PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

Correspondence Received

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

			The following individuals submitted comments on agenda item:	
Agenda #	**Relate To**	**Position**	**Name**	**Comments**
Public Comment		**Favor**	Gregory D Jackson	
			Megan Stanton-Trehan	The Education Justice Coalition (EJC) would like to thank Supervisors Mitchell and Hahn for co- authoring the motion entitled “Access to Learning: Fighting for the Rights of Incarcerated Youth” and express our support for this motion. EJC is an organizational partnership amongst ACLU of Southern California, Arts for Healing and Justice Network, Children’s Defense Fund-California, Disability Rights California, Public Counsel, and the Youth Justice Education Clinic at Loyola Law School. We aim to uplift young people’s lived experiences, provide education advocacy resources in the community, end the school-prison nexus, and hold decision-makers accountable. In August 2025, EJC released a report documenting Los Angeles County’s systemic failures to address the needs of incarcerated students. In this report, we raised two critical questions: “Who has the power to make the necessary reform to ensure equitable education for detained young people in Los Angeles County? And, will those with power heed the call for increasing public accountability and community partnership to achieve this end moving forward?" To address concerns with education and programming inside LA County Juvenile Halls and Camps, this motion seeks to implement several EJC report recommendations. We applaud this effort to address school suspensions and chronic absenteeism, lack of access to quality education (including special education) and programming, and the historic lack of accountability and transparency in the provision of education services in the facilities. We especially appreciate the emphasis on interrupting the school-prison nexus in LA County by asking our relevant county stakeholders to investigate and assess the way LA’s youth are being funneled into the juvenile criminal legal system by local education agencies. With that said, EJC would like to note areas where we believe the motion could be improved and respectfully request that these items be revised. First, we do not believe that Opportunity Rooms are a solution to school suspensions or chronic absenteeism. In fact, in our experience as education advocates and attorneys, so-called “Opportunity Rooms” often serve as tools for ongoing school pushout and exclusion. Rather than determining suitable locations for Opportunity Rooms in LA County juvenile court schools, EJC would urge the Los Angeles County Office of Education (LACOE) to work with other stakeholders to address school suspensions in LA County juvenile court schools by implementing the critical steps outlined in this motion. Next, EJC is concerned that the motion, as written, does not appear to address special education instruction and related services for students with disabilities. Although this motion references “special education

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

			accommodations," some students with disabilities require more than accommodations to access and benefit from their education. For example, a student with a learning disability and language impairment will require more than an accommodation for extra time on assignments to receive meaningful benefit from their education. Federal and state law is clear that such students are entitled to specially designed instruction to meet their unique needs, as well as related services such as speech and language therapy. EJC urges consideration of agencies' legal obligations to students with disabilities under Section 504 and the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). Finally, EJC requests that LACOE and Probation be directed to report back to the Board of Supervisors on existing efforts to implement the EJC report recommendations and their plan to implement any recommendations or components of them that have yet to be implemented. This report back should be accomplished in 60 days rather than 90 given the urgent need to address the longstanding systemic concerns identified in the EJC report and this motion. Thank you again to Supervisors Mitchell and Hahn for authoring this motion, and we respectfully encourage all of our honorable supervisors to vote to approve the motion with EJC's suggested changes.
		Ryan Wingenroth	I 100% believe it is necessary to use the section of the county code, believe 56, that allows for the direct transfer of LAHSA staff to the county to fill the positions for the new department. I have no doubt LAHSA had some underlying issues, but to blow up the whole organization and the people in it, seems insane. We are clearly beyond the point of trying to fix the accountability issues that LAHSA's senior leadership had, and the ddd decision has been made to blow it up and start over. Well, every organization in this county looks to LAHSA staff due to their experience and passion for the work. Start a new department, build better processes, but how could there be a better method for immediately staffing and building that department then to transfer the LAHSA staff over. We know what the county hiring process looks like…. It's takes FOREVER. I feel it would be a massive oversight to have the birth of this new department have its first function be to essentially lay off hundreds of works that fit the description of the positions they create. Will its first clients be those that it created? Bring the LAHSA staff over, build processed and an accountability structure, and thrive.
	Oppose	Benjamin Hochberg	I worked for a homeless provider and my wife works for LAHSA. We can all agree that homeless services is in need of change. The employees of LAHSA are not responsible for the mismanagement of funds that led to the issues we have now. Measure A states that public employees must not be displaced, the county has gone back on their promise in making a priority transfer of LAHSA staff to the new county agency. LAHSA employees have dedicated their lives to the cause of ending homelessness and they are now at risk of homelessness themselves. Making LAHSA employees apply to their own positions competing with the public has created turmoil and uncertainty. I ask

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

			that you all reconsider your approach and continue this transition prioritizing LAHSA employees who are already trained and ready to go. Replacing experienced staff with new employees at lower pay rates will compromise the system and jeopardize services for tens of thousands of unhoused residents. The expertise, training, and institutional knowledge of the current workforce cannot be replicated overnight. LA County along with its media and its constituents are keeping track of what's happening.
		Other	Ann Dorsey	I urge you to use your position to help me call on Congress to do everything they can to protect voting rights by supporting and passing legislation that protects those rights, such as the John Lewis Voting Rights Advancement Act and blocking legislation that will make it difficult to vote such as the SAVE Act. It is imperative that this be made a top priority because the U.S. Supreme Court is poised to rehear Louisiana v. Callais and could nullify Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act (VRA) — the last major safeguard against racially discriminatory political maps. A new analysis from Fair Fight Action and Black Voters Matter lays bare what's at stake: Gutting Section 2 could lock in 27 more safe Republican U.S. House seats, with at least 19 directly tied to the loss of its protections. Up to 30% of the Congressional Black Caucus and 11% of the Congressional Hispanic Caucus could lose their seats. The ripple effects would reach every level of government, from city councils to school boards, transforming local democracy into a partisan machine. If Section 2 falls, Republicans' long-term gerrymandering strategy will cement single-party control for a generation ending free and fair elections. Electoral contests would persist in form but not in substance; citizens could still vote, but their votes would no longer determine power. Thank you for taking action. Please keep fighting to protect our democracy.
			AROGANT HOLLYWOOD	AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq. ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD 1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD. PASADENA, CA 91106 Mobile: 206.471.1344 Mobile: 626.755.6442 aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com OF THEIR OWN COUNSEL 3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted Spirit of Esquire

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

Correspondence Received

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS PUBLIC BOARD MEETING

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD,
ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD,
Public Commenters,
v.

hilda solis,
lindsey patrice horvath,
holly j. mitchell,
kathryn barger leibrich,
janice hahn,

Supervisor(s),
AROGANT HOLLYWOOD & ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD'S PUBLIC COMMENT FOR NOVEMBER 18, 2025

Date of Hearing: November 18, 2025
Time: 10:00 AM

PUBLIC COMMENT includes herein Exhibits 1-42 (approximately 3,300 pages) that must be made part of the November 18, 2025 Statement of Proceedings/Minutes pursuant to the California Government Code § 54950

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD & ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD'S FOR PUBLIC COMMENT FOR NOVEMBER 18, 2025!

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD & ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD'S PUBLIC COMMENT FOR NOVEMBER 18, 2025, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES BOARD OF SUPERVISORS' PUBLIC BOARD MEETING!

The County of Los Angeles must immediately dismiss the frivolous workplace violence petition restraining order 25STRO03574. Attached hereto is a true and correct electronic portable document file copy of Exhibits 1-42, all inclusive. Exhibits 1-42 prove by clear and convincing evidence that

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles Stanley Mosk Superior Court civil law case 25STRO03574 has no merit because AROGANT HOLLYWOOD [hereinafter "KING AROGANT"] has not made any contact whatsoever with RACIST & LYING County of Los Angeles Deputy District Attorney Brian MARK Rosenberg (SBN # 291501) since KING AROGANT sent a single email to RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg in early November 2023. The evidence in Exhibits 1-42 clearly proves by clear and convincing evidence that KING AROGANT has never contacted Brian MARK Rosenberg by any means of communication since early November 13, 2023.

The County of Los Angeles will be held legally liable for its judicial officer, Timothy R. Martella, granting both a frivolous temporary restraining order on November 14, 2023, and then shortly thereafter a permanent restraining order for LYING & RACIST Brian

MARK Rosenberg (SBN # 291501) in frivolous and RACIST Superior Court of

California, County of Los Angeles, Pasadena Superior Court case PDRO001713 on December 20, 2023. Exhibit 7 proves by clear and convincing evidence that pursuant to California Civil Code of Procedure § 527.6, RACIST Rosenberg's petition showed no course of conduct, and also the illegal petition showed that RACIST Rosenberg was never a victim of a credible threat of unlawful violence or a victim of unlawful violence. During the year 2024, the County of Los Angeles paid its employee Timothy R. Martella a grand total of $ 244,129.00.

County of Los Angeles and RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg fabricated lies in their 25STRO03574 workplace violence petition legal documents by lying to the Superior Court that RACIST Rosenberg's frivolous PDRO01713 civil harassment petition prevented KING AROGANT from going to RACIST BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG'S workplace located at 150 West Commonwealth Avenue, Alhambra, CA 91801, First Floor. THIS IS NOT AT ALL TRUE! See now Exhibit 7 at page 86. The Superior Court order stated that KING AROGANT must stay 100 yards away from RACIST BRIAN MARK Rosenberg and his vehicle. Nowhere on the frivolous Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Pasadena Superior Court, December 20, 2023, permanent injunction court order does it order KING AROGANT to stay 150 yards away from the job or workplace of RACIST BRIAN MARK Rosenberg! Pursuant to United States Supreme Court case Monell v. Department of Social Services, 436 U.S. 658, 98 S.Ct 2018, 56 L.Ed.2d 611 (1978) County of Los Angeles will be held liable for the reckless, fraudulent, and unconstitutional actions of its employees Timothy R. Martella

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

Correspondence Received

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

(paid $ 244,129 by the County of Los Angeles in 2024) and RACIST Brian MARK
Rosenberg (SBN 291501) (paid $ 310,478.00 in total pay and benefits by the County of Los Angeles during the year 2024).

County of Los Angeles and its corrupt Sheriff Robert G. Luna did not have any jurisdiction or legal authority to willfully, recklessly, knowingly, fraudulently, wantonly, deliberately, carelessly, and callously lock out a disabled woman named Alison Helen Fairchild out of her home located at 2308 Felicia Avenue, Rowland Heights, CA 91748 using an invalid, phony, bogus, and illicit Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, West Covina Superior Court writ of possession that did not bear her name and was attached to an illegal Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, West Covina Superior Court civil law case number 24WCUD02475, in which Alison Helen Fairchild was dismissed without prejudice by Plaintiff Chen Property RH LLC. Alison Helen Fairchild was dismissed from illegal Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, West Covina Superior Court civil law case 24WCUD02475 in December 2024, which was the same exact case number printed on the illegal 5-day-notice-to-vacate left on her home's front door by County of Los Angeles peace officers on or about June 8, 2025. The reckless actions of County of Los Angeles employee Leslie Bouvier Gutierrez (SBN # 276241) in conspiring with federal district court Defendants Robert G Luna, County of Los Angeles, Songfong Tommy Wang (SBN # 272409), Fae Chen, Orange Chen's Property LLC, Chen Property RH LLC, and DOES 1-10 was one of the main reasons why Alison Helen Fairchild was wrongfully evicted on or about June 15, 2025. County of Los Angeles judicial officer employee Leslie Bouvier Guitterez allowed

Alison Helen Fairchild to be wrongfully evicted and fraudulently signed off on her co-conspirators' invalid writ of possession, even after KING AROGANT had submitted documents and told her on the record that Plaintiff Chen Property RH LLC had voluntarily dismissed Fairchild from Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, West Covina Superior Court civil case 24WCUD02475. Accordingly, Pursuant to United States Supreme Court case Monell v. Department of Social Services, 436 U.S. 658, 98 S.Ct 2018, 56 L.Ed.2d 611 (1978) County of Los Angeles will be held liable for the reckless, fraudulent, and unconstitutional actions of its employee, Leslie Bouvier Gutierrez (paid approximately $ 270,000 by the County of Los Angeles in 2024)

The County of Los Angeles and its employee, Nathan Joseph Hochman (SBN # 139137), need to immediately focus on overturning and dismissing the wrongful conviction of KING AROGANT in the RACIST BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG-led criminal case GA114055. The County of Los Angeles

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

	should prepare to be blindsided by newly discovered evidence created by the work of a nationwide court reporting and litigation agency and through the work of a nationwide digital forensics agency. YOU FOOLS! County of Los Angeles, its employee Nathan Joseph Hochman (SBN # 139137), its employee Robert G. Luna, and its agency County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department Fraud & Cyber Crime Bureau's Southern California High Tech Task Force (public comment will be submitted to County of Ventura as well) and its employee Nathan Joseph Hochman (SBN # 139137) need to immediately focus on turning over and disclosing a copy of phony and bogus LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL01586, GL010587, & GL10588 that County of Los Angeles employees Michael Villalobos, RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg, and dirty Billy S. Khounthavong willfully, recklessly, knowingly, car
Esroruleh Mohammad	This statement is submitted under Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act to preserve the integrity of the County's administrative record and to reaffirm the evidentiary continuity of prior filings—References E-13A (October 27 2025), Q-30 (November 4 2025), and R-33 (November 5 2025)—governing ADA-protected authorship, retaliation prevention, and procedural fidelity. For more than a year, Los Angeles County policy language has converged with analytic structures first disclosed under ADA medical protection through the BureauCare-to-Custody-Cemetery Pipeline™ (BCCP™) and B2C3A™ Frameworks, federally registered under U.S. Copyright TXu 2-486-534. These frameworks introduced the prevention-to-custody nexus, systems-equity logic, and interoperability language that now appear across multiple County initiatives—from probation reform to data equity, youth diversion, and veterans' housing integration. Their unacknowledged replication in public materials without provenance verification risks eroding both the ethical legitimacy and legal defensibility of County governance. Accordingly, this comment constitutes an ADA-protected provenance declaration and compliance notice. It requests that the Board, through County Counsel and the Chief Executive Office, implement a permanent, County-wide provenance-verification protocol ensuring that any analytic, linguistic, or structural elements derived from ADA-protected frameworks be properly attributed and maintained within the County's ADA and public-records archives. Such action is not discretionary: it fulfills the County's obligations under 28 C.F.R. § 35.160 (effective communication), Government Code §§ 7922.530–7922.545 (public-records access), and 17 U.S.C. §§ 102–106 (authorship and derivative-works protections).

PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

Correspondence Received

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

	This filing also memorializes the County's historical inflection point: whether Los Angeles County's prevention-governance era will be recorded as one that respected the intellectual labor and lived-experience authorship of its disabled contributors—or one that institutionalized their frameworks while excluding their participation. By integrating provenance safeguards, the County can affirm that equity, accessibility, and authorship integrity are not aspirational ideals but enforceable components of public governance. This record entry is recognition-only, non-advocacy, and submitted solely to ensure that the County's administrative and legislative history accurately reflects the origins and lawful protections of the analytic frameworks now embedded in its reforms. It is intended to stand as a permanent ADA Title II evidentiary record for future legal, academic, and governmental review. Supporting documentation (Exhibits E-13A, E-13B, Q-30, and R-14) has been uploaded under Items 9, 13, and 14 for provenance preservation pursuant to ADA Title II and § 504 record-continuity obligations. Respectfully submitted, Dr. Esroruleh T. Mohammad, Ph.D. Clinical Psychologist (ADA / § 504 Medical Leave) Systems Equity Strategist & Family Advocate Author, BureauCare-to-Custody-Cemetery Pipeline™ (BCCP™) / B2C3A™ Frameworks U.S. Copyright TXu 2-486-534 tamimmohammad@gmail.com Filed under Unified BCCP™ / B2C3A™ Evidentiary Convergence Archive Submitted pursuant to confirmed ADA Title II / § 504 written-communication accommodation (CRD approval 10 / 23 / 25). Recognition-only. No methods or tools disclosed; no license granted. © Dr. Esroruleh Mohammad (2025) This filing is linked to prior ADA Title II record-continuity correspondence, References E-13A (October 27 2025), Q-30 (November 4 2025), and R-33 (November 5 2025), for evidentiary traceability and provenance verification.
Laura Lull	My name is Laura Lull. I am the CEO of Rainbow Services, Ltd., a nonprofit organization in Supervisorial District 4, and I'm here as a member of the Domestic Violence & Homeless Services Coalition. Our system challenges are immense, with fewer than 1,000 DV beds available countywide for the more than 4,400 unsheltered adults who need them, and limited availability outside of the City of Los Angeles. 1 in 5 unaccompanied women identified violence as the immediate cause of their homelessness, and more than 50% of LA County foster care cases were found to involve domestic violence, suggesting causality for former foster care

				youth falling into homelessness as well. Given this, and the recent curtailment of regional coordination, we urge the County to match LAHSA's current DV-focused staffing levels. Non-federally funded County programs no longer overseen by LAHSA will also no longer fall under VAWA requirements, including the right to a comparable unit when fleeing. This adds urgency to the need for dedicated staff within the new County Department to ensure that survivors feel safe to flee, and when they do, they don't return to homelessness. We appreciate your consideration and look forward to your continued partnership.
		Item Total	**8**	
Grand Total			**8**	

As of: 11/17/2025 7:00:16 PM

CH-109 Notice of Court Hearing

Clerk stamps date here when form is filed.

FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles

NOV 14 2023

David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court
By: C. Gonzalez, Deputy

(1) Person Seeking Protection

a. Your Full Name: Mark Brian Rosenberg

Your Lawyer (if you have one for this case):

Name: _____ State Bar No.: _____

Firm Name: _____

b. Your Address *(If you have a lawyer, give your lawyer's information. If you do not have a lawyer and want to keep your home address private, you may give a different mailing address instead. You do not have to give telephone, fax, or email.)*

Address: 150 W Commonwealth Ave

City: Alhambra State: CA Zip: 91801

Telephone: _____ Fax: _____

Email Address: _____

Fill in court name and street address:

Superior Court of California, County of

LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT
ALHAMBRA COURTHOUSE
150 W. COMMONWEALTH AVE.
ALHAMBRA, CA 91801

Court fills in case number when form is filed.

Case Number: 23PDR001713

(2) Person From Whom Protection Is Sought

Full Name: Arogant Hollywood

The court will complete the rest of this form.

(3) Notice of Hearing

A court hearing is scheduled on the request for restraining orders against the person in (2):

Hearing Date → Date: 12-04-2023 Time: 8:30

Dept.: S Room: _____

Name and address of court if different from above:

~~Los Angeles Superior Court~~
~~Pasadena Courthouse~~
~~300 East Walnut St.~~
~~Pasadena, CA 91101~~

(4) Temporary Restraining Orders *(Any orders granted are on form CH-110, served with this notice.)*

a. Temporary Restraining Orders for personal conduct and stay-away orders as requested in form CH-100, *Request for Civil Harassment Restraining Orders,* are *(check only one box below):*

(1) ☑ All **GRANTED** until the court hearing.

(2) ☐ All **DENIED** until the court hearing. *(Specify reasons for denial in b, below.)*

(3) ☐ Partly **GRANTED** and partly **DENIED** until the court hearing. *(Specify reasons for denial in b, below.)*

b. Reasons for denial of some or all of those personal conduct and stay-away orders as requested in form CH-100, *Request for Civil Harassment Restraining Orders*, are:

(1) ☐ The facts as stated in form CH-100 do not sufficiently show acts of violence, threats of violence, or a course of conduct that seriously alarmed, annoyed, or harassed the person in (1) and caused substantial emotional distress.

(2) ☐ Other *(specify)*: ☐ As stated on Attachment 4b.

(5) Confidential Information Regarding Minor

a. ☐ A *Request to Keep Minor's Information Confidential* (form CH-160) was made and **GRANTED**. (*See form CH-165*, Order on Request to Keep Minor's Information Confidential, *served with this form.)*

b. **If the request was granted, the information described in item (7) on the order (form CH-165) must be kept CONFIDENTIAL. The disclosure or misuse of the information is punishable as a sanction, with a fine of up to $1,000 or other court penalties.**

(6) Service of Documents for the Person in (1)

At least ☒ five ☐ _____ **days before the hearing,** someone age 18 or older—**not you or anyone to be protected**—must personally give (serve) a court's file-stamped copy of this form CH-109 to the person in (2) along with a copy of all the forms indicated below:

a. ☒ CH-100, *Request for Civil Harassment Restraining Orders* (file-stamped)

b. ☒ CH-110, *Temporary Restraining Order* (file-stamped) **IF GRANTED**

c. CH-120, *Response to Request for Civil Harassment Restraining Orders* (blank form)

d. CH-120-INFO, *How Can I Respond to a Request for Civil Harassment Restraining Orders?*

e. CH-250, *Proof of Service by Mail* (blank form)

f. ☐ CH-170, *Notice of Order Protecting Information of Minor* and CH-165, *Order on Request to Keep Minor's Information Confidential* (file-stamped) **IF GRANTED**

g. ☐ Other *(specify)*: _____

Date: 11/14/2023

ADAM Y. CHANG
Judicial Officer

Case Number: 23PDR001713

To the Person in ① :

- The court cannot make the restraining orders after the court hearing unless the person in ② has been personally given (served) a copy of your request and any temporary orders. To show that the person in ② has been served, the person who served the forms must fill out a proof of service form. Form CH-200, *Proof of Personal Service,* may be used.
- For information about service, read form CH-200-INFO, *What Is "Proof of Personal Service"?*
- If you are unable to serve the person in ② in time, you may ask for more time to serve the documents. Use form CH-115, *Request to Continue Court Hearing and to Reissue Temporary Restraining Order.*

To the Person in ② :

- If you want to respond to the request for orders in writing, file form CH-120, *Response to Request for Civil Harassment Restraining Orders,* and have someone age 18 or older—**not you or anyone to be protected**—mail it to the person in ①.
- The person who mailed the form must fill out a proof of service form. Form CH-250, *Proof of Service by Mail,* may be used. File the completed form with the court before the hearing and bring a copy with you to the court hearing.
- Whether or not you respond in writing, go to the hearing if you want the judge to hear from you before making an order. You may tell the judge why you agree or disagree with the orders requested.
- You may bring witnesses and other evidence.
- At the hearing, the judge may make restraining orders against you that could last up to five years and may order you to turn in to law enforcement, or sell to or store with a licensed gun dealer, any firearms (guns) and firearm parts that you own or possess. This includes firearm receivers and frames, and any item that may be used as or easily turned into a receiver or frame (see Penal Code section 16531).



Request for Accommodations

Assistive listening systems, computer-assisted real-time captioning, or sign language interpreter services are available if you ask at least five days before the hearing. Contact the clerk's office or go to www.courts.ca.gov/forms for *Disability Accommodation Request* (form MC-410). (Civ. Code, § 54.8.)

(Clerk will fill out this part.)

—Clerk's Certificate—

I certify that this *Notice of Court Hearing* is a true and correct copy of the original on file in the court.

Clerk's Certificate
[seal]



Date: SEP 08 2025

Clerk, by David W. Slayton, Deputy M. Diaz

CH-110 Temporary Restraining Order

Clerk stamps date here when form is filed.

FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles

NOV 14 2023

David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court
By: C. Gonzalez, Deputy

Person in (1) must complete items (1), (2), and (3) only.

(1) Protected Person

a. Your Full Name: Brian Mark Rosenberg

Your Lawyer *(if you have one for this case)*:
Name: _____ State Bar No.: _____
Firm Name: _____

b. Your Address *(If you have a lawyer, give your lawyer's information. If you do not have a lawyer and want to keep your home address private, you may give a different mailing address instead. You do not have to give telephone, fax, or email.)*:
Address: 150 W Commonwealth Ave
City: Alhambra State: CA Zip: 91801
Telephone: 626 308 5437 Fax: _____
Email Address: brosenberg@da.lacounty.gov

Fill in court name and street address:

Superior Court of California, County of
LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT
ALHAMBRA COURTHOUSE
150 W. COMMONWEALTH AVE.
ALHAMBRA, CA 91801

Court fills in case number when form is filed.

Case Number: 23PDR00173

(2) Restrained Person

(Give all the information you know. Information with a star (*) is required to add this order to the California police database. If age is unknown, give an estimate.)

*Full Name: Arogant Hollywood *Age: 44 Date of Birth: 12/9/78
*Race: Black Height: _____ Weight: _____ Hair Color: Blk Eye Color: BRO
*Gender: ☒ M ☐ F ☐ Nonbinary Home Address: Transient
City: _____ State: CA Zip: _____
Relationship to Protected Person: Defendant on case I prosecuted

(3) ☐ Additional Protected Persons

In addition to the person named in (1), the following family or household members of that person are protected by the temporary orders indicated below:

Full Name	Gender	Age	Household Member?	Relation to Protected Person
			☐ Yes ☐ No	
			☐ Yes ☐ No	
			☐ Yes ☐ No	
			☐ Yes ☐ No	

☐ *Check here if there are additional persons. List them on an attached sheet of paper and write "Attachment 3—Additional Protected Persons" as a title. You may use form MC-025, Attachment.*

The court will complete the rest of this form.

(4) Expiration Date

This Order expires at the end of the hearing scheduled for the date and time below:

Date: 12-04-2023 Time: 8:30 ☒ a.m. ☐ p.m.

This is a Court Order.

Case Number:
23PDR00171

To the Person in (2):

The court has granted the temporary orders checked as granted below. If you do not obey these orders, you can be arrested and charged with a crime. You may be sent to jail for up to one year, pay a fine of up to $1,000, or both.

(5) Personal Conduct Orders

☐ Not Requested ☐ Denied Until the Hearing ☒ Granted as Follows:

a. You must not do the following things to the person named in (1)
☐ and to the other protected persons listed in (3):

(1) ☒ Harass, intimidate, molest, attack, strike, stalk, threaten, assault (sexually or otherwise), hit, abuse, destroy personal property of, or disturb the peace of the person.

(2) ☒ Contact the person, either directly or indirectly, in any way, including, but not limited to, in person, by telephone, in writing, by public or private mail, by interoffice mail, by email, by text message, by fax, or by other electronic means.

(3) ☐ Take any action to obtain the person's address or location. If this item (3) is not checked, the court has found good cause not to make this order.

(4) ☐ Other *(specify):*
☐ Other personal conduct orders are attached at the end of this Order on Attachment 5a(4).

b. Peaceful written contact through a lawyer or a process server or other person for service of legal papers related to a court case is allowed and does not violate this order. However, you may have your papers served by mail on the person in (1).

(6) Stay-Away Order

☐ Not Requested ☐ Denied Until the Hearing ☒ Granted as Follows:

a. You must stay at least 100 yards away from *(check all that apply):*

(1) ☒ The person in (1)
(2) ☐ Each person in (3)
(3) ☐ The home of the person in (1)
(4) ☐ The job or workplace of the person in (1)
(5) ☐ The school of the person in (1)
(6) ☐ The school of the children of the person in (1)
(7) ☐ The place of child care of the children of the person in (1)
(8) ☐ The vehicle of the person in (1)
(9) ☐ Other *(specify):*

b. This stay-away order does not prevent you from going to or from your home or place of employment.

(7) No Firearms (Guns), Firearm Parts, or Ammunition

a. You cannot own, possess, have, buy or try to buy, receive or try to receive, or in any other way get any prohibited items listed in b on the next page.

This is a Court Order.

7 b. **Prohibited items are:**

(1) Firearms (guns);

(2) Firearm parts, meaning receivers, frames, or any item that may be used as or easily turned into a receiver or frame (see Penal Code section 16531); and

(3) Ammunition.

c. You must:

(1) Sell to or store with a licensed gun dealer, or turn in to a law enforcement agency, any firearms (guns) and firearm parts in your immediate possession or control. This must be done within 24 hours of being served with this Order.

(2) File a receipt with the court within 48 hours of receiving this Order that proves that your firearms (guns) and firearm parts have been turned in, sold, or stored. (You may use *Receipt for Firearms and Firearm Parts* (form CH-800) for the receipt.)

d. ☐ The court has received information that you own or possess a firearm (gun), firearm parts, or ammunition.

8 Possession and Protection of Animals

☒ **Not Requested** ☐ **Denied Until the Hearing** ☐ **Granted as Follows** *(specify):*

a. ☐ The person in 1 is given the sole possession, care, and control of the animals listed below, which are owned, possessed, leased, kept, or held by him or her, or reside in his or her household.
(Identify animals by, e.g., type, breed, name, color, sex.)

b. ☐ The person in 2 must stay at least _____ yards away from, and not take, sell, transfer, encumber, conceal, molest, attack, strike, threaten, harm, or otherwise dispose of, the animals listed above.

9 Other Orders

☒ **Not Requested** ☐ **Denied Until the Hearing** ☐ **Granted as Follows** *(specify):*

☐ Additional orders are attached at the end of this Order on Attachment 9.

To the Person in 1:

10 Mandatory Entry of Order Into CARPOS Through CLETS

This Order must be entered into the California Restraining and Protective Order System (CARPOS) through the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS). *(Check one):*

a. ☒ The clerk will enter this Order and its proof-of-service form into CARPOS.

b. ☐ The clerk will transmit this Order and its proof-of-service form to a law enforcement agency to be entered into CARPOS.

This Is a Court Order.

(10) c. ☐ By the close of business on the date that this Order is made, the person in (1) or his or her lawyer should deliver a copy of the Order and its proof-of-service form to the law enforcement agency listed below to enter into CARPOS:

Name of Law Enforcement Agency | Address *(City, State, Zip)*

☐ Additional law enforcement agencies are listed at the end of this Order on Attachment 10.

(11) **No Fee to Serve (Notify) Restrained Person** ☒ **Ordered** ☐ **Not Ordered**

The sheriff or marshal will serve this Order without charge because:

a. ☒ The Order is based on unlawful violence, a credible threat of violence, or stalking.

b. ☐ The person in (1) is entitled to a fee waiver.

(12) Number of pages attached to this Order, if any: _____

Date: 11/14/2023

ADAM Y. CHANG

Judicial Officer

Warnings and Notices to the Restrained Person in (2)

You Cannot Have Firearms (Guns), Firearm Parts, or Ammunition

You cannot own, have, possess, buy or try to buy, receive or try to receive, or otherwise get any prohibited items listed in item 7b on page 3 while this Order is in effect. If you do, you can go to jail and pay a $1,000 fine. You must sell to or store with a licensed gun dealer, or turn in to a law enforcement agency, any firearms (guns) and firearm parts that you have or control as stated in item (7) above. The court will require you to prove that you did so.

Notice Regarding Nonappearance at Hearing and Service of Order

If you have been personally served with this Temporary Restraining Order and form CH-109, *Notice of Court Hearing*, but you do not appear at the hearing either in person or by a lawyer, and a restraining order that is the same as this Temporary Restraining Order except for the expiration date is issued at the hearing, a copy of the order will be served on you by mail at the address in item (2).

If this address is not correct or you wish to verify that the Temporary Restraining Order was converted into a restraining order at the hearing without substantive change, or to find out the duration of the order, contact the clerk of the court.

After You Have Been Served With a Restraining Order

- Obey all the orders.
- Read form CH-120-INFO, *How Can I Respond to a Request for Civil Harassment Restraining Orders?*, to learn how to respond to this Order.
- If you want to respond, fill out form CH-120, *Response to Request for Civil Harassment Restraining Orders*, and file it with the court clerk. You do not have to pay any fee to file your response if the Request claims that you inflicted or threatened violence against or stalked the person in (1).

This Is a Court Order.

- You must have form CH-120 served by mail on the person in ① or that person's attorney. You cannot do this yourself. The person who does the mailing should complete and sign form CH-250, *Proof of Service by Mail*. File the completed proof of service with the court clerk before the hearing date or bring it with you to the hearing.
- In addition to the response, you may file and have declarations served, signed by you and other persons who have personal knowledge of the facts. You may use form MC-030, *Declaration*, for this purpose. It is available from the clerk's office at the court shown on page 1 of this form or at *www.courts.ca.gov/forms*. If you do not know how to prepare a declaration, you should see a lawyer.
- Whether or not you file a response, you should attend the hearing. If you have any witnesses, they must also go to the hearing.
- At the hearing, the judge can make restraining orders against you that last for up to five years. Tell the judge why you disagree with the orders requested.

Instructions for Law Enforcement

Enforcing the Restraining Order

This order is enforceable by any law enforcement agency that has received the order, is shown a copy of the order, or has verified its existence on the California Restraining and Protective Orders System (CARPOS). If the law enforcement agency has not received proof of service on the restrained person, the agency must advise the restrained person of the terms of the order and then must enforce it. Violations of this order are subject to criminal penalties.

Start Date and End Date of Orders

This order *starts* on the date next to the judge's signature on page 4. The order *ends* on the expiration date in item ④ on page 1.

Arrest Required if Order Is Violated

If an officer has probable cause to believe that the restrained person had notice of the order and has disobeyed the order, the officer must arrest the restrained person. (Pen. Code, §§ 836(c)(1), 13701(b).) A violation of the order may be a violation of Penal Code section 166 or 273.6. Agencies are encouraged to enter violation messages into CARPOS.

Notice/Proof of Service

The law enforcement agency must first determine if the restrained person had notice of the order. Consider the restrained person "served" (given notice) if (Pen. Code, § 836(c)(2)):

- The officer sees a copy of the Proof of Service or confirms that the Proof of Service is on file; or
- The restrained person was informed of the order by an officer.

An officer can obtain information about the contents of the order and proof of service in CARPOS. If proof of service on the restrained person cannot be verified, the agency must advise the restrained person of the terms of the order and then enforce it.

This Is a Court Order.

If the Protected Person Contacts the Restrained Person

Even if the protected person invites or consents to contact with the restrained person, this order remains in effect and must be enforced. The protected person cannot be arrested for inviting or consenting to contact with the restrained person. The order can be changed only by another court order. (Pen. Code, § 13710(b).)

Conflicting Orders—Priorities for Enforcement

If more than one restraining order has been issued protecting the protected person from the restrained person, the orders must be enforced in the following priority (see Pen. Code, § 136.2 and Fam. Code, §§ 6383(h)(2), 6405(b)):

1. *Emergency Protective Order (EPO):* If one of the orders is an *Emergency Protective Order* (form EPO-001), provisions (e.g., stay-away order) that are more restrictive than in the other restraining/protective orders must be enforced. Provisions of another order that do not conflict with the EPO must be enforced.
2. *No-Contact Order:* If a restraining/protective order includes a no-contact order, the no-contact order must be enforced. Item 5a(2) is an example of a no-contact order.
3. *Criminal Protective Order (CPO):* If none of the orders include an EPO or a no-contact order, the most recent CPO must be enforced. (Fam. Code, §§ 6383(h)(2) and 6405(b).) Additionally, a CPO issued in a criminal case involving charges of domestic violence, Penal Code sections 261, 261.5, or former 262, or charges requiring sex offender registration must be enforced over any civil court order. (Pen. Code, § 136.2(e)(2).) All provisions in the civil court order that do not conflict with the CPO must be enforced.
4. *Civil Restraining Orders:* If there is more than one civil restraining order (e.g., domestic violence, juvenile, elder abuse, civil harassment), then the order that was issued last must be enforced. Provisions that do not conflict with the most recent civil restraining order must be enforced.

(Clerk will fill out this part.)

Clerk's Certificate
[seal]

—Clerk's Certificate—

I certify that this *Temporary Restraining Order* is a true and correct copy of the original on file in the court.

Date: SEP 0 8 2025 Clerk, by David W. Slayton _____, Deputy M. Diaz

This is a Court Order.

CH-115 Request to Continue Court Hearing

Instructions: Use this form to ask the court to reschedule the court date listed on *Notice of Court Hearing* (form CH-109). Read, *How to Ask for a New Hearing Date* (form CH-115-INFO), for more information.

Clerk stamps date here when form is filed.

FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles

DEC 04 2023

David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court
By: W. Acuna, Deputy

Fill in court name and street address:

Superior Court of California, County of
Pasadena Courthouse
300 E. Walnut Street
Pasadena, CA 91101

Fill in case number:

Case Number:
23PDR001713

(1) My Information

a. My name is: Brian Mr Rosenbaum

b. I am the:

(1) ☑ **Protected party** *(skip to (2))*.

(2) ☐ **Restrained party** *(give your contact information below)*.

Address where I can receive mail:

This address will be used by the court and other party to notify you in this case. If you want to keep your home address private, you can use another address like a post office box or another person's address, if you have their permission. If you have a lawyer, give your lawyer's address and contact information.

Address: _____

City: _____ State: ___ Zip: _____

My contact information *(optional)*:

Telephone: _____ Fax: _____

Email Address: _____

Lawyer's information *(skip if you do not have one)*:

Name: _____ State Bar No.: _____

Firm Name: _____

(2) Information About My Case

a. The other party in this case is *(full name)*: Arogant Hollywood

b. I have a court date currently scheduled for *(date)*: 12/4/23

This is not a Court Order.

Case Number: 23PDR001713

(3) Is a Temporary Restraining Order in effect?

☑ Yes. Date the order was made, if known: 11/14/23
Please attach a copy of the order if you have one.

☐ No.

☐ I don't know.

Notice: If the court date is rescheduled, the *Temporary Restraining Order* (form CH-110) will remain in effect until the end of the new court date unless otherwise ordered by the court.

(4) Why does the court date need to be rescheduled?

a. ☑ I am the person asking for protection, and I need more time to have the restrained party personally served.

b. ☐ I am the restrained party, and this is my first request to reschedule the court date.

c. ☐ Other reason: _____

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the information above is true and correct.

Date: 12/4/23

Brian Mark Rosenberg
Type or print your name

▶ _____
Sign your name

Date: _____

_____
Lawyer's name, if you have one

▶ _____
Lawyer's signature

This is not a Court Order.

For your protection and privacy, please press the Clear This Form button after you have printed the form.

Print this form | Save this form | Clear this form

CH-116 Order on Request to Continue Hearing

Clerk stamps date here when form is filed.

FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles

DEC 04 2023

David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court
By: W. Acuna, Deputy

Complete items (1) and (2) only.

(1) **Protected Party:** Brian Mark Rosenberg

(2) **Restrained Party:** Arogant Hollywood

The court will complete the rest of this form

Fill in court name and street address:

Superior Court of California, County of
Pasadena Courthouse
300 E. Walnut Street
Pasadena, CA 91101

Fill in case number:

Case Number:
23PRO01713

(3) Next Court Date

a. ☐ The request to reschedule the court date is denied.

Your court date is: _____

(1) Any *Temporary Restraining Order* (form CH-110) already granted stays in full force and effect until the next court date.

(2) Your court date is not rescheduled because: _____

b. ☑ The request to reschedule the court date is **granted**. Your court date is rescheduled for the day and time listed below. See (4)–(8) for more information.

Name and address of court, if different from above:

New Court Date → Date: 12/19/2023 Time: 8:30 AM
Dept.: S Room: _____

(4) Temporary Restraining Order

a. ☐ **There is no *Temporary Restraining Order* (TRO) in this case until the next court date because:.**

(1) ☐ A TRO was not previously granted by the court.

(2) ☐ The court terminates (cancels) the previously granted TRO because: _____

b. ☑ **A *Temporary Restraining Order* (TRO) is still in full force and effect because:**

(1) ☐ The court extends the TRO previously granted on *(date)* 11/14/2023
It now expires on *(date)*: 12/19/2023
(If no date is listed, the TRO expires at the end of the court date listed in 3b.)

(2) ☐ The court changes the TRO previously granted and signs a new TRO (form CH-110).

Warning and Notice to the Restrained Party:
If (4)b is checked, a civil harassment restraining order has been issued against you. You must follow the orders until they expire.

c. ☐ Other *(specify)*: _____

This is a Court Order.

Case Number:

(7) No Fee to Serve (Notify) Restrained Person ☑ Ordered ☐ Not Ordered

The sheriff or marshal will serve this order for free because:

a. ☑ The order is based on unlawful violence, a credible threat of violence, or stalking.

b. ☐ The person in (1) is entitled to a fee waiver.

(8) ☐ Other Orders

Date: DEC 04 2023

Judicial Officer
ROBERT K. HOLMES
JUDGE PRO TEM

Request for Accommodations

Assistive listening systems, computer-assisted real-time captioning, or sign language interpreter services are available if you ask at least five days before the hearing. Contact the clerk's office or go to www.courts.ca.gov/forms.htm for *Disability Accommodation Request* (form MC-410). (Civ. Code, § 54.8.)

Instructions to Clerk

If the hearing is rescheduled and the court extended, modified, or terminated a temporary restraining order, then the court must enter this order into CLETS or send this order to law enforcement to enter into CLETS. This must be done within one business day from the day the order is made.

—Clerk's Certificate—

Clerk's Certificate

I certify that this *Order on Request to Continue Hearing (Temporary Restraining Order) (CLETS-TCH)* is a true and correct copy of the original on file in the court.

Date: SEP 08 2025 Clerk, by David W. Slayton, Deputy M. Diaz

This is a Court Order.

For your protection and privacy, please press the Clear This Form button after you have printed the form.

Print this form | Save this form | Clear this form

CH-130 Civil Harassment Restraining Order After Hearing

Person in (1) must complete items (1), (2), and (3) only.

Clerk stamps date here when form is filed.

FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles

DEC 20 2023

David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court
By: J. Guerrero, Deputy

Fill in court name and street address:

Superior Court of California, County of

LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT
NORTHEAST DISTRICT - PASADENA COURTHOUSE
300 EAST WALNUT STREET
PASADENA, CALIFORNIA 91101-1580

Court fills in case number when form is filed.

Case Number: 23PDR00174

(1) Protected Person

a. Your Full Name: Brian Matt Rosenberg

Your Lawyer *(if you have one for this case)*
Name: _____ State Bar No.: _____
Firm Name: _____

b. Your Address *(If you have a lawyer, give your lawyer's information. If you do not have a lawyer and want to keep your home address private, you may give a different mailing address instead. You do not have to give telephone, fax, or email.)*
Address: 150 W Commonwealth Ave
City: Alhambra State: CA Zip: 91801
Telephone: 626 308 5437 Fax: _____
Email Address: brosenberg@da.lacounty.gov

(2) Restrained Person

(Give all the information you know. Information with a star (*) is required to add this order to the California police database. If age is unknown, give an estimate.)

*Full Name: Arogant Hollywood *Age: 44 Date of Birth: 12/9/78
*Race: Black Height: _____ Weight: _____ Hair Color: BLK Eye Color: BRO
*Gender: ☑ M ☐ F ☐ Nonbinary Home Address: Transient
City: _____ State: CA Zip: _____
Relationship to Protected Person: Defendant on case I prosecute

(3) ☐ Additional Protected Persons

In addition to the person named in (1), the following family or household members of that person are protected by the orders indicated below:

Full Name	Gender	Age	Lives with you?	How are they related to you?
_____	____	____	☐ Yes ☐ No	_____
_____	____	____	☐ Yes ☐ No	_____
_____	____	____	☐ Yes ☐ No	_____
_____	____	____	☐ Yes ☐ No	_____

☐ *Check here if there are additional persons. List them on an attached sheet of paper and write "Attachment 3—Additional Protected Persons" as a title. You may use form MC-025,* Attachment.

(4) Expiration Date

This Order, except for any award of lawyer's fees, expires at

Time: _____ ☐ a.m. ☐ p.m. ☒ midnight on *(date)*: 12/20/26

If no expiration date is written here, this Order expires three years from the date of issuance.

This is a Court Order.

Case Number: 23PDRO01713

(5) Hearing

a. There was a hearing on *(date)*: 12/20/23 at *(time)*: 8:30am in Dept.: S Room: _____
(Name of judicial officer): TIMOTHY MARTELLA made the orders at the hearing.

b. These people were at the hearing:

(1) ☑ The person in (1). (3) ☐ The lawyer for the person in (1) *(name)*: _____

(2) ☐ The person in (2). (4) ☐ The lawyer for the person in (2) *(name)*: _____

☐ Additional persons present are listed at the end of this Order on Attachment 5.

c. ☐ The hearing is continued. The parties must return to court on *(date)*: _____ at *(time)*: _____.

To the Person in (2):

The court has granted the orders checked below. If you do not obey these orders, you can be arrested and charged with a crime. You may be sent to jail for up to one year, pay a fine of up to $1,000, or both.

(6) ☑ Personal Conduct Orders

a. You must **not** do the following things to the person named in (1)

☐ and to the other protected persons listed in (3):

(1) ☑ Harass, intimidate, molest, attack, strike, stalk, threaten, assault (sexually or otherwise), hit, abuse, destroy personal property of, or disturb the peace of the person.

(2) ☑ Contact the person, either directly or indirectly, in any way, including, but not limited to, in person, by telephone, in writing, by public or private mail, by interoffice mail, by email, by text message, by fax, or by other electronic means.

(3) ☑ Take any action to obtain the person's address or location. If this item (3) is not checked, the court has found good cause not to make this order.

(4) ☐ Other *(specify)*:

☐ Other personal conduct orders are attached at the end of this Order on Attachment 6a(4).

b. Peaceful written contact through a lawyer or process server or other person for service of legal papers related to a court case is allowed and does not violate this Order.

(7) ☑ Stay-Away Orders

a. You **must** stay at least 100 yards away from *(check all that apply)*:

(1) ☑ The person in (1).

(2) ☐ Each person in (3).

(3) ☑ The home of the person in (1).

(4) ☐ The job or workplace of the person in (1).

(5) ☐ The school of the person in (1).

(6) ☐ The school of the children of the person in (1).

(7) ☐ The place of child care of the children of the person in (1).

(8) ☑ The vehicle of the person in (1).

(9) ☐ Other *(specify)*:

b. This stay-away order does not prevent you from going to or from your home or place of employment.

This is a Court Order.

Case Number:
23PO00171

(8) No Firearms (Guns), Firearm Parts, or Ammunition

a. You cannot own, possess, have, buy or try to buy, receive or try to receive, or in any other way get any prohibited items listed below in b.

b. **Prohibited items are:**

(1) Firearms (guns);

(2) Firearm parts, meaning receivers and frames, or any item that may be used as or easily turned into a receiver or frame (see Penal Code section 16531); and

(3) Ammunition.

c. If you have not already done so, you must:

- Within 24 hours of being served with this Order, sell to or store with a licensed gun dealer, or turn in to a law enforcement agency, any firearms (guns) and firearm parts in your custody or control or that you possess or own.
- File a receipt with the court within 48 hours of receiving this Order that proves that your firearms (guns) and firearm parts have been turned in, sold, or stored. (You may use *Receipt for Firearms and Firearm Parts* (form CH-800) for the receipt.)

d. ☐ The court has received information that you own or possess a firearm (gun), firearm parts, or ammunition.

e. ☐ The court has made the necessary findings and applies the firearm relinquishment exemption under Code of Civil Procedure section 527.9(f). Under California law, the person in (2) is not required to relinquish this firearm *(specify make, model, and serial number of firearm(s))*: _____

_____

The firearm must be in his or her physical possession only during scheduled work hours and during travel to and from his or her place of employment. Even if exempt under California law, the person in (2) may be subject to federal prosecution for possessing or controlling a firearm.

(9) ☐ Lawyer's Fees and Costs

The person in ___ must pay to the person in ___ the following amounts for

☐ lawyer's fees ☐ costs:

Item	Amount	Item	Amount
_____	$ _____	_____	$ _____
_____	$ _____	_____	$ _____

☐ Additional items and amounts are attached at the end of this Order on Attachment 9.

(10) ☐ Possession and Protection of Animals

a. ☐ The person in (1) is given the sole possession, care, and control of the animals listed below, which are owned, possessed, leased, kept, or held by him or her, or reside in his or her household.
(Identify animals by, e.g., type, breed, name, color, sex.)

_____

_____

b. ☐ The person in (2) must stay at least _____ yards away from, and not take, sell, transfer, encumber, conceal, molest, attack, strike, threaten, harm, or otherwise dispose of, the animals listed above.

This is a Court Order.

Case Number: 23FDR001713

(11) ☐ **Other Orders** *(specify):*

☐ Additional orders are attached at the end of this Order on Attachment 11.

To the Person in (1):

(12) **Mandatory Entry of Order Into CARPOS Through CLETS**

This Order must be entered into the California Restraining and Protective Order System (CARPOS) through the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS). *(Check one):*

a. ☑ The clerk will enter this Order and its proof-of-service form into CARPOS.

b. ☐ The clerk will transmit this Order and its proof-of-service form to a law enforcement agency to be entered into CARPOS.

c. ☐ By the close of business on the date that this Order is made, the person in (1) or his or her lawyer should deliver a copy of the Order and its proof-of-service form to the law enforcement agency listed below to enter into CARPOS:

Name of Law Enforcement Agency	Address *(City, State, Zip)*

☐ Additional law enforcement agencies are listed at the end of this Order on Attachment 12.

(13) **Service of Order on Restrained Person**

a. ☐ The person in (2) personally attended the hearing. No other proof of service is needed.

b. ☒ The person in (2) did not attend the hearing.

(1) ☑ Proof of service of form CH-110, *Temporary Restraining Order,* was presented to the court. The judge's orders in this form are the same as in form CH-110 except for the expiration date. The person in (2) must be served with this Order. Service may be by mail.

(2) ☐ The judge's orders in this form are different from the temporary restraining orders in form CH-110. Someone—but not anyone in (1) or (3)—must personally serve a copy of this Order on the person in (2).

(14) ☒ **No Fee to Serve (Notify) Restrained Person**

The sheriff or marshal will serve this Order without charge because:

a. ☒ The Order is based on unlawful violence, a credible threat of violence, or stalking.

b. ☐ The person in (1) is entitled to a fee waiver.

(15) Number of pages attached to this Order, if any: _____

Date: DEC 20 2023

Judicial Officer
ANTONIETTA MARTELLA

This is a Court Order.

Warning and Notice to the Restrained Person in (2):

You Cannot Have Firearms (Guns), Firearm Parts, or Ammunition

Unless item 8e is checked, you cannot own, have, possess, buy or try to buy, receive or try to receive, or otherwise get any prohibited items listed in item 8b on page 3 while this Order is in effect. If you do, you can go to jail and pay a $1,000 fine. You must sell to or store with a licensed gun dealer, or turn in to a law enforcement agency, any firearms (guns) and firearm parts that you have or control as stated in item (8) above. The court will require you to prove that you did so.

Instructions for Law Enforcement

Enforcing the Restraining Order

This Order is enforceable by any law enforcement agency that has received the Order, is shown a copy of the Order, or has verified its existence on the California Restraining and Protective Order System (CARPOS). If the law enforcement agency has not received proof of service on the restrained person, and the restrained person was not present at the court hearing, the agency must advise the restrained person of the terms of the Order and then must enforce it. Violations of this Order are subject to criminal penalties.

Start Date and End Date of Orders

This Order *starts* on the date next to the judge's signature on page 4 and *ends* on the expiration date in item (4) on page 1.

Arrest Required If Order Is Violated

If an officer has probable cause to believe that the restrained person had notice of the order and has disobeyed it, the officer must arrest the restrained person. (Pen. Code, §§ 836(c)(1), 13701(b).) A violation of the order may be a violation of Penal Code section 166 or 273.6. Agencies are encouraged to enter violation messages into CARPOS.

Notice/Proof of Service

The law enforcement agency must first determine if the restrained person had notice of the order. Consider the restrained person "served" (given notice) if (Pen. Code, § 836(c)(2)):

- The officer sees a copy of the *Proof of Service* or confirms that the *Proof of Service* is on file; *or*
- The restrained person was at the restraining order hearing or was informed of the order by an officer.

An officer can obtain information about the contents of the order and proof of service in CARPOS. If proof of service on the restrained person cannot be verified and the restrained person was not present at the court hearing, the agency must advise the restrained person of the terms of the order and then enforce it.

If the Protected Person Contacts the Restrained Person

Even if the protected person invites or consents to contact with the restrained person, this Order remains in effect and must be enforced. The protected person cannot be arrested for inviting or consenting to contact with the restrained person. The orders can be changed only by another court order. (Pen. Code, § 13710(b).)

This is a Court Order.

Conflicting Orders—Priorities for Enforcement

If more than one restraining order has been issued protecting the protected person from the restrained person, the orders must be enforced in the following priority (see Pen. Code, § 136.2 and Fam. Code, §§ 6383(h)(2), 6405(b)):

1. *Emergency Protective Order (EPO):* If one of the orders is an *Emergency Protective Order* (form EPO-001), provisions (e.g., stay-away order) that are more restrictive than in the other restraining/protective orders must be enforced. Provisions of another order that do not conflict with the EPO must be enforced.
2. *No-Contact Order:* If a restraining/protective order includes a no-contact order, the no-contact order must be enforced. Item 6a(2) is an example of a no-contact order.
3. *Criminal Protective Order (CPO):* If none of the orders include an EPO or a no-contact order, the most recent CPO must be enforced. (Fam. Code, §§ 6383(h)(2) and 6405(b).) Additionally, a CPO issued in a criminal case involving charges of domestic violence, Penal Code sections 261, 261.5, or former 262, or charges requiring sex offender registration must be enforced over any civil court order. (Pen. Code, § 136.2(e)(2).) All provisions in the civil court order that do not conflict with the CPO must be enforced.
4. *Civil Restraining Orders:* If there is more than one civil restraining order (e.g., domestic violence, juvenile, elder abuse, civil harassment), then the order that was issued last must be enforced. Provisions that do not conflict with the most recent civil restraining order must be enforced.

Clerk's Certificate
[seal]

(Clerk will fill out this part.)

—Clerk's Certificate—

I certify that this *Civil Harassment Restraining Order After Hearing* is a true and correct copy of the original on file in the court.

Date: SEP 08 2025 Clerk, by David W. Slayton M. Diaz, Deputy

This is a Court Order.

CH-100 Request for Civil Harassment Restraining Orders

Clerk stamps date here when form is filed.

FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles
NOV 14 2023
David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court
By: K. Cruz, Deputy

Read *Can a Civil Harassment Restraining Order Help Me? (form CH-100-INFO)* before completing this form. Also fill out *Confidential CLETS Information (form CLETS-001)* with as much information as you know.

Fill in court name and street address:

Superior Court of California, County of
LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT
ALHAMBRA COURTHOUSE
150 W. COMMONWEALTH AVE.
ALHAMBRA, CA 91801

Court fills in case number when form is filed.

Case Number: 23PDR00173

1 Person Seeking Protection

a. Your Full Name:
Brian Mark Rosenberg Age: 36
Your Lawyer *(if you have one for this case)*
Name: _____ State Bar No.: _____
Firm Name: _____

b. Your Address *(If you have a lawyer, give your lawyer's information. If you do not have a lawyer and want to keep your home address private, you may give a different mailing address instead. You do not have to give telephone, fax, or email.)*
Address: 150 West Commonwealth Ave
City: Alhambra State: CA Zip: 91801
Telephone: _____ Fax: _____
Email Address: brosenberg@da.lacounty.gov

2 Person From Whom Protection Is Sought

Full Name: Arogant Hollywood Age: ~~32~~ 41
Address *(if known)*: Transient
City: _____ State: CA Zip: _____

3 Additional Protected Persons

a. Are you asking for protection for any other family or household members? ☐ Yes ☒ No *If yes, list them:*

Full Name	Gender	Age	Lives with you?	How are they related to you?
			☐ Yes ☐ No	
			☐ Yes ☐ No	
			☐ Yes ☐ No	
			☐ Yes ☐ No	

☐ *Check here if there are more persons. Attach a sheet of paper and write "Attachment 3a—Additional Protected Persons" for a title. You may use form MC-025,* Attachment.

b. Why do these people need protection? *(Explain below):*

☐ *Check here if there is not enough space for your answer. Put your complete answer on the attached sheet of paper or form MC-025 and write "Attachment 3b—Why Others Need Protection" for a title.*

This is not a Court Order.

Case Number: 23PDR001713

(4) Relationship of Parties

How do you know the person in (2)? *(Explain below)*:

☐ *Check here if there is not enough space for your answer. Put your complete answer on the attached sheet of paper or form MC-025 and write "Attachment 4—Relationship of Parties" for a title.*

Hollywood is a Defendant on a matter I prosecuted

(5) Venue

Why are you filing in this county? *(Check all that apply)*:

a. ☒ The person in (2) lives in this county.

b. ☒ I was harassed by the person in (2) in this county.

c. ☐ Other *(specify)*: _____

(6) Other Court Cases

a. Have you or any of the persons named in (3) been involved in another court case with the person in (2)?

☒ Yes ☐ No *(If yes, check each kind of case and indicate where and when each was filed.)*

	Kind of Case	Filed in *(County/State)*	Year Filed	Case Number *(if known)*
(1)	☐ Civil Harassment			
(2)	☐ Domestic Violence			
(3)	☐ Divorce, Nullity, Legal Separation			
(4)	☐ Paternity, Parentage, Child Custody			
(5)	☐ Elder or Dependent Adult Abuse			
(6)	☐ Eviction			
(7)	☐ Guardianship			
(8)	☐ Workplace Violence			
(9)	☐ Small Claims			
(10)	☒ Criminal	Los Angeles, CA	2023	GA114055
(11)	☐ Other *(specify)*:			

b. Are there now any protective or restraining orders in effect relating to you or any of the persons in (3) and the person in (2)? ☐ No ☐ Yes *(If yes, attach a copy if you have one.)*

(7) Description of Harassment

Harassment means violence or threats of violence against you, or a course of conduct that seriously alarmed, annoyed, or harassed you and caused you substantial emotional distress. A course of conduct is more than one act.

a. Tell the court about the last time the person in (2) harassed you.

(1) When did it happen? *(provide date or estimated date)*: 11/13/2023

(2) Who else was there?

~~Last act of harrasment was via email, but attached to said email were numerous members of the Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, including my assigned investigator Billy Khounthavong.~~

This is not a Court Order.

Case Number: 23HDR00171Z

(7) a. (3) How did the person in (2) harass you? *(Explain below):*

☒ *Check here if there is not enough space for your answer. Put your complete answer on the attached sheet of paper or form MC-025 and write "Attachment 7a(3)—Describe Harassment" for a title.*

(4) Did the person in (2) use or threaten to use a gun or any other weapon?

☐ Yes ☑ No *(If yes, explain below):*

☐ *Check here if there is not enough space for your answer. Put your complete answer on the attached sheet of paper or form MC-025 and write "Attachment 7a(4)—Use of Weapons" for a title.*

(5) Were you harmed or injured because of the harassment?

☐ Yes ☑ No *(If yes, explain below):*

☐ *Check here if there is not enough space for your answer. Put your complete answer on the attached sheet of paper or form MC-025 and write "Attachment 7a(5)—Harm or Injury" for a title.*

(6) Did the police come? ☐ Yes ☑ No

If yes, did they give you or the person in (2) an Emergency Protective Order? ☐ Yes ☑ No

If yes, the order protects *(check all that apply):*

☐ Me ☐ The person in (2) ☐ The persons in (3).

(Attach a copy of the order if you have one.)

b. Has the person in (2) harassed you at other times?

☒ Yes ☐ No *(If yes, describe prior incidents and provide dates of harassment below):*

☐ *Check here if there is not enough space for your answer. Put your complete answer on the attached sheet of paper or form MC-025 and write "Attachment 7b—Previous Harassment" for a title.*

This is not a Court Order.

Case Number: 25PDRO01713

Check the orders you want. ☑

(8) ☑ Personal Conduct Orders

I ask the court to order the person in (2) **not** to do any of the following things to me or to any person to be protected listed in (3):

a. ☒ Harass, intimidate, molest, attack, strike, stalk, threaten, assault (sexually or otherwise), hit, abuse, destroy personal property of, or disturb the peace of the person.

b. ☒ Contact the person, either directly or indirectly, in **any** way, including, but not limited to, in person, by telephone, in writing, by public or private mail, by interoffice mail, by email, by text message, by fax, or by other electronic means.

c. ☐ Other *(specify):*

☐ *Check here if there is not enough space for your answer. Put your complete answer on the attached sheet of paper or form MC-025 and write "Attachment 8c—Other Personal Conduct Orders," for a title.*

The person in (2) will be ordered not to take any action to get the addresses or locations of any protected person unless the court finds good cause not to make the order.

(9) ☑ Stay-Away Orders

a. I ask the court to order the person in (2) to stay at least 100 yards away from *(check all that apply):*

(1) ☒ Me.
(2) ☐ The other persons listed in (3).
(3) ☐ My home.
(4) ☐ My job or workplace.
(5) ☐ My school.
(6) ☐ My children's school.
(7) ☐ My children's place of child care.
(8) ☐ My vehicle.
(9) ☐ Other *(specify):*

b. *If* the court orders the person in (2) to stay away from all the places listed above, will he or she still be able to get to his or her home, school, or job? ☒ Yes ☐ No *(If no, explain below):*

☐ *Check here if there is not enough space for your answer. Put your complete answer on the attached sheet of paper or form MC-025 and write "Attachment 9b—Stay-Away Orders," for a title.*

(10) Firearms (Guns), Firearm Parts, and Ammunition

Does the person in (2) own or possess any firearms (guns), firearm parts, or ammunition? This includes firearm receivers and frames, and any item that may be used as or easily turned into a receiver or frame (see Penal Code section 16531). ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ I don't know

If the judge grants a protective order, the person in (2) will be prohibited from owning, possessing, purchasing, receiving, or attempting to purchase or receive firearms (guns), firearm parts, and ammunition while the protective order is in effect. The person in (2) will also be ordered to turn in to law enforcement, or sell to or store with a licensed gun dealer, any firearms (guns) and firearm parts within their immediate possession or control.

This is not a Court Order.

Case Number: 23PDR001713

(11) ☒ Temporary Restraining Order

I request that a Temporary Restraining Order (TRO) be issued against the person in (2) to last until the hearing. I am presenting form CH-110, *Temporary Restraining Order*, for the court's signature together with this *Request*.

Has the person in (2) been told that you were going to go to court to seek a TRO against him or her?

☐ Yes ☑ No *(If you answered no, explain why below):*

☐ *Check here if there is not enough space for your answer. Put your complete answer on the attached sheet of paper or form MC-025 and write "Attachment 11—Temporary Restraining Order" for a title.*

I am afraid that if I contacted him regarding this request that Mr. Hollywood would engage in even more intimidating and harassing conduct.

(12) ☐ Request to Give Less Than Five Days' Notice of Hearing

You must have your papers personally served on the person in (2) at least five days before the hearing, unless the court orders a shorter time for service. (Form CH-200-INFO explains What Is "Proof of Personal Service"? *Form CH-200,* Proof of Personal Service, *may be used to show the court that the papers have been served.)*

If you want there to be fewer than five days between service and the hearing, explain why below:

☐ *Check here if there is not enough space for your answer. Put your complete answer on the attached sheet of paper or form MC-025 and write "Attachment 12—Request to Give Less Than Five Days' Notice" for a title.*

(13) ☒ No Fee for Filing or Service

a. ☑ There should be no filing fee because the person in (2) has used or threatened to use violence against me, has stalked me, or has acted or spoken in some other way that makes me reasonably fear violence.

b. ☑ The sheriff or marshal should serve (notify) the person in (2) about the orders for free because my request for orders is based on unlawful violence, a credible threat of violence, or stalking.

c. ☐ There should be no filing fee and the sheriff or marshal should serve the person in (2) for free because I am entitled to a fee waiver. *(You must complete and file form FW-001,* Application for Waiver of Court Fees and Costs *.)*

(14) ☐ Lawyer's Fees and Costs

I ask the court to order payment of my ☐ lawyer's fees ☐ Court costs.

The amounts requested are:

Item	Amount	Item	Amount
	$		$
	$		$
	$		$

☐ *Check here if there are more items. Put the items and amounts on the attached sheet of paper or form MC-025 and write "Attachment 14—Lawyer's Fees and Costs" for a title.*

This is not a Court Order.

Case Number: PDRU01713

(15) ☐ Possession and Protection of Animals

I ask the court to order the following:

a. ☐ That I be given the sole possession, care, and control of the animals listed below, which I own, possess, lease, keep, or hold, or which reside in my household.
(Identify animals by, e.g., type, breed, name, color, sex.)

I request sole possession of the animals because *(specify good cause for granting order)*:

☐ *Check here if there is not enough space for your answer. Put your complete answer on the attached sheet of paper or form MC-025 and write "Attachment 15a—Possession of Animals" for a title.*

b. ☐ That the person in (2) must stay at least _____ yards away from, and not take, sell, transfer, encumber, conceal, molest, attack, strike, threaten, harm, or otherwise dispose of, the animals listed above.

(16) ☐ Additional Orders Requested

I ask the court to make the following additional orders *(specify)*:

☐ *Check here if there is not enough space for your answer. Put your complete answer on the attached sheet of paper or form MC-025 and write "Attachment 16—Additional Orders Requested," for a title.*

(17) Number of pages attached to this form, if any: 106

Date: _____

_____ ▶ _____
Lawyer's name (if any) *Lawyer's signature*

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the information above and on all attachments is true and correct.

Date: 11/14/23

Brian Rosenberg ▶ _____
Type or print your name *Sign your name*

This is not a Court Order.

SHORT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER:
Attachment 7a(3)-Describe Harassment	23FDR001713

ATTACHMENT *(Number):* _____

(This Attachment may be used with any Judicial Council form.)

I received an email from Mr. Hollywood wherein he threatened to send me to federal prison and indicated that a "a series of emails are coming soon! Complete with all the evidence that you broke federal law by abusing your power and authority as peace officers and public officers of the court! Get Ready!!!!!!". In addition to threatening this series of emails, Hollywood also told the detective on our case that "you had better run away as fast you can fool." This is the latest in a string of harassing communications that I believe are only aimed at intimidating and annoying me. This matter was reported to LASD. URN: 923-11730-0522-217.

(If the item that this Attachment concerns is made under penalty of perjury, all statements in this Attachment are made under penalty of perjury.)

SHORT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER:
Attachment 7b-Previous Harassment	23PDRU01713

ATTACHMENT *(Number):* _____

(This Attachment may be used with any Judicial Council form.)

I am the assigned prosecutor for the case of People v. Arogant Hollywood, GA114055. Mr. Hollywood was previously charged in People v. Arogant Hollywood, GA113236, wherein after the proceedings, he threatened to sue the named prosecutor and ultimately contacted her and attempted to contact her family members that had nothing to do with that case and threatened to sue them. Said prosecutor is a colleague of mine and was scared by this behavior. I was assigned GA114055 at arraignment on 2/24/23. From the beginning of the case, Mr. Hollywood threatened to sue me in open court numerous times. He called me racist and frequently stated that he wouldn't just sue me but he'd find my entire family and sue them in any court he could, in any state he could.. He also called my work phone numerous times and threatened to sue me as well. He made additional threats to file state bar complaints against me as well. Additionally, Mr. Hollywood filed a motion in court wherein he threatened to sue myself and the judge for $14 million dollars (said motion was admitted as People's 2 during Defendant's preliminary hearing). A preliminary hearing was conducted on this matter on April 4, 2023 and April 5, 2023. Mr. Hollywood testified during this hearing. In this hearing, Mr. Hollywood admitted to being a vexatious litigant and admitted to intimidating people "all the time" by threatening to sue them. He further admitted to sending an email to the prior DA and her family because he was upset about the case she prosecuted. He stated "If I sue her family, she'll care. Ms. Lee's family's getting sued. I got her home address too." Mr. Hollywood additionally admitted to threatening to sue me "a lot." Stating further "that's what I do." Given his conduct with the prior DA, along with his conduct on this case, I believe that Mr. Hollywood uses these statements as a means of harassing and intimidating. This case was ultimately resolved on 10/17/23, where Mr. Hollywood pled no contest to the charge of PC 422 and was placed on formal probation. On 11/13/23, I received an email from Mr. Hollywood, threatening to send me to federal prison, and threatening to send me a series of emails. I reported this to LASD. Incident number: 923-11730-0522-217. Due to all this, I am fearful of my safety, along with the safety of my family, and believe that a protective order is necessary to secure that safety.

(If the item that this Attachment concerns is made under penalty of perjury, all statements in this Attachment are made under penalty of perjury.)

Brian Rosenberg


From: Arogant Hollywood <arogantmusicinc@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 13, 2023 1:19 AM
To: ruluna@lasd.org; atardy@lasd.org; bkhounthavong@lasd.org; rlewis@lasd.org; Brian Rosenberg; rakelrossi@gmail.com; rachel.rossi@usdoj.gov; AROGANTHOLLYWOODGENIUS@gmail.com
Subject: [External]DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, ROSSI, AND OTHER OFFICIALS WILL SEE TO IT THAT ROSENBERG AND KHOUNTHAVONG GO TO FEDERAL PRISION

A SERIES OF EMAILS ARE COMING SOON! COMPLETE WITH ALL THE EVIDENCE THAT YOU BROKE FEDERAL LAW BY ABUSING YOUR POWER AND AUTHORITY AS PEACE OFFICERS AND PUBLIC OFFICERS OF THE COURT!

GET READY!!!!!!!!



BECAUSE ROSSI AND THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IS GOING TO SEE TO IT THAT BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG, RICHARD LEWIS, AND BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG BECOME FEDERAL PRISONERS! GUARANTEED!!!!!!!




ROSSI! AMERICA IS LESS SAFE WITH BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG ON THE STREETS. ITS TIME FOR THE U.S. GOVERNMENT TO LOCK THIS FOOL UP AND DECERTIFY HIM!

HE IS A CROOKED COP THAT HAS BEEN FEDERALLY CHARGED AND UNDER INVESTIGATION FOR CONDUCTING SIMILAR CRIMINAL BEHAVIOR AND CONDUCT HE HAS IN MY CASE. LOCK THIS FOOL UP!

Investigations and Prosecutions

The Department of Justice ("The Department") vigorously investigates and, where the evidence permits, prosecutes allegations of Constitutional violations by law enforcement officers. The Department's investigations most often involve alleged uses of excessive force, but also include sexual misconduct, theft, false arrest, and deliberate indifference to serious medical needs or a substantial risk of harm to a person in custody. These cases typically involve police officers, jailers, correctional

BECAUSE ROSSI AND THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IS GOING TO SEE TO IT THAT BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG, RICHARD LEWIS, AND BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG BECOME FEDERAL PRISONERS! GUARANTEED!!!!!!!



ROSSI! AMERICA IS LESS SAFE WITH BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG ON THE STREETS. ITS TIME FOR THE U.S. GOVERNMENT TO LOCK THIS FOOL UP AND DECERTIFY HIM!

HE IS A CROOKED COP THAT HAS BEEN FEDERALLY CHARGED AND UNDER INVESTIGATION FOR CONDUCTING SIMILAR CRIMINAL BEHAVIOR AND CONDUCT HE HAS IN MY CASE. LOCK THIS FOOL UP!

Investigations and Prosecutions

The Department of Justice ("The Department") vigorously investigates and, where the evidence permits, prosecutes allegations of Constitutional violations by law enforcement officers. The Department's investigations most often involve alleged uses of excessive force, but also include sexual misconduct, theft, false arrest, and deliberate indifference to serious medical needs or a substantial risk of harm to a person in custody. These cases typically involve police officers, jailers, correctional

officers, probation officers, prosecutors, judges, and other federal, state, or local law enforcement officials. The Department's authority extends to all law enforcement conduct, regardless of whether an officer is on or off duty, so long as he/she is acting, or claiming to act, in his/her official capacity.

In addition to Constitutional violations, the Department prosecutes law enforcement officers for related instances of obstruction of justice. This includes attempting to prevent a victim or witnesses from reporting the misconduct, lying to federal, state, or local officials during the course of an investigation into the potential misconduct, writing a false report to conceal misconduct, or fabricating evidence.

The principles of federal prosecution, set forth in the United States Attorneys' Manual ("USAM"), require federal prosecutors to meet two standards in order to seek an indictment.

First, the government must be convinced that the potential defendant committed a federal crime. Second, the government must also conclude that the government would be likely to prevail at trial, where the government must prove the charges beyond a reasonable doubt.

To prove a violation of § 242, the government must prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt: (1) that the defendant deprived a victim of a right protected by the Constitution or laws of the United States, (2) that the defendant acted willfully, and (3) that the defendant was acting under color of law. A violation of § 242 is a felony if one of the following conditions is met: the defendant used, attempted to use, or threatened to use a dangerous weapon, explosive or fire; the victim suffered bodily injury; the defendant's actions included attempted murder, kidnapping or attempted kidnapping, aggravated sexual abuse or attempted aggravated sexual abuse, or the crime resulted in death. Otherwise, the violation is a misdemeanor.

Establishing the intent behind a Constitutional violation requires proof beyond a reasonable doubt that the law enforcement officer knew what he/she was doing was wrong and against the law and decided to do it anyway. Therefore, even if the government can prove beyond a reasonable doubt that an individual's Constitutional right was violated, § 242 requires that the government prove that the law enforcement officer intended to engage in the unlawful conduct and that he/she did so knowing that it was wrong or unlawful. *See Screws v. United States*, 325 U.S. 91, 101-107 (1945). Mistake, fear, misperception, or even poor judgment does not constitute willful conduct prosecutable under the statute.

WHILE LYING BILLY AND RACIST MARK ROSENBERG'S ACTIONS ARE A MISDEMEANOR UNDER FEDERAL LAW. THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE MUST WORK WITH THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE TO CRIMINALLY CHARGE ROSENBERG, LEWIS, AND KHOUNTHAVONG

PURSUANT TO VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 6200, WHICH IS A FELONY AND CARRY STATE PRISON TIME!

3-07/220.20 - California Department of Justice Admonishment

3-07/220.00 - Prohibitions

3-07/230.00 - Data Communications Management

As an employee of the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department, you may have access to confidential criminal record and/or Department of Motor Vehicles record information which is controlled by statute. This would include the information in the Los Angeles County Regional Identification System (LACRIS), including the facial recognition tools within LACRIS. Misuse of such information may adversely affect the individual's civil rights and violates the law. Penal Code section 502 prescribes the penalties relating to computer crimes. Penal Code sections 11105 and 13300 identify who has access to criminal history information and under what circumstances it may be released. Penal Code sections 11140-11144 and 13301-13305 prescribe penalties for misuse of criminal history information. Government Code section 6200 prescribes the felony penalties for misuse of public records and CLETS information. Penal Code sections 11142 and 13303 state:

> "Any person authorized by law to receive a record or information obtained from a record who knowingly furnishes the record or information to a person not authorized by law to receive the record or information is guilty of a misdemeanor."

California Vehicle Code section 1808.45 prescribes the penalties relating to misuse of Department of Motor Vehicles record information.

Any employee who is responsible for such misuse is subject to disciplinary action. Violations of this law may also result in criminal and/or civil actions.

PURSUANT TO VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 6200, WHICH IS A FELONY AND CARRY STATE PRISON TIME!'

3-07/220.20 - California Department of Justice Admonishment

3-07/220.00 - Prohibitions

3-07/230.00 - Data Communications Management

As an employee of the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department, you may have access to confidential criminal record and/or Department of Motor Vehicles record information which is controlled by statute. This would include the information in the Los Angeles County Regional Identification System (LACRIS), including the facial recognition tools within LACRIS. Misuse of such information may adversely affect the individual's civil rights and violates the law. Penal Code section 502 prescribes the penalties relating to computer crimes. Penal Code sections 11105 and 13300 identify who has access to criminal history information and under what circumstances it may be released. Penal Code sections 11140-11144 and 13301-13305 prescribe penalties for misuse of criminal history information. Government Code section 6200 prescribes the felony penalties for misuse of public records and CLETS information. Penal Code sections 11142 and 13303 state:

> "Any person authorized by law to receive a record or information obtained from a record who knowingly furnishes the record or information to a person not authorized by law to receive the record or information is guilty of a misdemeanor."

California Vehicle Code section 1808.45 prescribes the penalties relating to misuse of Department of Motor Vehicles record information.

Any employee who is responsible for such misuse is subject to disciplinary action. Violations of this law may also result in criminal and/or civil actions.

BILLY! YOU HAD BETTER RUN AWAY AS FAST YOU CAN FOOL!



Arogant Hollywood, *Esq.*
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106

Arogant Hollywood

CAUTION: This email originated outside of the County (lacounty.gov domain). Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and expect the message.

CAUTION: This email originated outside of the County (lacounty.gov domain). Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and expect the message.

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPT. 1 HON. MICHAEL VILLALOBOS, JUDGE

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, PLAINTIFF, VS. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, DEFENDANT.	)	NO. GA114055

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

PRELIMINARY HEARING - DAY 2

APRIL 5, 2023

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE PEOPLE: GEORGE GASCON, DISTRICT ATTORNEY
BY: BRIAN ROSENBERG, DEPUTY
211 WEST TEMPLE, SUITE 200
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012

FOR THE DEFENDANT: IN PROPRIA PERSONA

HTA: APRIL 19, 2023
DEPARTMENT NO.: 1

ADRIANA MONTANEZ, CSR NO. 12767
OFFICIAL REPORTER



APR 12 2023

M A S T E R I N D E X

CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX OF WITNESSES

APRIL 5, 2023

PEOPLE'S WITNESSES:	PAGE
JENNIFER HEGER	
CROSS-EXAMINATION (RESUMED)	5
BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG	
DIRECT EXAMINATION	21
CROSS-EXAMINATION	30

DEFENSE WITNESSES:	PAGE
AROGANT HOLLYWOOD	
DIRECT EXAMINATION	43
CROSS-EXAMINATION	56
REDIRECT EXAMINATION	75

MASTER INDEX

EXHIBITS

APRIL 5, 2023

PEOPLE'S	FOR IDENTIFICATION PAGE	IN EVIDENCE PAGE
1 FLASH DRIVE	--	41
2 DOCUMENT	61	--

DEFENSE:	FOR IDENTIFICATION PAGE	IN EVIDENCE PAGE
A DOCUMENT	--	81
B TRANSCRIPT	--	81

CASE NUMBER: GA114055.
CASE NAME: PEOPLE VS. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA APRIL 5, 2023
DEPT. 1 HON. MICHAEL VILLALOBOS, JUDGE
REPORTER: ADRIANA MONTANEZ, CSR NO. 12767
TIME: P.M. SESSION
APPEARANCES:

DEFENDANT, AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, IN PROPRIA PERSONA; BRIAN ROSENBERG, DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY REPRESENTING THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

THE COURT: ON THE RECORD ON AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, CASE NUMBER ENDING 4055. THE DEFENDANT IS PRESENT, SELF-REPRESENTED AND THE PEOPLE ARE REPRESENTED BY MR. ROSENBERG. BEFORE WE BRING THE WITNESS IN, IS THERE ANYTHING YOU WISH TO ADDRESS, MR. ROSENBERG?

MR. ROSENBERG: JUST AS MR. HOLLYWOOD CAME OUT RIGHT NOW, HE BOTH MAD-DOGGED MYSELF AND THE DETECTIVE SHOUTING AT US THAT HE'S GOING TO TESTIFY AND SHOW US THE TRUTH. AGAIN, WE'RE AT THIS POINT WHERE HE'S MAKING SNIDE COMMENTS AT US, ACTING INAPPROPRIATELY FOR A PRO PER. SO I WANT TO PUT HIM ON NOTICE AGAIN BASED ON MY MOTION YESTERDAY AFTERNOON THAT IF THAT TYPE OF STUFF CONTINUES, I'M GOING TO RENEW THAT MOTION TO REVOKE HIS PRO PER STATUS.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: HE CAN KEEP TALKING. I TALKED TO GARRISON, HIS BOSS, AND I TOLD HIM THAT, BASED ON THE PERJURY STATEMENTS THAT SHE MADE ON THE RECORD, AND HE'S A WITNESS TO,

THAT SHE SHOULD BE CHARGED WITH FELONY PERJURY. THIS IS A CRIMINAL PROCEEDING. I'M FACING STATE TIME IN PRISON. MY FREEDOM HAS BEEN TAKEN AWAY FOR 40 DAYS. I ALSO WANT TO PUT YOU ON NOTICE UNDER 18 USC SECTION 371 WHICH IS WHAT THIS MAN WAS CHARGED FOR IN 2015 THAT JENNIFER HEGER COULD ALSO BE FACING A FEDERAL OFFENSE. SHE'S MADE NUMEROUS FALSE STATEMENTS.

SHE'S MADE FALSE STATEMENTS TO MR. ROSENBERG. AND I DON'T KNOW IF HE'S PREPARED TO PUT HIM ON THE STAND. BUT IF HE GOES ON THE STAND, I'M GOING TO CROSS. AND THEN THERE'S GOING TO BE FURTHER IMPEACHMENT OF HER. BUT I DON'T KNOW IF HE WANTS TO PUT -- I'M SO UPSET ABOUT THIS CASE THAT I'M TESTIFYING AND ALISON IS GOING TO TESTIFY. SO I DON'T THINK WE'RE GOING TO GET DONE TODAY.

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD. TWO THINGS --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: AND I --

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD, QUIET. FIRST OF ALL, THIS CASE IS NOT ABOUT ANYBODY ELSE BUT YOU, WHETHER YOU COMMITTED A CRIME ON THE DATE IN QUESTION; FEBRUARY 21ST, 2023. IT'S NOT ABOUT ANYBODY ELSE. THERE WILL BE NO OTHER -- THERE'S NOTHING GOING TO BE PRESENTED ABOUT WHAT THE WITNESS MAY OR MAY NOT HAVE SAID AS TO ALLEGATIONS OF PERJURY AND ALL THAT. THAT'S NUMBER 1.

NUMBER 2, I DO EXPECT YOU TO BEHAVE TODAY. SO YOU NEED TO MAKE SURE THAT YOU JUST ASK YOUR QUESTIONS, COMPLETE YOUR CROSS-EXAMINATION OF THE WITNESS, AND JUST ASK THE QUESTIONS, AND THAT'S IT. NO EDITORIALS. NO THREATS. NO SNIDE REMARKS. NO LOOKS OF WHATEVER. SO DO YOU UNDERSTAND?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: RIGHT. I DIDN'T KNOW IT WAS SUCH A -- HE'S TALKING ABOUT MAD-DOGGING. I DON'T KNOW WHAT HE'S TALKING ABOUT. I'M UPSET ABOUT THIS CASE. ALL I SAID TO HIM WAS I'M GOING TO TESTIFY. I DIDN'T SAY ANYTHING ELSE.

THE COURT: THAT'S FINE. YOU WANT TO BE MAD, UPSET THAT'S --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO.

THE COURT: WAIT A MINUTE. THAT'S YOUR PREROGATIVE. BUT IN A COURT OF LAW, YOU'RE EXPECTED TO FOLLOW THE RULES OF EVIDENCE, THE RULES OF PROCEDURE AND DECORUM, OKAY.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YEAH, YEAH. BUT I'M SAYING, I DON'T FEEL I DID ANYTHING WRONG BY TELLING HIM AND THIS GUY I'M GOING TO TESTIFY. MAYBE THEY'RE BEING -- I'M JUST PUTTING THEM ON NOTICE. ALISON'S GOING TO TESTIFY.

THE COURT: WELL, THAT'S UP TO YOU. YOU'RE FREE TO DO WHAT YOU -- ALTHOUGH BEFORE YOU CALL A WITNESS, OTHER THAN YOURSELF, I WANT TO THE HEAR WHAT IT'S ABOUT. BUT WE'LL CROSS THAT BRIDGE WHEN WE GET TO IT. WE'RE GOING TO RESUME THIS --

MR. ROSENBERG: AT THIS POINT, I HAVE TO MAKE A MOTION TO EXCLUDE. SHE'S BEEN SITTING IN THE COURT THIS WHOLE TIME IF SHE'S GOING TO BE A WITNESS.

THE COURT: YOU KNOW, I HAVEN'T EVEN HEARD WHAT SHE WOULD BE TESTIFYING TO. SO I DON'T KNOW WHETHER SHE'S EVEN GOING TO BE ALLOWED TO TESTIFY. I THINK IT'S A LITTLE LATE FOR THAT SO --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: IF I CAN ENLIGHTEN THE COURT WHAT SHE'S GOING TO TESTIFY TO IS NOTHING TO DO WHAT JENNIFER HEGER'S TESTIFIED TO. ALISON WAS GOING TO TESTIFY --

THE COURT: STOP RIGHT THERE. IT HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH THIS CASE?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: IT HAS SOMETHING TO DO WITH THIS CASE, BUT IT HAS TO DO WITH MY TESTIMONY MORE THAN HER'S. SO SHE WOULD TESTIFY AS TO WHERE SHE WAS SUPPOSED TO MEET ME ON THE DAY THAT I WAS ARRESTED. I HAD PLANS TO MEET HER. WE WERE SUPPOSED TO GO SERVE THE LAWSUITS AND THAT'S GOING TO BE PART OF MY TESTIMONY.

THE COURT: WHY IS THAT RELEVANT?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: IT'S RELEVANT BECAUSE IT SHOWS THAT I WAS TRYING TO GET OUT OF THE HOUSE TO GO SOMEWHERE. IT DOESN'T MAKE ANY SENSE.

THE COURT: I'M NOT SURE THAT YOUR PLANS TO GO SOMEWHERE IN THE FUTURE IS REALLY THAT RELEVANT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: OKAY.

THE COURT: BECAUSE WE'RE NOT TALKING ABOUT YOUR PLANS IN THE FUTURE. WE'RE TALKING ABOUT WHAT YOU ALLEGEDLY DID AT THE HOUSE THAT PARTICULAR DAY. WHETHER YOU WERE INTENDING TO LEAVE THAT DAY OR NOT OR GO SOMEWHERE ELSE IS NOT TOO RELEVANT TO THE CASE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: OKAY, WELL HE CAN OBJECT TO THAT. BUT SHE TESTIFIED TO THAT. SHE ALSO TESTIFIED ABOUT AT THE BEGINNING OF HOW WE MET; THAT WAS ALL FALSE. I WANT TO SET THE RECORD STRAIGHT. I NEED TO TESTIFY IN THIS CASE. IT'S NOT LIKE THE OTHER CASE.

THE COURT: WELL, YOU'LL BE ALLOWED TO TESTIFY.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THAT'S FINE. ALISON DOESN'T NEED TO TESTIFY. IF YOU'RE SAYING THAT, BASED ON WHAT I JUST SAID,

THERE'S NO REASON FOR HER TO TESTIFY BECAUSE HE'S PROBABLY GOING TO OBJECT, AND SAY IT'S NOT RELEVANT.

THE COURT: I THINK WE'RE READY.

MR. ROSENBERG: YES, YOUR HONOR.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: WE'LL LIMIT IT TO MYSELF. AS FAR AS CROSS-EXAMINATION, I GOT ABOUT 20 MORE QUESTIONS. I'M GOING TO BE QUICK. I'M NOT GOING TO BE BADGER THE WITNESS. I FEEL THAT I GOT ENOUGH YESTERDAY. SO I DON'T NEED --

THE COURT: OKAY, THAT'S GOOD.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: -- I DON'T NEED TO DO A WHOLE LOT MORE.

THE COURT: LET'S STAY FOCUSED ON THAT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YEAH. ONCE SHE'S DONE TESTIFYING, I'M GOING TO MAKE ARGUMENTS ON THE SECOND 911 TAPE.

THE COURT: HOLD ON, HOLD ON. ALL RIGHT, ONCE AGAIN WE'RE BACK ON THE PRELIMINARY HEARING MATTER. OUR WITNESS, JENNIFER, HAS RESUMED THE STAND. MS. JENNIFER, I REMIND YOU YOU ARE STILL UNDER OATH. AND, MR. HOLLYWOOD, YOU MAY RESUME YOUR CROSS-EXAMINATION.

JENNIFER HEGER,

HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY DULY SWORN, RESUMED THE STAND AND TESTIFIED FURTHER AS FOLLOWS:

CROSS-EXAMINATION (RESUMED)

Q. BY MR. HOLLYWOOD: YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT YOU FOLLOWED HOLLYWOOD INTO THE KITCHEN, CORRECT?

A. I'M SORRY. CAN YOU REPEAT THE QUESTION PLEASE? I

CAN BARELY HEAR YOU.

Q. YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT YOU FOLLOWED HOLLYWOOD INTO THE KITCHEN, CORRECT?

MR. ROSENBERG: VAGUE AS TO TIME OBJECTION.

THE COURT: I'M SORRY.

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, VAGUE AS TO TIME.

THE COURT: YEAH, TRY TO NARROW IT AS TO WHAT TIME PERIOD YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: WE'RE TALKING ABOUT SHE SAID SHE WENT -- SHE TESTIFIED THAT SHE FOLLOWED ME INTO THE KITCHEN. SO I'M ASKING HER THAT QUESTION ABOUT HER TESTIMONY EARLIER; THAT'S WHAT THE QUESTION IS.

THE COURT: FIRST OF ALL, IT ASSUMES A FACT THAT SHE FOLLOWED YOU. WHY DON'T YOU ASK HER THAT FIRST.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: OKAY, I DID. SO YOU WANT -- OKAY. DO YOU REMEMBER TESTIFYING THAT YOU FOLLOWED HOLLYWOOD INTO THE KITCHEN?

A. I DIDN'T FOLLOW YOU INTO THE KITCHEN.

Q. DO YOU REMEMBER TESTIFYING THAT YOU FOLLOWED HOLLYWOOD INTO THE KITCHEN? YES OR NO?

A. AGAIN, I DIDN'T FOLLOW YOU INTO THE KITCHEN AND THAT WAS NOT MY TESTIMONY.

Q. IT WASN'T YOUR TESTIMONY, OKAY. ALL RIGHT. YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT AFTER FOLLOWING HOLLYWOOD INTO THE KITCHEN YOU ASKED HIM, "WHAT THE FUCK ARE YOU STILL DOING IN MY KITCHEN STILL, YOU ASSHOLE?" DID YOU TESTIFY TO THAT?

A. AGAIN, I'M GOING TO REMIND YOU I DID NOT FOLLOW YOU INTO THE KITCHEN.

THE COURT: SO REPHRASE THE QUESTION. IF YOU'RE INTERESTED IN THE SECOND PART, JUST ASK THAT PART.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: ALL RIGHT, YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT YOU SAW HOLLYWOOD IN YOUR KITCHEN, AND YOU SAID, "WHAT THE FUCK ARE YOU STILL DOING IN MY KITCHEN STILL, YOU ASSHOLE"?

A. YES, I ABSOLUTELY 100 PERCENT SAID THAT TO YOU.

Q. YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT WHEN YOU ASKED AND --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: SO I JUST WANT TO SAY SOMETHING. I WAS GETTING BAD RAP. BUT YOU SEE WHAT SHE'S DOING TOO ON THE STAND SHE CONTINUES.

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, NO QUESTION PENDING.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: BUT SHE'S MAKING A GO TOO. SHE'S GETS ME UPSET.

Q. YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT WHEN YOU ASKED HOLLYWOOD WHILE YOU WERE STILL IN YOUR KITCHEN, SHE WAS HOLDING A STEAK KNIFE?

A. CORRECT, 100 PERCENT.

Q. YEAH, WHATEVER.

THE COURT: YOU NEED TO ANSWER YES OR NO.

THE WITNESS: SORRY.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER -- YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT, AFTER HOLLYWOOD MADE CRIMINAL THREATS TO YOU, YOU CALLED 911 A SECOND TIME AND SET OFF THE ALARM, CORRECT?

A. YES.

Q. ISN'T IT TRUE YOU TOLD 911 YOU SET OFF YOUR ALARM DURING YOUR FIRST 911 CALL, ISN'T THAT TRUE?

A. I DON'T RECALL.

Q. YOU DON'T RECALL. YOU RECALL TESTIFYING THAT ON YOUR SECOND CALL YOU SET OFF THE ALARM? YOU RECALL THAT, RIGHT, BUT YOU DON'T RECALL THE FIRST, RIGHT?

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, ARGUMENTATIVE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I DON'T CARE. I HAVE SOMETHING TO REFRESH YOUR MEMORY. HERE YOU GOO.

THE COURT: HOLD ON. WE DON'T -- WHY DON'T YOU ASK THE QUESTION. SO YOU ASKED A QUESTION ABOUT THE SECOND 911 CALL. ASK THAT AGAIN.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: YOU SAID YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT HOLLYWOOD MADE CRIMINAL THREATS TO YOU. YOU CALLED 911 ON THE SECOND CALL AND SET OFF THE ALARM, CORRECT?

A. CAN YOU REPEAT THE QUESTION?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'LL LET THE COURT REPEAT THE QUESTION, THE JUDGE. WANT ME TO TELL HER THE QUESTION?

THE COURT: YES, PLEASE REPEAT IT.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT AFTER HOLLYWOOD MADE CRIMINAL THREATS TO YOU, YOU CALLED 911 ON THE SECOND -- ON THE SECOND TIME AND SET OFF THE ALARM; THAT WAS YOUR TESTIMONY EARLIER, CORRECT?

A. CORRECT.

Q. RIGHT. SO ISN'T IT TRUE YOU TOLD 911 YOU SET OFF THE ALARM DURING THE FIRST 911 CALL?

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, ASKED AND ANSWERED.

THE WITNESS: I DON'T RECALL.

THE COURT: THAT WAS ASKED AND ANSWERED BY THE WAY.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: OKAY. I MEAN IT'S ASKED AND ANSWERED,

BUT, WELL, WHAT DO YOU WANT ME TO DO, REPHRASE THE QUESTION? I MEAN OKAY, ALL RIGHT.

Q. DO YOU -- DO YOU RECALL TESTIFYING 911, THAT YOU SET OFF THE ALARM DURING THE FIRST CALL?

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, ASKED AND ANSWERED.

THE COURT: THAT IS ASKED AND ANSWERED. SHE SAID SHE DOESN'T RECALL.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: OKAY, WELL, I HAVE SOMETHING TO REFRESH HER MEMORY.

THE COURT: AND WHAT PAGE IS THAT?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YOU KNOW IT'S NOT PAGINATED.

THE COURT: UP IN THE TOP-RIGHT CORNER.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO, IT'S THE 911.

THE COURT: OKAY.

MR. ROSENBERG: HOW ABOUT IF WE DON'T HAVE PAGES, WHY DOESN'T HE MARK THE ENTIRE TRANSCRIPT OF THE 911 CALL.

THE COURT: THAT WOULD BE HELPFUL.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'LL DO THAT RIGHT NOW. WHAT I HAVE IS LITERALLY THREE, FOUR PAGES, BUT I'LL DO THAT RIGHT NOW. I DON'T EVEN THINK I EVEN HAVE THE WHOLE THING. I DIDN'T BRING IT.

THE COURT: SO YOU HAVE A 911 CALL. HOW MANY PAGES IS IT?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THE ONE I HAVE -- ALL I HAVE IS, LIKE, WITH ME, I HAVE LIKE THREE PAGES OF IT. I DIDN'T BRING THE WHOLE THING. I DON'T THINK I HAVE THE WHOLE THING.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, YOU WANT TO MARK IT?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: BECAUSE I ONLY -- I DON'T WANT TO ADMIT

THE WHOLE THING. I JUST WANT TO ADMIT THIS ONE PAGE.

THE COURT: LET'S MARK THAT ONE PAGE. NOW, WE ALREADY HAVE ONE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I THINK WE DO.

THE CLERK: WE HAVE ONE. YES, WE HAVE DEFENSE B.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: CAN YOU TELL ME WHAT'S AT THE TOP OF THAT PAGE PLEASE?

THE COURT: DEFENSE B IT STARTS AT THE TOP, "DISPATCH JENNIFER HAS THERE BEEN ANY CHANGES?"

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YEAH.

THE COURT: IS THAT THE PAGE YOU WANT TO SHOW HER?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO, IT'S THE ONE ABOUT THE ALARM. IT'S THE ONE ABOUT THE ALARM ON THAT ONE.

THE COURT: OH, IN THE MIDDLE WHERE IT SAYS, "DISPATCH YOU SET OFF THE ALARM, RIGHT?"

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THERE YOU GO. SO YOU DO HAVE THE PAGE; THAT'S THE PAGE I DON'T HAVE. I HAVE ONE, TWO --

THE COURT: FOR THE RECORD, I'M SHOWING THE WITNESS. FOR PURPOSES OF FOUNDATION, DIDN'T WE SAY YESTERDAY THAT THIS IS THE FROM THE 911 CALL, THE TRANSCRIPT FROM THE FIRST 911 CALL?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: FIRST CALL, CORRECT.

MR. ROSENBERG: YES.

THE COURT: RIGHT, YES. OKAY. SO HERE'S FIRST 911 CALL THE LAST PAGE DEFENSE B. DONE?

THE WITNESS: YES.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, GO AHEAD AND ASK YOUR QUESTION.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'M NOT GOING TO ASK THE QUESTION. THIS IS FOR THE COURT. SHE JUST COMMITTED PERJURY AND THAT'S GOING

TO BE IN ARGUMENT. SO THAT'S IT. I WANT TO ADMIT THAT AS AN EXHIBIT. IT'S ALREADY ADMITTED AS AN EXHIBIT. IT SAYS ON THERE THAT SHE SET OFF THE ALARM DURING THE FIRST CALL. SHE COMMITTED PERJURY ON THE STAND.

THE COURT: YOU HAVEN'T ASKED HERE A QUESTION YET.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I DID. I ASKED HER A QUESTION EARLIER. I SAID, "YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT AFTER HOLLYWOOD MADE CRIMINAL THREATS TO YOU, YOU CALLED 911 THE SECOND TIME AND SET OFF THE ALARM." AND SHE SAID "YES." AND IT'S RIGHT THERE IT WAS THE FIRST CALL. SHE COMMITTED PERJURY. I ASKED HER ABOUT THE SECOND CALL.

THE COURT: WAIT A MINUTE. YOU HAVE SHOWN HER THIS EXHIBIT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: RIGHT.

THE COURT: OR I SHOWED HER THE EXHIBIT. THAT'S TO REFRESH HER MEMORY AS TO WHAT HER TESTIMONY IS FROM THE FIRST CALL. SO SHE'S REFRESHED HER MEMORY NOW, SO DO YOU WANT TO ASK THE QUESTION AGAIN?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO, BECAUSE SHE'S ALREADY COMMITTED PERJURY. I WANT TO MOVE ON.

THE COURT: OKAY, MOVE ON.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: SHE ALREADY COMMITTED PERJURY SO IT DOESN'T MATTER. NEXT QUESTION.

Q. YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT HOLLYWOOD MADE CRIMINAL THREATS TO YOU IN BETWEEN THE FIRST AND THE SECOND 911 CALL, CORRECT?

A. CORRECT.

Q. YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT HOLLYWOOD STATED, "TELL

THAT CHINK TO PULL HER CAR IN FRONT OF YOUR HOUSE," CORRECT?

A. CORRECT.

Q. YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT YOU RAN INTO THE HALLWAY ALMOST RIGHT AWAY AFTER SEEING HOLLYWOOD WITH A KNIFE, CORRECT?

A. COULD YOU REPEAT THE QUESTION?

Q. YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT YOU RAN TO THE HALLWAY ALMOST RIGHT AWAY AFTER SEEING HOLLYWOOD WITH A KNIFE?

A. YES.

Q. YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT A SHORT TIME LATER, YOU CAME BACK TO THE KITCHEN TO SEE IF HOLLYWOOD WAS STILL THERE, CORRECT?

A. NO.

Q. WHAT DID YOU TESTIFY TO?

A. I TESTIFIED -- COULD YOU REPEAT THE QUESTION?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO. SHE COMMITTED PERJURY. I'LL MOVE ON.

MR. ROSENBERG: YOUR HONOR, WOULD THE COURT PLEASE ADMONISH HIM TO STOP BADGERING THE WITNESS.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO. SHE COMMITTED PERJURY. I'M STATING IT ON THE RECORD. I'M NOT BADGERING HER. I'M TALKING TO THE COURT.

MR. ROSENBERG: I WASN'T TALKING TO YOU. I WAS TALKING TO THE COURT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: AND I'M TALKING TO THE COURT. SHE COMMITTED PERJURY. I'M MOVING TO THE NEXT QUESTION.

THE COURT: AGAIN, MR. HOLLYWOOD, WE DO NOT NEED THE EDITORIALS.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO, NO, BUT I WANT TO SAY FOR THE RECORD THAT SHE COMMITTED PERJURY.

THE COURT: YOU'LL HAVE YOUR OPPORTUNITY TO MAKE YOUR ARGUMENT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO, BUT I WANT TO MAKE IT RIGHT NOW. I WANT TO --

THE COURT: YOU DON'T NEED TO MAKE IT NOW. IT'S NOT ALLOWED.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'M NOT ALLOWED TO SAY SHE'S COMMITTING PERJURY.

THE COURT: NOT AT THIS STAGE. YOU'RE ASKING QUESTIONS.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'M ASKING QUESTIONS, BUT SHE COMMITTED PERJURY. IF I WAS ON THE STAND AND I COMMITTED --

THE COURT: KEEP IT TO YOURSELF THAT'S WHAT I'M SAYING. IT'S NOT THE APPROPRIATE TIME.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: ALL RIGHT.

Q. DO YOU RECALL MAKING ADDITIONAL STATEMENTS TO ROSENBERG YESTERDAY AFTERNOON REGARDING YOU POSSESSING THE KNIFE?

A. ADDITIONAL STATEMENTS?

Q. DO YOU RECALL MAKING ADDITIONAL STATEMENTS TO ROSENBERG DURING THE LUNCH BREAK YESTERDAY REGARDING YOU POSSESSING THE KNIFE?

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, VAGUE AS TO TIME.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: IT ALREADY HAS VALIDATION. ROSENBERG SAID ON THE RECORD --

THE COURT: HE'S NOT TALKING ABOUT THAT TIME.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'M TALKING ABOUT DURING THE BREAK.

MR. ROSENBERG: I'M SAYING YOU'RE CONFUSING HER.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'M NOT CONFUSING HER. SHE'S NOT TELLING THE TRUTH; THAT'S WHY SHE'S ACTING LIKE THAT.

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD, ONCE AGAIN.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO, NO. I'M NOT TALKING TO HER. I'M TALKING TO THE COURT.

THE COURT: NO, YOU'RE NOT TALKING TO ME BECAUSE I DON'T WANT TO HEAR THAT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: ALL RIGHT, ALL RIGHT.

THE COURT: OKAY, SO I BELIEVE THERE WAS A DISCUSSION YESTERDAY BETWEEN THE WITNESS AND MR. ROSENBERG REGARDING THE KNIFE, RIGHT?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YES.

THE COURT: OKAY, AND HE'S ASKING DO YOU REMEMBER THAT CONVERSATION?

THE WITNESS: YES, I DO.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: ALL RIGHT.

THE COURT: GO AHEAD.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: DID YOU TELL ROSENBERG THAT YOU GRABBED THE KNIFE FROM YOUR KITCHEN DURING YOUR CONFRONTATION WITH HOLLYWOOD?

THE COURT: DURING THE CONFRONTATION WITH HOLLYWOOD?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YEAH, HE SAID THAT SHE GRABBED THE KNIFE AND RAN OUTSIDE.

MR. ROSENBERG: AFTER.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I WAS IN THE KITCHEN.

THE COURT: REPHRASE YOUR QUESTION.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: ALL RIGHT.

Q. DID YOU TELL ROSENBERG YOU GRABBED THE KNIFE FROM THE KITCHEN -- FROM THE KITCHEN AROUND THE SAME TIME AS THE INCIDENT?

A. IT WAS AFTER YOU HAD BEEN ARRESTED AND HAD LEFT THE SCENE.

Q. SO IT WAS AFTER I HAD BEEN ARRESTED, REALLY? SO DIDN'T YOU TELL ROSENBERG THAT YOU APPROACHED THE FRONT DOOR WITH THE KNIFE IN YOUR HAND, THREW IT OUT IN FRONT OF THE DEPUTIES? YOU DID NOT TELL ROSENBERG THAT? YES OR NO?

A. THAT WAS NOT WHAT I TOLD MR. ROSENBERG, NO.

Q. WHAT DID YOU TELL MR. ROSENBERG?

A. I TOLD MR. ROSENBERG THAT THE TWO DEPUTIES THAT I HAD DONE THE REENACTMENT AND HAD BEEN INTERVIEWED BY, THAT I WALKED BACK IN THE HOUSE AND I SAW THE KNIFE ON THE FLOOR IN THE KITCHEN. AND THAT THAT IS WHEN I REMEMBERED THAT YOU HAD THE KNIFE IN YOUR HAND. IT WAS AN EXTREMELY TRAUMATIC EVENT AS THIS HAD NEVER HAPPENED TO ME BEFORE. AND I GRABBED THE KNIFE BECAUSE THE TWO DEPUTIES WERE EACH IN THEIR RESPECTIVE VEHICLES. THEY WERE GETTING READY TO LEAVE. THEY HAD ALREADY GOTTEN THE EMERGENCY PROTECTIVE ORDER FOR ME AGAINST YOU SO THAT YOU COULD NEVER COME NEAR ME AGAIN.

AND SO I PICKED UP THE KNIFE. IT'S AN OSTER KNIFE, A STEAK KNIFE, AND I WENT RUNNING OUTSIDE WITH IT BECAUSE IT WAS AN IMPORTANT KEY DETAIL. AND I REALIZED APPROACHING EITHER DEPUTY WITH A WEAPON IN MY HAND WAS NOT A GOOD IDEA BECAUSE EVERYTHING, YOU KNOW. AND SO I THREW IT ON THE GRASS IN FRONT OF MY HOME, AND I SAID THAT YOU HAD A KNIFE IN YOUR HAND.

AND I THREW IT ON THE GRASS BECAUSE I SAID THIS IS THE KNIFE HE HAD IN HIS HAND AND I THREW IT ON THE GRASS, AND I TOLD HIM WHY I DID. THEY THEN GOT OUT OF THEIR VEHICLES, CAME BACK INTO MY HOME, AND THEY QUESTIONED ME ABOUT IT. BOTH OF THEM DID.

Q. IF THAT'S TRUE, THEN HOW COME IT'S NOT IN THE POLICE STATEMENT?

A. YOU'D HAVE TO ASK THEM.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: SO I JUST WANT TO NOTE THAT WE NEED CONTRERAS TO TESTIFY IN THIS CASE. ALL RIGHT, NEXT QUESTION. I'M GOING TO MOVE ON FROM THESE QUESTIONS.

Q. WHEN YOU SHOWED THE KNIFE TO THE DEPUTIES, WHAT DID THEY SAY?

A. THEY SAID -- THEY GOT OUT OF THEIR VEHICLES, AND THEY SAID, "WE NEED TO ASK YOU MORE QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS." AND WE ALL WENT BACK IN TO MY HOME.

Q. AND THEY ASKED YOU WHAT QUESTIONS ABOUT THE KNIFE?

A. THEY WANTED ANOTHER REENACTMENT OF IT. I MEAN, I'M SORRY. THEY WANTED A REENACTMENT OF WHAT HAPPENED AS WE ALREADY DONE A FULL REENACTMENT OF WHAT HAPPENED THAT MORNING. SO THAT TOOK ABOUT ANOTHER 35 -- I WOULD SAY ANOTHER PROBABLY ANOTHER 20 TO 35 MINUTES. THEY WERE EXTREMELY PATIENT WITH ME, AND THEY WERE VERY, VERY THOROUGH.

Q. YOU'RE REFERRING TO DEPUTY CONTRERAS AND DETECTIVE ESQUEDA?

A. I'M SORRY. I CANNOT REMEMBER THEIR NAMES.

Q. YOU'RE REFERRING TO DEPUTY A. CONTRERAS AND DETECTIVE ESQUEDA THE ONES ON THE POLICE REPORT THAT

INTERVIEWED YOU?

A. I DO NOT REMEMBER THEIR NAMES. I DON'T KNOW WHO IT WAS. THERE WERE SO MANY DEPUTIES THAT WERE THERE THAT DAY.

Q. YOU DON'T REMEMBER WHO INTERVIEWED YOU?

A. NO, I DON'T. I HAVEN'T SEEN THEM SINCE THAT DAY.

Q. YOU DON'T HAVE A COPY OF THE POLICE REPORT?

A. I NEVER READ THE POLICE REPORT. MY DEALING --

THE COURT: NO QUESTION PENDING.

THE WITNESS: SORRY.

THE COURT: JUST WAIT FOR A QUESTION.

THE WITNESS: OKAY, SORRY, YOUR HONOR.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: WHAT WAS YOUR REASON FOR GOING INTO THE KITCHEN TO RETRIEVE A KNIFE? WHAT WAS THE REASON?

A. I WASN'T LOOKING FOR A KNIFE. I SAW IT ON THE FLOOR, AND THAT'S WHEN I REMEMBERED. I WASN'T LOOKING FOR ANYTHING. I WAS JUST WALKING BACK INTO MY KITCHEN AFTER THE DEPUTIES HAD HANDED ME AN EMERGENCY PROTECTIVE ORDER, AND THEY WERE GETTING READY -- AND THEY WERE IN THEIR CARS GETTING READY TO LEAVE.

Q. WHY WAS THE KNIFE ON THE FLOOR IN YOUR KITCHEN?

A. I'M ASSUMING YOU DROPPED IT.

Q. SO YOU DON'T KNOW WHY THE KNIFE WAS IN YOUR KITCHEN ON THE FLOOR?

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, ASKED AND ANSWERED. CALLS FOR SPECULATION.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: SHE SAID ASSUMING. I CAN ASK ANOTHER QUESTION.

THE COURT: YOU DON'T KNOW WHY, SUSTAINED.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'LL ASK A DIFFERENT QUESTION.

THE COURT: I HAVE A QUESTION. DID THE DEPUTY RECOVER THE KNIFE?

THE WITNESS: NO, THEY DIDN'T TAKE IT.

THE COURT: THEY DIDN'T TAKE IT?

THE WITNESS: THEY DIDN'T TAKE IT, NO. I STILL HAVE IT.

THE COURT: THAT'S OKAY.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'LL JUST WRITE THAT.

THE WITNESS: DON'T USE IT ANYMORE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I JUST GOT A FEW MORE QUESTIONS, BUT SHE'S NOT GOING TO BE EXCUSED.

Q. DID YOU SIGN A PRIVATE PERSON'S ARREST FORM ON FEBRUARY 21ST, 2023, STATING YOU WANTED TO PLACE HOLLYWOOD UNDER ARREST?

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, RELEVANCE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: IT IS RELEVANT. THEY GOT A BOGUS PROBABLE CAUSE, AND I THINK I TOLD THE COURT A LONG TIME AGO THERE WAS NO PRIVATE PERSON'S ARREST IN THIS COURT. IT IS RELEVANT. SHE CAN ANSWER. THEY'RE SUPPOSED TO HAVE A PRIVATE PERSON'S ARREST.

THE COURT: TWO THINGS HERE; NUMBER 1, IT'S REALLY NOT RELEVANT. BUT NUMBER 2, YOU WERE ARRESTED FOR A FELONY. SO ORDINARILY THERE'S NO REQUIREMENT THAT A PERSON, A CITIZEN, FILE OR SIGN ANYTHING TO HAVE YOU ARRESTED. IT'S ONLY FOR MISDEMEANORS.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO, THEY DO IT FOR FELONIES. AND IF THE FELONY WASN'T COMMITTED IN THE PRESENCE OF THE PEACE OFFICER AND THE PRIVATE CITIZEN WANTS TO PLACE THEM UNDER ARREST UNDER

VICTIMS OF CRIME, WHICH CRIMINALS THREATS IS, IT ACTUALLY IS REQUIRED.

THE COURT: THAT'S ONLY TRUE FOR MISDEMEANORS. SO I'M GOING TO SUSTAIN THE OBJECTION. ASK ANOTHER QUESTION.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT HOLLYWOOD THREATENED TO KILL YOU AFTER THE FIRST UBER DEPARTED, CORRECT?

A. YES.

Q. YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT HOLLYWOOD THREATENED TO KILL YOU BEFORE THE SECOND UBER ARRIVED, CORRECT?

A. YES.

Q. JENNIFER, DID YOU MAKE THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT TO 911 DURING YOUR FIRST EMERGENCY CALL?

THE COURT: DID YOU MAKE A WHAT STATEMENT?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'M ASKING HER IF SHE MADE THIS STATEMENT TO 911.

THE COURT: WHAT STATEMENT?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: STATEMENT FROM THE 911 CALL.

THE COURT: I'M SORRY.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'M ASKING IF THEY MADE THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT FROM THE 911 CALL.

THE COURT: OKAY, WHAT'S THE STATEMENT?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: CAN I ASK HER THE QUESTION? CAN I CONTINUE AND ASK HER THE QUESTION? IT'S MY FINAL QUESTION.

THE COURT: OKAY, GO AHEAD.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THANK YOU.

THE COURT: ASK THE QUESTION.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: JENNIFER, DID YOU MAKE THE FOLLOW STATEMENT TO 911 DURING YOUR FIRST EMERGENCY CALL, "HE'S JUST

REFUSING TO LEAVE NOW. AND I SAID YOU NEED TO LEAVE IN THE NEXT FIVE MINUTES," AND YOU SAID, "FUCK, I DON'T LIKE TO CUSS"?

A. IT SOUNDS FAMILIAR. YES, I DID, IF IT'S WHAT'S IN THE TRANSCRIPT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THAT'S ALL THE CROSS-EXAMINATION QUESTIONS I GOT.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: BUT SHE'S NOT EXCUSED, BECAUSE I'M GOING TO TESTIFY.

THE COURT: MR. ROSENBERG?

MR. ROSENBERG: NOTHING FURTHER.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, THANK YOU. YOU MAY STEP DOWN PLEASE.

THE WITNESS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

MR. ROSENBERG: AND, YOUR HONOR, SHE WAS UNDER MY SUBPOENA. I'M ASKING THE COURT TO EXCUSE THAT WITNESS.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'M ASKING THE COURT NOT TO EXCUSE HER, AND I'M GOING TO TESTIFY. AND AFTER I TESTIFY, SHE'S GOING BACK ON THE STAND.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, I DON'T BELIEVE THAT THAT'S GOING TO BE NECESSARY, BUT LET'S CALL YOUR NEXT WITNESS.

MR. ROSENBERG: PEOPLE CALL DETECTIVE BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG.

THE CLERK: PLEASE RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND TO BE SWORN. DO YOU SOLEMNLY STATE THAT THE TESTIMONY YOU MAY GIVE IN THE CAUSE NOW PENDING BEFORE THIS COURT SHALL BE THE TRUTH, THE WHOLE TRUTH, AND NOTHING BUT THE TRUTH; SO HELP YOU GOD?

THE WITNESS: I DO.

THE CLERK: THANK YOU. PLEASE BE SEATED AT THE WITNESS STAND. PLEASE STATE AND SPELL YOUR FIRST AND LAST NAME FOR THE RECORD.

THE WITNESS: FIRST NAME BILLY, B-I-L-L-Y, LAST NAME KHOUNTHAVONG, K-H-O-U-N-T-H-A-V, AS IN VICTOR, O-N-G.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, YOU MAY INQUIRE.

BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG,

CALLED BY THE PEOPLE AS A WITNESS, WAS SWORN AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

Q. BY MR. ROSENBERG: WHAT IS YOUR CURRENT OCCUPATION AND ASSIGNMENT?

A. I'M A DETECTIVE FOR THE L.A. COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT CURRENTLY ASSIGNED TO TEMPLE CITY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT.

Q. HOW LONG HAVE YOU BEEN A SWORN POLICE OFFICER?

A. A LITTLE OVER 18 YEARS.

Q. ARE YOU THE INVESTIGATING OFFICER FOR THIS CASE?

A. I AM.

Q. DURING THE COURSE OF YOUR INVESTIGATION ON THIS MATTER, DID YOU ACQUIRE AS TO WHEN THE 911 CALLS THAT WE'VE BEEN SPEAKING ABOUT WERE MADE?

A. I DID.

Q. AND WERE YOU ABLE TO DO SO USING LAW ENFORCEMENT RESOURCES?

A. I WAS.

Q. IS THAT A DATABASE THAT'S ONLY ACCESSIBLE TO LAW ENFORCEMENT?

A. IT IS.

Q. THE FIRST 911 CALL THAT WE WERE TALKING ABOUT, WHAT TIME WAS THAT?

A. APPROXIMATELY, 10:03 A.M.

Q. 10:03 A.M.?

A. YES.

Q. AND THIS IS FEBRUARY 21ST, 2023, CORRECT?

A. THAT'S CORRECT.

Q. WHAT ABOUT THE SECOND 911 CALL?

A. APPROXIMATELY, 10:09 TO 10:10 I BELIEVE A.M.

Q. THAT'S AT THE BEGINNING OF THE PHONE CALL, CORRECT?

A. THAT'S THE BEGINNING OF THE SECOND PHONE CALL, YES.

Q. SO IT ORIGINATED AT THAT TIME?

A. YES.

Q. NOW, IN THE COURSE OF YOUR INVESTIGATION, DID YOU ALSO OBTAIN VIDEOS FROM A GO PRO CAMERA?

A. I DID.

Q. EARLIER IN COURT ON PEOPLE'S 1, THE PEOPLE PLAYED NUMEROUS VIDEOS. WERE THOSE VIDEO FROM THAT GO PRO?

A. IT WAS.

Q. NOW, DIRECTING YOUR ATTENTION TO THE TELEVISION SCREEN, AND I'M NOT SURE YOU HAVE A SCREEN IN FRONT OF YOU. I'M NOT SURE IF IT SHOWS THERE. IT DOESN'T, ALL RIGHT. LOOKING AT THIS SCREEN WHERE IT SHOWS 16 VIDEOS NINE OF WHICH ARE UNIQUE. ARE THESE THE TOTALITY OF THE VIDEOS THAT YOU

RETRIEVED FROM THAT GO PRO?

A. YES, IT APPEARS TO BE.

Q. AND THE VIDEOS THAT YOU RETRIEVED, HOW DID YOU GET THOSE?

A. I SUBMITTED THE GO PRO TO OUR HIGH-TECH BUREAU AND DETECTIVES FROM THAT BUREAU DOWNLOADED THE DATA FROM THE GO PRO ITSELF, AND I RETRIEVED IT FROM THEM.

Q. DID YOU GIVE THEM A PARAMETER FOR WHAT TIMEFRAME TO RETRIEVE VIDEOS FROM?

A. I DID.

Q. WHAT WAS THAT PARAMETER?

A. THE LAST TWO DAYS OF RECORDINGS.

Q. DID THEY INDICATE TO YOU THAT THEY RETRIEVED ALL THE VIDEOS FROM THOSE TWO DAYS?

A. THEY DID.

Q. NOW, LOOKING HERE, DOES THIS GENERATE THE TIMES IN WHICH THOSE VIDEOS ARE CREATED?

A. YES.

Q. OKAY, SO STARTING FIRST WITH WHAT WAS PREVIOUSLY PLAYED. THIS IS GL010585.LRV. THIS VIDEO WAS IT CREATED ON FEBRUARY 21ST, 2023, AT 9:50 A.M.?

A. YES.

Q. NOW, DO THESE ALSO INDICATE HOW LONG THE VIDEOS ARE AND WHEN THEY STOP?

A. IT DOES, YES.

Q. IS THAT UNDER THE COLUMN WHERE IT SAYS, "LAST MODIFIED"?

A. YES.

Q. SO DOES THAT MEAN THAT THIS VIDEO, THIS VIDEO ENDING 585 OCCURRED FROM 9:50 A.M. TO 9:51 A.M.?

A. YES.

Q. THAT VIDEO ENDING IN 585 IS IT INCLUDED IN TWO DIFFERENT FORMATS; THE .LRV FORMAT AND THE .MP4?

A. YES.

Q. SO THOSE TWO ARE THE EXACT SAME VIDEOS, JUST RECORDED IN DIFFERENT FORMATS?

A. CORRECT.

Q. SO MOVING ONTO THE NEXT VIDEO. THIS IS VIDEO ENDING 586.LRV, DOES THAT VIDEO ORIGINATE AT 9:59 A.M.?

A. YES.

Q. AND DID THAT VIDEO END AT 10:06 A.M.?

A. YES.

THE COURT: I'M SORRY TEN-OH?

MR. ROSENBERG: 10:06.

THE COURT: SIX.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: SO WOULD IT BE FAIR TO SAY THEN SINCE THE PREVIOUS VIDEO ENDED AT 9:51, THAT THERE WAS NO VIDEO THAT TOOK PLACE BETWEEN 9:51 AND 9:59 A.M.?

A. YES.

Q. AND THE NEXT VIDEO AFTER THE ONE ENDING 586 IS VIDEO 587.LRV. DID THAT VIDEO ORIGINATE AT 10:13 A.M.?

A. YES.

Q. AND DID THAT VIDEO, DOES IT INDICATE WHEN THAT VIDEO ENDS?

A. YES.

Q. DID IT END AT 10:15 A.M.?

A. YES.

Q. AND THEN AFTER THAT ONE, THERE'S VIDEO 588. DID THAT VIDEO ORIGINATE AT 10:18 A.M.?

A. YES.

Q. AND DID THAT VIDEO END AT 10:23 A.M.?

A. YES.

Q. OKAY, SO WOULD IT BE FAIR TO SAY THAT BASED ON WHEN THESE VIDEOS START AND END, THAT NO VIDEO TOOK PLACE BETWEEN 10:06 AND 10:13 A.M.?

A. THAT'S CORRECT.

Q. AND THAT'S CONVENIENTLY BETWEEN THE FIRST AND SECOND 911 CALL FOR THE MOST PART, RIGHT?

A. YES.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THAT'S INCORRECT. BUT ALL RIGHT.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: SO LET'S -- IN FACT, LET'S LOOK AT THE VIDEO ENDING 586. THIS IS THE VIDEO THAT ENDS AT 10:06 A.M. SO SINCE THIS ENDS AT 10:06 A.M., THIS VIDEO WOULD END AT THE TIME THAT THAT FIRST 911 CALL WAS BEING MADE, RIGHT? OR PERHAPS AT THE END OF THAT FIRST 911 CALL?

A. YES.

Q. LET'S GO -- LET'S START AT SEVEN -- WE'LL GO TO THE LAST TEN SECONDS 7:10.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: WHICH VIDEO IS THIS?

