

Transcript

February 19, 2025, 10:01PM

Operations Cluster 0:03

OK, perfect. All right, we will go ahead and get started.

Today is February 19th and this is the operations cluster meeting which is beginning at 2:01 PM if my colleagues could please introduce themselves starting with the 1st District Tammy to provide the speakers office.

Configuration provide the map with office.

Good afternoon, everybody.

John Leonard, supervisor of the Forecast Office grant showroom.

Hi Michelle Vega with supervisor barger's office.

We are calling as you need to order.

Can we please call general public comment? Thank you, Michelle.

Does anyone here in person who would like to provide public comment please come up at this time?

Seeing none, if there's anyone online who wishes to provide public comment, please use the raise. Raise your hand function that will call on you.

If you're unable to raise your hand, please unmute your device and begin.

Saying here none.

Back with third. Oh. All right, we will begin today's board meeting with three, which is board motion items.

We will start. SC1 has a motion on proclaiming March as Arts Education Month and empowering future creative leaders through the launch of the Bloomberg Arts Internship program.

Good afternoon everyone. I'm Kim Ortega.

I serve as communications director for the Deputy Federal Bureau, and before you today is a motion to proclaim the Minutes of March as participation month.

And it also is the first step in making the implementation of the LA County High School Art Internship program.

And I have had the opportunity to help Members.

Alley.

Is there any other information you want to share?

You want to just go to Q&A.

We can go.

I mean, if I, I'll just say that supervisor has been deleted a lot of times as a relationship internship program under the Cultural Inclusion Initiative.

She helps to expand the program from four year universities to targeting Community College students at community colleges, and then during the Clinton pandemic back in 20. She really helped to save the program from getting cut.

Indefinitely so.

It was important for us.

And then talking about letter.

OK.

Any questions from my colleagues?

How many kids is it an existing program that we're trying to explain?

No, it hasn't.

It's it's. It's a new program.

So we have the College Arts internship program, which is for college students.

This would be kind of building on the success of that program. The model target specifically for high school students. Right now we're working with foundation to put the program plan together, but we're looking at about up to 30 students annually in summer internship.

Program and in school during school year, opportunities for work based learning and vision.

How those kids will be selected and where they're gonna be coming from, that's all part of the program design plan.

So right now, we're gonna be accepting a \$200,000 grant from Bloomberg at the planning year and working very closely with them to determine all of those.

Those components and and what the like geographic location.

Organization for both service home sites. All of that will be determined as we go through the process, but looking at course that students that are traditionally underserved and under represented got it.

What are the outcomes of the program or all that stuff is gonna be determined during that?

Thank you.

Do you have something? No.

I actually have some questions I wanted to build on what Kofi was asking for the selection of the students is, is there any sense for?

How can we ensure that students come from North County or that there's the ability for North County, since there's often times under representation for yes. So we want to work with each board office to help inform what areas of the county were prioritizing because we we want to.

Make sure that there are work sites within the area.

Is that we're prioritizing the organizations that are we're trying to target organizations that have the capacity and the history of working with high school students because it requires a certain background and specialty in terms of how to work with.

And so we'll be working with with offices, we can definitely talk to you about what it looks like in the North county and what organizations we might want to target there as well.

Sites OK. And then is the 200,000 supposed to last for one year or two years?

It's for the first year really, just for staffing costs and start up costs. The full cost of program we're estimating right now about \$1.8 million, we're going to be taking this first year working with Wilbur to make sure that the full costs are represented in.

The program plan and in the budget.

And they have a history for this program, specifically funding the full rate, including staff.

So the the start up here will really be primarily staffing costs, OK. And so the inference is that this would be no county dollars contributed toward this.

This would all be through Bloomberg, and when you talk about the 1.8 million over, what kind of time period is does that encompass over three years?

So via first year planning and then two cohorts of students.

And twenty 2627 and 2728.

OK, the only thing I I might recommend is because I was a little unclear on the funding and if there is county funding involved, you might just wanna include in the motion that this would not require any county funding or resources.

Just one additional we were saying stop. It's gonna become staff, right?

You know, when we create from that, yes.

It was actually included as an item in our budget request.

Just the item added to our ordinance so we can have the authority to hire. Got it.

Thank you.

We're really excited about this motion and I'm actually about this program.

