



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

The following individuals submitted comments on agenda item:				
Agenda #	Relate To	Position	Name	Comments
20.		Favor	Ann Dorsey	
			Sandra Morales	This would protect the entire community, but most importantly save lives as we deal with supreme court decision on profiling constituents.
			Yadi -	<p>Strong Support. As the author of the ALPR post referenced in the Board's motion, I strongly support implementing stronger privacy protections of LA County residents. There are too many ALPR cameras that capture way more than just license plates, and as media has covered widely, California law enforcement agencies has illegally shared that information with out-of-state agencies, including ICE.</p> <p>The CalMatters article revealed just a snippet of a fraction of law enforcement agencies. We did not obtain information on LASD at the time of publication. It is therefore the burden of LASD to prove that none of the ALPR data they collected was shared with the Feds illegally. In other words, LASD needs to show their data use log to demonstrate to the public that they did or did not in fact share data with out-of-state agencies.</p> <p>Most law enforcement agencies who use Flock ALPR cameras have a 30-day retention period for non-evidentiary data that I strongly urge LASD follow. Right now, LASD saves all ALPR data for 5 incredibly long years. In addition, many law enforcement agencies set up a Flock transparency portal which is a public facing webpage that shows real time stats on the use of ALPR, including hot-list hits, ALPR scans. Moreover, the extremely high number of cameras being installed is unnecessary and extremely excessive - it is literally tracking people's travel and yes, mass surveillance.</p> <p>I am also extremely concerned at the sheer volume of ALPR cameras which is now wide-spread surveillance on innocent people. An overwhelming majority of the images captured by ALPRs is of innocent drivers, we do not deserved to be mass-surveilled. It was extremely concerning that LASD placed an unnecessary amount of cameras in Altadena after the Eaton Fire, putting people at risk unnecessarily. Our public safety should not be jeopardized by surveillance tools in the name of "public safety". Privacy is safety.</p>
		Oppose	Cindy H Berra	
			Ted J Smith	
		Item Total	5	
Grand Total			5	