

This transcript was generated by AI and is not an official meeting record. It may contain errors.

July 23, 2025, 8:48PM

OM Operations Cluster Meeting 12:34

Park and welcome to the operations. Special is absent today, but I believe she has a a
A colleague online.

But let's start the meeting.

It's 2:00. Ready for introductions, my colleague.

You want to go? OK. All right. First up, first district, Tami. Multivariate supervisor's
office choice sleep.

Thank you very much.

2nd District maybe for 2nd district.

Thank you very much.

And 3rd District John Leonard, third district.

Grandchild. Thank you.

Sure, obviously not in person today.

I believe they have someone online, Sheriff's Office. Do you want to self deduce if
you are on?

EG Ender, Gina 13:14

Hi, I'm Gina Ender with the chairs office.

OM Operations Cluster Meeting 13:18

The reminder today's meeting is being transcribed. Since we do have one motion,
SD4 has continued their motion to next week, 7th on July 30th. So with that I go back
to you.

Thank you.

And so we'll open it up for item number 2 for general public comment. Is there
anyone in the room that would like to make any public comments?

Anyone online that would like to make any comments?

OK.

So we will go on to item number three and this is SU-5 for the 2025 county wide

wildest challenge.

Do you know the floor is yours?

EG Ender, Gina 13:52

Thank you so much.

So this is the Department of Human Resources annual County Wide Wellness challenge.

It takes place in two parts.

The first is on September 13th at Benelli Regional Park.

The theme is Wellness and wealth retreat, and so it's a combination of mindfulness and financial literacy.

CS Christopher Stevens 14:08

Hey.

EG Ender, Gina 14:11

The second is at Whittier Narrows recreational area.

The theme is blueprint to good health.

That's on October 4th, and that is a combination of fitness and nutrition.

So this is geared toward county employees.

It's gonna be done in partnership with the county's health plans and the Blue Cross, Cigna, Kaiser and United Healthcare.

And so this is an opportunity for county place to partake in healthy activities and get together.

So this is yet being driven by human resources and our offices excited to carry it as the chair.

OM Operations Cluster Meeting 14:43

Think she had to do any of the board offices? Have any questions?

Any board offices online that have any questions?

Is there any general public comment here in the Office on this board item?

Anybody online that has any general comments for this board motion?

OK. With that, the motion is good to go. Thanks, Gina.

EG Ender, Gina 15:02

Thank you so much.

OM Operations Cluster Meeting 15:04

Right. And we are now at item number 4A with the CEO.

Please come up.

This is county wide classifications compensation actions, the closures.

Hello, Jennifer Roberts classic on CEO classification compensation submitted letters on a periodic basis to implement recommended action from a timely manner.

Approval will provide the ordinance authority for county departments to implement the classification and compensation changes in this letter on behalf of the CEO classification compensation.

I'm presenting a summary of the actions listed in the board land.

This board letter includes the following. Delete one representing classification and one non represented classification.

Change the salary of one non represented classification in the department of Harvard, Reclassifying 9 positions in DHS following a health services administration, cost reporting and reimbursement division reorganization study.

Reclassify 26 positions and the department's arts and culture, beaches and Harvard. Internal Services Alley, County Library, mental health, Parks and Recreation, probation, public defender, public social services and the last and final remaining item is adjust staffing provisions to reflect the addition of 18 positions, putting this out if there are any questions or clarification, compensation analyst and department representatives thank.

You.

Here in the board offices have any questions?

I just have one question about the Lacera actions, OK, we've already approved this, I thought and it says in October, right?

But why is it back on here to be placed in the ordinance now?

Oh, so we had approved it before, but it was just never reflected, right?

OK, this is that's not the normal process, right?

Usually when we approve the guest, I think because these were new positions, they were sent to the board for approval and then now we're updating the ordinance.

So it was a board letter from before.

Yes.

OK.

That's it for us.

Thank you.

You're good to go for August 12.

Thank you very much.

Thank you.

Our next item, TTC.

Please come up.

This is the issuance and sale of LA County Public Works Financing Authority lease revenue bonds the floor indoors.

Yes, thank you.

Good afternoon, Jeffreys and guests.

Thank you for the opportunity to present to you today.

I'm Heather Buzicki, the assistant TTC for finance and investments, and with me today is Teresa G, the Chief public officer for our branch.

Before Theresa goes into the financing details, I want to give you a brief overview as to why we're here today.

1st is to request approval from Board of Supervisors and Board of directors of the Public Works Finance Authority to authorize issuance of bonds and aggregate of a principal amount not to exceed \$1.2 million.

