2024 and January 16, 2024, numerous deputy executive PUBLIC PRETENDERS were emailed a REAL 14-minute GOPRO video recording and yet they all failed to do anything to assist Hollywood by reporting Los Angeles District Attorney Office's willful, reckless, wanton, malicious, and callous injustice of Arogant Hollywood to Alhambra Superior Court. Accordingly, all emailed deputy PUBLIC PRETENDERS and the PUBLIC PRETENDER will be sued in Federal District Court.

IV. END OF EMAIL DEMANDING DISCOVERY

INNOCENT and WRONGFULLY-CONVICTED CRIMINAL DEFENDANT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD HEREBY DEMANDS that County of Los Angeles and Los Angeles Sherriff's Department, Fraud and Cyber Crimes Bureau Southern California Hi-Tech Taskforce (a joint law enforcement agency with Ventura County Sherriff's Office) turn over and fully disclose an electronic copy of phony LASD-created GOPRO video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 in fully-playable MP4 format:

1. All GOPRO video recordings given to LASD Peace Officer Billy Khounthavong on March 20, 2023.

The LASD Fraud and Cyber Crimes Bureau is located at

LASD Fraud and Cyber Crimes Bureau
11515 Colima Rd., Building A
Whittier, CA 90604

Additionally, the People and all emailed County of Los Angeles-employed criminal prosecutors have a statutory and constitutional duty and obligation to immediately turn over and disclose electronic copies of phony LASD-created GOPRO video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 which depict and display inside Jennifer Hutton-Heger's home with different clothing than he had on on February 21, 2023 by doing the following:

1. By mailing a USB thumb flash drive containing impeaching GOPRO video recording evidence to:

Neil Opdahl-Lopez, Esq.
Major, USMCR
(SBN: 277596)
473 Carnegie Drive, #200
San Bernardino, CA 92408

2. By uploading LASD-created GOPRO video recordings GL0108586 and GL010587 onto evidence.com and then emailing criminal defense attorney access to download impeaching criminal law evidence on evidence.com

Co-counsel Neil Opdahl-Lopez can be reached by text, mobile phone at:

626-429-6578
attorney@neilopdahl.com

Over the past 10 months, INNOCENT criminal defendant AROGANT HOLLYWOOD has requested by emailing and telephoning business offices of James William Garrison (jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov, (213) 257-3198); Sharon Lee Woo (swoo@da.lacounty.gov, (213) 257-2928); Victor Manuel Rodriguez (vrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov, (626) 308-5400); Ronald Millard Galtz

(rgaltz@da.lacounty.gov, (213) 257-2185); and George Gascon (ggascon@da.lacounty.gov, (213) 974-3512)) requesting that these County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors turn over and disclose original GOPRO video recordings (now in the physical possession of AROGANT HOLLYWOOD) and impeaching GOPRO video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 (never disclosed by the People and MARK Rosenberg, yet requested in April, 2023 and October, 2023 criminal court pleadings), yet these previously-named criminal prosecutors have all willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, and callously failed to turn over and disclose original GOPRO video recordings and phony LASD-created GOPRO video recordings GL010586 and GL010587. Accordingly, this electronically-served DEMAND for discovery will be formatted on pleading paper and filed with Alhambra Superior Court.

YOU HAVE ALL BEEN HEREBY FOREWARNED.


RACIST and BIASED Deputy District Attorney MARK Rosenberg willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, and callously failed and refused to turn over an electronic copy of manipulated and phony LASD-created GOPRO video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 between March 28, 2023 and February 2, 2024. Accordingly, pursuant to Los Angeles District Attorney Office's policies, practices and procedures regarding disclosure to the Defense regarding video and audio evidence, the People have a constitutional and statutory duty to immediately upload an electronic copy of phony LASD-created GOPRO video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 (currently being electronically stored on the hard drive of Brian MARK Rosenberg's laptop computer) to evidence.com.

The People and emailed criminal prosecutors have until April 4, 2024 to comply with this emailed discovery demand upon being served by FAX, electronic mail, and by personal service of a California Process Server.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws and Constitution of California and United States that every word, sentence, paragraph, and page of this Criminal Law legal document is true and correct.

Date: February 28, 2024

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogan thollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 626.755.6442



Arogant Hollywood, *Esq*
Defendant-In-Propria-Persona

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 626.755.6442

VERIFICATION OF DEFENDANT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD

United States of America
State of California
City of Los Angeles, California, within the County of Los Angeles

I, **AROGANT HOLLYWOOD**, being duly sworn, say:

I, AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, am a defendant in the frivolous and unconstitutional case GA114055.

I have carefully and thoroughly read and examined the following:

1. Wrongfully Incarcerated Defendant AROGANT HOLLYWOOD's EMAIL DEMAND FOR DISCOVERY.

and I know the contents thereof. The facts stated therein are true and within my personal knowledge, except as to those matters which are therein alleged on information and belief, and as to those matters, I believe them also to be true.

If I were called upon to testify, I could and would testify competently as to the matters stated herein and therein.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws and constitution of California and the United States that every word, sentence, paragraph, and page of this Civil Law Verification of Defendant's Verified Demand for Jury Trial pursuant to the Seventh Amendment of the United States Constitution.

Date: February 28, 2024

Arogant Hollywood

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Defendant in Lawsuit

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, DECLARE AS FOLLOWS:

1. I am over the age of 18 years old and a party to the frivolous and unconstitutional case GA114055.

2. If I were called upon to testify, I would and could competently testify to the TRUTH, THE WHOLD TRUTH, and nothing but the TRUTH.

3. On February 28, 2024, I served a copy of my Email Demand for Discovery by email and FAX to:

Victor Manuel Rodriguez
vrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov

and

Cindy Park
cpark@da.lacounty.gov
FAX: 1-626-281-4724

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws and constitution of California and the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date: February 28, 2024

Arogant Hollywood

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Defendant in Lawsuit

Hello,

My name is Carly Morris. I am a constituent of Supervisor Hanh, and a volunteer at the Carson Animal Shelter in Supervisor Mithcell's District.

I want to say I greatly appreciate the attention the Board has given to the animal overpopulation and crisis we are experiencing in Los Angeles County, and the plan that has been proposed by Supervisor Barger which focuses on both collaboration and a sustainable path forward.

In order to further this effort, I would like to propose an animal services commission which would serve in an advisory capacity to both the Board of Supervisors and Department of Animal Services staff.

Thank you for taking the time to read this.

October 28, 2024

Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors
Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration
500 West Temple Street
Hearing Room 381
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Mail to:
Los Angeles County Public Works
Waterworks Division
900 South Fremont Avenue
Alhambra, California 91803

Attention: Public Hearing on October 29, 2024, 9:30 AM
Subject: Protest of Pass-Through Adjustments Los Angeles County Waterworks Districts

Honorable Los Angeles County Supervisors,

I am writing to formally express my strong opposition to the proposed 10.1% rate increase for both Service Charges and Quantity Charges for the No. 36 Waterworks District. As a resident / customer, This increase is unjustified, especially considering our district will be paying the highest increase in the Santa Clarita Valley.

Twenty one years ago an agreement was struck with the Plamer Development to share in the cost of a well located near the intersection of Del Vale rd. and Hasley Canyon rd. This well was paid for by the residents through sire charges and the Palmer Development Co. Now I here we are not receiving water from that well. After repeated requests why we are no longer getting water from a well paid for by the customers of Dist. 36 id now asking for a 10.1 increase in water rates even though we are paying the highest rates in the SCV area. The District 36 supplies water to two areas of A22 or agricultural areas. The Castaic CSD set aside these areas for the purpose of small farms and ranches, lot are not less than 2 acers or average per development. Both farming and ranching require water for livestock, orchards and crops require water above residential developments.

In conclusion, the proposed rate is unjustified and will again make water in the district prohibitively expensive. I respectfully request that the No. 36 Waterworks District reconsider this rate increase and explore other solutions that will not unfairly burden Hasley Canyon and Val Verde residents. I look forward to your response and hope the board takes the concerns of its residents into account before making a final decision.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter. Sincerely,

Lloyd Carder

Address:
30530 Remington Rd.
Castaic CA 91384
6616002134

Dr. Ivy-LeNée-Hilton-Priestess ~ OCT. 29, 2024

I was here back on Aug 13, 2024 discussing some housing issues that I had with the Weingart Center Association.

As is in direct Relation to the Mayor's Inside Safe Program @ the LA GRAND HOTEL AND THE complet demobilization that was scheduled for July 31st 2024

When most all participants were set to be moved to the Mayfair Hotel & The Weingart Tower 2 amongst several other places on or about June 28, 2024.

I spoke in person with 2 representatives from Supervisor Hilda Solis's office however did not follow up before I went to LAHSA 13 days later on August 26, 2024 to speak to the members of their executive board.

Fortunately, I was able to find solace when Speaking with LAHSA & was subsequently housed on September 25, 2024

(213)858-2763
Ivybeverlyhilton@gmail.com

DELIVERED: TO FRANCISCO

Which particularly took place because I had been wrongfully exited from the program without my knowledge on June 28, 2024

I SAY wrongfully because NO supervisor signed the exit documents. (I'll share this next week)

Despite the numerous and timely emails that I submitted requesting assistance with storage and transportation amongst several other things (I'll share these next week)

Which seems to be a pattern & practice (that I experienced 2x's already w/ with UCA and the Russ Hotel between Jan 2024 - March 2024)

that I don't think HUD is aware of when issuing billions to address homeless ness and subsidized housing.

At any rate, when this happened all of my property was destroyed including but not limited to my deceased mother's ashes (who only passed on February 19, 2024)

I'D LIKE TO BE REIMBURSED

I WAS TOLD BY TONJA BOYKIN IN PERSON ON 10/1/2

THAT I WOULD BE REIMBURSED

SHE SAID SHE WOULD HAVE CHRIS CASTENADA

FOLLOW UP WITH ME AND HAVE RECEIVED NOTHIN

FURTHER.

I SPOKE WITH CHRIS VARGAS IN PERSON ON 10/11/2

WHO INSULTED ME BY SUGGESTING I RECEIVE A GIF

CARD

YET REFERRED ME TO ROY TO RECEIVE ASSIST-

ANCE WITH PROBLEM SOLVING FUNDS.

I SPOKE WITH ROY'S BOSS, QUENISHA IN PERSON

ON 10/14/24 WHO TOLD ME THAT THEY HAD NO

FUNDS AVAILABLE TO DO THAT

I SPOKE WITH MIRACLE IN PERSON ON 10/14/24

WHO PACKED MY PROPERTY AND TOLD ME IT HAD

BEEN INVENTORIED

I FOLLOWED UP WITH ADRIENNA BEASLEY VIA

PHONE ON 10/22/24 TO REQUEST AN INVENTORY

LOG FROM CHRIS CASTENADA WHO'S SEEMINGLY

BEEN OUT SICK SINCE FOREVER

THEN I SPOKE WITH BOTH TANITA JAMES AND

FORMER SENATOR KEVIN MURRAY ON 10/23/24

IN PERSON. AND, STILL NOTHING

I NEED HELP!!!

LWV LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS®
OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY

An Inter-League organization: • Beach Cities • East San Gabriel Valley • Long Beach Area • Greater Los Angeles
• Mt. Baldy Area • Palos Verdes Peninsula/San Pedro • Pasadena Area •
• Santa Monica • Torrance Area • Whittier

October 28, 2024

Supervisor Kathryn Barger
Fifth District Los Angeles County
Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration, Room 869
500 W. Temple Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Dear Supervisor Barger:

The League of Women Voters of Los Angeles County has published its ELECTION INFO Voter information guide for the November 5 General Election in both English and Spanish. This publication includes unbiased information about candidates for District Attorney and five Superior Court seats. In addition, we have included a brief Pro and Con discussion of the County Measures A and R, and G.

We hope that you are pleased with the publication. We have distributed over 20,000 copies to libraries and organizations throughout the County. All branches of the LA County Public Library have received copies.

The League has given you copies in English and Spanish to share as you wish.

We also operate VOTE411, an unbiased, all-in-one voter guide brought to you by the League of Women Voters of California Education Fund. County Measures A, E and G are also presented. To access your ballot go to www.VOTE411.org/ca and type in your address. All races and measures for your area will be listed. Open a race to learn more about candidates who have responded. We thank all the county candidates for providing the League with answers to our questions along with basic information.

The League of Women Voters of Los Angeles County is nonpartisan. Our major goal is to educate voters about county government and the services provided to residents. www.lwvlavounty.org

Sincerely,

Margo A. Reeg

Margo Reeg
President, LWV Los Angeles County

10011 Melgar Drive, Whittier, CA 90603
Phone: 562-947-5818

LWV LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS®
OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY

An Inter-League organization: • Beach Cities • East San Gabriel Valley • Long Beach Area • Greater Los Angeles
• Mt. Baldy Area • Palos Verdes Peninsula/San Pedro • Pasadena Area •
• Santa Monica • Torrance Area • Whittier

October 29, 2024

Supervisor Kathryn Barger
Fifth District Los Angeles County
Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration, Room 869
500 W. Temple Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Dear Supervisor Barger:

The League of Women Voters of Los Angeles County is planning to publish its Voter Information Guide for Los Angeles County for the November 2024 General Election. The guide, which will be titled ELECTION INFO, will include candidate bios and answers to policy questions posed by the League for candidates running for county offices including District Attorney and Superior Court Judge.

The ELECTION INFO will also include all county ballot measures that are placed on the ballot by the Board of Supervisors or by initiative with a description of the provisions of the measure and pro and con arguments. The League's position on the measure (if we have one) will ***not*** be included.

ELECTION INFO is distributed by local leagues to groups in their communities as well as through the Los Angeles County Public Library, Los Angeles Public Library, city libraries, and to colleges and high schools, churches and service clubs in many communities.

The ELECTION INFO is a professionally designed publication so the League must cover costs of design, layout, translation of information into Spanish, and printing and delivery. These costs amount to $13,000 for approximately 30,000 copies and to ensure a digital copy. In order to make the ELECTION INFO reasonable in cost to distribute we must obtain significant funding.

Because County elected officials benefit from the non-partisan educational information offered at no cost to voters and candidates are not asked to pay to be included, the League requests that each Los Angeles County Supervisor contribute $1700 from her discretionary funds to facilitate producing and distributing this ELECTION INFO for November 2024. Each supervisor will receive complimentary copies for their use as we have done for over three decades of producing this publication.

Thank you in advance for making it possible for the League of Women Voters to provide voters with this valuable unbiased information to help them decide how to vote.

Sincerely,

Margo A. Reeg

Margo Reeg
President, LWV Los Angeles County

P.S Thank you for replying to my original request in a timely fashion. In the past Supervisor Antonovich did contribute.

10011 Melgar Drive, Whittier, CA 90603
Phone: 562-947-5818

Mrs. Margo Reeg
10011 Melgar Drive
Whittier, CA 90603-1458

Supervisor Hilda Solis
1st District

Mrs. Margo Reeg
10011 Melgar Drive
Whittier, CA 90603-1458

Supervisor Holly Mitchell
2nd District

Mrs. Margo Reeg
10011 Melgar Drive
Whittier, CA 90603-1458

Supervisor Lindsey Horvath
3rd District

Mrs. Margo Reeg
10011 Melgar Drive
Whittier, CA 90603-1458

Supervisor Janice Hahn
4th District

Mrs. Margo Reeg
10011 Melgar Drive
Whittier, CA 90603-1458

Supervisor Kathryn Barger
5th District

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P.HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

			The following individuals submitted comments on agenda item:	
Agenda #	**Relate To**	**Position**	**Name**	**Comments**
Public Comment		**Favor**	Christina Boyar	Street Vending Ordinance
			Constance E Spenger	Please adopt the East San Gabriel Area Plan update as recommended by staff and the Planning Commission. I strongly support the necessary resource protections and rezoning for the critical Puente-Chino Hills wildlife corridor. These changes are combined with increased housing in more affordable locations near transit and away from fire hazard. Thank you for the sustainable land use planning embodied in this Area Plan.
			Jesus Jacuinde-Torres	On behalf of the Pomona Valley Pride Board of Directors, Managers, and Staff, we are urging the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors to establish the TGI Wellness and Equity Fund. Our participants and clients across the Pomona Valley ask LA County to truly invest in the lives of Trans, Gender Expansive, and Intersex communities throughout the County and across all Departments.
			Mustafa Filat	Dear Honorable Supervisors, I am writing to support adoption of the Street Vending Ordinance. This ordinance presents an important opportunity for sidewalk food vendors to be formally recognized and included as an integral part of the local economy. I appreciate the recent changes made to the County's draft Sidewalk Vending Ordinance and applaud the County's willingness to incorporate feedback from vendors based on their lived experience. In particular, the following changes incorporated into this new draft will significantly increase vendors' ability to engage with the County regulations and join the formal economy: (1) The removal of the restriction on selling second hand items. This change supports the decriminalization of sidewalk vendors, helps to decrease negative rhetoric around vendors, and mirrors how we treat other businesses that sell such items. Of course, removing a blanket prohibition on the sale of secondhand items from this ordinance does not affect any other applicable state and County laws regulating sales that will continue to apply. Any suggestion that removing the blanket prohibition on selling second hand items will enable unlawful activity is inaccurate. (2) The removal of the 10-year felony conviction restriction. Only a few years ago, vending itself was considered a crime, and vendors should not be penalized for this prior unjust criminalization. Removing the felony ban also supports reentry efforts and pathways to economic stability for individuals with a criminal history and aligns this ordinance with other County goals and programs. (3) The addition of critical ability-to-pay language as required by state law, to codify the legal protections for vendors to access reduced fines based on

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

Correspondence Received

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P.HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

			their ability to pay. (4) Additional standards around permit revocations to bring the ordinance into compliance with state law. I encourage the Board to adopt this ordinance as a necessary step in the larger project of dismantling decades of unjust economic exclusion. Of course, this ordinance is one part of that larger project, and I ask the Board to maintain its commitment to supporting sidewalk vendors as valuable members of our communities and our regional small business economy. In particular, after adoption, we urge the County to continue working on solutions to permanently reduce formalization fees; reduce unnecessary distance requirements; and prevent unlawful and unjust enforcement practices. To this end, I support the specific recommendations included in the January 25, 2024 LA Street Vendor Campaign letter. Thank you to the Department of Economic Opportunity for working hard to collect community input and support these small business entrepreneurs. An equitable ordinance is one that learns from the vending community – those who are most impacted by this ordinance. Creating economic opportunity for vendors benefits brick-and-mortar businesses, the economy, and our communities as vendors purchase goods from local businesses, spend their income in the community, and make streets safer for everyone. Thank you for continuing to hear andsupport vendors.
	Oppose	Jon Mahn	Although I am a business owner, I do not own a food business. It is hard to imagine anything anyone does or says will make a difference here as regulating businesses that are not mobile appears difficult enough. I have to pay property taxes that a sidewalk vendor piggy backs onto for free. This is simply insane, not equitable and overtly discriminatory. Property taxes are not the only tax issues. I assume that they are reporting some income to the state and federal level. But I am confident that they are not pay any local taxes. Ex. City of LA requires taxes based on revenue, are they paying this? If I am not mistaken, if you have a stationary food business, you are required to have hot running water, be subject to strict rules regarding food temperature, preparation cleanliness, and a whole host of requirements that cannot be put onto a mobile vendor. Compared to a stationary food business, sidewalk vendors do not have hot running water for sanitary purposes. Where do these vendors go to wash up or use the bathroom, clean their hands after handling raw food or money? Did we not just come out of a major virus that should be a significant concern? Also required by stationary food business are a business license, food handlers license, sellers permit, facility health permit, employee health permit, etc. Are any of these a requirement.

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P.HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

			California has minimum wage requirements. Business owners are required to pay employees 5 days of sick leave, pension is there are more than five employees, and alike. Are any of these requirements being met? I was not going to comment, however, leaving for work this morning (Stevenson Ranch in front of Ralph – Pico Canyon), I noticed 8 +/- black crows picking at food remnants. In the past in front of my business, the sidewalk is nearly black with food grease. The walls are darkened with food grease. I addition, there is always container and paper trash strewn about. Once this point is reached, it changes the community. To be clear, the change is not for the better. In the end, if you have more strict rules for stationary food businesses than for sidewalk vendors, you are discriminating against owners of stationary food businesses. As such, if sidewalk vendors cannot comply with current requirements for handling and selling food, then this should not be allowed. SB 946 clearly has issues in this regard. Thank you. Jon Mahn
		Tarrah Barbour	Here to oppose the East San Gabriel Valley Area Plan Project
	Other	Alison H Fairchild	ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD 572-15-8622 1308 E. Colorado Blvd, Pasadena, CA 91106 6850 Florence Ave, Bell Gardens, CA 90201 626-755-6442 fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com County of Los Angeles, Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department, and County of Los Angeles Public Works are all recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, and willfully violating my ADA CIVIL RIGHTS by recklessly allowing mopeds, motor cycles, and electric bicycles to speed on the Rio Hondo bike trail located in front of my home of 6850 Florence Ave, apt. 214, Bell Gardens, CA 90201 in direct violation of California Vehicle Code Section 21207. It is thus dangerous for me to exercise on the LA County-owned bike trail. The loud motorcycle noise that begins at 10 am during the morning and continues until 4 am the next morning is a public nuisance that substantially interferes with my rights to quiet enjoyment, and peace and quiet inside of my apartment. Sincerely, ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD 572-15-8622

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P.HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

	1308 E. Colorado Blvd, Pasadena, CA 91106 6850 Florence Ave, Bell Gardens, CA 90201 626-755-6442 fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws and Constitutions of the United States and California that the foregoing is true and correct.
Arogant Hollywood	AROGANT HOLLYWOOD 533-92-3047 12/09/1978 Booking: 6715489 In frivolous criminal case GA114055, the County of Los Angeles recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, and willfully failed to dismiss the case and request that Arogant Hollywood be permitted to withdraw his plea after being served electronic video evidence on November 28, 2023, December 11, 2023, January 4, 2024, January 9, 2024, and January 16,2024 that proved the factual innocence of Arogant Hollywood by clear and convincing evidence showing that Hollywood never committed a crime on Feb 21, 2023.
Deborah S Lutz	Dear Board of Supervisors, When considering a comprehensive plan to Establish a Safe Maximum Temperature Threshold for Residential Units please don't forget to consider that much of the housing stock is OLD and does not have the electrical capacity to provide more cooling without significant capital expenditures. I have a 1960's building . As built they all had 1 a/c unit. Over the past 20 years I've been adding 2nd a/c units to the 1-bedrooms so that there is an a/c in the LR and Bedroom and adding a 2nd and 3rd a/c unit to the 2 bedroom units. This requires an electrician to run conduit and new circuit breakers. It costs an over $1,500 per added a/c unit. That does not include the cost of the a/c unit and installation. You are creating costs of $2,000-$4,000 per residential unit at a minimuimun. Honestly how would you expect a property owner to insure this costs without the ability to pass it along to tenants? Tenants moved in to the unit at MARKET RENT based on the unit provided (size, amenities, features etc..). You "Government Math" doesn't make sense in the real world. In a property owner's Real World We cannot just "print money" to pay for these mandates. PLEASE consider tax rebates for ALL property owners (not just low income). Us middle class hard working housing providers are getting attacked from all sides. Between rent control, earthquake retrofit, property insurance increases with capital improvement mandates and now this. It's just unsustainable. You are literally Breaking the Back of those that you count on to house people.

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

Correspondence Received

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P.HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

	Seariously. You are killing us.
Monisha Parker	Increasing the minimum wage in Los Angeles County involves a combination of advocacy, legislation, and public support. Here are some steps that can be taken to work towards increasing the minimum wage: 1. Research and analysis: Gather data on the current economic conditions, cost of living, and the impact of a minimum wage increase on businesses, workers, and the overall economy. This will help build a strong case for the need to increase the minimum wage. 2. Build a coalition: Collaborate with community organizations, labor unions, worker advocacy groups, and grassroots movements to form a coalition that supports increasing the minimum wage. This will help amplify the message and increase the chances of success. 3. Public awareness and education: Conduct public awareness campaigns to educate the community about the importance of a fair wage and the benefits of increasing the minimum wage. Use various platforms such as social media, community meetings, and public forums to engage with the public and gain their support. 4. Lobby elected officials: Meet with local elected representatives, such as city council members and county supervisors, to advocate for a minimum wage increase. Present them with the research and data gathered earlier, and highlight the positive impacts it can have on the local economy. 5. Draft and propose legislation: Work with supportive elected officials to draft and propose legislation to increase the minimum wage. The legislation should include provisions for incremental increases over a set period of time, taking into account the economic realities of local businesses. 6. Mobilize public support: Organize rallies, protests, and other public demonstrations to show widespread support for increasing the minimum wage. Encourage community members to contact their elected officials to express their support for the cause. 7. Engage with local businesses: Reach out to local businesses and business associations to address their concerns and gain their support. Highlight the long-term benefits of a higher minimum wage, such as increased consumer spending and improved employee productivity. 8. Monitor and evaluate: Once the minimum wage increase is implemented, closely monitor its impact on businesses, workers, and the local economy. Evaluate the effectiveness of the policy and make any necessary adjustments or improvements. Remember, increasing the minimum wage is a complex process that requires collaboration, research, and persistence. It may take time, but by building a

				strong coalition and engaging with elected officials and the public, it is possible to achieve fair wages in Los Angeles County.
			Otfrid Liepack	New Regulations for Sidewalk Vendors in Unincorporated Los Angeles County Are Coming Another concern is the potential trash left behind either by the vendor or by customers. There is already a lot of food trash in the parking lot which attracts crows and potentially rats. Thanks for considering this comment
			SELF denied Holy Warrior for Jesus Holy Trinity	What's ANY 1 think it's past-2 date been = It's SLOW & STEADY NON voluntary, NON optional & enforceable GENOCIDE = It's gonna be literal, incomprehensible CAN DO focused priorities, misc CRYSTAL CLEAR, meticulous (showing great attention to detail; very careful & precise) & keen, Russian roulette, ALL out across the USA - global board murder - suicide, purging weed's of a garden (being dying non human innocent Earth), sanitizing, annihilation, genocidal, bloodbath, massacre, eradicating, extermination by 2025 & not even the word nightmare nor Crime's against humanity can scratch the surface. & USA government had hand in 9/11/2001 JUST A TEST 2 see how the public civilian masses would react, dead 13 soldiers in Afghanistan (2021), like DARPA-HARPA agencies had hand in (Covid, misc) vaccines in lab's cooking it all up & like history, worse Coming! > > Change DOT 2 a . THEN backspace THEN copy & paste the weblink in2 a NEW web window's TOP search address bar - My internet-web social media profiles: instagram DOT com/lone_corpse_123 , twitter DOT com/lonehuman4jesus , gab DOT com/lone_corpse_123 , gettr DOT com/user/lonehuman123 , mewe DOT com/i/self_denied_holy_warrior_for_jesus , minds DOT com/lone_corpse_123 , mastodon DOT social/@lone_corpse_123 , friendproject DOT net/lone_human_123 , pocketnet DOT app/lonehuman123 , friendser DOT com/profile-6969 , sovren DOT media/u/lonehuman , palscity DOT com/lonehuman , buzzbii DOT com/lonehuman , usa DOT life/lonehuman , orbys DOT net/lonehuman , plurk DOT com/lonehuman , wimkin DOT com/lonehuman , twellit DOT com/lonehuman , inlinx DOT com/about/862600594_0
		Item Total	**12**	
Grand Total			**12**	

As of: 1/31/2024 9:44:33 AM

Pomona Valley Pride
235 W. Mission Blvd., Pomona, CA 91766 - Office (909)326-0482

January 26, 2024

Dear Supervisor and staff,

On behalf of the Pomona Valley Pride Board of Directors, Managers, and Staff, we are urging the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors to *establish the TGI Wellness and Equity Fund.* Our participants and clients across the Pomona Valley ask LA County to truly invest in the lives of Trans, Gender Expansive, and Intersex communities throughout the County and across all Departments.

The TGI community has long faced criminalization and discrimination, and now, more than ever, it is essential that we raise awareness and stand in solidarity. At the local, state, and national levels, TGI individuals continue to experience homelessness, violence, and a lack of access to essential services.

This $7 million investment in TGI-led and TGI-serving community based organizations is a crucial step to continue advancing equity and align with other Board initiatives towards a more inclusive county.

Findings from the U.S. Transgender Survey (USTS) and the National Center for Transgender Equality (NCTE) reveal high rates of homelessness, housing discrimination, economic hardships, and negative health outcomes among TGI individuals. TGI youth, in particular, are vulnerable to harassment and exclusion, leading to negative health outcomes and involvement in the juvenile justice system.

We express gratitude to those within the county who genuinely recognize the interconnection between the struggles for equity, racial justice, and LGBTQIA+ rights. It is crucial for the county to enhance its capability to effectively address the challenges affecting our communities, particularly trans, gender expansive, and intersex individuals.We thank you for voting for the betterment of TGI residents in the county budgeting process.

Sincerely,

Frank C. Guzman
Chief Executive Officer,
Pomona Valley Pride
Website: www.PomonaPrideCenter.org
E-mail: info@PomonaPrideCenter.org
Office: (909) 326-0482

January 29, 2024
Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors
Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration
500 West Temple St.
Los Angeles, CA 90012

RE: SUPPORT - Item 4 Sidewalk Vending Ordinance

Dear Honorable Supervisors,
I am writing to **support** adoption of the Street Vending Ordinance. This ordinance presents an important opportunity for sidewalk food vendors to be formally recognized and included as an integral part of the local economy.
I appreciate the recent changes made to the County's draft Sidewalk Vending Ordinance and applaud the County's willingness to incorporate feedback from vendors based on their lived experience. In particular, the following changes incorporated into this new draft will significantly increase vendors' ability to engage with the County regulations and join the formal economy:

- The removal of the restriction on selling second hand items. This change supports the decriminalization of sidewalk vendors, helps to decrease negative rhetoric around vendors, and mirrors how we treat other businesses that sell such items. Of course, removing a blanket prohibition on the sale of secondhand items from this ordinance does not affect any other applicable state and County laws regulating sales that will continue to apply. Any suggestion that removing the blanket prohibition on selling second hand items will enable unlawful activity is inaccurate.
- The removal of the 10-year felony conviction restriction. Only a few years ago, vending itself was considered a crime, and vendors should not be penalized for this prior unjust criminalization. Removing the felony ban also supports reentry efforts and pathways to economic stability for individuals with a criminal history and aligns this ordinance with other County goals and programs.
- The addition of critical ability-to-pay language as required by state law, to codify the legal protections for vendors to access reduced fines based on their ability to pay.
- Additional standards around permit revocations to bring the ordinance into compliance with state law.

I encourage the Board to adopt this ordinance as a necessary step in the larger project of dismantling decades of unjust economic exclusion. Of course, this ordinance is one part of that larger project, and I ask the Board to maintain its commitment to supporting sidewalk vendors as valuable members of our communities and our regional small business economy. In particular, after adoption, we urge the County to continue working on solutions to *permanently* reduce formalization fees; reduce unnecessary distance requirements; and prevent unlawful and unjust enforcement practices. To this end, I support the specific recommendations included in the January 25, 2024 LA Street Vendor Campaign letter.
Thank you to the Department of Economic Opportunity for working hard to collect community input and support these small business entrepreneurs. An equitable ordinance is one that learns from the vending community – those who are most impacted by this ordinance. Creating economic opportunity for vendors benefits brick-and-mortar businesses, the economy, and our communities as vendors purchase goods from local businesses, spend their income in the community, and make streets safer for everyone. Thank you for continuing to hear and support vendors.

Sincerely,
Christina Boyar

Gmail

Alison Fairchild <alisonhfairchild@gmail.com>

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. state of california, united states, county of los angeles, city of south pasadena, city of arcadia, et al [SECOND EMAIL] [REAL VIDEO THAT PROVES THAT I WAS FRAMED FOR VIOLATING CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE SECTION 422(a)

103 messages

Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com> Tue, Nov 28, 2023 at 4:02 AM
To: attorney@neilopdahl.com, Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>, arogantmusicinc@gmail.com, fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com, causeofaction43@gmail.com, blacklawgenius@gmail.com, alisonhfairchild@gmail.com, "blacklawcrusader@gmail.com" <blacklawcrusader@gmail.com>, rluna@lasd.org, rluna@lacounty.gov, atardy@lacounty.org, jtorres@lasd.org, saloma@lacounty.org, llecrivain@lasd.org, bchase@lacounty.gov, rlewis@lacounty.gov, llecrivain@lacounty.org, jmeza@lasd.org, ned.harlan@doj.ca.gov, nishdeep.singh@doj.ca.gov, robert.bonta@doj.ca.gov, OPSChiefsOffice@doj.ca.gov, peter.halloran@doj.ca.gov, amyhhalloran@yahoo.com, peterhalloran@sbcglobal.net, alisha.carlile@doj.ca.gov, snpdragn@aol.com, acarlile@alum.wellesley.edu, concertina9@yahoo.com, alishastephen@yahoo.com.au, alehr17@u.washington.edu, girlygirl8384@aol.com, akeened@yahoo.com, lish34@hotmail.com, piccbodin@hotmail.com, lachapell_a@yahoo.com, alishaoku@yahoo.com, alisha.mai@gmail.com, alisha.marks@rogers.com, vincent.bonotto@doj.ca.gov, vbonotto@hotmail.com, glenn.pruden@doj.ca.gov, eyeless77@hotmail.com, daniel.olivas@doj.ca.gov, giam.nguyen@doj.ca.gov, chad.stegeman@doj.ca.gov, stephen.lew@doj.ca.gov, christopher.findley@doj.ca.gov, dennis.beck@doj.ca.gov, darrell.spence@doj.ca.gov, maggy.krell@doj.ca.gov, Elaine.tong@doj.ca.gov, hannah.calacsan@doj.ca.gov, mo.park@doj.ca.gov, dbuthler@lasuperiorcourt.org, dslayton@lasuperiorcourt.org, sclover@lasuperiorcourt.org, jmoses@lasuperiorcourt.org, ppenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, tpenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, chase.penrose@gmail.com, mackenzienicole@gmail.com, trishpenrose@att.net, TCPJAC_CEAC@jud.ca.gov, afisher@lasuperiorcourt.org, jonathan.sibayan@jud.ca.gov, mary.carter@jud.ca.gov, john.williams@jud.ca.gov, amber.barnett@jud.ca.go, robert.oyung@jud.ca.gov, john.yee@jud.ca.gov, heather.pettit@jud.ca.gov, leah.rose-goodwin@jud.ca.gov, mark.dusman@jud.ca.gov, cory.jasperson@jud.ca.gov, jenniejasperson@gmail.com, kelton.jasperson@gmail.com, cjasperson2@mindspring.com, judy.jasperson@att.net, randie.chance@doj.ca.gov, randie.chance@jud.ca.gov, Bobby.brow@jud.ca.gov, stephen.nash@jud.ca.gov, debbie.silcox@jud.ca.gov, laura.speed@jud.ca.gov, andrea.evans@jud.ca.gov, nicole.claro@jud.ca.gov, gavin.newsom@gov.ca.gov, robert.rivas@asm.ca.gov, isaac.bryan@asm.ca.gov, cecilia.aguiar-curry@asm.ca.gov, stephanie.nguyen@asm.ca.gov, lori.wilson@asm.ca.gov, matt.haney@asm.ca.gov, heath.flora@asm.ca.gov, laurie.davies@asm.ca.gov, turfritecr@yahoo.com, turfrite@aol.com, turfrite@yahoo.com, jami.davis@epix.net, vhuston@indy.rr.com, james.gallagher@asm.ca.gov, lisa.calderon@asm.ca.gov, john.ackler@sen.ca.gov, alisa.buckley@asm.ca.gov, benjamin.allen@sen.ca.gov, marie.alvarado-gil@sen.ca.gov, bob.archuleta@sen.ca.gov, angelique.ashby@sen.ca.gov, toni.atkins@sen.ca.gov, josh.becker@sen.ca.gov, catherine.blakespear@sen.ca.gov, steven.bradford@sen.ca.gov, anna.caballero@sen.ca.gov, dave.cortese@sen.ca.gov, brian.dahle@sen.ca.gov, steven.glazer@sen.ca.gov, lena.gonzalez@sen.ca.gov, shannon.grove@sen.ca.gov, melissa.hurtado@sen.ca.gov, brian.jones@sen.ca.gov, john.laird@sen.ca.gov, monique.limon@sen.ca.gov, mike.mcguire@sen.ca.gov, caroline.menjivar@sen.ca.gov, dave.min@sen.ca.gov, josh.newman@sen.ca.gov, janet.nguyen@sen.ca.gov, roger.niello@sen.ca.gov, rosilicie.ochoa-bogh@sen.ca.gov, steve.padilla@sen.ca.gov, anthony.portantino@sen.ca.gov, richard.roth@sen.ca.gov, susan.rubio@sen.ca.gov, kelly.seyarto@sen.ca.gov, nancy.skinner@sen.ca.gov, lola.smallwood-cuevas@sen.ca.gov, henry.stern@sen.ca.gov, thomas.umberg@sen.ca.gov, aisha.wahab@sen.ca.gov, scott.wiener@sen.ca.gov, scott.wilk@sen.ca.gov, brendan.murphy@sen.ca.gov, steve.veres@sen.ca.gov, nancy.pelosi@mail.house.gov, kevin.mccarthy@mail.house.gov, maxine.waters@mail.house.gov, kathleen.porter@mail.house.gov, katie.porter@mail.house.gov, kevin.kiley@mail.house.gov, mike.thompson@mail.house.gov, tom.mcclintock@mail.house.gov, ami.bera@mail.house.gov, doris.matsue@mail.house.gov, Rep Garamendi <john.garamendi@mail.house.gov>, josh.harder@mail.house.gov, Mark.desauinier@mail.house.gov, josh.duarte@mail.house.gov, eric.swalwell@mail.house.gov, kevin.mullin@mail.house.gov, anna.eshoo@mail.house.gov, ro.khanna@mail.house.gov, zoe.lofgren@mail.house.gov, Jimmy.panetta@mail.house.gov, kevinmccarty@mail.house.gov, jim.costa@mail.house.gov, Jay.obernolte@mail.house.gov, salud.carbajal@mail.house.gov, Raul.ruiz@mail.house.gov, julie.brownley@mail.house.gov, mike.garcia@mail.house.gov, judy.chu@mail.house.gov, tony.cardena@mail.house.gov, adam.schiff@mail.house.gov, brad.sherman@mail.house.gov, pete.aguilar@mail.house.gov, jimmy.gomez@mail.house.gov, Sydney.kamlager-dove@mail.house.gov, linda.sanchez@mail.house.gov, mark.takano@mail.house.gov, young.kin@mail.house.gov, ken.calvert@mail.house.gov, robert.garcia@mail.house.gov, nanette.barragan@mail.house.gov, michelle.steel@mail.house.gov, lou.correa@mail.house.gov,

atie.porter@mail.house.gov, darrell.issa@mail.house.gov, mike.levin@mail.house.gov, scott.peters@mail.house.gov, sara.jacobs@mail.house.gov, juan.vargas@mail.house.gov, scheduling_padilla@padilla.senate.gov, casework@padilla.senate.gov, david_montes@padilla.senate.gov, joshua_esquivel@padilla.senate.gov, david_grannis@feinstein.senate.gov, justin_schardin@feinstein.senate.gov, rachel_bombach@feinstein.senate.gov, president@whitehouse.gov, Kamala.harris@whitehouse.gov, leaht.wilson@calbar.ca.gov, leah.wilson50@yahoo.com, Elizabeth.hom@calbar.ca.gov, gina.crawford@calbar.ca.gov, rita.himes@calbar.ca.gov, esteinbach@aclusocal.org, manuel.alvarez@post.ca.gov, jim.grottkau@post.ca.gov, pgrottkau@yahoo.com, jamesgrottkau@aol.com, janie.grottkau@yahoo.com, annemarie.mugnaio@post.ca.gov, annemariedelmugnaio@gmail.com, chaseindixon@aol.com, obugrik@aol.com, sonya.baland@post.ca.gov, sonyar@comcast.net, cheryl.smith@post.ca.gov, colin.okeefe@post.ca.gov, cokeefe@post.ca.gov, dulce_cakes4u@yahoo.com, ulce_cakes4u@aol.com, gspinger5@yahoo.com, cokeefe@ci.davis.ca.us, colin.o'keefe530@verizon.net, co'keefe1514@bellsouth.net, sarah.wallace@post.ca.gov, william.darden@post.ca.gov, william.darden@doj.ca.gov, rpthjrcy@uojsdp.edu, williamtdarden@gmail.com, William.darden@urs.com, carrie.hollar@post.ca.gov, carrie.berg82@gmail.com, cberg@solanocounty.com, carrie.hollar@aol.com, erik.apperson@post.ca.gov, lfey8808@gmail.com, wifey8808@gmail.com, erikandannaapperson@hotmail.com, onecubsfan@hotmail.com, egrundman@hotmail.com, todders@hotmail.com, erikapperson@hotmail.com, erik.apperson@yahoo.com, sb2@post.ca.gov, christine.ford@post.ca.gov, michelle.weiler@post.ca.gov, wildweilers@msn.com, cateweiler@msn.com, meagan.poulos@post.ca.gov, rosanne.richeal@post.ca.gov, thomas.chalk@post.ca.gov, katie.strickland@post.ca.gov, kirk.bunch@post.ca.gov, brad.newmyer@post.ca.gov, mike.radford@post.ca.gov, brian.south@post.ca.gov, goodwin.liu@jud.ca.gov, tani.cantil-sakauye@jud.ca.gov, joshua.groban@jud.ca.gov, martin.jenkins@jud.ca.gov, patricia.guerrero@jud.ca.gov, carol.corrigan@jud.ca.gov, leondra.kruger@jud.ca.gov, kellli.evans@jud.ca.gov, firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, cchen@bos.lacounty.gov, secondistrict@bos.lawcounty.gov, holly4assembly@gmail.com, hmitchell@yahoo.com, karnbass@cs.com, hjmitchel@aol.com, tumberg@mofo.com, holly@hollyjmitchell.com, rfleming-peters@counsel.lacounty.gov, mcarter@counsel.lacounty.gov, njenkins@lacounty.gov, njenkins@counsel.lacounty.gov, jgonzalez@counsel.lacounty.gov, lowt@metro.net, Tlow77@gmail.com, ryanthurlow@yahoo.com, tlow@lacounty.gov, tlow@counsel.lacounty.gov, tina@jmoilco.com, tlow7@yahoo.com, econard@lacounty.gov, econard@counsel.lacounty.gov, pepemendoza18@gmail.com, chelsaconrad@gmail.com, rmconard@gmail.com, kcluvsbarney@aol.com, susanconard@aol.com, s.conard@conardphoto.com, susan@conardphoto.com, sconard@conardphoto.com, sales@conardphoto.com, support@conardphoto.com, scollins@cleat.org, admin@conardphoto.com, conard@conardphoto.com, fawino@counsel.lacounty.gov, cbarnett@counsel.lacounty.gov, donnghiem@gmail.com, brucenguyen@gmail.com, donnghiem@hotmail.com, don@lacounty.gov, dnghiem@counsel.lacounty.gov, schoi@counsel.lacounty.gov, ltaing@counsel.lacounty.gov, cesar@lacounty.gov, cdelperal@counsel.lacounty.gov, cdelperal@aol.com, cesar_del_peral@yahoo.com, cdelperal@yahoo.com, cesardelperal1@yahoo.com, theflyingtrex02@gmail.com, jquindel79@yahoo.com, atkins.sarah28@gmail.com, rfstacy@gmail.com, lneversleep55.mh@gmail.com, rourke@lacounty.gov, rstacy@counsel.lacounty.gov, rstacy-padilla@counsel.lacounty.gov, vowens@counsel.lacounty.gov, mearls@counsel.lacounty.gov, dcarlos@county.lacounty.gov, dcarlos@counsel.lacounty.gov, victoriacarlos@gmail.com, dcarlos31@gmail.com, debbie.carlos818@verizon.net, victoria.carlos@gmail.com, humbert@ptminc.com, info@ptm-inc.com, psncarits@aol.com, jcarlos@msmc.la.edu, yngidea@gmail.com, richard.padilla@disney.com, andria.seo@disabilityrightsca.org, andiseo@gmail.com, wishinwaiting@hotmail.com, echu@counsel.lacounty.gov, sgipson@counsel.lacounty.gov, sladyesq@gmail.com, sladyesq@aol.com, Dhrubajyoti Deb <djdesign@gmail.com>, michaelstreetman@gmail.com, merugori@aol.com, rolaidz@yahoo.com, dtwister22@yahoo.com, dtwister@yahoo.com, sshon@counsel.lacounty.gov, rigesq@yahoo.com, grigesq@msn.com, gproducer@hotmail.com, mrsmithgcs@aol.com, azuiderweg@counsel.lacounty.gov, mruben@counsel.lacounty.gov, ctaylor@counsel.lacounty.gov, schan@counsel.lacounty.gov, kmuir@counsel.lacounty.gov, eggerse@metro.net, eggers@counsel.lacounty.gov, mrolon@counsel.lacounty.gov, mirder@hotmail.com, doug@homealert.com, doug.richardson@homealert.com, pghaderi@counsel.lacounty.gov, rsaldana@counsel.lacounty.gov, ndavis-tinkham@counsel.lacounty.gov, Nicole Davis <nikki.davis1212@gmail.com>, tink4d1@gmail.com, nadtinkham@gmail.com, brind0313@gmail.com, darrelltinkham@yahoo.com, jwhitehurst@counsel.lacounty.gov, ltolani@counsel.lacounty.gov, lester.tolnai@yahoo.com, rclester.tolnai71@yahoo.com, pmarshall@counsel.lacounty.gov, abyers@counsel.lacounty.gov, rcartwright@counsel.lacounty.gov, rwong@counsel.lacounty.gov, tfaughnan@counsel.lacounty.gov, tomfaughnan66@gmail.com, jlehman@counsel.lacounty.gov, kmiles@counsel.lacounty.gov, rbaeza@counsel.lacounty.gov, skuhn@counsel.county.gov, rchastang@counsel.lacounty.gov, lcampo@counsel.lacounty.gov, msimon@counsel.lacounty.gov, emiller@counsel.lacounty.gov, elizabeth_dumais@yahoo.com, kaigrant19@yahoo.com, pmomon@yahoo.com, pbollinger@counsel.lacounty.gov, kbowser@counsel.lacounty.gov, rebrahim@da.lacounty.gov, kkoyano@da.lacounty.gov, dteran@da.lacounty.gov, tsereno@lacounty.gov, tsereno@da.lacounty.gov, mbeaart@da.lacounty.gov, ron.geltz@lacounty.gov, rgeltz@da.lacounty.gov, Manik Bhoi <manik.bhoi@gmail.com>, withani@verizon.net, eric.castano@charter.net, jcastano@da.lacounty.gov, eric.castano@juno.com, ericcastano@gmail.com, JIniguez@da.lacounty.gov, fsanchez@da.lacounty.gov, rarcos@da.lacounty.gov, jrodriguez@dalacounty.gov, swoo@da.lacounty.gov, pagrawal@da.lacounty.gov, cnakao@da.lacounty.gov, vgadams@msn.com, jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov, ljkessner@da.lacounty.gov, ldroeger@da.lacounty.gov, jdsilva@ceo.lacounty.gov, jsilva@da.lacounty.gov,

hborden@da.lacounty.gov, amattson@da.lacounty.gov, eratino@da.lacounty.gov, shaungipson@gmail.com, sgipson@da.lacounty.gov, twright@da.lacounty.gov, mcarrillo@da.lacounty.gov, gdameron@da.lacounty.gov, ayochelson@da.lacounty.gov, spresby@da.lacounty.gov, shassett@da.lacounty.gov, bjdodd@da.lacounty.gov, lorquiola@da.lacounty.gov, mramirez@da.lacounty.gov, ralarcon@da.lacounty.gov, shulefeld@da.lacounty.gov, smire@da.lacounty.gov, mhaidar@da.lacounty.gov, pkouch@da.lacounty.gov, vrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov, dpurvis@da.lacounty.gov, rarias@lacounty.gov, ezneimer@socal.rr.com, evelyn.zneimer@yahoo.com, samsterz78@hotmail.com, zneimer@yahoo.com, samuel.zneimer@yahoo.com, daphotrain@hotmail.com, daphne.zneimer@gmail.com, dzneimer@yahoo.com, InspectorGeneral@oig.lacounty.gov, doug.mcmanus@usdoj.gov, melinda.rogers@usdoj.gov, stacie.bass@usdoj.gov, courtney.spivey-urschel@usdoj.gov, AskDOJ@usdoj.gov, merrick.garland@whitehouse.gov, SupremeCtBriefs@usdoj.gov, kerry.monaco@usdoj.gov, vanita.gupta@whitehouse.gov, kristen.clarke@usdoj.gov, Christopher.wray@whitehouse.gov, christopher@fbi.gov, paul.abbate@ic.fbi.gov, paul.abbate@whitehouse.gov, paulabbate@yahoo.com, pa8160@hotmail.com, fdsanchez@bellgardens.org, "bgbarcenam@gmail.com" <bgbarcenam@gmail.com>, "jchavez@bellgardens.org" <jchavez@bellgardens.org>, ggomez@bellgardens.org, mpulido@bellgardens.org, MOKelly@bellgardens.org, sfairfield@bellgardens.org, Esteban Perez <EPerez@bgpd.org>, sfairfield@bgpd.org, tguzmansanchez@hotmail.com, tguzmansanchez@aol.com, thomasguzmansanchez@hotmail.com, guzmansanchez@aol.com, ceo@gsmediagroup.com, tgsvrlmuzic@dancemaster.com, r@dancemaster.com, godaddy@dancemaster.com

On February 21, 2023 I was falsely arrested by LASD Temple City peace officers for making criminal threats.

On February 21, 2023 shortly after arriving at Temple City adult detention center I told detective peace officer Billy Khounthavong and his superior sergeant Richard Lewis that if they brought me my mobile phone and GOPRO camera I could present to them audio and video evidence that would prove I did not make any threat.

On February 22, 2023 rogue and corrupt LASD peace officer Billy Khounthavong and his superior Richard Lewis recklessly conspired to violate my civil rights by disposing of Samsung Galaxy S21 Ultra mobile phone which I told them contained on it audio recordings and text messages that would exonerate me.

On February 22, 2023 Billy Khounthavong filed a bogus police report alleging that a search warrant for my GOPRO was issued by the dishonest, racist, and bias judicial officer Suzette Clover. The search warrant was so bogus that I never received a certified copy of it despite requesting it on numerous occasions. The bogus warrant was alleged to have been electronically filed yet it did not have the words duplicate original written on it, and it did not have the execution date and time written on it. I was never served and did not receive a copy of it until March 2023.

On February 21, 2023 County of Los Angeles rogue peace officer A. Contreras created a fraudulent and counterfeit probable cause determination declaration in which he stated that the Honorable Jana Seng approved his bogus PCD at approximately 1 p.m., a time she was on her lunch break. But it gets worse, while LASD has its own system for entering PCD and sending them to the court. There are no records of Contreras' bogus PCD. Also, the fact that Contreras alleged that his PCD was approved and probable cause was found non telephonically was a bald face lie. Probable cause is usually found at a preliminary hearing when it's non telephonic. Additionally, a court reporter was required to transcribe Contreras' non telephonic probable cause determination declaration. See now California Penal Code Section 817, subdivision (g). Additionally, LASD and rogue peace officer A. Contreras will and recklessly failed to file a certificate of service with Jana Seng's court after the alleged arrest warrant was approved by her. See now California Penal Code Section 817(i). LASD and A. Contreras never filed a certificate of service because they knew that their probable cause determination declaration was shamed, bogus, counterfeit, false, forged, and fraudulent.

On or about February 23, 2023 rogue and dishonest peace officer detective lying Billy Khounthavong wrongfully and unnecessarily sent my GOPRO HERO 10 action camera to LASD and Ventura Sheriff's Office's joint Fraud and Cyber Crimes' Southern California High Tech Task Force so that they could illegally manipulate my GOPRO videos to make me look guilt of a crime they knew that I did not commit.

At the preliminary hearing on April 4, 2023 and April 5, 2023 dishonest deputy district attorney Brian Mark Rosenberg willfully, wantonly, and recklessly violated California Evidence Code Section 1522, subdivision (b) by presenting to the court as evidence unoriginal, tainted, tampered with, edited, modified, and altered GOPRO video recordings..

At the preliminary hearing on April 5, 2023 Brian Mark Rosenberg assisted Billy Khoungthavong in testifying falsely that I shut off my GOPRO for seven minutes during the make believe knife and criminal threats separate incidents. While Billy Khounthavong was on the stand racist, biased and dishonest criminal prosecutor Brian Mark Rosenberg played an edited and altered GOPRO video recording that depicted and showed me shutting off my GOPRO camera

just prior to reentering the now deceased fake victim Jennifer Hutton-Heger. This particular altered video was never turned over to myself, my co-counsel Neil Opdahl-Lopez, or any of my private investigators Carlos Jackson and then Joel Wynn at any time. In general Brian Mark Rosenberg, James Garrison, Sharon Lee Woo, George Gascon, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, and Ronald Geltz all recklessly violated my Due Process Clause Fourteenth Amendment rights by recklessly failing to turn over a copy of original GOPRO video recordings to me, and turn also turn over a copy of altered GOPRO video recording that falsely shows me shutting off my GOPRO camera.

At the preliminary hearing LASD, Brian Mark Rosenberg, and rogue peace officer Billy Khounthavong further framed me by lying about the length of Jennifer Hutton's first 911 call length, and also lying that her first call ended at 10:07 a.m. and her second call commenced between 10:09 a.m. and 10:10 a.m. LIARS! The People's own CAD report shows that Hutton's second 911 call commenced at 10:08 a.m. and even the judge did not dispute near my conviction of this case that her first call ended at approximately 10:07 a.m. and 50 seconds. Thus, the time gap between the end of Hutton's first 911 call and the beginning of her second 911 call was approximately 30 seconds.

At the preliminary hearing on April 4, 2023 and April 5, 2023 in furtherance of the County of Los Angeles' conspiracy to frame me for a violent crime that I never committed. Brian Mark Rosenberg and LASD Temple City presented to the court broken up videos GL010586, GLO10587, GL010588, and GL010589. LASD's Southern California High Tech Task Force illegally did this and according to their job duties and descriptions. NONE OF THEM HAD NO BUSINESS TOUCHING AND ALTERING MY ENTIRELY EXCULPATORY GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS!

I TOLD THE WORTHLESS VIDEO AND AUDIO EXPERT I GOT THE COURT TO AWARD OVER 60 TOTAL HOURS AT A HOURLY RATE OF $ 200.00. THAT I NEEDED HIM TO COMBINE THE FOUR SEPARATED VIDEOS AND WRITE A REPORT BASED ON THE TWO 911 CALLS. I ALSO INSTRUCTED THOMAS GUZMAN-SANCHEZ TO ZOOM IN ON THE TEXT MESSAGES I WAS SENDING TO FAKE VICTIM JENNIFER HUTTON-HEGER IN MY ORIGINAL GOPRO VIDEO.

Of course, Guzman-Sanchez did nothing! However, after I was released from custody I got to work. I obtained a new 2023 gaming laptop that would allow me to play and combine all four broken up GOPRO video recordings and combine them.

IRONICALLY! THIS ONE CONTINUOUS ORIGINAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING DOES NOT SHOW ME EVER BRANDISHING A KNIFE, MAKING A CRIMINAL THREAT OR TOUCHING ANYTHING IN JENNIFER HUTTON-HEGER'S HOME EXCEPT MY OWN PROPERTY!

I WILL MOVE TO WITHDRAW MY PLEA AND DISMISS THE PEOPLE'S CASE NEXT MONTH JUST BEFORE THE DEADLINE OF ME FILING MY CRIMINAL APPEAL. BASED ON THE BELOW ATTACHED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING. THE LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE SHOULD NO OPPOSE MY MOTIONS.

PLEASE SEE BELOW INSTAGRAM VIDEO LINK TO ORIGINAL FEBRUARY 21, 2023 GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING.

https://www.instagram.com/reel/Czs0EpgOsSm/?utm_source=ig_web_copy_link&igshid=MzRlODBiNWFlZA==

https://www.instagram.com/reel/Cz0sb6auaos/?utm_source=ig_web_copy_link&igshid=MzRlODBiNWFlZA==

AT THE BOTTOM OF THIS EMAIL IS AN ATTACHMENT FOR THE ORIGINAL MP4 VIDEO FILE AND IT CAN BE EASILY DOWNLOADED TO ANYONE

EMAILED HEREIN!

I WILL SEND A SEPARATE EMAIL CONTAINING GOPRO VIDEOS SHOWING ME SENDING FAKE VICTIM JENNIFER HUTTON-HEGER EXCULPATORY TEXT MESSAGES UPCLOSE!

TO RACIST AND BIAS CITY OF BELL GARDENS PIG PEACE OFFICER ESTEBAN A. PEREZ. YOU RACIST BIAS PIECE OF SHIT! DON'T YOU EVER TREAT ME LIKE A CRIMINAL AGAIN FOOL! I AM 100% INNOCENT!

Arogant Hollywood, ***Esq.***
1308 E. Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106
(323) 200-4449

Email: arogantholl ywoodgenius@gmail.com





REAL VIDEO FOR 2-21-2023.mp4

Alison Fairchild <alisonhfairchild@gmail.com> Thu, Jan 4, 2024 at 4:19 PM
To: swoo@da.lacounty.gov, cpark@dalacounty.gov, attorney@neilopdahl.com, vrodiguez@dalacounty.gov, smire@dalacounty.gov, gascon@dalacounty.gov, ljackson@lasuperior.org

[Quoted text hidden]

Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com> Thu, Jan 4, 2024 at 4:20 PM
To: alisonhfairchild@gmail.com



Address not found

Your message wasn't delivered to **cpark@dalacounty.gov** because the domain dalacounty.gov couldn't be found. Check for typos or unnecessary spaces and try again.

LEARN MORE

The response was:

```
DNS Error: DNS type 'mx' lookup of dalacounty.gov responded with code NXDOMAIN Domain name not found: dalacounty.gov Learn more at https://support.google.com/mail/?p=BadRcptDomain
```

Final-Recipient: rfc822; cpark@dalacounty.gov
Action: failed
Status: 5.1.2
Diagnostic-Code: smtp; DNS Error: DNS type 'mx' lookup of dalacounty.gov responded with code NXDOMAIN Domain name not found: dalacounty.gov Learn more at https://support.google.com/mail/?p=BadRcptDomain
Last-Attempt-Date: Thu, 04 Jan 2024 16:20:07 -0800 (PST)

-------- Forwarded message --------
From: Alison Fairchild <alisonhfairchild@gmail.com>
To: swoo@da.lacounty.gov, cpark@dalacounty.gov, attorney@neilopdahl.com, vrodiguez@dalacounty.gov, smire@dalacounty.gov, gascon@dalacounty.gov, ljackson@lasuperior.org
Cc:
Bcc:
Date: Thu, 4 Jan 2024 18:19:56 -0600
Subject: Fwd: AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. state of california, united states, county of los angeles, city of south pasadena, city of arcadia, et al [SECOND EMAIL] [REAL VIDEO THAT PROVES THAT I WAS FRAMED FOR VIOLATING CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE SECTION 422(a)
----- Message truncated -----

Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com> Thu, Jan 4, 2024 at 4:20 PM
To: alisonhfairchild@gmail.com

(213) 974-1234 GENERAL INFO

lacounty.gov

STATEMENT OF PROCEEDINGS

Statement of Proceedings & Minutes

Enter search term(s)

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD

- ○ **All words**
- ◉ **One or more search terms**
- ○ **Exact phrase or word**

Documents to include

☐ **Transcripts** ☑ **Statement of Proceedings** ☑ **Supporting Documents**

You can select multiple categories

Category(s)

Click to select CATEGORY

Motion by

You can select multiple districts

Click to select MOTION BY

Note: When selecting a motion by OR category 'Supporting Documents' must be selected

Date range

From: 10/05/2023

To: 09/27/2025

SEARCH

Export to XLS

Count: 12

Showing 1 to 10 of 11 entries

Previous 1 2 Next

Statement of proceedings

7/21/2025

Supporting Documents

205638 Public Comment/Correspondence 25-4232 -

for what you have already done. *AROGANT* PERSONAL SERVICE OF FRIVOLOUS CASE 25STRO03574 IS STILL As of: 7/22/2025 1:00:06 PM PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF LOS ANGE LES, CALIFORNIA Correspondence Received MEMBERS OF THE BOARD HILDA L. SOLIS HOLLY J. MITCHELL LIN DSEY P. HORVATH JANICE HAHN KATHRYN BARGER *HOLLYWOOD* IMPROPER. COUNTY SERVED *AROGANT HOL LYWOOD* FIRST 90 PAGES, THEN SECOND TIME APPROXIMATELY 100 PAGES. SUPERIOR COURT CONFIRMED O N JULY 15, 2025, THAT THE

7/15/2025

Statement of Proceedings

Statement of Proceedings for 7/15/2025 -

for members of the public to address the Board on items of interest that are within the subject matter jurisdic tion of the Board. Megan Castillo, Byron Jose, Christina Long, Terry Newman, Derek Steele, Eric Preven, Roy Hu mphreys, Anna Lee, and *Arrogant Hollywood* addressed the Board. Interested person(s) also submitted written testimony. Renai Davis, Ceceli Hu, Clara Kim, Cheryl Stubbs, Marta Gonzalez, Rachelle Lewis, Rosanne, Maria Di az, Raffi Bezjian, Denise Thompson, Maria Torres, Carol Thomas, Patricia Santana, Leilani Reed, and

Supporting Documents

205542 Public Comments 25-4198 -

ACI Prison Dead Ansel Lovett. Dead Terry Lee Campbell Christopher T Campbell SINCE MY WHOLE FAMILY DIE D AT THE FBI OF ORLANDO IT GIVES ME GRANDFATHER CLAUSE!!!! THE EGG TELLING THE HUMAN WHAT HIS LAW IS! HATE GREED INCOMPETENCE STUPIDITY *ARROGANT*NESS You have the uniform code & the code of e thnics that everybody violates.. Then I hear West Coast law group of California is paying these contractors to c ome out and do this stupid shit on a daily basis to me

6/24/2025

Supporting Documents

204862 Public Comment/Correspondence 25-3663 -

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA Corresp ondence Received MEMBERS OF THE BOARD HILDA L. SOLIS HOLLY J. MITCHELL LINDSEY P. HORVATH JANICE HAHN KATHRYN BARGER The following individuals submitted comments on agenda item: Agenda #Relate ToP ositionNameComments Public Comment FavorAROGANT *HOLLYWOOD AROGANT HOLLYWOOD* As of: 6/24/20 25 11:00:06 PM PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIF ORNIA Correspondence Received MEMBERS OF THE BOARD HILDA L

12/17/2024

Statement of proceedings

Supporting Documents

198643 Public Comment/Correspondence 24-6164 -

OF MY FREEDOM AND LIBERTY FOR A VIOLENT AND SERIOUS FELONY I NEVER COMMITTED. ALSO, YOU NEED TO TURN OVER AND DISCLOSE A COPY OF PHONY AND BOGUS LASD-CREATED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GL010586 AND GL010587 THAT DEPICT AND DISPLAY *AROGANT HOLLYWOOD* SHUTTING OFF HIS GOPRO CA MERA WHILE WEARING DIFFERENT CLOTHING THAN HE ACTUALLY HAD ON FEBRUARY 21, 2023. UNITED STAT ES DISTRICT COURT CASE, *AROGANT HOLLYWOOD* v. jeffrey macomber, et al, 2:24-cv-03351 IS ATTACHED HE RETO. Dan Talamantes

12/10/2024

Statement of Proceedings

Statement of Proceedings for the Public Hearing Meeting held 12/10/2024 -

Statement Of Proceedings December 10, 2024 VI. GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT 11 11. Opportunity for member s of the public to address the Board on items of interest that are within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Board. Andria McFerson, William Flores, *Arogant Hollywood*, Eric Preven, Johnathan Lawson, Dr. Kridikel TruthB ey and Dr. Chavez addressed the Board. Interested person(s) also submitted written testimony. In addition, Le na Mallet, Milinda Kakani, Emily Zamora, Mora Greer, Zee Hemsley, Fedor Simamov, Jahzara Halliday, Floyd Ha rdge

12/3/2024

Statement of Proceedings

Statement of Proceedings for 12/3/2024 -

Of Proceedings VI. GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT 62 62. Opportunity for members of the public to address the Board on items of interest that are within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Board. Eric Preven, Anna Lee, A ndria McFerson, Thomas Powers, *Arogant Hollywood*, and Andrew Tang addressed the Board. Interested perso n(s) also submitted written testimony. (24-5745) Ayes:Supervisor Solis, Supervisor Mitchell, Supervisor Horvat h, Supervisor Hahn and Supervisor Barger 5 - Attachments: Public Comment/Correspondence Audio Page 55 C ounty of Los

10/29/2024

Supporting Documents

197292 Public Comment/Correspondence 24-5284 -

42 U.S.C. § 1983 [UNDER COLOR LAW FRAUD UPON COURT], 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983, 1985, & 1986, U.S.C.A. CONST. AMEND. XIV, CALIFORNIA TORTS FRAUD, NEGLIGENCE, NEGLIGENCE PER SE, GENERAL NEGLIGENCE, IIED, & N EGLIGENT IIED - Page 29 of *AROGANT HOLLYWOOD* v. jeffrey d. macomber, et al 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 X. CONTINUING VIOLATIONS 54

10/22/2024

Statement of proceedings

Supporting Documents

196922 Public Comment/Correspondence 24-4879 -

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S CONSTITUTIONAL CIVIL RIGHTS BY RECKLESSLY FAILING TO TERMINATE AND OVER TURN THE W IMMEDIATELYRONGFUL CONVICTION OF *AROGANT HOLLYWOOD* IN FRIVOLOUS SUPERIOR COU RT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, ALHAMBRA SUPERIOR CRIMINAL FELONY CASE GA114055. YO U ALL RECKLESSLY PROSECUTED *AROGANT HOLLYWOOD* USING PHONY LASD-CREATED GOPRO VIDEO RECO RDINGS IN WHICH *AROGANT HOLLYWOOD* IS NOT EVEN WEARING THE SAME CLOTHING HE HAD ON AND W AS ARRESTED IN ON FEBRUARY 21, 2023. YOU WRONGFULLY CONVICTED *AROGANT HOLLYWOOD* USING LYIN G JUDICIAL OFFICERS

10/1/2024

Statement of Proceedings

Statement of Proceedings for the Policy Presentations and Closed Session Meeting held 10/1/2024 -

Men's Central Jail Report by the Jail Closure Implementation Team on the progress towards closing Men's Cen tral Jail, based on the implementation plans, as requested at the Board Meeting of April 9, 2024. (24-0695) Ro y Humphreys and *Arogant Hollywood* addressed the Board. Interested person(s) also submitted written testim ony. Fesia Davenport, Chief Executive Officer, Chidinma Ume, Special Services Assistant, and Jacob Jokisch, Se nior Analyst, Jail Closure Implementation Team, Chief Executive Office, made a presentation and responded to

1/30/2024

Supporting Documents

188396 Public Comment 24-0570 -

foregoing is true and correct.*Arogant Hollywood AROGANT HOLLYWOOD* 533-92-3047 12/09/1978 Booking: 6 715489 In frivolous criminal case GA114055, the County of Los Angeles recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, and willfully failed to dismiss the case and request that *Arogant Hollywood* be permitted to withdraw his plea after being served electronic video evidence on November 28, 2023, December 11, 2023, January 4, 2024, January 9 , 2024, and January 16,2024 that proved the factual innocence of *Arogant Hollywood* by

Showing 1 to 10 of 11 entries

Previous 1 2 Next

LASD File #: SW NO._____

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
County of Los Angeles

SEARCH WARRANT

Detective Billy Khounthavong #502180 swears under oath that the facts expressed by him in the attached and incorporated Statement of Probable Cause are true and that based thereon he has probable cause to believe and does believe that the articles, property, electronic communications, and data described below are lawfully seizable pursuant to Penal Code Section 1524 et seq., as indicated below, and are now located at the location(s) set forth below. Wherefore, Affiant requests that this Search Warrant be issued.

(Signature of Affiant)

SEALING ORDER REQUESTED: __ YES x NO
NIGHT SEARCH REQUESTED: __ YES x NO

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA TO ANY PEACE OFFICER IN THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES: proof by affidavit, having been this day made before me by Detective Billy Khounthavong, finds that there is probable cause to believe that the property and/or person described herein may be found at the locations set forth herein and is lawfully seizable pursuant to Penal Code Section 1524 et seq., as indicated below by X (s) in that:

____ When the property was stolen or embezzled [§1524(a)(1) Penal Code];

__ When the property or things were used as the means of committing a felony [§1524(a)(2) Penal Code];

X When the property or things to be seized consist of an item or constitute evidence that tends to show that a felony has been committed, or tends to show that a particular person has committed a felony [§1524(a)(4) Penal Code];

____ When the property or things are in the possession of any person with the intent to use them as a means for committing a public offense, or in the possession of another to whom he or she may have delivered them for the purpose of concealing them or preventing their discovery [§1524(a)(3) Penal Code];

____ There is a warrant to arrest a person [§1524(a)(6) Penal Code];

____ When a provider of electronic communication service or remote computing service has records or evidence, as specified in Section 1524.3, showing that property was stolen or embezzled constituting a misdemeanor, or that property or things are in the possession of any person with the intent to use them as a means of committing a misdemeanor public offense, or in the possession of another to whom he or she may have delivered them for the purpose of concealing them or preventing their discovery.

X Will assist in locating an individual who has committed or is committing a felony [§1524(a)(12) Penal Code];

YOU ARE THEREFORE COMMANDED TO SEARCH

(1) Digital Video Recorder, GoPro, black in color, belonging to Arrogant Hollywood, evidence booked as property located at Temple Sheriff's Station 8838 E. Las Tunas Dr. Temple City, CA 91780

FOR THE FOLLOWING PROPERTY:

All data and/or content that constitutes evidence and instrumentalities of criminal threats, February 21, 2023.

1. Any and all communications data, including, notes, video attachments, and time and date information, and connection logs, images and any other records that constitute evidence and instrumentalities of Criminal Threats (422(a) PC).

2. All photographic/video/audio data and associated metadata

3. All indicia of ownership and control for, Digital Video Recorders

4. Computing or data processing software, stored on any type of medium such as: hard disks, floppy disks, CD-R's, CD-RW's, DVD's, cassette tapes, integral RAM or ROM units, or other permanent or transient storage medium.

5. Examination and search of computers and computer system components such as; CPU central processing units, internal and peripheral storage devices such as internal or external hard drives, removable storage devices and disks/hard drives, floppy disk drives and diskettes, CD-R, CD-RW, DVD drives and disks, tape drives and tapes, optical storage devices or other memory storage devices, integral RAM or ROM devices; peripheral input/output devices such as keyboards, mouses, touch pads, printers, scanners, plotters, cameras, video display monitors, modems, external data storage devices, optical readers and related communication devices such as acoustic or electrical modems, or other controlling devices such as diskettes, CD-R, CD-RW, DVD disks, power back-up devices, connecting switches, interface devices, software to run programs, connecting cables, switches, power sources, and plugs.

6. Examination and search of all of the evidence items for files, data, images, software, operating systems, deleted files, system configurations, drive and disk configurations, date and time, unallocated and slack space, for evidence.

Investigating officers are authorized, at their discretion, to conduct an offsite search of the seized items for the property described. Investigating officers and those agents acting under the direction of the investigating officers are authorized to access all data on the cellular devices, computers, and Digital Video Recorders to determine if the data contains the items as described above. If necessary, investigating officers are authorized to employ the use of outside experts, acting under the direction of the investigating officers, to access and preserve data on the cellular device. Those items that are within the scope of this warrant may be copied and retained by investigative officers. This process can be comprehensive. As a result, if the time needed to execute the search of the digital recording camera exceeds 10 days, investigators shall file a return of inventory to this Court immediately upon the completion of the search, not to exceed 90 days from the date of this order. Investigators can request further extension(s) (each extension not to exceed 90 days) for return of inventory upon a showing of good cause.

If no evidence of criminal activity is discovered relating to the seized property and associated peripherals, the system(s) will be returned promptly.

AND TO SEIZE IT IF FOUND and bring it forth before me, or this court, at the courthouse of this court. This Search Warrant and incorporated Affidavit was sworn to me as true and subscribed before me this 22nd day of February ____, 2023 at 12:11 AM/PM. Wherefore, I find probable cause for the issuance of this Search Warrant and do issue it.

Signature Clause . NIGHT SEARCH APPROVED: YES [ ] NO [x]
(Signature of Magistrate) HOBBS SEALING APPROVED: YES [ ] NO [x]

Judge of the Superior Court, Dept F, Northeast Judicial District

AFFIANT STATEMENT

I, Detective Billy Khounthavong, being duly sworn, do hereby state:

I, (Affiant), Detective B. Khounthavong # 502180, have been employed as a sworn peace officer (Deputy Sheriff) by the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department for the past eighteen years. The Affiant is currently assigned to Temple Station Detective Bureau. Your Affiant has investigated hundreds of crimes and interviewed hundreds of suspects involved in various crimes including, but not limited to: burglaries, thefts, thefts by embezzlement, other crimes involving property, assaults with deadly weapons, sexual assaults, robberies, other crimes against persons and narcotic offenses.

Your Affiant has participated in the authoring and executing of numerous search warrants. Your Affiant has arrested hundreds of persons and has assisted in the successful prosecution of those persons based on written declarations and testimonies.

Your Affiant has conversed with, or interrogated numerous suspects in the Los Angeles County area and within the Los Angeles County jail system. These conversations covered the manner in which they commit their crimes. These crimes have ranged from minor assaults to assaults with a deadly weapon, from the theft of property and services to the possession of stolen property, and from the possession of controlled substances to the packaging, sales and transportation of controlled substances.

Your Affiant has received training on department databases and has familiarized himself on other law enforcement databases commonly used as a tool to further an investigation. Your Affiant has also attended and received training in the following courses: procurement of latent prints/dna evidence, drug abuse recognition training, gang investigator training, crisis intervention training, tactical weapons entry and tactical communications training. Your Affiant has testified at court on multiple occasions and assisted the District Attorney's office with witness preparation and testimony.

STATEMENT OF PROBABLE CAUSE

This affidavit is made in support of a Search Warrant requesting the fore mentioned digital video recorder, related to a criminal investigation of Penal Code Section 422(a) PC, which occurred on February 21, 2023, as described below.

Your Affiant is currently investigating a Criminal Threats incident – a violation of 422(a) P.C. - that occurred on Tuesday, February 21, 2023, in the City of San Gabriel, Los Angeles County, and State of California. This incident was documented under Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department file number 923-02068-0588-339.

On the above indicated date, Deputies from Temple City Station responded to 9077 Fairview Ave, San Gabriel regarding an emergent disturbance call. The call stated the victim invited the suspect (Arrogant Hollywood 12-09-78) over to stay at her home, who then refused to leave when asked to. The victim had locked herself inside her restroom in fear of the suspect.

As deputies made contact with the victim, she visibly appeared shaken up and appeared afraid due to her panicked and worried tone of voice. Deputies could hear the trembling in her voice as she spoke with them.

While deputies continued speaking with the victim, the suspect slowly appeared from within the house garage where he was detained pending their investigation.

The victim continued to advise deputies that the suspect had threatened to break the door down and kill her. Both parties had met for the first time the night prior near the South Pasadena City Hall. After briefly interacting with each other there, they both ended taking an Uber ride to her residence where they had overnight relations. During the middle of the night, the victim decided to get out of bed and slept in a separate bedroom. Sometime along the night, the suspect had awakened to discover the victim had left the room and slept in a separate room. This alone, according to the victim, upset the suspect as he asked her why they were not sleeping in the same room.

As the following morning came, the victim told the suspect she needed to leave the house and that it was time for him to leave as well. After advising him that she had sent an Uber ride for him, this enraged him further. The suspect became agitated and verbally aggressive with her. He continued to curse at her and threatened to return and harass her.

As the Uber ride arrived and based on the suspect's hostile demeanor towards her, the victim locked the door of the garage that gave access to the residence from the garage area. She told the suspect, through the locked door, the "Uber" is out there. Being on the other side of the locked door, the suspect continued to bang and kick the door. The suspect then threatened the victim saying he was going to come inside her residence and kill her, and that she was never going to see tomorrow. The suspect further threatened he was going to return to the residence after he was arrested and to kill her.

She was in sustained fear from the incident and believed he was capable of carrying out the threat by grabbing a weapon from inside the garage. It was then the victim dialed 9-1-1 and set off her "Ring" camera alarm to let the suspect know how serious the situation was becoming.

After the suspect was arrested and detained at the Temple City Sheriff's station jail, I conducted an interview with him. While speaking with him, the suspect continuously referenced he had the argument between him and the victim, recorded on his "GoPro". He also referenced the "GoPro" was recording intermittently up until the arrest. The digital video recorder was on his person(s) while the recording took place.

It should be noted from prior law enforcement contacts with the suspect, the suspect was well known to have the "GoPro" in his possession and made it a habit to have the digital recorder recording.

Your affiant is requesting a search warrant for the fore mentioned digital video recorder information, as described above in the Digital Video Recorder, GoPro, black in color, belonging to Arrogant Hollywood, this application seeks permission to search and seize records that might be found on the described device, in whatever form they are found.

Your affiant believes the recording equipment was used to communicate information pertaining to this case. Your affiant is further aware that recording devices are often used to communicate/share with other parties and to store information. Your affiant believes the digital video recorder will contain the information which could identify the suspect committing the criminal threats.

DIGITAL FORENSIC EXAMINATION

Your affiant has knowledge that a cellular telephone/digital video recorder device can be accessed remotely and the content of such device can be deleted in its entirety. Your affiant is aware of devices, such as a "faraday" bag, which could assist in the protection of a cellular telephone/digital video recorder device and preserve the data.

Based on my knowledge, training, and experience, I know that digital files or remnants of such files can be recovered months or even years after they have been downloaded onto a hard drive or other storage device, deleted or viewed via the Internet. Digital files downloaded to a storage device can be stored for years and remains on the storage device until it is overwritten by new data.

Therefore, deleted files, or remnants of deleted files, may reside in free space or slack space—that is, in space on the storage device that is not currently being used by an active file—for long periods of time before they are overwritten. In addition, device's operating system may also keep a record of deleted data in a "swap" or "recovery" file.

Similarly, files that have been viewed via the Internet are typically automatically downloaded into a temporary Internet directory or "cache." The browser often maintains a fixed amount of space devoted to these files, and the files are only overwritten as they are replaced with more recently viewed Internet pages or if a user takes steps to delete them.

Based upon my knowledge, training and experience, I know that searching for information stored in cellular devices, Digital video Recorders, Laptops and I-Pads often requires that the device be accessed and searched using specialized programs or tools by a qualified expert in a controlled environment. This is often necessary to ensure the accuracy and completeness of such data, and to prevent the loss of the data either from accidental or intentional destruction. Data search processes are designed to recover even "hidden," erased, compressed, password protected, or encrypted files. Because digital evidence is vulnerable to inadvertent or intentional modification or destruction (either from external sources or from destructive code imbedded in the system as a "booby trap"), a controlled environment may be necessary to complete an accurate analysis. Therefore, it is not uncommon for a comprehensive search of the target device(s) to take more than 10 days to

complete. As a result, I request that the court authorize the filing of a return of inventory to this Court immediately upon the completion of the search, not to exceed 90 days from the date of this order. Furthermore, I request authorization for further extension(s) (each extension not to exceed 90 days) for return of inventory upon a showing of good cause.

In light of these concerns, I hereby request the Court's permission to conduct an off-site search of the hardware for the evidence described and to employ the use of outside experts who will use whatever data analysis techniques appear necessary to locate and retrieve the evidence described in the **Digital Video Recorder, GoPro, black in color, belonging to Arrogant Hollywood.**

As required by Penal Code § 1524.3 (c); those items that are within the scope of this warrant will be copied and retained by investigative agents. Investigating agents will then seal any information from the device that is unrelated to the objective of the warrant and will not further review the information absent an order from the Court.

If no evidence of criminal activity is discovered relating to the seized property and associated peripherals, the device will be returned promptly.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
PROBABLE CAUSE DETERMINATION (DECLARATION)

Location Booked:	Booking No.:	Arrest File No.:
TEM	6555970	923-02068-0588-339

Arrestee/Suspect (Last, First, Middle):	DOB:
HOLLYWOOD, ARROGANT	12/09/1978

Arrestee/Suspect's Residential Address:	Location of Occurrence:
825 E ORANGE BL PASADENA, CA 91104	9077 FAIRVIEW AVENUE, SAN GABRIEL, CA 91775

Booking Charge(s):		Supplemental Holds/Warrants Charges:
422 PC	Misdemeanor ☐ Felony ☒	

Date/Time of Arrest:	48 Hour Expiration Date and Time:
02/21/2023 1030 hrs	02/23/2023 1030 hrs

Arresting Agency/Division:	Arresting Officer(s):	Employee No.:
0501 - LASD - TEMPLE PATROL	CONTRERAS, A.	636424

Supervisor(s) Approving:	Employee No.:	Date/Time:	Contact Phone No.:
Santana Jr., W.	484514	02/21/2023 1253 hrs	626-285-7171
Perez, D.	499035	02/21/2023 1256 hrs	626-285-7171

Facts establishing elements of offense(s)/violation(s). Information identifying/connecting arrestee/suspect by name with the violation(s) listed:

I contacted the Victim, who stated she locked the garage door to her residence because she was in fear for her life and safety. The Victim stated the Suspect became verbally aggressive when she asked him to leave her residence. The Suspect was attempting to break down the door she locked while stating he was going to kill her. In sustained fear for her life, believing the Suspect would grab a weapon from the garage to carry out the threat, the Victim called 9-1-1.

The Victim positively identified the Suspect as the one that threatened to kill her.

Based on the above, I arrested the Suspect for the indicated charge.

☐ **See attached reports incorporated herein by reference.**

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Executed on 02/21/2023 (date) 1249 hrs (time) in the County of Los Angeles, California.

e-signed (Signature) Contreras, A. (Print Name) 636424 (Employee No.)

Telephonic Determination ONLY

On _____, I was placed under oath and read the contents of the foregoing, including the contents of any attached reports or declaration, verbatim to the Honorable _____. The Judicial Officer advised me that there

( ) IS ( ) IS NOT probable cause to believe this arrestee has committed a crime.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles County, California, on

(Signature) (Employee No.)

Probable Cause Determination

On the basis of either reports reviewed and/or officer's declaration I hereby determine that there

(x) IS ( ) IS NOT probable cause to believe this arrestee has committed a crime.

Seng, J. (e-signed) 02/21/2023 1300 hrs
(Judicial Officer's Signature)

(Block Stamp)

DEPUTY DAILY WORKSHEET 07/05/23 1556

STATION: TEM

UNIT: 51 **SHIFT:** D **DATE:** 02/21/23 0600 - 1400 **CLASS:** G **TYPE:** P

482252 ESQUEDA DANIEL **O/T:** **O/T PA:**

VEH: SH7777 **MILES:** (75633 -> 75653) : 20 **MOBILE:** 15136

SPEC EQP: M4-5699

PORTABLES: m054

ARRESTS /FEL-MA: **FA:** **MJ:** **FJ:** **CITS/HZ:** **NHZ:** **PKG:**

/MSD-MA: **FA:** **MJ:** **FJ:**

PATROL AREA/TIME: 01/117

TIME-SHIFT:480 **TT:**35 **HDL:**328 **WRT:**0 **PTL:**117 **UNALLOC:**0 **RPTS:**1

INCIDENT ASSIGNMENTS :

TAG#	CODE	DISP	ACK	ENRT	10/97	10/98	RD#	A	S	P	TT	HDL	WRT	URN
56	924B	657			600?	657	0586		D	R		57		

LOC: TEM

CLR: 754 STATION SERVICE: Briefing

CLR: 758 STATION SERVICE: Station Service

CLR: 821 Details

CLR: 940 No SACR reports required

CLR: 950 No body-worn camera video required per policy

NARR: VEH PREP, BRIEFING

VEHIMPSTO: N **VEHCODE:**

65	459A	718	725?	726			0500	A	C	R		0		

LOC: 3848 FOOTHILL BL,PAS "LAKESHORE LEARNING"

STATUS: *** CAN at 0733

73	925T	757	757	803	814	838	0590		C	R	11	24		

LOC: 181 ROSEMEAD BL,PAS "DOLLAR STORE"

CLR: 212 DISORDERLY CONDUCT: Disturbing The Peace/Court Disturbances

CLR: 940 No SACR reports required

NARR: D/P'S WERE GPA, UTL

VEHIMPSTO: N **VEHCODE:**

92	415	922	922	947	957	1009	0587		C	R	10	12		

LOC: 5306 PONDOSA AV,NSG X FRANDSEN

CLR: 212 DISORDERLY CONDUCT: Disturbing The Peace/Court Disturbances

CLR: 940 No SACR reports required

NARR: D/P'S WERE GPA, UTL. BRIDGE REFERRED TO IN CALL IS CITY OF SAN GABRIEL. SGPD 914N

VEHIMPSTO: N **VEHCODE:**

111	415	1006	1008	1010	1017	1240	0521	A	C	E	7	143		02068

LOC: 9077 FAIRVIEW AV,NSG X PARKBYRN

CLR: 780 Assigned Assist

NARR: ASST 52

112	927P	1008	1008				0500	A	C	P		0		

DEPUTY DAILY WORKSHEET 07/05/23 1557

STATION: TEM

UNIT: 52 **SHIFT:** D **DATE:** 02/21/23 0600 - 1400 **CLASS:** G **TYPE:** P

626424 CONTRERAS AARON D **O/T:** **O/T PA:**

VEH: SH7814 **MILES:** (28875 -> 28891) : 16 **MOBILE:** 32011

SPEC EQP: SG-0572

PORTABLES: P367

ARRESTS /FEL-MA: 1 **FA:** **MJ:** **FJ:** **CITS/HZ:** **NHZ:** **PKG:**

/MSD-MA: **FA:** **MJ:** **FJ:**

PATROL AREA/TIME: 02/026

TIME-SHIFT:480 **TT:**46 **HDL:**408 **WRT:**0 **PTL:**26 **UNALLOC:**0 **RPTS:**2

INCIDENT ASSIGNMENTS :

TAG#	CODE	DISP	ACK	ENRT	10/97	10/98	RD#	A	S	P	TT	HDL	WRT	URN
44	924B	611			600?	748	0521		O	R		108		

LOC: TEMPLE

CLR: 754 STATION SERVICE: Briefing

CLR: 758 STATION SERVICE: Station Service

CLR: 940 No SACR reports required

CLR: 950 No body-worn camera video required per policy

NARR: VEH PREP, BACK SEAT CHECKS CLEAR, BRIEFING, NO BWC

VEHIMPSTO: N **VEHCODE:**

65	459A	718					0500	A	C	R		0		

LOC: 3848 FOOTHILL BL,PAS "LAKESHORE LEARNING"

STATUS: *** CAN at 0733

73	925T	757	757	936	936	936	0590	A	C	R		0		

LOC: 181 ROSEMEAD BL,PAS "DOLLAR STORE"

CLR: 780 Assigned Assist

NARR: CALL RPTA BY 51, DNR, NO BWC ACTIVATION, DELAYED RE T-77

77	314N	805	806	806	814	936	0522		C	P	8	82	02065	

LOC: 5053 SERENO DR,TEM X BLACKLEY

CLR: 461 Person(s) Mentally Ill - 5150 Hold Placed

CLR: 940 No SACR reports required

NARR: C/JANE DOE FB/45 RE:314N, H918A1 RESP TO LOC AND PLACED ON 5150 HOLD, SEE RPT 023-02065 FOR FURTHER

VEHIMPSTO: N **VEHCODE:**

86	459VR	909	909	937	956	1006	0523		C	R	19	10		

LOC: 5203 PAL MAL AV,TEM X FREER

CLR: 777 Assist Citizen

CLR: 940 No SACR reports required

NARR: C/CARLY CARPASCO FH/060692 RE:459VR, NO 459V, UNLOCKED VEH AND NOTHING TAKEN, INF NON DES OF RPT, ADV TO DO MORE PATROL CHECKS

VEHIMPSTO: N **VEHCODE:**

89	927C	909	927	1006	1006	1006	0524	A	C	R		0		

DEPUTY DAILY WORKSHEET 07/05/23 1557

LOC: 4835 RIO HONDO AV,TEM X FRATUS

CLR: 780 Assigned Assist

NARR: CALL HPTA BY 52T1, DNR, NO BWC

92	415	922	927	1302	1302	1302	0587	A	C	R		0	

LOC: 5306 PONDOSA AV,NSG X FRANDSEN

CLR: 780 Assigned Assist

NARR: CALL HPTA BY 51, DNR, NO BWC ACTIVATION

111	415	1006	1006	1006	1016	1302	0521		C	E	10	166	02068

ARR/FEL- MA: 1

LOC: 9077 FAIRVIEW AV,NSG X PARKBYRN

CLR: 339 FELONIES, MISCELLANEOUS: ALL OTHER FELONIES

CLR: 941 One person SACR entry required

NARR: ARRESTED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD MB/120978 RE:422 PC, SEE RPT 923-02068-0588 FOR FURTHER INFO

VEHIMPSTO: N **VEHCODE:**

160	211S		1302	1302	1305	1314	0523	A	C	P	3	9	

LOC: 9635 LAS TUNAS DR,TEM "WELLS FARGO"

CLR: 780 Assigned Assist

NARR: X-52F AS NEEDED

164	925	1310	1314	1314	1315	1320	0523		C	R	1	5	

LOC: 5483 GOLDEN WEST AV,TEM X BROADWAY

CLR: 777 Assist Citizen

CLR: 940 No SACR reports required

CLR: 950 No body-worn camera video required per policy

NARR: 927C THE AREA, DP GPA UT1.

VEHIMPSTO: N **VEHCODE:**

170	927C		1320	1320	1323	1326	0521	A	C	P	3	3	

LOC: 9645 CRAIGLEE ST,TEM X BARELA

CLR: 780 Assigned Assist

NARR: X-52F AS NEEDED

171	925A	1324	1326	1326	1328	1353	0521		C	R	2	25	

LOC: 6226 CAMELLIA AV,TEM X GARIBALDI

CLR: 777 Assist Citizen

CLR: 940 No SACR reports required

NARR: C/JUSTINE LOPEZ FH/030602 AND CHRISSA SANCHEZ FH/020802 RE:925A, ADV DPS TO LEAVE LOC, NO EV OF CRIME, DPS WILCO

VEHIMPSTO: N **VEHCODE:**

DEPUTY DAILY WORKSHEET 07/05/23 1557

STATION: TEM

UNIT: 52T1 **SHIFT:** D **DATE:** 02/21/23 0600 - 1400 **CLASS:** G **TYPE:** T

532124 TRINH JENNY T **O/T:** **O/T PA:**

VEH: SH6470 **MILES:** (72701 -> 72718) : 17 **MOBILE:** 32096

SPEC EQP: SG 3067 40MM 91695

PORTABLES: n369

ARRESTS /FEL-MA: **FA:** **MJ:** **FJ:** **CITS/HZ:** **NHZ:** **PKG:**

/MSD-MA: **FA:** **MJ:** **FJ:**

PATROL AREA/TIME: 02/230

TIME-SHIFT:480 **TT:**13 **HDL:**237 **WRT:**0 **PTL:**230 **UNALLOC:**0 **RPTS:**1

INCIDENT ASSIGNMENTS :

TAG#	CODE	DISP	ACK	ENRT	10/97	10/98	RD#	A	S	P	TT	HDL	WRT	URN
45	924B	613			600?	655	0522		O	R		55		

LOC: TEN,STA

CLR: 754 STATION SERVICE: Briefing

CLR: 758 STATION SERVICE: Station Service

CLR: 940 No SACR reports required

NARR: BRIEFING, VEH PREP BACK SEATCHECKED

VEHIMPSTO: N **VEHCODE:**

63	503A	718	718	731	732	813	0522		C	R	1	41		02063

LOC: 5595 ROSEMEAD BL,TEM "SUPER A FOODS"

CLR: 733 VEHICLE/BOAT, OTHER NON-CRIMINAL: Veh/Boat Recovered (Other Juris)

CLR: 940 No SACR reports required

NARR: SEE RPT 923-02063

VEHIMPSTO: N **VEHCODE:**

77	314N	805	806	813	814	935	0522	A	C	P	1	81		02065

LOC: 5053 SERENO DR,TEM X BLACKLEY

CLR: 780 Assigned Assist

NARR: X/52 AS NEEDED

89	927C	909	935	935	937	945	0524		C	R	2	8		

LOC: 4835 RIO HONDO AV,TEM X FRATUS

CLR: 777 Assist Citizen

CLR: 940 No SACR reports required

NARR: MADE CONTACT W/BOTTLE, EMPTYWITH A LITTLE BIT OF WATER INSIDE. ADV BOTTLE TO STAY AT LOC DU E TO 911N INF

VEHIMPSTO: N **VEHCODE;**

111	415		1015	1016	1020	1043	0521	A	C	E	1	23		02068

LOC: 9077 FAIRVIEW AV,NSG X PARKBYRN

CLR: 780 Assigned Assist

NARR: X/52 AS NEEDED

112	927?	1008					0500	A	C	P		0		

LOC: 9077 FAIRVIEW AV,NSG RES(HUTTON)

DEPUTY DAILY WORKSHEET 07/05/23 1557

STATION: TEM

UNIT: 52T1 **SHIFT:** D **DATE:** 02/21/23 0600 - 1400 **CLASS:** G **TYPE:** T

532124 TRINH JENNY T **O/T:** **O/T PA:**

VEH: SH6470 **MILES:** (72701 -> 72718) : 17 **MOBILE:** 32096

SPEC EQP: SG 3067 40MM 91695

PORTABLES: n369

ARRESTS /FEL-MA: **FA:** **MJ:** **FJ:** **CITS/HZ:** **NHZ:** **PKG:**

/MSD-MA: **FA:** **MJ:** **FJ:**

PATROL AREA/TIME: 02/230

TIME-SHIFT:480 **TT:**13 **HDL:**237 **WRT:**0 **PTL:**230 **UNALLOC:**0 **RPTS:**1

INCIDENT ASSIGNMENTS :

TAG#	CODE	DISP	ACK	ENRT	10/97	10/98	RD#	A	S	P	TT	HDL	WRT	URN
45	924B	613			600?	655	0522		O	R		55		

LOC: TEN,STA

CLR: 754 STATION SERVICE: Briefing

CLR: 758 STATION SERVICE: Station Service

CLR: 940 No SACR reports required

NARR: BRIEFING, VEH PREP BACK SEATCHECKED

VEHIMPSTO: N **VEHCODE:**

63	503A	718	718	731	732	813	0522		C	R	1	41		02063

LOC: 5595 ROSEMEAD BL,TEM "SUPER A FOODS"

CLR: 733 VEHICLE/BOAT, OTHER NON-CRIMINAL: Veh/Boat Recovered (Other Juris)

CLR: 940 No SACR reports required

NARR: SEE RPT 923-02063

VEHIMPSTO: N **VEHCODE:**

77	314N	805	806	813	814	935	0522	A	C	P	1	81		02065

LOC: 5053 SERENO DR,TEM X BLACKLEY

CLR: 780 Assigned Assist

NARR: X/52 AS NEEDED

89	927C	909	935	935	937	945	0524		C	R	2	8		

LOC: 4835 RIO HONDO AV,TEM X FRATUS

CLR: 777 Assist Citizen

CLR: 940 No SACR reports required

NARR: MADE CONTACT W/BOTTLE, EMPTYWITH A LITTLE BIT OF WATER INSIDE. ADV BOTTLE TO STAY AT LOC DU E TO 911N INF

VEHIMPSTO: N **VEHCODE;**

111	415		1015	1016	1020	1043	0521	A	C	E	1	23		02068

LOC: 9077 FAIRVIEW AV,NSG X PARKBYRN

CLR: 780 Assigned Assist

NARR: X/52 AS NEEDED

112	927?	1008					0500	A	C	P		0		

LOC: 9077 FAIRVIEW AV,NSG RES(HUTTON)

Tell Villalobos, FIRST 911 CALL ONLY

Report Date: 07/05/23

INCIDENT HISTORY REPORT
TEMPLE

Station: TEM Incident Date:02/21/23 Tag #:111 Incident #:TEM23052-0111

/1005 ENTRY (551890) INCIDENT,9077 FAIRVIEW AV,NSG X PARKBYRN,P,415,,,,,,,JENNIFER,,626 318 5595,INVITED A RANDOM GUY,(ARROGANT) TO STAY AT HER HOME.,DP WRG DRK LONG SLVE SHRT,REF,TO LEAVE. UNK WPNS. DP IN GARAGE,,INF LOCKED HERSELF IN RM. DP,PRE BANGING ON DOOR.,,TEM,,,,,TEM03

/1005 RECENT (551890) TEM23049-0163 02/18/23 777

/1006 ASSIGN/B (637207) 51/D #482252 ESQUEDA

/1006 ASSIST/B (637207) 52/D #636424 CONTRERAS

/1006* ACK (636424) 52/D <000>

/1006* ENR (636424) 52/D <000>

/1008* ACK (482252) 51/D <000>

/1010* ENR (482252) 51/D <000>

/1014 CHGPRI (637207) P->E

/1015* ASSIST/S (532124) 52T1/D #532124 TRINH <000>

/1015* ACK (532124) 52T1/D <000>

/1015* ENR (532124) 52T1/D <000>

/1016* 10/97 (636424) 52/D <000>

/1016 ASSIST/B (637207) 51S/D #439131 LEYBA JR

/1016* ENR (532124) 52T1/D <000>

/1017* ACK (439131) 51S/D <000>

/1017* ENR (439131) 51S/D <000>

/1017* 10/97 (482252) 51/D <000>

/1020* 10/97 (532124) 52T1/D <000>

/1020* 10/97 (439131) 51S/D <000>

/1038* HOLD (532124) 52T1/D -> TEM23052-0118 <000>

/1043* 10/98 (532124) 52T1/D <000>

/1049* ASSIST/S (522046) 52F/D #522046 ERSKIN <000>

/1049* ACK (522046) 52F/D <000>

/1049* ENR (522046) 52F/D <000>

/1049* 10/97 (522046) 52F/D <000>

/1049* 10/98 (522046) 52F/D <000>

/1054 CHGHDL (637207) 51/D->52/D,AHR

/1058* 10/98 (439131) 51S/D <000>

/1100* CLEAR (522046) 52F/D,,,780,,,,,,,X 52 ESCORT DP INTO JAIL,,,,,,,,,1041,1048,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,N,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, <000>

/1108* URN REQUEST (532124) X,0588,339,CR,S,HOLLYWOOD,ARROGANT,,,M,B,111,, <000>

/1108 URN () 923-02068-0588-339

/1127* 10/15 (636424) 52/D <000>

/1203* CLEAR (439131) 51S/D,,,780,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,

Unit: 51 **Shift: 2** **Shift Date: 02/21/23**

/0657* LOGON () ,X,,51,D,022123,Y,G,0600,1400,482252,,,,,,75633,,SH7777,M1-5699,41136,48054,,,,,,

Unit: 52T1 Shift: 2 Shift Date: 02/21/23

/0613* LOGON () ,X,,52T1,D,,Y,G,0600,1400,532124,,,,,,72701,,SH6470,SG 3067 40MM 91695,58096,49369,,,,,,

FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles

FEB 04 2025

David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court
By: L. Jackson, Deputy

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq.
1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD.
PASADENA, CA 91106
Mobile: 626.755.6442
arogantholiywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted
Spirit of Esquire

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
ROBERT T. MATSUI COURTHOUSE

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD,	**Case No.**
Plaintiff,	
vs.	**VERIFIED ORIGINAL CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT**
jeffrey a macomber, jason d. johnson, jennifer barretto, tammatha foss, christopher chambers, ronald broomfield, jennifer benavidez, gavin christopher newsom, robert andres bonta, peter dwight halloran, dennis l. beck jr., leah tamu wilson, brian mcgee, ashley albiento, bryce miller, elizabeth byers, leo ka fong lo, george gascon, cheryl lynn kaylor, yingchun Chen & DOES 1-10	
Defendant(s),	

Verified Original Civil Rights Complaint for Injunctive Relief, Declaratory Relief, General Damages, Compensatory Damages, Punitive Damages, & Special Damages for Violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1983 [UNDER COLOR OF LAW FRAUD], Violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1983 [UNDER COLOR OFJUDICIAL ABUSE OF PROCESS, Violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1983 [UNDER COLOR OF LAW DECEIT], 42 U.S.C. § 1983 [UNDER COLOR LAW FRAUD UPON COURT], 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983, 1985, & 1986, U.S.C.A. CONST. AMEND. XIV, CALIFORNIA TORTS FRAUD, NEGLIGENCE, NEGLIGENCE PER SE, GENERAL NEGLIGENCE, IIED, & NEGLIGENT IIED

FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles

APR 17 2025

David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court
By: A. Soto, Deputy

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq.
1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD.
PASADENA, CA 91106
Mobile: 206.471.1344
arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted
Spirit of Esquire

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
NORTHEAST DISTRICT, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
ALHAMBRA SUPERIOR COURT

people of the state of california,

Prosecutor,

v.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD,

Defendant,

Railroaded and Wrongfully Convicted

Case No. GA114055

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'VERIFIED NOTICE OF AND MOTION THAT SUPERIOR COURT ORDER PEOPLE IMMEDIATELY DISCLOSE AND TURN OVER BOGUS LASD-CREATED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GX010586 AND GX010587 Pursuant to California Penal Code §§ 745, 1473.6, & 1473.7; *Brady v. Maryland*, 373 U.S. 83, 83 S.Ct. 1194, 10 L.Ed.2d 215 (1963); United States v. Bagley, 473 U.S. 667, 682, 105 S.Ct. 3375, 87 L.Ed.2d 481 (1985); County of Los Angeles District Attorney Discovery Compliance System Manual, County of Los Angeles District Attorney Legal Policies Manual, Chapter 14, & United States Department of Justice Manual, Title 9, § 9-5.000 & REQUEST THAT SUPERIOR COURT ORDER THAT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S UNCONSTITUTIONAL PAROLE SUPERVISION BE IMMEDIATELY TERMINATED

Date of Hearing: ~~April 25, 2025~~ May 30, 2025
Department 1
Time: A.M.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq.
1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD.
PASADENA, CA 91106
Mobile: 206.471.1344
arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted
Spirit of Esquire

FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles

APR 17 2025

David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court
By: A. Soto, Deputy

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
NORTHEAST DISTRICT, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
ALHAMBRA SUPERIOR COURT

people of the state of california,

Prosecutor,

v.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD,

Defendant.

Railroaded and Wrongfully Convicted

Case No. GA114055

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S VERIFIED NOTICE OF AND MOTION TO VACATE HIS UNCONSTITUTIONAL AND ILLEGAL FELONY CONVICTION OBTAINED BY THE PEOPLE, VICTOR MANUEL RODRIGUEZ, CINDY JUHYUN PARK, AND BRIAN MARK ROSEBERG USING BOGUS LASD-CREATED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GX010586 AND GX010587, FABRICATED TESTIMONY, FAKE SEARCH WARRANT AFFIDAVIT, FORGED PROBABLE CAUSE DETERMINATION, AND FABRICATED 911 AUDIO RECORDING EVIDENCE TO WRONGFULLY CONVICT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD Pursuant to California Penal Code §§ , 1473.6, & 1473.7; *Brady v. Maryland*, 373 U.S. 83, 83 S.Ct. 1194, 10 L.Ed.2d 215 (1963); United States v. Bagley, 473 U.S. 667, 682, 105 S.Ct. 3375, 87 L.Ed.2d 481 (1985); County of Los Angeles District Attorney Discovery Compliance System Manual, County of Los Angeles District Attorney Legal Policies Manual, Chapter 14, & United States Department of Justice Manual, Title 9, § 9-5.000 & Napue v. Illinois; BASED ON NEWLY DISCOVERED EVIDENCE THAT THE PEOPLE USED FALSE AND FABRICATED EVIDENCE TO WRONGFULLY CONVICT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD; UNCOMPLETED AMENDED VERSION OF MOTION WILL BE FILED ON MONDAY, APRIL 28, 2025

Date of Hearing: June 20, 2025
Department 1
Time:

NO FEE DUE GOV'T CODE SECTION 6103

WV-100 Petition for Workplace Violence Restraining Orders

Clerk stamps date here when form is filed.

FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles
JUN 05 2025
David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court
By: N. [illegible], Deputy

Read *How Do I Get an Order to Prohibit Workplace Violence* (form WV-100-INFO) before completing this form. **NOTE: Petitioner must be an employer with standing to bring this action under Code of Civil Procedure section 527.8.** Also fill out *Confidential CLETS Information* (form CLETS-001) with as much information as you know.

Fill in court name and street address

Superior Court of California, County of
Los Angeles
111 North Hill Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Central Judicial District

Court fills in case number when form is filed.

Case Number:
25STR00357

(1) Petitioner (Employer or Collective Bargaining Representative)

a. Name: County of Los Angeles
is a ☒ Employer
☐ Collective Bargaining Representative
Specify union:
and is filing this suit on behalf of the employee identified in item (2).

b. Lawyer for Petitioner *(if any for this case)*
Name: Vanessa Evangelista State Bar No.: 238474
Firm Name: Office of the County Counsel
Petitioner's Address *(If the petitioner has a lawyer, give the lawyer's information.)*

c. Address: 500 West Temple Street
City: Los Angeles State: CA Zip: 90012
Telephone: (213) 257-2086 Fax: (213) 626-5578
Email Address: VEvangelista@counsel.lacounty.gov

(2) Employee Who Suffered Harassment, Violence, or Threat of Violence

Full Name: Brian Mark Rosenberg
Gender: ☒ M ☐ F ☐ Nonbinary Age: 38
Workplace Address: 150 West Commonwealth Avenue
City: Alhambra State: CA Zip: 91801

☐ This employee declines to be named in any restraining order issued as a result of this petition.
☐ Additional employees suffered harassment, violence, or a threat of violence. Those employees, and whether they decline to be named in any restraining order issued as a result of this petition, are listed in Attachment 2.

(3) Respondent (Person From Whom Protection Is Sought)

Full Name: Arogant Hollywood Age: 46
Address *(if known)*: 2308 Felicia Avenue
City: Rowland Heights State: CA Zip: 97148

(4) Protected Persons Not Listed in (2)

a. Are you asking for protection for any family or household members of the employee or for any other employees at the employee's workplace or at other workplaces of the petitioner?
☐ Yes ☐ No *(If yes, list them):*

Full Name	Gender	Age	Household Member?	Relationship to Employee
			☐ Yes ☐ No	
			☐ Yes ☐ No	

☐ Additional protected persons are listed in Attachment 4a.

This is not a Court Order.

Case Number:

(4) b. Why do these people need protection? *(Explain)*.
☒ Response is stated in Attachment 4b.

(5) Relationship of Employee and Respondent

a. How does the employee know the respondent? *(Describe)*: ☐ Response is stated in Attachment 5a.
Respondent was a Defendant on a case prosecuted by the Employer (District Attorney's Office)/employee.

b. Respondent ☐ is ☒ is not a current employee of petitioner. *(Explain any decision to retain, terminate, or otherwise discipline the respondent)*: ☐ Response is stated in Attachment 5b.

(6) Venue

Why are you filing in this county? *(Check all that apply)*:

a. ☒ The respondent lives in this county.
b. ☒ The respondent has caused physical or emotional injury to the petitioner's employee in this county.
c. ☒ Other *(specify)*: respondent has made credible threats, harassed, and intimidated employee.

(7) Other Court Cases

a. Has the employee or any of the persons named in (4) been involved in another court case with the respondent?

☐ No ☒ Yes *If yes, check each kind of case and indicate where and when each was filed.*

Kind of Case	Filed in *(County, State)*	Year Filed	Case Number *(if known)*
(1) ☐ Workplace Violence			
(2) ☒ Civil Harassment	Los Angeles/CA	2023	23PDR001713
(3) ☐ Domestic Violence			
(4) ☐ Divorce, Nullity, Legal Separation			
(5) ☐ Paternity, Parentage, Child Support			
(6) ☐ Eviction			
(7) ☐ Guardianship			
(8) ☐ Small Claims			
(9) ☐ Postsecondary School Violence			
(10) ☒ Criminal	Los Angeles/CA	2025	25CJCM02162
(11) ☒ Other *(specify)*: Criminal CPO	Los Angeles/CA	2025	25CJCM02162

b. Are any restraining orders or criminal protective orders now in effect relating to the employee or any of the persons in (4) and the respondent? ☐ No ☒ Yes *(If yes, attach a copy if you have one.)*

This is not a Court Order.

Case Number:

8 Description of Respondent's Conduct

a. Respondent has *(check one or more)*

(1) ☐ Assaulted, battered, or stalked the employee.

(2) ☐ Made a credible threat of violence against the employee by making knowing or willful statements or engaging in a course of conduct that would place a reasonable person in fear for his or her safety or the safety of his or her immediate family.

(3) ☒ Engaged in a course of conduct that seriously alarmed, annoyed, or harassed the employee and caused the employee substantial emotional distress. *(A course of conduct is more than one act.)*

b. One or more of these acts *(check either or both)*:

(1) ☒ Took place at the employee's workplace.

(2) ☒ Can reasonably be construed to be carried out in the future at the employee's workplace.

Address of workplace: Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office - 150 West Commonwealth Avenue, Alhambra, CA 91801

c. Describe what happened. *(Provide details, include the dates of all incidents beginning with the most recent, tell who did what to whom, identify any witnesses)*:

☒ Response is stated in Attachment 8c.

Please see attached Declarations from Brian Mark Rosenberg, Cynthia Nakao, and Exhibits detailing continued harassment, threats, continued emotional distress and violations of previous restraining orders. A Civil Harassment and CPO have been filed and Mr. Hollywood has continued to violate the Court's orders. Petitioner fears escalation of Respondent's behavior.

d. Was the employee harmed or injured? ☐ Yes ☒ No *(If yes, describe harm or injuries)*:

☐ Response is stated in Attachment 8d.

e. Did the respondent use or threaten to use a gun or any other weapon? ☐ Yes ☒ No *(If yes, describe)*:

☐ Response is stated in Attachment 8e.

This is not a Court Order.

8 f. For any of the incidents described above, did the police come? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ I don't know

If yes, did the employee or the respondent receive an Emergency Protective Order?
☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ I don't know

If yes, the order protects *(check all that apply)*:
☒ the employee ☐ the respondent ☐ one or more of the persons in 4.
(Attach a copy of the order if you have one.)

Check the orders you want ☑

9 ☒ Personal Conduct Orders

I ask the court to order the respondent **not** to do any of the following things to the employee or to any person to be protected listed in 4:

a. ☒ Harass, intimidate, molest, attack, strike, stalk, threaten, assault (sexually or otherwise), hit, abuse, destroy personal property of, or disturb the peace of the person.
b. ☒ Commit acts of unlawful violence on or make threats of violence to the person.
c. ☒ Follow or stalk the person during work hours or to or from the place of work.
d. ☒ Contact the person, either directly or indirectly, by **any** means, including, but not limited to, in person, by telephone, in writing, by public or private mail, by interoffice mail, by email, by text message, by fax, or by other electronic means.
e. ☒ Enter the person's workplace.
f. ☐ Other *(specify)*:
☐ As stated in Attachment 9f.

The respondent will be ordered not to take any action to get the addresses or locations of any protected person unless the court finds good cause not to make the order.

10 ☒ Stay-Away Orders

a. I ask the court to order the respondent to stay at least 100 yards away from *(check all that apply)*:

(1) ☒ The employee.
(2) ☐ The other persons listed in 4.
(3) ☒ The employee's workplace.
(4) ☒ The employee's home.
(5) ☐ The employee's school.
(6) ☐ The school of the employee's children.
(7) ☐ The place of child care of the employee's children.
(8) ☒ The employee's vehicle.
(9) ☒ Other *(specify)*:
The District Attorney's office located at
150 West Commonwealth Avenue
Alhambra, CA 91801.

This is not a Court Order.

10 b. If the court orders the respondent to stay away from all the places listed above, will he or she still be able to get to his or her home, school, or job? ☒ Yes ☐ No *(If no, explain)*

☐ Response is stated on Attachment 10b.

11 Firearm (Guns), Firearm Parts, and Ammunition

Does the respondent own or possess any firearms (guns), firearm parts, or ammunition? This includes firearm receivers and frames, and any item that may be used as or easily turned into a receiver or frame (see Penal Code section 16531).

☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ I don't know

If the judge grants a protective order, the respondent will be prohibited from owning, possessing, purchasing, receiving, or attempting to purchase or receive firearms (guns), firearm parts, and ammunition while the protective order is in effect. The respondent will also be ordered to turn in to law enforcement, or sell to or store with a licensed gun dealer, any firearms (guns) and firearm parts within his or her immediate possession or control. If an order is granted, the respondent will also be prohibited from owning, possessing, or buying body armor and would have to relinquish any they have.

12 ☒ Temporary Restraining Order

I request that a Temporary Restraining Order (TRO) be issued against the respondent to last until the hearing. I am presenting form WV-110, *Temporary Restraining Order*, for the court's signature together with this Petition.

Has the respondent been told that you were going to go to court to seek a TRO against him or her?
☐ Yes ☒ No *(If you answered no, explain why below):*

☐ Reasons are stated in Attachment 12.

13 ☐ Request for Less Than Five Days' Notice of Hearing

You must have your papers personally served on the respondent at least five days before the hearing, unless the court orders a shorter time for service. (Form WV-200-INFO explains what is proof of personal service. Form WV-200, Proof of Personal Service, may be used to show the court that the papers have been served.)

If you want there to be fewer than five days between service and the hearing, explain why:

☐ Reasons are stated in Attachment 13.

This is not a Court Order.

Case Number:

14 ☒ No Fee for Filing

I ask that there be no filing fee because the respondent has threatened violence against the employee, or stalked the employee, or acted or spoken in a manner that has placed the employee in reasonable fear of violence.

15 ☒ No Fee to Serve Orders

I ask the court to order the sheriff or marshal to serve the respondent with the others for free because this request for orders is based on a credible threat of violence or stalking.

16 ☒ Court Costs

I ask the court to order the respondent to pay my court costs.

17 ☐ Additional Orders Requested

I ask the court to make the following additional orders *(specify)*:

☐ Additional orders requested are stated in Attachment 17.

18 Number of pages attached to this form, if any: _____

Date: June 3, 2025

Vanessa Evangelista
Lawyer's name (if any)

▶ Vanessa Evangelista
Lawyer's signature

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the information above and on all attachments is true and correct.

Date: _____

_____
Name of petitioner

▶ _____
Signature

_____
Title

This is not a Court Order.

Declaration of Brian Rosenberg

County of Los Angeles vs. Hollywood, A.

DECLARATION OF BRIAN ROSENBERG

I, Brian Rosenberg, declare as follows:

1. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein, except as to those stated on information and belief and, as to those, I am informed and believe them to be true. If called as a witness, I could and would competently testify to the matters stated therein.

2. I am a Deputy District Attorney for the Los Angeles District Attorney's (LADA) Office and work at the Alhambra Branch Office ("Alhambra Office").

3. I was initially the handling prosecutor in the criminal case, *People v. Arrogant Hollywood* (Case No. GA114055). This criminal case was resolved on October 17, 2023 as Mr. Hollywood pleaded no contest to the charge of Penal Code section 422 and was placed on formal probation.

4. During the course of that prosecution, Arrogant Hollywood regularly harassed and threatened me. He threatened to sue me in open court numerous times, called me racist, and harassed me with numerous phone calls on my work line. In addition, he threatened to find my family and sue them in every state he could in order to make me pay for prosecuting him. It came to my attention that he made a similar threat to a previously assigned Deputy District Attorney and followed through by finding her elderly father and sending her that information.

5. Mr. Hollywood sent me an email on November 23, 2023, wherein he threatened to send me to prison for prosecuting him. This email communication was received almost immediately upon his release from custody.

6. Due to Mr. Hollywood's harassing behavior, a court issued a Civil Harassment Restraining Order (hereinafter "Rosenberg Restraining Order"), effective until December 2, 2026, against Arrogant Hollywood preventing him from harassing, intimidating, stalking, threatening, assaulting, or disturbing my peace. The Rosenberg Restraining Order also restricts Arrogant Hollywood from directly or indirectly contacting me, and orders him to stay at least 100 yards away from me. A true and correct copy of the Rosenberg Restraining Order is attached hereto as **Exhibit 1.**

7. Mr. Hollywood's harassing conduct and failure to abide by the Civil Restraining Order continues. On March 20, 2025, Arrogant Hollywood came to the front reception area of the Alhambra Office, where Mr. Hollywood knows I physically work. Luckily, I was not present at the Alhambra Office at the time that Mr. Hollywood came in. Mr. Hollywood was told that the Alhambra Office could not accept the documents he was providing them, which resulted in Mr. Hollywood acting aggressively and forcing documents through the glass window to the front desk. Mr. Hollywood caused a disturbance and was even heard threatening to sue me for taking 12 months of his life.

8. On April 17, 2025, Mr. Hollywood entered the Alhambra Office again in another attempt to improperly drop off documents. I was present at the Alhambra Branch Office on that day, and I unexpectedly saw Mr. Hollywood outside the Office. Out of concern for my safety, I rushed back into the office to avoid any contact with him. Due to the March 20, 2025 disturbance, and Mr. Hollywood's aggressive and harassing past conduct with the LADA's Office, the Alhambra Office went on "lock down," locking all the doors and keeping all employees inside the office while Mr. Hollywood was in the building. Law enforcement subsequently escorted the employees to their vehicles.

9. I am aware of the multiple emails that Arrogant Hollywood sent to numerous deputy district attorneys in the past months, whereby Mr. Hollywood continues his harassing behavior by calling me racist and threatening litigation against me and my colleagues. In an email dated May 8, 2025, Mr. Hollywood addressed his comments directly to District Attorney Nathan Hochman and the judge assigned to his current criminal case and expressed his desire for Mr. Hochman and all of his deputy district attorneys to die a slow miserable death for prosecuting him. This is in addition to utilizing the same angry verbiage in describing the LADA's Office as racist and corrupt.

10. Arrogant Hollywood continues to avoid complying with the specific terms of the Rosenberg Restraining Order by claiming he is filing or serving documents in a criminal case. But there is no reason for him to be present at the LADA's Alhambra Branch Office because the criminal case has resolved, and there are other methods for him to submit any pleadings relating to

his criminal case. I am greatly concerned for my safety and the escalation of Mr. Hollywood's harassing behavior, especially considering the filing of a new Misdemeanor Complaint. I believe that another restraining order that specifically restricts Mr. Hollywood from entering the Alhambra Office and from communicating with anyone at the LADA's office with threats against me is necessary to prevent further escalation of the situation.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on May 27, 2025, at Alhambra, California.



BRIAN ROSENBERG

Declaration of Cynthia Nakao

DECLARATION OF CYNTHIA NAKAO

I, Cynthia Nakao, declare as follows:

1. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein, except as to those stated on information and belief and, as to those, I am informed and believe them to be true. If called as a witness, I could and would competently testify to the matters stated therein.

2. I am a Deputy District Attorney for the Los Angeles District Attorney's ("LADA") Office and am the Head Deputy for the Alhambra Office of the LADA's Office ("Alhambra Office").

3. On or about December 20, 2023, a court issued a Civil Harassment Restraining Order ("Rosenberg Restraining Order"), effective until December 2, 2026, against Arrogant Hollywood, which prevented him from harassing, intimidating, stalking, threatening, assaulting, or disturbing the peace of Deputy District Attorney Brian Rosenberg. Mr. Rosenberg continues to work at the Alhambra Office. The Civil Harassment Restraining Order also restricts Mr. Hollywood from directly or indirectly contacting Mr. Rosenberg, and orders him to stay at least 100 yards away from Mr. Rosenberg. A true and correct copy of the Rosenberg Restraining Order is attached hereto as **Exhibit 1.**

4. Despite the issuance of the Rosenberg Restraining Order, on February 7, 2025, Mr. Hollywood came to the Alhambra Office, where Mr. Hollywood is aware that Mr. Rosenberg works. Luckily, Mr. Rosenberg was not at the Alhambra Office at the time that Mr. Hollywood came in. Mr. Hollywood approached the front desk to file documents. The front desk advised Mr. Hollywood that he cannot file documents at the Alhambra Office. Mr. Hollywood refused to listen and while armed with scissors, he became aggressive, belligerent and argumentative with the staff. He expressed his anger and frustration that the staff could not file his court documents, and he aggressively shoved paperwork through the mail slot of the reception window. During this incident, Mr. Hollywood threatened to sue Mr. Rosenberg because "he took twelve months of my life." As a result of Mr. Hollywood's harassing and disturbing conduct, law enforcement was called.

5. On March 20, 2025, Mr. Hollywood presented at the Hall of Justice, in an attempt to serve District Attorney Nathan Hochman. Mr. Hollywood caused such a disturbance that security at the Hall of Justice prevented him entry into the building and was forced to lock the public entrance into the Hall of Justice in an effort to further protect the safety of the individuals inside the building.

6. On April 8, 2025, Arrogant Hollywood sent two emails to me and multiple other LADA employees. In one of the emails, he calls the prosecutors "Scumbags," calls Mr. Rosenberg a racist and threatens filing a lawsuit. A true and correct copy of the April 9, 2025 emails is attached hereto as **Exhibit 2.**

7. On April 17, 2025, Mr. Hollywood entered the LADA's Alhambra Branch Office again. Mr. Rosenberg saw Mr. Hollywood outside the Alhambra Branch Office and hurried into the office to avoid an altercation with Mr. Hollywood. Due to concern for Mr. Rosenberg and other employees' safety, the Alhambra Police Department was notified, and I instructed all employees to remain in the office with the door locked. Mr. Hollywood attempted to enter the office, but the door was locked. Despite the Rosenberg Restraining Order, Mr. Hollywood still pushed legal documents under the door. I requested law enforcement to escort the employees to their vehicles and sent them home.

8. In addition to harassing Mr. Rosenberg, Mr. Hollywood harassed Mr. Guzman Sanchez, who was an expert witness that testified in Mr. Hollywood's prior criminal case. On April 19, 2025, Mr. Guzman Sanchez emailed me a declaration, which contained harassing comments by Arrogant Hollywood against Mr. Guzman Sanchez. A true and correct copy of the Declaration emailed to me is attached hereto as **Exhibit 3**.

9. On April 17, 2025, the Alhambra Police Department arrested Mr. Hollywood. The Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office filed a Misdemeanor Complaint against Arrogant Hollywood for Trespass, Refusing to Leave Private Property and for Violation of a Court Order. The Misdemeanor Complaint was filed in Downtown Los Angeles, thereby requiring Mr. Hollywood to appear in court in Downtown Los Angeles and not Alhambra. A true and correct copy of the Complaint and the Alhambra Police Report is attached hereto as **Exhibit 4.**

10. On April 21, 2025, the Court issued a Criminal Protective Order against Mr. Hollywood. The Criminal Protective Order specifically provides that Arrogant Hollywood must not "harass. . . threaten.. . stalk . . . disturb the peace of" . . . Mr. Rosenberg. It also provides that Mr. Hollywood is to stay at least 100 yards away from Mr. Rosenberg and his workplace," which is the Alhambra Office. A true and correct copy of the Criminal Protective Order and the Transcript from the hearing is attached hereto as **Exhibit 5**.

11. On May 7, 2025, Arrogant Hollywood sent me and multiple other deputy district attorneys two emails whereby he identified Mr. Rosenberg as an "unethical, biased, delusional, mentally ill and corrupt" prosecutor and accused him of falsifying evidence. He also threatened Mr. Rosenberg with a lawsuit and a State Bar report. A true and correct copy of the May 7 emails is attached hereto as **Exhibit 6**.

12. On May 7, 2025, a Court ruled that Mr. Hollywood violated its Criminal Protective Order revoked bail, and issued a bench warrant. A true and correct copy of the Minute Order is attached hereto as **Exhibit 7**.

13. On May 8, 2025, Mr. Hollywood sent me and multiple other LADA employees another email whereby he threatens to sue prosecutors, which would include Mr. Rosenberg, and wishes them a slow and painful death from cancer. His email threatens to disclose where each of them and their families live and work. A true and correct copy of the May 8 email is attached hereto as **Exhibit 8.**

14. On May 10, 2025, Mr. Hollywood sent me and multiple other LADA employees three additional emails with additional threats against the handling prosecutor on his misdemeanor complaint. A true and correct copy of the May 10 emails is attached hereto as **Exhibit 9**.

15. On May 12, 2025, Mr. Hollywood sent another email to me and multiple other LADA employees. In this email, Mr. Hollywood again threatens prosecutors with litigation and finding out where they all live. A true and correct copy of the May 12 email is attached hereto as **Exhibit 10.**

16. There is no valid reason for Mr. Hollywood to be present at the LADA's Alhambra Branch Office because the criminal case in Alhambra has resolved. If Mr. Hollywood insists on

filing or serving additional pleadings in the Alhambra case, he can use a third party to file and/or serve his papers. In addition, he can appear to any hearing via Webex. There are currently no hearings scheduled in the Alhambra case.

17. On May 12, 2025, a Court held a Bench Warrant Hearing and found Mr. Hollywood to be in violation of his own recognizance conditions. Furthermore, Mr. Hollywood's pro per status was revoked, and the Court appointed him a public defender. A true and correct copy of the May 12 Minute Order is attached hereto as **Exhibit 11.**

18. As evidenced by his disruptive visits to the Alhambra Office and the harassing emails about Mr. Rosenberg to other deputy district attorneys, Mr. Hollywood's harassing conduct against Mr. Rosenberg continues. With the filing of the new misdemeanor Complaint, I am concerned that his harassing and threatening conduct against Mr. Rosenberg may escalate and therefore support an additional restraining order on behalf of Mr. Rosenberg that restricts Mr. Hollywood from entering the Alhambra Branch Office and for communicating with anyone at the LADA's Office with threats about Mr. Rosenberg.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on June 3, 2025, at Alhambra, California.

Cynthia Nakao
Head Deputy District Attorney

Exhibit 1

CH-130 Civil Harassment Restraining Order After Hearing

Clerk stamps date here when form is filed.

FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles

DEC 20 2023

David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court
By: J. Guerrero, Deputy

Person in (1) must complete items (1), (2), and (3) only.

(1) Protected Person

a. Your Full Name: Brian Matt Rosenberg

Your Lawyer *(if you have one for this case)*
Name: _____ State Bar No.: _____
Firm Name: _____

b. Your Address *(If you have a lawyer, give your lawyer's information. If you do not have a lawyer and want to keep your home address private, you may give a different mailing address instead. You do not have to give telephone, fax, or email.)*
Address: 150 W Commonwealth Ave
City: Alhambra State: CA Zip: 91801
Telephone: 626 308 5437 Fax: _____
Email Address: brosenberg@da.lacounty.gov

Fill in court name and street address:

Superior Court of California, County of
LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT
NORTHEAST DISTRICT - PASADENA COURTHOUSE
300 EAST WALNUT STREET
PASADENA, CALIFORNIA 91101-1580

Court fills in case number when form is filed.

Case Number: 23PDR00174

(2) Restrained Person

(Give all the information you know. Information with a star (*) is required to add this order to the California police database. If age is unknown, give an estimate.)

*Full Name: Arogant Hollywood *Age: 44 Date of Birth: 12/9/78
*Race: Black Height: _____ Weight: _____ Hair Color: BLK Eye Color: BRO
*Gender: ☒ M ☐ F ☐ Nonbinary Home Address: Transient
City: _____ State: CA Zip: _____
Relationship to Protected Person: Defendant on case I Prosecuted

(3) ☐ Additional Protected Persons

In addition to the person named in (1), the following family or household members of that person are protected by the orders indicated below:

Full Name	Gender	Age	Lives with you?	How are they related to you?
			☐ Yes ☐ No	
			☐ Yes ☐ No	
			☐ Yes ☐ No	
			☐ Yes ☐ No	

☐ *Check here if there are additional persons. List them on an attached sheet of paper and write "Attachment 3—Additional Protected Persons" as a title. You may use form MC-025,* Attachment.

(4) Expiration Date

This Order, except for any award of lawyer's fees, expires at

Time: _____ ☐ a.m. ☐ p.m. ☒ midnight on *(date)*: 12/20/26

If no expiration date is written here, this Order expires three years from the date of issuance.

This is a Court Order.

Case Number: 23PUR001713

(5) Hearing

a. There was a hearing on *(date)*: 12/20/23 at *(time)*: 8:30Am in Dept.: S Room: _____
(Name of judicial officer): TIMOTHY MARTELLA made the orders at the hearing.

b. These people were at the hearing:

(1) ☑ The person in (1). (3) ☐ The lawyer for the person in (1) *(name)*: _____

(2) ☐ The person in (2). (4) ☐ The lawyer for the person in (2) *(name)*: _____

☐ Additional persons present are listed at the end of this Order on Attachment 5.

c. ☐ The hearing is continued. The parties must return to court on *(date)*: _____ at *(time)*: _____.

To the Person in (2):

The court has granted the orders checked below. If you do not obey these orders, you can be arrested and charged with a crime. You may be sent to jail for up to one year, pay a fine of up to $1,000, or both.

(6) ☑ Personal Conduct Orders

a. You must **not** do the following things to the person named in (1)

☐ and to the other protected persons listed in (3):

(1) ☑ Harass, intimidate, molest, attack, strike, stalk, threaten, assault (sexually or otherwise), hit, abuse, destroy personal property of, or disturb the peace of the person.

(2) ☑ Contact the person, either directly or indirectly, in **any** way, including, but not limited to, in person, by telephone, in writing, by public or private mail, by interoffice mail, by email, by text message, by fax, or by other electronic means.

(3) ☑ Take any action to obtain the person's address or location. If this item (3) is not checked, the court has found good cause not to make this order.

(4) ☐ Other *(specify)*:

☐ Other personal conduct orders are attached at the end of this Order on Attachment 6a(4).

b. Peaceful written contact through a lawyer or process server or other person for service of legal papers related to a court case is allowed and does not violate this Order.

(7) ☑ Stay-Away Orders

a. You **must** stay at least 100 yards away from *(check all that apply)*:

(1) ☑ The person in (1).

(2) ☐ Each person in (3).

(3) ☑ The home of the person in (1).

(4) ☐ The job or workplace of the person in (1).

(5) ☐ The school of the person in (1).

(6) ☐ The school of the children of the person in (1).

(7) ☐ The place of child care of the children of the person in (1).

(8) ☑ The vehicle of the person in (1).

(9) ☐ Other *(specify)*:

b. This stay-away order does not prevent you from going to or from your home or place of employment.

This is a Court Order.

Case Number:
23CJ00171

(8) No Firearms (Guns), Firearm Parts, or Ammunition

a. You cannot own, possess, have, buy or try to buy, receive or try to receive, or in any other way get any prohibited items listed below in b.

b. **Prohibited items are:**

(1) Firearms (guns),

(2) Firearm parts, meaning receivers and frames, or any item that may be used as or easily turned into a receiver or frame (see Penal Code section 16531); and

(3) Ammunition.

c. If you have not already done so, you must:

- Within 24 hours of being served with this Order, sell to or store with a licensed gun dealer, or turn in to a law enforcement agency, any firearms (guns) and firearm parts in your custody or control or that you possess or own.
- File a receipt with the court within 48 hours of receiving this Order that proves that your firearms (guns) and firearm parts have been turned in, sold, or stored. (You may use *Receipt for Firearms and Firearm Parts* (form CH-800) for the receipt.)

d. ☐ The court has received information that you own or possess a firearm (gun), firearm parts, or ammunition.

e. ☐ The court has made the necessary findings and applies the firearm relinquishment exemption under Code of Civil Procedure section 527.9(f). Under California law, the person in (2) is not required to relinquish this firearm *(specify make, model, and serial number of firearm(s))*: _____

_____

The firearm must be in his or her physical possession only during scheduled work hours and during travel to and from his or her place of employment. Even if exempt under California law, the person in (2) may be subject to federal prosecution for possessing or controlling a firearm.

(9) ☐ Lawyer's Fees and Costs

The person in ___ must pay to the person in ___ the following amounts for

☐ lawyer's fees ☐ costs:

Item	Amount	Item	Amount
_____	$ _____	_____	$ _____
_____	$ _____	_____	$ _____

☐ Additional items and amounts are attached at the end of this Order on Attachment 9.

(10) ☐ Possession and Protection of Animals

a. ☐ The person in (1) is given the sole possession, care, and control of the animals listed below, which are owned, possessed, leased, kept, or held by him or her, or reside in his or her household.
(Identify animals by, e.g., type, breed, name, color, sex.)

_____

_____

b. ☐ The person in (2) must stay at least _____ yards away from, and not take, sell, transfer, encumber, conceal, molest, attack, strike, threaten, harm, or otherwise dispose of, the animals listed above.

This is a Court Order.

Case Number: 23PDR001713

(11) ☐ **Other Orders** *(specify)*:

☐ Additional orders are attached at the end of this Order on Attachment 11.

To the Person in (1):

(12) **Mandatory Entry of Order Into CARPOS Through CLETS**

This Order must be entered into the California Restraining and Protective Order System (CARPOS) through the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS). *(Check one)*:

a. ☒ The clerk will enter this Order and its proof-of-service form into CARPOS.

b. ☐ The clerk will transmit this Order and its proof-of-service form to a law enforcement agency to be entered into CARPOS.

c. ☐ By the close of business on the date that this Order is made, the person in (1) or his or her lawyer should deliver a copy of the Order and its proof-of-service form to the law enforcement agency listed below to enter into CARPOS:

Name of Law Enforcement Agency	Address *(City, State, Zip)*

☐ Additional law enforcement agencies are listed at the end of this Order on Attachment 12.

(13) **Service of Order on Restrained Person**

a. ☐ The person in (2) personally attended the hearing. No other proof of service is needed.

b. ☒ The person in (2) did not attend the hearing.

(1) ☒ Proof of service of form CH-110, *Temporary Restraining Order*, was presented to the court. The judge's orders in this form are the same as in form CH-110 except for the expiration date. The person in (2) must be served with this Order. Service may be by mail.

(2) ☐ The judge's orders in this form are different from the temporary restraining orders in form CH-110. Someone—but not anyone in (1) or (3)—must personally serve a copy of this Order on the person in (2).

(14) ☒ **No Fee to Serve (Notify) Restrained Person**

The sheriff or marshal will serve this Order without charge because:

a. ☒ The Order is based on unlawful violence, a credible threat of violence, or stalking.

b. ☐ The person in (1) is entitled to a fee waiver.

(15) Number of pages attached to this Order, if any: _____

Date: DEC 20 2023

Judicial Officer
YVONNE T. MARTINEZ

This is a Court Order.

Warning and Notice to the Restrained Person in (2):

You Cannot Have Firearms (Guns), Firearm Parts, or Ammunition

Unless item 8e is checked, you cannot own, have, possess, buy or try to buy, receive or try to receive, or otherwise get any prohibited items listed in item 8b on page 3 while this Order is in effect. If you do, you can go to jail and pay a $1,000 fine. You must sell to or store with a licensed gun dealer, or turn in to a law enforcement agency, any firearms (guns) and firearm parts that you have or control as stated in item (8) above. The court will require you to prove that you did so.

Instructions for Law Enforcement

Enforcing the Restraining Order

This Order is enforceable by any law enforcement agency that has received the Order, is shown a copy of the Order, or has verified its existence on the California Restraining and Protective Order System (CARPOS). If the law enforcement agency has not received proof of service on the restrained person, and the restrained person was not present at the court hearing, the agency must advise the restrained person of the terms of the Order and then must enforce it. Violations of this Order are subject to criminal penalties.

Start Date and End Date of Orders

This Order *starts* on the date next to the judge's signature on page 4 and *ends* on the expiration date in item (4) on page 1.

Arrest Required If Order Is Violated

If an officer has probable cause to believe that the restrained person had notice of the order and has disobeyed it, the officer must arrest the restrained person. (Pen. Code, §§ 836(c)(1), 13701(b).) A violation of the order may be a violation of Penal Code section 166 or 273.6. Agencies are encouraged to enter violation messages into CARPOS.

Notice/Proof of Service

The law enforcement agency must first determine if the restrained person had notice of the order. Consider the restrained person "served" (given notice) if (Pen. Code, § 836(c)(2)):

- The officer sees a copy of the *Proof of Service* or confirms that the *Proof of Service* is on file; *or*
- The restrained person was at the restraining order hearing or was informed of the order by an officer.

An officer can obtain information about the contents of the order and proof of service in CARPOS. If proof of service on the restrained person cannot be verified and the restrained person was not present at the court hearing, the agency must advise the restrained person of the terms of the order and then enforce it.

If the Protected Person Contacts the Restrained Person

Even if the protected person invites or consents to contact with the restrained person, this Order remains in effect and must be enforced. The protected person cannot be arrested for inviting or consenting to contact with the restrained person. The orders can be changed only by another court order. (Pen. Code, § 13710(b).)

This is a Court Order.

Conflicting Orders—Priorities for Enforcement

If more than one restraining order has been issued protecting the protected person from the restrained person, the orders must be enforced in the following priority (see Pen. Code, § 136.2 and Fam. Code, §§ 6383(h)(2), 6405(b)):

1. *Emergency Protective Order (EPO):* If one of the orders is an *Emergency Protective Order* (form EPO-001), provisions (e.g., stay-away order) that are more restrictive than in the other restraining/protective orders must be enforced. Provisions of another order that do not conflict with the EPO must be enforced.
2. *No-Contact Order:* If a restraining/protective order includes a no-contact order, the no-contact order must be enforced. Item 6a(2) is an example of a no-contact order.
3. *Criminal Protective Order (CPO):* If none of the orders include an EPO or a no-contact order, the most recent CPO must be enforced. (Fam. Code, §§ 6383(h)(2) and 6405(b).) Additionally, a CPO issued in a criminal case involving charges of domestic violence, Penal Code sections 261, 261.5, or former 262, or charges requiring sex offender registration must be enforced over any civil court order. (Pen. Code, § 136.2(e)(2).) All provisions in the civil court order that do not conflict with the CPO must be enforced.
4. *Civil Restraining Orders:* If there is more than one civil restraining order (e.g., domestic violence, juvenile, elder abuse, civil harassment), then the order that was issued last must be enforced. Provisions that do not conflict with the most recent civil restraining order must be enforced.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

(Clerk will fill out this part.)

—Clerk's Certificate—

I certify that this *Civil Harassment Restraining Order After Hearing* is a true and correct copy of the original on file in the court.

Date: APR 15 2025 Clerk, by A. SANCHEZ, Deputy David W. Slayton

This is a Court Order.

Exhibit 2

[redacted]

From: Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 8, 2025 8:49 PM
To: Vaenille-rocha@da.lacounty.gov; Daniel Felizzatto <dfelizzatto@da.lacounty.gov>; ttokat@da.lacounty.gov; Michele Hanisee <MHanisee@da.lacounty.gov>; Steven Katz <skatz@da.lacounty.gov>; Jbrooke-castano@da.lacounty.gov; Jodi Castano <jcastano@da.lacounty.gov>; William Frayeh <wfrayeh@da.lacounty.gov>; Jessica Foster <JFoster@da.lacounty.gov>; garrison@da.lacounty.gov; Jessica Tilson <jtilson@da.lacounty.gov>; Mariaramirez65@hotmail.com; Mayra Ramirez <MRamirez@da.lacounty.gov>; Irene Lee <ILee@da.lacounty.gov>; Chris.buckley@uci.edu; Christina F. Buckley <CBuckley@da.lacounty.gov>; Stranik6576@aol.com; sfranckland@da.lacounty.gov; Julianne Walker <jwalker@da.lacounty.gov>; Christi Frey <CFrey@da.lacounty.gov>; Jonlyn Callahan <JCallahan@da.lacounty.gov>; vrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov; Yael Massry <YMassry@da.lacounty.gov>; John McKinney <McKinney@da.lacounty.gov>; jmckinney@da.lacounty.gov; Hoon Chun <HChun@da.lacounty.gov>; Margo Baxter <MBaxter@da.lacounty.gov>; Priscilla Musso <PMusso@da.lacounty.gov>; Kathy Cady <KCady@da.lacounty.gov>; Julie Dixon Silva <jdsilva@da.lacounty.gov>; Arisa Mattson <amattson@da.lacounty.gov>; akarsanen@fa.lacounty.gov; Christopher Baker <ChrisBaker@da.lacounty.gov>; Cynthia Nakao <CNakao@da.lacounty.gov>; Janis Johnson <JJohnson@da.lacounty.gov>; kuyer@da.lacounty.gov; pkouch@da.lacounty.gov; M J. Vellakkatel <mvellakk@da.lacounty.gov>; Samuel Hulefeld <shulefeld@da.lacounty.gov>; Marc Beaart <MBeaart@da.lacounty.gov>; bjoedd@dalacounty.gov; Martha Carrillo <MCarrillo@da.lacounty.gov>; Lori Dery <ldery@da.lacounty.gov>; Antonio Aguilar <AAguilar@da.lacounty.gov>; Heather Borden <hborden@da.lacounty.gov>; Alan Yochelson <AYochelson@da.lacounty.gov>; Ann Marie Wise <AWise@da.lacounty.gov>; Gregory Apt <gapt@da.lacounty.gov>; Lee Orquiola <LOrquiola@da.lacounty.gov>; rsleeter@axon.com, isaiah@axon.com; vbremer@axon.com; vanessawirth@live.com, cbrooks@axon.com, jkumins@axon.com; swhigham@axon.com; jroop@axon.com; jmak@axon.com; jmak_9@yahoo.com; ahanif@axon.com; bchapman@axon.com; yakisanya@axon.com, aakisanya@gmail.com; bmiller@axon.com, ebyers@axon.com; gmellon@axon.com; gabrielle_k_rogers@hotmail.com; jibarra@axon.com; calcorn@axon.com; pvang@axon.com, brubke@axon.com; mgreene@axon.com; mbigentertain@yahoo.com; sonicgroove21@yahoo.com, ghollowell@gmail.com; garnreiter@aol.com; ganreiter@msn.com; hgarnreiter@cox.net; garnreiter@icloud.com; michaelgarnreiter111@gmail.com; garnreiter@prodigy.com; garnreiter@msn.com; garnreiter@att.net; serge.thiboutot@axon-id.com; efleischli@axon.com, hbao@axon.com; huangb@gmail.com; jmazzeo@axon.com; jackmazzeo@yahoo.com;

rwynn@axon.com; mische@axon.com; marcische@gmail.com; mshrago@axon.com; rmontgomery@axon.com; ecanel@axon.com; bglaister@axon.com, brian.glaister@gmail.com; pkirschbaum@axon.com; jbrande@taser.com; kshen@axon.com; hkuhl@axon.com; mvanantwerp@axon.com; aghanaie@axon.com; ssingh@axon.com; shashank.dreams@gmail.com; zji@axon.com; jizhengp@gmail.com; spark@axon.com; rick@axon.com; bbagley@axon.com; cdevaraj@axon.com; hsingh@axon.com; lkelley@axon.com; secondistrict@bos.la.county.gov; Holly4Assembly@gmail.com; hjmitchel@aol.com; jmerchan@wdacs.lacounty.gov; info@wdacs.lacounty.gov; Arogant Hollywood <arogantmusicinc@gmail.com>; Alison Fairchild <fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com>; Arogant Hollywood <causeofaction43@gmail.com>; blacklawgenius@gmail.com; Alison Fairchild <alisonhfairchild@gmail.com>; Robert Luna <rluna@lasd.org>; rluna@lacounty.gov; atardy@lacounty.org; jtorres@lasd.org; saloma@lacounty.org; llecrivain@lasd.org; bchase@lacounty.gov; rlewis@lacounty.gov; llecrivain@lacounty.org; jmeza@lasd.org; ned.harlan@doj.ca.gov; nishdeep.singh@doj.ca.gov; robert.bonta@doj.ca.gov; OPSChiefsOffice@doj.ca.gov; peter.halloran@doj.ca.gov; amyhhalloran@yahoo.com; peterhalloran@sbcglobal.net; alisha.carlile@doj.ca.gov; snpdragn@aol.com; acarlile@alum.wellesley.edu; concertina9@yahoo.com; alishastephen@yahoo.com.au; alehr17@u.washington.edu; girlygirl8384@aol.com; akeened@yahoo.com; lish34@hotmail.com; piccbodin@hotmail.com; lachapell_a@yahoo.com; alishaoku@yahoo.com; alisha.mar@gmail.com; alisha.marks@rogers.com; vincent.bonotto@doj.ca.gov; vbonotto@hotmail.com; glenn.pruden@doj.ca.gov; eyeiess77@hotmail.com; daniel.olivas@doj.ca.gov; giam.nguyen@doj.ca.gov; chad.stegeman@doj.ca.gov; stephen.lew@doj.ca.gov; christopher.findley@doj.ca.gov; dennis.beck@doj.ca.gov; darrell.spence@doj.ca.gov; maggy.krell@doj.ca.gov; Elaine.tong@doj.ca.gov; hannah.calacsan@doj.ca.gov; mo.park@doj.ca.gov; dbuthler@lasuperiorcourt.org; dslayton@lasuperiorcourt.org; sclover@lasuperiorcourt.org; jmoses@lasuperiorcourt.org; ppenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org; tpenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org; chase.penrose@gmail.com; mackenzienicole@gmail.com; trishpenrose@att.net; secondistrict@bos.lacounty.gov; roganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com; Kamala.harris@whitehouse.gov; leah.wilson@calbar.ca.gov; leah.wilson50@yahoo.com; elizabeth.hom@calbar.ca.gov; gina.crawford@calbar.ca.gov; rita.himes@calbar.ca.gov; esteinbach@aclusocal.org; manuel.alvarez@post.ca.gov; jim.grottkau@post.ca.gov; pgrottkau@yahoo.com; jamesgrottkau@aol.com; janie.grottkau@yahoo.com; annemarie.mugnaio@post.ca.gov; annemariedelmugnaio@gmail.com; chaseindixon@aol.com; obugrik@aol.com; sonya.baland@post.ca.gov; sonyar@comcast.net; cheryl.smith@post.ca.gov; colin.okeefe@post.ca.gov; cokeefe@post.ca.gov; dulce_cakes4u@yahoo.com; ulce_cakes4u@aol.com; gspinger5@yahoo.com; cokeefe@ci.davis.ca.us; colin.o'keefe530@verizon.net; co'keefe1514@bellsouth.net; sarah.wallace@post.ca.gov; william.darden@post.ca.gov; william.darden@doj.ca.gov; rpthjrcy@uojsdp.edu; williamtdarden@gmail.com; William.darden@urs.com; carrie.hollar@post.ca.gov; carrie.berg82@gmail.com; cberg@solanocounty.com; carrie.hollar@aol.com; erik.apperson@post.ca.gov; lfey8808@gmail.com; wifey8808@gmail.com; erikandannaapperson@hotmail.com; onecubsfan@hotmail.com; egrundman@hotmail.com; todders@hotmail.com; erikapperson@hotmail.com; erik.apperson@yahoo.com; sb2@post.ca.gov; christine.ford@post.ca.gov; michelle.weiler@post.ca.gov; wildweilers@msn.com; cateweiler@msn.com; meagan.poulos@post.ca.gov; rosanne.richeal@post.ca.gov;


thomas.chalk@post.ca.gov; katie.strickland@post.ca.gov; kirk.bunch@post.ca.gov; brad.newmyer@post.ca.gov; mike.radford@post.ca.gov; brian.south@post.ca.gov; goodwin.liu@jud.ca.gov; tani.cantil-sakauye@jud.ca.gov; joshua.groban@jud.ca.gov; martin.jenkins@jud.ca.gov; patricia.guerrero@jud.ca.gov; carol.corrigan@jud.ca.gov; leondra.kruger@jud.ca.gov; kelli.evans@jud.ca.gov; First District <firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>; Cindy <cchen@bos.lacounty.gov>; secondistrict@bos.lawcounty.gov; hmitchell@yahoo.com; karnbass@cs.com; tumberg@mofo.com; holly@hollyjmitchell.com; rfleming-peters@counsel.lacounty.gov; Margaret Carter <mcarter@counsel.lacounty.gov>; njenkins@lacounty.gov; njenkins@counsel.lacounty.gov; jgonzalez@counsel.lacounty.gov; lowt@metro.net; Tlow77@gmail.com; ryanthurlow@yahoo.com; Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>; Nathan J. Hochman <NHochman@da.lacounty.gov>
Subject: [External]Fwd: KING AROGANT v. nathan joseph hochman, et al [ANOTHER WARNING TO COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES EMPLOYEES, PEACE OFFICERS, EXECUTIVES, AND ATTORNEYS TO CEASE AND DESIST MALICIOUSLY PROSECUTING FRIVOLOUS CRIMINAL CASE GA114055, ATTACHED MOTION TO ...

---------- Forwarded message ---------
From: **Mail Delivery Subsystem** <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com>
Date: Tue, Apr 8, 2025 at 8:47 PM
Subject: Re: KING AROGANT v. nathan joseph hochman, et al [ANOTHER WARNING TO COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES EMPLOYEES, PEACE OFFICERS, EXECUTIVES, AND ATTORNEYS TO CEASE AND DESIST MALICIOUSLY PROSECUTING FRIVOLOUS CRIMINAL CASE GA114055, ATTACHED MOTION TO DEMAND DISCOVERY, 162 PAGES
To: Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>

You have attempted to send mail to too many recipients at once. Your message was not sent.

KING AROGANT v. nathan joseph hochman, et al. [ANOTHER WARNING TO COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES EMPLOYEES, PEACE OFFICERS, EXECUTIVES, AND ATTORNEYS TO CEASE AND DESIST MALICIOUSLY PROSECUTING FRIVOLOUS

CAUTION: This email originated outside of the County (lacounty.gov domain). Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and expect the message.



From: Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 8, 2025 8:55 PM
To: Nathan J. Hochman <NHochman@da.lacounty.gov>; Vaerie Rocha@da.lacounty.gov; Daniel Felizzatto <dfelizzatto@da.lacounty.gov>; ttokat@da.lacounty.gov; Michele Hanisee <MHanisee@da.lacounty.gov>; Steven Katz <skatz@da.lacounty.gov>; jbrooke castano@da.lacounty.gov; Jodi Castano <jcastano@da.lacounty.gov>; William Frayen <wfrayen@da.lacounty.gov>; Jessica Foster <jFoster@da.lacounty.gov>; jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov; Jessica Tolison <jtolison@da.lacounty.gov>; Mariaramirez65@hotmail.com; Mayra Ramirez <MRamirez@da.lacounty.gov>; rene Lee <rLee@da.lacounty.gov>; Cris buckley@ucl.edu; Christina F. Buckley <cBuckley@da.lacounty.gov>; Sfrank6576@aol.com; strankland@da.lacounty.gov; Julianne Walker <jwalker@da.lacounty.gov>; Christ. Frey <CFrey@da.lacounty.gov>; Jonilyn Callahan <JCallahan@da.lacounty.gov>; vrodriguez@dalacounty.gov; Yae. Massry <YMassry@da.lacounty.gov>; John McKinney <McKinney@da.lacounty.gov>, jmckinney@da.lacounty.gov; Hoon Chun <HChun@da.lacounty.gov>; Margo Baxter <MBaxter@da.lacounty.gov>; Priscilla Musso <PMusso@da.lacounty.gov>; Kathy Cady <kCady@da.lacounty.gov>; Julie Dixon Silva <jdsilva@da.lacounty.gov>; Anisa Mattson <amattson@da.lacounty.gov>; akarkaner@fa.lacounty.gov; Christopher Baker <ChrisBaker@da.lacounty.gov>; Cynthia Nakao <CNakao@da.lacounty.gov>; Janis Johnson <jjohnson@da.lacounty.gov>; kuyen@da.lacounty.gov; pkouch@da.alacounty.gov; Mi. Vellakkate <mvellakk@da.lacounty.gov>; Samuel Hulefeld <shulefeld@da.lacounty.gov>; Marc Beaart <MBeaart@da.lacounty.gov>; bjdodd@dalacounty.gov; Martha Carrillo <MCarrillo@da.lacounty.gov>; Lori Dery <ldery@da.lacounty.gov>; Antonio Aguilar <AAguilar@da.lacounty.gov>; Heather Borden <hborden@da.lacounty.gov>; Alan Yochelson <AYochelson@da.lacounty.gov>; Ann Marie Wise <AWise@da.lacounty.gov>; Gregory Apt <gapt@da.lacounty.gov>; Lee Orquiola <LOrquiola@da.lacounty.gov>; Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>
Subject: [External]Fwd: KING ARROGANT v. nathan joseph hochman, et al [ANOTHER WARNING TO COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES EMPLOYEES, PEACE OFFICERS, EXECUTIVES, AND ATTORNEYS TO CEASE AND DESIST MALICIOUSLY PROSECUTING FRIVOLOUS CRIMINAL CASE GA114055, ATTACHED MOTION TO ...

Some people who received this message don't often get email from aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com. Learn why this is important

SCUMBAGS!

--------- Forwarded message ---------

From: **Arogant Hollywood** <arogant hollywoodgenius@gmail.com>

Date: Tue, Apr 8, 2025 at 8:46 PM

Subject: KING AROGANT v. nathan joseph hochman, et al [ANOTHER WARNING TO COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES EMPLOYEES, PEACE OFFICERS, EXECUTIVES, AND ATTORNEYS TO CEASE AND DESIST MALICIOUSLY PROSECUTING FRIVOLOUS CRIMINAL CASE GA114055, ATTACHED MOTION TO DEMAND DISCOVERY, 162 PAGES

To: <nhochman@da.lacounty.gov>, <Vaenille-rocha@da.lacounty.gov>, <dfelizzatto@da.lacounty.gov>, <ttokat@da.cacounty.gov>, <mhanisee@da.lacounty.gov>, <skatz@da.lacounty.gov>, <Jbrooke-castano@da.lacounty.gov>, <jcastano@da.lacounty.gov>, <wfrayeh@da.lacounty.gov>, <jfoster@da.lacounty.gov>, <jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov>, <jtillson@da.lacounty.gov>, <Mariaramirez65@hotmail.com>, <mramirez@da.lacounty.gov>, <jlee@da.lacounty.gov>, <Cris.buckley@uci.edu>, <cbuckley@da.lacounty.gov>, <Sfrank6576@aol.com>, <sfrankland@da.lacounty.gov>, <jwalker@da.lacounty.gov>, <cfrey@da.lacounty.gov>, <jcallahan@da.lacounty.gov>, <vrodriguez@dalacounty.gov>, <ymassry@da.lacounty.gov>, <mckinney@da.lacounty.gov>, <jmckinney@da.lacounty.gov>, <hchun@da.lacounty.gov>, <mbaxter@da.lacounty.gov>, <pmusso@da.lacounty.gov>, <kcady@da.lacounty.gov>, <jdsilva@da.lacounty.gov>, <amattson@da.lacounty.gov>, <akarkanen@fa.lacounty.gov>, <chrisbaker@da.lacounty.gov>, <cnakao@da.lacounty.gov>, <jjohnson@da.lacounty.gov>, <kuyen@da.lacounty.gov>, <pkouch@da.alacounty.gov>, <mvellakk@da.lacounty.gov>, <shulefeld@da.lacounty.gov>, <mbeaart@da.lacounty.gov>, <bidodd@dalacounty.gov>, <mcarrillo@da.lacounty.gov>, <ldery@da.lacounty.gov>, <aaguilar@da.lacounty.gov>, <hborden@da.lacounty.gov>, <ayochelson@da.lacounty.gov>, <awise@da.lacounty.gov>, <gapt@da.lacounty.gov>, <lorquiola@da.lacounty.gov>, <rsleeter@axon.com>, <isaiah@axon.com>, <ybremer@axon.com>, <vanessawirth@live.com>, <cbrooks@axon.com>, <jkunins@axon.com>, <swhigham@axon.com>, <jroop@axon.com>, <jmak@axon.com>, <jmak_9@yahoo.com>, <ahanif@axon.com>, <bchapman@axon.com>, <yakisanya@axon.com>, <aakisanya@gmail.com>, <bmiller@axon.com>, <ebyers@axon.com>, <gmellon@axon.com>, <gabrielle_k_rogers@hotmail.com>, <jibarra@axon.com>, <calcorn@axon.com>, <pyang@axon.com>, <brubke@axon.com>, <mgreene@axon.com>, <mbgentertain@yahoo.com>, <sonicgroove21@yahoo.com>, <ghollowell@gmail.com>, <garnreiter@aol.com>, <ganreiter@msn.com>, <hgarnreiter@cox.net>, <garnreiter@icloud.com>, <michaelgarnreiter111@gmail.com>, <garnreiter@prodigy.com>, <garrreiter@msn.com>, <garnreiter@att.net>, <serge.thiboutot@axon-id.com>, <efleischli@axon.com>, <hbao@axon.com>, <hoangb@gmail.com>, <jmazzeo@axon.com>, <jackmazzeo@yahoo.com>, <rwynn@axon.com>, <mische@axon.com>, <marcische@gmail.com>, <mshrago@axon.com>, <rmontgomery@axon.com>, <ecanel@axon.com>, <bglaister@axon.com>, <brian.glaister@gmail.com>, <pkirschbaum@axon.com>, <jbrande@taser.com>, <kshen@axon.com>, <hkuhl@axon.com>, <mvanantwerp@axon.com>, <aghanaie@axon.com>, <ssingh@axon.com>, <shashank.dreams@gmail.com>, <zji@axon.com>, <jizhengp@gmail.com>, <spark@axon.com>, <rick@axon.com>, <bbagley@axon.com>, <cdevaraj@axon.com>, <hsingh@axon.com>, <lkelley@axon.com>, <seconddistrict@bos.la.county.gov>, Holly4Assembly@gmail.com <Holly4Assembly@gmail.com>, <hjmitchel@aol.com>,

<jmerchan@wdacs.lacounty.gov>, <info@wdacs.lacounty.gov>, Arogant Hollywood <arogantmusicinc@gmail.com>, Alison Fairchild <fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com>, Arogant Hollywood <causeofaction43@gmail.com>, <blacklawgenius@gmail.com>, Alison Fairchild <alisonhfairchild@gmail.com>, Robert Luna <rluna@lasd.org>, <rluna@lacounty.gov>, <atardy@lacounty.org>, <jtorres@lasd.org>, <saloma@lacounty.org>, <llecrivain@lasd.org>, <bchase@lacounty.gov>, <rlewis@lacounty.gov>, <llecrivain@lacounty.org>, <jmeza@lasd.org>, <ned.harlan@doj.ca.gov>, <nishdeep.singh@doj.ca.gov>, <robert.bonta@doj.ca.gov>, <OPSChiefsOffice@doj.ca.gov>, <peter.halloran@doj.ca.gov>, <amyhhalloran@yahoo.com>, <peterhalloran@sbcglobal.net>, <alisha.carlile@doj.ca.gov>, <snpdragn@aol.com>, <acarlile@alum.wellesley.edu>, <concertina9@yahoo.com>, <alishastephen@yahoo.com.au>, <alehr17@u.washington.edu>, <girlygirl8384@aol.com>, <akeened@yahoo.com>, <lish34@hotmail.com>, <piccbodin@hotmail.com>, <lachapell_a@yahoo.com>, <alishaoku@yahoo.com>, <alisha.mai@gmail.com>, <alisha.marks@rogers.com>, <vincent.bonotto@doj.ca.gov>, <vbonotto@hotmail.com>, <glenn.pruden@doj.ca.gov>, <eyeless77@hotmail.com>, <daniel.olivas@doj.ca.gov>, <giam.nguyen@doj.ca.gov>, <chad.stegeman@doj.ca.gov>, <stephen.lew@doj.ca.gov>, <christopher.findley@doj.ca.gov>, <dennis.beck@doj.ca.gov>, <darrell.spence@doj.ca.gov>, <maggy.krell@doj.ca.gov>, <Elaine.tong@doj.ca.gov>, <hannah.calacsan@doj.ca.gov>, <mo.park@doj.ca.gov>, <dbuthler@lasuperiorcourt.org>, <dslayton@lasuperiorcourt.org>, <sclover@lasuperiorcourt.org>, <jmoses@lasuperiorcourt.org>, <ppenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org>, <tpenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org>, <chase.penrose@gmail.com>, <mackenzienicole@gmail.com>, <trishpenrose@att.net>, <secondistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>, <roganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>, <Kamala.harris@whitehouse.gov>, <leaht.wilson@calbar.ca.gov>, <leah.wilson50@yahoo.com>, elizabeth.hom@calbar.ca.gov <Elizabeth.hom@calbar.ca.gov>, <gina.crawford@calbar.ca.gov>, <rita.himes@calbar.ca.gov>, <esteinbach@aclusocal.org>, <manuel.alvarez@post.ca.gov>, <jim.grottkau@post.ca.gov>, <pgrottkau@yahoo.com>, <jamesgrottkau@aol.com>, <janie.grottkau@yahoo.com>, <annemarie.mugnaio@post.ca.gov>, <annemariedelmugnaio@gmail.com>, <chaseindixon@aol.com>, <obugrik@aol.com>, <sonya.baland@post.ca.gov>, <sonyar@comcast.net>, <cheryl.smith@post.ca.gov>, <colin.okeefe@post.ca.gov>, <cokeefe@post.ca.gov>, <dulce_cakes4u@yahoo.com>, <ulce_cakes4u@aol.com>, <gspinger5@yahoo.com>, <cokeefe@ci.davis.ca.us>, <colin.o'keefe530@verizon.net>, <co'keefe1514@bellsouth.net>, <sarah.wallace@post.ca.gov>, <william.darden@post.ca.gov>, <william.darden@doj.ca.gov>, <rpthjrcy@uojsdp.edu>, <williamtdarden@gmail.com>, <William.darden@urs.com>, <carrie.hollar@post.ca.gov>, <carrie.berg82@gmail.com>, <cberg@solanocounty.com>, <carrie.hollar@aol.com>, <erik.apperson@post.ca.gov>, <lfey8808@gmail.com>, <wifey8808@gmail.com>, <erikandannaapperson@hotmail.com>, <onecubsfan@hotmail.com>, <egrundman@hotmail.com>, <todders@hotmail.com>, <erikapperson@hotmail.com>, <erik.apperson@yahoo.com>, <sb2@post.ca.gov>, <christine.ford@post.ca.gov>, <michelle.weiler@post.ca.gov>, <wildweilers@msn.com>, <cateweiler@msn.com>, <meagan.poulos@post.ca.gov>, <rosanne.richeal@post.ca.gov>, <thomas.chalk@post.ca.gov>, <katie.strickland@post.ca.gov>, <kirk.bunch@post.ca.gov>, <brad.newmyer@post.ca.gov>, <mike.radford@post.ca.gov>, <brian.south@post.ca.gov>, <goodwin.liu@jud.ca.gov>, <tani.cantil-sakauye@jud.ca.gov>, <joshua.groban@jud.ca.gov>, <martin.jenkins@jud.ca.gov>, <patricia.guerrero@jud.ca.gov>, <carol.corrigan@jud.ca.gov>, <leondra.kruger@jud.ca.gov>, <kelli.evans@jud.ca.gov>, First District

<firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>, Cindy <cchen@bos.lacounty.gov>,
<secondistrict@bos.lawcounty.gov>, <hmitchell@yahoo.com>, <karnbass@cs.com>,
<tumberg@mofo.com>, <holly@hollyjmitchell.com>, <rfleming-peters@counsel.lacounty.gov>,
Margaret Carter <mcarter@counsel.lacounty.gov>, <njenkins@lacounty.gov>,
<njenkins@counsel.lacounty.gov>, <jgonzalez@counsel.lacounty.gov>, <lowt@metro.net>,
<Tlow77@gmail.com>, <ryanthurlow@yahoo.com>, <tlow@lacounty.gov>,
<tlow@counsel.lacounty.gov>, <tina@jmoilco.com>, <tlow7@yahoo.com>,
<econard@lacounty.gov>, <econard@counsel.lacounty.gov>, <pepemendoza18@gmail.com>,
<chelsaconrad@gmail.com>, <rmconard@gmail.com>, <kcluvsbarney@aol.com>,
<susanconard@aol.com>, <s.conard@conardphoto.com>, <susan@conardphoto.com>,
<sconard@conardphoto.com>, <sales@conardphoto.com>, <support@conardphoto.com>,
<scollins@cleat.org>, <admin@conardphoto.com>, <conard@conardphoto.com>,
<fawino@counsel.lacounty.gov>, <cbarnett@counsel.lacounty.gov>, <donnghiem@gmail.com>,
<brucenguyen@gmail.com>, <donnghiem@hotmail.com>, <don@lacounty.gov>,
<dnghiem@counsel.lacounty.gov>, <schoi@counsel.lacounty.gov>, <ltaing@counsel.lacounty.gov>,
<cesar@lacounty.gov>, <cdelperal@counsel.lacounty.gov>, <cdelperal@aol.com>,
<cesar_del_peral@yahoo.com>, <cdelperal@yahoo.com>, <cesardelperal1@yahoo.com>,
<theflyingtrex02@gmail.com>, <jquindel79@yahoo.com>, <atkins.sarah28@gmail.com>,
<rfstacy@gmail.com>, <neversleep55.mh@gmail.com>, <rourke@lacounty.gov>, Rourke F. Stacy
<rstacy@counsel.lacounty.gov>, <rstacy-padilla@counsel.lacounty.gov>,
<vowens@counsel.lacounty.gov>, <mearls@counsel.lacounty.gov>,
<dcarlos@county.lacounty.gov>, <dcarlos@counsel.lacounty.gov>, <victoriacarlos@gmail.com>,
<dcarlos31@gmail.com>, <debbie.carlos818@verizon.net>, <victoria.carlos@gmail.com>,
<humbert@ptminc.com>, <info@ptm-inc.com>, <psncarits@aol.com>, <jcarlos@msmc.la.edu>,
<yngidea@gmail.com>, <richard.padilla@disney.com>, <andria.seo@disabilityrightsca.org>,
<andiseo@gmail.com>, <wishinwaiting@hotmail.com>, <echu@counsel.lacounty.gov>,
<sgipson@counsel.lacounty.gov>, <sladyesq@gmail.com>, <sladyesq@aol.com>, Dhrubajyoti Deb
<djdesign@gmail.com>, <michae.streetman@gmail.com>, <merugori@aol.com>,
<rolaidz@yahoo.com>, <dtwister22@yahoo.com>, <dtwister@yahoo.com>,
<sshon@counsel.lacounty.gov>, <rigesq@yahoo.com>, <grigesq@msn.com>,
<gproducer@hotmail.com>, <mrsmithgcs@aol.com>, Alexandra Zuiderweg
<azuiderweg@counsel.lacounty.gov>, <mruben@counsel.lacounty.gov>, Caitlin Taylor
<ctaylor@counsel.lacounty.gov>, <schan@counsel.lacounty.gov>, <kmuir@counsel.lacounty.gov>,
<eggerse@metro.net>, <eggers@counsel.lacounty.gov>, <mrolon@counsel.lacounty.gov>,
<mirder@hotmail.com>, <doug@homealert.com>, <doug.richardson@homealert.com>,
<pghaderi@counsel.lacounty.gov>, <rsaldana@counsel.lacounty.gov>, <ndavis-
tinkham@counsel.lacounty.gov>, Nicole Davis <rikki.davis1212@gmail.com>,
<tink4d1@gmail.com>, <nadtinkham@gmail.com>, <brind0313@gmail.com>,
<darrelltinkham@yahoo.com>, Judy Whitehurst <jwhitehurst@counsel.lacounty.gov>,
<ltolani@counsel.lacounty.gov>, <lester.tolnai@yahoo.com>, <rclester.tolnai71@yahoo.com>,
<pmarshall@counsel.lacounty.gov>, Adrienne Byers <abyers@counsel.lacounty.gov>,
<rcartwright@counsel.lacounty.gov>, <rwong@counsel.lacounty.gov>,
<tfaughnan@counsel.lacounty.gov>, <tomfaughnan66@gmail.com>, Jennifer
<jlehman@counsel.lacounty.gov>, <kmiles@counsel.lacounty.gov>,
<rbaeza@counsel.lacounty.gov>, <skuhn@counsel.county.gov>, <rchastang@counsel.lacounty.gov>,

<lcampo@counsel.lacounty.gov>, <msimon@counsel.lacounty.gov>, <emiller@counsel.lacounty.gov>, <elizabeth_dumais@yahoo.com>, <kaigrant19@yahoo.com>, <pmomon@yahoo.com>, <pbollinger@counsel.lacounty.gov>, Kati <kbowser@counsel.lacounty.gov>, <rebrahim@da.lacounty.gov>, <kkoyano@da.lacounty.gov>, <dteran@da.lacounty.gov>, <tsereno@lacounty.gov>, <tsereno@da.lacounty.gov>, <ron.geltz@lacounty.gov>. <rgeltz@da.lacounty.gov>, Manik Bhoi <manik.bhoi@gmail.com>, <withani@verizon.net>, <eric.castano@charter.net>, <eric.castano@juno.com>, <ericcastano@gmail.com>, <JIniguez@da.lacounty.gov>, Fernando Sanchez <fsanchez@da.lacounty.gov>, <rarcos@da.lacounty.gov>, <jrodriguez@dalacounty.gov>, Sharon L.. Woo <swoo@da.lacounty.gov>, <pagrawal@da.lacounty.gov>, <vgadams@msn.com>, <ljkessner@da.lacounty.gov>, <ldroeger@da.lacounty.gov>, <jdsilva@ceo.lacounty.gov>, <jsilva@da.lacounty.gov>, <eratino@da.lacounty.gov>, <shaungipson@gmail.com>, Shaun Gipson <sgipson@da.lacounty.gov>, <twright@da.lacounty.gov>, <gdameron@da.lacounty.gov>, <spresby@da.lacounty.gov>, <shassett@da.lacounty.gov>, <bjdodd@da.lacounty.gov>, <ralarcon@da.lacounty.gov>, <smire@da.lacounty.gov>, <mhaidar@da.lacounty.gov>, <pkouch@da.lacounty.gov>, <vrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov>, <dpurvis@da.lacounty.gov>, <tguzmansanchez@hotmail.com>, <tguzmansanchez@aol.com>, <thomasguzmansanchez@hotmail.com>, <guzmansanchez@aol.com>, <ceo@gsmediagroup.com>, <tgsvrlmuzic@dancemaster.com>, <r@dancemaster.com>, <godaddy@dancemaster.com>, <tblacknell@da.lacounty.gov>, <mkapp@da.lacounty.gov>, <kalbrach@da.lacounty.gov>, <jjohnson@lacounty.gov>, <mmurray@da.lacounty.gov>, <kta@da.lacounty.gov>, <lklo@lasd.org>, <bskhount@lasd.org>, <CDCRCACLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov>, <CCRCMFLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov>, <CDCRCCILitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov>, <CCDCRCENLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov>, <CDCRCTFLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov>, <CDCRCMCLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov>, <CDCRCORLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov>, <CDCRCIMLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov>, <FCORTEZ@cdcr.gov>, <Jeff.macomber@cdcr.ca.gov>, <Jennifer.barretto@cdcr.ca.gov>, <Tammy.foss@cdcr.ca.ov>, <Diana.toche@cdcr.ca.gov>, <albert.lundeen@cdcr.ca.gov>, <Jennifer.neil@cdcr.ca.gov>, <Sarah.larson@cdcr.ca.gov>, <Ron.broomfield@cdcr.ca.gov>, <Amy.casias@cdcr.ca.gov>, <Jason.johnson@cdcr.ca.gov>, <Chris.chambers@cdcr.ca.gov>, <Stacy.lopez@cdcr.ca.gov>, <Niki.dhillon@cdcr.ca.gov>, <Jennifer.benavidez@cdcr.ca.gov>, <heather.bowlds@cdcr.ca.gov>, <Jared.lozano@cdcr.ca.gov>, <Derrick.marion@cdcr.ca.gov>, <David.chriss@cdcr.ca.gov>, <Sarina.calderon@cdcr.ca.gov>, <Ying.sun@cdcr.ca.gov>, Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>, <aroganthollywoodgenius@yahoo.com>, <CDCRASPLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov>, <fernando.cortez@cdcr.ca.gov>, <info@da.lacounty.gov>, <12136331956@faxage.com>, <16262814724@faxage.com>, <12136330960@faxage.com>, <julie.kaplan@cdcr.ca.gov>, <sabrina.williams@cdcr.ca.gov>, <cory.alvarez@cdcr.ca.gov>, <jamal.rowe@cdcr.ca.gov>, <mark.cruise@cdcr.ca.gov>, <linda.larabee@cdcr.ca.gov>, <asvi.phuong@cdcr.ca.gov>, <jamiee.lacey@cdcr.ca.gov>, <dominique.hughes@cdcr.ca.gov>, <karen.reed@cdcr.ca.gov>, <manuel.banuelos@cdcr.ca.gov>, ecolor.pot@gmail.com <ecolor.pot@gmail.com>, TCBecerra2016@gmail.com <TCBecerra2016@gmail.com>, <tarchuleetta@crowell.com>, tlopez@crowell.com <tlopez@crowell.com>, <tarchuletta@bellsouth.net>, theresa_c_lopez@hotmail.com <theresa_c_lopez@hotmail.com>, tarchuletta@gmail.com <tarchuletta@gmail.com>, cali80513@gmail.com <cali80513@gmail.com>, <theresa.chuletta@aug.com>,

<tarchuletta@aol.com>, Holly J. Mitchell <hollyjmitchell@bos.lacounty.gov>, <customerservice@axon.com>, <legal@axon.com>, <12136224858@faxage.com>, <12136200636@faxage.com>, <12138948107@faxage.com>, <19098021050@faxage.com>, <19163235341@faxage.com>, <paralegal@neilopdahl.com>, Getem Process Servers and Investigators <getem626@gmail.com>, Arogant Hollywood <blacklawcrusader@gmail.com>, to: arogantvscountyoflosangeles@gmail.com <arogantvscountyoflosangeles@gmail.com>, <arogantversuscalifornia1@gmail.com>, <hochman@da.la.county.gov>, <mark@geragos.com>

KING AROGANT v. nathan joseph hochman, et al. [ANOTHER WARNING TO COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES EMPLOYEES, PEACE OFFICERS, EXECUTIVES, AND ATTORNEYS TO CEASE AND DESIST MALICIOUSLY PROSECUTING FRIVOLOUS CRIMINAL CASE GA114055, ATTACHED MOTION TO DEMAND DISCOVERY, 162 PAGES

THE NEXT TIME I GO TO 211 WEST TEMPLE STREET, LOS ANGELES COUNTY TO SERVE NATHAN JOSEPH HOCHMAN LEGAL PAPERS, VIDEO RECORDING EVIDENCE, AND AUDIO RECORDING EVIDENCE RELATED TO A CRIMINAL CASE DO NOT ALLOW YOUR COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES PEACE OFFICERS TO PREVENT ME FROM ENTERING THE BUILDING OR YOU WILL FACE LITIGATION FOR THEIR RECKLESS ACTIONS!

NATHAN JOSEPH HOCHMAN AND ALL OTHER HEREBY ELECTRONICALLY SERVED STATE OF CALIFORNIA AND COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES EMPLOYEES. YOU ARE HEREBY ORDERED TO CEASE AND DESIST FROM DOING THE FOLLOWING:

1. CONTINUE TO MALICIOUSLY PROSECUTE FRIVOLOUS CRIMINAL CASE GA114055
2. RECKLESSLY FAILING TO OVERTURN CONVICTION ON YOUR OWN MOTIONS PURSUANT TO CALIFORNIA STATE BAR RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT,

Rule 3.8 Special Responsibilities of a Prosecutor (Rule Approved by the Supreme Court, Effective June 1, 2020)

3. RECKLESSLY FAILING TO TERMINATE AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S FELONY PAROLE SUPERVISION AFTER BEING SERVED AN AUDIO RECORDING PROVING KING AROGANT WAS INNOCENT AND NEVER PHYSICALLY THREATENED THOMAS GUZMAN-SANCHEZ!

4. CEASE AND DESIST FAILING TO OVERTURN A CONVICTION IN WHICH RACIST ATTORNEY BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG PRESENTED PHONY AND MANIPULATED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS INTO THE SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, ALHAMBRA SUPERIOR COURT THAT DEPICTED AND DISPLAYED AN ARTIFICIALLY INTELLIGENT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD SHUTTING OFF HIS GOPRO CAMERA WHILE WEARING DIFFERENT CLOTHING THAN HE HAD ON FEBRUARY 21, 2023.

5. PURSUANT TO THE RACIAL JUSTICE ACT OF 2020; WHICH CODIFIES AT CAL PEN CODE SECTION 745 THE PEOPLE AND NATHAN JOSEPH HOCHMAN MUST IMMEDIATELY OVERTURN AROGANT HOLLYWOOD WRONGFUL PRISON SENTENCE AND CONVICTION THAT WAS PARTLY BASED ON THE

FALSE AND FABRICATED TESTIMONY THAT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD MADE A CRIMINAL THREAT TO LYING AND RACIST GOVERNMENT WITNESS THOMAS GUZMAN-SANCHEZ.

ATTACHED HERETO IN THIS EMAIL IS A TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF REAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GX010586 AND GX010587 THAT WERE RECKLESSLY SPLIT UP FROM ONE CONTINUOUS VIDEO RECORDING BY ROGUE AND RACIST SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA HIGH TECH TASK FORCE PEACE OFFICERS. NEVERTHELESS, THESE REAL VIDEO RECORDINGS DO NOT DEPICT AND DISPLAY AROGANT HOLLYWOOD SHUTTING OFF HIS GOPRO CAMERA.

ATTACHED HERETO IS THIS EMAIL IS A TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF THE AUDIO RECORDING THAT PROVES BY CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE THAT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD NEVER MADE CRIMINAL THREATS TO RACIST AND LYING THOMAS GUZMAN-SANCHEZ AND THUS, AROGANT HOLLYWOOD WAS WRONGFULLY SENT TO STATE PRISON!

ATTACHED HERETO IS THIS ELECTRONIC MAIL IS A TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S SOON THE BE FILED:

Case No. GA114055

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'VERIFIED NOTICE OF AND MOTION THAT SUPERIOR COURT ORDER PEOPLE IMMEDIATELY DISCLOSE AND TURN OVER BOGUS LASD-CREATED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GX010586 AND GX010587 Pursuant to California Penal Code §§ 745, 1473.6, & 1473.7; *Brady v. Maryland*, 373 U.S. 83, 83 S.Ct. 1194, 10 L.Ed.2d 215 (1963); United States v. Bagley, 473 U.S. 667, 682, 105 S.Ct. 3375, 87 L.Ed.2d 481 (1985); County of Los Angeles District Attorney Discovery Compliance System Manual, County of Los Angeles District Attorney Legal Policies Manual, Chapter 14, & United States Department of Justice Manual, Title 9, § 9-5.000 & REQUEST THAT SUPERIOR COURT ORDER THAT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S UNCONSTITUTIONAL PAROLE SUPERVISION BE IMMEDIATELY TERMINATED

Date of Hearing: April 25, 2025
Department 1
Time:

THE ATTACHED CRIMINAL MOTION IS 162 PAGES AND 23,080 WORDS.

