Transcript

May 28, 2025, 8:59PM



oc Operations Cluster 0:07

Let me know.

I'm sorry.

All right, everyone, welcome and good afternoon.

This is opscuster May 28th at 2:00 PM.

Let us get started.

We'll start off first with introductions.

2nd district.

Maybe the 2nd District, Third District, John Leonard, Third District, and my colleague Cecilia Cabeo may not be on yet, but she will be joined. OK 4th District grand jury. Is there anyone in line for the 5th district?

And 1st district.

Hi Daniela.

Urvita, supervisor of Legal and Tammy Moore is with the President's office, too. All right.

So now that we've done introductions, we will open it up for general comments. Have a comment for all the board items which is item 4A through.

G-4G, has anybody here would like to make any public comment either here or online?

If there are folks online who wish to provide general public comment, please raise your hand.

Didn't hear none.

Do you have another deputy who joined us?

Oh, my name is Josie.

I'm a USC fellow for the book district.

Oh, thank you.

Very good.

We have all offices here, all right, so we will now go on to item number three, motion, establishing the measure.

A Labor Council promise you CCC on there? Yeah. OK.

Not yet.

She is stuck on a phone call.

I'm going to do my best on this item, so I'm gonna give a little introduction and if there are questions, I'll answer them to the best of my ability.

So with the approval of measure a last November, a provision in the adopted ordinance mandates that the county establish a Labor Council comprised of eight individuals with an equal number of representatives from organized labor and nonprofit social service provider leadership. By June 30th of this year to discuss.

Pay equity and career development at contracted service providers.

The measure, a Labor Council, must make an effort, recommendations to the board by June 30th, 2026.

On a variety compensation issues, including but not limited to wage benefits, pay equity and the and cost of living adjustments, the motion directs the EO in coordination with CEOHI to establish the measure, a Labor Council providing staffing support and a proposed scope of work.

For the Council.

OK2 of the deputies have any questions?

Yes, thank you, Tammy.

What is an apology?

Because I haven't seen the measuring a long time.

But what is the extent that they are required to do like feedback from community stakeholders that are not just the the Council itself, but other entities that are maybe like a part of Labor or part of nonprofits, but may not be represented on the Council? I think that.

The more I think the service providers, so the representatives of the service providers would in my mind be connecting with some of those Community organizations as well. But of course these are.

Public use so.

Individuals can come and make college, and then you I think the motion says there's a date or you mentioned also there's a date by which they need to provide feedback. Fine initial recommendations by June 30th of 26 right.

So initial recommendation by June 30th of 26.

So that won't influence the next next year's measure, a funding policy for all intention. But it won't influence measure A.

A budget until the school year, 2728. Yes, and Catherine's here.

Sorry, sorry. Captain Landers, St. 3.

Yes, I mean, I theoretically they could provide preliminary recommendations, but according to what is in measure a, those were the specific dates laid out by the ballot measure language.

So OK.

But yeah, it would have to.

Because if it's June 30th, 26th, that would be right before 26th, 27th.

And our funding, yeah, our funding process usually is is even before it's before that. Yeah. OK and.

I guess maybe this is not within the scope of the motion, but maybe just a comment that as a part and I know each side is not here but as a part of the next year's fiscal year budget recommendation, we should start engaging labor if they weren.

Already engaged in previous conversations because.

We probably should start prepping for what?

I need a recommendation for a flight from fiscal year 2728.

I would agree.

I mean, I think we've engaged the service providers to some extent, yeah. And I think having both involved would preface and I mean even be able to maybe resolve some issues, yeah.

Does anyone else have any questions from the board offices?

Any board office online.

OK. And for the motion, we will open it up to general com.

Ments, I don't hear a person who wants to write comment on this item.

Please come up at this time.

If there's anyone online who wants to provide comment at this item, please use the team's raise hand function.

If I unable to please dispute your device and begin speaking.

Seeing here.

None. Thank you. OK.

Thank you.

And that is item number three. And so it'll be 5 for the June 10th agenda and that will be the way it goes.

All right, now we're on to discussion items.

Four, we have all the board letters here.

The first one is 4A. If DRP and CIO, please come up.

This is the approved amendment number H agreement for the electronic permitting

and inspection.

The epic Las is regional planning here.

Dennis Slavin 5:52

Good afternoon.

This is Dennis.

I'm not present, but I am here on my.

Operations Cluster 5:58

All right. We'd like to move forward, please.

Dennis Slavin 6:00

Yes, thank you.

