

**County of Los Angeles** 

**Board of Supervisors** 

Supervisor, First District

Supervisor, Second District

Supervisor, Third District

Supervisor, Fourth District

Hilda L. Solis

Holly J. Mitchell

Lindsey P. Horvath

Janice Hahn

March 4, 2025

Dawyn R. Harrison County Counsel

TO: EDWARD YEN

**Executive Officer** 

**Board of Supervisors** 

FROM: TIMOTHY J. KRAL

Acting Assistant County Counsel

Justice and Safety Division

RE: Item for the Board of Supervisors' Agenda

**County Contract Cities Liability Trust Fund** 

**Claims Board Recommendation** 

Sanford, Timothy, et al. v. County of Los Angeles, et al. Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 20STCV08006

Attached is the Agenda entry for the Los Angeles County Contract Cities Liability Trust Fund Claims Board's recommendation in the above-referenced matter. Also attached is the Case Summary and the Summary Corrective Action Plan for the case.

It is requested that this recommendation, the Case Summary, and the Summary Corrective Action Plan be placed on the Board of Supervisors' agenda.

TJK:sr

Attachment



# **Board Agenda**

# MISCELLANEOUS COMMUNICATIONS

Settlement for Matter Entitled <u>Sanford, Timothy, et al. v. County of Los Angeles, et al.</u> Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 20STCV08006.

Los Angeles County Contract Cities Liability Trust Fund Claims Board's recommendation: Authorized Settlement of the matter entitled <u>Sanford, Timothy, et al. v. County of Los Angeles, et al.</u> Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 20STCV08006 in the amount of \$700,000 and instruct the Auditor-Controller to draw a warrant to implement this settlement from the Sheriff's Department Contract Cities Trust Fund's budget.

This lawsuit concerns allegations of an automobile accident involving a Sheriff's Deputy.

#### CASE SUMMARY

# **INFORMATION ON PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION**

CASE NAME Timothy Sanford, et al. v. County of Los Angeles, et

al.

CASE NUMBER 20STCV08006

COURT Los Angeles Superior Court

DATE FILED February 26, 2020

COUNTY DEPARTMENT Sheriff's Department

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AMOUNT \$ 700,000

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF John Rofael of Downtown LA Law Group for

Plaintiffs Timothy Sanford and Katherine Ortega; Steven Mazza of Carpenter & Zuckerman for

Plaintiffs Randy and Noemi Martell

COUNTY COUNSEL ATTORNEY Michael J. Gordon

Senior Deputy County Counsel

NATURE OF CASE On April 20, 2019, a vehicle driven by Plaintiff

Timothy Sanford in which Plaintiff Katherine Ortega

was a passenger collided with a Sheriff's

Department patrol car in the intersection of Sierra Highway and Avenue K in the City of Lancaster. The initial collision caused the Sheriff's Department patrol car to spin and colide with

Plaintiffs' Randy and Noemi Martell's car. Plaintiffs allege the collisions caused injuries for which they

seek compensation.

Given the risk and uncertainties of litigation, a full and final settlement of the case in the amount of

\$700.000 is warranted.

PAID ATTORNEY FEES, TO DATE \$ 126,092

PAID COSTS, TO DATE \$ 12,777

Case Name: Noemi Martell v. County of Los Angeles, et al.

# **Summary Corrective Action Plan**



The intent of this form is to assist departments in writing a corrective action plan summary for attachment to the settlement documents developed for the Board of Supervisors and/or the County of Los Angeles Claims Board. The summary should be a specific overview of the claims/lawsuits' identified root causes and corrective actions (status, time frame, and responsible party). This summary does not replace the Corrective Action Plan form. If there is a question related to confidentiality, please consult County Counsel.

Date of incident/event:	April 20, at approximately 2:57 p.m.
Briefly provide a description of the incident/event:	Summary Corrective Action Plan 2024-217
	Details in this document summarize the incident. The information provided is a culmination of various sources to provide an abstract of the incident.
	Multiple investigative reports indicate on Saturday, April 20, 2019, at approximately 2:57 p.m., an on-duty Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department Deputy Sheriff was responding to an emergency call for service with overhead lights and sirens activated when a collision occurred.
	Deputy One was driving a marked patrol vehicle southbound on the highway in the number one lane of travel. Deputy One approached the intersection, and the electronic signal for southbound traffic was a solid red light.
	As Deputy One entered the intersection, he slowed his patrol vehicle to approximately six miles per hour to clear east and westbound lanes of travel. The Deputy Sheriff accelerated to approximately 29 miles per hour as he traversed the remainder of the intersection. Upon reaching the number three lane, the Deputy Sheriff was struck by Plaintiff One's vehicle, which was traveling eastbound at approximately 50 miles per hour.
	The impact of the collision caused Deputy One's patrol vehicle to spin out of control, subsequently colliding with two vehicles stopped at the red light in the northbound lane on Sierra Highway.
	Deputy One's patrol vehicle, as well as the vehicle occupied by Plaintiffs One and Two, sustained major damage.
	Plaintiffs Three and Four occupied one of the vehicles the Deputy subsequently crashed into. That vehicle sustained moderate damage.
	The Los Angeles County Fire Department (LACoFD) responded to the location to treat Deputy One and the involved parties. The Plaintiffs declined medical treatment at the scene. Deputy One was transported to the hospital for further medical treatment.
	A station traffic unit responded to the collision site and conducted a traffic collision investigation. The investigation concluded Deputy One caused the traffic collision by traveling at an unsafe speed upon a highway, in violation of California Vehicle Code Section 22350.

