

**This document was generated by AI and is not an official meeting record.
It may contain errors.**

Transcript

July 30, 2025, 6:30PM

□ **Anna Hom-Wong** started transcription

TT Tran, Tiffany 0:16
OK, it looks like we have quorum, so we can get started.
Good morning, everyone.
We will start with board introduction, starting with SC1.

DG Duran-Medina, Guadalupe 0:27
Hi, good morning. Medina with ST1.

AN AlDakheel, Noor 0:33
Good morning. Nora Trill with ST1.

TT Tran, Tiffany 0:38
Detail.

LF Lopez, Francisco 0:42
Good morning, Francisco with SD2.

TT Tran, Tiffany 0:48
Thank you ST3.

FR Fox, Rachel 0:51
Morning Rachel Fox X SD3.

EE Ellison, Emily 0:55
Good morning, Emily, Allison ST3.

TT Tran, Tiffany 0:59
Thank you ST4.

**This document was generated by AI and is not an official meeting record.
It may contain errors.**

GD Gonzalez, Daritza 1:01
Hi Darita here with SD4.

LE Lim, Esther 1:04
Hi, it's Esther with ST4.

GE Garcia, Esteban 1:07
Hi and this is Esteban planning deputy for Supervisor Hunt.

CK Cross, Kelly 1:08
Kelly.
Kelly Cross supervisor Han.

TT Tran, Tiffany 1:15
Thank you. And I'm Tiffany Tran with ST5.
Moving on to department heads, Agricultural Commissioner weights and measures.

KF Kurt Floren 1:24
Yes, good morning, Kurt Flornac, commissioner, director awaits and Messrs.

TT Tran, Tiffany 1:30
Thank you. Animal care and control.

WD Whitney Duong 1:32
Good morning, Britney Dong sitting in for Marcia Maeda, director.

TT Tran, Tiffany 1:37
Two beaches and harbors.

GJ Gary Jones 1:40
Hello, Gary Jones with beaches and harbours.

**This document was generated by AI and is not an official meeting record.
It may contain errors.**

TT Tran, Tiffany 1:45
Thank you Parks and rec.

AB Alina Bokde 1:48
Hi, good morning, Alina.
Both day chief deputy for Parks and Recreation.

TT Tran, Tiffany 1:53
Thank you, Public Library.

YP Yolanda Pina 1:57
Good morning, Yolanda, Pina, chief deputy Public Library in for Sky. Patrick.

TT Tran, Tiffany 2:03
To public works.

AG Angela George-Moody 2:05
Good morning, Angela George, chief deputy public works.

TT Tran, Tiffany 2:10
Thank you.
Regional planning.
CEO.

AH Anna Hom-Wong 2:23
Want to see you?

TT Tran, Tiffany 2:25
Can you please call the agenda?

AH Anna Hom-Wong 2:27
Sure. We have no board letters presented for today.
We do have one board motion and one presentation from the Chief Sustainability

**This document was generated by AI and is not an official meeting record.
It may contain errors.**

Office, so we'll start with the board motion from SD4.
It's execute change order for the West.
Whittier, Los Nietos mines Blvd. Project.
So SD4, whenever you're ready, you can start presenting.

GD Gonzalez, Daritza 2:49

Sounds good.

Thank you, Anna.

Colleagues, we're bringing this motion forward to make a change order for an existing Rd. project, the Mines Blvd. Rd. project in the 4th district.

This project was approved by our board back in 2023 and it's a three mile resurface project in our unincorporated community of West.

Whittier and Los nietos.

The project includes Pkwy improvements, curb ramp upgrades, Traffic Safety and pedestrian enhancements.

And road resurfacing, the project's about 50% complete and we expect to finish construction at the end of June 2026. During construction, additional work was found. We had we need to reconstruct curb and gutter, reconstruct sidewalk and driveway aprons, and remove and replant trees found.

To be necessary, the project's gone through community.

Outreach, they went to the West.

Whittier Coordinating Council.