It's really important for the county to bring outside dollars, especially for arts, where

we know that we're going to go in some pretty dry and harsh years. Budgetary, so excited about this program, it's going to serve the entire county.

So I know I included our arts deputy, Gina. I don't know if she's got questions at all for this or if anybody else who's on the phone has any questions from the board.

EG Ender, Gina 6:32

Yeah, I can ask a question if that's OK. Do we know how many high schoolers it'll serve? And are we going to be working with the same nonprofits that we have for the college students?

OC Operations Cluster 6:35

Yes.

EG Ender, Gina 6:43

Is that the anticipated plan?

OC Operations Cluster 6:47

Yeah. So it'll be the first year we're estimating probably about 20 high school students to start with and then the program will operation would be about 30 students and we are looking at OGP organizations and current grantees also leaving it open for anyone, any other organization that has.

That history to apply to be a host site.

EG Ender, Gina 7:10

Awesome. That's all for me. Thank you.

OC Operations Cluster 7:12

Gina, I'll also mention that when we have a history of continuing the program beyond the anticipated, you know like contract.

So we're hoping that the success of this program, you know, we're able to bring them back for another, you know, 10 years hopefully.

EG Ender, Gina 7:29

Thanks Kim.

That's helpful.

oc Operations Cluster 7:33

Anyone else have questions on the phone?

OK, Michelle, Despite that in regards to any changes to the motion for the motion of public hearings, you need to make sure that it's identified as an addition, like a revised, OK.

OK.

Thank you.

I'll resubmit it to the.

Thank you.

Sasha, thank you.

Now we're going to move on to the next item. Under the board motions and that's the resolution approving.

The reissuance of tax exempt multi family housing mortgage revenue notes for the Corazon de vie Affordable housing project.

Thank you, Michele.

So I'm going to bring this for our homelessness deputies.

This was a board letter requested by Lacta that we're bringing forward.

So this is essentially a simple way.

This is a 90 unit affordable housing project in Panorama City, the San Fernando Valley. This is regarding phase two of the project.

Phase two was recently completed, so they are now converting their construction financing to permanent financing and what that requires, even though it's essentially the same financing, but the conversion is essentially a re issuance of the tax exempt bonds. And so as part of that, we have to provide.

A resolution agreeing to that lacked is the actual issuer of the notes they're going to be doing the hearings, but we have to submit.

A resolution agreed to it and also.

Saying that there's exempt from sequel, so this is like essentially ministerial here and it's yeah, it's. It's because, I mean, lack is really doing it.

It's county owned property, but the board is over everything so.

Any questions from my colleagues?

No. Is there a reason why it's a motion or not a board letter?

It's timing, OK.

OK, perfect.

Any questions from anybody on the phone?

You know, Thomas, I I'm so sorry.

I'm going to make a change here.

We're probably going to need to open up a public comment after each of the motions now moving forward. So we'll go ahead and reopen up if anybody has, if any members of the public are on the line or here in person, that would like to comment on either.

This motion or the previous motion, please raise your hand and do so now.

Any day soon, then. OK, seeing none, we will move the item. Thank you.

OK. The next item is under discussion items A which is a board letter from Registrar Recorder.

On down.

And if you could, please introduce yourself.

Good afternoon, everyone.

My name is Jerome Jordan, assistant registrar, recorder over admin Bureau.

Good afternoon.

I'm Portia Sanders, the division manager over the Public records division, for we'll be brief.

We are previously here in July of 2024 to request permission to enter into negotiations for a sole source agreement with LexisNexis Bottle Check who handles our online credit card payment transaction van in person.

Thanks. Phone call transaction.

For document recording, vital records business names.

So we we received permission from you all to do the negotiations and now we're we're back with A5 year contract that includes 21 year renewal options and six months to month renewal options.

A couple other things that I wanted to note about the contract and then I'll turn it over to you to go into some more detail about the service.

Is that this is a 0 cost contract with the county.

We don't incur any fees.

Answer This all the fees are incurred by the customer when they engage in these services or request these services.

The other thing I wanted to note that this contract includes a slight fee increase from \$9 for the online request to 1075.

So it's \$1.75 increase, but the the fees for in person on the phone.

Fax or remain the same and the last thing I just wanted to point out in, in the wake of the recent.

Wildfires by tragedies.

They've actually also raised their fees for victims of the fire, who called in the request.

Their records through their servers.