Document version: 4.0 (January 2013)

A secondary causal factor was, Plaintiff One's failure to yield to an emergency vehicle with lights and sirens activated in violation of California Vehicle Code Section – 21806(a)(1).

A Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department sergeant was notified of the traffic collision and responded to the location. The sergeant authored a Supervisor's Report of Incident or Damage to County Vehicle.

Deputy One's Statement is based on the Supervisor's Report of Incident or Damage to County Vehicle:

Deputy One stated he was responding Code-3 (lights and sirens) to an emergency call for service as he traveled southbound on the highway in the number one lane. Deputy One decelerated as he approached the intersection before traveling into northbound lanes. He cleared eastbound lanes of travel and began to clear westbound lanes of travel when Party Two's vehicle collided with the rear passenger side of his patrol vehicle

1. Briefly describe the **root cause(s)** of the claim/lawsuit:

A **Department** root cause in this incident was the Deputy sheriff traveling at an unsafe speed upon the highway in violation of California Vehicle Code Section 22350.

A **Department** root cause in this incident was Deputy One's failure to clear the intersection lane-by-lane.

A **non-Contributing** root cause in this incident was Deputy One's failure to utilize the patrol vehicle's factory-installed seatbelt.

A non-**Department** root cause in this incident was Plaintiff One failed to yield to an emergency vehicle with lights and sirens activated in violation of California Vehicle Code Section 21806(a).

2. Briefly describe recommended corrective actions:
(Include each corrective action, due date, responsible party, and any disciplinary actions if appropriate)

#### **Traffic Collision Investigation**

This incident was thoroughly investigated by a Lancaster Sheriff's Station traffic unit, who concluded the Deputy Sheriff caused the collision by traveling at an unsafe speed upon a highway in violation of California Vehicle Code section 22350.

## Administrative Investigation

The incident was investigated by a representative from Lancaster Sheriff's Station to determine if any administrative misconduct occurred stemming from the traffic collision. The results of the investigation were presented for Department executive adjudication.

Executive evaluation found some of the Deputy Sheriff's actions were in violation of Department policy and appropriate administrative action was taken.

The employee involved in this incident received training surrounding the circumstances concerning this incident.

#### Traffic Collision Assessment and Review

The Los Angeles Sheriff's Station conducted a review and assessment of their traffic collisions for the calendar year 2019 through 2023. The audit revealed the following:

- 112 preventable collisions occurred during the past five years.
- 66 nonpreventable collisions occurred during the evaluated five years.

To advocate for employee safety and reduce the Department's liability exposure, Lancaster Station continually schedules personnel to attend the Department's Sheriff Traffic Accident Reduction (S.T.A.R.) driving program and behind-the-wheel EVOC refresher training. The S.T.A.R. program focuses on low-speed parking and starting maneuvers, and EVOC replicates Code-3 and other dangerous driving situations.

#### **Sheriff Department Announcement – Department-Wide Re-brief**

The purpose of this re-brief is to remind Department personnel that the safety of Department members and the public is paramount when engaged in routine driving and Code-3 responses.

It is essential to maintain heightened officer safety, common sense, and sound tactics to reduce collision-related injuries, deaths, and financial liability to the Department.

### Department-Wide Broadcast Announcements-Sheriff's Communication Center (SCC)

In hopes of mitigating the Department's traffic collisions, Risk Management has partnered with SCC to create Department-Wide announcements.

. Are the corrective actions addressing Depart	tment-wide system issues?
☐ Yes – The corrective actions address Dep	partment-wide system issues.
☑ No – The corrective actions are only appli	icable to the affected parties.
os Angeles County Sheriff's Department	
Name: (Risk Management Coordinator)	
Julia Vales, A/ Captain Risk Management Bureau	
Signature: Sm & acais (18)	Date: / C/30/24
Name: (Department Head)	
Myron Johnson, Assistant Sheriff Patrol Operations	
Signature:	Date:
Chief Executive Office Risk Management Inspe	
Are the corrective actions applicable to other depa	artments within the County?
Yes, the corrective actions potentially ha	ave County-wide applicability.
□ No, the corrective actions are applicable	only to this Department.
Name: Betty Karmirlian (Risk Management Inspector Ger	neral)
Signature:	Date:
Betty Karmirlian	11/27/2024
0	