

County of Los Angeles

January 14, 2025

Dawyn R. Harrison **County Counsel**

Board of Supervisors

EDWARD YEN

Executive Officer

Board of Supervisors

Attention: Agenda Preparation

Holly Mitchell

Hilda L. Solis

Supervisor, Second District

Supervisor, First District

FROM:

TO:

ADRIENNE M. BYERS

Litigation Cost Manager

Lindsey P. Horvath Supervisor, Third District

RE:

Item for the Board of Supervisors' Agenda

County Claims Board Recommendation

Janice Hahn Supervisor, Fourth District

Haik Shabazian v. County of Los Angeles, et al. Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 22AVCV00239

Kathryn Barger Supervisor, Fifth District



Attached is the Agenda entry for the Los Angeles County Claims Board's recommendation regarding the above-referenced matter. Also attached are the Case Summary and Summary Corrective Action Plan to be made available to the public.

It is requested that this recommendation, Case Summary, and Summary Corrective Action Plan be placed on the Board of Supervisors' agenda.

AMB:lzs

Attachments

Board Agenda

MISCELLANEOUS COMMUNICATIONS

Los Angeles County Claims Board's recommendation: Authorize settlement of the matter entitled <u>Haik Shabazian v. County of Los Angeles</u>, et al., Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 22AVCV00239, in the amount of \$130,000, and instruct the Auditor-Controller to draw a warrant to implement this settlement from the Fire Department's budget.

This lawsuit arises from injuries Plaintiff allegedly sustained in a traffic collision involving a Fire Department employee.

CASE SUMMARY

INFORMATION ON PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION

CASE NAME Haik Shabazian vs. County of Los Angeles, et al.

CASE NUMBER 22AVCV00239

COURT Los Angeles Superior Court

DATE FILED April 7, 2022

COUNTY DEPARTMENT Fire Department

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AMOUNT \$ \$130,000

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF Oganes J. Sachmanyan, Esq.

Sachmanyan Law Firm P.C.

COUNTY COUNSEL ATTORNEY Kevin J. Engelien

Senior Deputy County Counsel

NATURE OF CASE

This case occured from a traffic collision involving

Plaintiff, Haik Shabazian and Los Angeles County Fire Department employee, Terry Lee Brown.

Due to the risks and uncertainties of litigation, a full

and final settlement of the case is warranted.

PAID ATTORNEY FEES, TO DATE \$ 35,390

PAID COSTS, TO DATE \$ 17,836

Case Name: Haik Shabazian v. County of Los Angeles

(22AVCV00239)

Summary Corrective Action Plan



The intent of this form is to assist departments in writing a corrective action plan summary for attachment to the settlement documents developed for the Board of Supervisors and/or the County of Los Angeles Claims Board. The summary should be a specific overview of the claims/lawsuits' identified root causes and corrective actions (status, time frame, and responsible party). This summary does not replace the Corrective Action Plan form. If there is a question related to confidentiality, please consult County Counsel.

Date of incident/event:	October 27, 2021
Briefly provide a description of the incident/event:	At approximately 2:50 p.m. on October 27, 2021, Plaintiff was stopped at a red light on Avenue L in Lancaster, California. Plaintiff was driving a black 2020 GMC Sierra. Medium Truck Driver (MTD) was stopped behind Plaintiff in a Department vehicle (a red 2014 Dodge Ram). When the light turned green, Plaintiff began to make a U-turn. MTD also made a U-turn, turned on the emergency lights for safety but accidentally activated the siren. Plaintiff abruptly stopped, and MTD was unable to stop in time before rear-ending Plaintiff. MTD did not notify Dispatch or his supervisor of the collision. There was minor damage to Plaintiff's vehicle reported on the Traffic Collision Report, and Plaintiff was able to drive his vehicle from the accident scene.

- 1. Briefly describe the **root cause(s)** of the claim/lawsuit:
 - A. The MTD's failure to observe a safe driving distance.
 - B. The MTD's inappropriate use of lights and siren.
 - C. A non-associated root cause is the MTD failed to make immediate notifications to Dispatch and his supervisor.
- 2. Briefly describe recommended corrective actions:
 (Include each corrective action, due date, responsible party, and any disciplinary actions if appropriate)
- A/B/C.1 The Department initiated an internal investigation on September 22, 2023 into the accident that occurred on October 27, 2021. The MTD was issued a Notice to Suspend for 3 Days on July 10, 2024.
- A/B/C.2 On June 6, 2024, a Training Action Plan was developed for the MTD (which was completed on July 17, 2024). The 11 hour training consists of the following:
 - OnQ Safety EVOC Intersection Analysis (2.5 hours);
 - OnQ Safety Defensive Driving Course (Sight Speed and Space Management, Search and Scan, Judging Safe Distance, Hazard Recognition, Backing, Attention and Distraction) (3.5 hours);
 - In-Person Classroom Instruction with Regional Training Safety Officer (2 hours);

Document version: 4.0 (January 2013) Page 1 of 2

Driver Simulator (2 hours); andDefensive Driver Training (1 hour).	
3. Are the corrective actions addressing department-wide sy	stem issues?
☐ Yes – The corrective actions address department-wide	system issues.
☑ No – The corrective actions are only applicable to the after the entire of the corrective actions are only applicable to the after the corrective actions.	ffected parties.
Name: (Risk Management Coordinator) Julia Kim	
Signature: Julia K	Date: September 13, 2024
Name: (Department Head)	
ANTHONY C. MARKONE	
Signature: Outly C. hunf	Date: 9/13/24
Chief Executive Office Risk Management Inspector General	USE ONLY
Are the corrective actions applicable to other departments within	the County?
	Ja anniinahiik
Yes, the corrective actions potentially have County-wic	n temberale alternites interaction to according to the alternite and contemples deput, according
☐ No, the corrective actions are applicable only to this de	epartment.
Name: (Risk Management Inspector General)	
Betty Karmirlian, Acting Risk Management Inspector Gen	neral
Signature:	Date:
Betty Karmirlian	09/13/2024