



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

| Agenda # | Relate To | Position | Name | Comments |
|--|-----------|----------|--------------------|---|
| The following individuals submitted comments on agenda item: | | | | |
| 11. | | Favor | Ana R Arias | |
| | | | Hector Ramirez | |
| | | | Jules Yun | |
| | | | Maya Mackey | |
| | | | William J Kelleher | The people who live in the jurisdiction of their local gov ought to be able to decide for themselves what sort of rent and eviction regulations they want for their community. Prop 33 will only do one thing - repeal Costa-Hawkins, which denies folks that Right of self-determination. The Board of Supervisors ought to publically state its support for that right of self-determination. Thank you, William J. Kelleher, PhD |



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

| The following individuals submitted comments on agenda item: | | | | |
|--|-----------|---------------|---------------|---|
| Agenda # | Relate To | Position | Name | Comments |
| 11. | | Oppose | Joanne Kouchi | <p>I oppose repealing the Costa Hawkins rental housing act. While you state that 54% of angelenos are renters this proposal would guarantee the reduction of available rental housing stock available to them . This proposed proposition 33 will disincentivize landlords that own single family homes (and housing built after 2/01/1995) in the unincorporated neighborhoods and encourage them to get out of the rental market in fear of potential losses and tenant problems that may arise. Los Angeles law makers/policy makers must accept the fact that the housing shortage is due to years of poor planning that lacked building affordable housing to keep up with Los Angeles' growing population. Shifting this responsibility to many small mom and pop landlords making it their problem to solve LA's housing shortage is simply ridiculous and unfair. The costs of renting out a house is not cheap, insurance, taxes and maintenance costs have risen tremendously and landlords often need to make up these costs by increasing rent to cover these costs. Expanding rent control laws in the hopes of protecting tenants to make rent more affordable may sound good on paper but impossible to execute in the real world. By hindering the ability to increase rent to cover a landlord's costs as needed leads to the inability to afford these costs to make any profit. This will certainly lead to landlords selling off their rental properties or pulling them off the rental market to avoid potential losses. Doing this will further reduce the stock of homes available to be rented to the people you are trying to protect! This proposed proposition will cause rents to increase a lot more initially and it will be much more difficult for potential renters to meet income requirements and, any renters' credit will need to be flawless in order to qualify. These increased risks for landlords will force them to be much more careful before renting their homes if local jurisdictions enact laws that leave no incentive for landlords to rent out their properties and put them in potentially financial distress.</p> <p>Law makers, please create more affordable housing!!!! This is what we need here. Stop creating laws that punish small landlords from the income they earn renting out their properties. Creating new affordable housing is the ONLY solution for our housing shortage. Stop punishing small mom and pop landlords who have called this state our home for many years. We want our state to protect us and make it a place where we can expect to afford to live for the rest of our lives. Stop punishing the people who have invested in the place they call home. Please reconsider the harmful consequences proposition 33 will bring to your constituents .</p> |

| The following individuals submitted comments on agenda item: | | | | |
|--|-----------|-------------------|------------------|---|
| Agenda # | Relate To | Position | Name | Comments |
| 11. | | Oppose | Paul c burt | And the economic illiteracy continues in Los Angeles County.... STRONGLY OPPOSE! Come on Supervisors, READ UP! PLEASE!! "Despite the claims of rent-control advocates, these policies over time damage communities and over time make housing even less affordable. The only thing better than abolishing rent control is not to let it become a policy in the first place. Whatever distortions a regulation creates, some people will adjust to it and actually profit. These people then become a tightly focused interest group that fights tenaciously to retain the regulation. When this interest group is a tenant population that forms a near-majority of a municipality, the chances that rent control can be abolished through local political efforts are extremely small. One of the dislocations is that people in rent-controlled apartments tend to remain in place with their good deals, and because rent control provides a disincentive to build new housing, given that the real ownership lies with the rent stabilization boards. This is especially true in communities like Berkeley, Calif., which has both a prestigious university and is a desirable place to live." ~ William L. Anderson, Editor, Mises Institute, Emeritus Professor of Economics, Frostburg State University, Frostburg, Maryland. ~ |
| | | Other | LaKisha G Camese | |
| | | Item Total | 8 | |
| Grand Total | | | 8 | |