



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

Agenda #	Relate To	Position	Name	Comments
			The following individuals submitted comments on agenda item:	
Public Comment		Favor	delorez ramos-castellanos	I'm Fed Up with receiving parking citations. Because, home owners with four to five vehicles, double park to save parking spaces for their family members! I can't park. Because, my parking space is a play ground for the landlord/s children! I owe, according to the sheriff/s dept over \$1,0000!!! It is as if it is my fault! that I have no where to park and I'm being fined. Because of it I'm asking that the fines be "Forgiven" My name is Delorez Ramos. My contact number is (424) 207-9840 This problem has been going on for One year and ten months! I suggested marking spaces . The city of Hawthorne painted parking spaces and that problem disapeared !The part of Hawthorne, that I live in is under the "Sheriff's" jurisdiction!! feel as if I'm living in a Third World Country! Please Help! I thank you, in Advance!
			E j Medal	STOP INVESTING IN THE BIGGEST GANG MEMBER DEPUTY SHERIFF ORGANIZATION AND FIND ALTERNATIVES! HERMAN, KARINA, AND ABIGAL IN YOUR OFFICE JANICE HAHN ARE NOT FOLLOWING WITH MY CIVIL PEACEFUL COMPLAINTS! WHY DO YOU JANICE HAHN HAVE STAFF THAT ARE NOT DOING ANYTHING? I AM REQUESTING AN INVESTIGATION INTO THE CORRUPT MOSK COURTHOUSE THAT IS ISSUING FALSIFIED RESTRAINIG ORDERS! WHY ARE YOU AS COUNTY OFFICIALS WHO ARE VOTED BY US DO NOT LAUNCH AN INVESTIGATION INTO THIS?
			Jaymee Dyke	
			Nicole Duquette	
			Tony Torng	I want to thank Supervisor Solis for this motion by considering Asian American's health. I truly appreciated and support this motion. It is an important issue for heart disease and this will help the Asian Community.
			Victor Sanchez	The LA Alliance for a New Economy (LAANE) wishes to express our support for the motion to support greater transparency and public participation in the policy process. Ensuring that there is adequate time and equitable processes to engage community voices in the County's decision-making is critical. People need to understand the essential role government plays in their lives, and how to have a voice in that government.
		Oppose	Alma L Romero	The residents of El Camino Village strongly oppose the developments at 15101 Crenshaw Blvd. and 15335 Crenshaw Blvd. We would like help from our elected officials and would like them to advocate for us.
		Arturo Rodriguez	Halt the EL Camino project	



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

The following individuals submitted comments on agenda item:				
Agenda #	Relate To	Position	Name	Comments
Public Comment		Oppose	Asif S	<p>HALT the development plans for 220 residential units on the corner of Marine and Crenshaw in El Camino Village (unincorporated LA County) until further studies have been conducted to assess the impacts the development will have on public health and safety, traffic congestion, street parking, local education, and single home property values.</p> <p>epicla.lacounty.gov/energov_prod/SelfService/#/plan/0fbc9028-d629-45b4-8c3a-c05e3755df02?tab=attachments</p> <p>- Permit # RPPL2024002390</p> <p>- Project # PRJ2024-001599</p> <p>- ADMINISTRATIVE HOUSING PERMIT # RPPL2024002389</p>
			Brigette L Garay	Please halt the development plans for 220 residential units on the corner of Marine and Crenshaw in El Camino Village until further studies can be conducted to assess the impact the development will have on public health and safety, traffic congestion, street parking, local education, and single family property values.
			Catherine Hanna	I'm against the development of two very dense apartment complexes on Crenshaw Boulevard and Marine Ave, in the area of El Camino Village. These buildings are very dense and does not include enough parking. This area is already Having parking issues and with the addition of all of these new apartments, it will negatively impact the whole area. I believe new plans should be made to accommodate all the extra cars that will be brought into the area. I understand more apartment are needed, but this area already has a lot of traffic from the college and parking is already an issue. Please review the plans and see if something can be done.
			David W Spears	Please stop the development plans for 220 residential units on the corner of Marine and Crenshaw in El Camino Village next door to Gardena (unincorporated LA County) until further studies have been conducted to assess the impacts the development will have on public health and safety, traffic congestion, street parking, local education, and single home property values. There is no parking for this development, and the is no transit center near by. Parking should always be required for any type of housing developments.



