



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

Agenda #	Relate To	Position	Name	Comments
18.		Favor	Ann Dorsey	
		Oppose	andrew gushiken	Supervisors, Do not support Assembly Bill 1127. This is a terrible bill that will not stop gang members from breaking the firearm laws they already flaunt. This bill will further burden lawful citizens who need protection from those criminals. - Supporting this bill aids and encourages criminals to attack the innocent and helpless. - Supporting this bill tells criminals that the Board Of Supervisors supports them. - Supporting this bill is a slap in the face of the Law Enforcement officers who struggle to hold back the tide of armed criminals. Do not support Assembly Bill 1127.
			Anton J Czuleger	
			Bill Magoon	As a registered CA voter and resident of Los Angeles County I strongly oppose agenda item 18. It continues to baffle me how the BOS of Supervisors seems to support restricting or outright banning all forms of gun possession but yet supports laws that do not hold criminals accountable.
			BRIAN GREGORY	
			Bruce Dovner	
			C Rollin Moone	As well as the intentions of this are they are guided by individuals that the neither have or possess the knowledge or understanding of how firearms function. The goal here seems to misguid the uneducated by instilling fear & anti gun propaganda. Shame on you for doing this to the public.
			Charlene Gilbert	
			Charles M Sparks	AB1127 will not stop criminals from obtaining firearms and modifying them to be illegal. Making changes to a firearm to make it fully Automatic is already illegal. All this bill will do is keep Lawful citizens of your county from owning firearms legal in the rest of the united states. Criminals do not follow the law. If you support this bill you are going against gun owners in your districts. This is not common sense. Again making a gun fully Automatic is illegal. So why add another bill.
			DOUGLAS L DOWDS	DEAL WITH THE CRIMINALS WITHOUT REMOVING THE RIGHTS OF LAW ABIDING CITIZENS TO PROTECT THEMSELVES.



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

The following individuals submitted comments on agenda item:				
Agenda #	Relate To	Position	Name	Comments
18.		Oppose	Ema Salas-Koppelman	I am writing to ask you to withdraw your endorsement of AB 1127. Respectfully, Ema Salas-Koppelman
			Ernesto Juarez	Firearms are readily available and a right for law abiding citizens to carry. This bill is frivolous because it can be applied to any firearm. Why don't we ban cars as they can be car jacked and used as a weapon to run people over. This is a ridiculous proposal mean to sow fear and spread communism.
			Geoffrey Gilbert	I oppose Assembly Bill 1127.
			Gregory Tomich	Stop interfering with Americans' right to own personal firearms. Prosecute the criminals who break the law now & work with DC to deport illegals. That's how to stop crime, not banning semi-automatic pistols from law-abiding citizens. Thank you.
			Henry J Giardina	
			James Ma	
			Jeany Zhao	
			Jim Matthrws	Outlawing things that "might" be altered into an illegal and dangerous item is a slippery slope. Vehicle, medication, food, protest, speech. Where does it stop?
			Kendall Hudson	Not the reason you were elected. Just another attempt to violate the 2 nd amendment.
			Kimberly H Cabana	
			Luiz Diaz	
			Meghan White	This Bill is ridiculous as we already have regulations at the State and Federal level restrict the creation of machine guns and converting any gun to have automatic fire. Glocks and other handguns with similar architecture are among some of the safest guns out there. The state of California continues to attempt to restrict access to safe handgun by not allowing us to buy handguns with the newest safety features. Actually know the subject you are ruling on. It is clear that the mere existence of this bill shows that people in power are voting on emotion and rumors than knowledge and logic. The conversion kits that can add this feature are already banned. It is a felony to have and use these items.
			MEHDI HASHEMI	



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

			The following individuals submitted comments on agenda item:	
Agenda #	Relate To	Position	Name	Comments
18.		Oppose	Michael Bastian	Glock switches are already ILLEGAL to possess, sell and use. This bill does nothing but once again infringe on the rights of law abiding gun owners who choose to purchase the most common model of handgun in the country. We all know beforehand that you are going to support this because of the council's extreme political views, but I am just writing this to voice my unwavering opposition. Hold criminals responsible for their crimes, stop punishing the law abiding.
			Michael A Frye	Possessing an unregistered machine gun is already a federal, state and local crime. Manufacturing or possessing the device used with a Glock pistol to enable full automatic fire is also a federal and local crime. Banning Glock brand pistols will do nothing to prevent a criminal from making a machine gun, which can be done to most any brand of semi-automatic pistol. Glock brand pistols are typically very high quality and expensive firearms and used by law abiding citizens for protection and legitimate sporting purposes and banning them would only prevent law abiding citizens from being able to purchase a high quality firearm. Criminals don't obey laws and a ban on Glock pistols would have no effect on their illegal activities. Based on these facts, this bill seems to be an attack on the 2nd amendment of the US Constitution and not a bill to reduce crime.
			Mike S Brumelow	Please do not support Assembly Bill 1127.
			Misael Urquico	This is redundant. Automatical weapons are already illegal.
			Patrick Thai	
			Paul Soroudi	



