

**This document was generated by AI and is not an official meeting record. It may contain errors.**

## Transcript

April 2, 2025, 4:32PM

**DC** Dardy Chen 0:03

OK.

So today's meeting is being transcribed will be AI.

So just a note for that and the link for the transcriptions will be found on the board agenda importance of the next meeting motions. Once it's done. All right.

1st is item 2A.

There are no informational items, so from there are there.

From there, we will move to the.

Item 4B4B and.

Thank you.

Good morning.

Good morning.

Thank you for being so accommodating.

Good morning.

I'm Wendy Julian.

I'm executive director for the Probation Oversight Commission.

Good morning. Eric based system Inspector General with the Office of Inspector General.

I'm going to share with you our plans for our April general POC meeting, which is happening tomorrow, which is a week early.

We did that because it conflicts with the BSCC meeting and it's happening next Thursday.

So we will be having our meeting tomorrow, 9:00 AM St.

Ann's Conference Center.

But I wanted to actually start with something that maybe Eric can also weigh in on, which is the conversation about the subpoena.

So at the last meeting, the Probation Oversight Commission for only the second time in the four year history of the Commission exercised the right to ask the Inspector

General to issue a subpoena for outstanding information.

To be clear, the outstanding information, unlike what is happening with our sister Commission with the Civilian Oversight Commission.

The sheriff's is not about confidential information.

In fact, that's never been a part of the discussion that any of the information was confidential, but rather just delayed receipt of information. The subpoena was specifically about statistics related to used force incidents in the field and in the facilities.

And I'm very happy to say that Eric and I met with the probation department last week.

And yesterday I received all of the documents that Eric and I.

Deemed sufficient and responsive to the subpoena.

So I think Eric can tell you about what the process might have looked like and what it didn't look like, but the information has now been received.

Do you want to say that?

Something about that before, I sure, sure.

Well, fortunately, we avoided the process of having to issue the subpoena and going to court and having a hearing set and getting compliance by.

The probation department.

I don't think, I hope.

Knock on wood that that will not ever be. Of course we'll have to take. I don't.

Get that feeling from the probation department? That.

There's a reluctance to give the information. I think a lot of times it's just a delay in knowing who's providing it and ultimately who's not providing it.

So we hope that we'll have the kind of conversations we've had last week in the future to avoid any issuance of a subpoena.

Thank you.

So at the meeting tomorrow we have a couple of really exciting topics we will be furthering the conversation about the department's global plan and that will allow the Commissioners and the public to talk about their thoughts about the global plan. Give some input, listen to public comment and on the agenda is the potential for them to make recommendations about alternatives.

I don't know whether they will choose to do that or not. At the last meeting, they chose not to.

So we'll see how that goes tomorrow.

I am most looking forward to a conversation tomorrow about the budget.

And administrative department is going to give a presentation about the budget he already submitted his PowerPoint that I had a chance to look over and it has a lot of extremely interesting information that I think is helpful for the public and the whole concept of participatory budgeting to.

Help people understand where the money comes from to fund the probation department and how it's spent.

And it's a there's a lot of trend information about previous years that PowerPoint has already uploaded to the agenda.

It's very helpful and I'm looking forward to a robust conversation about the budget and how budgets align with values and visions related to care 1st and justice reimagined. We'll also get an update from probation and Doctor Gongora, my project director for the POC.

On the implementation of Narcan.

So that probation employees as well as partners within the facilities have the ability to carry and use, if necessary, Narcan. There have been some bumps in the road about that, although there is also some good news to report. So you'll hear about that tomorrow. And then finally we.

Talk about a request for information.

I was just telling Mr. Bates this morning that yesterday I received a number of.

The requests for information.

This is besides the sevina.

These are these are.

Items that the the Commission requested via motion, so most of those items have been received.

There are a few stragglers, some of which I think have explanations, and I'm hoping that we can again avoid as I totally agree, avoid this process since the information that's being requested is not confidential, but sometimes an iterative process with the department is very helpful for them to.

Say, look, we can't give you the information the way you asked for it this way.

Because here's how our system.

Reported. Can we provide it this way?

And the Commission has been very willing over the past years to accept that that information, so hopefully that collaboration will will continue. I wanted to share, we have 1300 live users at our March meeting live viewers.

So we had a lot of people in person, about 50 people in person, lots of people on Webex.

That's the way to participate virtually.

But most of our engagement comes through watching the live YouTube stream.

We are publishing and we have published and are publishing a few more reports on the snapshot data.

We published a report on the geographic and disparate geographic information about our user detained. We're about to publish a report on youth with developmental disabilities, which I find very interesting and thoughtful as it relates to the idea about.

How vulnerable?

A lot of the youth are that are detained.

Dinner facilities. We are going to be publishing a new report on the DCFS involvement. Dual status use and also on student attendance.

I wanted to also share with you that something that has been going well for me with collaboration with probation and others and that is the fielding of complaints multi from parents.

Some of them have actually come through your offices, but some of them have come directly to me.

And also some of them have come from probation.

So we are.

We work very well with the facilities and the Ombudsman's office, with the probation department, I'm extremely impressed with the way in which the department feels complaints from parents. I think that they, generally speaking, do so effectively in a way that makes parents feel listened to and I.

Get to provide a second level of that and a sort of more neutral.

Level since I don't.

For the department and we have been referring back and forth with the Ombudsman's office, obviously, with the parents permission for doing that and then also had the opportunity to build a relationship with the Office of Youth and Community Restoration, the State Office that has an Ombudsman's.

Office that's able to do investigations. The other thing that works very well is our partnership with the public Defender's Office, APD and the and it Co is the ability to connect with the youth attorney.

That's always my first step is to make sure that the attorney knows what's going on.

And they so those that collaboration I think has led to.

A much better feeling for parents about feeling like they have an opportunity to be heard and so far.

In I think very good results in the sense that we haven't really had to refer to OIG.

Those complaints for investigation because they've been covered by the entities that are meant to do so.

So it's it's something that's a little beyond the scope of our everyday work, but because of our engagement with families, it's it's something I feel.

Great about that.

We're it's a service that we're able to provide.

I'm gonna start off by commenting on the complaints that Wendy just mentioned.

We also received complaints from parents and outside stakeholders and we've been able to work well with the probation departments on bugs in their office as well.

And I think with Wendy saying that they respond to the complaints as we respond to complaints and the Ombudsman, I I feel good to.

About someone addressing some complaints that that, that the stakeholders are making, we get complaints from APDS office Public Defender's Office as well.

So I feel good that the complaints that parents are making or these other stakeholders are making are being addressed by one of the three offices.

So I wanted to say that we do feel them as well.

We are currently working on our dlj semiannual report.

We just.

Finished writing the quarterly report, which reviews the programming grievances at the facilities and probation. Has our monthly report for February, which reviews searches.

Closed caption TV, video recordings and the Internal affairs department.

So they're reviewing February's and we'll be discussing.

Any concerns that they have last month's monthly report?

Show that there's still issues regarding staff properly reviewing video recordings of uses of force.

So that.

Is an issue that really needs to be addressed, and I'll be speaking more with the department about that and also searches of the units.

We found last month that the searches the number of searches were not conducted properly and obviously we know that there's been a contraband problem so.

That will be consistent with trying to stop contraband in the facilities that the searches are done more according to policy.

Good news.

Is that the electronic grievance management system that we've been reporting that Probation's getting together where the youth can electronically file grievances is in its user testing phase. So that's good to know that.

In the near very near future, they expect that will be up and running.

And that will help obviously with the youth being able to provide their grievances in a more.

Confidential matter.

The central intake review process, which is where they look at uses of force.

Actually, any any type of employee misconduct, but especially uses of force.

That process is drastically better.

Our office sits in on those meetings and I I I'm glad to say that the review is a robust discussion of the uses of force that are alleged.

And that the department.

Is doing a good job in its decisions as to when they think an investigation should be opened and internal affairs investigation should be open.

Of course, there's differing opinions, obviously, but I can say that I like the fact that they're diving deep into looking at. Was this a policy violation, and does it warrant an open investigation?

So that was that's that's good to see.

And I will report if it goes otherwise. But as far as now that that's that's going very well, that's pretty much all I have.

Thank you.

Is there any questions?

Thanks. I have a follow up. You said that there's still issues with staff properly reviewing videos of you you supports.

What do you mean issues?

The processes, if there's use of force, is supposed to be reviewed by a senior supervising staff member to make sure that the reports match in video recordings that they have. And if they don't match, if they do identify an issue, then it's to be elevated.

And make its way possibly to internal affairs. At one point there was actually a form. Still, there's a form they're supposed to, I said at one point.

Because I just haven't seen it in this last batch, a form that requires.

The supervisor to sign off saying basically there's nothing to see here. There's no excessive force.

I've seen a incredible decline in the presence of that form.

And I've also seen.

Video recordings of uses of force that did not make its way to internal affairs.

So it happened and that's it.

So that's a concern.

I've spoken with the head of internal affairs and he has some drastic plans of reorganizing it.

I'm sure you all know of, so I'm. I do believe it will get better, but as we speak right now, there there is a deficiency in in staff reviewing video reports.

So you don't think that 30 per viewed?

There's a good number of the ones that we've come across it. I can only assume.

Let me back up.

I can only assume they haven't been reviewed because the form has not been filled out and put with the packet and the incident itself has not come up in any other mechanism as far as making its way through IA.

So I can't say that it review them.

I just can say doesn't look like it was review.

Ed or it was reviewed and it was done.

Nothing was done on it.

Hi Lib I can just say that this topic is actually what the subject of the subpoena information was was the backlog, which is the phrase that had been used to describe incidents that had not been reviewed over a period of time.

There's a nice graph in the documents that were uploaded yesterday to the POC agenda that shows.

The number of cases in the sort of the time frame in which they've been reviewed, and then also I'll just echo what Eric says about the improvements.