MR. ROSENBERG: THIS IS VIDEO THAT ENDS AT 10:13 A.M. -- EXCUSE ME 10:06 A.M.

Q. AT THE END OF THIS VIDEO, ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH -- WELL, YOU'RE A DETECTIVE --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: CAN YOU SHOW THE WHOLE VIDEO?

MR. ROSENBERG: NO, I ALREADY DID.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO, YOU DIDN'T.

THE COURT: MISTER --

MR. ROSENBERG: I'M PLAYING MY EVIDENCE.

THE COURT: MISTER -- HOLD ON. GO AHEAD.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH BODY-WORN VIDEOS?

A. I AM.

Q. ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH GO PROS?

A. SOMEWHAT.

Q. NOW, IS THERE A WAY TO TURN THEM ON AND OFF FOR RECORDING?

A. YES.

Q. WHAT DOES IT LOOK LIKE THE INDIVIDUAL THAT'S MAKING THIS RECORDING IS DOING AT THE VERY END OF THAT VIDEO? I'LL PLAY IT AGAIN.

(WHEREUPON PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT 1
IS PLAYED IN OPEN COURT.)

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: WE'RE STARTING AT 7:16.

A. I SEE A HAND AND IT APPEARS TO ME HE'S TURNING THE GO PRO OFF.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THAT IS A SHORT VIDEO; THAT'S ONLY -- ONLY TWO SECONDS. THE VIDEO OF THE UBER WAS CONTINUOUS AND --

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD, YOU'LL GET YOUR TURN.

///

///

(WHEREUPON PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT 1
IS PLAYED IN OPEN COURT.)

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THAT VIDEO RIGHT THERE; THAT'S ALL THAT MATTERS.

THE COURT: GO AHEAD.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: PLAY THAT VIDEO.

MR. ROSENBERG: I JUST DID. I PLAYED THE VERY END OF IT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO, PLAY THE WHOLE VIDEO.

MR. ROSENBERG: I ALREADY HAVE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: ALL RIGHT, WELL, WHAT WE SAW IS THE VIDEO --

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD, YOU'LL GET YOUR TURN. HOLD ON.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: ALL RIGHT.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: SO AT 10:06 A.M. THAT'S WHAT APPEARS TO BE MR. HOLLYWOOD TURNING OFF HIS GO PRO CAMERA; IS THAT CORRECT?

A. YES.

Q. AND THE FIRST PHONE CALL, IT ORIGINATED AT 10:03 A.M. CORRECT?

A. THAT'S CORRECT.

Q. APPROXIMATELY, HOW LONG, IF YOU REMEMBER, WAS THE VICTIM ON THAT 911 CALL; THAT FIRST 911 PHONE CALL? AND I COULD PLAY IT FOR YOU IF THAT WOULD HELP.

A. IT WOULD HELP.

///

///

(WHEREUPON PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT 1
IS PLAYED IN OPEN COURT.)

MR. ROSENBERG: AND AGAIN PLAYING THE FILE FROM PEOPLE'S 1 TEM-03. INSTEAD OF REPLAYING THE WHOLE THING, I CAN FAST-FORWARD TO APPROXIMATELY WHEN THIS ENDS. AND HAVING STARTED AT THE ZERO-SECOND MARK. WE'LL MOVE FORWARD TO THREE MINUTES.

(WHEREUPON PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT 1
IS PLAYED IN OPEN COURT.)

MR. ROSENBERG: THIS WAS AT 2 MINUTES 48 SECONDS.

(WHEREUPON PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT 1
IS PLAYED IN OPEN COURT.)

MR. ROSENBERG: STOPPING THE 4 MINUTES 34 SECONDS. SO WOULD IT BE FAIR TO SAY THAT HER FIRST 911 CALL IS ABOUT FOUR AND A HALF MINUTES?

A. THAT'S CORRECT.

Q. SO THAT MEANS IT WOULD END AROUND 10:07 A.M.?

A. YES.

Q. RELATIVELY SHORTLY AFTER HE DECIDED TO TURN HIS GO PRO OFF?

A. YES.

MR. ROSENBERG: NOTHING FURTHER.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: GOOD.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: OKAY I WANT TO NOTE THAT I DON'T KNOW WHEN SHE MADE THE FIRST 911 CALL, BUT I DO KNOW THAT, WHEN THE UBER CAME, SHE TESTIFIED SHE'S THREATENED AFTER THE UBER. WE SAW A VIDEO WHERE I WAS OUTSIDE WITH THE UBER, THE CAMERA NEVER WENT OFF. THAT IS THE VIDEO, THE ONLY VIDEO THAT MATTERS.

ALL THE STATEMENTS SHE MADE, EVERYTHING SHE SAID, SHE ALREADY TESTIFIED SHE WAS THREATENED AFTER THE FIRST UBER LEFT. SO WHAT HE'S PLAYING DOESN'T REALLY MATTER. IF SHE'S TALKING ABOUT WELL SHE MADE -- I DON'T KNOW IF SHE CALLED 911 BEFORE THE FIRST UBER CAME. WE DON'T KNOW THAT. NOBODY KNOWS.

MR. ROSENBERG: WELL, ACTUALLY WE DO BECAUSE --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO, WE DON'T.

MR. ROSENBERG: I'M GOING TO SAVE THIS FOR ARGUMENT. SO WHY DON'T WE STOP.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: WE'RE GOING TO SHOW THE WHOLE VIDEO RIGHT NOW WHEN IT'S MY TURN.

MR. ROSENBERG: WHY DON'T YOU TESTIFY, AND WE'LL FIND OUT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: FINE, PUT HER BACK ON THE STAND. SHE'S ALREADY COMMITTED PERJURY.

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD, THE WITNESS IS ON THE STAND. DO YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS FOR THE WITNESS?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I MEAN, I DON'T KNOW HOW MUCH INFORMATION. YEAH, I CAN CROSS HIM ABOUT CONTRERAS. I MEAN, IF YOU'RE GOING TO ALLOW THAT, IF HE KNOWS WHAT'S IN THE

POLICE REPORT WHICH HE IS THE DETECTIVE. I CAN CROSS HIM ABOUT THAT.

THE COURT: I'M NOT SURE. WHAT DO YOU MEAN?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I CAN CROSS HIM AND SAY, "WELL, YOU READ THE POLICE REPORT, RIGHT? DID YOU SEE ANY STATEMENTS IN THERE ABOUT HER SHOWING DEPUTIES A KNIFE?" CAN I ASK HIM THOSE QUESTIONS?

THE COURT: SURE. YOU CAN ASK HIM THAT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: LET'S DO IT. I GOT TO GO TO HER QUESTIONS.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

Q. BY MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'M JUST GOING TO CALL YOU DETECTIVE K. THAT NAME'S HARD TO PRONOUNCE. DETECTIVE K., YOU'RE FAMILIAR WITH THE POLICE REPORT IN THIS CASE?

A. YES.

Q. AND YOU READ IT THOROUGHLY, RIGHT?

A. YES.

Q. OKAY, AND WHEN YOU READ THAT POLICE REPORT, DID YOU HEAR -- DID YOU READ THAT DEPUTY CONTRERAS SAID THAT AFTER THE DOOR -- AFTER THE DOOR OR AFTER HEGER OR JENNIFER LOCKED THE DOOR OF THE GARAGE TO GIVE ACCESS TO THE RESIDENCE, THAT SHE TOLD HOLLYWOOD THROUGH THE LOCKED DOOR, THE UBER IS OUT THERE? DID YOU SEE THAT STATEMENT IN THE POLICE REPORT?

A. I DON'T RECALL.

Q. OH, YOU WANT TO PLAY.

THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE A COPY OF YOUR REPORT?

THE WITNESS: YOUR HONOR --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: DON'T WORRY. I DO.

THE COURT: WE'RE GOING TO GIVE HIM ONE SO THAT WAY YOU CAN KNOW WHAT TO ASK.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: MAKE IT MUCH EASIER.

THE COURT: SO IS THERE A PAGE AND A LINE NUMBER, PARAGRAPH?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: PAGE STATED.

THE COURT: UP ON THE TOP-RIGHT CORNER THERE'S A PAGE NUMBER.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YEAH, I'M TRYING TO FIND WHERE SHE MADE THAT STATEMENT. RIGHT HERE. SO PAGE 4.

THE COURT: WHAT PARAGRAPH?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: SIX, PARAGRAPH 6.

THE COURT: OKAY, DO YOU HAVE THAT?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I WANT ROSENBERG TO LISTEN TO THIS QUESTION BECAUSE HE'S THE ONLY ONE THAT MATTERS.

THE COURT: WHAT?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I WANT ROSENBERG TO LISTEN CLOSE TO THIS QUESTION. WE DON'T NEED THE VIDEO WITH THIS QUESTION.

Q. SO YOU GOT THE POLICE REPORT. SO DO YOU REMEMBER CONTRERAS OR IN THE POLICE REPORT CONTRERAS DOCUMENTING THAT HEGER STATED SHE SAID -- "HEGER STATED WHEN THE UBER XL ARRIVED AND BASED ON THE SUSPECT HOLLYWOOD'S AGGRESSIVE DEMEANOR TOWARDS HER, SHE LOCKED THE DOOR TO THE GARAGE TO GIVE ACCESS TO THE RESIDENCE FROM THE GARAGE AREA.

SHE TOLD HOLLYWOOD THROUGH THE LOCKED DOOR, 'THE UBER IS OUT THERE.' HEGER STATED THAT SHE TOLD HOLLYWOOD,

'THE UBER IS OUTSIDE.' HOLLYWOOD BEGAN TO YELL THROUGH THE DOOR?"

DO YOU REMEMBER THAT STATEMENT IN THE POLICE REPORT?

A. I SEE IT HERE, YES.

Q. THANK YOU. ALL RIGHT, DO YOU REMEMBER DEPUTY CONTRERAS STATING THAT HEGER TOLD HER THAT, "AFTER HOLLYWOOD HAD SAID, 'WHAT THE FUCK ARE YOU DOING,' SHE BECAME SO AFRAID THAT SHE RAN TO THE BEDROOM IN THE NORTHEAST CORNER AND LOCKED THAT DOOR ALSO." DO YOU REMEMBER DEPUTY CONTRERAS SAYING THAT?

THE COURT: I BELIEVE IT'S THE NEXT PARAGRAPH.

MR. ROSENBERG: WELL, IS HE ASKING IF DEPUTY CONTRERAS SAID THAT OR IF IT'S WRITTEN IN THE REPORT?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'M ASKING HIM IF HE REMEMBERS HIM SAYING THAT IN THE REPORT, WRITING IT. SAME THING.

THE WITNESS: IT APPEARS THAT WAY IN THE REPORT.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: SO THE ANSWER IS YES?

A. YES.

Q. OKAY, DO YOU REMEMBER DEPUTY CONTRERAS WRITING IN HIS POLICE REPORT THAT, "AFTER SHE LOCKED HOLLYWOOD OUT -- OR AFTER SHE LOCKED THE BEDROOM IN THE NORTHEAST CORNER, THAT HOLLYWOOD STARTED KICKING HER DOOR"?

THE COURT: WHAT PARAGRAPH IS THAT?

THE WITNESS: YES.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THE ONE -- HE SAW IT. THE ONE WITH THE DOOR STOPPER.

THE COURT: OKAY.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: AND THEN, DO YOU REMEMBER DEPUTY

CONTRERAS, AND THIS IS FOR THE FIRST 911 CALL BY THE WAY, DEPUTY CONTRERAS SAID THAT, "SHE TOLD HER AFTER SHE DIALED 911, SHE SET OFF THE RING CAMERA ALARM TO LET HOLLYWOOD KNOW HOW SERIOUS THE SITUATION WAS BECOMING"?

A. YES.

Q. AND DO YOU REMEMBER DEPUTY CONTRERAS SAYING IN THE POLICE REPORT THAT HE WAS TOLD BY HEGER THAT, AFTER SHE SET OFF THE RING ALARM -- THAT SHE SET OFF THE RING ALARM AND DIALED 911 PRIOR TO HOLLYWOOD MAKING CRIMINAL THREATS TO HER?

A. I DON'T REMEMBER THAT.

Q. IT'S IN THE POLICE REPORT. LET ME SEE. PAGE 4, SECOND TO LAST PARAGRAPH. HEGER STATED -- DO YOU REMEMBER THAT DEPUTY CONTRERAS SAID THAT? SHE TOLD DEPUTY CONTRERAS THAT, "SHE SET OFF THE RING ALARM AND DIALED 911 PRIOR TO HOLLYWOOD MAKING CRIMINAL THREATS TO HER," SECOND TO LAST PARAGRAPH?

A. IT MADE NO MENTION ABOUT JENNIFER MAKING STATEMENTS TO DEPUTY CONTRERAS ABOUT DIALING 911 PRIOR TO YOU MAKING ANY THREATS. DOES NOT MENTION ANYTHING ON THAT PARAGRAPH.

Q. YES, IT DOES. I'LL READ IT TO YOU BECAUSE YOU'RE PLAYING GAMES.

MR. ROSENBERG: YOUR HONOR, JUST LIKE WITH THE OTHER WITNESS, I'M GOING TO ASK HIM TO NOT BADGER OUR WITNESSES OR MAKE EDITORIAL COMMENTS.

THE COURT: JUST GO AHEAD AND READ IT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'M GOING TO SAY THIS RIGHT NOW, WHAT HE'S TESTIFYING TO RIGHT NOW, IT PROVES WHAT YOU'RE SAYING IS WRONG. WHAT WE SAW IN THE VIDEO, IN THE VIDEO THAT YOU'RE

SAYING IS NOT CONTINUOUS. SHE SAID THE THREATS WERE MADE WHEN SHE SET THE ALARM. YOU JUST WATCH THIS, "VICTIM HEGER STATED SUSPECT HOLLYWOOD STARTED BANGING ON THE DOOR. SHE WAS GLAD SHE PLACED THE DOOR STOPPER ON THE DOOR BECAUSE SUSPECT HOLLYWOOD STARTED KICKING THE DOOR, AND THAT'S WHEN SHE DIALED 911. SHE SET OFF THE RING CAMERA ALARM TO LET HOLLYWOOD KNOW HOW SERIOUS THE SITUATION IS BECOMING. VICTIM HEGER STATED" --

THE COURT: HOLD ON. ONE AT A TIME. ARE YOU -- WAIT, WAIT, ARE YOU ASKING THE WITNESS IF THAT'S WHAT MR. CONTRERAS WROTE IN THE HIS REPORT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'M ASKING IF HE REMEMBERS CONTRERAS WRITING IN THE REPORT THAT SHE TOLD HEGER -- TOLD CONTRERAS THAT SHE SET OFF THE ALARM AND DIALED 911 PRIOR TO HOLLYWOOD MAKING THE CRIMINAL THREATS?

THE WITNESS: YES, I DO.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THANK YOU. NOW, NEXT QUESTION.

Q. YOU WERE AT THE INTERVIEW ON MARCH 9TH, CORRECT?

A. SAY THAT AGAIN.

Q. YOU WERE AT THE INTERVIEW WITH ROSENBERG AND HEGER ON MARCH 9TH, CORRECT?

A. I DON'T REMEMBER THE EXACT DATE.

Q. THE DETECTIVE INTERVIEW WITH HER?

A. IF IT WAS IN THE D.A.'S OFFICE, YES, I WAS PRESENT.

Q. YOU WERE THERE AT THAT INTERVIEW, CORRECT?

A. YES.

Q. ON MARCH 9TH, 2023, DO YOU RECALL HEGER STATING THAT DURING THE ENCOUNTER WITH HOLLYWOOD, SHE CHARGED AT HIM WHILE

HE WAS STANDING IN THE KITCHEN?

A. YES.

Q. DO YOU RECALL DURING THAT INTERVIEW THAT SHE STATED THAT SHE TOLD HOLLYWOOD, "WHAT THE FUCK ARE YOU STILL DOING IN MY KITCHEN?"

A. I DON'T RECALL THAT SPECIFIC STATEMENT. I DO NOT.

Q. YOU DON'T, RIGHT. SO SHE PROBABLY MADE IT UP, RIGHT? OKAY, SO NO. DO YOU RECALL DURING THAT INTERVIEW -- DO YOU RECALL HER SAYING THAT, SHE CONFRONTED HOLLYWOOD IN THE KITCHEN AFTER SHE HAD ALREADY CALLED AN UBER FOR HOLLYWOOD TO LEAVE?

A. I DON'T REMEMBER HER -- I DON'T RECALL HER MAKING THOSE STATEMENTS AS FAR AS CONFRONTING YOU --

Q. OKAY.

A. -- AFTER CALLING THE UBER. BUT I DO REMEMBER THE FACT THAT SHE DID MENTION THAT SHE DID CONFRONT YOU IN THE KITCHEN.

Q. WE'LL GET TO THAT. DO YOU REMEMBER HER STATING THAT, "WHILE HOLLYWOOD WAS STANDING IN THE KITCHEN, THAT SHE CHARGED AT HOLLYWOOD"?

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, ASKED AND ANSWERED.

THE COURT: THAT'S ALREADY BEEN ASKED AND ANSWERED.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I DIDN'T ASK HIM. I ASKED JENNIFER. I'M ASKING HIM IF I WAS --

THE COURT: RIGHT, AND HE ALREADY TESTIFIED TO THAT THAT SHE STATED THAT IN THE INTERVIEW.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: OH, HE SAID THAT SHE SAID THAT SHE CHARGED AT ME?

THE COURT: YES.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: OKAY, DO YOU RECALL DURING THE INTERVIEW THAT HEGER STATED THAT, "WHILE SHE WAS IN THE KITCHEN AND SHE CHARGED AT HOLLYWOOD, THAT HE WAS HOLDING A KNIFE"?

A. YES.

Q. IS THAT STATEMENT MADE ANYWHERE IN CONTRERAS POLICE REPORT?

A. IT IS NOT.

Q. THANK YOU. SHOWS THAT'S NOT TRUE. IS THERE A STATEMENT MADE IN CONTRERAS POLICE REPORT THAT HOLLYWOOD HAD THREATENED TO KILL HEGER DURING THE CONFRONTATION IN THE KITCHEN? DO YOU SEE THAT STATEMENT IN THE POLICE REPORT?

A. I DO NOT.

Q. DURING THAT INTERVIEW, DO YOU RECALL HEGER STATING THAT HOLLYWOOD HAD TOLD HER THAT HE WAS GOING TO PUT HER IN THE GROUND?

A. SOMETHING ALONG THOSE LINES.

Q. LIKE, WHAT DID SHE SAY?

A. WHAT YOU JUST MENTIONED. IT WAS EITHER DURING THAT INTERVIEW --

Q. OKAY.

A. -- OR A SUBSEQUENT CONVERSATION WITH HER.

Q. OH, YOU HAD A CONVERSATION WITH HER, ALL RIGHT. WAS THAT STATEMENT GIVEN TO -- IS THAT STATEMENT IN THE POLICE REPORT ABOUT HEGER STATING THAT HOLLYWOOD SAID HE WAS GOING TO PUT HER IN THE GROUND? IS THAT STATEMENT IN THE POLICE REPORT?

A. I DO NOT SEE IT, NO. IF I MAY ADD, SOMETHING ALONG THOSE LINES IN THE REPORT ITSELF.

Q. WHAT DID YOU SAY?

A. IF I MAY ADD, SOMETHING ALONG THOSE LINES THAT YOU JUST STATED. SHE DID MENTION THE FACT THAT SHE WAS THREATENED; THAT SHE WOULD NEVER SEE TOMORROW.

Q. I KNOW, BUT I ASKED YOU ABOUT THAT SPECIFIC STATEMENT?

A. I HEARD IT.

Q. AND YOU SAID THAT DURING THE INTERVIEW THAT THAT WAS SAID. THIS IS A NEW STATEMENT. IT WAS NOT GIVEN TO CONTRERAS. THERE WAS NO STATEMENT ABOUT HOLLYWOOD SAID HE WAS GOING TO PUT HER IN THE GROUND. IT WAS ONLY THOSE OTHER STATEMENTS. YOU DIDN'T SEE IN THE POLICE REPORT. YOU SAID YOU HEARD IN THE INTERVIEW. SO I'M OKAY WITH THAT.

A. I DON'T KNOW IF THAT STATEMENT WAS GIVEN TO DEPUTY CONTRERAS. I CAN'T COMMENT ON THAT.

Q. YOU WANT TO SEE THE POLICE REPORT?

THE COURT: NO, HE KNOWS THAT. HE CAN'T COMMENT WHAT WAS TOLD BY CONTRERAS. ONLY CONTRERAS COULD TESTIFY TO THAT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: WE CAN WATCH THE INTERVIEW FOR THAT. WHY DON'T WE MOVE TO TODAY'S CROSS-EXAMINATION. ONE OF MY PAGES IS MISSING.

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD, ANYMORE QUESTIONS FOR THIS WITNESS?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YEAH, I GOT MORE QUESTIONS FOR HIM. I'M JUST LOOKING FOR ONE PIECE OF PAPER THAT'S MISSING FROM MY CROSS-EXAMINATION FOR TODAY THAT'S WHAT I'M LOOKING FOR.

THEN, YEAH, I GOT MORE QUESTIONS FOR HIM. NOT MANY MORE.

Q. DURING THE INTERVIEW, DO YOU REMEMBER HEGER SAYING THAT SHE FOLLOWED HOLLYWOOD INTO THE KITCHEN?

A. I DON'T RECALL.

Q. YOU DON'T RECALL?

A. NO.

Q. OH, HERE IT IS. IT WAS UPSIDE DOWN. DO YOU RECALL OR DO YOU REMEMBER DURING THAT INTERVIEW HEGER STATING THAT SHE RAN DOWN THE HALLWAY ALMOST IMMEDIATELY AFTER SEEING HOLLYWOOD WITH A KNIFE?

A. NO.

Q. DO YOU REMEMBER DURING THAT INTERVIEW HEGER TELLING YOU THAT SHE CAME BACK OUT INTO THE KITCHEN TO SEE IF HOLLYWOOD WAS STILL THERE?

A. I DON'T RECALL THAT.

Q. SO THAT'S NO?

A. I DON'T RECALL THAT.

Q. DO YOU RECALL DURING THAT INTERVIEW HEGER MAKING ANY STATEMENTS THAT SHE CHARGED AT HOLLYWOOD WHILE HE HAD A KNIFE IN HIS HAND?

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION ASKED AND ANSWERED.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THAT QUESTION WASN'T ASKED AND ANSWERED.

THE COURT: IT WAS ASKED AND ANSWERED, AND IT --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: CHARGED AT WITH A KNIFE WAS? CHARGED AT WITH -- IF SHE CHARGED AT HOLLYWOOD WHEN HE HAD A KNIFE THAT WAS ALREADY ASKED AND ANSWERED?

THE COURT: I BELIEVE HE ANSWERED YES. YES.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: OKAY.

Q. DO YOU RECALL DURING THAT INTERVIEW HEGER MAKING ANY STATEMENTS THAT, AS DEPUTIES WERE APPROACHING, SHE GRABBED THE KNIFE FROM THE KITCHEN AND THREW IT OUTSIDE IN FRONT OF THEM?

A. NO.

Q. DO YOU RECALL DEPUTY, CONTRERAS OR ANY DETECTIVE, ANY LAW ENFORCEMENT PEACE OFFICER RECOVERING A KNIFE AT THE LOCATION?

A. NO.

Q. DO YOU RECALL THEM SPEAKING TO HEGER ABOUT A KNIFE AT THE ORIGINAL -- DURING THE ORIGINAL FEBRUARY 21ST, INVESTIGATION WAS THERE ANY MENTION ABOUT A KNIFE AT ALL?

A. YOU REFERENCED THE FACT THAT YOU WERE IN THE KITCHEN THE NIGHT PRIOR AND MAKING A SANDWICH AND THERE WERE KNIVES PLURAL IN THE KITCHEN --

Q. YEAH, I REMEMBER THAT FROM INTERVIEW.

THE COURT: HOLD ON. LET HIM FINISH HIS ANSWER.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: OKAY, GO AHEAD.

THE WITNESS: AND THERE WERE KNIVES PLURAL IN THE KITCHEN AND THAT WAS A POSSIBLE WEAPON TO BE USED FROM THE KITCHEN ITSELF.

Q. MR. HOLLYWOOD: BUT DO YOU REMEMBER ANYTHING IN THE POLICE REPORT ANY PEACE OFFICER TALKING ANYTHING ABOUT HOW HEGER HAD A KNIFE AND HAD AN INTERVIEW WITH HER ABOUT HER POSSESSING THE KNIFE?

A. NO.

Q. YOU LISTENED TO BOTH OF 911 TAPES, CORRECT?

A. I DID.

Q. DURING THE FIRST 911 TAPE, DID YOU HEAR ANY CRIMINAL

THREATS BEING REPORTED TO 911?

A. NO.

Q. DID YOU HEAR ANY CRIMINAL THREATS BEING REPORTED TO THE 911 DURING THE SECOND CALL?

A. I DON'T RECALL.

Q. YOU DON'T RECALL. SO YOU DIDN'T LISTEN TO THE SECOND --

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, ASKED AND ANSWERED ARGUMENTATIVE.

THE COURT: SO THE QUESTION IS DID HE HEAR ANY THREATS MADE OR REPORTED, RIGHT, ANY THREATS REPORTED ON THE SECOND 911 CALL?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YEAH.

MR. ROSENBERG: AND THE WITNESS JUST SAID HE DOESN'T RECALL.

THE COURT: AND HE DOESN'T RECALL.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: BUT I ASKED HIM IF HE HAD HEARD BOTH 911 TAPES. HE SAID, YEAH. HE'S BEEN IN COURT. SO HE OBVIOUSLY REFRESHED HIS MEMORY ON THOSE 911 TAPES.

THE COURT: OKAY, WELL, LET ME SEE. WE DON'T HAVE A TRANSCRIPT OF THE SECOND CALL.

MR. ROSENBERG: WE DON'T, BUT WE HAVE THE ACTUAL CALL.

THE COURT: WE HAVE THE ACTUAL CALL. HOW LONG IS IT?

MR. ROSENBERG: I WILL STIPULATE THAT SHE DOES NOT SAY ANY -- THAT CRIMINAL THREATS WERE MADE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YOU'RE NOT TESTIFYING.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, YOU ACCEPT THAT STIPULATION?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: WE CAN JUST PLAY IT. IT'S IN THE SECOND.

THE COURT: NO, THERE'S REASON TO PLAY IT IF HE'S WILLING TO STIPULATE THAT THERE'S NO REPORT OF A CRIMINAL THREAT ON THE SECOND 911 CALL. DO YOU ACCEPT THAT?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THE COURT ACCEPTS IT, YEAH, THAT'S FINE.

THE COURT: OKAY, THE COURT ACCEPTS IT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I DON'T HAVE ANY QUESTIONS.

THE COURT: YOU MAY STEP DOWN, DETECTIVE K. ANY FURTHER WITNESSES?

MR. ROSENBERG: NO.

THE COURT: OKAY. AND THE EXHIBITS?

MR. ROSENBERG: PEOPLE'S MOTION TO INTRODUCE PEOPLE'S 1 IN EVIDENCE.

THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: TO WHAT, THE VIDEO?

THE COURT: TO PEOPLE'S 1 WHICH IS THE VIDEO WHICH IS ALL THE EVIDENCE ON THAT VIDEO. SO IT'S THE 911 CALLS AND THE GO PRO EVIDENCE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: ALL I HAVE TO SAY I WANT TO USE THE GO PRO FOR MY DEFENSE.

THE COURT: OKAY, BUT HE'S MOVING IT INTO EVIDENCE. DO YOU HAVE AN OBJECTION?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, IT'S ADMITTED.

(PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT NUMBER1 1
IS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)

THE COURT: PEOPLE REST?

MR. ROSENBERG: YES.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, NOW, IT'S YOUR TURN YOU WANT TO TESTIFY?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YES, I DO.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, YOU WANT TO TESTIFY FROM THERE OR YOU WANT TO TESTIFY FROM UP THE WITNESS STAND.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'LL TESTIFY FROM THERE.

THE COURT: FROM THE WITNESS STAND.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I KNOW SHE WAS -- PEOPLE BEEN HAVING A HARD TIME HEARING ME SO.

THE CLERK: DO YOU SOLEMNLY STATE THAT THE TESTIMONY YOU MAY GIVE IN THE CAUSE NOW PENDING BEFORE THIS COURT SHALL BE THE TRUTH, THE WHOLE TRUTH, AND NOTHING BUT THE TRUTH; SO HELP YOU GOD?

THE WITNESS: SO HELP ME. I'M NOT RELIGION.

THE COURT: DO YOU AFFIRM OR SWEAR?

THE WITNESS: YEAH, YEAH. I AFFIRM SO HELP ME, BUT I'M NOT RELIGIOUS.

THE CLERK: PLEASE STATE AND SPELL YOUR FIRST AND LAST NAME FOR THE RECORD.

THE WITNESS: AROGANT HOLLYWOOD.

THE CLERK: SPELLING.

THE WITNESS: AROGANT HOLLYWOOD.

THE COURT: GO AHEAD AND SPELL YOUR FIRST AND LAST NAME.

THE DEFENDANT: OH, A; AS IN ALPHA, R; AS IN ROBERT, O; AS IN OCTOPUS, G; AS IN GIRAFFE, A; AS IN ALPHA, N; AS IN NANCY, T; AS IN TANGO. MY LAST NAME IS HOLLYWOOD. I GUESS THEY MISSPELLED IT ON THE REPORT WITH TWO R'S. IT'S ONE R.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, SO THE WAY THIS WORKS IS YOU JUST GO AHEAD AND MAKE A STATEMENT, TESTIFY AS TO WHAT YOU WANT TO TESTIFY TO.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: ALL RIGHT.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD,

A DEFENDANT, IN PROPRIA PERSONA, WAS AFFIRMED AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

Q. BY MR. HOLLYWOOD: SO I'M GOING TO START OUT ON FEBRUARY 21ST. SO FEBRUARY 21ST -- OR SORRY FEBRUARY 20TH, AT ABOUT 4:00 P.M., I LEFT THE AIRBNB FROM ROWLAND HEIGHTS. MY WOMAN STAYS IN HACIENDA HEIGHTS SO I WAS IN THE AREA SO I CAN SEE HER. I LEFT THE AIRBNB. THE AIRBNB DROPPED ME OFF AT THE SOUTH PASADENA POLICE DEPARTMENT. I'M HOMELESS; THAT'S THE FIRST STOP I GO TO IS THE SOUTH PASADENA POLICE DEPARTMENT. THEN FROM THERE, I MIGHT GO TO SAN MARINO, OTHER PLACES. BUT IT'S LIKE THE FIRST PLACE I GO WHEN I LEAVE THE AIRBNB.

I GOT THERE PROBABLY AROUND 4:00 P.M., 5:00 P.M. I WAS THERE BECAUSE I WAS WORKING ON SOME LAW STUFF. AND THE CO-COUNSEL IN THIS CASE HE'S HELPING ME SUE THE POLICE IN FEDERAL COURT BECAUSE I'M A VEXATIOUS LITIGANT. THE FEDERAL COURT IS NOT ALLOWING ME TO SUE THE POLICE, AND I'M KIND OF TIRED OF THEM FALSELY ARRESTING ME AND GETTING AWAY WITH IT. SO HE'S GOING TO BE MY LAWYER IN FEDERAL COURT.

SO I TALKED TO NEAL BY TEXT MESSAGE, AND I SAID, "HEY, YOU KNOW, ALISON IS GOING TO SERVE THIS ON THEM

TOMORROW." SO I PRINTED OUT THE LAWSUIT AROUND 6:00 OR 7:00 P.M. JUST SO YOU KNOW, I HAVE POWER GENERATORS OR POWER STATIONS, YOU KNOW, THOSE PORTABLE THINGS. THEY GAVE YOU POWER. SO THERE'S NO POWER OUTSIDE. I'M USING THOSE. THEY'RE FULLY CHARGED FROM THE AIRBNB. I WAS PRINTING DOCUMENTS. THEY WERE ALL LAID OUT.

I WOULD SAY AROUND 9:00 P.M., I WAS THERE. I WAS ON MY COMPUTER. JENNIFER APPROACHED ON THE OTHER SIDE OF THE POLICE DEPARTMENT. SO I'M NEAR CITY HALL. SHE APPROACHED NEAR THE COUNCIL -- I DON'T KNOW IF YOU'RE FAMILIAR WITH SOUTH PASADENA COUNCIL'S CHAMBERS ON THAT SIDE. AND SHE'S WALKING UP. SHE SMILES AND SHE WAVES AT ME. BUT SHE SAYS SOMETHING, BUT SHE'S FROM A DISTANCE AWAY, I COULDN'T HEAR WHAT SHE SAID. ABOUT -- I GO BACK ON MY COMPUTER.

ABOUT 20 MINUTES LATER, SHE'S INSIDE THE POLICE DEPARTMENT, AND SHE STARTS PICKING UP THE PHONE AND YELLING AND, LIKE, KIND OF BANGING THE RED PHONE AGAINST THE THING. SO I APPROACHED. I HEARD THE NOISE. I DIDN'T SEE HER DO IT, AND I SAY, "HEY, YOU SHOULD STOP DOING THAT OR THEY COULD ARREST YOU FOR INTERFERING WITH THE POLICE DEPARTMENT." SHE SAYS, "NO, NO, IT'S FINE. THEY'RE NOT GOING TO ARREST ME." I WENT BACK TO WHAT I WAS DOING.

ABOUT 15 MINUTES LATER, SHE CAME OUTSIDE, AND SHE WAS WITH THE WATCH COMMANDER WHO'S I THINK MATTHEW RODNEY, AND SHE'S TALKING TO HER ABOUT RECORDS OR SOMETHING. I'M MINDING MY BUSINESS. I DIDN'T HEAR WHAT THEY WERE SAYING. HE'S LIKE, "I'M GOING TO TRY TO GET YOU THE RECORDS. I'LL DO WHAT I CAN. I'M THE WATCH COMMANDER." I GUESS HE DIDN'T GIVE HER A PEN.

SO SHE CAME UP TO ME AND SHE ASKED ME IF I HAD AN INK PEN TO FILL OUT THE REQUEST FOR THE RECORDS. I SAID, "YEAH, I HAVE PEN." SO I GAVE HER A PEN.

AFTER THAT, I GUESS, RODNEY DIDN'T WANT TO MAKE COPIES FOR HER OR SOME CRAP. SO THEN I MADE COPIES OF THE DOCUMENTS SO SHE CAN HAVE A COPY OF THE DOCUMENT. BECAUSE MY PRINTER IS LIKE AN EPSON ET-4150 SOMETHING LIKE THAT. IT HAS A SCANNER, A PRINTER, A FAX, AND EVERYTHING ON IT. IT HAS A DOCUMENT FEEDER. IT'S LIKE THE ONES YOU SEE IN LAW OFFICE. SO I SCAN A COPY OF THE DOCUMENT, MADE SOME COPIES FOR HER. AND THEN I ASKED HER, "WHAT'S ALL THIS ABOUT?" AND SHE SAID LIKE, "WELL, I'M GOING THROUGH A DIVORCE AND THERE'S A POLICE REPORT, AND I NEED IT FOR COURT." I SAID "OKAY." SO THERE'S NOTHING ABOUT LIKE HOW HER LETTER SAYS INCONSISTENCIES. I DIDN'T TALK TO HER ANYTHING ABOUT THAT. I DIDN'T GET TOO IN DEPTH ABOUT IT.

SO FROM THERE SHE WAS LIKE, "OH, I WAS WAVING AT YOU EARLIER, YOU KNOW. YOU'RE REALLY ATTRACTIVE." AND I WAS LIKE, "WELL, WE CAN GO ON A DATE OR SOMETHING." I TOLD HER STRAIGHT UP I HAD A WOMAN. AND SHE'S LIKE, "THAT'S OKAY." I SAID, "WE'LL GO ON A DATE TO GET TO KNOW EACH OTHER." I TOLD HER I WAS HOMELESS, AND I WAS THERE, AND THE NEXT DAY I WAS GOING TO TAKE THIS LAWSUIT, AND I WAS GOING TO SERVE IT ON SAN MARINO OR MY WOMAN WAS. SHE SAYS, "THAT'S COOL. SO YOU KNOW A LOT ABOUT LAW."

SHE'S LIKE, "MAYBE YOU CAN HELP ME WITH MY DIVORCE STUFF." I TOLD HER STRAIGHT UP, "IF YOU WANT ME TO HELP YOU, YOU HAVE TO GIVE ME MONEY. I DON'T DO LAW STUFF FOR FREE."

AND SHE'S LIKE, "YEAH, YOU KNOW, THAT'S FINE, YOU KNOW." I WAS LIKE, "SO YOU'RE SAYING I CAN STAY AT YOUR HOUSE?" I MEAN, AND SHE WAS LIKE, "YEAH, YOU KNOW, YOU CAN LIVE WITH ME FOR AN INDEFINITE PERIOD OF TIME." I SAID, "OKAY, I'LL HELP YOU WITH THE LAW. YOU CAN PAY ME A LITTLE, AND THEN THE REST YOU PAY THROUGH THE RENT." SO WE GREED. SHE AGREED TO LET ME LIVE WITH HER.

SHE CALLED UBER XL BECAUSE I HAD A LOT OF STUFF, LOADED EVERYTHING IN THE UBER XL. WE WERE IN THE UBER XL AND DRIVING BACK TO HER PLACE. SHE JUST STARTS ACTING REAL SEXUAL LIKE SHE WANTS TO JUMP ON ME. I CAN'T WAIT TO HAVE SEX WITH YOU, AND I CAN'T WAIT, AND ALL THIS STUFF. AND I'M LIKE OKAY WHATEVER. SO THIS IS WHEN I START TO REALIZE SHE HAS MENTAL HEALTH ISSUES.

SHE'S LIKE WELL -- WHEN WE GET THERE SHE'S LIKE, "I HAVE A CART FOR YOU. IT'S A REALLY NICE CART. IT'S LIKE A BENTLEY-TYPE CART, LIKE A MERCEDES BENZ." I SAID, "OKAY, I'LL TAKE A CART. SHE BRINGS OUT A BABY STROLLER. I WAS LIKE, "OKAY."

SO I START LOADING MY STUFF IN THE BABY STROLLER. AND I TAKE THE OTHER STUFF OUT OF THE UBER, AND I PUT IT INSIDE THE HOUSE. EVERYTHING IS INSIDE THE HOUSE PROBABLY IN ABOUT 20, 30 MINUTES. THERE'S A LOT OF DOGS. DOGS ARE OKAY, BUT, YOU KNOW, THREE DOGS -- I'M JUST LIKE, "WOW, YOU HAVE A LOT OF DOGS." AND SHE MADE A COMMENT, "WELL, THE DOGS RULE THE HOUSE. IF YOU DON'T LIKE THE DOGS, YOU GOT TO GO." SO I DIDN'T SAY ANYTHING ELSE ABOUT THE DOGS.

THERE IS ONE PARTICULAR DOG NAMED COCO OR SOMETHING

SHE CALLED HER, AND SHE WAS VERY PROTECTIVE OVER HER. LIKE, EVERYWHERE WE WENT, SHE FOLLOWED. AND WHEN I WOULD STAND UP, EVERY TIME I STAND UP, SHE BARKED. SO I HAVE TO SIT DOWN. I THINK SHE WAS AFRAID THAT I MIGHT ATTACK JENNIFER. SO THERE COULD BE SOME TRUTH TO THIS, TO THE DV, BASED ON THE WAY THE DOG WAS ACTING. BECAUSE THE DOG WAS ACTING VERY, VERY PROTECTIVE WITH ME.

EVERYWHERE I WENT, IT WOULD FOLLOW. AND IT WOULD BARK AND GROWL AT ME UNTIL I SAT DOWN. THERE WAS ANOTHER DOG. IT LOOKED KIND OF LIKE LASSIE. IT WAS A QUIET DOG. THIS THIRD DOG HAD LIKE ALZHEIMER'S. SO IT WOULD RUN INTO THE WALL, YOU KNOW. DIDN'T KNOW WHERE IT WAS GOING; THAT TYPE OF THING. SO EVERYTHING WAS IN THE HOUSE, WENT TO THE BACK. WE HAD SEX. WE HAD SEX A SECOND TIME. AFTER WE HAD SEX, SHE WANTED ME TO, LIKE, STAY INSIDE HER FOR AWHILE. I WAS LIKE, OKAY WHATEVER. WE HAD UNPROTECTED SEX. WE HAD SEX AGAIN. I WENT TO SLEEP.

AFTER THAT, IN THE MIDDLE OF THE NIGHT, LIKE, 2:00 IN THE MORNING, I NOTICED THAT SHE LEFT THE BEDROOM. SO THEN IT WAS LIKE, I GO OUT AND I'M LIKE WHERE IS SHE? DID SHE LEAVE THE HOUSE, YOU KNOW? AND I GO CHECK. I SEE SHE'S IN ANOTHER BEDROOM AND I SAID, "WHY DID YOU LEAVE?" AND SHE SAYS, WELL, YOU WERE KIND OF SNORING, SO I COULDN'T SLEEP." I WAS LIKE, "ALL, RIGHT WHATEVER." SO I WENT BACK TO SLEEP.

THE NEXT MORNING, I TOLD HER HOW I FELT ABOUT LIKE LIVING THERE. I WAS LIKE, "YOU KNOW, I DON'T KNOW IF THIS IS GOING TO WORK OUT. YOU'RE, LIKE, COMPLAINING ABOUT ME SNORING AND STUFF." AND IT'S LIKE THE NEXT MORNING TOO SHE WAS BEING

DELUSIONAL. SO I SAY AROUND -- THIS IS WHERE THINGS WENT REALLY SOUTH. SO PROBABLY AROUND 9:00 A.M., SHE CLAIMS THAT HER HUSBAND TRIED TO BREAK INTO THE HOUSE. SHE SAID, "DID YOU SEE THE ALARM GO OFF?" SHE'S LIKE, "DID YOU SEE THE CAR LEAVE?" AND I WAS LIKE, "WHAT ARE YOU TALKING ABOUT? I DIDN'T SEE ANYBODY."

SO SHE CALLS THIS WOMAN NAMED JEANETTE MEYERS, A FRIEND OF HERS. AND JEANETTE MEYERS TELLS HER, "YEAH, THE ALARM WENT OFF." SO SHE'S LIKE, "SEE, JEANETTE MEYERS SAID THE ALARM WENT OFF. HE WAS HERE." I SAID, "LOOK, I DIDN'T SEE NO CAR LEAVE. I DIDN'T SEE NOBODY RUNNING DOWN THE DRIVEWAY." SHE SAYS, "HE OPENED THE DOOR." I SAY, "YOU OPENED THAT DOOR FROM LAST NIGHT WHEN YOU LET THE DOGS OUT." SHE SAYS, "NO, I CLOSED IT." I SAID, "NO, YOU LEFT IT UNLOCKED. YOUR HUSBAND DIDN'T TRY TO BREAK INTO THE HOUSE."

THEN SHE STARTS TELLING ME THAT I'M GOING TO HAVE TO LEAVE. I SAID, "WHY DO I GOT TO LEAVE?" SHE'S LIKE, "WELL, YOU'LL BE KILLED IF YOU STAY HERE. MY HUSBAND WILL KILL YOU BECAUSE YOU'RE BLACK." AND I WAS LIKE, I TOLD HER STRAIGHT UP, LIKE, "I'M NOT AFRAID OF YOUR HUSBAND. I MEAN, IF HE TRIES TO COME HERE OR ANYTHING, BUT I DON'T THINK HE WILL." AND SHE'S LIKE, "NO, YOU GOT TO LEAVE BECAUSE, YOU KNOW, HE'S GOING TO TRY TO KILL YOU." SO THEN I WAS LIKE, YOU KNOW WHAT -- THIS IS WHERE THE AUDIO COMES IN ON THE DAMN PHONE.

SO THE WHOLE MORNING FROM THAT POINT ON, I WAS RECORDING ON MY AUDIO. THE DAMN PHONE THAT THEY MISPLACED. SO FROM THAT POINT ON, I WAS RECORDING THE AUDIO ALL THE WAY EVEN AFTER THEY RETRIEVED IT, EVEN AFTER THEY RETRIEVED MY

PHONE. THE AUDIO STAYS CONTINUOUS ON MY PHONE UNTIL IT SHUTS OFF OR YOU STOP THE RECORDING. AND EVEN IF THE PHONE DIES, IT SAVES THE RECORDING KIND OF LIKE A GO PRO. SO FROM THAT POINT ON, I STARTED TURNING THE AUDIO ON MY PHONE.

I WAS LIKE, I DON'T REALLY TRUST THIS WOMAN ANYMORE. SHE'S BECOMING WEIRD. SHE'S TALKING ABOUT HER HUSBAND GOING TO KILL ME AT THE HOUSE, AND I NEED TO LEAVE. I WAS LIKE -- I STARTED THINKING ABOUT THINGS. I TOLD HER LIKE LOOK, "WE HAD AN AGREEMENT. YOU KNOW, YOU SAID I CAN LIVE HERE. I'M JUST GOING TO GET A FORCEFUL DETAINER. YOU SAID I CAN MOVE IN. SO, LIKE, I'M A ROOMMATE. CHARGE ME RENT, WHATEVER." BECAUSE IT WAS LIKE A FIVE-BEDROOM HOUSE. IT HAD A SWIMMING POOL. SHE'S LIKE, "NO, YOU GOT TO LEAVE." SHE'S LIKE, "I GOT TO GO TO COURT. YOU GOT GO." SHE'S KEPT SAYING THAT.

AND THEN, LIKE, I WAS KIND OF LIKE, "WELL, YOU KNOW WHAT. I'M GOING TO SUE YOU." AND THEN I WAS LIKE, "I'LL LEAVE, BUT I'M GOING TO COME BACK WITH A LAWSUIT." AND AT THAT POINT, I STARTED MAKING SURE EVERYTHING WAS PACKED UP. I WAS MAKING SURE I DIDN'T LEAVE ANYTHING IN THE BACK ROOM THAT I WAS IN THAT I HAD SEX WITH HER. I MADE SURE NOTHING WAS LEFT IN THE HALLWAY. EVERYTHING WAS PACKED UP.

AT THIS POINT, IT'S PROBABLY AROUND 8:30, 9:00 A.M. AT THAT TIME, I CALL SAN MARINO CITY HALL. I TALKED TO THE LADY CHRISTINA. SHE'S A CITY CLERK. AND I SAID, "YOU KNOW, I'M GOING TO BE THERE IN A LITTLE BIT, YOU KNOW, TO SERVE YOU SOME PAPERS." I GO SO CAUGHT UP LIKE WITH WHAT WAS GOING ON THAT MORNING THAT I FORGOT THAT I WAS SUPPOSED TO MEET ALISON AT THE SOUTH PASADENA POLICE DEPARTMENT. AND THEN WE WERE

SUPPOSED TO CATCH AN UBER TO SAN MARINO. SO I'M ON AUTOPILOT ABOUT GOING TO SAN MARINO.

AT THAT POINT EVERYTHING WAS READY AT THE DOOR. AND THEN YOU KNOW, I WAS LIKE -- SHE WAS LIKE, "ARE YOU GOING TO LEAVE?" I WAS LIKE, "YEAH, I'LL LEAVE, BUT LIKE I TOLD YOU I'M GOING TO COME BACK WITH A FORCEFUL DETAINER LET HER KNOW." AT THAT POINT SHE'S LIKE SHE'LL CALL ME AN UBER. I HAVE AN UBER ACCOUNT, AND I HAVE A LYFT ACCOUNT, BUT JUST BECAUSE HOW WEIRD SHE WAS ACTING, I THINK IT WAS BETTER FOR HER TO CALL THE UBER.

SHE CALLED THE UBER. SHE CALLED THE UBER. IT WAS AN UBER XL. SO THE UBER XL PULLED UP. WHEN THE UBER XL PULLED UP, RIGHT BEFORE IT PULLED UP, BASED ON THE TENSION WITH HER, I STARTED THE CAMERA. THAT WAS THE CONTINUOUS VIDEO THAT WE SAW.

I TURNED ON THE CAMERA, GO OUTSIDE. I TELL HER, "HEY, TELL THE UBER, YOU KNOW, TO PULL UP ON THE DRIVEWAY. YOU KNOW BECAUSE -- SO I CAN GET MY STUFF OUT QUICKER." AND SHE WAS LIKE, "I'M NOT GOING TO TELL HIM." I WAS LIKE TELLING SOMEONE LIKE, YOU KNOW, THE UBER DRIVER IS, YOU KNOW, NOT GOING TO LISTEN TO ME BECAUSE OF MY ACCOUNT. I WAS LIKE, "YOU NEED TO TELL HIM." AND SHE WAS LIKE, "YOU DON'T TALK TO ME LIKE THAT." SHE STORMED IN ON THE INSIDE OF THE HOUSE. BUT SHE WAS QUICKER. SHE BEAT ME INSIDE. SO WHEN I CAME INSIDE, THE DOOR WAS LOCKED.

I THINK THAT I WENT TO THE DOOR TO SEE IF IT WAS OPENED. AT THAT POINT, I STARTED MAKING STATEMENTS TO HER. I DON'T REMEMBER EXACTLY WHAT THE STATEMENTS WERE. WE SAW IT ON

THE VIDEO. WE KNOW THERE WEREN'T CRIMINAL THREATS. IT WAS LIKE, "NOW, I'M GOING TO SUE YOU. IF YOU HAVE ME ARRESTED, I'M GOING TO SUE YOU. LIKE, I DON'T CARE. CALL THE POLICE," THAT TYPE OF THING. AFTER I MADE THOSE STATEMENTS TO HER, I SAT DOWN JUST LIKE WE SAW ON THE VIDEO, I WAS ON MY PHONE. I DON'T HONESTLY REMEMBER WHAT I WAS DOING ON MY PHONE.

I KNOW WHILE I WILL SITTING DOWN, I SENT HER TWO TEXT MESSAGES. THE FIRST TEXT MESSAGE THAT I SENT TO HER -- BY THE WAY SHE MADE A COMMENT, SHE DIDN'T KNOW I GOT HER PHONE NUMBER. SHE GAVE ME HER PHONE NUMBER THAT NIGHT BECAUSE INITIALLY WE WERE GOING TO GO ON A DATE. SO I NEEDED A WAY TO REACH HER. SO SHE GAVE ME HER PHONE NUMBER THAT NIGHT. I CALLED HER. SHE ANSWERED. SHE SAVED MY INFORMATION IN HER PHONE; THAT'S HOW I GOT HER PHONE -- OR THAT'S HOW I GO HER PHONE NUMBER.

SO I SENT HER A TEXT MESSAGE, AND THE FIRST TEXT MESSAGE, I SAID SOMETHING LIKE, "WHY DID YOU LET THE UBER LEAVE? LIKE, WHAT ARE YOU DOING?" I SAID, "ARE YOU MENTALLY ILL." AND THE SECOND TEXT MESSAGE I SENT TO HER. SO I DIDN'T -- I SAT DOWN. AT THAT TIME I KNOW SHE WAS CALLING THE POLICE. I COULDN'T HEAR WHAT WAS GOING ON. I KNEW SHE WENT IN THE HOUSE, AND SHE LOCKED ME OUT THE MAIN RESIDENCE. THEN THIS WAS ALL THAT. I SAID MY STATEMENTS. I SAT DOWN.

AFTER THAT, I WANT TO SAY LIKE MAYBE FIVE MINUTES LATER, A SECOND UBER PULLED UP LIKE WE SAW IN THE VIDEO. THE UBER PULLED UP. IT WAS LIKE ONE OF THOSE, YOU KNOW, SMALL SUV'S. IT'S NOT LIKE A CADILLAC ESCALADE OR ANYTHING. IT WAS A SMALL SUV. I SAID TO THEM, "YOU KNOW, IT'S NOT BIG ENOUGH."

I KNEW ALL MY STUFF WASN'T GOING TO FIT IN THERE. AND THEN HE TOOK OFF. AND THEN AFTER THAT, I WENT BACK, AND I SAT DOWN. AND THEN AFTER THAT, I JUST SAT DOWN.

AND THEN I -- ABOUT THREE OR FOUR MINUTES LATER, THAT'S WHEN I HEARD SIRENS OR SOME TYPE OF NOISE. AND THEN I WENT OUT, AND THEN I LEFT MY PHONE BEHIND. AND THE REASON I LEFT MY PHONE BEHIND IS BECAUSE I'VE BEEN IN LIFE-OR-DEATH SITUATIONS WITH THE SHERIFF. PEOPLE CALL THE POLICE THEY SAID, "HE'S GOT A GUN," OR WHATEVER, "AND THEY DREW GUNS ON ME." I DON'T THINK IT'S A GOOD IDEA TO GO OUTSIDE WITH THIS PHONE WHICH THEY MAY TAKE FOR A GUN AND SHOOT ME BECAUSE I DON'T KNOW WHAT SHE SAID TO THEM. SO I LEFT THE PHONE BEHIND. I STEPPED OUT. AND THEN, YOU KNOW, I FELT IT'S EXCESSIVE FORCE TO BE PULLED THE GUNS ON ME WHEN I DIDN'T HAVE A WEAPON, BUT I DON'T KNOW THAT'S WHAT THEY DID.

AFTER THAT, THEY TOOK ME TO THE CAR. THERE WAS A SERGEANT THERE, AND HE'S LIKE, "OH, YEAH, HOLLYWOOD, I REMEMBER YOU." AND I WAS LIKE, "I DON'T GIVE A CRAP." HONESTLY, I'M PROBABLY THE MOST POPULAR PERSON THAT THE SHERIFF'S KNOW. EVERY COURT I GO TO, EVERY JAIL I GO TO, THERE'S ALWAYS A DEPUTY THERE, "OH, HOLLYWOOD." SO I DIDN'T THINK NOTHING OF IT. FOR ALL I KNOW, HE MIGHT HAVE KNEW ANOTHER DEPUTY THAT KNEW ME. I NEVER SEEN HIM BEFORE.

WHEN WE WENT TOWARDS THE CAR, I'M MAKING STATEMENTS TO HIM, LIKE, "I DON'T KNOW YOU. GET AWAY FROM ME." AND I GUESS BECAUSE HE'S CLOSE TO ME, I SPIT ON HIM. SO HE SAYS -- THEY TOLD ME TO -- LIKE WHEN IT GOT TOWARDS THE CAR, I'VE ALWAYS BEEN LIKE THIS EVEN BEFORE GEORGE FLOYD. I DON'T LIKE

SITTING ON THE CURB. I'D RATHER GO INSIDE THE CAR. I THINK IT'S SAFER FOR THE ARRESTEE TO BE INSIDE THE CAR.

SO THEY WERE TRYING TO GET ME TO SIT DOWN ON THE CURB. I WAS LIKE, "NO, I'M NOT SITTING DOWN. SO ARREST ME, DETAIN ME, WHATEVER. I'M GOING INSIDE THE VEHICLE." AND THEY SAID, "YEAH." I THINK THE SERGEANT THAT WAS THERE HE SAID, "YEAH, PUT HIM IN THE CAR. HE'S GOING TO JAIL." AND HE SAYS, "HE'S GOING TO JAIL FOR SPITTING ON ME, AND HE'S GOING TO JAIL FOR TRESPASS."

AND THEN I GUESS HE STARTED MAKING SOME STATEMENTS LIKE, "HE DOES THIS ALL THE TIME. HE'S ALWAYS LIKE, YOU KNOW, LIKE SQUATTING OR SOMETHING. HE LIKES MOTELS AND PEOPLE'S HOUSES." HE MADE SOME STATEMENTS LIKE THAT. AFTER THAT, I GOT DROVE TO THE POLICE DEPARTMENT. WHEN I GOT THERE, AND THEY, LIKE, TOOK EVERYTHING OUT OF MY POCKET, PUT ME IN A CELL. I THINK IT WAS CONTRERAS. I IMMEDIATELY TOLD HIM, I SAID, "LISTEN, I WANT MY PHONE." AND HE SAYS, "WE GOT YOUR PHONE."

I SAYS, "I WANT YOU TO TAKE MY PHONE AND PUT IT IN THE PROPERTY THAT I GO TO THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT OR WHEN I GO TO JAIL -- " I ASSUME I WAS GOING TO GO TO JAIL ON THIS -- "SO I HAVE MY PHONE, AND I CAN HAVE IT AS EVIDENCE WHEN I GO TO COURT." AND HE SAYS, "WE GOT YOUR PHONE," AND HE'S LIKE, "IT'S GOING TO GO IN YOUR BULK PROPERTY." AND I SAID, "NO, I WANT THE PHONE TO GO WITH ME." AND HE SAYS, "IT'S GOING TO GO IN YOUR BULK PROPERTY."

HOW I FEEL ABOUT THE SITUATION IS CONTRERAS DIDN'T WANT TO BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE PHONE. THEY HAD IT WITH MY

PROPERTY. HE DIDN'T WANT TO TAKE IT FROM THE BULK PROPERTY AND GET LOST OR SOMETHING. SO I'M IN THE HOLDING CELL I WOULD SAY FOR ABOUT 12:00 TO 4:00. I'M YELLING OUT ALL THE TIME, "I WANT TO TALK TO THE WATCH COMMANDER. LIKE YOU GUYS ARE VIOLATING MY RIGHTS, YOU KNOW. I'M INNOCENT."

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, RELEVANCE AT THIS POINT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: IT IS RELEVANT.

THE COURT: YEAH, WHERE ARE WE GOING AFTER THAT?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: WE'RE TALKING ABOUT -- WE'RE TALKING ABOUT THE EVIDENCE. WE'RE GETTING TO THE DETECTIVE -- DETECTIVE K. INTERVIEWED ME. I'M GETTING TO THAT NEXT.

THE COURT: SO YOUR INTERVIEW?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YEAH, SO I'M GETTING THERE, AND I'M GOING AS FAST AS I CAN.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, GO AHEAD.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: ALL RIGHT, SO I'M YELLING AT, YOU KNOW, DETECTIVES THAT, YOU KNOW, I GOT EVIDENCE ON MY GO PRO AND MY PHONE AND FOR THEM TO BRING IT TO ME. I BELIEVE IT WAS RICHARD LEWIS, BUT I AM NOT SURE, BUT I BELIEVE IT WAS HIM. AND HE SAID SOMETHING ALONG THE LINES TO ME, LIKE, "WE GOT YOUR PHONE. WE GOT YOUR GO PRO. IT'S SEIZED AS EVIDENCE TO BE USED TO CONVICT YOU," THAT'S ALL HE SAID. AND THEN I ASKED THE WATCH COMMANDER SANTANA. I SAID, "LOOK, MAN. CAN YOU GUYS BRING MY PHONE OR GO PRO? I CAN SHOW THE VIDEOS THAT I'M INNOCENT." THEY SAID, "NO, NO. WE'RE NOT GOING TO DO THAT."

THEY TOOK ME BACK TO -- AND THIS IS THE ONLY REASON I TALKED BECAUSE I WANTED TO KNOW WHAT THE HELL'S GOING ON WITH MY GO PRO AND MY PHONE. SO THEY TOOK ME BACK. THAT DAY,

BY THE WAY, I MADE CALLS TO THE D.A. OFFICE, AND I TOLD THEM I HAD EVIDENCE TOO. THEY TOOK ME BACK TO MY CELL. WHEN THEY TOOK ME BACK TO MY CELL, I THINK IT WAS LIKE 5:00 P.M. OR SOMETHING -- 6:00 P.M. I DIDN'T EAT ALL DAY. THEY DIDN'T GIVE ME ANY FOOD.

I BELIEVE DETECTIVE K. CAME. I THOUGHT IT WAS SHADY THAT HE HAD ALL THAT TIME TO INTERVIEW ME OUT THERE WHERE THERE WAS LIGHT AND CAMERAS AND HE WAITS UNTIL I'M IN A DARK CELL. SO HE CAME AND HE WAS LIKE, "YOU KNOW, YOU WANT TO SPEAK TO ME." AND I SAID, "HEY, I KNOW MY MIRANDA. AS LONG AS IT'S RECORDED, I DON'T SEE AN ISSUE WITH IT." AND HE HELD OUT A PHONE. LATER, I REALIZE AT THE END OF THE INTERVIEW THAT THE PHONE WASN'T RECORDING ANYTHING. HE PROBABLY WAS RECORDING ON HIS BODY CAM OR SO -- SO HE JUST SAID, "YOU AGREE TO SPEAK," HE DIDN'T REALLY MIRANDIZE ME OFFICIALLY, BUT HE WAS JUST KIND OF LIKE, "YOU AGREE TO ME SPEAK TO ME WITHOUT AN ATTORNEY?" I WAS LIKE, "YEAH."

HE ASKED ME WHAT HAPPENED. I SAID, "LOOK STRAIGHT UP. THERE'S EVIDENCE ON MY PHONE. THERE'S EVIDENCE ON MY GO PRO THAT I DIDN'T COMMIT A CRIME." AND HE SAYS THAT -- HE SAYS THAT, "WELL, I DON'T HAVE YOUR PHONE." I SAID DON'T, "DID YOU SEIZE MY GO PRO?" HE SAYS, "NO, WE DIDN'T SEIZE YOUR GO PRO." HE SAYS, "I DON'T HAVE YOUR PHONE." I SAYS WELL, "IF YOU GO GET MY GO PRO, I CAN SHOW VIDEOS OF WHAT HAPPENED." HE'S LIKE, "WELL, I'M HERE, AND I'LL JUST KIND OF HEAR YOUR STATEMENT." AND THAT'S IT.

THE COURT: OKAY, ALL RIGHT. MR. ROSENBERG.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YOU CAN CROSS-EXAMINE.

MR. ROSENBERG: ALL RIGHT.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

Q. BY MR. ROSENBERG: SO JENNIFER ACTUALLY TOLD YOU THAT YOU COULD STAY AT HER HOUSE, RIGHT?

A. YES, AT THE SOUTH PASADENA POLICE DEPARTMENT. WE HAD AN AGREEMENT THAT I'LL LIVE THERE INDEFINITELY THAT I'D BE HELPING HER WITH LAW AND THAT SHE WOULD ALSO PAY ME OUT OF POCKET.

Q. AND THEN THE VERY NEXT MORNING SHE RECANTED THAT OR SHE DECIDED THAT YOU COULDN'T LIVE THERE, RIGHT?

A. NO. SHE -- SHE MADE THE SPECIFIC STATEMENTS THAT I COULDN'T LIVE THERE ANYMORE BECAUSE SHE FEARED FOR MY SAFETY. AND THIS IS WHY I TRIED TO BRING IN THE 5150.

Q. ALL RIGHT, HOLD ON.

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, NONRESPONSIVE.

THE COURT: SUSTAINED.

THE WITNESS: OKAY, SORRY. I'LL GO BACK.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: NO, I'M GOING TO ASK YOU THE QUESTIONS.

A. OKAY.

Q. SO SHE TELLS YOU -- SHE TELLS YOU HAVE TO LEAVE, RIGHT? JUST YES OR NO?

A. YES.

Q. AND THEN THAT UPSET YOU; THAT UPSET YOU THAT THE NIGHT BEFORE SHE IS TELLING YOU THAT YOU CAN LIVE THERE, AND NOW THE VERY NEXT DAY SHE'S SAYING, "YOU GOT TO GO." THAT'S

UPSETTING, RIGHT?

A. I DIDN'T FEEL IT WAS UPSETTING. I FELT LIKE SHE'S A PERSON THAT, YOU KNOW, YOU COULDN'T -- YOU COULDN'T REALLY TRUST. LIKE, I MEAN WE HAD AN AGREEMENT, BUT I ALSO THOUGHT SHE WAS MENTALLY --

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, NONRESPONSIVE.

THE WITNESS: OKAY, SORRY. YEAH, I WASN'T UPSET ABOUT IT.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: OKAY, YOU'RE NOT UPSET ABOUT IT?

A. NO, I WASN'T ANGRY.

Q. EVEN ON YOUR GO PRO CAMERA --

A. RIGHT.

Q. -- YOU MAKE A THREAT TO HER SAYING, "I AM GOING TO SUE YOU REGARDING THIS."

A. YEAH.

Q. "YOU DO NOT KNOW WHO YOU'RE MESSING WITH," RIGHT? YOU SAY THOSE WORDS?

A. YEAH, I DID THE SAME THING TO THE COUNCIL MEMBER. IT'S WORSE THAN THAT. I CAN GET REAL ANGRY ABOUT SUING, AND I THINK WITH THE COUNSEL MEMBER --

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, NONRESPONSIVE.

THE WITNESS: OKAY, SORRY.

THE COURT: YOU'VE ANSWERED THE QUESTION.

THE WITNESS: YEAH. I DO GET ANGRY --

MR. ROSENBERG: HOLD ON.

THE COURT: YOU ALREADY ANSWERED.

MR. ROSENBERG: I WILL ASK THE QUESTIONS.

THE WITNESS: OKAY.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: YOU WERE SO UPSET THAT YOU WERE TELLING HER, "YOU DO NOT KNOW WHO YOU'RE MESSING WITH." YOU'RE THREATENING HER IN THAT MOMENT, RIGHT?

A. I THREATENED TO SUE HER WHEN I SAID --

Q. WELL, WHY DO YOU SAY, "YOU DO NOT KNOW WHO YOU'RE MESSING WITH"?

A. BECAUSE I DON'T THINK SHE REALIZED WHO I WAS IN FEDERAL COURT. IF ANYBODY LOOKS ON LEXUSNEXUS, I HAVE 40 ENTRIES. I'VE SUED PEOPLE. I'VE HAVE ACTIVE CASES AGAINST Q-SMART PENNSYLVANIA. I'VE SUED LIFE STORAGE LAST YEAR. I ALSO SUED IN CALIFORNIA.

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, NONRESPONSIVE.

THE WITNESS: OKAY, WELL, I'M TESTIFYING NOW.

MR. ROSENBERG: YOU'RE NOT TESTIFYING NOW. THIS IS CROSS-EXAMINATION NOW. I'M ASKING YOU QUESTIONS.

THE WITNESS: WELL, I'M TELLING YOU THAT'S WHAT I MEANT WHEN I SAY, "YOU DON'T KNOW WHO YOU'RE MESSING WITH."

MR. ROSENBERG: YOUR HONOR, WOULD THE COURT RULE PLEASE?

THE COURT: HOLD ON.

THE WITNESS: GO AHEAD.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'LL JUST GO BACK AND TESTIFY.

THE COURT: WAIT FOR THE QUESTION.

THE WITNESS: I'LL JUST SAY I'LL GO BACK TESTIFY TO THAT AFTER HE'S DONE.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: LET'S TALK ABOUT YOU SUING.

A. YES.

Q. YOU'RE A VEXATIOUS LITIGANT, AREN'T YOU?

A. YES, I AM, CORRECT.

Q. AS A VEXATIOUS LITIGANT, YOU ARE NOT ALLOWED TO SUE ANYBODY?

A. YES, I AM.

Q. AS A PERSON WHO CANNOT SUE, THE ONLY REASON YOU WOULD THREATEN TO SUE SOMEBODY IS BECAUSE YOU WERE TRYING TO INTIMIDATE THEM, ISN'T THAT CORRECT?

A. THAT'S NOT CORRECT. IF YOU LET ME TESTIFY, I'LL EXPLAIN.

Q. WELL, YOU ARE NOT TESTIFYING, YOU ARE ANSWERING MY QUESTIONS. YOU HAD YOUR CHANCE TO TESTIFY. NOW, YOU'RE TALKING TO ME.

A. OKAY, I CAN'T SUE IN FEDERAL COURT.

THE COURT: HOLD ON. YOU'VE ALREADY ANSWERED THE QUESTION. YOU'LL HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY IF YOU WANT TO CLARIFY SOMETHING.

THE WITNESS: ALL RIGHT, OKAY.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: SO LET'S TALK ABOUT BEYOND THIS CASE, YOU'VE TRIED TO INTIMIDATE OTHER PEOPLE BY THREATENING TO SUE THEM, ISN'T THAT CORRECT?

A. ALL THE TIME.

Q. ALL THE TIME. ME, RIGHT?

A. MS. LEE.

Q. MS. LEE?

A. I SENT AN E-MAIL TO HER FAMILY TOLD HER I WAS GOING TO SUE, AND I MEANT IT.

Q. SO YOU THREATENED THE D.A. BY E-MAILING SAYING YOU WERE GOING TO SUE HER FAMILY?

A. I E-MAILED HER FAMILY AND TOLD HER I WAS GOING TO

SUE THEM. I THINK THAT, IF VILLALOBOS REMEMBERS, I TOLD THEM IN COURT I'M GOING TO SUE HER FAMILY, AND I'M GOING TO SUE HER FAMILY.

Q. SO YOU THREATENED HER FAMILY THAT WEREN'T EVEN PART OF THIS CASE?

A. YEAH, THEY'RE GETTING SUED TOO BECAUSE I'M UPSET ABOUT THE FALSE ARREST AND MALICIOUS PROSECUTION FOR 95 DAYS. I REALIZED THAT, IF I SUE HER, SHE WON'T CARE. IF I SUE HER FAMILY, SHE'LL CARE. MS. LEE'S FAMILY'S GETTING SUED. I GOT HER HOME ADDRESS TOO.

Q. IN THIS CASE, HOW MANY TIMES HAVE YOU THREATENED TO SUE ME?

A. A LOT; THAT'S WHAT I DO. WELL, I DON'T FEEL I THREATEN TO SUE. I FEEL LIKE WHEN I SUE, IT'S A PROMISE BECAUSE A LOT OF TIMES, I FOLLOW THROUGH.

Q. OKAY --

A. IN THE LAST YEAR, I PROBABLY FOLLOWED THROUGH ABOUT 80 PERCENT.

Q. AS A VEXATIOUS LITIGANT, YOU'RE FOLLOWING THROUGH?

A. YEAH, IF YOU LET ME EXPLAIN, I CAN EXPLAIN HOW I'M SUING PEOPLE AND BE A VEXATIOUS LITIGANT.

Q. IN THIS CASE, DID YOU NOT THREATEN TO SUE NOT JUST MYSELF BUT THE JUDGE AS WELL?

A. I DON'T PLAN ON SUING THE JUDGE. I --

Q. DID YOU NOT JUST FILE A MOTION SUING HIM FOR 14 MILLION DOLLARS?

A. IF YOU LET ME EXPLAIN, IT'S NOT --

Q. LET'S READ IT.

A. IT'S NOT FILED IN THE COURT. I DON'T PLAN ON SUING VILLALOBOS. I JUST --

Q. HOLD ON.

A. OKAY, GO AHEAD.

MR. ROSENBERG: YOUR HONOR, I HAVE IN MY HAND A MOTION FILED BY THIS HERE DEFENDANT TITLED, "HOLLYWOOD'S APPENDIX OF EXHIBITS IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO DISMISS." MAY THAT BE MARKED COLLECTIVELY AS PEOPLE'S 2?

THE COURT: SO MARKED.

(PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT NUMBER 2
IS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

MR. ROSENBERG: ALSO SHOWS THAT IS WAS FILED MARCH 20TH, 2023. DEPUTY, MAY YOU PLEASE HAND THAT TO HIM?

THE WITNESS: IT'S A BAD COPY. I WAS HOPING FOR A BETTER COPY.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: DID YOU FILE THAT?

A. ABSOLUTELY.

Q. IN THAT FILING, DID YOU THREATEN TO SUE NOT JUST MYSELF BUT THE JUDGE AS WELL?

A. I DIDN'T THREATEN TO SUE THE JUDGE. I DON'T PLAN ON SUING THE JUDGE.

Q. MAYBE WE CAN REFRESH YOUR RECOLLECTION --

A. NO. I WROTE IN THERE THAT HE WAS A DEFENDANT, BUT I'M TELLING YOU NOW UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY THAT VILLALOBOS IS NOT GOING TO BE A DEFENDANT IN THAT CASE. I THINK HE'S A FAIR JUDGE.

Q. SO YOU DID WRITE IN HERE THAT YOU WERE SUING THE JUDGE?

A. BUT I'M NOT -- I'LL TELLING -- TESTIFYING NOW I HAVE NO PROBLEM WITH VILLALOBOS. I WANTED TO SEND A MESSAGE TO THE D.A.'S OFFICE THAT NO ONE IS UNTOUCHABLE ON THAT CASE -- ON WHEN IT COMES TO COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE VERSUS --

(WHEREUPON THE PARTIES BEGIN SPEAKING OVER EACH OTHER.)

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: BUT YOU --

A. -- BUT I DO NOT PLAN TO SUE VILLALOBOS.

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, NONRESPONSIVE?

THE COURT: LET'S MOVE ON TO, MR. ROSENBERG.

MR. ROSENBERG: I THINK IT IS IMPORTANT, THOUGH.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: SURE, GO AHEAD.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: SO OVER AND OVER AGAIN, YOU ARE USING THIS THREAT OF SUING PEOPLE AS FORM TO INTIMIDATE THEM, ISN'T THAT CORRECT?

A. NO.

Q. JUST LIKE YOU DID ON THAT VIDEO TO THIS VICTIM?

A. NO, IT'S NOT TRUE.

Q. IT'S NOT TRUE?

A. I --

Q. YOU THREATENED PEOPLE IN THE PAST, RIGHT?

A. I NEVER MADE CRIMINAL THREATS TO PEOPLE. I MADE THREATS TO SUE PEOPLE LIKE WE SAW WITH THE COUNCIL MEMBER.

BUT I DON'T MAKE THREATS TO SUE PEOPLE TO INTIMIDATE THEM. I MAKE THREATS -- I TELL PEOPLE I'M GOING TO SUE THEM BECAUSE A LAWSUIT IS COMING.

Q. YOU'VE NEVER CRIMINALLY THREATENED SOMEBODY?

A. NEVER.

Q. WHY WERE YOU CONVICTED OF IT TWICE?

A. IF YOU WANT TO GO TO 2012, THAT CASE I DIDN'T REPRESENT MYSELF. THE GUY TESTIFIED AT THE PRELIM THAT I SAID SOMETHING THAT MADE HIM FEEL UNSAFE. HE SAID TO THE POLICE THAT I THREATENED TO KILL HIM. HE TESTIFIED THAT I THREATENED TO KILL HIM TWICE. HE SAID THAT I CAME BACK TO THE WINCHELL'S, AND I THREATENED TO KILL HIM. AND THE POLICE OFFICER WAS THERE, AND HE TOLD THE POLICE, "HEY, THAT'S THE GUY THAT THREATENED TO KILL ME." AND THE POLICE SAID, "WE'LL CATCH HIM ANOTHER DAY." AND BASED ON THAT STATEMENT, THE JUDGE THREW OUT THE SECOND COUNT.

I LATER TRIED TO REPRESENT MYSELF. I BELIEVE IT WAS TERRY SCHWARTZ. THERE WAS A PSYCHIATRIST EVALUATION ON ME. THE PSYCHIATRIST SAID, "HE'S VERY INTELLIGENT. I THINK HE CAN REPRESENT HIMSELF." TERRY SCHWARTZ SAID SHE'S NOT GOING TO ALLOW IT. I TOOK A 90-DAY DEAL BECAUSE I WAS ALREADY SITTING IN JAIL. I AGREED TO PLEAD NO CONTEST, NOT GUILTY, REMAIN MY INNOCENCE. I AGREED TO PLEAD NO CONTEST, AND I WOULD BE ON PROBATION FOR THREE YEARS. IF I STAYED OUT OF TROUBLE, IT'D GET DROPPED TO A MISDEMEANOR.

Q. YOU WERE CONVICTED OF A FELONY 422 IN 2012, ISN'T THAT TRUE?

A. I WAS INNOCENT. I DID NOT THREATEN.

Q. YOU WERE CONVICTED OF THAT CHARGE?

A. YES. WHAT HAPPENED WITH JAIME HERNANDEZ, IF YOU WANT ME TO TESTIFY, I WAS AT THE WELLS FARGO BANK --

Q. I'M NOT ASKING FOR THE --

THE COURT: HOLD ON. JUST ANSWER THE QUESTION.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: YOU WERE CONVICTED IN 2021 OF THE EXACT SAME CHARGE OF 422, ISN'T THAT CORRECT? YES OR NO?

A. THAT IS CORRECT, BUT IT WAS LATER OVERTURNED BASED ON THE GO PRO VIDEO --

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, NO QUESTION PENDING --

THE WITNESS: BECAUSE OF THE GO VIDEO THAT WAS --

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, NONRESPONSIVE.

THE WITNESS: -- THAT SHOWED I DIDN'T COMMIT THE CRIME. I GOT TO TESTIFY. I'M NOT GOING TO LET YOU SAY -- THERE'S NO OTHER CRIMINAL -- THIS LADY ASSUMED THAT --

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, NONRESPONSIVE.

THE COURT: HOLD ON, MR. HOLLYWOOD. SUSTAINED.

THE WITNESS: I'LL REBUTTAL ON THIS.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: YOU'VE ACTUALLY PHYSICALLY HARMED PEOPLE IN THE PAST AS WELL, RIGHT?

A. SINCE I'VE BEEN IN CALIFORNIA, NO, NOT TRUE. I HAVEN'T PHYSICALLY HARMED ANYONE SINCE I'VE BEEN IN CALIFORNIA. SINCE 2016, I'VE BEEN A TRAINED BOXER. AND BASED ON MY TRAINING SLIGHTLY IN MARTIAL ARTS AND IN BOXING, I AVOID FIST FIGHTS WHENEVER I CAN. THE LAST TIME I GOT IN A FIST FIGHT WAS IN SAN BERNARDINO JAIL. WE WERE IN A HOLDING TANK. AN INMATE KEPT APPROACHING ME. THERE'S SEVERAL

WITNESSES. I SAID, "HEY MAN, YOU GOT TO STOP COMING AT ME LIKE YOU'RE GOING TO HIT ME." HE KEPT COMING AT ME. I HIT HIM ONCE. THERE WERE WITNESSES THAT SAID, "HE KEPT TELLING HIM TO STAY AWAY FROM HIM, AND HE HAD TO DEFEND HIMSELF." I DON'T GO AROUND HITTING. I'M A TRAINED FIGHTER.

Q. YOU WERE CONVICTED OF A BATTERY BACK IN 2021, ISN'T THAT CORRECT? YES OR NO?

A. I PLEAD NO CONTEST --

Q. YES OR NO?

A. I DIDN'T HIT HER, THOUGH. I THREW CANDY AND IT HIT HER FACE. IT DIDN'T HER.

Q. WERE YOU CONVICTED OF THAT CHARGE?

A. YES, BUT IT WAS CANDY.

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, NONRESPONSIVE.

THE COURT: SUSTAINED.

THE WITNESS: AND SHE LATER THREW CANDY AT ME.

THE COURT: HOLD ON, HOLD ON.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I DIDN'T HIT HER WITH MY HANDS. IT WAS CANDY. SO LET'S BE STRAIGHT. YOU LOOK AT THE POLICE REPORT.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: SO YOU PHYSICALLY HARMED HER WITH CANDY?

A. THERE WAS NO CRIMINAL INTENT. I THREW CANDY, AND IT HIT HER. IT WASN'T LIKE I TOOK THE CANDY AND JUST LIKE THREW IT AS HARD AS I COULD. I JUST KIND OF LIKE TOSSED CANDY, AND IT HIT HER FACE. SHE CALLED THE POLICE. IT'S ON VIDEO TOO.

Q. LET'S TALK ABOUT THIS CASE.

A. OKAY.

Q. YOU KEEP TALKING ABOUT THIS GO PRO CAMERA.

A. YES.

Q. AND THAT WAS CONTINUOUSLY RECORDING THE ENTIRE TIME, WASN'T IT?

A. NO, I STATED THAT AFTER THE -- PRIOR TO ME GOING OUTSIDE MEETING THE UBER DRIVER, I TURNED ON THE CAMERA. FROM THAT POINT, I NEVER TURNED THE CAMERA OFF.

Q. SO WHY DOES THE CAMERA SHOW YOU TURNING IT OFF?

A. IT DOESN'T. YOU KEPT PAUSING THE VIDEO, AND THAT'S WHY I ASK THE JUDGE. THE JUDGE DOESN'T WANT TO SEE THE VIDEO. HE BELIEVES ME; THAT'S WHY I SAID TO THE JUDGE, "WE CAN PLAY IT ALL THE WAY THROUGH.

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, NONRESPONSIVE. LET'S PLAY IT.

THE COURT: SUSTAINED.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: SO YOU MEAN TO SAY THAT, AS DETECTIVE KHOUNTHAVONG WAS TESTIFYING, THAT YOU DIDN'T SEE THAT OF YOU TURNING OFF THE GO PRO VIDEO?

A. AFTER I WENT OUTSIDE AND I MET WITH THE -- ON THE FIRST UBER DRIVER, I DID NOT TURN THE CAMERA OFF.

Q. ALL RIGHT.

A. I DON'T REMEMBER TURNING THE CAMERA OFF AFTER THAT. I THINK IT WAS CONTINUOUS BECAUSE AT THAT POINT --

Q. NOW, YOU THINK IT WAS CONTINUOUS?

A. IT MAKE -- UNLESS YOU EDITED THE VIDEO, BUT I DON'T REMEMBER SHUTTING THE GO PRO OFF.

Q. SO NOW I EDITED THE VIDEO?

A. YOU CAN USE GO PRO I THINK IT'S GO PRO STUDIO, SIR. AND YOU CAN MAKE VIDEOS DIFFERENT LENGTH IN GO PRO.

Q. YOU ARE SAYING I DID THAT?

A. NO. I'M SAYING THE DETECTIVES WITH THEIR LITTLE HIGH-TECH LAB DID THAT. THAT THE ORIGINAL VIDEO WAS CONTINUOUS. I DIDN'T TURN THE GO PRO OFF AFTER I WENT OUTSIDE. BUT YOU CAN USE GO PRO STUDIOS, AND YOU CAN CHANGE THE LENGTH, THE DURATION OF THE VIDEO. AND THAT'S POTENTIALLY WHAT YOU DID BECAUSE YOU ARE TRYING TO MAKE IT LOOK LIKE I TURNED OFF MY CAMERA WITH HER AND THERE WAS THESE ALLEGED CRIMINAL THREATS.

Q. WELL, IT SEEMS LIKE YOU NEED A BETTER REFRESHER ON THIS, LET'S WATCH --

A. LIKE I SAID --

MR. ROSENBERG: -- THE VIDEO.

THE COURT: FOR THE RECORD, YOU'RE PLAYING THE VIDEO.

MR. ROSENBERG: YES, FROM PEOPLE'S 1. THIS IS 586.LRV.

THE COURT: WHAT PORTION?

MR. ROSENBERG: WE'RE GOING TO START AT THE VERY BEGINNING. THIS IS THE VIDEO WITH THE TIME STAMPED 9:59 A.M.

(WHEREUPON PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT 1
IS PLAYED IN OPEN COURT.)

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: MR. HOLLYWOOD, I'M STOPPING AT FOUR SECONDS IN. THIS IS DEPICTING THE FIRST UBER VEHICLE THAT ARRIVED, ISN'T THAT CORRECT?

A. YEAH. HOW LONG IS THIS VIDEO?

Q. SEVEN MINUTES AND 19 SECONDS.

A. YEAH, THIS IS THE WHOLE INCIDENT. PLAY IT ALL THE WAY THROUGH.

(WHEREUPON PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT 1
IS PLAYED IN OPEN COURT.)

MR. ROSENBERG: STOPPING AT --

THE WITNESS: NO, NO, I ADJUSTED MY GO PRO.

MR. ROSENBERG: I'M GOING TO ASK THE QUESTIONS AS I WANT TO ASK THEM.

THE WITNESS: KEEP PLAYING THE VIDEO. WHY DO YOU KEEP STOPPING?

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD, HE WANTS TO ASK YOU A QUESTION.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: SO AT 37 SECONDS WE'RE NOT WATCHING YOU BEING UPSET RIGHT NOW?

A. YEAH, I'M UPSET.

Q. BUT YOU JUST SAID EARLIER YOU WEREN'T UPSET ABOUT ALL THIS?

A. NO, I TOLD YOU I WASN'T UPSET ABOUT HER ASKING ME TO LEAVE THAT I WAS GOING TO HAND HER A FORCEFUL DETAINER. I WASN'T ANGRY ABOUT THE UBER. I WAS IRRITATED BECAUSE I WANTED TO GET OUT OF THERE. SHE WAS DELAYING THE PROCESS. YOU SEE HOW LONG HER DRIVEWAY IS. IT'S GOING TO TAKE LONGER FOR ME TO TAKE ALL THE STUFF DOWN THERE.

Q. SO THIS ISN'T YOU BEING ANGRY?

A. I'M UPSET. SHE WOULDN'T TELL THE UBER DRIVER TO PULL ON THE DRIVEWAY SO I CAN GET MY STUFF OUT OF THE HOUSE.

Q. SO YOU ARE NOT UPSET SHE'S TELLING YOU TO LEAVE?

A. NO, I'M UPSET THAT SHE WON'T TELL THE UBER DRIVER TO PULL UP THE DRIVEWAY SO I CAN GET OUT. PLAY THE VIDEO.

Q. FOR THE LAST TIME, DO NOT TELL ME WHAT TO DO. I WILL ASK THE QUESTIONS AS I SEE FIT.

A. PLEASE PLAY THE VIDEO ALL THE WAY THROUGH SO WE CAN SEE IT'S CONTINUOUS; THAT THE DEPUTIES ARE GOING TO BE ARRESTED.

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD, IT'S HIS EXAMINATION.

THE WITNESS: OKAY, ALL RIGHT. ALL RIGHT.

THE COURT: GO AHEAD.

MR. ROSENBERG: FOR THE RECORD, I'M NOT GOING TO PLAY THE WHOLE VIDEO AGAIN BECAUSE WE'VE ALREADY DONE THIS. SO I'M GOING TO GO TO THE END OF THIS VIDEO.

THE WITNESS: I'LL PLAY THE VIDEO.

MR. ROSENBERG: YOU CAN PLAY WHATEVER YOU WANT. I'M GOING TO START AGAIN AT SIX MINUTES AND 50 SECONDS. THIS IS THE END OF THE VIDEO.

(WHEREUPON PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT 1
IS PLAYED IN OPEN COURT.)

THE WITNESS: THAT VIDEO IS EDITED. I WASN'T OUTSIDE. AM I INSIDE? YEAH, THAT VIDEO IS EDITED.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: SO AT THE VERY END OF THIS VIDEO, THAT'S NOT YOU TURNING IT OFF RIGHT THERE?

A. NO, THE VIDEO IS EDITED. I DIDN'T TURN IT OFF. SO IF YOU PLAY THE OTHER VIDEO, THE OTHER VIDEO THAT YOU PLAYED WHERE IT SHOWS THE POLICE ARRESTING ME, THAT VIDEO.

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, NONRESPONSIVE. NO QUESTION PENDING.

THE WITNESS: ALL RIGHT, THE VIDEO WAS TOGETHER. YOU SEPARATED IT.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: SO THIS ONE WHERE YOU'RE PUTTING YOUR HANDS ON THE ACTUAL GO PRO ITSELF TURNING IT OFF, IS NOT YOU TURNING IT OFF?

A. NO. WHY WOULD I TURN IT OFF? IT WAS CONTINUOUS; THAT'S NOT ME TURNING IT OFF. I ADJUSTED IT LIKE WE SAW OUTSIDE I WAS ADJUSTING. I DIDN'T TURN IT OFF.

Q. ISN'T IT REALLY CONVENIENT THAT YOU TURN IT OFF RIGHT WHERE SHE'S ENDING THAT FIRST PHONE CALL?

A. SIR.

Q. I THINK THAT'S REALLY CONVENIENT THAT YOU TURN IT OFF RIGHT AS SHE SAYS YOU MAKE THE CRIMINAL THREATS?

A. I DIDN'T NEVER TURN MY CAMERA OFF. YOU EDITED THAT VIDEO AND BRING IT UP ON SOME PROGRAM AND YOU SEPARATED THE VIDEO. IT WAS CONTINUOUS. IT WAS NEVER BROKEN UP; THAT'S WHY WHEN YOU LOOK AT THE OTHER VIDEO -- PLAY THE OTHER VIDEO. IT LOOKS LIKE IT PICKED UP RIGHT WHERE THIS ONE LEFT OFF.

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, ASKED AND ANSWERED.

THE WITNESS: THAT'S FINE. WE'LL PLAY THE VIDEO WHEN IT'S MY TURN, AND WE'LL SEE IT PICKS UP RIGHT WHERE THIS ONE LEFT OFF AT. PLAY THE OTHER VIDEO.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: WHY IS IT THAT THERE'S A FIVE-MINUTE GAP BETWEEN YOUR VIDEOS THAT JUST SO HAPPENS TO BE WHEN SHE SAYS THAT YOU MADE THOSE CRIMINAL THREATS.

A. THERE IS NO GAP. AND SHE'S NOT A CREDIBLE WITNESS. SHE'S TOLD TOO MANY LIES ON THE STAND. SO SHE DIDN'T TELL 911 I THREATENED HER. I NEVER THREATENED HER. I ALREADY

TESTIFIED TO THAT.

Q. NOW, EARLIER YOU WERE TALKING ABOUT YOUR INTERACTION WITH THE DEPUTIES.

A. WITH WHO?

Q. WITH THE SHERIFF'S DEPUTIES WHEN YOU GOT ARRESTED, RIGHT?

A. RIGHT.

Q. AND YOU WEREN'T CALLING THEM MOTHERFUCKERS? YOU WEREN'T YELLING AT THEM? YOU WEREN'T ANGRY AT THEM?

A. I DON'T LIKE POLICE. I MEAN, LIKE THE DEPUTIES HERE THEY TREAT ME RESPECTFULLY. BUT I TEND TO HAVE A HATRED TOWARDS LAW ENFORCEMENT BASED ON ALL THE TIMES I'VE BEEN ARRESTED.

Q. WERE YOU YELLING AT LAW ENFORCEMENT THAT DAY?

A. I HATE LAW ENFORCEMENT. WHY DO YOU THINK I DIDN'T CALL THE POLICE ON THIS CASE? I'M NOT A COP-CALLER.

Q. WERE YOU CALLING THEM MOTHERFUCKERS AND YELLING AT THEM?

A. I HATE LAW ENFORCEMENT, OF COURSE.

Q. JUST --

A. I HATE LAW ENFORCEMENT. I ALWAYS DO THAT EVERY TIME I'M ARRESTED.

Q. SO IS THAT A YES?

A. I HATE LAW ENFORCEMENT. I'M ALWAYS GOING TO CUSS THEM OUT. I'M GOING TO SAY I'M GOING TO SUE THEM. I DON'T LIKE LAW ENFORCEMENT.

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, NONRESPONSIVE.

THE COURT: WELL, I THINK HE ANSWERED THE QUESTION. I

THINK IT'S A YES.

THE WITNESS: I HATE LAW ENFORCEMENT, AND I THINK EVERYBODY KNOWS THAT BASED ON ALL MY ARRESTS.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: SO YOU AGREE THAT THIS WAS HER HOUSE THOUGH, RIGHT?

A. NO. SHE TOLD ME THAT SHE WAS GETTING AWAY FROM HER EX; THAT SHE OWNED A HOUSE IN SOUTH PAS OR SOMETHING, AND IT WAS, LIKE, A TEMPORARY HOUSE. SHE WAS RENTING IT. SHE DIDN'T TELL ME SHE OWNED THE HOUSE. SHE TOLD ME SHE WAS TRYING TO GET AWAY FROM HER EX. AND SHE DIDN'T LIKE, I GUESS, HOW HE KNEW WHERE SHE LIVED IN SOUTH PASADENA. SHE WAS KIND OF PARANOID ABOUT KNOWING WHERE SHE LIVES. SO SHE --

Q. WOULD YOU AGREE SHE WAS LIVING THERE?

A. WHAT?

Q. WOULD YOU AGREE THAT SHE WAS LIVING THERE?

A. WHEN I GOT THERE, IT LOOKED LIKE SHE JUST MOVED IN KIND OF. LIKE, THERE WAS, LIKE -- THE HOUSE WAS DISORGANIZED. IT LOOKED LIKE SHE LIVED THERE, BUT SHE HADN'T BEEN LIVING THERE THAT LONG.

Q. SHE ASKED TO YOU LEAVE THAT DAY, RIGHT?

A. NO, SHE DIDN'T ASK ME TO LEAVE. SHE TOLD ME THAT I HAD TO LEAVE BECAUSE SHE BELIEVED THAT HER HUSBAND WAS GOING TO KILL ME BECAUSE I WAS BLACK.

Q. AND YOU --

A. AND I TOLD HER THAT, "I'M NOT WORRIED ABOUT YOUR HUSBAND BREAKING IN. I DON'T THINK HE'S GOING TO COME HERE." AND SHE'S SAYS, "NO." SHE SAYS, "YOU GOT TO LEAVE. HE'S GOING TO COME HERE AND KILL YOU." AND THEN I WAS JUST LIKE,

"WHATEVER, I'M GETTING A FORCEFUL DETAINER."

I'D AGREE WITH LEAVING THAT I WASN'T AFRAID OF HER HUSBAND THAT WAS HER REASON FOR ASKING ME TO LEAVE.

Q. YOU DIDN'T LEAVE THAT DAY, RIGHT?

A. DID I LEAVE?

Q. WELL, YOU DIDN'T LEAVE UNTIL YOU WERE ARRESTED BY THE DEPUTIES?

A. YEAH, I LEFT WHEN I WAS ARRESTED, BUT I WAS TRYING MY HARDEST TO GET OUT OF THERE. I WANTED TO GO TO SAN MARINO AND SERVE THE LAWSUIT ON THEM, AND THAT WAS MY PLAN. I WAS GOING TO BE HOMELESS IN SAN MARINO. THE WHOLE POINT OF SERVING SAN MARINO THE LAWSUITS WAS SO THEY STOP ARRESTING ME OVER THERE.

Q. IF YOU WERE TRYING SO HARD TO LEAVE, WHY DIDN'T YOU GET IN THAT FIRST UBER?

A. BECAUSE SHE WOULDN'T TELL THE UBER TO PARK UP ON THE DRIVEWAY.

Q. DIDN'T THE SECOND UBER COME IN THE DRIVEWAY?

A. UBER DRIVERS DO NOT -- LISTEN, A SIX-FOOT-FIVE BLACK GUY WITH DREADLOCKS, A BIG BLACK GUY, BIG ARMS APPROACHING AN UBER DRIVER, WHO DIDN'T CALL THE UBER. YOU NEED TO WAKE UP A LITTLE BIT. UBERS ONLY DEAL WITH THE --

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, NONRESPONSIVE. NO QUESTION PENDING.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, SUSTAINED.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: PLAYING YOU THE NEXT VIDEO. THIS ONE ENDS 5:87. THIS IS TIMESTAMP OF 10:13 A.M.

A. YEAH, PLAY IT.

"WHATEVER, I'M GETTING A FORCEFUL DETAINER."

I'D AGREE WITH LEAVING THAT I WASN'T AFRAID OF HER HUSBAND THAT WAS HER REASON FOR ASKING ME TO LEAVE.

Q. YOU DIDN'T LEAVE THAT DAY, RIGHT?

A. DID I LEAVE?

Q. WELL, YOU DIDN'T LEAVE UNTIL YOU WERE ARRESTED BY THE DEPUTIES?

A. YEAH, I LEFT WHEN I WAS ARRESTED, BUT I WAS TRYING MY HARDEST TO GET OUT OF THERE. I WANTED TO GO TO SAN MARINO AND SERVE THE LAWSUIT ON THEM, AND THAT WAS MY PLAN. I WAS GOING TO BE HOMELESS IN SAN MARINO. THE WHOLE POINT OF SERVING SAN MARINO THE LAWSUITS WAS SO THEY STOP ARRESTING ME OVER THERE.

Q. IF YOU WERE TRYING SO HARD TO LEAVE, WHY DIDN'T YOU GET IN THAT FIRST UBER?

A. BECAUSE SHE WOULDN'T TELL THE UBER TO PARK UP ON THE DRIVEWAY.

Q. DIDN'T THE SECOND UBER COME IN THE DRIVEWAY?

A. UBER DRIVERS DO NOT -- LISTEN, A SIX-FOOT-FIVE BLACK GUY WITH DREADLOCKS, A BIG BLACK GUY, BIG ARMS APPROACHING AN UBER DRIVER, WHO DIDN'T CALL THE UBER. YOU NEED TO WAKE UP A LITTLE BIT. UBERS ONLY DEAL WITH THE --

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, NONRESPONSIVE. NO QUESTION PENDING.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, SUSTAINED.

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: PLAYING YOU THE NEXT VIDEO. THIS ONE ENDS 5:87. THIS IS TIMESTAMP OF 10:13 A.M.

A. YEAH, PLAY IT.

(WHEREUPON PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT 1
IS PLAYED IN OPEN COURT.)

Q. MR. ROSENBERG: AT 10:13 A.M., THAT'S NOT A SECOND UBER THAT JUST PULLED INTO THE DRIVEWAY?

A. IT'S CLEAR YOU EDITED THE VIDEO BECAUSE WE WATCHED THIS VIDEO EARLIER AND THE JUDGE WILL CONFIRM THIS. WE SAW ME GOING OUTSIDE AND CONFRONTING THE UBER DRIVER IN A CONTINUOUS VIDEO --

Q. ARE YOU SAYING I EDITED THAT SUV INTO IT?

A. THAT'S A DIFFERENT VIDEO THAN WHAT WE SAW EARLIER, SIR. I'M NOT GOING TO KEEP ARGUING ABOUT THE VIDEO. YOU OBVIOUSLY -- THAT'S WHY YOU GUYS CONFISCATED MY GO PRO SO YOU CAN TWEAK IT AND EDIT IT. YOUR HONOR, WE SAW A VIDEO EARLIER. EVERYBODY SAW IT. I WAS NOT TOUCHING THE DOOR. I WAS ON MY PHONE FOR LIKE ABOUT A MINUTE, AND THEN I WALKED OUT, AND I APPROACHED THE SAME UBER DRIVER.

NOW, YOU'RE SHOWING A VIDEO THAT STARTS THERE. THESE VIDEOS HAVE BEEN MANIPULATED. PERIOD.

Q. WAS THERE A SECOND UBER AND DID YOU TELL THAT UBER TO LEAVE?

A. I TOLD THE UBER -- I DON'T KNOW IF I TOLD HIM TO LEAVE. I TOLD HIM THAT IT WASN'T BIG ENOUGH, AND IF YOU LOOK AT ALL THE STUFF THAT I HAD IN THERE, I NEEDED UBER XL. I NEEDED A MINIVAN.

Q. SO IF YOU WERE TRYING SO HARD TO LEAVE THAT DAY GOOD, WHY DIDN'T YOU GET INTO THE SECOND UBER EITHER?

A. IT WASN'T BIG ENOUGH. SO THE UBER THAT WE BROUGHT

THAT WE CAME IN WAS A TOYOTA SIENNA WHICH IS ONE OF THE BIGGEST MINI VANS THAT YOU AN FIND. I LOVE THEM. I TRAVEL A LOT IN THEM.

EVEN WHEN WE GOT IN THAT ONE, IT WAS LIKE JAM PACKED, LIKE, THERE WAS BARELY ANYWHERE FOR US TO SIT IN THE UBER. MY STUFF WAS IN THE BACK. IT IS ALL OVER THE UBER. SO NO WAY MY STUFF WOULD HAVE FIT IN THAT VIDEO, AND THAT VIDEO IS NOT THE SAME VIDEO.

Q. GOING BACK TO THREE SECONDS. SO THAT SUV, THAT JUST WASN'T GOOD ENOUGH FOR YOU?

A. IT'S NOT BIG ENOUGH, NO, NOT EVEN CLOSE. IT'S NOT A MINI VAN. I DON'T KNOW WHERE YOU'RE GETTING WITH THIS. YOU'RE SHOWING THIS VIDEO THAT'S A DIFFERENT VIDEO YOU SHOWED EARLIER.

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, NONRESPONSIVE. NO QUESTION PENDING.

THE COURT: SUSTAINED.

THE WITNESS: I'VE BEEN TRUTHFUL ABOUT EVERYTHING; THAT'S WHY I'M TESTIFYING.

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION. NO QUESTION PENDING.

THE WITNESS: OKAY.

THE COURT: SUSTAINED.

MR. ROSENBERG: NOTHING FURTHER.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. REDIRECT?

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. HOLLYWOOD: YEAH, IF THE COURT IS NOT SATISFIED

WITH THE VIDEO THAT DOESN'T SHOW ME MAKING A CRIMINAL THREAT, THEN THIS CASE CAN BE CONTINUED. THEY CAN BRING IN THE ORIGINAL GO PRO. AND WE CAN WATCH THE VIDEOS ON THE ORIGINAL GO PRO. AND THE COURT WILL SEE THAT IT DON'T LOOK LIKE THESE VIDEOS.

THESE VIDEOS HAVE BEEN EDITED. THEY HAVE BEEN BREAKEN UP WITH DURATIONS. AS WE SAW, THAT VIDEO RIGHT THERE STARTED WITH ME GOING OUTSIDE AND MEETING THE UBER. WE SAW A VIDEO EARLIER OF ME MAKING STATEMENTS THAT I WAS GOING TO SUE HER. I WAS SITTING DOWN. THERE WAS THREE TO FOUR MINUTES THAT GONE BY. AND THE SECOND UBER PULLS UP. SO WHEN I WENT OUTSIDE AND I MET WITH THE UBER, IT WAS LIKE THREE MINUTES IN THE VIDEO.

NOW, WE SEE A NEW VIDEO THAT STARTS RIGHT THERE. SO THERE'S EVIDENCE RIGHT THERE. I'M TOO SMART FOR YOU, ROSENBERG. THE NEXT THING IS ABOUT THE VEXATIOUS LITIGANT, HE'S INCORRECT. SO WHAT HAPPENED -- SO I JUST WANT TO ENLIGHTEN THE COURT TOO ABOUT VEXATIOUS LITIGANT. WHEN A VEXATIOUS LITIGANT BECOMES VEXATIOUS IN STATE COURT, HE HAS TO GET PERMISSION TO SUE ANYWHERE IN THE STATE.

SO EVEN IF HE MOVED TO SAN FRANCISCO, HE STILL GOT TO GET PERMISSION EVEN THOUGH HE BECAME A VEXATIOUS LITIGANT IN L.A. IF HE MOVES TO -- IF HE MOVES TO SAN DIEGO AND TRIES TO SUE PEOPLE THERE IN STATE COURT, HE'S STILL IN CALIFORNIA SUPERIOR COURT. HE HAS TO GET PERMISSION. AND IN FEDERAL COURT, THE VEXATIOUS LITIGANT ORDER ONLY STANDS FOR CENTRAL DISTRICT.

SO HOW I SUED ARCADIA AND PASADENA IS I FILED A

LAWSUIT I THINK IN SOUTHERN DISTRICT. ONCE IT WAS IN THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT, ARCADIA ATTORNIES MOVED FOR A MOTION TO TRANSFER VENUE SAYING IT WASN'T CONVENIENT FOR WITNESSES AND THAT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD WAS ONLY DOING THIS TO GO OVER, YOU KNOW, TO BYPASS THE VEXATIOUS LITIGANT THING.

SO THAT CASE WAS -- YOU CAN LOOK IT UP UNDER MY NAME. THAT CASE WAS REMOVED OR WAS TRANSFERRED FROM SOUTHERN TO CENTRAL. JUST SO YOU KNOW IT WAS DONE WITHOUT -- UNOPPOSED BECAUSE I WAS IN JAIL FOR 95 DAYS FOR A CRIME I DIDN'T COMMIT WITH THE COUNCIL MEMBER. I WASN'T ABLE TO FILE MY RESPONSE, AND THE COURT DIDN'T WAIT FOR ME.

IN REGARDS TO JENNIFER, WHEN I MADE THE STATEMENTS TO HER, "YOU DON'T KNOW WHO YOU'RE MESSING WITH," I MEANT LIKE YOU DON'T KNOW WHO YOU'RE MESSING WITH, LIKE, I'LL SUE YOU UNDER FORCEFUL DETAINER. THEN I'LL TAKE YOU UNLIMITED WITH NEAL, AND POTENTIALLY I'LL SUE YOU IN FEDERAL COURT. MAYBE I'LL FILE A LAWSUIT AGAINST YOU IN NORTHERN COURT AGAINST YOUR FAMILY MEMBERS.

THE LAWSUIT THAT I FILED AGAINST ARCADIA AND PASADENA, I SUED THE COUNCIL MEMBER. HIS NAME IS TYRONE HAMPTON. I SUED HIS WIFE. I THINK HIS WIFE IS TARA HAMPTON, AND ONE OF THE REASONS WHY THE COUNCIL MEMBER DID WHAT HE DID IS BECAUSE HE WAS AWARE THAT LAWSUIT AND THAT I WAS ACTIVELY GOING AFTER FAMILY MEMBERS.

SO THE VEXATIOUS LITIGANT FOR FEDERAL COURT ONLY EXTENDS TO CENTRAL DISTRICT, AND THAT'S WHY I HAVE NEAL HELPING ME WITH SUING PEOPLE IN CENTRAL DISTRICT. BUT IT DOESN'T STOP ME FROM SUING THE CENTRAL DISTRICT. IT DOESN'T

STOP ME FROM SUING IN THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OR ANY OTHER EASTERN DISTRICT, ANY OTHER DISTRICTS IN CALIFORNIA.

TOO SMART. I STARTED GETTING REAL SMART ABOUT MY LAWSUITS. I REALIZED I DON'T HAVE TO FILE MY LAWSUITS IN CALIFORNIA. I'LL JUST SUE THEM WHERE THEY'RE HEADQUARTERED.

THE COURT: MR. HOLLYWOOD, I THINK YOU COVERED THAT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: ALL RIGHT. LET ME COVER ONE MORE THING ABOUT THE CRIMINAL THREATS. SO IN 2021, I WAS HOMELESS AT THE SOUTH PASADENA LIBRARY. MY WOMAN WAS WITH ME. THERE WAS AN INCIDENT ACTUALLY WITH THE SAME WOMAN HE'S TALKING ABOUT WHERE I THREW THE CANDY AT HER. WELL, SHE ASSAULTED ME ANOTHER TIME, AND I ACTUALLY DID PRESS CHARGES ON HER FIRST FOR ASSAULTING ME. YOU KNOW, I THINK IT WAS AUGUST 15TH OR 16TH OR 17TH, 2021. THE RESPONDING OFFICERS WERE GRAMERO [PHONETIC] AND I BELIEVE ZAMORA.

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION, RELEVANCE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: IT IS RELEVANT. WE'RE TALKING ABOUT THE CRIMINAL THREATS. I'M GOING TO GET TO THAT RIGHT IN A MINUTE. SO I HAD HER ARRESTED JUST SO YOU KNOW. I THINK SHE PUSHED MY ARM. THEY SAID, "DO YOU WANT TO PRESS CHARGES?" I HAVE A VIDEO. I WAS LIKE, "YEAH. JUST A MONTH AGO SHE HAD ME ARRESTED FOR THROWING CANDY AT HER, SURE."

SO THEY -- ZAMORA, WHO WAS THE LEAD OFFICER, HE SAID, "BASED ON WHAT HAPPENED, YOU AND ALISON GOT TO LEAVE THE LIBRARY. YOU CAN'T BE HERE." SO WE LEFT. WE WENT ACROSS THE STREET. HACIENDA HAS A HOUSE I THINK IT'S AT 1015 OR 1017 DIAMOND AVENUE.

THE COURT: OKAY, MR. HOLLYWOOD, GET TO THE POINT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'M GETTING TO THE CRIMINAL THREATS. I'M ALMOST THERE. SHE HAD ME ARRESTED OR SHE CALLED AND SAID THAT I WAS BLOCKING THE SIDEWALK. THEY ARRESTED ME. THEN AFTER I GOT OUT OF JAIL THE NEXT NIGHT, SHE WAS TAKING OUT HER TRASH OR SOMETHING. AND I SAW HER AND I SAID, "HEY, YOU GOT ME ARRESTED. I'M GOING TO SUE THE SHIT OUT OF YOU."

SO FOR FIVE MINUTES, I WENT OFF ON HER. I CALLED HER PECKER WOOD. I SAID I'M GOING TO SUE THE SHIT OUT OF YOU. I'M GOING TO SUE YOUR FAMILY -- NO, I DIDN'T TELL HER I'M GOING TO SUE YOUR FAMILY. I TOLD HER I'M GOING TO SUE YOUR LANDLORD. I KNOW YOU RENT THERE. SHE CALLED THE POLICE. SHE SAID THAT SHE MADE A STATEMENT THAT HE WAS GOING TO KILL MY MAMA. AND THEN SHE HAD A LADY THAT LIVED THERE. I THINK IT WAS HER LOVER WHO COLLABORATED HER STORY.

I WENT TO JAIL. I PLEAD NO CONTEST ON IT SO I CAN GET OUT. ONCE I GOT OUT OF JAIL, I GOT THE VIDEO. I THINK HIS NAME'S WILL ELSNOR [PHONETIC]. HE'S IN YOUR OFFICE. YOU'RE FAMILIAR WITH WILL ELSNOR. I GOT THE VIDEO TO WILL. HE GOT IT TO THE D.A. THERE WAS A HEARING ON APRIL 5TH, 2022, WHERE THEY BROUGHT ME IN FOR WARRANTS WITH BROUGHAM, AND BROUGHAM DISMISSED THE CASE.

AND SO THEY -- THE VIDEO IS ALSO ON MY INSTAGRAM CHANNEL. YOU CAN CHECK IT; FUTURE KING ENTERTAINMENT. THERE WAS TWO VIDEOS THEY DON'T SHOW ME THREATENING HER. SO NO, I DIDN'T MAKE CRIMINAL THREATS TO HER. SHE LIED.

THE COURT: OKAY. IS THAT IT?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YEAH, THAT'S ALL -- OH, WELL, JUST WANT TO KNOW IS THE COURT SATISFIED WITH THE VIDEOS BECAUSE, LIKE I

SAID, THIS VIDEO CLEARLY WAS EDITED.

THE COURT: LET'S BRING HIM DOWN. SO WHAT I INTERPRET WHAT YOU'RE SAYING, MR. HOLLYWOOD, IS THAT YOU WANT TO CONTINUE THE PRELIMINARY HEARING SO THAT YOU WOULD HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO OBTAIN THE GO PRO AND THEN MAYBE HAVE IT RE-EXAMINED TO DETERMINE --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: WELL, IF THEY DIDN'T TAKE THE VIDEOS OFF THE GO PRO IF THEY JUST COPIED THEM, THEY'RE STILL ON THERE, AND GO PRO CAN BE PLAYED IN COURT. BUT I MEAN EARLIER YOU SAID YOU DIDN'T HEAR ANY THREATS. YOU WERE SATISFIED. HE SEES THAT. HE'S TRYING TO TURN IT AROUND. IF THE COURT'S SATISFIED THAT THE VIDEOS DON'T SHOW ME COMMITTING A CRIME, WE CAN MOVE FORWARD. BUT IF THE COURT IS NOT SATISFIED, BASED ON WHAT --

THE COURT: WELL, HERE'S THE THING, I'M INCLINED TO SAY THAT FOR PURPOSES OF PRELIMINARY HEARING, I DON'T BELIEVE I NEED TO CONTINUE THE MATTER SO THAT YOU CAN HAVE THE GO PRO EXAMINED.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: OKAY.

THE COURT: IF THIS CASE GOES TO TRIAL, THAT IS SOMETHING YOU MAY WANT TO MAKE A MOTION TO HAVE THE GO PRO EXAMINED AND MAY BE TURNED OVER TO AN EXPERT WHO CAN EXAMINE AND DETERMINE WHETHER THE GO PRO, THE CONTENTS OF THE GO PRO, IS ANY DIFFERENT THAN WHAT WE WERE SHOWN HERE IN COURT AND WHAT MR. ROSENBERG HAS ON HIS FLASH DRIVE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: OKAY.

THE COURT: FOR PURPOSES OF PRELIMINARY HEARING, I DON'T FIND GOOD CAUSE TO CONTINUE THE MATTER.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: OKAY.

THE COURT: SO AT THIS POINT, YOU HAVE EXHIBITS.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YES.

THE COURT: TWO EXHIBITS; A AND B. OH, THE LETTER. DO YOU WANT TO MOVE THOSE INTO EVIDENCE?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YES, AND THE 911, AND THE POLICE REPORTS AND --

THE COURT: WELL, THE POLICE REPORT IS NOT EVIDENCE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: WHAT ABOUT THE D.A.'S LETTER?

THE COURT: THE D.A'S LETTER IS NOT EVIDENCE EITHER. IT'S JUST A AND B; THE PARTIAL TRANSCRIPT OF THE 911 CALL IS B AND THEN THE LETTER IS A.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: CAN I GET A COPY OF MY MOTION?

THE COURT: HOLD ON. HOLD ON. ANY OBJECTION TO A AND B?

MR. ROSENBERG: NO.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, A AND B ARE RECEIVED.

(DEFENSE'S EXHIBITS LETTER A AND B
ARE ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)

THE COURT: DO YOU REST, MR. HOLLYWOOD?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YEAH, JUST -- I WANT, ONE, TO GET A COPY OF THE MOTION TO DISMISS BECAUSE THAT'S GOING TO BE PART OF MY ARGUMENT.

THE COURT: OKAY. A MOTION TO DISMISS. WHICH ONE?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THE ONE I FILED LIKE A COUPLE DAYS AGO.

THE COURT: THE ONE THAT WAS TURNED OVER THIS MORNING?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO -- YEAH, I THINK IT'S IN THERE. YEAH,

BECAUSE THAT'S RIGHT YOU NEVER -- YOU NEVER GOT IT.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, SO LET'S SEE WHAT WE GOT IN HERE. I'M NOT SURE I SEE WHAT YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT. MAYBE IT'S IN THE EARLIER FILING.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: IT'S CALLED MOTION TO DISMISS. IT'S LIKE SIX PAGES.

THE COURT: WAS THAT FILED EARLIER?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO -- YEAH, YOU KNOW WHAT I THINK I DID GIVE IT TO THE COURT THE LAST HEARING.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. HERE IT IS. IS THIS IT RIGHT HERE. IT'S MULTIPLE PAGES.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: IT'S ABOUT SIX PAGES.

THE COURT: SIX PAGES.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO, THAT'S THE APPENDIX OF EXHIBITS. IT'S THE ACTUAL MOTION. IT'S LIKE SIX PAGES. IT WAS THE PAPERS.

THE COURT: OKAY, THE FIRST SIX PAGES.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: NO, IT WAS IN THE STUFF THAT I GAVE TO THE COURT THIS MORNING. IT WAS IN THERE.

THE COURT: WELL, YOU KNOW, I DON'T SEE IT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I THINK I MIGHT HAVE GAVE IT TO THE COURT THE PREVIOUS DAY.

THE COURT: WHY DON'T YOU ORALLY GIVE IT TO ME RIGHT NOW.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: IT'S HARD TO REMEMBER WHAT I SAID. I SAID SOMETHING ABOUT INCONSISTENT STATEMENTS.

THE COURT: YOU KNOW WHAT THE EVIDENCE IS. ARGUE THE EVIDENCE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I'LL TRY. SO READY TO ARGUE?

THE COURT: YES, GO AHEAD.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: SO I'M GOING TO GO FIRST OFF ALL THE STATEMENTS THAT WERE MADE THAT TURNED OUT TO BE PERJURY. SO COULD YOU STILL HEAR CRIMINAL THREATS BEING MADE --

THE COURT: OH, YOU KNOW WHAT? HERE IT IS RIGHT HERE. HERE WE GO. HAND THIS TO HIM. WE'LL MAKE A COPY LATER, BUT GIVE IT TO HIM NOW SO HE CAN MAKE HIS ARGUMENT. AND SAVE IT THERE BECAUSE WE'LL MAKE A COPY AND KEEP IT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: OF COURSE, I'LL GIVE IT BACK. COULD YOU STILL HEAR CRIMINAL THREATS BEING MADE TO HER AFTER SHE DIALED 911; THAT WAS QUESTION SIX. SHE SAID YES; THAT WAS NOT TRUE. WE LISTENED TO TWO 911 TAPES, AND YOU COULD NOT HEAR ME IN THE BACKGROUND AT ALL YELLING. AND I'M SURE THE COURT WOULD AGREE THAT MY VOICE CARRIES. SHE SHOULD BE ABLE TO HEAR ME OR, IF I WAS YELLING AT HER, THEY WOULD BE ABLE TO HEAR ME IN 911.

THE NEXT ONE IS HOLLYWOOD TOLD YOU THAT HE WAS GOING TO COME BACK TO YOUR RESIDENCE AFTER HE GETS ARRESTED AND KILLS YOU; THAT WAS QUESTION 16. SHE SAID YES. DID YOU TELL DEPUTY CONTRERAS THAT YOU CONFRONTED HOLLYWOOD IN YOUR KITCHEN AND CHARGED AT HIM; THAT WAS QUESTION 33. SHE SAID YES; THAT WAS A LIE. EVEN DETECTIVE K. -- I'M GOING TO CALL JUST K. I CAN'T PRONOUNCE HIS NAME. EVEN DETECTIVE K. TESTIFIED THAT THAT WAS NOT IN THE POLICE REPORT.

DIDN'T YOU TELL DEPUTY CONTRERAS THAT, AFTER THE FIRST UBER ARRIVED, YOU LOCKED THE DOOR OF THE GARAGE TO GIVE ACCESS TO THE RESIDENCE, MADE NO PHYSICAL CONTACT WITH HOLLYWOOD AFTER BEING -- AFTER LOCKING HIS DOOR; THAT WAS QUESTION -- IN YOUR HOME; THAT WAS QUESTION 41. SHE SAYS NO.

AGAIN DETECTIVE K. TESTIFIED -- REMEMBER IT'S NOT ACCURATE -- THAT THAT IS WHAT SHE DID TELL CONTRERAS THAT AFTER WE SAW IN THE VIDEO WENT INSIDE, I WAS LOCKED OUT AFTER THAT. THAT WAS IT. THERE WAS NO COMING IN THE KITCHEN.

NEXT ONE, ON THE MORNING OF FEBRUARY 21ST, 2023, DID YOU RECEIVE TWO SEPARATE TEXT MESSAGES FROM HOLLYWOOD. SHE SAID NO. I TESTIFIED I DID TEXT HER; THAT WAS CONFIRMED BY THE VIDEO THAT WE SAW ME SENDING A TEXT MESSAGE. SHE LIED ABOUT THAT; THAT WAS QUESTION 61. TOLD DEPUTY CONTRERAS THAT HOLLYWOOD SAID HE WAS GOING TO PUT HER IN THE GROUND. SHE SAID YES. WHILE DETECTIVE K. WAS TRYING TO HELP HER, THERE'S NOTHING IN THE POLICE REPORT ABOUT PUT IN GROUND OR ANYTHING CLOSE TO THAT. THE STATEMENTS SPECIFIC NOT SEE TOMORROW. VERY SIMILAR TO THE LIGHT OF DAY. WE'LL GET TO THAT LATER. BUT IT'S NOT ANYWHERE NEAR THERE. THAT WAS ALSO PERJURY STATEMENTS SHE MADE.

TOLD ROSENBERG THAT HOLLYWOOD SAID THAT HE WAS GOING TO PUT HER IN THE GROUND. SHE SAID YES. SHE DIDN'T TELL ROSENBERG THAT. I WANT A SHOW DOWN. I'M GLAD YOU CALLED THE COPS. I'LL KILL THEM TOO. SHE SAID SHE TOLD THAT TO ROSENBERG. SHE SAID THAT SHE TOLD THAT TO CONTRERAS. SHE DIDN'T TELL THAT TO ROSENBERG. SHE DIDN'T TELL THAT TO CONTRERAS; THAT WAS ANOTHER PERJURE STATEMENT.

HOLLYWOOD MADE THREATS AFTER THE FIRST UBER DEPARTED. I DON'T KNOW WHY -- YEAH, I'M NOT SURE WHY THAT QUESTION WAS THERE. HOLLYWOOD WAS STANDING IN HER KITCHEN HOLDING A KNIFE. SHE CHARGED AT HOLLYWOOD WHILE HE IS HOLDING THE KNIFE, COME BACK IN THE KITCHEN TO SEE IF HOLLYWOOD'S

STILL THERE. HOLLYWOOD CALLED FEMALE UBER DRIVER A CHINK. WE SAW IN THE VIDEO; THAT WAS ALSO A PERJURE STATEMENT.

MAYBE ATTACK ON MY -- IT MIGHT BE DEFAMATION OF CHARACTER. SHE'S MAKING ME LOOK LIKE A RACIST. NO ONE SAW ME CALL THE UBER DRIVER DEROGATORY NAME. AND DETECTIVE K. TESTIFIED THAT THERE WAS NO MENTION ABOUT HER CHARGING AT ME WHEN I HAD A KNIFE. HE ALSO TESTIFIED THAT SHE NEVER CAME BACK OUT A SECOND TIME OUT. HE SAID HE DIDN'T RECALL THAT. THAT'S WHAT I GOT AS FAR AS PERJURY GOES.

ONTO THE 911 TAPES, THERE WAS TWO 911 TAPES.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, SUBMITTED.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: WHAT MY ARGUMENT, NO, NO. I'M STILL ARGUING.

THE COURT: OKAY.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: ONTO THE 911 TAPES, THERE WAS TWO 911 TAPES. THIS ONE I TRIED TO ADMIT AS EXHIBIT. THIS IS THE FIRST ONE. HE'S ALL TALKING ABOUT ALL THESE THREATS. THE FIRST ONE SHE SAYS, "HE JUST REFUSING TO LEAVE NOW. HE JUST -- HE'S JUST REFUSING TO LEAVE NOW." THIS IS WHAT SHE'S TELLING 911; THAT THE ONLY THING THIS MAN'S DOING WRONG IS REFUSING TO LEAVE THE HOUSE. THERE'S NO CRIMINAL THREATS.