We received 103 tree surveys for tree removals and replacements, and so this motion gives us a gives the Department of Public Works Authority to do the change order of 2.4 million for the Mines Blvd. project. The funding is available through the road fund.

And our office is here, along with the Department of Public Works, to answer any of your questions.

AH Anna Hom-Wong 4:10

Are there any questions from the board offices?

Please raise your hand.

OK, there is a doesn't look like. Oh, never mind Guadalupe.

**This document was generated by AI and is not an official meeting record.
It may contain errors.**

GD Gonzalez, Daritza 4:19
1.

DG Duran-Medina, Guadalupe 4:22
No thanks.
There is.
So this is SD Forest Rd. funds, right that you're using.

GD Gonzalez, Daritza 4:28
Steve, do you want to answer the question on the funding?

SB Steve Burger 4:30
Sure. Yeah.
No, these are our what we call our MRA funds.
This is the funds we use Countrywide for rehabilitating pavement and repaving roadways.
So you know any of your districts, if you're getting a repair job from our Rd. folks, that's going through our PMD, it's usually that fun to pace for it.
So it's essentially gas tax.

DG Duran-Medina, Guadalupe 4:52
Got it.
Thank you.

GD Gonzalez, Daritza 4:54
Thanks Steve.

AH Anna Hom-Wong 4:57
OK. Are there any other questions from board office?
OK.
It doesn't look like it.
There's any thanks deritza.

**This document was generated by AI and is not an official meeting record.
It may contain errors.**

GD Gonzalez, Daritza 5:05

OK.

Thanks everybody and it'll be on the August 12th agenda. Thanks.

AH Anna Hom-Wong 5:09

Thanks for reminding us.

So our next item will be a presentation from Chief Sustainability Office called preparing Los Angeles County for a proposition for the climate bond.

So Matt Gonzer from the CSO will be here to present.

So whenever you're ready, Matt, go ahead.

GM Gonser, Matthew 5:27

Great. Thank you.

And I'm are you able to see the cover slide here?

AH Anna Hom-Wong 5:31

Yes.

GM Gonser, Matthew 5:32

Great. So as mentioned, this is actually a quarterly update on a board motion that was issued or passed and adopted on January 7th, which everyone likely remembers as a very impactful day for our community as a whole.

But with that forward-looking leadership.

The board requested that the departments come together to, really.

Prepare for.

What we the voters approved back in the November election.

So this brief presentation is is a bit of a recap on what was in the motion, what was in the subsequent written report and then sets the stage for the ongoing work needed to continue to coordinate with departments and also towards the next quarterly report which is already.

Scheduled for the end of October.

So in the board motion, it requested a written response on several things.

One to basically demonstrate what is in the climate bond.

**This document was generated by AI and is not an official meeting record.
It may contain errors.**

What? What are those potential eligible categories?

Is it formula funding?

Competitive funding. We've obviously learned a lot since then.

Where are departments in their thinking around priority projects to take advantage and bring resources down to the LA County region.

What are the criteria that either departments themselves internally or we as the county as a whole are considering in terms of how we evaluate those priority project proposals, especially in relationship to the myriad of either county plans and targets and commitments or other board motions that have really.

Driven agencies forward.

In thinking about our own assets or different kinds of environments.

It's all around like the county that also serve community members county wide and then within that, what are the estimated costs and timelines?

This becomes increasingly important and interesting, especially once we start applying for the funding opportunities.

We might learn that sometimes a grant proposal or a grant opportunity has sufficient funding for any particular project.

But I think we all know, and especially on this call, a lot of departments have some really big needs.

And there's some really large infrastructure investments that might be partially competitive within a climate bond grant program or might require other dedicated resources to deliver.

In addition to this assessment of what is the climate bond and what are some of our project priorities, there was a request to coordinate the CEO layer to advocate on behalf of agencies.

We can share a little bit of information.

On on what has happened since and what may or may not be possible moving forward relative to the legislature finalizing its spending plan. And then lastly, the board report requested both the report back itself, which was delivered April 7th, and subsequent updates at Community Services cluster one of.