In the process.

That there was a lot of discussion about the dismantling of the first teams and the flow chart of the processes.

That's all happened at PSC meetings over the last year and I think that my conversation with Eric, with them, I felt that you know this doesn't come out in the documents, but I felt very positive about the improvements that have been made.

I'll look forward to that being shared in a more public way with you all with the board, but also with the public and the Commission so that they can see not just from the statistics, but also from.

The dedication of the staff of the department to actually do this in a way that is organized and clean and through a process, I think that's, I mean that's the way it has to be of course. And there have been a lot of steps in that direction and.

I think from the numbers you can see that it's nothing like what was reported a year ago at or maybe it was lower than that at the PLC where you had, you know, hundreds if not 1000 cases that were in the backlog that that number is gone.

Drastically down.

And the report that we are able to share.

Said that, there were no cases over 12 months that hadn't been reviewed.

That's in the chart that you can see.

So big.

Big improvements in terms of how this process is working right?

Wendy, if you're able to without sharing, I guess private information, could you talk more about the complaints that you received from parents?

Like. Sure, those range from, yes, yes they are.

You know I am.

I have six kids and they are the kind of complaints I might make if my kid was detained. I think that parents.

Who have their young people detained are very worried about them.

They only get to see them once a week.

Visitation. There is a limited number of phone calls that they receive, and so when they hear things or see things in the short amount of time that they get to visit with their youth.

They sometimes are alarmed, and I think they're already in a state of feeling alarmed and their youth are often scared and, you know, having a new experience and a facility that we know has challenges.

So I would say that overall that is kind of the.

Where these complaints come from and a lot of times there is a significant value in just talking with the parents and being able to share with them, you know, the fact that our team regularly conducts inspections and that the Office of the Inspector General is reviewing things.

And that there's a state agency that reviews things.



But the I think the biggest concerns that people have are about.

The fights that happen in the facilities.

Which I mean they do happen.

We see that on our on our OC spray reports, the OIG reports on it.

So there there are fights that occur within the facilities and that makes people feel very concerned about the safety of their youth, which I think is completely valid. And there are a lot of things like improving staffing that need to happen in order to prevent those those fight.

From happening. So in general I found the parents to be.

Very amenable to being reasonable.

About how they're thinking, the one thing I will bring up that relates directly to our work in these reports is parents of kids who have special needs.

And there I have gotten a couple of calls from parents whose kids have are in the unit for developmental disabilities, otherwise have special needs related to education that are concerned about those special needs not being met.

I'm also concerned about that it's a, it's.

A big lift.

A heavy lift for probation and Laco and.

Of the Department of Health Services to be able to care for youth who have end department mental health care for youth who have significant mental health, physical health, developmental disabilities, special education needs, it's hard to do that in the community and in a detention setting. It's even hard.

And the complaints that we get are usually involving uses of force when the parents.

Placing the parents are uses of force alleged by the staff report as when you said fights amongst kids and parents feeling their child is not protected properly.

OK.

Are there any public comments on this item, either online or in person?

OK, seeing none.

OK.

Now we move to the vote. One motion side of the agenda.

This seems to be where this idea.

Is that my queue? Yeah. All right.

So this motion does two things.

I'll. I'll get into that in a second.

As you all know, street racing and takeovers is a very prevalent issue in in all of LA

County, especially our unincorporated areas. In the second district, which is why Supervisor Mitchell has been at the forefront of the issue since the September 2023. Motion to address the issue.

Since then?

We county have done robust community and stakeholder engagement on kind of what the Community wants to see happen and how to address it.

Some things that came out of that was a a mix of kind of everything.

It was a better enforcement options, legal alternatives to do street racing, accountability for social media companies.

That pay to promote the activities on their platforms and and much more.

Since then, as you all may know, the county has formed the street takeover reduction Work Group, which is led by the CE OS office. Kerry Miller's here.

And contains all relevant departments and community organizations like Sheriff's Department, of course, other law enforcement agencies, County Council, Department of Youth Development, Department of Public Health, and organizations like streets are for everyone.

One in street racing kills. A few weeks ago at the Board of Supervisors meeting, the work group presented their very detailed and organized action plan.

Which?

This motion comes out of those recommendations in the action plan.

There were two things in there specifically that required board action, which is what this motion does.

The first thing is to amend the County Ordinance to increase the misdemeanor fines from.

500 to \$1000, which is the maximum allowable fine for misdemeanors that would apply to takeover organizers and spectators. And the second thing that was called out in the work plan that required board action was to send A5 signature letter from all the board super.

To the major social media companies to enforce their own terms and conditions that already prevent.

Or prohibit the promotion of illegal activity on their platform.

Forms basically just telling them to, you know, enforce their own policy and also demonetize videos that that promote the activity.

Sure. But we've all seen a lot of videos promoting it online.

From.

There. Yeah, all of this is consistent with the Workgroup's recommendation and just want to be clear that this motion is is not the only thing that the county is doing. So krattel St. takeovers.

I encourage anyone interested to take a look at the the full workgroup report that has a very detailed action plan with short term long term goals, anticipated timelines for everything and and.

It goes through a wide range of the five goal areas.

That are outlined in the report, which are youth outreach, education and infrastructure solutions, expanded enforcement, community engagement and awareness and legal alternatives, and other miscellaneous things as well.

With that, I will conclude and open it up to any questions.

 **+13\*\*\*\*\*04** 23:39

Yes, good morning once again.

My name is Vincent Samuel again.

 **Dardy Chen** 23:42

OK.

 **+13\*\*\*\*\*04** 23:43

CEO of the West Santos, Westmont Task Force and I've noticed a lot of these in the intersections now that they have these slacks down.

 **Dardy Chen** 23:47

Bye.

I don't think we're doing public comment right now.

Excuse me.

Public comment right now, so I wanna do.

 **+13\*\*\*\*\*04** 23:52

Oh oh, I'm.

 **Dardy Chen** 23:55

In a second, though, thanks for being here.

We'll get to that.

Fortunately, we'll ask your name and we'll give you a minutes.

Any questions from Justice Department?

Hi thanks. I have a question.

So this talks about increasing the fine.

What is it?

What's the charge? That it's increasing the fine for?

It just says increasing affordable misdemeanor fines.

So what are those charges or?

Increasing the fine for.

Going to answer that to the best of my ability.

So the the ordinance or the the changes that were also proposing in the motion?

Would allow for like specific definitions of like takeovers, organizers and spectators in there. So to my understanding they would be charged for that.

But if someone on the team specifically. Oh, Karen Miller.

Oh, sure. Kerry Miller, CEO.

I'm working on the street takeover.

Workgroup basically what they're to Tyler's point. There's we're expanding the definition of like what is considered, you know.

Undesirable activities in the ordinance, so we will add in spectators, organizers, St. Takeovers in specific because it's not even listed as one of the misdemeanor issues with reckless driving.

So we're expanding that definition, but basically it'll apply to anything that is considered a misdemeanor.

That's related to St. Takeovers, so that's up to law enforcement.

But if law enforcement believes someone did something at a street takeover event, that would qualify as a misdemeanor instead of it being a \$500 maximum fine. This would allow for it to be up to \$1000.

So right now there isn't really in our code applicable misdemeanor crimes defined. Under St. Takeovers for participants and attendees, there are not for street, not for participants or organizers there right now in our code, there are misdemeanors for reckless driving, but that nothing that specific to a takeover.

So you can go do Donuts and take over a street.

Technically, that's not really a misdemeanor.

You can.

You can qualify it as reckless driving.

Which then makes it a misdemeanor where?

We're expanding that definition to make it clear that street takeovers is part of that, and then anything that is a misdemeanor fine defined by law enforcement, would increase the fine.

OK.

I guess I'm because I find this confusing to say. First, increase the fines that makes it feel like it exists and you're increasing it.

Yes, but you're essentially saying first we need to define what our new charges are, no.

And then increase it, no?

No, it's both.

Because right now there is.

There's reckless driving is a misdemeanor already, so we're increasing the fine. You're increasing the reckless driving.

Fine, but then you're also creating new fines and increasing those. It's expanding.

It's sorry, it's the current what's on the books already as a misdemeanor gets raised to 1000, but not like when you say what's on the books for a misdemeanor, right?

There's tons of misdemeanors.

You're talking about specifically specific reference driving miss, correct?

And so we're expanding the definition and raising the.

Yeah.

OK. And you don't have a draft of those yet, this is saying it's telling us to draft that, correct?

So it will come back to say this is what correct finding now is required, yes. And St. takeovers and the fine for all these things is going to be \$1000, correct.

This is telling us to go write it and bring it back to you.

For then approval.

Yeah, correct.

And if you look at the county Charter, County Code 13446, there's a larger kind of definition of that. And then the pieces that they're talking about are added into that kind of broader definition.

But yes, to your point, it's an ordinance.

It'll be brought a draft of it will be brought and hence why it needs to come to the board for being an ordinance.

Oh, go ahead.

Oh, I was just gonna say Ann, just for further clarification on on the rules, because it's an ordinance.

Change it actually has to come to the board twice.

Right there and is the intention to have the \$1000 fine for attendees as well, like spectators?

That is, if it's. If it's, if they get charged with a misdemeanor.

This is for misdemeanors.

So they so an officer would have to decide that they committed some type of crime that qualifies as a misdemeanor.

We are also then forced, so we're expanding a definition.

Than for spectators and folks who are participating but do not rise to a level of a misdemeanor, there's administrative fees that are already part of county code and there's ordinance language on that.

That someone can get fined.

So basically it would be like like getting a speeding ticket or something.

There's a there's a action that's taken.

It's not criminal, but there's an action that's taken that has a fine associated to that that is already included in the code, which is why it's not part of this motion.

So there's not an intent to make being a spectator a misdemeanor. No crime, OK?

