



MARK PESTRELLA, Director

**COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS**

"To Enrich Lives Through Effective and Caring Service"

900 SOUTH FREMONT AVENUE
ALHAMBRA, CALIFORNIA 91803-1331
Telephone (626) 458-5100
<http://dpw.lacounty.gov>

ADDRESS ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO:
P.O. BOX 1460
ALHAMBRA, CALIFORNIA 91802-1460

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO FILE

October 22, 2024

The Honorable Board of Supervisors
County of Los Angeles
383 Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration
500 West Temple Street
Los Angeles, California 90012

Dear Supervisors:

**WATER RESOURCES CORE SERVICE AREA
SAFE, CLEAN WATER PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 2024-25
REGIONAL PROGRAM STORMWATER INVESTMENT PLANS
(ALL SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICTS)
(3 VOTES)**

SUBJECT

Public Works is seeking Board approval of the recommended Fiscal Year 2024-25 Stormwater Investment Plans for the Safe, Clean Water Program's Regional Program, in the amount of \$147,520,876.20.

IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THE BOARD ACTING AS THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT:

1. Find that the proposed actions are not a project under the California Environmental Quality Act for the reasons stated in this letter and the record of the Safe, Clean Water Program.
2. Approve the recommended Stormwater Investment Plans for Fiscal Year 2024-25 for the Safe, Clean Water Program's Regional Program in the total amount of \$147,520,876.20.

PURPOSE/JUSTIFICATION OF RECOMMENDED ACTION

The purpose of the recommended actions is to approve the Stormwater Investment Plans (SIPs) for each of the nine Watershed Areas in the Safe, Clean Water (SCW) Program's Regional Program for the 2024-25 Fiscal Year (FY), as developed by the respective Watershed Area Steering Committees (WASCs) and recommended by the Regional Oversight Committee (ROC). Each of the nine Watershed Area SIPs can be reviewed on the SCW Program website at

<https://safecleanwaterla.org/fy-2024-25-projects/>. The SIPs include recommendations to fund regional watershed-based multi-benefit projects, conduct scientific studies, and provide technical resources, including development of project concepts and ongoing Watershed Coordinator services. Enclosed is a summary of the recommendations and findings, benefits of the proposed expenditures, public process to develop these recommendations, and additional comments from the ROC.

Executive summaries for the FY 2024-25 Infrastructure Program Projects are available on the SCW Program website at <https://safecleanwaterla.org/fy-2024-25-projects/> under Infrastructure Program FY 2024-25 Executive Summaries. Copies are also available at the office of Public Works, Stormwater Planning Division (11th floor), 900 South Fremont Avenue, Alhambra, CA 91803.

The Regional Program governance committees, consisting of the nine WASCs, the Scoring Committee, and the ROC, held 66 public meetings to ultimately select projects, project concepts, and scientific studies included in the recommended SIPs. Infrastructure Program Projects were scored by the Scoring Committee and selected by the WASCs for inclusion in their respective SIPs. The WASCs also considered independent third-party analyses (funded by the Los Angeles County Flood Control District and coordinated by CASC Engineering and Consulting, Inc.) when determining which scientific studies to include in their respective SIPs. On July 10, 2024, and August 14, 2024, the ROC reviewed each SIP and recommended that all nine be approved.

The nine recommended SIPs, in aggregate, comprise the proposed Regional Program budget for FY 2024-25 of \$147,520,876.20 and, upon approval, will dictate funding allocations for FY 2024-25. The nine recommended SIPs also include the Regional Program's current revenue forecasts and projected budget allocation through FY 2028-29, which, including leveraged funds, represent over \$1.6 billion in investments into multi-benefit green stormwater infrastructure. These projections will continue to be revisited each year along with new projects submitted for each subsequent call for projects.

The recommended SIPs help achieve SCW Program Goals through meaningful water supply increases, water quality improvements, and community enhancements that all support public health. Additionally, the recommended SIPs prioritize projects that invest in Disadvantaged Communities, utilize Nature-Based Solutions, and advance compliance with existing water quality regulations.

Implementation of Strategic Plan Goals

These recommendations align with County Strategic Plan North Star 2 and North Star 3. The SIPs promote vibrant and resilient communities by addressing public health, sustainability, and community connections. The suite of water quality, water supply, and community enhancement benefits that will be realized by these actions were developed through the lens of equity, uplift historically underserved areas, and are consistent with, Our County Sustainability Plan's goals. The recommended actions also help realize tomorrow's government today and were developed through robust collaboration and data-driven decision-making. Approval of these actions will enhance the County's ability to combat climate change and help support the Los Angeles County Water Plan's efforts to sustainably and equitably achieve safe, clean, and reliable water resources for Los Angeles County.

FISCAL IMPACT/FINANCING

There will be no impact to the County General Fund.

The recommended FY 2024-25 SCW Regional Program cost is \$147,520,876.20, which includes

funding for all nine SIPs and continued Watershed Coordinator Services within each of the Watershed Areas.

Funding for the recommended FY 2024-25 SIPs is available in the Measure W SCW Regional Program Funds (B43, B44, B45, B46, B47, B48, B49, B50, B51 - Other Charges, Services & Supplies, and Other Financing Uses) FY 2024-25 Budgets.

FACTS AND PROVISIONS/LEGAL REQUIREMENTS

On July 17, 2018, following a very extensive community engagement process, the Board approved a resolution calling for and giving notice of an election to, upon voter approval, establish the Los Angeles Region SCW Program and its funding via special parcel tax by adding Chapter 16 of the Los Angeles County Flood Control District Code.

On November 6, 2018, Measure W (the SCW Program ballot measure) was successfully passed by the voters. The SCW Program's annual Special Parcel Tax was first collected for FY 2019-20 and has been collected in each subsequent fiscal year.

On August 6, 2019, after further consultation with local and regional agencies, organizations, and interested parties, the Board adopted an ordinance to implement the SCW Program by adding Chapter 18 of the Los Angeles County Flood Control District Code.

On June 9, 2020, the Board of Supervisors approved a standard template transfer agreement that established the terms and conditions for the transfer of SCW Program funds to Regional Program fund recipients and delegated authority to the Los Angeles County Flood Control District's Chief Engineer to execute transfer agreements subject to the Board's approval of the annual SIPs.

