

This document was generated by AI and is not an official meeting record. It may contain errors.

Transcript

September 17, 2025, 8:55PM

□

The transcript was captured from Thomas Luscombe's computer, so all in person speakers at the meeting in room 374-A is identified under Thomas Luscombe. The unique speakers' names are speakers that participated virtually.

TL Thomas Luscombe 6:04

It's good to go ahead and start.

OK, OK.

Thank you everybody for being here.

It is Wednesday, September 17th at 2:01.

We are going to go ahead and call operations cluster meeting to order.

We will begin with introduction, starting with the 1st district.

Tammy Mojave is a supervisor, Lisa's office 2.

Maybe he's a second district joined by.

Pamela Leo, second district, Wendy Gomez, 2nd district.

Three. Sorry, John.

John Leonard, your supervisor, Linds Corbett, grandchildren support Supervisor Han

Michelle Vega for supervisor Parker.

I'm sorry, I have my colleague online, Anthony suspended.

CA Cespedes, Anthony 6:42

Hi, good afternoon, everyone.

TL Thomas Luscombe 6:46

OK.

We'll go ahead and go through the board motion items 1st and then to general public comment after. That's OK we'll start with item 3A which is FD1 supporting the creation of a National Bank.

CA Cespedes, Anthony 6:58

Hi, good afternoon, everyone.

Again, this is Anthony Suspetas with supervisor Solis office.

My sincere apologies.

I couldn't be there in person today.

I'm staffing the boss off site but really quickly the motion is to support the creation of a national infrastructure bank by way of background and as we all know, in 2021 President Biden signed into law the bipartisan infrastructure law, also known as the Infrastructure Investment. And.

JOBS Act, which enjoyed wide bipartisan support across both chambers of Congress. This legislation provided over \$1.2 trillion.

For investments in infrastructure projects such as transportation, water infrastructure, broadband, electric grid, etc.

Unfortunately, the funding for this this legislation expires in 2026 and there continues to be infrastructure needs across the country. In fact, the American Society of Civil Engineers 2025 update report card shows the current infrastructure gap of \$3.7 trillion infrastructure needs and.

That is a 40% growth of what was even projected last year.

They cited a number of statistics of 43,000 bridges unsafe, 70,000 dams that have high hazard potential roadways that need maintenance, water systems, etc.

So last Congress as one potential solution to this, representative Danny Davis from Illinois introduced legislation which would have created a \$5 trillion national infrastructure bank.

Essentially, this is a public bank that would.

Be used to lend exclusively for projects related to infrastructure.

This includes.

16 categories are monitored by the Amicus Society of Civil Engineers, as well as additional categories that would be helpful to the county, such as affordable housing, high speed rail and water projects this session. So since this motion was introduced, the actual updated version for this congressional session was.

Introduced that bill number is HR5356 and for reference last year.

Last session was HR 4052.

And it comes already with 33 original Co sponsors and 38 Co sponsors as of today.

Again, it was only introduced a few days ago and this motion text will be updated to reflect the updated bill that has been introduced as well and ultimately very simply this LED.

This motion calls on the county to adopt A resolution that urges Congress to enact legislation to support the National Infrastructure Bank, as well as directing layer to support the legislation that was recently introduced.

And send A5 signature letter as well as a copy of the resolution to Representative Davis and the Los Angeles County congressional delegation.

Happy to answer any questions there, but I believe we also have representatives from TTC here.

Some folks from DPW on the line, and I believe folks from our layer team are in attendance as well. Thanks everyone.

TL Thomas Luscombe 9:42

Thank you.

Are there any questions?

I actually have one.

His layer conducted a legislative analysis of this.

CA Cespedes, Anthony 9:51

So they have.

And sorry actually, if there's layer in the room, I know they looked at the last session with respect to like direct county impacts, which is what layer usually looks at there was not like a direct impact on county operations.

And speaking with TTC, what they've shared is this would create another revenue stream for the county's toolbox.

There's nothing that's mandated that the county use it.

Just another thing to draw down on, but I don't know if there's folks from the lair team in attendance that might want to speak on that further.

AO Angela Ovalle 10:18

Hi Anthony, it's Angela from legislative affairs.

TL Thomas Luscombe 10:19

Camera.

AO Angela Ovalle 10:23

Can you guys hear me?

TL Thomas Luscombe 10:25
Yes.

AO Angela Ovalle 10:27
OK. Thanks.
Sorry, I'm in the car.
So as Anthony mentioned, there was a bill that was introduced in the last legislative session.
I think we did some.
Whatever the bill that was introduced a few days ago is not in print.

TL Thomas Luscombe 10:39
We're seeing you.

AO Angela Ovalle 10:42
I'm sorry.

TL Thomas Luscombe 10:45
It cut out for a second, sorry.

AO Angela Ovalle 10:49
It's OK.
Can you hear me now?

TL Thomas Luscombe 10:51
Yes.

AO Angela Ovalle 10:56
So the bill that that was introduced a few days ago has not been put into print yet.
We're awaiting the language to circulate it with the departments to do the analysis,
so we're hoping that that language will be out soon.

TL Thomas Luscombe 11:11
Will the bill be put into print before the board votes on this?