"HE'S REFUSING TO LEAVE NOW, AND I SAID YOU NEED TO LEAVE IN THE NEXT FIVE MINUTES." AND HE SAID, "FUCK," AND SHE SAID, "I DON'T LIKE TO CUSS." LATER SHE SAYS, "HE'S NOT EVEN MOVING. HE'S NOT EVEN MOVING ANY OF HIS STUFF OUT." SHE'S HYSTERICAL THAT THIS MAN'S NOT MOVING HIS STUFF OUT. THERE'S NOTHING EVEN CLOSE ABOUT THREATS.

THEY SAY, "WELL, WHERE IS HE NOW? HE'S ON THE RIGHT

SIDE OF THE HOUSE. DID YOU LOCK YOURSELF IN THE ROOM." SHE SAYS, "YEAH, I USED THE DOOR STOP." AND THEN SHE SAYS -- THIS IS WHERE SHE SAYS HE WANTS ME TO CALL -- HE SEEMS LIKE HE WANTS A BIG THING, YOU KNOW, WITH THE POLICE. BIG THING WITH THE POLICE. DOESN'T SAY ANYTHING. I TESTIFIED I HATE THE POLICE. IT DOESN'T SAY ANYTHING REMOTELY ABOUT A THREAT.

NOW, UNFORTUNATELY SOMETHING HAPPENED WHERE, YOU KNOW, I DON'T HAVE THE SECOND 911, BUT WE LISTENED TO THE SECOND 911. AND AGAIN SHE'S LIKE, "WHERE ARE YOU GUYS AT? WHERE ARE YOU GUYS AT?" SHE'S EVEN DISRESPECTFUL TO THE DISPATCH, "OH, I WANT YOUR SERIAL NUMBER. WHAT ARE YOU DOING."

AND HE WAS WRONG, ROSENBERG, WAS WRONG TO COACH THE WITNESS ABOUT THAT. THE SHERIFF'S RESPOND TO A LOT OF EMERGENCY CALLS. THEY'VE GOT SOUTH EL MONTE. THEY GOT TEMPLE CITY, NOT SO MUCH NORTH SAN GABRIEL VALLEY. THEY DID THEIR BEST TO GO ON THERE. AND IF YOU LOOK AT THE TEXT FROM THE 911 CALL, I DON'T HAVE IT HERE. IT SAYS THEY UPGRADED TO 415; THAT BASED ON TWO CALLS, THEY ONLY LOOKED AT IT AS A 415.

IF ALL THESE STATEMENTS AND CRIMINAL THREATS WERE MADE TO HER. AT LEAST TWO CALLS, SHE WOULD HAVE SAID SOMETHING. WE SAW HOW SHE TESTIFIED. WE SAW HOW SHE FELT ABOUT ME. HOW SHE WAS OUT TO GET ME. IT WOULD BE STUPID FOR THE COURT TO BELIEVE THAT, IF CRIMINAL THREATS WERE MADE TO HER, SHE WOULDN'T HAVE TOLD 911. SHE WOULD HAVE TOLD 911, AND WE KNOW THAT. AND THAT'S WHY THEY'RE PLAYING ALL THESE GAMES ABOUT THE 911 CALL.

THE BOTTOM LINE IS SHE DIDN'T TELL 911 THAT I

THREATENED HER BECAUSE IT NEVER HAPPENED, AND I TESTIFIED TO THAT.

THE NEXT THING IS WITH HEGER, THERE WAS -- THERE'S A PATTERN OF PRIOR CONDUCT. SO I KNOW THE COURT WOULDN'T ALLOW THIS, BUT THE COURT IS AWARE ABOUT THE DIVORCE PROCEEDINGS. SHE HAS A CASE WITH HER. SHE MAKES HERSELF SEEM LIKE SHE'S -- BECAUSE SHE'S DELUSIONAL. HEGER IS DIVORCING HER. SHE'S THE RESPONDENT IN THE CASE.

MR. ROSENBERG: OBJECTION --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THIS IS ARGUMENT, SIR.

MR. ROSENBERG: THIS WAS NOT PART OF THE PRELIM.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THIS IS ARGUMENT.

THE COURT: OVERRULED, GO AHEAD.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: HEGER, JOEL HEGER, IS DIVORCING HER. SHE'S THE RESPONDENT, AND SHE KEEPS RESPONDING WITH ALL THIS STUFF IN THE CASE ABOUT HE'S PHYSICALLY ABUSING HER. HE'S TRYING TO KILL HER, AND ALL THIS STUFF, RIGHT. AND THEN, WITH HEGER SHE HAS A SAME PATTERN AS THIS CASE. THE COURT DIDN'T ALLOW IT, BUT THERE'S ANOTHER THING WITH BERELLO [PHONETIC].

SHE TOLD BERELLO SOMETHING LIKE THAT WE'RE TREATING THIS LIKE A BUSINESS. WE'RE STICKING TO THE FACTS. WHY WOULD A PERSON THAT'S REPORTING A CRIMINAL THREAT TALK LIKE THAT? AND BERELLO WAS LIKE, "I'M ASKING HER QUESTIONS, AND SHE'S TELLING ME TO SHUT THE FUCK UP." AND LATER WHEN BERELLO INTERVIEWS HEGER, HE SAYS, "DID YOU MAKE THESE STATEMENTS?" I HAVE NO IDEA WHAT SHE'S TALKING ABOUT. THE LIGHT OF DAY. HE SAYS, "YOU CAN'T SEE THROUGH THAT?" HE SAY, "I CAN GET IN THE HOUSE IF I WANTED TO." BUT THE LIGHT OF DAY, LIKE HEGER

DIDN'T UNDERSTAND WHY ON THE PHONE CALL ABOUT CHEATING ALLEGATIONS THAT SHE WOULD MAKE SOMETHING LIKE THAT UP. BUT IF YOU LOOK AT THAT STATEMENT, LIGHT OF DAY, IT'S VERY SIMILAR IN THIS CASE NEVER SEE TOMORROW. SO THERE'S A HISTORY OF SIMILAR CRIMINAL THREATS ALLEGATIONS WITH PRESENT HEGER.

THE D.A. LETTER. IN THE D.A. LETTER SHE SAYS THIS CASE MUST BE IRONCLAD. YOU SHOULD RECUSE YOURSELF. I'M GOING TO MAKE NOISE WITH COURT TRANSCRIPTS. YOU KNOW, JUST LIKE WHO DOES THAT? I MEAN WHEN HAS ANYONE SEEN A VICTIM THAT SUPPOSED TO BE IN SUSTAINED FEAR IN A CRIMINAL THREATS CASE DO A LETTER LIKE THAT. I MEAN, WE SAW ON THE STAND SHE'S CLEARLY NOT AFRAID OF ME. DO A LETTER LIKE THAT WHERE SHE'S TALKING ABOUT THE CASE NEEDS TO BE IRONCLAD, AND YOU KNOW THIS IS DISCOVERY. IT'S WEIRD. YOU KNOW, IT'S LIKE WHO DOES THAT?

ONTO THE LEGAL ARGUMENT FOR -- OH, LIKE I SAID, THE SECOND 911 TAPE I CAN'T ARGUE ABOUT TOO MUCH ABOUT THAT. I HEARD IT. I DIDN'T HEAR ANY THREATS. I REALLY FEEL THE REASON WHY IT WASN'T TRANSCRIBED IS BECAUSE IT WASN'T REALLY RELEVANT. IT DOESN'T HAVE PROBATIVE VALUE.

MOVING ONTO THE MOTION TO DISMISS. SO THE FIRST GROUND IS JENNIFER HEGER'S PRIOR CONDUCT MAKING PEOPLE'S CRIMINAL THREATS CHARGE INSUFFICIENT. SHE TESTIFIED JUST IN 2023 SHE ALLEGED JOEL HEGER THREATENED TO BREAK INTO HER HOME AND TOLD HER THAT SHE WOULD NEVER SEE THE LIGHT OF DAY. IN THE CASE HEGER TOLD CONTRERAS HOLLYWOOD THREATENED TO BREAK INTO HER HOUSE AND TOLD HER SHE WOULD NEVER SEE TOMORROW.

DOES HEGER'S PRIOR CONDUCT WITH JOEL HEGER'S CRIMINAL THREAT ALLEGATIONS IS ALMOST IDENTICAL TO HER CONDUCT

AND FALSE STATEMENTS IN THIS CASE. IT'S A PATTERN; HIDES IN THE BEDROOM, LOCKS THE DOOR, SOMEONE TRIES TO BREAK IN.

IN CASE OF BERELLO HERE SHE ALLEGED AFTER HOLLYWOOD BEGAN TRYING TO BREAK INTO HER MAIN RESIDENCE, SHE LOCKED HIM OUT. SHE CALLED 911, SET OFF HER RING ALARM. IN 2023, JENNIFER HEGER TOLD SOUTH PASADENA POLICE DEPARTMENT THAT JOEL HEGER CAME HOME TO GET HIS MAIL. JENNIFER SAID SHE LOCKED ALL THE DOORS AND MADE THE HOUSE APPEAR THAT NO ONE WAS HOME. JENNIFER SAID SHE HID IN THE BEDROOM WHILE DOING THIS. NEXT, JOEL TRIED TO OPEN THE BACK DOOR. SHE SET OFF THE AUDIBLE ALARM CAUSING HIM TO LEAVE. THERE'S INSUFFICIENCY OF THE EVIDENCE TO BOUND HOLLYWOOD OVER BASED ON JENNIFER HEGER'S PRIOR CONDUCT.

THE GROUND B, JENNIFER HEGER'S INCONSISTENCIES AND STATEMENTS TESTIFIED TO MAKE THE PEOPLE'S CRIMINAL THREATS CHARGED INSUFFICIENT. JENNIFER HEGER TESTIFIED THAT SHE TOLD CONTRERAS THAT HOLLYWOOD THREATENED TO KILL HER. HEGER TESTIFIED THAT SHE DID NOT TELL 911 THAT HOLLYWOOD THREATENED TO KILL HER. BY THE WAY, THIS WAS MADE BEFORE I GOT HERE, OR BEFORE SHE TESTIFIED. DESPITE HER MAKING TWO SEPARATE CALLS TO 911 EMERGENCY, HEGER TESTIFIED SHE TOLD ROSENBERG THAT SHE CHARGED AT HOLLYWOOD, CONFRONTED HIM IN HER KITCHEN, ASKED HIM, "WHAT THE FUCK ARE YOU STILL DOING IN MY KITCHEN?"

THEN HEGER TOLD BOTH 911, CONTRERAS THAT SHE WAS NEVER IN THE SAME ROOM AS HOLLYWOOD AFTER THE UBER DISAGREEMENT. IN FACT, LOCKED HOLLYWOOD OUT OF THE MAIN RESIDENCE AND THEN LOCKED HERSELF IN THE BEDROOM. HEGER NEVER TOLD 911 OR CONTRERAS THAT SHE CONFRONTED HOLLYWOOD IN PERSON

IN HER KITCHEN AND THEN CHARGED AT HIM. HEGER'S STATEMENT TO ROSENBERG WERE CONSISTENT WITH A PATHOLOGICAL LIAR.

LASTLY, HEGER'S STATEMENTS TO DEPUTY CONTRERAS ARE INCONSISTENT WITH HER ORIGINAL STATEMENTS MADE TO 911, THE TWO SEPARATE CALLS. WHILE HEGER TOLD CONTRERAS THAT HOLLYWOOD THREATENED TO KILL HER WHILE SHE WAS ON THE TELEPHONE WITH 911, IN HER SECOND 911 CALL, SHE TOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT THAT THE SITUATION WITH HOLLYWOOD HAD NOT ESCALATED BEYOND THE UBER PARKING DISAGREEMENT. BASED UPON JENNIFER HEGER'S NUMEROUS PRIOR STATEMENTS OF INCONSISTENCIES, THERE'S INSUFFICIENCY -- OR THERE IS INSUFFICIENT EVIDENCE FOR THE COURT TO FIND PROBABLE CAUSE TO BELIEVE THAT HOLLYWOOD VIOLATED CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE SECTION 422 ON FEBRUARY 21ST, 2021 [SIC].

GROUND C, BASED UPON THE EVIDENCE PRESENTED OF STATEMENTS JENNIFER HEGER MADE TO 911 EMERGENCY ON TWO SEPARATE 911 AUDIO CALLS, THE PEOPLE HAD INSUFFICIENT EVIDENCE TO CHARGE HOLLYWOOD IN THE FIRST PLACE. JENNIFER HEGER TESTIFIED THAT DURING TWO SEPARATE 911 EMERGENCY CALLS, SHE'S NEVER ALLEGED HOLLYWOOD THREATENED TO PHYSICAL HARM HER. AGAIN, THIS WAS PRE-MADE I KNEW WHAT SHE WAS ALREADY GOING TO SAY.

UNDER CROSS-EXAMINATION HEGER ADMITTED SHE TOLD 911 THAT HER CONFRONTATION WITH HOLLYWOOD NEVER ESCALATED BEYOND THEIR UBER DISAGREEMENT. HEGER TESTIFIED THAT DURING THE TWO 911 EMERGENCY CALLS SHE NEVER REPORTED THAT HOLLYWOOD DONE OR SAID ANYTHING WRONG BESIDES REFUSE TO LEAVE. HEGER ADMITTED THAT SHE DID NOT REPORT TO 911 THAT HOLLYWOOD HAD BEEN YELLING AND CURSING AT HER. WE SAW THAT ON THE STAND -- WELL, SHE

KIND OF GOT AROUND IT. SHE SAID THAT SHE TOLD 911. SO I WANT TO CORRECT BECAUSE I DIDN'T KNOW SHE WAS GOING TO LIE. BUT SHE TESTIFIED THAT SHE TOLD 911 I USED PROFANITY, BUT THAT'S NOT WHAT SHE TOLD 911 THAT WAS ALSO PERJURY. BUT I PUT ON HERE ASSUMING SHE WAS GOING TO TELL THE TRUTH ABOUT THAT, THAT YOU KNOW SHE SAID THAT.

THE OVERWHELMING EVIDENCE PRODUCED BY THE PEOPLE'S TWO 911 CALLS IS HOLLYWOOD WAS VERY CALM AND CIVIL DURING THE ENTIRE INCIDENT WITH HEGER, MAKES IT EXTREMELY HARD FOR THIS COURT TO BELIEVE IN THE SPAN OF FIVE MINUTES HOLLYWOOD ATTEMPTED TO BREAK DOWN HEGER'S DOOR, AND DON'T FORGET THAT SHE SAID I WAS BREAKING DOWN THE DOOR AND SAID HE WOULD KILL HER. WHILE HEGER'S STATEMENT TO ROSENBERG AND CONTRERAS, ARE CLEARLY LIES.

THE STATEMENTS SHE MADE TO 911 EMERGENCY ON TWO SEPARATE CALLS ARE THE TRUTH. IN HOLDING HEGER TO STICK WITH HER ORIGINAL ALLEGATIONS AND STATEMENTS TO 911 EMERGENCY, THERE IS INSUFFICIENT EVIDENCE FOR THE COURT TO FIND PROBABLE CAUSE TO BELIEVE THAT HOLLYWOOD VIOLATED CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE SECTION 422 ON FEBRUARY 21ST, 2023. BASED UPON -- I THINK THAT'S THE LAST GROUND BECAUSE THE COURT SAID THAT I COULDN'T USE THE -- WHATEVER IT IS, THE 72-HOUR THING.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, SUBMITTED?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YEAH.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, FIRST OF ALL, WE HAVE THE TESTIMONY OF THE WITNESS, MS. JENNIFER, WHO DID TESTIFY THAT SHE WAS THREATENED BY MR. HOLLYWOOD. HERE HER TESTIMONY IN COURT WAS THAT HE MADE A COUPLE STATEMENTS BASICALLY THAT,

"I'M GOING TO PUT YOU IN THE GROUND. YOU'LL NEVER SEE THE LIGHT OF DAY." AND THEN YOU ALSO MENTIONED THAT YOU WOULD KILL THE POLICE TOO IF THEY RESPONDED, I SUPPOSE, TO THE CALLS.

THE VIDEOTAPE THAT WE HAVE, AND I GOT TO TELL YOU THIS IS WHAT WE HAVE, AND THIS IS WHAT I'VE BEEN SHOWN, AND IT RECORDS THE VIDEO TIMES WHEN THE CALLS WERE REPORTED. AND THEN WE ALSO HAVE THE RECORDINGS OF THE 911 CALLS. AND WE HAVE THE 911 CALLS, THE FIRST CALL, ACCORDING TO THE TESTIMONY OF THE DETECTIVE, WAS MADE AT ABOUT 10:03 A.M. AND IT WENT ON FOR A COUPLE MINUTES.

THE PEOPLE'S EVIDENCE IS THAT -- OR THEIR THEORY OF THE CASE IS THAT THE THREAT THAT WAS ALLEGED TO HAVE BEEN MADE THROUGH THE DOOR BY THE DEFENDANT WITH THE VICTIM ON THE OTHER SIDE OF THE WALL WAS SOMETIME AFTER THE FIRST 911 CALL. AND THAT WOULD ACCOUNT FOR THE REASON WHY ON THE 911 CALL BASICALLY SHE'S JUST STATING THAT HE'S REFUSED TO LEAVE. THERE'S NO ALLEGATION OF ANY THREATS MADE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THANK YOU.

THE COURT: THEN WE HAVE THE EVIDENCE THAT THE SECOND 911 CALL WAS MADE AT 10:09 AND WE HAVE THE GO PRO SUPPOSEDLY WAS RECORDING FROM BETWEEN 9:59 A.M. AND 10:06 A.M. AND THAT AT 10:06 A.M. THE GO PRO WAS TURNED OFF, ACCORDING TO MR. ROSENBERG'S THEORY, AND IT WAS TURNED OFF AND WASN'T PUT ON AGAIN ABOUT 10:13 WHEN YOU'RE OUTSIDE, AND THAT'S WHEN WE SEE THAT OTHER RECORDING.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: RIGHT.

THE COURT: SO THE THEORY IS THAT THE THREATS WERE MADE

BETWEEN 10:06 AND 10:13 AND THAT THEY WEREN'T RECORDED ON THE GO PRO, AND WHEN JENNIFER REPORTED THE SECOND 911 CALL AT 10:09 --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YEAH.

THE COURT: -- THAT HAD ALREADY OCCURRED, THE THREAT HAD ALREADY OCCURRED. I DID HEAR THE TAPE AND SHE DOESN'T TALK ABOUT THE THREATS SO MUCH ON THE SECOND CALL.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THANK YOU.

THE COURT: IN THE FACT, SHE DOESN'T MENTION IT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: DIDN'T SAY ANYTHING.

THE COURT: THERE ARE SOME OTHER INCONSISTENCIES HERE TOO. AND THEN THERE IS THE ALLEGATION SHE'S MADE SHE WAS IN THE KITCHEN THAT YOU HAD A KNIFE. YOU WERE HOLDING A KNIFE --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YUP.

THE COURT: -- AND SHE CHARGED AT YOU WHICH IS A LITTLE STRANGE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: SIX-FOOT-FIVE BLACK MAN.

THE COURT: THERE'S ALSO THE TESTIMONY THAT THE DEPUTIES, WHEN THEY TOOK HER STATEMENT, THAT THEY ALSO TOOK A STATEMENT REGARDING BEFORE THEY WERE -- BEFORE THEY LEFT OR ABOUT TO LEAVE THEY CAME BACK, SHE SHOWED THEM THE KNIFE, AND THAT THEY TOOK A STATEMENT REGARDING THAT KNIFE. BUT THERE'S NOTHING IN THE POLICE REPORTS ABOUT THAT. SO THERE ARE INCONSISTENCIES THERE. THERE'S ONE OTHER INCONSISTENCY THERE I NOTICE THAT WHEN YOU PRESENTED EVIDENCE OF THIS INCIDENT WITH HER HUSBAND THERE'S SIMILAR LANGUAGE.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: THANK YOU, LIGHT OF DAY. NEVER TOMORROW.

THE COURT: ABOUT HOW YOU WOULDN'T SEE THE LIGHT OF DAY;

THAT'S KIND OF UNUSUAL THAT BOTH PARTIES WOULD SAY THAT. HOWEVER, THE BOTTOM LINE IS THAT IT COMES DOWN TO WHETHER YOU BELIEVE MS. HEGER FOR PURPOSE OF PRELIMINARY HEARING. AND I GOT TO TELL YOU, MR. HOLLYWOOD, WHEN SHE TESTIFIED REGARDING THE THREATS, HER FEAR --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: RIGHT.

THE COURT: -- AND WHAT HAD HAPPENED, IT SOUNDED CREDIBLE TO ME.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: RIGHT.

THE COURT: I'M JUST THE JUDGE, YOU KNOW. I'M JUST SAYING FOR PURPOSE OF PRELIMINARY HEARING --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: RIGHT.

THE COURT: -- I THINK SHE HAS SHOWN AND THE PEOPLE HAVE SHOWN THAT THERE'S SUFFICIENT EVIDENCE HERE TO SHOW THAT YOU, IN FACT, DID MAKE A CRIMINAL THREATS TO HER. SHE WAS TERRIFIED AT THE TIME. IN COURT SHE TESTIFIED OF HER FEAR OF YOU, AND I THINK THAT, BASED ON THAT EVIDENCE, FOR PURPOSES PRELIMINARY HEARING --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: RIGHT.

THE COURT: -- I THINK THERE'S SUFFICIENT EVIDENCE TO HOLD YOU TO ANSWER.

SO IT APPEARING TO ME FROM THE EVIDENCE PRESENTED THAT THE FOLLOWING OFFENSES HAVE BEEN COMMITTED, TO WIT --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: WHAT ABOUT THE GO PRO VIDEO?

THE COURT: HOLD ON. THAT THE DEFENDANT IS GUILTY THEREOF, TO WIT, IN COUNT 1; A VIOLATION OF PENAL CODE SECTION 422, A FELONY. I ORDER THAT THE DEFENDANT BE HELD TO ANSWER. BAIL SHALL REMAIN IN THE SUM OF $100,000. I'LL SET THE DATE

OF ARRAIGNMENT IN THIS COURT IN TWO WEEKS; THAT WILL BE ON APRIL THE 19TH, 2023, AND THAT WILL BE HERE IN DEPARTMENT 1.

AS TO THE GO PRO, WHAT I WOULD SUGGEST, AND I ALREADY TOLD YOU THIS IS THOUGHT --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YEAH.

THE COURT: -- IF YOU ARE ARGUING THE AUTHENTICITY OF THE EVIDENCE THAT WE SAW REGARDING THE GO PRO, I WOULD, IF I WERE YOU, I WOULD GET SOMEBODY APPOINTED, AN EXPERT, YOU WOULD FILE THAT REQUEST WITH THIS COURT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I DID IT THERE.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, IF I ALREADY HAVE IT, I'LL TAKE A LOOK AT THAT AND WE WILL --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: OKAY, SO REGARDING THE GO PRO, THEY SAID THEY WERE GOING TO RETURN IT. ONCE THEY RETURN IT, NEAL CAN COME IN, AND WE CAN HOLD THE EVIDENTIARY HEARING.

THE COURT: SO WHAT I'LL DO IS I'LL ORDER, ONCE YOU WE HAVE AN EXPERT APPOINTED, WE CAN HAVE THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT ORDERED TO TURN THAT OVER TO TURN THAT OVER TO THE EXPERT AND THE EXPERT WILL CONDUCT THE EVALUATION.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I DON'T NEED AN EXPERT. I WANT MY GO PRO BACK. NEAL CAN TAKE CARE OF THAT. THEY HAVE PLACES THAT CAN DO DATA RECOVERY AND RECOVER WHATEVER THEY DID. I DON'T KNOW DEPENDS IF THEY COPIED THE VIDEOS OR THEY REMOVED. SO IF THEY CUT THE VIDEOS THE ORIGINAL VIDEO ARE NOT ON THE GO PRO.

THE COURT: I WOULD SUGGEST THAT FOR PURPOSES OF CHAIN OF CUSTODY AND FOR PURPOSES OF -- YOU DON'T WANT TO GET AN ALLEGATION THAT YOU MAY HAVE MESSED WITH THE THING. SO IT WOULD BE BETTER IF IT'S TURNED OVER TO AN EXPERT DIRECTLY.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: SO MY GO PRO CAN'T BE RETURNED TO ME AND, IF IT CAN'T BE RETURNED TO ME, IT SHOULD BE BROUGHT TO COURT SO I CAN SEE IF THE ORIGINAL VIDEOS ARE ON THE GO PRO. SINCE THE COURT HOLDING ME TO ANSWER, I NEED SUBPOENAS WITH VERIZON. MY SUBPOENAS WITH GOOGLE. THERE'S A LOT OF SUBPOENAS IN THERE.

THE COURT: RIGHT NOW THERE'S NO TRIAL DATE SET. SO UNTIL WE HAVE A TRIAL DATE, THERE WILL BE NO SUBPOENA GOING OUT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: SO THE MOTION TO DROP THE CASE TO A MISDEMEANOR IS DENIED.

THE COURT: WHAT I WILL DO IS REVIEW YOUR MOTIONS. IF IT IS GOING TO BE NECESSARY FOR SOME TYPE OF HEARING BEFOREHAND, WHAT WE WILL DO IS WE'LL HANDLE THAT. MAYBE WE CAN DISCUSS THAT AT THE TIME OF THE ARRAIGNMENT.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: I MEAN, YEAH. I DON'T KNOW WHAT THE COURT'S DOING. YOU SAID YOU WERE GOING TO HEAR THAT NOW ABOUT THE MOTION TO DROP IT TO A MISDEMEANOR IS THERE ENOUGH?

THE COURT: YOU'RE MAKING A MOTION TO DROP TO A MISDEMEANOR?

MR. HOLLYWOOD: YEAH, I FILED IT ALREADY.

THE COURT: OKAY, SO WITH RESPECT TO THAT, BASED ON THE FACT THAT THERE ARE TWO PRIOR CONVICTIONS EVEN THOUGH YOU TRIED TO EXPLAIN IT --

MR. HOLLYWOOD: RIGHT.

THE COURT: -- BASE ON THAT RECORD, I WOULD NOT BE REDUCING TO A MISDEMEANOR. SO THAT MOTION IS DENIED.

MR. HOLLYWOOD: EVEN THOUGH THE OTHER ONE IS OVERTURNED?

THE COURT: OKAY, I'M JUST SAYING THAT THE MOTION IS DENIED. SO WE'RE DONE. ON THE OTHER CASE, I'M GOING TO HOLD THE VIOLATION. I DO FIND HIM IN VIOLATION OF PROBATION AND WE'LL HOLD THAT UNTIL THIS THE NEXT APPEARANCE.

(PROCEEDINGS IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER WERE CONCLUDED.)

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPARTMENT 1 HON. MICHAEL VILLALOBOS, JUDGE

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, PLAINTIFF, VS. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, DEFENDANT.	NO. GA114055 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, ADRIANA MONTANEZ, OFFICIAL REPORTER OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING PAGES, 1 THROUGH 97, INCLUSIVE, COMPRISE A FULL, TRUE AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF THE PROCEEDINGS HELD IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER ON APRIL 5, 2023,

DATED THIS 12TH DAY OF APRIL, 2023

, CSR NO. 12767
ADRIANA MONTANEZ
OFFICIAL REPORTER



I certify that this is a true and correct copy of the original on file in or issued from this office, consisting of 11 pages.

DAVID W. SLAYTON, Executive Officer / Clerk of the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles.

Date: SEP 08 2023 By: M. Diaz, Deputy

NO FEE DUE GOV'T CODE SECTION 6103

WV-100 Petition for Workplace Violence Restraining Orders

Clerk stamps date here when form is filed.

FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles
JUN 05 2025
David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court
By: N. [illegible], Deputy

Read *How Do I Get an Order to Prohibit Workplace Violence* (form WV-100-INFO) before completing this form. **NOTE: Petitioner must be an employer with standing to bring this action under Code of Civil Procedure section 527.8.** Also fill out *Confidential CLETS Information* (form CLETS-001) with as much information as you know.

Fill in court name and street address

Superior Court of California, County of
Los Angeles
111 North Hill Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Central Judicial District

Court fills in case number when form is filed.

Case Number:
25STR003574

1 Petitioner (Employer or Collective Bargaining Representative)

a. Name: County of Los Angeles
is a ☒ Employer
☐ Collective Bargaining Representative
Specify union:
and is filing this suit on behalf of the employee identified in item 2.

b. Lawyer for Petitioner *(if any for this case)*
Name: Vanessa Evangelista State Bar No.: 238474
Firm Name: Office of the County Counsel
Petitioner's Address *(If the petitioner has a lawyer, give the lawyer's information.)*

c. Address: 500 West Temple Street
City: Los Angeles State: CA Zip: 90012
Telephone: (213) 257-2086 Fax: (213) 626-5578
Email Address: VEvangelista@counsel.lacounty.gov

2 Employee Who Suffered Harassment, Violence, or Threat of Violence

Full Name: Brian Mark Rosenberg
Gender: ☒ M ☐ F ☐ Nonbinary Age: 38
Workplace Address: 150 West Commonwealth Avenue
City: Alhambra State: CA Zip: 91801

☐ This employee declines to be named in any restraining order issued as a result of this petition.
☐ Additional employees suffered harassment, violence, or a threat of violence. Those employees, and whether they decline to be named in any restraining order issued as a result of this petition, are listed in Attachment 2.

3 Respondent (Person From Whom Protection Is Sought)

Full Name: Arogant Hollywood Age: 46
Address *(if known)*: 2308 Felicia Avenue
City: Rowland Heights State: CA Zip: 97148

4 Protected Persons Not Listed in 2

a. Are you asking for protection for any family or household members of the employee or for any other employees at the employee's workplace or at other workplaces of the petitioner?
☐ Yes ☐ No *(If yes, list them):*

Full Name	Gender	Age	Household Member?	Relationship to Employee
			☐ Yes ☐ No	
			☐ Yes ☐ No	

☐ Additional protected persons are listed in Attachment 4a.

This is not a Court Order.

Case Number:

(4) b. Why do these people need protection? *(Explain)*.
☒ Response is stated in Attachment 4b.

(5) Relationship of Employee and Respondent

a. How does the employee know the respondent? *(Describe)*: ☐ Response is stated in Attachment 5a.
Respondent was a Defendant on a case prosecuted by the Employer (District Attorney's Office)/employee.

b. Respondent ☐ is ☒ is not a current employee of petitioner. *(Explain any decision to retain, terminate, or otherwise discipline the respondent)*: ☐ Response is stated in Attachment 5b.

(6) Venue

Why are you filing in this county? *(Check all that apply)*:

a. ☒ The respondent lives in this county.
b. ☒ The respondent has caused physical or emotional injury to the petitioner's employee in this county.
c. ☒ Other *(specify)*: respondent has made credible threats, harassed, and intimidated employee.

(7) Other Court Cases

a. Has the employee or any of the persons named in (4) been involved in another court case with the respondent?

☐ No ☒ Yes *If yes, check each kind of case and indicate where and when each was filed.*

Kind of Case	Filed in *(County, State)*	Year Filed	Case Number *(if known)*
(1) ☐ Workplace Violence			
(2) ☒ Civil Harassment	Los Angeles/CA	2023	23PDR001713
(3) ☐ Domestic Violence			
(4) ☐ Divorce, Nullity, Legal Separation			
(5) ☐ Paternity, Parentage, Child Support			
(6) ☐ Eviction			
(7) ☐ Guardianship			
(8) ☐ Small Claims			
(9) ☐ Postsecondary School Violence			
(10) ☒ Criminal	Los Angeles/CA	2025	25CJCM02162
(11) ☒ Other *(specify)*: Criminal CPO	Los Angeles/CA	2025	25CJCM02162

b. Are any restraining orders or criminal protective orders now in effect relating to the employee or any of the persons in (4) and the respondent? ☐ No ☒ Yes *(If yes, attach a copy if you have one.)*

This is not a Court Order.

Case Number:

8 Description of Respondent's Conduct

a. Respondent has *(check one or more)*

(1) ☐ Assaulted, battered, or stalked the employee.

(2) ☐ Made a credible threat of violence against the employee by making knowing or willful statements or engaging in a course of conduct that would place a reasonable person in fear for his or her safety or the safety of his or her immediate family.

(3) ☒ Engaged in a course of conduct that seriously alarmed, annoyed, or harassed the employee and caused the employee substantial emotional distress. *(A course of conduct is more than one act.)*

b. One or more of these acts *(check either or both)*:

(1) ☒ Took place at the employee's workplace.

(2) ☒ Can reasonably be construed to be carried out in the future at the employee's workplace.

Address of workplace: Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office - 150 West Commonwealth Avenue, Alhambra, CA 91801

c. Describe what happened. *(Provide details, include the dates of all incidents beginning with the most recent, tell who did what to whom, identify any witnesses)*:

☒ Response is stated in Attachment 8c.

Please see attached Declarations from Brian Mark Rosenberg, Cynthia Nakao, and Exhibits detailing continued harassment, threats, continued emotional distress and violations of previous restraining orders. A Civil Harassment and CPO have been filed and Mr. Hollywood has continued to violate the Court's orders. Petitioner fears escalation of Respondent's behavior.

d. Was the employee harmed or injured? ☐ Yes ☒ No *(If yes, describe harm or injuries)*:

☐ Response is stated in Attachment 8d.

e. Did the respondent use or threaten to use a gun or any other weapon? ☐ Yes ☒ No *(If yes, describe)*:

☐ Response is stated in Attachment 8e.

This is not a Court Order.

8 f. For any of the incidents described above, did the police come? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ I don't know

If yes, did the employee or the respondent receive an Emergency Protective Order?
☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ I don't know

If yes, the order protects *(check all that apply)*:
☒ the employee ☐ the respondent ☐ one or more of the persons in 4.

(Attach a copy of the order if you have one.)

Check the orders you want ☑

9 ☒ Personal Conduct Orders

I ask the court to order the respondent **not** to do any of the following things to the employee or to any person to be protected listed in 4:

a. ☒ Harass, intimidate, molest, attack, strike, stalk, threaten, assault (sexually or otherwise), hit, abuse, destroy personal property of, or disturb the peace of the person.
b. ☒ Commit acts of unlawful violence on or make threats of violence to the person.
c. ☒ Follow or stalk the person during work hours or to or from the place of work.
d. ☒ Contact the person, either directly or indirectly, by **any** means, including, but not limited to, in person, by telephone, in writing, by public or private mail, by interoffice mail, by email, by text message, by fax, or by other electronic means.
e. ☒ Enter the person's workplace.
f. ☐ Other *(specify)*:
☐ As stated in Attachment 9f.

The respondent will be ordered not to take any action to get the addresses or locations of any protected person unless the court finds good cause not to make the order.

10 ☒ Stay-Away Orders

a. I ask the court to order the respondent to stay at least 100 yards away from *(check all that apply)*:

(1) ☒ The employee.
(2) ☐ The other persons listed in 4.
(3) ☒ The employee's workplace.
(4) ☒ The employee's home.
(5) ☐ The employee's school.
(6) ☐ The school of the employee's children.
(7) ☐ The place of child care of the employee's children.
(8) ☒ The employee's vehicle.
(9) ☒ Other *(specify)*:
The District Attorney's office located at
150 West Commonwealth Avenue
Alhambra, CA 91801.

This is not a Court Order.

10 b. If the court orders the respondent to stay away from all the places listed above, will he or she still be able to get to his or her home, school, or job? ☒ Yes ☐ No *(If no, explain)*

☐ Response is stated on Attachment 10b.

11 Firearm (Guns), Firearm Parts, and Ammunition

Does the respondent own or possess any firearms (guns), firearm parts, or ammunition? This includes firearm receivers and frames, and any item that may be used as or easily turned into a receiver or frame (see Penal Code section 16531).

☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ I don't know

If the judge grants a protective order, the respondent will be prohibited from owning, possessing, purchasing, receiving, or attempting to purchase or receive firearms (guns), firearm parts, and ammunition while the protective order is in effect. The respondent will also be ordered to turn in to law enforcement, or sell to or store with a licensed gun dealer, any firearms (guns) and firearm parts within his or her immediate possession or control. If an order is granted, the respondent will also be prohibited from owning, possessing, or buying body armor and would have to relinquish any they have.

12 ☒ Temporary Restraining Order

I request that a Temporary Restraining Order (TRO) be issued against the respondent to last until the hearing. I am presenting form WV-110, *Temporary Restraining Order*, for the court's signature together with this Petition.

Has the respondent been told that you were going to go to court to seek a TRO against him or her?
☐ Yes ☒ No *(If you answered no, explain why below.)*

☐ Reasons are stated in Attachment 12.

13 ☐ Request for Less Than Five Days' Notice of Hearing

You must have your papers personally served on the respondent at least five days before the hearing, unless the court orders a shorter time for service. (Form WV-200-INFO explains what is proof of personal service. Form WV-200, Proof of Personal Service, may be used to show the court that the papers have been served.)

If you want there to be fewer than five days between service and the hearing, explain why:

☐ Reasons are stated in Attachment 13.

This is not a Court Order.

Case Number:

14 ☒ No Fee for Filing

I ask that there be no filing fee because the respondent has threatened violence against the employee, or stalked the employee, or acted or spoken in a manner that has placed the employee in reasonable fear of violence.

15 ☒ No Fee to Serve Orders

I ask the court to order the sheriff or marshal to serve the respondent with the others for free because this request for orders is based on a credible threat of violence or stalking.

16 ☒ Court Costs

I ask the court to order the respondent to pay my court costs.

17 ☐ Additional Orders Requested

I ask the court to make the following additional orders *(specify)*:

☐ Additional orders requested are stated in Attachment 17.

18 Number of pages attached to this form, if any: _____

Date: June 3, 2025

Vanessa Evangelista
Lawyer's name (if any)

Vanessa Evangelista
Lawyer's signature

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the information above and on all attachments is true and correct.

Date: _____

_____
Name of petitioner

_____
Signature

_____
Title

This is not a Court Order.

Declaration of Brian Rosenberg

County of Los Angeles vs. Hollywood, A.

DECLARATION OF BRIAN ROSENBERG

I, Brian Rosenberg, declare as follows:

1. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein, except as to those stated on information and belief and, as to those, I am informed and believe them to be true. If called as a witness, I could and would competently testify to the matters stated therein.

2. I am a Deputy District Attorney for the Los Angeles District Attorney's (LADA) Office and work at the Alhambra Branch Office ("Alhambra Office").

3. I was initially the handling prosecutor in the criminal case, *People v. Arrogant Hollywood* (Case No. GA114055). This criminal case was resolved on October 17, 2023 as Mr. Hollywood pleaded no contest to the charge of Penal Code section 422 and was placed on formal probation.

4. During the course of that prosecution, Arrogant Hollywood regularly harassed and threatened me. He threatened to sue me in open court numerous times, called me racist, and harassed me with numerous phone calls on my work line. In addition, he threatened to find my family and sue them in every state he could in order to make me pay for prosecuting him. It came to my attention that he made a similar threat to a previously assigned Deputy District Attorney and followed through by finding her elderly father and sending her that information.

5. Mr. Hollywood sent me an email on November 23, 2023, wherein he threatened to send me to prison for prosecuting him. This email communication was received almost immediately upon his release from custody.

6. Due to Mr. Hollywood's harassing behavior, a court issued a Civil Harassment Restraining Order (hereinafter "Rosenberg Restraining Order"), effective until December 2, 2026, against Arrogant Hollywood preventing him from harassing, intimidating, stalking, threatening, assaulting, or disturbing my peace. The Rosenberg Restraining Order also restricts Arrogant Hollywood from directly or indirectly contacting me, and orders him to stay at least 100 yards away from me. A true and correct copy of the Rosenberg Restraining Order is attached hereto as **Exhibit 1.**

7. Mr. Hollywood's harassing conduct and failure to abide by the Civil Restraining Order continues. On March 20, 2025, Arrogant Hollywood came to the front reception area of the Alhambra Office, where Mr. Hollywood knows I physically work. Luckily, I was not present at the Alhambra Office at the time that Mr. Hollywood came in. Mr. Hollywood was told that the Alhambra Office could not accept the documents he was providing them, which resulted in Mr. Hollywood acting aggressively and forcing documents through the glass window to the front desk. Mr. Hollywood caused a disturbance and was even heard threatening to sue me for taking 12 months of his life.

8. On April 17, 2025, Mr. Hollywood entered the Alhambra Office again in another attempt to improperly drop off documents. I was present at the Alhambra Branch Office on that day, and I unexpectedly saw Mr. Hollywood outside the Office. Out of concern for my safety, I rushed back into the office to avoid any contact with him. Due to the March 20, 2025 disturbance, and Mr. Hollywood's aggressive and harassing past conduct with the LADA's Office, the Alhambra Office went on "lock down," locking all the doors and keeping all employees inside the office while Mr. Hollywood was in the building. Law enforcement subsequently escorted the employees to their vehicles.

9. I am aware of the multiple emails that Arrogant Hollywood sent to numerous deputy district attorneys in the past months, whereby Mr. Hollywood continues his harassing behavior by calling me racist and threatening litigation against me and my colleagues. In an email dated May 8, 2025, Mr. Hollywood addressed his comments directly to District Attorney Nathan Hochman and the judge assigned to his current criminal case and expressed his desire for Mr. Hochman and all of his deputy district attorneys to die a slow miserable death for prosecuting him. This is in addition to utilizing the same angry verbiage in describing the LADA's Office as racist and corrupt.

10. Arrogant Hollywood continues to avoid complying with the specific terms of the Rosenberg Restraining Order by claiming he is filing or serving documents in a criminal case. But there is no reason for him to be present at the LADA's Alhambra Branch Office because the criminal case has resolved, and there are other methods for him to submit any pleadings relating to

his criminal case. I am greatly concerned for my safety and the escalation of Mr. Hollywood's harassing behavior, especially considering the filing of a new Misdemeanor Complaint. I believe that another restraining order that specifically restricts Mr. Hollywood from entering the Alhambra Office and from communicating with anyone at the LADA's office with threats against me is necessary to prevent further escalation of the situation.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on May 27, 2025, at Alhambra, California.



BRIAN ROSENBERG

Declaration of Cynthia Nakao

DECLARATION OF CYNTHIA NAKAO

I, Cynthia Nakao, declare as follows:

1. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein, except as to those stated on information and belief and, as to those, I am informed and believe them to be true. If called as a witness, I could and would competently testify to the matters stated therein.

2. I am a Deputy District Attorney for the Los Angeles District Attorney's ("LADA") Office and am the Head Deputy for the Alhambra Office of the LADA's Office ("Alhambra Office").

3. On or about December 20, 2023, a court issued a Civil Harassment Restraining Order ("Rosenberg Restraining Order"), effective until December 2, 2026, against Arrogant Hollywood, which prevented him from harassing, intimidating, stalking, threatening, assaulting, or disturbing the peace of Deputy District Attorney Brian Rosenberg. Mr. Rosenberg continues to work at the Alhambra Office. The Civil Harassment Restraining Order also restricts Mr. Hollywood from directly or indirectly contacting Mr. Rosenberg, and orders him to stay at least 100 yards away from Mr. Rosenberg. A true and correct copy of the Rosenberg Restraining Order is attached hereto as **Exhibit 1.**

4. Despite the issuance of the Rosenberg Restraining Order, on February 7, 2025, Mr. Hollywood came to the Alhambra Office, where Mr. Hollywood is aware that Mr. Rosenberg works. Luckily, Mr. Rosenberg was not at the Alhambra Office at the time that Mr. Hollywood came in. Mr. Hollywood approached the front desk to file documents. The front desk advised Mr. Hollywood that he cannot file documents at the Alhambra Office. Mr. Hollywood refused to listen and while armed with scissors, he became aggressive, belligerent and argumentative with the staff. He expressed his anger and frustration that the staff could not file his court documents, and he aggressively shoved paperwork through the mail slot of the reception window. During this incident, Mr. Hollywood threatened to sue Mr. Rosenberg because "he took twelve months of my life." As a result of Mr. Hollywood's harassing and disturbing conduct, law enforcement was called.

5. On March 20, 2025, Mr. Hollywood presented at the Hall of Justice, in an attempt to serve District Attorney Nathan Hochman. Mr. Hollywood caused such a disturbance that security at the Hall of Justice prevented him entry into the building and was forced to lock the public entrance into the Hall of Justice in an effort to further protect the safety of the individuals inside the building.

6. On April 8, 2025, Arrogant Hollywood sent two emails to me and multiple other LADA employees. In one of the emails, he calls the prosecutors "Scumbags," calls Mr. Rosenberg a racist and threatens filing a lawsuit. A true and correct copy of the April 9, 2025 emails is attached hereto as **Exhibit 2.**

7. On April 17, 2025, Mr. Hollywood entered the LADA's Alhambra Branch Office again. Mr. Rosenberg saw Mr. Hollywood outside the Alhambra Branch Office and hurried into the office to avoid an altercation with Mr. Hollywood. Due to concern for Mr. Rosenberg and other employees' safety, the Alhambra Police Department was notified, and I instructed all employees to remain in the office with the door locked. Mr. Hollywood attempted to enter the office, but the door was locked. Despite the Rosenberg Restraining Order, Mr. Hollywood still pushed legal documents under the door. I requested law enforcement to escort the employees to their vehicles and sent them home.

8. In addition to harassing Mr. Rosenberg, Mr. Hollywood harassed Mr. Guzman Sanchez, who was an expert witness that testified in Mr. Hollywood's prior criminal case. On April 19, 2025, Mr. Guzman Sanchez emailed me a declaration, which contained harassing comments by Arrogant Hollywood against Mr. Guzman Sanchez. A true and correct copy of the Declaration emailed to me is attached hereto as **Exhibit 3**.

9. On April 17, 2025, the Alhambra Police Department arrested Mr. Hollywood. The Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office filed a Misdemeanor Complaint against Arrogant Hollywood for Trespass, Refusing to Leave Private Property and for Violation of a Court Order. The Misdemeanor Complaint was filed in Downtown Los Angeles, thereby requiring Mr. Hollywood to appear in court in Downtown Los Angeles and not Alhambra. A true and correct copy of the Complaint and the Alhambra Police Report is attached hereto as **Exhibit 4.**

10. On April 21, 2025, the Court issued a Criminal Protective Order against Mr. Hollywood. The Criminal Protective Order specifically provides that Arrogant Hollywood must not "harass. . . threaten.. . stalk . . . disturb the peace of" . . . Mr. Rosenberg. It also provides that Mr. Hollywood is to stay at least 100 yards away from Mr. Rosenberg and his workplace," which is the Alhambra Office. A true and correct copy of the Criminal Protective Order and the Transcript from the hearing is attached hereto as **Exhibit 5**.

11. On May 7, 2025, Arrogant Hollywood sent me and multiple other deputy district attorneys two emails whereby he identified Mr. Rosenberg as an "unethical, biased, delusional, mentally ill and corrupt" prosecutor and accused him of falsifying evidence. He also threatened Mr. Rosenberg with a lawsuit and a State Bar report. A true and correct copy of the May 7 emails is attached hereto as **Exhibit 6**.

12. On May 7, 2025, a Court ruled that Mr. Hollywood violated its Criminal Protective Order revoked bail, and issued a bench warrant. A true and correct copy of the Minute Order is attached hereto as **Exhibit 7**.

13. On May 8, 2025, Mr. Hollywood sent me and multiple other LADA employees another email whereby he threatens to sue prosecutors, which would include Mr. Rosenberg, and wishes them a slow and painful death from cancer. His email threatens to disclose where each of them and their families live and work. A true and correct copy of the May 8 email is attached hereto as **Exhibit 8.**

14. On May 10, 2025, Mr. Hollywood sent me and multiple other LADA employees three additional emails with additional threats against the handling prosecutor on his misdemeanor complaint. A true and correct copy of the May 10 emails is attached hereto as **Exhibit 9**.

15. On May 12, 2025, Mr. Hollywood sent another email to me and multiple other LADA employees. In this email, Mr. Hollywood again threatens prosecutors with litigation and finding out where they all live. A true and correct copy of the May 12 email is attached hereto as **Exhibit 10.**

16. There is no valid reason for Mr. Hollywood to be present at the LADA's Alhambra Branch Office because the criminal case in Alhambra has resolved. If Mr. Hollywood insists on

filing or serving additional pleadings in the Alhambra case, he can use a third party to file and/or serve his papers. In addition, he can appear to any hearing via Webex. There are currently no hearings scheduled in the Alhambra case.

17. On May 12, 2025, a Court held a Bench Warrant Hearing and found Mr. Hollywood to be in violation of his own recognizance conditions. Furthermore, Mr. Hollywood's pro per status was revoked, and the Court appointed him a public defender. A true and correct copy of the May 12 Minute Order is attached hereto as **Exhibit 11.**

18. As evidenced by his disruptive visits to the Alhambra Office and the harassing emails about Mr. Rosenberg to other deputy district attorneys, Mr. Hollywood's harassing conduct against Mr. Rosenberg continues. With the filing of the new misdemeanor Complaint, I am concerned that his harassing and threatening conduct against Mr. Rosenberg may escalate and therefore support an additional restraining order on behalf of Mr. Rosenberg that restricts Mr. Hollywood from entering the Alhambra Branch Office and for communicating with anyone at the LADA's Office with threats about Mr. Rosenberg.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on June 3, 2025, at Alhambra, California.

Cynthia Nakao
Head Deputy District Attorney

Exhibit 1

County of Los Angele vs. Hollywood, A.

CH-130 Civil Harassment Restraining Order After Hearing

Clerk stamps date here when form is filed.

FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles

DEC 20 2023

David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court
By: J. Guerrero, Deputy

Person in (1) must complete items (1), (2), and (3) only.

(1) Protected Person

a. Your Full Name: Brian Matt Rosenberg

Your Lawyer *(if you have one for this case)*
Name: _____ State Bar No.: _____
Firm Name: _____

b. Your Address *(If you have a lawyer, give your lawyer's information. If you do not have a lawyer and want to keep your home address private, you may give a different mailing address instead. You do not have to give telephone, fax, or email.)*
Address: 150 W Commonwealth Ave
City: Alhambra State: CA Zip: 91801
Telephone: 626 308 5437 Fax: _____
Email Address: brosenberg@da.lacounty.gov

Fill in court name and street address:

Superior Court of California, County of
LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT
NORTHEAST DISTRICT - PASADENA COURTHOUSE
300 EAST WALNUT STREET
PASADENA, CALIFORNIA 91101-1580

Court fills in case number when form is filed.

Case Number: 23PDR001743

(2) Restrained Person

(Give all the information you know. Information with a star (*) is required to add this order to the California police database. If age is unknown, give an estimate.)

*Full Name: Arogant Hollywood *Age: 44 Date of Birth: 12/9/78
*Race: Black Height: _____ Weight: _____ Hair Color: BLK Eye Color: BRO
*Gender: ☒ M ☐ F ☐ Nonbinary Home Address: Transient
City: _____ State: CA Zip: _____
Relationship to Protected Person: Defendant on case I Prosecuted

(3) ☐ Additional Protected Persons

In addition to the person named in (1), the following family or household members of that person are protected by the orders indicated below:

Full Name	Gender	Age	Lives with you?	How are they related to you?
			☐ Yes ☐ No	
			☐ Yes ☐ No	
			☐ Yes ☐ No	
			☐ Yes ☐ No	

☐ *Check here if there are additional persons. List them on an attached sheet of paper and write "Attachment 3—Additional Protected Persons" as a title. You may use form MC-025,* Attachment.

(4) Expiration Date

This Order, except for any award of lawyer's fees, expires at

Time: _____ ☐ a.m. ☐ p.m. ☒ midnight on *(date)*: 12/20/26

If no expiration date is written here, this Order expires three years from the date of issuance.

This is a Court Order.

Case Number: 23PUR001713

(5) Hearing

a. There was a hearing on *(date)*: 12/20/23 at *(time)*: 8:30Am in Dept.: S Room: _____
(Name of judicial officer): TIMOTHY MARTELLA made the orders at the hearing.

b. These people were at the hearing:

(1) ☑ The person in (1). (3) ☐ The lawyer for the person in (1) *(name)*: _____

(2) ☐ The person in (2). (4) ☐ The lawyer for the person in (2) *(name)*: _____

☐ Additional persons present are listed at the end of this Order on Attachment 5.

c. ☐ The hearing is continued. The parties must return to court on *(date)*: _____ at *(time)*: _____.

To the Person in (2):

The court has granted the orders checked below. If you do not obey these orders, you can be arrested and charged with a crime. You may be sent to jail for up to one year, pay a fine of up to $1,000, or both.

(6) ☑ Personal Conduct Orders

a. You must **not** do the following things to the person named in (1)

☐ and to the other protected persons listed in (3):

(1) ☑ Harass, intimidate, molest, attack, strike, stalk, threaten, assault (sexually or otherwise), hit, abuse, destroy personal property of, or disturb the peace of the person.

(2) ☑ Contact the person, either directly or indirectly, in **any** way, including, but not limited to, in person, by telephone, in writing, by public or private mail, by interoffice mail, by email, by text message, by fax, or by other electronic means.

(3) ☑ Take any action to obtain the person's address or location. If this item (3) is not checked, the court has found good cause not to make this order.

(4) ☐ Other *(specify)*:

☐ Other personal conduct orders are attached at the end of this Order on Attachment 6a(4).

b. Peaceful written contact through a lawyer or process server or other person for service of legal papers related to a court case is allowed and does not violate this Order.

(7) ☑ Stay-Away Orders

a. You **must** stay at least 100 yards away from *(check all that apply)*:

(1) ☑ The person in (1).

(2) ☐ Each person in (3).

(3) ☑ The home of the person in (1).

(4) ☐ The job or workplace of the person in (1).

(5) ☐ The school of the person in (1).

(6) ☐ The school of the children of the person in (1).

(7) ☐ The place of child care of the children of the person in (1).

(8) ☑ The vehicle of the person in (1).

(9) ☐ Other *(specify)*:

b. This stay-away order does not prevent you from going to or from your home or place of employment.

This is a Court Order.

(8) No Firearms (Guns), Firearm Parts, or Ammunition

a. You cannot own, possess, have, buy or try to buy, receive or try to receive, or in any other way get any prohibited items listed below in b.

b. **Prohibited items are:**

(1) Firearms (guns),

(2) Firearm parts, meaning receivers and frames, or any item that may be used as or easily turned into a receiver or frame (see Penal Code section 16531); and

(3) Ammunition.

c. If you have not already done so, you must:

- Within 24 hours of being served with this Order, sell to or store with a licensed gun dealer, or turn in to a law enforcement agency, any firearms (guns) and firearm parts in your custody or control or that you possess or own.
- File a receipt with the court within 48 hours of receiving this Order that proves that your firearms (guns) and firearm parts have been turned in, sold, or stored. (You may use *Receipt for Firearms and Firearm Parts* (form CH-800) for the receipt.)

d. ☐ The court has received information that you own or possess a firearm (gun), firearm parts, or ammunition.

e. ☐ The court has made the necessary findings and applies the firearm relinquishment exemption under Code of Civil Procedure section 527.9(f). Under California law, the person in (2) is not required to relinquish this firearm *(specify make, model, and serial number of firearm(s))*: _____

_____

The firearm must be in his or her physical possession only during scheduled work hours and during travel to and from his or her place of employment. Even if exempt under California law, the person in (2) may be subject to federal prosecution for possessing or controlling a firearm.

(9) ☐ Lawyer's Fees and Costs

The person in ___ must pay to the person in ___ the following amounts for

☐ lawyer's fees ☐ costs:

Item	Amount	Item	Amount
_____	$ _____	_____	$ _____
_____	$ _____	_____	$ _____

☐ Additional items and amounts are attached at the end of this Order on Attachment 9.

(10) ☐ Possession and Protection of Animals

a. ☐ The person in (1) is given the sole possession, care, and control of the animals listed below, which are owned, possessed, leased, kept, or held by him or her, or reside in his or her household.
(Identify animals by, e.g., type, breed, name, color, sex.)

_____

_____

b. ☐ The person in (2) must stay at least _____ yards away from, and not take, sell, transfer, encumber, conceal, molest, attack, strike, threaten, harm, or otherwise dispose of, the animals listed above.

This is a Court Order.

Case Number: 23PDR001713

(11) ☐ **Other Orders** *(specify)*:

☐ Additional orders are attached at the end of this Order on Attachment 11.

To the Person in (1):

(12) **Mandatory Entry of Order Into CARPOS Through CLETS**

This Order must be entered into the California Restraining and Protective Order System (CARPOS) through the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS). *(Check one)*:

a. ☒ The clerk will enter this Order and its proof-of-service form into CARPOS.

b. ☐ The clerk will transmit this Order and its proof-of-service form to a law enforcement agency to be entered into CARPOS.

c. ☐ By the close of business on the date that this Order is made, the person in (1) or his or her lawyer should deliver a copy of the Order and its proof-of-service form to the law enforcement agency listed below to enter into CARPOS:

Name of Law Enforcement Agency	Address *(City, State, Zip)*

☐ Additional law enforcement agencies are listed at the end of this Order on Attachment 12.

(13) **Service of Order on Restrained Person**

a. ☐ The person in (2) personally attended the hearing. No other proof of service is needed.

b. ☒ The person in (2) did not attend the hearing.

(1) ☒ Proof of service of form CH-110, *Temporary Restraining Order*, was presented to the court. The judge's orders in this form are the same as in form CH-110 except for the expiration date. The person in (2) must be served with this Order. Service may be by mail.

(2) ☐ The judge's orders in this form are different from the temporary restraining orders in form CH-110. Someone—but not anyone in (1) or (3)—must personally serve a copy of this Order on the person in (2).

(14) ☒ **No Fee to Serve (Notify) Restrained Person**

The sheriff or marshal will serve this Order without charge because:

a. ☒ The Order is based on unlawful violence, a credible threat of violence, or stalking.

b. ☐ The person in (1) is entitled to a fee waiver.

(15) Number of pages attached to this Order, if any: _____

Date: DEC 20 2023

Judicial Officer
YVONNE MARTINEZ

This is a Court Order.

Warning and Notice to the Restrained Person in (2):

You Cannot Have Firearms (Guns), Firearm Parts, or Ammunition

Unless item 8e is checked, you cannot own, have, possess, buy or try to buy, receive or try to receive, or otherwise get any prohibited items listed in item 8b on page 3 while this Order is in effect. If you do, you can go to jail and pay a $1,000 fine. You must sell to or store with a licensed gun dealer, or turn in to a law enforcement agency, any firearms (guns) and firearm parts that you have or control as stated in item (8) above. The court will require you to prove that you did so.

Instructions for Law Enforcement

Enforcing the Restraining Order

This Order is enforceable by any law enforcement agency that has received the Order, is shown a copy of the Order, or has verified its existence on the California Restraining and Protective Order System (CARPOS). If the law enforcement agency has not received proof of service on the restrained person, and the restrained person was not present at the court hearing, the agency must advise the restrained person of the terms of the Order and then must enforce it. Violations of this Order are subject to criminal penalties.

Start Date and End Date of Orders

This Order *starts* on the date next to the judge's signature on page 4 and *ends* on the expiration date in item (4) on page 1.

Arrest Required If Order Is Violated

If an officer has probable cause to believe that the restrained person had notice of the order and has disobeyed it, the officer must arrest the restrained person. (Pen. Code, §§ 836(c)(1), 13701(b).) A violation of the order may be a violation of Penal Code section 166 or 273.6. Agencies are encouraged to enter violation messages into CARPOS.

Notice/Proof of Service

The law enforcement agency must first determine if the restrained person had notice of the order. Consider the restrained person "served" (given notice) if (Pen. Code, § 836(c)(2)):

- The officer sees a copy of the *Proof of Service* or confirms that the *Proof of Service* is on file; *or*
- The restrained person was at the restraining order hearing or was informed of the order by an officer.

An officer can obtain information about the contents of the order and proof of service in CARPOS. If proof of service on the restrained person cannot be verified and the restrained person was not present at the court hearing, the agency must advise the restrained person of the terms of the order and then enforce it.

If the Protected Person Contacts the Restrained Person

Even if the protected person invites or consents to contact with the restrained person, this Order remains in effect and must be enforced. The protected person cannot be arrested for inviting or consenting to contact with the restrained person. The orders can be changed only by another court order. (Pen. Code, § 13710(b).)

This is a Court Order.

Case Number: 25PH01017

Conflicting Orders—Priorities for Enforcement

If more than one restraining order has been issued protecting the protected person from the restrained person, the orders must be enforced in the following priority (see Pen. Code, § 136.2 and Fam. Code, §§ 6383(h)(2), 6405(b)):

1. *Emergency Protective Order (EPO):* If one of the orders is an *Emergency Protective Order* (form EPO-001), provisions (e.g., stay-away order) that are more restrictive than in the other restraining/protective orders must be enforced. Provisions of another order that do not conflict with the EPO must be enforced.
2. *No-Contact Order:* If a restraining/protective order includes a no-contact order, the no-contact order must be enforced. Item 6a(2) is an example of a no-contact order.
3. *Criminal Protective Order (CPO):* If none of the orders include an EPO or a no-contact order, the most recent CPO must be enforced. (Fam. Code, §§ 6383(h)(2) and 6405(b).) Additionally, a CPO issued in a criminal case involving charges of domestic violence, Penal Code sections 261, 261.5, or former 262, or charges requiring sex offender registration must be enforced over any civil court order. (Pen. Code, § 136.2(e)(2).) All provisions in the civil court order that do not conflict with the CPO must be enforced.
4. *Civil Restraining Orders:* If there is more than one civil restraining order (e.g., domestic violence, juvenile, elder abuse, civil harassment), then the order that was issued last must be enforced. Provisions that do not conflict with the most recent civil restraining order must be enforced.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

(Clerk will fill out this part.)

—Clerk's Certificate—

I certify that this *Civil Harassment Restraining Order After Hearing* is a true and correct copy of the original on file in the court.

Date: APR 15 2025 Clerk, by A. SANCHEZ, Deputy David W. Slayton

This is a Court Order.

Exhibit 2

[redacted]

From: Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 8, 2025 8:49 PM
To: Vaenile-rocha@da.lacounty.gov; Daniel Felizzatto <dfelizzatto@da.lacounty.gov>; ttokat@da.lacounty.gov; Michele Hanisee <MHanisee@da.lacounty.gov>; Steven Katz <skatz@da.lacounty.gov>; Jbrooke-castano@da.lacounty.gov; Jodi Castano <jcastano@da.lacounty.gov>; William Frayeh <wfrayeh@da.lacounty.gov>; Jessica Foster <JFoster@da.lacounty.gov>; garrison@da.lacounty.gov; Jessica Tilson <jtilson@da.lacounty.gov>; Mariaramirez65@hotmail.com; Mayra Ramirez <MRamirez@da.lacounty.gov>; Irene Lee <ILee@da.lacounty.gov>; Chris.buckley@uci.edu; Christina F. Buckley <CBuckley@da.lacounty.gov>; Stranik6576@aol.com; sfranckland@da.lacounty.gov; Julianne Walker <jwalker@da.lacounty.gov>; Christi Frey <CFrey@da.lacounty.gov>; Jonlyn Callahan <JCallahan@da.lacounty.gov>; vrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov; Yael Massry <YMassry@da.lacounty.gov>; John McKinney <McKinney@da.lacounty.gov>; jmckinney@da.lacounty.gov; Hoon Chun <HChun@da.lacounty.gov>; Margo Baxter <MBaxter@da.lacounty.gov>; Priscilla Musso <PMusso@da.lacounty.gov>; Kathy Cady <KCady@da.lacounty.gov>; Julie Dixon Silva <jdsilva@da.lacounty.gov>; Arisa Mattson <amattson@da.lacounty.gov>; akarsanen@fa.lacounty.gov; Christopher Baker <ChrisBaker@da.lacounty.gov>; Cynthia Nakao <CNakao@da.lacounty.gov>; Janis Johnson <JJohnson@da.lacounty.gov>; kuyer@da.lacounty.gov; pkouch@da.lacounty.gov; M J. Vellakkatel <mvellakk@da.lacounty.gov>; Samuel Hulefeld <shulefeld@da.lacounty.gov>; Marc Beaart <MBeaart@da.lacounty.gov>; bjoedd@dalacounty.gov; Martha Carrillo <MCarrillo@da.lacounty.gov>; Lori Dery <ldery@da.lacounty.gov>; Antonio Aguilar <AAguilar@da.lacounty.gov>; Heather Borden <hborden@da.lacounty.gov>; Alan Yochelson <AYochelson@da.lacounty.gov>; Ann Marie Wise <AWise@da.lacounty.gov>; Gregory Apt <gapt@da.lacounty.gov>; Lee Orquiola <LOrquiola@da.lacounty.gov>; rsleeter@axon.com, isaiah@axon.com; vbremer@axon.com; vanessawirth@live.com, cbrooks@axon.com, jkumins@axon.com; swhigham@axon.com; jroop@axon.com; jmak@axon.com; jmak_9@yahoo.com; ahanif@axon.com; bchapman@axon.com; yakisanya@axon.com, aakisanya@gmail.com; bmiller@axon.com, ebyers@axon.com; gmellon@axon.com; gabrielle_k_rogers@hotmail.com; jibarra@axon.com; calcorn@axon.com; pvang@axon.com, brubke@axon.com; mgreene@axon.com; mbigentertain@yahoo.com; sonicgroove21@yahoo.com, ghollowell@gmail.com; garnreiter@aol.com; ganreiter@msn.com; hgarnreiter@cox.net; garnreiter@icloud.com; michaelgarnreiter111@gmail.com; garnreiter@prodigy.com; garnreiter@msn.com; garnreiter@att.net; serge.thiboutot@axon-id.com; efleischli@axon.com, hbao@axon.com; hoangb@gmail.com; jmazzeo@axon.com; jackmazzeo@yahoo.com;

rwynn@axon.com; mische@axon.com; marcische@gmail.com; mshrago@axon.com; rmontgomery@axon.com; ecanel@axon.com; bglaister@axon.com, brian.glaister@gmail.com; pkirschbaum@axon.com; jbrande@taser.com; kshen@axon.com; hkuhl@axon.com; mvanantwerp@axon.com; aghanaie@axon.com; ssingh@axon.com; shashank.dreams@gmail.com; zji@axon.com; jizhengp@gmail.com; spark@axon.com; rick@axon.com; bbagley@axon.com; cdevaraj@axon.com; hsingh@axon.com; lkelley@axon.com; secondistrict@bos.la.county.gov; Holly4Assembly@gmail.com; hjmitchel@aol.com; jmerchan@wdacs.lacounty.gov; info@wdacs.lacounty.gov; Arogant Hollywood <arogantmusicinc@gmail.com>; Alison Fairchild <fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com>; Arogant Hollywood <causeofaction43@gmail.com>; blacklawgenius@gmail.com; Alison Fairchild <alisonhfairchild@gmail.com>; Robert Luna <rluna@lasd.org>; rluna@lacounty.gov; atardy@lacounty.org; jtorres@lasd.org; saloma@lacounty.org; llecrivain@lasd.org; bchase@lacounty.gov; rlewis@lacounty.gov; llecrivain@lacounty.org; jmeza@lasd.org; ned.harlan@doj.ca.gov; nishdeep.singh@doj.ca.gov; robert.bonta@doj.ca.gov; OPSChiefsOffice@doj.ca.gov; peter.halloran@doj.ca.gov; amyhhalloran@yahoo.com; peterhalloran@sbcglobal.net; alisha.carlile@doj.ca.gov; snpdragn@aol.com; acarlile@alum.wellesley.edu; concertina9@yahoo.com; alishastephen@yahoo.com.au; alehr17@u.washington.edu; girlygirl8384@aol.com; akeened@yahoo.com; lish34@hotmail.com; piccbodin@hotmail.com; lachapell_a@yahoo.com; alishaoku@yahoo.com; alisha.mar@gmail.com; alisha.marks@rogers.com; vincent.bonotto@doj.ca.gov; vbonotto@hotmail.com; glenn.pruden@doj.ca.gov; eyeiess77@hotmail.com; daniel.olivas@doj.ca.gov; giam.nguyen@doj.ca.gov; chad.stegeman@doj.ca.gov; stephen.lew@doj.ca.gov; christopher.findley@doj.ca.gov; dennis.beck@doj.ca.gov; darrell.spence@doj.ca.gov; maggy.krell@doj.ca.gov; Elaine.tong@doj.ca.gov; hannah.calacsan@doj.ca.gov; mo.park@doj.ca.gov; dbuthler@lasuperiorcourt.org; dslayton@lasuperiorcourt.org; sclover@lasuperiorcourt.org; jmoses@lasuperiorcourt.org; ppenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org; tpenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org; chase.penrose@gmail.com; mackenzienicole@gmail.com; trishpenrose@att.net; secondistrict@bos.lacounty.gov; roganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com; Kamala.harris@whitehouse.gov; leah.wilson@calbar.ca.gov; leah.wilson50@yahoo.com; elizabeth.hom@calbar.ca.gov; gina.crawford@calbar.ca.gov; rita.himes@calbar.ca.gov; esteinbach@aclusocal.org; manuel.alvarez@post.ca.gov; jim.grottkau@post.ca.gov; pgrottkau@yahoo.com; jamesgrottkau@aol.com; janie.grottkau@yahoo.com; annemarie.mugnaio@post.ca.gov; annemariedelmugnaio@gmail.com; chaseindixon@aol.com; obugrik@aol.com; sonya.baland@post.ca.gov; sonyar@comcast.net; cheryl.smith@post.ca.gov; colin.okeefe@post.ca.gov; cokeefe@post.ca.gov; dulce_cakes4u@yahoo.com; ulce_cakes4u@aol.com; gspinger5@yahoo.com; cokeefe@ci.davis.ca.us; colin.o'keefe530@verizon.net; co'keefe1514@bellsouth.net; sarah.wallace@post.ca.gov; william.darden@post.ca.gov; william.darden@doj.ca.gov; rpthjrcy@uojsdp.edu; williamtdarden@gmail.com; William.darden@urs.com; carrie.hollar@post.ca.gov; carrie.berg82@gmail.com; cberg@solanocounty.com; carrie.hollar@aol.com; erik.apperson@post.ca.gov; lfey8808@gmail.com; wifey8808@gmail.com; erikandannaapperson@hotmail.com; onecubsfan@hotmail.com; egrundman@hotmail.com; todders@hotmail.com; erikapperson@hotmail.com; erik.apperson@yahoo.com; sb2@post.ca.gov; christine.ford@post.ca.gov; michelle.weiler@post.ca.gov; wildweilers@msn.com; cateweiler@msn.com; meagan.poulos@post.ca.gov; rosanne.richeal@post.ca.gov;


thomas.chalk@post.ca.gov; katie.strickland@post.ca.gov; kirk.bunch@post.ca.gov; brad.newmyer@post.ca.gov; mike.radford@post.ca.gov; brian.south@post.ca.gov; goodwin.liu@jud.ca.gov; tani.cantil-sakauye@jud.ca.gov; joshua.groban@jud.ca.gov; martin.jenkins@jud.ca.gov; patricia.guerrero@jud.ca.gov; carol.corrigan@jud.ca.gov; leondra.kruger@jud.ca.gov; kelli.evans@jud.ca.gov; First District <firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>; Cindy <cchen@bos.lacounty.gov>; secondistrict@bos.lawcounty.gov; hmitchell@yahoo.com; karnbass@cs.com; tumberg@mofo.com; holly@hollyjmitchell.com; rfleming-peters@counsel.lacounty.gov; Margaret Carter <mcarter@counsel.lacounty.gov>; njenkins@lacounty.gov; njenkins@counsel.lacounty.gov; jgonzalez@counsel.lacounty.gov; lowt@metro.net; Tlow77@gmail.com; ryanthurlow@yahoo.com; Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>; Nathan J. Hochman <NHochman@da.lacounty.gov>
Subject: [External]Fwd: KING AROGANT v. nathan joseph hochman, et al [ANOTHER WARNING TO COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES EMPLOYEES, PEACE OFFICERS, EXECUTIVES, AND ATTORNEYS TO CEASE AND DESIST MALICIOUSLY PROSECUTING FRIVOLOUS CRIMINAL CASE GA114055, ATTACHED MOTION TO ...

---------- Forwarded message ---------
From: **Mail Delivery Subsystem** <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com>
Date: Tue, Apr 8, 2025 at 8:47 PM
Subject: Re: KING AROGANT v. nathan joseph hochman, et al [ANOTHER WARNING TO COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES EMPLOYEES, PEACE OFFICERS, EXECUTIVES, AND ATTORNEYS TO CEASE AND DESIST MALICIOUSLY PROSECUTING FRIVOLOUS CRIMINAL CASE GA114055, ATTACHED MOTION TO DEMAND DISCOVERY, 162 PAGES
To: Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>

You have attempted to send mail to too many recipients at once. Your message was not sent.

KING AROGANT v. nathan joseph hochman, et al. [ANOTHER WARNING TO COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES EMPLOYEES, PEACE OFFICERS, EXECUTIVES, AND ATTORNEYS TO CEASE AND DESIST MALICIOUSLY PROSECUTING FRIVOLOUS

CAUTION: This email originated outside of the County (lacounty.gov domain). Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and expect the message.



From: Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 8, 2025 8:55 PM
To: Nathan J. Hochman <NHochman@da.lacounty.gov>; Vaerie Rocha@da.lacounty.gov; Daniel Felizzatto <dfelizzatto@da.lacounty.gov>; ttokat@da.lacounty.gov; Michele Hanisee <MHanisee@da.lacounty.gov>; Steven Katz <skatz@da.lacounty.gov>; jbrooke castano@da.lacounty.gov; Jodi Castano <jcastano@da.lacounty.gov>; William Frayen <wfrayen@da.lacounty.gov>; Jessica Foster <jFoster@da.lacounty.gov>; jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov; Jessica Tilison <jtilison@da.lacounty.gov>; Mariaramirez65@hotmail.com; Mayra Ramirez <MRamirez@da.lacounty.gov>; rene Lee <rLee@da.lacounty.gov>; Cris buckley@ucl.edu; Christina F. Buckley <cBuckley@da.lacounty.gov>; Sfrank6576@aol.com; strankland@da.lacounty.gov; Julianne Walker <jwalker@da.lacounty.gov>; Christ. Frey <CFrey@da.lacounty.gov>; Jonilyn Callahan <JCallahan@da.lacounty.gov>; vrodriguez@dalacounty.gov; Yae. Massry <YMassry@da.lacounty.gov>; John McKinney <McKinney@da.lacounty.gov>, jmckinney@da.lacounty.gov; Hoon Chun <HChun@da.lacounty.gov>; Margo Baxter <MBaxter@da.lacounty.gov>; Priscilla Musso <PMusso@da.lacounty.gov>; Kathy Cady <kCady@da.lacounty.gov>; Julie Dixon Silva <jdsilva@da.lacounty.gov>; Anisa Mattson <amattson@da.lacounty.gov>; akarkaner@fa.lacounty.gov; Christopher Baker <ChrisBaker@da.lacounty.gov>; Cynthia Nakao <CNakao@da.lacounty.gov>; Janis Johnson <jjohnson@da.lacounty.gov>; kuyen@da.lacounty.gov; pkouch@da.alacounty.gov; Mi. Vellakkate <mvellakk@da.lacounty.gov>; Samuel Hulefeld <shulefeld@da.lacounty.gov>; Marc Beaart <MBeaart@da.lacounty.gov>; bjdodd@dalacounty.gov; Martha Carrillo <MCarrillo@da.lacounty.gov>; Lori Dery <ldery@da.lacounty.gov>; Antonio Aguilar <AAguilar@da.lacounty.gov>; Heather Borden <hborden@da.lacounty.gov>; Alan Yochelson <AYochelson@da.lacounty.gov>; Ann Marie Wise <AWise@da.lacounty.gov>; Gregory Apt <gapt@da.lacounty.gov>; Lee Orquiola <LOrquiola@da.lacounty.gov>; Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>
Subject: [External]Fwd: KING ARROGANT v. nathan joseph hochman, et al [ANOTHER WARNING TO COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES EMPLOYEES, PEACE OFFICERS, EXECUTIVES, AND ATTORNEYS TO CEASE AND DESIST MALICIOUSLY PROSECUTING FRIVOLOUS CRIMINAL CASE GA114055, ATTACHED MOTION TO ...

Some people who received this message don't often get email from aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com. Learn why this is important

SCUMBAGS!

--------- Forwarded message ---------

From: **Arogant Hollywood** <arogant hollywoodgenius@gmail.com>

Date: Tue, Apr 8, 2025 at 8:46 PM

Subject: KING AROGANT v. nathan joseph hochman, et al [ANOTHER WARNING TO COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES EMPLOYEES, PEACE OFFICERS, EXECUTIVES, AND ATTORNEYS TO CEASE AND DESIST MALICIOUSLY PROSECUTING FRIVOLOUS CRIMINAL CASE GA114055, ATTACHED MOTION TO DEMAND DISCOVERY, 162 PAGES

To: <nhochman@da.lacounty.gov>, <Vaenille-rocha@da.lacounty.gov>, <dfelizzatto@da.lacounty.gov>, <ttokat@da.cacounty.gov>, <mhanisee@da.lacounty.gov>, <skatz@da.lacounty.gov>, <Jbrooke-castano@da.lacounty.gov>, <jcastano@da.lacounty.gov>, <wfrayeh@da.lacounty.gov>, <jfoster@da.lacounty.gov>, <jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov>, <jtillson@da.lacounty.gov>, <Mariaramirez65@hotmail.com>, <mramirez@da.lacounty.gov>, <jlee@da.lacounty.gov>, <Cris.buckley@uci.edu>, <cbuckley@da.lacounty.gov>, <Sfrank6576@aol.com>, <sfrankland@da.lacounty.gov>, <jwalker@da.lacounty.gov>, <cfrey@da.lacounty.gov>, <jcallahan@da.lacounty.gov>, <vrodriguez@dalacounty.gov>, <ymassry@da.lacounty.gov>, <mckinney@da.lacounty.gov>, <jmckinney@da.lacounty.gov>, <hchun@da.lacounty.gov>, <mbaxter@da.lacounty.gov>, <pmusso@da.lacounty.gov>, <kcady@da.lacounty.gov>, <jdsilva@da.lacounty.gov>, <amattson@da.lacounty.gov>, <akarkanen@fa.lacounty.gov>, <chrisbaker@da.lacounty.gov>, <cnakao@da.lacounty.gov>, <jjohnson@da.lacounty.gov>, <kuyen@da.lacounty.gov>, <pkouch@da.alacounty.gov>, <mvellakk@da.lacounty.gov>, <shulefeld@da.lacounty.gov>, <mbeaart@da.lacounty.gov>, <bidodd@dalacounty.gov>, <mcarrillo@da.lacounty.gov>, <ldery@da.lacounty.gov>, <aaguilar@da.lacounty.gov>, <hborden@da.lacounty.gov>, <ayochelson@da.lacounty.gov>, <awise@da.lacounty.gov>, <gapt@da.lacounty.gov>, <lorquiola@da.lacounty.gov>, <rsleeter@axon.com>, <isaiah@axon.com>, <ybremer@axon.com>, <vanessawirth@live.com>, <cbrooks@axon.com>, <jkunins@axon.com>, <swhigham@axon.com>, <jroop@axon.com>, <jmak@axon.com>, <jmak_9@yahoo.com>, <ahanif@axon.com>, <bchapman@axon.com>, <yakisanya@axon.com>, <aakisanya@gmail.com>, <bmiller@axon.com>, <ebyers@axon.com>, <gmellon@axon.com>, <gabrielle_k_rogers@hotmail.com>, <jibarra@axon.com>, <calcorn@axon.com>, <pyang@axon.com>, <brubke@axon.com>, <mgreene@axon.com>, <mbgentertain@yahoo.com>, <sonicgroove21@yahoo.com>, <ghollowell@gmail.com>, <garnreiter@aol.com>, <ganreiter@msn.com>, <hgarnreiter@cox.net>, <garnreiter@icloud.com>, <michaelgarnreiter111@gmail.com>, <garnreiter@prodigy.com>, <garrreiter@msn.com>, <garnreiter@att.net>, <serge.thiboutot@axon-id.com>, <efleischli@axon.com>, <hbao@axon.com>, <hoangb@gmail.com>, <jmazzeo@axon.com>, <jackmazzeo@yahoo.com>, <rwynn@axon.com>, <mische@axon.com>, <marcische@gmail.com>, <mshrago@axon.com>, <rmontgomery@axon.com>, <ecanel@axon.com>, <bglaister@axon.com>, <brian.glaister@gmail.com>, <pkirschbaum@axon.com>, <jbrande@taser.com>, <kshen@axon.com>, <hkuhl@axon.com>, <mvanantwerp@axon.com>, <aghanaie@axon.com>, <ssingh@axon.com>, <shashank.dreams@gmail.com>, <zji@axon.com>, <jizhengp@gmail.com>, <spark@axon.com>, <rick@axon.com>, <bbagley@axon.com>, <cdevaraj@axon.com>, <hsingh@axon.com>, <lkelley@axon.com>, <seconddistrict@bos.la.county.gov>, Holly4Assembly@gmail.com <Holly4Assembly@gmail.com>, <hjmitchel@aol.com>,

<jmerchan@wdacs.lacounty.gov>, <info@wdacs.lacounty.gov>, Arogant Hollywood <arogantmusicinc@gmail.com>, Alison Fairchild <fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com>, Arogant Hollywood <causeofaction43@gmail.com>, <blacklawgenius@gmail.com>, Alison Fairchild <alisonhfairchild@gmail.com>, Robert Luna <rluna@lasd.org>, <rluna@lacounty.gov>, <atardy@lacounty.org>, <jtorres@lasd.org>, <saloma@lacounty.org>, <llecrivain@lasd.org>, <bchase@lacounty.gov>, <rlewis@lacounty.gov>, <llecrivain@lacounty.org>, <jmeza@lasd.org>, <ned.harlan@doj.ca.gov>, <nishdeep.singh@doj.ca.gov>, <robert.bonta@doj.ca.gov>, <OPSChiefsOffice@doj.ca.gov>, <peter.halloran@doj.ca.gov>, <amyhhalloran@yahoo.com>, <peterhalloran@sbcglobal.net>, <alisha.carlile@doj.ca.gov>, <snpdragn@aol.com>, <acarlile@alum.wellesley.edu>, <concertina9@yahoo.com>, <alishastephen@yahoo.com.au>, <alehr17@u.washington.edu>, <girlygirl8384@aol.com>, <akeened@yahoo.com>, <lish34@hotmail.com>, <piccbodin@hotmail.com>, <lachapell_a@yahoo.com>, <alishaoku@yahoo.com>, <alisha.mai@gmail.com>, <alisha.marks@rogers.com>, <vincent.bonotto@doj.ca.gov>, <vbonotto@hotmail.com>, <glenn.pruden@doj.ca.gov>, <eyeless77@hotmail.com>, <daniel.olivas@doj.ca.gov>, <giam.nguyen@doj.ca.gov>, <chad.stegeman@doj.ca.gov>, <stephen.lew@doj.ca.gov>, <christopher.findley@doj.ca.gov>, <dennis.beck@doj.ca.gov>, <darrell.spence@doj.ca.gov>, <maggy.krell@doj.ca.gov>, <Elaine.tong@doj.ca.gov>, <hannah.calacsan@doj.ca.gov>, <mo.park@doj.ca.gov>, <dbuthler@lasuperiorcourt.org>, <dslayton@lasuperiorcourt.org>, <sclover@lasuperiorcourt.org>, <jmoses@lasuperiorcourt.org>, <ppenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org>, <tpenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org>, <chase.penrose@gmail.com>, <mackenzienicole@gmail.com>, <trishpenrose@att.net>, <secondistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>, <roganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>, <Kamala.harris@whitehouse.gov>, <leaht.wilson@calbar.ca.gov>, <leah.wilson50@yahoo.com>, elizabeth.hom@calbar.ca.gov <Elizabeth.hom@calbar.ca.gov>, <gina.crawford@calbar.ca.gov>, <rita.himes@calbar.ca.gov>, <esteinbach@aclusocal.org>, <manuel.alvarez@post.ca.gov>, <jim.grottkau@post.ca.gov>, <pgrottkau@yahoo.com>, <jamesgrottkau@aol.com>, <janie.grottkau@yahoo.com>, <annemarie.mugnaio@post.ca.gov>, <annemariedelmugnaio@gmail.com>, <chaseindixon@aol.com>, <obugrik@aol.com>, <sonya.baland@post.ca.gov>, <sonyar@comcast.net>, <cheryl.smith@post.ca.gov>, <colin.okeefe@post.ca.gov>, <cokeefe@post.ca.gov>, <dulce_cakes4u@yahoo.com>, <ulce_cakes4u@aol.com>, <gspinger5@yahoo.com>, <cokeefe@ci.davis.ca.us>, <colin.o'keefe530@verizon.net>, <co'keefe1514@bellsouth.net>, <sarah.wallace@post.ca.gov>, <william.darden@post.ca.gov>, <william.darden@doj.ca.gov>, <rpthjrcy@uojsdp.edu>, <williamtdarden@gmail.com>, <William.darden@urs.com>, <carrie.hollar@post.ca.gov>, <carrie.berg82@gmail.com>, <cberg@solanocounty.com>, <carrie.hollar@aol.com>, <erik.apperson@post.ca.gov>, <lfey8808@gmail.com>, <wifey8808@gmail.com>, <erikandannaapperson@hotmail.com>, <onecubsfan@hotmail.com>, <egrundman@hotmail.com>, <todders@hotmail.com>, <erikapperson@hotmail.com>, <erik.apperson@yahoo.com>, <sb2@post.ca.gov>, <christine.ford@post.ca.gov>, <michelle.weiler@post.ca.gov>, <wildweilers@msn.com>, <cateweiler@msn.com>, <meagan.poulos@post.ca.gov>, <rosanne.richeal@post.ca.gov>, <thomas.chalk@post.ca.gov>, <katie.strickland@post.ca.gov>, <kirk.bunch@post.ca.gov>, <brad.newmyer@post.ca.gov>, <mike.radford@post.ca.gov>, <brian.south@post.ca.gov>, <goodwin.liu@jud.ca.gov>, <tani.cantil-sakauye@jud.ca.gov>, <joshua.groban@jud.ca.gov>, <martin.jenkins@jud.ca.gov>, <patricia.guerrero@jud.ca.gov>, <carol.corrigan@jud.ca.gov>, <leondra.kruger@jud.ca.gov>, <kelli.evans@jud.ca.gov>, First District

<firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>, Cindy <cchen@bos.lacounty.gov>,
<secondistrict@bos.lawcounty.gov>, <hmitchell@yahoo.com>, <karnbass@cs.com>,
<tumberg@mofo.com>, <holly@hollyjmitchell.com>, <rfleming-peters@counsel.lacounty.gov>,
Margaret Carter <mcarter@counsel.lacounty.gov>, <njenkins@lacounty.gov>,
<njenkins@counsel.lacounty.gov>, <jgonzalez@counsel.lacounty.gov>, <lowt@metro.net>,
<Tlow77@gmail.com>, <ryanthurlow@yahoo.com>, <tlow@lacounty.gov>,
<tlow@counsel.lacounty.gov>, <tina@jmoilco.com>, <tlow7@yahoo.com>,
<econard@lacounty.gov>, <econard@counsel.lacounty.gov>, <pepemendoza18@gmail.com>,
<chelsaconrad@gmail.com>, <rmconard@gmail.com>, <kcluvsbarney@aol.com>,
<susanconard@aol.com>, <s.conard@conardphoto.com>, <susan@conardphoto.com>,
<sconard@conardphoto.com>, <sales@conardphoto.com>, <support@conardphoto.com>,
<scollins@cleat.org>, <admin@conardphoto.com>, <conard@conardphoto.com>,
<fawino@counsel.lacounty.gov>, <cbarnett@counsel.lacounty.gov>, <donnghiem@gmail.com>,
<brucenguyen@gmail.com>, <donnghiem@hotmail.com>, <don@lacounty.gov>,
<dnghiem@counsel.lacounty.gov>, <schoi@counsel.lacounty.gov>, <ltaing@counsel.lacounty.gov>,
<cesar@lacounty.gov>, <cdelperal@counsel.lacounty.gov>, <cdelperal@aol.com>,
<cesar_del_peral@yahoo.com>, <cdelperal@yahoo.com>, <cesardelperal1@yahoo.com>,
<theflyingtrex02@gmail.com>, <jquindel79@yahoo.com>, <atkins.sarah28@gmail.com>,
<rfstacy@gmail.com>, <neversleep55.mh@gmail.com>, <rourke@lacounty.gov>, Rourke F. Stacy
<rstacy@counsel.lacounty.gov>, <rstacy-padilla@counsel.lacounty.gov>,
<vowens@counsel.lacounty.gov>, <mearls@counsel.lacounty.gov>,
<dcarlos@county.lacounty.gov>, <dcarlos@counsel.lacounty.gov>, <victoriacarlos@gmail.com>,
<dcarlos31@gmail.com>, <debbie.carlos818@verizon.net>, <victoria.carlos@gmail.com>,
<humbert@ptminc.com>, <info@ptm-inc.com>, <psncarits@aol.com>, <jcarlos@msmc.la.edu>,
<yngidea@gmail.com>, <richard.padilla@disney.com>, <andria.seo@disabilityrightsca.org>,
<andiseo@gmail.com>, <wishinwaiting@hotmail.com>, <echu@counsel.lacounty.gov>,
<sgipson@counsel.lacounty.gov>, <sladyesq@gmail.com>, <sladyesq@aol.com>, Dhrubajyoti Deb
<djdesign@gmail.com>, <michae.streetman@gmail.com>, <merugori@aol.com>,
<rolaidz@yahoo.com>, <dtwister22@yahoo.com>, <dtwister@yahoo.com>,
<sshon@counsel.lacounty.gov>, <rigesq@yahoo.com>, <grigesq@msn.com>,
<gproducer@hotmail.com>, <mrsmithgcs@aol.com>, Alexandra Zuiderweg
<azuiderweg@counsel.lacounty.gov>, <mruben@counsel.lacounty.gov>, Caitlin Taylor
<ctaylor@counsel.lacounty.gov>, <schan@counsel.lacounty.gov>, <kmuir@counsel.lacounty.gov>,
<eggerse@metro.net>, <eggers@counsel.lacounty.gov>, <mrolon@counsel.lacounty.gov>,
<mirder@hotmail.com>, <doug@homealert.com>, <doug.richardson@homealert.com>,
<pghaderi@counsel.lacounty.gov>, <rsaldana@counsel.lacounty.gov>, <ndavis-
tinkham@counsel.lacounty.gov>, Nicole Davis <rikki.davis1212@gmail.com>,
<tink4d1@gmail.com>, <nadtinkham@gmail.com>, <brind0313@gmail.com>,
<darrelltinkham@yahoo.com>, Judy Whitehurst <jwhitehurst@counsel.lacounty.gov>,
<ltolani@counsel.lacounty.gov>, <lester.tolnai@yahoo.com>, <rclester.tolnai71@yahoo.com>,
<pmarshall@counsel.lacounty.gov>, Adrienne Byers <abyers@counsel.lacounty.gov>,
<rcartwright@counsel.lacounty.gov>, <rwong@counsel.lacounty.gov>,
<tfaughnan@counsel.lacounty.gov>, <tomfaughnan66@gmail.com>, Jennifer
<jlehman@counsel.lacounty.gov>, <kmiles@counsel.lacounty.gov>,
<rbaeza@counsel.lacounty.gov>, <skuhn@counsel.county.gov>, <rchastang@counsel.lacounty.gov>,

<lcampo@counsel.lacounty.gov>, <msimon@counsel.lacounty.gov>, <emiller@counsel.lacounty.gov>, <elizabeth_dumais@yahoo.com>, <kaigrant19@yahoo.com>, <pmomon@yahoo.com>, <pbollinger@counsel.lacounty.gov>, Kati <kbowser@counsel.lacounty.gov>, <rebrahim@da.lacounty.gov>, <kkoyano@da.lacounty.gov>, <dteran@da.lacounty.gov>, <tsereno@lacounty.gov>, <tsereno@da.lacounty.gov>, <ron.geltz@lacounty.gov>. <rgeltz@da.lacounty.gov>, Manik Bhoi <manik.bhoi@gmail.com>, <withani@verizon.net>, <eric.castano@charter.net>, <eric.castano@juno.com>, <ericcastano@gmail.com>, <JIniguez@da.lacounty.gov>, Fernando Sanchez <fsanchez@da.lacounty.gov>, <rarcos@da.lacounty.gov>, <jrodriguez@dalacounty.gov>, Sharon L.. Woo <swoo@da.lacounty.gov>, <pagrawal@da.lacounty.gov>, <ygadams@msn.com>, <ljkessner@da.lacounty.gov>, <ldroeger@da.lacounty.gov>, <jdsilva@ceo.lacounty.gov>, <jsilva@da.lacounty.gov>, <eratino@da.lacounty.gov>, <shaungipson@gmail.com>, Shaun Gipson <sgipson@da.lacounty.gov>, <twright@da.lacounty.gov>, <gdameron@da.lacounty.gov>, <spresby@da.lacounty.gov>, <shassett@da.lacounty.gov>, <bjdodd@da.lacounty.gov>, <ralarcon@da.lacounty.gov>, <smire@da.lacounty.gov>, <mhaidar@da.lacounty.gov>, <pkouch@da.lacounty.gov>, <vrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov>, <dpurvis@da.lacounty.gov>, <tguzmansanchez@hotmail.com>, <tguzmansanchez@aol.com>, <thomasguzmansanchez@hotmail.com>, <guzmansanchez@aol.com>, <ceo@gsmediagroup.com>, <tgsvrlmuzic@dancemaster.com>, <r@dancemaster.com>, <godaddy@dancemaster.com>, <tblacknell@da.lacounty.gov>, <mkapp@da.lacounty.gov>, <kalbrach@da.lacounty.gov>, <jjohnson@lacounty.gov>, <mmurray@da.lacounty.gov>, <kta@da.lacounty.gov>, <lklo@lasd.org>, <bskhount@lasd.org>, <CDCRCACLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov>, <CCRCMFLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov>, <CDCRCCILitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov>, <CCDCRCENLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov>, <CDCRCTFLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov>, <CDCRCMCLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov>, <CDCRCORLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov>, <CDCRCIMLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov>, <FCORTEZ@cdcr.gov>, <Jeff.macomber@cdcr.ca.gov>, <Jennifer.barretto@cdcr.ca.gov>, <Tammy.foss@cdcr.ca.ov>, <Diana.toche@cdcr.ca.gov>, <albert.lundeen@cdcr.ca.gov>, <Jennifer.neil@cdcr.ca.gov>, <Sarah.larson@cdcr.ca.gov>, <Ron.broomfield@cdcr.ca.gov>, <Amy.casias@cdcr.ca.gov>, <Jason.johnson@cdcr.ca.gov>, <Chris.chambers@cdcr.ca.gov>, <Stacy.lopez@cdcr.ca.gov>, <Niki.dhillon@cdcr.ca.gov>, <Jennifer.benavidez@cdcr.ca.gov>, <heather.bowlds@cdcr.ca.gov>, <Jared.lozano@cdcr.ca.gov>, <Derrick.marion@cdcr.ca.gov>, <David.chriss@cdcr.ca.gov>, <Sarina.calderon@cdcr.ca.gov>, <Ying.sun@cdcr.ca.gov>, Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>, <aroganthollywoodgenius@yahoo.com>, <CDCRASPLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov>, <fernando.cortez@cdcr.ca.gov>, <info@da.lacounty.gov>, <12136331956@faxage.com>, <16262814724@faxage.com>, <12136330960@faxage.com>, <julie.kaplan@cdcr.ca.gov>, <sabrina.williams@cdcr.ca.gov>, <cory.alvarez@cdcr.ca.gov>, <jamal.rowe@cdcr.ca.gov>, <mark.cruise@cdcr.ca.gov>, <linda.larabee@cdcr.ca.gov>, <asvi.phuong@cdcr.ca.gov>, <jamiee.lacey@cdcr.ca.gov>, <dominique.hughes@cdcr.ca.gov>, <karen.reed@cdcr.ca.gov>, <manuel.banuelos@cdcr.ca.gov>, ecolor.pot@gmail.com <ecolor.pot@gmail.com>, TCBecerra2016@gmail.com <TCBecerra2016@gmail.com>, <tarchuleetta@crowell.com>, tlopez@crowell.com <tlopez@crowell.com>, <tarchuletta@bellsouth.net>, theresa_c_lopez@hotmail.com <theresa_c_lopez@hotmail.com>, tarchuletta@gmail.com <tarchuletta@gmail.com>, cali80513@gmail.com <cali80513@gmail.com>, <theresa.chuletta@aug.com>,

<tarchuletta@aol.com>, Holly J. Mitchell <hollyjmitchell@bos.lacounty.gov>, <customerservice@axon.com>, <legal@axon.com>, <12136224858@faxage.com>, <12136200636@faxage.com>, <12138948107@faxage.com>, <19098021050@faxage.com>, <19163235341@faxage.com>, <paralegal@neilopdahl.com>, Getem Process Servers and Investigators <getem626@gmail.com>, Arogant Hollywood <blacklawcrusader@gmail.com>, to: arogantvscountyoflosangeles@gmail.com <arogantvscountyoflosangeles@gmail.com>, <arogantversuscalifornia1@gmail.com>, <hochman@da.la.county.gov>, <mark@geragos.com>

KING AROGANT v. nathan joseph hochman, et al. [ANOTHER WARNING TO COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES EMPLOYEES, PEACE OFFICERS, EXECUTIVES, AND ATTORNEYS TO CEASE AND DESIST MALICIOUSLY PROSECUTING FRIVOLOUS CRIMINAL CASE GA114055, ATTACHED MOTION TO DEMAND DISCOVERY, 162 PAGES

THE NEXT TIME I GO TO 211 WEST TEMPLE STREET, LOS ANGELES COUNTY TO SERVE NATHAN JOSEPH HOCHMAN LEGAL PAPERS, VIDEO RECORDING EVIDENCE, AND AUDIO RECORDING EVIDENCE RELATED TO A CRIMINAL CASE DO NOT ALLOW YOUR COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES PEACE OFFICERS TO PREVENT ME FROM ENTERING THE BUILDING OR YOU WILL FACE LITIGATION FOR THEIR RECKLESS ACTIONS!

NATHAN JOSEPH HOCHMAN AND ALL OTHER HEREBY ELECTRONICALLY SERVED STATE OF CALIFORNIA AND COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES EMPLOYEES. YOU ARE HEREBY ORDERED TO CEASE AND DESIST FROM DOING THE FOLLOWING:

1. CONTINUE TO MALICIOUSLY PROSECUTE FRIVOLOUS CRIMINAL CASE GA114055
2. RECKLESSLY FAILING TO OVERTURN CONVICTION ON YOUR OWN MOTIONS PURSUANT TO CALIFORNIA STATE BAR RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT,

Rule 3.8 Special Responsibilities of a Prosecutor (Rule Approved by the Supreme Court, Effective June 1, 2020)

3. RECKLESSLY FAILING TO TERMINATE AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S FELONY PAROLE SUPERVISION AFTER BEING SERVED AN AUDIO RECORDING PROVING KING AROGANT WAS INNOCENT AND NEVER PHYSICALLY THREATENED THOMAS GUZMAN-SANCHEZ!

4. CEASE AND DESIST FAILING TO OVERTURN A CONVICTION IN WHICH RACIST ATTORNEY BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG PRESENTED PHONY AND MANIPULATED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS INTO THE SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, ALHAMBRA SUPERIOR COURT THAT DEPICTED AND DISPLAYED AN ARTIFICIALLY INTELLIGENT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD SHUTTING OFF HIS GOPRO CAMERA WHILE WEARING DIFFERENT CLOTHING THAN HE HAD ON FEBRUARY 21, 2023.

5. PURSUANT TO THE RACIAL JUSTICE ACT OF 2020; WHICH CODIFIES AT CAL PEN CODE SECTION 745 THE PEOPLE AND NATHAN JOSEPH HOCHMAN MUST IMMEDIATELY OVERTURN AROGANT HOLLYWOOD WRONGFUL PRISON SENTENCE AND CONVICTION THAT WAS PARTLY BASED ON THE

FALSE AND FABRICATED TESTIMONY THAT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD MADE A CRIMINAL THREAT TO LYING AND RACIST GOVERNMENT WITNESS THOMAS GUZMAN-SANCHEZ.

ATTACHED HERETO IN THIS EMAIL IS A TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF REAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GX010586 AND GX010587 THAT WERE RECKLESSLY SPLIT UP FROM ONE CONTINUOUS VIDEO RECORDING BY ROGUE AND RACIST SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA HIGH TECH TASK FORCE PEACE OFFICERS. NEVERTHELESS, THESE REAL VIDEO RECORDINGS DO NOT DEPICT AND DISPLAY AROGANT HOLLYWOOD SHUTTING OFF HIS GOPRO CAMERA.

ATTACHED HERETO IS THIS EMAIL IS A TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF THE AUDIO RECORDING THAT PROVES BY CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE THAT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD NEVER MADE CRIMINAL THREATS TO RACIST AND LYING THOMAS GUZMAN-SANCHEZ AND THUS, AROGANT HOLLYWOOD WAS WRONGFULLY SENT TO STATE PRISON!

ATTACHED HERETO IS THIS ELECTRONIC MAIL IS A TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S SOON THE BE FILED:

Case No. GA114055

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'VERIFIED NOTICE OF AND MOTION THAT SUPERIOR COURT ORDER PEOPLE IMMEDIATELY DISCLOSE AND TURN OVER BOGUS LASD-CREATED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GX010586 AND GX010587 Pursuant to California Penal Code §§ 745, 1473.6, & 1473.7; *Brady v. Maryland*, 373 U.S. 83, 83 S.Ct. 1194, 10 L.Ed.2d 215 (1963); United States v. Bagley, 473 U.S. 667, 682, 105 S.Ct. 3375, 87 L.Ed.2d 481 (1985); County of Los Angeles District Attorney Discovery Compliance System Manual, County of Los Angeles District Attorney Legal Policies Manual, Chapter 14, & United States Department of Justice Manual, Title 9, § 9-5.000 & REQUEST THAT SUPERIOR COURT ORDER THAT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S UNCONSTITUTIONAL PAROLE SUPERVISION BE IMMEDIATELY TERMINATED

Date of Hearing: April 25, 2025
Department 1
Time:

THE ATTACHED CRIMINAL MOTION IS 162 PAGES AND 23,080 WORDS.

GX010586.MP4


GX010587.MP4

NATHAN JOSEPH HOCHMAN. KING AROGANT KNOWS THAT YOU HAVE A LOT OF EXPERIENCE IN FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT AS A PLAINTIFF. IF YOU FAIL TO OVERTURN AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S WRONFUL, RACIST, SCANDALOUS, ILLEGAL, FRAUDULENT, AND UNCONSTITUTIONAL FELONY CONVICTION AND DISMISS FRIVOLOUS CRIMINAL CASE GA114055 ON YOUR OWN MOTION NO LATER THAN APRIL 23, 2025 YOU AND YOUR TEAM OF ATTORNEYS ELECTRONICALLY SERVED HEREIN WILL BECOME DEFENDANTS IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA. YOU HAVE ALL BEEN FOREWARNED HEREIN.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws and Constitution of California and under the laws, federal statutes, and Constitution of the United States of America that every single word, sentence, paragraph, and attached image is true and correct.

Executed on April 8, 2025, in California, Los Angeles County

DATE: April 8, 2025



ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106
Mobile: (626) 755-6442

Email: fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com
Spirit of Esquire

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws and Constitution of California and under the laws, federal statutes, and Constitution of the United States of America that every single word, sentence, paragraph, and attached image is true and correct.

Executed on April 8, 2025, in California, Los Angeles County

DATE: April 8, 2025

Arogant Hollywood

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq.
1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD.
PASADENA, CA 91106
Mobile: 206.471.1344
arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted
Spirit of Esquire
BLACK TRADE KING
FUTURE KING OF ENTERTAINMENT
BLACK DERIVATIVES KING



King
Arogant

CAUTION: This email originated outside of the County (lacounty.gov domain). Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and expect the message.

Exhibit 3

GS MEDIA LAB

April 18, 2025

DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF TEXT THREAT AND HARRASMENT

I, THOMAS GUZMAN-SANCHEZ, declare as follows:

I am a member of the Los Angeles Superior Court Expert Witness Panel.

On December 28, 2023 I was subpoenaed to appear in court at the Probation Violation Hearing for People vs. Arrogant Hollywood GA114055, in Dept 1 of the Alhambra Superior Court. The judge at that time found Mr. Hollywood guilty and stated he was required to not contact or harass me in any way. To do so would be a clear violation of his probation.

On April 12, 2025 at 15:39PM I received a series of text messages, images and voice recordings from Mr. Hollywood (206-471-1344).

Voice Message: 5:39PM "media_0.wav"

This appears to be an edited version of a phone call threat that was originally made on October 22, 2023 at 2:13 PM. I received two calls back to back from Mr. Hollywood (626-704-4625). In that call the first he said, "Wait a minute." and I ended the call. He then called back and I put it on speakerphone so my associate could hear the call. We could hear a phone call recorder being turned on.

RECORDER VOICE: This call is being recorded.

We then listen silently as he began to speak.

This new recording was clearly edited to omit this first section and starts with the following:

HOLLYWOOD: Can you hear me? Hello. Why… Can you hear me? Ahh… Just to show you I'm a video expert. So I, I got the original videos and they're falsified. They have dates of eleven o'clock, and twelve o'clock and you know, you couldn't figure this out, but as soon as I got my go pro… my computer out I was able to crack the case in about five seconds. The videos are falsified. How was I able to make videos at eleven o'clock when I was in handcuffs at what… ten fifteen? Right? We all know this incident was over way before eleven o'clock.

GS MEDIA LAB
19210 DEARBORN ST. NORTHRIDGE, CA 91324 USA
VOICE 818.727.1979 CELL/TEXT. 818 399.1255
e mail vfi@gsmedialab.com

GUZMAN-SANCHEZ: Why are you explaining this to me?

HOLLYWOOD: Because.

GUZMAN-SANCHEZ: This is your case.

HOLLYWOOD: It is, but you didn't help me. I got you a lot of hours and you really spit in my face and I'm gonna sue you. Well I'm just letting you know. A law suit is gonna come a lot sooner then you think so I would lawyer up...


GUZMAN-SANCHEZ: Listen. So understand. Understand that that is a threat.

HOLLYWOOD: Listen I'm well known for suing people. You didn't help me get out of jail.

GUZMAN-SANCHEZ: Listen. That is a threat and now I'm gonna report you.

HOLLYWOOD: It's not THREAT! It's a PROMISE MOTHERFUCKER and you're on a recorded line!

The recording then ends abruptly due to his editing of the original recording. He then began a series of texts.



+12064711344

NO YOU ARE 5:46 PM

FAILED MUSICIAN

FAILED BUSINESSMAN

GOING ON 65 AND BARELY GOT A PENNY 5:47 PM

FUCK YOU AND PAROLE FOOL CASE WILL BE OVERTURNED ON MAY 30, 2025

I AM SUING YOUR UGLY ASS WIFE TOO OLD MAN 5:48 PM

I WILL BE FORWARDING

+12064711344

EVIDENCE TO CAL DOJ AND TELL THEM TO LAUNCH A CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION AGAINST YOU FOR LYING UNDER OATH 5:49 PM

You are the clown. A lying one at that.

We will see who the crown is when you get SUED in federal court FOOL 5:50 PM

Take that corny ass studio music off your LINKEDIN PAGE. IT IS FUCKING GARBAGE JUST LIKE YOUR WIFE'S UGLY FACE

+12064711344



5:53 PM

MY HOME STUDIO FOOL

FAILED MUSICIAN 5:54 PM

MAKE SURE YOU BRING THOSE PHONY ASS GOPRO VIDEOS TO COURT ON MAY 30, 2025 YOU PIECE OF SHIT 5:56 PM

You can call me

+12064711344

You can call me Hollywood all you want FOOL. HOLLYWOOD IS NO LONGER MY NAME FOOL. IT IS KING AROGANT

I WILL KEEP YOU ON THE STAND FOR AT LEAST TWO DAYS AND YIU WILL NOT GET PAID A FUCKING PENNY 5:57 PM

I WILL KEEP YOU ON THE STAND FOR AT LEAST TWO DAYS AND YOU WILL NOT GET PAID A FUCKING PENNY 5:58 PM

+12064711344

I already sued parole you DUMB FUCK

IT WAS DISMISSED BUT I AM SUING CDCR AGAIN FOOL! 5:59 PM

YOU, PAROLE, AND YOUR UGLY ASS WIFE CAN SUCK A FUCKING DICK! 6:00 PM

I cracked the case fool. And I know videos given to you by MARK Rosenberg were not the phony LASD-created GoPro video recordings played recklessly in Superior Court on April 4, 2023, April 5, 2023, and February

+12064711344

April 4, 2023, April 5, 2023, and February 2, 2024 IDIOT! 6:02 PM

I also know videos given to you by Carlos Anthony Jaxkson were deliberately given to you in unplayable GoPro video recording format GL format instead of playable GX GoPro video recording video format

I also know videos given to you by Carlos Anthony Jackson were deliberately given to you in unplayable

+12064711344

to you in unplayable GoPro video recording format GL format instead of playable GX GoPro video recording video format 6:04 PM

FOOL 6:05 PM

Videos you did receive are nothing more than manipulated and modified versions of real videos not the phony LASD-created GoPro video recordings showing me shutting off my GoPro 6:06 PM

I got the time

+12064711344

GoPro 6:06 PM

I got the time stamp from the text message. 10:04 5 minutes into broken up GX010586 FOOL



I got the text

+12064711344

I got the text message 6:07 PM

FOOL

in phony video I am wearing a tank top FOOL

BUT IN REAL VIDEO I HAVE ON A LONG SLEEVED COAT

SO WHO IS THE CLOWN? 6:08 PM

YOU FOOLS ARE A BUNCH OF LIARS AND CHEATERS

media_0.wav 01:09 6:09 PM

+12064711344

YOU ARE GOING TO PRISON FOR VIOLATING CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 6200

YOU ARE MARK ROSENBERG COULD BE CELLMATES! 6:10 PM

YOU AND MARK ROSENBERG COULD BE CELLMATES! 6:11 PM

YEAH PUT IT ALL TOGETHER. AND ADD THE FACT THAT YOU RECKLESSLY COMMITTED FRAUD ON THE SUPERIIR COURT FOR NOT

+12064711344

COURT FOR NOT ONLU TESTIFYING FALSELY BUT LYING TO THE COUR THAT YOU NEEDED AN ADDITIONAL 40 HOURS WHEN YOU DID NOTHING!

YEAH PUT IT ALL TOGETHER. AND ADD THE FACT THAT YOU RECKLESSLY COMMITTED FRAUD ON THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR NOT ONLY TESTIFYING FALSELY BUT LYING TO THE COUR THAT YOU NEEDED AN ADDITIONAL 40 HOURS WHEN YOU DID NOTHING! 6:13 PM

+12064711344

I am going to make a FOOL out of you beginning on May 30, 2025. Force you to admit the truth when I play audio recording evidence and video recording evidence for the Superior Court YOU FUCKING RACIST AND LYING PIECE OF SHIT 6:17 PM

I am going to send LASD peace officers to serve you at your HOUSE AND FAILED GS MEDIA 6:18 PM

Tell prejudiced judicial officer

7:52

+12064711344

Tell prejudiced judicial officer Michael Villalobos to treat you as an adverse witness pursuant to California Evidence CODE Section 766! LOOK THAT UP FOOL!

6:19 PM

media_0.wav

0:09

6:20 PM



7:52

+12064711344

[redacted]

6:21 PM

SEE MY $ 10,000 DAY TRADING SET UP IN MY LIVING ROOM! I AM NOT A BROKE AND HONELESS BUM NO MORE YOU RACIST IDIOT


SEE MY $ 10,000 DAY TRADING SET UP IN MY LIVING ROOM! I AM NOT A BROKE AND HOMELESS BUM NO MORE YOU RACIST IDIOT

6:22 PM

[redacted]

7:52

+12064711344



6:28 PM

7:53

+12064711344

TEXT HIM! MY PAROLE OFFICER TOO KNOWS WHAT A LYING PIECE OF SHIT YOU ARE!


6:29 PM

I have all the evidence FOOL


6:39 PM

Even what MARK Rosenberg gave you and Carlos Jackson!

6:40 PM




7:35 PM



Following this last text message I blocked the 206-471-1344 phone number.

On April 16, 2025 at 8:51PM I received another series of text messages and images from Mr. Hollywood (561-462-7612). This is a different phone number.





Following the last text message I blocked the 561-462-7612 phone number.


I am now formally reporting that Mr. Hollywood has both continued to harass and has threaten me, my wife and now my son with harm. I have notated the times and dates of the events. I have included the actual texts from Mr. Hollywood.

I have also included the original texts and details that were sent on April 18, 2024, which are attached hereto as **EXHIBIT A.**

I believe my family and I are in danger due to his aggressive threats. I am requesting the Court take this information into consideration and act accordingly.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the facts stated in the foregoing are true and correct.


Executed on this 18th day of April 2025, in Los Angeles, California.

By 


THOMAS GUZMAN-SANCHEZ
AVF – Expert
GS Media Lab

Exhibit A:


Text 1



Text 2



2nd text image



Detailed Image Information




Exhibit 4

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff, v. **AROGANT HOLLYWOOD (DOB: 12/09/1978)** Defendant(s).	**CASE NO.** ***MISDEMEANOR COMPLAINT***

The undersigned is informed and believes that:

COUNT 1

On or about April 17, 2025, in the County of Los Angeles, the crime of TRESPASS AND REFUSING TO LEAVE PRIVATE PROPERTY, in violation of PENAL CODE SECTION 602(o), a Misdemeanor, was committed by AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, who did unlawfully commit a trespass by refusing and failing to leave land, real property, and structures belonging to and lawfully occupied by another and not open to the general public, upon being requested to leave by a peace officer and the owner, his/her agent, and the person in lawful possession thereof.

* * * * *

COUNT 2

On or about April 17, 2025, in the County of Los Angeles, the crime of DISOBEYING COURT ORDER, in violation of PENAL CODE SECTION 166(a)(4), a Misdemeanor, was committed by AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, who did unlawfully commit contempt of court by willful disobedience of a process and order lawfully issued by a court, to wit, California Rule of Court 2.17.

* * * * *

COUNT 3

On or about June 8, 2024, in the County of Los Angeles, the crime of DISOBEYING COURT ORDER, in violation of PENAL CODE SECTION 166(a)(4), a Misdemeanor, was committed by AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, who did unlawfully commit contempt of court by willful disobedience of a process and order lawfully issued by a court, to wit, Restraining Order.

* * * * *

COUNT 4

On or about June 8, 2024, in the County of Los Angeles, the crime of DISOBEYING COURT ORDER, in violation of PENAL CODE SECTION 166(a)(4), a Misdemeanor, was committed by AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, who did unlawfully commit contempt of court by willful disobedience of a process and order lawfully issued by a court, to wit, Restraining Order.

* * * * *

COUNT 5

On or between June 8, 2024 and April 17, 2025, in the County of Los Angeles, the crime of ANNOYING TELEPHONE CALLS, in violation of PENAL CODE SECTION 653m(b), a Misdemeanor, was committed by AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, who did unlawfully, and with the intent to annoy and harass, make repeated telephone calls and repeated contact, and make any combination of calls and contact, to another person, to wit BRIAN ROSENBERG, ALHAMBRA PUBLIC LIBRARY, by means of an electronic communication device.

* * * * *

NOTICE: Conviction of this offense will require the defendant to provide DNA samples and print impressions pursuant to Penal Code sections 296 and 296.1 if the defendant has suffered a prior felony conviction. Willful refusal to provide the samples and impressions is a crime.

NOTICE: The People of the State of California intend to present evidence and seek jury findings regarding all applicable circumstances in aggravation, pursuant to Penal Code section 1170(b) and *Cunningham v. California* (2007) 549 U.S. 270.

NOTICE: A Suspected Child Abuse Report (SCAR) may have been generated within the meaning of Penal Code §§ 11166 and 11168 involving the charges alleged in this complaint. Dissemination of a SCAR is limited by Penal Code §§ 11167 and 11167.5 and a court order is required for full disclosure of the contents of a SCAR.

Further, attached hereto and incorporated herein are official reports and documents of a law enforcement agency which the undersigned believes establish probable cause for the arrest of defendant(s) AROGANT HOLLYWOOD for the above-listed crimes.

I DECLARE UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY THAT THE FOREGOING IS TRUE AND CORRECT AND THAT THIS COMPLAINT CONSISTS OF 5 COUNT(S).

Executed at LOS ANGELES, County of Los Angeles, on April 21, 2025.

BENJAMIN YANG
DECLARANT AND COMPLAINANT

NATHAN HOCHMAN, DISTRICT ATTORNEY ..... BY: ALLYSON KIMMEL, DEPUTY

AGENCY: ALHAMBRA PD I/O: BENJAMIN YANG ID NO.: 276 PHONE : [redacted]
DR NO.: 000000002514319 OPERATOR: RO

DEFENDANT	CII NO.	CITATION NO.	BOOKING NO.	BAIL RECOM'D	CUSTODY R'TN DATE
HOLLYWOOD, AROGANT	031858966				04/21/2025

Pursuant to Penal Code Section 1054.5(b), the People are hereby informally requesting that defense counsel provide discovery to the People as required by Penal Code Section 1054.3.

LOS ANGELES COUNTY
BOOKING AND PROPERTY RECORD

BOOKING No	LOCAL AGENCY No	FBI No	ARN No	DL LIC No / STATE
6999999	25-0596			F2776523/CA
MAIN No	S.O. / CII No			
33178878	31858966			

SUSPECT PHOTO

[redacted]

ARRESTEE'S NAME (LAST FIRST MIDDLE)	HOME PHONE	DNA STATUS
HOLLYWOOD, AROGANT	(206) 471-1344	

ADDRESS CITY STATE ZIP	DNA COLLECTED BY
2308 FELICIA AVE ROWLAND HEIGHTS CA 91748	

SEX	DESCENT	HAIR	EYES	HEIGHT	WEIGHT	BIRTHDATE	AGE	DATE DNA COLLECTED
M	B	BLK	BRO	605	245	12-09-1978	46	

VEH LIC No ST	RPT DIST	AKA/NICKNAME	
	1901	WALTON, JOHN CLYDE	

BIRTHPLACE	CITIZENSHIP	ARR AGY / DETAIL	MONIKER	AD CHG	LIVESCAN OPERATOR(S)
WA	US	1901	MON/KING AROGANT	YES	

FILE No	DATE & TIME ARRESTED	TIME BKD
2514319	04-17-2025 1715	1719

LOCATION OF ARREST	TOTAL BAIL
101 S FIRST ST; ALHAMBRA PUBLI	0

CHARGE	WARR /COMM No
602(o)(2) PC /M/ 001	

JAIL LOC	ARRAIGNMENT DATE	TIME	COURT	PRISONER'S SIGNATURE WHEN BOOKED
1901	04-21-2025	0830	ALH	X

SOC SEC No	OBSERVABLE PHYSICAL ODDITIES	OCCUPATION
553-92-2022		UNEMPLOYED

EMPLOYER (FIRM OR PERSON'S NAME, CITY & PHONE No.)	SPECIAL MEDICAL PROBLEMS
NONE	NONE

CLOTHING WORN	LOCATION OR DISPOSITION OF VEHICLE
YLLW/BRN JACKET BLK SHOE	

IN CASE OF EMERGENCY NOTIFY (NAME, RELATIONSHIP, ADDRESS, CITY & PHONE)

NONE

ARRESTING OFFICER	BOOKING EMPLOYEE	SEARCHING OFFICER	TRANSPORTING OFFICER
YANG 276	SERRATO 5834	YANG 276	YANG 276

CASH RETAINED	PROPERTY
$0.00	CLOTHING WORN

PRISONER'S SIGNATURE FOR RECEIPT OF FOREGOING CASH & PROPERTY


CASH DEPOSITED	PROPERTY
0.00	MISC PAPER BLK LACES

PRISONER'S SIGNATURE FOR RECEIPT OF REMAINING CASH & PROPERTY

20250417 20:38:47

RIGHT FOUR IN | RIGHT THUMB IN | RIGHT THUMB OUT | RIGHT FOUR OUT





CII # A31858966
FBI # 224497FB8
MAIN # 33178878
D/L # F2776523
JAIL #

ADDITIONAL CHARGE	WARRANT NUMBER	ARRIGN DATE	TIME	COURT
166(A)(4)PC / M / 001 CONTEMPT OF COURT - DISOBEY COURT ORDER				
166(A)(4) PC / M / 001 CONTEMPT OF COURT - DISOBEY COURT ORDER				

INTERVIEWS - Code A = ATTY, B = BONDSMAN D = DOCTOR E = EMPLOYER R = RELATIVE, W = WAIVED O = OTHER

TELEPHONE CALLS

NAME	CODE	PHONE# OR INTERVIEWER	DATE & TIME MADE	OFFICER HANDLING SERIAL#	PRISONER'S INITIALS
BAIL DEVIATION (800) 773-5151	O	(213) 351-0311	04/7/25 @ 2052	5834	UNABLE TO SIGN
	W				
	W				
	W				

REMARKS

X COMPLETED

Misdemeanor hold
___ NO IDENTIFICATION
___ WARRANT
___ ON GOING PROBLEM
___ NON-CITABLE MISDEMEANOR
___ OTHER
APPROVED BY
WATCH COMMANDER

REASON FOR RELEASE ___ EXPIRATION ___ FINE ___ CITATION ___ BAIL ___ OTHER

RECEIPT #	DATE AND TIME
RELEASED BY	DOCUMENT ANALYST
RELEASED TO (NAME AGENCY & DETAIL)	

RECORD OF PROPERTY TRANSACTIONS

CODE A = ADD W = WITHDRAW I = INSPECT E = REMOVE FOR EVIDENCE


NAME OF PERSON ADDING WITHDRAWING OR INSPECTING	OFFICER HANDLING SERIAL #	PRISONER'S SIGNATURE AUTHORIZING WITHDRAW	CODE	DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY	DATE AND TIME

ALHAMBRA POLICE DEPARTMENT JAIL
TIVI SCAN ENTRY QUALITY CONTROL
ENTERED BY: _____ DATE:
VERIFIED BY: 196 DATE: 04/7/25

OFFICER MAKING FINAL RELEASE	DATE AND TIME	I DO HEREBY ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF ALL MY REMAINING PROPERTY SIGNATURE

CA DMV IMAGES

BOOKING IMAGES



PAGE 01 TO: ALHP FROM: CLETS 04/17/25 18:39:48
1CRP0.ALH0 WAD0.
CRP0 ADNJ ALH0 WAD0
COUNTY: LOS ANGELES

MNEMONIC: ALH0

TO: ALHAMBRA PD - LOS ANGELES

ATTN: DEPTY YANG #276 - [redacted]

***** CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS & REHABILITATION, SACRAMENTO*****

TEXT: *****HOLD**********NO BAIL**********HOLD**********NO BAIL*****

NAME: HOLLYWOOD, ARROGANT

CDC#: BX1748

BOOKED AS/AKA: NONE

DOB: 12/3/1978

DESC: BLACK M 6'6" 205 BLACK BROWN

CASE#: 25-14319

REG/UNIT: PAROLE REGION SOUTH/SAN GABRIEL VALLEY 2

CHARGE: COURT ORDER VIOLATION, $1 WARRANT

ARREST DATE: 04/17/2025 HOLD/DISCOVERY DATE: 04/17/2025

ARRESTING AGENCY: ALHAMBRA PD

AGENT: BERRY, TY

UNIT: SAN GABRIEL VALLEY 2

REGION: PAROLE REGION SOUTH

THIS IS AUTHORIZATION FOR THE DETAINING AGENCY, L.A. CO. SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT AN D ANY PEACE OFFICER IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA TO ARREST AND BOOK PER 3056 P.C. AND TRANSPORT TO THE L.A. CO. COUNTY JAIL.