These SIPs represent the fifth year of Regional Program implementation. Upon the Board's approval of the SIPs, the proposed recipients included in each SIP will be sent a transfer agreement conforming to the standard template previously approved by the Board. Upon execution of the transfer agreement, the recipients will receive the approved funding amount for implementation of the approved activity(ies) identified in the SIPs.

Pursuant to Section 18.07.B.1 of Chapter 18, the Board of Supervisors is the only body authorized to approve SIPs, and unless and until approved by the Board, no Transfer Agreement may be entered into and no disbursement of SCW Regional Program funds for FY 2024-25 may occur.

ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION

The recommended actions are not subject to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) because they are activities that are excluded from the definition of a project by Section 21065 of the Public Resources Code and Section 15378(b) of the State CEQA Guidelines. The proposed actions would create a government funding mechanism that does not involve any commitment to a specific project that may result in a potentially significant physical impact on the environment. The recommended actions are also organizational or administrative activities of government, which will not result in direct or indirect physical changes to the environment.

IMPACT ON CURRENT SERVICES (OR PROJECTS)

The Honorable Board of Supervisors

10/22/2024

Page 4

There will be no negative impact on current County services.

CONCLUSION

Please return an adopted copy of this Board letter to Public Works, Stormwater Planning Division.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Mark Pestrella". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "Mark" and last name "Pestrella" clearly distinguishable.

MARK PESTRELLA, PE

Director

MP:MF:ap

Enclosures

c: Auditor-Controller (Accounting Division)
Chief Executive Office (Chia-Ann Yen)
County Counsel (Mark Yanai)
Executive Office



**SAFE
CLEAN
WATER
PROGRAM**

**Stormwater
Investment Plans
Regional Oversight
Committee
Recommendation**

Fiscal Year 2024-2025





Stormwater Investment Plans Regional Oversight Committee Recommendation

The Stormwater Investment Plan (SIP) is an annual five (5) year plan developed by each Watershed Area Steering Committee (WASC) that recommends funding allocations for Projects and Programs in the Regional Program’s Infrastructure Program, Technical Resources Program, and Scientific Studies Program.

The purpose of the SIP for each Watershed Area is to capture recommended programming for the upcoming fiscal year as well as anticipated recommendations for the next four subsequent years.

The role of the Regional Oversight Committee (ROC) is to review each SIP, determine whether and to what extent each SIP achieves the Safe, Clean Water (SCW) Program Goals, and provides its findings to the Board of Supervisors with recommendations regarding whether each SIP should be approved. The ROC does not have line-item veto power; however, before providing a recommendation to the Board, the ROC provides its findings and recommendations on each SIP to the respective WASCs if there are any identified concerns. The WASCs consider the findings and recommendations from the ROC as guidance to potentially revise their current SIP prior to Board consideration, or at least to enhance future SIPs. ROC feedback is included in this transmittal of SIPs to the Board for approval. For FY24-25, this includes guidance recommending Scientific Study proponents from California State Polytechnic University, Pomona to collaborate with the State Water Resources Control Board to leverage funds from the Clean Water State Revolving Fund for future initiatives; and the preference to exclude artificial turf from future projects. Additional comments are summarized in Section 2 below.

The following sections include the ROC assessment of how the SCW program goals were met and other considerations:

- 1 Executive Summary3
- 2 Summary of ROC Comments6

3	Achievement of Safe, Clean Water Program Goals	9
3.1	Project Benefits – Water Quality, Water Supply and Community Investment	10
3.2	Leveraged Funds and Community Support	12
3.3	Disadvantaged Communities (DAC) Benefits	13
3.4	Project Types and Sizes	14
3.5	Nature-Based Solutions	15
3.6	Long Term Planning Considerations	16
3.7	Other Safe, Clean Water Program Goals	16
4	Recommendation	20

Attachments:

- Attachment A – Summary of Regional Program Stormwater Investment Plan

1 Executive Summary

The SCW Program's Special Parcel Tax currently generates approximately \$139.4M of annual Regional Program funds across the nine different Watershed Areas.

For Fiscal Year 2024-2025 (FY24-25), 20 Infrastructure Program, 5 Technical Resources Program, and 16 Scientific Study applications were submitted for consideration. After careful review and consideration for each Project, Project concept, and Scientific Study, the nine WASCs voted to include 13 Infrastructure Program Projects, 4 Technical Resources Program Concepts, 10 Scientific Studies, and 12 Watershed Coordinators into the recommended SIPs.

A total of \$494.6M of SCW funds is programmed into the nine SIPs over the current 5-year period. Of that total, \$468.5M (94.72% of the total), \$12.7M (2.57% of the total), and \$13.4M (2.71% of the total) funds is allocated towards the Infrastructure Program, Technical Resources Program, and Scientific Studies Program, respectively.

Below is a summary of the total funding budgeted and currently projected over the next 5 years for all WASCs, including both new projects in the current recommended SIPs and continuing projects from previous SIPs. Below is a summary by program and year. Refer to Attachment A, the nine WASC-specific SIP transmittals (<https://safecleanwaterla.org/fy-2024-25-projects/>), and the SIP tool (<https://portal.safecleanwaterla.org/sip-tool/>) for the full Final Recommended SIPs with additional project details.

