

This document was generated by AI and is not an official meeting record. It may contain errors.

Transcript

October 15, 2025,

PT

Public Safety Transcripts 15:24

Alright, welcome everyone to the Public safety Cluster Agenda review meeting for October 15th. First thing we'll do is call the meeting to order and do self introductions from the board offices.

Anyone from First district online?

OK.

How about second District Point Justice deputy Supervisor Mitchell Adante concluded. Thank you.

3rd district.

SP

Savannah Portillo 16:05

Hi, this is Savannah. Thanks you.

WN

Wyville Nick 16:05

I don't just step day for the third district, sorry.

SP

Savannah Portillo 16:09

No, sorry, this is Savannah. From the third district.

I cover education.

PT

Public Safety Transcripts 16:14

Thank you very much.

4th District, this is Kyla Coates with the 4th district.

In Orchard district.

Hi, good morning Sandra. Crossing for the 5th and I believe my colleague Les Amino is online as well.

Thank you.

And is there a coffee first district? Hi everybody.

Good morning.

I was looking at the progress releases just to see.

All right.

Thank you very much, everyone. And we'll begin with information items. Information item is the Fire Department board letter.

Approval of a contract will turn out in assessor's meeting and repair services. Are there any questions from the board, deputies? Yeah.

Question on QA on QA.

The one online as well. So we'll move to the second item. Second item 2B is a fire board letter approval of social work amendment to extend contract fire number Fr 10569.

With aviation, for these of a heli tanker, are there any questions deputies before this item?

None for 2B.

One question 3 is this HeLa taker?

Is that currently at Vnis right now?

Department, are you online to answer?

BM **Brian Martin** 17:34

Yes, Brian Martin from fire.

Yes, this is the same heli tanker that has been there.

All year. And so it's just the extension going into 2026.

PT **Public Safety Transcripts** 17:46

Got it.

And then my my other question, I think I saw somewhere in the letter is it looking like for after 2026 that there's gonna be you're looking at using a different company for like doing a competitive bid to do a different anchor, is that correct?

BM **Brian Martin** 18:00

Yes, it looks like it's hitting that direction in the industry. Originally, when we did this as a sole source, this was the only company that was providing that size of helotanker.

And since then there have been other companies that have outfitted their helicopters to match what they have.

PT **Public Safety Transcripts** 18:19

Got it.

OK.

And then last question, I think I saw here too.

Is it the cost?

Is it gonna be more than 15,000,000 at all? Or what is SCE providing versus what's gonna be the remain?

BM **Brian Martin** 18:34

So S EE is providing the daily funding just for the helicopter availability and then we provide the flight hours and then any other associated costs, whether it's retardant or gel that we're using.

PT **Public Safety Transcripts** 18:45

Cortana.

BM **Brian Martin** 18:47

So the 15,000,000 was budgeted for the the three years originally under the contract and that was worst case scenario. Flying extraordinary amount of hours.

So it was there was some safety margin built in there.

So that's why we're.

Stillwell, under the seven million, I think.

Or I mean the 15,000,000. I think we're around 7 million.

So we are good for the next season for 2026.

PT **Public Safety Transcripts** 19:13

Got it.

Thank you.

BM **Brian Martin** 19:15

You're welcome.

PT **Public Safety Transcripts** 19:16

Do you have questions that you all right seeing? None. We'll move to item 2C is ADA

board letter authorizing the LA County DA District Police office to continue to set rent funds and enter into an agreement with the California Department of Industrial Relations. Are there any?

Questions for this one.

Department, are you online office? Go ahead.

RJ **Ryann Gerber Jorban** 19:38

Yes. Ryan. Torben. I'm the deputy in charge of our labor justice unit that received this grant.

PT **Public Safety Transcripts** 19:47

Wait, wait. Can you provide more details as to what the work that this grant funds?

RJ **Ryann Gerber Jorban** 19:53

Yes, the grant is designed to support local law enforcement and prosecution in prosecuting cases of wage theft and labor exploitation.

The Department of Industrial Relations and the governor put this money into play in order to help local agencies create or support their workers rights.

Prosecution. So LA County, our labor justice unit has been open since 2023.

We received this funding last year, which was the initial year and this year.

For \$750,000.

It helps support the salaries of the attorneys in the division, are paralegals as well as the only sworn peace officer in LA County who focuses on labor exploitation criminal prosecution.

PT **Public Safety Transcripts** 20:54

And this is a 2 year grant, correct.

So has the state indicated whether or not they're going to continue to provide funding for this?

Or is there a plan?

RJ **Ryann Gerber Jorban** 21:03

My understanding from my Department of Industrial Relations discussions is that they are requesting the continued funding of this.

As it we did start the unit prior to the funding, so we had already had all of the the

positions in place prior to this and this money has just been supporting that.
So we my understanding.

From my office is that that will continue even if the grant money does not
unfortunately get renewed.

But we have been very active in showing the benefits to this grant money as well as
providing training across the state to make sure that intra county and statewide
issues with labor trafficking, labor exploitation and wage theft are being addressed.

PT **Public Safety Transcripts** 21:41

Com.

And I think it's OK if you're not able to provide answer to these questions. But I was
just wondering since you got this under this company like how many pieces has your
office been able to prosecute and how many have you been if you weren't able to
prose?

Did you refer to the Office of Labor standards Enforcement or to County Council for
civil over me?

RJ **Ryann Gerber Jorban** 22:23

So we have worked with civil with County Council, who has also actually received this
grant.

And let me I apologize.

Let me pull up my.

Information we have prosecuted.

Us.

I apologize.

Give me one moment.

I should have had this up, but I had something else up.

PT **Public Safety Transcripts** 22:50

You can always provide this information later if you don't have it right now.

RJ **Ryann Gerber Jorban** 22:54

Umm.

PT **Public Safety Transcripts** 22:55

I'm sorry.

RJ **Ryann Gerber Jorban** 22:57

We have.

Three labor justice cases open.

One that we just filed that involved the exploitation of.

