



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

			The following individuals submitted comments on agenda item:	
Agenda #	Relate To	Position	Name	Comments
9.		Favor	Ann Dorsey	
		Other	Esroruleh Mohammad	This motion advances system coordination for veterans disproportionately impacted by procedural or systemic exploitation and requires the highest level of ADA and authorship compliance. Elements such as “real-time dashboards,” “navigation,” and “cross-agency coordination” replicate components of federally registered prevention frameworks (BCCP™ / B2C3A™, TXu 2-486-534) disclosed under ADA medical protections. To ensure legal and ethical defensibility, I respectfully request that the Board, through County Counsel, establish provenance-verification procedures for any navigation or coordinated-access system implemented under this motion. Such verification satisfies Title II of the ADA, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act, and 17 U.S.C. §§ 102–106 requirements for authorship acknowledgment. This ADA-protected disclosure is entered for record integrity, ensuring the County’s framework development honors lived-experience authorship and prevents unlicensed operational replication. Recognition of provenance is a compliance safeguard, not advocacy, and protects both County and federal partners. This submission is filed for compliance, provenance, and record-preservation purposes only, consistent with ADA Title II, § 504, and applicable County procedural standards. Respectfully submitted, Dr. Esroruleh T. Mohammad, Ph.D. Clinical Psychologist (ADA / § 504 Medical Leave) Systems Equity Strategist & Family Advocate Author, BureauCare-to-Custody-Cemetery Pipeline™ (BCCP™) / B2C3A™ Frameworks U.S. Copyright TXu 2-486-534 tamimmohammad@gmail.com Filed under Unified BCCP™ / B2C3A™ Evidentiary Convergence Archive Submitted pursuant to confirmed ADA Title II / § 504 written-communication accommodation (CRD approval 10 / 23 / 25). Recognition-only. No methods or tools disclosed; no license granted. © Dr. Esroruleh Mohammad (2025) This filing is linked to prior ADA Title II record-continuity correspondence, References E-13A (October 27 2025) and Q-30 (November 4 2025), for evidentiary traceability and provenance verification.
			Latia Suttle	I feel a systems improvement discussed in a revised motion titled, “Building

As of: 11/17/2025 7:00:15 PM



PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

Los Angeles County's Prevention Infrastructure" will not be accomplished if the Prevention and Promotions Systems Governing Committee and the Family and Social Service Cluster, continues to actively exclude the Family Court, the unique population of Veterans and a sufficient number of Lived Expert Consultants.

I noticed that the Office of Equity has collaborated with Casey Families Programs to create a presentation that solely focuses on the impact of children in the Department of Children and Families (DCFS) system and in Foster Care.

Casey Families Programs also has a brief titled "Safe, Strong, Supportive-How can child welfare partner with other systems to support families experiencing domestic violence?" However, this brief is not included in the motion for "Advancing a Unified Prevention Framework for Children, Youth, and Families." which is being heard and voted on November 18, 2025. The brief is not including in anything the county is doing.

On the other hand, a Rand study titled "L.A. Foster Care Youth Struggle with Housing as They Become Independent; Services Should Be Expanded to Later Ages" was included in the motion for "Advancing a Unified Prevention Framework for Children, Youth, and Families." This motion is scheduled to be heard and voted on by the Board of Supervisors on November 18, 2025.

Rand also has a research summary that examined the effectiveness of the ACES (Adverse Childhood Experiences) Awareness Initiative Program since 2020. There was a study that identified California as having toxic stress, which disrupts brain development, immune, hormonal, and metabolic systems, especially when ACEs occur in the absence of protective factors. Unfortunately, protection is not being provided in Family Court, and cases are frequently bounced back and forth between Family Court and DCFS. Children who witness domestic violence, parent separation, abuse, and other forms of ACEs are more likely to end up in juvenile detention centers, as evidenced by a study conducted in Florida.

As many as 64 percent of California adults have experienced at least one ACE. These ACE-associated health conditions collectively lead to an estimated annual financial burden of \$1.5 trillion for California.

California's ACEs Aware Initiative has made significant early progress, but sustained investment is crucial. RAND evaluations revealed that a lack of awareness about ACEs and ACE screening remains a primary reason why clinics are not conducting these screenings and responding to the issue effectively.

RAND evaluations identified several barriers that necessitate substantial investment in infrastructure to address. Unfortunately, none of this Rand information on ACEs has been included in the review and work from the Prevention and Promotions Systems Governing Committee, the Family and



PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

Social Service Cluster, or the motion for the Framework to End Veteran Homelessness.

Rand also has information on "Suicide Among Veterans." Since 2005, the suicide rate has risen faster for veterans compared to non-veteran adults. However, the veteran suicide rate experienced a slight decrease between 2021 and 2022 (Office of Suicide Prevention, 2024b). Unfortunately this information was also not included in the in the review and work being done by the Prevention and Promotions Systems Governing Committee, the Family and Social Service Cluster, or the motion for the Framework to end Veteran Homelessness.

Additionally, Rand has an Implementation Guide titled "Empowerment-Based Domestic Violence Programming Within a Family Court Setting," which is also not included in the review and work being done by the Prevention and Promotions Systems Governing Committee, the Family and Social Service Cluster, or the motion for the Framework to end Veteran Homelessness.

"Domestic violence is a serious public health issue that demands a robust network of support." Again, this is not included in the reviewing information from the Prevention and Promotions Systems Governing Committee, the Family and Social Service Cluster, nor the motion for the Framework to end Veteran Homelessness.

I do not comprehend how the county has established a Prevention and Promotions Systems Governing Committee, holds meetings with Deputies to discuss matters calendared for Board actions within 30 days with the Family and Social Service Cluster, yet none of these entities include collaborating with the Family Court or including veterans. Despite studies conducted by Rand, the National Institutes of Health, National Library of Medicine and information provided by the National Council of Juvenile and Family Court Judges (NCJFCJ) reflecting the inclusion is necessary. The mission of NCJFCJ is to continuously improve the family court system and court practices and to raise awareness of the core issues impacting the lives of our nation's children and families. For those involved with juvenile, family, and domestic violence cases, the NCJFCJ provides the resources, knowledge, and training to improve the lives of families and children seeking justice. Despite the growing attention to the needs of children exposed to violence, progress toward ameliorating adverse childhood experiences is slow, particularly in the juvenile and family court systems. Courts often lack a complete understanding of the effects of trauma on the populations before them and may not have the capacity to modify environments, policies, and practices to keep from compounding the trauma experienced. However, the Judicial Council, Judges, Officers of the Court and Law Enforcement have pushed back on additional training.