Table 1-1. Summary of applications received and included in SIP for FY24-25

Number of Applications		
Program	Submitted	Recommended in SIP
Infrastructure Program	20	13
Technical Resources Program	5	4
Scientific Study	16	10
Total	41	27

Table 1-2. Total funding budgeted and currently projected for the annual five (5) year plan

Watershed Area	FY24-25 Budget	FY25-26 Projection	FY26-27 Projection	FY27-28 Projection	FY28-29 Projection
Central Santa Monica Bay	\$20,318,758.31	\$15,973,420.91	\$12,524,741.38	\$2,410,994.49	\$2,663,850.00
Lower Los Angeles River	\$9,247,101.54	\$16,476,261.57	\$12,360,930.91	\$10,478,104.00	\$8,681,755.00
Lower San Gabriel River	\$20,351,842.03	\$11,065,596.24	\$7,803,705.85	\$2,285,010.71	\$284,588.00
North Santa Monica Bay	\$1,497,274.10	\$529,947.74	\$511,078.18	\$501,558.24	\$502,893.68
Rio Hondo	\$12,869,151.68	\$9,599,104.01	\$11,019,910.45	\$8,328,754.42	\$605,448.50
Santa Clara River	\$9,918,336.17	\$411,701.32	\$345,359.27	\$307,937.00	\$16,483,485.00
South Santa Monica Bay	\$15,795,846.70	\$17,755,551.64	\$16,492,876.00	\$6,738,702.00	\$540,588.00
Upper Los Angeles River	\$41,828,907.67	\$41,334,907.72	\$44,209,808.09	\$28,946,171.71	\$14,561,988.00
Upper San Gabriel River	\$15,693,658.00	\$17,034,857.00	\$6,517,522.00	\$402,343.00	\$411,470.00
Grand Total	\$147,520,876.20	\$130,181,348.15	\$111,785,932.13	\$60,399,575.57	\$44,736,066.18

Table 1-3. Total funding budgeted and currently projected for the Infrastructure Program* by Supervisorial District

Supervisorial District	FY24-25 Budget	FY25-26 Projection	FY26-27 Projection	FY27-28 Projection	FY28-29 Projection
1	\$32,060,791.00	\$33,348,922.00	\$25,724,007.00	\$15,276,822.00	\$375,280.00
2	\$26,341,885.50	\$19,374,527.50	\$9,210,341.00	\$2,618,763.00	\$2,179,262.00
3	\$15,327,562.49	\$15,548,345.41	\$23,322,486.98	\$15,233,796.24	\$13,769,131.68
4	\$38,215,179.18	\$39,546,048.00	\$35,385,200.40	\$18,212,139.00	\$8,653,167.00
5	\$28,945,208.00	\$16,680,856.00	\$13,237,835.00	\$5,348,400.00	\$16,519,757.50
Grand Total	\$138,945,976.99	\$124,498,698.91	\$106,879,870.38	\$56,689,920.24	\$41,496,598.18

*Scientific Studies were not included as some studies may span over several Supervisorial Districts.

Table 1-4. Total funding budgeted and currently projected by Funding Program

Funding Program	# of Projects	Total Budgeted & Projected to Date	Total Leveraged Funds	Total 5-yr SIP (FY24-29)	SCW Funding benefitting DACs for 5-yr SIP (FY24-29)
Infrastructure	137	\$935,161,575.73	\$622,365,796.75	\$468,511,064.70	\$377,035,237.90
Projects Approved FY20-21	41	\$375,549,734.03	\$341,929,675.00	\$89,699,535.00	\$83,261,507.00
Projects Approved FY21-22	35 ^[1]	\$223,103,515.00	\$162,999,675.70	\$128,890,974.00	\$107,779,509.00
Projects Approved FY22-23	23 ^[2]	\$73,531,828.00	\$25,504,002.03	\$36,295,114.00	\$28,387,252.00
Projects Approved FY23-24	25	\$160,917,494.40	\$82,384,194.02	\$111,699,935.40	\$106,234,896.40
New Projects Recommended FY24-25	13	\$102,059,004.30	\$9,548,250.00	\$101,925,506.30	\$51,372,073.50
Scientific Studies	48^[3]	\$26,244,127.19	N/A	\$13,412,733.53	N/A
Projects Approved FY20-21	7	\$4,506,367.00	N/A	\$220,650.00	N/A
Projects Approved FY21-22	8	\$5,119,493.85	N/A	\$1,842,441.09	N/A
Projects Approved FY22-23	17	\$5,764,422.86	N/A	\$1,110,855.27	N/A
Projects Approved FY23-24	6	\$6,024,611.48	N/A	\$5,409,555.17	N/A
New Projects Recommended FY24-25	10	\$4,829,232.00	N/A	\$4,829,232.00	N/A
Technical Resources	53	\$33,100,000.00	N/A	\$12,700,000.00	\$900,000.00
Watershed Coordinators	12	\$20,700,000.00	N/A	\$11,500,000.00	\$0.00
Projects Approved FY20-21	14 ^[4]	\$4,300,000.00	N/A	\$0.00	\$0.00
Projects Approved FY21-22	12	\$3,600,000.00	N/A	\$0.00	\$0.00
Projects Approved FY22-23	6	\$1,800,000.00	N/A	\$0.00	\$0.00
Projects Approved FY23-24	5	\$1,500,000.00	N/A	\$0.00	\$0.00
New Projects Recommended FY24-25	4	\$1,200,000.00	N/A	\$1,200,000.00	\$900,000.00
Grand Total	238	\$994,505,702.92	\$622,365,796.75	\$494,623,798.23	\$377,935,237.90

[1] Excludes 2 Projects that were withdrawn by the applicant.

[2] Excludes 1 Project that was withdrawn by the applicant.

[3] Scientific Studies across multiple Watershed Areas are counted individually and represent a total of 18 unique Scientific Studies.

[4] Excludes 2 Concepts that were withdrawn by the applicant.

The suite of 137 approved and recommended Infrastructure Program Projects (new and continuing) represents over \$1.6 billion invested through FY28-29 (\$935M of SCW Regional Program dollars) and will:

- Capture stormwater from over 276,262 acres
- Provide an increase in total 24-hr storage capacity of 4,293^[1] acre-feet for wet -weather projects
- Provide an increase in annual average stormwater capture of 60,364 acre-feet
- Remove 54 acres of impervious area
- Reduce numerous pollutants and prioritize MS4 compliance
- Leverage nearly \$622M^[1] in other funding
- Invest nearly \$755M in projects benefiting Disadvantaged Communities
- Be implemented across 52 Municipalities
- Include additional benefits described in the following sections

The ROC recommends all 9 SIPs be approved as is with comments as described below.

2 Summary of ROC Comments

Below is a summary of the ROC comments, and additional details are available in the ROC meeting minutes on the website (<https://safecleanwaterla.org/regional-oversight-committee/>).