I appreciate the the time. Apologize for not being there in person. This will be brief. The current epic LA or Enterprise permitting contract expires June 23rd of this year. On December 17th of last year, the Epic LA departments notified the board of their intent to commence contract negotiations with Tyler for a new contract.

As we all know, in January 7th, the wildfire response necessitated that we.

Pause contract negotiations while focusing on recovery efforts. Post fire.

Contract negotiations were resumed roughly in the month of March. We've made significant progress, but there are still additional details that we would like to resolve and incorporate into the new contract.

This one your extension will carry the existing contract until June 23rd of next year. However, we expect to return to the board in a few months with a new proposed contract.

We have an incentive to do so because the terms that Tyler has offered the county will expire at the end of this calendar year and it was really just about a three month delay in negotiation.

So we do anticipate being able to return.

In just a few short months.

So the purpose of this is simply to ask for the one year extension.

But we only intend to use a portion of that time. That includes my report.

Operations Cluster 7:31

Does any of the Board office have any questions?

I have one question.

Most of the departments are using Fala are going to use the fees that they collect. So to offset the costs, except for regional planning and parks. Can you explain why?

Dennis Slavin 7:48

Regional planning. Actually, a lot of this is in a state of flux at the moment. Would require response by regional planning being primarily a general Fund, Department relies heavily on those funds to support ongoing costs related to Epic LA.

We are in the process of doing a fee study, looking at not just reimbursement of existing costs, but looking to offset future technology costs as well.

Well, we've begun looking at other jurisdictions that are doing this type of approach. And so we do anticipate in the coming year to be able to look at that type of an approach for the county as well.

Operations Cluster 8:30

That's for regional planning, but does that always also speak to parks?

Dennis Slavin 8:32

So that that, that would be actually not just for regional planning, we are intending to look at fees for Epic LA going forward collectively as a whole since the department code was created for Epic last year.

We are very much looking at collectively funding things like the software, things like the the collective support for Epic LA.

So we we should be seeing at a department level only those costs.

Associated with departmental business process.

Not so much the system as a whole.

Operations Cluster 9:08

Thank you.

Fine. If no one has any other questions, the department can proceed with filing for June 17th. Thank you.

Dennis Slavin 9:17

Thank you very much.

Operations Cluster 9:19

All right. We are at 4B. This is for.

Dcfs notice of intent to extend the ongoing support of the American Standard Code for Information interchange daily download. Please come forward.

Please introduce yourself.

Hi, good afternoon.

My name is Gina Song.

How many children, Family Services, contacts division?

My name is Doctor Delaney Ecfs, business Information Systems Division, Jerry Falbis business information systems.

So we're here today to present our notice of intent to extend the current contract with IBM.

IBM to ongoing support of the American Standard core for Information interchange, daily download contract.

This will extend the contract December 125 through November 3026 with one.

I'm sorry, with two option one year extension period through November 30, 2028.

We intend to submit our board letter and document and have a have a budget, the meeting date for September 2nd.

Thank you.

All right. Does any of my colleagues have any questions?

OK, I do have one question.

Is the way that I read the board letter. This is not the county system necessarily.

It's actually the state system, right?

So it's not like we have a choice. We have to contract with them.

So my question is, why are you only extending it for one year with three years extension?

And that's something longer knowing that we don't have a choice, right?

Well, it's. I think we've been doing this for for a while now.

Usually we do three years with two year options to extend.

I think maybe this time because we're getting very close to CWS cares.

And that is supposed to be implemented in October 2026, and we don't know how long IBM will be around to perform this daily download after the release of CBS Cares, I don't imagine they'll be gone right away. But eventually with CWS cares, they probably won't be.

The contract of the state anymore, which means we will no longer get the daily download and we will not contract with them either.

So I'm thinking that's why maybe it's short term, OK and everything is still on track with the state and the state's new system, even given the state's shortfalls in their budget, that's what they're telling us.

I'm not on that project, but they've been telling us that for a long time, so.

They're pretty adamant that it's gonna be October 2026, OK? They they said that they're not gonna extend it all kinds.

That's the difference.

I mean, it's a big system.

So yeah, too big to fail.

So, OK. Does anybody else have any questions? OK, seeing none. The department has approved to move forward. What is your, your? This is just a notice for right now.

Yes, OK, got it.

So thank you very much. As a receiver Bob, thank you.

Thank you. OK.

And now we're at 4C will register.

The Porter Quiz come up.

This is the request for approval contract with profile technologies.