So with that alternative Porsche, you could talk a little bit more about the service and how it works and then we'll be happy to take any questions.

Thank you, Jerome.

I just wanted to mention that Belichick did stand up a call Center for the fire victims free of charge to help us with assisting those people for the battle check system.

It allows us to take credit card, debit card, Samsung.

Pay Apple Pay. They are also working on PayPal going forward to be able to assist everyone.

Roughly 350,000 people per year are able to use this service, whether it be providers or people not coming into our office as people do their services online from the comfort of their home in their pajamas instead of coming down to the to the offic.

It is a great help to us they also.

Do the certification.

Or the electronic authentication of individuals that is required by law for real property records and fictitious business name, and that eliminates the need for the customer to go see a notary and the \$10 fee that is going up to 1075 is still cheaper than the.

\$15.00 that they would have to do to have a notary take care of that service for them.

Great. Thank you.

Are there any questions for my colleagues?

I just wanted to ask if there was a charge per document or per transaction per transaction.

So if I wanted five gestures because it's just one cost, correct.

That's really good. Thank you.

Anybody else?

OK.

Thank you so much.

Thank you.

Thank you.

Move this item move this item.

Now we move on to item B, which is Department of Human Resources. The approval of a contract for Ready evaluation services.

Good afternoon.

Johann Yulin assistant director DHR Sarah Ravanis, senior manager over county wide exams for DHR.

OK.

Good afternoon, everyone.

Thank you so much for having us.

I'm here to talk to you about a contract you would like to continue to make use of for county wide exams in the many exams that we administer, both for county wide use and for departments, an increasing number of those exams makes use of a writing proficiency ***.

So as we talk to subject matter experts and hiring managers both before an exam takes place and after an exam, we're hearing increasingly that writing is very important.

Particularly with administrative jobs in the county.

So we have been offering writing assessments as part of our examination programs in which candidates will complete the writing sample they are sent to Raiders and there's a blind review process in which scores are retrieved and received back for those assessments and it can contribute to their overall.

Exam score. I'm very pleased to share with you up front that we have conducted analysis of the outcomes of the writing assessments.

And there has been no evidence of impact or adverse impact or candidates based on gender or ethnicity. So that is good news. Absolutely. Just last year alone, we had 26 exams across the county that made use of writing assessments and we've had more than 18 departments that.

Have made use of writing assessments in their exams, again showing how important writing is for their jobs and those have assessed more than.

Between 1800 to 2200 candidates each year.

So we are seeing an increase in the interest for these assessments and so we know this will be a service that we want to continue to offer to all departments.

So we are here today to kindly ask for approval to continue to offer this service to departments. We're asking for delegated authority for our Director of personnel to execute the writing evaluation services contract and amendments and the ability to

increase it by 10% on each year.

Within the scope of the contract, if required so the services this includes is. As I mentioned, our candidates will complete a writing sample.

We will send those samples to our vendor and Raiders will evaluate and review those writing samples.

That is blind process.

They do not receive any personally identifying information about any of the candidates, and they review each sample and provide a score.

Back to us, based on what they read there, Raiders are required to have doctorate degrees in English literature or composition.

And we received copies of their resumes and CVs to review before they are given an orientation and brought up speed on our process.

So within this contract.

The vendor must provide the Raiders. We give them orientation and.

They will provide us our scores that we use for any exams that include the writing assessment.

I will share that this is done on an as needed basis, so it is only as we make use of writing assessments that the services are needed and we are charged for their services. So for the contract itself this is a three-year contract with two one year.

Options for a five year total possible term. The contractor will be paid \$30 per valuation, but Please note that all contract service expenses such as consultant time cost and you batch upload cost or other administrative costs.

Are included in that \$30 fee. The contract, as I mentioned, does not guarantee a minimum amount of business and we are only accrued cost to pay if the work is performed.

Cost for these contract services are estimated at \$183,000 for the initial three-year term with an additional 122,000 if we exercise between one year options to extend. That's grand total of \$305,000. Expenses incurred are fully offset.

By Billings to county departments, who make use of these services.

For this contract, we released a invitation for bid back in June of 2024 and we posted the IFB on is DS Contracts website.

It was sent to over 100 registered vendors.

Dhr also notified 3 vendors identified as providers of exam scoring services.

