

**County of Los Angeles** 

July 29, 2025

Dawyn R. Harrison County Counsel

TO:

**EDWARD YEN** 

**Executive Officer** 

**Board of Supervisors** 

Hilda L. Solis

Supervisor, First District

**Board of Supervisors** 

FROM: TIMOTHY J. KRAL

Assistant County Counsel Justice and Safety Division

Holly J. Mitchell

Lindsey P. Horvath

Supervisor, Second District

RE: Item for the Board of Supervisors' Agenda

**County Contract Cities Liability Trust Fund** 

Claims Board Recommendation

Pariente, Raphael Zapotitla v. County of Los Angeles, et al.

Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 22STCV07401

Janice Hahn

Supervisor, Fourth District

Supervisor, Third District

Kathryn Barger

Supervisor, Fifth District



Attached is the Agenda entry for the Los Angeles County Contract Cities Liability Trust Fund Claims Board's recommendation in the above-referenced matter. Also attached is the Case Summary and the Summary Corrective Action Plan for the case.

It is requested that this recommendation, the Case Summary, and the Summary Corrective Action Plan be placed on the Board of Supervisors' agenda.

TJK:sr

Attachment

## **Board Agenda**

# MISCELLANEOUS COMMUNICATIONS

**Settlement for Matter Entitled** Pariente, Raphael Zapotitla v. County of Los Angeles, et al. Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 22STCV07401.

Los Angeles County Contract Cities Liability Trust Fund Claims Board's recommendation: Authorized Settlement of the matter entitled <a href="Pariente">Pariente</a>, Raphael Zapotitla v. County of Los <a href="Angeles, et al.">Angeles, et al.</a> Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 22STCV07401 in the amount of \$3,500,000 and instruct the Auditor-Controller to draw a warrant to implement this settlement from the Sheriff's Department Contract Cities Trust Fund's budget.

This lawsuit concerns allegations of an automobile accident involving a Sheriff's Deputy.

## CASE SUMMARY

# INFORMATION ON PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION

CASE NAME Raphael Pariente Zapotitla vs. County of Los

Angeles, et al.

CASE NUMBER 22STCV07401

COURT Los Angeles Superior Court

DATE FILED March 1, 2022

COUNTY DEPARTMENT Sheriff's Department

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AMOUNT \$ 3,500,000

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF Benny Khorsandi Esq.and Brian G. Beecher, Esq.

Law Offices of Arash Khorsandi, PC

COUNTY COUNSEL ATTORNEY LaTasha N. Corry, Esq.

**Deputy County Counsel** 

NATURE OF CASE In this lawsuit, Plaintiff claims a vehicle collision

occurred on May 5, 2022, when a Deputy Sheriff rear-ended Plaintiff's vehicle causing injuries and

damages.

Due to the risks and uncertainties of litigation, a full

and final settlement of the case is warranted.

PAID ATTORNEY FEES, TO DATE \$ 243,532

PAID COSTS, TO DATE \$ 217,933

Case Name: Raphael Zapotitla v. County of Los Angeles, et al.





The intent of this form is to assist departments in writing a corrective action plan summary for attachment to the settlement documents developed for the Board of Supervisors and/or the County of Los Angeles Claims Board. The summary should be a specific overview of the claims/lawsuits' identified root causes and corrective actions (status, time frame, and responsible party). This summary does not replace the Corrective Action Plan form. If there is a question related to confidentiality, please consult County Counsel.

