



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

			The following individuals submitted comments on agenda item:	
Agenda #	Relate To	Position	Name	Comments
12.		Favor	Dianne Walker	
			Hector Ramirez	
			Jose Ortiz	
		Other	Rebecca Wallach	<p>?image0.jpegimage1.jpegDCFS GLENDORA used information that is not available to state nor federal agencies- (record that dated back to the year 2000) as a vehicle to terminate my parental rights. This was FRAUD, coercion and ABUSE, my daughter was kidnapped by DCFS AND THEY GAVE HER AWAY TO COMPETE STRANGERS!</p> <p>IMG_7285.jpeg Foster parent gave false information to the department about my daughters dietary intake-stating: "She drinks 20 ounces of Enfamil formula per day"</p> <p>This is one of many false reports that the foster parent has reported in this case effecting the future of my child and my family. IMG_7272.png Foster parent posted several pictures of my 5 month old on social media. (whom at the time was a foster child) Foster parent also used a picture of my daughter as the chosen default photo for her Facebook page. Foster parent writes a post publicly, asking for breastmilk and claiming my daughter is "adopted" Publicly sharing her location "Glendora" This placed my daughter at risk for abduction or abuse, and this would be defined as exploitation of a child. This is against policy. This Foster parent violated the civil rights of the child, violates the child's rights to her own identity, violates confidentiality laws, goes against agency policy, and it reinforces the tendency of the foster parent to provide case information publicly- And the status of the child as false information, but only to benefit foster parent- as to prevent any criticism or questions to be asked.</p> <p>Furthermore, the actions of the foster parent made my daughter sick- Foster parent willfully giving my daughter breastmilk from an unknown & untested source- that foster parent found on Facebook. A social media platform. image16.jpeg It is documented "unable to tolerate the breastmilk or fast breathing. The foster parent did not want to allow any visitation between my daughter and I, nor any family. And became very upset when the department enforced it. Caregiver canceled many visits. and did not show up for visits. She used the illness that she had inflicted upon my daughter to cancel visitation as well.</p>



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

It is noted in the above document:
"do not recommend social visits"
As reflected in case documents several times. image1.jpeg
image5.jpeg
Also, more conflicting statements noted here:
IMG_4376.jpeg
Jesse Veron, the foster parent stated: "her adopted sons would be afraid of all and any CSW" Compared to this statement she made about her 3 adopted children, being "difficult" and she had "doctors come in, therapists. and social Yorkers, they were very supportive"
This doesn't seem like the right place for my daughter.
IMG_7238.jpeg
We don't know what kind of mental health issues these 3 boys she has adopted are enduring. The behaviors thOr if they are violent or- what makes them "difficult"
CSW notes that she visited the foster home: There is never any mention of the the household members ever being on the home. There was no observation as a whole, whatsoever. Neither was there any observation of the adoptive husband being in the household at the time of her mon visits. The adoptive father was the subject of an abuse allegation which was generated against him- during the duration that my daughter was in their care.
IMG_4095.jpeg

During the same period of time, during a home visit with ny daughter- my daughter disclosed to me and to my mother (her grandmother) — Reported to us vocally and in sign language- that one of the boys living in the foster home had punched her. "Ian"
Upon my daughter realizing that the visit time was over- and we were going to be returning her to the foster home to drop her off- she became frustrated and upset, she cried- not wanting to return there.
She cried a long "Noooo"
When she was told it was time to go.
I asked her if she wanted to stay?
My daughter replied: "Yes!"
When I carried my daughter to the car, my daughter motioning (in sign language)
(Seen by her raising her hand towards her forehead area: which in sign language means (father or boy) as well as saying "iiiiia"
To which later she describes the name as an "Ian" or an Aiden.
We realized that there was actually a boy living in the foster home who was named "ian"
"Ian" is the name of one of the 3 older adopted boys living in the foster home.
IMG_4280.jpeg

Why would such a department carry through with this placement, while there is family safe, willing, and available.
IMG_7259.jpeg

And after two years of visitation had formed a strong bond with my daughter.



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

Why Kari through with this alternative placement and why were these allegations that I reported, ignored?

IMG_5279.jpeg

Sarah was not "too young to make a statement" had the caseworker been present at any of the visits or monitored any of the visits that took place- she would realize that she was telling us that something was wrong, someone was hurting her.

However, the CSW ignored this and did not report the information we provided regarding what my daughter said to us during a visit.

My daughter was illegally adopted.

Through Coercion. Fraud. False statements, department illegally using information that was not available.

Resulting in child trafficking.

IMG_5543.jpeg

That department cannot state that I (myself) ever posed as any risk of harm- and to use this ans evidence and information to keep my daughter away from me and her family is unconscionable. Termination upon a diagnosis of "depression" that occurred when I (myself) was a minor; just 12 years old: does not describe my ability to parent a child in the future.

Depression is a (temporary) diagnosis.

It being more than 15 years before my daughter was born.

This was only concluded as emotional disturbance at an IEP meeting conducted by LAUSD, when I was a minor.

There was no other specific behavior problem other than depression.

And was resolved through counseling and residential at VDM.

IMG_4377.jpeg

It would be false to say that- at the time of my daughters birth that I was suffering any "disability"

(Although much harm was caused by the trauma of my daughters removal- due to the actions taken by those who decided that my child should be given to an couple who were actively in search of a child to adopt.

& all the events that occurring in my life after removing her, & after rejecting my request to have her back, & after rejecting my requests to have my daughter placed with a relative, & after denying visitation, and cancelled visits, and after being denied the opportunity to raise a child..

& to ever know my child.

This has devastated my family, eternally.

IMG_3723.jpeg

IMG_9298.jpeg

& to any of the other allegations:

IMG_4054.jpeg

It is unacceptable for the department nor the court to uphold- nor sustain

			<p>these as "allegations" of as being a current issue.</p> <p>It would be inaccurate to assume that a child's' temporary diagnosis of depression (and all of its symptoms) to be a deciding factor on the way that they could parent a child once they are an adult- more importantly because I had overcome this (isolated diagnosis- meaning that all medication that were prescribed during my childhood where discontinued under the care of a Physician at Vista Del Mar)</p> <p>And they could not pull any more records than this- because there was no specific need for it-as this would be invasive, and baseless. However, they proceeded.</p> <p>IMG_0356.jpeg</p> <p>IMG_1043.jpeg</p> <p>This is protected information, described under PRA Law.</p> <p>"An agency must have a need for the information or data to be collected, based on program or policy requirements. In the Federal Government, it is essential to ensure that burden is only imposed on the public for very specific reasons."</p> <p>"Compliance with the PRA is mandatory. Collecting information without clearance can open the subsequent work, including decisions made based on the information, to legal challenge"</p> <p>image11.pngimage13.pngimage14.pngimage15.pngimage16.png</p>
		Item Total	4
Grand Total			4