GX010586.MP4


GX010587.MP4

NATHAN JOSEPH HOCHMAN. KING AROGANT KNOWS THAT YOU HAVE A LOT OF EXPERIENCE IN FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT AS A PLAINTIFF. IF YOU FAIL TO OVERTURN AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S WRONFUL, RACIST, SCANDALOUS, ILLEGAL, FRAUDULENT, AND UNCONSTITUTIONAL FELONY CONVICTION AND DISMISS FRIVOLOUS CRIMINAL CASE GA114055 ON YOUR OWN MOTION NO LATER THAN APRIL 23, 2025 YOU AND YOUR TEAM OF ATTORNEYS ELECTRONICALLY SERVED HEREIN WILL BECOME DEFENDANTS IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA. YOU HAVE ALL BEEN FOREWARNED HEREIN.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws and Constitution of California and under the laws, federal statutes, and Constitution of the United States of America that every single word, sentence, paragraph, and attached image is true and correct.

Executed on April 8, 2025, in California, Los Angeles County

DATE: April 8, 2025



ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106
Mobile: (626) 755-6442

Email: fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com
Spirit of Esquire

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws and Constitution of California and under the laws, federal statutes, and Constitution of the United States of America that every single word, sentence, paragraph, and attached image is true and correct.

Executed on April 8, 2025, in California, Los Angeles County

DATE: April 8, 2025

Arogant Hollywood

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq.
1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD.
PASADENA, CA 91106
Mobile: 206.471.1344
arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted
Spirit of Esquire
BLACK TRADE KING
FUTURE KING OF ENTERTAINMENT
BLACK DERIVATIVES KING



King
Arogant

CAUTION: This email originated outside of the County (lacounty.gov domain). Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and expect the message.

Exhibit 3

GS MEDIA LAB

April 18, 2025

DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF TEXT THREAT AND HARRASMENT

I, THOMAS GUZMAN-SANCHEZ, declare as follows:

I am a member of the Los Angeles Superior Court Expert Witness Panel.

On December 28, 2023 I was subpoenaed to appear in court at the Probation Violation Hearing for People vs. Arrogant Hollywood GA114055, in Dept 1 of the Alhambra Superior Court. The judge at that time found Mr. Hollywood guilty and stated he was required to not contact or harass me in any way. To do so would be a clear violation of his probation.

On April 12, 2025 at 15:39PM I received a series of text messages, images and voice recordings from Mr. Hollywood (206-471-1344).

Voice Message: 5:39PM "media_0.wav"

This appears to be an edited version of a phone call threat that was originally made on October 22, 2023 at 2:13 PM. I received two calls back to back from Mr. Hollywood (626-704-4625). In that call the first he said, "Wait a minute." and I ended the call. He then called back and I put it on speakerphone so my associate could hear the call. We could hear a phone call recorder being turned on.

RECORDER VOICE: This call is being recorded.

We then listen silently as he began to speak.

This new recording was clearly edited to omit this first section and starts with the following:

HOLLYWOOD: Can you hear me? Hello. Why… Can you hear me? Ahh… Just to show you I'm a video expert. So I, I got the original videos and they're falsified. They have dates of eleven o'clock, and twelve o'clock and you know, you couldn't figure this out, but as soon as I got my go pro… my computer out I was able to crack the case in about five seconds. The videos are falsified. How was I able to make videos at eleven o'clock when I was in handcuffs at what… ten fifteen? Right? We all know this incident was over way before eleven o'clock.

GS MEDIA LAB
19210 DEARBORN ST. NORTHRIDGE, CA 91324 USA
VOICE 818.727.1979 CELL/TEXT. 818 399.1255
e mail vfi@gsmedialab.com

GUZMAN-SANCHEZ: Why are you explaining this to me?

HOLLYWOOD: Because.

GUZMAN-SANCHEZ: This is your case.

HOLLYWOOD: It is, but you didn't help me. I got you a lot of hours and you really spit in my face and I'm gonna sue you. Well I'm just letting you know. A law suit is gonna come a lot sooner then you think so I would lawyer up...


GUZMAN-SANCHEZ: Listen. So understand. Understand that that is a threat.

HOLLYWOOD: Listen I'm well known for suing people. You didn't help me get out of jail.

GUZMAN-SANCHEZ: Listen. That is a threat and now I'm gonna report you.

HOLLYWOOD: It's not THREAT! It's a PROMISE MOTHERFUCKER and you're on a recorded line!

The recording then ends abruptly due to his editing of the original recording. He then began a series of texts.



+12064711344

NO YOU ARE 5:46 PM

FAILED MUSICIAN

FAILED BUSINESSMAN

GOING ON 65 AND BARELY GOT A PENNY 5:47 PM

FUCK YOU AND PAROLE FOOL CASE WILL BE OVERTURNED ON MAY 30, 2025

I AM SUING YOUR UGLY ASS WIFE TOO OLD MAN 5:48 PM

I WILL BE FORWARDING

+12064711344

EVIDENCE TO CAL DOJ AND TELL THEM TO LAUNCH A CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION AGAINST YOU FOR LYING UNDER OATH 5:49 PM

You are the clown. A lying one at that.

We will see who the crown is when you get SUED in federal court FOOL 5:50 PM

Take that corny ass studio music off your LINKEDIN PAGE. IT IS FUCKING GARBAGE JUST LIKE YOUR WIFE'S UGLY FACE

+12064711344



5:53 PM

MY HOME STUDIO FOOL

FAILED MUSICIAN 5:54 PM

MAKE SURE YOU BRING THOSE PHONY ASS GOPRO VIDEOS TO COURT ON MAY 30, 2025 YOU PIECE OF SHIT 5:56 PM

You can call me

+12064711344

You can call me Hollywood all you want FOOL. HOLLYWOOD IS NO LONGER MY NAME FOOL. IT IS KING AROGANT

I WILL KEEP YOU ON THE STAND FOR AT LEAST TWO DAYS AND YIU WILL NOT GET PAID A FUCKING PENNY 5:57 PM

I WILL KEEP YOU ON THE STAND FOR AT LEAST TWO DAYS AND YOU WILL NOT GET PAID A FUCKING PENNY 5:58 PM

+12064711344

I already sued parole you DUMB FUCK

IT WAS DISMISSED BUT I AM SUING CDCR AGAIN FOOL! 5:59 PM

YOU, PAROLE, AND YOUR UGLY ASS WIFE CAN SUCK A FUCKING DICK! 6:00 PM

I cracked the case fool. And I know videos given to you by MARK Rosenberg were not the phony LASD-created GoPro video recordings played recklessly in Superior Court on April 4, 2023, April 5, 2023, and February

+12064711344

April 4, 2023, April 5, 2023, and February 2, 2024 IDIOT! 6:02 PM

I also know videos given to you by Carlos Anthony Jaxkson were deliberately given to you in unplayable GoPro video recording format GL format instead of playable GX GoPro video recording video format

I also know videos given to you by Carlos Anthony Jackson were deliberately given to you in unplayable

+12064711344

to you in unplayable GoPro video recording format GL format instead of playable GX GoPro video recording video format 6:04 PM

FOOL 6:05 PM

Videos you did receive are nothing more than manipulated and modified versions of real videos not the phony LASD-created GoPro video recordings showing me shutting off my GoPro 6:06 PM

I got the time


+12064711344


GoPro 6:06 PM


I got the time stamp from the text message. 10:04 5 minutes into broken up GX010586 FOOL




I got the text


+12064711344
I got the text message
6 07 PM
FOOL
in phony video I am wearing a tank top FOOL
BUT IN REAL VIDEO I HAVE ON A LONG SLEEVED COAT
SO WHO IS THE CLOWN?
6 08 PM
YOU FOOLS ARE A BUNCH OF LIARS AND CHEATERS
media_0 wav
01 09
6:09 PM


+12064711344
YOU ARE GOING TO PRISON FOR VIOLATING CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 6200
YOU ARE MARK ROSENBERG COULD BE CELLMATES!
6 10 PM
YOU AND MARK ROSENBERG COULD BE CELLMATES!
6 11 PM
YEAH PUT IT ALL TOGETHER. AND ADD THE FACT THAT YOU RECKLESSLY COMMITTED FRAUD ON THE SUPERIIR COURT FOR NOT


+12064711344
COURT FOR NOT ONLU TESTIFYING FALSELY BUT LYING TO THE COUR THAT YOU NEEDED AN ADDITIONAL 40 HOURS WHEN YOU DID NOTHING!
YEAH PUT IT ALL TOGETHER. AND ADD THE FACT THAT YOU RECKLESSLY COMMITTED FRAUD ON THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR NOT ONLY TESTIFYING FALSELY BUT LYING TO THE COUR THAT YOU NEEDED AN ADDITIONAL 40 HOURS WHEN YOU DID NOTHING!
6:13 PM


+12064711344
I am going to make a FOOL out of you beginning on May 30, 2025. Force you to admit the truth when I play audio recording evidence and video recording evidence for the Superior Court YOU FUCKING RACIST AND LYING PIECE OF SHIT
6 17 PM
I am going to send LASD peace officers to serve you at your HOUSE AND FAILED GS MEDIA
6 18 PM
Tell prejudiced judicial officer

7:52

+12064711344

Tell prejudiced judicial officer Michael Villalobos to treat you as an adverse witness pursuant to California Evidence CODE Section 766! LOOK THAT UP FOOL!

6:19 PM

media_0.wav

0:09

6:20 PM



7:52

+12064711344

[redacted]

6:21 PM

SEE MY $ 10,000 DAY TRADING SET UP IN MY LIVING ROOM! I AM NOT A BROKE AND HONELESS BUM NO MORE YOU RACIST IDIOT


SEE MY $ 10,000 DAY TRADING SET UP IN MY LIVING ROOM! I AM NOT A BROKE AND HOMELESS BUM NO MORE YOU RACIST IDIOT

6:22 PM

[redacted]

7:53

+12064711344



6:28 PM

7:53

+12064711344

TEXT HIM! MY PAROLE OFFICER TOO KNOWS WHAT A LYING PIECE OF SHIT YOU ARE!


6:29 PM

I have all the evidence FOOL


6:39 PM

Even what MARK Rosenberg gave you and Carlos Jackson!

6:40 PM




7:35 PM



Following this last text message I blocked the 206-471-1344 phone number.

On April 16, 2025 at 8:51PM I received another series of text messages and images from Mr. Hollywood (561-462-7612). This is a different phone number.





Following the last text message I blocked the 561-462-7612 phone number.


I am now formally reporting that Mr. Hollywood has both continued to harass and has threaten me, my wife and now my son with harm. I have notated the times and dates of the events. I have included the actual texts from Mr. Hollywood.

I have also included the original texts and details that were sent on April 18, 2024, which are attached hereto as **EXHIBIT A.**

I believe my family and I are in danger due to his aggressive threats. I am requesting the Court take this information into consideration and act accordingly.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the facts stated in the foregoing are true and correct.


Executed on this 18th day of April 2025, in Los Angeles, California.

By 


THOMAS GUZMAN-SANCHEZ
AVF – Expert
GS Media Lab

Exhibit A:


Text 1



Text 2



2ad text image



Detailed Image Information




Exhibit 4

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff, v. **AROGANT HOLLYWOOD (DOB: 12/09/1978)** Defendant(s).	**CASE NO.** ***MISDEMEANOR COMPLAINT***

The undersigned is informed and believes that:

COUNT 1

On or about April 17, 2025, in the County of Los Angeles, the crime of TRESPASS AND REFUSING TO LEAVE PRIVATE PROPERTY, in violation of PENAL CODE SECTION 602(o), a Misdemeanor, was committed by AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, who did unlawfully commit a trespass by refusing and failing to leave land, real property, and structures belonging to and lawfully occupied by another and not open to the general public, upon being requested to leave by a peace officer and the owner, his/her agent, and the person in lawful possession thereof.

* * * * *

COUNT 2

On or about April 17, 2025, in the County of Los Angeles, the crime of DISOBEYING COURT ORDER, in violation of PENAL CODE SECTION 166(a)(4), a Misdemeanor, was committed by AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, who did unlawfully commit contempt of court by willful disobedience of a process and order lawfully issued by a court, to wit, California Rule of Court 2.17.

* * * * *

COUNT 3

On or about June 8, 2024, in the County of Los Angeles, the crime of DISOBEYING COURT ORDER, in violation of PENAL CODE SECTION 166(a)(4), a Misdemeanor, was committed by AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, who did unlawfully commit contempt of court by willful disobedience of a process and order lawfully issued by a court, to wit, Restraiining Order.

* * * * *

COUNT 4

On or about June 8, 2024, in the County of Los Angeles, the crime of DISOBEYING COURT ORDER, in violation of PENAL CODE SECTION 166(a)(4), a Misdemeanor, was committed by AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, who did unlawfully commit contempt of court by willful disobedience of a process and order lawfully issued by a court, to wit, Restraining Order.

* * * * *

COUNT 5

On or between June 8, 2024 and April 17, 2025, in the County of Los Angeles, the crime of ANNOYING TELEPHONE CALLS, in violation of PENAL CODE SECTION 653m(b), a Misdemeanor, was committed by AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, who did unlawfully, and with the intent to annoy and harass, make repeated telephone calls and repeated contact, and make any combination of calls and contact, to another person, to wit BRIAN ROSENBERG, ALHAMBRA PUBLIC LIBRARY, by means of an electronic communication device.

* * * * *

NOTICE: Conviction of this offense will require the defendant to provide DNA samples and print impressions pursuant to Penal Code sections 296 and 296.1 if the defendant has suffered a prior felony conviction. Willful refusal to provide the samples and impressions is a crime.

NOTICE: The People of the State of California intend to present evidence and seek jury findings regarding all applicable circumstances in aggravation, pursuant to Penal Code section 1170(b) and *Cunningham v. California* (2007) 549 U.S. 270.

NOTICE: A Suspected Child Abuse Report (SCAR) may have been generated within the meaning of Penal Code §§ 11166 and 11168 involving the charges alleged in this complaint. Dissemination of a SCAR is limited by Penal Code §§ 11167 and 11167.5 and a court order is required for full disclosure of the contents of a SCAR.

Further, attached hereto and incorporated herein are official reports and documents of a law enforcement agency which the undersigned believes establish probable cause for the arrest of defendant(s) AROGANT HOLLYWOOD for the above-listed crimes.

I DECLARE UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY THAT THE FOREGOING IS TRUE AND CORRECT AND THAT THIS COMPLAINT CONSISTS OF 5 COUNT(S).

Executed at LOS ANGELES, County of Los Angeles, on April 21, 2025.

BENJAMIN YANG
DECLARANT AND COMPLAINANT

NATHAN HOCHMAN, DISTRICT ATTORNEY ..... BY: ALLYSON KIMMEL, DEPUTY

AGENCY: ALHAMBRA PD I/O: BENJAMIN YANG ID NO.: 276 PHONE : [redacted]
DR NO.: 000000002514319 OPERATOR: RO

DEFENDANT	CII NO.	CITATION NO.	BOOKING NO.	BAIL RECOM'D	CUSTODY R'TN DATE
HOLLYWOOD, AROGANT	031858966				04/21/2025

Pursuant to Penal Code Section 1054.5(b), the People are hereby informally requesting that defense counsel provide discovery to the People as required by Penal Code Section 1054.3.

LOS ANGELES COUNTY
BOOKING AND PROPERTY RECORD

BOOKING No.	LOCAL AGENCY No.
6999999	25-05910

MAIN No.	SID / CII No.	FBI No.	ARN No.	DL LIC No. / STATE
33178878	318588966			F2776523/CA

SUSPECT PHOTO

ARRESTEE'S NAME (LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE)	HOME PHONE	DNA STATUS
HOLLYWOOD, AROGANT	(286) 471-1344	

ADDRESS: CITY, STATE, ZIP	DNA COLLECTED BY
2308 FELICIA AVE ROWLAND HEIGHTS CA 91748	

SEX	DESCENT	HAIR	EYES	HEIGHT	WEIGHT	BIRTHDATE	AGE	DATE DNA COLLECTED
M	B	BLK	BRO	605	245	12-09-1978	46	

VEH. LIC. No. / ST	APT DIST	AKA/NICKNAME
	1981	WALTON, JOHN CLYDE

BIRTHPLACE	CITIZENSHIP	ARR. AGY / DETAIL	NUMBER	AD DNO	LIVESCAN OPERATOR(S)
WA	US	1981	MON/KING AROGANT	YES	

FILE No.	DATE & TIME ARRESTED	TIME BKD
2514319	04-17-2025 1715	1719

LOCATION OF ARREST	TOTAL BAIL
101 S FIRST ST; ALHAMBRA PUBLI	0

CHARGE	WARR./COMM No.
602(o)(2) PC /M/ 001	

JAIL LOC	ARRAIGNMENT DATE	TIME	COURT
1981	04-21-2025	0830	ALH

PRISONER'S SIGNATURE WHEN BOOKED: x

SOC SEC No.	OBSERVABLE PHYSICAL ODDITIES	OCCUPATION
553-92-2822		UNEMPLOYED

EMPLOYER (FIRM OR PERSON'S NAME, CITY & PHONE No.)	SPECIAL MEDICAL PROBLEMS
NONE	NONE

CLOTHING WORN	LOCATION OR DISPOSITION OF VEHICLE
YLLW/BRN JACKET BLK SHOE	

IN CASE OF EMERGENCY NOTIFY (NAME, RELATIONSHIP, ADDRESS, CITY & PHONE): NONE

ARRESTING OFFICER	BOOKING EMPLOYEE	SEARCHING OFFICER	TRANSPORTING OFFICER
YANG 276	SERRATO 5834	YANG 276	YANG 276

CASH RETAINED	PROPERTY
$0.00	CLOTHING WORN

PRISONER'S SIGNATURE FOR RECEIPT OF FOREGOING CASH & PROPERTY:

CASH DEPOSITED	PROPERTY
0.00	MISC PAPER BLK LACES

PRISONER'S SIGNATURE FOR RECEIPT OF REMAINING CASH & PROPERTY:

20250417 20:38:47

RIGHT FOUR IN | RIGHT THUMB IN | RIGHT THUMB OUT | RIGHT FOUR OUT





CII # A31858966
FBI # 224977FB8
MAIN # 33178878
D/L # F2776523
JAIL

Copy for Authorized Person
Alhambra Police Dept
MP apon 4/2 2025 2:37:07 PM

CONTEMPT OF COURT - DISOBEY COURT ORDER				
166(A)(5) PC MM/001 CONTEMPT OF COURT - DISOBEY COURT ORD				
3056 PC 7875 (c)(1)/Am				
	24PDCM025340			Pendin

NAME	CODE	PHONE OR ATTORNEY	DATE RELEASED	OFFICER HANDLING RELEASE	PRISONER'S INITIALS
BAIL DEVIATION (800) 773-5151	O	(213) 351-0311	04/25	SB34	UNABLE TO SIGN
	W		1052		
	W				

Copy for Authorized Person

REMARKS

COMPLETED

RELEASE/TAKE
___ NO IDENTIFICATION
___ WARRANT
___ ON BOND/ PROBLEM
___ NON-CITABLE MISDEMEANOR
___ OTHER
APPROVED BY
WITH COMMENTS

REASON FOR RELEASE ___ EXPIRATION ___ FINE ___ DISMISSAL ___ BAIL ___ OTHER

RECEIPT #

DATE AND TIME

RELEASED BY

DOCUMENT ANALYST

RELEASED TO (NAME, AGENCY & DETAIL)

RECORD OF PROPERTY TRANSACTIONS — CODE: A = ADD W = WITHDRAW I = INSPECT E = REMOVE FOR EVIDENCE

NAME OF PERSON ADDING, WITHDRAWING OR INSPECTING	OFFICER HANDLING, INITIAL	PRISONER'S SIGNATURE AUTHORIZING WITHDRAW	CODE	DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY	DATE AND TIME

ALHAMBRA POLICE DEPARTMENT JAIL
LIVESCAN ENTRY QUALITY CONTROL

ENTERED BY: _____ DATE: _____

VERIFIED BY: [illegible] DATE: 04/25

OFFICER MAKING FINAL RELEASE | DATE AND TIME | I DO HEREBY ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF ALL MY REMAINING PROPERTY

SIGNATURE

DL/ID/IMAGES

BOOKING IMAGES



PAGE 01 TO: ALHP FROM: CLETS 04/17/25 18:39:48
1CRP0.ALH0 WAD0.
CRP0 ADNU ALH0 WAD0
COUNTY: LOS ANGELES

MNEMONIC: ALH0

TO: ALHAMBRA PD - LOS ANGELES

ATTN: DEPTY YANG #276 - [redacted]

***** CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS & REHABILITATION, SACRAMENTO*****

TEXT: *****HOLD**********NO BAIL**********HOLD**********NO BAIL*****

NAME: HOLLYWOOD, ARROGANT

CDC#: BX1748

BOOKED AS/AKA: NONE

DOB: 12/9/1978

DESC: BLACK M 6'6" 205 BLACK BROWN

CASE#: 25-14319

REG/UNIT: PAROLE REGION SOUTH/SAN GABRIEL VALLEY 2

CHARGE: COURT ORDER VIOLATION, $1 WARRANT

ARREST DATE: 04/17/2025 HOLD/DISCOVERY DATE: 04/17/2025

ARRESTING AGENCY: ALHAMBRA PD

AGENT: BERRY, TY

UNIT: SAN GABRIEL VALLEY 2

REGION: PAROLE REGION SOUTH

Copy for Authorized Person
Alhambra Police Dept
MPajon 4/20/2025 2:59:10 PM

THIS IS AUTHORIZATION FOR THE DETAINING AGENCY, L.A. CO. SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT AN D ANY PEACE OFFICER IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA TO ARREST AND BOOK PER 3056 P.C. AND TRANSPORT TO THE L.A. CO. COUNTY JAIL.

REFER: JASON JOHNSON, DIRECTOR DAPO-HQ [redacted] REPLY: CRP0

INT: BACCI, MORGAN

DATE: 04/17/2025 18:39

MSG: 33452799

PAGE 02

MBACCI

04/17/25 14:40 OPERATOR:

TO:

ATTENTION:

RE:

CA034015G CRP0

Alhambra Police Dept
Copy for Authorized Person
MPajon 4/20/2025 2:59:11 PM

PAGE 01 TO: ALHP FROM: CWS ML 04/17/25 21:02:55

**********CWS WARRANT-ABSTRACT**********

* BOOKING AGENCY: ALHAMBRA PD 21:02 - 04/17/25 *
* BOOKING DATE: 04/17/25 BOOKING NUMBER: *
* LASD REPOSITORY OR THE COURT HOLDS: *
* SURNAME HOLLYWOOD FIRST AROGANT MIDDLE SUFFIX *
* RES. ADDR: 825 ORANGE GROVE PASADENA CA *
* DESCRIPTION M B D.O.B. 12/09/78 605 217 BRO BRO *
* MISDEMEANOR BENCH WARRANT *
* WARRANT NUMBER 24PDCM0253401 *
* BAIL $1.00 *
* VIOLATION 7875(C)(7)/AM *
* *
* DATE ISSUED 10/31/24 FILG AGY ARCADIA PD PHONE [redacted] *
* COURT PASADENA COURTHOUSE DEPT E *
* JUDGE MELTZER ABRAHAM C *
* RESTRICTED 840 PC *
* OFFICER HAZARDS *
* MISC DESCRIPTORS *
* OLN F2776523 CA CII A31858966 SSN VLN MAIN 33178878 *
* ORIGINATING AGENCY REPORT NO: 240298 *
* BAIL STATUS *
* THIS ABSTRACT IS SUFFICIENT FOR BOOKING PURPOSES *
*
*
*

Alhambra Police Dept
Copy for Authorized Person
MPajon 4/20/2025 2:59:12 PM

```
PAGE 01  TO: ALHP    FROM: CWS        ML       04/17/25  17:16:08
CWRWS              COUNTYWIDE WARRANT SYSTEM              DATE: 04/17/25
                   WARRANT INFORMATION SHEET              TIME: 17160820

WARRANT 01 OF 01                        WIS SELECTIONS
WARRANT SUMMARY   HITS/ 001 FEL/ 000 MIS/ 001 OTH/ 000 HZD/      EXON/ 00
WRNT NBR 24PDCM0253401 ENTRD BY ROMERO          104    CA0190100

REC  TYPE W  CATEGORY B  LEVEL M  STATUS
NAME HOLLYWOOD AROGANT
SEX M   DSCNT B   HAIR BRO   EYES BRO   HGT 605   WGT 217   D.O.B. 12/09/78
PHY FEATURES
HOME/BUSINESS ADDRESS                                          PHONE
825 E ORANGE GROVE BL PASADENA CA 91104                        ( 000 ) 0000000
                                                               ( 000 ) 0000000
FBI 224797FB8 OLN F2776523   CA MAIN 33178878 CII A31858966 SSN 000000000
MISC BK#240298            FCN 0000000000000   WPS/NCIC 0
VEHICLE VLN              YEAR 00    MAKE      MODEL     STYLE     COLOR
        VIN                        LIC TYP     LIC YEAR
ORI AGY RPT#  240298    OFF HZRD         ISS AUTH PAS3E   VIOLATION CITY ARC
CHARGE 7875(C)(7)/AM        ( M )                        (   ) ISSUE 10/31/24
                            (   )                        (   )
FILING AGY CA0190200 ASGND AGY CA0190200 ASGND UNIT          GEOG AGY CA0195300
RST 840PC Y REL PTA N CONTACT PERSN                          ( 000 ) 0000000
BKNG# 000000000   DT 00/00/00    OFF          AGY           LOC
BKNG AUTH              BAIL RCT #           AMT$          $1.00
PURGE DT 00/00/00 RECALL DT 00/00/00 REASON   REAGE DT 00/00/00 EXON # 00
WARRANT REMARKS
NAME REMARKS     1902
                 **THIS IS NOT A WARRANT ABSTRACT**
```

Copy for Authorized Person
Alhambra Police Dept
MPajon 4/20/2025 2:59:13 PM

ALHAMBRA POLICE DEPARTMENT

OCA 12277749 CII 36580665

Pre-Booking/Suspect Report

6/6/2025 F2776523/CA

25-14319

01

LIVESCAN INFORMATION

01	25-0596					F2776523/CA	
HOWYJOOD, ARROGANT						206 471 1344	
2308 FELICIA AVE, ROWLAND HEIGHTS 91748							
M	BLK	BLK	BRO	6'05	245	12.09.78	46
—		PED		"KIDG ARROGANT"			
SEATTLE, WA	U.S.	ALHAMBRA PD		—			YES
04.17.25; 7115	PPT	ALHAMBRA LIBRARY 101 S FIRST ST, ALHAMBRA CA 91801				—	
602(b)(1) PC	M	—		—			
1901	04.21.25	[redacted]		—		SELF-MADE UNEMPLOYED	
—						"NO"	
BLK SHOES, ORG "N" YLW/RED JET ; PANTS				—			
"NOBODY"							
YANG 276		YANG 276		YANG 276			
MUSCULAR	—	—		—			

Copy of Authorized Person

Alhambra Police Dept 4/30/2025 2:35:14 PM

ADDITIONAL CHRGS

166(a)(4) PC	M	—	—	HR
166(a)(4) PC	M	—	—	HR
3056 PC	F	—	—	NO BAIL
706(c)(7) AMC	H	24FDCM02534001	—	$100

APPROVAL

☐ Misdemeanor Hold — Consular Notification Made? ☐ Yes ☐ No

☐ No Identification — Notified by: _____

☐ Warrant — Date/Time: _____

☐ Ongoing Problem — Bail Deviation? ☐ Yes ☐ No

☐ Non-Citable Misdemeanor (CITE & RC)

☐ Other _____

Approved By Watch Commander: _____

Adoption

"Based upon information supplied by the arresting officer, I approve the booking of this prisoner for the listed charges."

Approved by Watch Commander: _____

Date 04/25 Time 2000

ALHAMBRA POLICE DEPARTMENT

Pre-Booking/Suspect Report

DR Number: 25-14319

Page Number: 02

Q21 AMT OF HAIR
- ☐ 0 Unknown
- 1 Thick
- ☐ 2 Thin
- ☐ 3 Receding
- ☐ 4 Bald
- ☐ 5 Other

Q22 TYPE OF HAIR
- ☐ 0 Unknown
- ☐ 1 Straight
- ☐ 2 Curly
- ☐ 3 Wavy
- ☐ 4 Fine
- ☐ 5 Coarse
- ☐ 6 Wiry
- ☐ 7 Wig
- ☐ 8 Other:

Q23 HAIR CONDITION
- ☐ 0 Unknown
- ☐ 1 Clean
- ☐ 2 Dirty
- ☐ 3 Greasy
- ☐ 4 Matted
- ☐ 5 Odor
- ☐ 6 Other:

R/L HANDED
- ☐ 0 Unknown
- 1 Right
- ☐ 2 Left

Q25 HAIR STYLE
- ☐ 0 Unknown
- ☐ 1 Long
- ☐ 2 Short
- ☐ 3 Collar
- ☐ 4 Military
- ☐ 5 Crew cut
- ☐ 6 Right part
- ☐ 7 Left part
- ☐ 8 Center part
- ☐ 9 Straight
- ☐ 10 Pony tail
- ☐ 11 Afro-Natural
- ☐ 12 Shaved
- ☐ 13 Teased
- ☐ 14 Other:

Q26 FACIAL HAIR
- ☐ 0 Unknown/ NA
- 1 Clean shaven
- ☐ 2 Mustache
- ☐ 3 Full beard
- ☐ 4 Goatee
- ☐ 5 Fumanchu

Q26 FACIAL HAIR – CONT'D
- ☐ 6 Lower lip
- ☐ 7 Side burns
- ☐ 8 Fuzz
- ☐ 9 Unshaven
- ☐ 10 Other:

Q27 COMPLEXION
- ☐ 0 Unknown
- 1 Clear
- ☐ 2 Acne
- ☐ 3 Pocked
- ☐ 4 Freckled
- ☐ 5 Weathered
- ☐ 6 Albino
- ☐ 7 Other:

Q28 GLASSES
- 0 Unknown/none
- ☐ 1 Yes (NFD)
- ☐ 2 Reg glasses
- ☐ 3 Sun glasses
- ☐ 4 Wire frame
- ☐ 5 Plastic frame
  Color:
- ☐ 6 Other:

Q29 VOICE
- 0 Unknown/NA
- ☐ 1 Lisp
- ☐ 2 Slurred
- ☐ 3 Stutter
- ☐ 4 Accent:
- ☐ 5 Other:

Q30 SCARS/ MARKS/ TATTOOS
- 0 Unknown/none
- ☐ 1 Face
- ☐ 2 Teeth
- ☐ 3 Neck
- ☐ 4 R/ arm
- ☐ 5 L/ arm
- ☐ 6 R/ hand
- ☐ 7 L/ hand
- ☐ 8 R/ leg
- ☐ 9 L/ leg
- ☐ 10 R/ shoulder
- ☐ 11 L/ shoulder
- ☐ 12 Front torso
- ☐ 13 Back torso
- ☐ 14 Other:

Q31 UNIQUE CLTHING
- 0 Unknown/none
- ☐ 1 Cap/ hat
- ☐ 2 Gloves
- ☐ 3 Ski mask
- ☐ 4 Stocking mask
- ☐ 5 Other:

Q32 WEAPON IN:
- 0 Unknown/none
- ☐ 1 Bag/ briefcase
- ☐ 2 Newspaper
- ☐ 3 Pocket
- ☐ 4 Shoulder holster
- ☐ 5 Waistband
- ☐ 6 Other:

Q33 WEAPONS
- 0 Unknown/none
- ☐ 1 Club
- ☐ 2 Handgun
- ☐ 3 Other unk gun
- ☐ 4 Rifle
- ☐ 5 Shotgun

Q33 WEAPONS – CONT'D
- ☐ 6 Toy gun
- ☐ 7 Simulated
- ☐ 8 Pocket knife
- ☐ 9 Butcher knife
- ☐ 10 Other cutl stab
- ☐ 11 Hands/ feet
- ☐ 12 Bodily force
- ☐ 13 Strangulation
- ☐ 14 Tire iron
- ☐ 15 Other:

Q34 WEAPON FEATURE
- 0 Unknown/none
- ☐ 1 Altered stock
- ☐ 2 Sawed off
- ☐ 3 Automatic
- ☐ 4 Bolt action
- ☐ 5 Pump
- ☐ 6 Revolver
- ☐ 7 Blue steel
- ☐ 8 Chrome/ nickel
- ☐ 9 Double barrel
- ☐ 10 Single barrel
- ☐ 11 Other:

GUARDIAN

Name (Last, First, Middle)	DOB	Age	Relationship
Residence Address, City, State, Zip		Home Phone	
Business Address, City, State, Zip		Business Phone	
Dl. Lic. No./State	Cell Phone Number	Time/Date Notified	Time/Date 2nd Notification

OTHER PERSON

Name (Last, First, Middle)	DOB	Age	Relationship
Residence Address, City, State, Zip		Home Phone	
Business Address, City, State, Zip		Business Phone	
Dl. Lic. No./State	Cell Phone Number	Time/Date Notified	Time/Date 2nd Notification

OTHER PERSON

Name (Last, First, Middle)	DOB	Age	Relationship
Residence Address, City, State, Zip		Home Phone	
Business Address, City, State, Zip		Business Phone	
Dl. Lic. No./State	Cell Phone Number	Time/Date Notified	Time/Date 2nd Notification

Preparer and PSN	Date	Reviewer and PSN	Date	Entered	Distributed
276	04.17.25	196	04.25		

Copy for Authorized Person
Alhambra Police Dept
MPajon 4/20/2025 2:59 PM

ALHAMBRA POLICE DEPART ENT
STATEMENT OF PRIVATE PERSON'S ARREST

DR Number: 25-14319
Page Number: 01

CRIME

Code Section	Crime	Description
602(o)(2) PC	TRESPASSING	AT LOCAL LIBRARY

Location of arrest: 101 S. FIRST ST, ALHAMBRA, CA

PERSON MAKING ARREST

Name (Last, First, Middle)	Sex	Race	DOB	Age
LOHGUAN, HILDA HIU KWAN	F	☐ White ☒ Chinese ☐ Black ☐ Hisp ☐ Other	[redacted]	46

Occupation (Current or last known)	☐ DL ☐ ID Number State	Other Phone Number	Cellular Number
DIRECTOR OF LIBRARY	[redacted]	—	[redacted]

Residence Address-City-State-Zip (Current or last known): [redacted] — Res. Phone: —

Business or School Address-City-State-Zip (Current or last known): — — Bus. Phone: [redacted]

ARRESTEE

Code	Name (Last, First, Middle – if known)	Sex	Race	Age (or approximation)
S	HOLLYWOOD, ARROGANT	M	☐ White ☐ Chinese ☒ Black ☐ Hisp ☐ Other	46

Description (If the name is not known):

As a private person I, HILDA LOHGUAN (Print name of person making arrest)

have arrested ARROGANT, HOLLYWOOD (Print name of subject arrested) for PC 602 (Code section number / violation),

a public offense committed in my presence, at 101 S. FIRST ST, ALHAMBRA, CA, (Location)

On (date) 04/17/25 at (time) 1715 AM / (PM) I demand that the following sworn peace officer(s) SANCHEZ #156 take into custody the listed subject.

COPY for Authorized Person
Alhambra Police Dept.
MPajon 4/28/2025 9:59:17 PM

California Penal Code 837 – Arrest by Private Persons

A private person may arrest another:

1. For a public offense committed or attempted in his / her presence.
2. When a person arrested has committed a felony, although not in his / her presence.
3. When a felony has in fact been committed and he / she has reasonable cause to believe the person arrested to have committed it.

California Penal Code 148.5 – False Report of Criminal Offense

It shall be unlawful to willfully make a false, fraudulent, misleading, or unfounded report to any police officer.

I, HILDA LOHGUAN (Print name of person making arrest) do hereby certify the above statements made by me surrounding this Private Person's Arrest are true to the best of my knowledge and belief. Furthermore, I agree to cooperate in all stages of prosecution and will sign a complaint against the above named arrested / cited person if issued.

X _____ Signature of person making arrest — Date: 04/17/25 Time: 1715 HOURS

X _____ Signature of Witness (Not the officer) — Name: _____ (Print name of witness) Phone: _____

APD 04/07 *** IN YOUR OWN HANDWRITING, PLEASE PROVIDE THE DETAILS SURROUNDING THE ARREST ON THE BACK OF THIS FORM ***

ALHAMBRA POLICE DEPARTMENT

Private Persons Arrest Narrative

Patron Arrogant Hollywood came into the library. He was loud and agitated. When staff asked him to quiet down, he got even louder. Another library patron also asked him to be quiet as we are on the quiet floor of library. He threatened to fight him. He was asked to leave the building multiple times but resisted leaving peacefully.

Copy for Authorized Person
Alhambra Police Dept
MPajon 4/20/2025 2:59:19 PM

Signature X 17:32

APD 08/07

2023-GEN-001-00

FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles

JAN 23 2023

David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court
By: R. Mina, Deputy

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

USE OF CAMERAS IN THE COURTHOUSE	GENERAL ORDER

Alhambra Police Dept
MPapin 4/20/2023 2:39:20 PM
Copy for Authorized Person

No one except authorized court and court security personnel may engage in photographing, recording, or broadcasting, or activate any camera, microphone, recorder, or broadcasting device in any courtroom or courthouse in the County where the Court conducts business, including all entrances, exits, hallways, escalators, elevators, and adjacent or subterranean court parking areas except as permitted by Superior Court of Los Angeles County, Local Rules, rule 2.17 and California Rules of Court, rule 1.150, or as permitted by this order or other order of a judicial officer as set forth herein. This order shall not preclude any law enforcement officer from taking appropriate steps to ensure the orderly and peaceable conduct of court business at a courthouse, including the recording of criminal activity in progress. A law enforcement officer's use of a body camera for passive recording is allowed, provided the camera is set to automatically overwrite data within the timeframe prescribed by the officer's departmental standards.

For purposes of this rule, the following definitions apply:

a) **Camera** – Any device that has a primary function of recording images and is not part of a multifunction device such as a "smartphone."

b) **Wearable Electronic Device and Wearable Camera** – Any miniature electronic device that is worn under, with, or on top of clothing and having a primary purpose of image capture or recording (such as Google Glass, Go Pro Cameras, Lapel Pin cameras).

c) **Personal Electronic Device** – Any device capable of communicating, transmitting, receiving, or recording messages, images, sounds, data, or other information by any means including but not limited to a computer, tablet, cell phone, or Bluetooth device.

This order applies to the use of any and all Cameras, Wearable Electronic Devices and Wearable Cameras and Personal Electronic Devices as defined above.

Except for representatives of media or media agencies as defined in California Rules of Court, rule 1.150(b)(2), no person shall bring into any courthouse a Camera, Wearable Electronic Device, or Wearable Camera as defined above. No person shall operate a Camera, Wearable Electronic Device, Wearable Camera, or Personal Electronic Device in violation of Superior Court of Los Angeles County Local Rule 2.17. To establish whether a person is bringing a Camera, Wearable Electronic Device, or Wearable Camera into the courthouse for the purpose of media coverage, security personnel may require that person to either provide a press pass issued by a local law enforcement agency, other verifiable press credentials, or a copy of a current filed petition seeking a court order pursuant to Local Rule 2.17.

Personal Electronic Devices may be brought into a court facility but may be used only in accordance with Local Rule 2.17. All electronic devices may be inspected by court security personnel.

All persons entering any courthouse shall comply with Los Angeles County Superior Court, Local Rules, rule 2.17(b), which provides:

> "While in court, no one may engage in photographing, recording, or broadcasting, or activate any camera, microphone, recorder or broadcasting device, except: 1) in a courtroom where the judge has issued an order allowing media coverage under California Rules of Court, rule 1.150 and Local Rule 2.17(d), or expressly granted permission, under California Rules of Court, rule 1.150(d) or otherwise, to photograph, record, and/or broadcast; or 2) outside the courtroom, if it is: i) in a designated media area, or ii) with prior written permission from the Presiding Judge, Supervising Judge, or site judge. No one may carry any camera, microphone, or recording equipment, or activate the image or sound capturing feature of any computer, mobile telephone, watch or

Copy for Authorized Person
Alhambra Police Dept.
MPDjon 4/20/2023 2:19 PM

other similar equipment in a courtroom without express written permission from the appropriate judicial officer."

This order does not prohibit the use of portable scanners, cameras, or copiers, provided such devices are used solely for the permissive purpose of imaging documents.

Prior to entering a courtroom, cellular phones, pagers, and all other electronic communication or recording devices must be silenced or, in the discretion of the judicial officer, turned off. No such device shall be handled in any way as to indicate that a picture, audio, or video recording is being taken except in compliance with Local Rule 2.17. Any such device which disrupts the proceedings shall be subject to confiscation and search. Nothing in this order shall restrict a judicial officer's discretion to regulate the use of such devices in their courtroom.

This order is for the protection of the public, all parties, and court personnel, and to facilitate the fair and orderly resolution of cases. This order is subject to modification based upon specific circumstances and the discretion of an individual judicial officer in that judicial officer's courtroom, the courthouse site judge, the Supervising Judge of a district or discipline, or the Assistant Presiding Judge in the event of the unavailability of the Presiding Judge.

A copy of this order shall be posted on the Court's Web site and shall be made reasonably available or posted near every security entry point into each court building, and at such other locations as the Court's Office of Public Safety directs. Violation of this order may result in seizure of the device, monetary sanctions pursuant to section 177.5 of the Code of Civil Procedure, and/or a finding of contempt pursuant to sections 128 and 1209 of the Code of Civil Procedure, and/or arrest pursuant to Penal Code sections 166(a)(4), 166(a)(5), and 632.

Any court staff, security personnel, or peace officer who becomes aware that a person is using a device in violation of this order is directed to advise such individual orally of this order, and take steps to provide the person with a written copy of this order as soon as practical. Security personnel or a peace officer who has reasonable cause to believe a violation of this order has occurred are requested to prepare an incident report, and if the circumstances warrant immediate corrective action because the person persists in violating this order despite being informed of it or has violated the order in a way that appears to have a significant adverse impact upon court security or the fair and orderly resolution of cases, shall

Copy for Authorized Person
Alhambra Police Dept
MP4 on 4/30/2025 2:59:22 PM

take possession of the device and bring the person without unnecessary delay to the courtroom judicial officer, nearest available site judge, district or discipline Supervising Judge, Assistant Presiding Judge or Presiding Judge, as may be appropriate, to determine if there is sufficient cause to believe there has been a violation of this order without good cause or substantial justification. Such judicial officer may take such action or issue such orders to show cause regarding imposition of sanctions or contempt pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure sections 128, 177.5, and 1209, and concerning the device, as may be appropriate.

GOOD CAUSE APPEARING THEREFOR, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Effective immediately, this General Order is to remain in effect until otherwise ordered by the Presiding Judge.

DATED: January 23, 2023

SAMANTHA P. JESSNER
Presiding Judge

Copy for Authorized Person
MPajon 4/20/2025 2:59 PM

25-14314

LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Safety Information

Date: February 5, 2025 **Law Enforcement Use Only**



Copy for Discovery

CONFIDENTIAL

Name: Hollywood, Arogant *(his preferred spelling)* **DOB: 12/09/1978 Sex: Male Race: Black**
Height: 6'06" Weight: 205 lbs. Hair: Black Eyes: Brown CII#:A31858966

Subject is transient and his current location is unknown.

Subject Hollywood was convicted of PC 422 in 2023 and was placed on Felony Probation. The subject then made threatening and harassing remarks to the prosecuting LA County Deputy District Attorney, which resulted in a restraining order being issued. Subject Hollywood continued his harassing behavior, had his probation revoked, and he was remanded into custody at Alhambra Court. The subject was sentenced to State Prison and was released on parole shortly thereafter. The Subject has recently been harassing LADA personnel at the Hall of Justice, Alhambra Court, and Pasadena Court. Subject Hollywood is currently on Parole and is known to frequent the foothill cities of the San Gabriel Valley and was last arrested for a parole violation in the City of Riverside.

Should personnel have contact with Subject Hollywood and his behavior becomes disruptive, immediately contact your on site LASD personnel for assistance.

Ref: LADA – BOI 2024-S-0985

PAGE 01 04/17/25 20:05:46 AHPF PRINT REQUESTED BY TERMINAL AHOF
TO: AHOF FROM: CLETS 04/17/25 20:05:44
4ALHOAHOFI.IB
8AYX.CA0190100
RE: QRR.CA0190100.FCN/2322332200327
MATCH MADE ON
FCN/2322332200327
FCN FIELD SEARCH REVEALS:
FCN/2322332200327
ORI/CA0190095
OCA/19130CH130
NIC/H885489954
*** SERVED CIVIL HARASSMENT ORDER ***
DO NOT ARREST OR DETAIN BASED SOLELY ON THIS RESPONSE
THIS RESTRAINING ORDER RESPONSE MAY BE THE SAME AS:
* * * * * RESTRAINED PERSON INFORMATION * * * * *
NAM/HOLLYWOOD,AROGANT DOB/19781209
SEX/M RAC/B EYE/BRO HAI/BLK

* * * * * PROTECTED PERSON INFORMATION * * * * *
PROTECTED PERSON NAME/ROSENBERG,BRIAN MARK
PROTECTED PERSON SEX/M PROTECTED PERSON RACE/W
PROTECTED PERSON DOB/[redacted]

* * * * * COURT INFORMATION * * * * *
RESTRAINED PERSON PRESENT IN COURT/NO
COURT NAME/LOS ANGELES COUNTY SUPERIOR-NORTH EAST DISTRICT (PASADENA)
COURT PHONE NUMBER/(626) 396-3396
COURT CASE NUMBER/23PDR001713
ISSUE DATE/20231220 EXPIRATION DATE/20261220
CONTACT PROTECTED PERSON/NO - THE RESTRAINED PERSON MUST NOT CONTACT THE PROTECTED PERSON(S) DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY, BY ANY MEANS INCLUDING TELEPHONE, MAIL, EMAIL, OR OTHER ELECTRONIC MEANS - PEACEFUL WRITTEN CONTACT THROUGH 3RD PARTY FOR SERVICE OF LEGAL PAPERS ALLOWED.

ORDER FOR NO ABUSE/YES - THE RESTRAINED PERSON MUST NOT HARASS, INTIMIDATE, MOLEST, ATTACK, STRIKE, STALK, THREATEN, ASSAULT, HIT, ABUSE, DESTROY PERSONAL PROPERTY OF, OR DISTURB THE PEACE OF THE PROTECTED PERSON(S).

THE RESTRAINED PERSON MUST NOT TAKE ANY ACTION TO LOOK FOR THE PROTECTED PERSON(S), INCLUDING ADDRESSES OR LOCATIONS

FIREARM PROVISIONS/CANNOT POSSESS, PURCHASE, OR RECEIVE FIREARMS, RECEIVERS, FRAMES, FIREARM PRECURSOR PARTS, OR AMMUNITION (AS DEFINED BY PC 16150[b]). MUST SURRENDER ALL FIREARMS, RECEIVERS, FRAMES, AND FIREARM PRECURSOR PARTS TO LEA OR SELL TO A LICENSED GUN DEALER ONLY, AND MUST SHOW PROOF OF SURRENDER TO COURT. FOR ANY DOMESTIC VIOLENCE OR JUVENILE RESTRAINING ORDER, MUST ALSO SURRENDER AMMUNITION (AS DEFINED BY PC 16150[b]).

STAY AWAY FROM/PROTECTED PERSON, RESIDENCE, PROTECTED PERSONS VEHICLE
STAY AWAY/0100 YARDS

* * * * * SERVICE INFORMATION * * * * *
SERVED DATE/20231228 TIME/1033
SERVED BY/AGUILAR,DEPUTY/65127
AGENCY/ALHAMBRA POLICE DEPARTMENT
SERVING AGENCY CASE NUMBER/2504932

Copy for Authorized Person
Alhambra Police Dept
4/2 2025 3:29 PM

PROOF OF SERVICE MIS/PROOF OF SERVICE SERVED BY DEPUTY AGUILAR ID 651274
IMMEDIATELY CONFIRM WITH CA0190095 LASO COURT SERVICES CMU ELAO
TELEPHONE [redacted] IF NO ANSWER CALL TELEPHONE [redacted]
COPY OF THE ORDER CAN BE OBTAINED AT
https://lasd.org/courtservices/carpos BY ENTERING THE FCN
********** VIOLATION MESSAGE **********
NUMBER OF PRIOR VIOLATION MSG/000
********** END OF CARPOS MESSAGE **********

Alhambra Police Dept
Copy for Authorized Person
MPajon 4/20/2025 2:59:27 PM

PAGE 01 04/17/25 20:05:57 AHPF PRINT REQUESTED BY TERMINAL AHOF
TO: AHOF FROM: NCIC 04/17/25 20:05:53
4ALHOAHOFJ.IJ
CA0190100

*****WARNING - THE FOLLOWING IS AN EXPIRED NCIC PROTECTION ORDER RECORD. DO NOT SEARCH, DETAIN, OR ARREST BASED SOLELY ON THIS RECORD. CONTACT ENTERING AGENCY TO CONFIRM STATUS AND TERMS OF PROTECTION ORDER*****

MKE/EXPIRED PROTECTION ORDER
ORI/CA0190095 NAM/HOLLYWOOD,AROGANT SEX/M RAC/U
DOB/19781209 HGT/606 WGT/200 EYE/BRO HAI/BLK
SVC/1 - SERVED SVD/20220405
PNO/2AM00052 BRD/N ISD/20220405 EXP/20250405
PCO/01 - THE SUBJECT IS RESTRAINED FROM ASSAULTING, THREATENING, ABUSING,
PCO/HARASSING, FOLLOWING, INTERFERING, OR STALKING THE PROTECTED PERSON AND/OR
PCO/THE CHILD OF THE PROTECTED PERSON.
OCA/19410CR161-2AM00052
MIS/RP MUST STAY 100 YARDS AWAY FROM 101 S FIRST ST ALHAMBRA CA 91801*
DNA/N
ORI IS LOS ANGELES CO SO LOS ANGELES 562 345-4457
PCO/07 - THE SUBJECT IS PROHIBITED FROM POSSESSING AND/OR PURCHASING A FIREARM
PCO/OR OTHER WEAPONS AS IDENTIFIED IN THE MISCELLANEOUS FIELD.
NIC/H887238932 DTE/20220521 0839 EDT DLU/20220521 0839 EDT

Alhambra Police Dept
Copy for Authorized Person
MPajon 4/20/2025 2:59:28 PM

ALHAMBRA POLICE DEPARTMENT

Police Report for Incident 25-14319

Nature: 415UN UNWANT SU **Address:** 101 S FIRST ST, ALHAMBRA PUBLIC LIBRARY ALHAMBRA CA 91801

Location: 3D56

Offense Codes: 90J, 90Z, 90Z, 90Z, WAR

Received By: ALVAREZ J 711 **How Received:** T **Agency:** ALH

Responding Officers: ROMERO J 104, SANCHEZ J 156, RODRIGUEZ J 101, SORIANO R 230, YANG B 276, CHEN E 314, MARTINEZ E 295

Responsible Officer: YANG B 276 **Disposition:** ACT 04/07/25

When Reported: 16:50:26 04/07/25 **Occurred Between:** 16:50:26 04/07/25 and 16:50:26 04/07/25

Complainant:

Last: **First:** **Mid:**

DOB: **/**/** **Dr Lic:** **Address:**

Race: **Sex:** **Phone:** **City:**

Offense Codes

Reported: **Observed:**

Additional Offense: 90J TRESPASS OF REAL PROPERTY

Additional Offense: 90Z ALL OTHER OFFENSES

Additional Offense: 90Z ALL OTHER OFFENSES

Additional Offense: 90Z ALL OTHER OFFENSES

Additional Offense: WAR OUTSIDE WARRANTS

Circumstances

EDSUC De-Escalation Successful

Responding Officers:	Unit:
ROMERO J 104	2S
SANCHEZ J 156	3H
RODRIGUEZ J 101	2H2
SORIANO R 230	2L
YANG B 276	4D
CHEN E 314	7Z
MARTINEZ E 295	

Responsible Officer: YANG B 276 **Agency:** ALH

Copy for Authorized Person

Received By:	ALVAREZ J 711	**Last Radio Log:**	18:23:10 04/17/25 1098
How Received:	T Telephone	**Clearance:**	BKG BOOKING
When Reported:	16:50:26 04/17/25	**Disposition:**	ACT Date: 04/17/25
Judicial Status:		**Occurred between:**	16:50:14 04/17/25
Misc Entry:		**and:**	16:50:26 04/17/25

Modus Operandi:	**Description :**	**Method :**
Demeanor	Personal Demeanor	Profane

Involvements

Date	Type	Description	Relationship
04/20/25	Name	ROSENBERG, BRIAN MARK	Victim
04/20/25	Name	LOHGUAN, HILDA HIU	Other
04/20/25	Name	ALHAMBRA LIBRARY,	Victim
04/17/25	Name	HOLLYWOOD, AROGANT	Suspect
04/17/25	Offense	Offense#: 21359 - M - 1 count	Charged With
04/17/25	Offense	Offense#: 21415 - M - 2 counts	Charged With
04/17/25	Offense	Offense#: 21416 - F - 1 count	Charged With
04/17/25	Offense	Offense#: 21417 - M - 1 count	Charged With
04/17/25	Cad Call	16:50:26 04/17/25 415UN UNWANT SU	Initiating Call

Copy for Authorized Person
Alhambra Police Dept
MPalon 4/20/2025 2:59:29 PM

Supplement

25-14319

On 04-18-2025 (approx. 1330 hours), I (Det. Yang #276) was contacted by Head Deputy District Attorney C. Nakao and (Victim= Deputy District Attorney Brian Rosenburg) via phone, who advised a known restrained person (Suspect= Hollywood, Aregant) had made entry into the courthouse. On 02-05-2025, Los Angeles District Attorney's Office Bureau of Investigation released a Safety Information Bulletin on S-Hollywood and warned of his abrasive and threatening behavior towards personnel of the Los Angeles District Attorney's Office.

S-Hollywood's History:

S-Hollywood is a known person most Alhambra PD officers based on the number of contacts and contacts resulting in S-Hollywood's arrest. Just from September 2021 to August 2022, Alhambra PD documented 23 encounters and of those 23 encounters, S-Hollywood was arrested seven times. Majority of the calls for services were regarding him being in violation of court order, an unwanted subject, or a subject causing a disturbance.

Prison Term: On 2023, S-Hollywood was convicted of 422 PC - Threats to life and was placed on felony probation. After his conviction from this case, S-Hollywood began to continuously harass Victim DDA Rosenburg who was assigned his case. Due to S-Hollywood's nonstop harassing behavior towards V-Rosenburg, V-Rosenburg obtained a restraining order against S-Hollywood. The restraining order (23PDR001713) was granted/issued on 12-20-2023 with the expiration date of 12-20-2026 and served to S-Hollywood on 12-20-2023 @ 1013 hours. Nevertheless, S-Hollywood continued his behavior and was admitted to state prison on 03-01-2024 and released on 04-17-2024 and placed on parole for 422(a) PC which expires 10-06-2025.

After S-Hollywood was released from state prison, the LA County District Attorney's Office personnel distributed a bulletin advising to keep a look out and to contact security for assistance if needed if confronted by S-Hollywood. This bulletin was also distributed to the Alhambra Police Department. Reference LADA-BOI 2024-R-0985.

Investigation:

I asked Head Deputy DA Nakao and V-Rosenburg how S-Hollywood was able to gain entry, despite non-sworn security personnel and Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department (LASD) deputies knowing he was restrained. It was explained S-Hollywood had made an appointment with Building Administrator (BA) Lisa Jackson who granted S-Hollywood permission to enter and notified LASD deputies to allow him in. However, BA Lisa Jackson nor LASD personnel contacted V-Rosenburg or the District Attorney's Office.

Det. Law #280 and I responded to the Alhambra Superior Courthouse (150 W. Commonwealth Ave., Alhambra), both wearing exterior vests with "POLICE" patches displayed. Upon arrival, we were told by LASD S-Hollywood was on the second floor attempting to file paperwork in the clerk's office with his girlfriend. At the time, he was not causing a disturbance or being violent.

Due to S-Hollywood being on the second floor, Det. Law and I returned to the DA's Office on the first floor to speak to V-Rosenburg. V-Rosenburg stated he, nor the Head Deputy of the DA Office was notified S-Hollywood would be coming. Earlier, V-Rosenburg was walking to his vehicle parked in the court's parking

Copy for Authorized Person

lot when he saw S-Hollywood walking towards him from Second St. V-Rosenburg was unsure if S-Hollywood saw him, but in fear for his safety, he quickly ran back into the DA office and notified Head Deputy Nakao. At the time, I believed it would be an "interest of justice" to arrest S-Hollywood for violating the court order when another Manager from the courthouse allowed him entry. In fear for his safety and the safety of his family if S-Hollywood were to follow him and/or obtain his vehicle's description and/or license plate, V-Rosenburg asked Det. Lew and I to assist with escorting him out of the building. Det. Lew and I escorted V-Rosenburg out of the building quickly and returned back to the DA Office. Based on S-Hollywood's history of disruptive and harassing behavior, the DA Office requested Det. Lew and I provide security while all staff members gather their belongings, enter their personal vehicles, and leave the court's parking lot. Shortly after, all personnel were escorted out quickly while S-Hollywood was still at the Clerk's Office.
As Det. Lew and I were returning to the DA Office to meet with Head Deputy Nakao, we were notified by non-sworn security S-Hollywood was on the first floor and attempting to go to the DA Office. Det. Lew and I located S-Hollywood on the first floor (north end) and sitting on a x-ray machine and talking to LASD Sgt. C. Barreras whom had placed himself between the DA Office door and S-Hollywood and
was attempting to reason with him and explain that the DA Office had closed for the day.

Det. Lew and I contacted S-Hollywood and explained to S-Hollywood based on his presence along with the active restraining order listing him as a restrained person from V-Rosenburg, the DA Office personnel had left in fear of him. S-Hollywood became extremely agitated and began to yell and exclaim he had paperwork to serve the DA Office, which was direct violation of the restraining order. I attempted to reason with S-Hollywood by explaining to him again all personnel had left. During the contact (16:21:2[illegible] hours), agitated, S-Hollywood rose off of the x-ray machine he was sitting on and activated his GoPro camera he wore on his chest/ VIOLATION 166(a)(4) PC - LA County Superior Court Local Rules 2.17(b) - Prohibiting any person(s) from recording or photographing in the courthouse or courtroom without express permission from the presiding judge. I watched S-Hollywood press a button on the camera which responded by making clear and loud "beeping" sounds and shortly after, its screen activated.

At 16:22:18 hours, S-Hollywood indicated that he had our interaction recorded by stating, "I got it on camera what you said" to me.

At 16:24:43 hours, S-Hollywood stated the following despite being told he was not to go to the DA Office and no one was there to accept any paperwork, "I don't give a fuck. I'm still going to that office." "I don't give a fuck if they left. I'm still gonna go to that office, knock on the door, see if anybody's there, do my due diligence for my camera and my papers and if they're not there, I'm gonna drop em' through that fucking door. Ok. That's how I'm gonna handle it."

At this time, I spoke to LASD Sgt. C. Barreras and notified him S-Hollywood had violated a court order by turning on his GoPro camera but in attempt to de-escalate the situation and not to have to use force to effect an arrest, we would walk away and Sgt. C. Barreras agreed. Alhambra officers left the courthouse and Det. Lew and I returned to the DA Office to meet with Head Deputy District Attorney Nakao and waited until S-Hollywood had left.

25-14319

Copy of Publicly Available Personal Information

Shortly after leaving the Alhambra courthouse, Det. Lew and I were informed by APD Dispatch S-Hollywood was now at Alhambra Library (101 S. First St., Alhambra) and causing a disturbance and cursing at library staff. Moments after the initial call for service, the librarian re-contacted APD Dispatch and advised S-Hollywood was on the first floor fighting with another library goer. Patrol units were dispatched and Det. Lew and I arrived shortly after. Upon officers' arrival, the altercation had already dispersed. Library goers (minors) advised us (officers) S-Hollywood had returned to the second floor. APD officers and I located S-Hollywood standing next to the second-floor librarian desk on his cellphone. Det. Lew and I contacted S-Hollywood and asked S-Hollywood to leave the library, but argued and refused stating the librarian was picking on him and was "a racist dog". At this time, I requested to speak with Library Director Louhuan, Hilda Hiu Kwan whom stated she was desirous of 602(o)(1) PC - Trespassing if S-Hollywood continued to refuse to leave.

I returned to S-Hollywood and informed him if he would not leave, he would be arrested for trespassing. From the time officers arrived on the second floor and contacted S-Hollywood (1657 hours) to the time he was physically detained by me (1710 hours), Ofc. J. Rodriguez, Det. Lew, and I all attempted to reason with S-Hollywood and asked him to leave peacefully but S-Hollywood continuously refused and stated in summary we would have to arrest him. S-Hollywood then began to give his belongings to his girlfriend and explained to her how to use their home electronic keys to enter their residence. Once he finished explaining things to his girlfriend, I gave him clear verbal commands to turn around and place his hands behind his back and he followed the instructions. S-Hollywood was detained without incident and handcuffed (double-locked). I then searched him incident to arrest for weapons and/or contrabands and was met with negative results.

Sgt. Romero, Det. Lew, and I then escorted him from the library to APD Jail without incident and assisted with booking.

See Library Director Lohguan's Statement of Private Person Arrest form collected by Ofc. Sanchez #156. The following is Library Director's Lohguan's verbatim handwritten statement:

"Patron Arogant Hollywood came into the library. He was loud and agitated. When staff asked him to quiet down, he got even louder. Another library patron also asked him to be quiet as we are on the quiet floor of the library. He threatened to fight him. He was asked to leave the building multiple times but resisted leaving peacefully."

INTERVIEW - VICTIM: Deputy District Attorney B. Rosenburg

During the initial response to the Alhambra Courthouse/DA Office, the priority was to insure the safety of protected person DDA Rosenburg, DA/courthouse staff members, along with any other patrons. Once it was determined S-Hollywood was on the second floor, LA DA Office staff members were evacuated immediately to protect them and their personal vehicles (all with affixed license plates) from being seen by S-Hollywood to avoid harassment and possibly stalking. Due to the above, I was unable to interview V-Rosenberg in person and was attempting to do so once I returned to the Alhambra Police Department. However, I was unable to call V-Rosenburg after leaving the courthouse due to having to respond to the Alhambra Library.

Once S-Hollywood was booked in Alhambra Jail without incident, I contacted V-Rosenburg by phone and he stated the following in summary:

Copy for Authorized Person
Alhambra Police Dept
4/20/2025 2:59:00 PM

V-Rosenburg was afraid for his safety and the safety of his family after seeing S-Hollywood at the courthouse even after being served the restraining order. Furthermore, S-Hollywood had already violated the restraining order on the following dates/times by sending e-mails to V-Rosenburg himself and his coworkers.

Email #1:
From: Arogant Hollywood <arogantmusicinc@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 13, 2023 1:19 AM
To: rulunas@lasd.org; atardy@lasd.org; bkhounthavong@lasd.org; rlewis@lasd.org; Brian Rosenberg <BRosenberg@da.lacounty.gov>; rakelrossi@gmail.com; rachel.rossi@usdoj.gov; AROGANTHOLLYWOODGENIUS@gmail.com
Subject: [External]DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, ROSSI, AND OTHER OFFICIALS WILL SEE TO IT THAT ROSENBERG AND KHOUNTHAVONG GO TO FEDERAL PRISION

Email #2:
From : aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Date : Tue,28 Nov 2023 12:02:40 -0800
Subject : ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. state of california, united states, county of los angeles, city of south pasadena, city of arcadia, et al [SECOND CAITL] [REAL VIDEO THAT PROVES THAT I WAS FRAMED FOR VIOLATING CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE SECTION 422(a)
NOTE: the receiver list is too long to list. See copy of e-mail.

Email #3:
From: Cheryl Kaylor <cheridtittrader@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 13, 2024 6:14 PM
Subject: [External]OFFICIAL COMPLAINT OF ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD ON BEHALF OF ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD V. sherrif robert luna, et al
NOTE: the receiver list is too long to list. See copy of e-mail.

Email #4:
From: Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, June 8, 2024 8:41 AM
Subject: people v. ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA114055) & UPCOMING FIRST CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT TITLED ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD V. judy whitehurst, et al [DEMAND PEOPLE AND LASD IMMEDIATELY TURN OVER PHONY LASD GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GLO10586 & GLO10587
NOTE: the receiver list is too long to list. See copy of e-mail.

Email #5:
From: Arogant Hollywood <abuseofaction40@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, June 8, 2024 8:58 AM
Subject: people v. ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA114055) & UPCOMING FIRST CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT TITLED ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. judy whitehurst, et al [DEMAND PEOPLE AND LASD IMMEDIATELY TURN OVER PHONY LASD GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GLO10586 & GLO10587 [I GOT A LOT OF DIFFER...
NOTE: the receiver list is too long to list. See copy of e-mail.

These e-mails were in direct violation of the restraining order served to S-Hollywood for the protective order stated the following verbatim:
"The restrained person must not contact the protected person(s) directly or indirectly, by any means including telephone, mail, email, or other electronic means - peaceful written contact through 3rd party for service of legal papers allowed."

CONCLUSION:
Based on the above, with the approval of Watch Commander Lt. Soriano, I placed

Copy Authorized Person
Alhambra Police Dept
Date/Time: 4/30/2025 2:30 PM

S-Hollywood, Arogant under arrest for the following:

166(a)(4) PC - Violating court order not to record within a courthouse pursuant to LA County Superior Court Local Rules 2.17(b) - Prohibiting any person(s) from recording or photographing in the courthouse or courtroom without express permission from the presiding judge.

166(a)(4) PC - Violating protective order by contacting V-Rosenburg directly and indirectly via email.

602(O)(2) PC - Trespassing. Refusing to leave Alhambra Library upon the request of Library Director.

Along with an outstanding warrant 24P[illegible]253401 for $1.00 for 7875(c)(7) municipal code.

After the booking process, I contacted LA County Parole whom granted the parole hold for violating parole, 3056 PC.

I authored a probable cause declaration which was granted by Honorable Judicial Officer S. Knight: 04-17-25; 1958 hours.

Follow-Up:
On Friday, 04-18-25, I called the Alhambra Courthouse and asked to speak to Building Administrator Lisa Jackson. She later returned my call with Director of Public Security Bryan Glass.

Lisa Jackson stated the following in summary: On Thursday, 04-17-25, sometime in the morning, S-Hollywood contacted the public line or administrative line and was transferred to Jackson. S-Hollywood called to meet with Jackson to file paperwork/motions to his case that V-Rosenburg was assigned to. Jackson spoke to Honorable Presiding Judge Villalobos who allowed Jackson to allow S-Hollywood to come and file motions to court. Jackson stated LASD should have acted as security for the DA Office.

This concluded the phone call. I later e-mail Jackson my contact information and the report number per her request. She never responded to my e-mail.

-End of Report-

Copy for Authorized Person

Sentryx Booking Information:

Sentryx Booking Number: 11693 **Name Number:** 18266

Name: HOLLYWOOD, AROGANT **Address:** 2308 FELICIA AVE
Phone: (206)471-1344 HGHTS, CA 91748
DOB: 12/09/78 **Dr Lic:** F2776523
Assigned Bed: " **Current Location:** "
Booking Date: 04/17/25

Sentryx Arrest # 25-0596

Time/Date: 16:50:26 04/17/25 **Agency:** ALH
Age at Arrest: 46 **Location:** 101 S FIRST ST; ALHAMBRA PUBLIC LIBRARY **Officer:** YANG B 276
Arrest Type: BOOK **Area:** DIS6 **Reference:**
Disposition: MIS

Sentryx Offense # 21359

Statute: 602(M) PC M (32100) **NCIC:**
Offense: 90J **Crime Class:** M
Offense Reference: **Offense Type:** S **Offense Area:** DIS6
Related Incident: 25-14319 **Law Jurisdiction:** CAS
Entry Code: **Offense Location:**
Court Code: **Offense Time/Date:** 16:50:26 04/17/25
Offense Disposition: **Disposition Date:** **/**/**

Sentryx Offense # 21415

Statute: 166(A)(4) PC M (50106) **NCIC:**
Offense: 90Z **Crime Class:** M
Offense Reference: **Offense Type:** S **Offense Area:** DIS6
Related Incident: 25-14319 **Law Jurisdiction:** CAS
Entry Code: **Offense Location:**
Court Code: **Offense Time/Date:** 17:15:00 04/17/25
Offense Disposition: **Disposition Date:** **/**/**

Sentryx Offense # 21416

Statute: 3056 PC F (50075) **NCIC:**
Offense: 90Z **Crime Class:** F
Offense Reference: **Offense Type:** S **Offense Area:** DIS6
Related Incident: 25-14319 **Law Jurisdiction:** CAS
Entry Code: **Offense Location:**
Court Code: **Offense Time/Date:** 17:15:00 04/17/25
Offense Disposition: **Disposition Date:** **/**/**

Sentryx Offense # 21417

Alhambra Police Dept
MPatton 4/20/2025 3:39:31 PM
Copy for Authorized Person

Statute:	O/W-MISD (66143)	**NCIC:**	
Offense:	WAR	**Crime Class:**	M
Offense Reference:	**Offense Type:** S	**Offense Area:**	DIS6
Related Incident:	25-14319	**Law Jurisdiction:**	CAS
Entry Code:		**Offense Location:**	
Court Code:	PSC	**Offense Time/Date:**	17:15:00 04/17/25
Offense Disposition:		**Disposition Date:**	** **/**

Copy for Authorized Person
Alhambra Police Dept
MP@gov 4/21/2025 2:50:31 PM

Name Involvements:

Victim : 31418
Last: ROSENBERG **First:** BRIAN **Mid:** MARK
DOB: 03/24/87 **Dr Lic:** **Address:** 150 W COMMONWEALTH AVE; ALHAMBRA SUPERIOR COURT
Race: W **Sex:** M **Phone:** (626)308-5437 **City:** ALHAMBRA, CA 91801

Victim : 70701
Last: ALHAMBRA LIBRARY **First:** **Mid:**
DOB: **/**/** **Dr Lic:** **Address:** 101 S FIRST ST; ALHAMBRA PUBLIC LIBRARY
Race: **Sex:** **Phone:** **City:** ALHAMBRA, CA 91801

Suspect : 18266
Last: HOLLYWOOD **First:** AROGANT **Mid:**
DOB: 12/09/78 **Dr Lic:** F2776523 **Address:** 2308 FELICIA AVE
Race: B **Sex:** M **Phone:** (206)471-1344 **City:** HGHTS, CA 91748

Other : 68804
Last: LOHGUAN **First:** HILDA **Mid:** HIU
DOB: [redacted] **Dr Lic:** [redacted] **Address:** [redacted]
Race: A **Sex:** F **Phone:** [redacted] **City:**

Copy for Authorized Person
Alhambra Police Dept
Mon Apr 14 2025 3:50:31 PM

Exhibit 5

CR-161

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
STREET ADDRESS: 210 WEST TEMPLE STREET
MAILING ADDRESS:
CITY AND ZIP CODE: LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012
BRANCH NAME: CLARA SHORTRIDGE FOLTZ CRIMINAL JUSTICE CENTER

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
v.
DEFENDANT: ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD

FOR COURT USE ONLY

CONFORMED COPY
ORIGINAL FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles

APR 21 2025

David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court

CASE NUMBER: 25CRMO2102

CRIMINAL PROTECTIVE ORDER—OTHER THAN DOMESTIC VIOLENCE (CLETS-CPO) (Pen. Code, §§ 136.2, 136.2(i), 136.2(l) and 646.9(k))

ORDER PENDING TRIAL: ☒ Pen. Code, § 136.2 ☐ MODIFICATION

ORDER UPON CONVICTION: ☐ Pen. Code, § 136.2(i) ☐ Pen. Code, § 646.9(k) ☐ Pen. Code, § 368(l)

1. Restrained person
*Name: ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD *Gender: ☒ M ☐ F ☐ Nonbinary *Race: BLK
*Date of birth: 12/09/1978 Height: 6'5" Weight: 245 Hair color: BLK Eye color: BRO

2. Protected person
*Name: BRIAN ROSENBERG *Gender: ☒ M ☐ F ☐ Nonbinary Age: 36

3. Additional protected persons
*Name *Gender Relationship to person in item 2

a. ☐ The court finds that the protected person's family members have been targeted or harmed by the defendant (finding required for postconviction orders issued under Penal Code section 136.2(i))

b. ☐ The court finds by clear and convincing evidence that the above named percipient witnesses have been harassed by the defendant (finding required for postconviction orders issued under Penal Code section 136.2(i)(2))

(For items 1, 2, and 3: Information that has a star (*) next to it is required to add this order into the California Restraining and Protective Order System. Please provide all known information.)

4. Expiration date
a. For pretrial orders, this order remains in effect until further court order. To terminate, courts must use *Notice of Termination of Protective Order in Criminal Proceeding* (form CR-165).
b. For postconviction orders, this order expires on (date): (Postconviction orders under Penal Code sections 136.2(i) and 646.9(k) may be valid for up to 10 years.)

5. Hearing
This proceeding was heard on (date): April 21, 2025 at (time): 2:00 PM in Dept.: 48
by (judicial officer): THE HONORABLE JUDGE DABNEY

6. Personal service
☒ Defendant was personally served with a copy of this order at the court hearing, and no additional proof of service of this order is required.

7. The court finds good cause to grant a protective order. See items 8–15.

To the defendant
- If you do not obey these orders, you can be charged with a crime, go to jail or prison, and/or pay a fine.

8. No firearms (guns), firearm parts, or ammunition
a. The defendant must not own, possess, buy or try to buy, receive or try to receive, or in any other way get any firearms (guns), firearm parts (meaning receivers, frames, or any item that may be used as or easily turned into a receiver or frame; see Penal Code section 16531), or ammunition. Possession of firearms, firearm parts, or ammunition while this order is in effect may subject the defendant to state or federal prosecution and may include jail or prison time and/or a fine.

DEFENDANT: AROGANT HOLLYWOOD	CASE NUMBER: 25CJCM02162

8 b. Within 24 hours of receiving this order the defendant must turn in to local law enforcement, or sell to or store with a licensed gun dealer, any firearms or firearm parts owned by the defendant or within the defendant's immediate possession or control.

c. Within 48 hours of receiving this order the defendant must file a receipt with the court showing that all firearms and firearm parts have been turned in, sold, or stored.

d. [ ] The court finds good cause to believe that the defendant has a firearm and firearm parts within their immediate possession or control and sets a review hearing for *(date)*: *(time)*: *(dept.)*: to ascertain whether the defendant has complied with the firearm and firearm parts relinquishment requirements of Code of Civil Procedure section 527.9 (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 4.700)

e. [ ] Limited exemption: The court has made the necessary findings to grant an exemption under Code of Civil Procedure section 527.9(f). Under California law, the defendant is not required to relinquish this firearm *(specify make, model, and serial number of firearm)*: but must only have it during scheduled work hours and while traveling to and from their place of work. Even if exempt under California law, the defendant may be subject to federal prosecution for possessing or controlling a firearm.

9. [X] **No dissuading victim or witness (for pretrial orders issued under Penal Code section 136.2(a)(1))** The defendant must not attempt to or actually prevent or dissuade any victim or witness from attending a hearing, testifying, or making a report to any law enforcement agency or person.

10. **No obtaining addresses (for orders issued under Penal Code section 136.2)**

a. The defendant must take no action to obtain the addresses or locations of protected persons or their family members, caretakers, or guardians unless good cause exists otherwise.

b. [ ] The court finds good cause not to make this order.

11. [X] **Order to not abuse**
Defendant must not harass, strike, threaten, assault (sexually or otherwise), hit, follow, stalk, molest, destroy or damage personal or real property, disturb the peace of, keep under surveillance, annoy by phone or other electronic means (including repeatedly contact), impersonate (on the Internet, electronically, or otherwise), or block movements of the protected persons named in items 2 and 3.

12. [X] **No-contact order**
Defendant must not contact the protected persons named in items 2 and 3, directly or indirectly, by any means, including by telephone, mail, email or other electronic means, or through a third party. Contact through an attorney under reasonable restrictions set by the court does not violate this order.

13. [X] **Stay-away order**
Defendant must stay at least 100 yards away from the protected person and their
a. [X] home b. [X] job or workplace c. [X] vehicle d. [ ] other protected person in item 3
e. [X] other locations: 150 W. Commonwealth Avenue, Alhambra, CA 91801

14. [ ] **Exceptions**
Defendant may have peaceful contact with the protected persons named in items 2 and 3, as an exception to the no-contact and stay-away orders in items 12 and 13 of this order, only for the safe exchange of children and court-ordered visitation as stated in
a. [ ] the family, juvenile, or probate court order in *(case number)*: issued on *(date)*:
b. [ ] any family, juvenile, or probate court order issued *after* the date this order is signed.
The restrained and protected persons should always carry a certified copy of the most recent order issued by this family, juvenile, or probate court.

15. [ ] **Electronic monitoring**
Defendant must be placed on electronic monitoring for *(specify length of time)*:
(Not to exceed 1 year from the date of this order. Pen. Code, § 136.2(a)(1)(G)(iv), (i)(3).)

16. [X] **Other orders**
Stay 100 yards away from the Alhambra Public Library 101 S. First St, Alhambra, CA 91801

Executed on *(date)*: April 21, 2025

JUDICIAL OFFICER

DEFENDANT: AROGANT HOLLYWOOD	CASE NUMBER: 25CJCM02162

Instructions for Law Enforcement

1. Start Date and End Date of Order

This order starts on the date it was issued by a judicial officer.
This order ends as ordered in item 4 on page 1 of this order.

- Orders under Penal Code section 136.2(a) are valid as long as the court has jurisdiction over the case. They are not valid after imposition of a county jail or state prison commitment. (*People v. Stone* (2004) 123 Cal.App.4th 153.)
- Orders issued under Penal Code sections 136.2(i)(1) and 646.9(k) are valid for up to 10 years and may be issued by the court whether the defendant is sentenced to state prison, county jail, or subject to mandatory supervision or if imposition of sentence is suspended and the defendant is placed on probation.
- To terminate this protective order, courts should use form CR-165, *Notice of Termination of Protective Order in Criminal Proceeding (CLETS-CANCEL)*.

2. Enforcing This Order in California

- This order must be enforced in California by any law enforcement agency that has received the order, or is shown a copy of the order, or has verified its existence on the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS).

3. Conflicting Orders—Priority of Enforcement

If more than one restraining order has been issued protecting the protected person from the restrained person, the orders must be enforced in the following priority (see Penal Code section 136.2 and Family Code sections 6383(h)(2), 6405(b)):

- **Emergency Protective Order (EPO):** If one of the orders is an *Emergency Protective Order* (form EPO-001), provisions (e.g., stay-away order) that are more restrictive than in the other restraining/protective orders must be enforced. Provisions of another order that do not conflict with the EPO must be enforced.
- **No-Contact Order:** If a restraining/protective order includes a no-contact order, the no-contact order must be enforced. Item 12 is an example of a no-contact order.
- **Criminal Protective Order (CPO):** If none of the orders includes an EPO or a no-contact order, the most recent CPO must be enforced. (Family Code sections 6383(h)(2), 6405(b).) Additionally, a CPO issued in a criminal case involving charges of domestic violence, Penal Code sections 261, 261.5, or former 262, or charges requiring sex offender registration must be enforced over any civil court order. (Penal Code section 136.2(e)(2).) All provisions in the civil court order that do not conflict with the CPO must be enforced.
- **Civil Restraining Orders:** If there is more than one civil restraining order (e.g., domestic violence, juvenile, elder abuse, civil harassment) then the order that was issued last must be enforced. Provisions that do not conflict with the most recent civil restraining order must be enforced.

Peace Officer Firearm Prohibition Exemption

If a peace officer's employment and personal safety depend on the ability to carry a firearm, a court may grant an exemption that allows the officer to carry a firearm on or off duty, but only if the court finds, after a mandatory psychological examination of the peace officer, that the officer does not pose a threat of harm. (Code Civ. Proc., § 527.9(f).)

Exhibit 5

CR-161

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
STREET ADDRESS: 210 WEST TEMPLE STREET
MAILING ADDRESS:
CITY AND ZIP CODE: LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012
BRANCH NAME: CLARA SHORTRIDGE FOLTZ CRIMINAL JUSTICE CENTER

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
v
DEFENDANT: AROGANT HOLLYWOOD

FOR COURT USE ONLY

CONFORMED COPY
ORIGINAL FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles
APR 21 2025
David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court

CASE NUMBER:
25CRCM02162

CRIMINAL PROTECTIVE ORDER—OTHER THAN DOMESTIC VIOLENCE (CLETS-CPO) (Pen. Code, §§ 136.2, 136.2(i), 136.2(f) and 646.9(k))

ORDER PENDING TRIAL: [X] Pen. Code, § 136.2 [ ] MODIFICATION

ORDER UPON CONVICTION: [ ] Pen. Code, § 136.2(i) [ ] Pen. Code, § 646.9(k) [ ] Pen. Code, § 368(f)

1. **Restrained person**
*Name: AROGANT HOLLYWOOD *Gender: [X] M [ ] F [ ] Nonbinary *Race: BLK
*Date of birth: 12/09/1978 Height: 6'5" Weight: 245 Hair color: BLK Eye color: BRO

2. **Protected person**
*Name: BRIAN ROSENBERG *Gender: [X] M [ ] F [ ] Nonbinary Age: 38

3. **Additional protected persons**

*Name	*Gender	Relationship to person in item 2

a [ ] The court finds that the protected person's family members have been targeted or harmed by the defendant (finding required for postconviction orders issued under Penal Code section 136.2(i))

b [ ] The court finds by clear and convincing evidence that the above named percipient witnesses have been harassed by the defendant (finding required for postconviction orders issued under Penal Code section 136.2(i)(2))

(For items 1, 2, and 3: Information that has a star (*) next to it is required to add this order into the California Restraining and Protective Order System. Please provide all known information.)

4. **Expiration date**
a For pretrial orders, this order remains in effect until further court order. To terminate, courts must use *Notice of Termination of Protective Order in Criminal Proceeding* (form CR-165).
b For postconviction orders, this order expires on *(date)*: (Postconviction orders under Penal Code sections 136.2(i) and 646.9(k) may be valid for up to 10 years.)

5. **Hearing**
This proceeding was heard on *(date)*: April 21, 2025 at *(time)*: 2:00 PM in Dept.: 48
by *(judicial officer)*: THE HONORABLE JUDGE DABNEY

6. **Personal service**
[X] Defendant was personally served with a copy of this order at the court hearing, and no additional proof of service of this order is required.

7. The court finds good cause to grant a protective order. See items 8–15.

To the defendant
- If you do not obey these orders, you can be charged with a crime, go to jail or prison, and/or pay a fine.

8. **No firearms (guns), firearm parts, or ammunition**
a The defendant must not own, possess, buy or try to buy, receive or try to receive, or in any other way get any firearms (guns), firearm parts (meaning receivers, frames, or any item that may be used as or easily turned into a receiver or frame; see Penal Code section 16531), or ammunition. Possession of firearms, firearms parts, or ammunition while this order is in effect may subject the defendant to state or federal prosecution and may include jail or prison time and/or a fine.

DEFENDANT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD

CASE NUMBER 25CJCM02162

8 b. Within 24 hours of receiving this order the defendant must turn in to local law enforcement, or sell to or store with a licensed gun dealer, any firearms or firearm parts owned by the defendant or within the defendant's immediate possession or control.

c. Within 48 hours of receiving this order the defendant must file a receipt with the court showing that all firearms and firearm parts have been turned in, sold, or stored.

d. [ ] The court finds good cause to believe that the defendant has a firearm and firearm parts within their immediate possession or control and sets a review hearing for *(date)* *(time)* *(dept.)* to ascertain whether the defendant has complied with the firearm and firearm parts relinquishment requirements of Code of Civil Procedure section 527.9 (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 4.700).

e. [ ] Limited exemption. The court has made the necessary findings to grant an exemption under Code of Civil Procedure section 527.9(f). Under California law, the defendant is not required to relinquish this firearm *(specify make, model, and serial number of firearm)*: but must only have it during scheduled work hours and while traveling to and from their place of work. Even if exempt under California law, the defendant may be subject to federal prosecution for possessing or controlling a firearm.

9 [X] **No dissuading victim or witness (for pretrial orders issued under Penal Code section 136.2(a)(1))**
The defendant must not attempt to or actually prevent or dissuade any victim or witness from attending a hearing, testifying, or making a report to any law enforcement agency or person.

10 **No obtaining addresses (for orders issued under Penal Code section 136.2)**
a. The defendant must take no action to obtain the addresses or locations of protected persons or their family members, caretakers, or guardians unless good cause exists otherwise.
b. [ ] The court finds good cause not to make this order.

11 [X] **Order to not abuse**
Defendant must not harass, strike, threaten, assault (sexually or otherwise), hit, follow, stalk, molest, destroy or damage personal or real property, disturb the peace of, keep under surveillance, annoy by phone or other electronic means (including repeatedly contact), impersonate (on the internet, electronically, or otherwise), or block movements of the protected persons named in items 2 and 3.

12 [X] **No-contact order**
Defendant must not contact the protected persons named in items 2 and 3, directly or indirectly, by any means, including by telephone, mail, email or other electronic means, or through a third party. Contact through an attorney under reasonable restrictions set by the court does not violate this order.

13 [X] **Stay-away order**
Defendant must stay at least 100 yards away from the protected person and their
a. [X] home b. [X] job or workplace c. [X] vehicle d. [ ] other protected person in item 3
e. [X] other locations: 150 W. Commonwealth Avenue, Alhambra, CA 91801

14 [ ] **Exceptions**
Defendant may have peaceful contact with the protected persons named in items 2 and 3, as an exception to the no-contact and stay-away orders in items 12 and 13 of this order, only for the safe exchange of children and court-ordered visitation as stated in
a. [ ] the family, juvenile, or probate court order in *(case number)* issued on *(date)*.
b. [ ] any family, juvenile, or probate court order issued *after* the date this order is signed.
The restrained and protected persons should always carry a certified copy of the most recent order issued by the [illegible] Juvenile, or probate court.

15 [ ] **Electronic monitoring**
Defendant must be placed on electronic monitoring for *(specify length of time)*
(Not to exceed 1 year from the date of this order. Pen. Code, § 136.2(a)(1)(G)(iv), (i)(3).)

16 [X] **Other orders**
Stay 100 yards away from the Alhambra Public Library 101 S. First St, Alhambra, CA 91801

Executed on *(date)* April 21, 2025

JUDICIAL OFFICER

DEFENDANT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD	CASE NUMBER 25CJCM02162

Instructions for Law Enforcement

1 Start Date and End Date of Order

This order starts on the date it was issued by a judicial officer
This order ends as ordered in item 4 on page 1 of this order

- Orders under Penal Code section 136.2(a) are valid as long as the court has jurisdiction over the case. They are not valid after imposition of a county jail or state prison commitment. (*People v. Stone* (2004) 123 Cal.App.4th 153.)
- Orders issued under Penal Code sections 136.2(i)(1) and 646.9(k) are valid for up to 10 years and may be issued by the court whether the defendant is sentenced to state prison, county jail, or subject to mandatory supervision or if imposition of sentence is suspended and the defendant is placed on probation.
- To terminate this protective order, courts should use form CR-165, *Notice of Termination of Protective Order in Criminal Proceeding (CLETS-CANCEL)*.

2 Enforcing This Order in California

- This order must be enforced in California by any law enforcement agency that has received the order, or is shown a copy of the order, or has verified its existence on the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS).

3 Conflicting Orders—Priority of Enforcement

If more than one restraining order has been issued protecting the protected person from the restrained person, the orders must be enforced in the following priority (see Penal Code section 136.2 and Family Code sections 6383(h)(2), 6405(b)):

- **Emergency Protective Order (EPO)**: If one of the orders is an *Emergency Protective Order* (form EPO-001), provisions (e.g., stay-away order) that are more restrictive than in the other restraining/protective orders must be enforced. Provisions of another order that do not conflict with the EPO must be enforced.
- **No-Contact Order**: If a restraining/protective order includes a no-contact order, the no-contact order must be enforced. Item 12 is an example of a no-contact order.
- **Criminal Protective Order (CPO)**: If none of the orders includes an EPO or a no-contact order, the most recent CPO must be enforced. (Family Code sections 6383(h)(2), 6405(b).) Additionally, a CPO issued in a criminal case involving charges of domestic violence, Penal Code sections 261, 261.5, or former 262, or charges requiring sex offender registration must be enforced over any civil court order. (Penal Code section 136.2(e)(2).) All provisions in the civil court order that do not conflict with the CPO must be enforced.
- **Civil Restraining Orders**: If there is more than one civil restraining order (e.g., domestic violence, juvenile, elder abuse, civil harassment) then the order that was issued last must be enforced. Provisions that do not conflict with the most recent civil restraining order must be enforced.

Peace Officer Firearm Prohibition Exemption

If a peace officer's employment and personal safety depend on the ability to carry a firearm, a court may grant an exemption that allows the officer to carry a firearm on or off duty, but only if the court finds, after a mandatory psychological examination of the peace officer, that the officer does not pose a threat of harm. (Code Civ. Proc., § 527.9(f).)

Exhibit 6

[redacted]

From: Arogant Hollywood <arogantnollywoodgenius@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 7, 2025 12:39 AM
To: gkennedy@alhambrapd.org; jargusa@alhambrafire.org; jbinquist@cityofalhambra.org; tseki@alhambrapd.org; Area1@alhambrapd.org; gspencer@alhambrapd.org; area2@alhambrapd.org; rsoriano@alhambrapd.org; area3@alhambrapd.org; rcastillo@alhambrapd.org; area4@alhambrapd.org; klaing@alhambrapd.org; dfuentes@alhambrapd.org; rgarcia@alhambraca.gov; gdiaz@alhambrapd.org; rrongavilla@alhambrapd.org; dtran@alhambrapd.org; mlee@alhambrapd.org; hreyes@alhambrapd.org; ochung@alhambrapd.org; lwan@cityofalhambra.org; klee@alhambraca.gov; rmaza@cityofalhambra.org; jmaloney@cityofalhambra.org; maloney@smmc.ca.gov; nwang@cityofalhambra.org; aandradestadler@gmail.com; adele.stadler@gmail.com; joestadler@ladwp.com; andrade@eaterhink.net; aandradestadler@yahoo.com; jmontes@bwslaw.com; CABBOTT@bwslaw.com; baffrunti@bwslaw.com; bguerrero@bwslaw.com; guker@bwslaw.com; danneet@bwslaw.com; maustin@bwslaw.com; rbalchum@bwslaw.com; mtran@bwslaw.com; mbarrett@bwslaw.com; lbalchum@bwslaw.com; dbazzano@bwslaw.com; kberger@bwslaw.com; mbernacchi@bwslaw.com; jlewin@dalacounty.gov; Mara McElvain <MMcElvain@da.lacounty.gov>; Danie Akemon <DAkemon@da.lacounty.gov>; dthorpe@da.lacounty.gov; rebrahim@da.lacounty.gov; Erika Jerez <ejerez@da.lacounty.gov>; dbrosky@da.lacounty.gov; blunsford@da.lacounty.gov; Pak Kouch <pkouch@da.lacounty.gov>; Jodi Taksar <jtaksar@da.lacounty.gov>; ron.goodreau@yahoo.com; ronaldgoodreau@aol.com; Emily Street <estreet@da.lacounty.gov>; Mark Lee <mlee@da.lacounty.gov>; Rose DeMattia <rdemattia@da.lacounty.gov>; Jonathan Chung <JonChung@da.lacounty.gov>; Alva Lin <ALin@da.lacounty.gov>; Michael Michelena <mmichelena@da.lacounty.gov>; srebelis@da.lacounty.gov; Jodi Link <jlink@da.lacounty.gov>; Mij Vellakkatel <mvellakk@da.lacounty.gov>; Joel McComb <jmccomb@smcgov.org>; dmccloskey@smcgov.org; Bradley McCartt <bmccartt@da.lacounty.gov>; Garrett Dameron <gdameron@da.lacounty.gov>; Holly Harpham <hharpham@da.lacounty.gov>; duckett.justice@gmail.com; Keith Duckett <kduckett@da.lacounty.gov>; jfrost@smcgov.org; Jacques Garden <JGarden@da.lacounty.gov>; Norman Hearon <NHearon@da.lacounty.gov>; tpovah@smcgov.org; Heather Borden <hborden@da.lacounty.gov>; Gloria Marin <gmarin@da.lacounty.gov>; Isidoro Baly <ibaly@da.lacounty.gov>; Ruby Arias <RArias@da.lacounty.gov>; Valerie Aenlle Rocha <VAenlle-Rocha@da.lacounty.gov>; Mary Murray <MMurray@da.lacounty.gov>; Jodi Castano <jcastano@da.lacounty.gov>; Kenneth Meyer <KMeyer@da.lacounty.gov>; mmclaughlin@smcgov.org; Amy Pellman Pentz <apellman@da.lacounty.gov>; Tien Pham <tpham@da.lacounty.gov>; mightengale@da.lacounty.gov; John Niedermann

<jniedermann@da.lacounty.gov>; Donn Hoffman <DHoffman@da.lacounty.gov>; ewakabay@da.lacounty; Grace Rai <GRai@da.lacounty.gov>; Bob Chen <bobchen@da.lacounty.gov>; Belle Chen <BChen@da.lacounty.gov>; Frank Dunnick <fdunnick@da.lacounty.gov>; Lisette.suder@edcda.us; Cristine Albanese <CAlbanese@da.lacounty.gov>; John Colello <JColello@da.lacounty.gov>; Elizabeth Ratinoff <ERatinof@da.lacounty.gov>; eratinoff@da.lacounty.gov; Jessie L. McGrath <jmcgrath@da.lacounty.gov>; Louis Avila Jr. <lavila@da.lacounty.gov>; kenvonh@msn.com; kvonhelmolt@da.lacounty.gov; echon@da.lacounty.gov; Jwilson@smcgov.org; June Chung <JChung@da.lacounty.gov>; cfredgren@da.lacounty.gov; Kathy Ta <KTa@da.lacounty.gov>; sungchung@da.lacounty.gov; Susanna Chung <SChung@da.lacounty.gov>; Martin Bean <MBean@da.lacounty.gov>; Tracey Whitney <TWhitney@da.lacounty.gov>; Hubert Yun <HYun@da.lacounty.gov>; Kimberly Abourezk <kabourezk@da.lacounty.gov>; Iliana Alvarez <ialvarez@da.lacounty.gov>; Michael.pizzuti@edcda.us; nhahn@smcgov.org; Cmeaher-walker@da.lacounty.gov; cwalker@da.lacounty.gov; Cynthia Meagher-Walker <cmeagher@da.lacounty.gov>; Frances Young <fyoung@da.lacounty.gov>; afitzgerald@smcgov.org; Gregory Apt <gapt@da.lacounty.gov>; Christine.vonhelmolt@csulb.edu; Christine Von Helmolt <CVonHelmolt@da.lacounty.gov>; Karen Nishita <KNishita@da.lacounty.gov>; Susan Navas <SNavas@da.lacounty.gov>; fnagle@kernda.org; carolyn.palumbo@yolocounty.org; jmarcano@kernda.org; gpearl@kernda.org; dmckillop@kernda.org; jallen@kernda.org; nilackie@kern.org; nlackie@kernda.org; kmarshall@kernda.org; bstallings@kernda.org; deanna.hays@yolocounty.org; csherman@kernda.org; lgarver@kernda.org; rchoi@kernda.org; frits.vanderhoek@yolocounty.org; btaconi@kernda.org; gkohler@kernda.org; lfields@kernda.org; cmcnutt@kernda.org; czimmer@kernda.org; Gina Satriano <GSatriano@da.lacounty.gov>; skatz@da.laocunty.gov; mariaramirez@hotmail.com; Mayra Ramirez <MRamirez@da.lacounty.gov>; Michele Daniels <mdaniels@da.lacounty.gov>; Kellyjean Chun <kchun@da.lacounty.gov>; John Morris <JMorris@da.lacounty.gov>; Peter Cagney <PCagney@da.lacounty.gov>; sfrank6576@aol.com; sfrankland@da.lacounty.gov; Brian Schirn <BSchirn@da.lacounty.gov>; Robert Sherwood <RSherwood@da.lacounty.gov>; Shannon Presby <spresby@da.lacounty.gov>; Margo Baxter <MBaxter@da.lacounty.gov>; lwong@da.lacounty.gov; Alan Yochelson <AYochelson@da.lacounty.gov>; Teresa Gomez <TGomez@da.lacounty.gov>; Christina F. Buckley <CBuckley@da.lacounty.gov>; Val Cole <VCole@da.lacounty.gov>; Shawn Randolph <SRandolph@da.lacounty.gov>; Lori Dery <ldery@da.lacounty.gov>; Yael Massry <YMassry@da.lacounty.gov>; Gregory Jennings <GJennings@da.lacounty.gov>; Hoon Chun <HChun@da.lacounty.gov>; Lowell Anger <langer@da.lacounty.gov>; Sean Hassett <shassett@da.lacounty.gov>; smire@da.lacounty.gov; Gilbert Wright <GWright@da.lacounty.gov>; Laura Jane Kessner <ljkessner@da.lacounty.gov>; Jonlyn Callahan <JCallahan@da.lacounty.gov>; Craig Hum <chum@da.lacounty.gov>; May Chung <MChung@da.lacounty.gov>; Juan Mejia <JMejia@da.lacounty.gov>; Manuel Garcia <MGarcia@da.lacounty.gov>; John Harlan <JHarlan@da.lacounty.gov>; Mykka Piantanida <MPiantanida@da.lacounty.gov>; Guillermo Santiso <gsantiso@da.lacounty.gov>; Priscilla Musso <PMusso@da.lacounty.gov>; Nathan J. Hochman <NHochman@da.lacounty.gov>; Vaenille-rocha@da.lacounty.gov; Daniel Felizzatto <dfelizzatto@da.lacounty.gov>; ttokat@da.cacounty.gov; Michele Hanisee <MHanisee@da.lacounty.gov>; Steven Katz <skatz@da.lacounty.gov>; Jbrooke-castano@da.lacounty.gov; William Frayeh <wfrayeh@da.lacounty.gov>; Jessica Foster <JFoster@da.lacounty.gov>; jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov; Jessica Tillson <jtillson@da.lacounty.gov>;

Mariaramirez65@hotmail.com; Irene Lee <ILee@da.lacounty.gov>; Cris.buckley@uci.edu; Julianne Walker <jwalker@da.lacounty.gov>; Christi Frey <CFrey@da.lacounty.gov>; vrodriguez@dalacounty.gov; John Mc Kinney <McKinney@da.lacounty.gov>; jmckinney@da.lacounty.gov; Kathy Cady <KCady@da.lacounty.gov>; Julie Dixon Silva <jdsilva@da.lacounty.gov>; Arisa Mattson <amattson@da.lacounty.gov>; akarkanen@fa.lacounty.gov; Christopher Baker <ChrisBaker@da.lacounty.gov>; Cynthia Nakao <CNakao@da.lacounty.gov>; Janis Johnson <JJohnson@da.lacounty.gov>; kuyen@da.lacounty.gov; pkouch@da.alacounty.gov; Samuel Hulefeld <shulefeld@da.lacounty.gov>; Marc Beaart <MBeaart@da.lacounty.gov>; bjdodd@dalacounty.gov; Martha Carrillo <MCarrillo@da.lacounty.gov>; Antonio Aguilar <AAguilar@da.lacounty.gov>; Ann Marie Wise <AWise@da.lacounty.gov>; Lee Orquiola <LOrquiola@da.lacounty.gov>; Kevin.s@lacounty.gov; Kevin Swartz <KSwartz@da.lacounty.gov>; akozma@da.lacounty.gov; Amanda Adams <aadams@da.lacounty.gov>; Timothy Richardson <TRichardson@da.lacounty.gov>; timothy@lacounty.gov; sradmore@dal.lacounty.gov; wrosenthal@da.lacounty.gov; wkarfiol@lacounty.gov; cnajera@lacourt.org; mwindham@lacourt.org; Daniel Ilacqua <DIlacqua@da.lacounty.gov>; jonathan@lacounty.gov; jarmour@dalacounty.gov; felicia@lacounty.gov; forman@da.laounty.gov; ryann.jorban@stfrancislaw.com; rgerber@dalcounty.gov; Julia Cohn <JCohn@da.lacounty.gov>; julia@lacounty.gov; Hugh Jackson <HJackson@da.lacounty.gov>; sunita.popal@pepperdine.edu; Sunita Popal <SPopal@da.lacounty.gov>; David Zygielbaum <DZygielbaum@da.lacounty.gov>; Michelle Lewis <MLewis@da.lacounty.gov>; philglaviano@hotmail.com; pglaviano@da.lacounty.gov; thomaskrag@proton.me; tkrag@da.lacounty.gov; Kenneth Lynch <klynch@da.lacounty.gov>; Stefan Mrakich <smrakich@da.lacounty.gov>; cgaines@da.lacounty.gov; Michael Enomoto <MEnomoto@da.lacounty.gov>; Charles Chaiyarachta <CChaiyar@da.lacounty.gov>; rdooley@da.lacounty.gov; Geoffrey Lewin <GLewin@da.lacounty.gov>; ddelimon@gmail.com; Mario Haidar <mhaidar@da.lacounty.gov>; Tina Hooks <THooks@da.lacounty.gov>; Whelma Llanos <wllanos@da.lacounty.gov>; Sean Carney <SCarney@da.lacounty.gov>; Daniel Wright <DWright@da.lacounty.gov>; lholtz@da.lacounty.gov; Diana Martinez <dmartinez@da.lacounty.gov>; dwooden@da.lacounty.gov; Jose Gonzalez <jgonzalez@da.lacounty.gov>; Don Syn <dsyn@da.lacounty.gov>; rchang@da.lacounty.gov; Natasha Cooper <ncooper@da.lacounty.gov>; Alexander Karkanen <AKarkanen@da.lacounty.gov>; Kevin Halligan <KHalligan@da.lacounty.gov>; ralarcon@da.lacounty.gov; sstodel@da.lacounty.gov; David Barkhurst <DBarkhurst@da.lacounty.gov>; Teresa de Castro <tdecastro@da.lacounty.gov>; Duke Chau <dchau@da.lacounty.gov>; Valerie Little <VLittle@da.lacounty.gov>; nflood@da.lacounty.gov; Robert Rabbani <rrabbani@da.lacounty.gov>; tmokayef@da.clacounty.gov; rrose@da.lacounty.gov; Candice Henry <chenry@da.lacounty.gov>; Frank Santoro <FSantoro@da.lacounty.gov>; kstpierre@da.lacounty.gov; John Lewin <johnlwn@gmail.com>; brian.jones@sen.ca.gov; erikandannaapperson@hotmail.com; dbengstib@da.lacounty.gov; Ying.sun@cdcr.ca.gov; pghaderi@counsel.lacounty.gov; rsaldana@counsel.lacounty.gov; ndavis-tinkham@counsel.lacounty.gov; tink4d1@gmail.com; brind0313@gmail.com; darrelltinkham@yahoo.com; itolani@counsel.lacounty.gov; lester.tolnai@yahoo.com; rclester.tolnai71@yahoo.com; pmarshall@counsel.lacounty.gov; Adrienne Byers <abyers@counsel.lacounty.gov>; rcartwright@counsel.lacounty.gov; rwong@counsel.lacounty.gov; tfaughnan@counsel.lacounty.gov; tomfaughnan66@gmail.com; Jennifer <JLehman@counsel.lacounty.gov>; kmiles@counsel.lacounty.gov; rbaeza@counsel.lacounty.gov;

rchastang@counsel.lacounty.gov; lcampo@counsel.lacounty.gov; msimon@counsel.lacounty.gov; emiller@counsel.lacounty.gov; elizabeth_dumais@yahoo.com; kaigrant19@yahoo.com; pmomon@yahoo.com; pbollinger@counsel.lacounty.gov; First District <firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>; secondistrict@bos.la.county.gov; holly4assembly@gmail.com; hmitchell@yahoo.com; hjmitchel@aol.com; Cindy <cchen@bos.lacounty.gov>; nadtinkham@gmail.com; holly@hollyjmitchell.com; rfleming-peters@counsel.lacounty.gov; Margaret Carter <mcarter@counsel.lacounty.gov>; njenkins@lacounty.gov; njenkins@counsel.lacounty.gov; jgonzalez@counsel.lacounty.gov; lowt@metro.net; Tlow77@gmail.com; ryanthurlow@yahoo.com; tlow@counsel.lacounty.gov; tina@jmoilco.com; tlow7@yahoo.com; econard@lacounty.gov; econard@counsel.lacounty.gov; andria.seo@disabilityrightsca.org; schan@counsel.lacounty.gov; eggerse@metro.net; eggers@counsel.lacounty.gov; mrolon@counsel.lacounty.gov; mirder@hotmail.com; doug@homealert.com; Alexandra Zuiderweg <AZuiderweg@counsel.lacounty.gov>; mruben@counsel.lacounty.gov; Caitlin Taylor <ctaylor@counsel.lacounty.gov>; Holly J. Mitchell <HollyJMitchell@bos.lacounty.gov>; Lindsey.P.Horvath@gmail.com; kmuir@counsel.lacounty.gov; CDCRCACLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; CCRCMFLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; CDCRCCILitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; CCDCRCENLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; CDCRCTFLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; CDCRCMCLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; CDCRCORLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; CDCRCIMLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; FCORTEZ@cdcr.gov; Jeff.macomber@cdcr.ca.gov; Jennifer.barretto@cdcr.ca.gov; Tammy.foss@cdcr.ca.gov; Diana.toche@cdcr.ca.gov; albert.lundeen@cdcr.ca.gov; Jennifer.neil@cdcr.ca.gov; Sarah.larson@cdcr.ca.gov; Ron.broomfield@cdcr.ca.gov; Amy.casias@cdcr.ca.gov; Jason.johnson@cdcr.ca.gov; Chris.chambers@cdcr.ca.gov; Stacy.lopez@cdcr.ca.gov; Niki.dhillon@cdcr.ca.gov; Jennifer.benavidez@cdcr.ca.gov; heather.bowlds@cdcr.ca.gov; Jared.lozano@cdcr.ca.gov; Derrick.marion@cdcr.ca.gov; David.chriss@cdcr.ca.gov; Sarina.calderon@cdcr.ca.gov; elizabeth.hom@calbar.ca.gov; johnna.sack@calbar.ca.gov; rocio.avalos@calbar.ca.gov; kristina.ramos@calbar.ca.gov; collin.grant@calbar.ca.gov; louisa.ayrapetyan@calbar.ca.gov; jennifer.stalvey@calbar.ca.gov; ken.nourse@calbar.ca.gov; summer.shelton@calbar.ca.gov; kirsten.galler@calbar.ca.gov; doan.nguyen@calbar.ca.gov; audrey.ching@calbar.ca.gov; laurie.gondreau@calbar.ca.gov; yun.xiang@calbar.ca.gov; mark@fresnocriminallawyer.com; abrahim.bagheri@calbar.ca.gov; carol.kiyotoki@calbar.ca.gov; andrew.vasicek@calbar.ca.gov; mayte.diaz@calbar.ca.gov; duncan.carling@calbar.ca.gov; chelsey.smith@calbar.ca.gov; suzanne.grandt@calbar.ca.gov; gerri.smith@calbar.ca.gov; peter.klivans@calbar.ca.gov; cindy.chan@calbar.ca.gov; teresa.ruano@calbar.ca.gov; patrice.vallier-glass@calbar.ca.gov; naji.khatib@calbar.ca.gov; awndrea.yeboah@calbar.ca.gov; christina.doell@calbar.ca.gov; enrique.zuniga@calbar.ca.gov; kimberly.anderson@calbar.ca.gov; brandon.stallings@calbar.ca.gov; Jose.cisneros@gmail.com; Jose.cisneros@sf.gov; pbarahona@yli.org; Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>; jmerchan@wdacs.lacounty.gov; info@wdacs.lacounty.gov; Arogant Hollywood <arogantmusicinc@gmail.com>; Alison Fairchild <fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com>; Arogant Hollywood <causeofaction43@gmail.com>; blacklawgenius@gmail.com; Alison Fairchild <alisonhfairchild@gmail.com>; Robert Luna <rluna@lasd.org>; rluna@lacounty.gov; atardy@lacounty.org; jtorres@lasd.org; saloma@lacounty.org; llecrivain@lasd.org; bchase@lacounty.gov; rlewis@lacounty.gov; llecrivain@lacounty.org; jmeza@lasd.org; ned.harlan@doj.ca.gov; nishdeep.singh@doj.ca.gov;

robert.bonta@doj.ca.gov; OPSChiefsOffice@doj.ca.gov; peter.halloran@doj.ca.gov; amyhhalloran@yahoo.com; peterhalloran@sbcglobal.net; alisha.carlile@doj.ca.gov; snpdragn@aol.com; acarlile@alum.wellesley.edu; concertina9@yahoo.com; alishastephen@yahoo.com.au; alehr17@u.washington.edu; girlygirl8384@aol.com; akeened@yahoo.com; lish34@hotmail.com; piccbodin@hotmail.com; lachapell_a@yahoo.com; alishaoku@yahoo.com; alisha.mai@gmail.com; alisha.marks@rogers.com; vincent.bonotto@doj.ca.gov; vbonotto@hotmail.com; glenn.pruden@doj.ca.gov; eyeless77@hotmail.com; daniel.olivas@doj.ca.gov; giam.nguyen@doj.ca.gov; chad.stegeman@doj.ca.gov; stephen.lew@doj.ca.gov; christopher.findley@doj.ca.gov; dennis.beck@doj.ca.gov; darrell.spence@doj.ca.gov; maggy.krell@doj.ca.gov; Elaine.tong@doj.ca.gov; hannah.calacsan@doj.ca.gov; mo.park@doj.ca.gov; dbuthler@lasuperiorcourt.org; dslayton@lasuperiorcourt.org; sclover@lasuperiorcourt.org; jmoses@lasuperiorcourt.org; ppenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org; tpenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org; chase.penrose@gmail.com; mackenzienicole@gmail.com; trishpenrose@att.net; amber.barnett@jud.ca.go; john.yee@jud.ca.gov; jenniejasperson@gmail.com; kelton.jasperson@gmail.com; cjasperson2@mindspring.com; judy.jasperson@att.net; randie.chance@doj.ca.gov; gavin.newsom@gov.ca.gov
Subject: [External]FRIVOLOUS CRIMINAL CASE 25CJCM02162-01, FIRST LEGAL WARNING TO COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, ITS DDAS, CITY OF ALHAMBRA AND ITS CONSPIRING ATTORNEYS TO RETURN ALL PROPERTY, DISMISSED ALL FRIVOLOUS FIVE COUNTS, CRIMINALLY C...

Some people who received this message don't often get email from aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com. Learn why this is important

FRIVOLOUS CRIMINAL CASE 25CJCM02162-01, FIRST LEGAL WARNING TO COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, ITS DDAS, CITY OF ALHAMBRA AND ITS CONSPIRING ATTORNEYS TO RETURN ALL PROPERTY, DISMISSED ALL FRIVOLOUS FIVE COUNTS, CRIMINALLY CHARGE RACIST CRIMINAL PROSECUTOR BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG, REPORT MARK ROSENBERG TO THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA, CREATE SUPPLEMENTAL POLICE REPORT THAT HIGHLIGHTS AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S FACTUAL INNOCENCE, AND TURN OVER ALL CRIMINAL CASE DISCOVERY; INCLUDING PHONY PROBABLE CAUSE DETERMINATION.

ALL NATURAL PERSONS ELECTRONICALLY WARNED HEREIN CAN AND WILL BE HELD LIABLE.


I. INTRODUCTION

Rogue, RACIST, prejudiced, biased, corrupt, unethical, poorly trained, and extremely dishonest California peace officer Benjamin Yang (hereinafter "Yang") and his chief of police Garret Kennedy will soon find out the hard way in federal district court that they cannot arrest United States citizens

whenever they want and wherever they see fit.

II. STATEMENT OF FACTS

On November 13, 2023 ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD (hereinafter "KING ARROGANT") sent an electronic mail message to RACIST, unethical, biased, delusional, mentally ill, and corrupt County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutor Brian MARK Rosenberg accusing him of falsifying evidence in his frivolous felony criminal case GA114055. See email below attached herein:




A SERIES OF EMAILS ARE COMING SOON! COMPLETE WITH ALL THE EVIDENCE THAT YOU BROKE FEDERAL LAW BY ABUSING YOUR POWER AND AUTHORITY AS PEACE OFFICERS AND PUBLIC OFFICERS OF THE COURT!

GET READY!!!!!!!!



BECAUSE ROSSI AND THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IS GOING TO SEE TO IT THAT BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG, RICHARD LEWIS, AND BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG BECOME FEDERAL PRISONERS! GUARANTEED!!!!!!!



ROSSI! AMERiCA IS LESS SAFE WITH BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG ON THE STREETS. ITS TIME FOR THE U.S. GOVERNMENT TO LOCK THIS FOOL UP AND DECERTIFY HIM!

HE IS A CROOKED COP THAT HAS BEEN FEDERALLY CHARGED AND UNDER INVESTIGATION FOR CONDUCTING SIMILAR CRIMINAL BEHAVIOR AND CONDUCT HE HAS IN MY CASE. LOCK THIS FOOL UP!

Investigations and Prosecutions

The Department of Justice [illegible] Department's [illegible] investigates and, where the [illegible] uses of [illegible] of [illegible] by law enforcement officers. The Department's investigations most often involve [illegible] uses of excessive force or [illegible] sexual [illegible] that [illegible] appropriately [illegible] to [illegible] medical needs of a substantial risk of harm to a person in custody. These cases [illegible] police officers, [illegible] correctional officers, probation officers, [illegible] and other federal, state or local law enforcement officials. The Department's authority extends to all law enforcement conduct, regardless of whether an officer is on or off duty, so long as there is a basis for claiming that the officer was acting [illegible] under color of law.

In addition to [illegible] violations, the Department prosecutes law enforcement officers who [illegible] incidents of [illegible] or [illegible] of justice. This includes attempting to prevent a [illegible] witness from reporting criminal conduct, lying to federal, state or local officials

WHILE LYING BILLY AND RACIST MARK ROSENBERG'S ACTIONS ARE A MISDEMEANOR UNDER FEDERAL LAW. THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE MUST WORK WITH THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE TO CRIMINALLY CHARGE ROSENBERG, LEWIS, AND KHOUNTHAVONG PURSUANT TO VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 6200, WHICH IS A FELONY AND CARRY STATE PRISON TIME!

3-07/220.20 - California Department of Justice Admonishment

3-07/220.00 - Prohibitions
3-07/230.00 - Data Communications Management

As an employee of the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department, you may have access to confidential criminal record and/or Department of Motor Vehicles record information which is controlled by statute. This would include the information in the Los Angeles County Regional Identification System (LACRIS), including the facial recognition tools within LACRIS. Misuse of such information may adversely affect the individual's civil rights and violates the law. Penal Code section 502 prescribes the penalties relating to computer crimes. Penal Code sections 11105 and 13300 identify who has access to criminal history information and under what circumstances it may be released. Penal Code sections 11140-11144 and 13301-13305 prescribe penalties for misuse of criminal history information. Government Code section 6200 prescribes the felony penalties for misuse of public records and CLETS information. Penal Code sections 11142 and 13303 state:

> "Any person authorized by law to receive a record or information obtained from a record who knowingly furnishes the record or information to a person not authorized by law to receive the record or information is guilty of a misdemeanor."

California Vehicle Code section 1808.45 prescribes the penalties relating to misuse of Department of Motor Vehicles record information.

Any employee who is responsible for such misuse is subject to disciplinary action. Violations of this law may also result in criminal and/or civil actions.

BILLY! YOU HAD BETTER RUN AWAY AS FAST YOU CAN FOOL!



MARK Rosenberg used the above electronic mail message sent to him on November 28, 2023 to not only apply for a frivolous civil harassment restraining order but to also request a temporary restraining order by fabricating lies that he believed that KING AROGANT posed a threat to him and his family by stating that he should to to prison for violating California Government Code Section 6200. See MARK Rosenberg's Superior Court of California civil harassment restraining order attachment pages below herein:

SHORT TITLE
Attachment 7b-Previous Harassment

CASE NUMBER
[illegible]

ATTACHMENT (Number) _____

(This Attachment may be used with any Judicial Council form.)

I am the assigned prosecutor for the case of People v. Arogant Hollywood, GA114055. Mr. Hollywood was previously charged in People v. Arogant Hollywood, GA113236, wherein after the proceedings, he threatened to sue the named prosecutor and ultimately contacted her and attempted to contact her family members that had nothing to do with that case and threatened to sue them. Said prosecutor is a colleague of mine and was scared by this behavior. I was assigned GA114055 at arraignment on 2/24/23. From the beginning of the case, Mr. Hollywood threatened to sue me in open court numerous times. He called me racist and frequently stated that he wouldn't just sue me but he'd find my entire family and sue them in any court he could, in any state he could. He also called my work phone numerous times and threatened to sue me as well. He made additional threats to file state bar complaints against me as well. Additionally, Mr. Hollywood filed a motion in court wherein he threatened to sue myself and the judge for $14 million dollars (said motion was admitted as People's 2 during Defendant's preliminary hearing). A preliminary hearing was conducted on this matter on April 4, 2023 and April 5, 2023. Mr. Hollywood testified during this hearing. In this hearing, Mr. Hollywood admitted to being a vexatious litigant and admitted to intimidating people "all the time" by threatening to sue them. He further admitted to sending an email to the prior DA and her family because he was upset about the case she prosecuted. He stated "If I sue her family, she'll care. Ms. Lee's family's getting sued. I got her home address too." Mr. Hollywood additionally admitted to threatening to sue me "a lot." Stating further "that's what I do." Given his conduct with the prior DA, along with his conduct on this case, I believe that Mr. Hollywood uses these statements as a means of harassing and intimidating. This case was ultimately resolved on 10/17/23, where Mr. Hollywood pled no contest to the charge of PC 422 and was placed on formal probation. On 11/13/23, I received an email from Mr. Hollywood, threatening to send me to federal prison, and threatening to send me a series of emails. I reported this to LASD. Incident number: 923-11730-0522-217. Due to all this, I am fearful of my safety, along with the safety of my family, and believe that a protective order is necessary to secure their safety.

(If the form that this Attachment pertains to is made under penalty of perjury, all statements in this Attachment are made under penalty of perjury.)

MC-025

SHORT TITLE
Attachment 7a(3)-Describe Harassment

CASE NUMBER
23PDR001713

ATTACHMENT (Number): _____

(This Attachment may be used with any Judicial Council form.)

I received an email from Mr. Hollywood wherein he threatened to send me to federal prison and indicated that a "a series of emails are coming soon! Complete with all the evidence that you broke federal law by abusing your power and authority as peace officers and public officers of the court! Get Ready!!!!!!". In addition to threatening this series of emails, Hollywood also told the detective on our case that "you had better run away as fast you can fool." This is the latest in a string of harassing communications that I believe are only aimed at intimidating and annoying me. This matter was reported to LASD. URN: 923-11730-0522-217.

(If the item that this Attachment concerns is made under penalty of perjury, all statements in this Attachment are made under penalty of perjury.)

Page ___ of ___
(Add pages as required)

Form Approved for Optional Use
Judicial Council of California
MC-025 [Rev. July 1, 2009]

ATTACHMENT
to Judicial Council Form


Harassment, for the purposes of Cal Code Civ Proc § 527.6, is unlawful violence, a credible threat of violence [Code Civ. Proc. § 527.6(b)(2) ("credible threat of violence" is knowing and willful statement or course of conduct that would place a reasonable person in fear for his or her safety or safety of his or her immediately family, and that serves no legitimate purpose)], *Harris v. Stampolis (2016) 248 Cal.App. 4th 484, 495-496, 204 Cal. Rptr. 3d 1.*

A credible threat of violence is a knowing and willful course of conduct directed at a specific person that seriously alarms, annoys, or harasses this person, and that serves no legitimate purpose.

The course of conduct must be such as would cause a reasonable person to suffer substantial emotional distress, and it must actually be caused by substantial emotional

distress to the petitioner filing for a civil harassment restraining order. See Cal Civ Code Proc § 527.6(b)(3); *Huntington Life Sciences, Inc. v. Stop Huntington Animal Cruelty USA, Inc. (2005) 129 Cal. App. 4th 1228, 1257-1258, 29 Cal. Rptr. 3d 521* (liability is based on defendant's credible threats of violence and defendant's lack of intent to actually carry out threats is immaterial)]. Cal Code Civ Proc § 527.6(b)(2) does not define "substantial emotional distress," but it may be properly compared to "severe emotional distress" in the tort context of intentional infliction of emotional distress. In that context, "severe emotional distress" means highly unpleasant mental suffering or anguish from socially unacceptable conduct, which entails such intense, enduring, and nontrivial emotional distress that no reasonable person in a civilized society should be expected to endure it. Therefore, not all actions that give rise to some emotional distress will constitute conduct that "cause[s] a
reasonable person to suffer substantial emotional distress" within the meaning of Cal Code Civ Proc § 527.6, particularly if the distress is but transitory in nature. See *Schild v. Rubin (1991) 232 Cal. App. 3d 755, 762-763, 283 Cal. Rptr. 533.*

CAUTION: This email originated outside of the County (lacounty.gov domain). Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and expect the message.



From: Arogant Hollywood <arogan thollywoodgenius@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 7, 2025 6:01 AM
To: jargusa@alhambrafire.org; jbinnquist@cityofalhambra.org; tseki@alhambrapd.org, Area1@alhambrapd.org; gspencer@alhambrapd.org; area2@alhambrapd.org; rsoriano@alhambrapd.org, area3@alhambrapd.org, rcastillo@alhambrapd.org; area4@alhambrapd.org; klaing@alhambrapd.org; dfuentes@alhambrapd.org; lgarcia@alhambraca.gov; gdiaz@alhambrapd.org; rrongavilla@alhambrapd.org; dtran@slhsmbrapd.org, mlee@alhambrapd.org; hreyes@alhambrapd.org; bchung@alhambrapd.org; luwan@cityofalhambra.org; klee@alhambraca.gov; rmaza@cityofalhambra.org; jmahoney@cityofalhambra.org; jmahoney@smmc.ca.gov; nwang@cityofalhambra.org, aandradestadler@gmail.com; adele.stadler@gmail.com; joestadler@ladwp.com; andrade@eaterhlink.net; aandradestadler@yahoo.com; jmontes@bwslaw.com, CABBOTT@bwslaw.com; baffrunti@bwslaw.com; bguerrero@bwslaw.com; gaker@bwslaw.com; danneet@bwslaw.com; maustin@bwslaw.com; rbalchum@bwslaw.com; mtran@bwslaw.com; mbarrett@bwslaw.com; jbalchum@bwslaw.com; dbazzano@bwslaw.com, kberger@bwslaw.com; mbernacchi@bwslaw.com; Daniel Akemon <DAkemon@da.lacounty.gov>; cthorpe@da.lacounty.gov; Erika Jerez <ejerez@da.lacounty.gov>; dbrosky@da.lacounty.gov; plunsford@da.lacounty.gov, Pak Kouch <pkouch@da.lacounty.gov>; Jodi Taksar <jtaksar@da.lacounty.gov>; ron.goodreau@yahoo.com; ronaldgoodreau@aol.com; Emily Street <estreet@da.lacounty.gov>; Mark Lee <mlee@da.lacounty.gov>; Rose DeMattia <rdematti@da.lacounty.gov>; Jonathan Chung <JonChung@da.lacounty.gov>; Alva Lin <ALin@da.lacounty.gov>; Michael Michelena <mmichelena@da.lacounty.gov>; srebolis@da.lacounty.gov; Jodi Link <jlink@da.lacounty.gov>; Miji Vellakkatel <mvellakk@da.lacounty.gov>; Joel McComb <jmccomb@smcgov.org>; cmccloskey@smcgov.org; Bradley McCartt <bmccartt@da.lacounty.gov>; Garrett Dameron <gdameron@da.lacounty.gov>; Holly Harpham <hharpham@da.lacounty.gov>; duckett.justice@gmail.com; Keith Duckett <kduckett@da.lacounty.gov>; jfrost@smcgov.org, Jacques Garden <JGarden@da.lacounty.gov>; Norman Hearon <NHearon@da.lacounty.gov>; tpovah@smcgov.org, Heather Borden

<hborden@da.lacounty.gov>; Gloria Marin <gmarin@da.lacounty.gov>; Isidoro Baly <ibaly@da.lacounty.gov>; Ruby Arias <RArias@da.lacounty.gov>; Valerie Aenlle-Rocha <VAenlle-Rocha@da.lacounty.gov>; Mary Murray <MMurray@da.lacounty.gov>; Jodi Castano <jcastano@da.lacounty.gov>; Kenneth Meyer <KMeyer@da.lacounty.gov>; mmclaughlin@smcgov.org; Amy Pellman Pentz <apellman@da.lacounty.gov>; Tien Pham <tpham@da.lacounty.gov>; inightengale@da.lacounty.gov; John Niedermann <jniedermann@da.lacounty.gov>; Donn Hoffman <DHoffman@da.lacounty.gov>; ewakabay@da.lacounty; Grace Rai <GRai@da.lacounty.gov>; Bob Chen <bobchen@da.lacounty.gov>; Belle Chen <BChen@da.lacounty.gov>; Frank Dunnick <fdunnick@da.lacounty.gov>; Lisette.suder@edcda.us; Cristine Albanese <CAlbanese@da.lacounty.gov>; John Colello <JColello@da.lacounty.gov>; Elizabeth Ratinoff <ERatinof@da.lacounty.gov>; eratinoff@da.lacounty.gov; Jessie L. McGrath <jmcgrath@da.lacounty.gov>; Louis Avila Jr. <lavila@da.lacounty.gov>; kenvonh@msn.com; kvonhelmolt@da.lacounty.gov; echon@da.lacounty.gov; Jwilson@smcgov.org; June Chung <JChung@da.lacounty.gov>; cfredgren@da.lacounty.gov; Kathy Ta <KTa@da.lacounty.gov>; sungchung@da.lacounty.gov; Susanna Chung <SChung@da.lacounty.gov>; Martin Bean <MBean@da.lacounty.gov>; Tracey Whitney <TWhitney@da.lacounty.gov>; Hubert Yun <HYun@da.lacounty.gov>; Kimberly Abourezk <kabourezk@da.lacounty.gov>; Iliana Alvarez <ialvarez@da.lacounty.gov>; Michael.pizzuti@edcda.us; nhahn@smcgov.org; Cmeaher-walker@da.lacounty.gov; cwalker@da.lacounty.gov; Cynthia Meagher-Walker <cmeagher@da.lacounty.gov>; Frances Young <fyoung@da.lacounty.gov>; afitzgerald@smcgov.org; Gregory Apt <gapt@da.lacounty.gov>; Christine.vonhelmolt@csulb.edu; Christine Von Helmolt <CVonHelmolt@da.lacounty.gov>; Karen Nishita <KNishita@da.lacounty.gov>; Susan Navas <SNavas@da.lacounty.gov>; fnagle@kernda.org; carolyn.palumbo@yolocounty.org; jmarcano@kernda.org; gpearl@kernda.org; dmckillop@kernda.org; jallen@kernda.org; nilackie@kern.org; nlackie@kernda.org; kmarshall@kernda.org; bstallings@kernda.org; deanna.hays@yolocounty.org; csherman@kernda.org; lgarver@kernda.org; rchoi@kernda.org; frits.vanderhoek@yolocounty.org; btaconi@kernda.org; gkohler@kernda.org; lfields@kernda.org; cmcnutt@kernda.org; czimmer@kernda.org; Gina Satriano <GSatriano@da.lacounty.gov>; mariaramirez@hotmail.com; Mayra Ramirez <MRamirez@da.lacounty.gov>; Michele Daniels <mdaniels@da.lacounty.gov>; Kellyjean Chun <kchun@da.lacounty.gov>; John Morris <JMorris@da.lacounty.gov>; Peter Cagney <PCagney@da.lacounty.gov>; sfrank6576@aol.com; sfrankland@da.lacounty.gov; Brian Schirn <BSchirn@da.lacounty.gov>; Robert Sherwood <RSherwood@da.lacounty.gov>; Shannon Presby <spresby@da.lacounty.gov>; Margo Baxter <MBaxter@da.lacounty.gov>; lwong@da.lacounty.gov; Alan Yochelson <AYochelson@da.lacounty.gov>; Teresa Gomez <TGomez@da.lacounty.gov>; Christina F. Buckley <CBuckley@da.lacounty.gov>; Val Cole <VCole@da.lacounty.gov>; Shawn Randolph <SRandolph@da.lacounty.gov>; Lori Dery <ldery@da.lacounty.gov>; Yael Massry <YMassry@da.lacounty.gov>; Gregory Jennings <GJennings@da.lacounty.gov>; Hoon Chun <HChun@da.lacounty.gov>; Lowell Anger <langer@da.lacounty.gov>; Sean Hassett <shassett@da.lacounty.gov>; smire@da.lacounty.gov; Gilbert Wright <GWright@da.lacounty.gov>; Laura Jane Kessner <ljkessner@da.lacounty.gov>; Jonlyn Callahan <JCallahan@da.lacounty.gov>; Craig Hum <chum@da.lacounty.gov>; May Chung <MChung@da.lacounty.gov>; Juan Mejia <JMejia@da.lacounty.gov>; Manuel Garcia <MGarcia@da.lacounty.gov>; John Harlan <JHarlan@da.lacounty.gov>; Mykka Piantanida <MPiantanida@da.lacounty.gov>; Guillermo Santiso

<gsantiso@da.lacounty.gov>; Priscilla Musso <PMusso@da.lacounty.gov>; Nathan J. Hochman <NHochman@da.lacounty.gov>; Vaenille-rocha@da.lacounty.gov; Daniel Felizzatto <dfelizzatto@da.lacounty.gov>; Michele Hanisee <MHanisee@da.lacounty.gov>; Steven Katz <skatz@da.lacounty.gov>; Jbrooke-castano@da.lacounty.gov; William Frayeh <wfrayeh@da.lacounty.gov>; Jessica Foster <JFoster@da.lacounty.gov>; jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov; Jessica Tillson <jtillson@da.lacounty.gov>; Mariaramirez65@hotmail.com; Irene Lee <ILee@da.lacounty.gov>; Cris.buckley@uci.edu; Julianne Walker <jwalker@da.lacounty.gov>; Christi Frey <CFrey@da.lacounty.gov>; vrodriguez@dalacounty.gov; John Mc Kinney <McKinney@da.lacounty.gov>; jmckinney@da.lacounty.gov; Kathy Cady <KCady@da.lacounty.gov>; Julie Dixon Silva <jdsilva@da.lacounty.gov>; Arisa Mattson <amattson@da.lacounty.gov>; Christopher Baker <ChrisBaker@da.lacounty.gov>; Cynthia Nakao <CNakao@da.lacounty.gov>; Janis Johnson <JJohnson@da.lacounty.gov>; kuyen@da.lacounty.gov; pkouch@da.alacounty.gov; Samuel Hulefeld <shulefeld@da.lacounty.gov>; Marc Beaart <MBeaart@da.lacounty.gov>; bjdodd@dalacounty.gov; Martha Carrillo <MCarrillo@da.lacounty.gov>; Antonio Aguilar <AAguilar@da.lacounty.gov>; Ann Marie Wise <AWise@da.lacounty.gov>; Lee Orquiola <LOrquiola@da.lacounty.gov>; Kevin.s@lacounty.gov; Kevin Swartz <KSwartz@da.lacounty.gov>; akozma@da.lacounty.gov; Amanda Adams <aadams@da.lacounty.gov>; Timothy Richardson <TRichardson@da.lacounty.gov>; timothy@lacounty.gov; sradmore@dal.lacounty.gov; wrosenthal@da.lacounty.gov; wkarfiol@lacounty.gov; cnajera@lacourt.org; mwindham@lacourt.org; Daniel Ilacqua <DIlacqua@da.lacounty.gov>; jonathan@lacounty.gov; jarmour@dalacounty.gov; felicia@lacounty.gov; forman@da.laounty.gov; ryann.jorban@stfrancislaw.com; rgerber@dalcounty.gov; Julia Cohn <JCohn@da.lacounty.gov>; julia@lacounty.gov; Hugh Jackson <HJackson@da.lacounty.gov>; sunita.popal@pepperdine.edu; Sunita Popal <SPopal@da.lacounty.gov>; David Zygielbaum <DZygielbaum@da.lacounty.gov>; Michelle Lewis <MLewis@da.lacounty.gov>; philglaviano@hotmail.com; pglaviano@da.lacounty.gov; thomaskrag@proton.me; tkrag@da.lacounty.gov; Kenneth Lynch <klynch@da.lacounty.gov>; Stefan Mrakich <smrakich@da.lacounty.gov>; cgaines@da.lacounty.gov; Michael Enomoto <MEnomoto@da.lacounty.gov>; Charles Chaiyarachta <CChaiyar@da.lacounty.gov>; rdooley@da.lacounty.gov; Geoffrey Lewin <GLewin@da.lacounty.gov>; ddelimon@gmail.com; Mario Haidar <mhaidar@da.lacounty.gov>; Tina Hooks <THooks@da.lacounty.gov>; Whelma Llanos <wllanos@da.lacounty.gov>; Sean Carney <SCarney@da.lacounty.gov>; Daniel Wright <DWright@da.lacounty.gov>; lholtz@da.lacounty.gov; Diana Martinez <dmartinez@da.lacounty.gov>; dwooden@da.lacounty.gov; Jose Gonzalez <jgonzalez@da.lacounty.gov>; Don Syn <dsyn@da.lacounty.gov>; rchang@da.lacounty.gov; Natasha Cooper <ncooper@da.lacounty.gov>; Alexander Karkanen <AKarkanen@da.lacounty.gov>; Kevin Halligan <KHalligan@da.lacounty.gov>; ralarcon@da.lacounty.gov; sstodel@da.lacounty.gov; David Barkhurst <DBarkhurst@da.lacounty.gov>; Teresa de Castro <tdecastro@da.lacounty.gov>; Duke Chau <dchau@da.lacounty.gov>; Valerie Little <VLittle@da.lacounty.gov>; nflood@da.lacounty.gov; Robert Rabbani <rrabbani@da.lacounty.gov>; tmokayef@da.clacounty.gov; rrose@da.lacounty.gov; Candice Henry <chenry@da.lacounty.gov>; Frank Santoro <FSantoro@da.lacounty.gov>; kstpierre@da.lacounty.gov; John Lewin <johnlwn@gmail.com>; brian.jones@sen.ca.gov; erikandannaapperson@hotmail.com; dbengstib@da.lacounty.gov; Ying.sun@cdcr.ca.gov; pghaderi@counsel.lacounty.gov; rsaldana@counsel.lacounty.gov; ndavis-tinkham@counsel.lacounty.gov; tink4d1@gmail.com; brind0313@gmail.com; darrelltinkham@yahoo.com; ltolani@counsel.lacounty.gov; lester.tolnai@yahoo.com;

rclester.tolnai71@yahoo.com; pmarshall@counsel.lacounty.gov; Adrienne Byers <abyers@counsel.lacounty.gov>; rcartwright@counsel.lacounty.gov; rwong@counsel.lacounty.gov; tfaughnan@counsel.lacounty.gov; tomfaughnan66@gmail.com; Jennifer <JLehman@counsel.lacounty.gov>; kmiles@counsel.lacounty.gov; rbaeza@counsel.lacounty.gov; rchastang@counsel.lacounty.gov; lcampo@counsel.lacounty.gov; msimon@counsel.lacounty.gov; emiller@counsel.lacounty.gov; elizabeth_dumais@yahoo.com; kaigrant19@yahoo.com; pmomon@yahoo.com; pbollinger@counsel.lacounty.gov; First District <firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>; seconddistrict@bos.la.county.gov; holly4assembly@gmail.com; hmitchell@yahoo.com; hjmitchel@aol.com; Cindy <cchen@bos.lacounty.gov>; nadtinkham@gmail.com; holly@hollyjmitchell.com; rfleming-peters@counsel.lacounty.gov; Margaret Carter <mcarter@counsel.lacounty.gov>; njenkins@lacounty.gov; njenkins@counsel.lacounty.gov; jgonzalez@counsel.lacounty.gov; lowt@metro.net; Tlow77@gmail.com; ryanthurlow@yahoo.com; tlow@counsel.lacounty.gov; tina@jmoilco.com; tlow7@yahoo.com; econard@lacounty.gov; econard@counsel.lacounty.gov; andria.seo@disabilityrightsca.org; schan@counsel.lacounty.gov; eggerse@metro.net; eggers@counsel.lacounty.gov; mrolon@counsel.lacounty.gov; mirder@hotmail.com; doug@homealert.com; Alexandra Zuiderweg <AZuiderweg@counsel.lacounty.gov>; mruben@counsel.lacounty.gov; Caitlin Taylor <ctaylor@counsel.lacounty.gov>; Holly J. Mitchell <HollyJMitchell@bos.lacounty.gov>; Lindsey.P.Horvath@gmail.com; kmuir@counsel.lacounty.gov; CCRCMFLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; CDCRCCILitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; CDCRCTFLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; CDCRCMCLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; CDCRCORLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; CDCRCIMLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; FCORTEZ@cdcr.gov; Jeff.macomber@cdcr.ca.gov; Jennifer.barretto@cdcr.ca.gov; Tammy.foss@cdcr.ca.gov; Diana.toche@cdcr.ca.gov; albert.lundeen@cdcr.ca.gov; Jennifer.neil@cdcr.ca.gov; Sarah.larson@cdcr.ca.gov; Ron.broomfield@cdcr.ca.gov; Amy.casias@cdcr.ca.gov; Jason.johnson@cdcr.ca.gov; Chris.chambers@cdcr.ca.gov; Stacy.lopez@cdcr.ca.gov; Niki.dhillon@cdcr.ca.gov; Jennifer.benavidez@cdcr.ca.gov; heather.bowlds@cdcr.ca.gov; Jared.lozano@cdcr.ca.gov; Derrick.marion@cdcr.ca.gov; David.chriss@cdcr.ca.gov; Sarina.calderon@cdcr.ca.gov; elizabeth.hom@calbar.ca.gov; johnna.sack@calbar.ca.gov; rocio.avalos@calbar.ca.gov; kristina.ramos@calbar.ca.gov; collin.grant@calbar.ca.gov; louisa.ayrapetyan@calbar.ca.gov; jennifer.stalvey@calbar.ca.gov; ken.nourse@calbar.ca.gov; summer.shelton@calbar.ca.gov; kirsten.galler@calbar.ca.gov; doan.nguyen@calbar.ca.gov; audrey.ching@calbar.ca.gov; laurie.gondreau@calbar.ca.gov; yun.xiang@calbar.ca.gov; mark@fresnocriminallawyer.com; abrahim.bagheri@calbar.ca.gov; carol.kiyotoki@calbar.ca.gov; andrew.vasicek@calbar.ca.gov; mayte.diaz@calbar.ca.gov; duncan.carling@calbar.ca.gov; chelsey.smith@calbar.ca.gov; suzanne.grandt@calbar.ca.gov; gerri.smith@calbar.ca.gov; peter.klivans@calbar.ca.gov; cindy.chan@calbar.ca.gov; teresa.ruano@calbar.ca.gov; patrice.vallier-glass@calbar.ca.gov; naji.khatib@calbar.ca.gov; awndrea.yeboah@calbar.ca.gov; christina.doell@calbar.ca.gov; enrique.zuniga@calbar.ca.gov; kimberly.anderson@calbar.ca.gov; brandon.stallings@calbar.ca.gov; Jose.cisneros@gmail.com; Jose.cisneros@sf.gov; pbarahona@yli.org; Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>; jmerchan@wdacs.lacounty.gov; info@wdacs.lacounty.gov; Arogant Hollywood <arogantmusicinc@gmail.com>; Alison Fairchild <fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com>; Arogant Hollywood <causeofaction43@gmail.com>; blacklawgenius@gmail.com; Alison Fairchild <alisonhfairchild@gmail.com>; Robert Luna

<rluna@lasd.org>; rluna@lacounty.gov; atardy@lacounty.org; jtorres@lasd.org; saloma@lacounty.org; lecrivain@lasd.org; bchase@lacounty.gov; rlewis@lacounty.gov; llecrivain@lacounty.org; jmeza@lasd.org; ned.harlan@doj.ca.gov; nishdeep.singh@doj.ca.gov; robert.bonta@doj.ca.gov; OPSChiefsOffice@doj.ca.gov; peter.halloran@doj.ca.gov; amyhhalloran@yahoo.com; peterhalloran@sbcglobal.net; alisha.carlile@doj.ca.gov; snpdragn@aol.com; acarlile@alum.wellesley.edu; concertina9@yahoo.com; alishastephen@yahoo.com.au; alehr17@u.washington.edu; girlygirl8384@aol.com; akeened@yahoo.com; lish34@hotmail.com; piccbodin@hotmail.com; lachapell_a@yahoo.com; alishaoku@yahoo.com; alisha.mai@gmail.com; alisha.marks@rogers.com; vincent.bonotto@doj.ca.gov; vbonotto@hotmail.com; glenn.pruden@doj.ca.gov; eyeless77@hotmail.com; daniel.olivas@doj.ca.gov; giam.nguyen@doj.ca.gov; chad.stegeman@doj.ca.gov; stephen.lew@doj.ca.gov; christopher.findley@doj.ca.gov; dennis.beck@doj.ca.gov; darrell.spence@doj.ca.gov; maggy.krell@doj.ca.gov; elaine.tong@doj.ca.gov; hannah.calacsan@doj.ca.gov; mo.park@doj.ca.gov; dbuthler@lasuperiorcourt.org; dslayton@lasuperiorcourt.org; sclover@lasuperiorcourt.org; jmoses@lasuperiorcourt.org; ppenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org; tpenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org; chase.penrose@gmail.com; mackenzienicole@gmail.com; trishpenrose@att.net; amber.barnett@jud.ca.go; john.yee@jud.ca.gov; jenniejasperson@gmail.com; kelton.jasperson@gmail.com; cjasperson2@mindspring.com; judy.jasperson@att.net; randie.chance@doj.ca.gov; gavin.newsom@gov.ca.gov; gkennedy@alhambrapd.org; FWakabayashi@da.lacounty.gov; bdodd@da.lacounty.gov; nforman@da.lacounty.gov; Tamar Tokat <ttokat@da.lacounty.gov>; tmokayf@da.lacounty.gov; Sara Radmore <SRadmore@da.lacounty.gov>; andrade@earthlink.net; Ryann Gerber Jorban <RGerber@da.lacounty.gov>; Victor Rodriguez <VRodriguez@da.lacounty.gov>; John Lewin <JLewin@da.lacounty.gov>; atardy@lasd.org; ctran@alhambrapd.org; secondistrict@bos.lacounty.gov; Dean Bengston <DBengston@da.lacounty.gov>; jraguisa@alhambrafire.org; remorahim@da.lacounty.gov; risnridanos516@gmail.com; prentice.hill@cdcr.ca.gov

Subject: [External]FRIVOLOUS CRIMINAL CASE 25CJCM02162-01, FIRST LEGAL WARNING TO COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, ITS DDAS, CITY OF ALHAMBRA AND ITS CONSPIRING ATTORNEYS TO RETURN ALL PROPERTY, DISMISSED ALL FRIVOLOUS FIVE COUNTS, CRIMINALLY C...

Some people who received this message don't often get email from atoga@hollywoodgenius@gmail.com. Learn why this is important

FRIVOLOUS CRIMINAL CASE 25CJCM02162-01, FIRST LEGAL WARNING TO COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, ITS DDAS, CITY OF ALHAMBRA AND ITS CONSPIRING ATTORNEYS TO RETURN ALL PROPERTY, DISMISSED ALL FRIVOLOUS FIVE COUNTS, CRIMINALLY CHARGE RACIST CRIMINAL PROSECUTOR BRIAN MARK

ROSENBERG, REPORT MARK ROSENBERG TO THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA, CREATE SUPPLEMENTAL POLICE REPORT THAT HIGHLIGHTS AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S FACTUAL INNOCENCE, AND TURN OVER ALL CRIMINAL CASE DISCOVERY; INCLUDING PHONY PROBABLE CAUSE DETERMINATION

FRIVOLOUS CRIMINAL CASE 25CJCM02162-01, FIRST LEGAL WARNING TO COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, ITS DDAS, CITY OF ALHAMBRA AND ITS CONSPIRING ATTORNEYS TO RETURN ALL PROPERTY, DISMISSED ALL FRIVOLOUS FIVE COUNTS, CRIMINALLY CHARGE RACIST CRIMINAL PROSECUTOR BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG, REPORT MARK ROSENBERG TO THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA, CREATE SUPPLEMENTAL POLICE REPORT THAT HIGHLIGHTS AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S FACTUAL INNOCENCE, AND TURN OVER ALL CRIMINAL CASE DISCOVERY; INCLUDING PHONY PROBABLE CAUSE DETERMINATION

ALL NATURAL PERSONS ELECTRONICALLY WARNED HEREIN CAN AND WILL BE HELD LIABLE.

I. INTRODUCTION

Rogue, RACIST, prejudiced, biased, corrupt, unethical, poorly trained, and extremely dishonest California peace officer Benjamin Yang (hereinafter "Yang") and his chief of police Garret Kennedy will soon find out the hard way in federal district court that they cannot arrest United States citizens whenever they want and wherever they see fit.

II. STATEMENT OF FACTS

On November 13, 2023 AROGANT HOLLYWOOD [hereinafter "KING AROGANT] sent an electronic mail message to RACIST, unethical, biased, delusional, mentally ill, and corrupt County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutor Brian MARK Rosenberg accusing him of falsifying evidence in his frivolous felony criminal case GA114055. See email below attached herein:


ALL NATURAL PERSONS ELECTRONICALLY WARNED HEREIN CAN AND WILL BE HELD LIABLE

I. INTRODUCTION

Rogue, RACIST, prejudiced, biased, corrupt, unethical, poorly trained, and extremely dishonest California peace officer Benjamin Yang (hereinafter "Yang") and his chief of police Garret Kennedy will soon find out the hard way in federal district court that they cannot arrest United States citizens whenever they want and wherever they see fit.

II. STATEMENT OF FACTS

On November 13, 2023 AROGANT HOLLYWOOD (hereinafter "KING AROGANT") sent an electronic mail message to RACIST, unethical, biased, delusional, mentally ill, and corrupt County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutor Brian MARK Rosenberg accusing him of falsifying evidence in his frivolous felony criminal case GA114055. See email below attached herein:




A SERIES OF EMAILS ARE COMING SOON! COMPLETE WITH ALL THE EVIDENCE THAT YOU BROKE FEDERAL LAW BY ABUSING YOUR POWER AND AUTHORITY AS PEACE OFFICERS AND PUBLIC OFFICERS OF THE COURT!

GET READY!!!!!!!!



BECAUSE ROSSI AND THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IS GOING TO SEE TO IT THAT BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG, RICHARD LEWIS, AND BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG BECOME FEDERAL PRISONERS! GUARANTEED!!!!!!!



ROSSI! AMERICA IS LESS SAFE WITH BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG ON THE STREETS. ITS TIME FOR THE U.S. GOVERNMENT TO LOCK THIS FOOL UP AND DECERTIFY HIM!

HE IS A CROOKED COP THAT HAS BEEN FEDERALLY CHARGED AND UNDER INVESTIGATION FOR CONDUCTING SIMILAR CRIMINAL BEHAVIOR AND CONDUCT HE HAS IN MY CASE. LOCK THIS FOOL UP!

Investigations and Prosecutions

The Department of Justice. The Department vigorously investigates and prosecutes violations of Constitutional rights by law enforcement officers. The Department's investigations often involve allegations of excessive force, false arrest, sexual misconduct, theft, false evidence, deliberate indifference to serious medical needs or a substantial risk of harm to a person in custody. These cases typically involve police officers, correctional officers, probation officers, prosecutors, judges and others acting as state or local law enforcement officials. The Department's authority extends to all law enforcement conduct, regardless of whether an officer is on or off duty, so long as the officer is acting, or claiming to act, in his/her official capacity.

In addition to Constitutional violations the Department prosecutes law enforcement officers for federal violations of conspiracy under 18 U.S.C. § 241, which includes attempting to prevent a victim or witnesses from reporting criminal misconduct by any federal, state or local officials during the course of an investigation into the potential misconduct or during a false report to cover up misconduct. Under existing law.

The principles of federal prosecution are set forth in the United States Attorneys Manual (USAM). Prosecutors must apply the Principles of Federal Prosecution to each potential prosecution.

First the government must establish that the potential defendant committed a federal crime. Second the government must also establish that the government would be able to prevail at trial, which the government must prove the charges beyond a reasonable doubt.

To prove a violation of § 242, the government must prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt: First that the defendant deprived a victim of a right protected by the Constitution or laws of the United States; Second that the defendant acted willfully; and Third that the defendant acted under color of law. A violation of 18 U.S.C. § 242 is established if one of the following circumstances is met: the defendant used, attempted to use, or threatened to use a dangerous weapon, explosives, or fire; the victim suffered bodily injury during the defendant's actions; or the offense included kidnapping or attempted kidnapping, aggravated sexual abuse or attempted aggravated sexual abuse, or the offense resulted in death. Otherwise, the violation is a misdemeanor.

To establish willfulness, the government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant knew that his conduct was wrong and against the law and decided to do it anyway. Therefore, even if the government can prove beyond a reasonable doubt that an individual's Constitutional rights as protected by § 242 requires that the government prove that the law enforcement officer intended to engage in the unlawful conduct and that he/she did so knowing that it was wrong or unlawful. Screws v. United States, 325 U.S. 91, 101-107 (1945). Mistake, poor supervision or even poor judgment does not constitute willful conduct prosecutable under the statute.

WHILE LYING BILLY AND RACIST MARK ROSENBERG'S ACTIONS ARE A MISDEMEANOR UNDER FEDERAL LAW. THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE MUST WORK WITH THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE TO CRIMINALLY CHARGE ROSENBERG, LEWIS, AND KHOUNTHAVONG PURSUANT TO VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 6200, WHICH IS A FELONY AND

CARRY STATE PRISON TIME!



MARK Rosenberg used the above electronic mail message sent to him on November 28, 2023 to not only apply for a frivolous civil harassment restraining order but to also request a temporary restraining order by fabricating lies that he believed that KING ARROGANT posed a threat to him and his family by stating that he should to to prison for violating California Government Code Section 6200. See MARK Rosenberg's Superior Court of California civil harassment restraining order attachment pages below herein.

MC-025

SHORT TITLE
Attachment 7b-Previous Harassment

CASE NUMBER
23PDRU01713

ATTACHMENT (Number):

(This Attachment may be used with any Judicial Council form.)


I am the assigned prosecutor for the case of People v. Arogant Hollywood, GA114055. Mr. Hollywood was previously charged in People v. Arogant Hollywood, GA113236, wherein after the proceedings, he threatened to sue the normal prosecutor and ultimately contacted her and attempted to contact her family members that had nothing to do with that case and threatened to sue them. Said prosecutor is a colleague of mine and was scared by this behavior. I was assigned GA114055 at arraignment on 2/24/23. From the beginning of the case, Mr. Hollywood threatened to sue me in open court numerous times. He called me racist and frequently stated that he wouldn't just sue me but he'd find my entire family and sue them in any court he could, in any state he could. He also called my work phone numerous times and threatened to sue me as well. He made additional threats to file state bar complaints against me as well. Additionally, Mr. Hollywood filed a motion in court wherein he threatened to sue myself and the judge for $14 million dollars (said motion was admitted as People's 2 during Defendant's preliminary hearing). A preliminary hearing was conducted on this matter on April 4, 2023 and April 5, 2023. Mr. Hollywood testified during this hearing. In this hearing, Mr. Hollywood admitted to being a vexatious litigant and admitted to intimidating people "all the time" by threatening to sue them. He further admitted to sending an email to the prior DA and her family because he was upset about the case she prosecuted. He stated "If I sue her family, she'll care. Ms. Lori's family's getting sued. I got her home address too." Mr. Hollywood additionally admitted to threatening to sue me "a lot." Stating further "that's what I do." Given his conduct with the prior DA, along with his conduct on this case, I believe that Mr. Hollywood uses these statements as a means of harassing and intimidating. This case was ultimately resolved on 10/13/23, where Mr. Hollywood pled no contest to the charge of PC 422 and was placed on formal probation. On 11/13/23, I received an email from Mr. Hollywood, threatening to send me to federal prison, and threatening to send me a series of emails. I reported this to LASD. Incident number: 923-11730-0522-217. Due to all this, I am fearful of my safety, along with the safety of my family, and believe that a protective order is necessary to secure their safety.

(If the form that this Attachment supports is made under penalty of perjury, all statements in this Attachment are made under penalty of perjury.)

Page ___ of ___

(Add pages as required)

ATTACHMENT
to Judicial Council Form



Harassment, for the purposes of Cal Code Civ Proc § 527.6, is unlawful violence, a credible threat of violence [Code Civ. Proc. § 527.6(b)(2) ("credible threat of violence" is knowing and willful statement or course of conduct that would place a reasonable person in fear for his or her safety or safety of his or her immediately family, and that serves no legitimate purpose)]. *Harris v. Stampolis (2016) 248 Cal.App. 4th 484, 495-496, 204 Cal. Rptr. 3d 1.*

A credible threat of violence is a knowing and willful course of conduct directed at a specific person that seriously alarms, annoys, or harasses this person, and that serves no legitimate purpose.

The course of conduct must be such as would cause a reasonable person to suffer substantial emotional distress, and it must actually be caused by substantial emotional distress to the petitioner filing for a civil harassment restraining order. See Cal Civ Code

Proc § 527.6(b)(3); *Huntington Life Sciences, Inc. v. Stop Huntington Animal Cruelty USA, Inc. (2005) 129 Cal. App. 4th 1228, 1257-1258, 29 Cal. Rptr. 3d 521* (liability is based on defendant's credible threats of violence and defendant's lack of intent to actually carry out threats is immaterial)]. Cal Code Civ Proc § 527.6(b)(2) does not define "substantial emotional distress," but it may be properly compared to "severe emotional distress" in the tort context of intentional infliction of emotional distress. In that context, "severe emotional distress" means highly unpleasant mental suffering or anguish from socially unacceptable conduct, which entails such intense, enduring, and nontrivial emotional distress that no reasonable person in a civilized society should be expected to endure it. Therefore, not all actions that give rise to some emotional distress will constitute conduct that "cause[s] a reasonable person to suffer substantial emotional distress" within the meaning of Cal Code Civ Proc § 527.6, particularly if the distress is but transitory in nature. See *Schild v. Rubin (1991) 232 Cal. App. 3d 755, 762-763, 283 Cal. Rptr. 533.*

MARK Rosenberg s above attachment page allegations were insufficient evidence for the unfair and corrupt Superior Court of California judicial officer to grant either a temporary restraining order or a permanent restraining order.

MARK Rosenberg's fabricated statements tnat KING AROGANT stated that a serious of emails were coming proved to be irrelevant. As KING AROGANT never followed up to MARK Rosenberg's by sending him a second email.

Thus, in the seventeen months MARK Rosenberg had only received one single email from KING AROGANT. A single incident was not for MARK Rosenberg to even file a second restraining order. See caselaw below:

A single incident of harassment is not a course of conduct, see *Leydon v. Alexander (1989) 212 Cal. App. 3d 1, 4 260 Cal. Rptr. 253.* Moreover, constitutionally protected activity, such as the right to petition administrative, executive, or judicial agencies, is not included within the meaning of a course of conduct. See Cal Code Civ Proc § 527, *Leydon v. Alexander (1989) 212 Cal App 3d 1, 5, 260 Cal. Rptr. 253; Smith v. Silvey (1983) 149 Cal. App. 3d 406-407, 197 Cal. Rptr. 15.*

Additionally, pursuant to California Penal Code Section 646.6, subsection (f) MARK Rosenberg's allegations that KING AROGANT threatened and harassed him by sending him an email stating that he should go to prison did not rise to the level of unlawful violence and harassment because KING AROGANT'S written electronic mail statements were in exercise of his First Amendment Freedom of Speech Clause constitutional civil rights. See below:

(f) For the purposes of this section, "course of conduct" means two or more acts occurring over a period of time, however short, evidencing a continuity of purpose. Constitutionally protected activity is not included within the meaning of "course of conduct."

MARK Rosenberg's frivolous and bogus Superior Court of California civil harassment restraining order filed originally in November 2023 (23PDRO01713) recklessly infringed upon KING AROGANT'S 1st amendment and Fourteenth amendment constitutional civil rights and make it extremely difficult for KING AROGANT to request MARK Rosenberg turn over and disclose numerous pieces of evidence in frivolous felony criminal case GA114055.

On November 28, 2023 KING AROGANT sent an email to numerous County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors detailing how rogue peace officer and RACIST criminal prosecutor Brian MARK Rosenberg had falsified evidence in illegal case GA114055. Brian MARK Rosenberg was not included on the nearly 500 persons email list. See evidence below attached hereto and marked as "Exhibit 1".

Gmail

Alison Fairchild <alisonhfairchild@gmail.com>


AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. state of california, united states, county of los angeles, city of south pasadena, city of arcadia, et al [SECOND EMAIL] [REAL VIDEO THAT PROVES THAT I WAS FRAMED FOR VIOLATING CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE SECTION 422(a)

103 messages

Arrogant Hollywood <arogantholly=oodgenius@gmail.com> Tue, Nov 28, 2023 at 4:02 AM

To: attorney@nelopdahl.com, Arrogant Hollywood <arogantholly=woodgenius@gmail.com>, arogantmusicinc@gmail.com, fairchildadachuleader@gmail.com, causeofaction43@gmail.com, blacklaw-genius@gmail.com, alisonhfairchild@gmail.com, "blacklaw-crusader@gmail.com" <blacklaw-crusader@gmail.com>, rkuns@lasd.org, rkuns@lacounty.gov, slardy@lacounty.org, jtorres@lasd.org, salcorn@lacounty.org, lacrivan@lasd.org, bchase@lacounty.gov, rlewis@lacounty.gov, lacrivan@lacounty.org, jmeza@lasd.org, ned.nerian@ddq.ca.gov, nwindeep.singh@ddq.ca.gov, robert.bonk@ddq.ca.gov, OPSOfficeOffice@ddq.ca.gov, peter.halloran@ddq.ca.gov, amyhhalloran@yahoo.com, peterhalloran@sbcglobal.net, alisha.carlile@ddq.ca.gov, enpdrago@aol.com, acerles@alum.wellesley.edu, concetervet@yahoo.com, alishastephen@yahoo.com.au, alishi17@u.washington.edu, gehygirl6364@aol.com, alisenea@yahoo.com, lish34@hotmail.com, jacobsdm@hotmail.com, lachapel_a@yahoo.com, alishacalu@yahoo.com, alisha.mai@gmail.com, alisha.marks@rogers.com, vincent.bonolfo@ddq.ca.gov, vbonolfo@hotmail.com, glenn.pruden@ddq.ca.gov, eyelass77@hotmail.com, daniel.olivas@ddq.ca.gov, giam.nguyen@ddq.ca.gov, chad.stegeman@ddq.ca.gov, stephen.lew@ddq.ca.gov, christopher.findley@ddq.ca.gov, dennis.beck@ddq.ca.gov, daniel.spencer@ddq.ca.gov, maggy.tree@ddq.ca.gov, Elaine.tong@ddq.ca.gov, hannah.calleiuan@ddq.ca.gov, mo.parsi@ddq.ca.gov, dbuthner@lasuperiorcourt.org, dseyton@lasuperiorcourt.org, rcrover@lasuperiorcourt.org, jmoses@lasuperiorcourt.org, jpenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, tpenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, chase.penrose@gmail.com, mack-ensenwoole@gmail.com, trishpenrose@att.net, TCP.UAC_CEAC@jud.ca.gov, afisher@lasuperiorcourt.org, jonathan.sobeyran@jud.ca.gov, mary.carter@jud.ca.gov, john.williams@jud.ca.gov, amber.barnett@jud.ca.gov, robert.oyung@jud.ca.gov, john.yee@jud.ca.gov, heather.pettit@jud.ca.gov, leah.rose-goodwin@jud.ca.gov, mark.dusman@jud.ca.gov, cory.jesperson@jud.ca.gov, jennesjesperson@gmail.com, kelton.jesperson@gmail.com, cjesperson2@mindspring.com, judy.jesperson@att.net, randel.chance@ddq.ca.gov, randel.chance@jud.ca.gov, Bobby.brow@jud.ca.gov, stephen.nash@jud.ca.gov, debbie.silcox@jud.ca.gov, laura.speed@jud.ca.gov, andrea.evans@jud.ca.gov, nicole.clara@jud.ca.gov, gavin.newsom@gov.ca.gov, robert.rivas@asm.ca.gov, assem.bryan@asm.ca.gov, cecilia.aguiar-curry@asm.ca.gov, stephanie.nguyen@asm.ca.gov, lori.wilson@asm.ca.gov, matt.haney@asm.ca.gov, heath.flora@asm.ca.gov, laurie.davies@asm.ca.gov, turnfelon@yahoo.com, turfree@aol.com, turfree@yahoo.com, jerri.davis@epa.net, vhuston@indy.rr.com, james.gallagher@asm.ca.gov, isa.calderon@asm.ca.gov, john.actkler@asm.ca.gov, alisa.buckley@asm.ca.gov, benjamin.allen@sen.ca.gov, marie.alvarado-gil@sen.ca.gov, bob.archuleta@sen.ca.gov, angelique.ashby@sen.ca.gov, tom.atkins@sen.ca.gov, josh.becker@sen.ca.gov, catthenne.buckelpear@sen.ca.gov, steven.bradford@sen.ca.gov, anna.caballero@sen.ca.gov, steve.cortese@sen.ca.gov, brian.dahle@sen.ca.gov, steven.glazer@sen.ca.gov, lena.gonzalez@sen.ca.gov, shannon.grove@sen.ca.gov, melissa.hurtado@sen.ca.gov, brian.jones@sen.ca.gov, john.laird@sen.ca.gov, monique.limon@sen.ca.gov, mike.mcguire@sen.ca.gov, caroline.menjivar@sen.ca.gov, dave.min@sen.ca.gov, josh.newman@sen.ca.gov, janet.nguyen@sen.ca.gov, roger.nielio@sen.ca.gov, rosilicie.ochoa-bogh@sen.ca.gov, steve.padilla@sen.ca.gov, anthony.portantino@sen.ca.gov, richard.roth@sen.ca.gov, susan.rubio@sen.ca.gov, kelly.seyarto@sen.ca.gov, nancy.skinner@sen.ca.gov, tolo.smallwood-cuevas@sen.ca.gov, henry.stern@sen.ca.gov, thomas.umberg@sen.ca.gov, akesha.wilson@sen.ca.gov, scott.wiener@sen.ca.gov, scott.wilk@sen.ca.gov, brendan.murphy@sen.ca.gov, steve.vereis@sen.ca.gov, nancy.pelosi@mail.house.gov, kevin.mccarthy@mail.house.gov, maxine.waters@mail.house.gov, kathleen.porter@mail.house.gov, katie.porter@mail.house.gov, kevin.foley@mail.house.gov, mike.thompson@mail.house.gov, tom.mcclintock@mail.house.gov, eric.swalwell@mail.house.gov, kevin.mullin@mail.house.gov, anna.eshoo@mail.house.gov, ro.khanna@mail.house.gov, zoe.lofgren@mail.house.gov, Jimmy.panetta@mail.house.gov, kevinmccarthy@mail.house.gov, jim.costa@mail.house.gov, Jay.obernolte@mail.house.gov, salud.carbajal@mail.house.gov, Raul.ruiz@mail.house.gov, julia.brownley@mail.house.gov, mike.garcia@mail.house.gov, judy.chu@mail.house.gov, tony.cardenas@mail.house.gov, adam.schiff@mail.house.gov, brad.sherman@mail.house.gov, pete.aguilar@mail.house.gov, jimmy.gomez@mail.house.gov, Sydney.kamlager-dove@mail.house.gov, linda.sanchez@mail.house.gov, mark.takano@mail.house.gov, young.kim@mail.house.gov, ken.calvert@mail.house.gov, robert.garcia@mail.house.gov, nanette.barragan@mail.house.gov, michelle.steel@mail.house.gov, lou.correa@mail.house.gov,

abe.porter@mail.house.gov; darnell.vasa@mail.house.gov; mike.levin@mail.house.gov; scott.peters@mail.house.gov;
sara.jacobs@mail.house.gov; juan.vargas@mail.house.gov; scheduling_padilla@padilla.senate.gov;
casework@padilla.senate.gov; david_moreno@padilla.senate.gov; joshua_esquivel@padilla.senate.gov;
david_grannis@feinstein.senate.gov; justin_scherdin@feinstein.senate.gov; rachel_bombach@feinstein.senate.gov;
president@whitehouse.gov; Kamala.Harris@whitehouse.gov; leah.wilson@caltax.ca.gov; leah.wilson50@yahoo.com;
Elizabeth.horn@caltax.ca.gov; gina.crawford@caltax.ca.gov; rita.furnes@caltax.ca.gov; peterousch@sbcglobal.net;
manuel.alvarez@post.ca.gov; jim.gnatkus@post.ca.gov; pgnatkus@yahoo.com; jamesgnatkus@aol.com;
jane.gnatkus@yahoo.com; annemarie.mugnaio@post.ca.gov; annemariedelmugnaio@gmail.com;
chasesandoval@aol.com; obugni@aol.com; sonya.bassett@post.ca.gov; somyer@comcast.net; cheryl.smith@post.ca.gov;
colin.steele@post.ca.gov; csteele@post.ca.gov; dulce_csteele4u@yahoo.com; ulce_csteele4u@aol.com;
gspringer3@yahoo.com; csteele@ci.davis.ca.us; colin.o'steele530@verizon.net; cs'steele1514@bellsouth.net;
sarah.wallace@post.ca.gov; william.darden@post.ca.gov; william.darden@doj.ca.gov; rphipps@uspcdp.edu;
williamdarden@gmail.com; William.darden@uns.com; carma.holler@post.ca.gov; carma.berg82@gmail.com;
dberg@ocsanbcounty.com; carma.holler@aol.com; erik.epperson@post.ca.gov; lley6808@gmail.com;
willey6808@gmail.com; erikandannaepperson@hotmail.com; onecubestar@hotmail.com; sgrundmeier@hotmail.com;
lodders@hotmail.com; erik.epperson@hotmail.com; erik.epperson@yahoo.com; sb2@post.ca.gov;
christine.ford@post.ca.gov; michelle.weiler@post.ca.gov; wildcatters@msn.com; cstarweiler@msn.com;
reagan.poulos@post.ca.gov; roseanne.richest@post.ca.gov; thomas.chest@post.ca.gov; katie.strickland@post.ca.gov;
kirk.bunch@post.ca.gov; brad.hemmeyer@post.ca.gov; thilo.redford@post.ca.gov; brian.south@post.ca.gov;
goodwin.liu@ucd.ca.gov; tani.cantil-sakauye@ucd.ca.gov; joshua.groben@ucd.ca.gov; martin.jenkins@ucd.ca.gov;
patricia.guerrero@ucd.ca.gov; carol.corrigan@ucd.ca.gov; leondra.kruger@ucd.ca.gov; leslie.evans@ucd.ca.gov;
firstdistrict@sbcglobal.net; lacounty.gov; ochen@bos.lacounty.gov; seconddistrict@bos.lavcounty.gov; holly4assembly@gmail.com;
hmitchell@yahoo.com; kumbaya@cs.com; hmitchell@aol.com; fumbers@mcilo.com; holly@hollymitchell.com; rfleming;
peters@counsel.lacounty.gov; mcaster@counsel.lacounty.gov; ryanbane@lacounty.gov; rpainlons@counsel.lacounty.gov;
jgonzalez@counsel.lacounty.gov; lowe@metro.net; Tlow77@gmail.com; ryanthurlow@yahoo.com; tlow@lacounty.gov;
tlow@counsel.lacounty.gov; tina@tmolaco.com; tlow7@yahoo.com; econard@lacounty.gov;
econard@counsel.lacounty.gov; paperhendoza18@gmail.com; chasaconrad@gmail.com; rmconard@gmail.com;
kdluvebarney@aol.com; susanconard@aol.com; s.conard@conardphoto.com; susan@conardphoto.com;
econard@conardphoto.com; sales@conardphoto.com; support@conardphoto.com; scalline@cfeat.org;
adrian@conardphoto.com; conard@conardphoto.com; fawino@counsel.lacounty.gov; dbarnes@counsel.lacounty.gov;
dunngfrank@gmail.com; brucengluyen@gmail.com; dunngfrank@hotmail.com; don@lacounty.gov;
dnghiem@counsel.lacounty.gov; schoi@counsel.lacounty.gov; tliang@counsel.lacounty.gov; cesar@lacounty.gov;
cdelpezar@counsel.lacounty.gov; cdelperal@aol.com; cesar_del_peral@yahoo.com; cdelperal@yahoo.com;
cesardelperal1@yahoo.com; thefiyingtrex33@gmail.com; jquindle79@yahoo.com; allana.sarah28@gmail.com;
rlstacy@gmail.com; lnaversleep55.mh@gmail.com; rlouriza@lacounty.gov; rstacy@counsel.lacounty.gov; rstacy;
pedilla@counsel.lacounty.gov; vovena@counsel.lacounty.gov; mearle@counsel.lacounty.gov;
dcarlos@county.lacounty.gov; dcarlos@counsel.lacounty.gov; victoriacarlos@gmail.com; dcarlos31@gmail.com;
debbie.carlos818@verizon.net; victoria.carlos@gmail.com; humbert@pbmenc.com; info@pbm-inc.com; pencarlo@aol.com;
jcarlos@mbmc.la.edu; yngdesa@gmail.com; richard.padilla@disney.com; andria.sea@disabilityrights.org;
andsea@gmail.com; washaw-wing@hotmail.com; echu@counsel.lacounty.gov; sgipson@counsel.lacounty.gov;
wadyseq@gmail.com; wadyseq@aol.com; Dhrubajyoti Deb <dpdesign@gmail.com>; michaelsterseiman@gmail.com;
marugon@aol.com; rolpdz@yahoo.com; dhester22@yahoo.com; dhester@yahoo.com; sshon@counsel.lacounty.gov;
ngarcia@yahoo.com; gngarcia@msn.com; gproducer@hotmail.com; mramirez@aol.com;
azrudenweg@counsel.lacounty.gov; mrudent@counsel.lacounty.gov; cdaytor@counsel.lacounty.gov;
schen@counsel.lacounty.gov; lamur@counsel.lacounty.gov; eggerter@mateo.net; eggers@counsel.lacounty.gov;
mrolon@counsel.lacounty.gov; merden@hotmail.com; doug@homestart.com; doug.richardson@homestart.com;
pgheiden@counsel.lacounty.gov; rsaldana@counsel.lacounty.gov; rdavis-linkhem@counsel.lacounty.gov; Nicole Davis
<nikdu.davis1212@gmail.com>; link4d1@gmail.com; nadlinkhem@gmail.com; brind0313@gmail.com;
darrellinkhem@yahoo.com; jwhitehead@counsel.lacounty.gov; tlolsen@counsel.lacounty.gov; lesler.tolnes@yahoo.com;
rolester.tolnes71@yahoo.com; pmershall@counsel.lacounty.gov; sbyers@counsel.lacounty.gov;
rcartwright@counsel.lacounty.gov; rwong@counsel.lacounty.gov; tlaughnan@counsel.lacounty.gov;
tomlaughnan66@gmail.com; jfehrman@counsel.lacounty.gov; lsmiles@counsel.lacounty.gov;
rbeeze@counsel.lacounty.gov; slluhn@counsel.county.gov; rchestang@counsel.lacounty.gov;
tolmpo@counsel.lacounty.gov; msimon@counsel.lacounty.gov; amiller@counsel.lacounty.gov;
elizabeth_dumas@yahoo.com; lukegrant18@yahoo.com; princeton@yahoo.com; pbolinger@counsel.lacounty.gov;
kbowser@counsel.lacounty.gov; rebrahim@da.lacounty.gov; tdeyerle@da.lacounty.gov; dlerari@da.lacounty.gov;
lserena@lacounty.gov; lserena@da.lacounty.gov; mbessard@da.lacounty.gov; ron.goltz@lacounty.gov;
rgoltz@da.lacounty.gov; Marek Elho <marek.elho@gmail.com>; wilhare@verizon.net; eric.castano@charter.net;
jcastano@da.lacounty.gov; eric.castano@uno.com; ericcastano@gmail.com; Jlinguez@da.lacounty.gov;
fsanchez@da.lacounty.gov; rarcos@da.lacounty.gov; jrodriguez@dalacounty.gov; evroo@da.lacounty.gov;
pagraw-s@da.lacounty.gov; onelson@da.lacounty.gov; vgadarma@msn.com; gjameson@da.lacounty.gov;
tjvasquez@da.lacounty.gov; ldroegar@da.lacounty.gov; zluviva@ceo.lacounty.gov; jsilva@da.lacounty.gov



hborden@da lacounty gov amattson@da lacounty gov aralano@da lacounty gov shaungipson@gmail com
sgipson@da lacounty gov twright@da lacounty gov mcarrillo@da lacounty gov gdameron@da lacounty gov
ayochelson@da lacounty gov spressly@da lacounty gov shseven@da lacounty gov bjdodd@da lacounty gov
lorquiola@da lacounty gov mramirez@da lacounty gov rdarcon@da lacounty gov shufefeld@da lacounty gov
smeis@da lacounty gov mhleader@da lacounty gov ptouch@da lacounty gov vrodriguez@da lacounty gov
dpurves@da lacounty gov rlanes@lacounty gov ezmesmer@socal rr com evelyn znesmer@yahoo com
samalenz78@hotmail com znesmer@yahoo com samuel znesmer@yahoo com dephotnim@hotmail com
daphne znesmer@gmail com donesmer@yahoo com InspectorGeneral@oig lacounty gov doug mcmanus@usdoj gov
melinda rogers@usdoj gov stacie bass@usdoj gov courtney spivey-urschel@usdoj gov AskDOJ@usdoj gov
merrick garland@whitehouse gov SupremeCt@mail@usdoj gov tomy monaco@usdoj gov vanita gupta@whitehouse gov
kristen clarke@usdoj gov Christopher wray@whitehouse gov christopher@fbi gov paul abbate@ic fbi gov
paul abbate@whitehouse gov paulabbate@yahoo com pat180@hotmail com fdsanchez@bellgardens org
"bgbercenam@gmail com" <bgbercenam@gmail com> "jchavez@bellgardens org" <jchavez@bellgardens org>
ggomez@bellgardens org mpulido@bellgardens org MOKelly@bellgardens org starfield@bellgardens org Esteban
Perez <EPerez@bgpd org> starfield@bgpd org tguzmansanchez@hotmail com tguzmansanchez@aol com
thomasguzmansanchez@hotmail com guzmansanchez@aol com ceo@jpmediagroup com
tjavmmuzic@dancemaster com rj@dancemaster com godaddy@dancemaster com

On February 21 2023 I was falsely arrested by LASD Temple City peace officers for making criminal threats

On February 21 2023 shortly after arriving at Temple City adult detention center I told detective peace officer Billy Khounthavong and his superior sergeant Richard Lewis that if they brought me my mobile phone and GOPRO camera I could present to them audio and video evidence that would prove I did not make any threat

On February 22 2023 rogue and corrupt LASD peace officer Billy Khounthavong and his superior Richard Lewis recklessly conspired to violate my civil rights by disposing of Samsung Galaxy S21 Ultra mobile phone which I told them contained on it audio recordings and text messages that would exonerate me

On February 22 2023 Billy Khounthavong filed a bogus police report alleging that a search warrant for my GOPRO was issued by the dishonest racist and bias judicial officer Suzette Cloven The search warrant was so bogus that I never received a certified copy of it despite requesting it on numerous occasions The bogus warrant was alleged to have been electronically filed yet it did not have the words duplicate angeles written on it and it did not have the execution date and time written on it I was never served and did not receive a copy of it until March 2023

On February 21 2023 County of Los Angeles rogue peace officer A Contreras created a fraudulent and counterfeit probable cause determination declaration in which he stated that the Honorable Jane Seng approved his bogus PCD at approximately 1 p m a time she was on her lunch break But it gets worse while LASD has its own system for entering PCD and sending them to the court There are no records of Contreras' bogus PCD Also the fact that Contreras alleged that his PCD was approved and probable cause was found non telephonically was a bald face lie Probable cause is usually found at a preliminary hearing when it's non telephonic Additionally a court reporter was required to transcribe Contreras' non telephonic probable cause determination declaration See now California Penal Code Section 817 subdivision (g) Additionally LASD and rogue peace officer A Contreras will and recklessly failed to file a certificate of service with Jane Seng's court after the alleged arrest warrant was approved by her See now California Penal Code Section 817(i) LASD and A Contreras never filed a certificate of service because they knew that their probable cause determination declaration was shamed bogus counterfeit false forged and fraudulent

On or about February 23 2023 rogue and dishonest peace officer detective lying Billy Khounthavong wrongfully and unnecessarily sent my GOPRO HERO 10 action camera to LASD and Ventura Sheriff's Office's joint Fraud and Cyber Crimes Southern California High Tech Task Force so that they could illegally manipulate my GOPRO videos to make me look guilt of a crime they knew that I did not commit


At the preliminary hearing on April 4 2023 and April 5 2023 dishonest deputy district attorney Brian Mark Rosenberg willfully wantonly and recklessly violated California Evidence Code Section 1522 subdivision (b) by presenting to the court as evidence unoriginal falsified tampered with edited modified and altered GOPRO video recordings

At the preliminary hearing on April 5 2023 Brian Mark Rosenberg assisted Billy Khounthavong in testifying falsely that I shut off my GOPRO for seven minutes during the make believe knife and criminal threats separate incidents While Billy Khounthavong was on the stand racist biased and dishonest criminal prosecutor Brian Mark Rosenberg played an edited and altered GOPRO video recording that depicted and showed me shutting off my GOPRO camera

Exhibit 1, pages 1, and 3 of KING AROGANT'S electronic mail messages sent to DDA's and Sheriff Robert Luna proves that Brian MARK Rosenberg was not sent an electronic mail message from KING AROGANT on November 28, 2023.

On December 20, 2023 prejudiced, biased, and RACIST Superior Court of California judicial officer Timothy Martella willfully, recklessly, maliciously, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, deliberately, and callously granted MARK Rosenberg's frivolous request for a three year injunction.

On February 13, 2024 KING AROGANT sent an email to numerous County of Los Angeles attorneys and LASD Sheriff Robert Luna detailing how he was being mistreated while in the custody of County of Los Angeles. Brian MARK Rosenberg was not included on the nearly 20 persons email list. See evidence below attached hereto and marked as "Exhibit 2".



Gmail Alison Fairchild <alisonfairchild@gmail.com>

OFFICIAL COMPLAINT OF ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD ON BEHALF OF AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. sherrif robert luna, et al.