Non citizen, Filipino elderly.

As bed sitters in a in.

A.

In a healthcare setting, we also have a child.

Abduction case. That was.

That was labor trafficking that we have also filed.

In the time the as well as we have 123, we have 6 open investigations that of course I can't provide specifics on.

That include labor trafficking that are being handled, most of which are being handled by that grant funded investigator.

Most of our cases come to us as investigations after they've gone to the Department of Industrial Relations Department of Business and Consumer and Business Affairs or otherwise through our nonprofit stakeholders and partners, who will bring us the cases.

So by the time they get to us, they actually typically have already been looked at or are being handled by either non profits like betzatic legal services, who we work closely with or by Department of Industrial Relations or Department of Consumer and Business Affairs.

But I don't have.

PT **Public Safety Transcripts** 24:34

Last question.

RJ **Ryann Gerber Jorban** 24:37

Sorry.

PT **Public Safety Transcripts** 24:37

Very good. And my last question because I know you mentioned the non citizen Filipino health care case that you have and I'm just wondering given everything that's going on and folks you probably have folks you're working with who are undocumented or don't have a steady legal immigration status.

RJ **Ryann Gerber Jorban** 24:50
Correct.

PT **Public Safety Transcripts** 24:54
So I'm wondering how that is working with them to help them feel safe and going to court or continue with the case, or if that has been an issue at all.
Within what?

RJ **Ryann Gerber Jorban** 25:05
Yeah, it's always an issue.
One of the things that we have done is since we started this work, we have always worked very closely with the Office of Immigrant Affairs, Department of Consumer and Business Affairs as well as CB OS, including Thai CDC, advancing justice legal services.
We work very closely with the represent LA to make sure that.
Our victims of our cases are provided.
Legal services, if they need them or are in deportation hearings.
We're also very dedicated to finding ways to handle these cases that do not require the victims to necessarily be the ones who take the weight of the cases.
So we look at other issues like tax, violations of Labor law that do not require victims to come to court to attempt to protect them from potential immigration.
Issues we have also worked with nonprofits on getting TV, says NUV says, as well as continued presence.
And we maintain close relationships with those organizations, so that where it is appropriate, we can assist with that or encourage our law enforcement partners to assist with those incidents. And for example, the other day we had a case.
And I was going to have victims in the courtroom and we just. I sent my lock hooks over to make sure that there weren't going to be any issues if they came to the courtroom.
So just being aware of what's going on and and the fear that they're feeling.

Thank you.

Any questions?

OK. All right.

No other questions.

Are there any public comment for any of these three items?

Please speak up or raise your hand on teams if you're online.

Seeing none, we move to board motions. Again. I just want to remind you this meeting is being transcribed due to the board motions.

The first motion is from 2:00 and 4:00. Access to learning writing for the rights of incarcerated use.

Right, so this motion is intended to address suspensions in this class, time in the juvenile court schools. It advances the 18 recommendations published by the Education Justice Coalition.

Those recommendations speak to mental health services, having common inform staff and practices.

Involving youth voice choice as participation in program design.

The report comes does lift up issues related to suspension rates.

Absenteeism and access to special education services.

Lyco has a following a board meeting 2 board meetings ago. They did follow up and provide initial reasons for suspensions.

This motion does request a deeper dive into a deeper dive and look into the trends and what's what's ultimately happening. If there's any kind of disparities and that could be seen in who's being suspended and what reasons in ways that they could be mitigated.

It does ask for an immediate steps to be taken that can minimize any possible implementation.

Of exclusionary disciplinary policies.

The suspension process has been shared in.

We're requesting that it's reviewed and made accessible to the students to ensure that there's clarity. Ultimately, students should know.

What our causes are to be suspended and they should also know when they're suspended or when they're being removed from class action, the reasons why.

The.

We did also have some edits that be coming to the motion before it goes to the board. County Council did let us know that we can direct the Superintendent of LYCO, but we cannot directly Co as a whole, so there will be some language changes on the request.

And that'll be changed to direct.

We've also received feedback from Laco and ask to.

Include opportunity rooms in this motion, so under.

The second directive will also be adding a identify location for opportunity rooms across juvenile court schools as well. This is done.

This is directing Superintendent of Laco and coordination with Probation department in the other departments that are listed in that motion as well.

The final directive is to have a larger understanding of the school to prison. Nexus is doing an assessment of the factors that are leading to or factors in local district policies.

That are contributing to you.

Incarceration.

Includes a review of incarcerated youth, that being the histories of suspensions, expulsions, chronic absenteeism and recidivism, and also identifies regions and schools that have high representation of youth.

In the in probation, we've shared the motion with each department and Commission that's involved or implicated in the directives, and we've met with departments that have requested, including doctor scores, that we are able to walk through.

Motion with uh yesterday.

Some other feedback in terms of timeline, we'll be extending the first directive from 60 days to 90 days as a request from LINCO and.

That is all.

I'll turn to Kylie, SD Board and see if there's anything else I can be covered it all weekend.

Do you have any department reps that you want to say something or is it just we LECO is on the we have LECO on the call and then Wendy POC, there is a comment but no problem.

Only if you have any question.

Questions. Comments.

Concerns Nick online.

I know if Nick has anything to my office.

G Garoupa_Alicia 31:33

Good morning, everyone.

Just so you know, I'm here.

Alicia Garoupa, chief of well-being and support services.

I'm here on behalf of Superintendent Duardo.

Should you all have any questions? Thank you.

We appreciate the board support.

PT Public Safety Transcripts 31:46

Thank you.

Any other comments online?

Seeing none, I will pull the call.

I I do have a question.

Based on the 18 recommendations that were part of that report, is that something that either legal or probation have already started to review and look at?

At all. Is there anybody from families here?

G Garoupa_Alicia 32:15

Yes, thank you.

On behalf of Laker, we have started to review the report.

Absolutely. We're in the process right now.

PT Public Safety Transcripts 32:23

Thank you.