Which we're doing here now.

And then again the the next one is scheduled for the end of October.

To recap, the climate bond is 10 years, \$10 billion as approved, and voted on in the November election.

**This document was generated by AI and is not an official meeting record.
It may contain errors.**

But it's important to.

Even for ourselves and the departments continue to demystify it a little bit.

It most definitely is new and dedicated money, but it is primarily funding known and existing state grant programs.

So the more that we do to continue to prepare and empower ourselves to be responsive and competitive to those funding opportunities.

Is the the better positioned will be to maximize this resource. Uh year one and beyond.

At the time, this was the initial proposal from the governor's office. You can see column two proposed.

Sorry, column three, I should say proposed 20252026 spending plan. In essence this is what plus or minus was adopted in the the budget that just passed before the end of June.

But again, some of the the details are still being hashed out between.

The legislature, especially for some of the.

The priority initiatives or efforts and also some funding that has not yet been allocated, but this table along with the pie chart on the slide before really is just to give a snapshot of the magnitude of the funding over the whole 10 year program within these different top.

Areas.

What the first year outlay looks like already trying to come out very aggressive in year one.

With more than 1/4 of the intended 10 year program and then that opportunity to continue to.

Reflect on our applications and prepare for subsequent years and subsequent rounds of grant programs moving forward.

Within the report that was provided back in April and my apologies, I didn't link it here in this presentation, but I will put it in the chat.

After I'm done presenting here.

There was that brief narrative section, but really two large attachments and attachment one.

We greatly benefited from and appreciate public works leadership in their efforts both around infrastructure LA for the county as a whole, right in the regional partnerships as a whole. But specifically looking at their own needs and the kinds of

**This document was generated by AI and is not an official meeting record.
It may contain errors.**

things that they support and deliver for other DEP.

They had already had a consultant working on trying to look ahead at the known and or anticipated state grant programs that would be ultimately deploying the the climate bond money.

So there is this first attachment bond which outlines a very long list of the different funding anticipated funding programs within those topical areas.

It's Funding Agency, the anticipated funding.

The amount of program description and some insights on eligibility primarily based on either existing information or past notices of funding opportunity.

The other thing that's important to note is there there really is not.

Direct earmarking advocacy opportunities moving forward, and that really wasn't the intention of what voters approved in November.

It really is competitive grant competitive grant programs through different state agencies, but there is a subset of direct allocation to conservancies all around the state.

Several of which we have in the LA County region.

So we know over time a certain amount of money will come directly to these three conservancies.

The rivers and Mountain Conservancy, Santa Monica Mountains Conservancy and Baldwin Hills Conservancy.

Plus, there's additional money coming specifically for some Bayonna Creek watershed restoration efforts to be delivered through the Wildlife Conservation Board.

But these themselves are also competitive grant programs that are obviously open to any of our nonprofit partners and community orgs as well.

But this is the only money that we can depend upon.

Having a direct impact here locally in addition to all the other funding opportunities that we know we will be successful in securing.

And then the second attachment was that request from the report, which was, you know, a preliminary thoughts on project priorities. And again, you can see a a really long list and a lot of great.

Ideas and represented needs from different departments.

Different.

Programs or project proposals are are at different degrees of advancement or

**This document was generated by AI and is not an official meeting record.
It may contain errors.**

predesign.

And now that we have the first year budget, it really is taking the next step from this attachment trying to marry it with the known and anticipated funding programs to see where we can be most competitive.

But one thing to note, even within this attachment.

In particular, you'll see a lot of great things from the Department of Parks and Recreation.

And they recognize that the different funding categories could be applicable for one idea, and this is partly a reflection of the the the proposals intent to have a lot of environmental proposals, both restoration or Community improvements, things that you know, tree planting is a great example where, yes.

That sounds mostly applicable to the extreme heat category.

But the way the other categories were drafted in the legislation, those kinds of green infrastructure opportunities also will be competitive in other categories like water and and and others.

So we want to avail ourselves.