REFER: JASON JOHNSON, DIRECTOR DAPO-HQ [redacted] REPLY: CRP0

INT: BACCI, MORGAN

DATE: 04/17/2025 18:39

MSG: 33452799

COPY - NOT OFFICIAL RECORD

MBACCI

04/17/25 14:40 OPERATOR:

TO:

ATTENTION:

RE:

CA034015G CRPC

PAGE 01 TO: ALHP FROM: CWS ML 04/17/25 21:02:55

**********CWS WARRANT-ABSTRACT**********

BOOKING AGENCY: ALHAMBRA PD

BOOKING DATE: 04/17/25 BOOKING NUMBER: 21:02 - 04/17/25

LASD REPOSITORY OR THE COURT HOLDS:

SURNAME HOLLYWOOD FIRST AROGANT MIDDLE SUFFIX

RES. ADDR: 825 ORANGE GROVE PASADENA CA

DESCRIPTION M B D.O.B. 12/09/78 605 217 BRO BRO

MISDEMEANOR BENCH WARRANT

WARRANT NUMBER 24PDCM0253401

BAIL $1.00

VIOLATION 7875(C)(7)/AM

DATE ISSUED 10/31/24 FILG AGY ARCADIA PD PHONE [redacted]

COURT PASADENA COURTHOUSE DEPT E

JUDGE MELTZER ABRAHAM C

RESTRICTED 840 PC

OFFICER HAZARDS

MISC DESCRIPTORS

OLN F2776523 CA CII A31858966 SSN VLN MAIN 33178878

ORIGINATING AGENCY REPORT NO: 240298

BAIL STATUS

THIS ABSTRACT IS SUFFICIENT FOR BOOKING PURPOSES

Copy for Authorized Person

PAGE 01 TO: ALHP FROM: CWS ML 04/17/25 17:16:08
CWRWS COUNTYWIDE WARRANT SYSTEM DATE: 04/17/25
WARRANT INFORMATION SHEET TIME: 17160820

WARRANT 01 OF 01 WIS SELECTIONS
WARRANT SUMMARY HITS/ 001 FEL/ 000 MIS/ 001 OTH/ 000 HZD/ EXON/ 00
WRNT NBR 24PDCM0253401 ENTRD BY ROMERO 104 CA0190100

REC TYPE W CATEGORY B LEVEL M STATUS
NAME HOLLYWOOD AROGANT
SEX M DSCNT B HAIR BRO EYES BRO HGT 605 WGT 217 D.O.B. 12/09/78
PHY FEATURES
HOME/BUSINESS ADDRESS
825 E ORANGE GROVE BL PASADENA CA 91104 PHONE
(000) 0000000
(000) 0000000

FBI 224797FB8 OLN F2776523 CA MAIN 33178878 CII A31858966 SSN 000000000
MISC BK#240298 FCN 0000000000000 WPS/NCIC 0
VEHICLE VLN YEAR 00 MAKE MODEL STYLE COLOR
VIN LIC TYP LIC YEAR
ORI AGY RPT# 240298 OFF HZRD ISS AUTH PAS3E VIOLATION CITY ARC
CHARGE 7875(C)(7)/AM (M) ( ) ISSUE 10/31/24
( ) ( )
FILING AGY CA0190200 ASGND AGY CA0190200 ASGND UNIT GEOG AGY CA0195300
RST 840PC Y REL PTA N CONTACT PERSN (000) 0000000
BKNG# 000000000 DT 00/00/00 OFF AGY LOC
BKNG AUTH BAIL RCT # AMT$ 1.00
PURGE DT 00/00/00 RECALL DT 00/00/00 REASON REACT DT 00/00/00 EXON # 00
WARRANT REMARKS
NAME REMARKS 1902
THIS IS NOT A WARRANT ABSTRACT

Copy for Authorized Person Only

ALHAMBRA POLICE DEPARTMENT

Pre-Booking/Suspect Report

DR Number: 25 - 14319

Page Number: 01

F2776523/CA

LIVESCAN INFORMATION

Suspect No: 01	AR No (Local Agency No): 25-05916			DL Lic No /State: F2776523/CA			
Arrestee's Name (Last, First, Middle): HOLLYWOOD, ARROGANT				Home Phone: 213/323 [illegible] 626 471 [illegible]			
Residence Address, City, State, Zip: 2[illegible] FELICIA AVE, [illegible] 91740							
Sex: M	Descent: BLK	Hair: BLK	Eyes: BRO	Height: 6 05	Weight: 245	Birthdate: 12.09.75	Age: 46
Vehicle License No /State: —	Status (Driver, Pass, Ped): PED	AKA/Nickname: "KING ARROGANT"					
Birthplace: [illegible], CA	Citizenship: U.S.	Arresting Agency: ALHAMBRA PD		Monitor: —			Add Charge?: YES
Date & Time Arrested: 04.17.25; 1715	Time Booked: 1719	Location of Arrest: [illegible] 101 S FIRST ST, ALHAMBRA CA 91801				Bail: —	
Charge: 602(k) PC	Level: M	Warrant No: —				UCR Code: —	
Jail Location: 1901	Arraignment Date: 04 21 25	Social Security No: [redacted]		Observable Physical Oddities: —		Occupation: UNEMPLOYED	
Employer (Firm or Person's Name, City & Phone No): —						Special Medical Problem: NO	
Clothing Worn: BLK SHIRT [illegible] PANTS				Location or Disposition of Vehicle: —			
In Case of Emergency Notify (Name, Relationship, Address, City & Phone No): NONE							
Arresting Officer: YANG 276		Searching Officer: YANG 276		Transporting Officer: YANG 276			
Build: MUSCULAR		Juvenile Released To: —		Juvenile Release Date & Time: —		Gang Affiliation: —	

ADDITIONAL CHRGS

Charge	Level	Warrant No	UCR Code	Bail
166(a)(4) PC	M	—	—	MR
166(a)(4) PC	M	—	—	MR
5056 PC	F	—	—	NO BAIL
70T.(1)(7) AMC	M	[illegible] DCM# 2534#1	—	$100

APPROVAL

Misdemeanor Hold

- ☐ No Identification
- ☐ Warrant
- ☐ Ongoing Problem
- ☐ Non-Citable Misdemeanor (1270.1 PC)
- ☐ Other _____

Approved By Watch Commander: _____

Consular Notification Made? ☐ Yes ☐ No

Notified by: _____

Date/Time: _____

Bail Deviation? ☐ Yes ☐ No

Attestation

"Based upon information supplied by the arresting officer, I approve the booking of this prisoner for the listed charges."

Approved by Watch Commander: _____

Date: 041725 Time: 2000

APD Rev 06/2017

ALHAMBRA POLICE DEPARTMENT

Pre-Booking/Suspect Report

DR Number: 25-14319

Page Number: 02

Q21 AMT OF HAIR
- ☐ 0 Unknown
- 1 Thick
- ☐ 2 Thin
- ☐ 3 Receding
- ☐ 4 Bald
- ☐ 5 Other

Q22 TYPE OF HAIR
- ☐ 0 Unknown
- ☐ 1 Straight
- ☐ 2 Curly
- ● 3 Wavy
- ☐ 4 Fine
- ☐ 5 Coarse
- ☐ 6 Wiry
- ☐ 7 Wig
- ☐ 8 Other:

Q23 HAIR CONDITION
- ☐ 0 Unknown
- ● 1 Clean
- ☐ 2 Dirty
- ☐ 3 Greasy
- ☐ 4 Matted
- ☐ 5 Odor
- ☐ 6 Other:

R/L HANDED
- ☐ 0 Unknown
- ● 1 Right
- ☐ 2 Left

Q25 HAIR STYLE
- ☐ 0 Unknown
- ☐ 1 Long
- ☐ 2 Short
- ☐ 3 Collar
- ☐ 4 Military
- ☐ 5 Crew cut
- ☐ 6 Right part
- ☐ 7 Left part
- ☐ 8 Center part
- ☐ 9 Straight
- ☐ 10 Pony tail
- ● 11 Afro-Natural
- ☐ 12 Shaved
- ☐ 13 Teased
- ☐ 14 Other:

Q26 FACIAL HAIR
- ☐ 0 Unknown/ NA
- ● 1 Clean shaven
- ☐ 2 Mustache
- ☐ 3 Full beard
- ☐ 4 Goatee
- ☐ 5 Fumanchu

Q26 FACIAL HAIR – CONT'D
- ☐ 6 Lower lip
- ☐ 7 Side burns
- ☐ 8 Fuzz
- ☐ 9 Unshaven
- ☐ 10 Other:

Q27 COMPLEXION
- ☐ 0 Unknown
- ● 1 Clear
- ☐ 2 Acne
- ☐ 3 Pocked
- ☐ 4 Freckled
- ☐ 5 Weathered
- ☐ 6 Albino
- ☐ 7 Other

Q28 GLASSES
- ● 0 Unknown/none
- ☐ 1 Yes (NFD)
- ☐ 2 Reg glasses
- ☐ 3 Sun glasses
- ☐ 4 Wire frame
- ☐ 5 Plastic frame Color:
- ☐ 6 Other:

Q29 VOICE
- ● 0 Unknown/ NA
- ☐ 1 Lisp
- ☐ 2 Slurred
- ☐ 3 Stutter
- ☐ 4 Accent
- ☐ 5 Other:

Q30 SCARS/ MARKS/ TATTOOS
- ● 0 Unknown/none
- ☐ 1 Face
- ☐ 2 Teeth
- ☐ 3 Neck
- ☐ 4 R/ arm
- ☐ 5 L/ arm
- ☐ 6 R/ hand
- ☐ 7 L/ hand
- ☐ 8 R/ leg
- ☐ 9 L/ leg
- ☐ 10 R/ shoulder
- ☐ 11 L/ shoulder
- ☐ 12 Front torso
- ☐ 13 Back torso
- ☐ 14 Other:

Q31 UNIQUE CLTHING
- ● 0 Unknown/ none
- ☐ 1 Cap/ hat
- ☐ 2 Gloves
- ☐ 3 Ski mask
- ☐ 4 Stocking mask
- ☐ 5 Other:

Q32 WEAPON IN:
- ● 0 Unknown/ none
- ☐ 1 Bag/ briefcase
- ☐ 2 Newspaper
- ☐ 3 Pocket
- ☐ 4 Shoulder holster
- ☐ 5 Waistband
- ☐ 6 Other:

Q33 WEAPONS
- ● 0 Unknown/ none
- ☐ 1 Club
- ☐ 2 Handgun
- ☐ 3 Other unk gun
- ☐ 4 Rifle
- ☐ 5 Shotgun

Q33 WEAPONS – CONT'D
- ☐ 6 Toy gun
- ☐ 7 Simulated
- ☐ 8 Pocket knife
- ☐ 9 Butcher knife
- ☐ 10 Other cut/ stab
- ☐ 11 Hands/ feet
- ☐ 12 Bodily force
- ☐ 13 Strangulation
- ☐ 14 Tire iron
- ☐ 15 Other

Q34 WEAPON FEATURE
- ● 0 Unknown/none
- ☐ 1 Altered stock
- ☐ 2 Sawed off
- ☐ 3 Automatic
- ☐ 4 Bolt action
- ☐ 5 Pump
- ☐ 6 Revolver
- ☐ 7 Blue steel
- ☐ 8 Chrome/ nickel
- ☐ 9 Double barrel
- ☐ 10 Single barrel
- ☐ 11 Other:

GUARDIAN

Name (Last, First, Middle)	DOB	Age	Relationship

Residence Address, City, State, Zip	Home Phone

Business Address, City, State, Zip	Business Phone

DL Lic No/ State	Cell Phone Number	Time/Date Notified	Time/Date 2nfd Notification

OTHER PERSON

Name (Last, First, Middle)	DOB	Age	Relationship

Residence Address, City, State, Zip	Home Phone

Business Address, City, State, Zip	Business Phone

DL Lic No./State	Cell Phone Number	Time/Date Notified	Time/Date 2nfd Notification

OTHER PERSON

Name (Last, First, Middle)	DOB	Age	Relationship

Residence Address, City, State, Zip	Home Phone

Business Address, City, State, Zip	Business Phone

DL Lic No./State	Cell Phone Number	Time/Date Notified	Time/Date 2nfd Notification

Preparer and PSN	Date	Reviewer and PSN	Date	Entered	Distributed
[illegible] 270	04.17.25	196	04.17.25	[illegible]	[illegible]

APB Rev 06/2019

ALHAMBRA POLICE DEPART ENT
STATEMENT OF PRIVATE PERSON'S ARREST

DR Number: 25-14319
Page Number: 01

CRIME

Code Section	Crime	Description
602(o)(2) PC	TRESPASSING	AT LOCAL LIBRARY

Location of arrest: 101 S. FIRST ST, ALHAMBRA, CA

PERSON MAKING ARREST

Name (Last, First, Middle)	Sex	Race	DOB	Age
LOHGUAN, HILDA HIU KWAN	F	☐ White ☒ Chinese ☐ Black ☐ Hisp ☐ Other	[redacted]	46

Occupation (Current or last known)	☐ DL ☐ ID Number State	Other Phone Number	Cellular Number
DIRECTOR OF LIBRARY	[redacted]	—	[redacted]

Residence Address-City-State-Zip (Current or last known): [redacted] — Res Phone: —

Business or School Address-City-State-Zip (Current or last known): — — Bus Phone: [redacted]

ARRESTEE

Code	Name (Last, First, Middle – if known)	Sex	Race	Age (or approximation)
S	HOLLYWOOD, ARROGANT	M	☐ White ☐ Chinese ☒ Black ☐ Hisp ☐ Other	46

Description (If the name is not known):

As a private person I, HILDA LOHGUAN (Print name of person making arrest)

have arrested ARROGANT, HOLLYWOOD (Print name of subject arrested) for PC 602 (Code section number / violation),

a public offense committed in my presence, at 101 S FIRST ST, ALHAMBRA, CA, (Location)

On (date) 04/17/25 at (time) 1715 AM / (PM) I demand that the following sworn peace officer(s) SANCHEZ #156 take into custody the listed subject.

California Penal Code 837 – Arrest by Private Persons

A private person may arrest another

1. For a public offense committed or attempted in his / her presence.
2. When a person arrested has committed a felony, although not in his / her presence.
3. When a felony has in fact been committed and he / she has reasonable cause to believe the person arrested to have committed it.

California Penal Code 148.5 – False Report of Criminal Offense

It shall be unlawful to willfully make a false, fraudulent, misleading, or unfounded report to any police officer.

I, HILDA LOHGUAN (Print name of person making arrest) do hereby certify the above statements made by me surrounding this Private Person's Arrest are true to the best of my knowledge and belief. Furthermore, I agree to cooperate in all stages of prosecution and will sign a complaint against the above named arrested / cited person if issued.

X [signature] Signature of person making arrest — Date: 04/17/25 Time: 1715 HOURS

X _____ Signature of Witness (Not the officer) — Name: _____ (Print name of witness) Phone: _____

APD 04/07 • • • IN YOUR OWN HANDWRITING, PLEASE PROVIDE THE DETAILS SURROUNDING THE ARREST ON THE BACK OF THIS FORM • • •

ALHAMBRA POLICE DEPARTMENT

Private Persons Arrest Narrative

Signature X

APD 05/07

FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles

JAN 23 2023

David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court
By: R. Mina, Deputy

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

USE OF CAMERAS IN THE COURTHOUSE	GENERAL ORDER

No one except authorized court and court security personnel may engage in photographing, recording, or broadcasting, or activate any camera, microphone, recorder, or broadcasting device in any courtroom or courthouse in the County where the Court conducts business, including all entrances, exits, hallways, escalators, elevators, and adjacent or subterranean court parking areas except as permitted by Superior Court of Los Angeles County, Local Rules, rule 2.17 and California Rules of Court, rule 1.150, or as permitted by this order or other order of a judicial officer as set forth herein. This order shall not preclude any law enforcement officer from taking appropriate steps to ensure the orderly and peaceable conduct of court business at a courthouse, including the recording of criminal activity in progress. A law enforcement officer's use of a body camera for passive recording is allowed, provided the camera is set to automatically overwrite data within the timeframe prescribed by the officer's departmental standards.

For purposes of this rule, the following definitions apply:

a) **Camera** – Any device that has a primary function of recording images and is not part of a multifunction device such as a "smartphone."

b) **Wearable Electronic Device and Wearable Camera** – Any miniature electronic device that is worn under, with, or on top of clothing and having a primary purpose of image capture or recording (such as Google Glass, Go Pro Cameras, Lapel Pin cameras).

c) **Personal Electronic Device** – Any device capable of communicating, transmitting, receiving, or recording messages, images, sounds, data, or other information by any means including but not limited to a computer, tablet, cell phone, or Bluetooth device.

This order applies to the use of any and all Cameras, Wearable Electronic Devices and Wearable Cameras and Personal Electronic Devices as defined above.

Except for representatives of media or media agencies as defined in California Rules of Court, rule 1.150(b)(2), no person shall bring into any courthouse a Camera, Wearable Electronic Device, or Wearable Camera as defined above. No person shall operate a Camera, Wearable Electronic Device, Wearable Camera, or Personal Electronic Device in violation of Superior Court of Los Angeles County Local Rule 2.17. To establish whether a person is bringing a Camera, Wearable Electronic Device, or Wearable Camera into the courthouse for the purpose of media coverage, security personnel may require that person to either provide a press pass issued by a local law enforcement agency, other verifiable press credentials, or a copy of a current filed petition seeking a court order pursuant to Local Rule 2.17.

Personal Electronic Devices may be brought into a court facility but may be used only in accordance with Local Rule 2.17. All electronic devices may be inspected by court security personnel.

All persons entering any courthouse shall comply with **Los Angeles County Superior Court, Local Rules, rule 2.17(b),** which provides:

> "While in court, no one may engage in photographing, recording, or broadcasting, or activate any camera, microphone, recorder or broadcasting device, except: 1) in a courtroom where the judge has issued an order allowing media coverage under California Rules of Court, rule 1.150 and Local Rule 2.17(d), or expressly granted permission, under California Rules of Court, rule 1.150(d) or otherwise, to photograph, record, and/or broadcast; or 2) outside the courtroom, if it is: i) in a designated media area, or ii) with prior written permission from the Presiding Judge, Supervising Judge, or site judge. No one may carry any camera, microphone, or recording equipment, or activate the image or sound capturing feature of any computer, mobile telephone, watch or

other similar equipment in a courtroom without express written permission from the appropriate judicial officer."

This order does not prohibit the use of portable scanners, cameras, or copiers, provided such devices are used solely for the permissive purpose of imaging documents.

Prior to entering a courtroom, cellular phones, pagers, and all other electronic communication or recording devices must be silenced or, in the discretion of the judicial officer, turned off. No such device shall be handled in any way as to indicate that a picture, audio, or video recording is being taken except in compliance with Local Rule 2.17. Any such device which disrupts the proceedings shall be subject to confiscation and search. Nothing in this order shall restrict a judicial officer's discretion to regulate the use of such devices in their courtroom.

This order is for the protection of the public, all parties, and court personnel, and to facilitate the fair and orderly resolution of cases. This order is subject to modification based upon specific circumstances and the discretion of an individual judicial officer in that judicial officer's courtroom, the courthouse site judge, the Supervising Judge of a district or discipline, or the Assistant Presiding Judge in the event of the unavailability of the Presiding Judge.

A copy of this order shall be posted on the Court's Web site and shall be made reasonably available or posted near every security entry point into each court building, and at such other locations as the Court's Office of Public Safety directs. Violation of this order may result in seizure of the device, monetary sanctions pursuant to section 177.5 of the Code of Civil Procedure, and/or a finding of contempt pursuant to sections 128 and 1209 of the Code of Civil Procedure, and/or arrest pursuant to Penal Code sections 166(a)(4), 166(a)(5), and 632.

Any court staff, security personnel, or peace officer who becomes aware that a person is using a device in violation of this order is directed to advise such individual orally of this order, and take steps to provide the person with a written copy of this order as soon as practical. Security personnel or a peace officer who has reasonable cause to believe a violation of this order has occurred are requested to prepare an incident report, and if the circumstances warrant immediate corrective action because the person persists in violating this order despite being informed of it or has violated the order in a way that appears to have a significant adverse impact upon court security or the fair and orderly resolution of cases, shall

take possession of the device and bring the person without unnecessary delay to the courtroom judicial officer, nearest available site judge, district or discipline Supervising Judge, Assistant Presiding Judge or Presiding Judge, as may be appropriate, to determine if there is sufficient cause to believe there has been a violation of this order without good cause or substantial justification. Such judicial officer may take such action or issue such orders to show cause regarding imposition of sanctions or contempt pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure sections 128, 177.5, and 1209, and concerning the device, as may be appropriate.

GOOD CAUSE APPEARING THEREFOR, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Effective immediately, this General Order is to remain in effect until otherwise ordered by the Presiding Judge.

DATED: January 23, 2023

SAMANTHA P. JESSNER
Presiding Judge


25-14314

LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Safety Information

Date: February 5, 2025 **Law Enforcement Use Only**



03/01/2024 - CDCR 12/11/2023 - LASD

CONFIDENTIAL

Name: Hollywood, Arogant *(his preferred spelling)* **DOB: 12/09/1978 Sex: Male Race: Black**
Height: 6'06'' Weight: 205 lbs. Hair: Black Eyes: Brown CII#:A31858966

Subject is transient and his current location is unknown.

Subject Hollywood was convicted of PC 422 in 2023 and was placed on Felony Probation. The subject then made threatening and harassing remarks to the prosecuting LA County Deputy District Attorney, which resulted in a restraining order being issued. Subject Hollywood continued his harassing behavior, had his probation revoked, and he was remanded into custody at Alhambra Court. The subject was sentenced to State Prison and was released on parole shortly thereafter. The Subject has recently been harassing LADA personnel at the Hall of Justice, Alhambra Court, and Pasadena Court. Subject Hollywood is currently on Parole and is known to frequent the foothill cities of the San Gabriel Valley and was last arrested for a parole violation in the City of Riverside.

Should personnel have contact with Subject Hollywood and his behavior becomes disruptive, immediately contact your on site LASD personnel for assistance.

Ref: LADA – BOI 2024-S-0985

PAGE 01 04/17/25 20:05:46 AHPF PRINT REQUESTED BY TERMINAL AHOF
TO: AHOF FROM: CLETS 04/17/25 20:05:44
4ALHOAHOFI.IB
8AYX.CA0190100
RE: QRR.CA0190100.FCN/2322332200327
MATCH MADE ON
FCN/2322332200327
FCN FIELD SEARCH REVEALS:
FCN/2322332200327
ORI/CA0190095
OCA/19130CH130
NIC/H885489954
*** SERVED CIVIL HARASSMENT ORDER ***
DO NOT ARREST OR DETAIN BASED SOLELY ON THIS RESPONSE
THIS RESTRAINING ORDER RESPONSE MAY BE THE SAME AS:
* * * * * RESTRAINED PERSON INFORMATION * * * * *
NAM/HOLLYWOOD,AROGANT DOB/19781209
SEX/M RAC/B EYE/BRO HAI/BLK

* * * * * PROTECTED PERSON INFORMATION * * * * *
PROTECTED PERSON NAME/ROSENBERG,BRIAN MARK
PROTECTED PERSON SEX/M PROTECTED PERSON RACE/W
PROTECTED PERSON DOB/[redacted]

* * * * * COURT INFORMATION * * * * *
RESTRAINED PERSON PRESENT IN COURT/NO
COURT NAME/LOS ANGELES COUNTY SUPERIOR-NORTH EAST DISTRICT (PASADENA)
COURT PHONE NUMBER/(626) 396-3396
COURT CASE NUMBER/23PDR001713
ISSUE DATE/20231220 EXPIRATION DATE/20261220
CONTACT PROTECTED PERSON/NO - THE RESTRAINED PERSON MUST NOT CONTACT THE PROTECTED PERSON(S) DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY, BY ANY MEANS INCLUDING TELEPHONE, MAIL, EMAIL, OR OTHER ELECTRONIC MEANS - PEACEFUL WRITTEN CONTACT THROUGH 3RD PARTY FOR SERVICE OF LEGAL PAPERS ALLOWED.

ORDER FOR NO ABUSE/YES - THE RESTRAINED PERSON MUST NOT HARASS, INTIMIDATE, MOLEST, ATTACK, STRIKE, STALK, THREATEN, ASSAULT, HIT, ABUSE, DESTROY PERSONAL PROPERTY OF, OR DISTURB THE PEACE OF THE PROTECTED PERSON(S).

THE RESTRAINED PERSON MUST NOT TAKE ANY ACTION TO LOOK FOR THE PROTECTED PERSON(S), INCLUDING ADDRESSES OR LOCATIONS

FIREARM PROVISIONS/CANNOT POSSESS, PURCHASE, OR RECEIVE FIREARMS, RECEIVERS, FRAMES, FIREARM PRECURSOR PARTS, OR AMMUNITION (AS DEFINED BY PC 16150[b]). MUST SURRENDER ALL FIREARMS, RECEIVERS, FRAMES, AND FIREARM PRECURSOR PARTS TO LEA OR SELL TO A LICENSED GUN DEALER ONLY, AND MUST SHOW PROOF OF SURRENDER TO COURT. FOR ANY DOMESTIC VIOLENCE OR JUVENILE RESTRAINING ORDER, MUST ALSO SURRENDER AMMUNITION (AS DEFINED BY PC 16150[b]).

STAY AWAY FROM/PROTECTED PERSON, RESIDENCE, PROTECTED PERSONS VEHICLE
STAY AWAY/0100 YARDS

* * * * * SERVICE INFORMATION * * * * *
SERVED DATE/20231228 TIME/1033
SERVED BY/AGUILAR,DEPUTY/65127
AGENCY/ALHAMBRA POLICE DEPARTMENT
SERVING AGENCY CASE NUMBER/2504932

PROOF OF SERVICE MIS/PROOF OF SERVICE SERVED BY DEPUTY AGUILAR ID 651274
IMMEDIATELY CONFIRM WITH CA0190095 LASO COURT SERVICES CMU ELAO
TELEPHONE [redacted] IF NO ANSWER CALL TELEPHONE [redacted]
COPY OF THE ORDER CAN BE OBTAINED AT
https://lasd.org/courtservices/carpos BY ENTERING THE FCN
********** VIOLATION MESSAGE **********
NUMBER OF PRIOR VIOLATION MSG/000
********** END OF CARPOS MESSAGE **********

COPY

PAGE 01 04/17/25 20:05:57 AHPF PRINT REQUESTED BY TERMINAL AHOF
TO: AHOF FROM: NCIC 04/17/25 20:05:53
4ALHOAHOFJ.IJ
CA0190100

*****WARNING - THE FOLLOWING IS AN EXPIRED NCIC PROTECTION ORDER RECORD. DO NOT SEARCH, DETAIN, OR ARREST BASED SOLELY ON THIS RECORD. CONTACT ENTERING AGENCY TO CONFIRM STATUS AND TERMS OF PROTECTION ORDER*****

MKE/EXPIRED PROTECTION ORDER
ORI/CA0190095 NAM/HOLLYWOOD,AROGANT SEX/M RAC/U
DOB/19781209 HGT/606 WGT/200 EYE/BRO HAI/BLK
SVC/1 - SERVED SVD/20220405
PNO/2AM00052 BRD/N ISD/20220405 EXP/20250405
PCO/01 - THE SUBJECT IS RESTRAINED FROM ASSAULTING, THREATENING, ABUSING,
PCO/HARASSING, FOLLOWING, INTERFERING, OR STALKING THE PROTECTED PERSON AND/OR
PCO/THE CHILD OF THE PROTECTED PERSON.
OCA/19410CR161-2AM00052
MIS/RP MUST STAY 100 YARDS AWAY FROM 101 S FIRST ST ALHAMBRA CA 91801*
DNA/N
ORI IS LOS ANGELES CO SO LOS ANGELES 562 345-4457
PCO/07 - THE SUBJECT IS PROHIBITED FROM POSSESSING AND/OR PURCHASING A FIREARM
PCO/OR OTHER WEAPONS AS IDENTIFIED IN THE MISCELLANEOUS FIELD.
NIC/H887238932 DTE/20220521 0839 EDT DLU/20220521 0839 EDT

ALHAMBRA POLICE DEPARTMENT

Police Report for Incident 25-14319

Nature: 415UN UNWANT SU

Address: 101 S FIRST ST; ALHAMBRA PUBLIC LIBRARY ALHAMBRA CA 91801

Location: DIS6

Offense Codes: 90J, 90Z, 90Z, 90Z, WAR

Received By: ALVAREZ J 711 **How Received:** T **Agency:** ALH

Responding Officers: ROMERO J 104, SANCHEZ J 156, RODRIGUEZ J 101, SORIANO R 230, YANG B 276, CHEN E 114, MARTINEZ F 295

Responsible Officer: YANG B 276 **Disposition:** ACT 04 17 25

When Reported: 16:50:26 04/17/25 **Occurred Between:** 16:50:14 04/17/25 and 16:50:26 04/17/25

Complainant:

Last:		**First:**	**Mid:**
DOB:	** ** **	**Dr Lic:**	**Address:**
Race:	**Sex:**	**Phone:**	**City:**

Offense Codes

Reported:		**Observed:**
Additional Offense:	90J TRESPASS OF REAL PROPERTY	
Additional Offense:	90Z ALL OTHER OFFENSES	
Additional Offense:	90Z ALL OTHER OFFENSES	
Additional Offense:	90Z ALL OTHER OFFENSES	
Additional Offense:	WAR OUTSIDE WARRANTS	

Circumstances

8DSUC De-Escalation Successful

Responding Officers:	**Unit :**
ROMERO J 104	2S
SANCHEZ J 156	201
RODRIGUEZ J 101	202
SORIANO R 230	2L
YANG B 276	4D
CHEN E 114	7Z
MARTINEZ F 295	

Responsible Officer: YANG B 276 **Agency:** ALH

Received By: ALVAREZ J 711
How Received: T Telephone
When Reported: 16:50:26 04/17/25
Judicial Status:
Misc Entry:

Last Radio Log: 18:23:10 04/17/25 1098
Clearance: BKG BOOKING
Disposition: ACT **Date:** 04/17/25
Occurred between: 16:50:14 04/17/25
and: 16:50:26 04/17/25

Modus Operandi:
Demeanor

Description :
Personal Demeanor

Method :
Profane

Involvements

Date	Type	Description	Relationship
04/20/25	Name	ROSENBERG, BRIAN MARK	Victim
04/20/25	Name	LOHGUAN, HILDA HIU	Other
04/20/25	Name	ALHAMBRA LIBRARY,	Victim
04/17/25	Name	HOLLYWOOD, AROGANT	Suspect
04/17/25	Offense	Offense#: 21359 - M - 1 count	Charged With
04/17/25	Offense	Offense#: 21415 - M - 2 counts	Charged With
04/17/25	Offense	Offense#: 21416 - F - 1 count	Charged With
04/17/25	Offense	Offense#: 21417 - M - 1 count	Charged With
04/17/25	Cad Call	16:50:26 04/17/25 415UN UNWANT SU	Initiating Call

Supplement

25-14319

On 04-16-2025 [illegible] Det. [illegible] was contacted by Head Deputy District Attorney C. Nakao and [illegible] County District Attorney Brian Rosenberg via phone, who advised a known restrained person, Shawn- Hollywood, Arsonist had made entry into the [illegible]. On 04-03-2025, the Angeles District Attorney's Office Bureau of Investigation released a Safety Information Bulletin on S-Hollywood and warned of his abusive and threatening behavior towards personnel of the Los Angeles District Attorney's Office.

S-Hollywood's History:

S-Hollywood is a known person most Alhambra PD officers based on the number of contacts and contacts resulting in S-Hollywood's arrest. Just from September 2021 to August 2024, Alhambra PD documented 23 encounters and of those 23 encounters, S-Hollywood was arrested seven times. Most of the calls for service were regarding him being in violation of court order, an unwanted subject, or a subject causing a disturbance.

Prior Terms: On 2016, S-Hollywood was sentenced to 422 PC - Threats to life and was placed on felony parole. At or his conviction from his case, S-Hollywood began to continuously harass DA Jim DA Rosenburg who was assigned his case. Due to S-Hollywood's nonstop harassing behavior towards V-Rosenburg, V-Rosenburg obtained a restraining order against S-Hollywood. The restraining order (23PD0601[illegible]) was granted issued on 12-20-2023 with the expiration date of 12-20-2026 and served to S-Hollywood on 12-28-2023 at 1023 hours. Nevertheless, S-Hollywood continued his behavior and was sentenced to state prison on [illegible]-2024 and released on 04-1 -2024 and placed on parole for 422 PC which expires [illegible]-2027.

After S-Hollywood was released from state prison, the DA County District Attorney's Office personnel distributed a bulletin advising to keep a look out and to contact security for assistance if needed if confronted by S-Hollywood. This bulletin was also distributed to the Alhambra Police Department. Reference DADA-BOI 2024-X-C165.

Investigation:

I asked Head Deputy DA Nakao and V-Rosenburg how S-Hollywood was able to gain entry, despite non-sworn security personnel and Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department (LASD) deputies knowing he was restrained. It was explained S-Hollywood had made an appointment with Building Administrator (BA) Lisa Jackson who granted S-Hollywood permission to enter and notified LASD deputies to allow him in. However, BA Lisa Jackson nor LASD personnel contacted V-Rosenburg or the District Attorney's Office.

Det. Lew #28 and I responded to the Alhambra Superior Courthouse (1 0 W. Commonwealth Ave., Alhambra), both wearing exterior vests with "POLICE" patches displayed. Upon arrival, we were told by LASD S-Hollywood was on the second floor attempting to file paperwork in the Clerk's office with his girlfriend. At the time, he was not causing a disturbance or being violent.

Due to S-Hollywood being on the second floor, Det. Lew and I returned to the DA's Office on the first floor to speak to V-Rosenburg. V-Rosenburg stated he, nor the Head Deputy of the DA Office was notified S-Hollywood would be coming. Earlier, V-Rosenburg was walking to his vehicle parked in the court's parking

for when he saw D-Hollywood walking towards him from Second St. V-Rosenburg was unsure if D-Hollywood saw him, but in fear for his safety, he quickly ran back into the DA office and notified Head Deputy Nakao. At the time, I believed would be an "attempt to arrest" D-Hollywood for violating the court order when another Manager from the courthouse allowed him entry. In fear for his safety and the safety of his family if D-Hollywood were to follow him and or obtain his vehicle's description and license plate, V-Rosenburg asked Det. Lew and I to assist with escorting him out of the building. Det. Lew and I escorted V-Rosenburg out of the building quickly and returned back to the DA office. Based on D-Hollywood's history of disruptive and harassing behavior, the DA Office requested Det. Lew and I provide security while all staff members gather their belongings, enter their personal vehicles, and leave the property's parking lot. Shortly after, all personnel were escorted out quickly while D-Hollywood was still at the Clerk's office.

As Det. Lew and I were returning to the DA office to meet with Head Deputy Nakao, we were notified by courtroom security, D-Hollywood was on the first floor and attempting to go to the DA office. Det. Lew and I located D-Hollywood on the first floor north end, and sitting on a x-ray machine and talking to LASD Sgt. C. Barreras whom had placed himself between the DA Office door and D-Hollywood and was attempting to reason with him and explain that the DA Office had closed for the day.

Det. Lew and I contacted D-Hollywood and explained to D-Hollywood based on his presence along with the active restraining order listing him as a restrained person from V-Rosenburg, the DA Office personnel had left in fear of him. D-Hollywood became extremely agitated and began to yell and exclaim he had paperwork to serve the DA Office, which was direct violation of the restraining order. I attempted to reason with D-Hollywood by explaining to him again all personnel had left. During the contact (16:21:21 hours), agitated, D-Hollywood took off of the x-ray machine he was sitting on and activated his GoPro camera he wore on his chest; VIOLATION 166(a)(4) PC - LA County Superior Court Local Rules 2.11(b) - Prohibiting any person(s) from recording or photographing in the courthouse or courtroom without express permission from the presiding judge. I watched D-Hollywood press a button on the camera which responded by making clear and loud "beeping" sounds and shortly after, its screen activated.

At 16:22:18 hours, D-Hollywood indicated that he had our interaction recorded by stating, "I got it on camera what you said" to me.

At 16:24:43 hours, D-Hollywood stated the following despite being told he was not to go to the DA Office and no one was there to accept any paperwork, "I don't give a fuck. I'm still going to that office." "I don't give a fuck if they left. I'm still gonna go to that office, knock on the door, see if anybody's there, do my due diligence for my camera and my papers and if they're not there, I'm gonna drop em' through that fucking door. Ok. That's how I'm gonna handle it."

At this time, I spoke to LASD Sgt. C. Barreras and notified him D-Hollywood had violated a court order by turning on his GoPro camera but in attempt to de-escalate the situation and not to have to use force to effect an arrest, we would walk away, and Sgt. C. Barreras agreed. Alhambra officers left the courthouse and Det. Lew and I returned to the DA office to meet with Head Deputy District Attorney Nakao and waited until D-Hollywood had left.

25-14319

Shortly after leaving the Alhambra courthouse, Det. Lew and I were informed by APD Dispatch S-Hollywood was now at Alhambra Library (101 S. First St., Alhambra) and causing a disturbance and harassing library staff. Moments after the initial call for service, the Library reconnected APD Dispatch and advised S-Hollywood was on the first floor fighting with another library goer. Patrol units were dispatched and Det. Lew and I arrived shortly after. Upon officers' arrival, the altercation had already dispersed. Library goers (minors) advised (to officers) S-Hollywood had returned to the second floor. APD officers and I located S-Hollywood standing next to the second-floor librarian desk on his cellphone. Det. Lew and I contacted S-Hollywood and asked S-Hollywood to leave the library, but he argued and refused stating the librarian was picking on him and was "a racist dog". At this time, I requested to speak with Library Director Longuan, Miss Hui Kwan whom stated she was desirous of 602(o)(1) PC - Trespass against S-Hollywood. S-Hollywood continued to refuse to leave.

I returned to S-Hollywood and informed him if he would not leave, he would be arrested for trespassing. From the time officers arrived on the second floor and contacted S-Hollywood (~65" hours) to the time he was physically detained by me (~10 hours), Ofc. J. Rodriguez, Det. Lew, and I all attempted to reason with S-Hollywood and asked him to leave peacefully but S-Hollywood continuously refused and stated in summary we would have to arrest him. S-Hollywood then began to give his belongings to his girlfriend and explained to her how to use their home electronic keys to enter their residence. Once he finished explaining things to his girlfriend, I gave him clear verbal commands to turn around and place his hands behind his back and he followed the instructions. S-Hollywood was detained without incident and handcuffed (double-locked). I then searched him incident to arrest for weapons and/or contraband and was met with negative results.

Sgt. Romero, Det. Lew, and I then escorted him from the library to APD Jail without incident and booked him.

See Library Director Longuan's Statement of Private Person Arrest form collected by Ofc. Sanchez #156. The following is Library Director's Longuan's verbatim handwritten statement:

"Patron Arrogant Hollywood came into the library. He was loud and agitated. When staff asked him to quiet down, he got even louder. Another library patron also asked him to be quiet as we are on the quiet floor of the library. He threatened to fight him. He was asked to leave the building multiple times but resisted leaving peacefully."

INTERVIEW - VICTIM: Deputy District Attorney E. Rosenburg

During the initial response to the Alhambra Courthouse/DA Office, the priority was to ensure the safety of protected person DDA Rosenburg, DA/courthouse staff members, along with any other patrons. Once it was determined S-Hollywood was on the second floor, DA/DA Office staff members were evacuated immediately to protect them and their personal vehicles (all with affixed license plates) from being seen by S-Hollywood to avoid harassment and possibly stalking. Due to the above, I was unable to interview V-Rosenberg in person and was attempting to do so once I returned to the Alhambra Police Department. However, I was unable to call V-Rosenburg after leaving the courthouse due to having to respond to the Alhambra Library.

Once S-Hollywood was booked in Alhambra Jail without incident, I contacted V-Rosenburg by phone and he stated the following in summary:

V-Rosenburg was afraid for his safety and the safety of his family after seeing S-Hollywood at the courthouse even after being served the restraining order. Furthermore, S-Hollywood had already violated the restraining order on the following dates/times by sending emails to V-Rosenburg's agents and his coworkers.

Email #1:
From: Arogant Hollywood <[illegible]@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November [illegible], 2023 1:19 AM
To: [illegible]@lasd.org; steidy@lasd.org; kshountnavong@lasd.org; slewis@lasd.org; Brian Rosenberg <BRosenburg@[illegible]county.gov>; rakelrossi@gmail.com; council.rossi@[illegible].gov; ARROGANTHOLLYWOOD[illegible]@gmail.com
Subject: [External] DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, ROSSI, AND OTHER OFFICIALS WILL BE HELD TO IT THAT ROSENBERG AND KHOUNTNAVONG GO TO FEDERAL PRISON

Email #2:
From: arogantholly[illegible]@gmail.com
Date: Tue,[illegible] 2023 [illegible]
Subject: ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. state of california, united states, county of los angeles, city of south pasadena, city of arcadia, et al [DEMAND EMAIL FROM VIDEO THAT PROVES THAT I DID TRESPASS FOR VIOLATING CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE SECTION 422(a)
NOTE: the receiver list is too long to list. See copy of e-mail.

Email #3:
From: Cheryl Taylor <sheriffcitizen@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 13, 2024 6:14 PM
Subject: [External] OFFICIAL COMPLAINT OF ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD ON BEHALF OF ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. sheriff robert luna, et al.
NOTE: the receiver list is too long to list. See copy of e-mail.

Email #4:
From: Arogant Hollywood <arogantholly[illegible]@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, June 8, 2024 8:41 AM
Subject: people v. ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA14055) & UPCOMING FIRST CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT TITLED ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. judy whitehurst, et al [DEMAND PEOPLE AND LASD IMMEDIATELY TURN OVER PHONE LACD GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GLC10586 & GLC10587
NOTE: the receiver list is too long to list. See copy of e-mail.

Email #5:
From: Arogant Hollywood <causeofaction40@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, June 8, 2024 8:58 AM
Subject: people v. ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA14055) & UPCOMING FIRST CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT TITLED ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. judy whitehurst, et al [DEMAND PEOPLE AND LASD IMMEDIATELY TURN OVER PHONE LACD GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GLC10586 & GLC10587 [I GOT A LOT OF DUPES...
NOTE: the receiver list is too long to list. See copy of e-mail.

These e-mails were a direct violation of the restraining order served to S-Hollywood for the protective order stated the following verbatim:
"The restrained person must not contact the protected person(s) directly or indirectly, by any means including telephone, mail, email, or other electronic means - peaceful written contact through 3rd party for service of legal papers allowed."

CONCLUSION:
Based on the above, with the approval of Watch Commander Lt. Soriano, I placed

D'Hollywood, Ronjon under arrest for the following:

166(a)(4) PC - Violating court order not to record within a courthouse pursuant to LA County Superior Court Local Rules 2.17(b) - Prohibiting any person(s) from recording or photographing in the courthouse or courtroom without express permission from the presiding judge.

166(a)(4) PC - Violating court order by contacting McRose Bryant directly and indirectly via email.

602(O)(2) PC - Trespassing. Refusing to leave Alhambra Library upon the request of Library Director.

Along with an outstanding warrant 24F(CM0:3340) for $1,00 for 7875.8)(7) municipal code.

After the booking process, I contacted LA County Parole whom granted the parole hold for violating parole, 3056 PC.

I authored a probable cause declaration which was granted by Honorable Judicial Officer D. Knight: 04-17-25; 1958 hours.

Follow-Up:
On Friday, 04-18-25, I called the Alhambra Courthouse and asked to speak to Building Administrator Lisa Jackson. She later returned my call with Director of Public Security Bryan Glass.

Lisa Jackson stated the following in summary: "On Thursday, 04-17-25, sometime in the morning, D'Hollywood contacted the public line or administrative line and was transferred to Jackson. D'Hollywood called to meet with Jackson to file paperwork motions to his case that V-Rosenburg was assigned to. Jackson spoke to Honorable Presiding Judge V'Alonso who allowed Jackson to allow D'Hollywood to come and file motions to court. Jackson stated IA should have acted as security for the IA staff."

This concluded the phone call. I later e-mail Jackson my contact information and the report number per her request. She never responded to my e-mail.

-End of Report-

Sentryx Booking Information:

Sentryx Booking Number: 11693

Name Number: 18266

Name: HOLLYWOOD, ARROGANT
Phone: (206)471-1344
DOB: 12/09/78
Assigned Bed: "
Booking Date: 04/17/25

Address: 2308 FELICIA AVE
HGHTS, CA 91748
Dr Lic: F2776523
Current Location: "

Sentryx Arrest # 25-0596

Time/Date: 16:50:26 04/17/25
Age at Arrest: 46
Arrest Type: BOOK
Disposition: MIS

Agency: ALH
Location: 101 S FIRST ST; ALHAMBRA PUBLIC LIBRARY
Area: DIS6

Officer: YANG B 276
Reference:

Sentryx Offense # 21359

Statute: 602(M) PC M (32100)
Offense: 90J
Offense Reference:
Related Incident: 25-14319
Entry Code:
Court Code:
Offense Disposition:

Offense Type: S

NCIC:
Crime Class: M
Offense Area: DIS6
Law Jurisdiction: CAS
Offense Location:
Offense Time/Date: 16:50:26 04/17/25
Disposition Date: ** **/**

Sentryx Offense # 21415

Statute: 166(A)(4) PC M (50106)
Offense: 90Z
Offense Reference:
Related Incident: 25-14319
Entry Code:
Court Code:
Offense Disposition:

Offense Type: S

NCIC:
Crime Class: M
Offense Area: DIS6
Law Jurisdiction: CAS
Offense Location:
Offense Time/Date: 17:15:00 04/17/25
Disposition Date: ** **/**

Sentryx Offense # 21416

Statute: 3056 PC F (50075)
Offense: 90Z
Offense Reference:
Related Incident: 25-14319
Entry Code:
Court Code:
Offense Disposition:

Offense Type: S

NCIC:
Crime Class: F
Offense Area: DIS6
Law Jurisdiction: CAS
Offense Location:
Offense Time/Date: 17:15:00 04/17/25
Disposition Date: ** **/**

Sentryx Offense # 21417

Statute: OWMISD 661431
Offense: WAR
Offense Reference:
Related Incident: 25-14319
Entry Code:
Court Code: PSC
Offense Disposition:

Offense Type: S

NCIC:
Crime Class: M
Offense Area: DIS6
Law Jurisdiction: CAS
Offense Location:
Offense Time Date: 17:15:00 04/17/25
Disposition Date: **/**/**

Name Involvements:

Victim : 31418
Last: ROSENBERG **First:** BRIAN **Mid:** MARK
DOB: 03/24/87 **Dr Lic:** **Address:** 150 W COMMONWEALTH AVE; ALHAMBRA SUPERIOR COURT
Race: W **Sex:** M **Phone:** (626)308-5437 **City:** ALHAMBRA, CA 91801

Victim : 79701
Last: ALHAMBRA LIBRARY **First:** **Mid:**
DOB: ** **** **Dr Lic:** **Address:** 101 S FIRST ST; ALHAMBRA PUBLIC LIBRARY
Race: **Sex:** **Phone:** **City:** ALHAMBRA, CA 91801

Suspect : 13266
Last: HOLLYWOOD **First:** ARROGANT **Mid:**
DOB: 12/09/78 **Dr Lic:** F2776523 **Address:** 2308 FELICIA AVE
Race: B **Sex:** M **Phone:** (206)471-1344 **City:** HGHTS, CA 91748

Other : 68804
Last: LOHGUAN **First:** HILDA **Mid:** HIU
DOB: [redacted] **Dr Lic:** [redacted] **Address:** [redacted]
Race: A **Sex:** F **Phone:** [redacted] **City:** [redacted]

Exhibit 5

CR-161

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
STREET ADDRESS: 210 WEST TEMPLE STREET
MAILING ADDRESS:
CITY AND ZIP CODE: LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012
BRANCH NAME: CLARA SHORTRIDGE FOLTZ CRIMINAL JUSTICE CENTER

FOR COURT USE ONLY

CONFORMED COPY
ORIGINAL FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles
APR 21 2025
David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
v
DEFENDANT: AROGANT HOLLYWOOD

CASE NUMBER: 25CRCM02162

CRIMINAL PROTECTIVE ORDER—OTHER THAN DOMESTIC VIOLENCE (CLETS-CPO) (Pen. Code, §§ 136.2, 136.2(i), 136.2(*l*) and 646.9(k))

ORDER PENDING TRIAL: [X] Pen. Code, § 136.2 [ ] MODIFICATION

ORDER UPON CONVICTION: [ ] Pen. Code, § 136.2(i) [ ] Pen. Code, § 646.9(k) [ ] Pen. Code, § 368(*l*)

1. **Restrained person**
*Name: AROGANT HOLLYWOOD *Gender: [X] M [ ] F [ ] Nonbinary *Race: BLK
*Date of birth: 12/09/1978 Height: 6'5" Weight: 245 Hair color: BLK Eye color: BRO

2. **Protected person**
*Name: BRIAN ROSENBERG *Gender: [X] M [ ] F [ ] Nonbinary Age: 38

3. **Additional protected persons**

*Name	*Gender	*Relationship to person in item 2*

a [ ] The court finds that the protected person's family members have been targeted or harmed by the defendant (finding required for postconviction orders issued under Penal Code section 136.2(i))

b [ ] The court finds by clear and convincing evidence that the above named percipient witnesses have been harassed by the defendant (finding required for postconviction orders issued under Penal Code section 136.2(i)(2))

(For items 1, 2, and 3: Information that has a star (*) next to it is required to add this order into the California Restraining and Protective Order System. Please provide all known information.)

4. **Expiration date**
a For pretrial orders, this order remains in effect until further court order. To terminate, courts must use *Notice of Termination of Protective Order in Criminal Proceeding* (form CR-165).
b For postconviction orders, this order expires on *(date)*: (Postconviction orders under Penal Code sections 136.2(i) and 646.9(k) may be valid for up to 10 years.)

5. **Hearing**
This proceeding was heard on *(date)*: April 21, 2025 at *(time)*: 2:00 PM in Dept.: 48
by *(judicial officer)*: THE HONORABLE JUDGE DABNEY

6. **Personal service**
[X] Defendant was personally served with a copy of this order at the court hearing, and no additional proof of service of this order is required.

7. The court finds good cause to grant a protective order. See items 8–15.

To the defendant
- If you do not obey these orders, you can be charged with a crime, go to jail or prison, and/or pay a fine.

8. **No firearms (guns), firearm parts, or ammunition**
a The defendant must not own, possess, buy or try to buy, receive or try to receive, or in any other way get any firearms (guns), firearm parts (meaning receivers, frames, or any item that may be used as or easily turned into a receiver or frame; see Penal Code section 16531), or ammunition. Possession of firearms, firearms parts, or ammunition while this order is in effect may subject the defendant to state or federal prosecution and may include jail or prison time and/or a fine.

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use
Judicial Council of California
CR-161 [Rev. March 1, 2023]
Approved by Department of Justice

DEFENDANT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD

CASE NUMBER 25CJCM02162

8 b. Within 24 hours of receiving this order the defendant must turn in to local law enforcement, or sell to or store with a licensed gun dealer, any firearms or firearm parts owned by the defendant or within the defendant's immediate possession or control.

c. Within 48 hours of receiving this order the defendant must file a receipt with the court showing that all firearms and firearm parts have been turned in, sold or stored.

d. [ ] The court finds good cause to believe that the defendant has a firearm and firearm parts within their immediate possession or control and sets a review hearing for *(date)* *(time)* *(dept.)* to ascertain whether the defendant has complied with the firearm and firearm parts relinquishment requirements of Code of Civil Procedure section 527.9 (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 4.700).

e. [ ] Limited exemption. The court has made the necessary findings to grant an exemption under Code of Civil Procedure section 527.9(f). Under California law, the defendant is not required to relinquish this firearm *(specify make, model, and serial number of firearm)*: but must only have it during scheduled work hours and while traveling to and from their place of work. Even if exempt under California law, the defendant may be subject to federal prosecution for possessing or controlling a firearm.

9 [X] **No dissuading victim or witness (for pretrial orders issued under Penal Code section 136.2(a)(1))**
The defendant must not attempt to or actually prevent or dissuade any victim or witness from attending a hearing, testifying, or making a report to any law enforcement agency or person.

10 **No obtaining addresses (for orders issued under Penal Code section 136.2)**
a. The defendant must take no action to obtain the addresses or locations of protected persons or their family members, caretakers, or guardians unless good cause exists otherwise.
b. [ ] The court finds good cause not to make this order.

11 [X] **Order to not abuse**
Defendant must not harass, strike, threaten, assault (sexually or otherwise), hit, follow, stalk, molest, destroy or damage personal or real property, disturb the peace of, keep under surveillance, annoy by phone or other electronic means (including repeatedly contact), impersonate (on the internet, electronically, or otherwise), or block movements of the protected persons named in items 2 and 3.

12 [X] **No-contact order**
Defendant must not contact the protected persons named in items 2 and 3, directly or indirectly, by any means, including by telephone, mail, email or other electronic means, or through a third party. Contact through an attorney under reasonable restrictions set by the court does not violate this order.

13 [X] **Stay-away order**
Defendant must stay at least 100 yards away from the protected person and their
a. [X] home b. [X] job or workplace c. [X] vehicle d. [ ] other protected person in item 3
e. [X] other locations: 150 W. Commonwealth Avenue, Alhambra, CA 91801

14 [ ] **Exceptions**
Defendant may have peaceful contact with the protected persons named in items 2 and 3, as an exception to the no-contact and stay-away orders in items 12 and 13 of this order, only for the safe exchange of children and court-ordered visitation as stated in
a. [ ] the family, juvenile, or probate court order in *(case number)* issued on *(date)*.
b. [ ] any family, juvenile, or probate court order issued *after* the date this order is signed.

The restrained and protected persons should always carry a certified copy of the most recent order issued by the [illegible] Court, juvenile, or probate court.

15 [ ] **Electronic monitoring**
Defendant must be placed on electronic monitoring for *(specify length of time)*
(Not to exceed 1 year from the date of this order. Pen. Code, § 136.2(a)(1)(G)(iv), (i)(3).)

16 [X] **Other orders**
Stay 100 yards away from the Alhambra Public Library 101 S. First St, Alhambra, CA 91801

Executed on *(date)* April 21, 2025

JUDICIAL OFFICER

DEFENDANT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD | CASE NUMBER 25CJCM02162

Instructions for Law Enforcement

1 Start Date and End Date of Order

This order starts on the date it was issued by a judicial officer
This order ends as ordered in item 4 on page 1 of this order

- Orders under Penal Code section 136.2(a) are valid as long as the court has jurisdiction over the case. They are not valid after imposition of a county jail or state prison commitment. (*People v. Stone* (2004) 123 Cal.App.4th 153.)
- Orders issued under Penal Code sections 136.2(i)(1) and 646.9(k) are valid for up to 10 years and may be issued by the court whether the defendant is sentenced to state prison, county jail, or subject to mandatory supervision or if imposition of sentence is suspended and the defendant is placed on probation.
- To terminate this protective order, courts should use form CR-165, *Notice of Termination of Protective Order in Criminal Proceeding (CLETS-CANCEL)*.

2 Enforcing This Order in California

- This order must be enforced in California by any law enforcement agency that has received the order, or is shown a copy of the order, or has verified its existence on the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS).

3 Conflicting Orders—Priority of Enforcement

If more than one restraining order has been issued protecting the protected person from the restrained person, the orders must be enforced in the following priority (see Penal Code section 136.2 and Family Code sections 6383(h)(2), 6405(b)):

- **Emergency Protective Order (EPO)**: If one of the orders is an *Emergency Protective Order* (form EPO-001), provisions (e.g., stay-away order) that are more restrictive than in the other restraining/protective orders must be enforced. Provisions of another order that do not conflict with the EPO must be enforced.
- **No-Contact Order**: If a restraining/protective order includes a no-contact order, the no-contact order must be enforced. Item 12 is an example of a no-contact order.
- **Criminal Protective Order (CPO)**: If none of the orders includes an EPO or a no-contact order, the most recent CPO must be enforced. (Family Code sections 6383(h)(2), 6405(b).) Additionally, a CPO issued in a criminal case involving charges of domestic violence, Penal Code sections 261, 261.5, or former 262, or charges requiring sex offender registration must be enforced over any civil court order. (Penal Code section 136.2(e)(2).) All provisions in the civil court order that do not conflict with the CPO must be enforced.
- **Civil Restraining Orders**: If there is more than one civil restraining order (e.g., domestic violence, juvenile, elder abuse, civil harassment) then the order that was issued last must be enforced. Provisions that do not conflict with the most recent civil restraining order must be enforced.

Peace Officer Firearm Prohibition Exemption

If a peace officer's employment and personal safety depend on the ability to carry a firearm, a court may grant an exemption that allows the officer to carry a firearm on or off duty, but only if the court finds, after a mandatory psychological examination of the peace officer, that the officer does not pose a threat of harm. (Code Civ. Proc., § 527.9(f).)

Exhibit 6

[redacted]

From: Arogant Hollywood <arogantnollywoodgenius@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 7, 2025 12:39 AM
To: gkennedy@alhambrapd.org; jargusa@alhambraire.org; jbinquist@cityofalhambra.org; tseki@alhambrapd.org; Area1@alhambrapd.org; gspencer@alhambrapd.org; area2@alhambrapd.org; rsoriano@alhambrapd.org; area3@alhambrapd.org; rcastillo@alhambrapd.org; area4@alhambrapd.org; klaing@alhambrapd.org; dfuentes@alhambrapd.org; rgarcia@alhambraca.gov; gdiaz@alhambrapd.org; rrongavilla@alhambrapd.org; dtran@alhambrapd.org; mlee@alhambrapd.org; hreyes@alhambrapd.org; pchung@alhambrapd.org; lwan@cityofalhambra.org; klee@alhambraca.gov; rmaza@cityofalhambra.org; jmaloney@cityofalhambra.org; maloney@smmc.ca.gov; nwang@cityofalhambra.org; aandradestadler@gmail.com; adele.stadler@gmail.com; joestadler@ladwp.com; andrade@eaterlink.net; aandradestadler@yahoo.com; jmontes@bwslaw.com; CABBOTT@bwslaw.com; baffrunti@bwslaw.com; bguerrero@bwslaw.com; gaker@bwslaw.com; danneet@bwslaw.com; maustin@bwslaw.com; rbalchum@bwslaw.com; mtran@bwslaw.com; mbarrett@bwslaw.com; lbalchum@bwslaw.com; dbazzano@bwslaw.com; kberger@bwslaw.com; mbernacchi@bwslaw.com; jlewin@dalacounty.gov; Mara McElvain <MMcElvain@da.lacounty.gov>; Danie Akemon <DAkemon@da.lacounty.gov>; dthorpe@da.lacounty.gov; rebrahim@da.lacounty.gov; Erika Jerez <ejerez@da.lacounty.gov>; dbrosky@da.lacounty.gov; blunsford@da.lacounty.gov; Pak Kouch <pkouch@da.lacounty.gov>; Jodi Taksar <jtaksar@da.lacounty.gov>; ron.goodreau@yahoo.com; ronaldgoodreau@aol.com; Emily Street <estreet@da.lacounty.gov>; Mark Lee <mlee@da.lacounty.gov>; Rose DeMattia <rdemattia@da.lacounty.gov>; Jonathan Chung <JonChung@da.lacounty.gov>; Alva Lin <ALin@da.lacounty.gov>; Michael Michelena <mmichelena@da.lacounty.gov>; srebelis@da.lacounty.gov; Jodi Link <jlink@da.lacounty.gov>; Mij Vellakkatel <mvellakk@da.lacounty.gov>; Joel McComb <jmccomb@smcgov.org>; dmccloskey@smcgov.org; Bradley McCartt <bmccartt@da.lacounty.gov>; Garrett Dameron <gdameron@da.lacounty.gov>; Holly Harpham <hharpham@da.lacounty.gov>; duckett.justice@gmail.com; Keith Duckett <kduckett@da.lacounty.gov>; jfrost@smcgov.org; Jacques Garden <JGarden@da.lacounty.gov>; Norman Hearon <NHearon@da.lacounty.gov>; tpovah@smcgov.org; Heather Borden <hborden@da.lacounty.gov>; Gloria Marin <gmarin@da.lacounty.gov>; Isidoro Baly <ibaly@da.lacounty.gov>; Ruby Arias <RArias@da.lacounty.gov>; Valerie Aenlle Rocha <VAenlle-Rocha@da.lacounty.gov>; Mary Murray <MMurray@da.lacounty.gov>; Jodi Castano <jcastano@da.lacounty.gov>; Kenneth Meyer <KMeyer@da.lacounty.gov>; mmclaughlin@smcgov.org; Amy Pellman Pentz <apellman@da.lacounty.gov>; Tien Pham <tpham@da.lacounty.gov>; mightengale@da.lacounty.gov; John Niedermann

<jniedermann@da.lacounty.gov>; Donn Hoffman <DHoffman@da.lacounty.gov>; ewakabay@da.lacounty; Grace Rai <GRai@da.lacounty.gov>; Bob Chen <bobchen@da.lacounty.gov>; Belle Chen <BChen@da.lacounty.gov>; Frank Dunnick <fdunnick@da.lacounty.gov>; Lisette.suder@edcda.us; Cristine Albanese <CAlbanese@da.lacounty.gov>; John Colello <JColello@da.lacounty.gov>; Elizabeth Ratinoff <ERatinof@da.lacounty.gov>; eratinoff@da.lacounty.gov; Jessie L. McGrath <jmcgrath@da.lacounty.gov>; Louis Avila Jr. <lavila@da.lacounty.gov>; kenvonh@msn.com; kvonhelmolt@da.lacounty.gov; echon@da.lacounty.gov; Jwilson@smcgov.org; June Chung <JChung@da.lacounty.gov>; cfredgren@da.lacounty.gov; Kathy Ta <KTa@da.lacounty.gov>; sungchung@da.lacounty.gov; Susanna Chung <SChung@da.lacounty.gov>; Martin Bean <MBean@da.lacounty.gov>; Tracey Whitney <TWhitney@da.lacounty.gov>; Hubert Yun <HYun@da.lacounty.gov>; Kimberly Abourezk <kabourezk@da.lacounty.gov>; Iliana Alvarez <ialvarez@da.lacounty.gov>; Michael.pizzuti@edcda.us; nhahn@smcgov.org; Cmeaher-walker@da.lacounty.gov; cwalker@da.lacounty.gov; Cynthia Meagher-Walker <cmeagher@da.lacounty.gov>; Frances Young <fyoung@da.lacounty.gov>; afitzgerald@smcgov.org; Gregory Apt <gapt@da.lacounty.gov>; Christine.vonhelmolt@csulb.edu; Christine Von Helmolt <CVonHelmolt@da.lacounty.gov>; Karen Nishita <KNishita@da.lacounty.gov>; Susan Navas <SNavas@da.lacounty.gov>; fnagle@kernda.org; carolyn.palumbo@yolocounty.org; jmarcano@kernda.org; gpearl@kernda.org; dmckillop@kernda.org; jallen@kernda.org; nilackie@kern.org; nlackie@kernda.org; kmarshall@kernda.org; bstallings@kernda.org; deanna.hays@yolocounty.org; csherman@kernda.org; lgarver@kernda.org; rchoi@kernda.org; frits.vanderhoek@yolocounty.org; btaconi@kernda.org; gkohler@kernda.org; lfields@kernda.org; cmcnutt@kernda.org; czimmer@kernda.org; Gina Satriano <GSatriano@da.lacounty.gov>; skatz@da.laocunty.gov; mariaramirez@hotmail.com; Mayra Ramirez <MRamirez@da.lacounty.gov>; Michele Daniels <mdaniels@da.lacounty.gov>; Kellyjean Chun <kchun@da.lacounty.gov>; John Morris <JMorris@da.lacounty.gov>; Peter Cagney <PCagney@da.lacounty.gov>; sfrank6576@aol.com; sfrankland@da.lacounty.gov; Brian Schirn <BSchirn@da.lacounty.gov>; Robert Sherwood <RSherwood@da.lacounty.gov>; Shannon Presby <spresby@da.lacounty.gov>; Margo Baxter <MBaxter@da.lacounty.gov>; lwong@da.lacounty.gov; Alan Yochelson <AYochelson@da.lacounty.gov>; Teresa Gomez <TGomez@da.lacounty.gov>; Christina F. Buckley <CBuckley@da.lacounty.gov>; Val Cole <VCole@da.lacounty.gov>; Shawn Randolph <SRandolph@da.lacounty.gov>; Lori Dery <ldery@da.lacounty.gov>; Yael Massry <YMassry@da.lacounty.gov>; Gregory Jennings <GJennings@da.lacounty.gov>; Hoon Chun <HChun@da.lacounty.gov>; Lowell Anger <langer@da.lacounty.gov>; Sean Hassett <shassett@da.lacounty.gov>; smire@da.lacounty.gov; Gilbert Wright <GWright@da.lacounty.gov>; Laura Jane Kessner <ljkessner@da.lacounty.gov>; Jonlyn Callahan <JCallahan@da.lacounty.gov>; Craig Hum <chum@da.lacounty.gov>; May Chung <MChung@da.lacounty.gov>; Juan Mejia <JMejia@da.lacounty.gov>; Manuel Garcia <MGarcia@da.lacounty.gov>; John Harlan <JHarlan@da.lacounty.gov>; Mykka Piantanida <MPiantanida@da.lacounty.gov>; Guillermo Santiso <gsantiso@da.lacounty.gov>; Priscilla Musso <PMusso@da.lacounty.gov>; Nathan J. Hochman <NHochman@da.lacounty.gov>; Vaenille-rocha@da.lacounty.gov; Daniel Felizzatto <dfelizzatto@da.lacounty.gov>; ttokat@da.cacounty.gov; Michele Hanisee <MHanisee@da.lacounty.gov>; Steven Katz <skatz@da.lacounty.gov>; Jbrooke-castano@da.lacounty.gov; William Frayeh <wfrayeh@da.lacounty.gov>; Jessica Foster <JFoster@da.lacounty.gov>; jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov; Jessica Tillson <jtillson@da.lacounty.gov>;

Mariaramirez65@hotmail.com; Irene Lee <ILee@da.lacounty.gov>; Cris.buckley@uci.edu; Julianne Walker <jwalker@da.lacounty.gov>; Christi Frey <CFrey@da.lacounty.gov>; vrodriguez@dalacounty.gov; John Mc Kinney <McKinney@da.lacounty.gov>; jmckinney@da.lacounty.gov; Kathy Cady <KCady@da.lacounty.gov>; Julie Dixon Silva <jdsilva@da.lacounty.gov>; Arisa Mattson <amattson@da.lacounty.gov>; akarkanen@fa.lacounty.gov; Christopher Baker <ChrisBaker@da.lacounty.gov>; Cynthia Nakao <CNakao@da.lacounty.gov>; Janis Johnson <JJohnson@da.lacounty.gov>; kuyen@da.lacounty.gov; pkouch@da.alacounty.gov; Samuel Hulefeld <shulefeld@da.lacounty.gov>; Marc Beaart <MBeaart@da.lacounty.gov>; bjdodd@dalacounty.gov; Martha Carrillo <MCarrillo@da.lacounty.gov>; Antonio Aguilar <AAguilar@da.lacounty.gov>; Ann Marie Wise <AWise@da.lacounty.gov>; Lee Orquiola <LOrquiola@da.lacounty.gov>; Kevin.s@lacounty.gov; Kevin Swartz <KSwartz@da.lacounty.gov>; akozma@da.lacounty.gov; Amanda Adams <aadams@da.lacounty.gov>; Timothy Richardson <TRichardson@da.lacounty.gov>; timothy@lacounty.gov; sradmore@dal.lacounty.gov; wrosenthal@da.lacounty.gov; wkarfiol@lacounty.gov; cnajera@lacourt.org; mwindham@lacourt.org; Daniel Ilacqua <DIlacqua@da.lacounty.gov>; jonathan@lacounty.gov; jarmour@dalacounty.gov; felicia@lacounty.gov; forman@da.laounty.gov; ryann.jorban@stfrancislaw.com; rgerber@dalcounty.gov; Julia Cohn <JCohn@da.lacounty.gov>; julia@lacounty.gov; Hugh Jackson <HJackson@da.lacounty.gov>; sunita.popal@pepperdine.edu; Sunita Popal <SPopal@da.lacounty.gov>; David Zygielbaum <DZygielbaum@da.lacounty.gov>; Michelle Lewis <MLewis@da.lacounty.gov>; philglaviano@hotmail.com; pglaviano@da.lacounty.gov; thomaskrag@proton.me; tkrag@da.lacounty.gov; Kenneth Lynch <klynch@da.lacounty.gov>; Stefan Mrakich <smrakich@da.lacounty.gov>; cgaines@da.lacounty.gov; Michael Enomoto <MEnomoto@da.lacounty.gov>; Charles Chaiyarachta <CChaiyar@da.lacounty.gov>; rdooley@da.lacounty.gov; Geoffrey Lewin <GLewin@da.lacounty.gov>; ddelimon@gmail.com; Mario Haidar <mhaidar@da.lacounty.gov>; Tina Hooks <THooks@da.lacounty.gov>; Whelma Llanos <wllanos@da.lacounty.gov>; Sean Carney <SCarney@da.lacounty.gov>; Daniel Wright <DWright@da.lacounty.gov>; lholtz@da.lacounty.gov; Diana Martinez <dmartinez@da.lacounty.gov>; dwooden@da.lacounty.gov; Jose Gonzalez <jgonzalez@da.lacounty.gov>; Don Syn <dsyn@da.lacounty.gov>; rchang@da.lacounty.gov; Natasha Cooper <ncooper@da.lacounty.gov>; Alexander Karkanen <AKarkanen@da.lacounty.gov>; Kevin Halligan <KHalligan@da.lacounty.gov>; ralarcon@da.lacounty.gov; sstodel@da.lacounty.gov; David Barkhurst <DBarkhurst@da.lacounty.gov>; Teresa de Castro <tdecastro@da.lacounty.gov>; Duke Chau <dchau@da.lacounty.gov>; Valerie Little <VLittle@da.lacounty.gov>; nflood@da.lacounty.gov; Robert Rabbani <rrabbani@da.lacounty.gov>; tmokayef@da.clacounty.gov; rrose@da.lacounty.gov; Candice Henry <chenry@da.lacounty.gov>; Frank Santoro <FSantoro@da.lacounty.gov>; kstpierre@da.lacounty.gov; John Lewin <johnlwn@gmail.com>; brian.jones@sen.ca.gov; erikandannaapperson@hotmail.com; dbengstib@da.lacounty.gov; Ying.sun@cdcr.ca.gov; pghaderi@counsel.lacounty.gov; rsaldana@counsel.lacounty.gov; ndavis-tinkham@counsel.lacounty.gov; tink4d1@gmail.com; brind0313@gmail.com; darrelltinkham@yahoo.com; itolani@counsel.lacounty.gov; lester.tolnai@yahoo.com; rclester.tolnai71@yahoo.com; pmarshall@counsel.lacounty.gov; Adrienne Byers <abyers@counsel.lacounty.gov>; rcartwright@counsel.lacounty.gov; rwong@counsel.lacounty.gov; tfaughnan@counsel.lacounty.gov; tomfaughnan66@gmail.com; Jennifer <JLehman@counsel.lacounty.gov>; kmiles@counsel.lacounty.gov; rbaeza@counsel.lacounty.gov;

rchastang@counsel.lacounty.gov; lcampo@counsel.lacounty.gov; msimon@counsel.lacounty.gov; emiller@counsel.lacounty.gov; elizabeth_dumais@yahoo.com; kaigrant19@yahoo.com; pmomon@yahoo.com; pbollinger@counsel.lacounty.gov; First District <firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>; secondistrict@bos.la.county.gov; holly4assembly@gmail.com; hmitchell@yahoo.com; hjmitchel@aol.com; Cindy <cchen@bos.lacounty.gov>; nadtinkham@gmail.com; holly@hollyjmitchell.com; rfleming-peters@counsel.lacounty.gov; Margaret Carter <mcarter@counsel.lacounty.gov>; njenkins@lacounty.gov; njenkins@counsel.lacounty.gov; jgonzalez@counsel.lacounty.gov; lowt@metro.net; Tlow77@gmail.com; ryanthurlow@yahoo.com; tlow@counsel.lacounty.gov; tina@jmoilco.com; tlow7@yahoo.com; econard@lacounty.gov; econard@counsel.lacounty.gov; andria.seo@disabilityrightsca.org; schan@counsel.lacounty.gov; eggerse@metro.net; eggers@counsel.lacounty.gov; mrolon@counsel.lacounty.gov; mirder@hotmail.com; doug@homealert.com; Alexandra Zuiderweg <AZuiderweg@counsel.lacounty.gov>; mruben@counsel.lacounty.gov; Caitlin Taylor <ctaylor@counsel.lacounty.gov>; Holly J. Mitchell <HollyJMitchell@bos.lacounty.gov>; Lindsey.P.Horvath@gmail.com; kmuir@counsel.lacounty.gov; CDCRCACLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; CCRCMFLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; CDCRCCILitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; CCDCRCENLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; CDCRCTFLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; CDCRCMCLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; CDCRCORLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; CDCRCIMLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; FCORTEZ@cdcr.gov; Jeff.macomber@cdcr.ca.gov; Jennifer.barretto@cdcr.ca.gov; Tammy.foss@cdcr.ca.gov; Diana.toche@cdcr.ca.gov; albert.lundeen@cdcr.ca.gov; Jennifer.neil@cdcr.ca.gov; Sarah.larson@cdcr.ca.gov; Ron.broomfield@cdcr.ca.gov; Amy.casias@cdcr.ca.gov; Jason.johnson@cdcr.ca.gov; Chris.chambers@cdcr.ca.gov; Stacy.lopez@cdcr.ca.gov; Niki.dhillon@cdcr.ca.gov; Jennifer.benavidez@cdcr.ca.gov; heather.bowlds@cdcr.ca.gov; Jared.lozano@cdcr.ca.gov; Derrick.marion@cdcr.ca.gov; David.chriss@cdcr.ca.gov; Sarina.calderon@cdcr.ca.gov; elizabeth.hom@calbar.ca.gov; johnna.sack@calbar.ca.gov; rocio.avalos@calbar.ca.gov; kristina.ramos@calbar.ca.gov; collin.grant@calbar.ca.gov; louisa.ayrapetyan@calbar.ca.gov; jennifer.stalvey@calbar.ca.gov; ken.nourse@calbar.ca.gov; summer.shelton@calbar.ca.gov; kirsten.galler@calbar.ca.gov; doan.nguyen@calbar.ca.gov; audrey.ching@calbar.ca.gov; laurie.gondreau@calbar.ca.gov; yun.xiang@calbar.ca.gov; mark@fresnocriminallawyer.com; abrahim.bagheri@calbar.ca.gov; carol.kiyotoki@calbar.ca.gov; andrew.vasicek@calbar.ca.gov; mayte.diaz@calbar.ca.gov; duncan.carling@calbar.ca.gov; chelsey.smith@calbar.ca.gov; suzanne.grandt@calbar.ca.gov; gerri.smith@calbar.ca.gov; peter.klivans@calbar.ca.gov; cindy.chan@calbar.ca.gov; teresa.ruano@calbar.ca.gov; patrice.vallier-glass@calbar.ca.gov; naji.khatib@calbar.ca.gov; awndrea.yeboah@calbar.ca.gov; christina.doell@calbar.ca.gov; enrique.zuniga@calbar.ca.gov; kimberly.anderson@calbar.ca.gov; brandon.stallings@calbar.ca.gov; Jose.cisneros@gmail.com; Jose.cisneros@sf.gov; pbarahona@yli.org; Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>; jmerchan@wdacs.lacounty.gov; info@wdacs.lacounty.gov; Arogant Hollywood <arogantmusicinc@gmail.com>; Alison Fairchild <fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com>; Arogant Hollywood <causeofaction43@gmail.com>; blacklawgenius@gmail.com; Alison Fairchild <alisonhfairchild@gmail.com>; Robert Luna <rluna@lasd.org>; rluna@lacounty.gov; atardy@lacounty.org; jtorres@lasd.org; saloma@lacounty.org; llecrivain@lasd.org; bchase@lacounty.gov; rlewis@lacounty.gov; llecrivain@lacounty.org; jmeza@lasd.org; ned.harlan@doj.ca.gov; nishdeep.singh@doj.ca.gov;

robert.bonta@doj.ca.gov; OPSChiefsOffice@doj.ca.gov; peter.halloran@doj.ca.gov; amyhhalloran@yahoo.com; peterhalloran@sbcglobal.net; alisha.carlile@doj.ca.gov; snpdragn@aol.com; acarlile@alum.wellesley.edu; concertina9@yahoo.com; alishastephen@yahoo.com.au; alehr17@u.washington.edu; girlygirl8384@aol.com; akeened@yahoo.com; lish34@hotmail.com; piccbodin@hotmail.com; lachapell_a@yahoo.com; alishaoku@yahoo.com; alisha.mai@gmail.com; alisha.marks@rogers.com; vincent.bonotto@doj.ca.gov; vbonotto@hotmail.com; glenn.pruden@doj.ca.gov; eyeless77@hotmail.com; daniel.olivas@doj.ca.gov; giam.nguyen@doj.ca.gov; chad.stegeman@doj.ca.gov; stephen.lew@doj.ca.gov; christopher.findley@doj.ca.gov; dennis.beck@doj.ca.gov; darrell.spence@doj.ca.gov; maggy.krell@doj.ca.gov; Elaine.tong@doj.ca.gov; hannah.calacsan@doj.ca.gov; mo.park@doj.ca.gov; dbuthler@lasuperiorcourt.org; dslayton@lasuperiorcourt.org; sclover@lasuperiorcourt.org; jmoses@lasuperiorcourt.org; ppenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org; tpenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org; chase.penrose@gmail.com; mackenzienicole@gmail.com; trishpenrose@att.net; amber.barnett@jud.ca.go; john.yee@jud.ca.gov; jenniejasperson@gmail.com; kelton.jasperson@gmail.com; cjasperson2@mindspring.com; judy.jasperson@att.net; randie.chance@doj.ca.gov; gavin.newsom@gov.ca.gov
Subject: [External]FRIVOLOUS CRIMINAL CASE 25CJCM02162-01, FIRST LEGAL WARNING TO COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, ITS DDAS, CITY OF ALHAMBRA AND ITS CONSPIRING ATTORNEYS TO RETURN ALL PROPERTY, DISMISSED ALL FRIVOLOUS FIVE COUNTS, CRIMINALLY C...

Some people who received this message don't often get email from aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com. Learn why this is important

FRIVOLOUS CRIMINAL CASE 25CJCM02162-01, FIRST LEGAL WARNING TO COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, ITS DDAS, CITY OF ALHAMBRA AND ITS CONSPIRING ATTORNEYS TO RETURN ALL PROPERTY, DISMISSED ALL FRIVOLOUS FIVE COUNTS, CRIMINALLY CHARGE RACIST CRIMINAL PROSECUTOR BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG, REPORT MARK ROSENBERG TO THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA, CREATE SUPPLEMENTAL POLICE REPORT THAT HIGHLIGHTS AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S FACTUAL INNOCENCE, AND TURN OVER ALL CRIMINAL CASE DISCOVERY; INCLUDING PHONY PROBABLE CAUSE DETERMINATION.

ALL NATURAL PERSONS ELECTRONICALLY WARNED HEREIN CAN AND WILL BE HELD LIABLE.


I. INTRODUCTION

Rogue, RACIST, prejudiced, biased, corrupt, unethical, poorly trained, and extremely dishonest California peace officer Benjamin Yang (hereinafter "Yang") and his chief of police Garret Kennedy will soon find out the hard way in federal district court that they cannot arrest United States citizens

whenever they want and wherever they see fit.

II. STATEMENT OF FACTS

On November 13, 2023 ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD (hereinafter "KING ARROGANT") sent an electronic mail message to RACIST, unethical, biased, delusional, mentally ill, and corrupt County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutor Brian MARK Rosenberg accusing him of falsifying evidence in his frivolous felony criminal case GA114055. See email below attached herein:




A SERIES OF EMAILS ARE COMING SOON! COMPLETE WITH ALL THE EVIDENCE THAT YOU BROKE FEDERAL LAW BY ABUSING YOUR POWER AND AUTHORITY AS PEACE OFFICERS AND PUBLIC OFFICERS OF THE COURT!

GET READY!!!!!!!!



BECAUSE ROSSI AND THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IS GOING TO SEE TO IT THAT BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG, RICHARD LEWIS, AND BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG BECOME FEDERAL PRISONERS! GUARANTEED!!!!!!!



ROSSI! AMERiCA IS LESS SAFE WITH BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG ON THE STREETS. ITS TIME FOR THE U.S. GOVERNMENT TO LOCK THIS FOOL UP AND DECERTIFY HIM!

HE IS A CROOKED COP THAT HAS BEEN FEDERALLY CHARGED AND UNDER INVESTIGATION FOR CONDUCTING SIMILAR CRIMINAL BEHAVIOR AND CONDUCT HE HAS IN MY CASE. LOCK THIS FOOL UP!

Investigations and Prosecutions

The Department of Justice [illegible] Department's [illegible] investigates and, where the [illegible] uses of deadly force or other violations of [illegible] rights by law enforcement officers. The Department's investigations most often involve [illegible] uses of excessive force, [illegible] sexual misconduct, [illegible] false arrests, and [illegible] reference to serious medical needs of a substantial risk of harm to a person in custody. These cases include [illegible] officers, sheriffs, correctional officers, probation officers, prosecutors, judges, and other federal, state, or local law enforcement officials. The Department's authority extends to all law enforcement misconduct, regardless of whether an officer is on or off duty, so long as there is a basis for claiming that the officer was acting under color of law.

In addition to civil rights violations, the Department prosecutes law enforcement officers who are involved in other forms of obstruction of justice. This includes attempting to prevent a [illegible] witness from reporting criminal conduct, lying to federal, state, or local officials

WHILE LYING BILLY AND RACIST MARK ROSENBERG'S ACTIONS ARE A MISDEMEANOR UNDER FEDERAL LAW. THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE MUST WORK WITH THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE TO CRIMINALLY CHARGE ROSENBERG, LEWIS, AND KHOUNTHAVONG PURSUANT TO VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 6200, WHICH IS A FELONY AND CARRY STATE PRISON TIME!

3-07/220.20 - California Department of Justice Admonishment

3-07/220.00 - Prohibitions
3-07/230.00 - Data Communications Management

As an employee of the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department, you may have access to confidential criminal record and/or Department of Motor Vehicles record information which is controlled by statute. This would include the information in the Los Angeles County Regional Identification System (LACRIS), including the facial recognition tools within LACRIS. Misuse of such information may adversely affect the individual's civil rights and violates the law. Penal Code section 502 prescribes the penalties relating to computer crimes. Penal Code sections 11105 and 13300 identify who has access to criminal history information and under what circumstances it may be released. Penal Code sections 11140-11144 and 13301-13305 prescribe penalties for misuse of criminal history information. Government Code section 6200 prescribes the felony penalties for misuse of public records and CLETS information. Penal Code sections 11142 and 13303 state:

> "Any person authorized by law to receive a record or information obtained from a record who knowingly furnishes the record or information to a person not authorized by law to receive the record or information is guilty of a misdemeanor."

California Vehicle Code section 1808.45 prescribes the penalties relating to misuse of Department of Motor Vehicles record information.

Any employee who is responsible for such misuse is subject to disciplinary action. Violations of this law may also result in criminal and/or civil actions.

BILLY! YOU HAD BETTER RUN AWAY AS FAST YOU CAN FOOL!



MARK Rosenberg used the above electronic mail message sent to him on November 28, 2023 to not only apply for a frivolous civil harassment restraining order but to also request a temporary restraining order by fabricating lies that he believed that KING AROGANT posed a threat to him and his family by stating that he should to to prison for violating California Government Code Section 6200. See MARK Rosenberg's Superior Court of California civil harassment restraining order attachment pages below herein:

SHORT TITLE
Attachment 7b-Previous Harassment

CASE NUMBER
20PDRU017123

ATTACHMENT (Number) _____

(This Attachment may be used with any Judicial Council form.)

I am the assigned prosecutor for the case of People v. Arogant Hollywood, GA114055. Mr. Hollywood was previously charged in People v. Arogant Hollywood, GA113236, wherein after the proceedings, he threatened to sue the named prosecutor and ultimately contacted her and attempted to contact her family members that had nothing to do with that case and threatened to sue them. Said prosecutor is a colleague of mine and was scared by this behavior. I was assigned GA114055 at arraignment on 2/24/23. From the beginning of the case, Mr. Hollywood threatened to sue me in open court numerous times. He called me racist and frequently stated that he wouldn't just sue me but he'd find my entire family and sue them in any court he could, in any state he could. He also called my work phone numerous times and threatened to sue me as well. He made additional threats to file state bar complaints against me as well. Additionally, Mr. Hollywood filed a motion in court wherein he threatened to sue myself and the judge for $14 million dollars (said motion was admitted as People's 2 during Defendant's preliminary hearing). A preliminary hearing was conducted on this matter on April 4, 2023 and April 5, 2023. Mr. Hollywood testified during this hearing. In this hearing, Mr. Hollywood admitted to being a vexatious litigant and admitted to intimidating people "all the time" by threatening to sue them. He further admitted to sending an email to the prior DA and her family because he was upset about the case she prosecuted. He stated "If I sue her family, she'll care. Ms. Lee's family's getting sued. I got her home address too." Mr. Hollywood additionally admitted to threatening to sue me "a lot." Stating further "that's what I do." Given his conduct with the prior DA, along with his conduct on this case, I believe that Mr. Hollywood uses these statements as a means of harassing and intimidating. This case was ultimately resolved on 10/17/23, where Mr. Hollywood pled no contest to the charge of PC 422 and was placed on formal probation. On 11/13/23, I received an email from Mr. Hollywood, threatening to send me to federal prison, and threatening to send me a series of emails. I reported this to LASD. Incident number: 923-11730-0522-217. Due to all this, I am fearful of my safety, along with the safety of my family, and believe that a protective order is necessary to secure their safety.

(If the form that this Attachment concerns is made under penalty of perjury, all statements in this Attachment are made under penalty of perjury.)

Page _____ of _____

(Add pages as required)

MC-025

SHORT TITLE
Attachment 7a(3)-Describe Harassment

CASE NUMBER
23PDR001713

ATTACHMENT (Number):

(This Attachment may be used with any Judicial Council form.)

I received an email from Mr. Hollywood wherein he threatened to send me to federal prison and indicated that a "a series of emails are coming soon! Complete with all the evidence that you broke federal law by abusing your power and authority as peace officers and public officers of the court! Get Ready!!!!!!". In addition to threatening this series of emails, Hollywood also told the detective on our case that "you had better run away as fast you can fool." This is the latest in a string of harassing communications that I believe are only aimed at intimidating and annoying me. This matter was reported to LASD. URN: 923-11730-0522-217.

(If the item that this Attachment concerns is made under penalty of perjury, all statements in this Attachment are made under penalty of perjury.)

Page ___ of ___
(Add pages as required)

Form Approved for Optional Use
Judicial Council of California
MC-025 [Rev. July 1, 2009]

ATTACHMENT
to Judicial Council Form


Harassment, for the purposes of Cal Code Civ Proc § 527.6, is unlawful violence, a credible threat of violence [Code Civ. Proc. § 527.6(b)(2) ("credible threat of violence" is knowing and willful statement or course of conduct that would place a reasonable person in fear for his or her safety or safety of his or her immediately family, and that serves no legitimate purpose)], *Harris v. Stampolis (2016) 248 Cal.App. 4th 484, 495-496, 204 Cal. Rptr. 3d 1.*

A credible threat of violence is a knowing and willful course of conduct directed at a specific person that seriously alarms, annoys, or harasses this person, and that serves no legitimate purpose.

The course of conduct must be such as would cause a reasonable person to suffer substantial emotional distress, and it must actually be caused by substantial emotional

distress to the petitioner filing for a civil harassment restraining order. See Cal Civ Code Proc § 527.6(b)(3); *Huntington Life Sciences, Inc. v. Stop Huntington Animal Cruelty USA, Inc. (2005) 129 Cal. App. 4th 1228, 1257-1258, 29 Cal. Rptr. 3d 521* (liability is based on defendant's credible threats of violence and defendant's lack of intent to actually carry out threats is immaterial)]. Cal Code Civ Proc § 527.6(b)(2) does not define "substantial emotional distress," but it may be properly compared to "severe emotional distress" in the tort context of intentional infliction of emotional distress. In that context, "severe emotional distress" means highly unpleasant mental suffering or anguish from socially unacceptable conduct, which entails such intense, enduring, and nontrivial emotional distress that no reasonable person in a civilized society should be expected to endure it. Therefore, not all actions that give rise to some emotional distress will constitute conduct that "cause[s] a
reasonable person to suffer substantial emotional distress" within the meaning of Cal Code Civ Proc § 527.6, particularly if the distress is but transitory in nature. See *Schild v. Rubin (1991) 232 Cal. App. 3d 755, 762-763, 283 Cal. Rptr. 533.*

CAUTION: This email originated outside of the County (lacounty.gov domain). Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and expect the message.



From: Arogant Hollywood <arogan thollywoodgenius@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 7, 2025 6:01 AM
To: jargusa@alhambrafire.org; jbinnquist@cityofalhambra.org; tseki@alhambrapd.org; Area1@alhambrapd.org; gspencer@alhambrapd.org; area2@alhambrapd.org; rsoriano@alhambrapd.org; area3@alhambrapd.org; rcastillo@alhambrapd.org; area4@alhambrapd.org; klaing@alhambrapd.org; dfuentes@alhambrapd.org; lgarcia@alhambraca.gov; gdiaz@alhambrapd.org; rrongavilla@alhambrapd.org; dtran@slhsmbrapd.org; mlee@alhambrapd.org; hreyes@alhambrapd.org; bchung@alhambrapd.org; luwan@cityofalhambra.org; klee@alhambraca.gov; rmaza@cityofalhambra.org; jmahoney@cityofalhambra.org; jmahoney@smmc.ca.gov; nwang@cityofalhambra.org; aandradestadler@gmail.com; adele.stadler@gmail.com; joestadler@ladwp.com; andrade@eaterhlink.net; aandradestadler@yahoo.com; jmontes@bwslaw.com; CABBOTT@bwslaw.com; baffrunti@bwslaw.com; bguerrero@bwslaw.com; gaker@bwslaw.com; danneet@bwslaw.com; maustin@bwslaw.com; rbalchum@bwslaw.com; mtran@bwslaw.com; mbarrett@bwslaw.com; jbalchum@bwslaw.com; dbazzano@bwslaw.com; kberger@bwslaw.com; mbernacchi@bwslaw.com; Daniel Akemon <DAkemon@da.lacounty.gov>; cthorpe@da.lacounty.gov; Erika Jerez <ejerez@da.lacounty.gov>; dbrosky@da.lacounty.gov; plunsford@da.lacounty.gov; Pak Kouch <pkouch@da.lacounty.gov>; Jodi Taksar <jtaksar@da.lacounty.gov>; ron.goodreau@yahoo.com; ronaldgoodreau@aol.com; Emily Street <estreet@da.lacounty.gov>; Mark Lee <mlee@da.lacounty.gov>; Rose DeMattia <rdematti@da.lacounty.gov>; Jonathan Chung <JonChung@da.lacounty.gov>; Alva Lin <ALin@da.lacounty.gov>; Michael Michelena <mmichelena@da.lacounty.gov>; srebolis@da.lacounty.gov; Jodi Link <jlink@da.lacounty.gov>; Miji Vellakkatel <mvellakk@da.lacounty.gov>; Joel McComb <jmccomb@smcgov.org>; cmccloskey@smcgov.org; Bradley McCartt <bmccartt@da.lacounty.gov>; Garrett Dameron <gdameron@da.lacounty.gov>; Holly Harpham <hharpham@da.lacounty.gov>; duckett.justice@gmail.com; Keith Duckett <kduckett@da.lacounty.gov>; jfrost@smcgov.org; Jacques Garden <JGarden@da.lacounty.gov>; Norman Hearon <NHearon@da.lacounty.gov>; tpovah@smcgov.org; Heather Borden

<hborden@da.lacounty.gov>; Gloria Marin <gmarin@da.lacounty.gov>; Isidoro Baly <ibaly@da.lacounty.gov>; Ruby Arias <RArias@da.lacounty.gov>; Valerie Aenlle-Rocha <VAenlle-Rocha@da.lacounty.gov>; Mary Murray <MMurray@da.lacounty.gov>; Jodi Castano <jcastano@da.lacounty.gov>; Kenneth Meyer <KMeyer@da.lacounty.gov>; mmclaughlin@smcgov.org; Amy Pellman Pentz <apellman@da.lacounty.gov>; Tien Pham <tpham@da.lacounty.gov>; inightengale@da.lacounty.gov; John Niedermann <jniedermann@da.lacounty.gov>; Donn Hoffman <DHoffman@da.lacounty.gov>; ewakabay@da.lacounty; Grace Rai <GRai@da.lacounty.gov>; Bob Chen <bobchen@da.lacounty.gov>; Belle Chen <BChen@da.lacounty.gov>; Frank Dunnick <fdunnick@da.lacounty.gov>; Lisette.suder@edcda.us; Cristine Albanese <CAlbanese@da.lacounty.gov>; John Colello <JColello@da.lacounty.gov>; Elizabeth Ratinoff <ERatinof@da.lacounty.gov>; eratinoff@da.lacounty.gov; Jessie L. McGrath <jmcgrath@da.lacounty.gov>; Louis Avila Jr. <lavila@da.lacounty.gov>; kenvonh@msn.com; kvonhelmolt@da.lacounty.gov; echon@da.lacounty.gov; Jwilson@smcgov.org; June Chung <JChung@da.lacounty.gov>; cfredgren@da.lacounty.gov; Kathy Ta <KTa@da.lacounty.gov>; sungchung@da.lacounty.gov; Susanna Chung <SChung@da.lacounty.gov>; Martin Bean <MBean@da.lacounty.gov>; Tracey Whitney <TWhitney@da.lacounty.gov>; Hubert Yun <HYun@da.lacounty.gov>; Kimberly Abourezk <kabourezk@da.lacounty.gov>; Iliana Alvarez <ialvarez@da.lacounty.gov>; Michael.pizzuti@edcda.us; nhahn@smcgov.org; Cmeaher-walker@da.lacounty.gov; cwalker@da.lacounty.gov; Cynthia Meagher-Walker <cmeagher@da.lacounty.gov>; Frances Young <fyoung@da.lacounty.gov>; afitzgerald@smcgov.org; Gregory Apt <gapt@da.lacounty.gov>; Christine.vonhelmolt@csulb.edu; Christine Von Helmolt <CVonHelmolt@da.lacounty.gov>; Karen Nishita <KNishita@da.lacounty.gov>; Susan Navas <SNavas@da.lacounty.gov>; fnagle@kernda.org; carolyn.palumbo@yolocounty.org; jmarcano@kernda.org; gpearl@kernda.org; dmckillop@kernda.org; jallen@kernda.org; nilackie@kern.org; nlackie@kernda.org; kmarshall@kernda.org; bstallings@kernda.org; deanna.hays@yolocounty.org; csherman@kernda.org; lgarver@kernda.org; rchoi@kernda.org; frits.vanderhoek@yolocounty.org; btaconi@kernda.org; gkohler@kernda.org; lfields@kernda.org; cmcnutt@kernda.org; czimmer@kernda.org; Gina Satriano <GSatriano@da.lacounty.gov>; mariaramirez@hotmail.com; Mayra Ramirez <MRamirez@da.lacounty.gov>; Michele Daniels <mdaniels@da.lacounty.gov>; Kellyjean Chun <kchun@da.lacounty.gov>; John Morris <JMorris@da.lacounty.gov>; Peter Cagney <PCagney@da.lacounty.gov>; sfrank6576@aol.com; sfrankland@da.lacounty.gov; Brian Schirn <BSchirn@da.lacounty.gov>; Robert Sherwood <RSherwood@da.lacounty.gov>; Shannon Presby <spresby@da.lacounty.gov>; Margo Baxter <MBaxter@da.lacounty.gov>; lwong@da.lacounty.gov; Alan Yochelson <AYochelson@da.lacounty.gov>; Teresa Gomez <TGomez@da.lacounty.gov>; Christina F. Buckley <CBuckley@da.lacounty.gov>; Val Cole <VCole@da.lacounty.gov>; Shawn Randolph <SRandolph@da.lacounty.gov>; Lori Dery <ldery@da.lacounty.gov>; Yael Massry <YMassry@da.lacounty.gov>; Gregory Jennings <GJennings@da.lacounty.gov>; Hoon Chun <HChun@da.lacounty.gov>; Lowell Anger <langer@da.lacounty.gov>; Sean Hassett <shassett@da.lacounty.gov>; smire@da.lacounty.gov; Gilbert Wright <GWright@da.lacounty.gov>; Laura Jane Kessner <ljkessner@da.lacounty.gov>; Jonlyn Callahan <JCallahan@da.lacounty.gov>; Craig Hum <chum@da.lacounty.gov>; May Chung <MChung@da.lacounty.gov>; Juan Mejia <JMejia@da.lacounty.gov>; Manuel Garcia <MGarcia@da.lacounty.gov>; John Harlan <JHarlan@da.lacounty.gov>; Mykka Piantanida <MPiantanida@da.lacounty.gov>; Guillermo Santiso

<gsantiso@da.lacounty.gov>; Priscilla Musso <PMusso@da.lacounty.gov>; Nathan J. Hochman <NHochman@da.lacounty.gov>; Vaenille-rocha@da.lacounty.gov; Daniel Felizzatto <dfelizzatto@da.lacounty.gov>; Michele Hanisee <MHanisee@da.lacounty.gov>; Steven Katz <skatz@da.lacounty.gov>; Jbrooke-castano@da.lacounty.gov; William Frayeh <wfrayeh@da.lacounty.gov>; Jessica Foster <JFoster@da.lacounty.gov>; jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov; Jessica Tillson <jtillson@da.lacounty.gov>; Mariaramirez65@hotmail.com; Irene Lee <ILee@da.lacounty.gov>; Cris.buckley@uci.edu; Julianne Walker <jwalker@da.lacounty.gov>; Christi Frey <CFrey@da.lacounty.gov>; vrodriguez@dalacounty.gov; John Mc Kinney <McKinney@da.lacounty.gov>; jmckinney@da.lacounty.gov; Kathy Cady <KCady@da.lacounty.gov>; Julie Dixon Silva <jdsilva@da.lacounty.gov>; Arisa Mattson <amattson@da.lacounty.gov>; Christopher Baker <ChrisBaker@da.lacounty.gov>; Cynthia Nakao <CNakao@da.lacounty.gov>; Janis Johnson <JJohnson@da.lacounty.gov>; kuyen@da.lacounty.gov; pkouch@da.alacounty.gov; Samuel Hulefeld <shulefeld@da.lacounty.gov>; Marc Beaart <MBeaart@da.lacounty.gov>; bjdodd@dalacounty.gov; Martha Carrillo <MCarrillo@da.lacounty.gov>; Antonio Aguilar <AAguilar@da.lacounty.gov>; Ann Marie Wise <AWise@da.lacounty.gov>; Lee Orquiola <LOrquiola@da.lacounty.gov>; Kevin.s@lacounty.gov; Kevin Swartz <KSwartz@da.lacounty.gov>; akozma@da.lacounty.gov; Amanda Adams <aadams@da.lacounty.gov>; Timothy Richardson <TRichardson@da.lacounty.gov>; timothy@lacounty.gov; sradmore@dal.lacounty.gov; wrosenthal@da.lacounty.gov; wkarfiol@lacounty.gov; cnajera@lacourt.org; mwindham@lacourt.org; Daniel Ilacqua <DIlacqua@da.lacounty.gov>; jonathan@lacounty.gov; jarmour@dalacounty.gov; felicia@lacounty.gov; forman@da.laounty.gov; ryann.jorban@stfrancislaw.com; rgerber@dalcounty.gov; Julia Cohn <JCohn@da.lacounty.gov>; julia@lacounty.gov; Hugh Jackson <HJackson@da.lacounty.gov>; sunita.popal@pepperdine.edu; Sunita Popal <SPopal@da.lacounty.gov>; David Zygielbaum <DZygielbaum@da.lacounty.gov>; Michelle Lewis <MLewis@da.lacounty.gov>; philglaviano@hotmail.com; pglaviano@da.lacounty.gov; thomaskrag@proton.me; tkrag@da.lacounty.gov; Kenneth Lynch <klynch@da.lacounty.gov>; Stefan Mrakich <smrakich@da.lacounty.gov>; cgaines@da.lacounty.gov; Michael Enomoto <MEnomoto@da.lacounty.gov>; Charles Chaiyarachta <CChaiyar@da.lacounty.gov>; rdooley@da.lacounty.gov; Geoffrey Lewin <GLewin@da.lacounty.gov>; ddelimon@gmail.com; Mario Haidar <mhaidar@da.lacounty.gov>; Tina Hooks <THooks@da.lacounty.gov>; Whelma Llanos <wllanos@da.lacounty.gov>; Sean Carney <SCarney@da.lacounty.gov>; Daniel Wright <DWright@da.lacounty.gov>; lholtz@da.lacounty.gov; Diana Martinez <dmartinez@da.lacounty.gov>; dwooden@da.lacounty.gov; Jose Gonzalez <jgonzalez@da.lacounty.gov>; Don Syn <dsyn@da.lacounty.gov>; rchang@da.lacounty.gov; Natasha Cooper <ncooper@da.lacounty.gov>; Alexander Karkanen <AKarkanen@da.lacounty.gov>; Kevin Halligan <KHalligan@da.lacounty.gov>; ralarcon@da.lacounty.gov; sstodel@da.lacounty.gov; David Barkhurst <DBarkhurst@da.lacounty.gov>; Teresa de Castro <tdecastro@da.lacounty.gov>; Duke Chau <dchau@da.lacounty.gov>; Valerie Little <VLittle@da.lacounty.gov>; nflood@da.lacounty.gov; Robert Rabbani <rrabbani@da.lacounty.gov>; tmokayef@da.clacounty.gov; rrose@da.lacounty.gov; Candice Henry <chenry@da.lacounty.gov>; Frank Santoro <FSantoro@da.lacounty.gov>; kstpierre@da.lacounty.gov; John Lewin <johnlwn@gmail.com>; brian.jones@sen.ca.gov; erikandannaapperson@hotmail.com; dbengstib@da.lacounty.gov; Ying.sun@cdcr.ca.gov; pghaderi@counsel.lacounty.gov; rsaldana@counsel.lacounty.gov; ndavis-tinkham@counsel.lacounty.gov; tink4d1@gmail.com; brind0313@gmail.com; darrelltinkham@yahoo.com; ltolani@counsel.lacounty.gov; lester.tolnai@yahoo.com;

rclester.tolnai71@yahoo.com; pmarshall@counsel.lacounty.gov; Adrienne Byers <abyers@counsel.lacounty.gov>; rcartwright@counsel.lacounty.gov; rwong@counsel.lacounty.gov; tfaughnan@counsel.lacounty.gov; tomfaughnan66@gmail.com; Jennifer <JLehman@counsel.lacounty.gov>; kmiles@counsel.lacounty.gov; rbaeza@counsel.lacounty.gov; rchastang@counsel.lacounty.gov; lcampo@counsel.lacounty.gov; msimon@counsel.lacounty.gov; emiller@counsel.lacounty.gov; elizabeth_dumais@yahoo.com; kaigrant19@yahoo.com; pmomon@yahoo.com; pbollinger@counsel.lacounty.gov; First District <firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>; secondistrict@bos.la.county.gov; holly4assembly@gmail.com; hmitchell@yahoo.com; hjmitchel@aol.com; Cindy <cchen@bos.lacounty.gov>; nadtinkham@gmail.com; holly@hollyjmitchell.com; rfleming-peters@counsel.lacounty.gov; Margaret Carter <mcarter@counsel.lacounty.gov>; njenkins@lacounty.gov; njenkins@counsel.lacounty.gov; jgonzalez@counsel.lacounty.gov; lowt@metro.net; Tlow77@gmail.com; ryanthurlow@yahoo.com; tlow@counsel.lacounty.gov; tina@jmoilco.com; tlow7@yahoo.com; econard@lacounty.gov; econard@counsel.lacounty.gov; andria.seo@disabilityrightsca.org; schan@counsel.lacounty.gov; eggerse@metro.net; eggers@counsel.lacounty.gov; mrolon@counsel.lacounty.gov; mirder@hotmail.com; doug@homealert.com; Alexandra Zuiderweg <AZuiderweg@counsel.lacounty.gov>; mruben@counsel.lacounty.gov; Caitlin Taylor <ctaylor@counsel.lacounty.gov>; Holly J. Mitchell <HollyJMitchell@bos.lacounty.gov>; Lindsey.P.Horvath@gmail.com; kmuir@counsel.lacounty.gov; CCRCMFLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; CDCRCCILitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; CDCRCTFLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; CDCRCMCLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; CDCRCORLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; CDCRCIMLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; FCORTEZ@cdcr.gov; Jeff.macomber@cdcr.ca.gov; Jennifer.barretto@cdcr.ca.gov; Tammy.foss@cdcr.ca.gov; Diana.toche@cdcr.ca.gov; albert.lundeen@cdcr.ca.gov; Jennifer.neil@cdcr.ca.gov; Sarah.larson@cdcr.ca.gov; Ron.broomfield@cdcr.ca.gov; Amy.casias@cdcr.ca.gov; Jason.johnson@cdcr.ca.gov; Chris.chambers@cdcr.ca.gov; Stacy.lopez@cdcr.ca.gov; Niki.dhillon@cdcr.ca.gov; Jennifer.benavidez@cdcr.ca.gov; heather.bowlds@cdcr.ca.gov; Jared.lozano@cdcr.ca.gov; Derrick.marion@cdcr.ca.gov; David.chriss@cdcr.ca.gov; Sarina.calderon@cdcr.ca.gov; elizabeth.hom@calbar.ca.gov; johnna.sack@calbar.ca.gov; rocio.avalos@calbar.ca.gov; kristina.ramos@calbar.ca.gov; collin.grant@calbar.ca.gov; louisa.ayrapetyan@calbar.ca.gov; jennifer.stalvey@calbar.ca.gov; ken.nourse@calbar.ca.gov; summer.shelton@calbar.ca.gov; kirsten.galler@calbar.ca.gov; doan.nguyen@calbar.ca.gov; audrey.ching@calbar.ca.gov; laurie.gondreau@calbar.ca.gov; yun.xiang@calbar.ca.gov; mark@fresnocriminallawyer.com; abrahim.bagheri@calbar.ca.gov; carol.kiyotoki@calbar.ca.gov; andrew.vasicek@calbar.ca.gov; mayte.diaz@calbar.ca.gov; duncan.carling@calbar.ca.gov; chelsey.smith@calbar.ca.gov; suzanne.grandt@calbar.ca.gov; gerri.smith@calbar.ca.gov; peter.klivans@calbar.ca.gov; cindy.chan@calbar.ca.gov; teresa.ruano@calbar.ca.gov; patrice.vallier-glass@calbar.ca.gov; naji.khatib@calbar.ca.gov; awndrea.yeboah@calbar.ca.gov; christina.doell@calbar.ca.gov; enrique.zuniga@calbar.ca.gov; kimberly.anderson@calbar.ca.gov; brandon.stallings@calbar.ca.gov; Jose.cisneros@gmail.com; Jose.cisneros@sf.gov; pbarahona@yli.org; Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>; jmerchan@wdacs.lacounty.gov; info@wdacs.lacounty.gov; Arogant Hollywood <arogantmusicinc@gmail.com>; Alison Fairchild <fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com>; Arogant Hollywood <causeofaction43@gmail.com>; blacklawgenius@gmail.com; Alison Fairchild <alisonhfairchild@gmail.com>; Robert Luna

<rluna@lasd.org>; rluna@lacounty.gov; atardy@lacounty.org; jtorres@lasd.org; saloma@lacounty.org; Lecrivain@lasd.org; bchase@lacounty.gov; rlewis@lacounty.gov; llecrivain@lacounty.org; jmeza@lasd.org; ned.harlan@doj.ca.gov; nishdeep.singh@doj.ca.gov; robert.bonta@doj.ca.gov; OPSChiefsOffice@doj.ca.gov; peter.halloran@doj.ca.gov; amyhhalloran@yahoo.com; peterhalloran@sbcglobal.net; alisha.carlile@doj.ca.gov; snpdragn@aol.com; acarlile@alum.wellesley.edu; concertina9@yahoo.com; alishastephen@yahoo.com.au; alehr17@u.washington.edu; girlygirl8384@aol.com; akeened@yahoo.com; lish34@hotmail.com; piccbodin@hotmail.com; lachapell_a@yahoo.com; alishaoku@yahoo.com; alisha.mai@gmail.com; alisha.marks@rogers.com; vincent.bonotto@doj.ca.gov; vbonotto@hotmail.com; glenn.pruden@doj.ca.gov; eyeless77@hotmail.com; daniel.olivas@doj.ca.gov; giam.nguyen@doj.ca.gov; chad.stegeman@doj.ca.gov; stephen.lew@doj.ca.gov; christopher.findley@doj.ca.gov; dennis.beck@doj.ca.gov; darrell.spence@doj.ca.gov; maggy.krell@doj.ca.gov; elaine.tong@doj.ca.gov; hannah.calacsan@doj.ca.gov; mo.park@doj.ca.gov; dbuthler@lasuperiorcourt.org; dslayton@lasuperiorcourt.org; sclover@lasuperiorcourt.org; jmoses@lasuperiorcourt.org; ppenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org; tpenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org; chase.penrose@gmail.com; mackenzienicole@gmail.com; trishpenrose@att.net; amber.barnett@jud.ca.go; john.yee@jud.ca.gov; jennjejasperson@gmail.com; kelton.jasperson@gmail.com; cjasperson2@mindspring.com; judy.jasperson@att.net; randie.chance@doj.ca.gov; gavin.newsom@gov.ca.gov; gkennedy@alhambrapd.org; FWakabayashi@da.lacounty.gov; bdodd@da.lacounty.gov; nforman@da.lacounty.gov; Tamar Tokat <ttokat@da.lacounty.gov>; tmokayf@da.lacounty.gov; Sara Radmore <SRadmore@da.lacounty.gov>; andrade@earthlink.net; Ryann Gerber Jorban <RGerber@da.lacounty.gov>; Victor Rodriguez <VRodriguez@da.lacounty.gov>; John Lewin <JLewin@da.lacounty.gov>; atardy@lasd.org; ctran@alhambrapd.org; secondistrict@bos.lacounty.gov; Dean Bengston <DBengston@da.lacounty.gov>; jragusa@alhambrafire.org; remorahim@da.lacounty.gov; risnridanos516@gmail.com; prentice.hill@cdcr.ca.gov

Subject: [External]FRIVOLOUS CRIMINAL CASE 25CJCM02162-01, FIRST LEGAL WARNING TO COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, ITS DDAS, CITY OF ALHAMBRA AND ITS CONSPIRING ATTORNEYS TO RETURN ALL PROPERTY, DISMISSED ALL FRIVOLOUS FIVE COUNTS, CRIMINALLY C...

Some people who received this message don't often get email from atoga@hollywoodgenius@gmail.com. Learn why this is important

FRIVOLOUS CRIMINAL CASE 25CJCM02162-01, FIRST LEGAL WARNING TO COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, ITS DDAS, CITY OF ALHAMBRA AND ITS CONSPIRING ATTORNEYS TO RETURN ALL PROPERTY, DISMISSED ALL FRIVOLOUS FIVE COUNTS, CRIMINALLY CHARGE RACIST CRIMINAL PROSECUTOR BRIAN MARK

ROSENBERG, REPORT MARK ROSENBERG TO THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA, CREATE SUPPLEMENTAL POLICE REPORT THAT HIGHLIGHTS AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S FACTUAL INNOCENCE, AND TURN OVER ALL CRIMINAL CASE DISCOVERY; INCLUDING PHONY PROBABLE CAUSE DETERMINATION

FRIVOLOUS CRIMINAL CASE 25CJCM02162-01, FIRST LEGAL WARN NG TO COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY S OFFICE, ITS DDAS, CITY OF ALHAMBRA AND ITS CONSPIRING ATTORNEYS TO RETURN ALL PROPERTY, DISMISSED ALL FRIVOLOUS FIVE COUNTS, CRIMINALLY CHARGE RACIST CRIMINAL PROSECUTOR BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG, REPORT MARK ROSENBERG TO THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA, CREATE SUPPLEMENTAL POLICE REPORT THAT HIGHLIGHTS AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S FACTUAL INNOCENCE, AND TURN OVER ALL CRIMINAL CASE DISCOVERY; INCLUDING PHONY PROBABLE CAUSE DETERMINATION

ALL NATURAL PERSONS ELECTRONICALLY WARNED HEREIN CAN AND WILL BE HELD LIABLE.

I. INTRODUCTION

Rogue, RACIST, prejudiced, biased, corrupt, unethical, poorly trained, and extremely dishonest California peace officer Benjamin Yang (hereinafter "Yang") and his chief of police Garret Kennedy will soon find out the hard way in federal district court that they cannot arrest United States citizens whenever they want and wherever they see fit.

II. STATEMENT OF FACTS

On November 13, 2023 AROGANT HOLLYWOOD [hereinafter "KING AROGANT] sent an electronic mail message to RACIST, unethical, biased, delusional, mentally ill, and corrupt County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutor Brian MARK Rosenberg accusing him of falsifying evidence in his frivolous felony criminal case GA114055. See email below attached herein:


ALL NATURAL PERSONS ELECTRONICALLY WARNED HEREIN CAN AND WILL BE HELD LIABLE

I. INTRODUCTION

Rogue, RACIST, prejudiced, biased, corrupt, unethical, poorly trained, and extremely dishonest California peace officer Benjamin Yang (hereinafter "Yang") and his chief of police Garret Kennedy will soon find out the hard way in federal district court that they cannot arrest United States citizens whenever they want and wherever they see fit

II. STATEMENT OF FACTS

On November 13, 2023 ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD (hereinafter "KING ARROGANT) sent an electronic mail message to RACIST, unethical, biased, delusional, mentally ill, and corrupt County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutor Brian MARK Rosenberg accusing him of falsifying evidence in his frivolous felony criminal case GA114055. See email below attached herein:




A SERIES OF EMAILS ARE COMING SOON! COMPLETE WITH ALL THE EVIDENCE THAT YOU BROKE FEDERAL LAW BY ABUSING YOUR POWER AND AUTHORITY AS PEACE OFFICERS AND PUBLIC OFFICERS OF THE COURT!

GET READY!!!!!!!!



BECAUSE ROSSI AND THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IS GOING TO SEE TO IT THAT BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG, RICHARD LEWIS, AND BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG BECOME FEDERAL PRISONERS! GUARANTEED!!!!!!!



ROSSI! AMERICA IS LESS SAFE WITH BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG ON THE STREETS. ITS TIME FOR THE U.S. GOVERNMENT TO LOCK THIS FOOL UP AND DECERTIFY HIM!

HE IS A CROOKED COP THAT HAS BEEN FEDERALLY CHARGED AND UNDER INVESTIGATION FOR CONDUCTING SIMILAR CRIMINAL BEHAVIOR AND CONDUCT HE HAS IN MY CASE. LOCK THIS FOOL UP!

Investigations and Prosecutions

The Department of Justice. The Department vigorously investigates and prosecutes violations of federal civil rights laws. Federal prosecutions of Constitutional violations by law enforcement officers. The Department's investigations most often involve alleged uses of excessive force, or bias-motivated sexual misconduct, theft, false arrest, or deliberate indifference to serious medical needs or a substantial risk of harm to a person in custody. These cases typically involve police officers, correctional officers, probation officers, prosecutors, judges, and others who act under color of state law. The Department's civil rights laws extend to all law enforcement conduct, regardless of whether an officer is on or off duty, so long as the officer is acting, or claiming to act, in his/her official capacity.

In addition to Constitutional violations, the Department prosecutes law enforcement officers for certain instances of obstruction of justice. These include attempting to prevent a victim or witness from reporting criminal misconduct by federal, state or local officials during the course of an investigation into the potential misconduct, or providing false information to cover up misconduct in order to mislead investigators.

The principles of federal prosecution are set forth in the United States Attorneys Manual (USAM). Regarding federal prosecution, the USAM states as follows with respect to sworn law enforcement:

First, the government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant committed a federal crime. Second, the government must also prove that the government actor was acting to prevail at trial, where the government must prove the charges beyond a reasonable doubt.

To prove a violation of § 242, the government must prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt: First, that the defendant deprived a victim of a right protected by the Constitution or laws of the United States. Second, that the defendant acted willfully, that is, the defendant must have acted with the specific intent to deprive a person of a federal right. Section 242 states, in part, that it is a crime for a person acting under color of law to willfully deprive a person of a federally protected right. Acts under color of law include acts not only taken by state officials exercising their lawful duties, but also acts done by state officials whose actions are unlawful and beyond the bounds of their lawful authority, if the officials misuse or abuse a power that is possessed by virtue of state law. The following allegations, if proved, would establish that the defendant used, attempted to use, or threatened to use a dangerous weapon, explosives, or fire in the course of the offense: the victim suffered bodily injury during the defendant's actions; the offense included the kidnapping or attempted kidnapping, aggravated sexual abuse or attempted aggravated sexual abuse, or the attempted murder of the victim. Otherwise, the government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant acted willfully, that is, that the defendant acted with the specific intent to deprive a person of a federally protected right. In order to establish willfulness, the government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant knew that his conduct was wrong. The defendant need not have known that he was violating the federal statute specifically, but he must have known that his conduct was wrong and against the law and decided to do it anyway. Therefore, even if the government can prove beyond a reasonable doubt that an individual's Constitutional rights were violated, § 242 requires that the government also prove that the law enforcement officer intended to engage in the unlawful conduct and that he/she did so knowing that it was wrong or unlawful. New Shreds v. United States, 325 U.S. 91, 101-107 (1945). Mistake, poor supervision or even poor judgment does not constitute willful conduct prosecutable under the statute.

WHILE LYING BILLY AND RACIST MARK ROSENBERG'S ACTIONS ARE A MISDEMEANOR UNDER FEDERAL LAW. THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE MUST WORK WITH THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE TO CRIMINALLY CHARGE ROSENBERG, LEWIS, AND KHOUNTHAVONG PURSUANT TO VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 6200, WHICH IS A FELONY AND

CARRY STATE PRISON TIME!



MARK Rosenberg used the above electronic mail message sent to him on November 28, 2023 to not only apply for a frivolous civil harassment restraining order but to also request a temporary restraining order by fabricating lies that he believed that KING AROGANT posed a threat to him and his family by stating that he should to to prison for violating California Government Code Section 6200. See MARK Rosenberg's Superior Court of California civil harassment restraining order attachment pages below herein.

MC-025

SHORT TITLE
Attachment 7b-Previous Harassment

CASE NUMBER
23PDRU01713

ATTACHMENT (Number):

(This Attachment may be used with any Judicial Council form.)

