



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

			The following individuals submitted comments on agenda item:	
Agenda #	Relate To	Position	Name	Comments
CS-6.		Favor	Cassandra F Bolden	
			isabel villarreal	
			Jorge Luis Rojas	
		Oppose	Aleks Navasardyan	
			Nicole Osyka	
			Shane S Voloshin	The excess proceeds department needs to be reviewed of their performance to insure compliance. There's not that many rules that apply to validating a claim for excess proceeds and any verification that needs to be conducted is readily available and when something begins to stand in the way of effective performance that's when that person should be questioning why because the answers are pretty much handed to them but giving evasive excuses to justify one's poor performance only leads to further issues. I even requested the documents used to make the decision that was made and upon realizing it was incomplete as what was given wouldn't make for the outcome. I asked for what seemed now to be a secret and no response at all. When fraud is the main issue in question with police reports filed this response is unacceptable as at the very least they should reach out and corporate with law enforce.
Other	r hubbert	: Raneisha Latoya Hubbert raneishahubbert@gmail.com 213(334-5596 2-18-25 Los Angeles Board of Supervisors 500 W. Temple Street, Room B-50 Los Angeles, CA 90012 Re: Demand for Immediate Compensation for DCFS Failure to Protect My Children, Attorney Negligence, and Violation of My Parental Rights To the Los Angeles Board of Supervisors, I am writing to demand immediate financial compensation due to the Department of Children and Family Services (DCFS)'s failure to protect my children, as well as the negligence of the attorneys we assigned, including those from the Children's Law Center and Los Angeles Dependency Lawyers, who failed to provide adequate support or advocacy in my family's cases.		



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

DCFS has failed to contact me regarding my daughter, Jamiesha Ward, and my grandson. I received no phone calls, emails, or mail—nothing. Furthermore, DCFS demonstrated negligence, misconduct, and discrimination in three other cases, showing a complete disregard for the well-being of my family.

Additionally, DCFS failed to protect my 15-year-old daughter from sexual exploitation when she became pregnant by a 22-year-old man, which is statutory rape under California law. This neglect on DCFS's part not only allowed this crime to occur but also further harmed my child emotionally and psychologically. Despite their responsibility to protect minors, DCFS did nothing to intervene, report the crime, or protect my daughter, leaving her vulnerable and unprotected under their watch. The attorneys assigned to us including those from the Children's Law Center and Los Angeles Dependency Lawyers, also failed to properly advocate for my rights or my children's well-being, leaving me with no recourse to protect my family.

This series of failures represents a complete breakdown of trust and care. Both DCFS and the legal system have deprived me of my right to protect and be involved in my children's lives, further compounding the harm done to my family.

Legal Violations

1. Fourteenth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution – DCFS violated my due process rights under the Due Process Clause, which protects parents from unjust government interference (Troxel v. Granville, 530 U.S. 57 (2000)).
2. 42 U.S.C. § 1983 – Civil Rights Violations – DCFS deprived me of my parental rights without due process under color of state law, making them liable for damages.
3. California Welfare and Institutions Code § 306 and § 309 – DCFS failed to notify me as required when a child is removed from a home.
4. California Family Code § 3020 – This law affirms a child's right to maintain relationships with their parents and family members, which DCFS obstructed.
5. Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C. § 2000d) – DCFS's failure to communicate with me and respect my parental rights appears to be a discriminatory practice. Agencies that receive federal funding cannot discriminate on the basis of race, color, or national origin, yet I have been unfairly treated and denied the same due process afforded to others.



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

6. California Penal Code § 261.5 (Statutory Rape Law) – DCFS failed to protect my 15-year-old daughter from being impregnated by a 22-year-old man, which is a criminal act. Their negligence in reporting and addressing this crime contributed to further harm.

Financial Compensation Breakdown

Due to DCFS's repeated failures in four cases, as well as the negligence of the legal professionals I retained, I have suffered severe emotional distress, financial loss, and the violation of my rights multiple times. I am demanding \$15,000,000 in immediate compensation, calculated as follows:

Base Compensation for One Case – \$5,000,000

Three Additional Cases (3x Multiplier) – \$15,000,000 total

This amount accounts for:

Emotional Distress & Psychological Trauma – \$6,000,000

Loss of Time & Legal Costs in Pursuing My Rights – \$3,000,000

Pain & Suffering from Being Denied Access to My Family – \$3,000,000

Discrimination, Negligence, and Failure to Protect My Minor Child – \$3,000,000

Demand for Payment

I am not interested in investigations or excuses. DCFS's repeated negligence, combined with the legal failures by the Children's Law Center and Los Angeles Dependency Lawyers, has caused irreversible harm. Therefore, I expect a check for \$15,000,000 to be sent to the address listed above immediately.

If this matter is not resolved promptly, I will pursue legal action in federal court for violations of constitutional rights, civil rights, and anti-discrimination laws. I will also be exploring legal options against the Children's Law Center and Los Angeles Dependency Lawyers for their failure to act on my behalf. And children for ennifective assistant of counsel.

This is your formal notice. Failure to act will result in legal consequences.

Sincerely,
MS, RANEISHA HUBBERT



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

			raneisha hubbert	<p>To: Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration 500 W. Temple Street Los Angeles, CA 90012</p> <p>Subject: Demand for the Immediate Removal and Criminal Investigation of Commissioner Stephen Marpet and Judge Brett Bianco for Judicial Misconduct and Civil Rights Violations</p> <p>Dear Members of the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors,</p> <p>I am writing to formally demand the immediate removal and criminal investigation of Commissioner Stephen Marpet and Judge Brett Bianco for gross judicial misconduct, abuse of power, and violations of due process in Los Angeles County Dependency Court. Their actions have directly resulted in the wrongful separation of my children from my custody, a blatant violation of constitutional rights, state law, and legal ethics.</p> <p>Legal Violations and Misconduct</p> <p>1. Fabricating Grounds for Child Removal</p> <p>Commissioner Marpet sustained perjured allegations by social workers, violating my due process rights and ignoring California Rules of Court (CRC 10.20, CRC 5.534, CRC 5.682).</p> <p>No trial on the merits was conducted, and I was never given the opportunity to cross-examine false testimony.</p> <p>2. Obstruction of Justice and Cover-Up by Supervising Judge Brett Bianco</p> <p>Judge Bianco refused to grant a rehearing despite clear evidence that Marpet violated court rules and due process.</p> <p>By upholding Marpet's decisions, Bianco confirmed a systematic policy of separating families without evidence or legal justification.</p> <p>3. Violations of Established Case Law</p>
--	--	--	------------------	--

			<p>Their actions directly contradict binding California appellate rulings (In re James Q., In re Malinda S., In re Drake M., In re J.A.), which affirm that mere allegations of drug use, without proof of harm, do not justify child removal.</p> <p>Demand for Immediate Action</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Immediate Removal of Commissioner Stephen Marpet and Judge Brett Bianco from the bench to prevent further harm to families in Los Angeles County. 2. A full independent investigation into their rulings, including reviewing past cases where they unlawfully removed children from parental custody. 3. Criminal prosecution under California Penal Code § 118 (perjury), § 182 (conspiracy to obstruct justice), and § 236 (false imprisonment) for their role in unjustly separating children from families. 4. A public hearing and review of dependency court policies to end the widespread practice of rubber-stamping child removals based on fabricated allegations. <p>This is not an isolated case—this is a systemic issue that has devastated countless families. I demand an immediate response and will not cease efforts until justice is served.</p> <p>Sincerely, Raneisha Hubbert</p>
		Item Total	8
Grand Total			8