While a couple ROC members expressed concern about certain recommended SIPs programming relatively large percentages of their funds over the current 5-year period (i.e., less capacity to fund future projects) and the need to further refine/advance certain aspects, there was general acknowledgment that adaptive management to date has been successful. Specifically, continued improvements to community engagement practices, refined processes and tools, iterative guidance documents, and ongoing studies continue to lead the SCW Program and the region in a very positive direction.

- Central Santa Monica Bay (CSMB) SIP
On July 10, 2024, ROC members expressed concern about the significant financial contributions to the Identifying Best Practices for Maintaining Stormwater Drywell Capacity SS (hereafter referred to as Drywell SS), which

[1] Value has decreased compared to FY23-24 SIP because of two additional projects that were withdrawn by the applicants.

spans multiple watersheds. Member Mahmud highlighted the need for a clearer breakdown of costs, referencing a State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) drywell report and advocated that further research be conducted on leveraging funds from the Clean Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF).

Drywell SS project proponent Ali Sharbat (California State Polytechnic University, Pomona) shared efforts to explore leveraging funds from sources like the Department of Education and Nation Science Foundation, but not the CWSRF. Upon inquiry, Sharbat noted that there have not been any applications currently submitted for leverage funding.

Members Romero and Gold noted that the CWSRF primarily supports capital improvement projects and suggested that the Drywell SS may not be eligible for funding under the CWSRF program. Member Gold added that the CWSRF application process is difficult and competitive, and the University may not have the capacity to complete the funding application. Member Camacho recommended that the Drywell SS proponent collaborate with the SWRCB on future initiatives.

Member Mahmud recommended that the Street Sweeping Study, one of the approved Scientific Studies (SS), expand its scope to include methodologies applicable to other cities beyond the City of Los Angeles, as currently proposed. Member Mahmud emphasized the importance of considering differences in project equipment requirements across various municipalities.

CSMB WASC Co-Chair Susie Santilena shared insights about WASC discussions regarding the Street Sweeping SS, highlighting the study's broader applicability beyond the City of Los Angeles jurisdiction and its potential to enhance pollutant removal practices in various municipalities. The CSMB WASC is interested in leveraging shared outcomes from the study and sharing findings with other municipalities.

- Lower Los Angeles River (LLAR) SIP
On July 10, 2024, the ROC did not have any specific feedback on the LLAR SIP and recommended the SIP for Board consideration. Member Mahmud expressed concern about the high percentage of committed funds over the next 5 years.

- Lower San Gabriel River (LSGR) SIP
On July 10, 2024, the ROC did not have any specific feedback on the LSGR SIP and recommended the SIP for Board consideration. ROC Chair Faustinos commended the WASC for its set-aside for smaller projects.
- North Santa Monica Bay (NSMB) SIP
On July 10, 2024, the ROC did not have any specific feedback on the NSMB SIP and recommended the SIP for Board consideration.
- Rio Hondo (RH) SIP
On July 10, 2024, the ROC did not have any specific feedback on the RH SIP and recommended the SIP for Board consideration.
- Santa Clara River (SCR) SIP
On August 14, 2024, the ROC did not have any specific feedback on the SCR SIP and recommended the SIP for Board consideration.
- South Santa Monica Bay (SSMB) SIP
On August 14, 2024, the ROC did not have any specific feedback on the SSMB SIP and recommended the SIP for Board consideration.
- Upper Los Angeles River (ULAR) SIP
On August 14, 2024, the ROC did not have any specific feedback on the ULAR SIP and recommended the SIP for Board consideration.
- Upper San Gabriel River (USGR) SIP
On August 14, 2024, ROC members expressed concerns over the artificial turf components of the Finkbiner Park Stormwater Capture Project. Committee Members noted that the artificial turf component of the project appears misaligned with SCW Program goals and discouraged its use in future projects. USGR Chair Julie Carver shared that the WASC requested the project proponent to revisit plans to avoid using artificial turf.
- General SCW Program Recommendations (from July 10, 2024 and August 14, 2024 meetings of the ROC)

- Public Works staff should include in the WASC transmittals more explicit references and links for ROC members interested in additional level of detail, including more details on the PMRs.
- Input received from the ROC and WASC through Watershed Planning efforts should be used to inform upcoming project cycles.
- The ROC should be able to engage with project developers, possibly through workshops, to strengthen the PMR process. Public Works staff should facilitate opportunities for ROC members to engage with project developers at the WASC-level.
- Public Works staff should consider additional guidance (and corresponding clarity in the SIP tool) related to projected/earmarked funding.
- Future agendas should include discussion of Scientific Studies, including the nexus between water quality and water supply projects in the SIPs, relation to the County's Water Plan, and the use of the MMS Executive Summary's scientific data.
- Future agendas should include discussion on the outcomes of SS and the integration of SCW Regional and Municipal Programs, noting that the two programs are both interconnected to investments in the same Watershed Areas.
- Public Works should expand its efforts to support municipalities and to share and develop scientific knowledge.
- Public Works should regularly communicate how watershed planning will inform future iterations of the Scoring Criteria, beyond the anticipated next revisions for Spring 2025.
- Public Works should consider transmission of recommended SIPs to the ROC Members as they become available (in order to initiate/stagger review).

3 Achievement of Safe, Clean Water Program Goals

LACFCD Code Ch18.04 identifies the various goals of the Safe, Clean Water Program. The following sections summarize how the recommended SIPs achieve these goals.

3.1 Project Benefits – Water Quality, Water Supply and Community Investment

The scoring committee evaluated the benefits provided by each project including Water Quality Benefits, Water Supply Benefits, Community Investment Benefits, Nature-Based Solutions, and Leveraging Funds and Community Support as defined in the Project Scoring Criteria in the Feasibility Study Guidelines. As shown in the web plot below, all 5 scored benefit categories are represented in the proposed Regional Program budget, with water quality being the core benefit.

Overall Scoring Category Distribution



Below are tables that summarize the information collected through the Projects Module and Project Dashboard for the Infrastructure Program Projects included in the recommended SIPs. The numbers represent the number of Infrastructure Program Projects providing the benefit. This includes the overall scoring category distributions and an overview of the Water Quality, Water Supply, and Community Investment Benefits, including both new and continuing projects.