Date of incident/event:	May 5, 2021
Briefly provide a description of the incident/event:	Summary Corrective Action Plan 2024-200
	Details in this document summarize the incident. The information provided is a culmination of various sources to provide an abstract of the incident.
	Based on multiple investigative reports, on May 5, 2021, at approximately 12:25 p.m., an on-duty Los Angeles County Sheriff deputy assigned to patrol at Lakewood Station, was driving a marked black and white patrol vehicle when he collided with the Plaintiff, who was stopped at a red-light intersection.
	The Los Angeles County Fire Department responded to the traffic collision. The Plaintiff was treated on scene for his injuries sustained in the traffic collision. The Plaintiff was transported to Lakewood Regional Medical Center for further medical treatment.
	Deputy One was not wearing a seatbelt and was not injured in the traffic collision.
	The patrol vehicle sustained major damage, and the Plaintiff's vehicle sustained moderate damage.
	A Sheriff Station field supervisor responded to the location and conducted a supervisor review and authored a Supervisor's Report of Incident or Damage to County Vehicle or Permittee's Vehicle report.
	A Lakewood Station traffic deputy conducted the traffic investigation and determined Deputy One was the cause of the collision due to driving at an unsafe speed for the conditions of the roadway.
	Deputy One's statement is based on the Supervisor's Report of Incident or Damage to County Vehicle:
	Deputy One stated he was traveling southbound on Clark Avenue approaching Palm Street in the city of Bellflower. He was reading the details of a call on his Mobile Digital Computer he was responding to. He was traveling approximately 25 mph, in the number 2 lane. He saw the Plaintiff stopping for a red light at the intersection. He applied the vehicle's brakes; however, he was unable to completely stop before colliding with the rear of the Plaintiff's vehicle.

Document version: 4.0 (January 2013)

## 1. Briefly describe the **root cause(s)** of the claim/lawsuit:

A **Department** root cause in this incident was Deputy One's unsafe speed prior to the collision.

A **Department** root cause in this incident was Deputy One's inattention to the road.

A Non-associated root cause in this incident was Deputy One's failure to utilize the vehicles safety belt.

 Briefly describe recommended corrective actions: (Include each corrective action, due date, responsible party, and any disciplinary actions if appropriate)

#### **Traffic Collision Investigation**

The incident was investigated by representatives from the Lakewood Station Traffic Unit.

The collision investigation concluded the cause of the traffic collision was Deputy One was driving at an unsafe speed for traffic conditions, in violation of California Vehicle Code – 22350.

### Administrative Investigation

This incident was investigated to determine if any administrative misconduct occurred before, during, or after this incident. The results of the investigation were presented for Department executive adjudication. Appropriate administrative action was taken.

The deputy involved in this incident received additional training pertaining to the circumstance surrounding this incident.

#### Traffic Collision Assessment and Review

Lakewood Station conducted a review and assessment of all traffic collisions for the calendar year 2020 through the end of 2024. The audit revealed there were 196 total collisions for this five-year period at the time of this plan, 129 of which were classified as preventable and 67 classified as non-preventable.

During the audit, it was discovered there was a spike in 2020, with a reduction in the following years. Personnel who have been involved in more than one traffic collision are directed to attend Department training, such as the Sheriffs Traffic Accident Reduction driving program, Emergency Vehicle Operations Course, and the Alternatives to Discipline Driving Course.

#### Sheriff Department Announcement - Department Wide Re-brief

The purpose of this re-brief is to remind Department personnel that the safety of Department members and the public is paramount when engaged in routine driving and code-3 responses.

It is essential to maintain heightened officer safety, common sense, and sound tactics to reduce collision-related injuries, deaths and financial liability to the Department.

#### "Mobile Eye" Collision Avoidance Systems

Communication Fleet Management has installed the Mobileye units in all new 2023 and newer black and white patrol vehicles for deployment countywide. Fleet Management equipped two Automatic License Plate Recognition black and white patrol vehicles at Palmdale Sheriff's Station last year as test vehicles.

Document version: 4.0 (January 2013) Page 2 of 3

3. Are the corrective actions addressing Departme	nt-wide system issues?
☐ Yes - The corrective actions address Departm	nent-wide system issues.
⋈ No – The corrective actions are only applicable.	e to the affected parties.
Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department Name: (Risk Management Coordinator)  Julia Valdes A/Captain Risk Management Bureau	
Signature:	Date
Name: (Department Head)  Myron Johnson, Assistant Sheriff	04/25/2015
Patrol Operations	
Signature	Date. 4/29/25
Chief Executive Office Risk Management Inspecto	r General USE ONLY
Are the corrective actions applicable to other departm	ents within the County?
Yes, the corrective actions potentially have  No, the corrective actions are applicable on	
Name: Betty Karmirlian (Risk Management Inspector General	
Signature: Betty Karmirlian	Date: 5/1/2025