1 message

Cheryl Kayler <chendit@aol.com> Tue, Feb 13, 2024 at 5:14 PM

To: cparker@da.lacounty.gov, vrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov, swood@da.lacounty.gov, tcheung@lasd.org, rohew@lapd.lacounty.gov, jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov, smire@da.lacounty.gov, mfeeder@da.lacounty.gov, Ron Geitz <rgeitz@da.lacounty.gov>, gascon@da.lacounty.gov, Neal Dipdalt-Lopez <attorney@nealopdahl.com>, rgarcia@pubdef.lacounty.gov, jesalcit@pubdef.lacounty.gov, rmarquez@pubdef.lacounty.gov, mrawe@pubdef.lacounty.gov, jackson@law.pacbell.org, jackson@lasuperiorcourt.org, earuizdelgado@lapd.lacounty.gov, Arogant Hollywood <arogantholllywood@yahoo.com>, Alison F [redacted] <alisonfairchild@gmail.com>, lacitizencrusader@gmail.com, "Cheryl (Chen) Kayler" <chen@yourdocumentdoctor.com>, causeofaction43@gmail.com, Arogant Hollywood <arogantmuscle@gmail.com>, fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com, vfalcibos@lasuperior.org, vfalcibos@lasuperiorcourt.org, mvfalcibos@lasuperior.org, mvfalcibos@lasuperiorcourt.org, sclover@lasuperior.org, sclover@lasuperiorcourt.org, robert.bonta@doj.ca.gov, pghaderi@counsel.lacounty.gov, atowers@counsel.lacounty.gov, mwimon@counsel.lacounty.gov, amelibeck@da.lacounty.gov, gaten626@gmail.com, bhernandez@pubdef.lacounty.gov, jfrochez@pubdef.lacounty.gov, leahl <leon@calbar.ca.gov>, leah <leon50@yahoo.com>, firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, cchen@bos.lacounty.gov, seconddistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, Third District <thirddistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>, seconddistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, thirddistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, fourthdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, hmitchell@yahoo.com, elizabeth.horn@calbar.ca.gov, jmccrawford@calbar.ca.gov, rpenlune@counsel.lacounty.gov, obernett@counsel.lacounty.gov, rkane@lasd.org, cdelperal@counsel.lacounty.gov, adavis-brisham@counsel.lacounty.gov, nedbrisham@gmail.com, jwhitehurst@counsel.lacounty.gov, pmarshall@counsel.lacounty.gov, rcarlwright@counsel.lacounty.gov, gbonzalez@counsel.lacounty.gov, rwong@counsel.lacounty.gov, tlaughnan@counsel.lacounty.gov, tomlaughnan@gmail.com, jtaharian@counsel.lacounty.gov, kmeles@counsel.lacounty.gov, rbeeza@counsel.lacounty.gov, rchastang@counsel.lacounty.gov, lchurnpo@counsel.lacounty.gov, emiller@counsel.lacounty.gov, pbollinger@counsel.lacounty.gov, kbowker@counsel.lacounty.gov, rebrahim@da.lacounty.gov, fdioyanz@da.lacounty.gov, dteran@da.lacounty.gov, lserrano@da.lacounty.gov, mbasen@da.lacounty.gov, gmeguez@da.lacounty.gov, hsanchez@da.lacounty.gov, rarcos@da.lacounty.gov, jrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov, pagrewal@da.lacounty.gov, cnelson@da.lacounty.gov, vsantie rochoa@da.lacounty.gov, vgadama@msn.com, ljuessner@da.lacounty.gov, Larry Droeger <ldroeger@da.lacounty.gov>, jsilva@da.lacounty.gov, hboorden@da.lacounty.gov, agipson@da.lacounty.gov, hwright@da.lacounty.gov, mcarrillo@da.lacounty.gov, Alan Yochelson <ayochelson@da.lacounty.gov>, spriestly@da.lacounty.gov, ahassett@da.lacounty.gov, bybodd@da.lacounty.gov, lorquido@da.lacounty.gov, lorquido@da.lacounty.gov, mramirez@da.lacounty.gov, rslarcon@da.lacounty.gov, whutchfield@da.lacounty.gov, Mario Hester <mhester@da.lacounty.gov>, pkouch@da.lacounty.gov, dpurves@da.lacounty.gov, cperk@da.lacounty.gov, ranee@da.lacounty.gov, courtney.spivey.<rochet@us.doj.gov>, courtney.spivey@us.doj.gov, doug.mcmanus@us.doj.gov, melinda.rogers@us.doj.gov, robert.mccarty@us.doj.gov, asedov@us.doj.gov, supremectbriefs@us.doj.gov, kerrymonaco@us.doj.gov, kerrymonaco@us.doj.gov, elizabeth.prelogar@us.doj.gov, anitavarela.gupta@whitehouse.gov, kristen.clarke@us.doj.gov, criminal.division@us.doj.gov, nmacella@gmail.com, nmacella@us.doj.gov, brian.boynton@us.doj.gov, david.weiss@us.doj.gov, jackarm@us.doj.gov, rakelrose@gmail.com

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD

533 92 3047

12-08-1978

Booking: 6715488

LASD, LASD Medical Services, LA County CHS, LA County Mental Health, Sheriff Luna, County of Los Angeles and Jane and John DOES 1 through 20 are all recklessly, wantonly, maliciously and willfully violating 42 USC Sections 1981, 1983, 1985, 1986, 12131, 12132, Title 15, California Penal Code Section 6030 (Minimum Standards in local correctional facilities) my fourth, sixth, eighth and fourteenth amendment constitutional rights by recklessly and wantonly doing the following

Exhibit 2, page 1 of KING AROGANT'S electronic mail messages sent to DDA's and Sheriff Robert Luna proves by clear and convincing evidence that Brian MARK Rosenberg was not sent an electronic mail message from KING AROGANT on February 13, 2024.

On June 8, 2024 KING AROGANT sent two emails to over fifty County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors and attorneys including Victor Manuel Rodriguez, James William Garrison, Janis Eve Johnson, Stephanie Pear Mire, former District Attorney Geroge Gascon, and numerous County of Los Angeles Office of County Counsel attorneys. KING AROGANT'S two June 8, 2024 electronic mail messages were also sent to numerous CDCR executives and peace officers including CDCR director Jeffrey Macomber, CDCR Adult Parole Supervision director Jason Johnson, CDCR peace officer Jesse Ochoa, and KING AROGANT'S former CDCR parole officer Fernando Cortez. Brian MARK Rosenberg was not included on the nearly 100 persons email list. See first June 8, 2024 email sent at 8:03 AM evidence below attached hereto and marked as "Exhibit 3".

Gmail

Arogant Hollywood <arogantbollywoodgenius@gmail.com>


people v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA114055) & UPCOMING FIRST CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT TITLED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. judy whitehurst, et al [DEMAND PEOPLE AND LASD IMMEDIATELY TURN OVER PHONY LASD GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GLO10586 & GLO10587

100 messages

Arogant Hollywood <arogantholly woodgenius@gmail com> Sat, Jun 8, 2024 at 8:03 AM

To: seconddistrict@bos lacounty gov, seconddistrict@bos.la county gov, "HollyLAssembly@gmail.com" <HollyLAssembly@gmail com>, hymichel@aol com, jmerchan@--dacs lacounty gov, info@--dacs lacounty gov, arogantmuseone@gmail com, ferchitadadamsauction@gmail com, qausechudsons43@gmail com, blackdawgsmusic@gmail com, alisonhtanchez@gmail com, rfurse@lasd org, rfurse@lacounty gov, atardy@lacounty org, jtomes@lasd org, sstorres@lacounty org, fleonnsen@lasd org, bchase@lacounty gov, rlewis@lacounty gov, flecnnsen@lacounty org, jmeza@lasd org, ned harlan@doj ca gov, rishdeep singh@doj ca gov, robert bonta@doj ca gov, OPSChiefsOffice@doj ca gov, peter hallonan@doj ca gov, amyhhallonan@yahoo com, peterhallonan@sbcglobal net, alisha carlile@doj ca gov, snpdregn@aol com, acarlile@alum wellesley edu, cancerbrat9@yahoo com, alishasstephen@yahoo com au, alishi17@u washington edu, garlygirl6364@aol com, alkeenad@yahoo com, ash34@hotmail com, jacobodin@hotmail com, lachapel_a@yahoo com, alishaolu@yahoo com, alisha.mas@gmail com, alisha marks@rogers com, vincent bonofiglio@doj ca gov, vbonofiglio@hotmail com, glenn pruden@doj ca gov, eyelees77@hotmail com, daniel olivas@doj ca gov, gavin nguyen@doj ca gov, chad stegeman@doj ca gov, stephen lew@doj ca gov, christopher findley@doj ca gov, dennis beck@doj ca gov, darrell spence@doj ca gov, maggy krell@doj ca gov, Elaine tong@doj ca gov, hannah calaosan@doj ca gov, mo parti@doj ca gov, dbuthler@lasuperiorcourt org, dalleyton@lasuperiorcourt org, sclover@lasuperiorcourt org, jmoses@lasuperiorcourt org, ppenrose@lasuperiorcourt org, tpenrose@lasuperiorcourt org, chase penrose@gmail com, mackenzienicole@gmail com, trishpenrose@att net, TCPJAC_CEAC@jud ca gov, sfisher@lasuperiorcourt org, jonathan sibeyan@jud ca gov, mary carter@jud ca gov, john williams@jud ca gov, amber barnett@jud ca go, robert oyung@jud ca gov, john yee@jud ca gov, heather pettis@jud ca gov, leah rose-goodwin@jud ca gov, mark dueman@jud ca gov, cory stepenson@jud ca gov, jennsstepenson@gmail com, kellon.stepenson@gmail com, qstepenson2@mindspring com, judy stepenson@att net, randie chance@doj ca gov, randie chance@jud ca gov, Bobby brow@jud ca gov, stephen nash@jud ca gov, debbie silcox@jud ca gov, laura speed@jud ca gov, andrea evans@jud ca gov, nicole daro@jud ca gov, gavin newsom@gov ca gov, cecilia aguiar-curry@asm ca gov, stephanie nguyen@asm ca gov, lori wilson@asm ca gov, matt haney@asm ca gov, heath flora@asm ca gov, laurie davies@asm ca gov, turfrider@yahoo com, turfrider@aol com, president@whitehouse gov, Kamala.Harris@vicepresidentialhouse gov, ashley wilson@caliber ca gov, leah wilson50@yahoo com, Elizabeth hom@caliber ca gov, gina crawford@caliber ca gov, nia himes@caliber ca gov, estenbach@ecbusocal org, manuel alvarez@post ca gov, jim grotfeldt@post ca gov, jgrotfeldt@yahoo com, jamesgrotfeldt@aol com, jaime grotfeldt@yahoo com, annemarie mugnaio@post ca gov, annemariedelmugnaio@gmail com, chasendixon@aol com, dbugnik@aol com, sonya.baiardi@post ca gov, sonyer@comcast net, cheryl smith@post ca gov, colin oseefe@post ca gov, coleefe@post ca gov, dulce_cakes4u@yahoo com, ulce_cakes4u@aol com, gspringer5@yahoo com, cokeefe@o devre ca us, colin o'keefe530@verizon net, co'keefe1514@bellsouth net, sarah wallace@post ca gov, william.darden@post ca gov, william darden@doj ca gov, rphyncy@uvsedp edu, williamdarden@gmail com, William darden@us com, carrie holler@post ca gov, carrie berg82@gmail com, cberg@solanocounty com, carrie holler@aol com, erik apperson@post ca gov, filey6808@gmail com, wiley6808@gmail com, erikandannaapperson@hotmail com, onecubefan@hotmail com, egrundmen@hotmail com, toddlers@hotmail com, eriksapperson@hotmail com, erik apperson@yahoo com, eb2@post ca gov, christine flora@post ca gov, rachelle weiler@post ca gov, wld-weilers@msn com, caleweiler@msn com, meagan.poulos@post ca gov, roseanne nichest@post ca gov, thomas.chalk@post ca gov, katie simcoxlord@post ca gov, kirk.bunch@post ca gov, brad.newmyer@post ca gov, mike.radford@post ca gov, brian.southe@post ca gov, goodwin.liu@jud ca gov, lani.cantil-sakauye@jud ca gov, joshua.groben@jud ca gov, martin.jenkins@jud ca gov, patricia.guerrero@jud ca gov, carol.corrigan@jud ca gov, leondra.kruger@jud ca gov, kelli.evans@jud ca gov, firstdistrict@bos lacounty gov, cohen@bos lacounty gov, seconddistrict@bos lacounty gov, hmichel4@yahoo com, kamalaaa@cs com, lumberg@mofo com, holly@hollymitchell com, rfleming-peters@counsel lacounty gov, rmcarter@counsel lacounty gov, ryantuna@lacounty gov, ryantuna@counsel lacounty gov, gonzalezz@counsel lacounty gov, tlow@metro net, Tlow77@gmail com, ryanthurlow@yahoo com, tlow@lacounty gov, tlow@counsel lacounty gov, tina@jmoloco com, tlow7@yahoo com, econard@lacounty gov, econard@counsel lacounty gov, pepemendoza18@gmail com, chelseconrad@gmail com, rmconard@gmail com, kclvvstormey@aol com, susanconard@aol com, s conard@conardphoto com

susan@conerdphoto.com; sconero@conerdphoto.com; sales@conerdphoto.com; support@conerdphoto.com; scottme@cleat.org; admin@conerdphoto.com; conerd@conerdphoto.com; fawong@counsel.lacounty.gov; cbarnett@counsel.lacounty.gov; dtsheng@gmail.com; brucenguyen@gmail.com; dtsheng@hotmail.com; don@lacounty.gov; dnghiem@counsel.lacounty.gov; schoi@counsel.lacounty.gov; tfang@counsel.lacounty.gov; cesar@lacounty.gov; cdelperal@counsel.lacounty.gov; cdelperal@aol.com; cesar_del_peral@yahoo.com; cdelperal@yahoo.com; cesardelperal1@yahoo.com; thellynglina02@gmail.com; jolandal75@yahoo.com; stlane.sarah.24@gmail.com; rfelacy@gmail.com; trevorsteed55.mh@gmail.com; rounds@lacounty.gov; rfelacy@counsel.lacounty.gov; rfelacy-padilla@counsel.lacounty.gov; vowens@counsel.lacounty.gov; mearle@counsel.lacounty.gov; dcarlos@county.lacounty.gov; dcarlos@counsel.lacounty.gov; victorscarlos@gmail.com; dcarlos31@gmail.com; debbie.carlos818@verizon.net; victoria.carlos@gmail.com; humbert@phmlc.com; info@phm-inc.com; pencarlos@aol.com; jcarlos@mailmc.la.edu; yngidea@gmail.com; richard.padilla@disney.com; andrea.sisco@disabilityrights.ca.org; andrusso@gmail.com; walshrwalsh@hotmail.com; schu@counsel.lacounty.gov; sgleason@counsel.lacounty.gov; stedyvesq@gmail.com; stedyvesq@aol.com; Dhrubajyoti Deb <djdesign@gmail.com>; michaelsteelmen@gmail.com; merugon@aol.com; rolesdz@yahoo.com; dlwalter22@yahoo.com; dlwalter@yahoo.com; ashon@counsel.lacounty.gov; ngesq@yahoo.com; gngesq@msn.com; gproducer@hotmail.com; mmsmith@cs@aol.com; azuderweg@counsel.lacounty.gov; mruben@counsel.lacounty.gov; claylor@counsel.lacounty.gov; schan@counsel.lacounty.gov; tomurr@counsel.lacounty.gov; eggarse@mailo.net; eggarse@counsel.lacounty.gov; mrolon@counsel.lacounty.gov; mrolon@hotmail.com; doug@homeatent.com; doug richardson@homeatent.com; pghester@counsel.lacounty.gov; rsaldana@counsel.lacounty.gov; rolawa-lenihan@counsel.lacounty.gov; Nicole Davis <natu.davis1212@gmail.com>; tina4d1@gmail.com; eastlenihan@gmail.com; brad00313@gmail.com; dennifferlenihan@yahoo.com; jwhitehurst@counsel.lacounty.gov; lfollens@counsel.lacounty.gov; lester.tolmes@yahoo.com; rolester.tolmes71@yahoo.com; pmarshall@counsel.lacounty.gov; abyers@counsel.lacounty.gov; rcarlwright@counsel.lacounty.gov; rwong@counsel.lacounty.gov; Slaughnan@counsel.lacounty.gov; tomslaughnan66@gmail.com; jlehman@counsel.lacounty.gov; lmeiss@counsel.lacounty.gov; rbeeza@counsel.lacounty.gov; skuhn@counsel.county.gov; rchastang@counsel.lacounty.gov; lcampo@counsel.lacounty.gov; rsamon@counsel.lacounty.gov; emiller@counsel.lacounty.gov; elizabeth_dumas@yahoo.com; tulagrant19@yahoo.com; pmemon@yahoo.com; pbollinger@counsel.lacounty.gov; kbowser@counsel.lacounty.gov; nabrahim@da.lacounty.gov; lacyerio@da.lacounty.gov; dlarsen@da.lacounty.gov; tserano@lacounty.gov; tserano@da.lacounty.gov; mbessard@da.lacounty.gov; ron.gallez@lacounty.gov; rgallez@da.lacounty.gov; Manik Bhoi <manik.bhoi@gmail.com>; wilhelm@verizon.net; eric.castaldo@charter.net; jcastaldo@da.lacounty.gov; eric.castaldo@juno.com; ericcastaldo@gmail.com; Jlinguez@da.lacounty.gov; tluanchez@da.lacounty.gov; rarcos@da.lacounty.gov; jrodriguez@dalacounty.gov; swood@da.lacounty.gov; pagrawal@da.lacounty.gov; cheklad@da.lacounty.gov; vgadams@msn.com; gjamison@da.lacounty.gov; kjuresevr@da.lacounty.gov; kbroegler@da.lacounty.gov; jdalva@dapo.lacounty.gov; jalva@da.lacounty.gov; hborden@da.lacounty.gov; smattson@da.lacounty.gov; arsano@da.lacounty.gov; sheungpson@gmail.com; sgleason@da.lacounty.gov; twright@da.lacounty.gov; mcamillo@da.lacounty.gov; gdameron@da.lacounty.gov; ayochelson@da.lacounty.gov; spressly@da.lacounty.gov; shassell@da.lacounty.gov; bdodd@da.lacounty.gov; torquoise@da.lacounty.gov; mramirez@da.lacounty.gov; rderoon@da.lacounty.gov; shufefield@da.lacounty.gov; smore@da.lacounty.gov; mhueter@da.lacounty.gov; pbouch@da.lacounty.gov; vrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov; dpurvis@da.lacounty.gov; rarias@lacounty.gov; sztverman@social.rr.com; evelyn.zhermer@yahoo.com; samstar218@hotmail.com; zhermer@yahoo.com; kristen.clarius@usdoj.gov; Christopher.wray@whitehouse.gov; christopher@fbi.gov; paul.abbate@ic.fbi.gov; paul.abbate@whitehouse.gov; paulabbate@yahoo.com; pa8180@hotmail.com; tguzmansanchez@hotmail.com; tguzmansanchez@aol.com; thomasguzmansanchez@hotmail.com; guzmansanchez@ao.com; ceo@gamedaygroup.com; tgavrilmuzo@dancemaster.com; r@dancemaster.com; godaddy@dancemaster.com; tfdadmin@da.lacounty.gov; rmupp@da.lacounty.gov; kstbrsch@da.lacounty.gov; gshwson@lacounty.gov; mmurray@da.lacounty.gov; kla@da.lacounty.gov; tlio@lasd.org; tashoure@lasd.org; CDCRCACLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; CCRCMFLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; CDCRCCILitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; CCDCRCENLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; CDCRCTFLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; CDCRCMCLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; CDCRCDRLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; CDCRCMLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; FCORTEZ@cdcr.gov; Jeff.Macomber@cdcr.ca.gov; Jennifer.barrello@cdcr.ca.gov; Tammy.foss@cdcr.ca.gov; Diana.toche@cdcr.ca.gov; albert.lundeen@cdcr.ca.gov; Jennifer.neal@cdcr.ca.gov; Sarah.larson@cdcr.ca.gov; Ron.broomfield@cdcr.ca.gov; Amy.caseas@cdcr.ca.gov; Jason.johnson@cdcr.ca.gov; Chris.chambers@cdcr.ca.gov; Stacy.lopez@cdcr.ca.gov; Nitu.dhillon@cdcr.ca.gov; Jennifer.benavidez@cdcr.ca.gov; heather.bowles@cdcr.ca.gov; Jared.kazano@cdcr.ca.gov; Dermot.mahon@cdcr.ca.gov; David.chrisman@cdcr.ca.gov; Sandra.calderon@cdcr.ca.gov; Ying.sun@cdcr.ca.gov; Arrogant Holly-wood <arrogantholly=woodgenius@gmail.com>; arrogantholly=woodgenius@yahoo.com; CDCRASPLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; fernando.cortez@cdcr.ca.gov; info@da.lacounty.gov; 12136331954@txtmagic.com; 16262814724@tmo.blue.com; 12136330880@txtmagic.com; julie.kaplan@cdcr.ca.gov; sabrina.williams@cdcr.ca.gov; cory.alvarez@cdcr.ca.gov; jarrell.rowe@cdcr.ca.gov; mark.cruise@cdcr.ca.gov; linda.larabee@cdcr.ca.gov; alvi.phuong@cdcr.ca.gov; jerwee.lacary@cdcr.ca.gov; dominique.hughes@cdcr.ca.gov; lauren.reed@cdcr.ca.gov; manuel.banuelos@cdcr.ca.gov; "ecolor.pot@gmail.com" <ecolor.pot@gmail.com>



"TCBecerra2016@gmail.com" <TCBecerra2016@gmail.com>, tarchuletta@crowell.com, "lopezr@crowell.com" <lopezr@crowell.com>, tarchuletta@bellsouth.net, "theresa_c_lopez@hotmail.com" <theresa_c_lopez@hotmail.com>, "tarchuletta@gmail.com" <tarchuletta@gmail.com>, "cas80513@gmail.com" <cas80513@gmail.com>, theresa.chuletta@aug.com, tarchuletta@aol.com

people v. ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA114055) & UPCOMING FIRST CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT TITLED ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. patty whitehurst, et al (DEMAND PEOPLE AND LASD IMMEDIATELY TURN OVER PHONY LASD GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GL010586 & GL010587

BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG! WE MEET AGAIN AT LAST! I AM GOING TO MAKE SURE YOU GO TO STATE PRISON YOU FU@@@@@@ CROOKED AND CORRUPT PEACE OFFICER. YOU ARE A CRIMINAL!

On February 27, 2023, you created a phony search warrant so you could send my GoPro camera to your LASD Fraud and Cyber Crimes Bureau's Southern California High Tech Task Force (one of four high-tech task forces of California regulated by California DOJ). Regardless if corrupt judicial officer Susan Clover lied and covered for you. Your search warrant is uncertified, unoriginal, unattested, unverified, and unauthenticated. Further, proof that Lying Billy's warrant is phony is the fact that the Pasadena Superior Court has no records of ever receiving your warrant. Both you and DA failed to complete the LASD mandatory COUNTYWIDE WARRANT SYSTEM INITIAL CASE FILING FORM (LASC CRIM 126 REVISED ON JANUARY 20, 2024); IT WAS NEVER FILED BECAUSE YOU NEVER SUBMITTED YOUR SEARCH WARRANT TO THE SUPERIOR COURT. YOU ARE A CRIMINAL!

On April 4, 2023, you lied under oath that phony LASD-CREATED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GL010586 & GL010587 WERE DOWNLOADED FROM MY GOPRO CAMERA! FURTHER, YOU PLAYED THESE TWO VIDEOS IN THE SUPERIOR COURT ON APRIL 4, 2023! APRIL 5, 2023 & FEBRUARY 2, 2024 THAT DEPICTED AN AI POWERED ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD WALKING AROUND FAKE VICTIM JENNIFER HUTTON MEGER'S HOUSE MECHANICALLY TOUCHING HIS GOPRO CAMERA BEFORE FINALLY SHUTTING IT OFF AS HE WALKED THROUGH A DARK HOUSE AND THEN OUTSIDE TO A DARK SKY! THIS VIDEO IS CLEARLY FAKE. AND HERE IS WHY YOU ARE CAUGHT NOW, FOOL!

THE REAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS ONLY SHOW ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD INSIDE OF HUTTON HERGER'S HOME FROM A POINT OF VIEW RECORDING VIEW. IN YOUR PHONY, NEVER TURNED OVER TO THE DEFENSE LASD CREATED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS AI POWERED ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD IS SEEN CENTERED IN YOUR GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING WITH HIS ENTIRE BACK AND BODY SHOWN ON THIS PHONY VIDEO RECORDING. IT WOULD HAVE BEEN IMPOSSIBLE FOR ARROGANT TO RECORD THE BACKSIDE OF HIS BODY IF HIS GOPRO CAMERA WAS MOUNTED ON HIS CHEST. BUT THAT IS NOT ENOUGH TO CONVINCE THE SUPERIOR COURT TO OVERTURN THIS NEVER SHOULD HAVE BEEN FILED FRIVOLOUS CRIMINAL CASE.

BUT WHAT IS:

IN YOUR PHONY GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING GL010586, WHICH WAS ORIGINALLY ONE CONTINUOUS 14 MINUTE VIDEO RECORDING, AI POWERED ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD IS SEEN WALKING AROUND JENNIFER HUTTON-HEGER'S HOME MOMENTS BEFORE SHUTTING ON HIS GOPRO CAMERA WHILE WEARING DARK COLORED SHORTS AND A FREAKIN' TANK TOP!

THIS IS INCREDIBLY INTERESTING CRIMINALS BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG AND LEO KA FONG LO BECAUSE IN THE LASD POLICE VIDEO RECORDINGS ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD IS SEEN WEARING A LONG SLEEVE COAT. IN THE REAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING, ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD IS SEEN WEARING A LONG SLEEVE COAT. TAKE A LOOK AT THE IMAGES CREATED FROM VIDEO FRAME SEQUENCES OF THE REAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS! MOREOVER, ARROGANT IS SEEN WEARING BAGGY PANTS, NOT THE SHORTS HE IS WEARING IN YOUR AI POWERED VIDEO RECORDINGS THAT WERE CLEARLY NOT RECORDED ON FEBRUARY 2ND, 2023!

Exhibit 3, pages 1, 2, and 3 of KING AROGANT'S electronic mail messages sent to DDA's and Sheriff Robert Luna proves by clear and convincing evidence that Brian MARK Rosenberg was not sent an electronic mail message from KING AROGANT on June 8, 2024, at 8:03 AM

On June 8, 2024, KING AROGANT sent two emails to over fifty County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors and attorneys, including Victor Manuel Rodriguez, James William Garrison, Janis Eve Johnson, Stephanie Pear Mire, former District Attorney George Gascon, and numerous County of Los Angeles Office of County Counsel attorneys. KING AROGANT'S two June 8, 2024, electronic mail messages were also sent to numerous CDCR executives and peace officers, including CDCR director Jeffrey Macomber, CDCR Adult Parole Supervision director Jason Johnson, CDCR peace officer Jesse Ochoa, and KING AROGANT'S former CDCR parole officer Fernando Cortez. Brian MARK Rosenberg was not included on the nearly 100-person email list. See second June 8, 2024 email sent at 8:34 AM evidence below attached hereto and marked as "Exhibit 4".

Gmail


Arogant Hollywood <arogantholl ywoodgenius@gmail com>

people v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA114055) & UPCOMING FIRST CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT TITLED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v judy whitehurst, et al (DEMAND PEOPLE AND LASD IMMEDIATELY TURN OVER PHONY LASD GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GLO10586 & GLO10587


1 message

Arogant Hollywood arogantholl ywoodgenius@gmail com Sat, Jun 8, 2024 at 7:34 AM

To: seconddistrict@bos lacounty gov, seconddistrict@bos lacounty gov, "HollyHAssemb r@gmail com" <HollyHAssembly@gmail com>, "lehlicher@aol com" <merchan@wdacs lacounty gov>, mtd@<dacs lacounty gov>, arogantmusicano@gmail com, "archedelaciaur.auder@gmail com" <causesofaction43@gmail com>, blackarogenius@gmail com, "ekonhkenchd@gmail com" <luna@lasd org>, "luna@lacounty gov" <larch.@lacounty gov>, florres@lasd org, "lakuma@lacounty org" <leonvan@lasd org>, bohelle@lacounty gov, "Nevia@lacounty gov" <leonvan@lacounty org>, "marza@lasd org" <ned.harlan@doj ca gov>, "ratndeep singh@doj ca gov, robert.bonte@doj ca gov, "JCPS Chiefs' Office@doj ca gov" <peter.hallorar@doj ca gov>, amyhhallorar@yahoo com, peterhallor.ar@sbcglobal net, alisha.carlile@doj ca gov, shipd.ligh@aol com, acarlile@alum wtlesley edu, concertmate@yahoo com, alishasalphen@yahoo com a, alem77@u a.ashington edu, jerrygrant6364@aol com, akennedi@yahoo com, kuh34@hotmail com, pacdonlo@hotmail com, alishapeet a@yahoo com, alishaoku@yahoo com, alisha.mar@gmail com, alisha marks@rogers com, vincent bonotto@doj ca gov, vbonotto@hotmail com, glenn.pruden@doj ca gov, eveless77@hotmail com, daniel.on.su@doj ca gov, gian.nguyen@doj ca gov, chad.siegeman@doj ca gov, stephen.lew@doj ca gov, christopher.findley@doj ca gov, dennis.beck@doj ca gov, darrell.spence@doj ca gov, maggy.lvres@doj ca gov, Elaine.long@doj ca gov, hannah.calaosan@doj ca gov, mo.pant@doj ca gov, tfaulhaber@usupenorcourt org, dsilayfun@lasupenorcourt org, sdaver@lasuperiorcourt org, mroses@lasuperiorcourt org, ppenrose@lasuperiorcourt org, tpenrose@lasuperiorcourt org, chase.penrose@gmail com, "heidiemyerstove@gmail com" <trishpenrose@att net>, "[EQUAL_CEASE@jud ca gov" <lfisher@lasuperiorcourt org>, jonathan.lebeyan@jud ca gov, mary.carter@jud ca gov, john.williams@jud ca gov, amber.barnett@jud ca gov, robert.young@jud ca gov, john.yee@jud ca gov, heather.perlis@jud ca gov, leah.rose.goodwin@jud ca gov, mark.dusman@jud ca gov, gary.jespersen@jud ca gov, emmajespersen@gmail com, kellon.jespersen@gmail com, gjespersen1@mindspring com, judy.jespersen@jcrt net, brandie.chance@doj ca gov, brandee.chance@doj ca gov, Bobby.brow.@jud ca gov, stephen.nash@jud ca gov, debbie.secbs@jud ca gov, laura.speed@jud ca gov, andrea.evans@jud ca gov, nicole.clarke@jud ca gov, gavin.newsom@gov ca gov, deputy.aguilar.curry@asm ca gov, stephanie.nguyen1@asm ca gov, cor.wilson@asm ca gov, matt.haney@asm ca gov, heath.flora@asm ca gov, laurie.davies@asm ca gov, turfrider@yahoo com, turfrite@aol com, president@whitehouse gov, Kamala.harris@whitehouse gov, leann.wilson@caltrain ca gov, leah.wilson00@yahoo com, Elizabeth.horn@caltrain ca gov, gina.ore.ford@caltrain ca gov, rita.humes@caltrain ca gov, eszenbach@actuate.ca org, mamuon.arvinz@post ca gov, jim.grattan@post ca gov, pgrattan@yahoo com, jamesgrattan@aol com, jane.grattan@yahoo com, annemarie.mugnien@post ca gov, annemariedenmuginaud@gmail com, chase@unson@aol com, sbugnis@aol com, tonya.beams@post ca gov, sonyar@comcast net, cheryl.smith@post ca gov, colin.okeefe@post ca gov, colokeefe@post ca gov, dulce_cakes4u@yahoo com, dulce_cakes4u@aol com, gaylingerl@yahoo com, colokeefe@cc.davis ca us, colin.okeefe1313@verizon net, colokeefe1514@bellsouth net, sarah.wallace@post ca gov, William.darden@usps ca gov, william.darden@doj ca gov, rpfrancy@uclaalp edu, williamdarden@gmail com, William.darden@urs com, carrie.hollar@post ca gov, carrie.bergit.@gmail com, cberg@sbcglobal net, carrie.hollar@aol com, ena.appenson@post ca gov, ffe8808@gmail com, effe8808@gmail com, ena.andannaappenson@hotmail com, jonesbuilding@hotmail com, egrundman@hotmail com, lodden@hotmail com, ena.appenson@hotmail com, ena.appenson@yahoo com, lc12@post ca gov, christine.flinda@post ca gov, michelle.weiss@post ca gov, kellyweiss@msn com, calieweiss@msn com, manager.pooltos@post ca gov, roseanne.tolhoes@post ca gov, thomas.cheek@post ca gov, kabe.shadana@post ca gov, kirk.bunch@post ca gov, brad.newmyer@post ca gov, marie.radford@post ca gov, ninan.south@post ca gov, jjacobsen.au@jud ca gov, larry.cantt.kasuaye@jud ca gov, joshua.grobeh@jud ca gov, marlon.emkins@jud ca gov, patricia.guerrero@jud ca gov, darryl.carrigan@jud ca gov, evondra.krueger@jud ca gov, kelli.evans@jud ca gov, firstdistrict@bos lacounty gov, uchen@bos lacounty gov, seconddistrict@bos lacounty gov, hmitchell@yahoo com, kamberela@cs com, lamberg@mutic com, holly@hollysmatched com, rfleming.peterka@counsel lacounty gov, mcarter@counsel lacounty gov, rleeme@counsel lacounty gov, rpenturin@counsel lacounty gov, jgonzalez@counsel lacounty gov, lovat@metro net, "Flow.7@gmail com" <ryanthuynow@yahoo com>, "tflow@lacounty gov, tflow@counsel.lacounty gov, tina@mollico com, "flow.7@yahoo com" <cconan@lacounty gov>, wunnard@counsel lacounty gov, pepperhendoza718@gmail com, chenusaconrad@gmail com, mmconrad@ymail com, kisuvichamnin@aol com, susanhconner3@en com, s.conrad@conradphoto com



kurtain@conardphoto.com sconard1@conardphoto.com tuans@conardphoto.com support@conardphoto.com
scullins@west.org admin@conardphoto.com conard@conardphoto.com fawnno@counsel.lacounty.gov
cbarnett@counsel.lacounty.gov donnghiem@gmail.com brucenguyen@gmail.com donnghiem@hotmail.com
don@lacounty.gov dnghiem@counsel.lacounty.gov schoi@counsel.lacounty.gov tseng@counsel.lacounty.gov
cesar@lacounty.gov cdelperal@counsel.lacounty.gov cdelperal@aol.com cesar_del_peral@yahoo.com
cdelperal@yahoo.com cesardelperal1@yahoo.com theflyingtree02@gmail.com jaunder79@yahoo.com
aduna.sarah25@gmail.com rhulacy@gmail.com neversleep55.mh@gmail.com rourke@lacounty.gov
rhulacy@counsel.lacounty.gov rhulacy.paddle@counsel.lacounty.gov vowens@counsel.lacounty.gov
tmarin@counsel.lacounty.gov dcarlos@county.lacounty.gov dcarlos@counsel.lacounty.gov victoria.carlos@gmail.com
dcarlos31@gmail.com debbie.carlos818@verizon.net victoria.carlos@gmail.com humpert@pmmc.com info@pmmc.com puncanta@aol.com ccarlos@pmmc.la.edu yngdaee@gmail.com richard.padilla@disney.com
andrea.see@disabilityrights.ca.org andrsee@gmail.com mchen-sebring@hotmail.com echu@counsel.lacounty.gov
sgipson@counsel.lacounty.gov suedyess@gmail.com suedyess@aol.com Dhrubajyoti.Deb dpdesign@gmail.com
michaelstreetman@gmail.com mwygon@aol.com rvisek2@yahoo.com dhwaller22@yahoo.com dhwaller@yahoo.com
tahon@counsel.lacounty.gov ngreig@yahoo.com gngaso@msn.com gcproducer@hotmail.com mmunthgca@aol.com
azuidema@counsel.lacounty.gov mruben@counsel.lacounty.gov claylor@counsel.lacounty.gov
schan@counsel.lacounty.gov kmar@counsel.lacounty.gov eggerse@metro.net eggers@counsel.lacounty.gov
mrolon@counsel.lacounty.gov thrdet@hotmail.com doug@homewalnt.com doug.richardson@homewalnt.com
pghaden@counsel.lacounty.gov rsaldana@counsel.lacounty.gov rdavis.trenham@counsel.lacounty.gov Nicole Davis
nicole.davis1212@gmail.com brkuld1@gmail.com nadtrenham@gmail.com brnd0313@gmail.com
danreidtrenham@yahoo.com rwintersung@counsel.lacounty.gov tbaine@counsel.lacounty.gov lester.loinaz@yahoo.com
rdresser.loinaz71@yahoo.com pmershan@counsel.lacounty.gov alyons@counsel.lacounty.gov
rsanfwright@counsel.lacounty.gov rwong@counsel.lacounty.gov tlaughman@counsel.lacounty.gov
tomfaughman66@gmail.com ashman@counsel.lacounty.gov kmeans@counsel.lacounty.gov
rbaeza@counsel.lacounty.gov skuhne@counsel.county.gov rchastang@counsel.lacounty.gov
kcampo@counsel.lacounty.gov rmunson@counsel.lacounty.gov emiller@counsel.lacounty.gov
ekzabeth_dumas@yahoo.com tuegrant19@yahoo.com pmorrison@yahoo.com pbollinger@counsel.lacounty.gov
kbowsen@counsel.lacounty.gov redrahm@da.lacounty.gov rbayerns@da.lacounty.gov dleran@da.lacounty.gov
tlawrence@lacounty.gov tlawrence@da.lacounty.gov mbessent@da.lacounty.gov ron.getz@lacounty.gov
rgetz@da.lacounty.gov Marie.Bhoi marie.bhoi@gmail.com e-thans@verizon.net eric.castano@charter.net
ecastano@da.lacounty.gov eric.castano@unc.com ericcastano@gmail.com Jlinguez@da.lacounty.gov
flsanchez@da.lacounty.gov rarcos@da.lacounty.gov jrodriguez@delacounty.gov swoo@da.lacounty.gov
pagrewe@da.lacounty.gov cnakao@da.lacounty.gov wgaderne@msn.com jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov
ewheiner@da.lacounty.gov kbroeger@da.lacounty.gov rdaiva@aol.lacounty.gov jsiva@da.lacounty.gov
rborden@da.lacounty.gov amadison@da.lacounty.gov ekibno@da.lacounty.gov sheungpaon@gmail.com
sgipson@da.lacounty.gov hchighe@da.lacounty.gov mcamillo@da.lacounty.gov gdamerton@da.lacounty.gov
jhytchison@da.lacounty.gov kpmraby@da.lacounty.gov sfarsaeed@da.lacounty.gov bybaldo@da.lacounty.gov
jorguvos@da.lacounty.gov rhramirez@da.lacounty.gov rsaravor@da.lacounty.gov shusefield@da.lacounty.gov
kmree@da.lacounty.gov mhaider@da.lacounty.gov pkouch@da.lacounty.gov vrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov
jpunns@da.lacounty.gov rbales@lacounty.gov ezheimer@social.rr.com everm.zheimer@yahoo.com
samslam2758@hotmail.com zheimer@yahoo.com snasten.clarke@usdoj.gov Christopher.wray@whitehouse.gov
christopher@fbi.gov paul.abbate@ic.fbi.gov paul.abbate@whitehouse.gov paulabbate@yahoo.com
pa6160@hotmail.com lguzmansanchez@hotmail.com lguzmansanchez@aol.com
thomasguzmansanchez@hotmail.com guzmansanchez@aol.com ceo@gammexagroup.com
lgwrtmuhc@dancemaster.com r@dancemaster.com godaddy@dancemaster.com tbaldwin@da.lacounty.gov
rmapp@da.lacounty.gov kstbrecht@da.lacounty.gov gjohnson@lacounty.gov rmmurray@da.lacounty.gov
rita@da.lacounty.gov tbag@aed.org tkehoun@aed.org CDCRCACL.litigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov
CDCRMFL.litigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov CDCRCCL.litigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov
CDCRCLR.NL.litigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov CDCRCTF.LitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov
CDCRFWCL.litigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov CDCRFORL.litigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov
CDCRCML.litigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov FCORTEZ@cdcr.gov Jeff.macomber@cdcr.ca.gov
Jennifer.barnette@cdcr.ca.gov Tammy.foss@cdcr.ca.gov Diane.foche@cdcr.ca.gov albert.underen@cdcr.ca.gov
Jennifer.neri@cdcr.ca.gov Sarah.larson@cdcr.ca.gov Ron.broomfield@cdcr.ca.gov Amy.sayles@cdcr.ca.gov
Jason.johnson@cdcr.ca.gov Chris.chambers@cdcr.ca.gov Stacy.lopez@cdcr.ca.gov Nik.Shelton@cdcr.ca.gov
Jennifer.bernuindez@cdcr.ca.gov heather.bowles@cdcr.ca.gov Jared.lozano@cdcr.ca.gov Derrick.manion@cdcr.ca.gov
David.chrise@cdcr.ca.gov Sumna.calderon@cdcr.ca.gov Ying.sun@cdcr.ca.gov Arrogant Hollywood
arrogantholly-codgerews@gmail.com arrogantholly-codgerews@yahoo.com
CDCRASPLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov fernando.cortez@cdcr.ca.gov info@da.lacounty.gov
12136331906@txtmge.com 18262617474@txtmge.com 12136330960@txtmge.com jake.kaplan@cdcr.ca.gov
sabrina.v.thams@cdcr.ca.gov tony.alvarez@cdcr.ca.gov Jamal.howes@cdcr.ca.gov mark.cruse@cdcr.ca.gov
linda.lansbee@cdcr.ca.gov alvin.phuong@cdcr.ca.gov aimee.lacey@cdcr.ca.gov dominique.hughes@cdcr.ca.gov
karen.reed@cdcr.ca.gov marvin.barrueros@cdcr.ca.gov ecdcr.pot@gmail.com ecdcr.pot@gmail.com



people v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA114055) & UPCOMING FIRST CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT TITLED ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. judy whitehurst, et al [DEMAND PEOPLE AND LASD IMMEDIATELY TURN OVER PHONY LASD GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GLO10586 & GLO10587


BUT WHAT IS:

Exhibit 4, pages 1, 2, and 3 of KING AROGANT'S electronic mail messages sent to DDA's and Sheriff Robert Luna proves by clear and convincing evidence that Brian MARK Rosenberg was not sent an electronic mail message from KING AROGANT on June 8, 2024, at 8:34 AM

On April 17, 2025 RACIST, biased, and prejudiced County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutor Brian MARK Rosenberg (SBN # 291501) willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, knowingly, carelessly, deliberately, and callously violated State Bar Rules of Professional Conduct, Rules 3.1, 3.2, and 3.3, California Government Code Section 6200 and California Business and Professions Code Section 6068 by fabricating lies and giving intentionally false statements to rogue and dishonest city of Alhambra peace officer detective Benjamin Yang that KING AROGANT sent to him electronic mail messages on November 13, 2023, November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, and twice on June 8, 2024 (8:03 AM and 8:34 A, separate emails). Lying and RACIST DDA MARK Rosenberg never showed rogue and RACIST peace officer Benjamin Yang electronic mail messages that showed that KING AROGANT had emailed him at any time after November 13, 2023 because MARK Rosenberg had not proof that KING AROGANT sent him an email because he knew with 100% certainty that he never received any electronic mail messages from KING AROGANT on November 28, 2024, February 13, 2024, and June 8, 2024. In fact, the only reason RACIST MARK Rosenberg had any information about the contents and subject line of KING AROGANT'S never sent to him electronic mail messages on

November 28, 2022, February 13, 2024, and June 8, 2024 was because dishonest and unethical County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors Victor Manuel Rodriguez and James William Garrison (who did receive all emails) recklessly forwarded KING AROGANT'S November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, and June 8, 2024 electronic mail messages to Brian MARK Rosenberg (brosenberg@da.lacounty.gov) in furtherance of County of Los Angeles District Attorney's office to recklessly violate KING AROGANT'S constitutional civil rights. Brian MARK Rosenberg never received an email from KING AROGANT on November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, and June 8, 2024, as he falsely alleged on April 17, 2025, that KING AROGANT sent him these make-believe and imaginary electronic mail messages.

On April 17, 2025, at approximately 5 PM, RACIST, rogue, and biased city of Alhambra peace officer Benjamin Yang willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, knowingly, carelessly, deliberately, and callously arrested KING AROGANT at the Alhambra Public Library for violation of California Penal Code Section 602. KING AROGANT'S false arrest was so unbelievably bogus that Yang charged KING AROGANT for a California Penal Code section, which has elements of crime that would have required KING AROGANT to have been arrested on private land, not open to the public. KING AROGANT was falsely arrested at the Alhambra Public library, not private property, and the Alhambra public library was open for another three hours after KING AROGANT had been brought into custody. Moreover, KING AROGANT'S false arrest at the Alhambra Public Library was invalidated after rogue and stupid peace officer Benjamin Yang foolishly signed the private person's arrest form. See it now below attached herein and marked as Exhibit 5.



ALHAMBRA POLICE DEPARTMENT
STATEMENT OF PRIVATE PERSON'S ARREST

25-14349
01

CRIME
602(o)(2) PC — TRESPASSING — AT LOCAL LIBRARY
101 S. FIRST ST, ALHAMBRA, CA

PERSON MAKING ARREST
[redacted] HILDA — F — 46
DIRECTOR OF LIBRARY

ARRESTEE
S — HOLLYWOOD, AROGANT — M — 46

As a private person I, HILDA [redacted]
have arrested AROGANT, HOLLYWOOD for PC 602
a public offense committed in my presence, at 101 S. FIRST ST. ALHAMBRA, CA.
On (date) 04/17/25 at (time) 1715 AM / PM I demand that the following sworn peace officer(s) SANCHEZ #156 take into custody the listed subject.

California Penal Code 837 – Arrest by Private Persons
Any private person may arrest another:
1. For a public offense committed or attempted in his / her presence
2. When a person arrested has committed a felony, although not in his / her presence
3. When a felony has in fact been committed and he / she has reasonable cause to believe the person arrested to have committed it.

California Penal Code 148.5 – False Report of Criminal Offense
It shall be unlawful to willfully make a false, fraudulent, misleading, or unfounded report to any police officer

I, HILDA [redacted] do hereby certify the above statements made by me surrounding this Private Person's Arrest are true to the best of my knowledge and belief. Furthermore, I agree to cooperate in all stages of prosecution and will sign a complaint against the above named arrested / cited person if asked.


X [redacted] Date 04/17/25 Time 1715 HOURS

X Witness

IN YOUR OWN HANDWRITING, PLEASE PROVIDE THE DETAILS SURROUNDING THE ARREST ON THE BACK OF THIS FORM

A rogue and dishonest peace officer signing a private citizen's arrest form gives a person reading this an idea of just now evil, fraudulent, and scandalous this criminal investigation was.

On April 17, 2025, in furtherance of the City of Alhambra conspiring to violate KING AROGANT'S Fourth and Fourteenth Amendment constitutional civil rights together with County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang add charged KING AROGANT by falsely arresting KING AROGANT with violation of California Penal Code Section 166, subsection (a) without a single piece of evidence. In furtherance of the County of Los Angeles and city of Alhamrbra's meeting of minds and plan to illegally keep KING AROGANT in custody. Rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, knowingly, carelessly, deliberately, and callously fabricated lies and made intentionally false statements in bogus police report that a copy of Brian MARK Rosenberg's make-believe, delusional, and imaginary brosenberg@da.lacounty.gov electronic mail messages sent to him from KING AROGANT on November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024 and June 8, 2024 were attached to the end of his crime report. See now a true and correct copy of rogue and dishonest peace officer Benjamin Yang's fabricated and illegal police report attached below and marked as "Exhibit 6."



Brian MARK Rosenberg willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, knowingly, carelessly, deliberately, and callously violated California Penal Code Section 148.5 18 U.S.C. Section 242, and California Government Code Section 6200 by del berately filing a knowingly false police report based on fabricated lies that MARK Rosenberg knew were not true and for which resulted in KING AROGANT being falsely imprisoned and wrongfully charged. It is time for MARK Rosenberg to be charged and convicted accordingly and sent to prison where that CRIMINAL BELONGS!

Rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, knowingly, carelessly, deliberately, and callously violated California Penal Code Section 148.5 and California Government Code Section 6200 and 18 U.S.C. Section 242, and he must be charged accordingly and sent to prison where that CRIMINAL BELONGS!

18 U.S.C. Section 242 criminalizes the deprivation of rights under color of law. It makes it a federal crime for anyone acting under the authority of any law (federal, state, or local) to willfully deprive another person of a right, privilege, or immunity protected by the Constitution or laws of the United States. This also includes subjecting a person to different punishments based on their race or alien status.


ALL THOSE THAT RECEIVE THIS EMAIL AND STAY SILENT WILL BE SUED ACCORDINGLY!

THIS PART 1 OF A LONG EMAIL SERIES

EMAIL PART 2 WILL CONTINUE EXACTLY WHERE PART 1 ENDED!

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq.
1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD.
PASADENA, CA 91106
Mobile: 206.471.1344
arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted
Spirit of Esquire
BLACK TRADE KING
FUTURE KING OF ENTERTAINMENT
BLACK DERIVATIVES KING





CAUTION: This email originated outside of the County (lacounty.gov domain). Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and expect the message.

Exhibit 7

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

Criminal Division

Clara Shortridge Foltz Criminal Justice Center Dept. - 45

25CJCM02162-01 **May 7, 2025**

The People of the State of California **8:30 AM**
vs.
Hollywood, Arogant

Honorable Mark E Windham, Judge
N. Mendoza, Judicial Assistant

Proceedings Electronically Recorded,
Court Reporter

PC602(o), PC166(a)(4), PC166(a)(4), PC166(a)(4), PC653m(b)

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: Further Proceedings

The following parties are present for the aforementioned proceeding:

No Appearances

The matter is called for Further Proceedings.

Department 46 matter transferred to Department 45.

Court addresses Ex Parte on Record. No parties present.

Defendant posted bail and sent emails contrary to the Court's Order concerning case. Defendant was directed and provided email to which he was to communicate exclusively to regarding matters pending to case.

Defendant is preliminarily found in violation of bail and own recognizance conditions.

Bail is revoked. Bond is Forfeited.

The Court issues a bench warrant for Arogant Hollywood, in the amount of $150,000.00 by order of Judge Windham, Mark E.

Surety Bond for Arogant Hollywood, Defendant: SV505465252, Bond Forfeited

The Court advances to this date and vacates all matters currently set for hearing in this department.

Bond Forfeiture Card AN0033757.

Exhibit 8

[redacted]

From: Arogant Hollywood <arogantho lywoodgen us@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 8, 2025 8:10 PM
To: jbirnquist@cityofalhambra.org; tsek@alhambrapd.org; Area1@alhambrapd.org; gsepucer@alhambrapd.org; area2@alhambrapd.org; rsoriano@alhambrapd.org; area3@alhambrapd.org; rcastillo@alhambrapd.org; area4@alhambrapd.org; klaing@alhambrapd.org; dfuentes@alhambrapd.org; lgarcia@alhambraca.gov; gdiaz@alhambrapd.org; rrongavilla@alhambrapd.org; dtran@alhambrapd.org; mlee@alhambrapd.org; breyes@alhambrapd.org; bchung@alhambrapd.org; luwan@cityofalhambra.org; kiee@alhambraca.gov; rmaza@cityofalhambra.org; jmahoney@cityofalhambra.org; mahoney@smmc.ca.gov; nwang@cityofalhambra.org; aandradestadler@gmail.com; adolfostadler@gmail.com; joestadler@sadwo.com; andradr@peterbilt.net; aandradestadler@yahoo.com; jmontes@bwslaw.com; CASBOTT@bwslaw.com; baffrunti@bwslaw.com; bguerrero@bwslaw.com; gaker@bwslaw.com; dameet@bwslaw.com; maustin@bwslaw.com; rbalchum@bwslaw.com; mtran@bwslaw.com; mbarrett@bwslaw.com; jbalchum@bwslaw.com; dbazzano@bwslaw.com; kberger@bwslaw.com; mbernacchi@bwslaw.com; Daniel Akemon <DAkemon@da.lacounty.gov>; cthorpe@da.lacounty.gov; Erika Perez <eperez@da.lacounty.gov>; dbrosky@da.lacounty.gov; bumsford@da.lacounty.gov; Pak Kouch <pkouch@da.lacounty.gov>; Jodi Taksar <jtaksar@da.lacounty.gov>; ron.goodreau@yahoo.com; ronaldgoodreau@aol.com; Emily Street <estreet@da.lacounty.gov>; Mark Lee <mlee@da.lacounty.gov>; Rose DeMattia <rdematti@da.lacounty.gov>; Jonathan Chung <JonChung@da.lacounty.gov>; Alva Lin <ALin@da.lacounty.gov>; Michael Michelena <mmichelena@da.lacounty.gov>; srebelis@da.lacounty.gov; Jodi Link <jlink@da.lacounty.gov>; Mia Velliakkatel <mvelliakk@da.lacounty.gov>; Joel M. Comb <jmcomb@smcgov.org>; dmccloskey@smcgov.org; Bradley McCartt <bmccartt@da.lacounty.gov>; Garrett Dameron <gdameron@da.lacounty.gov>; Holly Harpham <hharpham@da.lacounty.gov>; duckett.justice@gmail.com; Keith Duckett <kduckett@da.lacounty.gov>; jfrost@smcgov.org; Jacques Garden <JGarden@da.lacounty.gov>; Norman Hearon <NHearon@da.lacounty.gov>; toovan@smcgov.org; Heather Borden <hborden@da.lacounty.gov>; Gloria Marin <gmarin@da.lacounty.gov>; Isidoro Baly <ibaly@da.lacounty.gov>; Ruby Arias <RArias@da.lacounty.gov>; Valerie Aenile Rocha <VAenile Rocha@da.lacounty.gov>; Mary Murray <MMurray@da.lacounty.gov>; Jodi Castano <jcastano@da.lacounty.gov>; Kenneth Meyer <KMeyer@da.lacounty.gov>;

mmclaughlin@smcgov.org, Amy Pellman Pentz <apellman@da.lacounty.gov>; Tien Pham <tpham@da.lacounty.gov>; jnightengale@da.lacounty.gov; John Niedermann <jniedermann@da.lacounty.gov>; Donn Hoffman <DHoffman@da.lacounty.gov>; ewakabay@da.lacounty; Grace Rai <GRai@da.lacounty.gov>; Bob Chen <bobchen@da.lacounty.gov>; Belle Chen <BChen@da.lacounty.gov>; Frank Dunnick <fdunnick@da.lacounty.gov>; Lisette.suder@edcda.us; Cristine Albanese <CAlbanese@da.lacounty.gov>; John Colello <JColello@da.lacounty.gov>; Elizabeth Ratinoff <ERatinof@da.lacounty.gov>; eratinoff@da.lacounty.gov; Jessie L. McGrath <jmcgrath@da.lacounty.gov>; Louis Avila Jr. <lavila@da.lacounty.gov>; kenvonh@msn.com; kvonhelmolt@da.lacounty.gov; echon@da.lacounty.gov; Jwilson@smcgov.org; June Chung <JChung@da.lacounty.gov>; cfredgren@da.lacounty.gov; Kathy Ta <KTa@da.lacounty.gov>; sungchung@da.lacounty.gov; Susanna Chung <SChung@da.lacounty.gov>; Martin Bean <MBean@da.lacounty.gov>; Tracey Whitney <TWhitney@da.lacounty.gov>; Hubert Yun <HYun@da.lacounty.gov>; Kimberly Abourezk <kabourezk@da.lacounty.gov>; Diana Alvarez <dalvarez@da.lacounty.gov>; Michael.pizzuti@edcda.us; nhahn@smcgov.org; Cmeaher walker@da.lacounty.gov; cwalker@da.lacounty.gov; Cynthia Meagher-Walker <cmeagher@da.lacounty.gov>; Frances Young <fyoung@da.lacounty.gov>; afitzgerald@smcgov.org; Gregory Apt <gapt@da.lacounty.gov>; Christine.vonhelmolt@csulb.edu; Christine Von Helmolt <CVonHelmolt@da.lacounty.gov>; Karen Nishita <kNishita@da.lacounty.gov>; Susan Navas <SNavas@da.lacounty.gov>; fnage@kernda.org; carolyn.palumbo@yolocounty.org; jmarcano@kernda.org; gpearl@kernda.org; cmckillop@kernda.org; jallen@kernda.org; nilackie@kern.org; nlackie@kernda.org, kmarshall@kernda.org; bstallings@kernda.org; deanna.hays@yolocounty.org; csherman@kernda.org; lgarver@kernda.org; rchoi@kernda.org; frits.vanderhoek@yolocounty.org; btaconi@kernda.org; gkohler@kernda.org; lfields@kernda.org; cmcnutt@kernda.org; czimmer@kernda.org; Gina Satriano <GSatriano@da.lacounty.gov>; mariaramirez@hotmail.com; Mayra Ramirez <MRamirez@da.lacounty.gov>; Michele Daniels <mdaniels@da.lacounty.gov>; Kellyjean Chun <kchun@da.lacounty.gov>; John Morris <JMorris@da.lacounty.gov>; Peter Cagney <PCagney@da.lacounty.gov>; sfrank6576@aol.com; sfrankland@da.lacounty.gov; Brian Schirn <BSchirn@da.lacounty.gov>; Robert Sherwood <RSherwood@da.lacounty.gov>; Shannon Presby <spresby@da.lacounty.gov>; Margo Baxter <MBaxter@da.lacounty.gov>; lwong@da.lacounty.gov; Alan Yochelson <AYochelson@da.lacounty.gov>; Teresa Gomez <TGomez@da.lacounty.gov>; Christina F. Buckley <CBuckley@da.lacounty.gov>; Val Cole <VCole@da.lacounty.gov>; Shawn Randolph <SRandolph@da.lacounty.gov>; Lori Dery <ldery@da.lacounty.gov>; Yael Massry <YMassry@da.lacounty.gov>; Gregory Jennings <GJennings@da.lacounty.gov>; Hoon Chun <HChun@da.lacounty.gov>; Lowell Anger <langer@da.lacounty.gov>; Sean Hassett <shassett@da.lacounty.gov>; smire@da.lacounty.gov; Gilbert Wright <GWright@da.lacounty.gov>; Laura Jane Kessner <ljkessner@da.lacounty.gov>; Jonlyn Callahan <JCallahan@da.lacounty.gov>; Craig Hum <chum@da.lacounty.gov>; May Chung <MChung@da.lacounty.gov>; Juan Mejia <JMejia@da.lacounty.gov>; Manuel Garcia <MGarcia@da.lacounty.gov>; John Harlan <JHarlan@da.lacounty.gov>; Mykka Piantanida <MPiantanida@da.lacounty.gov>; Guillermo Santiso <gsantiso@da.lacounty.gov>; Priscilla Musso <PMusso@da.lacounty.gov>; Nathan J. Hochman <NHochman@da.lacounty.gov>; Vaenille-rocha@da.lacounty.gov; Daniel Felizzatto <dfelizzatto@da.lacounty.gov>; Michele Hanisee <MHanisee@da.lacounty.gov>; Steven Katz <skatz@da.lacounty.gov>; Jbrooke-castano@da.lacounty.gov; William Frayeh

<wfrayeh@da.lacounty.gov>; Jessica Foster <JFoster@da.lacounty.gov>; jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov; Jessica Tillson <jtillson@da.lacounty.gov>; Mariaramirez65@hotmail.com; Irene Lee <ILee@da.lacounty.gov>; Cris.buckley@uci.edu; Julianne Walker <jwalker@da.lacounty.gov>; Christi Frey <CFrey@da.lacounty.gov>; vrodriguez@dalacounty.gov; John Mc Kinney <McKinney@da.lacounty.gov>; jmckinney@da.lacounty.gov; Kathy Cady <KCady@da.lacounty.gov>; Julie Dixon Silva <jdsilva@da.lacounty.gov>; Arisa Mattson <amattson@da.lacounty.gov>; Christopher Baker <ChrisBaker@da.lacounty.gov>; Cynthia Nakao <CNakao@da.lacounty.gov>; Janis Johnson <JJohnson@da.lacounty.gov>; kuyen@da.lacounty.gov; pkouch@da.alacounty.gov; Samuel Hulefeld <shulefeld@da.lacounty.gov>; Marc Beaart <MBeaart@da.lacounty.gov>; bjdodd@dalacounty.gov; Martha Carrillo <MCarrillo@da.lacounty.gov>; Antonio Aguilar <AAguilar@da.lacounty.gov>; Ann Marie Wise <AWise@da.lacounty.gov>; Lee Orquiola <LOrquiola@da.lacounty.gov>; Kevin.s@lacounty.gov; Kevin Swartz <KSwartz@da.lacounty.gov>; akozma@da.lacounty.gov; Amanda Adams <aadams@da.lacounty.gov>; Timothy Richardson <TRichardson@da.lacounty.gov>; timothy@lacounty.gov; sradmore@dal.lacounty.gov; wrosenthal@da.lacounty.gov; wkarfiol@lacounty.gov; cnajera@lacourt.org; mwindham@lacourt.org; Daniel Ilacqua <DIlacqua@da.lacounty.gov>; jonathan@lacounty.gov; jarmour@dalacounty.gov; felicia@lacounty.gov; forman@da.laounty.gov; ryann.jorban@stfrancislaw.com; rgerber@dalcounty.gov; Julia Cohn <JCohn@da.lacounty.gov>; julia@lacounty.gov; Hugh Jackson <HJackson@da.lacounty.gov>; sunita.popal@pepperdine.edu; Sunita Popal <SPopal@da.lacounty.gov>; David Zygielbaum <DZygielbaum@da.lacounty.gov>; Michelle Lewis <MLewis@da.lacounty.gov>; philglaviano@hotmail.com; pglaviano@da.lacounty.gov; thomaskrag@proton.me; tkrag@da.lacounty.gov; Kenneth Lynch <klynch@da.lacounty.gov>; Stefan Mrakich <smrakich@da.lacounty.gov>; cgaines@da.lacounty.gov; Michael Enomoto <MEnomoto@da.lacounty.gov>; Charles Chaiyarachta <CChaiyar@da.lacounty.gov>; rdooley@da.lacounty.gov; Geoffrey Lewin <GLewin@da.lacounty.gov>; ddelimon@gmail.com; Mario Haidar <mhaidar@da.lacounty.gov>; Tina Hooks <THooks@da.lacounty.gov>; Whelma Llanos <wllanos@da.lacounty.gov>; Sean Carney <SCarney@da.lacounty.gov>; Daniel Wright <DWright@da.lacounty.gov>; lholtz@da.lacounty.gov; Diana Martinez <dmartinez@da.lacounty.gov>; dwooden@da.lacounty.gov; Jose Gonzalez <jgonzalez@da.lacounty.gov>; Don Syn <dsyn@da.lacounty.gov>; rchang@da.lacounty.gov; Natasha Cooper <ncooper@da.lacounty.gov>; Alexander Karkanen <AKarkanen@da.lacounty.gov>; Kevin Halligan <KHalligan@da.lacounty.gov>; ralarcon@da.lacounty.gov; sstodel@da.lacounty.gov; David Barkhurst <DBarkhurst@da.lacounty.gov>; Teresa de Castro <tdecastro@da.lacounty.gov>; Duke Chau <dchau@da.lacounty.gov>; Valerie Little <VLittle@da.lacounty.gov>; nflood@da.lacounty.gov; Robert Rabbani <rrabbani@da.lacounty.gov>; tmokayef@da.clacounty.gov; rrose@da.lacounty.gov; Candice Henry <chenry@da.lacounty.gov>; Frank Santoro <FSantoro@da.lacounty.gov>; kstpierre@da.lacounty.gov; John Lewin <johnlwn@gmail.com>; brian.jones@sen.ca.gov; erikandannaapperson@hotmail.com; dbengstib@da.lacounty.gov; Ying.sun@cdcr.ca.gov; pghaderi@counsel.lacounty.gov; rsaldana@counsel.lacounty.gov; ndavis-tinkham@counsel.lacounty.gov; tink4d1@gmail.com; brind0313@gmail.com; darrelltinkham@yahoo.com; ltolani@counsel.lacounty.gov; lester.tolnai@yahoo.com; rclester.tolnai71@yahoo.com; pmarshall@counsel.lacounty.gov; Adrienne Byers <abyers@counsel.lacounty.gov>; rcartwright@counsel.lacounty.gov; rwong@counsel.lacounty.gov; tfaughnan@counsel.lacounty.gov; tomfaughnan66@gmail.com; Jennifer <JLehman@counsel.lacounty.gov>; kmiles@counsel.lacounty.gov; rbaeza@counsel.lacounty.gov;

rchastang@counsel.lacounty.gov; lcampo@counsel.lacounty.gov; msimon@counsel.lacounty.gov; emiller@counsel.lacounty.gov; elizabeth_dumais@yahoo.com; kaigrant19@yahoo.com; pmomon@yahoo.com; pbollinger@counsel.lacounty.gov; First District <firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>; secondistrict@bos.la.county.gov; holly4assembly@gmail.com; hmitchell@yahoo.com; hjmitchel@aol.com; Cindy <cchen@bos.lacounty.gov>; nadtinkham@gmail.com; holly@hollyjmitchell.com; rfleming-peters@counsel.lacounty.gov; Margaret Carter <mcarter@counsel.lacounty.gov>; njenkins@lacounty.gov; njenkins@counsel.lacounty.gov; jgonzalez@counsel.lacounty.gov; lowt@metro.net; Tlow77@gmail.com; ryanthurlow@yahoo.com; tlow@counsel.lacounty.gov; tina@jmoi'co.com; tlow7@yahoo.com; econard@lacounty.gov; econard@counsel.lacounty.gov; andria.seo@disabilityrightsca.org; schan@counsel.lacounty.gov; eggerse@metro.net; eggers@counsel.lacounty.gov; mrolon@counsel.lacounty.gov; mirder@hotmail.com; doug@homealert.com; Alexandra Zuiderweg <AZuiderweg@counsel.lacounty.gov>; mruben@counsel.lacounty.gov; Caitlin Taylor <ctaylor@counsel.lacounty.gov>; Holly J. Mitchell <HollyJMitchell@bos.lacounty.gov>; Lindsey.P.Horvath@gmail.com; kmuir@counsel.lacounty.gov; CCRCMFLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; CDCRCCILitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; CDCRCTFLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; CDCRCMCLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; CDCRCORLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; CDCRCIMLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; FCORTEZ@cdcr.gov; Jeff.macomber@cdcr.ca.gov; Jennifer.barretto@cdcr.ca.gov; Tammy.foss@cdcr.ca.gov; Diana.toche@cdcr.ca.gov; albert.lundeen@cdcr.ca.gov; Jennifer.neil@cdcr.ca.gov; Sarah.larson@cdcr.ca.gov; Ron.broomfield@cdcr.ca.gov; Amy.casias@cdcr.ca.gov; Jason.johnson@cdcr.ca.gov; Chris.chambers@cdcr.ca.gov; Stacy.lopez@cdcr.ca.gov; Niki.dhillon@cdcr.ca.gov; Jennifer.benavidez@cdcr.ca.gov; heather.bowlds@cdcr.ca.gov; Jared.lozano@cdcr.ca.gov; Derrick.marion@cdcr.ca.gov; David.chriss@cdcr.ca.gov; Sarina.calderon@cdcr.ca.gov; elizabeth.hom@calbar.ca.gov; johnna.sack@calbar.ca.gov; rocio.avalos@calbar.ca.gov; kristina.ramos@calbar.ca.gov; collin.grant@calbar.ca.gov; louisa.ayrapetyan@calbar.ca.gov; jennifer.stalvey@calbar.ca.gov; ken.nourse@calbar.ca.gov; summer.shelton@calbar.ca.gov; kirsten.galler@calbar.ca.gov; doan.nguyen@calbar.ca.gov; audrey.ching@calbar.ca.gov; laurie.gondreau@calbar.ca.gov; yun.xiang@calbar.ca.gov; mark@fresnocriminallawyer.com; abrahim.bagheri@calbar.ca.gov; carol.kiyotoki@calbar.ca.gov; andrew.vasicek@calbar.ca.gov; mayte.diaz@calbar.ca.gov; duncan.carling@calbar.ca.gov; chelsey.smith@calbar.ca.gov; suzanne.grandt@calbar.ca.gov; gerri.smith@calbar.ca.gov; peter.klivans@calbar.ca.gov; cindy.chan@calbar.ca.gov; teresa.ruano@calbar.ca.gov; patrice.vallier-glass@calbar.ca.gov; naji.khatib@calbar.ca.gov; awndrea.yeboah@calbar.ca.gov; christina.doell@calbar.ca.gov; enrique.zuniga@calbar.ca.gov; kimberly.anderson@calbar.ca.gov; brandon.stallings@calbar.ca.gov; Jose.cisneros@gmail.com; Jose.cisneros@sf.gov; pbarahona@yli.org; Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>; jmerchan@wdacs.lacounty.gov; info@wdacs.lacounty.gov; Arogant Hollywood <arogantmusicinc@gmail.com>; Alison Fairchild <fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com>; Arogant Hollywood <causeofaction43@gmail.com>; blacklawgenius@gmail.com; Alison Fairchild <alisonhfairchild@gmail.com>; Robert Luna <rluna@lasd.org>; rluna@lacounty.gov; atardy@lacounty.org; jtorres@lasd.org; saloma@lacounty.org; llecrivain@lasd.org; bchase@lacounty.gov; rlewis@lacounty.gov; llecrivain@lacounty.org; jmeza@lasd.org; ned.harlan@doj.ca.gov; nishdeep.singh@doj.ca.gov; robert.bonta@doj.ca.gov; OPSChiefsOffice@doj.ca.gov; peter.halloran@doj.ca.gov;

amyhhalloran@yahoo.com; peterhalloran@sbcglobal.net; alisha.carlile@doj.ca.gov; snpdragn@aol.com; acarlile@alum.wellesley.edu; concertina9@yahoo.com; alishastephen@yahoo.com.au; alehr17@u.washington.edu; girlygirl8384@aol.com; akeened@yahoo.com; rish34@hotmail.com; piccbodin@hotmail.com; lachapell_a@yahoo.com; alishaoku@yahoo.com; alisha.mia@gmail.com; alisha.marks@rogers.com; vincent.bonotto@doj.ca.gov; vbonotto@hotmail.com; glenn.pruden@doj.ca.gov; eyeless77@hotmail.com; daniel.olivas@doj.ca.gov; liam.nguyen@doj.ca.gov; chad.stegeman@doj.ca.gov; stephen.lew@doj.ca.gov; christopher.findley@doj.ca.gov; dennis.beck@doj.ca.gov; darrell.spence@doj.ca.gov; maggy.krell@doj.ca.gov; Elaine.tong@doj.ca.gov; hannah.carasan@doj.ca.gov; mo.park@doj.ca.gov; dbuthler@lasuperiorcourt.org; dslayton@lasuperiorcourt.org; sclover@lasuperiorcourt.org; jmoses@lasuperiorcourt.org; ppenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org; tpenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org; chase.penrose@gmail.com; mackenziericole@gmail.com; trishpenrose@att.net; amber.barnett@jud.ca.gov; john.yee@jud.ca.gov; jenniejasperson@gmail.com; kelton.jasperson@gmail.com; cjasperson2@mindspring.com; judy.jasperson@att.net; randie.chance@doj.ca.gov; gavin.newsom@gov.ca.gov; gkennedy@alhambrapd.org; EWakabayashi@da.lacounty.gov; bdodd@da.lacounty.gov; hforman@da.lacounty.gov; Tamar Tokat <ttokat@da.lacounty.gov>; tmokayf@da.lacounty.gov; Sara Radmore <SRadmore@da.lacounty.gov>; andrade@earthlink.net; Ryann Gerber Jorban <RGerber@da.lacounty.gov>; Victor Rodriguez <VRodriguez@da.lacounty.gov>; John Lewin <JLewin@da.lacounty.gov>; atardy@lasd.org; dtran@alhambrapd.org; seconddistrict@bos.lacounty.gov; Dean Bengston <DBengston@da.lacounty.gov>; jragusa@alhambrafire.org; rembrahim@da.lacounty.gov; rishnidanos516@gmail.com; prentice.hill@cdcr.ca.gov; jargusa@alhambrafire.org

Subject: [External]KING AROGANT v. racist white devil nathan joseph hochman, et al [ANOTHER LEGAL WARNING! YOU RACIST WHITE AND LATIN DEVILS ARE GOING TO ALL GET SUED!] SEE NOW ATTACHED YOUTUBE VIDEO RECORDING THAT PROVES BY CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE THAT R...

KING AROGANT v. racist white devil nathan joseph hochman, et al [ANOTHER LEGAL WARNING! YOU RACIST WHITE AND LATIN DEVILS ARE GOING TO ALL GET SUED!] SEE NOW ATTACHED YOUTUBE VIDEO RECORDING THAT PROVES BY CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE THAT RACIALLY BIASED AND RACIALLY ANIMUS DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEYS RECKLESSLY CHARGED KING AROGANT FOR THREE CRIMES WITHOUT A SINGLE PIECE OF EVIDENCE!

STRAIGHT UP NATHAN JOSEPH! I HATE EVERY LAST ONE OF YOU RACIST, LAZY, LYING, AND LOSER CRIMINAL PROSECUTORS AND MAKE EVERY SINGLE ONE OF YOU, INCLUDING

MARK WINDHAM, HAVE A SLOW AND PAINFUL DEATH FROM CANCER!

THIS WILL SOON BE YOU, NATHAN JOSEPH HOCHMAN, YOU WHITE DEVIL!

Case 2:24-cv-03351-DAD-CSK Document 2 Filed 12/03/24 Page 1 of 3

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Arogant Hollywood ,

v.

Jeffrey A. Macomber , et al ,

CASE NO: 2:24-CV- 03351 DAD CSK

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL CASE

TO: Ashley Albiento, Jennifer Barretto, Dennis L. Beck, Jr, Jennifer Benavidez, Robert Andres Bonta, Ronald Broomfield, Elizabeth Byers, Christo Chambers, Yingchun Chen, Tamantha Foss, George Gascon, Peter Dwight Halberus, James D. Johnson, Cherryl Lynn Kaylor, Lee Ka Fong Lo, Jeffrey A. Macomber, Brian McGee, Bryce Miller, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson

Defendant's Address: Racist WHITE DEVIL-
Nathan Joseph Hochman
2 West Temple Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to serve on

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106


an answer to the complaint which is served on you with this summons, **within 21 days** after service of this summons on you, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be taken against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. Any answer that you serve on the parties to this action must be filed with the Clerk of this Court within a reasonable period of time after service.

KEITH HOLLAND

F@#$ YOU AND THAT STUPID JUDICIAL OFFICER MARK WINDHAM. YOU CAN LOCK ME UP ALL YOU WANT, BUT YOU WILL ALL GET SUED AND YOU **WILL LOSE YOUR CASE, STUPID! LIKE YOU ALWAYS LOSE YOUR CASES, STUPID LOSERS! YOU DO REALIZE YOU HAVE LOST OVER 90% OF THE CASES YOU FILED AGAINST ME SINCE 2021! FOOLS! THUS, YOUR STUPID AND INCOMPETENT CRIMINAL PROSECUTORS HAVE ABOUT A TEN**

PERCENT CHANCE OF CONVICTING. YOU HAVE TO CHEAT TO WIN GA114055!

OH, BY THE WAY, WHITE DEVIL NATHAN JOSEPH HOCHMAN AND ALL YOUR SOON-TO-BE SUED DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEYS, AROGANT PLAYBOY HOLLYWOOD'S MUSIC HAS BEEN DOING WELL SINCE HE RELEASED A COVER SONG ON MARCH 28, 2025. THUS, YOU WILL BE SUED ADDITIONALLY FOR DEBASING AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S MUSIC CAREER AND DEFAMING HIS SOON-TO BE FAMOUS NAME FOOLS! WHEN KING AROGANT BECOMES FAMOUS HE IS GOING TO EXPOSE YOUR ENTIRE DA OFFICE AND MAKE SURE HIS CRAZY AND PISSED OFF KING AROGANT FANS KNOW EXACTLY WHERE YOU ALL LIVE AND WORK! KING AROGANT WILL MAKE DOCUMENTARIES, TELEVISION SHOWS, AND FILMS TO EXPOSE YOU FOOLS, TARNISH YOUR DA LEGACY, AND EMBARRASS YOUR FAMILIES! HIS WORD!




SEE BELOW YOUTUBE VIDEO RECORDING PROVING BY CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE RACIALLY BIAS AND RACIALLY ANIMUS DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEYS FILED BOGUS CRIMINAL CHARGES AGAINST KING AROGANT WITHOUT A SINGLE PIECE OF EVIDENCE!

https://youtube/acEpage9QTo?si=nvjjY9HyiQvCwI2k

ALL BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG ELECTRONIC MAIL EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE ATTACHED HERETO BELOW AS A GOOGLE DRIVE ATTACHMENT FILE!

Arogant Hollywood

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq.
1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD.
PASADENA, CA 91106
Mobile: 206.471.1344
arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted
Spirit of Esquire
BLACK TRADE KING
FUTURE KING OF ENTERTAINMENT
BLACK DERIVATIVES KING



Gmail - OFFICIAL COMPLAINT OF ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD ON BEHALF OF AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. sherrif ro...

Gmail - people v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA114055) & UPCOMING FIRST CIVIL


RIGHTS COMPLAINT TITLED ARO...

Gmail - people v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA114055) & UPCOMING FIRST CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT TITLED ARO...

NOVEMBER 28, 2023 EMAIL THAT WAS NEVER EMAILED TO RACIST AND LYING BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG.pdf

SHORT VERSION Gmail - people v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA114055) & UPCOMING FIRST CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLA...

SHORT VERSION NOVEMBER 28, 2023 EMAIL THAT WAS NEVER EMAILED TO RACIST AND LYING BRIAN MARK ROSEN...



CAUTION: This email originated outside of the County (lacounty.gov domain). Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and expect the message.

Exhibit 9

[redacted]

From: Arogant Hollywood <arogantholl ywoodgenius@gmail com>
Sent: Saturday, May 10 2025 12 17 PM
To: Area1@alhambrapd org, gsepncer@alhambrapd org, area2@alhambrapd.org, rsoriano@alhambrapd.org, area3@alhambrapd.org rcastillo@alhambrapd org, area4 @alhambrapd org, klaing@alhambrapd crg dfuentes@alhambrapd org, lgarcia@alhambraca gov gdiaz@alhambrapd org, rrongavilla@alhambrapd org; otran@slhsmbrapd org mlee@alhambrapd org, hreyes@alhambrapd org, bchung@alhambrapd org luwan@cityofalhambra org, klee@alhambraca gov, rmaza@cityofalhambra org, jmaloney@cityofalhambra org, maloney@smmc ca gov; nwang@cityofalnambra org aandradestadler@gmail com, adele stadler@gmail com, joestadler@ladwp com, andrade@eaterhlink net, aandradestad er@yahoo com, jmontes@bwslaw com; CABBOTT@bwslaw com, baffranti@bwslaw com, bguerrero@bwslaw.com, gaker@bwslaw com, danneet@bwslaw com, maustin@bwslaw com, rbalchum@bwslaw com, mtran@bwslaw com mbarrett@bwslaw com, Jbalchum@bwslaw com dbazzano@bwslaw com, kberger@bwslaw com, mbernacchi@bwslaw com Daniel Akemon cthorpe@da.lacounty.gov, Erika Jerez, dbrosky@da.lacounty gov; blunsford@da.-acounty gov; Pak Kouch, Jodi Taksar; ron goodreau@yahoo com; ronaldgoodreau@aol.com, Emily Street; Mark Lee; Rose DeMattia, Jonathan Chung, Alva Lin, Michael Michelena, srebel.s@da.lacounty.gov, Jodi Link, Miji Vellakkatel; Joel McComb, dmccloskey@smcgov org, Bradley McCartt, Garrett Dameron, Holly Harpham, duckett.justice@gmail.com, Keith Duckett, jfrost@smcgov.org, Jacques Garden, Norman Hearon; tpovah@smcgov org; Heather Borden Gloria Marin, Isidoro Baly, Ruby Arias, Valerie Aenlle-Rocha, Mary Murray; Jodi Castano; Kenneth Meyer; mmclaugh in@smcgov.org, Amy Pellman Pentz, Tien Pham inightengale@da lacounty gov, John Niedermann, Donn Hoffman, ewakabay@da lacounty, Grace Rai, Bob Chen Belle Chen; Frank Dunnick; Lisette suder@edcda us, Cristine Albanese; John Colello, Elizabeth Ratinoff; eratinoff@da.lacounty gov, Jessie L McGrath, Louis Avila Jr.; kenvonh@msn.com kvonhelmolt@da lacounty.gov, echon@da.lacounty.gov, Jwilson@smcgov.org June Chung cfredgren@da lacounty gov, Kathy Ta; sungchung@da.lacounty gov; Susanna Chung, Martin Bean, Tracey Whitney; Hubert Yun Kimberly Abourezk; Liana Alvarez, Michael pizzuti@edcda.us; nhahn@smcgov org, Cmeaher-walker@da.lacounty gov; cwalker@da lacounty.gov, Cynthia Meagher Walker, Frances Young; afitzgerald@smcgov.org, Gregory Apt, Christine.vonhelmolt@csulb.edu, Christine Von Helmolt; Karen Nishita; Susan Navas; fnagle@kernda.org; carolyn palumbo@yolocounty org; jmarcano@kernda org, gpearl@kernda org; dmckillop@kernda org, jallen@kernda.org; nlackie@kern org, nlackie@kernda org, kmarshall@kernda org, bstallings@kernda.org deanna.hays@yolocounty org, csherman@kernda org, lgarver@kernda org, rchoi@kernda org, frits.vanderhoek@yolocounty.org, btaconi@kernda.org, gkohler@kernda org; lfields@kernda org, cmcnutt@kernda org, czimmer@kernda org; Gina Satriano, mariaramirez@hotmail com; Mayra Ramirez; Michele Daniels, Kellyjean Chun, John Morris, Peter Cagney; sfrank6576@aol.com, sfrankland@da lacounty gov, Brian Schirn, Robert Sherwood, Shannon Presby, Margo Baxter; lwong@da.lacounty.gov, Alan Yochelson; Teresa Gomez, Christina F. Buckley; Val Cole; Shawn Randolph; Lori Dery; Yael Massry, Gregory Jennings, Hoon Chun, Lowell Anger; Sean Hassett; smire@da.lacounty.gov; Gilbert Wright; Laura Jane Kessner, Jonlyn Callahan; Craig Hum; May Chung; Juan Mejia; Manuel Garcia, John Harlan; Mykka Piantanida, Guillermo Santiso; Priscilla Musso; Nathan J. Hochman, Vaenille-rocha@da lacounty gov, Daniel

To:

Felizzatto Michele Hanisee, Steven Katz, Jbrooke-castano@da lacounty gov, William Frayeh, Jessica Foster; jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov; Jessica Tillson; Mariaramirez65 @hotmail.com, Irene Lee, Cris buckley@uci edu; Julianne Walker; Christi Frey; vrodriguez@dalacounty.gov; John Mc Kinney; jmckinney@da.lacounty.gov; Kathy Cady; Julie Dixon Silva, Arisa Mattson, Christopher Baker; Cynthia Nakao; Janis Johnson; kuyen@da lacounty gov; pkouch@da.alacounty.gov; Samuel Hulefeld, Marc Beaart; bjdodd@dalacounty gov; Martha Carrillo, Antonio Aguilar; Ann Marie Wise; Lee Orquiola; Kevin s@lacounty.gov; Kevin Swartz; akozma@da lacounty gov; Amanda Adams; Timothy Richardson; timothy@lacounty gov, sradmore@dal.lacounty.gov; wrosenthal@da.lacounty.gov, wkarfiol@lacounty.gov; Judge Carol Najera, Judge Mark E. Windham, Daniel Ilacqua; jonathan@lacounty gov; jarmour@dalacounty gov, felicia@.acounty.gov; forman@da laounty.gov; ryann.jorban@stfrancislaw.com, rgerber@dalcounty gov; Julia Cohn; julia@lacounty gov; Hugh Jackson; sunita popal@pepperdine.edu; Sunita Popal; David Zygielbaum; Michelle Lewis; philglaviano@hotmail.com, pglaviano@da lacounty.gov; thomaskrag@proton me, tkrag@da lacounty gov; Kenneth Lynch; Stefan Mrakich; cgaines@da.lacounty gov; Michael Enomoto; Charles Chaiyarachta; rdooley@da lacounty gov; Geoffrey Lewin; ddelimon@gmail.com; Mario Haidar; Tina Hooks; Whelma Llanos; Sean Carney; Daniel Wright; lholtz@da.lacounty.gov; Diana Martinez; dwooden@da.lacounty gov; Jose Gonzalez, Don Syn, rchang@da.lacounty.gov; Natasha Cooper, Alexander Karkanen, Kevin Halligan; ralarcon@da.lacounty.gov; sstodel@da.lacounty.gov, David Barkhurst, Teresa de Castro; Duke Chau; Valerie Little; nflood@da lacounty.gov; Robert Rabbani; tmokayef@da.clacounty.gov, rrose@da.lacounty.gov; Candice Henry, Frank Santoro, kstpierre@da.lacounty.gov, John Lewin, brian.jones@sen.ca gov; erikandannaapperson@hotmail.com, dbengstib@da.lacounty gov, Ying sun@cdcr ca.gov; Parjack Ghaderi; Roberto Saldana; ndavis-tinkham@counsel lacounty gov; tink4d1@gmail com; brind0313@gmail com, darrelltinkham@yahoo.com, ltolani@counsel.lacounty.gov; lester.tolnai@yahoo.com; rclester.tolnai71@yahoo com; Phyllis Marshall; Adrienne Byers; Robert Cartwright; Rosanne Wong; Thomas Faughnan; tomfaughnan66@gmail.com, Jennifer Lehman, Kristine Miles; rbaeza@counsel.lacounty.gov; Richard Chastang, lcampo@counsel lacounty gov; Michael Simon; Elizabeth Miller; elizabeth_dumais@yahoo.com; kaigrant19@yahoo com; pmomon@yahoo.com, Peter Bollinger, First District; secondistrict@bos.la.county.gov, holly4assembly@gmail.com; hmitchell@yahoo.com, hjmitchel@aol.com; Chen, Cindy; nadtinkham@gmail.com; holly@hollyjmitchell.com; Reginald A. Fleming-Peters; Maggie Carter, njenkins@lacounty.gov; Nedra Jenkins; Jason Gonzalez; lowt@metro.net, Tlow77 @gmail.com; ryanthurlow@yahoo.com; Teddy Low; tina@jmoilco.com; tlow7 @yahoo.com; econard@lacounty.gov; econard@counsel.lacounty.gov; andria.seo@disabilityrightsca.org; Sonia Chan; eggerse@metro.net, eggers@counsel.lacounty.gov; Millicent Rolon; mirder@hotmail.com, doug@homealert.com, Alexandra Zuiderweg; Micheline Ruben; Caitlin Taylor; Holly J. Mitchell; Lindsey.P.Horvath@gmail.com, Keever Rhodes Muir; CCRCMFLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; CDCRCCILitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca gov; CDCRCTFLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; CDCRCMCLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; CDCRCORLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; CDCRCIMLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; FCORTEZ@cdcr.gov; Jeff.macomber@cdcr ca.gov, Jennifer.barretto@cdcr.ca gov; Tammy.foss@cdcr.ca.gov; Diana.toche@cdcr.ca.gov, albert.lundeen@cdcr.ca.gov, Jennifer.neil@cdcr.ca.gov, Sarah.larson@cdcr ca.gov; Ron broomfield@cdcr.ca gov; Amy.casias@cdcr.ca.gov, Jason.johnson@cdcr.ca.gov; Chris chambers@cdcr.ca.gov; Stacy lopez@cdcr.ca gov;

To: Niki.dhillon@cdcr.ca.gov, Jennifer.benavidez@cdcr.ca.gov, heather.bowlds@cdcr.ca.gov, Jared.lozano@cdcr.ca.gov, Derrick.marion@cdcr.ca.gov, David.chriss@cdcr.ca.gov, Sarina.calderon@cdcr.ca.gov, elizabeth.hom@calbar.ca.gov, johnna.sack@calbar.ca.gov, rocio.avalos@calbar.ca.gov, kristina.ramos@calbar.ca.gov, collin.grant@calbar.ca.gov, louisa.ayrapetyan@calbar.ca.gov, jennifer.stalvey@calbar.ca.gov, ken.nourse@calbar.ca.gov, summer.shelton@calbar.ca.gov, kirsten.galler@calbar.ca.gov, doan.nguyen@calbar.ca.gov, audrey.ching@calbar.ca.gov, laurie.gondreau@calbar.ca.gov, yun.xiang@calbar.ca.gov, mark@fresnocriminallawyer.com, abrahim.bagheri@calbar.ca.gov, carol.kiyoto@calbar.ca.gov, andrew.vasicek@calbar.ca.gov, mayte.diaz@calbar.ca.gov, duncan.carling@calbar.ca.gov, chelsey.smith@calbar.ca.gov, suzanne.grandt@calbar.ca.gov, gerri.smith@calbar.ca.gov, peter.kivans@calbar.ca.gov, cindy.chan@calbar.ca.gov, teresa.ruano@calbar.ca.gov, patrice.vallier, glass@calbar.ca.gov, naji.khatib@calbar.ca.gov, awndrea.yeboah@calbar.ca.gov, christina.doell@calbar.ca.gov, enrique.zuniga@calbar.ca.gov, kimberly.anderson@calbar.ca.gov, brandon.stallings@calbar.ca.gov, Jose.cisneros@gmail.com, Jose.cisneros@sf.gov, pbarahona@yli.org, Arogant Hollywood, Jorge Merchan, WDACS Info, Arogant Hollywood, Alison Fairchild, Arogant Hollywood, blacklawgenius@gmail.com, Alison Fairchild, Luna, Robert, Raul Luna, atardy@lacounty.org, Torres, Josue, saloma@lacounty.org, llecrivain@lasd.org, bcnase@lacounty.gov, Robin Tillman, llecrivain@lacounty.org, Meza, Jerry, ned.harlan@doj.ca.gov, nishdeep.singh@doj.ca.gov, robert.bonta@doj.ca.gov, OPSChiefsOffice@doj.ca.gov, peter.halloran@doj.ca.gov, amyhhalloran@yahoo.com, peterhalloran@sbcglobal.net, alisha.carlile@doj.ca.gov, snpdragn@aol.com, acarlile@alum.wellesley.edu, concertina9@yahoo.com, alishastephen@yahoo.com.au, alehr17@u.washington.edu, girlygirl8384@aol.com, akeeneo@yahoo.com, lish34@hotmail.com, piccbodin@hotmail.com, lachapell_a@yahoo.com, alishaoku@yahoo.com, alisha.mai@gmail.com, alisha.marks@rogers.com, vincent.bonotto@doj.ca.gov, vbonotto@hotmail.com, glenn.pruden@doj.ca.gov, eyeless77@hotmail.com, daniel.olivas@doj.ca.gov, giam.nguyen@doj.ca.gov, chad.stegeman@doj.ca.gov, stephen.lew@doj.ca.gov, christopher.findley@doj.ca.gov, dennis.beck@doj.ca.gov, darrell.spence@doj.ca.gov, maggy.krell@doj.ca.gov, Elaine.tong@doj.ca.gov, hannah.calacsan@doj.ca.gov, mo.park@doj.ca.gov, dbuthler@lasuperiorcourt.org, dslayton@lasuperiorcourt.org, sclover@lasuperiorcourt.org, jmoses@lasuperiorcourt.org, ppenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, tpenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, chase.penrose@gmail.com, mackenzienicole@gmail.com, trishpenrose@att.net, amber.barnett@jud.ca.go, john.yee@jud.ca.gov, jenniejasperson@gmail.com, kelton.jasperson@gmail.com, cjasperson2@mindspring.com, judy.jasperson@att.net, randie.chance@doj.ca.gov, gavin.newsom@gov.ca.gov, gkennedy@alhambrapd.org, EWakabayashi@da.lacounty.gov, bdodd@da.lacounty.gov, hforman@da.lacounty.gov, Tamar Tokat, tmokayf@da.lacounty.gov, Sara Radmore, andrade@earthlink.net, Ryann Gerber Jorban, Victor Rodriguez, John Lewin, atardy@lasd.org, dtran@alhambrapd.org, secondistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, Dean Bengston, jragusa@alhambrafire.org, rembrahim@da.lacounty.gov, rishnidanos516@gmail.com, prentice.hill@cdcr.ca.gov, jargusa@alhambrafire.org, jbinnquist@cityofalhambra.org, Willow Karfiol, Shelley Dominguez, akarkanen@da.lacounty, tseki@alhambrapd.org, attorney@neilopdahl.com

Subject: [External]AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. WHITE DEVIL nathan joseph hochman, et al (SO WHAT I LOST MY BAIL NATHAN JOSEPH, KING AROGANT HAS CRACKED YOUR CASE SEE YOU IN FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT SOON FOOLS (SECOND VERIFIED APPENDIX OF EXHIBITS , EXHIBITS 1-10, OVER ..

Attachments: COMBINED SECOND APPENDIX OF EXHIBITS TO DISMISS COUNTS 3, 4, AND 5 (FINAL VERSION).pdf

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. WHITE DEVIL nathan joseph hochman, et al (SO WHAT I LOST MY BAIL NATHAN JOSEPH, KING AROGANT HAS CRACKED YOUR CASE, SEE YOU IN FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT SOON FOOLS (SECOND VERIFIED APPENDIX OF EXHIBITS,, EXHIBITS 1-10, OVER 100 PAGES ATTACHED HERETO:

THIS WILL SOON BE YOU, NATHAN JOSEPH HOCHMAN, YOU WHITE DEVIL!

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Arogant Hollywood,

vs

Jeffrey A. Macomber, et al.,

CASE NO: 1:24 CV 03351 DAD-CSK

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL CASE

TO: Ashley Albiero, Jennifer Barreto, Dennis L. Beck, Jr., Jennifer Benavides, Robert Andres Bonta, Ronald Broomfield, Elizabeth Byers, Christo Chambers, Yingchee Chen, Tammanika Fess, George Gascon, Peter Dwight Halloran, Jason D. Johnson, Cheryl Lynn Kaylor, Lee Ka Fong Lo, Jeffrey A. Macomber, Brian McGee, Bryce Miller, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamee Wilson

Defendant's Address: Racist WHITE DEVIL
Nathan Joseph Hochman
21 West Temple Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to serve on

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106


an answer to the complaint which is served on you with this summons, within 21 days after service of this summons on you, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be taken against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. Any answer that you serve on the parties in this action must be filed with the Clerk of this Court within a reasonable period of time after service.

KEITH HOLLAND



F@#$ YOU AND THAT STUPID JUDICIAL OFFICER MARK WINDHAM. YOU CAN LOCK ME UP ALL YOU WANT, BUT YOU WILL ALL GET SUED AND YOU **WILL LOSE YOUR CASE, STUPID! LIKE YOU ALWAYS LOSE YOUR CASES, STUPID LOSERS! YOU DO REALIZE YOU HAVE LOST OVER 90% OF THE CASES YOU FILED AGAINST ME SINCE 2021! FOOLS! THUS, YOUR STUPID AND INCOMPETENT CRIMINAL PROSECUTORS HAVE ABOUT A TEN PERCENT CHANCE OF CONVICTING. YOU HAVE TO CHEAT TO WIN GA114055!**

OH, BY THE WAY, WHITE DEVIL NATHAN JOSEPH HOCHMAN AND ALL YOUR SOON-TO-BE SUED DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEYS. AROGANT PLAYBOY HOLLYWOOD'S MUSIC HAS BEEN DOING WELL SINCE HE RELEASED A COVER SONG ON MARCH 28, 2025. THUS, YOU WILL BE SUED ADDITIONALLY FOR DERAILING AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S MUSIC CAREER AND DEFAMING HIS SOON-TO-BE FAMOUS NAME FOOLS! WHEN KING AROGANT BECOMES FAMOUS HE IS GOING TO EXPOSE YOUR ENTIRE DA OFFICE AND MAKE SURE HIS KRAZY AND PISSED OFF KING AROGANT FANS KNOW EXACTLY WHERE YOU ALL LIVE AND WORK! KING AROGANT WILL MAKE DOCUMENTARIES, TELEVISION SHOWS, AND FILMS TO EXPOSE YOU FOOLS, TARNISH YOUR DA LEGACY, AND EMBARRASS YOUR FAMILIES! HIS WORD!



WHILE UNDISCOVERED INDEPENDENT ARTISTS PAY TO GET PUT ON PLAYLISTS AND ALSO MANUALLY EMAIL PLAYLIST CURATORS TO BE ADDED TO A PLAYLIST. AROGANT PLAYBOY HOLLYWOOD HAS BEEN ADDED TO 207 PLAYLISTS WITHOUT DOING A SINGLE THING, AND WITH NO PROMOTION FOOLS!

SEE BELOW YOUTUBE VIDEO RECORDING PROVING BY CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE RACIALLY BIAS AND RACIALLY ANIMUS DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEYS FILED BOGUS CRIMINAL CHARGES AGAINST KING AROGANT WITHOUT A SINGLE PIECE OF EVIDENCE!

https://youtu.be/SdPpaoe9Q1o?si=nyjjY9HyFQvCwF2k

ALL BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG ELECTRONIC MAIL EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE ATTACHED HERETO BELOW AS A GOOGLE DRIVE ATTACHMENT FILE!

HEY, NATHAN JOSEPH HOCHMAN! DO YOU HAVE ANY EVIDENCE TO SUPPORT YOUR FRIVOLOUS COUNTS 3, 4, AND 5! MARK ROSENBERG WILL BE CHARGED IN THE FEDS SOON!

Arogant Hollywood

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq.
1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD.
PASADENA, CA 91106
Mobile: 206.471.1344
arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted
Spirit of Esquire
BLACK TRADE KING
FUTURE KING OF ENTERTAINMENT
BLACK DERIVATIVES KING




King
Arogant

This email originated outside of the County (lacounty.gov domain). Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and expect the message

[redacted]

From: Arogant Hollywood <arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 10, 2025 4:51 PM
To: Shelley Dominguez; Alexander Karkanen; Nathan J. Hochman; Arogant Hollywood; Mary Murray; Janis Jonnson; Cynthia Nakao; prentice.hill@cdcr.ca.gov; gkennedy@alhambrapd.org; cdonato@alhambrapd.org; Alison Fairchild; Alison Fairchild; Willow Karfiol; attorney@neilopdahl.com
Subject: [External]AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. WHITE DEVIL nathan joseph hochman, et al (SO WHAT I LOST MY BAIL NATHAN JOSEPH, KING ARROGANT HAS CRACKED YOUR CASE, SEE YOU IN FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT SOON FOOLS (SECOND VERIFIED APPENDIX OF EXHIBITS,, EXHIBITS 1-10, OVER
Attachments: COMBINED SECOND APPENDIX OF EXHIBITS TO DISMISS COUNTS 3, 4, AND 5 (FINAL VERSION).pdf

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. WHITE DEVIL nathan joseph hochman, et al (SO WHAT I LOST MY BAIL NATHAN JOSEPH, KING AROGANT HAS CRACKED YOUR CASE, SEE YOU IN FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT SOON FOOLS (SECOND VERIFIED APPENDIX OF EXHIBITS,, EXHIBITS 1-10, OVER 100 PAGES ATTACHED HERETO



THIS WILL SOON BE YOU! YOU STUPID AND DUMB RACIST WHITE DEVIL WILLOW! SEE YOU

ON MAY 12, 2025, WHEN YOUR FRIVOLOUS COUNTS 3, 4, AND 5 WILL BE DISMISSED!

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Arogant Hollywood,

CASE NO: 1:24-CV-03351-DAD-CSK

vs

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL CASE

Jeffrey A. Macomber, et al.,

TO: Ashley Albanese, Jennifer Barrueta, Dennis L. Beck, Jr., Jennifer Benavidez, Robert Andrew Boota, Ronald Brownfield, Elizabeth Byers, Christa Chambers, Yingchun Chen, Tamanathia Foss, George Gascon, Peter Dwight Halberson, James D. Johnson, Cheryl Lynn Kaylor, Lan Ka Fong Lo, Jeffrey A. Macomber, Brian McGee, Bryan Miller, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson

RACIST WHITE DEVIL
Willow Leah Rosenthal
210 West Temple Street
Suite 17th Floor
LOS Angeles, CA 90012

Defendant's Address

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to serve on:

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd
Pasadena, CA 91106

an answer to the complaint which is served on you with this summons, within 21 days after service of this summons on you, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be taken against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. Any answer that you serve on the parties to this action must be filed with the Clerk of this Court within a reasonable period of time after service.

KEITH HOLLAND




SEE BELOW ATTACHED YOUTUBE VIDEO RECORDING PROVING BY CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE THAT RACIST AND BIASED COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES CRIMINAL PROSECUTOR BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG LIED THAT HE RECEIVED EMAILS FROM KING AROGANT ON NOVEMBER 28, 2023, AND FEBRUARY 13. 2024 AND JUNE 8, 2024!

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SdPpaoe9QTo&t=698s

COUNTS 3, 4, AND 5 ARE GOING TO BE DISMISSED STUPID! I WILL SEE YOU FOOLS BACK IN SUPERIOR COURT IN ALHAMBRA SUPERIOR COURT!

Arogant Hollywood

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq.
1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD.
PASADENA, CA 91106
Mobile: 206.471.1344
arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted
Spirit of Esquire
BLACK TRADE KING
FUTURE KING OF ENTERTAINMENT
BLACK DERIVATIVES KING




King
Arogant

CAUTION This email originated outside of the County (lacounty.gov domain). Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and expect the message.

[redacted]

From: Arogant Hollywood <arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 10, 2025 4:57 PM
To: Shelley Dominguez; Alexander Karkanen; Nathan J. Hochman; Arogant Hollywood; Mary Murray; Janis Johnson; Cynthia Nakao; prentice.hill@cdcr.ca.gov; gkennedy@alhambrapd.org; cdonato@alhambrapd.org; Alison Fairchild; Alison Fairchild; Willow Karfiol; Judge Mark E. Windham
Subject: [External]AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. WHITE DEVIL nathan joseph hochman, et al (SO WHAT I LOST MY BAIL NATHAN JOSEPH, KING AROGANT HAS CRACKED YOUR CASE, SEE YOU IN FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT SOON FOOLS, 26 PAGE MOTION TO TERMINATE DOMINGUEZ AND HOCHMAN'S ILLEGAL
Attachments: MOTION TO TERMINATE APRIL 25^J 2025 CRIMINAL PROTECTIVE ORDER (ALHAMBRA LIBRARY AND MARK ROSENBERG).pdf

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. WHITE DEVIL nathan joseph hochman, et al (SO WHAT I LOST MY BAIL NATHAN JOSEPH, KING AROGANT HAS CRACKED YOUR CASE, SEE YOU IN FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT SOON FOOLS, 26 PAGE MOTION TO TERMINATE DOMINGUEZ AND HOCHMAN'S ILLEGAL CRIMINAL PROTECTIVE ORDER



THIS WILL SOON BE YOU! YOU STUPID AND DUMB RACIST WHITE DEVIL WILLOW! SEE YOU

ON MAY 12, 2025, WHEN YOUR FRIVOLOUS COUNTS 3, 4, AND 5 WILL BE DISMISSED!

Case 2:24-cv-03361-DAD-CSK Document 2 Filed 12/03/24 Page 1 of 3

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Arrogant Hollywood ,

vs.

Jeffrey A. Macomber , et al. ,

CASE NO: 2:24-CV-03361-DAD-CSK

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL CASE

TO: Ashley Albiento, Jennifer Barretto, Dennis L. Beck, Jr, Jennifer Benevides, Robert Andrew Boota, Ronald Broomfield, Elizabeth Byers, Christe Chambers, Yingchao Chen, Tammatha Fenn, George Gascon, Peter Dwight Halberian, Jenna D. Johnson, Cheryl Lynn Kaylor, Lee Ka Feng Lo, Jeffrey A. Macomber, Brian McGee, Bryan Miller, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson

RACIST WHITE DEVIL
Willow Leah Rosenthal
210 West Temple Street
Suite 17th Flwr
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Defendant's Address:

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to serve on:

Arrogant Hollywood
1300 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106

an answer to the complaint which is served on you with this summons, within 21 days after service of this summons on you, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be taken against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. Any answer that you serve on the parties to this action must be filed with the Clerk of this Court within a reasonable period of time after service.

KEITH HOLLAND

SEE BELOW ATTACHED YOUTUBE VIDEO RECORDING PROVING BY CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE THAT RACIST AND BIASED COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES CRIMINAL PROSECUTOR BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG LIED THAT HE RECEIVED EMAILS FROM KING AROGANT ON NOVEMBER 28, 2023, AND FEBRUARY 13. 2024 AND JUNE 8, 2024!

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SdPpaoe9QTo&t=698s

COUNTS 3, 4, AND 5 ARE GOING TO BE DISMISSED STUPID! I WILL SEE YOU FOOLS BACK IN SUPERIOR COURT IN ALHAMBRA SUPERIOR COURT!

HEY YOU RACIST WHITE DEVILS! KISS YOUR CRIMINAL PROTECTIVE GOOD-BYE BEFORE IT DIES AND ROTS!

Arogant Hollywood

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq.
1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD.
PASADENA, CA 91106
Mobile: 206.471.1344
arogantholiywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted
Spirit of Esquire
BLACK TRADE KING
FUTURE KING OF ENTERTAINMENT
BLACK DERIVATIVES KING



King
Arogant

CAUTION This email originated outside of the County (lacounty.gov domain) Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and expect the message.

Exhibit 10

County of Los Angeles vs. Hollywood. A.

[redacted]

From: Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 12, 2025 5:42 AM
To: gspencer@alhambrapd.org; area2@alhambrapd.org; rsoriano@alhambrapd.org; area3@alhambrapd.org; rcastillo@alhambrapd.org; area1@alhambrapd.org; klang@alhambrapd.org; ufuentes@alhambrapd.org; lgarcia@alhambracity.gov; gdiaz@alhambrapd.org; rrengavilla@alhambrapd.org; dtran@alhambrapd.org; mlee@alhambrapd.org; hreyes@alhambrapd.org; bchung@alhambrapd.org; uwan@cityofalhambra.org; klee@alhambracity.gov; rmaza@cityofalhambra.org; jmaloney@cityofalhambra.org; maloney@smmc.ca.gov; rwang@cityofalhambra.org; aandradestadler@gmail.com; adele.stadler@gmail.com; joestadler@radwp.com; andrade@eatonhlink.net; aandradestadler@yahoo.com; jmontes@bwslaw.com; CABBOTT@bwslaw.com; bafrumt@bwslaw.com; bguerrero@bwslaw.com; gaker@bwslaw.com; dannoet@bwslaw.com; maustin@bwslaw.com; rbalchum@bwslaw.com; mtran@bwslaw.com; mbarrett@bwslaw.com; jbalchum@bwslaw.com; cbazzano@bwslaw.com; kberger@bwslaw.com; mcorracchi@bwslaw.com; Daniel Akeman <DAkeman@da.lacounty.gov>; cthorpe@da.lacounty.gov; Frank Perez <fperez@da.lacounty.gov>; dtomsky@da.lacounty.gov; bunsford@da.lacounty.gov; Pak Kouch <pkouch@da.lacounty.gov>; Jodi Taksar <jtaksar@da.lacounty.gov>; ron.goodreau@yahoo.com; ronaldgoodreau@aol.com; Emily Street <estreet@da.lacounty.gov>; Mark Lee <mlee@da.lacounty.gov>; Rose DeMattia <rdemattia@da.lacounty.gov>; Jonathan Chung <JonChung@da.lacounty.gov>; Alva Lin <ALin@da.lacounty.gov>; Michael Michelena <mmichelena@da.lacounty.gov>; srebelis@da.lacounty.gov; Jodi Link <jlink@da.lacounty.gov>; Mary Vellakkate' <mvellakk@da.lacounty.gov>; Joel McComb <jmccomb@smcgov.org>; dmccloskey@smcgov.org; Bradley McCartt <bmccartt@da.lacounty.gov>; Garrett Dameron <gdameron@da.lacounty.gov>; Holly Harpham <hharpham@da.lacounty.gov>; duckett.justice@gmail.com; Keith Duckett <kduckett@da.lacounty.gov>; jfrost@smcgov.org; Jacques Garden <JGarden@da.lacounty.gov>; Norman Hearon <NHearon@da.lacounty.gov>; tpovah@smcgov.org; Heather Borden <hborden@da.lacounty.gov>; Gloria Marin <gmarin@da.lacounty.gov>; isidoro Baly <ibaly@da.lacounty.gov>; Ruby Arias <RArias@da.lacounty.gov>; Valerie Aenlle-Rocha <VAenlle-Rocha@da.lacounty.gov>; Mary Murray <MMurray@da.lacounty.gov>; Jodi Castano <jcastano@da.lacounty.gov>; Kenneth Meyer <kMeyer@da.lacounty.gov>; mmclaughlin@smcgov.org; Amy Pellman Pentz <apellman@da.lacounty.gov>; Ben Pham <bpham@da.lacounty.gov>; lnightengale@da.lacounty.gov; John Niedermann

<jniedermann@da.lacounty.gov>; Donn Hoffman <DHoffman@da.lacounty.gov>; ewakabay@da.lacounty; Grace Rai <GRai@da.lacounty.gov>; Bob Chen <bobchen@da.lacounty.gov>; Belle Chen <BChen@da.lacounty.gov>; Frank Dunnick <fdunnick@da.lacounty.gov>; Lisette.suder@edcda.us; Cristine Albanese <CAlbanese@da.lacounty.gov>; John Colello <JColello@da.lacounty.gov>; Elizabeth Ratinoff <ERatinof@da.lacounty.gov>; eratinoff@da.lacounty.gov; Jessie L. McGrath <jmcgrath@da.lacounty.gov>; Louis Avila Jr. <lavila@da.lacounty.gov>; kenvonh@msn.com; kvonhelmolt@da.lacounty.gov; echon@da.lacounty.gov; Jwilson@smcgov.org; June Chung <JChung@da.lacounty.gov>; cfredgren@da.lacounty.gov; Kathy Ta <KTa@da.lacounty.gov>; sungchung@da.lacounty.gov; Susanna Chung <SChung@da.lacounty.gov>; Martin Bean <MBean@da.lacounty.gov>; Tracey Whitney <TWhitney@da.lacounty.gov>; Hubert Yun <HYun@da.lacounty.gov>; Kimberly Abourezk <kabourezk@da.lacounty.gov>; Iliana Alvarez <ialvarez@da.lacounty.gov>; Michael.pizzuti@edcda.us; nhahn@smcgov.org; Cmeaher-walker@da.lacounty.gov; cwalker@da.lacounty.gov; Cynthia Meagher-Walker <cmeagher@da.lacounty.gov>; Frances Young <fyoung@da.lacounty.gov>; afitzgerald@smcgov.org; Gregory Apt <gapt@da.lacounty.gov>; Christine.vonhelmolt@csulb.edu; Christine Von Helmolt <CVonHelmolt@da.lacounty.gov>; Karen Nishita <KNishita@da.lacounty.gov>; Susan Navas <SNavas@da.lacounty.gov>; fnagle@kernda.org; carolyn.palumbo@yolocounty.org; jmarcano@kernda.org; gpearl@kernda.org; dmckillop@kernda.org; jallen@kernda.org; nilackie@kern.org; nlackie@kernda.org; kmarshall@kernda.org; bstallings@kernda.org; deanna.hays@yolocounty.org; csherman@kernda.org; lgarver@kernda.org; rchoi@kernda.org; frits.vanderhoek@yolocounty.org; btaconi@kernda.org; gkohler@kernda.org; lfields@kernda.org; cmcnutt@kernda.org; czimmer@kernda.org; Gina Satriano <GSatriano@da.lacounty.gov>; mariaramirez@hotmail.com; Mayra Ramirez <MRamirez@da.lacounty.gov>; Michele Daniels <mdaniels@da.lacounty.gov>; Kellyjean Chun <kchun@da.lacounty.gov>; John Morris <JMorris@da.lacounty.gov>; Peter Cagney <PCagney@da.lacounty.gov>; sfrank6576@aol.com; sfrankland@da.lacounty.gov; Brian Schirn <BSchirn@da.lacounty.gov>; Robert Sherwood <RSherwood@da.lacounty.gov>; Shannon Presby <spresby@da.lacounty.gov>; Margo Baxter <MBaxter@da.lacounty.gov>; lwong@da.lacounty.gov; Alan Yochelson <AYochelson@da.lacounty.gov>; Teresa Gomez <TGomez@da.lacounty.gov>; Christina F. Buckley <CBuckley@da.lacounty.gov>; Val Cole <VCole@da.lacounty.gov>; Shawn Randolph <SRandolph@da.lacounty.gov>; Lori Dery <ldery@da.lacounty.gov>; Yael Massry <YMassry@da.lacounty.gov>; Gregory Jennings <GJennings@da.lacounty.gov>; Hoon Chun <HChun@da.lacounty.gov>; Lowell Anger <langer@da.lacounty.gov>; Sean Hassett <shassett@da.lacounty.gov>; smire@da.lacounty.gov; Gilbert Wright <GWright@da.lacounty.gov>; Laura Jane Kessner <ljkessner@da.lacounty.gov>; Jonlyn Callahan <JCallahan@da.lacounty.gov>; Craig Hum <chum@da.lacounty.gov>; May Chung <MChung@da.lacounty.gov>; Juan Mejia <JMejia@da.lacounty.gov>; Manuel Garcia <MGarcia@da.lacounty.gov>; John Harlan <JHarlan@da.lacounty.gov>; Mykka Piantanida <MPiantanida@da.lacounty.gov>; Guillermo Santiso <gsantiso@da.lacounty.gov>; Priscilla Musso <PMusso@da.lacounty.gov>; Nathan J. Hochman <NHochman@da.lacounty.gov>; Vaenille-rocha@da.lacounty.gov; Daniel Felizzatto <dfelizzatto@da.lacounty.gov>; Michele Hanisee <MHanisee@da.lacounty.gov>; Steven Katz <skatz@da.lacounty.gov>; Jbrooke-castano@da.lacounty.gov; William Frayeh <wfrayeh@da.lacounty.gov>; Jessica Foster <JFoster@da.lacounty.gov>; jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov; Jessica Tullson <jtullson@da.lacounty.gov>; Mariaramirez65@hotmail.com; Irene Lee

<ILee@da.lacounty.gov>; Cris.buckley@uci.edu; Julianne Walker <jwalker@da.lacounty.gov>; Christi Frey <CFrey@da.lacounty.gov>; vrodriguez@dalacounty.gov; John Mc Kinney <McKinney@da.lacounty.gov>; jmckinney@da.lacounty.gov; Kathy Cady <KCady@da.lacounty.gov>; Julie Dixon Silva <jdsilva@da.lacounty.gov>; Arisa Mattson <amattson@da.lacounty.gov>; Christopher Baker <ChrisBaker@da.lacounty.gov>; Cynthia Nakao <CNakao@da.lacounty.gov>; Janis Johnson <JJohnson@da.lacounty.gov>; kuyen@da.lacounty.gov; pkouch@da.alacounty.gov; Samuel Hulefeld <shulefeld@da.lacounty.gov>; Marc Beaart <MBeaart@da.lacounty.gov>; bjdodd@dalacounty.gov; Martha Carrillo <MCarrillo@da.lacounty.gov>; Antonio Aguilar <AAguilar@da.lacounty.gov>; Ann Marie Wise <AWise@da.lacounty.gov>; Lee Orquiola <LOrquiola@da.lacounty.gov>; Kevin.s@lacounty.gov; Kevin Swartz <KSwartz@da.lacounty.gov>; akozma@da.lacounty.gov; Amanda Adams <aadams@da.lacounty.gov>; Timothy Richardson <TRichardson@da.lacounty.gov>; timothy@lacounty.gov; sradmore@dal.lacounty.gov; wrosenthal@da.lacounty.gov; wkarfiol@lacounty.gov; cnajera@lacourt.org; mwindham@lacourt.org; Daniel Ilacqua <DIlacqua@da.lacounty.gov>; jonathan@lacounty.gov; jarmour@dalacounty.gov; felicia@lacounty.gov; forman@da.laounty.gov; ryann.jorban@stfrancislaw.com; rgerber@dalcounty.gov; Julia Cohn <JCohn@da.lacounty.gov>; julia@lacounty.gov; Hugh Jackson <HJackson@da.lacounty.gov>; sunita.popal@pepperdine.edu; Sunita Popal <SPopal@da.lacounty.gov>; David Zygielbaum <DZygielbaum@da.lacounty.gov>; Michelle Lewis <MLewis@da.lacounty.gov>; philglaviano@hotmail.com; pglaviano@da.lacounty.gov; thomaskrag@proton.me; tkrag@da.lacounty.gov; Kenneth Lynch <klynch@da.lacounty.gov>; Stefan Mrakich <smrakich@da.lacounty.gov>; cgaines@da.lacounty.gov; Michael Enomoto <MEnomoto@da.lacounty.gov>; Charles Chaiyarachta <CChaiyar@da.lacounty.gov>; rdooley@da.lacounty.gov; Geoffrey Lewin <GLewin@da.lacounty.gov>; ddelimon@gmail.com; Mario Haidar <mhaidar@da.lacounty.gov>; Tina Hooks <THooks@da.lacounty.gov>; Whelma Llanos <wllanos@da.lacounty.gov>; Sean Carney <SCarney@da.lacounty.gov>; Daniel Wright <DWright@da.lacounty.gov>; lholtz@da.lacounty.gov; Diana Martinez <dmartinez@da.lacounty.gov>; dwooden@da.lacounty.gov; Jose Gonzalez <jgonzalez@da.lacounty.gov>; Don Syn <dsyn@da.lacounty.gov>; rchang@da.lacounty.gov; Natasha Cooper <ncooper@da.lacounty.gov>; Alexander Karkanen <AKarkanen@da.lacounty.gov>; Kevin Halligan <KHalligan@da.lacounty.gov>; ralarcon@da.lacounty.gov; sstodel@da.lacounty.gov; David Barkhurst <DBarkhurst@da.lacounty.gov>; Teresa de Castro <tdecastro@da.lacounty.gov>; Duke Chau <dchau@da.lacounty.gov>; Valerie Little <VLittle@da.lacounty.gov>; nflood@da.lacounty.gov; Robert Rabbani <rrabbani@da.lacounty.gov>; tmokayef@da.clacounty.gov; rrose@da.lacounty.gov; Candice Henry <chenry@da.lacounty.gov>; Frank Santoro <FSantoro@da.lacounty.gov>; kstpierre@da.lacounty.gov; John Lewin <johnlwn@gmail.com>; brian.jones@sen.ca.gov; erikandannaapperson@hotmail.com; dbengstib@da.lacounty.gov; Ying.sun@cdcr.ca.gov; pghaderi@counsel.lacounty.gov; rsaldana@counsel.lacounty.gov; ndavis-tinkham@counsel.lacounty.gov; tink4d1@gmail.com; brind0313@gmail.com; darrelltinkham@yahoo.com; ltolani@counsel.lacounty.gov; lester.tolnai@yahoo.com; rclester.tolnai71@yahoo.com; pmarshall@counsel.lacounty.gov; Adrienne Byers <abyers@counsel.lacounty.gov>; rcartwright@counsel.lacounty.gov; rwong@counsel.lacounty.gov; tfaughnan@counsel.lacounty.gov; tomfaughnan66@gmail.com; Jennifer <JLehman@counsel.lacounty.gov>; kmiles@counsel.lacounty.gov; rbaeza@counsel.lacounty.gov; rchastang@counsel.lacounty.gov; lcampo@counsel.lacounty.gov; msimon@counsel.lacounty.gov; emiller@counsel.lacounty.gov; elizabeth_dumais@yahoo.com; kaigrant19@yahoo.com;

pmomon@yahoo.com; pbollinger@counsel.lacounty.gov; First District <firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>; secondistrict@bos.la.county.gov; holly4assembly@gmail.com; hmitchell@yahoo.com; hjmitchel@aol.com; Cindy <cchen@bos.lacounty.gov>; nadtinkham@gmail.com; holly@hollyjmitchell.com; rfleming-peters@counsel.lacounty.gov; Margaret Carter <mcarter@counsel.lacounty.gov>; njenkins@lacounty.gov; njenkins@counsel.lacounty.gov; jgonzalez@counsel.lacounty.gov; lowt@metro.net; Tlow77@gmail.com; ryanthurlow@yahoo.com; tlow@counsel.lacounty.gov; tina@jmoilco.com; tlow7@yahoo.com; econard@lacounty.gov; econard@counsel.lacounty.gov; andria.seo@disabilityrightsca.org; schan@counsel.lacounty.gov; eggerse@metro.net; eggers@counsel.lacounty.gov; mrolon@counsel.lacounty.gov; mirder@hotmail.com; doug@homealert.com; Alexandra Zuiderweg <AZuiderweg@counsel.lacounty.gov>; mruben@counsel.lacounty.gov; Caitlin Taylor <ctaylor@counsel.lacounty.gov>; Holly J. Mitchell <HollyJMitchell@bos.lacounty.gov>; Lindsey.P.Horvath@gmail.com; kmuir@counsel.lacounty.gov; CCRCMFLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; CDCRCCILitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; CDCRCTFLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; CDCRCMCLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; CDCRCORLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; CDCRCIMLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; FCORTEZ@cdcr.gov; Jeff.macomber@cdcr.ca.gov; Jennifer.barretto@cdcr.ca.gov; Tammy.foss@cdcr.ca.gov; Diana.toche@cdcr.ca.gov; albert.lundeen@cdcr.ca.gov; Jennifer.neil@cdcr.ca.gov; Sarah.larson@cdcr.ca.gov; Ron.broomfield@cdcr.ca.gov; Amy.casias@cdcr.ca.gov; Jason.johnson@cdcr.ca.gov; Chris.chambers@cdcr.ca.gov; Stacy.lopez@cdcr.ca.gov; Niki.dhillon@cdcr.ca.gov; Jennifer.benavidez@cdcr.ca.gov; heather.bowlds@cdcr.ca.gov; Jared.lozano@cdcr.ca.gov; Derrick.marion@cdcr.ca.gov; David.chriss@cdcr.ca.gov; Sarina.calderon@cdcr.ca.gov; elizabeth.hom@calbar.ca.gov; johnna.sack@calbar.ca.gov; rocio.avalos@calbar.ca.gov; kristina.ramos@calbar.ca.gov; collin.grant@calbar.ca.gov; louisa.ayrapetyan@calbar.ca.gov; jennifer.stalvey@calbar.ca.gov; ken.nourse@calbar.ca.gov; summer.shelton@calbar.ca.gov; kirsten.galler@calbar.ca.gov; doan.nguyen@calbar.ca.gov; audrey.ching@calbar.ca.gov; laurie.gondreau@calbar.ca.gov; yun.xiang@calbar.ca.gov; mark@fresnocriminallawyer.com; abrahim.bagheri@calbar.ca.gov; carol.kiyotoki@calbar.ca.gov; andrew.vasicek@calbar.ca.gov; mayte.diaz@calbar.ca.gov; duncan.carling@calbar.ca.gov; chelsey.smith@calbar.ca.gov; suzanne.grandt@calbar.ca.gov; gerri.smith@calbar.ca.gov; peter.klivans@calbar.ca.gov; cindy.chan@calbar.ca.gov; teresa.ruano@calbar.ca.gov; patrice.vallier-glass@calbar.ca.gov; naji.khatib@calbar.ca.gov; awndrea.yeboah@calbar.ca.gov; christina.doell@calbar.ca.gov; enrique.zuniga@calbar.ca.gov; kimberly.anderson@calbar.ca.gov; brandon.stallings@calbar.ca.gov; Jose.cisneros@gmail.com; Jose.cisneros@sf.gov; pbarahona@yli.org; Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>; jmerchan@wdacs.lacounty.gov; info@wdacs.lacounty.gov; Arogant Hollywood <arogantmusicinc@gmail.com>; Alison Fairchild <fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com>; Arogant Hollywood <causeofaction43@gmail.com>; blacklawgenius@gmail.com; Alison Fairchild <alisonhfairchild@gmail.com>; Robert Luna <rluna@lasd.org>; rluna@lacounty.gov; atardy@lacounty.org; jtorres@lasd.org; saloma@lacounty.org; llecrivain@lasd.org; bchase@lacounty.gov; rlewis@lacounty.gov; llecrivain@lacounty.org; jmeza@lasd.org; ned.harlan@doj.ca.gov; nishdeep.singh@doj.ca.gov; robert.bonta@doj.ca.gov; OPSChiefsOffice@doj.ca.gov; peter.halloran@doj.ca.gov; amyhhalloran@yahoo.com; peterhalloran@sbcglobal.net; alisha.carlile@doj.ca.gov; snpdragn@aol.com; acarlile@alum.wellesley.edu; concertina9@yahoo.com;

alishastephen@yahoo.com.au; alehr17@u.washington.edu; girlygirl8384@aol.com; akeened@yahoo.com; lish34@hotmail.com; piccbodin@hotmail.com; lachapell_a@yahoo.com; alishaoku@yahoo.com; alisha.mai@gmail.com; alisha.marks@rogers.com; vincent.bonotto@doj.ca.gov; vbonotto@hotmail.com; glenn.pruden@doj.ca.gov; eyeless77@hotmail.com; daniel.olivas@doj.ca.gov; giam.nguyen@doj.ca.gov; chad.stegeman@doj.ca.gov; stephen.lew@doj.ca.gov; christopher.findley@doj.ca.gov; dennis.beck@doj.ca.gov; darrell.spence@doj.ca.gov; maggy.krell@doj.ca.gov; Elaine.tong@doj.ca.gov; hannah.calacsan@doj.ca.gov; mo.park@doj.ca.gov; dbuthler@lasuperiorcourt.org; dslayton@lasuperiorcourt.org; sclover@lasuperiorcourt.org; jmoses@lasuperiorcourt.org; ppenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org; tpenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org; chase.penrose@gmail.com; mackenzienicole@gmail.com; trishpenrose@att.net; amber.barnett@jud.ca.go; john.yee@jud.ca.gov; jenniejasperson@gmail.com; kelton.jasperson@gmail.com; cjasperson2@mindspring.com; judy.jasperson@att.net; randie.chance@doj.ca.gov; gavin.newsom@gov.ca.gov; gkennedy@alhambrapd.org; EWakabayashi@da.lacounty.gov; bdodd@da.lacounty.gov; hforman@da.lacounty.gov; Tamar Tokat <ttokat@da.lacounty.gov>; tmokayf@da.lacounty.gov; Sara Radmore <SRadmore@da.lacounty.gov>; andrade@earthlink.net; Ryann Gerber Jorban <RGerber@da.lacounty.gov>; Victor Rodriguez <VRodriguez@da.lacounty.gov>; John Lewin <JLewin@da.lacounty.gov>; atardy@lasd.org; dtran@alhambrapd.org; secondistrict@bos.lacounty.gov; Dean Bengston <DBengston@da.lacounty.gov>; jragusa@alhambrafire.org; rembrahim@da.lacounty.gov; rishnidanos516@gmail.com; prentice.hill@cdcr.ca.gov; jargusa@alhambrafire.org; jbinnquist@cityofalhambra.org; Willow Karfiol <WKarfiol@da.lacounty.gov>; Shelley Dominguez <sdominguez@da.lacounty.gov>; akarkanen@da.lacounty; tseki@alhambrapd.org; Area1@alhambrapd.org; attorney@neilopdahl.com

Subject: [External]AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, et al v. lindsey patrice horvath [ANOTHER LEGAL WARNING TO HORVATH, SHERIFF LUNA AND ALL EMAILED COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES EMPLOYEES!

IMG_7430.MOV

IMG_7433.jpg

IMG_7434.jpg

IMG_7441.jpg

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, et al v. lindsey patrice horvath [ANOTHER LEGAL WARNING TO HORVATH, SHERIFF LUNA AND ALL EMAILED COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES EMPLOYEES!

YOU WILL BE SUED FOR ALLOWING BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG TO VIOLATE AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S [hereinafter "KING AROGANT"] constitutional civil rights. At numerous board of supervisors meetings KING AROGANT warned you to investigate Brian MARK Rosenberg. Instead of

investigating and firing that RACIST and CORRUPT criminal prosecutor you all ratified and condoned to him having me falsely arrested on April 17, 2025 and wrongfully incarcerated until May 1, 2025! YOU WILL BE SUED:

YOU ARE ALL HEREBY WARNED AGAIN THAT IF THE FOLLOWING OCCUR AFTER KING AROGANT IS REMANDED ON MAY 12, 2025, YOU WILL FACE STIFF LEGAL CONSEQUENCES:

1. YOU RECKLESSLY DO NOT PROVIDE KING AROGANT WITH HIS SPECIAL DIET.

2. YOU RECKLESSLY PREVENT KING AROGANT FROM RECEIVING MAIL.

3. YOU RECKLESSLY PREVENT KING AROGANT FROM USING THE TELEPHONE AT LEAST ONE PER DAY!

4. YOU RECKLESSLY PREVENT KING AROGANT FROM MAKING LEGAL CALLS.

5. YOU RECKLESSLY PREVENT KING AROGANT FROM RECEIVING COMMISSARY/CANTEEN THROUGH KEEFE COMMISSIONARY.

6. YOU RECKLESSLY KEEP KING AROGANT HOUSED IN A SUICIDAL UNIT LONGER THAN 24 HOURS.

AND ANY OTHER NOT LISTED HEREIN CIVIL RIGHTS VIOLATIONS!

SEE BELOW YOUTUBE VIDEO PROVING BY CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE THAT HORVATH AND COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES VIOLATED KING AROGANT'S CIVIL RIGHTS BY WILLFULLY, RECKLESSLY, MALICIOUSLY, KNOWINGLY, CARELESSLY, DELIBERATELY, AND CALLOUSLY REFUSING TO FAILING TO, AND RETAILATING BY NOT PROVING KING AROGANT WITH HIS SPECIAL DIET!

https://youtu.be/oXqGF-TQ9Cw?si=9QAjLu-7Ve60aJQ0

5:07 SOS

google.com

Lindsey Horvath

Member of the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



Age

42 years


Jun 30, 1982


Party

Democratic

Overview

Lindsey Patrice Horvath is an American poli





MAKE SURE WATCH THIS VIDEO RECORDING TOO RACIST WHITE DEVIL LINDSEY PATRICE HORVATH:

PROOF THAT NATHAN JOSEPH HOCHMAN AND NUMEROUS OTHER DDAS WERE IN COLLUSION WITH MARK ROSENBERG


https://youtu.be/SdPpaoe9QTo?si=ufqeec7E1ed_e4YH

THE KING IS BACK ON HIS THRONE.

YOU FOOLS COULD NOT WIN THIS CASE EVEN IF I GO TO JAIL ON MAY 12, 2025!

Arogant Hollywood

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq.
1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD.
PASADENA, CA 91106
Mobile: 206.471.1344
arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted
Spirit of Esquire
BLACK TRADE KING
FUTURE KING OF ENTERTAINMENT
BLACK DERIVATIVES KING



King
Arogant

CAUTION: This email originated outside of the County (lacounty.gov domain). Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and expect the message.

Exhibit 11

County of Los Angeles vs. Hollywood, A.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

Criminal Division

Clara Shortridge Foltz Criminal Justice Center Dept. - 45

25CJCM02162-01

May 12, 2025

The People of the State of California
vs.
Hollywood, Arogant

8:30 AM

Honorable Mark E Windham, Judge
N. Mendoza, Judicial Assistant

Proceedings Electronically Recorded,
Court Reporter

PC602(o), PC166(a)(4), PC166(a)(4), PC166(a)(4), PC653m(b)

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: Bench Warrant Hearing

The following parties are present for the aforementioned proceeding:

Arogant Hollywood, Defendant
Willow Leah Rosenthal, Deputy District Attorney

The matter is called for Bench Warrant Hearing.

Bench Warrant issued for Arogant Hollywood is recalled by order of the Court.

Court finds Defendant in violation of own recognizance conditions.

Defendant's Pro Per Status is revoked.

The Court appoints the Public Defender. Today is day 0 of 30.

On the Court's own motion, and over the objection of defense counsel, the Court declares a doubt as to the Defendant's mental competence pursuant to Penal Code section 1368. Criminal proceedings are suspended. Counsel for Defendant does not concur with the Court's decision.

A county approved psychiatrist is appointed pursuant to Evidence Code section 730 to examine the Defendant and prepare a report on the Defendant's current mental status within the meaning of Penal Code section 1368.

The Court orders the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department to allow the appointed doctor(s) to have access to a laptop computer during the interview.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

Criminal Division

Clara Shortridge Foltz Criminal Justice Center Dept. - 45

25CJCM02162-01

May 12, 2025

The People of the State of California
vs.
Hollywood, Arogant

8:30 AM

A packet of documents including a copy of the minute order declaring a doubt, a copy of the accusatory pleading (complaint, information, or citation), a copy of the arrest report, a copy of the bond (if the defendant is out of custody on bond), and a copy of Los Angeles County Pretrial Release Program form (LASC CRIM 302) (if the defendant is out of custody on Supervised Released Program) is ordered transferred to the Mental Health Division via the case management system or the Mental Health resource account within 24 hours of this order. A copy of the arrest report must be sent separately to the Mental Health Division via the Mental Health resource account.

On Court's motion, 1368 PC Competency Hearing is set for **Tuesday, May 27, 2025,** at **8:30 AM** in **Hollywood Mental Health PC1368**.

The Defendant is ordered to return on the above date.

Court sets bail in the amount of $150,000.00.
Defendant Remanded to Custody.

Remand order issued.

Surety Bond for Arogant Hollywood, Defendant: SV505465252, Bond Forfeited Vacated/Set Aside Reinstated/Exonerated

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

Criminal Division

Clara Shortridge Foltz Criminal Justice Center Dept. - 45

25CJCM02162-01

May 12, 2025

The People of the State of California
vs.
Hollywood, Arogant

8:30 AM

A packet of documents including a copy of the minute order declaring a doubt, a copy of the accusatory pleading (complaint, information, or citation), a copy of the arrest report, a copy of the bond (if the defendant is out of custody on bond), and a copy of Los Angeles County Pretrial Release Program form (LASC CRIM 302) (if the defendant is out of custody on Supervised Released Program) is ordered transferred to the Mental Health Division via the case management system or the Mental Health resource account within 24 hours of this order. A copy of the arrest report must be sent separately to the Mental Health Division via the Mental Health resource account.

On Court's motion, 1368 PC Competency Hearing is set for **Tuesday, May 27, 2025,** at **8:30 AM** in **Hollywood Mental Health PC1368**.

The Defendant is ordered to return on the above date.

Court sets bail in the amount of $150,000.00.
Defendant Remanded to Custody.

Remand order issued.

Surety Bond for Arogant Hollywood, Defendant: SV505465252, Bond Forfeited Vacated/Set Aside Reinstated/Exonerated

I certify that this is a true and correct copy of the original on file in or issued from this office, consisting of 173 pages.

DAVID W. SLAYTON, Executive Officer / Clerk of the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles.

SEP 03 2025

Date: _____ By: Campos Issiah, Deputy

I. Campos

RESPONDENT'S COPY

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq.
1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD.
PASADENA, CA 91106
Mobile: 206.471.1344
arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted
Spirit of Esquire

FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles

AUG 19 2025

David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court
By: D. Oliva, Deputy

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
CENTRAL DISTRICT, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
STANLEY SUPERIOR COURTHOUSE

brian mark rosenberg (SBN # 291501)
nathan joseph hochman (SBN # 139137)
alexander michael karkanen (SBN # 162377)
cynthia michiko nakao (SBN # 137510)
county of los angeles,

Petitioner(s),

v

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD,
WRONGFULLY PETITIONED

Defendant,

Case No.: 25STRO03574

LASC JUDGE: Biased & Incompetent Latrice Byrdsong
Ctrm: Dept. 25, Room 317
111 N. Hill Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

WRONGFULLY PETITIONED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S NOTICE OF RESPONSE TO FRIVOLOUS COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES WORKPLACE VIOLENCE PETITION, RESPONSE TO FRIVOLOUS WORKPLACE VIOLENCE PETITION, MEMORANDUM OF POINTS & AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT THEREOF

DATE: August 19, 2025

NOTICE OF RESPONSE

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq.
1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD.
PASADENA, CA 91106
Mobile: 206.471.1344
aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted
Spirit of Esquire

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
CENTRAL DISTRICT, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
STANLEY SUPERIOR COURTHOUSE

---

brian mark rosenberg (SBN # 291501)
nathan joseph hochman (SBN # 139137)
alexander michael karkanen (SBN # 162377)
cynthia michiko nakao (SBN # 137510)
county of los angeles,

Petitioner(s),

v

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD,

WRONGFULLY PETITIONED

Defendant,

Case No.: 25STRO03574

LASC JUDGE: Biased & Incompetent Latrice Byrdsong
Ctrm: Dept. 25, Room 317
111 N. Hill Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

WRONGFULLY PETITIONED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S NOTICE OF RESPONSE TO FRIVOLOUS COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES WORKPLACE VIOLENCE PETITION, RESPONSE TO FRIVOLOUS WORKPLACE VIOLENCE PETITION, MEMORANDUM OF POINTS & AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT THEREOF

DATE: August 19, 2025

TO: LYING AND RACIST COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG (SBN # 291501)

TO: RACIST AND BIASED WHITE DEVIL COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY NATHAN JOSEPH HOCHMAN (SBN # 139137)

TO RACIST AND BIASED COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY DDA 5, ALEXANDER MICHAEL KARKANEN (SBN # 162377)

TO RACIST AND BIASED COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY DDA 5, CYNTHIA MICHIKO NAKAO (SBN # 137510)

TO RACIST, BIASED, UNETHICAL, CORRUPT, AND EVIL COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES EMPLOYED CIVIL ATTORNEY VANESSA ANN EVANGELISTA (SBN # 238474)

TO RACIST, BIASED, CORRUPT, AND EVIL WHITE DEVIL COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, MADAM CHAIR/MAYOR OF COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES KATHRYN BARGER

TO INCOMPETENT, BIASED, AND PROCEDURALLY UNFAIR AND SOON TO WRIT SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES JUDICIAL OFFICER LATRICE BYRDSONG.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Please **TAKE NOTICE** that on August 19, 2025, at 8:30 AM, in Department 25 of the Superior Court of California, Stanley Mosk Superior Courthouse, located at 111 N. Hill Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012.

INNOCENT CRIMINAL COURT DEFENDANT, AND CIVIL COURT RESPONDENT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD will and does move this Superior Court for an order dismissing RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg and County of Los Angeles's perjurious, frivolous, and unconstitutional workplace violence petition on the following grounds:

(1.) All allegations made by criminal LYING Brian MARK Rosenberg never occurred.

(2.) All allegations made by corrupt and LYING Cynthia Nakao never occurred.

(3.) All allegations made by County of Los Angeles, and LYING AND RACIST witnesses Cynthia Nakao, Brian MARK Rosenberg, and Thomas Guzman-Sanchez do not constitute grounds for harassment and unlawful violence within the meaning of California Civil Code of Procedure §§ 526 and 527.8 because they either never occurred or were not credible threats of violence.

(4.) All allegations made by RACIST and LYING County of Los Angeles, Superior Court of California expert witness Thomas Guzman-Sanchez never occurred.

(5.) FAKE petitioner County of Los Angeles cannot petition this Superior Court because during all allegations alleged by County of Los Angeles, and its LYING and RACIST witnesses Brian MARK Rosenberg, Cynthia Nakao, and Thomas Guzman-Sanchez AROGANT HOLLYWOOD was engaged in constitutionally protected activities and conduct.

(6.) AROGANT HOLLYWOOD's GoPro video recording evidence presented at the hearing on this response will prove by clear and convincing evidence that the County of Los Angeles's request for a workplace violence petition is and was unreasonable, unnecessary, and without merit.

(7.) AROGANT HOLLYWOOD's GoPro video recording evidence presented at the hearing on this motion will prove by clear and convincing evidence that AROGANT HOLLYWOOD was engaged in constitutionally protected conduct, and this frivolous petition must be dismissed pursuant to California Civil Code of Procedure § 425.16.

This notice of response will be based upon this response, on the memorandum of points and authorities filed herein on the Declaration of Arogant Hollywood, on any attached Exhibits, on the incorporated herein images and YouTube website links, and on any other such evidence that may be presented at the hearing on this motion.

DATE: August 3, 2025

BY: _____

Arogant Hollywood, ***Esq.***
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106
Mobile: (323) 200-4449
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com

Spirit of Esquire

PROOF OF SERVICE

I certify that on August 19, 2025, I served one copy of the foregoing.

WRONGFULLY PETITIONED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S NOTICE OF RESPONSE TO FRIVOLOUS WORKPLACE VIOLENCE PETITION & RESPONSE TO UNCONSTITUTIONAL AND PERJURIOUS PETITION; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS & AUTHORITIES, DECLARATION OF AROGANT HOLLYWOOD

,and any and all attachments, by electronic mail (.pdf format) and facsimile on the following:

Vanessa Ann Evangelista [SBN # 238474]

vevangelista@counsel.lacounty.gov

Nathan Joseph Hochman [SBN # 139137]

nhochman@da.lacounty.gov

Alexander Michael Karkanen [SBN # 162377]

akarkanen@da.lacounty.gov

Cynthia Michiko Nakao [SBN # 137510]

cnakao@da.lacounty.gov

County of Los Angeles Board of Supervisor Kathryn Barger

kathryn@bos.lacounty.gov

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: **aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com**
Phone: 206.471.1344

County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office Alhambra Field Office

626.281.4724

County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office

213.746.7556

Nathan Joseph Hochman [SBN # 182345], District Attorney

nathanhochman@da.lacounty.gov

nathan@da.lacounty.gov

nhochman@da.lacounty.gov

Steven Ian Katz [SBN # 145416], Chief Deputy District Attorney

skatz@da.lacounty.gov

James William Garrison [SBN # 157070], Line Operations, Assistant District Attorney

jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov

Ronald Millard Geltz [SBN # 263186], Former Line Operations Acting Assistant D.A.

rgeltz@da.lacounty.gov

James William Garrison [SBN # 157070], Former Ethics and Integrity Assistant DA
jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov

Fernando Sanchez [SBN # 312245], Special Assistant
fsanchez@da.lacounty.gov

Michael David Kapp [SBN # 2779277], Public Affairs Director
mkapp@da.lacounty.gov

Kathryn Bailey Albracht [SBN # 175822], Special Assistant
kalbrach@da.lacounty.gov

Arisa Mattson [SBN # 195578] Special Assistant
amattson@da.lacounty.gov

Tanishia Wright [non attorney], Former Director of Bureau of Victim Services
twright@da.lacounty.gov

Marc Cornelis Beaart [SBN # 173364], Director of Fraud and Corruption
mbeaart@da.lacounty.gov

Stephanie Pearl Mire [SBN # 158918], Former Prosecutions Support Operations Director
spmire@da.lacounty.gov

Laura Jane Kessner [SBN # 175337], Central Operations Director
ljkessner@da.lacounty.gov

Janis Eve Johnson [SBN # 175280], Head Deputy DA of Family Violence Division (74)
jjohnes@aol.com

Brittany Nicole Nickolas [RELATED TO EVE]
brittnick23@gmail.com
bnickolas@yahoo.com
zlzlbrittnick23@yahoo.com

Kathy Uyen Ta [SBN # 243716], Assistant Head Deputy DA of Family Violence Division
kta@da.lacounty.gov

Pak B. Kouch, [SBN # 204373], Assistant Head Deputy DA of Family Violence Division
pkouch@da.lacounty.gov

Mary Anne Murray [SBN # 185518], Assistant Head Deputy DA of Alhambra Field Office
mmurray@da.lacounty.gov

Victor Manuel Rodriguez [SBN # 156150], Former Head Deputy DA of Alhambra Field Office

vrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov

Cindy Juhyun Park [SBN # 271933], Deputy DA of Alhambra Field Office

cpark@da.lacounty.gov

Cynthia Nakao, Current Head Deputy in Charge of Alhambra District Attorney Field Office Deputy in Charge

cnakao@da.lacounty.gov

Robert Luna, SHERIFF OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT

rluna@lasd.org

Rogue Peace Officer Leo Ka Fong Lo [In possession of phony GOPRO video recordings]

lklo@lasd.org

Rogue Peace Officer Billy Khounthavong [Responsible for sending KING AROGANT's GOPRO camera to Southern California High Tech Task Force and Testing Falsely that Phony GOPRO video recordings GX010586 and GX010587 were downloaded from KING AROGANT's original GOPRO.

bskhount@lasd.org

Robert Bonta, California Attorney General

rbonta@doj.ca.gov

Nicole Amber Davis-Tinkham, Chief Deputy County Counsel of County of Los Angeles

rdavis-tinkham@counsel.lacounty.gov

nikki.davis1212@gmail.com

Judy Welch-Whitehurst, Chief Deputy County Counsel of County of Los Angeles

jwhitehurst@counsel.lacounty.gov

County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office Headquarters

info@da.lacounty.gov

ROGUE AND RACIST PEACE OFFICER BENJAMIN YANG
byang@alhambrapd.org

and facsimile on the following:

626.281.4724 (COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE ALHAMBRA LOCATION

213.633.1956 (COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE HEADQUARTERS FAX NUMBER)

213.633.0960 (COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE FAMILY VIOLENCE DIVISION FAX NUMBER)

916.323.5341 (CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, OFFICE OF ROBERT BONTA, ATTORNEY GENERAL'S FACSIMILE NUMBER)

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws and Constitution of California, and under the laws, federal statutes, and Constitution of the United States of America that the foregoing declaration is true and correct.

Executed on August 19, 2025, in California, San Bernardino County, city of Loma Linda, California

DATE: August 19, 2025

BY: _____

Arogant Hollywood, ***Esq.***
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106
Mobile: (323) 200-4449
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com

Spirit of Esquire

TABLE OF CONTENTS **Page(s)**

I. INTRODUCTION……..1

II. PROCEDURAL HISTORY……..……..……2

III. STATEMENT OF FACTS……...2

IV. THE APPLICATION OF LEGAL STANDARDS, ARGUMENT & AUTHORITY……389

V. LEGAL ARGUMENT……...391

A. All Allegations Made By County of Los Angeles Never Occurred……391

B. All Allegations Made by County of Los Angeles Do Not Constitute Grounds for Harassment and Unlawful Violence Within the Meaning of California Civil Code of Procedure §§ 527.6 and 527.8……..….392

C. County's Frivolous and Perjurious Workplace Violence Petition Must Be Dismissed and Their Requested Relief Denied on The Grounds That KING AROGANT's Constitutionally Protected Activities and Conduct Between November 1, 2023 and August 1, 2025, Cannot Be Included Within The Meaning of "Course of Conduct"…….……395

VI. CONCLUSION…….……396

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases **Page(s)**

United States Supreme Court Cases

R.A.V. v. City of St. Paul, 505 U.S. 377, 112 S. Ct. 2538, 120 L.Ed.2d 305 (1992)…….393

Virginia v. Black, 536 U.S. 343, 123 S. Ct. 2536, 155 L.Ed.2d (2003)..336, 337, 338, 342, 345 ,……393

Watts v. United States, 454 U.S. 875, 102 S. Ct. 351, 70 L.Ed.2d 182 (1981)……..393

California State Appellate Cases

Byers v. Cathcart, 57 Cal.App.4th 805, 811-812 [67 Cal. Rptr. 2d 398] (1997)……..391

Hansen v. Volkov, 96 Cal.App.5th 94 [314 Cal.Rptr.3d 1 (2023)…….391

Harris v. Stampolis, 248 Cal.App.4th 484, 495-496 [204 Cal. Rptr. 3d 1] (2016)……...389

Huntington Life Sciences, Inc. v. Stop Huntington Animal Cruelty USA, Inc., 129 Cal.App. 4th 1228, 1257-1258, 29 Cal. Rptr. 3d 521 (2005)……389

Leydon v. Alexander, 212 Cal.App.3d 1, 4 [260 Cal. Rptr. 253] (1989)……..……390, 391

People v. Felix, (2001), 92 Cal.App..4th 905, 112 Cal.Rptr.2d 311…...343, 344, 345

Schild v. Rubin, 232 Cal.App.3d 755, 762-763 [283 Cal. Rptr. 533] (1991)……389, 390

Smith v. Silvey, 149 Cal.App.3d 406-407 [197 Cal. Rptr. 15] (1983)…….……..391

Volpicelli v. Jared Sydney Torrance Memorial Hosp., 109 Cal.App.3d 242, 251, 167 Cal. Rptr. 610 (1980)…….390

California State Statutes

California Civil Code of Procedure § 527.6……..……334-347 & 389-392

California Civil Code of Procedure § 527.8……392, 394

California Penal Code § 646.9……..…334, 335, 336, 337, 338, 342, 343, 347, 390

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES FOR RESPONSE

I. INTRODUCTION

LYING and racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg, Cynthia Nakao, Thomas Guzman-Sanchez, County of Los Angeles and their racist animus and racicially bias attorneys employed by County of Los Angeles Office of County Counsel filed a frivolous and perjurious workplace violence restraining order falsely alleging that Brian Mark Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501) (hereinafter "LYING MARK ROSENBERG"), and Thomas Guzman-Sanchez [hereinafter "RACIST SANCHEZ")** were both victims of AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S **(hereinafter "KING AROGANT") credible threats of violence upon them. Criminal LYING MARK ROSENBERG** on April 17, 2025 filed a false and fabricated police report alleging that KING AROGANT has harassed him and violated a frivolous civil harassment petition that should have never been granted in the first place by sending him emails on or about October 16, 2023, November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, June 8, 2024 at 8:03 AM and June 8, 2024 at 8:34 AM. LYING MARK ROSENBERG and his co-conspirator Benjamin Yang [hereinafter "RACIST YANG"] willfully, knowingly, recklessly, wantonly, deliberately, carelessly, and callously violated 18 U.S.C. § 242, California Penal Code § 148.5, subdivision (a), and California Penal Code § 118.1 by these future federal district court defendants and criminals collectively lying that KING AROGANT sent emails to LYING MARK ROSENBERG, and by lying that the alleged sent emails were attached to rogue peace officer RACIST YANG'S police report. LYING MARK ROSENBERG'S history with KING AROGANT not only proves that he is a racist who has an extreme amount of racial animus towards KING AROGANT, but also that he constantly willfully,

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

knowingly, recklessly, wantonly, deliberately, carelessly, and callously violates his oath of office, the United States Constitution, and criminal federal and California state laws.

There are more cliffhangers, story twists, and plots of **RACIST cases GA114055, 25CJCM02162-01, and 25STRO03574 than five Hollywood movie thrillers combined! STAY TUNED!**

II. PROCEDURAL HISTORY

On or about June 5, 2025, the County of Los Angeles filed a frivolous workplace violence petition with the sole goal of preventing KING AROGANT from personally serving exculpatory evidence upon its Alhambra DA field office that proved by clear and convincing evidence that no crime occurred on February 21, 2023.

On or about June 9, 2025, the County of Los Angeles filed a frivolous amended workplace violence petition against KING AROGANT.

On June 30, 2025, presiding Superior Court of California, incompetent and biased judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong conducted a hearing in Department 25, in which the proceedings were continued until July 25, 2025.

III. STATEMENT OF FACTS IN SUPPORT OF DENIAL OF PETITION

On December 2, 2002, the California State Assembly introduced bill AB-49, an act to amend Section 13848.6 of the Penal Code, relating to crime whose LEGISLATIVE

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

COUNSEL'S DIGEST provided:

AB 49, as introduced, Simitian. Crimes.

Existing law establishes the High Technology Theft Apprehension and Prosecution Program Trust Fund, and specifies the purposes for which the moneys in the fund may be used. Funding is contingent upon appropriation by the Legislature, as provided. Existing law establishes the High Technology Crime Advisory Committee, composed of members representing various governmental agencies and professional organizations, appointed by the Executive Director of the Office of Criminal Justice Planning to create a written strategy for addressing high technology crime and advising on the appropriate disbursement of funds from the High Technology Theft Apprehension and Prosecution Program Trust Fund to regional task forces.

This bill would, expand the goals for law enforcement attention as identified by the committee to include the apprehension and prosecution of individuals and groups engaged in unlawful access, disruption, or destruction of public utilities or other state infrastructure. This bill would also create the California Cyber Crimes Task Force which would be comprised of each regional task force funded by the High Technology Theft Apprehension and Prosecution Program. In addition, this bill would provide that the committee would annually review the effectiveness of the California Cyber Crimes Task Force.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes. State-mandated local program: no.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA DO ENACT AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. Section 13848.6 of the Penal Code is amended to read:

13848.6. (a) The High Technology Crime Advisory Committee is hereby established for the purpose of formulating a comprehensive written strategy for addressing high technology crime throughout the state and to advise the Office of Criminal Justice Planning on the appropriate disbursement of funds to regional task forces.

(b) This strategy shall be designed to be implemented through regional task forces. In formulating that strategy, the committee shall identify various priorities for law enforcement attention, including the following goals:

(1) To apprehend and prosecute criminal organizations, networks, and groups of individuals engaged in the following activities:

(A) Theft of computer components and other high technology products.

(B) Violations of Penal Code Sections 211, 350, 351a, 459, 496, 537e, 593d, and 593e.

(C) Theft of telecommunications services and other violations of Penal Code Sections 502.7 and 502.8.

(D) Counterfeiting of negotiable instruments and other valuable items through the use of computer technology.

(E) Creation and distribution of counterfeit software and other digital information, including the use of counterfeit trademarks to misrepresent the origin of that software or digital information.

(2) To apprehend and prosecute individuals and groups engaged in the unlawful access, destruction, or unauthorized entry into and use of private, corporate, or government computers and networks, including wireless and wireline communications networks and law enforcement dispatch systems, and the theft, interception, manipulation, destruction, and unauthorized disclosure of data stored within those computers.

(3) To apprehend and prosecute individuals and groups engaged in the theft of trade secrets.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: **arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com**
Phone: 206.471.1344

(4) To investigate and prosecute high technology crime cases requiring coordination and cooperation between regional task forces and local, state, federal, and international law enforcement agencies.

(5) To apprehend and prosecute individuals and groups engaged in the unlawful access, disruption, or destruction of public utilities or other state infrastructure.

(c) The Executive Director of the Office of Criminal Justice Planning shall appoint the following members to the committee:

(1) A designee of the California District Attorneys Association.
(2) A designee of the California State Sheriffs Association.
(3) A designee of the California Police Chiefs Association.
(4) A designee of the Attorney General.
(5) A designee of the California Highway Patrol.
(6) A designee of the High-Tech Criminal Investigators Association.
(7) A designee of the Office of Criminal Justice Planning.
(8) A designee of the American Electronic Association to represent California computer system manufacturers.
(9) A designee of the American Electronic Association to represent California computer software producers.
(10) A designee of the California Cellular Carriers Association.
(11) A representative of the California Internet industry.
(12) A designee of the Semiconductor Equipment and Materials International.

(13) A designee of the California Cable Television Association.
(14) A designee of the Motion Picture Association of America.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

(15) A designee of either the California Telephone Association or the California Association of Long Distance Companies. This position shall rotate every other year between designees of the two associations.

(16) ~~A designee of the Science and Technology Agency, if Senate Bill 1136 is enacted, and, as enacted, creates the Science and Technology Agency, otherwise, a designee of the Department of Information Technology.~~

~~(17)~~ A representative of the California banking industry.

(d) The Executive Director of the Office of Criminal Justice Planning shall designate the Chair of the High Technology Crime Advisory Committee from the appointed members.

(e) The advisory committee shall not be required to meet more than 12 times per year. The advisory committee may create subcommittees of its own membership, and each subcommittee shall meet as often as the subcommittee members find necessary. It is the intent of the Legislature that all advisory committee members shall actively participate in all advisory committee deliberations required by this chapter.

Any member who, without advance notice to the executive director and without designating an alternative representative, misses three scheduled meetings in any calendar year for any reason other than severe temporary illness or injury (as determined

by the Executive Director of the Office of Criminal Justice Planning) shall automatically be removed from the advisory committee. If a member wishes to send an alternative representative in his or her place, advance written notification of this substitution shall be presented to the executive director. This notification shall be required for each meeting the appointed member elects not to attend.

Members of the advisory committee shall receive no compensation for their services, but shall be reimbursed for travel and per diem expenses incurred as a result of attending meetings sponsored by the Office of Criminal Justice Planning under this chapter.

(f) The executive director, in consultation with the High Technology Crime Advisory Committee, shall develop specific guidelines and administrative procedures for the selection of projects to be funded by the High Technology Theft Apprehension and Prosecution Program, which guidelines shall include the following selection criteria:

(1) Each regional task force that seeks funds shall submit a written application to the committee setting forth in detail the proposed use of the funds.

(2) In order to qualify for the receipt of funds, each proposed regional task force submitting an application shall provide written evidence that the agency meets either of the following conditions:

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

(A) The regional task force devoted to the investigation and prosecution of high technology-related crimes is comprised of local law enforcement and prosecutors, and has been in existence for at least one year prior to the application date.

(B) At least one member of the task force has at least three years of experience in investigating or prosecuting cases of suspected high technology crime.

(3) *Each regional task force shall be identified by a name that is appropriate to the area that it serves.* In order to qualify for funds, a regional task force shall be comprised of local law enforcement and prosecutors from at least two counties. At the time of funding, the proposed task force shall also have at least one investigator assigned to it from a state law enforcement agency.
Each task force shall be directed by a local steering committee composed of representatives of participating agencies and members of the local high technology industry.

(4) The California Cyber Crimes Task Force shall be comprised of each regional task force developed pursuant to this subdivision.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

(5) Additional criteria that shall be considered by the advisory committee in awarding grant funds shall include, but not be limited to, the following:

(A) The number of high technology crime cases filed in the prior year.

(B) The number of high technology crime cases investigated in the prior year.

(C) The number of victims involved in the cases filed.

(D) The total aggregate monetary loss suffered by the victims, including individuals, associations, institutions, or corporations, as a result of the high technology crime cases filed, and those under active investigation by that task force.

~~(5)~~

(6) Each regional task force that has been awarded funds authorized under the High Technology Theft Apprehension and Prosecution Program during the previous grant-funding cycle, upon reapplication for funds to the committee in each successive year, shall be required to submit a detailed accounting of funds received and expended in the prior year in addition to any information

required by this section. The accounting shall include all of the following information:

(A) The amount of funds received and expended.

(B) The use to which those funds were put, including payment of salaries and expenses, purchase of equipment and supplies, and other expenditures by type.

(C) The number of filed complaints, investigations, arrests, and convictions that resulted from the expenditure of the funds.

(g) The committee shall annually review the effectiveness of the ~~regional task forces created~~ *the California Cyber Crimes Task Force* in deterring, investigating, and prosecuting high technology crimes and provide its findings in a report to the Legislature and the Governor. This report shall be based on information provided by the regional task forces in an annual report to the committee which shall detail the following:

(1) Facts based upon, but not limited to, the following:

(A) The number of high technology crime cases filed in the prior year.

(B) The number of high technology crime cases investigated in the prior year.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

(C) The number of victims involved in the cases filed.

(D) The number of convictions obtained in the prior year.

(E) The total aggregate monetary loss suffered by the victims, including individuals, associations, institutions, corporations, and other relevant public entities, according to the number of cases filed, investigations, prosecutions, and convictions obtained.

(2) An accounting of funds received and expended in the prior year, which shall include all of the following:

(A) The amount of funds received and expended.

(B) The uses to which those funds were put, including payment of salaries and expenses, purchase of supplies, and other expenditures of funds.

(C) Any other relevant information requested.

See AB-49 (2002) below.

http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/pub/03-04/bill/asm/ab_0001-0050/ab_49_bill_20021202_introduced.html


See AB-49 2002 California Senate Bill attached to **Verified Appendix of Exhibits, Exhibit 1.**

On August 19, 2011, the County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department Headquarters responded to Civil Grand Jury Report recommendations by stating the following:

RESPONSE: The Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department agrees with this recommendation. Currently, LASD operates a regional high tech task force (Southern California High Tech Task Force-SCHTTF) that investigates computer related crimes and also provides forensic examinations of technical equipment and software related to cyber crimes. SCHTTF is currently funded by a state grant through this current fiscal year.

See now https://file.lacounty.gov/SDSInter/bos/bc/164642_CivilGrandJuryFinalReportResponse2010-11.pdf

See **Verified Appendix of Exhibits**. See also the first page of the County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department Headquarters Response to the Final Report of the 2010-11 Los Angeles County Civil Grand Jury, signed by former County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department peace officer Sheriff Leroy D. Baca attached below.


eCopy, Inc.
file.lacounty.gov/SDSInter/bos/bc/164642_CivilGrandJuryFinalReportResponse2010-11.pdf
eCopy, Inc.
1 / 12
67%
County of Los Angeles
Sheriff's Department Headquarters
4700 Ramona Boulevard
Monterey Park, California 91754-2169
August 19, 2011
The Honorable Board of Supervisors
County of Los Angeles
383 Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration
Los Angeles, California 90012
Dear Members of the Civil Grand Jury:
RESPONSE TO THE FINAL REPORT OF THE 2010-11
LOS ANGELES COUNTY CIVIL GRAND JURY
Attached is the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department's (Department) response to the 2010-11 Civil Grand Jury Report recommendations (Attachment A). The Civil Grand Jury's areas of interest specific to the Department included our participation in the E-Subpoena, High Tech Forensics and Cyber Security Crime Fighting in the Digital Age, Education Based Incarceration, The Six Pods of Module 172, and Jails Committee. Should you have questions regarding our response, please contact Division Director Victor Rampulla at (323) 526-5357.
Sincerely,
LEROY D. BACA
SHERIFF
11:09 AM
6/13/2024

PART A: PHONY SEARCH WARRANT, FORGED PROBABLE CAUSE: A HISTORY OF A CORRUPT AND SHODDY CRIMINAL CASE AND CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION

On February 21, 2023, AROGANT HOLLYWOOD **KING AROGANT** was falsely arrested by LASD Temple City Peace Officers for making criminal threats.

On February 21, 2023, shortly after arriving at Temple City adult detention center, KING AROGANT told rogue detective peace officer Billy Khounthavong and his superior sergeant Richard Lewis, that if they brought him his mobile phone and GoPro camera, he could present to them audio and video evidence that would prove he did not make any criminal threats.

On February 21, 2023, between 11 AM and 3:30 PM, KING AROGANT made numerous telephone calls to the County of Los Angeles Office of Inspector General by dialing (213) 974-6100, County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department's Risk Management Bureau by dialing (323) 890-5400, and the County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office-Alhambra Field Office by dialing (626) 308-5302. When calling all the above-stated County of Los Angeles public agencies, KING AROGANT complained and told numerous County of Los Angeles employees and peace officers that he was innocent. KING AROGANT complained and told numerous County of Angeles employees and peace officers that he was falsely accused and falsely arrested. KING AROGANT complained and told numerous County of Angeles employees and peace officers that he had audio recording evidence on his mobile phone that would prove that he did not make a criminal threat to Jennifer Hutton-Heger **(hereinafter "Hutton").**

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

KING AROGANT complained and told numerous County of Angeles employees and peace officers that he had video recording evidence on his GoPro camera that would prove that he never made a criminal threat to Hutton on February 21, 2023. The above-stated facts prove that the County of Los Angeles employees and peace officers had secretly planned to FRAME KING AROGANT for a violent and serious felony crime they knew he did not commit long before the commencement of AROGANT's rigged felony preliminary hearing that commenced on April 4, 2023. After the filing of this original constitutional civil rights complaint, KING AROGANT will request that the United States Eastern District Court civil clerk's office issue a subpoena duces tecum that would command Reston, Virginia Global Tel Link to turn over all audio recorded telephone conversations and calls that KING AROGANT made while he was falsely incarcerated at the County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department's Temple City police station on February 21, 2023.

On February 21, 2023, between 1 PM and 4 PM, KING AROGANT yelled out over and over again and even spoke to a male County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department peace officer lieutenant acting as the Temple City police station's watch commander. KING AROGANT requested that this peace officer lieutenant bring KING AROGANT his mobile phone and GoPro camera so he could provide law enforcement exculpatory evidence that would prove that he never threatened to harm Jennifer Hutton-Heger on February 21, 2023, physically, and could then be released after showing County of Los Angeles peace officers his exculpatory evidence. At approximately 4 PM, apparently tired and frustrated with hearing KING AROGANT beg to receive his exculpatory evidence on his mobile phone and GoPro camera. Rogue and RACIST County of Los Angeles peace officer, detective Sergeant Richard Lewis (acting peace officer

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

supervisor of Dirty cop Billy S. Khounthavong snapped at KING AROGANT and told him the following:

> ***"Your phone and GoPro camera have been seized as evidence to be used against you."***

On February 21, 2023, at approximately 6 PM, rogue and dishonest County of Los Angeles peace officer detective Billy S. Khounthavong **(hereinafter "Billy Khounthavong" or Lying Billy")** arrived at KING AROGANT's County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department **(hereinafter "LASD")** Temple City police station detention holding cell to speak with KING AROGANT. KING AROGANT then knowingly and intelligently waived his Miranda rights. When Lying Billy asked KING AROGANT about what had occurred, KING AROGANT told Lying Billy that the verbal argument and confrontation started over an UBER XL parking dispute outside of Hutton's house. KING AROGANT further told Lying Billy that he had exculpatory video recording and audio evidence on his mobile phone and GoPro camera that Jennifer Hutton Heger was lying and falsely accusing KING AROGANT of making a criminal threat to her on February 21, 2023. When KING AROGANT requested and asked Lying Billy if he could bring him his mobile phone and GoPro camera, Lying Billy willfully, knowingly, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, deliberately, carelessly, and callously lied to KING AROGANT by telling him that he could not retrieve KING AROGANT's mobile phone and GoPro camera. When KING AROGANT asked Lying Billy if he had seized his mobile phone and GoPro camera, Lying Billy willfully, knowingly, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, carelessly, deliberately, and callously lied to KING AROGANT by telling him that he had not seized KING AROGANT's mobile phone and GoPro camera. Towards the end of KING

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

AROGANT's police interview, conducted and orchestrated by Lying Billy. Lying Billy asked KING AROGANT if there were any knives around where he was standing in the kitchen, and AROGANT told Lying Billy that he did not remember seeing any knives inside of Jennifer Hutton Heger's kitchen. Lying Billy's questions to KING AROGANT, asking him if he had seen any knives in Hutton's kitchen, and asking KING AROGANT if he had any access to knives while he was standing in Jennifer Hutton Heger's kitchen on February 21, 2023, proves by clear and convincing evidence that LASD, Brian MARK Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**, George Gascón **(SBN # 182345)**, and the office of George Gascón willfully, knowingly, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, carelessly, and callously made-up and created their preliminary hearing case-in-chief that KING AROGANT was standing inside of Jennifer Hutton Heger's kitchen and then turned around facing her while KING AROGANT was brandishing a kitchen knife. This fact can be further proven true by the fabricated lies and statements of both Jennifer Hutton Heger's original video, recorded February 21, 2023, police interview, and the police statements documented by County of Los Angeles rogue peace officers Alex Contreras and Daniel Esqueda. In fact, Jennifer Hutton-Heger did not accuse KING AROGANT of brandishing a knife in her kitchen until she had her body camera recorded County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office police interview with racist deputy district attorney Brian MARK Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)** and his co-conspirator Billy S. Khounthavong on or about March 9, 2023. This proved yet again that the County of Los Angeles, George Gascón, Brian MARK Rosenberg, and their many co-conspirators willfully, knowingly, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, carelessly, deliberately, and callously conspired to violate KING AROGANT's constitutional civil rights by framing him prior to the commencement of KING AROGANT's preliminary hearing commencing on April 4, 2023.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

On February 21, 2023, between 6:30 PM and 9:30 PM, rogue peace officer Billy S. Khounthavong spent time illegally accessing, illegally copying, illegally downloading, and illegally reviewing GoPro video recordings stored on KING AROGANT's GoPro camera that were recorded on February 20, 2023, that were recorded prior to February 21, 2023, and that were created on February 21, 2023. After reviewing several video recordings from February 21, 2023, Lying Billy realized that KING AROGANT had the entire verbal confrontation with Jennifer Hutton-Heger recorded continuously. This is when rogue and charged in a criminal federal district court dirty cop Billy S. Khounthavong came up with the idea to author and create a bogus search warrant and then send KING AROGANT's continuous nearly fifteen-minute GoPro video recording to the California Department of Justice regulated and funded County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Office's Fraud and Cyber Crimes Bureau's Southern California High Tech Task Force so corrupt and rogue peace officer detectives there could willfully, knowingly, recklessly, carelessly, maliciously, wantonly, and callous alter, manipulate, edit, and taint KING AROGANT's February 21, 2023, entirely exculpatory so they could all FRAME KING AROGANT at his preliminary hearing for a very serious and violent felony they and Defendant George Gascón all knew that KING AROGANT had never committed. Since February 21, 2023, KING AROGANT stated to County of Los Angeles peace officers both over the telephone and in person that he had exculpatory audio recording and text message evidence on his Samsung Galaxy S21 Ultra mobile phone. Lying Billy either stole KING AROGANT's Samsung Galaxy S21 Ultra mobile phone or disposed of his mobile phone. Billy Khounthavong recklessly violated AROGANT's Fourth Amendment and Fourteenth Amendment constitutional civil rights by deliberately disposing of KING AROGANT's mobile phone, which they all knew contained an entirely exculpatory audio recording and text message evidence that KING AROGANT could have used while acting in propria persona to exonerate himself. While KING

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

AROGANT's original February 21, 2023, GX010586 and GX010587 GoPro video recordings clearly depict and display him with his mobile phone the entire time, and then placing it with the rest of his personal property located inside of Jennifer Hutton Heger's home just prior to being recklessly apprehended by rogue and dishonest County of Los Angeles peace officers Alex Contreras and Daniel Esqueda, KING AROGANT never saw his Samsung Galaxy S21 Ultra mobile phone again after February 21, 2023, at approximately 10:30 AM. Several weeks later, after KING AROGANT was arrested, Lying Billy and other rogue County of Los Angeles peace officers created a fabricated, false, phony, bogus, misleading, and fraudulent police report that stated that they had searched diligently and thoroughly through all of KING AROGANT's personal property but did not locate or find KING AROGANT's Samsung mobile phone. LIARS. All through KING AROGANT'S original GoPro video recordings, he is seen with his mobile phone in his hand! Throughout George Gascon, Leo Ka Fong Lo, Brian MARK Rosenberg, and LASD's manipulated GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587, KING AROGANT is seen with his mobile phone in his hand! KING AROGANT Samsung Galaxy S21 Ultra mobile phone was never lost or transported to the LASD Temple City police station on February 21, 2023. Lying Billy disposed of it because KING AROGANT's original GoPro video recordings GX010586 and GX010587 watched by Lying Billy on February 21, 2023, alerted him to the facts that KING AROGANT's mobile phone contained on it exculpatory audio recording and text message evidence that could have been used to exonerate KING AROGANT. For example, in KING AROGANT's original, uninterrupted, and continuous nearly seventeen-minute GoPro video recording GX010586 ***(which was recklessly split up by County of Los Angeles peace officers into GX010586, GXO10587, GX010588, GX010589, and GX10589 so that these same rogue peace officers could create altered GoPro video recordings GL010586, GL010587, and GL010588)*** KING AROGANT is visibly seen in original GoPro

video recording GX010586 checking the audio recording application on his recklessly disposed of by Lying Bill Samsung S21 Ultra mobile phone to make sure that it was recording the entire confrontation with Jennifer Hutton-Heger on the morning of February 21, 2023. In KING AROGANT's original, uninterrupted, and continuous nearly seventeen-minute GoPro video recording GX010586, KING AROGANT is visibly seen in this video recording sending two text messages to Jennifer Hutton Heger on his recklessly disposed of by Lying Billy Samsung S21 that proved his state of mind and his innocence. Lying Billy willfully, knowingly, recklessly, carelessly, maliciously, wantonly, and callously made KING AROGANT's Samsung S21 Ultra mobile phone disappear because it contained exculpatory evidence that would have exonerated KING AROGANT easily. Given Billy S. Khounthavong's criminal indictment in the United States District Court, Central District Court of California, for case number **13-3105M**. No one can believe anything he says or does because Lying Billy is and was a very crooked and corrupt State of California peace officer employed by the County of Los Angeles. In December 2013, Billy Khounthavong and his brothers, Johnny Khounthavong and Benny Khounthavong **(both brothers are peace officers)**, were all charged in the federal district court for the Central District Court of California for recklessly falsifying federal mortgage loan documents. If Lying Billy Khounthavong willfully, knowingly, and recklessly falsifies a federal loan document. Surely Lying Billy would willfully, knowingly, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, carelessly, and callously falsify a police report and a Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles search warrant affidavit, which is precisely what Lying Billy did in a frivolous and unconstitutional felony criminal case GA114055 on February 22, 2023.

On February 22, 2023, rogue and corrupt LASD peace officer Billy Khounthavong and his superior, Richard Lewis, recklessly conspired to violate his civil rights by disposing of his Samsung Galaxy S21 Ultra mobile phone, which KING AROGANT told them contained audio recordings and text messages that would exonerate him

On February 22, 2023, rogue peace officer Billy S. Khounthavong filed a bogus police report alleging that a search warrant for KING AROGANT's GoPro camera was issued by the dishonest, racist, and biased judicial officer Suzette Clover. The search warrant was so bogus that KING AROGANT never received a certified copy of it, despite requesting it on numerous occasions before the Superior Court and a prejudiced judicial officer, Michael Villalobos. The bogus warrant was allegedly electronically filed, but it lacked the required notation "duplicate original" and the execution date and time. KING AROGANT was never served, and he did not receive a copy of Billy Khounthavong's bogus search warrant until March 2023.

There were a few obvious signs that proved that Billy S. Khounthavong's search warrant was a phony. (1) The phony search warrant had no search warrant number on it. (2) The search warrant failed to show a Superior Court electronic stamp in the following format:

Electronically FILED by
Superior Court of California,
County of Los Angeles
02/22/2023_____PM
David W. Slayton,
Executive Officer/Clerk of Court
CLERK NAME HERE, Deputy Clerk

(3) No search warrant return was ever filed with the Superior Court and attached to the phony search warrant that was given to KING AROGANT in Superior Court by LYING Brian MARK Rosenberg in late March 2023. See now rogue peace officer Billy S. Khounthavong's phony search warrant attached to Verified Appendix of Exhibits and attached herein to this legal brief below.

LASD File #:

SW NO._____

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
County of Los Angeles

SEARCH WARRANT

Detective Billy Khounthavong #502180 swears under oath that the facts expressed by him in the attached and incorporated **Statement of Probable Cause** are true and that based thereon he has probable cause to believe and does believe that the articles, property, electronic communications, and data described below are lawfully seizable pursuant to Penal Code Section 1524 et seq., as indicated below, and are now located at the location(s) set forth below. Wherefore, Affiant requests that this Search Warrant be issued.

(Signature of Affiant)

SEALING ORDER REQUESTED: __ YES x NO
NIGHT SEARCH REQUESTED: __ YES x NO

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA TO ANY PEACE OFFICER IN THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES: proof by affidavit, having been this day made before me by **Detective Billy Khounthavong**, finds that there is probable cause to believe that the property and/or person described herein may be found at the locations set forth herein and is lawfully seizable pursuant to Penal Code Section 1524 et seq., as indicated below by X (s) in that:

____ When the property was stolen or embezzled [§1524(a)(1) Penal Code];

__ When the property or things were used as the means of committing a felony [§1524(a)(2) Penal Code];

X When the property or things to be seized consist of an item or constitute evidence that tends to shrw that a felony has been committed, or tends to show that a particular person has committed a felony [§1524(a)(4) Penal Code];

____ When the property or things are in the possession of any person with the intent to use them as a means for committing a public offense, or in the possession of another to whom he or she may have delivered them for the purpose of concealing them or preventing their discovery [§1524(a)(3) Penal Code];

____ There is a warrant to arrest a person [§1524(a)(6) Penal Code];

____ When a provider of electronic communication service or remote computing service has records or evidence, as specified in Section 1524.3, showing that property was stolen or embezzled constituting a misdemeanor, or that property or things are in the possession of any person with the intent to use them as a means of committing a misdemeanor public offense, or in the possession of another to whom he or she may have delivered them for the purpose of concealing them or preventing their discovery.

X Will assist in locating an individual who has committed or is committing a felony [§1524(a)(12) Penal Code];

YOU ARE THEREFORE COMMANDED TO SEARCH

(1) Digital Video Recorder, GoPro, black in color, belonging to Arrogant Hollywood, evidence booked as property located at Temple Sheriff's Station 8838 E. Las Tunas Dr. Temple City, CA 91780

FOR THE FOLLOWING PROPERTY:

All data and/or content that constitutes evidence and instrumentalities of criminal threats, **February 21, 2023.**

Rev. 04-11-16 *92 Page 1 of 6

(4) Billy S. Khounthavong and LYING MARK ROSENBERG'S phony search warrant was presented to the Superior Court, while no mandatory LASC COUNTYWIDE WARRANT SYSTEM INITIAL CASE FILING FORM (LASC CRIM 126, Revised in January 2020. Without the MANDATORY form, LASC 126, ever being filed, the People and Billy Khounthavong's Case should never have been filed, and they also illegally seized, copied, altered, and searched KING AROGANT's GoPro camera and KING AROGANT's GoPro camera video recordings stored on his camera's microSD card. See a true and correct copy of LASC form 126 attached herein and below. Additionally, refer to LASC's mandatory judicial form, LASC CRIM 126.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

Reserved for Clerk's File Stamp

CASE NUMBER

COUNTYWIDE WARRANT SYSTEM
INITIAL CASE FILING FORM

MUST PRINT OR TYPE
FIELDS IN BOLD ARE REQUIRED

If a search warrant was executed that contributed to filing of this case, please provide the search warrant number on the line below.

Search Warrant Number _____

(Filing Name) First _____ **Mid** _____ **Last** _____ **Sfx** _____

Address _____ **City** _____

Zip _____ **State** _____

DOB _____ **Sex** _____ **Hair** _____ **Eyes** _____ **Hgt** _____ **Wgt** _____ **Race** _____

ID #S OLN _____ ST _____ LPD _____

MAIN _____ VLN _____ ST _____

CII _____ BKG _____

OTHER #S FBI _____ CIT _____

OCA _____

AKA First _____ **Mid** _____ **Last** _____ **Sfx** _____

LEA _____ **Vio City** _____

Inv Name/Unit _____ **Phone** (_____) _____

Filing Charge(s) _____

Arrest Charge(s) _____

Date of Arrest _____

(Arrest Name) First _____ Mid _____ Last _____ Sfx _____

(over)

LASC CRIM 126 Rev. 01/20
For Mandatory Use

Of course, falsified legal documents are not a new venture for rogue and dishonest peace officer Billy S. Khounthavong. On December 5, 2013, the United States District Attorney's office for the Central District of California charged him and his brother, Benny Khounthavong, for making false statements and reports to Flagstar Bank and Bank of America, for the purpose of influencing these banks. If Billy Khounthavong would be willing to falsify a federal loan document, surely, he would be willing to falsify a Superior Court of California criminal search warrant, knowing he would have favor with the prejudiced and biased judicial officers of the Northeastern District of the County of Los Angeles, Superior Court of California.