CPS was the only vendor that responded to the Ifb and was determined to be responsive and responsible, and is therefore recommended by DHR for a writing

evaluation services contract.

And I would note that they are the incumbent as well.

They held them on track in in the past.

Any questions for my colleagues?

Do you see how many people took those exams?

So how many?

You know, exams were scored.

Last year.

Last year, 26 exams included the writing assessment and so across those 26 GIF exams, there were 1800 to about 2200 candidates in total. That completed the writing assessment.

And the other question I have is why is it that we're, you know, using this model of kind of working with, let's say an HR consulting?

I'm assuming that when we did the big.

Out it was for that kind of organization.

Why did we use that model rather than maybe having an agreement with the Community College or, you know, school around here? You know, English department there to not only come up with the exam, but also maybe have them score for a few because they are the ones who.

Have the expertise in age and I would assume that that HR consulting team will also go that direction in the back end.

So why didn't we do that?

They would have been able to respond to the solicitation.

If they were interested in pursuing that opportunity, so they would have had the opportunity to to provide us with a quote, if they were interested in pursuing this, did we have out of the folks who applied for solicitation, did we have any institutions because the other thing is.

Did we intentionally reach out to those kind of institutions to actually be?

I'm not sure I'd have to talk to our contracting folks.

If there was outreach to those organizations.

But we can find out, OK.

I think it answers more questions.

I just wanted to ask if there was consideration for, you know, utilization of more advanced technology for the contract so that you know to make it more cost effective moving forward?

Yeah. So we are currently looking at that.

We look at the, you know, this is right now this is scored by real humans, albeit humans with PhDs in English.

So they're very, very qualified. At the same time, we're exploring options to apply artificial intelligence.

This arena we're doing so very carefully.

We want to avoid any potential for bias.

We are happy to report, as Sarah had mentioned, that there's no bias in our current process that we'd like to use that as part of the machine learning. If we can teach.

An algorithm to score these properly then and, and it jives with prior scores that were administered. We would use prior administrations to to develop an algorithm that could score these.

We're not there yet.

So it's certainly something that we're going to explore though. The other piece to this is that I'm very wary of having artificial intelligence serve as a as a central component in a decision making process.

So it's something that we would have to look at to to determine whether or not it's a viable way forward. Departments, as Sarah had said, are very, very interested in this service overall.

I and I understand that there is a great need for evaluating writing skill before hiring someone.

So the service does provide a very, very valuable insight into someone's skills and at the same time that comes at a cost, and I see that looking at this contract right, that it's that the \$30 per candidate is a heavy cost at the same time.

We're not yet ready with new technologies.

To replace the human raters just yet.

OK. Like I said, maybe there's just opportunity in the future where you're using or they're using artificial intelligence to scan the typo so that all the typos and grammatical errors are just scanned.

But then there's still human oversight. Sure, overall.

Content ability to, you know, effectively articulate a message.

Sure. Just like the mechanical portions of it.

Yeah, right.

Speaking of overarching issue of improving the level of writing for you know, maybe employees, right?

Some sort of want to hire good writers first, and then you get that you should've been back. And I know there's some central banks that actually have writing centers where they're, you know, so maybe that's also a model that, you know, HR can look at as a res.

For the county, where you know on the cost like departments that use it, can, you know, pay for it kinda thing where maybe you could have a, you know, a writing center, people.

Working there, you know where they provide, you know.

Like in any college writing center where you come in, you get coached on writing.

Or maybe they give also you know trainings or whatever that session that people can attend, I don't.

Yeah. And I believe that DHR has some of those things throughout one of our sister divisions.

Yes, and I believe some of that is also offered through SEIU to their members.

So I believe there are some things like that already in place. The idea being though with this is as a selection tool as you try to identify those who have well developed writing skills.

Any other questions or concerns?

Thank you.

Thank you.

Next to me, I'll move on to foresee which is treasure, tax collector, delegation authority to invest in the single adoption of the TTC investment policy.

Hi everyone.

Good afternoon, Jennifer, Quiet operations, chief TTC.

I oversee the TT CS internal controls branch and manage the team that does the accounting for the county's investments with me.

I also have Tony Mason on the far end, our Chief Assessment Officer and Ben Effinger, our operations chief, over our cash management team.

This is the Treasurer's annual letter requesting the board to one delegate authority to the Treasurer to invest on his behalf and to adopt the Treasurer's investment policy.