Good afternoon, Jerome Jordan, chief deputy.

Assistant Registrar, Recorder, Recorder, Bureau Jennifer Storm, division manager over finance and management division. Thank you for allowing us to come before you.

We're seeking approval of a contract with Cofile Technologies for restoration, repair and scanning of microfilm records and other index books for our department.

This is a 5 year contract with two one year optional extensions.

Including six month to monthly optional extensions as well.

We expect to spend up to \$10 million with the optional years we could go up to 11 a million.

This would also come solely out of our Special Revenue fund, so no impact to Knight County costs. The other thing I wanted to mention about the contractual process before I turn it over to Monique for more of the substantive work is that we, we did this through an.

RFP, where we had solicitation through our website.

Through e-mail solicitation of vendors posting in newspapers and through social media.

In that we had a bidders conference with about 9 bidders that showed up of those we ended up with two who submitted bids and of that we found Cofile to be most best responsive bid up to two.

The other thing is we did extensive research of other contracts for similar work, including the assessor contract that I think was before.

Cluster a couple weeks ago.

And we found that some of the difference were the duration.

We needed a longer duration for the work we're doing for compared to what they had, but also our pricing that we were able to negotiate was slightly lower than assessors as well.

So with that, I'd like to turn it over to Monique and go over some of the substantive work that will be accomplished through this contract.

OK.

Good morning.

Good afternoon actually, right.

So this is our contract for the restoration, repair, scanning of real estate records and vital documents.

As you all are.

Registered recorder is the custodian of records for Los Angeles County.

In that capacity, we have to keep these records in perpetuity.

So our goal is to get all of our documents back to 1850 image so that we can provide better, better customer service experience as well as provide input to AB 1466 which was passed in 2021.

Which is the restrictive Covenant modification program.

So all of these documents need to be imaged converted.

And fed into that program.

Volume wise, we're looking at approximately 150 million pages.

So it's a, it's a big chunk of work that we will need to do.

We are going to hit that by processing each medium.

Each year, so we'll be converting a little bit of everything every year so that we progress simultaneously and those images are produced.

Thank you.

Is that any of my colleagues have any questions? And I think you just answered it with what you just said, but I want to clarify. So this contract will essentially digitize all of the records we're talking about.

There won't be anything left after the contract that is correct.

Well, not after this particular contract.

We don't know that we will complete them all through this 5 + 2, so we will be coming back before you to say we need an extension to get back to 1850.

OK.

OK.

I think I have a question. I'm trying to figure out how to word it.

Do you have?

I mean, it sounds like you don't know exactly how long the whole process is gonna take, but is there a goal on how many of these 150 million pages will be, will go through this process every year?

So we actually did back into these numbers using the approximate 150 million that spans out. If we can't scale up our scale down due to budget constraints.

To about 10 years.

OK.

Is Cobalt an incumbent?

Yes, cobalt, we don't have a current agreement with them.

We have used them in the past.

OK.

So there is no current agreement with any contractor not regarding this, no OK. And I just wanted to point out that there seems to be some redundancies on the bottom of page two and the top of Page 3.

This is in the contracting process, so if you could please, I'm sorry, I'll take that back. It's OK to and it's on page three, under the purpose of justification. If you could go back to the office, take a look at it again to make sure I can hear that before you file. But if no one has any other questions, the departments approved to file for the June 17th agenda. Thank you very much.

All right.

And now we are at.

Regional parks and Open Space District, please come up.

This is item forty approval contract for new grants management system.

Hi, good afternoon.

My name is Mark Glassic.

I'm the assistant district administrator with the Regional Park and Open Space district. We're proposing to award a contract to a vendor to provide a backbone

grants management system for our organization that helps us and all of our grantees, including LA County and 88 cities, manage their measure.

A.

Funds to be clear, this is measure A of 2016.

So specific to park funds.

In addition to our current system being outdated, it does lack quite a bit of functionality for us to fully implement the measure and provide information and resources not only to our grantees, but to our board offices.

Happy to take any questions any of my colleagues have any questions.

I didn't want to.

I wanted to ask, you know, Rposd and other county departments issue large grants like this are like many multiple grants.

Was there any effort to look at other department systems that maybe we could digitize right on? So in that regard, our posd is a special district. So it's important that we have our own systems throughout the solicitation process.

We did consult with.

Four different county departments that have similar systems in terms of the solicitation that helped us inform our business.

Requirements and our functional needs for the program.

OK.