CA Cespedes, Anthony 11:18

I can.

I can jump in there.

That is the expectation according to the coalition of folks who are sponsoring the bill, and this would be expected for the 30th or, if I have the date right 30th board meeting, given the public hearing intervening.

So there's still two weeks before this comes to the full board.

TL Thomas Luscombe 11:35

OK. And then OK, and layer will be able to circulate it to departments in time to get feedback.

AO Angela Ovalle 11:43

Yes, we will prepare a fact sheet ahead of the board meeting.

TL Thomas Luscombe 11:49

Thank you.

Any other questions?

All right. We can move on to the next item, which is the donation of passenger vans studios.

We'll do it.

We're going to do it after all three motion.

Actually, there might be someone from my team on the call. It's Carolyn.

Oh, you're wait. Jesus.

Sorry, Carolyn.

That's too I I have.

I have to ask this question because we met with the ibang folks and I'm just a little bit concerned.

What county, given our austere budget situation?

Right now, what kind of resources are there for us to put any matching funds toward this for legislation? Going to require us to put any sort of resources towards this?

Or is it literally all getting money from the federal government to establish the bank?

Oh.

CA **Cespedes, Anthony** 12:40

Sorry, Carolyn.

Yeah, sorry, Carolyn. It cut out a little bit, but I think you asked about county resources that we put into this. Again, my understanding with respect to the how this mechanism set up is there is no county financing that be required as part of this, it would all.

TL **Thomas Luscombe** 12:45

What's the?

CA **Cespedes, Anthony** 12:53

Be privately financed, essentially using existing treasury bonds.

That would be offered at preferred stock swap in order to finance the big and the county's localities could draw down support for it.

That's one of the reasons I understand that the NACO officially endorsed this legislation.

As well.

TL **Thomas Luscombe** 13:10

OK.

That's helpful. And so.

Sorry, we wouldn't have to have any sort of additional staff time, infrastructure resources to do anything locally.

It would all be administered federally.

CA **Cespedes, Anthony** 13:21

That is correct.

TL **Thomas Luscombe** 13:22

OK.

OK.

Thank you. OK.

Now moving on to passenger hands videos, the second motion is a SD.

One motion this is to donate two vehicles from the county's surplus equipment

program.

The two CD OS that we've selected is 1A new way of life.

It's an organization that supports women who are incarcerated to reenter and return to community by providing them with wrap around services, counseling, housing, even and also.

Co bono, among others.

Supports and supplies.

The second organization is water drop and they are a volunteer organization and nonprofit that provides water and also other food and and supplies to people that live in Skid Row. We found out around the pandemic time that there's a very few water clean water outlets in Skid Row.

And so they come and they deliver.

Water and.

I know that we're going to take public comment at the end because there's three motions.

This is only the 2nd.

Take it at the very end. But I just wanted to acknowledge that we do have speakers here to to to testify in support.

So I just want to thank them right now, but my our request is to please allow us to donate this these two vehicles to these two very worthy organizations and it will be on the September 30th Agenda if anybody has any questions.

Any questions?

No order are the vans selected for the fact that they've been sort of out of cycle from IST.

They they're not necessarily IST.

They're only so the county has a fleet and a public public works fire and sheriff have their own fleet.

This is all county fleet and so they come into whenever someone doesn't want to.

Actually, we have a county policy for how long we can keep bans or vehicles and how many miles they can have and once they run.

And they likely going to pool. And so that's how they become available.

Yeah. So there's a end of life matrix for each one of the makes and models, and then they are either.

Entered into our fleet option and then we sell them in the county to use that money and use that money. And then for those that we are not able to sell back to the fleet

program, then we we go into the donation. Thank you.

For that explanation, I would also say that I will make a correction before we file this on the preamble.

It says passenger vans, but these are actually cargo vans.

Many parts.

Thank you.

Michael on do you want him to say anything or we have Michael on. We also have a speaker from.

Is it OK with the chair?

Sure. OK. Thank you.

Sure. We'll go ahead and open the item up for public comment.

So if anybody has any public comment for this one motion, please come forward or or you can also have Michael go first here.

Hello my name is and I'm with waterdrop.

Thanks for having us today and thank you, Tammy.

As mentioned, Watergraph is a volunteer run nonprofit HERE in downtown LA, primarily funded through small dollar donations.

Our mission is to combat modern security.

That a lot of our neighbors living in Skid Row are facing each week.

Water drop volunteers load up their personal vehicles with as much water we can fit and drive an assigned route in Skid Row, handing out 2 gallons of clean drinking water per person.

After being founded in 2020 by a few college students and operating out of a parking lot.

More than four years water drop pizza.

MD **Matthew Der** 16:59
No, no, no.

TL **Thomas Luscombe** 17:03
Go ahead.

Well, I got recently moved into a warehouse in Skid Row which has greatly increased the amount of water we're able to distribute now more than 3400 gallons every Sunday.

Having a van would greatly improve our ability to distribute this water rather than

sending a small vehicle that needs to make several back and forth trips from our warehouse to be able to send the van for the more depthily populated areas of Skid Row.

So again, we thank you for this opportunity and we encourage the county board of this motion.

We also ask that the county invest stand permanent solutions in infrastructure to ensure every person can times expires water.