So as a quick refresher, we are the treasurer for the county and various local entities such as the local school districts, the sanitation districts and the Superior Court.

Collectively, we refer to these funds as the Treasury.

In our role as Treasurer, we are responsible for banking and investment and the accountability of these public funds.

We invest the Treasury's excess cash daily with our primary objectives being the safety of principal, maintaining liquidity and achieving a return in that order. To help us do this and to allow us to manage cash flows in emergent situations, we diversify the Treasury's holdings across short and long term investments, making sure that we maintain adequate levels of liquidity. Specifically, our cash management team proactively reviews cash needs, anticipates potential impacts of cash related events and adjusts the projected cash flows accordingly. They then communicate that information to our investment office, who times investment maturities to cover six months of Oper.

As an example, our cash management team recently worked with the other county departments and various treasury pool participants to identify the potential impact of federal funding on our cash flow so that our investment office could ensure we had enough liquidity to meet our fiscal obligations.

So when we're preparing the investment policy each year, we do two things. 1st we work with the state Treasurer's office to determine if there are any legislative changes that we need to incorporate.

And then second, we look at the current investing environment to see if we need to make any changes to help us better meet our primary objectives.

For the policy this year, we're not recommending any changes, but we have made our standard updates to the intermediate, medium and long term investment limits referenced in attachment 3.

And our board letter also includes an update on our investment statistics related to environmental, social and corporate governance, also known as ESG. As you may recall, back in 2021, we began incorporating ESG scores into our process for determining investment issuer limits. During this past year, we.

Have continued with our existing approach, which has not impacted the Treasury's return on investments.

The number and percentage of our approved issuers with ESG scores has slightly increased.

And our purchase volume from issuers with ESG scores.

Has also slightly increased.

That said, we have no further changes to our approach at this time.

That concludes our presentation today.

Any questions from?

Any members, OK, perfect.

Thank you. Thank you.

Good afternoon.

So we're from the Sheriff's Department.

I'm assuming you I'm a senior contract analyst at the contract unit with me and Mr. Scott Goodwin of Office of Technology and Planning, among other things.

So we're presenting an announcement letter.

Carmen's intent to enter into negotiations with Harris, Computer and Syscon justice system.

To negotiate an amendment to extend the current agreement for a period of three years.

The current agreement expires in August on August 17th now.

The agreement is to continue the maintain the maintenance and support of the jail information management system, which we call gems, which helps us to.

Manage the daily.

You know, manage the county jails, which has an average population of approximately 15,000 inmates, so.

Just a little background. Back in October, we came before you to present another advanced notification letter also with SYSCON to begin negotiations on the successor system, which we call the Integrated Jail Information System.

So as of as of today, we are engaged.

Engaged in negotiations as well as contract and technical document development.

And I wanna say we're we're getting close to to finalizing those things and we hope to return before you in, in a few months to present a contract for execution.

So the the extension is the extension for gyms is is for three years and that we we believe that that will allow us to complete the implementation of the successor system. We do plan to terminate this agreement for convenience once the successor system is in place and with.

I'll open up any questions you may have.

Thank you so much.

Anybody have any questions?

Just want to make first.

I appreciate that you guys are working on this and trying to get into a new system.

I know that especially for the Sheriff's Department, there's there has not been a lot of systems where we move to something new.

It's constantly like extending, extending, extending and then after a while you realize

you have a 25 year old system and there's nobody left alive to support it.

So I'm glad to see that the department is moving toward this.

I would urge the department to wrap them up quicker because obviously these systems cannot.

Fail right?

And I understand that you need to overlap so that everything is covered, but you know technology is changing so quickly and the county doing like these three-year implementation and roll outs. We're not keeping up. So I would encourage, I know this is unhelpful to you, I recogn.

That, but I I would like you to take the message back to the department to to work on getting these out quicker, I mean.

CAD is a perfect example.

Of a system that.

Should not be failing, but is failing and and we're trying to do something.

We've been trying to do something for the past few years so.

Yeah. Thank you though for for your work on this.

I will ask.

I'm just curious what.

What is the information that's in Jim's?

So we we kept.

There's, there's and. It speaks to what comment you made.

We have two systems that are managing our jail population.

We have a legacy 40 year old maintain application that covers the four pillars of a gel manage system, booking movement, housing release.