Table 3-1. Summary of estimated benefits for IP Projects

Number of Benefits Provided by Infrastructure Program Projects	
Primary Pollutant Addressed	
69	Zinc
17	Bacteria
6	Nitrogen
45	Other*
Water Supply Benefits	
72	Connected to Aquifer
21	Sends to WW Treatment Plant for Reuse
40	Uses Water Onsite
Community Investment Benefits	
117	Reduces Heat Island Effect
109	Provides Recreational Opportunities
122	Increases Shade and Trees
109	Improves Flood Protection
42	Improves Waterways Access
116	Enhances Habitat or Park Space
29	Enhances Green Spaces at Schools
Nature-Based Solutions	
132	Mimics Natural Processes
129	Uses Natural Materials
Leveraging Funds	
99	Leverages Shared Funds

*Primary Pollutant Addressed does not apply to Dry Weather Projects. "Other" includes Dry Weather Projects, Copper, Lead, Toxics, Phosphorus, and Chlorides.

Table 3-2. Summary of IP Project attributes to date

Watershed Area	Area Managed by Project (acres)	*24-hr Capacity (acre-feet)	Annual Average Stormwater Capture (acre-feet)
Central Santa Monica Bay	78,085	146	7,410
Lower Los Angeles River	29,387	200	2,415
Lower San Gabriel River	40,582	350	4,850
North Santa Monica Bay	1,889	6	1,024
Rio Hondo	67,500	94	2,300
Santa Clara River	2,457	65	1,014
South Santa Monica Bay	27,690	488	2,393
Upper Los Angeles River	21,324	2,633	36,347
Upper San Gabriel River	7,348	311	2,612
Grand Total	276,262	4,293	60,364

*24-hr Capacity is for wet weather projects only.

3.2 Leveraged Funds and Community Support

Below is a summary of SCW funding allocations, leveraged funds, and community support (program wide), including both new and continuing Infrastructure Program Projects.

Table 3-3. Summary of IP Project funding allocations, leveraged funds, and community support to date

Watershed Area	Total SCW Funding Allocated	Total Leveraged Funds	Number of Projects	Number of Projects with Community Support*
Central Santa Monica Bay	\$109,023,241.00	\$143,111,986.01	14	14
Lower Los Angeles River	\$101,780,777.40	\$68,283,831.44	13	10
Lower San Gabriel River	\$96,504,746.00	\$64,257,850.00	20	14
North Santa Monica Bay	\$4,222,448.80	\$8,351,450.01	4	3
Rio Hondo	\$74,406,048.50	\$47,690,997.22	17	16
Santa Clara River	\$41,467,268.00	\$15,887,500.01	4	1
South Santa Monica Bay	\$120,453,844.00	\$46,703,449.05	16	11
Upper Los Angeles River	\$278,809,157.03	\$190,322,975.01	35	27
Upper San Gabriel River	\$108,494,045.00	\$37,755,758.00	14	14
Grand Total	\$935,161,575.73	\$622,365,796.75	137	110

*Reminder: "Community Support" means that the project received points from the Scoring Committee for demonstrating such support via meaningful engagement, partnerships with NGOs/CBOs, and community support documentation. Where the number of projects with community support differs from the total number of projects, it does not equate to opposition of a project.

3.3 Disadvantaged Communities (DAC) Benefits

Compliant with LACFCD Code Ch18.07.B.2.c.

Below is an overview of SCW funding allocated toward Infrastructure Program Projects that provide DAC Benefits, including both new and continuing projects. To better assist with and standardize this determination in the future, Los Angeles County Public Works (PW) staff developed interim guidance for implementing Disadvantage Community Policies in the Regional Program. The latest Interim guidance is available on our website (<https://safecleanwaterla.org/what-we-do/adaptive-management/>).

Table 3-4. Summary of SCW funding allocated towards IP Projects that provide DAC Benefits

Watershed Area	Total SCW Funding Allocated FY24-29	Summary of DAC Funding FY24-29	DAC Ratio*	Required Funding for DACs FY24-29 (110%)
Central Santa Monica Bay	\$50,205,978.00	\$37,205,978.00	45 %	\$24,846,436.45
Lower Los Angeles River	\$55,028,213.40	\$55,028,213.40	67 %	\$40,797,917.41
Lower San Gabriel River	\$39,042,604.00	\$27,051,363.00	22 %	\$9,242,165.22
North Santa Monica Bay	\$2,972,448.80	\$0.00	0 %	\$0.00
Rio Hondo	\$40,203,335.50	\$36,567,113.50	33 %	\$14,571,698.95
Santa Clara River	\$25,223,046.00	\$25,223,046.00	12 %	\$3,426,550.80
South Santa Monica Bay	\$55,039,870.00	\$25,611,865.00	30 %	\$18,441,658.84
Upper Los Angeles River	\$163,797,541.00	\$151,767,577.00	45 %	\$81,259,960.09
Upper San Gabriel River	\$36,998,028.00	\$18,580,082.00	22 %	\$9,140,732.80
Grand Total	\$468,511,064.70	\$377,035,237.90		\$201,727,120.56

*These figures are based on 2020 US Census data; will be updated periodically.

As shown, the total Safe, Clean Water Funds benefiting DAC over a rolling 5-year period for the recommended SIP exceeds the required minimum funding for DACs for each Watershed Area.

3.4 Project Types and Sizes

Below is a summary of project types and a table of the total capture area in acres for the new and continuing Infrastructure Program (IP) Projects included in the recommended SIPs.

Table 3-5. Summary of IP Project types to date

IP Project BMP Type	Number of IP Projects
Dry	29
Biofiltration	1
Bioretention	3
Diversion to Sanitary Sewer	4
Infiltration Facility	6
Infiltration Well	1
Treatment Facility	14
Wet	108
Biofiltration	6
Bioretention	5
Cistern	8
Diversion to Sanitary Sewer	5
Infiltration Facility	40
Infiltration Well	22
Treatment Facility	22
Grand Total	137

Table 3-6. Summary of IP Project capture area sizes to date

Capture Area	Number of IP Projects
< 0-200 acres	45
200-1,000 acres	48
> 1,000+ acres	44
Grand Total	137

3.5 Nature-Based Solutions

Compliant with LACFCD Code Ch18.07.B.2.f.