And then probation. I've not been involved in any conversations with label specific to this report, but I'm I'm sure we're thank you.

We have a comment online, Yasmin.

You a mute.

YC Yasmine-Imani McMorris CDF-CA 32:42

Thank you.

Good morning.

My name is Yasmine. Amani mcmoran.

I am the Director of Education Equity for Children Defense Fund California.

We are also a member of the Education Justice Coalition that released the Ejc report. Who has the power this past August?

I just wanted to comment this morning that I am in favor of this SD two and four policy motion that will work to ensure access to learning as young people with a push for a more restorative.

With climate, our goal is just we want to ensure that all of our youth, especially our Jessica impacted youth, have what they need to thrive.

And we're really grateful for this partnership as we hope to see all 18 recommendations implemented from our report.

So thank you for the time this morning and looking forward to continued partnership. Thank you.

PT Public Safety Transcripts 33:31

Very much, Melinda.

I'll start your minute when you start speaking.

MK Milinda Kakani 33:36

Hi, Melinda kakani.

Also at Children's Defense Fund California, and I am also supervisor Mitchell's appointee on the Probation Oversight Commission. Getting this comment in my capacity as an employee at CDF, just in strong support of this motion, and I do hope that SD two and four consider a piece.

About getting feedback and reflections from young people, especially as it relates to directive #1.

I think talking to a sample of young people who have been suspended.

To understand their experience, to understand how it correlates with what's written.

Given that during our inspections, we often times hear very grave discrepancy between what teachers suspend young people for and what a young person's experiences.

So we just ask that there be some youth voice.

I know that there is a call for a Youth Council to be developed, but just in terms of the data that's being collected, I think hearing about the experience from young folks is wildly important to any sort of change.

That the county and Laco seek to implement. Thanks.

PT **Public Safety Transcripts** 34:43

Well, let's start your time when you start speaking.

RB **Ryan Bell** 34:47

Yes, hello everyone.

My name is Ryan Bell.

I'm a policy associate here with the Children's Defense Fund, and, like Yasmine and Millie mentioned, I am in favor of everything that has been said so far.

I want to take some time just to reflect on what has been shared when we're having real individuals with real scenarios and their lives are affected forever, right? In these boardroom meetings, in these small meetings, in our public safety cluster meetings and things of that nature, we're discuss.

Things as if they're not happening in real time and as we speak.

People are being harmed and restricted, and their upward mobility is also being restricted.

So I just wanted to reemphasize what has been shared so far and really make a note that all of the recommendations and what has been shared with you all today are extremely important in emphasizing the fact that we need to take a look at this as soon as.

Possible. Thank you for your time and thank you for the collaboration today.

PT **Public Safety Transcripts** 35:38

Thank you very much. Next, Helen, I'm going to start speaking please. I'm mute.

Helen, you're on mute please.

HE **helen eigenberg** 35:46

Good. Good morning, Helen eigenberg.

I'm a resident of SB3 and I'm calling in support of this motion as well, and I also just want to lift up what has just been said. I feel like the youth voices the in particular, the youth, who are currently at these facilities, is left out of.

All these conversations, and it is really important that we take a look at why things are happening in the classroom, that they are being kicked out and and what's happening for the youth.

So I hope that that will be considered in all of this.

And that we really hear from the youth who are there. Thank you.

PT **Public Safety Transcripts** 36:19

Thank you very much.

And next we have Stacy Stacy.

NS **Nunez, Stacy** 36:25

Hi, good morning.

My name is Stacy Nunez.

I'm a supervising attorney at the youth Justice Education Clinic at Loyola Law School, and I am calling in support of this motion. I represent young people within the juvenile facilities, and I believe that this motion is incredibly timely. Just to share a recent experience, just last week I.

PT **Public Safety Transcripts** 36:35

OK.

NS **Nunez, Stacy** 36:46

Was at a meeting at Mary J and it was for an IEP.

And my client had 10.

Write ups that were categorized as.

Defiance suspensions, despite IN2023A law being passed that prohibited willful defiance suspensions for K through 12.

And then it was implemented in July 2024.

So it was really concerning that despite a year of this law being implemented, that the schools within the juvenile facilities are still suspending students for things like willful defiance. So those were.

10 instances when my client should have been in class should have been receiving an education.

And his IEP supports, but instead was sent out of the classroom.

And so it's really important to ensure that our students within the juvenile facilities are also being, you know, afforded the right to the education that they are entitled to and.

PT Public Safety Transcripts 37:40

Tariq, can you unmute?

We'll start your minute when you start speaking.

Tariq.

You have you please?

TS Tyrique Shipp 37:48

Good afternoon, everybody.

I'm Tyreek ship with the anti recidivism coalition.

I just wanna uplift, you know, young people who are in these facilities and and they should have access to, you know, equitable learning and opportunity to really build something for themselves and and, you know, young people being out of the classroom is, is, is unhelpful and we need.

To try to keep our young people in the classroom as much as possible.

For me, the classroom changed my life and gave me opportunities and so.

I just want to make sure.

Young people get their opportunity, so I am a supportive this motion and hope that we do more around data for finding out what is happening with these young people and why this is happening. Thank you.

PT Public Safety Transcripts 38:29

Thank you very much.

Are there any other public comments?

OK, seeing none, we'll move to the next next motion. Next motion is from SD4 post secondary education service in Los Angeles County. Probation detention facilities.

Mm-hmm. Alright.

Hi everyone.

The Probation Oversight Commission created an ad hoc committee, the education Ad Hoc committee, to look at into education services in our general detention facilities, and recently the committee came up with a proposal that included a recommendation regarding post secondary education.

In our detention facilities for the county to look into Laco taking over responsibility for post secondary education in the facilities instead of it being under probation and the POC had a meeting last Thursday where they voted on putting forward these

recommendations and the recommendations are to ask L.

To develop a proposal, in consultation with the probation department.

And the POC that it articulates.