We're in. In addition to that, we have ancillary system, which is gyms which assist college degree. When we have here we need extended.

That was brought in about 20 years ago, and that covers banking trust, accounting, property and some of our capital to security checks.

So the strategy and this was again after the after the Gardener strategic assessment was trying to speak to what what you're saying about trying to move this along quickly agile approach in terms of how we're going to manage project management perspective as well as development is going to.

Be agile.

So we're going to try and move this stuff along quicker, faster.

It's got a technology stack.

It is.

It will.

It's not gonna be quickly sunsetted.

There's a technology stack that's gonna be current.

Just play resources in in the industry that we can acquire to help supplement it. If we need to.

So the strategy again was to take what we currently have and do an upgrade.

So basically there is we we own licensing for those 444 pillars that the legacy system is running.

We own licensing for that, so this is.

You know, we come back in June with the new agreement.

We're basically we're doing an upgrade in places where we have, but the technology staff will change.

We will activate the licenses that we negotiated and have never used going back 20 years because when we looked at 20 years ago was just to to keep or to bring in introduce the the banking, the property, these other ancillary things.

But now you know, our legacy system has got to be replaced. So this is the quickest way forward. Most cost effective way of getting this this accomplished.

But to your point, as far as.

Trying to expedite this.

We think that this approach from project manage standpoint by development standpoint with agile that we should be able to achieve those objectives.

Oh, thank you for mentioning that using using agile.

How long are you for the current?

The other two so.

Basically what?

What this will allow us to do is to keep this contract going while we're developing the other project or product, right?

How long will it take to develop that other product so?

We're we're looking at about a 24 month timeline from time to signing. It'll take us about 20-4 months to execute and and completely decommission the the legacy mainframe system. And then this system.

So we'll we'll move.

We'll move off the off the mainframe system.

The legacy system will move off that and then we bring over these ancillary systems

that we already have been using for the last 20 years. They'll be three platform on the on the same platform.

So I'll have a single platform that's going to bring all of our jail management capabilities in line.

And then addition to that, we will start to over over the years after our first phase implementation, we start to consolidate at the recommendation of Gardner. We start to consolidate some of our other other systems that are doing other specialty functions like discipline, special handles and other things.

We do to manage our jail population.

We've got a portfolio of about 5 about 3540 systems that run our custody division. So the objective, as pointed out by Gardner is to try and consolidate some of these these.

As well, so that'll be a second phase.

The first phase is to get off the mainframe, avoid the risk we're running with not having any resource available to support this.

So we'll get that accomplished in 24 months after signing and then we'll move into the phase two. We start to consolidate as well as and the people in the backroom are already partnering with us.

The ISAT folks is doing a deeper data integration with our justice partners with the courts public defender.

Healthcare social services.

So and that'll be part of our second phase approach as well.

So in 24 months, two years, we'll be able to move at least some of the basic functions that we're using from that mainframe system onto the new system, correct?

Why are we asking for trying to negotiate for three years when we're thinking about, you know, doing that change management within two years?

Because I'm assuming that you know the two years is.

Like 100% being away from that mainframe. And since you talk about agile and change management.

Then there will be a timeline of phased in. You know, approach where you're going to increasingly move away from that mainframe, correct?

Like because you're not going to just unplug.

So why is it that if we already have that plan and whatnot, we just think it through?

I think that what the vendor has, again, they've come back and they said we think they can execute this appropriately to do it. But if we haven't, again we're still in

contract negotiation, but.

Yeah, we're, we're we're trying to push this in in 24.

So they're they're right now saying hey.

It looks like what we're looking at is probably about a 30 to 36 month execution, but I said, hey, we're taking a different approach. This agile approach, we think can we move this in quicker?

So those are conversations we're having in our negotiations. I think they're they feel comfortable in a 36 month to give them enough time to ramp up resources and so forth.

But our position is to try and complete and then I don't know if you're asking for COVID, but we're gonna have. Unfortunately, we do a Big Bang.

We we won't be able to incrementally in the 24 months.

We can't do the four pillars.

We can't just do booking.

And then we're gonna. It's all gonna come up at the same time because of the fact that the system touches every law enforcement A/C in the county.

And they're and they're using different functions and features. If we were trying to do it incrementally over the 24 months we we could, for instance, the way the agile approach is gonna is gonna take take effect is we could actually let's say, knock out some of the.