I am the assigned prosecutor for the case of People v. Arogant Hollywood, GA114055. Mr. Hollywood was previously charged in People v. Arogant Hollywood, GA113236, wherein after the proceedings, he threatened to sue the normal prosecutor and ultimately contacted her and attempted to contact her family members that had nothing to do with that case and threatened to sue them. Said prosecutor is a colleague of mine and was scared by this behavior. I was assigned GA114055 at arraignment on 2/24/23. From the beginning of the case, Mr. Hollywood threatened to sue me in open court numerous times. He called me racist and frequently stated that he wouldn't just sue me but he'd find my entire family and sue them in any court he could, in any state he could. He also called my work phone numerous times and threatened to sue me as well. He made additional threats to file state bar complaints against me as well. Additionally, Mr. Hollywood filed a motion in court wherein he threatened to sue myself and the judge for $14 million dollars (said motion was admitted as People's 2 during Defendant's preliminary hearing). A preliminary hearing was conducted on this matter on April 4, 2023 and April 5, 2023. Mr. Hollywood testified during this hearing. In this hearing, Mr. Hollywood admitted to being a vexatious litigant and admitted to intimidating people "all the time" by threatening to sue them. He further admitted to sending an email to the prior DA and her family because he was upset about the case she prosecuted. He stated "If I sue her family, she'll care. Ms. Lori's family's getting sued. I got her home address too." Mr. Hollywood additionally admitted to threatening to sue me "a lot." Stating further "that's what I do." Given his conduct with the prior DA, along with his conduct on this case, I believe that Mr. Hollywood uses these statements as a means of harassing and intimidating. This case was ultimately resolved on 10/13/23, where Mr. Hollywood pled no contest to the charge of PC 422 and was placed on formal probation. On 11/13/23, I received an email from Mr. Hollywood, threatening to send me to federal prison, and threatening to send me a series of emails. I reported this to LASD. Incident number: 923-11730-0522-217. Due to all this, I am fearful of my safety, along with the safety of my family, and believe that a protective order is necessary to secure their safety.


(If this form that this Attachment supports is made under penalty of perjury, all statements in this Attachment are made under penalty of perjury.)

Page ___ of ___

Add pages as required

Form Approved for Optional Use
Judicial Council of California
MC-025 [Rev. July 1, 2008]

ATTACHMENT
to Judicial Council Form



SHORT TITLE
Attachment 7a(3)-Describe Harassment
25PD00171 3
ATTACHMENT (Number)
(This Attachment may be used with any Judicial Council form.)
I received an email from Mr. Hollywood wherein he threatened to send me to federal prison and indicated that a "a series of emails are coming soon! Complete with all the evidence that you broke federal law by abusing your power and authority as peace officers and public officers of the court! Get Ready!!!!!". In addition to threatening this series of emails, Hollywood also told the deputies on our case that "you had better run every as fast you can fool." This is the latest in a string of harassing communications that I believe are only aimed at intimidating and annoying me. This matter was reported to LASD. URN: 923-11730-0522-217.

(If this form [illegible] is made under penalty of perjury, all statements in this Attachment are made under penalty of perjury.)
Page ___ of ___
(Add pages as required)
ATTACHMENT
to Judicial Council Form

Harassment, for the purposes of Cal Code Civ Proc § 527.6, is unlawful violence, a credible threat of violence [Code Civ. Proc. § 527.6(b)(2) ("credible threat of violence" is knowing and willful statement or course of conduct that would place a reasonable person in fear for his or her safety or safety of his or her immediately family, and that serves no legitimate purpose)]. *Harris v. Stampolis (2016) 248 Cal.App. 4th 484, 495-496, 204 Cal. Rptr. 3d 1.*

A credible threat of violence is a knowing and willful course of conduct directed at a specific person that seriously alarms, annoys, or harasses this person, and that serves no legitimate purpose.

The course of conduct must be such as would cause a reasonable person to suffer substantial emotional distress, and it must actually be caused by substantial emotional distress to the petitioner filing for a civil harassment restraining order. See Cal Civ Code

Proc § 527.6(b)(3); *Huntington Life Sciences, Inc. v. Stop Huntington Animal Cruelty USA, Inc. (2005) 129 Cal. App. 4th 1228, 1257-1258, 29 Cal. Rptr. 3d 521* (liability is based on defendant's credible threats of violence and defendant's lack of intent to actually carry out threats is immaterial)]. Cal Code Civ Proc § 527.6(b)(2) does not define "substantial emotional distress," but it may be properly compared to "severe emotional distress" in the tort context of intentional infliction of emotional distress. In that context, "severe emotional distress" means highly unpleasant mental suffering or anguish from socially unacceptable conduct, which entails such intense, enduring, and nontrivial emotional distress that no reasonable person in a civilized society should be expected to endure it. Therefore, not all actions that give rise to some emotional distress will constitute conduct that "cause[s] a reasonable person to suffer substantial emotional distress" within the meaning of Cal Code Civ Proc § 527.6, particularly if the distress is but transitory in nature. See *Schild v. Rubin (1991) 232 Cal. App. 3d 755, 762-763, 283 Cal. Rptr. 533.*

MARK Rosenberg s above attachment page allegations were insufficient evidence for the unfair and corrupt Superior Court of California judicial officer to grant either a temporary restraining order or a permanent restraining order.

MARK Rosenberg's fabricated statements tnat KING AROGANT stated that a serious of emails were coming proved to be irrelevant. As KING AROGANT never followed up to MARK Rosenberg's by sending him a second email.

Thus, in the seventeen months MARK Rosenberg had only received one single email from KING AROGANT. A single incident was not for MARK Rosenberg to even file a second restraining order. See caselaw below:

A single incident of harassment is not a course of conduct, see *Leydon v. Alexander (1989) 212 Cal. App. 3d 1, 4 260 Cal. Rptr. 253.* Moreover, constitutionally protected activity, such as the right to petition administrative, executive, or judicial agencies, is not included within the meaning of a course of conduct. See Cal Code Civ Proc § 527, *Leydon v. Alexander (1989) 212 Cal App 3d 1, 5, 260 Cal. Rptr. 253; Smith v. Silvey (1983) 149 Cal. App. 3d 406-407, 197 Cal. Rptr. 15.*

Additionally, pursuant to California Penal Code Section 646.6, subsection (f) MARK Rosenberg's allegations that KING AROGANT threatened and harassed him by sending him an email stating that he should go to prison did not rise to the level of unlawful violence and harassment because KING AROGANT'S written electronic mail statements were in exercise of his First Amendment Freedom of Speech Clause constitutional civil rights. See below:

(f) For the purposes of this section, "course of conduct" means two or more acts occurring over a period of time, however short, evidencing a continuity of purpose. Constitutionally protected activity is not included within the meaning of "course of conduct."

MARK Rosenberg's frivolous and bogus Superior Court of California civil harassment restraining order filed originally in November 2023 (23PDRO01713) recklessly infringed upon KING AROGANT'S 1st amendment and Fourteenth amendment constitutional civil rights and make it extremely difficult for KING AROGANT to request MARK Rosenberg turn over and disclose numerous pieces of evidence in frivolous felony criminal case GA114055.

On November 28, 2023 KING AROGANT sent an email to numerous County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors detailing how rogue peace officer and RACIST criminal prosecutor Brian MARK Rosenberg had falsified evidence in illegal case GA114055. Brian MARK Rosenberg was not included on the nearly 500 persons email list. See evidence below attached hereto and marked as "Exhibit 1".

Gmail

Alison Fairchild <alisonhfairchild@gmail.com>


AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. state of california, united states, county of los angeles, city of south pasadena, city of arcadia, et al [SECOND EMAIL] [REAL VIDEO THAT PROVES THAT I WAS FRAMED FOR VIOLATING CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE SECTION 422(a)

103 messages

Arrogant Hollywood <arogantholly=oodgenius@gmail.com> Tue, Nov 28, 2023 at 4:02 AM

To: attorney@nelopdahl.com, Arrogant Hollywood <arogantholly=woodgenius@gmail.com>, arogantmusicinc@gmail.com, fairchildadachruleader@gmail.com, causeofaction43@gmail.com, blacklaw-genius@gmail.com, alisonhfairchild@gmail.com, "blacklaw-crusader@gmail.com" <blacklaw-crusader@gmail.com>, rkuns@lasd.org, rkuns@lacounty.gov, sterchy@lacounty.org, jtorres@lasd.org, salcorta@lacounty.org, lfecrivain@lasd.org, bchase@lacounty.gov, rlewis@lacounty.gov, lfecrivain@lacounty.org, jmeza@lasd.org, ned.nerian@ddq.ca.gov, nwindeep.singh@ddq.ca.gov, robert.bonta@doj.ca.gov, OPSOfficeOffice@doj.ca.gov, peter.halloran@doj.ca.gov, amyhhalloran@yahoo.com, peterhalloran@sbcglobal.net, alisha.carlile@doj.ca.gov, enpdrago@aol.com, acerles@alum.wellesley.edu, concetvenal@yahoo.com, alishastephen@yahoo.com.au, alishv17@u.washington.edu, gehygirl8364@aol.com, alisenea@yahoo.com, lash34@hotmail.com, jacobsdm@hotmail.com, lachapel_a@yahoo.com, alishaoliu@yahoo.com, alisha.mai@gmail.com, alisha.marks@rogers.com, vincent.bonotto@doj.ca.gov, vbonotto@hotmail.com, glenn.pruden@doj.ca.gov, eyelass77@hotmail.com, daniel.olivas@doj.ca.gov, gwin.nguyen@doj.ca.gov, chad.stegeman@doj.ca.gov, stephen.lew@doj.ca.gov, christopher.findley@doj.ca.gov, dennis.beck@doj.ca.gov, daniel.iperson@doj.ca.gov, maggy.tree@doj.ca.gov, Elaine.tong@doj.ca.gov, hannah.calleo.sen@doj.ca.gov, mo.paris@doj.ca.gov, dbuthner@lasuperiorcourt.org, dseyton@lasuperiorcourt.org, rcrover@lasuperiorcourt.org, jmoses@lasuperiorcourt.org, jperrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, tperrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, chase.perrose@gmail.com, mack-enbenwoode@gmail.com, trishperrose@att.net, TCP.UAC_CEAC@jud.ca.gov, afisher@lasuperiorcourt.org, jonathan.sobeyran@jud.ca.gov, mary.carter@jud.ca.gov, john.williams@jud.ca.gov, amber.barnett@jud.ca.gov, robert.oyung@jud.ca.gov, john.yee@jud.ca.gov, heather.pettit@jud.ca.gov, leah.rose-goodwin@jud.ca.gov, mark.dusman@jud.ca.gov, cory.jesperson@jud.ca.gov, jennieajesperson@gmail.com, kelton.jesperson@gmail.com, cjesperson2@mindspring.com, judy.jesperson@att.net, randee.chance@doj.ca.gov, randee.chance@jud.ca.gov, Bobby.brow@jud.ca.gov, stephen.nash@jud.ca.gov, debbie.silcox@jud.ca.gov, laura.speed@jud.ca.gov, andrea.evans@jud.ca.gov, nicole.clara@jud.ca.gov, gavin.newsom@gov.ca.gov, robert.rivas@asm.ca.gov, isaac.bryan@asm.ca.gov, cecilia.aguiar-curry@asm.ca.gov, stephanie.nguyen@asm.ca.gov, lori.wilson@asm.ca.gov, matt.haney@asm.ca.gov, heath.flora@asm.ca.gov, laura.dahlen@asm.ca.gov, turfritecr@yahoo.com, turfritee@aol.com, turfritee@yahoo.com, jerri.davis@wpa.net, vhuston@indy.rr.com, james.gallagher@asm.ca.gov, isa.calderon@asm.ca.gov, john.actkin@asm.ca.gov, alisa.buckley@asm.ca.gov, benjamin.allen@sen.ca.gov, marie.alvarado-gil@sen.ca.gov, bob.archuleta@sen.ca.gov, angelique.ashby@sen.ca.gov, lorri.attkins@sen.ca.gov, josh.becker@sen.ca.gov, catherine.blakespear@sen.ca.gov, steven.bradford@sen.ca.gov, anna.caballero@sen.ca.gov, steve.cortese@sen.ca.gov, brian.dahle@sen.ca.gov, steven.glazer@sen.ca.gov, lena.gonzalez@sen.ca.gov, shannon.grove@sen.ca.gov, melissa.hurtado@sen.ca.gov, brian.jones@sen.ca.gov, john.laird@sen.ca.gov, monique.limon@sen.ca.gov, mike.mcguire@sen.ca.gov, caroline.menjivar@sen.ca.gov, dave.min@sen.ca.gov, josh.newman@sen.ca.gov, janet.nguyen@sen.ca.gov, roger.nielio@sen.ca.gov, rosilicie.ochoa-bogh@sen.ca.gov, steve.padilla@sen.ca.gov, anthony.portantino@sen.ca.gov, richard.roth@sen.ca.gov, susan.rubio@sen.ca.gov, kelly.seyarto@sen.ca.gov, nancy.skinner@sen.ca.gov, tola.smallwood-cuevas@sen.ca.gov, henry.stern@sen.ca.gov, thomas.umberg@sen.ca.gov, aisha.wahab@sen.ca.gov, scott.wiener@sen.ca.gov, scott.wilk@sen.ca.gov, brendan.murphy@sen.ca.gov, steve.vereis@sen.ca.gov, nancy.pelosi@mail.house.gov, kevin.mccarthy@mail.house.gov, maxine.waters@mail.house.gov, kathleen.porter@mail.house.gov, katie.porter@mail.house.gov, karen.bass@mail.house.gov, mike.thompson@mail.house.gov, tom.mcclintock@mail.house.gov, ami.bera@mail.house.gov, doris.matsui@mail.house.gov, Rep.Garamendi <john.garamendi@mail.house.gov>, josh.harder@mail.house.gov, Mark.desaulnier@mail.house.gov, josh.duarte@mail.house.gov, eric.swalwell@mail.house.gov, kevin.mullin@mail.house.gov, anna.eshoo@mail.house.gov, ro.khanna@mail.house.gov, zoe.lofgren@mail.house.gov, Jimmy.panetta@mail.house.gov, kevinmccarthy@mail.house.gov, jim.costa@mail.house.gov, Jay.obernolte@mail.house.gov, salud.carbajal@mail.house.gov, Raul.ruiz@mail.house.gov, julia.brownley@mail.house.gov, mike.garcia@mail.house.gov, judy.chu@mail.house.gov, tony.cardenas@mail.house.gov, adam.schiff@mail.house.gov, brad.sherman@mail.house.gov, pete.aguilar@mail.house.gov, jimmy.gomez@mail.house.gov, Sydney.kamlager-dove@mail.house.gov, linda.sanchez@mail.house.gov, mark.takano@mail.house.gov, young.kim@mail.house.gov, ken.calvert@mail.house.gov, robert.garcia@mail.house.gov, nanette.barragan@mail.house.gov, michelle.steel@mail.house.gov, lou.correa@mail.house.gov,

abe.porter@mail.house.gov; darnell.vasa@mail.house.gov; mike.levin@mail.house.gov; scott.peters@mail.house.gov;
sara.jacobs@mail.house.gov; juan.vargas@mail.house.gov; scheduling_padilla@padilla.senate.gov;
casework@padilla.senate.gov; david_moreno@padilla.senate.gov; joshua_esquivel@padilla.senate.gov;
david_grannis@feinstein.senate.gov; justin_scherdin@feinstein.senate.gov; rachel_bombach@feinstein.senate.gov;
president@whitehouse.gov; Kamala.Harris@whitehouse.gov; leah.wilson@caltax.ca.gov; leah.wilson50@yahoo.com;
Elizabeth.horn@caltax.ca.gov; gina.crawford@caltax.ca.gov; rita.furnes@caltax.ca.gov; peterdeuch@astuacpa.org;
manuel.alvarez@post.ca.gov; jim.gralliou@post.ca.gov; pgralliou@yahoo.com; jamesgralliou@aol.com;
jane.gralliou@yahoo.com; annemarie.mugnaio@post.ca.gov; annemariedelmugnaio@gmail.com;
chesendixon@aol.com; dbugni@aol.com; sonya.bassett@post.ca.gov; somyer@comcast.net; cheryl.smith@post.ca.gov;
colin.steele@post.ca.gov; csteele@post.ca.gov; dulce_csteele4u@yahoo.com; ulce_csteele4u@aol.com;
gspringer3@yahoo.com; csteele@ci.davis.ca.us; conn.o'steele530@verizon.net; cs'steele1514@bellsouth.net;
sarah.wallace@post.ca.gov; william.darden@post.ca.gov; william.darden@doj.ca.gov; rphyvey@uspcdp.edu;
williamdarden@gmail.com; William.darden@uni.com; carma.holler@post.ca.gov; carma.berg82@gmail.com;
dberg@scsanscounty.com; carma.holler@aol.com; erik.epperson@post.ca.gov; illey6808@gmail.com;
willey6808@gmail.com; erikandannaepperson@hotmail.com; onecubestar@hotmail.com; sgrundmeier@hotmail.com;
lodders@hotmail.com; erik.epperson@hotmail.com; erik.epperson@yahoo.com; slb2@post.ca.gov;
christine.ford@post.ca.gov; michelle.weiler@post.ca.gov; wildcatters@msn.com; cstarweiler@msn.com;
reagan.poulos@post.ca.gov; roseanne.richest@post.ca.gov; thomas.chest@post.ca.gov; katie.sinclidenx@post.ca.gov;
kirk.bunch@post.ca.gov; brad.hemmyer@post.ca.gov; thilo.redford@post.ca.gov; brian.south@post.ca.gov;
goodwin.liu@ucd.ca.gov; tani.cantil-sakauye@jud.ca.gov; joshua.groben@jud.ca.gov; martin.jenkins@jud.ca.gov;
patricia.guerrero@jud.ca.gov; carol.corrigan@jud.ca.gov; leondra.kruger@jud.ca.gov; kelli.evans@jud.ca.gov;
firstdistrict@jbos.lacounty.gov; ochen@jbos.lacounty.gov; seconddistrict@jbos.lavcounty.gov; hollyassembly@gmail.com;
hmitchell@yahoo.com; kumbaas@cs.ebrs; hymitchell@aol.com; fumbers@mcfo.com; holly@hollymitchell.com; rfleming-
peters@counsel.lacounty.gov; mcarter@counsel.lacounty.gov; ryantone@lacounty.gov; rpintons@counsel.lacounty.gov;
jgonzalez@counsel.lacounty.gov; lowe@metro.net; Tlow77@gmail.com; ryanthurlow@yahoo.com; tlow@lacounty.gov;
tlow@counsel.lacounty.gov; tina@tmolaco.com; tlow7@yahoo.com; econard@lacounty.gov;
econard@counsel.lacounty.gov; paperhendoza18@gmail.com; chesaconrad@gmail.com; rmconard@gmail.com;
kdluvebarney@aol.com; susanconard@aol.com; s.conard@conardphoto.com; susan@conardphoto.com;
econard@conardphoto.com; sales@conardphoto.com; support@conardphoto.com; scalline@cfeat.org;
adrian@conardphoto.com; conard@conardphoto.com; fawino@counsel.lacounty.gov; dbarnes@counsel.lacounty.gov;
dunngfrank@gmail.com; brucenguyen@gmail.com; dunngfrank@hotmail.com; don@lacounty.gov;
dnghem@counsel.lacounty.gov; schoi@counsel.lacounty.gov; lfang@counsel.lacounty.gov; cesar@lacounty.gov;
cdelpezar@counsel.lacounty.gov; cdelperal@aol.com; cesar_del_peral@yahoo.com; cdelperal@yahoo.com;
cesardelperal1@yahoo.com; thefiyngtree33@gmail.com; cjuandle79@yahoo.com; allana.sarah28@gmail.com;
rfstacy@gmail.com; lnaversleep55.mh@gmail.com; rourke@lacounty.gov; rstacy@counsel.lacounty.gov; rstacy;
pedilla@counsel.lacounty.gov; vovena@counsel.lacounty.gov; mearle@counsel.lacounty.gov;
dcarlos@county.lacounty.gov; dcarlos@counsel.lacounty.gov; victoriacarlos@gmail.com; dcarlos31@gmail.com;
debbie.carlos818@verizon.net; victoria.carlos@gmail.com; humbert@pmerc.com; info@pmi-inc.com; pericarte@aol.com;
jcarlos@mremc.la.edu; yngdesa@gmail.com; richard.padilla@disney.com; andria.seo@disabilityrights.org;
andseo@gmail.com; washew-wing@hotmail.com; echu@counsel.lacounty.gov; sgipson@counsel.lacounty.gov;
wadyseq@gmail.com; wadyseq@aol.com; Dhrubajyoti Deb <dpdesign@gmail.com>; michaelsterseimer@gmail.com;
mariugor@aol.com; rolexbt@yahoo.com; dhvester22@yahoo.com; dhvester@yahoo.com; sehon@counsel.lacounty.gov;
ngarcia@yahoo.com; gingerxia@msn.com; gproducer@hotmail.com; mramirez@gcs@aol.com;
azrudenweg@counsel.lacounty.gov; mrudent@counsel.lacounty.gov; cdaytor@counsel.lacounty.gov;
schen@counsel.lacounty.gov; lamur@counsel.lacounty.gov; eggerter@mateo.net; eggers@counsel.lacounty.gov;
mrolon@counsel.lacounty.gov; merden@hotmail.com; doug@homestart.com; doug.richardson@homestart.com;
pgheiden@counsel.lacounty.gov; rsaldana@counsel.lacounty.gov; rdavis-linkhem@counsel.lacounty.gov; Nicole Davis
<nikdu.davis1212@gmail.com>; link4d1@gmail.com; nadlinkhem@gmail.com; brindl0313@gmail.com;
darrellinkhem@yahoo.com; jwhitehead@counsel.lacounty.gov; tlolsen@counsel.lacounty.gov; lesler.tolnes@yahoo.com;
rolester.tolnes71@yahoo.com; pwershall@counsel.lacounty.gov; sbyers@counsel.lacounty.gov;
rcartwright@counsel.lacounty.gov; rwong@counsel.lacounty.gov; tlaughnan@counsel.lacounty.gov;
tomlaughnan66@gmail.com; jfehmen@counsel.lacounty.gov; lsmiles@counsel.lacounty.gov;
rbeeze@counsel.lacounty.gov; slluhn@counsel.county.gov; rchestang@counsel.lacounty.gov;
tolmpo@counsel.lacounty.gov; msimon@counsel.lacounty.gov; asmiler@counsel.lacounty.gov;
elizabeth_dumas@yahoo.com; lukegrant18@yahoo.com; princeton@yahoo.com; pbolinger@counsel.lacounty.gov;
kbowser@counsel.lacounty.gov; rebrahim@da.lacounty.gov; kbeyene@da.lacounty.gov; dlerch@da.lacounty.gov;
lawrence@lacounty.gov; lawrence@da.lacounty.gov; mbessard@da.lacounty.gov; ron.goltz@lacounty.gov;
rgoltz@da.lacounty.gov; Marek Elho <marek.elho@gmail.com>; wilhem@verizon.net; eric.castano@charter.net;
jcastano@da.lacounty.gov; eric.castano@uno.com; ericcastano@gmail.com; Jlinguez@da.lacounty.gov;
fsanchez@da.lacounty.gov; rarcos@da.lacounty.gov; jrodriguez@dalacounty.gov; evroo@da.lacounty.gov;
pagraw-s@da.lacounty.gov; onelise@da.lacounty.gov; vgadams@msn.com; gjameson@da.lacounty.gov;
tjvasquez@da.lacounty.gov; ldroeger@da.lacounty.gov; jtsilva@ceo.lacounty.gov; jsilva@da.lacounty.gov



hborden@da lacounty gov amattson@da lacounty gov aralano@da lacounty gov shaungipson@gmail com
sgipson@da lacounty gov twright@da lacounty gov mcarrillo@da lacounty gov gdameron@da lacounty gov
ayochelson@da lacounty gov spressly@da lacounty gov shseven@da lacounty gov bjdodd@da lacounty gov
lorquiola@da lacounty gov mramirez@da lacounty gov rdarcon@da lacounty gov shufefield@da lacounty gov
smeh@da lacounty gov mhedar@da lacounty gov ptrouch@da lacounty gov vrodriguez@da lacounty gov
dpurves@da lacounty gov rarias@lacounty gov ezmesmer@socal rr com evelyn znesmer@yahoo com
samalenz78@hotmail com znesmer@yahoo com samuel znesmer@yahoo com dephotrem@hotmail com
daphne znesmer@gmail com dznesmer@yahoo com InspectorGeneral@oig lacounty gov doug mcmanus@ussdoj gov
melinda rogers@ussdoj gov stacie bass@ussdoj gov courtney spivey-urschel@ussdoj gov AskDOJ@ussdoj gov
merrick garland@whitehouse gov SupremeCt@mail@ussdoj gov tomy monaco@ussdoj gov vanita gupta@whitehouse gov
kristen clarke@ussdoj gov Christopher wray@whitehouse gov christopher@fbi gov paul abbate@ic fbi gov
paul abbate@whitehouse gov paulabbate@yahoo com pat180@hotmail com fdsanchez@beligardens org
"bgbercenam@gmail com" <bgbercenam@gmail com> "jchavez@beligardens org" <jchavez@beligardens org>
ggomez@beligardens org mpulido@beligardens org MOKelly@beligardens org starfield@beligardens org Esteban
Perez <EPerez@bgpd org> starfield@bgpd org lguzmansanchez@hotmail com lguzmansanchez@aol com
thomasguzmansanchez@hotmail com guzmansanchez@aol com ceo@jpmediagroup com
tjevmmuzic@dancemaster com r@dancemaster com godaddy@dancemaster com

On February 21 2023 I was falsely arrested by LASD Temple City peace officers for making criminal threats

On February 21 2023 shortly after arriving at Temple City adult detention center I told detective peace officer Billy Khounthavong and his superior sergeant Richard Lewis that if they brought me my mobile phone and GOPRO camera I could present to them audio and video evidence that would prove I did not make any threat

On February 22 2023 rogue and corrupt LASD peace officer Billy Khounthavong and his superior Richard Lewis recklessly conspired to violate my civil rights by disposing of Samsung Galaxy S21 Ultra mobile phone which I told them contained on it audio recordings and text messages that would exonerate me

On February 22 2023 Billy Khounthavong filed a bogus police report alleging that a search warrant for my GOPRO was issued by the dishonest racist and bias judicial officer Suzette Cloven The search warrant was so bogus that I never received a certified copy of it despite requesting it on numerous occasions The bogus warrant was alleged to have been electronically filed yet it did not have the words duplicate original written on it and it did not have the execution date and time written on it I was never served and did not receive a copy of it until March 2023

On February 21 2023 County of Los Angeles rogue peace officer A Contreras created a fraudulent and counterfeit probable cause determination declaration in which he stated that the Honorable Jane Seng approved his bogus PCD at approximately 1 p m a time she was on her lunch break But it gets worse while LASD has its own system for entering PCD and sending them to the court There are no records of Contreras' bogus PCD Also the fact that Contreras alleged that his PCD was approved and probable cause was found non telephonically was a bald face lie Probable cause is usually found at a preliminary hearing when it's non telephonic Additionally a court reporter was required to transcribe Contreras' non telephonic probable cause determination declaration See now California Penal Code Section 817 subdivision (g) Additionally LASD and rogue peace officer A Contreras will and recklessly failed to file a certificate of service with Jane Seng's court after the alleged arrest warrant was approved by her See now California Penal Code Section 817(i) LASD and A Contreras never filed a certificate of service because they knew that their probable cause determination declaration was shamed bogus counterfeit false forged and fraudulent

On or about February 23 2023 rogue and dishonest peace officer detective lying Billy Khounthavong wrongfully and unnecessarily sent my GOPRO HERO 10 action camera to LASD and Ventura Sheriff's Office's joint Fraud and Cyber Crimes Southern California High Tech Task Force so that they could illegally manipulate my GOPRO videos to make me look guilt of a crime they knew that I did not commit


At the preliminary hearing on April 4 2023 and April 5 2023 dishonest deputy district attorney Brian Mark Rosenberg willfully wantonly and recklessly violated California Evidence Code Section 1522 subdivision (b) by presenting to the court as evidence unoriginal slanted tampered with edited modified and altered GOPRO video recordings

At the preliminary hearing on April 5 2023 Brian Mark Rosenberg assisted Billy Khoungthavong in testifying falsely that I shut off my GOPRO for seven minutes during the make believe knife and criminal threats seperate incidents While Billy Khounthavong was on the stand racist biased and dishonest criminal prosecutor Brian Mark Rosenberg played an edited and altered GOPRO video recording that depicted and showed me shutting off my GOPRO camera

Exhibit 1, pages 1, and 3 of KING AROGANT'S electronic mail messages sent to DDA's and Sheriff Robert Luna proves that Brian MARK Rosenberg was not sent an electronic mail message from KING AROGANT on November 28, 2023.

On December 20, 2023 prejudiced, biased, and RACIST Superior Court of California judicial officer Timothy Martella willfully, recklessly, maliciously, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, deliberately, and callously granted MARK Rosenberg's frivolous request for a three year injunction.

On February 13, 2024 KING AROGANT sent an email to numerous County of Los Angeles attorneys and LASD Sheriff Robert Luna detailing how he was being mistreated while in the custody of County of Los Angeles. Brian MARK Rosenberg was not included on the nearly 20 persons email list. See evidence below attached hereto and marked as "Exhibit 2".



Gmail — Alison Fairchild <alisonhfairchild@gmail.com>

OFFICIAL COMPLAINT OF ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD ON BEHALF OF AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. sherrif robert luna, et al.

1 message

Cheryl Kayler <chendit3raden@gmail.com> Tue, Feb 13, 2024 at 5:14 PM

To: cparker@da.lacounty.gov, vrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov, swood@da.lacounty.gov, tcheung4ev@gmail.com, rohew@lapd.lacounty.gov, jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov, smire@da.lacounty.gov, mfeader@da.lacounty.gov, Ron Gellz <rgellz@da.lacounty.gov>, gascon@da.lacounty.gov, Neil Opdahl-Lopez <attorney@neilopdahl.com>, rgarcia@pubdef.lacounty.gov, jesaoli@pubdef.lacounty.gov, rmarquez@pubdef.lacounty.gov, mrawe@pubdef.lacounty.gov, jackson@law.pepperdine.org, jackson@lasuperiorcourt.org, sanzodelegal@lapd.lacounty.gov, Arogant Hollywood <arogantholllywood@yahoo.com>, Alison F. [illegible] <alisonhfairchild@gmail.com>, lacitizencrusader@gmail.com, "Cheryl (Chen) Kayler" <chen@yourdocumentsdoctor.com>, causeaffection43@gmail.com, Arogant Hollywood <arogantmuseonc@gmail.com>, fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com, vrilasobos@lasuperior.org, vrilasobos@lasuperior.org, rmrilasobos@lasuperiorcourt.org, sclover@lasuperior.org, sclover@lasuperiorcourt.org, robert.bonta@doj.ca.gov, pghaderi@counsel.lacounty.gov, atowers@counsel.lacounty.gov, mwimon@counsel.lacounty.gov, amelitacon@da.lacounty.gov, gaten626@gmail.com, bhernandez@pubdef.lacounty.gov, jfrochaz@pubdef.lacounty.gov, leahl <leon@calbar.ca.gov>, leah <leon50@yahoo.com>, firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, cchen@bos.lacounty.gov, seconddistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, Third District <thirddistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>, seconddistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, thirddistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, fourthdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, hmitchell@yahoo.com, elizabeth.horn@calbar.ca.gov, jmccrawford@calbar.ca.gov, rpantone@counsel.lacounty.gov, obennett@counsel.lacounty.gov, rkane@lasd.org, cdelperal@counsel.lacounty.gov, adavis-brisham@counsel.lacounty.gov, nedbrisham@gmail.com, jwhitehurst@counsel.lacounty.gov, pmarshall@counsel.lacounty.gov, rcarlwright@counsel.lacounty.gov, gbontzelis.jr@counsel.lacounty.gov, rwong@counsel.lacounty.gov, tlaughnan@counsel.lacounty.gov, tomlaughnan@gmail.com, jtatarian@counsel.lacounty.gov, kmeles@counsel.lacounty.gov, rbeeza@counsel.lacounty.gov, rchastang@counsel.lacounty.gov, lchurnpo@counsel.lacounty.gov, emiller@counsel.lacounty.gov, pbollinger@counsel.lacounty.gov, kbowker@counsel.lacounty.gov, rebrahim@da.lacounty.gov, fdioyanz@da.lacounty.gov, dteran@da.lacounty.gov, lserrano@da.lacounty.gov, mbasen@da.lacounty.gov, gmeguez@da.lacounty.gov, fsanchez@da.lacounty.gov, rarcos@da.lacounty.gov, jrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov, pagrewal@da.lacounty.gov, cnelson@da.lacounty.gov, vsantie rochoa@da.lacounty.gov, vgadams@msn.com, ljuessner@da.lacounty.gov, Larry Droeger <ldroeger@da.lacounty.gov>, jsilva@da.lacounty.gov, hbordere@da.lacounty.gov, agipson@da.lacounty.gov, hwright@da.lacounty.gov, mcarrillo@da.lacounty.gov, Alan Yochelson <ayochelson@da.lacounty.gov>, spriestly@da.lacounty.gov, shassett@da.lacounty.gov, bylodd@da.lacounty.gov, lorquido@da.lacounty.gov, lorquido@da.lacounty.gov, mramirez@da.lacounty.gov, rsiarcon@da.lacounty.gov, whutsfield@da.lacounty.gov, Mario Hester <mhester@da.lacounty.gov>, pkouch@da.lacounty.gov, dpurves@da.lacounty.gov, cperk@da.lacounty.gov, renee@da.lacounty.gov, courtney.spivey.urschel@us.doj.gov, courtney.spivey@us.doj.gov, doug.mcmanus@us.doj.gov, melinda.rogers@us.doj.gov, robert.mccarty@us.doj.gov, asedov@us.doj.gov, supremectbriefs@us.doj.gov, kerrymonaco@us.doj.gov, kerrymonaco@us.doj.gov, elizabeth.prelogar@us.doj.gov, anitzavarela.gupta@whitehouse.gov, kristen.clarke@us.doj.gov, criminal.division@us.doj.gov, nmacella@gmail.com, nmacella@us.doj.gov, brian.boynton@us.doj.gov, david.weiss@us.doj.gov, jackson@us.doj.gov, rakelrose@gmail.com

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD

533 92 3047

12-09-1978

Booking: 6715488

LASD, LASD Medical Services, LA County CHS, LA County Mental Health, Sheriff Luna, County of Los Angeles and Jane and John DOES 1 through 20 are all recklessly, wantonly, maliciously and willfully violating 42 USC Sections 1981, 1983, 1985, 1986, 12131, 12132, Title 15, California Penal Code Section 6030 (Minimum Standards in local correctional facilities) my fourth, sixth, eighth and fourteenth amendment constitutional rights by recklessly and wantonly doing the following

Exhibit 2, page 1 of KING AROGANT'S electronic mail messages sent to DDA's and Sheriff Robert Luna proves by clear and convincing evidence that Brian MARK Rosenberg was not sent an electronic mail message from KING AROGANT on February 13, 2024.

On June 8, 2024 KING AROGANT sent two emails to over fifty County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors and attorneys including Victor Manuel Rodriguez, James William Garrison, Janis Eve Johnson, Stephanie Pear Mire, former District Attorney Geroge Gascon, and numerous County of Los Angeles Office of County Counsel attorneys. KING AROGANT'S two June 8, 2024 electronic mail messages were also sent to numerous CDCR executives and peace officers including CDCR director Jeffrey Macomber, CDCR Adult Parole Supervision director Jason Johnson, CDCR peace officer Jesse Ochoa, and KING AROGANT'S former CDCR parole officer Fernando Cortez. Brian MARK Rosenberg was not included on the nearly 100 persons email list. See first June 8, 2024 email sent at 8:03 AM evidence below attached hereto and marked as "Exhibit 3".

Gmail

Arogant Hollywood <arogantHollywoodgenius@gmail.com>


people v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA114055) & UPCOMING FIRST CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT TITLED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. judy whitehurst, et al [DEMAND PEOPLE AND LASD IMMEDIATELY TURN OVER PHONY LASD GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GLO10586 & GLO10587

100 messages

Arogant Hollywood <arogantHollywoodgenius@gmail.com> Sat, Jun 8, 2024 at 8:03 AM

To: seconddistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, seconddistrict@bos.la.county.gov, "HollyHAssembly@gmail.com" <HollyHAssembly@gmail.com>, hmitchell@aol.com, jmerchant@--dacs.lacounty.gov, info@--dacs.lacounty.gov, arogantmuseone@gmail.com, ferchitadadamsauction@gmail.com, qtaussefoudsonk34@gmail.com, blackdawgsmusic@gmail.com, alisonhtanchez@gmail.com, rfurnas@lasd.org, rfurnas@lacounty.gov, atardy@lacounty.org, jtomas@lasd.org, jatomas@lacounty.org, fleonnann@lasd.org, bchase@lacounty.gov, rlewis@lacounty.gov, fleonnann@lacounty.org, jmeza@lasd.org, ned.harlan@doj.ca.gov, rashdeep.singh@doj.ca.gov, robert.bonta@doj.ca.gov, OPSChiefsOffice@doj.ca.gov, peter.halloran@doj.ca.gov, amyhhalloran@yahoo.com, peterhalloran@sbcglobal.net, alisha.carlile@doj.ca.gov, snpdregn@aol.com, acarlile@alum.wellesley.edu, cancerbrat9@yahoo.com, alishastephen@yahoo.com.au, alishr17@u.washington.edu, garlygirl6364@aol.com, alkeenad@yahoo.com, ash34@hotmail.com, jacobodin@hotmail.com, lachapell_a@yahoo.com, alishaolau@yahoo.com, alisha.mas@gmail.com, alisha.marks@rogers.com, vincent.bonofiglio@doj.ca.gov, vbonofiglio@hotmail.com, glenn.pruden@doj.ca.gov, eyelees77@hotmail.com, daniel.olives@doj.ca.gov, glenn.nguyen@doj.ca.gov, chad.stegeman@doj.ca.gov, stephen.lew@doj.ca.gov, christopher.findley@doj.ca.gov, dennis.beck@doj.ca.gov, darrell.spence@doj.ca.gov, maggy.krell@doj.ca.gov, Elaine.tong@doj.ca.gov, hannah.calecsan@doj.ca.gov, mo.parti@doj.ca.gov, dbuhler@lasuperiorcourt.org, dalleyton@lasuperiorcourt.org, sclover@lasuperiorcourt.org, jmoses@lasuperiorcourt.org, ppenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, tpenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, chase.penrose@gmail.com, mackenzienicole@gmail.com, trishpenrose@att.net, TCPJAC_CEAC@jud.ca.gov, sfisher@lasuperiorcourt.org, jonathan.albeyan@jud.ca.gov, mary.carter@jud.ca.gov, john.williams@jud.ca.gov, amber.barnett@jud.ca.go, robert.oyung@jud.ca.gov, john.yee@jud.ca.gov, heather.pettis@jud.ca.gov, leah.rose-goodwin@jud.ca.gov, mark.dusman@jud.ca.gov, cory.stepenson@jud.ca.gov, jennie.stepenson@gmail.com, kellon.stepenson@gmail.com, qstepenson2@mindspring.com, judy.stepenson@att.net, randie.chance@doj.ca.gov, randie.chance@jud.ca.gov, Bobby.brow@jud.ca.gov, stephen.nash@jud.ca.gov, debbie.silcox@jud.ca.gov, laura.speed@jud.ca.gov, andrea.evans@jud.ca.gov, nicole.clare@jud.ca.gov, gavin.newsom@gov.ca.gov, cecilia.aguilar-curry@asm.ca.gov, stephanie.nguyen@asm.ca.gov, lori.wilson@asm.ca.gov, matt.haney@asm.ca.gov, heath.flora@asm.ca.gov, laurie.davies@asm.ca.gov, turfrider@yahoo.com, turfrider@aol.com, president@whitehouse.gov, Kamala.Harris@vicehouse.gov, leahrt.wilson@caliber.ca.gov, leah.wilson50@yahoo.com, Elizabeth.horn@caliber.ca.gov, gina.crawford@caliber.ca.gov, nia.himes@caliber.ca.gov, estenbach@ecluseocal.org, manuel.alvarez@post.ca.gov, jim.grotfeldt@post.ca.gov, jgrotfeldt@yahoo.com, jamesgrotfeldt@aol.com, jane.grotfeldt@yahoo.com, annemarie.mugnaio@post.ca.gov, annemariedelmugnaio@gmail.com, chasendixon@aol.com, cbugnik@aol.com, sonya.baiend@post.ca.gov, sonyer@comcast.net, cheryl.smith@post.ca.gov, colin.oleefe@post.ca.gov, colleefe@post.ca.gov, dulce_cakes4u@yahoo.com, ulce_cakes4u@aol.com, gspringer5@yahoo.com, colleefe@o.devre.ca.us, colin.o'leefe530@verizon.net, co'leefe1514@bellsouth.net, sarah.wallace@post.ca.gov, william.darden@post.ca.gov, william.darden@doj.ca.gov, rphyncy@uoxedp.edu, williamdarden@gmail.com, William.darden@us.com, carrie.holler@post.ca.gov, carrie.berg82@gmail.com, cberg@solanocounty.com, carrie.holler@aol.com, erik.apperson@post.ca.gov, filey6808@gmail.com, wiley6808@gmail.com, erikandannaapperson@hotmail.com, onecubefan@hotmail.com, egrundmann@hotmail.com, toddbrs@hotmail.com, erikapperson@hotmail.com, erik.apperson@yahoo.com, eb2@post.ca.gov, christine.flora@post.ca.gov, rachelle.weiler@post.ca.gov, wildwaters@msn.com, caleweiler@msn.com, meagan.poulos@post.ca.gov, roseanne.nichest@post.ca.gov, thomas.chalk@post.ca.gov, katie.simonsen@post.ca.gov, kirk.bunch@post.ca.gov, brad.newmyer@post.ca.gov, mike.radford@post.ca.gov, brian.south@post.ca.gov, goodwin.liu@jud.ca.gov, tani.cantil-sakauye@jud.ca.gov, joshua.groben@jud.ca.gov, martin.jenkins@jud.ca.gov, patricia.guerrero@jud.ca.gov, carol.corrigan@jud.ca.gov, leondra.kruger@jud.ca.gov, kelli.evans@jud.ca.gov, firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, cohen@bos.lacounty.gov, seconddistrict@bos.lavcounty.gov, hmitchell@yahoo.com, kamlaaaa@cs.com, lumberg@mofo.com, holly@hollymitchell.com, rfleming-peters@counsel.lacounty.gov, rmcarter@counsel.lacounty.gov, ryantuna@lacounty.gov, ryantuna@counsel.lacounty.gov, gconzalez@counsel.lacounty.gov, tlow@metro.net, Tlow77@gmail.com, ryanthurlow@yahoo.com, tlow@lacounty.gov, tlow@counsel.lacounty.gov, tina@jmolaco.com, tlow7@yahoo.com, econard@lacounty.gov, econard@counsel.lacounty.gov, pepemendoza18@gmail.com, chelseaconrad@gmail.com, rmconard@gmail.com, lolvvstarmey@aol.com, susanconard@aol.com, s.conard@conardphoto.com

susan@conerdphoto.com sconerd@conerdphoto.com sales@conerdphoto.com support@conerdphoto.com
scottme@direct.org admin@conerdphoto.com conerd@conerdphoto.com fawong@counsel.lacounty.gov
cbarnett@counsel.lacounty.gov dtrenghsem@gmail.com bruceanguyen@gmail.com dtrenghsem@hotmail.com
don@lacounty.gov dtrghsem@counsel.lacounty.gov schoi@counsel.lacounty.gov ltang@counsel.lacounty.gov
cesar@lacounty.gov cdelperal@counsel.lacounty.gov cdelperal@aol.com cesar_del_peral@yahoo.com
cdelperal@yahoo.com cesardelperal1@yahoo.com thellynglma02@gmail.com jolandal75@yahoo.com
stlane.sarah28@gmail.com rfelacy@gmail.com trevorsteed55.mh@gmail.com rounds@lacounty.gov
rfelacy@counsel.lacounty.gov rfelacy.pedilla@counsel.lacounty.gov vowens@counsel.lacounty.gov
mearls@counsel.lacounty.gov dcarlos@county.lacounty.gov dcarlos@counsel.lacounty.gov victorscarlos@gmail.com
dcarlos31@gmail.com debbie.carlos818@verizon.net victoria.carlos@gmail.com humbert@phmlc.com info@phm-
inc.com pencarlos@aol.com jcarlos@mednc.ts.edu yngidea@gmail.com richard.pedilla@disney.com
andrea.sisc@disabilityrights.ca.org andrusso@gmail.com walshrwalsh@hotmail.com schu@counsel.lacounty.gov
sgleason@counsel.lacounty.gov stedyvesq@gmail.com stedyvesq@aol.com. Dhrubajyoti Deb <dybasegn@gmail.com>
michaelsteelmen@gmail.com merugon@aol.com rolesdz@yahoo.com dlwalter22@yahoo.com dlwalter@yahoo.com
ashon@counsel.lacounty.gov ngesq@yahoo.com gngesq@msn.com gproducer@hotmail.com mmsmith@cs@aol.com
azuzdenweg@counsel.lacounty.gov rtruben@counsel.lacounty.gov claylor@counsel.lacounty.gov
achan@counsel.lacounty.gov tomunr@counsel.lacounty.gov eggerse@mailro.net eggerse@counsel.lacounty.gov
mrolon@counsel.lacounty.gov rwrdon@hotmail.com doug@homeatent.com doug.richardson@homeatent.com
pghester@counsel.lacounty.gov rseidane@counsel.lacounty.gov rolewe-lesham@counsel.lacounty.gov Nicole Dews
<natu.dew81212@gmail.com> tina4d1@gmail.com eastlakham@gmail.com. brad03313@gmail.com
damelllankham@yahoo.com jwhitehurst@counsel.lacounty.gov lfolena@counsel.lacounty.gov lester.tolma@yahoo.com
rolester.tolma71@yahoo.com pmanthall@counsel.lacounty.gov sbyers@counsel.lacounty.gov
rcarlwright@counsel.lacounty.gov rwong@counsel.lacounty.gov Slaughnan@counsel.lacounty.gov
tomSaughnan86@gmail.com jlehman@counsel.lacounty.gov. lmeles@counsel.lacounty.gov
rbeeza@counsel.lacounty.gov skuhn@counsel.county.gov rchastang@counsel.lacounty.gov
lcampo@counsel.lacounty.gov rsamon@counsel.lacounty.gov emiller@counsel.lacounty.gov
elizabeth_dumas@yahoo.com tulagrant19@yahoo.com pmamon@yahoo.com pbollinger@counsel.lacounty.gov
kbowser@counsel.lacounty.gov nabrahim@da.lacounty.gov lacyerio@da.lacounty.gov dlazan@da.lacounty.gov
tserano@lacounty.gov tserano@da.lacounty.gov mbeasley@da.lacounty.gov ron.gallez@lacounty.gov
rgalz@da.lacounty.gov Manik Bhoi <manik.bhoi@gmail.com> wilhers@verizon.net. eric.castano@charter.net
jcastano@da.lacounty.gov eric.castano@juno.com ericcastano@gmail.com Jlinguez@da.lacounty.gov
tluanchez@da.lacounty.gov rarcos@da.lacounty.gov jrodriguez@dalacounty.gov swood@da.lacounty.gov
pagrawal@da.lacounty.gov cheluse@da.lacounty.gov vgadams@msn.com gjamison@da.lacounty.gov
kjuresner@da.lacounty.gov kbroeger@da.lacounty.gov jdavis@dapo.lacounty.gov jwhite@da.lacounty.gov
hborden@da.lacounty.gov smallison@da.lacounty.gov arsano@da.lacounty.gov shaungpason@gmail.com
sgleason@da.lacounty.gov twright@da.lacounty.gov mcamillo@da.lacounty.gov gdameron@da.lacounty.gov
ayochelson@da.lacounty.gov spressly@da.lacounty.gov shasselt@da.lacounty.gov bdodds@da.lacounty.gov
torquoise@da.lacounty.gov mramirez@da.lacounty.gov rdameron@da.lacounty.gov shufefield@da.lacounty.gov
smore@da.lacounty.gov mhueder@da.lacounty.gov plauch@da.lacounty.gov vrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov
dpurvis@da.lacounty.gov rlanes@lacounty.gov szhverman@socal.rr.com evelyn.zhenmer@yahoo.com
samstar218@hotmail.com zhenmer@yahoo.com kristen.clarius@usdoj.gov Christopher.wray@whitehouse.gov
christopher@fbi.gov paul.abbate@ic.fbi.gov paul.abbate@whitehouse.gov paulabbate@yahoo.com
pa8180@hotmail.com lguzmansanchez@hotmail.com lguzmansanchez@aol.com
thomasguzmansanchez@hotmail.com guzmansanchez@eo.com ceo@gamedaygroup.com
lgavrimuzo@dancemaster.com r@dancemaster.com godaddy@dancemaster.com tblackmet@da.lacounty.gov
rmupp@da.lacounty.gov kalbrech@da.lacounty.gov gjohnson@lacounty.gov mmurray@da.lacounty.gov
ida@da.lacounty.gov ilao@lasd.org bashoun@lasd.org CDCRCACLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov
CCRCMFLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov CDCRCCCLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov
CCDCRCENLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov CDCRCTFLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov
CDCRCMCLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov CDCRCDRLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov
CDCRCMLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov FCORTEZ@cdcr.gov Jeff.Macomber@cdcr.ca.gov
Jennifer.barrello@cdcr.ca.gov Tammy.foss@cdcr.ca.gov Diana.toche@cdcr.ca.gov albert.lundeen@cdcr.ca.gov
Jennifer.neil@cdcr.ca.gov Sarah.larson@cdcr.ca.gov Ron.broomfield@cdcr.ca.gov Amy.caseas@cdcr.ca.gov
Jason.johnson@cdcr.ca.gov Chris.chambers@cdcr.ca.gov Stacy.lopez@cdcr.ca.gov Nitu.dhillon@cdcr.ca.gov
Jennifer.benavidez@cdcr.ca.gov heather.bowles@cdcr.ca.gov Jared.kazano@cdcr.ca.gov Dermot.mahon@cdcr.ca.gov
David.chrisman@cdcr.ca.gov Sandra.calderon@cdcr.ca.gov Yang.sun@cdcr.ca.gov Arrogant Holly-wood
<arrogantholly=woodgamius@gmail.com> arrogantholly=woodgamius@yahoo.com
CDCRASPLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov fernando.cortez@cdcr.ca.gov info@da.lacounty.gov
12136331954@tmomail.com 16262814724@tmomail.com 12136330880@tmomail.com jules.kaplan@cdcr.ca.gov
sabrina.williams@cdcr.ca.gov cory.alvarez@cdcr.ca.gov jarrell.rowe@cdcr.ca.gov mark.cruese@cdcr.ca.gov
linda.larabee@cdcr.ca.gov alvi.phuong@cdcr.ca.gov janeee.lacey@cdcr.ca.gov dominique.hughes@cdcr.ca.gov
lauren.reed@cdcr.ca.gov manuel.banuelos@cdcr.ca.gov "ecolor.pot@gmail.com" <ecolor.pot@gmail.com>



"TCBecerra2016@gmail.com" <TCBecerra2016@gmail.com>, tarchuletta@crowell.com, "tlopez@crowell.com" <tlopez@crowell.com>, tarchuletta@bellsouth.net, "theresa_c_lopez@hotmail.com" <theresa_c_lopez@hotmail.com>, "tarchuletta@gmail.com" <tarchuletta@gmail.com>, "cali80513@gmail.com" <cali80513@gmail.com>, theresa.chuletta@aug.com, tarchuletta@aol.com

people v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA114055) & UPCOMING FIRST CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT TITLED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. judy whitehurst, et al (DEMAND PEOPLE AND LASD IMMEDIATELY TURN OVER PHONY LASD GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GL010586 & GL010587

BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG! WE MEET AGAIN AT LAST! I AM GOING TO MAKE SURE YOU GO TO STATE PRISON YOU FU@@@@@ CROOKED AND CORRUPT PEACE OFFICER. YOU ARE A CRIMINAL!

On February 27, 2023, you created a phony search warrant so you could send my GoPro camera to your LASD Fraud and Cyber Crimes Bureau's Southern California High Tech Task Force (one of four high-tech task forces of California regulated by California DOJ). Regardless if corrupt judicial officer Susan Glover lied and covered for you. Your search warrant is uncertified, unoriginal, unattested, unverified, and unauthenticated. Further, proof that Lying Billy's warrant is phony is the fact that the Pasadena Superior Court has no records of ever receiving your warrant. Both you and DA failed to complete the LASD mandatory COUNTYWIDE WARRANT SYSTEM INITIAL CASE FILING FORM (LASC CRIM 126 REVISED ON JANUARY 20, 2024); IT WAS NEVER FILED BECAUSE YOU NEVER SUBMITTED YOUR SEARCH WARRANT TO THE SUPERIOR COURT. YOU ARE A CRIMINAL!

On April 4, 2023, you lied under oath that phony LASD-CREATED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GL010586 & GL010587 WERE DOWNLOADED FROM MY GOPRO CAMERA! FURTHER, YOU PLAYED THESE TWO VIDEOS IN THE SUPERIOR COURT ON APRIL 4, 2023! APRIL 5, 2023 & FEBRUARY 2, 2024 THAT DEPICTED AN AI POWERED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD WALKING AROUND FAKE VICTIM JENNIFER HUTTON HEGER'S HOUSE MECHANICALLY TOUCHING HIS GOPRO CAMERA BEFORE FINALLY SHUTTING IT OFF AS HE WALKED THROUGH A DARK HOUSE AND THEN OUTSIDE TO A DARK SKY! THIS VIDEO IS CLEARLY FAKE. AND HERE IS WHY YOU ARE CAUGHT NOW, FOOL!

THE REAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS ONLY SHOW AROGANT HOLLYWOOD INSIDE OF HUTTON HERGER'S HOME FROM A POINT OF VIEW RECORDING VIEW. IN YOUR PHONY, NEVER TURNED OVER TO THE DEFENSE LASD CREATED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS AI POWERED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD IS SEEN CENTERED IN YOUR GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING WITH HIS ENTIRE BACK AND BODY SHOWN ON THIS PHONY VIDEO RECORDING. IT WOULD HAVE BEEN IMPOSSIBLE FOR AROGANT TO RECORD THE BACKSIDE OF HIS BODY IF HIS GOPRO CAMERA WAS MOUNTED ON HIS CHEST. BUT THAT IS NOT ENOUGH TO CONVINCE THE SUPERIOR COURT TO OVERTURN THIS NEVER SHOULD HAVE BEEN FILED FRIVOLOUS CRIMINAL CASE

BUT WHAT IS:

IN YOUR PHONY GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING GL010586 WHICH WAS ORIGINALLY ONE CONTINUOUS 14 MINUTE VIDEO RECORDING) AI POWERED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD IS SEEN WALKING AROUND JENNIFER HUTTON-HEGER'S HOME MOMENTS BEFORE SHUTTING ON HIS GOPRO CAMERA WHILE WEARING DARK COLORED SHORTS AND A FREAKIN TANK TOP!

THIS IS INCREDIBLY INTERESTING CRIMINALS BILLY KHOUNTHAVONG AND LEO KA FONG LO BECAUSE IN THE LASD POLICE VIDEO RECORDINGS AROGANT HOLLYWOOD IS SEEN WEARING A LONG SLEEVE COAT. IN THE REAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING, AROGANT HOLLYWOOD IS SEEN WEARING A LONG SLEEVE COAT. TAKE A LOOK AT THE IMAGES CREATED FROM VIDEO FRAME SEQUENCES OF THE REAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS! MOREOVER, AROGANT IS SEEN WEARING BAGGY PANTS, NOT THE SHORTS HE IS WEARING IN YOUR AI POWERED VIDEO RECORDINGS THAT WERE CLEARLY NOT RECORDED ON FEBRUARY 2ND, 2023!

Exhibit 3, pages 1, 2, and 3 of KING AROGANT'S electronic mail messages sent to DDA's and Sheriff Robert Luna proves by clear and convincing evidence that Brian MARK Rosenberg was not sent an electronic mail message from KING AROGANT on June 8, 2024, at 8:03 AM

On June 8, 2024, KING AROGANT sent two emails to over fifty County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors and attorneys, including Victor Manuel Rodriguez, James William Garrison, Janis Eve Johnson, Stephanie Pear Mire, former District Attorney George Gascon, and numerous County of Los Angeles Office of County Counsel attorneys. KING AROGANT'S two June 8, 2024, electronic mail messages were also sent to numerous CDCR executives and peace officers, including CDCR director Jeffrey Macomber, CDCR Adult Parole Supervision director Jason Johnson, CDCR peace officer Jesse Ochoa, and KING AROGANT'S former CDCR parole officer Fernando Cortez. Brian MARK Rosenberg was not included on the nearly 100-person email list. See second June 8, 2024 email sent at 8:34 AM evidence below attached hereto and marked as "Exhibit 4".

Gmail

Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>


people v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA114055) & UPCOMING FIRST CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT TITLED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. judy whitehurst, et al (DEMAND PEOPLE AND LASD IMMEDIATELY TURN OVER PHONY LASD GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GLO10586 & GLO10587

1 message

Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com> Sat, Jun 8, 2024 at 7:34 AM

To: seconddistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, seconddistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, "HollywdAssemb.r@gmail.com" <HollywdAssembly@gmail.com>, "lehlicher@aol.com" <merchan@wdacs.lacounty.gov>, mlo@<black.lacounty.gov>, arogantmusicano@gmail.com, "archedelaciaur.auder@gmail.com" <allsatisfaction43@gmail.com>, blackarogantgenius@gmail.com, ekonkitsenchi@gmail.com, "nunia@lasd.org" <nunia@lacounty.gov>, "Karch.@lacounty.gov" <karch.@lacounty.gov>, florres@lasd.org, "takuma@lacounty.org" <leonvan@lasd.org>, bohelse@lacounty.gov, "Nevis@lacounty.gov" <leonvan@lacounty.org>, "marza@lasd.org" <ned.harlan@dcs.ca.gov>, "rabindeep.singh@dcs.ca.gov", "robert.bonte@doj.ca.gov" <DOJ-Chiefs'Office@doj.ca.gov>, "peter.hallorans@doj.ca.gov" <amyhhallorans@yahoo.com>, peterhallorans@sbcglobal.net, anisha.carter@doj.ca.gov, "shanti.kan@aol.com" <scarter@alum.wesleyan.edu>, "concertcruise@yahoo.com" <anisaupphen@yahoo.com>, "a.allen77@u.washington.edu" <jennygirl6364@aol.com>, "akennedy@yahoo.com" <ash34@hotmail.com>, "picciotanis@hotmail.com" <ashapeet.a@yahoo.com>, "ashaokou@yahoo.com", "anisha.marks@rogers.com" <vincent.bonotto@doj.ca.gov>, "vbonotto@hotmail.com", "glenn.pruden@doj.ca.gov" <evelesa77@hotmail.com>, "daniel.on.su@doj.ca.gov", "gian.nguyen@doj.ca.gov", "chad.stegeman@doj.ca.gov" <stephen.lew@doj.ca.gov>, "christopher.findley@doj.ca.gov", "dennis.beck@doj.ca.gov", "darrell.spence@doj.ca.gov" <maggy.kreis@doj.ca.gov>, "Elaine.long@doj.ca.gov", "hannah.calarasan@doj.ca.gov", "mo.parti@doj.ca.gov" <lbuthler@usupenorcourt.org>, "daisyfun@lasupenorcourt.org", "sdover@lasuperiorcourt.org", "mroses@lasuperiorcourt.org", "ppenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org", "tpenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org", "chase.penrose@gmail.com", "malcolmpenrose@gmail.com" <trishpenrose@att.net>, "TOPJAC_CEA@jud.ca.gov", "dfisher@lasupenorcourt.org", "jonathan.lebeyan@jud.ca.gov" <mary.carter@jud.ca.gov>, "john.williams@jud.ca.gov", "amber.barnett@jud.ca.gov", "robert.bylung@jud.ca.gov" <john.yee@jud.ca.gov>, "heather.perlis@jud.ca.gov", "leah.rose.goodwin@jud.ca.gov", "mark.dusman@jud.ca.gov" <gary.jespersen@jud.ca.gov>, "emmajespersen@gmail.com", "allison.jespersen@gmail.com", "jajesperson1@mindspring.com" <judy.jespersen@sfr.net>, "randee.chance@doj.ca.gov", "randee.chance@doj.ca.gov", "Bobby.brow...@jud.ca.gov" <stephen.nash@jud.ca.gov>, "debbie.secbs@jud.ca.gov", "laura.speed@jud.ca.gov", "andrea.evans@jud.ca.gov" <nicole.clarke@jud.ca.gov>, "gavin.newsom@gov.ca.gov", "denise.aguilar-curry@asm.ca.gov", "stephanie.nguyen@asm.ca.gov" <dr.wilson@asm.ca.gov>, "matt.haney@asm.ca.gov", "heath.flora@asm.ca.gov", "laurie.davies@asm.ca.gov" <turfrider@yahoo.com>, "turfrite@aol.com", "president@whitehouse.gov", "Kamala.harris@whitehouse.gov" <evan.wilson@caltbar.ca.gov>, "leah.wilson90@yahoo.com", "Elizabeth.horn@calbar.ca.gov", "gina.ore-ford@calbar.ca.gov" <ria.hunes@calbar.ca.gov>, "eszenbach@actuallaw.org", "hamuen.alvarez@post.ca.gov", "im.gratteau@post.ca.gov" <pgratteau@yahoo.com>, "jamesgratteau@aol.com", "aime.gratteau@yahoo.com", "annemarie.hughen@post.ca.gov" <annemarie.denmughen@gmail.com>, "chase@dunn@aol.com", "sbugris@aol.com", "tonya.beard@post.ca.gov" <sonyar@comcast.net>, "cherry.smith@post.ca.gov", "colin.okeefe@post.ca.gov", "colokeefe@post.ca.gov" <dulce_cakes4u@yahoo.com>, "alice_cakes4u@aol.com", "jaejungert@yahoo.com", "colokeefe@cc.davis.ca.us" <colin.o'keefe1530@verizon.net>, "cc'keefe1514@bellsouth.net", "sarah.wallace@post.ca.gov", "william.darden@usps.ca.gov" <william.darden@doj.ca.gov>, "rothercy@uccsdp.edu", "williamdarden@gmail.com", "William.darden@urs.com" <carrie.hollar@post.ca.gov>, "carrie.bergit...@gmail.com", "cberg@ocsanmocounty.com", "carrie.hollar@aol.com" <ms.appenson@post.ca.gov>, "fle.6808@gmail.com", "mfe6808@gmail.com", "erikandannesappenson@hotmail.com" <jonecuba1an@hotmail.com>, "egrundman@hotmail.com", "jlodden@hotmail.com", "erik.appenson@hotmail.com" <erik.appenson@yahoo.com>, "ltc2@post.ca.gov", "christine.flinda@post.ca.gov", "michelle...weiss@post.ca.gov" <kellyweiss@msn.com>, "calie-weiss@msn.com", "massage.positive@post.ca.gov", "roxanne.nichaes@post.ca.gov" <thomas.cheek@post.ca.gov>, "calie.smokiana@post.ca.gov", "kirk.bunch@post.ca.gov", "brad.newmyer@post.ca.gov" <marie.radford@post.ca.gov>, "ninan.south@post.ca.gov", "jpoolver.su@jud.ca.gov", "larry.cantt.sasuayer@jud.ca.gov" <joshua.grotzen@jud.ca.gov>, "marlon.emkins@jud.ca.gov", "patricia.guerrero@jud.ca.gov", "carol.corrigan@jud.ca.gov" <evondra.krueger@jud.ca.gov>, "kelli.evans@jud.ca.gov", "firstdistrict@cocs.lacounty.gov", "cchen@bos.lacounty.gov" <seconddistrict@bos.lavcounty.gov>, "hmichel@yahoo.com", "kamberela@cs.com", "lumberg@mvlic.com" <holly@hollysmitchell.com>, "theming.peters@counsel.lacounty.gov", "mcarter@counsel.lacounty.gov", "rsenkma@counsel.lacounty.gov" <ramturns@counsel.lacounty.gov>, "jgonzalez@counsel.lacounty.gov", "lowe@metro.net", "flow77@gmail.com" <ryanthurlow@yahoo.com>, "flow@lacounty.gov", "flow@counsel.lacounty.gov", "lina@ymailco.com", "flow77@yahoo.com" <cconan@lacounty.gov>, "wunnard@counsel.lacounty.gov", "pepperhendoza718@gmail.com", "chenusaconrad@gmail.com" <mconard@ymail.com>, "usavichamm@aol.com", "susanbconnor3@en.com", "s.conard@conradphoto.com"

kurtain@conardphoto.com sconner1@conardphoto.com tuerks@conardphoto.com support@conardphoto.com
scullins@west.org admins@conardphoto.com conard@conardphoto.com fawnno@counsel.lacounty.gov
cbarnett@counsel.lacounty.gov donnghiem@gmail.com brucenguyen@gmail.com DonngNgHm@hotmail.com
don@lacounty.gov dnghiem@counsel.lacounty.gov schoi@counsel.lacounty.gov tlang@counsel.lacounty.gov
cesar@lacounty.gov cdelperal@counsel.lacounty.gov cdelperal@aol.com cesar_del_pera@yahoo.com
cdelperal@yahoo.com cesardelperal1@yahoo.com theflyingtree02@gmail.com jaundel79@yahoo.com
aduna.sarah25@gmail.com rfulacy@gmail.com neversleep55.mh@gmail.com rourke@lacounty.gov
rfulacy@counsel.lacounty.gov rfulacy.padilla@counsel.lacounty.gov vowens@counsel.lacounty.gov
tmarin@counsel.lacounty.gov dcarlos@county.lacounty.gov dcarlos@counsel.lacounty.gov victoria.carlos@gmail.com
dcarlos31@gmail.com debbie.carlos818@verizon.net victoria.carlos@gmail.com humpert@pmmc.com info@pmmc.com puncanta@aol.com ccarlos@mmc.la.edu jmgolaw@gmail.com richard.padilla@disney.com
andrea.seo@disabilityrightsca.org andseo@gmail.com mathew.sebring@hotmail.com echo@counsel.lacounty.gov
sgipson@counsel.lacounty.gov suedyess@gmail.com suedyess@aol.com Dhrubajyoti Deb dpdesign@gmail.com
michaelstreetman@gmail.com mwygon@aol.com rules32@yahoo.com dfwaller22@yahoo.com dfwaller@yahoo.com
tahon@counsel.lacounty.gov rgarea@yahoo.com grgarea@msn.com gcproducer@hotmail.com mmunthga@aol.com
szuckerweg@counsel.lacounty.gov mruben@counsel.lacounty.gov claylor@counsel.lacounty.gov
schan@counsel.lacounty.gov kmar@counsel.lacounty.gov eggerse@metro.net eggers@counsel.lacounty.gov
mrolon@counsel.lacounty.gov fmrdet@hotmail.com doug@homealert.com doug.richardson@homealert.com
pghaden@counsel.lacounty.gov rsaldana@counsel.lacounty.gov rdavis.trenham@counsel.lacounty.gov Nicole Davis
nicole.davis1212@gmail.com tonyld7@gmail.com nadtrenham@gmail.com brnd0313@gmail.com
darrelltrenham@yahoo.com rwintersung@counsel.lacounty.gov tlaine@counsel.lacounty.gov lester.lorna@yahoo.com
rdesser.lorna.71@yahoo.com pmarshan@counsel.lacounty.gov alyons@counsel.lacounty.gov
rsanchez@counsel.lacounty.gov rwong@counsel.lacounty.gov tlaughman@counsel.lacounty.gov
tomfaughman56@gmail.com ashman@counsel.lacounty.gov kmeans@counsel.lacounty.gov
rbaeza@counsel.lacounty.gov skuhn@counsel.county.gov rchastang@counsel.lacounty.gov
kcampo@counsel.lacounty.gov rmunson@counsel.lacounty.gov emiller@counsel.lacounty.gov
elizabeth_dumas@yahoo.com tuegrant19@yahoo.com pmorrison@yahoo.com pbollinger@counsel.lacounty.gov
kbowsen@counsel.lacounty.gov rebrahm@da.lacounty.gov ktaoyama@da.lacounty.gov dlerari@da.lacounty.gov
tlawrence@lacounty.gov tlawrence@da.lacounty.gov mbessent@da.lacounty.gov ron.getz@lacounty.gov
rgetz@da.lacounty.gov Marie Bhoumanee bhou@gmail.com ewthans@verizon.net eric.castano@charter.net
jcastano@da.lacounty.gov eric.castano@juno.com ericcastano@gmail.com Jlinguez@da.lacounty.gov
fsanchez@da.lacounty.gov rarcos@da.lacounty.gov jrodriguez@delacounty.gov swoo@da.lacounty.gov
pagrewe@da.lacounty.gov cnakao@da.lacounty.gov wgaderne@msn.com jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov
ewheeler@da.lacounty.gov tdroegen@da.lacounty.gov rdaiva@aol.lacounty.gov jwhat@da.lacounty.gov
rborden@da.lacounty.gov amadison@da.lacounty.gov ekibino@da.lacounty.gov sheungpaon@gmail.com
sgipson@da.lacounty.gov hwright@da.lacounty.gov mcamillo@da.lacounty.gov gdamerton@da.lacounty.gov
ayochelson@da.lacounty.gov kpriesby@da.lacounty.gov sfarsaeen@da.lacounty.gov bybaldo@da.lacounty.gov
jorguvea@da.lacounty.gov rhramirez@da.lacounty.gov rsaravoor@da.lacounty.gov shusefield@da.lacounty.gov
kmore@da.lacounty.gov mhaedar@da.lacounty.gov pkouch@da.lacounty.gov vrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov
jpurvis@da.lacounty.gov rbriles@lacounty.gov ezheemer@social.rr.com everm.zheemer@yahoo.com
samsalem278@hotmail.com zheemer@yahoo.com snasten.clarke@usdoj.gov Christopher.wray@whitehouse.gov
christopher@fbi.gov paul.abbate@ic.fbi.gov paul.abbate@whitehouse.gov paulabbate@yahoo.com
pa6160@hotmail.com lguzmansanchez2@hotmail.com lguzmansanchez@aol.com
thomasguzmansanchez@hotmail.com guzmansanchez@aol.com ceo@gammexagroup.com
tgwrtmuhc@dancemaster.com rf@dancemaster.com godaddy@dancemaster.com tbradshaw@da.lacounty.gov
rmapp@da.lacounty.gov kstbrazle@da.lacounty.gov guhnson@lacounty.gov rmmurray@da.lacounty.gov
rita@da.lacounty.gov tbag@lead.org tearhourn@lead.org CDCRCALCLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov
CDCRMFLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov CDCRCCLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov
CDCRCLNLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov CDCRCTFLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov
CDCRFWCLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov CDCRFORLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov
CDCRCMLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov FCORTEZ@cdcr.gov Jeff.macomber@cdcr.ca.gov
Jennifer.barnette@cdcr.ca.gov Tammy.foss@cdcr.ca.gov Diane.foche@cdcr.ca.gov albert.underen@cdcr.ca.gov
Jennifer.neri@cdcr.ca.gov Sarah.larson@cdcr.ca.gov Ron.broomfield@cdcr.ca.gov Amy.sayles@cdcr.ca.gov
Jason.johnson@cdcr.ca.gov Chris.chambers@cdcr.ca.gov Stacy.lopez@cdcr.ca.gov Nikl.Shelton@cdcr.ca.gov
Jennifer.bernuncle2@cdcr.ca.gov heather.bowles@cdcr.ca.gov Jared.lozano@cdcr.ca.gov Derrick.manion@cdcr.ca.gov
David.chase@cdcr.ca.gov Sumna.calderon@cdcr.ca.gov Ying.sun@cdcr.ca.gov Arrogant.Hollywood
arrogantholly.codgerwus@gmail.com arrogantholly.codgerwus@yahoo.com
CDCRASPLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov fernando.cortez@cdcr.ca.gov info@da.lacounty.gov
12136331906@txtmail.com 18262617474@txtmail.com 12136330960@txtmail.com julie.kaplan@cdcr.ca.gov
sabrina.v.thams@cdcr.ca.gov tony.alvarez@cdcr.ca.gov Jamal.howe@cdcr.ca.gov mark.cruse@cdcr.ca.gov
linda.lanabee@cdcr.ca.gov alvin.phuong@cdcr.ca.gov aimee.lacey@cdcr.ca.gov dominique.hughes@cdcr.ca.gov
karen.reed@cdcr.ca.gov marvin.barrueros@cdcr.ca.gov ecdcor.pot@gmail.com ecdcor.pot@gmail.com



people v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA114055) & UPCOMING FIRST CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT TITLED ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. judy whitehurst, et al [DEMAND PEOPLE AND LASD IMMEDIATELY TURN OVER PHONY LASD GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GLO10586 & GLO10587


BUT WHAT IS:

Exhibit 4, pages 1, 2, and 3 of KING AROGANT'S electronic mail messages sent to DDA's and Sheriff Robert Luna proves by clear and convincing evidence that Brian MARK Rosenberg was not sent an electronic mail message from KING AROGANT on June 8, 2024, at 8:34 AM

On April 17, 2025 RACIST, biased, and prejudiced County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutor Brian MARK Rosenberg (SBN # 291501) willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, knowingly, carelessly, deliberately, and callously violated State Bar Rules of Professional Conduct, Rules 3.1, 3.2, and 3.3, California Government Code Section 6200 and California Business and Professions Code Section 6068 by fabricating lies and giving intentionally false statements to rogue and dishonest city of Alhambra peace officer detective Benjamin Yang that KING AROGANT sent to him electronic mail messages on November 13, 2023, November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, and twice on June 8, 2024 (8:03 AM and 8:34 A, separate emails). Lying and RACIST DDA MARK Rosenberg never showed rogue and RACIST peace officer Benjamin Yang electronic mail messages that showed that KING AROGANT had emailed him at any time after November 13, 2023 because MARK Rosenberg had not proof that KING AROGANT sent him an email because he knew with 100% certainty that he never received any electronic mail messages from KING AROGANT on November 28, 2024, February 13, 2024, and June 8, 2024. In fact, the only reason RACIST MARK Rosenberg had any information about the contents and subject line of KING AROGANT'S never sent to him electronic mail messages on

November 28, 2022, February 13, 2024, and June 8, 2024 was because dishonest and unethical County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors Victor Manuel Rodriguez and James William Garrison (who did receive all emails) recklessly forwarded KING AROGANT'S November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, and June 8, 2024 electronic mail messages to Brian MARK Rosenberg (brosenberg@da.lacounty.gov) in furtherance of County of Los Angeles District Attorney's office to recklessly violate KING AROGANT'S constitutional civil rights. Brian MARK Rosenberg never received an email from KING AROGANT on November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, and June 8, 2024, as he falsely alleged on April 17, 2025, that KING AROGANT sent him these make-believe and imaginary electronic mail messages.

On April 17, 2025, at approximately 5 PM, RACIST, rogue, and biased city of Alhambra peace officer Benjamin Yang willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, knowingly, carelessly, deliberately, and callously arrested KING AROGANT at the Alhambra Public Library for violation of California Penal Code Section 602. KING AROGANT'S false arrest was so unbelievably bogus that Yang charged KING AROGANT for a California Penal Code section, which has elements of crime that would have required KING AROGANT to have been arrested on private land, not open to the public. KING AROGANT was falsely arrested at the Alhambra Public library, not private property, and the Alhambra public library was open for another three hours after KING AROGANT had been brought into custody. Moreover, KING AROGANT'S false arrest at the Alhambra Public Library was invalidated after rogue and stupid peace officer Benjamin Yang foolishly signed the private person's arrest form. See it now below attached herein and marked as Exhibit 5.



ALHAMBRA POLICE DEPARTMENT
STATEMENT OF PRIVATE PERSON'S ARREST

25-14349
01

CRIME
602(o)(2) PC — TRESPASSING — AT LOCAL LIBRARY
101 S. FIRST ST. ALHAMBRA, CA

PERSON MAKING ARREST
[redacted] HILDA — M — W — 46
DIRECTOR OF LIBRARY

ARRESTEE
S — HOLLYWOOD, ARROGANT — M — B — 46

As a private person I, HILDA [redacted]
have arrested ARROGANT, HOLLYWOOD for PC 602
a public offense committed in my presence, at 101 S. FIRST ST. ALHAMBRA, CA.
On (date) 04/17/25 at (time) 1715 AM / PM I demand that the following sworn peace officer(s) SANCHEZ #156 take into custody the listed subject.

California Penal Code 837 – Arrest by Private Persons
Any private person may arrest another:
1. For a public offense committed or attempted in his / her presence
2. When a person arrested has committed a felony, although not in his / her presence
3. When a felony has in fact been committed and he / she has reasonable cause to believe the person arrested to have committed it.

California Penal Code 148.5 – False Report of Criminal Offense
It shall be unlawful to willfully make a false, fraudulent, misleading, or unfounded report to any police officer

I, HILDA [redacted] do hereby certify the above statements made by me surrounding this Private Person's Arrest are true to the best of my knowledge and belief. Furthermore, I agree to cooperate in all stages of prosecution and will sign a complaint against the above named arrested / cited person if issued.


X [redacted] Date 04/17/25 Time 1715 HOURS

X [redacted]

IN YOUR OWN HANDWRITING, PLEASE PROVIDE THE DETAILS SURROUNDING THE ARREST ON THE BACK OF THIS FORM

A rogue and dishonest peace officer signing a private citizen's arrest form gives a person reading this an idea of just now evil, fraudulent, and scandalous this criminal investigation was.

On April 17, 2025, in furtherance of the City of Alhambra conspiring to violate KING AROGANT'S Fourth and Fourteenth Amendment constitutional civil rights together with County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang add charged KING AROGANT by falsely arresting KING AROGANT with violation of California Penal Code Section 166, subsection (a) without a single piece of evidence. In furtherance of the County of Los Angeles and city of Alhamrbra's meeting of minds and plan to illegally keep KING AROGANT in custody. Rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, knowingly, carelessly, deliberately, and callously fabricated lies and made intentionally false statements in bogus police report that a copy of Brian MARK Rosenberg's make-believe, delusional, and imaginary brosenberg@da.lacounty.gov electronic mail messages sent to him from KING AROGANT on November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024 and June 8, 2024 were attached to the end of his crime report. See now a true and correct copy of rogue and dishonest peace officer Benjamin Yang's fabricated and illegal police report attached below and marked as "Exhibit 6."



Brian MARK Rosenberg willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, knowingly, carelessly, deliberately, and callously violated California Penal Code Section 148.5 18 U.S.C. Section 242, and California Government Code Section 6200 by del berately filing a knowingly false police report based on fabricated lies that MARK Rosenberg knew were not true and for which resulted in KING AROGANT being falsely imprisoned and wrongfully charged. It is time for MARK Rosenberg to be charged and convicted accordingly and sent to prison where that CRIMINAL BELONGS!

Rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, knowingly, carelessly, deliberately, and callously violated California Penal Code Section 148.5 and California Government Code Section 6200 and 18 U.S.C. Section 242, and he must be charged accordingly and sent to prison where that CRIMINAL BELONGS!

18 U.S.C. Section 242 criminalizes the deprivation of rights under color of law. It makes it a federal crime for anyone acting under the authority of any law (federal, state, or local) to willfully deprive another person of a right, privilege, or immunity protected by the Constitution or laws of the United States. This also includes subjecting a person to different punishments based on their race or alien status.


ALL THOSE THAT RECEIVE THIS EMAIL AND STAY SILENT WILL BE SUED ACCORDINGLY!

THIS PART 1 OF A LONG EMAIL SERIES

EMAIL PART 2 WILL CONTINUE EXACTLY WHERE PART 1 ENDED!

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq.
1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD.
PASADENA, CA 91106
Mobile: 206.471.1344
arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted
Spirit of Esquire
BLACK TRADE KING
FUTURE KING OF ENTERTAINMENT
BLACK DERIVATIVES KING





CAUTION: This email originated outside of the County (lacounty.gov domain). Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and expect the message.

Exhibit 7

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

Criminal Division

Clara Shortridge Foltz Criminal Justice Center Dept. - 45

25CJCM02162-01 **May 7, 2025**

The People of the State of California **8:30 AM**
vs.
Hollywood, Arogant

Honorable Mark E Windham, Judge
N. Mendoza, Judicial Assistant

Proceedings Electronically Recorded,
Court Reporter

PC602(o), PC166(a)(4), PC166(a)(4), PC166(a)(4), PC653m(b)

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: Further Proceedings

The following parties are present for the aforementioned proceeding:

No Appearances

The matter is called for Further Proceedings.

Department 46 matter transferred to Department 45.

Court addresses Ex Parte on Record. No parties present.

Defendant posted bail and sent emails contrary to the Court's Order concerning case. Defendant was directed and provided email to which he was to communicate exclusively to regarding matters pending to case.

Defendant is preliminarily found in violation of bail and own recognizance conditions.

Bail is revoked. Bond is Forfeited.

The Court issues a bench warrant for Arogant Hollywood, in the amount of $150,000.00 by order of Judge Windham, Mark E.

Surety Bond for Arogant Hollywood, Defendant: SV505465252, Bond Forfeited

The Court advances to this date and vacates all matters currently set for hearing in this department.

Bond Forfeiture Card AN0033757.

Exhibit 8

[redacted]

From: Arogant Hollywood <arogantho lywoodgen us@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 8, 2025 8:10 PM
To: jbirnquist@cityofalhambra.org; tsen@alhambrapd.org; Area1@alhambrapd.org; gsepucer@alhambrapd.org; area2@alhambrapd.org; rsoriano@alhambrapd.org; area3@alhambrapd.org; rcastillo@alhambrapd.org; area4@alhambrapd.org; klaing@alhambrapd.org; dfuentes@alhambrapd.org; lgarcia@alhambraca.gov; gdiaz@alhambrapd.org; rrongavilla@alhambrapd.org; dtran@alhambrapd.org; mlee@alhambrapd.org; breyes@alhambrapd.org; bchung@alhambrapd.org; luwan@cityofalhambra.org; kiee@alhambraca.gov; rmaza@cityofalhambra.org; jmahoney@cityofalhambra.org; mahoney@smmc.ca.gov; nwang@cityofalhambra.org; aandradestadler@gmail.com; adolfostadler@gmail.com; joestadler@ladwp.com; andradr@peterbilt.net; aandradestadler@yahoo.com; jmontes@bwslaw.com; CABROTT@bwslaw.com; baffrunti@bwslaw.com; bguerrero@bwslaw.com; gaker@bwslaw.com; dameet@bwslaw.com; maustin@bwslaw.com; rbalchum@bwslaw.com; mtran@bwslaw.com; mbarrett@bwslaw.com; jbalchum@bwslaw.com; dbazzano@bwslaw.com; kberger@bwslaw.com; mbernacchi@bwslaw.com; Daniel Akemon <DAkemon@da.lacounty.gov>; cthorpe@da.lacounty.gov; Erika Perez <eperez@da.lacounty.gov>; dbrosky@da.lacounty.gov; bumsford@da.lacounty.gov; Pak Kouch <pkouch@da.lacounty.gov>; Jodi Taksar <jtaksar@da.lacounty.gov>; ron.goodreau@yahoo.com; ronaldgoodreau@aol.com; Emily Street <estreet@da.lacounty.gov>; Mark Lee <mlee@da.lacounty.gov>; Rose DeMattia <rdematti@da.lacounty.gov>; Jonathan Chung <JonChung@da.lacounty.gov>; Alva Lin <ALin@da.lacounty.gov>; Michael Michelena <mmichelena@da.lacounty.gov>; srebelis@da.lacounty.gov; Jodi Link <jlink@da.lacounty.gov>; Mia Veliakkatel <mveliakk@da.lacounty.gov>; Joel M. Comb <jmcomb@smcgov.org>; dmccloskey@smcgov.org; Bradley McCartt <bmccartt@da.lacounty.gov>; Garrett Dameron <gdameron@da.lacounty.gov>; Holly Harpham <hharpham@da.lacounty.gov>; duckett.justice@gmail.com; Keith Duckett <kduckett@da.lacounty.gov>; jfrost@smcgov.org; Jacques Garden <JGarden@da.lacounty.gov>; Norman Hearon <NHearon@da.lacounty.gov>; toovan@smcgov.org; Heather Borden <hborden@da.lacounty.gov>; Gloria Marin <gmarin@da.lacounty.gov>; Isidoro Baly <ibaly@da.lacounty.gov>; Ruby Arias <RArias@da.lacounty.gov>; Valerie Aenile Rocha <VAenile Rocha@da.lacounty.gov>; Mary Murray <MMurray@da.lacounty.gov>; Jodi Castano <jcastano@da.lacounty.gov>; Kenneth Meyer <KMeyer@da.lacounty.gov>;

mmclaughlin@smcgov.org, Amy Pellman Pentz <apellman@da.lacounty.gov>; Tien Pham <tpham@da.lacounty.gov>; jnightengale@da.lacounty.gov; John Niedermann <jniedermann@da.lacounty.gov>; Donn Hoffman <DHoffman@da.lacounty.gov>; ewakabay@da.lacounty; Grace Rai <GRai@da.lacounty.gov>; Bob Chen <bobchen@da.lacounty.gov>; Belle Chen <BChen@da.lacounty.gov>; Frank Dunnick <fdunnick@da.lacounty.gov>; Lisette.suder@edcda.us; Cristine Albanese <CAlbanese@da.lacounty.gov>; John Colello <JColello@da.lacounty.gov>; Elizabeth Ratinoff <ERatinof@da.lacounty.gov>; eratinoff@da.lacounty.gov; Jessie L. McGrath <jmcgrath@da.lacounty.gov>; Louis Avila Jr. <lavila@da.lacounty.gov>; kenvonh@msn.com; kvonhelmolt@da.lacounty.gov; echon@da.lacounty.gov; Jwilson@smcgov.org; June Chung <JChung@da.lacounty.gov>; cfredgren@da.lacounty.gov; Kathy Ta <KTa@da.lacounty.gov>; sungchung@da.lacounty.gov; Susanna Chung <SChung@da.lacounty.gov>; Martin Bean <MBean@da.lacounty.gov>; Tracey Whitney <TWhitney@da.lacounty.gov>; Hubert Yun <HYun@da.lacounty.gov>; Kimberly Abourezk <kabourezk@da.lacounty.gov>; Diana Alvarez <dalvarez@da.lacounty.gov>; Michael.p.zzuti@edcda.us; nhahn@smcgov.org; Cmeaher walker@da.lacounty.gov; cwalker@da.lacounty.gov; Cynthia Meagher-Walker <cmeagher@da.lacounty.gov>; Frances Young <fyoung@da.lacounty.gov>; afitzgerald@smcgov.org; Gregory Apt <gapt@da.lacounty.gov>; Christine.vonhelmolt@csulb.edu; Christine Von Helmolt <CVonHelmolt@da.lacounty.gov>; Karen Nishita <KNishita@da.lacounty.gov>; Susan Navas <SNavas@da.lacounty.gov>; fnage@kernda.org; carolyn.palumbo@yolocounty.org; jmarcano@kernda.org; gpearl@kernda.org; cmckillop@kernda.org; jallen@kernda.org; nilackie@kern.org; nlackie@kernda.org, kmarshall@kernda.org; bstallings@kernda.org; deanna.hays@yolocounty.org; csherman@kernda.org; lgarver@kernda.org; rchoi@kernda.org; frits.vanderhoek@yolocounty.org; btaconi@kernda.org; gkohler@kernda.org; lfields@kernda.org; cmcnutt@kernda.org; czimmer@kernda.org; Gina Satriano <GSatriano@da.lacounty.gov>; mariaramirez@hotmail.com; Mayra Ramirez <MRamirez@da.lacounty.gov>; Michele Daniels <mdaniels@da.lacounty.gov>; Kellyjean Chun <kchun@da.lacounty.gov>; John Morris <JMorris@da.lacounty.gov>; Peter Cagney <PCagney@da.lacounty.gov>; sfrank6576@aol.com; sfrankland@da.lacounty.gov; Brian Schirn <BSchirn@da.lacounty.gov>; Robert Sherwood <RSherwood@da.lacounty.gov>; Shannon Presby <spresby@da.lacounty.gov>; Margo Baxter <MBaxter@da.lacounty.gov>; lwong@da.lacounty.gov; Alan Yochelson <AYochelson@da.lacounty.gov>; Teresa Gomez <TGomez@da.lacounty.gov>; Christina F. Buckley <CBuckley@da.lacounty.gov>; Val Cole <VCole@da.lacounty.gov>; Shawn Randolph <SRandolph@da.lacounty.gov>; Lori Dery <ldery@da.lacounty.gov>; Yael Massry <YMassry@da.lacounty.gov>; Gregory Jennings <GJennings@da.lacounty.gov>; Hoon Chun <HChun@da.lacounty.gov>; Lowell Anger <langer@da.lacounty.gov>; Sean Hassett <shassett@da.lacounty.gov>; smire@da.lacounty.gov; Gilbert Wright <GWright@da.lacounty.gov>; Laura Jane Kessner <ljkessner@da.lacounty.gov>; Jonlyn Callahan <JCallahan@da.lacounty.gov>; Craig Hum <chum@da.lacounty.gov>; May Chung <MChung@da.lacounty.gov>; Juan Mejia <JMejia@da.lacounty.gov>; Manuel Garcia <MGarcia@da.lacounty.gov>; John Harlan <JHarlan@da.lacounty.gov>; Mykka Piantanida <MPiantanida@da.lacounty.gov>; Guillermo Santiso <gsantiso@da.lacounty.gov>; Priscilla Musso <PMusso@da.lacounty.gov>; Nathan J. Hochman <NHochman@da.lacounty.gov>; Vaenille-rocha@da.lacounty.gov; Daniel Felizzatto <dfelizzatto@da.lacounty.gov>; Michele Hanisee <MHanisee@da.lacounty.gov>; Steven Katz <skatz@da.lacounty.gov>; Jbrooke-castano@da.lacounty.gov; William Frayeh

<wfrayeh@da.lacounty.gov>; Jessica Foster <JFoster@da.lacounty.gov>; jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov; Jessica Tillson <jtillson@da.lacounty.gov>; Mariaramirez65@hotmail.com; Irene Lee <ILee@da.lacounty.gov>; Cris.buckley@uci.edu; Julianne Walker <jwalker@da.lacounty.gov>; Christi Frey <CFrey@da.lacounty.gov>; vrodriguez@dalacounty.gov; John Mc Kinney <McKinney@da.lacounty.gov>; jmckinney@da.lacounty.gov; Kathy Cady <KCady@da.lacounty.gov>; Julie Dixon Silva <jdsilva@da.lacounty.gov>; Arisa Mattson <amattson@da.lacounty.gov>; Christopher Baker <ChrisBaker@da.lacounty.gov>; Cynthia Nakao <CNakao@da.lacounty.gov>; Janis Johnson <JJohnson@da.lacounty.gov>; kuyen@da.lacounty.gov; pkouch@da.alacounty.gov; Samuel Hulefeld <shulefeld@da.lacounty.gov>; Marc Beaart <MBeaart@da.lacounty.gov>; bjdodd@dalacounty.gov; Martha Carrillo <MCarrillo@da.lacounty.gov>; Antonio Aguilar <AAguilar@da.lacounty.gov>; Ann Marie Wise <AWise@da.lacounty.gov>; Lee Orquiola <LOrquiola@da.lacounty.gov>; Kevin.s@lacounty.gov; Kevin Swartz <KSwartz@da.lacounty.gov>; akozma@da.lacounty.gov; Amanda Adams <aadams@da.lacounty.gov>; Timothy Richardson <TRichardson@da.lacounty.gov>; timothy@lacounty.gov; sradmore@dal.lacounty.gov; wrosenthal@da.lacounty.gov; wkarfiol@lacounty.gov; cnajera@lacourt.org; mwindham@lacourt.org; Daniel Ilacqua <DIlacqua@da.lacounty.gov>; jonathan@lacounty.gov; jarmour@dalacounty.gov; felicia@lacounty.gov; forman@da.laounty.gov; ryann.jorban@stfrancislaw.com; rgerber@dalcounty.gov; Julia Cohn <JCohn@da.lacounty.gov>; julia@lacounty.gov; Hugh Jackson <HJackson@da.lacounty.gov>; sunita.popal@pepperdine.edu; Sunita Popal <SPopal@da.lacounty.gov>; David Zygielbaum <DZygielbaum@da.lacounty.gov>; Michelle Lewis <MLewis@da.lacounty.gov>; philglaviano@hotmail.com; pglaviano@da.lacounty.gov; thomaskrag@proton.me; tkrag@da.lacounty.gov; Kenneth Lynch <klynch@da.lacounty.gov>; Stefan Mrakich <smrakich@da.lacounty.gov>; cgaines@da.lacounty.gov; Michael Enomoto <MEnomoto@da.lacounty.gov>; Charles Chaiyarachta <CChaiyar@da.lacounty.gov>; rdooley@da.lacounty.gov; Geoffrey Lewin <GLewin@da.lacounty.gov>; ddelimon@gmail.com; Mario Haidar <mhaidar@da.lacounty.gov>; Tina Hooks <THooks@da.lacounty.gov>; Whelma Llanos <wllanos@da.lacounty.gov>; Sean Carney <SCarney@da.lacounty.gov>; Daniel Wright <DWright@da.lacounty.gov>; lholtz@da.lacounty.gov; Diana Martinez <dmartinez@da.lacounty.gov>; dwooden@da.lacounty.gov; Jose Gonzalez <jgonzalez@da.lacounty.gov>; Don Syn <dsyn@da.lacounty.gov>; rchang@da.lacounty.gov; Natasha Cooper <ncooper@da.lacounty.gov>; Alexander Karkanen <AKarkanen@da.lacounty.gov>; Kevin Halligan <KHalligan@da.lacounty.gov>; ralarcon@da.lacounty.gov; sstodel@da.lacounty.gov; David Barkhurst <DBarkhurst@da.lacounty.gov>; Teresa de Castro <tdecastro@da.lacounty.gov>; Duke Chau <dchau@da.lacounty.gov>; Valerie Little <VLittle@da.lacounty.gov>; nflood@da.lacounty.gov; Robert Rabbani <rrabbani@da.lacounty.gov>; tmokayef@da.clacounty.gov; rrose@da.lacounty.gov; Candice Henry <chenry@da.lacounty.gov>; Frank Santoro <FSantoro@da.lacounty.gov>; kstpierre@da.lacounty.gov; John Lewin <johnlwn@gmail.com>; brian.jones@sen.ca.gov; erikandannaapperson@hotmail.com; dbengstib@da.lacounty.gov; Ying.sun@cdcr.ca.gov; pghaderi@counsel.lacounty.gov; rsaldana@counsel.lacounty.gov; ndavis-tinkham@counsel.lacounty.gov; tink4d1@gmail.com; brind0313@gmail.com; darrelllltinkham@yahoo.com; ltolani@counsel.lacounty.gov; lester.tolnai@yahoo.com; rclester.tolnai71@yahoo.com; pmarshall@counsel.lacounty.gov; Adrienne Byers <abyers@counsel.lacounty.gov>; rcartwright@counsel.lacounty.gov; rwong@counsel.lacounty.gov; tfaughnan@counsel.lacounty.gov; tomfaughnan66@gmail.com; Jennifer <JLehman@counsel.lacounty.gov>; kmiles@counsel.lacounty.gov; rbaeza@counsel.lacounty.gov;

rchastang@counsel.lacounty.gov; lcampo@counsel.lacounty.gov; msimon@counsel.lacounty.gov; emiller@counsel.lacounty.gov; elizabeth_dumais@yahoo.com; kaigrant19@yahoo.com; pmomon@yahoo.com; pbollinger@counsel.lacounty.gov; First District <firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>; secondistrict@bos.la.county.gov; holly4assembly@gmail.com; hmitchell@yahoo.com; hjmitchel@aol.com; Cindy <cchen@bos.lacounty.gov>; nadtinkham@gmail.com; holly@hollyjmitchell.com; rfleming-peters@counsel.lacounty.gov; Margaret Carter <mcarter@counsel.lacounty.gov>; njenkins@lacounty.gov; njenkins@counsel.lacounty.gov; jgonzalez@counsel.lacounty.gov; lowt@metro.net; Tlow77@gmail.com; ryanthurlow@yahoo.com; tlow@counsel.lacounty.gov; tina@jmoi'co.com; tlow7@yahoo.com; econard@lacounty.gov; econard@counsel.lacounty.gov; andria.seo@disabilityrightsca.org; schan@counsel.lacounty.gov; eggerse@metro.net; eggers@counsel.lacounty.gov; mrolon@counsel.lacounty.gov; mirder@hotmail.com; doug@homealert.com; Alexandra Zuiderweg <AZuiderweg@counsel.lacounty.gov>; mruben@counsel.lacounty.gov; Caitlin Taylor <ctaylor@counsel.lacounty.gov>; Holly J. Mitchell <HollyJMitchell@bos.lacounty.gov>; Lindsey.P.Horvath@gmail.com; kmuir@counsel.lacounty.gov; CCRCMFLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; CDCRCCILitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; CDCRCTFLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; CDCRCMCLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; CDCRCORLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; CDCRCIMLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; FCORTEZ@cdcr.gov; Jeff.macomber@cdcr.ca.gov; Jennifer.barretto@cdcr.ca.gov; Tammy.foss@cdcr.ca.gov; Diana.toche@cdcr.ca.gov; albert.lundeen@cdcr.ca.gov; Jennifer.neil@cdcr.ca.gov; Sarah.larson@cdcr.ca.gov; Ron.broomfield@cdcr.ca.gov; Amy.casias@cdcr.ca.gov; Jason.johnson@cdcr.ca.gov; Chris.chambers@cdcr.ca.gov; Stacy.lopez@cdcr.ca.gov; Niki.dhillon@cdcr.ca.gov; Jennifer.benavidez@cdcr.ca.gov; heather.bowlds@cdcr.ca.gov; Jared.lozano@cdcr.ca.gov; Derrick.marion@cdcr.ca.gov; David.chriss@cdcr.ca.gov; Sarina.calderon@cdcr.ca.gov; elizabeth.hom@calbar.ca.gov; johnna.sack@calbar.ca.gov; rocio.avalos@calbar.ca.gov; kristina.ramos@calbar.ca.gov; collin.grant@calbar.ca.gov; louisa.ayrapetyan@calbar.ca.gov; jennifer.stalvey@calbar.ca.gov; ken.nourse@calbar.ca.gov; summer.shelton@calbar.ca.gov; kirsten.galler@calbar.ca.gov; doan.nguyen@calbar.ca.gov; audrey.ching@calbar.ca.gov; laurie.gondreau@calbar.ca.gov; yun.xiang@calbar.ca.gov; mark@fresnocriminallawyer.com; abrahim.bagheri@calbar.ca.gov; carol.kiyotoki@calbar.ca.gov; andrew.vasicek@calbar.ca.gov; mayte.diaz@calbar.ca.gov; duncan.carling@calbar.ca.gov; chelsey.smith@calbar.ca.gov; suzanne.grandt@calbar.ca.gov; gerri.smith@calbar.ca.gov; peter.klivans@calbar.ca.gov; cindy.chan@calbar.ca.gov; teresa.ruano@calbar.ca.gov; patrice.vallier-glass@calbar.ca.gov; naji.khatib@calbar.ca.gov; awndrea.yeboah@calbar.ca.gov; christina.doell@calbar.ca.gov; enrique.zuniga@calbar.ca.gov; kimberly.anderson@calbar.ca.gov; brandon.stallings@calbar.ca.gov; Jose.cisneros@gmail.com; Jose.cisneros@sf.gov; pbarahona@yli.org; Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>; jmerchan@wdacs.lacounty.gov; info@wdacs.lacounty.gov; Arogant Hollywood <arogantmusicinc@gmail.com>; Alison Fairchild <fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com>; Arogant Hollywood <causeofaction43@gmail.com>; blacklawgenius@gmail.com; Alison Fairchild <alisonhfairchild@gmail.com>; Robert Luna <rluna@lasd.org>; rluna@lacounty.gov; atardy@lacounty.org; jtorres@lasd.org; saloma@lacounty.org; llecrivain@lasd.org; bchase@lacounty.gov; rlewis@lacounty.gov; llecrivain@lacounty.org; jmeza@lasd.org; ned.harlan@doj.ca.gov; nishdeep.singh@doj.ca.gov; robert.bonta@doj.ca.gov; OPSChiefsOffice@doj.ca.gov; peter.halloran@doj.ca.gov;

amyhhalloran@yahoo.com; peterhalloran@sbcglobal.net; alisha.carlile@doj.ca.gov; snpdragn@aol.com; acarlile@alum.wellesley.edu; concertina9@yahoo.com; alishastephen@yahoo.com.au; alehr17@u.washington.edu; girlygirl8384@aol.com; akeened@yahoo.com; rish34@hotmail.com; piccbodin@hotmail.com; lachapell_a@yahoo.com; alishaoku@yahoo.com; alisha.ma@gmail.com; alisha.marks@rogers.com; vincent.bonotto@doj.ca.gov; vbonotto@hotmail.com; glenn.pruden@doj.ca.gov; eyeless77@hotmail.com; daniel.olivas@doj.ca.gov; giam.nguyen@doj.ca.gov; chad.stegeman@doj.ca.gov; stephen.lew@doj.ca.gov; christopher.findley@doj.ca.gov; dennis.beck@doj.ca.gov; darrell.spence@doj.ca.gov; maggy.krell@doj.ca.gov; Elaine.tong@doj.ca.gov; hannah.carasan@doj.ca.gov; mo.park@doj.ca.gov; dbuthler@lasuperiorcourt.org; dsayton@lasuperiorcourt.org; sclover@lasuperiorcourt.org; jmoses@lasuperiorcourt.org; ppenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org; tpenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org; chase.penrose@gmail.com; mackenziericole@gmail.com; trishpenrose@att.net; amber.barnett@jud.ca.gov; john.yee@jud.ca.gov; jenniejasperson@gmail.com; kelton.jasperson@gmail.com; cjasperson2@mindspring.com; judy.jasperson@att.net; randie.chance@doj.ca.gov; gavin.newsom@gov.ca.gov; gkennedy@alhambrapd.org; EWakabayashi@da.lacounty.gov; bdodd@da.lacounty.gov; hforman@da.lacounty.gov; Tamar Tokat <ttokat@da.lacounty.gov>; tmokayf@da.lacounty.gov; Sara Radmore <SRadmore@da.lacounty.gov>; andrade@earthlink.net; Ryann Gerber Jorban <RGerber@da.lacounty.gov>; Victor Rodriguez <VRodriguez@da.lacounty.gov>; John Lewin <JLewin@da.lacounty.gov>; atardy@lasd.org; dtran@alhambrapd.org; seconddistrict@bos.lacounty.gov; Dean Bengston <DBengston@da.lacounty.gov>; jragusa@alhambrafire.org; rembrahim@da.lacounty.gov; rishnidanos516@gmail.com; prentice.hill@cdcr.ca.gov; jargusa@alhambrafire.org


Subject: [External]KING AROGANT v. racist white devil nathan joseph hochman, et al [ANOTHER LEGAL WARNING! YOU RACIST WHITE AND LATIN DEVILS ARE GOING TO ALL GET SUED!] SEE NOW ATTACHED YOUTUBE VIDEO RECORDING THAT PROVES BY CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE THAT R...

KING AROGANT v. racist white devil nathan joseph hochman, et al [ANOTHER LEGAL WARNING! YOU RACIST WHITE AND LATIN DEVILS ARE GOING TO ALL GET SUED!] SEE NOW ATTACHED YOUTUBE VIDEO RECORDING THAT PROVES BY CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE THAT RACIALLY BIASED AND RACIALLY ANIMUS DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEYS RECKLESSLY CHARGED KING AROGANT FOR THREE CRIMES WITHOUT A SINGLE PIECE OF EVIDENCE!

STRAIGHT UP NATHAN JOSEPH! I HATE EVERY LAST ONE OF YOU RACIST, LAZY, LYING, AND LOSER CRIMINAL PROSECUTORS AND MAKE EVERY SINGLE ONE OF YOU, INCLUDING

MARK WINDHAM, HAVE A SLOW AND PAINFUL DEATH FROM CANCER!

THIS WILL SOON BE YOU, NATHAN JOSEPH HOCHMAN, YOU WHITE DEVIL!



Case 2:24-cv-03351-DAD-CSK Document 2 Filed 12/03/24 Page 1 of 3

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Arogant Hollywood ,

v.

Jeffrey A. Macomber , et al ,

CASE NO: 2:24-CV-03351 DAD-CSK

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL CASE

TO: Ashley Albiento, Jennifer Barretto, Dennis L. Beck, Jr, Jennifer Benavidez, Robert Andrew Bonta, Ronald Broomfield, Elizabeth Byers, Christo Chambers, Yingchun Chen, Tamantha Foss, George Gascon, Peter Dwight Halberus, James D. Johnson, Cherryl Lynn Kaylor, Lee Ka Pong Lo, Jeffrey A. Macomber, Brian McGee, Bryce Miller, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson

Defendant's Address: Racist WHITE DEVIL-
Nathan Joseph Hochman
2 West Temple Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to serve on

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106


an answer to the complaint which is served on you with this summons, within 21 days after service of this summons on you, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be taken against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. Any answer that you serve on the parties to this action must be filed with the Clerk of this Court within a reasonable period of time after service.

KEITH HOLLAND

F@#$ YOU AND THAT STUPID JUDICIAL OFFICER MARK WINDHAM. YOU CAN LOCK ME UP ALL YOU WANT, BUT YOU WILL ALL GET SUED AND YOU **WILL LOSE YOUR CASE, STUPID! LIKE YOU ALWAYS LOSE YOUR CASES, STUPID LOSERS! YOU DO REALIZE YOU HAVE LOST OVER 90% OF THE CASES YOU FILED AGAINST ME SINCE 2021! FOOLS! THUS, YOUR STUPID AND INCOMPETENT CRIMINAL PROSECUTORS HAVE ABOUT A TEN**

PERCENT CHANCE OF CONVICTING. YOU HAVE TO CHEAT TO WIN GA114055!

OH, BY THE WAY, WHITE DEVIL NATHAN JOSEPH HOCHMAN AND ALL YOUR SOON-TO-BE SUED DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEYS, AROGANT PLAYBOY HOLLYWOOD'S MUSIC HAS BEEN DOING WELL SINCE HE RELEASED A COVER SONG ON MARCH 28, 2025. THUS, YOU WILL BE SUED ADDITIONALLY FOR DERAILING AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S MUSIC CAREER AND DEFAMING HIS SOON-TO BE FAMOUS NAME FOOLS! WHEN KING AROGANT BECOMES FAMOUS HE IS GOING TO EXPOSE YOUR ENTIRE DA OFFICE AND MAKE SURE HIS KRAZY AND PISSED OFF KING AROGANT FANS KNOW EXACTLY WHERE YOU ALL LIVE AND WORK! KING AROGANT WILL MAKE DOCUMENTARIES, TELEVISION SHOWS, AND FILMS TO EXPOSE YOU FOOLS, TARNISH YOUR DA LEGACY, AND EMBARRASS YOUR FAMILIES! HIS WORD!




SEE BELOW YOUTUBE VIDEO RECORDING PROVING BY CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE RACIALLY BIAS AND RACIALLY ANIMUS DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEYS FILED BOGUS CRIMINAL CHARGES AGAINST KING AROGANT WITHOUT A SINGLE PIECE OF EVIDENCE!

https://youtube/acEpage9QTo?si=nvjjY9HyEQvCwE2k

ALL BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG ELECTRONIC MAIL EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE ATTACHED HERETO BELOW AS A GOOGLE DRIVE ATTACHMENT FILE!

Arogant Hollywood

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq.
1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD.
PASADENA, CA 91106
Mobile: 206.471.1344
arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted
Spirit of Esquire
BLACK TRADE KING
FUTURE KING OF ENTERTAINMENT
BLACK DERIVATIVES KING



Gmail - OFFICIAL COMPLAINT OF ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD ON BEHALF OF AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. sherrif ro...

Gmail - people v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA114055) & UPCOMING FIRST CIVIL


RIGHTS COMPLAINT TITLED ARO...

Gmail - people v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA114055) & UPCOMING FIRST CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT TITLED ARO...

NOVEMBER 28, 2023 EMAIL THAT WAS NEVER EMAILED TO RACIST AND LYING BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG.pdf

SHORT VERSION Gmail - people v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA114055) & UPCOMING FIRST CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLA...

SHORT VERSION NOVEMBER 28, 2023 EMAIL THAT WAS NEVER EMAILED TO RACIST AND LYING BRIAN MARK ROSEN...



CAUTION: This email originated outside of the County (lacounty.gov domain). Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and expect the message.

Exhibit 9

[redacted]

From: Arogant Hollywood <arogantholl ywoodgenius@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 10, 2025 12:17 PM
To: Area1@alhambrapd.org; gspencer@alhambrapd.org; area2@alhambrapd.org; rsoriano@alhambrapd.org; area3@alhambrapd.org; rcastillo@alhambrapd.org; area4@alhambrapd.org; klaing@alhambrapd.org; dfuentes@alhambrapd.org; lgarcia@alhambraca.gov; gdiaz@alhambrapd.org; rrongavilla@alhambrapd.org; dtran@slhsmbrapd.org; mlee@alhambrapd.org; hreyes@alhambrapd.org; bchung@alhambrapd.org; luwan@cityofalhambra.org; klee@alhambraca.gov; rmaza@cityofalhambra.org; jmaloney@cityofalhambra.org; maloney@smmc.ca.gov; nwang@cityofalhambra.org; aandradestadler@gmail.com; adele.stadler@gmail.com; joestadler@ladwp.com; andrade@eaterhlink.net; aandradestadler@yahoo.com; jmontes@bwslaw.com; CABBOTT@bwslaw.com; baffranti@bwslaw.com; bguerrero@bwslaw.com; gaker@bwslaw.com; danneet@bwslaw.com; maustin@bwslaw.com; rbalchum@bwslaw.com; mtran@bwslaw.com; mbarrett@bwslaw.com; Jbalchum@bwslaw.com; dbazzano@bwslaw.com; kberger@bwslaw.com; mbernacchi@bwslaw.com; Daniel Akemon; cthorpe@da.lacounty.gov; Erika Jerez; dbrosky@da.lacounty.gov; blunsford@da.lacounty.gov; Pak Kouch; Jodi Taksar; ron.goodreau@yahoo.com; ronaldgoodreau@aol.com; Emily Street; Mark Lee; Rose DeMattia; Jonathan Chung; Alva Lin; Michael Michelena; srebel.s@da.lacounty.gov; Jodi Link; Miji Vellakkatel; Joel McComb; dmccloskey@smcgov.org; Bradley McCartt; Garrett Dameron; Holly Harpham; duckett.justice@gmail.com; Keith Duckett; jfrost@smcgov.org; Jacques Garden; Norman Hearon; tpovah@smcgov.org; Heather Borden; Gloria Marin; Isidoro Baly; Ruby Arias; Valerie Aenlle-Rocha; Mary Murray; Jodi Castano; Kenneth Meyer; mmclaughlin@smcgov.org; Amy Pellman Pentz; Tien Pham; mightengale@da.lacounty.gov; John Niedermann; Donn Hoffman; ewakabay@da.lacounty; Grace Rai; Bob Chen; Belle Chen; Frank Dunnick; Lisette.suder@edcda.us; Cristine Albanese; John Colello; Elizabeth Ratinoff; eratinoff@da.lacounty.gov; Jessie L. McGrath; Louis Avila Jr.; kenvonh@msn.com; kvonhelmolt@da.lacounty.gov; echon@da.lacounty.gov; Jwilson@smcgov.org; June Chung; cfredgren@da.lacounty.gov; Kathy Ta; sungchung@da.lacounty.gov; Susanna Chung; Martin Bean; Tracey Whitney; Hubert Yun; Kimberly Abourezk; Liana Alvarez; Michael.pizzuti@edcda.us; nhahn@smcgov.org; Cmeaher-walker@da.lacounty.gov; cwalker@da.lacounty.gov; Cynthia Meagher Walker; Frances Young; afitzgerald@smcgov.org; Gregory Apt; Christine.vonhelmolt@csulb.edu; Christine Von Helmolt; Karen Nishita; Susan Navas; fnagle@kernda.org; carolyn.palumbo@yolocounty.org; jmarcano@kernda.org; gpearl@kernda.org; dmckillop@kernda.org; jallen@kernda.org; nlackie@kern.org; nlackie@kernda.org; kmarshall@kernda.org; bstallings@kernda.org; deanna.hays@yolocounty.org; csherman@kernda.org; lgarver@kernda.org; rchoi@kernda.org; frits.vanderhoek@yolocounty.org; btaconi@kernda.org; gkohler@kernda.org; lfields@kernda.org; cmcnutt@kernda.org; czimmer@kernda.org; Gina Satriano; mariaramirez@hotmail.com; Mayra Ramirez; Michele Daniels; Kellyjean Chun; John Morris; Peter Cagney; sfrank6576@aol.com; sfrankland@da.lacounty.gov; Brian Schirn; Robert Sherwood; Shannon Presby; Margo Baxter; lwong@da.lacounty.gov; Alan Yochelson; Teresa Gomez; Christina F. Buckley; Val Cole; Shawn Randolph; Lori Dery; Yael Massry; Gregory Jennings; Hoon Chun; Lowell Anger; Sean Hassett; smire@da.lacounty.gov; Gilbert Wright; Laura Jane Kessner; Jonlyn Callahan; Craig Hum; May Chung; Juan Mejia; Manuel Garcia; John Harlan; Mykka Piantanida; Guillermo Santiso; Priscilla Musso; Nathan J. Hochman; Vaenille-rocha@da.lacounty.gov; Daniel

To:

Felizzatto Michele Hanisee, Steven Katz, Jbrooke-castano@da lacounty gov, William Frayeh, Jessica Foster; jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov; Jessica Tillson; Mariaramirez65 @hotmail.com, Irene Lee, Cris buckley@uci edu; Julianne Walker; Christi Frey; vrodriguez@dalacounty.gov; John Mc Kinney; jmckinney@da.lacounty.gov; Kathy Cady; Julie Dixon Silva, Arisa Mattson, Christopher Baker; Cynthia Nakao; Janis Johnson; kuyen@da.lacounty.gov; pkouch@da.alacounty.gov; Samuel Hulefeld, Marc Beaart; bjdodd@dalacounty gov; Martha Carrillo; Antonio Aguilar; Ann Marie Wise; Lee Orquiola; Kevin s@lacounty.gov; Kevin Swartz; akozma@da lacounty gov; Amanda Adams; Timothy Richardson; timothy@lacounty gov; sradmore@dal.lacounty.gov; wrosenthal@da.lacounty.gov; wkarfiol@lacounty.gov; Judge Carol Najera; Judge Mark E. Windham, Daniel Ilacqua; jonathan@lacounty.gov; jarmour@dalacounty gov; felicia@.lacounty.gov; forman@da.laounty.gov; ryann.jorban@stfrancislaw.com, rgerber@dalcounty gov; Julia Cohn; julia@lacounty gov; Hugh Jackson; sunita popal@pepperdine.edu; Sunita Popal; David Zygielbaum; Michelle Lewis; philglaviano@hotmail.com, pglaviano@da.lacounty.gov; thomaskrag@proton me, tkrag@da.lacounty gov; Kenneth Lynch; Stefan Mrakich; cgaines@da.lacounty gov; Michael Enomoto; Charles Chaiyarachta; rdooley@da lacounty gov; Geoffrey Lewin; ddelimon@gmail.com; Mario Haidar; Tina Hooks; Whelma Llanos; Sean Carney; Daniel Wright; lholtz@da.lacounty.gov; Diana Martinez; dwooden@da.lacounty gov; Jose Gonzalez, Don Syn, rchang@da.lacounty gov; Natasha Cooper, Alexander Karkanen, Kevin Halligan; ralarcon@da.lacounty.gov; sstodel@da.lacounty.gov, David Barkhurst, Teresa de Castro; Duke Chau; Valerie Little; nflood@da lacounty gov; Robert Rabbani; tmokayef@da.clacounty.gov, rrose@da.lacounty.gov; Candice Henry. Frank Santoro. kstpierre@da.lacounty.gov, John Lewin, brian.jones@sen.ca gov; erikandannaapperson@hotmail.com, dbengstib@da.lacounty gov, Ying sun@cdcr ca.gov; Parjack Ghaderi; Roberto Saldana; ndavis-tinkham@counsel lacounty gov; tink4d1@gmail com; brind0313@gmail com, darrelltinkham@yahoo.com, ltolani@counsel.lacounty.gov; lester.tolnai@yahoo.com; rclester.tolnai71@yahoo com; Phyllis Marshall; Adrienne Byers; Robert Cartwright; Rosanne Wong; Thomas Faughnan; tomfaughnan66@gmail.com, Jennifer Lehman, Kristine Miles; rbaeza@counsel.lacounty.gov; Richard Chastang, lcampo@counsel lacounty gov; Michael Simon; Elizabeth Miller; elizabeth_dumais@yahoo.com; kaigrant19@yahoo com; pmomon@yahoo.com, Peter Bollinger, First District; secondistrict@bos.la.county.gov, holly4assembly@gmail.com; hmitchell@yahoo com, hjmitchel@aol.com; Chen, Cindy; nadtinkham@gmail.com; holly@hollyjmitchell.com; Reginald A. Fleming-Peters; Maggie Carter, njenkins@lacounty.gov; Nedra Jenkins; Jason Gonzalez; lowt@metro.net. Tlow77 @gmail.com; ryanthurlow@yahoo.com; Teddy Low; tina@jmoilco.com; tlow7 @yahoo.com; econard@lacounty.gov; econard@counsel.lacounty.gov; andria.seo@disabilityrightsca.org; Sonia Chan; eggerse@metro.net, eggers@counsel.lacounty.gov; Millicent Rolon; mirder@hotmail.com, doug@homealert.com, Alexandra Zuiderweg; Micheline Ruben; Caitlin Taylor; Holly J. Mitchell; Lindsey.P.Horvath@gmail.com, Keever Rhodes Muir; CCRCMFLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; CDCRCCILitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca gov; CDCRCTFLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; CDCRCMCLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; CDCRCORLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; CDCRCIMLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; FCORTEZ@cdcr.gov; Jeff.macomber@cdcr ca.gov, Jennifer.barretto@cdcr.ca gov; Tammy.foss@cdcr.ca.gov; Diana.toche@cdcr.ca.gov, albert.lundeen@cdcr.ca.gov, Jennifer.neil@cdcr.ca.gov, Sarah.larson@cdcr ca.gov; Ron broomfield@cdcr.ca gov; Amy.casias@cdcr.ca.gov, Jason.johnson@cdcr.ca.gov; Chris chambers@cdcr.ca.gov; Stacy lopez@cdcr.ca gov;

To: Niki.dhillon@cdcr.ca.gov, Jennifer.benavidez@cdcr.ca.gov, heather.bowlds@cdcr.ca.gov, Jared.lozano@cdcr.ca.gov, Derrick.marion@cdcr.ca.gov, David.chriss@cdcr.ca.gov, Sarina.calderon@cdcr.ca.gov, elizabeth.hom@calbar.ca.gov, johnna.sack@calbar.ca.gov, rocio.avalos@calbar.ca.gov, kristina.ramos@calbar.ca.gov, collin.grant@calbar.ca.gov, louisa.ayrapetyan@calbar.ca.gov, jennifer.stalvey@calbar.ca.gov, ken.nourse@calbar.ca.gov, summer.shelton@calbar.ca.gov, kirsten.galler@calbar.ca.gov, doan.nguyen@calbar.ca.gov, audrey.ching@calbar.ca.gov, laurie.gondreau@calbar.ca.gov, yun.xiang@calbar.ca.gov, mark@fresnocriminallawyer.com, abrahim.bagheri@calbar.ca.gov, carol.kiyoto@calbar.ca.gov, andrew.vasicek@calbar.ca.gov, mayte.diaz@calbar.ca.gov, duncan.carling@calbar.ca.gov, chelsey.smith@calbar.ca.gov, suzanne.grandt@calbar.ca.gov, gerri.smith@calbar.ca.gov, peter.kivans@calbar.ca.gov, cindy.chan@calbar.ca.gov, teresa.ruano@calbar.ca.gov, patrice.vallier, glass@calbar.ca.gov, naji.khatib@calbar.ca.gov, awndrea.yeboah@calbar.ca.gov, christina.doeli@calbar.ca.gov, enrique.zuniga@calbar.ca.gov, kimberly.anderson@calbar.ca.gov, brandon.stallings@calbar.ca.gov, Jose.cisneros@gmail.com, Jose.cisneros@sf.gov, pbarahona@yli.org, Arogant Hollywood, Jorge Merchan, WDACS Info, Arogant Hollywood, Alison Fairchild, Arogant Hollywood, blacklawgenius@gmail.com, Alison Fairchild, Luna, Robert, Raul Luna, atardy@lacounty.org, Torres, Josue, saloma@lacounty.org, llecrivain@lasd.org, bcnase@lacounty.gov, Robin Tillman, llecrivain@lacounty.org, Meza, Jerry, ned.harlan@doj.ca.gov, nishdeep.singh@doj.ca.gov, robert.bonta@doj.ca.gov, OPSChiefsOffice@doj.ca.gov, peter.halloran@doj.ca.gov, amyhhalloran@yahoo.com, peterhalloran@sbcglobal.net, alisha.carlile@doj.ca.gov, snpdragn@aol.com, acarlile@alum.wellesley.edu, concertina9@yahoo.com, alishastephen@yahoo.com.au, alehr17@u.washington.edu, girlygirl8384@aol.com, akeened@yahoo.com, lish34@hotmail.com, piccbodin@hotmail.com, lachapell_a@yahoo.com, alishaoku@yahoo.com, alisha.mai@gmail.com, alisha.marks@rogers.com, vincent.bonotto@doj.ca.gov, vbonotto@hotmail.com, glenn.pruden@doj.ca.gov, eyeless77@hotmail.com, daniel.olivas@doj.ca.gov, giam.nguyen@doj.ca.gov, chad.stegeman@doj.ca.gov, stephen.lew@doj.ca.gov, christopher.findley@doj.ca.gov, dennis.beck@doj.ca.gov, darrell.spence@doj.ca.gov, maggy.krell@doj.ca.gov, Elaine.tong@doj.ca.gov, hannah.calacsan@doj.ca.gov, mo.park@doj.ca.gov, dbuthler@lasuperiorcourt.org, dslayton@lasuperiorcourt.org, sclover@lasuperiorcourt.org, jmoses@lasuperiorcourt.org, ppenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, tpenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org, chase.penrose@gmail.com, mackenzienicole@gmail.com, trishpenrose@att.net, amber.barnett@jud.ca.go, john.yee@jud.ca.gov, jenniejasperson@gmail.com, kelton.jasperson@gmail.com, cjasperson2@mindspring.com, judy.jasperson@att.net, randie.chance@doj.ca.gov, gavin.newsom@gov.ca.gov, gkennedy@alhambrapd.org, EWakabayashi@da.lacounty.gov, bdodd@da.lacounty.gov, hforman@da.lacounty.gov, Tamar Tokat, tmokayf@da.lacounty.gov, Sara Radmore, andrade@earthlink.net, Ryann Gerber Jorban, Victor Rodriguez, John Lewin, atardy@lasd.org, dtran@alhambrapd.org, seconddistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, Dean Bengston, jragusa@alhambrafire.org, rembrahim@da.lacounty.gov, rishnidanos516@gmail.com, prentice.hill@cdcr.ca.gov, jargusa@alhambrafire.org, jbinnquist@cityofalhambra.org, Willow Karfiol, Shelley Dominguez, akarkanen@da.lacounty, tseki@alhambrapd.org, attorney@neilopdahl.com

Subject: [External]AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. WHITE DEVIL nathan joseph hochman, et al (SO WHAT I LOST MY BAIL NATHAN JOSEPH, KING AROGANT HAS CRACKED YOUR CASE SEE YOU IN FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT SOON FOOLS (SECOND VERIFIED APPENDIX OF EXHIBITS , EXHIBITS 1-10, OVER ..