Just a little bit of questions about it.

The reckless driving language in the in the law is like a little bit amorous sort of. To find it's intentional driving is one of those rules that is like.

The officer would describe what was reckless about the conduct.

So I hear that this would specify St. Takeovers as part of that language.

They could technically already come into the law as written, right?

Yes.

So this just like helps firm it up a little bit, right?

Clarifying some of that and maybe signaling to the officers, hey, actually write that this was part of St. takeover kind of thing, right?

But the the current law would allow under the current fine structure someone to be charged with reckless driving for participating in a takeover.

Correct. OK. And I think this focuses more on the again spectator organizer, those people who are necessarily the ones who are doing the illegal activity, but the people who are allowing.

The promotion of it right?

Like the watching of it all.

All of all of those parties that do does that.

And I guess my other question is about.

Hertz.

See the expanding the OR expanding the ordinance to individuals organized knowingly encouraged, promote, instigate, etc.

Do we know how that like have we checked with County Council about how that fits with the current framework?

Social media regulation because my understanding is at the federal level, exempts these companies from a bunch of stuff. So like.

The tricky part for us is that someone could be in Kansas on their Instagram feed promoting a street race in Los Angeles.

And the social media companies exempt cause of the federal law that persons not in our jurisdiction 'cause they're in Kansas. But in theory this would catch them.

And I guess I'm just curious about like what we think the improved impact of that would be or do we know if most of the promoters or you know organizers are based here in, in our jurisdiction, just like trying to understand what we expect the impact of the?

Effect.

I'll let Kerry answer about the specifics about who's doing what.

Oh, and then I'll answer another piece.

So in terms of the specifics we did, we did run this all through County Council and they will be drafting the ordinance language. So we will make sure it's all coaster and the way it's supposed to be. The idea is right now, there's really no way to hold.

Organizers accountable at all is and what we want to be able to do is have some leverage to be able to say, hey, this is not OK.

Whether it's something that can go out across state.

Lines are not will be something that will have to be dealt with.

I would refer to our law enforcement partners on how they handle those types of situations, but specific to media.

This motion also allows us to contact media companies in a way that we believe we can.

That's consistent with First Amendment free speech, which is just to say please enforce your own rules, because the way it is now, these media platforms already have rules.

That prohibit people from promoting illegal activity.

They just don't get enforced.

So all we're asking for in that case again, it gives us a little extra leverage to say please enforce your own rules that you've already set forth for your platform.

Have they given any indication that they are open to doing that?

Because we're not the only folks that have this problem.

No. What and what we have seen is other jurisdictions have written these letters.

What we're hoping to do is actually.

Create some.

Yeah, create some will and some.

Consensus from other partners.

In fact, I just received this morning a letter that I believe it was Compton City sent out to some media platforms.

We're gonna use that. And then what we wanna do is share our letter with all jurisdictions in California and say you all send your own letters and let's create some pressure so that they do pay attention.

They don't have to pay attention, but we feel like if everyone would do it, it would create some pressure.

Thank you.

I had a question about the.

So and you're increasing the fine from 500 to 1000, would send the orders that it's either \$500 or six months for both six months in jail.

So is it also increasing the time someone could spend?

The change or just the money, you know, I think that's a biomass to me, OK. And then this is the judicial fine.

So like there, there's a difference, like if the administrator finds that you can move up and that doesn't require accounting code change and then a misdemeanor or a judicial fine.

Up to 1000 people.

So the six months would be the time a lot of.

OK. So that that time will stay the and then in terms of the social media companies, how would you go about identifying which ones to send?

I'm just gonna send it to the largest ones and start there.

They have one more.

I'm sorry, I just it finally woke up.



It's been a long, long week.

Wednesday, can we go on Wednesday?

Can we go on Monday?

The the Do we know and? And I'm sorry this isn't maybe directly relevant, but the A misdemeanor for.

Street.

Takeover Street Racing St. whatever.

Spectating, whatever. Do we know if that rolls up?

Into any of the three strikes slash prop.

36 kind of things.

Great question.

No, I don't know directly.

Kerry, do you know? I don't think we can certainly ask that question.

I don't believe so.

I would just be curious just to make you just be curious to know. Oh, would you?

Chip please.

Hi, my name's Arnie Mona from the Los Angeles Low Rider alliance.

And if it's a felony, it applies.

But it's my understanding if it's a misdemeanor, it's a it's applicable.

Jonathan, would you mind sliding that just, I mean, I wanna make sure that I know the answer to that before. Would any of them be Elvis?

Yeah. Like Elvis costell, yeah.

Because I'm not.

I just don't have the laundry list off my head and I'm worried that I just wanna make sure I can explain.

Yeah. Thank you.

You have me on.

Yeah, because you said like any missing that happens at the street takeover could eventually happen.

But it doesn't happen to be what the ordinance is.

Well, I'm saying that there's a lot of activity that's illegal. That's often happens at St.

Dave over. So I'm saying, if someone's close trafficking somebody or someone's doing something that happens, right?

Can I?

Let's say somebody theft happens.

I was gonna take over, which does fall under 36.

Right. And so that fine could go from 500 to 1000 or anyway so would that apply like misimius like that or would it be it's it's a it's a misdemeanor that will be applicable to that ordinance change?

So it's so it's not like I don't think it's whatever happens within that space.

I'm not a lawyer. I'm gonna defer to our legal counsel on that.

But my understanding is.

What we're changing is existing language around street racing and misdemeanor fines.

Associate that and we're expanding that definition to include takeovers in any kind of reckless driving event.

So that's what we're I'm all my point was that there are other types of activities that are misdemeanors that do happen at St. Takeovers.

That street takeovers right?

There's just other things that happen.

Yeah, I yeah.

And I I totally understand exactly that.

But that's also my why? I was thinking of this 'cause when they when you write a law like Prop 36 right? It's like, you know, multiple misdemeanor drug convictions could become a felony thing in certain circumstances, right?

But when lawyers write those rules, I'm guilty of it as much as anybody else.

The laundry list is not just drugs, though, and like you said, the the petty theft is a good example.

It's like I don't actually know what the heck is included in the laundry list.

That's why I'm like it would be helpful for us to just know.

Get any of these reckless drivers you related?

Things are in there.

That's a good question. Thanks.

No other questions, all right.

Additional questions.

We'll move to the public comment part of this meeting.

Are there anyone from public comment on this item in person?

Great. That was one person.

We have two.

Oh, I'm sorry I missed the question.

I'm sorry in the back you want to stand up and state your name.

You have two minutes.

I'll start your time whenever you wish.

So my name is Dave Matthews and I'm with the Harbor Gateway Chamber of Commerce.

So I represent most of the businesses in the Harbor Gateway community, which is along the Piggott Rd. corridor.

However, for the last 3 1/2 years I've worked in the unincorporated area dealing with Drv's and the homeless and all the issues and lack of resources.

I'm here to support this motion because we have to start somewhere every Sunday in East Gardena. We have 750 vehicles to fill up for a Sunday Funday event and block Broadway between Alondra and Redondo Beach Blvd.

 +12\*\*\*\*\*11 39:25

Really, there's a book for you like Chris.

 **Dardy Chen** 39:37

You can't get through.

I would invite all of you to come out just so you can exper.

They're selling alcohol, there's drugs, there's children in the back of vehicles while they're doing the Donuts. And so we have to start somewhere.

It is crazy.

So any help we can get in these communities, we have 4 different areas that are seeing these St. takeovers and then the Sunday fund and I don't know if there's a different definition for a street takeover versus the Sunday Fund a because it starts out as a kind.

Of a car show and then it turns into.

An actual takeover.

And then we have the Donuts.

We have people getting injured.

It's it's not good.

And then it's infrastructure's being damaged. Businesses are being burglarized.

I'm not accusing anyone, but it it it seems to be increasing.

We're seeing an errantio Dominguez proper as well. Another part of SD2 and SD4 share an area. Susanna Rd. in Vic.

It's getting hit hard during the overnight hours, but during the day on Sundays, 4:00, if you come out, they'll be 700 vehicles in minimum and they're coming in from all over the state, from Arizona and also from Vegas.

So we definitely need some help, but this is a good start.

So we're supporting it.

We'll do whatever we can to help.

Thank you guys very much.

Thank you very much Sir.

Yeah, my name's hardly Moana for the Los Angeles Low Rider alliance.

And I'm here just to.

Say hello.

To to everybody and to let you know that our Low Rider alliance has a California Low Rider alliance.

Organization, we represent the Los Angeles based area and what we basically do is we're a a think tank organization and problem solving and the Community give back organization set up a group of volunteers and we just want to let everybody know that a couple things one we have.

A history already working with Solis's office and we work with public works.

And I basically said and gave up the the definition two years ago of cruising and and how that works in the community. And it's on your public works website and I'm here just basically to say two things.

 **+13\*\*\*\*\*99** 41:41

Bye bye.

 **Dardy Chen** 41:46

One is Los Angeles low rider lines and the low rider lines community at large.

We are here to support public safety and public health regulations, policies and procedures.

#2 is we're here to abide by all transportation vehicle codes within the state and within our jurisdictions and law enforcement jurisdictions. We have our history of working with the local government and law enforcement.

 **+13\*\*\*\*\*99** 42:03

American.

This.

**DC** **Dardy Chen** 42:13

We have a perfect record working with amiable relationship with Ally Sheriffs, East LA Sheriff's CHP, East LA and LAPD and San Fernando Valley.

So we're working with the community.

We're working with our law, local law enforcement and government officials to be able to be able to make the streets safer.

My point is please do not. When you're creating these policies and procedures, do not include the lowriders in this takeover activity because we do not support it.

We're not a part of it and and it's it's, you know we we have \$60,000 paint jobs.

I'm not going to go around burning rubber on my paint job.

And ruin my car.