Infrastructure they would need to successfully implement this work. This work being transferring responsibility for post education services into sorry post secondary education services into Laco. So this motion simply supports that recommendation. It is directing the Superintendent of Laco and the Probation department to respond to the Pocs October 9th Motion by reporting back to both the board and the POC in writing with the feasibility of Laco assuming responsibility of post secondary education services for incarcerated youth in detention facilities and.

There's a bunch of things that should be included in.

The report back and those are based off of mostly the proposal that was submitted by the Ad Hoc committee, but also the discussion that happened at the POC last Thursday.

And then finally, there's a final directive that does direct the Superintendent and the probation department to verbally present back to the POC within 30 days of issuing the written report.

So that's what this motion is today.

I see there's representatives from the POC.

There is representatives from probation and I believe Laco Online. If anyone has questions.

I don't know if the chair of the POC wants to say anything.

OK.

I'm not prepared, but I will say this.

No one on the place and basically.

Probably few people I've spent less time.

In facilities than I have 30 years. I spent ten years in them.

And most recently I spent a lot of time visiting and sitting in the cache rooms and.

We're nowhere near where we should be. It's Dale.

We operate under the same idea that.

Uh, these kids have severe limitations that we can't change.

Meeting with other counties, we find that they don't operate like that.

They operate from a positive standpoint and I understand that the numbers are different, but the counties we met with have the same kids, just in smaller concentration.

And.

Well, we're asking. Bar is a very deep look at this.

During our meeting, rightfully so.

Superintendent Gerardo expressed concerns.

I've seen them first hand.

I've seen school get disrupted because once that.

In the dorm can't handle one kid.

And all the kids. And back then it was 100 kids are waiting for their cash from the start, waiting for the movement.

I go to Camp Rock football.

I sit in the cask room with substitutes who don't know the kids, so it'd be nice to have a probation officer.

Who knows the kid in there?

The director there arbitrarily says it's a screws problem.

My staff are gonna sit outside.

They sit outside and I I don't understand that.

Their college degree people. How do you sit outside for an hour and a half rather than be engaging with the kids?

You're caring for rather than asking the kid who's sitting under the table in front of the substitute to get up.

This has been going on too long.

The you know, at Barry J, they only have two twice a week because of the numbers that has to be addressed our our post graduates need to be involved in some kind of schooling five days a week.

It's the most essential programming that occurs in the facility is education.

It should be all hands on deck.

I live in district #1 and I had worked in District #1 and I spent a lot of time at the Community Schools.

These are impactful places for these kids to catch up if they're done correctly.

And it really in the end is the best thing we can do as a county is to secure that 456 hour period and to make it move forward.

It's got to be pushed out.

We we have to think beyond the current models because they're not working and I understand how difficult the challenge is, but it doesn't change if we continue with the same model and I think.

With this proposal, we're going to get a better look at it.

We're going to be able to involve experts in it, child development experts. How do we get probation officers to engage build relationship that they can carry on?

You know, when I was working, I would talk to a kid during the classroom. I would pay attention to what the teacher was saying. Then later on in the in the dorm, 6:00 at night, I would ask the kid, hey, what did you think about the fro?

That don't do this or don't do that.

The model has to change and I think this is the first step to changing that model.

Thank you.

And before I open up for questions, I do just want to say I think it's very timely that both the SD 2 motion and this motion are on the same agenda because they address essentially every youth piece at our facilities, right?

The SD21 looks at up through 12th grade.

We're talking high school that's run by Laco classrooms that are run by LECO teachers and often substitutes.

And then this motion talks about those kids who graduated from high school, which we are having more of them because of the closure of DJJ. They're specifically in our SYTF facilities.

And so how do we ensure that they are getting access to the education they deserve?

And I just want to point out the first directive in here says we also are asking for an analysis of what these feedback quality higher education service, regardless of who's going to be responsible for that. If the board decides to move forward with transitioning to LECO, if they.

Decide to keep it information. We need to look at. What does it take to improve that quality of higher education services?

So that's my shield questions.

Go ahead.

Is there a possibility to look at a third option? Because I'm given what we discuss in the other motion and how struggling a little bit.

With exactly so I'm just wondering, maybe there's such, I don't know if like if there is one already or not like of an organization that we already specializes in providing like education services to youth, Hoyer justice involved and who can maybe start off providing the services?

Father and captain, they hopefully you will get out. So that way they already have

that direct connection.

3rd possibility 'cause I just don't know if limiting ourselves to just one or two is like enough.

I wonder if there's a way to look at it. I mean right now like for example probation doesn't run the the college courses, right?

They contract with yeah organizations and colleges and doing the same thing because LECO doesn't run college classes.

They run through grade 12 so.

You know you need an accounting department to contract with and be in charge of those contracts.

So I don't think we're looking at the county department taking over running the classrooms.

Lego teachers aren't college teachers.

They would still be contracting with organizations or colleges, but we need a department that's county to be in charge of the contracts and.

Overseeing that accounting department, it is not.

But it has a weird county relationship that they're able to do the contracting right.

So I mean, do you have another county department?

I'm just.

I just don't wanna eliminate our staff to just two options.

I'm just wondering if we could.

You make it so that it's like a broader, like if not this or that another option.

I don't know if there is someone eliminated OK.

I'll think I'll think about that 'cause. I did just. I took the, you know, recommendation from the POCS recommendation, but maybe there could be adding like any other option as the like number six and it could be that there isn't that we could just don't wanna limit our.

To just right.

Yeah, on if there's a possibility to look at other options.

I like #1.

I'm really appreciated that you included that in your motion because I think that we need to look at like.

What is overall best for the youth who are in our facilities?

Whether that means, you know, an improvement to status quo, moving it somewhere else, but I do share the same concern about do they have the capacity to

do this. I think the analysis is helpful to look at you know, worth exploring.

So, but yeah, I agree.

Can I ask a question for? I'm looking at MD.

Given what was shared by SD One was that something?

That and I apologize.

I didn't see the meeting, but.

But that's something that's been discussed like any other options outside of LECO or any thoughts you have on on that. I would say it's not something that was formally discussed by the committee, but I do have an opinion about it.