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

Agenda #	Relate To	Position	Name	Comments
			The following individuals submitted comments on agenda item:	
Public Comment		Oppose	Deirdre Mcgill	The micro bus runs senseless and inefficient service. A citizen would like to describe the terrible issues of vague places to be picked up and the pick up is constantly changed. Older people and those without smart phones are not being served. This replaced a bus everyone could use but now it is used by a select few who could just call a Uber. We want service that runs from an exact spot up into the hills of Altadena. I was stranded and it was a terrifying experience with no one to pick me up. Also I fell on the street injuring my knee as the buses do not wait for more than 3 minutes at a spot you do not expect them to be.
			Edwin Escaro	Please scale back the approved developments for high density housing on Crenshaw in the Gardena side of El Camino Village. I understand the need to add housing supply but this is too extreme, especially with no parking. This could severely negatively impact this peaceful neighborhood full of tax paying, hardworking, honest people.
			Epic Cheng	"HALT the development plans for 220 residential units on the corner of Marine and Crenshaw in El Camino Village (unincorporated LA County) until further studies have been conducted to assess the impacts the development will have on public health and safety, traffic congestion, street parking, local education, and single home property values. epicla.lacounty.gov/energov_prod/SelfService/#/plan/0fbc9028-d629-45b4-8c3a-c05e3755df02?tab=attachments - Permit # RPPL2024002390 - Project # PRJ2024-001599 - ADMINISTRATIVE HOUSING PERMIT # RPPL2024002389"
			Gloria Holt	I am extremely opposed and concerned about the high density apartment building being constructed in the surrounding Gardena/Torrance area. Our street are already a grid of congestion and traffic. No, they won't use public transportation. They'll stuff their garage (if any) with junk and park their cars on the streets. These buildings you are proposing will create a "blight", and more traffic (note what's happening in West L.A., Culver City and Santa Monica. These ugly buildings will cause property values to decline. The only one's who benefit are the builders who reap profits and usually are no living in our communities. I say NO to this construction
			Hsiang Lo	All you have to do is add an underground parking structure. Then more residents will be on the same page and aligned with the development. To have no parking whatsoever on premise is an absolutely horrendous idea.



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

The following individuals submitted comments on agenda item:				
Agenda #	Relate To	Position	Name	Comments
Public Comment		Oppose	JASMIN ROMAN	<p>HALT the development plans for 220 residential units on the corner of Marine and Crenshaw in El Camino Village (unincorporated LA County) until further studies have been conducted to assess the impacts the development will have on public health and safety, traffic congestion, street parking, local education, and single home property values.</p> <p>epicla.lacounty.gov/energov_prod/SelfService/#/plan/Ofbc9028-d629-45b4-8c3a-C053755df02?tab=attachments</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Permit # RPPL2024002390 - Project # PRJ2024-001599 - ADMINISTRATIVE HOUSING PERMIT # RPPL2024002389"
			Linda Flores	Vargas Properties developments at 15101 Crenshaw Blvd and 154th Street/Crenshaw Boulevard and Proposed Draft EIR for the South Bay Area Plan.
			MARK H CLAYSON	<p>I suggest suspending the development plans for 220 residential units in a 6-story building on the corner of Marine and Crenshaw in El Camino Village (unincorporated LA County). I suggest if not a cancellation (which most residents at the town hall voiced agreement with, despite lawsuit penalties), at least a reduction in size to no more than 3 stories, or about half the units. Multiple such 3-story developments could replace both this site as well as the proposed 15335 Crenshaw 7-story development only ¼ mile away, with less impact on the community (more in character with the maximum of 3 stories in the area) if more spread out in multiple locations.</p> <p>In my 38 years living in Gardena less than ½ mile from the corner of Marine & Crenshaw, I've seen massive development of high density housing (many hundreds of units) along the Rosecrans corridor near Crenshaw, and on Marine, apartment buildings along Crenshaw, etc. (see below for a partial list – much of it in the last 5 years). I.e., there is plenty already, and in that time there have been no increases in infrastructure like parks or roads to accommodate the additional people and much worse traffic. Thornburg Park on Daphne Ave. where I live is the nearest park to the proposed site, and most likely to be used by people there – it is already much-used by people coming from over ½ mile away, and more so now with Marine Place.</p> <p>Also, note that none of those within ½ mile are more than 3 stories -- 6 or 7 stories are totally out of character with our area.</p> <p>Many have expressed concerns over parking, property values, traffic congestion, quality of life issues, etc. And asked why outlying areas with more open spaces for development, parks, etc. aren't preferable.</p>