See the Verified Appendix of Exhibits, and also see attached herein and below is a true and correct copy of page one of Billy Khounthavong's federal district court complaint in case 13-3105M.

Case 2:13-mj-03105-DUTY Document 1 Filed 12/05/13 Page 1 of 22 Page ID #:1

AO 91 (Rev. 11/82)

CRIMINAL COMPLAINT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA v. BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG, BENNY KHOUNTHAVONG, and JOHNNY KHOUNTHAVONG	DOCKET NO. 13-3105M MAGISTRATE'S CASE NO. ~~M-13-2394-RZ~~

FILED
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
DEC - 5 2013
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
BY DEPUTY

Complaint for violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371

NAME OF MAGISTRATE JUDGE	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	LOCATION
HONORABLE RALPH ZAREFSKY		Los Angeles, California

DATE OF OFFENSE	PLACE OF OFFENSE	ADDRESS OF ACCUSED (IF KNOWN)
June 2011 to October 2011	Los Angeles County and elsewhere	14595 Meadowbrook Lane, Corona CA

COMPLAINANT'S STATEMENT OF FACTS CONSTITUTING THE OFFENSE OR VIOLATION:

[18 U.S.C. § 371]

Beginning no later than in or about June 2011, and continuing to at least in or about October 2011, in Los Angeles County, within the Central District of California, and elsewhere, BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG, BENNY KHOUNTHAVONG, and JOHNNY KHOUNTHAVONG conspired to commit an offense against the United States, namely 18 U.S.C. § 1014, by making false statements and reports to Flagstar Bank and Bank of America, N.A., the accounts of which were then insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, for the purpose of influencing Flagstar Bank and Bank of America, N.A.

BASIS OF COMPLAINANT'S CHARGE AGAINST THE ACCUSED:

(See attached affidavit which is incorporated as part of this Complaint)

MATERIAL WITNESSES IN RELATION TO THIS CHARGE: N/A

Being duly sworn, I declare that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.	SIGNATURE OF COMPLAINANT: JASON DALTON /s/ OFFICIAL TITLE: Special Agent – FBI

Sworn to before me and subscribed in my presence,

SIGNATURE OF MAGISTRATE JUDGE[1]	DATE
Jay C. Gandhi	December 5, 2013

[1] See Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure 3 and 54

AUSA Margaret L. Carter REC: $100,000 Secured Bond

(1)

On February 21, 2023, County of Los Angeles rogue peace officer Aaron Rogue County of Los Angeles peace officer Aaron D. Contreras created a fraudulent and counterfeit probable cause declaration in which he falsely stated that the Honorable Jana Seng approved his bogus Probable Cause Determination at approximately 1 p.m., a time she was on her lunch break. But it gets worse. While LASD has its own system for entering Probable Cause Determinations and sending them to the court. There are no records of Aaron D. Contreras's bogus Probable Cause Determination. Furthermore, the claim by peace officer Aaron D. Contreras that his Probable Cause Determination was approved and that probable cause was found non-telephonically was a blatant falsehood. Probable cause is typically established at a preliminary hearing, mainly when it's non-telephonic. Additionally, a court reporter was required to transcribe Aaron D. Contreras's declaration regarding the non-telephonic probable cause determination. See California Penal Code Section 817, subdivision (g). Additionally, LASD and rogue peace officer Aaron D. Contreras willfully and recklessly failed to file a certificate of service with Jana Seng's court after the alleged arrest warrant was approved by her, as required by California criminal statutes. See California Penal Code Section 817, subdivision (i). LASD and Aaron D. Contreras never filed a certificate of service because they knew that their probable cause determination declaration was shamed, bogus, counterfeit, false, forged, and fraudulent. See the phony probable cause affidavit of rogue peace officer Aaron D. Contreras, attached hereto below and marked in the Verified Appendix of Exhibit. See also a copy of the phony Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, probable cause determination below.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

PROBABLE CAUSE DETERMINATION (DECLARATION)

Location Booked: TEM	Booking No.: 6555970	Arrest File No.: 923-02068-0588-339
Arrestee/Suspect (Last, First, Middle): HOLLYWOOD, ARROGANT		DOB: 12/09/1978
Arrestee/Suspect's Residential Address: 825 E ORANGE BL PASADENA, CA 91104	Location of Occurrence: 9077 FAIRVIEW AVENUE, SAN GABRIEL, CA 91775	
Booking Charge(s): 422 PC	Misdemeanor ☐ Felony ☒	Supplemental Holds/Warrants Charges:
Date/Time of Arrest: 02/21/2023 1030 hrs	48 Hour Expiration Date and Time: 02/23/2023 1030 hrs	
Arresting Agency/Division: 0501 - LASD - TEMPLE PATROL	Arresting Officer(s): CONTRERAS, A.	Employee No.: 636424
Supervisor(s) Approving: Santana Jr., W. / Perez, D.	Employee No.: 484514 / 499035	Date/Time: 02/21/2023 1253 hrs / 02/21/2023 1256 hrs	Contact Phone No.: 626-285-7171 / 626-285-7171

Facts establishing elements of offense(s)/violation(s). Information identifying/connecting arrestee/suspect by name with the violation(s) listed:

I contacted the Victim, who stated she locked the garage door to her residence because she was in fear for her life and safety. The Victim stated the Suspect became verbally aggressive when she asked him to leave her residence. The Suspect was attempting to break down the door she locked while stating he was going to kill her. In sustained fear for her life, believing the Suspect would grab a weapon from the garage to carry out the threat, the Victim called 9-1-1.

The Victim positively identified the Suspect as the one that threatened to kill her.

Based on the above, I arrested the Suspect for the indicated charge.

☐ **See attached reports incorporated herein by reference.**

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Executed on 02/21/2023 (date) 1249 hrs (time) in the County of Los Angeles, California.

e-signed (Signature) | Contreras, A. (Print Name) | 636424 (Employee No.)

Telephonic Determination ONLY

On _____, I was placed under oath and read the contents of the foregoing, including the contents of any attached reports or declaration, verbatim to the Honorable _____. The Judicial Officer advised me that there

( ) **IS** ( ) **IS NOT** probable cause to believe this arrestee has committed a crime.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles County, California, on

(Signature) (Employee No.)

Probable Cause Determination

On the basis of either reports reviewed and/or officer's declaration I hereby determine that there

(×) **IS** ( ) **IS NOT** probable cause to believe this arrestee has committed a crime.

Seng, J. (e-signed) (Judicial Officer's Signature) 02/21/2023 1300 hrs

(Block Stamp)

CRIM 064 Revised: 102108

In addition, rogue peace officer Aaron Contreras knew his Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, probable cause determination was absolutely 100% phony because (1) Aaron Contreras recklessly failed to complete the mandatory LASC CRIM 064, which was revised in October 2022 **(long before February 21, 2023, false arrest)**. The reason for the new mandatory judicial form is that it prevents rogue peace officers like Aaron Contreras from lying to a Superior Court that they obtained a probable cause determination in a frivolous and unconstitutional felony criminal case that should never have been filed in the first place. In red lettering, the following is written on the LASC mandatory judicial form CRIM 064:

Submit via email ONLY upon activation of the ePCD Contingency Plan

Further, the mandatory LASC CRIM 064 form states immediately below the submit red lettering the following: Emailed to: email4PCD@lacourt.org

See the Verified Appendix of Exhibit and also attached below a true and correct copy of the mandatory **LASC CRIM 064** judicial form for all Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles County probable cause determinations.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

PROBABLE CAUSE DETERMINATION (DECLARATION)

Location Booked:	Booking No.:	Arrest File No.:
Arrestee/Suspect (Last, First, Middle):		DOB:
Arrestee's/Suspect's Residential Address:	Location of Occurrence:	
Booking Charge(s):	Misdemeanor ☐ Felony ☐	Supplemental Holds/Warrants Charges:
Date/Time of Arrest:	48 Hour Expiration Date and Time:	
Arresting Agency/Division:	Arresting Officer(s):	Employee No(s).:
Supervisor(s) Approving: Employee No.:	Date/Time:	Contact Phone No.:

Facts establishing elements of offense(s)/violation(s). Information identifying/connecting arrestee/suspect by name with the violation(s) listed:

☐ **See attached reports incorporated herein by reference.**

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Executed on _____ (Date) _____ (Time) in the County of Los Angeles, California.

_____ (Signature) _____ (Print Name) _____ (Employee No.)

Telephonic Determination ONLY

On _____, I was placed under oath and read the contents of the foregoing, including the contents of any attached reports or declaration, verbatim to the Honorable _____. The Judicial Officer advised me that there (☐) **IS** (☐) **IS NOT** probable cause to believe this arrestee has committed a crime.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles County, California, on

_____ (Date)

_____ (Signature) _____ (Employee No.)

Probable Cause Determination

On the basis of either reports reviewed and/or officer's declaration I hereby determine that there (☐) **IS** (☐) **IS NOT** probable cause to believe this arrestee has committed a crime.

_____ (Judicial Officer's Signature) _____ (Date)


_____ (Block Stamp) _____ (Time)

LASC CRIM 064 Rev. 10/22
For Mandatory Use

Submit via email ONLY upon activation of the ePCD Contingency Plan
☑ Emailed to: email4PCD@lacourt.org

(2) Aaron Contreras and the County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, in addition to violating KING AROGANT's Fourteenth Amendment Due Process constitutional rights and his California Article I, Section 13 constitutional rights, County of Los Angeles peace officers involved with KING AROGANT's initial criminal investigation recklessly, willfully, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, wantonly, and callously violated Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department electronic probable cause declaration system by not completing the mandatory LASC CRIM 064 mandatory form and emailing a phony probable cause to: email4PCD@lacourt.org

See the Verified Appendix of Exhibit and also the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department's electronic probable cause declaration system webpage below.



Aaron Contreras's misconduct, actions, transgressions, misdoings, and misdeeds created a phony probable cause that was against the County of Los Angeles judicial and police policies, proving by clear and convincing evidence that GA114055 was a foul-played criminal case from the very beginning.

On or about February 23, 2023, rogue and dishonest peace officer detective Billy Khounthavong wrongfully and unnecessarily sent KING AROGANT's GoPro HERO 10 action camera to the County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department and Ventura County Sheriff's Office's Joint Fraud and Cyber Crimes Southern California High Tech Task Force so they could illegally manipulate his GoPro video recordings to make him

look guilty of a crime they knew that KING AROGANT did not commit.

California Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training Basic Course The Workbook Series proves that Billy Khounthavong's Search Warrant is and was bogus. It also proves that no LASD peace officer had any probable cause whatsoever to confiscate KING AROGANT's GoPro Camera and request a Search Warrant to search it. Thus, Billy Khounthavong, Brian Mark Rosenberg, and Richard Lewis must be immediately charged with willfully, knowingly, carelessly, deliberately, recklessly, wantonly, and callously violating California Government Code § 6200.

The California Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training's Basic Course Workbook Series proves that the County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department had no probable cause to request a search warrant. The legal document proves that Billy Khounthavong, Brian Mark Rosenberg, and Richard Lewis recklessly violated the California Government Code § 6200 by bringing into a State of California criminal Superior Court a bogus and counterfeit forged search warrant that they all knew was never issued by any Pasadena Superior Court judicial officer. The legal document proves that based upon Billy Khounthavong and Richard Lewis' peace officer standards training on search warrant policies and procedures, they knew that they were willfully violating numerous California penal code statutes and KING AROGANT's Fourth and Fourteenth Amendment constitutional rights by creating a phony search warrant and then committing a federal crime by lying under oath in their police report that it was issued by Pasadena Superior Court, while of course, Pasadena Superior Court has no records of ever receiving it!

The California Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training's Basic Course Workbook Series provides:

Introduction to Warrant Searches, Continued
2-4 LD 16: Chapter 2 – Warrant Searches and Seizures
Benefits of obtaining a search warrant.

As a general rule, the courts have found searches and seizures to be reasonable and therefore lawful when authorized by a valid warrant. The burden is on the defendant to prove the illegality of any search executed with a search warrant. Statutory grounds for a search warrant Penal Code Section 1524 presents the statutory grounds for issuance of a search warrant. When the property or thing... Penal Code Section was stolen or embezzled. 1524(a)(1) was used as the means of committing a felony. 1524(a)(2) is in the possession of any person with the intent to use it as a means of committing a public offense, or in the possession of another to whom the item may have been delivered for the purpose of concealing it or preventing its being discovered. 1524(a)(3) constitutes evidence that tends to show a felony has been committed, or tends to show that a particular person has committed a felony. 1524(a)(4) consists of evidence that tends to show that sexual exploitation of a child (Penal Code 311.1), or the possession of matter depicting sexual conduct of a person under the age of 18 years (Penal Code 311.11), has occurred or is occurring.
1524(a)(5)

See California Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training, Basic Course Workbook Series, Student Materials, Learning Domain 16, Search and Seizure, Version 4.8, Revised in June 2017, Foreword by current post EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, MANUEL ALVAREZ, JR. at 2-4, LD 16: Chapter 2-Warrant Searches and Seizures, found on page 35 of 176

Pursuant to POST peace officer manual on page 35 of 176. Billy Khounthavong and Richard Lewis knew that they did not have probable cause to confiscate KING AROGANT's entirely exculpatory GoPro camera and request that a judge issue a search warrant.

The California Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training's Basic Course Workbook Series provides:

Probable Cause to Search

LD 16: Chapter 2 – Warrant Searches and Seizures 2-7 Introduction Before they can obtain a search warrant, peace officers must be able to provide a judge with specific facts that meet the Fourth Amendment's requirement of probable cause.

Constitutional requirement of probable cause

The Fourth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution clearly states:

> The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, and no warrants shall issue, but upon probable cause, supported by oath or affirmation, and particularly describing the place to be searched and the persons or things to be seized.

Probable cause to search

In the search warrant context, probable cause to search means enough credible information to provide a fair probability that the object or person the peace officers seek will be found at the place they want to search. Officer training and experience it is possible for an activity which might otherwise appear innocent to the general public to amount to probable cause to a peace officer. A peace officer's training and experience may enter the equation for determining probable cause. Facts must be seen and weighed as understood by a reasonable officer. Collective knowledge Probable cause may be based on the collective knowledge of all the officers involved in an investigation, and all the inferences which may reasonably be drawn from this information, with that particular officer's training and experience.

Id **at LD 16: Chapter 2-Warrant Searches and Seizures, found on page 38 of 176**

On page 4 of his bogus search warrant Billy Khounthavong states the following:

After the suspect was arrested and detained at the Temple City Sheriff's station jail. I conducted an interview with him. While speaking with him, the suspect continuously referenced he had the argument between him and the victim, recorded on his "GOPRO". He also referenced the GoPro" was recording intermittently up until the arrest. The digital video recorder was on his person (s) while the recording took place.

See now Billy Khounthavong's bogus search warrant at page 4 of 6.

Based on the above statement, there was zero probable cause for the issuance of any search warrant. Just because KING AROGANT told Khounthavong that he had recorded the confrontation with Jennifer Hutton-Heger did not mean that he was admitting that he had done anything wrong, specifically making a criminal threat. Further, the original GOPRO video of February 21, 2023, that LASD manipulated and tainted proves that Billy Khounthavong and Richard Lewis knew that they did not have probable cause to request that a search warrant be issued to search KING AROGANT's GoPro camera. See the REAL GoPro VIDEO here:

https://youtu.be/LjJa3ZezGdk?si=mBBISuPA0qiQircp

On page 5 of 6 of Billy Khounthavong's bogus search warrant, he stated the following:

It should be noted from prior law enforcement contacts with the suspect, the suspect was well known to have the "GoPro" in his possession and made it a habit to have the digital recorder recording.

Oh really? What Khounthavong meant was that the County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department was well aware that KING AROGANT was recording because people constantly accused him of committing crimes.

See now June 21, 2021, video of KING AROGANT being arrested by corrupt and dirty LASD Temple City rogue County of Los Angeles peace officers here:

https://www.instagram.com/p/CQK0dL7H_aB/

See now a GoPro video recording based on a false criminal threats allegation made by the white devil County of Los Angeles peace officer, KING AROGANT was arguing with in the video. The false arrest was made by dirty and corrupt LASD Temple City peace officers yet again:

https://www.instagram.com/p/CLS-Xx1HoAv/

Here is yet another video of LASD Temple City PIGZ either harassing or arresting KING AROGANT:

https://www.instagram.com/p/CQKLE6RndAV/

Thus, based on Billy Khounthavong's knowledge of KING AROGANT recording himself not committing crimes, there was no probable cause for Billy Khounthavong's bogus search warrant based on him telling the Court that KING AROGANT made it a habit to record on his GoPro camera. Further, those statements alone did not constitute

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: **aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com**
Phone: 206.471.1344

probable cause for the issuance of a search warrant for KING AROGANT's GoPro camera.

On page 6 of Billy Khounthavong's bogus search warrant, he committed perjury, violated state and federal criminal statutes, and violated KING AROGANT's civil rights by typing the following false information and statements:

"Your affiant believes the recording equipment was used to communicate information pertaining to this case. Your affiant is further aware that recording devices are often used to communicate /share with other parties and to store information. Your affiant believes the digital video recorder will contain the information which could identify the suspect committing the criminal threats."

LIAR

Based on Billy Khounthavong's illegal review of KING AROGANT's GoPro camera video recordings before he authored his bogus search warrant, he had already reviewed all the videos recorded on February 21, 2023, on KING AROGANT's GoPro camera. Therefore, Billy Khounthavong knew that KING AROGANT's GoPro camera's video recordings would not show KING AROGANT making a criminal threat. Based on the actions of Billy Khounthavong, based on his false statements made in his bogus search warrant affidavit, and based on the evidence shown in the original, nearly fifteen-minute video recording recorded on the early morning of February 21, 2023, Billy knew that LASD had zero probable cause to search KING AROGANT's GoPro camera.

Therefore, Billy Khounthavong knew that KING AROGANT's GoPro camera's video recordings would not show KING AROGANT making a criminal threat. Based on

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

the actions of Billy Khounthavong, based on his false statements made in his bogus search warrant affidavit, and based on the evidence shown in the original, nearly fifteen-minute video recording recorded on the early morning of February 21, 2023, Billy knew that LASD had zero probable cause to believe that KING AROGANT had made a criminal threat on February 21, 2023, and, after Khounthavong and Richard Lewis, should have released KING AROGANT, returned his Samsung Galaxy S21 mobile phone, and returned KING AROGANT's GoPro camera. Instead, the biased and racist LASD Temple City peace officers illegally confiscated KING AROGANT's GoPro camera, and recklessly, wantonly, willfully, callously, and willfully sent it to the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department Southern California High Tech Task Force, so peace officers there could manipulate KING AROGANT's GoPro camera, and Billy S. Khounthavong and Richard Lewis recklessly disposed of KING AROGANT's mobile phone because they knew it contained on it exculpatory audio recordings and text messages that would have exonerated KING AROGANT, in furtherance of Los Angeles County's conspiracy to frame KING AROGANT for a violent and serious crime it knew he did not commit.

The California Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training's Basic Course Workbook Series provides:

Probable cause to search vs. probable cause to arrest

Probable cause to search differs in content, but not in degree of certainty, from probable cause to arrest.

Search Warrants Arrest Warrants

Peace officers must articulate probable cause that: a crime has been committed, and evidence concerning the crime or the identity of the perpetrator is located at the place to be searched. Peace officers must articulate probable cause that: a crime has been committed, and the individual to be arrested committed that crime.

Id. **at LD 16: Chapter 2-Warrant Searches and Seizures, found on page 39 of 176**

The point of the Fourth Amendment, which often is not grasped by zealous officers, is not that it denies law enforcement the support of usual inferences which reasonable men draw inferences which reasonable men draw from evidence. Its protection consists in requiring that these inferences be drawn by a neutral and detached magistrate instead of being judged by the officer engaged in the often competitive enterprise of ferreting out crime.

Johnson v. United States, 333 U.S. 68 S.Ct. 367, 92 L.Ed.2d 436 (1947) at 13-14

> That philosophy later reflected in the Fourth Amendment. And as the early American decisions, both before and immediately after its adoption show, common rumor or report, suspicion, or even "strong reason to suspect" was not adequate to support a warrant for arrest.

Henry v. United States, 361 U.S. 98, 80 S.Ct. 168, 4 L.Ed.2d 134 (1959) See also *Dunaway v. New York*, 442 U.S. 200, 99 S.Ct. 2248, 60 L.Ed.2d 824 (1979) at 212 quoting *Henry v. United States*, *supra*, at 101

Lying Billy could not articulate probable cause that KING AROGANT's GoPro video recording would show him committing a felony. The statements made by KING AROGANT to Billy Khounthavong pointed to KING AROGANT's innocence, not guilt. Thus, pursuant to United States Supreme Court cases, California penal code statutes, and the California Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training manual in Chapter 2-Warrant Searches and Seizures, found on page 39 of 176. Billy Khounthavong did not have probable cause to confiscate KING AROGANT's GoPro camera.

Thus, pursuant to United States Supreme Court cases, California penal codes statutes, and the California Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training manual in Chapter 2-Warrant Searches and Seizures, found on page 39 of 176. Billy Khounthavong did not have probable cause to articulate and believe that KING AROGANT's GoPro camera would show him committing a felony.

Thus, pursuant to United States Supreme Court cases, California penal codes statutes, and the California Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training Manual in Chapter 2-Warrant Searches and Seizures, found on page 39 of 176.

Billy Khounthavong did not have probable cause to articulate and believe that KING AROGANT'S GoPro camera would show him committing a felony based on the false and perjured statements he typed on his bogus search warrant.

Thus, pursuant to United States Supreme Court cases, California penal codes statutes, and the California Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training manual

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

in Chapter 2-Warrant Searches and Seizures, found on page 39 of 176. Billy Khounthavong did not have probable cause to articulate and believe that KING AROGANT's GoPro camera would show him committing a felony.

Thus, pursuant to United States Supreme Court cases, California penal codes statutes, and the California Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training manual in Chapter 2-Warrant Searches and Seizures, found on page 39 of 176. Billy Khounthavong's bogus search warrant affidavit and illegal confiscation of KING AROGANT's GoPro camera were based upon nothing more than common rumor or report, and suspicion.

The California Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training's Basic Course Workbook Series provides:

Elements of probable cause to search

To establish probable cause, peace officers must directly or circumstantially show that certain required elements exist. The following table identifies the three required elements of probable cause to search. To establish probable cause to search, there must be a fair probability that...

Rationale Examples a crime occurred. There must be at least a fair probability that a crime has occurred or, in some cases, will occur. Person sold drugs to an undercover officer.

· A person purchased a large amount of chemicals that could be used for a clandestine lab

Id. **at 2-10 LD 16: Chapter 2-Warrant Searches and Seizures, found on page 39 of 176.**

Billy Khounthavong and Richard Lewis wantonly and recklessly violated their oath of office pursuant to 11 CCR § 1013, Code of Ethics by keeping KING AROGANT in jail and then authoring a bogus and fabricated search warrant affidavit while they both knewthat KING AROGANT's GoPro camera did not show him committing a felony, and they knew after the time of writing false statements in their illegal and unconstitutional police report that they had zero probable cause to believe that there was any inculpatory evidence, direct evidence, or circumstantial evidence to support their false statements that KING AROGANT's GoPro camera would show him committing a felony. For upon which no reasonable inferences could have been drawn that KING AROGANT's GoPro camera would show him making a criminal threat.

Based upon Billy Khounthavong and Richard Lewis' POST TRAINING OF 2-10 LD 16: Chapter 2-Warrant Searches and Seizures, found on page 39 of 176. They both knew that their actions violated their oath of office and Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department search warrant procedures and policies.

Billy Khounthavong and Richard Lewis wantonly and recklessly violated their oath of office pursuant to 11 CCR § 1013, Code of Ethics by keeping KING AROGANT in jail and then authoring a bogus and fabricated search warrant affidavit while they both knew that KING AROGANT's GoPro camera did not show him committing a felony, and they knew at the time of writing false statements in their illegal and unconstitutional

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

police report that they had zero probable cause to believe that there was any inculpatory evidence, direct evidence, or circumstantial evidence to support their false statements that KING AROGANT's GoPro camera would show him committing a felony. For upon which no reasonable inferences could have been drawn that KING AROGANT's GoPro camera would show him making a criminal threat. Based upon Billy Khounthavong and Richard Lewis' POST TRAINING OF 2-10 LD 16: Chapter 2-Warrant Searches and Seizures, found on page 39 of 176. They both knew that their actions violated their oath of office and the County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department search warrant procedures and policies.

Former County of Los Angeles District Attorney George Gascón and rogue County of Los Angeles peace officer Leo Ka Fong Lo willfully, knowingly, maliciously, recklessly, wantonly, knowingly, deliberately, and callously violated the United States Constitution and KING AROGANT's Fourth Amendment constitutional civil rights by seizing, searching, copying, and taking possession of KING AROGANT's original GoPro video recordings and GoPro camera without having probable cause and without having a valid Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles search warrant. Further, George Gascón willfully, knowingly, maliciously, knowingly, recklessly, deliberately, wantonly, and callously violated the United States Constitution and KING AROGANT's Fourth Amendment Search and Seizure Clause constitutional civil rights by recklessly allowing his rogue, biased, and racist deputy district attorney Brian MARK Rosenberg to recklessly present as evidence in a criminal Superior Court of California a phony, bogus, unverified, uncertified, unattested, and an unauthenticated Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles search warrant that did not bear the seal of the Superior Court of California, in direct violation of the Superior Court of California,

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

County of Los Angeles local criminal rule 8-17, and California Evidence Code §§ 1530, 1531, & 1532.

Pursuant to L16 Chapter 2, page 40 of 176, *supra*, Billy Khounthavong, and Richard Lewis knew shortly after KING AROGANT was arrested that there was no direct or circumstantial evidence that KING AROGANT's GoPro camera would show him committing evidence. Khounthavong and Lewis believed the opposite that KING AROGANT's GoPro camera would prove that he did not commit a crime. Proof of this is the fact that while County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department's Fraud and Cyber Crimes Bureau's Southern California High-Tech Task Force's job description, job assignments, and job duties given to them by the State of California Department of Justice does not and did not at all authorize them under California state law to analyze, copy, review, extract, and manipulate video recordings from a GoPro video camera that was confiscated during a bogus criminal threats investigation. County of Los Angeles peace officers illegally, unlawfully, and unconstitutionally copied, searched, and took possession of KING AROGANT's GoPro camera on behalf of corrupt and rogue peace officers Billy Khounthavong, Richard Lewis, and the longstanding, tainted, and corrupt County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, Temple City police station

The California Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training's Basic Course Workbook Series provides:

Probable Cause to Search, Continued

Elements of probable cause to search

(continued)

To establish probable cause to search, there must be a fair probability that...

Rationale Examples

Evidence pertaining to the crime exists, and Officers must establish that evidence of a crime exists. This can be accomplished by direct evidence, circumstantial evidence, or by reasonable inference. Information from a victim that a gun was displayed during a robbery. Stolen property. Existence of items commonly used to commit or facilitate a crime (e.g., drug paraphernalia). the evidence is located at the place to be searched. Officers must establish that the evidence was taken to, or produced at, the place to be searched. This can be accomplished by direct evidence, circumstantial evidence, or by reasonable inference. A reliable source saw the evidence at the location. The person goes directly to a location after a crime has been committed.

· The location is one where a criminal might likely hide incriminating evidence.

Id. at LD 16: Chapter 2-Warrant Searches and Seizures, found on page 40 of 176

Pursuant to L16 Chapter 2, page 40 of 176, *supra*, Billy Khounthavong, and Richard Lewis knew shortly after KING AROGANT was arrested that there was no direct or circumstantial evidence that KING AROGANT's GoPro camera would show him committing evidence. Khounthavong and Lewis believed the opposite, that KING AROGANT's GoPro camera would prove that he did not commit a crime. Proof of this is the fact that while the LASD Fraud and Cyber Crimes Bureau's Southern California

High-Tech Task Force's job description, job assignments, and job duties given to them by the State of California Department of Justice do not and did not at all authorize them under California state law to analyze, copy, review, extract, and manipulate video recordings from a GoPro video camera that was confiscated during a bogus criminal threats investigation. They illegally, unlawfully, and unconstitutionally did so on behalf of corrupt and rogue peace officers, Billy Khounthavong, Richard Lewis, and the longstanding, tainted, and corrupt LASD Temple City police station. See below:

https://oag.ca.gov/ecrime/httap

- Home
- About
- Media
- Careers
- Regulations
- Resources
- Programs
- Appointments
- Contact

High Technology Theft Apprehension and Prosecution (HTTAP) Program

1. Home
2. eCrime
3. High Technology Theft Apprehension and Prosecution (HTTAP) P…

The California High Technology Crimes Task Force strategy was created in 1998 through Senate Bill 1734, to help combat computer-related crimes such as network intrusions, computer hacking, counterfeiting and piracy, theft of trade secrets, theft of high-tech related equipment, and telecommunications fraud.

This legislation established the High Technology Theft Apprehension and Prosecution (HTTAP) Program which is now managed through the California Emergency Management Agency (Cal EMA). The HTTAP Program includes five regional Task Forces covering 29 counties and encompassing a population of over 31 million. The program was expanded in 2001 to include an identity theft component.

The five regional Task Forces



1. Northern California Computer Crimes Task Force (NC3TF)
2. Sacramento Valley Hi-Tech Crimes Task Force (SVHTCTF)
3. Rapid Enforcement Allied Computer Team (REACT)
4. Southern California High Tech Task Force (SCHTTF)
5. Computer and Technology Crime High-Tech Response Team (CATCH)

Other Regional Task Forces

1. Los Angeles Electronic Crimes Task Force (LAECTF)

2. San Francisco Electronic Crimes Task Force

3.

HTTAP Program Mission

The mission of the HTTAP Program is the investigation, apprehension, and prosecution of high technology crimes and to combat identity theft. High technology crimes (or cybercrimes) are generally defined as any type of illegal activity that makes use of the internet, a private or public network, or an in-house computer system.

The directed groups of attack are the following three categories: personal, property, or government. Following are the components of cybercrime:

- Malware and malicious code
- Extortion
- Denial-of-service attacks
- Counterfeiting and piracy
- Computer viruses
- Email extortion
- Cyber stalking
- Auction fraud
- Theft of intellectual property
- Reshipping
- Identity theft
- Phishing, pharming, spearing, and whaling
- Network intrusions (hacking)
- Cyber terrorism

The HTTAP Task Forces partner with the private industry to help companies prevent, detect, and respond to computer-related crimes. The Task Force's personnel are highly trained professionals who also draw upon the expertise of private industry, academia

The mission of the HTTAP Program is the investigation, apprehension, and prosecution of high technology crimes and to combat identity theft. High technology crimes (or cybercrimes) are generally defined as any type of illegal activity that makes use of the internet, a private or public network, or an in-house computer system.

The mission of the High Technology Theft Apprehension and Prosecution (HTTAP) Program was not to copy, search, manipulate, or copy GoPro video recordings related to a criminal threats investigation. Further, the evidence shown on KING AROGANT's Instagram profile and YouTube account prove that Billy Khounthavong and Richard Lewis's only purpose in sending KING AROGANT's GoPro camera to the Southern California High Tech Task Force Response Team [SCHTTF] was done so that they and LASD could manipulate KING AROGANT's GoPro video recordings to frame KING AROGANT by making him appear guilty. Just like Billy Khounthavong, Richard Lewis, County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, Temple City police station, and Brian Mark Rosenberg did so at the preliminary hearing by misleading the court by showing it an altered and edited GOPRO video recording frame sequence of KING AROGANT shutting off his GoPro camera as he reentered Jennifer Hutton-Heger's home. Lying Billy testified that he saw KING AROGANT shutting off his GoPro camera, which resulted in a miscarriage of justice as KING AROGANT was held to answer for a violent and serious felony offense that he never committed. Billy Khounthavong and Richard Lewis lacked the video expertise and knowledge to manipulate, separate, and edit KING AROGANT's GoPro video recordings. Still, the Southern California High Tech Task Force [SCHTTF] did. Peace officers working for SCHTTF also willfully, recklessly, and wantonly violated California Government Code §

6200. And KING AROGANT will pursue efforts to decertify and criminally charge them all.

The California Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training's Basic Course Workbook Series provides:

Probable Cause to Search, Continued
2-10 LD 16: Chapter 2 – Warrant Searches and Seizures

Related terms

To better understand probable cause as it relates to searches and seizures, peace officers need to understand the following terms.

Reasonable inference is the act of drawing a conclusion from a fact; it is similar to making a presumption (e.g., seeing smoke and inferring there is a fire).

Direct evidence is evidence that proves a fact directly, without an inference or presumption (e.g., the sale of a controlled substance to an undercover officer). Circumstantial evidence is evidence that proves a fact indirectly, that is, personal knowledge or observations from which deductions must be drawn by the jury or court (e.g., partial six-pack of beer found on the car seat supports inference that someone in the car has been drinking).

NOTE: Whether evidence is direct or circumstantial depends on the fact to be proven.

Id. **at LD 16: Chapter 2-Warrant Searches and Seizures, found on page 41 of 176**

Billy Khounthavong and Richard Lewis knew they had zero reasonable inferences for which they could have drawn conclusions from facts that KING AROGANT's GoPro camera would show him committing a felony. Thus, their actions were unlawful and willfully, recklessly, and wantonly infringed upon KING AROGANT's Fourth Amendment Search and Seizure Clause constitutional rights and infringed upon KING AROGANT's Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional rights. *Supra, Henry v. United States* at 101, as their actions resulted in phony LASD-created GoPro video recordings being presented and admitted as evidence to frame KING AROGANT in a Superior Court of California criminal courthouse.

Rogue and dishonest County of Los Angeles peace officers Billy Khounthavong and Richard Lewis' fabricated February 22, 2023 search affidavit not only recklessly, wantonly, and willfully violated KING AROGANT'S constitutional civil rights, but their actions also recklessly and wantonly violated County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department search warrant policies and procedures, and based on their training in California Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training, Basic Course Workbook Series Chapters 1 and 2, Lying Billy and Richard Lewis knew that what they were doing in KING AROGANT's shoddy and corrupt criminal threats investigation was wrong, illegal, and unconstitutional.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: **arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com**
Phone: 206.471.1344

Rogue and dishonest County of Los Angeles peace officers Billy Khounthavong and Richard Lewis's bogus search warrant was not executed according to California Penal Code §§ 1523-1541 because they both knew there was zero evidence to support any probable cause that KING AROGANT's GoPro camera would show him committing a felony. Further, based on their training in the POST manual and the real GoPro video recording witnessed by over one hundred County of Los Angeles peace officers and attorneys receiving the video download on November 28, 2023, the bogus search warrant was not supported by any probable cause whatsoever.

Billy Khounthavong and Richard Lewis' false statements that KING AROGANT's GoPro camera would show him committing a felony did not constitute grounds for the execution of a search on KING AROGANT's GoPro camera because based on the original GoPro video recording uploaded to KING AROGANT's Instagram channel it is very clear that they both had already reviewed KING AROGANT's GoPro camera without a search warrant prior to ever saying in their fabricated police report that they had obtained a search warrant. Based on this illegal search. Before rogue and dishonest peace officers Billy Khounthavong and Richard Lewis requested a search warrant and authoried a phony search warrant affidavit, rogue peace officers Lying Billy and Richard Lews had both determined that KING AROGANT's original GoPro video recordings would exonerate him, and that was when they decided to recklessly and willfully send KINGAROGANT'S exculpatory original video recordings to County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department Fraud and Cyber Crimes Bureau's Southern California High Tech Task Force **[Which is jointly operated with Ventura County Sheriff's Office]** to manipulate the original GoPro video recordings and frame KING AROGANT by

recklessly creating a phony artificial intelligent GoPro video recording (GX010586) of KING AROGANT shutting off his GoPro camera by finding a previously recorded video frame sequence of KING AROGANT shutting off his GoPro camera before February 21, 2023, and then pasting this same previously recorded video frame sequence at the end of the separated altered GoPro video GX010586, which, before the County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department Fraud and Cyber Crimes Bureau's Southern California High Tech Task Force peace officers' willful, reckless, wanton, malicious, careless, and callous alteration, was one continuous and uninterrupted nearly seventeen-minute GoPro video recording. County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, George Gascón **(SBN # 182345)**, Leo Ka Fong Lo, Brian MARK Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**, and Victor Manuel Rodriguez's **(SBN # 156150)** evidence of reckless, willful, malicious, wanton, and callous fabrication and tampering of evidence is proven by clear and convincing evidence, easily by two facts.

Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280)**, Brian MARK Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**, Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)**, and numerous other County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors have all willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, carelessly, and callously violated KING AROGANT'S *Brady rights*, U.S.C.A. Const. Amend XIV Due Process Clause, constitutional civil rights, California constitutional rights, County of Los Angeles, District Attorney's Office, Discovery Compliance System Manual, County of Los Angeles, District Attorney's Office, Legal Policies Manual: Chapter 14: Disclosure of Exculpatory and Impeachment Information, California Constitution, Article I, § 7, and California Penal Code § 1054, and State Bar of California Rules of Professional Conduct

Rule 3.8 by intentionally and recklessly failing to turn over impeaching phony GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 between February 24, 2023, and August 5, 2025 (twenty-nine consecutive months) by outright refusing to turn these phony GoPro video recordings over despite numerous requests in writing, in court motions, during telephone conversations, during facsimile transmissions, through electronic mailing, and by recklessly showing and admitting these phony impeaching LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 into a State of California Criminal Superior Court on April 4, 2023, April 5, 2023, and February 2, 2024, without first providing KING AROGANT or his investigators Carlos Anthony Jackson and Joel Wyenn and also court-appointed co-counsel Neil Opdahl with a copy before presenting these phony impeaching video recordings to the State of California Alhambra Superior Court while the original GoPro video recordings were in George Gascón and RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg's physical possession and then were presented to a State of California Superior Court without any evidence that these phony LASD-created GoPro videos recordings GL010586 and GL010587 were ever copied from KING AROGANT's original GoPro video recordings that were kept in the possession of both Billy S. Khounthavong and Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department Southern California High Tech Task Force, which was a direct violation of California Evidence Code § 1522.

Leo Ka Fong Lo, George Gascón, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, and Brian MARK Rosenberg NEVER TURNED OVER PLAYABLE COPIES OF ORIGINAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GX010586, GX010587, and GX010588 NOT EVEN TO LYING AND RACIST EXPERT WITNESS THOMAS LEO GUZMAN-SANCHEZ, who was hired by KING AROGANT and compensated nearly $ 13,000 by the Superior

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Court of California, County of Los Angeles's auxiliary funds to compare real GoPro video recordings to phony impeaching GoPro video recordings played and presented at and into Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court, Department 1 on April 4, 2023, April 5, 2023, and February 2, 2024.

Leo Ka Fong Lo, George Gascón, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, and Brian MARK Rosenberg NEVER TURNED OVER PHONY AND IMPEACHING GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GL010586 AND GX010587 NOT EVEN TO LYING AND RACIST EXPERT WITNESS THOMAS LEO GUZMAN-SANCHEZ, who was hired to compare real GoPro video recordings to phony impeaching GoPro video recordings played and presented at and into Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court, Department 1 on April 4, 2023, April 5, 2023, and February 2, 2024.

The still images taken from KING AROGANT's real GoPro video recordings GX010586 and GX010587 depicting him wearing a long-sleeved coat, his GoPro camera and chest harness, prove by clear and convincing evidence that the County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office, Leo Ka Fong Lo, George Gascón, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Sheriff Robert Luna, RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg, and numerous other named and unnamed County of Los Angeles employees recklessly, willfully, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, wantonly, and callously violated California Government Code § 6200 by tampering with evidence and presenting false evidence into a criminal Superior Court of California courthouse by showing it phony LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 that falsely depicted KING AROGANT shutting on his GoPro camera with a bare right arm

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

while he was wearing dark-colored shorts and a tank top (GL010586), and falsely depicted KING AROGANT walking to Jennifer Hutton Heger's front door, yet never being seen standing in her mirror, like what is shown and depicted in real GoPro video recordings (GL010587)

See it here again:

https://youtu.be/IHH9GbgJjsQ?si=iCiP6qHMt04aDeq3

The California Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training's Basic Course Workbook Series provides:

Execution of a Search Warrant

Introduction Even if sufficient probable cause has been established and a search warrant has been issued, evidence can still be excluded if the warrant itself is not executed within the law.

Securing an area pending issuance of a search warrant

Under very limited circumstances peace officers may secure a residence while in the process of obtaining a search warrant. In addition to probable cause to search, they also need exigencies, that is, a belief, based on the surrounding circumstances or information at hand, that the evidence will likely be destroyed or removed before a search warrant can

be obtained. An area may be secured pending issuance of a search warrant if the suspect has been arrested inside the location. An area may be secured pending issuance of a search warrant if companions of the suspect may destroy items sought upon learning of the arrest.

NOTE: Refusal of consent to enter, by itself, does not provide justification to secure the premises pending issuance of a search warrant.

Id. **at LD 16: Chapter 2-Warrant Searches and Seizures 2-12, found on page 43 of 176**

Billy Khounthavong and Richard Lewis's bogus search warrant was not executed according to the California Penal Code law, because they both knew there was zero evidence to support any probable cause that KING AROGANT's GoPro camera would show him committing a felony. Further, based on their training in the POST manual and the real GoPro video recording witnessed by over one hundred County of Los Angeles peace officers and attorneys receiving the video download on November 28, 2023, the bogus search warrant was not supported by any probable cause whatsoever.

The California Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training's Basic Course Workbook Series provides:

Execution of a Search Warrant, Continued

LD 16: Chapter 2 – Warrant Searches and Seizures 2-13

Examples Undercover officers arranged to purchase a kilo of cocaine. The seller, after showing a sample and seeing the money, drove to his supplier's residence a few miles away, obtained the cocaine, returned to the officers, made the sale, and was arrested. Other officers, who followed the seller and kept the supplier's residence under surveillance, entered and secured the residence pending procurement of a search warrant. A male suspect was working with a female suspect selling drugs from the woman's residence. A few blocks from the woman's house, in public and in front of onlookers, police stopped the male suspect and arrested him with drugs he had admittedly obtained from his female partner. The officers had reason to believe that the female partner might learn of the arrest or become suspicious when the male suspect did not return as scheduled. The circumstances were sufficient to justify entering and securing the residence while waiting for a search warrant.

***Id.* at LD 16: Chapter 2-Warrant Searches and Seizures 2-13, found on page 44 of 176**

Based on the above POST manual examples, it should be clear that Billy Khounthavong and Richard Lewis's false statements that KING AROGANT's GoPro camera would show him committing a felony did not constitute grounds for the execution

of a search on KING AROGANT's GoPro camera, because based on the original GoPro video recording uploaded to KING AROGANT's Instagram channel, they both had already reviewed the GoPro without a search warrant prior to even saying in their fabricated police report that they had obtained a search warrant. Based on this illegal search, before requesting a search warrant, they both determined that KING AROGANT's original GoPro video recording would exonerate him, and this is when they decided to send KING AROGANT's exculpatory original video recording to the County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department Fraud and Cyber Crimes Bureau's Southern California High Tech Task Force, which is jointly operated with Ventura County Sheriff's Office to manipulate the videos and frame KING AROGANT by recklessly creating a video of KING AROGANT shutting off his GoPro camera by finding a previously recorded video frame sequence of KING AROGANT shutting off his GoPro camera before February 21, 2023, and then pasting this same previously recorded video frame sequence at the end of the separated and altered GoPro video GL010586, which before LASD Southern California High Tech Task Force's reckless alteration, was one continuous GOPRO video recording. This evidence of reckless, willful, malicious, wanton, and callous fabrication and tampering of evidence is proven by clear and convincing evidence easily by two facts:

(1) The People, Janis Eve Johnson, Brian MARK Rosenberg, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, and numerous other County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors have recklessly violated KING AROGANT's *Brady rights*, U.S.C.A. Const. Amend XIV Due Process constitutional rights, California constitutional rights, County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office Discovery Compliance System Manual, County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office Legal Policies Manual: Chapter 14: Disclosure of Exculpatory and Impeachment Information, California Constitution, Article I, § 7, and California Penal Code § 1054 by intentionally and recklessly failing to turn over impeaching phony GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 between February 2023 and June 2024 (sixteen consecutive months), outright refusing to turn it over despite numerous requests in writing, in court motions, during telephone conversations, during facsimile transmissions, through electronic mailing, and recklessly showing and admitting phony impeaching LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 into a State of California Criminal Superior Court on April 4, 2023, April 5, 2023, and February 2, 2023, without first providing KING AROGANT or his investigators with a copy before presenting phony impeaching video recordings to the State of California, Alhambra Superior Court, while the original GoPro video recordings were in their possession and presented to a State of California Superior Court, without any evidence that these phony GoPro video recordings GX010586 and GX010587 were copied from KING AROGANT's original GoPro video recordings were kept in the possession of both Billy S. Khounthavong and the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department Southern California High Tech Task Force, a direct violation of California Evidence Code §

1521, THE PEOPLE NEVER TURNED OVER PLAYABLE COPIES OF ORIGINAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS NOT EVEN TO LYING AND RACIST EXPERT WITNESS THOMAS LEO GUZMAN-SANCHEZ, who was hired to compare real GoPro video recordings to phony and impeaching GoPro video recordings played and presented to the Superior Court on April 4, 2023, April 5, 2023, and February 2, 2023.

(2) The still images taken from KING AROGANT's real GOPRO video recordings depicting him wearing a long sleeve coat and his GoPro camera and chest harness prove by clear and convincing evidence that the County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office and Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office recklessly, willfully, maliciously, wantonly, and callously violated California Government Code § 6200 by tampering with evidence and presenting false evidence into a State of California Superior Court by showing it phony LASD-created GOPRO video recordings the depicted KING AROGANT shutting on his GOPRO camera while wearing dark-colored shorts and a tank top, and depicted KING AROGANT walking to Jennifer Hutton Heger's front door yet never been seen standing in her mirror.

See here again:

https://www.instagram.com/reel/Cz0sb6auaos/?utm_source=ig_web_copy_link&igshid=MzRlODBiNWFlZA==

The California Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training's Basic Course Workbook Series provides:

Execution of a Search Warrant, Continued

LD 16: Chapter 2 – Warrant Searches and Seizures 2-15

Time limit for service Penal Code Section 1534 states that the search warrant shall be executed and returned within 10 days from issuance. The 10-day time limit means that peace officers have 10 days within which to execute the warrant, beginning with the day after the warrant is issued and running until midnight of the 10th day, with no exceptions for weekends or holidays.

NOTE: It is a felony for a peace officer to willfully disclose the existence of a search warrant, prior to its execution, for the purpose of preventing the search or seizure. (Penal Code Section 168) Failure to make a timely execution.

If the 10-day period has expired, peace officers must either:
obtain a new warrant resubmit the expired warrant so it may be reissued and revalidated
Failure to make a timely return

The return of the warrant means returning the warrant and a written inventory of the property taken to the magistrate (PC 1537). The rule for return of the warrant is slightly different than for execution. If the 10th day falls on a weekend or holiday, then peace officers are entitled to postpone returning the warrant until the next business day.

A late return will not normally invalidate the warrant or result in suppression, particularly if it happens unintentionally, unless the defendant can show prejudice.

Time of service

Normally, a search warrant may be served only between the hours of 7:00 a.m. and 10:00 p.m.

***Id.* at LD 16: Chapter 2-Warrant Searches and Seizures 2-15, found on page 46 of 176**

Billy Khounthavong never documented in his original fabricated supplemental police report that he served a copy of his bogus search warrant upon KING AROGANT. Nor in his numerous supplemental and follow-up police reports does he mention that he served a copy of his bogus police report upon KING AROGANT. Yet on or about September 9, 2023, he alleged on a forged search warrant return that he served a copy of his bogus search warrant upon KING AROGANT on February 23, 2023. LIAR! KING AROGANT was being transported from LASD Temple City to Alhambra Superior Court at 7:30 a.m. Pacific Standard Time. KING AROGANT was not even in the building to be served, as Billy Khounthavong falsely alleged. KING AROGANT was never served a copy of his bogus search warrant. The fact that Billy S. Khounthavong attempted to file a search warrant return seven months after the fact proves that it was never issued. More in-depth information will be provided in a complaint about Billy Khounthavong regarding the search warrant return in a separate email.

Rogue and dishonest County of Los Angeles peace officers Billy Khounthavong and Richard Lewis failed to document in their original fabricated supplemental police report that they served a copy of their bogus search warrant upon KING AROGANT. Nor in Lying Billy and Richard Lewis, numerous supplemental and follow-up police reports did they mention that they had served a copy of their bogus search warrant upon KING AROGANT. Yet on or about September 9, 2023, Lying Billy alleged on a forged search warrant return that he had served a copy of his bogus search warrant upon KING AROGANT on February 23, 2023. LIAR. On February 23, 2023, KING AROGANT was transported from the County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, Temple City, to the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court at 7:30 AM Pacific Standard Time. KING AROGANT was not even in the building to be served, as Billy Khounthavong falsely alleged on his phony and bogus search warrant return that he attempted to file with the Pasadena Superior Court in September 2023. KING AROGANT was never served a copy of Lying Billy and Richard Lewis's bogus, phony, and counterfeit search warrant. The fact that Billy S. Khounthavong attempted to file a search warrant return seven months after the fact proves that it was never issued.

KING AROGANT was prejudiced because he was never served a copy of the search warrant, and this made it much harder for him to defend against the search warrant. Also, since the search warrant was never served upon KING AROGANT when it could have been. The execution of the alleged mysterious search warrant was void and invalidated. Once again, Billy Khounthavong and Richard Lewis willfully, recklessly, and wantonly violated their California Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training search warrant policies and procedures they learned while in training with POST. KING

AROGANT suffered prejudice because Billy Khounthavong and Richard Lewis forged a search warrant return seven months later, and their intentional non-service of their bogus search warrant recklessly and wantonly violated KING AROGANT's Fourth Amendment Search and Seizure Clause and Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional rights.

The California Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training's Basic Course Workbook Series provides:

Execution of a Search Warrant, Continued
2-20 LD 16: Chapter 2 – Warrant Searches and Seizures

Scope and specificity of a search warrant

During a search authorized by a search warrant, officers are limited by the information specified in the search warrant. (This is known as the scope of the search.)

Search warrants must include specific:
· statutory grounds for issuance
· identification of the area(s) or person(s) that may be searched
· identification of the item(s) to be seized
If an area is searched or an item is seized that is beyond the scope of the warrant, the evidence may be excluded later at trial.

Id. at LD 16: Chapter 2-Warrant Searches and Seizures 2-20, found on page 51 of 176

On page 1 of 6 of Billy Khounthavong and Richard Lewis's bogus search warrant the following is stated:

SEALING ORDER REQUESTED: _____YES X ____NO

THUS, IT IS EXTREMELY ODD THAT BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG AND RICHARD LEWIS'S BOGUS SEARCH WARRANT WAS NEVER SEALED BY THE PASADENA SUPERIOR COURT. THE PASADENA SUPERIOR COURT CAN NOT FIND AND HAS NO PHYSICAL AND/OR ELECTRONIC COURT RECORDS OF IT EVER BEING ISSUED AND APPROVED BY ANY PASADENA SUPERIOR COURT JUDICIAL OFFICER.

Near the end of page 1 of 6 of Billy Khounthavong and Richard Lewis's bogus search warrant, the following is stated to be ordered by the Court:

FOR THE FOLLOWING PROPERTY:

All data and/or content that constitutes evidence and instrumentalities of criminal threats, February 21, 2023. ***Id*** at page 1 of 6

At the rigged and unconstitutional preliminary hearing that commenced on April 4, 2023, and concluded on April 5, 2023, Billy Khounthavong violated POST training and policies, LASD policies and procedures, and of course, California Government Code **§ 6200** by testifying falsely that KING AROGANT shut off his GoPro camera for seven minutes on February 21, 2023, after he had presented to the court, tainted and manipulated GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 based on a bogus, never-issued search warrant.

On April 5, 2023, Billy Khounthavong testified that he instructed Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department Southern California High Tech Task Force peace officers to download GoPro video recordings for the past two days. Further, disgraced and dishonest Brian Mark Rosenberg willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, carelessly, wantonly, deliberately, and callously violated California Business and Professions Code § 6068, California Government Code § 6200, California State Bar Rule 3.3 and California State Bar Rule 4.1 by allowing Billy Khounthavong to testify falsely that KING AROGANT shut his GoPro camera off for seven minutes on February 21, 2023, while the original GoPro video recording of KING AROGANT doing nothing wrong was in the physical possession of Brian Mark Rosenberg, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, James Garrison, Ronald Geltz, Stephanie Pearl Mire and other emailed County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors the entire time!

At the preliminary hearing on April 5, 2023, Billy Khounthavong testified almost verbatim to the following:

"I sent the GOPRO to our High-Tech bureau. Detectives there downloaded the data from the GOPRO itself, and I received the data from them."

On April 5, 2025, at the preliminary hearing County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department. Richard Lewis and Billy Khounthavong admitted that they framed KING AROGANT by sending his GoPro camera to a law enforcement bureau whose job duties did not include reviewing video footage obtained in a criminal threats' investigation.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

At the preliminary hearing on April 5, 2025, LASD, Richard Lewis, and Billy Khounthavong admitted that they framed KING AROGANT by instructing LASD Fraud and Cyber Crimes Southern California High Tech Task Force rogue detectives to illegally search, access, and copy KING AROGANT's GoPro camera video recordings recorded before February 21, 2023, while SCHTT was a law enforcement bureau whose job duties did not include reviewing video footage obtained in a criminal threats investigation. Billy Khounthavong and Richard Lewis knew that their bogus search warrant did not authorize them to obtain access to KING AROGANT's GoPro video recordings recorded on February 20, 2023. Thus, Billy Khounthavong, Richard Lewis, and the LASD Fraud and Cyber Crimes Bureau's actions and conduct of accessing, searching, copying, editing, and altering KING AROGANT's GoPro video recordings recorded prior to February 21, 2023, willfully, recklessly, and wantonly violated the California Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training procedures and policies, KING AROGANT's Fourth Amendment Search and Seizure constitutional rights, and his Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional rights. Since Billy Khounthavong, Richard Lewis, and the LASD Fraud and Cyber Crimes Bureau created a GoPro video recording showing KING AROGANT shutting off his GoPro camera just before he reentered Jennifer Hutton-Heger's home on the fateful and unfortunate morning of February 21, 2023, and because their bogus video recording was knowingly false and presented to a Superior Court, they all recklessly, maliciously, and wantonly violated California Government Code § 6200 because their actions were knowingly false and intentional, and the access of KING AROGANT's GoPro video recordings recorded prior to February 21, 2023, were outside of the scope of Billy Khounthavong's bogus search warrant. ***Id.*** at page 1

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: **arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com**
Phone: 206.471.1344

The California Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training's Basic Course Workbook Series provides:

Execution of a Search Warrant, Continued

2-22 LD 16: Chapter 2 – Warrant Searches and Seizures

Nexus rule

Under the nexus rule, officers may seize items not listed in the warrant when:

· the items are discovered while the officers are conducting a lawful search for the listed evidence, and
· they have probable cause to believe the item is contraband, evidence of criminal behavior, or would otherwise aid in the apprehension or conviction of the criminal

Nexus means a reasonable connection or link between two or more items.

***Id.* at LD 16: Chapter 2-Warrant Searches and Seizures 2-22, found on page 53 of 176**

Execution of a Search Warrant, Continued

LD 16: Chapter 2 – Warrant Searches and Seizures 2-23

Examples During a warrant search for narcotics, officers found a sawed-off shotgun in the trunk of the suspect's car. Although the weapon was not named in the search warrant, it was seized by the officers as an illegal weapon.

While searching a suspect's residence on a murder case, officers seized a pair of shoes with a "waffle-like" pattern on the soles even though the shoes were not described in the search warrant. The seizure of the shoes was legal because one of the officers had personal knowledge that waffle-like shoeprints were left at the scene of the crime by the suspect.

Id. at **LD 16: Chapter 2-Warrant Searches and Seizures 2-23, found on page 54 of 176**

Billy Khounthavong, Richard Lewis, and the County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department Fraud and Cyber Crimes Bureau willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, carelessly, deliberately, wantonly, and callously violated California Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training policies and procedures, and County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department Policy Archival and Retrieval System, Manual of Policy and Procedures, Volume 2—Organization and Functions, Chapter 5 because they all had no probable cause to believe that KING AROGANT's GoPro video recordings, recorded prior to February 21, 2023, contained on them evidence of criminal behavior.

Billy Khounthavong, Richard Lewis, and the LASD Fraud and Cyber Crimes Bureau willfully violated the California Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training policies and procedures because they all had no probable cause to believe that KING AROGANT's GoPro video recordings, recorded prior to February 21, 2023,

contained on it evidence of criminal conduct that would have aided them with convicting KING AROGANT.

Chapter Synopsis

Learning need to search for and seize evidence legally, peace officers must know the rules and requirements for obtaining and executing a search warrant.
Probable cause and search warrants
[16.02.2]

Peace officers must establish probable cause that:
· a crime has been committed
· evidence of the crime exists
· the evidence sought is located at the place to be searched

Securing an area

[16.02.7]
Under very limited circumstances peace officers may secure a residence while in the process of obtaining a search warrant. In addition to probable cause to search, they also need exigencies, that is, a belief, based on the surrounding circumstances or information at hand, that the evidence will likely be destroyed or removed before a search warrant can be obtained.

Time
limitations
[16.02.8]

Penal Code Section 1534 states that the search warrant shall be executed and returned within 10 days from issuance, beginning with the day after the warrant is issued and running until midnight of the 10th day, with no exceptions for weekends or holidays. A search warrant may be served only between the hours of 7:00 a.m. and 10:00 p.m., unless designated for nighttime service by the magistrate issuing the warrant.

Billy Khounthavong and Richard Lewis knew that they had no probable cause to continue to imprison KING AROGANT. After watching the original GoPro video recording, they should have done the right thing and released KING AROGANT immediately. Instead, the evil-minded and corrupt white and brown devils framed KING AROGANT by sending his exculpatory GoPro videos to LASD Fraud and Cyber Crimes Bureau to be manipulated and altered so they could convince the Alhambra Superior Court that KING AROGANT committed a felony crime by making a criminal threat on February 21, 2023.

LASD Fraud and Cyber Crimes Bureau detectives had a duty to report to the Los Angeles County District Attorney's office that KING AROGANT's original GoPro video recordings did not show him committing a felony after they had, of course, reviewed KING AROGANT's original GX010586 GOPRO video recorded on February 21, 2023,

beginning at the exact approximately 9:59 a.m. and ending at approximately 10:14 a.m. Instead, LASD Fraud and Cyber Crimes Bureau detective peace officers intentionally framed KING AROGANT by splitting up one original GoPro video recording into three other video recordings, and then creating a GoPro video recording that depicted a false video frame sequence of KING AROGANT shutting off his GoPro camera as he stood inside the house of the now-deceased Jennifer Hutton-Heger. All LASD Fraud and Cyber Crimes Bureau detectives who were associated with this shady and corrupt criminal threats investigation was guilty of willfully, maliciously, recklessly, and wantonly violating California Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training policies and procedures and California Government Code § 6200.

Warrantless Searches in General, Continued

LD 16: Chapter 3 – Warrantless Searches and Seizures 3-10

Establishing the basis for a warrantless search or seizure

In deciding whether a warrantless search or seizure was legal, courts will always consider the totality of the circumstances. However, peace officers must always have specific facts to demonstrate the search or seizure fell within one of the exceptions to the warrant requirement.

***Id.* at LD 16: Chapter 3-Warrantless Searches and Seizures 3-10, found on page 70 of 176**

BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG TESTIFIED AFTER THE PRELIMINARY HEARING THAT HE INSTRUCTED PEACE OFFICERS FROM LASD'S FRAUD AND CYBER CRIME BUREAU'S SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA HIGH TASK FORCE [A PARTNERSHIP TASK FORCE OPERATED WITH VENTURA SHERIFF'S OFFICE] TO DOWNLOAD GOPRO VIDEOS FOR FEBRUARY 20, 2023, TO FEBRUARY 21, 2023.

THREE THINGS STAND OUT HERE:

1. HOW DID BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG KNOW THAT GOPRO CAMERA CONTAINED VIDEOS PRIOR TO FEBRUARY 21, 2023? THE ONLY WAY THE CROOKED AND DISGRACED PEACE OFFICER BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG COULD HAVE KNOWN THOSE DETAILS IS IF HE SEARCHED THE GOPRO CAMERA'S VIDEO RECORDINGS PRIOR TO SENDING KING AROGANT'S GOPRO CAMERA TO LASD'S SOTHERN CALIFORNIA HIGH TECH TASK FORCE.

2. THE WARRANTLESS SEARCH OF KING AROGANT'S GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS PREVIOUSLY RECORDED ON FEBRUARY 20, 2023, WAS ILLEGAL AND WAS NOT AUTHORIZED IN THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT MAKE-BELIEVE NO ELECTRONIC COURT RECORDS EXISTING BOGUS AND COUNTERFEIT SEARCH WARRANT.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: **aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com**
Phone: 206.471.1344

3. THE ORIGINAL GOPRO VIDEO SENT TO ALL WHO ARE ON KING AROGANT'S EMAIL LIST PROVES THAT THE ONLY REASON WHY BILLY K. AND LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT ILLEGALLY SEARCHED, ACCESSED, AND COPIED KING AROGANT'S GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS THAT WERE RECORDED PRIOR TO FEBRUARY 21, 2023, WAS SO THAT THEY COULD FIND A GOPRO VIDEO OF KING AROGANT SHUTTING OFF HIS GOPRO CAMERA SO AND THEN USE THOSE SAME VIDEO RECORDINGS' VIDEO FRAME IMAGE SEQUENCES TO COPY AND PASTE INTO A VIDEO FRAME SEQUENCE OF KING AROGANT REENTERING THE HOME OF LYING DECEASED CUNT JENNIFER HUTTON-HEGER, FOR THE SOLE PURPOSE OF BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG AND BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG FRAMING KING AROGANT AT THE PRELIMINARY HEARING BY MISLEADING THE SUPERIOR COURT BY SHOWING IT A GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING OF KING AROGANT SHUTTING OFF HIS GOPRO CAMERA. IN THE FURTHERANCE OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY'S CONSPIRACY TO FRAME KING AROGANT FOR A SERIOUS AND VIOLENT CRIME, IT KNEW THAT KING AROGANT DID NOT COMMIT.

BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG WILLFULLY, RECKLESSLY, CARELESSLY, MALICIOUSLY, KNOWINGLY, DELIBERATELY, WANTONLY, AND CALLOUSLY VIOLATED KING AROGANT'S FOURTH AMENDMENT SEARCH AND SEIZURE CLAUSE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: **aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com**
Phone: 206.471.1344

BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG WILLFULLY, RECKLESSLY, CARELESSLY, MALICIOUSLY, KNOWINGLY, DELIBERATELY, WANTONLY, AND CALLOUSLY VIOLATED KING AROGANT 'S FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT DUE PROCESS CLAUSE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS.

BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG WILLFULLY, MALICIOUSLY, KNOWINGLY, RECKLESSLY, CARELESSLY, DELIBERATELY, AND CALLOUSLY VIOLATED CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 6200 AND HE MUST BE CRIMINALLY CHARGED!

BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG WILLFULLY, MALICIOUSLY, RECKLESSLY, KNOWINGLY, CARELESSLY, WANTONLY, DELIBERATELY, AND CALLOUSLY VIOLATED CALIFORNIA BUSINESS & PROFESSIONS CODE § 6068 by knowingly and intentionally presenting to the Court false evidence in the form of a manipulated and altered GOPRO video recordings GL010586 and GL010587.

BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG WILLFULLY, MALICIOUSLY, RECKLESSLY, KNOWINGLY, DELIBERATELY, CARELESSLY, AND CALLOUSLY VIOLATED STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA RULE 3.3, CANDOR TOWARD TRIBUNAL BY DOING MANY THINGS IN THIS FRIVOLOUS CASE, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO knowingly and intentionally presenting to the Alhambra Superior Court false evidence in the form of a manipulated and altered GOPRO video recording.

BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG WILLFULLY, MALICIOUSLY, RECKLESSLY, DELIBERATELY, KNOWINGLY, WANTONLY, CARELESSLY, AND CALLOUSLY VIOLATED STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA RULE 4.1, TRUTHFULNESS IN STATEMENTS TO OTHERS BY DOING MANY ILLEGAL THINGS AND ENGAGING IN UNETHICAL ACTIONS IN FRIVOLOUS CASES GA114055, 25CJCM02162, and 25STRO03574, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO knowingly and intentionally turning over false electronic, physical, and audio criminal discovery to case GA114055 court-appointed co-counsel NEIL E. OPDAHL LOPEZ [STATE BAR #

277596], presenting and admitting altered and tainted video recording evidence, testifying falsely in a criminal proceeding regarding GoPro video recording evidence, and filing a false city of Alhambra police report.

BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG WILLFULLY, MALICIOUSLY, RECKLESSLY, KNOWINGLY, DELIBERATELY, CARELESSLY, AND CALLOUSLY VIOLATED KING AROGANT'S FOURTH AMENDMENT SEARCH AND SEIZURE CLAUSE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS.

BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG WILLFULLY, MALICIOUSLY, RECKLESSLY, KNOWINGLY, DELIBERATELY, CARELESSLY, AND CALLOUSLY VIOLATED KING AROGANT'S FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT DUE PROCESS CLAUSE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS.

BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG WILLFULLY, MALICIOUSLY, RECKLESSLY, KNOWINGLY, DELIBERATELY, CARELESSLY, AND CALLOUSLY VIOLATED CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 6200 AND HE MUST BE CRIMINALLY CHARGED!

RICHARD LEWIS WILLFULLY, MALICIOUSLY, RECKLESSLY, KNOWINGLY, DELIBERATELY, CARELESSLY, AND CALLOUSLY VIOLATED KING AROGANT'S FOURTH AMENDMENT SEARCH AND SEIZURE CLAUSE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS.

RICHARD LEWIS WILLFULLY, MALICIOUSLY, RECKLESSLY, KNOWINGLY, DELIBERATELY, CARELESSLY, AND CALLOUSLY VIOLATED KING AROGANT'S FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT DUE PROCESS CLAUSE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS.

RICHARD LEWIS WILLFULLY, MALICIOUSLY, RECKLESSLY, KNOWINGLY, DELIBERATELY, CARELESSLY, AND CALLOUSLY VIOLATED CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 6200 AND HE MUST BE CRIMINALLY CHARGED!

LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT FRAUD AND CYBER CRIMES BUREAU'S SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA HIGH TECH TASK FORCE PEACE OFFICERS WILLFULLY, MALICIOUSLY, RECKLESSLY, KNOWINGLY, DELIBERATELY, CARELESSLY, AND CALLOUSLY

VIOLATED KING AROGANT'S FOURTH AMENDMENT SEARCH AND SEIZURE CLAUSE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS.

LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT FRAUD AND CYBER CRIMES BUREAU'S SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA HIGH TECH TASK FORCE PEACE OFFICERS WILLFULLY, MALICIOUSLY, RECKLESSLY, KNOWINGLY, DELIBERATELY, CARELESSLY, AND CALLOUSLY VIOLATED KING AROGANT'S FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT DUE PROCESS CLAUSE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS.

LASD FRAUD AND CYBER CRIMES BUREAU'S SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA HIGH TECH TASK FORCE PEACE OFFICERS WILLFULLY, MALICIOUSLY, RECKLESSLY, KNOWINGLY, DELIBERATELY, CARELESSLY, AND CALLOUSLY VIOLATED CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 6200, AND THEY MUST BE CRIMINALLY CHARGED!

County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department's Fraud and Cyber Crimes Bureau's Southern California High Tech Task Force rogue peace officers to search illegally, access and copy KING AROGANT's GoPro camera video recordings recorded before February 21, 2023, while Southern California High Tech Task Force was a law

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

enforcement bureau whose job duties did not include reviewing video footage obtained in a criminal threats investigation. Billy Khounthavong and Richard Lewis knew that their bogus search warrant did not authorize them to obtain access to KING AROGANT's GoPro video recordings that were created by him on February 20, 2023. Thus, Billy Khounthavong, Richard Lewis, Leo Ka Fong Lo, George Gascón, County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department Fraud and Cyber Crimes Bureau's Southern California High Tech Task Force and numerous other County of Los Angeles employees' illegal acts, actions, misconduct, misdeeds, and transgressions of accessing, searching, copying, editing, and altering KING AROGANT's GoPro video recordings recorded prior to February 21, 2023, willfully, knowingly, carelessly, recklessly, maliciously, callously, and wantonly violated California Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training procedures and policies, the United States Constitution, and KING AROGANT's Fourth Amendment Search and Seizure constitutional civil rights, and his Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights. Since Billy Khounthavong, Richard Lewis, Richard Lewis, Leo Ka Fong Lo, George Gascón, County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department Fraud and Cyber Crimes Bureau's Southern California High Tech Task Force, and numerous other County of Los Angeles employees created a GoPro video recording showing KING AROGANT shutting off his GoPro camera just before he reentered Jennifer Hutton-Heger's home on the fateful and unfortunate morning of February 21, 2023, and because their bogus video recordings were knowingly false and presented to a Superior Court of California, they all recklessly, maliciously, and wantonly violated California Government Code§ 6200 because their actions were knowingly false and intentional, and the access of KING AROGANT's GoPro video recordings recorded prior to February 21, 2023, was outside of the scope of Billy Khounthavong's

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

bogus search warrant. ***Id.*** at page 1

On or about March 28, 2023, the rogue and dishonest County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutor Brian Mark Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)** willfully, recklessly, wantonly, carelessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, and callously violated California Business and Professions Code § 6068, State Bar of California, California Rules of Professional Conduct Rules (Revised 2023), Rules 1.2.1 Advising or Assisting the Violation of Law, Rule 3.1 Meritorious Claims and Contentions, Rule 3.3 Candor Toward the Tribunal, Rule 3.4 Fairness to Opposing Party and Counsel, and Rule 3.8 Special Responsibilities of a Prosecutor by recklessly failing to turn over impeaching phony GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587.

On or about March 28, 2023, the rogue and dishonest County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutor Brian Mark Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)** willfully, recklessly, wantonly, carelessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, and callously violated California Business and Professions Code § 6068, State Bar of California, California Rules of Professional Conduct Rules (Revised 2023), Rules 1.2.1 Advising or Assisting the Violation of Law, Rule 3.1 Meritorious Claims and Contentions, Rule 3.3 Candor Toward the Tribunal, Rule 3.4 Fairness to Opposing Party and Counsel, and Rule 3.8 Special Responsibilities of a Prosecutor by recklessly failing to turn over original GoPro video recording GX010586.

At the rigged and unconstitutional preliminary hearing held on April 4, 2023, and April 5, 2023, rogue and corrupt peace officer Billy S. Khounthavong testified that he requested

Southern California High Tech Task Force peace officers download and retrieve GoPro video recordings from KING AROGANT's GoPro camera that were recorded on February 20, 2023, and February 21, 2023. Lying Billy's testimony proved that he illegally accessed and retrieved KING AROGANT's GoPro video recordings prior to obtaining a search

Between February 21, 2023, and October 31, 2024, Defendants Leo Ka Fong Lo and George Gascón willfully, knowingly, recklessly, wantonly, carelessly, maliciously, and callously committed reckless under color of law FRAUD by recklessly mispresenting to, lying to, falsely representing to, and fabricating lies and creating false evidence to a Superior Court of California criminal courthouse that KING AROGANT shut off his GoPro camera between 9:59 AM and 10:06 AM on February 21, 2023, by them recklessly presenting to a Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court felony criminal courtroom phony LASD-created and manipulated unoriginal GoPro video recordings GX010586 and GX010587 that depict KING AROGANT shutting off his GoPro camera wearing different clothing than he had on February 21, 2023, which was reckless under color of law FRAUD done by Defendants Gascon and GoPro Manipulator by them using, by them doing, by them executing, by them manipulating, by them employing, by them directing, and by them otherwise engaging in illusory and manipulated illegal acts of deceit, deception, fraud, conspiracies, concealment, and corruption so that Defendants Gascón and GoPro Manipulator could gain an unfair, unjust, illegal, unlawful, and unconstitutional advantage over Plaintiff KING AROGANT.

Between February 21, 2023 and October 31, 2024, Leo Ka Fong Lo and George Gascón willfully, knowingly, recklessly, wantonly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, and callously committed reckless under color of law FRAUD by tricking, fooling, manipulating,

deceiving, failing to tell, and failing to inform a Superior Court of California courthouse that they were fabricating lies and creating evidence that KING AROGANT shut off his GoPro camera between 9:59 AM and 10:06 AM on February 21, 2023, by them recklessly presenting to a Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court felony criminal courtroom phony LASD-created and manipulated unoriginal GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 that depict KING AROGANT shutting off his GoPro camera wearing different clothing than he had on February 21, 2023, which was reckless under color of law FRAUD done by Brian MARK Rosenberg, George Gascon, Billy Khounthavong, Leo Ka Fong Lo, and their other numerous co-conspirators by them using, by them doing, by them executing, by them manipulating, by them employing, by them directing, and by them otherwise engaging in illusory and manipulated illegal acts of deceit, deception, fraud, conspiracies, concealment, and corruption so that Brian MARK Rosenberg, George Gascon, Billy Khounthavong, Leo Ka Fong Lo, and their other numerous co-conspirators could gain an unfair, unjust, illegal, unlawful, and unconstitutional advantage over KING AROGANT.

Between February 21, 2023, and October 31, 2024, Leo Ka Fong Lo and George Gascón willfully, knowingly, recklessly, wantonly, carelessly, maliciously, and callously committed reckless under color of law **FRAUD** by tricking, fooling, manipulating, deceiving, failing to tell, and failing to inform a Superior Court of California courthouse that they illegally seized, searched, copied, manipulated, edited, and accessed KING AROGANT's original GoPro Hero 10 camera based on a phony, bogus, and never issued Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles search warrant, which was reckless under color of law FRAUD done by Gascon and GoPro Manipulator Leo Ka Fong Lo by them using, by them doing, by them executing, by them manipulating, by them employing, by them directing, and by them otherwise engaging in

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

illusory and manipulated illegal acts of deceit, deception, fraud, conspiracies, concealment, and corruption so that Gascón and GoPro Manipulator Leo Ka Fong Lo could gain an unfair, unjust, illegal, unlawful, and unconstitutional advantage over Plaintiff KING AROGANT.

Between February 21, 2023, and October 31, 2024, Leo Ka Fong Lo and George Gascón willfully, knowingly, recklessly, wantonly, carelessly, maliciously, and callously committed reckless under color of law fraud by tricking, fooling, manipulating, deceiving, failing to tell, and failing to inform Carlos Anthony Jackson, Joel Wyenn, Thomas Leo Sanchez, Neil Opdahl **(SBN # 277596)**, and KING AROGANT that they were fabricating lies and creating evidence that KING AROGANT shut off his GoPro camera between 9:59 AM and 10:06 AM on February 21, 2023, and then recklessly presenting this false evidence into a Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court felony criminal courtroom in the electronic media format of Axon Enterprises Inc.'s evidence.com uploaded phony LASD-created and manipulated unoriginal GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 that depict KING AROGANT shutting off his GoPro camera wearing different clothing than he had on February 21, 2023, which was recklessly done by George Gascón, Brian MARK Rosenberg, GoPro Manipulator Leo Ka Fong Lo, DOES 1-10, and all their co-conspirators by them using, by them doing, by them executing, by them manipulating, by them employing, by them directing, and by them otherwise engaging in illusory and manipulated illegal acts of deceit, deception, fraud, conspiracies, concealment, and corruption so that they could gain an unfair, unjust, illegal, unlawful, and unconstitutional advantage over KING AROGANT.

Between February 21, 2023, and October 31, 2024, GoPro Manipulator Leo Ka

Fong Lo, George Gascón, Brian MARK Rosenberg, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators willfully, knowingly, recklessly, wantonly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, and callously committed reckless under color of law FRAUD by concealing from and failing to disclose to Carlos Anthony Jackson, Joel Wyenn, Thomas Leo Sanchez, Neil Opdahl **(SBN # 277596)**, and KING AROGANT fabricated and falsely created evidence in the form of phony LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 that falsely depicted and displayed KING AROGANT shutting off his GoPro camera between 9:59 AM and 10:06 AM on February 21, 2023, and then recklessly presenting this false evidence into a Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court felony criminal courtroom in the electronic media format of Axon Enterprises Inc.'s evidence.com uploaded phony LASD-created and manipulated unoriginal GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 without disclosing phony GoPro video recordings that depict KING AROGANT shutting off his GoPro camera wearing different clothing than he had on February 21, 2023, which was recklessly done by GoPro Manipulator Leo Ka Fong Lo, George Gascón, Brian MARK Rosenberg, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators by them using, by them doing, by them executing, by them manipulating, by them employing, by them directing, and by them otherwise engaging in illusory and manipulated illegal acts of deceit, deception, fraud, conspiracies, concealment, and corruption so that Defendants could gain an unfair, unjust, illegal, unlawful, and unconstitutional advantage over KING AROGANT.

Between February 21, 2023, and October 31, 2024, Leo Ka Fong Lo, Brian MARK Rosenberg, and, George Gascón willfully, knowingly, recklessly, wantonly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, and callously committed reckless under color of law FRAUD by tricking, fooling, manipulating, deceiving, failing to tell, and failing to inform a Superior Court

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

of California courthouse that they illegally seized, searched, copied, manipulated, edited, and accessed KING AROGANT's original GoPro Hero 10 camera based on a phony, bogus, and never issued Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles search warrant, which was reckless under color of law FRAUD done by George Gascón, GoPro Manipulator Leo Ka Fong Lo, Brian MARK Rosenberg, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators by them using, by them doing, by them executing, by them manipulating, by them employing, by them directing, and by them otherwise engaging in illusory and manipulated illegal acts of deceit, deception, fraud, conspiracies, concealment, and corruption so that George Gascón, Brian MARK Rosenberg, GoPro Manipulator Leo Ka Fong Lo, DOES 1-10, and all of their co-conspirators could gain an unfair, unjust, illegal, unlawful, and unconstitutional advantage over Plaintiff KING AROGANT.

Between February 21, 2023, and October 31, 2024, GoPro Manipulator Leo Ka Fong Lo, Brian MARK Rosenberg, and George Gascón willfully, knowingly, recklessly, wantonly, carelessly, maliciously, and callously committed reckless under color of law FRAUD by tricking, fooling, manipulating, deceiving, failing to tell, and failing to inform Carlos Anthony Jackson, Joel Wyenn, Thomas Leo Sanchez, Neil Opdahl **(SBN # 277596)**, and KING AROGANT that they were fabricating lies and creating false evidence that KING AROGANT shut off his GoPro camera between 9:59 AM and 10:06 AM on February 21, 2023, and then recklessly presenting this false evidence into a Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court felony criminal courtroom in the electronic media format of Axon Enterprises Inc.'s evidence.com uploaded phony LASD-created and manipulated unoriginal GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 that depict KING AROGANT shutting off his GoPro camera wearing different clothing than he had on February 21, 2023,

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: **arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com**
Phone: 206.471.1344

which was recklessly done by George Gascón, GoPro Manipulator Leo Ka Fong Lo, Brian MARK Rosenberg, DOES 1-10, and other co-conspirators by them using, by them doing, by them executing, by them manipulating, by them employing, by them directing, and by them otherwise engaging in illusory and manipulated illegal acts of deceit, deception, fraud, conspiracies, concealment, and corruption so that George Gascón, GoPro Manipulator Leo Ka Fong Lo, Brian MARK Rosenberg, DOES 1-10, and other co-conspirators could gain an unfair, unjust, illegal, unlawful, and unconstitutional advantage over Plaintiff KING AROGANT.

Between February 21, 2023, and October 31, 2024, George Gascón and Brian MARK Rosenberg, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators willfully, knowingly, recklessly, wantonly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, and callously committed reckless under color of law fraud by tricking, fooling, manipulating, deceiving, failing to tell, and failing to inform a Superior Court of California courthouse that they had illegally detained and falsely imprisoned KING AROGANT since February 21, 2023 based on a phony, bogus, and never issued Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles probable cause determination declaration, which was reckless under color of law FRAUD done by George Gascón, Brian MARK Rosenberg, DOES 1-10, and other co-conspirators by them using, by them doing, by them executing, by them manipulating, by them employing, by them directing, and by them otherwise engaging in illusory and manipulated illegal acts of deceit, deception, fraud, conspiracies, concealment, and corruption so that George Gascón, Brain MARK Rosenberg, DOES 1-10, and other co-conspirators could gain an unfair, unjust, illegal, unlawful, and unconstitutional advantage over KING AROGANT.

Rogue and dishonest County of Los Angeles peace officers Billy Khounthavong

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

and Richard Lewis knew that they had no probable cause to continue to imprison KING AROGANT on February 21, 2023, after watching the original GoPro video recording; they should have done the right thing and released KING AROGANT immediately. Instead, the evil-minded and biased peace officers framed KING AROGANT by willfully, knowingly, recklessly, deliberately, maliciously, wantonly, and callously sending his exculpatory GoPro camera and exculpatory GoPro video recordings GX010585 and GX010586 to County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department Fraud and Cyber Crimes Bureau's Southern California High Tech Task Force to be manipulated and altered into phony and bogus LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586, GL010587, and GL010588 so they could convince a Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court criminal courtroom that KING AROGANT made a criminal threat on February 21, 2023.

County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department Fraud and Cyber Crimes Bureau's Southern California High Tech Task Force peace officer detectives had a duty to report to the Los Angeles County District Attorney's office that KING AROGANT's original GoPro video recordings GX010585 and GX010586 did not show him committing a felony after they had of course, reviewed KING AROGANT's original uninterrupted and continuous nearly seventeen-minute GoPro video recording GX010586 that was recorded on February 21, 2023, beginning at the exact approximately 9:59 AM and ending at approximately 10:16 AM. Instead Southern California High Tech Task Force peace officer detectives intentionally framed KING AROGANT by splitting up one original GoPro video recording GX010586 into six separately created GoPro video recordings (GL10586, GL010587, GL010588, GL010589, GL010590, & GL010591), and then

creating a GoPro video recording (manipulated GX010586) that depicted a false video frame sequence of KING AROGANT shutting of his GoPro camera as he stood inside the house of the now deceased Jennifer Hutton-Heger. All involved County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department Fraud and Cyber Crimes Bureau peace officer detectives that were associated with this shady and County of Los Angeles corrupt felony criminal threats investigation were guilty of willfully, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, recklessly, deliberately, and wantonly violating California Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training policies and procedures and California Government Code § 6200.

Between February 23, 2023 and October 31, 2024, rogue and dishonest County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutors George Gascón **(SBN # 182345)**, Brian Mark Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**, James William Garrison **(SBN # 157070)**,Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)**, Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280)**, Stephanie Pearl **Mire (SBN # 158918)**, Sharon Lee Woo **(SBN # 148139)**, Ronald Millard Geltz **(SBN # 126699)**, DOES 1-10, and other co-conspirators willfully, knowingly, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, deliberately, and callously violated California Business and Professions Code § 6068, State Bar of California, California Rules of Professional Conduct Rules (Revised 2023), Rules 1.2.1 Advising or Assisting the Violation of Law, Rule 3.1 Meritorious Claims and Contentions, Rule 3.3 Candor Toward the Tribunal, Rule 3.4 Fairness to Opposing Party and Counsel, and Rule 3.8 Special Responsibilities of a Prosecutor by them all recklessly failing to turn over impeaching phony GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587, which was reckless under color of law **FRAUD** done by dishonest County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutors George Gascón **(SBN # 182345)**, Brian Mark Rosenberg

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

(SBN # 291501), James William Garrison **(SBN # 157070)**,Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)**, Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280)**, Stephanie Pearl **Mire (SBN # 158918)**, Sharon Lee Woo **(SBN # 148139)**, Ronald Millard Geltz **(SBN # 126699)**, DOES 1-10, and other co-conspirators by them using, by them doing, by them executing, by them manipulating, by them employing, by them directing, and by them otherwise engaging in illusory and manipulated illegal acts of deceit, deception, fraud, conspiracies, concealment, and corruption so that dishonest George Gascón **(SBN # 182345)**, Brian Mark Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**, James William Garrison **(SBN # 157070)**,Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)**, Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280)**, Stephanie Pearl **Mire (SBN # 158918)**, Sharon Lee Woo **(SBN # 148139)**, Ronald Millard Geltz **(SBN # 126699)**, DOES 1-10, and other co-conspirators could gain an unfair, unjust, illegal, unlawful, and unconstitutional advantage over KING AROGANT.

At the preliminary hearing on April 4, 2023, and April 5, 2023, dishonest deputy district attorneys Brian Mark Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**, James William Garrison **(SBN # 157070)**, Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)**, Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280)**, Stephanie Pearl **Mire (SBN # 158918)**, Sharon Lee Woo **(SBN # 148139)**, Ronald Millard Geltz **(SBN # 126699)**, County of Los Angeles District Attorney George Gascón **(SBN # 182345),** DOES 1-10, and other co-conspirators willfully, knowingly, carelessly, wantonly, recklessly, deliberately, and callously violated California Evidence Code Section 1522, subdivision (b), by presenting to a criminal Superior Court of California as evidence unoriginal, tainted, tampered with, edited, modified, and altered LASD-created GoPro video recordings.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

At the preliminary hearing on April 5, 2023, Brian Mark Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**, James William Garrison **(SBN # 157070)**, Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)**, Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280)**, Stephanie Pearl **Mire (SBN # 158918)**, Sharon Lee Woo **(SBN # 148139)**, Ronald Millard Geltz **(SBN # 126699)**, County of Los Angeles District Attorney George Gascón **(SBN # 182345),** DOES 1-10, and other co-conspirators assisted Lying County of Los Angeles Billy Khounthavong in testifying falsely that KING AROGANT shut off his GoPro camera for seven consecutive minutes (between 9:59 AM and 10:06 AM during the make-believe knife and make-believe criminal threats separate incidents. While County of Los Angeles rogue and lying peace officer Billy Khounthavong was on the witness stand, testifying falsely. Biased and dishonest criminal prosecutor Brian MARK Rosenberg played an edited and altered GoPro video recording titled "GL010586" that depicted and showed KING AROGANT shutting off his GoPro camera while he was inside the home of now-deceased fake victim Jennifer Hutton-Heger. This particular altered video recording (GL010586) was never turned over to KING AROGANT. This particular altered video recording (GL010586) was never turned over to KING AROGANT's co-counsel, Neil Opdahl (**SBN # 277596)**. This particular altered video recording (GL010586) was never turned over to KING AROGANT's private investigators, Carlos Jackson and Joel Wynn, at any time. In general, Brian Mark Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**, James William Garrison **(SBN # 157070)**, Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)**, Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280)**, Stephanie Pearl **Mire (SBN # 158918)**, Sharon Lee Woo **(SBN # 148139)**, Ronald Millard Geltz **(SBN # 126699)**, County of Los Angeles District Attorney George Gascón **(SBN # 182345),** DOES 1-10all recklessly violated KING AROGANT's United States Due Process Clause Fourteenth Amendment constitutional civil rights by recklessly

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

failing to turn over a copy of the original GoPro video recording GX010586 to KING AROGANT, and also they violated KING AROGANT's constitutional Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights by recklessly failing to turn over a copy of altered GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 that falsely showed and displayed KING AROGANT shutting off his GoPro camera (GL010586), and a separate phony LASD-created GoPro video recording (GL010587) that falsely showed AROGANT walking to Hutton's front door while never being shown standing in front of her mirror as KING AROGANT was seen doing so numerous times throughout the real GOPRO video recording GX010586.

Brian Mark Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**, James William Garrison **(SBN # 157070)**, Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)**, Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280)**, Stephanie Pearl **Mire (SBN # 158918)**, Sharon Lee Woo **(SBN # 148139)**, Ronald Millard Geltz **(SBN # 126699)**, County of Los Angeles District Attorney George Gascón **(SBN # 182345),** DOES 1-10, and other co-conspirators willfully, knowingly, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, deliberately, and callously violated KING AROGANT's United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights by recklessly failing to lodge phony LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 with the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court, Department 1 after Brian Rosenberg had recklessly presented these impeaching and misleading video recordings (GL010586 and GL010587) at KING AROGANT's rigged and unconstitutional court hearings on April 4, 2023, April 5, 2023, and February 2, 2024, in direct violation of California Rules of Court, Rule 2.1040, subdivision (b).

At the preliminary hearing, Brian Mark Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**, James William Garrison **(SBN # 157070)**, Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)**, Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280)**, Stephanie Pearl **Mire (SBN # 158918)**, Sharon Lee Woo **(SBN # 148139)**, Ronald Millard Geltz **(SBN # 126699)**, County of Los Angeles District Attorney George Gascón **(SBN # 182345),** DOES 1-10, other co-conspirators and rogue County of Los Angeles peace officer Billy Khounthavong further framed KING AROGANT by lying about the length of Jennifer Hutton-Heger's first 911 call length, by testifying falsely that her first 911 call ended at 10:07 a.m. and that her second 911 call commenced between 10:09 a.m. and 10:10 a.m. Brian Mark Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**, James William Garrison **(SBN # 157070)**, Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)**, Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280)**, Stephanie Pearl **Mire (SBN # 158918)**, Sharon Lee Woo **(SBN # 148139)**, Ronald Millard Geltz **(SBN # 126699)**, County of Los Angeles District Attorney George Gascón **(SBN # 182345),** DOES 1-10, and other co-conspirators own County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department Temple City Computer Assisted Dispatch system report shows that Hutton's second 911 call commenced at 10:08 a.m., and even the Honorable Michael Villalobos did not dispute this fact during trial when KING AROGANT's argued and presented exculpatory evidence that showed that Hutton's first call ended at approximately 10:07 AM and 50 seconds. Thus, the time gap between the end of Hutton's first 911 call and the beginning of her second 911 call was approximately 30 seconds. Brian Mark Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**, James William Garrison **(SBN # 157070)**, Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)**, Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280)**, Stephanie Pearl **Mire (SBN # 158918)**, Sharon Lee Woo **(SBN # 148139)**, Ronald Millard Geltz **(SBN # 126699)**, County of Los Angeles District Attorney George Gascón **(SBN # 182345),** DOES 1-10, and other co-conspirators was

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

wholly responsible for his criminal prosecutors and peace officer investigators willfully, knowingly, recklessly, maliciously, wantonly, deliberately and callously presenting to a criminal Superior Court of California fabricated and false trial court testimony that Jennifer Hutton-Heger's first 911 audio recording commenced at 10:03 AM and ended at 10:07 AM, and that Hutton-Heger's second 911 audio recording commenced between 10:09 AM and 10:10 AM.

George Gascón willfully, knowingly, recklessly, wantonly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, and callously committed reckless under color of law fraud by tricking, fooling, manipulating, deceiving, failing to tell, and failing to inform a Superior Court of California courthouse that Jennifer Hutton-Heger's first 911 audio recordings began at 10:01 AM and ended at 10:07 AM and 51 seconds, and failing to tell the same Superior Court of California courthouse that Jennifer Hutton-Heger's second 911 audio recording commenced at 10:08 AM., which was reckless under color of law FRAUD done by George Gascón and his County of Los Angeles criminal deputy district attorneys Brian Mark Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**, James William Garrison **(SBN # 157070)**, Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)**, Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280)**, Stephanie Pearl **Mire (SBN # 158918)**, Sharon Lee Woo **(SBN # 148139)**, Ronald Millard Geltz **(SBN # 126699)**, DOES 1-10, and other co-conspirators, by them using, by them doing, by them executing, by them manipulating, by them employing, by them directing, and by them otherwise engaging in illusory and manipulated illegal acts of deceit, deception, fraud, conspiracies, concealment, and corruption so that they could all gain an unfair, unjust, illegal, unlawful, and unconstitutional advantage over KING AROGANT.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

At the preliminary hearing on April 4, 2023, and April 5, 2023, in furtherance of the County of Los Angeles's conspiracy to frame KING AROGANT for a violent and serious felony crime County of Los Angeles and its District Attorney George Gascón knew that KING AROGANT never committed. Brian Mark Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**, James William Garrison **(SBN # 157070)**, Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)**, Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280)**, Stephanie Pearl **Mire (SBN # 158918)**, Sharon Lee Woo **(SBN # 148139)**, Ronald Millard Geltz **(SBN # 126699)**, DOES 1-10, County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, Sheriff Robert G. Luna, Billy Khounthavong, Richard Lewis, GoPro Manipulator Leo Ka Fong Lo, and other co-conspirators, willfully, recklessly, maliciously, wantonly, carelessly, deliberately, and callously presented to Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court, Department 1 recklessly broken up and altered LASD-created and manipulated GoPro video recordings GL010586, GLO10587, and GL010588.

The County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department's Fraud and Cyber Crimes Bureau's Southern California High Tech Task Force illegally accessed, copied, searched, and manipulated KING AROGANT's original GoPro video recordings that were stored on his GoPro Hero 10 Black Action Camera's SanDisk 256 gigabytes micro-SD card according to their job duties and descriptions. **NONE OF THE INVOLVED SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA HIGH TECH TASK FORCE PEACE OFFICERS HAD ANY BUSINESS TOUCHING AND ALTERING KING AROGANT'S ENTIRELY EXCULPATORY GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS!**

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

At the preliminary hearing on April 4, 2023 and April 5, 2023 County of Los Angeles and its District Attorney George Gascón, Brian Mark Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**, James William Garrison **(SBN # 157070)**, Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)**, Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280)**, Stephanie Pearl **Mire (SBN # 158918)**, Sharon Lee Woo **(SBN # 148139)**, Ronald Millard Geltz **(SBN # 126699)**, DOES 1-10, County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, Sheriff Robert G. Luna, Billy Khounthavong, Richard Lewis, GoPro Manipulator Leo Ka Fong Lo, and other co-conspirators willfully, wantonly, maliciously, carelessly, knowingly, deliberately, and callously violated California Evidence Code Section 1522, subdivision (b) by presenting to and admitting into Superior Court, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court, Department 1 as evidence unoriginal, tainted, tampered with, edited, modified, and altered LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586, GL010587, and GL010588.

The preliminary hearing court proceedings on April 4, 2023, and April 5, 2023, were in furtherance of the County of Los Angeles's and County of Ventura's conspiracy to frame KING AROGANT for a violent crime that it knew he never committed. County of Los Angeles and its District Attorney George Gascón, County of Ventura, Brian Mark Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**, James William Garrison **(SBN # 157070)**, Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)**, Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280)**, Stephanie Pearl **Mire (SBN # 158918)**, Sharon Lee Woo **(SBN # 148139)**, Ronald Millard Geltz **(SBN # 126699)**, DOES 1-10, County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, Sheriff Robert G. Luna, Billy Khounthavong, Richard Lewis, GoPro Manipulator Leo Ka Fong Lo, and other co-conspirators presented to and admitted as evidence into State of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court, Department 1, LASD-created and

edited GoPro video recordings GL010586, GL010587, and GL010588 that had been erased, overwritten, or taken the place of original GoPro video recordings GX010586 (which was recklessly split up by County of Los Angeles and County of Ventura peace officers into altered GoPro video recordings GL010586, GL010587, and GL010588.

The County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department's Southern California High Tech Task Force, Ventura County, and Los Angeles County peace officers jointly and recklessly manipulated and tainted KING AROGANT's entirely exculpatory original GoPro video recording GX010586, illegally accessed original GoPro video recordings recorded and created prior to February 21, 2023, which was contrary to their job duties and descriptions. None of these County of Los Angeles peace officers and none of these County of Ventura peace officers had any business touching and altering KING AROGANT's entirely exculpatory GoPro video recordings!

On or about April 17, 2023, during his felony information arraignment, KING AROGANT requested that County of Los Angeles criminal deputy district attorney Brian MARK Rosenberg turn over and disclose phony GoPro video recording GL010586 that depicted and displayed KING AROGANT shutting off his GOPRO video recording while wearing different clothing than he had on February 21, 2023.

In early September 2023, KING AROGANT presented to and was admitted into Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court, Department 1, numerous drafted court orders that ordered the County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office, Brian Mark Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**, James William

Garrison **(SBN # 157070)**, Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)**, Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280)**, Stephanie Pearl **Mire (SBN # 158918)**, Sharon Lee Woo **(SBN # 148139)**, Ronald Millard Geltz **(SBN # 126699)**, George Gascón, Billy Khounthavong, GoPro Manipulator Leo Ka Fong Lo, Southern California High Tech Task Force, and County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department to turn over and disclose an electronic copy of the phony and bogus LASD-created GoPro video recording GL010586 that falsely portrayed and depicted KING AROGANT shutting off his GoPro Hero 10 Black Action camera while wearing different clothing than he had on February 21, 2023. Similar to many motions, requests, and proposed court orders filed by KING AROGANT in the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court, Department 1 regarding George Gascón's office, County of Los Angeles peace officers and Sheriff Robert G. Luna recklessly failing to turn over and disclose both exculpatory original GoPro video recording GX010586 and impeaching phony County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department created GoPro video recordings GLO010586 and GL010587, Superior Court of California criminal judicial officer Michael Villalobos declined to sign any of the numerous court orders KING AROGANT prepared and presented to Villalobos for the judge's signature so KING AROGANT could as long last get the impeaching and exculpatory video recording evidence he needed to exonerate himself of all false County of Los Angeles criminal charges.

In early October 2023, KING AROGANT filed a Motion to Compel County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office, Brian Mark Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**, James William Garrison **(SBN # 157070)**, Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)**, Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280)**, Stephanie Pearl **Mire (SBN # 158918)**, Sharon Lee Woo **(SBN**

 # 148139), Ronald Millard Geltz **(SBN # 126699)**, George Gascón, County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, to turn over and disclose an electronic copy of the phony and bogus GoPro video recording GL010586 that falsely portrayed KING AROGANT shutting off his GoPro camera. Similar to many motions filed by KING AROGANT inside the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court, Department 1, regarding George Gascón's office recklessly failing to turn over and disclose exculpatory original GoPro video recording GX010586 and impeaching phony County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department created GoPro video recordings GLO010586 and GL010587, Superior Court of California judicial officer Michael Villalobos either (a) never heard KING AROGANT's criminal Motion to Compel by never holding a hearing or (b) Michael Villalobos recklessly denied it on the Superior Court record while refusing to hold a hearing on the Motion to Compel.

In early October 2023, KING AROGANT filed a criminal motion directly with Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Pasadena Superior Court judicial officer the Honorable Suzette Clover requesting that she provide him with a certified copy of Lying Billy Khounthavong's phony and forged search warrant and search warrant affidavit pursuant to California Evidence Code § 1530. The Honorable Suzette Clover recklessly, knowingly, wantonly, willfully, maliciously, deliberately, and callously violated KING AROGANT's United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights and his California Constitution Article I, § 7 constitutional rights by denying KING AROGANT's criminal motion by recklessly failing to provide KING AROGANT with a certified copy of rogue peace officer Billy Khounthavong's alleged electronically filed February 22, 2023 search warrant, and the

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Honorable Suzette Clover fabricated a Superior Court of California minute order by lying on it that she issued Billy Khounthavong's phony search warrant on February 22, 2023, and she lied that it was done electronically. If the Honorable Suzette Clover did indeed issue Lying Billy Khounthavong's search warrant, then why is it that Pasadena Superior Court has no records of it ever being submitted electronically or otherwise with the court? If the Honorable Suzette Clover did indeed issue Lying Billy Khounthavong's search warrant, then why is there no existence of Pasadena Superior Court records of a minute order from February 22, 2023? A Superior Court of California felony criminal judicial officer could not issue a valid and lawful search warrant linked to a felony criminal investigation without issuing a minute order that clearly and explicitly stated on the record what action the Superior Court had taken.

The Honorable Suzette's Clover October 2023 court ruling in which she lied and fabricated a Superior Court of California minute order that stated that she issued rogue County of Los Angeles peace officer Billy Khounthavong's phony search warrant on February 22, 2023, can be proven false based on the fact that the **FORGED SEARCH WARRANT** was missing the following Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Pasadena Superior Court electronically stamped information that would PROVE it was REAL:

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Electronically FILED by
Superior Court of California,
County of Los Angeles
02/22/2023_____PM
David W. Slayton,
Executive Officer/Clerk of Court

CLERK NAME HERE, Deputy Clerk

Additionally, pursuant to the Trial Court Records Manual Revised 2020, Judicial Council of California, on page 115 of 143, page 132 of 143, and 21 of 143, the rogue peace officer Billy Khounthavong and the Honorable Suzette Clover's search warrant is clearly proven to be counterfeit and never issued since the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Pasadena Superior Court has no record of its existence. Pursuant to California Government Code Section 68152 Pasadena Superior Court was required to retain a copy of it at the Pasadena Superior Court until 2033. Further, on page 132 of 143 of the Trial Court Records Manual Revised 2020, the Judicial Council of California states that pursuant to California Penal Code Section 1534, the Pasadena Superior Court was required to make Billy Khounthavong and Suzette Clover's counterfeit and make-believe search warrant open to the public. Pasadena Superior Court has no electronic court records nor any physical paper copy court records of rogue Billy Khounthavong and the Honorable Suzette Clover's PHONY search warrant because it was never filed in the Pasadena Superior Court, and it does not exist. Furthermore, no minute order was created on February 22, 2023, stating that Suzette Clover had issued Lying Billy Khounthavong's search warrant. See now a true and correct copy of the **Judicial Commission of**

California Trial Court Record Manual (Revised June 4, 2024) attached hereto as Verified Appendix of Exhibits.

After several months of being wrongfully incarcerated because County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office, Brian Mark Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**, James William Garrison **(SBN # 157070)**, Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)**, Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280)**, Stephanie Pearl **Mire (SBN # 158918)**, Sharon Lee Woo **(SBN # 148139)**, Ronald Millard Geltz **(SBN # 126699)**, George Gascón, Billy Khounthavong, GoPro Manipulator Leo Ka Fong Lo, Southern California High Tech Task Force, and County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department had willfully, knowingly, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, carelessly, deliberately, and callously failed to turn over KING AROGANT's real GoPro video recording GX010586 and phony LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587. Former County of Los Angeles District Attorney George Gascón and his numerous criminal prosecutors involved (Brian MARK Rosenberg and Victor Manuel Rodriguez, to name just two of them) had recklessly failed to turn over to KING AROGANT and the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, audio and video recording expert witness Thomas Leo Guzman-Sanchez a stand-alone copy of Jennifer Hutton-Heger Hutton's first 911 audio recording so that its exact time stamps could be examined so that KING AROGANT could prove that Jennifer Hutton-Heger's first 911 audio recording commenced at 10:01 AM and ended at 10:07 AM and 51 seconds, just as County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department Temple City police station Computer Assisted Dispatch system report had stated it did. Former County of Los Angeles District Attorney George Gascón and his numerous criminal prosecutors involved (Brian MARK Rosenberg and Victor Manuel Rodriguez, to name just two of them) had recklessly failed to turn over to KING AROGANT and court-appointed expert

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

witness Thomas Leo Guzman-Sanchez a stand-alone copy of Jennifer Hutton-Heger's second 911 audio recording, so that its exact time stamps could be examined, so that KING AROGANT could prove that Jennifer Hutton-Heger's second 911 audio recording commenced at 10:08 AM, just as County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department Temple City police station Computer Assisted Dispatch system report had stated it did.

On October 17, 2023, KING AROGANT threw in the towel during the first day of his criminal felony trial and pleaded no contest to one count of making a criminal threat to Jennifer Hutton-Heger on February 21, 2023. KING AROGANT was placed on formal probation. Additionally, as part of his plea bargain, KING AROGANT's original GoPro Hero 10 Black Action camera and its original SanDisk 256 gigabyte micro SD card contained inside of it were ordered to be returned to him by the Honorable Michael Villalobos.

Between February 24, 2023, and October 31, 2024, County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office, Brian Mark Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**, James William Garrison **(SBN # 157070)**, Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)**, Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280)**, Stephanie Pearl **Mire (SBN # 158918)**, Sharon Lee Woo **(SBN # 148139)**, Ronald Millard Geltz **(SBN # 126699)**, George Gascón, Billy Khounthavong, GoPro Manipulator Leo Ka Fong Lo, Southern California High Tech Task Force, and County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department did everything in their power to conceal, hide, and fail to disclose to KING AROGANT a stand-alone copy of Jennifer Hutton-Heger's first 911 audio recording and a stand-alone copy of Jennifer Hutton-Heger's second 911 audio recording because they knew that releasing this exculpatory evidence would prove that the gap between the end of Hutton-Heger's first 911 audio recording and the start of her second 911 call

was approximately 30 seconds to 1 minute only, and thus, it would have been impossible for a knife confrontation, knife brandishing, and separate criminal threats incident to all had occurred between the time Jennifer Hutton-Heger's first 911 call ended and her second 911 call began as Hutton falsely testified all occurred on the early morning of February 21, 2023.

County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office, Brian Mark Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**, James William Garrison **(SBN # 157070)**, Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)**, Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280)**, Stephanie Pearl **Mire (SBN # 158918)**, Sharon Lee Woo **(SBN # 148139)**, Ronald Millard Geltz **(SBN # 126699)**, George Gascón, Billy Khounthavong, GoPro Manipulator Leo Ka Fong Lo, Southern California High Tech Task Force, Sheriff Robert G. Luna, and County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department willfully, knowingly, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, deliberately, carelessly, and callously violated KING AROGANT's United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights by recklessly failing to turn over stand-alone copies of Jennifer Hutton-Heger's first and second 911 audio recordings.

County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office, Brian Mark Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**, James William Garrison **(SBN # 157070)**, Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)**, Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280)**, Stephanie Pearl **Mire (SBN # 158918)**, Sharon Lee Woo **(SBN # 148139)**, Ronald Millard Geltz **(SBN # 126699)**, George Gascón, Southern California High Tech Task Force, Sheriff Robert Luna, County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators willfully, knowingly, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, carelessly, deliberately, and callously violated KING AROGANT's United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil

rights by recklessly allowing rogue and dishonest County of Los Angeles peace officer Billy S. Khounthavong to testify falsely that Jennifer Hutton-Heger's first 911 call commenced at 10:03 AM and ended at 10:07 AM.

County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office, Brian Mark Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**, James William Garrison **(SBN # 157070)**, Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)**, Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280)**, Stephanie Pearl **Mire (SBN # 158918)**, Sharon Lee Woo **(SBN # 148139)**, Ronald Millard Geltz **(SBN # 126699)**, George Gascón, Southern California High Tech Task Force, Sheriff Robert Luna, County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators willfully, knowingly, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, carelessly, and callously violated KING AROGANT's United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights by recklessly allowing rogue and dishonest County of Los Angeles peace officer Billy S. Khounthavong to testify falsely that Jennifer Hutton-Heger's second 911 call commenced between 10:09 AM and 10:10 AM.

Between February 24, 2023, and October 31, 2024, County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office, Brian Mark Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**, James William Garrison **(SBN # 157070)**, Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)**, Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280)**, Stephanie Pearl **Mire (SBN # 158918)**, Sharon Lee Woo **(SBN # 148139)**, Ronald Millard Geltz **(SBN # 126699)**, George Gascón, Southern California High Tech Task Force, Sheriff Robert Luna, County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators committed reckless under color of law FRAUD by tricking, fooling, manipulating, deceiving, failing to tell, concealing from, and failing to inform a

Superior Court of California courthouse that Jennifer Hutton-Heger's first 911 audio recording commenced at 10:01 AM and ended at nearly 10:08 AM, which was reckless under color of law FRAUD done by County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office, Brian Mark Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**, James William Garrison **(SBN # 157070)**, Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)**, Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280)**, Stephanie Pearl **Mire (SBN # 158918)**, Sharon Lee Woo **(SBN # 148139)**, Ronald Millard Geltz **(SBN # 126699)**, George Gascón, Southern California High Tech Task Force, Sheriff Robert Luna, County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators by them using, by them doing, by them executing, by them manipulating, by them employing, by them directing, and by them otherwise engaging in illusory and manipulated illegal acts of deceit, deception, fraud, conspiracies, concealment, and corruption so that they could all gain an unfair, unjust, illegal, unlawful, and unconstitutional advantage over KING AROGANT.

Between February 24, 2023, and October 31, 2024, County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office, Brian Mark Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**, James William Garrison **(SBN # 157070)**, Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)**, Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280)**, Stephanie Pearl **Mire (SBN # 158918)**, Sharon Lee Woo **(SBN # 148139)**, Ronald Millard Geltz **(SBN # 126699)**, George Gascón, Southern California High Tech Task Force, Sheriff Robert Luna, County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators committed reckless under color of law DECEIT by tricking, fooling, manipulating, deceiving, failing to tell, concealing from, and failing to inform a Superior Court of California courthouse that Jennifer Hutton-Heger's first 911 audio recording commenced at 10:01 AM and ended at nearly 10:08 AM, which was reckless under color of law DECEIT done by the County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office, Brian Mark

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**, James William Garrison **(SBN # 157070)**, Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)**, Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280)**, Stephanie Pearl **Mire (SBN # 158918)**, Sharon Lee Woo **(SBN # 148139)**, Ronald Millard Geltz **(SBN # 126699)**, George Gascón, Southern California High Tech Task Force, Sheriff Robert Luna, County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators by them using, by them doing, by them executing, by them manipulating, by them employing, by them directing, and by them otherwise engaging in illusory and manipulated illegal acts of deceit, deception, fraud, conspiracies, concealment, and corruption so that they could all gain an unfair, unjust, illegal, unlawful, and unconstitutional advantage over KING AROGANT.

Between February 24, 2023, and October 31, 2024, County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office, Brian Mark Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**, James William Garrison **(SBN # 157070)**, Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)**, Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280)**, Stephanie Pearl **Mire (SBN # 158918)**, Sharon Lee Woo **(SBN # 148139)**, Ronald Millard Geltz **(SBN # 126699)**, George Gascón, Southern California High Tech Task Force, Sheriff Robert Luna, County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators committed reckless under color of law FRAUD by tricking, fooling, manipulating, deceiving, failing to tell, concealing from, and failing to inform a Superior Court of California courthouse that Jennifer Hutton-Heger's second 911 audio recording commenced at 10:08 AM, and seconds, which was reckless under color of law FRAUD done by the County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office, Brian Mark Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**, James William Garrison **(SBN # 157070)**, Victor Manuel

Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)**, Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280)**, Stephanie Pearl **Mire (SBN # 158918)**, Sharon Lee Woo **(SBN # 148139)**, Ronald Millard Geltz **(SBN # 126699)**, George Gascón, Southern California High Tech Task Force, Sheriff Robert Luna, County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators by them using, by them doing, by them executing, by them manipulating, by them employing, by them directing, and by them otherwise engaging in illusory and manipulated illegal acts of deceit, deception, fraud, conspiracies, concealment, and corruption so that they could all gain an unfair, unjust, illegal, unlawful, and unconstitutional advantage over KING AROGANT.

Between February 24, 2023, and October 31, 2024, County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office, Brian Mark Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**, James William Garrison **(SBN # 157070)**, Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)**, Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280)**, Stephanie Pearl **Mire (SBN # 158918)**, Sharon Lee Woo **(SBN # 148139)**, Ronald Millard Geltz **(SBN # 126699)**, George Gascón, Southern California High Tech Task Force, Sheriff Robert Luna, County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators committed reckless under color of law DECEIT by tricking, fooling, manipulating, deceiving, failing to tell, concealing from, and failing to inform a Superior Court of California courthouse that Jennifer Hutton-Heger's second 911 audio recording commenced at 10:08 AM, and seconds, which was reckless under color of law DECEIT done by the County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office, Brian Mark Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**, James William Garrison **(SBN # 157070)**, Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)**, Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280)**, Stephanie Pearl **Mire**

(SBN # 158918), Sharon Lee Woo **(SBN # 148139)**, Ronald Millard Geltz **(SBN # 126699)**, George Gascón, Southern California High Tech Task Force, Sheriff Robert Luna, County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators by them using, by them doing, by them executing, by them manipulating, by them employing, by them directing, and by them otherwise engaging in illusory and manipulated illegal acts of deceit, deception, fraud, conspiracies, concealment, and corruption so that they could all gain an unfair, unjust, illegal, unlawful, and unconstitutional advantage over KING AROGANT.

Between February 24, 2023, and October 31, 2024, County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office, Brian Mark Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**, James William Garrison **(SBN # 157070)**, Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)**, Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280)**, Stephanie Pearl **Mire (SBN # 158918)**, Sharon Lee Woo **(SBN # 148139)**, Ronald Millard Geltz **(SBN # 126699)**, George Gascón, Southern California High Tech Task Force, Sheriff Robert Luna, County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators committed reckless under color of law FRAUD by fabricating testimony, lying to, misleading, and otherwise deceiving a Superior Court of California courthouse so that it would foolishly believe that Jennifer Hutton-Heger's first 911 audio recording commenced at 10:03 AM and ended at nearly 10:07 AM, which was reckless under color of law FRAUD done by the County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office, Brian Mark Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**, James William Garrison **(SBN # 157070)**, Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)**, Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280)**, Stephanie Pearl **Mire (SBN # 158918)**, Sharon Lee Woo **(SBN # 148139)**, Ronald Millard Geltz **(SBN # 126699)**, George Gascón, Southern California High Tech Task Force, Sheriff Robert

Luna, County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators by them using, by them doing, by them executing, by them manipulating, by them employing, by them directing, and by them otherwise engaging in illusory and manipulated illegal acts of deceit, deception, fraud, conspiracies, concealment, and corruption so that they could all gain an unfair, unjust, illegal, unlawful, and unconstitutional advantage over KING AROGANT.

Between February 24, 2023, and October 31, 2024, County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office, Brian Mark Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**, James William Garrison **(SBN # 157070)**, Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)**, Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280)**, Stephanie Pearl **Mire (SBN # 158918)**, Sharon Lee Woo **(SBN # 148139)**, Ronald Millard Geltz **(SBN # 126699)**, George Gascón, Southern California High Tech Task Force, Sheriff Robert Luna, County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators committed reckless under color of law DECEIT by fabricating testimony, lying to, misleading, and otherwise deceiving a Superior Court of California courthouse so that it would foolishly believe that Jennifer Hutton-Heger's first 911 audio recording commenced at 10:03 AM and ended at nearly 10:07 AM, which was reckless under color of law DECEIT done by the County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office, Brian Mark Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**, James William Garrison **(SBN # 157070)**, Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)**, Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280)**, Stephanie Pearl **Mire (SBN # 158918)**, Sharon Lee Woo **(SBN # 148139)**, Ronald Millard Geltz **(SBN # 126699)**, George Gascón, Southern California High Tech Task Force, Sheriff Robert Luna, County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators by them using, by them doing, by them executing, by them manipulating, by them

employing, by them directing, and by them otherwise engaging in illusory and manipulated illegal acts of deceit, deception, fraud, conspiracies, concealment, and corruption so that they could all gain an unfair, unjust, illegal, unlawful, and unconstitutional advantage over KING AROGANT.

Between February 24, 2023, and October 31, 2024, County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office, Brian Mark Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**, James William Garrison **(SBN # 157070)**, Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)**, Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280)**, Stephanie Pearl **Mire (SBN # 158918)**, Sharon Lee Woo **(SBN # 148139)**, Ronald Millard Geltz **(SBN # 126699)**, George Gascón, Southern California High Tech Task Force, Sheriff Robert Luna, County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators committed reckless under color of law FRAUD by fabricating testimony, lying to, misleading, and otherwise deceiving a Superior Court of California courthouse so that it would foolishly believe that Jennifer Hutton-Heger's second 911 audio recording commenced between 10:09 AM and 10:10 AM , which was reckless under color of law FRAUD done by the County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office, Brian Mark Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**, James William Garrison **(SBN # 157070)**, Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)**, Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280)**, Stephanie Pearl **Mire (SBN # 158918)**, Sharon Lee Woo **(SBN # 148139)**, Ronald Millard Geltz **(SBN # 126699)**, George Gascón, Southern California High Tech Task Force, Sheriff Robert Luna, County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators by them using, by them doing, by them executing, by them manipulating, by them employing, by them directing, and by them otherwise engaging in illusory and manipulated illegal acts of deceit, deception, fraud, conspiracies, concealment, and corruption so that they

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

could all gain an unfair, unjust, illegal, unlawful, and unconstitutional advantage over KING AROGANT.

Between February 24, 2023, and October 31, 2024, County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office, Brian Mark Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**, James William Garrison **(SBN # 157070)**, Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)**, Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280)**, Stephanie Pearl **Mire (SBN # 158918)**, Sharon Lee Woo **(SBN # 148139)**, Ronald Millard Geltz **(SBN # 126699)**, George Gascón, Southern California High Tech Task Force, Sheriff Robert Luna, County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators committed reckless under color of law DECEIT by fabricating testimony, lying to, misleading, and otherwise deceiving a Superior Court of California courthouse so that it would foolishly believe that Jennifer Hutton-Heger's second 911 audio recording commenced between 10:09 AM and 10:10 AM , which was reckless under color of law DECEIT done by the County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office, Brian Mark Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**, James William Garrison **(SBN # 157070)**, Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)**, Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280)**, Stephanie Pearl **Mire (SBN # 158918)**, Sharon Lee Woo **(SBN # 148139)**, Ronald Millard Geltz **(SBN # 126699)**, George Gascón, Southern California High Tech Task Force, Sheriff Robert Luna, County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators by them using, by them doing, by them executing, by them manipulating, by them employing, by them directing, and by them otherwise engaging in illusory and manipulated illegal acts of deceit, deception, fraud, conspiracies, concealment, and corruption so that they could all gain an unfair, unjust, illegal, unlawful, and unconstitutional advantage over KING AROGANT.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

On the first day of KING AROGANT's rigged and unconstitutional criminal bench trial, which commenced on October 17, 2023, the County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office, Brian Mark Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**, James William Garrison **(SBN # 157070)**, Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)**, Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280)**, Stephanie Pearl **Mire (SBN # 158918)**, Sharon Lee Woo **(SBN # 148139)**, Ronald Millard Geltz **(SBN # 126699)**, George Gascón, Southern California High Tech Task Force, Sheriff Robert Luna, County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators committed reckless under color of law FRAUD by creating a phony County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department Temple City police station transcript of Jennifer Hutton-Heger's second 911 call that falsely stated that her second 911 audio recording commenced at approximately 10:09 AM and 50 seconds, which was reckless under color of law FRAUD done by the County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office, Brian Mark Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**, James William Garrison **(SBN # 157070)**, Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)**, Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280)**, Stephanie Pearl **Mire (SBN # 158918)**, Sharon Lee Woo **(SBN # 148139)**, Ronald Millard Geltz **(SBN # 126699)**, George Gascón, Southern California High Tech Task Force, Sheriff Robert Luna, County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators for the unlawful purpose of tricking, fooling, lying to, fabricating evidence, and otherwise deceiving a Superior Court of California criminal courthouse so that it would foolishly believe that Jennifer Hutton-Heger's second 911 audio recording commenced at approximately 10:10 A.M. The County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office, Brian Mark Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**, James William Garrison **(SBN # 157070)**, Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)**, Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280)**, Stephanie Pearl **Mire (SBN # 158918)**, Sharon Lee Woo **(SBN # 148139)**, Ronald Millard Geltz **(SBN #**

126699), George Gascón, Southern California High Tech Task Force, Sheriff Robert Luna, County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators' trial court second 911 call audio recording transcript was so phony and shoddy that it appeared to have been created on Microsoft Word, which was reckless under color of law FRAUD done by the County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office, Brian Mark Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**, James William Garrison **(SBN # 157070)**, Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)**, Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280)**, Stephanie Pearl **Mire (SBN # 158918)**, Sharon Lee Woo **(SBN # 148139)**, Ronald Millard Geltz **(SBN # 126699)**, George Gascón, Southern California High Tech Task Force, Sheriff Robert Luna, County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators, by them using, by them doing, by them executing, by them manipulating, by them employing, by them directing, and by them otherwise engaging in illusory and manipulated illegal acts of deceit, deception, fraud, conspiracies, concealment, and corruption so that Defendant Gascón and Brian could gain an unfair, unjust, illegal, unlawful, and unconstitutional advantage over KING AROGANT.

On the first day of KING AROGANT's rigged and unconstitutional criminal bench trial, which commenced on October 17, 2023, the County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office, Brian Mark Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**, James William Garrison **(SBN # 157070)**, Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)**, Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280)**, Stephanie Pearl **Mire (SBN # 158918)**, Sharon Lee Woo **(SBN # 148139)**, Ronald Millard Geltz **(SBN # 126699)**, George Gascón, Southern California High Tech Task Force, Sheriff Robert Luna, County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators committed reckless under color of law DECEIT by creating a phony

County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, Temple City police station, transcript of Jennifer Hutton-Heger's second 911 call that falsely stated that her second 911 audio recording commenced at approximately 10:09 AM and 50 seconds, which was reckless under color of law DECEIT done by the County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office, Brian Mark Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**, James William Garrison **(SBN # 157070)**, Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)**, Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280)**, Stephanie Pearl **Mire (SBN # 158918)**, Sharon Lee Woo **(SBN # 148139)**, Ronald Millard Geltz **(SBN # 126699)**, George Gascón, Southern California High Tech Task Force, Sheriff Robert Luna, County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators for the unlawful purpose of tricking, fooling, lying to, fabricating evidence, and otherwise deceiving a Superior Court of California criminal courthouse so that it would foolishly believe that Jennifer Hutton-Heger's second 911 audio recording commenced at approximately 10:10 A.M. The County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office, Brian Mark Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**, James William Garrison **(SBN # 157070)**, Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)**, Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280)**, Stephanie Pearl **Mire (SBN # 158918)**, Sharon Lee Woo **(SBN # 148139)**, Ronald Millard Geltz **(SBN # 126699)**, George Gascón, Southern California High Tech Task Force, Sheriff Robert Luna, County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators' trial court second 911 call audio recording transcript was so phony and shoddy that it appeared to have been created on Microsoft Word, which was reckless under color of law FRAUD done by the County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office, Brian Mark Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**, James William Garrison **(SBN # 157070)**, Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)**, Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280)**, Stephanie Pearl **Mire (SBN # 158918)**, Sharon Lee Woo **(SBN # 148139)**, Ronald Millard Geltz **(SBN #**

126699), George Gascón, Southern California High Tech Task Force, Sheriff Robert Luna, County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators, by them using, by them doing, by them executing, by them manipulating, by them employing, by them directing, and by them otherwise engaging in illusory and manipulated illegal acts of deceit, deception, fraud, conspiracies, concealment, and corruption so that Defendant Gascón and Brian could gain an unfair, unjust, illegal, unlawful, and unconstitutional advantage over KING AROGANT.

As was stated previously in paragraphs between February 24, 2023, and October 31, 2024, the County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office, Brian Mark Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**, James William Garrison **(SBN # 157070)**, Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)**, Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280)**, Stephanie Pearl **Mire (SBN # 158918)**, Sharon Lee Woo **(SBN # 148139)**, Ronald Millard Geltz **(SBN # 126699)**, George Gascón, Southern California High Tech Task Force, Sheriff Robert Luna, County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators committed reckless under color of law fraud and reckless under color of law deceit regarding 911 audio recordings evidence in frivolous and unconstitutional Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Pasadena Superior Court case GA114055. The County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office, Brian Mark Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**, James William Garrison **(SBN # 157070)**, Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)**, Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280)**, Stephanie Pearl **Mire (SBN # 158918)**, Sharon Lee Woo **(SBN # 148139)**, Ronald Millard Geltz **(SBN # 126699)**, George Gascón, Southern California High Tech Task Force, Sheriff Robert Luna, County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators knew that they were committing under color fraud, reckless under

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

color of law, deceit, and recklessly violated KING AROGANT's constitutional Fourteenth Amendment civil rights because they had all reviewed a County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department Temple City deputy worksheet in which rogue peace officer Daniel Esqueda documented that Jennifer Hutton Heger's second 911 call was dispatched at 10:08 AM.

As was stated previously in paragraphs between February 24, 2023, and October 31, 2024, the County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office, Brian Mark Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**, James William Garrison **(SBN # 157070)**, Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)**, Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280)**, Stephanie Pearl **Mire (SBN # 158918)**, Sharon Lee Woo **(SBN # 148139)**, Ronald Millard Geltz **(SBN # 126699)**, George Gascón, Southern California High Tech Task Force, Sheriff Robert Luna, County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators committed reckless under color of law fraud and reckless under color of law deceit regarding 911 audio recordings evidence in frivolous and unconstitutional Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Pasadena Superior Court case GA114055. The County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office, Brian Mark Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**, James William Garrison **(SBN # 157070)**, Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)**, Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280)**, Stephanie Pearl **Mire (SBN # 158918)**, Sharon Lee Woo **(SBN # 148139)**, Ronald Millard Geltz **(SBN # 126699)**, George Gascón, Southern California High Tech Task Force, Sheriff Robert Luna, County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators knew that they were committing under color fraud, reckless under color of law, deceit, and recklessly violated KING AROGANT's constitutional Fourteenth Amendment civil rights because they had all reviewed a County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department Temple City deputy worksheet in which rogue peace officer Aaron D. Contreras

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

documented that Jennifer Hutton Heger's second 911 call was dispatched at 10:08 AM.

As was stated previously in paragraphs between February 24, 2023, and October 31, 2024, The County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office, Brian Mark Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**, James William Garrison **(SBN # 157070)**, Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)**, Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280)**, Stephanie Pearl **Mire (SBN # 158918)**, Sharon Lee Woo **(SBN # 148139)**, Ronald Millard Geltz **(SBN # 126699)**, George Gascón, Southern California High Tech Task Force, Sheriff Robert Luna, County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators committed reckless under color of law fraud and reckless under color of law deceit regarding 911 audio recordings evidence in frivolous and unconstitutional Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Pasadena Superior Court case GA114055. The County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office, Brian Mark Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**, James William Garrison **(SBN # 157070)**, Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)**, Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280)**, Stephanie Pearl **Mire (SBN # 158918)**, Sharon Lee Woo **(SBN # 148139)**, Ronald Millard Geltz **(SBN # 126699)**, George Gascón, Southern California High Tech Task Force, Sheriff Robert Luna, County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators knew that they were committing under color fraud, under color of law deceit, and recklessly violating KING AROGANT's Fourteenth Amendment constitutional civil rights because they had all reviewed a County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department Temple City CAD summary that clearly showed and stated that Jennifer Hutton-Heger's first 911 call commenced at 10:01 AM, that she was placed on hold at approximately 10:05 AM, and that her first 911 call ended just prior to 10:08 AM.

As was stated previously in paragraphs between February 24, 2023, and October 31, 2024, The County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office, Brian Mark Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**, James William Garrison **(SBN # 157070)**, Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)**, Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280)**, Stephanie Pearl **Mire (SBN # 158918)**, Sharon Lee Woo **(SBN # 148139)**, Ronald Millard Geltz **(SBN # 126699)**, George Gascón, Southern California High Tech Task Force, Sheriff Robert Luna, County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators committed reckless under color of law fraud and reckless under color of law deceit regarding 911 audio recordings evidence in frivolous and unconstitutional Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Pasadena Superior Court case GA114055. The County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office, Brian Mark Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**, James William Garrison **(SBN # 157070)**, Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)**, Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280)**, Stephanie Pearl **Mire (SBN # 158918)**, Sharon Lee Woo **(SBN # 148139)**, Ronald Millard Geltz **(SBN # 126699)**, George Gascón, Southern California High Tech Task Force, Sheriff Robert Luna, County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators all knew that they were committing under color fraud, under color of law deceit, and recklessly violating KING AROGANT's constitutional Fourteenth Amendment constitutional civil rights because they had all reviewed a County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department Temple City CAD summary that clearly showed and stated that Jennifer Hutton-Heger's second 911 call was commenced at 10:08 AM and dispatched to her home at 10:08 AM.

On November 28, 2023, at 4:02 AM, Gavin Christopher Newsom (gavin.newsom@gov.ca.gov), Leah Tamu Wilson (leah.wilson50@yahoo.com leaht.wilson@calbar.ca.gov) Robert Andres Bonta (robert.bonta@doj.ca.gov, Peter Dwight Halloran (peter.halloran@doj.ca.gov), Dennis L. Beck Jr. (dennis.beck@doj.ca.gov), over one hundred County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors and State Bar licensed attorneys, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators all received an email containing detailed facts and allegations that described how County of Los Angeles deputy district attorney Brian MARK Roseberg, rogue peace officer Billy D. Khounthavong, and County of Los Angeles willfully, knowingly, recklessly, carelessly, wantonly, maliciously, deliberately, and callously framed KING AROGANT by recklessly presenting to a Superior Court of California criminal courtroom phony and bogus LASD-created GoPro video recordings that depicted and displayed KING AROGANT shutting off his GoPro camera while he was inside of the now deceased FAKE VICTIM Jennifer Hutton-Heger's home on February 21, 2023. Near the end of his detailed email, KING AROGANT typed the following:

AT THE BOTTOM OF THIS EMAIL IS AN ATTACHMENT FOR THE ORIGINAL MP4 VIDEO FILE AND IT CAN BE EASILY DOWNLOADED TO ANYONE EMAILED HEREIN

Attached to the end of KING AROGANT's electronic mail was a Google Gmail virus-scanned electronic copy of KING AROGANT's nearly fifteen-minute REAL GoPro video recording that did not depict and display him doing any of the following:

1. Banging, kicking, and otherwise touching Jennifer Hutton-Heger's doors inside her home.

2. Making a criminal threat.

3. Brandishing a knife.

4. Interacting or engaging with Hutton inside of her home in-person

5. Jennifer Hutton-Heger is not seen at all on camera in the long video recording while KING AROGANT is inside of her home.

The nearly fifteen-minute GoPro video recording titled **REAL VIDEO FOR 2-21-2023.mp4** was attached to KING AROGANT's electronic mail as a blue-highlighted hyperlink file in MP4 video recording format that was playable on any Personal Computer, Macintosh laptop ("MacBook"), Android-powered mobile device, or Apple iPhone. See now KING AROGANT's November 28, 2023, email to Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., George Gascón, and DOES 1-10 attached hereto as KING AROGANT's Verified Appendix of Exhibits. All emailed California Department of Justice employees, Leah Tamu Wilson, and Governor Newsom received FAIR NOTICE that the County of Los Angeles recklessly framed KING AROGANT for a serious and violent felony they all knew with one hundred percent certainty that KING AROGANT never committed. Yet, as of August 10, 2025, all emailed California Department of Justice employees, Leah Tamu Wilson, and Governor Gavin Newsom have all willfully, knowingly, recklessly, carelessly, wantonly, maliciously, deliberately, and callously with under color of law deliberate indifference to the federally protected constitutional civil rights of

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

KING AROGANT, recklessly failed to do any of the following for nearly nineteen consecutive months after they all were given FAIR NOTICE, and after they all received FAIR NOTICE:

1. Respond to KING AROGANT's November 28, 2023, email.

2. Request that the County of Los Angeles turn over and disclose to them all sixteen GoPro video recordings recklessly presented at KING AROGANT's preliminary hearing, and especially phony GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 that depicted and displayed KING AROGANT shutting off his GoPro camera.

3. Request that the County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office turn over and disclose to the California Department of Justice, all April 4, 2023, and all April 5, 2023, preliminary hearing transcripts.

4. Launch an investigation into all KING AROGANT's November 28, 2023, emailed Allegations and accusations that claimed the County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office recklessly falsified evidence inside a criminal Superior Court of California, which was in direct violation of California Government Code § 6200

On information and belief, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators between November 28, 2023, and August 10, 2025 willfully, knowingly, maliciously, wantonly, carelessly, deliberately, and callously had a meeting of minds with former County of Los Angeles District Attorney George Gascón and other named and unnamed County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office employees and attorneys to violate KING AROGANT's constitutional civil rights by doing all the aforementioned and by staying silent while condoning, tolerating, acquiescing, consenting to, overlooking, permitting, and otherwise continuing to allow County of Los Angeles

criminal prosecutors to recklessly frame KING AROGANT for a serious and violent crime he did not commit by tainting, tampering with, altering, fabricating, and otherwise manipulating his exculpatory GoPro video recordings evidence. Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., George Gascón, and DOES 1-10 all willfully, knowingly, carelessly, wantonly, maliciously, deliberately, and callously violated the United States Constitution and KING AROGANT's United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights, and they all committed reckless under color of law fraud and reckless under color of deceit by recklessly hiding from Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court, Department 1 the fact that they had been electronically served a copy of a nearly fifteen-minute GoPro video recording that proved KING AROGANT's factual innocence by clear and convincing evidence and that based on KING AROGANT's newly discovered video recording evidence served upon them, they all had (with the exception of Gavin Newsom) a prosecutorial duty and obligation to aid and assist KING AROGANT with immediately overturning his wrongful felony conviction pursuant to the **State Bar of California, Rule 3.8, Special Responsibilities of a Prosecutor.** Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., George Gascón, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators all willfully, knowingly, carelessly, wantonly, maliciously, deliberately, and callously violated **State Bar of California, Rule 3.8, Special Responsibilities of a Prosecutor** by being served electronically video recording evidence that proved KING AROGANT's factual innocence by clear and convincing evidence, and then recklessly ignoring that electronically served exculpatory evidence by neglecting their legal duty and legal obligation as criminal prosecutors to aid and assist KING AROGANT with overturning

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

his wrongful conviction, in direct violation of Rule 3.8.

Rule 3.8 provides:

(f) When a prosecutor knows* of new, credible and material evidence creating a reasonable* likelihood that a convicted defendant did not commit an offense of which the defendant was convicted, the prosecutor shall: (1) promptly disclose that evidence to an appropriate court or authority, and (2) if the conviction was obtained in the prosecutor's jurisdiction, (i) promptly disclose that evidence to the defendant unless a court authorizes delay, and (ii) undertake further investigation, or make reasonable* efforts to cause an investigation, to determine whether the defendant was convicted of an offense that the defendant did not commit. (g) When a prosecutor knows* of clear and convincing evidence establishing that a defendant in the prosecutor's jurisdiction was convicted of an offense that the defendant did not commit, the prosecutor shall seek to remedy the conviction.

RULE 3.8 COMMENT PROVIDES:

Comment [1] A prosecutor has the responsibility of a minister of justice and not simply that of an advocate. This responsibility carries with it specific obligations to see that the defendant is accorded procedural justice, that guilt is decided upon the basis of sufficient evidence, and that special precautions are taken to prevent and to rectify the conviction of innocent persons.* This rule is intended to achieve those results. All lawyers in government service remain bound by rules 3.1 and 3.4.

Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., George Gascón, and DOES 1-10's willful, knowing, careless, wanton, malicious, deliberate and callous illegal acts, actions, misconduct, misdoings, misdeeds, and transgressions of recklessly ignoring KING AROGANT's very serious November 28, 2023 electronic mail, and recklessly failing to aid and assist KING AROGANT with

overturning his wrongful conviction based upon the newly discovered evidence served upon them that proved KING AROGANT's factual innocence by clear and convincing evidence disqualifies Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., George Gascón, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators from any shape, type, or form of judicial, electoral or prosecutorial immunity.

Leah Tamu Wilson, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., George Gascón, and DOES 1-10's willful, knowing, reckless, wanton, malicious, deliberate, and callous illegal acts, actions, misconduct, misdoings, misdeeds, and transgressions in since November 28, 2023, recklessly allowing County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors and dishonest peace officers to willfully and recklessly violate California Government Code § 6200, proves by clear and convincing evidence that they all received FAIR NOTICE and disqualifies them from any shape, form, or type of electoral, judicial, or prosecutorial immunity.

On November 28, 2023, former County of Los Angeles District Attorney George Gascón(ggascon@da.lacounty.gov) was electronically served the same detailed electronic mail and nearly fifteen-minute REAL GoPro video recording in which he did not see or eye-witness KING AROGANT do any of the following:

1. Banging, kicking, and otherwise touching Jennifer Hutton-Heger's doors inside her home.

2. Making a criminal threat.

3. Brandishing a knife.

4. Interacting or engaging with Hutton inside of her home in-person

5. Jennifer Hutton-Heger is not seen at all on camera in the long video recording while KING AROGANT is inside of her home.

The nearly fifteen-minute GoPro video recording titled **REAL VIDEO FOR 2-21-2023.mp4** was attached to KING AROGANT's electronic mail as a blue-highlighted hyperlink file in MP4 video recording format that was playable on any Personal Computer, Macintosh laptop ("MacBook"), Android-powered mobile device, or Apple iPhone. See now KING AROGANT's November 28, 2023, email to George Gascón attached hereto as Exhibit ____ of KING AROGANT's Verified Appendix of Exhibits. Defendant George Gascón and the Office of George Gascón received FAIR NOTICE that his County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors, including but not limited to ***Brian MARK Rosenberg (SBN # 291501), Victor Manuel Rodriguez (SBN # 156150), James William Garrison (SBN # 157070), Stephanie Pearl Mire (SBN # 158918), Ronald Milliard Geltz (SBN # 126699), and Sharon Lee Woo (SBN # 148139) (all of which were electronically served nearly fifteen-minute REAL GoPro video recording on November 28, 2023, with the exception of Brian MARK Rosenberg)*** recklessly framed KING AROGANT for a serious and violent felony they all knew with one hundred percent certainty that KING AROGANT never committed. Yet, as of his last day in office on or about November 30, 2023, George Gascón and the Office of George Gascón

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

had all willfully, knowingly, recklessly, carelessly, wantonly, maliciously, deliberately, and callously with under color of law deliberate indifference to the federally protected constitutional civil rights of KING AROGANT, recklessly failed to do any of the following for nearly thirteen consecutive months after they all were all given FAIR NOTICE, and after they all received FAIR NOTICE:

1. Respond to KING AROGANT's November 28, 2023, email.

2. Request that the County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors involved with frivolous criminal case GA114055, turn over and disclose to them all sixteen GoPro video recordings recklessly presented at the preliminary hearing held on April 4, 2023, and April 5, 2023, and especially the phony LASD-created GoPro video recordings GLO10586 and GLO10587 that depicted and displayed KING AROGANT shutting off his GoPro camera with a bare right arm.

3. Immediately request that the County of Los Angeles rogue, biased, and dishonest criminal prosecutors Brian MARK Rosenberg and Victor Manuel Rodriguez's recklessly falsified evidence presented in the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court, Department 1, on April 4, 2023, and April 5, 2023 be immediately turned over and disclosed to George Gascón and the Office of George Gascón.

4. Launch an investigation into all KING AROGANT's November 28, 2023, emailed allegations and accusations that claimed the County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutors and investigators recklessly falsified evidence inside a Superior Court of California, Superior Court criminal courtroom.

5. Request that dishonest and biased County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors Victor Manuel Rodriguez and MARK Rosenberg immediately turn over and disclose impeaching and phony LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587.

6. Request that the rogue, biased, and dishonest involved County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors immediately turn over and disclose copies of impeaching phony LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587, in compliance with County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office's Legal Policies and Procedures, Chapter 14.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

7. Request that Victor Manuel Rodriguez and Brian MARK Rosenberg immediately turn over and disclose all sixteen GoPro video recordings announced, presented, and admitted into Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court, Department 1 on April 4, 2023, and April 5, 2023, and testified to by rogue peace officer Lying Billy Khounthavong on April 5, 2023.

8. Request that Victor Manuel Rodriguez and Brian MARK Rosenberg immediately turn over and disclose a Hard Copy of a Detailed CAD report showing the exact time each of Jennifer Hutton-Heger's first and second 911 audio recordings commenced and ended

On information and belief George Gascón and his former DA Office between February 24, 2023, and November 30, 2024, willfully, knowingly, recklessly, wantonly, carelessly, deliberately, maliciously, and callously had a meeting of minds with Leah Tamu Wilson, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., DOES 1-10 and their co-conspirators.

Rogue and biased County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors Brian Mark Rosenberg and Victor Manuel Rodriguez; named and unnamed County of Los Angeles peace officers, County of Los Angeles criminal investigators, County of Los Angeles employees and attorneys jointly conspired wit and had a meeting of minds with Leah Tamu Wilson, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., DOES 1-10 and their co-conspirators to recklessly violate the United States Constitution and KING AROGANT's federally protected United States Fourth and Fourteenth Amendment constitutional civil rights by allowing County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors, criminal investigators and peace officers to recklessly frame KING AROGANT by tainting, tampering with, altering, fabricating, and otherwise

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

manipulating his exculpatory GoPro video recordings as evidence, and by recklessly failing to disclose and turn over all the previously stated exculpatory and impeaching criminal evidence in the frivolous felony case GA114055.

From February 24, 2023, until November 30, 2024, and continuing to this present day. George Gascón and his District Attorney's Office have received numerous telephone calls, court motions, facsimiles, and emails that he and his office turn over and disclose the following impeaching and exculpatory evidence pursuant to California Penal Code § 1054, et seq, County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office Legal Policies and Procedures (especially Chapter 14, Disclosure of Exculpatory and Impeachment Information), Brady v. Maryland, and the Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause of the United States Constitution:

1. Certified court-stamped copy of phony and bogus County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department doctored, phony, and forged probable cause determination declaration.

2. Certified court-stamped copies of rogue peace officer Billy S. Khounthavong's fabricated and forged search warrant.

3. Copies of impeaching and phony LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 that were used as tools to frame KING AROGANT by these impeaching video recordings falsely showing KING AROGANT shutting off his GoPro camera while wearing different clothing than he had on February 21, 2023.

4. Copies of all sixteen GoPro video recordings played or presented at the preliminary hearing conducted on April 4, 2023, and April 5, 2023.

5. A detailed County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department Temple City police station CAD Report that showed the exact time Jennifer Hutton-Heger's first 911 call commenced and and the exact time it concluded.

6. A detailed County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department Temple City police station CAD Report that showed the exact time Jennifer Hutton-Heger's second 911 call commenced and the exact time it concluded.

7. Superior Court of California electronic court records that showed the exact date and time Lying Billy Khounthavong's fabricated and forged search warrant was filed and issued by Lying judicial officer Suzette Clover.

8. Superior Court of California electronic court records that showed the exact date and time Superior of California judicial officer Jana Seng issued lying and rogue peace officer Aaron D. Contreras' phony and bogus probable cause determination by finding probable cause while there was no court reporter present.

9. Provide co-counsel Neil Opdahl (SBN # 277596), Carlos Anthony Jackson, Thomas Leo Guzman-Sanchez and Joel Wyenn with immediate access to all audio and video recording evidence uploaded by County of Los Angeles peace officers and criminal prosecutors to Axon Enterprise, Inc.'s evidence.com

10. Provide co-counsel Neil Opdahl (SBN # 277596), Carlos Anthony Jackson, Thomas Leo Guzman-Sanchez, Joel Wyenn, and KING AROGANT with a replica copy of all GoPro video recordings stored on the 256-gigabyte SanDisk Micro SD card found inside the original GoPro camera that was illegally seized by County of Los Angeles peace officers on February 21, 2023.

While the County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office's Legal Policies and Procedures, Chapter 14 mandated and required George Gascón to turn over and disclose all the above-stated impeaching and exculpatory evidence to KING AROGANT. George Gascón willfully, knowingly, wantonly, maliciously, deliberately, carelessly, and callously violated the United States Constitution and KING AROGANT's Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights and put the interest of protecting his deputy district attorney Brian MARK Rosenberg from going to prison for recklessly violating California Government Code § 6200 above and ahead the interest of

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

administering justice by proving KING AROGANT with the multiple times requested impeaching and exculpatory evidence he needed to exonerate himself. **THIS WAS A VERY FALSE CRIMINAL CASE.**

Between February 21, 2023, and November 30, 2024, the only inculpatory evidence the County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office, Brian Mark Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**, James William Garrison **(SBN # 157070)**, Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)**, Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280)**, Stephanie Pearl **Mire (SBN # 158918)**, Sharon Lee Woo **(SBN # 148139)**, Ronald Millard Geltz **(SBN # 126699)**, George Gascón, Southern California High Tech Task Force, Sheriff Robert Luna, County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators had admitted in criminal Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court, Department 1 courtroom was the ridiculously fabricated trial court testimony of Jennifer Hutton-Heger. The County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office, Brian Mark Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**, James William Garrison **(SBN # 157070)**, Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)**, Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280)**, Stephanie Pearl **Mire (SBN # 158918)**, Sharon Lee Woo **(SBN # 148139)**, Ronald Millard Geltz **(SBN # 126699)**, George Gascón, Southern California High Tech Task Force, Sheriff Robert Luna, County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators' two 911 audio recordings, police bodycam video recordings, and original GoPro video recordings were all exculpatory evidence. See now County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office Legal Policies Manual, Chapter 14, attached as Verified Appendix of Exhibits and below herein.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

CHAPTER 14

DISCLOSURE OF EXCULPATORY AND IMPEACHMENT INFORMATION

14.01 INTRODUCTION

A California prosecutor's obligation to provide exculpatory and impeaching information arises from the federal Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment, as applied by the United States Supreme Court in *Brady v. Maryland* (1963) 373 U.S. 83, and California's Criminal Discovery Statute, as codified in Penal Code § 1054.1(e). Additionally, Rule 3.8 (Special Responsibilities of a Prosecutor) of the California Rules of Professional Conduct requires that prosecutors timely disclose all evidence or information that tends to negate the guilt of the accused, mitigate the offense, or mitigate the sentence, except when relieved of this responsibility by a protective order of the court.

The failure to provide exculpatory and impeaching information may violate Rule 3.4 (Fairness to Opposing Party and Counsel) of the Rules of Professional Conduct, Penal Code § 141(a), and trigger a report to the State Bar if the reversal of a judgment is based in whole, or in part, on prosecutorial misconduct. Moreover, the United States Supreme Court has indicated that the prudent prosecutor should resolve doubtful questions in favor of disclosure.

The Office's policy is to adhere to these obligations regardless of materiality to ensure defendants receive a fair trial and to preserve the integrity of convictions. All deputies are required to comply with the law regarding the disclosure of exculpatory and impeaching information, to resolve doubtful questions in favor of disclosure, and to follow the policies and procedures set forth herein.

14.02 THE *BRADY* RULE

A deputy has an affirmative duty to disclose all favorable material evidence on the issue of guilt or punishment possessed by the prosecution team, irrespective of a defense request. Favorable evidence consists of exculpatory information or impeaching information that undermines the credibility of a prosecution witness.

Examples of exculpatory evidence include information that:

Directly opposes guilt;

Negates an element of a charged offense;

Supports defense testimony;

Supports an affirmative defense;

Supports a defense motion;

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Mitigates punishment;

Examples of impeaching evidence include:

Felony convictions involving moral turpitude;

Misdemeanor or other conduct that reflects on believability;

Misconduct involving moral turpitude;

False reports by a prosecution witness;

Pending criminal charges against a prosecution witness;

Parole or probation of a prosecution witness;

Evidence contradicting a prosecution witness's statements or reports;

Evidence undermining a prosecution witness's expertise (e.g. inaccurate statements or expert opinions);

Evidence of misconduct by a Board of Rights or Civil Service Commission that reflects on a prosecution witness's truthfulness, bias, or moral turpitude;

Evidence that a prosecution witness has a reputation for untruthfulness;


Evidence that a prosecution witness has a racial, religious, or personal bias against the defendant individually or as a member of a group; and

Promises, offers, or inducements to a prosecution witness, including a grant of immunity.

When favorable material evidence is contained in the prosecuting attorney's file, the deputy is in actual possession of the evidence and shall disclose it. Additionally, a deputy shall disclose favorable material evidence in the possession of the "prosecution team," including "information possessed by others acting on the government's behalf that [was] gathered in connection with the investigation" (*Strickler v. Greene* (1999) 527 U.S. 263, 281). "Prosecution team" includes crime labs and sexual assault response teams (*People v. Uribe* (2008) 162 Cal.App.4th 1457).

A deputy's opinion regarding trial issues is work product and not discoverable under *Brady*. In contrast, deputies have a duty to immediately correct the testimony of a People's witness known to be false or misleading.

Occasionally, deputies may learn that a peace officer's personnel file contains potentially impeaching information when, for example, they find out a peace officer is placed on


New tab
Heartless da
COUNTY OF
New tab
Mom killed
Google AI M
COUNTY OF
COUNTY OF
https://www.google.com/search?q=COUNTY+OF+LOS+ANGELES+DA+POLICIES+CHAPTER+14&sca_esv=7466096905fafdc9&sxsrf=AE3Ti...
AI Mode
All
News
Images
Videos
More
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
DA POLICIES CHAPTER 14
Los Angeles County DA policies, Chapter 14: Focus on Discovery and Evidence Review
Chapter 14 of the Los Angeles County District Attorney's Legal Policies Manual primarily addresses the prosecutor's obligation to disclose exculpatory and impeachment information to the defense.
Here's a breakdown of what that entails:
Exculpatory Evidence: Information that could demonstrate a defendant's innocence or reduced culpability for the crime charged.
Impeachment Evidence: Information that undermines the credibility of a prosecution witness.
Key aspects of Chapter 14
Brady v. Maryland & Penal Code section 1054.1(e): The obligation to provide this information stems from both the federal Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment (as established in Brady v. Maryland) and California's Criminal Discovery Statute (Penal Code section 1054.1(e)).
Brady Compliance Unit: The District Attorney's office has a Brady Compliance Unit
Ask anything
8 sites
DISCOVERY COMPLIANCE SYSTEM MANUAL
A California prosecutor's obligation to provide exculpatory and...
Los Angeles County District Attor...
Bol Policy Manual - Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office
With no compromise for crime and with relentless prosecution of criminals, I will enforce the law...
Los Angeles County District Attorn...
Brady Compliance Unit Operations Manual
This Operations Manual sets forth the policies to be followed by the Brady...
Los Angeles County District Attor...
Show all
Search

Not only did George Gascón and his co-conspirators' illegal acts, actions, misconduct, misdoings, misdeeds, and transgressions of willfully, knowingly, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, deliberately, and callously allowing his County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors Brian MARK Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**, James William Garrison **(SBN # 157070)**, Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)**, Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280)**, Stephanie Pearl **Mire (SBN # 158918)**, Sharon Lee Woo **(SBN # 148139)**, Ronald Millard Geltz **(SBN # 126699)**, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators to recklessly allow government witnesses to testify falsely, to recklessly fail to turn over and disclose exculpatory 911 audio recordings, to recklessly fail to turn over exculpatory GoPro video recording GX010586, to recklessly fail to turn over certified copies of phony and forged search warrant and probable cause affidavits, and to recklessly fail to turn over and disclose copies of impeaching GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 that depicted and displayed KING AROGANT shutting off his GoPro camera while wearing different clothing than he had on February 21, 2023; these actions of George Gascón and his previously named County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors not only violated County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office legal policies and procedures, California Penal Code § 1054, the United States Constitution, and numerous State Bar of California Rules of Professional Conduct, but George Gascón, his County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors, and co-conspirators illegal acts, actions, misconduct, misdoings, misdeeds, and transgressions also recklessly violated American Bar Association, Model Rules of Professional Conduct, Advocate, Rules 3.3 and 3.4. American Bar Association, Model Rules of Professional Conduct, Advocate, Rule 3.3 provides:

(a) A lawyer shall not knowingly:

(1) Make a false statement of fact or law to a tribunal or fail to correct a false statement of material fact or law previously made to the tribunal by the lawyer;

(2) Fail to disclose to the tribunal legal authority in the controlling jurisdiction known to the lawyer to be directly adverse to the position of the client and not disclosed by opposing counsel; or

(3) Offer evidence that the lawyer knows to be false. If a lawyer, the lawyer's client, or a witness called by the lawyer, has offered material evidence and the lawyer comes to know of its falsity, the lawyer shall take reasonable remedial measures, including, if necessary, disclosure to the tribunal. A lawyer may refuse to offer evidence, other than the testimony of a defendant in a criminal matter, that the lawyer reasonably believes is false.

(b) A lawyer who represents a client in an adjudicative proceeding and who knows that a person intends to engage, is engaging or has engaged in criminal or fraudulent conduct related to the proceeding shall take reasonable remedial measures, including, if necessary, disclosure to the tribunal.

(c) The duties stated in paragraphs (a) and (b) continue to the conclusion of the proceeding, and apply even if compliance requires disclosure of information otherwise protected by Rule 1.6.

(d) In an ex parte proceeding, a lawyer shall inform the tribunal of all material facts known to the lawyer that will enable the tribunal to make an informed decision, whether or not the facts are adverse.

American Bar Association, Model Rules of Professional Conduct, Advocate, Rule 3.4

A lawyer shall not:

(a) unlawfully obstruct another party' s access to evidence or unlawfully alter, destroy or conceal a document or other material having potential evidentiary value. A lawyer shall not counsel or assist another person to do any such act;

(b) falsify evidence, counsel or assist a witness to testify falsely, or offer an inducement to a witness that is prohibited by law;

(c) knowingly disobey an obligation under the rules of a tribunal except for an open refusal based on an assertion that no valid obligation exists;

(d) in pretrial procedure, make a frivolous discovery request or fail to make reasonably diligent effort to comply with a legally proper discovery request by an opposing party;

(e) in trial, allude to any matter that the lawyer does not reasonably believe is relevant or that will not be supported by admissible evidence, assert personal knowledge of facts in issue except when testifying as a witness, or state a personal opinion as to the justness of a cause, the credibility of a witness, the culpability of a civil litigant or the guilt or innocence of an accused; or

(f) request a person other than a client to refrain from voluntarily giving relevant information to another party unless:

(1) the person is a relative or an employee or other agent of a client; and

(2) the lawyer reasonably believes that the person's interests will not be adversely affected by refraining from giving such information.

George Gascón, Brian MARK Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**, James William Garrison **(SBN # 157070)**, Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)**, Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280)**, Stephanie Pearl **Mire (SBN # 158918)**, Sharon Lee Woo **(SBN # 148139)**, Ronald Millard Geltz **(SBN # 126699)**, DOES 1-10, and co-conspirators are not entitled to any type, shape, or form of immunity because they all have willfully, knowingly, carelessly, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, deliberately, and callously violated American Bar Association, Model Rules of Professional Conduct, Rules 3.3 and 3.4 by recklessly doing all the following between February 24, 2023 and November 30,, 2024 in frivolous and unconstitutional Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court criminal felony case GA114055:

1. Willfully, knowingly, recklessly, careless, maliciously, wantonly, and callously failing to turn over and disclose a copy of the phony LASD-created GoPro video recordings GX010586 and GX010587, which depicted and displayed KING AROGANT shutting off his GoPro camera while wearing different clothing than he had on February 21, 2023.

2. Willfully, knowingly, recklessly, maliciously, wantonly, deliberately, and callously failing to turn over and disclose a copy of KING AROGANT's GoPro camera's 256 gigabyte Micro SD card that contained on it all original GoPro video recordings recorded by KING AROGANT on February 21, 2023.

3. Willfully, knowingly, recklessly, carelessly, maliciously, wantonly, and callously failing to turn over stand-alone copies of Jennifer Hutton-Heger's first 911 call.

4. Willfully, knowingly, recklessly, carelessly, maliciously, wantonly, and callously failing to turn over stand-alone copies of Jennifer Hutton-Heger's second 911 call.

5. Willfully, knowingly, recklessly, carelessly, maliciously, wantonly, and callously allowing rogue peace officer Billy S. Khounthavong to testify falsely by fabricating 911 audio recording call evidence.

6. Willfully, knowingly, recklessly, carelessly, maliciously, wantonly, and callously allowing FAKE VICTIM Jennifer Hutton-Heger to testify falsely that she told peace officers Aaron Contreras and Daniel Esqueda that KING AROGANT was brandishing a knife in her home, that they did a reenactment of the knife confrontation, and that Hutton-Heger still had the knife, all while George Gascón and his County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors knew that there was nothing in the police report about a knife.

7. Willfully, knowingly, recklessly, carelessly, maliciously, wantonly, and callously presenting and admitting into the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court, Department 1 phony LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 on April 4, 2023, April 5, 2023, and February 2, 2024, and then recklessly failing to lodge GoPro video recordings with Department 1, pursuant to California Rules of Court, Rule 2.1040

8. Willfully, knowingly, recklessly, carelessly, maliciously, wantonly, and callously presenting false evidence and admitting false evidence into a Superior Court of California criminal courthouse in the form of uncertified, unauthenticated, unverified and unattested photocopies of Billy Khounthavon's never-issued search warrant.

9. Willfully, knowingly, recklessly, carelessly, maliciously, wantonly, and callously allowing rogue and dishonest County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutor Brian MARK Rosenberg to after George Gascón had been electronically served a copy of a nearly fifteen-minute original GoPro video recording recklessly present and admit phony LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 into Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court, Department 1, on February 2, 2024, depicted and displayed KING AROGANT shutting off his GoPro camera while wearing different clothing than he had on February 21, 2023.

10. Willfully, knowingly, recklessly, carelessly, maliciously, wantonly, and callously presenting false evidence and admitting false evidence into a Superior Court of California criminal courthouse in the form of uncertified, unauthenticated, unverified and unattested photocopies of Aaron D. Contreras' phony and forged probable cause determination.

11. Willfully, knowingly, recklessly, carelessly, maliciously, wantonly, and callously failing to turn over the detailed County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department Temple City CAD report that stated the exact time that FAKE VICTIM Jennifer Hutton-Heger's first 911 audio recording commenced, and the exact time it ended.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

12. Willfully, knowingly, recklessly, carelessly, maliciously, wantonly, and callously I am running a few minutes late; my previous meeting is running over. failing to and refusing to provide co-counsel, Neil Opdahl (SBN # 277596), Carlos Anthony Jackson, Thomas Leo Guzman-Sanchez, Joel Wyenn, and KING AROGANT with a replica copy of all GoPro video recordings stored on the 256-gigabyte SanDisk micro SD card that was found inside of the original GoPro camera that was illegally seized by County of Los Angeles peace officers on February 21, 2023.

13. Willfully, knowingly, recklessly, carelessly, maliciously, wantonly, and callously I am running a few minutes late; my previous meeting is running over. failing to and refusing to provide co-counsel, Neil Opdahl (SBN # 277596), Carlos Anthony Jackson, Thomas Leo Guzman-Sanchez, and Joel Wyenn, with immediate access to all audio and video recording evidence uploaded by County of Los Angeles peace officers and criminal prosecutors to Axon Enterprise, Inc.'s evidence.com

On December 3, 2023, at 5:56 AM KING AROGANT sent an email to Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., George Gascón, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators that included in the body of the email detailed facts that Billy Khounthavong forged a search warrant document and lied in his search warrant that KING AROGANT's GoPro Hero 10 Black Action camera would show him committing a felony, and lying in his supplemental police report that Lying Billy's phony and bogus search warrant was issued by a judicial officer presiding in the Pasadena Superior Court. Included in his email in the body of the email were images of every page of Billy S. Khounthavong's phony and bogus search warrant. Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., George Gascón, DOES 1-10 and their co-conspirators' actions, misconduct, misdeeds, misdoings, and transgressions done willfully, knowingly, carelessly, recklessly, maliciously, deliberately, and callously to cause harm to KING AROGANT by ignoring his November 28, 2023, email served upon

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

the previously named local government employees and officials. Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., George Gascón, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators' actions, misconduct, misdeeds, misdoings, and transgressions of willfully, knowingly, carelessly, recklessly, maliciously, deliberately, and callously causing harm to KING AROGANT by ignoring his December 2, 2023 email; did actually cause substantial harm to KING AROGANT, and Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., George Gascón, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators' ignorance of KING AROGANT's November 28, 2023 email. The context, detailed facts, and images of all six pages of rogue peace officer Lying Billy S. Khounthavong's phony and bogus search warrant, included in the body of KING AROGANT's December 2, 2023, email, proved by clear and convincing evidence that Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., George Gascón, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators received FAIR NOTICE on December 2, 2023, that rogue peace officer Billy Khounthavong had fabricated lies on a search warrant by stating on the search warrant that KING AROGNAT's GoPro camera would show him making a criminal threat, and fabricating his supplemental police report that his phony search warrant was issued by Superior of California, County of Los Angeles, Pasadena Superior Court on February 22, 2023. Further, KING AROGANT was held to answer not because People's phony LASD-created GoPro camera video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 showed him making a criminal threat. KING AROGANT was instead held to answer because government witness Jennifer Hutton-Heger testified falsely that KING AROGANT threatened to kill her, and KING AROGANT was held to answer because George

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Gascón, his previously named County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators employed by the County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office willfully, knowingly, carelessly, recklessly, maliciously, deliberately, and callously presented to the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court, Department 1 an entirely fabricated case-in-chief that KING AROGANT shut off his GoPro camera between 10:06 AM to 10:13 AM, threatened to kill Hutton-Heger, and then after threatening to kill her and brandishing a knife in her kitchen, turns his GoPro camera back on and starts recording a new GoPro video recording (LASD-created GL010587). Roge and dishonest County of Los Angeles peace officer Billy S. Khounthavong's original affidavit statements that KING AROGANT's GoPro camera would show him committing a felony by making a criminal threat to Jennifer Hutton-Heger on February 21, 2023, proved that County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department and County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office made up a fabricated case-in-chief and wholly created their preliminary hearing's case-in-chief that KING AROGANT shut off his GoPro camera between 10:06 AM and 10:13 AM, and that during that time frame threatened to kill Jennifer Hutton-Heger. Unlike Billy Khounthavong's fabricated statements on his search warrant affidavit, which claimed that KING AROGANT's GoPro camera would show him committing a felony by making a criminal threat to Jennifer Hutton Heger on February 21, 2023. None of the County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department's Fraud and Cyber Crimes Bureau's Southern California High Tech Task Force and County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office's presented GoPro video recordings recklessly played at the preliminary hearing on April 4, 2023, and April 5, 2023 showed KING AROGANT doing anything but exercising his United States Constitutional First Amendment rights to freedom of speech.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Moreover, Former County of Los Angeles District Attorney George Gascón knew that the County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office, Brian Mark Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**, James William Garrison **(SBN # 157070)**, Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)**, Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280)**, Stephanie Pearl **Mire (SBN # 158918)**, Sharon Lee Woo **(SBN # 148139)**, Ronald Millard Geltz **(SBN # 126699)**, Southern California High Tech Task Force, Sheriff Robert Luna, County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators had willfully, knowingly, carelessly, recklessly, maliciously, deliberately, and callously violated California Evidence Code § 1522 by recklessly presenting to the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court, Department 1 manipulated copies of KING AROGANT's original GoPro video recording GX010586 that was stored on his camera's 256 gigabytes SanDisk Micro SD card. Pursuant to Section1522 the County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office, Brian Mark Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**, James William Garrison **(SBN # 157070)**, Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)**, Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280)**, Stephanie Pearl **Mire (SBN # 158918)**, Sharon Lee Woo **(SBN # 148139)**, Ronald Millard Geltz **(SBN # 126699)**, George Gascón, Southern California High Tech Task Force, Sheriff Robert Luna, County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators were prohibited from presenting phony LASD-created GoPro video recordings GLO10586 and GL010587 because the original GoPro video recordings had been in George Gascón, Brian MARK Rosenberg, and his County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office's possession since County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department and Lying Billy Khounthavong confiscated and illegally seized KING AROGANT's GoPro camera and its 256 gigabytes SanDisk Micro SD card on February 21, 2023 without probable cause.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Lastly, rogue County of Los Angeles peace officer Billy S. Khounthavong never stated as alleged in his fabricated search warrant affidavit that KING AROGANT's GoPro camera showed him shutting it off between 10:06 AM and 10:13 AM. The absence of the above statements further proves by clear and convincing evidence that the County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office, Brian Mark Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**, James William Garrison **(SBN # 157070)**, Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)**, Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280)**, Stephanie Pearl **Mire (SBN # 158918)**, Sharon Lee Woo **(SBN # 148139)**, Ronald Millard Geltz **(SBN # 126699)**, George Gascón, Southern California High Tech Task Force, Sheriff Robert Luna, County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators willfully, knowingly, carelessly, recklessly, maliciously, deliberately and callously fabricated their preliminary hearing case-in-chief that KING AROGANT shut off his GoPro camera between 10:06 AM and 10:13 AM in furtherance of County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office, Brian Mark Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**, James William Garrison **(SBN # 157070)**, Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)**, Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280)**, Stephanie Pearl **Mire (SBN # 158918)**, Sharon Lee Woo **(SBN # 148139)**, Ronald Millard Geltz **(SBN # 126699)**, George Gascón, Southern California High Tech Task Force, Sheriff Robert Luna, County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators' secret meeting, secret plan, and conspiracy to recklessly frame KING AROGANT for a serious and violent felony they knew he never committed. The absence of the above statements further proves by clear and convincing evidence that the County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office, Brian Mark Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**, James William Garrison **(SBN # 157070)**, Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)**, Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280)**, Stephanie Pearl **Mire (SBN # 158918)**,

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Sharon Lee Woo **(SBN # 148139)**, Ronald Millard Geltz **(SBN # 126699)**, George Gascón, Southern California High Tech Task Force, Sheriff Robert Luna, County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators willfully, knowingly, carelessly, recklessly, maliciously, deliberately, and callously created and made-up their preliminary hearing case-in-chief that KING AROGANT shut off his GoPro camera between 10:06 AM and 10:13 AM in furtherance of all their secret meeting, secret plan, and conspiracy to recklessly frame KING AROGANT for a serious and violent felony they knew he never committed.

On April 4, 2023, April 5, 2023, and finally on February 2, 2024, the County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office, Brian Mark Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**, James William Garrison **(SBN # 157070)**, Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)**, Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280)**, Stephanie Pearl **Mire (SBN # 158918)**, Sharon Lee Woo **(SBN # 148139)**, Ronald Millard Geltz **(SBN # 126699)**, George Gascón, Southern California High Tech Task Force, Sheriff Robert Luna, County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators willfully, knowingly, carelessly, recklessly, maliciously, deliberately, and callously supported their preliminary hearing case-in-chief by recklessly presenting and admitting into the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court, Department 1 falsified, fabricated, and manipulated LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 that depicted and displayed KING AROGANT shutting off his GoPro camera while wearing different clothing than he had on February 21, 2023. See now KING AROGANT's December 3, 2023, email sent to Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., George Gascón, and DOES 1-

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

10 attached as Verified Appendix of Exhibits. Moreover, while in Billy Khounthavong's falsified supplemental police report, he stated that his phony and bogus search warrant was issued by the Honorable Suzette Clover. The probable cause warrant and search warrant procedures of and in the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, criminal courthouses prove by clear and convincing evidence that the Superior Court of California judicial officer Suzette Clover never issued Billy Khounthavong's phony, bogus, and judicially forged search warrant on February 22, 2023. The Honorable Suzette Clover created a fabricated minute order in early October 2023 stating that she did issue Lying Billy Khounthavong's phony, bogus, and judicially forged search warrant that does not even bear the Superior Court of California seal! In a Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles criminal courthouse, a probable cause warrant, arrest warrant, or search warrant cannot be issued without the requesting peace officer first completing Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Countywide Warrant System Initial Case Filing Form, LASC CRIM 126 Revised January 2020, For Mandatory Use. At the top of this mandatory criminal court form, it states the following:

> **If a search warrant was executed that contributed to filing of this case, please provide the search warrant number on the line below.**
>
> **Search Warrant Number _____**

At the bottom left side of the Countywide Warrant System Initial Case Filing form the following black-lettered text is printed:

LASC CRIM 126 Rev,. 01/20
For Mandatory Use

In the frivolous and unconstitutional Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court case GA114055, mandatory criminal form CRIM 126 does not exist for Billy Khounthavong's phony, bogus, and judicially forged search warrant.

In the frivolous and unconstitutional Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court case GA114055, mandatory criminal form CRIM 126 does not exist for rogue and dishonest County of Los Angeles peace officer Aaron D. Contreras' phony, bogus, and judicially forged probable cause determination.

Additionally, nowhere written or printed on Lying Billy Khounthavong's search warrant is a search warrant number. Similarly, the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Pasadena Superior Court has no records of a search warrant number for Billy Khounthavong's phone search warrant. In early October 2023, when the Honorable Suzette Clover created her fabricated and fraudulent court minute order, she did not reference any search warrant number. Billy Khounthavong did not reference any search warrant number in his fabricated and falsified supplemental police report. When rogue County of Los Angeles peace officer Lying Billy Khounthavong falsified his bogus and phony search warrant return seven months later, the Pasadena Superior Court received the document but refused to file it with the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Pasadena Superior Court, for all the following reasons:

1. Rogue peace officer Billy Khounthavong's phony, bogus, and judicially forged search warrant was required to be returned in ten days pursuant to California Penal Code § 1534.

2. The Pasadena Superior Court criminal clerk accepting Billy Khounthavong's forged search warrant return could not find any records of Billy Khounthavong's phony and bogus search warrant ever being filed and issued by the Pasadena Superior Court.

3. The forged and bogus search warrant and search warrant return submitted to Pasadena Superior Court in September 2023 had no search warrant number written on them, nor did the Pasadena Superior Court have any court records of a search warrant number or a February 22, 2023, minute order stating that Lying Billy Khounthavong was granted by the Honorable Suzette Clover.

4. The Pasadena Superior Court criminal clerk accepting Billy Khounthavong's forged search warrant return found no court records that showed that the mandatory Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Countywide Warrant System Initial Case Filing Form had been completed and filed with the Pasadena Superior Court in frivolous criminal case GA114055.

See now Lying Billy Khounthavong's Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Pasadena Superior Court bogus, phony, and judicially forged search warrant return attached as Verified Appendix of Exhibits.

The absence of a search warrant being filed, the absence of a search warrant number, combined with the absence of a filed Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Countywide Warrant System, Initial Case Filing Form, CRIM 126 (for

Mandatory Use), proves by clear and convincing evidence that Lying Billy Khounthavong's phony, bogus, no search warrant number, and judicially forged search warrant was never issued and never filed in the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Pasadena Superior Court in February 2023, and thus, the County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office, Brian Mark Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**, James William Garrison **(SBN # 157070)**, Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)**, Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280)**, Stephanie Pearl **Mire (SBN # 158918)**, Sharon Lee Woo **(SBN # 148139)**, Ronald Millard Geltz **(SBN # 126699)**, George Gascón, Southern California High Tech Task Force, Sheriff Robert Luna, County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators willfully, recklessly, carelessly, maliciously, wantonly, deliberately, and callously violated KING AROGANT's United States Fourth Amendment Search and Seizure Clause constitutional civil rights by recklessly and maliciously confiscating and searching KING AROGANT's GoPro camera and GoPro camera's SanDisk 256 gigabytes Micro SD card without any shape, form, type of probable cause. Including but not limited to Lying Billy Khounthavong, Leo Kong Fa Lo, Richard Lewis, and Defendant GoPro Manipulator's Supervisor, County of Los Angeles peace officer Sergeant Flores.

Since at least February 20, 2023, the policy and procedures of all Superior Court of California criminal courthouses and courtrooms require that, after the search warrant request form is completed along with the peace officer's declaration, a judicial minute order is created stating and documenting the judicial officer's actions taken on the search warrant request. State of California judicial officer Suzette Clover could not have issued and approved rogue peace officer Billy Khounthavong's phony, bogus, and judicially

forged search warrant without first creating a minute order court record documenting and stating what action the court took on Billy Khounthavong's search warrant request. This is true whether the peace officer's search warrant request was submitted to a Superior Court manually in person or submitted electronically by a peace officer from a computer. The peace officer's method of submission of his or her search warrant request to a Superior Court of California does not alter or change a Superior Court of California's obligation, duty, and requirement to create a minute order and court record of what action the judicial officer took on the peace officer's search warrant or arrest warrant request. Additionally, in all Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles criminal courthouses, a judicial officer cannot act on a search warrant request until he or she has received all the following from the peace officer requesting a search warrant or arrest warrant:

1. A completed and signed Countywide Warrant System, Initial Case Filing Form, CRIM 126

2. A declaration of peace officer requesting a search warrant

In the frivolous Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court case GA115055, located nowhere inside the criminal case file is there a minute order from February 2023 documenting and stating what action Superior Court of California judicial officer Suzette Clover took on Billy Khounthavong's search warrant request on February 22, 2023.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

The absence of a Suzette Clover minute order and filed LASC CRIM 126 form proves by clear and convincing evidence that the Honorable Suzette Clover never took any action on Billy Khounthavong's February 22, 2023 search warrant request because both Pasadena Superior Court and Alhambra Superior Court have no court records that Suzette Clover ever had taken any action on Billy Khounthavong's February 22, 2023 search warrant request because both Pasadena Superior Court and Alhambra Superior Court have no court records that Suzette Clover's courtroom created a minute order in February 2023 regarding what action she took on Billy Khounthavong's search warrant request.

On information and belief Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., George Gascón, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators that were emailed on December 3, 2023, knew with 100% certainty that Billy S. Khounthavong February 22, 2023 search warrant was bogus and phony because it did not have a search warrant number on it.

On information and belief emailed Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., George Gascón, DOES 1-10 knew with 100% certainty after reading and reviewing KING AROGANT's December 2, 2023 email that Billy Khounthavong's search warrant was bogus, phony, and judicially forged because it did not have a search warrant number on it and because it was not accompanied by the mandator Countywide Warrant System Initial Filing Form.

Arbitrarily clothed and covered with the color of law Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., George Gascón, DOES 1-10 and their co-conspirators foolishly and stupidly thought that they would get away scot-free with willfully, carelessly, maliciously, recklessly, wantonly, deliberately, and callously ignoring KING AROGANT's very serious November 28, 2023 and December 2, 2023 emails.

KING AROGANT hereby restates, realleges, reiterates, reincorporates, and incorporates by reference all previous paragraphs beginning on page one of this Civil Law Brief.

On December 11, 2023, KING AROGANT sent an email to Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., George Gascón, DOES 1-10 and their co-conspirators that included in the body of the email detailed facts that California state regulated and funded County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department's Fraud and Cyber Crime Bureau's Southern California High Tech Task Force peace officers had no a legal authority and no legal authorization to put their dirty and tainted hands on KING AROGANT's GoPro camera because nothing in their peace officer job duties or job descriptions gave them legal authorization to investigate a criminal threats investigation. KING AROGANT electronic mail served upon them all subject line read:

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. state of california, united states, county of los angeles, city of south pasadena, city of arcadia, et al [SECOND EMAIL] PHONY SEARCH WARRANT THAT WAS USED TO FRAME AROGANT HOLLYWOOD FOR VIOLATING CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE SECTION 422(a) [BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG MAKES DEREK CHAUVIN[n] LOOK LIKE A SAINT!] [NO CAP @@] [OFFICIAL COMPLAINT

AGAINST BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG AND BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG FOR PRESENTING THE COURT AND MALICIOUSLY PROSECUTING AROGANT HOLLYWOOD BASED ON A COUNTERFEIT AND MAKE BELIEVE CRIMINAL SEARCH WARRANT. [OFFICIAL COMPLAINT, EMAIL 2, PART 2 ONLY] NO CAP @@]

KING AROGANT stated in his detailed December 11, 2023 email that it was foul play for rogue County of Los Angeles peace officer Billy Khounthavong to send KING AROGANT's GoPro camera to County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department's Fraud and Cyber Crime Bureau's Southern California High Tech Task Force peace officers because they were not authorized by law to investigate criminal threats allegations and accusations. And thus, KING AROGANT's entirely exculpatory GoPro camera was illegally sent to Southern California High Tech Task Force peace officers so that they could all commit the crime of willfully, knowingly, careless, recklessly, maliciously, deliberately, and callously violate California Government Code § 6200 by recklessly and deliberately creating phony LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587, and then recklessly presenting and admitting these very same phony and bogus LASD-created GoPro video recordings in the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court on April 4, 2023, April 5, 2023, and February 2, 2023 that depicted and displayed KING AROGANT wearing shorts, a tank top, and shutting his GoPro camera off with a bare right arm while KING AROGANT's real GoPro video recording GX010586, LASD peace officer bodycams, and Jennifer Hutton-Heger's first 911 call prove by clear and convincing evidence that KING AROGANT was wearing baggy long pants and a long-sleeved coat on February 21, 2023. The

context, detailed facts, and attached documents such as the Judicial Council of California's Trial Court Records Manual (Revised on June 4, 2024), proves by clear and convincing evidence that Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., George Gascón, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators all received FAIR NOTICE on December 11, 2023 that County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department's Fraud and Cyber Crimes Bureau's Southern California High Tech Task Force peace officers were illegally in possession of KING AROGANT's GoPro camera, and also they all received FAIR NOTICE that while these Southern California High Tech Task Force and GoPro Manipulator Leo Ka Fong Lo were illegally in possession of KING AROGANT's GoPro camera and original video recordings stored on KING AROGANT's GoPro camera's SanDisk 256 gigabytes micro SD card. These rogue County of Los Angeles peace officers and their co-conspirator Leo Ka Fong Lo willfully, knowingly, recklessly, maliciously, wantonly, deliberately, and callously created phony and bogus GoPro video recordings GLO10586 and GL010587 that were both recklessly presented and admitted inside a criminal Superior Court on April 4, 2023, April 5, 2023, and February 2, 2024 to frame KING AROGANT for a serious and violent felony crime that they all knew that KING AROGANT had never committed. See now KING AROGANT's December 11, 2023, email attached as Verified Appendix of Exhibits, which was sent to Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., George Gascón, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators.

Emailed Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., George Gascón, DOES 1-10, and all received

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

FAIR NOTICE that County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department's Fraud and Cyber Crime Bureau's Southern California High Tech Task Force peace officers and GoPro Manipulator Leo Ka Fong Lo willfully, recklessly, maliciously, wantonly, carelessly, maliciously, and callously framed KING AROGANT for a serious and violent felony they all knew he never committed by these peace officers willfully, recklessly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, and callously creating phony and bogus GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587, and then recklessly presenting and admitting these phony LASD-created GoPro video recordings inside Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court, Department 1. Yet, as of August 10, 2025 Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., George Gascón (Untied November 30, 2024 only) DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators have all willfully, carelessly, recklessly, maliciously, wantonly, deliberately, and callously with under color of law deliberate indifference to the federally protected civil rights of KING AROGANT, recklessly failed to do any of the following for nearly nineteen months consecutive months after they all had been given FAIR NOTICE, and received FAIR NOTICE:

1. Respond to KING AROGANT's December 11, 2023, email.

2. Request that the County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department Fraud and Cyber Crimes Bureau's Southern California High Tech Task Force and Leo Ka Fong Lo immediately turn over and disclose copies of phony and bogus LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 that were recklessly admitted and presented at the rigged preliminary hearing conducted on April 4, 2023, and April 5, 2023.

3. Launch an investigation into all KING AROGANT's December 11, 2023, emailed allegations and accusations that claimed the County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department specialized peace officers willfully, recklessly, carelessly, maliciously, wantonly, deliberately, and callously created phony GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587, while KING AROGANT's GoPro camera was illegally in their possession and then recklessly presented and admitted phony GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 to recklessly frame KING AROGANT for a serious and violent felony crime, they all knew he never committed.