Of the 137 new and continuing Infrastructure Program Projects included in the SIP that implement Nature-Based Solutions (NBS), 132 mimic natural processes and 129 use natural materials.

Table 3-7. Summary of Nature-Based Solutions incorporated in IP Projects

Nature-based Solutions	
Solution	Count
Mimics Natural Processes	132
Uses Natural Materials	129

Mimics Natural Process: Implements natural processes or mimics natural processes to slow, detain, capture, and absorb/infiltrate water in a manner that protects, enhances and/or restores habitat, green space and/or usable open space.

Uses Natural Materials: Utilizes natural materials such as soils and vegetation with a preference for native vegetation.

For reference, PW previously developed interim guidance related to developing and programming Nature-Based Solutions. The latest Interim guidance is available on our website (<https://safecleanwaterla.org/what-we-do/adaptive-management/>).

3.6 Long Term Planning Considerations

The WASCs incorporated long term planning by considering anticipated future construction costs for continuing and new projects during SIP development. Additionally, there was enhanced coordination with Watershed Coordinators, as well as collaboration/integration with certain other regional resources and or needs assessments, such as the Disadvantaged Community Involvement Program. This coordination, collaboration, and partnership will continue to grow for future rounds in tandem with PW's current Metrics and Monitoring Study, to ensure the most effective adaptive management as well as proactive planning and tracking for the long-term.

The projects included in the recommended SIP were selected based on the results from the Preliminary Ranking Worksheet and robust discussion of Project benefits, anticipated future funding requests, and available funding. The future anticipated construction costs were estimated and confirmed by project applicants and actual future SCW funding requests for construction may differ due to updated project estimates, leveraged funding, awarded grants, or local match.

In addition, the annual Operations and Maintenance (O&M) projections provided in the Project applications for the new and continuing Projects were included in the SIP tool to assist decision making.

3.7 Other Safe, Clean Water Program Goals

Below is a summary of other SCW goals and how they were addressed:

- **Investment in independent scientific research**

Below is a summary of the new and continuing Scientific Studies and the total SCW Funding allocations for FY24-29.

Table 3-8. New and continuing Scientific Studies SCW Funding allocations

Scientific Studies	Total 5-yr SIP (FY24-29)
FY20-21	\$220,650.00
preSIP: A Platform for Watershed Science and Project Collaboration	\$220,650.00
FY21-22	\$1,842,441.09
Fire Effects Study in the ULAR Watershed Management Area	\$417,224.00
Regional Pathogen Reduction Study	\$1,425,217.09
FY22-23	\$1,110,855.27
Microplastics in LA County Stormwater	\$304,601.00
Regional Pathogen Reduction Study	\$806,254.27
FY23-24	\$5,409,555.17
Ground truth: guiding a soils-based strategy for impactful nature-based solutions	\$229,869.00
Regional Pathogen Reduction Study	\$4,879,686.17
Targeted Human Waste Source Reduction Strategy to Address Bacteria-Related Compliance Objectives for the Los Cerritos Channel	\$300,000.00
FY24-25	\$4,829,232.00
Identifying Best Practices for Maintaining Stormwater Drywell Capacity	\$4,542,582.00
Street Sweeping Study	\$286,650.00
Grand Total	\$13,412,733.53

- **Benefits to Municipalities**

Recommended Projects to date are distributed throughout the Watershed Area to help ensure compliance with this rolling 5-year criterion in future years. The WASC utilized PW's GIS Reference Map tool to help assess multi-benefit projects across the watershed areas and the region and aid in the development of the SIPs. The GIS Spatial Data Library includes numerous spatial datasets that highlight water quality, water supply, and community investment benefit opportunities. While more data will continue to be collected to track this going forward, the current number of new and continuing Infrastructure Program Projects located within each Municipality is below. See the specific SIP transmittal for details on municipality benefits within that Watershed Area in proportion to the tax collected within that jurisdiction. PW intends to develop guidance for evaluating and tracking municipality benefits in future years.

Table 3-9. Summary of IP Project Municipality locations

Municipality	Number of IP Projects located within Municipality
Agoura Hills	1
Alhambra	2
Arcadia	1
Artesia	1
Baldwin Park	1
Bell Gardens	1
Bellflower	3
Beverly Hills	1
Carson	1
Cerritos	1
Claremont	1
Covina	1
Culver City	3
Downey	3
Duarte	1
El Monte	6
Glendale	2
Glendora	3
Hermosa Beach	1
Huntington Park	1
Inglewood	1
La Canada Flintridge	1
La Habra Heights	1
La Mirada	1
La Puente	1
La Verne	1
Lakewood	2
Lawndale	1
Lomita	1
Long Beach	7
Los Angeles	29
Lynwood	2
Manhattan Beach	1
Monrovia	2
Monterey Park	1
Norwalk	1
Paramount	3
Pasadena	5
Pomona	1
Redondo Beach	2
Rosemead	1
San Dimas	2
San Fernando	1
San Gabriel	1

Municipality	Number of IP Projects located within Municipality
Santa Clarita	2
Santa Monica	1
Signal Hill	1
South El Monte	2
South Gate	1
Torrance	4
Unincorporated (Los Angeles County)	20
Whittier	2
Grand Total	137

- **Implement an iterative planning and evaluation process to ensure adaptive management**

To ensure adaptive management, the WASC shall review the Infrastructure Program Project Developers' semi-annual progress and expenditure reports and the annual summary reports to evaluate whether the schedules, budgets, scopes and expected benefits have significantly changed and remain consistent with the SCW Program Goals. Programs and Projects that are over budget or behind schedule, or that demonstrate reduced or revised scope of benefits, may be adjusted or removed from future SIPs. The Benefits Dashboard and the Reporting modules that track project benefits, metrics, expenditures and progress reports are available via the SCW Portal (<https://portal.safecleanwaterla.org/scw-reporting/map>).