Attachments: COMBINED SECOND APPENDIX OF EXHIBITS TO DISMISS COUNTS 3, 4, AND 5 (FINAL VERSION).pdf

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. WHITE DEVIL nathan joseph hochman, et al (SO WHAT I LOST MY BAIL NATHAN JOSEPH, KING AROGANT HAS CRACKED YOUR CASE, SEE YOU IN FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT SOON FOOLS (SECOND VERIFIED APPENDIX OF EXHIBITS,, EXHIBITS 1-10, OVER 100 PAGES ATTACHED HERETO:

THIS WILL SOON BE YOU, NATHAN JOSEPH HOCHMAN, YOU WHITE DEVIL!

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Arogant Hollywood,

vs

Jeffrey A. Macomber, et al.,

CASE NO: 1:24 CV 03351 DAD-CSK

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL CASE

TO: Ashley Albiero, Jennifer Barreto, Dennis L. Beck, Jr., Jennifer Benavides, Robert Andres Bonta, Ronald Broomfield, Elizabeth Byers, Christo Chambers, Yingchee Chen, Tammatha Fess, George Gascon, Peter Dwight Halloran, Jason D. Johnson, Cheryl Lynn Kaylor, Lee Ka Fong Lo, Jeffrey A. Macomber, Brian McGee, Bryce Miller, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamee Wilson

Defendant's Address: Racist WHITE DEVIL
Nathan Joseph Hochman
21 West Temple Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to serve on

Arogant Hollywood
1388 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106


an answer to the complaint which is served on you with this summons, within 21 days after service of this summons on you, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be taken against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. Any answer that you serve on the parties to this action must be filed with the Clerk of this Court within a reasonable period of time after service.

KEITH HOLLAND



F@#$ YOU AND THAT STUPID JUDICIAL OFFICER MARK WINDHAM. YOU CAN LOCK ME UP ALL YOU WANT, BUT YOU WILL ALL GET SUED AND YOU **WILL LOSE YOUR CASE, STUPID! LIKE YOU ALWAYS LOSE YOUR CASES, STUPID LOSERS! YOU DO REALIZE YOU HAVE LOST OVER 90% OF THE CASES YOU FILED AGAINST ME SINCE 2021! FOOLS! THUS, YOUR STUPID AND INCOMPETENT CRIMINAL PROSECUTORS HAVE ABOUT A TEN PERCENT CHANCE OF CONVICTING. YOU HAVE TO CHEAT TO WIN GA114055!**

OH, BY THE WAY, WHITE DEVIL NATHAN JOSEPH HOCHMAN AND ALL YOUR SOON-TO-BE SUED DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEYS. AROGANT PLAYBOY HOLLYWOOD'S MUSIC HAS BEEN DOING WELL SINCE HE RELEASED A COVER SONG ON MARCH 28, 2025. THUS, YOU WILL BE SUED ADDITIONALLY FOR DERAILING AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S MUSIC CAREER AND DEFAMING HIS SOON-TO-BE FAMOUS NAME FOOLS! WHEN KING AROGANT BECOMES FAMOUS HE IS GOING TO EXPOSE YOUR ENTIRE DA OFFICE AND MAKE SURE HIS KRAZY AND PISSED OFF KING AROGANT FANS KNOW EXACTLY WHERE YOU ALL LIVE AND WORK! KING AROGANT WILL MAKE DOCUMENTARIES, TELEVISION SHOWS, AND FILMS TO EXPOSE YOU FOOLS, TARNISH YOUR DA LEGACY, AND EMBARRASS YOUR FAMILIES! HIS WORD!



WHILE UNDISCOVERED INDEPENDENT ARTISTS PAY TO GET PUT ON PLAYLISTS AND ALSO MANUALLY EMAIL PLAYLIST CURATORS TO BE ADDED TO A PLAYLIST. AROGANT PLAYBOY HOLLYWOOD HAS BEEN ADDED TO 207 PLAYLISTS WITHOUT DOING A SINGLE THING, AND WITH NO PROMOTION FOOLS!

SEE BELOW YOUTUBE VIDEO RECORDING PROVING BY CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE RACIALLY BIAS AND RACIALLY ANIMUS DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEYS FILED BOGUS CRIMINAL CHARGES AGAINST KING AROGANT WITHOUT A SINGLE PIECE OF EVIDENCE!

https://youtu.be/SdPpaoe9Q1o?si=nyjjY9HyFQvCwF2k

ALL BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG ELECTRONIC MAIL EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE ATTACHED HERETO BELOW AS A GOOGLE DRIVE ATTACHMENT FILE!

HEY, NATHAN JOSEPH HOCHMAN! DO YOU HAVE ANY EVIDENCE TO SUPPORT YOUR FRIVOLOUS COUNTS 3, 4, AND 5! MARK ROSENBERG WILL BE CHARGED IN THE FEDS SOON!

Arogant Hollywood

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq.
1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD.
PASADENA, CA 91106
Mobile: 206.471.1344
arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted
Spirit of Esquire
BLACK TRADE KING
FUTURE KING OF ENTERTAINMENT
BLACK DERIVATIVES KING




King
Arogant

This email originated outside of the County (lacounty.gov domain). Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and expect the message

[redacted]

From: Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 10, 2025 4:51 PM
To: Shelley Dominguez; Alexander Karkanen; Nathan J. Hochman; Arogant Hollywood; Mary Murray; Janis Jonnson; Cynthia Nakao; prentice.hill@cdcr.ca.gov; gkennedy@alhambrapd.org; cdonato@alhambrapd.org; Alison Fairchild; Alison Fairchild; Willow Karfiol; attorney@neilopdahl.com
Subject: [External]AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. WHITE DEVIL nathan joseph hochman, et al (SO WHAT I LOST MY BAIL NATHAN JOSEPH, KING ARROGANT HAS CRACKED YOUR CASE, SEE YOU IN FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT SOON FOOLS (SECOND VERIFIED APPENDIX OF EXHIBITS,, EXHIBITS 1-10, OVER
Attachments: COMBINED SECOND APPENDIX OF EXHIBITS TO DISMISS COUNTS 3, 4, AND 5 (FINAL VERSION).pdf

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. WHITE DEVIL nathan joseph hochman, et al (SO WHAT I LOST MY BAIL NATHAN JOSEPH, KING AROGANT HAS CRACKED YOUR CASE, SEE YOU IN FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT SOON FOOLS (SECOND VERIFIED APPENDIX OF EXHIBITS,, EXHIBITS 1-10, OVER 100 PAGES ATTACHED HERETO



THIS WILL SOON BE YOU! YOU STUPID AND DUMB RACIST WHITE DEVIL WILLOW! SEE YOU

ON MAY 12, 2025, WHEN YOUR FRIVOLOUS COUNTS 3, 4, AND 5 WILL BE DISMISSED!

Case 2:24-cv-03351-DAD-CSK Document 2 Filed 12/03/24 Page 1 of 3

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Arogant Hollywood,

CASE NO: 1:24-CV-03351-DAD-CSK

vs

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL CASE

Jeffrey A. Macomber, et al.,

TO: Ashley Albanese, Jennifer Barrueta, Dennis L. Beck, Jr., Jennifer Benavidez, Robert Andrew Bonta, Ronald Brownfield, Elizabeth Byers, Christa Chambers, Yingchun Chen, Tamamatthu Foss, George Gascon, Peter Dwight Halberson, James D. Johnson, Cheryl Lynn Kaylor, Lan Ka Fong Lo, Jeffrey A. Macomber, Brian McGee, Bryan Miller, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tsuru Wilson

RACIST WHITE DEVIL
Willow Leah Rosenthal
210 West Temple Street
Suite 17th Floor
LOS Angeles, CA 90012

Defendant's Address

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to serve on:

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd
Pasadena, CA 91106

an answer to the complaint which is served on you with this summons, within 21 days after service of this summons on you, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be taken against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. Any answer that you serve on the parties to this action must be filed with the Clerk of this Court within a reasonable period of time after service.

KEITH HOLLAND




SEE BELOW ATTACHED YOUTUBE VIDEO RECORDING PROVING BY CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE THAT RACIST AND BIASED COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES CRIMINAL PROSECUTOR BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG LIED THAT HE RECEIVED EMAILS FROM KING AROGANT ON NOVEMBER 28, 2023, AND FEBRUARY 13. 2024 AND JUNE 8, 2024!

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SdPpaoe9QTo&t=698s

COUNTS 3, 4, AND 5 ARE GOING TO BE DISMISSED STUPID! I WILL SEE YOU FOOLS BACK IN SUPERIOR COURT IN ALHAMBRA SUPERIOR COURT!

Arogant Hollywood

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq.
1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD.
PASADENA, CA 91106
Mobile: 206.471.1344
arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted
Spirit of Esquire
BLACK TRADE KING
FUTURE KING OF ENTERTAINMENT
BLACK DERIVATIVES KING




King
Arogant

CAUTION This email originated outside of the County (lacounty.gov domain). Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and expect the message.

[redacted]

From: Arogant Hollywood <arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 10, 2025 4:57 PM
To: Shelley Dominguez; Alexander Karkanen; Nathan J. Hochman; Arogant Hollywood; Mary Murray; Janis Johnson; Cynthia Nakao; prentice.hill@cdcr.ca.gov; gkennedy@alhambrapd.org; cdonato@alhambrapd.org; Alison Fairchild; Alison Fairchild; Willow Karfiol; Judge Mark E. Windham
Subject: [External]AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. WHITE DEVIL nathan joseph hochman, et al (SO WHAT I LOST MY BAIL NATHAN JOSEPH, KING AROGANT HAS CRACKED YOUR CASE, SEE YOU IN FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT SOON FOOLS, 26 PAGE MOTION TO TERMINATE DOMINGUEZ AND HOCHMAN'S ILLEGAL.
Attachments: MOTION TO TERMINATE APRIL 25^J 2025 CRIMINAL PROTECTIVE ORDER (ALHAMBRA LIBRARY AND MARK ROSENBERG).pdf

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. WHITE DEVIL nathan joseph hochman, et al (SO WHAT I LOST MY BAIL NATHAN JOSEPH, KING AROGANT HAS CRACKED YOUR CASE, SEE YOU IN FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT SOON FOOLS, 26 PAGE MOTION TO TERMINATE DOMINGUEZ AND HOCHMAN'S ILLEGAL CRIMINAL PROTECTIVE ORDER



THIS WILL SOON BE YOU! YOU STUPID AND DUMB RACIST WHITE DEVIL WILLOW! SEE YOU

ON MAY 12, 2025, WHEN YOUR FRIVOLOUS COUNTS 3, 4, AND 5 WILL BE DISMISSED!

Case 2:24-cv-03351-DAD-CSK Document 2 Filed 12/03/24 Page 1 of 3

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Arogant Hollywood , **CASE NO: 1:24-CV-03351-DAD-CSK**

vs. **SUMMONS IN A CIVIL CASE**

Jeffrey A. Macomber , et al. ,

TO: **Ashley Albiento, Jennifer Barrette, Dennis L. Beck, Jr, Jennifer Benevides, Robert Andrew Bonta, Ronald Broomfield, Elizabeth Byers, Christe Chambers, Yingchou Chen, Tammatha Fenn, George Gascon, Peter Dwight Halberian, Jenna D. Johnson, Cheryl Lynn Kaylor, Lee Ka Fung Lo, Jeffrey A. Macomber, Brian McGee, Bryan Miller, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson**

RACIST WHITE DEVIL

Defendant's Address: Willow Leah Rosenthal

210 West Temple Street

Suite 17th Flwr

Los Angeles, CA 90012

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to serve on:

Arogant Hollywood
1300 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106

an answer to the complaint which is served on you with this summons, within 21 days after service of this summons on you, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be taken against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. Any answer that you serve on the parties to this action must be filed with the Clerk of this Court within a reasonable period of time after service.

KEITH HOLLAND

SEE BELOW ATTACHED YOUTUBE VIDEO RECORDING PROVING BY CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE THAT RACIST AND BIASED COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES CRIMINAL PROSECUTOR BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG LIED THAT HE RECEIVED EMAILS FROM KING AROGANT ON NOVEMBER 28, 2023, AND FEBRUARY 13. 2024 AND JUNE 8, 2024!

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SdPpaoe9QTo&t=698s

COUNTS 3, 4, AND 5 ARE GOING TO BE DISMISSED STUPID! I WILL SEE YOU FOOLS BACK IN SUPERIOR COURT IN ALHAMBRA SUPERIOR COURT!

HEY YOU RACIST WHITE DEVILS! KISS YOUR CRIMINAL PROTECTIVE GOOD-BYE BEFORE IT DIES AND ROTS!

Arogant Hollywood

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq.
1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD.
PASADENA, CA 91106
Mobile: 206.471.1344
arogantholiywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted
Spirit of Esquire
BLACK TRADE KING
FUTURE KING OF ENTERTAINMENT
BLACK DERIVATIVES KING




King

Arogant

CAUTION This email originated outside of the County (lacounty.gov domain) Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and expect the message.

Exhibit 10

County of Los Angeles vs. Hollywood, A.



From: Arogant Hollywood <arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 12, 2025 5:42 AM
To: gspencer@alhambrapd.org; area2@alhambrapd.org; rsoriano@alhambrapd.org; area3@alhambrapd.org; rcastillo@alhambrapd.org; area1@alhambrapd.org; klang@alhambrapd.org; ufuentes@alhambrapd.org; lgarcia@alhambracity.gov; gdiaz@alhambrapd.org; rrengavilla@alhambrapd.org; dtran@alhambrapd.org; mlee@alhambrapd.org; hreyes@alhambrapd.org; bchung@alhambrapd.org; cwan@cityofalhambra.org; klee@alhambracity.gov; rmaza@cityofalhambra.org; jmaloney@cityofalhambra.org; maloney@smmc.ca.gov; rwang@cityofalhambra.org; aandradestadler@gmail.com; adele.stadler@gmail.com; joestadler@radwp.com; andrade@eatonhlink.net; aandradestadler@yahoo.com; jmontes@bwslaw.com; CABBOTT@bwslaw.com; bafrumt@bwslaw.com; bguerrero@bwslaw.com; gaker@bwslaw.com; dannoet@bwslaw.com; maustin@bwslaw.com; rbalchum@bwslaw.com; mtran@bwslaw.com; mbarrett@bwslaw.com; jbalchum@bwslaw.com; cbazzano@bwslaw.com; rberger@bwslaw.com; mcorracchi@bwslaw.com; Daniel Akeman <DAkeman@da.lacounty.gov>; cthorpe@da.lacounty.gov; Frank Perez <fperez@da.lacounty.gov>; dcrosby@da.lacounty.gov; bunsford@da.lacounty.gov; Pak Kouch <pkouch@da.lacounty.gov>; Jodi Taksar <jtaksar@da.lacounty.gov>; ron.goodreau@yahoo.com; ronaldgoodreau@aol.com; Emily Street <estreet@da.lacounty.gov>; Mark Lee <mlee@da.lacounty.gov>; Rose DeMattia <rdemattia@da.lacounty.gov>; Jonathan Chung <JonChung@da.lacounty.gov>; Alva Lin <ALin@da.lacounty.gov>; Michael Michelena <mmichelena@da.lacounty.gov>; srebelis@da.lacounty.gov; Jodi Link <jlink@da.lacounty.gov>; Mary Vellakkate' <mvellakk@da.lacounty.gov>; Joel McComb <jmccomb@smcgov.org>; dmccloskey@smcgov.org; Bradley McCartt <bmccartt@da.lacounty.gov>; Garrett Dameron <gdameron@da.lacounty.gov>; Holly Harpham <hharpham@da.lacounty.gov>; duckett.justice@gmail.com; Keith Duckett <kduckett@da.lacounty.gov>; jfrost@smcgov.org; Jacques Garden <JGarden@da.lacounty.gov>; Norman Hearon <NHearon@da.lacounty.gov>; tpovah@smcgov.org; Heather Borden <hborden@da.lacounty.gov>; Gloria Marin <gmarin@da.lacounty.gov>; isidoro Baly <ibaly@da.lacounty.gov>; Ruby Arias <RArias@da.lacounty.gov>; Valerie Aenlle-Rocha <VAenlle-Rocha@da.lacounty.gov>; Mary Murray <MMurray@da.lacounty.gov>; Jodi Castano <jcastano@da.lacounty.gov>; Kenneth Meyer <kMeyer@da.lacounty.gov>; mmclaughlin@smcgov.org; Amy Pellman Pentz <apellman@da.lacounty.gov>; Ben Pham <bpham@da.lacounty.gov>; lnightengale@da.lacounty.gov; John Niedermann

<jniedermann@da.lacounty.gov>; Donn Hoffman <DHoffman@da.lacounty.gov>; ewakabay@da.lacounty; Grace Rai <GRai@da.lacounty.gov>; Bob Chen <bobchen@da.lacounty.gov>; Belle Chen <BChen@da.lacounty.gov>; Frank Dunnick <fdunnick@da.lacounty.gov>; Lisette.suder@edcda.us; Cristine Albanese <CAlbanese@da.lacounty.gov>; John Colello <JColello@da.lacounty.gov>; Elizabeth Ratinoff <ERatinof@da.lacounty.gov>; eratinoff@da.lacounty.gov; Jessie L. McGrath <jmcgrath@da.lacounty.gov>; Louis Avila Jr. <lavila@da.lacounty.gov>; kenvonh@msn.com; kvonhelmolt@da.lacounty.gov; echon@da.lacounty.gov; Jwilson@smcgov.org; June Chung <JChung@da.lacounty.gov>; cfredgren@da.lacounty.gov; Kathy Ta <KTa@da.lacounty.gov>; sungchung@da.lacounty.gov; Susanna Chung <SChung@da.lacounty.gov>; Martin Bean <MBean@da.lacounty.gov>; Tracey Whitney <TWhitney@da.lacounty.gov>; Hubert Yun <HYun@da.lacounty.gov>; Kimberly Abourezk <kabourezk@da.lacounty.gov>; Iliana Alvarez <ialvarez@da.lacounty.gov>; Michael.pizzuti@edcda.us; nhahn@smcgov.org; Cmeaher-walker@da.lacounty.gov; cwalker@da.lacounty.gov; Cynthia Meagher-Walker <cmeagher@da.lacounty.gov>; Frances Young <fyoung@da.lacounty.gov>; afitzgerald@smcgov.org; Gregory Apt <gapt@da.lacounty.gov>; Christine.vonhelmolt@csulb.edu; Christine Von Helmolt <CVonHelmolt@da.lacounty.gov>; Karen Nishita <KNishita@da.lacounty.gov>; Susan Navas <SNavas@da.lacounty.gov>; fnagle@kernda.org; carolyn.palumbo@yolocounty.org; jmarcano@kernda.org; gpearl@kernda.org; dmckillop@kernda.org; jallen@kernda.org; nilackie@kern.org; nlackie@kernda.org; kmarshall@kernda.org; bstallings@kernda.org; deanna.hays@yolocounty.org; csherman@kernda.org; lgarver@kernda.org; rchoi@kernda.org; frits.vanderhoek@yolocounty.org; btaconi@kernda.org; gkohler@kernda.org; lfields@kernda.org; cmcnutt@kernda.org; czimmer@kernda.org; Gina Satriano <GSatriano@da.lacounty.gov>; mariaramirez@hotmail.com; Mayra Ramirez <MRamirez@da.lacounty.gov>; Michele Daniels <mdaniels@da.lacounty.gov>; Kellyjean Chun <kchun@da.lacounty.gov>; John Morris <JMorris@da.lacounty.gov>; Peter Cagney <PCagney@da.lacounty.gov>; sfrank6576@aol.com; sfrankland@da.lacounty.gov; Brian Schirn <BSchirn@da.lacounty.gov>; Robert Sherwood <RSherwood@da.lacounty.gov>; Shannon Presby <spresby@da.lacounty.gov>; Margo Baxter <MBaxter@da.lacounty.gov>; lwong@da.lacounty.gov; Alan Yochelson <AYochelson@da.lacounty.gov>; Teresa Gomez <TGomez@da.lacounty.gov>; Christina F. Buckley <CBuckley@da.lacounty.gov>; Val Cole <VCole@da.lacounty.gov>; Shawn Randolph <SRandolph@da.lacounty.gov>; Lori Dery <ldery@da.lacounty.gov>; Yael Massry <YMassry@da.lacounty.gov>; Gregory Jennings <GJennings@da.lacounty.gov>; Hoon Chun <HChun@da.lacounty.gov>; Lowell Anger <langer@da.lacounty.gov>; Sean Hassett <shassett@da.lacounty.gov>; smire@da.lacounty.gov; Gilbert Wright <GWright@da.lacounty.gov>; Laura Jane Kessner <ljkessner@da.lacounty.gov>; Jonlyn Callahan <JCallahan@da.lacounty.gov>; Craig Hum <chum@da.lacounty.gov>; May Chung <MChung@da.lacounty.gov>; Juan Mejia <JMejia@da.lacounty.gov>; Manuel Garcia <MGarcia@da.lacounty.gov>; John Harlan <JHarlan@da.lacounty.gov>; Mykka Piantanida <MPiantanida@da.lacounty.gov>; Guillermo Santiso <gsantiso@da.lacounty.gov>; Priscilla Musso <PMusso@da.lacounty.gov>; Nathan J. Hochman <NHochman@da.lacounty.gov>; Vaenille-rocha@da.lacounty.gov; Daniel Felizzatto <dfelizzatto@da.lacounty.gov>; Michele Hanisee <MHanisee@da.lacounty.gov>; Steven Katz <skatz@da.lacounty.gov>; Jbrooke-castano@da.lacounty.gov; William Frayeh <wfrayeh@da.lacounty.gov>; Jessica Foster <JFoster@da.lacounty.gov>; jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov; Jessica Tullson <jtillson@da.lacounty.gov>; Mariaramirez65@hotmail.com; Irene Lee

<lLee@da.lacounty.gov>; Cris.buckley@uci.edu; Julianne Walker <jwalker@da.lacounty.gov>; Christi Frey <CFrey@da.lacounty.gov>; vrodriguez@dalacounty.gov; John Mc Kinney <McKinney@da.lacounty.gov>; jmckinney@da.lacounty.gov; Kathy Cady <KCady@da.lacounty.gov>; Julie Dixon Silva <jdsilva@da.lacounty.gov>; Arisa Mattson <amattson@da.lacounty.gov>; Christopher Baker <ChrisBaker@da.lacounty.gov>; Cynthia Nakao <CNakao@da.lacounty.gov>; Janis Johnson <JJohnson@da.lacounty.gov>; kuyen@da.lacounty.gov; pkouch@da.alacounty.gov; Samuel Hulefeld <shulefeld@da.lacounty.gov>; Marc Beaart <MBeaart@da.lacounty.gov>; bjdodd@dalacounty.gov; Martha Carrillo <MCarrillo@da.lacounty.gov>; Antonio Aguilar <AAguilar@da.lacounty.gov>; Ann Marie Wise <AWise@da.lacounty.gov>; Lee Orquiola <LOrquiola@da.lacounty.gov>; Kevin.s@lacounty.gov; Kevin Swartz <KSwartz@da.lacounty.gov>; akozma@da.lacounty.gov; Amanda Adams <aadams@da.lacounty.gov>; Timothy Richardson <TRichardson@da.lacounty.gov>; timothy@lacounty.gov; sradmore@dal.lacounty.gov; wrosenthal@da.lacounty.gov; wkarfiol@lacounty.gov; cnajera@lacourt.org; mwindham@lacourt.org; Daniel Ilacqua <DIlacqua@da.lacounty.gov>; jonathan@lacounty.gov; jarmour@dalacounty.gov; felicia@lacounty.gov; forman@da.laounty.gov; ryann.jorban@stfrancislaw.com; rgerber@dalcounty.gov; Julia Cohn <JCohn@da.lacounty.gov>; julia@lacounty.gov; Hugh Jackson <HJackson@da.lacounty.gov>; sunita.popal@pepperdine.edu; Sunita Popal <SPopal@da.lacounty.gov>; David Zygielbaum <DZygielbaum@da.lacounty.gov>; Michelle Lewis <MLewis@da.lacounty.gov>; philglaviano@hotmail.com; pglaviano@da.lacounty.gov; thomaskrag@proton.me; tkrag@da.lacounty.gov; Kenneth Lynch <klynch@da.lacounty.gov>; Stefan Mrakich <smrakich@da.lacounty.gov>; cgaines@da.lacounty.gov; Michael Enomoto <MEnomoto@da.lacounty.gov>; Charles Chaiyarachta <CChaiyar@da.lacounty.gov>; rdooley@da.lacounty.gov; Geoffrey Lewin <GLewin@da.lacounty.gov>; ddelimon@gmail.com; Mario Haidar <mhaidar@da.lacounty.gov>; Tina Hooks <THooks@da.lacounty.gov>; Whelma Llanos <wllanos@da.lacounty.gov>; Sean Carney <SCarney@da.lacounty.gov>; Daniel Wright <DWright@da.lacounty.gov>; lholtz@da.lacounty.gov; Diana Martinez <dmartinez@da.lacounty.gov>; dwooden@da.lacounty.gov; Jose Gonzalez <jgonzalez@da.lacounty.gov>; Don Syn <dsyn@da.lacounty.gov>; rchang@da.lacounty.gov; Natasha Cooper <ncooper@da.lacounty.gov>; Alexander Karkanen <AKarkanen@da.lacounty.gov>; Kevin Halligan <KHalligan@da.lacounty.gov>; ralarcon@da.lacounty.gov; sstodel@da.lacounty.gov; David Barkhurst <DBarkhurst@da.lacounty.gov>; Teresa de Castro <tdecastro@da.lacounty.gov>; Duke Chau <dchau@da.lacounty.gov>; Valerie Little <VLittle@da.lacounty.gov>; nflood@da.lacounty.gov; Robert Rabbani <rrabbani@da.lacounty.gov>; tmokayef@da.clacounty.gov; rrose@da.lacounty.gov; Candice Henry <chenry@da.lacounty.gov>; Frank Santoro <FSantoro@da.lacounty.gov>; kstpierre@da.lacounty.gov; John Lewin <johnlwn@gmail.com>; brian.jones@sen.ca.gov; erikandannaapperson@hotmail.com; dbengstib@da.lacounty.gov; Ying.sun@cdcr.ca.gov; pghaderi@counsel.lacounty.gov; rsaldana@counsel.lacounty.gov; ndavis-tinkham@counsel.lacounty.gov; tink4d1@gmail.com; brind0313@gmail.com; darrelltinkham@yahoo.com; ltolani@counsel.lacounty.gov; lester.tolnai@yahoo.com; rclester.tolnai71@yahoo.com; pmarshall@counsel.lacounty.gov; Adrienne Byers <abyers@counsel.lacounty.gov>; rcartwright@counsel.lacounty.gov; rwong@counsel.lacounty.gov; tfaughnan@counsel.lacounty.gov; tomfaughnan66@gmail.com; Jennifer <JLehman@counsel.lacounty.gov>; kmiles@counsel.lacounty.gov; rbaeza@counsel.lacounty.gov; rchastang@counsel.lacounty.gov; lcampo@counsel.lacounty.gov; msimon@counsel.lacounty.gov; emiller@counsel.lacounty.gov; elizabeth_dumais@yahoo.com; kaigrant19@yahoo.com;

pmomon@yahoo.com; pbollinger@counsel.lacounty.gov; First District <firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>; secondistrict@bos.la.county.gov; holly4assembly@gmail.com; hmitchell@yahoo.com; hjmitchel@aol.com; Cindy <cchen@bos.lacounty.gov>; nadtinkham@gmail.com; holly@hollyjmitchell.com; rfleming-peters@counsel.lacounty.gov; Margaret Carter <mcarter@counsel.lacounty.gov>; njenkins@lacounty.gov; njenkins@counsel.lacounty.gov; jgonzalez@counsel.lacounty.gov; lowt@metro.net; Tlow77@gmail.com; ryanthurlow@yahoo.com; tlow@counsel.lacounty.gov; tina@jmoilco.com; tlow7@yahoo.com; econard@lacounty.gov; econard@counsel.lacounty.gov; andria.seo@disabilityrightsca.org; schan@counsel.lacounty.gov; eggerse@metro.net; eggers@counsel.lacounty.gov; mrolon@counsel.lacounty.gov; mirder@hotmail.com; doug@homealert.com; Alexandra Zuiderweg <AZuiderweg@counsel.lacounty.gov>; mruben@counsel.lacounty.gov; Caitlin Taylor <ctaylor@counsel.lacounty.gov>; Holly J. Mitchell <HollyJMitchell@bos.lacounty.gov>; Lindsey.P.Horvath@gmail.com; kmuir@counsel.lacounty.gov; CCRCMFLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; CDCRCCILitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; CDCRCTFLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; CDCRCMCLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; CDCRCORLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; CDCRCIMLitigationCoordinator@cdcr.ca.gov; FCORTEZ@cdcr.gov; Jeff.macomber@cdcr.ca.gov; Jennifer.barretto@cdcr.ca.gov; Tammy.foss@cdcr.ca.gov; Diana.toche@cdcr.ca.gov; albert.lundeen@cdcr.ca.gov; Jennifer.neil@cdcr.ca.gov; Sarah.larson@cdcr.ca.gov; Ron.broomfield@cdcr.ca.gov; Amy.casias@cdcr.ca.gov; Jason.johnson@cdcr.ca.gov; Chris.chambers@cdcr.ca.gov; Stacy.lopez@cdcr.ca.gov; Niki.dhillon@cdcr.ca.gov; Jennifer.benavidez@cdcr.ca.gov; heather.bowlds@cdcr.ca.gov; Jared.lozano@cdcr.ca.gov; Derrick.marion@cdcr.ca.gov; David.chriss@cdcr.ca.gov; Sarina.calderon@cdcr.ca.gov; elizabeth.hom@calbar.ca.gov; johnna.sack@calbar.ca.gov; rocio.avalos@calbar.ca.gov; kristina.ramos@calbar.ca.gov; collin.grant@calbar.ca.gov; louisa.ayrapetyan@calbar.ca.gov; jennifer.stalvey@calbar.ca.gov; ken.nourse@calbar.ca.gov; summer.shelton@calbar.ca.gov; kirsten.galler@calbar.ca.gov; doan.nguyen@calbar.ca.gov; audrey.ching@calbar.ca.gov; laurie.gondreau@calbar.ca.gov; yun.xiang@calbar.ca.gov; mark@fresnocriminallawyer.com; abrahim.bagheri@calbar.ca.gov; carol.kiyotoki@calbar.ca.gov; andrew.vasicek@calbar.ca.gov; mayte.diaz@calbar.ca.gov; duncan.carling@calbar.ca.gov; chelsey.smith@calbar.ca.gov; suzanne.grandt@calbar.ca.gov; gerri.smith@calbar.ca.gov; peter.klivans@calbar.ca.gov; cindy.chan@calbar.ca.gov; teresa.ruano@calbar.ca.gov; patrice.vallier-glass@calbar.ca.gov; naji.khatib@calbar.ca.gov; awndrea.yeboah@calbar.ca.gov; christina.doell@calbar.ca.gov; enrique.zuniga@calbar.ca.gov; kimberly.anderson@calbar.ca.gov; brandon.stallings@calbar.ca.gov; Jose.cisneros@gmail.com; Jose.cisneros@sf.gov; pbarahona@yli.org; Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>; jmerchan@wdacs.lacounty.gov; info@wdacs.lacounty.gov; Arogant Hollywood <arogantmusicinc@gmail.com>; Alison Fairchild <fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com>; Arogant Hollywood <causeofaction43@gmail.com>; blacklawgenius@gmail.com; Alison Fairchild <alisonhfairchild@gmail.com>; Robert Luna <rluna@lasd.org>; rluna@lacounty.gov; atardy@lacounty.org; jtorres@lasd.org; saloma@lacounty.org; llecrivain@lasd.org; bchase@lacounty.gov; rlewis@lacounty.gov; llecrivain@lacounty.org; jmeza@lasd.org; ned.harlan@doj.ca.gov; nishdeep.singh@doj.ca.gov; robert.bonta@doj.ca.gov; OPSChiefsOffice@doj.ca.gov; peter.halloran@doj.ca.gov; amyhhalloran@yahoo.com; peterhalloran@sbcglobal.net; alisha.carlile@doj.ca.gov; snpdragn@aol.com; acarlile@alum.wellesley.edu; concertina9@yahoo.com;

alishastephen@yahoo.com.au; alehr17@u.washington.edu; girlygirl8384@aol.com; akeened@yahoo.com; lish34@hotmail.com; piccbodin@hotmail.com; lachapell_a@yahoo.com; alishaoku@yahoo.com; alisha.mai@gmail.com; alisha.marks@rogers.com; vincent.bonotto@doj.ca.gov; vbonotto@hotmail.com; glenn.pruden@doj.ca.gov; eyeless77@hotmail.com; daniel.olivas@doj.ca.gov; giam.nguyen@doj.ca.gov; chad.stegeman@doj.ca.gov; stephen.lew@doj.ca.gov; christopher.findley@doj.ca.gov; dennis.beck@doj.ca.gov; darrell.spence@doj.ca.gov; maggy.krell@doj.ca.gov; Elaine.tong@doj.ca.gov; hannah.calacsan@doj.ca.gov; mo.park@doj.ca.gov; dbuthler@lasuperiorcourt.org; dslayton@lasuperiorcourt.org; sclover@lasuperiorcourt.org; jmoses@lasuperiorcourt.org; ppenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org; tpenrose@lasuperiorcourt.org; chase.penrose@gmail.com; mackenzienicole@gmail.com; trishpenrose@att.net; amber.barnett@jud.ca.go; john.yee@jud.ca.gov; jenniejasperson@gmail.com; kelton.jasperson@gmail.com; cjasperson2@mindspring.com; judy.jasperson@att.net; randie.chance@doj.ca.gov; gavin.newsom@gov.ca.gov; gkennedy@alhambrapd.org; EWakabayashi@da.lacounty.gov; bdodd@da.lacounty.gov; hforman@da.lacounty.gov; Tamar Tokat <ttokat@da.lacounty.gov>; tmokayf@da.lacounty.gov; Sara Radmore <SRadmore@da.lacounty.gov>; andrade@earthlink.net; Ryann Gerber Jorban <RGerber@da.lacounty.gov>; Victor Rodriguez <VRodriguez@da.lacounty.gov>; John Lewin <JLewin@da.lacounty.gov>; atardy@lasd.org; dtran@alhambrapd.org; secondistrict@bos.lacounty.gov; Dean Bengston <DBengston@da.lacounty.gov>; jragusa@alhambrafire.org; rembrahim@da.lacounty.gov; rishnidanos516@gmail.com; prentice.hill@cdcr.ca.gov; jargusa@alhambrafire.org; jbinnquist@cityofalhambra.org; Willow Karfiol <WKarfiol@da.lacounty.gov>; Shelley Dominguez <sdominguez@da.lacounty.gov>; akarkanen@da.lacounty; tseki@alhambrapd.org; Area1@alhambrapd.org; attorney@neilopdahl.com

Subject: [External]AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, et al v. lindsey patrice horvath [ANOTHER LEGAL WARNING TO HORVATH, SHERIFF LUNA AND ALL EMAILED COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES EMPLOYEES!

IMG_7430.MOV

IMG_7433.jpg

IMG_7434.jpg

IMG_7441.jpg

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, et al v. lindsey patrice horvath [ANOTHER LEGAL WARNING TO HORVATH, SHERIFF LUNA AND ALL EMAILED COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES EMPLOYEES!

YOU WILL BE SUED FOR ALLOWING BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG TO VIOLATE AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S [hereinafter "KING AROGANT"] constitutional civil rights. At numerous board of supervisors meetings KING AROGANT warned you to investigate Brian MARK Rosenberg. Instead of

investigating and firing that RACIST and CORRUPT criminal prosecutor you all ratified and condoned to him having me falsely arrested on April 17, 2025 and wrongfully incarcerated until May 1, 2025! YOU WILL BE SUED:

YOU ARE ALL HEREBY WARNED AGAIN THAT IF THE FOLLOWING OCCUR AFTER KING AROGANT IS REMANDED ON MAY 12, 2025, YOU WILL FACE STIFF LEGAL CONSEQUENCES:

1. YOU RECKLESSLY DO NOT PROVIDE KING AROGANT WITH HIS SPECIAL DIET.

2. YOU RECKLESSLY PREVENT KING AROGANT FROM RECEIVING MAIL.

3. YOU RECKLESSLY PREVENT KING AROGANT FROM USING THE TELEPHONE AT LEAST ONE PER DAY!

4. YOU RECKLESSLY PREVENT KING AROGANT FROM MAKING LEGAL CALLS.

5. YOU RECKLESSLY PREVENT KING AROGANT FROM RECEIVING COMMISSARY/CANTEEN THROUGH KEEFE COMMISSIONARY.

6. YOU RECKLESSLY KEEP KING AROGANT HOUSED IN A SUICIDAL UNIT LONGER THAN 24 HOURS.

AND ANY OTHER NOT LISTED HEREIN CIVIL RIGHTS VIOLATIONS!

SEE BELOW YOUTUBE VIDEO PROVING BY CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE THAT HORVATH AND COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES VIOLATED KING AROGANT'S CIVIL RIGHTS BY WILLFULLY, RECKLESSLY, MALICIOUSLY, KNOWINGLY, CARELESSLY, DELIBERATELY, AND CALLOUSLY REFUSING TO FAILING TO, AND RETAILATING BY NOT PROVING KING AROGANT WITH HIS SPECIAL DIET!

https://youtu.be/oXqGF-TQ9Cw?si=9QAjLu-7Ve60aJQ0

5:07 SOS

google.com

Lindsey Horvath

Member of the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



Age

42 years


Jun 30, 1982


Party

Democratic

Overview

Lindsey Patrice Horvath is an American politi





MAKE SURE WATCH THIS VIDEO RECORDING TOO RACIST WHITE DEVIL LINDSEY PATRICE HORVATH:

PROOF THAT NATHAN JOSEPH HOCHMAN AND NUMEROUS OTHER DDAS WERE IN COLLUSION WITH MARK ROSENBERG


https://youtu.be/SdPpaoe9QTo?si=ufqeec7E1ed_e4YH

THE KING IS BACK ON HIS THRONE.

YOU FOOLS COULD NOT WIN THIS CASE EVEN IF I GO TO JAIL ON MAY 12, 2025!

Arogant Hollywood

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq.
1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD.
PASADENA, CA 91106
Mobile: 206.471.1344
arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted
Spirit of Esquire
BLACK TRADE KING
FUTURE KING OF ENTERTAINMENT
BLACK DERIVATIVES KING



King
Arogant

CAUTION: This email originated outside of the County (lacounty.gov domain). Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and expect the message.

Exhibit 11

County of Los Angeles vs. Hollywood, A.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

Criminal Division

Clara Shortridge Foltz Criminal Justice Center Dept. - 45

25CJCM02162-01

May 12, 2025

The People of the State of California
vs.
Hollywood, Arogant

8:30 AM

Honorable Mark E Windham, Judge
N. Mendoza, Judicial Assistant

Proceedings Electronically Recorded,
Court Reporter

PC602(o), PC166(a)(4), PC166(a)(4), PC166(a)(4), PC653m(b)

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: Bench Warrant Hearing

The following parties are present for the aforementioned proceeding:

Arogant Hollywood, Defendant
Willow Leah Rosenthal, Deputy District Attorney

The matter is called for Bench Warrant Hearing.

Bench Warrant issued for Arogant Hollywood is recalled by order of the Court.

Court finds Defendant in violation of own recognizance conditions.

Defendant's Pro Per Status is revoked.

The Court appoints the Public Defender. Today is day 0 of 30.

On the Court's own motion, and over the objection of defense counsel, the Court declares a doubt as to the Defendant's mental competence pursuant to Penal Code section 1368. Criminal proceedings are suspended. Counsel for Defendant does not concur with the Court's decision.

A county approved psychiatrist is appointed pursuant to Evidence Code section 730 to examine the Defendant and prepare a report on the Defendant's current mental status within the meaning of Penal Code section 1368.

The Court orders the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department to allow the appointed doctor(s) to have access to a laptop computer during the interview.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

Criminal Division

Clara Shortridge Foltz Criminal Justice Center Dept. - 45

25CJCM02162-01

May 12, 2025

The People of the State of California
vs.
Hollywood, Arogant

8:30 AM

A packet of documents including a copy of the minute order declaring a doubt, a copy of the accusatory pleading (complaint, information, or citation), a copy of the arrest report, a copy of the bond (if the defendant is out of custody on bond), and a copy of Los Angeles County Pretrial Release Program form (LASC CRIM 302) (if the defendant is out of custody on Supervised Released Program) is ordered transferred to the Mental Health Division via the case management system or the Mental Health resource account within 24 hours of this order. A copy of the arrest report must be sent separately to the Mental Health Division via the Mental Health resource account.

On Court's motion, 1368 PC Competency Hearing is set for **Tuesday, May 27, 2025,** at **8:30 AM** in **Hollywood Mental Health PC1368**.

The Defendant is ordered to return on the above date.

Court sets bail in the amount of $150,000.00.
Defendant Remanded to Custody.

Remand order issued.

Surety Bond for Arogant Hollywood, Defendant: SV505465252, Bond Forfeited Vacated/Set Aside Reinstated/Exonerated

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

Criminal Division

Clara Shortridge Foltz Criminal Justice Center Dept. - 45

25CJCM02162-01

May 12, 2025

The People of the State of California
vs.
Hollywood, Arogant

8:30 AM

A packet of documents including a copy of the minute order declaring a doubt, a copy of the accusatory pleading (complaint, information, or citation), a copy of the arrest report, a copy of the bond (if the defendant is out of custody on bond), and a copy of Los Angeles County Pretrial Release Program form (LASC CRIM 302) (if the defendant is out of custody on Supervised Released Program) is ordered transferred to the Mental Health Division via the case management system or the Mental Health resource account within 24 hours of this order. A copy of the arrest report must be sent separately to the Mental Health Division via the Mental Health resource account.

On Court's motion, 1368 PC Competency Hearing is set for **Tuesday, May 27, 2025,** at **8:30 AM** in **Hollywood Mental Health PC1368**.

The Defendant is ordered to return on the above date.

Court sets bail in the amount of $150,000.00.
Defendant Remanded to Custody.

Remand order issued.

Surety Bond for Arogant Hollywood, Defendant: SV505465252, Bond Forfeited Vacated/Set Aside Reinstated/Exonerated

I certify that this is a true and correct copy of the original on file in or issued from this office, consisting of 173 pages.

DAVID W. SLAYTON, Executive Officer / Clerk of the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles.

Date: SEP 03 2025 By: Campos Isaiah, Deputy

I. Campos

ALHAMBRA POLICE DEPARTMENT

Police Report for Incident 25-14319

Nature: 415UN UNWANT SU

Address: 101 S FIRST ST; ALHAMBRA PUBLIC LIBRARY ALHAMBRA CA 91801

Location: DIS6

Offense Codes: 90J, 90Z, 90Z, 90Z, WAR

Received By: ALVAREZ J 711 **How Received:** T **Agency:** ALH

Responding Officers: ROMERO J 104, SANCHEZ J 156, RODRIGUEZ J 101, SORIANO R 230, YANG B 276, CHEN E 114, MARTINEZ E 295

Responsible Officer: YANG B 276 **Disposition:** ACT 04/17/25

When Reported: 16:50:26 04/17/25 **Occurred Between:** 16:50:14 04/17/25 and 16:50:26 04/17/25

Complainant:

Last: **First:** **Mid:**

DOB: **/**/** **Dr Lic:** **Address:**

Race: **Sex:** **Phone:** **City:**

Offense Codes

Reported: **Observed:**

Additional Offense: 90J TRESPASS OF REAL PROPERTY

Additional Offense: 90Z ALL OTHER OFFENSES

Additional Offense: 90Z ALL OTHER OFFENSES

Additional Offense: 90Z ALL OTHER OFFENSES

Additional Offense: WAR OUTSIDE WARRANTS

Circumstances

8DSUC De-Escalation Successful

Responding Officers:	Unit :
ROMERO J 104	2S
SANCHEZ J 156	201
RODRIGUEZ J 101	202
SORIANO R 230	2L
YANG B 276	4D
CHEN E 114	7Z
MARTINEZ E 295	

Responsible Officer: YANG B 276 **Agency:** ALH

Alhambra Police Dept
Authorized Person
Duplication 4/20/2025 2:03:29 PM
Copy

Received By:	ALVAREZ J 711	**Last Radio Log:**	18:23:10 04/17/25 1098
How Received:	T Telephone	**Clearance:**	BKG BOOKING
When Reported:	16:50:26 04/17/25	**Disposition:**	ACT **Date:** 04/17/25
Judicial Status:		**Occurred between:**	16:50:14 04/17/25
Misc Entry:		**and:**	16:50:26 04/17/25

Modus Operandi:	**Description :**	**Method :**
Demeanor	Personal Demeanor	Profane

Involvements

Date	Type	Description	Relationship
04/20/25	Name	ROSENBERG, BRIAN MARK	Victim
04/20/25	Name	LOHGUAN, HILDA HIU	Other
04/20/25	Name	ALHAMBRA LIBRARY.	Victim
04/17/25	Name	HOLLYWOOD, AROGANT	Suspect
04/17/25	Offense	Offense#: 21359 - M - 1 count	Charged With
04/17/25	Offense	Offense#: 21415 - M - 2 counts	Charged With
04/17/25	Offense	Offense#: 21416 - F - 1 count	Charged With
04/17/25	Offense	Offense#: 21417 - M - 1 count	Charged With
04/17/25	Cad Call	16:50:26 04/17/25 415UN UNWANT SU	Initiating Call

Copy for Authorized Perso
Alhambra Police Dept
MPajon 4/20/2025 2:56:09 PM

Supplement

25-14319

On 04-18-2025 (approx. 1530 hours), I (Det. Yang #276) was contacted by Head Deputy District Attorney C. Nakao and (Victim- Deputy District Attorney Brian Rosenburg) via phone, who advised a known restrained person (Suspect- Hollywood, Arogant) had made entry into the courthouse. On 02-05-2025, Los Angeles District Attorney's Office Bureau of Investigation released a Safety Information bulletin on S-Hollywood and warned of his abrasive and threatening behavior towards personnel of the Los Angeles District Attorney's Office.

S-Hollywood's History:

S-Hollywood is a known person most Alhambra PD officers based on the number of contacts and contacts resulting in S-Hollywood's arrest. Just from September 2021 to August 2022, Alhambra PD documented 23 encounters and of those 23 encounters, S-Hollywood was arrested seven times. Majority of the calls for services were regarding him being in violation of court order, an unwanted subject, or a subject causing a disturbance.

Prison Term: On 2023, S-Hollywood was convicted of 422 PC - Threats to life and was placed on felony probation. After his conviction from this case, S-Hollywood began to continuously harass Victim DDA Rosenburg who was assigned his case. Due to S-Hollywood's nonstop harassing behavior towards V-Rosenburg, V-Rosenburg obtained a restraining order against S-Hollywood. The restraining order (23PDR001713) was granted/issued on 12-20-2023 with the expiration date of 12-20-2026 and served to S-Hollywood on 12-28-2023 at 1033 hours. Nevertheless, S-Hollywood continued his behavior and was admitted to state prison on 03-01-2024 and released on 04-17-2024 and placed on parole for 422(a) PC which expires 10-06-2025.

After S-Hollywood was released from state prison, the LA County District Attorney's Office personnel distributed a bulletin advising to keep a look out and to contact security for assistance if needed if confronted by S-Hollywood. This bulletin was also distributed to the Alhambra Police Department. Reference LADA-BOI 2024-W-0985.

Investigation:

I asked Head Deputy DA Nakao and V-Rosenburg how S-Hollywood was able to gain entry, despite non-sworn security personnel and Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department (LASD) deputies knowing he was restrained. It was explained S-Hollywood had made an appointment with Building Administrator (BA) Lisa Jackson who granted S-Hollywood permission to enter and notified LASD deputies to allow him in. However, BA Lisa Jackson nor LASD personnel contacted V-Rosenburg or the District Attorney's Office.

Det. Lew #285 and I responded to the Alhambra Superior Courthouse (150 W. Commonwealth Ave., Alhambra), both wearing exterior vests with "POLICE" patches displayed. Upon arrival, we were told by LASD S-Hollywood was on the second floor attempting to file paperwork in the clerk's office with his girlfriend. At the time, he was not causing a disturbance or being violent.

Due to S-Hollywood being on the second floor, Det. Lew and I returned to the DA's Office on the first floor to speak to V-Rosenburg. V-Rosenburg stated he, nor the Head Deputy of the DA Office was notified S-Hollywood would be coming. Earlier, V-Rosenburg was walking to his vehicle parked in the court's parking

Copy for Authorized Person Alhambra PD Records Mar 20/2025

lot when he saw S-Hollywood walking towards him from Second St. V-Rosenburg was unsure if S-Hollywood saw him, but in fear for his safety, he quickly ran back into the DA office and notified Head Deputy Nakao. At the time, I believed it would be an "interest of justice" to arrest S-Hollywood for violating the court order when another Manager from the courthouse allowed him entry. In fear for his safety and the safety of his family if S-Hollywood were to follow him and/or obtain his vehicle's description and/or license plate, V-Rosenburg asked Det. Lew and I to assist with escorting him out of the building. Det. Lew and I escorted V-Rosenburg out of the building quickly and returned back to the DA Office. Based on S-Hollywood's history of disruptive and harassing behavior, the DA Office requested Det. Lew and I provide security while all staff members gather their belongings, enter their personal vehicles, and leave the court's parking lot. Shortly after, all personnel were escorted out quickly while S-Hollywood was still at the Clerk's Office.
As Det. Lew and I were returning to the DA Office to meet with Head Deputy Nakao, we were notified by non-sworn security S-Hollywood was on the first floor and attempting to go to the DA Office. Det. Lew and I located S-Hollywood on the first floor (north end) and sitting on a x-ray machine and talking to LASD Sgt. C. Barreras whom had placed himself between the DA Office door and S-Hollywood and
was attempting to reason with him and explain that the DA Office had closed for the day.

Det. Lew and I contacted S-Hollywood and explained to S-Hollywood based on his presence along with the active restraining order listing him as a restrained person from V-Rosenburg, the DA Office personnel had left in fear of him. S-Hollywood became extremely agitated and began to yell and exclaim he had paperwork to serve the DA Office, which was direct violation of the restraining order. I attempted to reason with S-Hollywood by explaining to him again all personnel had left. During the contact (16:21:21 hours), agitated, S-Hollywood rose off of the x-ray machine he was sitting on and activated his GoPro camera he wore on his chest; VIOLATION 166(a)(4), PC - LA County Superior Court Local Rules 2.17(b) - Prohibiting any person(s) from recording or photographing in the courthouse or courtroom without express permission from the presiding judge. I watched S-Hollywood press a button on the camera which responded by making clear and loud "beeping" sounds and shortly after, its screen activated.

At 16:22:18 hours, S-Hollywood indicated that he had our interaction recorded by stating, "I got it on camera what you said" to me.

At 16:24:43 hours, S-Hollywood stated the following despite being told he was not to go to the DA Office and no one was there to accept any paperwork, "I don't give a fuck. I'm still going to that office." "I don't give a fuck if they left. I'm still gonna go to that office, knock on the door, see if anybody's there, do my due diligence for my camera and my papers and if they're not there, I'm gonna drop em' through that fucking door. Ok. That's how I'm gonna handle it."

At this time, I spoke to LASD Sgt. C. Barreras and notified him S-Hollywood had violated a court order by turning on his GoPro camera but in attempt to de-escalate the situation and not to have to use force to effect an arrest, we would walk away and Sgt. C. Barreras agreed. Alhambra officers left the courthouse and Det. Lew and I returned to the DA Office to meet with Head Deputy District Attorney Nakao and waited until S-Hollywood had left.

25-14319

Shortly after leaving the Alhambra courthouse, Det. Lew and I were informed by APD Dispatch S-Hollywood was now at Alhambra Library (101 S. First St., Alhambra) and causing a disturbance and cursing at library staff. Moments after the initial call for service, the librarian re-contacted APD Dispatch and advised S-Hollywood was on the first floor fighting with another library goer. Patrol units were dispatched and Det. Lew and I arrived shortly after. Upon officers' arrival, the altercation had already dispersed. Library goers (minors) advised us (officers) S-Hollywood had returned to the second floor. APD officers and I located S-Hollywood standing next to the second-floor librarian desk on his cellphone. Det. Lew and I contacted S-Hollywood and asked S-Hollywood to leave the library, but argued and refused stating the librarian was picking on him and was "a racist dog". At this time, I requested to speak with Library Director Louhuan, Hilda Hiu Kwan whom stated she was desirous of 602(o)(1) PC - Trespassing if S-Hollywood continued to refuse to leave.

I returned to S-Hollywood and informed him if he would not leave, he would be arrested for trespassing. From the time officers arrived on the second floor and contacted S-Hollywood (1657 hours) to the time he was physically detained by me (1710 hours), Ofc. J. Rodriguez, Det. Lew, and I all attempted to reason with S-Hollywood and asked him to leave peacefully but S-Hollywood continuously refused and stated in summary we would have to arrest him. S-Hollywood then began to give his belongings to his girlfriend and explained to her how to use their home electronic keys to enter their residence. Once he finished explaining things to his girlfriend, I gave him clear verbal commands to turn around and place his hands behind his back and he followed the instructions. S-Hollywood was detained without incident and handcuffed (double-locked). I then searched him incident to arrest for weapons and/or contrabands and was met with negative results.

Sgt. Romero, Det. Lew, and I then escorted him from the library to APD Jail without incident and assisted with booking.

See Library Director Lohguan's Statement of Private Person Arrest form collected by Ofc. Sanchez #156. The following is Library Director's Lohguan's verbatim handwritten statement:

"Patron Arogant Hollywood came into the library. He was loud and agitated. When staff asked him to quiet down, he got even louder. Another library patron also asked him to be quiet as we are on the quiet floor of the library. He threatened to fight him. He was asked to leave the building multiple times but resisted leaving peacefully."

INTERVIEW - VICTIM: Deputy District Attorney B. Rosenburg

During the initial response to the Alhambra Courthouse/DA Office, the priority was to insure the safety of protected person DDA Rosenburg, DA/courthouse staff members, along with any other patrons. Once it was determined S-Hollywood was on the second floor, LA DA Office staff members were evacuated immediately to protect them and their personal vehicles (all with affixed license plates) from being seen by S-Hollywood to avoid harassment and possibly stalking. Due to the above, I was unable to interview V-Rosenberg in person and was attempting to do so once I returned to the Alhambra Police Department. However, I was unable to call V-Rosenburg after leaving the courthouse due to having to respond to the Alhambra Library.

Once S-Hollywood was booked in Alhambra Jail without incident, I contacted V-Rosenburg by phone and he stated the following in summary:

V-Rosenburg was afraid for his safety and the safety of his family after seeing S-Hollywood at the courthouse even after being served the restraining order. Furthermore, S-Hollywood had already violated the restraining order on the following dates/times by sending e-mails to V-Rosenburg himself and his coworkers.

Email #1:
From: Arogant Hollywood <arogantmusicinc@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 13, 2023 1:19 AM
To: rluna@lasd.org; atardy@lasd.org; bkhounthavong@lasd.org; klewis@lasd.org; Brian Rosenberg <BRosenberg@da.lacounty.gov>; rakelrossi@gmail.com; rachel.rossi@usdoj.gov; AROGANTHOLLYWOODGENIUS@gmail.com
Subject: [External]DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, ROSSI, AND OTHER OFFICIALS WILL SEE TO IT THAT ROSENBERG AND KHOUNTHAVONG GO TO FEDERAL PRISION

Email #2:
From : arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Date : Tue,28 Nov 2023 12:02:40 -0800
Subject : AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. state of california, united states, county of los angeles, city of south pasadena, city of arcadia, et al [SECOND EMAIL] [REAL VIDEO THAT PROVES THAT I WAS FRAMED FOR VIOLATING CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE SECTION 422(a)
NOTE: the receiver list is too long to list. See copy of e-mail.

Email #3:
From: Cheryl Kaylor <cheridtitrader@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 13, 2024 6:14 PM
Subject: [External]OFFICIAL COMPLAINT OF ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD ON BEHALF OF AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. sherrif robert luna; et al.
NOTE: the receiver list is too long to list. See copy of e-mail.

Email #4:
From: Arogant Hollywood <arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, June 8, 2024 8:41 AM
Subject: people v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA114055) & UPCOMING FIRST CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT TITLED ARGOANT HOLLYWOOD v. judy whitehurst, et al [DEMAND PEOPLE AND LASD IMMEDIATELY TURN OVER PHONY LASD GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GLO10586 & GLO10587
NOTE: the receiver list is too long to list. See copy of e-mail.

Email #5:
From: Arogant Hollywood <causeofaction40@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, June 8, 2024 8:58 AM
Subject: people v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA114055) & UPCOMING FIRST CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT TITLED ARGOANT HOLLYWOOD v. judy whitehurst, et al [DEMAND PEOPLE AND LASD IMMEDIATELY TURN OVER PHONY LASD GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GLO10586 & GLO10587 [I GOT A LOT OF DIFFER...
NOTE: the receiver list is too long to list. See copy of e-mail.

These e-mails were in direct violation of the restraining order served to S-Hollywood for the protective order stated the following verbatim: "The restrained person must not contact the protected person(s) directly or indirectly, by any means including telephone, mail, email, or other electronic means - peaceful written contact through 3rd party for service of legal papers allowed."

CONCLUSION:
Based on the above, with the approval of Watch Commander Lt. Soriano, I placed

Copy Not Authorized per Alhambra Police Dept
Brian on 4/30/2025 2:58:30 PM

S-Hollywood, Arogant under arrest for the following:

166(a)(4) PC - Violating court order not to record within a courthouse pursuant to LA County Superior Court Local Rules 2.17(b) - Prohibiting any person(s) from recording or photographing in the courthouse or courtroom without express permission from the presiding judge.

166(a)(4) PC - Violating protective order by contacting V-Rosenburg directly and indirectly via email.

602(O)(2) PC Trespassing. Refusing to leave Alhambra Library upon the request of Library Director.

Along with an outstanding warrant 24PDCM0253401 for $1.00 for 7875(c)(7) municipal code.

After the booking process, I contacted LA County Parole whom granted the parole hold for violating parole, 3056 PC.

I authored a probable cause declaration which was granted by Honorable Judicial Officer S. Knight: 04-17-25; 1958 hours.

Follow-Up:
On Friday, 04-18-25, I called the Alhambra Courthouse and asked to speak to Building Administrator Lisa Jackson. She later returned my call with Director of Public Security Bryan Glass.

Lisa Jackson stated the following in summary: On Thursday, 04-17-25, sometime in the morning, S-Hollywood contacted the public line or administrative line and was transferred to Jackson. S-Hollywood called to meet with Jackson to file paperwork/motions to his case that V-Rosenburg was assigned to. Jackson Spoke to Honorable Presiding Judge Villalobos who allowed Jackson to allow S-Hollywood to come and file motions to court. Jackson stated LASD should have acted as security for the DA Office.

This concluded the phone call. I later e-mail Jackson my contact information and the report number per her request. She never responded to my e-mail.

-End of Report-

Copy for Authorized Person
Alhambra Police Dept
on 4/20/2025 8:30 PM

Sentryx Booking Information:

Sentryx Booking Number: 11693 **Name Number:** 18266

Name: HOLLYWOOD, AROGANT **Address:** 2308 FELICIA AVE
Phone: (206)471-1344 HGHTS, CA 91748
DOB: 12/09 78 **Dr Lic:** F2776523
Assigned Bed: " **Current Location:** "
Booking Date: 04/17 25

Sentryx Arrest # 25-0596

Time/Date: 16:50:26 04/17/25 **Agency:** ALH
Age at Arrest: 46 **Location:** 101 S FIRST ST; ALHAMBRA PUBLIC LIBRARY **Officer:** YANG B 276
Arrest Type: BOOK **Area:** DIS6 **Reference:**
Disposition: MIS

Sentryx Offense # 21359

Statute: 602(M) PC M (32100) **NCIC:**
Offense: 90J **Crime Class:** M
Offense Reference: **Offense Type:** S **Offense Area:** DIS6
Related Incident: 25-14319 **Law Jurisdiction:** CAS
Entry Code: **Offense Location:**
Court Code: **Offense Time/Date:** 16:50:26 04/17/25
Offense Disposition: **Disposition Date:** **/**/**

Sentryx Offense # 21415

Statute: 166(A)(4) PC M (50106) **NCIC:**
Offense: 90Z **Crime Class:** M
Offense Reference: **Offense Type:** S **Offense Area:** DIS6
Related Incident: 25-14319 **Law Jurisdiction:** CAS
Entry Code: **Offense Location:**
Court Code: **Offense Time/Date:** 17:15:00 04/17/25
Offense Disposition: **Disposition Date:** **/**/**

Sentryx Offense # 21416

Statute: 3056 PC F (50075) **NCIC:**
Offense: 90Z **Crime Class:** F
Offense Reference: **Offense Type:** S **Offense Area:** DIS6
Related Incident: 25-14319 **Law Jurisdiction:** CAS
Entry Code: **Offense Location:**
Court Code: **Offense Time/Date:** 17:15:00 04/17/25
Offense Disposition: **Disposition Date:** **/**/**

Sentryx Offense # 21417

Alhambra Police Dept
Authorized Person
MPalon 4/20/25
COPY NOT

Statute:	O/W-MISD (66143)	**NCIC:**	
Offense:	WAR	**Crime Class:**	M
Offense Reference:	**Offense Type:** S	**Offense Area:**	DIS6
Related Incident:	25-14319	**Law Jurisdiction:**	CAS
Entry Code:		**Offense Location:**	
Court Code:	PSC	**Offense Time/Date:**	17:15:00 04/17/25
Offense Disposition:		**Disposition Date:**	**/**/**

Copy for Authorized Person
Alhambra Police Dept
MPajon 4/20/2025 2:59:31 PM

Name Involvements:

Victim : 31418
Last: ROSENBERG **First:** BRIAN **Mid:** MARK
DOB: 03/24/87 **Dr Lic:** **Address:** 150 W COMMONWEALTH AVE; ALHAMBRA SUPERIOR COURT
Race: W **Sex:** M **Phone:** (626)308-5437 **City:** ALHAMBRA, CA 91801

Victim : 70701
Last: ALHAMBRA LIBRARY **First:** **Mid:**
DOB: **/**/** **Dr Lic:** **Address:** 101 S FIRST ST; ALHAMBRA PUBLIC LIBRARY
Race: **Sex:** **Phone:** **City:** ALHAMBRA, CA 91801

Suspect : 18266
Last: HOLLYWOOD **First:** AROGANT **Mid:**
DOB: 12/09/78 **Dr Lic:** F2776523 **Address:** 2308 FELICIA AVE
Race: B **Sex:** M **Phone:** (206)471-1344 **City:** HGHTS, CA 91748

Other : 68804
Last: LOHGUAN **First:** HILDA **Mid:** HIU
DOB: [redacted] **Dr Lic:** [redacted] **Address:** [redacted]
Race: A **Sex:** F **Phone:** [redacted] **City:**

Copy for Authorized Person
Alhambra Police Dept
MP3jon 4/20/2025 2:59:31 PM

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq.
1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD.
PASADENA, CA 91106
Mobile: 206.471.1344
aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted
Spirit of Esquire

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
CENTRAL DISTRICT, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
STANLEY MOSK SUPERIOR COURTHOUSE

nathan joseph hochman (SBN # 139137) **david samuel hochman (SBN # 199404)** brian mark rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)** alexander michael karkanen **(SBN # 162377)** cynthia michiko nakao **(SBN # 137510)** victor Manuel rodriguez **(SBN # 156150)** county of los angeles, Petitioner(s), v. ***AROGANT HOLLYWOOD,*** ***WRONGFULLY PETITIONED*** Respondent,	**CASE NO. 25STRO03574** **Biased Latrice Antoinette George Byrdsong** **Ctrm: Department 25** **111 North Hill Street** **Los Angeles, CA 90011** **WRONGFULLY PETITIONED RESPONDENT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S VERIFIED PEREMPTORY CHALLENGE/ DISQUALIFICATION & OFFICIAL STATE OF CALIFORNIA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE COMPLAINT OF BIASED, UNETHICAL, & WANTON COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES EMPLOYEE AND AUNT JEMIMA SELL OUT LATRICE ANTOINETTE GEORGE BYRDSONG PURSUANT TO CAL CODE CIV PROC § 170.6; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES, DECLARATION OF AROGANT HOLLYWOOD** **Date of Hearing: November 17, 2025** **Time: 8:30 AM**

NOTICE OF 170.6 DISQUALIFICATION & AND OFFICIAL CALIFORNIA COMMISSION ~~ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE OF LATRESE~~ ANTIONETTE GEORGE BYRDSONG

Case No. 25STRO03574

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

TO: FAKE & PERJURIOUS PETITIONER COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

TO: FAKE VICTIM AND RACIST COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG (SBN # 291501)

PLEASE **TAKE NOTICE** that on **November 17, at 8:30 AM**, in Department 25 of the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Stanley Mosk Superior Court, located at 111 North Hill Street, Los Angeles, CA 91101.

Wrongfully petitioned AROGANT HOLLYWOOD **(hereinafter "KING AROGANT")** will move and does move this Superior Court for the immediate disqualification of biased, prejudiced, and unethical County of Los Angeles employee and Aunt Jemima Latrese Antoinette George Byrdsong pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 170.6.

KING AROGANT, acting in propria persona, in the above entitled case number, which has been assigned to the Prejudice & Biased Latrese Antoinette George Byrdsong, Judge of the Stanley Mosk Superior Court, Central District of the above entitled courtroom (Department 25), request that all future hearings and trial dates be reassigned from Latrese Antoinette George Byrdsong, and that all legal matters hereinafter arising in this frivolous civil law cause be heard by or reassigned to another judicial officer, on the grounds that County of Los Angeles employee Latrese Antoinette George Byrdsong has both demonstrated and acted with extreme prejudice, partiality, and biased against and towards KING AROGANT in a frivolous, groundless, meritless, ridiculous, prejudice,

hideous, scandalous, and racist workplace violence civil law action filed by fake petitioner County of Los Angeles, who defrauded this Superior Court by presenting false and fabricated testimony under the penalty of perjury in should have never been filed frivolous civil law action 25STRO03574.

This motion is based on the matters contained herein, and on the supporting declaration under the Penalty of Perjury of KING AROGANT, attached hereto and filed herewith.

This very long legal document is, in addition, an official California Commission on Judicial Performance complaint against Latrese Antionette George Byrdsong.

.

WHEREFORE, WRONGFULLY PETITIONED RESPONDENT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD prays that the relief herein requested be granted.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws and constitution of California and the United States that every word, sentence, paragraph, image, and page of this CIVIL LAW PLEADING of Wrongfully Petitioned AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S Verified 170.6 is true and correct.

Executed on November 16, 2025, in California, San Bernardino, County, city of Loma Linda, California

DATE: November 16, 2025

BY: _____

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, *Esq.*
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106
Mobile: (323) 200-4449
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com

Spirit of Esquire

PROOF OF SERVICE

I certify that on November 16, 2025, I served one copy of the foregoing.

WRONGFULLY PETITIONED RESPONDENT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S VERIFIED PEREMPTORY CHALLENGE/ DISQUALIFICATION & OFFICIAL STATE OF CALIFORNIA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE COMPLAINT OF BIASED, UNETHICAL, & WANTON COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES EMPLOYEE AND AUNT JEMIMA SELL OUT LATRICE ANTOINETTE GEORGE BYRDSONG PURSUANT TO CAL CODE CIV PROC § 170.6; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES, DECLARATION OF AROGANT HOLLYWOOD

,and any and all attachments, by electronic mail (.pdf format) and facsimile on the following:

Vanessa Ann Evangelista [SBN # 238474]

vevangelista@counsel.lacounty.gov

Nathan Joseph Hochman [SBN # 139137]

nhochman@da.lacounty.gov

David Samuel Hochman [SBN # 199404]

dhochman@glaserweil.com

Alexander Michael Karkanen [SBN # 162377]

akarkanen@da.lacounty.gov

Cynthia Michiko Nakao [SBN # 137510]

cnakao@da.lacounty.gov

County of Los Angeles Board of Supervisor Kathryn Barger

kathryn@bos.lacounty.gov

County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office Alhambra Field Office

626.281.4724

County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office

213.746.7556

Nathan Joseph Hochman [SBN # 182345], District Attorney

nathanhochman@da.lacounty.gov

nathan@da.lacounty.gov

nhochman@da.lacounty.gov

Steven Ian Katz [SBN # 145416], Chief Deputy District Attorney

skatz@da.lacounty.gov

James William Garrison [SBN # 157070], Line Operations, Assistant District Attorney

jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov

Ronald Millard Geltz [SBN # 263186], Former Line Operations Acting Assistant D.A.
rgeltz@da.lacounty.gov

James William Garrison [SBN # 157070], Former Ethics and Integrity Assistant DA
jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov

Fernando Sanchez [SBN # 312245], Special Assistant
fsanchez@da.lacounty.gov

Michael David Kapp [SBN # 2779277], Public Affairs Director
mkapp@da.lacounty.gov

Kathryn Bailey Albracht [SBN # 175822], Special Assistant
kalbrach@da.lacounty.gov

Arisa Mattson [SBN # 195578] Special Assistant
amattson@da.lacounty.gov

Tanishia Wright [non attorney], Former Director of Bureau of Victim Services
twright@da.lacounty.gov

Marc Cornelis Beaart [SBN # 173364], Director of Fraud and Corruption
mbeaart@da.lacounty.gov

Stephanie Pearl Mire [SBN # 158918], Former Prosecutions Support Operations Director
spmire@da.lacounty.gov

Laura Jane Kessner [SBN # 175337], Central Operations Director
ljkessner@da.lacounty.gov

Janis Eve Johnson [SBN # 175280], Head Deputy DA of Family Violence Division (74)
jjohnes@aol.com

Brittany Nicole Nickolas [RELATED TO EVE]
brittnick23@gmail.com
bnickolas@yahoo.com
zlzlbrittnick23@yahoo.com

Kathy Uyen Ta [SBN # 243716], Assistant Head Deputy DA of Family Violence Division
kta@da.lacounty.gov

Pak B. Kouch, [SBN # 204373], Assistant Head Deputy DA of Family Violence Division
pkouch@da.lacounty.gov

Mary Anne Murray [SBN # 185518], Assistant Head Deputy DA of Alhambra Field Office

mmurray@da.lacounty.gov

Victor Manuel Rodriguez [SBN # 156150], Former Head Deputy DA of Alhambra Field Office

vrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov

Cindy Juhyun Park [SBN # 271933], Deputy DA of Alhambra Field Office

cpark@da.lacounty.gov

Cynthia Nakao, Current Head Deputy in Charge of Alhambra District Attorney Field Office Deputy in Charge

cnakao@da.lacounty.gov

Robert Luna, SHERIFF OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT

rluna@lasd.org

Rogue Peace Officer Leo Ka Fong Lo [In possession of phony GOPRO video recordings]

lklo@lasd.org

Rogue Peace Officer Billy Khounthavong [Responsible for sending KING AROGANT's GOPRO camera to Southern California High Tech Task Force and Testing Falsely that Phony GOPRO video recordings GX010586 and GX010587 were downloaded from KING AROGANT's original GOPRO.

bskhount@lasd.org

Robert Bonta, California Attorney General

rbonta@doj.ca.gov

Nicole Amber Davis-Tinkham, Chief Deputy County Counsel of County of Los Angeles

rdavis-tinkham@counsel.lacounty.gov

nikki.davis1212@gmail.com

Judy Welch-Whitehurst, Chief Deputy County Counsel of County of Los Angeles

jwhitehurst@counsel.lacounty.gov

County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office Headquarters

info@da.lacounty.gov

RACIST AND LYING BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG
racistbrianmarkrosenberg@da.lacounty.gov

ROGUE AND RACIST PEACE OFFICER BENJAMIN YANG
byang@alhambrapd.org

AUNT JEMIMA SELL OUT LATRESE ANTIONETTE GEORGE BYRDSONG

lb@lacourt.org

UP NEXT CORRUPT JUDICIAL OFFICER MARK WINDHAM

mwindham@lacourt.org

and facsimile on the following:

626.281.4724 (COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE ALHAMBRA LOCATION

213.633.1956 (COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE HEADQUARTERS FAX NUMBER)

213.633.0960 (COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE FAMILY VIOLENCE DIVISION FAX NUMBER)

916.323.5341 (CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, OFFICE OF ROBERT BONTA, ATTORNEY GENERAL'S FACSIMILE NUMBER)

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws and Constitution of California, and under the laws, federal statutes, and Constitution of the United States of America that the foregoing declaration is true and correct.

Executed on November 16, 2025, in California, San Bernardino County, city of Loma Linda, California.

BY: Arogant Hollywood

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, *Esq.*
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106
Mobile: (323) 200-4449
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com

Spirit of Esquire

Arogant King

TABLE OF CONTENTS **Page(s)**

I. INTRODUCTION.....1

II. STATEMENT OF FACTS IN SUPPORT OF DISQUALIFICATION OF COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES EMPLOYEE LATRICE ANTOINETTE GEORGE BYRDSONG……...…….2

1. ENTER RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg's Frivolous Restraining Order……...1

2. KING AROGANT Was Ignored and Treated Like an Unwanted Guess When He Attempted to Serve a GoPro Video Recording, Evidence Audio Recording Evidence, and A Copy of His Nearly Five-Hundred-Page Civil Rights Complaint Against the State of California and the County of Los Angeles……...25

3. A Tradition of Corruption, Racial Bias, Racial Hostility, and Racial Animus…….42

4. State of California and County of Los Angeles' Recklessness Resulted In KING AROGANT'S False Arrest and Malicious Prosecution…….62

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

TABLE OF CONTENTS **Page(s)**

5. Cynthia Michiko Nakao (SBN # 137510) Willfully, Recklessly, Wantonly, Maliciously, Knowingly, Deliberately, and Callously Violated California Penal Code § 118, California Government Code Section 6200, California Business & Professions Code § 6068, State Bar of California Rules of Professional Conduct, Rules 3.1 and 3.3, By Fabricating Lies and Committing Perjury To Aid and Boast the County of Los Angeles's Conspiracy to Prevent KING AROGANT From Entering Alhambra Superior Courthouse.....83

6. ENTER RACIST, PERJURIOUS, AND FABRICATED COURT TESTIMONY OF COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES CONTRACTED EMPLOYEE THOMAS LEO-GUZMAN-SANCHEZ.....129

III. STATEMENT OF FACTS/ALLEGATIONS IN SUPPORT OF 170.6 & STATE OF CALIFORNIA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE OFFICIAL WRITTEN COMPLAINT FILED AGAINST BIASED, PARTIAL, UNFAIR, AND PREJUDICED COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES EMPLOYEE LATRESE ANTIONETTE GEORGE BYRDSONG.....141

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

TABLE OF CONTENTS **Page(s)**

IV. LEGAL ARGUMENT IN SUPPORT OF DISQUALIFICATION & CALIFORNIA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE COMPLAINT FILED AGAINST AUNT JEMIMA SELL-OUT LATRESE ANTIONETTE GEORGE BYRDSONG JUDGE.....192

A. DISQUALIFICATION & DISCIPLINARY ACTION OF AUNT JEMIMA SELL OUT LATRESE ANTIONETTE GEORGE BYRDSONG IS APPROPRIATE BASED ON HER BIAS, PREJUDICE, & RECKLESS VIOLATION CANONS……192

B. A SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE MAY BE DISQUALIFIED BASED ON IMPARTIALITY AND FIXED OPINIONS……193

C. Judge Latrese Byrdsong's Courtroom Behavior Shows a Pattern of Her Misconduct, and She Must Therefore Be Disqualified & Immediately Removed from Office By the California Commission on Judicial Performance……...195

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

TABLE OF CONTENTS **Page(s)**

V. CONCLUSION.....202

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases **Page(s)**

United States Supreme Court

Jenkins v. McKeithen, 395 U.S. 411, 89 S.Ct. 1843, 23 L.Ed 404 (1969)……..……....201

Goldberg v. Kelly, 397 U.S. 254, 90 S.Ct. 1011, 25 L.Ed.2d 287 (1970)…….……...201

Interstate Commerce Commission & United States v. Louisville & Nashville Railroad Company, 227 U.S. 88, 33 S.Ct 185, 57 L.Ed. 431 (1913)……..……202

Marshall v. Jerrico, Inc., 446 U.S. 238, 242-43, 100 S.Ct. 1610, 64 L.Ed.2d 182 (1980)…..194

Matthews v. George H. Eldridge, 424 U.S. 319, 96 S.Ct. 893, 47 L.Ed.2d 18 (1976) [64 L. Ed. 2d 182, 100 S. Ct. 1610] …….……202

William L. Greene v. McElroy, 360 U.S. 474, 79 S.Ct. 1400, 3 L.Ed.2d 1377 (1959)……202

Willner v. Committee on Character & Fitness, 373 U.S. 96, 83 S.Ct. 1175, 10L.Ed.2d 224, 2 A.L.R.3d 1254 (1963)……..……201, 202

California Supreme Court

Kloepfer v. Commission on Judicial Performance, 49 Cal.3d 826, 839-849 (1989)…..…..….196

Geiler v. Commission on Judicial Qualifications, 10, Cal.3d 270, 276 (1973)……..…….195

McCullough v. Commission On Judicial Performance, 49 Cal.3d 186 (1989)…….…...197

Noel Cannon v. Commission on Judicial Qualifications, 14 Cal.3d 678 (1975)…..……..….197

Rossco Holdings, Inc. v. Bank of America, 149 Cal.4th 1353 (2007)……...……193

Ryan v. Commission on Judicial Performance, 45 Cal.3d 518 (1988)……...….198

Spruance v. Commission on Judicial Qualifications, 13 Cal.3d 778 (1975)……..….197

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases **Pages**

California Appellate Case

Adoption of Richardson, 251 Cal.App.2d 222, 232 [59 Cal. Rptr. 323] (1967)……..……194

Church of Scientology of California v. Wollersheim, 10 Cal.App.4th 370, 6 Cal. Rptr.2d 532 (1992)……..……..……...…192

Ensher, Alexander & Barsoom v. Ensher, 225 Cal.App.2d 318, 323, 37 Cal.Rptr. 327 (1964)…….…….…….…193

Ng v. Superior Court, 52 Cal.App.4th 1010, 1023-1024 [61 Cal.Rptr.2d 49] (1997)…….……..…….……...….194

United Farm Workers of America v. Superior Court, 170 Cal.App.3d 97 [216 Cal.Rptr.3d 97] (1985)……...…….…....194

Urias v. Harris Frms, Inc., 234 Cal.App.3d 415 [285 Cal.Rptr. 659] (1991)……193

Statutes

Cal Code of Civ Proc. 170.1…….…..……193, 194, 195, 196

Cal Code of Civ Proc. 170.3……...……194, 195

Treatise(s)

27-317 California Forms of Pleading and Practice—Annotated § 317.195 (2015) ……...……..…….193

California Code of Judicial Ethics……..……10, 11, 19, 23, 24, 61, 149, 156, 158, 161, 165, 169, 173, 192, 198, 199, 200, 201

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS & AUTHORITIES

I. INTRODUCTION

The reckless actions of County of Los Angeles employee Latrice Antoinette George Byrdsong **(hereinafter "Aunt Jemima Sell Out or simply "Aunt Jemima")** violated her oath of office, the United States Constitution, and the Due Process Rights of wrongfully petitioned respondent AROGANT HOLLYWOOD **(hereinafter "KING AROGANT")**

II. STATEMENT OF FACTS IN SUPPORT OF DISQUALIFICATION OF COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES EMPLOYEE LATRICE ANTOINETTE GEORGE BYRDSONG

1. ENTER RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg's Frivolous Restraining Order

On November 17, 2023, Brian MARK Rosenberg filed a frivolous and perjurious petition for a civil harassment restraining order against KING AROGANT. Frivolous Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Pasadena, Family Law Division Case Number 23PDRO01713. In his civil harassment petition, County of Los Angeles employee Brian MARK Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501) filed the following perjurious and frivolous legal documents:**

Petition for Civil Harassment Restraining Order (Violence), Filed on November 17, 2023, 118 Pages

Superior Court of California Civil Case Cover Sheet, Filed on November 17, 2023, 7

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Pages Temporary Restraining Order (Form 110), Filed on November 17, 2023, 2023, 1 Page

Notice of Court Hearing (Form 109), Filed on November 17, 2023, 3 Pages
Superior Court of California, Minute Order, Filed by Pasadena Superior Court, Department S, On December 4, 2023. 1 Page


Request to Continue and Reissue TRO (Form 115), Filed by Pasadena Superior Court, Department S, On December 5, 2023, 2 Pages

While County of Los Angeles, Dawyn R. Harrison (SBN # 173855), Nicole Amber Davis-Tinkham (SBN # 229592), Brian MARK Rosenberg (SBN # 291501), Judy Welch Whitehurst (SBN # SBN # 182855, Vanessa Ann Evangelista (SBN # 238474), Cynthia Michiko Nakao (SBN # 137510), Nathan Joseph Hochman (SBN # 139137), Lindsey Patrice Horvath, Hilda L. Solis, Holly J. Mitchell, Janice Hahn, Kathryn Barger, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators all willfully, recklessly, maliciously, wantonly, carelessly, deliberately, carelessly, and callously filed just six pages of the one hundred and forty-two (142) pages Brian MARK Rosenberg filed in frivolous case **23PDRO01723**. **Proves by clear and convincing evidence that they all practice under color of law FRAUD, under color of law FRAUD UPON SUPERIOR COURT, under color of law DECEIT, and under color of law Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights violations of KING AROGANT;** County of Los Angeles, Dawyn R. Harrison (SBN # 173855), Nicole Amber Davis-Tinkham (SBN # 229592),

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Brian MARK Rosenberg (SBN # 291501), Judy Welch Whitehurst (SBN # 182855, Vanessa Ann Evangelista (SBN # 238474), Cynthia Michiko Nakao (SBN # 137510), Nathan Joseph Hochman (SBN # 139137), Lindsey Patrice Horvath, Hilda L. Solis, Holly J. Mitchell, Janice Hahn, Kathryn Barger, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators all knew that there was zero merit for Brian MARK Rosenberg's frivolous November 2023 Temporary Civil Harassment Restraining Order. See attached to KING AROGANT's Verified Appendix of Exhibits and below herein a true and correct copy of the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, docket records for the frivolous Superior Court of California case **23PDRO01713**

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344



See attached to KING AROGANT's Verified Appendix of Exhibits and below herein a true and correct copy of the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, of page one of the frivolous and illegal Civil Harassment Restraining Order After Hearing for the frivolous Superior Court of California case **23PDRO01713.**

IV. **THE**

CH-130 **Civil Harassment Restraining Order After Hearing**

Clerk stamps date here when form is filed.

FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles
DEC 20 2023
David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court
By: J. Guerrero, Deputy

Person in (1) must complete items (1), (2), and (3) only.

(1) **Protected Person**

a. Your Full Name: Brian Mark Rosenberg

Your Lawyer *(if you have one for this case)*
Name: _____ State Bar No.: _____
Firm Name: _____

b. Your Address *(If you have a lawyer, give your lawyer's information. If you do not have a lawyer and want to keep your home address private, you may give a different mailing address instead. You do not have to give telephone, fax, or email.)*
Address: 150 W Commonwealth Ave
City: Alhambra State: CA Zip: 91801
Telephone: 626 308 5437 Fax: _____
Email Address: brosenberg@da.lacounty.gov

Fill in court name and street address:
Superior Court of California, County of
LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT
NORTHEAST DISTRICT - PASADENA COURTHOUSE
300 EAST WALNUT STREET
PASADENA, CALIFORNIA 91101-1580

Court fills in case number when form is filed.
Case Number: 23PUR00173

(2) **Restrained Person**
(Give all the information you know. Information with a star (*) is required to add this order to the California police database. If age is unknown, give an estimate.)

*Full Name: Arogant Hollywood *Age: 44 Date of Birth: 12/9/78
*Race: Black Height: _____ Weight: _____ Hair Color: Blk Eye Color: Blk
*Gender: ☒ M ☐ F ☐ Nonbinary Home Address: Transient
City: _____ State: CA Zip: _____
Relationship to Protected Person: Defendant on case I Prosecute)

(3) ☐ **Additional Protected Persons**
In addition to the person named in (1), the following family or household members of that person are protected by the orders indicated below:

Full Name	Gender	Age	Lives with you?	How are they related to you?
_____	___	___	☐ Yes ☐ No	_____
_____	___	___	☐ Yes ☐ No	_____
_____	___	___	☐ Yes ☐ No	_____
_____	___	___	☐ Yes ☐ No	_____

☐ *Check here if there are additional persons. List them on an attached sheet of paper and write "Attachment 3—Additional Protected Persons" as a title. You may use form MC-025,* Attachment.

(4) **Expiration Date**
This Order, except for any award of lawyer's fees, expires at

Time: _____ ☐ a.m. ☐ p.m. ☒ midnight on *(date)*: 12/20/26

If no expiration date is written here, this Order expires three years from the date of issuance.

This is a Court Order.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Nevertheless, it does not matter because the nearly one hundred and forty-two pages of meritless garbage, Brian Mark Rosenberg ranted about did not support his claim that KING AROGANT had never engaged in any course of conduct with him. Moreover, his own words typed on his attachment page not only told the Superior Court the only things KING AROGANT had done to Brian MARK Rosenbeg, but Rosenberg's own attachment page told the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Pasadena Superior Court, Department S that KING AROGANT had only exercised his United States First Amendment constitutional civil rights, and very similar to frivolous case 25STRO03574, the allegations made by Brian MARK Rosenberg fall under California Code of Civil Procedure § 425.16.

On the attachment page of his frivolous Petition for Civil Harassment Restraining Order, Case 23PDRO01713, Brian MARK Rosenberg stated the following verbatim:

I received an email from Mr. Hollywood wherein he threatened to send me to federal prison and indicated that a "a series of emails arc coming soon! Complete with all the evidence that you broke federal law by abusing your power and authority as peace officers and public officers of the court! Get Ready!!!!!!". In addition to threatening this series of emails, Hollywood also told the detective on our case that "you had better run away as fast you can fool." This is the latest in a string of harassing communications that I believe are only aimed at intimidating and annoying me. This matter was reported to LASD. URN: 923-11730-0522-217.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

See attached to KING AROGANT's Verified Appendix of Exhibits and below herein a true and correct copy of the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, attachment page for frivolous and illegal Petition for Civil Harassment Restraining Order for the frivolous Superior Court of California case **23PDRO01713**

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

MC-025

SHORT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER:
Attachment 7a(3)-Describe Harassment	25PDR001713

ATTACHMENT *(Number):* _____

(This Attachment may be used with any Judicial Council form.)

I received an email from Mr. Hollywood wherein he threatened to send me to federal prison and indicated that a "a series of emails are coming soon! Complete with all the evidence that you broke federal law by abusing your power and authority as peace officers and public officers of the court! Get Ready!!!!!!". In addition to threatening this series of emails, Hollywood also told the detective on our case that "you had better run away as fast you can fool." This is the latest in a string of harassing communications that I believe are only aimed at intimidating and annoying me. This matter was reported to LASD. URN: 923-11730-0522-217.

(If the item that this Attachment concerns is made under penalty of perjury, all statements in this Attachment are made under penalty of perjury.)

Page _____ of _____

(Add pages as required)

Form Approved for Optional Use
Judicial Council of California
MC-025 [Rev. July 1, 2009]

ATTACHMENT
to Judicial Council Form

www.courtinfo.ca.gov

Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, judicial officer Timothy Richard Martella **(SBN # 97709)** should be disciplined for recklessly violating California Code of Judicial Ethics, Canon 1: A Judge Shall Uphold the Integrity and Independence of the Judiciary, Canon 2: A Judge Shall Avoid Impropriety and the Appearance of Impropriety in all of The Judge's Activities, and Canon 3: A Judge Shall Perform The Duties of Judicial Office Impartially, Competently, and Diligently over and over again. The Honorable Martella knew he was breaking the law when he granted a frivolous civil harassment restraining order based on zero allegations of credible threats of violence, zero allegations of civil harassment, and only allegations that fall under dismissal pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 425.16. Moreover, California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.6, subsection (b), paragraph (1) partly provides the following:

Constitutionally protected activity is not included within the meaning of "course of conduct".

See also California Penal Code § 646.9 (f) (same)
For the purposes of this section, "course of conduct" means two or more acts occurring over a period of time, however short, evidencing a continuity of purpose. Constitutionally protected activity is not included within the meaning of "course of conduct".

See also California Penal Code § 646.9 (g) (same), which partly provides:
The present incarceration of a person making the threat shall not be a bar to prosecution under this section. Constitutionally protected activity is not included within the meaning of "credible threat."

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Racially animus Superior Court of California judicial officer Timothy Richard Martella knew when he granted Brian MARK Rosenberg's restraining order that he was willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, carelessly, deliberately, knowingly, and callously violating California Code of Judicial Ethics, Canon 1: A Judge Shall Uphold the Integrity and Independence of the Judiciary, Canon 2: A Judge Shall Avoid Impropriety and the Appearance of Impropriety in all of The Judge's Activities, and Canon 3: A Judge Shall Perform The Duties of Judicial Office Impartially, Competently, and Diligently, California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.6, subsection (b), paragraph (1), numerous statutes of California Penal Code § 646.9, after reading Brian MARK Rosenberg's frivolous allegations of:

I received an email from Mr. Hollywood wherein he threatened to send me to federal prison and indicated that a "a series of emails arc coming soon! Complete with all the evidence that you broke federal law by abusing your power and authority as peace officers and public officers of the court! Get Ready!!!!!!". In addition to threatening this series of emails, Hollywood also told the detective on our case that "you had better run away as fast you can fool." This is the latest in a string of harassing communications that I believe are only aimed at intimidating and annoying me. This matter was reported to LASD. URN: 923-l l730-0522-217.

BREAKDOWN OF BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG'S FRIVOLOUS ALLEGATIONS AND LIABILITY TO HIS EMPLOYER, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES STATED HEREIN AND BELOW:

1. **I received an email from Mr. Hollywood wherein he threatened to send me to federal prison.**

Above frivolous civil harassment falls under the following California laws and statutes as protected speech and cannot be considered a course of conduct:

California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.6, subsection (b), paragraph (1)
California Penal Code § 646.9,
California Code of Civil Procedure § 425.16.
United States Constitution, First Amendment
***Virginia v. Black*, 538 U.S. 343, 123 S. Ct. 1536, 155 L.Ed.2d 535 (2003)**

> **KING AROGANT'S written email statements telling Brian MARK Rosenberg that he should go to federal prison were all constitutionally protected written statements and could not be used as a course of conduct incident. Which proves by clear and convincing evidence that 23PDRO01713 was a frivolous and meritless civil harassment Superior Court of California case!**

2. and indicated that a "a series of emails arc coming soon! Complete with all the evidence that you broke federal law by abusing your power and authority as peace officers and public officers of the court! Get Ready!!!!!!".

Above frivolous civil harassment falls under the following California laws and statutes as protected speech and cannot be considered a course of conduct:

California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.6, subsection (b), paragraph (1)
California Penal Code § 646.9,
California Code of Civil Procedure § 425.16.
United States Constitution, First Amendment
***Virginia v. Black*, 538 U.S. 343, 123 S. Ct. 1536, 155 L.Ed.2d 535 (2003)**

KING AROGANT'S written statements to Brian MARK Rosenberg that a series of emails were coming complete with Complete with all the evidence that you broke federal law by abusing your power and authority as peace officers and public officers of the court! Get Ready!!!!!!", all falls under constitutionally protected activity and speech. KING AROGANT had a constitutional right to confront public servant Rosenberg about falsifying evidence in frivolous and unconstitutional criminal case GA114055. Since all KING AROGANT'S statements were related to a court case all his statements fell under California Code of Civil Procedure § 425.16 as

well. Lastly, while Brian MARK Rosenberg has been very busy lying, fabricating declarations, and creating false evidence in frivolous cases 23PDRO01713 and 25STRO03574 that KING AROGANT had sent him multiple emails in the past. The truth, reality, and evidence will show that KING AROGANT has only sent Brian MARK Rosenberg one single email since November 2023. Thus, series of emails means nothing in regard to the meaning of California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.6, subsection (b), because KING AROGANT saw what a racially animus and lying indecent human being Brian MARK Rosenberg was, and intelligently avoided ever sending him a second email at any time in the future.

3. Hollywood also told the detective on our case that "you had better run away as fast you can fool." This is the latest in a string of harassing communications that I believe are only aimed at intimidating and annoying me. This matter was reported to LASD. URN: 923-l l 730-0.


Above frivolous civil harassment falls under the following California laws and statutes as protected speech and cannot be considered a course of conduct:

California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.6, subsection (b), paragraph (1)
California Penal Code § 646.9,
California Code of Civil Procedure § 425.16.
United States Constitution, First Amendment
***Virginia v. Black*, 538 U.S. 343, 123 S. Ct. 1536, 155 L.Ed.2d 535 (2003)**


AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

KING AROGANT'S written statements were not directed towards lying Brian MARK Rosenberg, but rather and instead these written statements were directed only towards rogue County of Los Angeles peace officer Billy S. Khounthavong. Thus, for this reason alone, KING AROGANT'S statements to Billy S. Khounthavong could not have been used against KING AROGANT in a civil harassment restraining order for racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg, since Khounthavong was not a party to the case, nor did he even write a declaration on behalf of lying Brian MARK Rosenberg. Moreover, KING AROGANT'S statements included no credible threats of violence, and statement run as fast as you can was typed immediately after KING AROGANT told Billy Khounthavong he was going to federal prison for falsifying evidence in his criminal case. Thus, KING AROGANT'S written statements were again protected by constitutionally protected activity with public employees. KING AROGANT'S email was actually sent to at the time United States Department of Justice, Director of the Office for Access to Justice, Rachel Rossi, by emailing her at rachel.rossi@usdoj.gov. See now attached to KING AROGANT'S Verified Appendix of Exhibits and herein below an image of KING AROGANT'S November 13, 2023, email sent to Brian MARK Rosenberg, Billy Khounthavong, Sheriff Robert G. Luna and Rachel Rossi.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344



See now attached to KING AROGANT'S Verified Appendix of Exhibits and herein below an image of KING AROGANT'S November 13, 2023, email sent to Brian MARK Rosenberg, Billy Khounthavong, Sheriff Robert G. Luna, and Rachel Rossi near the end when KING AROGANT tells rogue peace officer Billy S. Khounthavong that he had better run away as fast as you can.


https://mail.google.com/mail/u/1/#search/run+as+fast+as+you+can/FMfcgzGwHfqVCfdZsNBHptsMfSbpTgzq
Gmail
run as fast as you can
Compose
Inbox
Starred
Snoozed
Sent
Drafts
More
Labels
BRIEFING.COM
DATING.COM
LAW LIBRARY ORD...
MAIL UNDELIVE...
MAILER DAEMON...
SMM WORLD
SPECTRUM
TASTY TRADE
UBER
Establishing the intent behind a Constitutional violation requires proof beyond a reasonable doubt that the law enforcement officer knew what he/she was doing was wrong and against the law and decided to do it anyway. Therefore, even if the government can prove beyond a reasonable doubt that an individual's Constitutional right was violated, § 242 requires that the government prove that the law enforcement officer intended to engage in the unlawful conduct and that he/she did so knowing that it was wrong or unlawful. See Screws v. United States, 325 U.S. 91, 101-107 (1945). Mistake, fear, misperception, or even poor judgment does not constitute willful conduct prosecutable under the statute.
WHILE LYING BILLY AND RACIST MARK ROSENBERG'S ACTIONS ARE A MISDEMEANOR UNDER FEDERAL LAW. THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE MUST WORK WITH THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE TO CRIMINALLY CHARGE ROSENBERG, LEWIS, AND KHOUNTHAVONG PURSUANT TO VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 6200, WHICH IS A FELONY AND CARRY STATE PRISON TIME!
Manual of Policy and Procedures / Volume 3 - Policy and Ethics / Chapter 7 - Communications
3-07/220.20 - California Department of Justice Admonishment
3-07/220.00 - Prohibitions
3-07/230.00 - Data Communications Management
As an employee of the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department, you may have access to confidential criminal record and/or Department of Motor Vehicles record information which is controlled by statute. This would include the information in the Los Angeles County Regional Identification System (LACRIS), including the facial recognition tools within LACRIS. Misuse of such information may adversely affect the individual's civil rights and violates the law. Penal Code section 502 prescribes the penalties relating to computer crimes. Penal Code sections 11105 and 13300 identify who has access to criminal history information and under what circumstances it may be released. Penal Code sections 11140-11144 and 13301-13305 prescribe penalties for misuse of criminal history information. Government Code section 6200 prescribes the felony penalties for misuse of public records and CLETS information. Penal Code sections 11142 and 13303 state:
"Any person authorized by law to receive a record or information obtained from a record who knowingly furnishes the record or information to a person not authorized by law to receive the record or information is guilty of a misdemeanor."
California Vehicle Code section 1808.45 prescribes the penalties relating to misuse of Department of Motor Vehicles record information.
Any employee who is responsible for such misuse is subject to disciplinary action. Violations of this law may also result in criminal and/or civil actions.
BILLY! YOU HAD BETTER RUN AWAY AS FAST YOU CAN FOOL!
MUN - ARS
Video highlight
Search
1:24 PM
8/17/2025

KING AROGANT'S written statement of "you had better run away as fast as you can was not addressed to lying and racially animus, Brian MARK Rosenberg. Moreover, these same written statements addressed to rogue County of Los Angeles peace officer Billy S. Khounthavong did not fall under a course of conduct, or credible threats of violence because the statement was made immediately after KING AROGANT TYPED THE FOLLOWING:

> **WHILE LYING BILLY AND RACIST MARK ROSENBERG'S ACTIONS ARE A MISDEMEANOR UNDER FEDERAL LAW. THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE MUST WORK WITH THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE TO CRIMINALLY CHARGE ROSENBERG, LEWIS, AND KHOUNTHAVONG PURSUANT TO VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 6200, WHICH IS A FELONY AND CARRY STATE PRISON TIME!**

Not only did KING AROGANT'S written statements protect him from prosecution in civil harassment restraining order proceeding pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.6, subsection (b), paragraph (1) **California Penal Code § 646.9, California Code of Civil Procedure § 425.16, and United States Constitution, First Amendment. But in addition, pursuant to United States Supreme Court case *Virginia v. Black*, 538 U.S. 343, 123 S. Ct. 1536, 155 L.Ed.2d 535 (2003); KING AROGANT'S sent to Brian MARK Rosenberg, while directed toward rogue County of Los Angeles peace officer Billy S. Khounthavong, did not constitute a "true threat". Since KING AROGANT'S written statement did not constitute a true**

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

threat, it could not be used as an allegation of credible threats of violence, and thus, Superior Court of California civil court judicial officer Timothy Richard Martella knew when he granted Brian MARK Rosenberg's frivolous civil harassment restraining order that he was willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, carelessly, deliberately, knowingly, and callously violating California Code of Judicial Ethics, Canon 1: A Judge Shall Uphold the Integrity and Independence of the Judiciary, Canon 2: A Judge Shall Avoid Impropriety and the Appearance of Impropriety in all of The Judge's Activities, and Canon 3: A Judge Shall Perform The Duties of Judicial Office Impartially, Competently, and Diligently, California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.6, subsection (b), paragraph (1), numerous statutes of California Penal Code § 646.9 after reading Brian MARK Rosenberg's frivolous allegations of:

Hollywood also told the detective on our case that "you had better run away as fast you can fool." This is the latest in a string of harassing communications that I believe are only aimed at intimidating and annoying me. This matter was reported to LASD. URN: 923-l l 730-0

Numerous State of California Commission on Judicial Performance complaints will be filed against Timothy Richard Martella, Suzette Clover, and Michael Villalobos in the coming months of 2025.

If that were not enough, there is substantial case law and evidence to support the argument that Brian Mark Rosenberg's frivolous November 2023 civil harassment restraining order should never have been granted. Here is one more

food for thought. In criminal case People v. Felix, (2001), 92 Cal.App..4th 905, 112 Cal.Rptr.2d 311, the Court of Appeal recited People v. Teal (1998) 61 Cal.App.4th 277, 281 [71 Cal.Rptr.2d 644] both appellate panels agreed that KING AROGANT'S written statements to Billy Khounthavong and Brian MARK Rosenberg were not "true threats" and thus, did not constitute credible threats of violence within the meaning of California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.6 subsection (b), paragraph (1).

Felix contends that he did not intend his statements to Levinger to be threats, they were only statements made as part of his therapy. Section 422 was not enacted to punish emotional outbursts, it targets only those who try to instill fear in others. (In re Ricky T. (2001) 87 Cal. App.4th 1132, 1141 [105 Cal.Rptr.2d 165].) "one may, in private, curse one's enemies, pummel pillows, and shout revenge for real or imagined wrongs-safe from section 422 sanction. ***Id.*** at **People v. Felix, (2001), 92 Cal.App..4th 905, 112 Cal.Rptr.2d 311**

In People v. Teal (1998), 61 Cal.App.4th 277, the language was clearer.

We agree that section 422 is not violated by mere angry utterances or ranting soliloquies, however violent. One may, in private, curse one's enemies, pummel pillows, and shout revenge for real or imagined wrongs— safe from section 422 sanction.

***Id*. at page 281.**

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

But we disagree section 422 requires certainty by the threatener that his threat has been received by the threatened person. As with murder, if one shoots with the intent to kill, it is murder whether or not the shooter knows his bullet has hit its mark. So too, if one broadcasts a threat intending to induce sustained fear, section 422 is violated if the threat is received and induces sustained fear — whether or not the threatener knows his threat has hit its mark.

***Id*. at page 281.**

Pursuant to People v. Teal (1998), People v. Felix (2001), and Virginia v. Black, none of KING AROGANT'S written statements reported by Brian MARK Rosenberg on November 17, 2023, constituted a credible threat of violence within the meaning of California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.6 subsection (b).

None of KING AROGANT'S November 13, 2023, emails broadcast a threat intended to induce sustained fear in Brian MARK Rosenberg. KING AROGANT statement that "Rosenberg was going to federal prison was not a credible threat of violence, but rather a written statement of freedom of speech. KING AROGANT'S written statement of "a series of emails is coming was not a broadcast of a threat intended to induce sustained fear in Brian MARK Rosenberg, and moreover, as of August 17, 2025, KING AROGANT has never sent Brian MARK Rosenberg a single second email after he sent him his original and only email on November 13, 2023, at approximately 1:19 AM

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

KING AROGANT'S written statements to the rogue County of Los Angeles peace officer Billy Khounthavong to run away as fast as you can, were immediately typed in the November 17, 2023, email after KING AROGANT had typed the following:

> **WHILE LYING BILLY AND RACIST MARK ROSENBERG'S ACTIONS ARE A MISDEMEANOR UNDER FEDERAL LAW. THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE MUST WORK WITH THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE TO CRIMINALLY CHARGE ROSENBERG, LEWIS, AND KHOUNTHAVONG PURSUANT TO VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 6200, WHICH IS A FELONY AND CARRY STATE PRISON TIME!**

Moreover, KING AROGANT's written statement of "run away as fast as you can" was not broadcast to Brian MARK Rosenberg; he was not the intended recipient of the alleged threat, and the intended recipient of the alleged threat, Billy S. Khounthavong chose to take zero action, and Khounthavong chose not even to write a declaration on behalf of Brian MARK Rosenberg. Thus, Brian MARK Rosenberg could not use an alleged threat he knew was directed to another person, and he even admitted was directed to another County of Los Angeles employee, as an allegation to boost his chances of getting his frivolous petition granted. The fact that the Honorable Timothy Martella granted Brian MARK Rosenberg's civil harassment restraining order based on an allegation that was not at all directed to Rosenberg, and in which Billy Khounthavong was not listed as a protected person, let alone a witness, proves by clear and convincing

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

evidence that the Honorable Timothy Richard Martella willfully, recklessly, maliciously, wantonly, deliberately, carelessly, and callously violated California Code of Judicial Ethics, Canon 1: A Judge Shall Uphold the Integrity and Independence of the Judiciary, Canon 2: A Judge Shall Avoid Impropriety and the Appearance of Impropriety in all of The Judge's Activities, and Canon 3: A Judge Shall Perform The Duties of Judicial Office Impartially, Competently, and Diligently, California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.6, subsection (b), paragraph (1), numerous statutes of California Penal Code § 646.9, California Constitution, the United States Constitution, KING AROGANT'S United States Fourth Amendment Search and Seizure Clause constitutional civil rights, and KING AROGANT'S United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights many times over when he at first granted Brian MARK Rosenberg's original frivolous temporary civil harassment restraining order on November 17, 2025, and again we granted his permanent frivolous and RACIST three-year injunction against KING AROGANT on December 20, 2023.

The County of Los Angeles, DOES 1-10, and all their co-conspirators are liable to KING AROGANT for civil rights violations because if KING AROGANT had of really made a credible threat of violence towards Brian MARK Rosenberg or Billy Khounthavong he would have been charged for making a felony criminal threat back in November 2023 and sent to prison for a long time a long time ago. In his attachment page, lying and racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg boasts that he filed a police report based on a written statement that was never even remotely addressed or direct towards him. **THIS WAS THE FILING OF A FRIVOLOUS AND FALSIFIED POLICE REPORT**. Brian MARK Rosenberg is neither the supervisor nor the lover of

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Billy Khounthavong; therefore, he could not legally file a police report on behalf of Billy Khounthavong. Moreover, Brian MARK Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)** did not file a frivolous police report with the County of Los Angeles, LASD. URN: 923-l l730-0522-217 to help Billy Khounthavong. Brian MARK Rosenberg filed the bogus police report in hopes of boasting his own frivolous civil harassment restraining order injunction. The above clear and convincing evidence proves that Brian MARK Rosenberg's civil harassment restraining order should have never been granted in the first place.

Timothy Richard Martella is hereby being put on notice that a judicial ethics complaint will be filed against him.

Racially animus and lying Brian MARK Rosenberg and Billy Khounthavong are hereby being put on notice that an official United States Department of Justice complaint will be filed against them, and the USDOJ's failure to criminal charge them with violations of 18 U.S.C. §§ 241 & 242 will result in United States government being claimed on its official form.

Racially animus and lying Brian MARK Rosenberg and Billy Khounthavong are hereby being put on notice that an official California Department of Justice complaint will be filed against them, and CALDOJ's failure to criminal charge them with violations of California Penal Code §§ 148.5 and 118.1 will result in State of California government being claimed on its official forms.


AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344


2. KING AROGANT Was Ignored and Treated Like an Unwanted Guess When He Attempted to Serve a GoPro Video Recording, Evidence Audio Recording Evidence, and A Copy of His Nearly Five-Hundred-Page Civil Rights Complaint Against the State of California and the County of Los Angeles

On October 30, 2024, at approximately 2:20 AM, KING AROGANT electronically served an electronic copy of GoPro video recordings that were returned to him on October 17, 2023, inside the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court on October 17, 2023 after George Gascón, Brian MARK Rosenberg, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators had willfully, recklessly, maliciously, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, and callously prevented KING AROGANT from obtaining copies of his original GoPro video recordings stored on his original GoPro Hero 10 Black Action Camera's 256 gigabyte SanDisk micro-sd card between February 23, 2023 and October 17, 2023. The original GoPro video recordings GX010586, GX010587, GX010588, GX010589, and GX010590 **(which were all meticulously split up by County of Los Angeles peace officers from GX010586) were electronically** served upon County of Los Angeles and State of California employees Dawyn R. Harrison (SBN # 173855), Nicole Amber Davis-Tinkham (SBN # 229592), Judy Welch Whitehurst (SBN # 182855), Vanessa Ann Evangelista (SBN # 238474), George Gascón, Robert G. Luna, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl Mire, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., Jeffrey D. Macomber. Jason D. Johnson, Jennifer Barretto, Tammatha Foss, Christopher Chambers, Ronald Broomfield, Jennifer

Benavidez, Michael Villalobos, Suzette Clover, Lindsey Patrice Horvath, Hilda L. Solis, Holly J. Mitchell, Janice Hahn, Kathryn Barger, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators in a Dropbox internet website link that was downloaded on any Macintosh, Windows Personal Computer, Android Mobile Device, and iPhone Mobile Device. See below a true and correct copy of KING AROGANT's October 30, 2024 GoPro video recordings email sent to County of Los Angeles and State of California employees Dawyn R. Harrison (SBN # 173855), Nicole Amber Davis-Tinkham (SBN # 229592), Judy Welch Whitehurst (SBN # 182855), Vanessa Ann Evangelista (SBN # 238474), George Gascón, Robert G. Luna, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl Mire, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., Jeffrey D. Macomber. Jason D. Johnson, Jennifer Barretto, Tammatha Foss, Christopher Chambers, Ronald Broomfield, Jennifer Benavidez, Michael Villalobos, Suzette Clover, Lindsey Patrice Horvath, Hilda L. Solis, Holly J. Mitchell, Janice Hahn, Kathryn Barger, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators attached to KING AROGANT'S Verified Appendix of Exhibits and attached below herein.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344


New tab
Homeless Model Lo
New tab
Here's Who Inherite
Inbox (597) - teddyl
AROGANT HOLLYW
https://mail.google.com/mail/u/1/#search/george+gascon/KtbxLvHLrgqwqNTkcmNMkszrbMRvxjmKvB
Gmail
george gascon
Compose
Inbox 5,892
Starred
Snoozed
Sent
Drafts 92
More
Labels
BRIEFING.COM 630
DATING.COM 557
LAW LIBRARY ORD... 2
MAIL UNDELIVE... 1,689
MAILER DAEMO... 233
SMM WORLD 2
SPECTRUM 26
TASTY TRADE 131
UBER 56
64 of 98
AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. jeffrey macomber, et al [ORIGINAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS STORED ON SANDISK 256 GB MICRO SD CARD THAT WAS RECKLESSLY MANIPULATED AND TAINTED BY COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES PEACE OFFICERS] (DROPBOX DOWNLOAD LINK) (ONLY VIDEO RECORDINGS DATED FOR FEBRUARY 21, 2023)
Inbox ×
Arogant Hollywood <arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>
Oct 30, 2024, 2:20 AM
AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. jeffrey macomber, et al [ORIGINAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS STORED ON SANDISK 256 GB MICRO SD CARD THAT WAS RECKLESSLY MANIPULATED AND TAINTED BY COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES PEACE OFFICERS] (DROPBOX DOWNLOAD LINK) (ONLY VIDEO RECORDINGS DATED FOR FEBRUARY 21, 2023)
AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. jeffrey macomber, et al [ORIGINAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS STORED ON SANDISK 256 GB MICRO SD CARD THAT WAS RECKLESSLY MANIPULATED AND TAINTED BY COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES PEACE OFFICERS] (DROPBOX DOWNLOAD LINK) (ONLY VIDEO RECORDINGS DATED FOR FEBRUARY 21, 2023)
BEFORE THESE RACIST AND BIASED COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES PROSECUTORS AND GEORGE GASCON START ACCUSING ME OF MANIPULATING GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS. UNDER THIS. THE ENTIRE UPLOAD OF ORIGINAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS AND ALSO LASD-MANIPULATED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS WAS RECORDED ON MY COMPUTER SCREEN! OVER 8 HOURS OF PROCESS THUS FAR!
DROPBOX LINK TO DOWNLOAD 256 GB SANDISK MICRO SD CARD THAT CONTAINED ON IT ALL MANIPULATED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS EDITED BY COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT FRAUD AND CYBER CRIMES BUREAU'S SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA HIGH TECH TASK FORCE. THE BELOW DROPBOX LINK INCLUDES LASD-CREATED AND MANIPULATED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GL010586, GL010587, GL010588, GL010589, GL010590, GL010591, & GL010592, WHICH WERE MANIPULATED AND SPLIT UP FROM CONTINUOUS AND UNINTERRUPTED GL010586, WHICH COVERED OVER 18 MINUTES OF THE PHONY AND MAKE-BELIEVE CRIMINAL THREATS CONFRONTATION AND ALLEGED KNIFE INCIDENT!
63°F
Sunny
Search
7:33 AM
8/18/2025



Despite being personally served a copy of all GoPro video recordings that prove KING AROGANT'S factual innocence by clear and convincing evidence since October 30, 2024 continuing on until August 18, 2025, Dawyn R. Harrison **(SBN # 173855)**, Nicole Amber Davis-Tinkham **(SBN # 229592)**, Judy Welch Whitehurst **(SBN # 182855)**, Vanessa Ann Evangelista **(SBN # 238474)**, George Gascón, Robert G. Luna, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl

Mire, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., Jeffrey D. Macomber. Jason D. Johnson, Jennifer Barretto, Tammatha Foss, Christopher Chambers, Ronald Broomfield, Jennifer Benavidez, Michael Villalobos, Suzette Clover, Lindsey Patrice Horvath, Hilda L. Solis, Holly J. Mitchell, Janice Hahn, Kathryn Barger, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators have all willfully, recklessly, maliciously, carelessly, deliberately, knowingly, carelessly, deliberately, and callously failed to assist KING AROGANT with overturning his wrongful and unconstitutional felony conviction and racially animus California State Bar attorneys Dawyn R. Harrison (SBN # 173855), Nicole Amber Davis-Tinkham (SBN # 229592), Judy Welch Whitehurst (SBN # 182855), Vanessa Ann Evangelista (SBN # 238474), George Gascón, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl Mire, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., Michael Villalobos, Suzette Clover, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators have all willfully, recklessly, maliciously, carelessly, deliberately, knowingly, carelessly, deliberately, and callously failed to assist KING AROGANT with overturning his wrongful and unconstitutional felony conviction pursuant to their duty to do so under State Bar of California Rules of Professional Conduct Rule 3.8, California Business and Professions Code § 6068, and many other state and federal laws, they all recklessly violated the United States Constitution and KING AROGANT'S Fourth and Fourteenth Amendment constitutional civil rights.


AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344


On October 30, 2024, at approximately 3:55 AM, KING AROGANT electronically served an electronic copy of GoPro video recording GX010586 that was returned to him on October 17, 2023, inside the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court on October 17, 2023 after George Gascón, Brian MARK Rosenberg, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators had willfully, recklessly, maliciously, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, and callously prevented KING AROGANT from obtaining copies of his original GoPro video recordings stored on his original GoPro Hero 10 Black Action Camera's 256 gigabyte SanDisk micro-sd card between February 23, 2023 and October 17, 2023. The original GoPro video recordings GX010586, **(which were all meticulously split up by County of Los Angeles peace officers from GX010586,) was electronically** served upon County of Los Angeles and State of California employees Dawyn R. Harrison (SBN # 173855), Nicole Amber Davis-Tinkham (SBN # 229592), Judy Welch Whitehurst (SBN # 182855), Vanessa Ann Evangelista (SBN # 238474), George Gascón, Robert G. Luna, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl Mire, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., Jeffrey D. Macomber. Jason D. Johnson, Jennifer Barretto, Tammatha Foss, Christopher Chambers, Ronald Broomfield, Jennifer Benavidez, Michael Villalobos, Suzette Clover, Lindsey Patrice Horvath, Hilda L. Solis, Holly J. Mitchell, Janice Hahn, Kathryn Barger, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators in a YouTube internet website link that was embedded within the electronic mail message and could be played from on any Macintosh, Windows Personal Computer, Android Mobile Device, and iPhone Mobile Device directly from the email. See below a true and correct copy of KING AROGANT'S October 30, 2024, GoPro video recording GX010586 email sent to County

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

of Los Angeles and State of California employees Dawyn R. Harrison (SBN # 173855), Nicole Amber Davis-Tinkham (SBN # 229592), Judy Welch Whitehurst (SBN # 182855), Vanessa Ann Evangelista (SBN # 238474), George Gascón, Robert G. Luna, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl Mire, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., Jeffrey D. Macomber. Jason D. Johnson, Jennifer Barretto, Tammatha Foss, Christopher Chambers, Ronald Broomfield, Jennifer Benavidez, Michael Villalobos, Suzette Clover, Lindsey Patrice Horvath, Hilda L. Solis, Holly J. Mitchell, Janice Hahn, Kathryn Barger, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators attached to KING AROGANT'S Verified Appendix of Exhibits and attached below herein.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344


https://mail.google.com/mail/u/1/#search/george+gascon/QgrcJHsTmbdfCFffmDzBQMKbvTGMzVZpWmV
Gmail
george gascon
Compose
Inbox
Starred
Snoozed
Sent
Drafts
More
Labels
BRIEFING.COM
DATING.COM
LAW LIBRARY ORD...
MAIL UNDELIVE...
MAILER DAEMO...
SMM WORLD
SPECTRUM
TASTY TRADE
UBER
AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. jeffrey macomber, et al [YOUTUBE VIDEO RECORDING OF ORIGINAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING GL010586 THAT WAS RECKLESSLY SPLIT UP BY LASD
Arogant Hollywood
AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. jeffrey macomber, et al [YOUTUBE VIDEO RECORDING OF ORIGINAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING GL010586 THAT WAS RECKLESSLY SPLIT UP BY LASD
PIECE OF SHIT NOW SUED AIRBNB HOST JAMES HAN WILLFULLY, MALICIOUSLY, CARELESSLY, KNOWINGLY, WANTONLY, CARELESSLY, AND CALLOUSLY THREW AWAY MY 120-PAGE HANDWRITTEN HABEAS CORPUS THAT TOOK ME OVER A WEEK TO WRITE IN JAIL. JAMES HAN RECKLESSLY STOLE FIVE LAPTOPS FROM ME, OVER $ 2,000 OF SEPARATE MERCHANDISE I PURCHASED ON AMAZON.COM, MY GOPRO CAMERA USED TO FILM VIDEO RECORDING GL010586, AND TWO OF MY EXTERNAL HARD DRIVES, AMONG OTHER THINGS. YET, IT WAS OBVIOUSLY MEANT TO BE FOR ME TO OVERTURN DEFENDANT GASCON'S PHONY AND UNCONSTITUTIONAL CRIMINAL CASE. MIRACULOUSLY, PIECE OF SHIT JAMES HAN WHILE HE STOLE A $ 5,000 CASE FROM MY OFFICE DEPOT PENCIL BOX AND DIAMOND RING I BOUGHT MY FIRST LADY ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD; HE LEFT THE ORIGINAL SANDISK MICRO SD CARD INSIDE OF THE BOX AND PLACED IT IN A BAG WITH OTHER STUFF THAT WAS CLEARLY TRASH! I HAVE IT, AND NOW GASCON! YOU WILL PAY FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT IN NOVEMBER 2024, MY WORD!
SEE ATTACHED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING GL010586 YOUTUBE VIDEO LINK ATTACHED HERETO!
ENTIRE UPLOADING PROCESS OF ORIGINAL GOPRO VIDEO RECORDING GL010586 (WHICH WAS SPLIT UP INTO GL010587, GL010588,
63°F
Sunny
Search
8:08 AM
8/18/2025



AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Despite being personally served a copy of all GoPro video recordings that prove KING AROGANT'S factual innocence by clear and convincing evidence since October 30, 2024 continuing on until August 18, 2025, Dawyn R. Harrison (SBN # 173855), Nicole Amber Davis-Tinkham (SBN # 229592), Judy Welch Whitehurst (SBN # 182855), Vanessa Ann Evangelista (SBN # 238474), George Gascón, Robert G. Luna, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl Mire, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., Jeffrey D. Macomber. Jason D. Johnson, Jennifer Barretto, Tammatha Foss, Christopher Chambers, Ronald Broomfield, Jennifer Benavidez, Michael Villalobos, Suzette Clover, Lindsey Patrice Horvath, Hilda L. Solis, Holly J. Mitchell, Janice Hahn, Kathryn Barger, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators have all willfully, recklessly, maliciously, carelessly, deliberately, knowingly, carelessly, deliberately, and callously failed to assist KING AROGANT with overturning his wrongful and unconstitutional felony conviction and racially animus California State Bar attorneys Dawyn R. Harrison (SBN # 173855), Nicole Amber Davis-Tinkham (SBN # 229592), Judy Welch Whitehurst (SBN # 182855), Vanessa Ann Evangelista (SBN # 238474), George Gascón, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl Mire, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., Michael Villalobos, Suzette Clover, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators have all willfully, recklessly, maliciously, carelessly, deliberately, knowingly, carelessly, deliberately, and callously failed to assist KING AROGANT with overturning his wrongful and unconstitutional felony conviction pursuant to their duty to do so under State Bar of California Rules of Professional Conduct Rule 3.8, California Business and Professions Code § 6068, and many other state and federal laws, they all

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

recklessly violated the United States Constitution and KING AROGANT'S Fourth and Fourteenth Amendment constitutional civil rights.