Hopefully not tripping over multiple proposals. You know the same thing to different funding programs, but it's a good recognition that there's there's opportunities to be strategic in terms of which program we ultimately.

Pursue moving forward for any particular project idea.

And then lastly, just wanted to give a brief snapshot of of some of the ongoing coordination that we're already working on. As I mentioned, we're still waiting for some final guidance and layer has been a great partner in navigating the ongoing efforts in Sacramento. As I mentioned the.

Legislature and the the administration continue to have some discussions to enact.

The the longer term climate bond spending package.

In the early days, we were thinking through and a lot of departments independently reached out to some.

State of agencies to to really try to put our best foot forward around project concepts and needs. Layer did collect a lot of information to inform some early stage communications to the legislature and we can do more of that moving forward, both to the legislature and departments. But.

It's it's unlikely that there will be chances for.

Specific earmark requests. This really is intended to be a competitive.

**This document was generated by AI and is not an official meeting record.
It may contain errors.**

If statewide program.

So we'll need to prepare ourselves for that.

And then lastly, we are looking at existing.

Fora that we, we convene here at the county. This first one. Climate ready communities. You're likely familiar with public works as leadership around this. This will be a really great group to continue to, to talk about strategy and priority efforts and.

Again, we know that an agency like Public works often times is delivering projects on another department's behalf.

So that kind of coordination is going to be really important moving forward.

And then lastly, infrastructure, LA, the much broader regional court collaboration or collaborative, if you will, continues to be.

Uh, really important, notwithstanding its origins, which was obviously in a in a moment of federal funding several years ago, there was great need to ensure we were coordinated.

But the idea of of department and interjurisdictional coordination was known even before that funding opportunity.

And again, that's a great program that we will lean into and I'll share some some perspectives from the environment, sustainability and Resiliency Subcommittee.

Because those those meetings are regularly scheduled.

They provide the great chance to coordinate new information, share updates when funding opportunities are announced, and then to think about the strategy and priority on on partnerships towards joint applications or any entity pursuing its own singular application.

I'll end here with with an anecdote just from some discussions we're having within infrastructure LA, we at CSO, Co lead with public works, the environment, sustainability and Resiliency Subcommittee. We are also actively working with the coalition within that Subcommittee to Target 2 particular grants right now, an extreme.

Heat grant and a rivers and mountains Conservancy proposal.

We've had discussions even just yesterday with the RMC.

Team and they themselves are still a little confused around the ultimate deployment of climate bond money.

For a couple of reasons.

**This document was generated by AI and is not an official meeting record.
It may contain errors.**

One, they're awaiting that final spending plan and guidance. Some of their grant guidelines or requirements are still being modified and adjusted to comply with the climate bond, but also over time it'll get a little bit murky in terms of like how and where some of these state grant.

Programs are being funded.

The extreme heat one in particular.

You know, it might be a combo of greenhouse gas reduction funds plus climate bond funds.

So as we continue to coordinate with the departments and share back with you, the discussion might start to deviate from like oh, is this specifically a climate bond grant funded program or not?

And we can share that if the funding opportunity even tells us where the money is coming from, I think what will be most important moving forward is just getting an awareness on.

Continuing to be.

Be prepared for and leading.

Countrywide applications for known state grant programs. And again, these these entities, climate ready communities, working group and infrastructure. LA will continue to serve us in those needs moving forward.

So I'll pause here and hand it back for any questions.

AH Anna Hom-Wong 20:11

Hey, court offices, if you have any questions, please raise your hand.

Tiffany.

TT Tran, Tiffany 20:23

Hi, thank you, Matt, for the presentation.

I have a few questions.

So for the allocation of the funding 'cause, I know you said that most of it goes towards state programs and state agencies.

Is that is there like a specific?

Mechanism or how is that divided in between?

Funding that goes towards the state.

Versus the local conservancies.

GM **Gonser, Matthew** 20:57

So the allocation was what was put to us back in November and that big pie chart and that that big table, there is some subcategorization within the report back. I I'd have to look at it, Tiffany, for the specific numbers. But in essence what's being allocated is what.