All judges should appropriately engage families, professionals, organizations, and communities to support effectively child safety, permanency, well-being, victim safety, offender accountability, healthy family functioning, and



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

		community protection. NCJFJ has a vision that Judges and system stakeholders should have a shared understanding of trauma and how it affects the behavior of the youth and families involved in the system. They should also have the capacity to respond effectively to victims of trauma by creating a healing environment that promotes safety, agency, and meaningful social connections. The NCJFCJ supports making resources available for staff experiencing secondary traumatic stress. The NCJFCJ recommends funding for the development of policy and practices to enhance courts' capacity to respond to victims and others who have experienced trauma in order to improve outcomes for children and families. On the other hand, when victims need protection, it should be provided once evidence is presented. Unfortunately, there are some judges who are neglecting to protect victims when they seek help and are ignoring protection orders that are already in place, putting women and children in danger and leaving them stuck in abusive situations. Community members have created a website to call out these corrupt judges who are harming the community. Unfortunately websites like the Robing Room are scrubbed daily deleting public comments that community members had submitted about the harm they endured inside Family Court. Community members had to take matters into their own hands and create their own website where comments from community members would not be deleted by those protecting rogue and corrupt judges in Family Court. Community members were not initially believed when they cried out about the gangs within the Sheriff's Department causing harm to them. However, after decades of persistent outcry, it was finally confirmed that there were indeed gangs within the department, with estimates ranging from 19 to 22 gangs. It's high time we take a closer look at what's happening to community members in Family Court. Instead of turning a blind eye and excluding it from the Board of Supervisors' work through various committees, we should address th
	Latia Suttle	I feel a systems improvement discussed in a revised motion titled, "Building Los Angeles County's Prevention Infrastructure" will not be accomplished if the Prevention and Promotions Systems Governing Committee and the Family and Social Service Cluster, continues to actively exclude the Family Court, the unique population of Veterans and a sufficient number of Lived Expert Consultants. I noticed that the Office of Equity has collaborated with Casey Families Programs to create a presentation that solely focuses on the impact of children in the Department of Children and Families (DCFS) system and in Foster Care. Casey Families Programs also has a brief titled "Safe, Strong, Supportive-How can child welfare partner with other systems to support families



PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

experiencing domestic violence?" However, this brief is not included in the motion for "Advancing a Unified Prevention Framework for Children, Youth, and Families." which is being heard and voted on November 18, 2025. The brief is not including in anything the county is doing.

On the other hand, a Rand study titled "L.A. Foster Care Youth Struggle with Housing as They Become Independent; Services Should Be Expanded to Later Ages" was included in the motion for "Advancing a Unified Prevention Framework for Children, Youth, and Families." This motion is scheduled to be heard and voted on by the Board of Supervisors on November 18, 2025.

Rand also has a research summary that examined the effectiveness of the ACES (Adverse Childhood Experiences) Awareness Initiative Program since 2020. There was a study that identified California as having toxic stress, which disrupts brain development, immune, hormonal, and metabolic systems, especially when ACEs occur in the absence of protective factors. Unfortunately, protection is not being provided in Family Court, and cases are frequently bounced back and forth between Family Court and DCFS. Children who witness domestic violence, parent separation, abuse, and other forms of ACEs are more likely to end up in juvenile detention centers, as evidenced by a study conducted in Florida.

As many as 64 percent of California adults have experienced at least one ACE. These ACE-associated health conditions collectively lead to an estimated annual financial burden of \$1.5 trillion for California.

California's ACEs Aware Initiative has made significant early progress, but sustained investment is crucial. RAND evaluations revealed that a lack of awareness about ACEs and ACE screening remains a primary reason why clinics are not conducting these screenings and responding to the issue effectively.

RAND evaluations identified several barriers that necessitate substantial investment in infrastructure to address. Unfortunately, none of this Rand information on ACEs has been included in the review and work from the Prevention and Promotions Systems Governing Committee, the Family and Social Service Cluster, or the motion for the Framework to End Veteran Homelessness.

Rand also has information on "Suicide Among Veterans." Since 2005, the suicide rate has risen faster for veterans compared to non-veteran adults. However, the veteran suicide rate experienced a slight decrease between 2021 and 2022 (Office of Suicide Prevention, 2024b). Unfortunately this information was also not included in the in the review and work being done by the Prevention and Promotions Systems Governing Committee, the Family and Social Service Cluster, or the motion for the Framework to end Veteran Homelessness.

Additionally, Rand has an Implementation Guide titled "Empowerment-Based



PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

Domestic Violence Programming Within a Family Court Setting," which is also not included in the review and work being done by the Prevention and Promotions Systems Governing Committee, the Family and Social Service Cluster, or the motion for the Framework to end Veteran Homelessness.

"Domestic violence is a serious public health issue that demands a robust network of support." Again, this is not included in the reviewing information from the Prevention and Promotions Systems Governing Committee, the Family and Social Service Cluster, nor the motion for the Framework to end Veteran Homelessness.

I do not comprehend how the county has established a Prevention and Promotions Systems Governing Committee, holds meetings with Deputies to discuss matters calendared for Board actions within 30 days with the Family and Social Service Cluster, yet none of these entities include collaborating with the Family Court or including veterans. Despite studies conducted by Rand, the National Institutes of Health, National Library of Medicine and information provided by the National Council of Juvenile and Family Court Judges (NCJFCJ) reflecting the inclusion is necessary. The mission of NCJFCJ is to continuously improve the family court system and court practices and to raise awareness of the core issues impacting the lives of our nation's children and families. For those involved with juvenile, family, and domestic violence cases, the NCJFCJ provides the resources, knowledge, and training to improve the lives of families and children seeking justice. Despite the growing attention to the needs of children exposed to violence, progress toward ameliorating adverse childhood experiences is slow, particularly in the juvenile and family court systems. Courts often lack a complete understanding of the effects of trauma on the populations before them and may not have the capacity to modify environments, policies, and practices to keep from compounding the trauma experienced. However, the Judicial Council, Judges, Officers of the Court and Law Enforcement have pushed back on additional training.