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

				<p>Within about ½ mile of the proposed site at Crenshaw & Marine:</p> <p>In Gardena & El Camino Village:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Gardena Place (2019) 14321 Van Ness 40 townhomes 3 stories • Walnut Place (2023) 2051 Monarch Walk, Gardena 52 townhomes 3 story • Marine Place (2024) 22 homes 3 story • Various 2-story apartments & motels along Crenshaw (e.g., Premier Apts., Mi Casista Motel, Camino, BHS, Marquis, Motel 6...) • 3-story complex 154th & Van Ness • Redondo Village at Manhattan Beach Blvd & Purche (3-story) <p>In Hawthorne along Rosecrans (near Crenshaw and Van Ness) just over ½ mile away:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rosecrans Place (2022) Over 100 3-story homes (41 single family homes, 57 townhomes and 15 live-work units) • Chadron Apartments (4 story) 13622 Chadron Ave. & several 3-story apartment complexes across the street, several 3-story off Lemoli • Icon at Rosecrans (2017) 5 story 127 apartment units <p>epicla.lacounty.gov/energov_prod/SelfService/#/plan/0fbc9028-d629-45b4-8c3a-c05e3755df02?tab=attachments - Permit # RPPL2024002390 - Project # PRJ2024-001599 - ADMINISTRATIVE HOUSING PERMIT # RPPL2024002389"</p>
		Randall Porizek		<p>The approved plans for a 200+ unit building located at 15101 Crenshaw Blvd. in addition to the proposed 300+ unit building to be located at 15333 Crenshaw Blvd. does nothing to account for a 30% increase in density within a 3 block radius with no parking, no accounting for an increased need for policing units, no traffic studies, and no consideration for the impact of the adjoining community of El Camino Village. I am not against affordable housing, and feel that there is a need, but a 1,200% density bonus seems excessive without having to consider the impact on the existing community. The county says it's the state level. The state says it's the county level. We are less concerned with what can't be done, and more concerned with finding out what can be done to prevent massive developments like this with no regard for the bigger picture and the impact on the existing communities. I am in opposition to the size and scale of the already approved building and future developments proposed for Crenshaw Blvd.</p>
		Richard Stafford		<p>Strongly oppose the development the housing project in the county area known as "El Camino Village". Project adds 500 plus residents with no requirement for parking. There has been NO environmental impact study indicating how current residents, traffic, or county facilities would be affected. A CEQA study needs to be completed prior to beginning this project.</p>
		Rosalia M Hernandez		<p>HALT the development plans for 220 residential units on the corner of Marine and Crenshaw in El Camino Village (unincorporated LA County) until further</p>



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

		studies have been conducted to assess the impacts the development will have on public health and safety, traffic congestion, street parking, local education, and single home property values.
	Ruthie A Brock	HECATE HUMIDOR LLC TRANSMISSION LINE FRANCHISE AGREEMENT ORDINANCE
Other	AROGANT HOLLYWOOD	THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, ITS PEACE OFFICERS, EMPLOYEES, CRIMINAL PROSECUTORS, AND BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HAVE BEEN WARNED NUMEROUS TIMES BY EMAIL, TELEPHONE, AND IN PERSON AT BOARD MEETINGS. TO IMMEDIATELY CEASE-AND-DESIST WILLFULLY, RECKLESSLY, WANTONLY, KNOWINGLY, CARELESSLY, MALICIOUSLY, AND CALLOUSLY VIOLATING AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S CONSTITUTIONAL CIVIL RIGHTS BY RECKLESSLY FAILING TO TERMINATE AND OVERTURN THE W IMMEDIATELYWRONGFUL CONVICTION OF AROGANT HOLLYWOOD IN FRIVOLOUS SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, ALHAMBRA SUPERIOR CRIMINAL FELONY CASE GA114055. YOU ALL RECKLESSLY PROSECUTED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD USING PHONY LASD-CREATED GOPRO VIDEO RECORDINGS IN WHICH AROGANT HOLLYWOOD IS NOT EVEN WEARING THE SAME CLOTHING HE HAD ON AND WAS ARRESTED IN ON FEBRUARY 21, 2023. YOU WRONGFULLY CONVICTED AROGANT HOLLYWOOD USING LYING JUDICIAL OFFICERS, FAKE PROBABLE CAUSE, PHONY SEARCH WARRANT, AND FABRICATED 911 AUDIO RECORDING COURT TESTIMONY. LET IT GO! FURTHER, YOU SENT AROGANT HOLLYWOOD TO PRISON BASED ON THE FALSE TESTIMONY OF LYING AND RACIST EXPERT WITNESS THOMAS LEO GUZMAN-SANCHEZ. AND THEN FAILED TO OVERTURN AROGANT HOLLYWOOD'S WRONGFUL CONVICTION EVEN AFTER HE ELECTRONICALLY SERVED YOU CLEAR AND CONVINCING AUDIO RECORDING EVIDENCE THAT PROVED THAT HE NEVER THREATENED SANCHEZ IN OCTOBER 2023.
	Barbara Leung	Halt the poorly planned housing projects with no parking requirements. Parking in neighborhood is already difficult without these projects. 137th and Inglewood Blvd project is poorly planned, and there is no guarantee the 110+ micro units will not add 100+ vehicles to contend for the limited parking spaces available throughout the neighborhood.
	Berenice L Stafford	Stop PRJ2024-001599 (Crenshaw and Marine) completely or until thorough and humane studies and alterations are made. This project is irresponsible destruction of the neighborhoods with no input from those whose lives will be greatly adversely affected. Please help those you are supposed to advocate for and protect.
	Bessie Coronado-Allen	El Camino Village and bordering neighborhoods DO NOT want housing developments that deface our streets and degrade the safety of our community. It is senseless to build 500+ units along Crenshaw Blvd between