The climate bond legislation and and voter proposal was last year, so there isn't, to my current understanding, but.

Would certainly welcome anyone's correction that.

There's not going to be much modification moving forward.

TT **Tran, Tiffany** 21:33

So the so I guess the.

The amount allocated for each for the state versus the local conserving sees that's already set.

GM **Gonser, Matthew** 21:48

I to my current understanding of of the comment bond, yes.

TT **Tran, Tiffany** 21:48

Through the amend.

GM **Gonser, Matthew** 21:51

But what will vary is in any given year, what is that fiscal year's allocation?

So we know that the totality of the 10 billion ten years this first year looks like at least 1/4 of the 10 billion to be bonded out for these opportunities. And then any subsequent year will be, you know, a governor's proposal and the legislative process to.

Negotiate that final.

Year's allocation.

That final fiscal year allocation.

TT **Tran, Tiffany** 22:22

And then in terms of priority for projects and ranking that, how has that been

established or what?

What mechanism went into that?

GM **Gonser, Matthew** 22:33

Yeah. I wouldn't say it's been established yet.

So that first attachment too was really just a call and a collection from departments, county departments. In terms of what their priorities are, not yet knowing the full details of any of these funding opportunities.

So what I think is most important is for us to know what it is that we're trying to accomplish and then to be in a position when any funding opportunity, whether climate bond or otherwise.

Gets announced that we know what it is.

We're what we're trying to accomplish.

And we massage our needs to a funding program versus just waiting for a funding program to get announced and then coming up with an idea. So more work can and needs to be done in terms of.

Helping departments articulate their priority needs and then ensuring that if there are multiple departments that are targeting.

An announcement or a funding opportunity that we're thinking through the strategy of of what we the county might.

I wanna put first over.

Another one perhaps, but I don't think we necessarily want to overthink that or curtail any department from pursuing a grant opportunity and especially in this first year.

Just welcome any submission and then continue to reflect on the success or if we're hearing even from state departments of like, oh, you know, they might get pressure in terms of allocation.

Statewide, you know if LA is wildly successful or Southern California starts to receive the the lion's share, more proportional share of some of the funding, that might inform or influence some of the year 2 year three-year 4 legislative discussions and the the write ups of.

The funding opportunities I I don't know.

It's a little bit speculative in that answer.

TT **Tran, Tiffany** 24:27
OK.
Thank you.

AH **Anna Hom-Wong** 24:32
OK, Guadalupe.

DG **Duran-Medina, Guadalupe** 24:35
Yes. Thanks Matt.
So just to better understand, is there like a timetable that will be established?
You know, so that we can, like, work back, you know, towards that timetable and
then having, you know, the coordination with the departments to elevate priorities. Is
there like a committee that will be established or something.
Like that, or just trying to get a better idea what would be the time?
Table for the first round if you will with the 2.7.

GM **Gonser, Matthew** 25:08
Yeah. So.
Yeah. Sorry to cut you off there.
We've had discussions with public works and and there's some alignment that it
might be best to utilize existing forms.
So we we have groups that meet on a fairly regular basis, whether it's the climate
ready communities, work group or the CSCCC that the Chief Sustainability Office
convenes.
We'd we'd rather not stand up a new group for this work and and utilize the
relationships and the working knowledge within those groups.
I would say now again, that the budget has just been passed. A lot of the funding
opportunities are still not yet announced, so this is a moment that we can.
Pick up those attachment one and attachment two and take the next steps to refine
them, update them and ensure that we're taking the next steps in a coordinated
fashion.
I would give a shout out to again public works.
They do have a consultant team on board that's helping them with this.

**This document was generated by AI and is not an official meeting record.
It may contain errors.**

And a lot of that information also gets further extended through the infrastructure LA Group.

So anyone, whether public, nonprofit or community group, that's on that distribution list, they at the same time can get notified when there's funding opportunities on the horizon.

