



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS  
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS  
HOLLY J. MITCHELL  
LINDSEY P. HORVATH  
JANICE HAHN  
KATHRYN BARGER

**Correspondence Received**

The following individuals submitted comments on agenda item:				
Agenda #	Relate To	Position	Name	Comments
9.		Favor	andrew mandujano	my name is Andrew Mandujano I am a policy intern with the anti recidivism coalition as someone who has been going to camp Kilpatrick and working with the youth I think its important that some of the people who work directly with these youth who might be impacted should be included. i've seen how this camp has changed some youths attitudes and well being. this is a great camp that is a great environment for those who are trying to better themselves and not get involved in what others may, these youth worked hard to get out of other facilities like Barry j or lp only to be moved back. I think the board should take control of probations actions. that's why I support this bill because we need this for our youth
			helen eigenberg	
			Stacey Byrnes	Because of the interdependence of facilities, staffing, programming, and health and other services, this proposal seems well-designed to foster the development of a sustainable plan. On a related point, because population numbers are key underpinnings of a global plan, projections will be necessary. Those projections should contemplate, among other things, the likely results of: (1) frequent, regular reviews of each member of the youth population aimed at determining whether changed circumstances favoring either release or a less restrictive placement exist (and so should be communicated to the appropriate court); and (2) moving, with the help of other county departments, sub-populations better served elsewhere, such as youth who would not have been detained in locked facilities but for the lack of safe homes to return to. This motion, by laying out a process that would bring the various departments together, provides a good structure for these population analyses.



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS  
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS  
HOLLY J. MITCHELL  
LINDSEY P. HORVATH  
JANICE HAHN  
KATHRYN BARGER

**Correspondence Received**

The following individuals submitted comments on agenda item:				
Agenda #	Relate To	Position	Name	Comments
9.		<b>Favor</b>	Tyrique Shipp	<p>Good Morning Board of supervisors My name is Tyrique Shipp, and I serve as a Probation Oversight Commissioner, but today I am speaking in my capacity as the Advocacy Associate at the Anti-Recidivism Coalition. I strongly support the motion introduced by Supervisors Horvath and Hahn to ensure transparency and accountability in the Probation Department's facility planning process.</p> <p>It is deeply concerning that the Probation Department developed a plan impacting youth in custody without meaningful collaboration with key County stakeholders, including the Department of Health Services, the Department of Mental Health, and the Department of Youth Development. The lack of coordination risks undermining critical services that directly impact youth well-being, such as mental health care, medical support, and access to family visitation.</p> <p>Furthermore, Probation's repeated failure to follow Board directives—such as limiting the use of OC spray, ensuring all custodial staff carry Narcan, and prioritizing the decarceration of girls and gender-expansive youth—demonstrates the urgent need for stronger oversight. If we are serious about implementing a Care First, Jails Last approach, then major decisions about youth facilities must be made with transparency, proper review, and community input. There will be hundreds of displaced staff, providers, and county partners if this plan is to go into effect. Lastly, the young people do not want to move from there because it has allowed them to be structurally release.</p> <p>I urge the Board to pass this motion to ensure that all future facility plans are subject to Board approval, stakeholder engagement, and public accountability. The young people impacted by these decisions deserve nothing less.</p> <p>Thank you.</p>

The following individuals submitted comments on agenda item:				
Agenda #	Relate To	Position	Name	Comments
9.		<b>Oppose</b>	Kamryn Mercer	<p>This raises serious concerns:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Inappropriate Facility Design: Kilpatrick was designed specifically for young men, with co-living spaces and shared showers that are not suitable for female youth.</li> <li>• Delayed Step-Down Facility: Instead of utilizing Kilpatrick, the plan proposes building a new step-down facility on the Barry J. campus. However, given Probation's historically slow pace, this delay would leave many young men stuck in the compound.</li> <li>• Impact on Rehabilitation: Boys who could have been sent to Kilpatrick will now have to wait for the new step-down facility, despite Barry J. not being designed to effectively prepare them for reintegration into society.</li> <li>• Harmful Relocation for Girls: Sending young women to Malibu isolates them from their families and places them in a facility that was never intended for their needs, further disrupting their support systems and rehabilitation.</li> </ul>
		<b>Item Total</b>	<b>5</b>	
<b>Grand Total</b>			<b>5</b>	