Thank you.

Next speaker, did you say that?

Thank you very much.

The standing water.

Thank you. Thank you.

You have a minute.

We'll have to start your time.

We'll start speaking.

M Michael Towler, A New Way of Life Reentry Project 17:48

Hi, can you hear me?

TL Thomas Luscombe 17:50

Yes, go ahead Sir.

M Michael Towler, A New Way of Life Reentry Project 17:51

Hi, my name is Michael Tyler.

I'm one of two Co directors at a new way of life Re entry project.

We have 12 safe homes for women returning from jails and prisons and two offices, our home and Supervisor District. One is for women and children and so we that is our only home for women and children.

I wanna, you know, as an intended recipient, I wanna obviously.

Support having a maintenance man.

We have a maintenance team that services our various properties and having a van will allow us to store the tools and equipment inside of the van and setting instead of having to pick them up from multiple locations. But.

We are appreciative of the opportunity to get this van and one of the other things

that we do is we offer free legal expungements.
So if anybody in District 1.

TL Thomas Luscombe 18:51

Thank you very much. Thank you.

M Michael Towler, A New Way of Life Reentry Project 18:52

Thank you.

TL Thomas Luscombe 18:54

Thank you.

Any other public comment on this item or on item A or item a OK moving on to item C, Supervisor Mitchell's office advancing housing solutions in unincorporated areas of the county.

Thanks. I sent in a presentation. Are we able to pull that up?

We'll look forward to speak if you like.

OK.

I mean, I can wait for you to pull it up.

I can send it to your e-mail.

Sorry to e-mail. Yeah, yeah, yeah, sorry. I can get started with the background.

That'd be great. So we're affected.

The third time, but hi everyone.

My name is Wendy Gomez.

I'm the Community development deputy within the Supervisors Office, Supervisor Mitchell.

So just to sort of take a bird's eye view on sort of our intention behind this motion, how and why we are bringing it forward is really to build out a strategic plan for the unincorporated areas.

1st is building out a framework for housing.

Solutions and housing investments that are specific to the diverse and dynamic need that we know exist within the UA areas.

Obviously you all know this, but just for context, we have over 120 unincorporated areas in LA County, East LA being one of the largest in terms of people density, Antelope Valley being one of the largest geographically speaking, covering the largest.

Several.

Hundreds of acres, some of our densest unincorporated areas, include.

Florence and Firestone, that has nearly 66,000 residents within a certain square mile area and then 65 of the 88 cities within the county actually have a resident population below some of our largest UA areas.

So all of that I give it for context.

To yeah, it's this one.

As to our intention for putting a housing investment and solutions plan and strategic plan forward that is specifically focused on the unincorporated areas and then using the new La Casa UA funds that will come to the county as sort of the catalyst to be able to move to.

Really start on some of this work.

And put it into motion.

Back when we had measure H.

Measure H jurisdictions how to put a plan together for housing solutions, but the county did not.

So it's really sort of taking advantage of the renewal into measure A for that type of strategy.

And then we can go.

Yeah, to the second slide.

Just taking.

A look at the timeline of what came before this motion.

Obviously, La Casa being established.

At the state by SB679 several years ago, the passage of measure A, some which has come directly to the county for homeless services, some which is at La Casa, which is the county's housing finance agency that will carry over funds over here.

And so in December 2024, Supervisor Mitchell and Supervisor Khan put forward a motion back December 3rd of last year.

Charging, directing, lacta, Dcba and CEO hi to put together a framework of how they would use the Lacasa UA dollars and have 120 day report back on that.

So we got that report back in April.

A couple of the challenges were La Casa at that time when they were drafting the report back hadn't approved their expenditure funds or their guidelines.

And so they were sort of limitations as to what that report back could include.

It did have sort of the overall buckets of production, preservation, ownership funds,

renter protection and homeless prevention funding and technical assistance funding. That's determined by the agency of La Casa.

And so really now looking at this motion that we're bringing forward.

Is really being.

Being able to advance now that La Casa has passed their expenditure plan has passed their different programmatic guidelines, and the county has signed its MOU with La Casa to really revisit and have direct those same three agencies or departments to put a strategic plan forward using those fund.

So outline more of the details as to what programs those could go to and how.

For the UA area.

We can go to the next slide.

So this is just a very quick synopsis of what came in the April 2025 report back, which is the the Casa UA measure measure a La Casa unincorporated area funding for sort of the different buckets. And that was outlined in the April 2025 report.

Back, you know what is missing is sort of more detailed programmatic areas.

We have the general for all description of what their uses are.

And also a strategic allocation for each of our areas.

Specifically, with an eye on unincorporated, that's what this is alloted to.

And then I can jump into the directives on slide 4.

There just a couple notes that I want to make before going into the four main directives.

Is one I want to make a note that we did receive some edits from CEO HI and those are incorporated in the version I've passed out.

So where we had previously identified CEO HI or directed CEO, HI, we are now directing the new department.

And so in coordination.

So that is a change there. I also wanted to note before I get into the directives as to why we are calling out CEO, municipal unincorporated areas, Services unit, we're calling out the unit to really serve as the facilitator between the three departments that are being directed in.

The motion since the through line is really focused on.