On information and belief Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators between November 28, 2023, and November 30, 2024, all willfully, carelessly, recklessly, maliciously, wantonly, deliberately, and callously had a meeting of minds among themselves and each other, and with former County of Los Angeles District Attorney George Gascón and other named and unnamed County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office employees, attorneys, and criminal prosecutors to willfully, recklessly, carelessly, maliciously, wantonly, deliberately, and callously violate KING AROGANT's Fourth and Fourteenth Amendment United States constitutional civil rights, and Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators did conspire to recklessly violate KING AROGANT's federally protected constitutional civil rights by doing all the aforementioned and by staying silent while County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors recklessly violated State Bar of California laws, California Business and Professions statutes, and California Government Code § 6200. Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators condoned, tolerated, acquiesced, consented to, overlooked, permitted, and otherwise continued to allow the County of Los Angeles

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

criminal prosecutors to frame KING AROGANT by tainting, tampering with, altering, fabricating, and otherwise manipulating KING AROGANT's exculpatory GoPro video recording GX010586, and then recklessly presenting and admitting them into the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court, Department 1 to frame KING AROGANT for a serious and violent felony that all emailed natural persons knew with 100% certainty that KING AROGANT never committed.

Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., George Gascón, DOE 1-10, and their co-conspirators' willful, knowing, reckless, wanton, malicious, deliberately, and callous illegal acts, actions, misconduct, misdeeds, misdoings, and transgressions of since November 28, 2023 recklessly violating California Government Code § 6200, proves by clear and convincing evidence that they all were given FAIR NOTICE, received FAIR NOTICE, and thus, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators were disqualified from obtaining any shape, form, or type of judicial, electoral, or prosecutorial immunity.

KING AROGANT hereby restates, realleges, reiterates, reincorporates, and incorporates by reference all previous paragraphs of Civil Law Legal Brief beginning on page 1.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

On January 15, 2024, KING AROGANT forwarded the same November 23, 2023, email that contained detailed facts and allegations that rogue County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors Brian MARK Rosenberg, Richard Lewis, Billy Khounthavong, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, County of Los Angeles Fraud and Cyber Crimes Bureau's Southern California High Tech Task Force. and County of Los Angeles willfully, recklessly, carelessly, wantonly, maliciously, deliberately, and callously violated KING AROGANT's constitutional civil rights by recklessly presenting and admitting into Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court, Department 1, phony and bogus LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 that falsely depicted and displayed KING AROGANT shutting off his GoPro camera while he was inside of the now deceased Jennifer Hutton-Heger's home on February 21, 2023, wearing different clothing than he had on February 21, 2023. See KING AROGANT's January 15, 2024, email that was sent to Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., George Gascón, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators attached as to KING AROGANT's Verified Appendix of Exhibits. Emailed Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., George Gascón (until November 30, 2024 only), DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators were given FAIR NOTICE and they all received FAIR NOTICE that County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors Brian MARK Rosenberg, Richard Lewis, Billy Khounthavong, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, County of Los Angeles Fraud and Cyber Crimes Bureau's Southern California High Tech Task Force. and County of Los Angeles had recklessly framed KING AROGANT for a serious and violent felony that they all knew KING AROGANT never committed. Yet as of August 11, 2025, Gavin Christopher Newsom,

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., George Gascón, DOES 1-10 and their co-conspirators have all willfully, recklessly, carelessly, maliciously, wantonly, deliberately and callously with under color of law deliberate indifference to the federally protected civil rights of KING AROGANT recklessly failed to do any of the following for nearly nineteen consecutive months after the above-stated State of California employees and offficials were given FAIR NOTICE and received FAIR NOTICE:

1. Respond to KING AROGANT's November 28, 2023, email.

2. Request that the County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors involved with frivolous criminal case GA114055, turn over and disclose to them all sixteen GoPro video recordings recklessly presented at the preliminary hearing held on April 4, 2023, and April 5, 2023, and especially phony LASD-created GoPro video recordings GLO10586 and GLO10587 that depicted and displayed KING AROGANT shutting off his GoPro camera with a bare right arm.

3. Immediately request that the County of Los Angeles rogue, biased, and dishonest criminal prosecutors Brian MARK Rosenberg and Victor Manuel Rodriguez's recklessly falsified evidence presented in the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles , Alhambra Superior Court, Department 1 on April 4, 2023, and April 5, 2023 be immediately turned over and disclosed to George Gascón, the Office of George Gascón and the office of Attorney General Robert Bonta.

4. Launch an investigation into all KING AROGANT's November 28, 2023, emailed allegations and accusations that claimed the County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutors and investigators recklessly falsified evidence inside a Superior Court of California criminal courtroom.

5. Request that Victor Manuel Rodriguez and MARK Rosenberg immediately turn over and disclose impeaching and phony LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

6. Request that rogue, biased, and dishonest County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors immediately turn over and disclose copies of the impeaching phony LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 in compliance with the County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office's Legal Policies and Procedures, Chapter 14.

7. Request that Victor Manuel Rodriguez and MARK Rosenberg immediately turn over and disclose all sixteen GoPro video recordings announced, presented, and admitted into Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court, Department 1 on April 4, 2023, and April 5, 2023, and testified to by rogue peace officer Lying Billy Khounthavong on April 5, 2023.

8. Request that Victor Manuel Rodriguez and Brian MARK Rosenberg immediately turn over and disclose a Hard Copy of a Detailed CAD report showing the exact time each of Jennifer Hutton-Heger's first and second 911 audio recordings commenced and ended.

On information and belief, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators between November 28, 2023, and November 30, 2024, all had a meeting of minds amongst each other and with former County of Los George Gascón, County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors Brian MARK Rosenberg and Victor Manuel Rodriguez, County of Los Angeles peace officers Richard Lewis and Billy Khounthavong, County of Los Angeles Fraud and Cyber Crimes Bureau's Southern California High Tech Task Force. and County of Los Angeles and other named and unnamed County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors, employees, peace officers, and investigators to recklessly violate the United States Constitution, and KING AROGANT's Fourth and Fourteenth Amendment constitutional civil rights, and all natural persons named above and herein did conspire amongst each other to violate KING AROGANT's federally protected constitutional rights by doing all the aforementioned, and by staying silent while condoning, tolerating, consenting to, overlooking, permitting, and otherwise continuing to allow County of Los Angeles

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

criminal prosecutors, employees, peace officers, and investigators to frame KING AROGANT by tainting, tampering with, altering, fabricating, and otherwise manipulating his exculpatory GoPro video recordings evidence.

Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, George Gascón, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators all willfully, recklessly, maliciously, wantonly, deliberately and callously violated the United States Constitution, KING AROGANT's Fourth Amendment Search and Seizure Clause constitutional civil rights by recklessly ignoring his emails served upon them on November 28, 2023, December 2, 2023, December 11, 2023, and January 15, 2024, and by recklessly failing to launch an investigation that would have aided and assisted KING AROGANT with overturning his wrongful, illegal, and unconstitutional felony conviction.

Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, George Gascón, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators all committed reckless under color of law FRAUD by their, through their, and when their illegal acts, actions, misconduct, misdeeds, and transgressions of them all hiding from, concealing from, failing to disclose, failing to inform, and otherwise tell Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court, Department 1 that on November 28, 2023, and January 15, 2024, that they were all served a nearly fifteen-minute GoPro video recording that proved by clear and convincing evidence that KING AROGANT did not make a criminal threat to Jennifer Hutton-Heger on February 21, 2023, and was factually innocent, emails that were

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

electronically served upon Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, George Gascón, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators on November 28, 2023, and January 15, 2024. Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, George Gascón, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., DOES 1-10, and co-conspirators willfully, recklessly, maliciously, carelessly, deliberately, and callously violated the United States Constitution and KING AROGANT's Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights by them recklessly hiding from, concealing from, failing to disclose, failing to tell, and otherwise inform the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court, Department 1 that they had a legal duty and legal obligation to assist and aid KING AROGANT with overturning his wrongful, illegal, and unconstitutional criminal felony case pursuant to State Bar of California Rules 3.3 Candor Toward Tribunal, 3.4 Fairness to Opposing Party and Counsel, and 3.8 Special Responsibilities of a Prosecutor, California Business and Professions Code § 6068, and American Bar Association, Model Rules of Professional Conduct, Rules 3.1 Meritorious Claims and Contentions, 3.3 Candor toward the Tribunal, Rule 3.4 Fairness to Opposing Party and Counsel, and Rule 3.8 Special Responsibilities of a Prosecutor. Which was reckless under color of law FRAUD by them doing, by them using, by them employing, and otherwise engaging in illusionary and manipulated illegal acts of concealment, deceit, conspiracies, and corruption to gain an unfair, unlawful, unconstitutional, and unjust advantage over KING AROGANT.

On December 18, 2023, in response to KING AROGANT's email to the County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, Sheriff Robert Luna (emailed at rluna@lasd.org) the

department's Risk Management Bureau responded to KING AROGANT's email that Billy Khounthavong forged a bogus search warrant by refusing to turn over a copy of it. In fact, LASD's response was even signed by Shawnee N. Hinchman, a captain. See now LASD Risk Management Bureau's response to KING AROGANT's claims that Billy Khounthavong judicially forged a bogus search warrant in late February 2023, attached to KING AROGANT's Verified Appendix of Exhibits, and also attached hereto below.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

OFFICE OF THE SHERIFF

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HALL OF JUSTICE

ROBERT G. LUNA, SHERIFF

December 12, 2023

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Boulevard
Pasadena, California 91106
arogantholllywoodgenius@gmail.com

Dear Mr. Hollywood:

PUBLIC RECORDS ACT REQUEST – #23-2831MI

This letter is in response to your request for records under the California Public Records Act dated and received by the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department (LASD), Public Records Act Unit on November 29, 2023.

In your request you are seeking the following:

1. A copy of any and electronic mail documents that LASD and Billy Khounthavong created while submitting alleged electronic search warrant to racist and prejudice Judge Suzette Clover, who presides over Pasadena Superior Court, Department F.
2. Any and all LASD Temple City Police file records of Billy Khounthavong's make believe search warrant that was alleged to had been issued on February 22, 2023, at 12:11 PM.
3. Any and all Superior Court of California, Pasadena Superior Court paper form records of Billy Khounthavong's bogus search warrant that were physically mailed to LASD from the Pasadena Superior Court after issuance of Khounthavong's search warrant.
4. Any and all Superior Court of California, Pasadena Superior Court, electronic records of Billy Khounthavong's bogus search warrant that were electronically sent to LASD from the Pasadena Superior Court after issuance or Khounthavong's search warrant.
5. A copy of any and all LASD Temple City paper form search warrant affidavits that were submitted to the Pasadena Superior Court between February 20, 2023, and February 23, 2023.
6. A copy of any and all LASD Temple City electronic form search warrant affidavits that were submitted to the Pasadena Superior Court between February 20, 2023, and February 21, 2023.

Response to No. 1: LASD is unable to provide records responsive to your request. The records sought constitute investigatory files, which are exempt from disclosure under Government Code sections 7923.600-7923.625 and 7922.000.

Response to Nos. 2 and 5: LASD is unable to provide you with search warrant information as these documents are prohibited from disclosure under Government Code sections 7923.600-7923.625 and 7927.705 as well as Evidence Code section 1040. Warrants are exempt due to privilege as these records are used exclusively by authorized law enforcement personnel via the California Justice Information System. Unauthorized disclosure is punishable under Penal Code section 502 et seq.

Under California Penal Code section 1534, executed search warrants are judicial records and may be obtained directly from the court. In an effort to assist you, you may choose to contact the court where the warrant was issued. For the Los Angeles County Superior Court contact them directly or visit their website at http://www.lacourt.org/ for more details.

Response to Nos. 3 and 4: Please note, LASD is not the proper entity through which you should seek the information you wish to obtain. In an effort to assist you; however, you may elect to contact the court directly since courts are the custodian of such records. For the Los Angeles County Superior Court, contact them directly or visit their website at http://www.lacourt.org/ for more details.

Response to No. 6: Under Penal Code section 1534, executed search warrants ("documents and records of the court relating to the warrant," including the requested affidavit and statement of probable cause) are judicial records and may be obtained directly from the court. In an effort to assist you; however, you may choose to contact the court where the warrant was issued. For the Los Angeles County Superior Court, contact them directly or visit their website at http://www.lacourt.org/ for more details.

Also, please note that under the California Public Records Act, the Public Records Act (PRA) Unit does not release "certified" copies of records.

If you have any questions, please contact the Public Records Act Unit via email at DiscoveryUnitPRArequests@lasd.org or phone at (323) 890-5050.

Sincerely,

ROBERT G. LUNA, SHERIFF

Shawnee N. Hinchman, Captain
Risk Management Bureau

Sent from his blacklawgenius@gmail.com email address and his blacklawcrusader@gmail.com email address on February 28, 2024. KING AROGANT sent an email to Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, George Gascón, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., DOES 1-10, co-conspirators in which the email subject line read:

POST-CONVICTION DEMAND FOR THE PEOPLE AND LASD TO TURN OVER AND DISCLOSE PHONY LASD-CREATED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GX010586 AND GX010587 (AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, et al v. ricardo garcia, et al)

In the body of KING AROGANT's February 28, 2024, detailed email, he alleged that County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office deputy district attorney Brian MARK Rosenberg recklessly played phony LASD-created GoPro video recording GL010586 that depicted and displayed KING AROGANT shutting off his GoPro camera while wearing a tank top and dark shorts. KING AROGANT stated in his email to Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, George Gascón, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., and DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators that while the phony LASD-created GoPro video recording GL010586 depicted and displayed KING AROGANT shutting off his GoPro camera with a bare and naked right arm, Jennifer Hutton-Heger can be heard in her first 911 audio recording telling the dispatcher that KING AROGANT was wearing baggy pants and a long-sleeved shirt. KING AROGANT next pointed out in his February 28, 2024, email that in the County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department Temple City police bodycam recordings, he is seen wearing a long-sleeved shirt. Lastly, KING AROGANT told all emailed natural persons

in his detailed email to them that in the phony LASD-created GoPro video recording GL010586, no sound could be heard coming from the bogus GoPro video recording GL010586 whatsoever, yet in KING AROGANT's REAL GoPro video recording GX010586, sound could be heard. Near the end of his February 28, 2024, email to Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, George Gascón, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators KING AROGANT demanded that they all turn over copies of phony LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 by him typing the following to Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, George Gascón, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators:

IV. END OF EMAIL DEMANDING DISCOVERY

INNOCENT and WRONGFULLY-CONVICTED CRIMINAL DEFENDANT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD HEREBY DEMANDS that County of Los Angeles and Los Angeles Sherriff's Department, Fraud and Cyber Crimes Bureau Southern California Hi-Tech Taskforce (a joint law enforcement agency with Ventura County Sherriff's Office) turn over and fully disclose an electronic copy of phony LASD-created GOPRO video recordings GX010586 and GX010587 in fully-playable MP4 format:

1. All GOPRO video recordings given to LASD Peace Officer Billy Khounthavong on March 20, 2023.

The LASD Fraud and Cyber Crimes Bureau is located at[MOU1]

LASD Fraud and Cyber Crimes Bureau
11515 Colima Rd., Building A
Whittier, CA 90604

Additionally, the People and all emailed County of Los Angeles-employed criminal prosecutors have a statutory and constitutional duty and obligation to immediately turn over and disclose electronic copies of phony LASD-created GOPRO video recordings GX010586 and GX010587 which depict and display inside Jennifer Hutton-Heger's home with different clothing than he had on on February 21, 2023 by doing the following:

1. By mailing a USB thumb flash drive containing impeaching GOPRO video recording evidence to:

Neil Opdahl-Lopez, Esq.
Major, USMCR
(SBN: 277596)
473 Carnegie Drive, #200
San Bernardino, CA 92408

2. By uploading LASD-created GOPRO video recordings GL0108586 and GX010587 onto evidence.com and then emailing criminal defense attorney access to download impeaching criminal law evidence on evidence.com

Co-counsel Neil Opdahl-Lopez can be reached by text, mobile phone at:

626-429-6578
attorney@neilopdahl.com

Over the past 10 months, INNOCENT criminal defendant AROGANT HOLLYWOOD has requested by emailing and telephoning business offices of James William Garrison (jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov, (213) 257-3198); Sharon Lee Woo (swoo@da.lacounty.gov, (213) 257-2928); Victor Manuel Rodriguez (vrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov, (626) 308-5400); Ronald Millard Galtz (rgaltz@da.lacounty.gov, (213) 257-2185); and George Gascon (ggascon@da.lacounty.gov, (213) 974-3512)) requesting that these County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors turn over and disclose original GOPRO video recordings (now in the physical possession of AROGANT HOLLYWOOD) and impeaching GOPRO video recordings GX010586 and GX010587 (never disclosed by the People and MARK Rosenberg, yet requested in April, 2023 and October, 2023 criminal court pleadings), yet these previously-named criminal prosecutors have all willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, and callously failed to turn over and disclose original GOPRO video recordings and phony LASD-created GOPRO video recordings GX010586 and GX010587. Accordingly, this electronically-served DEMAND for discovery will be formatted on pleading paper and filed with Alhambra Superior Court.

YOU HAVE ALL BEEN HEREBY FOREWARNED.

RACIST and BIASED Deputy District Attorney MARK Rosenberg willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, and callously failed and refused to turn over an electronic copy of manipulated and phony LASD-created GOPRO video recordings GX010586 and GX010587 between March 28, 2023 and February 2, 2024. Accordingly, pursuant to Los Angeles District Attorney Office's policies, practices and procedures regarding disclosure to the Defense regarding video and audio evidence, the People have a constitutional and statutory duty to immediately upload an electronic copy of phony LASD-created GOPRO video recordings GX010586 and GX010587 (currently being electronically stored on the hard drive of Brian MARK Rosenberg's laptop computer) to evidence.com.

The People and emailed criminal prosecutors have until April 4, 2024 to comply with this emailed discovery demand upon being served by FAX, electronic mail, and by personal service of a California Process Server.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws and Constitution of California and United States that every word, sentence, paragraph, and page of this Criminal Law legal document is true and correct.

Date: February 28, 2024

As shown above, near the end of his detailed and long email that sent to Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, George Gascón, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators on February 28, 2024, KING AROGANT signed his email under the penalty of perjury.

As of August 10, 2025, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., George Gascón, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators have all willfully, recklessly, carelessly, maliciously, wantonly, deliberately, and callously with under color of law deliberate indifference to the federally protected civil rights of KING AROGANT, recklessly failed to do any of the following for nearly nineteen consecutive months after they were all given FAIR NOTICE and received FAIR NOTICE:

1. Respond to KING AROGANT's November 28, 2023, email.

2. Request that County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors involved with frivolous criminal case GA114055, turn over and disclose to them all sixteen GoPro video recordings recklessly presented at the preliminary hearing held on April 4, 2023, and April 5, 2023, and especially phony LASD-created GoPro video recordings GLO10586 and GLO10587 that depicted and displayed KING AROGANT shutting off his GoPro camera with a bare right arm.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

3. Immediately request that the County of Los Angeles rogue, biased, and dishonest criminal prosecutors Brian MARK Rosenberg and Victor Manuel Rodriguez's recklessly falsified evidence presented in the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles , Alhambra Superior Court, Department 1 on April 4, 2023, and April 5, 2023 be immediately turned over and disclosed to George Gascón, the Office of George Gascón and the office of Attorney General Robert Bonta.

4. Launch an investigation into all KING AROGANT's November 28, 2023, emailed allegations and accusations that claimed the County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutors and investigators recklessly falsified evidence inside of a Superior Court of California, Superior Court criminal courtroom.

5. Request that Victor Manuel Rodriguez and MARK Rosenberg immediately turn over and disclose impeaching and phony LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587.

6. Request that the rogue, biased, and dishonest County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors immediately turn over and disclose copies of impeaching phony LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587, in compliance with County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office's Legal Policies and Procedures, Chapter 14.

7. Request that Victor Manuel Rodriguez and MARK Rosenberg immediately turn over and disclose all sixteen GoPro video recordings announced, presented, and admitted into Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court, Department 1 on April 4, 2023, and April 5, 2023, and testified to by rogue peace officer Lying Billy Khounthavong on April 5, 2023.

8. Request that Victor Manuel Rodriguez and MARK Rosenberg immediately turn over and Disclose a Hard Copy of a Detailed CAD report showing the exact time each of Jennifer Hutton-Heger's first and second 911 audio recordings commenced and ended.

On information and belief, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators between November 28, 2023, and November 30, 2024, have all had a meeting of minds amongst each other and with former County of Los Angeles District Attorney George Gascón and other named and unnamed County of Los Angeles criminal

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

prosecutors, employees, peace officers, and investigators to recklessly violate the United States Constitution, and KING AROGANT's Fourth and Fourteenth Amendment constitutional civil rights, and Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators did conspire amongst each other to violate KING AROGANT's federally protected constitutional civil rights by doing all the aforementioned, and by staying silent while condoning, tolerating, consenting to, overlooking, permitting, and otherwise continuing to allow County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors, employees, peace officers, and investigators to frame KING AROGANT by tainting, tampering with, altering, fabricating, and otherwise manipulating his exculpatory GoPro video recordings evidence. See now KING AROGANT's February 28, 2024, email sent to Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators attached to KING AROGANT's Verified Appendix of Exhibits.

Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., George Gascón, DOE 1-10, and their co-conspirators were all required by federal and California state Penal Code law to turn over and disclose copies of phony LASD video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 after being electronically served on February 28, 2024. After being electronically served on February 28, 2024 Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., George Gascón, and DOES 1-10 were all required to aid and assist KING AROGANT with overturning his wrongful felony conviction pursuant to State Bar of California Rules 3.3 Candor Toward Tribunal, 3.4 Fairness to Opposing Party and

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Counsel, and 3.8 Special Responsibilities of a Prosecutor, California Business and Professions Code § 6068, and American Bar Association, Model Rules of Professional Conduct, Rules 3.1 Meritorious Claims and Contentions, 3.3 Candor toward the Tribunal, Rule 3.4 Fairness to Opposing Party and Counsel, and Rule 3.8 Special Responsibilities of a Prosecutor. Pursuant to State Bar of California Rules 3.3 Candor Toward Tribunal, 3.4 Fairness to Opposing Party and Counsel, and 3.8 Special Responsibilities of a Prosecutor, California Business and Professions Code § 6068, and American Bar Association, Model Rules of Professional Conduct, Rules 3.1 Meritorious Claims and Contentions, 3.3 Candor toward the Tribunal, Rule 3.4 Fairness to Opposing Party and Counsel, and Rule 3.8 Special Responsibilities of a Prosecutor, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., George Gascón, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators were all legally obligated to aid and assist KING AROGANT with exonerating himself, clearing his good name, and allowing him to move on. Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., George Gascón **(up until November 30, 2024 only)**, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators between November 28, 2023 and August 10, 2025, willfully, recklessly, maliciously, carelessly, wantonly, deliberately, and callously did nothing to aid and assist KING AROGANT with overturning his wrongful, RACIST, BIASED, and unconstitutional felony criminal conviction and Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., George Gascón **(up until November 30, 2024 only)**, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators named herein continued to witness an innocent African-American and Black man they all knew with 100% certainty was innocent be sent to a dangerous and disturbingly violent California State Prison system days later for a serious and violent felony crime **(after February 28, 2024 email being**

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

served) they all knew KING AROGANT never committed. The willful, reckless, careless, malicious, wanton, deliberate, and callous illegal acts, actions, misconduct, misdoings, misdeeds, and transgressions of and in Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., George Gascón **(up until November 30, 2024 only)**, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators recklessly ignoring KING AROGANT's emails served upon them all on November 28, 2023, December 2, 2023, December 11, 2023, January 15, 2024, and February 28. 2024 proves by clear and convincing evidence that they all had deprived minds with complete disregard for the well-being, decency, peace of mind, liberty, and mental health of a human being. Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., George Gascón, and DOES 1-10's recklessly illegal acts, actions, misconduct, misdeeds, misdoings, and transgressions of ignoring KING AROGANT's emails served upon them all on November 28, 2023, December 2, 2023, December 11, 2023, January 15, 2024, and February 28. 2024 proves by clear and convincing evidence that they are not entitled to any shape, form, or type of judicial, electoral, or prosecutorial immunity.

Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., George Gascón, DOES 1-10, and co-conspirators all willfully, knowingly, recklessly, wantonly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously violated the United States Constitution and KING AROGANT's United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional rights by recklessly ignoring KING AROGANT's emails served upon them on November 28, 2023, December 2, 2023, December 11, 2023, January 15, 2024, and February 28. 2024.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., George Gascón, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators by failing to intervene, failing to launch an investigation, and by recklessly ignoring KING AROGANT's very serious very vital, very important, and very essential emails served upon them all on November 28, 2023, December 2, 2023, December 11, 2023, January 15, 2024, and February 28. 2024.

Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., George Gascón, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators committed under color of law reckless **NEGLECT TO LEGAL DUTY AND LEGAL OBLIGATION TO AID AND ASSIST WITH OVERTURNING WRONGFUL FELONY CONVICTION** by them all willfully, knowingly, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, deliberately, and callously ignoring KING AROGANT's November 28, 2023 email **(Count 1)**, ignoring KING AROGANT's December 2, 2023 email **(Count 2)**, ignoring KING AROGANT's December 11, 2023 email **(Count 3)**, ignoring KING AROGANT's January 15, 2024 email **(Count 4)**, and ignoring his February 28, 2024 email **(Count 5)**.

Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., George Gascón, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators committed reckless under color of law DECEIT by them doing, by them using, and by them engaging in illusionary and illegal acts, actions, misconduct, misdeeds, misdoings, and transgressions of willfully, knowingly, maliciously, wantonly, carelessly, deliberately, and callously concealing from, hiding from, failing to disclose, failing to inform, and otherwise tell Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles,

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Alhambra Superior Court, Department 1, that on November 28, 2023, and January 15, 2024, they were all electronically served a nearly fifteen-minute REAL GoPro video recording that proved KING AROGANT's factual innocence by clear and convincing evidence that he did not commit any crime whatsoever on February 21, 2023 (Counts 1-2).

Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., George Gascón, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators committed reckless under color of law DECEIT by them doing, by them using, and by them engaging in illusionary and illegal acts, actions, misconduct, misdeeds, misdoings, and transgressions of willfully, knowingly, maliciously, wantonly, carelessly, and callously concealing from, hiding from, failing to disclose, failing to inform, and otherwise tell Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court, Department 1 that based on November 28, 2023, and January 15, 2024, on being served a nearly fifteen-minute GoPro video recording that proved KING AROGANT's factual innocence by clear and convincing evidence; KING AROGANT's October 17, 2023, unlawful and unconstitutional felony conviction was no longer valid.

On information and belief, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators between November 28, 2023, and November 30, 2024 willfully, knowingly, maliciously, wantonly, carelessly, deliberately, and callously had a meeting of minds with former County of Los Angeles District Attorney George Gascón and other

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

named and unnamed County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office employees and attorneys to violate KING AROGANT's constitutional civil rights by doing all the aforementioned and by staying silent while condoning, tolerating, acquiescing, consenting to, overlooking, permitting, and otherwise continuing to allow County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors to recklessly frame KING AROGANT for a serious and violent crime he did not commit by tainting, tampering with, altering, fabricating, and otherwise manipulating his exculpatory GoPro video recording GX010586 evidence. Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., George Gascón, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators all willfully, knowingly, carelessly, wantonly, maliciously, deliberately, and callously violated the United States Constitution and KING AROGANT's United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civils, and they all committed under color of law fraud and deceit by recklessly hiding from Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court, Department 1 the fact that they had been electronically served a copy of a nearly fifteen-minute GoPro video recording that proved KING AROGANT's factual innocence by clear and convincing evidence, and that based on KING AROGANT's newly discovered video recording evidence served upon them, they all had **(with the exception of Gavin Newsom)** a prosecutorial duty and obligation to aid and assist KING AROGANT with immediately overturning his wrongful felony conviction pursuant to the **State Bar of California, Rule 3.8, Special Responsibilities of a Prosecutor.** Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., George Gascón, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators all willfully, knowingly, carelessly, wantonly, maliciously, deliberately, and callously violated **State Bar of California, Rule 3.8, Special Responsibilities of a Prosecutor** by being served

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

electronically video recording evidence that proved KING AROGANT's factual innocence by clear and convincing evidence, and then recklessly ignoring that electronically served exculpatory evidence by neglecting their legal duty and legal obligation as criminal prosecutors to aid and assist KING AROGANT with overturning his wrongful conviction, in direct violation of the State Bar of California, Rule 3.8.

JEFFREY A MACOMBER, JASON D. JOHNSON, JENNIFER BARRETTO, TAMMATHA FOSS, CHRISTOPHER CHAMBERS, RONALD BROOMFIELD, JENNIFER BENAVIDEZ, GAVIN CHRISTOPHER NEWSOM, ROBERT ANDRES BONTA, PETER DWIGHT HALLORAN, DENNIS L. BECK JR., LEAH TAMU WILSON, & GEORGE GASCÓN ALL RECEIVED FAIR NOTICE AND WERE ALL GIVEN FAIR NOTICE THAT COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES CRIMINAL PROSECUTORS AND LYING STATE GOVERNMENT WITNESSES SENT KING AROGANT TO CALIFORNIA STATE PRISON BY RECKLESSLY VIOLATING KING AROGANT'S CONSTITUTIONAL CIVIL RIGHTS PURSUANT TO CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE § 745, *HENRY NAPUE V. ILLINOIS* AND THE DUE PROCESS CLAUSE OF THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION.

KING AROGANT hereby restates, realleges, reiterates, reincorporates, and incorporates by reference all previous paragraphs of Civil Law Motion.

October 17, 2023, in collusion, Superior Court of California judicial officer Michael Villalobos accepted a nolo contendere from KING AROGANT for making a criminal threat to Jennifer Hutton-Heger on February 21, 2023, and placed KING

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

AROGANT on formal felony probation.

On October 22, 2023, at approximately 2:10 PM, KING AROGANT contacted Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, biased and racially animus video recording and audio recording expert court-appointed witness Thomas Guzman-Sanchez **(hereinafter "Sanchez")** on the telephone by dialing his mobile phone number of (818) 399-1255. After the second or third ring Sanchez picked-up KING AROGANT's telephone call to him by answering his mobile phone number of (818) 399-1255. On the very short telephone call **(call lasted just over one minute)** KING AROGANT told Sanchez that he was going to sue him for not doing his job by failing to properly examine County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office GoPro video recordings given to him by rogue and very dishonest County of Los Angeles deputy district attorney Brian MARK Rosenberg in August 2023. KING AROGANT told Sanchez on their recorded telephone call that he felt that Sanchez had spit in his face by not helping him because he had the Superior Court award Sanchez 40 hours ***(in total, KING AROGANT filed two motions resulting in Sanchez receiving approximately 60 hours billed at approximately $ 250.00 per hour)***. Sanchez responded to KING AROGANT's verbal statements by saying and mocking KING AROGANT. Below and referenced herein is a true and correct copy of racially animus Sanchez's October 22, 2023, telephone conversation:

TRANSCRIPT IN SUPPORT OF SECRETARY RECALLING SENTENCE

INT. OCTOBER 22, 2023, AT 2:14 P.M.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD: Can you hear me? Hello?

RACIST SANCHEZ: Why are you calling?

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD: Ah, just to show you. I'm a video expert. So I I got the original videos. And their falsified. They have dates of 11 o'clock, and and twelve o'clock. And you know you couldn't figure this out. But so as I. I got my computer out. I was able to crack your case in about five seconds. The videos are falsified. How was I able to make videos at 11 o'clock. When I was in handcuffs at what ten, ten fifteen? Right? We all know this incident was over way before 11 o'clock. (inaudible).

RACIST SANCHEZ: Why are you. Why are you explaining this to me? This is, this is your case?

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD: Because. It is. But you're the re. You didn't help man. I got you a lot of hours and you really spit in my face and I'm going to sue you. All right. I'm just letting you know. The lawsuit is going to come a lot sooner than you think. So,

RACIST SANCHEZ: SINISTER AND EVIL GRINNING LAUGH

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD: So, I have a lawyer. (inaudible)

RACIST SANCHEZ: So, okay understand. Understand.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD: Listen, I'm well known for suing people. You didn't help me.

RACIST SANCHEZ: That that is a threat. Listen, that's a threat and now I'm going to report you.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD: It's not a threat its a promise mother fucker. You're on a recorded line.

RACIST SANCHEZ: And now, I'm going...

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD: Go ahead mother fucker You wanna make something up.

RACIST SANCHEZ: And now (SINISTER AND EVIL LAUGH) I'm going to report you.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD: You're a on recorded line. You're on a recorded line. You wanna make something up go ahead. Fuck that.

On or about November 27, 2023, racially animus Sanchez sent a two-page declaration to rogue and dishonest County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutor Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)**, who is the head deputy district attorney in charge of the County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office Alhambra filed office. In a

perjurious declaration, Sanchez lied that KING AROGANT had said that he ***"was going to fuck him up."***

On or about November 28, 2023, rogue County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutor Victor Manuel Rodriguez filed a motion to revoke KING AROGANT's felony probation. The motion for revocation was so frivolous that it did not allege that any of the following had occurred:

1. That KING AROGNAT was arrested and that there was an arrest report.
2. That there was a crime report.
3. That criminal charges had been filed.
4. That criminal charges had been declined to be filed

On the frivolous revocation, Victor Manuel Rodriguez stated that KING AROGANT violated his felony probation by engaging in criminal code violations of California Penal Code §§ 646.9 and 422. In support of his frivolous motion to revoke KING AROGANT's felony probation, rogue County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutor Victor Manuel Rodríguez attached as an exhibit racially animus Sanchez's declaration in which he accused KING AROGANT of making a criminal threat to him over the telephone on October 22, 2023. Since none of the mandatory boxes for felony probation revocation were checked by Victor Manuel Rodriguez on his bogus felony probation revocation. Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., George Gascón, & DOES 1-10 all committed reckless under color of law FRAUD, reckless under color of law DECEIT, and reckless under color of law United States Constitution Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

violations because by them being emailed numerous times regarding overturning KING AROGANT's fraudulent felony conviction and then failing to aid and assist him with overturning his wrongful felony conviction, they were all wholly responsible for rogue County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutor Victor Manuel Rodriguez's illegal acts, actions, misconduct, misdoings, misdeeds, and transgressions of recklessly filing a frivolous felony probation revocation against KING AROGANT without probable cause whatsoever.

In late November 2023, Sanchez willfully, recklessly, carelessly, wantonly, deliberately, and callously violated California Government Code § 6200 by fabricating lies that during a recorded telephone conversation KING AROGANT told him that he was going to fuck him up, and then after KING AROGANT threatened to physical harm him he then told KING AROGANT that he would report him. See now a copy of lying state government witness Thomas Leo Guzman-Sanchez's declaration and Victor Ridriguez's motion to revoke KING AROGANT's felony probation attached to KING AROGANT's Verified Appendix of Exhibits. The evidence before this Court proves by clear and convincing evidence that Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., George Gascón, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators recklessly violated KING AROGANT's Fourteenth Amendment constitutional civil rights just by rogue County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutor Victor Manuel Rodriguez filing his frivolous and unconstitutional motion for felony probation revocation against KING AROGANT without probable cause whatsoever.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

On December 11, 2023, KING AROGANT appeared in the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court, Department 1, with the in collusion judicial officer Michael Villalobos presiding. KING AROGANT told the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court, Department 1, that he had with him the original GoPro video recording GX010586 that would prove he was innocent. While most Superior Court of California criminal judicial officers would have been very interested in reviewing such exculpatory evidence immediately before remanding an innocent human being, in collusion, judicial officer Michael Villalobos waved KING AROGANT off. The original GoPro video recording GX010586 **(recklessly split up by Southern California High Tech Task force rogue peace officers into GX010586, GX010587, GX010588, GX010589, & GL01090 on KING AROGANT's original GoPro camera's micro SD card, and original nearly 15 minute GoPro video recording GX010586 was used to create phony LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586, GL010587, GL010588, and GL010589)** did not show KING AROGANT committing any crime whatsoever. The original GoPro video recording GX010586 **(recklessly split up by Southern California High Tech Task force rogue peace officers into GX010586, GX010587, GX010588, GX010589, & GL01090)** did not and did not show KING AROGANT shutting off his GoPro camera.

On December 11, 2023 KING AROGANT told the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court, Department 1 that he had evidence on his I-Phone that he never threatened Sanchez and requested to the Superior Court to allow him to transfer this exculpatory evidence off his phone and onto his Dropbox cloud so he

could access the information at his felony probation revocation hearing. Michael Villalobos, on the Superior Court record proceedings, directed and ordered that County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department peace officers bring KING AROGANT's mobile phone to the Alhambra Superior Court and allow him to transfer files on it while he was in the Alhambra Superior Court lock-up. County of Los Angeles peace officers assisting the Superior Court with KING AROGANT's remand recklessly violated KING AROGANT United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights by after KING AROGANT was remanded recklessly refusing to permit KING AROGANT to transfer evidence he would need to access in court when racially animus Sanchez would testify against KING AROGANT. The Honorable Michael Villalobos remanded KING AROGANT into custody and ordered the County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department to bring him back to Superior Court on December 28, 2023, at 8:30 AM, which resulted in KING AROGANT being wrongfully incarcerated and missing spending Christmas with his fiancée and woman since September 19, 2012, Alison Helen Fairchild.

On December 28, 2023 previously emailed and previously legally warned Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., George Gascón, Gavin Christopher Newsom, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators were all responsible for the actions of County of Los Angeles rogue and dishonest criminal prosecutor Cindy Juhyun Park **(SBN # 271933),** and Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., George Gascón, Gavin Christopher Newsom, DOES 1-10 and their co-conspirators are all guilty of under color FRAUD, under color DECEIT, and under color of law DUE PROCESS violations after lying

County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutor Cindy Park fabricated lies to, misrepresented to, and otherwise deceived Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court, Department 1 by willfully, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously telling it that there were more than one victim that would testify at KING AROGANT's felony probation revocation hearing. Cindy Park further deceived KING AROGANT and Department 1 by fabricating lies and telling the Superior Court that a continuance was necessary because she had compact discs of evidence to turn over to KING AROGANT. Cindy Park further committed under color of law fraud and deceit when she took her Academy Award-nominated performance to the next level by presenting to Department 1 compact discs and then fabricating lies that the Superior Court should continue KING AROGANT's felony probation hearing and appoint a private investigator so KING AROGANT could review the evidence that Cindy Park had allocated to her compact discs. The policy of the County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office during the year 2023 was to turn over all its discovery by uploading the evidence on evidence.com, and the criminal defendant's attorneys and investigators were given access to download and review all evidence in the case on evidence.com. Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., George Gascón, Gavin Christopher Newsom, DOES 1-10, Cindy Park, and their co-conspirators all committed under color of law fraud and under color law deceit by deceiving Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court, Department 1 so that it would foolishly believe that Cindy Park had prepared evidence on some blank and unlabeled that she probably bought on Amazon.com. The County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office Alhambra Field Office has not kept any compact discs in its office for several years, since most desktops, MacBooks, and Windows personal computers that

were made and manufactured in the past eight years, and do not have Blu-ray or CD drives. Cindy Park knew this, and she also knew that any private investigator hired by the Superior Court would not have a personal computer or MacBook laptop that contained a compact disc drive. Based on Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., George Gascón, Gavin Christopher Newsom, DOES 1-10; Cindy Park, and their co-conspirators and in collusion judicial officer Michael Villalobos all committed under color of FRAUD, under color of law DECEIT, and under color of law violations of KING AROGANT's Fourteenth Amendment constitutional civil rights by recklessly continuing KING AROGANT's felony probation revocation hearing to January 12, 2024. KING AROGANT missed spending Christmas and the New Year's Eve holiday celebration with his fiancée, Alison Helen Fairchild, only because Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., George Gascón, Gavin Christopher Newsom, DOES 1-10; Cindy Park, and their co-conspirators had failed to aid and assist with overturning KING AROGANT's wrongful felony conviction after they were all emailed in November 2023 and December 2023.

On January 12, 2024, at approximately 9:30 AM, KING AROGANT's frivolous and under color of law felony probation revocation commenced. On direct examination racially animus Sancez committed reckless under color of law FRAUD, reckless under color of law DECEIT, and reckless under color of law Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional violations by recklessly fabricating lies that KING AROGANT made a criminal threat to him on October 22, 2023, and by concealing from, hiding from, and failing to disclose to the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court that during Sanchez and KING AROGANT's single telephone call on October 22, 2023 KING

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

AROGANT did not make any verbal threats to harm him physically. Which was reckless under color of FRAUD, reckless under color of law DECEIT, and reckless under color of law Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional violations done by Cindy Park, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., George Gascón, Gavin Christopher Newsom, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators for the unlawful purposes of tricking, fooling, manipulating, lying to, and otherwise deceiving a criminal Superior Court of California courtroom so that it would foolishly believe that KING AROGANT made a criminal threat to racially animus Sanchez on October 22, 2023. Which was reckless under color of law FRAUD, reckless under color of law DECEIT, and reckless under color of law and reckless under color of law Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional violations done by Cindy Park, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., George Gascón, Gavin Christopher Newsom, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators by them using, by doing, by them employing, and by them otherwise engaging in illusionary and manipulated illegal acts of deceit, deception, conspiracies, concealment, fraud and corruption so that they could gain unfair, unjust, illegal, unlawful, and unconstitutional advantage over KING AROGANT.

On January 12, 2024, KING AROGANT, acting in propria persona, asked Sanchez why he didn't call the police or file a police report. Sanchez replied by testifying that he reported KING AROGANT to the Superior Court.

On January 12, 2024, in collusion with Superior Court of California judicial officer Michael Villalobos found that KING AROGANT had violated his felony probation, leading to

its termination. Michael Villalobos set KING AROGANT's sentencing for February 2, 2024.

On February 2, 2024, in collusion, Superior Court of California judicial officer Michael Villalobos sentenced KING AROGANT to two years to be served in a California State prison. In his biased court ruling, judicial officer Michael Villalobos told KING AROGANT that he needed mental health help for making criminal threats **(Villalobos basically said in the ruling that KING AROGANT is guilty of making criminal threats to lying witnesses Thomas Leo Guzman-Sanchez and Jennifer Hutton-Heger)**. In his ruling, the Honorable Michael Villalobos told KING AROGANT that after he was released from state prison, he would be placed on felony parole supervision.

Between November 27, 2023 and February 2, 2024, and throughout the time period of their frivolous felony probation revocation Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., George Gascón, Gavin Christopher Newsom, DOES 1-10 and their co-conspirators' illegal and unconstitutional felony probation revocation proceedings and procedures willfully, knowingly, carelessly, wantonly, maliciously, deliberately, and callously violated California Penal Code § 745, et seq, *Napue v. Illinois*, State Bar of California Rules 3.3 Candor Toward Tribunal, 3.4 Fairness to Opposing Party and Counsel, and 3.8 Special Responsibilities of a Prosecutor, California Business and Professions Code § 6068, and American Bar Association, Model Rules of Professional Conduct, Rules 3.1 Meritorious Claims and Contentions, 3.3 Candor toward the Tribunal, Rule 3.4 Fairness to Opposing Party and Counsel, Rule 3.8 Special Responsibilities of a Prosecutor, and County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office Legal Policies and Procedures.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

On April 17, 2024, KING AROGANT was released from the State of California, North Kern State Prison, and then he immediately returned to the home he partly owns located at 13732 Runnymede Street, Van Nuys, CA 91405.

On or about April 20, 2024, KING AROGANT played the October 22, 2023, audio recording call between racially animus Sanchez and KING AROGANT for Cheryl Lynn Kaylor. Cheryl Kaylor told KING AROGANT that she did not hear him make any criminal threats on the audio recording, and she stated the following verbatim ***"I am very sorry that you had to go to prison for doing nothing wrong."*** Cheryl Lynn Kaylor's acknowledgment and opinion that KING AROGANT was wrongfully sent to state prison proves by clear and convincing evidence that she was a proper Defendant sued in federal district court in early December 2024.

On April 24, 2024, KING AROGANT played October 22, 2023, audio recording call between himself and Sanchez for State of California felony parole supervision peace officer Fernando Sanchez while he was conducting a felony parole supervision home visit for KING AROGANT at 13732 Runnymede Street, Van Nuys, CA 91405. CDCR peace officer Fernando Cortez observed and commented to KING AROGANT that he did not hear him make any criminal threats to Thomas Guzman'Sanchez. When KING AROGANT requested that Cortez speak to his supervisor about terminating his felony parole, Cortez replied, "We only deal with your parole; termination is up to ***the courts."*** The playing of KING AROGANT and the racially animus Sanchez's audio recording call occurred during a felony parole supervision home visit, peace officer Fernando Cortez conducted at 13732 Runnymede Street, Van Nuys, CA 91405.

KING AROGANT hereby restates, realleges, reiterates, reincorporates, and incorporates by reference all previous paragraphs of this Civil Law legal brief.

On Saturday, June 8, 2024, at 8:58 AM, Gavin Christopher Newsom (gavin.newsom@gov.ca.gov), Leah Tamu Wilson (leah.wilson50@yahoo.com leaht.wilson@calbar.ca.gov) Robert Andres Bonta (robert.bonta@doj.ca.gov, Peter Dwight Halloran (peter.halloran@doj.ca.gov), Dennis L. Beck Jr. (dennis.beck@doj.ca.gov), Jennifer Benavidez (jennifer.benavidez@cdcr.ca.gov), George Gascón (ggascon@da.lacounty.gov), Jeffrey A. Macomber (jeff.macomber@cdcr.ca.gov), Jason D. Johnson (jason.johnson@cdcr.ca.gov), Jennifer Barretto (jennifer.barretto@cdcr.ca.gov), Ronald Broomfield (ron.broomfield@cdcr.ca.gov), Tammatha Foss (tammy.foss@cdcr.ca.gov), Christopher Chambers (chris.chambers@cdcr.ca.gov), over one hundred County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors and State Bar licensed attorneys, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators all received an email containing detailed facts and allegations that described how County of Los Angeles deputy district attorney Brian MARK Roseberg, rogue peace officer Billy D. Khounthavong, and County of Los Angeles willfully, knowingly, recklessly, carelessly, wantonly, maliciously, and callously framed KING AROGANT by recklessly presenting to a Superior Court of California criminal courtroom phony and bogus LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 that depicted and displayed KING AROGANT shutting off his GoPro camera inside of the now deceased Jennifer Hutton-Heger's home on February 21, 2023. Near the end of his detailed email, KING AROGANT typed the following:

AT THE BOTTOM OF THIS EMAIL IS AN ATTACHMENT FOR THE ORIGINAL MP4 VIDEO FILE AND IT CAN BE EASILY DOWNLOADED TO ANYONE EMAILED HEREIN

Attached to the end of KING AROGANT's electronic mail was a Google Gmail virus-scanned electronic copy of KING AROGANT's nearly fifteen-minute REAL GoPro video recording that did not depict and display him doing any of the following:

1. Banging, kicking, and otherwise touching Jennifer Hutton-Heger's doors inside her home.
2. Making a criminal threat.
3. Brandishing a knife.
4. Interacting or engaging with Hutton inside of her home in-person
5. Jennifer Hutton-Heger is not seen at all on camera in the long video recording while KING AROGANT is inside of her home.

The nearly fifteen-minute GoPro video recording titled **REAL VIDEO FOR 2-21-2023.mp4** was attached to KING AROGANT's electronic mail as a blue-highlighted hyperlink file in MP4 video recording format that was playable on any Personal Computer, Macintosh laptop ("MacBook"), Android-powered mobile device, or Apple iPhone. See now KING AROGANT's June 8, 2024, email that was sent to Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., George Gascón, Jeffrey A. Macomber, Jason D. Johnson, Jennifer Barretto, Tammatha Foss, Christopher Chambers, Jennifer Benavidez Ronald Broomfield,

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators attached hereto to KING AROGANT's Verified Appendix of Exhibits. Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., George Gascón (up until November 30, 2024), Jeffrey A. Macomber, Jason D. Johnson, Jennifer Barretto, Tammatha Foss, Christopher Chambers, Jennifer Benavidez Ronald Broomfield, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators all received FAIR NOTICE that the County of Los Angeles had recklessly framed KING AROGANT for a serious and violent felony they all knew with one hundred percent certainty that KING AROGANT never committed. Yet, as of August 10, 2025, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., George Gascón, Jeffrey A. Macomber, Jason D. Johnson, Jennifer Barretto, Tammatha Foss, Christopher Chambers, Jennifer Benavidez, Ronald Broomfield, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators have all willfully, knowingly, recklessly, carelessly, wantonly, maliciously, deliberately, and callously with under color of law deliberate indifference to the federally protected constitutional civil rights of KING AROGANT, recklessly failed to do any of the following for nearly four consecutive months after they all were given FAIR NOTICE, and after they all received FAIR NOTICE:

1. Respond to KING AROGANT's June 8, 2024, email.

2. Request that the County of Los Angeles turn over and disclose to them all sixteen GoPro video recordings recklessly presented at KING AROGANT's preliminary hearing, and especially phony GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 that depicted and displayed KING AROGANT shutting off his GoPro camera.

3. Request that the County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office turn over and disclose to the California Department of Justice, all April 4, 2023, and all April 5, 2023, preliminary hearing transcripts.

4. Launch an investigation into all KING AROGANT's November 28, 2023, emailed allegations and accusations that claimed the County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office recklessly falsified evidence inside a criminal Superior Court of California, which was in direct violation of California Government Code § 6200

5. Immediately terminate KING AROGANT's State of California felony parole supervision.

Near the end of his detailed June 8, 2024 email KING AROGANT not only gave Jeffrey A. Macomber, Jason D. Johnson, Jennifer Barretto, Ronald Broomfield, Jennifer Benavidez Tammatha Foss, Christopher Chambers, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators FAIR NOTICE, but KING AROGANT also cried out for help from State of California employees Jeffrey A. Macomber, Jason D. Johnson, Jennifer Barretto, Ronald Broomfield, Jennifer Benavidez Tammatha Foss, Christopher Chambers, DOES 1-10, and their co-cosnpriators to immediately terminate KING AROGANT's felony parole which they all had the power to do. Jeffrey A. Macomber, Jason D. Johnson, Jennifer Barretto, Ronald Broomfield, Jennifer Benavidez Tammatha Foss, Christopher Chambers, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators all committed reckless under color of FRAUD, reckless under color of law DECEIT, and reckless under color of law Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional violations by them recklessly failing to help KING AROGANT by immediately terminating his unconstitutional and unlawful felony parole supervision (all State of California employees heard Sanchez audio recording), and also by them concealing from, hiding, failing to disclose, and failing to inform otherwise Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court, Department 1 that they were electronically served a nearly fifteen-minute GoPro video recording on November 28, 2023 and again in January 2024 that proved KING AROGANT's factual innocence by clear and convincing evidence.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

The end of KING AROGANT's June 8, 2024, email read:

BASED ON THE OVERWHELMING EVIDENCE PRESENTED IN THIS EMAIL REGARDING MY INNOCENCE AND ALSO PROOF THAT THE PEOPLE AND LASD FRAMED ME FOR A SERIOUS FELONY CRIME I NEVER COMMITTED. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD HEREBY RESPECTFULLY REQUESTS THAT THE SECRETARY OF THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION IMMEDIATELY TERMINATE MY UNLAWFUL AND CLEARLY ILLEGAL PAROLE SUPERVISION.

On information and belief, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., Jeffrey A. Macomber, Jason D. Johnson, Jennifer Barretto, Tammatha Foss, Christopher Chambers, Jennifer Benavidez, Ronald Broomfield, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators between June 8, 2024, and August 10, 2025, willfully, knowingly, maliciously, wantonly, carelessly, deliberately, and callously had a meeting of minds with former County of Los Angeles District Attorney George Gascón and other named and unnamed County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office employees and attorneys to violate KING AROGANT's constitutional civil rights by doing all the aforementioned and by staying silent while condoning, tolerating, acquiescing, consenting to, overlooking, permitting, and otherwise continuing to allow County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors to frame KING AROGANT for a serious and violent crime recklessly he did not commit by tainting,

tampering with, altering, fabricating, and otherwise manipulating his exculpatory GoPro video recording GX010586 evidence. Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., George Gascón, Jeffrey A. Macomber, Jason D. Johnson, Jennifer Barretto, Tammatha Foss, Christopher Chambers, Jennifer Benavidez, Ronald Broomfield, & DOES 1-10 all willfully, knowingly, carelessly, wantonly, maliciously, deliberately, and callously violated the United States Constitution and KING AROGANT's United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights, and they all committed under color of law fraud and deceit by recklessly hiding from Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court, Department 1 the fact that they had been electronically served a copy of a nearly fifteen-minute GoPro video recording that proved KING AROGANT's factual innocence by clear and convincing evidence and based on KING AROGANT's newly discovered video recording evidence served upon them Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., George Gascón, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators all had a prosecutorial duty and obligation to aid and assist KING AROGANT with immediately overturning his wrongful felony conviction pursuant to the **State Bar of California, Rule 3.8, Special Responsibilities of a Prosecutor.** Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., George Gascón, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators all willfully, knowingly, carelessly, wantonly, maliciously, deliberately, and callously violated **State Bar of California, Rule 3.8, Special Responsibilities of a Prosecutor** by being served electronically video recording evidence that proved KING AROGANT's factual innocence by clear and convincing evidence, and then recklessly ignoring that electronically served exculpatory evidence by neglecting their legal duty and legal

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

obligation as criminal prosecutors to aid and assist KING AROGANT with overturning his wrongful conviction, in direct violation of Rule 3.8.

Jeffrey A. Macomber, Jason D. Johnson, Jennifer Barretto, Tammatha Foss, Christopher Chambers, Jennifer Benavidez, Ronald Broomfield, DOES 1-10, and co-conspirators all willfully, knowingly, carelessly, wantonly, maliciously, deliberately, and callously violated the United States Constitution and KING AROGANT's United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights, and they all committed under color of law fraud and deceit, and under color of law neglect to their legal obligation and legal duty to aid and assist with immediately terminating KING AROGANT's unlawful and unconstitutional felony parole supervision by recklessly hiding from Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court, Department 1 the fact that they had been electronically served a copy of a nearly fifteen-minute GoPro video recording that proved KING AROGANT's factual innocence is supported by clear and convincing evidence, including newly discovered video recording evidence served upon them, and thus, Jeffrey A. Macomber, Jason D. Johnson, Jennifer Barretto, Tammatha Foss, Christopher Chambers, Jennifer Benavidez, Ronald Broomfield, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators were all legally obligated pursuant to California Penal Code § 1170, subdivision (d) to file papers in the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court immediately, requesting and recommending that KING AROGANT's felony parole supervision be terminated immediately.

Attached as electronic mail attachments at the end of KING AROGANT's June 8, 2024, email were portable document file versions of rogue County of Los Angeles peace officers'

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

judicially forged probable cause determination and search warrant, and also two images taken from KING AROGANT's REAL GOPRO video recording GX010586.

The all-in capitalization subject line read:

people v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA114055) & UPCOMING FIRST CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT TITLED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. judy whitehurst, et al [DEMAND PEOPLE AND LASD IMMEDIATELY TURN OVER PHONY LASD GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GLO10586 & GLO10587 [I GOT A LOT OF DIFFERENT EMAILS, YOU CRIMINALS CANNOT BLOCK ME!]

On Saturday, June 8, 2024, at 11:28 AM, Gavin Christopher Newsom (gavin.newsom@gov.ca.gov), Leah Tamu Wilson (leah.wilson50@yahoo.com leaht.wilson@calbar.ca.gov) Robert Andres Bonta (robert.bonta@doj.ca.gov, Peter Dwight Halloran (peter.halloran@doj.ca.gov), Dennis L. Beck Jr. (dennis.beck@doj.ca.gov), Jennifer Benavidez (jennifer.benavidez@cdcr.ca.gov), George Gascón (ggascon@da.lacounty.gov), Jeffrey A. Macomber (jeff.macomber@cdcr.ca.gov), Jason D. Johnson (jason.johnson@cdcr.ca.gov), Jennifer Barretto (jennifer.barretto@cdcr.ca.gov), Ronald Broomfield (ron.broomfield@cdcr.ca.gov), Tammatha Foss (tammy.foss@cdcr.ca.gov), Christopher Chambers (chris.chambers@cdcr.ca.gov), over one hundred County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors and State Bar licensed attorneys, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators received an email containing detailed facts and allegations that described how County of

Los Angeles deputy district attorney **Cindy Juhyun Park (SBN # 271933)**, Thomas Leo Guzman-Sanchez and County of Los Angeles willfully, knowingly, recklessly, carelessly, wantonly, maliciously, deliberately, and callously framed KING AROGANT by recklessly presenting to a Superior Court of California criminal courtroom phony and bogus fabricated oral testimony evidence that KING AROGANT made a criminal threat to Thomas Leo Guzman-Sanchez on October 22, 2023. Near the beginning of his detailed email, KING AROGANT typed the following:

In direct violation of California Penal Code Section 745 the People and Sanchez presented to the Superior Court false testimony on January 12, 2024 that AROGANT had made a criminal threat to Sanchez by during their only telephone call in October 23, 2023 AROGANT stated "he would fuck him up", and to which Sanchez told AROGANT that that was a threat and he would report him."

THE ACTUAL TRANSCRIPT OF THE TELEPHONE CONVERSATION PROVES THAT AROGANT DID NOT THREATEN SANCHEZ, PROVES THAT SANCHEZ TESTIFIED FALSELY AND RECKLESSLY AND HE VIOLATED CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 6200; AND IT PROVES THAT THE PEOPLE, VICTOR MANUEL RODRIGUEZ (SBN # 156150), AND CINDY JUHYUN PARK (SBN # 271933) SOUGHT A

RACIST SENTENCING CONVICTION OF AROGANT HOLLYWOOD AFTER THEY HAD NO POLICE REPORT, NO ARREST REPORT, NO CHARGES EVER FILED, AND STALE ALLEGATIONS OVER ONE MONTH OLD IN WHICH SANCHEZ STATED HE WOULD REPORT AROGANT. IMPORTANT NOTE. THE BELOW ORIGINAL AUDIO RECORDING WAS PLAYED LIVE FOR ROGUE AND BIASED PEACE OFFICER FERNANDO ALVAREZ DURING A HOME VISIT IN MAY 2024.

Near the end of his detailed email, KING AROGANT typed the following

THE PEOPLE AND THE EMAILED COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES CRIMINAL PROSECUTORS AND COUNTY COUNSEL MEMBERS NOT ONLY SOUGHT A RACIST CONVICTION BUT THEY DID SOUGHT A RACIST AND WRONGFUL SENTENCING AND RIGGED FELONY PROBATION REVOCATION THAT ENDED UP GETTING AROGANT HOLLYWOOD WRONGFULLY SENT TO A CALIFORNIA STATE PRISON AND THEN PLACED ON THREE YEARS OF PAROLE SUPERVISION FOR A CRIME HE DID NOT COMMIT, AND FOR CONDUCT HE DID NOT ENGAGE IN WITH FAKE VICTIMS JENNIFER HUTTON-HEGER AND THOMAS LEO GUZMAN-SANCHEZ.

MOREOVER, SANCHEZ'S SINISTER AND RACIST LAUGH THROUGHOUT HIS TELEPHONE CONVERSATION THAT HE WAS GOING TO REPORT AROGANT AND THEN HIM COMING TO COURT LYING ON THE STAND, PROVE HE NOT ONLY LIED, BUT HE CAME UP WITH A PLAN TO LIE AND GET AROGANT SENT BACK TO PRISON. JUST ALONE WITH THE EVIDENCE IN THIS EMAIL AND THE MATCHING TRANSCRIPTS OF GUZMAN-SANCHEZ THERE IS ENOUGH EVIDENCE TO OVERTURN AROGANT'S CONVICTION BASED ON SANCHEZ'S INTENTIONAL FALSE TESTIMONY ALONE!

On June 8, 2024 Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., George Gascón, Jeffrey A. Macomber , Jason D. Johnson, Jennifer Barretto, Tammatha Foss, Christopher Chambers, Jennifer Benavidez Ronald Broomfield, DOES 1-10 and their co-conspirators received FAIR NOTICE that the County of Los Angeles and its criminal prosecutors **(specifically Cindy Park but not all limited to her)** framed KING AROGANT on January 12, 2024 by allowing state government witness Thomas Leo Guzman-Sanchez to recklessly testify falsely that KING AROGANT made a criminal threat to him in late October 2023. Yet, as of August 10, 2025, emailed Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., George Gascón, Jeffrey A. Macomber, Jason D. Johnson, Jennifer Barretto, Tammatha Foss, Christopher Chambers, Jennifer Benavidez, Ronald Broomfield, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators have all willfully, knowingly, recklessly, carelessly, wantonly, maliciously, deliberately, and callously with under color of law deliberate indifference to the federally protected constitutional civil rights of KING AROGANT, recklessly failed to do any of the following for nearly nineteen consecutive months after they all were given FAIR NOTICE, and after they all received FAIR NOTICE:

1. Respond to KING AROGANT's June 8, 2024, email.

2. Launch an investigation into all KING AROGANT's June 8, 2023, emailed allegations and accusations that claimed the County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office recklessly falsified evidence inside a criminal Superior Court of California, which was in direct violation of California Government Code § 6200

3. Immediately terminate KING AROGANT's State of California felony parole supervision.

CDCR employees Jeffrey A. Macomber, Jason D. Johnson, Jennifer Barretto, Tammatha Foss, Christopher Chambers, DOES 1-10, and co-conspirators to immediately terminate KING AROGANT's felony parole, which they all had the power to do. Jeffrey A. Macomber, Jason D. Johnson, Jennifer Barretto, Tammatha Foss, Christopher Chambers, DOES 1-10 and their co-conspirators all committed reckless under color of FRAUD, reckless under color of law DECEIT, and reckless under color of law Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional violations by recklessly failing to help KING AROGANT by immediately terminating his unconstitutional and unlawful felony parole supervision, and also by them all concealing from, hiding, failing to disclose, and failing to otherwise inform Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court, Department 1 that they were all electronically served an audio recording that proved by clear and convincing evidence that the following had occurred:

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

1, California State Government Witness Thomas Leo Guzman-Sanchez willfully, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, wantonly, and callously violated California Government Code § 6200

2. County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors recklessly allowed SANCHEZ to testify falsely that KING AROGANT threatened to physically harm him in late October 2023.

3. KING AROGANT was wrongfully sent to California state prison based on Racially Animus Thomas Guzman-Sanchez's false testimony that KING AROGANT made a criminal threat.

On information and belief, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., Jeffrey A. Macomber , Jason D. Johnson, Jennifer Barretto, Tammatha Foss, Christopher Chambers, Jennifer Benavidez, Ronald Broomfield, DOES 1-10, and co-conspirators between June 8, 2024, and August 10, 2025, willfully, knowingly, maliciously, wantonly, carelessly, deliberately, and callously had a meeting of minds with George Gascón (only up until November 30, 2024 for Gascón and other named and unnamed County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office employees and attorneys to violate KING AROGANT's constitutional civil rights by doing all the aforementioned and by staying silent while condoning, tolerating, acquiescing, consenting to, overlooking, permitting, and otherwise continuing to allow County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors to recklessly frame KING AROGANT by County of Los Angeles and its criminal prosecutors **(specifically**

Cindy Park, but not limited to her, recklessly allowing state government witness Thomas Leo Guzman-Sanchez to knowingly and maliciously testify falsely that KING AROGANT made a criminal threat to him on October 22, 2023. Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., George Gascón, Jeffrey A. Macomber, Jason D. Johnson, Jennifer Barretto, Tammatha Foss, Christopher Chambers, Jennifer Benavidez, Ronald Broomfield, DOES 1-10, and co-conspirators all willfully, knowingly, carelessly, wantonly, maliciously, deliberately, and callously violated the United States Constitution and KING AROGANT's United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights, and they all committed reckless under color of law FRAUD and reckless under color of law DECEIT by recklessly hiding from Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court, Department 1, the fact that they had been electronically served a short 911 audio recording that proved by clear and convincing evidence KING AROGANT's factual innocence. Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., George Gascón, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators all had a prosecutorial duty and obligation to aid and assist KING AROGANT with immediately overturning his wrongful felony conviction pursuant to the **State Bar of California, Rule 3.8, Special Responsibilities of a Prosecutor.** Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., George Gascón, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators all willfully, knowingly, carelessly, wantonly, maliciously, and callously violated **State Bar of California, Rule 3.8, Special Responsibilities of a Prosecutor** by being served electronically a short audio recording that proved by clear and convincing evidence KING AROGANT's factual innocence, and then recklessly ignoring that electronically served exculpatory

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

evidence by neglecting their legal duty and legal obligation as criminal prosecutors to aid and assist KING AROGANT with overturning his wrongful conviction, in direct violation of State Bar of California Rule 3.8 and American Bar Association Rule 3.8.

Jeffrey A. Macomber, Jason D. Johnson, Jennifer Barretto, Tammatha Foss, Christopher Chambers, Jennifer Benavidez, Ronald Broomfield, DOES 1-10, and other co-conspirators all willfully, knowingly, carelessly, wantonly, maliciously, deliberately, and callously violated the United States Constitution and KING AROGANT's United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights, and they all committed reckless under color of law FRAUD, reckless under color of law DECEIT, and under color of law NEGLECT to their legal obligation and legal duty to aid and assist with immediately terminating KING AROGANT's unlawful and unconstitutional felony parole supervision by recklessly hiding from Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court, Department 1 the fact that they had been electronically served a short audio recording that proved by clear and convincing evidence KING AROGANT's factual innocence, and thus, Jeffrey A. Macomber, Jason D. Johnson, Jennifer Barretto, Tammatha Foss, Christopher Chambers, Jennifer Benavidez, Ronald Broomfield, DOES 1-10, and co-conspirators were all legally obligated pursuant to California Penal Code § 1170, subdivision (d) to file papers in the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles Alhambra Superior Court immediately, requesting and recommending that KING AROGANT's felony parole supervision be terminated immediately.

Attached as electronic mail attachments at the end of KING AROGANT's June 8,

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

2024, email was a Gmail Scanned Windows Waveform Audio File Format (wav) audio recording titled PROOF OF RACIST EXPERT TESTIMONY.wav. See now a true and correct of KING AROGANT's June 8, 2024, email regarding fabricated testimony of California State government witness RACIST SANCHEZ attached to KING AROGANT's Verified Appendix of Exhibits.

DEFENDANTS GAVIN CHRISTOPHER NEWSOM, ROBERT ANDRES BONTA, PETER DWIGHT HALLORAN, DENNIS L. BECK JR., LEAH TAMU WILSON, & GEORGE GASCÓN ALL RECEIVED FAIR NOTICE AND WERE ALL GIVEN FAIR NOTICE THAT THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES CRIMINAL PROSECUTORS AND LYING STATE GOVERNMENT WITNESSES SENT KING AROGANT TO CALIFORNIA STATE PRISON BY RECKLESSLY VIOLATING KING AROGANT'S CONSTITUTIONAL CIVIL RIGHTS PURSUANT TO CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE § 745, *HENRY NAPUE V. ILLINOIS* AND THE DUE PROCESS CLAUSE OF THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT OF UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION AND THEIR FAILURE.TO AID AND ASSIST KING AROGANT WITH OVERTURNING HIS RACIST, BIASED, UNCONSTITUTIONAL AND ILLEGAL FELONY CONVICTION BETWEEN NOVEMBER 28, 2023, AND JANUARY 31, 2024, RESULTED IN COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CRIMINAL PROSECUTOR RECKLESSLY FRAMING KING AROGANT ON FEBRUARY 2, 2024, USING PHONY AND BOGUS LASD-CREATED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GLO10586 & GLO10587 & RECKLESSLY FABRICATED AND FALSE SUPERIOR COURT ORAL TESTIMONY OF BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG,

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

KING AROGANT hereby restates, realleges, reiterates, reincorporates, and incorporates by reference previous paragraphs of this original civil rights complaint.

On January 15, 2024, KING AROGANT forwarded the same November 23, 2023 email that contained detailed facts and allegations that rogue County of Los Angeles prosecutors Brian MARK Rosenberg and Victor Manuel Rodriguez and County of Los Angeles willfully, knowingly, recklessly, carelessly, wantonly, maliciously, deliberately, and callously violated KING AROGANT's constitutional civil rights by recklessly presenting and admitting into Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court, Department 1, phony and bogus LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 that depicted and displayed KING AROGANT shutting off his GoPro camera inside of the now deceased Jennifer Hutton Heger's home on February 21, 2023, wearing different clothing than he had on February 21, 2023. See KING AROGANT's January 15, 2024, email that was sent to Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., George Gascón, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators attached to KING AROGANT's Verified Appendix of Exhibits. Emailed Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., George Gascón, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators were given FAIR NOTICE and received FAIR NOTICE that County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors, County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office, County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, and County of Los Angeles had recklessly framed KING AROGANT for a serious and violent felony they all knew KING AROGANT never committed. Yet as of August 10, 2025, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran,

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Dennis L. Beck Jr., George Gascón (up until November 30, 2024 only), DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators have all willfully, recklessly, carelessly, maliciously, wantonly, deliberately, and callously with under color of law deliberate indifference to the federally protected civil rights of KING AROGANT recklessly failed to do any of the following for nearly nineteen consecutive months after the were given FAIR NOTICE and received FAIR NOTICE:

1. Respond to KING AROGANT's January 15, 2024, email.

2. Request that County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors involved with frivolous criminal case GA114055, turn over and disclose to them all sixteen GoPro video recordings recklessly presented at the preliminary hearing held on April 4, 2023, and April 5, 2023, and especially phony LASD-created GoPro video recordings GLO10586 and GLO10587 that depicted and displayed KING AROGANT shutting off his GoPro camera with a bare right arm.

3. Immediately request that the County of Los Angeles rogue, biased, and dishonest criminal prosecutors Brian MARK Rosenberg and Victor Manuel Rodriguez's recklessly falsified evidence presented in the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court, Department 1 on April 4, 2023, and April 5, 2023 be immediately turned over and disclosed to George Gascón, the Office of George Gascón and the office of Attorney General Robert Bonta.

4. Launch an investigation into all KING AROGANT's January 15, 2024, emailed allegations and accusations that claimed the County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutors and investigators recklessly falsified evidence inside a Superior Court of California, Superior Court criminal courtroom.

5. Request that Victor Manuel Rodriguez and Brian MARK Rosenberg immediately turn over and disclose impeaching and phony LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587.

6. Request that the rogue, biased, and dishonest County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors immediately turn over and disclose copies of impeaching phony LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587, in compliance with County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office's Legal Policies and Procedures, Chapter 14.

7. Request that Victor Manuel Rodriguez and Brian MARK Rosenberg immediately turn over and disclose all sixteen GoPro video recordings announced, presented, and admitted into Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court, Department 1 on April 4, 2023, and April 5, 2023, and testified to by rogue peace officer Lying Billy Khounthavong on April 5, 2023.

8. Request that Victor Manuel Rodriguez and Brian MARK Rosenberg immediately turn over and Disclose a Hard Copy of a Detailed CAD report showing the exact time each of Jennifer Hutton-Heger's first and second 911 audio recordings commenced and ended.

On January 12, 2024, KING AROGANT filed a motion to withdraw his guilty plea in the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court, Department **1 (LASC CASE GA114055)**, and requested for an evidentiary hearing to be commenced so that his original GoPro video recording GX010586 could be played before the Superior Court in hopes of exonerating himself.

On February 2, 2024, rogue, racially animus, biased, dishonest, prejudiced, and corrupt County of Los Angeles deputy district attorney Brian MARK Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)** testified before the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court while working in collusion with racially animus and biased deputy district attorney Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150),** who then simultaneously showed the Superior Court phony LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587, both of which, since the date of frivolous criminal case GA114055 being initiated on February 23, 2023. have recklessly, willfully, wantonly,

maliciously, deliberately, and callously, never been turned over to co-counsel Neil Opdahl-Lopez **(SBN # 277596)**, private investigator Carlos Anthony Jackson, private investigator Joel Simeone Wyenn, and/or racially animus expert witness Thomas Leo Guzman-Sanchez.

At the hearing on February 2, 2024, lying Brian MARK Rosenberg told the Superior Court, while sworn under oath, that phony LASD-created GoPro video recording GL010586 showed KING AROGANT shutting off his GoPro camera. At the hearing, Brian MARK Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)** asked KING AROGANT a question on cross-examination: ***"How did you get to that door right there?"***, this question was asked during the playing of phony GoPro video recording GL010587, which falsely showed KING AROGANT walking to the front door of Hutton's home, never stopping to stand and look at himself in her mirror, and then standing in front of her front door while it was open. The real reason for Brian MARK Rosenberg's testimony was so that he, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, could extinguish the declaration of Neil Opdahl **(SBN # 277596)** in which Opdahl declared under the penalty of perjury that the real GoPro video recording GX010586 reviewed by him did not show KING AROGANT committing any crime or shutting off his GoPro camera at any time. Thus, pursuant to California State Bar Rules of Professional Conduct Rule 3.8–Special Responsibilities of a Prosecutor. Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., George Gascón, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators all had a duty and responsibility to remedy KING AROGANT's wrongful, racist, biased, unconstitutional, unlawful, prejudiced, and illegal criminal felony conviction and overturn it themselves.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

At the hearing on February 2, 2024, George Gascón, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, and Brian MARK Rosenberg were able to convince Superior Court of California criminal court judicial officer Michael Villalobos that their manipulated, bogus, and phony LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 were authentic and that KING AROGANT's real GoPro video recording GX010586 was spliced and manipulated by KING AROGANT himself after his GoPro camera was returned to him in Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court on October 17, 2023.

All emailed County of Los Angeles and State of California criminal attorneys' reckless failure to abide by State Bar of California Rule 3.8 and American Bar Association Model Rules of Professional Conduct Rule 3.8 proves by clear and convincing evidence that soon-to-be sued herein Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., George Gascón, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators, will be correctly and rightfully sued in Eastern District of California federal district court.

In Attorney Neil Opdahl's (SBN # 277596) declaration, he clearly stated the following:

I, Neil Opdahl-Lopez, declare as follows:

1. I am the attorney of record on this case, as co-counsel/standby counsel, along with Mr. Arogant Hollywood in Pro Per.

2. After viewing, the uninterrupted and unbroken GoPro video footage, of 21 February 2023, as worn on the chest of Arogant Hollywood, it is clear that from the beginning of the video, through the arrival of law enforcement, that there is little to no interactions between the alleged victim, and the defendant in question.

3. Mr. Hollywood never shut off the GoPro, once he began recording, in the front yard of the victim. The MP4 video footage continues as one linear piece of footage without intervention, from the alleged victim interacting with the Uber drive in the front yard, until law enforcement arrives.

4. Mr. Hollywood never actually physically confronts the alleged victim in the living room.

5. The alleged victim is never seen in any of the footage once inside the home.

6. The alleged victim is only ever seen in the front yard, at the initial video, and only calls 911 after telling Mr. Hollywood that she would be doing so.

7. Mr. Hollywood *never* brandishes a knife.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: **aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com**
Phone: 206.471.1344

8. Mr. Hollywood *never* kicks, punches, or bangs on any doors, or other portions of the home once he walks back inside to safeguard his personal property.

9. Moreover, Mr. Hollywood never verbalizes any criminal threat to the alleged victim.

10. Near the end of the 14:43 minute video, an unknown officer shuts off the GoPro camera, as Mr. Hollywood stood handcuffed.

By: 

Neil Opdahl-Lopez

Date: 12 January 2023

See now a true and correct copy of Neil Opdahl-Lopez's declaration for January 12, 2024, which was incorrectly dated by him for January 12, 2023, attached to KING AROGANT's Verified Appendix of Exhibits, and also see Neil Opdahl-Lopez's declaration below and herein:

Neil Opdahl, SBN: 277596
Major, USMCR
473 East Carnegie Drive, #200
San Bernardino CA 92408
Telephone 626.429.6578
Facsimile: 888.298.7284
Email: attorney@neilopdahl.com

Co-Counsel for Arogant Hollywood

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff, vs. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD Defendant.	CASE NO. GA114055 DECLARATION OF NEIL OPDAHL-LOPEZ IN SUPPORT OF EVIDENTIARY HEARING

I, Neil Opdahl-Lopez, declare as follows:

1. I am the attorney of record on this case, as co-counsel/standby counsel, along with Mr. Arogant Hollywood in Pro Per.

2. After viewing, the uninterrupted and unbroken GoPro video footage, of 21 February 2023, as worn on the chest of Arogant Hollywood, it is clear that from the beginning of the video, through the arrival of law enforcement, that there is little to no interactions between the alleged victim, and the defendant in question.

3. Mr. Hollywood never shut off the GoPro, once he began recording, in the front yard of the victim. The MP4 video footage continues as one linear piece of footage without intervention, from the alleged victim interacting with the Uber drive in the front yard, until law enforcement arrives.
4. Mr. Hollywood never actually physically confronts the alleged victim in the living room.
5. The alleged victim is never seen in any of the footage once inside the home.
6. The alleged victim is only ever seen in the front yard, at the initial video, and only calls 911 after telling Mr. Hollywood that she would be doing so.
7. Mr. Hollywood *never* brandishes a knife.
8. Mr. Hollywood *never* kicks, punches, or bangs on any doors, or other portions of the home once he walks back inside to safeguard his personal property.
9. Moreover, Mr. Hollywood never verbalizes any criminal threat to the alleged victim.
10. Near the end of the 14:43 minute video, an unknown officer shuts off the GoPro camera, as Mr. Hollywood stood handcuffed.

By: _____
Neil Opdahl-Lopez

Date: 12 January 2023

Former County of Los Angeles District Attorney George Gascón willfully, knowingly, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, deliberately, and callously allowed rogue County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors Victor Manuel Rodriguez and Brian MARK Rosenberg to not only testify falsely but also present and admit into Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court, Department 1 phony and bogus LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 a third time **(phony video recordings were also presented and admitted as false evidence on April 4, 2024 and April 5, 2024)**. It was a very brazen act for George Gascón and his rogue County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors Brian MARK Rosenberg and Victor Manuel Rodriguez to present phony and bogus LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 after criminal defense attorney Neil Opdahl had stated in his declaration that after reviewing KING AROGANT's original GoPro video recording of the February 21, 2023, the fake and make believe criminal threats incident, he came to a legal conclusion that no crime had occurred on February 21, 2023 **(Id. at ¶ 3)**. Former County of Los Angeles District Attorney George Gascón willfully, knowingly, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, deliberately, and callously violated the United States Constitution, and KING AROGANT's Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional rights, and George Gascón recklessly violated State Bar of California, Rules of Professional Conduct, Rule 3.8 and American Bar Association's Model Rules of Professional Conduct, Rule 3.8.

On April 5, 2023, racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg recklessly allowed rogue and dishonest County of Los Angeles peace officer Billy S. Khounthavong to testify falsely to the following:

1. That phony LASD-created GoPro video recording GL010586 began at 9:59 AM and ended at 10:06 AM (7 minutes in length)

2. That phony LASD-created GoPro video recording GL010587 began at 10:13 AM and ended at 10:15 AM (two minutes in length)

3. That phony LASD GoPro video GL010588 began at approximately 10:13 AM and ended at 10:18 AM (five minutes in length)

4. That there were no GoPro video recordings recorded by KING AROGANT between 9:59 A M and 10:06 AM

5. That KING AROGANT shut off his GoPro camera between 10:06 AM and 10:13 AM, threatened to kill Jennifer Hutton-Heger, and then shut it back on at 10:13 AM, and this was the explanation as to why GL010586 ended at 10:07 AM, and GL010587 began at 10:13 AM

IT DOES NOT MAKE ANY SENSE!

Even prior to receiving his GoPro camera back, KING AROGANT had already cracked Former County of Los Angeles District Attorney George Gascón's false case. KING AROGANT told the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court, Department 1 that if the People's three GoPro video recordings totaled 14 minutes, it would have been impossible for KING AROGANT's GoPro camera to have been shut off for


six consecutive minutes between 9:59 AM and 10:06 AM since Jennifer Hutton Heger's first 911 call began at approximately 10:01 AM and her second call ended at approximately 10:13 AM, combining the People's 911 audio recordings total time with the People's total time of GoPro video recordings **(GL010586 + 7, GL010587 + 2, GLO10588 +5 =14 MINUTES), proves that KING AROGANT was recording continuously even prior to Jennifer Hutton-Heger ever dialing 911, and County of Los Angeles and County of Ventura rogue and biased peace officers recklessly split up original GoPro video recording GX010586 into GL010586, GL010587, GL010588, and GL010589 to recklessly frame KING AROGANT for a serious and violent felony crime they all knew that KING AROGANT never committed. Under George Gascón, Brian MARK Rosenberg, and Victor Manuel Rodriguez's legal theory of the frivolous, serious, and violent felony case, there should only be 8 minutes of GoPro recordings in total time since KING AROGANT shut off his GoPro camera from 9:59 AM and 10:06 AM. The fact that there is 14 minutes of total GoPro video recordings and Hutton-Heger was on the telephone with 911 from 10:01 AM until all the way up until when police arriving at approximately 10:13 A M proves that George Gascón' criminal case is false, and it was impossible for KING AROGANT to have shut off his GoPro camera for even one minute based on the total time of the three manipulated LASD-created phony GoPro video recordings presented to the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court, Department 1 on April 4, 2023, April 5, 2023, and February 2, 2024, which all George Gascón, Brian MARK Rosenberg, and Victor Manuel Rodriguez's GoPro video recordings totaling 14 minutes.**

In late October 2023, after being released from custody and receiving his GoPro camera and its 256 GB micro SD card back, KING AROGANT could easily tell that his original GoPro

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344


video recording of the incident, GX010586, was manipulated and altered by County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department's Fraud and Cyber Crimes Bureau's Southern California High Tech Task Force peace officers between February 22, 2023, and March 31, 2023. When comparing the metadata of any of KING AROGANT's original GoPro video recordings to George Gascón phony GoPro video recordings, it is easy to see that George Gascón, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, and Brian MARK Rosenberg's GoPro video recordings were clearly manipulated and altered. The metadata for KING AROGANT's original GoPro video recording GX010031 reads as follows:

Created: Tuesday, November 21, 2023, 2:43:33 PM
Modified: Tuesday, November 21, 2023, 2:47:32 PM
Accessed: Tuesday, November 21, 2023




The metadata for KING AROGANT's original GoPro video recording GX010040 reads as follows:

Created: Tuesday, November 20, 2023, 10:36:18 PM
Modified: Tuesday, November 20, 2023, 10:48:12 PM
Accessed: Tuesday, November 20, 2023



The metadata for KING AROGANT's original GoPro video recording GX010031 reads as follows:

Created: Monday, April 22, 2024, 12:39:12 PM
Modified: Tuesday, April 22, 2024, 12:42:54 PM
Accessed: Tuesday, April 22, 2024



KING AROGANT did not need a video recording expert to prove that George Gascón, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, and Brian MARK Rosenberg's phony LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586, GL010587, and GL010588 were tainted, manipulated, altered, edited, and tampered with, which was done for the unlawful purposes of these dishonest criminal prosecutors committing reckless under color of law FRAUD by tricking, fooling, manipulating, fabricating, and otherwise deceiving a criminal Superior Court of California so that it would believe that KING AROGANT was guilty of a hideous and violent crime. George Gascón, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, and Brian MARK Rosenberg all recklessly framed KING AROGANT for a serious and violent felony crime they all knew he never committed, and they also knew with 100% certainty he never committed on February 21, 2023 based on phony GoPro video recording GL010586 depicting and displaying KING AROGANT standing inside of Jennifer Hutton-Heger's home while wearing different clothing than he had on February 21, 2023. Unlike the previous examples of metadata of KING AROGANT's original GoPro video recordings GX010031, GX010040, and GX010022. George Gascón, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, and Brian MARK Rosenberg's phony and bogus LASD-created video recordings do not show metadata that they were accessed on February 21, 2023, which proves a few things:


1. The phony LASD-created GoPro video recordings were not recorded and created by KING AROGANT.

2. KING AROGANT's original GoPro video recording GX010586 was recklessly split up and manipulated by County of Los Angeles peace officers into GX010586, GX010587, GX010588, GX010589, and GX010590.

It does not matter what the original GoPro video recording is; the metadata for an unmanipulated and original GoPro video recording will always show that it was last accessed on the same exact day it was recorded unless that GoPro video recording was altered, edited, or manipulated.

The metadata for George Gascón, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Brian MARK Rosenberg, and LASD's manipulated, tampered with, edited and altered GoPro video recording GX010586, found on KING AROGANT's original GoPro camera's 256 gigabyte SanDisk micro SD card reads as follows:

Created: Tuesday, February 21, 2023, 9:59:08 AM
Modified: Tuesday, February 21, 2023, 10:06:30 AM
Accessed: Wednesday, February 15, 2023




The metadata for George Gascón, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Brian MARK Rosenberg, and LASD's manipulated, tampered with, edited and altered GoPro video recording GX010587, found on KING AROGANT's original GoPro camera's 256 gigabyte SanDisk micro-SD card reads as follows:

Created: Tuesday, February 21, 2023, 10:13:43 AM

Modified: Tuesday, February 21, 2023, 10:15:27 AM

Accessed: Wednesday, February 15, 2023




The metadata for George Gascón, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Brian MARK Rosenberg, and LASD's manipulated, tampered with, edited and altered GoPro video recording GX010588, found on KING AROGANT's original GoPro camera's 256 gigabyte SanDisk micro SD card reads as follows:

Created: Tuesday, February 21, 2023, 10:13:43 AM


Modified: Tuesday, February 21, 2023, 10:15:27 AM

Accessed: Tuesday, February 15, 2023



The metadata for George Gascón, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Brian MARK Rosenberg, and LASD's manipulated, tampered with, edited and altered GoPro video recording GX010589, found on KING AROGANT's original GoPro camera's 256 gigabyte SanDisk micro SD card reads as follows:

Created: Tuesday, February 21, 2023, 10:23:47 AM
Modified: Tuesday, February 21, 2023, 10:25:14 AM
Accessed: Tuesday, February 15, 2023



The above metadata evidence for George Gascón, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Brian MARK Rosenberg's, LASD, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators; manipulated, tampered with, edited and altered GoPro video recordings GX010586, GX010587, GX010588, and GX010589 found and currently being stored on KING AROGANT's original GoPro Hero 10 Black Action Camera's 256 gigabyte SanDisk micro-sd card proves by clear and convincing evidence that KING AROGANT never recorded phony and bogus LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586, GL010587, and GL010588 as was falsely testified to by rogue County of

Los Angeles peace officer Billy S. Khounthavong on April 5, 2023, in furtherance of County of Los Angeles's conspiracy to frame KING AROGANT for a violent and serious felony he never committed. The above metadata evidence for George Gascón, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Brian MARK Rosenberg's, LASD, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators; manipulated, tampered with, edited and altered GoPro video recordings GX010586, GX010587, GX010588, and GX010589 found and currently being stored on KING AROGANT's original GoPro Hero 10 Black Action Camera's 256 gigabyte SanDisk micro-sd card proves by clear and convincing evidence that County of Los Angeles and County of Ventura peace officers used edited and split up by peace officers GoPro video recordings GX010586, GX010587, GX010588, and GX010589 to create phony LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586, GL010587, GL010588, and GL010589 to frame KING AROGANT for a violent and serious crime County of Los Angeles knew that he never committed. County of Los Angeles and County of Ventura peace officers used edited and split up by peace officers GoPro video recordings GX010586, GX010587, GX010588, and GX010589 GoPro video recordings currently being stored on KING AROGANT's original GoPro Hero 10 Black Action Camera's 256 gigabyte SanDisk micro-sd card further explains the revelation and clear evidence as to why County of Los Angeles, George Gascón, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Brian MARK Rosenberg. DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators' phony and bogus LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586, GL010587, GL010588, and GL010589 all have different GoPro video recording file numbers than original manipulated nearly fifteen minute original GoPro video recording GX010586.

When you combine the clear and convincing falsified video recording metadata evidence with George Gascón's phony and judicially forged probable cause determination, phony and judicially forged search warrant affidavit, disposal of KING AROGANT's Samsung Galaxy S21

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Ultra that contained on it exculpatory text message, and audio recording evidence; a fabricated, tainted and manipulated 911 audio recording oral testimony, false testimony of Jennifer Hutton-Heger regarding a make-believe knife incident she never reported to 911 dispatch or dishonest County of Los Angeles peace officers Aaron D. Contreras and Daniel Esqueda on February 21, 2023, and of course Superior Court of California's presentation and admittance of phony LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 which contained on them phony and LASD-created and manufactured video frame sequences that depict and display KING AROGANT shutting off his GoPro camera while wearing different clothing than he actually had on February 21, 2023 and not standing in front of the mirror inside of Jennifer Hutton-Heger's home, for which these phony GoPro video recordings were never turned over to any member of KING AROGANT's legal team between February 23, 2023, and October 31, 2024, and was never lodged with the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court, Department 1 despite it being presented and admitted against KING AROGANT on April 4, 2023, April 5, 2023, and February 2, 2024; PROVES TO ANY JUDICIAL OFFICER READING THESE HORRENDOUS CIVIL RIGHTS VIOLATION ALLEGATIONS that people of the state of california v. ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD, LASC CASE NO. GA114055, is one of the most corrupt, false, baseless, frivolous, and bogus felony criminal cases ever filed in the history of not just the California criminal justice system, but it is also one of the most corrupt felony criminal cases ever filed anywhere in the United States of America. George Gascon, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, and Brian MARK Roseberg's frivolous felony criminal case **MAKES NO SENSE BECAUSE**:

1. KING AROGANT is not wearing same clothing he had on February 21, 2023, when he is being seen in phony and bogus LASD-created video recording GL010586.

2. Metadata for George Gascón, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, and Brian MARK Rosenberg's recklessly showing a February 15, 2023, accessed date, which was six days prior to KING AROGANT' false arrest.

3. Jennifer Hutton-Heger's fabricated lies under oath regarding a detailed knife incident that was never documented in the police report.

4, Fake probable cause determination intentionally completed on outdated form, and alleged by rogue County of Los Angeles peace officer Aaron D. Contreras to have been approved non telephonically without the required court reporter present as was required to be mandatory pursuant to California Penal Code § 817.

5. Phony and bogus search warrant in which there was no search warrant number, no return until seven months later, no records of ever being filed at Pasadena Superior Court, no mandatory Countywide Warrant System form completed, and no minute order created for February 22, 2023, judicially forged search warrant.

6. False testimony that Jennifer Hutton-Heger's first 911 called began at 10:03 AM and ended at 10:07 A.M., while County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department Temple City police CAD report proves Hutton-Heger's first 911 call commenced at 10:01 AM


7. False testimony that Jennifer Hutton-Heger's second 911 call began at 10:09 AM to 10:10 AM, while County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department Temple City police CAD report, deputy worksheet of Aaron D. Contreras, and deputy worksheet of Daniel Esqueda all prove that Hutton-Heger's second 911 call commenced at 10:08 AM

Pursuant to the horrible allegations and facts made against Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., George Gascón, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators in all previous paragraphs stated and alleged herein. The above-stated, named, and accused State of California employees are not entitled to any type, shape, or form of judicial, electoral, or prosecutorial immunity, and based on allegations of all previous paragraphs, GoPro Manipulator Leo Ka Fong Lo is not entitled to any type, shape, or form of peace officer immunity.

Not only did former County of Los Angeles District Attorney George Gascón and his rogue County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors Victor Manuel Rodriguez and Brian MARK Rosenberg recklessly refuse to turn over phony and bogus LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 after being asked, requested, and demanded to do so on numerous occasions. But also County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department Sheriff Robert Luna and his Risk Management Bureau recklessly failed to turn over phony GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587, also sought to conceal the phony and bogus LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 in furtherance of Los Angeles County's conspiracy to continue to frame KING AROGANT for a humiliating, embarrassing, horrible, serious, and violent crime it knew with 100% certainty that KING AROGANT never committed.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

On June 10, 2024, KING AROGANT contacted the County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department's Discovery Unit by dialing the unit at (323) 890-5050 and requested over the telephone that it turn over and provide KING AROGANT with a copy of the phony and bogus LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587. See now LASD's Discovery Unit's email to KING AROGANT acknowledging his telephonic request attached to KING AROGANT's Verified Appendix of Exhibits, and also attached below and herein.



On June 11, 2024, County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department peace officer captain Julia M. Valdes responded to KING AROGANT's June 2024 demand that County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department immediately turn over and disclose phony GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587.

On April 4, 2023, and April 5, 2023 rogue deputy district attorney Brian MARK Rosenberg proved by clear and convincing evidence the original GoPro video recording GX010586 was altered, manipulated and edited and used to create phony LASD GoPro video recordings GL010586 GL010587, and GL010588 KING AROGANT's manipulated GoPro video recordings as he announced in Superior Court prior to playing phony GoPro video recordings GL010586, GL010587, and GL010588, MARK Rosenberg announced in the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court, Department 1 criminal courtroom that the LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586, GL010587, and GL010588 were actually called GL010586, GL010587, and GL010588. Yet, on KING AROGANT's original GoPro Hero 10 Black Action Camera's 256 gigabyte SanDisk micro SD card those manipulated, altered, and edited GoPro video recordings are actually called GX010586, GX010587, GX01588, and GX010589.

On June 11, 2024 County of Los Angeles peace officer Captain Valdes requested additional time for the Office of Sheriff Robert Luna to review KING AROGANT's public records request. See now a true and correct copy of Office of the Sheriff's response to KING AROGANT's public records request attached to KING AROGANT's Verified Appendix of Exhibits, and also see a copy of it below and herein.

OFFICE OF THE SHERIFF

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HALL OF JUSTICE

ROBERT G. LUNA, SHERIFF

June 11, 2024

Arogant Hollywood
arogantholllywoodgenious@gmail.com

Dear Mr. Hollywood:

PUBLIC RECORDS ACT REQUEST #24-1498DI

This letter is in response to your request for records under the California Public Records Act (CPRA) dated and received by the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department (LASD), Public Records Act Unit on June 10, 2024.

In your request, you are seeking the following:

> ...Requesting GO PRO videos related to arrest on 2/21/23, booking #6555970. On 02/23/23, the videos were turned over to the LASD Fraud and Cyber Crimes Bureau Southern California High Tech Task Force. Videos should be in possession with LASD Det. Leo K. Lo. Requesting all 16 videos related to the incident, specifically GL010585 which shows him turning off the Go Pro , and GL010587 where it shows his reflection in the mirror.

Although the Sheriff's Department is obligated to respond within 10 days of receipt of the request, this time limit is subject to an extension of up to fourteen (14) days under the following circumstances as defined in Government Code § 7922.535(a).

> The need to search for, and collect, the requested records from field facilities or other establishments that are separate from the office processing the request, and the need to appropriately examine potentially voluminous amounts of records.

Currently, the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department is receiving an exceptionally large volume of PRA requests and is currently experiencing an extended delay in processing them. Upon completion, we will advise you, if any, as to the availability of the responsive records.

Please note that we may redact or withhold records if there are any exempt matters impacting the privacy rights of individuals (California Constitution, article I, §1, and Government Code §§ 7927.705 and 7922.000). Other exempt matters will include those protected by the attorney-client, official information, and deliberative process privileges, pending litigation exemption, personnel exemption, or other matters otherwise protected from disclosure by law or where the particular facts and circumstances warrant nondisclosure of the information (Government Code §§ 7927.200, 7927.700, 7927.705, and 7922.000).

If you have any questions, please contact the Public Records Act Unit via email at DiscoveryUnitPRArequests@lasd.org or phone at (323) 890-5050.

Sincerely,

ROBERT G. LUNA, SHERIFF

Julia M. Valdes, Acting Captain
Risk Management Bureau

On June 27, 2024, County of Los Angeles peace officer captain Julia M. Valdes and her sheriff Robert G. Luna willfully, knowingly, recklessly, maliciously, wantonly, deliberately, and callously violated KING AROGANT's United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights by recklessly failing to disclose and turn over copies of phony and bogus LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 to KING AROGANT. In her frivolous rejection letter County of Los Angeles peace officer captain Julia M. Valdes cited *Williams v. Superior Court*, 5 Cal.4th 337 (1993) as her department's good cause for refusing to disclose and turn over phony and bogus GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587. But *Williams v. Superior Court* only further proves that County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department and its sheriff Robert Luna had a legal duty and legal obligation to turn over and disclose copies of phony and bogus LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010597. In *Williams* the Supreme Court stated:

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: **aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com**
Phone: 206.471.1344

Congress wished to require courts "to loo[k] to the reasons' for allowing [the] withholding of investigatory files before making their decisions." (Ibid.) The six new criteria achieved this goal by providing that no record was exempt unless its disclosure would "interfere with enforcement proceedings" (5 U.S.C. § 552 (b) (7) (A)) or trigger one of the other criteria (id., § 552 (b))7) (B) (F)).

Id. at 360

In short, the FOIA's exemption for law enforcement investigatory records often does terminate when the investigation terminates.

Id. at 360

Public policy does not demand that stale records be kept secret when their disclosure can harm no one, and the public god would seem to require a procedure by which a court may declare that the exemption has expired.

In frivolous Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court felony criminal case **GA114055** Jennifer Hutton-Heger has been deceased since June 10, 2023. Releasing a copy of phony and bogus LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 would not have endangered the safety of Jennifer Hutton-Heger because she is deceased. County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department and its sheriff Robert Luna's disclosure of phony and bogus GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 would not have endangered the successful completion of Billy S. Khounthavong and Defendant Leo Ka Fong Lo's SHODDY & SHADY criminal investigation because on October 17, 2023, KING AROGANT was convicted, and those phony LASD-created GoPro video recordings were

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

played, presented and admitted in Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court, Department 1 on April 4, 2023, April 5, 2023, and February 2, 2024. The reckless failure of Sheriff Robert G. Luna to refuse to disclose and turn over to KING AROGANT phony and bogus LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 had nothing to do with California state law exemptions pursuant to California Government Code § 6254, subdivision (f) because the playing, presenting, and admittance of phony LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 in a criminal Superior Court of California criminal courthouse on April 4, 2023, April 5, 2023, and February 2, 2024 proved by clear and convincing evidence that these video recordings have nothing to do with the analysis or conclusions of the Southern California High Tech Task Force peace officers' illegal searching, illegal copying, illegal manipulating, and illegal altering of KING AROGANT's original GoPro video recording GX010586, as was proven earlier based on the original GoPro video recording GX010585 and GX010586 being returned to KING AROGANT that were all recorded on February 21, 2023 having metadata showing that the last access date was February 15, 2023, and the criminal investigation was proven to be over at preliminary hearing when Lying Billy Khounthavong infamously falsely testified to the following:

MARK Rosenberg: And the videos that you retrieved, how did you get those?


Billy Khounthavong: I submitted the GOPRO to our high-tech bureau and detectives from that bureau downloaded the data from the GoPro itself, and I retrieved data from them.

The only reason County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, Robert Luna, and Captain Julia Valdes willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, wantonly, deliberately, and callously refused to turn over and disclose copies of phony GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 was so that they could conceal the video recordings for the unlawful purpose of shielding the County of Los Angeles from civil liability, and protect Billy S. Khounthavong, Richard Lewis, Leo Ka Fong Lo, and all other involved peace officers from going to federal prison for them all willfully, knowingly, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, carelessly, deliberately, and callously violating 18 U.S.C. §§ 241 and 242. There is clear and convincing evidence already that the metadata of KING AROGANT's video recordings proves he did not record phony GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 even though Lying Billy Khounthavong testified on April 5, 2023, that both of these phony video recordings were retrieved from KING AROGANT's GoPro camera. Further, KING AROGANT is not even wearing the same clothing in phony LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 that he had on as shown by County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department bodycam video recordings, Jennifer Hutton-Heger's first 911 call, and KING AROGANT's original but altered GoPro video recording GX010586 found on his original GoPro Hero 10 Black Action Camera after he was released on October 17, 2023. County of Los Angeles and sheriff Robert

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Luna's disclosure of phony LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL01586 and GL010587 would prove by clear and convincing evidence that multiple bureaus and divisions within the County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department did a lot of hard work over several days so that they could all be successful at FRAMING KING AROGANT for a serious and violent felony crime, they all knew he never committed, which would substantially increase the civil liability for the County of Los Angeles and greatly increase the possibility and probability that KING AROGANT would be awarded punitive damages if in a civil rights complaint many of his truthful and undeniable civil rights allegations went to trial. See now a true and correct copy Hollywood LA News article reporting the untimely demise of Jennifer Hutton-Heger on June 10, 2023, attached to KING AROGANT's Verified Appendix of Exhibits, and also below, herein.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344



See now a true and correct copy County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, peace officer captain Julie M. Valdes, and Sheriff Robert Luna's recklessly frivolous rejection letter attached to KING AROGANT's Verified Appendix of Exhibits and also below.

OFFICE OF THE SHERIFF

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HALL OF JUSTICE

ROBERT G. LUNA, SHERIFF

June 27, 2024

Arogant Hollywood
arogantthollywoodgenious@gmail.com

Dear Mr. Hollywood:

PUBLIC RECORDS ACT REQUEST #24-1498DI

This letter is in response to your request for records under the California Public Records Act (CPRA) dated and received by the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department (LASD), Public Records Act Unit on June 10, 2024.

In your request, you are seeking the following:

> ...Requesting GO PRO videos related to arrest on 2/21/23, booking #6555970. On 02/23/23, the videos were turned over to the LASD Fraud and Cyber Crimes Bureau Southern California High Tech Task Force. Videos should be in possession with LASD Det. Leo K. Lo. Requesting all 16 videos related to the incident, specifically GL010586 which shows him turning off the Go Pro , and GL010587 where it shows his reflection in the mirror.

On June 11, 2024, we extended our time to respond to request under Government Code section 7922.535 subdivision (b), by an additional fourteen (14) days due to the existence of unusual circumstances. The unusual circumstances include the need to search for, collect, and appropriately review potentially responsive records. We indicated we would provide you with an update by July 5, 2024.

Response: The records sought constitute investigatory files, which are exempt from disclosure, based on, but not limited to the following authorities: the California Constitution, article I, section 1; and Government Code sections 7923.600-7923.625 and 7922.000. *Williams v. Superior Court*, 5 Cal.4th 337, 361-362 (1993) (once a record is created for investigatory purposes, it remains exempt and does not terminate with the conclusion of the investigation).

If you have any questions, please contact the Public Records Act Unit via email at DiscoveryUnitPRArequests@lasd.org or phone at (323) 890-5050.

Sincerely,

ROBERT G. LUNA, SHERIFF

Julia M. Valdes, Acting Captain
Risk Management Bureau

On July 7, 2023, KING AROGANT was in Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court, Department 1, with the Honorable Michael Villalobos presiding. At this Superior Court hearing, KING AROGANT filed a California Penal Code § 1054.1 motion requesting that former County of Los Angeles District Attorney George Gascón and his rogue County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors Brian MARK Rosenberg and Victor Manuel Rodriguez turn over and disclose a copy of phony LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 that depicted and displayed KING AROGANT shutting off his GoPro camera, and walking around inside Jennifer Hutton-Heger's home without standing in her mirror. In collusion Superior Court of California criminal judicial officer Michael Villalobos failed to hold a hearing on KING AROGANT's motion, nor did he order Brian MARK Rosenberg to turn over phony LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 that depicted and displayed KING AROGANT shutting off his GoPro camera with his bare right arm inside of Jennifer Hutton-Heger's home while he was wearing a tank top and dark shorts.

On or about September 6, 2023, KING AROGANT requested that the Honorable Michael Villalobos sign a drafted ORDER created by KING AROGANT that would ORDER former County of Los Angeles District Attorney George Gascón and his rogue County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors Brian MARK Rosenberg and Victor Manuel Rodriguez to immediately provide KING AROGANT with a copy of all sixteen GoPro video recordings that were willfully, knowingly, carelessly, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, deliberately, and callously played, presented, testified to, and displayed at the preliminary hearing on April 4, 2023, and April 5, 2023, specifically the phony GoPro

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

video recordings GLO10586 and GL010587 showing KING AROGANT meeting the UBER XL driver outside of Hutton's home (GL010587) and shutting off his GoPro camera (GL010586). In collusion with George Gascón, Brian MARK Rosenberg, and Victor Manuel Rodriguez Superior Court of California judicial officer Michael Villalobos outright refused to sign KING AROGANT's nearly fourteen-page ORDER that would have had compel George Gascón and his rogue criminal prosecutors Brian MARK Rosenberg and Victor Manuel Rodriguez to turn over all sixteen GoPro video recordings that were testified to, shown to the Superior Court and admitted into evidence at the rigged preliminary hearing on April 4, 2023, and April 5, 2023, specifically phony LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 which depicted and displayed AROGANT shutting off his GoPro camera (GL010586) and also showed fake video frame sequences of exactly when he got up out of his seat to stand at the door outside the front door Hutton-Heger's home to meet the UBER XL driver and also phony video recording did not show KING AROGANT standing in front of Jennifer Hutton-Heger's mirror at any time (GL010587). Instead of granting the COURT ORDER, that would have compelled George Gascón and his rogue and dishonest criminal prosecutors Brian MARK Rosenberg and Victor Manuel Rodriguez to turn over phony GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 depicting and displaying KING AROGANT shutting off his GoPro camera (GL010586). Sneakingly, without KING AROGANT present in Superior Court. The Honorable Michael Villalobos, working in collusion with George Gascón and Brian MARK Rosenberg, made a court order for George Gascon and Brian MARK Rosenberg to turn over a copy of original GoPro video recordings, inluding GX010586, on a USB flash drive to KING AROGANT's private

investigator Joel Simeone Wyenn. Yet, the Honorable Michael Villalobos's court order intentionally did not order former County of Los Angeles District Attorney George Gascón and his rogue criminal prosecutors Brian MARK Rosenberg and Victor Manuel Rodriguez to turn over phony LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 because Villalobos knew that those video recordings proved that the state government and County of Los Angeles recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, callously, deliberately, willfully, knowingly, and carelessly falsified video recording evidence in frivolous and racially animus criminal case GA114055. Michael Villalobos, Brian MARK Rosenberg, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl Mire, and many others' actions and misconduct of not turning over all requested GoPro video recordings recklessly violated KING AROGANT's Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional rights and KING AROGANT's Article I, Section 7 California constitutional rights. The Honorable Michael Villalobos's reckless failure to order George Gascón and Brian MARK Rosenberg to turn over exceedingly impeaching video recording evidence before September 6, 2023, and also after September 6, 2023, is the sole reason why Brian MARK Rosenberg, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl Mire, and many others' were able to recklessly, maliciously, wantonly, callously, deliberately, callously, knowingly, and carelessly present to a criminal State of California Superior Court phony LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 that falsely depicted KING AROGANT shutting off his GoPro camera, meeting with an UBER driver, and walking to the front door of Hutton's home and looking outside, false and manipulated video recording evidence that was used for

the sole purpose of incarcerating KING AROGANT beyond February 2, 2024, without legal justification and probable cause, in the Superior Court on February 2, 2024.

On or about October 5, 2023, KING AROGANT filed a nearly thirty-page verified motion to compel requesting that the Superior Court order former County of Los Angeles District Attorney Brian MARK Rosenberg, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, and Stephanie Pearl Mire turn over and disclose a copy of phony GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 that depicted KING AROGANT getting up out of his seat to meet UBER XL driver and that showed KING AROGANT shutting off his GoPro camera while being inside of Jennifer Hutton Heger's home wearing different clothing that he actually had on February 21,. 2023. The Superior Court, Michael Villalobos, Brian MARK Rosenberg, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, and Stephanie Pearl Mire all recklessly, willfully, wantonly, maliciously, knowingly, carelessly, knowingly, deliberately and callously violated KING AROGANT's United States Fourteenth Amendment constitutional rights and California constitutional rights by again never turning over phony LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587. County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors Brian MARK Rosenberg, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl Mire, George Gascón and their co-conspirators never filed a written opposition to KING AROGANT's motion to compel. Yet, in collusion judicial officer Michael Villalobos recklessly denied KING AROGANT's Motion to Compel just days before the commencement of KING AROGANT's criminal bench trial without holding a hearing at all and by refusing to ORDER former County of Los Angeles District Attorney George Gascón and his County of Los Angeles criminal

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

prosecutors to turn over and disclose a copy of phony GoPro video recordings showing KING AROGANT meeting UBER XL driver (GL010587) and that depicted and displayed KING AROGANT shutting off his GoPro camera (GL010586).

In early October 2023, KING AROGANT filed a motion directly with Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Pasadena Superior Court judicial officer Suzette Clover requesting that she provide him with a certified copy of Billy Khounthavong's phony and FORGED search warrant pursuant to California Evidence Code section 1530. The Honorable Suzette Clover recklessly, wantonly, willfully, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, and callously violated KING AROGANT's United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights and his California Constitution Article I, § 7 constitutional rights by denying KING AROGANT's motion by recklessly failing to provide KING AROGANT with a certified copy of rogue County of Los Angeles peace officer's Billy Khounthavong's alleged electronically filed February 22, 2023 search warrant, and the Honorable Suzette Clover lied and fabricated lies that she issued Billy Khounthavong's phony search warrant, and she lied that it was done electronically. Again, the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Pasadena Superior Court has no such electronically filed or physically filed February 22, 2023 search warrant not does Pasadena Superior Couet have any such records of a February 22, 2023 minute order as to any action Suzette Clover took on Billy Khounthavong's phony and judicially forged search warrant affidavit. Again, the bogus search warrant does not even have a search warrant number!

The Honorable Suzette's Clover October 2023 ruling that she issued rogue peace officer Billy Khounthavong's phony search warrant can be proven false based on the fact that the **FORGED SEARCH WARRANT** was missing the following PASADENA SUPERIOR COURT electronically stamped information that would PROVE it was REAL:

> **Electronically FILED by**
> **Superior Court of California,**
> **County of Los Angeles**
> **02/22/2023_____PM**
> **David W. Slayton,**
> **Executive Officer/Clerk of Court**
> **CLERK NAME HERE, Deputy Clerk**

Additionally, pursuant to the Trial Court Records Manual Revised 2020, Judicial Council of California, on page 115 of 143, page 132 of 143, and 21 of 143, rogue County of Los Angeles peace officer Billy Khounthavong and Suzette Clover's search warrant was clearly proven to be counterfeit and was never issued since the Pasadena Superior Court has no record of it existing while State of California Pasadena Superior Court was required pursuant to **California Government Code Section 68152** to retain a copy of it at the Pasadena Superior Court until 2033. Further, on page 132 of 143 in the Trial Court Records Manual Revised 2020, the Judicial Council of California states that pursuant to California Penal Code Section 1534, the Pasadena Superior Court was required to make Billy Khounthavong and Suzette Clover's counterfeit and make-believe search warrant

open to the public. Pasadena Superior Court has no electronic or physical paper copy records of rogue Billy Khounthavong and the Honorable Suzette Clover's phony search warrant, as it was never filed in the Pasadena Superior Court and does not exist. The Pasadena Superior Court also has no court records for February 22, 2023, of Suzette Clover issuing a minute order stating and documenting what action she took on Billy Khounthavon's search warrant. And lastly, Pasadena Superior Court has no records of Billy Khounthavong ever filing the mandatory Countywide Warrant System Initial Case Filing Form, form CRIM 126. Thus, in other words, there is zero evidence of a search warrant ever being filed with the Pasadena Superior Court.

Throughout numerous criminal Superior Court of California proceedings in frivolous and unconstitutional criminal case GA114055 criminal judicial officers Suzette Clover and Suzette Clover recklessly covering for a dirty and corrupt County of Los Angeles peace officer, and Michael Villalobos recklessly failing to require that former County of Los Angeles District Attorney George Gascón, Brian MARK Rosenberg, and Victor Manuel Rodriguez turn over copies of phony LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 as well as stand alone copies of Jennifer Hutton-Heger's first and second 911 audio recordings, which was also requested to be turned over in KING AROGANT's Motion to Compel pursuant to both *Brady v. Maryland*, 373 U.S. 83, 83 s. Ct. 1194, 10 L.Ed.2d 215 (1963) and *United States v. Bagley*, 473 U.S. 667, 87 L.Ed.2d 481 (1985). Superior Court of California judicial officers Suzette Clover and Michael Villalobos should be disciplined for recklessly violating California Code of Judicial Ethics, Canon 1: A Judge Shall Uphold the Integrity and Independence of the Judiciary, Canon 2: A Judge Shall Avoid Impropriety and the Appearance of Impropriety in all of The Judge's Activities, and Canon 3: A

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344


Judge Shall Perform The Duties of Judicial Office Impartially, Competently, and Diligently over and over again. Moreover, just start to the rigged and unconstitutional preliminary hearing on April 4, 2023, KING AROGANT filed a timely California Code of Civil Procedure § 170.6 motion requesting that Michael Villalobos excuse himself from the case based on a DA letter that Jennifer Hutton-Heger wrote to dishonest criminal prosecutor Brian MARK Rosenberg that her father knew many judges. On Superior Court record lying Michael Villalobos admitted he knew Jennifer Hutton-Heger's father Richard Alan Hutton **(SBN # 48044)**, who was an active criminal defense attorney who had many cases tried in both Pasadena Superior Court and Alhambra Superior Court, but the Honorable Michael Villalobos stated on the Superior Court record him and Richard Hutton never had a personal relationship and thus, he saw no reason to disqualify himself from GA114055. Interestingly enough, at a Superior Court hearing on or about July 7, 2023, shortly after Jennifer Hutton-Heger committed suicide, Michael Villalobos looked very upset and distressed after learning his close friend Richard Hutton's daughter was now deceased at the tender age of forty-nine or fifty. The clearly visibly upset and distressed Michael Villalobos on or about July 7, 2023, proved by clear and convincing evidence that he willfully, recklessly, maliciously, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, deliberately, and callously violated Code of Judicial Ethics **Canon 4: A Judge Shall So Conduct the Judge's Quasi-Judicial and Extrajudicial Activities as to Minimize the Risk of Conflict with Judicial Obligations**, by recklessly refusing to recuse himself from GA114055 prior to the commencement of the rigged and unconstitutional preliminary hearing on April 4, 2023. It is also easy to see that any other judicial officer who had no relationship to Jennifer Hutton-Heger would not have held KING AROGANT to answer after Jennifer Hutton-Heger's intentionally false trial court testimony that she did a reenactment of make-belief knife incident with rogue County of Los Angeles peace officers Aaron D. Contreras and Daniel Esqueda and she still had

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

the knife after they had failed to recover it. When in fact there were no police statements about a knife incident, and even Lying Billy Khounthavong admitted during cross-examination that there were no police statements about a knife incident. Superior Court of California Judicial Officer Michael Villalobos recklessly violated Canon 4 and changed the outcome of the proceedings by failing to recuse himself from the rigged and unconstitutional criminal case GA114055.

On February 2, 2024, George Gascón, Brian MARK Rosenberg, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl Mire, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators recklessly played, admitted, and presented a phony LASD-created GoPro video recording that depicted and portrayed KING AROGANT shutting off his GoPro camera using a bare and naked right arm (GL010586). In the County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department's body camera video recordings, KING AROGANT was seen wearing a long-sleeved jacket. During Jennifer Hutton-Heger's first 911 call, she described KING AROGANT's clothing as baggy pants and a long-sleeved shirt. Thus, George Gascón, Brian MARK Rosenberg, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl Mire, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators' phony GoPro video recording GL010586 which depicts and displays KING AROGANT wearing a tank-top and also different pants, proves by clear and convincing evidence that George Gascón, Brian MARK Rosenberg, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl Mire, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators phony and bogus LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 are phonies and counterfeits and were NOT created by KING AROGANT on February 21, 2023. In KING AROGANT's original GoPro video recording GX010586,

which was manipulated by the County of Los Angeles, there is sound. In the case of George Gascón, Brian Mark Rosenberg, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl Mire, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators, the Artificial Intelligence-powered phony and bogus LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586 it is silent and without sound. See now a true and correct of a video recording frame sequence created from KING AROGANT's REAL GoPro video recording proving that he was wearing a long-sleeved coat on February 21, 2023, attached to KING AROGANT's Verified Appendix of Exhibits, and below.


REAL VIDEO FOR 2 21 2023 1
6:59 / 14:43
Scroll for details

Images taken from KING AROGANT's real video recorded on February 21, 2023, show him wearing a long-sleeved coat, not a tank top, as was shown in George Gascón, Brian MARK Rosenberg, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl Mire, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators' phony and bogus LASD-created video recording GL010586, which was manipulated by former County of Los Angeles District Attorney George Gascón and his co-conspirators to frame KING AROGANT for a serious and violent felony that all emailed County of Los Angeles peace officers and attorneys knew KING AROGANT never committed.

On February 2, 2024, Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court, Department 1 held an evidentiary hearing on KING AROGANT's motion to withdraw his nolo contendere felony plea that he entered in Department 1 on October 17, 2023. KING AROGANT had previously filed a motion to withdraw his no-contest plea, and concurrently, he filed a request for an evidentiary hearing so that his REAL GoPro video recordings could be presented and admitted at the court hearing by KING AROGANT.

On February 2, 2024, County of Los Angeles Deputy District Attorney Brian MARK Rosenberg both testified and presented the manipulated, altered, bogus, and phony GoPro video recording GL010586 created by the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department. The bogus video displayed and depicted KING AROGANT shutting off his GoPro camera. The bogus and phony LASD-created GoPro video recording GL010586 featured an artificial intelligence-powered KING AROGANT repeatedly touching his GoPro camera multiple times before finally stopping the recording with his bare and

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

naked right arm.

The evidence clearly shows that the LASD-created GoPro video GL010586 is a phony for the following reasons:

1. In George Gascón's phony LASD-created GL010586, KING AROGANT is seen shutting off his GoPro camera while his entire right arm can be seen to be bare and naked, without clothing on his arms. In George Gascón's phony and bogus LASD-created GL010586, KING AROGANT is clearly seen wearing a dark-colored tank top and shorts.

2. In the original GoPro GX010586 video recording, KING AROGANT is never seen shutting off his GoPro camera. In the original GoPro GX010586 video recording, KING AROGANT is seen wearing a long-sleeved shirt and baggy pants. In fact, not even KING AROGANT's hairstyle is the same. In the real GoPro video recording GX010586 KING AROGANT has his hair free flowing with no hair ties keeping his hair in a ponytail. In George Gascón's phony and bogus LASD-created GoPro video recording GL010586 KING AROGANT is depicted and portrayed in the video recording with his hair in a ponytail.

On February 2, 2024, rogue, racially animus, biased, and prejudiced County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors Brian MARK Rosenberg, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, and George Gascón willfully, knowingly, recklessly, maliciously, wantonly, deliberately, carelessly, and callously presented, played and admitted false oral testimony while showing altered LASD-created GoPro video recording and asking KING AROGANT:
MARK ROSENBERG: ***How did you get over to that door on the other side?***

GREAT QUESTION Brian MARK Rosenberg! THE ANSWER IS YOU SHOULD BE IN FEDERAL DETENTION CENTER!

Because the phony GoPro video recording GL010587 that George Gascón and Brian MARK Rosenberg showed the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court on February 2, 2024, showed KING AROGANT walking near the front door of Jennifer Hutton-Heger. What it did not show was KING AROGANT standing in Hutton's mirror wearing a long-sleeved coat and his GoPro camera. PROVING THAT GEORGE GASCÓN, VICTOR MANUEL RODRIGUEZ, AND BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG'S DOCTORED AND SPLICED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GL010586 and GL010587 presented falsely to the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court on April 4, 2023, April 5, 2023, and February 2, 2024 ARE PHONIES! In George Gascón, Brian MARK Rosenberg, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl Mire, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators LASD created phony GoPro video recording GL010586 KING AROGANT is seen walking around a dark house wearing a tank top. In KING AROGANT's REAL GoPro video recordings GX010586 and GX010587 KING AROGANT is seen standing in Hutton's mirror wearing only his GoPro camera and a long-sleeved shirt, and the background of the original video recording GX010586 shows plenty of natural like coming into Jennifer Hutton-Heger's home, unlike George Gascón, Brian MARK Rosenberg, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators' phony GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587. At 8 minutes and 33 seconds into KING AROGANT's combined original GoPro video recordings GX010586, GX010587, and GX010588, he is only seen wearing

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344


non-ponytailed dreadlocks that are free flowing and hanging over his shoulders, a GoPro camera strapped to his chest area, and a dark tan colored long-sleeved coat. See now a true and correct of an image taken from KING AROGANT's combined original GoPro video recordings GX010585, GX010587, and GX010588 at 8 minutes and 33 seconds of 14 minutes and 43 seconds video recording attached to KING AROGANT's Verified Appendix of Exhibits, and below herein.



At KING AROGANT's February 2, 2024 evidentiary hearing Brian MARK Rosenberg,


George Gascón, and Victor Manuel Rodriguez willfully, knowingly, recklessly, maliciously, wantonly, carelessly, deliberately, and callously offered and presented to the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court, Department 1 oral false testimony by Brian MARK Rosenberg with Victor Manuel Rodriguez serving as the criminal prosecutor asking Brian MARK Rosenberg questions on direct examination. Brian MARK Rosenberg falsely testified that KING AROGANT's combined GoPro video recordings were spliced and manipulated. Brian MARK Rosenberg also testified the following: ***"It shows him shutting it off."*** Former County of Los Angeles District Attorney George Gascón, Brian MARK Rosenberg, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl Mire, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators are all full of so much CRAP they will need a whole roll of toilet paper. Just like the combined GoPro video recordings images that were electronically served upon every single State of California and County of Los Angeles employee and elected officials named herein. The real GoPro video recordings GX010586 and GX010587showed KING AROGANTinside Hutton Heger's home wearing free-flowing dreadlocks hanging to his shoulder, a GoPro camera strapped to his chest, and a long-sleeved coat. In KING AROGANT's original GoPro video recording GX010586 **(meticulously split up by sophisticated County of Los Angeles peace officers from GX010586 into GX010586, GX010587, GL010588, GL10589, & GL10590)** KING AROGANT is only depicted and displayed wearing a long-sleeved coat, free flowing dreadlocks hanging to his shoulders, GoPro camera strapped to his chest, and a dark tan colored long-sleeved coat. See now a true and correct image 1 taken from KING AROGANT's original GoPro video recording GX010586 at 2 minutes and 49 seconds of 7 minutes and 19 seconds video recording attached toKING AROGANT's Verified Appendix of Exhibits, and below herein.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344


Media Player
0:02:49
0:04:30
GX010586
12:16 AM
11/15/2024

At ten minutes into KING AROGANT's combined real GoPro video recordings GX010586, GX010587, and GX010588 KING AROGANT can again be seen standing in front of Jennifer Hutton Heger's mirror. The GoPro video recording again shows KING AROGANT wearing a long-sleeved coat and his GoPro camera, proving that his video recording was one continuous video broken up and manipulated by County of Los Angeles peace officers. KING AROGANT is seen again standing in front of Hutton's mirror at 10:18 seconds into the original GoPro video recording GX010587.

See now a true and correct of an image taken from KING AROGANT's original combined GoPro video recordings (meticulously split up from GX010586 into GX010586, GX010587, GL010588, GL10589, & GL10590) at 10 minutes and 18 seconds of 14 minutes and 43 seconds video recording attached to KING AROGANT's Verified Appendix of Exhibits, and below herein.


REAL VIDEO FOR 2 21 2023 1
10:18 / 14:43
Scroll for details

But there is even more concrete evidence that George Gascón, Brian MARK Rosenberg, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl Mire, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators' phony and bogus LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 were never filmed and created by KING AROGANT on February 21, 2023.

At the preliminary hearing on April 4, 2023, and April 5, 2023, George Gascón, Brian MARK Rosenberg, Stephanie Pearl Mire, James William Garrison, Sharon Lee Woo, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators all willfully, knowingly, recklessly, maliciously, wantonly, carelessly, deliberately, and callously presented and admitted false testimony to the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court, Department 1 on April 4, 2023, April 5, 2023, and February 2, 2024 that phony and bogus LASD-created GoPro video recording GL010586 was 7 minutes and 19 seconds. Even while KING AROGANT was on the stand Brian MARK Rosenberg again confirmed that the People's phony and bogus LASD-created GoPro video recording GL010586 was 7 minutes and 19 seconds. The original split-up GX010586 GoPro video recording found on KING AROGANT's GoPro camera also ends at 7 minutes and 19 seconds. This particular GoPro video recording found on KING AROGANT's GoPro camera does not show him shutting it off, it is not dark inside Jennifer Hutton's home, and KING AROGANT is not seen shutting off his GoPro camera with a bare and naked right arm. KING AROGANT's original GoPro video recording GX010586 exonerates KING AROGANT because while the same length as George Gascón, Brian MARK Rosenberg, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl Mire, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators' phony and bogus LASD-created GoPro video recording GL010586, none of the false


Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

video recording sequences recklessly shown by George Gascón, Brian MARK Rosenberg, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl Mire, DOES 1-10 on April 4, 2023, April 5, 2023, and February 2, 2024, show KING AROGANT doing any of the following:


1. Wearing a tank top and dark shorts.
2. KING AROGANT wearing his long hair in a ponytail.
3. Walking around a dark home
4. Touching his GoPro camera multiple times
5. Walking out of Jennifer Hutton Heger's home as he is shutting off his GoPro camera.\
6. KING AROGANT shutting off his GoPro camera between 7 minutes and 7 minutes and 19 seconds of original GoPro video recording GX010586

See now a true and correct copy of an image taken from KING AROGANT's original GoPro video recording GX010586 **(meticulously split up from GX010586 into GX010586, GX010587, GL010588, GL10589, & GL10590)** at 7 minutes and 19 seconds of 7 minutes and 19 seconds attached to KING AROGANT's Verified Appendix of Exhibits, and below herein.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344


Media Player
0:07:19
0:00:00
GX010586
1:03 AM
11/15/2024

The real GoPro video recording GX010587 (meticulously split up from GX010586 into GX010586, GX010587, GL010588, GL10589, & GL10590) also shows at 1 minute and 13 seconds into the 1 minute and 41 seconds also shows KING AROGANT wearing a GoPro camera strap, long dreadlocks hanging from his shoulder, and a long-sleeved coat! George Gascón, Brian MARK Rosenberg, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl Mire, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators' phony and bogus LASD-created GL010587 meticulously cuts out any video frame sequences of KING AROGANT standing in Jennifer Hutton-Heger's mirror on February 21, 2023. George Gascón, Brian MARK Rosenberg, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl Mire, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators' willfully, knowingly, maliciously, carelessly, wantonly, recklessly, deliberately and callously cut the video frame sequence of KING AROGANT standing in Hutton's mirror out of phony and bogus LASD-created GoPro video recording GL010587 so that they could conceal from and hide from KING AROGANT and Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court the truth that on February 21, 2023 KING AROGANT was not wearing a tank top and dark shorts, and on February 21, 2023 KING AROGANT was not inside Jennifer Hutton-Heger's home shutting off his GoPro camera with a bare and naked right arm. See now a true and correct of an image taken from KING AROGANT's original GoPro video recording GX010587 at 1 minute and 13 seconds of 1 minute and 41 seconds video recording attached to KING AROGANT's Verified Appendix of Exhibits, and below herein.


Media Player
0:01:13
0:00:28
GX010587
1:13 AM
11/15/2024

On February 2, 2024, KING AROGANT showed the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court, Department 1 and the Honorable Michael Villalobos his original 14 minute and 43 seconds combined GoPro video recording GX010586 (meticulously split up from GX010586 into GX010586, GX010587, GL010588, GL10589, & GL10590) that showed and portrayed a reflection of KING AROGANT again wearing a long-sleeved coat. It would have been impossible for KING AROGANT to have been wearing a long-sleeved coat and a tank top on the same date and time shown in George Gascón, Brian MARK Rosenberg, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl Mire, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators' phony and bogus LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL1010586 and GL010587 on February 21, 2023, because the reflection of KING AROGANT in both the manipulated GoPro video recordings and the original GoPro video recordings show KING AROGANT at a County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department police vehicle wearing a long-sleeved coat, and having a GoPro camera strapped to his chest, and KING AROGANT is seen on camera wearing this same long-sleeved coat in County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department police body camera video recordings recorded on February 21, 2023. See now a true and correct of an image taken from KING AROGANT's original combined GoPro video recording GX010586 **(meticulously split up from GX010586 into GX010586, GX010587, GL010588, GL10589, & GL10590)** at 13 minutes and 57 seconds of 14 minutes and 43 seconds video recording attached to KING AROGANT's Verified Appendix of Exhibits, and below herein.


REAL VIDEO FOR 2 21 2023 1
13:57 / 14:43
Scroll for details

Numerous paragraphs of allegations alleged and shown herein through clear and convincing evidence prove by clear and convincing evidence that George Gascón, Robert G. Luna, Brian MARK Rosenberg, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl Mire, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., Jeffrey D. Macomber. Jason D. Johnson, Jennifer Barretto, Tammatha Foss, Christopher Chambers, Ronald Broomfield, Jennifer Benavidez, Michael Villalobos, Suzette Clover, DOES 1-10 and their co-conspirators willfully, knowingly, carelessly, wantonly, maliciously, deliberately, recklessly, and callously committed reckless under color of law **FRAUD**, reckless under color of law Due Process Clause constitutional violations, reckless under color of neglect to duty to aid and assist KING AROGANT with overturning his wrongful conviction, and reckless under color of law **DECEIT** by between February 21, 2023, and August 10, 2025 recklessly lying to, concealing from, hiding from, failing to disclose, failing to tell, and otherwise deceiving KING AROGANT and the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court to believe that the crime of felony criminal threats occurred on February 21, 2023, by them all, and each of them, recklessly using numerous pieces and articles of falsified, tainted, tampered with, illegally created, altered, edited, and fabricated oral court testimony, manipulated and unoriginal 911 audio recordings, falsified physical paper form evidence, and falsified and tainted GoPro video recording evidence so that George Gascón and DOES 1-10 could gain an unfair, unjust, unlawful, illegal, and wrongful advantage over KING AROGANT, and so that George Gascón, Robert G. Luna, Brian MARK Rosenberg, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl Mire, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr.,

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Jeffrey D. Macomber. Jason D. Johnson, Jennifer Barretto, Tammatha Foss, Christopher Chambers, Ronald Broomfield, Jennifer Benavidez, Michael Villalobos, Suzette Clover, DOES 1-10 and their co-conspirators could gain a wrongful and illegal felony conviction against KING AROGANT, which was all done by them all for the unlawful purpose of recklessly violating the United States Constitution and recklessly violating KING AROGANT's Fourth and Fourteenth Amendment constitutional civil rights.

Numerous paragraphs of clear and convincing evidence shown through on the horrific and disturbing allegations alleged herein prove by clear and convincing evidence that George Gascón, Robert G. Luna, Brian MARK Rosenberg, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl Mire, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., Jeffrey D. Macomber. Jason D. Johnson, Jennifer Barretto, Tammatha Foss, Christopher Chambers, Ronald Broomfield, Jennifer Benavidez, Michael Villalobos, Suzette Clover, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators willfully, knowingly, carelessly, wantonly, maliciously, recklessly, deliberately, and callously committed reckless under color of law FRAUD, reckless under color of law Due Process Clause constitutional violations, reckless under color of neglect to duty to aid and assist KING AROGANT with overturning his wrongful conviction, and reckless under color of law DECEIT by between November 28, 2023, and August 10, 2025, recklessly concealing from, hiding from, failing to disclose, failing to tell, and otherwise deceiving KING AROGANT and the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court to continue to believe that the crime of felony criminal threats occurred on February 21,

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

2023, by them all, and each of them, recklessly committing under color of neglect to duty to aid and assist KING AROGANT with overturning his wrongful conviction after they were all electronically served on numerous occasions clear and convincing exculpatory GoPro video recording evidence that no crime occurred on February 21, 2023, and were all electronically served clear and convincing audio recording evidence that KING AROGANT was wrongfully sentenced to California state prison on February 2, 2024 after racially animus and racially hostile state government witness Thomas Guzman-Sanchez testified falsely that KING AROGANT told him during a recorded telephone call on October 22, 2023 that he was going to ***"fuck him up"***, which was all done for the unlawful purpose of recklessly violating the United States Constitution and recklessly violating KING AROGANT's Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights.

Numerous paragraphs of clear and convincing evidence show that the horrific and disturbing allegations alleged herein are proven by clear and convincing evidence that George Gascón, Robert G. Luna, Brian MARK Rosenberg, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl Mire, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., Jeffrey D. Macomber. Jason D. Johnson, Jennifer Barretto, Tammatha Foss, Christopher Chambers, Ronald Broomfield, Jennifer Benavidez, Michael Villalobos, Suzette Clover, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators willfully, knowingly, carelessly, wantonly, maliciously, recklessly, deliberately, and callously committed reckless under color of law FRAUD, reckless under color of law DECEIT, reckless under color of law Due Process Clause constitutional violations, reckless under color of neglect to duty to aid and assist

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

KING AROGANT, with overturning his wrongful conviction by them between June 8, 2024, and August 20, 2025, recklessly concealing from, hiding from, failing to disclose, failing to tell, and otherwise deceiving KING AROGANT and the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court to continue to believe that the crime of felony criminal threats occurred on February 21, 2023, by them all, and each of them, recklessly committing under color of neglect to duty to aid and assist KING AROGANT with overturning his wrongful conviction after they were all electronically served on numerous occasions clear and convincing video recording evidence that no crime occurred on February 21, 2023, and were all electronically served clear and convincing audio recording evidence that KING AROGANT was wrongfully sentenced to California state prison on February 2, 2024 after racially animus and racially hostile state government witness Thomas Guzman-Sanchez testified falsely that KING AROGANT told him during a recorded telephone call on October 22, 2023 that he was going to ***"fuck him up"***, which was all done for the unlawful purpose of recklessly violating the United States Constitution and recklessly violating KING AROGANT's Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights.

GEORGE GASCÓN, DOES 1-10, AND HIS CO-CONSPIRATORS CONTINUED TO WILLFULLY, RECKLESSLY, KNOWINGLY, MALICIOUSLY, WANTONLY, CARELESSLY, AND CALLOUSLY CONTINUED TO VIOLATE KING AROGANT's FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT DUE PROCESS CLAUSE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS PURSUANT TO *UNITED STATES V. BAGLEY* AND *BRADY V. MARYLAND* AFTER FEBRUARY 2, 2024

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

KING AROGANT hereby restates, realleges, reiterates, reincorporates, and incorporates by reference previous paragraphs of this Civil Law pleading.

On or about February 5, 2024, KING AROGANT left a voicemail requesting that Alhambra Deputy in charge Victor Manuel Rodriguez (SBN # 156150) provide to co-counsel Neil Opdahl-Lopez (SBN # 277596) a copy of George Gascón, Brian MARK Rosenberg, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl Mire, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators' phony and bogus LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 that were played, presented, and admitted at the evidentiary hearing on February 2, 2024.

On or about February 6, 2024, KING AROGANT left a voicemail requesting that Alhambra Deputy District Attorney Cindy Juhuyun Park (SBN # 271933) provide to co-counsel Neil Opdahl-Lopez (SBN # 277596) a copy of George Gascón, Brian MARK Rosenberg, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl Mire, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators' phony and bogus LASD-created GL1010586 and GL010587 that were played, presented, and admitted at the evidentiary hearing of February 2, 2024.

On or about February 7, 2024, co-counsel Neil Opdahl-Lopez emailed rogue and dishonest County of Los Angeles Deputy District Attorney Brian MARK Rosenberg requesting that he provide him with a copy of George Gascón, Brian MARK Rosenberg, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl Mire, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators' phony and bogus LASD-created GoPro video

recordings GL1010586 and GL010587 that were played, presented, and admitted at the evidentiary hearing of February 2, 2024. Brian MARK Rosenberg replied to Neil Opdahl-Lopez that he was no longer handling the case. This is true, but it is also true that Brian MARK Rosenberg is the only Deputy District Attorney in the County of Los Angeles who had and still has a copy of George Gascón, Brian MARK Rosenberg, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl Mire, DOES 1-10 and their co-conspirators' phony and bogus LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL1010586 and GL010587 that were played, presented and admitted at the evidentiary hearing of February 2, 2024 that were being electronically stored on his laptop computer.

On February 16, 2024, KING AROGANT contacted the Office of the new Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office Family Violence Division Deputy in Charge, Janice Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280)**, by dialing 213-257-2185 using a 3-way conference call made by his fiancée and lady of past twelve years, Alison Helen Fairchild. KING AROGANT left a message with Janis Eve Johnson's legal secretary Estra Malda and requested that Janis Johnson contact co-counsel Neil Opdahl-Lopez at 626-429-6578 about providing him with a copy of George Gascón, Brian MARK Rosenberg, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl Mire, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators' phony and bogus LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL1010586 and GL010587 that were played, presented and admitted at the evidentiary hearing of February 2, 2024. Janis Johnson is the immediate supervisor of Brian Mark Rosenberg, who specializes in prosecuting family law violence offenses.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344


On or about February 16, 2024, KING AROGANT called the County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office's Alhambra Deputy in Charge Victor Manuel Rodriguez by dialing (626)308-5302 and requested to be transferred to his voicemail. KING AROGANT left a voicemail for Victor Manuel Rodriguez requesting that he provide co-counsel Neil Opdahl-Lopez with a copy of George Gascón, Brian MARK Rosenberg, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl Mire, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators' phony and bogus LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL1010586 and GL010587 that were played, presented and admitted at the evidentiary hearing of February 2, 2024, that depict, display, and portray KING AROGANT shutting off his GoPro camera while he was standing inside Jennifer Hutton Heger's home on February 21, 2023. Also on February 16, 2024, KING AROGANT spoke to a corrupt criminal prosecutor, Diana Maria Teran (SBN # 138936) **(trusted advisor George Gascon later charged with a felony)**, after the offices of Sharon Lee Woo transferred KING AROGANT's call to her. KING AROGANT demanded that Diana Teran turn over and disclose a copy of George Gascón, Brian MARK Rosenberg, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl Mire, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators' phony and bogus LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL1010586 and GL010587 that were played, presented and admitted at the evidentiary hearing of February 2, 2024, that depicted, displayed, and portrayed KING AROGANT shutting off his GoPro camera while he was standing inside Jennifer Hutton Heger's home on February 21, 2023 wearing different clothing than he had on February 21, 2023.

Between February 5, 2024, and February 23, 2024, both KING AROGANT and

co-counsel Neil Opdahl-Lopez requested and demanded by both email and telephone that George Gascón, Brian MARK Rosenberg, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl Mire, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators turn over copies of their phony and bogus LASD-created GoPro recordings GL01586 and GL01587 that were recklessly shown, presented, and admitted into the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court on April 4th, 2023, April 5th, 2023, and February 2, 2024. Yet, as of August 10, 2025, George Gascón (up until November 30, 2024 only), Brian MARK Rosenberg, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl Mire, and DOES 1-10 have all willfully, knowingly, recklessly, carelessly, wantonly, maliciously, deliberately and callously failed to do so from February 23, 2023 until August 10, 2025 and continuing on the this very day.

On February 28, 2024, KING AROGANT sent an email to Defendant George Gascon (ggascon@da.lacounty.gov), Cindy J. Park (cpark@da.lacounty.gov), Victor Manuel Rodriguez (vrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov), Sharon Lee Woo (swoo@da.lacounty.gov), and James William Garrison (jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov), Stephanie Pearl Mire (smire@da.lacounty.gov), Ronald Millard Geltz (rgeltz@da.lacounty.gov), Arisa Mattson (amattson@da.lacounty.gov), Diana Maria Teran (SBN # 138936) (dteran@da.lacounty.gov) (charged with 11 felony counts by Defendant Robert Andres Bonta in April 2024), Ricardo Daniel Garcia (County of Los Angeles Public Defendant, SBN # 178111), and over seventy-five other County of Los Angeles employed California State Bar licensed attorneys. In his detailed email KING AROGANT requested that George Gascón, Brian MARK Rosenberg, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl Mire, DOES 1-10, and their

co-conspirators turn over copies of their phony and bogus LASD-created GoPro recordings GL01586 and GL01587 that were recklessly shown, presented, and admitted into the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court on April 4th, 2023, April 5th, 2023, and February 2, 2024. Up until his last day in office on November 30, 2024, former County of Los Angeles District Attorney George Gascón willfully, knowingly, recklessly, carelessly, wantonly, maliciously, deliberately, and callously failed to respond to KING AROGANT's email that was sent to him on February 28, 2024. See now a true and correct copy of KING AROGANT's February 28, 2024, email attached to KING AROGANT's Verified Appendix of Exhibits, and also see a true and correct image of part of the first page of KING AROGANT's February 28, 2024, email below herein


mail.google.com/mail/u/3/#search/february+/FMfcgzGxRxCPHMHSLWbvLFrwgBPBSCpq
Gmail
february
Compose
Inbox
Starred
Snoozed
Sent
Drafts
More
Labels
DATING.COM
LAW LIBRARY ORD...
MAIL UNDELIVERY
MAILER DAEMO...
SMM WORLD
SPECTRUM
UBER
POST-CONVICTION DEMAND FOR THE PEOPLE AND LASD TO TURN OVER AND DISCLOSE PHONY LASD-CREATED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GL010586 AND GL010587 (AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, et al v. ricardo garcia, et al)
Arogant Hollywood
AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq
Greatest Self-Represented Litigant in
Civil and Criminal Law History
3-0 on Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted
Spirit of Esquire
people of the state of california,
Prosecutor,
v
AROGANT HOLLYWOOD,
Case No. GA114055
Arogant Hollywood's Emailed Request for Discovery for FELONY AND BOGUS LASD-CREATED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDS GL010586 AND GL010587
Date of Hearing: February tbd,
8:43 AM
11/15/2024

On or about March 5, 2024 (just a few days after KING AROGANT had arrived at CDCR North Kern State Prison, acting on behalf of electronically served George Gascón, Line Operations Acting Assistant District Attorney Ronald Millard Geltz (SBN # 126699), and Branch and Area Operations Region II Acting Director (a position previously held by Ronald Geltz, whom this director oversees Alhambra Field Office) Arisa Mattson **(SBN # 195578)**. County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office's Family Violence Division head deputy Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280)** personally served KING AROGANT, a letter that stated that all GoPro video recordings had been previously turned over and that if KING AROGANT continued to contact the Alhambra Superior Court and her Family Violence Division, he would be criminally prosecuted for violating bogus and frivolous restraining order granted by State of California judicial officer Timothy Martella that prohibited KING AROGANT from indirectly contacting rogue and dishonest County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutor Brian MARK Rosenberg.

The only motivation behind Brian MARK Rosenberg's restraining order against KING AROGANT was to prevent him from emailing him and calling his office requesting that he turn over numerous pieces and articles of both exculpatory and impeaching evidence, including but not limited to phony and bogus LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587. Brian MARK Rosenberg's granted civil harassment restraining order was so frivolous that it requested relief based solely on evidence that KING AROGANT sent an email to Brian MARK Rosenberg in mid-November 2023 stating that he should go to federal prison for willfully, recklessly, maliciously, and wantonly violating California Government Code § 6200.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

On April 17, 2024, KING AROGANT was released from the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation, North Kern State Prison, and was ordered to report to his Pomona, California, felony parole supervision office within 48 hours of his release.

On April 19, 2024, KING AROGANT contacted the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, court-appointed private investigator Joel Wyenn and requested that he turn over and provide KING AROGANT with a copy of all audio recording and video recording evidence that he was given by Brian MARK Rosenberg. Joel Wyenn willfully, knowingly, recklessly, maliciously, carelessly, deliberately, and callously refused to give KING AROGANT any evidence from the frivolous felony case GA114055 by telling KING AROGANT that he had given all the evidence he received back to Brian MARK Rosenberg.

On May 6, 2024, KING AROGANT placed a recorded telephone call to during the time third-in-command Assistant District Attorney James William Garrison (SBN # 157070) at 9:45 AM by dialing (213) 257-3198, which was the business office telephone number provided for the public by James Garrison on his State Bar of California attorney profile located on the world-web here:

https://apps.calbar.ca.gov/attorney/Licensee/Detail/157070

James William Garrison did not answer the telephone, so KING AROGANT left him the following voicemail message:

KING AROGANT: ***I am not in jail anymore, you racist white devil. Listen , mister James William Garrison. You know that video is fake. In your video, I'm wearing, and you're on a recorded line, this is gonna get played for the court. In your video, I'm wearing a tank top. In the real video. I have a long-sleeved shirt on. When she asked 911 what I was wearing. She confirmed I had a long-sleeved shirt on. In the real video, I have a long-sleeved shirt on. In the police videos, I have a long-sleeved shirt on. Therefore, your video is false. There is no way I changed my clothes. Alright. You need to turn over that phony video. Under United States v. Bagley. Okay, And United States v. Augurs. Supreme Court cases. As well as California Penal Code Section 1054.1. And don't forget for the racial justice motion racial justice motion. California Penal Code Section 745. For the upcoming racial justice motion and for the appeal. You need to turn over that video.***

On May 6, 2024, KING AROGANT placed a recorded telephone call to during the time second-in-command Deputy District Attorney Sharon Lee Woo **(SBN # 148139)** at 9:50 AM by dialing (213) 257-2928, which was the business office telephone number provided for the public by Sharon Lee Woo on her State Bar of California attorney profile located on the world-web here:

https://apps.calbar.ca.gov/attorney/Licensee/Detail/148139

Sharon Lee Woo did not answer the telephone, so KING AROGANT left her a voicemail demanding that she turn over phony and bogus LASD-created GoPro video recordings. KING AROGANT told Sharon Lee Woo that the People's GoPro video recordings showed him wearing a tank top. KING AROGANT told Sharon Woo that she never turned over phony

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

GoPro video recordings to expert witness Thomas Leo Guzman-Sanchez. KING AROGANT told Sharon Woo that he was seen in police video recordings wearing a long-sleeved shirt. KING AROGANT told Sharon Woo that Jennifer Hutton-Heger told a 911 dispatcher that KING AROGANT was wearing a long-sleeved shirt. KING AROGANT informed Sharon Lee Woo that, under *Brady v. Maryland* and *United States v. Bagley*, the phony GoPro video recordings could be used to impeach Billy S. Khounthavong's false testimony that the phony GoPro videos GL0105086 and GL010587 originated from KING AROGANT's GoPro camera. KING AROGANT instructed Sharon Lee Woo to submit and turn over a copy of the phony GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 for his upcoming racial justice motion under California Penal Code § 745 and his motion under California Penal Code § 1473. KING AROGANT told Sharon Lee Woo that her failure to turn over phony GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 would result in litigation being filed against her in federal district court.

On May 6, 2024, KING AROGANT placed a recorded telephone call to the County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office Family Violence Division deputy in charge, Janis Eve Johnson (SBN # 175280), at 10:05 AM by dialing (213) 257-2185. KING AROGANT left a detailed voicemail for Janis Johnson to turn over phony and bogus LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587.

On June 10, 2024, KING AROGANT contacted the County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office Public Integrity Division by dialing (213) 974-3888. KING AROGANT complained to the Public Integrity Division, alleging that Janis Johnson and George Gascón had failed to disclose and turn over a copy of a phony GoPro video recording GL010586 that

showed KING AROGANT wearing different clothing than he had on February 21, 2023. Further, KING AROGANT complained to a Public Integrity Division employee that George Gascón and Janis Even Johnson's criminal prosecutor Brian MARK Rosenberg, willfully, knowingly, recklessly, carelessly, wantonly, maliciously, deliberately, and callously failed to turn over phony GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 to racially animus expert witness Thomas Leo Guzman-Sanchez who KING AROGANT got awarded nearly sixty total hours to examine the phony GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 and compare them to the real GoPro video recordings, specifically GX010586. KING AROGANT told Public Integrity Division that Brian MARK Rosenberg willfully, knowingly, recklessly, carelessly, wantonly, maliciously, deliberately, and callously went back into court after KING AROGANT had obtained the real GoPro video recordings (including exonerating GX010586) and again brought the phony GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 and again never turns over the phony and bogus LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587. KING AROGANT told the Public Integrity Division that it was a phony video because in the video, he was wearing a tank top, and in the real GoPro video recording, KING AROGANT was wearing a coat. KING AROGANT informed a Public Integrity Division employee that he wished to file a complaint against Brian MARK Rosenberg. KING AROGANT also complained that Brian MARK Rosenberg had obtained a frivolous restraining order so that KING AROGANT could not contact him and request phony GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587. As of November 30, 2024, George Gascón and his office willfully, knowingly, recklessly, carelessly, wantonly, maliciously, deliberately, and callously failed to discipline Brian MARK Rosenberg for his illegal actions in the frivolous Superior Court of California felony case GA114055.

On June 10, 2024, KING AROGANT placed a recorded telephone call to the County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office, Alhambra Field Office, and requested to speak to Alhambra Field Office head deputy district attorney Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)** at 8:50 AM by dialing (626) 308-5302. The recorded call conversation between KING AROGANT and Victor Manuel Rodriguez's secretary, Cristina, and then between KING AROGANT and Victor Manuel Rodriguez went like this, as transcribed by KING AROGANT:

CHRISTINA: This is Christina.

KING AROGANT: Christina, you an attorney?

CHRISTINA: No, no, this is Christina, I'm the secretary. How can I help you?

KING AROGANT: Oh, oh you the secretary for Victor?

CHRISTINA: I'm sorry?

KING AROGANT: You're the secretary for Victor?

CHRISTINA: Ah, his secretary V is out today. I am the backup. [P SEP] What can I do for you?

KING AROGANT: All rights, so look. Ah, I was calling because Rosenberg, and Victor to turn over these GoPro videos. So there's some GoPro videos, and they never turned over these GoPro videos. And I know Janet Johnson's trying to say in her papers that they turned over the videos, but I just reviewed the emails with the Investigator, and there was only an order for them to turn over the real videos; even though I asked the judge to have them turn over the phony videos, they were never turned over. And so there's a policy I'm I'm going to get it for you. There's a policy the DA policy uh discovery compliance system manual. Okay. It was revised in 2022. This required Rosenberg to turn over the videos, and also, there's another uh legal legal policies manual, chapter 14, that requires them to turn over the video. So like even if you their saying these, you guys turn over the videos, before, what is the big deal about turning over the video again? Like, I never received the video. The investigators never received the video. The expert witness who was hired, you know, to to compare the real video to the phony video, he also never received a video. So there is no evidence that anybody received the video. They need to turn over the video.

CHRISTINA: So, is this on a pending case, or is this on a case that's already been completed?

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

KING AROGANT: Yeah, I mean. Well you can call it completed. I don’t call it completed. I've already been convicted, but I'm moving to overturn. I'm I'm filing a motion to demand the people to turn over this video and I also filing a racial justice motion. So I am I sent an email to the DA over the weekend that shows that I shouldn't have been sent to prison and that already under 745; this case could be overturned because the the expert witness lied on the stand and said I threatened him. But I just sent you guys the auto recording and and transcript that shows on that call I never threatened him. The call that he testified to and he said that he was going to report me that was sent to the DA that shows I never threatened. So, already, we have a racial justice motion just on that. But I want the phony videos. I have a right to have those videos in the video. I'm wearing a tank top, but I sent you guys still images from the real video that shows me wearing a coat.

CRISTINA: So I mean, basically, you just want the videos, and that's all that you're calling for?

KING AROGANT: That’s all. I want the phony videos, GL010586.

CRISTINA: What's the phony video? What's the phony video? What do you mean by phony?

KING AROGANT: It's phony. In the video that he showed to the court I'm wearing a tank top. On the day of the alleged crime I had a coat on. The lady even told 911 that I had a long-sleeve shirt on. And I sent you guys an email that shows a reflection in the real videos.

CHRISTINA: Okay, Arogant, you need to calm down because I'm just a secretary. I'm receiving the message and you you your anger is towards the wrong person and this is why nobody wants to help you because you get very aggressive you know and I'm the only one that was willing to talk to you out of all the secretaries here, okay and I'm trying to be cool with you and I'm trying to get you what you need, but you need to calm down because no one's going to help you with that attitude. Okay.

KING AROGANT I'm not doing anything wrong.

CHRISTINA: I'm not saying you're doing anything wrong but you're coming at me kind of aggressive. See what I'm saying? And I don't want to end this call so basically I will, Victor's not here. I will send him a message and let him know that you want the phony videos.

KING AROGANT: Yeah, GL010586 and GL010587

CHRISTINA: Hold on. Say that again so I can read it write it down. GO?

KING AROGANT: Capital G and then L and then zero one zero five eight six and GL zero one zero five eight seven five eight seven Okay.

CHRISTINA: And what is a good callback number for you?

KING AROGANT: Three two three two four zero two four zero yeah zero six four three zero six point three okay

CHRISTINA: Okay, you know what, Victor just came in. Let me transfer you over. Give me one second okay.

KING AROGANT: He won't talk to me. Just take a message for me please.

CHRISTINA: No, I'm a transfer you. Give me one second, okay?

KING AROGANT: He won't talk to. I know Victor.

CHRISTINA: He just came and told me to transfer the call.

KING AROGANT: Okay.

CHRISTINA: Okay, one second.

VICTOR MANUEL RODRIGUEZ: Good morning.

KING AROGANT: What's your name?

VICTOR MANUEL RODRIGUEZ: Victor Rodriguez.

KING AROGANT: Yeah, so there's uh the the phony videos that were shown at the prelim and on uh February 2nd, those videos and never been turned over to me.

VICTOR MANUEL RODRIGUEZ: You gave us a copy of the video and we introduced that video into evidence and it in the uh the clerk sent it to the, ah, exhibits clerk

KING AROGANT: No, no I'm not talking about that video. I'm talking about the one LASD created. The video you showed to the judge on February 2, 2024 I'm wearing a tank top. Have you seen that video again? I'm wearing a tank top.

VICTOR MANUEL RODRIGUEZ: I don't know but you're going to have to go court for whatever it is that you want.

KING AROGANT: No, under the LA County policy manual. Right here. The discovery compliance system manual for DA's office. You are required to turn it over. You are also required to turn it over under 1054. You never turned that video over sir.

VICTOR MANUEL RODRIGUEZ: You're going to have to go to court, you have to file motion because everything has been turned over to you.

KING AROGANT: No, you never turned over the video. Who did you turn it over to?

VICTOR MANUEL RODRIGUEZ: I'm not going to sit here and argue with you.

KING AROGANT: You showed the judge over and over you never gave it to me. You never even gave it to the expert witness. The expert witness even put it in his declaration that he never received that phony video.

KING AROGANT Okay, you never turned it over. And under Chapter 14 of the Legal Policies of the DA's Office. Disclosure of exculpatory and impeaching evidence you're supposed to turn it over.

VICTOR MANUEL RODRIGUEZ: That that evidence doesn't exist only incriminating evidence so if you have something else you should go to court.

KING AROGANT: There's no incriminating. You're incriminating me with a phony video. I'm not even wearing the same clothes.

VICTOR MANUEL RODRIGUEZ: The judge made a determination.

KING AROGANT: I don’t care what the judge did. I have right to have that video for my appeal. I have a right to have that video for my racial justice motion. You know my racial justice motion?

VICTOR MANUEL RODRIGUEZ: We're not giving anything else to you, sir. You're have to go to court to get whatever it is that you seek and we’ll

KING AROGANT: You’re going to give me that video. I don’t care if I have to go to federal court, and you guys are going to go to jail when I get it. And I know you're going to try to destroy it. I'm going to get that video. I'm going to get that video and I'm going to press you every day until I get that video I'm making a complaint downtown. I'm making complaint downtown for Rosenberg so let's see

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

KING AROGANT:

what happens when a complaint goes to Rosenberg if I don't get that video. You guys got email you know you have that video. You just don't want to give it to me because you know you falsified evidence. I'm not even wearing the same clothes.

VICTOR MANUEL RODRIGUEZ: Sir, you should stop calling here.

KING AROGANT: In that video why am I wearing a tank top? In that video why am I wearing a tank top?

VICTOR MANUEL RODRIGUEZ: I am not going to argue about any video

KING AROGANT: Why don't you answer the question. Why am I wearing a tank top in your phony video? Why am I wearing a tank top in your phony video? The answer is it wasn't recorded on the 21st sir. Did you see the images I sent you? I have a coat on in the real video. You're in trouble. I have a coat on in the real video. But you are saying my videos are fake and yours are real right? Why am I not wearing the same clothes huh? Why am I wearing coat in the real video? Why in your video I got a tank top on? Why in your video you can see my whole body.

VICTOR MANUEL RODRIGUEZ: I'm I'm gonna hang up on you sir. I'm going to hang up on you because you're just yelling and we're getting nowhere. I've told you we're not turning anything

VICTOR MANUAL RODRIGUEZ : else over to you. Everything's been turned over. You had a 1054.

KING AROGANT: You never turned that video over.. Who did you turn it over to? Who did you turn it over to? Why don't you answer the question? You can't answer the question. Who did you turn the video over to? Both of my private investigators never got that video.

VICTOR MANUEL RODRIGUEZ; You know, Mr. Rosenberg handles this case. You know I only handle the post never received that video.

KING AROGANT Okay, both of the private investigators never received that video. The expert witness never received that video. So again, who did you turn it over?

VICTOR MANUEL RODRIGUEZ: You are going to need to go to court. You are going to need to file a motion.

KING AROGANT: I am going to court. I am going to court.

VICTOR MANUEL RODRIGUEZ: Okay, great. Well, all right. We'll deal with it in court.

KING AROGANT: Before I get there I'm going to make sure it is clear that I already asked you for the video and I told you under the DA policy. The legal policy manual you are supposed to turn over that video. And I'm gonna make a complaint against Rosenberg in downtown LA. The investigators are going to call me back And I guarantee you I'm going to get that video before we go to court.

VICTOR MANUEL RODRIGUEZ: You know you shouldn't come here. What? You can you want to say you want to send an investigator, that's fine, but you better not show up here to my office.

KING AROGANT: What?

VICTOR MANUEL RODRIGUEZ: You wanna send your investigator, that's fine. But you better not show up here to my office

KING AROGANT: I will show up to your office. What are you going to do? Have me arrested for your fake restraining order that should have never been issued? I'm slapping that this month. Your restraining order isn getting slapped. Yeah, I got six months to file my default. I'm slapping that this shit this month.. All right? That restraining order has no merit.

VICTOR MANUEL RODRIGUEZ: You better not come around here and threaten or harass anyone.

KING AROGANT: Man, you threaten me.

VICTOR MANUEL RODRIGUEZ: No, I'm just telling you not to come around.

KING AROGANT: You're a racist bro. You're a racist. You're a racist. You got something against me because I'm Black. Cause you know I did not break no law. You've seen all the real videos. You've seen the phony videos. You guys are going to go to jail at the end of the day. You're the criminal in this case.

VICTOR MANUEL RODRIGUEZ: All right. All right, okay. All right okay. I'm going to hang up. That's the end of this call, bye.

On October 14, 2024, at approximately 10:04 AM, KING AROGANT placed a recorded telephone call to County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office Alhambra Field Office and requested to speak to Alhambra Field Office head deputy district attorney Victor Manuel Rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)** at 8:50 AM by dialing (626) 308-5302 and requesting to be transferred to Victor Rodriguez. On the recorded telephone conversation, KING AROGANT again requested that Victor Manuel Rodriguez turn over and disclose to him a copy of the phony and bogus LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587. Victor Rodriguez told KING AROGANT that the phony GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 were admitted into evidence and given to the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles.

Alhambra Superior Court exhibit evidence clerk. Again, Victor Manuel Rodriguez refused to turn over and disclose a copy of the phony LASD GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 to KING AROGANT. The October 14, 2024, recorded telephone conversation between KING AROGANT and rogue County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutor Victor Manuel Rodriguez went exactly like the following:

KING AROGANT:	So let's talk about the audio recording with the expert witness. Did you review the audio recording?
VICTOR MANUEL RODRIGUEZ:	Sir, I'm not I'm not gonna talk about the specifics of the the case.
KING AROGANT:	I'm asking you if you reviewed the audio recording that I sent you.
VICTOR MANUEL RODRIGUEZ:	We have no, I'm not gonna be talking. You're probably. You don't have permission to record me. That would be a crime right now.

KING AROGANT: Okay, well, then charge me, because this this call is always gonna be recording.

VICTOR MANUEL RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Well, like I said.

KING AROGANT: You sitting up here and saying I'm calling up here threatening people, of course I'm gonna record. You accuse me of threatening how many employees?

VICTOR MANUEL RODRIGUEZ: Calling my office, making threats

KING AROGANT: I'm not making no threats. You're getting sued. Gascón is getting sued before the election, my word. My word. So this is the final time I'm calling you to tell you that you need to cease and desist from violating my rights. You need to turn over that GoPro video, and under rule 3.8, you need to overturn my conviction because you got an audio recording that I didn't threaten the expert. Did you not get an audio recording that shows…I'm heard not threatening the expert?

VICTOR MANUEL RODRIGUEZ: I'm not gonna…

KING AROGANT: All right, well, argue that in federal court, but a cease and desist letter is getting served on you. A cease and desist letter get served on.

VICTOR MANUEL RODRIGUEZ All right.

KING AROGANT: Okay.

VICTOR MANUEL RODRIGUEZ: Okay, bye.

The above clear and convincing evidence proves by clear and convincing evidence that George Gascón, Robert G. Luna, Brian MARK Rosenberg, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl Mire, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., Jeffrey D. Macomber. Jason D. Johnson, Jennifer Barretto, Tammatha Foss, Christopher Chambers, Ronald Broomfield, Jennifer Benavidez, Michael Villalobos, Suzette Clover, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators willfully, knowingly, carelessly, recklessly, maliciously, wantonly, deliberately, and callously committed under color law FRAUD, under color of law DECEIT, under color NEGLECT TO DUTY AID AND ASSIST KING AROGANT with overturning his wrongful conviction, under color Due Process Clause constitutional violations, and reckless violations of the United States Constitution. George Gascón, the office of George Gascón, and his County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

involved with frivolous Superior Court of California case GA114055, and DOES 1-10's illegal acts, actions, misconduct, misdoings, misdeeds, and transgressions alleged herein and alleged throughout many paragraphs, disqualify George Gascón, Robert G. Luna, Brian MARK Rosenberg, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl Mire, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., Jeffrey D. Macomber. Jason D. Johnson, Jennifer Barretto, Tammatha Foss, Christopher Chambers, Ronald Broomfield, Jennifer Benavidez, Michael Villalobos, Suzette Clover, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators from obtaining any type, shape, or form of judicial, electoral, or prosecutorial immunity.

On November 28, 2023, KING AROGANT emailed an MP4-formatted electronic copy of the uninterrupted and continuous 14-minute REAL GoPro video recording that proved his factual innocence by clear and convincing evidence to Ned Harlan (ned.harlan@doj.ca.gov, Nishdeep Singh (nishdeep.singh@doj.ca.gov nishdeep.singh@doj.ca.gov), Robert Bonta (robert.bonta@doj.ca.gov), OPSChiefsOffice@doj.ca.gov, Peter Halloran (peter.halloran@doj.ca.gov) , Alisha Carlile (alisha.carlile@doj.ca.gov), Vincent Bonotto (vincent.bonotto@doj.ca.gov), Glenn Prudent (glenn.prudent@doj.ca.gov), Daniel Olivas (daniel.olivas@doj.ca.gov), Giam Nguyen (giam.nguyen@doj.ca.gov), Chad Stegman (chad.stegman@doj.ca.gov) Stephen Lew (stephen.lew@doj.ca.gov), Christopher Findley (christopher.findley@doj.ca.gov), Darrell Warren Spence (darrell.spence@doj.ca.gov), and many other State of California-employed law enforcement attorneys. The real GoPro video recording was also electronically served upon George Gascon (ggascon@da.lacounty.gov), Sharon Lee Woo (swoo@da.lacounty.gov), James William Garrison (jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov), Stephanie Pearl Mire (smire@da.lacounty.gov), Victor Manuel Rodriguez (vrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov), Ronald Millard Geltz (rgeltz@da.lacounty.gov), Needra Jenkins (njenkins@counsel.lacounty.gov), Nicole Davis Tinkham (ndavis-tinkham@counsel.lacounty.gov), Judy Welch Whitehurst (jwhitehurst@counsel.lacounty.gov) and over seventy-five other County of Los Angeles-employed criminal prosecutors and members of the Los Angeles County Office of Counsel. The real 14-minute GoPro video recording was also emailed to RACIST and LYING State of California, Los Angeles Superior Court, expert witness Thomas Leo Guzman-Sanchez. (tgsvrimuzic@dancemaster.com, r@danmaster.com, and godaddy@dancemaster.com. As of August 19, 2025 all the above emailed State of

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: **arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com**
Phone: 206.471.1344

California and the County of Los Angeles criminal and civil attorneys have willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, deliberately, and callously with under color of law, callous indifference to the federally protected constitutional civil rights of KING AROGANT recklessly failed to intervene based on Brian MARK Rosenberg's actions and misconduct of framing KING AROGANT for a serious crime he did not commit by manipulating, altering and tampering with KING AROGANT's entirely exculpatory GOPRO video recording evidence.

As of August 16, 2025, all the above emailed State of California and the County of Los Angeles' criminal and civil attorneys have willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, knowingly, carelessly, deliberately, and callously with under color of law, callous indifference to the federally protected constitutional civil rights of KING AROGANT, recklessly failed to respond to KING AROGANT's email by electronic mail, facsimile, physical mail, or telephone, based on Brian MARK Rosenberg's actions and misconduct of framing KING AROGANT for a serious and violent crime he did not commit by manipulating, altering, and tampering with KING AROGANT's entirely exculpatory GoPro video recording evidence, in furtherance of all emailed State of California employees (including but not limited to Gavin Newsom) conspiring with County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office and the County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department to violate KING AROGANT's constitutional civil rights recklessly.

On November 28, 2023, in addition to KING AROGANT emailing the real GoPro video recording GX010586 to the above-stated criminal prosecutors and the State of California attorneys; these same criminal prosecutors and state attorneys also received

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

a web address link that redirected them to KING AROGANT's Instagram account, where they could view the real video recording that was previously uploaded to his Instagram account.

SEE BELOW THE INSTAGRAM VIDEO LINKS TO THE ORIGINAL FEBRUARY 21, 2023, GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS ATTACHED HERETO.

https://www.instagram.com/reel/Czs0EpgOsSm/?utm_source=ig_web_copy_link&igshid=MzRlODBiNWFlZA==

https://www.instagram.com/reel/Cz0sb6auaos/?utm_source=ig_web_copy_link&igshid=MzRlODBiNWFlZA==

On December 28, 2023, KING AROGANT filed a motion to withdraw his no-contest guilty plea.

On January 12, 2024, KING AROGANT filed a second motion to withdraw his No Contest guilty plea, and his Motion to Withdraw his No Contest guilty plea motion was filed on December 28, 2023, in the illegal and frivolous, violent, and serious felony case GA114055.

At the court hearing on January 12, 2024, lying and racially animus Superior of California, County of Los Angeles expert witness Thomas Guzman-Sanchez falsely testified and fabricated lies that during a telephone conversation with KING AROGANT on October 22, 2023, KING AROGANT made a criminal threat to him by telling him

"He was going to fuck him up." See below a true and correct copy of pages of the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, court reporter Adriana Montanez's January 12, 2024, court transcript, pages 16, 17, and 18 attached to KING AROGANT's Verified Appendix of Exhibits and herein below.

THE CALL.

Q. AND WHAT DID MR. HOLLYWOOD SAY TO YOU DURING THAT CALL?

A. I FOUND IT INTERESTING BECAUSE INITIALLY HE SAID, "WAIT A MINUTE. THIS CALL'S BEING RECORDED," AND IT'S LIKE HE WAS HAVING TROUBLE WITH THE APP. AND THEN HE CALLED ME BACK AND THEN HE PROCEEDED TO HARASS ME AND THREATEN ME.

Q. AND WHEN YOU SAY HARASSED AND THREATEN YOU, WHAT DID HE SAY TO YOU EXACTLY?

A. WELL, HE HAS SOME TYPE OF IDEA THAT THERE'S SOME TYPE OF CONSPIRACY REGARDING THE GO PRO VIDEO, AND HE FELT THE NEED TO TELL ME ALL THIS INFORMATION. AND THEN HE WENT ON TO WHEN I ASKED HIM, "WHY ARE YOU TELLING ME THIS BECAUSE I'M NO LONGER WITH THE CASE?" "I'M FILING A LAWSUIT," HE SAID, AND THAT I VIOLATED HIS 14TH AMENDMENT, AND "I'M GOING TO FUCK YOU UP. SO WATCH OUT." WHEN HE SAID THAT, THAT'S A THREAT. AND I SAID THAT, "I'M REPORTING YOU."

NOW, MIND YOU, HE HAD TEXTED ME A FEW TIMES PRIOR, AND I WANTED TO GIVE HIM THE BENEFIT OF THE DOUBT, YOU KNOW, JUST SAY LOOK, YOU KNOW, YOU'RE UPSET I UNDERSTAND. YOU HAVE YOUR DELUSION, THAT'S FINE. BUT WHEN IT WENT TO THIS LEVEL, THIS IS WHEN I FELT IT WAS NECESSARY TO GET THE COURT INVOLVED.

Q. SO PRIOR TO THIS PHONE CALL CONTAINING THE THREATS OR THE PHRASES YOU INTERPRETED AS THREATS, HAD HE CONTACTED YOU IN WRITING SEVERAL TIMES PRIOR?

A. CORRECT.

Q. AND WHEN HE CONTACTED YOU IN WRITING, DID YOU

1306 East Colorado Blvd. Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

PERCEIVE THAT AS HARASSMENT?

A. ABSOLUTELY.

Q. WHEN HE THREATENED TO FUCK YOU UP OVER THE PHONE, CAN YOU DESCRIBE THE TONE AND VOLUME OF HIS VOICE?

A. IT WAS AGGRESSIVE AND, YEAH, I WOULD SAY COMMANDING.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: THAT'S WHY HE NEVER FILED A POLICE REPORT.

Q. MS. PARK: WAS HE LOUD?

A. OH, DEFINITELY BOMBASTIC. BOMBASTIC WOULD BE A WORD.

Q. DID HE SOUND UPSET, ANGRY?

A. YES, EXTREMELY UPSET.

Q. HOW DID THAT MAKE YOU FEEL WHEN HE THREATENED YOU BY SAYING, "I'M GOING TO FUCK YOU UP, SO WATCH OUT," IN A VERY ANGRY AND AGGRESSIVE TONE?

A. THAT WOULD MAKE -- THAT MADE ME FEEL THREATENED, NERVOUS, IN FEAR FOR MY LIFE.

Q. ON OCTOBER 29TH, 2023, DID YOU ALSO RECEIVE MORE TEXT MESSAGES FROM MR. HOLLYWOOD?

A. YES, HE CONTINUED.

Q. AND IN THOSE TEXT MESSAGES, WHAT DID HE SAY?

A. WELL, ON THE 29TH, WHAT I FOUND HIM TO DO WAS COME FROM DIFFERENT PHONE NUMBERS AND TEXT ME. AND I AM THE TYPE OF PERSON, IF I DON'T KNOW WHERE A TEXT IS COMING FROM, I'LL SAY, "WHO IS THIS," OR WHATEVER. AND HIS RESPONSE WAS, "WHO DO YOU THINK, FOOL. ALSO LAWSUIT WILL BE FILED NEXT MONTH NAMING YOU DEFENDANT FOR VIOLATING MY 14TH AMENDMENT RIGHT." AND THEN HE WENT ON TO TALK ABOUT DETAILS WITH HIS -- WITH THE

91106
Email: arogantnollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

GO PRO.

Q. ON NOVEMBER 21ST, 2023, DID YOU RECEIVE FURTHER TEXT MESSAGES FROM MR. HOLLYWOOD USING YET ANOTHER CELLPHONE NUMBER?

A. YES, I THOUGHT THAT WAS INTERESTING BECAUSE HE ACTUALLY SENT ME A OF PHOTO OF A TEXT MESSAGE I FOUND THIS VERY STRANGE. IT STATED, "YOU'RE MENTALLY ILL. ALL YOU HAD TO DO WAS TELL HIM TO PULL UP ON THE DRIVEWAY." MIND YOU THAT WAS A DETAIL THAT WAS IN THE GO PRO.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: I WANT IT NOTED HE'S PRESENTING NEWLY DISCOVERED EVIDENCE ON THE STAND RIGHT NOW. LET THE RECORD --

THE COURT: IS THAT AN OBJECTION?

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: IT'S NOT AN OBJECTION. I WANT THE RECORD TO REFLECT.

THE COURT: THEN BE QUIET.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: I'M JUST TELLING YOU THAT'S WHAT HE DID.

THE WITNESS: THAT WAS THE DETAIL THAT I RECALL THAT WAS WITHIN THE CONTENTS OF THIS EVIDENCE THAT I EXAMINED. SO I JUST -- I FOUND IT STRANGELY DELUSIONAL. AND MIND YOU, I DON'T RESPOND -- I DIDN'T NORMALLY RESPOND TO THESE TEXTS.

Q. MS. PARK: AND DID HE CONTINUE TO SEND YOU TEXT MESSAGES FROM THAT NEW PHONE NUMBER ON NOVEMBER 21ST, 2023?

A. APPARENTLY SO, YES.

Q. WHAT DID HE SAY?

A. "I'M THE VIDEO EXPERT NOW. YOU WILL BE SUED. LAWYER UP, FOOL."

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPARTMENT 1 HON. MICHAEL VILLALOBOS, JUDGE

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, PLAINTIFF, VS. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, DEFENDANT.	NO. GA114055-01 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, ADRIANA MONTANEZ, OFFICIAL REPORTER OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING PAGES, 1 THROUGH 49, INCLUSIVE, COMPRISE A FULL, TRUE AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF THE PROCEEDINGS HELD IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER ON JANUARY 12, 2024,

DATED THIS 15TH DAY OF JANUARY, 2024

adrianamontanez , CSR NO. 12767
ADRIANA MONTANEZ
OFFICIAL REPORTER

1308 East Colorado Blvd. Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344


In his perjurious declaration filed in frivolous and RACIST Superior Court of California civil case 25STRO03574 in early June 2025. LYING, racially animus, racially hostile Thomas Guzman-Sanchez doubles down on his fabricated and false January 12, 2024, court testimony after KING AROGANT audio recording of him surfaced by being served over seventy-five County of Los Angeles and State of California employees. Thomas Guzman-Sanchez made false accusations under the penalty of perjury that KING AROGANT had altered the recorded telephone conversation between him and KING AROGANT. Of course, lying Sanchez would allege this since he is heard throughout the entire telephone conversation telling KING AROGANT that he was going to report KING AROGANT because KING AROGANT told him straight up he would sue him! Thomas Guzman-Sanchez's January 12, 2024, court testimony was just as false and fabricated as the court testimony of Jennifer Hutton-Heger on April 4, 2023, and April 5, 2023, and Brian MARK Rosenberg on February 2, 2024.

In July 2025, KING AROGANT uploaded a screenshot video recording of him accessing the original recorded telephone conversation between him and racially animus Thomas Guzman-Sanchez in October 2023, from its original source of recording, an IPHONE telephone application called Call Recorder. KING AROGANT's original Call Recorder application recorded telephone audio recording recorded on October 22, 2023, at approximately 2:14 PM proves by clear and convincing evidence that LYING and racially animus Thomas Guzman-Sanchez willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, deliberately, knowingly, carelessly and callously violated California Government Code § 6200 by testifying falsely that KING AROGANT had made a criminal threat to him on October 22, 2023 by KING AROGANT telling him ***"He would fuck him up."***

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

See now a true and correct copy of KING AROGANT's YouTube website video link of him accessing the original audio recording and playing it from the application on his IPHONE 14 Pro Max, and a screenshot of the application itself showing the October 22, 2023, telephone attached to the Verified Appendix of Exhibits and below herein.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AeAieM4UJ8E&t=9s


12:21
SOS
52
Recordings
2023-10-23 07:43 08:15
Call_Recording_22
2023-10-23 07:42 00:06
Call_Recording_21
2023-10-22 15:04 48:24
Call_Recording_20
2023-10-22 14:51 00:05
Call_Recording_19
2023-10-22 14:14 01:18
00:01
01:18
5
5
Call_Recording_18
2023-10-22 14:13 00:01
Call_Recording_17
2023-10-21 17:32 14:39
Call_Recording_16
Call
Voice
Recordings
Settings

The above evidence throughout all paragraphs written herein proves by clear and convincing evidence that the following occurred between February 21, 2023, and August 17, 2025:

1. The County of Los Angeles filed a frivolous workplace violence restraining order against KING AROGANT in June 2025, case 25STRO03574.
2. Racially Animus and Racially Hostile County of Los Angeles employees Brian MARK Rosenberg and Thomas Guzman-Sanchez testified falsely in a criminal Superior Court and filed numerous perjurious documents in numerous Superior Courts.
3. Billy Khounthavong, Thomas Guzman-Sanchez, and Brian MARK Rosenberg all willfully, recklessly, maliciously, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, deliberately, and callously violated California Government Code § 6200 by testifying falsely and by presenting false evidence in a Superior Court of California criminal courtroom.
4. Billy Khounthavong willfully, recklessly, maliciously, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, deliberately, and callously violated California Penal Code § 118.1 by filing a false police report in February 2023.
5. Government witnesses Thomas Guzman-Sanchez, Billy Khounthavong, Jennifer Hutton-Heger, and Brian MARK Rosenberg's misconduct throughout RACIST and unconstitutional felony criminal case are grounds for KING AROGANT's wrongful felony conviction being overturned pursuant to California Penal Code § 1473.6, subsection (a).

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

6. Thomas Guzman-Sanchez, Billy Khounthavong, and Brian MARK Rosenberg should all be charged by the United States District Court for the Central District of California for them all willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, and callously violated 18 U.S.C. § 242.

7. The clear and convincing evidence of Brian MARK Rosenberg, Thomas Guzman-Sanchez and Billy Khounthavong's false testimony, presentation of altered and false evidence, and concealment of exculpatory evidence, prove their racial hostility and racial animus towards KING AROGANT, and pursuant to California Penal Code § 745 KING AROGANT's wrongful conviction in RACIST Superior of California case GA114055 should have been overturned nearly two years ago.

ENTER CORRUPT PEACE OFFICER BENJAMIN YANG, RUBEN SORIANO, LYING AND RACIALLY ANIMUS CYNTHIA MICHIKO NAKAO (SBN # 137510), PART 2 OF RACIALLY ANIMUS BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG, THE CONTINUED ROLE OF FORMER COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY GEORGE GASCÒN, NEW ROLE OF CORRUPT AND RACIALLY ANIMUS COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY NATHAN JOSEPH HOCHMAN (SBN # 139137), DAWYN R. HARRISON (SBN # 173855), NICOLE AMBER DAVIS TINKHAM (SBN # 229592), JUDY WELCH WHITEHURST (SBN # 182855), WILLOW LEAH ROSENTHAL (SBN # 345751), SCOTT DOUGLAS DOMINGUEZ (SBN # 228843), ALEXANDER MICHAEL

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

KARKANEN (SBN # 162377), MARY ANNE MURRAY (SBN # 185518), VANESSA ANN EVANGELISTA (SBN 238474) LINDSEY PATRICE HORVATH, HILDA L. SOLIS, HOLLY J. MITCHELL, JANICE HAHN, KATHRYN BARGER, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, MICHAEL VILLALOBOS, MARK WINDHAM, TIMOTHY MARTELLA, DOES 1-10, AND THEIR CO-CONSPIRATORS CONTINUE TO VIOLATE KING AROGANT'S CONSTITUTIONAL CIVIL RIGHTS BY MOSTLY USING FRAUDULENT CIVIL HARASSMENT RESTRAINING ORDER CASES 23PDRO01713 AND 25STRO03574; AND NEW ILLEGAL AND PERJURIOUS CRIMINAL COMPLAINT IN CRIMINAL CASE 25CJCM02162-01.