The release functions or some of the housing functions within 1212 months?

But to stand those up is gonna require a significant amount of of interfacing to to continue to feed.

What we're doing on some of the legacy stuff, so it would create more complications and create more risk that right now the strategy is to is to do The Big Bang.

So let's say the 24 month timeline is firm.

We would.

We would unplug and we would come up with those four how we consider the amount of like shots he's gonna bring to the system, to our staff and especially to the implementation like like this.

This could, like, really disrupt us if we wanna do a big bank thing, right?

Like what?

Like how we consider that like we have in terms of.

The training we're looking at that now.

How do we ramp up training just in time, but some of the things we will be doing is

we will, we will be running things in parallel.

So it it will have an idea that we'll pick, let's say, a station for a booking and we'll.

Piece of the jail and we will run things in parallel to see that how the system's behaving, how it's performing and then we're going to be using some some tools to

stress test the system to make sure that it can ramp up and support user base of.

You know, let's say 8000.

Concurrent users or something of that effect.

So we're gonna do this all in advance of actually going live, but.

The big challenge we're gonna have is trying to get the just in time training cuz it is training from a booking perspective to a release and there's everything in between and there's a different range of staff that are there gonna be interacting with the system. So yes, I.

Mean we the cost and the time to to to execute it for you incrementally would it would stretch, it would both would increase both the cost and the schedule if we were to try and do this incrementally.

Mostly it's depend upon having the back feed the interfaces re engineer interfaces to feed some of the functionality that that would be peeled off and and running in another system.

So that means like right now all those parties will be impacted, staff and whatnot are included right now. So that we have a user centered design to again make sure that this transition actually works. 'cause I'm I'm, I'm.

I'm skeptical that this will work the way you're trying. You know you're trying to accomplish it.

OK, anyway.

On the on the fun side, I'm seeing the funny side in a welfare fun, but I know there's a main funding source revenue from that fun has been eliminated by the board.

So are we.

Why are we committing three more years of expenditures to that fund when right now I know the board is looking into? I think you did maybe one or nine.

To fund any you know.

Expenditure that are associated with this fund.

So do we have enough money in that?

What is the balance in the fund right now?

Well, we have had internal discussion regarding this.

We've historically used in their world refund for this for this project but.

Should it?

Should it arise that in May, what will bring cannot cover the cost of maintenance support? I believe we had the conversation with custody division that they would have to fund them of their budget for this project to keep it, keep it running until I just operational. So ess.

What we're saying is, hey, we're going to commit those that to that contract. For that number of years, but maybe at year 1.5, we don't know how we're gonna fund that that project. You guys go figure out how you're gonna fund it.

Is that what I'm hearing?

That, that, that, that would be an internal discussion within the department. A custody division is aware of the of the situation and they they will have to just keep an eye on it.

And like I said, as soon as I just becomes operational, we can turn.

We're not committed.

To the full three years, if we implementation comes in under three years, we'll terminate for convenience with 30 day notice.

We don't.

We're not gonna pay them beyond active termination.

Have we got contracts where like we commit, you know we say, hey, we're going to have this contract for 3-4 years and then but we don't have money to pay for it at year three or four.

Is a question no?

Yeah, in general, right?

All of us like 'cause, you know? Yeah, we we do.

We have 4 letters that come in and say that we have funding for this fiscal year and future requests would be made to see. Yeah, OK. I think everyone comes in like that. 'cause it's it's just a budget for one year, one year.

All right, OK, it's not ideal.

It's not ideal but.

OK.

Any other questions?

OK.

Thank you.

You are supposed to come in six months.

Yeah.

Welcome. We're here for the contract between County of LA and in for public sector. For the pixel, really application management services.

Yes, welcome.

Well, thank you very much for having us today.

I'm Fernando Angel, assistant director for Information Systems Advisory Board.

We have our project director here for the application that he we're proposing today.

We bring in a contract in front of you.

It is a contract between Infor Sector.

Public sector it is a six year contract with one year option to extend. So it's a total of 10 years.

We have a current contract with the current vendor. We are coming six months before that contract sunsets.

So we did do an RFP and we have a presentation.

So can give you a little bit more information about what this service is on forth.

Good afternoon.

So proactive information exchange, what we call pigs.

Is the integration bus for countrywide criminal justice, immigration and isab must operate this system.