A plan for unincorporated area.

So really having them serve as that through line as that facilitator between the three departments and open to like in future years of this once the department, the new Department on homeless Services and housing has really stood up, has a structure.

Has a plan for its functions, and is. That's very solidified. They could serve as the convener between the three.

The last note that I'll mention before the directives.

Is we did receive some feedback from the department.

Originally we had one and five year timelines and received feedback on two and four year timelines just to allow the departments more flexibility in thinking and planning strategically in multi year timelines. And then the four years to be.

In time with what?

The measure, a metrics are, which is a five year timeline.

For evaluation and revisiting those metrics. So just wanna make those notes and then the directives are the first directive is directing LACTA to develop a strategic plan with its roughly 18,000,014 million.

For really for production preservation and then the four million more flexible for.

Different uses in preservation ownership.

Really focusing on preservation there. And then the second directive directing DCBI to put forward in their strategic plan the 5 million in RPHP over the next two and four years to fund fully fund right to Council in the unincorporated areas and advanced rental assistance without it necessarily need.

To be tied to legal services.

And the third directive.

Directing the CEO.

Mua's, the municipal unincorporated area services to develop options and recommendations with the three other departments on options for a formula for formulas of the funding. We've heard from various conversations with fellow deputies and board offices, the need and the desire to ensure that.

There is an equitable distribution of the funds across the UA area.

And so really to think through.

Their recommendations that they would put forward for for how to disperse that allocation.

We proposed formulas.

Because we currently have a formula allocation with our measure, a local solutions fund, so thought of a mirrored strategy.

But can have a further conversation on that. And then the last directive is on having the three departments again.

And things through an unincorporated unit.

So identifying an individual or a new staff position with each of their departments, that would be solely focused on housing in the unincorporated areas and really thinking through what that collaboration and coordination between the three of those positions would look like. If there's any data sharing that would.

Need to occur any agreements that would need to occur.

Really thinking through more of the the matrix of that dynamic.

More so than the details of the position, so I will leave it there if there's any questions.

Would like to do questions.

I just have a couple or maybe so on page on 2C.

See, this is giving the FT ES to.

The departments and on here you have a number.

It's 885,000 which is different than the number that's on page 2.

So I want to make sure I'm understanding how how this works, because I think on page two it was 900 something and it wants to know whether it's like \$70,000. I think is the difference.

All right. I think the difference might be from the early projections.

Measure a compared to what actually is coming over.

So I think it's a eighty 85855 thousand but I can double check and make sure that's and I would just note that it's in the presentation. It's 855. Yeah, the the staff report, it's 885.

OK.

Thank you for that.

So for the sports on the preamble, on page 2 on 2C and the directives and then on the, whichever one of the three numbers is the accurate.

And you're gonna be very interested to see how those formulas play out.

It's gonna be a combination of the formulas. You know that whatever the formulas, there's always a lot of discussion.

Yes, and so.

We'll see how that all plays out.

Yeah. We also have within here the departments recommending sort of the implementation or other alternatives that are a different option than a formula.

Actually I have questions.

I have several questions, but along that same line. So then is the intention that one in the report back then the board would either approve or reject the proposed formula

that you guys are putting together based on the measure A and the UT we would bring back a.

Motion.

Based we've got the report back and then based on the report back, I think have a conversation with our other board deputies particularly.

I know it's an awkward time because the La Casa meeting's happening right now. So a lot of the housing deputies aren't here today, but have that conversation as to what recommendation makes the most sense before bringing back another. Yeah. And I was gonna say, unfortunately, I don't know.

I'm not asking Thomas, deputy, but what can you share the measure?

A local solutions fund formula.

The existing formula or formula. You know the existing formula?

I can pull it up, it's like.

Three parts, yeah.

I wanna say.

Part of it is the current pit count numbers, and so because the local solution fund is more based on homelessness numbers and it's for homelessness services, it takes into account more the homelessness needs and I think the like housing supply needs. So that is the main distinction I would make there, but I know pit numbers is one of them.

But I don't know the other sort of metrics that the LSF takes into account.

OK. But you guys can share it. Yeah, yeah, yeah.

OK.

Sure, go ahead. There was someone.

Oh, good on. Are they on?

Should I answer? Oh OK.

The allocation of the La Casa funding for for the positions is that gonna be ongoing or committed or guaranteed ongoing or would those positions be like wide position or why whatever the temporary good county PA positions?

Would be the TA. Dollars would be ongoing dollars. OK, so these would be permanent full time positions.

I have the formula, it's 90% of multi year average pick count.

Averaging less two years and updating 2-3 year average in future years and then 10% from the American Fee Survey data as a proxy for doubled up student loan.

Or send it to dermatitis all the questions. So the one on the TA dollars clarifying that

final amount and then the LSF.

But if you say all this. Oh yeah.

And then on the April framework, again, apologies 'cause. I'm sure that homeless deputy knows this.

These are ongoing allocations that are identified like what's that?

What is on here?

These will be ongoing. Annual, yeah.

So the exact numbers will vary depending on measure a revenue.

KC **Kiana Charles** 34:47

Strategically placed back and he's like.

TL **Thomas Luscombe** 34:48

But yeah.

Yeah, they should go up here.