1. Brian MARK Rosenberg's Frivolous Restraining Order

On November 17, 2023, Brian MARK Rosenberg filed a frivolous and perjurious petition for a civil harassment restraining order against KING AROGANT. Frivolous Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Pasadena, Family Law Division Case Number 23PDRO01713. In his civil harassment petition, County of Los Angeles employee Brian MARK Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501) filed the following perjurious and frivolous legal documents:**

Petition for Civil Harassment Restraining Order (Violence), Filed on November 17, 2023, 118 Pages


Superior Court of California Civil Case Cover Sheet, Filed on November 17, 2023, 7 Pages

Temporary Restraining Order (Form 110), Filed on November 17, 2023, 2023, 1 Page

Notice of Court Hearing (Form 109), Filed on November 17, 2023, 3 Pages
Superior Court of California, Minute Order, Filed by Pasadena Superior Court, Department S, On December 4, 2023. 1 Page
Request to Continue and Reissue TRO (Form 115), Filed by Pasadena Superior Court, Department S, On December 5, 2023, 2 Pages

While County of Los Angeles, Dawyn R. Harrison (SBN # 173855), Nicole Amber Davis-Tinkham (SBN # 229592), Brian MARK Rosenberg (SBN # 291501), Judy Welch Whitehurst (SBN # SBN # 182855, Vanessa Ann Evangelista (SBN # 238474), Cynthia Michiko Nakao (SBN # 137510), Nathan Joseph Hochman (SBN # 139137), Lindsey Patrice Horvath, Hilda L. Solis, Holly J. Mitchell, Janice Hahn, Kathryn Barger, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators all willfully, recklessly, maliciously, wantonly, carelessly, deliberately, carelessly, and callously filed just six pages of the one hundred and forty-two (142) pages Brian MARK Rosenberg filed in frivolous case **23PDRO01723**. **Proves by clear and convincing evidence that they all practice under color of law FRAUD, under color of law FRAUD UPON SUPERIOR COURT, under color of law DECEIT, and under color of law Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights violations of KING AROGANT;** County of Los Angeles, Dawyn R. Harrison (SBN # 173855), Nicole Amber Davis-Tinkham (SBN # 229592), Brian MARK Rosenberg (SBN # 291501), Judy Welch Whitehurst (SBN # 182855, Vanessa Ann Evangelista (SBN # 238474), Cynthia Michiko Nakao (SBN #

137510), Nathan Joseph Hochman (SBN # 139137), Lindsey Patrice Horvath, Hilda L. Solis, Holly J. Mitchell, Janice Hahn, Kathryn Barger, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators all knew that there was zero merit for Brian MARK Rosenberg's frivolous November 2023 Temporary Civil Harassment Restraining Order. See attached to KING AROGANT's Verified Appendix of Exhibits and below herein a true and correct copy of the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, docket records for the frivolous Superior Court of California case **23PDRO01713**


https://www.lacourt.ca.gov/paos/v2web3/DocumentImages/SelectDocuments
Public Access Online Services
Case Document Images
74°F
Haze
Search
10:09 AM
8/17/2025

See attached to KING AROGANT's Verified Appendix of Exhibits and below herein a true and correct copy of the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, of page one of the frivolous and illegal Civil Harassment Restraining Order After Hearing for the frivolous Superior Court of California case **23PDRO01713.**

IV. THE

CH-130 **Civil Harassment Restraining Order After Hearing**

Clerk stamps date here when form is filed.
FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles
DEC 20 2023
David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court
By: J. Guerrero, Deputy

Person in (1) must complete items (1), (2), and (3) only.

(1) **Protected Person**
a. Your Full Name: Brian Mark Rosenberg
Your Lawyer *(if you have one for this case)*
Name: _____ State Bar No.: _____
Firm Name: _____
b. Your Address *(If you have a lawyer, give your lawyer's information. If you do not have a lawyer and want to keep your home address private, you may give a different mailing address instead. You do not have to give telephone, fax, or email.)*
Address: 150 W Commonwealth Ave
City: Alhambra State: CA Zip: 91801
Telephone: 626 308 5437 Fax: _____
Email Address: brosenberg@da.lacounty.gov

Fill in court name and street address:
Superior Court of California, County of
LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT
NORTHEAST DISTRICT - PASADENA COURTHOUSE
300 EAST WALNUT STREET
PASADENA, CALIFORNIA 91101-1580

Court fills in case number when form is filed.
Case Number: 23PUR00173

(2) **Restrained Person**
(Give all the information you know. Information with a star (*) is required to add this order to the California police database. If age is unknown, give an estimate.)
*Full Name: Arogant Hollywood *Age: 44 Date of Birth: 12/9/78
*Race: Black Height: _____ Weight: _____ Hair Color: BLK Eye Color: BRO
*Gender: ☒ M ☐ F ☐ Nonbinary Home Address: Transient
City: _____ State: CA Zip: _____
Relationship to Protected Person: Defendant on case I Prosecute)

(3) ☐ **Additional Protected Persons**
In addition to the person named in (1), the following family or household members of that person are protected by the orders indicated below:

Full Name	Gender	Age	Lives with you?	How are they related to you?
_____	___	___	☐ Yes ☐ No	_____
_____	___	___	☐ Yes ☐ No	_____
_____	___	___	☐ Yes ☐ No	_____
_____	___	___	☐ Yes ☐ No	_____

☐ *Check here if there are additional persons. List them on an attached sheet of paper and write "Attachment 3—Additional Protected Persons" as a title. You may use form MC-025,* Attachment.

(4) **Expiration Date**
This Order, except for any award of lawyer's fees, expires at
Time: _____ ☐ a.m. ☐ p.m. ☒ midnight on *(date)*: 12/20/26
If no expiration date is written here, this Order expires three years from the date of issuance.

This is a Court Order.

Nevertheless, it does not matter because the nearly one hundred and forty-two pages of meritless garbage, Brian Mark Rosenberg ranted about did not support his claim that KING AROGANT had never engaged in any course of conduct with him. Moreover, his own words typed on his attachment page not only told the Superior Court the only things KING AROGANT had done to Brian MARK Rosenbeg, but Rosenberg's own attachment page told the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Pasadena Superior Court, Department S that KING AROGANT had only exercised his United States First Amendment constitutional civil rights, and very similar to frivolous case 25STRO03574, the allegations made by Brian MARK Rosenberg fall under California Code of Civil Procedure § 425.16.

On the attachment page of his frivolous Petition for Civil Harassment Restraining Order, Case 23PDRO01713, Brian MARK Rosenberg stated the following verbatim:

I received an email from Mr. Hollywood wherein he threatened to send me to federal prison and indicated that a "a series of emails arc coming soon! Complete with all the evidence that you broke federal law by abusing your power and authority as peace officers and public officers of the court! Get Ready!!!!!!". In addition to threatening this series of emails, Hollywood also told the detective on our case that "you had better run away as fast you can fool." This is the latest in a string of harassing communications that I believe are only aimed at intimidating and annoying me. This matter was reported to LASO. URN: 923-l l 730-0

See attached to KING AROGANT's Verified Appendix of Exhibits and below herein a true and correct copy of the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, attachment page for frivolous and illegal Petition for Civil Harassment Restraining Order for the frivolous Superior Court of California case **23PDRO01713**

MC-025

SHORT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER:
Attachment 7a(3)-Describe Harassment	25PDR001713

ATTACHMENT *(Number):* _____

(This Attachment may be used with any Judicial Council form.)

I received an email from Mr. Hollywood wherein he threatened to send me to federal prison and indicated that a "a series of emails are coming soon! Complete with all the evidence that you broke federal law by abusing your power and authority as peace officers and public officers of the court! Get Ready!!!!!!". In addition to threatening this series of emails, Hollywood also told the detective on our case that "you had better run away as fast you can fool." This is the latest in a string of harassing communications that I believe are only aimed at intimidating and annoying me. This matter was reported to LASD. URN: 923-11730-0522-217.

(If the item that this Attachment concerns is made under penalty of perjury, all statements in this Attachment are made under penalty of perjury.)

Page _____ of _____

(Add pages as required)

Form Approved for Optional Use
Judicial Council of California
MC-025 [Rev. July 1, 2009]

ATTACHMENT
to Judicial Council Form

www.courtinfo.ca.gov

Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, judicial officer Timothy Richard Martella **(SBN # 97709)** should be disciplined for recklessly violating California Code of Judicial Ethics, Canon 1: A Judge Shall Uphold the Integrity and Independence of the Judiciary, Canon 2: A Judge Shall Avoid Impropriety and the Appearance of Impropriety in all of The Judge's Activities, and Canon 3: A Judge Shall Perform The Duties of Judicial Office Impartially, Competently, and Diligently over and over again. The Honorable Martella knew he was breaking the law when he granted a frivolous civil harassment restraining order based on zero allegations of credible threats of violence, zero allegations of civil harassment, and only allegations that fall under dismissal pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 425.16. Moreover, California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.6, subsection (b), paragraph (1) partly provides the following:

Constitutionally protected activity is not included within the meaning of "course of conduct".

See also California Penal Code § 646.9 (f) (same)

For the purposes of this section, "course of conduct" means two or more acts occurring over a period of time, however short, evidencing a continuity of purpose. Constitutionally protected activity is not included within the meaning of "course of conduct".

See also California Penal Code § 646.9 (g) (same), which partly provides:

The present incarceration of a person making the threat shall not be a bar to prosecution under this section. Constitutionally protected activity is not included within the meaning of "credible threat."

Racially animus Superior Court of California judicial officer Timothy Richard Martella knew when he granted Brian MARK Rosenberg's restraining order that he was willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, carelessly, deliberately, knowingly, and callously violating California Code of Judicial Ethics, Canon 1: A Judge Shall Uphold the Integrity and Independence of the Judiciary, Canon 2: A Judge Shall Avoid Impropriety and the Appearance of Impropriety in all of The Judge's Activities, and Canon 3: A Judge Shall Perform The Duties of Judicial Office Impartially, Competently, and Diligently, California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.6, subsection (b), paragraph (1), numerous statutes of California Penal Code § 646.9, after reading Brian MARK Rosenberg's frivolous allegations of:

I received an email from Mr. Hollywood wherein he threatened to send me to federal prison and indicated that a "a series of emails arc coming soon! Complete with all the evidence that you broke federal law by abusing your power and authority as peace officers and public officers of the court! Get Ready!!!!!!". In addition to threatening this series of emails, Hollywood also told the detective on our case that "you had better run away as fast you can fool." This is the latest in a string of harassing communications that I believe are only aimed at intimidating and annoying me. This matter was reported to LASD. URN: 923-l l730-0522-217.

BREAKDOWN OF BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG'S FRIVOLOUS ALLEGATIONS AND LIABILITY TO HIS EMPLOYER, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES STATED HEREIN AND BELOW:

1. **I received an email from Mr. Hollywood wherein he threatened to send me to federal prison.**

Above frivolous civil harassment falls under the following California laws and statutes as protected speech and cannot be considered a course of conduct:

California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.6, subsection (b), paragraph (1)
California Penal Code § 646.9,
California Code of Civil Procedure § 425.16.
United States Constitution, First Amendment
***Virginia v. Black*, 538 U.S. 343, 123 S. Ct. 1536, 155 L.Ed.2d 535 (2003)**

> **KING AROGANT's written email statements telling Brian MARK Rosenberg that he should go to federal prison were all constitutionally protected written statements and could not be used as a course of conduct incident. Which proves by clear and convincing evidence that 23PDRO01713 was a frivolous and meritless civil harassment Superior Court of California case!**

2. and indicated that a "a series of emails arc coming soon! Complete with all the evidence that you broke federal law by abusing your power and authority as peace officers and public officers of the court! Get Ready!!!!!!".

Above frivolous civil harassment falls under the following California laws and statutes as protected speech and cannot be considered a course of conduct:

California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.6, subsection (b), paragraph (1)
California Penal Code § 646.9,
California Code of Civil Procedure § 425.16.
United States Constitution, First Amendment
***Virginia v. Black*, 538 U.S. 343, 123 S. Ct. 1536, 155 L.Ed.2d 535 (2003)**

KING AROGANT'S written statements to Brian MARK Rosenberg that a series of emails were coming complete with Complete with all the evidence that you broke federal law by abusing your power and authority as peace officers and public officers of the court! Get Ready!!!!!!", all falls under constitutionally protected activity and speech. KING AROGANT had a constitutional right to confront public servant Rosenberg about falsifying evidence in frivolous and unconstitutional criminal case GA114055. Since all KING AROGANT'S statements were related to a court case all his statements fell under California Code of Civil Procedure § 425.16 as well. Lastly, while Brian MARK Rosenberg has been very busy lying, fabricating

declarations, and creating false evidence in frivolous cases 23PDRO01713 and 25STRO03574 that KING AROGANT had sent him multiple emails in the past. The truth, reality, and evidence will show that KING AROGANT has only sent Brian MARK Rosenberg one single email since November 2023. Thus, series of emails means nothing in regard to the meaning of California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.6, subsection (b), because KING AROGANT saw what a racially animus and lying indecent human being Brian MARK Rosenberg was, and intelligently avoided ever sending him a second email at any time in the future.


3. Hollywood also told the detective on our case that "you had better run away as fast you can fool." This is the latest in a string of harassing communications that I believe are only aimed at intimidating and annoying me. This matter was reported to LASD. URN: 923-l l 730-0.

Above frivolous civil harassment falls under the following California laws and statutes as protected speech and cannot be considered a course of conduct:


California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.6, subsection (b), paragraph (1)
California Penal Code § 646.9,
California Code of Civil Procedure § 425.16.
United States Constitution, First Amendment
***Virginia v. Black*, 538 U.S. 343, 123 S. Ct. 1536, 155 L.Ed.2d 535 (2003)**

KING AROGANT's written statements were not directed towards lying Brian MARK Rosenberg, but rather and instead these written statements were directed only towards rogue County of Los Angeles peace officer Billy S. Khounthavong. Thus, for this reason alone, KING AROGANT's statements to Billy S. Khounthavong could not have been used against KING AROGANT in a civil harassment restraining order for racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg, since Khounthavong was not a party to the case, nor did he even write a declaration on behalf of lying Brian MARK Rosenberg. Moreover, KING AROGANT's statements included no credible threats of violence, and statement run as fast as you can was typed immediately after KING AROGANT told Billy Khounthavong he was going to federal prison for falsifying evidence in his criminal case. Thus, KING AROGANT's written statements were again protected by constitutionally protected activity with public employees. KING AROGANT's email was actually sent to at the time United States Department of Justice, Director of the Office for Access to Justice, Rachel Rossi, by emailing her at rachel.rossi@usdoj.gov. See now attached to KING AROGANT's Verified Appendix of Exhibits and herein below an image of KING AROGANT's November 13, 2023, email sent to Brian MARK Rosenberg, Billy Khounthavong, Sheriff Robert G. Luna and Rachel Rossi.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344



See now attached to KING AROGANT's Verified Appendix of Exhibits and herein below an image of KING AROGANT's November 13, 2023, email sent to Brian MARK Rosenberg, Billy Khounthavong, Sheriff Robert G. Luna, and Rachel Rossi near the end when KING AROGANT tells rogue peace officer Billy S. Khounthavong that he had better run away as fast as you can.


https://mail.google.com/mail/u/1/#search/run+as+fast+as+you+can/FMfcgzGwHfqVCfdZsNBHptsMfSbpTgzq
Gmail
run as fast as you can
Compose
Inbox
Starred
Snoozed
Sent
Drafts
More
Labels
Establishing the intent behind a Constitutional violation requires proof beyond a reasonable doubt that the law enforcement officer knew what he/she was doing was wrong and against the law and decided to do it anyway. Therefore, even if the government can prove beyond a reasonable doubt that an individual's Constitutional right was violated, § 242 requires that the government prove that the law enforcement officer intended to engage in the unlawful conduct and that he/she did so knowing that it was wrong or unlawful. See Screws v. United States, 325 U.S. 91, 101-107 (1945). Mistake, fear, misperception, or even poor judgment does not constitute willful conduct prosecutable under the statute.
WHILE LYING BILLY AND RACIST MARK ROSENBERG'S ACTIONS ARE A MISDEMEANOR UNDER FEDERAL LAW. THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE MUST WORK WITH THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE TO CRIMINALLY CHARGE ROSENBERG, LEWIS, AND KHOUNTHAVONG PURSUANT TO VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 6200, WHICH IS A FELONY AND CARRY STATE PRISON TIME!
Manual of Policy and Procedures / Volume 3 - Policy and Ethics / Chapter 7 - Communications
3-07/220.20 - California Department of Justice Admonishment
3-07/220.00 - Prohibitions
3-07/230.00 - Data Communications Management
As an employee of the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department, you may have access to confidential criminal record and/or Department of Motor Vehicles record information which is controlled by statute. This would include the information in the Los Angeles County Regional Identification System (LACRIS), including the facial recognition tools within LACRIS. Misuse of such information may adversely affect the individual's civil rights and violates the law. Penal Code section 502 prescribes the penalties relating to computer crimes. Penal Code sections 11105 and 13300 identify who has access to criminal history information and under what circumstances it may be released. Penal Code sections 11140-11144 and 13301-13305 prescribe penalties for misuse of criminal history information. Government Code section 6200 prescribes the felony penalties for misuse of public records and CLETS information. Penal Code sections 11142 and 13303 state:
"Any person authorized by law to receive a record or information obtained from a record who knowingly furnishes the record or information to a person not authorized by law to receive the record or information is guilty of a misdemeanor."
California Vehicle Code section 1808.45 prescribes the penalties relating to misuse of Department of Motor Vehicles record information.
Any employee who is responsible for such misuse is subject to disciplinary action. Violations of this law may also result in criminal and/or civil actions.
BILLY! YOU HAD BETTER RUN AWAY AS FAST YOU CAN FOOL!
MUN - ARS
Video highlight
Search
1:24 PM
8/17/2025

KING AROGANT'S written statement of "you had better run away as fast as you can was not addressed to lying and racially animus, Brian MARK Rosenberg. Moreover, these same written statements addressed to rogue County of Los Angeles peace officer Billy S. Khounthavong did not fall under a course of conduct, or credible threats of violence because the statement was made immediately after KING AROGANT TYPED THE FOLLOWING:

> **WHILE LYING BILLY AND RACIST MARK ROSENBERG'S ACTIONS ARE A MISDEMEANOR UNDER FEDERAL LAW. THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE MUST WORK WITH THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE TO CRIMINALLY CHARGE ROSENBERG, LEWIS, AND KHOUNTHAVONG PURSUANT TO VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 6200, WHICH IS A FELONY AND CARRY STATE PRISON TIME!**

Not only did KING AROGANT'S written statements protect him from prosecution in civil harassment restraining order proceeding pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.6, subsection (b), paragraph (1) **California Penal Code § 646.9, California Code of Civil Procedure § 425.16, and United States Constitution, First Amendment. But in addition, pursuant to United States Supreme Court case *Virginia v. Black*, 538 U.S. 343, 123 S. Ct. 1536, 155 L.Ed.2d 535 (2003); KING AROGANT'S sent to Brian MARK Rosenberg, while directed toward rogue County of Los Angeles peace officer Billy S. Khounthavong, did not constitute a "true threat". Since KING AROGANT'S written statement did not constitute a true**

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

threat, it could not be used as an allegation of credible threats of violence, and thus, Superior Court of California civil court judicial officer Timothy Richard Martella knew when he granted Brian MARK Rosenberg's frivolous civil harassment restraining order that he was willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, carelessly, deliberately, knowingly, and callously violating California Code of Judicial Ethics, Canon 1: A Judge Shall Uphold the Integrity and Independence of the Judiciary, Canon 2: A Judge Shall Avoid Impropriety and the Appearance of Impropriety in all of The Judge's Activities, and Canon 3: A Judge Shall Perform The Duties of Judicial Office Impartially, Competently, and Diligently, California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.6, subsection (b), paragraph (1), numerous statutes of California Penal Code § 646.9 after reading Brian MARK Rosenberg's frivolous allegations of:

Hollywood also told the detective on our case that "you had better run away as fast you can fool." This is the latest in a string of harassing communications that I believe are only aimed at intimidating and annoying me. This matter was reported to LASD. URN: 923-l l 730-0

Numerous State of California Commission on Judicial Performance complaints will be filed against Timothy Richard Martella, Suzette Clover, and Michael Villalobos in the coming months of 2025.

If that were not enough, there is substantial case law and evidence to support the argument that Brian Mark Rosenberg's frivolous November 2023 civil harassment restraining order should never have been granted. Here is one more food for thought. In criminal case People v. Felix, (2001), 92 Cal.App..4th 905, 112

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Cal.Rptr.2d 311, the Court of Appeal recited People v. Teal (1998) 61 Cal.App.4th 277, 281 [71 Cal.Rptr.2d 644] both appellate panels agreed that KING AROGANT'S written statements to Billy Khounthavong and Brian MARK Rosenberg were not "true threats" and thus, did not constitute credible threats of violence within the meaning of California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.6 subsection (b), paragraph (1).

Felix contends that he did not intend his statements to Levinger to be threats, they were only statements made as part of his therapy. Section 422 was not enacted to punish emotional outbursts, it targets only those who try to instill fear in others. (In re Ricky T. (2001) 87 Cal. App.4th 1132, 1141 [105 Cal.Rptr.2d 165].) "one may, in private, curse one's enemies, pummel pillows, and shout revenge for real or imagined wrongs-safe from section 422 sanction. ***Id.*** at **People v. Felix, (2001), 92 Cal.App..4th 905, 112 Cal.Rptr.2d 311**

In People v. Teal (1998), 61 Cal.App.4th 277, the language was clearer.

We agree that section 422 is not violated by mere angry utterances or ranting soliloquies, however violent. One may, in private, curse one's enemies, pummel pillows, and shout revenge for real or imagined wrongs— safe from section 422 sanction.

***Id*. at page 281.**

But we disagree section 422 requires certainty by the threatener that his threat has been received by the threatened person. As with murder, if one shoots with the intent to kill, it is murder whether or not the shooter knows his bullet has hit its mark. So too, if one broadcasts a threat intending to induce sustained fear, section 422 is violated if the threat is received and induces sustained fear — whether or not the threatener knows his threat has hit its mark.

***Id*. at page 281.**

Pursuant to People v. Teal (1998), People v. Felix (2001), and Virginia v. Black, none of KING AROGANT'S written statements reported by Brian MARK Rosenberg on November 17, 2023, constituted a credible threat of violence within the meaning of California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.6 subsection (b).

None of KING AROGANT'S November 13, 2023, emails broadcast a threat intended to induce sustained fear in Brian MARK Rosenberg. KING AROGANT statement that "Rosenberg was going to federal prison was not a credible threat of violence, but rather a written statement of freedom of speech. KING AROGANT'S written statement of "a series of emails is coming was not a broadcast of a threat intended to induce sustained fear in Brian MARK Rosenberg, and moreover, as of August 17, 2025, KING AROGANT has never sent Brian MARK Rosenberg a single second email after he sent him his original and only email on November 13, 2023, at approximately 1:19 AM

KING AROGANT'S written statements to the rogue County of Los Angeles peace officer Billy Khounthavong to run away as fast as you can, were immediately typed in the November 17, 2023, email after KING AROGANT had typed the following:

> **WHILE LYING BILLY AND RACIST MARK ROSENBERG'S ACTIONS ARE A MISDEMEANOR UNDER FEDERAL LAW. THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE MUST WORK WITH THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE TO CRIMINALLY CHARGE ROSENBERG, LEWIS, AND KHOUNTHAVONG PURSUANT TO VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 6200, WHICH IS A FELONY AND CARRY STATE PRISON TIME!**

Moreover, KING AROGANT's written statement of "run away as fast as you can" was not broadcast to Brian MARK Rosenberg; he was not the intended recipient of the alleged threat, and the intended recipient of the alleged threat, Billy S. Khounthavong chose to take zero action, and Khounthavong chose not even to write a declaration on behalf of Brian MARK Rosenberg. Thus, Brian MARK Rosenberg could not use an alleged threat he knew was directed to another person, and he even admitted was directed to another County of Los Angeles employee, as an allegation to boost his chances of getting his frivolous petition granted. The fact that the Honorable Timothy Martella granted Brian MARK Rosenberg's civil harassment restraining order based on an allegation that was not at all directed to Rosenberg, and in which Billy Khounthavong was not listed as a protected person, let alone a witness, proves by clear and convincing evidence that the Honorable Timothy Richard Martella willfully, recklessly,

maliciously, wantonly, deliberately, carelessly, and callously violated California Code of Judicial Ethics, Canon 1: A Judge Shall Uphold the Integrity and Independence of the Judiciary, Canon 2: A Judge Shall Avoid Impropriety and the Appearance of Impropriety in all of The Judge's Activities, and Canon 3: A Judge Shall Perform The Duties of Judicial Office Impartially, Competently, and Diligently, California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.6, subsection (b), paragraph (1), numerous statutes of California Penal Code § 646.9, California Constitution, the United States Constitution, KING AROGANT'S United States Fourth Amendment Search and Seizure Clause constitutional civil rights, and KING AROGANT'S United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights many times over when he at first granted Brian MARK Rosenberg's original frivolous temporary civil harassment restraining order on November 17, 2025, and again we granted his permanent frivolous and RACIST three-year injunction against KING AROGANT on December 20, 2023.

The County of Los Angeles, DOES 1-10, and all their co-conspirators are liable to KING AROGANT for civil rights violations because if KING AROGANT had of really made a credible threat of violence towards Brian MARK Rosenberg or Billy Khounthavong he would have been charged for making a felony criminal threat back in November 2023 and sent to prison for a long time a long time ago. In his attachment page, lying and racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg boasts that he filed a police report based on a written statement that was never even remotely addressed or direct towards him. **THIS WAS THE FILING OF A FRIVOLOUS AND FALSIFIED POLICE REPORT**. Brian MARK Rosenberg is neither the supervisor nor the lover of Billy Khounthavong; therefore, he could not legally file a police report on behalf of Billy

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Khounthavong. Moreover, Brian MARK Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)** did not file a frivolous police report with the County of Los Angeles, LASD. URN: 923-l l730-0522-217 to help Billy Khounthavong. Brian MARK Rosenberg filed the bogus police report in hopes of boasting his own frivolous civil harassment restraining order injunction. The above clear and convincing evidence proves that Brian MARK Rosenberg's civil harassment restraining order should have never been granted in the first place.

Timothy Richard Martella is hereby being put on notice that a judicial ethics complaint will be filed against him.

Racially animus and lying Brian MARK Rosenberg and Billy Khounthavong are hereby being put on notice that an official United States Department of Justice complaint will be filed against them, and the USDOJ's failure to criminal charge them with violations of 18 U.S.C. §§ 241 & 242 will result in United States government being claimed on its official form.


Racially animus and lying Brian MARK Rosenberg and Billy Khounthavong are hereby being put on notice that an official California Department of Justice complaint will be filed against them, and CALDOJ's failure to criminal charge them with violations of California Penal Code §§ 148.5 and 118.1 will result in State of California government being claimed on its official forms.


2. KING AROGANT Was Ignored And Treated Like An Unwanted Guess When He Attempted to Serve a GoPro Video Recording, Evidence Audio Recording Evidence, and A Copy of His Nearly Five-Hundred-Page Civil Rights Complaint Against the State of California and the County of Los Angeles

On October 30, 2024, at approximately 2:20 AM, KING AROGANT electronically served an electronic copy of GoPro video recordings that were returned to him on October 17, 2023, inside the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court on October 17, 2023 after George Gascón, Brian MARK Rosenberg, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators had willfully, recklessly, maliciously, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, and callously prevented KING AROGANT from obtaining copies of his original GoPro video recordings stored on his original GoPro Hero 10 Black Action Camera's 256 gigabyte SanDisk micro-sd card between February 23, 2023 and October 17, 2023. The original GoPro video recordings GX010586, GX010587, GX010588, GX010589, and GX010590 **(which were all meticulously split up by County of Los Angeles peace officers from GX010586) were electronically** served upon County of Los Angeles and State of California employees Dawyn R. Harrison (SBN # 173855), Nicole Amber Davis-Tinkham (SBN # 229592), Judy Welch Whitehurst (SBN # 182855), Vanessa Ann Evangelista (SBN # 238474), George Gascón, Robert G. Luna, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl Mire, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., Jeffrey D. Macomber. Jason D. Johnson,

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Jennifer Barretto, Tammatha Foss, Christopher Chambers, Ronald Broomfield, Jennifer Benavidez, Michael Villalobos, Suzette Clover, Lindsey Patrice Horvath, Hilda L. Solis, Holly J. Mitchell, Janice Hahn, Kathryn Barger, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators in a Dropbox internet website link that was downloaded on any Macintosh, Windows Personal Computer, Android Mobile Device, and iPhone Mobile Device. See below a true and correct copy of KING AROGANT's October 30, 2024 GoPro video recordings email sent to County of Los Angeles and State of California employees Dawyn R. Harrison (SBN # 173855), Nicole Amber Davis-Tinkham (SBN # 229592), Judy Welch Whitehurst (SBN # 182855), Vanessa Ann Evangelista (SBN # 238474), George Gascón, Robert G. Luna, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl Mire, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., Jeffrey D. Macomber. Jason D. Johnson, Jennifer Barretto, Tammatha Foss, Christopher Chambers, Ronald Broomfield, Jennifer Benavidez, Michael Villalobos, Suzette Clover, Lindsey Patrice Horvath, Hilda L. Solis, Holly J. Mitchell, Janice Hahn, Kathryn Barger, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators attached to KING AROGANT'S Verified Appendix of Exhibits and attached below herein.


https://mail.google.com/mail/u/1/#search/george+gascon/KtbxLvHLrgqwqNTkcmNMkszrbMRvxjmKvB
Gmail
george gascon
Compose
Inbox
Starred
Snoozed
Sent
Drafts
More
Labels
BRIEFING.COM
DATING.COM
LAW LIBRARY ORD...
MAIL UNDELIVE...
MAILER DAEMO...
SMM WORLD
SPECTRUM
TASTY TRADE
UBER
AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. jeffrey macomber, et al [ORIGINAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS STORED ON SANDISK 256 GB MICRO SD CARD THAT WAS RECKLESSLY MANIPULATED AND TAINTED BY COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES PEACE OFFICERS] (DROPBOX DOWNLOAD LINK) (ONLY VIDEO RECORDINGS DATED FOR FEBRUARY 21, 2023)
Arogant Hollywood
AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. jeffrey macomber, et al [ORIGINAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS STORED ON SANDISK 256 GB MICRO SD CARD THAT WAS RECKLESSLY MANIPULATED AND TAINTED BY COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES PEACE OFFICERS] (DROPBOX DOWNLOAD LINK) (ONLY VIDEO RECORDINGS DATED FOR FEBRUARY 21, 2023)
AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. jeffrey macomber, et al [ORIGINAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS STORED ON SANDISK 256 GB MICRO SD CARD THAT WAS RECKLESSLY MANIPULATED AND TAINTED BY COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES PEACE OFFICERS] (DROPBOX DOWNLOAD LINK) (ONLY VIDEO RECORDINGS DATED FOR FEBRUARY 21, 2023)
BEFORE THESE RACIST AND BIASED COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES PROSECUTORS AND GEORGE GASCON START ACCUSING ME OF MANIPULATING GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS. UNDER THIS, THE ENTIRE UPLOAD OF ORIGINAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS AND ALSO LASD-MANIPULATED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS WAS RECORDED ON MY COMPUTER SCREEN! OVER 8 HOURS OF PROCESS THUS FAR!
DROPBOX LINK TO DOWNLOAD 256 GB SANDISK MICRO SD CARD THAT CONTAINED ON IT ALL MANIPULATED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS EDITED BY COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT FRAUD AND CYBER CRIMES BUREAU'S SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA HIGH TECH TASK FORCE. THE BELOW DROPBOX LINK INCLUDES LASD-CREATED AND MANIPULATED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GL010586, GL010587, GL010588, GL010589, GL010590, GL010591, & GL010592, WHICH WERE MANIPULATED AND SPLIT UP FROM CONTINUOUS AND UNINTERRUPTED GL010586, WHICH COVERED OVER 18 MINUTES OF THE PHONY AND MAKE-BELIEVE CRIMINAL THREATS CONFRONTATION AND ALLEGED KNIFE INCIDENT!
63°F
Sunny
Search
7:33 AM
8/18/2025




Despite being personally served a copy of all GoPro video recordings that prove KING AROGANT'S factual innocence by clear and convincing evidence since October 30, 2024 continuing on until August 18, 2025, Dawyn R. Harrison (SBN # 173855), Nicole Amber Davis-Tinkham (SBN # 229592), Judy Welch Whitehurst (SBN # 182855), Vanessa Ann Evangelista (SBN # 238474), George Gascón, Robert G. Luna, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl

Mire, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., Jeffrey D. Macomber. Jason D. Johnson, Jennifer Barretto, Tammatha Foss, Christopher Chambers, Ronald Broomfield, Jennifer Benavidez, Michael Villalobos, Suzette Clover, Lindsey Patrice Horvath, Hilda L. Solis, Holly J. Mitchell, Janice Hahn, Kathryn Barger, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators have all willfully, recklessly, maliciously, carelessly, deliberately, knowingly, carelessly, deliberately, and callously failed to assist KING AROGANT with overturning his wrongful and unconstitutional felony conviction and racially animus California State Bar attorneys Dawyn R. Harrison (SBN # 173855), Nicole Amber Davis-Tinkham (SBN # 229592), Judy Welch Whitehurst (SBN # 182855), Vanessa Ann Evangelista (SBN # 238474), George Gascón, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl Mire, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., Michael Villalobos, Suzette Clover, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators have all willfully, recklessly, maliciously, carelessly, deliberately, knowingly, carelessly, deliberately, and callously failed to assist KING AROGANT with overturning his wrongful and unconstitutional felony conviction pursuant to their duty to do so under State Bar of California Rules of Professional Conduct Rule 3.8, California Business and Professions Code § 6068, and many other state and federal laws, they all recklessly violated the United States Constitution and KING AROGANT'S Fourth and Fourteenth Amendment constitutional civil rights.


Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

On October 30, 2024, at approximately 3:55 AM, KING AROGANT electronically served an electronic copy of GoPro video recording GX010586 that was returned to him on October 17, 2023, inside the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court on October 17, 2023 after George Gascón, Brian MARK Rosenberg, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators had willfully, recklessly, maliciously, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, and callously prevented KING AROGANT from obtaining copies of his original GoPro video recordings stored on his original GoPro Hero 10 Black Action Camera's 256 gigabyte SanDisk micro-sd card between February 23, 2023 and October 17, 2023. The original GoPro video recordings GX010586, **(which were all meticulously split up by County of Los Angeles peace officers from GX010586,) was electronically** served upon County of Los Angeles and State of California employees Dawyn R. Harrison (SBN # 173855), Nicole Amber Davis-Tinkham (SBN # 229592), Judy Welch Whitehurst (SBN # 182855), Vanessa Ann Evangelista (SBN # 238474), George Gascón, Robert G. Luna, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl Mire, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., Jeffrey D. Macomber. Jason D. Johnson, Jennifer Barretto, Tammatha Foss, Christopher Chambers, Ronald Broomfield, Jennifer Benavidez, Michael Villalobos, Suzette Clover, Lindsey Patrice Horvath, Hilda L. Solis, Holly J. Mitchell, Janice Hahn, Kathryn Barger, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators in a YouTube internet website link that was embedded within the electronic mail message and could be played from on any Macintosh, Windows Personal Computer, Android Mobile Device, and iPhone Mobile Device directly from the email. See below a true and correct copy of KING AROGANT's October 30, 2024 GoPro video recording GX010586 email sent to County

of Los Angeles and State of California employees Dawyn R. Harrison (SBN # 173855), Nicole Amber Davis-Tinkham (SBN # 229592), Judy Welch Whitehurst (SBN # 182855), Vanessa Ann Evangelista (SBN # 238474), George Gascón, Robert G. Luna, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl Mire, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., Jeffrey D. Macomber. Jason D. Johnson, Jennifer Barretto, Tammatha Foss, Christopher Chambers, Ronald Broomfield, Jennifer Benavidez, Michael Villalobos, Suzette Clover, Lindsey Patrice Horvath, Hilda L. Solis, Holly J. Mitchell, Janice Hahn, Kathryn Barger, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators attached to KING AROGANT'S Verified Appendix of Exhibits and attached below herein.



Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344



Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Despite being personally served a copy of all GoPro video recordings that prove KING AROGANT'S factual innocence by clear and convincing evidence since October 30, 2024 continuing on until August 18, 2025, Dawyn R. Harrison (SBN # 173855), Nicole Amber Davis-Tinkham (SBN # 229592), Judy Welch Whitehurst (SBN # 182855), Vanessa Ann Evangelista (SBN # 238474), George Gascón, Robert G. Luna, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl Mire, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., Jeffrey D. Macomber. Jason D. Johnson, Jennifer Barretto, Tammatha Foss, Christopher Chambers, Ronald Broomfield, Jennifer Benavidez, Michael Villalobos, Suzette Clover, Lindsey Patrice Horvath, Hilda L. Solis, Holly J. Mitchell, Janice Hahn, Kathryn Barger, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators have all willfully, recklessly, maliciously, carelessly, deliberately, knowingly, carelessly, deliberately, and callously failed to assist KING AROGANT with overturning his wrongful and unconstitutional felony conviction and racially animus California State Bar attorneys Dawyn R. Harrison (SBN # 173855), Nicole Amber Davis-Tinkham (SBN # 229592), Judy Welch Whitehurst (SBN # 182855), Vanessa Ann Evangelista (SBN # 238474), George Gascón, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl Mire, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., Michael Villalobos, Suzette Clover, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators have all willfully, recklessly, maliciously, carelessly, deliberately, knowingly, carelessly, deliberately, and callously failed to assist KING AROGANT with overturning his wrongful and unconstitutional felony conviction pursuant to their duty to do so under State Bar of California Rules of Professional Conduct Rule 3.8, California Business and Professions Code § 6068, and many other state and federal laws, they all

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

recklessly violated the United States Constitution and KING AROGANT'S Fourth and Fourteenth Amendment constitutional civil rights.

On December 3, 2024, KING AROGANT filed a nearly five-hundred-page constitutional civil rights complaint against Ashley Albiento, Jennifer Barretto, Dennis L. Beck, Jenniffer Benavidez, Robert Andres Bonta, Ronald Broomfield, Elizabeth Byers, Christopher Chambers, Yingchun Chen, Tammatha Foss, George Gascón, Peter Dwight Halloran, Jason D. Johnson, Cheryl Lynn Kaylor, Leo Ka Fong Lo, Jeffrey A. Macomber, Brian McGee, Bryce Miller, Gavin Christopher Newsom and Leah Tamu Wilson in United States District Court for the Eastern District of California, **2:24-cv-03351-DAD-CSK.**

In late January 2024 KING AROGANT;s fiancée and lady since 2012 Alison Helen Fairchild personally served a copy of the following upon State of California employees Jennifer Barretto, Dennis L. Beck, Jenniffer Benavidez, Robert Andres Bonta, Ronald Broomfield, Christopher Chambers, Tammatha Foss, , Peter Dwight Halloran, Jason D. Johnson, Jeffrey A. Macomber, Gavin Christopher Newsom, and Leah Tamu Wilson **(SBN # 222790):**

1 United States District Court for the Eastern District of California Summons.

2. One color copy of KING AROGANT's four hundred and eighty-six page civil rights complaint.

3. **One copy of SanDisk 256-gigabyte USB portable flash drive that contained on it copies of the original split up by County of Los Angeles peace officers GoPro video recordings GX010586, GX010587, GX010588, GX010589, and GX010590**

4. One copy of the original audio recording of the October 22, 2023, telephone conversation between KING AROGANT and racially animus state government witness and County of Los Angeles employee Thomas Guzman-Sanchez was also included on the same **SanDisk 256-gigabyte USB portable flash drive.**

All of the above legal documents and evidence was personally served upon State of California employees Jennifer Barretto, Dennis L. Beck, Jenniffer Benavidez, Robert Andres Bonta, Ronald Broomfield, Christopher Chambers, Tammatha Foss, , Peter Dwight Halloran, Jason D. Johnson, Jeffrey A. Macomber, Gavin Christopher Newsom, and Leah Tamu Wilson **(SBN # 222790) at their registered agent for service of:**

300 South Spring Street
Suite 1700
Los Angeles, CA 90013

All legal documents were not only served upon the above-stated State of California employees and federal district court Defendants, but they were fully inspected, accepted, processed, and stamped at the California Department of Justice's local Los Angeles office located at 300 South Spring Street, Suite 1700, Los Angeles, CA 90013.

As of August 17, 2025, personally served Jennifer Barretto, Dennis L. Beck, Jenniffer Benavidez, Robert Andres Bonta, Ronald Broomfield, Christopher Chambers,

Tammatha Foss, Peter Dwight Halloran, Jason D. Johnson, Jeffrey A. Macomber, Gavin Christopher Newsom, and Leah Tamu Wilson (SBN # 222790) have all willfully, recklessly, maliciously, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, deliberately, and callously failed to including but not at limited to failed to launch an investigation, failed to take legal action against Billy S. Khounthavong and Brian MARK Rosenberg, failed to assist KING AROGANT with overturning his wrongful, unconstitutional, and racist felony conviction, and recklessly failed to terminate KING AROGANT'S illegal and unconstitutional felony parole supervision even after being served clear and convincing audio recording evidence that KING AROGANT was sent to prison based on false testimony of Thomas Guzman-Sanchez, and they all failed to take legal action against Thomas Guzman-Sanchez for deliberately and knowingly violating California Government Code § 6200. Thus, in short, Jennifer Barretto, Dennis L. Beck, Jenniffer Benavidez, Robert Andres Bonta, Ronald Broomfield, Christopher Chambers, Tammatha Foss, , Peter Dwight Halloran, Jason D. Johnson, Jeffrey A. Macomber, Gavin Christopher Newsom, and Leah Tamu Wilson **(SBN # 222790) will all be legally held liable and they were all legal liable for County of Los Angeles, Nathan Joseph Hochman, and Brian MARK Rosenberg, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators' deliberate violations of the United States Constitution, KING AROGANT's United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause and Fourth Amendment Search and Seizure Clause constitutional civil rights after they first conspired to and then actually falsely imprison, falsely detain, and maliciously prosecute KING AROGANT from April 17, 2025 until June 25, 2025, which resulted in additional substantial harm and injury to KING AROGANT. See now attached to KING AROGANT'S Verified Appendix of Exhibits and herein below a true and correct**

copy of United States District Court Summons issued against Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, and Gavin Christopher Newsom.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Arogant Hollywood ,

vs.

Jeffrey A. Macomber , et al. ,

CASE NO: 2:24-CV-03351-DAD-CSK

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL CASE

TO: **Ashley Albiento, Jennifer Barretto, Dennis L. Beck, Jr, Jennifer Benavidez, Robert Andres Bonta, Ronald Broomfield, Elizabeth Byers, Christo Chambers, Yingchun Chen, Tammatha Foss, George Gascon, Peter Dwight Halloran, Jason D. Johnson, Cheryl Lynn Kaylor, Leo Ka Fong Lo, Jeffrey A. Macomber, Brian McGee, Bryce Miller, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson**

Defendant's Address: Leah Tamu Wilson
300 South Spring Street
Suite 1708
Los Angeles, CA 90013

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to serve on:

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106

an answer to the complaint which is served on you with this summons, **within 21 days after service of this summons on you,** exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be taken against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. Any answer that you serve on the parties to this action must be filed with the Clerk of this Court within a reasonable period of time after service.

KEITH HOLLAND

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Arogant Hollywood ,

vs.

Jeffrey A. Macomber , et al. ,

CASE NO: 2:24-CV-03351-DAD-CSK

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL CASE

TO: **Ashley Albiento, Jennifer Barretto, Dennis L. Beck, Jr, Jennifer Benavidez, Robert Andres Bonta, Ronald Broomfield, Elizabeth Byers, Christo Chambers, Yingchun Chen, Tammatha Foss, George Gascon, Peter Dwight Halloran, Jason D. Johnson, Cheryl Lynn Kaylor, Leo Ka Fong Lo, Jeffrey A. Macomber, Brian McGee, Bryce Miller, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson**

Defendant's Address:

Gavin Christopher Newsom
300 South Spring Street
Suite 1700
Los Angeles, CA 90013

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to serve on:

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106

an answer to the complaint which is served on you with this summons, **within 21 days after service of this summons on you,** exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be taken against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. Any answer that you serve on the parties to this action must be filed with the Clerk of this Court within a reasonable period of time after service.

KEITH HOLLAND

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Arogant Hollywood ,

vs.

Jeffrey A. Macomber , et al. ,

CASE NO: 2:24-CV-03351-DAD-CSK

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL CASE

TO: **Ashley Albiento, Jennifer Barretto, Dennis L. Beck, Jr, Jennifer Benavidez, Robert Andres Bonta, Ronald Broomfield, Elizabeth Byers, Christo Chambers, Yingchun Chen, Tammatha Foss, George Gascon, Peter Dwight Halloran, Jason D. Johnson, Cheryl Lynn Kaylor, Leo Ka Fong Lo, Jeffrey A. Macomber, Brian McGee, Bryce Miller, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson**

Defendant's Address:

Robert Andres Bonta
300 South Spring Street
Suite 1700
Los Angeles, CA 90013

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to serve on:

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106

an answer to the complaint which is served on you with this summons, **within 21 days after service of this summons on you,** exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be taken against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. Any answer that you serve on the parties to this action must be filed with the Clerk of this Court within a reasonable period of time after service.

KEITH HOLLAND

3. State of California and County of Los Angeles' Recklessness Resulted In KING AROGANT'S False Arrest and Malicious Prosecution

On April 17, 2025, at 3:20 PM, KING AROGANT and his fiancée, Alison Helen Fairchild, arrived at the Alhambra Superior Court to file a physical copy of KING AROGANT'S Motion to Demand Discovery and partially completed 1473.6 motion. After KING AROGANT and Alison Helen Fairchild (hereinafter "Fairchild") had arrived, they immediately went upstairs to the second floor to file KING AROGANT's criminal motions. From the time of KING AROGANT and Alison Helen Fairchild's arrival at the Superior Court of California, Alhambra Superior Court, County of Los Angeles, overtime peace officer sergeant Christopher Barreras stood by the entire time and practically escorted KING AROGANT and Alison Helen Fairchild to the elevator. KING AROGANT and Alison Helen Fairchild never made any contact with the Alhambra DA office shortly after their time of arrival at the Alhambra Superior Court.

On April 17, 2025, at 3:50 PM, KING AROGANT and his fiancée, Alison Helen Fairchild finished filing KING AROGANT'S criminal motions with Alhambra Superior Court head administrator Lisa Jackson and then got back on the elevator to go to the first floor ot serve a conformed copy upon County of Los Angeles, District Attorney's Office, Alhambra Field Office. Upon arriving back downstairs on the first floor of the criminal courthouse city of Alhambra rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang **[hereinafter "Yang"]** was present along with two other peace officer detectives that had bullet proof vests that had the word POLICE in all caps emblazoned on them. Peace officer Yang told KING AROGANT he that DA office had been evacuated and that he was investigating possible

restraining order violation. KING AROGANT immediately turned on his GoPro camera and begin recording rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang and his co-conspirator peace officers. Shortly thereafter, KING AROGANT slid copies of papers he had filed in the office of Lisa Jackson under the front door of County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office, Alhambra Field Office. After placing court papers under the front door of DA office KING AROGANT and Fairchild departed the Alhambra Superior Court criminal courthouse.

On April 17, 2025, at approximately 4:15 PM, KING AROGANT and his fiancée Alison Helen Fairchild arrived inside the Alhambra Public Library located at 101 S. 1st Street, Alhambra, CA 91801. Soon after arriving KING AROGANT had a verbal altercation with a 30-something-year-old Hispanic patron. Who told KING AROGANT *"I was in the military, I will knock you out."* After KING AROGANT heard this criminal threat he followed this patron outside of the library. Both men squared but no actual fight occurred because the coward military man had his wife/girlfriend standing by outside the entire time. KING AROGANT walked back inside the library at this time and back on the third floor, where his woman, Fairchild, was waiting for him to return. Also, the rogue city of Alhambra peace officer Benjamin Yang was waiting for KING AROGANT to return. California peace officer Benjamin Yang gave KING AROGANT the opportunity to leave the library on his own free will. KING AROGANT protested that he had done nothing wrong in leaving the library, refused to leave, and was subsequently arrested for one count of misdemeanor trespass.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

On April 17, 2025, at approximately 4:45 PM rogue city of Alhambra peace officer Benjamin Yang contacted dishonest, disgraceful, corrupt, and racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg on his personal mobile phone number. On the call, Brian MARK Rosenberg willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, and callously told rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang that KING AROGANT sent him electronic mail messages to brosenberg@da.lacounty.gov on November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, June 8, 2024 at 8:03 AM, and June 8, 2024 at 8:34 AM. At the time of making these false allegations, Brian MARK Rosenberg knew that he was willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, and callously violating California Penal Code § 148.5, 18 U.S.C. §§ 241, and 242, and California Government Code § 6200. For his part in the city of Alhambra, State of California, and County of Los Angeles's rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, and callously violated California Penal Code § 118.1, California Code of Regulations, Title 11, §§ 1013 and 1205, and 18 U.S.C. §§ 241, and 242 by outright lying, fabricating false evidence, and writing false statements that the four emails that KING AROGANT sent to Brian MARK Rosenberg brosenberg@da.lacounty.gov on November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, June 8, 2024 at 8:03 AM, and June 8, 2024 at 8:34 AM were attached to the end of his falsified and perjurious peace officer crime report. LIAR! No such emails were attached to rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang's falsified and fabricated police report. Further prove of this is the fact that Yang's entire peace officer crime report was a maximum of twelve (12) pages, while if emails were attached to the crime report, it would have been approximately one hundred pages.

On April 17, 2025, at approximately 6 PM, rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang arrested KING AROGANT for the following bogus charges

1. **Violation of felony parole supervision**
2. **Violation of California Penal Code § 602, subsection (o)**
3. **Violation of California Penal Code § 166 (turning on GoPro Camera inside (Alhambra Superior Court)**
4. **Violation of California Penal Code § 166 (Emailing Lying Brian Rosenberg)**

See now a true and correct copy of pages 6 and 7 of the city of Alhambra peace officer Benjamin Yang's fabricated, illicit, and illegal crime report attached to KING AROGANT's Verified Appendix of Exhibits and herein below:

V-Rosenburg was afraid for his safety and the safety of his family after seeing S-Hollywood at the courthouse even after being served the restraining order. Furthermore, S-Hollywood had already violated the restraining order on the following dates, times by sending e-mails to V-Rosenburg himself and his coworkers.

Email #1:
From: Arogant Hollywood <arogantmusicinc@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 13, 2023 1:19 AM
To: rulona@lasd.org; atardy@lasd.org; bkhounthavong@lasd.org; rlewis@lasd.org; Brian Rosenberg <BRosenberg@da.lacounty.gov>; rakelrossi@gmail.com; rachel.rossi@usdoj.gov; AROGANTHOLLYWOODGENIUS@gmail.com
Subject: [External] DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, ROSSI, AND OTHER OFFICIALS WILL SEE TO IT THAT ROSENBERG AND KHOUNTHAVONG GO TO FEDERAL PRISION

Email #2:
From: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Date: Tue, 28 Nov 2023 12:02:40 -0800
Subject: ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. state of california, united states, county of los angeles, city of south pasadena, city of arcadia, et al [SECOND EMAIL] [REAL VIDEO THAT PROVES THAT I WAS FRAMED FOR VIOLATING CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE SECTION 422(a)
NOTE: the receiver list is too long to list. See copy of e-mail.

Email #3:
From: Cheryl Kaylor <sheriditrader@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 13, 2024 6:14 PM
Subject: [External] OFFICIAL COMPLAINT OF ALISON MEEH FAIRCHILD ON BEHALF OF AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. sherrif robert luna, et al
NOTE: the receiver list is too long to list. See copy of e-mail.

Email #4:
From: Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, June 8, 2024 8:41 AM
Subject: people v. ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA114055) & UPCOMING FIRST CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT TITLED ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. judy whitehurst, et al [DEMAND PEOPLE AND LASD IMMEDIATELY TURN OVER PHONY LASD GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GL010586 & GL010587
NOTE: the receiver list is too long to list. See copy of e-mail.

Email #5:
From: Arogant Hollywood <causeofaction10@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, June 8, 2024 8:58 AM
Subject: people v. ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA114055) & UPCOMING FIRST CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT TITLED ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. judy whitehurst, et al [DEMAND PEOPLE AND LASD IMMEDIATELY TURN OVER PHONY LASD GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GL010586 & GL010587 [I GOT A LOT OF DIFFER...
NOTE: the receiver list is too long to list. See copy of e-mail.

These e-mails were in direct violation of the restraining order served to S-Hollywood for the protective order stated the following verbatim "The restrained person must not contact the protected person(s) directly or indirectly, by any means including telephone, mail, email, or other electronic means - peaceful written contact through 3rd party for service of legal papers allowed."

CONCLUSION:
Based on the above, with the approval of Watch Commander Lt. Soriano, I placed

04-23W25

Copy Not Authorized Per Gov. Code 6254.5(e)

S-Hollywood, Arogant under arrest for the following:

166(a)(4) PC - Violating court order not to record within a courthouse pursuant to LA County Superior Court Local Rules 2.17(b) - Prohibiting any person(s) from recording or photographing in the courthouse or courtroom without express permission from the presiding judge.

166(a)(4) PC - Violating protective order by contacting V-Rosenberg directly and indirectly via email.

602(o)(2) PC Trespassing. Refusing to leave Alhambra Library upon the request of Library Director.

Along with an outstanding warrant 24PDCM0253401 for $1.00 for 7875(c)(7) municipal code.

After the booking process, I contacted LA County Parole whom granted the parole hold for violating parole, 3056 PC.

I authored a probable cause declaration which was granted by Honorable Judicial Officer S. Knight: 04-17-25; 1958 hours.

Follow-Up:
On Friday, 04-18-25, I called the Alhambra Courthouse and asked to speak to Building Administrator Lisa Jackson. She later returned my call with Director of Public Security Bryan Glass.

Lisa Jackson stated the following in summary: On Thursday, 04-17-25, sometime in the morning, S-Hollywood contacted the public line or administrative line and was transferred to Jackson. S-Hollywood called to meet with Jackson to file paperwork/motions to his case that V-Rosenburg was assigned to. Jackson spoke to Honorable Presiding Judge Villalobos who allowed Jackson to allow S-Hollywood to come and file motions to court. Jackson stated LASD should have acted as security for the DA Office.

This concluded the phone call. I later e-mail Jackson my contact information and the report number per her request. She never responded to my e-mail.

-End of Report-

Copy for Authorized Person

The above evidence will soon prove that KING AROGANT was falsely arrested and all previously accused State of California, and the County of Los Angeles employees are liable to him for damages!

On Monday, April 21, 2025, KING AROGANT was arraigned on the following frivolous and false charges:

Count 1: Violation of California Penal Code § 602, subsection (o)
Count 2: Violation of California Penal Code § 166, subsection (a)
Count 3: Violation of California Penal Code § 166, subsection (a)
Count 4: Violation of California Penal Code § 166, subsection (a)
Count 5: Violation of California Penal Code § 653m

See now a true and correct copy of pages 6 and 7 of the city of Alhambra peace officer Benjamin Yang's fabricated, illicit, and illegal crime report attached to KING AROGANT's Verified Appendix of Exhibits and herein below:

See now a true and correct copy of pages 1, 2, and 3 of the city of Alhambra and County of Los Angeles's fabricated, illicit, and illegal misdemeanor criminal complaint attached to KING AROGANT's Verified Appendix of Exhibits and herein below:

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff, v. **AROGANT HOLLYWOOD (DOB: 12/09/1978)** Defendant(s).	**CASE NO.** ***MISDEMEANOR COMPLAINT***

The undersigned is informed and believes that:

COUNT 1

On or about April 17, 2025, in the County of Los Angeles, the crime of TRESPASS AND REFUSING TO LEAVE PRIVATE PROPERTY, in violation of PENAL CODE SECTION 602(o), a Misdemeanor, was committed by AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, who did unlawfully commit a trespass by refusing and failing to leave land, real property, and structures belonging to and lawfully occupied by another and not open to the general public, upon being requested to leave by a peace officer and the owner, his/her agent, and the person in lawful possession thereof.

* * * * *

COUNT 2

On or about April 17, 2025, in the County of Los Angeles, the crime of DISOBEYING COURT ORDER, in violation of PENAL CODE SECTION 166(a)(4), a Misdemeanor, was committed by AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, who did unlawfully commit contempt of court by willful disobedience of a process and order lawfully issued by a court, to wit, California Rule of Court 2.17.

* * * * *

COUNT 3

On or about June 8, 2024, in the County of Los Angeles, the crime of DISOBEYING COURT ORDER. in violation of PENAL CODE SECTION 166(a)(4), a Misdemeanor, was committed by AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, who did unlawfully commit contempt of court by willful disobedience of a process and order lawfully issued by a court, to wit, Restraiining Order.

* * * * *

COUNT 4

On or about June 8, 2024, in the County of Los Angeles, the crime of DISOBEYING COURT ORDER, in violation of PENAL CODE SECTION 166(a)(4), a Misdemeanor, was committed by AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, who did unlawfully commit contempt of court by willful disobedience of a process and order lawfully issued by a court, to wit, Restraining Order.

* * * * *

COUNT 5

On or between June 8, 2024 and April 17, 2025, in the County of Los Angeles, the crime of ANNOYING TELEPHONE CALLS, in violation of PENAL CODE SECTION 653m(b), a Misdemeanor, was committed by AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, who did unlawfully, and with the intent to annoy and harass, make repeated telephone calls and repeated contact, and make any combination of calls and contact, to another person, to wit BRIAN ROSENBERG, ALHAMBRA PUBLIC LIBRARY, by means of an electronic communication device.

* * * * *

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

NOTICE: Conviction of this offense will require the defendant to provide DNA samples and print impressions pursuant to Penal Code sections 296 and 296.1 if the defendant has suffered a prior felony conviction. Willful refusal to provide the samples and impressions is a crime.

NOTICE: The People of the State of California intend to present evidence and seek jury findings regarding all applicable circumstances in aggravation, pursuant to Penal Code section 1170(b) and *Cunningham v. California* (2007) 549 U.S. 270.

NOTICE: A Suspected Child Abuse Report (SCAR) may have been generated within the meaning of Penal Code §§ 11166 and 11168 involving the charges alleged in this complaint. Dissemination of a SCAR is limited by Penal Code §§ 11167 and 11167.5 and a court order is required for full disclosure of the contents of a SCAR.

Further, attached hereto and incorporated herein are official reports and documents of a law enforcement agency which the undersigned believes establish probable cause for the arrest of defendant(s) AROGANT HOLLYWOOD for the above-listed crimes.

I DECLARE UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY THAT THE FOREGOING IS TRUE AND CORRECT AND THAT THIS COMPLAINT CONSISTS OF 5 COUNT(S).

Executed at LOS ANGELES, County of Los Angeles, on April 21, 2025.

BENJAMIN YANG
DECLARANT AND COMPLAINANT

NATHAN HOCHMAN, DISTRICT ATTORNEY ..... BY: ALLYSON KIMMEL, DEPUTY

AGENCY: ALHAMBRA PD I/O: BENJAMIN YANG ID NO.: 276 PHONE : [redacted]
DR NO.: 000000002514319 OPERATOR: RO

DEFENDANT	CII NO.	CITATION NO.	BOOKING NO.	BAIL RECOM'D	CUSTODY R'TN DATE
HOLLYWOOD, AROGANT	031858966				04/21/2025

Pursuant to Penal Code Section 1054.5(b), the People are hereby informally requesting that defense counsel provide discovery to the People as required by Penal Code Section 1054.3.

At the rigged Superior Court hearing KING AROGANT was arraigned over his request to demurrer. KING AROGANT'S bail was set at $ 35,000 over the objection of the rogue DDA, who wanted KING AROGANT's bail set at $ 65,000.

At KING AROGANT'S next court hearing on or about April 26, 2025, in frivolous criminal case 25CJCM02162-01, his case was transferred to the Honorable Mark Windham in Department 45. After this Superior Court hearing, KING AROGANT again argued for bail reduction, and Judge Curry lowered his bail to $ 30,000 from $ 35,000. At this Superior Court hearing, KING AROGANT requested that the People and Willow Leah Rosenthal turn over and disclose copies of emails that rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang had lied about being attached to his fabricated and perjurious crime report. KING AROGANT also requested a copy of Yang's Superior of California, County of Los Angeles, Probable Cause Determination (Declaration).

At KING AROGANT's next court hearing on May 1, 2025, in a frivolous and racist misdemeanor criminal case 25CJCM02162-01, KING AROGANT filed a nearly twenty-page Motion to Dismiss detailing how there was no probable cause or evidence linking him to committing any of the five frivolous charges. Additionally, KING AROGANT filed a Motion to Compel Nathan Joseph Hochman, Scott Douglas Dominguez **(SBN # 228843), and Alexander Michael Karkanen (SBN # 162377) to turn over and disclose copies of** November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, June 8, 2024 at 8:03 AM, and June 8, 2024 at 8:34 AM rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang lied were attached to the end of his no more than twelve page crime report. KING AROGANT also requested in his timely filed Motion to Compel a copy of rogue peace officer Benjamin

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Yang's alleged probable cause determination declaration. Just like in how frivolous criminal Superior Court of California case GA114055, former County of Los Angeles District Attorney George Gascón, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl Mire, Brian MARK Rosenberg, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, and callously failed to turn over exculpatory and impeaching audio recording, 911 recording, and video recording evidence for several months. New County of Los Angeles District Attorney Nathan Joseph Hochman and his deputy district attorneys Alexander Michael Karkanen **(SBN # 162377)**, Scott Douglas Dominguez **(SBN # 228843)**, Willow Leah Rosenthal **(SBN # 345751),** DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators were all willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, and callously failed to turn over exculpatory probable cause paper form evidence, and emails paper form, which proved by clear and convincing evidence that the County of Los Angeles has always had a practice, policy, procedure, custom, and tradition of failing to turn over any evidence that could be used to exonerate KING AROGANT. On May 1, 2025, the Honorable Mark Windham set KING AROGANT's next court date for May 12, 2025, and also set his Motion to Dismiss and Motion to Compel to be heard on that same date.


Between May 7, 2025 and May 12, 2025 KING AROGANT sent a series of emails to New County of Los Angeles District Attorney Nathan Joseph Hochman and his deputy district attorneys Alexander Michael Karkanen **(SBN # 162377)**, Scott Douglas Dominguez **(SBN # 228843)**, Willow Leah Rosenthal **(SBN # 345751),** DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators that proved by clear and convincing evidence that he never emailed lying Brian MARK Rosenberg on November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, June 8, 2024

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

at 8:03 AM, and June 8, 2024, at 8:34 AM. In addition to the numerous emails that were sent to Nathan Joseph Hochman and his deputy district attorneys Alexander Michael Karkanen **(SBN # 162377)**, Scott Douglas Dominguez **(SBN # 228843)**, Willow Leah Rosenthal **(SBN # 345751),** DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators. KING AROGANT also created a video recording on his computer that would prove by clear and convincing evidence that Brian MARK Rosenberg and rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, and callously violated California Penal Code § 118.1, 148.5, and 118; 18 U.S.C. §§ 241 and 242, and California Government Code § 6200 by filing a false police report that KING AROGANT had sent emails to lying and racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg on November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, June 8, 2024, at 8:03 AM, and June 8, 2024, at 8:34 AM and that those emails were attached at the end of Benjamin Yang's falsified and fabricated crime report. A Gmail electronic message sent to Nathan Joseph Hochman and his deputy district attorneys Alexander Michael Karkanen **(SBN # 162377)**, Scott Douglas Dominguez **(SBN # 228843)**, Willow Leah Rosenthal **(SBN # 345751),** DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators included the email messages from November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, June 8, 2024, at 8:03 AM, and June 8, 2024, at 8:34 AM.


See now below a true and correct copy of KING AROGANT's email sent to Nathan Joseph Hochman and his deputy district attorneys, Alexander Michael Karkanen **(SBN # 162377)**, Scott Douglas Dominguez **(SBN # 228843)**, Willow Leah Rosenthal **(SBN # 345751),** DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators on May 9, 2025, at 5:39 PM, attached to KING AROGANT's Verified Appendix of Exhibits and herein below:

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344



https://mail.google.com/mail/u/1/#search/hochman+/KtbxLxgKKHSNrBnxjslrwDgIqZkMzqvkTg
Heat watch
Just issued
Search
4:18 PM
8/18/2025

Attached hereto is a true and correct copy of the GoPro recording YouTube channel page of video recordings that shows in detail for nearly twenty-one minutes that no Gmail emails dated for November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, June 8, 2024, at 8:03 AM, and June 8, 2024, at 8:34 AM were ever sent to "Brian Rosenberg." Attached to KING AROGANT'S Verified Appendix of Exhibits and below herein:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SdPpaoe9QTo



Above, you can clearly see KING AROGANT searches on his Adobe Acrobat Pro for Brian Rosenberg's email of brosenberg@da.lacounty.gov, and no matches are found for the February 13, 2024, email, he lied under penalty of perjury that KING AROGANT sent him.



Above, you can clearly see KING AROGANT searches on his Adobe Acrobat Pro for Brian Rosenberg's email of brosenberg@da.lacounty.gov, and no matches are found for June 8, 2024, at 8:03 AM email, Brian MARK Rosenberg lied under penalty of perjury that KING AROGANT sent him.

Despite all this clear and convincing evidence being served upon them, Nathan Joseph Hochman and his deputy district attorneys, Alexander Michael Karkanen **(SBN # 162377)**, Scott Douglas Dominguez **(SBN # 228843)**, Willow Leah Rosenthal **(SBN # 345751),** DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators continued willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, and callously refused to dismiss frivolous misdemeanor case 25CJCM02162-01.


On May 12, 2025, KING AROGANT filed a nearly one-hundred and fifty-page Second Verified Appendix of Exhibits that not only included all four November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, June 8, 2024, at 8:03 AM, and June 8, 2024, at 8:34 AM emails he never received but also included a YouTube website link to KING AROGANT's nearly twenty-one-minute video recording that proved by clear and convincing evidence that KING AROGANT never emailed, lying and racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg on November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, June 8, 2024, at 8:03 AM, and June 8, 2024, at 8:34 AM. All this exculpatory paper form and link to electronic evidence was filed in the Superior of California, Clara Shortridge-Foltz Criminal Justice Center, located in downtown Los Angeles. For which the 17th floor of the courthouse serves as an exclusive executive branch operation of the County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office

On May 12, 2025, May 27, 2025, and June 10, 2025, KING AROGANT'S racist criminal case and charges were continued by the Superior Court.

On June 25, 2025, the Superior Court had enough of Nathan Joseph Hochman and his deputy district attorneys, Alexander Michael Karkanen (SBN # 162377), ScottDouglas Dominguez (SBN # 228843), Willow Leah Rosenthal (SBN # 345751), DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators failing to turn over discovery, and thus, granted KING AROGANT's nearly twenty-page Motion to Dismiss filed on May 12, 2025, that had laid out detailed grounds as to why Hochman's five-count complaint was illegally filed without any probable cause or evidence whatsoever. Nathan Joseph Hochman and his deputy district attorneys, Alexander Michael Karkanen (SBN # 162377), Scott Douglas Dominguez (SBN # 228843), Willow Leah Rosenthal (SBN # 345751), DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators, involuntarily assisted KING AROGANT with easily winning his motion to dismiss by, after a month of KING AROGANT filing it on May 1, 2025, never bothered to file an opposition to it! While the County of Los Angeles continues to deceive this Superior Court with the filing of its frivolous five-count misdemeanor complaint. KING AROGANT'S clear and convincing evidence below shows by clear and convincing evidence that County of Los Angeles, Nathan Joseph Hochman and his deputy district attorneys, Alexander Michael Karkanen (SBN # 162377), Scott Douglas Dominguez (SBN # 228843), Willow Leah Rosenthal (SBN # 345751), DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators five-count frivolous misdemeanor complaint was dismissed, which is an actual fact County of Los Angeles and its dishonest attorney Vanessa Ann Evangelista (SBN # 238474) failed to disclose in open Superior Court hearings in this Superior Court on June 30, 2025, and July 15, 2025.

See attached to KING AROGANT's Verified Appendix of Exhibits and attached herein and below a true and correct copy of the County of Los Angeles's frivolous five-count criminal complaint that they have been boasting about Mindlessly in frivolous case **25STRO03574**

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344


https://www.lacourt.ca.gov/pages/lp/access-a-case/tp/find-case-information/cp/os-criminal-case-access
Home / Access a Case / Find Case Informatio... /
Criminal Case Access
View publicly available information about a criminal case.
Case Information for 25CJCR03574
Case Number
Defendant ID
Filing Date
Arrest Date
Filing Courthouse
Case Type
Case Title
Charges
The Charges tab does not display non guilty pleas. Please check the Events tab for non guilty pleas.
Count
Charge Statute
Plea
Last Disposition
Notes
92°F
Sunny
Search
5:49 PM
8/18/2025

4. Cynthia Michiko Nakao (SBN # 137510) Willfully, Recklessly, Wantonly, Maliciously, Knowingly, Deliberately, and Callously Violated California Penal Code § 118, California Government Code Section 6200, California Business & Professions Code § 6068, State Bar of California Rules of Professional Conduct, Rules 3.1, and 3.3 By Fabricating Lies and Committing Perjury To Aid and Boast the County of Los Angeles's Conspiracy to Prevent KING AROGANT From Entering Alhambra Superior Courthouse

In her perjurious declaration Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510)** willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, and callously Violated California Penal Code § 118, California Government Code Section 6200, California Business & Professions Code § 6068, State Bar of California Rules of Professional Conduct, Rules 3.1, and 3.3 by Fabricating Lies and Committing perjury to aid and boast the County of Los Angeles's conspiracy to prevent KING AROGANT from entering Alhambra Superior Courthouse by telling this Superior Court the following in her early June 2025 perjurious declaration:

> Despite the issuance of the Rosenberg Restraining Order, on February 7, 2025, Mr. Hollywood came to the Alhambra Office, where Mr. Hollywood is aware that Mr. Rosenberg works. Luckily, Mr. Rosenberg was not at the Alhambra Office at the time that Mr. Hollywood came in. Mr. Hollywood approached the front desk to file documents. The front desk advised Mr. Hollywood tha1 he cannot file documents at the Alhambra Office. **Mr.** Hollywood refused to listen and while armed with scissors, he became aggressive, belligerent and argumentative with the staff. He expressed his anger and frustration that the staff could not file his court documents. and he aggressively shoved paperwork through the mail slot of the reception window. During this Incident, Mr. Hollywood threatened to sue Mr. Rosenberg because "he took twelve months of my life." As a result of Mr. Hollywood's harassing and disturbing conduct, law enforcement was called.

In a nearly seventeen minute GoPro video recorded on February 4, 2025, KING AROGANT is never seen wielding scissors. Cynthia Nakao got the date wrong and also what happened on February 4, 2025. See now a true and correct copy of KING AROGANT's seventeen-minute GoPro video recording proving that Cynthia Nakao willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, and callously lied in her declaration that KING AROGANT was wielding scissors in his hands during his February 4, 2025, office visit attached herein below:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=l9DqwQWLgfU

Above YouTube video recording proves by clear and convincing evidence that KING AROGANT engaged in no course of conduct with any County of Los Angeles employee on February 4, 2025, during his DA visit at 150 West Commonwealth Avenue, Alhambra, CA 91801, First Floor, County of Los Angeles Attorney's Office, Alhambra Field Office.

See now attached to KING AROGANT'S Verified Appendix of Exhibits and below herein a true and correct copy of lying Cynthia Nakao's declaration, at page 1.

DECLARATION OF CYNTHIA NAKAO

I, Cynthia Nakao, declare as follows:

1. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein, except as to those stated on information and belief and, as to those, I am informed and believe them to be true. If called as a witness, I could and would competently testify to the matters stated therein.

2. I am a Deputy District Attorney for the Los Angeles District Attorney's ("LADA") Office and am the Head Deputy for the Alhambra Office of the LADA's Office ("Alhambra Office").

3. On or about December 20, 2023, a court issued a Civil Harassment Restraining Order ("Rosenberg Restraining Order"), effective until December 2, 2026, against Arrogant Hollywood, which prevented him from harassing, intimidating, stalking, threatening, assaulting, or disturbing the peace of Deputy District Attorney Brian Rosenberg. Mr. Rosenberg continues to work at the Alhambra Office. The Civil Harassment Restraining Order also restricts Mr. Hollywood from directly or indirectly contacting Mr. Rosenberg, and orders him to stay at least 100 yards away from Mr. Rosenberg. A true and correct copy of the Rosenberg Restraining Order is attached hereto as **Exhibit 1.**

4. Despite the issuance of the Rosenberg Restraining Order, on February 7, 2025, Mr. Hollywood came to the Alhambra Office, where Mr. Hollywood is aware that Mr. Rosenberg works. Luckily, Mr. Rosenberg was not at the Alhambra Office at the time that Mr. Hollywood came in. Mr. Hollywood approached the front desk to file documents. The front desk advised Mr. Hollywood that he cannot file documents at the Alhambra Office. Mr. Hollywood refused to listen and while armed with scissors, he became aggressive, belligerent and argumentative with the staff. He expressed his anger and frustration that the staff could not file his court documents, and he aggressively shoved paperwork through the mail slot of the reception window. During this incident, Mr. Hollywood threatened to sue Mr. Rosenberg because "he took twelve months of my life." As a result of Mr. Hollywood's harassing and disturbing conduct, law enforcement was called.

IV. APPLICATION OF LEGAL STANDARDS, ARGUMENT & AUTHORITY IN SUPPORT OF KING AROGANT'S RESPONSE

Harassment, for the purposes of Cal Code Civ Proc § 527.6, is unlawful violence, a credible threat of violence [Code Civ. Proc. § 527.6(b)(2) ("credible threat of violence" is knowing and willful statement or course of conduct that would place a reasonable person in fear for his or her safety or safety of his or her immediately family, and that serves no legitimate purpose)], *Harris v. Stampolis*, 248 Cal.App.4th 484, 495-496 [204 Cal. Rptr. 3d 1] (2016).

A credible threat of violence is a knowing and willful course of conduct directed at a specific person that seriously alarms, annoys, or harasses this person, and that serves no legitimate purpose.

The course of conduct must be such as would cause a reasonable person to suffer substantial emotional distress, and it must actually be caused by substantial emotional distress to the petitioner filing for a civil harassment restraining order. See Cal Civ Code Proc § 527.6(b)(3); *Huntington Life Sciences, Inc. v. Stop Huntington Animal Cruelty USA, Inc*., 129 Cal.App. 4th 1228, 1257-1258, 29 Cal. Rptr. 3d 521 (2005) (liability is based on defendant's credible threats of violence and defendant's lack of intent to actually carry out threats is immaterial)]. Cal Code Civ Proc § 527.6(b)(2) does not define "substantial emotional distress," but it may be properly compared to "severe emotional distress" in the tort context of intentional infliction of emotional distress. In that context, "severe emotional distress" means highly unpleasant mental suffering or anguish from socially unacceptable conduct, which entails such intense, enduring, and nontrivial emotional distress that no reasonable person in a civilized society should be expected to endure it. Therefore, not all actions that give rise to some emotional distress will constitute conduct that "cause[s] a reasonable person to suffer substantial emotional distress" within the meaning of Cal Code Civ Proc § 527.6, particularly if the distress is but transitory in nature. See *Schild v. Rubin*, 232 Cal.App.3d 755, 762-763 [283 Cal. Rptr. 533] (1991).

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.6 does not define "substantial emotional distress," but it may be properly compared to "severe emotional distress" in the tort context of intentional infliction of emotional distress. In that context, "severe emotional distress" means highly unpleasant mental suffering or anguish from socially unacceptable conduct, which entails such intense, enduring, and nontrivial emotional distress that no reasonable person in a civilized society should be expected to endure it. Therefore, not all actions that give rise to some emotional distress will constitute conduct that "cause[s] a reasonable person to suffer substantial emotional distress" within the meaning of California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.6, particularly conduct that "cause[s] a reasonable person to suffer substantial emotional distress" within the meaning of California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.6, particularly if the distress is but transitory in nature. See *Schild v. Rubin*, 232 Cal.App.3d 755, 762-763 [283 Cal. Rptr. 533] (1991)

As used in the harassment statute, "unlawful violence" is any assault or battery, or stalking as prohibited by Cal Pen Code § 646.9, but does not include lawful acts of self-defense or defense of others Cal Code Civ Proc § 527.6(b)(7).

A course of conduct is a pattern of conduct composed of a series of acts over time, however short, evidencing a continuity of purpose, including following or stalking an individual, making harassing telephone calls, or sending harassing correspondence by any means, including, but not limited to, the use of public or private mail, fax, or computer e-mail, see [Cal Code Civ Proc § 526.7(b)(7)]. The course of conduct must be ongoing at the time the injunction is sought. See *Volpicelli v. Jared Sydney Torrance Memorial Hosp.*, 109 Cal.App.3d 242, 251, 167 Cal. Rptr. 610 (1980).

A single incident of harassment is not a course of conduct, see *Leydon v. Alexander*, 212 Cal.App.3d 1, 4 [260 Cal. Rptr. 253] (1989). Moreover, constitutionally protected activity, such as the right to petition administrative, executive, or judicial agencies, is not included within the

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

meaning of a course of conduct. See Cal Code Civ Proc § 527, *Leydon v. Alexander* (1989) 212 Cal App 3d 1, 5, 260 Cal. Rptr. 253; *Smith v. Silvey*, 149 Cal.App.3d 406-407 [197 Cal. Rptr. 15] (1983).

In addition, conduct that is outside the definition of "harassment" cannot be enjoined under the summary procedures of Code Civ. Proc. § 527.6, even if it might ultimately be enjoined under normal injunctive procedures after full development of the facts and law. This statute is not intended to supplant normal injunctive procedures applicable to cases concerning conduct other than "harassment" as defined in the statute, see *Byers v. Cathcart*, 57 Cal.App.4th 805, 811-812 [67 Cal. Rptr. 2d 398] (1997).

Constitutionally protected activity is not included within the meaning of "course of conduct" (§ 527.6, subd. (b)(1)). The course of conduct must be that which would cause a reasonable person to suffer substantial emotional distress and must actually cause substantial emotional distress to the petitioner (§ 527.6, subd. (b)(3)). *Hansen v. Volkov*, 96 Cal.App.5th 94 [314 Cal.Rptr.3d 1 (2023).

V. LEGAL ARGUMENT

A. All Allegations Made by County of Los Angeles Never Occurred

In both Brian MARK Rosenberg and Cynthia Nakao they allege different outcomes occurring on the same alleged date. But KING AROGANT's served upon County of Los Angeles GoPro video recordings uploaded recently to YouTube prove that KING AROGANT engaged in no course of conduct on February 4, 2025 while he was inside the Alhambra DA office and further, KING AROGANT's nearly seventeen minute video recordings shows that he acted in good faith by requesting that County of Los Angeles peace officer Augilar stood by to keep the peace.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

The other main allegations made by the lying County of Los Angeles and the even more lying Brian MARK Rosenberg was made regarding a frivolous misdemeanor complaint and KING AROGANT being charged with contacting and violating a restraining order that should have never been granted in the first place. KING AROGANT'S shown evidence above proves two things that puts further slugs in County of Los Angeles's frivolous workplace violence petition:

1. KING AROGANT never emailed lying Brian MARK Rosenberg on November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, June 8, 2024, at 8:03 AM, and June 8, 2024, at 8:34 AM

2. The County of Los Angeles failed to disclose to this Superior Court that the frivolous five-count misdemeanor complaint they maliciously filed against KING AROGANT without any probable cause or evidence was embarrassingly for them dismissed by Superior Court just over two months of its filing. Proving that all Brian MARK Rosenberg's criminal allegations made against KING AROGANT were not only false but never occurred.

County of Los Angeles's frivolous workplace violence petition must be dismissed on the grounds that there is no evidence that any of the allegations ever occurred.

B. All Allegations Made by County of Los Angeles Do Not Constitute Grounds for Harassment And Unlawful Violence Within The Meaning Of California Civil Code of Procedure §§ 527.6 and 527.8

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

KING AROGANT's statements that Rosenberg should go to jail. Emails he sent to Brian MARK Rosenberg's co-workers, and his other statements that occurred at Alhambra Superior Court DA Field Office did not at constitute any type credible threat or credible threats of violence.

Did not and does constitute a course of conduct for the following reasons:

1. AROGANT's verbal statements were protected by U.S.C.A. Const Amend. I
2. AROGANT's verbal statements in no way, shape, or form constituted a "true threat."

The speaker need not actually intend to carry out the threat. Rather, a prohibition on true threats "protect[s] individuals from the fear of violence" and "from the disruption that fear engenders," in addition to protecting people from the possibility that the threatened violence will occur." Ibid. Intimidation in the constitutionally prescribable sense of the word is a type of true threat, where a speaker directs a threat to a person or group of persons with the intent of placing the victim in fear of bodily harm or death.

Virginia v. Black, 536 U.S. 343, 123 S. Ct. 2536, 155 L.Ed.2d (2003)

Uncommunicated threats are, however, little more than private thoughts that have been uttered aloud or reduced to writing. Such speech, even if it contains threatening words, is protected by the First Amendment unless it amounts to a true threat. The true threat doctrine requires, at a minimum, that a threat be communicated under circumstances indicating a serious intent to harm and causing hear and social disruption. See *Virginia v. Black*, 536 U.S. 343, 123 S. Ct. 2536, 155 L.Ed.2d (2003); *R.A.V. v. City of St. Paul*, 505 U.S. 377, 112 S. Ct. 2538, 120 L.Ed.2d 305 (1992); *Watts v. United States*, 454 U.S. 875, 102 S. Ct. 351, 70 L.Ed.2d 182 (1981).

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Brian MARK Rosenberg's fabricated allegations of:

I received an email from Mr. Hollywood wherein he threatened to send me to federal prison and indicated that a "a series of emails arc coming soon! Complete with all the evidence that you broke federal law by abusing your power and authority as peace officers and public officers of the court! Get Ready!!!!!!". In addition to threatening this series of emails, Hollywood also told the detective on our case that "you had better run away as fast you can fool." This is the latest in a string of harassing communications that I believe are only aimed at intimidating and annoying me. This matter was reported to LASD. URN: 923-l l730-0522-217. It did not constitute threats of credible violence directed towards him. Moreover, KING AROGANT'S evidence of dismissal of criminal case, GoPro video recording showing no matches for brosenberg@da.lacounty.gov throughout for emails he never sent lying Brian MARK Rosenberg, and his seventeen minute GoPro video recording showing that both Cynthia Nakao and Brian MARK Rosenberg recklessly lied about what really occurred at Alhambra DA office on February 4, 2025, speaks volumes to the lack of credibility of County of Los Angeles witnesses and just how frivolous its petition is!

County of Los Angeles's petition must be dismissed and their requested relief DENIED on the grounds that all allegations made by County of Los Angeles employees do not constitute grounds for harassment and unlawful violence within the meaning of California Civil Code of Procedure §§ 526.6 and 527.8

C. County's Frivolous and Perjurious Workplace Violence Petition Must Be Dismissed and Their Requested Relief Denied on The Grounds That KING AROGANT's Constitutionally Protected Activities and Conduct Between November 1, 2023, and August 1, 2025 Cannot Be Included Within The Meaning of "Course of Conduct"

The evidence in this frivolous petition is clear that on every single day it was alleged that KING AROGANT had any interaction with County of Los Angeles employees he was only engaged in constitutionally protected activity and conduct.

All County of Los Angeles's false February 4, 2025 allegations prove by clear and convincing evidence that KING AROGANT was engaged in constitutionally protected activity because he has a first amendment right to freedom of speech as long as AROGANT's statements did not constitute a "true threat". Clearly AROGANT's statements to Alhambra District Attorney's office employees that "Rosenberg should go to prison or being sued" did not constitute a "true threat", and since AROGANT's verbal statements did not constitute a "true threat" they were protected by the First Amendment of the United States Constitution.

KING AROGANT has proven by clear and convincing evidence that he was not wielding scissors of harassing Alhambra DA office employees on February 4, 2025 through his GoPro video recording of the entire incident.

Throughout Brian MARK Rosenberg's perjurious declaration he proved that KING AROGANT was engaged in constitutionally protected activity and has a Freedom of Speech Clause First Amendment constitutional right to tell any human being he encounters on the lands of the United States that he would sue him or her!

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

This Superior Court must dismiss County of Los Angeles's frivolous, perjurious, and unconstitutional petition on the grounds that KING AROGANT's constitutional protected activities and conduct on February 4, 2025 and April 17, 2025, cannot be used to constitute grounds that KING AROGANT engaged in a course of conduct of unlawful violence and harassment.

VI. CONCLUSION

For all the forgoing legal grounds stated above and herein. This Superior Court must dismiss County of Los Angeles's frivolous and RACIST workplace violence petition so that KING AROGANT can return to the Alhambra Superior Court to resume overturning his wrongful October 2023 conviction in unconstitutional criminal case GA114055.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws and Constitution of California, and under the laws, federal statutes, and Constitution of the United States of America that every word, sentence, paragraph, and page of this California civil law workplace violence responsive pleading is true and correct.

DATE: August 19, 2025

BY: Arogant Hollywood

Arogant Hollywood, *Esq.*
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106
Mobile: (323) 200-4449
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com

Spirit of Esquire

King Arogant


SELF-HELP
RESOURCE
CENTER
ROOM 4

VERIFICATION OF FAKE RESPONDENT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD

United States of America

State of California

City of Los Angeles, California, within the County of Los Angeles

I, **AROGANT HOLLYWOOD**, being duly sworn, say:

I, AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, am a FAKE RESPONDENT in the frivolous,perjurious, and unconstitutional case **25STRO03574.**

I have carefully and thoroughly read and examined the following:

1. WRONGFULLY PETITIONED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S NOTICE OF RESPONSE TO FRIVOLOUS COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES WORKPLACE VIOLENCE PETITION, RESPONSE TO FRIVOLOUS WORKPLACE VIOLENCE PETITION, MEMORANDUM OF POINTS & AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT THEREOF

and I know the contents thereof. The facts stated therein are true and within my personal knowledge, except as to those matters which are therein alleged on information and belief, and as to those matters I believe them also to be true.

If I were called upon to testify, I could and would testify competently as to the matters stated herein and therein.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: **aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com**
Phone: 206.471.1344

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws and constitution of California and the United States that every word, sentence, paragraph, and page of this Civil Law Verification of wrongfully petitioned AROGANT HOLLYWOOD's Verified Response is true and correct.

DATE: August 19, 2025

BY: Arogant Hollywood

Arogant Hollywood, *Esq.*
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106
Mobile: (323) 200-4449
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com

Spirit of Esquire

Arogant

CONFORMED COPY
ORIGINAL FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles

MAY 12 2025

David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq.
1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD.
PASADENA, CA 91106
Mobile: 206.471.1344
arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted
Spirit of Esquire

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
CENTRAL DISTRICT, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
CLARA SHORTRIDGE-FOLTZ COURTHOUSE

people of the state of california
nathan joseph hochman (SBN # 139137)
brian mark rosenberg (SBN # 291501)

Prosecutors,

vs.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD,
WRONGFULLY CHARGED

Defendant,

CASE NO. 25CJCM02162-01
LASC: Honorable Mark Windham
Ctrm: Department 45
210 West Temple Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

WRONGFULLY CHARGED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S SECOND VERIFIED APPENDIX OF EXHIBITS IN SUPPORT OF HIS RESPONSE TO JUDICIAL OFFICER MARK WINDHAM'S BAIL REVOCATION, IN SUPPORT OF HIS MOTION TO DISMISS, IN SUPPORT OF CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE § 745 MOTION, IN SUPPORT OF HIS MOTION TO COMPEL, AND IN SUPPORT OF HIS UPCOMING FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT CIVIL RIGHTS LAWSUITS, EXHIBITS 1-10
Date of Hearing: May 12, 2025

VERIFICATION OF APPENDIX OF EXHIBITS

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Case No. 25CJCM02162-01

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq.
1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD.
PASADENA, CA 91106
Mobile: 206.471.1344
aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted
Spirit of Esquire

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
CENTRAL DISTRICT, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
CLARA SHORTRIDGE-FOLTZ COURTHOUSE

---

people of the state of california
nathan joseph hochman (SBN # 139137)
brian mark rosenberg (SBN # 291501)

Prosecutors,

vs.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD,
WRONGFULLY CHARGED

Defendant,

CASE NO. 25CJCM02162-01
LASC: Honorable Mark Windham
Ctrm: Department 45
210 West Temple Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

WRONGFULLY CHARGED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S SECOND VERIFIED APPENDIX OF EXHIBITS IN SUPPORT OF HIS RESPONSE TO JUDICIAL OFFICER MARK WINDHAM'S BAIL REVOCATION, IN SUPPORT OF HIS MOTION TO DISMISS, IN SUPPORT OF CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE § 745 MOTION, IN SUPPORT OF HIS MOTION TO COMPEL, AND IN SUPPORT OF HIS UPCOMING FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT CIVIL RIGHTS LAWSUITS, EXHIBITS 1-10
Date of Hearing: May 12, 2025

VERIFICATION OF APPENDIX OF EXHIBITS

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Case No. 25CJCM02162-01

TO: RACIST, PREJUDICED, AND BIASED COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY NATHAN JOSEPH HOCHMAN (SBN # 139137)

TO: LYING AND RACIST FAKE VICTIM AND PERJURIOUS STATE GOVERNMENT WITNESS BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG (SBN # 291501)

TO RACIST AND BIASED WILLOW LEAH ROSENTHAL, (SBN # 345751). PRELIMINARY HEARING DIVISION DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY WORKING FROM THE PRELIMINARY HEARING DIVISION OF COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE.
wkarfiol@da.lacounty.gov

TO SCOTT DOUGLAS DOMINGUEZ, (SBN # 228843), ASSISTANT HEAD DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY IN CHARGE OF PRELIMINARY HEARING DIVISION OF COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE.
sdominguez@da.lacounty.gov

ALEXANDER MICHAEL KARKANEN, (SBN # 162377), HEAD DEPUTY IN CHARGE OF PRELIMINARY HEARING DIVISION OF COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
akarkanen@da.lacounty.gov

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

WRONGFULLY CHARGED CRIMINAL DEFENDANT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD HEREBY SUBMITS HIS VERIFICATION OF HIS SECOND APPENDIX OF EXHIBITS 1-10.

WRONGFULLY CHARGED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S SECOND VERIFIED APPENDIX OF EXHIBITS IN SUPPORT OF HIS RESPONSE TO JUDICIAL OFFICER MARK WINDHAM'S BAIL REVOCATION, IN SUPPORT OF HIS MOTION TO DISMISS, IN SUPPORT OF CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE § 745 MOTION, IN SUPPORT OF HIS MOTION TO COMPEL, AND IN SUPPORT OF HIS UPCOMING FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT CIVIL RIGHTS LAWSUITS

and I know the contents thereof. The facts stated therein are true and within my personal knowledge, except as to those matters which are therein alleged on information and belief, and as to those matters, I believe them also to be true.

If I were called upon to testify, I could and would testify competently as to the matters stated herein and therein.

Pursuant to **California Evidence Code § 250** are all writings that were created by AROGANT HOLLYWOOD between November 28, 2023, and May 9, 2025. Except for Exhibit 2 was created by AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S former friend Cheryl Lynn Kaylor on February 13, 2024.

Pursuant to **California Evidence Code § 1401** AROGANT HOLLYWOOD hereby authenticates Exhibits 1-10 as he was the person that either created or instructed a natural person to create each electronic mail message attached hereto between November 28, 2023, and May 9, 2025. Each Exhibit with the exception of Exhibit 2 was solely created by AROGANT HOLLYWOOD by him composing an electronic mail message and transmitting from his Alphabet Inc. Gmail account

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

to the world-wide internet, and AROGANT HOLLYWOOD therefore has personal knowledge as to the manner in which each writing was originally recorded as state herein below.

Pursuant to **California Evidence Code § 410** Exhibits 1-10 are all direct evidence that cannot be countered by any evidence of the People because Exhibits 1-10 prove the factual innocence of AROGANT HOLLYWOOD regarding frivolous and fabricated Counts 3, 4, and 5 without the need for any inference or presumption.


Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws and Constitution of California and the United States that every word, sentence, paragraph, and page of this Criminal Law Verification of Defendant's Second Verified Appendix of Exhibits is true and correct.

DATE: May 10, 2025

BY: Arogant Hollywood

Arogant Hollywood, *Esq.*
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106
Mobile: (206) 471-1344
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Spirit of Esquire



PROOF OF SERVICE

I certify that on May 12, 2025, I served one copy of the foregoing.

WRONGFULLY CHARGED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S VERIFIED APPENDIX OF EXHIBITS IN SUPPORT OF HIS RESPONSE TO JUDICIAL OFFICER MARK WINDHAM'S BAIL REVOCATION, IN SUPPORT OF HIS MOTION TO DISMISS, IN SUPPORT OF CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE § 745 MOTION, IN SUPPORT OF HIS MOTION TO COMPEL, AND IN SUPPORT OF HIS UPCOMING FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT CIVIL RIGHTS LAWSUITS

, and any and all attachments (PHYSICAL PAPER COPY OF EXHIBITS 1-), SERVED IN PERSON ON THE DDA AT THE MAY 12, 2025 HEARING ON THE following:

(SBN #

210 WEST TEMPLE STREET
DEPARTMENT 45
LOS ANGELES, CA 90012

AND BY ELECTRONICALLY MAILING ON MAY 10, 2025, ON THE FOLLOWING:

WILLOW LEAH ROSENTHAL, SBN # 345751, PRELIMINARY HEARING DIVISION DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY WORKING FROM THE PRELIMINARY HEARING DIVISION OF COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
wkarfiol@da.lacounty.gov

SCOTT DOUGLAS DOMINGUEZ, SBN # 228843, ASSISTANT HEAD DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY IN CHARGE OF PRELIMINARY HEARING DIVISION OF COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE.
sdominguez@da.lacounty.gov

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

ALEXANDER MICHAEL KARKANEN, SBN # 162377, HEAD DEPUTY IN CHARGE OF PRELIMINARY HEARING DIVISION OF COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

akarkanen@da.lacounty.gov

A copy of FedEx tracking for Nathan Joseph Hochman for Original Verified Appendix of Exhibits attached hereto

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws and Constitution of California, and under the laws, federal statutes, and Constitution of the United States of America, that the foregoing declaration.

DATE: May 10, 2025

BY: Arogant Hollywood

Arogant Hollywood, ***Esq.***

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq.
1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD.
PASADENA, CA 91106
Mobile: 206.471.1344
aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted
Spirit of Esquire

people of the state of california, et al v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD

Case No. . 25CJCM02162-01

Exhibit 1 is a true and correct copy of AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S electronic mail Gmail message he sent to numerous County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutors, California Department of Justice attorneys, and County of Los Angles Office County Counsel attorneys on November 28, 2023.

Exhibit 1 proves by clear and convincing evidence that Brian MARK Rosenberg recklessly violated California Penal Code § 148.5, California Government Code §

EXHIBIT 1

6200, and 18 United States Code § 242 by fabricating lies and making intentionally false statements to rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang that AROGANT HOLLYWOOD sent him an electronic mail message on November 28, 2023.

Exhibit 1 proves by clear and convincing evidence that rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, carelessly, deliberately, knowingly, and callously created and authored a false police report that fabricated lies and made intentionally false statements that a copy of Brian MARK Rosenberg's brosenberg@da.lacounty.gov November 28, 2023 electronic mail message was attached to the end of his city of Alhambra crime report.

Exhibit 1 proves by clear and convincing evidence that RACIST and BIASED County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutors willfully, recklessly, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, deliberately, maliciously, and callously filed criminal prosecutors filed frivolous counts 1, 2, and 3 without a single piece of evidence and entirely without probable cause.

Exhibit 1 proves by clear and convincing evidence that RACIST and BIASED County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutors willfully, recklessly, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, deliberately, maliciously, and callously violated State Bar of California Rules of Professional Conduct Rules 3.1, 3.3, and 3.4; California Business and Professions Code § 6068, and American Bar Association Models Rules of Professional Conduct Rules 3.1, 3.3., and 3.4 by filing frivolous counts 1, 2, and 3 without a single piece of evidence and entirely without probable cause.

EXHIBIT 1

I declare, under penalty of perjury, under the laws and Constitution of California and the United States, that every word, sentence, paragraph, and page of the foregoing is true and accurate.

DATE: May 10, 2025

BY: _____

Arogant Hollywood, *Esq.*
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106
Mobile: (206) 471-1344
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
Spirit of Esquire

EXHIBIT 1

Gmail

Alison Fairchild <alisonhfairchild@gmail.com>

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. state of california, united states, county of los angeles, city of south pasadena, city of arcadia, et al [SECOND EMAIL] [REAL VIDEO THAT PROVES THAT I WAS FRAMED FOR VIOLATING CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE SECTION 422(a)

103 messages

Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com> Tue, Nov 28, 2023 at 4:02 AM
To: attorney@neilopdahl.com, Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>, arogantmusicinc@gmail.com, fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com, causeofaction43@gmail.com, blacklawgenius@gmail.com, alisonhfairchild@gmail.com, "blacklawcrusader@gmail.com" <blacklawcrusader@gmail.com>, rluna@lasd.org, rluna@lacounty.gov, atardy@lacounty.org, jtorres@lasd.org, saloma@lacounty.org, llecrivain@lasd.org, bchase@lacounty.gov, rlewis@lacounty.gov, llecrivain@lacounty.org, jmeza@lasd.org, ned.harlan@doj.ca.gov, nishdeep.singh@doj.ca.gov, robert.bonta@doj.ca.gov, OPSChiefsOffice@doj.ca.gov, peter.halloran@doj.ca.gov, amyhhalloran@yahoo.com, peterhalloran@sbcglobal.net, alisha.carlile@doj.ca.gov, snpdragn@aol.com, acarlile@alum.wellesley.edu, concertina9@yahoo.com, alishastephen@yahoo.com.au, alehr17@u.washington.edu, girlygirl8384@aol.com, akeened@yahoo.com, lish34@hotmail.com, piccbodin@hotmail.com, lachapell_a@yahoo.com, alishaoku@yahoo.com, alisha.mai@gmail.com, alisha.marks@rogers.com, vincent.bonotto@doj.ca.gov, vbonotto@hotmail.com, glenn.pruden@doj.ca.gov, eyeless77@hotmail.com, daniel.olivas@doj.ca.gov, giam.nguyen@doj.ca.gov, chad.stegeman@doj.ca.gov, stephen.lew@doj.ca.gov, christopher.findley@doj.ca.gov, dennis.beck@doj.ca.gov, darrell.spence@doj.ca.gov, maggy.krell@doj.ca.gov, Elaine.tong@doj.ca.gov, hannah.calacsan@doj.ca.gov, mo.park@doj.ca.gov, dbuthler@lasuperiorcourt.org, dslayton@lasuperiorcourt.org, sclover@lasuperiorcourt.org, jmoses@lasuperiorcourt.org, ppenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, tpenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, chase.penrose@gmail.com, mackenzienicole@gmail.com, trishpenrose@att.net, TCPJAC_CEAC@jud.ca.gov, afisher@lasuperiorcourt.org, jonathan.sibayan@jud.ca.gov, mary.carter@jud.ca.gov, john.williams@jud.ca.gov, amber.barnett@jud.ca.go, robert.oyung@jud.ca.gov, john.yee@jud.ca.gov, heather.pettit@jud.ca.gov, leah.rose-goodwin@jud.ca.gov, mark.dusman@jud.ca.gov, cory.jasperson@jud.ca.gov, jenniejasperson@gmail.com, kelton.jasperson@gmail.com, cjasperson2@mindspring.com, judy.jasperson@att.net, randie.chance@doj.ca.gov, randie.chance@jud.ca.gov, Bobby.brow@jud.ca.gov, stephen.nash@jud.ca.gov, debbie.silcox@jud.ca.gov, laura.speed@jud.ca.gov, andrea.evans@jud.ca.gov, nicole.claro@jud.ca.gov, gavin.newsom@gov.ca.gov, robert.rivas@asm.ca.gov, isaac.bryan@asm.ca.gov, cecilia.aguiar-curry@asm.ca.gov, stephanie.nguyen@asm.ca.gov, lori.wilson@asm.ca.gov, matt.haney@asm.ca.gov, heath.flora@asm.ca.gov, laurie.davies@asm.ca.gov, turfritecr@yahoo.com, turfrite@aol.com, turfrite@yahoo.com, jami.davis@epix.net, vhuston@indy.rr.com, james.gallagher@asm.ca.gov, lisa.calderon@asm.ca.gov, john.ackler@sen.ca.gov, alisa.buckley@asm.ca.gov, benjamin.allen@sen.ca.gov, marie.alvarado-gil@sen.ca.gov, bob.archuleta@sen.ca.gov, angelique.ashby@sen.ca.gov, toni.atkins@sen.ca.gov, josh.becker@sen.ca.gov, catherine.blakespear@sen.ca.gov, steven.bradford@sen.ca.gov, anna.caballero@sen.ca.gov, dave.cortese@sen.ca.gov, brian.dahle@sen.ca.gov, steven.glazer@sen.ca.gov, lena.gonzalez@sen.ca.gov, shannon.grove@sen.ca.gov, melissa.hurtado@sen.ca.gov, brian.jones@sen.ca.gov, john.laird@sen.ca.gov, monique.limon@sen.ca.gov, mike.mcguire@sen.ca.gov, caroline.menjivar@sen.ca.gov, dave.min@sen.ca.gov, josh.newman@sen.ca.gov, janet.nguyen@sen.ca.gov, roger.niello@sen.ca.gov, rosilicie.ochoa-bogh@sen.ca.gov, steve.padilla@sen.ca.gov, anthony.portantino@sen.ca.gov, richard.roth@sen.ca.gov, susan.rubio@sen.ca.gov, kelly.seyarto@sen.ca.gov, nancy.skinner@sen.ca.gov, lola.smallwood-cuevas@sen.ca.gov, henry.stern@sen.ca.gov, thomas.umberg@sen.ca.gov, aisha.wahab@sen.ca.gov, scott.wiener@sen.ca.gov, scott.wilk@sen.ca.gov, brendan.murphy@sen.ca.gov, steve.veres@sen.ca.gov, nancy.pelosi@mail.house.gov, kevin.mccarthy@mail.house.gov, maxine.waters@mail.house.gov, kathleen.porter@mail.house.gov, katie.porter@mail.house.gov, kevin.kiley@mail.house.gov, mike.thompson@mail.house.gov, tom.mcclintock@mail.house.gov, ami.bera@mail.house.gov, doris.matsue@mail.house.gov, Rep Garamendi <john.garamendi@mail.house.gov>, josh.harder@mail.house.gov, Mark.desauinier@mail.house.gov, josh.duarte@mail.house.gov, eric.swalwell@mail.house.gov, kevin.mullin@mail.house.gov, anna.eshoo@mail.house.gov, ro.khanna@mail.house.gov, zoe.lofgren@mail.house.gov, Jimmy.panetta@mail.house.gov, kevinmccarty@mail.house.gov, jim.costa@mail.house.gov, Jay.obernolte@mail.house.gov, salud.carbajal@mail.house.gov, Raul.ruiz@mail.house.gov, julie.brownley@mail.house.gov, mike.garcia@mail.house.gov, judy.chu@mail.house.gov, tony.cardena@mail.house.gov, adam.schiff@mail.house.gov, brad.sherman@mail.house.gov, pete.aguilar@mail.house.gov, jimmy.gomez@mail.house.gov, Sydney.kamlager-dove@mail.house.gov, linda.sanchez@mail.house.gov, mark.takano@mail.house.gov, young.kin@mail.house.gov, ken.calvert@mail.house.gov, robert.garcia@mail.house.gov, nanette.barragan@mail.house.gov, michelle.steel@mail.house.gov, lou.correa@mail.house.gov,

atie.porter@mail.house.gov, darrell.issa@mail.house.gov, mike.levin@mail.house.gov, scott.peters@mail.house.gov, sara.jacobs@mail.house.gov, juan.vargas@mail.house.gov, scheduling_padilla@padilla.senate.gov, casework@padilla.senate.gov, david_montes@padilla.senate.gov, joshua_esquivel@padilla.senate.gov, david_grannis@feinstein.senate.gov, justin_schardin@feinstein.senate.gov, rachel_bombach@feinstein.senate.gov, president@whitehouse.gov, Kamala.harris@whitehouse.gov, leaht.wilson@calbar.ca.gov, leah.wilson50@yahoo.com, Elizabeth.hom@calbar.ca.gov, gina.crawford@calbar.ca.gov, rita.himes@calbar.ca.gov, esteinbach@aclusocal.org, manuel.alvarez@post.ca.gov, jim.grottkau@post.ca.gov, pgrottkau@yahoo.com, jamesgrottkau@aol.com, janie.grottkau@yahoo.com, annemarie.mugnaio@post.ca.gov, annemariedelmugnaio@gmail.com, chaseindixon@aol.com, obugrik@aol.com, sonya.baland@post.ca.gov, sonyar@comcast.net, cheryl.smith@post.ca.gov, colin.okeefe@post.ca.gov, cokeefe@post.ca.gov, dulce_cakes4u@yahoo.com, ulce_cakes4u@aol.com, gspinger5@yahoo.com, cokeefe@ci.davis.ca.us, colin.o'keefe530@verizon.net, co'keefe1514@bellsouth.net, sarah.wallace@post.ca.gov, william.darden@post.ca.gov, william.darden@doj.ca.gov, rpthjrcy@uojsdp.edu, williamtdarden@gmail.com, William.darden@urs.com, carrie.hollar@post.ca.gov, carrie.berg82@gmail.com, cberg@solanocounty.com, carrie.hollar@aol.com, erik.apperson@post.ca.gov, Ifey8808@gmail.com, wifey8808@gmail.com, erikandannaapperson@hotmail.com, onecubsfan@hotmail.com, egrundman@hotmail.com, todders@hotmail.com, erikapperson@hotmail.com, erik.apperson@yahoo.com, sb2@post.ca.gov, christine.ford@post.ca.gov, michelle.weiler@post.ca.gov, wildweilers@msn.com, cateweiler@msn.com, meagan.poulos@post.ca.gov, rosanne.richeal@post.ca.gov, thomas.chalk@post.ca.gov, katie.strickland@post.ca.gov, kirk.bunch@post.ca.gov, brad.newmyer@post.ca.gov, mike.radford@post.ca.gov, brian.south@post.ca.gov, goodwin.liu@jud.ca.gov, tani.cantil-sakauye@jud.ca.gov, joshua.groban@jud.ca.gov, martin.jenkins@jud.ca.gov, patricia.guerrero@jud.ca.gov, carol.corrigan@jud.ca.gov, leondra.kruger@jud.ca.gov, kellli.evans@jud.ca.gov, firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, cchen@bos.lacounty.gov, secondistrict@bos.lawcounty.gov, holly4assembly@gmail.com, hmitchell@yahoo.com, karnbass@cs.com, hjmitchel@aol.com, tumberg@mofo.com, holly@hollyjmitchell.com, rfleming-peters@counsel.lacounty.gov, mcarter@counsel.lacounty.gov, njenkins@lacounty.gov, njenkins@counsel.lacounty.gov, jgonzalez@counsel.lacounty.gov, lowt@metro.net, Tlow77@gmail.com, ryanthurlow@yahoo.com, tlow@lacounty.gov, tlow@counsel.lacounty.gov, tina@jmoilco.com, tlow7@yahoo.com, econard@lacounty.gov, econard@counsel.lacounty.gov, pepemendoza18@gmail.com, chelsaconrad@gmail.com, rmconard@gmail.com, kcluvsbarney@aol.com, susanconard@aol.com, s.conard@conardphoto.com, susan@conardphoto.com, sconard@conardphoto.com, sales@conardphoto.com, support@conardphoto.com, scollins@cleat.org, admin@conardphoto.com, conard@conardphoto.com, fawino@counsel.lacounty.gov, cbarnett@counsel.lacounty.gov, donnghiem@gmail.com, brucenguyen@gmail.com, donnghiem@hotmail.com, don@lacounty.gov, dnghiem@counsel.lacounty.gov, schoi@counsel.lacounty.gov, ltaing@counsel.lacounty.gov, cesar@lacounty.gov, cdelperal@counsel.lacounty.gov, cdelperal@aol.com, cesar_del_peral@yahoo.com, cdelperal@yahoo.com, cesardelperal1@yahoo.com, theflyingtrex02@gmail.com, jquindel79@yahoo.com, atkins.sarah28@gmail.com, rfstacy@gmail.com, Ineversleep55.mh@gmail.com, rourke@lacounty.gov, rstacy@counsel.lacounty.gov, rstacy-padilla@counsel.lacounty.gov, vowens@counsel.lacounty.gov, mearls@counsel.lacounty.gov, dcarlos@county.lacounty.gov, dcarlos@counsel.lacounty.gov, victoriacarlos@gmail.com, dcarlos31@gmail.com, debbie.carlos818@verizon.net, victoria.carlos@gmail.com, humbert@ptminc.com, info@ptm-inc.com, psncarits@aol.com, jcarlos@msmc.la.edu, yngidea@gmail.com, richard.padilla@disney.com, andria.seo@disabilityrightsca.org, andiseo@gmail.com, wishinwaiting@hotmail.com, echu@counsel.lacounty.gov, sgipson@counsel.lacounty.gov, sladyesq@gmail.com, sladyesq@aol.com, Dhrubajyoti Deb <djdesign@gmail.com>, michaelstreetman@gmail.com, merugori@aol.com, rolaidz@yahoo.com, dtwister22@yahoo.com, dtwister@yahoo.com, sshon@counsel.lacounty.gov, rigesq@yahoo.com, grigesq@msn.com, gproducer@hotmail.com, mrsmithgcs@aol.com, azuiderweg@counsel.lacounty.gov, mruben@counsel.lacounty.gov, ctaylor@counsel.lacounty.gov, schan@counsel.lacounty.gov, kmuir@counsel.lacounty.gov, eggerse@metro.net, eggers@counsel.lacounty.gov, mrolon@counsel.lacounty.gov, mirder@hotmail.com, doug@homealert.com, doug.richardson@homealert.com, pghaderi@counsel.lacounty.gov, rsaldana@counsel.lacounty.gov, ndavis-tinkham@counsel.lacounty.gov, Nicole Davis <nikki.davis1212@gmail.com>, tink4d1@gmail.com, nadtinkham@gmail.com, brind0313@gmail.com, darrellltinkham@yahoo.com, jwhitehurst@counsel.lacounty.gov, ltolani@counsel.lacounty.gov, lester.tolnai@yahoo.com, rclester.tolnai71@yahoo.com, pmarshall@counsel.lacounty.gov, abyers@counsel.lacounty.gov, rcartwright@counsel.lacounty.gov, rwong@counsel.lacounty.gov, tfaughnan@counsel.lacounty.gov, tomfaughnan66@gmail.com, jlehman@counsel.lacounty.gov, kmiles@counsel.lacounty.gov, rbaeza@counsel.lacounty.gov, skuhn@counsel.county.gov, rchastang@counsel.lacounty.gov, lcampo@counsel.lacounty.gov, msimon@counsel.lacounty.gov, emiller@counsel.lacounty.gov, elizabeth_dumais@yahoo.com, kaigrant19@yahoo.com, pmomon@yahoo.com, pbollinger@counsel.lacounty.gov, kbowser@counsel.lacounty.gov, rebrahim@da.lacounty.gov, kkoyano@da.lacounty.gov, dteran@da.lacounty.gov, tsereno@lacounty.gov, tsereno@da.lacounty.gov, mbeaart@da.lacounty.gov, ron.geltz@lacounty.gov, rgeltz@da.lacounty.gov, Manik Bhoi <manik.bhoi@gmail.com>, withani@verizon.net, eric.castano@charter.net, jcastano@da.lacounty.gov, eric.castano@juno.com, ericcastano@gmail.com, JIniguez@da.lacounty.gov, fsanchez@da.lacounty.gov, rarcos@da.lacounty.gov, jrodriguez@dalacounty.gov, swoo@da.lacounty.gov, pagrawal@da.lacounty.gov, cnakao@da.lacounty.gov, vgadams@msn.com, jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov, ljkessner@da.lacounty.gov, ldroeger@da.lacounty.gov, jdsilva@ceo.lacounty.gov, jsilva@da.lacounty.gov,

hborden@da.lacounty.gov, amattson@da.lacounty.gov, eratino@da.lacounty.gov, shaungipson@gmail.com, sgipson@da.lacounty.gov, twright@da.lacounty.gov, mcarrillo@da.lacounty.gov, gdameron@da.lacounty.gov, ayochelson@da.lacounty.gov, spresby@da.lacounty.gov, shassett@da.lacounty.gov, bjdodd@da.lacounty.gov, lorquiola@da.lacounty.gov, mramirez@da.lacounty.gov, ralarcon@da.lacounty.gov, shulefeld@da.lacounty.gov, smire@da.lacounty.gov, mhaidar@da.lacounty.gov, pkouch@da.lacounty.gov, vrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov, dpurvis@da.lacounty.gov, rarias@lacounty.gov, ezneimer@socal.rr.com, evelyn.zneimer@yahoo.com, samsterz78@hotmail.com, zneimer@yahoo.com, samuel.zneimer@yahoo.com, daphotrain@hotmail.com, daphne.zneimer@gmail.com, dzneimer@yahoo.com, InspectorGeneral@oig.lacounty.gov, doug.mcmanus@usdoj.gov, melinda.rogers@usdoj.gov, stacie.bass@usdoj.gov, courtney.spivey-urschel@usdoj.gov, AskDOJ@usdoj.gov, merrick.garland@whitehouse.gov, SupremeCtBriefs@usdoj.gov, kerry.monaco@usdoj.gov, vanita.gupta@whitehouse.gov, kristen.clarke@usdoj.gov, Christopher.wray@whitehouse.gov, christopher@fbi.gov, paul.abbate@ic.fbi.gov, paul.abbate@whitehouse.gov, paulabbate@yahoo.com, pa8160@hotmail.com, fdsanchez@bellgardens.org, "bgbarcenam@gmail.com" <bgbarcenam@gmail.com>, "jchavez@bellgardens.org" <jchavez@bellgardens.org>, ggomez@bellgardens.org, mpulido@bellgardens.org, MOKelly@bellgardens.org, sfairfield@bellgardens.org, Esteban Perez <EPerez@bgpd.org>, sfairfield@bgpd.org, tguzmansanchez@hotmail.com, tguzmansanchez@aol.com, thomasguzmansanchez@hotmail.com, guzmansanchez@aol.com, ceo@gsmediagroup.com, tgsvrlmuzic@dancemaster.com, r@dancemaster.com, godaddy@dancemaster.com

On February 21, 2023 I was falsely arrested by LASD Temple City peace officers for making criminal threats.

On February 21, 2023 shortly after arriving at Temple City adult detention center I told detective peace officer Billy Khounthavong and his superior sergeant Richard Lewis that if they brought me my mobile phone and GOPRO camera I could present to them audio and video evidence that would prove I did not make any threat.

On February 22, 2023 rogue and corrupt LASD peace officer Billy Khounthavong and his superior Richard Lewis recklessly conspired to violate my civil rights by disposing of Samsung Galaxy S21 Ultra mobile phone which I told them contained on it audio recordings and text messages that would exonerate me.

On February 22, 2023 Billy Khounthavong filed a bogus police report alleging that a search warrant for my GOPRO was issued by the dishonest, racist, and bias judicial officer Suzette Clover. The search warrant was so bogus that I never received a certified copy of it despite requesting it on numerous occasions. The bogus warrant was alleged to have been electronically filed yet it did not have the words duplicate original written on it, and it did not have the execution date and time written on it. I was never served and did not receive a copy of it until March 2023.

On February 21, 2023 County of Los Angeles rogue peace officer A. Contreras created a fraudulent and counterfeit probable cause determination declaration in which he stated that the Honorable Jana Seng approved his bogus PCD at approximately 1 p.m., a time she was on her lunch break. But it gets worse, while LASD has its own system for entering PCD and sending them to the court. There are no records of Contreras' bogus PCD. Also, the fact that Contreras alleged that his PCD was approved and probable cause was found non telephonically was a bald face lie. Probable cause is usually found at a preliminary hearing when it's non telephonic. Additionally, a court reporter was required to transcribe Contreras' non telephonic probable cause determination declaration. See now California Penal Code Section 817, subdivision (g). Additionally, LASD and rogue peace officer A. Contreras will and recklessly failed to file a certificate of service with Jana Seng's court after the alleged arrest warrant was approved by her. See now California Penal Code Section 817(i). LASD and A. Contreras never filed a certificate of service because they knew that their probable cause determination declaration was shamed, bogus, counterfeit, false, forged, and fraudulent.

On or about February 23, 2023 rogue and dishonest peace officer detective lying Billy Khounthavong wrongfully and unnecessarily sent my GOPRO HERO 10 action camera to LASD and Ventura Sheriff's Office's joint Fraud and Cyber Crimes' Southern California High Tech Task Force so that they could illegally manipulate my GOPRO videos to make me look guilt of a crime they knew that I did not commit.

At the preliminary hearing on April 4, 2023 and April 5, 2023 dishonest deputy district attorney Brian Mark Rosenberg willfully, wantonly, and recklessly violated California Evidence Code Section 1522, subdivision (b) by presenting to the court as evidence unoriginal, tainted, tampered with, edited, modified, and altered GOPRO video recordings..

At the preliminary hearing on April 5, 2023 Brian Mark Rosenberg assisted Billy Khoungthavong in testifying falsely that I shut off my GOPRO for seven minutes during the make believe knife and criminal threats separate incidents. While Billy Khounthavong was on the stand racist, biased and dishonest criminal prosecutor Brian Mark Rosenberg played an edited and altered GOPRO video recording that depicted and showed me shutting off my GOPRO camera

just prior to reentering the now deceased fake victim Jennifer Hutton-Heger. This particular altered video was never turned over to myself, my co-counsel Neil Opdahl-Lopez, or any of my private investigators Carlos Jackson and then Joel Wynn at any time. In general Brian Mark Rosenberg, James Garrison, Sharon Lee Woo, George Gascon, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, and Ronald Geltz all recklessly violated my Due Process Clause Fourteenth Amendment rights by recklessly failing to turn over a copy of original GOPRO video recordings to me, and turn also turn over a copy of altered GOPRO video recording that falsely shows me shutting off my GOPRO camera.

At the preliminary hearing LASD, Brian Mark Rosenberg, and rogue peace officer Billy Khounthavong further framed me by lying about the length of Jennifer Hutton's first 911 call length, and also lying that her first call ended at 10:07 a.m. and her second call commenced between 10:09 a.m. and 10:10 a.m. LIARS! The People's own CAD report shows that Hutton's second 911 call commenced at 10:08 a.m. and even the judge did not dispute near my conviction of this case that her first call ended at approximately 10:07 a.m. and 50 seconds. Thus, the time gap between the end of Hutton's first 911 call and the beginning of her second 911 call was approximately 30 seconds.

At the preliminary hearing on April 4, 2023 and April 5, 2023 in furtherance of the County of Los Angeles' conspiracy to frame me for a violent crime that I never committed. Brian Mark Rosenberg and LASD Temple City presented to the court broken up videos GL010586, GLO10587, GL010588, and GL010589. LASD's Southern California High Tech Task Force illegally did this and according to their job duties and descriptions. NONE OF THEM HAD NO BUSINESS TOUCHING AND ALTERING MY ENTIRELY EXCULPATORY GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS!

I TOLD THE WORTHLESS VIDEO AND AUDIO EXPERT I GOT THE COURT TO AWARD OVER 60 TOTAL HOURS AT A HOURLY RATE OF $ 200.00. THAT I NEEDED HIM TO COMBINE THE FOUR SEPARATED VIDEOS AND WRITE A REPORT BASED ON THE TWO 911 CALLS. I ALSO INSTRUCTED THOMAS GUZMAN-SANCHEZ TO ZOOM IN ON THE TEXT MESSAGES I WAS SENDING TO FAKE VICTIM JENNIFER HUTTON-HEGER IN MY ORIGINAL GOPRO VIDEO.

Of course, Guzman-Sanchez did nothing! However, after I was released from custody I got to work. I obtained a new 2023 gaming laptop that would allow me to play and combine all four broken up GOPRO video recordings and combine them.

IRONICALLY! THIS ONE CONTINUOUS ORIGINAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING DOES NOT SHOW ME EVER BRANDISHING A KNIFE, MAKING A CRIMINAL THREAT OR TOUCHING ANYTHING IN JENNIFER HUTTON-HEGER'S HOME EXCEPT MY OWN PROPERTY!

I WILL MOVE TO WITHDRAW MY PLEA AND DISMISS THE PEOPLE'S CASE NEXT MONTH JUST BEFORE THE DEADLINE OF ME FILING MY CRIMINAL APPEAL. BASED ON THE BELOW ATTACHED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING. THE LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE SHOULD NO OPPOSE MY MOTIONS.

PLEASE SEE BELOW INSTAGRAM VIDEO LINK TO ORIGINAL FEBRUARY 21, 2023 GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING.

https://www.instagram.com/reel/Czs0EpgOsSm/?utm_source=ig_web_copy_link&igshid=MzRlODBiNWFlZA==

https://www.instagram.com/reel/Cz0sb6auaos/?utm_source=ig_web_copy_link&igshid=MzRlODBiNWFlZA==

AT THE BOTTOM OF THIS EMAIL IS AN ATTACHMENT FOR THE ORIGINAL MP4 VIDEO FILE AND IT CAN BE EASILY DOWNLOADED TO ANYONE

EMAILED HEREIN!

I WILL SEND A SEPARATE EMAIL CONTAINING GOPRO VIDEOS SHOWING ME SENDING FAKE VICTIM JENNIFER HUTTON-HEGER EXCULPATORY TEXT MESSAGES UPCLOSE!

TO RACIST AND BIAS CITY OF BELL GARDENS PIG PEACE OFFICER ESTEBAN A. PEREZ. YOU RACIST BIAS PIECE OF SHIT! DON'T YOU EVER TREAT ME LIKE A CRIMINAL AGAIN FOOL! I AM 100% INNOCENT!

Arogant Hollywood, ***Esq.***
1308 E. Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106
(323) 200-4449

Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com





REAL VIDEO FOR 2-21-2023.mp4

Alison Fairchild <alisonhfairchild@gmail.com> Thu, Jan 4, 2024 at 4:19 PM
To: swoo@da.lacounty.gov, cpark@dalacounty.gov, attorney@neilopdahl.com, vrodiguez@dalacounty.gov, smire@dalacounty.gov, gascon@dalacounty.gov, ljackson@lasuperior.org

[Quoted text hidden]

Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com> Thu, Jan 4, 2024 at 4:20 PM
To: alisonhfairchild@gmail.com

Address not found

Your message wasn't delivered to **cpark@dalacounty.gov** because the domain dalacounty.gov couldn't be found. Check for typos or unnecessary spaces and try again.

LEARN MORE

The response was:

```
DNS Error: DNS type 'mx' lookup of dalacounty.gov responded with code NXDOMAIN Domain name not found: dalacounty.gov Learn more at https://support.google.com/mail/?p=BadRcptDomain
```

Final-Recipient: rfc822; cpark@dalacounty.gov
Action: failed
Status: 5.1.2
Diagnostic-Code: smtp; DNS Error: DNS type 'mx' lookup of dalacounty.gov responded with code NXDOMAIN Domain name not found: dalacounty.gov Learn more at https://support.google.com/mail/?p=BadRcptDomain
Last-Attempt-Date: Thu, 04 Jan 2024 16:20:07 -0800 (PST)

---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: Alison Fairchild <alisonhfairchild@gmail.com>
To: swoo@da.lacounty.gov, cpark@dalacounty.gov, attorney@neilopdahl.com, vrodiguez@dalacounty.gov, smire@dalacounty.gov, gascon@dalacounty.gov, ljackson@lasuperior.org
Cc:
Bcc:
Date: Thu, 4 Jan 2024 18:19:56 -0600
Subject: Fwd: AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. state of california, united states, county of los angeles, city of south pasadena, city of arcadia, et al [SECOND EMAIL] [REAL VIDEO THAT PROVES THAT I WAS FRAMED FOR VIOLATING CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE SECTION 422(a)
----- Message truncated -----

Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com> Thu, Jan 4, 2024 at 4:20 PM
To: alisonhfairchild@gmail.com



Address not found

Your message wasn't delivered to **smire@dalacounty.gov** because the domain dalacounty.gov couldn't be found. Check for typos or unnecessary spaces and try again.

LEARN MORE

The response was:

```
DNS Error: DNS type 'mx' lookup of dalacounty.gov responded with code NXDOMAIN Domain name not found: dalacounty.gov Learn more at https://support.google.com/mail/?p=BadRcptDomain
```

Final-Recipient: rfc822; smire@dalacounty.gov
Action: failed
Status: 5.1.2
Diagnostic-Code: smtp; DNS Error: DNS type 'mx' lookup of dalacounty.gov responded with code NXDOMAIN Domain name not found: dalacounty.gov Learn more at https://support.google.com/mail/?p=BadRcptDomain
Last-Attempt-Date: Thu, 04 Jan 2024 16:20:07 -0800 (PST)

---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: Alison Fairchild <alisonhfairchild@gmail.com>
To: swoo@da.lacounty.gov, cpark@dalacounty.gov, attorney@neilopdahl.com, vrodiguez@dalacounty.gov, smire@dalacounty.gov, gascon@dalacounty.gov, ljackson@lasuperior.org
Cc:
Bcc:
Date: Thu, 4 Jan 2024 18:19:56 -0600
Subject: Fwd: AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. state of california, united states, county of los angeles, city of south pasadena, city of arcadia, et al [SECOND EMAIL] [REAL VIDEO THAT PROVES THAT I WAS FRAMED FOR VIOLATING CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE SECTION 422(a)
----- Message truncated -----

Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com> Thu, Jan 4, 2024 at 4:20 PM
To: alisonhfairchild@gmail.com



Address not found

Your message wasn't delivered to **vrodiguez@dalacounty.gov** because the domain dalacounty.gov couldn't be found. Check

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq.
1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD.
PASADENA, CA 91106
Mobile: 206.471.1344
aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted
Spirit of Esquire

people of the state of california, et al v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD

Case No. . 25CJCM02162-01

Exhibit 2 is a true and correct copy of AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S electronic mail Gmail message he sent to numerous County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutors, California Department of Justice attorneys, and County of Los Angles Office County Counsel attorneys on February 13, 2024, at 6:14 PM.

Exhibit 2 proves by clear and convincing evidence that Brian MARK Rosenberg recklessly violated California Penal Code § 148.5, California Government Code §

EXHIBIT 2

6200, and 18 United States Code § 242 by fabricating lies and making intentionally false statements to rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang that AROGANT HOLLYWOOD sent him an electronic mail message on February 13, 2024.

Exhibit 2 proves by clear and convincing evidence that rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, carelessly, deliberately, knowingly, and callously created and authored a false police report that fabricated lies and made intentionally false statements that a copy of Brian MARK Rosenberg's brosenberg@da.lacounty.gov February 13, 2024 electronic mail message was attached to the end of his city of Alhambra crime report.

Exhibit 2 proves by clear and convincing evidence that RACIST and BIASED County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutors willfully, recklessly, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, deliberately, maliciously, and callously filed criminal prosecutors filed frivolous counts 1, 2, and 3 without a single piece of evidence and entirely without probable cause.

Exhibit 1 proves by clear and convincing evidence that RACIST and BIASED County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutors willfully, recklessly, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, deliberately, maliciously, and callously violated State Bar of California Rules of Professional Conduct Rules 3.1, 3.3, and 3.4; California Business and Professions Code § 6068, and American Bar Association Models Rules of Professional Conduct Rules 3.1, 3.3., and 3.4 by filing frivolous counts 1, 2, and 3 without a single piece of evidence and entirely without probable cause.

EXHIBIT 2

I declare, under penalty of perjury, under the laws and Constitution of California and the United States, that every word, sentence, paragraph, and page of the foregoing is true and accurate.

DATE: May 10, 2025

BY: _____

Arogant Hollywood, *Esq.*
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106
Mobile: (206) 471-1344
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
Spirit of Esquire

EXHIBIT 2

Gmail

Alison Fairchild <alisonhfairchild@gmail.com>

OFFICIAL COMPLAINT OF ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD ON BEHALF OF AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. sherrif robert luna, et al.

1 message

Cheryl Kaylor <cheridtitrader@gmail.com> Tue, Feb 13, 2024 at 6:14 PM
To: cparker@da.lacounty.gov, vrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov, swoo@da.lacounty.gov, tcheunglaw@gmail.com, rchew@apd.lacounty.gov, jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov, smire@da.lacounty.gov, mhaider@da.lacounty.gov, Ron Geltz <rgeltz@da.lacounty.gov>, gascon@da.lacounty.gov, Neil Opdahl-Lopez <attorney@neilopdahl.com>, rgarcia@pubdef.lacounty.gov, jesack@pubdef.lacounty.gov, rmarquez@pubdef.lacounty.gov, mrawi@pubdef.lacounty.gov, ljackson@lasuperior.org, ljackson@lasuperiorcourt.org, eanzoategui@apd.lacounmty.gov, Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>, Alison Fairchild <alisonhfairchild@gmail.com>, lacklawcrusader@gmail.com, "Cheryl (Cheri) Kaylor" <cheri@yourdocumentdoctor.com>, causeofaction43@gmail.com, Arogant Hollywood <arogantmusicinc@gmail.com>, fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com, villalobos@lasuperior.org, villalobos@lasuperiorcourt.org, mvillalobos@lasuperior.org, mvillalobos@lasuperiorcourt.org, sclover@lasuperior.org, sclover@lasuperiorcourt.org, robert.bonta@doj.ca.gov, pghaderi@counsel.lacounty.gov, abwers@counsel.lacounty.gov, msimon@counsel.lacounty.gov, amattson@da.lacounty.gov, getem626@gmail.com, bhernandez@pubdef.lacounty.gov, jtrochez@pubdef.lacounty.gov, leaht.wilson@calbar.ca.gov, leah.wilson50@yahoo.com, firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, cchen@bos.lacounty.gov, seconddistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, Third District <thirddistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>, secondistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, thirdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, fourthdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, hmitchell@yahoo.com, elizabeth.hom@calbar.ca.gov, jinacrawford@calbar.ca.gov, rjenkins@counsel.lacounty.gov, cbarnett@counsel.lacounty.gov, rluna@lasd.org, cdelperal@counsel.lacounty.gov, ndavis-tinkham@counsel.lacounty.gov, nadtinkham@gmail.com, jwhitehurst@counsel.lacounty.gov, pmarshall@counsel.lacounty.gov, rcartwright@counsel.lacounty.gov, jgonzolez@counsel.lacounty.gov, rwong@counsel.lacounty.gov, tfaughnan@counsel.lacounty.gov, tomfaughnan@gmail.com, jlehaman@counsel.lacounty.gov, kmiles@counsel.lacounty.gov, rbaeza@counsel.lacounty.gov, rchastang@counsel.lacounty.gov, lchampo@counsel.lacounty.gov, emiller@counsel.lacounty.gov, pbollinger@counsel.lacounty.gov, kbowser@counsel.lacounty.gov, rebrahim@da.lacounty.gov, kkoyano@da.lacounty.gov, dteran@da.lacounty.gov, tsereno@da.lacounty.gov, mbeaart@da.lacounty.gov, giniguez@da.lacounty.gov, fsanchez@da.lacounty.gov, rarcos@da.lacounty.gov, jrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov, pagrawai@da.lacounty.gov, cnakao@da.lacounty.gov, vaenlle-rochoa@da.lacounty.gov, vgadams@msn.com, ljkessner@da.lacounty.gov, Larry Droeger <ldroeger@da.lacounty.gov>, jsilva@da.lacounty.gov, hborden@da.lacounty.gov, sgipson@da.lacounty.gov, twright@da.lacounty.gov, mcarrillo@da.lacounty.gov, Alan Yochelson <ayochelson@da.lacounty.gov>, spresby@da.lacounty.gov, shassett@da.lacounty.gov, bjdodd@da.lacounty.gov, lorquida@da.lacounty.gov, lorquido@da.lacounty.gov, mramirez@da.lacounty.gov, ralarcon@da.lacounty.gov, shulefeld@da.lacounty.gov, Mario Haidar <mhaidar@da.lacounty.gov>, pkouch@da.lacounty.gov, dpurvis@da.lacounty.gov, cpark@da.lacounty.gov, rarias@da.lacounty.gov, courtney-spivey-urschel@us.doj.gov, courtney.spivey@us.doj.gov, doug.mcmanus@us.doj.gov, malinda.rogers@us.doj.gov, robert.mccarty@us.doj.gov, askdoj@us.doj.gov, supremectbriefs@us.doj.gov, kerrymonaco@us.doj.gov, kerrymonoco@us.doj.gov, elizabeth.prelogar@us.doj.gov, anitavanita.gupta@whitehouse.gov, kristen.clarke@us.doj.gov, criminal.division@us.doj.gov, nmacella@gmail.com, nmacella@us.doj.gov, brian.boynton@us.doj.gov, david.weiss@us.doj.gov, jacksmith@us.doj.gov, rakelrossi@gmail.com

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD

533-92-3047

12/09/1978

Booking: 6715489

LASD, LASD Medical Services, LA County CHS, LA County Mental Health, Sheriff Luna, County of Los Angeles and Jane and John DOES 1 through 20 are all recklessly, wantonly, maliciously and willfully violating 42 USC Sections 1981, 1983, 1985, 1986, 12131, 12132, Title 15, California Penal Code Section 6030 (Minimum Standards in local correctional facilities), my fourth, sixth, eighth and fourteenth amendment constitutional rights by recklessly and wantonly doing the following:

1. Recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, and willfully serving Hollywood approximately 75 packets of 2-slice non-wheat bread, approximately 43 half-pint GROSS 1% regular COW MILK cartons, approximately 42 half-pint GROSS 1% regular CHOCOLATE COW MILK, approximately 43 packets of UNHEALTHY Lucky brand peanut butter, and approximately 9 slices of GROSS processed COW CHEESE slices.

2. Recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, and willfully failing to place Hollywood on his doctor-prescribed SPECIAL DIET of high protein, no lactose, no peanut butter.

3. Recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, and willfully failing to conduct daily sick call on LASD TTCF 242 and 251.

4. In frivolous criminal case GA114055 recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, and willfully failing to dismiss the case and request that Hollywood be permitted to withdraw his plea after being served electronic video evidence on November 28, 2023, December 11, 2023, January 4, 2024, January 9, 2024, and January 16,2024 that proved the factual innocence of Arogant Hollywood by clear and convincing evidence showing that Hollywood never committed a crime on Feb 21, 2023.

5. Recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, and willfully failing to answer over 200 LASD Inmate Grievance Forms filed between March 1, 2023 and October 2023.

6. Recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, and willfully failing to immediately deliver LEGAL MAIL sent from ALHAMBRA SUPERIOR COURT.

7. Recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, and willfully failing to immediately deliver USPS PRIORITY MAIL CERTIFIED WITH RETURN RECEIPT LEGAL package that contained law books, pencils, writing paper, and erasers, which was delivered way back on Monday, January 22, 2024.

8. Recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, and willfully failing to fix the broken and dangerous GTL TELEPHONE in LASD TTCF 251, D-pod that is frequently used by Arogant Hollywood.

9. Recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, and willfully failing to fix the large refrigerator in LASD Tower Two, 251 resulting in DISABLED MENTAL HEALTH INMATE AROGANT HOLLYWOOD being served warm breakfasts and lunches that should have been kept refrigerated for hours prior to being served.

10. Recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, and willfully allowing and condoning 251 deputy peace officers, led by DANA, to leave on LOUD TELEVISION on January 23, 2024 and January 24, 2024 from 10 pm to 3 am.

11. Recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, and willfully failing to serve Hollywood 2 hot meals per day in direct violation of Title 15 and California Penal Code Section 6030.

12. Recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, and willfully failing to respond to emergency inmate medical grievances and medical request forms within 15 days, in direct violation of Title 15 and California Penal Code Section 6030.

13. Recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, and willfully engaging in a County of Los Angeles custom, tradition, practice, policy and procedure of denying mental health inmates access to regular library and law library in direct violation of Title 15 and California Penal Code Section 6030.

14. Recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, and willfully engaging in a County of Los Angeles custom, tradition, practice, policy and procedure of providing and feeding mental health inmates with protein-deficient lunches and dinners.

15. Recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, and willfully continuing to serve and have served Arogant Hollywood approximately 43 luke-warm lunches that contained cancer-causing sodium nitrate lunch meat, in direct violation of Title 15's mandatory two-hot meals be served a day by correctional facilities STATUTE.

16. Willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, and callously violating 15CCR Section 1066, 1067, and 1068, Los Angeles County Superior Court Local rule 8.42, and 8th and 14th amendments of the US Constitution. Recklessly and callously allowing LASD Lt. Chow to place Hollywood on disciplinary for requesting that Lt. Chow facilitate a processor server being allowed to pick up legal papers and give them to Alison Fairchild to

take to Alhambra Superior
Court.

17. Willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, and callously violating 15CCR Section 1066, 1067, and 1068, Los Angeles County Superior Court Local rule 8.42, and 8th and 14th amendments of the US Constitution. Recklessly and callously allowing numerous TTCF Watch Commanders on Feb 13, 2024 to recklessly and callously refuse to allow a licensed California Process Server to pick up papers from Arogant Hollywood and deliver them to Alison Fairchild to take to Alhambra Superior Court.

18. Willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, and callously violating 15CCR Section 1066, 1067, and 1068, Los Angeles County Superior Court Local rule 8.42, and 8th and 14th amendments of the US Constitution. Recklessly and callously refusing to allow Arogant Hollywood's criminal defense attorney Neil Opdahl Lopez to pick up papers from Arogant Hollywood so he can file in Alhambra Superior Court.

Sincerely,
Alison Helen Fairchild
1308 E. Colorado Blvd, Pasadena, CA 91106
fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com
(626) 755-6442

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq.
1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD.
PASADENA, CA 91106
Mobile: 206.471.1344
arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted
Spirit of Esquire

people of the state of california, et al v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD

Case No. . 25CJCM02162-01

Exhibit 3 is a true and correct copy of AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S electronic mail Gmail message he sent to numerous County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutors, California Department of Justice attorneys, and County of Los Angles Office County Counsel attorneys on June 8, 2024, at 8:03 AM.

Exhibit 3 proves by clear and convincing evidence that Brian MARK Rosenberg recklessly violated California Penal Code § 148.5, California Government Code § 6200, and 18 United States Code § 242 by fabricating lies and making intentionally

---

EXHIBIT 3

false statements to rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang that AROGANT HOLLYWOOD sent him an electronic mail message on June 8, 2024, at 8:03 AM.

Exhibit 3 proves by clear and convincing evidence that rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, carelessly, deliberately, knowingly, and callously created and authored a false police report that fabricated lies and made intentionally false statements that a copy of Brian MARK Rosenberg's brosenberg@da.lacounty.gov June 8, 2024 at 8:03 AM. electronic mail message was attached to the end of his city of Alhambra crime report.

Exhibit 3 proves by clear and convincing evidence that RACIST and BIASED County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutors willfully, recklessly, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, deliberately, maliciously, and callously filed criminal prosecutors filed frivolous counts 1, 2, and 3 without a single piece of evidence and entirely without probable cause.

Exhibit 3 proves by clear and convincing evidence that RACIST and BIASED County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutors willfully, recklessly, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, deliberately, maliciously, and callously violated State Bar of California Rules of Professional Conduct Rules 3.1, 3.3, and 3.4; California Business and Professions Code § 6068, and American Bar Association Models Rules of Professional Conduct Rules 3.1, 3.3., and 3.4 by filing frivolous counts 1, 2, and 3 without a single piece of evidence and entirely without probable cause.

EXHIBIT 3

I declare, under penalty of perjury, under the laws and Constitution of California and the United States, that every word, sentence, paragraph, and page of the foregoing is true and accurate.

DATE: May 10, 2025

BY: _____

Arogant Hollywood, *Esq.*
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106
Mobile: (206) 471-1344
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
Spirit of Esquire

EXHIBIT 3

Gmail

Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>

people v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA114055) & UPCOMING FIRST CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT TITLED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. judy whitehurst, et al [DEMAND PEOPLE AND LASD IMMEDIATELY TURN OVER PHONY LASD GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GLO10586 & GLO10587

100 messages

Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com> Sat, Jun 8, 2024 at 8:03 AM
To: secondistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, secondistrict@bos.la.county.gov, "Holly4Assembly@gmail.com" <Holly4Assembly@gmail.com>, hjmitchel@aol.com, jmerchan@wdacs.lacounty.gov, info@wdacs.lacounty.gov, arogantmusicinc@gmail.com, fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com, causeofaction43@gmail.com, blacklawgenius@gmail.com, alisonhfairchild@gmail.com, rluna@lasd.org, rluna@lacounty.gov, atardy@lacounty.org, jtorres@lasd.org, saloma@lacounty.org, llecrivain@lasd.org, bchase@lacounty.gov, rlewis@lacounty.gov, llecrivain@lacounty.org, jmeza@lasd.org, ned.harlan@doj.ca.gov, nishdeep.singh@doj.ca.gov, robert.bonta@doj.ca.gov, OPSChiefsOffice@doj.ca.gov, peter.halloran@doj.ca.gov, amyhhalloran@yahoo.com, peterhalloran@sbcglobal.net, alisha.carlile@doj.ca.gov, snpdragn@aol.com, acarlile@alum.wellesley.edu, concertina9@yahoo.com, alishastephen@yahoo.com.au, alehr17@u.washington.edu, girlygirl8384@aol.com, akeened@yahoo.com, lish34@hotmail.com, piccbodin@hotmail.com, lachapell_a@yahoo.com, alishaoku@yahoo.com, alisha.mai@gmail.com, alisha.marks@rogers.com, vincent.bonotto@doj.ca.gov, vbonotto@hotmail.com, glenn.pruden@doj.ca.gov, eyeless77@hotmail.com, daniel.olivas@doj.ca.gov, giam.nguyen@doj.ca.gov, chad.stegeman@doj.ca.gov, stephen.lew@doj.ca.gov, christopher.findley@doj.ca.gov, dennis.beck@doj.ca.gov, darrell.spence@doj.ca.gov, maggy.krell@doj.ca.gov, Elaine.tong@doj.ca.gov, hannah.calacsan@doj.ca.gov, mo.park@doj.ca.gov, dbuthler@lasuperiorcourt.org, dslayton@lasuperiorcourt.org, sclover@lasuperiorcourt.org, jmoses@lasuperiorcourt.org, ppenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, tpenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, chase.penrose@gmail.com, mackenzienicole@gmail.com, trishpenrose@att.net, TCPJAC_CEAC@jud.ca.gov, afisher@lasuperiorcourt.org, jonathan.sibayan@jud.ca.gov, mary.carter@jud.ca.gov, john.williams@jud.ca.gov, amber.barnett@jud.ca.go, robert.oyung@jud.ca.gov, john.yee@jud.ca.gov, heather.pettit@jud.ca.gov, leah.rose-goodwin@jud.ca.gov, mark.dusman@jud.ca.gov, cory.jasperson@jud.ca.gov, jenniejasperson@gmail.com, kelton.jasperson@gmail.com, cjasperson2@mindspring.com, judy.jasperson@att.net, randie.chance@doj.ca.gov, randie.chance@jud.ca.gov, Bobby.brow@jud.ca.gov, stephen.nash@jud.ca.gov, debbie.silcox@jud.ca.gov, laura.speed@jud.ca.gov, andrea.evans@jud.ca.gov, nicole.claro@jud.ca.gov, gavin.newsom@gov.ca.gov, cecilia.aguiar-curry@asm.ca.gov, stephanie.nguyen@asm.ca.gov, lori.wilson@asm.ca.gov, matt.haney@asm.ca.gov, heath.flora@asm.ca.gov, laurie.davies@asm.ca.gov, turfritecr@yahoo.com, turfrite@aol.com, president@whitehouse.gov, Kamala.harris@whitehouse.gov, leaht.wilson@calbar.ca.gov, leah.wilson50@yahoo.com, Elizabeth.hom@calbar.ca.gov, gina.crawford@calbar.ca.gov, rita.himes@calbar.ca.gov, esteinbach@aclusocal.org, manuel.alvarez@post.ca.gov, jim.grottkau@post.ca.gov, pgrottkau@yahoo.com, jamesgrottkau@aol.com, janie.grottkau@yahoo.com, annemarie.mugnaio@post.ca.gov, annemariedelmugnaio@gmail.com, chaseindixon@aol.com, obugrik@aol.com, sonya.baland@post.ca.gov, sonyar@comcast.net, cheryl.smith@post.ca.gov, colin.okeefe@post.ca.gov, cokeefe@post.ca.gov, dulce_cakes4u@yahoo.com, ulce_cakes4u@aol.com, gspinger5@yahoo.com, cokeefe@ci.davis.ca.us, colin.o'keefe530@verizon.net, co'keefe1514@bellsouth.net, sarah.wallace@post.ca.gov, william.darden@post.ca.gov, william.darden@doj.ca.gov, rpthjrcy@uojsdp.edu, williamtdarden@gmail.com, William.darden@urs.com, carrie.hollar@post.ca.gov, carrie.berg82@gmail.com, cberg@solanocounty.com, carrie.hollar@aol.com, erik.apperson@post.ca.gov, lfey8808@gmail.com, wifey8808@gmail.com, erikandannaapperson@hotmail.com, onecubsfan@hotmail.com, egrundman@hotmail.com, todders@hotmail.com, erikapperson@hotmail.com, erik.apperson@yahoo.com, sb2@post.ca.gov, christine.ford@post.ca.gov, michelle.weiler@post.ca.gov, wildweilers@msn.com, cateweiler@msn.com, meagan.poulos@post.ca.gov, rosanne.richeal@post.ca.gov, thomas.chalk@post.ca.gov, katie.strickland@post.ca.gov, kirk.bunch@post.ca.gov, brad.newmyer@post.ca.gov, mike.radford@post.ca.gov, brian.south@post.ca.gov, goodwin.liu@jud.ca.gov, tani.cantil-sakauye@jud.ca.gov, joshua.groban@jud.ca.gov, martin.jenkins@jud.ca.gov, patricia.guerrero@jud.ca.gov, carol.corrigan@jud.ca.gov, leondra.kruger@jud.ca.gov, kellli.evans@jud.ca.gov, firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, cchen@bos.lacounty.gov, secondistrict@bos.lawcounty.gov, hmitchell@yahoo.com, karnbass@cs.com, tumberg@mofo.com, holly@hollyjmitchell.com, rfleming-peters@counsel.lacounty.gov, mcarter@counsel.lacounty.gov, njenkins@lacounty.gov, njenkins@counsel.lacounty.gov, jgonzalez@counsel.lacounty.gov, lowt@metro.net, Tlow77@gmail.com, ryanthurlow@yahoo.com, tlow@lacounty.gov, tlow@counsel.lacounty.gov, tina@jmoilco.com, tlow7@yahoo.com, econard@lacounty.gov, econard@counsel.lacounty.gov, pepemendoza18@gmail.com, chelsaconrad@gmail.com, rmconard@gmail.com, kcluvsbarney@aol.com, susanconard@aol.com, s.conard@conardphoto.com,

susan@conardphoto.com, sconard@conardphoto.com, sales@conardphoto.com, support@conardphoto.com, scollins@cleat.org, admin@conardphoto.com, conard@conardphoto.com, fawino@counsel.lacounty.gov, cbarnett@counsel.lacounty.gov, donnghiem@gmail.com, brucenguyen@gmail.com, donnghiem@hotmail.com, don@lacounty.gov, dnghiem@counsel.lacounty.gov, schoi@counsel.lacounty.gov, ltaing@counsel.lacounty.gov, cesar@lacounty.gov, cdelperal@counsel.lacounty.gov, cdelperal@aol.com, cesar_del_peral@yahoo.com, cdelperal@yahoo.com, cesardelperal1@yahoo.com, theflyingtrex02@gmail.com, jquindel79@yahoo.com, atkins.sarah28@gmail.com, rfstacy@gmail.com, Ineversleep55.mh@gmail.com, rourke@lacounty.gov, rstacy@counsel.lacounty.gov, rstacy-padilla@counsel.lacounty.gov, vowens@counsel.lacounty.gov, mearls@counsel.lacounty.gov, dcarlos@county.lacounty.gov, dcarlos@counsel.lacounty.gov, victoriacarlos@gmail.com, dcarlos31@gmail.com, debbie.carlos818@verizon.net, victoria.carlos@gmail.com, humbert@ptminc.com, info@ptm-inc.com, psncarits@aol.com, jcarlos@msmc.la.edu, yngidea@gmail.com, richard.padilla@disney.com, andria.seo@disabilityrightsca.org, andiseo@gmail.com, wishinwaiting@hotmail.com, echu@counsel.lacounty.gov, sgipson@counsel.lacounty.gov, sladyesq@gmail.com, sladyesq@aol.com, Dhrubajyoti Deb <djdesign@gmail.com>, michaelstreetman@gmail.com, merugori@aol.com, rolaidz@yahoo.com, dtwister22@yahoo.com, dtwister@yahoo.com, sshon@counsel.lacounty.gov, rigesq@yahoo.com, grigesq@msn.com, gproducer@hotmail.com, mrsmithgcs@aol.com, azuiderweg@counsel.lacounty.gov, mruben@counsel.lacounty.gov, ctaylor@counsel.lacounty.gov, schan@counsel.lacounty.gov, kmuir@counsel.lacounty.gov, eggerse@metro.net, eggers@counsel.lacounty.gov, mrolon@counsel.lacounty.gov, mirder@hotmail.com, doug@homealert.com, doug.richardson@homealert.com, pghaderi@counsel.lacounty.gov, rsaldana@counsel.lacounty.gov, ndavis-tinkham@counsel.lacounty.gov, Nicole Davis <nikki.davis1212@gmail.com>, tink4d1@gmail.com, nadtinkham@gmail.com, brind0313@gmail.com, darrelltinkham@yahoo.com, jwhitehurst@counsel.lacounty.gov, ltolani@counsel.lacounty.gov, lester.tolnai@yahoo.com, rclester.tolnai71@yahoo.com, pmarshall@counsel.lacounty.gov, abyers@counsel.lacounty.gov, rcartwright@counsel.lacounty.gov, rwong@counsel.lacounty.gov, tfaughnan@counsel.lacounty.gov, tomfaughnan66@gmail.com, jlehman@counsel.lacounty.gov, kmiles@counsel.lacounty.gov, rbaeza@counsel.lacounty.gov, skuhn@counsel.county.gov, rchastang@counsel.lacounty.gov, lcampo@counsel.lacounty.gov, msimon@counsel.lacounty.gov, emiller@counsel.lacounty.gov, elizabeth_dumais@yahoo.com, kaigrant19@yahoo.com, pmomon@yahoo.com, pbollinger@counsel.lacounty.gov, kbowser@counsel.lacounty.gov, rebrahim@da.lacounty.gov, kkoyano@da.lacounty.gov, dteran@da.lacounty.gov, tsereno@lacounty.gov, tsereno@da.lacounty.gov, mbeaart@da.lacounty.gov, ron.geltz@lacounty.gov, rgeltz@da.lacounty.gov, Manik Bhoi <manik.bhoi@gmail.com>, withani@verizon.net, eric.castano@charter.net, jcastano@da.lacounty.gov, eric.castano@juno.com, ericcastano@gmail.com, JIniguez@da.lacounty.gov, fsanchez@da.lacounty.gov, rarcos@da.lacounty.gov, jrodriguez@dalacounty.gov, swoo@da.lacounty.gov, pagrawal@da.lacounty.gov, cnakao@da.lacounty.gov, vgadams@msn.com, jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov, ljkessner@da.lacounty.gov, ldroeger@da.lacounty.gov, jdsilva@ceo.lacounty.gov, jsilva@da.lacounty.gov, hborden@da.lacounty.gov, amattson@da.lacounty.gov, eratino@da.lacounty.gov, shaungipson@gmail.com, sgipson@da.lacounty.gov, twright@da.lacounty.gov, mcarrillo@da.lacounty.gov, gdameron@da.lacounty.gov, ayochelson@da.lacounty.gov, spresby@da.lacounty.gov, shassett@da.lacounty.gov, bjdodd@da.lacounty.gov, lorquiola@da.lacounty.gov, mramirez@da.lacounty.gov, ralarcon@da.lacounty.gov, shulefeld@da.lacounty.gov, smire@da.lacounty.gov, mhaidar@da.lacounty.gov, pkouch@da.lacounty.gov, vrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov, dpurvis@da.lacounty.gov, rarias@lacounty.gov, ezneimer@socal.rr.com, evelyn.zneimer@yahoo.com, samsterz78@hotmail.com, zneimer@yahoo.com, kristen.clarke@usdoj.gov, Christopher.wray@whitehouse.gov, christopher@fbi.gov, paul.abbate@ic.fbi.gov, paul.abbate@whitehouse.gov, paulabbate@yahoo.com, pa8160@hotmail.com, tguzmansanchez@hotmail.com, tguzmansanchez@aol.com, thomasguzmansanchez@hotmail.com, guzmansanchez@aol.com, ceo@gsmediagroup.com, tgsvrlmuzic@dancemaster.com, r@dancemaster.com, godaddy@dancemaster.com, tblacknell@da.lacounty.gov, mkapp@da.lacounty.gov, kalbrach@da.lacounty.gov, jjohnson@lacounty.gov, mmurray@da.lacounty.gov, kta@da.lacounty.gov, lklo@lasd.org, bskhount@lasd.org, CDCRCACLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CCRCMFLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCCILitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CCDCRCENLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCTFLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCMCLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCORLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCIMLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, FCORTEZ@cdcr.gov, Jeff.macomber@cdcr.ca.gov, Jennifer.barretto@cdcr.ca.gov, Tammy.foss@cdcr.ca.ov, Diana.toche@cdcr.ca.gov, albert.lundeen@cdcr.ca.gov, Jennifer.neil@cdcr.ca.gov, Sarah.larson@cdcr.ca.gov, Ron.broomfield@cdcr.ca.gov, Amy.casias@cdcr.ca.gov, Jason.johnson@cdcr.ca.gov, Chris.chambers@cdcr.ca.gov, Stacy.lopez@cdcr.ca.gov, Niki.dhillon@cdcr.ca.gov, Jennifer.benavidez@cdcr.ca.gov, heather.bowlds@cdcr.ca.gov, Jared.lozano@cdcr.ca.gov, Derrick.marion@cdcr.ca.gov, David.chriss@cdcr.ca.gov, Sarina.calderon@cdcr.ca.gov, Ying.sun@cdcr.ca.gov, Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>, aroganthollywoodgenius@yahoo.com, CDCRASPLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, fernando.cortez@cdcr.ca.gov, info@da.lacounty.gov, 12136331956@faxage.com, 16262814724@faxage.com, 12136330960@faxage.com, julie.kaplan@cdcr.ca.gov, sabrina.williams@cdcr.ca.gov, cory.alvarez@cdcr.ca.gov, jamal.rowe@cdcr.ca.gov, mark.cruise@cdcr.ca.gov, linda.larabee@cdcr.ca.gov, asvi.phuong@cdcr.ca.gov, jamiee.lacey@cdcr.ca.gov, dominique.hughes@cdcr.ca.gov, karen.reed@cdcr.ca.gov, manuel.banuelos@cdcr.ca.gov, "ecolor.pot@gmail.com" <ecolor.pot@gmail.com>,

"TCBecerra2016@gmail.com" <TCBecerra2016@gmail.com>, tarchuleetta@crowell.com, "tlopez@crowell.com" <tlopez@crowell.com>, tarchuletta@bellsouth.net, "theresa_c_lopez@hotmail.com" <theresa_c_lopez@hotmail.com>, "tarchuletta@gmail.com" <tarchuletta@gmail.com>, "cali80513@gmail.com" <cali80513@gmail.com>, theresa.chuletta@aug.com, tarchuletta@aol.com

people v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA114055) & UPCOMING FIRST CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT TITLED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. judy whitehurst, et al [DEMAND PEOPLE AND LASD IMMEDIATELY TURN OVER PHONY LASD GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GLO10586 & GLO10587.

BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG! WE MEET AGAIN AT LAST. I AM GOING TO MAKE SURE YOU GO TO STATE PRISON, YOU FU@@@@@ CROOKED AND CORRUPT PEACE OFFICER. YOU ARE A CRIMINAL!

On February 22, 2023, you created a phony search warrant so you could send my GoPro camera to your LASD Fraud and Cyber Crimes Bureau's Southern California High Tech Task Force (one of four high-tech task forces of California regulated by California DOJ). Regardless if corrupt judicial officer Susan Clover lied and covered for you. Your search warrant is uncertified, unoriginal, unattested, unverified, and unauthenticated. Further, proof that Lying Billy's warrant is phony is the fact that the Pasadena Superior Court has no records of ever receiving your warrant. Both you and DA failed to complete the LASD mandatory COUNTYWIDE WARRANT SYSTEM INITIAL CASE FILING FORM (LASC CRIM 126, REVISED ON JANUARY 20, 2024). IT WAS NEVER FILED BECAUSE YOU NEVER SUBMITTED YOUR SEARCH WARRANT TO THE SUPERIOR COURT, YOU ARE A CRIMINAL!


On April 4, 2023, you lied under oath that phony LASD-CREATED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GL010586 & GL010587 WERE DOWNLOADED FROM MY GOPRO CAMERA! FURTHER, YOU PLAYED THESE TWO VIDEOS IN THE SUPERIOR COURT ON APRIL 4, 2023, APRIL 5, 2023, & FEBRUARY 2, 2024 THAT DEPICTED AN AI POWERED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD WALKING AROUND FAKE VICTIM JENNIFER HUTTON HEGER'S HOUSE MECHANICALLY TOUCHING HIS GOPRO CAMERA BEFORE FINALLY SHUTTING IT OFF AS HE WALKED THROUGH A DARK HOUSE AND THEN OUTSIDE TO A DARK SKY! THIS VIDEO IS CLEARLY FAKE, AND HERE IS WHY YOU ARE CAUGHT NOW, FOOL!

THE REAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS ONLY SHOW AROGANT HOLLYWOOD INSIDE OF HUTTON-HERGER'S HOME FROM A POINT OF VIEW RECORDING VIEW. IN YOUR PHONY NEVER TURNED OVER TO THE DEFENSE LASD CREATED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS AI POWERED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD IS SEEN CENTERED IN YOUR GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING WITH HIS ENTIRE BACK AND BODY SHOWN ON THIS PHONY VIDEO RECORDING. IT WOULD HAVE BEEN IMPOSSIBLE FOR AROGANT TO RECORD THE BACKSIDE OF HIS BODY IF HIS GOPRO CAMERA WAS MOUNTED ON HIS CHEST. BUT THAT IS NOT ENOUGH TO CONVINCE THE SUPERIOR COURT TO OVERTURN THIS NEVER SHOULD HAVE BEEN FILED FRIVOLOUS CRIMINAL CASE.

BUT WHAT IS:

IN YOUR PHONY GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING GL010586 (WHICH WAS ORIGINALLY ONE CONTINUOUS 14-MINUTE VIDEO RECORDING) AI POWERED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD IS SEEN WALKING AROUND JENNIFER HUTTON-HEGER'S HOME MOMENTS BEFORE SHUTTING ON HIS GOPRO CAMERA WHILE WEARING DARK-COLORED SHORTS AND A FREAKIN TANK TOP!

THIS IS INCREDIBLY INTERESTING CRIMINALS BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG AND LEO KA FONG LO BECAUSE IN THE LASD POLICE VIDEO RECORDINGS AROGANT HOLLYWOOD IS SEEN WEARING A LONG SLEEVE COAT. IN THE REAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING, AROGANT HOLLYWOOD IS SEEN WEARING A LONG SLEEVE COAT. TAKE A LOOK AT THE IMAGES CREATED FROM VIDEO FRAME SEQUENCES OF THE REAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS! MOREOVER, AROGANT IS SEEN WEARING BAGGY PANTS, NOT THE SHORTS HE IS WEARING IN YOUR AI POWERED VIDEO RECORDINGS THAT WERE CLEARLY NOT RECORDED ON FEBRUARY 21, 2023.



AT 6 MINUTES AND 59 SECONDS INTO THE REAL VIDEO RECORDING AROGANT HOLLYWOOD IS DEPICTED WEARING A LONG COAT NOT A TANK TOP!



AT EIGHT MINUTES AND 33 SECONDS INTO THE REAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING, AROGANT HOLLYWOOD IS DEPICTED AND DISPLAYED ON VIDEO, STANDING IN A MIRROR WEARING A LONG-SLEEVED COAT. IN YOUR PHONY GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING GLO10587 AROGANT IS SEEN WALKING BY THE DOOR LIKE HE IS GOING OUTSIDE BUT HE IS NEVER SEEN STANDING IN THE MIRROR, BECAUSE YOUR VIDEO RECORDING WAS ALTERED AND DOCTORED BY LASD FRAUD AND CYBER CRIMES BUREAU SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA HIGH TECH TASK FORCE DISHONEST AND ROGUE PEACE OFFICERS.



AT 10 MINUTES AND 18 SECONDS AROGANT HOLLYWOOD IS AGAIN SEEN IN THE REAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING STANDING IN THE MIRROR INSIDE OF JENNIFER HUTTON-HEGER'S HOME. THE REAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING NOT ONLY PROVES YOU, MARK ROSENBERG, LO, AND LASD FALSIFIED EVIDENCE TO FRAME ME, BUT IT ALSO PROVES THAT, AS I TOLD THE SUPERIOR COURT, MY GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING GLO10586 WAS ONE CONTINUOUS GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING THAT LASD AND THE PEOPLE SPLIT UP SEVERAL TIMES TO FRAME ME!

WHEN 911 ASKED HUTTON-HEGER DURING HER FIRST 911 CALL WHAT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD WAS WEARING SHE TOLD 911 THAT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD WAS WEARING BAGGY PANTS AND A LONG SLEEVE SHIRT. HUTTON'S STATEMENTS CONTRADICT YOUR PHONY LASD-CREATED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS THAT MISLED THE CRIMINAL SUPERIOR COURT TO BELIEVE THAT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD WAS WEARING A TANK TOP AND SHORTS ON FEBRUARY 21, 2023 BETWEEN 9:59 AM AND 10:20 AM



AT 13 MINUTES AND 57 SECONDS INTO THE REAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S BODY IS SHOWN ON VIDEO REFLECTING OFF LASD PIG'S MARKED VEHICLE. AND WHAT IS HE STILL WEARING CRIMINALS LO AND LYING BILLY? HUH? HUH? A LONG SLEEVE COAT! SO THEN HOW DID AROGANT HOLLYWOOD CHANGE HIS CLOTHES IN SECONDS AFTER SHUTTING OFF HIS GOPRO CAMERA INTO A TANK TOP AND SHORTS, AND THEN SECONDS LATER CHANGE BACK INTO A COAT AND BAGGY PANTS, AND THEN YET, SECONDS LATER

CHANGE BACK INTO DARK-COLORED SHORTS AND TANK TOP AND THEN BACK INTO A LONG SLEEVE COAT AND BAGGY PANTS JUST BEFORE WALKING OUTSIDE TO GET FALSELY ARRESTED? ALL OF THIS CHANGING OCCURRING ACCORDING TO YOUR FALSE CASE WHILE JENNIFER HUTTON-HEGER IS LUNGING AT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD IN HER KITCHEN, AROGANT IS BRANDISHING A KNIFE, AROGANT HOLLYWOOD IS SPEAKING TO AN UBER DRIVER, AND IS CONSTANTLY KICKING AND PUNCHING ON JENNIFER HUTTON-HEGER'S FRONT DOOR ACCORDING TO HER FALSE TESTIMONY. THE REFLECTION OF AROGANT HOLLYWOOD SEEN STANDING IN HUTTON HEGER'S HOME WEARING A LONG SLEEVED COAT KILLS YOUR FINALLY DEAD FRIVOLOUS CONVICTION AND CASE!

PURSUANT TO CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE SECTION 1054.1, *Brady v. Maryland*, 373

U.S. 83, 83 S.Ct. 1194, 10 LEd.2d 215 (1963) & *United States v. Bagley*, 473 667, 682, 105 S.Ct. 3375, 87 L.Ed.2d 481 (1985) AROGANT HOLLYWOOD HEREBY DEMANDS THAT ROGUE PEACE OFFICERS BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG, LEO KA FONG LO, SHERIFF ROBERT LUNA, LASD, VENTURA SHERIFF'S OFFICE & SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA HIGH TECH TASK FORCE IMMEDIATELY DISCLOSE A COPY OF PHONY GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GL010586 AND GL010587 THAT FALSELY DEPICT AND DISPLAY AROGANT HOLLYWOOD SHUTTING OFF HIS GOPRO CAMERA AND WALKING NEAR HUTTON'S FRONT DOOR WITHOUT BEING SEEN STANDING IN HER MIRROR BY MAILING A USB FLASH DRIVE CONTAINING MP4 VERSIONS OF BOTH IMPEACHING VIDEO RECORDINGS TO:

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD

1308

EAST COLORADO BLVD.

PASADENA, CA 91106

PURSUANT TO CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE SECTION 1054.1, *Brady v. Maryland*, 373 U.S. 83, 83 S.Ct. 1194, 10 LEd.2d 215 (1963) *& United States v. Bagley*, 473 667, 682, 105 S.Ct. 3375, 87 L.Ed.2d 481 (1985), County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office DISCOVERY COMPLIANCE SYSTEM MANUAL & COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE LEGAL POLICIES MANUAL, CHAPTER 14: DISCLOSURE OF EXCULPATORY AND IMPEACHING INFORMATION AROGANT HOLLYWOOD HEREBY DEMANDS THAT RACIST, CORRUPT, BIASED, UNFAIR, AND PREJUDICED COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES CRIMINAL PROSECUTORS AND CRIMINAL OFFICE WORKING ATTORNEYS GEORGE GASCON, JOSEPH FRANK INIGUEZ, TIFFINY TOWNED BLACKNELL, RONALD MILLIARD GELTZ, JAMES WILLIAM

GARRISON, FERNANDO SANCHEZ, MICHAEL KAPP, KATHRYN ALBRACHT, ARISA MATTSON, TANISHA WRIGHT, MARC CORNELIS BEAART, STEPHANIE PEARL MIRE, LAURA JANE KESSNER, JANIS EVE JOHNSON, MARY ANNE MURRAY, KATHY UYEN TA, PAK B KOUCH, & ALL OTHER EMAILED COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES OFFICE OF COUNSEL MEMBERS IMMEDIATELY DISCLOSE A COPY OF PHONY GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GL010586 AND GL010587 THAT FALSELY DEPICT AND DISPLAY AROGANT HOLLYWOOD SHUTTING OFF HIS GOPRO CAMERA AND WALKING NEAR HUTTON'S FRONT DOOR WITHOUT BEING SEEN STANDING IN HER MIRROR BY MAILING A USB FLASH DRIVE CONTAINING MP4 VERSIONS OF BOTH IMPEACHING VIDEO RECORDINGS TO:

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD

1308

EAST COLORADO BLVD.

PASADENA, CA 91106

BASED ON THE OVERWHELMING EVIDENCE PRESENTED IN THIS EMAIL REGARDING MY INNOCENCE AND ALSO PROOF THAT THE PEOPLE AND LASD FRAMED ME FOR A SERIOUS FELONY CRIME I NEVER COMMITTED. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD HEREBY RESPECTFULLY REQUESTS THAT THE SECRETARY OF THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION IMMEDIATELY TERMINATE MY UNLAWFUL AND CLEARLY ILLEGAL PAROLE SUPERVISION.

Arogant Hollywood

Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com> Sat, Jun 8, 2024 at 8:03 AM
To: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com



Address not found

Your message wasn't delivered to **secondistrict@bos.lawcounty.gov** because the domain bos.lawcounty.gov couldn't be found. Check for typos or unnecessary spaces and try again.

LEARN MORE

The response was:

DNS Error: DNS type 'mx' lookup of bos.lawcounty.gov responded with code NXDOMAIN Domain name not found: bos.lawcounty.gov For more information, go to https://support.google.com/mail/?p=BadRcptDomain

Final-Recipient: rfc822; secondistrict@bos.lawcounty.gov
Action: failed
Status: 5.1.2
Diagnostic-Code: smtp; DNS Error: DNS type 'mx' lookup of bos.lawcounty.gov responded with code NXDOMAIN Domain name not found: bos.lawcounty.gov For more information, go to https://support.google.com/mail/?p=BadRcptDomain
Last-Attempt-Date: Sat, 08 Jun 2024 08:03:40 -0700 (PDT)

---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>
To: secondistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, secondistrict@bos.la.county.gov, "Holly4Assembly@gmail.com" <Holly4Assembly@gmail.com>, hjmitchel@aol.com, jmerchan@wdacs.lacounty.gov, info@wdacs.lacounty.gov, arogantmusicinc@gmail.com, fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com, causeofaction43@gmail.com, blacklawgenius@gmail.com, alisonhfairchild@gmail.com, rluna@lasd.org, rluna@lacounty.gov, atardy@lacounty.org, jtorres@lasd.org, saloma@lacounty.org, llecrivain@lasd.org, bchase@lacounty.gov, rlewis@lacounty.gov, llecrivain@lacounty.org, jmeza@lasd.org, ned.harlan@doj.ca.gov, nishdeep.singh@doj.ca.gov, robert.bonta@doj.ca.gov, OPSChiefsOffice@doj.ca.gov, peter.halloran@doj.ca.gov, amyhhalloran@yahoo.com, peterhalloran@sbcglobal.net, alisha.carlile@doj.ca.gov, snpdragn@aol.com, acarlile@alum.wellesley.edu, concertina9@yahoo.com, alishastephen@yahoo.com.au, alehr17@u.washington.edu, girlygirl8384@aol.com, akeened@yahoo.com, lish34@hotmail.com, piccbodin@hotmail.com, lachapell_a@yahoo.com, alishaoku@yahoo.com, alisha.mai@gmail.com, alisha.marks@rogers.com, vincent.bonotto@doj.ca.gov, vbonotto@hotmail.com, glenn.pruden@doj.ca.gov, eyeless77@hotmail.com, daniel.olivas@doj.ca.gov, giam.nguyen@doj.ca.gov, chad.stegeman@doj.ca.gov, stephen.lew@doj.ca.gov, christopher.findley@doj.ca.gov, dennis.beck@doj.ca.gov, darrell.spence@doj.ca.gov, maggy.krell@doj.ca.gov, Elaine.tong@doj.ca.gov, hannah.calacsan@doj.ca.gov, mo.park@doj.ca.gov, dbuthler@lasuperiorcourt.org, dslayton@lasuperiorcourt.org, sclover@lasuperiorcourt.org, jmoses@lasuperiorcourt.org, ppenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, tpenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, chase.penrose@gmail.com, mackenzienicole@gmail.com, trishpenrose@att.net, TCPJAC_CEAC@jud.ca.gov, afisher@lasuperiorcourt.org, jonathan.sibayan@jud.ca.gov, mary.carter@jud.ca.gov,

john.williams@jud.ca.gov, amber.barnett@jud.ca.go, robert.oyung@jud.ca.gov, john.yee@jud.ca.gov, heather.pettit@jud.ca.gov, leah.rose-goodwin@jud.ca.gov, mark.dusman@jud.ca.gov, cory.jasperson@jud.ca.gov, jenniejasperson@gmail.com, kelton.jasperson@gmail.com, cjasperson2@mindspring.com, judy.jasperson@att.net, randie.chance@doj.ca.gov, randie.chance@jud.ca.gov, Bobby.brow@jud.ca.gov, stephen.nash@jud.ca.gov, debbie.silcox@jud.ca.gov, laura.speed@jud.ca.gov, andrea.evans@jud.ca.gov, nicole.claro@jud.ca.gov, gavin.newsom@gov.ca.gov, cecilia.aguiar-curry@asm.ca.gov, stephanie.nguyen@asm.ca.gov, lori.wilson@asm.ca.gov, matt.haney@asm.ca.gov, heath.flora@asm.ca.gov, laurie.davies@asm.ca.gov, turfritecr@yahoo.com, turfrite@aol.com, president@whitehouse.gov, Kamala.harris@whitehouse.gov, leaht.wilson@calbar.ca.gov, leah.wilson50@yahoo.com, Elizabeth.hom@calbar.ca.gov, gina.crawford@calbar.ca.gov, rita.himes@calbar.ca.gov, esteinbach@aclusocal.org, manuel.alvarez@post.ca.gov, jim.grottkau@post.ca.gov, pgrottkau@yahoo.com, jamesgrottkau@aol.com, janie.grottkau@yahoo.com, annemarie.mugnaio@post.ca.gov, annemariedelmugnaio@gmail.com, chaseindixon@aol.com, obugrik@aol.com, sonya.baland@post.ca.gov, sonyar@comcast.net, cheryl.smith@post.ca.gov, colin.okeefe@post.ca.gov, cokeefe@post.ca.gov, dulce_cakes4u@yahoo.com, ulce_cakes4u@aol.com, gspinger5@yahoo.com, cokeefe@ci.davis.ca.us, colin.o'keefe530@verizon.net, co'keefe1514@bellsouth.net, sarah.wallace@post.ca.gov, william.darden@post.ca.gov, william.darden@doj.ca.gov, rpthjrcy@uojsdp.edu, williamtdarden@gmail.com, William.darden@urs.com, carrie.hollar@post.ca.gov, carrie.berg82@gmail.com, cberg@solanocounty.com, carrie.hollar@aol.com, erik.apperson@post.ca.gov, Ifey8808@gmail.com, wifey8808@gmail.com, erikandannaapperson@hotmail.com, onecubsfan@hotmail.com, egrundman@hotmail.com, todders@hotmail.com, erikapperson@hotmail.com, erik.apperson@yahoo.com, sb2@post.ca.gov, christine.ford@post.ca.gov, michelle.weiler@post.ca.gov, wildweilers@msn.com, cateweiler@msn.com, meagan.poulos@post.ca.gov, rosanne.richeal@post.ca.gov, thomas.chalk@post.ca.gov, katie.strickland@post.ca.gov, kirk.bunch@post.ca.gov, brad.newmyer@post.ca.gov, mike.radford@post.ca.gov, brian.south@post.ca.gov, goodwin.liu@jud.ca.gov, tani.cantil-sakauye@jud.ca.gov, joshua.groban@jud.ca.gov, martin.jenkins@jud.ca.gov, patricia.guerrero@jud.ca.gov, carol.corrigan@jud.ca.gov, leondra.kruger@jud.ca.gov, kellli.evans@jud.ca.gov, firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, cchen@bos.lacounty.gov, secondistrict@bos.lawcounty.gov, hmitchell@yahoo.com, karnbass@cs.com, tumberg@mofo.com, holly@hollyjmitchell.com, rfleming-peters@counsel.lacounty.gov, mcarter@counsel.lacounty.gov, njenkins@lacounty.gov, njenkins@counsel.lacounty.gov, jgonzalez@counsel.lacounty.gov, lowt@metro.net, Tlow77@gmail.com, ryanthurlow@yahoo.com, tlow@lacounty.gov, tlow@counsel.lacounty.gov, tina@jmoilco.com, tlow7@yahoo.com, econard@lacounty.gov, econard@counsel.lacounty.gov, pepemendoza18@gmail.com, chelsaconrad@gmail.com, rmconard@gmail.com, kcluvsbarney@aol.com, susanconard@aol.com, s.conard@conardphoto.com, susan@conardphoto.com, sconard@conardphoto.com, sales@conardphoto.com, support@conardphoto.com, scollins@cleat.org, admin@conardphoto.com, conard@conardphoto.com, fawino@counsel.lacounty.gov, cbarnett@counsel.lacounty.gov, donnghiem@gmail.com, brucenguyen@gmail.com, donnghiem@hotmail.com, don@lacounty.gov, dnghiem@counsel.lacounty.gov, schoi@counsel.lacounty.gov, ltaing@counsel.lacounty.gov, cesar@lacounty.gov, cdelperal@counsel.lacounty.gov, cdelperal@aol.com, cesar_del_peral@yahoo.com, cdelperal@yahoo.com, cesardelperal1@yahoo.com, theflyingtrex02@gmail.com, jquindel79@yahoo.com, atkins.sarah28@gmail.com, rfstacy@gmail.com, Ineversleep55.mh@gmail.com, rourke@lacounty.gov, rstacy@counsel.lacounty.gov, rstacy-padilla@counsel.lacounty.gov, vowens@counsel.lacounty.gov, mearls@counsel.lacounty.gov, dcarlos@county.lacounty.gov, dcarlos@counsel.lacounty.gov, victoriacarlos@gmail.com, dcarlos31@gmail.com, debbie.carlos818@verizon.net, victoria.carlos@gmail.com, humbert@ptminc.com, info@ptm-inc.com, psncarits@aol.com, jcarlos@msmc.la.edu, yngidea@gmail.com, richard.padilla@disney.com, andria.seo@disabilityrightsca.org, andiseo@gmail.com, wishinwaiting@hotmail.com, echu@counsel.lacounty.gov, sgipson@counsel.lacounty.gov, sladyesq@gmail.com, sladyesq@aol.com, Dhrubajyoti Deb <djdesign@gmail.com>, michaelstreetman@gmail.com, merugori@aol.com, rolaidz@yahoo.com, dtwister22@yahoo.com, dtwister@yahoo.com, sshon@counsel.lacounty.gov, rigesq@yahoo.com, grigesq@msn.com, gproducer@hotmail.com, mrsmithgcs@aol.com, azuiderweg@counsel.lacounty.gov, mruben@counsel.lacounty.gov, ctaylor@counsel.lacounty.gov, schan@counsel.lacounty.gov, kmuir@counsel.lacounty.gov, eggerse@metro.net, eggers@counsel.lacounty.gov, mrolon@counsel.lacounty.gov, mirder@hotmail.com, doug@homealert.com, doug.richardson@homealert.com, pghaderi@counsel.lacounty.gov, rsaldana@counsel.lacounty.gov, ndavis-tinkham@counsel.lacounty.gov, Nicole Davis <nikki.davis1212@gmail.com>, tink4d1@gmail.com, nadtinkham@gmail.com, brind0313@gmail.com, darrelllltinkham@yahoo.com, jwhitehurst@counsel.lacounty.gov, ltolani@counsel.lacounty.gov, lester.tolnai@yahoo.com, rclester.tolnai71@yahoo.com, pmarshall@counsel.lacounty.gov, abyers@counsel.lacounty.gov, rcartwright@counsel.lacounty.gov, rwong@counsel.lacounty.gov, tfaughnan@counsel.lacounty.gov, tomfaughnan66@gmail.com, jlehman@counsel.lacounty.gov, kmiles@counsel.lacounty.gov, rbaeza@counsel.lacounty.gov, skuhn@counsel.county.gov, rchastang@counsel.lacounty.gov, lcampo@counsel.lacounty.gov, msimon@counsel.lacounty.gov, emiller@counsel.lacounty.gov, elizabeth_dumais@yahoo.com, kaigrant19@yahoo.com, pmomon@yahoo.com, pbollinger@counsel.lacounty.gov, kbowser@counsel.lacounty.gov, rebrahim@da.lacounty.gov, kkoyano@da.lacounty.gov, dteran@da.lacounty.gov, tsereno@lacounty.gov, tsereno@da.lacounty.gov, mbeaart@da.lacounty.gov, ron.geltz@lacounty.gov, rgeltz@da.lacounty.gov, Manik Bhoi <manik.bhoi@gmail.com>,

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq.
1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD.
PASADENA, CA 91106
Mobile: 206.471.1344
arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted
Spirit of Esquire

people of the state of california, et al v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD

Case No. . 25CJCM02162-01

Exhibit 4 is a true and correct copy of AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S electronic mail Gmail message he sent to numerous County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutors, California Department of Justice attorneys, and County of Los Angles Office County Counsel attorneys on June 8, 2024, at 8:34 AM.