DG **Duran-Medina, Guadalupe** 26:28

So how soon would this timetable be put together?

You know like.

GM **Gonser, Matthew** 26:35

Yeah, I would say we this is a good reminder that we're scheduled for three months from now back in October.

That is a good timeline to start thinking through what? What is this as you're discussing, a timeline or an updated priority project list, I think we'll be in a better position to present our best intentions around a game plan and probably by then can share notice on some.

Grants that have been applied to already.

But again, it's even some of the state agencies.

Is umm.

For example, we we were anticipating a climate bond funded Cal Fire program to be announced this year.

We contacted them. They said. Oh, actually, it'll be 2026.

We are already taking steps to prepare for.

A governor's office of land use and climate innovation.

Extreme heat grant.

But the notice of funding availability isn't out yet.

It's anticipated September.

We're hoping it's September.

They might be delayed. They're currently doing.

Webinars to collect feedback to inform the funding opportunity.

Using again the rivers and mountains Conservancy consultation yesterday while they're open to hearing our proposal.

They also said they they're trying to better understand and get guidance from

state.

Leadership too.

So I think everyone now that the budget has just been adopted last month is looking forward to taking those next steps and we can definitely come back in the October presentation with you know what that framework looks like here within the county.

DG **Duran-Medina, Guadalupe** 28:16

And would we be like encouraging community engagement like associated with the projects that are prioritized or just curious?

GM **Gonser, Matthew** 28:27

Yes. Certainly, departments that are leading you know they know best.

Those groups that they're already working, hopefully anything that we're putting forth is already based on and validated by a board motion, an adopted plan, a recognized need and some level of engagement.

That's happened already, but also within the funding opportunities, it might be very explicit that there needs to be either ongoing engagement identified partners.

Potentially even.

Workforce component.

So we'll we'll it, it will vary again depending on the the funding program and the state agency that's implementing that program.

And there's a lot if if folks have the opportunity, I'll put in the chat here that that attachment one is really where we endeavored starting on page 13 to outline.

Known or expected?

Programs that will receive funding.

And where we could try to collect any of those past notices of funding opportunities that we can continue to review, think through what our priority projects might be most.

Best position to compete for that funding opportunity.

But there's there's a lot.

So this is a good moment for us to revisit both of those tables to think through how we can do this in a coordinated fashion or again, if there are things that are just like strictly unique to a department, right, of DBH is the one that really is.

Leading on coastal resilience and they they have a handle on those grants and

opportunities.

That perhaps doesn't necessitate as much coordination, but they might then.

Then wanna continue to work through their working group. That's helping them with, umm the feasibility. Umm, review for some of their living shoreline projects as an example.

DG **Duran-Medina, Guadalupe** 30:22

So we're looking to also, you know, look at projects that may be fundable across the different categories, right?

We're saying, you know, different potentially, you know, like, so that that will also be encouraged.

GM **Gonser, Matthew** 30:38

Yes, yes.

And again, that attachment too, shows parks as an example. Recognize that.

Hey, they have a concept but it since we since we don't yet know the specifics of any funding opportunity, we just had high level description of those funding categories. Their proposal might apply for one 2-3, maybe even four different funding categories.

But the funding categories are not the funding programs.

And the timeline for those funding programs, we can look at past practice.

We can continue to talk with departments.

That'll be the harder one to really get a calendar of anticipated.

You know, notices a funding opportunities that go out, but I think there's an opportunity to do as much as we can to continue to be successful in this year one.

And again, recognizing that this will be a multi year opportunity.

DG **Duran-Medina, Guadalupe** 31:34

Thank you.

AH **Anna Hom-Wong** 31:37

OK, Emily.

EE **Ellison, Emily** 31:40

**This document was generated by AI and is not an official meeting record.
It may contain errors.**

Thanks, Matt for that presentation.

It was really helpful and you know, it's exciting opportunity and I it's great to hear the public works already has a contractor on board to look out for these grants.