KC **Kiana Charles** 34:52

Henrico, from Public Works, emailed me. And he's like, hey, keyonna for 68.

TL **Thomas Luscombe** 34:57

Can you keep yourself?

OK.

So those will vary.

Does anybody else have any other questions?

I don't have any questions.

Catherine would have been here, but she lacrosse has mentioned. But she did review and her only comment was actually the changes you made changing from CEO Chai to to department, yeah.

I'm just curious who would been CEO's believed for the memoirs unit?

Or was it scary?

Carrie Miller? Yeah.

Decision. That's that's Thomas.

OK.

All right.

I don't think I have any other questions.

Anybody else questions?

Yeah. Is there any public comment on this item?

Are there any public comments on this item in person or online?

Please speak up.

Raise your hand please.

OK.

Thank you.

We can move on then to our next item, which is the Sheriff's Department notice of intent to enter negotiations for a contract with Mexico.

There's a LFF.

Hi, good afternoon.

My name is Veronica earn and I'm a contract manager with the Sheriff Department.

With me today I have a director.

I need Becker and Lieutenant Hicks and Lieutenant Bill Ball from the Office of Constitutional Policing.

We are here presenting an advanced notification of the department's intent to piggyback and utilize the state of Minnesota source for property contract.

To negotiate a contract with Lexi Pole for the implementation and maintenance of support of a risk management and training case management system, source of a cooperative agreement offers cooperative procurement solutions for federal, state and local government agencies located within the United States and Canada.

In November 2021, the state of Minnesota issued a solicitation for a request for proposals for a cooperative agreement for public safety training and simulation.

Equipment and technology for the use of participating appliances.

Let's suppose awarded the agreement in March of 2022 and the contract permits participating agencies to make purchases throughout the contract term, which will expire March 23rd, 2027.

The county procurement policy for MISCONFERENCE, with contractors selected through a competitive solicitation process.

Conducted by outside agencies like the one from state of Minnesota.

With approval by the board, the proposed contract will allow the department to replace this 11 year old Oracle system, which was developed internally and is known as the performance recording and monitoring system or PR EMS. The current system is used to track incidents such as use of force.

Shieldings traffic collisions, commendations, among other things.

PRMS.

No longer makes the department's needs known. Does IT support the county's goal? Of transparency and accountability, the proposed contract will be for a term of five years, with two option periods.

Let's suppose the leading provider of policy and training solutions for law enforcement.

They have a team of public safety professionals and attorneys working together to provide legally defensible law enforcement policies and procedures.

And I would also like to mention that in November 15, 2022, the board approved a soccer's contract with lexical for the probation department.

And with this I open it up for any questions you may have.

Can you be can any question was this presented to the public safety?

Deputies.

Was that copies sent to them?

Yes.

And they've all been briefed on the issue as well.

OK.

I just have a couple questions.

So an 11 year old Oracle system, I think it's the youngest system I've ever heard coming from the Sheriff's Department. It's like 50 years old all the time.

So I'm glad we're getting better at this.

But I I wanted to ask what happens with the data?

Do we own the data or does the data stay with Minnesota basically?

Yes, on that traditionally, we would make sure that there were any sort of agreements on data ownership would default to the Sheriff's Department good.

So yeah, we, we've we've entered into a few conversations with cloud sources and that's always been the number one feed on that that we own our data good. And then what's the plan after the five years.

Ideally, to make sure that the product is successful, the first part of this is it's gonna allow us to primarily the the hardest part of all this is the transitional wave from a paper process.

Which this would be for about 15 to 16 different pools.

So with that, ideally we're successful with the vendor that we engage with and we can continue the additional two years.

But outside of that, we had now restructured our processes to allow us to explore a

little more diverse other vendors to solutions that may exist.

That's fine.

Yeah. Thank you.

I just wanna ask what is the proposed timing when you think this will be up and running?

Activity OK.

Well, we hope there will be a number of modules, so it'll be module by module and we want to ensure success.

So all of it will not go online at the same time, we hope by early next year some of the simpler modules can come online and we can test, be sure it's working.

Its functionality is appropriate for the department and build from there.

Our hope is to complete the transition by the end of next year.

That would be the hope.

Technology being technology and his system taking on more functionality than PRMS allows, we may have to build a little extra time, but our goal is by the end of next year, 2026.

Any other questions?

OK.

Alright, thank you so much.

Now we'll move on to ISE with their request to approve and award to a group to award and execute a contract for Digital St. local data and related services.

Hello. Good afternoon.

Good afternoon.

My name is Christy Carr.

I am ISD's contracting division manager.

I have an exciting new contract that I'm going to be presenting to you today to seek approval on.

That is going to add to our already extensive GIS contracting portfolio here at the county.

So our agenda item today, I'm sorry with me. I also have my colleagues and customers.

So we'll go ahead and name it Greg. Evan with public works.

Management public works Steve Steinberg.

ISD County Geo Spatial information officer.

Thank you. OK.

So our agenda item today was undertaken in coordination with public works to support our county wide mapping asset management and also data sharing efforts for the county, but also for our Iariat, which is our Los Angeles region Imagery acquisition consortium.