We we're more mature.

You know, there are folks there who are all levels of government and society.

We're here to support you guys.

It's the American tradition. Thank you.

Thank you. Anybody else?

Sir, want to say your name?

I'll start your conversation with the other room, also part of the Low rider release.

One thing I wanted to point out is yeah, please don't include us in those particular groups because the average low rider owner car, they're 45 and older.

I'm 73.

He's 70. Most of the guys are 60.

We participate in all the Community events.

Hilda Solis.

Gave her and the Lords what? They're right on the six feet bridge. Senators sending men every time there's a holiday.

Thanksgiving veteran's day Memorial Day they call the Low rider line. We're not part of that group.

We're all we're part of the community.

We take care of it.

We're happy that they enacted a law to allow us to participate.

Speak in lower riding again.

So again, when you watch those guys doing the Donuts and stuff, those are funks.

Those are kids.

They don't want to doing. They're endangering people's lives.

We don't.

It's a family function.

We love it and we're supporting our politicians.

Thank you very much.

Right. That's it for you.

Coming comments, then we'll move online.

David. Carl, do you have comments?

David, unmute yourself.

**DC** **David Carroll** 44:24

Everyone. David Carroll with the yes, can you hear me?

**DC** **Dardy Chen** 44:28

Go ahead.

**DC** **David Carroll** 44:29

OK.

**DC** **Dardy Chen** 44:29

Yes, go ahead.

**DC** **David Carroll** 44:29

David Carroll with the Department of Youth Development just wanted to highlight that I am part of the overall street racing work group and now part of the subgroup on the.

OK.

**DC** **Dardy Chen** 44:44

You're muting yourself. Go ahead.

**DC** **David Carroll** 44:44

So I'm not muting myself. Somebody else is sorry.

I was mid sentence.

Oh, I don't.

How far I get before he did that?

I'm part of the the street takeover work group as well as the subgroup on the increased fives. I just wanted to highlight that we are continuing to press for alternative opportunities for young people to have opportunities for diversion and other restorative programs to address the reasons why they.

Were participating in these particular events certainly.

Limited to young people who?

Are not habitual participants, and certainly not anyone that has been responsible for any type of injury or destruction. You know, based on these events.

What? What I'm continually concerned about is a young person just kind of showing up to watch and leaving with a, you know, \$1000 or more.

Fine. That will then affect both them and their families for years to come.

So I just wanted to highlight we are still at the table and we've got our first meeting about this particular topic later today. Thank you.

**DC** **Dardy Chen** 45:51

Thank you very much Damien.

Start speaking. I'll when you start speaking, I'll start your time. Go ahead.

**DK** **Damian Kevitt** 45:58

Wonderful. Thank you. Hello, everyone.

My name is Damien Kevit.

I'm with streets are foreverone.

We are an LA County based nonprofit that works on road safety for all road users. In addition to the work we do on education, legislation and advocacy, we support victims of traffic violence.

St. Takeovers are a major problem, especially in South La County, and it's not getting better.

It is a public health hazard for community members in the areas where these illegal activities take place.

And it can result in individuals who are hit and or killed during or after a street takeover event.

Streets are for everyone. Strongly supports this motion put forth by Supervisor Holly

Mitchell.

There is concrete evidence that social media is a key Ave. that funds those who are promoting and organizing St. Takeovers.

The individuals who take part in these takeovers are spending thousands, if not 10s, of 10s of thousands of dollars to modify their vehicles.

To take part in these St. Takeovers.

Individuals are also monetarily benefiting from organizing these illegal meetups.

Increasing fines is a sensible way to discourage people to take part in these illegal activities.

While clearly a lot more steps are needed besides just this motion to properly address the issue, using both carrots and sticks, education and awareness, this motion is an important step in the right direction. I did want to note.

For everyone, assembly Bill 486, which is currently being debated in the California legislature, would allow law enforcement agencies to go after organizers who set up these activities remotely.

Which does happen and I would recommend that the board sign on and supported this piece of legislation.

That said, I urge the board to approve this motion so we can comprehensively address the issue.

Thank you.

 **Dardy Chen** 47:50

Thank you very much.

Are there any other folks for public comment?

Please speak up or raise your hand on teams please.

We'll take this one by a time.

Last four digits 5311.

I see you unmuted.

You state your name and.

Your beginning your comments.

 **+12\*\*\*\*\*11** 48:10

Good morning.





**DC** **Dardy Chen** 48:11  
728 Parkview go ahead.

**+12\*\*\*\*\*11** 48:11  
My name is Fran.  
Good morning.  
My name is Francisco Corona, Vice president of the Florence Firestone Community leaders organization.  
I'm also here representing 1 park and our neighbor, our neighbor neighboring community.  
I want to express my gratitude to Supervisor Mitchell for introducing this important motion to hold accountable those who organize and participate in illegal St. Takeovers. Additionally, this motion.  
Sends a strong and necessary message to social media companies.  
That they must stop incentivizing and promoting these reckless activities which endanger the lives and safety of residents across Los Angeles County. For years, particular since 2021, our communities of Florence, Firestone and Warner Park have been severely impacted by St. St. Takeovers and side shows, these illeg.  
Events have led to countless accidents.  
Disrupted daily life and created an atmosphere of fear.  
And frustration among our residents.  
We are tired of seeing our streets taken over by reckless individuals who show no regard for the people who live here.  
Worse yet, they often escape consequences, leaving behind destruction and tragedy.  
It is time for action.  
I strongly urge the board the board to approve this motion so that we can take real steps toward towards stopping these dangerous activities.  
Ensuring accountability and restoring safety in our neighborhoods. Thank you.

**DC** **Dardy Chen** 49:47  
You very much, Francisco.  
Next we'll go with 651-5004.  
651-0504.

 +13\*\*\*\*\*04 49:54  
Yes, good morning.

 **Dardy Chen** 49:55  
Go ahead and name.

 +13\*\*\*\*\*04 49:55  
Yes, good morning once again.  
My apologies early.  
My name is Vincent Samuel.  
CEO of the West Center's West Lot Task Force.  
We've been up and running for 23 years.  
I definitely support the ordinance by the Supervisors office and I've noticed we have like these 6 foot slacks in the turning lanes.  
I've noticed as I'm go through the county and city that I think that's very smart of whoever put those down that we can see a lot more of them.  
It's it's actually gets in the way.  
Of the activity with the street takes over and I really think that.  
It's very important to give them at all ears.  
I stayed without century and western and we don't have one up there, but going to church on Sunday mornings.  
I am a Deacon in my church as well.  
I think that was a very smart activity to do and get lot more.  
All those slacks up and running in in these intersections, it's very important. And thank you all for your time and my again, my apologies for stepping in a little earlier in my first meeting with you all.  
So I'm learning as we go, so God bless you all as well, and I wish we could see a lot more of those.  
66 foot barriers in the turning lane.  
That was a great idea.  
Thank you all.

 **Dardy Chen** 51:12

Thank you very much.

Next we have 3341809 state your name and you may begin.

 **+13\*\*\*\*\*09** 51:18

Gidget Thompson.

Good morning.

I represent the Athens Park concerned citizens. I would like to thank Supervisor Mitchell for introducing this motion to hold those who organize and participate accountable.

I also agree with the previous caller those barriers that are on Rosecrans and Central. We need them all throughout this county.

 **+13\*\*\*\*\*99** 51:35

No.

 **+13\*\*\*\*\*09** 51:41

We're held hostages because of these St. Takeovers and all of this nonsense on Sunday.

Also, just anytime of the day somebody could start doing a takeover while we're driving. People are not even stopping at the stop signs anymore.

They're just going straight across.

We had two accidents last week in my area, but usually there's an accident every week near El Segundo and San Pedro or El Segundo in Maine, but this.

Motion I'm. I'm OK with it, yes.

Thank you.

 **Dardy Chen** 52:21

Thank you very much.

Next we'll go with Willie White, 09.

 **+13\*\*\*\*\*09** 52:22

Thank you.

 **Dardy Chen** 52:29

Sorry 33418.

09 Please speak up and say your name.

 +13\*\*\*\*\*09 52:34

That was me. That was me.

 **Dardy Chen** 52:36

Yes, go ahead. Sure.

 +13\*\*\*\*\*09 52:38

Get your I. No, I just.

I just spoke to you.

 **Dardy Chen** 52:41

OK.

Sorry about that 493-4599.

 +13\*\*\*\*\*09 52:43

That's OK.

 +13\*\*\*\*\*99 52:47

Yeah. Good morning. My name is.

President and CEO of the Foreign Affairs Committee organization, a nonprofit serving the foreign fighters community.

I want to thank thank Supervisor Mitchell for introducing this motion to hold both organizers and participants of illegal St. Takeovers accountable. This motion also sends a strong message to social media companies that they cannot continue to incentivize and promote these reckless activities at the expense of the safety.

And well-being of the Los Angeles County residents.

St. Takeovers and side shows have been a growing issue in the foreign fighters community and surrounding areas since 2021, leading to dangerous conditions.

Tragic accidents and the diminished quality of life for our residents.

We, the people of this community are fed up. Too many lives have been put in risk.

Too many accidents have occurred, and yet those responsible often evade accountability.

Leaving our neighborhoods to deal with the destruction is very unfair.

I finally urge the Board of Supervisors to approve this motion so we can take decisive action, restore orders to our streets and ensure that those who promote these illegal activities are held accountable.

Thank you.

**DC** **Dardy Chen** 54:03

OK.

Thank you very much.

OK. Next we'll go with 505-6128.

**+13\*\*\*\*\*28** 54:10

Hi, my name is Maribel Galindo and I would like to say thank you for what you're doing because it is in the area that we live in.

I mean, we constantly deal with this every Sunday, right?

Sometimes it starts Friday, Saturday and Sunday, but Sundays the worst. It starts off as.

