



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS  
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS  
HOLLY J. MITCHELL  
LINDSEY P. HORVATH  
JANICE HAHN  
KATHRYN BARGER

**Correspondence Received**

		The following individuals submitted comments on agenda item:		
Agenda #	Relate To	Position	Name	Comments
20.		<b>Favor</b>	Jonathan Pacheco Bell	
		<b>Oppose</b>	paul burt	Frivolous and wasteful!
		<b>Other</b>	Jacqueline Ayer	<p>My name is Jacqueline Ayer and I am commenting today on behalf of the Acton Town Council.</p> <p>The board has devoted the entirety of agenda item 27 to enhancing stakeholder engagement and removing barriers to participation by providing funding and childcare. However, the Acton Town Council has always found that the greatest impediment to meaningful participation is not money or childcare; it is the County's engagement practices in which staff convene a community meeting, tell us what a new ordinance is going to do, and then maybe answer a few questions. For example, at the Cannabis outreach meeting 2 weeks ago, they gave a presentation on what the cannabis ordinance states and then gave residents 30 minutes to comprehend everything they were proposing, formulate meaningful comments and questions, and try to get some questions answered. After we had a few days to digest what was said, we had lots of questions and concerns, but no way to engage staff regarding them. We had a meeting yesterday with film office staff who told us what the new film ordinance will do (but did not give us a copy) and then told us it is going to you for approval in less than a month. Your outreach on the Water Plan was similarly stilted; you were told that there were workgroups and 90 engagement meetings, but you were not told that members of the public from North County were not allowed to be on the work groups - we tried without any success. You were also told that 90 engagement meetings were conducted, but you were not told that, at least in the north county, these engagements occurred through the IRWM, they were not publicized, nearly all the participants were corporate water representatives and almost no members of the public participated. And, not one single comment we made was ever addressed; they were completely ignored. That is not engagement; it is just noise.</p> <p>My name is Jacqueline Ayer and I am speaking today on behalf of the Acton Town Council.</p> <p>The board has devoted the entirety of agenda item 27 to enhancing stakeholder engagement and removing barriers to participation by providing funding and childcare. However, the Acton Town Council has always found that the greatest impediment to meaningful participation is not money or childcare; it is the County's engagement practices in which staff convene a community meeting, tell us what a new ordinance is going to do, and then maybe answer a few questions. For example, at the Cannabis outreach meeting 2 weeks ago, they gave a presentation on what the cannabis ordinance states and then gave residents 30 minutes to comprehend everything they were proposing, formulate meaningful comments and</p>

			<p>questions, and try to get some questions answered. After we had a few days to digest what was said, we had lots of questions and concerns, but no way to engage staff regarding them. We had a meeting yesterday with film office staff who told us what the new film ordinance will do (but did not give us a copy) and then told us it is going to you for approval in less than a month. The outreach on the Water Plan was similarly stilted; you were told that there were workgroups and 90 engagement meetings, but you were not told that members of the public from North County were not allowed to be on the work groups - we tried without any success. You were also told that 90 engagement meetings were conducted, but you were not told that, at least in the north county, these engagements occurred through the IRWM, they were not publicized, nearly all the participants were corporate water representatives and almost no members of the public participated. And, not one single comment we made was ever addressed; they were completely ignored. That is not engagement; it is just noise.</p> <p>That brings me to agenda item 20. The Acton Town Council applauds Regional Planning's effort to engage a consultant to prepare recommendations for the new BESS and REO revision ordinance, but we also know that these recommendations will form the entire foundation of the ordinance itself and we are very concerned that if the consultant is a shill for the energy industry or has no land use or CEQA experience or does not take the time to learn about the areas where these projects will be sited, then the recommendations will be biased and unreasonable. That is why we are asking that the consultant selection process be open and transparent, that appropriate consultant qualifications be established, that special interest representatives be disqualified from consideration, that the consultant contract require engagement with affected communities, and that the consultant report be subject to public review and comment before it is finalized.</p>
		<b>Item Total</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Grand Total</b>			<b>3</b>