So this this group was actually established in 2003 to significantly reduce the cost. Acquiring high resolution imagery and also terrain products by sharing acquisition and processing costs between the counties, cities and educational institutions.

And our ISD, as I mentioned, our portfolio, we currently have five other GIS contracts in our portfolio and this is going to be our exciting new 6th edition for GIS services.

So as such today we are requesting approval to award and execute one contract with cycle Media Technology Inc.

That's gonna be providing high resolution, 360° digital St. level imagery and St. level light detection and ranging data.

We're gonna nickname this LIDAR data.

And this is going to be provided throughout the county of Los Angeles using vehicle mounted mobile LIDAR systems.

So this contract is gonna provide the county with a contractor hosted solution.

By hosting storage and data access for the duration of the contract, where there's going to be extracted GIS data sets that are going to be delivered in Esri files and then the contract scope includes to capture approximately 10,000 miles of roadway in the unincorporated portion of the.

County. So this LIDAR data is going to be integrated into DPW's GIS systems.

And it's also going to be used in the mapping and asset management system that's going to enable.

Improved management of key infrastructure in our unincorporated areas and it's also going to allow DPW in the county to enhance ADA compliance. For example, like ADA ramps and detectable warnings that this capture was going to do at St. level. It's going to streamline our asset and road maintenance to reduce field visits and also improve our responsiveness on that. It's going to capture existing above ground assets to provide a more accurate waterwork network.

And it's going to improve and aid in the management and maintenance of traffic control devices, which include 1900 traffic signals and 142,000 traffic signs and 1.6 million square feet of pavement markings.

And finally, it's also going to support, of course, not limited to.

Flood infrastructure maintenance through this LIDAR data on flood control aspects.

So if approved, our contract would have an initial term of four years that's going to commence upon execution with an additional 3-4 year extensions or a maximum total contract term of 16 years with the total contract sum of approximately \$3.65 million for that.

Initial term.

We are also requesting approval of additional funding in the amount of \$250,000 that's going to be pool dollars.

So those pool dollars are going to be used for optional products, services and also feature asset extraction.

Should we decide to utilize those?

We're also seeking authority to execute those amendments to allow us to effectively manage the contract and finally authority to increase the contract. Some of the initial term by up to 10% annually during the 16 potential year term of the contract. This is going to fund additional option.

Work. For example, if we want to go beyond that 10,000 mile marker, this 10% will be able to cover us for extra miles should we need it.

And then I will also incorporate cost of living adjustments in the option years only if those are requested and approved by the contractor and the county as well in accordance with town policy in regards to funding of the contract, public works has actually funded 1.5 million.

Dollars and already provided that to ISD to partially fund the 1st 2 1/2 approximately years of the contract.

And so therefore, we do have appropriate funding for the contract in our fiscal year 2526 adopted budget.

And then sufficient funding is going to be obtained by public works for the remainder of the contract years, and they've already allocated in their internal service fund the funding for that, an additional funding for future extension years, should they should we need that will be captured the same.

Way this contract therefore does not include and require any net county costs.

There's no impact to the County General fund.

And also we were able to successfully secure fixed pricing.

For the term of the contract.

So all of the board provisions also have already been included in the contract and also given that this is an IT contract, we've also included provisions for confidentiality, the handling of security incidents, proprietary rights and the

accounting to assess liquidity damages should there be any performance issues or. Deficiencies with the contracted services and we also have all the required limits for insurance, for example Cyberloft Mir ability and technology errors and emissions. Are definitely in this contract.

We also provided the contract to our CIO, CIO and pursuant to our board policy, 6.020. Our CIO has reviewed it and all of its IT components and therefore recommends approval and has deemed it not necessary for a full grown CIO analysis. And finally, to close us out today with this item, our recommended contract does support our county strategic plans, specifically Northstar 3 realizing tomorrow's government today.

Focus Area goal, a communication and public access by allowing the county workforce to be make better decisions by aiding visual contacts and constituent needs. With this data collection effort. In addition, it also supports focus area.

Physical sustainability by providing a contract that provides the county with outside persisting agencies opportunity to share this data across our partners.

And then I and my colleagues from customers are here to answer any questions that you guys may have today.

Any questions?

It was very technical.

But I'm really glad to see that the department's are working together and that we're trying to leverage as many as much of our funding and the idea of like bringing the funding is very important too. I think as we start looking at more austerity ahead of us.

Or budgetary years ahead of us.

That's gonna become more and more important as any partnership that we can.

So I appreciate this and that you're able to lock in the rate through the next 16 years as well.

So thank you very much.

Great. Thank you.

And then so jokuna kinda and layman's terms we we had the the contractor actually recommend.

Give us a demonstration of the of the system and also the vehicles.

It is very, very impressive.

So what they do and you guys can focus nice, but they have the vehicles with the the car mounted gear to basically drive around the roads, all of Los Angeles and it tracks

and and takes video of you know, potholes all the street signs so on and so.

Forth.

And laser. Laser. Yeah. Thank you. And a laser, we get a full 3 dimensional model of streetscape.

All the assets, all the and their actual location.

So it all be mappable and trackable.

Yeah. So essentially it replaces a lot of field work. A lot of people driving around looking for stuff, thousands of command hours.

So in a 3D dimensional.