I'm just curious if that also includes like grant writers and how long that contract is for. Like is it for until the Prop 4 money has all been allocated and then in in CSS conversation with other departments do they have?

Similar capacity to.

Who hires like contractors that can keep an eye out or submit these applications when they're alive?

How are other departments thinking about, you know, tracking this or is this something that's going to be kind of figured out as they go?

GM **Gonser, Matthew** 32:24

Answer the second one first and then maybe ask assistant Director Esposito from Public Works to talk about.

Their their side if she's available.

Not every department has the same resources.

I know.

We know, for example, ISD has contractors that have helped them with other grants. Maybe that could then be supportive of of any climate bond funded grant programs. I don't know the current capacity or resources within other departments, but that's a. Perfect. Great.

First question, when we reconvene the group that worked on the board report towards those next steps so that we could share back with the board in October where agencies are at, what that might mean for capacity this year or request towards the next year. So that's that's a.

Good question though I imagine there will be some degree of like you said, figuring it out as we go as well.

And hopefully trying to leverage and maximize again that climate resilience.

Communities working group that public works leads umm and then departments that are participating in the AFFLU discussions.

Mickey.

ME **Miki Esposito** 33:35

**This document was generated by AI and is not an official meeting record.
It may contain errors.**

Thank you, Matt.

And I also have Angela George Moody here, who leads our infrastructure LA initiative, that Mark Estrella started a while back and the whole point of that was to coordinate among internal department agencies as well as external agencies to get on the same page about these grant opportunities whether.

Local, state or federal and that's what we've been leveraging.

So as Matt shared, we are trying to use existing systems and platforms.

That we've been building and those relationships that we've been building to continue the conversation about what opportunities exist out there and infrastructure LA is that platform.

There is a sustainability subcommittee that we work together.

On with the CS OS office and and particularly talk about the climate bond with Cbo's with CBO partners as well as our internal departments.

So we do have resources through a contractor. We use Jacobs to help us identify grant opportunities, but also with grant writing.

Preparing proposals that are beneficial among lots of teammates and and team members, both internally and externally.

And so we've just tried to leverage what was already existing for us and I don't know if Angela wants to chime in, but that's what we will continue to do.

You.

AG Angela George-Moody 35:06

No, I think Mickey, that's well fed. So thank you.

EE Ellison, Emily 35:12

That's great.

Thank you. Thank you all.

AH Anna Hom-Wong 35:15

OK. Are there any other board offices who have questions for Matt?

OK, looks like you did a great job, Matt.

There's no other hands raised.

GM Gonser, Matthew 35:26

**This document was generated by AI and is not an official meeting record.
It may contain errors.**

Great. Well, thanks all. We're we're scheduled for the next update. As I mentioned at this cluster meeting on October the 30th, I believe.

AH Anna Hom-Wong 35:35

I think it's the 29th, but we'll have it right.

GM Gonser, Matthew 35:37

29th thank you.

Yeah, I'll make sure to show up on the 29th.

AH Anna Hom-Wong 35:40

Well, I have a reminder for you.

If there's no other questions for Matt, thank you for the presentation.

We'll move on to public comment. It'd be a public comment. Please raise your hand.

Or press *6, two mute yourself.

I do have two questions or public comment for on the chat from Sharon Evans, which is what is the date, the most recent counties report was released and where can the public locate a copy of it?

And the other comment was how will the county engage with local CBO coalitions to add input for community driven priorities?

If there's no public comment, then we can.

Adjourn the meeting.

But I have announcements, 2 announcements, next week's Community service cluster meeting will go back to the hybrid format.

So it will be virtual as well as in person in the hall administration, and that the last two meetings in August have been cancelled for the cluster that would be August 20th and August 27th.


So so the meeting is adjourned.


Thank you for attending.

TT Tran, Tiffany 36:58

Thank you.

**This document was generated by AI and is not an official meeting record.
It may contain errors.**

 **Lim, Esther** 37:00
Thank you.

 **Trejo, Annette** 37:01
Thank you.

☐ **Anna Hom-Wong** stopped transcription