As part of the ongoing adaptive management of the SCW Program, the 2022 Interim Guidance (Guidance) has been developed by PW, with extensive input from stakeholders, for the following areas:

- Strengthening Community Engagement and Support
- Water Supply
- Programming Nature Based Solutions
- Implementing Disadvantaged Community Policies in the Regional Program

Additional issues warranting further guidance may be considered in the future. The next round of guidance may incorporate, as appropriate, findings of the PW-led Metrics and Monitoring Study and the associated Disadvantaged Community and Community Enhancement White Paper (currently anticipated to be completed in late 2023), as well as ongoing work with Watershed Coordinators, the Watershed Area Steering Committees, and WHAM coordination efforts, among others.

- **Promote green jobs and career pathways**

Involvement in the WHAM Workforce Development Subcommittee helps with the goal of creating equitable career opportunities within the infrastructure fields and improving and aligning both municipal- and private-sector career pathways and retention efforts. The Workforce Development Subcommittee workplan outlines tasks to foster a skilled workforce to support the development of a climate resilient future. Climate resilient jobs contribute to the creation of sustainable and resilient communities by prioritizing the hiring and training of individuals with barriers to employment. The new project investments are creating jobs, the effort is being supplemented with the development of programs to connect people with jobs and provide training.

- **Ensure ongoing operation and maintenance of projects**

All Projects included in the recommended SIP may (and are expected to, unless noted otherwise) request additional funding for operations and maintenance for a minimum useful life of 30 years and monitoring for 3-years post-construction. PW intends for future SIPs to capture this in the projections as appropriate.

4 Recommendation

The ROC recommends the Board of Supervisors approve the following FY24-25 Regional Program Budgets for each Watershed Area and authorize the LA County Flood Control District to allocate funds (and conduct all related business) to each respective SCW Fund and then to each approved recipient.

Table 4-1. Recommended Regional Program budget for the FY24-25

Watershed Area	FY24-25 Regional Program Budget	ROC Recommendation
Central Santa Monica Bay	\$20,318,758.31	Recommended as is
Lower Los Angeles River	\$9,247,101.54	Recommended as is
Lower San Gabriel River	\$20,351,842.03	Recommended as is
North Santa Monica Bay	\$1,497,274.10	Recommended as is
Rio Hondo	\$12,869,151.68	Recommended as is
Santa Clara River	\$9,918,336.17	Recommended as is
South Santa Monica Bay	\$15,795,846.70	Recommended as is
Upper Los Angeles River	\$41,828,907.67	Recommended as is
Upper San Gabriel River	\$15,693,658.00	Recommended as is
Grand Total	\$147,520,876.20	

The adaptive management of the SCW Program continues to effectively advance the Regional Program while refining guidance, processes, and tools that will further maximize SCW Program Goals, demonstrate/report on Goals and metrics, and facilitating enhanced planning for the long term. The regional investments to date address the urgent and growing needs in our communities and in our region. More than ever, investments in creative and innovative solutions are now being made for a resilient future. Overall, the SCW Program Goals are being achieved, and the program is implementing a variety of multi-benefit infrastructure projects that improve water quality, increase local water supply, enhance our communities, and improve public health.