Street View.

That's steroids. Is kind of like the way there you go.

Last night I was working one of my presentation.

Is there any additional liability for the county once potholes are identified, knowing that we will not be able to fix them all immediately?

So so the.

So the department.

We actually do monthly inspections of all the roadways and we have alts, crews, you know, traveling the roadways all the time. Once a identified, we have a policy of 48 hours to to rectify that so.

If we've been given notice, we have.

This I don't know if this would be considered notice or not.

You know, so that's a that's a good question.

I don't.

We'd have to talk to County Council about that, but basically the notice that we typically receive is obviously from an outside source, so right the public.

Or our own proofs, of course.

OK, you learn something new every year.

In regards to the data itself, is there any land like is it specifically for us as a county?

Is there any intention to share that with any outside parties?

Yeah, we have a long list.

It's included actually in the cluster package of the participating agencies that are part of Lariat.

It's quite, quite, quite extensive.

And I can pull that out of of the cluster package so.

DPW will be the primary user, but we'll make it available to that Lariat consortium

that I mentioned.

But those are all county internal county.

Cities, universities. Schools. Yeah. Cities that they participate.

I know you're saying it's somewhere in here. If you could pull it out or tell it me what page it's on.

So they can look at it. Yeah, absolutely.

We have basically every city.

You know Culver, Downey, Duarte, El Monte.

Mm-hmm. Uh. I mean, basically every city that you have here, like in the count we have, you know, the county departments as well aging.

Arts. Animal.

I think I think we have all of them. Yeah, a little bit differently than our imagery because we're only driving the unincorporated part of the county.

But if we have county partners that are interested in the, you know that our partners inside of the unincorporated areas, we have a unlimited license for as many people as what? So the city of Carson doesn't really care about.

Yeah, right. Right, exactly.

But the contract is available for them to add on so they so we don't they if they have the funding, they can leverage our contract to get our preferential rates and then we both discount so that they can take advantage of this as well.

And then through Hilaria consortium, we share the data too. And then we also have some federal agencies on board as well, for example, Air Force and elseugundo. I know is one of them and Department of Transportation I know is one of them.

Report of Long Beach.

Santa Clarita Valley water so and so forth.

National Guard.

The National Guard is also city of LA for the Olympics. This this type of a contract might be of use to them. Prepare and will it?

Will it provide imagery of sidewalks, too?

Yeah, so it's OK.

So same thing like if that identifies cracks in the sidewalk or like we're gonna test that one.

That that one we'll see because the car is driving.

There's a certain radius that the.

You know that they can detect cracks. We had a demonstration.

Our our Rd. maintenance is actually interested or our job GMAT or the people that may pave the roads.

They already have contracts in place. This may be a less expensive option for them to to check the pavement conditions. OK, so just give me one.

And then there's optional like assets that we can also extract.

So that's, you know, kind of where the pool dollars are gonna be used.

So you know, we can even further tap into the technology that this contract is gonna offer to. So you know, bridge structures, bridges, driveways, walls, signage, all that's already included. And then they there's fixed assets that we've identified that are included in the cost. But then we have.

Some optional stuff so we can look at like condition assessments. So to actually rate it as like good bear or so on and so forth. We can look at like you know bin numbers for facilities.

You know underneath the bridge.

You know imagery as well.

So there's a lot of stuff included, but something can also happen to it.

Yeah, yeah. I assume Craig's is gonna help improve, like for prioritization, our capital improvement for county assets.

It it will help in their in the preparation of the the documents and things like that, for that because they'll have basically a 3D image of the the robot. So if there really in a flood control project or in rain within the project they'll they'll they'll be.

Able to use this information.

Before the design and.

OK. And then I, I am aware that this is the same technology that people companies use, correct?

I don't think these will be automated.

No, these are driven by people.

Sure, sure.

But I'm saying the provider technology.

Is very situation down the line where the county is looking to get into using like having our fleet be autonomous or are we planning on using this for any sort of like autonomous vehicles?

Easier approved solution or that? Am I just like being ridiculous and thinking that that is something that could happen.

That's probably a bit of a stretch right now, I think.

Well, this kind of gives a good understanding of our streetscape.

Got it.

But is that gonna inform Montana's vehicles?

That's, you know, the auto industry has to figure out. They're they're using what lasers to detect objects and void collisions.

We're doing it to basically just map it, got it, and then of course we we need this if there's a future of emergency.

Any sort of prior state of emergency etcetera are the board offices gonna be able to have access to to this. Sure. OK, everybody.

Yes. I mean, Aladdin is a good example potentially.

And the buyers where if we knew exactly, you know, we could redrive those areas and identify every all the assets that have been damaged. That would then to be replaced that we could get cost estimates more easily, right.

Said asset management component identifying potentially where where the roads have been damaged. The sidewalks have been damaged, right?

What do we need to?

Going to right now to help her with building the recovery outside.

Yeah. And then I would finally just echo Michelle's comment on like enter legal liabilities.

I know that you said that.

There's I haven't looked at what you hear a.

Around like identification, but I just wanted to make sure that we're not on the hook for anything with ADA accommodations for property.

Those were two provisions that are are included and we did not issue that.