Exhibit 4 proves by clear and convincing evidence that Brian MARK Rosenberg recklessly violated California Penal Code § 148.5, California Government Code § 6200, and 18 United States Code § 242 by fabricating lies and making intentionally

---

EXHIBIT 4

false statements to rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang that AROGANT HOLLYWOOD sent him an electronic mail message on June 8, 2024, at 8:34 AM.

Exhibit 4 proves by clear and convincing evidence that rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, carelessly, deliberately, knowingly, and callously created and authored a false police report that fabricated lies and made intentionally false statements that a copy of Brian MARK Rosenberg's brosenberg@da.lacounty.gov June 8, 2024 at 8:34 AM. electronic mail message was attached to the end of his city of Alhambra crime report.

Exhibit 4 proves by clear and convincing evidence that RACIST and BIASED County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutors willfully, recklessly, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, deliberately, maliciously, and callously filed criminal prosecutors filed frivolous counts 1, 2, and 3 without a single piece of evidence and entirely without probable cause.

Exhibit 4 proves by clear and convincing evidence that RACIST and BIASED County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutors willfully, recklessly, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, deliberately, maliciously, and callously violated State Bar of California Rules of Professional Conduct Rules 3.1, 3.3, and 3.4; California Business and Professions Code § 6068, and American Bar Association Models Rules of Professional Conduct Rules 3.1, 3.3., and 3.4 by filing frivolous counts 1, 2, and 3 without a single piece of evidence and entirely without probable cause.

EXHIBIT 4

I declare, under penalty of perjury, under the laws and Constitution of California and the United States, that every word, sentence, paragraph, and page of the foregoing is true and accurate.

DATE: May 10, 2025

BY: _____

Arogant Hollywood, *Esq.*
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106
Mobile: (206) 471-1344
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
Spirit of Esquire

EXHIBIT 4

Gmail **Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>**

people v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA114055) & UPCOMING FIRST CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT TITLED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. judy whitehurst, et al [DEMAND PEOPLE AND LASD IMMEDIATELY TURN OVER PHONY LASD GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GLO10586 & GLO10587

1 message

Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com> Sat, Jun 8, 2024 at 8:34 AM
To: secondistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, secondistrict@bos.la.county.gov, "Holly4Assembly@gmail.com" <Holly4Assembly@gmail.com>, hjmitchel@aol.com, jmerchan@wdacs.lacounty.gov, info@wdacs.lacounty.gov, arogantmusicinc@gmail.com, fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com, causeofaction43@gmail.com, blacklawgenius@gmail.com, alisonhfairchild@gmail.com, rluna@lasd.org, rluna@lacounty.gov, atardy@lacounty.org, jtorres@lasd.org, saloma@lacounty.org, llecrivain@lasd.org, bchase@lacounty.gov, rlewis@lacounty.gov, llecrivain@lacounty.org, jmeza@lasd.org, ned.harlan@doj.ca.gov, nishdeep.singh@doj.ca.gov, robert.bonta@doj.ca.gov, OPSChiefsOffice@doj.ca.gov, peter.halloran@doj.ca.gov, amyhhalloran@yahoo.com, peterhalloran@sbcglobal.net, alisha.carlile@doj.ca.gov, snpdragn@aol.com, acarlile@alum.wellesley.edu, concertina9@yahoo.com, alishastephen@yahoo.com.au, alehr17@u.washington.edu, girlygirl8384@aol.com, akeened@yahoo.com, lish34@hotmail.com, piccbodin@hotmail.com, lachapell_a@yahoo.com, alishaoku@yahoo.com, alisha.mai@gmail.com, alisha.marks@rogers.com, vincent.bonotto@doj.ca.gov, vbonotto@hotmail.com, glenn.pruden@doj.ca.gov, eyeless77@hotmail.com, daniel.olivas@doj.ca.gov, giam.nguyen@doj.ca.gov, chad.stegeman@doj.ca.gov, stephen.lew@doj.ca.gov, christopher.findley@doj.ca.gov, dennis.beck@doj.ca.gov, darrell.spence@doj.ca.gov, maggy.krell@doj.ca.gov, Elaine.tong@doj.ca.gov, hannah.calacsan@doj.ca.gov, mo.park@doj.ca.gov, dbuthler@lasuperiorcourt.org, dslayton@lasuperiorcourt.org, sclover@lasuperiorcourt.org, jmoses@lasuperiorcourt.org, ppenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, tpenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, chase.penrose@gmail.com, mackenzienicole@gmail.com, trishpenrose@att.net, TCPJAC_CEAC@jud.ca.gov, afisher@lasuperiorcourt.org, jonathan.sibayan@jud.ca.gov, mary.carter@jud.ca.gov, john.williams@jud.ca.gov, amber.barnett@jud.ca.go, robert.oyung@jud.ca.gov, john.yee@jud.ca.gov, heather.pettit@jud.ca.gov, leah.rose-goodwin@jud.ca.gov, mark.dusman@jud.ca.gov, cory.jasperson@jud.ca.gov, jenniejasperson@gmail.com, kelton.jasperson@gmail.com, cjasperson2@mindspring.com, judy.jasperson@att.net, randie.chance@doj.ca.gov, randie.chance@jud.ca.gov, Bobby.brow@jud.ca.gov, stephen.nash@jud.ca.gov, debbie.silcox@jud.ca.gov, laura.speed@jud.ca.gov, andrea.evans@jud.ca.gov, nicole.claro@jud.ca.gov, gavin.newsom@gov.ca.gov, cecilia.aguiar-curry@asm.ca.gov, stephanie.nguyen@asm.ca.gov, lori.wilson@asm.ca.gov, matt.haney@asm.ca.gov, heath.flora@asm.ca.gov, laurie.davies@asm.ca.gov, turfritecr@yahoo.com, turfrite@aol.com, president@whitehouse.gov, Kamala.harris@whitehouse.gov, leaht.wilson@calbar.ca.gov, leah.wilson50@yahoo.com, Elizabeth.hom@calbar.ca.gov, gina.crawford@calbar.ca.gov, rita.himes@calbar.ca.gov, esteinbach@aclusocal.org, manuel.alvarez@post.ca.gov, jim.grottkau@post.ca.gov, pgrottkau@yahoo.com, jamesgrottkau@aol.com, janie.grottkau@yahoo.com, annemarie.mugnaio@post.ca.gov, annemariedelmugnaio@gmail.com, chaseindixon@aol.com, obugrik@aol.com, sonya.baland@post.ca.gov, sonyar@comcast.net, cheryl.smith@post.ca.gov, colin.okeefe@post.ca.gov, cokeefe@post.ca.gov, dulce_cakes4u@yahoo.com, ulce_cakes4u@aol.com, gspinger5@yahoo.com, cokeefe@ci.davis.ca.us, colin.o'keefe530@verizon.net, co'keefe1514@bellsouth.net, sarah.wallace@post.ca.gov, william.darden@post.ca.gov, william.darden@doj.ca.gov, rpthjrcy@uojsdp.edu, williamtdarden@gmail.com, William.darden@urs.com, carrie.hollar@post.ca.gov, carrie.berg82@gmail.com, cberg@solanocounty.com, carrie.hollar@aol.com, erik.apperson@post.ca.gov, lfey8808@gmail.com, wifey8808@gmail.com, erikandannaapperson@hotmail.com, onecubsfan@hotmail.com, egrundman@hotmail.com, todders@hotmail.com, erikapperson@hotmail.com, erik.apperson@yahoo.com, sb2@post.ca.gov, christine.ford@post.ca.gov, michelle.weiler@post.ca.gov, wildweilers@msn.com, cateweiler@msn.com, meagan.poulos@post.ca.gov, rosanne.richeal@post.ca.gov, thomas.chalk@post.ca.gov, katie.strickland@post.ca.gov, kirk.bunch@post.ca.gov, brad.newmyer@post.ca.gov, mike.radford@post.ca.gov, brian.south@post.ca.gov, goodwin.liu@jud.ca.gov, tani.cantil-sakauye@jud.ca.gov, joshua.groban@jud.ca.gov, martin.jenkins@jud.ca.gov, patricia.guerrero@jud.ca.gov, carol.corrigan@jud.ca.gov, leondra.kruger@jud.ca.gov, kellli.evans@jud.ca.gov, firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, cchen@bos.lacounty.gov, secondistrict@bos.lawcounty.gov, hmitchell@yahoo.com, karnbass@cs.com, tumberg@mofo.com, holly@hollyjmitchell.com, rfleming-peters@counsel.lacounty.gov, mcarter@counsel.lacounty.gov, njenkins@lacounty.gov, njenkins@counsel.lacounty.gov, jgonzalez@counsel.lacounty.gov, lowt@metro.net, Tlow77@gmail.com, ryanthurlow@yahoo.com, tlow@lacounty.gov, tlow@counsel.lacounty.gov, tina@jmoilco.com, tlow7@yahoo.com, econard@lacounty.gov, econard@counsel.lacounty.gov, pepemendoza18@gmail.com, chelsaconrad@gmail.com, rmconard@gmail.com, kcluvsbarney@aol.com, susanconard@aol.com, s.conard@conardphoto.com,

susan@conardphoto.com, sconard@conardphoto.com, sales@conardphoto.com, support@conardphoto.com, scollins@cleat.org, admin@conardphoto.com, conard@conardphoto.com, fawino@counsel.lacounty.gov, cbarnett@counsel.lacounty.gov, donnghiem@gmail.com, brucenguyen@gmail.com, donnghiem@hotmail.com, don@lacounty.gov, dnghiem@counsel.lacounty.gov, schoi@counsel.lacounty.gov, ltaing@counsel.lacounty.gov, cesar@lacounty.gov, cdelperal@counsel.lacounty.gov, cdelperal@aol.com, cesar_del_peral@yahoo.com, cdelperal@yahoo.com, cesardelperal1@yahoo.com, theflyingtrex02@gmail.com, jquindel79@yahoo.com, atkins.sarah28@gmail.com, rfstacy@gmail.com, Ineversleep55.mh@gmail.com, rourke@lacounty.gov, rstacy@counsel.lacounty.gov, rstacy-padilla@counsel.lacounty.gov, vowens@counsel.lacounty.gov, mearls@counsel.lacounty.gov, dcarlos@county.lacounty.gov, dcarlos@counsel.lacounty.gov, victoriacarlos@gmail.com, dcarlos31@gmail.com, debbie.carlos818@verizon.net, victoria.carlos@gmail.com, humbert@ptminc.com, info@ptm-inc.com, psncarits@aol.com, jcarlos@msmc.la.edu, yngidea@gmail.com, richard.padilla@disney.com, andria.seo@disabilityrightsca.org, andiseo@gmail.com, wishinwaiting@hotmail.com, echu@counsel.lacounty.gov, sgipson@counsel.lacounty.gov, sladyesq@gmail.com, sladyesq@aol.com, Dhrubajyoti Deb <djdesign@gmail.com>, michaelstreetman@gmail.com, merugori@aol.com, rolaidz@yahoo.com, dtwister22@yahoo.com, dtwister@yahoo.com, sshon@counsel.lacounty.gov, rigesq@yahoo.com, grigesq@msn.com, gproducer@hotmail.com, mrsmithgcs@aol.com, azuiderweg@counsel.lacounty.gov, mruben@counsel.lacounty.gov, ctaylor@counsel.lacounty.gov, schan@counsel.lacounty.gov, kmuir@counsel.lacounty.gov, eggerse@metro.net, eggers@counsel.lacounty.gov, mrolon@counsel.lacounty.gov, mirder@hotmail.com, doug@homealert.com, doug.richardson@homealert.com, pghaderi@counsel.lacounty.gov, rsaldana@counsel.lacounty.gov, ndavis-tinkham@counsel.lacounty.gov, Nicole Davis <nikki.davis1212@gmail.com>, tink4d1@gmail.com, nadtinkham@gmail.com, brind0313@gmail.com, darrelltinkham@yahoo.com, jwhitehurst@counsel.lacounty.gov, ltolani@counsel.lacounty.gov, lester.tolnai@yahoo.com, rclester.tolnai71@yahoo.com, pmarshall@counsel.lacounty.gov, abyers@counsel.lacounty.gov, rcartwright@counsel.lacounty.gov, rwong@counsel.lacounty.gov, tfaughnan@counsel.lacounty.gov, tomfaughnan66@gmail.com, jlehman@counsel.lacounty.gov, kmiles@counsel.lacounty.gov, rbaeza@counsel.lacounty.gov, skuhn@counsel.county.gov, rchastang@counsel.lacounty.gov, lcampo@counsel.lacounty.gov, msimon@counsel.lacounty.gov, emiller@counsel.lacounty.gov, elizabeth_dumais@yahoo.com, kaigrant19@yahoo.com, pmomon@yahoo.com, pbollinger@counsel.lacounty.gov, kbowser@counsel.lacounty.gov, rebrahim@da.lacounty.gov, kkoyano@da.lacounty.gov, dteran@da.lacounty.gov, tsereno@lacounty.gov, tsereno@da.lacounty.gov, mbeaart@da.lacounty.gov, ron.geltz@lacounty.gov, rgeltz@da.lacounty.gov, Manik Bhoi <manik.bhoi@gmail.com>, withani@verizon.net, eric.castano@charter.net, jcastano@da.lacounty.gov, eric.castano@juno.com, ericcastano@gmail.com, JIniguez@da.lacounty.gov, fsanchez@da.lacounty.gov, rarcos@da.lacounty.gov, jrodriguez@dalacounty.gov, swoo@da.lacounty.gov, pagrawal@da.lacounty.gov, cnakao@da.lacounty.gov, vgadams@msn.com, jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov, ljkessner@da.lacounty.gov, ldroeger@da.lacounty.gov, jdsilva@ceo.lacounty.gov, jsilva@da.lacounty.gov, hborden@da.lacounty.gov, amattson@da.lacounty.gov, eratino@da.lacounty.gov, shaungipson@gmail.com, sgipson@da.lacounty.gov, twright@da.lacounty.gov, mcarrillo@da.lacounty.gov, gdameron@da.lacounty.gov, ayochelson@da.lacounty.gov, spresby@da.lacounty.gov, shassett@da.lacounty.gov, bjdodd@da.lacounty.gov, lorquiola@da.lacounty.gov, mramirez@da.lacounty.gov, ralarcon@da.lacounty.gov, shulefeld@da.lacounty.gov, smire@da.lacounty.gov, mhaidar@da.lacounty.gov, pkouch@da.lacounty.gov, vrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov, dpurvis@da.lacounty.gov, rarias@lacounty.gov, ezneimer@socal.rr.com, evelyn.zneimer@yahoo.com, samsterz78@hotmail.com, zneimer@yahoo.com, kristen.clarke@usdoj.gov, Christopher.wray@whitehouse.gov, christopher@fbi.gov, paul.abbate@ic.fbi.gov, paul.abbate@whitehouse.gov, paulabbate@yahoo.com, pa8160@hotmail.com, tguzmansanchez@hotmail.com, tguzmansanchez@aol.com, thomasguzmansanchez@hotmail.com, guzmansanchez@aol.com, ceo@gsmediagroup.com, tgsvrlmuzic@dancemaster.com, r@dancemaster.com, godaddy@dancemaster.com, tblacknell@da.lacounty.gov, mkapp@da.lacounty.gov, kalbrach@da.lacounty.gov, jjohnson@lacounty.gov, mmurray@da.lacounty.gov, kta@da.lacounty.gov, lklo@lasd.org, bskhount@lasd.org, CDCRCACLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CCRCMFLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCCILitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CCDCRCENLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCTFLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCMCLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCORLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCIMLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, FCORTEZ@cdcr.gov, Jeff.macomber@cdcr.ca.gov, Jennifer.barretto@cdcr.ca.gov, Tammy.foss@cdcr.ca.ov, Diana.toche@cdcr.ca.gov, albert.lundeen@cdcr.ca.gov, Jennifer.neil@cdcr.ca.gov, Sarah.larson@cdcr.ca.gov, Ron.broomfield@cdcr.ca.gov, Amy.casias@cdcr.ca.gov, Jason.johnson@cdcr.ca.gov, Chris.chambers@cdcr.ca.gov, Stacy.lopez@cdcr.ca.gov, Niki.dhillon@cdcr.ca.gov, Jennifer.benavidez@cdcr.ca.gov, heather.bowlds@cdcr.ca.gov, Jared.lozano@cdcr.ca.gov, Derrick.marion@cdcr.ca.gov, David.chriss@cdcr.ca.gov, Sarina.calderon@cdcr.ca.gov, Ying.sun@cdcr.ca.gov, Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>, aroganthollywoodgenius@yahoo.com, CDCRASPLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, fernando.cortez@cdcr.ca.gov, info@da.lacounty.gov, 12136331956@faxage.com, 16262814724@faxage.com, 12136330960@faxage.com, julie.kaplan@cdcr.ca.gov, sabrina.williams@cdcr.ca.gov, cory.alvarez@cdcr.ca.gov, jamal.rowe@cdcr.ca.gov, mark.cruise@cdcr.ca.gov, linda.larabee@cdcr.ca.gov, asvi.phuong@cdcr.ca.gov, jamiee.lacey@cdcr.ca.gov, dominique.hughes@cdcr.ca.gov, karen.reed@cdcr.ca.gov, manuel.banuelos@cdcr.ca.gov, "ecolor.pot@gmail.com" <ecolor.pot@gmail.com>,

"TCBecerra2016@gmail.com" <TCBecerra2016@gmail.com>, tarchuleetta@crowell.com, "tlopez@crowell.com" <tlopez@crowell.com>, tarchuletta@bellsouth.net, "theresa_c_lopez@hotmail.com" <theresa_c_lopez@hotmail.com>, "tarchuletta@gmail.com" <tarchuletta@gmail.com>, "cali80513@gmail.com" <cali80513@gmail.com>, theresa.chuletta@aug.com, tarchuletta@aol.com, hollyjmitchell@bos.lacounty.gov

people v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA114055) & UPCOMING FIRST CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT TITLED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. judy whitehurst, et al [DEMAND PEOPLE AND LASD IMMEDIATELY TURN OVER PHONY LASD GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GLO10586 & GLO10587

BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG! WE MEET AGAIN AT LAST. I AM GOING TO MAKE SURE YOU GO TO STATE PRISON, YOU FU@@@@@ CROOKED AND CORRUPT PEACE OFFICER. YOU ARE A CRIMINAL!

On February 22, 2023, you created a phony search warrant so you could send my GoPro camera to your LASD Fraud and Cyber Crimes Bureau's Southern California High Tech Task Force (one of four high-tech task forces of California regulated by California DOJ). Regardless if corrupt judicial officer Susan Clover lied and covered for you. Your search warrant is uncertified, unoriginal, unattested, unverified, and unauthenticated. Further, proof that Lying Billy's warrant is phony is the fact that the Pasadena Superior Court has no records of ever receiving your warrant. Both you and DA failed to complete the LASD mandatory COUNTYWIDE WARRANT SYSTEM INITIAL CASE FILING FORM (LASC CRIM 126, REVISED ON JANUARY 20, 2024). IT WAS NEVER FILED BECAUSE YOU NEVER SUBMITTED YOUR SEARCH WARRANT TO THE SUPERIOR COURT, YOU ARE A CRIMINAL!

On April 4, 2023, you lied under oath that phony LASD-CREATED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GL010586 & GL010587 WERE DOWNLOADED FROM MY GOPRO CAMERA! FURTHER, YOU PLAYED THESE TWO VIDEOS IN THE SUPERIOR COURT ON APRIL 4, 2023, APRIL 5, 2023, & FEBRUARY 2, 2024 THAT DEPICTED AN AI POWERED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD WALKING AROUND FAKE VICTIM JENNIFER HUTTON HEGER'S HOUSE MECHANICALLY TOUCHING HIS GOPRO CAMERA BEFORE FINALLY SHUTTING IT OFF AS HE WALKED THROUGH A DARK HOUSE AND THEN OUTSIDE TO A DARK SKY! THIS VIDEO IS CLEARLY FAKE, AND HERE IS WHY YOU ARE CAUGHT NOW, FOOL!


THE REAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS ONLY SHOW AROGANT HOLLYWOOD INSIDE OF HUTTON-HERGER'S HOME FROM A POINT OF VIEW RECORDING VIEW. IN YOUR PHONY NEVER TURNED OVER TO THE DEFENSE LASD CREATED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS AI POWERED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD IS SEEN CENTERED IN YOUR GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING WITH HIS ENTIRE BACK AND BODY SHOWN ON THIS PHONY VIDEO RECORDING. IT WOULD HAVE BEEN IMPOSSIBLE FOR AROGANT TO RECORD THE BACKSIDE OF HIS BODY IF HIS GOPRO CAMERA WAS MOUNTED ON HIS CHEST. BUT THAT IS NOT ENOUGH TO CONVINCE THE SUPERIOR COURT TO OVERTURN THIS NEVER SHOULD HAVE BEEN FILED FRIVOLOUS CRIMINAL CASE.

BUT WHAT IS:

IN YOUR PHONY GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING GL010586 (WHICH WAS ORIGINALLY ONE CONTINUOUS 14-MINUTE VIDEO RECORDING) AI POWERED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD IS SEEN WALKING AROUND JENNIFER HUTTON-HEGER'S HOME MOMENTS BEFORE SHUTTING ON HIS GOPRO CAMERA WHILE WEARING DARK-COLORED SHORTS AND A FREAKIN TANK TOP!

THIS IS INCREDIBLY INTERESTING CRIMINALS BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG AND LEO KA FONG LO BECAUSE IN THE LASD POLICE VIDEO RECORDINGS AROGANT HOLLYWOOD IS SEEN WEARING A LONG SLEEVE COAT. IN THE REAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING, AROGANT HOLLYWOOD IS SEEN WEARING A LONG SLEEVE COAT. TAKE A LOOK AT THE IMAGES CREATED FROM VIDEO FRAME SEQUENCES OF THE REAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS! MOREOVER, AROGANT IS SEEN WEARING BAGGY PANTS, NOT THE SHORTS HE IS WEARING IN YOUR AI POWERED VIDEO RECORDINGS THAT WERE CLEARLY NOT RECORDED ON

FEBRUARY 21, 2023.



AT 6 MINUTES AND 59 SECONDS INTO THE REAL VIDEO RECORDING AROGANT HOLLYWOOD IS DEPICTED WEARING A LONG COAT NOT A TANK TOP!



AT EIGHT MINUTES AND 33 SECONDS INTO THE REAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING, AROGANT HOLLYWOOD IS DEPICTED AND DISPLAYED ON VIDEO, STANDING IN A MIRROR WEARING A LONG-SLEEVED COAT. IN YOUR PHONY GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING GLO10587 AROGANT IS SEEN WALKING BY THE DOOR LIKE HE IS GOING OUTSIDE BUT HE IS NEVER SEEN STANDING IN THE MIRROR, BECAUSE YOUR VIDEO RECORDING WAS ALTERED AND DOCTORED BY LASD FRAUD AND CYBER CRIMES BUREAU

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA HIGH TECH TASK FORCE DISHONEST AND ROGUE PEACE OFFICERS.



AT 10 MINUTES AND 18 SECONDS AROGANT HOLLYWOOD IS AGAIN SEEN IN THE REAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING STANDING IN THE MIRROR INSIDE OF JENNIFER HUTTON-HEGER'S HOME. THE REAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING NOT ONLY PROVES YOU, MARK ROSENBERG, LO, AND LASD FALSIFIED EVIDENCE TO FRAME ME, BUT IT ALSO PROVES THAT, AS I TOLD THE SUPERIOR COURT, MY GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING GLO10586 WAS ONE CONTINUOUS GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING THAT LASD AND THE PEOPLE SPLIT UP SEVERAL TIMES TO FRAME ME!

WHEN 911 ASKED HUTTON-HEGER DURING HER FIRST 911 CALL WHAT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD WAS WEARING SHE TOLD 911 THAT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD WAS WEARING BAGGY PANTS AND A LONG SLEEVE SHIRT. HUTTON'S STATEMENTS CONTRADICT YOUR PHONY LASD-CREATED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS THAT MISLED THE CRIMINAL SUPERIOR COURT TO BELIEVE THAT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD WAS WEARING A TANK TOP AND SHORTS ON FEBRUARY 21, 2023 BETWEEN 9:59 AM AND 10:20 AM



AT 13 MINUTES AND 57 SECONDS INTO THE REAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S BODY IS SHOWN ON VIDEO REFLECTING OFF LASD PIG'S MARKED VEHICLE. AND WHAT IS HE STILL WEARING CRIMINALS LO AND LYING BILLY? HUH? HUH? A LONG SLEEVE COAT! SO THEN HOW DID AROGANT HOLLYWOOD CHANGE HIS CLOTHES IN SECONDS AFTER SHUTTING OFF HIS GOPRO CAMERA INTO A TANK TOP AND SHORTS, AND THEN SECONDS LATER CHANGE BACK INTO A COAT AND BAGGY PANTS, AND THEN YET, SECONDS LATER

CHANGE BACK INTO DARK-COLORED SHORTS AND TANK TOP AND THEN BACK INTO A LONG SLEEVE COAT AND BAGGY PANTS JUST BEFORE WALKING OUTSIDE TO GET FALSELY ARRESTED? ALL OF THIS CHANGING OCCURRING ACCORDING TO YOUR FALSE CASE WHILE JENNIFER HUTTON-HEGER IS LUNGING AT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD IN HER KITCHEN, AROGANT IS BRANDISHING A KNIFE, AROGANT HOLLYWOOD IS SPEAKING TO AN UBER DRIVER, AND IS CONSTANTLY KICKING AND PUNCHING ON JENNIFER HUTTON-HEGER'S FRONT DOOR ACCORDING TO HER FALSE TESTIMONY. THE REFLECTION OF AROGANT HOLLYWOOD SEEN STANDING IN HUTTON HEGER'S HOME WEARING A LONG SLEEVED COAT KILLS YOUR FINALLY DEAD FRIVOLOUS CONVICTION AND CASE!

PURSUANT TO CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE SECTION 1054.1, *Brady v. Maryland*, 373

U.S. 83, 83 S.Ct. 1194, 10 LEd.2d 215 (1963) & *United States v. Bagley*, 473 667, 682, 105 S.Ct. 3375, 87 L.Ed.2d 481 (1985) AROGANT HOLLYWOOD HEREBY DEMANDS THAT ROGUE PEACE OFFICERS BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG, LEO KA FONG LO, SHERIFF ROBERT LUNA, LASD, VENTURA SHERIFF'S OFFICE & SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA HIGH TECH TASK FORCE IMMEDIATELY DISCLOSE A COPY OF PHONY GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GL010586 AND GL010587 THAT FALSELY DEPICT AND DISPLAY AROGANT HOLLYWOOD SHUTTING OFF HIS GOPRO CAMERA AND WALKING NEAR HUTTON'S FRONT DOOR WITHOUT BEING SEEN STANDING IN HER MIRROR BY MAILING A USB FLASH DRIVE CONTAINING MP4 VERSIONS OF BOTH IMPEACHING VIDEO RECORDINGS TO:

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD

1308

EAST COLORADO BLVD.

PASADENA, CA 91106

PURSUANT TO CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE SECTION 1054.1, *Brady v. Maryland*, 373 U.S. 83, 83 S.Ct. 1194, 10 LEd.2d 215 (1963) *& United States v. Bagley*, 473 667, 682, 105 S.Ct. 3375, 87 L.Ed.2d 481 (1985), County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office DISCOVERY COMPLIANCE SYSTEM MANUAL & COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE LEGAL POLICIES MANUAL, CHAPTER 14: DISCLOSURE OF EXCULPATORY AND IMPEACHING INFORMATION AROGANT HOLLYWOOD HEREBY DEMANDS THAT RACIST, CORRUPT, BIASED, UNFAIR, AND PREJUDICED COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES CRIMINAL PROSECUTORS AND CRIMINAL OFFICE WORKING ATTORNEYS GEORGE GASCON, JOSEPH FRANK INIGUEZ, TIFFINY TOWNED BLACKNELL, RONALD MILLIARD GELTZ, JAMES WILLIAM

GARRISON, FERNANDO SANCHEZ, MICHAEL KAPP, KATHRYN ALBRACHT, ARISA MATTSON, TANISHA WRIGHT, MARC CORNELIS BEAART, STEPHANIE PEARL MIRE, LAURA JANE KESSNER, JANIS EVE JOHNSON, MARY ANNE MURRAY, KATHY UYEN TA, PAK B KOUCH, & ALL OTHER EMAILED COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES OFFICE OF COUNSEL MEMBERS IMMEDIATELY DISCLOSE A COPY OF PHONY GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GL010586 AND GL010587 THAT FALSELY DEPICT AND DISPLAY AROGANT HOLLYWOOD SHUTTING OFF HIS GOPRO CAMERA AND WALKING NEAR HUTTON'S FRONT DOOR WITHOUT BEING SEEN STANDING IN HER MIRROR BY MAILING A USB FLASH DRIVE CONTAINING MP4 VERSIONS OF BOTH IMPEACHING VIDEO RECORDINGS TO:

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD

1308

EAST COLORADO BLVD.

PASADENA, CA 91106

BASED ON THE OVERWHELMING EVIDENCE PRESENTED IN THIS EMAIL REGARDING MY INNOCENCE AND ALSO PROOF THAT THE PEOPLE AND LASD FRAMED ME FOR A SERIOUS FELONY CRIME I NEVER COMMITTED. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD HEREBY RESPECTFULLY REQUESTS THAT THE SECRETARY OF THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION IMMEDIATELY TERMINATE MY UNLAWFUL AND CLEARLY ILLEGAL PAROLE SUPERVISION.

LOS ANGELES COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE IS HEREBY SERVED THEIR DISCOVERY COMPLIANCE SYSTEM MANUAL AND LEGAL POLICIES MANUAL, CHAPTER 14.

A COURT HEARING WILL BE HELD REGARDING PEOPLE'S RECKLESS, WILLFUL, WANTON, MALICIOUS, ANC CALLOUS UNDER COLOR LAW DELIBERATE INDIFFERENCE BY NOT TURNING OVER PHONE GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GLO10586 & GL010587 AFTER AROGANT HOLLYWOOD HAS MADE COUNTLESS REQUESTS FOR THE PEOPLE TO DO SO BY CONTACTING DA'S OFFICE BY TELEPHONE, FAX, AND ELECTRONIC MAIL.

Arogant Hollywood

14 attachments



CONTRERAS PHONY PROBABLE CAUSE, EXHIBIT 4.jpg
814K




Image_20240606_0001 (2).png
599K



GOPRO IMAGE SHOWING AROGANT STANDING IN MIRROR 10 minutes, EXHIBIT 3.PNG
4887K



GOPRO IMAGE SHOWING AROGANT STANDING IN MIRROR, EXHIBIT 2.PNG
5153K



GOPRO IMAGE SHOWING AROGANT WEARING A LONG SLEEVED SHIRT, EXHIBIT 1.PNG
6178K



GOPRO IMAGE SHOWING AROGANT'S REFLECTION ON LASD PIG CAR, EXHIBIT 4.PNG
3994K



REAL PROBABLE CAUSE FOR LASD .jpg
244K

CRIMINAL SUBPOENA FOR LASD FRAUD AND CYBER CRIMES BUREAU.pdf
121K

Brady-Chapter14-2020, L.A. COUNTY DA OFFICE LEGAL POLICIES, CHAPTER 14.pdf
206K

CARLOS A. JACKSON SUBPOENA.pdf
102K

CRIMINAL SUBPOENA FOR RACIST EXPERT WITNESS THOMAS GUZMAN SANCHEZ.pdf
122K

CRIMINAL SUBPOENA FOR RACIST INVESTIGATOR JOEL SIMEON WYENN.pdf
121K

DCS-072122, DISCOVERY COMPLIANCE SYSTEM MANUAL.pdf
610K

MANDATORY COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES SEARCH FORM NEVER DONE BY LASD AND DA.pdf
115K

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq.
1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD.
PASADENA, CA 91106
Mobile: 206.471.1344
aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted
Spirit of Esquire

people of the state of california, et al v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD

Case No. . 25CJCM02162-01

Exhibit 5 is a true and correct copy of AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S electronic mail Gmail message he sent to numerous County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutors, California Department of Justice attorneys, and County of Los Angles Office County Counsel attorneys on May 7, 2024, at 12:39 AM.

Exhibit 5 proves by clear and convincing evidence that Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators all knew with 100% certainty and were fully aware that County of Los

---

EXHIBIT 5

Angeles District Attorney's Office deputy district attorney Brian MARK Rosenberg willfully, recklessly, maliciously, carelessly, wantonly, deliberately, knowingly, and callously violated California Penal Code § 148.5, California Government Code § 6200, and 18 United States Code § 242 by fabricating lies and making intentionally false statements to rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang that AROGANT HOLLYWOOD sent him electronic mail messages on November 28, 2023, February 13. 2024, and June 8, 2024.

Exhibit 5 proves by clear and convincing evidence that Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators all knew with 100% certainty and were fully aware that rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, carelessly, deliberately, knowingly, and callously created and authored a false police report that fabricated lies and made intentionally false statements that a copy of Brian MARK Rosenberg's brosenberg@da.lacounty.gov June 8, 2024 at 8:34 AM. electronic mail message was attached to the end of his city of Alhambra crime report.

Exhibit 5 proves by clear and convincing evidence that Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators all knew with 100% certainty and were fully aware that RACIST and BIASED County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutors willfully, recklessly, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, deliberately, maliciously, and callously filed criminal prosecutors filed frivolous counts 1, 2, and 3 without a single piece of evidence and entirely without probable cause.

Exhibit 5 proves by clear and convincing evidence that Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators all knew with 100% certainty and were fully aware that RACIST and BIASED County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutors willfully, recklessly, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, deliberately, maliciously, and callously violated State Bar of California Rules of Professional Conduct Rules 3.1, 3.3, and 3.4; California Business and Professions Code § 6068, and American Bar Association Models Rules of Professional Conduct

---

EXHIBIT 5

Rules 3.1, 3.3., and 3.4 by filing frivolous counts 1, 2, and 3 without a single piece of evidence and entirely without probable cause.

Exhibit 5 proves by clear and convincing evidence that there was no probable cause or leave basis for *Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators to utilize their under color of law abuse of judicial process to request that judicial officer MARK WINDHAM find AROGANT HOLLYWOOD in violation of his conditions of release after Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators were all electronically served clear and convincing evidence that AROGANT HOLLYWOOD was factually innocent of Counts 3, 4, and 5 of their frivolous and RACIST misdemeanor criminal complaint 25CJCM02162-01. And instead of following the law under State Bar of California Rules of Professional Conduct Rules 3.1, 3.3, and 3.4; California Business and Professions Code § 6068, and American Bar Association Models Rules of Professional Conduct Rules 3.1, 3.3., and 3.4 by dismissing counts 3, 4, and 5 on their own criminal motion but they all instead chose to commit reckless under color of law deceit by willfully, recklessly, maliciously, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, deliberately, and callously failing to inform, failing to disclose, and concealing from, and hiding from a Superior Court of California judicial officer that they were all in possession of entirely exculpatory evidence that proved AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S factual innocence by clear and convincing evidence.*

I declare, under penalty of perjury, under the laws and Constitution of California and the United States, that every word, sentence, paragraph, and page of the foregoing is true and accurate.

EXHIBIT 5

DATE: May 10, 2025

BY: Arogant Hollywood
_____

Arogant Hollywood, *Esq.*
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106
Mobile: (206) 471-1344
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
Spirit of Esquire

King Arogant

EXHIBIT 5

Gmail

Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>

FRIVOLOUS CRIMINAL CASE 25CJCM02162-01, FIRST LEGAL WARNING TO COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, ITS DDAS, CITY OF ALHAMBRA AND ITS CONSPIRING ATTORNEYS TO RETURN ALL PROPERTY, DISMISSED ALL FRIVOLOUS FIVE COUNTS, CRIMINALLY CHARGE RACIST CRIMINAL PROSECUTOR BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG, REPORT MARK ROSENBERG TO THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA, CREATE SUPPLEMENTAL POLICE REPORT THAT HIGHLIGHTS AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S FACTUAL INNOCENCE, AND TURN OVER ALL CRIMINAL CASE DISCOVERY; INCLUDING PHONY PROBABLE CAUSE DETERMINATION

96 messages

Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com> Wed, May 7, 2025 at 12:39 AM

To: gkennedy@alhambrapd.org, jargusa@alhambrafire.org, jbinnquist@cityofalhambra.org, tseki@alhambrapd.org, Area1@alhambrapd.org, gsepncer@alhambrapd.org, area2@alhambrapd.org, rsoriano@alhambrapd.org, area3@alhambrapd.org, rcastillo@alhambrapd.org, area4@alhambrapd.org, klaing@alhambrapd.org, dfuentes@alhambrapd.org, lgarcia@alhambraca.gov, gdiaz@alhambrapd.org, rrongavilla@alhambrapd.org, dtran@slhsmbrapd.org, mlee@alhambrapd.org, hreyes@alhambrapd.org, bchung@alhambrapd.org, luwan@cityofalhambra.org, klee@alhambraca.gov, rmaza@cityofalhambra.org, jmaloney@cityofalhambra.org, maloney@smmc.ca.gov, nwang@cityofalhambra.org, aandradestadler@gmail.com, adele.stadler@gmail.com, joestadler@ladwp.com, andrade@eaterhlink.net, aandradestadler@yahoo.com, jmontes@bwslaw.com, CABBOTT@bwslaw.com, baffrunti@bwslaw.com, bguerrero@bwslaw.com, gaker@bwslaw.com, danneet@bwslaw.com, maustin@bwslaw.com, rbalchum@bwslaw.com, mtran@bwslaw.com, mbarrett@bwslaw.com, Jbalchum@bwslaw.com, dbazzano@bwslaw.com, kberger@bwslaw.com, mbernacchi@bwslaw.com, jlewin@dalacounty.gov, mmcilvain@da.lacounty.gov, dakemon@da.lacounty.gov, cthorpe@da.lacounty.gov, rebrahim@da.laounty.gov, ejerez@da.lacounty.gov, dbrosky@da.lacounty.gov, blunsford@da.lacounty.gov, pkouch@da.lacounty.gov, jtaksar@da.lacounty.gov, ron.goodreau@yahoo.com, ronaldgoodreau@aol.com, estreet@da.lacounty.gov, mlee@da.lacounty.gov, rdematti@da.lacounty.gov, jonchung@da.lacounty.gov, alin@da.lacounty.gov, mmichelena@da.lacounty.gov, srebelis@da.lacounty.gov, jlink@da.lacounty.gov, mvellakk@da.lacounty.gov, jmccomb@smcgov.org, dmccloskey@smcgov.org, bmccartt@da.lacounty.gov, gdameron@da.lacounty.gov, hharpham@da.lacounty.gov, duckett.justice@gmail.com, kduckett@da.lacounty.gov, jfrost@smcgov.org, jgarden@da.lacounty.gov, nhearon@da.lacounty.gov, tpovah@smcgov.org, hborden@da.lacounty.gov, gmarin@da.lacounty.gov, ibaly@da.lacounty.gov, rarias@da.lacounty.gov, Vaenlle-rocha@da.lacounty.gov, mmurray@da.lacounty.gov, jcastano@da.lacounty.gov, kmeyer@da.lacounty.gov, mmclaughlin@smcgov.org, apellman@da.lacounty.gov, tpham@da.lacounty.gov, inightengale@da.lacounty.gov, jniedermann@da.lacounty.gov, dhoffman@da.lacounty.gov, ewakabay@da.lacounty, grai@da.lacounty.gov, bobchen@da.lacounty.gov, bchen@da.lacounty.gov, fdunnick@da.lacounty.gov, Lisette.suder@edcda.us, calbanese@da.lacounty.gov, jcolello@da.lacounty.gov, eratinof@da.lacounty.gov, eratinoff@da.lacounty.gov, jmcgrath@da.lacounty.gov, lavila@da.lacounty.gov, kenvonh@msn.com, kvonhelmolt@da.lacounty.gov, echon@da.lacounty.gov, Jwilson@smcgov.org, jchung@da.lacounty.gov, cfredgren@da.lacounty.gov, kta@da.lacounty.gov, sungchung@da.lacounty.gov, schung@da.lacounty.gov, mbean@da.lacounty.gov, twhitney@da.lacounty.gov, hyun@da.lacounty.gov, kabourezk@da.lacounty.gov, ialvarez@da.lacounty.gov, Michael.pizzuti@edcda.us, nhahn@smcgov.org, Cmeaher-walker@da.lacounty.gov, cwalker@da.lacounty.gov, cmeagher@da.lacounty.gov, fyoung@da.lacounty.gov, afitzgerald@smcgov.org, gapt@da.lacounty.gov, Christine.vonhelmolt@csulb.edu, cvonhelmolt@da.lacounty.gov, knishita@da.lacounty.gov, snavas@da.lacounty.gov, fnagle@kernda.org, carolyn.palumbo@yolocounty.org, jmarcano@kernda.org, gpearl@kernda.org, dmckillop@kernda.org, jallen@kernda.org, nilackie@kern.org, nlackie@kernda.org, kmarshall@kernda.org, bstallings@kernda.org, deanna.hays@yolocounty.org, csherman@kernda.org, lgarver@kernda.org, rchoi@kernda.org, frits.vanderhoek@yolocounty.org, btaconi@kernda.org, gkohler@kernda.org, lfields@kernda.org, cmcnutt@kernda.org, czimmer@kernda.org, gsatriano@da.lacounty.gov, skatz@da.laocunty.gov, mariaramirez@hotmail.com, mramirez@da.lacounty.gov, mdaniels@da.lacounty.gov, kchun@da.lacounty.gov, jmorris@da.lacounty.gov, pcagney@da.lacounty.gov, sfrank6576@aol.com, sfrankland@da.lacounty.gov, bschirn@da.lacounty.gov, rsherwood@da.lacounty.gov, spresby@da.lacounty.gov, mbaxter@da.lacounty.gov, lwong@da.lacounty.gov, ayochelson@da.lacounty.gov, tgomez@da.lacounty.gov, cbuckley@da.lacounty.gov, vcole@da.lacounty.gov, srandolph@da.lacounty.gov, ldery@da.lacounty.gov, ymassry@da.lacounty.gov, gjennings@da.lacounty.gov, hchun@da.lacounty.gov, langer@da.lacounty.gov, shassett@da.lacounty.gov, smire@da.lacounty.gov, gwright@da.lacounty.gov, ljkessner@da.lacounty.gov, Jcallahan@da.lacounty.gov, chum@da.lacounty.gov, mchung@da.lacounty.gov, jmejia@da.lacounty.gov, mgarcia@da.lacounty.gov, jharlan@da.lacounty.gov, mpiantanida@da.lacounty.gov, gsantiso@da.lacounty.gov, pmusso@da.lacounty.gov, nhochman@da.lacounty.gov, Vaenille-rocha@da.lacounty.gov, dfelizzatto@da.lacounty.gov, ttokat@da.cacounty.gov, mhanisee@da.lacounty.gov, skatz@da.lacounty.gov, Jbrooke-castano@da.lacounty.gov, wfrayeh@da.lacounty.gov, jfoster@da.lacounty.gov, jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov, jtillson@da.lacounty.gov, Mariaramirez65@hotmail.com, ilee@da.lacounty.gov, Cris.buckley@uci.edu, jwalker@da.lacounty.gov, cfrey@da.lacounty.gov, vrodriguez@dalacounty.gov, mckinney@da.lacounty.gov, jmckinney@da.lacounty.gov, kcady@da.lacounty.gov, jdsilva@da.lacounty.gov, amattson@da.lacounty.gov, akarkanen@fa.lacounty.gov, chrisbaker@da.lacounty.gov, cnakao@da.lacounty.gov, jjohnson@da.lacounty.gov, kuyen@da.lacounty.gov, pkouch@da.alacounty.gov, shulefeld@da.lacounty.gov, mbeaart@da.lacounty.gov, bjdodd@dalacounty.gov, mcarrillo@da.lacounty.gov, aaguilar@da.lacounty.gov, awise@da.lacounty.gov, lorquiola@da.lacounty.gov, Kevin.s@lacounty.gov, kswartz@da.lacounty.gov, akozma@da.lacounty.gov, aadams@da.lacounty.gov, trichardson@da.lacounty.gov, timothy@lacounty.gov, sradmore@dal.lacounty.gov, wrosenthal@da.lacounty.gov, wkarfiol@lacounty.gov, cnajera@lacourt.org, mwindham@lacourt.org, dilacqua@da.lacounty.gov, jonathan@lacounty.gov, jarmour@dalacounty.gov, felicia@lacounty.gov, forman@da.laounty.gov, ryann.jorban@stfrancislaw.com, rgerber@dalcounty.gov, jcohn@da.lacounty.gov, julia@lacounty.gov, hjackson@da.lacounty.gov, sunita.popal@pepperdine.edu, spopal@da.lacounty.gov, dzygielbaum@da.lacounty.gov, mlewis@da.lacounty.gov, philglaviano@hotmail.com, pglaviano@da.lacounty.gov, thomaskrag@proton.me, tkrag@da.lacounty.gov, klynch@da.lacounty.gov, smrakich@da.lacounty.gov, cgaines@da.lacounty.gov, menomoto@da.lacounty.gov, cchaiyar@da.lacounty.gov, rdooley@da.lacounty.gov, glewin@da.lacounty.gov, ddelimon@gmail.com, mhaidar@da.lacounty.gov, thooks@da.lacounty.gov, wllanos@da.lacounty.gov, scarney@da.lacounty.gov, dwright@da.lacounty.gov, lholtz@da.lacounty.gov, dmartinez@da.lacounty.gov, dwooden@da.lacounty.gov, jgonzalez@da.lacounty.gov, dsyn@da.lacounty.gov, rchang@da.lacounty.gov, ncooper@da.lacounty.gov, akarkanen@da.lacounty.gov, khalligan@da.lacounty.gov, ralarcon@da.lacounty.gov, sstodel@da.lacounty.gov, dbarkhurst@da.lacounty.gov, tdecastro@da.lacounty.gov, dchau@da.lacounty.gov, vlittle@da.lacounty.gov, nflood@da.lacounty.gov, rrabbani@da.lacounty.gov, tmokayef@da.clacounty.gov, rrose@da.lacounty.gov, chenry@da.lacounty.gov, fsantoro@da.lacounty.gov, kstpierre@da.lacounty.gov, johnlwn@gmail.com, brian.jones@sen.ca.gov, erikandannaapperson@hotmail.com, dbengstib@da.lacounty.gov, Ying.sun@cdcr.ca.gov, pghaderi@counsel.lacounty.gov, rsaldana@counsel.lacounty.gov, ndavis-tinkham@counsel.lacounty.gov, tink4d1@gmail.com, brind0313@gmail.com, darrelltinkham@yahoo.com, ltolani@counsel.lacounty.gov, lester.tolnai@yahoo.com, rclester.tolnai71@yahoo.com, pmarshall@counsel.lacounty.gov, Adrienne Byers <abyers@counsel.lacounty.gov>, rcartwright@counsel.lacounty.gov, rwong@counsel.lacounty.gov, tfaughnan@counsel.lacounty.gov, tomfaughnan66@gmail.com, Jennifer <JLehman@counsel.lacounty.gov>, kmiles@counsel.lacounty.gov, rbaeza@counsel.lacounty.gov, rchastang@counsel.lacounty.gov, lcampo@counsel.lacounty.gov, msimon@counsel.lacounty.gov, emiller@counsel.lacounty.gov, elizabeth_dumais@yahoo.com, kaigrant19@yahoo.com, pmomon@yahoo.com, pbollinger@counsel.lacounty.gov, First District <firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>, seconddistrict@bos.la.county.gov, "holly4assembly@gmail.com" <holly4assembly@gmail.com>, hmitchell@yahoo.com, hjmitchel@aol.com, Cindy <cchen@bos.lacounty.gov>, nadtinkham@gmail.com, holly@hollyjmitchell.com, rfleming-peters@counsel.lacounty.gov, Margaret Carter <mcarter@counsel.lacounty.gov>, njenkins@lacounty.gov, njenkins@counsel.lacounty.gov, jgonzalez@counsel.lacounty.gov, lowt@metro.net, Tlow77@gmail.com, ryanthurlow@yahoo.com, tlow@counsel.lacounty.gov, tina@jmoilco.com, tlow7@yahoo.com, econard@lacounty.gov, econard@counsel.lacounty.gov, andria.seo@disabilityrightsca.org, schan@counsel.lacounty.gov, eggerse@metro.net, eggers@counsel.lacounty.gov, mrolon@counsel.lacounty.gov, mirder@hotmail.com, doug@homealert.com, Alexandra Zuiderweg <AZuiderweg@counsel.lacounty.gov>, mruben@counsel.lacounty.gov, Caitlin Taylor <ctaylor@counsel.lacounty.gov>, "Holly J. Mitchell" <HollyJMitchell@bos.lacounty.gov>, Lindsey.P.Horvath@gmail.com, kmuir@counsel.lacounty.gov, CDCRCACLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CCRCMFLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCCILitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CCDCRCENLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCTFLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCMCLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCORLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCIMLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, FCORTEZ@cdcr.gov, Jeff.macomber@cdcr.ca.gov, Jennifer.barretto@cdcr.ca.gov, Tammy.foss@cdcr.ca.gov, Diana.toche@cdcr.ca.gov, albert.lundeen@cdcr.ca.gov, Jennifer.neil@cdcr.ca.gov, Sarah.larson@cdcr.ca.gov, Ron.broomfield@cdcr.ca.gov, Amy.casias@cdcr.ca.gov, Jason.johnson@cdcr.ca.gov, Chris.chambers@cdcr.ca.gov, Stacy.lopez@cdcr.ca.gov, Niki.dhillon@cdcr.ca.gov, Jennifer.benavidez@cdcr.ca.gov, heather.bowlds@cdcr.ca.gov, Jared.lozano@cdcr.ca.gov, Derrick.marion@cdcr.ca.gov, David.chriss@cdcr.ca.gov, Sarina.calderon@cdcr.ca.gov, "elizabeth.hom@calbar.ca.gov" <elizabeth.hom@calbar.ca.gov>, johnna.sack@calbar.ca.gov, rocio.avalos@calbar.ca.gov, kristina.ramos@calbar.ca.gov, collin.grant@calbar.ca.gov, louisa.ayrapetyan@calbar.ca.gov, jennifer.stalvey@calbar.ca.gov, ken.nourse@calbar.ca.gov, summer.shelton@calbar.ca.gov, kirsten.galler@calbar.ca.gov, doan.nguyen@calbar.ca.gov, audrey.ching@calbar.ca.gov, laurie.gondreau@calbar.ca.gov, yun.xiang@calbar.ca.gov, mark@fresnocriminallawyer.com, abrahim.bagheri@calbar.ca.gov, carol.kiyotoki@calbar.ca.gov, andrew.vasicek@calbar.ca.gov, mayte.diaz@calbar.ca.gov, duncan.carling@calbar.ca.gov, chelsey.smith@calbar.ca.gov, suzanne.grandt@calbar.ca.gov, gerri.smith@calbar.ca.gov,

peter.klivans@calbar.ca.gov, cindy.chan@calbar.ca.gov, teresa.ruano@calbar.ca.gov, patrice.vallier-glass@calbar.ca.gov, naji.khatib@calbar.ca.gov, awndrea.yeboah@calbar.ca.gov, christina.doell@calbar.ca.gov, enrique.zuniga@calbar.ca.gov, kimberly.anderson@calbar.ca.gov, brandon.stallings@calbar.ca.gov, Jose.cisneros@gmail.com, Jose.cisneros@sf.gov, pbarahona@yli.org, Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>, jmerchan@wdacs.lacounty.gov, info@wdacs.lacounty.gov, Arogant Hollywood <arogantmusicinc@gmail.com>, Alison Fairchild <fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com>, Arogant Hollywood <causeofaction43@gmail.com>, blacklawgenius@gmail.com, Alison Fairchild <alisonhfairchild@gmail.com>, Robert Luna <rluna@lasd.org>, rluna@lacounty.gov, atardy@lacounty.org, jtorres@lasd.org, saloma@lacounty.org, llecrivain@lasd.org, bchase@lacounty.gov, rlewis@lacounty.gov, llecrivain@lacounty.org, jmeza@lasd.org, ned.harlan@doj.ca.gov, nishdeep.singh@doj.ca.gov, robert.bonta@doj.ca.gov, OPSChiefsOffice@doj.ca.gov, peter.halloran@doj.ca.gov, amyhhalloran@yahoo.com, peterhalloran@sbcglobal.net, alisha.carlile@doj.ca.gov, snpdragn@aol.com, acarlile@alum.wellesley.edu, concertina9@yahoo.com, alishastephen@yahoo.com.au, alehr17@u.washington.edu, girlygirl8384@aol.com, akeened@yahoo.com, lish34@hotmail.com, piccbodin@hotmail.com, lachapell_a@yahoo.com, alishaoku@yahoo.com, alisha.mai@gmail.com, alisha.marks@rogers.com, vincent.bonotto@doj.ca.gov, vbonotto@hotmail.com, glenn.pruden@doj.ca.gov, eyeless77@hotmail.com, daniel.olivas@doj.ca.gov, giam.nguyen@doj.ca.gov, chad.stegeman@doj.ca.gov, stephen.lew@doj.ca.gov, christopher.findley@doj.ca.gov, dennis.beck@doj.ca.gov, darrell.spence@doj.ca.gov, maggy.krell@doj.ca.gov, Elaine.tong@doj.ca.gov, hannah.calacsan@doj.ca.gov, mo.park@doj.ca.gov, dbuthler@lasuperiorcourt.org, dslayton@lasuperiorcourt.org, sclover@lasuperiorcourt.org, jmoses@lasuperiorcourt.org, ppenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, tpenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, chase.penrose@gmail.com, mackenzienicole@gmail.com, trishpenrose@att.net, amber.barnett@jud.ca.go, john.yee@jud.ca.gov, jenniejasperson@gmail.com, kelton.jasperson@gmail.com, cjasperson2@mindspring.com, judy.jasperson@att.net, randie.chance@doj.ca.gov, gavin.newsom@gov.ca.gov

FRIVOLOUS CRIMINAL CASE 25CJCM02162-01, FIRST LEGAL WARNING TO COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, ITS DDAS, CITY OF ALHAMBRA AND ITS CONSPIRING ATTORNEYS TO RETURN ALL PROPERTY, DISMISSED ALL FRIVOLOUS FIVE COUNTS, CRIMINALLY CHARGE RACIST CRIMINAL PROSECUTOR BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG, REPORT MARK ROSENBERG TO THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA, CREATE SUPPLEMENTAL POLICE REPORT THAT HIGHLIGHTS AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S FACTUAL INNOCENCE, AND TURN OVER ALL CRIMINAL CASE DISCOVERY; INCLUDING PHONY PROBABLE CAUSE DETERMINATION.


ALL NATURAL PERSONS ELECTRONICALLY WARNED HEREIN CAN AND WILL BE HELD LIABLE.

I. INTRODUCTION

Rogue, RACIST, prejudiced, biased, corrupt, unethical, poorly trained, and extremely dishonest California peace officer Benjamin Yang (hereinafter "Yang") and his chief of police Garret Kennedy will soon find out the hard way in federal district court that they cannot arrest United States citizens whenever they want and wherever they see fit.

II. STATEMENT OF FACTS

On November 13, 2023 AROGANT HOLLYWOOD [hereinafter "KING AROGANT] sent an electronic mail message to RACIST, unethical, biased, delusional, mentally ill, and corrupt County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutor Brian MARK Rosenberg accusing him of falsifying evidence in his frivolous felony criminal case GA114055. See email below attached herein:




A SERIES OF EMAILS ARE COMING SOON! COMPLETE WITH ALL THE EVIDENCE THAT YOU BROKE FEDERAL LAW BY ABUSING YOUR POWER AND AUTHORITY AS PEACE OFFICERS AND PUBLIC OFFICERS OF THE COURT!

GET READY!!!!!!!!



BECAUSE ROSSI AND THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IS GOING TO SEE TO IT THAT BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG, RICHARD LEWIS, AND BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG BECOME FEDERAL PRISONERS! GUARANTEED!!!!!!!



ROSSI! AMERICA IS LESS SAFE WITH BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG ON THE STREETS. ITS TIME FOR THE U.S. GOVERNMENT TO LOCK THIS FOOL UP AND DECERTIFY HIM!

HE IS A CROOKED COP THAT HAS BEEN FEDERALLY CHARGED AND UNDER INVESTIGATION FOR CONDUCTING SIMILAR CRIMINAL BEHAVIOR AND CONDUCT HE HAS IN MY CASE. LOCK THIS FOOL UP!

Investigations and Prosecutions

The Department of Justice ("The Department") vigorously investigates and, where the evidence permits, prosecutes allegations of Constitutional violations by law enforcement officers. The Department's investigations most often involve alleged uses of excessive force, but also include sexual misconduct, theft, false arrest, and deliberate indifference to serious medical needs or a substantial risk of harm to a person in custody. These cases typically involve police officers, jailers, correctional officers, probation officers, prosecutors, judges, and other federal, state, or local law enforcement officials. The Department's authority extends to all law enforcement conduct, regardless of whether an officer is on or off duty, so long as he/she is acting, or claiming to act, in his/her official capacity.

In addition to Constitutional violations, the Department prosecutes law enforcement officers for related instances of obstruction of justice. This includes attempting to prevent a victim or witnesses from reporting the misconduct, lying to federal, state, or local officials during the course of an investigation into the potential misconduct, writing a false report to conceal misconduct, or fabricating evidence.

The principles of federal prosecution, set forth in the United States Attorneys' Manual ("USAM"), require federal prosecutors to meet two standards in order to seek an indictment.

First, the government must be convinced that the potential defendant committed a federal crime. Second, the government must also conclude that the government would be likely to prevail at trial, where the government must prove the charges beyond a reasonable doubt.

To prove a violation of § 242, the government must prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt: (1) that the defendant deprived a victim of a right protected by the Constitution or laws of the United States, (2) that the defendant acted willfully, and (3) that the defendant was acting under color of law. A violation of § 242 is a felony if one of the following conditions is met: the defendant used, attempted to use, or threatened to use a dangerous weapon, explosive or fire; the victim suffered bodily injury; the defendant's actions included attempted murder, kidnapping or attempted kidnapping, aggravated sexual abuse or attempted aggravated sexual abuse, or the crime resulted in death. Otherwise, the violation is a misdemeanor.

Establishing the intent behind a Constitutional violation requires proof beyond a reasonable doubt that the law enforcement officer knew what he/she was doing was wrong and against the law and decided to do it anyway. Therefore, even if the government can prove beyond a reasonable doubt that an individual's Constitutional right was violated, § 242 requires that the government prove that the law enforcement officer intended to engage in the unlawful conduct and that he/she did so knowing that it was wrong or unlawful. *See Screws v. United States*, 325 U.S. 91, 101-107 (1945). Mistake, fear, misperception, or even poor judgment does not constitute willful conduct prosecutable under the statute.

WHILE LYING BILLY AND RACIST MARK ROSENBERG'S ACTIONS ARE A MISDEMEANOR UNDER FEDERAL LAW. THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE MUST WORK WITH THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE TO CRIMINALLY CHARGE ROSENBERG, LEWIS, AND KHOUNTHAVONG PURSUANT TO VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 6200, WHICH IS A FELONY AND CARRY STATE PRISON TIME!

3-07/220.20 - California Department of Justice Admonishment

3-07/220.00 - Prohibitions

3-07/230.00 - Data Communications Management

As an employee of the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department, you may have access to confidential criminal record and/or Department of Motor Vehicles record information which is controlled by statute. This would include the information in the Los Angeles County Regional Identification System (LACRIS), including the facial recognition tools within LACRIS. Misuse of such information may adversely affect the individual's civil rights and violates the law. Penal Code section 502 prescribes the penalties relating to computer crimes. Penal Code sections 11105 and 13300 identify who has access to criminal history information and under what circumstances it may be released. Penal

Code sections 11140-11144 and 13301-13305 prescribe penalties for misuse of criminal history information. Government Code section 6200 prescribes the felony penalties for misuse of public records and CLETS information. Penal Code sections 11142 and 13303 state:


> "Any person authorized by law to receive a record or information obtained from a record who knowingly furnishes the record or information to a person not authorized by law to receive the record or information is guilty of a misdemeanor."

California Vehicle Code section 1808.45 prescribes the penalties relating to misuse of Department of Motor Vehicles record information.

Any employee who is responsible for such misuse is subject to disciplinary action. Violations of this law may also result in criminal and/or civil actions.

BILLY! YOU HAD BETTER RUN AWAY AS FAST YOU CAN FOOL!

MARK Rosenberg used the above electronic mail message sent to him on November 28, 2023 to not only apply for a frivolous civil harassment restraining order but to also request a temporary restraining order by fabricating lies that he believed that KING AROGANT posed a threat to him and his family by stating that he should to to prison for violating California Government Code Section 6200. See MARK Rosenberg's Superior Court of California civil harassment restraining order attachment pages below herein:



MC-025

SHORT TITLE: Attachment 7b-Previous Harassment

CASE NUMBER: 23PDRO01713

ATTACHMENT (Number): _____

(This Attachment may be used with any Judicial Council form.)

I am the assigned prosecutor for the case of People v. Arogant Hollywood, GA114055. Mr. Hollywood was previously charged in People v. Arogant Hollywood, GA113236, wherein after the proceedings, he threatened to sue the named prosecutor and ultimately contacted her and attempted to contact her family members that had nothing to do with that case and threatened to sue them. Said prosecutor is a colleague of mine and was scared by this behavior. I was assigned GA114055 at arraignment on 2/24/23. From the beginning of the case, Mr. Hollywood threatened to sue me in open court numerous times. He called me racist and frequently stated that he wouldn't just sue me but he'd find my entire family and sue them in any court he could, in any state he could.. He also called my work phone numerous times and threatened to sue me as well. He made additional threats to file state bar complaints against me as well. Additionally, Mr. Hollywood filed a motion in court wherein he threatened to sue myself and the judge for $14 million dollars (said motion was admitted as People's 2 during Defendant's preliminary hearing). A preliminary hearing was conducted on this matter on April 4, 2023 and April 5, 2023. Mr. Hollywood testified during this hearing. In this hearing, Mr. Hollywood admitted to being a vexatious litigant and admitted to intimidating people "all the time" by threatening to sue them. He further admitted to sending an email to the prior DA and her family because he was upset about the case she prosecuted. He stated "If I sue her family, she'll care. Ms. Lee's family's getting sued. I got her home address too." Mr. Hollywood additionally admitted to threatening to sue me "a lot." Stating further "that's what I do." Given his conduct with the prior DA, along with his conduct on this case, I believe that Mr. Hollywood uses these statements as a means of harassing and intimidating. This case was ultimately resolved on 10/17/23, where Mr. Hollywood pled no contest to the charge of PC 422 and was placed on formal probation. On 11/13/23, I received an email from Mr. Hollywood, threatening to send me to federal prison, and threatening to send me a series of emails. I reported this to LASD. Incident number: 923-11730-0522-217. Due to all this, I am fearful of my safety, along with the safety of my family, and believe that a protective order is necessary to secure their safety.

(If the item that this Attachment concerns is made under penalty of perjury, all statements in this Attachment are made under penalty of perjury.)

Page ____ of ____ (Add pages as required)

ATTACHMENT to Judicial Council Form



MC-025

SHORT TITLE: Attachment 7a(3)-Describe Harassment

CASE NUMBER: 25PDR001713

ATTACHMENT (Number): _____

(This Attachment may be used with any Judicial Council form.)

I received an email from Mr. Hollywood wherein he threatened to send me to federal prison and indicated that a "a series of emails are coming soon! Complete with all the evidence that you broke federal law by abusing your power and authority as peace officers and public officers of the court! Get Ready!!!!!!". In addition to threatening this series of emails, Hollywood also told the detective on our case that "you had better run away as fast you can fool." This is the latest in a string of harassing communications that I believe are only aimed at intimidating and annoying me. This matter was reported to LASD. URN: 923-11730-0522-217.

(If the item that this Attachment concerns is made under penalty of perjury, all statements in this Attachment are made under penalty of perjury.)

Page _____ of _____ (Add pages as required)

Form Approved for Optional Use Judicial Council of California MC-025 [Rev. July 1, 2009]

ATTACHMENT to Judicial Council Form

Harassment, for the purposes of Cal Code Civ Proc § 527.6, is unlawful violence, a credible threat of violence [Code Civ. Proc. § 527.6(b)(2) ("credible threat of violence" is knowing and willful statement or course of conduct that would place a reasonable person in fear for his or her safety or safety of his or her immediately family, and that serves no legitimate purpose)], *Harris v. Stampolis (2016) 248 Cal.App. 4th 484, 495-496, 204 Cal. Rptr. 3d 1*.

A credible threat of violence is a knowing and willful course of conduct directed at a specific person that seriously alarms, annoys, or harasses this person, and that serves no legitimate purpose.

The course of conduct must be such as would cause a reasonable person to suffer substantial emotional distress, and it must actually be caused by substantial emotional distress to the petitioner filing for a civil harassment restraining order. See Cal Civ Code Proc § 527.6(b)(3); *Huntington Life Sciences, Inc. v. Stop Huntington Animal Cruelty USA, Inc. (2005) 129 Cal. App. 4th 1228, 1257-1258, 29 Cal. Rptr. 3d 521* (liability is based on defendant's credible threats of violence and defendant's lack of intent to actually carry out threats is immaterial)]. Cal Code Civ Proc § 527.6(b)(2) does not define "substantial emotional distress," but it may be properly compared to "severe emotional distress" in the tort context of intentional infliction of emotional distress. In that context, "severe emotional distress" means highly unpleasant mental suffering or anguish from socially unacceptable conduct, which entails such intense, enduring, and nontrivial emotional distress that no reasonable person in a civilized society should be expected to endure it. Therefore, not all actions that give rise to some emotional distress will constitute conduct that "cause[s] a

reasonable person to suffer substantial emotional distress" within the meaning of Cal Code Civ Proc § 527.6, particularly if the distress is but transitory in nature. See *Schild v. Rubin (1991) 232 Cal. App. 3d 755, 762-763, 283 Cal. Rptr. 533*.

Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com> Wed, May 7, 2025 at 12:39 AM
To: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com

Address not found

Your message wasn't delivered to **ewakabay@da.lacounty** because the domain da.lacounty couldn't be found. Check for typos or unnecessary spaces and try again.

LEARN MORE

The response was:

```
DNS Error: DNS type 'mx' lookup of da.lacounty responded with code NXDOMAIN Domain name not found: da.lacounty For more information, go to https://support.google.com/mail/?p=BadRcptDomain
```

Final-Recipient: rfc822; ewakabay@da.lacounty
Action: failed
Status: 5.1.2
Diagnostic-Code: smtp; DNS Error: DNS type 'mx' lookup of da.lacounty responded with code NXDOMAIN
Domain name not found: da.lacounty For more information, go to https://support.google.com/mail/?p=BadRcptDomain
Last-Attempt-Date: Wed, 07 May 2025 00:39:38 -0700 (PDT)

noname
17K

Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com> Wed, May 7, 2025 at 12:39 AM
To: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com

Address not found

Your message wasn't delivered to **bjdodd@dalacounty.gov** because the domain dalacounty.gov couldn't be found. Check for typos or unnecessary spaces and try again.

LEARN MORE

The response was:

```
DNS Error: DNS type 'mx' lookup of dalacounty.gov responded with code NXDOMAIN Domain name not found: dalacounty.gov For more information, go to https://support.google.com/mail/?p=BadRcptDomain
```

Final-Recipient: rfc822; bjdodd@dalacounty.gov
Action: failed
Status: 5.1.2
Diagnostic-Code: smtp; DNS Error: DNS type 'mx' lookup of dalacounty.gov responded with code NXDOMAIN
Domain name not found: dalacounty.gov For more information, go to https://support.google.com/mail/?p=BadRcptDomain
Last-Attempt-Date: Wed, 07 May 2025 00:39:38 -0700 (PDT)

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq.
1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD.
PASADENA, CA 91106
Mobile: 206.471.1344
aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted
Spirit of Esquire

people of the state of california, et al v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD

Case No. . 25CJCM02162-01

Exhibit 6 is a true and correct copy of AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S electronic mail Gmail message he sent to numerous County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutors, California Department of Justice attorneys, and County of Los Angles Office County Counsel attorneys on May 7, 2024, at 600 AM.

Exhibit 6 proves by clear and convincing evidence that Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators all knew with 100% certainty and were fully aware that County of Los

---

EXHIBIT 6

Angeles District Attorney's Office deputy district attorney Brian MARK Rosenberg willfully, recklessly, maliciously, carelessly, wantonly, deliberately, knowingly, and callously violated California Penal Code § 148.5, California Government Code § 6200, and 18 United States Code § 242 by fabricating lies and making intentionally false statements to rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang that AROGANT HOLLYWOOD sent him electronic mail messages on November 28, 2023, February 13. 2024, and June 8, 2024.

Exhibit 6 proves by clear and convincing evidence that Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators all knew with 100% certainty and were fully aware that rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, carelessly, deliberately, knowingly, and callously created and authored a false police report that fabricated lies and made intentionally false statements that a copy of Brian MARK Rosenberg's brosenberg@da.lacounty.gov June 8, 2024 at 8:34 AM. electronic mail message was attached to the end of his city of Alhambra crime report.

Exhibit 6 proves by clear and convincing evidence that Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators all knew with 100% certainty and were fully aware that RACIST and BIASED County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutors willfully, recklessly, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, deliberately, maliciously, and callously filed criminal prosecutors filed frivolous counts 1, 2, and 3 without a single piece of evidence and entirely without probable cause.

Exhibit 6 proves by clear and convincing evidence that Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators all knew with 100% certainty and were fully aware that RACIST and BIASED County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutors willfully, recklessly, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, deliberately, maliciously, and callously violated State Bar of California Rules of Professional Conduct Rules 3.1, 3.3, and 3.4; California Business and Professions Code § 6068, and American Bar Association Models Rules of Professional Conduct

---

EXHIBIT 6

Rules 3.1, 3.3., and 3.4 by filing frivolous counts 1, 2, and 3 without a single piece of evidence and entirely without probable cause.

Exhibit 6 proves by clear and convincing evidence that there was no probable cause or leave basis for *Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators to utilize their under color of law abuse of judicial process to request that judicial officer MARK WINDHAM find AROGANT HOLLYWOOD in violation of his conditions of release after Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators were all electronically served clear and convincing evidence that AROGANT HOLLYWOOD was factually innocent of Counts 3, 4, and 5 of their frivolous and RACIST misdemeanor criminal complaint 25CJCM02162-01. And instead of following the law under State Bar of California Rules of Professional Conduct Rules 3.1, 3.3, and 3.4; California Business and Professions Code § 6068, and American Bar Association Models Rules of Professional Conduct Rules 3.1, 3.3., and 3.4 by dismissing counts 3, 4, and 5 on their own criminal motion but they all instead chose to commit reckless under color of law deceit by willfully, recklessly, maliciously, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, deliberately, and callously failing to inform, failing to disclose, and concealing from, and hiding from a Superior Court of California judicial officer that they were all in possession of entirely exculpatory evidence that proved AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S factual innocence by clear and convincing evidence.*

I declare, under penalty of perjury, under the laws and Constitution of California and the United States, that every word, sentence, paragraph, and page of the foregoing is true and accurate.

EXHIBIT 6

DATE: May 10, 2025

BY: _____

Arogant Hollywood, *Esq.*
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106
Mobile: (206) 471-1344
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
Spirit of Esquire

EXHIBIT 6

Gmail **Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>**

FRIVOLOUS CRIMINAL CASE 25CJCM02162-01, FIRST LEGAL WARNING TO COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, ITS DDAS, CITY OF ALHAMBRA AND ITS CONSPIRING ATTORNEYS TO RETURN ALL PROPERTY, DISMISSED ALL FRIVOLOUS FIVE COUNTS, CRIMINALLY CHARGE RACIST CRIMINAL PROSECUTOR BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG, REPORT MARK ROSENBERG TO THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA, CREATE SUPPLEMENTAL POLICE REPORT THAT HIGHLIGHTS AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S FACTUAL INNOCENCE, AND TURN OVER ALL CRIMINAL CASE DISCOVERY; INCLUDING PHONY PROBABLE CAUSE DETERMINATION(EMAIL, PART 1

96 messages

Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com> Wed, May 7, 2025 at 6:00 AM
To: jargusa@alhambrafire.org, jbinnquist@cityofalhambra.org, tseki@alhambrapd.org, Area1@alhambrapd.org, gsepncer@alhambrapd.org, area2@alhambrapd.org, rsoriano@alhambrapd.org, area3@alhambrapd.org, rcastillo@alhambrapd.org, area4@alhambrapd.org, klaing@alhambrapd.org, dfuentes@alhambrapd.org, lgarcia@alhambraca.gov, gdiaz@alhambrapd.org, rrongavilla@alhambrapd.org, dtran@slhsmbrapd.org, mlee@alhambrapd.org, hreyes@alhambrapd.org, bchung@alhambrapd.org, luwan@cityofalhambra.org, klee@alhambraca.gov, rmaza@cityofalhambra.org, jmaloney@cityofalhambra.org, maloney@smmc.ca.gov, nwang@cityofalhambra.org, aandradestadler@gmail.com, adele.stadler@gmail.com, joestadler@ladwp.com, andrade@eaterhlink.net, aandradestadler@yahoo.com, jmontes@bwslaw.com, CABBOTT@bwslaw.com, baffrunti@bwslaw.com, bguerrero@bwslaw.com, gaker@bwslaw.com, danneet@bwslaw.com, maustin@bwslaw.com, rbalchum@bwslaw.com, mtran@bwslaw.com, mbarrett@bwslaw.com, Jbalchum@bwslaw.com, dbazzano@bwslaw.com, kberger@bwslaw.com, mbernacchi@bwslaw.com, dakemon@da.lacounty.gov, cthorpe@da.lacounty.gov, ejerez@da.lacounty.gov, dbrosky@da.lacounty.gov, blunsford@da.lacounty.gov, pkouch@da.lacounty.gov, jtaksar@da.lacounty.gov, ron.goodreau@yahoo.com, ronaldgoodreau@aol.com, estreet@da.lacounty.gov, mlee@da.lacounty.gov, rdematti@da.lacounty.gov, jonchung@da.lacounty.gov, alin@da.lacounty.gov, mmichelena@da.lacounty.gov, srebelis@da.lacounty.gov, jlink@da.lacounty.gov, mvellakk@da.lacounty.gov, jmccomb@smcgov.org, dmccloskey@smcgov.org, bmccartt@da.lacounty.gov, gdameron@da.lacounty.gov, hharpham@da.lacounty.gov, duckett.justice@gmail.com, kduckett@da.lacounty.gov, jfrost@smcgov.org, jgarden@da.lacounty.gov, nhearon@da.lacounty.gov, tpovah@smcgov.org, hborden@da.lacounty.gov, gmarin@da.lacounty.gov, ibaly@da.lacounty.gov, rarias@da.lacounty.gov, Vaenlle-rocha@da.lacounty.gov, mmurray@da.lacounty.gov, jcastano@da.lacounty.gov, kmeyer@da.lacounty.gov, mmclaughlin@smcgov.org, apellman@da.lacounty.gov, tpham@da.lacounty.gov, inightengale@da.lacounty.gov, jniedermann@da.lacounty.gov, dhoffman@da.lacounty.gov, ewakabay@da.lacounty, grai@da.lacounty.gov, bobchen@da.lacounty.gov, bchen@da.lacounty.gov, fdunnick@da.lacounty.gov, Lisette.suder@edcda.us, calbanese@da.lacounty.gov, jcolello@da.lacounty.gov, eratinof@da.lacounty.gov, eratinoff@da.lacounty.gov, jmcgrath@da.lacounty.gov, lavila@da.lacounty.gov, kenvonh@msn.com, kvonhelmolt@da.lacounty.gov, echon@da.lacounty.gov, Jwilson@smcgov.org, jchung@da.lacounty.gov, cfredgren@da.lacounty.gov, kta@da.lacounty.gov, sungchung@da.lacounty.gov, schung@da.lacounty.gov, mbean@da.lacounty.gov, twhitney@da.lacounty.gov, hyun@da.lacounty.gov, kabourezk@da.lacounty.gov, ialvarez@da.lacounty.gov, Michael.pizzuti@edcda.us, nhahn@smcgov.org, Cmeaher-walker@da.lacounty.gov, cwalker@da.lacounty.gov, cmeagher@da.lacounty.gov, fyoung@da.lacounty.gov, afitzgerald@smcgov.org, gapt@da.lacounty.gov, Christine.vonhelmolt@csulb.edu, cvonhelmolt@da.lacounty.gov, knishita@da.lacounty.gov, snavas@da.lacounty.gov, fnagle@kernda.org, carolyn.palumbo@yolocounty.org, jmarcano@kernda.org, gpearl@kernda.org, dmckillop@kernda.org, jallen@kernda.org, nilackie@kern.org, nlackie@kernda.org, kmarshall@kernda.org, bstallings@kernda.org, deanna.hays@yolocounty.org, csherman@kernda.org, lgarver@kernda.org, rchoi@kernda.org, frits.vanderhoek@yolocounty.org, btaconi@kernda.org, gkohler@kernda.org, lfields@kernda.org, cmcnutt@kernda.org, czimmer@kernda.org, gsatriano@da.lacounty.gov, mariaramirez@hotmail.com, mramirez@da.lacounty.gov, mdaniels@da.lacounty.gov, kchun@da.lacounty.gov, jmorris@da.lacounty.gov, pcagney@da.lacounty.gov, sfrank6576@aol.com, sfrankland@da.lacounty.gov, bschirn@da.lacounty.gov, rsherwood@da.lacounty.gov, spresby@da.lacounty.gov, mbaxter@da.lacounty.gov, lwong@da.lacounty.gov, ayochelson@da.lacounty.gov, tgomez@da.lacounty.gov, cbuckley@da.lacounty.gov, vcole@da.lacounty.gov, srandolph@da.lacounty.gov, ldery@da.lacounty.gov, ymassry@da.lacounty.gov, gjennings@da.lacounty.gov, hchun@da.lacounty.gov, langer@da.lacounty.gov, shassett@da.lacounty.gov, smire@da.lacounty.gov, gwright@da.lacounty.gov, ljkessner@da.lacounty.gov, Jcallahan@da.lacounty.gov,

chum@da.lacounty.gov, mchung@da.lacounty.gov, jmejia@da.lacounty.gov, mgarcia@da.lacounty.gov, jharlan@da.lacounty.gov, mpiantanida@da.lacounty.gov, gsantiso@da.lacounty.gov, pmusso@da.lacounty.gov, nhochman@da.lacounty.gov, Vaenille-rocha@da.lacounty.gov, dfelizzatto@da.lacounty.gov, mhanisee@da.lacounty.gov, skatz@da.lacounty.gov, Jbrooke-castano@da.lacounty.gov, wfrayeh@da.lacounty.gov, jfoster@da.lacounty.gov, jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov, jtillson@da.lacounty.gov, Mariaramirez65@hotmail.com, ilee@da.lacounty.gov, Cris.buckley@uci.edu, jwalker@da.lacounty.gov, cfrey@da.lacounty.gov, vrodriguez@dalacounty.gov, mckinney@da.lacounty.gov, jmckinney@da.lacounty.gov, kcady@da.lacounty.gov, jdsilva@da.lacounty.gov, amattson@da.lacounty.gov, chrisbaker@da.lacounty.gov, cnakao@da.lacounty.gov, jjohnson@da.lacounty.gov, kuyen@da.lacounty.gov, pkouch@da.alacounty.gov, shulefeld@da.lacounty.gov, mbeaart@da.lacounty.gov, bjdodd@dalacounty.gov, mcarrillo@da.lacounty.gov, aaguilar@da.lacounty.gov, awise@da.lacounty.gov, lorquiola@da.lacounty.gov, Kevin.s@lacounty.gov, kswartz@da.lacounty.gov, akozma@da.lacounty.gov, aadams@da.lacounty.gov, trichardson@da.lacounty.gov, timothy@lacounty.gov, sradmore@dal.lacounty.gov, wrosenthal@da.lacounty.gov, wkarfiol@lacounty.gov, cnajera@lacourt.org, mwindham@lacourt.org, dilacqua@da.lacounty.gov, jonathan@lacounty.gov, jarmour@dalacounty.gov, felicia@lacounty.gov, forman@da.laounty.gov, ryann.jorban@stfrancislaw.com, rgerber@dalcounty.gov, jcohn@da.lacounty.gov, julia@lacounty.gov, hjackson@da.lacounty.gov, sunita.popal@pepperdine.edu, spopal@da.lacounty.gov, dzygielbaum@da.lacounty.gov, mlewis@da.lacounty.gov, philglaviano@hotmail.com, pglaviano@da.lacounty.gov, thomaskrag@proton.me, tkrag@da.lacounty.gov, klynch@da.lacounty.gov, smrakich@da.lacounty.gov, cgaines@da.lacounty.gov, menomoto@da.lacounty.gov, cchaiyar@da.lacounty.gov, rdooley@da.lacounty.gov, glewin@da.lacounty.gov, ddelimon@gmail.com, mhaidar@da.lacounty.gov, thooks@da.lacounty.gov, wllanos@da.lacounty.gov, scarney@da.lacounty.gov, dwright@da.lacounty.gov, lholtz@da.lacounty.gov, dmartinez@da.lacounty.gov, dwooden@da.lacounty.gov, jgonzalez@da.lacounty.gov, dsyn@da.lacounty.gov, rchang@da.lacounty.gov, ncooper@da.lacounty.gov, akarkanen@da.lacounty.gov, khalligan@da.lacounty.gov, ralarcon@da.lacounty.gov, sstodel@da.lacounty.gov, dbarkhurst@da.lacounty.gov, tdecastro@da.lacounty.gov, dchau@da.lacounty.gov, vlittle@da.lacounty.gov, nflood@da.lacounty.gov, rrabbani@da.lacounty.gov, tmokayef@da.clacounty.gov, rrose@da.lacounty.gov, chenry@da.lacounty.gov, fsantoro@da.lacounty.gov, kstpierre@da.lacounty.gov, johnlwn@gmail.com, brian.jones@sen.ca.gov, erikandannaapperson@hotmail.com, dbengstib@da.lacounty.gov, Ying.sun@cdcr.ca.gov, pghaderi@counsel.lacounty.gov, rsaldana@counsel.lacounty.gov, ndavis-tinkham@counsel.lacounty.gov, tink4d1@gmail.com, brind0313@gmail.com, darrellltinkham@yahoo.com, ltolani@counsel.lacounty.gov, lester.tolnai@yahoo.com, rclester.tolnai71@yahoo.com, pmarshall@counsel.lacounty.gov, Adrienne Byers <abyers@counsel.lacounty.gov>, rcartwright@counsel.lacounty.gov, rwong@counsel.lacounty.gov, tfaughnan@counsel.lacounty.gov, tomfaughnan66@gmail.com, Jennifer <JLehman@counsel.lacounty.gov>, kmiles@counsel.lacounty.gov, rbaeza@counsel.lacounty.gov, rchastang@counsel.lacounty.gov, lcampo@counsel.lacounty.gov, msimon@counsel.lacounty.gov, emiller@counsel.lacounty.gov, elizabeth_dumais@yahoo.com, kaigrant19@yahoo.com, pmomon@yahoo.com, pbollinger@counsel.lacounty.gov, First District <firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>, secondistrict@bos.la.county.gov, "holly4assembly@gmail.com" <holly4assembly@gmail.com>, hmitchell@yahoo.com, hjmitchel@aol.com, Cindy <cchen@bos.lacounty.gov>, nadtinkham@gmail.com, holly@hollyjmitchell.com, rfleming-peters@counsel.lacounty.gov, Margaret Carter <mcarter@counsel.lacounty.gov>, njenkins@lacounty.gov, njenkins@counsel.lacounty.gov, jgonzalez@counsel.lacounty.gov, lowt@metro.net, Tlow77@gmail.com, ryanthurlow@yahoo.com, tlow@counsel.lacounty.gov, tina@jmoilco.com, tlow7@yahoo.com, econard@lacounty.gov, econard@counsel.lacounty.gov, andria.seo@disabilityrightsca.org, schan@counsel.lacounty.gov, eggerse@metro.net, eggers@counsel.lacounty.gov, mrolon@counsel.lacounty.gov, mirder@hotmail.com, doug@homealert.com, Alexandra Zuiderweg <AZuiderweg@counsel.lacounty.gov>, mruben@counsel.lacounty.gov, Caitlin Taylor <ctaylor@counsel.lacounty.gov>, "Holly J. Mitchell" <HollyJMitchell@bos.lacounty.gov>, Lindsey.P.Horvath@gmail.com, kmuir@counsel.lacounty.gov, CCRCMFLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCCILitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCTFLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCMCLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCORLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCIMLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, FCORTEZ@cdcr.gov, Jeff.macomber@cdcr.ca.gov, Jennifer.barretto@cdcr.ca.gov, Tammy.foss@cdcr.ca.gov, Diana.toche@cdcr.ca.gov, albert.lundeen@cdcr.ca.gov, Jennifer.neil@cdcr.ca.gov, Sarah.larson@cdcr.ca.gov, Ron.broomfield@cdcr.ca.gov, Amy.casias@cdcr.ca.gov, Jason.johnson@cdcr.ca.gov, Chris.chambers@cdcr.ca.gov, Stacy.lopez@cdcr.ca.gov, Niki.dhillon@cdcr.ca.gov, Jennifer.benavidez@cdcr.ca.gov, heather.bowlds@cdcr.ca.gov, Jared.lozano@cdcr.ca.gov, Derrick.marion@cdcr.ca.gov, David.chriss@cdcr.ca.gov, Sarina.calderon@cdcr.ca.gov, "elizabeth.hom@calbar.ca.gov" <elizabeth.hom@calbar.ca.gov>, johnna.sack@calbar.ca.gov, rocio.avalos@calbar.ca.gov, kristina.ramos@calbar.ca.gov, collin.grant@calbar.ca.gov, louisa.ayrapetyan@calbar.ca.gov, jennifer.stalvey@calbar.ca.gov, ken.nourse@calbar.ca.gov, summer.shelton@calbar.ca.gov, kirsten.galler@calbar.ca.gov, doan.nguyen@calbar.ca.gov, audrey.ching@calbar.ca.gov, laurie.gondreau@calbar.ca.gov, yun.xiang@calbar.ca.gov, mark@fresnocriminallawyer.com, abrahim.bagheri@calbar.ca.gov, carol.kiyotoki@calbar.ca.gov, andrew.vasicek@calbar.ca.gov, mayte.diaz@calbar.ca.gov, duncan.carling@calbar.ca.gov, chelsey.smith@calbar.ca.gov, suzanne.grandt@calbar.ca.gov, gerri.smith@calbar.ca.gov, peter.klivans@calbar.ca.gov, cindy.chan@calbar.ca.gov, teresa.ruano@calbar.ca.gov, patrice.vallier-glass@calbar.ca.gov, naji.khatib@calbar.ca.gov, awndrea.yeboah@calbar.ca.gov, christina.doell@calbar.ca.gov, enrique.zuniga@calbar.ca.gov, kimberly.anderson@calbar.ca.gov, brandon.stallings@calbar.ca.gov, Jose.cisneros@gmail.com, Jose.cisneros@sf.gov,

pbarahona@yli.org, Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>, jmerchan@wdacs.lacounty.gov, info@wdacs.lacounty.gov, Arogant Hollywood <arogantmusicinc@gmail.com>, Alison Fairchild <fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com>, Arogant Hollywood <causeofaction43@gmail.com>, blacklawgenius@gmail.com, Alison Fairchild <alisonhfairchild@gmail.com>, Robert Luna <rluna@lasd.org>, rluna@lacounty.gov, atardy@lacounty.org, jtorres@lasd.org, saloma@lacounty.org, llecrivain@lasd.org, bchase@lacounty.gov, rlewis@lacounty.gov, llecrivain@lacounty.org, jmeza@lasd.org, ned.harlan@doj.ca.gov, nishdeep.singh@doj.ca.gov, robert.bonta@doj.ca.gov, OPSChiefsOffice@doj.ca.gov, peter.halloran@doj.ca.gov, amyhhalloran@yahoo.com, peterhalloran@sbcglobal.net, alisha.carlile@doj.ca.gov, snpdragn@aol.com, acarlile@alum.wellesley.edu, concertina9@yahoo.com, alishastephen@yahoo.com.au, alehr17@u.washington.edu, girlygirl8384@aol.com, akeened@yahoo.com, lish34@hotmail.com, piccbodin@hotmail.com, lachapell_a@yahoo.com, alishaoku@yahoo.com, alisha.mai@gmail.com, alisha.marks@rogers.com, vincent.bonotto@doj.ca.gov, vbonotto@hotmail.com, glenn.pruden@doj.ca.gov, eyeless77@hotmail.com, daniel.olivas@doj.ca.gov, giam.nguyen@doj.ca.gov, chad.stegeman@doj.ca.gov, stephen.lew@doj.ca.gov, christopher.findley@doj.ca.gov, dennis.beck@doj.ca.gov, darrell.spence@doj.ca.gov, maggy.krell@doj.ca.gov, Elaine.tong@doj.ca.gov, hannah.calacsan@doj.ca.gov, mo.park@doj.ca.gov, dbuthler@lasuperiorcourt.org, dslayton@lasuperiorcourt.org, sclover@lasuperiorcourt.org, jmoses@lasuperiorcourt.org, ppenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, tpenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, chase.penrose@gmail.com, mackenzienicole@gmail.com, trishpenrose@att.net, amber.barnett@jud.ca.go, john.yee@jud.ca.gov, jenniejasperson@gmail.com, kelton.jasperson@gmail.com, cjasperson2@mindspring.com, judy.jasperson@att.net, randie.chance@doj.ca.gov, gavin.newsom@gov.ca.gov, gkennedy@alhambrapd.org, EWakabayashi@da.lacounty.gov, bdodd@da.lacounty.gov, hforman@da.lacounty.gov, ttokat@da.lacounty.gov, tmokayf@da.lacounty.gov, sradmore@da.lacounty.gov, andrade@earthlink.net, rgerber@da.lacounty.gov, vrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov, jlewin@da.lacounty.gov, atardy@lasd.org, dtran@alhambrapd.org, secondistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, dbengston@da.lacounty.gov, jragusa@alhambrafire.org, rembrahim@da.lacounty.gov, "rishnidanos516@gmail.com" <rishnidanos516@gmail.com>, prentice.hill@cdcr.ca.gov

FRIVOLOUS CRIMINAL CASE 25CJCM02162-01, FIRST LEGAL WARNING TO COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, ITS DDAS, CITY OF ALHAMBRA AND ITS CONSPIRING ATTORNEYS TO RETURN ALL PROPERTY, DISMISSED ALL FRIVOLOUS FIVE COUNTS, CRIMINALLY CHARGE RACIST CRIMINAL PROSECUTOR BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG, REPORT MARK ROSENBERG TO THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA, CREATE SUPPLEMENTAL POLICE REPORT THAT HIGHLIGHTS AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S FACTUAL INNOCENCE, AND TURN OVER ALL CRIMINAL CASE DISCOVERY; INCLUDING PHONY PROBABLE CAUSE DETERMINATION

FRIVOLOUS CRIMINAL CASE 25CJCM02162-01, FIRST LEGAL WARNING TO COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, ITS DDAS, CITY OF ALHAMBRA AND ITS CONSPIRING ATTORNEYS TO RETURN ALL PROPERTY, DISMISSED ALL FRIVOLOUS FIVE COUNTS, CRIMINALLY CHARGE RACIST CRIMINAL PROSECUTOR BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG, REPORT MARK ROSENBERG TO THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA, CREATE SUPPLEMENTAL POLICE REPORT THAT HIGHLIGHTS AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S FACTUAL INNOCENCE, AND TURN OVER ALL CRIMINAL CASE DISCOVERY; INCLUDING PHONY PROBABLE CAUSE DETERMINATION.


ALL NATURAL PERSONS ELECTRONICALLY WARNED HEREIN CAN AND WILL BE HELD LIABLE.

I. INTRODUCTION

Rogue, RACIST, prejudiced, biased, corrupt, unethical, poorly trained, and extremely dishonest California peace officer Benjamin Yang (hereinafter "Yang") and his chief of police Garret Kennedy will soon find out the hard way in federal district court that they cannot arrest United States citizens whenever they want and wherever they see fit.

II. STATEMENT OF FACTS

On November 13, 2023 AROGANT HOLLYWOOD [hereinafter "KING AROGANT] sent an electronic mail message to RACIST, unethical, biased, delusional, mentally ill, and corrupt County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutor Brian MARK Rosenberg accusing him of falsifying evidence in his frivolous felony criminal case GA114055. See email below attached herein:



A SERIES OF EMAILS ARE COMING SOON! COMPLETE WITH ALL THE EVIDENCE THAT YOU BROKE FEDERAL LAW BY ABUSING YOUR POWER AND AUTHORITY AS PEACE OFFICERS AND PUBLIC OFFICERS OF THE COURT!

GET READY!!!!!!!!

BECAUSE ROSSI AND THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IS GOING TO SEE TO IT THAT BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG, RICHARD LEWIS, AND BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG BECOME FEDERAL PRISONERS! GUARANTEED!!!!!!!

FRIVOLOUS CRIMINAL CASE 25CJCM02162-01, FIRST LEGAL WARNING TO COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, ITS DDAS, CITY OF ALHAMBRA AND ITS CONSPIRING ATTORNEYS TO RETURN ALL PROPERTY, DISMISSED ALL FRIVOLOUS FIVE COUNTS, CRIMINALLY CHARGE RACIST CRIMINAL PROSECUTOR BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG, REPORT MARK ROSENBERG TO THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA, CREATE SUPPLEMENTAL POLICE REPORT THAT HIGHLIGHTS AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S FACTUAL INNOCENCE, AND TURN OVER ALL CRIMINAL CASE DISCOVERY; INCLUDING PHONY PROBABLE CAUSE DETERMINATION.


ALL NATURAL PERSONS ELECTRONICALLY WARNED HEREIN CAN AND WILL BE HELD LIABLE.

I. INTRODUCTION

Rogue, RACIST, prejudiced, biased, corrupt, unethical, poorly trained, and extremely dishonest California peace officer Benjamin Yang (hereinafter "Yang") and his chief of police Garret Kennedy will soon find out the hard way in federal district court that they cannot arrest United States citizens whenever they want and wherever they see fit.

II. STATEMENT OF FACTS

On November 13, 2023 AROGANT HOLLYWOOD [hereinafter "KING AROGANT] sent an electronic mail message to RACIST, unethical, biased, delusional, mentally ill, and corrupt County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutor Brian MARK Rosenberg accusing him of falsifying evidence in his frivolous felony criminal case GA114055. See email below attached herein:




A SERIES OF EMAILS ARE COMING SOON! COMPLETE WITH ALL THE EVIDENCE THAT YOU BROKE FEDERAL LAW BY ABUSING YOUR POWER AND AUTHORITY AS PEACE OFFICERS AND PUBLIC OFFICERS OF THE COURT!

GET READY!!!!!!!!



BECAUSE ROSSI AND THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IS GOING TO SEE TO IT THAT BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG, RICHARD LEWIS, AND BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG BECOME FEDERAL PRISONERS! GUARANTEED!!!!!!!



ROSSI! AMERICA IS LESS SAFE WITH BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG ON THE STREETS. ITS TIME FOR THE U.S. GOVERNMENT TO LOCK THIS FOOL UP AND DECERTIFY HIM!

HE IS A CROOKED COP THAT HAS BEEN FEDERALLY CHARGED AND UNDER INVESTIGATION FOR CONDUCTING SIMILAR CRIMINAL BEHAVIOR AND CONDUCT HE HAS IN MY CASE. LOCK THIS FOOL UP!

Investigations and Prosecutions

The Department of Justice ("The Department") vigorously investigates and, where the evidence permits, prosecutes allegations of Constitutional violations by law enforcement officers. The Department's investigations most often involve alleged uses of excessive force, but also include sexual misconduct, theft, false arrest, and deliberate indifference to serious medical needs or a substantial risk of harm to a person in custody. These cases typically involve police officers, jailers, correctional officers, probation officers, prosecutors, judges, and other federal, state, or local law enforcement officials. The Department's authority extends to all law enforcement conduct, regardless of whether an officer is on or off duty, so long as he/she is acting, or claiming to act, in his/her official capacity.

In addition to Constitutional violations, the Department prosecutes law enforcement officers for related instances of obstruction of justice. This includes attempting to prevent a victim or witnesses from reporting the misconduct, lying to federal, state, or local officials during the

course of an investigation into the potential misconduct, writing a false report to conceal misconduct, or fabricating evidence.

The principles of federal prosecution, set forth in the United States Attorneys' Manual ("USAM"), require federal prosecutors to meet two standards in order to seek an indictment.


First, the government must be convinced that the potential defendant committed a federal crime. Second, the government must also conclude that the government would be likely to prevail at trial, where the government must prove the charges beyond a reasonable doubt.

To prove a violation of § 242, the government must prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt: (1) that the defendant deprived a victim of a right protected by the Constitution or laws of the United States, (2) that the defendant acted willfully, and (3) that the defendant was acting under color of law. A violation of § 242 is a felony if one of the following conditions is met: the defendant used, attempted to use, or threatened to use a dangerous weapon, explosive or fire; the victim suffered bodily injury; the defendant's actions included attempted murder, kidnapping or attempted kidnapping, aggravated sexual abuse or attempted aggravated sexual abuse, or the crime resulted in death. Otherwise, the violation is a misdemeanor.

Establishing the intent behind a Constitutional violation requires proof beyond a reasonable doubt that the law enforcement officer knew what he/she was doing was wrong and against the law and decided to do it anyway. Therefore, even if the government can prove beyond a reasonable doubt that an individual's Constitutional right was violated, § 242 requires that the government prove that the law enforcement officer intended to engage in the unlawful conduct and that he/she did so knowing that it was wrong or unlawful. *See Screws v. United States*, 325 U.S. 91, 101-107 (1945). Mistake, fear, misperception, or even poor judgment does not constitute willful conduct prosecutable under the statute.

WHILE LYING BILLY AND RACIST MARK ROSENBERG'S ACTIONS ARE A MISDEMEANOR UNDER FEDERAL LAW. THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE MUST WORK WITH THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE TO CRIMINALLY CHARGE ROSENBERG, LEWIS, AND KHOUNTHAVONG PURSUANT TO VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 6200, WHICH IS A FELONY AND CARRY STATE PRISON TIME!

MARK Rosenberg used the above electronic mail message sent to him on November 28, 2023 to not only apply for a frivolous civil harassment restraining order but to also request a temporary restraining order by fabricating lies that he believed that KING AROGANT posed a threat to him and his family by stating that he should to to prison for violating California Government Code Section 6200. See MARK Rosenberg's Superior Court of California civil harassment restraining order attachment pages below herein:



MC-025

SHORT TITLE: Attachment 7b-Previous Harassment

CASE NUMBER: 25FDRO01713

ATTACHMENT (Number): _____

(This Attachment may be used with any Judicial Council form.)

I am the assigned prosecutor for the case of People v. Arogant Hollywood, GA114055. Mr. Hollywood was previously charged in People v. Arogant Hollywood, GA113236, wherein after the proceedings, he threatened to sue the named prosecutor and ultimately contacted her and attempted to contact her family members that had nothing to do with that case and threatened to sue them. Said prosecutor is a colleague of mine and was scared by this behavior. I was assigned GA114055 at arraignment on 2/24/23. From the beginning of the case, Mr. Hollywood threatened to sue me in open court numerous times. He called me racist and frequently stated that he wouldn't just sue me but he'd find my entire family and sue them in any court he could, in any state he could.. He also called my work phone numerous times and threatened to sue me as well. He made additional threats to file state bar complaints against me as well. Additionally, Mr. Hollywood filed a motion in court wherein he threatened to sue myself and the judge for $14 million dollars (said motion was admitted as People's 2 during Defendant's preliminary hearing). A preliminary hearing was conducted on this matter on April 4, 2023 and April 5, 2023. Mr. Hollywood testified during this hearing. In this hearing, Mr. Hollywood admitted to being a vexatious litigant and admitted to intimidating people "all the time" by threatening to sue them. He further admitted to sending an email to the prior DA and her family because he was upset about the case she prosecuted. He stated "If I sue her family, she'll care. Ms. Lee's family's getting sued. I got her home address too." Mr. Hollywood additionally admitted to threatening to sue me "a lot." Stating further "that's what I do." Given his conduct with the prior DA, along with his conduct on this case, I believe that Mr. Hollywood uses these statements as a means of harassing and intimidating. This case was ultimately resolved on 10/17/23, where Mr. Hollywood pled no contest to the charge of PC 422 and was placed on formal probation. On 11/13/23, I received an email from Mr. Hollywood, threatening to send me to federal prison, and threatening to send me a series of emails. I reported this to LASD. Incident number: 923-11730-0522-217. Due to all this, I am fearful of my safety, along with the safety of my family, and believe that a protective order is necessary to secure that safety.

(If the item that this Attachment concerns is made under penalty of perjury, all statements in this Attachment are made under penalty of perjury.)

Page ____ of ____

(Add pages as required)

Form Approved for Optional Use
Judicial Council of California
MC-025 [Rev. July 1, 2016]

ATTACHMENT
to Judicial Council Form

www.courtinfo.ca.gov



MC-025

SHORT TITLE:
Attachment 7a(3)-Describe Harassment

CASE NUMBER:
25PDR001713

ATTACHMENT (Number): _____
(This Attachment may be used with any Judicial Council form.)

I received an email from Mr. Hollywood wherein he threatened to send me to federal prison and indicated that a "a series of emails are coming soon! Complete with all the evidence that you broke federal law by abusing your power and authority as peace officers and public officers of the court! Get Ready!!!!!!". In addition to threatening this series of emails, Hollywood also told the detective on our case that "you had better run away as fast you can fool." This is the latest in a string of harassing communications that I believe are only aimed at intimidating and annoying me. This matter was reported to LASD. URN: 923-11730-0522-217.

(If the item that this Attachment concerns is made under penalty of perjury, all statements in this Attachment are made under penalty of perjury.)

Page _____ of _____
(Add pages as required)

Form Approved for Optional Use
Judicial Council of California
MC-025 [Rev. July 1, 2009]

ATTACHMENT
to Judicial Council Form

www.courtinfo.ca.gov

Harassment, for the purposes of Cal Code Civ Proc § 527.6, is unlawful violence, a credible threat of violence [Code Civ. Proc. § 527.6(b)(2) ("credible threat of violence" is knowing and willful statement or course of conduct that would place a reasonable person in fear for his or her safety or safety of his or her immediately family, and that serves no legitimate purpose)], *Harris v. Stampolis (2016) 248 Cal.App. 4th 484, 495-496, 204 Cal. Rptr. 3d 1.*

A credible threat of violence is a knowing and willful course of conduct directed at a specific person that seriously alarms, annoys, or harasses this person, and that serves no legitimate purpose.

The course of conduct must be such as would cause a reasonable person to suffer substantial emotional distress, and it must actually be caused by substantial emotional distress to the petitioner filing for a civil harassment restraining order. See Cal Civ Code Proc § 527.6(b)(3); *Huntington Life Sciences, Inc. v. Stop Huntington Animal Cruelty USA, Inc. (2005) 129 Cal. App. 4th 1228, 1257-1258, 29 Cal. Rptr. 3d 521* (liability is based on defendant's credible threats of violence and defendant's lack of intent to actually carry out threats is immaterial)]. Cal Code Civ Proc § 527.6(b)

(2) does not define "substantial emotional distress," but it may be properly compared to "severe emotional distress" in the tort context of intentional infliction of emotional distress. In that context, "severe emotional distress" means highly unpleasant mental suffering or anguish from socially unacceptable conduct, which entails such intense, enduring, and nontrivial emotional distress that no reasonable person in a civilized society should be expected to endure it. Therefore, not all actions that give rise to some emotional distress will constitute conduct that "cause[s] a reasonable person to suffer substantial emotional distress" within the meaning of Cal Code Civ Proc § 527.6, particularly if the distress is but transitory in nature. See *Schild v. Rubin (1991) 232 Cal. App. 3d 755, 762-763, 283 Cal. Rptr. 533*.

MARK Rosenberg's above attachment page allegations were insufficient evidence for the unfair and corrupt Superior Court of California judicial officer to grant either a temporary restraining order or a permanent restraining order.

MARK Rosenberg's fabricated statements that KING AROGANT stated that a serious of emails were coming proved to be irrelevant. As KING AROGANT never followed up to MARK Rosenberg's by sending him a second email.

Thus, in the seventeen months MARK Rosenberg had only received one single email from KING AROGANT. A single incident was not for MARK Rosenberg to even file a second restraining order. See caselaw below:


A single incident of harassment is not a course of conduct, see *Leydon v. Alexander (1989) 212 Cal. App. 3d 1, 4 260 Cal. Rptr. 253*. Moreover, constitutionally protected activity, such as the right to petition administrative, executive, or judicial agencies, is not included within the meaning of a course of conduct. See Cal Code Civ Proc § 527, *Leydon v. Alexander (1989) 212 Cal App 3d 1, 5, 260 Cal. Rptr. 253; Smith v. Silvey (1983) 149 Cal. App. 3d 406-407, 197 Cal. Rptr. 15*.

Additionally, pursuant to California Penal Code Section 646.6, subsection (f) MARK Rosenberg's allegations that KING AROGANT threatened and harassed him by sending him an email stating that he should go to prison did not rise to the level of unlawful violence and harassment because KING AROGANT'S written electronic mail statements were in exercise of his First Amendment Freedom of Speech Clause constitutional civil rights. See below:

(f) For the purposes of this section, "course of conduct" means two or more acts occurring over a period of time, however short, evidencing a continuity of purpose. Constitutionally protected activity is not included within the meaning of "course of conduct."

MARK Rosenberg's frivolous and bogus Superior Court of California civil harassment restraining order filed originally in November 2023 (23PDRO01713) recklessly infringed upon KING AROGANT'S 1st amendment and Fourteenth amendment constitutional civil rights and make it extremely difficult for KING AROGANT to request MARK Rosenberg turn over and disclose numerous pieces of evidence in frivolous felony criminal case GA114055.

On November 28, 2023 KING AROGANT sent an email to numerous County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors detailing how rogue peace officer and RACIST criminal prosecutor Brian MARK Rosenberg had falsified evidence in illegal case GA114055. Brian MARK Rosenberg was not included on the nearly 500 persons email list. See evidence below attached hereto and marked as "Exhibit 1".



Gmail - AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. state of california, united states,... https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0?ik=8c828f0960&view=pt&search=a...

Gmail Alison Fairchild <alisonhfairchild@gmail.com>

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. state of california, united states, county of los angeles, city of south pasadena, city of arcadia, et al [SECOND EMAIL] [REAL VIDEO THAT PROVES THAT I WAS FRAMED FOR VIOLATING CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE SECTION 422(a)

103 messages

Arogant Hollywood <arogantHollywoodgenius@gmail.com> Tue, Nov 28, 2023 at 4:02 AM


To: attorney@reliopdahl.com, Arogant Hollywood <arogantHollywoodgenius@gmail.com>, arogantmusicone@gmail.com, fairchildtaylor.leader@gmail.com, causeofaction43@gmail.com, blacklawgenius@gmail.com, alisonhfairchild@gmail.com, "blacklawcrusador@gmail.com" <blacklawcrusador@gmail.com>, rluna@lasd.org, rluna@lacounty.gov, atardy@lacounty.org, jflores@lasd.org, salema@lacounty.org, leonvain@lasd.org, behase@lacounty.gov, rlewis@lacounty.gov, lechiveh@lacounty.org, jmeza@lasd.org, ned.hallan@doj.ca.gov, rishdeep.singh@doj.ca.gov, robert.bonta@doj.ca.gov, CPSChiefsOffice@doj.ca.gov, peter.halloran@doj.ca.gov, amyhhalloran@yahoo.com, peterhalloran@sbcglobal.net, alisha.carlile@doj.ca.gov, angdrsgm@aol.com, acarlile@alum.wellesley.edu, concartina5@yahoo.com, alishastephen@yahoo.com.au, alahr17@u.washington.edu, girlygirl8384@aol.com, akeened@yahoo.com, lish34@hotmail.com, pocbodin@hotmail.com, lashapell_a@yahoo.com, alishaoku@yahoo.com, alisha.ma@gmail.com, alisha.marks@rogers.com, vincent.bonetto@doj.ca.gov, vbonetto@hotmail.com, glenn.pruden@doj.ca.gov, eyeless77@hotmail.com, daniel.olivas@doj.ca.gov, glam.nguyen@doj.ca.gov, chad.stegeman@doj.ca.gov, stephen.lew@doj.ca.gov, christopher.findley@doj.ca.gov, dennis.beck@doj.ca.gov, darrell.spence@doj.ca.gov, maggy.krell@doj.ca.gov, Elaine.tong@doj.ca.gov, hannah.calacsan@doj.ca.gov, mo.park@doj.ca.gov, dbuthler@lasuperiorcourt.org, dclayton@lasuperiorcourt.org, sdover@lasuperiorcourt.org, jmoses@lasuperiorcourt.org, ppenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, tpenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, chase.penrose@gmail.com, mackenzienicole@gmail.com, trishpenrose@att.net, TCPJWC_CEACC@jud.ca.gov, afisher@lasuperiorcourt.org, jorethen.sibayan@jud.ca.gov, mary.carter@jud.ca.gov, john.williams@jud.ca.gov, amber.barnett@jud.ca.go, robert.oyung@jud.ca.gov, john.yee@jud.ca.gov, heather.pelbit@jud.ca.gov, leah.rose-goodwin@jud.ca.gov, mark.dusman@jud.ca.gov, cory.jasperson@jud.ca.gov, jennie.jasperson@gmail.com, kelton.jasperson@gmail.com, cjasperson2@mindspring.com, judy.jasperson@att.net, randie.chance@doj.ca.gov, randie.chance@jud.ca.gov, Bobby.brow@jud.ca.gov, stephen.nash@jud.ca.gov, debbie.silbox@jud.ca.gov, laura.speed@jud.ca.gov, andrea.evans@jud.ca.gov, nicole.claro@jud.ca.gov, gavin.newsom@gov.ca.gov, robert.rivas@asm.ca.gov, isaac.bryan@asm.ca.gov, cecilia.aguiar-curry@asm.ca.gov, stephanie.nguyen@asm.ca.gov, lori.wilson@asm.ca.gov, matt.haney@asm.ca.gov, heath.flora@asm.ca.gov, laurie.davies@asm.ca.gov, turfriteer@yahoo.com, turfrite@aol.com, turfrite@yahoo.com, jami.davis@yepix.net, vhuston@indy.rr.com, james.gallagher@asm.ca.gov, lisa.calderon@asm.ca.gov, john.ackler@sen.ca.gov, alisa.buckley@asm.ca.gov, benjamin.allen@sen.ca.gov, marie.alvarado-gil@sen.ca.gov, bob.archuleta@sen.ca.gov, angelique.ashby@sen.ca.gov, toni.atkins@sen.ca.gov, josh.becker@sen.ca.gov, catherine.blakespear@sen.ca.gov, steven.bradford@sen.ca.gov, anna.caballero@sen.ca.gov, dave.cortese@sen.ca.gov, brian.dahle@sen.ca.gov, steven.glazer@sen.ca.gov, lena.gonzalez@sen.ca.gov, shannon.grove@sen.ca.gov, melissa.hurtado@sen.ca.gov, brian.jones@sen.ca.gov, john.laird@sen.ca.gov, monique.limon@sen.ca.gov, mike.mcguire@sen.ca.gov, carolina.menjivar@sen.ca.gov, dave.min@sen.ca.gov, josh.newman@sen.ca.gov, janet.nguyen@sen.ca.gov, roger.niello@sen.ca.gov, rosilicie.ochoa-bogh@sen.ca.gov, steve.padilla@sen.ca.gov, anthony.portantino@sen.ca.gov, richard.roth@sen.ca.gov, susan.rubio@sen.ca.gov, kelly.seyarto@sen.ca.gov, nancy.skinner@sen.ca.gov, lola.smallwood-cuevas@sen.ca.gov, henry.stern@sen.ca.gov, thomas.umberg@sen.ca.gov, aisha.wahab@sen.ca.gov, scott.wiener@sen.ca.gov, scott.wilk@sen.ca.gov, brendan.murphy@sen.ca.gov, steve.vones@sen.ca.gov, nancy.pelosi@mail.house.gov, kevin.mccarthy@mail.house.gov, maxine.waters@mail.house.gov, kathleen.porter@mail.house.gov, katie.porter@mail.house.gov, kevin.kiley@mail.house.gov, mike.thompson@mail.house.gov, tom.mcclintock@mail.house.gov, ami.bera@mail.house.gov, doris.matsui@mail.house.gov, Rep Garamendi <john.garamendi@mail.house.gov>, josh.harder@mail.house.gov, Mark.desaulnier@mail.house.gov, josh.duarte@mail.house.gov, eric.swalwell@mail.house.gov, kevin.mullin@mail.house.gov, anna.eshoo@mail.house.gov, ro.khanna@mail.house.gov, zoe.lofgren@mail.house.gov, Jimmy.panetta@mail.house.gov, kevinmccarthy@mail.house.gov, jim.costa@mail.house.gov, Jay.obernolte@mail.house.gov, salud.carbajal@mail.house.gov, Raul.ruiz@mail.house.gov, julie.brownley@mail.house.gov, mike.garcia@mail.house.gov, judy.chu@mail.house.gov, tony.cardenas@mail.house.gov, adam.schiff@mail.house.gov, brad.sherman@mail.house.gov, pete.aguilar@mail.house.gov, jimmy.gomez@mail.house.gov, Sydney.kamlager-dove@mail.house.gov, linda.sanchez@mail.house.gov, mark.takano@mail.house.gov, young.kim@mail.house.gov, ken.calvert@mail.house.gov, robert.garcia@mail.house.gov, nanette.barragan@mail.house.gov, michelle.steel@mail.house.gov, lou.correa@mail.house.gov,

1 of 242 5/2/2023, 2:43 PM



abe.porter@mail.house.gov, darrell.issa@mail.house.gov, mike.levin@mail.house.gov, scott.peters@mail.house.gov, sara.jacobs@mail.house.gov, juan.vargas@mail.house.gov, scheduling_padilla@padilla.senate.gov, casework@padilla.senate.gov, david_montes@padilla.senate.gov, joshua_esquivel@padilla.senate.gov, david_grannis@feinstein.senate.gov, justin_schardin@feinstein.senate.gov, rachel_bombach@feinstein.senate.gov, president@whitehouse.gov, Kamala.harris@whitehouse.gov, leaht.wilson@calbar.ca.gov, leah.wilson80@yahoo.com, Elizabeth.horn@calbar.ca.gov, gina.crawford@calbar.ca.gov, rita.himes@calbar.ca.gov, eslembach@adlusocal.org, manuel.alvarez@post.ca.gov, jim.grottkau@post.ca.gov, ajgrottkau@yahoo.com, jamesgrottkau@aol.com, jamie.grottkau@yahoo.com, annemarie.mugnaio@post.ca.gov, annemariedelmugnaio@gmail.com, chaseindixon@aol.com, obugnk@aol.com, sonya.baland@post.ca.gov, sonyar@comcast.net, cheryl.smith@post.ca.gov, colin.okeefe@post.ca.gov, cokeefe@post.ca.gov, dulce_cakes4u@yahoo.com, dice_cakes4u@aol.com, gspringer5@yahoo.com, cokeefe@ci.davis.ca.us, colin.o'keefe530@verizon.net, co'keefe1514@bellsouth.net, sarah.wallace@post.ca.gov, william.darden@post.ca.gov, william.darden@doj.ca.gov, rpthjncy@uojsdp.edu, williamdarden@gmail.com, William.darden@urs.com, carrie.holler@post.ca.gov, carrie.berg82@gmail.com, cberg@solanocounty.com, carrie.holler@aol.com, erik.apperson@post.ca.gov, iley6808@gmail.com, wiley6808@gmail.com, erikandannaapperson@hotmail.com, oneoutsider@hotmail.com, egrundman@hotmail.com, todders@hotmail.com, erikapperson@hotmail.com, erik.apperson@yahoo.com, sb2@post.ca.gov, christine.ford@post.ca.gov, michelle.weiler@post.ca.gov, wildweilers@msn.com, cateweiler@msn.com, meagan.poulos@post.ca.gov, rosanne.richeal@post.ca.gov, thomas.chalk@post.ca.gov, katie.strickland@post.ca.gov, kirk.bunch@post.ca.gov, brad.newmyer@post.ca.gov, mike.radford@post.ca.gov, brian.south@post.ca.gov, goodwin.liu@jud.ca.gov, tani.cantil-sakauye@jud.ca.gov, joshua.groban@jud.ca.gov, martin.jenkins@jud.ca.gov, patricia.guerrero@jud.ca.gov, carol.corrigan@jud.ca.gov, leondra.kruger@jud.ca.gov, kelli.evans@jud.ca.gov, firstdistrict@sbcglobal.net, firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, schen@bos.lacounty.gov, seconddistrict@scbs.lawcounty.gov, holly4assembly@gmail.com, hmitchell@yahoo.com, karnbass@cs.com, hjmitchell@aol.com, tumberg@mofo.com, holly@hollyjmitchell.com, rfleming-peters@counsel.lacounty.gov, mcarter@counsel.lacounty.gov, rjenkins@lacounty.gov, rjenkins@counsel.lacounty.gov, jgonzalez@counsel.lacounty.gov, lowt@metro.net, Tlow77@gmail.com, ryanthurlow@yahoo.com, tlow@lacounty.gov, tlow@counsel.lacounty.gov, tina@molloo.com, tlow7@yahoo.com, econard@lacounty.gov, econard@counsel.lacounty.gov, pepemendoza188@gmail.com, chelseaconrad@gmail.com, mleonard@gmail.com, kcluvebarney@aol.com, susanconard@aol.com, s.conard@conardphoto.com, susan@conardphoto.com, sconard@conardphoto.com, sales@conardphoto.com, support@conardphoto.com, scollins@cleat.org, admin@conardphoto.com, conard@conardphoto.com, fawino@counsel.lacounty.gov, cbarnett@counsel.lacounty.gov, donnghiem@gmail.com, brucenguyen@gmail.com, donnghiem@hotmail.com, don@lacounty.gov, dnghiem@counsel.lacounty.gov, schoi@counsel.lacounty.gov, ltsing@counsel.lacounty.gov, cesar@lacounty.gov, cdelperal@counsel.lacounty.gov, cdelperal@aol.com, cesar_del_peral@yahoo.com, cdelperal@yahoo.com, cesardelperal1@yahoo.com, theflyingtrex02@gmail.com, jguindel79@yahoo.com, atkins.sarah28@gmail.com, rlstacy@gmail.com, lneversleep55.mh@gmail.com, rourke@lacounty.gov, rstacy@counsel.lacounty.gov, rstacy-padilla@counsel.lacounty.gov, vowens@counsel.lacounty.gov, mearls@counsel.lacounty.gov, dcarlos@county.lacounty.gov, dcarlos@counsel.lacounty.gov, victoriacarlos@gmail.com, dcarlos31@gmail.com, debbie.carlos818@verizon.net, victoria.carlos@gmail.com, humbert@ptminc.com, info@ptm-inc.com, pincents@aol.com, jcarlos@msmc.la.edu, yngidea@gmail.com, richard.padilla@disney.com, andria.seo@disabilityrightsca.org, andiseo@gmail.com, wishinwaiting@hotmail.com, ednu@counsel.lacounty.gov, sgipson@counsel.lacounty.gov, sladyeso@gmail.com, sladyeso@aol.com, Dhrubajyoti Deb <ddesign@gmail.com>, michaelstreetman@gmail.com, merugon@aol.com, rolaid2@yahoo.com, dlwester22@yahoo.com, dlwester@yahoo.com, sshon@counsel.lacounty.gov, rgeso@yahoo.com, grgeso@msn.com, gproduce@hotmail.com, mrsmithgs@aol.com, azurdarveg@counsel.lacounty.gov, mruben@counsel.lacounty.gov, clayton@counsel.lacounty.gov, schan@counsel.lacounty.gov, kmun@counsel.lacounty.gov, eggerse@metro.net, eggers@counsel.lacounty.gov, mrolon@counsel.lacounty.gov, mrider@hotmail.com, doug@homealert.com, doug.richardsun@homealert.com, pgnaden@counsel.lacounty.gov, rsaldana@counsel.lacounty.gov, rdavis-tinkham@counsel.lacounty.gov, Nicole Davis <nikki.davis1212@gmail.com>, tink4d1@gmail.com, radtinkham@gmail.com, brind0313@gmail.com, darrelltink.ham@yahoo.com, jwhitehurst@counsel.lacounty.gov, tolenn@counsel.lacounty.gov, lester.tolnai@yahoo.com, rdiester.tolnai71@yahoo.com, pmarshall@counsel.lacounty.gov, abyers@counsel.lacounty.gov, rcartwright@counsel.lacounty.gov, rwong@counsel.lacounty.gov, tlaughnan@counsel.lacounty.gov, tomlaughnan66@gmail.com, jlehman@counsel.lacounty.gov, leniles@counsel.lacounty.gov, rbauza@counsel.lacounty.gov, skuhn@counsel.county.gov, rchastang@counsel.lacounty.gov, lcampo@counsel.lacounty.gov, msimon@counsel.lacounty.gov, emiller@counsel.lacounty.gov, elizabeth_dumais@yahoo.com, kagrant19@yahoo.com, pmomon@yahoo.com, pbollinger@counsel.lacounty.gov, kbowser@counsel.lacounty.gov, rebrahim@da.lacounty.gov, kkoyano@da.lacounty.gov, dteran@da.lacounty.gov, lsereno@lacounty.gov, lserenc@da.lacounty.gov, mbeaari@da.lacounty.gov, ron.geltz@lacounty.gov, rgeltz@da.lacounty.gov, Manik Bhoi <manik.bhoi@gmail.com>, wilham@verizon.net, eric.castano@charter.net, jcastano@da.lacounty.gov, eric.castano@juno.com, ericcastano@gmail.com, Jlnguez@da.lacounty.gov, fsanchez@da.lacounty.gov, raroos@da.lacounty.gov, jrodriguez@dalacounty.gov, swoo@da.lacounty.gov, pagrawal@da.lacounty.gov, cnakao@da.lacounty.gov, vgadams@msn.com, jgamson@da.lacounty.gov, ljkessner@da.lacounty.gov, ldroeger@da.lacounty.gov, jdsilva@ceo.lacounty.gov, jsilva@da.lacounty.gov,

2 of 212 3/2/2025, 2:47 PM



Gmail - ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. state of california, united states.... https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0/?ik=8c828f0f69&view=pt&search=a...

hborden@da.lacounty.gov, amattson@da.lacounty.gov, eratino@da.lacounty.gov, shaungipson@gmail.com, sgipson@da.lacounty.gov, twright@da.lacounty.gov, mcamillo@da.lacounty.gov, gdameron@da.lacounty.gov, ayochelsen@da.lacounty.gov, spressby@da.lacounty.gov, shassed@da.lacounty.gov, bjdodd@da.lacounty.gov, lorquiola@da.lacounty.gov, mramirez@da.lacounty.gov, ralarcon@da.lacounty.gov, shulefelo@da.lacounty.gov, smire@da.lacounty.gov, mhadar@da.lacounty.gov, pkouch@da.lacounty.gov, vrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov, dpurvis@da.lacounty.gov, ranias@lacounty.gov, ezneimer@socal.rr.com, evelyn.zneimer@yahoo.com, samslerz78@hotmail.com, zneimer@yahoo.com, samuel.zneimer@yahoo.com, daphnetrain@hotmail.com, daphne.zneimer@gmail.com, dzneimer@yahoo.com, InspectorGeneral@oig.lacounty.gov, doug.momanus@usdoj.gov, melinda.rogers@usdoj.gov, stacie.bass@usdoj.gov, courtney.spivey-urschel@usdoj.gov, AskDOJ@usdoj.gov, merrick.garland@whitehouse.gov, SupremeCtBriefs@usdoj.gov, kerry.monaco@usdoj.gov, vamita.gupta@whitehouse.gov, kristen.clarke@usdoj.gov, Christopher.wray@whitehouse.gov, christopher@fbi.gov, paul.abbate@ic.fbi.gov, paul.abbate@whitehouse.gov, paulabbate@yahoo.com, pa8160@hotmail.com, fdsanchez@belgardens.org, "bgbarcenam@gmail.com" <bgbarcenam@gmail.com>, "jchavez@belgardens.org" <jchavez@belgardens.org>, ggomez@belgardens.org, mpulido@belgardens.org, MOKelly@belgardens.org, sfairfield@belgardens.org, Esteban Perez <EPerez@bgpd.org>, sfairfield@bgpd.org, tguzmansanchez@hotmail.com, tguzmansanchez@aol.com, thomasguzmansanchez@hotmail.com, guzmansanchez@aol.com, ceo@gsmediagroup.com, tgsvrmuzic@dancemaster.com, r@dancemaster.com, godaddy@dancemaster.com

On February 21, 2023 I was falsely arrested by LASD Temple City peace officers for making criminal threats.

On February 21, 2023 shortly after arriving at Temple City adult detention center I told detective peace officer Billy Khounthavong and his superior sergeant Richard Lewis that if they brought me my mobile phone and GOPRO camera I could present to them audio and video evidence that would prove I did not make any threat.

On February 22, 2023 rogue and corrupt LASD peace officer Billy Khounthavong and his superior Richard Lewis recklessly conspired to violate my civil rights by disposing of Samsung Galaxy S21 Ultra mobile phone which I told them contained on it audio recordings and text messages that would exonerate me.

On February 22, 2023 Billy Khounthavong filed a bogus police report alleging that a search warrant for my GOPRO was issued by the dishonest, racist, and bias judicial officer Suzette Clover. The search warrant was so bogus that I never received a certified copy of it despite requesting it on numerous occasions. The bogus warrant was alleged to have been electronically filed yet it did not have the words duplicate original written on it, and it did not have the execution date and time written on it. I was never served and did not receive a copy of it until March 2023.

On February 21, 2023 County of Los Angeles rogue peace officer A. Contreras created a fraudulent and counterfeit probable cause determination declaration in which he stated that the Honorable Jana Seng approved his bogus PCD at approximately 1 p.m., a time she was on her lunch break. But it gets worse, while LASD has its own system for entering PCD and sending them to the court. There are no records of Contreras' bogus PCD. Also, the fact that Contreras alleged that his PCD was approved and probable cause was found non telephonically was a bald face lie. Probable cause is usually found at a preliminary hearing when it's non telephonic. Additionally, a court reporter was required to transcribe Contreras' non telephonic probable cause determination declaration. See now California Penal Code Section 817, subdivision (g). Additionally, LASD and rogue peace officer A. Contreras will and recklessly failed to file a certificate of service with Jana Seng's court after the alleged arrest warrant was approved by her. See now California Penal Code Section 817(i). LASD and A. Contreras never filed a certificate of service because they knew that their probable cause determination declaration was shamed, bogus, counterfeit, false, forged, and fraudulent.

On or about February 23, 2023 rogue and dishonest peace officer detective lying Billy Khounthavong wrongfully and unnecessarily sent my GOPRO HERO 10 action camera to LASD and Ventura Sheriff's Office's joint Fraud and Cyber Crimes' Southern California High Tech Task Force so that they could illegally manipulate my GOPRO videos to make me look guilt of a crime they knew that I did not commit.

At the preliminary hearing on April 4, 2023 and April 5, 2023 dishonest deputy district attorney Brian Mark Rosenberg willfully, wantonly, and recklessly violated California Evidence Code Section 1522, subdivision (b) by presenting to the court as evidence unoriginal, tainted, tampered with, edited, modified, and altered GOPRO video recordings.


At the preliminary hearing on April 5, 2023 Brian Mark Rosenberg assisted Billy Khoungthavong in testifying falsely that I shut off my GOPRO for seven minutes during the make believe knife and criminal threats separate incidents. While Billy Khounthavong was on the stand racist, biased and dishonest criminal prosecutor Brian Mark Rosenberg played an edited and altered GOPRO video recording that depicted and showed me shutting off my GOPRO camera.

1 of 212 5/2/2023, 2:41 PM

Exhibit 1, pages 1, and 3 of KING AROGANT'S electronic mail messages sent to DDA's and Sheriff Robert Luna proves that Brian MARK Rosenberg was not sent an electronic mail message from KING AROGANT on November 28, 2023.

On December 20, 2023 prejudiced, biased, and RACIST Superior Court of California judicial officer Timothy Martella willfully, recklessly, maliciously, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, deliberately, and callously granted MARK Rosenberg's frivolous request for a three year injunction.

On February 13, 2024 KING AROGANT sent an email to numerous County of Los Angeles attorneys and LASD Sheriff Robert Luna detailing how he was being mistreated while in the custody of County of Los Angeles. Brian MARK Rosenberg was not included on the nearly 20 persons email list. See evidence below attached hereto and marked as "Exhibit 2".



Gmail Alison Fairchild <alisonhfairchild@gmail.com>

OFFICIAL COMPLAINT OF ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD ON BEHALF OF AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. sherrif robert luna, et al.

1 message

Cheryl Kaylor <sheriditreader@gmail.com> Tue, Feb 13, 2024 at 6:14 PM

To: cparker@da.lacounty.gov, vrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov, swoo@da.lacounty.gov, tcheunglaw@gmail.com, rchew@apd.lacounty.gov, jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov, smire@da.lacounty.gov, mhaider@da.lacounty.gov, Ron Goltz <rgoltz@da.lacounty.gov>, gascon@da.lacounty.gov, Neil Opdahl-Lopez <attorney@neilopdahl.com>, rgarcia@pubdef.lacounty.gov, jessick@pubdef.lacounty.gov, rmarquez@pubdef.lacounty.gov, mrawi@pubdef.lacounty.gov, ljackson@lasuperior.org, ljackson@lasuperiorcourt.org, eanzoategui@apd.lacounty.gov, Arogant Hollywood <arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>, Alison Fairchild <alisonhfairchild@gmail.com>, lacklawcrusader@gmail.com, "Cheryl (Cheri) Kaylor" <cheri@yourdocumentdoctor.com>, causeofaction43@gmail.com, Arogant Hollywood <arogantmusicinc@gmail.com>, fairchildadamsader@gmail.com, villalobos@lasuperior.org, villalobos@lasuperiorcourt.org, mvillalobos@lasuperior.org, mvillalobos@lasuperiorcourt.org, sclover@lasuperior.org, sclover@lasuperiorcourt.org, robert.bonta@doj.ca.gov, pgharden@counsel.lacounty.gov, atowers@counsel.lacounty.gov, msimon@counsel.lacounty.gov, amattson@da.lacounty.gov, getem626@gmail.com, bhernandez@pubdef.lacounty.gov, jfrochez@pubdef.lacounty.gov, leaht.wilson@calbar.ca.gov, leah.wilson30@yahoo.com, firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, cchen@bos.lacounty.gov, seconddistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, Third District <thirddistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>, seconddistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, thirddistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, fourthdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, hmitchell@yahoo.com, elizabeth.horn@calbar.ca.gov, jmacrawford@calbar.ca.gov, rjenkins@counsel.lacounty.gov, dbarnett@counsel.lacounty.gov, fluna@lasd.org, edelperal@counsel.lacounty.gov, rdavis-tinkham@counsel.lacounty.gov, radtinkham@gmail.com, jwhitehurst@counsel.lacounty.gov, pmarshall@counsel.lacounty.gov, rcartwright@counsel.lacounty.gov, jgonzalez@counsel.lacounty.gov, rwong@counsel.lacounty.gov, tlaughnan@counsel.lacounty.gov, tomlaughnan@gmail.com, jleharman@counsel.lacounty.gov, kmiles@counsel.lacounty.gov, rbaeza@counsel.lacounty.gov, rchastang@counsel.lacounty.gov, lchampix@counsel.lacounty.gov, emiller@counsel.lacounty.gov, pbollinger@counsel.lacounty.gov, kbowser@counsel.lacounty.gov, nebrahim@da.lacounty.gov, kkoyano@da.lacounty.gov, cheran@da.lacounty.gov, tsereno@da.lacounty.gov, mbedart@da.lacounty.gov, gmiguez@da.lacounty.gov, fsanchez@da.lacounty.gov, rarcos@da.lacounty.gov, jrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov, pagrawal@da.lacounty.gov, cnakao@da.lacounty.gov, vsenlis-rochoa@da.lacounty.gov, vgadams@msn.com, tjkessner@da.lacounty.gov, Larry Droeger <ldroeger@da.lacounty.gov>, jsilva@da.lacounty.gov, hborden@da.lacounty.gov, sgipson@da.lacounty.gov, bwright@da.lacounty.gov, mcarrillo@da.lacounty.gov, Alan Yochelson <ayochelson@da.lacounty.gov>, spresby@da.lacounty.gov, shasseth@da.lacounty.gov, bjdodd@da.lacounty.gov, lorquida@da.lacounty.gov, lorquido@da.lacounty.gov, mramirez@da.lacounty.gov, ralarcon@da.lacounty.gov, shulefeld@da.lacounty.gov, Mario Haidar <mhaidar@da.lacounty.gov>, pkouch@da.lacounty.gov, dpurvis@da.lacounty.gov, cpark@da.lacounty.gov, rarias@da.lacounty.gov, courtney-spivey-urschel@us.doj.gov, courtney.spivey@us.doj.gov, doug.mcmanus@us.doj.gov, malinda.rogers@us.doj.gov, robert.tmccarty@us.doj.gov, asidor@us.doj.gov, supremecourtbriefs@us.doj.gov, kenymonaco@us.doj.gov, kenymonoco@us.doj.gov, elizabeth.prelogar@us.doj.gov, anitavarma.gupta@whitehouse.gov, kristen.clarke@us.doj.gov, criminal.division@us.doj.gov, nmacella@gmail.com, nmacella@us.doj.gov, brian.boynton@us.doj.gov, david.weiss@us.doj.gov, jacksmith@us.doj.gov, rakalross@gmail.com

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD

533-92-3047

12/09/1978


Booking: 6715489

LASD, LASD Medical Services, LA County CHS, LA County Mental Health, Sheriff Luna, County of Los Angeles and Jane and John DOES 1 through 20 are all recklessly, wantonly, maliciously and willfully violating 42 USC Sections 1981, 1983, 1985, 1986, 12131, 12132, Title 15, California Penal Code Section 6030 (Minimum Standards in local correctional facilities), my fourth, sixth, eighth and fourteenth amendment constitutional rights by recklessly and wantonly doing the following:

1 of 3 5/2/2025, 1:03 PM

Exhibit 2, page 1 of KING AROGANT'S electronic mail messages sent to DDA's and Sheriff Robert Luna proves by clear and convincing evidence that Brian MARK Rosenberg was not sent an electronic mail message from KING AROGANT on February 13, 2024.

On June 8, 2024 KING AROGANT sent two emails to over fifty County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors and attorneys including Victor Manuel Rodriguez, James William Garrison, Janis Eve Johnson, Stephanie Pear Mire, former District Attorney Geroge Gascon, and numerous County of Los Angeles Office of County Counsel attorneys. KING AROGANT'S two June 8, 2024 electronic mail messages were also sent to numerous CDCR executives and peace officers including CDCR director Jeffrey Macomber, CDCR Adult Parole Supervision director Jason Johnson, CDCR peace officer Jesse Ochoa, and KING AROGANT'S former CDCR parole officer Fernando Cortez. Brian MARK Rosenberg was not included on the nearly 100 persons email list. See first June 8, 2024 email sent at 8:03 AM evidence below attached hereto and marked as "Exhibit 3".



Gmail - people v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA114055) & UPC... https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0/?ik=8184d5d7b5&view=pt&search=a...

Gmail Arogant Hollywood <arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>

people v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA114055) & UPCOMING FIRST CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT TITLED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. judy whitehurst, et al [DEMAND PEOPLE AND LASD IMMEDIATELY TURN OVER PHONY LASD GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GLO10586 & GLO10587

100 messages

Arogant Hollywood <arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com> Sat, Jun 8, 2024 at 8:03 AM

To: seconddistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, seconddistrict@bos.la.county.gov, "Holly4Assembly@gmail.com" <Holly4Assembly@gmail.com>, hjmitchell@aol.com, jmerchan@wdacs.lacounty.gov, info@wdacs.lacounty.gov, aroganmusicinc@gmail.com, fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com, causeofaction43@gmail.com, blacklawgenius@gmail.com, alisonhfairchild@gmail.com, rluna@lasd.org, rluna@lacounty.gov, alardy@lacounty.org, jtorres@lasd.org, saloma@lacounty.org, llecrivain@lasd.org, bchase@lacounty.gov, rlewis@lacounty.gov, llecrivain@lacounty.org, jmeza@lasd.org, ned.harlan@doj.ca.gov, nishdeep.singh@doj.ca.gov, robert.bonta@doj.ca.gov, OPSChiefsOffice@doj.ca.gov, peter.halloran@doj.ca.gov, amyhhalloran@yahoo.com, peterhalloran@sbcglobal.net, alisha.carlile@doj.ca.gov, snpdragn@aol.com, acarlile@alum.wellesley.edu, concertina8@yahoo.com, alishastephen@yahoo.com.au, alehr17@u.washington.edu, girlygirl6384@aol.com, skeened@yahoo.com, lish34@hotmail.com, pootsodin@hotmail.com, lachapell_a@yahoo.com, alishaoku@yahoo.com, alisha.ma@gmail.com, alisha.marks@rogers.com, vincent.bonotto@doj.ca.gov, vbonotto@hotmail.com, glenn.pruden@doj.ca.gov, eyelese77@hotmail.com, daniel.olivas@doj.ca.gov, giam.nguyen@doj.ca.gov, chad.stegeman@doj.ca.gov, stephen.lew@doj.ca.gov, christopher.findley@doj.ca.gov, dennis.beck@doj.ca.gov, darrell.spence@doj.ca.gov, maggy.krell@doj.ca.gov, Elaine.teng@doj.ca.gov, hannah.celaesan@doj.ca.gov, mo.park@doj.ca.gov, dbuthler@lasuperiorcourt.org, dslayton@lasuperiorcourt.org, sclover@lasuperiorcourt.org, jmoses@lasuperiorcourt.org, ppenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, tpenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, chase.penrose@gmail.com, mackenzienicole@gmail.com, trishpenrose@att.net, TCPJAC_CEAC@jud.ca.gov, alishar@lasuperiorcourt.org, jonathan.sibayan@jud.ca.gov, mary.carter@jud.ca.gov, john.williams@jud.ca.gov, amber.bamatti@jud.ca.go, robert.oyung@jud.ca.gov, john.yee@jud.ca.gov, heather.pettit@jud.ca.gov, leah.rose-goodwin@jud.ca.gov, mark.dusman@jud.ca.gov, cory.jasperson@jud.ca.gov, jennie.jasperson@gmail.com, kelton.jasperson@gmail.com, cjasperson2@mindspring.com, judy.jasperson@att.net, randie.chance@doj.ca.gov, randie.chance@jud.ca.gov, Bobby.brow@jud.ca.gov, stephen.nash@jud.ca.gov, debbie.silcox@jud.ca.gov, laura.speed@jud.ca.gov, andrea.evans@jud.ca.gov, nicole.claro@jud.ca.gov, gavin.newsom@gov.ca.gov, cecilia.aguiar-curry@asm.ca.gov, stephanie.nguyen@asm.ca.gov, lori.wilson@asm.ca.gov, matt.haney@asm.ca.gov, heath.flora@asm.ca.gov, laurie.davies@asm.ca.gov, turfriteo@yahoo.com, turfrite@aol.com, president@whitehouse.gov, Kamala.Harris@whitehouse.gov, leaht.wilson@calbar.ca.gov, leah.wilson60@yahoo.com, Elizabeth.horn@calbar.ca.gov, gina.crawford@calbar.ca.gov, rita.himes@calbar.ca.gov, esternbach@aclusocal.org, manuel.alvarez@post.ca.gov, jim.grottkau@post.ca.gov, pgrottkau@yahoo.com, jamesgrottkau@aol.com, jamie.grottkau@yahoo.com, annemarie.magnaio@post.ca.gov, annemariedelmagnaio@gmail.com, chasemdixon@aol.com, obugirk@aol.com, sonya.baland@post.ca.gov, sonyar@comcast.net, cheryl.smith@post.ca.gov, colin.okeefe@post.ca.gov, cokeefe@post.ca.gov, dulce_cakes4u@yahoo.com, ulce_cakes4u@aol.com, gspringer6@yahoo.com, cokeefe@pc.davis.ca.us, colin.o'keefe530@verizon.net, co'keefe1514@bellsouth.net, sarah.wallace@post.ca.gov, william.darden@post.ca.gov, william.darden@doj.ca.gov, rpthroy@uojsdp.edu, williamtdarden@gmail.com, William.darden@urs.com, carne.hollar@post.ca.gov, carrie.berg82@gmail.com, cberg@scianocounty.com, carne.holler@aol.com, erik.apperson@post.ca.gov, lley8808@gmail.com, wifey8808@gmail.com, erikandannaapperson@hotmail.com, onecubsfan@hotmail.com, egrundman@hotmail.com, todders@hotmail.com, erikapperson@hotmail.com, erik.apperson@yahoo.com, sb2@post.ca.gov, christine.ford@post.ca.gov, michelle.weiler@post.ca.gov, wildweilers@msn.com, caleweiler@msn.com, meegan.poulos@post.ca.gov, rosanne.richeal@post.ca.gov, thomas.chalk@post.ca.gov, katie.strickland@post.ca.gov, kirk.bunch@post.ca.gov, brad.newmyer@post.ca.gov, mike.radford@post.ca.gov, brian.south@post.ca.gov, goodwin.liu@jud.ca.gov, lani.cantil-sakauye@jud.ca.gov, joshua.groban@jud.ca.gov, martin.jenkins@jud.ca.gov, patricia.guerrero@jud.ca.gov, carol.corrigan@jud.ca.gov, leondra.kruger@jud.ca.gov, kelli.evans@jud.ca.gov, firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, cohen@bos.lacounty.gov, seconddistrict@bos.lawcounty.gov, hmitchell@yahoo.com, kambess@cs.com, tumberg@mofo.com, holly@hollymitchell.com, rfleming-peters@counsel.lacounty.gov, mcarter@counsel.lacounty.gov, njenkins@lacounty.gov, njenkins@counsel.lacounty.gov, jgonzalez@counsel.lacounty.gov, lowi@metro.net, Tlow77@gmail.com, ryanthurlow@yahoo.com, tlow@lacounty.gov, tlow@counsel.lacounty.gov, tina@jmolloo.com, tlow7@yahoo.com, econard@lacounty.gov, econard@counsel.lacounty.gov, pepemendoza18@gmail.com, chelseaconrad@gmail.com, rmconard@gmail.com, kclovsbarney@aol.com, susanconerd@aol.com, s.conerd@conerdphoto.com,

1 of 203 5/2/2025, 11:29 AM

susan@conardphoto.com, sconard@conardphoto.com, sales@conardphoto.com, support@conardphoto.com, scollins@cleat.org, admin@conardphoto.com, conard@conardphoto.com, fowino@counsel.lacounty.gov, cbarnett@counsel.lacounty.gov, donnghiem@gmail.com, brubenguyen@gmail.com, donnghiem@hotmail.com, don@lacounty.gov, dnghiem@counsel.lacounty.gov, schix@counsel.lacounty.gov, tlaing@counsel.lacounty.gov, cesar@lacounty.gov, cdelperal@counsel.lacounty.gov, cdelperal@aol.com, cesar_del_peral@yahoo.com, cdelperal@yahoo.com, ossarde1peral1@yahoo.com, theflyingfrax02@gmail.com, jquinde79@yahoo.com, atkins.sarah28@gmail.com, rfstacy@gmail.com, lneversleep55.mh@gmail.com, rourke@lacounty.gov, rstacy@counsel.lacounty.gov, rstacy-padilla@counsel.lacounty.gov, vowens@counsel.lacounty.gov, mearls@counsel.lacounty.gov, dcarlos@county.lacounty.gov, dcarlos@counsel.lacounty.gov, victoriacarlos@gmail.com, dcarlos31@gmail.com, debbie.carlos818@verizon.net, victoria.carlos@gmail.com, humbert@pmiinc.com, info@ptm-inc.com, pamcarls@aol.com, jcarlos@msmc.la.edu, yngidea@gmail.com, richard.padilla@disney.com, andria seo@disabilityrights ca.org, andiseo@gmail.com, wishmwalling@hotmail.com, echu@counsel.lacounty.gov, sgipson@counsel.lacounty.gov, sladyesa@gmail.com, sladyesa@aol.com, Dmubayoti Deb <ddesign@gmail.com>, michaelstraetman@gmail.com, merugon@aol.com, rolaidz@yahoo.com, dtwister22@yahoo.com, dtwister@yahoo.com, sshon@counsel.lacounty.gov, rgeso@yahoo.com, gngesq@msn.com, gproducer@hotmail.com, mrsmithgcs@aol.com, azurdarvega@counsel.lacounty.gov, mruben@counsel.lacounty.gov, clayton@counsel.lacounty.gov, schan@counsel.lacounty.gov, kmuir@counsel.lacounty.gov, eggerse@mastro.net, eggers@counsel.lacounty.gov, mrolon@counsel.lacounty.gov, mirder@hotmail.com, doug@homealert.com, doug richardson@homealert.com, pgheden@counsel.lacounty.gov, rsaldana@counsel.lacounty.gov, ndavis-brixham@counsel.lacounty.gov, Nicole Davis <nikki.davis1212@gmail.com>, tink4d1@gmail.com, nadinkham@gmail.com, brind0313@gmail.com, darrellbrixham@yahoo.com, jwhitehurst@counsel.lacounty.gov, lbolam@counsel.lacounty.gov, lester.tolnai@yahoo.com, rdlester.tolnai71@yahoo.com, pmarshall@counsel.lacounty.gov, abyers@counsel.lacounty.gov, rcartwright@counsel.lacounty.gov, rwong@counsel.lacounty.gov, tfaughnan@counsel.lacounty.gov, tomfaughnan66@gmail.com, jfehman@counsel.lacounty.gov, kmiles@counsel.lacounty.gov, rbaeza@counsel.lacounty.gov, skuhn@counsel.county.gov, rchastang@counsel.lacounty.gov, kcampo@counsel.lacounty.gov, msimon@counsel.lacounty.gov, emiller@counsel.lacounty.gov, elizabeth_dumais@yahoo.com, kagrant19@yahoo.com, pmamon@yahoo.com, pbollinger@counsel.lacounty.gov, kbowser@counsel.lacounty.gov, rebrahim@da.lacounty.gov, kkoyano@da.lacounty.gov, dteran@da.lacounty.gov, tsereno@lacounty.gov, tsereno@da.lacounty.gov, mbesari@da.lacounty.gov, ron.geltz@lacounty.gov, rgeltz@da.lacounty.gov, Manik Bhoi <manik.bhoi@gmail.com>, wthan@verizon.net, eric.castano@charter.net, jcastano@da.lacounty.gov, eric castano@uno.com, ericcastano@gmail.com, Jlriguez@da.lacounty.gov, tsanchez@da.lacounty.gov, rarcos@da.lacounty.gov, jrodriguez@dalacounty.gov, swoo@da.lacounty.gov, pagrawal@da.lacounty.gov, cnakao@da.lacounty.gov, vgadams@msn.com, jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov, lkessner@da.lacounty.gov, ldroeger@da.lacounty.gov, jdsilva@oco.lacounty.gov, jsilva@da.lacounty.gov, hborden@da.lacounty.gov, amattson@da.lacounty.gov, evatinc@da.lacounty.gov, shaungipson@gmail.com, sgipson@da.lacounty.gov, fwright@da.lacounty.gov, mcamillo@da.lacounty.gov, gdameron@da.lacounty.gov, ayodhelson@da.lacounty.gov, apresby@da.lacounty.gov, shassett@da.lacounty.gov, bjdodd@da.lacounty.gov, lorquiola@da.lacounty.gov, mramirez@da.lacounty.gov, ralarcon@da.lacounty.gov, shufefeld@da.lacounty.gov, smira@da.lacounty.gov, mhaidar@da.lacounty.gov, pkouch@da.lacounty.gov, vrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov, dpurvis@da.lacounty.gov, rarias@lacounty.gov, eznelmer@socal.rr.com, evelyn.znelmer@yahoo.com, samsterz78@hotmail.com, znelmer@yahoo.com, kristen.clarke@usdoj.gov, Christopher.wray@whitehouse.gov, christopher@fbi.gov, paul.abbate@ic.fbi.gov, paul.abbate@whitehouse.gov, paulabbate@yahoo.com, pa8168@hotmail.com, fguzmansanchez@hotmail.com, fguzmansanchez@aol.com, thomasguzmansanchez@hotmail.com, guzmansanchez@aol.com, ceo@gsmediagroup.com, tgsvrbmuzc@dancemaster.com, r@dancemaster.com, godaddy@dancemaster.com, tolacknell@da.lacounty.gov, mkapp@da.lacounty.gov, kalbrach@da.lacounty.gov, jjohnson@lacounty.gov, mmurray@da.lacounty.gov, kta@da.lacounty.gov, lldo@lasd.org, tskhoun@lasd.org, CDCRCACLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CCRCMFLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CCDCRCCILitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CCDCRCENLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CCDCRCTFLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCMCLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCDRLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCIMLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, FCORTEZ@cdcr.gov, Jeff macomber@cdcr.ca.gov, Jennifer.borrello@cdcr.ca.gov, Tammy.foss@cdcr.ca.ov, Diana.toche@cdcr.ca.gov, albert.lundeen@cdcr.ca.gov, Jennifer.neil@cdcr.ca.gov, Sarah.larson@cdcr.ca.gov, Ron.broomfield@cdcr.ca.gov, Amy.casias@cdcr.ca.gov, Jason.johnson@cdcr.ca.gov, Chris.chamberlain@cdcr.ca.gov, Stacy.lopez@cdcr.ca.gov, Niki.dhillon@cdcr.ca.gov, Jennifer.benavidez@cdcr.ca.gov, heather.bowles@cdcr.ca.gov, Jared.lozano@cdcr.ca.gov, Derrick.marion@cdcr.ca.gov, David.chriss@cdcr.ca.gov, Samra.calderon@cdcr.ca.gov, Ying.sun@cdcr.ca.gov, Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>, aroganthollywoodgenius@yahoo.com, CDCRASPLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, fernando.cortez@cdcr.ca.gov, info@da.lacounty.gov, 12136331956@faxage.com, 16262814724@faxage.com, 12136300960@faxage.com, julie.kaplan@cdcr.ca.gov, sabrina.williams@cdcr.ca.gov, cory.alvarez@cdcr.ca.gov, jamal.rowe@cdcr.ca.gov, mark.cruise@cdcr.ca.gov, linda.larabee@cdcr.ca.gov, asvi.phuong@cdcr.ca.gov, jamiee.lacey@cdcr.ca.gov, dominique.hughes@cdcr.ca.gov, karen.reed@cdcr.ca.gov, manuel.banuelos@cdcr.ca.gov, "ecolor.pot@gmail.com" <ecolor.pot@gmail.com>,

"TCBecerra2016@gmail.com" <TCBecerra2016@gmail.com>, tarchuletta@crowell.com, "tlopez@crowell.com" <tlopez@crowell.com>, tarchuletta@bellsouth.net, "theresa_c_lopez@hotmail.com" <theresa_c_lopez@hotmail.com>, "tarchuletta@gmail.com" <tarchuletta@gmail.com>, "cal80513@gmail.com" <cal80513@gmail.com>, ttheresa.chuletta@aug.com, tarchuletta@aol.com

people v. ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA114055) & UPCOMING FIRST CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT TITLED ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. judy whitehurst, et al (DEMAND PEOPLE AND LASD IMMEDIATELY TURN OVER PHONY LASD GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GL010586 & GL010587.

BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG! WE MEET AGAIN AT LAST. I AM GOING TO MAKE SURE YOU GO TO STATE PRISON, YOU FU@@@@@ CROOKED AND CORRUPT PEACE OFFICER. YOU ARE A CRIMINAL!

On February 22, 2023, you created a phony search warrant so you could send my GoPro camera to your LASD Fraud and Cyber Crimes Bureau's Southern California High Tech Task Force (one of four high-tech task forces of California regulated by California DOJ). Regardless if corrupt judicial officer Susan Glover lied and covered for you. Your search warrant is uncertified, unoriginal, unattested, unverified, and unauthenticated. Further, proof that Lying Billy's warrant is phony is the fact that the Pasadena Superior Court has no records of ever receiving your warrant. Both you and DA failed to complete the LASD mandatory COUNTYWIDE WARRANT SYSTEM INITIAL CASE FILING FORM (LASC CRIM 126, REVISED ON JANUARY 20, 2024). IT WAS NEVER FILED BECAUSE YOU NEVER SUBMITTED YOUR SEARCH WARRANT TO THE SUPERIOR COURT. YOU ARE A CRIMINAL!

On April 4, 2023, you lied under oath that phony LASD-CREATED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GL010586 & GL010587 WERE DOWNLOADED FROM MY GOPRO CAMERA! FURTHER, YOU PLAYED THESE TWO VIDEOS IN THE SUPERIOR COURT ON APRIL 4, 2023, APRIL 5, 2023, & FEBRUARY 2, 2024 THAT DEPICTED AN AI POWERED ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD WALKING AROUND FAKE VICTIM JENNIFER HUTTON HEGER'S HOUSE MECHANICALLY TOUCHING HIS GOPRO CAMERA BEFORE FINALLY SHUTTING IT OFF AS HE WALKED THROUGH A DARK HOUSE AND THEN OUTSIDE TO A DARK SKY! THIS VIDEO IS CLEARLY FAKE, AND HERE IS WHY YOU ARE CAUGHT NOW, FOOL!

THE REAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS ONLY SHOW ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD INSIDE OF HUTTON-HERGER'S HOME FROM A POINT OF VIEW RECORDING VIEW. IN YOUR PHONY NEVER TURNED OVER TO THE DEFENSE LASD CREATED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS AI POWERED ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD IS SEEN CENTERED IN YOUR GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING WITH HIS ENTIRE BACK AND BODY SHOWN ON THIS PHONY VIDEO RECORDING. IT WOULD HAVE BEEN IMPOSSIBLE FOR ARROGANT TO RECORD THE BACKSIDE OF HIS BODY IF HIS GOPRO CAMERA WAS MOUNTED ON HIS CHEST. BUT THAT IS NOT ENOUGH TO CONVINCE THE SUPERIOR COURT TO OVERTURN THIS NEVER SHOULD HAVE BEEN FILED FRIVOLOUS CRIMINAL CASE.


BUT WHAT IS:

IN YOUR PHONY GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING GL010586 (WHICH WAS ORIGINALLY ONE CONTINUOUS 14-MINUTE VIDEO RECORDING) AI POWERED ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD IS SEEN WALKING AROUND JENNIFER HUTTON-HEGER'S HOME MOMENTS BEFORE SHUTTING ON HIS GOPRO CAMERA WHILE WEARING DARK-COLORED SHORTS AND A FREAKIN TANK TOP!

THIS IS INCREDIBLY INTERESTING CRIMINALS BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG AND LEO KA FONG LO BECAUSE IN THE LASD POLICE VIDEO RECORDINGS ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD IS SEEN WEARING A LONG SLEEVE COAT. IN THE REAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING, ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD IS SEEN WEARING A LONG SLEEVE COAT. TAKE A LOOK AT THE IMAGES CREATED FROM VIDEO FRAME SEQUENCES OF THE REAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS! MOREOVER, ARROGANT IS SEEN WEARING BAGGY PANTS, NOT THE SHORTS HE IS WEARING IN YOUR AI POWERED VIDEO RECORDINGS THAT WERE CLEARLY NOT RECORDED ON FEBRUARY 21, 2023.

Exhibit 3, pages 1, 2, and 3 of KING AROGANT'S electronic mail messages sent to DDA's and Sheriff Robert Luna proves by clear and convincing evidence that Brian MARK Rosenberg was not sent an electronic mail message from KING AROGANT on June 8, 2024, at 8:03 AM

On June 8, 2024, KING AROGANT sent two emails to over fifty County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors and attorneys, including Victor Manuel Rodriguez, James William Garrison, Janis Eve Johnson, Stephanie Pear Mire, former District Attorney George Gascon, and numerous County of Los Angeles Office of County Counsel attorneys. KING AROGANT'S two June 8, 2024, electronic mail messages were also sent to numerous CDCR executives and peace officers, including CDCR director Jeffrey Macomber, CDCR Adult Parole Supervision director Jason Johnson, CDCR peace officer Jesse Ochoa, and KING AROGANT'S former CDCR parole officer Fernando Cortez. Brian MARK Rosenberg was not included on the nearly 100-person email list. See second June 8, 2024 email sent at 8:34 AM evidence below attached hereto and marked as "Exhibit 4".



Gmail - people v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA114055) & UPC... https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0/?ik=8184d5d7b5&view=pt&search=a...

Gmail Arogant Hollywood <arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>

people v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA114055) & UPCOMING FIRST CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT TITLED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. judy whitehurst, et al [DEMAND PEOPLE AND LASD IMMEDIATELY TURN OVER PHONY LASD GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GLO10586 & GLO10587

1 message

Arogant Hollywood <arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com> Sat, Jun 8, 2024 at 8:34 AM


To: seconddistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, seconddistrict@bos.la.county.gov, "Holly4Assembly@gmail.com" <Holly4Assembly@gmail.com>, hymitchel@aol.com, jmerchan@wdacs.lacounty.gov, info@wdacs.lacounty.gov, arogantmusicinc@gmail.com, fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com, causeofaction43@gmail.com, blacklawgenius@gmail.com, alisonhfairchild@gmail.com, rluna@lasd.org, rluna@lacounty.gov, atardy@lacounty.org, jtorres@lasd.org, seloma@lacounty.org, lleonvein@lasd.org, bchese@lacounty.gov, rlewis@lacounty.gov, lleonvain@lacounty.org, jmeza@lasd.org, ned.harlan@doj.ca.gov, nishdeep.singh@doj.ca.gov, robert.bonta@doj.ca.gov, OPSChiefsOffice@doj.ca.gov, peter.hallcran@doj.ca.gov, amyhhallcran@yahoo.com, peterhallcran@sbcglobal.net, alisha.carlile@doj.ca.gov, snpdragn@aol.com; acarlile@alum.wellesley.edu; concertinail@yahoo.com, alishastephen@yahoo.com.au, alehr17@u.washington.edu, girlygirl8384@aol.com, alkeened@yahoo.com, lish34@hotmail.com, piccbodin@hotmail.com, lachapell_a@yahoo.com, alishaoku@yahoo.com, alisha.mai@gmail.com, alisha.marks@rogers.com, vincent.bonotto@doj.ca.gov, vbonotto@hotmail.com, glenn.pruden@doj.ca.gov, eyeless77@hotmail.com, denies.olivas@doj.ca.gov, glam.nguyen@doj.ca.gov, chad.stegeman@doj.ca.gov, stephen.lew@doj.ca.gov, christopher.findley@doj.ca.gov, dennis.beck@doj.ca.gov, darrell.spence@doj.ca.gov, maggy.krell@doj.ca.gov, Elaine.tong@doj.ca.gov, hannah.calacsan@doj.ca.gov, mo.park@doj.ca.gov, dbuhler@lasuperiorcourt.org, dslayton@lasuperiorcourt.org, sclover@lasuperiorcourt.org, jmoses@lasuperiorcourt.org, ppenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, tpenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, chase.penrose@gmail.com, mackenzienicole@gmail.com, trishpenrose@att.net, TCPJAC_CEAC@jud.ca.gov, afisher@lasuperiorcourt.org, jonathan.sibayan@jud.ca.gov, mary.carter@jud.ca.gov, john.williams@jud.ca.gov, amber.barnett@jud.ca.go, robert.oyung@jud.ca.gov, john.yoo@jud.ca.gov, heather.pettit@jud.ca.gov, leah.rose-goodwin@jud.ca.gov, mark.dusman@jud.ca.gov, cory.jasperson@jud.ca.gov, jennifer.jasperson@gmail.com, kelton.jasperson@gmail.com, cjasperson2@mindspring.com, judy.jasperson@att.net, randie.chance@doj.ca.gov, randie.chance@jud.ca.gov, Bobby.brow@jud.ca.gov, stephen.nash@jud.ca.gov, debbie.silcox@jud.ca.gov, laura.speed@jud.ca.gov, andrea.evans@jud.ca.gov, nicole.claro@jud.ca.gov, gavin.newsom@gov.ca.gov, cecilia.aguiar-curry@asm.ca.gov, stephanie.nguyen@asm.ca.gov, lori.wilson@asm.ca.gov, matt.haney@asm.ca.gov, heath.flora@asm.ca.gov, laurie.davies@asm.ca.gov, turfriteer@yahoo.com, turfrite@aol.com, president@whitehouse.gov, Kamala.harris@whitehouse.gov, leahf.wilson@calbar.ca.gov, leah.wilson50@yahoo.com, Elizabeth.horn@calbar.ca.gov, gina.crawford@calbar.ca.gov, rita.himes@calbar.ca.gov, esteinbach@aclusocal.org, manuel.alvarez@post.ca.gov, jim.grottkau@post.ca.gov, pgrottkau@yahoo.com, jamesgrottkau@aol.com, jamie.grottkau@yahoo.com, annemarie.mugnaio@post.ca.gov, annemariedelmugnaio@gmail.com, shasendixon@aol.com, obugrik@aol.com, sonya.bland@post.ca.gov, sonyan@comcast.net, cheryl.smith@post.ca.gov, colin.okeefe@post.ca.gov, cokeefe@post.ca.gov, dulce_cakes4u@yahoo.com, ulca_cakes4u@aol.com, gspinger5@yahoo.com, cokeefe@ci.davis.ca.us, colin.okeefe530@verizon.net, cokeefe1514@bellsouth.net, sarah.wallace@post.ca.gov, william.darden@post.ca.gov, william.darden@doj.ca.gov, rpthrcv@uoisdp.edu, williamtderden@gmail.com, William.darden@urs.com, carrie.hollar@post.ca.gov, carrie.berg82@gmail.com, cberg@solanocounty.com, carrie.hollar@aol.com, erik.apperson@post.ca.gov, lfey8808@gmail.com, wifey8808@gmail.com, erikandannapperson@hotmail.com, onecubsfan@hotmail.com, egrundman@hotmail.com, fodders@hotmail.com, erikapperson@hotmail.com, erik.apperson@yahoo.com, sb2@post.ca.gov, christine.ford@post.ca.gov, michelle.weiler@post.ca.gov, wildweilers@msn.com, cateweiler@msn.com, meegan.poulos@post.ca.gov, rosanne.richeal@post.ca.gov, thomas.chalk@post.ca.gov, katie.strickland@post.ca.gov, kirk.bunch@post.ca.gov, brad.newmyer@post.ca.gov, mike.radford@post.ca.gov, brian.south@post.ca.gov, goodwin_liu@jud.ca.gov, tani.cantil-sakauye@jud.ca.gov, joshua.groban@jud.ca.gov, martin.jenkins@jud.ca.gov, patricia.guerrero@jud.ca.gov, carol.corrigan@jud.ca.gov, leondra.kruger@jud.ca.gov, kelli.evans@jud.ca.gov, firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, ochen@bos.lacounty.gov, seconddistrict@bos.lawcounty.gov, hmitchell@yahoo.com, kambass@ca.com, tumberg@motlo.com, holly@hollymitchell.com, rfleming-peters@counsel.lacounty.gov, mcarter@counsel.lacounty.gov, mjenkins@lacounty.gov, mjenkins@counsel.lacounty.gov, jgonzalez@counsel.lacounty.gov, tlow@metro.net, Tlow77@gmail.com, ryanthurlow@yahoo.com, tlow@lacounty.gov, tlow@counsel.lacounty.gov, tina@molino.com, tlow7@yahoo.com, econard@lacounty.gov, econard@counsel.lacounty.gov, pepemendoza18@gmail.com, chelseconrad@gmail.com, rmconard@gmail.com, kcluvsbarney@aol.com, susanconard@aol.com, s.conard@conardphoto.com,

1 of 13 5/2/2025, 11:33 AM


Gmail - people v. ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA114055) & UPC... https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0/?ik=8184d5d7b5&view=pt&search=a...

susan@conardphoto.com, sconard@conardphoto.com, sales@conardphoto.com, support@conardphoto.com, scollins@cleat.org, admin@conardphoto.com, conard@conardphoto.com, fawino@counsel.lacounty.gov, cbarnett@counsel.lacounty.gov, donnghiem@gmail.com, brucenguyen@gmail.com, donnghiem@hotmail.com, don@lacounty.gov, dnghiem@counsel.lacounty.gov, schol@counsel.lacounty.gov, ltsing@counsel.lacounty.gov, cesar@lacounty.gov, cdelperal@counsel.lacounty.gov, cdelperal@aol.com, cesar_del_peral@yahoo.com, cdelperal@yahoo.com, cesardelperal1@yahoo.com, theflyingtrex02@gmail.com, jquinde79@yahoo.com, atkins.sarah28@gmail.com, rlstacy@gmail.com, lneversleep55 mh@gmail.com, rourke@lacounty.gov, rstacy@counsel.lacounty.gov, rstacy-padilla@counsel.lacounty.gov, vowens@counsel.lacounty.gov, mearls@counsel.lacounty.gov, dcarlos@county.lacounty.gov, dcarlos@counsel.lacounty.gov, victoriacarlos@gmail.com, dcarlos31@gmail.com, debbie.carlos818@verizon.net, victoria.carlos@gmail.com, humbert@ptminc.com, info@ptm-inc.com, pancants@aol.com, jcarlos@msmc.la.edu, yngidea@gmail.com, richard.padilla@disney.com, andria.seo@disabilityrightsca.org, andseo@gmail.com, wishinweting@hotmail.com, echu@counsel.lacounty.gov, sgipson@counsel.lacounty.gov, sladyesq@gmail.com, sladyesq@aol.com, Dhrubajyoti Deb <djdesign@gmail.com>, michaelsfreelman@gmail.com, menugon@aol.com, rolandz@yahoo.com, dtwister22@yahoo.com, dtwister@yahoo.com, sshon@counsel.lacounty.gov, rigeso@yahoo.com, grigesq@msn.com, gproducer@hotmail.com, mrsmithpcs@aol.com, azuiderweg@counsel.lacounty.gov, mruben@counsel.lacounty.gov, ctaylor@counsel.lacounty.gov, schan@counsel.lacounty.gov, kmurr@counsel.lacounty.gov, eggerse@metro.net, eggers@counsel.lacounty.gov, mrolon@counsel.lacounty.gov, mirder@hotmail.com, doug@homealert.com, doug.richardson@homealert.com, pghaden@counsel.lacounty.gov, rsaldana@counsel.lacounty.gov, ndavis-tinkham@counsel.lacounty.gov, Nicole Davis <nikki.daves1212@gmail.com>, tink4d1@gmail.com, nadtinkham@gmail.com, brind0313@gmail.com, darrelltinkham@yahoo.com, jwhitehurst@counsel.lacounty.gov, ltolani@counsel.lacounty.gov, lester.tolna@yahoo.com, rdiester.tolna71@yahoo.com, pmarshall@counsel.lacounty.gov, abyers@counsel.lacounty.gov, rearlwright@counsel.lacounty.gov, rwong@counsel.lacounty.gov, tlaughnan@counsel.lacounty.gov, tomlaughnan66@gmail.com, jkilman@counsel.lacounty.gov, kmiles@counsel.lacounty.gov, rbaeza@counsel.lacounty.gov, sauhn@counsel.county.gov, rchastang@counsel.lacounty.gov, lcampo@counsel.lacounty.gov, msimon@counsel.lacounty.gov, emiller@counsel.lacounty.gov, elizabeth_dumais@yahoo.com, kaugrant19@yahoo.com, pmomon@yahoo.com, pbollinger@counsel.lacounty.gov, kbowser@counsel.lacounty.gov, rebrahim@da.lacounty.gov, kkoyano@da.lacounty.gov, dteran@da.lacounty.gov, tsereno@lacounty.gov, tsereno@da.lacounty.gov, mbeasley@da.lacounty.gov, ron.geitz@lacounty.gov, rgeitz@da.lacounty.gov, Manik Bhoi <manik.bhoi@gmail.com>, wilhani@verizon.net, eric.castano@charter.net, jcastano@da.lacounty.gov, eric.castano@juno.com, ericcastano@gmail.com, JTriguez@da.lacounty.gov, fsanchez@da.lacounty.gov, rarcos@da.lacounty.gov, jrodriguez@dalacounty.gov, swoo@da.lacounty.gov, pagrawal@da.lacounty.gov, cnakao@da.lacounty.gov, vgadams@msn.com, jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov, ljkessner@da.lacounty.gov, tdroeger@da.lacounty.gov, jdsilva@ceo.lacounty.gov, jsilva@da.lacounty.gov, hborden@da.lacounty.gov, amattson@da.lacounty.gov, erafino@da.lacounty.gov, shaungipson@gmail.com, sgipson@da.lacounty.gov, twright@da.lacounty.gov, mcarrillo@da.lacounty.gov, gdameron@da.lacounty.gov, aychelson@da.lacounty.gov, spresby@da.lacounty.gov, shassett@da.lacounty.gov, bjdodd@da.lacounty.gov, lorquiola@da.lacounty.gov, mramirez@da.lacounty.gov, ralarcon@da.lacounty.gov, shulefeld@da.lacounty.gov, smire@da.lacounty.gov, mhardar@da.lacounty.gov, pkouch@da.lacounty.gov, vrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov, dpurvis@da.lacounty.gov, rnries@lacounty.gov, ezneimer@socal.rr.com, evelyn.zneimer@yahoo.com, samstarz78@hotmail.com, zneimer@yahoo.com, kristen.clarke@usdoj.gov, Christopher.wray@whitehouse.gov, christopher@fbi.gov, paul.abbate@ic.fbi.gov, paul.abbate@whitehouse.gov, paulabbate@yahoo.com, pa5160@hotmail.com, tguzmansanchez@hotmail.com, tguzmansanchez@aol.com, thomasguzmansanchez@hotmail.com, guzmansanchez@aol.com, ceo@gsmediagroup.com, tgsorlmuzic@dancemaster.com, r@dancemaster.com, godaddy@dancemaster.com, tblackwell@da.lacounty.gov, mkapp@da.lacounty.gov, kalbrach@da.lacounty.gov, johnson@lacounty.gov, mmurray@da.lacounty.gov, kta@da.lacounty.gov, ilko@lasd.org, bskhount@lasd.org, CDCRGACLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CCRCMFLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCCILitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CCDCRCENLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCTFLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCMCLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCORLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCIMLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, FCORTEZ@cdcr.gov, Jeff.macomber@cdcr.ca.gov, Jennifer.barretto@cdcr.ca.gov, Tammy.foss@cdcr.ca.ov, Diana.foche@cdcr.ca.gov, albert.lundeen@cdcr.ca.gov, Jennifer.nai@cdcr.ca.gov, Sarah.larson@cdcr.ca.gov, Ron.broomfield@cdcr.ca.gov, Amy.casias@cdcr.ca.gov, Jason.johnson@cdcr.ca.gov, Chris.chambers@cdcr.ca.gov, Stacy.lopez@cdcr.ca.gov, Niki.dhillon@cdcr.ca.gov, Jennifer.benavidez@cdcr.ca.gov, heather.bowlds@cdcr.ca.gov, Jared.lozano@cdcr.ca.gov, Derrick.marion@cdcr.ca.gov, David.chries@cdcr.ca.gov, Sarina.calderon@cdcr.ca.gov, Ying.sun@cdcr.ca.gov, Arogant Hollywood <arogantholllywoodgenius@gmail.com>, arogantholllywoodgenius@yahoo.com, CDCRASPLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, fernando.cortez@cdcr.ca.gov, info@da.lacounty.gov, 12136331956@faxage.com, 18262814724@faxage.com, 12136330960@faxage.com, julie.kaplan@cdcr.ca.gov, sabrina.williams@cdcr.ca.gov, cory.alvarez@cdcr.ca.gov, jamal.rowe@cdcr.ca.gov, mark.cruse@cdcr.ca.gov, linda.larabee@cdcr.ca.gov, asvi.phuong@cdcr.ca.gov, jamiee.lacey@cdcr.ca.gov, dominique.hughes@cdcr.ca.gov, karen.reed@cdcr.ca.gov, manuel.banuelos@cdcr.ca.gov, "ecolor.pot@gmail.com" <ecolor.pot@gmail.com>,

2 of 13 5/2/2025, 11:55 AM



Gmail - people v. ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA114055) & UPC... https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0/?ik=8184d5d7b5&view=pt&search=a...

"TCBecerra2016@gmail.com" <TCBecerra2016@gmail.com>, tarchuletta@crowell.com, "tlopez@crowell.com" <tlopez@crowell.com>, tarchuletta@bellsouth.net, "theresa_c_lopez@hotmail.com" <theresa_c_lopez@hotmail.com>, "tarchuletta@gmail.com" <tarchuletta@gmail.com>, "call80513@gmail.com" <call80513@gmail.com>, theresa.chuletta@aug.com, tarchuletta@aol.com, hollyjmitchell@bos.lacounty.gov

people v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA114055) & UPCOMING FIRST CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT TITLED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. judy whitehurst, et al [DEMAND PEOPLE AND LASD IMMEDIATELY TURN OVER PHONY LASD GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GLO10586 & GLO10587

BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG! WE MEET AGAIN AT LAST. I AM GOING TO MAKE SURE YOU GO TO STATE PRISON. YOU FU@@@@@ CROOKED AND CORRUPT PEACE OFFICER. YOU ARE A CRIMINAL!

On February 22, 2023, you created a phony search warrant so you could send my GoPro camera to your LASD Fraud and Cyber Crimes Bureau's Southern California High Tech Task Force (one of four high-tech task forces of California regulated by California DOJ). Regardless if corrupt judicial officer Susan Clover lied and covered for you. Your search warrant is unidentified, unoriginal, unattested, unverified, and unauthenticated. Further, proof that Lying Billy's warrant is phony is the fact that the Pasadena Superior Court has no records of ever receiving your warrant. Both you and DA failed to complete the LASD mandatory COUNTYWIDE WARRANT SYSTEM INITIAL CASE FILING FORM (LASC CRIM 126, REVISED ON JANUARY 20, 2024). IT WAS NEVER FILED BECAUSE YOU NEVER SUBMITTED YOUR SEARCH WARRANT TO THE SUPERIOR COURT. YOU ARE A CRIMINAL!

On April 4, 2023, you lied under oath that phony LASD-CREATED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GLO10586 & GLO10587 WERE DOWNLOADED FROM MY GOPRO CAMERA! FURTHER, YOU PLAYED THESE TWO VIDEOS IN THE SUPERIOR COURT ON APRIL 4, 2023, APRIL 5, 2023, & FEBRUARY 2, 2024 THAT DEPICTED AN AI POWERED ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD WALKING AROUND FAKE VICTIM JENNIFER HUTTON HEGER'S HOUSE MECHANICALLY TOUCHING HIS GOPRO CAMERA BEFORE FINALLY SHUTTING IT OFF AS HE WALKED THROUGH A DARK HOUSE AND THEN OUTSIDE TO A DARK SKY! THIS VIDEO IS CLEARLY FAKE, AND HERE IS WHY YOU ARE CAUGHT NOW, FOOL!

THE REAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS ONLY SHOW ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD INSIDE OF HUTTON-HERGER'S HOME FROM A POINT OF VIEW RECORDING VIEW. IN YOUR PHONY NEVER TURNED OVER TO THE DEFENSE LASD CREATED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS AI POWERED ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD IS SEEN CENTERED IN YOUR GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING WITH HIS ENTIRE BACK AND BODY SHOWN ON THIS PHONY VIDEO RECORDING. IT WOULD HAVE BEEN IMPOSSIBLE FOR ARROGANT TO RECORD THE BACKSIDE OF HIS BODY IF HIS GOPRO CAMERA WAS MOUNTED ON HIS CHEST. BUT THAT IS NOT ENOUGH TO CONVINCE THE SUPERIOR COURT TO OVERTURN THIS NEVER SHOULD HAVE BEEN FILED FRIVOLOUS CRIMINAL CASE.

BUT WHAT IS:

IN YOUR PHONY GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING GLO10586 (WHICH WAS ORIGINALLY ONE CONTINUOUS 14-MINUTE VIDEO RECORDING) AI POWERED ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD IS SEEN WALKING AROUND JENNIFER HUTTON-HEGER'S HOME MOMENTS BEFORE SHUTTING ON HIS GOPRO CAMERA WHILE WEARING DARK-COLORED SHORTS AND A FREAKIN TANK TOP!