A cruise.

But when you have people setting up with chairs and ice chests it, it becomes a bigger problem, right?

**+13\*\*\*\*\*99** 54:35

Bigger than.

**+13\*\*\*\*\*28** 54:40

It turns into a street takeover.

Now you have vendors showing up so you see people drinking.

There's the amount of trash that is left out there, but sometimes it comes off of Broadway and it comes on to Main Street, where it's closer to the residential area.

And it has become dangerous.

Now it's a safety issue, right? Because.

I can't even get out.

Of where we live to get on to Maine off of 157th. If I want to go down Redondo Beach, I mean, there was an incident 3 weeks ago where they brought it from Broadway onto Maine.

They take over both streets and I was trying to leave and there was nowhere to go. I made a right going towards Redondo Beach and there was nowhere to go. Both lanes were blocked.

The turning lane.

There's people parked in the turning lane. We had to.

Reverse into the driveway and drive on the opposite side to get back to 157 so we could take the back way.

I mean, it's just and as we were doing that, there was someone who started doing Donuts and we had to swerve to avoid getting hit. Like it's now becoming a safety issue.

It's not just their gathering leaving trash and drinking it really is a safety issue. So thank you.

 **Dardy Chen** 55:57

Thank you very much.

Next we have 9305656.

9305656 go ahead.

 **+13\*\*\*\*\*56** 56:04

Yes, hi this.

Yes. Can you hear me? Yes.

I am also.

I also live in the in the corporate area and I want to share my experience just like the previous that just spoke.

My biggest concern is also the streets being blocked off.

Now I have a granddaughter that lives with me, that suffers from respiratory.

My biggest concern is that if I called 911 and I really hope everybody understands this part, because my grandbaby was just in the hospital in ICU. My biggest concern is getting the help that I need to get here as soon as possible.

These St. blocks get blocked up completely even if you try to ask them to. If they can move away so you can get through, they don't.

They look at you like they're ready to come at you.

We've been stuck numerous times and this is every Sunday it becomes to the point where you don't want to go anywhere on Sunday, you're stuck at home because you don't want to go through that again and you fear that if anybody else coming in your

block is going.

To get stuck there and something could happen to them.

And I get that there's a lot of people hearing me right now and I get that it could be a friendly family event. But I do ask that if this does doesn't get approved and you're still continue to do things, then do what's right, maybe get somebody on.

Each block.

To represent you and make sure that these streets do not get blocked.

Do what you have to do to make sure that it is friendly and it is a family event.

And it's not going to interrupt anybody else's life. That's all I ask.

Because again.

The biggest fear for me is to make sure that I could get the emergency to get here to my home because seconds count.

I really hope that this could get taken care of.

I I'm not saying that I'm 100% against what you're trying to do. If it's a family event.

What I'm saying is the proper presentation needs to be in place.

 **Dardy Chen** 58:10

Thank you very much, Megan.

 **+13\*\*\*\*\*56** 58:13

Thank you.

 **Dardy Chen** 58:13

Thank you very much for your comments.

Are there any other public comments?

Please speak up and also with your name and sorry time.

OK, seeing none, I believe that concludes public comment and we'll move to the next item, which is before you, the hidden floor letter.

Good morning, everyone, Robert Harris.

I'm Devin, Fire Chief Central region with me.

To share the the coffee, you'll have a red coffee. I think I sent one electronic to your ship.

If you have. Yeah. OK.

So we're here.

This is a follow up of the the Fire Department's request to raise fees for junior

lifeguard.

Subject They can consolidated Fire Protection District Los Angeles County is requesting the Board of Supervisors to approve the proposed fee increase of the district's junior line guard program.

From \$635.00 to 695, effective immediately.

Now this right here obviously is a change from the first time that we came. Originally we were requesting an increase of two \$707.00 and the revision is 695 and I'll outline why we're going to change.

But the proposed the new proposed \$60.00 fee increases to meet the increased operational expenses and bring the prior the program.

To be self sustainable back in.

June of 1978, the board directed that the program be self-sustaining and in May of May 23rd of 2023, the board approved a \$50 fee increase to ensure that the project maintain its sustainability.

The proposed free increase is just to reflect the increase in cost.

As it pertains to uniforms and then employee costs.

The overhead of the program has traditionally been absorbed by the district in the General Fund on a prorated basis, and we are recommending the continuation of this practice.

We did enter requests seeing you all to be answers to those items that you had, and I know there was some concerns about has there been an impact on the participants as a result of previous fee increases?

And actually what we've seen is actually a spike in participation.

We have not seen a fall off in participation as a result of the fee increase.

The one change that you will see again.

Originally there was a request. Our first time here before this body of a fee increase to 707 dollars, I was going to represent a \$72 fee increase.

That was to cover the cost of the scholarships we have been awarded funds from the CEO that will be utilized to offset that that deficiency. So that money has been taken. Out and so therefore it has been reduced.

To \$695, which will basically bring the program cost down.

So just wanted to do my best to clarify that.

All right.

Thank you guys for coming back and thank you for all the information.

I quite a few questions.



So first of all, just to clarify, this word has increased the fee twice, not just in 2023, right?

We did 2020 \$250 and then 2023.

Another correct, correct, OK.

So we have increased it \$100 since before the 10th and you know I am noticing.

The the attendance has increased but I don't think we finished that.

Our pre pandemic attendance.

But you think that you're projecting that kind of this year, we will.

We'll get back up there again, is that correct?

I can say that as of today, we have 3000 approximately 3000 registrations, OK, and we still have.

Over 900 applicants. What I mean with that is they have to go to the swing test.

So we still the program doesn't start until June 16th.

So we still got some time. So to answer your question, we're gonna be very close to pre pandemic. OK, great.

So then I just have quite a few questions.

The scholarships you know, I'm find it very interesting.

Looks like 2022 was kind of an anomaly of a year, right?

It was the fewest scholarships we've ever given, and it was the year that the Fire Foundation was covering those scholarships, which we don't quite know how much was given. So looks like scholarships have increased again since then.

How does the department advertise the scholarships when someone goes to apply online?

Does it say apply for a scholarship here?

What does it look like to the person who's going to apply online?

Minor register so so the information is on the website and when they go.

Hey.

The the fees, you know there's a opportunity for you to request.

The the the scholarship.

So it's very I I I went through the process.

It's it's, it's very simple and they apply and.

The scholarships are based on the on the on the parents request and based on LA County poverty levels.

You know the the goal, amount, whatever, you know that qualifies for it.

So a parent will go to apply.

They'll see that they can apply for a scholarship and then will they be asked to input their income and then you?

I saw that you have three types of scholarships, right?

15% sixty percent, 90% correct.

Will you then determine which type they qualify for?

Or will they apply for 15% scholarship, 60 or 90?

The the the parent will apply for.

The parent will choose which one to apply for.

And then you will look at their income and either offer them the scholarship or not.

OK.

Obviously, the goal there is to not turn away anyone from the program.

We want to ensure that everyone has an opportunity to participate.

Thank you.

Have you in the past had to deny scholarships?

Because of lack of funds.

Not for lack of funds. The only reason we denied if the parents do not follow up with a request to just, you know, provide some kind of documentation or income.

OK.

Then can you remind me how big is your funding gap right now?

'Cause it says that you generate 2.2 million last year but it says the program is 3,000,000. This \$60.00 increase isn't gonna help you cover \$700,000. Is it OK?

So 2024. So this past year.

We show adefici 234,000.

200-3430 430-4000

235 OK \$234,000 deficit.

And you believe this \$60.00 increase will cover that based on the numbers registration numbers that should put us, you know, very close and remind me the CEO did find \$1,000,000 for junior lifeguards over five years, right?

Right. You know, and that money has been. Thank you for that CEO for because we have used that money to offset.

For example.

The the the website.

The program that that runs the the website, you know it was developed in collaboration with ISD to make it more easily friendly. You know have all these information that's.

Make make it easy for the parents and also able to provide data you know such as you know, number scholarships.

Districts which districts?

You know their, their, their kids, you know, slave them and you know what I'm saying?

So all the information that we provide to you.

And you, so you still have.

You're still getting that money.

So this 234,000 deficit that includes that accounts for the money right here.

May I add that we still have.

Equipment needs.

That's approximately \$140,000 that we're not able to purchase because you know we we.

Money is tight, so we're going to utilize some of that money to offset, right?

Because obviously we don't have the foundation anymore.

So that some of that money will be utilized to fund the scholarships.

Again, not knowing right now though, we have put out initial feeders for those participants that may be out there that may be interested in returning. We don't have that solid number because we haven't done open enrollment yet, so.

Once that comes in and then we will be able to ensure that.

Everyone that completes the entire enrollment process, we can get them into the program and then tap into that \$1,000,000.

That was awarded to ensure that you know everyone participate. I go back.

So Palisades fire all right.

So we we have a.

We have a pretty large program at Fort Rogers State Beach in the past.

Obviously this year, it's not gonna happen.

So that might affect our overall numbers this year.

So that's meaning you'll have fewer people applying.

So right now we're projected, you know, to be around 4000.

But you know we we don't know for sure.

We know how it's gonna play out there.

I'm sorry, the Palisades.

You know parents, right?

The families, right, because some of them moved different locations.

And the program in the past.

It has been a pretty large program.

Staff is in contact with families that that interested from the Palisades that interested on the June 11th program this year.

So we are looking for an outdoor location and right now the number is around 90 kids and in the past.

The OVERRIDERS would provide up, you know, a number around 300 kids.

We are anticipating a number to be down obviously right, because those most of those kids came from the Palisades area.

Obviously it's been an impact on that.

Two more questions.

One, I'm noticing that there was an increase quite a substantial.

Sorry, decrease in revenue from program fees between 23 and 24 went from 2.3 million to 2.2 million.

That's despite there being 38 more participants.