All judges should appropriately engage families, professionals, organizations, and communities to support effectively child safety, permanency, well-being, victim safety, offender accountability, healthy family functioning, and community protection.

NCJFJ has a vision that Judges and system stakeholders should have a shared understanding of trauma and how it affects the behavior of the youth and families involved in the system. They should also have the capacity to respond effectively to victims of trauma by creating a healing environment that promotes safety, agency, and meaningful social connections. The NCJFCJ supports making resources available for staff experiencing secondary traumatic stress. The NCJFCJ recommends funding for the development of policy and practices to enhance courts' capacity to respond to victims and others who have experienced trauma in order to improve outcomes for children and families.

				On the other hand, when victims need protection, it should be provided once evidence is presented. Unfortunately, there are some judges who are neglecting to protect victims when they seek help and are ignoring protection orders that are already in place, putting women and children in danger and leaving them stuck in abusive situations. Community members have created a website to call out these corrupt judges who are harming the community. Unfortunately websites like the Robing Room are scrubbed daily deleting public comments that community members had submitted about the harm they endured inside Family Court. Community members had to take matters into their own hands and create their own website where comments from community members would not be deleted by those protecting rogue and corrupt judges in Family Court. Community members were not initially believed when they cried out about the gangs within the Sheriff's Department causing harm to them. However, after decades of persistent outcry, it was finally confirmed that there were indeed gangs within the department, with estimates ranging from 19 to 22 gangs. It's high time we take a closer look at what's happening to community members in Family Court. Instead of turning a blind eye and excluding it from the Board of Supervisors' work through various committees, we should address thi
			sennett devermont	I'd like to make comment during meeting
		Item Total	5	
Grand Total			5	

BILL PROPOSAL: Veterans Family Court and Veterans Department of Children and Family Services

Original submission date to House Committee on Veterans' Affairs (HCVA): May 3, 2022

Resubmission date to HCVA: July 11, 2023

Submitted by: Latia Suttle, CW2, U.S. Army (Ret)

1. What issue does this bill address?

There are serious concerns surrounding veteran and child separation, financial ruin, homelessness, retraumatization and unacceptable wait times in civilian Family Courts.

The need for a familial support system, family reunification and family supportive services upon demobilization after deployment is critical to the well-being of veterans who have served their country. Veterans have already been separated from their children and families for months and up to 18 months or more due to deployments serving our country.

Officers of the court and child protective service agencies are not familiar with circumstances surrounding military personnel and veterans and ultimately treat veterans with PTSD or service-connected injuries the same as convicted criminals when **there are no criminal charges**.

Veterans Treatment Court has wrap-around services, a liaison, and case managers for veterans but only assists veterans that have a criminal charge in combination with a substance abuse problem or a mental health challenge.

Veterans with cases in Family Court, Children's Court and the Department of Children and Family Services that do not have a criminal charge, do not have the same wrap-around support as veterans in the Veterans Treatment Court.

Veterans in Family Court, Children's Court and the Department of Children and Family Services have no supportive services, cases dragging out for years, financial ruin, family separation and **taking a toll on the mental health of veterans and their families**.

Family Court and DCFS take children from veterans and do not provide Family Reunification Services.

Family Court and DCFS deprive veterans of visitations with their children and do not ensure that visitations are taking place between veterans and their children.

Specifically cases with no criminal charges and no substance abuse issues.

Parents are not asked if they are military veterans when issues are **REFERRED** to DCFS.
Parents are not asked if they are military veterans when cases are **OPEN** with DCFS and

Family Court. Classes completed by parents at the Veterans Family Wellness Center and the VA such as parenting classes are not acknowledged by Family Court and DCFS.

Unemployed veterans who receive VA Compensation for injuries or illnesses that occurred during military service are having to report the compensation as income therefore disqualifying them from legal aid and causing veterans to pay \$300-\$500 per hour for attorneys in Family Court plus all other associated court costs and opinions from court appointed experts.

Family Court for **civilians** has an extreme backlog of cases waiting to be heard for months only to receive multiple continuances that reschedule court dates multiple times dragging cases out and awaiting family reunification for years after veterans have served their country.

2. Why is current law insufficient to address the issue?

There is no law in place to address the backlog of cases in Family Court. There is no law in place to ensure Family Reunification of veteran families with no criminal charges nor substance abuse issues.

Military Veterans are not being connected with services available to them at the VA, Veterans Family Wellness Center and Vet Centers. Only veterans with a criminal charge can receive services in the Veterans Treatment Court. Veterans without a criminal charge with cases with DCFS and Family Court lose their children and are not connected with any VA services nor Family Reunification Services. This lack of VA connection and support, creates financial hardship and loss of children which compounds issues that veterans may already be experiencing. The military and veteran suicide rate is 20 to 22 per day and has been that way for a numbers of years.

3. Recommended Solutions:

- a) Create a special Family Court for veterans returning from Kuwait, Iraq, Afghanistan, Saudi Arabia, Korea and any area of conflict. The court should be similar to the Veterans Treatment Court but also includes Family Services and Family Reunification Services with those trained to work with veteran families. Exclude DCFS unless personnel are veterans themselves. Social workers who are military veterans need to be added to the support circle. Family Court for veterans who do not have criminal charges nor substance abuse issues. The Judicial Council of California needs to be proactive and establish a veteran specific Family Court as veterans are a unique population.
- b) Investigate cases in Family Court and with DCFS that have removed children from veterans with no criminal charges, domestic abuse was involved but protective orders were ignored or abuse not acknowledged by officers of the court or DCFS social workers. Abuse includes Coercive Control. Investigate cases involving veterans and they have not had a visit with their children in months or years due to the systemic abuse of Family Court and/or DCFS.
- c) Allow veterans to file a lawsuit for compensation and damages once the systemic abuse and violation of parental rights have been revealed and documented from the investigation.