I actually happen to agree.

That the IT is possible that the best outcome is to contract the work out to a community based organization that specializes in this, and I think that that.

Falls within the Pocs proposal because I exactly like, Kyla said.

This is not a proposal for Laco to do the post secondary education, but rather to facilitate the post secondary education, which is the same thing that probation education services does now.

They're not actually teaching the college classes. They make sure that the young people have the computers that they need to log on to Cerritos or Mount Stack or Mission College, or any of the colleges that they're going to.

Interestingly, those computers are Lego computers and Lego does the same thing in their K12 program in the Juvenile court schools with tutoring and specific services that young people who have special needs require they contract with community based organizations that bring the tutors into the facilities and provide.

Those. So I I actually think that is encompassed in the but maybe it would be worthwhile to spell it out.

As that being an option for like what to consider.

But that the proposal would suggest that Lego, as the education entity would be the one facilitating that.

Did that answer your questions on yeah, thinking.

I haven't followed.

You mentioned that you looked at like other counties and how they do it.

So do they all run their education programs through their Office of Education? Or what does that model look like when you looked at it's it's, that's how it's run.

It's usually not probation.

Probation will facilitate in the facility, but it is what is equivalent to our like that is

running them.

That is actually a place where the difference in the size makes a big difference.

Because we're talking about a lot of counties that have a handful of college students compared to us that have over 100 college students.

So, I mean, we're really an anomaly in every way, but the the relationship there also includes the Community College.

Which ours does too.

The difference is most of those counties have one Community College serving all of the youth, and we have Doctor Corral would be able to tell you how many it is, but it's a lot of community colleges serving all of the the youth.

So the relationship is more complicated to be able to make sure all the community colleges.

Have the same level of services.

Thank you.

WN **Wyville Nick** 51:10

Hi, this is Nick Wyville from the third.

I have a question.

PT **Public Safety Transcripts** 51:15

Go ahead, nick.

WN **Wyville Nick** 51:16

Appreciate this motion.

Thank you. To agree with a lot of what chair Mundo was saying.

Have you thought about including CEO in this given that there may be some budget implications? Or are you thinking this is a bit more preliminary than looking at the finances of it all?

PT **Public Safety Transcripts** 51:36

Yeah, good question.

Honestly, we took the language directly from the POC, right?

'Cause, we were trying to just throw the board's support behind the POC motion that passed and that doesn't say to include CEO.

So I didn't include it, but I'm not opposed to including it as like another department

to be consulted.

I do think that this is gonna be a very preliminary, you know, analysis feasibility study proposal because the board would have to decide if they wanna move forward with it or not.

And we might not.

I mean, there's been, there's a lot of concerns.

There's a lot of questions, but I'm not opposed to adding CEO.

Nick, thank you.

WN Wyville Nick 52:10

OK, that totally makes sense too.

And then just to follow up, and obviously we don't want to make this, I I guess too overwhelming of a report.

So maybe including dyd could be too much, but I also think it's something worth considering.

And then also just engagement with the community colleges, maybe we had some folks from Mission College reach out to us.

I know asking about it, so if there's a way I think to engage them in this, then that would also be.

Really helpful.

PT Public Safety Transcripts 52:44

All right. Thanks.

WN Wyville Nick 52:46

Appreciate it.

Thank you.

PT Public Safety Transcripts 52:51

Any questions?

Amen. All right.

There are no other questions from deputies. Are there any public comment at this item?

Please speak up or raise your hand on teams please.

All right, Melinda, we'll start you one minute. When you start speaking.

MK Milinda Kakani 53:10

Thanks, Melinda Kakani again, just as it is valuable to hear from the folks who are actually providing the education. And in this case, that's the community colleges, of which there are, you know, I believe a handful across the facilities.

I think it's also equally valuable to hear from the young people who are receiving.

The education or the higher education I've had the opportunity to witness in quotes college classes that occur for like one to two hours.

For an entire week and outside of that, young people are expected to just keep themselves busy.

Total their thumbs and wait for their next opportunity to access their higher education.

It is the most mediocre kind of mind numbing.

I don't know how to describe it like opportunity, if you even want to call it an opportunity.

So I highly encourage whether it's the POC, whether it's Laco.

Whoever it is.

The that someone talked to the young people and understand their experience and whether they are feeling stimulated, whether they are feeling challenged, whether they have the opportunity to engage with the individuals who are providing this education and whether probation education services is.

PT Public Safety Transcripts 54:32

The next we have Tariq Tariq.

We'll start in a minute. When you start speaking.

TS Tyrique Shipp 54:37

Thank you, Tyree. Ship here again with that entire recidivism coalition.

I'll just say young people, we we do have to do something different when it comes to secondary post secondary education.

Young people are coming home with, you know, a A degrees, and they're still struggling right at the college level and then entering universities and not being able to keep up.

It has become overwhelming and once young people meet us.

Here at ARC then we have to provide extra support for them so.

And we're hoping that this this motion can allow the county to be creative and and really change how we do things.

So these young people get the best of themselves and I can even speak about even going inside. Like for me, like going inside and watching, you know, probation, education services borrow computers is from LA Co is it?

It felt like there needed to be something that we need to do different and and so.

ARC isn't supported this motion because it it answers a harsh question.

Many young people need to be cared about. Thank you.

PT **Public Safety Transcripts** 55:41

Thank you very much.

Next, we have Helen. Helen, I'll start.

Tom, we start speaking. Lisa, mute.

HE **helen eigenberg** 55:46

Hi Helen Eichenberg, a resident of SD3.

Thank you for this motion and I just want to say, you know, thank you to Eduardo for his comments and this is all hands on deck. We need to be doing everything in our power to ensure these kids have access to education and good education, I can tell.

You the first year of rising scholar networks, I sat in a lot of the Community meetings with Dyd and I have to be honest. Probation and Laco didn't show up, so I'm going to ask that whoever, whether it's Laco or even a community based organization.