On December 3, 2024, KING AROGANT filed a nearly five-hundred-page constitutional civil rights complaint against Ashley Albiento, Jennifer Barretto, Dennis L. Beck, Jenniffer Benavidez, Robert Andres Bonta, Ronald Broomfield, Elizabeth Byers, Christopher Chambers, Yingchun Chen, Tammatha Foss, George Gascón, Peter Dwight Halloran, Jason D. Johnson, Cheryl Lynn Kaylor, Leo Ka Fong Lo, Jeffrey A. Macomber, Brian McGee, Bryce Miller, Gavin Christopher Newsom and Leah Tamu Wilson in United States District Court for the Eastern District of California, **2:24-cv-03351-DAD-CSK.**

In late January 2024 KING AROGANT;s fiancée and lady since 2012 Alison Helen Fairchild personally served a copy of the following upon State of California employees Jennifer Barretto, Dennis L. Beck, Jenniffer Benavidez, Robert Andres Bonta, Ronald Broomfield, Christopher Chambers, Tammatha Foss, , Peter Dwight Halloran, Jason D. Johnson, Jeffrey A. Macomber, Gavin Christopher Newsom, and Leah Tamu Wilson **(SBN # 222790):**

1 United States District Court for the Eastern District of California Summons.

2. One color copy of KING AROGANT's four hundred and eighty-six page civil rights complaint.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

3. **One copy of SanDisk 256-gigabyte USB portable flash drive that contained on it copies of the original split up by County of Los Angeles peace officers GoPro video recordings GX010586, GX010587, GX010588, GX010589, and GX010590**

4. One copy of the original audio recording of the October 22, 2023, telephone conversation between KING AROGANT and racially animus state government witness and County of Los Angeles employee Thomas Guzman-Sanchez was also included on the same **SanDisk 256-gigabyte USB portable flash drive.**

All of the above legal documents and evidence was personally served upon State of California employees Jennifer Barretto, Dennis L. Beck, Jenniffer Benavidez, Robert Andres Bonta, Ronald Broomfield, Christopher Chambers, Tammatha Foss, , Peter Dwight Halloran, Jason D. Johnson, Jeffrey A. Macomber, Gavin Christopher Newsom, and Leah Tamu Wilson **(SBN # 222790) at their registered agent for service of:**

300 South Spring Street
Suite 1700
Los Angeles, CA 90013

All legal documents were not only served upon the above-stated State of California employees and federal district court Defendants, but they were fully inspected, accepted, processed, and stamped at the California Department of Justice's local Los Angeles office located at 300 South Spring Street, Suite 1700, Los Angeles, CA 90013.

As of August 17, 2025, personally served Jennifer Barretto, Dennis L. Beck, Jenniffer Benavidez, Robert Andres Bonta, Ronald Broomfield, Christopher Chambers,

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Tammatha Foss, Peter Dwight Halloran, Jason D. Johnson, Jeffrey A. Macomber, Gavin Christopher Newsom, and Leah Tamu Wilson (SBN # 222790) have all willfully, recklessly, maliciously, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, deliberately, and callously failed to including but not at limited to failed to launch an investigation, failed to take legal action against Billy S. Khounthavong and Brian MARK Rosenberg, failed to assist KING AROGANT with overturning his wrongful, unconstitutional, and racist felony conviction, and recklessly failed to terminate KING AROGANT'S illegal and unconstitutional felony parole supervision even after being served clear and convincing audio recording evidence that KING AROGANT was sent to prison based on false testimony of Thomas Guzman-Sanchez, and they all failed to take legal action against Thomas Guzman-Sanchez for deliberately and knowingly violating California Government Code § 6200. Thus, in short, Jennifer Barretto, Dennis L. Beck, Jenniffer Benavidez, Robert Andres Bonta, Ronald Broomfield, Christopher Chambers, Tammatha Foss, , Peter Dwight Halloran, Jason D. Johnson, Jeffrey A. Macomber, Gavin Christopher Newsom, and Leah Tamu Wilson **(SBN # 222790) will all be legally held liable and they were all legal liable for County of Los Angeles, Nathan Joseph Hochman, and Brian MARK Rosenberg, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators' deliberate violations of the United States Constitution, KING AROGANT's United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause and Fourth Amendment Search and Seizure Clause constitutional civil rights after they first conspired to and then actually falsely imprison, falsely detain, and maliciously prosecute KING AROGANT from April 17, 2025 until June 25, 2025, which resulted in additional substantial harm and injury to KING AROGANT. See now attached to KING**

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

AROGANT'S Verified Appendix of Exhibits and herein below a true and correct copy of United States District Court Summons issued against Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, and Gavin Christopher Newsom.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Arogant Hollywood ,

CASE NO: 2:24-CV-03351-DAD-CSK

VS.

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL CASE

Jeffrey A. Macomber , et al. ,

TO: **Ashley Albiento, Jennifer Barretto, Dennis L. Beck, Jr, Jennifer Benavidez, Robert Andres Bonta, Ronald Broomfield, Elizabeth Byers, Christo Chambers, Yingchun Chen, Tammatha Foss, George Gascon, Peter Dwight Halloran, Jason D. Johnson, Cheryl Lynn Kaylor, Leo Ka Fong Lo, Jeffrey A. Macomber, Brian McGee, Bryce Miller, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson**

Defendant's Address: Leah Tamu Wilson
300 South Spring Street
Suite 1708
Los Angeles, CA 90013

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to serve on:

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106

an answer to the complaint which is served on you with this summons, **within 21 days after service of this summons on you,** exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be taken against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. Any answer that you serve on the parties to this action must be filed with the Clerk of this Court within a reasonable period of time after service.

KEITH HOLLAND

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Arogant Hollywood ,

vs.

Jeffrey A. Macomber , et al. ,

CASE NO: 2:24-CV-03351-DAD-CSK

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL CASE

TO: **Ashley Albiento, Jennifer Barretto, Dennis L. Beck, Jr, Jennifer Benavidez, Robert Andres Bonta, Ronald Broomfield, Elizabeth Byers, Christo Chambers, Yingchun Chen, Tammatha Foss, George Gascon, Peter Dwight Halloran, Jason D. Johnson, Cheryl Lynn Kaylor, Leo Ka Fong Lo, Jeffrey A. Macomber, Brian McGee, Bryce Miller, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson**

Defendant's Address:

Gavin Christopher Newsom
300 South Spring Street
Suite 1700
Los Angeles, CA 90013

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to serve on:

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106

an answer to the complaint which is served on you with this summons, **within 21 days after service of this summons on you,** exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be taken against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. Any answer that you serve on the parties to this action must be filed with the Clerk of this Court within a reasonable period of time after service.

KEITH HOLLAND

Case 2:24-cv-03351-DAD-CSK Document 2 Filed 12/03/24 Page 1 of 3

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Arogant Hollywood ,

CASE NO: 2:24-CV-03351-DAD-CSK

VS.

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL CASE

Jeffrey A. Macomber , et al. ,

TO: **Ashley Albiento, Jennifer Barretto, Dennis L. Beck, Jr, Jennifer Benavidez, Robert Andres Bonta, Ronald Broomfield, Elizabeth Byers, Christo Chambers, Yingchun Chen, Tammatha Foss, George Gascon, Peter Dwight Halloran, Jason D. Johnson, Cheryl Lynn Kaylor, Leo Ka Fong Lo, Jeffrey A. Macomber, Brian McGee, Bryce Miller, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson**

Defendant's Address:

Robert Andres Bonta
300 South Spring Street
Suite 1700
Los Angeles, CA 90013

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to serve on:

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106

an answer to the complaint which is served on you with this summons, **within 21 days after service of this summons on you,** exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be taken against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. Any answer that you serve on the parties to this action must be filed with the Clerk of this Court within a reasonable period of time after service.

KEITH HOLLAND


3. A Tradition of Corruption, Racial Bias, Racial Hostility, and Racial Animus


The People, Cynthia Nakao. Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexsander Michael Karkanen, Nathan Joseph Hochman, Scott Douglas Dominguez, and several other DDA3, DD4, and DDA5 co-conspirators worked in collusion to recklessly violate AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S United States Constitutional First, Fourth, and Fourteenth Amendment constitutional civil rights by them all willfully, recklessly, maliciously, carelessly, knowingly, wantonly, deliberately, and callously filed a frivolous five-count misdemeanor complaint without having any probable cause and for Counts 3, 4, and 5 without a single piece of evidence that KING AROGANT had violated California Penal Code § 166, subsection (a) and 653m by recklessly sending four electronic mail messages to racially animus and racially hostile FAKE AND LYING VICTIM Brian MARK Rosenberg (SBN # 291501) on November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, on June 8, 2023 at 8:03 AM, and June 8, 2024 at 8:34 AM. Cynthia Nakao. Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexsander Michael Karkanen, Nathan Joseph Hochman, Scott Douglas Dominguez, and several other DDA3, DD4, and DDA5 co-conspirators' joint and in collusion efforts were solely based on KING AROGANT'S race and in retaliation for him suing former County of Los Angeles District Attorney George Gascón in federal district court case 2:24-cv-03351-CSK, which was filed in the Eastern District of California on December 2, 2024.


AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

On April 8, 2025, at approximately 8:46 AM, KING AROGANT electronically served an electronic copy of GoPro video recording GX010586 that was returned to him on October 17, 2023, inside the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court on October 17, 2023 after George Gascón, Brian MARK Rosenberg, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators had willfully, recklessly, maliciously, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, fraudulently, and callously prevented KING AROGANT from obtaining copies of his original GoPro video recordings stored on his original GoPro Hero 10 Black Action Camera's 256 gigabyte SanDisk micro-sd card between February 23, 2023 and October 17, 2023. The original GoPro video recordings GX010586, **(which were all meticulously split up by County of Los Angeles peace officers from GX010586,) was electronically** served upon County of Los Angeles and State of California employees Dawyn R. Harrison (SBN # 173855), Nicole Amber Davis-Tinkham (SBN # 229592), Judy Welch Whitehurst (SBN # 182855), Vanessa Ann Evangelista (SBN # 238474), George Gascón, Steven Ian Katz, Robert G. Luna, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl Mire, Nathan Joseph Hochman, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., Jeffrey D. Macomber. Jason D. Johnson, Jennifer Barretto, Tammatha Foss, Christopher Chambers, Ronald Broomfield, Jennifer Benavidez, Michael Villalobos, Suzette Clover, Lindsey Patrice Horvath, Hilda L. Solis, Holly J. Mitchell, Janice Hahn, Kathryn Barger, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators in a YouTube internet website link that was embedded within the electronic mail message and could be played from on any Macintosh, Windows Personal Computer, Android Mobile Device, and iPhone Mobile Device directly from the email. Attached to the end of KING AROGANT'S Gmail electronic mail message were two electronic MP4

Formatted GoPro video recordings GX010586 and GX010587. See below a true and correct copy of KING AROGANT'S April 8, 2025, GoPro video recordings GX010586 and GX010587 email sent to County of Los Angeles and State of California employees Dawyn R. Harrison (SBN # 173855), Nicole Amber Davis-Tinkham (SBN # 229592), Judy Welch Whitehurst (SBN # 182855), Vanessa Ann Evangelista (SBN # 238474), George Gascón, Robert G. Luna, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Steven Ian Katz, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl Mire, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., Jeffrey D. Macomber. Jason D. Johnson, Jennifer Barretto, Tammatha Foss, Christopher Chambers, Ronald Broomfield, Jennifer Benavidez, Michael Villalobos, Suzette Clover, Lindsey Patrice Horvath, Hilda L. Solis, Holly J. Mitchell, Janice Hahn, Kathryn Barger, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators attached to KING AROGANT'S Verified Appendix of Exhibits and attached below herein.


https://mail.google.com/mail/u/1/#sent/KtbxLwHLtGvDGHLLfFmfTRRKlGndWjBxvq
Gmail
in:sent
216 of 858
KING AROGANT v. nathan joseph hochman, et al [ANOTHER WARNING TO COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES EMPLOYEES, PEACE OFFICERS, EXECUTIVES, AND ATTORNEYS TO CEASE AND DESIST MALICIOUSLY PROSECUTING FRIVOLOUS CRIMINAL CASE GA114055, ATTACHED MOTION TO DEMAND DISCOVERY, 162 PAGES
Inbox ×
MAIL UNDELIVERY ×
Arogant Hollywood <aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>
Apr 8, 2025, 8:46 PM
to nhochman, Vaenille-rocha, dfelizzatto, ttokat, mhanisee, skatz, Jbrooke-castano, jcastano, wfrayeh, jfoster, jgarrison, jtillson, Mariaramirez65, mramirez, ilee, Cris.buckley, cbuckley, Sfrank6576, sfranl
KING AROGANT v. nathan joseph hochman, et al. [ANOTHER WARNING TO COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES EMPLOYEES, PEACE OFFICERS, EXECUTIVES, AND ATTORNEYS TO CEASE AND DESIST MALICIOUSLY PROSECUTING FRIVOLOUS CRIMINAL CASE GA114055, ATTACHED MOTION TO DEMAND DISCOVERY, 162 PAGES
THE NEXT TIME I GO TO 211 WEST TEMPLE STREET, LOS ANGELES COUNTY TO SERVE NATHAN JOSEPH HOCHMAN LEGAL PAPERS, VIDEO RECORDING EVIDENCE, AND AUDIO RECORDING EVIDENCE RELATED TO A CRIMINAL CASE DO NOT ALLOW YOUR COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES PEACE OFFICERS TO PREVENT ME FROM ENTERING THE BUILDING OR YOU WILL FACE LITIGATION FOR THEIR RECKLESS ACTIONS!
NATHAN JOSEPH HOCHMAN AND ALL OTHER HEREBY ELECTRONICALLY SERVED STATE OF CALIFORNIA AND COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES EMPLOYEES. YOU ARE HEREBY ORDERED TO CEASE AND DESIST FROM DOING THE FOLLOWING:
1. CONTINUE TO MALICIOUSLY PROSECUTE FRIVOLOUS CRIMINAL CASE GA114055
2. RECKLESSLY FAILING TO OVERTURN CONVICTION ON YOUR OWN MOTIONS PURSUANT TO CALIFORNIA STATE BAR RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT,
Rule 3.8 Special Responsibilities of a Prosecutor (Rule Approved by the Supreme Court, Effective June 1, 2020)
3. RECKLESSLY FAILING TO TERMINATE AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S FELONY PAROLE SUPERVISION AFTER BEING SERVED AN AUDIO RECORDING PROVING KING AROGANT WAS
Search
5:48 PM
8/22/2025


https://mail.google.com/mail/u/1/#sent/KtbxLwHLtGvDGHLLfFmfTRRKlGndWjBxvq
Gmail
in:sent
216 of 858
HOLLYWOOD'S UNCONSTITUTIONAL PAROLE SUPERVISION BE IMMEDIATELY TERMINATED
Date of Hearing: April 25, 2025
Department 1
Time:
THE ATTACHED CRIMINAL MOTION IS 162 PAGES AND 23,080 WORDS.
GX010586.MP4
GX010587.MP4
NATHAN JOSEPH HOCHMAN. KING AROGANT KNOWS THAT YOU HAVE A LOT OF EXPERIENCE IN FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT AS A PLAINTIFF. IF YOU FAIL TO OVERTURN AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S WRONFUL, RACIST, SCANDALOUS, ILLEGAL, FRAUDULENT, AND UNCONSTITUTIONAL FELONY CONVICTION AND DISMISS FRIVOLOUS CRIMINAL CASE GA114055 ON YOUR OWN MOTION NO LATER THAN APRIL 23, 2025 YOU AND YOUR TEAM OF ATTORNEYS ELECTRONICALLY SERVED HEREIN WILL BECOME DEFENDANTS IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA. YOU HAVE ALL BEEN FOREWARNED HEREIN.
Search
5:50 PM
8/22/2025


https://mail.google.com/mail/u/1/#sent/KtbxLwHLtGvDGHLLfFmfTRRKlGndWjBxvq
Gmail
in:sent
216 of 858
4 Attachments • Scanned by Gmail
PROOF OF RACIS...
KING AROGANT'S...
98
Mail Delivery Subsystem
Apr 8, 2025, 9:05 PM
Address not found Your message wasn't delivered to skuhn@counsel.county.gov because the domain counsel.county.gov couldn't be found. Check for typos or unnecess
Arogant Hollywood <arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>
Apr 11, 2025, 1:15 PM
Search
5:51 PM
8/22/2025

Despite being personally served a copy of all GoPro video recordings GX010586 and GX010587 that prove KING AROGANT'S factual innocence by clear and convincing evidence as of April 8, 2025, and since November 28, 2023, and continuing on until August 22, 2025, Dawyn R. Harrison (SBN # 173855), Nicole Amber Davis-Tinkham (SBN # 229592), Judy Welch Whitehurst (SBN # 182855), George Gascón (up until November 30, 2024), Robert G. Luna, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510) (since February 4, 2025)**, Nathan Joseph Hochman **(since February 4, 2025)**, Steven Ian Katz **(since February 4, 2025)**, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl Mire, Gavin Christopher Newsom, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., Jeffrey D. Macomber, Jason D. Johnson, Jennifer Barretto, Tammatha Foss, Christopher Chambers, Ronald Broomfield, Jennifer Benavidez, Michael Villalobos, Suzette Clover, Lindsey Patrice Horvath, Hilda L. Solis, Holly J. Mitchell, Janice Hahn, Kathryn Barger, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators have all willfully, recklessly, maliciously, carelessly, deliberately, knowingly, carelessly, deliberately, fraudulently, and callously failed to assist KING AROGANT with overturning his wrongful and unconstitutional felony conviction and racially animus California State Bar attorneys Dawyn R. Harrison (SBN # 173855), Nicole Amber Davis-Tinkham (SBN # 229592), Judy Welch Whitehurst (SBN # 182855), George Gascón (up until November 30, 2024, Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510) (since February 4, 2025)**, Nathan Joseph Hochman **(since February 4, 2025)**, Steven Ian Katz **(since February 4, 2025)**, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl Mire, Leah Tamu Wilson, Robert Andres Bonta, Peter Dwight Halloran, Dennis L. Beck Jr., Michael Villalobos, Suzette Clover, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators have all willfully, recklessly, maliciously,

carelessly, deliberately, knowingly, carelessly, deliberately, and callously failed to assist KING AROGANT with overturning his wrongful and unconstitutional felony conviction pursuant to their duty to do so under State Bar of California Rules of Professional Conduct Rule 3.8, California Business and Professions Code § 6068, and many other state and federal laws, they all recklessly violated the United States Constitution and KING AROGANT'S United States Fourth and Fourteenth Amendment constitutional civil rights.

On April 21, 2025, rogue and racially animus County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office Alhambra Head DDA Cynthia Nakao (DDA 5) instructed her lower level DDA2 and DDA3's to file frivolous counts 3, 4, and 5 against KING AROGANT while Cynthia Nakao was fully aware that rogue and corrupt peace officer Benjamin Yang had lied in his police report that emails sent racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg by KING AROGANT were attached to Benjamin Yang's falsified and fabricated police report. Cynthia Nakao also recklessly instructed her DDA2's and DDA3's to charge KING AROGANT with violation of California Penal Code § 602, subsection (o) while she had full knowledge that the Alhambra Public Library was open to the public at the time of KING AROGANT'S false arrest, and Cynthia Nakao had full knowledge that the Alhambra police private person arrest form was invalid and illegal because it was signed by rogue and dishonest peace officer Benjamin Yang.

On April 21, 2025, Nathan Joseph Hochman ordered DDA 5 Cynthia Nakao to transfer People's frivolous five-count criminal misdemeanor complaint to his County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office's Elite Criminal Preliminary Hearing Division in furtherance of the conspiracy to continue to recklessly violate KING AROGANT'S

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

constitutional civil rights but also because trying the frivolous case in Alhambra Superior Court presented a serious conflict of interest due to racially animus and lying Brian MARK Rosenberg, working as a DDA from the Alhambra Superior Court.

On April 21, 2025, a retired and temporary Superior Court of California judicial officer set KING AROGANT'S bail for $ 35,000 and issued an illegal criminal protective order. This criminal judge set KING AROGANT'S next court date for April 25, 2025.

On April 25, 2025, at approximately 10:30 AM, the People's frivolous case against KING AROGANT resumed inside Clara Shortridge-Foltz Criminal Justice Center, Department 45, with the Honorable Mark Windham presiding. At this criminal court hearing the People served KING AROGANT with a redacted copy of its discovery. The People's discovery given to KING AROGANT did not include a copy of Benjamin Yang's alleged Probable Cause Declaration and four Brian MARK Rosenberg emails he alleged were attached to his fabricated April 17, 2025, crime report. At the hearing KING AROGANT orally argued his criminal demurrer and told the Superior Court and Judge Windham that the rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang lied in his police report that racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg's November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, June 8, 2024 at 8:03 AM, and June 8, 2024 at 8:34 AM electronic mail messages sent by KING AROGANT were attached to Yang's fabricated police report. See now a true and correct copy of city of Alhambra police department and the County of Los Angeles's falsified and fabricated police report attached hereto as KING AROGANT'S Verified Appendix of Exhibits. At the April 26, 2025, court hearing, Superior Court of California judicial officer Mark Windham overruled KING AROGANT'S criminal demurrer,

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

reduced his bail to $ 30,000 and set his next Superior Court date for May 1, 2025. At the May 1, 2025, court hearing County of Los Angeles and its unidentified male deputy district attorney willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, carelessly, wantonly, and callously fabricated DA in court statements that KING AROGANT'S bail must be set at $ 60,000 because Brian MARK Rosenberg stated in his city of Alhambra crime report that he was "afraid of Mr. Hollywood". Over the objection of this racially animus and lying County of Los Angeles deputy district attorney, judicial officer Mark Windham set

KING AROGANT'S bail at $ 30,000.

On May 1, 2025, at approximately 9:30 AM, the People's frivolous misdemeanor case against KING AROGANT resumed with the Honorable Mark Windham presiding. At the hearing, KING AROGANT filed a timely Motion to Dismiss and a timely Motion to Compel. At the hearing racially animus and racially hostile County of Los Angeles deputy district attorney Willow Leah Rosenthal **(SBN # 345751)** willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, carelessly, wantonly, and callously requested that KING AROGANT'S $ 30,000 bail be increased to $ 60,000 after racially animus Rosenthal (formerly known as "Willow Leah Karfiol) made oral in-court statements that KING AROGANT had contacted her Preliminary Hearing Division DA and stated that he would sue employees who refused to give him the names of deputy district attorneys working in her office. Superior Court of California judicial officer Mark Windham overruled racially hostile and racially animus Willow Leah Rosentha's request to increase KING AROGANT'S bail, but Judge Windham ordered KING AROGANT not to make contact with any County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors either by telephone or electronic

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

mail. Numerous biased, racially animus, and racially hostile Caucasian and Anglo deputy district attorneys in court had willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, carelessly, wantonly, and callously over the course of a shot two weeks fraudulently and falsely requested that KING AROGANT'S bail be set at $ 60,000 based upon racially animus and racially hostile Brian MARK Rosenberg fabricated lies that he was in fear of his personal safety after KING AROGANT had foolishly and recklessly sent lying Rosenberg four separate emails on November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, June 8, 2024 at 8:03 AM, and June 8, 2024 at 8:34 AM. The Second Verified Appendix of Exhibits KING AROGANT would file days later, proved by clear and convincing evidence that **The People, Cynthia Nakao. Willow Leah Rosenthal, Alexsander Michael Karkanen, Nathan Joseph Hochman, Scott Douglas Dominguez, and several other DDA3, DD4, and DDA5 co-conspirators all** willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, carelessly, wantonly, deliberately, and callously committed reckless under color of law FRAUD, reckless under color of law DE EIT, and reckless under color of law FRAUD Upon Superior Court in direct violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and 18 U.S.C. § 242 by fabricating lies in Superior Court that based on racially animus and racially hostile Brian MARK Rosenberg alleged he was afraid of KING AROGANT his bail should be set at $ 60,000 while Nathan Joseph Hochman **(SBN # 139137)**, Willow Leah Rosenthal **(SBN # 345751)**, Scott Douglas Dominguez **(SBN # 228843)**, Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510)**, and their numerous other co-conspirator DDA's all knew that they had no evidence in their possession to prove that KING AROGANT had emailed racially animus and racially hostile County of Los Angeles deputy district attorney Brian MARK Rosenberg November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, June 8, 2024 at 8:03 AM, and June 8, 2024 at 8:34 AM. Nathan Joseph Hochman **(SBN # 139137)**,

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Willow Leah Rosenthal **(SBN # 345751)**, Scott Douglas Dominguez **(SBN # 228843)**, Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510)**, and their numerous other co-conspirator DDA's committed reckless under color of law FRAUD, reckless under color of law DECEIT, reckless under color of law FRAUD Upon Superior Court, and 18 U.S.C. §§ 241 and 242 by requesting that two different judicial officers on April 21, 2025, April 26, 2025, and May 1, 2025 recklessly violate the United States Constitution and KING AROGANT United States Eighth Amendment constitutional civil rights by them all willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, carelessly, wantonly, deliberately, callously, and fraudulently opposing any bail amount for KING AROGANT beyond his own recognizance.

> Merriam-Webster's Dictionary of Law's Definition of fraud on the court is: fraud involving conduct that undermines the integrity of the judicial process (as by improperly influencing a judge, jury, or other court personnel); also: EXTRINSIC FRAUD in this entry.

> Merriam-Webster's Dictionary of Law's Definition of extrinsic fraud is: Fraud (as that involved in making a false offer of compromise) that induces one not to present a case in a court of deprives one of the opportunity to be heard; also: fraud that is not involved in the actual issues presented to a court and that prevents a full and fair hearing.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Nathan Joseph Hochman **(SBN # 139137)**, Willow Leah Rosenthal **(SBN # 345751)**, Scott Douglas Dominguez **(SBN # 228843)**, Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510)**, and their numerous other co-conspirator DDA's committed reckless under color of law FRAUD, reckless under color of law DECEIT, reckless under color of law FRAUD Upon Superior Court, and 18 U.S.C. §§ 241 and 242 by them all willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, carelessly, wantonly, deliberately, fraudulently, and callously denied KING AROGANT a fair bail hearing by them all improperly influencing two judicial officers including Mark Windham to believe that KING AROGANT had criminally and recklessly placed racially animus and racially biased Brian MARK Rosenberg in sustained fear by sending him an electronic mail messages to County of Los Angeles DDA work email address of brosenberg@da.lacounty.gov on November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, June 8, 2024 at 8:03 AM, and June 8, 2024 at 8:34 AM, which was fraudulent information given to judicial officers Michael Danby and Mark Windham that improperly influenced them both to set KING AROGANT'S bail at between $ 30,000 and $ 35,000, requested during numerous criminal court proceedings that KING AROGANT'S bail be set at $ 60,000, instead of ordering that KING AROGANT be released on his own recognizance.

At the hearing on May 1, 2025, Superior Court of California judicial officer Mark Windham kept KING AROGANT'S bail set at $ 30,000 over the repeated and persistent courtroom interrupting objection of Willow Leah Rosenthal. Judge Windham set KING AROGANT'S filed Motion to Dismiss and Motion to Compel to be heard at his next court date on May 12, 2025.

On May 12. 2025 the People, County of Los Angeles, Nathan Joseph Hochman **(SBN # 139137)**, Willow Leah Rosenthal **(SBN # 345751)**, Scott Douglas Dominguez **(SBN # 228843)**, Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510)**, and their numerous other co-conspirator DDA's frivolous five-count criminal complaint case resumed inside Department 45 of the Clara Shortridge-Foltz Justice Center with the Honorable Mark Windham presiding over illegal case number **25CJCM02162-01**. Before the commencement of the court hearing, KING AROGANT filed a nearly 30-page Motion to Terminate People's frivolous criminal protective order. Prior to the commencement of the court hearing KING AROGANT filed a nearly one-hundred-and-sixty-page legal document titled "Second Verified Appendix of Exhibit, which included exculpatory physical evidence that proved by clear and convincing evidence the People, County of Los Angeles Nathan Joseph Hochman **(SBN # 139137)**, Willow Leah Rosenthal **(SBN # 345751)**, Scott Douglas Dominguez **(SBN # 228843)**, Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510)**, and their numerous other co-conspirator DDA's had all willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, carelessly, wantonly, deliberately, fraudulently, and callously presented false evidence and fabricated lies in a criminal Superior Court that KING AROGANT sent four Gmail electronic mail messages to lying and racially animus County of Los Angeles deputy district attorney Brian MARK Rosenberg on November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, June 8, 2024 at 8:03 AM, and June 8, 2024 at 8:34 AM. Prior to the commencement of KING AROGANT'S May 12, 2025, criminal court hearing, KING AROGANT electronically served and also served upon Nathan Joseph Hochman by FedEx overnight courier copies of November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, June 8, 2024 at 8:03 AM, and June 8, 2024 at 8:34 AM Gmail emails that were not sent to lying

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

and racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg's County of Los Angeles DA work email of brosenberg@dalacounty.gov.

Prior to the commencement of the court hearing KING AROGANT electronically served upon the People, County of Los Angeles Nathan Joseph Hochman **(SBN # 139137)**, Willow Leah Rosenthal **(SBN # 345751)**, Scott Douglas Dominguez **(SBN # 228843)**, Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510)**, and their numerous other co-conspirator DDA's a copy of a nearly twenty-minute-long video recording that proved by clear and convincing evidence that KING AROGANT never sent an electronic mail message to lying and racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg on November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, June 8, 2024 at 8:03 AM, and June 8, 2024 at 8:34 AM. Yet on May 12, 2025 the People, County of Los Angeles Nathan Joseph Hochman **(SBN # 139137)**, Willow Leah Rosenthal **(SBN # 345751)**, Scott Douglas Dominguez **(SBN # 228843)**, Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510)**, and their numerous other co-conspirator DDA's willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, carelessly, wantonly, deliberately, fraudulently, and callously failed to immediately file a motion or orally request that frivolous case 25CJCM02162-01 be immediately dismissed as to Counts 3, 4, and 5 (which pertained to lying Rosenberg only).

The RACIST, racially animus, racially hostile, and racially biased misconduct of numerous County of Los Angeles employees and criminal prosecutors in frivolous misdemeanor case 25CJCM02162 highlights eerily similar similarities of RACIST and frivolous felony case GA114055:

County of Los Angeles has a custom, policy, practice, tradition, and procedure of ignoring exculpatory evidence served upon it.

County of Los Angeles has a custom, policy, practice, tradition, and procedure of willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, wantonly, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously filing criminal charges based upon fabricated and falsified crime reports.

County of Los Angeles has a custom, policy, practice, tradition, and procedure of willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, wantonly, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously filing criminal charges without having a single piece of inculpatory evidence.

County of Los Angeles has a custom, policy, practice, tradition, and procedure of willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, wantonly, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously refusing to dismiss criminal charges after being served electronic or physical exculpatory evidence that no crime ever occurred.

County of Los Angeles has a custom, policy, practice, tradition, and procedure of willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, wantonly, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously filing false criminal charges that were wholly supported by a counterfeit, bogus, fabricated, forged, misleading, phony, shammed, unverified, unfiled, with Superior Court, and unauthorized certification of Probable Cause Determination (Declaration).

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

County of Los Angeles has a custom, policy, practice, tradition, and procedure of willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, wantonly, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously maliciously prosecuting frivolous criminal cases and charges that should have never been filed.

.

County of Los Angeles has a custom, policy, practice, tradition, and procedure of willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, wantonly, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously maliciously continuing to malicious prosecute frivolous criminal cases after being served exculpatory evidence that proved that no crime occurred.

County of Los Angeles has a custom, policy, practice, tradition, and procedure of willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, wantonly, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously condoning, tolerating, consenting to, approving, and allowing its deputy district attorneys to exhibit, show, and have EXTREME hostility and racial animus towards African-American/Black United States citizens.

Merriam-Webter's Dictionary of Law definition of animus is:
INTENT < discriminatory

Merriam-Webster's Dictionary of Law's definition of malicious prosecution: the tort of initiating a criminal prosecution or civil suit against another party with malice and without probable cause.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

The People, County of Los Angeles, Nathan Joseph Hochman **(SBN # 139137)**, Willow Leah Rosenthal **(SBN # 345751)**, Scott Douglas Dominguez **(SBN # 228843)**, Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510)**, and their numerous other co-conspiring deputy district attorneys have all shown an extreme amount of racial hostility and racial animus by them all willfully, recklessly, maliciously, carelessly, wantonly, deliberately, fraudulently, and callously continuing to maliciously prosecute Counts 3, 4, and 5 of the County of Los Angeles's frivolous, unconstitutional, illegal, illicit, and frivolous five-count criminal misdemeanor complaint after they were all served exculpatory evidence that KING AROGANT never emailed racially animus and racially hostile Brian MARK Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)** on November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, June 8, 2024 at 8:03 AM, and June 8, 2024 at 8:34 AM; which resulted in high bail, bogus conditions of release to not contact any County of Los Angeles District Attorney field office, bogus criminal protective orders that made it a crime for KING AROGANT to enter the premises of the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court between April 17, 2025 and June 25, 2025. The willful, reckless, malicious, careless, wanton, deliberate, fraudulent, and callous actions, misconduct, misdoings, misdeeds and transgressions done by The People, County of Los Angeles, Nathan Joseph Hochman **(SBN # 139137)**, Willow Leah Rosenthal **(SBN # 345751)**, Scott Douglas Dominguez **(SBN # 228843)**, Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510)**, and their numerous other co-conspiring deputy district attorneys between April 17, 2025 and June 25, 2025 caused KING AROGANT to lose his liberty for nearly sixty (60) non-consecutive days, to be falsely imprisoned in one of the worst County Jails in the United States, and by having his $ 30,000 bail excessively raised to $ 150,000 after The People, County of Los Angeles, Nathan Joseph Hochman **(SBN # 139137)**, Willow Leah

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Rosenthal **(SBN # 345751)**, Scott Douglas Dominguez **(SBN # 228843)**, Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510)**, and their numerous other co-conspiring deputy district attorneys willfully, recklessly, maliciously, carelessly, wantonly, deliberately, fraudulently, and callously failed to dismiss the frivolous Counts 3, 4, and 5 which would have resulted in KING AROGANT being released and kept on his current $ 30,000 bond.

At the court hearing on May 12, 2025 Superior Court of California criminal judicial officer Mark Windham on the Superior Court record admitted that he had received an electronic copy of KING AROGANT'S four Gmail electronic mail messages that proved by clear and convincing evidence that KING AROGANT never emailed racially animus and racially hostile Brian MARK Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)** on November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, June 8, 2024 at 8:03 AM, and June 8, 2024 at 8:34 AM; which resulted in high bail, bogus conditions of release to not contact any County of Los Angeles District Attorney field office, bogus criminal protective orders that made it a crime for KING AROGANT to enter the premises of the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court between April 17, 2025 and June 25, 2025. Mark Windham stated on the court record that KING AROGANT'S emails sent to him made him doubt KING AROGANT'S mental stability and ability to represent himself. Judge Mark Windham recklessly revoked KING AROGANT'S propria persona self-representation, recklessly and knowingly raised KING AROGANT'S bail from $ 30,000 to $ 150,000, and then ordered that KING AROGANT'S next court hearing be commenced at the Hollywood Superior Court on May 27, 2025. The Honorable Mark Windham willfully, recklessly, maliciously, carelessly, wantonly, deliberately, fraudulently, and callously violated his oath of office, and California Code of Judicial

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Ethics, Canon 1: A Judge Shall Uphold the Integrity and Independence of the Judiciary, Canon 2: A Judge Shall Avoid Impropriety and the Appearance of Impropriety in all of The Judge's Activities, and Canon 3: A Judge Shall Perform The Duties of Judicial Office Impartially, Competently, and Diligently by him recklessly failing to dismiss Counts 3, 4, and 5 of The People, County of Los Angeles, Nathan Joseph Hochman **(SBN # 139137)**, Willow Leah Rosenthal **(SBN # 345751)**, Scott Douglas Dominguez **(SBN # 228843)**, Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510)**, and their numerous other co-conspiring deputy district attorneys' frivolous five-count criminal misdemeanor complaint after Mark Windham was electronically served exculpatory evidence in the form of portable document file media that proved to him by clear and convincing evidence that KING AROGANT that KING AROGANT never emailed racially animus and racially hostile Brian MARK Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)** on November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, June 8, 2024 at 8:03 AM, and June 8, 2024 at 8:34 AM; which resulted in high bail, bogus conditions of release to not contact any County of Los Angeles District Attorney field office, bogus criminal protective orders that made it a crime for KING AROGANT to enter the premises of the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court between April 17, 2025 and June 25, 2025, and by criminal judicial officer Mark Windham recklessly stripping away KING AROGANT's liberty on May 12, 2025 after KING AROGANT violated a court order to not contact any deputy district attorneys by email that was based on fraud done recklessly by The People, County of Los Angeles, Nathan Joseph Hochman **(SBN # 139137)**, Willow Leah Rosenthal **(SBN # 345751)**, Scott Douglas Dominguez **(SBN # 228843)**, Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510)**, and their numerous other co-conspiring deputy district attorneys. The Superior Court order made by Mark Windham became moot after KING

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

AROGANT served upon The People, County of Los Angeles, Nathan Joseph Hochman **(SBN # 139137)**, Willow Leah Rosenthal **(SBN # 345751)**, Scott Douglas Dominguez **(SBN # 228843)**, Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510)**, their numerous other co-conspiring deputy district attorneys, and Superior Court of California judicial officer Mark Windham, exculpatory video recording and portable document file evidence that proved by clear and convincing evidence that KING AROGANT never emailed racially animus and racially hostile Brian MARK Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)** on November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, June 8, 2024 at 8:03 AM, and June 8, 2024 at 8:34 AM; which resulted in high bail, bogus conditions of release to not contact any County of Los Angeles District Attorney field office, bogus criminal protective orders that made it a crime for KING AROGANT to enter the premises of the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court between April 17, 2025 and June 25, 2025.

4. State of California and County of Los Angeles' Recklessness Resulted In KING AROGANT'S False Arrest and Malicious Prosecution

On April 17, 2025, at 3:20 PM, KING AROGANT and his fiancée, Alison Helen Fairchild, arrived at the Alhambra Superior Court to file a physical copy of KING AROGANT'S Motion to Demand Discovery and a partially completed 1473.6 motion. After KING AROGANT and Alison Helen Fairchild (hereinafter "Fairchild") had arrived, they immediately went upstairs to the second floor to file KING AROGANT'S criminal motions. From the time of KING AROGANT and Alison Helen Fairchild's arrival at the Superior Court of California, Alhambra Superior Court, County of Los

Angeles, overtime peace officer sergeant Christopher Barreras stood by the entire time and practically escorted KING AROGANT and Alison Helen Fairchild to the elevator. KING AROGANT and Alison Helen Fairchild never made any contact with the Alhambra DA office shortly after their time of arrival at the Alhambra Superior Court.

On April 17, 2025, at 3:50 PM, KING AROGANT and his fiancée, Alison Helen Fairchild finished filing KING AROGANT'S criminal motions with Alhambra Superior Court head administrator Lisa Jackson and then got back on the elevator to go to the first floor ot serve a conformed copy upon County of Los Angeles, District Attorney's Office, Alhambra Field Office. Upon arriving back downstairs on the first floor of the criminal courthouse city of Alhambra rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang **[hereinafter "Yang"]** was present along with two other peace officer detectives that had bullet proof vests that had the word POLICE in all caps emblazoned on them. Peace officer Yang told KING AROGANT he that DA office had been evacuated and that he was investigating possible restraining order violation. KING AROGANT immediately turned on his GoPro camera and begin recording rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang and his co-conspirator peace officers. Shortly thereafter, KING AROGANT slid copies of papers he had filed in the office of Lisa Jackson under the front door of County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office, Alhambra Field Office. After placing court papers under the front door of DA office KING AROGANT and Fairchild departed the Alhambra Superior Court criminal courthouse.

On April 17, 2025, at approximately 4:15 PM, KING AROGANT and his fiancée Alison Helen Fairchild arrived inside the Alhambra Public Library located at 101 S. 1st

Street, Alhambra, CA 91801. Soon after arriving KING AROGANT had a verbal altercation with a 30-something-year-old Hispanic patron. Who told KING AROGANT *"I was in the military, I will knock you out."* After KING AROGANT heard this criminal threat he followed this patron outside of the library. Both men squared but no actual fight occurred because the coward military man had his wife/girlfriend standing by outside the entire time. KING AROGANT walked back inside the library at this time and back on the third floor, where his woman, Fairchild, was waiting for him to return. Also, the rogue city of Alhambra peace officer Benjamin Yang was waiting for KING AROGANT to return. California peace officer Benjamin Yang gave KING AROGANT the opportunity to leave the library on his own free will. KING AROGANT protested that he had done nothing wrong in leaving the library, refused to leave, and was subsequently arrested for one count of misdemeanor trespass.

On April 17, 2025, at approximately 4:45 PM rogue city of Alhambra peace officer Benjamin Yang contacted dishonest, disgraceful, corrupt, and racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg on his personal mobile phone number. On the call, Brian MARK Rosenberg willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, and callously told rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang that KING AROGANT sent him electronic mail messages to brosenberg@da.lacounty.gov on November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, June 8, 2024 at 8:03 AM, and June 8, 2024 at 8:34 AM. At the time of making these false allegations, Brian MARK Rosenberg knew that he was willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, and callously violating California Penal Code § 148.5, 18 U.S.C. §§ 241, and 242, and California Government Code § 6200. For his part in the city of Alhambra, State of California, and County of Los

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Angeles's rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, and callously violated California Penal Code § 118.1, California Code of Regulations, Title 11, §§ 1013 and 1205, and 18 U.S.C. §§ 241, and 242 by outright lying, fabricating false evidence, and writing false statements that the four emails that KING AROGANT sent to Brian MARK Rosenberg brosenberg@da.lacounty.gov on November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, June 8, 2024 at 8:03 AM, and June 8, 2024 at 8:34 AM were attached to the end of his falsified and perjurious peace officer crime report. LIAR! No such emails were attached to rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang's falsified and fabricated police report. Further prove of this is the fact that Yang's entire peace officer crime report was a maximum of twelve (12) pages, while if emails were attached to the crime report, it would have been approximately one hundred pages.

On April 17, 2025, at approximately 6 PM, rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang arrested KING AROGANT for the following bogus charges

1. **Violation of felony parole supervision**
2. **Violation of California Penal Code § 602, subsection (o)**
3. **Violation of California Penal Code § 166 (turning on GoPro Camera inside (Alhambra Superior Court)**
4. **Violation of California Penal Code § 166 (Emailing Lying Brian Rosenberg)**

See now a true and correct copy of pages 6 and 7 of the city of Alhambra peace officer Benjamin Yang's fabricated, illicit, and illegal crime report attached to KING

AROGANT'S Verified Appendix of Exhibits and herein below:

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

V-Rosenburg was afraid for his safety and the safety of his family after seeing S-Hollywood at the courthouse even after being served the restraining order. Furthermore, S-Hollywood had already violated the restraining order on the following dates, times by sending e-mails to V-Rosenburg himself and his coworkers.

Email #1:
From: Arogant Hollywood <arogantmusicinc@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 13, 2023 1:19 AM
To: rulunn@lasd.org; atardy@lasd.org; bkhounthavong@lasd.org; rlewis@lasd.org; Brian Rosenberg <BRosenberg@da.lacounty.gov>; rakelrossi@gmail.com; rachel.rossi@usdoj.gov; AROGANTHOLLYWOODGENIUS@gmail.com
Subject: [External] DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, ROSSI, AND OTHER OFFICIALS WILL SEE TO IT THAT ROSENBERG AND KHOUNTHAVONG GO TO FEDERAL PRISION

Email #2:
From: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Date: Tue, 28 Nov 2023 12:02:40 -0800
Subject: AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. state of california, united states, county of los angeles, city of south pasadena, city of arcadia, et al [SECOND EMAIL] [REAL VIDEO THAT PROVES THAT I WAS FRAMED FOR VIOLATING CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE SECTION 422(a)
NOTE: the receiver list is too long to list. See copy of e-mail.

Email #3:
From: Cheryl Kaylor <sheriditrader@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 13, 2024 6:14 PM
Subject: [External] OFFICIAL COMPLAINT OF ALISON MILLER FAIRCHILD ON BEHALF OF AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. sherrif robert luna, et al
NOTE: the receiver list is too long to list. See copy of e-mail.

Email #4:
From: Arogant Hollywood <arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, June 8, 2024 8:41 AM
Subject: people v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA114055) & UPCOMING FIRST CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT TITLED ARGANT HOLLYWOOD v. judy whitehurst, et al [DEMAND PEOPLE AND LASD IMMEDIATELY TURN OVER PHONY LASD GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GL010586 & GL010587
NOTE: the receiver list is too long to list. See copy of e-mail.

Email #5:
From: Arogant Hollywood <causeofaction10@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, June 8, 2024 8:58 AM
Subject: people v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA114055) & UPCOMING FIRST CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT TITLED ARGANT HOLLYWOOD v. judy whitehurst, et al [DEMAND PEOPLE AND LASD IMMEDIATELY TURN OVER PHONY LASD GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GL010586 & GL010587 [I GOT A LOT OF DIFFER...
NOTE: the receiver list is too long to list. See copy of e-mail.

These e-mails were in direct violation of the restraining order served to S-Hollywood for the protective order stated the following verbatim "The restrained person must not contact the protected person(s) directly or indirectly, by any means including telephone, mail, email, or other electronic means - peaceful written contact through 3rd party for service of legal papers allowed."

CONCLUSION:
Based on the above, with the approval of Watch Commander Lt. Soriano, I placed

04-23W25

Copy Authorized Person

S-Hollywood, Arogant under arrest for the following:

166(a)(4) PC - Violating court order not to record within a courthouse pursuant to LA County Superior Court Local Rules 2.17(b) - Prohibiting any person(s) from recording or photographing in the courthouse or courtroom without express permission from the presiding judge.

166(a)(4) PC - Violating protective order by contacting V-Rosenberg directly and indirectly via email.

602(o)(2) PC Trespassing. Refusing to leave Alhambra Library upon the request of Library Director.

Along with an outstanding warrant 24PDCM0253401 for $1.00 for 7875(c)(7) municipal code.

After the booking process, I contacted LA County Parole whom granted the parole hold for violating parole, 3056 PC.

I authored a probable cause declaration which was granted by Honorable Judicial Officer S. Knight: 04-17-25; 1958 hours.

Follow-Up:
On Friday, 04-18-25, I called the Alhambra Courthouse and asked to speak to Building Administrator Lisa Jackson. She later returned my call with Director of Public Security Bryan Glass.

Lisa Jackson stated the following in summary: On Thursday, 04-17-25, sometime in the morning, S-Hollywood contacted the public line or administrative line and was transferred to Jackson. S-Hollywood called to meet with Jackson to file paperwork/motions to his case that V-Rosenburg was assigned to. Jackson spoke to Honorable Presiding Judge Villalobos who allowed Jackson to allow S-Hollywood to come and file motions to court. Jackson stated LASD should have acted as security for the DA Office.

This concluded the phone call. I later e-mail Jackson my contact information and the report number per her request. She never responded to my e-mail.

-End of Report-

Copy for Authorized Person

04/20/25

The above evidence will soon prove that KING AROGANT was falsely arrested and all previously accused State of California, and the County of Los Angeles employees are liable to him for damages!

On Monday, April 21, 2025, KING AROGANT was arraigned on the following frivolous and false charges:

Count 1: Violation of California Penal Code § 602, subsection (o)
Count 2: Violation of California Penal Code § 166, subsection (a)
Count 3: Violation of California Penal Code § 166, subsection (a)
Count 4: Violation of California Penal Code § 166, subsection (a)
Count 5: Violation of California Penal Code § 653m

See now a true and correct copy of pages 6 and 7 of the city of Alhambra peace officer Benjamin Yang's fabricated, illicit, and illegal crime report attached to KING AROGANT'S Verified Appendix of Exhibits and herein below:

See now a true and correct copy of pages 1, 2, and 3 of the city of Alhambra and County of Los Angeles's fabricated, illicit, and illegal misdemeanor criminal complaint attached to KING AROGANT'S Verified Appendix of Exhibits and herein below:

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff, v. **AROGANT HOLLYWOOD (DOB: 12/09/1978)** Defendant(s).	**CASE NO.** ***MISDEMEANOR COMPLAINT***

The undersigned is informed and believes that:

COUNT 1

On or about April 17, 2025, in the County of Los Angeles, the crime of TRESPASS AND REFUSING TO LEAVE PRIVATE PROPERTY, in violation of PENAL CODE SECTION 602(o), a Misdemeanor, was committed by AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, who did unlawfully commit a trespass by refusing and failing to leave land, real property, and structures belonging to and lawfully occupied by another and not open to the general public, upon being requested to leave by a peace officer and the owner, his/her agent, and the person in lawful possession thereof.

* * * * *

COUNT 2

On or about April 17, 2025, in the County of Los Angeles, the crime of DISOBEYING COURT ORDER, in violation of PENAL CODE SECTION 166(a)(4), a Misdemeanor, was committed by AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, who did unlawfully commit contempt of court by willful disobedience of a process and order lawfully issued by a court, to wit, California Rule of Court 2.17.

* * * * *

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

COUNT 3

On or about June 8, 2024, in the County of Los Angeles, the crime of DISOBEYING COURT ORDER. in violation of PENAL CODE SECTION 166(a)(4), a Misdemeanor, was committed by AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, who did unlawfully commit contempt of court by willful disobedience of a process and order lawfully issued by a court, to wit, Restraiining Order.

* * * * *

COUNT 4

On or about June 8, 2024, in the County of Los Angeles, the crime of DISOBEYING COURT ORDER, in violation of PENAL CODE SECTION 166(a)(4), a Misdemeanor, was committed by AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, who did unlawfully commit contempt of court by willful disobedience of a process and order lawfully issued by a court, to wit, Restraining Order.

* * * * *

COUNT 5

On or between June 8, 2024 and April 17, 2025, in the County of Los Angeles, the crime of ANNOYING TELEPHONE CALLS, in violation of PENAL CODE SECTION 653m(b), a Misdemeanor, was committed by AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, who did unlawfully, and with the intent to annoy and harass, make repeated telephone calls and repeated contact, and make any combination of calls and contact, to another person, to wit BRIAN ROSENBERG, ALHAMBRA PUBLIC LIBRARY, by means of an electronic communication device.

* * * * *

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

NOTICE: Conviction of this offense will require the defendant to provide DNA samples and print impressions pursuant to Penal Code sections 296 and 296.1 if the defendant has suffered a prior felony conviction. Willful refusal to provide the samples and impressions is a crime.

NOTICE: The People of the State of California intend to present evidence and seek jury findings regarding all applicable circumstances in aggravation, pursuant to Penal Code section 1170(b) and *Cunningham v. California* (2007) 549 U.S. 270.

NOTICE: A Suspected Child Abuse Report (SCAR) may have been generated within the meaning of Penal Code §§ 11166 and 11168 involving the charges alleged in this complaint. Dissemination of a SCAR is limited by Penal Code §§ 11167 and 11167.5 and a court order is required for full disclosure of the contents of a SCAR.

Further, attached hereto and incorporated herein are official reports and documents of a law enforcement agency which the undersigned believes establish probable cause for the arrest of defendant(s) AROGANT HOLLYWOOD for the above-listed crimes.

I DECLARE UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY THAT THE FOREGOING IS TRUE AND CORRECT AND THAT THIS COMPLAINT CONSISTS OF 5 COUNT(S).

Executed at LOS ANGELES, County of Los Angeles, on April 21, 2025.

BENJAMIN YANG
DECLARANT AND COMPLAINANT

NATHAN HOCHMAN, DISTRICT ATTORNEY ..... BY: ALLYSON KIMMEL, DEPUTY

AGENCY: ALHAMBRA PD I/O: BENJAMIN YANG ID NO.: 276 PHONE : [redacted]
DR NO.: 000000002514319 OPERATOR: RO

DEFENDANT	CII NO.	CITATION NO.	BOOKING NO.	BAIL RECOM'D	CUSTODY R'TN DATE
HOLLYWOOD, AROGANT	031858966				04/21/2025

Pursuant to Penal Code Section 1054.5(b), the People are hereby informally requesting that defense counsel provide discovery to the People as required by Penal Code Section 1054.3.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

At the rigged Superior Court hearing KING AROGANT was arraigned over his request to demurrer. KING AROGANT'S bail was set at $ 35,000 over the objection of the rogue DDA, who wanted KING AROGANT'S bail set at $ 65,000.

At KING AROGANT'S next court hearing on or about April 26, 2025, in frivolous criminal case 25CJCM02162-01, his case was transferred to the Honorable Mark Windham in Department 45. After this Superior Court hearing, KING AROGANT again argued for bail reduction, and Judge Curry lowered his bail to $ 30,000 from $ 35,000. At this Superior Court hearing, KING AROGANT requested that the People and Willow Leah Rosenthal turn over and disclose copies of emails that rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang had lied about being attached to his fabricated and perjurious crime report. KING AROGANT also requested a copy of Yang's Superior of California, County of Los Angeles, Probable Cause Determination (Declaration).


At KING AROGANT'S next court hearing on May 1, 2025, in a frivolous and racist misdemeanor criminal case 25CJCM02162-01, KING AROGANT filed a nearly twenty-page Motion to Dismiss detailing how there was no probable cause or evidence linking him to committing any of the five frivolous charges. Additionally, KING AROGANT filed a Motion to Compel Nathan Joseph Hochman, Scott Douglas Dominguez **(SBN # 228843), and Alexander Michael Karkanen (SBN # 162377) to turn over and disclose copies of** November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, June 8, 2024 at 8:03 AM, and June 8, 2024 at 8:34 AM rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang lied were attached to the end of his no more than twelve page crime report. KING AROGANT also requested in his timely filed Motion to Compel a copy of rogue peace officer Benjamin

Yang's alleged probable cause determination declaration. Just like in how frivolous criminal Superior Court of California case GA114055, former County of Los Angeles District Attorney George Gascón, Victor Manuel Rodriguez, Sharon Lee Woo, James William Garrison, Stephanie Pearl Mire, Brian MARK Rosenberg, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, and callously failed to turn over exculpatory and impeaching audio recording, 911 recording, and video recording evidence for several months. New County of Los Angeles District Attorney Nathan Joseph Hochman and his deputy district attorneys Alexander Michael Karkanen **(SBN # 162377)**, Scott Douglas Dominguez **(SBN # 228843)**, Willow Leah Rosenthal **(SBN # 345751),** DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators were all willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, and callously failed to turn over exculpatory probable cause paper form evidence, and emails paper form, which proved by clear and convincing evidence that the County of Los Angeles has always had a practice, policy, procedure, custom, and tradition of failing to turn over any evidence that could be used to exonerate KING AROGANT. On May 1, 2025, the Honorable Mark Windham set KING AROGANT'S next court date for May 12, 2025, and also set his Motion to Dismiss and Motion to Compel to be heard on that same date.

Between May 7, 2025 and May 12, 2025 KING AROGANT sent a series of emails to New County of Los Angeles District Attorney Nathan Joseph Hochman and his deputy district attorneys Alexander Michael Karkanen **(SBN # 162377)**, Scott Douglas Dominguez **(SBN # 228843)**, Willow Leah Rosenthal **(SBN # 345751),** DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators that proved by clear and convincing evidence that he never emailed lying Brian MARK Rosenberg on November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, June 8, 2024

at 8:03 AM, and on June 8, 2024, at 8:34 AM. In addition to the numerous emails that were sent to Nathan Joseph Hochman and his deputy district attorneys, Alexander Michael Karkanen **(SBN # 162377)**, Scott Douglas Dominguez **(SBN # 228843)**, Willow Leah Rosenthal **(SBN # 345751),** DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators. KING AROGANT also created a video recording on his computer that would prove by clear and convincing evidence that Brian MARK Rosenberg and rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, and callously violated California Penal Code § 118.1, 148.5, and 118; 18 U.S.C. §§ 241 and 242, and California Government Code § 6200 by filing a false police report that KING AROGANT had sent emails to lying and racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg on November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, June 8, 2024, at 8:03 AM, and June 8, 2024, at 8:34 AM and that those emails were attached at the end of Benjamin Yang's falsified and fabricated crime report. A Gmail electronic message sent to Nathan Joseph Hochman and his deputy district attorneys Alexander Michael Karkanen **(SBN # 162377)**, Scott Douglas Dominguez **(SBN # 228843)**, Willow Leah Rosenthal **(SBN # 345751),** DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators included the email messages from November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, June 8, 2024, at 8:03 AM, and June 8, 2024, at 8:34 AM.


See now below a true and correct copy of KING AROGANT'S email sent to Nathan Joseph Hochman and his deputy district attorneys, Alexander Michael Karkanen **(SBN # 162377)**, Scott Douglas Dominguez **(SBN # 228843)**, Willow Leah Rosenthal **(SBN # 345751),** DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators on May 9, 2025, at 5:39 PM, attached to KING AROGANT'S Verified Appendix of Exhibits and herein below:


https://mail.google.com/mail/u/1/#search/hochman+/KtbxLxgKKHSNrBnxjslrwDgIqZkMzqvkTg
Arogant Hollywood
AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq.
1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD.
PASADENA, CA 91106
Mobile: 206.471.1344
aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
OF HIS OWN COUNSEL
3-D On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted
Spirit of Esquire
BLACK TRADE KING
FUTURE KING OF ENTERTAINMENT
BLACK DERIVATIVES KING
AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, ESQ
King
Arogant
Heat watch
Just issued
Search
4:18 PM
8/18/2025


Attached hereto is a true and correct copy of the GoPro recording YouTube channel page of video recordings that shows in detail for nearly twenty-one minutes that no Gmail emails dated for November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, June 8, 2024, at 8:03 AM, and June 8, 2024, at 8:34 AM were ever sent to "Brian Rosenberg." Attached to KING AROGANT'S Verified Appendix of Exhibits and below herein:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SdPpaoe9QTo

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344



Above, you can clearly see KING AROGANT searches on his Adobe Acrobat Pro for Brian Rosenberg's email of brosenberg@da.lacounty.gov, and no matches are found for the February 13, 2024, email, he lied under penalty of perjury that KING AROGANT sent him. [Note: This GoPro video recording was also presented at KING AROGANT'S illegal and unconstitutional hearing on workplace violence petition hearing held on August 19, 2025, and was recklessly objected to by rogue and racially animus County of Los Angeles attorney Vanessa Ann Evanglista (SBN # 238474).



Above, you can clearly see KING AROGANT searches on his Adobe Acrobat Pro for Brian Rosenberg's email of brosenberg@da.lacounty.gov, and no matches are found for June 8, 2024, at 8:03 AM email, Brian MARK Rosenberg lied under penalty of perjury that KING AROGANT sent him.

[Note this GoPro video recording was also presented at KING AROGANT'S illegal and unconstitutional hearing on the workplace violence petition hearing held on August 21, 2025, and recklessly objected to by the rogue and racially animus County of Los Angeles attorney Vanessa Ann Evanglista (SBN # 238474).

Despite all this clear and convincing evidence being served upon them, Nathan Joseph Hochman and his deputy district attorneys, Alexander Michael Karkanen **(SBN # 162377)**, Scott Douglas Dominguez **(SBN # 228843)**, Willow Leah Rosenthal **(SBN # 345751),** DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators continued willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, and callously refused to dismiss frivolous misdemeanor case 25CJCM02162-01.


On May 12, 2025, KING AROGANT filed a nearly one-hundred and fifty-page Second Verified Appendix of Exhibits that not only included all four November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, June 8, 2024, at 8:03 AM, and June 8, 2024, at 8:34 AM emails he never received, but also included a YouTube website link to KING AROGANT'S nearly twenty-one-minute video recording that proved by clear and convincing evidence that KING AROGANT never emailed, lying and racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg on November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, June 8, 2024, at 8:03 AM, and June 8, 2024, at 8:34 AM. All this exculpatory paper form and link to electronic evidence was filed in the Superior Court of California, Clara Shortridge-Foltz Criminal Justice Center, located in downtown Los Angeles. For which the 17th floor of the courthouse serves as an exclusive executive branch operation of the County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office .

On May 12, 2025, May 27, 2025, and June 10, 2025, KING AROGANT'S racist criminal case and charges were continued by the Superior Court.

On June 25, 2025, the Superior Court had had enough of Nathan Joseph Hochman and his deputy district attorneys, Alexander Michael Karkanen (SBN # 162377), Scott Douglas Dominguez (SBN # 228843), Willow Leah Rosenthal (SBN # 345751), DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators failing to turn over discovery, and thus, granted KING AROGANT'S nearly twenty-page Motion to Dismiss filed on May 12, 2025, that had laid out detailed grounds as to why Hochman's five-count complaint was illegally filed without any probable cause or evidence whatsoever. Nathan Joseph Hochman and his deputy district attorneys, Alexander Michael Karkanen (SBN # 162377), Scott Douglas Dominguez (SBN # 228843), Willow Leah Rosenthal (SBN # 345751), DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators, involuntarily assisted KING AROGANT with easily winning his motion to dismiss by, after a month of KING AROGANT filing it on May 1, 2025, never bothered to file an opposition to it! While the County of Los Angeles continues to deceive this Superior Court with the filing of its frivolous five-count misdemeanor complaint. KING AROGANT'S clear and convincing evidence below shows by clear and convincing evidence that County of Los Angeles, Nathan Joseph Hochman and his deputy district attorneys, Alexander Michael Karkanen (SBN # 162377), Scott Douglas Dominguez (SBN # 228843), Willow Leah Rosenthal (SBN # 345751), DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators five-count frivolous misdemeanor complaint was dismissed, which is an actual fact County of Los Angeles and its dishonest attorney Vanessa Ann Evangelista (SBN # 238474) failed to disclose in open Superior Court hearings in this Superior Court on June 30, 2025, and July 15, 2025.

See attached to KING AROGANT's Verified Appendix of Exhibits and attached herein and below a true and correct copy of the County of Los Angeles's frivolous five-count criminal complaint that they have been boasting about Mindlessly in frivolous case **25STRO03574.**

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344



AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

5. Cynthia Michiko Nakao (SBN # 137510) Willfully, Recklessly, Wantonly, Maliciously, Knowingly, Deliberately, and Callously Violated California Penal Code § 118, California Government Code Section 6200, California Business & Professions Code § 6068, State Bar of California Rules of Professional Conduct, Rules 3.1 and 3.3, By Fabricating Lies and Committing Perjury To Aid and Boast the County of Los Angeles's Conspiracy to Prevent KING AROGANT From Entering Alhambra Superior Courthouse

2. PERJURIOUS AND LYING DECLARATION OF CYNTHIA NAKAO

In her perjurious declaration, Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510)** willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously violated California Penal Code § 118, California Government Code Section 6200, California Business & Professions Code § 6068, State Bar of California Rules of Professional Conduct, Rules 3.1 and 3.3, by fabricating lies and committing perjury to aid and boast the County of Los Angeles's conspiracy to prevent KING AROGANT from entering the Alhambra Superior Courthouse by telling Stanley Mosk Superior Court the following in her early June 2025 perjurious declaration:

> Despite the issuance of the Rosenberg Restraining Order, on February 7, 2025, Mr. Hollywood came to the Alhambra Office, where Mr. Hollywood is aware that Mr. Rosenberg works. Luckily, Mr. Rosenberg was not at the Alhambra Office at the time that Mr. Hollywood came in. Mr. Hollywood approached the front desk to file documents. The front desk advised Mr. Hollywood tha1 he cannot file documents at the Alhambra Office. **Mr.** Hollywood refused to listen and while armed with scissors, he became aggressive, belligerent and argumentative with the staff. He expressed his anger and frustration that the staff could not file his court documents. and he aggressively shoved paperwork through the mail slot of the reception window. During this Incident, Mr. Hollywood threatened to sue Mr. Rosenberg because "he took twelve months of my life." As a result of Mr. Hollywood's harassing and disturbing conduct, law enforcement was called.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

In a nearly seventeen-minute GoPro video recorded on February 4, 2025, KING AROGANT is never seen wielding scissors. Cynthia Nakao got the date wrong and also what happened on February 4, 2025, lying in her declaration that KING AROGANT was wielding scissors inside the Alhambra DA office on February 7, 2025. Interesting, so how did KING AROGANT get past County of Los Angeles court security and peace officers with scissors? Cynthia Nakao is a damn LIAR! See now a true and correct copy of KING AROGANT'S seventeen-minute GoPro video recording proving that Cynthia Nakao willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, deliberately, fraudulently, and callously lied in her declaration that KING AROGANT was wielding scissors in his hands during his February 4, 2025, County of Los Angeles Alhambra DA office visit attached herein below:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=l9DqwQWLgfU

The above YouTube video recording proves by clear and convincing evidence that KING AROGANT never engaged in any course of conduct with any County of Los Angeles employee on February 4, 2025, during his DA visit at 150 West Commonwealth Avenue, Alhambra, CA 91801, First Floor, County of Los Angeles Attorney's Office, Alhambra Field Office.

The above YouTube video recording proves by clear and convincing evidence that Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510) is not entitled to any shape, form, or type of prosecutorial or judicial immunity.**

See now attached to KING AROGANT'S Verified Appendix of Exhibits and below herein a true and correct copy of lying Cynthia Nakao's declaration, at page 1.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

DECLARATION OF CYNTHIA NAKAO

I, Cynthia Nakao, declare as follows:

1. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein, except as to those stated on information and belief and, as to those, I am informed and believe them to be true. If called as a witness, I could and would competently testify to the matters stated therein.

2. I am a Deputy District Attorney for the Los Angeles District Attorney's ("LADA") Office and am the Head Deputy for the Alhambra Office of the LADA's Office ("Alhambra Office").

3. On or about December 20, 2023, a court issued a Civil Harassment Restraining Order ("Rosenberg Restraining Order"), effective until December 2, 2026, against Arrogant Hollywood, which prevented him from harassing, intimidating, stalking, threatening, assaulting, or disturbing the peace of Deputy District Attorney Brian Rosenberg. Mr. Rosenberg continues to work at the Alhambra Office. The Civil Harassment Restraining Order also restricts Mr. Hollywood from directly or indirectly contacting Mr. Rosenberg, and orders him to stay at least 100 yards away from Mr. Rosenberg. A true and correct copy of the Rosenberg Restraining Order is attached hereto as **Exhibit 1.**

4. Despite the issuance of the Rosenberg Restraining Order, on February 7, 2025, Mr. Hollywood came to the Alhambra Office, where Mr. Hollywood is aware that Mr. Rosenberg works. Luckily, Mr. Rosenberg was not at the Alhambra Office at the time that Mr. Hollywood came in. Mr. Hollywood approached the front desk to file documents. The front desk advised Mr. Hollywood that he cannot file documents at the Alhambra Office. Mr. Hollywood refused to listen and while armed with scissors, he became aggressive, belligerent and argumentative with the staff. He expressed his anger and frustration that the staff could not file his court documents, and he aggressively shoved paperwork through the mail slot of the reception window. During this incident, Mr. Hollywood threatened to sue Mr. Rosenberg because "he took twelve months of my life." As a result of Mr. Hollywood's harassing and disturbing conduct, law enforcement was called.

HOA 104302722 1

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

In her perjurious declaration lying and racially animus, County of Los Angeles deputy district attorney Cynthia Nakao lied under oath by stating the following:

As a result of Mr. Hollywood's harassing and disturbing conduct, law enforcement was called. Id. at paragraph 4.

On August 21, 2025, at approximately 3 PM, KING AROGANT spoke personally, to the City of Alhambra police department Records Division, Traci Lopez by dialing (626) 570-5151. Ms. Traci Lopez told KING AROGANT that the city of Alhambra police department received no calls from 150 Commonwealth Avenue Alhambra, CA 91801 on the following dates:

February 4, 2025

February 7, 2025

March 20, 2025, or any other date in March 2025

In March 2022, former assistant head deputy in charge, Maria Elena Ramirez (SBN # 150010) and former head deputy in charge Victor Manuel Rodriguez (SBN # 156150) both filed a joint lawsuit against the former County of Los Angeles District Attorney George Gascôn. Thus, there is no way with Rodriguez suing Gascon that he would promote him to headquarters. Current County of Los Angeles District Attorney Nathan Joseph Hochman assumed office on December 3, 2024, and promoted former Alhambra head deputy district attorney Victor Manuel Rodriguez to the headquarters shortly after taking office. Assuming that even if Nathan Joseph Hochman promoted Victor Rodriguez, on his first day of office on December 3, 2024, this would mean that lying and racially animus, Cynthia Nakao would have assumed office no more than sixty days from when KING AROGANT arrived at her DA office on February 4, 2025,

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

to serve a copy of the video recording and audio recording evidence upon her office that could be used to exonerate him! Cynthia Nakao has never met KING AROGANT and does not know him, and she has never had a single confrontation with him. Yet, Cynthia Nakao has so much racial animus towards KING AROGANT that after less than sixty days at her new job assignment, she is recklessly violating California Penal Code § 118 by lying on declaration that on February 7, 2025 KING AROGANT was terrorizing her DA office armed with could be lethal scissors and because of KING AROGANT'S violent actions law enforcement was called while she knew it was a lie and that no one from Alhambra DA office called law enforcement called police on KING AROGANT on any day of February 2025 as proved by clear and convincing evidence by city of Alhambra police department records. LIARS! The shocking and unnecessary racially hostile and racially animus actions of the current County of Los Angeles DDA 5 and the deputy in charge Cynthia Nakao prove by clear and convincing evidence that pursuant to the California Racial Justice Act of 2020, codified at California Penal Code § 745 KING AROGANT'S wrongful felony conviction must be immediately vacated.

A certified copy of said police records proving that racially animus and racially hostile County of Los Angeles deputy district attorney Cynthia Michiko Nakao (SBN # 137510) willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, deliberately, fraudulently, and callously make intentionally false statements in her declaration that one KING AROGANT was armed with scissors and (2) that a natural person working at the County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office, Alhambra Field office on February 7, 2025, called law enforcement on KING AROGANT due to his disruptive and aggressive behavior, which was reckless under color of law, DECEIT, and

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

recklessly under color of law, FRAUD was committed by Nakao, tricking, fooling, manipulating and otherwise deceiving a Superior Court of California civil court to foolishly believe that law enforcement was called on February 7, 2025, because KING AROGANT was brandishing scissors, which, under color of law, FRAUD, and under color of law FRAUD UPON SUPERIOR COURT done by Nakao, Alexander Michael Karkanen **(SBN # 162377)**, Scott Douglas Dominguez **(SBN # 228843)**, Willow Leah Rosenthal **(SBN # 345751), James William Garrison (SBN # 157070), Steven Ian Katz (SBN # 145416), Mary Anne Murray (SBN # 185518),** DOES 1-10, Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280), Victor Manuel Rodriguez (SBN # 156150)** and their co-conspirators by them doing, by them manipulating, by them employing, and by them engaging in otherwise illusory illegal acts of deceit, deception, corruption, and concealment so that they could all gain an unfair, illegal, unlawful, and unconstitutional advantage over KING AROGANT by preventing him from entering the Alhambra Superior Court to file his criminal papers and serve upon the County of Los Angeles Alhambra DA office, Brian MARK Rosenberg, and Cynthia Nakao GoPro video recording evidence GX010586, GX010587, and GX010588 and audio recording evidence proving that County of Los Angeles deputy district attorneys Victor Rodriguez and Cindy Park willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, deliberately, fraudulently, and callously **allowed state government witness Thomas Guzman-Sanchez to testify falsely that KING AROGANT made a criminal threat to him on October 22, 2023, which resulted in KING AROGANT'S felony probation being wrongfully terminated and him sent to prison for a crime he was recklessly framed for by numerous County of Los Angeles employees.**

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Cynthia Nakao's perjurious declaration highlights the widespread corruption, racially animus, racially hostile, and racially biased, numerous County of Los Angeles' criminal prosecutors have shown they have towards KING AROGANT in extreme amounts of racism that have resulted in KING AROGANT being continuously denied due process, the presentation and admittance of false evidence in both civil and criminal Superior Courts, and on and on and on.

Shown on KING AROGANT'S GoPro video recording from February 4, 2025, is County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office employee Vrejouie Isaghoulian **(also known as "Simply Vee")** is Vee telling KING AROGANT the following as he personally serves criminal evidence in the form of SanDisk USB flash drive GoPro video recordings GX010586, GX010587, and GX010588 and racially animus Thomas Guzman-Sanchez's audio recording:

"We cant accept these at our office, you will have to take them downtown."

In fact, when County of Los Angeles peace officers went to Alhambra DA office in late August 2025 to serve Vrejouie Isaghoulian, who, other than County of Los Angeles deputy Augilar and office employee Helen were the only eyewitnesses to what occurred on February 4, 2025, someone at the Alhambra DA office told the County of Los Angeles peace officers to serve the subpoena in downtown Los Angeles. The County of Los Angeles knew that Cynthia Nakao and Brian MARK Rosenberg were not only lying about what occurred on various dates, but also that neither of them was present on February 4, 2025, March 20, 2025, or April 17, 2025. See below, now a true and correct

copy of Vrejouie Isaghoulian subpoena and the County of Los Angeles peace officer proof of service that details how, while Vrejouie Isaghoulian herself could have accepted her own subpoena, Cynthia Nakao instructed employees not to accept a Vrejouie Isaghoulian subpoena from the County of Los Angeles peace officers which was reckless under color of law DECEIT and recklessly under color of law FRAUD done by Nakao, tricking, fooling, manipulating, and otherwise deceiving a Superior Court of California civil court to foolishly believe that law enforcement was called on February 7, 2025, because KING AROGANT was brandishing scissors, which under color of law, FRAUD, and under color of law, FRAUD UPON SUPERIOR COURT done by Nakao, Alexander Michael Karkanen **(SBN # 162377)**, Scott Douglas Dominguez **(SBN # 228843)**, Willow Leah Rosenthal **(SBN # 345751), James William Garrison (SBN # 157070), Steven Ian Katz (SBN # 145416), Mary Anne Murray (SBN # 185518),** DOES 1-10, Janis Eve Johnson **(SBN # 175280), Victor Manuel Rodriguez (SBN # 156150)** and their co-conspirators by them doing, by them manipulating, by them employing, and by them engaging in otherwise illusory illegal acts of deceit, deception, corruption, and concealment so that they could all gain an unfair, illegal, unlawful, and unconstitutional advantage over KING AROGANT by preventing KING AROGANT from obtaining favorable testimony evidence that he had done nothing wrong on February 4, 2025, and never engaged in the outlandish and downright ridiculous conduct alleged by lying and racially animus County of Los Angeles employees Brian MARK Rosenberg, Cynthia Nakao, and Vanessa Ann Evangelista.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

HOLLYWOOD, AROGANT

1308 E COLORADO BL
PASADENA, CA 91106
(206)471-1344

~~L.A SUPERIOR COURT STANLEY MOSK 19100~~
111 N HILL ST. Branch: 33
LOS ANGELES, CA 90012

Case Number/25STR003574

Plaintiff: COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES NOT FOUND/NO SERVICE/CANCELED
Defendant: HOLLYWOOD, AROGANT

1. At the time of attempted service, I was at least 18 years of age and not a party to this action, and WAS UNABLE TO SERVE COPIES OF THE:
SUBPENA (CIVIL)

2. Party Attempted To Be Served:
ISAGHOULIAN, VREJOUHIE

Address Attempted:
150 W COMMONWEALTH AVE, 1ST FL
ALHAMBRA, CA 91801

Reason For Non-Service:

PER POLICY OF DISCTRICT ATTORNEY OFFICE SEND TO: 211 W. TEMPLE ST. ~~UNIT 1200 LOS ANGELES,~~ CA 90012 ~~ATTN: JANET QUINTERO~~

Small Claims Actions:
If Not Found Fees are charged, you should file this notice with the Court Clerk before any hearing is held to recover costs incurred.

Unpaid Sheriff's costs per fee waiver GC68511.3 Court Rule 985: 50.00

Deputy ID: E471783
Deputy ERNIE ESTRADA
SHERIFF'S OFFICE
11234 E. VALLEY BLVD ROOM 114
EL MONTE, CA 91731
(626)-575-4180

I am a California Sheriff. I certify that the foregoing is true & correct.

Date: 08/04/25
Br.: 33 33

Robert G. Luna, Sheriff

By: _____
E. ESTRADA, Deputy

HOLLYWOOD, AROGANT

1308 E COLORADO BL
PASADENA, CA 91106
(206)471-1344

L.A SUPERIOR COURT STANLEY MOSK 19100
111 N HILL ST. Branch: 33
LOS ANGELES, CA 90012

Case Number/25STRO03574

Plaintiff: COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES NOT FOUND/NO SERVICE/CANCELED
Defendant: HOLLYWOOD, AROGANT

Declaration of Due Diligence: The following attempts were made:

	Date	Time	Address
1	08/04/25	09:50 AM	150 W COMMONWEALTH AVE, 1ST FL ALHAMBRA, CA 91801

COMM: PER POLICY OF DISCTRICT ATTORNEY OFFICE SEND TO: 211 W. TEMPLE ST. UNIT 1 200 LOS ANGELES, CA 90012 ATTN: JANET QUINTERO

Deputy ID: E471783
Deputy ERNIE ESTRADA
SHERIFF'S OFFICE
11234 E. VALLEY BLVD ROOM 114
EL MONTE, CA 91731
(626)-575-4180

I am a California Sheriff. I certify that the foregoing is true & correct.

Robert G. Luna, Sheriff

Date: 08/04/25
Br.: 33 33

By: _____
E. ESTRADA, Deputy

SUBP-001

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address):	FOR COURT USE ONLY
AROGANT HOLLYWOOD 1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD. PASADENA,, CA 91106 arogantholllywoodgenius@gmail.com TELEPHONE NO.: 206.471.1344 FAX NO.: ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Respondent in Propria Persona	
NAME OF COURT: COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT STREET ADDRESS: 111 N. HILL STREET MAILING ADDRESS: CITY AND ZIP CODE: LOS ANGELES, 90012 BRANCH NAME: STANLEY MOSK SUPERIOR COURT	
PLAINTIFF/ PETITIONER: county of los angeles, et al DEFENDANT/ RESPONDENT: AROGANT HOLLYWOOD	
CIVIL SUBPOENA **For Personal Appearance at Trial or Hearing**	CASE NUMBER: 25STRO03574

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, TO *(name, address, and telephone number of witness, if known):*
VREJOUHIE ISAGHOULIAN
150 WEST COMMONWEALTH AVENUE
ALHAMBRA, CA 91801

1. **YOU ARE ORDERED TO APPEAR AS A WITNESS in this action at the date, time, and place shown in the box below UNLESS you make an agreement with the person named in item 2:**

a. Date: AUGUST 14, 2025 Time: 8:30 AM [x] Dept.: 25 [ ] Div.: [x] Room: 317
b. Address: 111 NORTH HILL STREET
LOS ANGELES, CA 90012

2. **IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS ABOUT THE TIME OR DATE FOR YOU TO APPEAR, OR IF YOU WANT TO BE CERTAIN THAT YOUR PRESENCE IS REQUIRED, CONTACT THE FOLLOWING PERSON BEFORE THE DATE ON WHICH YOU ARE TO APPEAR:**
a. Name of subpoenaing party or attorney: AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
b. Telephone number: 206.471.1344

3. **Witness Fees:** You are entitled to witness fees and mileage actually traveled both ways, as provided by law, if you request them at the time of service. You may request them before your scheduled appearance from the person named in item 2.

DISOBEDIENCE OF THIS SUBPOENA MAY BE PUNISHED AS CONTEMPT BY THIS COURT. YOU WILL ALSO BE LIABLE FOR THE SUM OF FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS AND ALL DAMAGES RESULTING FROM YOUR FAILURE TO OBEY.

Date issued: JUL 25 2025

P. Mata
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

P. Mata
(SIGNATURE OF PERSON ISSUING SUBPOENA)

CSA III
(TITLE)

David W. Slayton

Requests for Accommodations
Assistive listening systems, computer-assisted real-time captioning, or sign language interpreter services are available if you ask at least 5 days before the date on which you are to appear. Contact the clerk's office or go to *www.courtinfo.ca.gov/forms* for *Request for Accommodations by Persons With Disabilities and Order* (form MC-410). (Civil Code, § 54.8.)

(Proof of service on reverse)

Page 1 of 2

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use
Judicial Council of California
SUBP-001 [Rev. January 1, 2007]


CIVIL SUBPOENA FOR PERSONAL APPEARANCE AT TRIAL OR HEARING


Code of Civil Procedure, §§ 1985,1986,1987
www.courts.ca.gov

In


County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office employee with the name Janet A. Quintero is not an attorney, and Alhambra DA employees speaking with County of Los Angeles peace officer Ernie Estrada lied to him that such an employee had Instructed Cynthia Nakao's office not to accept a subpoena for an employee witness they all knew was present on February 4, 2025. Not only is Janet A. Quintero is nothing more than a legal assistant, she is also not an attorney! See now below, clear and convincing evidence that Janet A. Quintero did not have the authority to make DA office subpoena policies that instructed process servers to take the Alhambra DA employee subpoenas to downtown Los Angeles and serve attached to KING AROGANT'S Verified Appendix of Exhibits and below herein.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344


https://transparentcalifornia.com/salaries/2024/los-angeles-county/janet-a-quintero/
TRANSPARENT CALIFORNIA
California's largest public pay and pension database
Stay updated by joining our mailing list! | Ad free browsing | Login
Home / Counties / 2024 / Los Angeles County / Janet A Quintero
Janet A Quintero
Supvg Legal Office Support Asst. (2024)
Regular pay: $83,875.00
Overtime pay: $0.00
Other pay: $4,002.00
Total pay: $87,877.00
Benefits: $48,336.00
Total pay & benefits: $136,213.00
Share: Tweet
© 2025 Transparent California
About | Contact | Blog | Disclaimer | Privacy Policy | FAQ | Donate | Login
Search
1:55 PM
8/23/2025



AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344


https://www.linkedin.com/in/janet-quintero-69664490/
Search
Home
My Network
Jobs
Messaging
Notifications
Me
For Business
Learning
Janet Quintero
Legal Assistant at Los Angeles District Attorney's Office
Downey, California, United States · Contact info
12 connections
Connect
Message
More
Los Angeles District Attorney's Office
Activity
12 followers
Janet hasn't posted yet
Recent posts Janet shares will be displayed here.
Show all activity →
Experience
More profiles for you
If you don't want the extension to automatically roll over, just uncheck the box!
SignalHire
Auto open
Enable notifications
Pin on websites
Welcome to SignalHire!
SignalHire is a great way to find contact information in a couple of clicks
Sign in
OR
Create an account
Jacqueline Marquez · 3rd+
Bilingual Fraud Specialist at JP Morgan Chase ...
Connect
Korina Salas · 3rd+
Project Supervisor at HED
Connect
Mariam Zulfiqar · 3rd+
LinkedIn
Messaging
Search
2:13 PM
8/23/2025

What a JOKE! County of Los Angeles employee Janet Quintero is in the same identical employee position as Vrejouie Isaghoulian, working at a different County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office. Janet Quintero earns 100k a year, while DDA4 and DDA5 employees make $ 250,000 a year before benefits and overtime pay. Yet, County of Los Angeles peace officer Ernie Estrada was tricked, fooled, lied to, and manipulated into believing the low-level employee, Janet Quintero, was creating a subpoena policy for nearly thirty-four County of Los Angeles DA offices.

It is very disturbing for any federal or California state Superior Court to see how County of Los Angeles Alhambra DA office employees would lie, deceive, trick, fool, and manipulate a County of Los Angeles peace officer in the exact same manner numerous County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors and peace officers have all willfully, recklessly, maliciously, wantonly, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, deliberately, fraudulently, and callously refused to turn over exculpatory evidence, concealed, tainted, and manipulated exculpatory evidence, concealed impeaching phony GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 since February 22, 2023, and failed to turn over phony GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 to racially animus Thomas Guzman-Sanchez, created, fabricated, and false testimony regarding an imaginary knife incident, GoPro video recordings depicting and displaying KING AROGANT wearing different clothing than he had on February 21, 2023, and on and on.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

If County of Los Angeles employees would lie, deceive, trick, fool, and manipulate a peace officer to gain an unfair advantage over KING AROGANT in a civil Superior Court, surely, County of Los Angeles employees and peace officers would lie, deceive, trick, fool, and manipulate KING AROGANT, a criminal Superior Court, court-appointed attorneys, court-appointed private investigators, and court-appointed expert witnesses to gain an they could gain an unfair, illegal, unlawful, and unconstitutional advantage over KING AROGANT.

B. PERJURIOUS DECLARATION OF LYING BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG

On page one of his perjurious and fabricated May 27, 2025 written declaration that was recklessly filed in the Superior Court twice in June 2025 racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg writes intentionally false statements by typing the following:

Mr. Hollywood sent me an email on November 23, 2023, wherein he threatened to send me to prison for prosecuting him. This email communication was received almost immediately upon his release from custody.

See now a true and correct copy of lying Brian MARK Rosenberg's declaration at page one attached to KING AROGANT'S Verified Appendix of Exhibits and below herein.

DECLARATION OF BRIAN ROSENBERG

I, Brian Rosenberg, declare as follows:

1. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein, except as to those stated on information and belief and, as to those, I am informed and believe them to be true. If called as a witness, I could and would competently testify to the matters stated therein.

2. I am a Deputy District Attorney for the Los Angeles District Attorney's (LADA) Office and work at the Alhambra Branch Office ("Alhambra Office").

3. I was initially the handling prosecutor in the criminal case, *People v. Arrogant Hollywood* (Case No. GA114055). This criminal case was resolved on October 17, 2023 as Mr. Hollywood pleaded no contest to the charge of Penal Code section 422 and was placed on formal probation.

4. During the course of that prosecution, Arrogant Hollywood regularly harassed and threatened me. He threatened to sue me in open court numerous times, called me racist, and harassed me with numerous phone calls on my work line. In addition, he threatened to find my family and sue them in every state he could in order to make me pay for prosecuting him. It came to my attention that he made a similar threat to a previously assigned Deputy District Attorney and followed through by finding her elderly father and sending her that information.

5. Mr. Hollywood sent me an email on November 23, 2023, wherein he threatened to send me to prison for prosecuting him. This email communication was received almost immediately upon his release from custody.

6. Due to Mr. Hollywood's harassing behavior, a court issued a Civil Harassment Restraining Order (hereinafter "Rosenberg Restraining Order"), effective until December 2, 2026, against Arrogant Hollywood preventing him from harassing, intimidating, stalking, threatening, assaulting, or disturbing my peace. The Rosenberg Restraining Order also restricts Arrogant Hollywood from directly or indirectly contacting me, and orders him to stay at least 100 yards away from me. A true and correct copy of the Rosenberg Restraining Order is attached hereto as **Exhibit 1.**

Racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg knew he was lying in his declaration because on three separate occasions, he stated that KING AROGANT emailed him on October 13, 2023. Further, on two separate occasions, racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg submitted copies of the October 13, 2023, email to either law enforcement or the Superior Court. Lying Rosenberg prior to his perjurious May 2025 declaration never alleged that KING AROGANT had contacted him on any date of October 23, 2023.

See below, attached to KING AROGANT'S Verified Appendix of Exhibits, and below herein a true and correct copy of page 6 of 10 of rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang's falsified and fabricated crime report, lying Rosenberg's 23PDRO01713 Attachment page and images from lying Rosenberg's November 13, 2023 email. Which until this very day remains the only email KING AROGANT has ever sent lying Brian MARK Rosenberg.

V-Rosenburg was afraid for his safety and the safety of his family after seeing S-Hollywood at the courthouse even after being served the restraining order. Furthermore, S-Hollywood had already violated the restraining order on the following dates, times by sending e-mails to V-Rosenburg himself and his coworkers.

Email #1:
From: Arogant Hollywood <arogantmusicinc@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 13, 2023 1:19 AM
To: rulunn@lasd.org; atardy@lasd.org; bkhounthavong@lasd.org; rlewis@lasd.org; Brian Rosenberg <BRosenberg@da.lacounty.gov>; rakelrossi@gmail.com; rachel.rossi@usdoj.gov; AROGANTHOLLYWOODGENIUS@gmail.com
Subject: [External] DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, ROSSI, AND OTHER OFFICIALS WILL SEE TO IT THAT ROSENBERG AND KHOUNTHAVONG GO TO FEDERAL PRISION

Email #2:
From : arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Date : Tue, 28 Nov 2023 12:02:40 -0800
Subject : ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. state of california, united states, county of los angeles, city of south pasadena, city of arcadia, et al [SECOND EMAIL] [REAL VIDEO THAT PROVES THAT I WAS FRAMED FOR VIOLATING CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE SECTION 422(a)
NOTE: the receiver list is too long to list. See copy of e-mail.

Email #3:
From: Cheryl Kaylor <sheriditrader@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 13, 2024 6:14 PM
Subject: [External] OFFICIAL COMPLAINT OF ALISON MILLER FAIRCHILD ON BEHALF OF AROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. sherrif robert luna, et al
NOTE: the receiver list is too long to list. See copy of e-mail.

Email #4:
From: Arogant Hollywood <arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, June 8, 2024 8:41 AM
Subject: people v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA114055) & UPCOMING FIRST CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT TITLED ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. judy whitehurst, et al [DEMAND PEOPLE AND LASD IMMEDIATELY TURN OVER PHONY LASD GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GL010586 & GL010587
NOTE: the receiver list is too long to list. See copy of e-mail.

Email #5:
From: Arogant Hollywood <causeofaction10@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, June 8, 2024 8:58 AM
Subject: people v. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD (GA114055) & UPCOMING FIRST CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT TITLED ARROGANT HOLLYWOOD v. judy whitehurst, et al [DEMAND PEOPLE AND LASD IMMEDIATELY TURN OVER PHONY LASD GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS GL010586 & GL010587 [I GOT A LOT OF DIFFER...
NOTE: the receiver list is too long to list. See copy of e-mail.

These e-mails were in direct violation of the restraining order served to S-Hollywood for the protective order stated the following verbatim "The restrained person must not contact the protected person(s) directly or indirectly, by any means including telephone, mail, email, or other electronic means - peaceful written contact through 3rd party for service of legal papers allowed."

CONCLUSION:
Based on the above, with the approval of Watch Commander Lt. Soriano, I placed

04-23W25

Copy Authorized Person

MC-025

SHORT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER:
Attachment 7a(3)-Describe Harassment	25PD00171 3

ATTACHMENT *(Number):* _____


(This Attachment may be used with any Judicial Council form.)

I received an email from Mr. Hollywood wherein he threatened to send me to federal prison and indicated that a "a series of emails are coming soon! Complete with all the evidence that you broke federal law by abusing your power and authority as peace officers and public officers of the court! Get Ready!!!!!!". In addition to threatening this series of emails, Hollywood also told the detective on our case that "you had better run away as fast you can fool." This is the latest in a string of harassing communications that I believe are only aimed at intimidating and annoying me. This matter was reported to LASD. URN: 923-11730-0522-217.

(If the item that this Attachment concerns is made under penalty of perjury, all statements in this Attachment are made under penalty of perjury.)

Page _____ of _____

(Add pages as required)



The above clear and convincing evidence proves that racially animus and mentally ill Brian MARK Rosenberg knew he was intentionally making false statements in his declaration by stating that KING AROGANT sent him an email on October 23, 2023. No such email has ever existed.

As shown above, Brian MARK Rosenberg told rogue peace officer that KING AROGANT sent emails to him on November 13, 2023, November 28, 2023. February 13, 2024, and twice on June 8, 2024. In his perjurious November 17, 2023, attachment page filed in his first frivolous civil harassment petition 23PDRRO01713 racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg attached a copy of his November 13, 2023 email to his attachment page and petition and stated the following verbatim:

I received an email from Mr. Hollywood wherein he threatened to send me to federal prison and indicated that a "a series of cmails arc coming soon!

As seen above, the email in which KING AROGANT tells lying Rosenberg that a series of emails are coming was dated for November 13, 2023, not November 23. 2023.

In paragraph of his perjurious and fabricated declaration racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg makes the following intentionally false statements under the penalty of perjury verbatim:

7. Mr. Hollywood's harassing conduct and failure to abide by the Civil Restraining Order continues. On March 20, 2025, Arrogant Hollywood came to the front reception area of the Alhambra Office, where Mr. Hollywood knows I physically work. Luckily, I was not present at the Alhambra Office at the time that Mr. Hollywood came in. Mr. Hollywood was told that the Alhambra Office could not accept the documents he was providing them, which resulted in Mr. Hollywood acting aggressively and forcing documents through the glass window to the front desk. Mr. Hollywood caused a disturbance and was even heard threatening to sue me for taking 12 months of his Iife.

See now a true and correct copy of page 2 of lying and racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg's perjurious and fabricated declaration attached to KING AROGANT'S Verified Appendix of Exhibits and below herein.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

7. Mr. Hollywood's harassing conduct and failure to abide by the Civil Restraining Order continues. On March 20, 2025, Arrogant Hollywood came to the front reception area of the Alhambra Office, where Mr. Hollywood knows I physically work. Luckily, I was not present at the Alhambra Office at the time that Mr. Hollywood came in. Mr. Hollywood was told that the Alhambra Office could not accept the documents he was providing them, which resulted in Mr. Hollywood acting aggressively and forcing documents through the glass window to the front desk. Mr. Hollywood caused a disturbance and was even heard threatening to sue me for taking 12 months of his life.

8. On April 17, 2025, Mr. Hollywood entered the Alhambra Office again in another attempt to improperly drop off documents. I was present at the Alhambra Branch Office on that day, and I unexpectedly saw Mr. Hollywood outside the Office. Out of concern for my safety, I rushed back into the office to avoid any contact with him. Due to the March 20, 2025 disturbance, and Mr. Hollywood's aggressive and harassing past conduct with the LADA's Office, the Alhambra Office went on "lock down," locking all the doors and keeping all employees inside the office while Mr. Hollywood was in the building. Law enforcement subsequently escorted the employees to their vehicles.

9. I am aware of the multiple emails that Arrogant Hollywood sent to numerous deputy district attorneys in the past months, whereby Mr. Hollywood continues his harassing behavior by calling me racist and threatening litigation against me and my colleagues. In an email dated May 8, 2025, Mr. Hollywood addressed his comments directly to District Attorney Nathan Hochman and the judge assigned to his current criminal case and expressed his desire for Mr. Hochman and all of his deputy district attorneys to die a slow miserable death for prosecuting him. This is in addition to utilizing the same angry verbiage in describing the LADA's Office as racist and corrupt.

10. Arrogant Hollywood continues to avoid complying with the specific terms of the Rosenberg Restraining Order by claiming he is filing or serving documents in a criminal case. But there is no reason for him to be present at the LADA's Alhambra Branch Office because the criminal case has resolved, and there are other methods for him to submit any pleadings relating to

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Interestingly enough, lying and racially animus, Cynthia Nakao (who has never encountered KING AROGANT) wrote intentionally false statements in her declaration under oath that on February 7, 2025, KING AROGANT was present at her DA office, armed with scissors, acting aggressively, and law enforcement were called to respond to 150 West Commonwealth Street, Alhambra, CA 91801.

Interestingly enough, lying and racially animus, Brian MARK Rosenberg wrote intentionally false statements in his perjurious declaration under oath that KING AROGANT was present at the Alhambra DA office, creating a disturbance on March 20, 2025

County of Los Angeles employs such horrible liars that both Brian MARK Rosenberg and Cynthia Nakao declared that KING AROGANT was at two locations on the same day. The truth is KING AROGANT was in downtown Los Angeles on March 20, 2025, just as was stated in Nakao's perjurious declaration. See below herein:

7. Mr. Hollywood's harassing conduct and failure to abide by the Civil Restraining Order continues. On March 20, 2025, Arrogant Hollywood came to the front reception area of the Alhambra Office, where Mr. Hollywood knows I physically work. Luckily, I was not present at the Alhambra Office at the time that Mr. Hollywood came in. Mr. Hollywood was told that the Alhambra Office could not accept the documents he was providing them, which resulted in Mr. Hollywood acting aggressively and forcing documents through the glass window to the front desk. Mr. Hollywood caused a disturbance and was even heard threatening to sue me for taking 12 months of his life.

8. On April 17, 2025, Mr. Hollywood entered the Alhambra Office again in another attempt to improperly drop off documents. I was present at the Alhambra Branch Office on that day, and I unexpectedly saw Mr. Hollywood outside the Office. Out of concern for my safety, I rushed back into the office to avoid any contact with him. Due to the March 20, 2025 disturbance, and Mr. Hollywood's aggressive and harassing past conduct with the LADA's Office, the Alhambra Office went on "lock down," locking all the doors and keeping all employees inside the office while Mr. Hollywood was in the building. Law enforcement subsequently escorted the employees to their vehicles.

9. I am aware of the multiple emails that Arrogant Hollywood sent to numerous deputy district attorneys in the past months, whereby Mr. Hollywood continues his harassing behavior by calling me racist and threatening litigation against me and my colleagues. In an email dated May 8, 2025, Mr. Hollywood addressed his comments directly to District Attorney Nathan Hochman and the judge assigned to his current criminal case and expressed his desire for Mr. Hochman and all of his deputy district attorneys to die a slow miserable death for prosecuting him. This is in addition to utilizing the same angry verbiage in describing the LADA's Office as racist and corrupt.

10. Arrogant Hollywood continues to avoid complying with the specific terms of the Rosenberg Restraining Order by claiming he is filing or serving documents in a criminal case. But there is no reason for him to be present at the LADA's Alhambra Branch Office because the criminal case has resolved, and there are other methods for him to submit any pleadings relating to

Herein above, Brian MARK Rosenberg is proven to be a liar and an uncredible witness when he intentionally lied that KING AROGANT was present in the Alhambra DA office, while on the same day, Nakao declared that KING AROGANT was downtown Los Angeles at 211 West Temple Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012.

But the city of Alhambra police department records put icing on the cake that Nakao lied that law enforcement was called on February 7, 2025, and that mentally ill and racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg lied that KING AROGANT was present at the Alhambra DA office, creating a disturbance on March 20, 2025.

See now below a true and correct color copy of the city of Alhambra police department call log records attached to KING AROGANT'S Verified Appendix of Exhibits and below herein.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Record List - Total:14

CallID	When Reported	Typ	Nature	P	Location	Cty
21AL36868	07:40:09 09/27/21	I	415UN UNWANT SU	2	150 W COMMONWEALTH AVE; ALHAMBRA SUPERIOR COURT	ALH
21AL36962	17:41:41 09/27/21	I	BOA	3	150 W COMMONWEALTH AVE; ALHAMBRA SUPERIOR COURT	ALH
21AL37086	14:40:03 09/28/21	I	415UN UNWANT SU	2	150 W COMMONWEALTH AVE; ALHAMBRA SUPERIOR COURT	ALH
21AL37089	15:07:35 09/28/21	I	422R THREATS	3	150 W COMMONWEALTH AVE; ALHAMBRA SUPERIOR COURT	ALH
21AL37101	18:21:56 09/28/21	I	415 DISTURBANCE	2	150 W COMMONWEALTH AVE; ALHAMBRA SUPERIOR COURT	ALH
22AL22621	14:25:15 06/15/22	I	415UN UNWANT SU	2	150 W COMMONWEALTH AVE; ALHAMBRA SUPERIOR COURT	ALH
22AL22831	06:02:19 06/17/22	I	415UN UNWANT SU	2	150 W COMMONWEALTH AVE; ALHAMBRA SUPERIOR COURT	ALH
22AL38090	08:37:54 10/05/22	I	415UN UNWANT SU	2	150 W COMMONWEALTH AVE; ALHAMBRA SUPERIOR COURT	ALH
25AL05235	14:14:51 02/06/25	I	RESTRAINING ORD	2	150 W COMMONWEALTH AVE; ALHAMBRA SUPERIOR COURT	ALH
25AL05260	16:13:10 02/06/25	I	OFCR INITIATE	2	150 W COMMONWEALTH AVE; ALHAMBRA SUPERIOR COURT	ALH
25AL06560	17:18:23 02/15/25	I	OFCR INITIATE	2	150 W COMMONWEALTH AVE; ALHAMBRA SUPERIOR COURT	ALH
25AL15260	15:27:18 04/17/25	I	VIOL CRT ORDER	2	150 W COMMONWEALTH AVE	ALH
25AL15261	15:29:19 04/17/25	I	VIOL CRT ORDER	2	150 W COMMONWEALTH AVE; ALHAMBRA SUPERIOR COURT	ALH
25AL17241	09:38:49 05/02/25	I	BE ON LOOKOUT	2	150 W COMMONWEALTH AVE; ALHAMBRA SUPERIOR COURT	ALH

CERTIFIED COPY
ALHAMBRA POLICE DEPARTMENT
I hereby certify that the document to which this is affixed is a true copy of the original document on file at the Alhambra Police Dept.
File # — Date 07/01/25
Police Records Manager/Custodian of Records

7/1/2025 12:11:26 PM

Page 1 of 1

The above city of Alhambra police department certified call log records show a very racist and sickening pattern of County of Los Angeles deputy district attorneys willfully, knowingly, carelessly, wantonly, maliciously, deliberately, fraudulently, and callously calling law enforcement on KING AROGANT every single time he entered the building of the Alhambra Superior Court.

On September 27, 2021 County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office Alhambra field office called law enforcement on KING AROGANT two times. The following day County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office, Alhambra field office called city of Alhambra police department on KING AROGANT three times in one day. In June 2022 County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office Alhambra field office called law enforcement on KING AROGANT two times. In October 2022 County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office, Alhambra field office city of Alhambra police department on KING AROGANT twice. Interestingly enough, there was a three-year gap which no calls were made by the County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office, Alhambra field office employees and attorneys regarding KING AROGANT, between October 2022 and February 6, 2025. While KING AROGANT had not been present at the Alhambra Superior Court for nearly three consecutive years lying, mentally ill, and racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg has been fabricating lies in three different courts; first in November 2023 in frivolous civil harassment case 23PDRO01713, then in criminal Superior Court Clara Shortridge-Foltz Criminal Justice Center in racially animus criminal case 25CJCM02162-01, and lastly in the Superior Court of California, Stanley Mosk Courthouse frivolous case 25CJCM02162-01. In each of these Superior Courts

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

cases racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg has fabricated lies and committed perjury by falsely alleging that the following occurred:

1. **KING AROGANT harassed him by coming to the Alhambra Superior Court house.**
2. **KING AROGANT harassed him by sending him Gmail electronic mail messages on October 13, 2023, October 23, 2023, November 28, 2023, February 13, 2023, June 8, 2024, at 8:03 AM (Lying Rosenberg got the time wrong), and June 8, 2024, at 8:34 AM (Lying Rosenberg got the time wrong).**

Over the course of exactly thirty-two months (February 21, 2023, and August 22, 2025) Brian MARK Rosenberg has willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, wantonly, carelessly, deliberately, fraudulently, and callously violated numerous County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office Legal Discovery Policies, violated California Business & Professions Code § 6068, California Penal Code § 148.5, California Penal Code § 118, Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Local Criminal Rules 8-17 (Bringing into Superior Court phony and bogus probable cause and search warrant affidavits), State Bar of California, Rule 3.1, Meritorious Claims and Contentions, Rule 3.3, Candor Toward Tribunal, and Rule 3.8, Special Responsibilities of a Prosecutor, 42 U.S.C. §§ 1981, 1983, 1985, and 12132, California Government Code § 6200, and 18 U.S.C. §§ 241 and 242 by willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, wantonly, carelessly, deliberately, fraudulently, and callously testifying falsely in a criminal Superior Court on February 2, 2024, turning over phony and altered GoPro video recordings that were unplayable

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

to court-appointed expert witness Thomas Guzman-Sanchez, presenting and admitting phony and bogus LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 on April 4, 2023, April 5, 2023, and February 2, 2024, presenting fabricated and false 911 court testimony regarding Jennifer Hutton-Heger's first and second, 911 calls, committing perjury, and presenting false testimony in civil proceedings and actions in Pasadena Superior Court and Stanley Mosk Courthouse, committing perjury and presenting false evidence in Clara Shortridge-Foltz criminal Superior Court, allowing Jennifer Hutton-Heger to testify falsely that she told peace officers KING AROGANT had a knife, and there was a reenactment of knife incident with deputies on February 21, 2023, allowing Jennifer Hutton-Heger to testify falsely that she did not receive any text messages from KING AROGANT on February 21, 2023, filing a false police report on April 17, 2025, by fabricating lies and intentionally false statements that KING AROGANT sent lying Rosenberg via Gmail messages on October 13, 2023, November 28, 2023, and February 13, 2024, June 8, 2024, at 8:03 AM (Lying Rosenberg got the time wrong), and June 8, 2024, at 8:34 AM (Lying Rosenberg got the time wrong), and on and on and on.

KING AROGANT'S above evidence proves the truth that since October 13, 2023 KING AROGANT has only sent lying and racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg one single email and has never encountered him in person at Alhambra Superior Court, and KING AROGANT has only set foot inside of Alhambra Superior Court twice in the past three years, when he was not incarcerated.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Lying Criminal Brian MARK Rosenberg must be arrested and charged in Superior Court of California for willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, wantonly, carelessly, deliberately, fraudulently, and callously violating California Government Code § 6200, and California Penal Code § 148.5.

Lying Criminal Brian MARK Rosenberg must be arrested and charged in United States District Court for the Central District of California for willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, wantonly, carelessly, deliberately, fraudulently, and callously violating U.S.C. §§ 241 & 242.

Brian MARK Rosenberg's racially animus, racially biased, and racially hostile misconduct, misdoings, misdeeds, and transgressions of illegal acts done recklessly to KING AROGANT in frivolous cases 23PDRO01713, 25CJCM02162-01, and 25STRO03574 prove by clear and convincing evidence that he is a racist that deliberately falsified numerous pieces of evidence in the illegal Superior Court of California criminal felony case GA114055, and thus, KING AROGANT'S wrongful conviction must be overturned pursuant to California Penal Code § 745.

The evidence clearly shows that County of Los Angeles employees led by racially animus Cynthia Nakao willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, wantonly, carelessly, deliberately, fraudulently, and callously created a FAKE EVACUATION on April 17, 2025, conspired by them all to interfere with KING AROGANT'S constitutional civil rights

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

PERJURIOUS COURT TESTIMONY OF BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG AND CYNTHIA NAKAO ARE BOTH IN SUPPORT OF SUPERIOR COURT GRANTING THIS TIMELY FILED ANTI-SLAPP

At the rigged and unconstitutional Superior Court hearing on County of Los Angeles's frivolous workplace violence petition, neither racially animus criminal prosecutors Brian MARK Rosenberg nor Cynthia Nakao did not testify to any allegations that would give the Superior Court legal authority to find that KING AROGANT had engaged in any course of conduct or made any credible threats of violence. The rigged and unconstitutional hearing was the exact opposite. Only oral testimony that would support an ANTI-SLAPP.

During racially animus, Brian MARK Rosenberg's direct examination he stated that KING AROGANT had harassed him by sending Gmail messages to his co-workers stating that he should go to prison.

During racially animus, Brian MARK Rosenberg's direct examination he stated that KING AROGANT had harassed him and engaged in a course of conduct by sending Gmail messages to his co-workers, in which in those Gmail messages KING AROGANT called Brian MARK Rosenberg a racist, corrupt, and reiterated that he was going to prison

During racially animus, Brian MARK Rosenberg's direct examination he stated that KING AROGANT had come to the Alhambra DA office trying to serve papers on March 20, 2025, and was told that the Alhambra DA could not accept the papers KING AROGANT was trying to serve.

During KING AROGANT'S cross-examination of racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg KING AROGANT asked him if KING AROGANT had made a credible threat of violence to him in the only email KING AROGANT had sent to him on October 13, 2023, and Rosenberg replied that in his mind, calling him a racist was a credible threat of violence.

During KING AROGANT'S cross-examination of racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg KING AROGANT asked him if KING AROGANT had made a credible threat of violence to physically harm him in the email, and Rosenberg replied that in his mind, calling him a racist was a credible threat of violence. Clearly Brian MARK Rosenberg has an extreme amount of racial animus, racial hostility, and racial biased towards KING AROGANT. Moreover, lying Rosenberg's August 19, 2025, evasive and perjurious testimony proves by clear and convincing evidence that he is mentally ill.

Unfortunately, due to prejudiced and unfair Superior Court of California judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, knowingly, carelessly, deliberately, and callously limited KING AROGANT'S cross-examination of Brian MARK Rosenberg to fewer than ten questions and less than

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

thirty minutes, KING AROGANT was unable and handicapped from asking Brian MARK Rosenberg approximately one-hundred and fifty (150) or more questions regarding the following, but not at all limited to the following:

1. **His October 13, 2023, email he received from KING AROGANT.**
2. **His Fabricated Attachment Page filed on November 17, 2023, in frivolous petition 23PDRO01713.**
3. **Paragraph of his declaration regarding March 20, 2025, and April 17, 2025**
4. **Numerous Questions Regarding His Criminal Protective Order.**
5. **Numerous Questions Regarding His Fabricated and False Police Report.**
6. **Numerous Questions About the GoPro Video Recording Evidence He Falsified.**
7. **Numerous Questions About Why He Permitted the Lying State Government Jennifer Hutton-Heger to Testify Falsely Regarding a Knife Incident.**
8. **Numerous Questions About Why He Permitted the Lying State Government Witnesses Billy Khounthavong and Jennifer Hutton-Heger to testify falsely regarding time stamps of two 911 audio recording calls.**
9. **Numerous Questions About Why He Permitted the Lying State Government Jennifer Hutton-Heger to Testify Falsely regarding text messages KING AROGANT sent her on the early morning of February 21, 2023.**
10. **Numerous Questions About Why He Recklessly and Deliberately Failed to Provide Court-Appointed Witness Thomas Guzman-Sanchez with a Copy Of Phony and Bogus LASD GoPro Video Recordings GL010586 and GL010587.**

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

11. **Numerous Questions About Why He Recklessly and Deliberately Failed to Provide Court-Appointed Witness Thomas Guzman-Sanchez with a Copy Of Original GoPro Video Recordings, Even After Sanchez Requested Them.**
12. **Numerous Questions About Why He Recklessly and Deliberately Failed to Provide Court-Appointed Witness Neil Opdahl (SBN # 277596) with a Copy Of Phony and Bogus LASD GoPro Video Recordings GL010586 and GL010587.**
13. **Numerous Questions About Why He Recklessly and Deliberately Failed to Provide Court-Appointed Witness Neil Opdahl (SBN # 277596) with a Copy Of Original GoPro Video Recordings Even After Opdahl Requested Them**
14. **Numerous Questions About Why He Recklessly and Deliberately Failed to Provide Court-Appointed Witness Carlos Anthony Jackson (PI # 28424) with A Copy Of Phony and Bogus LASD GoPro Video Recordings GL010586 and GL010587.**
15. **Numerous Questions About Why He Recklessly and Deliberately Failed to Provide Court-Appointed Witness Carlos Anthony Jackson (PI # 277596) with a Copy Of Original GoPro Video Recordings Even After Jackson Requested Them**
16. **Numerous Questions About Why He Recklessly and Deliberately Failed to Provide Court-Appointed Witness Carlos Joel Simone Wyenn (PI # 10818) with A Copy of the Phony and Bogus LASD GoPro Video Recordings GL010586 and GL010587.**

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

17. Numerous Questions About Why He Recklessly and Deliberately Failed to Provide Court-Appointed Witness Joel S. Wyenn (PI # 10818) with a Copy Of Original GoPro Video Recordings Even After Wyenn Requested Them

The above-stated pages prove by clear and convincing evidence that not only was KING AROGANT engaged in constitutionally protected activity regarding a very public issue, but also that County of Los Angeles, Brian MARK Rosenberg, Cynthia Nakao, Nathan Joseph Hochman, DOES 1-10, and their co-conspirators willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, wantonly, carelessly, deliberately, fraudulently and callously violated the Racial Justice Act of 2020 and California Penal Code § 745.

While KING AROGANT filed his original criminal Motion to Demand County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office immediately turn over and disclose phony and bogus LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 on April 17, 2025. While KING AROGANT'S court hearing was originally set for the end of May 2025. KING AROGANT was unable to attend the hearing on his motion due to lying and racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg, fabricating lies that KING AROGANT sent him emails on October 13, 2023, November 28, 2023, June 8, 2024, at 8:03 AM, and June 8, 2024, at 8:34 AM, which resulted in KING AROGANT'S false imprisonment and wrongful incarceration from April 17, 2025, until May 1, 2025 and then a second time from May 12, 2025, until June 25, 2025.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

While KING AROGANT filed his original criminal Motion to Vacate His Wrongful Conviction on April 17, 2025, and stated near the end of it that he would return to the Alhambra Superior Court in approximately two weeks to file a completed version of his 1473 motion. While KING AROGANT'S court hearing was originally set for the end of May 2025. KING AROGANT was unable to attend the hearing on his 1473 motion and return to Alhambra Superior Court to file the remainder of his motion due to lying and racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg, fabricating lies that KING AROGANT sent him emails on October 13, 2023, November 28, 2023, June 8, 2024, at 8:03 AM, and June 8, 2024, at 8:34 AM, which resulted in KING AROGANT'S false imprisonment and wrongful incarceration from April 17, 2025, until May 1, 2025 and then a second time from May 12, 2025, until June 25, 2025.

At the rigged, unconstitutional, and frivolous workplace violence hearing on August 19, 2025, lying and racially animus Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510) committed perjury by testifying on direct examination that KING AROGANT was inside the Alhambra Superior Court on February 7, 2025, armed with scissors creating a disturbance.**

At the rigged, unconstitutional, and frivolous workplace violence hearing on August 19, 2025, lying and racially animus, Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510) committed perjury by testifying on direct examination that Alhambra DA office had to be evacuated due to KING AROGANT. The evidence clearly shows that the Alhambra DA office was evacuated without KING AROGANT ever making any contact with the Alhambra DA office.**

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

At the rigged, unconstitutional, and frivolous workplace violence hearing on August 19, 2025, lying and racially animus, Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510) testified on direct examination that KING AROGANT sent emails to her and numerous other deputy district attorneys in which those emails he did the following:**

1. **Called Lying Brian MARK Rosenberg a racist.**
2. **Called Lying Brian MARK Rosenberg corrupt.**
3. **Reiterated that Rosenberg must go to prison.**
4. **Called Judicial Officer Mark Windham and DA Nathan Joseph Hochman WHITE DEVILS.**
5. **KING AROGANT Told Judicial Officer Mark Windham and DA Nathan Joseph Hochman that he hopes they all die of a slow and painful death from cancer**

Cynthia Nakao testified that none of these emails were sent to lying Brian MARK Rosenberg. None of the email recipients of the many emails Nakao testified to were listed as a protected person. Moreover, since the emails were never sent to Brian MARK Rosenberg, there was no basis for the Superior Court and rogue County of Los Angeles attorney Vanessa Ann Evangelista (SBN # 238474) trying to create evidence of a course of conduct between KING AROGANT and racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg because KING AROGANT never sent any of these emails testified to by Cynthia Nakao to lying Brian MARK Rosenberg. Lastly, other County of Los Angeles deputy district attorneys forwarding these emails to racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg more than confirms a meeting of minds and

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

conspiracy to violate KING AROGANT'S constitutional civil rights by filing and later, obtaining a frivolous petition for workplace violence restraining order based on zero evidence and no probable cause whatsoever after the County of Los Angeles's fabricated and frivolous Criminal Protective Order in illegal case 25CJCM02162-01 was murdered and eradicated by the dismissal of frivolous and perjurious 25CJCM02162-01 on the early morning of June 25, 2025.

In fact, the illegal County of Los Angeles emails' physical evidence it used to obtain its frivolous workplace violence restraining order is the first of its kind and offers a question of first impression. No Respondent in California Superior Court history has received a workplace violence injunction based on emails that were never sent to the alleged victim! 25STRO03574 is just as outlandish, outrageous, ridiculous, and shocking as frivolous court cases 23PDRO01713 and GA114055.

The County of Los Angeles's grotesque and deliberately indifferent widespread mistreatment of KING AROGANT and complete disregard for his constitutional civil rights, as displayed and proven in the frivolous Superior Court of California civil case 23PDRO01713 and frivolous dismissed criminal case 25CJCM02162-01 all proves by clear evidence that numerous County of Los Angeles employees and criminal prosecutors have demonstrated an extreme amount of racially biased, racially hostility, and racial animus in racist Superior Court of California case GA114055 that qualifies KING AROGANT to have his wrongful conviction overturned pursuant to the California Racial Justice Act of 2020 and California Penal Code § 745.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Moreover, the County of Los Angeles's Brian MARK Rosenberg, Cynthia Nakao, DOES 1-10, Nathan Joseph Hochman, and their co-conspirators' reckless actions in 25CJCM02162-01 and 23PDRO01713 more than prove their racial hostility, racial bias, and racial animus towards KING AROGANT. The County of Los Angeles's Brian MARK Rosenberg, Cynthia Nakao, DOES 1-10, Nathan Joseph Hochman and their co-conspirators' racially animus and reckless actions in 25CJCM02162-01 and 23PDRO01713 more than debunk any County of Los Angeles employee and specifically lying Brian MARK Rosenberg's false claim that "They are not racist! The County of Los Angeles's Brian MARK Rosenberg, Cynthia Nakao, DOES 1-10, Nathan Joseph Hochman, and their co-conspirators' racially animus and reckless actions in 25CJCM02162-01 and 23PDRO01713 more than negate any County of Los Angeles employee, and specifically, lying Brian MARK Rosenberg's false claim that "they are not racist!

Lastly, County of Los Angeles employees' racially bias, racially animus, and racially hostility proven by clear and convincing evidence in frivolous Superior of California cases 25CJCM02162-01 and 23PDRO01713 more than support KING AROGANT'S allegations since 2023 that numerous County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutors and peace officers willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, wantonly, carelessly, deliberately, fraudulently, and callously have done the following in illegal criminal case between February 21, 2023, and August 25, 2025:

1. Allowed State Government Witnesses to Testify Falsely

2. Withholding Exculpatory 911 Audio Recording Evidence

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

3. **Creating New Criminal Cases to Delay KING AROGANT'S Progress With Overturning His Wrongful Conviction.**
4. **Allowing Racially Animus to Lie in Three Different Courts for The Sole Purpose of Preventing KING AROGANT From Asking Him to Turn Over Phony and Bogus LASD-created GoPro Video Recordings GL010586 And GL010587.**
5. **Allowing Racially Animus Brian MARK Rosenberg to Testify Falsely In Three Different Superior Courts: Alhambra, Pasadena, and Stanley Mosk Courthouse.**
6. **Allowing Racially Animus Brian MARK Rosenberg to Testify Falsely on February 2, 2024, in the Alhambra Superior Court that Phony and BogusLASD GoPro Video Recordings GL010586 and GL010587 Were Real And KING AROGANT'S Original Split Up by LASD GoPro Video Recording GX010586 Was Spliced By KING AROGANT Himself**
7. **For Nearly Three Consecutive Years, Withholding and Refusing to Turn Over Phony and Bogus LASD GoPro Video Recordings GL010586 and GL010587**
8. **For Nearly Nine Consecutive Months, Recklessly Refusing to Turn Over Copies of KING AROGANT'S Original GoPro Video Recordings**
9. **Recklessly Presenting and Admitting False Video Recording Evidence**
10. **Recklessly Presenting and Admitting False 911 Audio Recording Evidence.**
11. **Recklessly Failing to Turn Over Phony and Bogus LASD-Created GoPro Video Recordings GL010586 and GL010587 to Neil Opdahl, Carlos A. Jackson, Thomas Guzman-Sanchez, and Joel Wyenn**

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

On November 28, 2023, KING AROGANT emailed an MP4-formatted electronic copy of the uninterrupted and continuous 14-minute REAL GoPro video recording that proved his factual innocence by clear and convincing evidence to Ned Harlan (ned.harlan@doj.ca.gov, Nishdeep Singh (nishdeep.singh@doj.ca.gov nishdeep.singh@doj.ca.gov), Robert Bonta (robert.bonta@doj.ca.gov), OPSChiefsOffice@doj.ca.gov, Peter Halloran (peter.halloran@doj.ca.gov) , Alisha Carlile (alisha.carlile@doj.ca.gov), Vincent Bonotto (vincent.bonotto@doj.ca.gov), Glenn Prudent (glenn.prudent@doj.ca.gov), Daniel Olivas (daniel.olivas@doj.ca.gov), Giam Nguyen (giam.nguyen@doj.ca.gov), Chad Stegman (chad.stegman@doj.ca.gov) Stephen Lew (stephen.lew@doj.ca.gov), Christopher Findley (christopher.findley@doj.ca.gov), Darrell Warren Spence (darrell.spence@doj.ca.gov), and many other State of California-employed law enforcement attorneys. The real GoPro video recording was also electronically served upon George Gascon (ggascon@da.lacounty.gov), Sharon Lee Woo (swoo@da.lacounty.gov), James William Garrison (jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov), Stephanie Pearl Mire (smire@da.lacounty.gov), Victor Manuel Rodriguez (vrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov), Ronald Millard Geltz (rgeltz@da.lacounty.gov), Needra Jenkins (njenkins@counsel.lacounty.gov), Nicole Davis Tinkham (ndavis-tinkham@counsel.lacounty.gov), Judy Welch Whitehurst (jwhitehurst@counsel.lacounty.gov), and over seventy-five other County of Los Angeles-employed criminal prosecutors and members of the Los Angeles County Office of Counsel. The real 14-minute GoPro video recording was also emailed to RACIST and LYING State of California, Los Angeles Superior Court, expert witness Thomas Leo Guzman-Sanchez. (tgsvrimuzic@dancemaster.com, r@danmaster.com, and

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

godaddy@dancemaster.com. As of August 19, 2025, all the above emailed State of California and the County of Los Angeles criminal and civil attorneys have willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, deliberately, and callously with under color of law, callous indifference to the federally protected constitutional civil rights of KING AROGANT recklessly failed to intervene based on Brian MARK Rosenberg's actions and misconduct of framing KING AROGANT for a serious crime he did not commit by manipulating, altering and tampering with KING AROGANT'S entirely exculpatory GOPRO video recording evidence.