4. Studies, Reports, Statistics & Facts

a) White Paper by Ad Hoc Committee from the Veterans Advisory Commission attached. Ad Hoc Committee was formed after Ms. Latia Suttle had been speaking to the Commission monthly for years about the issues.  VetFamAdHocBanko.pdf

b) Joining Forces is a White House initiative to support military families, which includes: families of service members and veterans, caregivers and survivors that started 11 years ago. The objective was to convene and coordinate federal agency partners, advocacy organizations, corporate stakeholders and service providers to develop comprehensive and long-term solutions to address the holistic well-being of military and veteran families, caregivers and survivors. **Links and report attached.** This has been unsuccessful in the areas of Family Court and DCFS as there are veterans that have had cases in Family court 13 years or more. There have been DA investigators knocking in doors of veterans with Battering Rams snatching children when there are no criminal charges. There are veterans and extended family members that have not seen their children and grandchildren for years after veterans have served our country. The veteran suicide rate is still 20 to 22 per day.

c) Previous legislation called for an investigation of DCFS:

<https://imprintnews.org/news-2/state-audit-slams-los-angeles-child-welfare-department-for-leaving-children-in-unsafe-and-abusive-situations/35190>

d) An example of the widespread Public Corruption and Criminal Activity of Judges can be heard from witnesses, victims and attorneys during the Joint Legislative Audit Committee, Senate Judiciary Committee, and Assembly Judiciary Committee, Joint Legislative Oversight Hearing held due to an Audit and Articles that revealed that the Commission on Judicial Performance: Weakness in Its Oversight Have Created Opportunities for Judicial Misconduct to Persist. Hearing held on Wednesday, June 12, 2019. NO EFFECTIVE OVERSIGHT: Mothers are being derailed, financially ruined and becoming homeless due to the corrupt activity in Family Courts and DCFS. Keep in mind that veterans are being affected by this. You can choose to fast forward to the 2 hour 48 minute mark to hear what the public and lawyers have to say about the crimes being committed by Judges. At 3 hours and 14 minutes Attorney Patrick Evans speaks about Judges committing crimes.

http://calchannel.granicus.com/MediaPlayer.php?view_id=7&clip_id=6334

5. Fiscal Impacts (How much will it cost? Is there General Fund money already allocated for this purpose? Will it cost local communities money to implement?)

Unknown

6. Examples of other states that have addressed or resolved the problem successfully: **No other states have resolved the problem.**

7. Urgency (Is there a need for speed? How fast must this matter move?): **Urgent because deployments to Iraq, Afghanistan, Kuwait, Saudi Arabia, Korea and other areas of conflict were urgent.**

8. Prior or Similar Legislation in progress, or already passed in California (Bill number, author, year and final disposition): SB 907 by Senator Bob Archuleta only assists Active Duty Military but not Veterans and Retirees. Wrote and called the Senators office in 2020 to address the issue and to possibly amend the bill or create a new one but his office never confirmed a meeting date nor addressed the issue with leaving out veterans and retirees. SB 1182 (Eggman) was approved and signed by Governor Gavin Newsom on September 17, 2022.

This helps veterans who self identify in Family Court receive a list of resources and takes effect in 2024. SB 1182 (Eggman) was much needed legislation and veterans are extremely grateful that it was approved and passed.

Veterans still need assistance with cases with DCFS (Department of Children and Family Services) and in Children's Court/Dependency Court.

The backlog of cases in Family Court and Children's Court/Dependency Court and veterans not being able to have visitations and/or custody of their children is a different issue that needs to be addressed. Cases dragging out for years is a different issue that needs to be addressed. These issues can be remedied with the recommendations provided above by creating a Family Court for Veterans with no criminal charges.

Possible Support: NABMW LA

Latia Suttle
CW2, U.S. Army (Ret)

Public Comment for November 17, 2025

[Racial Justice Committee](#)

Commission for Children and Families

Agenda Items: #6, #7

Public Comment for November 18, 2025

[Board of Supervisors Meeting](#)

Agenda Items: #4, #9, #13

Public Comment for November 19, 2025

[Prevention and Promotion Systems Governing Committee](#)

Agenda Items: #2, #3, #4, #6, #8

Submitted by: Latia Suttle, U.S. Army Veteran

I am providing Public Comment to Multiple Meetings taking place in close proximity in the upcoming week in which I feel a systems improvement discussed in a revised motion titled, "[Building Los Angeles County's Prevention Infrastructure](#)" will not be accomplished if the Prevention and Promotions Systems Governing Committee and the Family and Social Service Cluster, continues to actively exclude the Family Court, the unique population of Veterans and a sufficient number of Lived Expert Consultants.

I noticed that the Office of Equity has collaborated with Casey Families Programs to create a presentation that solely focuses on the impact of children in the Department of Children and Families (DCFS) system and in Foster Care.

Casey Families Programs also has a brief titled "[Safe, Strong, Supportive- How can child welfare partner with other systems to support families experiencing domestic violence?](#)" However, **this brief is not included** in the motion for "Advancing a Unified Prevention Framework for Children, Youth, and Families." which is being heard and voted on November 18, 2025. The brief is **not including** in anything the county is doing.

On the other hand, a Rand study titled "L.A. Foster Care Youth Struggle with Housing as They Become Independent; Services Should Be Expanded to Later Ages" was included in the motion for "Advancing a Unified Prevention Framework for Children, Youth, and Families." This motion is scheduled to be heard and voted on by the Board of Supervisors on November 18, 2025.

[Rand also has a research summary that examined the effectiveness of the ACES \(Adverse Childhood Experiences\) Awareness Initiative Program since 2020.](#) There was a study that identified California as having toxic stress, which disrupts brain development, immune, hormonal, and metabolic systems, especially when ACEs occur in the absence of protective

factors. Unfortunately, protection is not being provided in Family Court, and cases are frequently bounced back and forth between Family Court and DCFS.

[Children who witness domestic violence, parent separation, abuse, and other forms of ACES are more likely to end up in juvenile detention centers, as evidenced by a study conducted in Florida.](#)

As many as 64 percent of California adults have experienced at least one ACE. These ACE-associated health conditions collectively lead to an estimated annual [financial burden of \\$1.5 trillion for California.](#)

California's ACEs Aware Initiative has made significant early progress, but sustained investment is crucial. RAND evaluations revealed that a lack of awareness about ACEs and ACE screening remains a primary reason why clinics are not conducting these screenings and responding to the issue effectively.

RAND evaluations identified several barriers that necessitate substantial investment in infrastructure to address. Unfortunately, **none of this Rand information on ACEs has been included** in the review and work from the Prevention and Promotions Systems Governing Committee, the Family and Social Service Cluster, or the motion for the Framework to End Veteran Homelessness.