I don't have any other questions and if no one else does, the departments Special district is welcome to move forward for the June 7th meeting. Thank you very much. All right.

And we are now on 4 E if the CEO real estate will please come up.

AN

AN Alexandra Nguyen-Rivera 19:22

Hi, good afternoon, deputies.

My name is Alexandra Wen Rivera and I am the section chief of leasing at the Chief Executive Office Real estate division. I have managed joining you in person. Who is the principal real property agent who worked on the negotiations for this project? Thank you.



Operations Cluster 19:41

Thanks for the introduction, Alex. Good afternoon.

I'm Manny Gutierrez, principal real property agent with the chief Executive office real

estate division. For your consideration is a new 15 year lease for approximately 89,895 square feet and 382 on site parking spaces at 1500 Hughes Way in.

Long Beach for the Department of Children and Family Services DCFS.

Since March 1992, DCFS has occupied 4060 Watson Plaza Drive in Lakewood.

Wood, which has served as DCFS, is South County Regional Office, which I will refer to as scro.

Dcfs's programs have grown significantly over the years.

Therefore, the existing premises no longer provides adequate space to meet their current needs.

The proposed premises is an increase of 18,445 square feet when compared to the current location.

Dcfs intends to completely vacate and terminate its existing lease once a proposed premises at 1500 Hughes.

Is built out and delivered to DCFS.

The proposed premises will be a replacement site for DCFS. Scro. The county has a right to terminate the proposed lease anytime after the 12th year with 180 days prior written notice.

The SERO is the largest regional DCFS office that provides a direct and comprehensive full service child protection system dedicated to the safety of children in DCFS care. The services provided reduce the timeline of permanency for children in DCFS care and reduce the reliance and out of home care.

These outcomes are achieved largely through the work of the emergency response team, the family maintenance reunification team and Permanent placement children's social workers.

In collaboration with support staff and staff from other county departments who are Co located in the South County Office.

Dcfs will be implementing telework where possible.

The proposed premises will have 560 staff and about 500 workstations, of which 21 are hoteling stations. Of the 560 staff, 165 positions will be on site full time.

The remain the remaining 395 positions which represents 71% of the staff.

On a telework schedule, and are into office at least two to three days per week, depending upon the program.

The programs are almost entirely public facing, with approximately 80% of services provided in person and serving approximately 75 visitors daily. With this expected increase to 100 visitors daily at the proposed premises.

Based on a review available industry data, the market has an annual rental range of \$31.80 to \$39 per square foot per year for similar type office. The proposed lease has an annual rental rate of \$35.40 per.

Square foot per year, which represents a rate that is in the middle of the market range for the area. The landlord has agreed to one month of rent abatement. In addition, the landlord will provide ATI allowance of approximately 5,394,000. Which is \$60.00 per square foot.

The proposed lease has a hold over provision with no holdover fee during the holdover period, all terms and conditions of the lease will remain the same and rent rent would remain subject to the regular annual fixed increase.

The landlord is Omninet Freeway LP, a Delaware limited partnership. The county has approx.

Approximately 13 other leases with this landlord, who has remained, who has remained responsive to the county's needs over the years.

The proposed lease was presented at the board appointed Remc meeting on May 22nd.

Where it was unanimously approved, we will be adding a sentence in the board letter confirming this action. I have representatives from DCFS joining me virtually.

We welcome questions or comments at this time.

Thank you.

Thank you. Can you mind calling send me questions?

Do you know what the existing per square foot lease rate is at the Lakewood location?

AN Alexandra Nguyen-Rivera 23:45

I will take, I'll take that question for you.

Yes, we currently pay \$3.13.

I'm sorry \$3.25 per square foot there.

Operations Cluster 23:55

And we're now paying \$35 a square foot at the new location.

AN Alexandra Nguyen-Rivera 23:59

Sorry, let me get that for you annually.

So.

We're paying \$37.80 per square foot on an annual basis, so the proposed rents will be lower.

Operations Cluster 24:17

Thank you, Alexander.

Alexandra Nguyen-Rivera 24:19 Thank you.

Operations Cluster 24:20

I have one question.

I know it raises before, but I can't remember the answer which is really bad.

But you know the recommendations of the board letter is actually the part where the board is approving, not the rest of the boarded letter per Southeast.

It's really the recommendations because those are the actions and we say it's 15 year lease, but then we don't know that it's an additional five year lease option until we get to the facts and provisions.

And I wanted to know why the directive or like the recommendation doesn't have the +5?