And then one other one that I wanted to bring out also is I'm actually the lead on the force notion and we can also track trees.

This is a standard feature asset extraction, so trees the aerial points and then the layer within the trees on where they and the location of the trees as well.

So we can use them support our builders.

Great. Any other questions? No. OK.

Thank you so much.

Thank you, will.

We can now go on to item C, which is exercise option to purchase the lease for DPSS. OK.

Hello.

My name is Douglas Cole.

I'm a real property agent with the Chief Executive Office real estate division and with me is Michael Rodriguez, Action Chief of CEO, Red and James Blunt from DPSS.

Thank you.

Now this item requests delegation of authority to the Chief Executive Officer or her designee to exercise an option to purchase for DPSS approximately 133,147 square foot office building and a 525 space parking structure. Loc.

At 3833 S.

Vermont Ave. in Los Angeles.

Subject to board approval of the proposed purchase.

DPSS has leased and occupied the property since August 1st, 2001, and the lease will expire on July 31st, 2031.

The lease includes an option for the county to purchase the property at the pre negotiated price of \$20,443,823.

Based on a review of available industry data, it's been established that the \$20,443,823.

Option purchase price, which equates to approximately \$154.00 per square foot is within or below the market range for this area.

A comparable purchase in the area is between 156 and \$379 per square foot.

The county is currently performing its due diligence on the property and once the due diligence is complete, staff will return to the board for approval to acquire the property.

DPSF.

Excuse me for over 24 years, the property has served.

No. For over 24 years.

The property has served as a multi service building, providing applicants with services for various programs offered by DPSS.

The programs include customer Service Center 6 appeals and state hearings Greater Ave. for independence in home supportive services.

Services and AK Step month. Sorry child care center.

These programs provide services for Cal fresh and medical applicants and general inquiry, respectively.

It provides state hearings to guarantee applicants and participants of public assistance the right to a fair hearing.

It administers the welfare to work program to ethically and culturally diverse

communities within a region of the county it also provides.

Services to clients 65 years of age and older, blind or disabled persons so that they can remain safely in their homes and offers child care services for Cal works children in the surrounding community.

The property is occupied by 702 DPSS staff, contractors and security personnel.

The property continues to meet DPS, DPSS space and parking needs, and is ideally located in a geographically appropriate area.

By exercising this purchase option, the county will be able to complete all due diligence of the property and return to the board for authority to purchase the property.

Which requires appropriate findings under seeker.

Exercising the purchase option is the first step to acquire the property, which will save DPSS approximately \$12,870,000 in lease costs for the remaining balance of the lease term. The period from August 1st, 2026 through July 30, 3120.

31 Plus an additional \$390,000, which the county would pay in a renewal and replacement fund contributions as required.

Per the lease, DPSS has elected to exercise the option for the proposed purchase of the property so that it may continue providing necessary community support and social services, and would eliminate the need for the county to continue to pay rental payments under a lease of private property and.

Would ensure the county receives full autonomy and site control of this real estate asset.

Under county ownership.

Purchasing this property would prove to be an asset for DPSS and the county of Los Angeles, and would aid the county in its efforts to reduce its overall lease footprints and costs associated with leasing.

We recommend exercising the option to purchase because DPSS programs serving the area and nearby communities have been located at the property for over 24 years.

There's continuing need for these programs for the foreseeable future.

And I'd love to hear myself talk and. And this is the most economical way for DPSS to remain at this location and I'm available to answer any questions that you might have.

I'm sorry if I missed it on board letter, but when you know when the building was built, the building was built. It was completed in 2001. What?

And DPSS has been at the property since.

The department moves forward with purchasing the building.

Where would the funding come from?

So.

This building is valuable to DPSS and the multitude of ways the location, the number of services that that we provide.

And the landlord has been a real stickler.

So the building isn't remains an excellent condition and so because we knew that we had a purchase option in the lease and because this building is so valuable to us over a period of time, we've been setting aside funding as we have.

Posed a fiscal year with surplus we've requested.

To the CEO's office to set aside funding on a gradual basis over the last number of years. And so we have saved up in provisional financing, uses enough funding for the purchase.

That's great.

Very smart of you guys.

So there'll be no additional charges, no. And can you can walk through like the timeline of how this would actually go forward.

Sure. So we will be an exercising this option to purchase and we have got a date that's been specified in the lease when it was crafted in 2001 where the closing date should be August 1st of 2026.

So this exercise, two options 270 days from the closing period, which gives a sample time to execute this notice to exercise the option then complete our due diligence, come back to the county seeking approval.

So right next year, that's correct.

Yeah, 2026, August.

OK.

Thank you.

Of course, the K step Montessori Child Care Center is that run by DPSS or is that space?

The DPSS runs out.

No, we provide them with space, OK. And they and they run the child care center in the space of do they pay rent to GPSS or what's their lease agreement?

They they do have lease agreement with DPSS, but it's a favorable rate.

It's a favorable rate.

Oh, got it. OK.

I don't have any other questions. Does anybody else?

OK.

All right.

Thank you so much.

Thank you for your time.

OK.

And with that, I think we are going to adjourn and go into the post session.

It is 302 on September 17th that meets the open session of the meeting is adjourned.

□ **Dardy Chen** stopped transcription