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

	<p>Marine Ave. and Manhattan Beach Blvd. with NO on-site parking. The impact this will have on our public services, school resources, tax burden, crime rates, and traffic collisions has not been appropriately studied specific to our area. Moreso, there has been no public hearing or request for input before approving these projects by Vargas Intl Properties. This can't be a "By Right" development. The rights of homeowners and residents alike matter, too. And they weigh more than a developers bottom line. The L.A. County Board of Supervisors, the L.A. County Regional Planning Commission and State Representative Al Muratsuchi need to deny this housing project and go back to the drawing board to find a compromise between meeting state Housing Elements and community input.</p>
derek allen	<p>As a home owing citizen in the city of Gardena, I'm am upset by these new apartments that are going to be built on Crenshaw. For a city that hasn't had the best reputation for many years and now families are moving in and trying to make it better, you bring in section 8 and no onsite parking. This is bullshit!</p>
Ellise Natividad	<p>Halt Housing Developments in El Camino Village - the neighborhood was not informed of this development prior to approval.</p>
Evie Hutton	<p>HALT the development plans for 220 residential units on the corner of Marine and Crenshaw in El Camino Village (unincorporated LA County) as well as the development not yet approved for 300 units at the corner of 154th and Crenshaw until further studies have been conducted to assess the impacts the development will have on public health and safety, traffic congestion, street parking, and local education. I am specifically concerned about the setback waiver and the height waiver that were granted.</p> <p>epicla.lacounty.gov/energov_prod/SelfService/#/plan/0fbc9028-d629-45b4-8c3a-c05e3755df02?tab=attachments</p> <p>- Permit # RPPL2024002390</p> <p>- Project # PRJ2024-001599</p> <p>- ADMINISTRATIVE HOUSING PERMIT # RPPL2024002389"</p>
Harout Nazarian	<p>I would like to state my support for the automated heavy rail alternatives in consideration for the Sepulveda Transit Corridor. I am very in favor of alternatives 4 and 5 specifically. I'm also in favor of increased enforcement of fares and measures to reduce fare evasion. I think we need more physical barriers and fare gates to prevent people from easily walking through gates or over turnstiles. I also think it's critically important that new Metro subway lines have platform screen gates to improve efficiency during boarding and to prevent people from being pushed in front of trains as has happened a few times in New York recently. BART in the Bay Area recently installed more platform screen doors and we should be doing the same in Los Angeles.</p>
Laura Carmouche	<p>"HALT the development plans for 220 residential units on the corner of Marine and Crenshaw in El Camino Village (unincorporated LA County) until further studies have been conducted to assess the impacts the development</p>

				will have on public health and safety, traffic congestion, street parking, local education, and single home property values. There is an elementary school about 2 blocks from this development that will be in danger with so many occupants and so many vehicles parked near the school.
			Rod E Taylor	<p>HALT the development plans for 220 residential units on the corner of Marine and Crenshaw in El Camino Village (unincorporated LA County) until further studies have been conducted to assess the impacts the development will have on public health and safety, traffic congestion, street parking, local education, and single home property values.</p> <p>epicla.lacounty.gov/energov_prod/SelfService/#/plan/0fbc9028-d629-45b4-8c3a-c05e3755df02?tab=attachments</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Permit # RPPL2024002390 - Project # PRJ2024-001599 - ADMINISTRATIVE HOUSING PERMIT # RPPL2024002389
		Item Total	34	
Grand Total			34	