Proceeds from the bonds will be used to finance phase two of the Harvard UCLA Medical Center replacement project.

Including construction of the new nine story inpatient tower, the bonds will be used for repay.

Outstanding commercial paper notes previously issued for this project and have the ability to refund or refinance certain outstanding accounting lease revenue bonds.

The refinancing portion is done to reduce interest costs on existing bonds, subject favorable market conditions.

Additionally, we request the board's approval to ratify public hearing conducted pursuant to the requirements of California government.

Part of the preparation to issue the bonds, my team conducted a competitive solicitation process in which we selected Bank of America Securities and Ramirez company as joint senior managers to underwrite this transaction.

The underwriting team combines highly experienced, well capitalized firms.

Bank of America is a nationally recognized financial institution, and Ramirez and company is one of the nation's leading minority owned firms.

The financing team reflects our commitment to support the diversity of our financial partners and public finance, ensuring our engagement of firms promotes equitable access to opportunities and reflects the diverse communities that we do serve.

So now I will turn it to Teresa.

She will go into further details of the financing expected timelines within.

So as Heather mentioned, the first part, there are two components of this financing.

The first part being.

Raising 900 million to finance space to the Harvard UCLA replacement project of that 900,000,239 million will be used to refinance outstanding short term commercial paper notes.

And again, the harbor UCLA hospital replacement fund.

Thanks. It will be a new nine story, inpatient tower consisting of 465,000 square feet with 346 inpatient beds as well as a new psychiatric emergency department.

The second component of this financing is to potentially refind the 2015 Series B refunding bonds as well as the 2016 series of debts.

We will work together with our financing team at the time of sale to do cost benefit analysis, determine whether this financing.

Is beneficial to the account.

As far as the bond structure, we will structure it as a negotiated sale.

With levels at service over a 30 year period.

It's going to be secured by annual base rental payments from the county and subject to board appropriation and collateralized by various county real estate real estate assets.

We will request ratings from S&P and Fitch and the timeline for this forward letter.

We'd like to file it by tomorrow for the August 12th agenda.

Sell the bonds. Bonds 19th and 20th and close the.

That concludes our presentation. Thank you.

Do you have any questions?

I just had one question.

I know that we've been anticipating doing this issuance for a while for construction of phase two.

Is it is the debt service budgeted in this fiscal year or will it be next fiscal year?

And if it's next fiscal year, I guess I'm assuming we have a plan and we've been

preparing to incorporate that into our budget.

Yeah, CEO CAP projects does include the projects, the balance that service.

OK.

So yes.

The 2526.

OK.

Yeah, quick question for right now.

I see that you have date 2D for the public hearing.

Do you know when you would know?

That when that's gonna happen, yeah.

So we just recently were able to schedule for on this fifth, it is not the board public hearing, it is not at all.

OK.

Got it.

So the the word letter will be updated the boarding.

And then one last thing is more so just for readability on the fiscal impact and financing portion, some of the numbers are in these brackets and then some are not, but it's possible to make it the same across the board just for US evaluation period.

Yes, the final.

Board letter at the time we submitted the draft for letter, we didn't have the final numbers, but as we get closer to the final, we will have all final numbers and all of the records will be included.

Including for the the partners that you've chosen, the bank is exactly.

I have seen none.

Please report with the August 12th agenda.

Thank you very much.

All right.

And now that sheriff, please come up.

This is for the approval of the sole source amendment #15 to with Harris and Harris Computer Corporation.

Thank you.

Good afternoon. Hi, good afternoon.

Kathy, before you board letters seeking approval for Social Amendment, it's gonna be #15 for Preman number 7466, as you said, with N Paris and the Cisco on justice systems, this is to keep the maintenance keep for the next three years.

The maintenance support of the jail information management system we came before you like around five months ago, was that this item.

Weather.

The extensions for three years starting August 18, the total cost.

Well, the the annual cost of approximately \$350,000 per year. Now during the period of the extension, the department will be implementing expects to be implementing the the replacement system, the the integrated justice system which.

Currently.

The department currently negotiating.

So source contract with with the same company in Paris slash syscon to produce what we call IHS which will with approximately a 24 month implementation timeline to hopefully have have the replacement system online before the completed.

Term extension.

Umm.

And James James is an admission critical. The current James Mission critical.

It helps the department manage the inmate populations.

Approximately 12,000.

It does things such as trust accounting, inmate property tracking.

With me.

Sorry I have with me Skype with an IT manager.

We can answer any question on the technical side, and yeah, I'm on the contract, Alex told me to bug it. Thank you.