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

The following individuals submitted comments on agenda item:				
Agenda #	Relate To	Position	Name	Comments
18.		Oppose	paul C burt	<p>- I oppose the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors' endorsement of Assembly Bill 1127 (AB1127) as it blatantly misplaces focus on restricting lawful firearm sales rather than addressing the root causes of gun violence through enforcement of existing laws.</p> <p>The bill targets semi-automatic pistols, like Glocks, that can be converted into automatic weapons using illegal "Glock switches," citing incidents like the April 3, 2022, Sacramento mass shooting that killed six and injured twelve. Court records and news reports confirm that the perpetrators in that tragedy - D'Andre Martin, Smiley Martin, and Mtula Payton - were repeat, violent felons with extensive firearm-involved convictions.</p> <p>D'Andre Martin had prior convictions for illegal firearm possession and domestic violence, to name but 2. At the time of the shooting, Smiley Martin was on parole for firearm and assault charges, and Mtula Payton had a history of violent offenses, yet all were released early under California's lenient sentencing protocols, including Proposition 47 and 57, which reduced penalties and enabled early parole for violent, repeat offenders such as these people.</p> <p>DA Nathan Hochman has emphasized that existing statutes, such as Penal Code 12022.5 (firearm use enhancements), already provide for severe penalties - up to seven years per offense - but are underutilized due to prosecutorial discretion and egregiously irresponsible early release policies. The Sacramento shooting, involving a converted Glock, underscores that the issue lies not in the availability of legal firearms but in the failure to keep repeat offenders off the streets.</p> <p>AB 1127 burdens law-abiding citizens and dealers, as noted by the California Rifle and Pistol Association, while ignoring the criminal justice system's flaws that allowed these felons to act AGAIN!</p> <p>Instead of endorsing restrictive new laws, the Board should support Hochman's push for stricter enforcement of current statutes to prevent such tragedies.</p>
			Rachel Gilbert	Stop the back door gun bans!

			The following individuals submitted comments on agenda item:	
Agenda #	Relate To	Position	Name	Comments
18.		Oppose	Richard Wood	I understand, based on one's position on gun ownership, the title "DIY Machine Guns" either aptly summarizes the intent of the bill, or grossly misrepresents a non-issue. I'm in the latter camp. As a matter of record, any semi-automatic weapon can be converted to fire in a full automatic mode. The only people converting weapons, such as the Glock handgun, are by definition criminals or soon to be criminals. It's folly to believe that legislation can remove all dangerous or potentially dangerous items in society that can cause bodily harm or death. Those opposed to private ownership of firearms are well aware that this action is a poorly disguised step after eliminating the most popular handgun in the world, toward outlawing nearly all handguns in California. Once applied here and against other common weapons, there is no doubt that eventually the real goal of sidestepping the Second Amendment all together in California and outlawing any new gun purchases in future generations will become a reality.
			Robert Larsen	
			Rod Shigio	This bill does nothing to prevent or discourage crime. It is a blatant violation of the Second Amendment and will infringe on Constitutional rights for years until reversed by the courts eventually.
			Ronald S Viodes	Does nothing to stop crime !!!
			Sandra L Willson-White	This is definitely against American citizens 2nd Amendment Constitutional Rights. The Glock semi-automatic hand gun is one of the most widely owned. Also, to take away one's right to legally transfer it is California government overreach.
			Stephen Weinman	stop wasting time and effort to make up new stuff and support the laws that are out there. We have laws in place that already do this
			Steven A Oetzell	This bill is unconstitutional. It will cost the government millions of dollars when lawsuits are filed against it and the government will probably lose the case anyway. The so called "Glock switch" is already illegal to own and use. I oppose AB 1127 because it punishes law abiding gun owners.
		Item Total	38	
Grand Total			38	

Opposition to AB-1127

AB-1127 is a farce—a diluted, feel-good attempt to reduce gun violence that comes at the direct expense of responsible gun owners. It punishes the 99% of Glock owners who follow the law because of the actions of a tiny criminal minority. FBI data shows that the overwhelming majority of handguns used in crimes are obtained illegally, which means this bill will do nothing to stop criminals. Instead, it strips law-abiding citizens of access to one of the most trusted and reliable tools for self-defense.

This is nothing more than a cheap political ploy, preying on the fears of families who simply want to feel safe, while offering no real solution to the violence in our communities. Politicians are selling the illusion of safety, knowing full well that criminals will ignore this law just as they ignore the ones already on the books.

The Second Amendment guarantees our right to choose effective firearms for self-protection. If lawmakers can casually erode this right under the guise of safety, what assurance do we have that they will protect our other constitutional rights when they become politically inconvenient? A government that can pick and choose which rights to respect is a government that can take them all.

AB-1127 does not make California safer—it simply shifts power away from responsible citizens and into the hands of criminals. We should be demanding that our leaders enforce existing laws, target illegal trafficking, and hold violent offenders accountable, not undermine the rights and security of the very people they are sworn to protect.