As of August 16, 2025, all the above emailed State of California and the County of Los Angeles' criminal and civil attorneys have willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, knowingly, carelessly, deliberately, and callously with under color of law, callous indifference to the federally protected constitutional civil rights of KING AROGANT, recklessly failed to respond to KING AROGANT'S email by electronic mail, facsimile, physical mail, or telephone, based on Brian MARK Rosenberg's actions and misconduct of framing KING AROGANT for a serious and violent crime he did not commit by manipulating, altering, and tampering with KING AROGANT'S entirely exculpatory GoPro video recording evidence, in furtherance of all emailed State of California employees (including but not limited to Gavin Newsom) conspiring with County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office and the County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department to violate KING AROGANT'S constitutional civil rights recklessly.

On November 28, 2023, in addition to KING AROGANT emailing the real GoPro video recording GX010586 to the above-stated criminal prosecutors and the State

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

of California attorneys; these same criminal prosecutors and state attorneys also received a web address link that redirected them to KING AROGANT'S Instagram account, where they could view the real video recording that was previously uploaded to his Instagram account.

SEE BELOW THE INSTAGRAM VIDEO LINKS TO THE ORIGINAL FEBRUARY 21, 2023, GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS ATTACHED HERETO.

https://www.instagram.com/reel/Czs0EpgOsSm/?utm_source=ig_web_copy_link&igshid=MzRlODBiNWFlZA==

https://www.instagram.com/reel/Cz0sb6auaos/?utm_source=ig_web_copy_link&igshid=MzRlODBiNWFlZA==

6. ENTER RACIST, PERJURIOUS, AND FABRICATED COURT TESTIMONY OF COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES CONTRACTED EMPLOYEE THOMAS LEO-GUZMAN-SANCHEZ

On December 28, 2023, KING AROGANT filed a motion to withdraw his no-contest guilty plea.

On January 12, 2024, KING AROGANT filed a second motion to withdraw his No Contest guilty plea, and his Motion to Withdraw his No Contest guilty plea motion was

filed on December 28, 2023, in the illegal and frivolous, violent, and serious felony case GA114055.

At the court hearing on January 12, 2024, lying and racially animus Superior of California, County of Los Angeles expert witness Thomas Guzman-Sanchez falsely testified and fabricated lies that during a telephone conversation with KING AROGANT on October 22, 2023, KING AROGANT made a criminal threat to him by telling him ***"He was going to fuck him up."*** See below a true and correct copy of pages of the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, court reporter Adriana Montanez's January 12, 2024, court transcript, pages 16, 17, and 18 attached to KING AROGANT'S Verified Appendix of Exhibits and herein below.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

THE CALL.

Q. AND WHAT DID MR. HOLLYWOOD SAY TO YOU DURING THAT CALL?

A. I FOUND IT INTERESTING BECAUSE INITIALLY HE SAID, "WAIT A MINUTE. THIS CALL'S BEING RECORDED," AND IT'S LIKE HE WAS HAVING TROUBLE WITH THE APP. AND THEN HE CALLED ME BACK AND THEN HE PROCEEDED TO HARASS ME AND THREATEN ME.

Q. AND WHEN YOU SAY HARASSED AND THREATEN YOU, WHAT DID HE SAY TO YOU EXACTLY?

A. WELL, HE HAS SOME TYPE OF IDEA THAT THERE'S SOME TYPE OF CONSPIRACY REGARDING THE GO PRO VIDEO, AND HE FELT THE NEED TO TELL ME ALL THIS INFORMATION. AND THEN HE WENT ON TO WHEN I ASKED HIM, "WHY ARE YOU TELLING ME THIS BECAUSE I'M NO LONGER WITH THE CASE?" "I'M FILING A LAWSUIT," HE SAID, AND THAT I VIOLATED HIS 14TH AMENDMENT, AND "I'M GOING TO FUCK YOU UP. SO WATCH OUT." WHEN HE SAID THAT, THAT'S A THREAT. AND I SAID THAT, "I'M REPORTING YOU."

NOW, MIND YOU, HE HAD TEXTED ME A FEW TIMES PRIOR, AND I WANTED TO GIVE HIM THE BENEFIT OF THE DOUBT, YOU KNOW, JUST SAY LOOK, YOU KNOW, YOU'RE UPSET I UNDERSTAND. YOU HAVE YOUR DELUSION, THAT'S FINE. BUT WHEN IT WENT TO THIS LEVEL, THIS IS WHEN I FELT IT WAS NECESSARY TO GET THE COURT INVOLVED.

Q. SO PRIOR TO THIS PHONE CALL CONTAINING THE THREATS OR THE PHRASES YOU INTERPRETED AS THREATS, HAD HE CONTACTED YOU IN WRITING SEVERAL TIMES PRIOR?

A. CORRECT.

Q. AND WHEN HE CONTACTED YOU IN WRITING, DID YOU

1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

PERCEIVE THAT AS HARASSMENT?

A. ABSOLUTELY.

Q. WHEN HE THREATENED TO FUCK YOU UP OVER THE PHONE, CAN YOU DESCRIBE THE TONE AND VOLUME OF HIS VOICE?

A. IT WAS AGGRESSIVE AND, YEAH, I WOULD SAY COMMANDING.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: THAT'S WHY HE NEVER FILED A POLICE REPORT.

Q. MS. PARK: WAS HE LOUD?

A. OH, DEFINITELY BOMBASTIC. BOMBASTIC WOULD BE A WORD.

Q. DID HE SOUND UPSET, ANGRY?

A. YES, EXTREMELY UPSET.

Q. HOW DID THAT MAKE YOU FEEL WHEN HE THREATENED YOU BY SAYING, "I'M GOING TO FUCK YOU UP, SO WATCH OUT," IN A VERY ANGRY AND AGGRESSIVE TONE?

A. THAT WOULD MAKE -- THAT MADE ME FEEL THREATENED, NERVOUS, IN FEAR FOR MY LIFE.

Q. ON OCTOBER 29TH, 2023, DID YOU ALSO RECEIVE MORE TEXT MESSAGES FROM MR. HOLLYWOOD?

A. YES, HE CONTINUED.

Q. AND IN THOSE TEXT MESSAGES, WHAT DID HE SAY?

A. WELL, ON THE 29TH, WHAT I FOUND HIM TO DO WAS COME FROM DIFFERENT PHONE NUMBERS AND TEXT ME. AND I AM THE TYPE OF PERSON, IF I DON'T KNOW WHERE A TEXT IS COMING FROM, I'LL SAY, "WHO IS THIS," OR WHATEVER. AND HIS RESPONSE WAS, "WHO DO YOU THINK, FOOL. ALSO LAWSUIT WILL BE FILED NEXT MONTH NAMING YOU DEFENDANT FOR VIOLATING MY 14TH AMENDMENT RIGHT." AND THEN HE WENT ON TO TALK ABOUT DETAILS WITH HIS -- WITH THE

GO PRO.

Q. ON NOVEMBER 21ST, 2023, DID YOU RECEIVE FURTHER TEXT MESSAGES FROM MR. HOLLYWOOD USING YET ANOTHER CELLPHONE NUMBER?

A. YES, I THOUGHT THAT WAS INTERESTING BECAUSE HE ACTUALLY SENT ME A OF PHOTO OF A TEXT MESSAGE I FOUND THIS VERY STRANGE. IT STATED, "YOU'RE MENTALLY ILL. ALL YOU HAD TO DO WAS TELL HIM TO PULL UP ON THE DRIVEWAY." MIND YOU THAT WAS A DETAIL THAT WAS IN THE GO PRO.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: I WANT IT NOTED HE'S PRESENTING NEWLY DISCOVERED EVIDENCE ON THE STAND RIGHT NOW. LET THE RECORD --

THE COURT: IS THAT AN OBJECTION?

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: IT'S NOT AN OBJECTION. I WANT THE RECORD TO REFLECT.

THE COURT: THEN BE QUIET.

DEFENDANT HOLLYWOOD: I'M JUST TELLING YOU THAT'S WHAT HE DID.

THE WITNESS: THAT WAS THE DETAIL THAT I RECALL THAT WAS WITHIN THE CONTENTS OF THIS EVIDENCE THAT I EXAMINED. SO I JUST -- I FOUND IT STRANGELY DELUSIONAL. AND MIND YOU, I DON'T RESPOND -- I DIDN'T NORMALLY RESPOND TO THESE TEXTS.

Q. MS. PARK: AND DID HE CONTINUE TO SEND YOU TEXT MESSAGES FROM THAT NEW PHONE NUMBER ON NOVEMBER 21ST, 2023?

A. APPARENTLY SO, YES.

Q. WHAT DID HE SAY?

A. "I'M THE VIDEO EXPERT NOW. YOU WILL BE SUED. LAWYER UP, FOOL."

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPARTMENT 1 HON. MICHAEL VILLALOBOS, JUDGE

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, PLAINTIFF, VS. AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, DEFENDANT.	NO. GA114055-01 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, ADRIANA MONTANEZ, OFFICIAL REPORTER OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING PAGES, 1 THROUGH 49, INCLUSIVE, COMPRISE A FULL, TRUE AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF THE PROCEEDINGS HELD IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER ON JANUARY 12, 2024,

DATED THIS 15TH DAY OF JANUARY, 2024

adrianamontanez , CSR NO. 12767
ADRIANA MONTANEZ
OFFICIAL REPORTER

In his perjurious declaration filed in frivolous and RACIST Superior Court of California civil case 25STRO03574 in early June 2025. LYING, racially animus, racially hostile Thomas Guzman-Sanchez doubles down on his fabricated and false January 12, 2024, court testimony after the KING AROGANT audio recording of him surfaced by being served over seventy-five County of Los Angeles and State of California employees. Thomas Guzman-Sanchez made false accusations under the penalty of perjury that KING AROGANT had altered the recorded telephone conversation between him and KING AROGANT. Of course, lying Sanchez would allege this since he is heard throughout the entire telephone conversation telling KING AROGANT that he was going to report KING AROGANT because KING AROGANT told him straight up he would sue him! Thomas Guzman-Sanchez's January 12, 2024, court testimony was just as false and fabricated as the court testimony of Jennifer Hutton-Heger on April 4, 2023, and April 5, 2023, and Brian MARK Rosenberg on February 2, 2024.


In July 2025, KING AROGANT uploaded a screenshot video recording of him accessing the original recorded telephone conversation between him and racially animus Thomas Guzman-Sanchez in October 2023, from its original source of recording, an IPHONE telephone application called Call Recorder. KING AROGANT's original Call Recorder application recorded telephone audio recording recorded on October 22, 2023, at approximately 2:14 PM proves by clear and convincing evidence that LYING and racially animus Thomas Guzman-Sanchez willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, deliberately, knowingly, carelessly and callously violated California Government Code § 6200 by testifying falsely that KING AROGANT had made a criminal threat to him on October 22, 2023 by KING AROGANT telling him ***"He would fuck him up."***

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

See now a true and correct copy of KING AROGANT's YouTube website video link of him accessing the original audio recording and playing it from the application on his IPHONE 14 Pro Max, and a screenshot of the application itself showing the October 22, 2023, telephone attached to the Verified Appendix of Exhibits and below herein.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AeAieM4UJ8E&t=9s

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344



AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

The above evidence throughout all paragraphs written herein proves by clear and convincing evidence that the following occurred between February 21, 2023, and August 17, 2025:

1. The County of Los Angeles filed a frivolous workplace violence restraining order against KING AROGANT in June 2025, case 25STRO03574.
2. Racially Animus and Racially Hostile County of Los Angeles employees Brian MARK Rosenberg and Thomas Guzman-Sanchez testified falsely in a criminal Superior Court and filed numerous perjurious documents in numerous Superior Courts.
3. Billy Khounthavong, Thomas Guzman-Sanchez, and Brian MARK Rosenberg all willfully, recklessly, maliciously, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, deliberately, and callously violated California Government Code § 6200 by testifying falsely and by presenting false evidence in a Superior Court of California criminal courtroom.
4. Billy Khounthavong willfully, recklessly, maliciously, wantonly, carelessly, knowingly, deliberately, and callously violated California Penal Code § 118.1 by filing a false police report in February 2023.
5. Government witnesses Thomas Guzman-Sanchez, Billy Khounthavong, Jennifer Hutton-Heger, and Brian MARK Rosenberg's misconduct throughout RACIST and unconstitutional felony criminal case are grounds for KING AROGANT's wrongful felony conviction being overturned pursuant to California Penal Code § 1473.6, subsection (a).

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

6. Thomas Guzman-Sanchez, Billy Khounthavong, and Brian MARK Rosenberg should all be charged by the United States District Court for the Central District of California for them all willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, and callously violated 18 U.S.C. § 242.

7. The clear and convincing evidence of Brian MARK Rosenberg, Thomas Guzman-Sanchez and Billy Khounthavong's false testimony, presentation of altered and false evidence, and concealment of exculpatory evidence, prove their racial hostility and racial animus towards KING AROGANT, and pursuant to California Penal Code § 745 KING AROGANT's wrongful conviction in RACIST Superior of California case GA114055 should have been overturned nearly two years ago.

ENTER CORRUPT PEACE OFFICER BENJAMIN YANG, RUBEN SORIANO, LYING AND RACIALLY ANIMUS CYNTHIA MICHIKO NAKAO (SBN # 137510), PART 2 OF RACIALLY ANIMUS BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG, THE CONTINUED ROLE OF FORMER COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY GEORGE GASCÒN, NEW ROLE OF CORRUPT AND RACIALLY ANIMUS COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY NATHAN JOSEPH HOCHMAN (SBN # 139137), DAWYN R. HARRISON (SBN # 173855), NICOLE AMBER DAVIS TINKHAM (SBN # 229592), JUDY WELCH WHITEHURST (SBN # 182855), WILLOW LEAH ROSENTHAL (SBN # 345751),

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

SCOTT DOUGLAS DOMINGUEZ (SBN # 228843), ALEXANDER MICHAEL KARKANEN (SBN # 162377), MARY ANNE MURRAY (SBN # 185518), VANESSA ANN EVANGELISTA (SBN 238474) LINDSEY PATRICE HORVATH, HILDA L. SOLIS, HOLLY J. MITCHELL, JANICE HAHN, KATHRYN BARGER, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, MICHAEL VILLALOBOS, MARK WINDHAM, TIMOTHY MARTELLA, DOES 1-10, AND THEIR CO-CONSPIRATORS CONTINUE TO VIOLATE KING AROGANT'S CONSTITUTIONAL CIVIL RIGHTS BY MOSTLY USING FRAUDULENT CIVIL HARASSMENT RESTRAINING ORDER CASES 23PDRO01713 AND 25STRO03574; AND NEW ILLEGAL AND PERJURIOUS CRIMINAL COMPLAINT IN CRIMINAL CASE 25CJCM02162-01.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

III. STATEMENT OF FACTS/ALLEGATIONS IN SUPPORT OF 170.6 & STATE OF CALIFORNIA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE OFFICIAL WRITTEN COMPLAINT FILED AGAINST BIASED, PARTIAL, UNFAIR, AND PREJUDICED COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES EMPLOYEE LATRESE ANTIONETTE GEORGE BYRDSONG

On August 19, 2025 KING AROGANT was prevented from having a fair and impartial court hearing due to **Aunt Jemima Sell Out** willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously twice striking and sustaining frivolous objections by rogue and unethical County of Los Angeles civil attorney Vanessa Ann Evangelista **(SBN # 238474)** regarding Brian MARK Rosenberg's fabricated, perjurious, and falsified April 17, 2025 city of Alhambra police report. In collusion with County of Los Angeles FAKE petitioner, County of Los Angeles employee Latrice Antionette George Byrdsong lied on the Superior Court record that she did not see RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg's police report attached as an Exhibit, while she had already reviewed all Exhibits prior to the hearing, and KING AROGANT told Aunt Jemima that lying Rosenberg's April 17, 2025 police report was attached to petition, between Exhibits 1-5. Pursuant to California Evidence Code § 352 judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong recklessly abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisdiction because County of Los Angeles had presented lying Brian MARK Rosenberg's police report as an Exhibit and attached it as documentary written evidence in support of their frivolous temporary workplace violence petition. RACIST Rosenberg's fabricated April 17, 2025, police report was also attached a second time to Exhibit 4 in County of Los Angeles's amended petition. County of Los Angeles lying government witnesses Brian MARK Rosenberg and Cynthia Nakao both referenced the April 17, 2025, police report

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

in their perjurious declaration, written statements that were filed in both the original frivolous petition and the even more frivolous amended petition. See now a true and correct copy of RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg's perjurious and fabricated April 17, 2025, crime report attached to KING AROGANT'S **Verified Appendix of Exhibits, Tab 1, Exhibit 1**, which is a true and correct certified copy of County of Los Angeles's frivolous 173-page workplace violence restraining order petition. Exhibit 1 proves by clear and convincing evidence that Aunt Jemima Sell Out knew very well that she was frivolously striking KING AROGANT'S relevant cross-examination questions while lying on the court record that she did not see plain as day Exhibits 1-5. Aunt Jemima Sell Out's reckless actions of striking KING AROGANT'S key and very important cross-examination questions regarding RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg's fabricated April 17, 2025, police report denied KING AROGANT of his United States Constitution Due Process Clause rights and changed the outcome of August 19, 2025's hearing outcome.

Biased County of Los Angeles employee Latrice Antionette George Byrdsong recklessly prevented KING AROGANT from having a fair and impartial workplace violence restraining order court hearing trial by her willfully, recklessly, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, fraudulently, and callously limiting the cross-examination of RACIST AND LYING Brian MARK Rosenberg, which resulted in KING AROGANT not having any opportunity to ask racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg questions about the following:

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

On August 19, 2025, due to the prejudiced, biased, partial, and unfair Superior Court of California judicial officer Aunt Jemima Sell Out willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, knowingly, carelessly, deliberately, fraudulently and callously limiting KING AROGANT'S cross-examination of lying and racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg to fewer than ten questions, and limiting the duration of KING AROGANT'S cross-examination to less than thirty minutes. KING AROGANT was unable and handicapped from asking Brian MARK Rosenberg approximately one hundred and fifty (150) or more questions regarding the following, but not at all limited to the following:

1. **His October 13, 2023, email he received from KING AROGANT.**

2. **His Fabricated Attachment Page filed on November 17, 2023, in frivolous petition 23PDRO01713.**

3. **Paragraph of his declaration regarding March 20, 2025, and April 17, 2025.**

4. **Numerous Questions Regarding His Criminal Protective Order.**

5. **Numerous Questions Regarding His Fabricated and False Police Report.**

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

6. **Numerous Questions About the GoPro Video Recording Evidence He Falsified in an Illegal Criminal Case, GA114055.**

7. **Numerous Questions About Why He Permitted the Lying State Government Jennifer Hutton-Heger to Testify Falsely Regarding a Knife Incident.**

8. **Numerous Questions About Why He Permitted the Lying State Government Witnesses Billy Khounthavong and Jennifer Hutton-Heger to testify falsely regarding the time stamps of two 911 audio recording calls.**

9. **Numerous Questions About Why He Permitted the Lying State Government Jennifer Hutton-Heger to Testify Falsely regarding text messages KING AROGANT sent her on the early morning of February 21, 2023.**

10. **Numerous Questions About Why He Recklessly and Deliberately Failed to Provide Court-Appointed Witness Thomas Guzman-Sanchez with a Copy Of Phony and Bogus LASD GoPro Video Recordings GL010586 and GL010587.**

11. **Numerous Questions About Why He Recklessly and Deliberately Failed to Provide Court-Appointed Witness Thomas Guzman-Sanchez with a Copy Of Original GoPro Video Recordings, Even After Sanchez Requested Them.**

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

12. **Numerous Questions About Why He Recklessly and Deliberately Failed to Provide Court-Appointed Witness Neil Opdahl (SBN # 277596) with a Copy Of Phony and Bogus LASD GoPro Video Recordings GL010586 and GL010587.**

13. **Numerous Questions About Why He Recklessly and Deliberately Failed to Provide Court-Appointed Witness Neil Opdahl (SBN # 277596) with a Copy Of Original GoPro Video Recordings, Even After Opdahl Requested Them**

14. **Numerous Questions About Why He Recklessly and Deliberately Failed to Provide Court-Appointed Witness Carlos Anthony Jackson (PI # 28424) with A Copy Of Phony and Bogus LASD GoPro Video Recordings GL010586 and GL010587.**

15. **Numerous Questions About Why He Recklessly and Deliberately Failed to Provide Court-Appointed Witness Carlos Anthony Jackson (PI # 277596) with a Copy Of Original GoPro Video Recordings Even After Jackson Requested Them.**

16. **Numerous Questions About Why He Recklessly and Deliberately Failed to Provide Court-Appointed Witness Carlos Joel Simone Wyenn (PI # 10818) with A Copy of the Phony and Bogus LASD GoPro Video Recordings GL010586 and GL010587.**

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

17. Numerous Questions About Why He Recklessly and Deliberately Failed to Provide Court-Appointed Witness Joel S. Wyenn (PI # 10818) with a Copy Of Original GoPro Video Recordings Even After Wyenn Requested Them.

18. Numerous questions about Brian MARK Rosenberg's continuous and ongoing racial animus, racial biased, and racial hostility towards KING AROGANT that was proven by clear and convincing evidence when lying, Rosenberg recklessly filed a false police report in which racially animus Rosenberg completed and requested the private person's arrest of KING AROGANT by falsely alleging that KING AROGANT had falsely sent him five separate emails while Rosenberg knew that KING AROGANT had only sent him one email (November 13, 2023) in the past nearly seventeen months (as of April 17, 2025).

On August 19, 2025, August Jemima Sell Out's reckless actions of limiting KING AROGANT'S testimony of RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg (SBN #291501) was ridiculous, fundamentally unfair, violated the United States Constitution, and violated KING AROGANT'S United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights because County of Los Angeles's petition was just over one-hundred seventy pages and included over one-hundred and fifty pages of Exhibits in support of its false, fabricated, and outlandish allegations made against KING AROGANT.

On August 19, 2025, biased and incompetent judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong did not have legal authorization to limit KING AROGANT'S cross-examination of lying and racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg. A judicial officer can only limit a respondent/defendant's cross-examination of a witness pursuant to California Evidence Code § 721 (Cross-examination of an Expert Witness). Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously violated California Evidence Code § 765 by recklessly blocking KING AROGANT from conducting and having an effective cross-examination of lying Rosenberg for the ascertainment of the truth. For example, Byrdsong recklessly stopped the cross-examination of lying Rosenberg before KING AROGANT could ask him any questions about November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, and June 8, 2024, emails Rosenberg lied he received, and KING AROGANT did not have an opportunity to ask racially animus and lying Rosenberg any questions about the filing of his perjurious, fabricated, and falsified April 17, 2025, city of Alhambra crime report. The city of Alhambra police report, and numerous filings in frivolous case **25CJCM02162-01**, including a frivolous Criminal Protective Order dated April 21, 2025, in the Now Dismissed illegal five-count criminal complaint for which were Exhibits in support of the County of Los Angeles's racist and illegal workplace violence original petition and subsequently filed frivolous June 9, 2025, amended petition. Yet, incompetent and partial Latrice Byrdsong prevented KING AROGANT from asking lying and racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg any questions about the above-stated County of Los Angeles falsified evidence Exhibits that were recklessly filed twice inside the Superior Court in support of their racist and unconstitutional workplace violence petition. Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately,

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

wantonly, and callously violated California Evidence Code § 769 by recklessly preventing KING AROGANT from asking lying Brian MARK Rosenberg questions about prior statements he made in frivolous case **23PDRO01713, in which lying criminal Brian MARK Rosenberg testified on direct examination and in his perjurious 2025 declaration that KING AROGANT sent him an email on November 23, 2023, while in 23PDRO01713, he attached an Exhibit of an email that was dated for November 13, 2023.** Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously violated California Evidence Code § 770 by recklessly excusing lying Rosenberg before KING AROGANT had an opportunity to cross-examine him on over one-hundred questions that would have resulted in the production of numerous pieces of evidence of our of court statements made by Rosenberg made that were very inconsistent with his perjurious August 19, 2025 trial court testimony. Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously violated California Evidence Code § 773 by recklessly limiting KING AROGANT'S cross-examination of lying and racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg to just thirty minutes, which only gave KING AROGANT an opportunity to ask lying Rosenberg approximately ten questions that were in the scope of County of Los Angeles's direct examination of racially animus Rosenberg by rogue and unethical County of Los Angeles civil attorney Vanessa Ann Evangelista **(SBN # 238474).** Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously violated KING AROGANT'S United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights by recklessly limiting KING AROGANT'S cross-examination of lying Rosenberg, and then recklessly violating his Due Process constitutional civil rights by recklessly

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

excusing racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg before KING AROGANT could ask him any relevant and adequate cross examination questions beyond, approximately the 8th to 10th question. Biased Superior Court of California Judicial Officer Latrese Byrdsong must be disciplined for recklessly, willfully, knowingly, carelessly, fraudulently, wantonly, deliberately, and callously violating **California Code of Judicial Ethics, Canon 1: A Judge Shall Uphold the Integrity and Independence of the Judiciary, Canon 2: A Judge Shall Avoid Impropriety and the Appearance of Impropriety in all of the Judge's Activities, and Canon 3: A Judge Shall Perform The Duties of Judicial Office Impartially, Competently, and Diligently** over and over again throughout the frivolous and unconstitutional hearing on County of Los Angeles's perjurious, falsified, unconstitutional, and fabricated workplace violence petition.

On August 19, 2025, Respondent KING AROGANT was prevented from having a fair trial due to the Superior Court and partial judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously limiting the cross-examination of lying Cynthia Michiko Nakao (SBN # 137510), which resulted in KING AROGANT not having any opportunity to ask racially animus Cynthia Nakao questions about the following:

1. MARK Rosenberg's October 13, 2023, email he received from KING AROGANT.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

2. **MARK Rosenberg's Fabricated Attachment Page filed on November 17, 2023, In frivolous petition 23PDRO01713, as Nakao mentioned, This Case in her Perjurious Declaration.**

3. **Paragraph of Cynthia Nakao's declaration regarding the dates of February 4, 2025 March 20, 2025, and April 17, 2025.**

4. **Numerous Questions Regarding Frivolous Criminal Protective Order, in Which Nakao Declared in Her Declaration.**

5. **Numerous Questions Regarding Rosenberg's Fabricated and False Police Report, Which Nakao Mentioned and Declared In Her Perjurious Declaration.**

6. **Numerous Questions About the GoPro Video Recording Evidence Rosenberg Falsified and Nakao's Alhambra DA Office Recklessly Refused to Turn Over.**

7. **Numerous Questions About Why He Permitted the Lying State Government Jennifer Hutton-Heger to Testify Falsely Regarding a Knife Incident.**

8. **Numerous Questions About Why He Permitted the Lying State Government Witnesses Billy Khounthavong and Jennifer Hutton-Heger to testify falsely regarding the time stamps of two 911 audio recording calls.**

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

9. Numerous Questions About Why the Alhambra DA Office Permitted the Lying State Government Jennifer Hutton-Heger to Testify Falsely regarding text messages KING AROGANT sent her on the early morning of February 21, 2023.

10. Numerous Questions About Why the Alhambra Field Office Recklessly and Deliberately Failed to Provide Court-Appointed Witness Thomas Guzman-Sanchez with a Copy of Phony and Bogus LASD GoPro Video Recordings GL010586 and GL010587, in Which Racist Sanchez Mentioned In His Declaration.

11. Numerous Questions About Why the Alhambra DA Office Recklessly and Deliberately Failed to Provide Court-Appointed Witness Thomas Guzman-Sanchez with a Copy of the Original GoPro Video Recordings, Even After Sanchez Requested Them, In Which Racist Sanchez Stated in His Declaration That Lying Rosenberg Recklessly Turned Over To Him Altered GoPro Video Recordings.

12. Numerous Questions About Why the Alhambra DA Office Recklessly and Deliberately Failed to Provide Court-Appointed Witness Neil Opdahl (SBN # 277596) with a Copy of Phony and Bogus LASD GoPro Video Recordings GL010586 and GL010587.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

13. **Numerous Questions About Why the Alhambra DA Office Recklessly and Deliberately Failed to Provide Court-Appointed Witness Neil Opdahl (SBN # 277596) with a Copy of the Original GoPro Video Recordings, Even After Opdahl Requested Them.**

14. **Numerous Questions About Why the Alhambra DA Office Recklessly and Deliberately Failed to Provide Court-Appointed Witness Carlos Anthony Jackson (PI # 28424) with A Copy of Phony and Bogus LASD GoPro Video Recordings GL010586 and GL010587.**

15. **Numerous Questions About Why the Alhambra DA Recklessly and Deliberately Failed to Provide Court-Appointed Witness Carlos Anthony Jackson (PI # 277596) with a Copy of the Original GoPro Video Recordings, Even After Jackson Requested Them.**

16. **Numerous Questions About Why the Alhambra DA Recklessly and Deliberately Failed to Provide Court-Appointed Witness Carlos Joel Simone Wyenn (PI # 10818) with A Copy of the Phony and Bogus LASD GoPro Video Recordings GL010586 and GL010587.**

17. **Numerous Questions About Why the Alhambra DA Office Recklessly and Deliberately Failed to Provide Court-Appointed Witness Joel S. Wyenn (PI # 10818) with a Copy of Original GoPro Video Recordings Even After Wyenn Requested Them.**

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

18. Numerous questions about Brian MARK Rosenberg's continuous and ongoing racial animus, racial biased, and racial hostility towards KING AROGANT that was proven by clear and convincing evidence when lying, Rosenberg recklessly filed a false police report in which lying Rosenberg completed and requested private person's arrest of KING AROGANT by falsely alleging that KING AROGANT had falsely sent him five separate emails while Rosenberg knew that KING AROGANT had only sent him one email (November 13, 2023) in the past nearly seventeen months (as of April 17, 2025), Relevant Evidence as County of Los Angeles foolishly attached a copy of lying Brian MARK Rosenberg's Falsified and Fabricated April 17, 2025, City of Alhambra police report (All pages) As an Exhibit to Both Frivolous Petition and Frivolous Amended Petition.

On August 19, 2025, due to the prejudiced, biased, unfair, and inequitable Superior Court of California Judicial Officer Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, knowingly, carelessly, deliberately, and callously limiting KING AROGANT'S cross-examination of lying and racially animus Cynthia Nakao, to fewer than ten questions, and in under twenty minutes. KING AROGANT was legally disabled and handicapped from asking racially animus County of Los Angeles deputy district attorney Cynthia Nakao approximately fifty (50) to seventy-five (75) or more questions regarding the previously stated above allegations against Aunt Jemima Sell Out, 1-18.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Biased and incompetent judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong did not have legal authorization to limit KING AROGANT'S cross-examination of lying and racially animus County of Los Angeles criminal prosecutor Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510)**. A judicial officer can only limit a respondent/defendant's cross-examination of a witness pursuant to California Evidence Code § 721 (Cross-examination of an Expert Witness). Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously violated California Evidence Code § 765 by recklessly blocking KING AROGANT from conducting and having an effective cross-examination of Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510)** for the ascertainment of the truth. For example, Byrdsong recklessly stopped the cross-examination of Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510)** before KING AROGANT could ask him any questions about the November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, and June 8, 2024, emails her co-worker Brian MARK Rosenberg lied he received, and KING AROGANT did not have an opportunity to ask lying Cynthia Nakao any questions about the filing of perjurious, fabricated, and falsified April 17, 2025, city of Alhambra crime report. City of Alhambra police report, and numerous filings in frivolous case 25CJCM02162-01, including a frivolous Criminal Protective Order erroneously issued in April 2025 in the Now Dismissed illegal five-count criminal complaint, in which the perjurious police report and illegally issued CPO were all Exhibits in support of the County of Los Angeles's racist and illegal workplace violence original petition and June 9, 2025, amended petition, yet incompetent and partial Latrice Byrdsong prevented KING AROGANT from asking lying and racially animus Cynthia Nakao any questions about the above-stated County of Los Angeles falsified evidence Exhibits that were recklessly filed twice inside of the Superior Court in support of their racist and unconstitutional workplace violence petition.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Sell Out Aunt Jemima Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously violated California Evidence Code § 769 by recklessly preventing KING AROGANT from asking lying Cynthia Nakao questions about prior statements she made in declaration regarding what occurred at Alhambra DA office on February 4, 2025, and April 17, 2025, recklessly preventing KING AROGANT from asking questions regarding false April 17, 2025 arrest, April 21, 2025 criminal charges Cynthia Nakao approved, orchestrated and then transferred frivolous case to Clara Foltz-Shortridge Criminal Justice Center, recklessly preventing KING AROGANT from asking lying Cynthia Nakao any questions about April 21, 2025 Criminal Protective Order she mentioned in her perjurious declaration, and so much more. Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously violated California Evidence Code § 770 by recklessly excusing lying Cynthia Nakao before KING AROGANT had an opportunity to cross-examine her on over one-hundred questions that would have resulted in the production of numerous pieces of evidence of court statements made by Nakao that were very inconsistent with her perjurious August 19, 2025 trial court testimony and her May 2025 declaration. Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously violated California Evidence Code § 773 by recklessly limiting KING AROGANT'S cross-examination of lying and racially animus Cynthia Nakao to just thirty minutes, which only gave KING AROGANT an opportunity to ask lying Cynthia Nakao approximately ten questions that were in the scope of the County of Los Angeles's direct examination of racially animus Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510) that was done** by rogue and unethical County of Los Angeles civil attorney Vanessa Ann Evangelista

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

(SBN # 238474). Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously violated KING AROGANT'S United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights by recklessly limiting KING AROGANT'S cross-examination of lying Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510)**, and then recklessly violating his Due Process constitutional civil rights by recklessly excusing racially animus Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510)** before KING AROGANT could ask him any relevant and adequate cross examination questions beyond, approximately the 8th to 10th question.
Biased Superior Court of California Judicial Officer Latrese Byrdsong must be disciplined for recklessly, willfully, knowingly, carelessly, fraudulently, wantonly, deliberately, and callously violating **California Code of Judicial Ethics, Canon 1: A Judge Shall Uphold the Integrity and Independence of the Judiciary, Canon 2: A Judge Shall Avoid Impropriety and the Appearance of Impropriety in all of the Judge's Activities, and Canon 3: A Judge Shall Perform The Duties of Judicial Office Impartially, Competently, and Diligently** over and over again throughout the frivolous and unconstitutional hearing on County of Los Angeles's perjurious, falsified, unconstitutional, and fabricated workplace violence petition.

On August 19, 2025 Aunt Jemima Sell Out Latrice Byrdsong abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisidction by the Superior Court, by recklessly preventing KING AROGANT from having a fair trial due to the Superior Court willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously limiting KING AROGANT'S presentation of his GoPro video recordings of February 4, 2025, and April 17, 2025. Pursuant to California Evidence Code §§ 352, 1400, and 1401,

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong recklessly abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisdiction because the County of Los Angeles had presented exhibits and oral testimony by two government witnesses about what occurred on both February 4, 2025, and April 17, 2025. It was fundamentally unfair for biased and partial judicial office Latrice Byrdsong to not allow KING AROGANT to present clear and convincing evidence that would negate and disprove the lying Brian MARK Rosenberg and Cynthia Nakao's trial court testimony and perjurious declarations that alleged that KING AROGANT was creating a disturbance at the Alhambra DA office on February 4, 2025, March 20, 2025, and April 17, 2025.

Due to the prejudiced, biased, partial, and unfair Superior Court of California Judicial Officer Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, knowingly, carelessly, deliberately, fraudulently, and callously limiting KING AROGANT'S presentation of his GoPro video recordings of February 4, 2025, and April 17, 2025. KING AROGANT was unable and legally handicapped from presenting evidence that he did not create a disturbance on February 4, 2025, March 20, 2025, and April 17, 2025, and was also legally handicapped from presenting evidence that he was exercising his constitutional United States civil rights on February 4, 2025, March 20, 2025, and April 17, 2025.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

On August 19, 2025, the biased and partial Superior Court of California judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously violated KING AROGANT'S United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights by **limiting KING AROGANT'S** presentation of his GoPro video recordings of February 4, 2025, and April 17, 2025.

Biased Superior Court of California Judicial Officer Latrese Byrdsong must be disciplined for on August 19, 2025 recklessly, willfully, knowingly, carelessly, fraudulently, wantonly, deliberately, and callously violating **California Code of Judicial Ethics, Canon 1: A Judge Shall Uphold the Integrity and Independence of the Judiciary, Canon 2: A Judge Shall Avoid Impropriety and the Appearance of Impropriety in all of the Judge's Activities, and Canon 3: A Judge Shall Perform The Duties of Judicial Office Impartially, Competently, and Diligently** over and over again throughout the frivolous and unconstitutional hearing on the County of Los Angeles's perjurious, falsified, unconstitutional, and fabricated workplace violence petition.

On August 19, 2025 Sell Out Aunt Jemima abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisdiction by preventing KING AROGANT from having a fair trial due to her willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously refusing to require County of Los Angeles witness Thomas Guzman-Sanchez to testify at the rigged and unconstitutional August 19, 2025, workplace violence hearing. Biased and partial Superior of California judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong willfully,

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously violated California Evidence Code §§ 352, 354, 400, 401, 402, and 411 by recklessly refusing to compel racially animus Thomas Guzman-Sanchez to testify. At the rigged and unconstitutional August 19, 2025, hearing, KING AROGANT made an offer of proof pursuant to California Evidence Code § 401 by telling the Superior Court that racially animus Thomas Guzman-Sanchez had submitted a declaration that was an Exhibit used by the County of Los Angeles to have both their original and amended frivolous workplace violence petitions granted. In that perjurious declaration, Thomas Guzman-Sanchez not only made false allegations that KING AROGANT made a criminal threat to him on October 22, 2023, but Sanchez also stated that KING AROGANT altered the audio recording of the incident. KING AROGANT had a constitutional right to ask Sanchez questions about alleged criminal threats, and he had a right to play the audio recording of the incident during Sanchez's testimony. Yet, biased and partial Superior Court of California judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong recklessly abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisdiction because she knew that the County of Los Angeles had presented exhibits and declarations signed by Thomas Guzman-Sanchez that were falsely and fraudulently used to show KING AROGANT engaged in a course of conduct of unlawful violence. Moreover, Sanchez's testimony should have been allowed because he could have testified to the bias and motivation of the County of Los Angeles's frivolous workplace violence petition, because Sanchez wrote in his declaration in both racist cases, **GA114055 and 25STRO03574**, that Brian Mark Rosenberg, who had a history of lying and harboring racially animus towards KING AROGANT, had recklessly failed to provide Sanchez with a copy of the original GoPro video recordings that he had requested, and instead turned over altered and unusable

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

GoPro video recordings to Sanchez. Latrice Byrdsong's refusal to allow trial court testimony of racially animus County of Los Angeles government witness Thomas Guzman-Sanchez, who was served correctly and subpoenaed. Thomas Guzman-Sanchez should have been compelled to testify pursuant to California Evidence Code § 776 because his trial court oral testimony would have proven by clear and convincing evidence that Cynthia Nakao, County of Los Angeles, and lying Brian MARK Rosenberg were biased and racially animus towards KING AROGANT. It was fundamentally unfair that partial judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong knew that the County of Los Angeles had filed a perjurious declaration of Thomas Guzman-Sanchez in support of both its frivolous original and amended temporary workplace violence petitions, but then she refused to allow KING AROGANT to have Sanchez testify so KING AROGANT could present video recording evidence pursuant to California Evidence Code § 550 that would have proven by clear and convincing evidence that lying Sanchez was never threatened by KING AROGANT on October 22, 2023. Pursuant to California Evidence Code § 550, California Law Revision Commission Comment (Recommendation January 1965), KING AROGANT'S video recording evidence that would have been shown to the Superior Court while racially animus Thomas Guzman-Sanchez was on the witness stand the introduction of video recording evidence of such overwhelming probative force that no person would reasonably disbelief it in the absence of the County of Los Angeles producing evidence that would disprove KING AROGANT'S clear and convincing evidence that he never made a criminal threat to lying and racially animus Thomas Guzman-Sanchez on October 22, 2023.

Unbeknownst to biased, incompetent, and partial judicial officer Latrice

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Byrdsong. During a court hearing on July 15, 2025, KING AROGANT told the Honorable Melanie Ochoa that numerous County of Los Angeles employees were writing sworn declarations against him. Superior Court of California judicial officer Melanie Ochoa told rogue and racially animus County of Los Angeles attorney Vanessa Ann Evangelista (SBN # 238474) to her face and by looking in the eye that the County of Los Angeles's witnesses writing declarations needed to be at the court hearing in person to testify. The Honorable Melanie Ochoa's court order was ignored by Evagelista, County of Los Angeles, City of Alhambra, partial Latrice Byrdsong, and so many more. Moreover, Melanie Ochoa's court ruling said nothing at all about the County of Los Angeles witnesses who wrote declarations appearing by Webex/Court Call.

Biased and partial Superior Court of California judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately wantonly and callously violated KING AROGANT'S United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights by recklessly refusing to allow trial court testimony of racially animus Thomas Guzman-Sanchez, pursuant to California Evidence Code § 776, that would have proven by clear and convincing evidence that Cynthia Nakao, County of Los Angeles, and lying Brian MARK Rosenberg were biased and racially animus towards and against KING AROGANT.

Biased Superior Court of California Judicial Officer Latrese Byrdsong must be disciplined for recklessly, willfully, knowingly, carelessly, fraudulently, wantonly, deliberately, and callously violating **California Code of Judicial Ethics, Canon 1: A Judge Shall Uphold the Integrity and Independence of the Judiciary, Canon 2: A**

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Judge Shall Avoid Impropriety and the Appearance of Impropriety in all of the Judge's Activities, and Canon 3: A Judge Shall Perform The Duties of Judicial Office Impartially, Competently, and Diligently over and over again throughout the frivolous and unconstitutional hearing on the County of Los Angeles's perjurious, falsified, unconstitutional, and fabricated workplace violence petition.

See now a true and correct copy of the video recording uploaded to KING AROGANT'S YouTube channel on July 15, 2023, that proves by clear and convincing evidence that KING AROGANT never made a criminal threat to lying government witness Thomas Guzman-Sanchez on October 22, 2023, attached below as a YouTube video recording website link.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AeAieM4UJ8E&feature=youtu.be

On August 19, 2025 Aunt Jemima Sell Out Latrese Byrdsong abuses her discretion and exceeded her by preventing KING AROGANT from having a fair trial due her willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously refusing to continue the rigged and unconstitutional workplace violence petition hearing after KING AROGANT told the Superior Court that properly subpoenaed and served County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office employee Vrejouhie Isaghoulian deliberately failed to show up to the August 19, 2025 court hearing to testify at the rigged and unconstitutional August 19, 2025, workplace violence hearing. Biased and partial Superior of California judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong willfully,

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously violated California Evidence Code §§ 352, 354, 400, 401, 402, and 411 by recklessly refusing to compel Alhambra DA Office employee Vrejouhie Isaghoulian to testify. This was against the law because Vrejouhie Isaghoulian was present at the Alhambra DA office during the alleged incident on February 4, 2025, and also during the staged and unnecessary evacuation of the Alhambra DA office on April 17, 2025. At the rigged and unconstitutional August 19, 2025, hearing, KING AROGANT made an offer of proof pursuant to California Evidence Code § 401 by telling the Superior Court that Vrejouhie Isaghoulian was present at the Alhambra DA office on February 4, 2025. Superior Court of California judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong recklessly abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisdiction because the County of Los Angeles had presented exhibits and declarations signed by lying Cynthia Nakao and Brian MARK Rosenberg that were used to falsely show KING AROGANT engaged in a course of conduct on February 4, 2025, and April 17, 2025. Moreover, the court hearing should have been continued, and Vrejouhie Isaghoulian's testimony should have been compelled because she could have testified to the bias and motivation of the County of Los Angeles's frivolous workplace violence petition, since she was present on February 4, 2025, and April 17, 2025. Latrice Byrdsong's refusal to allow trial court testimony of Vrejouhie Isaghoulian pursuant to California Evidence Code § 776, that would have proven by clear and convincing evidence that Cynthia Nakao, County of Los Angeles, and lying Brian MARK Rosenberg were biased and racially animus towards KING AROGANT, legally handicapped KING AROGANT. It was fundamentally unfair that partial judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong knew that the County of Los Angeles had filed perjurious declarations of lying Cynthia Nakao and Brian MARK Rosenberg in support

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

of both its frivolous original and amended temporary workplace violence petitions, but then refused to allow KING AROGANT to have Vrejouhie Isaghoulian testify so KING AROGANT could present video recording evidence pursuant to California Evidence Code § 550 that would have proven by clear and convincing evidence that KING AROGANT had done absolutely nothing wrong on February 4, 2025 and April 17, 2025. Pursuant to California Evidence Code § 550, California Law Revision Commission Comment (Recommendation January 1965) KING AROGANT'S video recording evidence that would have been shown to the Superior Court while Vrejouhie Isaghoulian was on the witness stand, the introduction of video recording evidence of such overwhelming probative force that no person would reasonably disbelief it in the absence of County of Los Angeles producing evidence that would disprove KING AROGANT'S clear and convincing evidence that he never engaged in any course of conduct with any County of Los Angeles employee on February 4, 2025, and April 17, 2025. Further, KING AROGANT's direct examination of Vrejouhie Isaghoulian would have produced further evidence that KING AROGANT had done nothing wrong on February 4, 2025, and April 17, 2025.

Biased and partial Superior Court of California judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously violated KING AROGANT'S United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights by recklessly refusing to allow the trial court testimony of Vrejouhie Isaghoulian pursuant to California Evidence Code 776, that would have proven by clear and convincing evidence that Cynthia Nakao, County of Los Angeles, and lying Brian MARK Rosenberg, were biased and racially

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

animus towards and against KING AROGANT.

Biased Superior Court of California Judicial Officer Latrese Byrdsong must be disciplined for recklessly, willfully, knowingly, carelessly, fraudulently, wantonly, deliberately, and callously violating **California Code of Judicial Ethics, Canon 1: A Judge Shall Uphold the Integrity and Independence of the Judiciary, Canon 2: A Judge Shall Avoid Impropriety and the Appearance of Impropriety in all of the Judge's Activities, and Canon 3: A Judge Shall Perform the Duties of Judicial Office Impartially, Competently, and Diligently** over and over again throughout the frivolous and unconstitutional hearing on the County of Los Angeles's perjurious, falsified, unconstitutional, and fabricated workplace violence petition.

See now a true and correct copy of the video recording uploaded to KING AROGANT'S YouTube channel on July 22, 2025, that proves by clear and convincing evidence that KING AROGANT never engaged in any course of conduct with any County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office employee on February 4, 2025, attached below.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=l9DqwQWLgfU

Aunt Jemima Sell Out Latrese Byrdsong abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisdiction by preventing KING AROGANT from having a fair trial due to her

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously refusing to require properly subpoenaed and served City of Alhambra peace officer Benjamin Yang to testify at the rigged and unconstitutional August 19, 2025, workplace violence hearing. Biased and partial Superior of California judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously violated California Evidence Code §§ 352, 354, 400, 401, 402, and 411 by recklessly refusing to compel racially animus and rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang to testify. At the rigged and unconstitutional August 19, 2025, hearing, KING AROGANT made an offer of proof pursuant to California Evidence Code § 401 by telling the Superior Court that racially animus and rogue city of Alhambra peace officer had submitted a crime report that was an Exhibit used by the County of Los Angeles to have both their original and amended frivolous workplace violence petitions granted. Yet, biased and partial Superior Court of California judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong recklessly abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisdiction because the County of Los Angeles had presented exhibits and declarations signed by Benjamin Yang that were used to falsely show KING AROGANT engaged in an unlawful course of conduct with lying and racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg on October 13, 2023, November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, and June 8, 2024 (two separate emails). Moreover, Benjamin Yang's testimony should have been allowed because he could have testified to the fact that he falsified a crime report on behalf of Brian MARK Rosenberg and the County of Los Angeles by lying that four emails that KING AROGANT never sent to lying Rosenberg were attached to the end of his police report (November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, and twice on June 8, 2024). KING AROGANT had a constitutional right to question racist Benjamin Yang regarding

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

the staged Alhambra DA office evacuation and what lying Brian MARK Rosenberg told him on April 17, 2025. For example, in his May 2025 declaration, lying Rosenberg stated he saw KING AROGANT outside of his Alhambra DA office, but in the police report, rogue peace officer Yang wrote that, racially animus, Brian MARK Rosenberg saw KING AROGANT outside of the Alhambra Superior Court on April 17, 2025. Latrice Byrdsong's refusal to allow trial court testimony of racially animus properly served and subpoenaed city of Alhambra peace officer Benjamin Yang pursuant to California Evidence Code § 776 that would have proven by clear and convincing evidence that Cynthia Nakao, County of Los Angeles, and lying Brian MARK Rosenberg were biased and racially animus towards KING AROGANT. It was fundamentally unfair that partial judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong knew that the County of Los Angeles had filed a copy of Benjamin Yang's fabricated, falsified and perjurious crime report in support of both its frivolous original and amended temporary workplace violence petitions, but then refused to allow KING AROGANT to have Benjamin Yang testify so KING AROGANT could present video recording evidence pursuant to California Evidence Code § 550 that would have proven by clear and convincing evidence that lying Benjamin Yang recklessly violated California Penal Code § 118.1, California Government Code § 6200, and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, §§ 1013 and 1205. Pursuant to California Evidence Code § 550, California Law Revision Commission Comment (Recommendation January 1965) KING AROGANT'S video recording evidence that would have been shown to the Superior Court while racially animus and rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang was on the witness stand, the introduction of video recording evidence of such overwhelming probative force that no person would reasonably disbelief it in the absence of County of Los Angeles producing

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

evidence that would disprove KING AROGANT'S clear and convincing evidence that KING AROGANT never emailed lying Brian MARK Rosenberg on November 28, 2023, February 13, 2024, and June 8, 2024, and that further, rogue peace officer knew he was filing a false police report on April 17, 2025.

Both lying Brian Mark Rosenberg and Cynthia Nakao wrote in their perjurious declarations about the staged and unnecessary April 17, 2025, evacuation of the Alhambra DA office. In his crime report, rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang stated that he was not only a witness to the April 17, 2025, evacuation, but that he also assisted the Alhambra DA office employees with evacuating their workplace. KING AROGANT had a constitutional right to ask rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang about the Alhambra DA office, his falsified police report, and many more topics after Benjamin Yang's falsified crime report was submitted as an Exhibit in support of the County of Los Angeles's frivolous original petition and subsequent second amended petition.

Biased and partial Superior Court of California judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously violated KING AROGANT'S United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights, by recklessly refusing to allow the trial court testimony of rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang pursuant to California Evidence Code § 776, that would have proven by clear and convincing evidence that Cynthia Nakao, County of Los Angeles, and lying Brian MARK Rosenberg were biased and racially animus towards and against KING AROGANT.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Biased Superior Court of California Judicial Officer Latrese Byrdsong must be disciplined and disqualified for recklessly, willfully, knowingly, carelessly, fraudulently, wantonly, deliberately, and callously violating **California Code of Judicial Ethics, Canon 1: A Judge Shall Uphold the Integrity and Independence of the Judiciary, Canon 2: A Judge Shall Avoid Impropriety and the Appearance of Impropriety in all of the Judge's Activities, and Canon 3: A Judge Shall Perform the Duties of Judicial Office Impartially, Competently, and Diligently** over and over again throughout the frivolous and unconstitutional hearing on the County of Los Angeles's perjurious, falsified, unconstitutional, and fabricated workplace violence petition.

Unbeknownst to biased, incompetent, and partial judicial officer Latrice Brydsong. During a court hearing on July 15, 2025, KING AROGANT told the Honorable Melanie Ochoa that numerous County of Los Angeles employees were writing sworn declarations against him. Superior Court of California judicial officer Melanie Ochoa told rogue and racially animus County of Los Angeles attorney Vanessa Ann Evangelista **(SBN # 238474)** to her face and by looking in the eye that the County of Los Angeles's witnesses writing declarations needed to be at the court hearing in person to testify. The Honorable Melanie Ochoa's court order was ignored by Evagelista, County of Los Angeles, City of Alhambra, partial Latrice Byrdsong, and so many more. Moreover, Melanie Ochoa's court ruling said nothing at all about the County of Los Angeles witnesses who wrote declarations appearing by Webex/Court Call. Since Benjamin Yang wrote an affidavit against KING AROGANT, he was required to appear in person and testify to his falsified crime report on August 19, 2025.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

abuse of discretion by the Superior Court, by which Respondent KING AROGANT was prevented from having a fair trial due to the Superior Court willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously refusing to require properly subpoenaed and served County of Los Angeles peace officer sergeant Christopher Barreras to testify at the rigged and unconstitutional August 19, 2025, workplace violence hearing. Biased and partial Superior of California judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously violated California Evidence Code §§ 352, 354, 400, 401, 402, and 411 by recklessly refusing to compel County of Los Angeles peace officer Christopher Barreras to testify. At the rigged and unconstitutional August 19, 2025, hearing biased and partial Superior Court of California judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong left Sergeant Barreras outside of the courtroom the entire time and never called him inside to testify, not even when it was the defense's opportunity to present witnesses. As documented in rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang's crime report, he spoke to peace officer Christopher Barreras, and Barreras told Benjamin Yang that he was present the entire time KING AROGANT was on the first floor of the Alhambra Superior Court on April 17, 2025. Moreover, KING AROGANT subpoenaed Sergeant Barreras in the first place because he would have testified that (1) KING AROGANT never approached the Alhambra DA office prior to it being evacuated as was alleged in the perjurious declaration of lying Brian MARK Rosenberg, and (2) Lying Brian MARK Rosenberg was never present and never walked inside of the Alhambra DA office's front office on April 17, 2025 as he lied that he did so in his perjurious declaration. Yet, biased and partial Superior Court of California judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong recklessly abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisdiction because the County of Los Angeles had

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

presented exhibits and declarations signed by Benjamin Yang that were used to falsely show KING AROGANT engaged in an unlawful course of conduct with lying and racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg on April 17, 2025.

Moreover, Christopher Barreras's testimony should have been allowed because he could have testified to the fact that he did not observe KING AROGANT approach Alhambra DA office prior to it being evacuated and that he did not observe KING AROGANT doing anything wrong whatsoever. It was fundamentally unfair that partial judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong knew that the County of Los Angeles had filed a copy of Benjamin Yang's fabricated, falsified and perjurious crime report in support of both its frivolous original and amended temporary workplace violence petitions, but then refused to allow KING AROGANT to have County of Los Angeles peace officer Christopher Barreras testify so KING AROGANT could present oral testimony evidence pursuant to California Evidence Code § 550 that would have proven by clear and convincing evidence that lying Benjamin Yang recklessly violated California Penal Code § 118.1, California Government Code § 6200, and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, §§ 1013 and 1205, and lying Rosenberg recklessly violated California Penal Code § 148.5 on April 17, 2025 by telling numerous fabricated lies in his falsified April 17, 2025 crime report. Pursuant to California Evidence Code § 550, California Law Revision Commission Comment (Recommendation January 1965). County of Los Angeles peace officer Christopher Barreras would have been shown to the Superior Court while he was testifying on the witness stand the introduction of oral testimony evidence of such overwhelming probative force that no person would reasonably disbelief it in the absence of County of Los Angeles producing evidence that would disprove KING AROGANT'S

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

clear and convincing evidence that KING AROGANT never made any contact with lying Rosenberg and the Alhambra DA office on April 17, 2025, prior to it being evacuated, and that further, rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang knew he was filing a false police report on April 17, 2025, and lying Rosenberg knew he was filing a false police report on April 17, 2025.

Both lying Brian Mark Rosenberg and Cynthia Nakao wrote in their perjurious declarations about the staged and unnecessary April 17, 2025, evacuation of the Alhambra DA office. In his crime report, rogue peace officer Benjamin Yang stated that he was not only a witness to the April 17, 2025, evacuation, but that he also assisted the Alhambra DA office employees with evacuating their workplace. KING AROGANT had a constitutional right to ask County of Los Angeles peace officer Christopher Barreras questions about what he observed on April 17, 2025.

Biased and partial Superior Court of California judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong willfully, recklessly, fraudulently, knowingly, carelessly, maliciously, deliberately, wantonly, and callously violated KING AROGANT'S United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights, by recklessly refusing to allow the trial court testimony of the County of Los Angeles peace officer Christopher Barreras, pursuant to California Evidence Code § 776, that would have been proven by clear and convincing evidence that Cynthia Nakao, County of Los Angeles, and lying Brian MARK Rosenberg were biased and racially animus towards and against KING AROGANT, and staged a FAKE and unnecessary Alhambra DA office evacuation while KING AROGANT had done nothing wrong to any of them on February 4, 2025, and

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

April 17, 2025.

Biased Superior Court of California Judicial Officer Latrese Byrdsong must be disciplined and disqualified for recklessly, willfully, knowingly, carelessly, fraudulently, wantonly, deliberately, and callously violating **California Code of Judicial Ethics, Canon 1: A Judge Shall Uphold the Integrity and Independence of the Judiciary, Canon 2: A Judge Shall Avoid Impropriety and the Appearance of Impropriety in all of the Judge's Activities, and Canon 3: A Judge Shall Perform the Duties of Judicial Office Impartially, Competently, and Diligently** over and over again throughout the frivolous and unconstitutional hearing on the County of Los Angeles's perjurious, falsified, unconstitutional, and fabricated workplace violence petition.

The reason why biased, partial, and incompetent judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong left Christopher Barreras outside the entire day of the rigged and unconstitutional workplace violence hearing was because she was not interested in hearing evidence that would prove KING AROGANT'S innocence, and further, she was not interested in having the Superior Court hear evidence that would prove by clear and convincing evidence that lying Brian MARK Rosenberg and Cynthia Nakao recklessly violated California Penal Code § 118 and California Government Code § 6200 by fabricating lies during their August 19, 2025 testimony and also in their perjurious declaration about what occurred at 150 West Commonwealth Avenue, Alhambra, CA 91801 on April 17, 2025.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

The reason why biased, partial, and incompetent judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong left Christopher Barreras outside the entire day of the rigged and unconstitutional workplace violence hearing was because she was not interested in hearing evidence that would prove KING AROGANT'S innocence, and further, she was not interested in having the Superior Court hear evidence that would prove by clear and convincing evidence that lying Brian MARK Rosenberg recklessly violated California Penal Code § 148.5 by filing a false police report by fabricating lies on what occurred at 150 West Commonwealth Avenue, Alhambra, CA 91801 on April 17, 2025.

On August 19, 2025 Aunt Jemima Latrese Byrdsong abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisdiction by preventing KING AROGANT from having a fair trial due to her deliberately failing to consider evidence that would have proved that lying and racially animus County of Los Angeles witnesses Brian MARK Rosenberg and Cynthia Nakao intentionally and deliberately violated California Penal Code § 118 throughout their perjurious trial court testimony and during many paragraphs of their perjurious declarations.

On August 19, 2025, Aunt Jemima Latrese Byrdsong abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisdiction by preventing KING AROGANT from having a fair trial due to her willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously refusing to order properly subpoenaed and served defense witnesses Benjamin Yang and Thomas Guzman-Sanchez to testify despite the fact that they were

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

both public servants that wrote sworn affidavits against KING AROGANT alleging that he had not only engaged in a course of conduct, but also committed acts of unlawful workplace violence.

On August 19, 2025 Aunt Jemima Latrese Byrdsong abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisdiction by preventing KING AROGANT from having a fair trial due to her willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously refused to continue the rigged August 19, 2025, workplace violence hearing, even though she knew that properly subpoenaed County of Los Angeles employee Vrejouhie Isaghoulian had deliberately failed to appear at the hearing.

On August 19, 2025 Aunt Jemima Latrese Byrdsong abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisdiction by preventing KING AROGANT from having a fair trial due to her willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously allowing, permitting, and tolerating racially animus and lying County of Los Angeles employees Brian MARK Rosenberg and Cynthia Nakao committed perjury while testifying at the rigged and unconstitutional workplace violence restraining order petition hearing.

On August 19, 2025 Aunt Jemima Latrese Byrdsong abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisdiction by preventing KING AROGANT from having a fair trial due to her willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously refused to allow Respondent KING AROGANT to cross-examine effectively and fairly the perjurious and lying County of Los Angeles witness Cynthia

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510).**

On August 19, 2025 Aunt Jemima Latrese Byrdsong abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisdiction by preventing KING AROGANT from having a fair trial due to her willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously refused to allow Respondent KING AROGANT to cross-examine effectively and fairly the perjurious and lying County of Los Angeles witness Brian MARK Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)**

On August 19, 2025 Aunt Jemima Latrese Byrdsong abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisdiction by preventing KING AROGANT from having a fair trial due to her willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously allowed and caused the civil harassment restraining order hearing to conclude before KING AROGANT had an opportunity to present his defense in the frivolous workplace violence restraining order hearing.

On August 19, 2025 Aunt Jemima Latrese Byrdsong abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisdiction by preventing KING AROGANT from having a fair trial due to her willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously allowing and causing the civil harassment restraining order hearing to conclude before KING AROGANT had an opportunity to present his defense in the frivolous workplace violence case.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

On August 19, 2025 Aunt Jemima Latrese Byrdsong abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisdiction by preventing KING AROGANT from having a fair trial due to her willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously allowed improper and perjurious Petitioner the County of Los Angeles's frivolous civil workplace violence restraining order hearing to conclude before KING AROGANT had an opportunity to present his February 4, 2025, video recording that would have exonerated KING AROGANT after the court had viewed it and entered said video recording into evidence.

On August 19, 2025 Aunt Jemima Latrese Byrdsong abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisdiction by preventing KING AROGANT from having a fair trial due to her willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously refused to grant Respondent KING AROGANT a continuance after Respondent had produced evidence that he had subpoenaed rogue city of Alhambra peace officer Benjamin Yang to attend County of Los Angeles's rigged court hearing and testify on behalf of the defense, yet the prejudiced, biased, and incompetent Superior Court of California judicial officer allowed the hearing to proceed without KING AROGANT'S witnesses being present.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

On August 19, 2025 Aunt Jemima Latrese Byrdsong abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisdiction by preventing KING AROGANT from having a fair trial due to her willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously refused to grant Respondent KING AROGANT a continuance after Respondent had produced evidence that he had subpoenaed rogue County of Los Angeles witness Thomas Guzman-Sanchez to attend County of Los Angeles's rigged court hearing and testify on behalf of the defense, yet the prejudiced, biased, and incompetent Superior Court of California judicial officer allowed the hearing to proceed without KING AROGANT'S witnesses being present.

On August 19, 2025 Aunt Jemima Latrese Byrdsong abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisdiction by preventing KING AROGANT from having a fair trial due to her willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously refused to grant Respondent KING AROGANT a continuance after Respondent had produced evidence that he had subpoenaed County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office employee Vrejouhie Isaghoulian to attend the County of Los Angeles's court hearing and testify on behalf of the defense, yet the prejudiced, partial, biased, and incompetent, Superior Court of California judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong allowed the hearing to proceed without KING AROGANT'S witnesses present.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

On August 19, 2025 Aunt Jemima Latrese Byrdsong abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisdiction by preventing KING AROGANT from having a fair trial due to her willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously violating the United States Constitution, the California Constitution, and KING AROGANT'S United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights by constantly granting frivolous objections by rogue County of Los Angeles civil attorney Vanessa Ann Evangelista **(SBN # 238474)** that prevented Respondent KING AROGANT from being able to cross-examine perjurious County of Los Angeles witness Brian MARK Rosenberg (SBN # 291501) effectively.

On August 19, 2025 Aunt Jemima Latrese Byrdsong abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisdiction by preventing KING AROGANT from having a fair trial due to her willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously violated the United States Constitution, the California Constitution, and KING AROGANT'S United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights by constantly granting frivolous objections by rogue County of Los Angeles civil attorney Vanessa Ann Evangelista (SBN # 238474) that prevented Respondent KING AROGANT from being able to cross-examine perjurious County of Los Angeles witness Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510)** effectively.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

On August 19, 2025 Aunt Jemima Latrese Byrdsong abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisdiction by preventing KING AROGANT from having a fair trial due to her willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously failing to consider evidence that lying County of Los Angeles government witness Brian MARK Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)** had willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, wantonly, deliberately, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously violated California Penal Code §§ 118 and 148.5.

On August 19, 2025 Aunt Jemima Latrese Byrdsong abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisdiction by preventing KING AROGANT from having a fair trial due to her willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously failing to consider evidence that lying County of Los Angeles government witness Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510)** had willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, wantonly, deliberately, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously violated California Penal Code §§ 118 and 148.5

On August 19, 2025 Aunt Jemima Latrese Byrdsong abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisdiction by preventing KING AROGANT from having a fair trial due to her willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously violating the United States Constitution, the California Constitution, and KING AROGANT'S United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights by making a court ruling to grant County of Los Angeles's frivolous workplace violence petition while no evidence testified to that had proven a

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

course of conduct, and Latrese Byrdsong's ruling was against the law pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.6 and California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.8

On August 19, 2025 Aunt Jemima Latrese Byrdsong abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisdiction by preventing KING AROGANT from having a fair trial due to her willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously violated the United States Constitution, the California Constitution, and KING AROGANT'S United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights by granting County of Los Angeles's frivolous workplace violence petition while false allegations testified to by RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg and Cynthia Nakao at the hearing showed no evidence that any type of civil harassment course of conduct would occur or had occurred at or would occur at any time in the future. and Latrese Byrdsong's ruling was against the law pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.6 and California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.8

On August 19, 2025 Aunt Jemima Latrese Byrdsong abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisdiction by preventing KING AROGANT from having a fair trial due to her willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously violated the United States Constitution, the California Constitution, and KING AROGANT'S United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights because she recklessly granted County of Los Angeles's

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

frivolous workplace violence petition based on trial court testimony by lying and racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg stated that in his mind, KING AROGANT made a credible threat of violence to him by KING AROGANT, writing in a November 13, 2023, email that he should go to federal prison for falsifying evidence in an illicit criminal case titled GA114055. Pursuant to California Civil Code of Procedure § 425.16, the above-stated court testimony could not be legally used as evidence that KING AROGANT had engaged in an unlawful course of conduct with lying and racially animus County of Los Angeles employee Brian MARK Rosenberg. Brian MARK Rosenberg's falsified and perjurious testimony was erroneously used by the biased and incompetent County of Los Angeles employee Latrese Byrdsong to make law findings and conclusions that KING AROGANT engaged in an unlawful course of conduct.

On August 19, 2025 Aunt Jemima Latrese Byrdsong abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisdiction by preventing KING AROGANT from having a fair trial due to her willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously violated the United States Constitution, the California Constitution, and KING AROGANT'S United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights because she recklessly granted County of Los Angeles's frivolous petition based on the lying and racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501) falsely** testifying that KING AROGANT had harassed him by sending emails to his co-workers in which in those emails KING AROGANT called lying and racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg a racist, corrupt, and stated he would file complaints

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

against him. Pursuant to California Civil Code of Procedure § 425.16, the above-stated court testimony could not be legally used as evidence that KING AROGANT had engaged in an unlawful course of conduct with lying and racially animus County of Los Angeles employee Brian MARK Rosenberg. Brian MARK Rosenberg's falsified and perjurious testimony was erroneously used by the biased Latrese Byrdsong to make law findings and conclusions that KING AROGANT engaged in an unlawful course of conduct.

On August 19, 2025 Aunt Jemima Latrese Byrdsong abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisdiction by preventing KING AROGANT from having a fair trial due to her willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously violated the United States Constitution, the California Constitution, and KING AROGANT'S United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights because she recklessly granted County of Los Angeles's frivolous petition based on the lying and racially animus Cynthia Nakao **(SBN # 137510) falsely** testified that KING AROGANT had harassed Brian MARK Rosenberg by sending emails to his co-workers in which in those emails KING AROGANT called lying and racially animus Brian MARK Rosenberg, a racist, corrupt, and stated that he would file complaints against him. Pursuant to California Civil Code of Procedure § 425.16, the above-stated court testimony could not be legally used as evidence that KING AROGANT had engaged in an unlawful course of conduct with lying and racially animus, County of Los Angeles employee Cynthia Michiko Nakao's falsified and

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

perjurious testimony was erroneously used by the incompetent and biased County of Los Angeles employee, Latrese Byrdsong to make law findings and conclusions that KING AROGANT engaged in an unlawful course of conduct.

California appellate case law states explicitly that KING AROGANT'S ongoing criticism of lying Brian MARK Rosenberg was in the exercise of his First Amendment Freedom of Speech constitutional civil rights.

> "Criticism of those responsible for government operations must be free, lest criticism of government itself be penalized." *(Rosenblatt v. Baer* (1966) 383 U.S. 75, 85.) "The right to speak on political matters is the quintessential subject of our constitutional protections of the right of free speech." *(Matson v. Dvorak* (1995) 40 Cal. App. 4th 539, 548.} "The right of criticism rests upon public policy and those who seek office should not be supersensitive or too thin-skinned concerning criticism of their qualifications." *(Yorty v. Chandler* (1970) 13 Cal. App. 3d 467,473 [internal quotation marks omitted]; *Issa v. Applegate* (2019) 31 Cal. App. 5th 689, 704 ["Harry Truman cautioned would-be solons with sage advice about the heat in the kitchen."].) "Public discussion about the qualifications of those who hold or who wish to hold positions of public trust presents the strongest possible case for applications of the safeguards afforded by the First Amendment." *(Aisenson v. Am. Broad. Co.* (1990) 220 Cal. App. 3d 146,154.) For example, criticism of a public official's "character and fitness for public office" are "public issue or an issue of public interest." *(Vogel v. Felice* (2005) 127 Cal. App.4th 1006, 1015 [collecting cases].)

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.8, subsection (b), paragraph (1), biased, prejudiced, incompetent, and partial Superior Court of California judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong's illicit court decision and court ruling granting the County of Los Angeles's frivolous and perjurious workplace petition on August 19, 2025 were against the law for the following reasons:

a. Lying and racially animus County of Los Angeles employee Brian MARK Rosenberg testified that he only received one email from KING AROGANT back in November 2023. Evidence of this one email was not enough for the Superior Court to make a legal finding and conclusion that KING AROGANT had engaged in a course of conduct with lying Brian MARK Rosenberg. See now California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.8.

b. Lying and racially animus County of Los Angeles employee Brian MARK Rosenberg testified that all subsequent emails sent after November 2023 were sent to his co-workers and not to him directly. CCP § 527.8 (b)(1) partly provides:

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

making telephone calls to an employee; or sending correspondence to an employee by any means, including, but not limited to, the use of the public or private mails, interoffice mail, facsimile, or computer email.

No testimony by lying and racially animus County of Los Angeles employee Brian MARK Rosenberg proved a course of conduct pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.8, subsection (b), paragraph (1)

Rosenberg did not allege in his perjurious August 19, 2025, court testimony that KING AROGANT had directly or indirectly contacted him through the use of computer email. Lying Rosenberg only testified that KING AROGANT sent emails to his co-workers and called him racist, corrupt, and stated he would file complaints against him.

c. Lying and racially animus County of Los Angeles employee Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510)** testified that all emails dated for the months of April 2025 and May 2025 were sent to lying Brian Mark Rosenberg's co-workers, not him directly. CCP § 527.8 (b)(1) partly provides:

making telephone calls to an employee; or sending correspondence to an employee by any means, including, but not limited to, the use of the public or private mails, interoffice mail, facsimile, or computer email.

No testimony by lying and racially animus County of Los Angeles employee Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510)** alleged that KING AROGANT had directly or indirectly contacted Rosenberg through the use of computer email. Lying County of Los Angeles, Cynthia Nakao only testified that KING AROGANT sent emails to his co-workers, calling Rosenberg a racist, corrupt, and stating he would file complaints against him. Fake Petitioner County of Los Angeles presented no evidence that emails sent to lying Rosenberg's co-workers were received by him as well.

Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.8, subsection (c) biased, prejudiced, incompetent, and partial Superior Court of California judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong's illicit court decision and court ruling granting the County of Los Angeles's frivolous and perjurious workplace petition were against the law for the following reasons:

a. Lying and racially animus County of Los Angeles employee Brian MARK Rosenberg testified that KING AROGANT harassed him by calling him a racist, calling him corrupt, stating he would file a complaint against Rosenberg, and by threatening to sue Rosenberg. The illegal petition order granted prohibits KING AROGANT from going to the Alhambra Superior Court, where he was engaged in constitutional protected activities on February 4, 2025, and April 17, 2025. See now California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.8 (c).

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

b. Lying and racially animus County of Los Angeles employee Cynthia Nakao testified that KING AROGANT harassed lying Rosenberg by calling him a racist, calling him corrupt, stating he would file a complaint against Rosenberg, and threatening to sue Rosenberg. The illegal petition order granted prohibits KING AROGANT from going to the Alhambra Superior Court, where he was engaged in constitutional protected activities on February 4, 2025, and April 17, 2025. See now California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.8 (c).

Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.8, subsection (c) biased, prejudiced, incompetent, and partial Superior Court of California judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong's illicit court decision and court ruling granting the County of Los Angeles's frivolous and perjurious temporary workplace violence were against the law for the following reasons:

a. Lying and racially animus County of Los Angeles employee Brian MARK Rosenberg stated in his declaration that KING AROGANT threatened to sue him in open court numerous times. ***Id***. at ¶ 4

b. Lying and racially animus County of Los Angeles employee Brian MARK Rosenberg stated in his declaration that KING AROGANT called him a racist. ***Id***. at ¶ 4

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

c. Lying and racially animus County of Los Angeles employee Brian MARK Rosenberg stated in his declaration that KING AROGANT called him a racist. ***Id***. at ¶ 4

d. Lying and racially animus County of Los Angeles employee Brian MARK Rosenberg stated in his declaration that KING AROGANT threatened to find his family and sue them in every state he could to make Rosenberg pay for prosecuting KING AROGANT. ***Id***. at ¶ 4

e. Lying and racially animus County of Los Angeles employee Brian MARK Rosenberg stated in his declaration that KING AROGANT sent him an email on November 23, 2023, wherein KING AROGANT threatened to send lying Rosenberg to prison for prosecuting him. ***Id***. at ¶ 5

f. Lying and racially animus County of Los Angeles employee Brian MARK Rosenberg stated in his declaration that on March 20, 2025, KING AROGANT caused a disturbance and was even heard threatening to sue Rosenberg for taking 12 months of his life. ***Id***. at ¶ 7 **(Incident actually occurred on February 4, 2025, and Rosenberg fabricated lies that KING AROGANT was at the Alhambra DA office).**

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

g. Lying and racially animus County of Los Angeles employee Brian MARK Rosenberg stated in his declaration that KING AROGANT continues his harassing behavior by calling Rosenberg a racist and threatening litigation against him and his colleagues. ***Id***. at ¶ 9

h. Lying and racially animus County of Los Angeles employee Brian MARK Rosenberg stated in his declaration that KING AROGANT told his colleagues in an email dated May 8, 2025, that in addition to utilizing the same angry verbiage in describing the LADA's Office as racist and corrupt. ***Id***. at ¶ 9

i. Lying and racially animus County of Los Angeles employee Brian MARK Rosenberg stated in his declaration that KING AROGANT continues to avoid complying with the specific terms of the Rosenberg Restraining Order by claiming he is filing or serving documents in a criminal case. ***Id***. at ¶ 10

Based on all the above-stated evidence provided in lying and racially animus, Brian MARK Rosenberg's May 27, 2025, declaration. Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.8, subsection (c) biased, prejudiced, incompetent, and partial Superior Court of California judicial officer Latrice G. Byrdsong's erroneous and illicit June 9, 2025, Superior Court ruling granting County of Los Angeles's frivolous and unconstitutional civil workplace violence petition was against the damn law and United States Constitution.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

On August 19, 2025 Aunt Jemima Latrese Byrdsong abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisdiction by preventing KING AROGANT from having a fair trial due to her willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously violating the United States Constitution, the California Constitution, and KING AROGANT'S United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights by deliberately and fraudulently leaving KING AROGANT'S defense witness County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department sergeant peace officer Christopher Barreras outside the Superior Court of California, Department 25, Stanley Mosk Courthouse courtroom and never calling him inside the courtroom to allow him to testify, even during KING AROGANT'S defense presentation. Sergeant Barreras was present in person on the first floor of the Alhambra Superior Court the entire time KING AROGANT was inside Alhambra Superior Court on April 17, 2025 and he could and would have testified the despite RACIST Rosenberg and Nakao's false allegations, KING AROGANT never made any contact with any human being from Alhambra DA office on April 17, 2025, and Barreras would have testified that KING AROGANT in no way, shape, or form contributed to the need of County of Los Angeles's District Attorney's Office Alhambra DA Field Office's FAKE and MOCK office evacuation. Latrese Byrdsong's actions and illegal acts in this regard resulted in a miscarriage of justice on August 19, 2025, and she must be immediately disciplined and disqualified.

On August 19, 2025 Aunt Jemima Sell Out Latrese Antionette George Byrdsong abused her discretion and exceeded her jurisdiction by preventing KING AROGANT from having a fair trial due to her willfully, recklessly, maliciously, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, fraudulently, and callously violating the United

States Constitution, the California Constitution, and KING AROGANT'S United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights**, and California Code of Judicial Ethics, Canon 1: A Judge Shall Uphold the Integrity and Independence of the Judiciary, Canon 2: A Judge Shall Avoid Impropriety and the Appearance of Impropriety in all of the Judge's Activities, and Canon 3: A Judge Shall Perform The Duties of Judicial Office Impartially, Competently, and Diligently by recklessly permitting, tolerating, and allowing County of Los Angeles witnesses RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg (SBN # 291501) and RACIST Cynthia Michiko Nakao (SBN # 137510) appear at the August 19, 2025 remotely. Byrdsong's reckless actions of allowing RACIST Rosenberg and RACIST Nakao violated KING AROGANT'S United States Constitution Sixth and Fourteenth Amendment civil rights. Additionally, there was no reason for Rosenberg and Nakao to appear remotely, and KING AROGANT had subpoenaed Nakao to appear in person. Byrdsong's actions of allowing RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg and RACIST Cynthia Nakao to appear and testify remotely at the August 19, 2025, court hearing made it very difficult for KING AROGANT to show these witnesses evidence and made it impossible for any judicial officer to read both lying witnesses' demeanors for the purpose of weighing their credibilities.**

IV. LEGAL ARGUMENT IN SUPPORT OF DISQUALIFICATION & CALIFORNIA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE COMPLAINT FILED AGAINST AUNT JEMIMA SELL-OUT LATRESE ANTIONETTE GEORGE BYRDSONG JUDGE

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

A. DISQUALIFICATION & DISCIPLINARY ACTION OF AUNT JEMIMA SELL OUT LATRESE ANTIONETTE GEORGE BYRDSONG IS APPROPRIATE BASED ON HER BIAS, PREJUDICE, & RECKLESS VIOLATION OF CANONS

Disqualification of a judge occurs when the facts creating disqualification arise, not when the disqualification is established. It is the fact of disqualification that controls, not subsequent judicial action on that disqualification. Rossco Holdings, Inc. v. Bank of America, 149 Cal.4th 1353 (2007).

The party seeking disqualification must properly raise the issue and allege facts that show the grounds for the disqualification [*Urias v. Harris Frms, Inc.*, 234 Cal.App.3d 415 [285 Cal.Rptr. 659] (1991); *Church of Scientology of California v. Wollersheim*, 10 Cal.App.4th 370, 6 Cal.Rptr.2d 532 (1992) Bias or prejudice towards a lawyer in the proceeding may be grounds for disqualification [Code Civ. Proc. § 170.1(a) (6) (B); for a form alleging this ground that can be included in a statement of disqualification.

Bias or prejudice under former Code Civ. Proc. § 170(5) (now see Code Civ. Proc. § 170.1(a)(6)) consists of a mental attitude or disposition of a judge toward a party to the litigation [Ensher, Alexander & Barsoom v. Ensher, 225 Cal.App. 2d 318, 323, 37 Cal.Rptr. 327 (1964)]. If it is sufficiently serious to impair a judge's impartiality so that it appears probable that a fair trial cannot be held, a judge will be disqualified [see *Ensher, Alexander & Barsoom v. Ensher (1964)* 225 Cal. App. 2d 318, 322–323, 37 Cal. Rptr. 327]. See 27-317 California Forms of Pleading and Practice--Annotated § 317.195 (2015)

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

B. A SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE MAY BE DISQUALIFIED BASED ON IMPARTIALITY AND FIXED OPINIONS

Cal Code Civ. Proc. § 170.1(a)(6)(A)(iii), providing for disqualification whenever a judge's impartiality might reasonably be questioned, establishes a fundamentally objective standard for disqualification, and the party seeking disqualification no longer need prove that the judge is actually biased. Rather, disqualification is mandated if a reasonable person would entertain doubts concerning the judge's impartiality [*United Farm Workers of America v. Superior Court*, 170 Cal.App.3d 97 [216 Cal.Rptr.3d 97] (1985); *Ng v. Superior Court*, 52 Cal.App.4th 1010, 1023-1024 [61 Cal.Rptr.2d 49] (1997) (for disqualification under Code Civ. Proc. § 170.1(a) (6) (C) court need not determine whether there is actual bias)].

A judge is disqualified under former Code Civ. Proc. § 170(5) (now see Code Civ. Proc. § 170.1(a) (6)) if he or she has a fixed opinion as to some characteristic of a party such that the judge is prevented from deciding the case according to the evidence.

.

Adoption of Richardson, 251 Cal.App.2d 222, 232 [59 Cal. Rptr. 323] (1967)

"The Due Process Clause entitles a person to an impartial and disinterested tribunal in both civil and criminal cases." (*Marshall v. Jerrico, Inc.*, 446 U.S. 238, 242-43, 100 S.Ct. 1610, 64 L.Ed.2d 182 (1980).

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

A judge shall be disqualified for cause if any of the grounds specified in section 170.1 is true, including if "[f]or any reason . . . a person aware of the facts might reasonably entertain a doubt that the judge would be able to be impartial" (§ 170.1, subd. [1064] (a)(6)(C)).

"If a judge who should disqualify himself or herself refuses or fails to do so, any party may file with the clerk a written verified statement objecting to the hearing or trial before the judge and setting forth the facts constituting the grounds for disqualification of the judge." (§ 170.3, subd. (c)(1).).

"[T]he judge may file a consent to disqualification . . . or the judge may file a written verified answer admitting or denying any or all of the allegations contained in the party's statement and setting forth any additional facts material or relevant to the question of disqualification." (§ 170.3, subd. (c)(3).).

If a judge refuses to recuse himself or herself, "the question of disqualification shall be heard and determined by another judge agreed upon by all the parties who have appeared or, in the event they are unable to agree within five days of notification of the judge's answer, by a judge selected by the chairperson of the Judicial Council . . .." (§ 170.3, subd. (c)(5).).

"The judge deciding the question of disqualification may decide the question on the basis of the statement of disqualification and answer and such written arguments as the judge requests, or the judge may set the matter for hearing as promptly as practicable. If a hearing is ordered, the judge shall permit the parties and the judge alleged to be

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

disqualified to argue the question of disqualification and shall for good cause shown hear evidence on any disputed issue of fact." (§ 170.3, subd. (c)(6).)

"Statutes governing disqualification for cause are intended to ensure public confidence in the judiciary and to protect the right of the litigants to a fair and impartial adjudicator ..." (*Curle v. Superior Court*, 24 Cal.4th 1057, 1070 [103 Cal.Rptr.2d 751, 16 (2001)).

Willful misconduct has been defined simply as "unjudicial conduct which a judge acting in his judicial capacity commits in bad faith . . . ." *Geiler v. Commission on Judicial Qualifications*, 10, Cal.3d 270, 276 [110 Rptr. 201, 515 P.2d 1] (1973)

C. Judge Latrese Byrdsong's Courtroom Behavior Shows a Pattern of Her Misconduct, and She Must Therefore Be Disqualified & Immediately Removed from Office By the California Commission on Judicial Performance

Aunt Jemima Sell Out Latrese Byrdsong continuously violated KING AROGANT'S constitutional civil rights by not allowing key defense witnesses to testify, sustaining frivolous objections, denying KING AROGANT'S meritorious objections, leaving his witness outside of the courtroom all day, and so on. All throughout August 19, 2025 rigged court hearing Latrese Byrdsong abused her discretion and has continued to show prejudice and bias towards KING AROGANT, see California Code of Civil Procedure § 170.1(a)(2)(B)(ii).

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

In past judicial disciplinary proceedings that have resulted in a judge's removal from office, the misconduct that we have determined justified this most severe of disciplinary sanctions generally has involved a pattern of arbitrary, irrational, and inappropriate conduct of the judge while acting on [913] the bench in dealings with litigants, attorneys, witnesses, and other persons, or while otherwise performing his or her judicial functions, and an abuse of his or her judicial powers and authority. In *Kloepfer v. Commission on Judicial Performance*, 49 Cal.3d 826, 839-849 (1989), for example, the judge had engaged in persistent rude, abusive, hostile, and irrational behavior in dealings with litigants, attorneys, witnesses, and court personnel, often humiliating or demeaning them in the courtroom setting, and regularly abrogated the rights of criminal defendants, accepting guilty pleas from and sentencing defendants in the absence of defense counsel, and arbitrarily adjudging criminal defendants in contempt. In *McCullough v. Commission On Judicial Performance, 49 Cal.3d 186 (1989)*, the judge previously had been censured publicly for failing to decide a case that had been pending before him for six years, and the judge thereafter engaged in misconduct by directing a jury to find a defendant guilty in a criminal proceeding, and conducting the trial of two other defendants in the absence of their attorneys, among other derelictions on the bench. In *Spruance v. Commission on Judicial Qualifications*, 13 Cal.3d 778 (1975), the judge was found to have conducted his court in a bizarre and unjudicial manner, engaging in a pervasive course of acting vindictively toward attorneys who sought to have the judge disqualified or who appealed from his decisions, and to have permitted his business relationships and social friendships improperly to influence his judicial rulings (e.g., according preferential treatment to his longtime friends and political supporters). Also, [*Noel Cannon v. Commission on Judicial Qualifications*, 14 Cal.3d 678 (1975) [the judge committed 21 acts of willful misconduct

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

and 8 other acts of prejudicial conduct, including an egregious abuse of the contempt power, often arbitrarily ordering the incarceration of public defenders and thereby depriving their clients of effective assistance of counsel. Also, *Ryan v. Commission on Judicial Performance*, 45 Cal.3d 518 (1988) [same].

According to Canon 1 of the California Code of Judicial Ethics, an independent, impartial, and honorable judiciary is indispensable to justice in our society. A judge should participate in establishing, maintaining, and enforcing high standards of conduct, and shall personally observe those standards so that the integrity and independence of the judiciary is preserved.

According to Advisory Committee Commentary, Canon 1. Deference to the judgments and rulings of courts depends upon public confidence in the integrity and independence of judges. The integrity and independence of judges depend in turn upon their acting without fear or favor. Although judges should be independent, they must comply with the law and the provisions of this code. Public confidence in the impartiality of the judiciary is maintained by the adherence of each judge to this responsibility. Conversely, violations of this code diminish public confidence in the judiciary and thereby do injury to the system of government under law.

The basic function of an independent, impartial, and honorable judiciary is to maintain the utmost integrity in decision-making and this code should be read and interpreted with that function in mind.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

According to Advisory Committee Commentary, Canons 2 and 2A: The test for the appearance of impropriety is whether a person aware of the facts might reasonably entertain a doubt that the judge would be able to act with integrity, impartiality, and competence.

According to Canon 3, of the California Code of Judicial Ethics: (2) A judge shall be faithful to the law regardless of partisan interests, public clamor, or fear of criticism, and shall maintain competence in the law.

According to Canon 3, of the California Code of Judicial Ethics: (4) A judge shall be patient, dignified, and courteous to litigants, jurors, witnesses, lawyers, and others with whom the judge deals in an official capacity, and shall require similar conduct of lawyers and of all staff and court personnel under the judge's direction and control.

According to Canon 3, of the California Code of Judicial Ethics: (5) A judge shall perform judicial duties without bias or prejudice. A judge shall not, in the performance of judicial duties, engage in speech, gestures, or other conduct that would reasonably be perceived as (a) bias or prejudice, including but not limited to bias based upon, race, sex, gender, religion, national origin, ethnicity, disability, age, sexual orientation, marital status, socioeconomic status, or political affiliation, or (b) sexual harassment.

According to Canon 3 of the California Code of Judicial Ethics: (7) A judge shall accord to every person who has a legal interest in a proceeding or that person's lawyer, the full right to be heard according to law.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

According to the California Code of Judicial Ethics: TERMINOLOGY: "Impartial," "impartiality," and impartially" mean the absence of bias or prejudice in favor of, or against, particular parties or classes of parties, as well as the maintenance of an open mind in considering issues that may come before a judge.

County of Los Angeles employee Latrese Byrdsong did not maintain the utmost integrity in his decision-making at KING AROGANT'S rigged court hearing on August 19, 2025. No evidence testified to by County of Los Angeles witnesses was evidence that KING AROGANT had engaged in any course of conduct. Therefore, Latrese Byrdsong's violation of Canon 1 diminished public confidence in the judiciary and thereby did cause injury to the system of government under law. The only reason Latrese Byrdsong granted County of Los Angeles's frivolous workplace violence petition is because she herself is an employee of the County of Los Angeles.

Judge Latrese Byrdsong deliberately and willfully violated the California Code of Judicial Ethics, Canon 3 by not being faithful to the law. Judge Latrese Byrdsong did not maintain competence in the law. Judge Aunt Jemima Latrese Byrdsong failed to be faithful to the law when she recklessly granted County of Los Angeles's frivolous workplace violence petition with no evidence whatsoever that KING AROGANT had made a credible threat of violence or engaged in an unlawful course of conduct. At the hearing there was no evidence that KING AROGANT had made any contact with FAKE victim Brian MARK Rosenberg since November 2023.

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

. Any person aware of the facts of this case, or cases, would reasonably entertain doubt that Judge Latrese Byrdsong could act with integrity, impartiality, and competence when presiding over any matter where Respondent KING AROGANT is a party to the judicial proceedings. It is unethical for any judicial officer presiding in a civil courtroom to inappropriately sustain a frivolous objection to cross-examination of evidence that was filed by the petitioner, and then further mislead the trial court proceedings by lying that he or she did not see an Exhibit of a police report this judicial officer knew was attached to the frivolous petition.

Judge Latrese Byrdsong violated the California Code of Judicial Ethics by doing all the above-stated nearly twenty allegations, including but not limited to leaving KING AROGANT'S witness outside all day, sustaining frivolous objections, refusing to allow public employees to testify that had doctored police reports and declarations, limiting KING AROGANT'S cross-examination, and so on.

***In Goldberg v. Kelly*, 397 U.S. 254, 90 S.Ct. 1011, 25 L.Ed.2d 287 (1970)**. The United States Supreme Court ruled:

> In almost every setting where important decisions turn on questions of fact, due process requires an opportunity to confront and cross-examine adverse witnesses.

In *Jenkins v. McKeithen*, 395 U.S. 411, 89 S.Ct. 1843, 23 L.Ed 404 (1969) the United States Supreme ruled:

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

The right to confront and cross-examine witnesses is a fundamental aspect of procedural due process.

Willner v. Committee on Character & Fitness, 373 U.S. 96, 83 S.Ct. 1175, 10L.Ed.2d 224, 2 A.L.R.3d 1254 (1963)

In *William L. Greene v. McElroy*, 360 U.S. 474, 79 S.Ct. 1400, 3 L.Ed.2d 1377 (1959). The United States Supreme Court ruled:

"Where the evidence consists of the testimony of individuals whose memory might be faulty, or who, in fact, might be perjurers or persons motivated by malice, vindictiveness, intolerance, prejudice, or jealousy, the individual's right to show that it is untrue depends on the rights of confrontation and cross-examination."

Also; *Matthews v. George H. Eldridge*, 424 U.S. 319, 96 S.Ct. 893, 47 L.Ed.2d 18 (1976) [64 L. Ed. 2d 182, 100 S. Ct. 1610]

In almost every setting where important decision turn on questions of fact, due process requires an opportunity to confront and cross-examine adverse witnesses. *Interstate Commerce Commission & United States v. Louisville & Nashville Railroad Company*, 227 U.S. 88, 33 S.Ct 185, 57 L.Ed. 431 (1913); *Willner v. Committee on Character & Fitness*, 373 U.S. 96, 83 S.Ct. 1175, 10L.Ed.2d 224, 2 A.L.R.3d 1254 (1963).

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Aunt Jemima Sell Out Latrese Antionette George Byrdsong, on numerous occasions on August 19, 2025, abused her discretion, exceeded her jurisdiction, and recklessly denied KING AROGANT of his United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights by recklessly doing all the above aforementioned. Based on the above allegations, County of Los Angeles employee Latrese Brydsong must be immediately removed from office by this California Commission on Judicial Performance, and in frivolous civil law case 25STRO03574, she must be immediately disqualified.

V. CONCLUSION

Aunt Jemima Latrese Antionette George Byrdsong must be immediately removed from public office, immediately disqualified from frivolous civil law case 25STRO03574, and all her erroneous and biased court orders must be immediately vacated.

Respectfully Submitted,

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws and constitution of California and the United States that every word, sentence, paragraph, image, and page of this CIVIL LAW 170.6 PLEADING of Wrongfully Petitioned AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S Verified Civil Law Pleading is true and correct.

Executed on November 15, 2025, in California, San Bernardino County, city of Loma Linda, California

DATE: November 15, 2025

BY: Arogant Hollywood

Arogant Hollywood, ***Esq.***
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106
Mobile: (323) 200-4449
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com

Spirit of Esquire




SELF-HELP
RESOURC
CENTE
ROOM

VERIFICATION OF WRONGFULLY CONVICTED CRIMINAL DEFENDANT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD

United States of America

State of California

City of Loma Linda, California, within the County of San Bernardino

I, **AROGANT HOLLYWOOD**, being duly sworn, say:

I, AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, am a CRIMINAL DEFENDANT in the frivolous, perjurious, and unconstitutional case **GA114055.**

I have carefully and thoroughly read and examined the following:

1. **WRONGFULLY PETITIONED RESPONDENT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S VERIFIED PEREMPTORY CHALLENGE/ DISQUALIFICATION & OFFICIAL STATE OF CALIFORNIA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE COMPLAINT OF BIASED, UNETHICAL, & WANTON COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES EMPLOYEE AND AUNT JEMIMA SELL OUT LATRICE ANTOINETTE GEORGE BYRDSONG PURSUANT TO CAL CODE CIV PROC § 170.6; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES, DECLARATION OF AROGANT HOLLYWOOD**

and I know the contents thereof. The facts stated therein are true and within my personal knowledge, except as to those matters which are therein alleged on information and belief, and as to those matters, I believe them also to be true.

If I were called upon to testify, I could and would testify competently as to the matters stated herein and therein.

Arogant Hollywood
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: **arogan thollywoodgenius@gmail.com**
Phone: 206.471.1344

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws and constitution of California and the United States that every word, sentence, paragraph, image, and page of this CIVIL LAW Verification of Wrongfully Petitioned AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S Verified Civil Law Pleading is true and correct.

DATE: November 15, 2025

BY: Arogant Hollywood

Arogant Hollywood, *Esq.*
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106
Mobile: (323) 200-4449
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com

Spirit of Esquire

PROOF OF SERVICE

I certify that on **November 15, 2025**, I served one copy of the foregoing.

WRONGFULLY PETITIONED RESPONDENT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S VERIFIED PEREMPTORY CHALLENGE/ DISQUALIFICATION & OFFICIAL STATE OF CALIFORNIA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE COMPLAINT OF BIASED, UNETHICAL, & WANTON COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES EMPLOYEE AND AUNT JEMIMA SELL OUT LATRICE ANTOINETTE GEORGE BYRDSONG PURSUANT TO CAL CODE CIV PROC § 170.6; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES, DECLARATION OF AROGANT HOLLYWOOD

, and any and all attachments, by electronic mail (.pdf format) and facsimile on the following:

Vanessa Ann Evangelista [SBN # 238474]

vevangelista@counsel.lacounty.gov

Nathan Joseph Hochman [SBN # 139137]

nhochman@da.lacounty.gov

Alexander Michael Karkanen [SBN # 162377]

akarkanen@da.lacounty.gov

Cynthia Michiko Nakao [SBN # 137510]

cnakao@da.lacounty.gov

County of Los Angeles Board of Supervisor Kathryn Barger

kathryn@bos.lacounty.gov

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: **arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com**
Phone: 206.471.1344

County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office Alhambra Field Office

626.281.4724

County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office

213.746.7556

Nathan Joseph Hochman [SBN # 182345], District Attorney

nathanhochman@da.lacounty.gov

nathan@da.lacounty.gov

nhochman@da.lacounty.gov

Steven Ian Katz [SBN # 145416], Chief Deputy District Attorney

skatz@da.lacounty.gov

James William Garrison [SBN # 157070], Line Operations, Assistant District Attorney

jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov

Ronald Millard Geltz [SBN # 263186], Former Line Operations Acting Assistant D.A.

rgeltz@da.lacounty.gov

James William Garrison [SBN # 157070], Former Ethics and Integrity Assistant DA
jgarrison@da.lacounty.gov

Fernando Sanchez [SBN # 312245], Special Assistant
fsanchez@da.lacounty.gov

Michael David Kapp [SBN # 2779277], Public Affairs Director
mkapp@da.lacounty.gov

Kathryn Bailey Albracht [SBN # 175822], Special Assistant
kalbrach@da.lacounty.gov

Arisa Mattson [SBN # 195578] Special Assistant
amattson@da.lacounty.gov

Tanishia Wright [non attorney], Former Director of Bureau of Victim Services
twright@da.lacounty.gov

Marc Cornelis Beaart [SBN # 173364], Director of Fraud and Corruption
mbeaart@da.lacounty.gov

Stephanie Pearl Mire [SBN # 158918], Former Prosecutions Support Operations Director
spmire@da.lacounty.gov

Laura Jane Kessner [SBN # 175337], Central Operations Director
ljkessner@da.lacounty.gov

Janis Eve Johnson [SBN # 175280], Head Deputy DA of Family Violence Division (74)
jjohnes@aol.com
Brittany Nicole Nickolas [RELATED TO EVE]
brittnick23@gmail.com
bnickolas@yahoo.com
zlzlbrittnick23@yahoo.com

Kathy Uyen Ta [SBN # 243716], Assistant Head Deputy DA of Family Violence Division
kta@da.lacounty.gov

Pak B. Kouch, [SBN # 204373], Assistant Head Deputy DA of Family Violence Division
pkouch@da.lacounty.gov

Mary Anne Murray [SBN # 185518], Assistant Head Deputy DA of Alhambra Field Office
mmurray@da.lacounty.gov

Victor Manuel Rodriguez [SBN # 156150], Former Head Deputy DA of Alhambra Field Office
vrodriguez@da.lacounty.gov

Cindy Juhyun Park [SBN # 271933], Deputy DA of Alhambra Field Office

cpark@da.lacounty.gov

Cynthia Nakao, Current Head Deputy in Charge of Alhambra District Attorney Field Office Deputy in Charge

cnakao@da.lacounty.gov

Robert Luna, SHERIFF OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT

rluna@lasd.org

Rogue Peace Officer Leo Ka Fong Lo [In possession of phony GOPRO video recordings]

lklo@lasd.org

Rogue Peace Officer Billy Khounthavong [Responsible for sending KING AROGANT'S GoPro camera to Southern California High Tech Task Force and Testifying Falsely that Phony LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL010586 and GL010587 were downloaded from KING AROGANT'S original GoPro camera in February 2023.

bskhount@lasd.org

Robert Bonta, California Attorney General

rbonta@doj.ca.gov

Nicole Amber Davis-Tinkham, Chief Deputy County Counsel of the County of Los Angeles

rdavis-tinkham@counsel.lacounty.gov

nikki.davis1212@gmail.com

Judy Welch-Whitehurst, Chief Deputy County Counsel of the County of Los Angeles

jwhitehurst@counsel.lacounty.gov

County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Office Headquarters

info@da.lacounty.gov

RACIALLY ANIMUS AND LYING BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG
racistbrianmarkrosenberg@da.lacounty.gov

ROGUE AND RACIST PEACE OFFICER BENJAMIN YANG
byang@alhambrapd.org

JOHN ROBERT MORRIS (SBN # 137293)
jmorris@da.lacounty.gov

Martin James Bean (SBN # 194677)
mbean@da.lacounty.gov

and facsimile on the following:

AUNT JEMIMA SELL OUT LATRESE ANTIONETTE GEORGE BYRDSONG

lb@lacourt.org

213.633.1956 (COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE HEADQUARTERS FAX NUMBER)

213.633.0960 (COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE FAMILY VIOLENCE DIVISION FAX NUMBER)

916.323.5341 (CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, OFFICE OF ROBERT BONTA, ATTORNEY GENERAL'S FACSIMILE NUMBER)

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws and Constitution of California, and under the laws, federal statutes, and Constitution of the United States of America that the foregoing declaration is true and correct.

Executed on November 16, 2025, in California, San Bernardino County, city of Loma Linda, California

DATE: November 16, 2025

BY: _____

Arogant Hollywood, ***Esq.***
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106
Mobile: (323) 200-4449
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com

Spirit of Esquire

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, Esq.
ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD
1308 EAST COLORADO BLVD.
PASADENA, CA 91106
Mobile: 206.471.1344
Mobile: 626.755.6442
aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com
OF THEIR OWN COUNSEL
3-0 On Charged Felony Cases Maliciously Prosecuted
Spirit of Esquire

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS PUBLIC BOARD MEETING

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD, **ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD,** Public Commenters, v. hilda solis, lindsey patrice horvath, holly j. mitchell, kathryn barger leibrich, janice hahn, Supervisor(s),	**AROGANT HOLLYWOOD & ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD'S PUBLIC COMMENT FOR NOVEMBER 18, 2025** **Date of Hearing: November 18, 2025** **Time: 10:00 AM** **PUBLIC COMMENT includes herein Exhibits 1-42 (approximately 3,300 pages) that must be made part of the November 18, 2025 Statement of Proceedings/Minutes pursuant to the California Government Code § 54950**

Arogant Hollywood
Alison Helen Fairchild
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD & ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD'S FOR PUBLIC COMMENT FOR NOVEMBER 18, 2025!

AROGANT HOLLYWOOD & ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD'S PUBLIC COMMENT FOR NOVEMBER 18, 2025, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES BOARD OF SUPERVISORS' PUBLIC BOARD MEETING!

The County of Los Angeles must immediately dismiss the frivolous workplace violence petition restraining order **25STRO03574**. Attached hereto is a true and correct electronic portable document file copy of Exhibits 1-42, all inclusive. Exhibits 1-42 prove by clear and convincing evidence that Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles Stanley Mosk Superior Court civil law case **25STRO03574** has no merit because AROGANT HOLLYWOOD **[hereinafter "KING AROGANT"]** has not made any contact whatsoever with RACIST & LYING County of Los Angeles Deputy District Attorney Brian MARK Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)** since KING AROGANT sent a single email to RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg in early November 2023. The evidence in Exhibits 1-42 clearly proves by clear and convincing evidence that KING AROGANT has never contacted Brian MARK Rosenberg by any means of communication since early November 13, 2023.

The County of Los Angeles will be held legally liable for its judicial officer, Timothy R. Martella, granting both a frivolous temporary restraining order on November 14, 2023, and then shortly thereafter a permanent restraining order for LYING & RACIST Brian

Arogant Hollywood
Alison Helen Fairchild
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Email: fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

MARK Rosenberg **(SBN # 291501)** in frivolous and RACIST Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Pasadena Superior Court case PDRO001713 on December 20, 2023. Exhibit 7 proves by clear and convincing evidence that pursuant to California Civil Code of Procedure § 527.6, RACIST Rosenberg's petition showed no course of conduct, and also the illegal petition showed that RACIST Rosenberg was never a victim of a credible threat of unlawful violence or a victim of unlawful violence. During the year 2024, the County of Los Angeles paid its employee Timothy R. Martella a grand total of $ 244,129.00.

County of Los Angeles and RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg fabricated lies in their **25STRO03574** workplace violence petition legal documents by lying to the Superior Court that RACIST Rosenberg's frivolous **PDRO01713** civil harassment petition prevented KING AROGANT from going to RACIST BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG'S workplace located at 150 West Commonwealth Avenue, Alhambra, CA 91801, First Floor. THIS IS NOT AT ALL TRUE! See now Exhibit 7 at page 86. The Superior Court order stated that KING AROGANT must stay 100 yards away from RACIST BRIAN MARK Rosenberg and his vehicle. Nowhere on the frivolous Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Pasadena Superior Court, December 20, 2023, permanent injunction court order does it order KING AROGANT to stay 150 yards away from the job or workplace of RACIST BRIAN MARK Rosenberg! Pursuant to United States Supreme Court case *Monell v. Department of Social Services*, 436 U.S. 658, 98 S.Ct 2018, 56 L.Ed.2d 611 (1978) County of Los Angeles will be held liable for the reckless, fraudulent, and unconstitutional actions of its employees Timothy R. Martella

Arogant Hollywood
Alison Helen Fairchild
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Email: fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

(paid $ 244,129 by the County of Los Angeles in 2024) and RACIST Brian MARK **Rosenberg (SBN 291501) (paid $ 310,478.00 in total pay and benefits by the County of Los Angeles during the year 2024).**

County of Los Angeles and its corrupt Sheriff Robert G. Luna did not have any jurisdiction or legal authority to willfully, recklessly, knowingly, fraudulently, wantonly, deliberately, carelessly, and callously lock out a disabled woman named Alison Helen Fairchild out of her home located at 2308 Felicia Avenue, Rowland Heights, CA 91748 using an invalid, phony, bogus, and illicit Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, West Covina Superior Court writ of possession that did not bear her name and was attached to an illegal Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, West Covina Superior Court civil law case number **24WCUD02475**, in which Alison Helen Fairchild was dismissed without prejudice by Plaintiff Chen Property RH LLC. Alison Helen Fairchild was dismissed from illegal Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, West Covina Superior Court civil law case **24WCUD02475** in December 2024, which was the same exact case number printed on the illegal 5-day-notice-to-vacate left on her home's front door by County of Los Angeles peace officers on or about June 8, 2025. The reckless actions of County of Los Angeles employee Leslie Bouvier Gutierrez **(SBN # 276241) in** conspiring with federal district court Defendants Robert G Luna, County of Los Angeles, Songfong Tommy Wang **(SBN # 272409)**, Fae Chen, Orange Chen's Property LLC, Chen Property RH LLC, and DOES 1-10 was one of the main reasons why Alison Helen Fairchild was wrongfully evicted on or about June 15, 2025. County of Los Angeles judicial officer employee Leslie Bouvier Guitterez allowed

Arogant Hollywood
Alison Helen Fairchild
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogan thollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Email: fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Alison Helen Fairchild to be wrongfully evicted and fraudulently signed off on her co-conspirators' invalid writ of possession, even after KING AROGANT had submitted documents and told her on the record that Plaintiff Chen Property RH LLC had voluntarily dismissed Fairchild from Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, West Covina Superior Court civil case **24WCUD02475.** Accordingly, Pursuant to United States Supreme Court case *Monell v. Department of Social Services*, 436 U.S. 658, 98 S.Ct 2018, 56 L.Ed.2d 611 (1978) County of Los Angeles will be held liable for the reckless, fraudulent, and unconstitutional actions of its employee, Leslie Bouvier Gutierrez **(paid approximately $ 270,000 by the County of Los Angeles in 2024)**

The County of Los Angeles and its employee, Nathan Joseph Hochman **(SBN # 139137)**, need to immediately focus on overturning and dismissing the wrongful conviction of KING AROGANT in the RACIST BRIAN MARK ROSENBERG-led criminal case **GA114055**. The County of Los Angeles should prepare to be blindsided by newly discovered evidence created by the work of a nationwide court reporting and litigation agency and through the work of a nationwide digital forensics agency. YOU FOOLS!

County of Los Angeles, its employee Nathan Joseph Hochman **(SBN # 139137)**, its employee Robert G. Luna, and its agency County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department Fraud & Cyber Crime Bureau's Southern California High Tech Task Force (public comment will be submitted to County of Ventura as well) and its employee Nathan Joseph Hochman **(SBN # 139137)** need to immediately focus on turning over and

Arogant Hollywood
Alison Helen Fairchild
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Email: fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

disclosing a copy of phony and bogus LASD-created GoPro video recordings GL01586, GL010587, & GL10588 that County of Los Angeles employees Michael Villalobos, RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg, and dirty Billy S. Khounthavong willfully, recklessly, knowingly, carelessly, wantonly, deliberately, fraudulently, and callously played, presented, and admitted as false evidence inside a criminal Superior Court of California criminal courtroom on April 4, 2023, April 5, 2023, and February 2, 2024.

To Janice Hahn, Lindsey Patrice Horvath, Hilda Solis, Kathryn Barger, Holly J. Mitchell, Robert G. Luna, Nathan Joseph Hochman, and all of their under color of law co-conspirators! Each and every one of you knows the deal! Janice Hahn, Lindsey Patrice Horvath, Hilda Solis, Kathryn Barger, Holly J. Mitchell, Robert G. Luna, Nathan Joseph Hochman, and all their under color of law co-conspirators need to hurry up and overturn the frivolous and RACIST Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Alhambra Superior Court case **GA114055**. Janice Hahn, Lindsey Patrice Horvath, Hilda Solis, Kathryn Barger, Holly J. Mitchell, Robert G. Luna, Nathan Joseph Hochman, and all their under color of law co-conspirators have all substantially contributed to taking away KING AROGANT'S liberty for nearly twenty-five non-consecutive months for a violent and serious crime they all knew and still know that KING AROGANT never committed. Janice Hahn, Lindsey Patrice Horvath, Hilda Solis, Kathryn Barger, Holly J. Mitchell, Robert G. Luna, Nathan Joseph Hochman, and all their under color of law co-conspirators also need to turn over and disclose phony and bogus LASD-created GoPro video recording GL010586 that falsely depicts and displays KING AROGANT shutting off his GoPro camera while wearing different clothing than he actually had on February

Arogant Hollywood
Alison Helen Fairchild
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Email: fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

21, 2023.

Exhibits 1-16 prove by clear and convincing evidence that County of Los Angeles employee **Latrice Antoinette George-Byrdsong (SBN # 247217)**, who was paid by the County of Los Angeles $ 208,773 during the year 2024, knew that based on Exhibits 1-16 that there was no basis for granting County of Los Angeles's frivolous workplace violence petition on August 19, 2025. Corrupt, partial, in collusion, and biased judicial officer Latrice Byrdsong knew that she was fabricating lies in open court, that she did not see RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg's perjurious city of Alhambra police department crime report attached as an Exhibit to the County of Los Angeles's frivolous workplace violence petition in illegal Superior Court of California case **25STRO03574**. Corrupt and lying County of Los Angeles employee Latrice Byrdsong knew that she was violating her judicial code of ethics, numerous California Evidence Codes, and KING AROGANT'S United States Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause constitutional civil rights by striking evidence of RACIST Rosenberg's police report and not allowing KING AROGANT to ask any further questions regarding a police report that was relevant evidence and was also filed by the County of Los Angeles in its frivolous workplace violence petition in June 2025. County of Los Angeles employee Latrice Byrdsong also violated KING AROGANT'S constitutional civil rights by limiting his cross-examination of RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg and Cynthina Nakao!

Arogant Hollywood
Alison Helen Fairchild
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Email: fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

County of Los Angeles employee Vanessa Ann Evangelista **(SBN # 238474)** knew that she was violating numerous State of California Professional Rules of Conduct when she made an objection to KING AROGANT, asking questions about a damn police report she attached as evidence to get the County of Los Angeles's frivolous temporary restraining order granted in June 2025. See now Exhibits 1-15!

Pursuant to United States Supreme Court case *Monell v. Department of Social Services*, 436 U.S. 658, 98 S.Ct 2018, 56 L.Ed.2d 611 (1978) County of Los Angeles will be held liable for the reckless, fraudulent, and unconstitutional actions of its employees Latrice Antoinette George-Byrdsong **(paid $ 244,129 by the County of Los Angeles in 2024)**, RACIST Brian MARK Rosenberg **(SBN 291501) (paid $ 310,478.00 in total pay and benefits by the County of Los Angeles in 2024)**, Cynthia Michiko Nakao **(SBN # 137510)** (paid $ 372,124.00 in total pay and benefits by County of Los Angeles in 2024), and Vanessa Ann Evangelista **(SBN # 238474) (paid $ 226,110 in total pay and benefits by the County of Los Angeles in 2024)**.

Several times during the years 2015, 2016, 2017, and 2018 County of Los Angeles has willfully, recklessly, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, wantonly, fraudulently, and callously allowed legal document process servers employed by County of Los Angeles's longstanding co-conspirators Rapid Legal, ABC Legal, One Legal, Pro Legal, United Legal, Countywide Process LLC, One Legal, and many other companies to impede, block, and obstruct Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Stanley Mosk Superior Court entrances, exits, outside of Stanley Mosk courthouse handicap ramps,

Arogant Hollywood
Alison Helen Fairchild
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Email: fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

inside court hallways, inside court hallways, and outside court handicap ramp leading to 2nd Floor court entrance.

During September 2025 and October 2025 County of Los Angeles and its employees, Deni K. Butler and David Wayne Slayton, have all willfully, recklessly, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, wantonly, fraudulently, and callously allowed legal document process servers employed by County of Los Angeles's longstanding co-conspirators Rapid Legal, ABC Legal, One Legal, Pro Legal, United Legal, Countywide Process LLC, One Legal, and many other companies to impede, block, and obstruct Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Stanley Mosk Superior Court entrances, exits, outside handicap ramps, inside court hallways, inside court hallways, and outside court handicap ramp leading to 2nd Floor court entrance, which also impeded, blocked, and obstructed disabled Alison Helen Fairchild's path and ability to maneuver her wheelchair safely to enter and exit the Superior Court of California courthouse while she was present there with KING AROGANT on September 5, 2025 and again a second and third time during the month of October 2025. County of Los Angeles, David Wayne Slayton and Deni K. Butler are hereby being forewarned that their reckless failure to immediately remove these loitering and soliciting individuals from being anywhere near these Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Stanley Mosk Superior Court entrances, exits, outside handicap ramps, inside court hallways, inside court hallways, and outside court handicap ramp leading to 2nd Floor court entrance will result in a civil rights being filed against them all, and each of them. County of Los Angeles employees will be held liable for Luna’s lazy peace officers

Arogant Hollywood
Alison Helen Fairchild
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Email: fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

failing to remove these ADA entrances and exits blocking soliciting and loitering individuals from the Stanley Mosk Courthouse's entrances, exits, hallways, and walkways. **THESE LOITERING, SOLICITING, & CONDUCTING BUSINESS ON COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES PUBLIC PROPERTY MUST BE REMOVED IMMEDIATELY!**

Over the course of October 2025, the County of Los Angeles and its employees have all willfully, recklessly, knowingly, deliberately, carelessly, wantonly, fraudulently, and callously violated KING AROGANT and Alison Helen Fairchild's constitutional First and Fourteenth Amendment civil rights by recklessly failing to upload each and every page and attachment of their public comments. Accordingly, pursuant to **California Government Code Section 54950**, the County of Los Angeles and its elected Board of Supervisors employees Lindsey Patrice Horvath **(paid $ 389,248.00 by the County of Los Angeles in 2024 for her total benefits and pay), Holly J. Mitchell (paid $ 372,850.00 by the County of Los Angeles in 2024 for her total benefits and pay), Hilda Solis (paid $ 390,346 by the County of Los Angeles in 2024 for her total benefits and pay), Kathryn Barger-Leibrich (paid $ 394,630 by the County of Los Angeles in 2024 for her total benefits and pay) and Janice Hahn (paid $ 371,719 by the County of Los Angeles in 2024 for her total benefits and pay) had better make sure that all pages and attachments of October 14, 2025, October 21, and October 28, 2025 KING AROGANT and Alison Helen Fairchild County of Los Angeles Board of Supervisors' Public Board Comments become official records of County of Los Angeles and are also accessible to the public by way of County of Los Angeles's**

Arogant Hollywood
Alison Helen Fairchild
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: aroganthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Email: fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Statement of Proceedings/Minutes in a timely and reasonable manner.

I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws and Constitutions of California and the United States that every word, sentence, paragraph, page, video recording, audio recording, sound, and image of this CIVIL RIGHTS LEGAL WARNING & PUBLIC COMMENT FOR NOVEMBER 18, 2025, is true and correct.

DATE: NOVEMBER 17, 2025, 4:25 AM PACIFIC STANDARD TIME



ALISON HELEN FAIRCHILD
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106
Mobile: (626) 755-6442
Email: fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com

Spirit of Esquire

I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws and Constitutions of California and the United States that every word, sentence, paragraph, page, video recording, audio recording, sound, and image of this CIVIL RIGHTS LEGAL WARNING & PUBLIC COMMENT FOR NOVEMBER 18, 2025, is true and correct.

DATE: NOVEMBER 17, 2025, 4:25 AM PACIFIC STANDARD TIME

BY: _____

Arogant Hollywood, *Esq.*
1308 East Colorado Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91106
Mobile: (206) 471-1344
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com

Spirit of Esquire



Arogant Hollywood
Alison Helen Fairchild
1308 East Colorado Blvd. |Pasadena, CA. 91106
Email: arogantthollywoodgenius@gmail.com
Email: fairchildadacrusader@gmail.com
Phone: 206.471.1344

Public Comment

Gregory D. Jackson
Total Quality Training
Total Quality Training Insight (501c3)
Comprehensive Educational Reform Report for Los Angeles County Juvenile Facilities
Mandates 1 through 5: Analysis, Findings, and Recommendations

Presiding officer and members of the Board, thank you for the opportunity to speak.

Gregory D. Jackson, founder of Total Quality Training and Total Quality Training Insight, submitted a briefing and full report regarding educational conditions in Los Angeles County juvenile facilities. The report directly responds to Mandates 1 through 5 and draws on national research, state standards, and on-the-ground experience at Los Padrinos.

The central message is straightforward. Educational systems inside juvenile facilities currently fail to meet the academic, legal, and developmental needs of justice-involved youth. Suspensions and chronic absenteeism function as symptoms of disengaging instruction, unstable staffing, and fragmented programs. Zero-tolerance policies remain in practice, even though research shows that such practices increase racial disparities and recidivism. At the same time, access to A–G aligned coursework, special education services, and meaningful transition planning remains uneven and often incomplete.

The briefing also highlights missed opportunities. When classrooms have fully credentialed teachers, standards-based curriculum, high-dosage tutoring, and trauma-informed supports, justice-involved youth make academic gains and move away from the school-to-prison pipeline. When youth councils operate in every facility, decision-making improves and the climate stabilizes. When agencies share data and plan together, recidivism decreases.

The report does not ask for another study that sits on a shelf. The report offers a concrete set of SMART goals, staffing plans, and accountability structures that align with the Education Justice Coalition recommendations and national best practices. The request before the Board is simple. Treat the educational rights of detained youth as a countywide priority, direct LACOE and partner agencies to adopt the outlined reforms, and require transparent reporting until every juvenile facility delivers the quality of education that state law and basic justice demand.