There is oversight and we are assuring that this is done correctly and done well and given the youth all that they deserve with this education and I think the third option is something that we should definitely look at.

So I appreciate this and thank you.

PT **Public Safety Transcripts** 56:30

Thank you very much. Any other comments?

OK, seeing none, we'll conclude the war motion carries meeting. Next, we move to presentations. Item 4A's and board briefings from the Civilian Oversight Commission.

An office Inspector General.

Real quick identity. Just wanted to let you know there was a written comment and that's the limit on the desk.

Good morning, everyone.

My name is Charmaine Mosley, and I'm the executive director of the Sheriff's Department.

This is just gonna be a very highlighted update on the surveilling oversight activities over the past month.

I'll I'll start off with the Commission's business meeting. Reschedule it for tomorrow. However, that was the we didn't have.

The the Saint.

Ann's location.

It was booked so that meeting will be taking place next Thursday at Saint Ann's.

We have a full agenda, as usual, a lot of items moving around as far as the civilian oversight Commission goes, I'm going to briefly walk through the the key items.

That we are working on right now as far as the agenda goes, we will begin.

The the meeting with Sheriff Luna, who will brief us on recent department activity statistics and ongoing meetings that involve the Commission.

Following that, we will receive updates on pre statistics as well as DS compliance with the AV settlement for our upcoming meetings.

We're gonna receive updates on each of those settlements, such as Rosa's Rutherford and I think there is one more.

The Commission will then consider approval of its ongoing training program, ensuring that our Commissioners continue to receive the guidance and support necessary for their work.

To provide effective oversight of Las deem.

Next, we will receive a presentation from LESD on their new virtual deputy program.

That program is only taking place right now in Lancaster, Palmdale and Temple.

We'll hear more about the virtual deputy program next week's meeting.

Also, Chair Johnson will give an update on the passage of assembly Bill 847.

I know everyone is excited about the passage of that legislation and also to.

For us to be able to find out the impact.

Of the sheriff's lawsuits, you relief as well as the Union complaints and subpoena enforcement and how that legislation will, whether it's going to mute those actions.

Also, in light of ABA 47, we are working on revisions to the commissions proposal, visions to Ordinance 3.79 to take into consideration the new law and going in boards and commissions access to confidential information.

As well as the ability to consult on closed sessions.

The next item that's gonna be on the agenda is gonna be the OIG.

I know there is with me at the table here. They will brief us on their recent body worn camera implementation report and sharing their findings as well as next steps.

As part of one item that I'm gonna update on is the staffing. As far as the Commission goes, we were given the green light to go ahead and.

All the senior staff and on this position, so we're trying to move forward with that as soon as possible. The interview process took place months ago and it was on hold from the executive officer due to the budget for town, so we'll be moving forward with that item.

And we are looking forward to a productive meeting next week as always and appreciate everyone's continued engagement and commitment to the Commission's work.

The next item I'm going to touch on is Commission vacancies.

We recently had two open vacancies with the departure of Sean Kennedy as well as Bob Bonner a couple of months ago, District 5 filled one of the Commission vacancies with the appointment of Commissioner George Newhouse.

George Newhouse is currently completing his required Commission training, which includes ride alongs and orientation sessions, and it's important to note that there is still a delay in the issuance of county emails to the Commissioners. We have some of the Commissioners who have access to the county e-mail as.

Well, as access to trainings, I know it's been a few weeks and Commissioner Neils, I just got another e-mail from him this morning.

You know, trying to still get access.

And I know the EO's office has been trying to help us, you know, help the commissions get access as well. And the IT manager has been assigned to us.

K so.

As far as the, we still haven't at large community vacancy on the Commission and we received an impressive number of at least 50 applications of people who are interested in being on this Commission and for one vacancy.

So now the Commission is reviewing those submissions to identify a list of candidates who will be invited for interviews in the coming weeks.

I know the JDS. Your your participate in the last series that we had of, umm, several months ago.

When you will be continuing to participate.

The next item is board supervisor motions. Turning to the board supervisor motions. The Commission is collaborating with LASD and community based organizations to

host a Prior town hall. This virtual event is scheduled for November 4th from one 1:00 PM to three. It'll provide an opportunity for community members.

To engage, ask questions and hear updates.

On prior compliance and reform efforts.

Additionally, regarding the August 12th forward motion honoring the 55th anniversary of the Chicago Moratorium, the Commission's protection of media and demonstrations ad hoc committee, which was created at our last meeting, is reviewing LES D's policies, practices and procedures related to the use of force and.

The protection of media personnel during demonstrations, we've had several ongoing meetings with OCP, which is Office of Constitutional Policing.

As well as our ad hoc committee to go over those policies and procedures they gave us, at least I think it was like at least 7070 to 80 pages of information and procedures.

And so the Commission is looking at that so they can be able to make recommendations as to whether or not there needs to be any changes.

The next item is a status of meeting confirmed regarding Measure R.

We all know that measure R passed in 2020.

We've met.

Twice over the last few months with Union reps and attorneys, proposed changes were offered and we are reviewing the proposed language of visions offered by the Union reps.

We welcome new Commissioner George Newhouse's review and feedback on the language and we are trying to wrap up this process as soon as possible. We are hoping to send over the proposal visions of 3.79.

With the commissions newest feedback and revisions, as well as.

The this meeting infer policy as well.

And then finally an update on Commission events and activities.

Recently, Commission members have attended several CAT meetings, participate in Lesd's Hispanic Heritage Celebration, and joined the Fall Fest Community Health and Resource Fair on Menlo Ave. in District 2.

That was this past Saturday.

We also collected.

And donated clothing for that event to support the community. Also there was.

A.

A virtual.

Let me say a virtual meeting with the N double ACP a few weeks ago, where our

Commissioner, who lives up in off, he participated and he led that that presentation on behalf of the CLC and then looking ahead we have upcoming events that includes the taste of soul.

Event that's happening this Saturday.