Rand also has information on ["Suicide Among Veterans."](#) Since 2005, the suicide rate has risen faster for veterans compared to non-veteran adults. However, the veteran suicide rate experienced a slight decrease between 2021 and 2022 (Office of Suicide Prevention, 2024b). Unfortunately **this information was also not included** in the in the review and work being done by the Prevention and Promotions Systems Governing Committee, the Family and Social Service Cluster, or the motion for the Framework to end Veteran Homelessness.

Additionally, Rand has an [Implementation Guide titled "Empowerment-Based Domestic Violence Programming Within a Family Court Setting,"](#) which is **also not included** in the review and work being done by the Prevention and Promotions Systems Governing Committee, the Family and Social Service Cluster, or the motion for the Framework to end Veteran Homelessness.

["Domestic violence is a serious public health issue](#) that demands a robust network of support." Again, this is **not included** in the reviewing information from the Prevention and Promotions Systems Governing Committee, the Family and Social Service Cluster, nor the motion for the Framework to end Veteran Homelessness.

I do not comprehend how the county has established a Prevention and Promotions Systems Governing Committee, holds meetings with Deputies to discuss matters calendared for Board actions within 30 days with the Family and Social Service Cluster, yet none of these entities include collaborating with the Family Court or including veterans. Despite studies conducted by Rand, the National Institutes of Health, National Library of Medicine and information provided by the National Council of Juvenile and Family Court Judges (NCJFCJ) reflecting the inclusion is

necessary. The mission of [NCJFCJ](#) is to continuously improve the family court system and court practices and to raise awareness of the core issues impacting the lives of our nation's children and families. For those involved with juvenile, family, and domestic violence cases, the NCJFCJ provides the resources, knowledge, and training to improve the lives of families and children seeking justice.

Despite the growing attention to the needs of children exposed to violence, progress toward ameliorating adverse childhood experiences is slow, particularly in the juvenile and family court systems. Courts often lack a complete understanding of the effects of trauma on the populations before them and may not have the capacity to modify environments, policies, and practices to keep from compounding the trauma experienced. However, the Judicial Council, Judges, Officers of the Court and Law Enforcement have pushed back on additional training.

All judges should appropriately engage families, professionals, organizations, and communities to support effectively child safety, permanency, well-being, victim safety, offender accountability, healthy family functioning, and community protection.

NCJFJ has a vision that Judges and system stakeholders should have a shared understanding of trauma and how it affects the behavior of the youth and families involved in the system. They should also have the capacity to respond effectively to victims of trauma by creating a healing environment that promotes safety, agency, and meaningful social connections. The NCJFCJ supports making resources available for staff experiencing secondary traumatic stress. The NCJFCJ recommends funding for the development of policy and practices to enhance courts' capacity to respond to victims and others who have experienced trauma in order to improve outcomes for children and families.

On the other hand, when victims need protection, it should be provided once evidence is presented. Unfortunately, there are some judges who are neglecting to protect victims when they seek help and are ignoring protection orders that are already in place, putting women and children in danger and leaving them stuck in abusive situations. Community members have created a website to call out these [corrupt judges](#) who are harming the community. Unfortunately websites like the Robing Room are scrubbed daily deleting public comments that community members had submitted about the harm they endured inside Family Court. Community members had to take matters into their own hands and create their own website where comments from community members would not be deleted by those protecting rogue and corrupt judges in Family Court.

Community members were not initially believed when they cried out about the [gangs within the Sheriff's Department](#) causing harm to them. However, after decades of persistent outcry, it was finally confirmed that there were indeed gangs within the department, with estimates ranging from 19 to 22 gangs.

It's high time we take a closer look at what's happening to community members in Family Court. Instead of turning a blind eye and excluding it from the Board of Supervisors' work through various committees, we should address this issue. The DA's office and the FBI should investigate these claims and examine the public's concerns about the harm these judges in

Family Court are causing.

Chicago once had to investigate corrupt Judges. I commend them for having the courage to do so. [Operation Greylord](#) one of the largest and most successful undercover investigations in FBI history.

It is evident that the Family Court is crucial in being included in Trauma informed Care. Studies reveal that children are adversely affected by family separation and domestic violence, leading to the highest rates of juvenile detention.

I am perplexed by the county's issuance of a Motion for Military and Veterans Affairs accusing them of working in silos, considering that Military and Veterans Affairs have not been included in either the Prevention and Promotions Systems Governing Committee or the Family and Social Service Cluster. The county instructs Military and Veterans Affairs to partner with CalVets, but they are already engaged in such partnerships to the fullest extent possible. The issue lies in the absence of a separate Department of Child and Family Services (DCFS) for Veterans and their families, as well as a separate Family Court for Veterans and their families. Consequently, veterans and military retirees are inadvertently entangled in the same flawed system as everyone else.

DCFS doesn't identify veterans when cases are transferred from Family Court or independently. I spent years writing bill proposals and pitching them to save veterans from these dysfunctional systems that operate in silos. You're asking the Director of Military and Veterans Affairs to pitch bill proposals to your legislative personnel while simultaneously forcing him to figure it out on his own, work in silos internally, partner with CalVets, and make your own referrals to HUD VASH. I have a bill proposal for you. I'll attach it right [here](#). I've been pitching it for years.

Until someone makes this bill proposal law, there are veterans with cases in Family Court and DCFS being treated poorly. Their cases drag out for years, and they don't get reunited with their biological children. The only focus of these various committees is foster care, as if there aren't children in Family Court who are impacted by this system.

Additionally, [the law that was recently passed](#) to stop counting VA Disability Compensation when applying for HUD VASH isn't working. I have evidence and proof that it's not working. The only difference it made was to stop counting the VA Disability Compensation **before** a veteran completes the application. Now, a veteran can complete the application, and as soon as they turn it in, the VA disability compensation is counted, defeating the purpose the law was intended for. Someone needs to go back and administer Congressman Sherman's Housing Unhoused Disabled Veterans Act (HUDVA) to clearly state that **VA Disability Compensation should not be counted before or after** the application is completed.