Attachment A
Summary of Regional Program Stormwater Investment Plans

FY23-24					\$5,682,320.95	\$7,176,642.67	\$7,664,368.72	\$15,469,852.09	\$12,820,657.71	\$0.00	\$48,813,842.14
Infrastructure Project					\$5,580,226.00	\$6,461,978.00	\$7,051,799.00	\$14,803,732.00	\$12,587,830.00	\$0.00	\$46,485,565.00
Brookside Park Stormwater Capture Project	City of Pasadena				\$2,198,612.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,198,612.00
California Avenue and Adjacent Streets Stormwater Capture Project	City of Glendale				\$289,810.00	\$2,516,383.00	\$54,902.00	\$54,902.00	\$54,902.00	\$0.00	\$2,970,899.00
Eagle Rock Boulevard: A Multi-Modal Stormwater Capture Project	City of Los Angeles, Department of Public Works, StreetsLA				\$1,089,238.00	\$155,599.00	\$3,206,443.00	\$3,181,443.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$7,632,723.00
Earvin "Magic" Johnson Park Operation and Maintenance Project	Los Angeles County Public Works				\$325,000.00	\$325,000.00	\$325,000.00	\$325,000.00	\$325,000.00	\$0.00	\$1,625,000.00
Emerald Necklace John Muir High School Campus Natural Infrastructure Improvement Project	Claire Robinson, Amigos de los Rios				\$404,400.00	\$1,117,500.00	\$123,200.00	\$123,200.00	\$123,200.00	\$0.00	\$1,891,500.00
Hollenbeck Park Lake Rehabilitation Project	City of Los Angeles, Department of Public Works, LA Sanitation and Environment				\$482,582.00	\$1,658,979.00	\$1,687,479.00	\$9,247,548.00	\$12,084,728.00	\$0.00	\$25,161,316.00
Sylmar Channel Project	City of Los Angeles, Department of Public Works, LA Sanitation and Environment				\$790,584.00	\$688,517.00	\$1,654,775.00	\$1,871,639.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$5,005,515.00
Scientific Study					\$102,094.95	\$714,664.67	\$612,569.72	\$666,120.09	\$232,827.71	\$0.00	\$2,328,277.14
Regional Pathogen Reduction Study	Gateway Water Management Authority				\$102,094.95	\$714,664.67	\$612,569.72	\$666,120.09	\$232,827.71	\$0.00	\$2,328,277.14
FY24-25						\$1,870,374.00	\$1,629,906.00	\$563,662.00	\$568,276.00	\$595,750.00	\$5,227,968.00
Infrastructure Project						\$1,361,402.00	\$1,417,953.00	\$349,222.00	\$356,933.00	\$375,280.00	\$3,860,790.00
Bowtie Demonstration Project	The Nature Conservancy					\$376,402.00	\$375,953.00	\$349,222.00	\$356,933.00	\$375,280.00	\$1,833,790.00
Green Street Demonstration Project on Main Street	City of Alhambra					\$985,000.00	\$1,042,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,027,000.00
Scientific Study						\$208,972.00	\$211,953.00	\$214,440.00	\$211,343.00	\$220,470.00	\$1,067,178.00
Identifying Best Practices for Maintaining Stormwater Drywell Capacity	California State Polytechnic University, Pomona					\$208,972.00	\$211,953.00	\$214,440.00	\$211,343.00	\$220,470.00	\$1,067,178.00
Technical Resource						\$300,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$300,000.00
Elephant Hill Open Space and Stormwater Infrastructure Feasibility Study	Save Elephant Hill					\$300,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$300,000.00
Upper San Gabriel River		\$10,919,762.00	\$18,280,067.00	\$27,093,294.00	\$18,459,287.00	\$15,693,658.00	\$17,034,857.00	\$6,517,522.00	\$402,343.00	\$411,470.00	\$114,812,260.00
FY20-21		\$10,919,762.00	\$10,789,423.00	\$23,512,652.00	\$17,377,894.00	\$8,806,782.00	\$10,200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$82,206,513.00
Infrastructure Project		\$9,734,762.00	\$10,589,423.00	\$23,312,652.00	\$17,177,894.00	\$8,606,782.00	\$10,000,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$79,421,513.00
Barnes Park	City of Baldwin Park	\$1,000,000.00	\$1,500,000.00	\$7,400,000.00	\$4,835,690.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$14,735,690.00
Bassett High School Stormwater Capture Multi-Benefit Project	Los Angeles County	\$3,000,000.00	\$7,200,000.00	\$7,000,000.00	\$4,000,000.00	\$0.00	\$10,000,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$31,200,000.00
Encanto Park Stormwater Capture Project	City of Monrovia	\$702,860.00	\$827,000.00	\$952,388.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,482,248.00
Garvey Avenue Grade Separation Drainage Improvement Project	City of El Monte	\$4,000,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$4,000,000.00
Pedley Spreading Grounds	East San Gabriel Valley Watershed Management Group (City of San Dimas, City of Claremont, City of Pomona, City of La Verne)	\$102,760.00	\$154,140.00	\$1,330,180.00	\$1,212,120.00	\$26,700.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,825,900.00
Wingate Park Regional EWMP Project	City of Covina	\$929,142.00	\$908,283.00	\$6,630,084.00	\$7,130,084.00	\$8,580,082.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$24,177,675.00
Scientific Study		\$385,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$385,000.00
San Gabriel Valley Regional Confirmation of Infiltration Rates	East San Gabriel Valley Watershed Management Group (City of San Dimas, City of Claremont, City of Pomona, City of La Verne)	\$385,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$385,000.00
Technical Resource		\$800,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$2,400,000.00
Glendora Avenue Green Street Feasibility Study	City of Glendora	\$300,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$300,000.00
MacLaren Hall Property Park and Sports Fields Project	City of El Monte	\$300,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$300,000.00
Upper San Gabriel River Watershed Coordinator WC: TBD	Los Angeles County Flood Control District	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$1,800,000.00
FY21-22			\$7,490,644.00	\$1,290,642.00	\$0.00	\$519,822.00	\$519,822.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$9,820,930.00
Infrastructure Project			\$7,090,644.00	\$1,290,642.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$8,381,286.00
Fairplex	East San Gabriel Valley Watershed Management Group		\$2,900,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,900,000.00
FINKBINER PARK STORMWATER CAPTURE PROJECT	City of Glendora		\$1,290,644.00	\$1,290,642.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,581,286.00
Lone Hill Park	East San Gabriel Valley Watershed Management Group		\$900,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$900,000.00
Zamora Park Renovation Project	City of El Monte		\$2,000,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,000,000.00
Scientific Study			\$100,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$519,822.00	\$519,822.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,139,644.00
Regional Pathogen Reduction Study	Gateway Water Management Authority		\$100,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$519,822.00	\$519,822.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,139,644.00
Technical Resource			\$300,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$300,000.00
Sunset Crossing Park Multi-Benefit Stormwater Project	City of Diamond Bar		\$300,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$300,000.00
FY22-23			\$2,290,000.00	\$781,393.00	\$15,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$3,086,393.00
Infrastructure Project			\$2,150,000.00	\$150,000.00	\$15,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,315,000.00
Glendora Avenue Green Streets	City of Glendora		\$150,000.00	\$150,000.00	\$15,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$315,000.00
Marchant Park	East San Gabriel Valley Watershed Management Group		\$675,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$675,000.00
Pelota Park	East San Gabriel Valley Watershed Management Group		\$1,325,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,325,000.00
Scientific Study			\$140,000.00	\$631,393.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$771,393.00
Community Garden Stormwater Capture Investigation	Los Angeles Community Garden Council		\$120,000.00	\$258,284.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$378,284.00
Maximizing Impact of Minimum Control Measures	San Gabriel Valley Council of Governments		\$20,000.00	\$373,109.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$393,109.00
FY23-24			\$300,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$300,000.00
Technical Resource			\$300,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$300,000.00
West Covina Regional Projects and Green Streets	City of West Covina		\$300,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$300,000.00
FY24-25			\$6,352,054.00	\$6,315,035.00	\$6,317,522.00	\$202,343.00	\$211,470.00	\$19,398,424.00	\$0.00	\$18,376,246.00	
Infrastructure Project			\$6,152,082.00	\$6,112,082.00	\$6,112,082.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$18,376,246.00	\$0.00	\$18,376,246.00	
Finkbiner Park Stormwater Capture Project, Construction Phase	City of Glendora		\$6,152,082.00	\$6,112,082.00	\$6,112,082.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$18,376,246.00	\$0.00	\$18,376,246.00	
Scientific Study			\$199,972.00	\$205,953.00	\$205,440.00	\$202,343.00	\$211,470.00	\$1,022,178.00	\$0.00	\$1,022,178.00	
Identifying Best Practices for Maintaining Stormwater Drywell Capacity	California State Polytechnic University, Pomona		\$199,972.00	\$205,953.00	\$205,440.00	\$202,343.00	\$211,470.00	\$1,022,178.00	\$0.00	\$1,022,178.00	
Grand Total		\$94,718,556.32	\$142,423,679.63	\$138,151,100.16	\$124,455,070.58	\$147,520,876.20	\$130,181,348.15	\$111,785,932.13	\$60,399,575.57	\$44,736,066.18	\$994,505,702.92