Will be tabeling at that event and also LED's Filipino heritage event event. I believe it's next week and then also next week is attended the Napoleon Conference that's going to be in Minneapolis.

So we have a lot going on still.

And additionally.

This, this this is another item that you know I just thought about a couple of months ago.

The Cocos, an annual conference every year.

Share we focused on law enforcement oversight of law enforcement in California this year. We're going to be collaborating with CCT and other partners to plan our annual conference and hopefully it'll take place in January.

The COC is mandated on the measure or measure on the measure R to come up with a reinvestment plan for jail depopulation.

And there's some other items that's in.

In that reinvestment plan that the COC has been charged.

With and, I believe that was supposed to have taken place and done or completed within seven years, not seven years, but seven months of Measure R measure R.

And so that's gonna be our focus for this year's conference and that completes my report.

I don't know if Chair Johnson is going on.

He has anything to add, daughter?

I don't see him scrolling right now. It's OK.

Thank you.

Are there any comments online from the Commissioner for Commissioners?

Well, I don't.

Sorry, we have any data.

I do, but do you want to ask questions 1st and then I'll go. Or do you want?

Yeah, ears.

And then we'll do questions at the end. OK. Thank you.

So for the body worn camera report that was issued, you all got a copy of it.

The key things I think that we need to everyone needs to know that the custody

division now has a policy on body worn cameras and the intent is to provide those cameras to all of the custody deputies.

They already purchased the cameras.

They were just waiting for the policy to be implemented to implement it.

The other thing that I think is sort of a an interesting take away is and I know that AI is sort of a dicey subject in policing, depending on how you use it. But one thing that we mentioned in our report was the use of.

AI to do audits of body worn camera video because there's very it's very unlikely that most policing departments will be able to sort of hire enough people based on just what the.

The numbers are they're chronically understaffed, chronically overworked because deputies have to do so much overtime.

And it's not just the Sheriff's Department or LAPD.

It's a it's a problem across the country. So to use AI in sort of more non controversial situations I think is something that should be considered by the department.

There was a study. NPR had a news article.

And there was a study that showed.

Essentially looking at body worn camera video, you could tell from the sort of demeanor of how the encounter started to sort of how it was gonna end and.

We've done a report on what are commonly called pretext stops that are really are crime suppression stops and the bottom line is is that the the sort of the cost benefit analysis of those types of stops are they're very low, maybe two or three percent of those stop.

Results in the discovery of criminal activity.

So to look at AI in things like audits of body worn camera and you know, we're not suggesting that you know it be looked at for deputies to be disciplined, but you know for for performance mentoring, right?

So it's a really useful tool.

To use to set a deputy down and say OK, look at how you approach this.

Stop and look at how it ended up and maybe.

Look at a different way of approaching it right and and the focus is still always has to be on on de escalation, right?

Because every time an officer escalates, the other person escalates.

And so to use AI in this type of situation would be something that we think would be beneficial. And while there is cost involved, I think if you look at the cost of that

versus hiring.

You know, people to sit here and do something that's really not necessarily a policing.

Job that it makes sense to to look at those alternatives.

So we just suggested that in our report.

And then we're working on reportedly report right now for the quarter that just ended.

We do anticipate having some information in there on the Rosa settlement agreement.

And then we have a tiny tiny.

Involvement in in Rosa's and.

There have been times in the past where we really haven't needed to step in, but in this particular quarter we reported we'll have some interesting information on how discipline is handled because there's a specific way that discipline has to be handled according to the Rosa's settlement, agree.

And then we are adjusting to our staffing curtailments.

In particular, our complaints person was taken.

I should say the position was curtailed, but the person was able to transfer to a different position and so we are looking at a way to monitor complaints that doesn't necessarily affect our jail monitoring activities. And so we'll have to decide what that looks like because we Don.

Investigate complaints.

I think that there's probably a way to pivot.

And just make sure that those complaints are getting to the Sheriff's Department without necessarily the sort of, you know, monitoring that we've done with them in the past. And our focus has always been on systemic monitoring. In other words, is there a systemic issue that we have to?

Address. I think at this point everybody pretty much has that covered, which is that the Sheriff's Department does not timely investigate.

Most of these complaints we will continue to elevate, of course medical issues that I think where we probably play an important role, which is that if somebody is complaining that they're not getting medical treatment, we bring those to the attention of CHS so that they're there at least.

As a check an in person check on that.

And then obviously, we've always been involved in things like, you know, whether the

toilets are overflowing and things like that. But for all of those issues, obviously, you know, those are mostly just.

How fast can the Sheriff's Department fix it and us elevating those complaints probably really doesn't do much anyway, because I'm sure that the people in custody are playing along, the toilets are look like anyway, so we're just pivoting. Given that we don't have one person assigned to compl.

At this point, and so I think you can expect in our in our reports that there won't be as many special sections that will focus more on on the monitoring and the recommendations that we've previously made and whether those getting implemented.

So, uh, we will be have someone at the CSC meeting, in all likelihood.

Uh, Max Huntsman.

He's just on vacation right now, so that's why.

Thank you.

Let me re thank you.

I was like listening that intently.

It was for CLC and then I have another one for you, Sarah, for the you said that the county emails for the Commissioners are delayed.

And you are working with the IT manager.

I know that's really a big piece of.

Shared data sharing sharing information in the Safeway is there a timeline for that?

Like when that should be.

No, we've been working with.

At one point it was the help desk and it got us escalated or elevated up to the postal office and we assigned the IT manager a couple of weeks ago. So there is no time frame.

I no, I just saw the e-mail from Commissioner Newhouse this morning.

He's been going around in circles trying to get access to county emails. I know with Dara also, she sent the cuff to information, so the Commissioners County emails, but then some of them will have access to it.

So that's a huge problem that that, that has to be addressed sooner rather than later, especially with the the passage of AB 847.

Do you know why we don't have?

Because it sounds like it new houses situation.

More so, maybe getting on the system.

There may be some tech issues, but like not having access to their actual e-mail, it's it's the signing. I think they they use right now their personal laptops and there's a security probably on their personal laptops.