Furthermore, in the [motion for the Framework to End Veteran Homelessness](#), it doesn't mention how there's land deeded to veterans at the Soldiers Home, also known as the West Los Angeles VA Campus, which has illegal leases upon it. Thousands of veterans could be housed if someone enforced court orders and evicted those who are illegally on the land. Why isn't that mentioned in the motion for the Framework to end Veteran Homelessness? That same motion also instructs the Director to use [Proposition 1](#) funding. All veterans don't have mental health

challenges and/or a substance abuse disorder. They might after being impacted by this flawed system after trying to transition from the military. Preventive services would be a much better approach. Also including housing for all veterans without the restriction of requiring them to have a mental health challenge or drug and alcohol challenge. Some veterans may have an injury or disability from their time in service and not have a mental health or drug and alcohol challenge. Utilizing the land already deeded to veterans for housing veterans should be included in the motion. This motion is being heard and voted on November 18, 2025 as agenda item #9.

One motion stated that to anchor foundational Prevention and Promotion services infrastructure, the committee should establish three initial key focus areas: child welfare and family well-being, homelessness and housing, and behavioral health.

In the [Motion titled "Advancing a Unified Prevention Framework for Children, Youth, and Families,"](#) it states, "Through these efforts, the County reaffirms its responsibility to transform how systems work together, creating a prevention-first infrastructure that reduces reliance on punitive interventions, elevates community voices, and ensures that **every** child and family has the foundation necessary to flourish."

The key word here is "EVERY" child and family. This cannot be accomplished solely by focusing on Foster Care. Those in leadership and decision-making positions may not fully understand that a sole focus on Foster Care is not sufficient.

This is why it is crucial to include and listen to the Lived Expert Consultants available in the Reimagine Child Safety Coalition. [The Reimagine Child Safety Coalition has a list of Demands](#) that you can follow. There are also Demands listed from the [Reimagining Child Safety Group](#). All meetings in Los Angeles County dealing with children, families, domestic violence, homelessness, safety, and well-being should have the Demands laid out in front of them at all times.

It is imperative that we include all children and families, including those impacted in Family Court and the unique population of veterans. Veterans have children who are also being affected by this same flawed system. For years, I have requested that data be collected to determine how many veterans have been impacted by this flawed family policing system. How many women veterans seeking help from Domestic Violence have had their children removed from them by Family Court or DCFS?

There is absolutely no data tracking veterans in the system at all. Suicide and homelessness, which are the highest among veterans compared to non-veterans, are being tracked, however, if a veteran had their child snatched from them by Family Court or DCFS, that is not being tracked. Why is that?

The New York Family Court handles all matters related to families and children, including both typical "family" cases and "dependency" cases.

Reference: <https://ww2.nycourts.gov/COURTS/nyc/family/overview.shtml>

The New York Family Court cannot grant divorces (

<https://www.nycourts.gov/courts/cts-outside-nyc-Family.shtml>); only the Supreme Court has that authority. Its jurisdiction encompasses various areas, including adoption, guardianship, foster care approval and review, delinquency, persons in need of supervision, family offenses (domestic violence), child protective proceedings (abuse and neglect), termination of parental rights, custody and visitation, and support.

It is crucial for departments and courts in Los Angeles County to work together, as they cannot operate in silos. Despite efforts, this approach is not effective.

Immediate action is needed to establish Court Watch in these spaces. Parents should be given the right to record social workers, and social workers should be required to wear body cams.

Family Court Watch Example:

<https://www.sledsvn.org/court-watch-project>

Government corruption weakens institutions, increases inequality, hinders economic growth, and erodes public trust, all of which harm society.

Demands Included:

1. https://docs.google.com/document/d/1OPIbx36fxpEmF6BNOQGcq-6Jw-ccO4pyQH_GsLMTIVc/edit?usp=drivesdk (BOS Agenda Item-#4- the concerns about adoption are in the Demand Letter written by the Reimagining Child Safety Group. Incarcerated parents are losing custody of their children to adoption while incarcerated)
2. <https://www.reimaginechildsafety.org/our-demands>
3. <https://www.reimaginechildsafety.org/>

Articles on Judicial Corruption:

1. <https://www.citywatchla.com/los-angeles/19851-how-the-racist-whims-of-california-judges-have-victimized-us-all>
2. <https://www.citywatchla.com/los-angeles/14832-is-our-court-system-polluted-by-psychopaths>
3. <https://www.citywatchla.com/los-angeles/13092-california-supreme-court-an-epidemic-of-misconduct>
4. <https://calcoastnews.com/2019/04/state-audit-finds-lax-oversight-fosters-judicial-misconduct/>
5. Joint Legislative Oversight Hearing: Commission on Judicial Performance: Weakness In

It's Oversight Have Created Opportunities for Judicial Misconduct to Persist. Public comments start at the 2 hour 48 minute mark. Yolanda is the first to speak. At 3 hours and 14 minutes Attorney Patrick Evans speaks about Judges committing crimes. Catherine Campbell with California Protective Parents Association is the last to speak. She briefly mentions she received a call from Los Angeles that a complaint was filed a year ago that went into investigation but after the CJP Audit was completed the investigation was closed with no explanation and no action.

http://calchannel.granicus.com/MediaPlayer.php?view_id=7&clip_id=6334

6. <https://www.c-span.org/program/interview/greylord-justice-chicago-style/5004>

7. <https://vimeo.com/172228611?fl=pl&fe=sh>



Tamim Mohammad <tammimohammad@gmail.com>

Notice of Procedural Continuity and ADA/§ 504 Access Obligations – CPRA Requests September 8 and October 1 2025

Tamim Mohammad <tammimohammad@gmail.com>

Mon, Oct 27, 2025 at 8:00 AM

To: dharrison@counsel.lacounty.gov, jwhitehurst@counsel.lacounty.gov, Miguel Chavez <MChavez@counsel.lacounty.gov>, Emily Issa <EIssa@counsel.lacounty.gov>, rclaro@counsel.lacounty.gov, akatz@counsel.lacounty.gov, COCO_Contact_Us <Contact_Us@counsel.lacounty.gov>, egomez@counsel.lacounty.gov, dmhcpra@dmh.lacounty.gov, CKanashiro@counsel.lacounty.gov, Justin Kim <JYKIM@counsel.lacounty.gov>, Brian Le <BLe@counsel.lacounty.gov>
Cc: DHCS Civil Rights <civilrights@dhcs.ca.gov>, mhsoac@mhsoac.ca.gov, "Ruvalcaba, Lorena@calcivilrights" <lorena.ruvalcaba@calcivilrights.ca.gov>, pracoordinator@auditor.ca.gov, mhsa@dhcs.ca.gov, "Isenberg, Robert@CalCivilRights" <robert.isenberg@calcivilrights.ca.gov>

Subject: Notice of Procedural Continuity and ADA/§ 504 Access Obligations – CPRA Requests September 8 and October 1 2025

Dear Ms. Issa, Mr. Chavez and and Office of County Counsel,

I acknowledge receipt of your October 24, 2025 correspondence regarding my CPRA requests dated September 8 and October 1, 2025.