All right.

You and my colleagues have any questions.

I do. OK, yeah.

So the 350 approximately 350,000 per year, how does that compare to what we're currently paying? Slash have been paying over the past couple years?

Siscon typically raises its annual ministry around 5% per year, so those numbers are in line with the current.

Period that we're on.

I I believe it was.

The current year we're we're we're in the final auction.

Which was.

I believe it was back in was executed through a minimum number, but year over year it's typically a single digits. OK on the part of the data, got it.

So this is the year 1-2 and three that you have lined out in the physical impacting section is pretty that's the 5% approximately increase, yes, yeah. And that's fixed price with an entire week. OK.

Got it.

And then I know this went to.

With justice deputies for their cluster this morning, were there any concerns that they shared that you do share with us now? I believe.

Deputy from District 2 had had questions for Scott regarding the.

She kind of.

She was asking because she's in the backroom when she was thinking about a cab project and as far as you know, legacy systems and whatnot.

Like we've thought, what was your response?

So yeah, she was.

She was concerned about how we got to where we are with our legacy chat application.

And going forward with this, this again this is gonna be considered an in place upgrade.

So we've had this relationship, this JMS solution, for two decades.

And so this is an in place upgrade where we're activating a lot of services that we just have never used mostly around booking and release some movement after you. But the technology stack that's on their concern was.

The technology stack, the technology stack that we're implementing is, is is a current state-of-the-art technology stack.

The solution is considered we were it's a cost solution.

But they've they've rebranded.

It's called the MOX, which is a modified optical solution.

So we went through a formal pick up. We had about a 808020 pic gap completed and so that 20% of of the the Gap's going to close to enhancing their application through.

Mostly through configuration. There will be some customization.

Anything that's customized for the product for the department.

Speak to their their baseline products.

So they will only have one version of the product to support all their products.

So we're avoiding that situation that cast the the cat was in great and then the the new system is, is it on track to be ready to go by 20 twentyeight like do you think

this is gonna be the last extension that's gonna be needed for this well?

Yeah, we're we're looking upon after signing it this about a 24 month timeline for for development.

Deployment. So give some some wiggle room at the end.

Basically. OK, that's helpful.

Thank you anyone else.

So I just wanted to ask who owns the data?

I know this is something that we can't. We have to have.

It's not like we can, like opt out and not have something like this.

So at the end of the day, who owns the data?

Is it them or us?

We do. OK. Good. Because ultimately, like you know, I know you guys first initially entered the contract with them because they were the one of the first web-based systems. But that's probably not like the case now.

I'm sure everybody's web-based. I wanna make sure that we're checking at least the market to see if there's anything even better.

A lot of times, especially with departments that have such legacy systems like the sheriff, we end up staying with the vendor forever.

It becomes an Evergreen contract because we just keep on amending it over and over for decades.

But and now I'm just channeling Kofi the 2nd district because, you know, technology changes so quickly.

We want to make sure that the department has the ability to be able to take their data, take our data, the county's data and go with someone else if it's necessary. If it makes sense for us.

So, but at least have that option. Absolutely. Yeah, the platform, the database platform, that's Korean currently resides in IS is Oracle and we've got a Oracle experience in house.

So we have full access to it.

We we integrate with about 25 different disparate systems with different system that'll carry forward into the new system. The platform again is something that's common in the marketplace.

We own the data, but it's gonna be different with this implementation is.

Up until now, we've hosted everything on premise and with this implementation, because everything going to the cloud is more efficient we have.

We have firstly put the false 59 uptime, so we're gonna have almost no downtime with application right now there is.

Monthly recycling of the ACE environment.

So the system has taken off on four to six hours every month.

It impacts all the booking operations. Access for the department for across the entire county.

So there's things that once we get into the cloud space that that we're gonna have much more.

A lot less downtime.

So we would.

But again, we own the data.

We manage that platform up here, running in the cloud.

Yeah, only because, like, we're not the only SharePoint.

Every county has one, so every every county should have a system similar to this.

Yeah, or some somewhat similar.

We're always the biggest, but the process and the the the operation is the same.

Yeah. So if there might be other competitors that we should be looking at, in other words, I I don't want the county to promise, just like jump into a social source every time.

We should always be checking market, especially when constraint IT contracts.

Yeah, that's it for me.

Anybody else?

All right then.

Please proceed for the August 12th agenda. Thank you. Thank you.

All right.

And with that, we will adjourn at 12/2/19. Thank you everyone.

Thanks very much.

□ **Dardy Chen** stopped transcription