I know somewhat in the I TS Department also mentioned the Commissioners have an possibility of getting laptops with county. That might be a solution.

Also, we've had commissions come down here to try to have someone help them and it did work while they were.

Here, but once they went back home, they were able to do it. Like maybe that evening. But once they logged off and tried to log back in, it was the same thing.

So it's taking a lot of time focusing on trying to get them to access the county e-mail system and then also the trainings. The trainings are also tied to the the county's system as well. So OK, all right, that's helpful.

And then.

For.

The Virtual deputy program, are you all is looking at that as well.

I heard you say that Max would be at the meeting, but is that something you all are looking at independently?

I know it's a pilot right now, so I'm just wondering.

We haven't looked at it.

OK.

So I mean, I know this sort of basics of it, but that's it.

So it could.

We'll see what how the CLC addresses.

You know, we'll see.

We'll see what the Sheriff Department says at the COC meeting with regard to it.

And then we'll decide if there's anything that we need to look at. But I think that, you know, given.

The way that everything's set up now and with our staffing and their staffing that it doesn't make a lot of sense for us to duplicate their efforts, right? And so if there's something that they're not looking at, then you know, like, for instance, with drones, the Co.

Was looking at drones to see met with the Sheriff's Department about drones.

We said we were available if they wanted us there, but they their ad hoc committee didn't, and so.

We said OK.

Then you know go ahead and focus on it from more of the sort of civilian surveillance aspects of it, right?

Because just like with the bodywork, camera drones can be very, very useful in place of people, right?

You know they can arrive on a scene.

They can possibly deescalate before people get there.

The down the question is, what else are drones doing?

Right. So since the COC is looking at that, you know we would maybe supplement that, but we wouldn't, you know, redo anything.

They've done same thing. They looked at deputy mental health.

There's a possibility that we'll do that and, you know, elevate their report through our report and maybe supplement that, but not redo anything that they've they've already they've already done. I think they looked at it from a sort of suicidal point of view.

But there's also the other part of that which is, you know, de escalation.

Can you expect someone who's worked you know, three days in a row, 16 hour shifts to then go to a situation and de escalate that situation? And I think that, you know, the more you work, the less likely you are to be able to do what we're asking.

Deputies to do so.

I think that when we look at things, we're going to try to dovetail what they've done as opposed to redo it.

OK.

That's helpful. Thank you.

I have one for CSE, one for the APA 47.

I'm I'm wondering if you already started conversations with the sheriffs about that and then also I know that even before all this, when you all have come originally for the ordinance, you guys talked about.

Maybe already starting to draft those policies that would detail confidentiality and how exactly would Commissioners access these documents and like just positive things following time, I'm wondering if.

Office has started well. It is.

Let me see how to explain this.

So if the Commissioners get.

Their own county issue laptops, right?

They would have the most likely follow the county confidentiality rules and

procedures that's already in place.

I think it's like a page or two pages long. We can take that information and add to it, but then we also have the issue of Commissioners having issues right now.

With their access to the e-mail system that you know from their own personal.

So it's it's a process that has to.

We have confidentiality policy that we're happy to share with the COC to it, because I think it does add some layers, but I'll I'll just say like I think, I mean, look, we've gotten we've had staff curtailed.

I've turned in probably at least five computers to the executive office, so I am gonna just elevate the fact that I just think we need to give all these Commissioners.

County laptops because it, you know, once you're on the county laptop, you can log in really easily with the GZ scaler. You do have to be able to authenticate, but you can do the authentication app on your personal cell phone so you wouldn't have to give them a.

Laptop and a cell phone.

But if they're going to have access to confidential documents, I think it really is incumbent upon the county to give them county issued laptops.

That yeah, there's a cost to it, but you know, the laptops are not that expensive.

And like I said, you can certainly.

Only repurpose any of the probably five or six that we've turned in and you know, give those to the Commissioners and I just would like to elevate that for the coci.

Think it's imperative that they be able to log in to look at documents that are confidential.

I think accounting needs to know that those documents are secure and that I mean, I've taken.

Does secure cyber security things multiple times? I think I just took it again and it literally says do not use a personal laptop so.

Is is that a request that you've elevated to EO?

Yes, we, we've talked about it.

And then just the last question for I'm sorry if I missed this in your report, but about the like doing the scanning of the videos or whatnot, did the sheriff say anything about that or like they just said that they did it manually?

They that they have people doing it, but once again, like every person that you have doing, that is not a person on patrol, you know, we've expressed to the Sheriff's Department like your core mission is two things, right.

It's patrol, which is, at least in theory, crime prevention.

Right, people driving around on the street and if somebody sees them, they're probably not going to commit a crime in front of them and to solve a crime, right?

And so those are their two core missions now.

Obviously there's other things.

That are involved in order to get to those core missions, but having people audit body worn camera video really seems to be something that AI could take over.

So they didn't say that they were against it.

They just said that they are people doing it.

And by the way, you know there are literally robots that could probably do. Title 15 checks better than people.

Canoe title 15 checks and there are prisons that are already using robots, right? And so, you know, we get all freaked out. Like I said, about AI and robots and things about that.

But you know, you know, we mock our drive better than than people, cars.

So they make mistakes, but not as often as people do so.

And you know the title 15 checks it's, you know, is the person breathing. And they also mentioned to see at a civil brand meeting.

One of the deputies there actually mentioned that, you know, there are wristbands that people can wear.

You know, much like an Apple Watch, but I'm assuming a much cheaper version.

And you know, they can tell you whether a person is breathing and a title 15 check doesn't necessarily tell you whether a person is in distress.

So just some food for thought on possibilities of AI. That would be a positive improvement.

Thank you.

Any video?

All right. Are there any public comments for this item we speak up?

Raise your hand on teams.

OK, seeing none, we move to general public comment.

Are there any general public comment today?

Please speak up or raise your hand on teams.

All right, seeing none, we adjourn this meeting and move to closed session.