So I also wanted to know why we're now starting to add to wit for the term of 15 years instead of just saying for 15 years.

AN Alexandra Nguyen-Rivera 24:55

So the two width part is part of county code that we have to reference that exactly. We've asked County Council to opine on that and they do recommend because it is in county code.

We proceed with that accordingly and then to answer your question about the option period, we do have it as a separate recommendation.

So you will see that in recommendation #5, but we don't we just say options to extend, we don't have a specific time period solely because we don't know whether or not the department will proceed in that direction.

If you would like to include that in terms of how many options we we can include that in recommendation #5.

Operations Cluster 25:43

Hey, I would appreciate that and that way we just know up front the full length of what the potential lease could be. Thank you.

AN Alexandra Nguyen-Rivera 25:48

Perfect. Yes.

You're welcome.

Operations Cluster 25:51

Any other questions all right.

And that said, the department is welcome to move forward for the July 1st board agenda.

Thank you very much.

Thank you.

All right.

AN Alexandra Nguyen-Rivera 25:59

Thank you.

oc Operations Cluster 26:00

And now we're on number.

Thank you is DDW.

Please come forward.

Good afternoon.

My name is Vincent Yue.

I'm a deputy director of public works. With me is Myron Lee for project manager and also online is our client, Eva Mora, with the assessor's office and Alisa Japan with Co capital projects. We're before you to seek your permission to file a letter for the June. June 17th Court letter.

Give allowing public works to deliver a roof replacement project.

At the South and Monte Assessor's office at 1190 Derby Ave. Salvador, Monday.

So essentially the the project, the roof of the project is 45 years old.

It's beyond its useful life.

So we we after multiple repair it's more economical and more efficient to replace the

entire roof.

So we're coming before the board to seek approval.

To use a job order contracting method to deliver.

With a project.

The project's budget is 2 1/2 million dollars.

We are.

Timing is such that if the board should approve it, then we will move forward with the roof repair.

A replacement project and get it done before the rainy season this year.

We didn't answer any questions that you may have.

Thank you.

Did anyone call any questions?

You have one and that's one of the issues was the windows really came with this project included?

Yeah, the windows are leaking too, so they were minor caulking job that we need. Yeah.

And you have someone on line.

- Yang, Jonathan 27:49 Hey, it's yeah, it's Jonathan.
- Operations Cluster 27:50
 Got it.
- Yang, Jonathan 27:51 Hey, Vince, curious why?
- Operations Cluster 27:53
 Yes, hi, Jonathan.
- Yang, Jonathan 27:55
 Why isn't this part?
 Maybe this is probably basic answer.

Why isn't this project part of the FRP process?

Why is it coming on its own?

oc Operations Cluster 28:08

I think the assessors came to us and wanted us to deliver this because there's a need. Yeah. And and I could add that we we did a refurbishment of an office next door which has a light roof.

So it's logical.

Yang, Jonathan 28:21 Mm hmm.

Operations Cluster 28:22

It's logical that we look at the the roof next door, which is similar and and do. The work is pretty similar.

Yang, Jonathan 28:32

But was it just because I? Is this not typically the sort of thing that we would do through? I'm not.

I don't.

I more just want to understand the overall process like.

Operations Cluster 28:42 Perhaps this will help Jonathan.

Yang, Jonathan 28:43 Yeah.

Operations Cluster 28:45

The building was recently acquired by the county in 2019, so it may or may not been on the FRP radar screen at the time.

Yang, Jonathan 28:49

Right.

I see.

I see. OK.

Operations Cluster 29:01

Any other questions?

No one else has any other questions.

Department is welcome to move forward for the June 17th board agenda.

Thank you very much.

Thank you. Thank you.

CEO of Real Estate this is a request for approval and award of insurance brokerage program master agreements.

Program. Chris Merrick. I'm sorry as I'm here.

Afternoon. I apologize for my voice.

Robert Chavez, acting risk mitigation manager for CEOs management. Hi, good afternoon.

I'm Ansari Lewis.

I'm the program chief for CEO insurance and countrywide insurance.

Are we here to request the delegated authority to award and execute agreements for the insurance brokers program master agreements to provide broker services to the county?

Los Angeles.

Our current master agreement, we have three of them that are currently upper expiration this year.

We have one that's coming up June 30th for special event program.

We have two other master agreements that are coming up for expiration at the end of the year. One of them is for our aviation master agreement and then our commercial property.

So what we're trying to do right now is to integrate all three master agreements into a single master agreement.