In 2020.

For compared to 2023, why did you guys generate that much less money from the fees?

You have more participants and it looks like the scholarships only increase by 5000, so.

The numbers that we have were validated by physicians.

And ask them, we can follow that because that's a little if there was \$100,000 more of scholarships, you know, that makes sense to me. But I'm not quite sure why you're seeing such a decrease in program revenue with an increase in the.

You want to check with them and check before.

I'm not a OK. And then my last question is about.

About his staff, right?

So I'm looking at your.

Cost outlay of this and you have all your the captain, the ocean, lifeguards, everyone who's working and stuff.

These are, you know, lifeguards, employees of our fire department, right?

So correct? Who? How is their salary paid when they're not doing junior lifeguard?

Are they on salary normally?

Why do we then have to charge for their salary from these fees?

Come in here, 1000.

So it's a 4000.

Basically, it's a 4000 program kit. You know program right? So.

We need to have.

Plenty of you know, maintenance.

Medication with parents.

So the captain and the and the and the ocean lifeguards, specialists assigned to the program, they work you around.

So.

The ocean lifeguards, the part timers.

So those basically worked, you know.

Expand and contract and contract.

So right now, you know, it's pretty intensive dealing with basically think about it. You know close, let's say we have three 3000 registration. You know a lot of families you know might have two, two sets of parents.

So we're dealing.

Let's say you know with over over 4000.

You know families, right?

Dealing with the scheduling, making special arrangements for whatever they need so we have more. So we you know those the the part time is we only use you know on take time off the program if that helps. So the captain in the ocean lifeguard they're full time employ.

Yes, their salaries for the year comes from these fees, correct?

Even though the program itself only runs.

12 weeks.

But it's again, it's a it's a 4000, you know.

But the rest of the year are the captain and the ocean lifeguard.

Are they performing life guard duties on the beach or are they assigned? They are assigned to the project they're assigned to the, so this program pays for their salary even when they're doing their lifeguard duties on the beach.

That's my understanding, that's correct.

Yeah, you know, and so things that they they would do, they're they're doing right now.

Is the updating the the the website with ISD right that requires that constant back and forth?

You know, you know communication so that they do that.

Purchasing uniforms, I mean, have a purchase uniforms for 4000 kids, right?

So that takes that takes time.

You know, college, you know, with the, with the system that we have to go through for purchasing, it's not a easy process. Every year changes transportation. It's another issue, I mean.

So transportation, you know it takes time.

You know, I mean it takes.

Three to six months to to be able to get transportation for for the kids.

I wish there was a better system, so I would just say.

There. Yeah, they we have two members that are assigned as administrative and they do work on this program on stuff the entire time.

And so all of the the administrative background programs set up, planning, development, recruitment, all of that is what what they're doing on a consistent basis are those two admin reflected in this.

On growing program costs.

Yes.

I mean, have you guys ever thought about not ordering uniforms and keeping the P/E because not every every?

Not every like San Diego, Ventura. They don't have, you know, uniforms that are included. And they have cheaper programs than we do. Actually, San Diego, San Diego has the same exact program that we do with the county and it's running now, \$890.00.

I just have a conversation with my partner down there last week.

So this says that the weekly cost is \$167 and ours is 176 dollars is \$10 tuber than ours.

Yeah, they didn't.

I I I believe when we prepared the letter, we didn't have the most updated number. They just got approved for \$890.00.

Yeah. I mean, I think it's.

I don't.

I mean I I think we all know how my boss feels about this, but I also didn't know that these program fees are going to be salaries full time of some lifeguard individuals who are throughout the year performing general lifeguard duties because it doesn't feel like junior life.

Fees should subsidized their salaries.

They're performing.

They're performing duties, admin duties for the program, right?

But they're also performing life guard duties, right?

No, no, that's not 40.

Also, the code they're they're they're assigned.

So the the, the captain and the ocean life guard that are assigned full time do not during the rest of the year, perform any life guard duties.

They're only doing admin duties for. That's the 40 hour work week assignment, correct?

To order uniforms and schedule buses and send it's more than than that.

Now it is more than that.

It's not just ordering uniforms and buses.

So then these other individuals are only brought on for the summer.

For this program or, you know, yes, the majority of.

The lifeguards assigned to the program are brought up during the.

Say about eight week 10 week program.

But we also have to increase, you know, a number of folks talking with parents dealing with the, you know, scheduling issues, trying to accommodate everybody, you know, and we do.

Everything is, you know, either in person we're doing.

In person, we do it by phone and by, you know e-mail.

It's very labor intensive.

Yeah. I mean, I think we all know how my boss feels about that.

This is such an important program.

This is a work development program and that we would deter people from participating if we continue to, you know, if I may suggest.

The busing.

It's it's, it's this year projected for \$90,000. You know, in previous years have gone over \$90,000. Perhaps if there is an opportunity for.

Supervisor Han have a discussion with Metro.

To see if there's an opportunity to offset those costs, you know, would you be very welcome? OK. Do you partner with Metro for those buses or no, we're looking for an opportunity, OK.

So right now you use private bus company. Yes. Is the bus the solicitation for us?

Yeah, I've done.

Has there been any on that piece for the buses?

Any conversation with?

Oh Jesus.

Gpw, sorry, I'm trying to figure out the acronyms Department of Public Works for like some of the. I think it's measure. Why? Why can't they get the funding?

Well, they do buses essentially like maybe. OK, thank you.

Thank you, Darby.

Prop. A funding and seeing if there's an opportunity.

So in previous years there was some communication with public works.

But if I remember correct.

Ly.

It didn't meet week.

It just couldn't come out date this because of the scheduling.

Whatever they had was limited, so that was one of the reasons it didn't work out.

OK. I I I think at least for me and my brain, I'm trying to come to like some kind of consensus 'cause. I understand where Kylie made the herpes is coming from about the the fees for the service.

I think the merit of what's going on here is important. Bringing these from all over the county.

Yep, to learn Lifeblog skill sets.

I think it's more of a cost feed and I my other question and I'm sorry because I walked out.

Earlier but.

I know in the past the foundation was assisting with some of this and you all are now.

I think there's an Emily or contract with another.

Foundation. I think it came to be not for this specific program, but just the department working with them and it came to fruition during the fires. Is there?

I can't remember the name of it.

It's like Emergency preparedness foundation.

Is there any opportunity to work with them?

Potentially there could be, but right now there is not.

Not at this point, OK.

So I know it could be in like the future. It could be potential.

Yeah, OK. I mean, obviously we're not, you know, the department head will make that decision on how we move forward with that.



But right now, you know, we're gonna be utilizing that \$1,000,000 from the CEO to offset.

Any needs that we may have to ensure that all of the kids have an opportunity to participate.

OK.

Those are my questions. Thank you.

Any questions?

No. Yeah, I have a few. Go ahead.

So for the scholarship, the most that is covered is 90% of the tuition.

So or is there something close?

We don't offer full scholarship, though.

And then for transportation is the only thing offered bus transportation or is there like metro cars or in case kids want to get there on their own or something like that at this time, we do offer just buses. And how are the sites for the bus pickups deter? Is it based on where most enrollments are, or is it just like so the buses are located at?

Like county parks.

There's one on on your district.

There's one on on the supervisor Mitchell's district, and there's one on supervisor holdout district.

I think I don't know if there's one in this.

Because it was SE delay, right?

It was SE delay.

From where I saw.

What's my name? Not not Alondra.

What's the name of the?

Oh.

You have a large swimming pool or complex, just playing car. OK, I can get.

I can get to the. Yeah, that'll be great. And then?

I think it's more of a clarific.

Ation.

And then just have a carving question, because this is the first time I hear about the program. I was just wondering.

So the program itself, where does it take place?

Like at different beaches throughout the county or?

For all 3000 or 4000, kids are just assigned to different beaches based on where they live.

Or so we have.

We have.

We hold classes, you know, as more than Summa Peach, right?

Yeah, San Pedro, all the way to San Pedro and Calena and also.

And like I said in the past, Bull Rogers Beach.

But this year, we're not going to have.

And then the program itself is just self selected, right?

Is that correct?

Like people choose to apply to the program.

It's not like, but there is some outreach that's done by your department for the programs that eventually compose itself. So like.

So.

Yes, you know we have the websites we have.

Other every recruitment event that we participate, we provide information.

To to parents, we've created this.

Aware program in different areas of the county and you know even.

Just just letting parents and the kids know, hey, this is, you know, an opportunity.

And make it easy for them to.

Providing an opportunity for them to do the kind of swim test that the training location they're doing, you know, so that way, you know, sign them up right.

You know right there.

And one more thing I'd like to add is the.

The current airline group, lifeguards that.

You know of of my division of 50% of them.

At one time of their life that they were making from digital lifeguard programs.

So we understand.

You know it's a dear program for all of us, right, you know.

Thank you.

You only have a question.

All right. Are there any public comments for this item?

If you're online, please speak up.

We'll raise your hand on games.

Facing now, before we move to the testimony, do we want to have them file or do

we want to hold on to them?

We have seen these.

They have time.

It's not so April 25th, right? OK, so nice.

I mean file.

Right. It's the April 25th, right?

I mean, we may still have questions, but that's up to my hot messages.

OK. Just wanna make sure.

Thank you. All right. So we move to the next item.

On item is the.

If it's already for convenience, all payments are charged.

Thank you.

Bye. Thank you.

Good morning, my really.

All right. So Sean Arrington, Superintendent of Los Angeles Juvenile Hall, representing probation.

So just came to provide an update in regards to the Audit Committee report that was shared.

Updates have been sent to the committee and the Special Committee.

Just to reiterate that we are working towards implementing the recommendations from the audit and I can go through it or answer any questions that you guys have.

This is my first meeting so wasn't quite sure what to expect.

So I apologize in advance.

Can I?

Can I ask a general question?