THIS IS INCREDIBLY INTERESTING CRIMINALS BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG AND LEO KA FONG LO BECAUSE IN THE LASD POLICE VIDEO RECORDINGS ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD IS SEEN WEARING A LONG SLEEVE COAT. IN THE REAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING, ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD IS SEEN WEARING A LONG SLEEVE COAT. TAKE A LOOK AT THE IMAGES CREATED FROM VIDEO FRAME SEQUENCES OF THE REAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS! MOREOVER, ARROGANT IS SEEN WEARING BAGGY PANTS, NOT THE SHORTS HE IS WEARING IN YOUR AI POWERED VIDEO RECORDINGS THAT WERE CLEARLY NOT RECORDED ON


3 of 13 5/2/2025, 11:55 AM

Exhibit 4, pages 1, 2, and 3 of KING AROGANT'S electronic mail messages sent to DDA's and Sheriff Robert Luna proves by clear and convincing evidence that Brian MARK Rosenberg was not sent an electronic mail message from KING AROGANT on June 8, 2024, at 8:34 AM

On April 17, 2025 RACIST, biased, and prejudiced County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutor Brian MARK Rosenberg (SBN # 291501) willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, knowingly, carelessly, deliberately, and callously violated State Bar Rules of Professional Conduct, Rules 3.1, 3.2, and 3.3, California Government Code Section 6200 and California Business and Professions Code Section 6068 by fabricating lies and giving intentionally false statements to rogue and dishonest city of Alhambra peace officer detective Benjamin Yang that KING AROGANT sent to him electronic mail messages on November 13, 2023, November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, and twice on June 8, 2024 (8:03 AM and 8:34 A, separate emails). Lying and RACIST DDA MARK Rosenberg never showed rogue and RACIST peace officer Benjamin Yang electronic mail messages that showed that KING AROGANT had emailed him at any time after November 13, 2023 because MARK Rosenberg had not proof that KING AROGANT sent him an email because he knew with 100% certainty that he never received any electronic mail messages from KING AROGANT on November 28, 2024, February 13, 2024, and June 8, 2024. In fact, the only reason RACIST MARK Rosenberg had any information about the contents and subject line of KING AROGANT'S never sent to him electronic mail messages on November 28, 2022, February 13, 2024, and June 8, 2024 was because dishonest and unethical County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors Victor Manuel Rodriguez and James William Garrison (who did receive all emails) recklessly forwarded KING AROGANT'S November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, and June 8, 2024 electronic mail messages to Brian MARK Rosenberg (brosenberg@da.lacounty.gov) in furtherance of County of Los Angeles District Attorney's office to recklessly violate KING AROGANT'S constitutional civil rights. Brian MARK Rosenberg never received an email from KING AROGANT on November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, and June 8, 2024, as he falsely alleged on April 17, 2025, that KING AROGANT sent him these make-believe and imaginary electronic mail messages.

On April 17, 2025, at approximately 5 PM, RACIST, rogue, and biased city of Alhambra peace officer Benjamin Yang willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, knowingly, carelessly, deliberately, and callously arrested KING

AROGANT at the Alhambra Public Library for violation of California Penal Code Section 602. KING AROGANT'S false arrest was so unbelievably bogus that Yang charged KING AROGANT for a California Penal Code section, which has elements of crime that would have required KING AROGANT to have been arrested on private land, not open to the public. KING AROGANT was falsely arrested at the Alhambra Public library, not private property, and the Alhambra public library was open for another three hours after KING AROGANT had been brought into custody. Moreover, KING AROGANT'S false arrest at the Alhambra Public Library was invalidated after rogue and stupid peace officer Benjamin Yang foolishly signed the private person's arrest form. See it now below attached herein and marked as Exhibit 5.



ALHAMBRA POLICE DEPARTMENT
STATEMENT OF PRIVATE PERSON'S ARREST

DR Number: 25-14319
Page Number: 01

CRIME
Code Section: 602(o)(2) PC
Crime: TRESPASSING
Description: AT LOCAL LIBRARY
Location of arrest: 101 S. FIRST ST, ALHAMBRA, CA

PERSON MAKING ARREST
Name (Last, First, Middle): [redacted], HILDA
Sex: F
Race: ☐ White ☒ Chinese ☐ Black ☐ Hisp ☐ Other
DOB: [redacted]
Age: 46
Occupation (Current or last known): DIRECTOR OF LIBRARY
☐ Dri. ☐ ID Number
State:
Driver Phone Number: —
Cellular Number: [redacted]
Residence Address-City-State-Zip (Current or last known): [redacted]
Res. Phone: —
Business or School Address-City-State-Zip (Current or last known): —
Bus. Phone: [redacted]

ARRESTEE
Code: S
Name (Last, First, Middle - if known): HOLLYWOOD, AROGANT
Sex: M
Race: ☐ White ☐ Chinese ☒ Black ☐ Hisp ☐ Other
Age (or approximation): 46
Description (If the name is not known):

As a private person I, HILDA [redacted] (Print name of person making arrest)
have arrested AROGANT, HOLLYWOOD (Print name of subject arrested) for PC 602 (Code section number / violation),
a public offense committed in my presence, at 101 S. FIRST ST, ALHAMBRA, CA, (Location)
On (date) 04/17/25 at (time) 1715 AM / (PM) I demand that the following sworn peace officer(s) SANCHEZ #156 take into custody the listed subject.

California Penal Code 837 – Arrest by Private Persons
A private person may arrest another:
1. For a public offense committed or attempted in his / her presence.
2. When a person arrested has committed a felony, although not in his / her presence.
3. When a felony has in fact been committed and he / she has reasonable cause to believe the person arrested to have committed it.

California Penal Code 148.5 – False Report of Criminal Offense
It shall be unlawful to willfully make a false, fraudulent, misleading, or unfounded report to any police officer.

I, HILDA [redacted] (Print name of person making arrest) do hereby certify the above statements made by me surrounding this Private Person's Arrest are true to the best of my knowledge and belief. Furthermore, I agree to cooperate in all stages of prosecution and will sign a complaint against the above named arrested / cited person if issued.


X [redacted] Signature of person making arrest
Date: 04/17/25 Time: 1715 HOURS

X _____ Signature of Witness (Not the officer)
Name: _____ (Print name of witness) Phone: _____

APD 04/07 *** IN YOUR OWN HANDWRITING, PLEASE PROVIDE THE DETAILS SURROUNDING THE ARREST ON THE BACK OF THIS FORM ***

A rogue and dishonest peace officer signing a private citizen's arrest form gives a person reading this an idea of just how evil, fraudulent, and scandalous this criminal investigation was.

On April 17, 2025, in furtherance of the City of Alhambra conspiring to violate KING AROGANT'S Fourth and Fourteenth Amendment constitutional civil rights together with County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang add charged KING AROGANT by falsely arresting KING AROGANT with violation of California Penal Code Section 166, subsection (a) without a single piece of evidence. In furtherance of the County of Los Angeles and city of Alhamrbra's meeting of minds and plan to illegally keep KING AROGANT in custody. Rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, knowingly, carelessly, deliberately, and callously fabricated lies and made intentionally false statements in bogus police report that a copy of Brian MARK Rosenberg's make-believe, delusional, and imaginary brosenberg@da.lacounty.gov electronic mail messages sent to him from KING AROGANT on November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024 and June 8, 2024 were attached to the end of his crime report. See now a true and correct copy of rogue and dishonest peace officer Benjamin Yang's fabricated and illegal police report attached below and marked as "Exhibit 6."



Police Report for Incident 25-14319 Page 6 of 10

V-Rosenburg was afraid for his safety and the safety of his family after seeing S-Hollywood at the courthouse even after being served the restraining order. Furthermore, S-Hollywood had already violated the restraining order on the following dates/times by sending e-mails to V-Rosenburg himself and his coworkers.

Email #1:
From: Arogant Hollywood <arogantmusicinc@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 13, 2023 1:19 AM
To: tulunas@lasd.org; atardy@lasd.org; bkhount;havong@lasd.org; rlewis@lasd.org; Brian Rosenberg <BRosenberg@da.lacounty.gov>; takelrossi@gmail.com; rachel.rossi@usdoj.gov; AROGANTHOLLYWOODGENIUS@gmail.com
Subject: [External]DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, ROSSI, AND OTHER OFFICIALS WILL SEE TO IT THAT ROSENBERG AND KHOUNTHAVONG GO TO FEDERAL PRISION

Email #2:
From : arogantholywoodgenius@gmail.com
Date : Tue,28 Nov 2023 12:02:40 -0800
Subject : AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. state of california, united states, county of los angeles, city of south pasadena, city of arcadia, et al (SECOND EMAIL) [REAL VIDEO THAT PROVES THAT I WAS FRAMED FOR VIOLATING CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE SECTION 422(a)
NOTE: the receiver list is too long to list. See copy of e-mail.

Email #3:
From: Cheryl R[redacted] <[redacted]@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 13, 2024 6:14 PM
Subject: [External]OFFICIAL COMPLAINT OF ALARCON [redacted] ON BEHALF OF AROGANT HOLLYWOOD V. sherrif robert luna, et al
NOTE: the receiver list is too long to list. See copy of e-mail.

Email #4:
From: Arogant Hollywood <arogantholywoodgenius@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, June 8, 2024 6:41 AM
Subject: people v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA114055) & UPCOMING FIRST CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT TITLED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD V. judy whitehurst, et al [DEMAND PEOPLE AND LASD IMMEDIATELY TURN OVER PHONY LASD GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GLO10586 & GLO10587
NOTE: the receiver list is too long to list. See copy of e-mail.

Email #5:
From: Arogant Hollywood <[redacted]@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, June 8, 2024 8:58 AM
Subject: people v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA114055) & UPCOMING FIRST CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT TITLED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD V. judy whitehurst, et al [DEMAND PEOPLE AND LASD IMMEDIATELY TURN OVER PHONY LASD GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GLO10586 & GLO10587 [I GOT A LOT OF DIFFER...
NOTE: the receiver list is too long to list. See copy of e-mail.

These e-mails were in direct violation of the restraining order served to S-Hollywood for the protective order stated the following verbatim:
"The restrained person must not contact the protected person(s) directly or indirectly, by any means including telephone, mail, email, or other electronic means - peaceful written contact through 3rd party for service of legal papers allowed."

CONCLUSION:
Based on the above, with the approval of Watch Commander Lt. Scriano, I placed

04/20/25

Copy of Pinal County Court-DAS Office
Attorney Service Copy
Printed on 4/1/2025 at 8:52 AM

Brian MARK Rosenberg willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, knowingly, carelessly, deliberately, and callously violated California Penal Code Section 148.5 18 U.S.C. Section 242, and California Government Code Section 6200 by deliberately filing a knowingly false police report based on fabricated lies that MARK Rosenberg knew were not true and for which resulted in KING AROGANT being falsely imprisoned and wrongfully charged. It is time for MARK Rosenberg to be charged and convicted accordingly and sent to prison where that CRIMINAL BELONGS!

Rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, knowingly, carelessly, deliberately, and callously violated California Penal Code Section 148.5 and California Government Code Section 6200 and 18 U.S.C. Section 242, and he must be charged accordingly and sent to prison where that CRIMINAL BELONGS!

18 U.S.C. Section 242 criminalizes the deprivation of rights under color of law. It makes it a federal crime for anyone acting under the authority of any law (federal, state, or local) to willfully deprive another person of a right, privilege, or immunity protected by the Constitution or laws of the United States. This also includes subjecting a person to different punishments based on their race or alien status.

ALL THOSE THAT RECEIVE THIS EMAIL AND STAY SILENT WILL BE SUED ACCORDINGLY!

THIS PART 1 OF A LONG EMAIL SERIES

EMAIL, PART 2 WILL CONTINUE EXACTLY WHERE PART 1 ENDED!

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq.

1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD.
PASADENA, CA 91106
Mobile: 206.471.1344
aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted
Spirit of Esquire
BLACK TRADE KING
FUTURE KING OF ENTERTAINMENT
BLACK DERIVATIVES KING





Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com> Wed, May 7, 2025 at 6:01 AM
To: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com



Address not found

Your message wasn't delivered to **FCORTEZ@cdcr.gov** because the domain cdcr.gov couldn't be found. Check for typos or unnecessary spaces and try again.

LEARN MORE

The response was:

```
DNS Error: DNS type 'mx' lookup of cdcr.gov responded with code NXDOMAIN Domain name not
found: cdcr.gov For more information, go to https://support.google.com/mail/?p=BadRcptDomain
```

Final-Recipient: rfc822; FCORTEZ@cdcr.gov
Action: failed
Status: 5.1.2
Diagnostic-Code: smtp; DNS Error: DNS type 'mx' lookup of cdcr.gov responded with code NXDOMAIN
Domain name not found: cdcr.gov For more information, go to https://support.google.com/mail/?p=BadRcptDomain
Last-Attempt-Date: Wed, 07 May 2025 06:01:07 -0700 (PDT)

noname
17K

Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com> Wed, May 7, 2025 at 6:01 AM
To: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq.
1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD.
PASADENA, CA 91106
Mobile: 206.471.1344
aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted
Spirit of Esquire

people of the state of california, et al v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD

Case No. . 25CJCM02162-01

Exhibit 7 is a true and correct copy of AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S electronic mail Gmail message he sent to numerous County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutors, California Department of Justice attorneys, and County of Los Angles Office County Counsel attorneys on May 7, 2025, at 6:18 AM.

Exhibit 7 proves by clear and convincing evidence that Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators all knew with 100% certainty and were fully aware that County of Los

---

EXHIBIT 7

Angeles District Attorney's Office deputy district attorney Brian MARK Rosenberg willfully, recklessly, maliciously, carelessly, wantonly, deliberately, knowingly, and callously violated California Penal Code § 148.5, California Government Code § 6200, and 18 United States Code § 242 by fabricating lies and making intentionally false statements to rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang that AROGANT HOLLYWOOD sent him electronic mail messages on November 28, 2023, February 13. 2024, and June 8, 2024.

Exhibit 7 proves by clear and convincing evidence that Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators all knew with 100% certainty and were fully aware that rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, carelessly, deliberately, knowingly, and callously created and authored a false police report that fabricated lies and made intentionally false statements that a copy of Brian MARK Rosenberg's brosenberg@da.lacounty.gov June 8, 2024 at 8:34 AM. electronic mail message was attached to the end of his city of Alhambra crime report.

Exhibit 7 proves by clear and convincing evidence that Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators all knew with 100% certainty and were fully aware that RACIST and BIASED County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutors willfully, recklessly, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, deliberately, maliciously, and callously filed criminal prosecutors filed frivolous counts 1, 2, and 3 without a single piece of evidence and entirely without probable cause.

Exhibit 7 proves by clear and convincing evidence that Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators all knew with 100% certainty and were fully aware that RACIST and BIASED County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutors willfully, recklessly, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, deliberately, maliciously, and callously violated State Bar of California Rules of Professional Conduct Rules 3.1, 3.3, and 3.4; California Business and Professions Code § 6068, and American Bar Association Models Rules of Professional Conduct

---

EXHIBIT 7

Rules 3.1, 3.3., and 3.4 by filing frivolous counts 1, 2, and 3 without a single piece of evidence and entirely without probable cause.

Exhibit 7 proves by clear and convincing evidence that there was no probable cause or leave basis for *Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators to utilize their under color of law abuse of judicial process to request that judicial officer MARK WINDHAM find AROGANT HOLLYWOOD in violation of his conditions of release after Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators were all electronically served clear and convincing evidence that AROGANT HOLLYWOOD was factually innocent of Counts 3, 4, and 5 of their frivolous and RACIST misdemeanor criminal complaint 25CJCM02162-01. And instead of following the law under State Bar of California Rules of Professional Conduct Rules 3.1, 3.3, and 3.4; California Business and Professions Code § 6068, and American Bar Association Models Rules of Professional Conduct Rules 3.1, 3.3., and 3.4 by dismissing counts 3, 4, and 5 on their own criminal motion but they all instead chose to commit reckless under color of law deceit by willfully, recklessly, maliciously, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, deliberately, and callously failing to inform, failing to disclose, and concealing from, and hiding from a Superior Court of California judicial officer that they were all in possession of entirely exculpatory evidence that proved AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S factual innocence by clear and convincing evidence.*

I declare, under penalty of perjury, under the laws and Constitution of California and the United States, that every word, sentence, paragraph, and page of the foregoing is true and accurate.

EXHIBIT 7

DATE: May 10, 2025

BY: Arogant Hollywood

Arogant Hollywood, *Esq.*
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106
Mobile: (206) 471-1344
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
Spirit of Esquire

King Arogant

EXHIBIT 7

Gmail

Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>

FRIVOLOUS CRIMINAL CASE 25CJCM02162-01, FIRST LEGAL WARNING TO COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, ITS DDAS, CITY OF ALHAMBRA AND ITS CONSPIRING ATTORNEYS TO RETURN ALL PROPERTY, DISMISSED ALL FRIVOLOUS FIVE COUNTS, CRIMINALLY CHARGE RACIST CRIMINAL PROSECUTOR BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG, REPORT MARK ROSENBERG TO THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA, CREATE SUPPLEMENTAL POLICE REPORT THAT HIGHLIGHTS AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S FACTUAL INNOCENCE, AND TURN OVER ALL CRIMINAL CASE DISCOVERY; INCLUDING PHONY PROBABLE CAUSE DETERMINATION(FEBRUARY 13, 2024 EMAIL ATTACHED HERETO PROVING THAT BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG IS A CRIMINAL)

93 messages

Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com> Wed, May 7, 2025 at 6:18 AM

To: jbinnquist@cityofalhambra.org, tseki@alhambrapd.org, Area1@alhambrapd.org, gsepncer@alhambrapd.org, area2@alhambrapd.org, rsoriano@alhambrapd.org, area3@alhambrapd.org, rcastillo@alhambrapd.org, area4@alhambrapd.org, klaing@alhambrapd.org, dfuentes@alhambrapd.org, lgarcia@alhambraca.gov, gdiaz@alhambrapd.org, rrongavilla@alhambrapd.org, dtran@slhsmbrapd.org, mlee@alhambrapd.org, hreyes@alhambrapd.org, bchung@alhambrapd.org, luwan@cityofalhambra.org, klee@alhambraca.gov, rmaza@cityofalhambra.org, jmaloney@cityofalhambra.org, maloney@smmc.ca.gov, nwang@cityofalhambra.org, aandradestadler@gmail.com, adele.stadler@gmail.com, joestadler@ladwp.com, andrade@eaterhlink.net, aandradestadler@yahoo.com, jmontes@bwslaw.com, CABBOTT@bwslaw.com, baffrunti@bwslaw.com, bguerrero@bwslaw.com, gaker@bwslaw.com, danneet@bwslaw.com, maustin@bwslaw.com, rbalchum@bwslaw.com, mtran@bwslaw.com, mbarrett@bwslaw.com, Jbalchum@bwslaw.com, dbazzano@bwslaw.com, kberger@bwslaw.com, mbernacchi@bwslaw.com, dakemon@da.lacounty.gov, cthorpe@da.lacounty.gov, ejerez@da.lacounty.gov, dbrosky@da.lacounty.gov, blunsford@da.lacounty.gov, pkouch@da.lacounty.gov, jtaksar@da.lacounty.gov, ron.goodreau@yahoo.com, ronaldgoodreau@aol.com, estreet@da.lacounty.gov, mlee@da.lacounty.gov, rdematti@da.lacounty.gov, jonchung@da.lacounty.gov, alin@da.lacounty.gov, mmichelena@da.lacounty.gov, srebelis@da.lacounty.gov, jlink@da.lacounty.gov, mvellakk@da.lacounty.gov, jmccomb@smcgov.org, dmccloskey@smcgov.org, bmccartt@da.lacounty.gov, gdameron@da.lacounty.gov, hharpham@da.lacounty.gov, duckett.justice@gmail.com, kduckett@da.lacounty.gov, jfrost@smcgov.org, jgarden@da.lacounty.gov, nhearon@da.lacounty.gov, tpovah@smcgov.org, hborden@da.lacounty.gov, gmarin@da.lacounty.gov, ibaly@da.lacounty.gov, rarias@da.lacounty.gov, Vaenlle-rocha@da.lacounty.gov, mmurray@da.lacounty.gov, jcastano@da.lacounty.gov, kmeyer@da.lacounty.gov, mmclaughlin@smcgov.org, apellman@da.lacounty.gov, tpham@da.lacounty.gov, inightengale@da.lacounty.gov, jniedermann@da.lacounty.gov, dhoffman@da.lacounty.gov, ewakabay@da.lacounty, grai@da.lacounty.gov, bobchen@da.lacounty.gov, bchen@da.lacounty.gov, fdunnick@da.lacounty.gov, Lisette.suder@edcda.us, calbanese@da.lacounty.gov, jcolello@da.lacounty.gov, eratinof@da.lacounty.gov, eratinoff@da.lacounty.gov, jmcgrath@da.lacounty.gov, lavila@da.lacounty.gov, kenvonh@msn.com, kvonhelmolt@da.lacounty.gov, echon@da.lacounty.gov, Jwilson@smcgov.org, jchung@da.lacounty.gov, cfredgren@da.lacounty.gov, kta@da.lacounty.gov, sungchung@da.lacounty.gov, schung@da.lacounty.gov, mbean@da.lacounty.gov, twhitney@da.lacounty.gov, hyun@da.lacounty.gov, kabourezk@da.lacounty.gov, ialvarez@da.lacounty.gov, Michael.pizzuti@edcda.us, nhahn@smcgov.org, Cmeaher-walker@da.lacounty.gov, cwalker@da.lacounty.gov, cmeagher@da.lacounty.gov, fyoung@da.lacounty.gov, afitzgerald@smcgov.org, gapt@da.lacounty.gov, Christine.vonhelmolt@csulb.edu, cvonhelmolt@da.lacounty.gov, knishita@da.lacounty.gov, snavas@da.lacounty.gov, fnagle@kernda.org, carolyn.palumbo@yolocounty.org, jmarcano@kernda.org, gpearl@kernda.org, dmckillop@kernda.org, jallen@kernda.org, nilackie@kern.org, nlackie@kernda.org, kmarshall@kernda.org, bstallings@kernda.org, deanna.hays@yolocounty.org, csherman@kernda.org, lgarver@kernda.org, rchoi@kernda.org, frits.vanderhoek@yolocounty.org, btaconi@kernda.org, gkohler@kernda.org, lfields@kernda.org, cmcnutt@kernda.org, czimmer@kernda.org, gsatriano@da.lacounty.gov, mariaramirez@hotmail.com, mramirez@da.lacounty.gov, mdaniels@da.lacounty.gov, kchun@da.lacounty.gov, jmorris@da.lacounty.gov, pcagney@da.lacounty.gov, sfrank6576@aol.com, sfrankland@da.lacounty.gov, bschirn@da.lacounty.gov, rsherwood@da.lacounty.gov, spresby@da.lacounty.gov, mbaxter@da.lacounty.gov, lwong@da.lacounty.gov, ayochelson@da.lacounty.gov, tgomez@da.lacounty.gov, cbuckley@da.lacounty.gov, vcole@da.lacounty.gov, srandolph@da.lacounty.gov, ldery@da.lacounty.gov, ymassry@da.lacounty.gov,

gjennings@da.lacounty.gov, hchun@da.lacounty.gov, langer@da.lacounty.gov, shassett@da.lacounty.gov, smire@da.lacounty.gov, gwright@da.lacounty.gov, ljkessner@da.lacounty.gov, Jcallahan@da.lacounty.gov, chum@da.lacounty.gov, mchung@da.lacounty.gov, jmejia@da.lacounty.gov, mgarcia@da.lacounty.gov, jharlan@da.lacounty.gov, mpiantanida@da.lacounty.gov, gsantiso@da.lacounty.gov, pmusso@da.lacounty.gov, nhochman@da.lacounty.gov, Vaenille-rocha@da.lacounty.gov, dfelizzatto@da.lacounty.gov, mhanisee@da.lacounty.gov, skatz@da.lacounty.gov, Jbrooke-castano@da.lacounty.gov, wfrayeh@da.lacounty.gov, jfoster@da.lacounty.gov, jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov, jtillson@da.lacounty.gov, Mariaramirez65@hotmail.com, ilee@da.lacounty.gov, Cris.buckley@uci.edu, jwalker@da.lacounty.gov, cfrey@da.lacounty.gov, vrodriguez@dalacounty.gov, mckinney@da.lacounty.gov, jmckinney@da.lacounty.gov, kcady@da.lacounty.gov, jdsilva@da.lacounty.gov, amattson@da.lacounty.gov, chrisbaker@da.lacounty.gov, cnakao@da.lacounty.gov, jjohnson@da.lacounty.gov, kuyen@da.lacounty.gov, pkouch@da.alacounty.gov, shulefeld@da.lacounty.gov, mbeaart@da.lacounty.gov, bjdodd@dalacounty.gov, mcarrillo@da.lacounty.gov, aaguilar@da.lacounty.gov, awise@da.lacounty.gov, lorquiola@da.lacounty.gov, Kevin.s@lacounty.gov, kswartz@da.lacounty.gov, akozma@da.lacounty.gov, aadams@da.lacounty.gov, trichardson@da.lacounty.gov, timothy@lacounty.gov, sradmore@dal.lacounty.gov, wrosenthal@da.lacounty.gov, wkarfiol@lacounty.gov, cnajera@lacourt.org, mwindham@lacourt.org, dilacqua@da.lacounty.gov, jonathan@lacounty.gov, jarmour@dalacounty.gov, felicia@lacounty.gov, forman@da.laounty.gov, ryann.jorban@stfrancislaw.com, rgerber@dalcounty.gov, jcohn@da.lacounty.gov, julia@lacounty.gov, hjackson@da.lacounty.gov, sunita.popal@pepperdine.edu, spopal@da.lacounty.gov, dzygielbaum@da.lacounty.gov, mlewis@da.lacounty.gov, philglaviano@hotmail.com, pglaviano@da.lacounty.gov, thomaskrag@proton.me, tkrag@da.lacounty.gov, klynch@da.lacounty.gov, smrakich@da.lacounty.gov, cgaines@da.lacounty.gov, menomoto@da.lacounty.gov, cchaiyar@da.lacounty.gov, rdooley@da.lacounty.gov, glewin@da.lacounty.gov, ddelimon@gmail.com, mhaidar@da.lacounty.gov, thooks@da.lacounty.gov, wllanos@da.lacounty.gov, scarney@da.lacounty.gov, dwright@da.lacounty.gov, lholtz@da.lacounty.gov, dmartinez@da.lacounty.gov, dwooden@da.lacounty.gov, jgonzalez@da.lacounty.gov, dsyn@da.lacounty.gov, rchang@da.lacounty.gov, ncooper@da.lacounty.gov, akarkanen@da.lacounty.gov, khalligan@da.lacounty.gov, ralarcon@da.lacounty.gov, sstodel@da.lacounty.gov, dbarkhurst@da.lacounty.gov, tdecastro@da.lacounty.gov, dchau@da.lacounty.gov, vlittle@da.lacounty.gov, nflood@da.lacounty.gov, rrabbani@da.lacounty.gov, tmokayef@da.clacounty.gov, rrose@da.lacounty.gov, chenry@da.lacounty.gov, fsantoro@da.lacounty.gov, kstpierre@da.lacounty.gov, johnlwn@gmail.com, brian.jones@sen.ca.gov, erikandannaapperson@hotmail.com, dbengstib@da.lacounty.gov, Ying.sun@cdcr.ca.gov, pghaderi@counsel.lacounty.gov, rsaldana@counsel.lacounty.gov, ndavis-tinkham@counsel.lacounty.gov, tink4d1@gmail.com, brind0313@gmail.com, darrellltinkham@yahoo.com, ltolani@counsel.lacounty.gov, lester.tolnai@yahoo.com, rclester.tolnai71@yahoo.com, pmarshall@counsel.lacounty.gov, Adrienne Byers <abyers@counsel.lacounty.gov>, rcartwright@counsel.lacounty.gov, rwong@counsel.lacounty.gov, tfaughnan@counsel.lacounty.gov, tomfaughnan66@gmail.com, Jennifer <JLehman@counsel.lacounty.gov>, kmiles@counsel.lacounty.gov, rbaeza@counsel.lacounty.gov, rchastang@counsel.lacounty.gov, lcampo@counsel.lacounty.gov, msimon@counsel.lacounty.gov, emiller@counsel.lacounty.gov, elizabeth_dumais@yahoo.com, kaigrant19@yahoo.com, pmomon@yahoo.com, pbollinger@counsel.lacounty.gov, First District <firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>, secondistrict@bos.la.county.gov, "holly4assembly@gmail.com" <holly4assembly@gmail.com>, hmitchell@yahoo.com, hjmitchel@aol.com, Cindy <cchen@bos.lacounty.gov>, nadtinkham@gmail.com, holly@hollyjmitchell.com, rfleming-peters@counsel.lacounty.gov, Margaret Carter <mcarter@counsel.lacounty.gov>, njenkins@lacounty.gov, njenkins@counsel.lacounty.gov, jgonzalez@counsel.lacounty.gov, lowt@metro.net, Tlow77@gmail.com, ryanthurlow@yahoo.com, tlow@counsel.lacounty.gov, tina@jmoilco.com, tlow7@yahoo.com, econard@lacounty.gov, econard@counsel.lacounty.gov, andria.seo@disabilityrightsca.org, schan@counsel.lacounty.gov, eggerse@metro.net, eggers@counsel.lacounty.gov, mrolon@counsel.lacounty.gov, mirder@hotmail.com, doug@homealert.com, Alexandra Zuiderweg <AZuiderweg@counsel.lacounty.gov>, mruben@counsel.lacounty.gov, Caitlin Taylor <ctaylor@counsel.lacounty.gov>, "Holly J. Mitchell" <HollyJMitchell@bos.lacounty.gov>, Lindsey.P.Horvath@gmail.com, kmuir@counsel.lacounty.gov, CCRCMFLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCCILitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCTFLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCMCLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCORLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCIMLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, FCORTEZ@cdcr.gov, Jeff.macomber@cdcr.ca.gov, Jennifer.barretto@cdcr.ca.gov, Tammy.foss@cdcr.ca.gov, Diana.toche@cdcr.ca.gov, albert.lundeen@cdcr.ca.gov, Jennifer.neil@cdcr.ca.gov, Sarah.larson@cdcr.ca.gov, Ron.broomfield@cdcr.ca.gov, Amy.casias@cdcr.ca.gov, Jason.johnson@cdcr.ca.gov, Chris.chambers@cdcr.ca.gov, Stacy.lopez@cdcr.ca.gov, Niki.dhillon@cdcr.ca.gov, Jennifer.benavidez@cdcr.ca.gov, heather.bowlds@cdcr.ca.gov, Jared.lozano@cdcr.ca.gov, Derrick.marion@cdcr.ca.gov, David.chriss@cdcr.ca.gov, Sarina.calderon@cdcr.ca.gov, "elizabeth.hom@calbar.ca.gov" <elizabeth.hom@calbar.ca.gov>, johnna.sack@calbar.ca.gov, rocio.avalos@calbar.ca.gov, kristina.ramos@calbar.ca.gov, collin.grant@calbar.ca.gov, louisa.ayrapetyan@calbar.ca.gov, jennifer.stalvey@calbar.ca.gov, ken.nourse@calbar.ca.gov, summer.shelton@calbar.ca.gov, kirsten.galler@calbar.ca.gov, doan.nguyen@calbar.ca.gov, audrey.ching@calbar.ca.gov, laurie.gondreau@calbar.ca.gov, yun.xiang@calbar.ca.gov, mark@fresnocriminallawyer.com, abrahim.bagheri@calbar.ca.gov, carol.kiyotoki@calbar.ca.gov, andrew.vasicek@calbar.ca.gov, mayte.diaz@calbar.ca.gov, duncan.carling@calbar.ca.gov, chelsey.smith@calbar.ca.gov, suzanne.grandt@calbar.ca.gov, gerri.smith@calbar.ca.gov, peter.klivans@calbar.ca.gov, cindy.chan@calbar.ca.gov, teresa.ruano@calbar.ca.gov, patrice.vallier-glass@calbar.ca.gov,

naji.khatib@calbar.ca.gov, awndrea.yeboah@calbar.ca.gov, christina.doell@calbar.ca.gov, enrique.zuniga@calbar.ca.gov, kimberly.anderson@calbar.ca.gov, brandon.stallings@calbar.ca.gov, Jose.cisneros@gmail.com, Jose.cisneros@sf.gov, pbarahona@yli.org, Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>, jmerchan@wdacs.lacounty.gov, info@wdacs.lacounty.gov, Arogant Hollywood <arogantmusicinc@gmail.com>, Alison Fairchild <fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com>, Arogant Hollywood <causeofaction43@gmail.com>, blacklawgenius@gmail.com, Alison Fairchild <alisonhfairchild@gmail.com>, Robert Luna <rluna@lasd.org>, rluna@lacounty.gov, atardy@lacounty.org, jtorres@lasd.org, saloma@lacounty.org, llecrivain@lasd.org, bchase@lacounty.gov, rlewis@lacounty.gov, llecrivain@lacounty.org, jmeza@lasd.org, ned.harlan@doj.ca.gov, nishdeep.singh@doj.ca.gov, robert.bonta@doj.ca.gov, OPSChiefsOffice@doj.ca.gov, peter.halloran@doj.ca.gov, amyhhalloran@yahoo.com, peterhalloran@sbcglobal.net, alisha.carlile@doj.ca.gov, snpdragn@aol.com, acarlile@alum.wellesley.edu, concertina9@yahoo.com, alishastephen@yahoo.com.au, alehr17@u.washington.edu, girlygirl8384@aol.com, akeened@yahoo.com, lish34@hotmail.com, piccbodin@hotmail.com, lachapell_a@yahoo.com, alishaoku@yahoo.com, alisha.mai@gmail.com, alisha.marks@rogers.com, vincent.bonotto@doj.ca.gov, vbonotto@hotmail.com, glenn.pruden@doj.ca.gov, eyeless77@hotmail.com, daniel.olivas@doj.ca.gov, giam.nguyen@doj.ca.gov, chad.stegeman@doj.ca.gov, stephen.lew@doj.ca.gov, christopher.findley@doj.ca.gov, dennis.beck@doj.ca.gov, darrell.spence@doj.ca.gov, maggy.krell@doj.ca.gov, Elaine.tong@doj.ca.gov, hannah.calacsan@doj.ca.gov, mo.park@doj.ca.gov, dbuthler@lasuperiorcourt.org, dslayton@lasuperiorcourt.org, sclover@lasuperiorcourt.org, jmoses@lasuperiorcourt.org, ppenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, tpenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, chase.penrose@gmail.com, mackenzienicole@gmail.com, trishpenrose@att.net, amber.barnett@jud.ca.go, john.yee@jud.ca.gov, jenniejasperson@gmail.com, kelton.jasperson@gmail.com, cjasperson2@mindspring.com, judy.jasperson@att.net, randie.chance@doj.ca.gov, gavin.newsom@gov.ca.gov, gkennedy@alhambrapd.org, EWakabayashi@da.lacounty.gov, bdodd@da.lacounty.gov, hforman@da.lacounty.gov, ttokat@da.lacounty.gov, tmokayf@da.lacounty.gov, sradmore@da.lacounty.gov, andrade@earthlink.net, rgerber@da.lacounty.gov, vrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov, jlewin@da.lacounty.gov, atardy@lasd.org, dtran@alhambrapd.org, secondistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, dbengston@da.lacounty.gov, jragusa@alhambrafire.org, rembrahim@da.lacounty.gov, "rishnidanos516@gmail.com" <rishnidanos516@gmail.com>, prentice.hill@cdcr.ca.gov, 12136871147@faxage.com, 12139741484@faxage.com, 13103288010@faxage.com, 15629297626@faxage.com, 12132290642@faxage.com

FRIVOLOUS CRIMINAL CASE 25CJCM02162-01, FIRST LEGAL WARNING TO COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, ITS DDAS, CITY OF ALHAMBRA AND ITS CONSPIRING ATTORNEYS TO RETURN ALL PROPERTY, DISMISSED ALL FRIVOLOUS FIVE COUNTS, CRIMINALLY CHARGE RACIST CRIMINAL PROSECUTOR BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG, REPORT MARK ROSENBERG TO THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA, CREATE SUPPLEMENTAL POLICE REPORT THAT HIGHLIGHTS AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S FACTUAL INNOCENCE, AND TURN OVER ALL CRIMINAL CASE DISCOVERY; INCLUDING PHONY PROBABLE CAUSE DETERMINATION(FEBRUARY 13, 2024 EMAIL ATTACHED HERETO PROVING THAT BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG IS A CRIMINAL)



AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq.
1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD.
PASADENA, CA 91106
Mobile: 206.471.1344


aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted
Spirit of Esquire
BLACK TRADE KING
FUTURE KING OF ENTERTAINMENT
BLACK DERIVATIVES KING





Gmail - OFFICIAL COMPLAINT OF ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD ON BEHALF OF AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. sherrif robert luna, et al (FEBRUARY 13, 2024 AT 614 PM).pdf
126K

Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com> Wed, May 7, 2025 at 6:19 AM
To: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com




The response was:

```
DNS Error: DNS type 'mx' lookup of dalacounty.gov responded with code NXDOMAIN Domain name not found: dalacounty.gov For more information, go to https://support.google.com/mail/?p=BadRcptDomain
```

Final-Recipient: rfc822; bjdodd@dalacounty.gov
Action: failed
Status: 5.1.2
Diagnostic-Code: smtp; DNS Error: DNS type 'mx' lookup of dalacounty.gov responded with code NXDOMAIN Domain name not found: dalacounty.gov For more information, go to https://support.google.com/mail/?p=BadRcptDomain
Last-Attempt-Date: Wed, 07 May 2025 06:19:01 -0700 (PDT)

noname
17K

Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com> Wed, May 7, 2025 at 6:19 AM
To: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com



Your message wasn't delivered to **sradmore@dal.lacounty.gov** because the domain dal.lacounty.gov couldn't be found. Check for typos or unnecessary spaces and try again.

LEARN MORE

The response was:

```
DNS Error: DNS type 'mx' lookup of dal.lacounty.gov responded with code NXDOMAIN Domain name not found: dal.lacounty.gov For more information, go to https://support.google.com/mail/?p=BadRcptDomain
```

Final-Recipient: rfc822; sradmore@dal.lacounty.gov
Action: failed
Status: 5.1.2
Diagnostic-Code: smtp; DNS Error: DNS type 'mx' lookup of dal.lacounty.gov responded with code NXDOMAIN Domain name not found: dal.lacounty.gov For more information, go to https://support.google.com/mail/?p=BadRcptDomain
Last-Attempt-Date: Wed, 07 May 2025 06:19:01 -0700 (PDT)

noname
17K

Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com> Wed, May 7, 2025 at 6:19 AM
To: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com

Address not found

Your message wasn't delivered to **pkouch@da.alacounty.gov** because the domain da.alacounty.gov couldn't be found. Check for typos or unnecessary spaces and try again.

LEARN MORE

The response was:

```
DNS Error: DNS type 'mx' lookup of da.alacounty.gov responded with code NXDOMAIN Domain name
```

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq.
1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD.
PASADENA, CA 91106
Mobile: 206.471.1344
arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted
Spirit of Esquire

people of the state of california, et al v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD

Case No. . 25CJCM02162-01

Exhibit 8 is a true and correct copy of AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S electronic mail Gmail message he sent to numerous County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutors, California Department of Justice attorneys, and County of Los Angles Office County Counsel attorneys on May 8, 2025, at 8:09 PM.

Exhibit 8 proves by clear and convincing evidence that Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators all knew with 100% certainty and were fully aware that County of Los

---

EXHIBIT 8

Angeles District Attorney's Office deputy district attorney Brian MARK Rosenberg willfully, recklessly, maliciously, carelessly, wantonly, deliberately, knowingly, and callously violated California Penal Code § 148.5, California Government Code § 6200, and 18 United States Code § 242 by fabricating lies and making intentionally false statements to rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang that AROGANT HOLLYWOOD sent him electronic mail messages on November 28, 2023, February 13. 2024, and June 8, 2024.

Exhibit 8 proves by clear and convincing evidence that Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators all knew with 100% certainty and were fully aware that rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, carelessly, deliberately, knowingly, and callously created and authored a false police report that fabricated lies and made intentionally false statements that a copy of Brian MARK Rosenberg's brosenberg@da.lacounty.gov June 8, 2024 at 8:34 AM. electronic mail message was attached to the end of his city of Alhambra crime report.

Exhibit 8 proves by clear and convincing evidence that Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators all knew with 100% certainty and were fully aware that RACIST and BIASED County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutors willfully, recklessly, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, deliberately, maliciously, and callously filed criminal prosecutors filed frivolous counts 1, 2, and 3 without a single piece of evidence and entirely without probable cause.

Exhibit 8 proves by clear and convincing evidence that Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators all knew with 100% certainty and were fully aware that RACIST and BIASED County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutors willfully, recklessly, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, deliberately, maliciously, and callously violated State Bar of California Rules of Professional Conduct Rules 3.1, 3.3, and 3.4; California Business and Professions Code § 6068, and American Bar Association Models Rules of Professional Conduct

---

EXHIBIT 8

Rules 3.1, 3.3., and 3.4 by filing frivolous counts 1, 2, and 3 without a single piece of evidence and entirely without probable cause.

Exhibit 8 proves by clear and convincing evidence that there was no probable cause or leave basis for *Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators to utilize their under color of law abuse of judicial process to request that judicial officer MARK WINDHAM find AROGANT HOLLYWOOD in violation of his conditions of release after Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators were all electronically served clear and convincing evidence that AROGANT HOLLYWOOD was factually innocent of Counts 3, 4, and 5 of their frivolous and RACIST misdemeanor criminal complaint 25CJCM02162-01. And instead of following the law under State Bar of California Rules of Professional Conduct Rules 3.1, 3.3, and 3.4; California Business and Professions Code § 6068, and American Bar Association Models Rules of Professional Conduct Rules 3.1, 3.3., and 3.4 by dismissing counts 3, 4, and 5 on their own criminal motion but they all instead chose to commit reckless under color of law deceit by willfully, recklessly, maliciously, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, deliberately, and callously failing to inform, failing to disclose, and concealing from, and hiding from a Superior Court of California judicial officer that they were all in possession of entirely exculpatory evidence that proved AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S factual innocence by clear and convincing evidence.*

I declare, under penalty of perjury, under the laws and Constitution of California and the United States, that every word, sentence, paragraph, and page of the foregoing is true and accurate.

EXHIBIT 8

DATE: May 10, 2025

BY: Arogant Hollywood

Arogant Hollywood, *Esq.*
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106
Mobile: (206) 471-1344
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
Spirit of Esquire

King Arogant

EXHIBIT 8

Gmail **Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>**

KING AROGANT v. racist white devil nathan joseph hochman, et al [ANOTHER LEGAL WARNING! YOU RACIST WHITE AND LATIN DEVILS ARE GOING TO ALL GET SUED!) SEE NOW ATTACHED YOUTUBE VIDEO RECORDING THAT PROVES BY CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE THAT RACIALLY BIASED AND RACIALLY ANIMUS DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEYS RECKLESSLY CHARGED KING AROGANT FOR THREE CRIMES WITHOUT A SINGLE PIECE OF EVIDENCE!

100 messages

Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com> Thu, May 8, 2025 at 8:09 PM
To: jbinnquist@cityofalhambra.org, tseki@alhambrapd.org, Area1@alhambrapd.org, gsepncer@alhambrapd.org, area2@alhambrapd.org, rsoriano@alhambrapd.org, area3@alhambrapd.org, rcastillo@alhambrapd.org, area4@alhambrapd.org, klaing@alhambrapd.org, dfuentes@alhambrapd.org, lgarcia@alhambraca.gov, gdiaz@alhambrapd.org, rrongavilla@alhambrapd.org, dtran@slhsmbrapd.org, mlee@alhambrapd.org, hreyes@alhambrapd.org, bchung@alhambrapd.org, luwan@cityofalhambra.org, klee@alhambraca.gov, rmaza@cityofalhambra.org, jmaloney@cityofalhambra.org, maloney@smmc.ca.gov, nwang@cityofalhambra.org, aandradestadler@gmail.com, adele.stadler@gmail.com, joestadler@ladwp.com, andrade@eaterhlink.net, aandradestadler@yahoo.com, jmontes@bwslaw.com, CABBOTT@bwslaw.com, baffrunti@bwslaw.com, bguerrero@bwslaw.com, gaker@bwslaw.com, danneet@bwslaw.com, maustin@bwslaw.com, rbalchum@bwslaw.com, mtran@bwslaw.com, mbarrett@bwslaw.com, Jbalchum@bwslaw.com, dbazzano@bwslaw.com, kberger@bwslaw.com, mbernacchi@bwslaw.com, dakemon@da.lacounty.gov, cthorpe@da.lacounty.gov, ejerez@da.lacounty.gov, dbrosky@da.lacounty.gov, blunsford@da.lacounty.gov, pkouch@da.lacounty.gov, jtaksar@da.lacounty.gov, ron.goodreau@yahoo.com, ronaldgoodreau@aol.com, estreet@da.lacounty.gov, mlee@da.lacounty.gov, rdematti@da.lacounty.gov, jonchung@da.lacounty.gov, alin@da.lacounty.gov, mmichelena@da.lacounty.gov, srebelis@da.lacounty.gov, jlink@da.lacounty.gov, mvellakk@da.lacounty.gov, jmccomb@smcgov.org, dmccloskey@smcgov.org, bmccartt@da.lacounty.gov, gdameron@da.lacounty.gov, hharpham@da.lacounty.gov, duckett.justice@gmail.com, kduckett@da.lacounty.gov, jfrost@smcgov.org, jgarden@da.lacounty.gov, nhearon@da.lacounty.gov, tpovah@smcgov.org, hborden@da.lacounty.gov, gmarin@da.lacounty.gov, ibaly@da.lacounty.gov, rarias@da.lacounty.gov, Vaenlle-rocha@da.lacounty.gov, mmurray@da.lacounty.gov, jcastano@da.lacounty.gov, kmeyer@da.lacounty.gov, mmclaughlin@smcgov.org, apellman@da.lacounty.gov, tpham@da.lacounty.gov, inightengale@da.lacounty.gov, jniedermann@da.lacounty.gov, dhoffman@da.lacounty.gov, ewakabay@da.lacounty, grai@da.lacounty.gov, bobchen@da.lacounty.gov, bchen@da.lacounty.gov, fdunnick@da.lacounty.gov, Lisette.suder@edcda.us, calbanese@da.lacounty.gov, jcolello@da.lacounty.gov, eratinof@da.lacounty.gov, eratinoff@da.lacounty.gov, jmcgrath@da.lacounty.gov, lavila@da.lacounty.gov, kenvonh@msn.com, kvonhelmolt@da.lacounty.gov, echon@da.lacounty.gov, Jwilson@smcgov.org, jchung@da.lacounty.gov, cfredgren@da.lacounty.gov, kta@da.lacounty.gov, sungchung@da.lacounty.gov, schung@da.lacounty.gov, mbean@da.lacounty.gov, twhitney@da.lacounty.gov, hyun@da.lacounty.gov, kabourezk@da.lacounty.gov, ialvarez@da.lacounty.gov, Michael.pizzuti@edcda.us, nhahn@smcgov.org, Cmeaher-walker@da.lacounty.gov, cwalker@da.lacounty.gov, cmeagher@da.lacounty.gov, fyoung@da.lacounty.gov, afitzgerald@smcgov.org, gapt@da.lacounty.gov, Christine.vonhelmolt@csulb.edu, cvonhelmolt@da.lacounty.gov, knishita@da.lacounty.gov, snavas@da.lacounty.gov, fnagle@kernda.org, carolyn.palumbo@yolocounty.org, jmarcano@kernda.org, gpearl@kernda.org, dmckillop@kernda.org, jallen@kernda.org, nilackie@kern.org, nlackie@kernda.org, kmarshall@kernda.org, bstallings@kernda.org, deanna.hays@yolocounty.org, csherman@kernda.org, lgarver@kernda.org, rchoi@kernda.org, frits.vanderhoek@yolocounty.org, btaconi@kernda.org, gkohler@kernda.org, lfields@kernda.org, cmcnutt@kernda.org, czimmer@kernda.org, gsatriano@da.lacounty.gov, mariaramirez@hotmail.com, mramirez@da.lacounty.gov, mdaniels@da.lacounty.gov, kchun@da.lacounty.gov, jmorris@da.lacounty.gov, pcagney@da.lacounty.gov, sfrank6576@aol.com, sfrankland@da.lacounty.gov, bschirn@da.lacounty.gov, rsherwood@da.lacounty.gov, spresby@da.lacounty.gov, mbaxter@da.lacounty.gov, lwong@da.lacounty.gov, ayochelson@da.lacounty.gov, tgomez@da.lacounty.gov, cbuckley@da.lacounty.gov, vcole@da.lacounty.gov, srandolph@da.lacounty.gov, ldery@da.lacounty.gov, ymassry@da.lacounty.gov, gjennings@da.lacounty.gov, hchun@da.lacounty.gov, langer@da.lacounty.gov, shassett@da.lacounty.gov, smire@da.lacounty.gov, gwright@da.lacounty.gov, ljkessner@da.lacounty.gov, Jcallahan@da.lacounty.gov, chum@da.lacounty.gov, mchung@da.lacounty.gov, jmejia@da.lacounty.gov, mgarcia@da.lacounty.gov, jharlan@da.lacounty.gov, mpiantanida@da.lacounty.gov, gsantiso@da.lacounty.gov, pmusso@da.lacounty.gov, nhochman@da.lacounty.gov, Vaenille-rocha@da.lacounty.gov, dfelizzatto@da.lacounty.gov, mhanisee@da.lacounty.gov, skatz@da.lacounty.gov, Jbrooke-castano@da.lacounty.gov, wfrayeh@da.lacounty.gov, jfoster@da.lacounty.gov,


jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov, jtillson@da.lacounty.gov, Mariaramirez65@hotmail.com, ilee@da.lacounty.gov, Cris.buckley@uci.edu, jwalker@da.lacounty.gov, cfrey@da.lacounty.gov, vrodriguez@dalacounty.gov, mckinney@da.lacounty.gov, jmckinney@da.lacounty.gov, kcady@da.lacounty.gov, jdsilva@da.lacounty.gov, amattson@da.lacounty.gov, chrisbaker@da.lacounty.gov, cnakao@da.lacounty.gov, jjohnson@da.lacounty.gov, kuyen@da.lacounty.gov, pkouch@da.alacounty.gov, shulefeld@da.lacounty.gov, mbeaart@da.lacounty.gov, bjdodd@dalacounty.gov, mcarrillo@da.lacounty.gov, aaguilar@da.lacounty.gov, awise@da.lacounty.gov, lorquiola@da.lacounty.gov, Kevin.s@lacounty.gov, kswartz@da.lacounty.gov, akozma@da.lacounty.gov, aadams@da.lacounty.gov, trichardson@da.lacounty.gov, timothy@lacounty.gov, sradmore@dal.lacounty.gov, wrosenthal@da.lacounty.gov, wkarfiol@lacounty.gov, cnajera@lacourt.org, mwindham@lacourt.org, dilacqua@da.lacounty.gov, jonathan@lacounty.gov, jarmour@dalacounty.gov, felicia@lacounty.gov, forman@da.laounty.gov, ryann.jorban@stfrancislaw.com, rgerber@dalcounty.gov, jcohn@da.lacounty.gov, julia@lacounty.gov, hjackson@da.lacounty.gov, sunita.popal@pepperdine.edu, spopal@da.lacounty.gov, dzygielbaum@da.lacounty.gov, mlewis@da.lacounty.gov, philglaviano@hotmail.com, pglaviano@da.lacounty.gov, thomaskrag@proton.me, tkrag@da.lacounty.gov, klynch@da.lacounty.gov, smrakich@da.lacounty.gov, cgaines@da.lacounty.gov, menomoto@da.lacounty.gov, cchaiyar@da.lacounty.gov, rdooley@da.lacounty.gov, glewin@da.lacounty.gov, ddelimon@gmail.com, mhaidar@da.lacounty.gov, thooks@da.lacounty.gov, wllanos@da.lacounty.gov, scarney@da.lacounty.gov, dwright@da.lacounty.gov, lholtz@da.lacounty.gov, dmartinez@da.lacounty.gov, dwooden@da.lacounty.gov, jgonzalez@da.lacounty.gov, dsyn@da.lacounty.gov, rchang@da.lacounty.gov, ncooper@da.lacounty.gov, akarkanen@da.lacounty.gov, khalligan@da.lacounty.gov, ralarcon@da.lacounty.gov, sstodel@da.lacounty.gov, dbarkhurst@da.lacounty.gov, tdecastro@da.lacounty.gov, dchau@da.lacounty.gov, vlittle@da.lacounty.gov, nflood@da.lacounty.gov, rrabbani@da.lacounty.gov, tmokayef@da.clacounty.gov, rrose@da.lacounty.gov, chenry@da.lacounty.gov, fsantoro@da.lacounty.gov, kstpierre@da.lacounty.gov, johnlwn@gmail.com, brian.jones@sen.ca.gov, erikandannaapperson@hotmail.com, dbengstib@da.lacounty.gov, Ying.sun@cdcr.ca.gov, pghaderi@counsel.lacounty.gov, rsaldana@counsel.lacounty.gov, ndavis-tinkham@counsel.lacounty.gov, tink4d1@gmail.com, brind0313@gmail.com, darrellltinkham@yahoo.com, ltolani@counsel.lacounty.gov, lester.tolnai@yahoo.com, rclester.tolnai71@yahoo.com, pmarshall@counsel.lacounty.gov, Adrienne Byers <abyers@counsel.lacounty.gov>, rcartwright@counsel.lacounty.gov, rwong@counsel.lacounty.gov, tfaughnan@counsel.lacounty.gov, tomfaughnan66@gmail.com, Jennifer <JLehman@counsel.lacounty.gov>, kmiles@counsel.lacounty.gov, rbaeza@counsel.lacounty.gov, rchastang@counsel.lacounty.gov, lcampo@counsel.lacounty.gov, msimon@counsel.lacounty.gov, emiller@counsel.lacounty.gov, elizabeth_dumais@yahoo.com, kaigrant19@yahoo.com, pmomon@yahoo.com, pbollinger@counsel.lacounty.gov, First District <firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>, secondistrict@bos.la.county.gov, "holly4assembly@gmail.com" <holly4assembly@gmail.com>, hmitchell@yahoo.com, hjmitchel@aol.com, Cindy <cchen@bos.lacounty.gov>, nadtinkham@gmail.com, holly@hollyjmitchell.com, rfleming-peters@counsel.lacounty.gov, Margaret Carter <mcarter@counsel.lacounty.gov>, njenkins@lacounty.gov, njenkins@counsel.lacounty.gov, jgonzalez@counsel.lacounty.gov, lowt@metro.net, Tlow77@gmail.com, ryanthurlow@yahoo.com, tlow@counsel.lacounty.gov, tina@jmoilco.com, tlow7@yahoo.com, econard@lacounty.gov, econard@counsel.lacounty.gov, andria.seo@disabilityrightsca.org, schan@counsel.lacounty.gov, eggerse@metro.net, eggers@counsel.lacounty.gov, mrolon@counsel.lacounty.gov, mirder@hotmail.com, doug@homealert.com, Alexandra Zuiderweg <AZuiderweg@counsel.lacounty.gov>, mruben@counsel.lacounty.gov, Caitlin Taylor <ctaylor@counsel.lacounty.gov>, "Holly J. Mitchell" <HollyJMitchell@bos.lacounty.gov>, Lindsey.P.Horvath@gmail.com, kmuir@counsel.lacounty.gov, CCRCMFLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCCILitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCTFLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCMCLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCORLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCIMLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, FCORTEZ@cdcr.gov, Jeff.macomber@cdcr.ca.gov, Jennifer.barretto@cdcr.ca.gov, Tammy.foss@cdcr.ca.gov, Diana.toche@cdcr.ca.gov, albert.lundeen@cdcr.ca.gov, Jennifer.neil@cdcr.ca.gov, Sarah.larson@cdcr.ca.gov, Ron.broomfield@cdcr.ca.gov, Amy.casias@cdcr.ca.gov, Jason.johnson@cdcr.ca.gov, Chris.chambers@cdcr.ca.gov, Stacy.lopez@cdcr.ca.gov, Niki.dhillon@cdcr.ca.gov, Jennifer.benavidez@cdcr.ca.gov, heather.bowlds@cdcr.ca.gov, Jared.lozano@cdcr.ca.gov, Derrick.marion@cdcr.ca.gov, David.chriss@cdcr.ca.gov, Sarina.calderon@cdcr.ca.gov, "elizabeth.hom@calbar.ca.gov" <elizabeth.hom@calbar.ca.gov>, johnna.sack@calbar.ca.gov, rocio.avalos@calbar.ca.gov, kristina.ramos@calbar.ca.gov, collin.grant@calbar.ca.gov, louisa.ayrapetyan@calbar.ca.gov, jennifer.stalvey@calbar.ca.gov, ken.nourse@calbar.ca.gov, summer.shelton@calbar.ca.gov, kirsten.galler@calbar.ca.gov, doan.nguyen@calbar.ca.gov, audrey.ching@calbar.ca.gov, laurie.gondreau@calbar.ca.gov, yun.xiang@calbar.ca.gov, mark@fresnocriminallawyer.com, abrahim.bagheri@calbar.ca.gov, carol.kiyotoki@calbar.ca.gov, andrew.vasicek@calbar.ca.gov, mayte.diaz@calbar.ca.gov, duncan.carling@calbar.ca.gov, chelsey.smith@calbar.ca.gov, suzanne.grandt@calbar.ca.gov, gerri.smith@calbar.ca.gov, peter.klivans@calbar.ca.gov, cindy.chan@calbar.ca.gov, teresa.ruano@calbar.ca.gov, patrice.vallier-glass@calbar.ca.gov, naji.khatib@calbar.ca.gov, awndrea.yeboah@calbar.ca.gov, christina.doell@calbar.ca.gov, enrique.zuniga@calbar.ca.gov, kimberly.anderson@calbar.ca.gov, brandon.stallings@calbar.ca.gov, Jose.cisneros@gmail.com, Jose.cisneros@sf.gov, pbarahona@yli.org, Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>, jmerchan@wdacs.lacounty.gov, info@wdacs.lacounty.gov, Arogant Hollywood <arogantmusicinc@gmail.com>, Alison Fairchild <fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com>, Arogant Hollywood <causeofaction43@gmail.com>, blacklawgenius@gmail.com, Alison Fairchild <alisonhfairchild@gmail.com>, Robert Luna <rluna@lasd.org>, rluna@lacounty.gov, atardy@lacounty.org,

jtorres@lasd.org, saloma@lacounty.org, llecrivain@lasd.org, bchase@lacounty.gov, rlewis@lacounty.gov, llecrivain@lacounty.org, jmeza@lasd.org, ned.harlan@doj.ca.gov, nishdeep.singh@doj.ca.gov, robert.bonta@doj.ca.gov, OPSChiefsOffice@doj.ca.gov, peter.halloran@doj.ca.gov, amyhhalloran@yahoo.com, peterhalloran@sbcglobal.net, alisha.carlile@doj.ca.gov, snpdragn@aol.com, acarlile@alum.wellesley.edu, concertina9@yahoo.com, alishastephen@yahoo.com.au, alehr17@u.washington.edu, girlygirl8384@aol.com, akeened@yahoo.com, lish34@hotmail.com, piccbodin@hotmail.com, lachapell_a@yahoo.com, alishaoku@yahoo.com, alisha.mai@gmail.com, alisha.marks@rogers.com, vincent.bonotto@doj.ca.gov, vbonotto@hotmail.com, glenn.pruden@doj.ca.gov, eyeless77@hotmail.com, daniel.olivas@doj.ca.gov, giam.nguyen@doj.ca.gov, chad.stegeman@doj.ca.gov, stephen.lew@doj.ca.gov, christopher.findley@doj.ca.gov, dennis.beck@doj.ca.gov, darrell.spence@doj.ca.gov, maggy.krell@doj.ca.gov, Elaine.tong@doj.ca.gov, hannah.calacsan@doj.ca.gov, mo.park@doj.ca.gov, dbuthler@lasuperiorcourt.org, dslayton@lasuperiorcourt.org, sclover@lasuperiorcourt.org, jmoses@lasuperiorcourt.org, ppenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, tpenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, chase.penrose@gmail.com, mackenzienicole@gmail.com, trishpenrose@att.net, amber.barnett@jud.ca.go, john.yee@jud.ca.gov, jenniejasperson@gmail.com, kelton.jasperson@gmail.com, cjasperson2@mindspring.com, judy.jasperson@att.net, randie.chance@doj.ca.gov, gavin.newsom@gov.ca.gov, gkennedy@alhambrapd.org, EWakabayashi@da.lacounty.gov, bdodd@da.lacounty.gov, hforman@da.lacounty.gov, ttokat@da.lacounty.gov, tmokayf@da.lacounty.gov, sradmore@da.lacounty.gov, andrade@earthlink.net, rgerber@da.lacounty.gov, vrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov, jlewin@da.lacounty.gov, atardy@lasd.org, dtran@alhambrapd.org, secondistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, dbengston@da.lacounty.gov, jragusa@alhambrafire.org, rembrahim@da.lacounty.gov, "rishnidanos516@gmail.com" <rishnidanos516@gmail.com>, prentice.hill@cdcr.ca.gov, jargusa@alhambrafire.org

KING AROGANT v. racist white devil nathan joseph hochman, et al [ANOTHER LEGAL WARNING! YOU RACIST WHITE AND LATIN DEVILS ARE GOING TO ALL GET SUED!) SEE NOW ATTACHED YOUTUBE VIDEO RECORDING THAT PROVES BY CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE THAT RACIALLY BIASED AND RACIALLY ANIMUS DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEYS RECKLESSLY CHARGED KING AROGANT FOR THREE CRIMES WITHOUT A SINGLE PIECE OF EVIDENCE!

STRAIGHT UP NATHAN JOSEPH! I HATE EVERY LAST ONE OF YOU RACIST, LAZY, LYING, AND LOSER CRIMINAL PROSECUTORS AND MAKE EVERY SINGLE ONE OF YOU, INCLUDING MARK WINDHAM, HAVE A SLOW AND PAINFUL DEATH FROM CANCER!

THIS WILL SOON BE YOU, NATHAN JOSEPH HOCHMAN, YOU WHITE DEVIL!



Case 2:24-cv-03351-DAD-CSK Document 2 Filed 12/03/24 Page 1 of 3

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Arogant Hollywood ,

CASE NO: 2:24-CV-03351-DAD-CSK

VS.

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL CASE

Jeffrey A. Macomber , et al. ,

TO: **Ashley Albiento, Jennifer Barretto, Dennis L. Beck, Jr, Jennifer Benavidez, Robert Andres Bonta, Ronald Broomfield, Elizabeth Byers, Christo Chambers, Yingchun Chen, Tammatha Foss, George Gascon, Peter Dwight Halloran, Jason D. Johnson, Cheryl Lyan Kaylor, Leo Ka Fong Lo, Jeffrey A. Macomber, Brian McGee, Bryce Miller, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson**

Defendant's Address: Racist WHITE DEVIL
Nathan Joseph Hochman
211 West Temple Street
Los Angeles , CA 90012

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to serve on:


Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106

an answer to the complaint which is served on you with this summons, **within 21 days after service of this summons on you**, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be taken against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. Any answer that you serve on the parties to this action must be filed with the Clerk of this Court within a reasonable period of time after service.

KEITH HOLLAND

F@#$ YOU AND THAT STUPID JUDICIAL OFFICER MARK WINDHAM. YOU CAN LOCK ME UP ALL YOU WANT, BUT YOU WILL ALL GET SUED AND YOU **WILL LOSE YOUR CASE, STUPID! LIKE YOU ALWAYS LOSE YOUR CASES, STUPID LOSERS! YOU DO REALIZE YOU HAVE LOST OVER 90% OF THE CASES YOU FILED AGAINST ME SINCE 2021! FOOLS! THUS, YOUR STUPID AND INCOMPETENT CRIMINAL PROSECUTORS HAVE ABOUT A TEN PERCENT CHANCE OF CONVICTING. YOU HAVE TO CHEAT TO WIN GA114055!**

OH, BY THE WAY, WHITE DEVIL NATHAN JOSEPH HOCHMAN AND ALL YOUR SOON-TO-BE SUED DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEYS. AROGANT PLAYBOY HOLLYWOOD'S MUSIC HAS BEEN DOING WELL SINCE HERELEASED A COVER SONG ON MARCH 28, 2025. THUS, YOU WILL BE SUED ADDITIONALLY FOR DERAILING AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S MUSIC CAREER AND DEFAMING HIS SOON-TO-BE FAMOUS NAME FOOLS! WHEN KING AROGANT BECOMES FAMOUS HE IS GOING TO EXPOSE YOUR ENTIRE DA OFFICE AND MAKE SURE HIS KRAZY AND PISSED OFF KING AROGANT FANS KNOW EXACTLY WHERE YOU ALL LIVE AND WORK! KING AROGANT WILL MAKE DOCUMENTARIES, TELEVISION SHOWS, AND FILMS TO EXPOSE YOU FOOLS, TARNISH YOUR DA LEGACY, AND EMBARRASS YOUR FAMILIES! HIS WORD!



SEE BELOW YOUTUBE VIDEO RECORDING PROVING BY CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE RACIALLY BIAS AND RACIALLY ANIMUS DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEYS FILED BOGUS CRIMINAL CHARGES AGAINST KING AROGANT WITHOUT A SINGLE PIECE OF EVIDENCE!

https://youtu.be/SdPpaoe9QTo?si=nyjjY9HyFQvCwF2k

ALL BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG ELECTRONIC MAIL EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE ATTACHED HERETO BELOW AS A GOOGLE DRIVE ATTACHMENT FILE!



AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq.
1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD.
PASADENA, CA 91106
Mobile: 206.471.1344
aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted
Spirit of Esquire
BLACK TRADE KING
FUTURE KING OF ENTERTAINMENT
BLACK DERIVATIVES KING



- Gmail - OFFICIAL COMPLAINT OF ALISON HEL…
- Gmail - people v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA1…
- Gmail - people v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA1…
- NOVEMBER 28, 2023 EMAIL THAT WAS NEVE…
- SHORT VERSION Gmail - people v. AROGANT …
- SHORT VERSION NOVEMBER 28, 2023 EMAIL …



Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com> Thu, May 8, 2025 at 8:10 PM
To: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com



Address not found

Your message wasn't delivered to **forman@da.laounty.gov** because the domain da.laounty.gov couldn't be found. Check for typos or unnecessary spaces and try again.

LEARN MORE

The response was:

```
DNS Error: DNS type 'mx' lookup of da.laounty.gov responded with code NXDOMAIN Domain name not found: da.laounty.gov For more information, go to https://support.google.com/mail/?p=BadRcptDomain
```

Final-Recipient: rfc822; forman@da.laounty.gov
Action: failed
Status: 5.1.2
Diagnostic-Code: smtp; DNS Error: DNS type 'mx' lookup of da.laounty.gov responded with code NXDOMAIN Domain name not found: da.laounty.gov For more information, go to https://support.google.com/mail/?p=BadRcptDomain
Last-Attempt-Date: Thu, 08 May 2025 20:10:06 -0700 (PDT)

noname
17K

Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com> Thu, May 8, 2025 at 8:10 PM
To: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com



Address not found

Your message wasn't delivered to **dtran@slhsmbrapd.org** because the domain slhsmbrapd.org couldn't be found. Check for typos or unnecessary spaces and try again.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq.
1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD.
PASADENA, CA 91106
Mobile: 206.471.1344
arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted
Spirit of Esquire

people of the state of california, et al v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD

Case No. . 25CJCM02162-01

Exhibit 9 is a true and correct copy of AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S electronic mail Gmail message he sent to numerous County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutors, California Department of Justice attorneys, and County of Los Angles Office County Counsel attorneys on May 9, 2025, at 5:39 PM.

Exhibit 9 proves by clear and convincing evidence that Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators all knew with 100% certainty and were fully aware that County of Los

---

EXHIBIT 9

Angeles District Attorney's Office deputy district attorney Brian MARK Rosenberg willfully, recklessly, maliciously, carelessly, wantonly, deliberately, knowingly, and callously violated California Penal Code § 148.5, California Government Code § 6200, and 18 United States Code § 242 by fabricating lies and making intentionally false statements to rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang that AROGANT HOLLYWOOD sent him electronic mail messages on November 28, 2023, February 13. 2024, and June 8, 2024.

Exhibit 9 proves by clear and convincing evidence that Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators all knew with 100% certainty and were fully aware that rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, carelessly, deliberately, knowingly, and callously created and authored a false police report that fabricated lies and made intentionally false statements that a copy of Brian MARK Rosenberg's brosenberg@da.lacounty.gov June 8, 2024 at 8:34 AM. electronic mail message was attached to the end of his city of Alhambra crime report.

Exhibit 9 proves by clear and convincing evidence that Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators all knew with 100% certainty and were fully aware that RACIST and BIASED County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutors willfully, recklessly, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, deliberately, maliciously, and callously filed criminal prosecutors filed frivolous counts 1, 2, and 3 without a single piece of evidence and entirely without probable cause.

Exhibit 9 proves by clear and convincing evidence that Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators all knew with 100% certainty and were fully aware that RACIST and BIASED County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutors willfully, recklessly, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, deliberately, maliciously, and callously violated State Bar of California Rules of Professional Conduct Rules 3.1, 3.3, and 3.4; California Business and Professions Code § 6068, and American Bar Association Models Rules of Professional Conduct

---

EXHIBIT 9

Rules 3.1, 3.3., and 3.4 by filing frivolous counts 1, 2, and 3 without a single piece of evidence and entirely without probable cause.

Exhibit 9 proves by clear and convincing evidence that there was no probable cause or leave basis for *Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators to utilize their under color of law abuse of judicial process to request that judicial officer MARK WINDHAM find AROGANT HOLLYWOOD in violation of his conditions of release after Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators were all electronically served clear and convincing evidence that AROGANT HOLLYWOOD was factually innocent of Counts 3, 4, and 5 of their frivolous and RACIST misdemeanor criminal complaint 25CJCM02162-01. And instead of following the law under State Bar of California Rules of Professional Conduct Rules 3.1, 3.3, and 3.4; California Business and Professions Code § 6068, and American Bar Association Models Rules of Professional Conduct Rules 3.1, 3.3., and 3.4 by dismissing counts 3, 4, and 5 on their own criminal motion but they all instead chose to commit reckless under color of law deceit by willfully, recklessly, maliciously, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, deliberately, and callously failing to inform, failing to disclose, and concealing from, and hiding from a Superior Court of California judicial officer that they were all in possession of entirely exculpatory evidence that proved AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S factual innocence by clear and convincing evidence.*

I declare, under penalty of perjury, under the laws and Constitution of California and the United States, that every word, sentence, paragraph, and page of the foregoing is true and accurate.

EXHIBIT 9

DATE: May 10, 2025

BY: Arogant Hollywood

Arogant Hollywood, *Esq.*
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106
Mobile: (206) 471-1344
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
Spirit of Esquire

King Arogant

EXHIBIT 9

Gmail

Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. WHITE DEVIL nathan joseph hochman, et al (SO WHAT I LOST MY BAIL NATHAN JOSEPH, KING AROGANT HAS CRACKED YOUR CASE, SEE YOU IN FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT SOON FOOLS!


98 messages


Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com> Fri, May 9, 2025 at 5:39 PM
To: tseki@alhambrapd.org, Area1@alhambrapd.org, gsepncer@alhambrapd.org, area2@alhambrapd.org, rsoriano@alhambrapd.org, area3@alhambrapd.org, rcastillo@alhambrapd.org, area4@alhambrapd.org, klaing@alhambrapd.org, dfuentes@alhambrapd.org, lgarcia@alhambraca.gov, gdiaz@alhambrapd.org, rrongavilla@alhambrapd.org, dtran@slhsmbrapd.org, mlee@alhambrapd.org, hreyes@alhambrapd.org, bchung@alhambrapd.org, luwan@cityofalhambra.org, klee@alhambraca.gov, rmaza@cityofalhambra.org, jmaloney@cityofalhambra.org, maloney@smmc.ca.gov, nwang@cityofalhambra.org, aandradestadler@gmail.com, adele.stadler@gmail.com, joestadler@ladwp.com, andrade@eaterhlink.net, aandradestadler@yahoo.com, jmontes@bwslaw.com, CABBOTT@bwslaw.com, baffrunti@bwslaw.com, bguerrero@bwslaw.com, gaker@bwslaw.com, danneet@bwslaw.com, maustin@bwslaw.com, rbalchum@bwslaw.com, mtran@bwslaw.com, mbarrett@bwslaw.com, Jbalchum@bwslaw.com, dbazzano@bwslaw.com, kberger@bwslaw.com, mbernacchi@bwslaw.com, dakemon@da.lacounty.gov, cthorpe@da.lacounty.gov, ejerez@da.lacounty.gov, dbrosky@da.lacounty.gov, blunsford@da.lacounty.gov, pkouch@da.lacounty.gov, jtaksar@da.lacounty.gov, ron.goodreau@yahoo.com, ronaldgoodreau@aol.com, estreet@da.lacounty.gov, mlee@da.lacounty.gov, rdematti@da.lacounty.gov, jonchung@da.lacounty.gov, alin@da.lacounty.gov, mmichelena@da.lacounty.gov, srebelis@da.lacounty.gov, jlink@da.lacounty.gov, mvellakk@da.lacounty.gov, jmccomb@smcgov.org, dmccloskey@smcgov.org, bmccartt@da.lacounty.gov, gdameron@da.lacounty.gov, hharpham@da.lacounty.gov, duckett.justice@gmail.com, kduckett@da.lacounty.gov, jfrost@smcgov.org, jgarden@da.lacounty.gov, nhearon@da.lacounty.gov, tpovah@smcgov.org, hborden@da.lacounty.gov, gmarin@da.lacounty.gov, ibaly@da.lacounty.gov, rarias@da.lacounty.gov, Vaenlle-rocha@da.lacounty.gov, mmurray@da.lacounty.gov, jcastano@da.lacounty.gov, kmeyer@da.lacounty.gov, mmclaughlin@smcgov.org, apellman@da.lacounty.gov, tpham@da.lacounty.gov, inightengale@da.lacounty.gov, jniedermann@da.lacounty.gov, dhoffman@da.lacounty.gov, ewakabay@da.lacounty, grai@da.lacounty.gov, bobchen@da.lacounty.gov, bchen@da.lacounty.gov, fdunnick@da.lacounty.gov, Lisette.suder@edcda.us, calbanese@da.lacounty.gov, jcolello@da.lacounty.gov, eratinof@da.lacounty.gov, eratinoff@da.lacounty.gov, jmcgrath@da.lacounty.gov, lavila@da.lacounty.gov, kenvonh@msn.com, kvonhelmolt@da.lacounty.gov, echon@da.lacounty.gov, Jwilson@smcgov.org, jchung@da.lacounty.gov, cfredgren@da.lacounty.gov, kta@da.lacounty.gov, sungchung@da.lacounty.gov, schung@da.lacounty.gov, mbean@da.lacounty.gov, twhitney@da.lacounty.gov, hyun@da.lacounty.gov, kabourezk@da.lacounty.gov, ialvarez@da.lacounty.gov, Michael.pizzuti@edcda.us, nhahn@smcgov.org, Cmeaher-walker@da.lacounty.gov, cwalker@da.lacounty.gov, cmeagher@da.lacounty.gov, fyoung@da.lacounty.gov, afitzgerald@smcgov.org, gapt@da.lacounty.gov, Christine.vonhelmolt@csulb.edu, cvonhelmolt@da.lacounty.gov, knishita@da.lacounty.gov, snavas@da.lacounty.gov, fnagle@kernda.org, carolyn.palumbo@yolocounty.org, jmarcano@kernda.org, gpearl@kernda.org, dmckillop@kernda.org, jallen@kernda.org, nilackie@kern.org, nlackie@kernda.org, kmarshall@kernda.org, bstallings@kernda.org, deanna.hays@yolocounty.org, csherman@kernda.org, lgarver@kernda.org, rchoi@kernda.org, frits.vanderhoek@yolocounty.org, btaconi@kernda.org, gkohler@kernda.org, lfields@kernda.org, cmcnutt@kernda.org, czimmer@kernda.org, gsatriano@da.lacounty.gov, mariaramirez@hotmail.com, mramirez@da.lacounty.gov, mdaniels@da.lacounty.gov, kchun@da.lacounty.gov, jmorris@da.lacounty.gov, pcagney@da.lacounty.gov, sfrank6576@aol.com, sfrankland@da.lacounty.gov, bschirn@da.lacounty.gov, rsherwood@da.lacounty.gov, spresby@da.lacounty.gov, mbaxter@da.lacounty.gov, lwong@da.lacounty.gov, ayochelson@da.lacounty.gov, tgomez@da.lacounty.gov, cbuckley@da.lacounty.gov, vcole@da.lacounty.gov, srandolph@da.lacounty.gov, ldery@da.lacounty.gov, ymassry@da.lacounty.gov, gjennings@da.lacounty.gov, hchun@da.lacounty.gov, langer@da.lacounty.gov, shassett@da.lacounty.gov, smire@da.lacounty.gov, gwright@da.lacounty.gov, ljkessner@da.lacounty.gov, Jcallahan@da.lacounty.gov, chum@da.lacounty.gov, mchung@da.lacounty.gov, jmejia@da.lacounty.gov, mgarcia@da.lacounty.gov, jharlan@da.lacounty.gov, mpiantanida@da.lacounty.gov, gsantiso@da.lacounty.gov, pmusso@da.lacounty.gov, nhochman@da.lacounty.gov, Vaenille-rocha@da.lacounty.gov, dfelizzatto@da.lacounty.gov, mhanisee@da.lacounty.gov, skatz@da.lacounty.gov, Jbrooke-castano@da.lacounty.gov, wfrayeh@da.lacounty.gov, jfoster@da.lacounty.gov, jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov, jtillson@da.lacounty.gov, Mariaramirez65@hotmail.com, ilee@da.lacounty.gov, Cris.buckley@uci.edu, jwalker@da.lacounty.gov, cfrey@da.lacounty.gov, vrodriguez@dalacounty.gov, mckinney@da.lacounty.gov, jmckinney@da.lacounty.gov, kcady@da.lacounty.gov, jdsilva@da.lacounty.gov, amattson@da.lacounty.gov, chrisbaker@da.lacounty.gov, cnakao@da.lacounty.gov, jjohnson@da.lacounty.gov, kuyen@da.lacounty.gov,

pkouch@da.alacounty.gov, shulefeld@da.lacounty.gov, mbeaart@da.lacounty.gov, bjdodd@dalacounty.gov, mcarrillo@da.lacounty.gov, aaguilar@da.lacounty.gov, awise@da.lacounty.gov, lorquiola@da.lacounty.gov, Kevin.s@lacounty.gov, kswartz@da.lacounty.gov, akozma@da.lacounty.gov, aadams@da.lacounty.gov, trichardson@da.lacounty.gov, timothy@lacounty.gov, sradmore@dal.lacounty.gov, wrosenthal@da.lacounty.gov, wkarfiol@lacounty.gov, cnajera@lacourt.org, mwindham@lacourt.org, dilacqua@da.lacounty.gov, jonathan@lacounty.gov, jarmour@dalacounty.gov, felicia@lacounty.gov, forman@da.laounty.gov, ryann.jorban@stfrancislaw.com, rgerber@dalcounty.gov, jcohn@da.lacounty.gov, julia@lacounty.gov, hjackson@da.lacounty.gov, sunita.popal@pepperdine.edu, spopal@da.lacounty.gov, dzygielbaum@da.lacounty.gov, mlewis@da.lacounty.gov, philglaviano@hotmail.com, pglaviano@da.lacounty.gov, thomaskrag@proton.me, tkrag@da.lacounty.gov, klynch@da.lacounty.gov, smrakich@da.lacounty.gov, cgaines@da.lacounty.gov, menomoto@da.lacounty.gov, cchaiyar@da.lacounty.gov, rdooley@da.lacounty.gov, glewin@da.lacounty.gov, ddelimon@gmail.com, mhaidar@da.lacounty.gov, thooks@da.lacounty.gov, wllanos@da.lacounty.gov, scarney@da.lacounty.gov, dwright@da.lacounty.gov, lholtz@da.lacounty.gov, dmartinez@da.lacounty.gov, dwooden@da.lacounty.gov, jgonzalez@da.lacounty.gov, dsyn@da.lacounty.gov, rchang@da.lacounty.gov, ncooper@da.lacounty.gov, akarkanen@da.lacounty.gov, khalligan@da.lacounty.gov, ralarcon@da.lacounty.gov, sstodel@da.lacounty.gov, dbarkhurst@da.lacounty.gov, tdecastro@da.lacounty.gov, dchau@da.lacounty.gov, vlittle@da.lacounty.gov, nflood@da.lacounty.gov, rrabbani@da.lacounty.gov, tmokayef@da.clacounty.gov, rrose@da.lacounty.gov, chenry@da.lacounty.gov, fsantoro@da.lacounty.gov, kstpierre@da.lacounty.gov, johnlwn@gmail.com, brian.jones@sen.ca.gov, erikandannaapperson@hotmail.com, dbengstib@da.lacounty.gov, Ying.sun@cdcr.ca.gov, pghaderi@counsel.lacounty.gov, rsaldana@counsel.lacounty.gov, ndavis-tinkham@counsel.lacounty.gov, tink4d1@gmail.com, brind0313@gmail.com, darrelltinkham@yahoo.com, ltolani@counsel.lacounty.gov, lester.tolnai@yahoo.com, rclester.tolnai71@yahoo.com, pmarshall@counsel.lacounty.gov, Adrienne Byers <abyers@counsel.lacounty.gov>, rcartwright@counsel.lacounty.gov, rwong@counsel.lacounty.gov, tfaughnan@counsel.lacounty.gov, tomfaughnan66@gmail.com, Jennifer <JLehman@counsel.lacounty.gov>, kmiles@counsel.lacounty.gov, rbaeza@counsel.lacounty.gov, rchastang@counsel.lacounty.gov, lcampo@counsel.lacounty.gov, msimon@counsel.lacounty.gov, emiller@counsel.lacounty.gov, elizabeth_dumais@yahoo.com, kaigrant19@yahoo.com, pmomon@yahoo.com, pbollinger@counsel.lacounty.gov, First District <firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>, secondistrict@bos.la.county.gov, "holly4assembly@gmail.com" <holly4assembly@gmail.com>, hmitchell@yahoo.com, hjmitchel@aol.com, Cindy <cchen@bos.lacounty.gov>, nadtinkham@gmail.com, holly@hollyjmitchell.com, rfleming-peters@counsel.lacounty.gov, Margaret Carter <mcarter@counsel.lacounty.gov>, njenkins@lacounty.gov, njenkins@counsel.lacounty.gov, jgonzalez@counsel.lacounty.gov, lowt@metro.net, Tlow77@gmail.com, ryanthurlow@yahoo.com, tlow@counsel.lacounty.gov, tina@jmoilco.com, tlow7@yahoo.com, econard@lacounty.gov, econard@counsel.lacounty.gov, andria.seo@disabilityrightsca.org, schan@counsel.lacounty.gov, eggerse@metro.net, eggers@counsel.lacounty.gov, mrolon@counsel.lacounty.gov, mirder@hotmail.com, doug@homealert.com, Alexandra Zuiderweg <AZuiderweg@counsel.lacounty.gov>, mruben@counsel.lacounty.gov, Caitlin Taylor <ctaylor@counsel.lacounty.gov>, "Holly J. Mitchell" <HollyJMitchell@bos.lacounty.gov>, Lindsey.P.Horvath@gmail.com, kmuir@counsel.lacounty.gov, CCRCMFLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCCILitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCTFLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCMCLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCORLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, CDCRCIMLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov, FCORTEZ@cdcr.gov, Jeff.macomber@cdcr.ca.gov, Jennifer.barretto@cdcr.ca.gov, Tammy.foss@cdcr.ca.gov, Diana.toche@cdcr.ca.gov, albert.lundeen@cdcr.ca.gov, Jennifer.neil@cdcr.ca.gov, Sarah.larson@cdcr.ca.gov, Ron.broomfield@cdcr.ca.gov, Amy.casias@cdcr.ca.gov, Jason.johnson@cdcr.ca.gov, Chris.chambers@cdcr.ca.gov, Stacy.lopez@cdcr.ca.gov, Niki.dhillon@cdcr.ca.gov, Jennifer.benavidez@cdcr.ca.gov, heather.bowlds@cdcr.ca.gov, Jared.lozano@cdcr.ca.gov, Derrick.marion@cdcr.ca.gov, David.chriss@cdcr.ca.gov, Sarina.calderon@cdcr.ca.gov, "elizabeth.hom@calbar.ca.gov" <elizabeth.hom@calbar.ca.gov>, johnna.sack@calbar.ca.gov, rocio.avalos@calbar.ca.gov, kristina.ramos@calbar.ca.gov, collin.grant@calbar.ca.gov, louisa.ayrapetyan@calbar.ca.gov, jennifer.stalvey@calbar.ca.gov, ken.nourse@calbar.ca.gov, summer.shelton@calbar.ca.gov, kirsten.galler@calbar.ca.gov, doan.nguyen@calbar.ca.gov, audrey.ching@calbar.ca.gov, laurie.gondreau@calbar.ca.gov, yun.xiang@calbar.ca.gov, mark@fresnocriminallawyer.com, abrahim.bagheri@calbar.ca.gov, carol.kiyotoki@calbar.ca.gov, andrew.vasicek@calbar.ca.gov, mayte.diaz@calbar.ca.gov, duncan.carling@calbar.ca.gov, chelsey.smith@calbar.ca.gov, suzanne.grandt@calbar.ca.gov, gerri.smith@calbar.ca.gov, peter.klivans@calbar.ca.gov, cindy.chan@calbar.ca.gov, teresa.ruano@calbar.ca.gov, patrice.vallier-glass@calbar.ca.gov, naji.khatib@calbar.ca.gov, awndrea.yeboah@calbar.ca.gov, christina.doell@calbar.ca.gov, enrique.zuniga@calbar.ca.gov, kimberly.anderson@calbar.ca.gov, brandon.stallings@calbar.ca.gov, Jose.cisneros@gmail.com, Jose.cisneros@sf.gov, pbarahona@yli.org, Arogant Hollywood <arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>, jmerchan@wdacs.lacounty.gov, info@wdacs.lacounty.gov, Arogant Hollywood <arogantmusicinc@gmail.com>, Alison Fairchild <fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com>, Arogant Hollywood <causeofaction43@gmail.com>, blacklawgenius@gmail.com, Alison Fairchild <alisonhfairchild@gmail.com>, Robert Luna <rluna@lasd.org>, rluna@lacounty.gov, atardy@lacounty.org, jtorres@lasd.org, saloma@lacounty.org, llecrivain@lasd.org, bchase@lacounty.gov, rlewis@lacounty.gov, llecrivain@lacounty.org, jmeza@lasd.org, ned.harlan@doj.ca.gov, nishdeep.singh@doj.ca.gov, robert.bonta@doj.ca.gov, OPSChiefsOffice@doj.ca.gov, peter.halloran@doj.ca.gov, amyhhalloran@yahoo.com, peterhalloran@sbcglobal.net, alisha.carlile@doj.ca.gov, snpdragn@aol.com, acarlile@alum.wellesley.edu, concertina9@yahoo.com,

alishastephen@yahoo.com.au, alehr17@u.washington.edu, girlygirl8384@aol.com, akeened@yahoo.com, lish34@hotmail.com, piccbodin@hotmail.com, lachapell_a@yahoo.com, alishaoku@yahoo.com, alisha.mai@gmail.com, alisha.marks@rogers.com, vincent.bonotto@doj.ca.gov, vbonotto@hotmail.com, glenn.pruden@doj.ca.gov, eyeless77@hotmail.com, daniel.olivas@doj.ca.gov, giam.nguyen@doj.ca.gov, chad.stegeman@doj.ca.gov, stephen.lew@doj.ca.gov, christopher.findley@doj.ca.gov, dennis.beck@doj.ca.gov, darrell.spence@doj.ca.gov, maggy.krell@doj.ca.gov, Elaine.tong@doj.ca.gov, hannah.calacsan@doj.ca.gov, mo.park@doj.ca.gov, dbuthler@lasuperiorcourt.org, dslayton@lasuperiorcourt.org, sclover@lasuperiorcourt.org, jmoses@lasuperiorcourt.org, ppenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, tpenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, chase.penrose@gmail.com, mackenzienicole@gmail.com, trishpenrose@att.net, amber.barnett@jud.ca.go, john.yee@jud.ca.gov, jenniejasperson@gmail.com, kelton.jasperson@gmail.com, cjasperson2@mindspring.com, judy.jasperson@att.net, randie.chance@doj.ca.gov, gavin.newsom@gov.ca.gov, gkennedy@alhambrapd.org, EWakabayashi@da.lacounty.gov, bdodd@da.lacounty.gov, hforman@da.lacounty.gov, ttokat@da.lacounty.gov, tmokayf@da.lacounty.gov, sradmore@da.lacounty.gov, andrade@earthlink.net, rgerber@da.lacounty.gov, vrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov, jlewin@da.lacounty.gov, atardy@lasd.org, dtran@alhambrapd.org, secondistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, dbengston@da.lacounty.gov, jragusa@alhambrafire.org, rembrahim@da.lacounty.gov, "rishnidanos516@gmail.com" <rishnidanos516@gmail.com>, prentice.hill@cdcr.ca.gov, jargusa@alhambrafire.org, jbinnquist@cityofalhambra.org, wkarfiol@da.lacounty.gov, "sdominguez@da.lacounty.gov" <sdominguez@da.lacounty.gov>, akarkanen@da.lacounty

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. WHITE DEVIL nathan joseph hochman, et al (SO WHAT I LOST MY BAIL NATHAN JOSEPH, KING AROGANT HAS CRACKED YOUR CASE, SEE YOU IN FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT SOON FOOLS!





Criminal Case Access

To ensure Criminal Case Access remains available to all court users throughout the business day, the Court is implementing reCAPTCHA verification to Criminal Case Access from 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. daily. This reCAPTCHA verification validates access for individual requests. reCAPTCHA verification will be disabled after hours during periods of low website traffic.

Case Information for 25CJCM02162-01

Print | New Search

Case Number	Defendant ID	Filing Date
25CJCM02162-01	01	4/21/2025
Arrest Date	**Filing Courthouse**	**Case Type**
4/17/2025	Clara Shortridge Foltz Criminal Justice Center	Misdemeanor
		Case Title
		The People of the State of California vs. Hollywood, Arogant


Charges | **Parties** | Proceedings | Bail | Sentencing | Events | Register of Actions

4 record(s). Type a keyword to filter.

Name	Party Type
The People of the State of California	Plaintiff
Deputy District Attorney	• Attorney for The People of the State of California • Deputy District Attorney
Willow Leah Rosenthal	• Attorney for The People of the State of California • Deputy District Attorney
Arogant Hollywood	Defendant

Privacy Statement | Disclaimer | Employment | ADA | Holidays | Comment on our Website

Copyright © 2025 Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles



ONLINE SERVICES

Criminal Case Access

To ensure Criminal Case Access remains available to all court users throughout the business day, the Court is implementing reCAPTCHA verification to Criminal Case Access from 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. daily. This reCAPTCHA verification validates access for individual requests. reCAPTCHA verification will be disabled after hours during periods of low website traffic.

Case Information for 25CJCM02162-01 Print New Search

Case Number 25CJCM02162-01	**Defendant ID** 01	**Filing Date** 4/21/2025
Arrest Date 4/17/2025	**Filing Courthouse** Clara Shortridge Foltz Criminal Justice Center	**Case Type** Misdemeanor
		Case Title The People of the State of California vs Hollywood, Arogant

Charges Parties Proceedings **Bail** Sentencing Events Register of Actions


Custody Status


Date	Type	Group Type
5/7/2025	Warrant Bail Set	By Warrant
5/1/2025	Remains Remanded	By Case
4/25/2025	Remains Remanded	By Case
4/25/2025	Remanded to Custody	By Case
4/21/2025	In Custody	By Case
4/21/2025	Remanded to Custody	By Case

Bonds Information

Bond Number	Type	Amount	Court Date	Company	Status
SV505465252	Surety Bond	$30,000.00	5/16/2025	Aladdin Bail Bonds	5/7/2025: Bond Forfeited

Privacy Statement | Disclaimer | Employment | ADA | Holidays | Comment on our Website Copyright © 2025 Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles


THE SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
Home
Online Services
Forms, Filings & Files
Self-Help
Divisions
Jury
General Info
ONLINE SERVICES
Criminal Case Access
To ensure Criminal Case Access remains available to all court users throughout the business day, the Court is implementing reCAPTCHA verification to Criminal Case Access from 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. daily. This reCAPTCHA verification validates access for individual requests. reCAPTCHA verification will be disabled after hours during periods of low website traffic.
Case Information for 25CJCM02162-01
Print
New Search
Case Number
25CJCM02162-01
Defendant ID
01
Filing Date
4/21/2025
Arrest Date
4/17/2025
Filing Courthouse
Clara Shortridge Foltz Criminal Justice Center
Case Type
Misdemeanor
Case Title
The People of the State of California vs. Hollywood, Arrogant
Charges
Parties
Proceedings
Bail
Sentencing
Events
Register of Actions
Sentencing
No Sentencing Information
Privacy Statement
Disclaimer
Employment
ADA
Holidays
Comment on our Website
Copyright © 2025 Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles



Criminal Case Access

To ensure Criminal Case Access remains available to all court users throughout the business day, the Court is implementing reCAPTCHA verification to Criminal Case Access from 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. daily. This reCAPTCHA verification validates access for individual requests; reCAPTCHA verification will be disabled after hours during periods of low website traffic.

Case Information for 25CJCM02162-01

Print | New Search

Case Number	Defendant ID	Filing Date
25CJCM02162-01	01	4/21/2025
Arrest Date	**Filing Courthouse**	**Case Type**
4/17/2025	Clara Shortridge Foltz Criminal Justice Center	Misdemeanor
		Case Title
		The People of the State of California vs. Hollywood, Arogant


Charges | Parties | Proceedings | Bail | Sentencing | **Events** | Register of Actions

The Events tab only displays search warrants and non guilty pleas. (See the Charges tab for guilty pleas)

1 record(s). Type a keyword to filter

Date	Event Type	Additional Information
4/21/2025	Plea of Not Guilty to Complaint	• Charge 001: PC602(o)-M Trespass: Refuse to Leave Property • Charge 002: PC166(a)(4)-M Criminal Contempt-Disobeying Court Order • Charge 003: PC166(a)(4)-M Criminal Contempt-Disobeying Court Order • Charge 004: PC166(a)(4)-M Criminal Contempt-Disobeying Court Order • Charge 005: PC653m(b)-M Annoying Repeated Calls

Privacy Statement | Disclaimer | Employment | ADA | Holidays | Comment on our Website Copyright © 2025 Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles



Criminal Case Access

To ensure Criminal Case Access remains available to all court users throughout the business day, the Court is implementing reCAPTCHA verification to Criminal Case Access from 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. daily. This reCAPTCHA verification validates access for individual requests. reCAPTCHA verification will be disabled after hours during periods of low website traffic.

Case Information for 25CJCM02162-01

Print | New Search

Case Number: 25CJCM02162-01
Defendant ID: 01
Filing Date: 4/21/2025
Arrest Date: 4/17/2025
Filing Courthouse: Clara Shortridge Foltz Criminal Justice Center
Case Type: Misdemeanor
Case Title: The People of the State of California vs. Hollywood, Arogant

Charges | Parties | Proceedings | Bail | Sentencing | Bonds | Register of Actions

The Register of Actions tab only contains past proceedings, non guilty pleas, and search warrants.

8 record(s). Type a keyword to filter.


Date	Description	Additional Information
5/7/2025	Further Proceedings - Held - Proceedings	(Clara Shortridge Foltz Criminal Justice Center Dept. - 45)
5/1/2025	Pretrial Hearing - Held - Proceedings	(Clara Shortridge Foltz Criminal Justice Center Dept. - 46)
4/25/2025	Pretrial Hearing - Held - Continued by Court	(Clara Shortridge Foltz Criminal Justice Center Dept. - 46) Day 4 of 30. BKG 8999999
4/25/2025	Pretrial Hearing - Held - Proceedings	(Clara Shortridge Foltz Criminal Justice Center Dept. - 48)
4/21/2025	Arraignment and Plea - Held - Proceedings	(Clara Shortridge Foltz Criminal Justice Center Dept. - 48) • Charge 001: PC602(o)-M Trespass: Refuse to Leave Property • Charge 002: PC166(a)(4)-M

Privacy Statement | Disclaimer | Employment | ADA | Holidays | Comment on our Website | Copyright © 2025 Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles

IN FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT NATHAN JOSEPH HOCHMAN DO NOT TRY TO SAY THAT YOU DID NOT KNOW WHAT WAS GOING ON! JUST LOOK AT THE IMAGES ATTACHED ABOVE IN YOUR FRIVOLOUS WITHOUT ANY JURISDICTION CITY OF LOS ANGELES CASE NUMBER 25CJCM02162-01. I DO NOT CARE IF YOUR ATTORNEYS YOU PUT ON ME NATHAN JOSEPH HAVE BEEN ATTORNEYS FOR 40 YEARS. KING AROGANT IS A LAW LEGEND

AND THE BEST THERE EVER WAS! OH, AND KING AROGANT IS MORE INTELLIGENT THAN ALL YOUR ATTORNEYS. ROSENTHAL/KARIFIOL. THE LAW SCHOOL WILLOW ATTENDED IS NOT EVEN IN THE TOP 50 IN THE NATION, THUS HER JD IS WORTHLESS! IF KING AROGANT WENT TO LAW SCHOOL, HE WOULD ATTEND YALE, STANFORD, UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO, OR DUKE (ALL TOP FIVE LAW SCHOOLS IN THE UNITED STATES!)

I SENT YOU A GIFT, MR. WHITE DEVIL NATHAN JOSEPH HOCHMAN!



INSIDE IS A PAPER COPY OF ALL FOUR EMAILS BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG LIED HE RECEIVED FROM KING AROGANT ON NOVEMBER 28, 2023, FEBRUARY 13, 2024, AND JUNE 8, 2024! INSIDE IS A SANDISK 256 GB USB FLASH DRIVE THAT CONTAINS ON IT THE FOLLOWING:

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq.
1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD.
PASADENA, CA 91106
Mobile: 206.471.1344
aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted
Spirit of Esquire

people of the state of california, et al v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD

Case No. . 25CJCM02162-01

Exhibit 10 is a true and correct copy of AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S electronic mail Gmail message he sent to numerous County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutors, California Department of Justice attorneys, and County of Los Angles Office County Counsel attorneys on May 9, 2025, at 6:28 PM.

Exhibit 10 proves by clear and convincing evidence that Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators all knew with 100% certainty and were fully aware that County of Los

---

EXHIBIT 10

Angeles District Attorney's Office deputy district attorney Brian MARK Rosenberg willfully, recklessly, maliciously, carelessly, wantonly, deliberately, knowingly, and callously violated California Penal Code § 148.5, California Government Code § 6200, and 18 United States Code § 242 by fabricating lies and making intentionally false statements to rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang that AROGANT HOLLYWOOD sent him electronic mail messages on November 28, 2023, February 13. 2024, and June 8, 2024.

Exhibit 10 proves by clear and convincing evidence that Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators all knew with 100% certainty and were fully aware that rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, carelessly, deliberately, knowingly, and callously created and authored a false police report that fabricated lies and made intentionally false statements that a copy of Brian MARK Rosenberg's brosenberg@da.lacounty.gov June 8, 2024 at 8:34 AM. electronic mail message was attached to the end of his city of Alhambra crime report.

Exhibit 10 proves by clear and convincing evidence that Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators all knew with 100% certainty and were fully aware that RACIST and BIASED County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutors willfully, recklessly, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, deliberately, maliciously, and callously filed criminal prosecutors filed frivolous counts 1, 2, and 3 without a single piece of evidence and entirely without probable cause.

Exhibit 10 proves by clear and convincing evidence that Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators all knew with 100% certainty and were fully aware that RACIST and BIASED County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office criminal prosecutors willfully, recklessly, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, deliberately, maliciously, and callously violated State Bar of California Rules of Professional Conduct Rules 3.1, 3.3, and 3.4; California Business and Professions Code § 6068, and American Bar Association Models Rules of Professional Conduct

---

EXHIBIT 10

Rules 3.1, 3.3., and 3.4 by filing frivolous counts 1, 2, and 3 without a single piece of evidence and entirely without probable cause.

Exhibit 10 proves by clear and convincing evidence that there was no probable cause or leave basis for *Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators to utilize their under color of law abuse of judicial process to request that judicial officer MARK WINDHAM find AROGANT HOLLYWOOD in violation of his conditions of release after Nathan Joseph Hochman, Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexander Michael Karkanen, Scott Douglas Dominguez and numerous other County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office co-conspirators were all electronically served clear and convincing evidence that AROGANT HOLLYWOOD was factually innocent of Counts 3, 4, and 5 of their frivolous and RACIST misdemeanor criminal complaint 25CJCM02162-01. And instead of following the law under State Bar of California Rules of Professional Conduct Rules 3.1, 3.3, and 3.4; California Business and Professions Code § 6068, and American Bar Association Models Rules of Professional Conduct Rules 3.1, 3.3., and 3.4 by dismissing counts 3, 4, and 5 on their own criminal motion but they all instead chose to commit reckless under color of law deceit by willfully, recklessly, maliciously, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, deliberately, and callously failing to inform, failing to disclose, and concealing from, and hiding from a Superior Court of California judicial officer that they were all in possession of entirely exculpatory evidence that proved AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S factual innocence by clear and convincing evidence.*

I declare, under penalty of perjury, under the laws and Constitution of California and the United States, that every word, sentence, paragraph, and page of the foregoing is true and accurate.

EXHIBIT 10

DATE: May 10, 2025

BY: Arogant Hollywood

Arogant Hollywood, *Esq.*
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106
Mobile: (206) 471-1344
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
Spirit of Esquire

King Arogant

EXHIBIT 10


Gmail **Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>**

EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE SERVED UPON YOU ALL HEREIN (FRIVOLOUS CITY OF LOS ANGELES NAMED COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DA CASE NUMBER: 25CJCM02162-01


1 message

Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com> Fri, May 9, 2025 at 6:28 PM
To: wkarfiol@da.lacounty.gov, "sdominguez@da.lacounty.gov" <sdominguez@da.lacounty.gov>, akarkanen@da.lacounty.gov, nhochman@da.lacounty.gov, Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>, mmurray@da.lacounty.gov, jjohnson@da.lacounty.gov, cnakao@da.lacounty.gov, prentice.hill@cdcr.ca.gov, gkennedy@alhambrapd.org, cdonato@alhambrapd.org, Alison Fairchild <fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com>, Alison Fairchild <alisonhfairchild@gmail.com>

EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE SERVED UPON YOU ALL HEREIN (FRIVOLOUS CITY OF LOS ANGELES NAMED COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DA CASE NUMBER: 25CJCM02162-01



THIS WILL SOON BE YOU! YOU STUPID AND DUMB RACIST WHITE DEVIL WILLOW! SEE YOU ON MAY 12, 2025, WHEN YOUR FRIVOLOUS COUNTS 3, 4, AND 5 WILL BE DISMISSED!



Case 2:24-cv-03351-DAD-CSK Document 2 Filed 12/03/24 Page 1 of 3

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Arogant Hollywood , **CASE NO: 2:24-CV-03351-DAD-CSK**

vs. **SUMMONS IN A CIVIL CASE**

Jeffrey A. Macomber , et al. ,

TO: **Ashley Albiento, Jennifer Barretto, Dennis L. Beck, Jr, Jennifer Benavidez, Robert Andres Bonta, Ronald Broomfield, Elizabeth Byers, Christo Chambers, Yingchun Chen, Tammatha Foss, George Gascon, Peter Dwight Halloran, Jason D. Johnson, Cheryl Lynn Kaylor, Leo Ka Fong Lo, Jeffrey A. Macomber, Brian McGee, Bryce Miller, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson**

RACIST WHITE DEVIL

Defendant's Address: Willow Leah Rosenthal

210 West Temple Street

Suite 17th Floor

Los Angeles, CA 90012

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to serve on:

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106

an answer to the complaint which is served on you with this summons, **within 21 days after service of this summons on you**, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be taken against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. Any answer that you serve on the parties to this action must be filed with the Clerk of this Court within a reasonable period of time after service.

KEITH HOLLAND

SEE BELOW ATTACHED YOUTUBE VIDEO RECORDING PROVING BY CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE THAT RACIST AND BIASED COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES CRIMINAL PROSECUTOR BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG LIED THAT HE RECEIVED EMAILS FROM KING AROGANT ON NOVEMBER 28, 2023, AND FEBRUARY 13. 2024 AND JUNE 8, 2024!

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SdPpaoe9QTo&t=698s

COUNTS 3, 4, AND 5 ARE GOING TO BE DISMISSED STUPID! I WILL SEE YOU FOOLS BACK IN SUPERIOR COURT IN ALHAMBRA SUPERIOR COURT!

- Gmail - OFFICIAL COMPLAINT OF ALISON HELEN …
- Gmail - people v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA1140…
- Gmail - people v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA1140…
- NOVEMBER 28, 2023 EMAIL THAT WAS NEVER EM…
- SHORT VERSION Gmail - people v. AROGANT HOLL…
- SHORT VERSION NOVEMBER 28, 2023 EMAIL THA…



AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq.
1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD.
PASADENA, CA 91106
Mobile: 206.471.1344
arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted
Spirit of Esquire
BLACK TRADE KING
FUTURE KING OF ENTERTAINMENT
BLACK DERIVATIVES KING



King
Arogant

CONFORMED COPY
ORIGINAL FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles

SEP 03 2025

David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq.
1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD.
PASADENA, CA 91106
Mobile: 206.471.1344
arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted
Spirit of Esquire

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
CENTRAL DISTRICT, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
STANLEY SUPERIOR COURTHOUSE

brian mark rosenberg (SBN # 291501)
nathan joseph hochman (SBN # 139137)
alexander michael karkanen (SBN # 162377)
cynthia michiko nakao (SBN # 137510)
county of los angeles,

Petitioner(s),

v

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD,

WRONGFULLY PETITIONED

Respondent,

Case No.: 25STRO03574

LASC JUDGE: Biased & Incompetent Latrice Byrdsong
Ctrm: Dept. 25, Room 317
111 N. Hill Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

WRONGFULLY PETITIONED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S NOTICE OF MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL PURSUANT TO CALIFORNIA CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE SECTION 659; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS OF AUTHORITIES THEREOF

Date of Hearing: November 17, 2025
Time: 8:30 AM

NOTICE OF MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq.
1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD.
PASADENA, CA 91106
Mobile: 206.471.1344
aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted
Spirit of Esquire

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
CENTRAL DISTRICT, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
STANLEY SUPERIOR COURTHOUSE

---

brian mark rosenberg (SBN # 291501)
nathan joseph hochman (SBN # 139137)
alexander michael karkanen (SBN # 162377)
cynthia michiko nakao (SBN # 137510)
county of los angeles,

Petitioner(s),

v

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD,

WRONGFULLY PETITIONED

Respondent,

Case No.: 25STRO03574

LASC JUDGE: Biased & Incompetent Latrice Byrdsong
Ctrm: Dept. 25, Room 317
111 N. Hill Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

WRONGFULLY PETITIONED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S NOTICE OF MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL PURSUANT TO CALIFORNIA CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE SECTION 659; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS OF AUTHORITIES THEREOF

Date of Hearing: November 17, 2025
Time: 8:30 AM

NOTICE OF MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

TO THE FRAUDULENT & PERJURIOUS COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES & ALL ITS LYING AND CORRUPT ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

TO: LYING AND RACIST COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG (SBN # 291501)

TO: RACIST AND BIASED WHITE DEVIL COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY NATHAN JOSEPH HOCHMAN (SBN # 139137)

TO RACIST AND BIASED COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY DDA 5, ALEXANDER MICHAEL KARKANEN (SBN # 162377)

TO RACIST AND BIASED COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY DDA 5, CYNTHIA MICHIKO NAKAO (SBN # 137510)

TO RACIST, BIASED, UNETHICAL, CORRUPT, AND EVIL COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES EMPLOYED CIVIL ATTORNEY VANESSA ANN EVANGELISTA (SBN # 238474)

TO RACIST, BIASED, CORRUPT, AND EVIL WHITE DEVIL COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, MADAM CHAIR/MAYOR OF COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES KATHRYN BARGER

TO INCOMPETENT, BIASED, AND PROCEDURALLY UNFAIR AND SOON TO WRIT SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES JUDICIAL OFFICER LATRICE BYRDSONG.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN, pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 659 wrongfully petitioned Respondent AROGANT HOLLYWOOD **[hereinafter "KING AROGANT"]** intends to move this Superior Court for an ORDER vacating and setting aside the erroneous, unconstitutional, and downright incompetent August 19, 2025 court ruling and court judgment by partial, prejudice and unfair judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong to grant improper and perjurious County of Los Angeles's unconstitutional and illegal workplace violence civil harassment injunction.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN, pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 659 Respondent KING AROGANT intends to move this Superior Court to vacate and set aside the final judgment entered thereto on August 19, 2025, in favor of the improper Petitioner County of Los Angeles and against Respondent KING AROGANT, and to grant Respondent KING AROGANT a new trial for the void, illegal, illicit, unconstitutional, and erroneous workplace violence restraining order judgment ruled against KING AROGANT by incompetent Superior Court of California judicial officer Latrice Brydsong.

KING AROGANT'S motion for a new trial will be heard at a time and place to be set by the Court pursuant to Code of Civ Proc § 661.

The motion for a new trial will be made on each and all of the following grounds, which materially affected the substantial rights of the moving party, AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, and prevented the movant from having a fair, unconstitutional, unbiased, and impartial workplace violence hearing.

This Motion is made pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure §§ 656, 657, XIV Amendment of the United States Constitution and will be made on the following grounds.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

1. There was an irregularity in the proceedings by the adverse party and an abuse of discretion by the Court, by which Respondent KING AROGANT was prevented from having a fair trial due to the Superior Court willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously twice struck and sustained frivolous objections by rogue and unethical County of Los Angeles civil attorney Vanessa Ann Evangelista **(SBN # 238474)** regarding Brian MARK Rosenberg's fabricated, perjurious, and falsified April 17, 2025, city of Alhambra police report. In collusion with County of Los Angeles Superior Court of California judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong lied on the Superior Court record that she did not see RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg's police report attached as an Exhibit, while she had already reviewed all Exhibits prior to the hearing, and KING AROGANT told her that lying Rosenberg's April 17, 2025 police report was attached to petition, between Exhibits 1-5. (Cal Code Civ Proc § 657(1). Pursuant to California Evidence Code § 352 judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong recklessly abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisdiction because County of Los Angeles had presented lying Brian MARK Rosenberg's police report as an Exhibit attached in support of their temporary workplace violence petition and as well as an Exhibit in County of Los Angeles's amended petition, and County of Los Angeles lying government witnesses Brian MARK Rosenberg and Cynthia Nakao both referenced April 17, 2025 police report in their perjurious declaration written statements filed in both original frivolous petition and even more frivolous amended petition.

2. There was an irregularity in the proceedings by the adverse party and an abuse of discretion by the Superior Court, by which Respondent KING AROGANT was prevented from having a fair trial due to the Superior Court willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously limiting the cross-examination of lying Brian MARK Rosenberg, which resulted in KING AROGANT not having any opportunity to ask racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg questions about the following:

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Due to the prejudiced, biased, partial, and unfair Superior Court of California judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, knowingly, carelessly, deliberately, and callously limiting KING AROGANT'S cross-examination of lying and racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg to fewer than ten questions, and limiting the duration of KING AROGANT'S cross-examination to less than thirty minutes. KING AROGANT was unable and handicapped from asking Brian MARK Rosenberg approximately one hundred and fifty (150) or more questions regarding the following, but not at all limited to the following:

1. **His October 13, 2023, email he received from KING AROGANT.**
2. **His Fabricated Attachment Page filed on November 17, 2023, in frivolous petition 23PDRO01713.**
3. **Paragraph of his declaration regarding March 20, 2025, and April 17, 2025**
4. **Numerous Questions Regarding His Criminal Protective Order.**
5. **Numerous Questions Regarding His Fabricated and False Police Report.**
6. **Numerous Questions About the GoPro Video Recording Evidence He Falsified in an Illegal Criminal Case, GA114055.**
7. **Numerous Questions About Why He Permitted the Lying State Government Jennifer Hutton-Heger to Testify Falsely Regarding a Knife Incident.**
8. **Numerous Questions About Why He Permitted the Lying State Government Witnesses Billy Khounthavong and Jennifer Hutton-Heger to testify falsely regarding the time stamps of two 911 audio recording calls.**

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

9. **Numerous Questions About Why He Permitted the Lying State Government Jennifer Hutton-Heger to Testify Falsely regarding text messages KING AROGANT sent her on the early morning of February 21, 2023.**
10. **Numerous Questions About Why He Recklessly and Deliberately Failed to Provide Court-Appointed Witness Thomas Guzman-Sanchez with a Copy Of Phony and Bogus LASD GoPro Video Recordings GL010586 and GL010587.**
11. **Numerous Questions About Why He Recklessly and Deliberately Failed to Provide Court-Appointed Witness Thomas Guzman-Sanchez with a Copy Of Original GoPro Video Recordings, Even After Sanchez Requested Them.**
12. **Numerous Questions About Why He Recklessly and Deliberately Failed to Provide Court-Appointed Witness Neil Opdahl (SBN # 277596) with a Copy Of Phony and Bogus LASD GoPro Video Recordings GL010586 and GL010587.**
13. **Numerous Questions About Why He Recklessly and Deliberately Failed to Provide Court-Appointed Witness Neil Opdahl (SBN # 277596) with a Copy Of Original GoPro Video Recordings, Even After Opdahl Requested Them**
14. **Numerous Questions About Why He Recklessly and Deliberately Failed to Provide Court-Appointed Witness Carlos Anthony Jackson (PI # 28424) with A Copy Of Phony and Bogus LASD GoPro Video Recordings GL010586 and GL010587.**
15. **Numerous Questions About Why He Recklessly and Deliberately Failed to Provide Court-Appointed Witness Carlos Anthony Jackson (PI # 277596) with a Copy Of Original GoPro Video Recordings Even After Jackson Requested Them.**

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

16. **Numerous Questions About Why He Recklessly and Deliberately Failed to Provide Court-Appointed Witness Carlos Joel Simone Wyenn (PI # 10818) with A Copy of the Phony and Bogus LASD GoPro Video Recordings GL010586 and GL010587.**
17. **Numerous Questions About Why He Recklessly and Deliberately Failed to Provide Court-Appointed Witness Joel S. Wyenn (PI # 10818) with a Copy Of Original GoPro Video Recordings Even After Wyenn Requested Them.**
18. **Numerous questions about Brian MARK Rosenberg's continuous and ongoing racial animus, racial biased, and racial hostility towards KING AROGANT that was proven by clear and convincing evidence when lying, Rosenberg recklessly filed a false police report in which racially animus Rosenberg completed and requested the private person's arrest of KING AROGANT by falsely alleging that KING AROGANT had falsely sent him five separate emails while Rosenberg knew that KING AROGANT had only sent him one email (November 13, 2023) in the past nearly seventeen months (as of April 17, 2025).**

Biased and incompetent judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong did not have legal authorization to limit KING AROGANT'S cross-examination of lying and racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg. A judicial officer can only limit a respondent/defendant's cross-examination of a witness pursuant to California Evidence Code § 721 (Cross-examination of an Expert Witness). Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously violated California Evidence Code § 765 by recklessly blocking KING AROGANT from conducting and having an effective cross-examination of lying Rosenberg for the ascertainment of the truth. For example, Byrdsong recklessly stopped the cross-examination of lying Rosenberg

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

before KING AROGANT could ask him any questions about November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, and June 8, 2024, emails Rosenberg lied he received, and KING AROGANT did not have an opportunity to ask racially animus and lying Rosenberg any questions about the filing of his perjurious, fabricated, and falsified April 17, 2025, city of Alhambra crime report. The city of Alhambra police report, and numerous filings in frivolous case **25CJCM02162-01**, including a frivolous Criminal Protective Order dated April 21, 2025, in the Now Dismissed illegal five-count criminal complaint for which were Exhibits in support of the County of Los Angeles's racist and illegal workplace violence original petition and subsequently filed frivolous June 9, 2025, amended petition. Yet, Incompetent and partial Latrice Byrdsong prevented KING AROGANT from asking lying and racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg any questions about the above-stated County of Los Angeles falsified evidence Exhibits that were recklessly filed twice inside the Superior Court in support of their racist and unconstitutional workplace violence petition. Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously violated California Evidence Code § 769 by recklessly preventing KING AROGANT from asking lying Brian MARK Rosenberg questions about prior statements he made in frivolous case **23PDRO01713 in which lying criminal Brian MARK Rosenberg testified on direct examination and in his perjurious 2025 declaration that KING AROGANT sent him an email on November 23, 2023 while in 23PDRO01713 he attached an Exhibit of an email that was dated for November 13, 2023.** Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously violated California Evidence Code § 770 by recklessly excusing lying Rosenberg before KING AROGANT had an opportunity to cross-examine him on over one-hundred questions that would have resulted in the production of numerous pieces of evidence of our of court statements made by Rosenberg made that were very inconsistent with his perjurious August 19, 2025 trial court testimony. Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately,

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

wantonly, and callously violated California Evidence Code § 773 by recklessly limiting KING AROGANT'S cross-examination of lying and racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg to just thirty minutes, which only gave KING AROGANT an opportunity to ask lying Rosenberg approximately ten questions that were in the scope of County of Los Angeles's direct examination of racially animus Rosenberg by rogue and unethical County of Los Angeles civil attorney Vanessa Ann Evangelista **(SBN # 238474).** Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously violated KING AROGANT'S United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights by recklessly limiting KING AROGANT'S cross-examination of lying Rosenberg, and then recklessly violating his Due Process constitutional civil rights by recklessly excusing racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg before KING AROGANT could ask him any relevant and adequate cross examination questions beyond, approximately the 8th to 10th question. Biased Superior Court of California Judicial Officer Latrese Byrdsong must be disciplined for recklessly, willfully, knowingly, carelessly, fraudulently, wantonly, deliberately, and callously violating **California Code of Judicial Ethics, Canon 1: A Judge Shall Uphold the Integrity and Independence of the Judiciary, Canon 2: A Judge Shall Avoid Impropriety and the Appearance of Impropriety in all of the Judge's Activities, and Canon 3: A Judge Shall Perform The Duties of Judicial Office Impartially, Competently, and Diligently** over and over again throughout the frivolous and unconstitutional hearing on County of Los Angeles's perjurious, falsified, unconstitutional, and fabricated workplace violence petition.

3. There was an irregularity in the proceedings by the adverse party and an abuse of discretion by the Superior Court, by which Respondent KING AROGANT was prevented from having a fair trial due to the Superior Court and partial judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

callously limiting the cross-examination of lying Cynthia Michiko Nakao (SBN # 137510), which resulted in KING AROGANT not having any opportunity to ask racially animus Cynthia Nakao questions about the following:

Due to the prejudiced, biased, unfair, and inequitable Superior Court of California Judicial Officer Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, knowingly, carelessly, deliberately, and callously limiting KING AROGANT'S cross-examination of lying and racially animus Cynthia Nakao, to fewer than ten questions, and in under twenty minutes. KING AROGANT was legally disabled and handicapped from asking racially animus County of Los Angeles deputy district attorney Cynthia Nakao approximately fifty (50) to seventy-five (75) or more questions regarding the following, but not at all limited to the following:

1. **MARK Rosenberg's October 13, 2023, email he received from KING AROGANT.**
2. **MARK Rosenberg's Fabricated Attachment Page filed on November 17, 2023, In frivolous petition 23PDRO01713, as Nakao mentioned, This Case in her Perjurious Declaration**
3. **Paragraph of Cynthia Nakao's declaration regarding the dates of February 4, 2025, March 20, 2025, and April 17, 2025**
4. **Numerous Questions Regarding Frivolous Criminal Protective Order, in Which Nakao Declared in Her Declaration**
5. **Numerous Questions Regarding Rosenberg's Fabricated and False Police Report, Which Nakao Mentioned and Declared In Her Perjurious Declaration.**

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

6. **Numerous Questions About the GoPro Video Recording Evidence Rosenberg Falsified and Nakao's Alhambra DA Office Recklessly Refused to Turn Over.**
7. **Numerous Questions About Why He Permitted the Lying State Government Jennifer Hutton-Heger to Testify Falsely Regarding a Knife Incident.**
8. **Numerous Questions About Why He Permitted the Lying State Government Witnesses Billy Khounthavong and Jennifer Hutton-Heger to testify falsely regarding the time stamps of two 911 audio recording calls.**
9. **Numerous Questions About Why the Alhambra DA Office Permitted the Lying State Government Jennifer Hutton-Heger to Testify Falsely regarding text messages KING AROGANT sent her on the early morning of February 21, 2023.**
10. **Numerous Questions About Why the Alhambra Field Office Recklessly and Deliberately Failed to Provide Court-Appointed Witness Thomas Guzman-Sanchez with a Copy of Phony and Bogus LASD GoPro Video Recordings GL010586 and GL010587, in Which Racist Sanchez Mentioned In His Declaration.**
11. **Numerous Questions About Why the Alhambra DA Office Recklessly and Deliberately Failed to Provide Court-Appointed Witness Thomas Guzman-Sanchez with a Copy of the Original GoPro Video Recordings, Even After Sanchez Requested Them, In Which Racist Sanchez Stated in His Declaration That Lying Rosenberg Recklessly Turned Over To Him Altered GoPro Video Recordings.**
12. **Numerous Questions About Why the Alhambra DA Office Recklessly and Deliberately Failed to Provide Court-Appointed Witness Neil Opdahl (SBN # 277596) with a Copy of Phony and Bogus LASD GoPro Video Recordings GL010586 and GL010587.**

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

13. **Numerous Questions About Why the Alhambra DA Office Recklessly and Deliberately Failed to Provide Court-Appointed Witness Neil Opdahl (SBN # 277596) with a Copy of the Original GoPro Video Recordings, Even After Opdahl Requested Them**
14. **Numerous Questions About Why the Alhambra DA Office Recklessly and Deliberately Failed to Provide Court-Appointed Witness Carlos Anthony Jackson (PI # 28424) with A Copy of Phony and Bogus LASD GoPro Video Recordings GL010586 and GL010587.**
15. **Numerous Questions About Why the Alhambra DA Recklessly and Deliberately Failed to Provide Court-Appointed Witness Carlos Anthony Jackson (PI # 277596) with a Copy of the Original GoPro Video Recordings, Even After Jackson Requested Them**
16. **Numerous Questions About Why the Alhambra DA Recklessly and Deliberately Failed to Provide Court-Appointed Witness Carlos Joel Simone Wyenn (PI # 10818) with A Copy of the Phony and Bogus LASD GoPro Video Recordings GL010586 and GL010587.**
17. **Numerous Questions About Why the Alhambra DA Office Recklessly and Deliberately Failed to Provide Court-Appointed Witness Joel S. Wyenn (PI # 10818) with a Copy of Original GoPro Video Recordings Even After Wyenn Requested Them**
18. **Numerous questions about Brian MARK Rosenberg's continuous and ongoing racial animus, racial biased, and racial hostility towards KING AROGANT that was proven by clear and convincing evidence when lying, Rosenberg recklessly filed a false police report in which lying Rosenberg completed and requested private person's arrest of KING AROGANT by falsely alleging that KING**

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

AROGANT had falsely sent him five separate emails while Rosenberg knew that KING AROGANT had only sent him one email (November 13, 2023) in the past nearly seventeen months (as of April 17, 2025), Relevant Evidence as County of Los Angeles foolishly attached a copy of lying Brian MARK Rosenberg's Falsified and Fabricated April 17, 2025, City of Alhambra police report (All pages) As an Exhibit to Both Frivolous Petition and Frivolous Amended Petition

Biased and incompetent judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong did not have legal authorization to limit KING AROGANT'S cross-examination of lying and racially animus County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutor Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510)**. A judicial officer can only limit a respondent/defendant's cross-examination of a witness pursuant to California Evidence Code § 721 (Cross-examination of an Expert Witness). Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously violated California Evidence Code § 765 by recklessly blocking KING AROGANT from conducting and having an effective cross-examination of Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510)** for the ascertainment of the truth. For example, Byrdsong recklessly stopped the cross-examination of Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510)** before KING AROGANT could ask him any questions about the November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, and June 8, 2024 emails her co-worker Brian MARK Rosenberg lied he received, and KING AROGANT did not have an opportunity to ask lying Cynthia Nakao any questions about the filing of perjurious, fabricated, and falsified April 17, 2025, city of Alhambra crime report. City of Alhambra police report, and numerous filings in frivolous case 25CJCM02162-01, including a frivolous Criminal Protective Order erroneously issued in April 2025 in the Now Dismissed illegal five-count criminal complaint, in which the perjurious police report and illegally issued CPO were all Exhibits in support of the County of Los Angeles's racist and illegal workplace

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

violence original petition and June 9, 2025, amended petition, yet incompetent and partial Latrice Byrdsong prevented KING AROGANT from asking lying and racially animus Cynthia Nakao any questions about the above-stated County of Los Angeles falsified evidence Exhibits that were recklessly filed twice inside of the Superior Court in support of their racist and unconstitutional workplace violence petition. Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously violated California Evidence Code § 769 by recklessly preventing KING AROGANT from asking lying Cynthia Nakao questions about prior statements she made in declaration regarding what occurred at Alhambra DA office on February 4, 2025, and April 17, 2025, recklessly preventing KING AROGANT from asking questions regarding false April 17, 2025 arrest, April 21, 2025 criminal charges Cynthia Nakao approved, orchestrated and then transferred frivolous case to Clara Foltz-Shortridge Criminal Justice Center, recklessly preventing KING AROGANT from asking lying Cynthia Nakao any questions about April 21, 2025 Criminal Protective Order she mentioned in her perjurious declaration, and so much more. Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously violated California Evidence Code § 770 by recklessly excusing lying Cynthia Nakao before KING AROGANT had an opportunity to cross-examine her on over one-hundred questions that would have resulted in the production of numerous pieces of evidence of court statements made by Nakao that were very inconsistent with her perjurious August 19, 2025 trial court testimony and her May 2025 declaration. Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously violated California Evidence Code § 773 by recklessly limiting KING AROGANT'S cross-examination of lying and racially animus Cynthia Nakao to just thirty minutes, which only gave KING AROGANT an opportunity to ask lying Cynthia Nakao approximately ten questions that were in the scope of the County of Los Angeles's direct examination of racially animus Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510) that was done** by rogue and unethical County of Los Angeles civil attorney Vanessa

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Ann Evangelista **(SBN # 238474).** Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously violated KING AROGANT'S United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights by recklessly limiting KING AROGANT'S cross-examination of lying Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510)**, and then recklessly violating his Due Process constitutional civil rights by recklessly excusing racially animus Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510)** before KING AROGANT could ask him any relevant and adequate cross examination questions beyond, approximately the 8th to 10th question. Biased Superior Court of California Judicial Officer Latrese Byrdsong must be disciplined for recklessly, willfully, knowingly, carelessly, fraudulently, wantonly, deliberately, and callously violating **California Code of Judicial Ethics, Canon 1: A Judge Shall Uphold the Integrity and Independence of the Judiciary, Canon 2: A Judge Shall Avoid Impropriety and the Appearance of Impropriety in all of the Judge's Activities, and Canon 3: A Judge Shall Perform The Duties of Judicial Office Impartially, Competently, and Diligently** over and over again throughout the frivolous and unconstitutional hearing on County of Los Angeles's perjurious, falsified, unconstitutional, and fabricated workplace violence petition.

4. There was an irregularity in the proceedings by the adverse party and an abuse of discretion by the Superior Court, by which Respondent KING AROGANT was prevented from having a fair trial due to the Superior Court willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously limiting KING AROGANT'S presentation of his GoPro video recordings of February 4, 2025, and April 17, 2025. Pursuant to California Evidence Code §§ 352, 1400, and 1401, judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong recklessly abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisdiction because the County of Los Angeles had presented exhibits and oral testimony by two government witnesses about what occurred on both February 4, 2025, and April 17, 2025. It was fundamentally unfair for biased and partial judicial

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

office Latrice Byrdsong to not allow KING AROGANT to present clear and convincing evidence that would negate and disprove the lying Brian MARK Rosenberg and Cynthia Nakao's trial court testimony and perjurious declarations that alleged that KING AROGANT was creating a disturbance at the Alhambra DA office on February 4, 2025, March 20, 2025, and April 17, 2025.

Due to the prejudiced, biased, partial, and unfair Superior Court of California Judicial Officer Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, knowingly, carelessly, deliberately, fraudulently, and callously limiting KING AROGANT'S presentation of his GoPro video recordings of February 4, 2025, and April 17, 2025. KING AROGANT was unable and legally handicapped from presenting evidence that he did not create a disturbance on February 4, 2025, March 20, 2025, and April 17, 2025, and was also legally handicapped from presenting evidence that he was exercising his constitutional United States civil rights on February 4, 2025, March 20, 2025, and April 17, 2025.

Biased and partial Superior Court of California judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously violated KING AROGANT'S United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights by **limiting KING AROGANT'S** presentation of his GoPro video recordings of February 4, 2025, and April 17, 2025

Biased Superior Court of California Judicial Officer Latrese Byrdsong must be disciplined for recklessly, willfully, knowingly, carelessly, fraudulently, wantonly, deliberately, and callously violating **California Code of Judicial Ethics, Canon 1: A Judge Shall Uphold the Integrity and Independence of the Judiciary, Canon 2: A Judge Shall**

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Avoid Impropriety and the Appearance of Impropriety in all of the Judge's Activities, and Canon 3: A Judge Shall Perform The Duties of Judicial Office Impartially, Competently, and Diligently over and over again throughout the frivolous and unconstitutional hearing on the County of Los Angeles's perjurious, falsified, unconstitutional, and fabricated workplace violence petition.

5. There was an irregularity in the proceedings by the adverse party and an abuse of discretion by the Superior Court, by which Respondent KING AROGANT was prevented from having a fair trial due to the Superior Court willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously refusing to require County of Los Angeles witness Thomas Guzman-Sanchez to testify at the rigged and unconstitutional August 19, 2025, workplace violence hearing. Biased and partial Superior of California judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously violated California Evidence Code §§ 352, 354, 400, 401, 402, and 411 by recklessly refusing to compel racially animus Thomas Guzman-Sanchez to testify. At the rigged and unconstitutional August 19, 2025, hearing, KING AROGANT made an offer of proof pursuant to California Evidence Code § 401 by telling the Superior Court that racially animus Thomas Guzman-Sanchez had submitted a declaration that was an Exhibit used by the County of Los Angeles to have both their original and amended frivolous workplace violence petitions granted. In that perjurious declaration, Thomas Guzman-Sanchez not only made false allegations that KING AROGANT made a criminal threat to him on October 22, 2023, but Sanchez also stated that KING AROGANT altered the audio recording of the incident. KING AROGANT had a constitutional right to ask Sanchez questions about alleged criminal threats, and he had a right to play the audio recording of the incident during Sanchez's testimony. Yet, biased and partial Superior Court of California judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong recklessly abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisdiction because she

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

knew that the County of Los Angeles had presented exhibits and declarations signed by Thomas Guzman-Sanchez that were falsely and fraudulently used to show KING AROGANT engaged in a course of conduct of unlawful violence. Moreover, Sanchez's testimony should have been allowed because he could have testified to the bias and motivation of the County of Los Angeles's frivolous workplace violence petition, because Sanchez wrote in his declaration in both racist cases, **GA114055 and 25STRO03574**, that Brian Mark Rosenberg, who had a history of lying and harboring racially animus towards KING AROGANT, had recklessly failed to provide Sanchez with a copy of the original GoPro video recordings that he had requested, and instead turned over altered and unusable GoPro video recordings to Sanchez. Latrice Byrdsong's refusal to allow trial court testimony of racially animus County of Los Angeles government witness Thomas Guzman-Sanchez, who was served correctly and subpoenaed. Thomas Guzman-Sanchez should have been compelled to testify pursuant to California Evidence Code § 776 because his trial court oral testimony would have proven by clear and convincing evidence that Cynthia Nakao, County of Los Angeles, and lying Brian MARK Rosenberg were biased and racially animus towards KING AROGANT. It was fundamentally unfair that partial judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong knew that the County of Los Angeles had filed a perjurious declaration of Thomas Guzman-Sanchez in support of both its frivolous original and amended temporary workplace violence petitions, but then she refused to allow KING AROGANT to have Sanchez testify so KING AROGANT could present video recording evidence pursuant to California Evidence Code § 550 that would have proven by clear and convincing evidence that lying Sanchez was never threatened by KING AROGANT on October 22, 2023. Pursuant to California Evidence Code § 550, California Law Revision Commission Comment (Recommendation January 1965), KING AROGANT'S video recording evidence that would have been shown to the Superior Court while racially animus Thomas Guzman-Sanchez was on the witness stand the introuduction of video recording evidence of such overwhelming probative force that no person would reasonably disbelief it in the absence of the County of Los Angeles producing

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

evidence that would disprove KING AROGANT'S clear and convincing evidence that he never made a criminal threat to lying and racially animus Thomas Guzman-Sanchez on October 22, 2023.

Unbeknownst to biased, incompetent, and partial judicial officer Latrice Brydsong. During a court hearing on July 15, 2025, KING AROGANT told the Honorable Melanie Ochoa that numerous County of Los Angeles employees were writing sworn declarations against him. Superior Court of California judicial officer Melanie Ochoa told rogue and racially animus County of Los Angeles attorney Vanessa Ann Evangelista (SBN # 238474) to her face and by looking in the eye that the County of Los Angeles's witnesses writing declarations needed to be at the court hearing in person to testify. The Honorable Melanie Ochoa's court order was ignored by Evagelista, County of Los Angeles, City of Alhambra, partial Latrice Byrdsong, and so many more. Moreover, Melanie Ochoa's court ruling said nothing at all about the County of Los Angeles witnesses who wrote declarations appearing by Webex/Court Call.

Biased and partial Superior Court of California judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously violated KING AROGANT'S United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights by recklessly refusing to allow trial court testimony of racially animus Thomas Guzman-Sanchez, pursuant to California Evidence Code § 776, that would have proven by clear and convincing evidence that Cynthia Nakao, County of Los Angeles, and lying Brian MARK Rosenberg were biased and racially animus towards and against KING AROGANT.

Biased Superior Court of California Judicial Officer Latrese Byrdsong must be disciplined for recklessly, willfully, knowingly, carelessly, fraudulently, wantonly, deliberately, and callously violating **California Code of Judicial Ethics, Canon 1: A Judge**

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Shall Uphold the Integrity and Independence of the Judiciary, Canon 2: A Judge Shall Avoid Impropriety and the Appearance of Impropriety in all of the Judge's Activities, and Canon 3: A Judge Shall Perform The Duties of Judicial Office Impartially, Competently, and Diligently over and over again throughout the frivolous and unconstitutional hearing on the County of Los Angeles's perjurious, falsified, unconstitutional, and fabricated workplace violence petition.

See now a true and correct copy of the video recording uploaded to KING AROGANT'S YouTube channel on July 15, 2023, that proves by clear and convincing evidence that KING AROGANT never made a criminal threat to lying government witness Thomas Guzman-Sanchez on October 22, 2023.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AeAieM4UJ8E&feature=youtu.be

6. There was an irregularity in the proceedings by the adverse party and an abuse of discretion by the Superior Court, by which Respondent KING AROGANT was prevented from having a fair trial due to the Superior Court willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously refusing to continue the rigged and unconstitutional workplace violence petition hearing after KING AROGANT told the Superior Court that properly subpoenaed and served County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office employee Vrejouhie Isaghoulian deliberately failed to show up to the August 19, 2025 court hearing to testify at the rigged and unconstitutional August 19, 2025, workplace violence hearing. Biased and partial Superior of California judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously violated California Evidence Code §§ 352, 354, 400, 401, 402, and 411 by recklessly refusing to compel

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Alhambra DA Office employee Vrejouhie Isaghoulian to testify. This was against the law because Vrejouhie Isaghoulian was present at the Alhambra DA office during the alleged incident on February 4, 2025, and also during the staged and unnecessary evacuation of the Alhambra DA office on April 17, 2025. At the rigged and unconstitutional August 19, 2025, hearing, KING AROGANT made an offer of proof pursuant to California Evidence Code § 401 by telling the Superior Court that Vrejouhie Isaghoulian was present at the Alhambra DA office on February 4, 2025. Superior Court of California judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong recklessly abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisdiction because the County of Los Angeles had presented exhibits and declarations signed by lying Cynthia Nakao and Brian MARK Rosenberg that were used to falsely show KING AROGANT engaged in a course of conduct on February 4, 2025, and April 17, 2025. Moreover, the court hearing should have been continued, and Vrejouhie Isaghoulian's testimony should have been compelled because she could have testified to the bias and motivation of the County of Los Angeles's frivolous workplace violence petition, since she was present on February 4, 2025, and April 17, 2025. Latrice Byrdsong's refusal to allow trial court testimony of Vrejouhie Isaghoulian pursuant to California Evidence Code § 776, that would have proven by clear and convincing evidence that Cynthia Nakao, County of Los Angeles, and lying Brian MARK Rosenberg were biased and racially animus towards KING AROGANT, legally handicapped KING AROGANT. It was fundamentally unfair that partial judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong knew that the County of Los Angeles had filed perjurious declarations of lying Cynthia Nakao and Brian MARK Rosenberg in support of both its frivolous original and amended temporary workplace violence petitions, but then refused to allow KING AROGANT to have Vrejouhie Isaghoulian testify so KING AROGANT could present video recording evidence pursuant to California Evidence Code § 550 that would have proven by clear and convincing evidence that KING AROGANT had done absolutely nothing wrong on February 4, 2025 and April 17, 2025. Pursuant to California Evidence Code § 550, California Law Revision Commission Comment (Recommendation January 1965) KING

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

AROGANT'S video recording evidence that would have been shown to the Superior Court while Vrejouhie Isaghoulian was on the witness stand, the introduction of video recording evidence of such overwhelming probative force that no person would reasonably disbelief it in the absence of County of Los Angeles producing evidence that would disprove KING AROGANT'S clear and convincing evidence that he never engaged in any course of conduct with any County of Los Angeles employee on February 4, 2025, and April 17, 2025. Further, KING AROGANT's direct examination of Vrejouhie Isaghoulian would have produced further evidence that KING AROGANT had done nothing wrong on February 4, 2025, and April 17, 2025.

Biased and partial Superior Court of California judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously violated KING AROGANT'S United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights by recklessly refusing to allow the trial court testimony of Vrejouhie Isaghoulian pursuant to California Evidence Code § 776, that would have proven by clear and convincing evidence that Cynthia Nakao, County of Los Angeles, and lying Brian MARK Rosenberg were biased and racially animus towards and against KING AROGANT.

Biased Superior Court of California Judicial Officer Latrese Byrdsong must be disciplined for recklessly, willfully, knowingly, carelessly, fraudulently, wantonly, deliberately, and callously violating **California Code of Judicial Ethics, Canon 1: A Judge Shall Uphold the Integrity and Independence of the Judiciary, Canon 2: A Judge Shall Avoid Impropriety and the Appearance of Impropriety in all of the Judge's Activities, and Canon 3: A Judge Shall Perform the Duties of Judicial Office Impartially, Competently, and Diligently** over and over again throughout the frivolous and unconstitutional hearing on the County of Los Angeles's perjurious, falsified, unconstitutional, and fabricated workplace

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

violence petition.

See now a true and correct copy of the video recording uploaded to KING AROGANT'S YouTube channel on July 22, 2025, that proves by clear and convincing evidence that KING AROGANT never engaged in any course of conduct with any County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office employee on February 4, 2025, attached below.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=l9DqwQWLgfU

7. There was an irregularity in the proceedings by the adverse party and an abuse of discretion by the Superior Court, by which Respondent KING AROGANT was prevented from having a fair trial due to the Superior Court willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously refusing to require properly subpoenaed and served City of Alhambra peace officer Benjamin Yang to testify at the rigged and unconstitutional August 19, 2025, workplace violence hearing. Biased and partial Superior of California judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously violated California Evidence Code §§ 352, 354, 400, 401, 402, and 411 by recklessly refusing to compel racially animus and rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang to testify. At the rigged and unconstitutional August 19, 2025, hearing, KING AROGANT made an offer of proof pursuant to California Evidence Code § 401 by telling the Superior Court that racially animus and rogue city of Alhambra peace officer had submitted a crime report that was an Exhibit used by the County of Los Angeles to have both their original and amended frivolous workplace violence petitions granted. Yet, biased and partial Superior Court of California judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong recklessly abused her discretion and exceeded her

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

jurisdiction because the County of Los Angeles had presented exhibits and declarations signed by Benjamin Yang that were used to falsely show KING AROGANT engaged in an unlawful course of conduct with lying and racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg on October 13, 2023, November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, and June 8, 2024 (two separate emails). Moreover, Benjamin Yang's testimony should have been allowed because he could have testified to the fact that he falsified a crime report on behalf of Brian MARK Rosenberg and the County of Los Angeles by lying that four emails that KING AROGANT never sent to lying Rosenberg were attached to the end of his police report (November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, and twice on June 8, 2024). KING AROGANT had a constitutional right to question racist Benjamin Yang regarding the staged Alhambra DA office evacuation and what lying Brian MARK Rosenberg told him on April 17, 2025. For example, in his May 2025 declaration, lying Rosenberg stated he saw KING AROGANT outside of his Alhambra DA office, but in the police report, rogue peace officer Yang wrote that, racially animus, Brian MARK Rosenberg saw KING AROGANT outside of the Alhambra Superior Court on April 17, 2025. Latrice Byrdsong's refusal to allow trial court testimony of racially animus properly served and subpoenaed city of Alhambra peace officer Benjamin Yang pursuant to California Evidence Code § 776 that would have proven by clear and convincing evidence that Cynthia Nakao, County of Los Angeles, and lying Brian MARK Rosenberg were biased and racially animus towards KING AROGANT. It was fundamentally unfair that partial judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong knew that the County of Los Angeles had filed a copy of Benjamin Yang's fabricated, falsified and perjurious crime report in support of both its frivolous original and amended temporary workplace violence petitions, but then refused to allow KING AROGANT to have Benjamin Yang testify so KING AROGANT could present video recording evidence pursuant to California Evidence Code § 550 that would have proven by clear and convincing evidence that lying Benjamin Yang recklessly violated California Penal Code § 118.1, California Government Code § 6200, and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, §§ 1013 and 1205. Pursuant to California Evidence Code § 550, California

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Law Revision Commission Comment (Recommendation January 1965) KING AROGANT'S video recording evidence that would have been shown to the Superior Court while racially animus and rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang was on the witness stand, the introduction of video recording evidence of such overwhelming probative force that no person would reasonably disbelief it in the absence of County of Los Angeles producing evidence that would disprove KING AROGANT'S clear and convincing evidence that KING AROGANT never emailed lying Brian MARK Rosenberg on November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, and June 8, 2024, and that further, rogue peace officer knew he was filing a false police report on April 17, 2025.

Both lying Brian Mark Rosenberg and Cynthia Nakao wrote in their perjurious declarations about the staged and unnecessary April 17, 2025, evacuation of the Alhambra DA office. In his crime report rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang stated that he was not only a witness to the April 17, 2025, evacuation, but that he also assisted the Alhambra DA office employees with evacuating their workplace. KING AROGANT had a constitutional right to ask rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang about the Alhambra DA office, his falsified police report, and many more topics after Benjamin Yang's falsified crime report was submitted as an Exhibit in support of the County of Los Angeles's frivolous original petition and subsequent second amended petition.

Biased and partial Superior Court of California judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously violated KING AROGANT'S United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights by recklessly refusing to allow the trial court testimony of rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang pursuant to California Evidence Code § 776, that would have proven by clear and convincing evidence that Cynthia Nakao, County of Los Angeles, and lying Brian MARK Rosenberg were biased and racially animus towards and against KING AROGANT.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Biased Superior Court of California Judicial Officer Latrese Byrdsong must be disciplined for recklessly, willfully, knowingly, carelessly, fraudulently, wantonly, deliberately, and callously violating **California Code of Judicial Ethics, Canon 1: A Judge Shall Uphold the Integrity and Independence of the Judiciary, Canon 2: A Judge Shall Avoid Impropriety and the Appearance of Impropriety in all of the Judge's Activities, and Canon 3: A Judge Shall Perform the Duties of Judicial Office Impartially, Competently, and Diligently** over and over again throughout the frivolous and unconstitutional hearing on the County of Los Angeles's perjurious, falsified, unconstitutional, and fabricated workplace violence petition.

Unbeknownst to biased, incompetent, and partial judicial officer Latrice Brydsong. During a court hearing on July 15, 2025, KING AROGANT told the Honorable Melanie Ochoa that numerous County of Los Angeles employees were writing sworn declarations against him. Superior Court of California judicial officer Melanie Ochoa told rogue and racially animus County of Los Angeles attorney Vanessa Ann Evangelista (SBN # 238474) to her face and by looking in the eye that the County of Los Angeles's witnesses writing declarations needed to be at the court hearing in person to testify. The Honorable Melanie Ochoa's court order was ignored by Evagelista, County of Los Angeles, City of Alhambra, partial Latrice Byrdsong, and so many more. Moreover, Melanie Ochoa's court ruling said nothing at all about the County of Los Angeles witnesses who wrote declarations appearing by Webex/Court Call. Since Benjamin Yang wrote an affidavit against KING AROGANT, he was required to appear in person and testify to his falsified crime report on August 19, 2025.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

8. There was an irregularity in the proceedings by the adverse party and an abuse of discretion by the Superior Court, by which Respondent KING AROGANT was prevented from having a fair trial due to the Superior Court willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously refusing to require properly subpoenaed and served County of Los Angeles peace officer sergeant Christopher Barreras to testify at the rigged and unconstitutional August 19, 2025, workplace violence hearing. Biased and partial Superior of California judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously violated California Evidence Code §§ 352, 354, 400, 401, 402, and 411 by recklessly refusing to compel County of Los Angeles peace officer Christopher Barreras to testify. At the rigged and unconstitutional August 19, 2025, hearing biased and partial Superior Court of California judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong left Sergeant Barreras outside of the courtroom the entire time and never called him inside to testify, not even when it was the defense's opportunity to present witnesses. As documented in rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang's crime report, he spoke to peace officer Christopher Barreras, and Barreras told Benjamin Yang that he was present the entire time KING AROGANT was on the first floor of the Alhambra Superior Court on April 17, 2025. Moreover, KING AROGANT subpoenaed Sergeant Barreras in the first place because he would have testified that (1) KING AROGANT never approached the Alhambra DA office prior to it being evacuated as was alleged in the perjurious declaration of lying Brian MARK Rosenberg, and (2) Lying Brian MARK Rosenberg was never present and never walked inside of the Alhambra DA office's front office on April 17, 2025 as he lied that he did so in his perjurious declaration. Yet, biased and partial Superior Court of California judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong recklessly abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisdiction because the County of Los Angeles had presented exhibits and declarations signed by Benjamin Yang that were used to falsely show KING AROGANT engaged in an unlawful course of conduct with lying and racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg on April 17, 2025.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Moreover, Christopher Barreras's testimony should have been allowed because he could have testified to the fact that he did not observe KING AROGANT approach Alhambra DA office prior to it being evacuated and that he did not observe KING AROGANT doing anything wrong whatsoever. It was fundamentally unfair that partial judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong knew that the County of Los Angeles had filed a copy of Benjamin Yang's fabricated, falsified and perjurious crime report in support of both its frivolous original and amended temporary workplace violence petitions, but then refused to allow KING AROGANT to have County of Los Angeles peace officer Christopher Barreras testify so KING AROGANT could present oral testimony evidence pursuant to California Evidence Code § 550 that would have proven by clear and convincing evidence that lying Benjamin Yang recklessly violated California Penal Code § 118.1, California Government Code § 6200, and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, §§ 1013 and 1205, and lying Rosenberg recklessly violated California Penal Code § 148.5 on April 17, 2025 by telling numerous fabricated lies in his falsified April 17, 2025 crime report. Pursuant to California Evidence Code § 550, California Law Revision Commission Comment (Recommendation January 1965). County of Los Angeles peace officer Christopher Barreras would have been shown to the Superior Court while he was testifying on the witness stand the introduction of oral testimony evidence of such overwhelming probative force that no person would reasonably disbelief it in the absence of County of Los Angeles producing evidence that would disprove KING AROGANT'S clear and convincing evidence that KING AROGANT never made any contact with lying Rosenberg and the Alhambra DA office on April 17, 2025 prior to it being evacuated, and that further, rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang knew he was filing a false police report on April 17, 2025 and lying Rosenberg knew he was filing a false police report on April 17, 2025.

Both lying Brian Mark Rosenberg and Cynthia Nakao wrote in their perjurious declarations about the staged and unnecessary April 17, 2025, evacuation of the Alhambra DA office. In his

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

crime report rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang stated that he was not only a witness to the April 17, 2025, evacuation, but that he also assisted the Alhambra DA office employees with evacuating their workplace. KING AROGANT had a constitutional right to ask County of Los Angeles peace officer Christopher Barreras questions about what he observed on April 17, 2025.

Biased and partial Superior Court of California judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously violated KING AROGANT'S United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights by recklessly refusing to allow the trial court testimony of the County of Los Angeles peace officer Christopher Barreras, pursuant to California Evidence Code § 776, that would have been proven by clear and convincing evidence that Cynthia Nakao, County of Los Angeles, and lying Brian MARK Rosenberg were biased and racially animus towards and against KING AROGANT, and staged a FAKE and unnecessary Alhambra DA office evacuation while KING AROGANT had done nothing wrong to any of them on February 4, 2025, and April 17, 2025.

Biased Superior Court of California Judicial Officer Latrese Byrdsong must be disciplined for recklessly, willfully, knowingly, carelessly, fraudulently, wantonly, deliberately, and callously violating **California Code of Judicial Ethics, Canon 1: A Judge Shall Uphold the Integrity and Independence of the Judiciary, Canon 2: A Judge Shall Avoid Impropriety and the Appearance of Impropriety in all of the Judge's Activities, and Canon 3: A Judge Shall Perform the Duties of Judicial Office Impartially, Competently, and Diligently** over and over again throughout the frivolous and unconstitutional hearing on the County of Los Angeles's perjurious, falsified, unconstitutional, and fabricated workplace violence petition.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

The reason why biased, partial, and incompetent judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong left Christopher Barreras outside the entire day of the rigged and unconstitutional workplace violence hearing was because she was not interested in hearing evidence that would prove KING AROGANT'S innocence, and further, she was not interested in having the Superior Court hear evidence that would prove by clear and convincing evidence that lying Brian MARK Rosenberg and Cynthia Nakao recklessly violated California Penal Code § 118 and California Government Code § 6200 by fabricating lies during their August 19, 2025 testimony and also in their perjurious declaration about what occurred at 150 West Commonwealth Avenue, Alhambra, CA 91801 on April 17, 2025.

The reason why biased, partial, and incompetent judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong left Christopher Barreras outside the entire day of the rigged and unconstitutional workplace violence hearing was because she was not interested in hearing evidence that would prove KING AROGANT'S innocence, and further, she was not interested in having the Superior Court hear evidence that would prove by clear and convincing evidence that lying Brian MARK Rosenberg recklessly violated California Penal Code § 148.5 by filing a false police report by fabricating lies on what occurred at 150 West Commonwealth Avenue, Alhambra, CA 91801 on April 17, 2025.

9. There was an irregularity in the proceedings by the adverse party and an abuse of discretion by the Court, by which Respondent KING AROGANT was prevented from having a fair trial due to the trial court failing to consider evidence that would have proved that lying and racially animus County of Los Angeles witnesses Brian MARK Rosenberg and Cynthia Nakao intentionally and deliberately violated California Penal Code § 118 throughout their perjurious trial court testimony and during many paragraphs of their perjurious declarations. See (Cal Code Civ Proc § 657(1)

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

10. There was an irregularity in the proceedings by the adverse party and an abuse of discretion by the Superior Court, by which Respondent KING AROGANT was prevented from having a fair trial due to the trial court and the partial Superior Court of California judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously refusing to order properly subpoenaed and served defense witnesses Benjamin Yang and Thomas Guzman-Sanchez to testify despite the fact that they were both public servants that wrote sworn affidavits against KING AROGANT alleging that he had not only engaged in a course of conduct, but also committed acts of unlawful workplace violence.

11. Irregularity in the proceeding occurred when the prejudice, partial, incompetent, and bias judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously refused to continue the rigged August 19, 2025, workplace violence hearing, even though she knew that properly subpoenaed County of Los Angeles employee Vrejouhie Isaghoulian had deliberately failed to appear at the hearing. (Cal Code Civ Proc § 657(1)

12. Irregularity in the proceeding occurred when racially animus and lying County of Los Angeles employees Brian MARK Rosenberg and Cynthia Nakao committed perjury while testifying at the trial. (Cal Code Civ Proc § 657(1)

13. Irregularity of proceedings of the Superior Court occurred when the prejudice, biased, partial, and incompetent Superior Court of California judicial officer refused to allow Respondent KING AROGANT to cross-examine effectively and fairly the perjurious and lying County of Los Angeles witness Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510)** (Cal Code Civ Proc § 657(1).

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

14. Irregularity of proceedings of the Superior Court occurred when the prejudice, biased, partial, and incompetent Superior Court of California judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong refused to allow Respondent KING AROGANT to cross-examine effectively and fairly the perjurious and lying County of Los Angeles witness Brian MARK Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)** (Cal Code Civ Proc § 657(1).

15. Irregularity of proceedings of the court occurred when the prejudice, partial, and incompetent, and biased judicial officer, Latrice Byrdsong, willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously allowed and caused the civil harassment restraining order hearing to conclude before KING AROGANT had an opportunity to present his defense in the frivolous workplace violence case. (Cal Code Civ Proc § 657(1).

16. Irregularity of proceedings of the court occurred when the prejudice, partial, incompetent, and biased judicial officer, Latrice Byrdsong, willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously allowed improper and perjurious Petitioner the County of Los Angeles's frivolous civil workplace violence restraining order hearing to conclude before KING AROGANT had an opportunity to present his February 4, 2025, video recording that would have exonerated KING AROGANT after the court had viewed it and entered said video recording into evidence. Cal Code Civ Proc § 657(1)

17. Irregularity of proceedings of the court occurred when the prejudice, partial, incompetent, and biased judicial officer, Latrice Byrdsong, willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously refused to grant Respondent KING AROGANT a continuance after Respondent had produced evidence that he had subpoenaed rogue city of Alhambra peace officer Benjamin Yang to attend County of Los Angeles's rigged court hearing and

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

testify on behalf of the defense, yet the prejudiced, biased, and incompetent Superior Court of California judicial officer allowed the hearing to proceed without KING AROGANT'S witnesses being present. Cal Code Civ Proc § 657(1)

18. Irregularity of proceedings of the Superior Court occurred when the prejudice, partial, incompetent, and biased judicial officer, Latrice Byrdsong, willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, wantonly, deliberately, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously refused to grant Respondent KING AROGANT a continuance after Respondent had produced evidence that he had subpoenaed rogue County of Los Angeles witness Thomas Guzman-Sanchez to attend County of Los Angeles's rigged court hearing and testify on behalf of the defense, yet the prejudiced, biased, and incompetent Superior Court of California judicial officer allowed the hearing to proceed without KING AROGANT'S witnesses being present. Cal Code Civ Proc § 657(1)

19. Irregularity of proceedings of the court occurred when the prejudice, partial, incompetent and biased judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, wantonly, deliberately, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously refused to grant Respondent KING AROGANT a continuance after Respondent had produced evidence that he had subpoenaed County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office employee Vrejouhie Isaghoulian to attend the County of Los Angeles's court hearing and testify on behalf of the defense, yet the prejudiced, partial, biased, and incompetent, Superior Court of California judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong allowed the hearing to proceed without KING AROGANT'S witnesses present. Cal Code Civ Proc § 657(1)

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

20. Irregularity of proceedings of the court occurred when the prejudice, partial, incompetent, and biased judicial officer, Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, wantonly, deliberately, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously violated the United States Constitution, the California Constitution, and KING AROGANT'S United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights by constantly granting frivolous objections by rogue County of Los Angeles civil attorney Vanessa Ann Evangelista **(SBN # 238474)** that prevented Respondent KING AROGANT from being able to cross-examine perjurious County of Los Angeles witness Brian MARK Rosenberg (SBN # 291501) effectively. Cal Code Civ Proc § 657(1).

21. Irregularity of proceedings of the court occurred when the prejudice, partial, incompetent, and biased judicial officer, Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, wantonly, deliberately, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously violated the United States Constitution, the California Constitution, and KING AROGANT'S United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights by constantly granting frivolous objections by rogue County of Los Angeles civil attorney Vanessa Ann Evangelista **(SBN # 238474)** that prevented Respondent KING AROGANT from being able to cross-examine perjurious County of Los Angeles witness Brian MARK Rosenberg (SBN # 291501) effectively. Cal Code Civ Proc § 657(1)

22. Irregularity of proceedings of the court occurred when the prejudice, partial, incompetent, and biased judicial officer, Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, wantonly, deliberately, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

violated the United States Constitution, the California Constitution, and KING AROGANT'S United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights by constantly granting frivolous objections by rogue County of Los Angeles civil attorney Vanessa Ann Evangelista **(SBN # 238474)** that prevented Respondent KING AROGANT from being able to cross-examine perjurious County of Los Angeles witness Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510)** effectively. Cal Code Civ Proc § 657(1)

23. Respondent KING AROGANT now has in his possession newly discovered evidence that was produced by the city of Alhambra police department, material to his defense, which he could not, with reasonable diligence, have discovered and produced at the rigged and illicit August 19, 2025, frivolous workplace violence restraining order petition hearing date. This newly discovered evidence proves by clear and convincing evidence that lying Brian MARK Rosenberg testified falsely that KING AROGANT was inside the Alhambra DA office creating a disturbance on March 20, 2025. This newly discovered evidence proves by clear and convincing evidence that Cynthia Nakao testified falsely at the hearing and committed perjury in her declaration by fabricating lies that KING AROGANT had been wielding scissors inside the Alhambra DA office and that law enforcement was called on either February 4, 2025, or February 7, 2025. Cal Code Civ Proc § 657(4)

24. Respondent KING AROGANT now has in his possession newly discovered evidence that was produced by his GOPRO HERO 13 BLACK ACTION CAMERA, recorded on February 4, 2025, material to his defense, which he could not, with reasonable diligence, have discovered and produced at the August 19, 2025, workplace violence restraining order petition hearing. KING AROGANT'S February 4, 2025,

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

previously recorded GoPro video recording will prove by clear and convincing evidence that KING AROGANT did not have scissors inside the Alhambra DA office and that he did not engage in a course of conduct with any County of Los Angeles employee on February 4, 2025. Cal Code Civ Proc § 657(4).

25. There were several errors of law occurring at the County of Los Angeles, rigged and unconstitutional workplace violence restraining order petition hearing, which were all objected to on numerous occasions by Respondent KING AROGANT Cal Code Civ Proc § 657(7).

26. There was an irregularity in the proceedings by the adverse party and an abuse of discretion by the Superior Court, by which Respondent KING AROGANT was prevented from having a fair trial due to the trial court failing to consider evidence that lying County of Los Angeles government witness Brian MARK Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)** had willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, wantonly, deliberately, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously violated California Penal Code §§ 118 and 148.5. Cal Code Civ Proc § 657(1)

27. There was an irregularity in the proceedings by the adverse party and an abuse of discretion by the Superior Court, by which Respondent KING AROGANT was prevented from having a fair trial due to the trial court failing to consider evidence that lying County of Los Angeles government witness Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510)** had willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, wantonly, deliberately, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously violated California Penal Code §§ 118 and 148.5. Cal Code Civ Proc § 657(1)

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantHollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

28. There was an insufficiency of evidence to justify the Superior Court trial court's illicit and erroneous decision. Cal Code Civ Proc § 657(6)

29. Pursuant to the trial court evidence, the Superior Court of California's incompetent, partial, and biased judicial officer, Latrese Byrdsong, made a decision and court ruling that was against the law. Cal Code Civ Proc § 657(6).

30. There was irregularity in the proceedings of the adverse party that prevented Respondent KING AROGANT from having a fair hearing. Cal Code Civ Proc § 657(1)

31. Respondent KING AROGANT has in his possession newly discovered evidence from the city of Alhambra police department that was material to Respondent's defense in the case but could not, with reasonable diligence, have been discovered and produced at trial. Cal Code Civ Proc § 657(4)

32. Respondent KING AROGANT has in his possession newly discovered evidence that was filmed and recorded by his GOPRO HERO 14 BLACK ACTION CAMERA that was material to Respondent KING AROGANT'S defense in the case but could not, with reasonable diligence, have been discovered and produced at trial. Cal Code Civ Proc § 657(4)

33. There was insufficiency of the evidence because the trial Superior Court deliberately failed to hear evidence that County of Los Angeles witness Brian MARK Rosenberg **(SBN 291501)** had willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, wantonly,

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

deliberately, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously violated California Penal Code §§ 118 and 148.5. Cal Code Civ Proc § 657(6)

34. There was insufficiency of the evidence because the trial Superior Court deliberately failed to hear evidence that County of Los Angeles witness Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN 137510)** had willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, wantonly, deliberately, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously violated California Penal Code §§ 118 and 148.5. Cal Code Civ Proc § 657(6)

35. There was an insufficiency of the evidence to justify the trial court's decision because there was no evidence testified to that had proven a course of conduct, and the trial court's ruling was against the law pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.6 and California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.8. Cal Code Civ Proc § 657(6)

36. There was an insufficiency of the evidence to justify the trial court's decision because the trial court's ruling was made pursuant to civil harassment restraining order allegations at the hearing showed no evidence that any type of civil harassment course of conduct would occur or had occurred at or would occur at any time in the future, and the trial court's ruling was against the law pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.8. Cal Code Civ Proc § 657(6)

37. Pursuant to the United States Constitution's First Amendment Freedom of Speech Clause and California Code of Civil Procedure § 425.16, biased, prejudiced, incompetent, and partial Superior Court of California judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong's illicit court decision and court ruling granting the County of Los Angeles's frivolous and perjurious workplace were against the

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

law pursuant to California Civil Code of Procedure § 425.16 for the following reasons:

a. Frivolous petition was granted based on trial court testimony by lying and racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg stated that in his mind, KING AROGANT made a credible threat of violence to him by KING AROGANT, writing in a November 13, 2023, email that he should go to federal prison for falsifying evidence in an illicit criminal case titled GA114055. Pursuant to California Civil Code of Procedure § 425.16, the above-stated court testimony could not be legally used as evidence that KING AROGANT had engaged in an unlawful course of conduct with lying and racially animus County of Los Angeles employee Brian MARK Rosenberg. Brian MARK Rosenberg's falsified and perjurious testimony was erroneously used by the Superior Court to make law findings and conclusions that KING AROGANT engaged in an unlawful course of conduct

b. Lying and racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501) falsely** testified that KING AROGANT had harassed him by sending emails to his co-workers in which in those emails KING AROGANT called lying and racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg a racist, corrupt, and stated he would file complaints against him. Pursuant to California Civil Code of Procedure § 425.16, the above-stated court testimony could not be legally used as evidence that KING AROGANT had engaged in an unlawful course of conduct with lying and racially animus County of Los Angeles employee Brian MARK Rosenberg. Brian MARK Rosenberg's falsified and perjurious testimony was erroneously used by the Superior Court to make law findings and conclusions that KING AROGANT engaged in an unlawful course of conduct.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

c. Lying and racially animus Cynthia Nakao **(SBN # 137510) falsely** testified that KING AROGANT had harassed Brian MARK Rosenberg by sending emails to his co-workers in which in those emails KING AROGANT called lying and racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg, a racist, corrupt, and stated that he would file complaints against him. Pursuant to California Civil Code of Procedure § 425.16, the above-stated court testimony could not be legally used as evidence that KING AROGANT had engaged in an unlawful course of conduct with lying and racially animus, County of Los Angeles employee Cynthia Michiko Nakao's falsified and perjurious testimony was erroneously used by the Superior Court to make law findings and conclusions that KING AROGANT engaged in an unlawful course of conduct.

d. California appellate case law states explicitly that KING AROGANT'S ongoing criticism of lying Brian MARK Rosenberg was in the exercise of his First Amendment Freedom of Speech constitutional civil rights.

> "Criticism of those responsible for government operations must be free, lest criticism of government itself be penalized." *(Rosenblatt v. Baer* (1966) 383 U.S. 75, 85.) "The right to speak on political matters is the quintessential subject of our constitutional protections of the right of free speech." *(Matson v. Dvorak* (1995) 40 Cal. App. 4th 539, 548.} "The right of criticism rests upon public policy and those who seek office should not be supersensitive or too thin-skinned concerning criticism of their qualifications." *(Yorty v. Chandler* (1970) 13 Cal. App. 3d 467,473 [internal quotation marks omitted]; *Issa v. Applegate* (2019) 31 Cal. App. 5th 689, 704 ["Harry Truman cautioned would-be solons with sage advice about the heat in the kitchen."].) "Public discussion about the qualifications of those who

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

hold or who wish to hold positions of public trust presents the strongest possible case for applications of the safeguards afforded by the First Amendment." *(Aisenson v. Am. Broad. Co.* (1990) 220 Cal. App. 3d 146,154.) For example, criticism of a public official's "character and fitness for public office" are "public issue or an issue of public interest." *(Vogel v. Felice* (2005) 127 Cal. App.4th 1006, 1015 [collecting cases].)

38. Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.8, subsection (b), paragraph (1), biased, prejudiced, incompetent, and partial Superior Court of California judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong's illicit court decision and court ruling granting the County of Los Angeles's frivolous and perjurious workplace were against the law for the following reasons:

a. Lying and racially animus County of Los Angeles employee Brian MARK Rosenberg testified that he only received one email from KING AROGANT back in November 2023. Evidence of this one email was not enough for the Superior Court to make a legal finding and conclusion that KING AROGANT had engaged in a course of conduct with lying Brian MARK Rosenberg. See now California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.8.

b. Lying and racially animus County of Los Angeles employee Brian MARK Rosenberg testified that all subsequent emails sent after November 2023 were sent to his co-workers and not to him directly. CCP § 527.8 (b)(1) partly provides:

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

making telephone calls to an employee; or sending correspondence to an employee by any means, including, but not limited to, the use of the public or private mails, interoffice mail, facsimile, or computer email.

No testimony by lying and racially animus County of Los Angeles employee Brian MARK Rosenberg proved a course of conduct pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.8, subsection (b), paragraph (1)

Rosenberg did not allege in his perjurious August 19, 2025, court testimony that KING AROGANT had directly or indirectly contacted him through the use of computer email. Lying Rosenberg only testified that KING AROGANT sent emails to his co-workers and called him racist, corrupt, and stated he would file complaints against him.

c. Lying and racially animus County of Los Angeles employee Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510)** testified that all emails dated for the months of April 2025 and May 2025 were sent to lying Brian Mark Rosenberg's co-workers, not him directly. CCP § 527.8 (b)(1) partly provides:

making telephone calls to an employee; or sending correspondence to an employee by any means, including, but not limited to, the use of the public or private mails, interoffice mail, facsimile, or computer email.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

No testimony by lying and racially animus County of Los Angeles employee Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510)** alleged that KING AROGANT had directly or indirectly contacted Rosenberg through the use of computer email. Lying County of Los Angeles, Cynthia Nakao only testified that KING AROGANT sent emails to his co-workers, calling Rosenberg a racist, corrupt, and stating he would file complaints against him. Fake Petitioner County of Los Angeles presented no evidence that emails sent to lying Rosenberg's co-workers were received by him as well.

39. Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.8, subsection (c) biased, prejudiced, incompetent, and partial Superior Court of California judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong's illicit court decision and court ruling granting the County of Los Angeles's frivolous and perjurious workplace petition were against the law for the following reasons:

a. Lying and racially animus County of Los Angeles employee Brian MARK Rosenberg testified that KING AROGANT harassed him by calling him a racist, calling him corrupt, stating he would file a complaint against Rosenberg, and by threatening to sue Rosenberg. The illegal petition order granted prohibits KING AROGANT from going to the Alhambra Superior Court, where he was engaged in constitutional protected activities on February 4, 2025, and April 17, 2025. See now California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.8 (c).

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

b. Lying and racially animus County of Los Angeles employee Cynthia Nakao testified that KING AROGANT harassed lying Rosenberg by calling him a racist, calling him corrupt, stating he would file a complaint against Rosenberg, and threatening to sue Rosenberg. The illegal petition order granted prohibits KING AROGANT from going to the Alhambra Superior Court, where he was engaged in constitutional protected activities on February 4, 2025, and April 17, 2025. See now California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.8 (c).

40. Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.8, subsection (c) biased, prejudiced, incompetent, and partial Superior Court of California judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong's illicit court decision and court ruling granting the County of Los Angeles's frivolous and perjurious temporary workplace violence were against the law for the following reasons:

a. Lying and racially animus County of Los Angeles employee Brian MARK Rosenberg stated in his declaration that KING AROGANT threatened to sue him in open court numerous times. ***Id***. at ¶ 4

b. Lying and racially animus County of Los Angeles employee Brian MARK Rosenberg stated in his declaration that KING AROGANT called him a racist. ***Id***. at ¶ 4

c. Lying and racially animus County of Los Angeles employee Brian MARK

Arogant Hollywood
1808 Lagoon Colorado Driv. | Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Rosenberg stated in his declaration that KING AROGANT called him a racist. ***Id***. at ¶ 4

d. Lying and racially animus County of Los Angeles employee Brian MARK Rosenberg stated in his declaration that KING AROGANT threatened to find his family and sue them in every state he could to make Rosenberg pay for prosecuting KING AROGANT. ***Id***. at ¶ 4

e. Lying and racially animus County of Los Angeles employee Brian MARK Rosenberg stated in his declaration that KING AROGANT sent him an email on November 23, 2023, wherein KING AROGANT threatened to send lying Rosenberg to prison for prosecuting him. ***Id***. at ¶ 5

f. Lying and racially animus County of Los Angeles employee Brian MARK Rosenberg stated in his declaration that on March 20, 2025, KING AROGANT caused a disturbance and was even heard threatening to sue Rosenberg for taking 12 months of his life. ***Id***. at ¶ 7 **(Incident actually occurred on February 4, 2025, and Rosenberg fabricated lies that KING AROGANT was at the Alhambra DA office).**

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

g. Lying and racially animus County of Los Angeles employee Brian MARK Rosenberg stated in his declaration that KING AROGANT continues his harassing behavior by calling Rosenberg a racist and threatening litigation against him and his colleagues. ***Id***. at ¶ 9

h. Lying and racially animus County of Los Angeles employee Brian MARK Rosenberg stated in his declaration that KING AROGANT told his colleagues in an email dated May 8, 2025, that in addition to utilizing the same angry verbiage in describing the LADA's Office as racist and corrupt. ***Id***. at ¶ 9

i. Lying and racially animus County of Los Angeles employee Brian MARK Rosenberg stated in his declaration that KING AROGANT continues to avoid complying with the specific terms of the Rosenberg Restraining Order by claiming he is filing or serving documents in a criminal case. ***Id***. at ¶ 10

Based on all the above-stated evidence provided in lying and racially animus, Brian MARK Rosenberg's May 27, 2025, declaration. Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.8, subsection (c) biased, prejudiced, incompetent, and partial Superior Court of California judicial officer Latrice G. Byrdsong's erroneous and illicit June 9, 2025, Superior Court ruling granting County of Los Angeles's frivolous and unconstitutional civil workplace violence petition was against the damn law and United States Constitution. Cal Code Civ Proc § 657(6)

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

DATE: September 2, 2025

BY: Arogant Hollywood

Arogant Hollywood, *Esq.*
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106
Mobile: (323) 200-4449
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com

Spirit of Esquire



King Arogant



Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

I am a resident of the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 years old and a party to the action within.

I certify that on September 2, 2025, I served one copy of the foregoing:

WRONGFULLY PETITIONED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S NOTICE OF MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL PURSUANT TO CALIFORNIA CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE SECTION 659; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS OF AUTHORITIES THEREOF

,and any and all attachments, by electronic mail (.pdf format) and facsimile on the following:

Vanessa Ann Evangelista [SBN # 238474]

vevangelista@counsel.lacounty.gov

Nathan Joseph Hochman [SBN # 139137]

nhochman@da.lacounty.gov

Alexander Michael Karkanen [SBN # 162377]

akarkanen@da.lacounty.gov

Cynthia Michiko Nakao [SBN # 137510]

cnakao@da.lacounty.gov

County of Los Angeles Board of Supervisor Kathryn Barger

kathryn@bos.lacounty.gov

County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office Alhambra Field Office

626.281.4724

County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office

213.746.7556

Nathan Joseph Hochman [SBN # 182345], District Attorney

nathanhochman@da.lacounty.gov

nathan@da.lacounty.gov

nhochman@da.lacounty.gov

Steven Ian Katz [SBN # 145416], Chief Deputy District Attorney

skatz@da.lacounty.gov

James William Garrison [SBN # 157070], Line Operations, Assistant District Attorney

jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov

Ronald Millard Geltz [SBN # 263186], Former Line Operations Acting Assistant D.A.

rgeltz@da.lacounty.gov

James William Garrison [SBN # 157070], Former Ethics and Integrity Assistant DA
jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov

Fernando Sanchez [SBN # 312245], Special Assistant
fsanchez@da.lacounty.gov

Michael David Kapp [SBN # 2779277], Public Affairs Director
mkapp@da.lacounty.gov

Kathryn Bailey Albracht [SBN # 175822], Special Assistant
kalbrach@da.lacounty.gov

Arisa Mattson [SBN # 195578] Special Assistant
amattson@da.lacounty.gov

Tanishia Wright [non attorney], Former Director of Bureau of Victim Services
twright@da.lacounty.gov

Marc Cornelis Beaart [SBN # 173364], Director of Fraud and Corruption
mbeaart@da.lacounty.gov

Stephanie Pearl Mire [SBN # 158918], Former Prosecutions Support Operations Director
spmire@da.lacounty.gov

Laura Jane Kessner [SBN # 175337], Central Operations Director
ljkessner@da.lacounty.gov

Janis Eve Johnson [SBN # 175280], Head Deputy DA of Family Violence Division (74)
jjohnes@aol.com

Brittany Nicole Nickolas [RELATED TO EVE]
brittnick23@gmail.com
bnickolas@yahoo.com
zlzlbrittnick23@yahoo.com

Kathy Uyen Ta [SBN # 243716], Assistant Head Deputy DA of Family Violence Division
kta@da.lacounty.gov

Pak B. Kouch, [SBN # 204373], Assistant Head Deputy DA of Family Violence Division
pkouch@da.lacounty.gov

Mary Anne Murray [SBN # 185518], Assistant Head Deputy DA of Alhambra Field Office
mmurray@da.lacounty.gov

Victor Manuel Rodriguez [SBN # 156150], Former Head Deputy DA of Alhambra Field Office

vrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov

Cindy Juhyun Park [SBN # 271933], Deputy DA of Alhambra Field Office

cpark@da.lacounty.gov

Cynthia Nakao, Current Head Deputy in Charge of Alhambra District Attorney Field Office Deputy in Charge

cnakao@da.lacounty.gov

Robert Luna, SHERIFF OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT

rluna@lasd.org

Rogue Peace Officer Leo Ka Fong Lo [In possession of phony GOPRO video recordings]

lklo@lasd.org

Rogue Peace Officer Billy Khounthavong [Responsible for sending KING AROGANT's GOPRO camera to Southern California High Tech Task Force and Testing Falsely that Phony GOPRO video recordings GX010586 and GX010587 were downloaded from KING AROGANT's original GOPRO.

bskhount@lasd.org

Robert Bonta, California Attorney General

rbonta@doj.ca.gov

Nicole Amber Davis-Tinkham, Chief Deputy County Counsel of County of Los Angeles

rdavis-tinkham@counsel.lacounty.gov

nikki.davis1212@gmail.com

Judy Welch-Whitehurst, Chief Deputy County Counsel of County of Los Angeles

jwhitehurst@counsel.lacounty.gov

County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office Headquarters

info@da.lacounty.gov

RACIST AND LYING BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG
racistbrianmarkrosenberg@da.lacounty.gov

ROGUE AND RACIST PEACE OFFICER BENJAMIN YANG
byang@alhambrapd.org

and facsimile on the following:

626.281.4724 (COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE ALHAMBRA LOCATION

213.633.1956 (COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE HEADQUARTERS FAX NUMBER)

213.633.0960 (COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE FAMILY VIOLENCE DIVISION FAX NUMBER)

916.323.5341 (CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, OFFICE OF ROBERT BONTA, ATTORNEY GENERAL'S FACSIMILE NUMBER)

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws and Constitution of California, and under the laws, federal statutes, and Constitution of the United States of America that the foregoing declaration is true and correct.

Executed on September 2, 2025, in California, San Bernardino County, city of Loma Linda, California

DATE**: September 2, 2025**

By: Arogant Hollywood

Arogant Hollywood

.


SELF-HELP
RESOURC
CENTE
ROOM

VERIFICATION OF RESPONDENT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD

United States of America

State of California

City of Monrovia, California, Within the County of Los Angeles

I, **AROGANT HOLLYWOOD**, being duly sworn, say:

I, AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, am a defendant in the above-entitled action and proceeding. I have read the foregoing**;**

WRONGFULLY PETITIONED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S NOTICE OF MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL PURSUANT TO CALIFORNIA CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE SECTION 659; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS OF AUTHORITIES THEREOF

and know the contents thereof. The facts stated therein are true and within my personal knowledge, [except as to those matters which are therein alleged on information and belief, and as to those matters, I believe them to be true], and if called upon to testify I would competently testify as to the matters stated herein.

I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America and the state of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on September 2, 2025, in California, San Bernardino County, city of Loma Linda, California

DATE**: September 2, 2025**

By:

Arogant Hollywood

Arogant

From:	ExecutiveOffice
To:	First District; Mitchell, Holly J.; Third District; Supervisor Janice Hahn (Fourth District); Barger, Kathryn
Cc:	PublicComments
Subject:	Fw: Bos
Date:	Wednesday, November 19, 2025 3:38:51 PM

Good afternoon, the following correspondent is being forwarded for your review and handling.
Thank you!

Executive Office of the Board of Supervisors
Phone: (213) 974-1411 | Email: ExecutiveOffice@bos.lacounty.gov
Find us on Facebook, Instagram, LinkedIn, Twitter @ Youtube @LACountyBOS

From: Emari Wallace <ewallace1492@icloud.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 19, 2025 1:30 PM
To: ExecutiveOffice <ExecutiveOffice@bos.lacounty.gov>
Subject: Bos

Sent from my iPhone

My name is Emari Wallace. I'm 18 years old, from Los Angeles, and I'm proud to be a LOBOS fellow at the Youth Justice Coalition—a family who has helped me with the resources I needed as a youth and many other youth

LA County has spent a lot of money on incarcerating youth, yet locking young people up has never solved the issues they face. Youth incarceration has one of the highest return rates, with many studies showing that **70% to 80%** of incarcerated youth are rearrested within three years.

More than **60%** of incarcerated youth are also one or more grade levels behind their peers. Reports from the U.S. Department of Education show that many facilities lack certified teachers, offer limited class time, and fail to provide required special education services. Instead of

helping young people succeed, the system often sets them back even further.

But there are real solutions. We need to provide **mentorship, healing, education, job training, and family support**. Community programs like **Heart of yjr** and the **Youth Justice Coalition** are more effective, more supportive, and far less expensive for the youth and for the county.

I’m asking you to choose a future where young people are met with **support, not cages**.

Thank you for listening.