Think of it as a FedEx hub for digital information being exchanged between all different agencies and systems in the criminal justice.

So as that support contract is expected to expire in August 2025, we initiate an RFP process last year to continue. That import is the vendor of the software platform.

The platform is called cloverleaf.

It is 24 by 7 by 365 support and is currently supported by a hybrid team consisting of ISEF staff, INFORSTAFF and ISD staff.

So the contract is flexible to provide support of existing interfaces. The ability to modernize any interfaces.

For example, that would be last year in 2023, when the court migrated from mainframe system to their current Odyssey case management system. Pix was there to understand all the interfaces going in and out of the court and he basically coordinated the information delivery to all the.

Different partners through that.

And obviously we can develop new interfaces as necessary in the contract.

On the next slide.

Previously so the agencies involved are all the current isab agencies such as the GA,

the PD, the court, the Sheriff, Probation department, but also another set of ancillary departments that that need to interface with the criminal justice community, such as DHS, we, you know, correctional health services within.

DHS, DCFS and all 45 of the local law enforcement agencies.

The city police departments.

Do interact with picks as well.

So we integrate with 35 different county wide systems. We send and receive over 10 million messages per month and there's been no severity one service level breaches of the past seven years under the existing contract.

Some of the major use cases that we do is we process booking updates from the sheriff and communicate that to the partners court proceeding updates. So as Odyssey court proceedings happen, lawyers get moved.

All these kinds of functions that gets broadcast over to the community partners that that care about, that we do electronic subpoenas for the District Attorney to over 45 law enforcement agencies partners. But we're also looking to expand that for the public defender and the alternate public defe.

As well.

And we also do like inmate movement updates from the sheriff.

So just that system that Scott.

Was presenting earlier as they move inmates, we actually take those movements and communicate that to health services.

So they know what to do in their.

So some other use cases is isab also operates the consolidated criminal history system, so PIX is the mechanism that delivers all these updates from various systems to make sure that the cheers gets all the information it needs to keep that record updated. We are involved in bail reform.

Interfaces, case initiation filings. Juvenile. So anything that agencies need to share within this community.

They come talk to us and then we work with them to figure out what's interfaces existing, that they can subscribe to, or something new that needs to be done.

So last year we started the RFP and RFP was released in April and we received proposals in July, selected the vendor in September and did contract negotiations in November with subcontractor risk management and the sale office also did a review and analysis and supported our contract as well.

So we wanted to get ahead of the the curve to make sure that.

We get this approved before the August 2025.

Exploration or current contract with that to open up any questions?

Any questions?

I just wanted to know what the differences between ages and ages.

Oh, Aegis is well, Aegis is the automated jail information system. I just I'm not.

So we will aid this is the legacy maker and I just will be able to rebrand and just we'll be calling it. I just and it's again it'll be the aegis system and the Ansler banking property that all good more to do.

We just rebrand it.

Call my information about this information.

Integrated justice for mesis.

So so keep in mind Pix is the middleman in between.

So the nice part about it is if you communicate with all mainframe systems as well as any new systems with whatever protocols that are necessary.

So the county's departments, other outside law enforcement agencies, will feed information to fix.

And then it comes back out. The same thing too, right?

Does that mean that we own the data?

Or does Pix own the data?

Pix does not store any data pixels.

A transactional movement system, so we only store.

The data for the necessary time to resend it but we are not a data warehouse. We are not a data store.

So we're really moving it over and we encrypt it and secure it as it passes between partners. And then the final landing of where that data goes, is it to each law enforcement agency or is there one singular subpoena delivery will go to law enforcement agencies, court. Upd.

Probation cares about other agencies.

You might care about, you know, case initiation filings are just between the DA and the courts.

So it it all depends on the specific interface, but fixes the platform that manages all those interfaces. OK, I would like to know because sometimes like when we get you contracts people and they take Gardena, right?

No, not garden anymore.

Yeah, we do not store data past just the delivery, OK.

And is this open to the public or is it just internal? It's internal?

Thank you.

You don't take it, OK?

I.

I e-mail you.

Branch, Tom, any of those questions? OK. I don't think for the presentation.

Thank you.

All right. Thank you.

OK.

There's no presentation items, so with that, our meeting can adjourn.

Thank you.

□ **Thomas Luscombe** stopped transcription