Is there is there anyone from the auditor's office that is available?

Do you know that staff I ran into one of someone yesterday and he said he was gonna send someone, but he didn't.

Is there anyone online?

Is there anyone online from the audit office?

Can you speak up? Yeah.

Alright, OK, that sounds better.

No.

We all agree.

You should start.

By recommendation number two, they said there was a pilot program.

That, I believe, was supposed to.

The almost over.

So I was just wondering what the progress was with that so far.

Recommendation #2.

We do have two options that were implemented in regards to electronic logs. So we do have the paper version based on the recommendations to update.

What was done to add the supervisor?

Signature and a couple other things.

So we have them.

They've been created.

They haven't been executed just yet.

So let's we did have a change in administration.

So with that project for pre prioritized. So it's done, we're just waiting for that next level to execute so we can pilot it. So. So it wasn't piloted in March like it was it was originally, it was not. I'm sorry, is that the paper signed in though not.

The electronic you're talking about the paper? Yes. OK.

So you and you don't have a piloted electronic log.

Book it has not went launched yet.

Are you going to launch the paper or the paper has been executed? The electronic has not been launched yet, but the paper has.

It is in motion.

Then for recommendations, having it stated that you are working on the MOU with your legal and dyd in the doorstep and I was just wondering in the Enika padded conference that was March of yeah. So those those have been back and forth with discussions in regards to prob.

Recommendations.

Each of the agency's recommendations.

There has been some challenges with the clearance portion in regards to the staff that works for some of these groups.

The discussions are still being had.

It still is moving forward.

We have made some progress and it's looking like we should have those hopefully completed no later than May, can't guarantee. But there's a part of that.

But then there's another group in the department that's handling that.

But hopefully no later than May to have those completed.

I'm gonna 'cause I wanna make sure it's on the same topic.

So OK, which number are we on, guys?

We're on 7/7, OK.

Am I actually gonna go back to say a little bit from the auditor's report?

It says it talks about the different and this is where I'm going to sit. So that kind of touches on some of the. So on the auditors report, the auditor lays out some different stages of what amou is with the probation departments as a probation.

Deoi won't go through all of them, but one of the ones that is on here it says probation doesn't have an mo U.

With DAC, which is department of Plus Animal care control and that's Department of Agriculture.

And then I wanted to know do they?

Because I'm sure the auditor went through to see if there were files and things along that line that shows that there's some type of relationship with that department.

Do you all provide just a Department of Arts and Culture?

Provide a service and then after that I have a question based off of that.

Yes, they do provide quite a few programming in the facilities under the arts and Culture umbrella.

As does Dyd and other providers that the department itself had contracts with.

So yes, they do. OK.

So then I was looking at the recommendation on Urals report, and I didn't see arts and culture on here.

It talks about the implementation and where it's at with where you all are at with the implementation to your response. So you talk about Deo, you talk about library service Lake Co Dyd, H DPH, but arts and culture is not there.

So then you're not, you know, meeting that obligation or no obligation. That recommendation from the.

Auditor controller, are you planning on putting that in this?

I will get back to you on that.

That was not on my radar, but I. Like I said, we do work in collaboration with each other, but in regards to the moui can state where it is on that level. But I I can throw out that at the next update or put that date that's into.

The yeah. Can it be updated on here?

And then go ahead and we can go back for Recommendation 10, which was about

the live calendar where those should be acceptable to stakeholders.

And he's expected to roll out this month.

Is that still on track for? Yes, we have worked with Gyg.

The working together with other departments and probation has taken place.

There was a presentation made for the executive team.

There's still some presentations that are being made with some of our partners, so that is rolling and it's expected to roll out real soon here.

I believe in that and what?

Yes, in April when?

Yes, in April there was a couple with I want to say, even OIG trying to find the data when that information could be shared or presented to them.

So it's it's done.

Ready to go?

It's just making sure everybody's clear and if there's any adjustments or tweaks that need to be made that we do so before we finally roll it out.

But it's ready to go.

And on that note, similar this month, April, right?

This month, April 25.

April 2020 is not good.

It's April on that note. Sue Recommendation 11. That's talking about the the notification process of cancel programmings cancel programs.

I think that probably goes hand in hand with Tim.

Pretty pretty similarly.

How is that rollout going?

We have different operations at different facilities, so we're trying to streamline it to keep it consistent amongst all the facilities.

So there was a temporary department order that was drafted at TD OS which was mentioned here to kind of outline what the communication piece needs to be. Also making sure that contracts reflect that.

We had some changes in leadership as I shared. So depending on the process wasn't completed where it was ready to roll out, it was vetted by some managers.

Some of the programming staff, but now things have changed a little bit.

So it's going through another vetting process, so hopefully within the next I'll say couple of weeks we should be done with that.

So it is in process, but it's still not completed not there as well.

Sorry, did the TDO go out.

It did not go out.

It has not gone out, no.

And is it going to correct? It will go out once approved you said couple of weeks, correct?

It's going through drafted in February.

Yes. So you're waiting for that?

Yes.

Correct 13.

The there was a manual that was looking finished that would have would describe the activity, program coordinator duty and that was expected to be done in March of 2025.

Will that be cleared?

It has not been completed yet and there was a shift in program managers, so there was a team is I don't know how detailed you guys are with what's been going on, but there was a team dedicated with this project that started last year.

There were some changes made in March, so we now have another program manager that's going to be overseeing this project for this whole process.

So with that, there's some transition peers that will be going on, but we will still be moving towards the timelines that we have outlining.

So just ask for that information will be the other program manager for program.

So any of you guys have worked with her at Barry J? She's she was previously assigned at Barry Kay.

My last question about Recommendation 17. There was supposed to be relation.

Consider creating an executive level program direction but time communication.

Update stated that there was more information than needed that was needed.

I was just wondering what information.

That's a conversation that's being held at the executive level, so that will be with the heads of the departments.

I can try to get some information to see where we are with that.

But that's where those conversations are being at.

Thank you, Michael.

I I'm gonna switch it around a little bit.

Superintendent, is there any recommendation here that has been completed?

Yes, yes.

Because otherwise I'm gonna. We're gonna.

Yeah. So I will say.

Recommendation number one in regards to the tracking we did that was executed as mentioned.

I don't know if you have the report in front of you or not, so I wanna assume that that was being piloted.

At Barry J that did launch in February, recommendations were provided back, tweets and adjustments. As I said before, still being made. So once some of those get worked out, then they will spread to the other facilities. So as step right now, it's still not at Los Padrinos, but.

That did get launched OK, and you're still planning to launch it at least perino's after kind of reviewing how it went, correct?

Regards to recommendation #4.

This was also tied into the Teo to make sure that those lines of communication are in place, but in regards to communication, points of contact have been provided.

In regards to our providers who they need to coordinate communicate with, if there's not any changes with programming, but still a work in progress with the TD OS, but that communication being clear on what needs to happen. But it has the communication chain or the point of commun.

Change now that Vermont's not in that role.

Or is it either it hasn't changed on that level so the team is still there, just the management has changed. OK, so the per the people that the organizations are told to contact are the same.

Still there, OK.

Recommendation number six.

That have been implemented in regards to our auditing process and making sure that we are.

Verifying what's supposed to be happening in regards to programming.

So we did implement the quality control team at our facility and a part of that is not only verifying the documentation, but also verifying that things are actually happening the way they're supposed to in the time frames.

So the team was giving video review access.

So that's a part of our internal auditing process. And as things go.

Are checked and they're shared with the managers as well. If there's any discrepancies or anything like that.



So that's that's been implemented.

That's great.

So they do have CCTV access, the quality control team, yes, or Los Corrinos, not just Barry Jay. Correct. Great.

The recommendation for #9 in regards to updates, contracts and providers.

Providers have been notified regarding what will be taking place with this.

And as contracts are amended or renewed, those who sorry.

Those performance evaluation requirements that were requested, they've been notified that this is something that's coming as contracts are renewed or amended, they will be implemented.

So we have our first one, which hasn't gone through. I think Bill's guitar doors is the first one. But as they're being renewed, like I said, they're they're being part of the contract.

So you have to program evaluation process established.

And you've told all the CE OS and as their contracts are being renewed, you're going to go through the evalu?

#12 that's also one that's been completed done last year and I said we can change this March with Miss Newbel.

So that's also another one that's completed.

So recommendation #16 may not be considered completed, but the process of recruiting.

Identifying officers, offering positions. They're going through the background process so that process has completed.

They just haven't started the role yet, but that's where we are with that process.

And then 17 already provided an update on so.

Michael, go ahead, Michael, Michael.

Going back one of the as I would be introduced a lot of the.

Implementation or the probation responses related to this.

Like electronic log book and.

Wanted to understand like what would and I'm sure it would be different depending on like what the electronic log book is for, but like what would that include?

Like, is that a system?

Change that you are going through. Is it, you know? Are you bringing in new software to do that?

Like, how is that going to play out in a lot of these spaces as it stands right now, it's.

A system that's been created by our IT department.

With probation to capture activities, movement, movement of new program participation appointments, we're still doing a lot of things by paper, so as to move away from that and be able to pull reports in regards to one of them, like the transfer, some fully use name you can pull.

All this items that the user participated in and or for vscc and reports in regards to the programming activity, we can pull it up at a glance versus having tracks so much pace for us so.

That's the ultimate goal of the system of capturing all of this, which all of this all of those things will be incorporated based on some of the recommendations from Terry got it.

And then when is that system going to be? Like completed for long?

We're ready to go.

It's ready to be piloted.

It just has to get the approval from the department.

So that's kind of where we are here.

And I also follow up to that too, like obviously.

Implementing it is.

One piece of it is there like an extra plan.

Is there another plan of how staff will be trained to use it so that it's like used, well, effective like yes, that will be a consensus around that will be a part of the process.