For record clarity, please note that I remain under a confirmed ADA/§504 written-only communication accommodation approved by the California Civil Rights Department (October 23, 2025). Accordingly, all CPRA, Brown Act, and County communications with me must remain in accessible written format pursuant to Title II of the ADA and Government Code §11135.

Unified Procedural Continuity Statement

This notice applies to all California Public Records Act (CPRA) and related correspondence issued by any County, State, or contractor office between April 1 and October 24 2025—including communications from CEOP, CPOE, County Counsel, MHSOAC, DHCS, and associated vendors or consultants. Pursuant to the confirmed ADA/§504 written-only accommodation (CRD approval 10/23/25), all such requests, acknowledgments, and responses are hereby consolidated under a single, unified BCCP™ / B2C3A™ Evidentiary Framework Protocol. This protocol governs procedural continuity, record linkage, and attribution for all equity, accessibility, and governance-related disclosures to ensure compliance with Title II effective-communication standards and to prevent further fragmentation or duplication across agencies.

These procedural issues were first documented by the undersigned in my capacity as an excluded County employee, CARE Court petitioner, and author of the BCCP™/B2C3A™ frameworks; they are now presented as system-wide reference points for data-driven corrective planning under the unified protocol.

Under these provisions, the County's October 24 "final responses" do not conclude the process because the accessibility accommodation and interactive process regarding record access remain pending. The County continues to have an active duty under Title II and Government Code § 11135 to ensure effective communication and accessible delivery of public records, including records maintained in digital formats (Gov. Code §7922.530(a); 28 C.F.R. §35.160).

I respectfully request that the County:

1. Reaffirm that email will remain the official method of CPRA communication for this requester under ADA Title II; and
2. Provide a point of contact for continued coordination of accessible record delivery, rather than closing the request administratively.

Please confirm by October 30, 2025 that the County will comply with ADA/§504 access obligations and maintain these requests in open, active status.

The attached Annex A is provided for context only and does not expand or modify the scope of the underlying CPRA requests. Its purpose is to preserve procedural continuity within County Counsel's oversight jurisdiction.

Respectfully,

Dr. Esroruleh T. Mohammad

Clinical Psychologist (ADA/§504 Medical Leave)

Systems Equity Strategist & Family Advocate

tammimohammad@gmail.com

Submitted in accordance with confirmed ADA Title II / §504 written-communication accommodation (CRD approval 10/23/25).

Annex A – Related CPRA Communications (April–October 2025)

Scope: Consolidated under the Unified BCCP™ / B2C3A™ ADA / § 504 Procedural Continuity Framework (CRD accommodation approval 10/23/25).

Includes all relevant County, State, and contractor communications—including emergency-management consultants (McChrystal Group)—from April 1 through October 24 2025.

1. LACDMH Records Division – April 10 and June 18, 2025

Acknowledgment of framework submissions (BCCP™ / B2C3A™) and partial record postings related to the PPSGC sessions. *Context:* Materials submitted April 10 were formally entered into the County record; June 18 slides later released under PRA.

2. ARDI / ARISE – April 3–4, 2025

Late response from Dr. Lisa Wong regarding ARISE leadership and ADA engagement; deflected authorship and control issues.

Context: Early example of procedural deflection and absorption of equity framework language; establishes the origination of ADA access conflict preceding later CPRA filings.

3. State Auditor / CEOP / CPOE Correspondence – July–August 2025

Standard forwarding and “under review” notices referencing systemic equity and program-access oversight.

Context: Included for completeness under procedural linkage and transparency chain.

4. MHSOAC (Brenda Grealish / Program Staff) – August 14 and August 25, 2025

Confirmation of receipt and posting of written public comments (“Equity Framework and Public Comment” April 24; May 22).

Context: Establishes evidentiary traceability of submitted frameworks under MHSOAC record-keeping.

5. Sellers Dorsey – August 29, 2025

Formal preservation notice issued to project and legal leadership (Mijic et al.); no acknowledgment received as of October 24.

Context: Demonstrates consultant-level nonresponse and procedural silence despite oversight cc’s to DHCS and MHSOAC.

6. DMH Public Records Unit – September 18, 2025

Extension notice for September 8, 2025 CPRA request (Reference R006529-090825). Extended production deadline to October 2, 2025; no rolling production provided.

7. ERCOM / Executive Office – August 29 and September 29, 2025 (new entry)

CPRA request for ERCOM–SEIU 721 records (Case LA-CO-28-X); no acknowledgment or production after 30 days.

Context: Establishes pattern of nonresponse within County quasi-judicial body; linked to PERB appeal record.

8. DHCS OCR Acknowledgment – September 22, 2025

Formal acknowledgment of Civil Rights Complaint CR-2025-182; jurisdiction confirmed for Medi-Cal program-access matters.

Context: ADA / Title II / §504 linkage establishing civil-rights jurisdiction parallel to CPRA access.

9. DHCS PRA Coordinator (Ann Monson) – September 29, 2025

Notification that responsive records would be transmitted through the GovQA portal; no email production.

Context: Response to R006529-090825 under DHCS public records workflow; cited portal as exclusive communication channel.

10. Public Safety Cluster / CEO Staff – October 1, 2025

No posting or rescheduling notice following the canceled October 1 Cluster meeting.

Context: Part of ongoing transparency gap documented under Brown Act and CPRA obligations.

11. Executive Office / Health and Mental Health Services Cluster – October 3, 2025

Non-response to transcript and recording request for September 24 and October 1 Cluster sessions.

Context: Absence of acknowledgment constitutes a de facto denial; logged under procedural-continuity protocol.