And that what we're what this master agreement will allow us to do is enter into agreements with vendors. Otherwise our brokers so that they can act on behalf of the county and county departments to acquire insurance for up to about 30 lines of insurance that we have to.

Meet the county and department needs.

The master agreement that we are that the term of the master agreement that we are requesting is a five year term with four one year extensions and up to one additional 6 month extension. The master agreements that are currently up for

expiration ran for a total term of.

Eight years.

Questions for us. OK. Thank you.

Do any of my colleagues have any questions?

I have.

I have some questions so I just want to make sure that when we say it's a master agreement, it means that it's open-ended.

So anyone else that applies and qualifies through the office queue can can join, right?

Yes, that is correct.

We currently have 5 vendors that applied and met the qualifications. So we have 5 new vendors, four of the existing vendors. That of course are required and we have one new vendor that will be added.

And this also open-ended.

So we're also requesting authority to be able to add vendors.

Through the duration of the agreement, as they are interested in joining, it can meet our our requirements.

Good. I always appreciate master agreements then. That way we make sure that we can get the best competition and therefore the best rate out there ideally. But I wanted to ask, you know, the county's self insurance for a lot of stuff.

But I know that we have sort of changed our business model to start contracting for these things.

What else remains self insured now that other than these? Am I understanding it correctly?

Yeah. So the the county is still the majority of the county property is I want to say property.

I don't just mean buildings, but the stuff that we own.

I still primarily self insured like all of our vehicles are fleets.

Those are all for the most part, still self insured and for our buildings we do. We do insure a portion of them, but the majority of them are still self insured. So as far as. So we're still primarily a self insured county, but we do have insurance for there are some.

There's there are some buildings that we have to insure them based on like bond requirements and then we also open it up to the departments to determine if they want to voluntarily add a property to the property schedule. And we do that on an

annual basis we reach.

Out to every department to determine if they want to add property or other expensive type of equipment or expensive vehicles like the Sheriff's Department have their mobile command centers. So.

We provide them an opportunity if they choose to do so, we can add insurance to those specific types of equipment.

Our vehicles did a master agreement, do an assert and outside of property. We also have general liability, workers compensation, sexual abuse and misconduct that we are self insured for.

And then we have an excess liability. So let's say if it reaches something like 30 million, then we have a policy that'll kick in for you know, say 20 million.

To, you know, alleviate some of the press off of us. And then beyond that it would go revert back to.

Self insured, so we use a combination we we do that for physical purposes and to try to save as much money as we can.

And it's it's been working pretty well so far.

I actually have one question now. So for the five contractors, how do we work to ensure that they all receive essentially and how do we like space that work out? For the five contractors.

Sure. So we use, we we issue work orders for qualifications and then the ones that respond we you know we we take a look at we're we're pretty complex we have 30 lines of insurance when you include them all and so we have one smaller.

Broker which is a lion. The rest of them are pretty big marshes. The largest there is. And so we divvy up that work as a team.

With the risk manager and myself and the the manager, and we'll take a look at who's experience where, what their strengths are, what experience they have with us without being repetitive over and over and over.

So we're looking for other vendors.

We don't want someone to get too comfortable and then at the higher end the the larger ones.

They're going to use a lot of the same carriers, and I mean we we use overseas carriers.

Use Lloyds.

In London, smaller one will be able to access, so we give you that up. According to we, we know what their resources are.

Resources are very important because again, we are so complex and have such a variety claims.

So it's a.

It's a good process between the three of us.

OK, good. Thank you very much.

Just so that's great out of the list of five vendors that are currently on the Master Agreement Award, which is the new one, Marsh Marsh Marsh is actually the the largest broker in the United States and they just they recently applied and met our qualifications.

Thank you.

So I just wanted I I don't mention one thing as of late last week there were minor clarifying edits made to the board letter, which we do not have.

But the edits that were made were to.

Distinguish the difference that to clarify that we are entering into agreements with the vendors under a master agreement and we made those clarifying edits in the in the board letter.

Can you send us a red line version and send it to Thomas and he'll get it to us? No, I have paper, but if you want electronic, we can switch.

Please don't you have a chart please?

OK.

All right. So if no one else has any questions, the department is welcome to file for the June 17th Agenda.

Thank you.

Thank you.

All right.

And now we're at item number 5 presentation items, which you have none.

So we will adjourn.

Item number six.

It is right now 236.

Thank you very much everyone.

Thanks Thomas.

☐ **Thomas Luscombe** stopped transcription