So the teams that were part of this have been the staff that will.

Be doing the work in addition to supervisors.

Managers who have different levels provide input. The system was put together. As I said, it was supposed to start piloting in January.

It didn't happen because of some shifts and changes, but the system is ready to go.

We just need to launch it and execute it.

So and then just for my clarity, everyone will be trained to use it like that is ever in charge of doing this.

It won't be just a certain group or a select group, it will be everyone that will be inputting or has the ability to input that into it.

And do you? If you know this, do you have any sense of?

This is a more subjective question, but from your you know perspective, do you have a sense?

Of.

The staff.

Perception or reliance on the OR staff perception on this type of transition is there put. Have you received any pushback internally on that?

Is there an awareness?

Has there been a long term awareness or will be announced like one time like hey, we're doing this now like yeah information was shared when it was being developed and worked on so staff knew it was coming.

You know, people were identifying like 6 to be the ones that will pilot the system.

So information has been shared.

I think it's being received well.

It's looking.

They're looking forward to it because, like I said, we do track a lot of paperwork.

So this would alleviate that.

So yeah, there's been no have not seen any pushback.

Trying to tell you.

I didn't mean to interrupt.

You're good recommendation #2.

So this is and I could be wrong about all of it, but this was one of the ones where probation didn't agree with the auditors analysis and their recommendation.

Can you explain a little bit about why that was?

When I was reading the recommended I, I just couldn't determine.

I know how you all came to that decision.

I was not a part of this process during that period, so I can't speak on it.

So this was brought to me around I think December. So some of these things were this was from July and already in motion. So I didn't get that. But I know the goal is to move towards the recommendation. So not quite sure why it wasn't agreed upon at.

That time, but it is being incorporated in what's happening with the department, got it.

So I have a note. Natalia. Yeah. From when this was presented last which says probation accidentally showed auditor controller's sign in sheet instead of the activity log.

Oh, so the auditor controller was saying the log is not the same, but it actually is the same and probation showed them the wrong thing. So maybe that's why.

It was.

They disagreed because they were like we have the same log right and.

Because if you look at the audited report, yeah.

Their their whole reasoning behind why they made this recommendation was that there was inconsistencies on across the board, right?

Like it should be a universal formula to use or.

I call it a form, an activity log that's used across all of the different facilities and that they weren't.

That it wasn't consistent, right?

And it seems to me like if that if that is the case, that's one place.

But I I guess I don't have enough information to share.

And like was it just that situation or was it? They looked at all the cancelling calls and then they realized from this out of all of them, two of them weren't using the same activity bar.

Or was it just that one situation?

Yeah, I guess we got it either said it wasn't there, but we did have a situation or we were at a place when each operation or Bureau was kind of doing their own things.

OK, which is something that we're working towards moving away from with getting more, OK.

And then and then here it's saying like you already kind of started that where where is it at timeline wise?

In regards to #2 recommendation 2:00 to.

This once the electronic electric launches, it would capture it'll be two.

And then I'm sorry, I have one more on that one.

Part of the implementation for this it talks about is it still #2? Yes #2. Sorry sorry.

Talks about.

A newly assigned deputy director.

I'm on Page 2, going up to Page 3.

Guys familiar with the project and then it talks about the timeline being March 2025 and then it says a teams access link will be provided for the requested document.

Can you explain that a little bit?

Well, I think that in the report and that was part of trying to get clarification, there was things requested from the committee as far as documentation and supporting what is being done.

Done so, there was a lot of documents that were created.

That was a little too much to scan and board, so that's what that was for.

So look at what's going on and where we are with things and or the documents of what's being done.

As of right now, we can upload them to this changelink and you can take a look at them so that we don't have to send them all and it seems like given to the auditor controller.

Yes, OK.

Got it.

OK, I was like, whoop, what is on? OK, that's helpful.

I think that's at least my question is.

So in terms of this, who is in charge of overseeing implementing falling through?

Is it you?

Is it Kristen nuvo?

It will be a collaboration as it as we transition to Miss Newbole taking it over. This will be her project because it's programming related so.

Eventually she will take over the leadership of this project.

I'm sorry, the Mous.

What was this?

What was the timeline on those may?

He said that, sorry.

The one that I need to get back to you on in regards to the Department of Arts and Cultures, I will the other ones.

And I will consult with the person that's overseeing this process to make sure those are right and that will be included in the next update as well.

I just have one question on the recommendation 16. I knew you mentioned that.

Recruiting internally, externally is underway. I just thought it also mentions about looking at the feasibility of any case management to the source.

Works, probation social workers that are coming in is that.

Is that what that means?

Like the recruitment and seeing what the OK, is there anything else?

Yeah, people have been offered positions that meet the qualifications, and so it's just a matter of them completing the background process and actually getting to the sign above.

We there's a group that's already been.

Cleared as far as the application and like I said, offered position.

It's just getting them to the assignment.

So yes, do you know how many people?

I don't wanna say for sure, but I know it was, give or take around 20.

Don't have the exact number, but around that number.

Not a question more like a comment.

And and you could take this or leave it.

I think it would be helpful, at least I'll say for me, maybe bring down other people like the formatting of this to understand like and maybe it's a chart form, but like what?

The auditor said what?

And some of this right like what their recommend, what the auditor's recommendations were, what your all's response to it is.

What the implementation is and then like a timeline like to show like hey from Giant where the timeline for this is from January 2025 to March 2025, right?

Like something that kind of just lays it all out that way.

So that we're not having to like look at two different documents and all that.

I think that would just be an easier and for you all right?

Like organize to understand like these are the points that we're trying to hit.

This is where we're at with it.

This is what it is all in like. One place, one kind of chart form.

So OK, take that. Leave it.

What you want with it?

I think that just will be extremely. It was something that was asked of the there's the audit committee.

Special committee and seems like.

Different things were asked, so that was a conversation that was had.

So moving forward, if that format 'cause there was like a matrix or a grid that was one update provided this was more of like a summary update.

So the matrix is the one that's requested, and we can do that.

We Dumbo.

Yeah, if it.

I mean, if there's a appreciate the summary, if there is a matrix too, I'd like to see that too.

That may have some more details and coherence it too.

Some of what the the auditor shared versus and I say that like for example like that situation with the Department of I always wanna say Animal care trust, dacc, arts and culture.

You know, if you had that laid out there, then you would know right over here, OK. This is how we're meeting those things.

So I think that would be helpful if you already have done that.

I think I would like to see that, but if not, again take it or leave it.

And so, and this may not be a question for you, this may be for audit controller unfortunately isn't here.

But there's supposed to be a follow up from the auditor controller on this, right?

Because you guys provided your follow up, but I think the auditor, the A/C is supposed to now do like a reassessment of everything.

Do you know that?

Not 100% sure on that, I know said they did their assessment.

The recommendations were made right and regards to providing updates as to where we are with getting those.

Target. That's about it.

My involvement in regards to what they're supposed to do, I'm not aware of.

Yeah, I think, I mean if you guys are familiar with audits, I think usually then they do another like here's the progress of everything where it is.

So I think that's coming, I don't know.

I.

I mean, I can't speak to a program audit in the county, but I think overall with audits, they, they rethrew things.

They provide the recommendations and then it's up to like, no, I don't see that the second move up where they're like, oh.

Later, this is where you are.

These are still not implemented.

These are not, yeah, but I would think that would be like an annual audit that you.

Yeah, I don't know.

I can't remember if this was a motion, right?

So I feel like we said in the motion to do another one, OK.

Yeah. So let me go back and look and I'll ask four months after four months after got it, OK.

Four months after publishing it, this was September.

I should be soon.

All right. I'll follow up with A/C because we should be coming back to you, Sean. All right.

See the department? Yes. OK.

And in regards to the updated or the metric that was sent into the special committee, send that to you, OK.

It's rdl.

Alright, so I'll send that to you and then like I said, we'll look forward.

I just need to know what format is preferred and we'll make sure we make it.

I mean this is fine. So I'd like to still be strange.

\*\*\*\*\* followers without it.

Do you have a question about the studies?

I don't have any questions specifically for this, but I see Wendy's in the back.

Wendy, have the has the POC hooked over and this or and if you haven't, do you offend too?

We have looked over it and we were consulted by the auditor controller in process and we have an ad hoc subcommittee on relationships with community based organizations that is also submitted a set of recommendations.

They're different than these because they're from the perspective.

Of the community based organizations, but some of them overlap and have been in communication with the probation department as well about the overlap and the process for improving some of these issues where it impacts both the organization and provision.

As Mr. Arrington said, the transition in leadership has caused delays in that.

But there there was a meeting and we have been told that we'll be getting feedback from probation in two weeks about how they're proceeding on those recommendations.

As well.

So it's a definitely a ongoing.

Thank you.

Do you have any questions?

About removing public comment for this item.

Any public comment for this item? Please speak up or raise your hand themes.

All right, Mr. Carroll, we'll start your two minutes when you start the evening, yes.

**DC** David Carroll 1:56:36

To bring attention to the fact that we have executed the contract with the CBO that will be assisting with the movement from Laco to the units back and forth, we



actually executed that.

Believe it was March 1st and there are roughly 30 folks that they've identified and 25 have completed like filled out their background check and done the live scan.

I think one has been cleared so far, so I believe.

Believe that our only delay right now is just awaiting the the background check. They take a little bit longer when it's a person with lived experience because they have to do a more extensive type of of dlj background check so I can provide updates to the the board.

Deputies on exact numbers and when they applied things like that if if requested.

 **Dardy Chen** 1:57:33

Thank you very much.

Any other public comment on this item?

OK, seeing that we move to general public comment, are there any general public comments today?

Please speak up. We'll raise your hand.

OK. Can we return to this game screen this meeting?

Make sure you're going.

Very much.

Thank you.

Thank you.

□ **Dardy Chen** stopped transcription