12a. Amy Vang (BHSOAC) – October 7, 2025

Response to PRA #2025-08 (04/01/25–present). Provided public links to meetings; cited exemptions under Gov. Code § 7927.500 (deliberative process).

Stated that no records referencing BCCP™, B2C3A™, or Mohammad were located apart from public comments.

12b. McChrystal Group / Executive Office – October 7, 2025

Provenance and Convergence Notice submitted regarding the Eaton Fires After-Action Review (AAR) and BCCP™ / B2C3A™ frameworks.

Summary:

Formal correspondence transmitted to the Executive Office, OEM, DHCS, DMH, and consultant distribution lists, attaching redacted evidentiary archives (F-Series and Q-Series). The notice established analytic and authorship convergence between ADA-protected frameworks and the McChrystal Group’s AAR findings.

Requests included:

- Incorporation of survivor correspondence and photographs (Jan 2025) into the official AAR appendix.
- Publication of a convergence matrix mapping McChrystal recommendations to BCCP™ / B2C3A™.
- Attribution of framework provenance in consultant deliverables referencing “framework,” “interoperability,” or “equity-based governance.”
Context: Serves as de facto CPRA and preservation notice under ADA/§504 and Gov. Code § 7922.535; demonstrates institutional awareness of framework provenance and the County’s subsequent adoption of those analytic constructs.

13. Behavioral Health Commission Clerk / LACDMH – October 9–10, 2025

Delivered eleven attachments (Director’s Update, SAPC Legislative Update, CARE Court presentation, etc.).

No acknowledgment of BCCP™ / B2C3A™ provenance or ADA/IPM disclosures.

Context: Demonstrates continuing omission of framework attribution in County governance materials.

14. County Counsel (Ana Lai) – October 17, 2025

Partial production regarding the EverExcel contract; pending ADA-compliant format conversion.

Cited active review under Gov. Code § 7922.535 (production timeframe).

15. Office of Countywide Communications – October 20, 2025

Forwarded prior CPRA correspondence to County Counsel for handling; no substantive response.

Context: Logged as routing notice demonstrating inter-office forwarding rather than direct engagement.

16. County Counsel (Sommer / Tinkham) – October 23, 2025

Denial of access to benefits, payroll, and leave records.

Cited Gov. Code § 7927.700 (personnel exemption) and Labor Code § 1198.5 (employee personnel file access rights).

Context: Confirms County’s reliance on dual-statute exemption for internal employment records.

17. County Counsel (Emily Issa / Miguel Chavez) – October 24, 2025

“Final” CPRA response letters for September 8 and October 1 requests; administrative closure stated.

Context: Subject of E-13A Procedural Continuity Notice due to pending ADA/§504 accommodation and interactive-process obligations.

18. Behavioral Health Commission Executive Committee – October 23 2025

Formal testimony and written ADA/provenance comments entered into County record (Exhibit Q-24).

Context: Part of U-07 convergence sequence confirming active County acknowledgment of procedural access concerns.

19. DHCS / MHSOAC – October 27 2025

CPRA request titled “Public Records Act Request – CPEHN Correspondence with MHSOAC and DHCS (April 1 2025 – Present).”

Context: Filed contemporaneously with E-13A notice to demonstrate continued engagement in lawful record-access activity under ADA/§504 standards and to maintain evidentiary continuity following County Counsel’s administrative closure.

This annex is incorporated by reference into the Unified BCCP™ / B2C3A™ Evidentiary Framework Protocol and will serve as the baseline record index for any future ADA/§ 504 compliance or oversight review.

On Fri, Oct 24, 2025 at 11:47 AM Miguel Chavez <MChavez@counsel.lacounty.gov> wrote:

Good morning Dr. Mohammad,

Please find the attached correspondence regarding your Public Records Act requests you sent on September 8, 2025.

This will be the County’s final response to your requests.

Thank you,

Miguel Chavez

LEGAL OFFICE SUPPORT ASSISTANT II

HEALTH SERVICES DIVISION

-

Office of the County Counsel

County of Los Angeles



Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email message, including any attachments, from the Office of the County Counsel is intended for the official and confidential use of the recipients to whom it is addressed. It contains information that may be confidential, privileged, attorney work product, or otherwise exempted from disclosure under applicable law. If you have received this message in error, be advised that any review, disclosure, use, dissemination, distribution, or reproduction of this message or its contents is strictly prohibited. Please notify us immediately by a reply e-mail that you have received this message in error, and destroy this message, including any attachments.

From: Miguel Chavez

Sent: Thursday, September 18, 2025 3:20 PM

To: 'tamimmohammad@gmail.com' <tamimmohammad@gmail.com>

Subject: RE: Public Records Act Request Dated September 8,, 2025

Good afternoon Dr. Mohammad,

Please see the attached correspondence regarding your Public Records Act request.

Thank you for your time and patience,

Best regards,

Miguel Chavez

LEGAL OFFICE SUPPORT ASSISTANT II

HEALTH SERVICES DIVISION

-

Office of the County Counsel

County of Los Angeles





Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email message, including any attachments, from the Office of the County Counsel is intended for the official and confidential use of the recipients to whom it is addressed. It contains information that may be confidential, privileged, attorney work product, or otherwise exempted from disclosure under applicable law. If you have received this message in error, be advised that any review, disclosure, use, dissemination, distribution, or reproduction of this message or its contents is strictly prohibited. Please notify us immediately by a reply e-mail that you have received this message in error, and destroy this message, including any attachments.

From: Miguel Chavez
Sent: Tuesday, September 16, 2025 9:58 AM
To: tamimmohammad@gmail.com
Subject: Public Records Act Request Dated September 1, 2025

Good morning Dr. Esroruleh Mohammad,

Please find the attached correspondence regarding your Public Records Act request.

Best regards,

Miguel Chavez

LEGAL OFFICE SUPPORT ASSISTANT II

HEALTH SERVICES DIVISION

-

Office of the County Counsel

County of Los Angeles



Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email message, including any attachments, from the Office of the County Counsel is intended for the official and confidential use of the recipients to whom it is addressed. It contains information that may be confidential, privileged, attorney work product, or otherwise exempted from disclosure under applicable law. If you have received this message in error, be advised that any review, disclosure, use, dissemination, distribution, or reproduction of this message or its contents is strictly prohibited. Please notify us immediately by a reply e-mail that you have received this message in error, and destroy this message, including any attachments.